AUTHENTICATED
U.S. GOVERNMENT
INFORMATION
GPO

Vol.41—No. 4
1–7–76

PAGES
1267–1464

federal register

WEDNESDAY, JANUARY 7, 1976

highlights

EMERGENCY UNEMPLOYMENT COMPENSATION
Labor/ETA announces end of Federal supplemental benefit periods in 21 states 1341

FEDERAL ASSISTANCE PROGRAMS
HEW/OE establishes State application procedures 1393

NATIONAL FLOOD INSURANCE PROGRAM
HUD/FIA publishes list of flood prone areas 1277–1280

MORTGAGE INSURANCE AND HOME IMPROVEMENT LOANS
HUD reduces interest rates from 9 to 8¾ percent for certain programs; effective 1–5–76 1277

INCOME TAX
IRS proposals relating to certain retroactive amendments of employee plans, minimum participation standards, qualified joint and survivor annuities, requirement that benefits under a qualified plan are not decreased on account of certain social security increases, and commencement of benefits under qualified trusts, hearings 2–26, and, if necessary 2–27–76; outlines by 2–12–76; requests for copies by 2–20–76 1289
IRS raises certain inputed interest rates 1280

BROADCAST LICENSE RENEWALS
FCC issues rules and procedures to be followed by broadcast renewal applicants in ascertaining community needs; effective 2–6–76 for licenses whose authorizations expire on or after December 1, 1976 1371

MEDICARE PREMIUM
HEW announcement of monthly premium rate for supplementary medical insurance benefits for the aged and disabled for period July 1976 through June 1977 1310

OIL
FEA eliminates once-a-month price increase limitation on gasoline and No. 2 oils; effective 1–1–76 1264
FEA proposal on refiner's profit margin limitations; comments by 1–23–76 1295

OIL AND GAS RIGHTS
SEC requires notification to State authorities by offerors of fractional undivided interests; effective 2–10–76 1272

CONTINUED INSIDE

OLD OIL ALLOCATION PROGRAM
FEA issues revised entitlement notice for October 1975.. 1323

AMERICAN REVOLUTION BICENTENNIAL
ARBA amends commemorative licensing program 1286

NEW ANIMAL DRUGS
HEW/FDA approves use of bambermycins in feeds for broiler chickens and cambendazole pellets or paste to control helminth infestations in horses (2 documents); effective 1–7–76 1276

PRIVACY ACT OF 1974
Federal Labor Relations Council, the Federal Service Impasses Panel and HEW publish proposals and notices on implementation (3 documents); comments on proposal by 1–30–76 1399, 1403, 1405

BANKING
FRS rules on loans by State member banks in flood prone areas 1269

MEETINGS—
Commerce/DIBA: Exporters' Textile Advisory Committee, 2–11–76 1309
DOD: Defense Intelligence Agency Scientific Advisory Committee, 1–28–76 1300
DOD Advisory Group on Electron Devices, 1–26–76.. 1300
Army: Armed Forces Epidemiological Board, 1–28 and 1–29–76 1300
FCC: 1977 WARC for the Planning of the Broadcasting-Satellite Service in Frequency Bands 11.7–12.2 GHz (in Regions 2 and 3) and 11.7–12.5 GHz (in Region 1), 1–13, 1–14, 1–16 and 1–21–76 1321
1979 WARC Working Group, 1–20–76 1317
HEW/CDC: Safety and Occupational Health Study Section, 1–29 and 1–30–76 1309
Interior/BLM: Burley District Multiple Use Advisory Board, 2–4–76 1301
Idaho Falls District Advisory Board, 2–5–76 1301
NRC: Advisory Committee on Reactor Safeguards, Subcommittee on the Architect-Engineer Balance of Plant, 1–22–76 1338
NSF: Advisory Committee for Research, Task Group II, 1–19 and 1–20–76 1343
State: Shipping Coordinating Committee, Subcommittee on Maritime Law, 2–3–76 1297
Treasury/Comptroller: Regional Advisory Committee on Banking Policies and Practices for the Seventh National Bank Region, 1–23–76 1298

ATTENTION: Questions, corrections, or requests for information regarding the contents of this issue only may be made by dialing 202–523–5286. For information on obtaining extra copies, please call 202–523–5240. To obtain advance information from recorded highlights of selected documents to appear in the next issue, dial 202–523–5022.

federal register
Area Code 202 Phone 523–5240

Published daily, Monday through Friday (no publication on Saturdays, Sundays, or on official Federal holidays), by the Office of the Federal Register, National Archives and Records Service, General Services Administration, Washington, D.C. 20408, under the Federal Register Act (49 Stat. 500, as amended; 44 U.S.C., Ch. 15) and the regulations of the Administrative Committee of the Federal Register (1 CFR Ch. I). Distribution is made only by the Superintendent of Documents, U.S. Government Printing Office, Washington, D.C. 20402.

The FEDERAL REGISTER provides a uniform system for making available to the public regulations and legal notices issued by Federal agencies. These include Presidential proclamations and Executive orders and Federal agency documents having general applicability and legal effect, documents required to be published by Act of Congress and other Federal agency documents of public interest.

The FEDERAL REGISTER will be furnished by mail to subscribers, free of postage, for $5.00 per month or $50 per year, payable in advance. The charge for individual copies is 75 cents for each issue, or 75 cents for each group of pages as actually bound. Remit check or money order, made payable to the Superintendent of Documents, U.S. Government Printing Office, Washington, D.C. 20402.

There are no restrictions on the republication of material appearing in the FEDERAL REGISTER.

contents

AGRICULTURE DEPARTMENT

See Animal and Plant Health Inspection Service; Food and Nutrition Service; Forest Service.

AMERICAN REVOLUTION BICENTENNIAL ADMINISTRATION

Rules

Commemoratives; termination of licenses 1286

ANIMAL AND PLANT HEALTH INSPECTION SERVICE

Proposed Rules

Sales by exempted retail stores in designated States; withdrawal of proposal 1289

ARMY DEPARTMENT

Rules

Privacy Act of 1974; correction 1286

Notices

Meetings:
- Armed Forces Epidemiological Board 1300

CIVIL AERONAUTICS BOARD

Rules

Military transportation; air carriers; exemption 1271

Notices

Hearings, etc.:
- Air carriers and Swiss foreign air carriers 1314
- Hilo Service Case 1315

COMMERCE DEPARTMENT

See also Domestic and International Business Administration; Economic Development Administration.

Notices

Refrigerators, combination refrigerator-freezers, and freezers; voluntary energy conservation; testing and labeling; correction 1309

COMPTROLLER OF THE CURRENCY

Notices

Meetings:
- Regional Advisory Committee on Banking Policies for the Seventh National Bank Region 1298

CUSTOMS SERVICE

Rules

Countervailing duties:
- Carbon steel plate and high strength steel plate from Mexico (2 documents) 1273
- Cheese from Austria (2 documents) 1274, 1275
- Float glass from Italy 1274

Notices

Countervailing duty petitions:
- Consumer electronic products from Japan 1298
- Ferrochrome from South America 1298
- Footwear from Taiwan 1298
- Processed asparagus from Mexico 1299

DEFENSE DEPARTMENT

See also Army Department.

Notices

Meetings:
- Defense Intelligence Agency Scientific Advisory Committee 1300
- DOD Advisory Group on Electron Devices 1300

DISEASE CONTROL CENTER

Notices

Meetings:
- Safety and Occupational Health Study Section 1309

DOMESTIC AND INTERNATIONAL BUSINESS ADMINISTRATION

Notices

Scientific articles; duty free entry:
- Administrative Hospital Services, Inc., et al 1302
- Brookhaven National Laboratory 1303
- Daniel Freeman Memorial Hospital and Duke University Medical Center 1303
- Donald N. Sharp Medical Center, et al 1303
- Johns Hopkins University 1304
- National Center for Toxicological Research and Public Health Service 1305
- National Radio Astronomy Observatory 1305
- Northwestern University 1306
- Pennsylvania Hospital 1306
- SUNY-Stony Brook 1306
- Temple University 1307
- University of Chicago, et al 1307
- University of South Carolina 1308
- Washington University School of Medicine 1308
- Wayne State University, et al 1308

Meetings:
- Exporters' Textile Advisory Committee 1309

ECONOMIC DEVELOPMENT ADMINISTRATION

Notices

Determination petitions:
- Capital Footwear Corp 1309

EDUCATION OFFICE

Rules

State administered programs; general provisions 1393

EMPLOYMENT AND TRAINING ADMINISTRATION

Notices

Federal supplemental benefits (emergency unemployment compensation); ending of benefit periods in 21 states 1341

ENERGY RESEARCH AND DEVELOPMENT ADMINISTRATION

Notices

Environmental impact statements:
- Liquid metal fast breeder reactor program 1316

ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY

Notices

Air quality implementation plans:
- Oregon 1316

FARM CREDIT ADMINISTRATION

Rules

Producer voting control; reduction of percentage 1269

FEDERAL AVIATION ADMINISTRATION

Rules

Airworthiness directives:
- Pilatus Aircraft Ltd. and Fairchild Hiller Model PC–6 airplanes 1270

Standard instrument approach procedures 1270

FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS COMMISSION

Rules

Broadcast applicants; ascertainment of community problems 1371

Practice and procedure; reconsideration of actions 1286

Proposed Rules

Annual report Form M and monthly report Form 901 for telephone companies; amendment of 1290

FM broadcast stations; table of assignments:
- California 1291

Notices

Common carrier services; domestic public radio services; applications accepted for filing 1317

Ignition systems (spark-type); interference in motor vehicles 1323

Meetings:
- 1979 WARC Conference Working Group 1317
- Joint Industry/Government Committee 1977 World Administrative Radio Conference 1321

Hearings, etc.:
- Chapman Radio and Television Co. and Birmingham Broadcasting Co 1318
- KTVO, Inc 1321

FEDERAL ENERGY ADMINISTRATION
Rules
Mandatory petroleum price regulations:
Gasoline and No. 2 oils; once-a-month price increase limitation on sales; elimination 1264
Proposed Rules
International voluntary agreements; conduct of activities 1291
Refiner's profit margin; limitations 1295
Notices
Old oil allocation program; 1975; entitlement notices:
October 1323

FEDERAL INSURANCE ADMINISTRATION
Rules
National Flood Insurance Program:
Consultation with local officials (7 documents) 1277–1280
Identification of special hazard areas; correction (2 documents) 1277
Notices
National flood insurance program:
Communities subject to prohibition of Federal and federally related assistance 1431

FEDERAL LABOR RELATIONS COUNCIL
Notices
Privacy Act of 1974; systems of records 1403

FEDERAL LABOR RELATIONS COUNCIL AND FEDERAL SERVICES IMPASSES PANEL
Proposed Rules
Privacy Act of 1974; implementation of 1399

FEDERAL MARITIME COMMISSION
Notices
Agreements filed:
Atlanitca S.p.A. and Global Terminal and Container Services, Inc 1326
Port of Oakland, et al 1326

FEDERAL POWER COMMISSION
Notices
Meetings:
National Gas Survey, Technical Advisory Committees and Task Forces (3 documents) 1329, 1330
Hearings, etc.:
Northern Natural Gas Co 1326
Phillips Petroleum Co., et al 1329

FEDERAL RAILROAD ADMINISTRATION
Notices
Petitions for exemptions, etc.:
Penn Central Transportation Co 1312

FEDERAL RESERVE SYSTEM
Rules
Loans by State member banks; flood-prone areas 1269
Notices
Applications, etc.:
Dubois Bankshares, Inc 1330
Massachusetts Bay Bancorp, Inc 1331
Metro Insurance Agency, Inc 1331
Peoples Credit Co 1331
Republic of Texas Corp 1331
Texas Commerce Bancshares, Inc 1331

FEDERAL SERVICE IMPASSES PANEL
See Federal Labor Relations Council.

FOOD AND DRUG ADMINISTRATION
Rules
Animal drugs:
Bambermycins-monensin and bambermycins-monensin-roxarsone 1276
Cambendazole 1276

FOOD AND NUTRITION SERVICE
Rules
Food stamp program; participation of State agencies and eligible households; correction (2 documents) 1268, 1269

FOREST SERVICE
Notices
Environmental statements; availability, etc.:
South Lindenberg Peninsula Land Use Plan, Alaska 1301

HEALTH, EDUCATION, AND WELFARE DEPARTMENT
See also Disease Control Center; Education Office; Food and Drug Administration; Public Health Service.
Notices
Privacy Act of 1974; systems of records 1405
Supplemental medical insurance for the aged and disabled; monthly actuarial rates and monthly premium rate 1310

HOUSING AND URBAN DEVELOPMENT DEPARTMENT
See Federal Insurance Administration; Housing Production and Mortgage Credit, Office of Assistant Secretary.

HOUSING PRODUCTION AND MORTGAGE CREDIT, OFFICE OF ASSISTANT SECRETARY
Rules
Mortgage insurance and home improvement loans; changes in interest rates 1277

INTERIOR DEPARTMENT
See Land Management Bureau.

INTERNAL REVENUE SERVICE
Rules
Income taxes:
Imputed interest rates 1280
Proposed Rules
Employee retirement plans 1289

INTERNATIONAL DEVELOPMENT AGENCY
Notices
Pest management program; interim procedures 1297

INTERSTATE COMMERCE COMMISSION
Notices
Hearing assignments 1352
Motor carriers:
Alternate route deviation notices (2 documents) 1351
Applications and certain other proceedings 1349
Motor carrier intrastate applications 1350
Temporary authority applications 1344
Transfer proceedings 1349

LABOR DEPARTMENT
See also Employment and Training Administration.
Notices
Adjustment assistance:
Chrysler Corp 1342
Ford Motor Co 1342
General Motors Corp 1342
International Harvester Co 1343

LAND MANAGEMENT BUREAU
Notices
Applications, etc.:
Arizona 1301
Meetings:
Burley District Multiple Use Advisory Board 1301
Idaho Falls District Advisory Board 1301
Survey plat filings:
California 1301

MANAGEMENT AND BUDGET OFFICE
Notices
Clearance of reports; list of requests (2 documents) 1339, 1340

NATIONAL SCIENCE FOUNDATION
Notices
Meetings:
Advisory Committee for Research, Task Group 11 1343

NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION
Notices
Regulatory guides; issuance and availability 1336
Applications, etc.:
Allied-General Nuclear Services, et al 1333
Combustion Engineering, Inc 1333
Commonwealth Edison Co 1334
Connecticut Yankee Atomic Power Co 1338
Consumers Power Co 1335
Idaho State University (2 documents) 1335
Omaha Public Power District 1335
Portland General Electric Co 1336
Public Service Company of Indiana, Inc 1336
Southern California Edison Co., et al 1332
Tennessee Valley Authority 1337
Toledo Edison Co., et al 1337

Vermont Yankee Nuclear Power Corp. 1333
Westinghouse Electric Corp. 1337
Meetings:
Advisory Committee on Reactor Safeguards Subcommittee on the Architect-Engineer Balance of Plant 1338

PUBLIC HEALTH SERVICE
Notices
Organizations and functions:
National Institutes of Health 1310

SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION
Rules
Oil and gas rights; notification to State authorities by offerors of fractional undivided interests 1272

Notices
Self-regulatory organizations; proposed rule changes:
Boston Stock Exchange 1340
Midwest Stock Exchange, Inc. 1341
Hearings, etc.:
National Association of Securities Dealers, Inc. 1341
Transjersey Bancorp 1341

STATE DEPARTMENT
See also International Development Agency.
Notices
Meetings:
Shipping Coordinating Committee; Subcommittee on Maritime Law 1297

TRANSPORTATION DEPARTMENT
See also Federal Aviation Administration; Federal Railroad Administration.
Rules
Authority delegations:
Deputy Secretary, Deputy Under Secretary, and Deputy Under Secretary for Budget and Program Review 1288

TREASURY DEPARTMENT
See also Customs Service; Internal Revenue Service.
Notices
Countervailing duty petitions:
Float glass from Belgium 1299
Float glass from West Germany 1300

list of cfr parts affected

The following numerical guide is a list of the parts of each title of the Code of Federal Regulations affected by documents published in today's issue. A cumulative list of parts affected, covering the current month to date, follows beginning with the second issue of the month.

A Cumulative List of CFR Sections Affected is published separately at the end of each month. The guide lists the parts and sections affected by documents published since the revision date of each title.

5 CFR
PROPOSED RULES:
2402 1400

7 CFR
271 (2 documents) 1268, 1269

9 CFR
PROPOSED RULES:
303 1289
381 1289

10 CFR
212 1267
PROPOSED RULES:
209 1291
212 1295

12 CFR
208 1269
613 1269

14 CFR
39 1270
97 1270
288 1271

17 CFR
230 1272

19 CFR
159 (5 documents) 1273–1275

21 CFR
121 1276
520 1276
558 1276

24 CFR
203 1277
213 1277
234 1277
1915 (2 documents) 1277
1916 (7 documents) 1277–1280

26 CFR
1 1280
PROPOSED RULES:
1 1289

32 CFR
505 1286

36 CFR
606 1286

45 CFR
100 1395
100b 1395
117 1395
121 1395
130 1395
141 1395
173 1395

47 CFR
1 (2 documents) 1286, 1372
PROPOSED RULES:
43 1290
73 1291

49 CFR
1 1288

CUMULATIVE LIST OF PARTS AFFECTED—JANUARY

The following numerical guide is a list of parts of each title of the Code of Federal Regulations affected by documents published to date during January.

1 CFR

Ch. 1 1

3 CFR

PROCLAMATIONS:

3279 (Amended by Proc. 4412) 1047
4210 (See Proc. 4412) 1037
4335 (Revoked by Proc. 4410) 749
4341 (See Proc. 4412) 1037
4382 (See Proc. 4410) 749
4410 749
4411 1037
4412 1037

EXECUTIVE ORDERS:

11647 (Amended by EO 11892) 751
11717 (Superseded in part by EO 11893) 751
11731 (Amended by EO 11892) 751
11846 (Amended by EO 11894) 1041
11861 (Amended by EO 11893) 1040
11867 (Superseded in part by EO 11893) 1040
11892 751
11893 1040
11894 1041

5 CFR

PROPOSED RULES:

2402 1400

7 CFR

271 ..... 1268, 1269
730 1043
910 1
916 1043

PROPOSED RULES:

225 1078
663 6
730 1078
928 1077
1430 775
1701 775

9 CFR

78 ..... 753, 766
350 753
351 753
355 753

PROPOSED RULES:

303 1289
381 1289

10 CFR

211 1044
212 1267

PROPOSED RULES:

209 1291
212 1295

12 CFR

208 1269
613 1269

PROPOSED RULES:

406 1086

14 CFR

21 1060
29 1060

14 CFR—Continued

39 ..... 1046–1055, 1270
71 ..... 2, 300, 753, 1055
73 ..... 300, 1055
75 300
91 1060
95 1055
97 1270
288 1271
385 1060

PROPOSED RULES:

37 776
121 1085
129 1085
253 781
399 781

16 CFR

13 753
1512 1061
1615 1061
1616 1061
1630 1061

PROPOSED RULES:

455 1089

17 CFR

230 1272

PROPOSED RULES:

230 10

19 CFR

159 1273–1275

20 CFR

10 2

PROPOSED RULES:

602 776

21 CFR

1 1156
8 754
102 1156
121 ..... 1061, 1276
520 1276
558 ..... 1061, 1276

24 CFR

203 1277
213 1277
234 1277
235 1168
1909 1062
1912 1062
1914 1062
1915 1277
1916 1277–1280

26 CFR

1 ..... 1063, 1280

PROPOSED RULES:

1 1289
41 768
48 768
142 768

27 CFR

4 1063

PROPOSED RULES:

5 1077

31 CFR

PROPOSED RULES:

223 1077

32 CFR

505 1286

33 CFR

PROPOSED RULES:

82 10
117 ..... 10, 754
127 755

34 CFR

235 755

PROPOSED RULES:

Ch. I 779

36 CFR

606 1286

37 CFR

1 756
2 756

40 CFR

180 ..... 762, 763
414 902

PROPOSED RULES:

414 914

41 CFR

14–2 763
14–18 763

45 CFR

17 2
100 1395
100b 1395
117 1395
121 1395
130 1395
141 1395
173 1395

46 CFR

146 763
536 765

47 CFR

1 ..... 1286, 1372
76 1063

PROPOSED RULES:

43 1290
68 778
73 ..... 1088, 1089, 1291

49 CFR

1 1288
571 ..... 4, 765, 1066
575 1066
1033 4

PROPOSED RULES:

1043 779
1084 779

50 CFR

28 766
240 1067
501 4

FEDERAL REGISTER PAGES AND DATES—JANUARY

Pages	*Date*
1–747	2
749–1034	5
1035–1266	6
1267–1464	7

reminders

(The items in this list were editorially compiled as an aid to FEDERAL REGISTER users. Inclusion or exclusion from this list has no legal significance. Since this list is intended as a reminder, it does not include effective dates that occur within 14 days of publication.)

Rules Going Into Effect Today

NOTE: There were no items published after October 1, 1972, that are eligible for inclusion in the list of RULES GOING INTO EFFECT TODAY.

Next Week's Deadlines for Comments On Proposed Rules

AGRICULTURE DEPARTMENT

Animal and Plant Health Inspection Service—

Nitrates, nitrites, and salt; use in meat and poultry products; comments by 1–12–76 ..... 52615; 11–11–75

Farmers Home Administration—

Farm Labor housing loan policies; procedures and authorizations; comments by 1–14–76 ..... 58151; 12–15–75

Farm labor housing grant policies; procedures and authorizations; comments by 1–14–76 ..... 58151; 12–15–75

COMMODITY FUTURES TRADING COMMISSION

Floor brokers and commission merchants; dual trading; comments by 1–12–76 ..... 58660; 12–18–75

ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY

Control of air pollution from aircraft and aircraft engines; extension of compliance standards for JT3D engine; comment period extended to 1–15–76 ..... 54012; 11–20–75

National Pollutant Discharge elimination system; separate storm sewers; comments by 1–17–76 ..... 56932; 12–5–75

Solid waste management; guidelines for beverage containers; comments by 1–12–76 ..... 52968; 11–13–75

FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS COMMISSION

Cable television system; definition of system and creation of classes; extension of time; 1–15–76 ..... 58866; 12–19–75

Definition of cable television system and creation of classes of cable systems; comments by 1–15–76 ..... 55868; 12–2–75

Transmitter power; specifications; comments by 1–15–76 .. 52857; 11–13–75

FEDERAL MARITIME COMMISSION

Record of identifiable personal information; access; comments by 1–14–76. 58154; 12–15–75

FEDERAL RESERVE SYSTEM

Banking holding companies; purchase or redemption of their own shares; comments by 1–15–76 .. 58866; 12–19–75

FEDERAL TRADE COMMISSION

Advertising for over-the-counter drugs; comments by 1–12–76 ..... 52631; 11–11–75

HEALTH, EDUCATION, AND WELFARE DEPARTMENT

Food and Drug Administration—

Additional standards for blood grouping serum; comments by 1–12–76. 52623; 11–11–75

Additional standards for reagent red blood cells; comments by 1–12–76. 52621; 11–11–75

Additional standards for single donor plasma (human) products; comments by 1–12–76 ..... 52619; 11–11–75

Fruit flavored sweetened spreads; common or usual name; comments by 1–12–76 ..... 52617; 11–11–75

Whole blood and red blood cells; label statement to distinguish volunteer and paid blood donors; comments by 1–13–76 ..... 53040; 11–14–75

LABOR DEPARTMENT

Occupational Safety and Health Administration—

Standards for alkyl benzenes, cyclohexane, ketones and ozone; comments by 1–15–76 ..... 55867; 12–2–75

Wage and Hour Division—

Special Industry Committee for All Industry in American Samoa; appointment; comments by 1–12–76. 44159; 9–25–75

MARINE MAMMAL COMMISSION

Federal Advisory Committee Act; proposed implementation; comments by 1–15–76 ..... 57812; 12–12–75

Federal Advisory Committee Act; implementation; comments by 1–15–76. 55679; 12–1–75

SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION

ANSCA Corporations; extension of comment period; comments by 1–15–76. 59603; 12–29–75

Environmental and Social Disclosure; supplemental information; comments by 1–12–76 ..... 58155; 12–15–75

Regulation of municipal securities professionals and transactions in municipal securities; comments by 1–15–76 ..... 60084; 12–31–75

TRANSPORTATION DEPARTMENT

Coast Guard—

First aid certificates; comments by 1–16–76 ..... 55663; 12–1–75

Federal Aviation Administration—

Airworthiness directives; Boeing Model 747 Series airplanes; comments by 1–15–76 ..... 57811; 12–12–75

Airworthiness directives; Cessna 300 and 400 series airplanes; comments by 1–13–76 ..... 53044; 11–14–75

Control airspace; Nome, Alaska; comments by 1–12–76 ..... 57811; 12–12–75

National Highway Traffic Safety Administration—

Defect and noncompliance responsibility; comments by 1–21–76. 56930; 12–5–75

TREASURY DEPARTMENT

Customs Service—

Fresh produce from Mexico or Canada; special permits; comments by 1–14–76 ..... 58150; 12–15–75

Next Week's Meetings

AGRICULTURE DEPARTMENT

Agricultural Marketing Service—

Hop Marketing Advisory Board; to be held at Boise, Idaho (open), 1–14–76 ..... 59364; 12–23–75

Shippers Advisory Committee, to be held in Lakeland, Fla. (open), 1–13–76 ..... 59607; 12–29–75

Forest Service—

Santa Fe National Forest Grazing Livestock Advisory Board; to be held at Santa Fe, New Mexico (open), 1–21–76 ..... 59228; 12–22–75

CIVIL RIGHTS COMMISSION

Maine Advisory Committee; to be held at Augusta, Maine (open), 1–14–76. 59374; 12–23–75

North Dakota and South Dakota Advisory Committees; to be held at Sioux Falls, SD (open), 1–19–76 ..... 59374; 12–23–75

South Carolina Advisory Committee, to be held in Columbia, S.C. (open) 1–15–76 ..... 57516; 12–10–75

Washington Advisory Committee; to be held at Seattle, Washington (open), 1–17–76 ..... 59374; 12–23–75

COMMERCE DEPARTMENT

Domestic and International Business Administration—

Computer Systems Technical Advisory Committee, Hardware Subcommittee to be held in Washington, D.C. (open with restrictions), 1–14–76. 52075; 11–7–75

Definitions Subcommittee of the Numerically Controlled Machine Tool Technical Advisory Committee, to be held in Washington, D.C. (partially open), 1–13–76 ..... 58161; 12–15–75

Electronic Instrumentation Technical Advisory Committee, to be held in Washington, D.C. (partially open), 1–13–76 ..... 58162; 12–15–75

New Technology Subcommittee of the Numerically Controlled Machine Tool Technical Advisory Committee, to be held in Washington, D.C. (open) 1–13–76.. 56961; 12–5–75

Numerically Controlled Machine Tool Technical Advisory Committee to be held in Washington, D.C. (open and closed), 1–14–76. 57817; 12–12–75

Technology Transfer Subcommittee of the Computer Systems Technical Advisory Committee to be held in Washington, D.C. (open and closed), 1–14–76..... 57818; 12–12–75

National Bureau of Standards—

Federal Information Processing Standards Task Group 13 Workload Definition and Benchmarking; to be held in Gaithersburg, Md. (open with restrictions) 1–14–76. 52877; 11–13–75

Office of the Secretary—

Commerce Technical Advisory Board; to be held in Washington, D.C. (open), 1–14 and 1–15–76. 58478; 12–17–75

COMMODITY FUTURES TRADING COMMISSION

Advisory Committee on Definition and Regulation of Market Instruments, to be held in Los Angeles, Calif. (open), 1–14–76..... 59616; 12–29–75

Advisory Committee on Regulation of Contract Markets and Selfregulatory Associations; to be held in Washington, D.C. (open), 1–21–76. 59240; 12–22–75

DEFENSE DEPARTMENT

Air Force Department—

USAF Scientific Advisory Board; to be held in Wright Patterson AFB, Ohio (closed), 1–15 and 1–16–76. 59227; 12–22–75

Army Department—

Armed Forces Epidemiological Board; to be held in New York, New York (open with restrictions), 1–15–76. 60100; 12–31–75

Office of the Secretary—

Defense Science Board Task Force on Theater Nuclear Forces R and D Requirements to be held in Washington, D.C. (closed), 1–13 and 1–14–76..... 57817; 12–12–75

Science Board Task Force on "Electronic Test Equipment", to be held in Arlington, Va. (open), 1–13 and 1–14–76..... 57498; 12–10–75

Wage Committee; to be held in Washington, D.C. (closed); 1–13–76. 56697; 12–4–75

FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS COMMISSION

Radio Technical Commission for Marine Services; to be held in Washington, D.C. (open), 1–13 thru 1–15–76. 60112; 12–31–75

RCC—Telephone Company Interconnection, to be held in Washington, D.C., 1–7, 8, 14, 15, 16, 26, 27, and 28–76. 59619; 12–29–75

HEALTH, EDUCATION, AND WELFARE DEPARTMENT

Alcohol, Drug Abuse and Mental Health Administration—

Minority Advisory Committee; to be held in Rockville, Md. (open), 1–14 thru 1–16–76.... 60105; 12–31–75

Health Resources Administration—

Task Force on Annual Report on the Nation's Health, to be held in Rockville, Md. (open), 1–13 and 1–14–76..... 58166; 12–15–75

National Institutes of Health—

Allergy and Immunology and Bacteriology and Mycology Study Sections; to be held in Chevy Chase, Maryland; (open with restrictions); 1–15 through 1–17–76. 54603; 11–25–75

Biophysical and Biophysical Chemistry B, Experimental Therapeutics, Molecular Biology, Toxicology Study Sections; to be held in Bethesda, Md. (open with restrictions); 1–15 through 1–17–76..... 54603; 11–25–75

Clinical Trials Review Committee; to be held in Bethesda, Md. (open with restrictions); 1–12–76. 54604; 11–25–75

Communicative Sciences, Computer and Biomathematical Sciences, Epidemiology and Disease Control, General Medicine B, Genetics, Immuniological Sciences, Pathobiological Chemistry and Visual Sciences Study Sections; to be held in Bethesda, Md. (open with restrictions); 1–14 through 1–17–76. 54603; 11–25–75

Division of Research Grants, to be held in Bethesda, Md. (open), 1–14 thru 1–17–76.... 59609; 12–29–75

Experimental Psychology Study Section; to be held in Washington, D.C. (open with restrictions); 1–14 through 1–17–76..... 54603; 11–25–75

Infectious Disease Committee; to be held in Bethesda, Md. (open with restrictions), 1–15 and 1–16–76. 53294; 11–17–75

National Commission on Arthritis and Related Musculoskeletal Diseases, to be held in Washington, D.C. (open), 1–14–76..... 59610; 12–29–75

Neurological and Communicative Disorders and Stroke Program—Project Review A and B Committees; to be held in Washington, D.C. (open with restrictions); 1–15 through 1–17–76..... 54605; 11–25–75

Neurology and Tropical Medicine and Parasitology Study Sections; to be held in Washington, D.C. (open with restrictions); 1–15 through 1–17–76..... 54603; 11–25–75

Neurological Diseases and Stroke Science Information Program Advisory Committee; to be held in Bethesda, Md. (open with restrictions); 1–12–76.. 54604; 11–25–75

Toxicology Study Section, to be held in Bethesda, Md. (partially open), 1–14–76..... 59611; 12–29–75

Office of Education—

National Advisory Council on the Education of Disadvantaged Children; to be held at Washington, D.C. (open), 1–19–76..... 59367; 12–23–75

National Advisory Council on Indian Education, to be held in Reno, Nevada (open), 1–15 thru 1–18–76. 58678; 12–18–75

Office of the Secretary—

National Professional Standards Review Council; to be held in Washington, D.C. (open), 1–12 and 1–13–76..... 58884; 12–19–75

Review Panel on New Drug Regulation, to be held in Washington, D.C. (open), 1–18 and 1–19–76. 58679; 12–18–75

Rights and Responsibilities of Women Advisory Committee; to be held in Washington, D.C. (open), 1–15 and 1–16–76..... 59233; 12–22–75

INTERIOR DEPARTMENT

National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration—

Coastal Zone Management Advisory Committee; to be held in St. Thomas, Virgin Islands (open), 1–14 and 1–15–76..... 57817; 12–12–75

National Park Service—

Indiana Dunes National Lakeshore Advisory Commission; to be held in Beverly Shores, Indiana (open), 1–16–76..... 58874; 12–19–75

LABOR DEPARTMENT

Bureau of Labor Statistics—

Business Research Advisory Council's Committee on Economic Growth, to be held in Washington, D.C. (open), 1–14–76..... 58189; 12–15–75

Business Research Advisory Council's Committee on Occupational Safety and Health Statistics, to be held in Washington, D.C. (open), 1–15–76. 58704; 12–18–75

MANAGEMENT AND BUDGET OFFICE

Business Advisory Council on Federal Reports, to be held in Washington, D.C. (open), 1–15–76..... 59625; 12–29–75

NATIONAL FOUNDATION ON THE ARTS AND THE HUMANITIES

Advisory Committee Education Panel, to be held in Washington, D.C. (closed), 1–15 and 1–16–76..... 59624; 12–29–75

Advisory Committee Planning Office Panel, to be held in Washington, D.C. (closed), 1–15 and 1–16–76. 59624; 12–29–75

NATIONAL SCIENCE FOUNDATION

Ad Hoc Advisory Committee on the Sacramento Peak Observatory; to be held in Washington, D.C. (partially open), 1–18 thru 1–20–76..... 60123; 12–31–75

Advisory Group on Contributions of Technology to Economic Strength; to be held in Washington, D.C. (partially open), 1–15–76.... 60123; 12–31–75

Federal Agency Scientific and Technical Information Managers' Interagency Committee; to be held in Washington, D.C. (open), 1–14–76..... 58513; 12–17–75

SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION

SEC Report Coordinating Group (Advisory), to be held in Washington, D.C. (open), 1–12–76.... 58703; 12–18–75

SMALL BUSINESS ADMINISTRATION

Concord District Advisory Council, to be held in Concord, N.H. (open), 1–15–76..... 58189; 12–15–75

STATE DEPARTMENT

Agency for International Development—

Advisory Committee on Voluntary Foreign Aid; to be held in Washington, D.C. (open), 1–16–76..... 58869; 12–19–76

Office of the Secretary—

Fine Arts Committee; to be held in Washington, D.C. (open with restrictions), 1–14–76.. 60096; 12–31–75

International Radio Consultative Committee (CCIR), Study Group 5 of the U.S. National Committee; to be held at Washington, D.C. (open), 1–16–76..... 59356; 12–23–75

International Radio Consultative Committee (CCIR), Study Groups 10 and 11 of the U.S. National Committee; to be held at Washington, D.C. (open), 1–15–76..... 59356; 12–23–75

Shipping Coordinating Committee, Subcommittee on Safety of Life at Sea; to be held at Washington, D.C. (open), 1–15–76..... 59356; 12–23–75

TRANSPORTATION DEPARTMENT

Federal Aviation Administration—

Radio Technical Commission for Aeronautics Special Committee 125—MLS Implementation; to be held at Washington, D.C. (open), 1–14 and 1–15–76.... 59368; 12–23–75

Federal Highway Administration—

National Advisory Committee on Uniform Traffic Control Devices (open), 1–15 and 1–16–76. 58489; 12–17–75

Office of the Secretary—

Microwave Landing System Advisory Committee; to be held in Washington, D.C. (open), 1–20 and 1–21–76..... 59235; 12–22–75

VETERANS ADMINISTRATION

Wage Committee, to be held in Washington, D.C. (closed), 1–15, 1–29, 3–11 and 3–25–76..... 57562; 12–10–75

Next Week's Public Hearings

ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY

Ohio; air pollution control; implementation plan for the control of sulfur dioxide; hearing to be held in Columbus, Ohio (open with restrictions), 1–13–76..... 53421; 11–18–75

HOUSING AND URBAN DEVELOPMENT DEPARTMENT

Office of Interstate Land Sales Registration—

Hidden Valley Lake Subdivision; to be held in Washington, D.C., 1–14–76. 53055; 11–14–75

INTERIOR DEPARTMENT

National Park Service—

Peaks of Otter Sewage Treatment, Blue Ridge Parkway, Va.; 1–13 and 1–14–76..... 58875; 12–19–75

Daily List of Public Laws

S. 2327..... Pub. Law 94–205

Real Estate Settlement Procedures Act Amendments of 1975
(Jan. 2, 1976; 89 Stat. 1157)

S. 1469..... Pub. Law 94–204

An act to provide, under or by amendment of the Alaska Native Claims Settlement Act, for the late enrollment of certain Natives, the establishment of an escrow account for the proceeds of certain lands, the treatment of certain payments and grants, and the consolidation of existing regional corporations, and for other purposes
(Jan. 2, 1976; 89 Stat. 1145)

S. 95..... Pub. Law 94–203

Overseas Citizens Voting Rights Act of 1975
(Jan. 2, 1976; 89 Stat. 1142)

H.R. 6673..... Pub. Law 94–201

American Folklife Preservation Act
(Jan. 2, 1976; 89 Stat. 1129)

H.R. 10727..... Pub. Law 94–202

An act to amend the Social Security Act to expedite the holding of hearings under titles II, XVI, and XVIII by establishing uniform review procedures under such titles, and for other purposes
(Jan. 2, 1976; 89 Stat. 1135)

rules and regulations

This section of the FEDERAL REGISTER contains regulatory documents having general applicability and legal effect most of which are keyed to and codified in the Code of Federal Regulations, which is published under 50 titles pursuant to 44 U.S.C. 1510.

The Code of Federal Regulations is sold by the Superintendent of Documents. Prices of new books are listed in the first FEDERAL REGISTER issue of each month.

Title 10—Energy

CHAPTER II—FEDERAL ENERGY ADMINISTRATION

PART 212—MANDATORY PETROLEUM PRICE REGULATIONS

Increased Pricing Flexibility; Elimination of Once-a-Month Price Increase Limitation on Sales of Gasoline and No. 2 Oils

A. INTRODUCTION

In its notice of proposed rulemaking and public hearing on proposals for increased pricing flexibility, issued October 17, 1975 (40 FR 49372, October 22, 1975) the FEA proposed, among other things, to delete the once-a-month price increase limitation applicable to sales of gasoline and No. 2 oils by refiners, resellers and retailers. Other proposals related to regional pricing by refiners, resellers and retailers, reallocation of increased product cost among products by resellers and retailers, and review of FEA Ruling 1975–16 concerning non-product cost increases.

The proposal to eliminate the once-a-month rule is essentially uncomplicated and non-controversial compared with other proposals for increased pricing flexibility under review. In addition, the proposed elimination of the once-a-month price limitation is separable from and does not relate directly to any of the other proposals included in the notice of proposed rulemaking. FEA has therefore decided to complete action on this proposal now rather than deferring action until a decision is made on the other proposals mentioned.

Thirty-four written comments (including five late comments) and eleven oral presentations were received on the proposed elimination of the once-a-month price increase limitation. All of these comments and presentations were considered by FEA, as well as other information available to it, in arriving at the regulatory amendment adopted today. Under today's amendment, the once-a-month rule prescribed in §§ 212.83(c)(1)(i) and 212.93(b)(1)(iv) is revoked, effective January 1, 1976.

B. COMMENTS

In its notice of proposed rulemaking, FEA noted that the once-a-month rule initially served two main objectives: (1) it cushioned the inflationary impact that would otherwise have resulted from more rapid price increases in a period of short supply and rising costs, and (2) the rule simplified audit procedures with respect to marketing of gasoline and No. 2 oils by refiners, whose compliance with respect to refinery operations is tested on a monthly basis. However, under current conditions of ample supply and relatively stable prices, FEA suggested that the rule may no longer be useful and may even result in higher prices in some instances as marketers tend to increase prices to the maximum extent possible at the beginning of every month rather than proceed at the more gradual pace that might prevail in the absence of any restriction on the frequency of price increases. Beyond this, FEA noted, the rule in its present form as applied to resellers and retailers has resulted in confusion and misinterpretation, thereby hindering rather than aiding audit of resellers and retailers.

Nearly all comments received supported elimination of the rule. The rule was described as "superfluous," "arcane," and as having outlived its usefulness under current conditions of ample supply.

Concurring in FEA's view that the rule may now encourage prices in some instances that are higher than those which would prevail in the absence of the rule, several commentators asserted that the rule encourages "artificial and premature" pirce increases by marketers at the beginning of the month in order to preserve maximum pricing flexibility for the remainder of the month. One firm pointed out that marketers will be encouraged to follow any downward trend in pricing if it is known (through elimination of the rule) that a reversal of any downward trend can be responded to immediately. This is particularly significant in view of the fact that the recently-enacted Energy Policy and Conservation Act (the "EPCA"), Pub. L. 94–163, will achieve a short-term rollback in crude oil prices. Elimination of the once-a-month rule should facilitate the passthrough of these price reductions to consumers.

Other firms commented that the once-a-month rule was particularly unfair to independent marketers with more than one supplier. In these cases, the marketers may often incur a product cost increase from suppliers during the month after having made a price increase at the beginning of the month to reflect the previous month's product cost increases. With a once-a-month price increase restriction in effect, these cost increases must be absorbed by the marketer until the subsequent month.

Although independent marketers may "bank" unrecouped product cost increases for later recoupment under § 212.93(e), competition is such under current conditions of ample supply that such cost increases often remain unrecouped. Independent marketers believe that elimination of the rule will improve their opportunities for recouping these product cost increases and, perhaps more importantly, will generally enhance the ability of independent marketers to react more quickly to competiiton. In view of the fact that price flexibility is of particular importance for the competitive position of independent marketers, and in view of FEA's obligation to protect the competitive viability of independent marketers, the proposed elimination of the once-a-month rule was strongly supported by independent resellers and retailers.

The FEA received only one submission which questioned the desirability of elimination of the once-a-month rule. The Vermont Energy Office viewed the proposed elimination of the rule as evidence "that the fight to contain inflation is being escalated again with inflation given even more weaponry with which to mow down the consumer." For the reasons noted above, the FEA believes that the amendment adopted today will not result in net increases in prices to the consumer and may, in fact, benefit the consumer by eliminating "premature" price increases, encouraging smaller and more gradual price increases, encouraging price decreases by removing any fear of delay in returning to previous price levels, and by strengthening the competitive position of independent marketers and otherwise enhancing price competition.

A few other comments were received which supported elimination of the once-a-month rule but suggested that the proposal did not go far enough in freeing marketers from unnecessary controls. For example, one firm supported total price decontrol at the retail level because active competition during periods of ample supply provides sufficient price restraint. Such decontrol is outside the scope of the present rulemaking. FEA is aware, however, that competition is holding prices below maximum lawful price levels in certain marketing sectors, and therefore total price decontrol in such sectors will be among the matters considered by FEA during the reevaluation of its regulations called for by Section 454 of the EPCA.

It was also proposed that the pricing flexibility which will result from the amendment adopted today suggests the need to eliminate the requirement to post ceiling prices and octane ratings in retail sales of gasoline. Such an amendment is also outside the scope of the present rulemaking. However, other issues relating to gasoline posting are the subject of separate rulemaking proceedings now pending and FEA may in this context reexamine the extent to

which posting requirements continue to play a useful role in FEA's compliance program in the absence of the once-a-month rule.

Finally, it was proposed by one refiner that elimination of the once-a-month rule should be coupled with elimination of the requirement reflected in FEA's monthly cost allocation report for refiners (FEO–96) under which a maximum dollar price increase limitation is imposed on refiners' sales of gasoline and No. 2 oils each month regardless of whether price increases are placed in effect at the beginning or the end of the month. Under this requirement, a refiner which was permitted to increase its price of gasoline by one cent/gallon on the first day of the month, for example, and which deferred that increase until the 15th of the month due to competitive restraints, could not charge an amount in excess of one cent/gallon (assuming competition then permitted it) even though the higher amount was designed only to recoup during the last half of the month the total dollar product cost increase concerned. It was argued that this rule, like the once-a-month rule, tends to encourage the charging of the highest lawful prices at the beginning of the month even though competitive considerations might suggest the need for smaller price increases or deferral of price increases. The FEA was therefore requested to modify the maximum price rule applicable to refiner sales of gasoline and No. 2 oils so that the only limitation in this respect would be on total monthly dollar recovery on sales of these products.

While there appears to be some merit in the view that the maximum price rule under discussion may encourage early recovery of product cost increases by refiners rather than later recovery, it appears that this has had far less impact in this respect than the once-a-month rule. Refiners know that product cost increases unrecovered in one month can be banked under § 212.83(c) for recovery in a later month (subject to certain possible limitations found in Section 402 of the EPCA), and the fact that only one firm recommended this further change does not suggest that there is any significant pricing impact of the kind suggested. More importantly, the maximum price rule under discussion derives from specific language used in the formula in § 212.83(c)(2)(i) applicable to allocation by refiners of increased product costs to No. 2 oils and gasoline. Changes in this important formula are outside the scope of the present proceedings and would not be undertaken by FEA in any event without requesting public comment thereon and undertaking a full review of the effects of any such amendment.

C. AMENDMENTS

The elimination of the once-a-month rule is effected in the amendment adopted today, with respect to sales by refiners of No. 2 oils and gasoline, by deleting the word "once" in § 212.83(c)(1)(i). With respect to sales of these products by resellers and retailers, the elimination of the rule is effected by the revocation of § 212.93(b)(1)(iv) and by deletion of reference to the once-a-month rule in the provision concerning carry-forward of product cost increases in § 212.93(e).

In addition, the amendment adopted today requires revision of the price-reduction rule of § 212.93(c). Prior to today's amendment, paragraph (c) of § 212.93 was divided into two sub-paragraphs, one applicable to products other than No. 2 oils and gasoline (with respect to which no once-a-month rule applied) and one applicable to No. 2 oils and gasoline (with respect to which the once-a-month rule applied). The revised § 212.93(c) eliminates the separate and different treatment of these two categories for price-reduction purposes.

As revised, § 212.93(c) retains the following main elements of the section as previously written: (1) the section applies only to price increases above the weighted average price on May 15, 1973, (2) such increased prices must be reduced whenever the costs which support those increased prices are reduced, and (3) prices need not be reduced under this rule to the extent that unrecouped product cost increases carried forward under § 212.93(e) are available for and are used to "offset" the product cost decrease.

In addition, the revised section (1) incorporates distinctions between the treatment and calculation of product and non-product cost increases recently clarified in FEA Ruling 1975–14, and (2) clarifies the question of timing of a price decrease on a product by substituting for the words "whenever the costs of that product decrease" the words "as soon as any computation of increased product costs under § 212.92 (or, as appropriate, any computation of increased non-product costs under § 212.93(b)) reveals a net cost decrease, and the frequency of these computations shall be maintained in accordance with the customary accounting practices of the seller concerned."

The references in the revised § 212.93(c) to increases in non-product costs, "as appropriate," are intended to reflect the fact that, under Ruling 1975–14, certain larger marketers (particularly marketing outlets of refiners) which are readily able to calculate net operating cost increases on a per-gallon basis are expected to calculate their actual non-product cost increases and pass through only that amount of actual increases which does not exceed the maximum markup authorized under the FEA price regulations, while smaller marketers which cannot be expected accurately to compute non-product cost increases per gallon may generally be presumed to have incurred the maximum markup authorized and may pass through not more than that amount.

(Emergency Petroleum Allocation Act of 1973, Pub. L. 93–159, as amended by Pub. L. 93–511, Pub. L. 94–99, Pub. L. 94–133 and Pub. L. 94–163; Federal Energy Administration Act of 1974, Pub. L. 93–275; E.O. 11790, 39 FR 23185).

In consideration of the foregoing, Part 212 of Chapter II, Title 10 Code of Federal Regulations, is amended as set forth below, effective January 1, 1976.

Issued in Washington, D.C., December 31, 1975.

MICHAEL F. BUTLER,
General Counsel,
Federal Energy Administration.

§ 212.83 [Amended]

1. Section 212.83 is amended by deleting the word "once" in paragraph (c)(1)(i).

2. Section 212.93 is amended as follows:

(*a*) Paragraph (b)(1)(iv) is revoked;

(*b*) Paragraph (c) is revised to read as follows:

§ 212.93 Price rule.

* * * * *

(c) A seller which charges a price in excess of the weighted average price at which the product concerned was lawfully sold by that seller in transactions with the class of purchaser concerned on May 15, 1973, may continue to charge that price only as long as the product cost increases (and, as appropriate, the net increases in non-product costs) which have been incurred since May 15, 1973, and which support that price, continue to be incurred. Price reductions shall be made under this paragraph as soon as any computation of increased product costs under § 212.92 (or, as appropriate, any computation of increased non-product costs under § 212.93(b)) reveals a net cost decrease, and the frequency of these computations shall be maintained in accordance with the customary accounting practices of the seller concerned. However, to the extent that unrecovered increased product costs have been carried forward under paragraph (e) of this section and are available to justify continuation of a price no longer supported by increased product costs (or, as appropriate, by net increases in non-product costs), those unrecovered increased product costs may be used to justify continuation of that price.

* * * * *

(*c*) Paragraph (e) is amended by deleting the words, "provided that, with respect to No. 2 oils and gasoline, a seller complies with the provisions of paragraph (b) of this section and does not increase prices more than once in any calendar month".

[FR Doc.76–292 Filed 1–2–76;9:09 am]

Title 7—Agriculture

CHAPTER II—FOOD AND NUTRITION SERVICE, DEPARTMENT OF AGRICULTURE

PART 271—PARTICIPATION OF STATE AGENCIES AND ELIGIBLE HOUSEHOLDS

Food Stamp Programs

Correction

In FR Doc. 75–33102 appearing at page 57434 in the issue for Wednesday, December 10, 1975, in the table on "Monthly coupon allotments and purchase requirements—Alaska", the monthly purchase requirements for "$420 to 449.00" should read as follows:

Monthly coupon allotments and purchase requirements—Alaska

Monthly net income	For a household of—							
	1 person	2 persons	3 persons	4 persons	5 persons	6 persons	7 persons	8 persons
	The monthly coupon allotment is—							
	$68	$124	$176	$224	$266	$320	$352	$404
	And the monthly purchase requirement is—							
* * *	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
$420 to $449.99			$121	$122	$123	$124	$125	$126

CHAPTER II—FOOD AND NUTRITION SERVICE, DEPARTMENT OF AGRICULTURE

PART 271—PARTICIPATION OF STATE AGENCIES AND ELIGIBLE HOUSEHOLDS

Food Stamp Programs

Correction

In FR Doc. 75-33105 appearing at page 57437 in the issue for Wednesday, December 10, 1975, in the table on "Monthly coupon allotments and purchase requirements-Virgin Islands", the first set of figures immediately under "The monthly coupon allotment is—" beginning with "$68" and ending with "$404" should be deleted.

Title 12—Banks and Banking

CHAPTER II—FEDERAL RESERVE SYSTEM

[Reg. H]

PART 208—MEMBERSHIP OF STATE BANKING INSTITUTIONS IN THE FEDERAL RESERVE SYSTEM

Loans by State Member Banks in Flood-Prone Areas

The Board of Governors of the Federal Reserve System is amending Part 208 by adding a new sentence to § 208.8(e)(5), in order to implement the grace period provided in section 303 of the Emergency Housing Act of 1975 (Pub. L. No. 94-50) concerning certain real estate loans made by State member banks in identified flood hazard areas of communities that are not participating in the National Flood Insurance Program.

Section 303 amends section 202(b) of the Flood Disaster Protection Act of 1973 (42 U.S.C. et seq.) to permit until January 1, 1976, or one year from the date of a community's notification that it has flood prone areas, whichever is later, the making of certain mortgage loans and similar loans by federally chartered, supervised, or insured lending institutions for the purchase of previously occupied residential dwellings located in the identified flood hazard areas of communities that are not participating in the National Flood Insurance Program. A loan secured by improved real estate in an identified flood hazard area which is not made to finance the acquisition of a previously occupied residential dwelling remains subject to the prohibitions against the extension of surance Program, as originally prescribed by such loans in communities which are not participating in the National Flood Insurance Program by sections 201(d) and 202(b) of the Flood Disaster Protection Act of 1973. These sections provide a deadline for community participation of July 1, 1975, or one year from notification to a community by the Secretary of Housing and Urban Development that it is a community containing special flood hazards, whichever is later. Thus, if a community was notified on February 1, 1975, that it contains flood prone areas, it would have until February 1, 1976, to commence participation in the National Flood Insurance Program and a real estate loan made until the latter date would not be required to be accompanied by flood insurance, irrespective of whether the loan concerned was made to finance the acquisition of a previously occupied residential dwelling. On the other hand, a community notified on September 1, 1974, would be required under the original deadline to begin participation in the National Flood Insurance Program by September 1, 1975; but, under the amendment to the Flood Disaster Protection Act, loans secured by improved real estate located within special flood hazard areas of a community and made for the purpose of acquiring previously occupied residential dwellings would be permitted until January 1, 1976, even if the community does not participate in the program until such date. Also, it should be noted that all forms of federally related mortgage financial assistance, such as FHA and VA loans, fall under the provisions of section 202(a) of the Flood Disaster Protection Act with respect to community participation, and hence are not included within the scope of this amendment to Regulation H.

The Board is of the opinion that the term "previously occupied residential dwelling," as included in Regulation H, should be considered as any dwelling or dwelling unit intended for and used primarily for residential purposes, including an apartment occupied by a tenant or a lessee, a single family residence, a condominium, and a cooperative residence. Additionally, the Board is of the opinion that at the time a loan concerning such property is made, the dwelling or dwelling unit must either be then occupied as a residence or, if presently vacant, have been occupied as a residence by the owner or a tenant.

With regard to when a loan is "made" for the purposes of these provisions of Regulation H, the Board is of the view that the making of a loan occurs on the date that a member bank issues a bona fide unconditional commitment to make the loan in question. A commitment will be deemed unconditional if only standard conditions are contained therein. For example, where the deadline for community participation is January 1, 1976, and a commitment is issued on December 1, 1975, with closing and disbursement scheduled for January 15, 1976, the funds may be disbursed on January 15 even if the community is not participating in the National Flood Insurance Program.

The following amendment is intended to conform the provisions of existing regulations to the amendment to the Flood Disaster Protection Act of 1973 effected by the Emergency Housing Act of 1975:

(1) Effective immediately § 208.8(e)(5) shall be amended by adding a new sentence to the end thereof, as follows:

§ 208.8 Banking practices.

* * * * *

(e) * * *

* * * * *

(5) * * * *Provided*, That the prohibition contained in this section shall not apply to any loan made prior to January 1, 1976, if the loan is made to finance the acquisition of a previously occupied residential dwelling.

(2) The provisions of section 553 of Title V, United States Code, relating to notice, public participation and deferred effective date were not followed in connection with this amendment because the amendment merely clarifies Regulation H by implementing a statutory provision of the Emergency Housing Act of 1975 without significant exercise of administrative discretion or interprtation.

By order of the Board of Governors, December 30, 1975.

[SEAL] THEODORE E. ALLISON,
Secretary of the Board.

[FR Doc.76-335 Filed 1-6-76;8:45 am]

CHAPTER VI—FARM CREDIT ADMINISTRATION

PART 613—ELIGIBILITY AND SCOPE OF FINANCING

The Farm Credit Administration by its Federal Farm Credit Board adopts the following amendment to its regulations in order to conform them to the provisions of section (a) of Pub. L. 94-184, December 31, 1975. The amendment reduces the percentage of producer voting control of certain cooperatives to permit them to borrow from the banks for cooperatives. Because this amendment is necessary to permit compliance with the law, it is found that notice of proposed rule making provided for in 5 U.S.C. 553 is unnecessary, and any delay in its effective date is not in the public interest. Therefore, the amendment shall be effective on and after December 31, 1975.

Chapter VI of Title 12 of the Code of Federal Regulations is amended by revising § 613.3110(a) to read as follows:

§ 613.3110. Eligibility.

* * * * *

(a) At least 80 percent (70 percent in the case of rural electric, telephone, and public utility cooperatives) of the voting control, or such higher percent applied uniformly and consistently to all applicants and borrowers in the district as may be established by resolution of the bank board shall be held by farmers or ranchers, or producers or harvesters of aquatic products, who are eligible under § 613.3020 or § 613.3030, or by eligible cooperatives.

* * * * *

W. M. HARDING,
Governor, Farm Credit Administration.

[FR Doc.76-338 Filed 1-6-76;8:45 am]

Title 14—Aeronautics and Space

CHAPTER I— FEDERAL AVIATION ADMINISTRATION, DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION

[Docket No. 14847; Amdt. 39-2490]

PART 39—AIRWORTHINESS DIRECTIVES

Pilatus Aircraft Ltd. and Fairchild Hiller Model PC-6 Airplanes

A proposal to amend Part 39 of the Federal Aviation Regulations to include an airworthiness directive requiring repetitive inspections and repair, as necessary, and reinforcement of the elevator attachment brackets of Pilatus Model PC-6 airplanes was published in the FEDERAL REGISTER on August 1, 1975 (40 FR 32342).

Interested persons have been afforded an opportunity to participate in the making of the amendment. No objections were received.

This amendment is made under the authority of sections 313(a), 601, and 603 of the Federal Aviation Act of 1958 (49 U.S.C. 1354(a), 1421, and 1423) and of section 6(c) of the Department of Transportation Act (49 U.S.C. 1655(c)).

In consideration of the foregoing, and pursuant to the authority delegated to me by the Administrator (14 CFR 11.89), § 39.13 of Part 39 of the Federal Aviation Regulations is amended by adding the following new airworthiness directive:

PILATUS AIRCRAFT LTD. Applies to Pilatus Model PC-6 airplanes (all variants) manufactured by Pilatus Aircraft Ltd. serial numbers 667 and subsequent and serial numbers 2001 through 2047 inclusive manufactured by Fairchild Hiller.

Compliance is required as indicated.

To prevent a possible fatigue failure of the elevator attachment brackets on the inboard ends of the right and left elevator surfaces, accomplish the following:

(a) Within the next 25 hours' time in service after the effective date of this AD unless already accomplished within the last 25 hours' time in service and thereafter at intervals not to exceed 50 hours' time in service since the last inspection, visually inspect both the left and right brackets, P/N 6300.-0011.00, of the welded attachment assembly for cracks in the flanges using a glass of at least 10 power in accordance with paragraph 2.1 of Pilatus Aircraft Ltd. Service Bulletin No. 107, dated May 1971, or an FAA-approved equivalent.

(b) If cracks are found, before further flight, repair in accordance with paragraph 2.1 of Pilatus Aircraft Ltd. Service Bulletin No. 107, dated May 1971, or an FAA-approved equivalent.

(c) Within the next 200 hours' time in service after the effective date of this AD, install reinforcement plates, P/Ns 6002.6993.-51 and 6002.6993.52, unless already accomplished, in accordance with paragraph 2.2 of Pilatus Aircraft Ltd. Service Bulletin No. 107, dated May 1971, or an FAA-approved equivalent.

(d) The repetitive inspections required by paragraph (a) of this AD may be discontinued after all cracks have been repaired and reinforcement plates have been installed in accordance with paragraph (c) of the AD. (Fairchild Hiller Service Bulletin PC-6-55-3 pertains to this same subject.)

This amendment becomes effective on February 6, 1976.

Issued in Washington, D.C., on December 30, 1975.

R. P. SKULLY,
Director, Flight Standards Service.

[FR Doc.76-384 Filed 1-6-76;8:45 am]

[Docket No. 15285; Amdt. No. 1002]

PART 97—STANDARD INSTRUMENT APPROACH PROCEDURES

Recent Changes and Additions

This amendment to Part 97 of the Federal Aviation Regulations incorporates by reference therein changes and additions to the Standard Instrument Approach Procedures (SIAPs) that were recently adopted by the Administrator to promote safety at the airports concerned.

The complete SIAPs for the changes and additions covered by this amendment are described in FAA Forms 8260-3, 8260-4, or 8260-5 and made a part of the public rule making dockets of the FAA in accordance with the procedures set forth in Amendment No. 97-696 (35 FR 5609).

SIAPs are available for examination at the Rules Docket and at the National Flight Data Center, Federal Aviation Administration, 800 Independence Avenue SW., Washington, D.C. 20591. Copies of SIAPs adopted in a particular region are also available for examination at the headquarters of that region. Individual copies of SIAPs may be purchased from the FAA Public Information Center, AIS-230, 800 Independence Avenue SW., Washington, D.C. 20591, or from the applicable FAA regional office in accordance with the fee schedule prescribed in 49 CFR 7.85. This fee is payable in advance and may be paid by check, draft, or postal money order payable to the Treasurer of the United States. A weekly transmittal of all SIAP changes and additions may be obtained by subscription at an annual rate of $150.00 per annum from the Superintendent of Documents, U.S. Government Printing Office, Washington, D.C. 20402. Additional copies mailed to the same address may be ordered for $30.00 each.

Since a situation exists that requires immediate adoption of this amendment, I find that further notice and public procedure hereon is impracticable and good cause exists for making it effective in less than 30 days.

In consideration of the foregoing, Part 97 of the Federal Aviation Regulations is amended as follows, effective on the dates specified:

1. Section 97.25 is amended by originating, amending, or cancelling the following SDF-LOC-LDA SIAPs, effective February 19, 1976.

Columbus-West Point-Starkville, MS—Golden Triangle Regional Arpt., LOC(BC)/DME Rwy 36, Amdt. 1

* * * effective January 29, 1976

Aniak, AK—Aniak Arpt., LOC/DME-A, Original
Dillingham, AK—Dillingham Arpt., LOC/DME Rwy 19, Original

2. Section 97.27 is amended by originating, amending or cancelling the following NDB/ADF SIAPs, effective February 19, 1976.

Kenansville, NC—Duplin County Arpt., NDB Rwy 22, Amdt. 1
Tampa, FL—Tampa Int'l Arpt., NDE Rwy 18L, Amdt. 30
Wakefield, VA—Wakefield Muni. Arpt., NDB Rwy 20, Amdt. 1

* * * effective January 22, 1976

San Antonio, TX—San Antonio Int'l Arpt., NDB Rwy 21L, Original

3. Section 97.29 is amended by originating, amending, or cancelling the following ILS SIAPs, effective February 19, 1976.

Tampa, FL—Tampa Int'l Arpt., ILS Rwy 18L, Amdt. 31

* * * effective January 29, 1976

Jackson, WY—Jackson Hole Arpt., ILS Rwy 18, Original

* * * effective January 22, 1976

Poughkeepsie, NY—Dutchess County Arpt., ILS Rwy 6, Original

* * * effective December 24, 1975

Buffalo, NY—Greater Buffalo Int'l Arpt., ILS Rwy 5, Amdt. 10

These amendments are made effective under the authority of Secs. 307, 313, 601, 1110, Federal Aviation Act of 1958; 49 U.S.C. 1438, 1354, 1421, 1510, and Sec. 6(c) Department of Transportation Act, 49 U.S.C. 1655(c)

Issued in Washington, D.C., on December 31, 1975.

JAMES M. VINES,
Chief, Aircraft Programs Division.

NOTE.—Incorporation by reference provisions in §§ 97.10 and 97.20 approved by the Director of the Federal Register on May 12, 1969, (35 FR 5610).

[FR Doc.76-385 Filed 1-6-76;8:45 am]

CHAPTER II—CIVIL AERONAUTICS BOARD

[Regulation ER-943, Amdt. 47]

PART 288—EXEMPTION OF AIR CARRIERS FOR MILITARY TRANSPORTATION

Amendment of Part

Adopted by the Civil Aeronautics Board at its office in Washington, D.C., December 31, 1975.

In accordance with established procedure and methodology, the Board, having completed its quarterly review of fuel prices for foreign and overseas MAC air transportation services as of December 1, 1975, is herein amending the surcharge provisions in Part 288 of its Economic Regulations (14 CFR Part 288) applicable to the rates established for those services.[1]

Appendices A and B set forth the results of our computations of reported fuel price changes for commercial and military fuels as at December 1, 1975, based upon the application of the "active stations" methodology to the fuel consumption reported for the quarter ended September 30, 1975; and the rate impact for the changes in current average fuel prices from that reflected in the base rates. Accordingly, we will revise the fuel surcharge rates effective January 1, 1976, as follows: (a) increase the long-range Category B and Category A rate from 3.45 to 3.58 percent; (b) maintain the Pacific interisland short-range Category B rate at 5.81 percent; and, (c) maintain the "all other" short-range Category B rate at 2.42 percent.

In view of the continuing need for a fuel surcharge to the minimum rates set forth in Part 288, we find good cause exists to make the within amendments effective on less than thirty (30) days' notice.

In consideration of the foregoing, the Board hereby amends Part 288 of its Economic Regulations (14 CFR Part 288) effective January 1, 1976, as follows:

1. Amend § 288.7(a) by amending the third proviso following the table to read as follows:

§ 288.7 Reasonable level of compensation.

* * * * *

(a) * * *: *Provided, however,* That effective January 1, 1976, the total minimum compensation pursuant to the rates set forth in subparagraph (1) above for (i) services performed with regular jet, wide-bodied jet, and DC-8F-61/63 aircraft, (ii) Pacific interisland services performed with B-727 aircraft, and (iii) all other services performed with B-727 aircraft shall be increased by surcharges of 3.58 percent, 5.81 percent, and 2.42 percent, respectively.[2]

2. Amend § 288.7(d) by amending the proviso to subparagraphs (1) and (2) to read as follows:

§ 288.7 Reasonable level of compensation.

* * * * *

(d) * * *

* * * * *

Provided, That effective January 1, 1976, the total minimum compensation pursuant to the rates specified in subparagraphs (1) and (2) of this paragraph shall be increased by a surcharge of 3.58 percent.

* * * * *

(Secs. 204, 403 and 416 of the Federal Aviation Act of 1958, as amended; 72 Stat. 743, 758 and 771, as amended; 49 USC 1324, 1373 and 1386)

By the Civil Aeronautics Board:

[SEAL] EDWIN Z. HOLLAND, *Secretary.*

[1] ER-896, effective January 17, 1975.

[2] The surcharge provisions for services performed with B-727 aircraft will be applied to all other common-rated aircraft types.

APPENDIX A.—*MAC international operations impact of current fuel prices on final rates based on prices effective as at Dec. 1, 1975*

Carriers	Gallons purchased quarter ended Sept. 30, 1975 (in thousands)			Price (cents per gallon) Dec. 1, 1975			Current average price (cents per gallon)	Base rate price per gallon [2]	Price increase/ (decrease) current base (percent)	Price impact on total economic cost (percent) [3]	Weighted impact on final rates (percent) [4]
	JP-4	JP-5	Commercial	JP-4	JP-5	Commercial [1]					
Long-range:											
Airlift	939	44	1,252	42.30	40.80	39.86	40.91	40.66	0.61	0.25	0.006
Capitol	292	110	398	42.30	40.80	44.47	43.17	38.79	11.29	3.98	.148
Flying Tiger	1,997	50	2,528	42.30	40.80	36.14	38.88	34.66	12.18	3.77	.622
Northwest	2,386	240	1,446	42.30	40.80	33.52	39.09	34.51	13.27	4.16	.544
Overseas	3,174	----------	2,367	42.30	40.80	39.41	41.06	40.75	.76	.31	.018
Pan American	2,461	----------	2,742	42.30	40.80	40.86	39.42	36.89	6.86	1.98	.479
Seaboard	506	6	1,745	42.30	40.80	40.34	40.78	33.86	20.44	7.69	.942
Trans International	1,287	38	2,574	42.30	40.80	36.22	38.27	34.28	11.64	3.77	.435
World	1,530	33	2,207	42.30	40.80	36.73	39.03	34.78	12.22	3.77	.385
Total	14,572	521	17,259	----------			37.45	39.69	36.88	7.62	2.76	3.579
Short-range:											
Pacific interisland:											
World	1,777	7	63	42.30	40.80	46.99	42.45	35.72	18.84	5.81	5.81
All other:											
Eastern	333	----------	93	42.30	40.80	33.18	40.30	37.66	7.01	2.42	2.42

[1] See app. B.
[2] See ER-896, app. Q.
[3] Product of increase or (decrease) for current price, from base price, multiplied by the ratio of fuel costs to total economic costs. See ER-896, app. R.
[4] To reflect the weighting applied to individual carrier unit cost in computing ER-896 final base rates (individual carrier's percentage of group's fiscal year 1975 fixed-buy revenues).
[5] While no fixed-buy contracts were awarded for fiscal year 1976, we are retaining latest available fuel surcharge computation so as to provide a rate for Pacific interisland services.

NOTE.—Totals may not add due to rounding.

APPENDIX B.—*MAC international operations, average fuel price computation for commercial fuel price changes[1] based on reported fuel data—quarter ended Sept. 30, 1975*

Carriers	Currently active stations					All stations in MAC services quarter ended Sept. 30, 1975			
	Reported for quarter ended Sept. 30, 1975			Dec. 1, 1975[2]					
	Gallons	Cost	Average price (cents per gallon)	Average price (cents per gallon)	Increase or decrease (percent)	Reported cost	Adjusted cost[3]	Reported gallons	Adjusted average price (cents per gallon)
(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)	(7)	(8)	(9)	(10)
Long-range:									
Airlift	1,170,619	$478,402	40.87	39.08	(4.38)	$521,871	$499,013	1,251,818	39.86
Capitol	397,841	173,094	43.51	44.47	2.22	173,094	176,934	397,841	44.47
Flying Tiger	2,524,272	891,304	35.31	36.13	2.31	892,918	913,544	2,527,600	36.14
Northwest	1,390,761	454,873	32.71	33.27	1.71	476,722	484,874	1,446,230	33.52
Overseas	2,241,608	724,597	32.32	39.14	21.09	770,485	932,980	2,367,478	39.41
Pan American	2,708,143	967,369	35.72	36.77	2.93	981,293	1,010,045	2,741,905	40.86
Seaboard	1,712,750	676,626	39.51	40.21	1.79	691,486	703,864	1,744,846	40.34
Trans International	2,334,625	818,645	35.07	36.15	3.00	904,552	932,503	2,574,334	36.22
World	2,152,354	740,828	34.42	36.49	6.00	764,661	810,541	2,206,790	36.73
Total	16,632,973	5,925,738	35.63	37.28	4.63	6,177,082	6,464,298	17,258,942	37.45
Short-range:									
Pacific interisland:									
World[4]	49,591	23,663	47.72	47.72		29,454	29,454	62,685	46.99
All other:									
Eastern	84,900	27,210	32.05	33.48	4.47	29,642	30,967	93,319	33.18

[1] As of Dec. 1, 1975.
[2] Reflecting latest price reported as at Dec. 1, 1975.
[3] Col. 6 plus 100 pct times col. 7.
[4] Ibid. footnote 5, app. A.

[FR Doc.76-281 Filed 1-6-76;8:45 am]

Title 17—Commodity and Securities Exchanges

CHAPTER II—SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION

[Release 33-5662]

PART 230— GENERAL RULES AND REGULATIONS, SECURITIES ACT OF 1933

Amendment Requiring Notification to State Authorities of Offerings of Fractional Undivided Interests in Oil or Gas Rights, and Statement Regarding Selling Practices for Those Offerings

AMENDMENT TO RULE 310

The Commission today adopted an amendment to Rule 310 (17 CFR 230.310) under Regulation B (17 CFR 230.300–230.346) under the Securities Act of 1933 ("Act") which requires the furnishing of satisfactory assurance to the Commission that the relevant state securities administrators have been notified of a proposed offering pursuant to Regulation B. This amendment had been proposed for comment on July 10, 1975 (Release No. 33-5595) [40 FR 30844].

Several commentators expressed the need for clarification regarding how the notification and assurance should be given and what must be done if the offeror subsequently decides to offer in a different state. The Commission believes that the notification should consist of a written statement setting forth the information specified in Rule 318 (a) (1)–(6) (17 CFR 230.318(a) (1)–(6)) and the date the offering sheet was or will be filed with the Commission. Accordingly, a written representation, which lists the states so notified, furnished in a letter to the staff will serve as satisfactory assurance. The Commission realizes that some states may not require a filing in connection with the offering, but all states involved in the offering must be notified. If the offeror subsequently decides to offer in a state not included on the list, the offeror prior to offering in the state must notify the state administrator involved and then by letter inform the staff of the change. Notes have been added to the rule setting forth what is expected and alerting offerors to the fact that intentional misstatements or omissions constitute Federal criminal violations under 18 U.S.C. § 1001. Statement Regarding Selling Practices.

The Commission has become aware of allegations that certain offerors under Regulation B have been engaging in high pressure sales campaigns. The Commission is concerned about these allegations and takes this opportunity to caution offerors regarding their responsibilities under the federal securities laws.

On numerous occasions the Commission has condemned the sale of securities through the use of high-pressure sales techniques including long distance telephone and interstate mailing sales campaigns to solicit customers with whom the salesmen were previously unacquainted, the solicitation of the same customers by more than one salesman and the recurring use of fraudulent representations and predictions. Boiler-room sales campaigns of this type usually involve a scheme to defraud or represent transactions or a course of business which may operate as a fraud or deceit upon investors.

Offerors of fractional undivided interests in oil or gas rights and their representatives must have reasonable justifications for the representations made. In this regard, it should be noted that Rule 322 under Regulation B prohibits any person from representing that "the Commission has in any way passed upon the merits of, or given its approval to, the securities offered or the terms of the offering or has determined that the securities are exempt from registration, or has made any finding that the statements in any such offering sheet or other communication are accurate or complete."

RULE 306

The Commission has also taken this opportunity to emphasize the significance of Rule 306(a) (ii). In part, Rule 306(a) (ii) states that the exemption provided by Regulation B is unavailable if the offeror or any of its officers, directors, predecessors or affiliates, as a result of "any civil, criminal or governmental or self-regulatory administrative proceeding, or examination commenced after January 1, 1973," is subject to "any order, judgment or decree of any court of competent jurisdiction" which restrains or enjoins the offeror from "engaging in or continuing any conduct or practice in connection with the purchase or sale or any security" or which arises out of "such person's conduct as an underwriter, broker, dealer or investment adviser." This is a broad limitation on the availability of Regulation B that includes state court injunctions resulting from violations of state securities laws.

Accordingly, Regulation B would be unavailable to any offeror, for example, if it or any of its officers, directors, predecessors or affiliates has been enjoined by a state court from violating the state's securities laws in connection with the offer or sale of fractional undivided interests in oil or gas rights. It should be noted, however, that Rule 306(c) provides that the unavailability of the Regulation B exemption may be waived "if the Commission determines, upon filing of an application and showing of good cause, that it is not necessary in the public interest and for the protection of investors that the exemption be denied."

The Commission hereby amends Rule 310 pursuant to Section 3(b) and 19(a) of the Act, as amended. The effective date of this action is February 10, 1976. The text of the amendment is attached hereto.

By the Commission.

[SEAL] GEORGE A. FITZSIMMONS,
Secretary.

DECEMBER 30, 1975.

Rule 310 is amended. Section 230.310 (a) is revised as follows:

§ 230.310 Filing and use of the offering sheet.

(a) At least 10 days prior to the commencement of the offering of any securi-

ties under this Regulation B, four copies of an offering sheet containing the information specified in Rule 326 shall be filed with the Commission by or on behalf of the offeror of the interests. At the time of filing the offering sheet, the applicant shall pay to the Commission a fee of $100, no part of which shall be refunded. Unless amended, the offering sheet shall become effective and an offering may commence on the 11th day following such filing with the Commission, provided that the Commission has received satisfactory assurance that the offeror or someone acting on its behalf has furnished a notification of the proposed offering to the state securities administrator of each state in which the interests are proposed to be offered. The Commission may, however, in its discretion, authorize the commencement of the offering prior to the expiration of such 10-day period.

NOTE 1.—The notification to the relevant states shall consist of a written statement setting forth the information specified in Rule 318(a) (1)–(6) and the date the offering sheet was or will be filed with the Commission. A written representation listing the states so notified shall be furnished in a letter to the staff. If the offeror subsequently decides to offer in a state not on the list, the offeror prior to offering in the state shall notify the state administrator involved and then by letter inform the staff of the change.

NOTE 2.—Intentional misstatements or omissions of facts in the letter to the staff constitute Federal criminal violations. (See 18 U.S.C. § 1001).

* * * * *

(Secs. 3(b), 19(a), 48 Stat. 75, 85; sec. 209, 48 Stat. 908; 59 Stat. 167; 84 Stat. 1480; 15 U.S.C. 77c(b), 77s(a))

[FR Doc. 76-336 Filed 1-6-76;8:45 am]

Title 19—Customs Duties

CHAPTER I—UNITED STATES CUSTOMS SERVICE, DEPARTMENT OF THE TREASURY

[TD 76-7]

PART 159—LIQUIDATION OF DUTIES

Countervailing Duties—Carbon Steel Plate and High Strength Steel Plate From Mexico

On July 3, 1975, a "Notice of Preliminary Countervailing Duty Determination" was published in the FEDERAL REGISTER (40 FR 28103). The notice stated that on the basis of an investigation conducted pursuant to section 159.47 (c), Customs Regulations (19 CFR 159.47 (c)), a preliminary determination was made that certain benefits have been received by the Mexican manufacturer/exporter of steel plate in the form of reduced freight rates for shipments destined to border areas.. The benefits derived from this program, however, were determined at that time to be so insignificant as to constitute a *de minimis* amount. The investigation also revealed that the steel exporter did not take advantage of preferential financing, that the 5% *ad valorem* rebate given to exporters of steel plate was determined to have constituted the rebate of indirect taxes which are directly related to the product and therefore not countervailable. Finally, it was determined that the exemption from earnings for corporate income tax purposes of the refunds of the above-mentioned taxes did not constitute the payment or bestowal of a bounty or grant. Accordingly, it was determined preliminarily that no bounties or grants were being paid or bestowed on imported carbon steel and high strength steel plate from Mexico.

The notice also stated that before a final determination would be made in this proceeding, consideration would be given to any relevant data, views, or arguments submitted in writing within 30 days from the date of the notice with respect to the preliminary determination. The 30-day period for the submission of views was extended to 60 days by notice published in the FEDERAL REGISTER of August 15, 1975 (40 FR 34423).

Since the preliminary determination, a further analysis has shown that the freight rate rebate provided to Mexican steel manufacturers who ship to geographical zones along border areas is an amount which is considered to be more than *de minimis* and therefore a bounty or grant within the meaning of the Countervailing Duty Law.

After consideration of all information received, it has been determined that exports of carbon steel and high strength steel plate from Mexico are subject to bounties or grants within the meaning of section 303 of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended (19 U.S.C. 1303).

Accordingly notice is hereby given that carbon steel and high strength steel plate imported directly or indirectly from Mexico, if entered, or withdrawn from warehouse, for consumption on or after the date of publication in the FEDERAL REGISTER, will be subject to payment of countervailing duties equal to the net amount of any bounty or grant determined or estimated to have been paid or bestowed.

In accordance with section 303, the net amount of the bounties or grants has been ascertained and determined, or estimated to be 76¢ per ton. To the extent that it has been or can be established to the satisfaction of the Commissioner of Customs that imports of carbon steel and high strength steel plate from Mexico are subject to a bounty or grant in an amount other than that applicable under the above declaration, the amount so established shall be assessed and collected on imports of such carbon steel and high strength steel plate.

Effective on or after January 7, 1976 and until further notice, upon the entry for consumption or withdrawal from warehouse for consumption of such dutiable carbon steel and high strength steel plate imported directly from Mexico, which benefit from these bounties or grants, there shall be collected, in addition to any other duties estimated or determined to be due, countervailing duties in the amount ascertained in accordance with the above declaration.

The liquidation of all entries for consumption or withdrawal from warehouse for consumption of such dutiable carbon steel and high strength steel plate imported directly from Mexico which benefit from these bounties or grants and are subject to this order, shall be suspended pending declarations of the net amounts of the bounties or grants paid.

Notwithstanding the above, a notice of "Waiver of Countervailing Duties" is being published concurrently with this order in accordance with section 303(d) of the Tariff Act of 1930 (19 U.S.C. 1303 (d)). At such time as the waiver ceases to be effective, in whole or in part, a notice will be published setting forth the deposit of estimated countervailing duties which will be required at the time of entry, or withdrawal from warehouse, for consumption of each product then subject to the payment of countervailing duties.

§ 159.47 [Amended]

The table in section 159.47(f) of the Customs Regulations (19 CFR 159.47(f)) is amended by inserting in the column headed "Country", the name Mexico. The column headed "Commodity" is amended by inserting the words "carbon steel and high strength steel plate". The column headed "Treasury Decision" is amended by inserting the number of this Treasury Decision, and the words "Bounty Declared—Rate" in the column headed "Action".

(R.S. 251, secs. 303, as amended, 624; 46 Stat. 687, 759, 88 Stat. 2050; 19 U.S.C. 66, 1303, as amended, 1624)

ROLAND RAYMOND,
Acting Commissioner of Customs.

Approved: December 30, 1975.

DAVID R. MACDONALD,
Assistant Secretary of the Treasury.

[FR Doc.76-324 Filed 1-6-76;8:45 am]

[TD 76-8]

PART 159—LIQUIDATION OF DUTIES

Waiver of Countervailing Duties—Carbon Steel and High Strength Steel Plate From Mexico

In TD 76-7, published concurrently with this determination, it has been determined that bounties or grants within the meaning of section 303 of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended (19 U.S.C. 1303) are being paid or bestowed, directly or indirectly, upon the manufacture, production, or exportation of carbon steel and high strength steel plate from Mexico.

Section 303(d) of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended by the Trade Act of 1974 (Pub. L. 93-618, January 3, 1975), authorizes the Secretary of the Treasury to waive the imposition of countervailing duties during the four-year period beginning on the date of enactment of the Trade Act of 1974 if he determines that:

(1) adequate steps have been taken to reduce substantially or eliminate during such period the adverse effect of a bounty or grant which he has determined is being paid or bestowed with respect to any article or merchandise;

(2) there is a reasonable prospect that, under section 102 of the Trade Act of 1974, successful trade agreements will be entered into with foreign countries or instrumentalities providing for the reduction or elimination of barriers to or other distortions of international trade; and

(3) the imposition of the additional duty under this section with respect to

such article or merchandise would be likely to seriously jeopardize the satisfactory completion of such negotiations.

Based upon analysis of all the relevant factors and after consultation with interested agencies, I have concluded that steps have been taken to reduce substantially the adverse effects, or potential adverse effects, of the bounty or grant so long as the following conditions exist with respect to the system of Mexican freight rates to border regions:

(1) The 25 percent reduction from normal rates applicable to steel plate will not be increased; and

(2) The program will not be modified so as to increase the benefits conferred on these exports relative to those bestowed on products for domestic consumption.

After consulting with appropriate agencies, I have concluded (1) that there is a reasonable prospect that, under section 102 of the Trade Act of 1974, successful trade agreements will be entered into with foreign countries or instrumentalities providing for the reduction or elimination of barriers to or other distortions of international trade; and (2) that the imposition of countervailing duties on carbon steel and high strength steel plate from Mexico would be likely to seriously jeopardize the satisfactory completion of such negotiations.

Accordingly, pursuant to section 303 (d) of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended (19 U.S.C. 1303(d)), I hereby waive the imposition of countervailing duties as well as the suspension of liquidation ordered in TD 76–7 on carbon steel and high strength steel plate from Mexico.

This determinaiton may be revoked, in whole or in part, at any time and shall be revoked whenever the basis supporting such determination no longer exists. Unless sooner revoked or made subject to a resolution of disapproval adopted by either House of the Congress of the United States pursuant to section 303(e) of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended (19 U.S.C. 1303(e)), this waiver of countervailing duties will in any event, by statute, cease to have force and effect on January 4, 1979.

On or after the date of publication in the FEDERAL REGISTER of a notice revoking this determination in whole or in part, the day after the date of adoption by either House of the Congress of a resolution disapproving this "Waiver of Countervailing Duties", or January 4, 1979, whichever occurs first, countervailing duties will be assessable on carbon steel and high strength steel plate imported directly or indirectly from Mexico in accordance with TD 76–7, published concurrently with this determination.

§ 159.47 [Amended]

The table in section 159.47(f) of the Customs Regulations (19 CFR 159.47(f)) is amended by inserting after the last entry from Mexico under the commodity heading "Carbon Steel and High Strength Steel Plate", the number of this Treasury Decision in the column heading "Treasury Decision", and the words "imposition of countervailing duties waived" in the column headed "Action".

(R.S. 251, secs. 303, as amended, 624; 46 Stat. 687, 759, 88 Stat. 2051, 2052; 19 U.S.C. 66, 1303, as amended, 1624)

DAVID R. MACDONALD,
Assistant Secretary of the Treasury.

DECEMBER 30, 1975.

[FR Doc.76-325 Filed 1-6-76;8:45 am]

[TD 76-9]

PART 159—LIQUIDATION OF DUTIES

Countervailing Duties—Float Glass From Italy

On July 3, 1975, a notice of "Preliminary Countervailing Duty Determination" was published in the Federal Register (40 FR 28105). The notice stated that it had been preliminarily determined that payments are being made, directly or indirectly, upon the manufacture, production or exportation of float glass from Italy, which constitute a bounty or grant within the meaning of section 303 of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended (19 U.S.C. 1303). Interested parties were provided with 30 days from the date of publication to submit relevant data, views, or arguments in writing with respect to the preliminary determination. An amendment to the notice was subsequently published in the Federal Register on August 15, 1975 (40 FR 34423) extending the time period to 60 days.

After consideration of all information received, it has been determined that imports of float glass from Societa Italiana Vetro, S.p.A. and Fabbrica Pisana, S.p.A. benefit from the payment or bestowal of bounties or grants within the meaning of section 303 of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended (19 U.S.C. 1303) by reason of various incentive programs including investment grants, special tax reductions, low-interest rate financing and the reduction of the contribution to state welfare organizations by the float glass manufacturers. It aso has been determined that float glass produced by Verrera di Vernante, S.p.A. does not benefit from the payment or bestowal of bounties or grants. Accordingly, notice is hereby given that float glass imported directly or indirectly from Italy produced by Societa 'Italiana Vetro, S.p.A. (SIV) and Fabbrica Pisana, S.p.A. (Pisana), entered or withdrawn from warehouse for consumption on or after January 7, 1976, will be subject to payment of countervailing duties equal to the net amount of any bounty or grant determined or estimated to have been paid or bestowed. This determination is based on the best information available, since the three firms named above have declined to provide any detailed information regarding the benefits they have received under these programs.

In accordance with section 303, until further notice the net amount of such bounties or grants under the information presently available has been estimated to be 10% *ad valorem* for float glass produced by Societa 'Italiana Vetro, S.p.A. and Fabbrica Pisana, S.p.A. Declarations of the net amount of the bounties or grants ascertained and determined, or estimated, to have been paid, directly or indirectly, upon the manufacture, production, or exportation of float glass from Italy manufactured by SIV and Pisana will be published subsequently in the FEDERAL REGISTER.

Effective on January 7, 1976, and until further notice, upon entry for consumption or withdrawal from warehouse for consumption of such dutiable float glass from Italy manufactured by SIV and Pisana imported directly or indirectly from Italy which benefits from such bounties or grants, there shall be collected, in addition to any other duties estimated or determined to be due, countervailing duties in the amount ascertained in accordance with the above declaration.

The liquidation of all entries for consumption or withdrawals from warehouse for consumption of such dutiable float glass from Italy manufactured by SIV and Pisana imported directly or indirectly from Italy which benefits from such bounties or grants and is subject to the order shall be suspended pending declarations of the net amounts of the bounties or grants paid or bestowed. A deposit of the estimated countervailing duty, in the amount of 10% *ad valorem* for float glass from Italy manufactured by SIV and Pisana shall be required at the time of entry for consumption or withdrawal from warehouse for consumption.

Any merchandise subject to the terms of this order shall be deemed to have benefited from a bounty or grant if such bounty or grant has been or will be paid, credited or bestowed directly or indirectly, upon the manufacture, production, or exportation of such float glass from Italy.

§ 159.47 [Amended]

The table in section 159.47(f) of the Customs Regulations (19 CFR 159.47(f)) is amended by inserting after the last entry for Italy "Float Glass manufactured by Societa 'Italiana Vetro, S.p.A. and Fabbrica Pisana, S.p.A." in the column headed "Commodity". The column headed "Treasury Decision" is amended by inserting directly opposite the word Italy, the number of this Treasury Decision and thereafter in the column headed "Action" the words "Bounty Declared-Rate".

(R.S. 251, secs. 303, as amended 624; 46 Stat. 687, 759, 88 Stat. 2050; 19 U.S.C. 66, 1303 as amended, 1624)

ROLAND RAYMOND,
Acting Commissioner of Customs.

Approved: December 30, 1975.

DAVID R. MACDONALD,
Assistant Secretary of the Treasury.

[FR Doc.76-326 Filed 1-6-76;8:45 am]

[TD 76-10]

PART 159—LIQUIDATION OF DUTIES

Countervailing Duties—Cheese from Austria

On May 20, 1975, a "Notice of Preliminary Countervailing Duty Determination" was published in the FEDERAL

REGISTER (40 FR 22007). The notice stated that it had been tentatively determined that payments are being made, directly or indirectly, upon the manufacture, production or exportation of certain cheeses from Austria, which constitute a bounty or grant within the meaning of section 303 of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended (19 U.S.C. 1303). The notice provided interested parties 30 days from the date of publication to submit relevant data, views, or arguments in writing with respect to the preliminary determination.

After consideration of all information received, it has been determined that exports of certain cheeses from Austria are subject to bounties or grants within the meaning of section 303 of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended (19 U.S.C. 1303).

Accordingly, notice is hereby given that cheese from Austria imported directly or indirectly from Austria, if entered, or withdrawn from warehouse, for consumption on or after January 7, 1976, will be subject to payment of countervailing duties equal to the net amount of any bounty or grant determined or estimated to have been paid or bestowed.

In the case of hard cheese exports, the bounty or grant would be equal to the direct export payment established from time to time by government-industry officials. For soft cheese exports, whose prices are determined from time to time by government-industry officials, the bounty or grant would be equal to the difference between the domestic wholesale price and the established export price, whenever the latter is lower.

Effective on or after January 7, 1976, and until further notice, upon the entry for consumption or withdrawal from warehouse for consumption of such dutiable cheese imported directly or indirectly from Austria, which benefit from these bounties or grants, there shall be collected, in addition to any other duties estimated or determined to be due, countervailing duties in the amount ascertained in accordance with the above declaration.

The liquidation of all entries for consumption or withdrawal from warehouse for consumption of such dutiable cheese imported directly or indirectly from Austria, which benefit from these bounties or grants, and are subject to this order, shall be suspended pending declarations of the net amounts of the bounties or grants paid.

Notwithstanding the above, a notice of "Waiver of Countervailing Duties" is being published concurrently with this order in accordance with section 303(d) of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended (19 U.S.C. 1303(d)). At such time as the waiver ceases to be effective, in whole or in part, a notice will be published setting forth the deposit of estimated countervailing duties which will be required at the time of entry, or withdrawal from warehouse, for consumption of each product then subject to the payment of countervailing duties.

§ 159.47 [Amended]

The table in section 159.47(f) of the Customs Regulations (19 CFR 159.47(f)) is amended by inserting in the column headed "Commodity", the word "Cheese" after the last entry for Austria. The column headed "Treasury Decision" is amended by inserting the number of this Treasury Decision, and the column headed "Action" is amended by inserting the words "Bounty Declared-Rate".

(R.S. 251, secs. 303, as amended, 624; 46 Stat. 687, 759, 88 Stat. 2050; 19 U.S.C. 66, 1303, as amended, 1624)

VERNON D. ACREE,
Commissioner of Customs.

Approved: December 22, 1975.

DAVID R. MACDONALD,
Assistant Secretary of the Treasury.

[FR Doc.76-327 Filed 1-6-76;8:45 am]

[TD 76-11]

PART 159—LIQUIDATION OF DUTIES

Waiver of Countervailing Duties—Cheese from Austria

DECEMBER 4, 1975.

In TD 76-10, published concurrently with this determination, it has been determined that bounties or grants within the meaning of section 303 of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended, (19 U.S.C. 1303), are being paid or bestowed, directly or indirectly, upon the manufacture, production, or exportation of cheese from Austria.

Section 303(d) of the Tariff Act of 1930, as added by the Trade Act of 1974 (Pub. L. 93-618, January 3, 1975), authorizes the Secretary of the Treasury to waive the imposition of countervailing duties during the 4-year period beginning on the date of enactment of the Trade Act of 1974 if he determines that:

(1) Adequate steps have been taken to reduce substantially or eliminate during such period the adverse effect of a bounty or grant which he has determined is being paid or bestowed with respect to any article on merchandise;

(2) There is a reasonable prospect that, under section 102 of the Trade Act of 1975, successful trade agreements will be entered into with foreign countries or instrumentalities providing for the reduction or elimination of barriers to or other distortions of international trade; and

(3) The imposition of the additional duty under this section with respect to such article or merchandise would be likely to seriously jeopardize the satisfactory completion of such negotiations.

Based upon analysis of all the relevant factors and after consultation with interested agencies, I have concluded that steps have been taken to reduce substantially the adverse effect of the bounties or grants following actions by the Austrian Government to eliminate or substantially reduce its export subsidies on emmenthaler cheese, which constitutes approximately 90 percent of Austria's cheese exports to the United States. For example, the subsidy on emmenthaler cuts, the principal form of emmenthaler export, has been reduced from 33¢ per pound one year ago to 7¢ per pound on December 1, 1975. The remaining subsidy on cuts will be eliminated within the next year. Subsidies on emmenthaler wheels have been eliminated. Subsidies on other cheeses may continue in effect during the period of the waiver.

Furthermore, the waiver will remain in effect so long as there is no aggressive marketing of Austrian cheeses on which subsidies continue in the U.S. market, which would increase these exports substantially from historic levels.

After consulting with appropriate agencies, including the Department of State, the Office of the Special Representative for Trade Negotiations, and the Department of Agriculture, I have further concluded (1) that there is a reasonable prospect that, under section 102 of the Trade Act of 1974, successful trade agreements will be entered into with foreign countries or instrumentalities providing for the reduction or elimination of barriers to or other distortions of international trade; and (2) that the imposition of countervailing duties on cheese from Austria would be likely to seriously jeopardize the satisfactory completion of such negotiations.

Accordingly, pursuant to section 303(d) of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended (19 U.S.C. 1303(d)), I hereby waive the imposition of countervailing duties as well as the suspension of liquidation order in TD 76-10 on cheese from Austria.

This determination may be revoked, in whole or in part, at any time and shall be revoked whenever the basis supporting such determination no longer exists. Unless sooner revoked or made subject to a resolution of disapproval adopted by either House of the Congress of the United States pursuant to section 303(e) of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended (19 U.S.C. 1303(e)), this waiver of countervailing duties will, in any event, by statute cease to have force and effect on January 4, 1979.

On or after the date of publication in the FEDERAL REGISTER of a notice revoking this determination in whole or in part, the day after the date of adoption by either House of Congress of a resolution disapproving this "Waiver of Countervailing Duties," or January 4, 1979, whichever occurs first, countervailing duties will be assessable on cheese imported directly or indirectly from Austria in accordance with TD 76-10 published concurrently with this determination.

§ 159.47 [Amended]

The table in § 159.47(f) of the Customs Regulations (19 CFR 159.47(f)) is amended by inserting after the last entry for Austria under the commodity heading "Cheese," the number of this Treasury Decision in the column heading "Treasury Decision," and the words "Imposition of countervailing duties waived" in the column headed "Action."

(R.S. 251, secs. 303, as amended, 624; 46 Stat. 687, 759; 88 Stat. 2050; 19 U.S.C. 66, 1303, as amended, 1624)

DAVID R. MACDONALD,
Assistant Secretary of the Treasury.

DECEMBER 22, 1975.

[FR Doc.76-328 Filed 1-6-76;8:45 am]

Title 21—Food and Drugs

CHAPTER I—FOOD AND DRUG ADMINISTRATION, DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH, EDUCATION, AND WELFARE

PART 121—FOOD ADDITIVES

PART 558—NEW ANIMAL DRUGS FOR USE IN ANIMAL FEEDS

Bambermycins-Monensin and Bambermycins-Monensin-Roxarsone

The Commissioner of Food and Drugs has evaluated supplemental new animal drug applications (98-340V: Bambermycins and monensin; 98-341V: Bambermycins, monensin, and roxarsone) filed by Hoechst-Roussel Pharmaceuticals, Inc., Somerville, NJ 08876, proposing safe and effective use of bambermycins in combination with 90 to 110 grams per ton monensin alone or with roxarsone in complete feeds for broiler chickens. The supplemental applications are approved, effective January 7, 1976.

The Commissioner is amending Parts 121 and 558 (21 CFR Parts 121 and 558) to reflect these approvals.

In accordance with § 514.11(e)(2)(ii) (21 CFR 514.11(e)(2)(ii)) of the animal drug regulations, a summary of the safety and effectiveness data and information submitted to support the approval of this application is released publicly. The summary is available for public examination at the office of the Hearing Clerk, Rm. 4-65, 5600 Fishers Lane, Rockville, MD 20852, Monday through Friday from 9 a.m. to 4 p.m., except on Federal legal holidays.

Therefore, under the Federal Food, Drug, and Cosmetic Act (sec. 512(i), 82 Stat. 347 (21 U.S.C. 360b(i))), in accordance with 21 CFR 510.6, and under authority delegated to the Commissioner (21 CFR 2.120), Parts 121 and 558 are amended as follows:

§ 121.262 [Amended]

1. In Part 121, § 121.262 *3-Nitro-4-hydroxyphenylarsonic acid*, paragraph (c) is amended in table 1, item 1.28 in the fourth column, "grams per ton" by deleting the monensin level of "110" and inserting in its place the level of "90-110."

2. In Part 558:

a. In § 558.95 the introductory texts of paragraph (e)(1)(vi) and (vii) are revised to read as follows:

§ 558.95 Bambermycins.

* * * * *

(e) * * *

(1) * * *

(vi) *Amount per ton.* Bambermycins, 1 gram plus monensin, 90 to 110 grams.

* * * * *

(vii) *Amount per ton.* Bambermycins, 1 gram plus monensin, 90 to 110 grams plus roxarsone, 22.7 to 45.4 grams (.0025 to .005 percent).

* * * * *

b. In § 558.355 paragraphs (f)(1)(vi) and (vii) are revised to read as follows:

§ 558.355 Monensin.

* * * * *

(f) * * *

(1) * * *

(vi) *Amount per ton.* Monensin, 90 to 110 grams plus bambermycins, 1 gram. See § 558.95(e)(1)(vi).

(vii) *Amount per ton.* Monensin, 90 to 110 grams plus bambermycins, 1 gram plus roxarsone, 22.7 to 45.4 grams (.0025 to .005 percent). See § 558.95(e)(1)(vii).

* * * * *

Effective date. This amendment shall be effective January 7, 1976.

(Sec. 512(i), 82 Stat. 347 (21 U.S.C. 360b(i)))

Dated: December 30, 1975.

FRED J. KINGMA,
Acting Director, Bureau of Veterinary Medicine.

[FR Doc.76-301 Filed 1-6-76;8:45 am]

[Docket No. 75N-0215]

PART 520—ORAL DOSAGE FORM NEW ANIMAL DRUGS NOT SUBJECT TO CERTIFICATION

Cambendazole

The Commissioner of Food and Drugs has evaluated the new animal drug applications (96-506V, 96-731V) filed by Merck & Co., Inc., Rahway, NJ 07065, proposing safe and effective use of cambendazole pellets or paste for control of helminth infestations in horses. The applications are approved, effective January 7, 1976.

In accordance with § 514.11(e)(2)(ii) (21 CFR 514.11(e)(2)(ii)) of the animal drug regulations, a summary of the safety and effectiveness of data and information submitted to support the approval of this application is released publicly. The summary is available for public examination at the office of the Hearing Clerk, Rm. 4-65, 5600 Fishers Lane, Rockville, MD 20852, Monday through Friday from 9 a.m. to 4 p.m., except on Federal legal holidays.

Therefore, under the Federal Food, Drug, and Cosmetic Act (sec. 512(i), 82 Stat. 347 (21 U.S.C. 360b(i))) and under authority delegated to the Commissioner (21 CFR 2.120), Part 520 is amended by redesignating section 520.300 as section 520.300a *Cambendazole suspension*, by adding a new section 420.300 *Cambendazole oral dosage forms*, and by adding sections 520.300b and 520.300c to read as follows:

§ 520.300 Cambendazole oral dosage forms.

§ 520.300a Cambendazole suspension.

* * * * *

§ 520.300b Cambendazole pellets.

(a) *Specifications.* The drug is in feed pellets containing 5.3 percent cambendazole.

(b) *Sponsor.* No. 000006 in § 510.600(c) of this chapter.

(c) *Conditions of use.* (1) It is used in horses for the control of large strongyles (*Strongylus vulgaris, S. edentatus, S. equinus*); small strongyles (*Trichonema, Poteriostomum, Cylicobrachytus, Craterostomum, Oesophagodontus*); roundworms (*Parascaris*); pinworms (*Oxyuris*); and threadworms (*Strongyloides*).

(2) Administer 20 milligrams cambendazole per kilogram body weight (6 ounces per 1,000 pounds) by mixing with normal grain ration given at one feeding. Doses for individual horses should be mixed and fed separately to assure that each horse will consume the correct amount.

(3) For animals maintained on premises where reinfection is likely to occur, re-treatments may be necessary. For most effective results, re-treat in 6 to 8 weeks.

(4) Not for use in horses intended for food.

(5) Caution: Do not administer to pregnant mares or to stallions at stud.

(6) Consult your veterinarian for assistance in the diagnosis, treatment, and control of parasitism.

§ 520.300c Cambendazole paste.

(a) *Specifications.* The drug is a paste containing 45 percent cambendazole.

(b) *Sponsor.* No. 000006 in § 510.600(c) of this chapter.

(c) *Conditions of use.* (1) It is used in horses for the control of large strongyles (*Strongylus vulgaris, S. edentatus, S. equinus*); small strongyles (*Trichonema, Poteriostomum, Cylicobrachytus, Craterostomum, Oesophagodontus*); roundworms (*Parascaris*); pinworms (*Oxyuris*); and threadworms (*Strongyloides*).

(2) Administer 20 milligrams cambendazole per kilogram body weight (5 grams per 550 pounds (250 kilograms)) by depositing the paste on the back of the tongue using a dosing gun.

(3) For animals maintained on premises where reinfection is likely to occur, re-treatments may be necessary. For most effective results, re-treat in 6 to 8 weeks.

(4) Not for use in horses intended for food.

(5) Caution: Do not administer to pregnant mares or to stallions at stud.

(6) Consult your veterinarian for assistance in the diagnosis, treatment, and control of parasitism.

Effective date. This regulation shall be effective January 7, 1976.

(Sec. 512(i), 82 Stat. 347 (21 U.S.C. 360b(i)).)

Dated: December 30, 1975.

FRED J. KINGMA,
Acting Director, Bureau of Veterinary Medicine.

[FR Doc.76-302 Filed 1-6-76;8:45 am]

Title 24—Housing and Urban Development

CHAPTER II—OFFICE OF ASSISTANT SECRETARY FOR HOUSING PRODUCTION AND MORTGAGE CREDIT—FEDERAL HOUSING COMMISSIONER (FEDERAL HOUSING ADMINISTRATION), DEPARTMENT OF HOUSING AND URBAN DEVELOPMENT

[Docket No. R76-313]

MORTGAGE INSURANCE AND HOME IMPROVEMENT LOANS

Changes in Interest Rates

The following miscellaneous amendments have been made to this chapter to reduce from 9 percent to 8¾ percent the maximum rate of interest for certain mortgage and loan insurance programs under the National Housing Act:

PART 203—MUTUAL MORTGAGE INSURANCE AND INSURED HOME IMPROVEMENT LOANS

1. In § 203.20 paragraph (a) is revised to read as follows:

§ 203.20 Maximum interest rate.

(a) The mortgage shall bear interest at the rate agreed upon by the mortgagee and the mortgagor, which rate shall not exceed 8¾ percent, except that where an application for commitment was received by the Secretary before January 5, 1976, the mortgage may bear interest at the maximum rate in effect at the time of receipt of the application.

* * * * *

(Sec. 211, 52 Stat. 23; 12 U.S.C. 1715b. Interpret or apply Sec. 203, 52 Stat. 10, as amended; 12 U.S.C. 1709)

2. In § 203.74 paragraph (a) is revised to read as follows:

§ 203.74 Maximum interest rate.

(a) The loan shall bear interest at the rate agreed upon by the lender and the borrower, which rate shall not exceed 8¾ percent, except that where an application for commitment was received by the Secretary before January 5, 1976, the mortgage may bear interest at the maximum rate in effect at the time of receipt of the application.

* * * * *

(Sec. 211, 52 Stat. 23; 12 U.S.C. 1715b. Interpret or apply Section 203, 52 Stat. 10, as amended; 12 U.S.C. 1709)

PART 213—COOPERATIVE HOUSING MORTGAGE INSURANCE

1. In § 213.511 paragraph (a) is revised to read as follows:

§ 213.511 Maximum interest rate.

(a) The mortgage shall bear interest at the rate agreed upon by the mortgagee and the mortgagor, which rate shall not exceed 8¾ percent, except that where an application for commitment was received by the Secretary before January 5, 1976, the mortgage may bear interest at the maximum rate in effect at the time of the application.

* * * * *

(Sec. 211, 52 Stat. 23; 12 U.S.C. 1715b. Interpret or apply Sec. 213, 64 Stat. 54, as amended; 12 U.S.C. 1715e)

PART 234—CONDOMINIUM OWNERSHIP MORTGAGE INSURANCE

In § 234.29 paragraph (a) is revised to read as follows:

§§ 234.29 Maximum interest rate.

(a) The mortgage shall bear interest at the rate agreed upon by the mortgagee and the mortgagor, which rate shall not exceed 8¾ percent, except that where an application for commitment was received by the Secretary before January 5, 1976, the mortgage may bear interest at the maximum rate in effect at the time of receipt of the application.

* * * * *

(Sec. 211, 52 Stat. 23; 12 U.S.C. 1715b. Interprets or applies Sec. 234, 75 Stat. 160; 12 U.S.C. 1715y)

Effective date: These amendments shall be effective on January 5, 1976.

DAVID S. COOK,
Assistant Secretary for Housing Production and Mortgage Credit—FHA Commissioner.

[FR Doc.76-439 Filed 1-2-76;4:43 pm]

CHAPTER X—FEDERAL INSURANCE ADMINISTRATION

[Docket No. FI-805]

PART 1915—IDENTIFICATION OF SPECIAL HAZARD AREAS

Correction

The notice published on December 5, 1975 at 40 FR 56917 listing the Town of East Nottingham, PA (Chester Co.), should be corrected to read the Township of East Nottingham, PA (Chester Co.).

(National Flood Insurance Act of 1968 (Title XIII of the Housing and Urban Development Act of 1968), effective Jan. 28, 1969 (33 FR 17804, Nov. 28, 1968), as amended, 42 U.S.C. 4001-4128; and Secretary's delegation of authority to Federal Insurance Administrator, 34 FR 2680, Feb. 27, 1969) as Amended 39 FR 2787, Jan. 24, 1974.

Issued: December 18, 1975.

J. ROBERT HUNTER,
Acting Federal Insurance Administrator.

[FR Doc.76-388 Filed 1-6-76;8:45 am]

[Docket No. FI-805]

PART 1915—IDENTIFICATION OF SPECIAL HAZARD AREAS

Correction

The notice published on December 5, 1975, at 40 FR 56917 listing the City of Circleville, OH, map number as H 390440 A should be corrected to read H 390447 A.

(National Flood Insurance Act of 1968 (Title XIII of the Housing and Urban Development Act of 1968), effective Jan. 28, 1969 (33 FR 17804, Nov. 28, 1968), as amended, 42 U.S.C. 4001-4128; and Secretary's delegation of authority to Federal Insurance Administrator, 34 FR 2680, Feb. 27, 1969 as amended 39 FR 2787, Jan. 24, 1974)

Issued: December 18, 1975.

J. ROBERT HUNTER,
Acting Federal Insurance Administrator.

[FR Doc.76-389 Filed 1-6-76;8:45 am]

[Docket No. FI-830]

PART 1916—CONSULTATION WITH LOCAL OFFICIALS

Changes Made in Determinations of the City of Key Colony Beach, Florida, Base Flood Elevations

On June 20, 1970, at 35 FR 10047, the Federal Insurance Administrator published a list of communities with Special Flood Hazard Areas and the map numbers and locations where Flood Insurance Rate Maps were available for public inspection. The list included Flood Insurance Rate Maps for portions of the City of Key Colony Beach, Florida.

The Federal Insurance Administration, after consultation with the Chief Executive Officer of the community, has determined that it is appropriate to modify the base (100-year) flood elevations of some locations in the City of Key Colony Beach, Florida. These modified elevations are currently in effect and amend the Flood Insurance Rate Map, which was in effect prior to this determination. A revised rate map will be published as soon as possible. The modifications are made pursuant to section 206 of the Flood Disaster Protection Act of 1973 (Pub. L. 93-234) and are in accordance with the National Flood Insurance Act of 1968, as amended (Title XIII of the Housing and Urban Development Act of 1968, Pub. L. 90-448), 42 U.S.C. 4001-4128, and 24 CFR Part 1916.

For rating purposes, the new community number is 125121D, and must be used for all new policies and renewals.

Under the above-mentioned Acts of 1968 and 1973, the Administrator must develop criteria for flood plain management. In order for the community to continue participation in the National Flood Insurance Program, the community must use the modified elevations to carry out the flood plain management measures of the Program. These modified elevations will also be used to calculate the appropriate flood insurance premium rates for new buildings and their contents and for the second layer of insurance on existing buildings and contents.

From the date of this notice, any person has 90 days (April 6, 1976) in which he can request through the community that the Federal Insurance Administrator reconsider the changes. Any request for reconsideration must be based on knowledge of changed conditions or new scientific or technical data. All interested parties are on notice that until the 90-day period elapses, the Administrator's new determination of elevations may itself be changed.

Any persons having knowledge or wishing to comment on these changes should immediately notify:

Honorable Jeff D. Wood, Mayor, City of Key Colony Beach, Key Colony Beach, Florida 33051.

Also, at this location is the map showing the new base flood elevations. This map is a copy of the one that will be printed. The numerous changes made in the base flood elevations on the City of Key Colony Beach Flood Insurance Rate Map make it administratively infeasible to publish in this notice all of the base flood elevation changes contained on the City of Key Colony Beach, Florida map.

(National Flood Insurance Act of 1968 (Title XIII of Housing and Urban Development Act of 1968), effective January 28, 1969 (33 FR 17804, November 28, 1968), as amended; 42 U.S.C. 4001–4128; and Secretary's delegation of authority to Federal Insurance Administrator 34 FR 2680, February 27, 1969, as amended by 39 FR 2787, January 24, 1974)

Issued: December 15, 1975.

HOWARD B. CLARK,
Acting Federal Insurance Administrator.

[FR Doc.76-390 Filed 1-6-76;8:45 am]

[Docket No. FI-831]

PART 1916—CONSULTATION WITH LOCAL OFFICIALS

Changes Made in Determinations of Griffing Park, Texas, Base Flood Elevations

On November 17, 1970, at 35 FR 17655, the Federal Insurance Administrator published a list of communities with Special Flood Hazard Areas and the map numbers and locations where Flood Insurance Rate Maps were available for public inspection. The list included Flood Insurance Rate Maps for portions of Griffing Park.

The Federal Insurance Administration, after consultation with the Chief Executive Officer of the community, has determined that it is appropriate to modify the base (100-year) flood elevations of some locations in Griffing Park, Texas. These modified elevations are currently in effect and amend the Flood Insurance Rate Map, which was in effect prior to this determination. A revised rate map will be published as soon as possible. The modifications are made pursuant to section 206 of the Flood Disaster Protection Act of 1973 (Pub. L. 93–234) and are in accordance with the National Flood Insurance Act of 1968, as amended, (Title XIII of the Housing and Urban Development Act of 1968, Pub. L. 90–448) 42 U.S.C. 4001–4128, and 24 CFR Part 1916.

For rating purposes, the new community number is 485474B, and must be used for all new policies and renewals.

Under the above-mentioned Acts of 1968 and 1973, the Administrator must develop criteria for flood plain management. In order for the community to continue participation in the National Flood Insurance Program, the community must use the modified elevations to carry out the flood plain management measures of the Program. These modified elevations will also be used to calculate the appropriate flood insurance premium rates for new buildings and their contents and for the second layer of insurance on existing buildings and contents.

From the date of this notice, any person has 90 days (April 6, 1976) in which he can request through the community that the Federal Insurance Administrator reconsider the changes. Any request for reconsideration must be based on knowledge of changed conditions or new scientific or technical data. All interested parties are on notice that until the 90-day period elapses, the Administrator's new determination of elevations may itself be changed.

Any persons having knowledge or wishing to comment on these changes should immediately notify:

Mayor, Town of Griffing Park, City Hall, 3101 Bernhardt Drive, Port Arthur, Texas 77642.

Also, at this location is the map showing the new base flood elevations. This map is a copy of the one that will be printed. The numerous changes made in the base flood elevations on the Griffing Park Flood Insurance Rate Map make it administratively infeasible to publish in this notice all of the base flood elevation changes contained on the Griffing Park map.

(National Flood Insurance Act of 1968 (Title XIII of Housing and Urban Development Act of 1968), effective January 28, 1969 (33 FR 17804, November 28, 1968), as amended: 42 U.S.C. 4001–4128; and Secretary's delegation of authority to Federal Insurance Administrator 34 FR 2680, February 27, 1969, as amended by 39 FR 2787, January 24, 1974)

Issued: December 15, 1975.

HOWARD B. CLARK,
Acting Federal Insurance Administrator.

[FR Doc.76-391 Filed 1-6-76;8:45 am]

[Docket No. FI-825]

PART 1916—CONSULTATION WITH LOCAL OFFICIALS

Changes Made in Determinations of Groves, Texas, Base Flood Elevations

On November 17, 1970, at 35 FR 17655, the Federal Insurance Administrator published a list of communities with Special Flood Hazard Areas and the map number and locations where Flood Insurance Rate Maps were available for public inspection. The list included Flood Insurance Rate Maps for portions of Groves.

The Federal Insurance Administration, after consultation with the Chief Executive Officer of the community, has determined that it is appropriate to modify the base (100-year) flood elevations of some locations in Groves, Texas. These modified elevations are currently in effect and amend the Flood Insurance Rate Map, which was in effect prior to this determination. A revised rate map will be published as soon as possible. The modifications are made pursuant to section 206 of the Flood Disaster Protection Act of 1973 (Pub. L. 93–234) and are in accordance with the National Flood Insurance Act of 1968, as amended, (Title XIII of the Housing and Urban Development Act of 1968, Pub. L. 90–448) 42 U.S.C. 4001–4128, and 24 CFR Part 1916.

For rating purposes, the new community number is 485475C, and must be used for all new policies and renewals.

Under the above-mentioned Acts of 1968 and 1973, the Administrator must develop criteria for flood plain management. In order for the community to continue participation in the National Flood Insurance Program, the community must use the modified elevations to carry out the flood plain management measures of the Program. These modified elevations will also be used to calculate the appropriate flood insurance premium rates for new buildings and their contents and for the second layer of insurance on existing buildings and contents.

From the date of this notice, any person has 90 days (April 6, 1976) in which he can request through the community that the Federal Insurance Administrator reconsider the changes. Any request for reconsideration must be based on knowledge of changed conditions or new scientific or technical data. All interested parties are on notice that until the 90-day period elapses, the Administrator's new determination of elevations may itself be changed.

Any persons having knowledge or wishing to comment on these changes should immediately notify:

City Manager, P.O. Box 846, Groves, Texas 77619.

Also, at this location is the map showing the new base flood elevations. This map is a copy of the one that will be printed. The numerous changes made in the base flood elevations on the Groves Flood Insurance Rate Map make it administratively infeasible to publish in this notice all of the base flood elevation changes contained on the Groves map.

(National Flood Insurance Act of 1968 (Title XIII of Housing and Urban Development Act of 1968), effective January 28, 1969 (33 FR 17804, November 28, 1968), as amended; 42 U.S.C. 4001–4128; and Secretary's delegation of authority to Federal Insurance Administrator 34 FR 2680, February 27, 1969, as amended by 39 FR 2787, January 24, 1974)

Issued: December 15, 1975.

HOWARD B. CLARK,
Acting Federal Insurance Administrator.

[FR Doc.76-392 Filed 1-6-76;8:45 am]

[Docket No. FI-829]

PART 1916—CONSULTATION WITH LOCAL OFFICIALS

Changes Made in Determinations of Lakeview, Texas, Base Flood Elevations

On November 17, 1970, at 35 FR 17655, the Federal Insurance Administrator published a list of communities with Special Flood Hazard Areas and the map numbers and locations where Flood Insurance Rate Maps were available for public inspection. The list included Flood Insurance Rate Maps for portions of Lakeview.

The Federal Insurance Administration, after consultation with the Chief Execu-

tive Officer of the community, has determined that it is appropriate to modify the base (100-year) flood elevations of some locations in Lakeview, Texas. These modified elevations are currently in effect and amend the Flood Insurance Rate Map, which was in effect prior to this determination. A revised rate map will be published as soon as possible. The modifications are made pursuant to section 206 of the Flood Disaster Protection Act of 1973 (Pub. L. 93–234) and are in accordance with the National Flood Insurance Act of 1968, as amended, (Title XIII of the Housing and Urban Development Act of 1968, Pub. L. 90–448) 42 U.S.C. 4001–4128, and 24 CFR Part 1916.

For rating purposes, the new community number is 485485B, and must be used for all new policies and renewals.

Under the above-mentioned Acts of 1968 and 1973, the Administrator must develop criteria for flood plain management. In order for the community to continue participation in the National Flood Insurance Program, the community must use the modified elevations to carry out the flood plain management measures of the Program. These modified elevations will also be used to calculate the appropriate flood insurance premium rates for new buildings and their contents and for the second layer of insurance on existing buildings and contents.

From the date of this notice, any person has 90 days (April 6, 1976) in which he can request through the community that the Federal Insurance Administrator reconsider the changes. Any request for reconsideration must be based on knowledge of changed conditions or new scientific or technical data. All interested parties are on notice that until the 90-day period elapses, the Administrator's new determination of elevations may itself be changed.

Any persons having knowledge or wishing to comment on these changes should immediately notify:

Mayor, Town of Lakeview, 640 Duff Drive, Port Arthur, Texas 77640.

Also, at this location is the map showing the new base flood elevations. This map is a copy of the one that will be printed. The numerous changes made in the base flood elevations on the Lakeview Flood Insurance Rate Map make it administratively infeasible to publish in this notice all of the base flood elevation changes contained on the Lakeview map.

(National Flood Insurance Act of 1968 (Title XIII of Housing and Urban Development Act of 1968), effective January 28, 1969 (33 FR 17804, November 28, 1968), as amended; 42 U.S.C. 4001–4128; and Secretary's delegation of authority to Federal Insurance Administrator, 34 FR 2680, February 27, 1969, as amended by 39 FR 2787, January 24, 1974)

Issued: December 15, 1975.

HOWARD B. CLARK,
Acting Federal Insurance Administrator.

[FR Doc.76-393 Filed 1-6-76;8:45 am]

[Docket No. FI-828]

PART 1916—CONSULTATION WITH LOCAL OFFICIALS

Changes Made in Determinations of Nederland, Texas, Base Flood Elevations

On November 17, 1970, at 35 FR 17655, the Federal Insurance Administrator published a list of communities with Special Flood Hazard Areas and the map numbers and locations where Flood Insurance Rate Maps were available for public inspection. The list included Flood Insurance Rate Maps for portions of Nederland.

The Federal Insurance Administration, after consultation with the Chief Executive Officer of the community, has determined that it is appropriate to modify the base (100-year) flood elevations of some locations in Nederland, Texas. These modified elevations are currently in effect and amend the Flood Insurance Rate Map, which was in effect prior to this determination. A revised rate map will be published as soon as possible. The modifications are made pursuant to section 206 of the Flood Disaster Protection Act of 1973 (Pub. L. 93–234) and are in accordance with the National Flood Insurance Act of 1968, as amended (Title XIII of the Housing and Urban Development Act of 1968, Pub. L. 90–448), 42 U.S.C. 4001–4128, and 24 CFR Part 1916.

For rating purposes, the new community number is 485492B, and must be used for all new policies and renewals.

Under the above-mentioned Acts of 1968 and 1973, the Administrator must develop criteria for flood plain management. In order for the community to continue participation in the National Flood Insurance Program, the community must use the modified elevations to carry out the flood plain management measures of the Program. These modified elevations will also be used to calculate the appropriate flood insurance premium rates for new buildings and their contents and for the second layer of insurance on existing buildings and contents.

From the date of this notice, any person has 90 days (April 6, 1976) in which he can request through the community that the Federal Insurance Administrator reconsider the changes. Any request for reconsideration must be based on knowledge of changed conditions or new scientific or technical data. All interested parties are on notice that until the 90-day period elapses, the Administrator's new determination of elevations may itself be changed.

Any persons having knowledge or wishing to comment on these changes should immediately notify:

Mayor, City of Nederland, P.O. Box 967, Nederland, Texas 77627.

Also, at this location is the map showing the new base flood elevations. This map is a copy of the one that will be printed. The numerous changes made in the base flood elevations on the Nederland Flood Insurance Rate Map make it administratively infeasible to publish in this notice all of the base flood elevation changes contained on the Nederland map.

(National Flood Insurance Act of 1968 (Title XIII of Housing and Urban Development Act of 1968), effective January 28, 1969 (33 FR 17804, November 28, 1968), as amended; 42 U.S.C. 4001–4128; and Secretarys' delegation of authority to Federal Insurance Administrator 34 FR 2680, February 27, 1969, as amended by 39 FR 2787, January 24, 1974)

Issued: December 15, 1975.

HOWARD B. CLARK,
Acting Federal Insurance Administrator.

[FR Doc.76-394 Filed 1-6-76;8:45 am]

[Docket No. FI-827]

PART 1916—CONSULTATION WITH LOCAL OFFICIALS

Changes Made in Determinations of Pear Ridge, Texas, Base Flood Elevations

On November 17, 1970, at 35 FR 17655, the Federal Insurance Administrator published a list of communities with Special Flood Hazard Areas and the map numbers and locations where Flood Insurance Rate Maps were available for public inspection. The list included Flood Insurance Rate Maps for portions of Pear Ridge.

The Federal Insurance Administration, after consultation with the Chief Executive Officer of the community, has determined that it is appropriate to modify the base (100-year) flood elevations of some locations in Pear Ridge, Texas. These modified elevations are currently in effect and amend the Flood Insurance Rate Map, which was in effect prior to this determination. A revised rate map will be published as soon as possible. The modifications are made pursuant to section 206 of the Flood Disaster Protection Act of 1973 (Pub. L. 93–234) and are in accordance with the National Flood Insurance Act of 1968, as amended (Title XIII of the Housing and Urban Development Act of 1968, Pub. L. 90–448), 42 U.S.C. 4001–4128, and 24 CFR Part 1916.

For rating purposes, the new community number is 485497B, and must be used for all new policies and renewals.

Under the above-mentioned Acts of 1968 and 1973, the Administrator must develop criteria for flood plain management. In order for the community to continue participation in the National Flood Insurance Program, the community must use the modified elevations to carry out the flood plain management measures of the Program. These modified elevations will also be used to calculate the appropriate flood insurance premium rates for new buildings and their contents and for the second layer of insurance on existing buildings and contents.

From the date of this notice, any person has 90 days (April 6, 1976) in which he can request through the community that the Federal Insurance Administrator reconsider the changes. Any request for reconsideration must be based on

knowledge of changed conditions or new scientific or technical data. All interested parties are on notice that until the 90-day period elapses, the Administrator's new determination of elevations may itself be changed.

Any persons having knowlege or wishing to comment on these changes should immediately notify:

Mayor, City of Pear Ridge, 3640 Ninth Avenue, Pear Ridge, Texas 77640.

Also, at this location is the map showing the new base flood elevations. This map is a copy of the one that will be printed. The numerous changes made in the base flood elevations on the Pear Ridge Flood Insurance Rate Map make it administratively infeasible to publish in this notice all of the base flood elevation changes contained on the Pear Ridge map.

(National Flood Insurance Act of 1968 (Title XIII of Housing and Urban Development Act of 1968), effective January 28, 1969 (33 FR 17804, November 28, 1968), as amended; 42 U.S.C. 4001–4128; and Secretary's delegation of authority to Federal Insurance Administrator 34 FR 2680, February 27, 1969, as amended by 39 FR 2787, January 24, 1974)

Issued: December 15, 1975.

HOWARD B. CLARK,
Acting Federal Insurance Administrator.

[FR Doc.76–395 Filed 1–6–75;8:45 am]

[Docket No. FI–826]

PART 1916—CONSULTATION WITH LOCAL OFFICIALS

Changes Made in Determinations of Pepin County, Wisconsin, Base Flood Elevations

On December 16, 1972, at 37 FR 26830, the Federal Insurance Administrator published a list of communities with Special Flood Hazard Areas and the map numbers and locations where Flood Insurance Rate Maps were available for public inspection. The list included Flood Insurance Rate Maps for portions of Pepin County, Wisconsin.

The Federal Insurance Administration, after consultation with the Chief Executive Officer of the community, has determined that it is appropriate to modify the base (100-year) flood elevations of some locations in Pepin County. These modified elevations are currently in effect and amend the Flood Insurance Rate Map, which was in effect prior to this determination. A revised rate map will be published as soon as possible. The modifications are made pursuant to section 206 of the Flood Disaster Protection Act of 1973 (Pub. L. 93–234) and are in accordance with the National Flood Insurance Act of 1968, as amended (Title XIII of the Housing and Urban Development Act of 1968, Pub. L. 90–448) 42 U.S.C. 4001–4128, and 24 CFR Part 1916.

For rating purposes, the new community number is 555570C, and must be used for all new policies and renewals.

Under the above-mentioned Acts of 1968 and 1973, the Administrator must develop criteria for flood plain management. In order for the community to continue participation in the National Flood Insurance Program, the community must use the modified elevations to carry out the flood plain management measures of the Program. These modified elevations will also be used to calculate the appropriate flood insurance premium rates for new buildings and their contents and for the second layer of insurance on existing buildings and contents.

From the date of this notice, any person has 90 days (April 6, 1976) in which he can request through the community that the Federal Insurance Administrator reconsider the changes. Any request for reconsideration must be based on knowledge of changed conditions or new scientific or technical data. All interested parties are on notice that until the 90-day period elapses, the Administrator's new determination of elevations may itself be changed.

Any persons having knowledge or wishing to comment on these changes should immediately notify:

County Zoning Administrator, Pepin County Zoning Board, Pepin, Wisconsin 54759.

Also, at this location is the map showing the new base flood elevations. This map is a copy of the one that will be printed. The numerous changes made in the base flood elevations on the Pepin County Flood Insurance Rate Map make it administratively infeasible to publish in this notice all of the base flood elevation changes contained on the Pepin County map.

(National Flood Insurance Act of 1968 (Title XIII of Housing and Urban Development Act of 1968), effective January 28, 1969 (33 FR 17804, November 28, 1968), as amended; 42 U.S.C. 4001–4128; and Secretary's delegation of authority to Federal Insurance Administrator (34 FR 2680, February 27, 1969, as amended by 39 FR 2787, January 24, 1974))

Issued: December 15, 1975.

HOWARD B. CLARK,
Acting Federal Insurance Administrator.

[FR Doc.76–396 Filed 1–6–76;8:45 am]

Title 26—Internal Revenue

CHAPTER I—INTERNAL REVENUE SERVICE, DEPARTMENT OF THE TREASURY

[T.D. 7394]

PART 1—INCOME TAX; TAXABLE YEARS BEGINNING AFTER DECEMBER 31, 1953

Imputed Interest Rates

DECEMBER 22, 1975.

On July 25, 1975, a notice of proposed rule making was published in the FEDERAL REGISTER (40 FR 31238) to raise the imputed interest rates provided in the regulations under sections 482 and 483 so that they will better reflect prevailing interest rates.

For purposes of section 482, payments made at any time on account of contracts (other than demand loans or advances) entered into before July 24, 1975 (the date the notice was filed by the Office of the Federal Register for public inspection), will generally be subject to the rates existing just prior to that date. Payments made before December 31, 1975, on account of demand loans and advances entered into before July 24, 1975, will generally be subject to the rates existing just prior to that date.

For purposes of section 483, contracts entered into before July 24, 1975, will generally continue to be subject to the rates existing just prior to that date.

Several written comments were received in response to the notice of proposed rule making. However, no public hearing was held because the only request for such a hearing was later withdrawn.

ADOPTION OF AMENDMENTS TO THE REGULATIONS

After full and careful consideration of all the written comments received, the amendments of the regulations as proposed are hereby adopted, subject to clerical changes and the following change:

The last sentence of § 1.483–1(c)(4) is amended by inserting the parenthetical phrase "(within the meaning of paragraph (b)(4) of his section)" after the term "substantial change" the first time such term appears.

(Sec. 7805 of the Internal Revenue Code of 1954 (68A Stat. 917; 26 U.S.C. 7805))

DONALD C. ALEXANDER,
Commisisoner of Internal Revenue.

Approved: December 24, 1975.

WILLIAM M. GOLDSTEIN,
Deputy Assistant Secretary of the Treasury.

1. Paragraph (a)(2) of § 1.482–2 is amended to read as follows:

§ 1.482–2 Determination of taxable income in specific situations.

(a) *Loans or advances.* * * *

(2) *Arm's length interest rate*—(i) *In general.* For the purposes of this paragraph, the arm's length interest rate shall be the rate of interest which was charged, or would have been charged at the time the indebtedness arose, in independent transactions with or between unrelated parties under similar circumstances. All relevant factors will be considered, including the amount and duration of the loan, the security involved, the credit standing of the borrower, and the interest rate prevailing at the situs of the lender or creditor for comparable loans.

(ii) *Funds obtained at situs of borrower.* Notwithstanding the provisions of paragraph (a)(2)(i), (iii), and (iv) of this section, if the loan or advance represents the proceeds of a loan obtained by the lender at the situs of the borrower, the arm's length rate for any taxable year shall be equal to the rate actually paid by the lender increased by an amount which reflects the costs or deductions incurred by the lender in borrowing such amounts and making such

loans, unless the taxpayer establishes a more appropriate rate under the standards set forth in paragraph (a)(2)(i) of this section.

(iii) *Safe haven rule for certain loans or advances existing before July 24, 1975.* (A) Paragraph (a)(2)(iii) of this section applies to interest paid or accrued—

(*1*) Before July 24, 1975,

(*2*) On or after July 24, 1975, but before December 31, 1975, pursuant to a demand loan or advance which was in effect, or which was pursuant to a binding contract entered into, before July 24, 1975, and

(*3*) On or after July 24, 1975, pursuant to a loan or advance (other than a demand loan or advance) which was in effect, or which was pursuant to a binding contract entered into, before such date.

(B) If a creditor was not regularly engaged in the business of making loans or advances of the same general type as the loan or advance in question to unrelated parties, the arm's length rate of interest to which paragraph (a)(2)(iii) of this section applies shall be for purposes of this paragraph—

(*1*) The rate of interest actually charged if at least 4, but not in excess of 6, percent per annum simple interest, or

(*2*) Five percent per annum simple interest if no interest was charged or if the rate of interest charged was less than 4, or in excess of 6, percent per annum simple interest,

unless the taxpayer establishes a more appropriate rate under the standards set forth in paragraph (a)(2)(i) of this section. For purposes of the preceding sentence if the rate actually charged is greater than 6 percent per annum simple interest and less than the rate determined under the standards set forth in paragraph (a)(2)(i) of this section, or if the rate actually charged is less than 4 percent per annum simple interest and greater than the rate determined under the standards set forth in paragaph (a)(2)(i) of this section, then the rate actually charged shall be deemed to be a more appropriate rate under the standards set forth in paragraph (a)(2)(i) of this section.

(iv) *Safe haven rule for other loans and advances.* (A) Paragraph (a)(2)(iv) of this section applies to interest paid or accrued—

(*1*) Pursuant to a loan or advance (other than a loan or advance entered into pursuant to a binding contract entered into prior to July 24, 1975), which was entered into on or after such date, and

(*2*) On or after December 31, 1975, pursuant to a demand loan or advance.

(B) If a creditor was not regularly engaged in the business of making loans or advances of the same general type as the loan or advance in question to unrelated parties, the arm's length rate of interest to which paragraph (a)(2)(iv) of this section applies shall be for purposes of this paragraph—

(*1*) The rate of interest actually charged if at least 6, but not in excess of 8, percent per annum simple interest, or

(*2*) Seven percent per annum simple interest if no interest was charged or if the rate of interest charged was less than 6, or in excess of 8, percent per annum simple interest,

unless the taxpayer establishes a more appropriate rate under the standards set forth in paragraph (a)(2)(i) of this section. For purposes of the preceding sentence if the rate actually charged is greater than 8 percent per annum simple interest and less than the rate determined under the standards set forth in paragraph (a)(2)(i) of this section, or if the rate actually charged is less than 6 percent per annum simple interest and greater than the rate determined under the standards set forth in paragraph (a)(2)(i) of this section, then the rate actually charged shall be deemed to be a more appropriate rate under the standards set forth in paragraph (a)(2)(i) of this section.

* * * * *

2. Section 1.483–1 is amended as follows: Paragraph (c)(2) is revised; that part of paragraph (c)(3) as precedes example (1) is revised; examples (5) and (6) are added to paragraph (c)(3); a new paragraph (c)(4) is added; paragraph (d)(1) and (2) is revised; that part of paragraph (d)(4) that precedes example (1) is revised; examples (5) and (6) are added to paragraph (d)(4); that part of paragraph (e)(3) that precedes example (1) is revised; examples (6) and (7) are added to paragraph (e)(3); that part of the paragraph (f)(5) that precedes example (1) is revised, and examples (7) and (8) are added thereto; paragraph (f)(6)(iv) that precedes example (1) is revised and examples (5) and (6) are added thereto; paragraph (g)(1) and (4)(iii)(*b*) is revised; paragraph (g)(6), (7) and (8) is added to paragraph (g), and in paragraph (g)(2) the headings of tables I, II and III are revised and tables IV, V and VI are added after table III. The revised and added provisions read as follows:

§ 1.483–1 Computation of interest on certain deferred payments.

* * * * *

(c) *Total unstated interest* * * *

(2) *Present value of a payment* (i) *In general.* The present value of any interest payment due under the contract not more than 6 months after the date of the sale or exchange is an amount equal to 100 percent of such payment. The present value of any other interest payment, and of any payment to which section 483 applies, which is due under the contract shall be determined by discounting such payment at the interest rate prescribed by paragraph (c)(2)(ii) of this section, from the nearest date (to the date such payment is actually due under the contract) which marks a 6-month interval from the date of the sale or exchange. For purposes of computing the present value of a payment at such rate, column (b) of the appropriate table set forth in paragraph (g)(2) of this section shall be used.

(ii) *Prescribed interest rates.* (A) For payments on account of a sale or exchange of property entered into before July 24, 1975, as well as a sale or exchange of property pursuant to a binding written contract (including an irrevocable written option) entered into before such date, the interest rate prescribed by this subdivision shall be 5 percent per annum compounded semiannually.

(B) For payments on account of a sale or exchange of property entered into on or after July 24, 1975, except a sale or exchange entered into pursuant to a binding written contract (including an irrevocable written option) entered into before such date, the interest rate prescribed by this subdivision shall be 7 percent per annum compounded semiannually. (See paragraph (c)(4) of this section for special rules where there has been a substantial change in the terms of a binding written contract entered into before July 24, 1975.)

(3) *Examples.* The provisions of this paragraph may be illustrated by the following examples (Note that examples (1) through (5) use Tables I through III, because such tables generally apply to transactions entered into after June 30, 1963 and before July 24, 1975, and that the principles illustrated by such examples would apply for transactions entered into on or after July 24, 1975, in which case Tables IV through VI would generally be applied.):

* * * * *

Example (5). On December 31, 1974, A sells property to B under a contract which provides that B is to make four payments of $2,000 each, such payments being due, respectively, at the end of each year for the next four years. No interest is provided for in the contract. For purposes of paragraph (a) of this section, the total unstated interest under the contract is $918.38 (using Table III because the sale occurred before July 24, 1975). The computation is as follows:

Sum of payments to which sec. 483 applies	$8,000.00
Less: Present value of $2,000 due every 12 months for 4 years ($2,000 × 3.54081 (factor for 4 years, col. (b), Table III))	7,081.62
Total unstated interest	918.38

Example (6). On December 31, 1975, A sells property to B under a contract which provides that B is to make three payments of $2,000 each, such payments being due, respectively, at the end of each year for the next 3 years. No interest is provided for in the contract. For purposes of paragraph (a) of this section, the total unstated interest under the contract is $763.10 (using Table VI rather than Table III because the contract was entered into after July 24, 1975). The computation is as follows:

Sum of payments to which sec. 483 applies	$6,000.00
Less: Present value of $2,000 due every 12 months for 3 years ($2,000×2.61845 (factor for 3 years, col. (b), table VI))	5,236.90
Total unstated interest	763.10

(4) *Special rule for binding contracts entered into before July 24, 1975.* Pay-

ments made pursuant to a sale or exchange occurring before July 24, 1975 shall continue to be subject to the 5 percent prescribed interest rate of paragraph (c)(2)(ii)(A) of this section and the 4 percent prescribed test rate of paragraph (d)(1)(ii)(A) of this section even though there has been a change in the terms of the contract. However, where payments are pursuant to a sale or exchange occurring on or after July 24, 1975, but under the terms of a binding written contract entered into before such date, and there has been a substantial change (within the meaning of paragraph (b)(4) of this section) in the terms of such contract, then the 7 percent prescribed interest rate of paragraph (c)(2)(ii)(B) of this section and the 6 percent prescribed test rate of paragraph (d)(1)(ii)(B) of this section shall be applied to payments made after the occurrence of such substantial change.

(d) *Test of whether there is total unstated interest under a contract*—(1) *In general*—(i) *How to apply prescribed test rate.* Except as provided in paragraph (d)(2) and (3) of this section for purposes of determining whether section 483 applies to payments under a contract (that is, for purposes of paragraph (b)(1)(ii) of this section), the determination of whether there is total unstated interest under a contract shall be made in accordance with the method for computing total unstated interest provided in paragraph (c) of this section, except that column (a) of the appropriate table contained in paragraph (g)(2) of this section (which provides for discounting payments at the appropriate test rate prescribed by paragraph (d)(1)(ii) of this section) shall be used to determine the present value of a payment. If, after applying the appropriate test rate, there is total unstated interest (regardless of amount) with respect to a contract, section 483 applies to the payments described in paragraph (b) of this section which are due under the contract. In such case, the amount of total unstated interest under the contract which is includible in or deductible from income must be computed by using the higher interest rate prescribed in paragraph (c) of this section, and then allocating such amount among the payments due under the contract in the manner provided in paragraph (a) of this section.

(ii) *Prescribed test rates.* (A) For payments on account of a sale or exchange of property entered into before July 24, 1975, as well as a sale or exchange of property entered into pursuant to a binding written contract (including an irrevocable written option) entered into before such date, the test rate prescribed by this subdivision shall be 4 percent per annum simple interest.

(B) For payments on account of a sale or exchange of property entered into on or after July 24, 1975, except a sale or exchange entered into pursuant to a binding written contract (including an irrevocable written option) entered into before such date, the test rate prescribed by this subdivision shall be 6 percent per annum simple interest. (See paragraph (c)(4) of this section for special rules where there has been a substantial change in the terms of a contract entered into before July 24, 1975.)

(2) *Alternative test where contract rate is at least that prescribed in paragraph (d)(1)(ii) of this section.* The method provided in paragraph (d)(1) of this section for determining whether there is total unstated interest need not be used in the case of a contract which provides for interest at a rate of at least that prescribed in paragraph (d)(1)(ii) of this section, payable on each installment of principal at the time such installment is payable. For purposes of paragraph (b)(1)(ii) of this section, there is no total unstated interest under such a contract and, therefore, section 483 does not apply to payments under such a contract. For purposes of this paragraph, simple interest means straight interest computed on the principal amount of a payment from the time of the sale or exchange to the time the payment is required to be made. As an illustration of the meaning of simple interest, if a contract provides for payments totaling $6,000 in 3 equal installments of $2,000 plus 4 percent per annum simple interest, such installments of principal and interest being due 1, 2, and 3 years, respectively, from the date of the sale, the amount of interest due with the first installment is $80 ($2,000×0.04×1), the amount of interest due with the second installment is $160 ($2,000×0.04×2), and the amount of interest due with the third installment is $240 ($2,000×0.04×3).

* * * * *

(4) *Examples.* The provisions of this paragraph may be illustrated by the following examples (Note that examples (1) through (5) use Tables I through III, because such tables apply generally to transactions entered into after June 30, 1963 and before July 24, 1975, and that the principles illustrated by such examples would apply for transactions entered into on or after July 24, 1975, in which case Tables IV through VI would generally be applied.):

* * * * *

Example (5). (i) The facts are the same as in Example (4), except that the date of the sale of property to both B and C is December 31, 1973. Although three of the four payments under the contracts between A and B and between A and C are to be made after July 24, 1975, the test rate of 4 percent per annum simple interest is still applied to all payments in determining for purposes of this paragraph whether there is total unstated interest under either contract because in each case the sale occurred before July 24, 1975.

(ii) Since the interest rate specified in the contract between A and B is equal to the test rate of 4 percent per annum simple interest, paragraph (d)(2) of this section applies. Therefore, it is not necessary to compute whether there is total unstated interest under paragraph (d)(1) of this section, and section 483 does not apply to any payments due under the contract.

(iii) Since the contract between A and C does not provide for interest at a rate of at least 4 percent per annum, payable on each installment of principal at the time such installment is payable, paragraph (d)(2) of this section does not apply and the determination of whether there is total unstated interest must be made under paragraph (d)(1) of this section, in the same manner, and using the same tables, as computed in Example (4)(ii). Since the sum of the payments to which section 483 applies ($4,000) exceeds the sum of the present value of such payments and the present value of the stated interest payment ($3,987.23), there is total unstated interest under the contract and the provisions of section 483 apply to the payments of sales price due under the contract. For the method of computing the amount of total unstated interest which is includible in or deductible from gross income, the rules in paragraph (c) of this section are applied, using Tables I and III and not Tables IV and VI.

Example (6). On December 31, 1975, A sells property to B under a contract which provides that B is to make payments of $2,040 ($2,000 sales price plus $40 interest), $2,080 ($2,000 sales price plus $80 interest), and $2,120 ($2,000 sales price plus $120 interest), such payments being due, respectively, 1, 2, and 3 years from the date of sale. Because the contract was entered into after July 24, 1975, the determination of whether there is total unstated interest under the contract is made using Table IV instead of Table I in the following manner:

(i) Sum of payments to which sec. 483 applies	$6,000.00
(ii) Sum of:	
Present value of $2,040 due 1 yr. from date of sale ($2,040×0.94340 (factor for 9 to 15 months, col. (a), Table IV))	1,924.54
Present value of $2,080 due 2 yrs. from date of sale ($2,080×0.89286 (factor for 21 to 27 months, col. (a), Table IV))	1,857.15
Present value of $2,120 due 3 yrs. from date of sale ($2,120×0.84746 (factor for 33 to 39 months, col. (a), Table IV))	1,796.62
Total	5,578.31

Since the sum of the payments to which section 483 applies ($6,000) exceeds the sum of the present values of such payments and the present values of the stated interest payments ($5,578.31), there is total unstated interest under the contract and the provisions of section 483 apply to the payments of sales price due under the contract. For the method of computing the amount of total unstated interest which is includible in or deductible from income, see paragraph (c) of this section.

(e) *Payments that are indefinite as to time, liability, or amount.* * * *

(3) *Examples.* The provisions of this paragraph may be illustrated by the following examples (Note that examples (1) through (6) use Tables I through III, because such tables generally apply to transactions entered into after June 30, 1963 and before July 24, 1975, and that the principles illustrated by such examples would apply for transactions entered into on or after July 24, 1975, in which case Tables IV through VI would generally be applied.):

* * * * *

Example (6). The facts are the same as those in Example (1), except that the property is sold on December 31, 1973, and all other relevant dates are 10 years later. Al-

though two of the three payments due under the contract are to be made after July 24, 1975, total unstated interest is computed in the same manner, and using the same tables as in Example (1), because the sale of property occurred before July 24, 1975. Thus, total unstated interest under the contract, determined as of December 31, 1973, is $2,799.40, and the additional unstated interest at the end of the third year (1976) is $275.40.

Example (7). On December 31, 1975, A sells property to B under a contract which provides that B is to pay $40,000 at the time of the sale and $10,000 on December 29th of each year for the next three years, unless profits exceed a specified amount during the year, in which case, B is to pay $12,000 in that year. No interest is provided for in the contract. For the year 1976 profits have exceeded the specified amount and B pays $12,000. For 1977 B pays only $10,000, but for 1978 profits have again exceeded the specified amount and B pays $12,000. Since, on the date of sale, there are definite payments due under the contract, total unstated interest is computed with respect to the aggregate of such definite payments. The total unstated interest under the contract, determined as of December 31, 1975 is $3,815.50 (using Tables IV and VI rather than Tables I and III because the contract was entered into after July 24, 1975). The computation is as follows:

Sum of definite payments to which sec. 483 applies	$30,000.00
Less: Present value of $10,000 due every 12 months for 3 years ($10,000×2.61845 (factor for 3 years, col. (b), Table VI))	26,184.50
Total unstated interest	$ 3,815.50

At the time of receipt of the indefinite portion ($2,000) of the first payment ($12,000), additional unstated interest is not computed on the amount of such indefinite portion since payment was made no more than 1 year after the date of the sale. At the time of receipt of the indefinite portion ($2,000) of the last payments ($12,000), additional unstated interest is computed based on the amount of such indefinite portion.

The additional unstated interest at the end of the third year is $373.00, computed as follows:

Indefinite portion of payment to which sec. 483 applies	$ 2,000.00
Less: Present value of such portion ($2,000×0.81350 (factor for 33 to 39 months, col. (b), Table IV))	1,627.00
Total unstated interest	$ 373.00

(f) *Changes in terms of contract.* * * *

(5) *Examples.* The provisions of paragraph (f) (1) through (5) of this section may be illustrated by the following examples (Note that the examples use Tables I through III, because such tables apply generally to transactions entered into after June 30, 1963 and before July 24, 1975, and that the principles illustrated by such examples would generally apply for transactions entered into on or after July 24, 1975, in which case Tables IV through VI would generally be applied.):

* * * * *

Example (7). The facts are the same as in example (5), except that the property is sold on December 31, 1973, and all other relevant dates are 10 years later. Although the change in the contract takes place after July 24, 1975, on December 31, 1977, under this paragraph the recomputation of total unstated interest is required to be made as if the original contract had contained the changed terms. Since the sale occurred before July 24, 1975, the test rate for purposes of applying paragraph (d) to the revised contract is still 4 percent per annum simple interest, and the interest rate to be used in computing the amount of total unstated interest under paragraph (c) is still 5 percent per annum compounded semiannually. Thus, the determination of whether there is total unstated interest under the revised contract, and the computation of total unstated interest to be allocated to the final $2,000 payment, are both made by applying Table I (and not Table IV), in the same manner as in example (5). Therefore, the total unstated interest to be allocated to the final $2,000 payment is $160.38.

Example (8). The facts are the same as in example (5), except that the property is sold on December 31, 1973, and all other relevant dates are 10 years later. In addition, when the final $2,000 payment is made on December 31, 1977, interest of $320 is also paid on such amount. Although the change in the contract takes place after July 24, 1975, on December 31, 1977, under this paragraph the recomputation of total unstated interest is required to be made as if the original contract had contained the changed terms. Since the sale occurred before July 24, 1975, the test rate for purposes of applying paragraph (d) to the revised contract is still 4 percent per annum simple interest. Since the $320 of interest which is paid in addition to the final $2,000 payment is equal to the test rate of 4 percent per annum simple interest, paragraph (d)(2) of this section applies. Therefore, it is not necessary to compute whether there is total unstated interest under paragraph (d)(1) of this section, and section 483 does not apply to the final $2,000 payment.

(6) *Transfer of obligation to make contract right to receive deferred payments.* * * *

(iv) The provisions of paragraph (f)(6) of this section may be illustrated by the following examples (Note that the examples use Tables I through III, with respect to transactions entered into after June 30, 1963 and before July 24, 1975, and that the principles illustrated by such examples would generally apply for transactions entered into on or after July 24, 1975, in which case Tables IV through VI would generally be applied.):

* * * * *

Example (5) (*a*) The facts are the same as in example (1), except that A sells property to B on December 31, 1973, and all other relevant dates are 10 years later.

(*b*) Although the transfer of property by B to C takes place after July 24, 1975, A is not affected with respect to section 483 merely by reason of such transfer (see paragraph (f)(6)(i)(*a*) of this section), and section 483 is applied to C in the same manner as it applied to B as if the transfer of the obligation to make the two remaining $2,000 payments had not been made (see paragraph (f)(6)(i)(*c*) of this section). With respect to each of the two remaining $2,000 payments, therefore, C may deduct $229.60, and A continues to include such amount in income. B has no deductions with respect to such payments by C.

(*c*) With respect to the contract between B and C, section 483 applies to each of the $1,000 payments to be made by C at the end of the next 4 years, and total unstated interest with respect to such payments must be computed in the manner provided in paragraph (c) of this section. Since the contract between B and C is treated as a new contract (see paragraph (f)(6)(i)(*d*) of this section), Tables IV to VI (and not Tables I to III) are used in making the computation because the transfer of property by B to C takes place on January 1, 1976, which is after July 24, 1975. Because the assumption of B's obligation is treated as a payment made at the time of the transfer, section 483 does not apply to the two remaining payments for purposes of the contract between B and C.

Example (6). (*a*) The facts are the same as in example (1), except that A sells property to B on January 31, 1973, and all other relevant dates are 10 years later. In addition, on January 1, 1976, A transfers his contract right to receive the two remaining $2,000 payments to C in exchange for property having a fair market value of $3,000.

(*b*) Although the transfer of his contract right by A to C takes place after July 24, 1975, B is not affected by the transaction (See paragraph (f)(6)(ii)(*a*) of this section) and B continues to deduct $229.60 with respect to each of the two remaining $2,000 payments. A treats his contract with B as having been changed to provide for two payments of $2,000 each, due December 31st of 1974 and 1975, respectively, and a final payment of $3,000 (the fair market value of the property transferred by C to A) due January 1, 1976. A recomputes his total unstated interest in accordance with the rules provided in paragraph (c) of this section and allocates such unstated interest in the manner provided in paragraph (f)(4) of this section. Since the sale to B took place before July 24, 1975, and since the recomputation is made as if the original contract with B had contained the changed terms, Tables I to III are used in making the recomputation and not Tables IV to VI.

(*c*) C treats the two remaining $2,000 payments from B as if they are due under a contract for the sale of property entered into with B on January 1, 1976. Thus, C computes total unstated interest under paragraph (c) of this section as if he had entered into a contract subject to section 483 which provided for $2,000 due December 31, 1976, and $2,000 due December 31, 1977, and then allocates such total unstated interest in the manner provided in paragraph (a) of this section. Since January 1, 1976, is after July 24, 1975, Tables IV to VI (and not Tables I to III) are applied in computing such total unstated interest.

(*d*) With respect to the contract between A and C, section 483 does not apply because there are no deferred payments.

(g) *Present value tables*—(1) *Computation of present value.* If the purpose of the present value computation is to determine under paragraph (d) of this section whether there is total unstated interest under a contract, column (a) of the appropriate table set forth in

paragraph (g)(2) of this section shall be used. For the rules relating to certain governmental obligations, see paragraph (d)(3) of this section. If the purpose of the present value computation is to determine under paragraph (c) of this section the amount of total unstated interest under a contract to be included in or deducted from income (that is, after it has already been determined by using column (a) of the appropriate table that the contract contains total unstated interest), column (b) of the appropriate table set forth in paragraph (g)(2) of this section shall be used. If a contract provides for deferred payments for a period in excess of 60 years, the factor (or factors) necessary may be obtained upon request to the Commissioner of Internal Revenue, Washington, D.C. 20224. In general, such request must be accompanied by a copy of the contract (or the proposed contract) and other relevant instruments.

(2) *Tables.* * * *

TABLE I—PRESENT VALUE OF DEFERRED PAYMENT

(Applicable to contracts entered into before July 24, 1975 to which paragraphs (c)(2)(ii)(A) and (d)(1)(ii)(A) of this section apply).

(COL. (a) 4 PERCENT SIMPLE INTEREST—COL. (b) 5 PERCENT INTEREST, COMPOUNDED SEMI-ANNUALLY)

* * * * *

TABLE II—PRESENT VALUE OF ANNUITY CERTAIN: $1 EVERY 6 MONTHS

(Applicable to contracts entered into before July 24, 1975 to which paragraphs (c)(2)(ii)(A) and (d)(1)(ii)(A) of this section apply).

(COL. (a) 4 PERCENT SIMPLE INTEREST—COL. (b) 5 PERCENT INTEREST, COMPOUNDED SEMI-ANNUALLY)

* * * * *

TABLE III—PRESENT VALUE OF ANNUITY CERTAIN: $1 EVERY 12 MONTHS

(Applicable to contracts entered into before July 24, 1975 to which paragraphs (c)(2)(ii)(A) and (d)(1)(ii)(A) of this section apply).

(COL. (a) 4 PERCENT SIMPLE INTEREST—COL. (b) 5 PERCENT INTEREST, COMPOUNDED SEMI-ANNUALLY)

* * * * *

TABLE IV.—*Present value of deferred payment—Applicable to contracts entered into on or after July 25, 1975, to which paragraphs (c)(2)(ii)(B) and (d)(1)(ii)(B) of this section apply.*

[Col. (a) 6 percent simple interest; col. (b) 7 percent interest, compounded semiannually]

Number of months deferred		Col. (a)	Col. (b)	Number of months deferred		Col. (a)	Col. (b)	Number of months deferred		Col. (a)	Col. (b)
More than	But not more than	Present value of $1 at 6 percent simple interest	Present value of $1 at 7 percent compounded semi-annually	More than	But not more than	Present value of $1 at 6 percent simple interest	Present value of $1 at 7 percent compounded semi-annually	More than	But not more than	Present value of $1 at 6 percent simple interest	Present value of $1 at 7 percent compounded semi-annually
0	6	1.00000	1.00000	243	249	.44843	.24403	489	495	.28902	.05955
6	9	.97087	.96618	249	255	.44248	.23578	495	501	.28653	.05754
9	15	.94340	.93351	255	261	.43668	.22781	501	507	.28409	.05559
15	21	.91743	.90194	261	267	.43103	.22010	507	513	.28169	.05371
21	27	.89286	.87144	267	273	.42553	.21266	513	519	.27933	.05190
27	33	.86957	.84197	273	279	.42017	.20547	519	525	.27701	.05014
33	39	.84746	.81350	279	285	.41494	.19852	525	531	.27473	.04845
39	45	.82645	.78599	285	291	.40984	.19181	531	537	.27248	.04681
45	51	.80645	.75941	291	297	.40486	.18532	537	543	.27027	.04522
51	57	.78740	.73373	297	303	.40000	.17905	543	549	.26810	.04369
57	63	.76923	.70892	303	309	.39526	.17300	549	555	.26596	.04222
63	69	.75188	.68495	309	315	.39062	.16715	555	561	.26385	.04079
69	75	.73529	.66178	315	321	.38610	.16150	561	567	.26178	.03941
75	81	.71942	.63940	321	327	.38168	.15603	567	573	.25974	.03808
81	87	.70423	.61778	327	333	.37736	.15076	573	579	.25773	.03679
87	93	.68966	.59689	333	339	.37313	.14566	579	585	.25575	.03555
93	99	.67568	.57671	339	345	.36900	.14073	585	591	.25381	.03434
99	105	.66225	.55720	345	351	.36496	.13598	591	597	.25189	.03318
105	111	.64935	.53836	351	357	.36101	.13138	597	603	.25000	.03206
111	117	.63694	.52016	357	363	.35714	.12693	603	609	.24814	.03098
117	123	.62500	.50257	363	369	.35336	.12264	609	615	.24631	.02993
123	129	.61350	.48557	369	375	.34965	.11849	615	621	.24450	.02892
129	135	.60241	.46915	375	381	.34602	.11449	621	627	.24272	.02794
135	141	.59172	.45329	381	387	.34247	.11062	627	633	.24096	.02699
141	147	.58140	.43796	387	393	.33898	.10688	633	639	.23923	.02608
147	153	.57143	.42315	393	399	.33557	.10326	639	645	.23753	.02520
153	159	.56180	.40884	399	405	.33223	.09977	645	651	.23585	.02435
159	165	.55249	.39501	405	411	.32895	.09640	651	657	.23419	.02352
165	171	.54348	.38165	411	417	.32573	.09314	657	663	.23256	.02273
171	177	.53476	.36875	417	423	.32258	.08999	663	669	.23095	.02196
177	183	.52632	.35628	423	429	.31949	.08694	669	675	.22936	.02122
183	189	.51813	.34423	429	435	.31646	.08400	675	681	.22779	.02050
189	195	.51020	.33259	435	441	.31348	.08116	681	687	.22624	.01981
195	201	.50251	.32134	441	447	.31056	.07842	687	693	.22472	.01914
201	207	.49505	.31048	447	453	.30769	.07577	693	699	.22321	.01849
207	213	.48780	.29998	453	459	.30488	.07320	699	705	.22173	.01786
213	219	.48077	.28983	459	465	.30211	.07073	705	711	.22026	.01726
219	225	.47393	.28003	465	471	.29940	.06834	711	717	.21882	.01668
225	231	.46729	.27056	471	477	.29674	.06603	717	723	.21739	.01611
231	237	.46083	.26141	477	483	.29412	.06379				
237	243	.45455	.25257	483	489	.29155	.06164				

TABLE V.—*Present value of annuity certain: $1 every 6 mos.—Applicable to contracts entered into on or after July 24, 1975, to which paragraphs (c)(2)(ii)(B) and (d)(1)(ii)(B) of this section apply.*

[Col. (a) 6 percent simple interest; col. (b) 7 percent interest, compounded semiannually]

Number of years final payment deferred	Col. (a) Present value at 6 percent simple interest	Col. (b) Present value at 7 percent compounded semiannually	Number of years final payment deferred	Col. (a) Present value at 6 percent simple interest	Col. (b) Present value at 7 percent compounded semiannually	Number of years final payment deferred	Col. (a) Present value at 6 percent simple interest	Col. (b) Present value at 7 percent compounded semiannually
*0.5	0.97087	0.96618	20.5	26.45962	21.59910	40.5	40.73343	26.81041
1.0	1.91427	1.89969	21.0	26.90210	21.83488	41.0	41.02245	26.86996
1.5	2.83170	2.80164	21.5	27.33878	22.06269	41.5	41.30898	26.92750
2.0	3.72456	3.67308	22.0	27.76981	22.28279	42.0	41.59307	26.98309
2.5	4.59413	4.51505	22.5	28.19534	22.49545	42.5	41.87476	27.03680
3.0	5.44159	5.32855	23.0	28.61551	22.70092	43.0	42.15409	27.08870
3.5	6.26804	6.11454	23.5	29.03045	22.89944	43.5	42.43110	27.13884
4.0	7.07449	6.87396	24.0	29.44029	23.09124	44.0	42.70583	27.18728
4.5	7.86189	7.60769	24.5	29.84515	23.27656	44.5	42.97831	27.23409
5.0	8.63112	8.31661	25.0	30.24515	23.45562	45.0	43.24858	27.27932
5.5	9.38300	9.00155	25.5	30.64041	23.62862	45.5	43.51668	27.32301
6.0	10.11829	9.66333	26.0	31.03103	23.79576	46.0	43.78264	27.36523
6.5	10.83771	10.30274	26.5	31.41713	23.95726	46.5	44.04649	27.40602
7.0	11.54194	10.92052	27.0	31.79881	24.11330	47.0	44.30827	27.44543
7.5	12.23160	11.51741	27.5	32.17617	24.26405	47.5	44.56801	27.48350
8.0	12.90728	12.09412	28.0	32.54930	24.40971	48.0	44.82574	27.52029
8.5	13.56953	12.65132	28.5	32.91830	24.55045	48.5	45.08149	27.55584
9.0	14.21888	13.18968	29.0	33.28326	24.68642	49.0	45.33530	27.59018
9.5	14.85582	13.70984	29.5	33.64427	24.81780	49.5	45.58719	27.62337
10.0	15.48082	14.21240	30.0	34.00141	24.94478	50.0	45.83719	27.65543
10.5	16.09432	14.69797	30.5	34.35477	25.06738	50.5	46.08533	27.68640
11.0	16.69673	15.16712	31.0	34.70442	25.18587	51.0	46.33164	27.71633
11.5	17.28845	15.62041	31.5	35.05044	25.30036	51.5	46.57614	27.74525
12.0	17.86985	16.05837	32.0	35.39291	25.41097	52.0	46.81886	27.77318
12.5	18.44128	16.48151	32.5	35.73189	25.51785	52.5	47.05982	27.80018
13.0	19.00308	16.89035	33.0	36.06746	25.62111	53.0	47.29905	27.82626
13.5	19.55557	17.28536	33.5	36.39969	25.72088	53.5	47.53658	27.85146
14.0	20.09905	17.66702	34.0	36.72864	25.81727	54.0	47.77243	27.87581
14.5	20.63381	18.03577	34.5	37.05437	25.91041	54.5	48.00662	27.89933
15.0	21.16013	18.39205	35.0	37.37695	26.00040	55.0	48.23918	27.92206
15.5	21.67826	18.73628	35.5	37.69644	26.08734	55.5	48.47013	27.94402
16.0	22.18846	19.06887	36.0	38.01290	26.17134	56.0	48.69949	27.96523
16.5	22.69097	19.39021	36.5	38.32638	26.25251	56.5	48.92728	27.98573
17.0	23.18602	19.70068	37.0	38.63694	26.33092	57.0	49.15352	28.00554
17.5	23.67382	20.00066	37.5	38.94463	26.40669	57.5	49.37824	28.02467
18.0	24.15459	20.29049	38.0	39.24951	26.47989	58.0	49.60145	28.04316
18.5	24.62852	20.57053	38.5	39.55162	26.55062	58.5	49.82318	28.06103
19.0	25.09581	20.84109	39.0	39.85102	26.61896	59.0	50.04344	28.07829
19.5	25.55664	21.10250	39.5	40.14776	26.68498	59.5	50.26226	28.09496
20.0	26.01119	21.35507	40.0	40.44188	26.74878	60.0	50.47965	28.11108

*The factor for 0.5 year is applicable to a payment due more than 6 months but not more than 9 months from the date of sale or exchange. In the case of a payment due not more than 6 months from the date of the sale or exchange, see the instructions in subparagraph (7)(ii) of this section.

TABLE VI.—*Present value of annuity certain: $1 every 12 mos.—Applicable to contracts entered into on or after July 24, 1975, to which paragraphs (c)(2)(ii)(B) and (d)(1)(ii)(B) of this section apply.*

[Col. (a) 6 percent simple interest; col. (b) 7 percent interest, compounded semiannually]

Number of years final payment deferred	Col. (a) Present value at 6 percent simple interest	Col. (b) Present value at 7 percent compounded semiannually	Number of years final payment deferred	Col. (a) Present value at 6 percent simple interest	Col. (b) Present value at 7 percent compounded semiannually	Number of years final payment deferred	Col. (a) Present value at 6 percent simple interest	Col. (b) Present value at 7 percent compounded semiannually
1.0	0.94340	0.93351	21.0	13.31470	10.72967	41.0	20.33693	13.20391
2.0	1.83626	1.80495	22.0	13.74573	10.94977	42.0	20.62102	13.25950
3.0	2.68372	2.61845	23.0	14.16590	11.15524	43.0	20.90035	13.31140
4.0	3.49017	3.37787	24.0	14.57574	11.34705	44.0	21.17508	13.35985
5.0	4.25940	4.08678	25.0	14.97574	11.52610	45.0	21.44535	13.40507
6.0	4.99469	4.74857	26.0	15.36636	11.69325	46.0	21.71131	13.44729
7.0	5.69892	5.36635	27.0	15.74804	11.84929	47.0	21.97309	13.48670
8.0	6.37460	5.94305	28.0	16.12117	11.99495	48.0	22.23082	13.52349
9.0	7.02395	6.48142	29.0	16.48613	12.13092	49.0	22.48463	13.55783
10.0	7.64895	6.98398	30.0	16.84327	12.25785	50.0	22.73463	13.58989
11.0	8.25136	7.45313	31.0	17.19292	12.37635	51.0	22.98094	13.61982
12.0	8.83276	7.89109	32.0	17.53539	12.48697	52.0	23.22366	13.64776
13.0	9.39456	8.29993	33.0	17.87096	12.59023	53.0	23.46289	13.67384
14.0	9.93804	8.68158	34.0	18.19991	12.68662	54.0	23.69874	13.69818
15.0	10.46436	9.03786	35.0	18.52249	12.77661	55.0	23.93130	13.72091
16.0	10.97456	9.37045	36.0	18.83895	12.86061	56.0	24.16066	13.74213
17.0	11.46961	9.68093	37.0	19.14951	12.93903	57.0	24.38690	13.76194
18.0	11.95038	9.97076	38.0	19.45439	13.01223	58.0	24.61011	13.78042
19.0	12.41767	10.24132	39.0	19.75379	13.08057	59.0	24.83037	13.79768
20.0	12.87222	10.49389	40.0	20.04791	13.14436	60.0	25.04776	13.81380

(4) *Instructions for Table II.* * * *

(iii) * * *

(*b*) Adjust the factor determined under (*a*) of this subdivision by increasing such factor either by 0.01961 (1 minus the column (a) factor for deferral of payment for 0.5 years; in this case 0.98039) if the present value computation is made under column (a), or by 0.02439 (1 minus the column (b) factor for the deferral of payment for 0.5 years; in this case 0.97561) if the present value computation is made under column (b); and

* * * * *

(6) *Instructions for Table IV.* In general, the instructions for Table I are applicable to Table IV, taking into account the change in the rate of imputed interest for contracts entered into on or after July 24, 1975, to paragraphs (c)(2)(ii)(B) and (d)(1)(ii)(B) of this section apply.

(7) *Instructions for Table V.* (i) In general, the instructions for Table II are applicable to Table V, taking into account the change in the rate of imputed interest for contracts entered into on or after July 24, 1975, to paragraphs (c)(2)(ii)(B) and (d)(1)(ii)(B) of this section apply.

(ii) Notwithstanding the preceding subdivision, where a contract entered into on or after July 24, 1975 is otherwise described in paragraph (g)(4)(iii) of this section, then the present value of a series of equal payments described in that subsection shall be computed under Table V as follows:

(*a*) Determine the factor contained in the applicable present rate column (that is, col. (a) or col. (b)) for the appropriate number of years the final payment under the contract is deferred;

(*b*) Adjust the factor determined under (*a*) of this subdivision by increasing such factor either by 0.02913 (1 minus the column (a) factor for deferral of payment for 0.5 years; in this case 0.97087) if the present value computation is made under column (a), or by 0.03382 (1 minus the column (b) factor for deferral of payment for 0.5 years; in this case 0.96618) if the present value computation is made under column (b); and

(*c*) Multiply the amount of a single payment under the contract by the adjusted factor determined under paragraph (g)(7)(ii)(*b*) of this section.

For example, the present value, using a rate of 6 percent per annum simple interest, of a series of eight $1,000 payments, the first payment being due exactly 6 months from the date of sale, and the remaining seven payments being due, respectively every 6 months thereafter (so that under the rule of paragraph (g)(7)(i) of this section the final payment is deferred 4 years), is $7,103.62 ($1,000×(7.07449 plus 0.02913)).

(8) *Instructions for Table VI.* In general, the instructions for Table III are applicable to Table VI, taking into ac-

count the change in the rate of imputed interest for contracts entered into on or after July 24, 1975 to paragraphs (c)(2)(ii)(B) and (d)(1)(ii)(B) of this section apply.

[FR Doc.75-35253 Filed 12-31-75;3:17 pm]

Title 32—National Defense

CHAPTER V—DEPARTMENT OF THE ARMY

[Army Reg. 340-21]

PART 505—PERSONAL PRIVACY AND RIGHTS OF INDIVIDUALS REGARDING THEIR PERSONAL RECORDS

Implementation

Correction

In FR Doc. 75-32158 appearing at page 55551 in the issue for Friday, November 28, 1975, the text starting in the center column of page 55566 headed "Exempted Record Systems" and running through the center column of page 55572 should be deleted.

Title 36—Parks, Forests and Public Property

CHAPTER VI—AMERICAN REVOLUTION BICENTENNIAL ADMINISTRATION

PART 606—THE OFFICIAL COMMEMORATIVE LICENSING PROGRAM

Termination of ARBA Licenses in Certain Product Categories

On November 11, 1975, there was published in the FEDERAL REGISTER (40 FR 52630) a notice that the American Revolution Bicentennial Administration (ARBA) proposed to amend Part 606 of Title 36 of the Code of Federal Regulations to terminate the issuance of licenses for officially recognized commemoratives in product categories licensed on or before January 31, 1976, by the deletion of § 606.105 "Initial product areas and categories" and by the revision of § 606.101 "Non-exclusive licenses."

Interested persons were invited to submit written comments with respect to the proposed changes. No comments having been received and pursuant to authorization of the ARB Board at its meeting of December 4, 1975, 36 CFR Part 606 is amended by the deletion of § 606.105 and by the revision of § 606.-101 as follows:

§ 606.101 ARBA licenses.

ARBA licenses may be issued for product categories not already licensed which are determined to be commemorative, historical, educational, and informational in nature, as relating to our Nation's heritage, the present commemoration of the Bicentennial, and our future. ARBA licenses may also be issued for educational or commemorative premium campaigns where the end result is the free or low-cost dissemination of Bicentennial information or keepsake materials to the general public, including premiums in connection with fresh or processed food products.

Dated: December 30, 1975.

JOHN W. WARNER,
Administrator.

[FR Doc.76-304 Filed 1-6-76;8:45 am]

Title 47—Telecommunication

CHAPTER I—FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS COMMISSION

[FCC 75-1412; RM-2596]

PART 1—PRACTICE AND PROCEDURE

Memorandum Opinion and Order

Adopted: December 22, 1975.
Released: December 31, 1975.

In the matter of amendment of procedures for reconsideration of actions in notice and comment rule making proceedings.

1. The law firm of Haley, Bader & Potts filed a petition for rule making in this proceeding on September 11, 1975. Public notice of the filing of the petition was given on September 22, 1975. No statements supporting or opposing the petition were filed.

2. Petitioner asks that procedures for reconsideration of Commission action in Notice and Comment Rule Making Proceedings be set out apart from general provisions for reconsideration (§ 1.106) and that a number of changes be made in those procedures. We are in substantial agreement with petitioner and are amending the rules in the following respects:

(a) Procedures for reconsideration of notice and comment rule making actions are set out in a new Section 1.429; a cross-reference to that section is being added to Section 1.106(a); and the note to Section 1.106 dealing with rule making proceedings is being deleted.

(b) Section 1.429(a) provides that "any interested person" may petition for reconsideration of Commission action in a notice and comment rule making proceeding. This rule eliminates a discrepancy betweeen actual Commission practice in rule making proceedings and Section 1.106(b), which requires a showing of cause for petitioner's failure to participate in an earlier stage of a proceeding.

(c) Section 1.429(d) provides that the petition for reconsideration shall be filed within 30 days after the full text of the rule making document is published in the FEDERAL REGISTER. This is consistent with current practice. However, § 1.106 was ambiguous on this point.

(d) Section 1.429(e) provides that, where the number of parties to a proceeding is small, parties are encouraged to serve or exchange petitions for reconsideration and other pleadings. Section 1.420(f) requires the service of petitions for reconsideration and responsive pleadings in proceedings for amendment of the FM or Television Table of Assignments. This provision stops short of petitioner's suggestion that we require service in all proceedings having 10 or fewer parties, a requirement which we think could be unduly burdensome in some circumstances and could inhibit participation.

(e) Section 1.429(e) also provides that public notice of the filing of petitions for reconsideration in rule making proceedings will be published in the FEDERAL REGISTER, and that the time for filing oppositions to the petition will run from the date of publication. Heretofore, a public notice has been issued and the time for filing oppositions has run from its issuance, but it has not been published in the FEDERAL REGISTER.

(f) Section 1.429(f) provides 15 days for filing oppositions. Section 1.429(g) provides 10 days for filing replies. This is an increase in the 10 and 7 day periods heretofore provided by Section 1.106.

(g) Provisions of Section 1.106 which properly apply to rule making proceedings have been extracte dfrom that Section, made more specific as appropriate, and included in Section 1.429.

3. We are also adopting the following changes in notice and comment rule making procedures which were not proposed by petitioner:

(a) A new Section 1.400 defines the term "party".

(b) In proceedings for amendment of the FM and TV Tables of Assignments, we are requiring service of petitions for reconsideration and responsive pleadings on any licensee or permittee whose authorization could be modified to provide for operation on a different frequency, regardless of whether he participated as a party to the proceeding. In such circumstances, we are also providing for an automatic stay of the rule amendment pending action on a petition for reconsideration. These provisions are set out in Section 1.420(f).

(c) We are adding a new Section 1.430 stating that provisions applicable to notice and comment rule making are also applicable to proceedings on a Notice of Inquiry, except that rules do not result from notice of inquiry proceedings.

4. Section 1.106 will continue to govern reconsideration of Commission actions in proceedings other than notice and comment rule making but will have no application to such rule making proceedings.

5. We think that these amendments improve and clarify procedures for reconsideration of actions in notice and comment rule making proceedings. Authority for their adoption is contained in Sections 4(i) and 303(r) of the Communications Act of 1934, as amended, 47 U.S.C. 154(i) and 303(r). Because they are procedural in nature, the prior notice and effective date requirements of 5 U.S.C. 553 are inapplicable.

6. Accordingly, it is ordered, effective January 9, 1976, that the Rules and Regulations are amended as set out below. The petition for rule making is granted to the extent indicated above and is in other respects denied.

(Secs. 4, 5, 303, 48 Stat., as amended, 1066, 1068, 1082; 47 U.S.C. 154, 155, 303)

FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS COMMISSION,
[SEAL] VINCENT J. MULLINS,
Secretary.

Part 1 of Chapter I of Title 47 of the Code of Federal Regulations is amended as follows:

1. Section 1.106(a)(1) is revised to read as follows, and the note at the end of § 1.106 is deleted:

§ 1.106 Petitions for reconsideration.

(a)(1) Petitions requesting reconsideration of a final Commission action will be acted on by the Commission. Petitions requesting reconsideration of a final decision of the Review Board will be acted on by the Board or certified to the Commission (see § 0.361 (b) and (c) of this chapter). Petitions requesting reconsideration of other final actions taken pursuant to delegated authority will be acted on by the designated authority or referred by such authority to the Commission. A petition for reconsideration of an order designating a case for hearing will be entertained if, and insofar as, the petition relates to an adverse ruling with respect to petitioner's participation in the proceeding. Petitions for reconsideration of other interlocutory actions will not be entertained. (For provisions governing reconsideration of Commission action in notice and comment rule making proceedings, see § 1.429. This § 1.106 does not govern reconsideration of such actions.)

* * * * *

2. Immediately before the undesignated center heading preceding § 1.401, the following undesignated center heading and a new § 1.400 are added, to read as follows:

GENERAL

§ 1.400 Definitions.

As used in this subpart, the term "party" refers to any person who participates in a proceeding by the timely filing of a petition for rule making, comments on a notice of proposed rule making, a petition for reconsideration, or responsive pleadings in the manner prescribed by this subpart. The term does not include those who submit letters, telegrams or other informal materials.

* * * * *

3. Section 1.420(f) is added to read as follows:

§ 1.420 Additional procedures in proceedings for amendment of the FM or Television Table of Assignments.

* * * * *

(f) Petitions for reconsideration and responsive pleadings shall be served on parties to the proceeding and on any licensee or permittee whose authorization may be modified to specify operation on a different channel, and shall be accompanied by a certificate of service. The filing of a petition for reconsideration of an order modifying an authorization to specify operation on a different channel shall stay the effect of a change in the rules pending action on the petition.

4. Section 1.429 is added to read as follows:

§ 1.429 Petition for reconsideration.

(a) Any interested person may petition for reconsideration of a final action in a proceeding conducted under this subpart (see §§ 1.407 and 1.425). Where the action was taken by the Commission, the petition will be acted on by the Commission. Where action was taken by a staff official under delegated authority, the petition may be acted on by the staff official or referred to the Commission for action.

NOTE.—The staff has been authorized to act on rule making proceedings described in § 1.420 and is authorized to make editorial changes in the rules (see § 0.231(d)).

(b) A petition for reconsideration which relies on facts which have not previously been presented to the Commission will be granted only under the following circumstances:

(1) The facts relied on relate to events which have occurred or circumstances which have changed since the last opportunity to present them to the Commission;

(2) The facts relied on were unknown to petitioner until after his last opportunity to present them to the Commission, and he could not through the exercise of ordinary diligence have learned of the facts in question prior to such opportunity; or

(3) The Commission determines that consideration of the facts relied on its required in the public interest.

(c) The petition for reconsideration shall state with particularity the respects in which petitioner believes the action taken should be changed.

(d) The petition for reconsideration and any supplement thereto shall be filed within 30 days after publication in the FEDERAL REGISTER of the document containing the full text of the action taken. No supplement to a petition for reconsideration filed after expiration of the 30 day period will be considered, except upon leave granted pursuant to a separate pleading stating the grounds for acceptance of the supplement. The petition for reconsideration shall not exceed 25 double-spaced typewritten pages.

(e) Except as provided in § 1.420(f), petitions for reconsideration need not be served on parties to the proceeding. (However, where the number of parties is relatively small, the Commission encourages the service of petitions for reconsideration and other pleadings, and agreements among parties to exchange copies of pleadings.) When a petition for reconsideration is timely filed in proper form, public notice of its filing is published in the FEDERAL REGISTER. The time for filing oppositions to the petition runs from the publication date of the notice.

(f) Oppositions to a petition for reconsideration shall be filed within 15 days after public notice of the petition's filing is published in the FEDERAL REGISTER and need be served only on the person who filed the petition. Oppositions shall not exceed 25 double-spaced typewritten pages.

(g) Replies to an opposition shall be filed within 10 days after the time for filing oppositions has expired and need be served only on the person who filed the opposition. Replies shall not exceed 10 double-spaced typewritten pages.

(h) Petitions for reconsideration, oppositions and replies shall conform to the requirements of §§ 1.49 and 1.52, except that they need not be verified. Except as provided in § 1.420(e), an original and 11 copies shall be submitted to the Secretary, Federal Communications Commission, Washington, D.C. 20554.

(i) The Commission may grant the petition for reconsideration in whole or in part or may deny the petition. Its order will contain a concise statement of the reasons for the action taken. Any order disposing of a petition for reconsideration which modifies rules adopted by the original order is, to the extent of such modification, subject to reconsideration in the same manner as the original order. Except in such circumstance, a second petition for reconsideration may be dismissed by the staff as repetitious.

(j) The filing of a petition for reconsideration is not a condition precedent to judicial review of any action taken by tne Commission, except where the person seeking such review was not a party to the proceeding resulting in the action or relies on questions of fact or law upon which the Commission has been afforded no opportunity to pass. Subject to the provisions of paragraph (b) of this section, such a person may qualify to seek judicial review by filing a petition for reconsideration.

(k) Without special order of the Commission, the filing of a petition for reconsideration shall not excuse any person from complying with any rule or operate in any manner to stay or postpone its enforcement. However, upon good cause shown, the Commission will stay the effective date of a rule pending a decision on a petition for reconsideration. See, however, § 1.420(f).

5. Section 1.430 is added to read as follows:

INQUIRIES

§ 1.430 Proceedings on a notice of inquiry.

The provisions of this subpart also govern proceedings commenced by issuing a "Notice of Inquiry", except that such proceedings do not result in the adoption of rules.

[FR Doc.76-373 Filed 1-6-76;8:45 am]

Title 49—Transportation

SUBTITLE A—OFFICE OF THE SECRETARY OF TRANSPORTATION

[OST Docket No. 1; Amdt. 1-114]

PART 1—ORGANIZATION AND DELEGATION OF POWERS AND DUTIES

Delegations to the Deputy Secretary, the Deputy Under Secretary, and the Deputy Under Secretary for Budget and Program Review.

The purpose of this amendment is to publish delegations of authority to the Deputy Secretary to carry out functions vested in the Secretary by the Regional Rail Reorganization Act of 1973 with respect to the Consolidated Rail Corporation and to the Deputy Under Secretary and the Deputy Under Secretary for Budget and Program Review, whose offices have been realined.

Since this amendment relates to Departmental management, procedures and practices, notice and public procedure thereon are unnecessary and it may be made effective in fewer than 30 days after publication in the FEDERAL REGISTER.

In consideration of the foregoing, Part I of Title 49 of the Code of Federal Regulations is amended as follows:

1. Section 1.42 is revised to read as follows:

§ 1.42 Exercise of authority.

In exercising powers and performing duties delegated by this subpart or redelegated pursuant thereto, officials of the Department of Transportation are governed by applicable laws, Executive Orders and regulations and by policies, objectives, plans, standards, procedures, and limitations as may be issued from time to time by or on behalf of the Secretary, or, with respect to matters under their jurisdictions, by or on behalf of the Deputy Secretary, the Deputy Under Secretary, the Deputy Under Secretary for Budget and Program Review, an Assistant Secretary, the General Counsel, or an Administrator. This includes, wherever specified, the requirement for advance notice to, prior coordination with, or prior approval by an authority other than that of the official proposing to act.

2. In section 1.43, paragraph (b) is revised to read as follows:

§ 1.43 General limitations and reservations.

* * * * *

(b) Except as provided in § 1.42 and subject to paragraph (a) of this section and § 1.44, the Deputy Secretary, the Deputy Under Secretary, the Deputy Under Secretary for Budget and Program Review, the Assistant Secretaries, the General Counsel, the Administrators, the Director of the Materials Transportation Bureau and the Director of the Transportation System Center exercise the powers and perform the duties delegated to them under this subpart.

3. In section 1.54, paragraph (a) is revised to read as follows:

§ 1.54 Delegations to all Secretarial Officers.

(a) This section sets forth general delegations to the Deputy Secretary, the Deputy Under Secretary, the Deputy Under Secretary for Budget and Program Review, the General Counsel and the Assistant Secretaries.

* * * * *

4. In Section 1.55, paragraph (i) is added to read as follows:

§ 1.55 Delegations to Deputy Secretary.

* * * * *

(i) Serve as the representative of the Secretary as incorporator, member of the interim board of directors established by section 301(c) of the Regional Rail Reorganization Act of 1973 (Pub. L. 93-236), and member of the board of directors, of the Consolidated Rail Corporation and when so serving carry out the functions vested in the Secretary in each capacity by Title III of the Regional Rail Reorganization Act of 1973 (Pub. L. 93-236).

5. In section 1.59, paragraph (c) (1) is revised to read as follows:

§ 1.59 Delegations to Assistant Secretary for Administration.

* * * * *

(c) *Finance.* (1) Administer the financial and fiscal affairs of the Office of the Secretary (other than those for which the Deputy Under Secretary for Budget and Program Review is responsible), in accordance with 31 U.S.C. 66a.

* * * * *

6. Sections 1.64 and 1.65 are added, to read as follows:

§ 1.64 Delegations to Deputy Under Secretary.

The Deputy Under Secretary is delegated authority to (a) Act for the Secretary and the Deputy Secretary in respect to the internal affairs of the Immediate Office of the Secretary and represent the Secretary and Deputy Secretary in matters assigned by them.

§ 1.65 Delegations to Deputy Under Secretary for Budget and Program Review.

The Deputy Under Secretary for Budget and Program Review is delegated authority to:

(a) Exercise day-to-day operating management responsibility over the Office of Planning and Program Review and the Office of Budget.

(b) Direct and manage the Departmental planning—programing—budgeting activities.

(c) Request apportionment or reapportionment of funds by the Office of Management and Budget, provided that no request for apportionment or reapportionment which anticipates the need for a supplemental appropriation shall be submitted to the Office of Management and Budget without appropriate certification by the Secretary.

(d) Issue allotments and allocations of funds to components of the Department.

(e) Authorize and approve official travel and transportation for himself and staff members of the Immediate Office of the Secretary, except those of the Office of the Deputy Under Secretary, including authority to sign and approve related travel orders and travel vouchers, but not included requests for overseas travel.

(f) Issue monetary authorizations for use of reception and representation funds.

Effective date: This amendment is effective January 7, 1976.

Issued in Washington, D.C., on December 19, 1975.

WILLIAM T. COLEMAN,
Secretary of Transportation.

[FR Doc.76-419 Filed 1-6-76;8:45 am]

proposed rules

This section of the FEDERAL REGISTER contains notices to the public of the proposed issuance of rules and regulations. The purpose of these notices is to give interested persons an opportunity to participate in the rule making prior to the adoption of the final rules.

DEPARTMENT OF THE TREASURY

Internal Revenue Service

[26 CFR Part 1]

CERTAIN RETROACTIVE AMENDMENTS OF EMPLOYEE PLANS

REGULATIONS RELATING TO MINIMUM PARTICIPATION STANDARDS

QUALIFIED JOINT AND SURVIVOR ANNUITIES

REQUIREMENT THAT BENEFITS UNDER A QUALIFIED PLAN ARE NOT DECREASED ON ACCOUNT OF CERTAIN SOCIAL SECURITY INCREASES

COMMENCEMENT OF BENEFITS UNDER QUALIFIED TRUSTS

Notice of Hearings on Proposed Regulations

Public hearings on the provisions of the below-listed proposed regulations will be held on February 26 and, if necessary on February 27, 1976, beginning at 10 a.m. e.s.t., in the George S. Boutwell Auditorium, Seventh Floor, 7400 Corridor, Internal Revenue Building, 1111 Constitution Avenue, N.W., Washington, D.C. 20224. The public hearings will be in respect to:

(1) Proposed regulations under section 401 of the Internal Revenue Code of 1954 relating to certain retroactive amendments of employee plans, appearing in the FEDERAL REGISTER for October 7, 1975 (40 F.R. 46314). An extension of time to December 8, 1975 for submitting comments thereon appears in the FEDERAL REGISTER for November 10, 1975 (40 F.R. 52417).

(2) Proposed regulations under sections 410 and 413 of the Internal Revenue Code of 1954 relating to minimum participation standards, appearing in the FEDERAL REGISTER for October 3, 1975 (40 F.R. 45838). An extension of time to December 2, 1975 for submitting comments thereon appears in the FEDERAL REGISTER for November 10, 1975 (40 F.R. 52418).

(3) Proposed regulations under section 401 of the Internal Revenue Code of 1954 relating to qualified joint and survivor annuities, appearing in the FEDERAL REGISTERS for October 3, and October 22, 1975 (40 F.R. 45828 and 49343).

(4) Proposed regulations under section 401 of the Internal Revenue Code of 1954 relating to requirement that benefits under a qualified plan are not decreased on account of certain social security increases, appearing in the FEDERAL REGISTER for October 15, 1975 (40 F.R. 48361).

(5) Proposed regulations under section 401 of the Internal Revenue Code of 1954 relating to commencement of benefits under qualified trusts appearing in the FEDERAL REGISTER for October 8, and October 17, 1975 (40 F.R. 47138 and 48690).

The rules of § 601.601(a)(3) of the "Statement of Procedural Rules" (26 CFR Part 601) shall apply with respect to such public hearings. Copies of these rules may be obtained by a request directed to the Commissioner of Internal Revenue, Attention: CC:LR:T, Washington, D.C. 20224, or by telephoning (Washington, D.C.) 202-964-3935. Under such § 601.601(a)(3) persons who have submitted written comments within the time prescribed in the respective notices of proposed rulemaking (or in the respective extensions of time, if any) and who desire to present oral comments at the respective hearing on such proposed regulations, should submit an outline of the comments to be presented at the hearing and the time they wish to devote to each subject by February 12, 1976. Such outlines should be submitted to the Commissioner of Internal Revenue, Attention: CC:LR:T, Washington, D.C. 20224. Under § 601.601(a)(3) (26 CFR Part 601) each speaker will be allowed 10 minutes for an oral presentation on each of the above-listed proposed regulations for which he or she has submitted timely comments, exclusive of time consumed by questions from the panel for the Government and answers thereof.

Persons who desire a copy of such written comments or outlines and who desire to be assured of their availability on or before the beginning of such hearings should notify the Commissioner, in writing, at the above address by February 20, 1976. In such a case, unless time and circumstances permit otherwise, the desired copies are deliverable only at the above address. The charge for copies is ten cents ($0.10) per page.

An agenda showing the scheduling of the speakers will be made after outlines are received from the speakers. Copies of this agenda will be available free of charge at the hearing, and information with respect to its contents may be obtained on February 25, 1976, by telephoning (Washington, D.C.) 202-964-3935.

JAMES F. DRING,
Director, Legislation and Regulations Division.

[FR Doc.76-290 Filed 1-2-76;8:49 am]

DEPARTMENT OF AGRICULTURE

Animal and Plant Health Inspection Service

[9 CFR Parts 301, 381]

SALES BY EXEMPTED RETAIL STORES IN DESIGNATED STATES

Notice of Withdrawal of Proposed Regulation

Purpose. **The purpose of this document is to announce that the Department is withdrawing the proposed regulation to exempt certain sales by retail stores to nonhousehold consumers.**

Statement of Considerations: On April 8, 1975, a notice of proposed rulemaking was published in the FEDERAL REGISTER (40 FR 15906) to amend the federal meat and poultry products inspection regulations (9 CFR 303.1, 381.10) to permit retail stores exempted from Federal inspection in designated States to sell in intrastate commerce certain prepackaged inspected meat and poultry products in normal retail quantities to nonhousehold consumers without affecting percentage and annual dollar sales limitations provided in the regulations. Written comments were received from 272 individuals. Eight persons presented oral statements at a public hearing held on July 9, 1975. This hearing was held to give all parties an opportunity to fully discuss the merits of the proposal and to help the Department determine what disposition should be made of the proposal.

Section 301(c)(2) of the Federal Meat Inspection Act (21 U.S.C. 661(c)(2)) and section 5(c)(2) of the Poultry Products Inspection Act (21 U.S.C. 454(c)(2)), state that the provisions of these Acts requiring inspection of the slaughter of animals and poultry, and the preparation of carcasses, parts thereof, meat and meat food products, and the processing of poultry products, shall not apply to operations of types traditionally and usually conducted at retail stores and restaurants, when conducted at any retail store or restaurant or similar retail-type establishment for sale in normal retail quantities or service of such articles to consumers at such establishments if such establishments are subject to such inspection provisions only because of the designation of the State in which said establishments are located.

Neither the written comments nor the oral statements provided evidence to support a conclusion that such sales of prepackaged inspected products to non-

household consumers had been a traditional and usual retail operation and was therefore exempt from routine inspection under the provisions of the Federal Meat Inspection Act and the Poultry Products Inspection Act (21 U.S.C. 454(c)(2), 661(c)(2)). Therefore, the Department has decided to withdraw the proposal published in the April 8, 1975, FEDERAL REGISTER (40 FR 15906).

Done at Washington, D.C. on: November 18, 1975.

F. J. MULHERN,
Administrator, Animal and Plant Health Inspection Service.

[FR Doc.76-242 Filed 1-6-76;8:45 am]

FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS COMMISSION

[47 CFR Part 43]

[FCC 75-1415; Docket No. 20680]

TELEPHONE COMPANIES

Annual Report Form M and Monthly Report Form 901

In the matter of amendment of Annual Report Form M and Monthly Report Form 901 for telephone companies; Docket No. 20680.

Adopted: December 22, 1975.

Released: December 31, 1975.

1. Notice of Proposed Rule Making in the above-entitled matter is hereby given.

2. In its Report and Order adopted October 29, 1975, in Docket No. 20489, the Commission amended Part 31, Uniform System of Accounts for Class A and Class B Telephone Companies, and Part 33, Uniform System of Accounts for Class C Telephone Companies, of its Rules and Regulations to permit normalization accounting for income tax differentials occasioned by the use of depreciation based on Class Lives and Asset Depreciation Ranges for income tax purposes. In so doing, the Commission established two new accounts and revised three existing accounts. These amendments were made effective January 1, 1976, with the provision that any carrier that desired to do so could adopt the changes retroactively to a date not earlier than January 1, 1971.

3. As a result of these amendments, it is believed necessary to amend certain schedules in Annual Report Form M and revise certain data reported in the Monthly Report Form 901 to bring the 1976 annual and monthly report forms in accord with the prescribed accounting. Further, as indicated in paragraph 8 of the Report and Order in Docket No. 20489, it is believed necessary to add to the Annual Report Form M a new schedule which would analyze the activity in the new deferred tax reserve account 176.2, "Accumulated deferred income taxes—other." Accordingly, it is proposed to prescribe a new schedule entitled Schedule 30C, Accumulated Deferred Income Taxes—Other (Account 176.2) for reporting data with respect to each item included in account 176.2 during the year. Further, it is proposed to change the title of Schedule 30B to show the accelerated tax depreciation reserve account number as 176.1 and to amend Schedule 10, Balance Sheet, to include new account 176.2 as a line item and revise the account number of account 176 to 176.1.

4. It is proposed to amend Schedule 11, Income and Retained Earnings Statement, to include new account 308.2, "Operating Federal income taxes deferred—other," as a line item, change the number of account 308 to 308.1, and change the title of account 309 to read "Income credits and charges resulting from prior deferrals of Federal income taxes."

5. It is proposed to amend Monthly Report Form 901 to include new accounts 176.2 and 308.2 as line items, revise the numbers of accounts 176 and 308, and change the title of account 309 as appropriate.

6. We are also proposing in this proceeding for the reporting in the Annual Report Form M of detailed data pertaining to property included in account 100.3, "Property held for future telephone use." At present, only summary dollar information is reported. It is proposed to add a new schedule to the Form M entitled 12C, Analysis of Entries in Property Held for Future Telephone Use (Account 100.3) to analyze the balances and activity in this account. It is proposed to require the reporting of each item separately, together with the date included in account 100.3, in the manner prescribed in paragraph (b) of Section 31.100:3 of Part 31. The total for each subaccount is proposed to be reported separately.

7. If the foregoing proposals are adopted, the Table of Contents and the Index for Annual Report Form M will be amended accordingly.

8. It is proposed that any amendments made as a result of this proceeding will be effective in the Annual Report Form M for the year 1976 and in Monthly Report Form 901 beginning March 1976. In addition, since the carriers subject to the Commission's accounting rules are presently required to maintain the property included in account 100.3 in the manner provided for in paragraph (b) of Section 31.100:3 of Part 31, the Commission believes that the proposed requirements of new Schedule 12C will not be unduly burdensome.

9. In view of the foregoing, it is proposed to amend Annual Report Form M and Form 901, Monthly Report of Revenues, Expenses and Other Items—Telephone Companies, as set forth below.

10. This Notice of Proposed Rule Making is issued under authority of sections 4(i) and 219 of the Communications Act of 1934, as amended, 47 U.S.C. 154(i), 219.

11. Pursuant to applicable procedures set forth in 47 CFR 1.415, interested persons may file comments on or before February 5, 1976, and reply comments on or before February 17, 1976. All relevant and timely comments and reply comments will be considered by the Commission before final action is taken in this proceeding. In reaching its decision in this proceeding, the Commission may also take into account other relevant information before it, in addition to the specific comments invited by this Notice. Comments in response to the Notice will be available for public inspection in the Commission's Broadcast and Dockets Reference Room.

12. In accordance with the provisions of 47 CFR 1.419, an original and 11 copies of all statements or briefs shall be furnished to the Commission.

FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS COMMISSION,
[SEAL] VINCENT J. MULLINS,
Secretary.

I. Annual Report Form M for Telephone Companies is amended as follows:

1. Schedule 10, Balance Sheet, is amended as follows:

a. In column (a), lines 81 to 82 are renumbered 82 and 83 and lines 80 and 81 are amended to read as follows:

80	176.1	Accumulated deferred income taxes—accelerated tax depreciation.
81	176.2	Accumulated deferred income taxes—other.

b. In the column for indicating supporting schedules, 30C is inserted on line 81.

2. Schedule 11, Income and Retained Earnings Statement, is amended as follows:

a. In column (a), lines 9 through 45 are renumbered lines 10 through 46 and lines 8 through 10 are amended to read as follows:

8	308.1	Operating Federal income taxes deferred—accelerated tax depreciation.
9	308.2	Operating Federal income taxes deferred—other.
10	309	Income credits and charges resulting from prior deferrals of Federal income taxes.

b. In the column for indicating supporting schedules, 30C is inserted on line 9 and 30B, C is inserted on line 10.

3. A new schedule entitled 12C, Analysis of Entries in Property Held for Future Telephone Use (Account 100.3) is inserted. The following is a description of the schedule.

a. The instruction reads as follows:

Analyze the activity in account 100.3 as reported in columns (b) through (h) of Schedule 12A. Instruction 2 of Schedule 12A shall apply for reporting amounts in column (f). Report property in column (a) in accordance with Section 31.100:3(b) of the Commission's Rules. Show totals for each subaccount listed.

b. The column headings read as follows:

(a): Location and Description of Property
(b): Date Included in Account 100.3
(c): Book Cost of Property at Beginning of Year
(d): Additions During the Year
(e): Retirements During the Year
(f): Transfers and Adjustments (Charges and (Credits))

(g): Book Cost of Property at End of Year

4. Schedule 30B, Accumulated Deferred Income Taxes—Accelerated Tax Depreciation (Account 176) is amended as follows:

a. The title is amended to read as follows:

30B. ACCUMULATED DEFERRED INCOME TAXES—ACCELERATED TAX DEPRECIATION (ACCOUNT 176.1)

b. Lines 2 and 3 are amended to read as follows:

2	308.1	Operating Federal income taxes deferred—accelerated tax depreciation.
3	309	Income credits and charges resulting from prior deferrals of Federal income taxes.

5. A new schedule entitled 30C, Accumulated Deferred Income Taxes—Other (Account 176.2) is inserted. The following is a description of the schedule.

a. The instructions read as follows:

1. Report the data called for in this schedule separately for each item included in account 176.2 during the year.

2. Show date of Commission authorization alongside of each item listed.

3. Explain in a note each adjustment amounting to $25,000 or more reported in column (g). If adjustments are applicable to more than one year, indicate in a note the amount applicable to each year.

b. The column headings read as follows:

(a): Particulars

(b): Balance at Beginning of Year

Caption overriding columns (c) and (d) as follows:

DEFERRALS DURING YEAR

(c): Account

(d): Amount

Caption overriding columns (e) and (f) reads as follows:

AMORTIZATION TO CURRENT YEAR

(e): Account

(f): Amount

(g): Adjustments

(h): Balance at End of Year

II. FCC Form 901—Monthly Report of Revenues, Expenses, and Other Items—Telephone Companies—is revised to read as follows:

1. Line 31 is amended to read as follows:

31. Operating Federal tax deferrals—Net (308.1, 308.2, 309).

2. Line 52 is amended to read as follows:

52. Accumulated deferred income taxes—accelerated tax depreciation 176.1.

3. A new line item is inserted on line 53 and present lines 53 through 58 are renumbered 54 through 59. New line 53 reads as follows:

53. Accumulated deferred income taxes—other (176.2).

[FR Doc.76-374 Filed 1-6-76;8:45 am]

[47 CFR Part 73]

[Docket No. 20625; RM-2450]

TELEVISION BROADCAST STATIONS IN CALIFORNIA

Extension of Time for Filing Comments and Replys

Adopted: December 30, 1975.

Released: December 31, 1975.

1. On November 7, 1975, the Commission adopted a *Notice of Proposed Rule Making* in the above-entitled proceeding. Publication was made in the FEDERAL REGISTER on November 19, 1975, 40 Fed. Reg. 53596. The dates for filing comments and reply comments are presently December 31, 1975, and January 20, 1976, respectively.

2. On December 24, 1975, counsel for the Tulare County Board of Education, proponent in this proceeding, requested that the time for filing comments and reply comments be extended to January 20, 1976, and February 9, 1976, respectively. Counsel states that the extension is necessary due to the press of other business and the interruptions caused by the holidays.

3. We are of the view that the public interest would be served by extending the time in this proceeding. Accordingly, IT IS ORDERED, that the dates for filing comments and reply comments ARE EXTENDED to and including January 20, 1976, and February 9, 1976, respectively.

4. This action is taken pursuant to authority found in Sections 4(i), 5(d)(1), and 303(r) of the Communications Act of 1934, as amended, and Sections 0.281 and 1.46 of the Commission's Rules.

FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS COMMISSION,

[SEAL] PAUL WM. PUTNEY,

Acting Chief,

Broadcast Bureau.

[FR Doc.76-375 Filed 1-6-76;8:45 am]

FEDERAL ENERGY ADMINISTRATION

[10 CFR Part 209]

INTERNATIONAL VOLUNTARY AGREEMENTS

Proposed Rulemaking and Public Hearing

The Federal Energy Administration (FEA) hereby proposes to amend Chapter II of Title 10, Code of Federal Regulations, by establishing Part 209 to provide for the conduct of activities pursuant to international voluntary agreements by persons engaged in the petroleum business. Section 252 of the Energy Policy and Conservation Act (EPCA), P.L. 94-163, provides that:

> The Administrator [of FEA], with the approval of the Attorney General, after each of them has consulted with the Federal Trade Commission and the Secretary of State, shall prescribe, by rule, standards and procedures by which persons engaged in the business of producing, transporting, refining, distributing, or storing petroleum products may develop and carry out voluntary agreements, and plans of actions, which are required to implement the allocation and information provisions of the international energy program.

The EPCA then grants limited immunity from the antitrust laws for activities undertaken in developing or carrying out such voluntary agreements. The proposed regulations establish the basic framework for the development and carrying out of such voluntary agreements. They have been developed within the time constraints of the EPCA, and with further experience elaboration may be required. It should be noted that both the EPCA and these regulations apply only to voluntary agreements to carry out the International Energy Program and do not affect other voluntary agreements entered into under the authority of the Defense Production Act.

In addition to the requirements of these regulations, certain other requirements will pertain to voluntary agreements to carry out the International Energy Program. The EPCA authorizes both the Department of Justice and the Federal Trade Commission to promulgate regulations to carry out their respective responsibilities under the Act. Moreover, the existing voluntary agreement with respect to the International Energy Program contains numerous procedural requirements, and future voluntary agreements can be expected to have similar requirements.

BACKGROUND

On November 18, 1974, the United States and seventeen other member nations of the OECD concluded an Agreement on an International Energy Program, pursuant to which was established the International Energy Program (IEP). The International Energy Agency (IEA), an autonomous institution within the OECD, administers the Program. The basic goal of the international agreement is to develop cooperative programs to lessen dependence on oil imported from outside the IEA countries.

In order for the Program to operate, it was essential that it provide for the participation of the various oil companies subject to the jurisdiction of the participating countries in two areas: the international allocation of oil in times of emergency, and the provision of information and data on the oil market as called for by the Agreement. Thus, a specific mechanism defining the manner in which companies could participate in carrying out the goals of the IEP was required. This was provided in a voluntary agreement pursuant to the Defense Production Act.

On March 6, 1975, several companies entered into the "Voluntary Agreement and Program Relating to the International Energy Program", which was concluded pursuant to section 708 of the Defense Production Act (50 U.S.C. App. § 2158). Under section 708, certain limited immunity from the antitrust laws is granted for activities pursuant to a voluntary agreement. This Voluntary Agreement, along with letters from interested agencies, including the Department of Justice, is published in the FED-

ERAL REGISTER of April 8, 1975 (40 FR 16041). Effective 90 days after its enactment, the EPCA prohibits the utilization of the authority contained in section 708 for any voluntary agreement to implement the International Energy Program. Thus, the existing voluntary agreement, which is not covered by section 252 of the EPCA, will cease to be effective on March 21, 1975, and a new voluntary agreement to replace it will be developed under the standards and procedures contained in these regulations.

The EPCA contemplates two basic situations which require the promulgation of standards and procedures with regard to voluntary agreements implementing the information and allocation provisions of the IEP. These are: (1) the development of voluntary agreements, and (2) the carrying out of voluntary agreements and the development and carrying out of plans of action thereunder. In each case the Administrator is required to establish, pursuant to criteria set forth in the Act, standards and procedures for the initiation and conduct of meetings; for the maintenance of records with regard to meetings and communications between actual and potential participants in a voluntary agreement; and for the availability to the public of information relating to such meetings and communications.

THE PROPOSED REGULATIONS IMPLEMENTING THE EPCA

The proposed Part 209 is organized in a chronological manner, that is, in terms of the events leading from the development of an agreement, including related meetings and recordkeeping, to the carrying out of plans of action.

SUBPART B—DEVELOPMENT OF VOLUNTARY AGREEMENTS

Subpart B provides standards and procedures for the development of voluntary agreements, but does not apply to meetings of bodies created by the IEA. With respect to the initiation of meetings involving two or more potential participants in an agreement, proposed § 209.22 provides that they must be chaired by the Administrator of FEA or other regular full-time Federal employee designated by him. It further provides for written notice to the Attorney General, the Federal Trade Commission, and through representatives of each House of Congress, to the appropriate congressional committees. Notice to the public in the FEDERAL REGISTER is also required at least seven days prior to each meeting. Such notice must identify the time, place, and agenda of the meeting.

With respect to the conduct of meetings to develop a voluntary agreement, proposed § 209.23 provides that all interested persons may attend, and may, as set out in the notice of the meeting, present data, views, and arguments orally and in writing. Proposed § 209.24 provides that the Administrator keep a verbatim transcript of any such meeting. In addition, complete records must be made of any communication, other than in a meeting between or among potential participants in a voluntary agreement, for the purpose of developing such agreement. All such records and transcripts, together with any agreement resulting therefrom, are to be deposited with the Administrator and will be available to the public in the manner and to the extent specified in Subpart D.

SUBPART C—CARRYING OUT OF VOLUNTARY AGREEMENTS

Subpart C deals with carrying out of voluntary agreements, and with developing and carrying out of plans of action pursuant thereto. Under proposed § 209.32, which does not apply to meetings of IEA bodies, any meeting among participants in a voluntary agreement for the purpose of carrying out such agreement or developing or carrying out a plan of action pursuant thereto, shall be initiated and chaired by a full-time Federal employee designated by the Administrator. The notice requirements are substantially the same as those applicable to meetings for developing voluntary agreements, except that during an international energy supply emergency, notice to government officials may be oral rather than in writing (although it must be confirmed in writing) and notice to the public in the FEDERAL REGISTER is not required.

With regard to the conduct of meetings under this subpart, proposed § 209.33 provides that all interested persons may attend subject to limitations of space, except that meetings under Subpart C shall not be open to the public to the extent that the President or his delegate finds that disclosure of the procedings beyond those authorized to attend would be detrimental to United States foreign policy interests. Interested persons permitted to attend may present data, views, and arguments orally and in writing. Furthermore, § 209.33 provides that while its requirements do not apply to meetings of bodies created by the IEA, no participant in a voluntary agreement may attend any meeting of any such body held to carry out a voluntary agreement or develop or carry out a plan of action, unless a full-time Federal employee is present.

With regard to the maintenance of records, § 209.34 is substantially the same as the record-keeping provision under Subpart B, except that a verbatim transcript need not be kept where considerations of time or other overriding considerations prevent it, or where the principal participants in a meeting under this subpart represent foreign governments.

SUBPART D—AVAILABILITY OF INFORMATION

Subpart D concerns the availability of information relating to meetings and communications. Proposed § 209.41 thereunder provides that records or transcripts shall be available for public inspection and copying in accordance with the Freedom of Information Act, 5 U.S.C. 552, except that only certain of the Act's limitations on mandatory disclosure will be deemed to apply. The procedures for obtaining information are those in Part 202 of FEA's regulations.

Under § 209.41, matter may be withheld from disclosure only where:

(a) it is specifically required by Executive Order to be kept secret in the interests of national defense or foreign policy;

(b) it is specifically exempted from disclosure by statute; or

(c) it relates to trade secrets.

Interested persons are invited to submit written data, views, or arguments with respect to these amendments to Executive Communications, Room 3309, Federal Energy Administration, Box FJ, The Federal Building, Washington, D.C. 20461. Comments should be identified on the outside of the envelope and on the documents submitted to the Federal Energy Administration with the designation "International Voluntary Agreements." Fifteen (15) copies should be submitted. All comments received by 4:30 p.m., January 19, 1976, will be considered by the Federal Energy Administration in evaluating the proposed regulation.

Any information or data considered by the person furnishing it to be confidential must be so identified and submitted in writing, one copy only. The FEA reserves the right to determine the confidential status of the information or data and to treat it according to its determination.

Public hearings with respect to these amendments will be held beginning at 9:30 a.m., e.d.s.t., on January 21, 1976, in Room 2105, 2000 M Street NW., Washington, D.C. Any person who has an interest in these changes, or who is representative of a group or class of persons which has such an interest, may make a written request for an opportunity to make oral presentation. Such a request should be directed to Executive Communications, FEA, and must be received before 4:30 p.m., e.d.s.t., January 14, 1976. Such a request may be hand delivered to Room 3309, The Federal Building, 12th and Pennsylvania Avenue NW., Washington, D.C., between the hours of 8:00 a.m. and 4:30 p.m., Monday through Friday. The person making the request should be prepared to describe the interest concerned; if appropriate, to state why he is a proper representative of a group or class of persons which has such an interest; and to give a concise summary of the proposed oral presentation and a phone number where he may be contacted through January 16, 1976. Each person selected to be heard will be so notified by the FEA before 4:30 p.m., e.d.s.t., January 20, 1976, and must submit 100 copies of his statements to Allocations Regulations Development Office, FEA, Room 2214, 2000 M Street NW., Washington, D.C. 20461, before 4:30 p.m., e.d.s.t.

The FEA reserves the right to select the persons to be heard at these hearings, to schedule their respective presentations, and to establish the procedures governing the conduct of the hearings. The length of each presentation

may be limited, based on the number of persons requesting to be heard.

An FEA official will be designated to preside at the hearings. These will not be judicial or evidentiary-type hearings. Questions may be asked only by those conducting the hearings; and there will be no cross-examination of persons presenting statements. Any decision made by the FEA with respect to the subject matter of the hearings will be based on all information available to the FEA. At the conclusion of all initial oral statements, each person who has made an oral statement will be given the opportunity, if he so desires, to make a rebuttal statement. The rebuttal statements will be given in the order in which the initial statements were made and will be subject to time limitations.

Any interested persons may submit questions, to be asked of any person making a statement at the hearings to Executive Communications, FEA, before 4:30 p.m., e.d.s.t., Jan. 14, 1976. Any person who makes an oral statement and who wishes to ask a question at the hearings may submit the question, in writing, to the presiding officer. The FEA or the presiding officer, if the question is submitted at the hearings, will determine whether the question is relevant, and whether time limitations permit it to be presented for answer.

Any further procedural rules needed for the proper conduct of the hearings will be announced by the presiding officer.

A transcript of the hearings will be made and the entire record of the hearings, including the transcript, will be retained by the FEA and made available for inspection in the FEA Freedom of Information Office, Room 3116, Federal Building, 12th and Pennsylvania Avenue, NW., Monday through Friday. Any person may purchase a copy of the transcript from the reporter.

The Administrator of the Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) has reviewed this proposal in accordance with the review provisions of section 7(c)(2) of the Federal Energy Administration Act of 1974, providing for submission of proposed rules for comment by the Administrator. He has advised FEA that he has no comment.

Finally, this proposal has been reviewed in accordance with Executive Order 11821 and OMB Circular No. A-107 and has been determined not to require evaluation of its inflationary impact as provided therein.

[Federal Energy Administration Act of 1974, Pub. L. 93-275; E.O. 11790, 39 FR 23185; Energy Policy and Conservation Act, Pub. L. 94-163]

In consideration of the foregoing, it is proposed that Part 209 be established in Chapter II, Title 10 of the Code of Federal Regulations as set forth below, effective March 21, 1976.

Issued in Washington, D.C., January 2, 1976.

MICHAEL F. BUTLER,
General Counsel,
Federal Energy Administration.

PART 209—INTERNATIONAL VOLUNTARY AGREEMENTS

Subpart A—General Provisions

209.1 Purpose and scope.
209.2 Delegation.
209.3 Definitions.

Subpart B—Development of Voluntary Agreements

209.21 Purpose and scope.
209.22 Initiation of meetings.
209.23 Conduct of meetings.
209.24 Maintenance of records.

Subpart C—Carrying Out of Voluntary Agreements and Developing and Carrying Out of Plans of Actions

209.31 Purpose and scope.
209.32 Initiation of meetings.
209.33 Conduct of meetings.
209.34 Maintenance of records.

Subpart D—Availability of Information Relating to Meetings and Communications

209.41 Availability of information relating to meetings and communications.

AUTHORITY: Federal Energy Administration Act of 1974, Pub. L. 93-275; E.O. 11790, 39 FR 23185; Energy Policy and Conservation Act, Pub. L. 94-163.

Subpart A—General Provisions

§ 209.1 Purpose and scope.

This part implements the provisions of the Energy Policy and Conservation Act (EPCA) authorizing the Administrator to prescribe standards and procedures by which persons engaged in the business of producing, transporting, refining, distributing, or storing petroleum may develop and carry out voluntary agreements, and plans of action, which are required to implement the information and allocation provisions of the International Energy Program (IEP). The requirements of this part do not apply to activities other than those for which section 252 of EPCA makes available a defense to the antitrust laws.

§ 209.2 Delegation.

To the extent otherwise permitted by law, any authority, duty, or responsibility vested in FEA or the Administrator under these regulations may be delegated to any regular full-time employee of the Federal Energy Administration, and, by agreement, to any regular full-time employee of the Department of Justice or the Department of State.

§ 209.3 Definitions.

For purposes of this part—

(a) "Administrator" means the Administrator of the Federal Energy Administration.

(b) "Information and allocation provisions of the International Energy Program" means the provisions of Chapter V of the Program relating to the Information System, and the provisions at Chapters III and IV thereof relating to the international allocation of petroleum.

(c) "International Energy Agency" (IEA) means the International Energy Agency established by Decision of the Council of the Organization for Economic Cooperation and Development, dated November 15, 1974.

(d) "International Energy Program" (IEP) means the program established pursuant to the Agreement on an International Energy Program signed at Paris on November 18, 1974, including (1) the Annex entitled "Emergency Reserves", (2) any amendment to such Agreement which includes another nation as a Party to such Agreement, and (3) any technical or clerical amendment to such Agreement.

(e) "International energy supply emergency" means any period (1) beginning on any date which the President determines allocation of petroleum products to nations participating in the international energy program is required by Chapters III and IV of such program, and (2) ending on a date on which he determines such allocation is no longer required. Such a period shall not exceed 90 days, except where the President establishes one or more additional periods by making the determination under clause (1) of the preceding sentence.

(f) "Potential participant" means any person engaged in the business of producing, transporting, refining, distributing, or storing petroleum products; "participant" means any such person who agrees to participate in a voluntary agreement pursuant to a request to do so by the Administrator.

(g) "Petroleum" or "petroleum products" means crude oil, residual fuel oil, or any refined petroleum product (including any natural gas liquid and any natural gas liquid product).

Subpart B—Development of Voluntary Agreements

§ 209.21 Purpose and scope.

(a) This subpart establishes the standards and procedures by which persons engaged in the business of producing, transporting, refining, distributing, or storing petroleum products shall develop voluntary agreements which are required to implement the allocation and information provisions of the International Energy Program.

(b) This subpart does not apply to meetings of bodies created by the International Energy Agency.

§ 209.22 Initiation of meetings.

(a) Any meeting held for the purpose of developing a voluntary agreement involving two or more potential participants shall be initiated and chaired by the Administrator or other regular full-time Federal employee designated by him.

(b) FEA shall provide notice of meetings held pursuant to this subpart, in writing, to the Attorney General, the Federal Trade Commission, and to the Speaker of the House and the President of the Senate for delivery to the appropriate committees of Congress, and to the public through publication in the FEDERAL REGISTER. Such notice shall identify the time, place, and agenda of the meeting, and such other matters as the Administrator deems appropriate. Notice in the FEDERAL REGISTER shall be published at least seven days prior to the date of the meeting.

§ 209.23 Conduct of meetings.

(a) Meetings to develop a voluntary agreement held pursuant to this subpart shall be open to all interested persons. Interested persons desiring to attend meetings under this subpart may be required pursuant to notice to advise the Administrator in advance.

(b) Interested persons may, as set out in notice provided by the Administrator, present data, views, and arguments orally and in writing, subject to such reasonable limitations with respect to the manner of presentation as the Administrator may impose.

§ 209.24 Maintenance of records.

(a) The Administrator shall keep a verbatim transcript of any meeting held pursuant to this subpart.

(b) Potential participants shall keep a full and complete record of any communication (other than in a meeting held pursuant to this subpart) between or among themselves for the purpose of developing a voluntary agreement under this part. When two or more potential participants are involved in such a communication, they may agree among themselves who shall keep such record. Such record shall include the names of the parties to the communication and the firms, if any, which they represent; the date of the communication; the means of communication; and a description of the communication in sufficient detail to convey adequately its substance.

(c) All records and transcripts prepared pursuant to paragraphs (a) and (b) shall be deposited promptly together with any agreement resulting therefrom, with the Administrator, and shall be available to the Attorney General, the Federal Trade Commission, and the Department of State. Such records and transcripts shall be available for public inspection and copying to the extent set forth in Subpart D. Any person depositing material pursuant to this section shall indicate with particularity what portions, if any, the person believes are not subject to disclosure to the public pursuant to subpart D and the reasons for such belief.

(d) Any meeting between a potential participant and an official of FEA for the purpose of developing a voluntary agreement shall, if not otherwise required to be recorded pursuant to this section, be recorded by such official as provided in § 204.5 of this title.

Subpart C—Carrying Out of Voluntary Agreements and Developing and Carrying Out of Plans of Actions

§ 209.31 Purpose and scope.

This subpart establishes the standards and procedures by which persons engaged in the business of producing, transporting, refining, distributing, or storing petroleum products shall carry out voluntary agreements and develop and carry out plans of action which are required to implement the allocation and information provisions of the International Energy Program.

§ 209.32 Initiation of meetings.

(a) Except for meetings of bodies created by the International Energy Agency, any meeting among participants in a voluntary agreement pursuant to this subpart, for the purpose of carrying out such voluntary agreement or developing or carrying out a plan of action pursuant thereto, shall be initiated and chaired by a full-time Federal employee designated by the Administrator.

(b) Except as provided in paragraph (c), the Administrator shall provide notice of meetings held pursuant to this subpart, in writing, to the Attorney General, the Federal Trade Commission, and to the Speaker of the House and the President of the Senate for delivery to the appropriate committees of Congress. Except during an international energy supply emergency, notice shall also be provided to the public through publication in the FEDERAL REGISTER. Such notice shall identify the time, place, and agenda of the meeting. Notice in the FEDERAL REGISTER shall be published at least seven days prior to the date of the meeting unless emergency circumstances, IEP requirements or other unanticipated circumstances require the period to be shortened.

(c) During an international energy supply emergency, advance notice shall be given to the Attorney General, the Federal Trade Commission and to the Speaker of the House and the President of the Senate for delivery to the appropriate committees of Congress. Such notice may be telephonic or by such other means as practicable, and shall be confirmed in writing.

§ 209.33 Conduct of meetings.

(a) Subject to the provisions of paragraph (c), meetings held to carry out a voluntary agreement, or to develop or carry out a plan of action pursuant to this subpart, shall be open to all interested persons, subject to limitations of space. Interested persons desiring to attend meetings under this subpart may be required to advise the Administrator in advance.

(b) Interested persons permitted to attend meetings under this section may present data, views, and arguments orally and in writing, subject to such limitations with respect to the manner of presentation as the Administrator may impose.

(c) Meetings held pursuant to this subpart shall not be open to the public to the extent that the President or his delegate finds that disclosure of the proceedings beyond those authorized to attend would be detrimental to the foreign policy interests of the United States, and determines, in consultation with the Administrator, the Secretary of State, and the Attorney General, that a meeting shall not be open to interested persons or that attendance by interested persons shall be limited.

(d) The requirements of this section do not apply to meetings of bodies created by the International Energy Agency, except that no participant in a voluntary agreement may attend any meeting of any such body held to carry out a voluntary agreement, or to develop or to carry out a plan of action unless a full-time Federal employee is present.

§ 209.34 Maintenance of records.

(a) The Administrator or his designate shall keep a verbatim transcript of any meeting held pursuant to this subpart except where (1) due to considerations of time or other overriding circumstances, the keeping of a verbatim transcript is not practicable, or (2) principal participants in the meeting are representatives of foreign governments. If a transcript is not kept, a full and complete record shall be made. The accuracy of any such record, other than a verbatim transcript, kept by a designee who is not a full-time Federal employee shall be certified by a full-time Federal employee in attendance at the meeting.

(b) Participants shall keep a full and complete record of any communication (other than in a meeting held pursuant to this subpart) between or among themselves for the purpose of carrying out a voluntary agreement or to developing or carrying out a plan of action under this subpart. The parties to a communication may agree among themselves who shall keep such record. Such record shall include the names of the parties to the communication and the businesses, if any, which they represent; the date of the communication; the means of communication; and a description of the communication in sufficient detail to convey adequately its substance.

(c) All records and transcripts prepared pursuant to paragraphs (a) and (b) shall be deposited promptly, together with any agreement resulting therefrom, with the Administrator and shall be available to the Attorney General, the Federal Trade Commission, and the Department of State. Such records and transcripts shall be available for public inspection and copying to the extent set forth in Subpart D. Any person depositing materials pursuant to this section shall indicate with particularity what portions, if any, the person believes are not subject to disclosure to the public pursuant to Subpart D and the reasons for such belief.

(d) Any meeting between a participant and an official of FEA for the purpose of carrying out a voluntary agreement or developing or carrying out a plan of action shall, if not otherwise required to be recorded pursuant to this section, be recorded by such official as provided in § 204.5 of this title.

Subpart D—Availability of Information Relating to Meetings and Communications

§ 209.41 Availability of information relating to meetings and communications.

(a) Except as provided in paragraph (b), records or transcripts prepared pursuant to this subpart shall be available for public inspection and copying in accordance with section 552 of title 5, United State Code and Part 202 of this title.

(b) Matter may be withheld from disclosure under section 552(b) of title 5 only on the grounds specified in:

(1) Section 552(b)(1), applicable to matter specifically required by Executive Order to be kept secret in the interest of the national defense or foreign policy. This section shall be interpreted to include matter protected under Executive Order No. 11652 of March 8, 1972, establishing categories and criteria for classification, as well as any other such orders dealing specifically with disclosure of IEP related materials;

(2) Section 552(b)(3), applicable to matter specifically exempted from disclosure by statute; and

(3) So much of section 552(b)(4) as relates to trade secrets.

[FR Doc.76-442 Filed 1-2-76;5:08 pm]

[10 CFR Part 212]

REFINERS' PROFIT MARGIN LIMITATION

Further Notice of Proposed Rulemaking and Public Hearing

The Federal Energy Administration (FEA) hereby gives notice of a proposal to expand the scope of its consideration of revisions to the refiners' profit margin limitation to include elimination of that limitation, found in 10 CFR 212.3, 212.11, 212.31, 212.51, 212.82, and 212.161.

On September 6, 1974, the FEA issued a notice of proposed rulemaking which included proposed revisions of the profit margin limitation. (39 FR 32717, September 10, 1974.) However, because of the complexity of the issue and the legitimate areas of concern raised by the comments to the notice of proposed rulemaking, the FEA determined that further study was necessary to determine if the profit margin limitation was an effective and efficient element of the price regulations applicable to refiners.

On July 1, 1975, the FEA, therefore, issued a second notice of proposed rulemaking which proposed and solicited comments on detailed modifications to the profit margin limitation. (40 FR 28634, July 8, 1975.) The proposed rule would have continued the profit margin test as a limitation on the passthrough of refiners' non-product cost increases, but would have made four fundamental modifications to make the limitation a more effective and efficient profit control mechanism. The expiration of the Emergency Petroleum Allocation Act on August 31, 1975 and the uncertainty created by its successive extensions delayed decision on the proposal.

The original profit margin limitation was introduced by the Cost of Living Council ("CLC") pursuant to the Economic Stabilization Act of 1970 for the purpose of reducing the nation's spiraling rate of inflation by discouraging firms from increasing prices above base prices and by limiting the profits as a percentage of sales of those firms which did so. A single limitation applied to all of the activities of a firm, including its consolidated and unconsolidated entities.

The FEA adopted the profit margin limitation from the CLC regulations for two reasons. First, the rules were familiar to the regulators and the regulated industry. Second, because most firms were over their base period profit margins, the existence of the limitation meant that few could pass through nonproduct costs. This was at a time when rapidly escalating crude oil costs were having a substantial inflationary impact on the economy.

The original CLC profit margin test was adopted by the FEA with two important modifications, one already incorporated by the CLC and the second introduced by FEA: (1) base price was redefined to permit it to increase with increases in product costs, so only price increases to reflect non-product costs triggered the limitation, and (2) the limitation and the base price concept were applied only to refiners.

When the President signed the Energy Policy and Conservation Act on December 22, 1975, he directed the FEA to begin immediately, as authorized by the legislation, to review and remove all unnecessary existing price and allocation regulations except those on crude oil prices. Since the profit margin limitation functions only as a secondary restriction on the passthrough of actual non-product cost increases and has always been complex to administer, it would be in keeping with the President's announced desire to eliminate unnecessary "downstream" price controls to consider the elimination of the profit margin limitation altogether. Therefore, FEA hereby proposes to expand the options being considered under the July 1, 1975 notice of proposed rulemaking to include elimination of the profit margin limitation with respect to any fiscal year ending after December 31, 1975.

Interested persons are invited to participate in this rulemaking by submitting data, views or arguments with respect to the proposals set forth in this notice to Executive Communications, Room 3309, Federal Energy Administration, Box FE, Washington, D.C. 20461.

Comments should be identified on the outside envelope and on documents submitted to FEA Executive Communications with the designation "Deletion of Profit Margin Limitation." Fifteen copies should be submitted. All comments received by January 23, 1976, before 4:30 p.m., e.s.t., and all other relevant information, will be considered by the Federal Energy Administration before final action is taken on the proposed regulations.

Any information or data considered by the person furnishing it to be confidential must be so identified and submitted in writing, one copy only. The FEA reserves the right to determine the confidential status of the information or data and to treat it according to its determination. The public hearing in this proceeding will be held at 9:30 a.m., e.s.t., on January 30, 1976, in Room 2105, 2000 M Street, N.W., Washington, D.C. 20461, in order to receive comments from interested persons on the matters set forth herein.

Any person who has an interest in the proposed amendments issued today, or who is a representative of a group or class of persons that has an interest in today's proposed amendments, may make a written request for an opportunity to make oral presentation. Such a request should be directed to Executive Communications, FEA, and must be received before 4:30 p.m., e.s.t., on January 21, 1976. Such a request may be hand delivered to Room 3309, Federal Building, 12th and Pennsylvania Avenue, N.W., Washington, D.C., between the hours of 8:00 a.m. and 4:30 p.m., Monday through Friday. The person making the request should be prepared to describe the interest concerned, if appropriate, to state why he is proper representative of a group or class of persons that has such an interest, and to give a concise summary of the proposed oral presentation and a phone number where he may be contacted through January 23, 1976. Each person selected to be heard will be so notified by the FEA before 4:30 p.m., January 23, 1976 and must submit 100 copies of his statement to Allocations Regulations Development Office, FEA, Room 2214, 2000 M Street, N.W., Washington, D.C. 20461, before 4:30 p.m., e.s.t., on January 29, 1976.

The FEA reserves the right to select the persons to be heard at these hearings, to schedule their respective presentations, and to establish the procedures governing the conduct of the hearings. Th elength of each presentation may be limited, based on the number of persons requesting to be heard.

An FEA official will be designated to preside at the hearings. These will not be judicial or evidentiary-type hearings. Questions may be asked only by those conducting the hearings, and there will be no cross-examination of persons presenting statements. Any decision made by the FEA with respect to the subject matter of the hearings will be based on all information available to the FEA. At the conclusion of all initial oral statements, each person who has made an oral statement will be given the opportunity, if he so desires, to make a rebuttal statement. The rebuttal statements will be given in the order in which the initial statements were made and will be subject to time limitations.

Any interested person may submit questions to be asked of any person making a statement at the hearings, to Executive Communications, FEA, before 4:30 p.m., e.s.t., January 23, 1976. Any person who wishes to ask a question at the hearings may submit the question, in writing, to the presiding officer. The FEA or the presiding officer, if the question is submitted at the hearings, will determine whether the question is relevant, and whether the time limitations permit to be presented for answer.

Any further procedural rules needed for the proper conduct of the hearings will be announced by the presiding officer.

A transcript of the hearings will be made and the entire record of the hearings, including the transcript, will be re-

tained by the FEA and made available for inspection at the Administrator's Reception Area, Room 3400, Federal Building, 12th and Pennsylvania Avenue, N.W., Washington, D.C., between the hours of 8:00 a.m. and 4:30 p.m., Monday through Friday. Any person may purchase a copy of the transcript from the reporter.

As required by section 7(c)(2) of the Federal Energy Administration Act of 1974, Pub. L. 93–275, a copy of this notice has been submitted to the Administrator of the Environmental Protection Agency for his comments concerning the impact of this proposal on the quality of the environment. The Administrator had no comments on this proposal, but reserved the right to submit comments to the FEA prior to January 30, 1976.

The inflationary impact of this proposal has been considered to the extent of information currently available to FEA, consistent with Executive Order 11821, issued November 27, 1974. Pursuant to the notice of proposed rulemaking issued July 1, 1975, the FEA requested refiners to submit non-product cost data regarding the month of June, 1975. However, because of the uncertainty of the continuation of the program at that time, only a few refiners furnished the FEA with such information. Therefore, comments are again specifically requested from refiners, on the amount of increased non-product costs, determined pursuant to § 212.87, which they have incurred for each of the past 6 months and on the amount of the price increase that could be implemented by passing through all of those increased non-product costs. Amounts should be broken down by product and by type of cost. Additional increased non-product cost data, computed by other methods than that prescribed by § 212.87, may also be submitted, but the method of computation should be described. Such non-product cost information will be afforded confidential treatment by FEA, if such treatment is requested. Interested parties are again hereby advised that failure to submit such information could result in a conclusion by FEA that it had insufficient data upon which to take final action in this proceeding designed to eliminate the profit margin limitation.

(Emergency Petroleum Allocation Act of 1973, Pub. L. 93–159, as amended, Pub. L. 93–511, Pub. L. 94–99, Pub. L. 94–133 and Pub. L. 94–163; Federal Energy Administration Act of 1974, Pub. L. 93–275; E.O. 11790, 39 FR 23185).

In consideration of the foregoing, it is proposed to amend Part 212 of Chapter II, Title 10, Code of Federal Regulations effective January 1, 1976, as set forth below.

Issued in Washington, D.C., December 31, 1975.

MICHAEL F. BUTLER,
General Counsel,
Federal Energy Administration.

§ 212.3 [Removed]

1. Section 212.3 is deleted.

§ 212.11 [Removed]

2. Section 212.11 is deleted.

§ 212.13 [Amended]

3. Section 212.31 is amended to delete the definitions of "base period," "base period profit margin," and "profit margin."

4. Section 212.51 is revised to read as follows:

§212.51 General.

Prices charged with regard to the items and sales described in this subpart are exempt from the price rules prescribed in this part.

§ 212.82 [Amended]

5. Paragraph (d) of § 212.82 is deleted.

6. Section 212.161 is revised in paragraph (b)(2)(iii) to read as follows:

§ 212.161 Applicability and relationship to other subparts.

* * * * *

(b) * * *

(2) * * *

* * * * *

(iii) *Calculation of increased non-product costs.*

Such refiners shall calculate increased non-product costs attributable to natural gas processing pursuant to § 212.165, and shall add the amount of increased non-product costs so determined to the amount of increased non-product costs incurred in each month of measurement and determined to be allocable to prices charged for covered products pursuant to § 212.87(b).

* * * * *

[FR Doc.76–291 Filed 1–2–76;9:09 am]

notices

This section of the FEDERAL REGISTER contains documents other than rules or proposed rules that are applicable to the public. Notices of hearings and investigations, committee meetings, agency decisions and rulings, delegations of authority, filing of petitions and applications and agency statements of organization and functions are examples of documents appearing in this section.

DEPARTMENT OF STATE

Agency for International Development

PEST MANAGEMENT PROGRAM

Interim Pesticide Procedures

The Agency for International Development (A.I.D.) published in the Federal Register on December 11, 1975 (40 FR 57696) a notice of a determination to prepare an Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the Agency's Pest Management Program, including its pesticide activities. Pending completion of this EIS and the preparation and publication of appropriate regulations resulting therefrom, interim procedures are hereby adopted and set forth below:

(a) A.I.D. will not provide assistance for the procurement and use of—

(1) Dichlorodiphenyl trichloroenthane (DDT) (except for public health use);

(2) Aldrin and Dieldrin (except for restricted termite use, the dipping of roots and tops of non-food plants);

(3) 2, 4, 5–T;

(4) Chlordane;

(5) Heptachlor.

A.I.D. will not provide assistance for the procurement or use of a pesticide which is not registered, for a use which is not registered, for a pesticide or a use which has been finally suspended, or for a use or pesticide which has been cancelled by the Environmental Protection Agency (EPA). Further, A.I.D. will not provide assistance for a use or a pesticide for which the EPA has given notice of intent to suspend, after 60 days from such notice, except that such assistance may be provided if the A.I.D. Administrator personally determines, in writing, that the benefits of using the pesticide outweigh the potential adverse effects and that no preferable alternative is available.

In view of the frequency and/or variety of notices of intent to suspend, particularly of uses of pesticides, before providing assistance for procurement or use of a pesticide, the A.I.D. overseas Missions should check with the Assistant Administrator of the appropriate Regional Bureau, AID/Washington. Others, where Missions are not involved, should check directly with the Office of Science and Technology (TA/OST). Questions concerning registration, suspensions and uses of any pesticides not listed in paragraph 1 above will be answered by the responsible Bureau in conjunction with the Office of Commodity Management (SER/COM) and TA/OST.

The above prohibitions do not apply to assistance for pesticides if the responsible A.I.D. employee determines, in writing, that the pesticide will be used for health purposes (both human and animal) and that significant health problems will occur without the use of the pesticide.

In counties having Missions, the responsible A.I.D. employee for health purposes will be the Mission Director. In African countries without Missions the appropriate Regional Economic Development Support Office (REDSO) will be asked to make this determination. Cable requests for concurrence to provide assistance for purchases of such pesticides for health purposes with adequate information to justify full determination will be made to the appropriate Assistant Administrator, who in all cases will coordinate with the Office of Health (TA/H), TA/OST and SER/COM. Requests will be given immediate consideration.

Further, A.I.D. may provide assistance for the use of any pesticide referred to above if the A.I.D. Administrator personally determines, in writing, in each specific instance, that an emergency exists. An emergency will be determined to exist when a pest outbreak has or is about to occur and no pesticide registered for the particular use, or alternative method of control is available to eradicate or control the pest, and when significant economic problems will occur without the use of the pesticides. Further, notwithstanding the above, A.I.D. may provide assistance for the procurement and use of a pesticide if the pesticide is not registered in the United States or if the pesicide has been cancelled at the end of a five-year registration period or at the request of a registrant and if the pesticide will be used on agricultural crops and associated vectors not grown or found in the United States, and if the A.I.D. Administrator personally determines, in writing, that the benefits of using the pesticide outweigh the potential adverse effects and that no preferable alternative is available.

Cable requests with justification in sufficient detail for the A.I.D. Administrator to make a determination should be made to the Assistant Administrator of appropriate Regional or other Bureau for coordination with TA/OST and final recommendation to the A.I.D. Administrator. In countries having A.I.D. Missions, the Mission Director is the responsible A.I.D. employee for this purpose.

(b) Any determination by the A.I.D. Administrator mentioned in subparagraph (a), above, will be made in consultation with the EPA, will include a statement of the basis for the determination, and will be published in the FEDERAL REGISTER within 10 days of the time the determination is made. A.I.D. will, unless time constraints do not permit, provide public notice that the Administrator intends to render such a determination.

A determination made by the Administrator when time constraints do not permit issuance of a public notice of intent will, nevertheless, be made in consultation with EPA and will include a statement of the basis for the determination.

The foregoing prohibitions do not apply to assistance provided by A.I.D. for controlled experimentation of limited scope and not involving application for crop production purposes.

The foregoing interim regulations supersede Part II.C—Pesticides—of the A.I.D. Commodity Eligibility Listing (pages 69 through 122). When permanent regulations are promulgated, the Listing will be appropriately amended.

Appropriate Regional and other Bureau technical offices are prepared to respond promptly to specific questions that may arise regarding implementation of AID interim regulations on procurement and use of pesticides.

(Section 621 of the Foreign Assistance Act of 1961, as amended (22 U.S.C. 2381) and Executive Order 11769)

CURTIS FARRAR,
Assistant Administrator,
Technical Assistance Bureau.

DECEMBER 31, 1975.

[FR Doc.76-314 Filed 1-6-76;8:45 am]

Office of the Secretary

[Public Notice CM-6/2]

SHIPPING COORDINATING COMMITTEE; SUBCOMMITTEE ON MARITIME LAW

Notice of Meeting

A meeting of the Subcommittee on Maritime Law of the Shipping Coordinating Committee will be held at 10:00 a.m. on Tuesday, February 3, 1976, in Room 1406 of the Department of State, 2201 C Street N.W., Washington, D.C. The meeting will be open to the public.

The purpose of the meeting is to discuss preparations for the Third Session of the Intergovernmental Preparatory Group on International Intermodal Transport, which meets under the ausices of the United Nations Conference on Trade and Development (UNCTAD). The Third Session will meet in Geneva February 16–March 5, 1976. The principal item on the agenda of the February 3 meeting will be the consideration of the U.S. position for the Third Intergovernmental Preparatory Group. Issues to be reviewed include documentation questions, insurance and liability problems, customs, and other matters related to any proposed convention on international intermodal transportation.

In order to facilitate entry to the State Department Building, members of the public planning to attend the meeting

are requested to inform Mrs. Etta Wilson, (area code 202) 632–0705.

Any questions concerning this meeting should be directed to Mr. Richard Johe, Office of Maritime Affairs, Department of State. He may be reached by telephone on (area code 202) 632–1313.

Comments from the public will be welcomed.

JOHN P. STEINMETZ,
Acting Director,
Office of Maritime Affairs.

DECEMBER 24, 1975.

[FR Doc.76–418 Filed 1–6–76;8:45 am]

DEPARTMENT OF THE TREASURY

Comptroller of the Currency

REGIONAL ADVISORY COMMITTEE ON BANKING POLICIES AND PRACTICES FOR THE SEVENTH NATIONAL BANK REGION

Notice of Meeting

A meeting of the Advisory Committee on Banking Policies and Practices for the Seventh National Bank Region will be held January 23, 1976 at the Boardroom of the Exchange National Bank of Chicago, Chicago, Illinois. The meeting will start at 9:00 A.M. and will be open to the public. Interested members of the public will be admitted on a first come basis.

Topics to be discussed include a report on the state of the region by the regional administrator, legislative developments and pending litigation by regional counsel, EFTS developments, an update on implementation of the Haskins and Sells Study, revised reporting requirements, CPA standards and practices, loan classifications and treatment of O.R.E., report on national committee meeting, economic synopsis, statements and observations of public members.

Dated: January 5, 1976.

[SEAL] JAMES E. SMITH,
Comptroller of the Currency.

[FR Doc.76–558 Filed 1–6–76;8:45 am]

Customs Service

CERTAIN CONSUMER ELECTRONIC PRODUCTS FROM JAPAN

Final Negative Countervailing Duty Determination

On February 5, 1975, a "Notice of Preliminary Determination" was published in the FEDERAL REGISTER (40 F.R. 5378) announcing that it had been determined preliminarily that benefits have been paid or bestowed on certain consumer electronic products from Japan under the three programs administered by the Government of Japan which constitute bounties or grants within the meaning of section 303 of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended (19 U.S.C. 1303). An amendment to the notice was published in the FEDERAL REGISTER on Mary 7, 1975 (40 F.R. 19853) stating that other programs alleged to result in the payment or bestowal of a bounty or grant within the meaning of section 303 of the Act have been terminated by the Japanese Government and/or are preliminarily determined not to result in the payment or bestowal of a bounty or grant. The amended notice provided for the submission of any relevant data, views, or arguments to the Commissioner of Customs. No written submissions were received pursuant to the amended notice.

Pursuant to the investigation, additional facts were developed regarding benefits to Japanese exporters under the Overseas Market development Program referred to in that notice in order to determine to what extent certain firms which are capitalized at less than one billion yen benefit from the program.

The information developed in the investigation established that benefits from programs involving preferential interest rate loans from the Japanese Development Bank, promotional assistance from the Japan External Trade Organization (JETRO), and tax deferrals under the Overseas Market Development Reserve, involve an aggregate amount considered to be *de minimis* per dollar of value of the exported products.

Accordingly, on the basis of the additional facts gathered and the investigation conducted pursuant to section 159.47(c) Customs Regulations (19 CFR 159.47(c)), a final determination is hereby made in this proceeding, that for the reasons stated, herein and in the notice referred to above, no bounty or grant is being paid or bestowed, directly or indirectly, within the meaning of section 303, Tariff Act of 1930, as amended (19 U.S.C. 1303), upon the manufacturer, production, or exportation of certain consumer electronic products from Japan.

The notice is published pursuant to section 303, Tariff Act of 1930, as amended (19 U.S.C. 1303).

G. R. DICKERSON,
Acting Commissioner of Customs.

Approved: December 31, 1975.

PETER O. SUCHMAN,
Acting Assistant Secretary of The Treasury.

[FR Doc. 76–318 Filed 1–6–76;8:45 am]

FERROCHROME FROM SOUTH AFRICA

Final Countervailing Duty Determination

On June 30, 1975, a "Notice of Preliminary Countervailing Duty Determination" was published in the FEDERAL REGISTER. The notice stated that, on the basis of an investigation conducted pursuant to section 159.47(c) Customs Regulations (19 U.S.C. 159.47(c)), it had been determined preliminarily that benefits had been received by manufacturers/exporters of ferrochrome under four programs maintained by the South African Government, which were of a type that could, in some circumstances, constitute the payment of a bounty or grant, directly or indirectly, within the meaning of section 303 of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended (19 U.S.C. 1303) (referred to in this notice as "the Act"). These benefits included the granting to manufacturers/exporters concessionary rail rates, reduced electricity rates, preferential financing and a deduction of overseas promotion expenses for income tax purposes. It was also determined preliminarily that allegations contained in the petition regarding reduced harbor dues and financing for the creation of production capacity for exports were not applicable to manufacturers/exporters of ferrochrome from South Africa.

The notice further stated that, before a final determination would be made, consideration would be given to any relevant data, views or arguments submitted in writing, within 30 days of the date of the notice. The period for written comments was extended to September 3, 1975 by notice published in the FEDERAL REGISTER August 15, 1975 (40 FR 34423–34424).

On the basis of information received since the preliminary determination, it has been determined that the rail rate concession of 25 percent, the electric rate rebate of 20 percent and the preferential financing program are no longer applicable to manufacturers/exporters of ferrochrome as of January 1, 1976. It has also been determined that the deduction of overseas promotion expenses for income tax purposes will no longer be utilized by manufacturers/exporters of ferrochrome as of April 1, 1976, and that the benefit available until April 1, 1976, is *de minimus* in relation to the value of merchandise estimated to be exported in 1976. All other allegations are determined not to constitute bounties or grants within the meaning of section 303 of the Act.

Accordingly, for reasons stated above, it is hereby determined that no bounties or grants are being paid or bestowed, directly or indirectly, within the meaning of section 303 of the Act, upon the manufacture, production or exportation of ferrochrome from South Africa.

This notice is published pursuant to section 303, Tariff Act of 1930, as amended (19 U.S.C. 1303).

ROLAND RAYMOND,
Acting Commissioner of Customs.

Approved: December 31, 1975.

PETER O. SUCHMAN,
Acting Assistant Secretary of the Treasury.

[FR Doc.76–319 Filed 1–6–76;8:45 am]

FOOTWEAR FROM TAIWAN

Final Countervailing Duty Determination

On July 3, 1975, a "Notice of Preliminary Countervailing Duty Determination" was published in the FEDERAL REGISTER (40 F.R. 28105). The notice stated that on the basis of an investigation conducted pursuant to section 159.47(c), Customs Regulations (19 CFR 159.47(c)), a preliminary determination was made that no bounty or grant is being paid or bestowed, directly or indirectly, within the meaning of section 303, Tariff Act of 1930, as amended, (19

U.S.C. 1303) upon the manufacture, production, or exportation of footwear from Taiwan.

The notice stated further that before a final determination would be made in the proceeding, consideration would be given to any relevant data, views, or arguments submitted in writing within 30 days from the date of the notice with respect to the preliminary determination. The 30 day period for the submission of views was extended to 60 days by notice published in the FEDERAL REGISTER on August 15, 1975 (40 F.R. 34423).

Subsequent analysis has revealed that footwear facilities in Taiwan may be eligible for various tax and other incentives under the *Statute for Encouragement of Investment*, the criteria of which includes enterprises that would qualify if they export all of their production. Since the Treasury Department cannot ascertain at this time that no footwear facilities receive benefits under the *Statute for Encouragement of Investment*, it has been determined that exports of footwear from Taiwan are subject to bounties or grants within the meaning of section 303 of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended (19 U.S.C. 1303). Further inquiry will be made to determine those footwear facilities, if any, who receive benefits under the abovementioned statute.

Accordingly, notice is hereby given that footwear imported directly or indirectly from Taiwan, if entered, or withdrawn from warehouse, for consumption on or after the date of publication in the FEDERAL REGISTER, will be subject to payment of countervailing duties equal to the net amount of any bounty or grant ascertained and determined, or estimated, to have been paid or bestowed.

The liquidation of all entries for consumption or withdrawals from warehouse for consumption of such dutiable footwear imported directly or indirectly from Taiwan which benefit from such bounties or grants and is subject to the order shall be suspended pending declarations of the net amounts of the bounties or grants paid or bestowed. A deposit of the estimated countervailing duty, in the amount of 5 percent *ad valorem*, shall be required at the time of entry for consumption or withdrawal from warehouse for consumption.

Effective January 7, 1976 and until further notice, upon the entry for consumption or withdrawal from warehouse for consumption of such dutiable footwear imported directly from Taiwan, which benefit from these bounties or grants, there shall be collected, in addition to any other duties estimated or determined to be due, countervailing duties in the amount ascertained in accordance with the above declaration.

The table in section 159.47(f) of the Customs Regulations (19 CFR 159.47(f)) is amended by inserting in the column headed "Country", the name Taiwan. The column headed "Commodity" is amended by inserting the word "footwear". The column headed "Treasury Decision" is amended by inserting the number of this Treasury Decision, and the words "Bounty Declared—Rate" in the column headed "Action".

This notice is published pursuant to section 303, Tariff Act of 1930, as amended (19 U.S.C. 1303). (R.S. 251, sec. 303, as amended, 624; 46 Stat. 687, 759, 88 Stat. 2050; 19 U.S.C. 66, 1303, as amended, 1624).

ROLAND RAYMOND,
Acting Commissioner of Customs.

Approved: December 30, 1975.

DAVID R. MACDONALD,
Assistant Secretary of the Treasury.

[FR Doc.76-323 Filed 1-6-76;8:45 am]

PROCESSED ASPARAGUS FROM MEXICO

Notice of Final Countervailing Duty Determination

On July 3, 1975, a "Notice of Preliminary Countervailing Duty Determination" was published in the FEDERAL REGISTER (40 F.R. 28106). The notice stated that on the basis of an investigation conducted pursuant to section 159.47(c), Customs Regulations (19 CFR 159.47(c)), a preliminary determination was made that a bounty or grant is being paid or bestowed, directly or indirectly, within the meaning of section 303, Tariff Act of 1930, as amended (19 U.S.C. 1303), upon the manufacture, production, or exportation of processed asparagus from Mexico. For the purposes of this investigation the term "processed asparagus" refers to canned asparagus.

The notice stated further, however, that the information available at that time was not sufficient to permit a thorough analysis of the nature and effect of the benefits conferred on exports of processed asparagus. The benefits were described as the granting of tax rebate certificates upon export of 10% of the value of the subject product.

The notice also stated that before a final determination would be made in this proceeding, consideration would be given to any relevant data, views, or arguments submitted in writing within 30 days from the date of the notice with respect to the preliminary determination. The 30-day period for the submission of views was extended to 60 days by notice published in the FEDERAL REGISTER of August 15, 1975 (40 F.R. 34423).

Since the preliminary determination, an aditional submission has been received from the Government of Mexico which indicates that the benefits in question involve the rebate of indirect taxes to the one processor/exporter of processed asparagus. The taxes rebated are turnover (sales) taxes levied at all stages of the production process of the merchandise which have been determined to equal the 10% export rebate.

The Department of the Treasury has consistently, over the years, held that rebates or remissions of indirect taxes, directly borne by exported products, are not bounties or grants within the meaning of section 303, Tariff Act of 1930, as amended (19 U.S.C. 1303).

In view of the foregoing, a final determination is hereby made in this proceeding that no bounty or grant is being paid or bestowed, directly or indirectly, within the meaning of section 303, Tariff Act of 1930, as amended (19 U.S.C. 1303), upon the manufacture, production, or exportation of processed asparagus from Mexico.

This notice is published pursuant to section 303, Tariff Act of 1930, as amended (19 U.S.C. 1303).

G. R. DICKERSON,
Acting Commissioner of Customs.

Approved: December 22, 1975.

DAVID R. MACDONALD,
Assistant Secretary of the Treasury.

[FR Doc.76-322 Filed 1-6-76;8:45 am]

Office of the Secretary

FLOAT GLASS FROM BELGIUM

Notice of Final Countervailing Duty Determination

On July 3, 1975, a "Notice of Preliminary Countervailing Duty Determination" was published in the FEDERAL REGISTER (40 FR 28104). The notice stated that, on the basis of an investigation conducted pursuant to section 159.47(c), Customs Regulations (19 CFR 159.47(c)), it had been preliminarily determined that benefits had been received under various regional development programs maintained by the Belgian Government, which were of a type that could, in some circumstances, constitute the payment of a bounty or grant, directly or indirectly, within the meaning of section 303 of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended (19 U.S.C. 1303) (referred to below as the "Act"). These benefits included investment grants for capital expenditures, low interest loans, employment premiums, reduced rate of capital gains tax, exemptions from registration fees levied on increases in assets, exemptions from real estate taxes, accelerated depreciation, exemption from local taxes and tax exemptions for interest rate subsidies and capital grants on investments. At the time, insufficient information was available to determination the nature and effect of the benefits.

The notice further stated that, before a final determination would be made, consideration would be given to any relevant data, views, or arguments submitted in writing, within 30 days of the date of the notice. The period for written comments was extended to September 3, 1975, by notice published in the FEDERAL REGISTER August 15, 1975 (40 FR 34423-34424).

Information has now been received that permits a more complete analysis of the alleged bounties and grants. Under various regional development programs administered by the Government of Belgium, low interest loans, investment subsidies in the form of cash grants, special accelerated depreciation rates and exemption from real estate taxes have been

given to producers of float glass. The Belgian Government has advised the Treasury Department that these benefits have the effect of offsetting disadvantages which would discourage industry from moving to and expanding in less prosperous regions. Inasmuch as the recipient glass producers sell a preponderance of their production in the European Community (not less than 85 percent), the level of exports to the United States is a small percentage of the amount exported, and the amount of assistance provided by the regional incentive programs is less than 2 percent of the value of float glass produced, these benefits are not regarded as bounties or grants within the meaning of section 303 of the Act. All other benefits alleged in the petition are found either not to be bounties or grants or not to be applicable to the producers of float glass in Belgium.

Accordingly, for the reasons stated above, it is hereby determined that no bounty or grant is being paid or bestowed, directly or indirectly, within the meaning of section 303 of the Act, upon the manufacture, production, or exportation of float glass from Belgium.

This notice is published pursuant to section 303, Tariff Act of 1930, as amended (19 U.S.C. 1303).

ROLAND RAYMOND,
Acting Commissioner of Customs.

Approved: December 24, 1975.

DAVID R. MACDONALD,
Assistant Secretary of the Treasury.

[FR Doc.76-321 Filed 1-6-76;8:45 am]

FLOAT GLASS FROM WEST GERMANY

Notice of Final Countervailing Duty Determination

On June 30, 1975, a "Notice of Preliminary Countervailing Duty Determination" was published in the Federal Register. The notice stated that, on the basis of an investigation conducted pursuant to section 159.47(c) Customs Regulations (19 CFR 159.47(c)), it had been preliminary determined that benefits had been received under various West German Federal and State Government regional development programs. These benefits, including investment grants for capital expenditures, low interest loans, and special railway tariffs, were of a type that could, in some circumstances, constitute bounties or grants within the meaning of the law. At the time insufficient information was available to determine the nature and effect of the benefits.

The notice further stated that, before a final determination would be made, consideration would be given to any relevant data, views or arguments submitted in writing, within 30 days of the date of the notice. The period for written comments was extended to September 3, 1975, by notice published in the Federal Register August 15, 1975 (40 FR 34423-34424).

Information has now been received that permits a more complete analysis of the alleged bounties and grants. Under various regional development programs administered by the Federal and State Governments, low interest loans and investment subsidies in the form of cash grants and tax credits have been given to producers of float glass. The German Government has advised the Treasury Department that these benefits have the effect of offsetting disadvantages which would discourage industry from moving to and expanding in less prosperous regions. Inasmuch as the recipient glass producers sell a preponderance of their production in the West German home market (not less than 80 percent and up to 99%), the level of exports to the United States is a small percentage of the amount exported, and the amount of assistance provided by the regional incentive programs is less than 2 percent of the value of float glass produced, these benefits are not regarded as bounties or grants within the meaning of section 303 of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended (19 U.S.C. 1303). All other allegations alleged in the petition are found not to be applicable to the manufacturer, producer or exporter.

Accordingly, for the reasons stated above, it is hereby determined that no bounty or grant is being paid or bestowed, directly or indirectly, within the meaning of section 303, Tariff Act of 1930, as amended (19 U.S.C. 1303), upon the manufacture, production, or exportation of float glass from West Germany.

This notice is published pursuant to section 303, Tariff Act of 1930, as amended (19 U.S.C. 1303).

Approved: December 24, 1975.

ROLAND RAYMOND,
Acting Commissioner of Customs.

David R. Macdonald,
Assistant Secretary of the Treasury.

[FR Doc.76-320 Filed 1-6-76;8:45 am]

DEPARTMENT OF DEFENSE

Department of the Army

ARMED FORCES EPIDEMIOLOGICAL BOARD

Notice of Open Meeting

In accordance with Section 10(a)(2) of the Federal Advisory Committee Act (P.L. 92-463), announcement is made of the following committee meeting:

An ad hoc Study Team operating as a subcommittee of the Armed Forces Epidemiological Board will meet 28-29 January 1976 in the Conference Room, Building 111, Rocky Mountain Arsenal, Denver, Colorado from 0830 to 1630 hours. The purpose of the meeting is to review occupational health aspects of cholinesterase inhibitors. The proposed agenda includes a discussion of current policy and procedures and the development of improved occupational health programs.

This meeting is open to the public, but limited by space accommodations.

Any interested person may attend, appear before, or file statements with the committee at the time and in the manner permitted by the committee. Interested persons wishing to participate should advise the Executive Secretary, AFEB in writing, prior to the meeting, at the following address: Executive Secretary, DASG-AFEB, Room 1B47 Pentagon, Washington, D.C. 20310.

DUANE G. ERICKSON,
LTC, MSC, USA,
Executive Secretary.

JANUARY 2, 1976.

[FR Doc.76-357 Filed 1-6-76;8:45 am]

Office of the Secretary

ADVISORY GROUP ON ELECTRON DEVICES

Advisory Committee Meeting

Working Group C (Mainly Imaging & Display) of the DoD Advisory Group on Electron Devices (AGED) will meet in closed session at 201 Varick Street, 9th Floor, New York, NY 10014 on 26 January 1976.

The purpose of the Advisory Group is to provide the Director of Defense Research and Engineering, the Director, Defense Advanced Research Projects Agency and the Military Departments with technical advice on the conduct of economical and effective research and development programs in the area of electron devices.

The Working Group C meeting will be limited to review of research and development programs which the Military Departments propose to initiate with industry, universities or in their laboratories. This special device area includes such programs as Infrared and Night Vision Sensors. The review will include classified program details and will result in advice or recommendations to government research and development agencies preliminary to decision or actions, the preliminary disclosure of which would interfere with the orderly conduct of government.

In accordance with section 10(d) of Appendix I, Title 5, United States Code, it has been determined that this Advisory Group meeting concerns matters listed in section 552(b) of Title 5 of the United States Code, specifically subparagraph (1) thereof, and that accordingly this meeting will be closed to the public.

Dated: January 2, 1976.

MAURICE W. ROCHE,
Director, Correspondence and Directives, OASD (Comptroller).

[FR Doc.76-306 Filed 1-6-76;8:45 am]

Office of the Secretary

INTELLIGENCE AGENCY SCIENTIFIC ADVISORY COMMITTEE

Notice of Closed Meeting

Pursuant to the provisions of section 10 of Pub. L. 92-463, effective January 5, 1973, notice is hereby given that a closed meeting of a Panel of the DIA Scientific Advisory Committee will be held as follows:

Wednesday, 28 January 1976—Pomponio Plaza, Rosslyn, Virginia

The entire meeting commencing at 0900 hours is devoted to the discussion of classified information as defined in Section 552(b)(1), Title 5 of the U.S. Code and therefore will be closed to the public. Subject matter is to work on a study of specialized intelligence data requirements.

JANUARY 2, 1976.

MAURICE W. ROCHE,
Director, Correspondence and Directives (OASD, Comptroller).

[FR Doc.76-383 Filed 1-6-76;8:45 am]

DEPARTMENT OF THE INTERIOR

Bureau of Land Management

IDAHO FALLS DISTRICT ADVISORY BOARD

Meeting

Notice is hereby given in accordance with Pub. L. 92-463 that a meeting of the Idaho Falls District Advisory Board will be held February 5, 1976, at 9 a.m. in the conference room of the Bureau of Land Management building, Idaho Falls District, 940 Lincoln Road, in the city of Idaho Falls, State of Idaho.

The Board was established to provide the Idaho Falls District of the Bureau of Land Management with advice and recommendations as to the proper management of the National Resource Lands to produce goods and services for the American people.

The purpose of the meeting is to discuss the Little Lost-Birch Creek Management Framework Plan; the Desert Land Entry Applications West of St. Anthony, Idaho; proposed Off-road Vehicle Closures; Cress Creek Nature Trail; Applications from Livestock Operators to Change Class of Livestock and the District Activities during the forthcoming field season. The Advisory Board will also hear and make recommendations on those grazing type protests that involve multiple-use values.

The meeting is open to the public. It is expected that 5 persons will be able to attend the session in addition to the Committee members. Interested persons may make oral presentations to the Board or file written statements. Such requests should be made to the official listed below at least five days prior to the meeting.

Further information concerning this meeting may be obtained from O'dell A. Frandsen, District Manager, Bureau of Land Management, 940 Lincoln Road, Idaho Falls, Idaho 83401, Phone (208) 522-7460. Minutes of this meeting will be available for public information and copying three weeks after the meeting at the Idaho Falls District, Bureau of Land Management, 940 Lincoln Road, Idaho Falls, Idaho.

Dated: January 5, 1976.

O'DELL A. FRANDSEN,
District Manager.

[FR Doc.76-300 Filed 1-6-75;8:45 am]

[Group 617]

CALIFORNIA

Notice of Filing of Plat of Survey

DECEMBER 31, 1975.

1. A plat of survey of the following described land, accepted December 2, 1975, will be officially filed in the California State Office, Bureau of Land Management, Sacramento, California, effective at 10:00 a.m. on February 16, 1976:

MOUNT DIABLO MERIDIAN, CALIFORNIA

T. 25 N., R. 4 E.
Tract 41

The area described totals 6.46 acres.

The plat represents a dependent resurvey of the west one-half mile between sections 10 and 15, and the survey of Tract 41 in Section 10.

2. The survey was executed at the request of the Forest Service, Region 5, to facilitate a proposed exchange.

3. The above described lands are within the Lassen National Forest and are therefore not subject to disposition under the public land laws generally by reason of the official filing of the plat of survey. The minerals in the land were withdrawn from prospecting, location, entry and purchase under the mining laws by Public Land order 2919 dated January 30, 1963 in aid of programs of the Forest Service.

ELEANOR K. WILKINSON,
Chief, Branch of Records & Data Management.

[FR Doc.76-417 Filed 1-6-76;8:45 am]

[A 9077]

ARIZONA

Hazard Beacon Site

DECEMBER 29, 1975.

Notice is hereby given that pursuant to the Act of May 24, 1928 (49 U.S.C. 211-214), the City of Phoenix, Arizona, has applied for a hazard beacon site to be located in the N½ sec. 22, T. 4 N., R. 3 E., GSR Mer., Arizona, being more particularly described as follows:

Beginning at the Southeast corner of said N½ sec. 22; thence N. 71°58'11" W., a distance of 4,270.31 feet to the True point of beginning of the parcel herein described; thence W. a distance of 50 feet; thence N. a distance of 50 feet; thence E. a distance of 50 feet; thence S. a distance of 50 feet; to the true point of beginning; containing 2,500 square feet; .05739 of an acre.

The purpose of this notice is to inform the public that the filing of this application segregates the described land from all other forms of use or disposal under the public land laws.

Interested persons desiring to express their views should promptly send their comments to the Acting Chief, Division of Technical Services, Bureau of Land Management, 2400 Valley Bank Center, Phoenix, Arizona 85073.

LAWRENCE E. POWELL,
Acting Chief, Division of Technical Services.

[FR Doc.76-416 Filed 1-6-76;8:45 am]

BURLEY DISTRICT MULTIPLE USE ADVISORY BOARD

Notice of Meeting

Notice is hereby given in accordance with Pub. L. 92-463, that a meeting of the Burley District Multiple Use Advisory Board will be held February 4, 1976, at 9:30 A.M. at the District Office, 2 miles south on Highway 27, Burley, Idaho.

The Advisory Board was established to advise the District Manager on matters relating to the use, management, protection and disposition of lands and resources administered within the Burley District. The agenda for the meeting will include a review of Pocatello Management Framework Plan and results of public meetings; review of Desert Land Entry and Carey Act Programs in the Burley District; report of ad hoc committee findings on Simplot Exchange; report from ad hoc committee on Salmon Falls Natural Area Proposal; noxious weeds on BLM lands; grazing applications, transfers and changes of use; and discussion of Advisory Board funds.

The meeting will be open to the public. Any interested person wishing to make a presentation to the Board, or submit a written statement should contact the official listed below at least five days prior to the meeting.

Further information concerning this meeting may be obtained from the District Manager, Bureau of Land Management, Route 3, Box 1, Burley, Idaho 83318 (Telephone 678-5514). Minutes of the meeting will be available for public inspection and copying approximately one month after the meeting at the District Office in Burley, Idaho.

K. LYNN BENNETT,
Acting District Manager.

[FR Doc.76-415 Filed 1-6-76;8:45 am]

DEPARTMENT OF AGRICULTURE

Forest Service

SOUTH LINDENBERG PENINSULA LAND USE PLAN

Notice of Availability of Draft Environmental Statement

Pursuant to section 102(2)(C) of the National Environmental Policy Act of 1969, the Forest Service, Department of Agriculture, has prepared a draft environmental statement for a Land Use Plan for the South Lindenberg Peninsula Management Unit, USDA-FS-R10-DES (Adm) 76-02.

The environmental statement concerns a proposed land use plan for the management under principles of multiple use of approximately 64,600 acres of National Forest Land located on the South Lindenberg Peninsula of Kupreanof Island near Petersburg, Alaska.

This draft environmental statement was transmitted to CEQ on December 30, 1975.

Copies are available for inspection during regular working hours at the following locations:

USDA, Forest Service, South Agricultural Building, Room 3231, 12th St. and Independence Ave. SW., Washington, D.C. 20250.
USDA, Forest Service, Alaska Region, Federal Office Building, Juneau, Alaska 99802.
Forest Supervisor, Chatham Area, Tongass National Forest, Federal Building, Sitka, Alaska 99835.
Forest Supervisor, Stikine Area, Tongass National Forest, Federal Building, Petersburg, Alaska 99833.
Forest Supervisor, Ketchikan Area, Tongass National Forest, Federal Building, Room 313, Ketchikan, Alaska 99901.

A limited number of single copies are available upon request to Forest Supervisor, Stikine Area, Tongass National Forest, P.O. Box 309, Petersburg, Alaska 99833.

Copies of the environmental statement have been sent to various Federal, State, and local agencies as outlined in the CEQ guidelines.

Comments are invited from the public, and from state and local agencies which are authorized to develop and enforce environmental standards, and from Federal agencies having jurisdiction by law or special expertise with respect to any environmental impact involved for which comments have not been requested specifically.

Comments concerning the proposed action and requests for additional information should be addressed to E. Allen Crozer, Forest Supervisor, Stikine Area, Tongass National Forest, P.O. Box 309, Petersburg, Alaska 99833. Comments must be received by March 1, 1976, in order to be considered in the preparation of the final environmental statement.

JOHN F. PROKOP,
Acting Regional Forester,
Alaska Region.

DECEMBER 30, 1975.

[FR Doc.76-398 Filed 1-6-76;8:45 am]

DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

Domestic and International Business Administration

ADMINISTRATIVE HOSPITAL SERVICES, INC., ET AL.

Notice of Consolidated Decision on Applications for Duty-Free Entry of EMI Brain Scanner Systems

The following is a consolidated decision on applications for duty-free entry of EMI Brain Scanner Systems pursuant to section 6(c) of the Educational, Scientific, and Cultural Materials Importation Act of 1966 (Pub. L. 89-651, 80 Stat. 897) and the regulations issued thereunder as amended (40 FR 12253 et seq, 15 CFR 701, 1975.) (See especially § 301.11(e).)

A copy of the record pertaining to each of the applications in this consolidated decision is available for public review during ordinary business hours of the Department of Commerce, at the Special Import Programs Division, Office of Import Programs, Department of Commerce, Washington, D.C. 20230.

Docket number: 76-00080-33-90000. Applicant: Administrative Hospital Services, Inc., 400 30th Street, Suite 400, Oakland, California 94609. Article: EMI Scanner System. Manufacturer: EMI Limited, United Kingdom. Intended use of article: The article is intended to be used for screening for mineral pressure hydrocephalus in a community practice and correlation of headache with neurological abnormality. Application received by Commissioner of Customs: August 14, 1975. Advice submitted by the Department of Health, Education, and Welfare on: November 20, 1975. Article ordered: February 27, 1975.

Docket number: 76-00085-33-90000. Applicant: Tampa General Hospital, Nuclear Medicine Department, Davis Islands, Tampa, Florida 33606. Article: EMI Scanner System with Magnetic Tape System. Manufacturer: EMI Limited, United Kingdom. Intended use of article: The article is intended to be used for research and experiments including phantom evaluations to increase diagnostic capabilities to provide better patient diagnostic services. Along with clinical evaluations will include comparison of other instruments and radiological procedures, such as, the anger camera, electroencephalography, ultrasound, cerebral angiography, and neuroencephalography. Physicians residents, technologists and nurses will be given formal courses in theory and clinical methodologies of the EMI system. Application received by Commissioner of Customs: August 20, 1975. Advice submitted by the Department of Health, Education, and Welfare on: November 20, 1975. Article ordered: June 6, 1975.

Docket number: 76-00094-99-90000. Applicant: Medical University of S.C., 80 Barre Street, Charleston, South Carolina 29401. Article: EMI Scanner System with Magnetic Tape System. Manufacturer: EMI Limited, United Kingdom. Intended use of article: The article is intended to be used to serially study patients with various diseases in order to understand the underlying pathological changes in the brain. Both normal properties of the human brain and disease processes of the brain will be studied. Research programs will include the following:

1. The cerebral changes found in patients with migraine,
2. The effect on cerebral ventricular size of shunting procedures in patients with hydrocephalus, and
3. The cerebral changes found in patients with strokes and the evolution of these changes.

In addition, the article is to be used in the training of medical and paramedical personnel at all levels.

Application received by Commissioner of Customs: August 26, 1975. Advice submitted by the Department of Health, Education, and Welfare on: November 20, 1975. Article ordered: October 16, 1975.

Docket number: 76-00096-33-90000. Applicant: University Hospital-University of California Medical Center San Diego, 225 West Dickinson Street, San Diego, California 92103. Article: EMI Scanner with Magnetic Tape Unit. Manufacturer: EMI Limited, United Kingdom. Intended use of article: The article is intended to be used for clinical research into brain tumors, brain damage, brain infection, and other intracranial disease in efforts to improve the clinical care of neurological and neurosurgical patients. The article will be used for teaching purposes in the education of medical students, interns and residents with particular instruction of Radiology residents and faculty. Application received by Commissioner of Customs: August 26, 1975. Advice submitted by the Department of Health, Education, and Welfare on: November 20, 1975. Article ordered: February 28, 1975.

Docket number: 76-00098-33-90000. Applicant: St. Joseph Medical Center, Buena Vista at Alameda St., Burbank, California 91505. Article: EMI Scanner System with Magnetic Tape System, and Diagnostic Display Console. Manufacturer: EMI Limited, United Kingdom. Intended use of article: The article is intended to be used in continuing the education of hospital staff doctors, especially neurosurgeons, neurologists, internists and general practitioners. The article will also be used for training in diagnostic and therapeutic radiologic procedures and techniques, office procedure, and departmental administration. In addition, the article will be used in the Center's diversified research program which includes two biochemical studies of blood coagulation and a metabolic study to detect mental deficiency in newborn infants. Other research projects involve a clinical study of the effects of drugs on heart disease and cancer research that includes a study of the relationship between the body's immune resistance and cancer and a biomedical study on the etiology of stomach cancer. Application received by Commissioner of Customs: August 26, 1975. Advice submitted by the Department of Health, Education, and Welfare on: November 20, 1975. Article ordered: April 15, 1975.

Comments: No comments have been received with respect to any of the foregoing applications. Decision: Applications approved. No instrument or apparatus of equivalent scientific value to the foreign articles, for such purposes as these articles are intended to be used, is being manufactured in the United States. Reasons: Each foreign article is a newly developed system which is designed to provide precise transverse axial X-ray tomography. Although competitive systems are now being manufactured domestically, none of these systems were available at the time the articles were ordered. The Department of Health, Education, and Welfare (HEW) advised in its respectively cited memoranda that the sensitivity and the non-invasive methodology of each article are pertinent to the purposes for which each foreign article is intended to be used. HEW also advised that it knows of no domestic instrument of equivalent scientific value to any of the articles to which the foregoing applications relate for such purposes as these articles are intended to be used which

was being manufactured in the United States at the time the articles were ordered.

The Department of Commerce knows of no other instrument or apparatus of equivalent scientific value to any of the foreign articles to which the foregoing applications relate, for such purposes as these articles are intended to be used, which were being manufactured in the United States at the time the articles were ordered.

(Catalog of Federal Domestic Assistance Program No. 11.105, Importation of Duty-Free Educational and Scientific Materials)

RICHARD M. SEPPA,
Director, Special Import Programs Division.

[FR Doc.76-410 Filed 1-6-76;8:45 am]

BROOKHAVEN NATIONAL LABORATORY

Notice of Decision on Application for Duty-Free Entry of Scientific Article

The following is a decision on an application for duty-free entry of a scientific article pursuant to section 6(c) of the Educational, Scientific, and Cultural Materials Importation Act of 1966 (Pub. L. 89-651, 80 Stat. 897) and the regulations issued thereunder as amended (40 FR 12253 et seq, 15 CFR 701, 1975).

A copy of the record pertaining to this decision is available for public review during ordinary business hours of the Department of Commerce, at the Office of Import Programs, Department of Commerce, Washington, D.C. 20230.

Docket Number: 75-00402-75-49400. Applicant: Brookhaven National Laboratory, Associated Universities, Inc., Upton, Long Island, New York 11973. Article: Neutron Guide Tube. Manufacturer: Universitat Munchen Geschaftsfuhrer, West Germany. Intended use of article: The article is intended to be used in a program of investigating the properties of atomic nuclei. In particular, nuclei in high states of excitation are produced at charged particle accelerators and at the Brookhaven High Flux Research Reactor and the various properties of their excited energy levels are determined and compared with the predictions of nuclear models.

Comments: No comments have been received with respect to this application. Decision: Application approved. No instrument or apparatus of equivalent scientific value to the foreign article, for such purposes as this article is intended to be used, is being manufactured in the United States. Reasons: The foreign article is able to conduct and concentrate a beam of neutrons on the targets of various materials which are to be investigated by the applicant. The National Bureau of Standards (NBS) advises in its memorandum dated December 2, 1975 that the specification described above is pertinent to the applicant's intended purposes. NBS also advises that it knows of no domestic instrument of equivalent scientific value to the foreign article for such purposes as this article is intended to be used.

(Catalog of Federal Domestic Assistance Program No. 11.105, Importation of Duty-Free Educational and Scientific Materials)

RICHARD M. SEPPA,
Director, Special Import Programs Division.

[FR Doc.76-399 Filed 1-6-76;8:45 am]

DANIEL FREEMAN MEMORIAL HOSPITAL AND DUKE UNIVERSITY MEDICAL CENTER

Notice of Consolidated Decision on Applications for Duty-Free Entry of EMI Scanner Systems

The following is a consolidated decision on applications for duty-free entry of EMI Scanner Systems pursuant to section 6(c) of the Educational, Scientific, and Cultural Materials Importation Act of 1966 (Pub. L. 89-651, 80 Stat. 897) and the regulations issued thereunder as amended (40 FR 12253 et seq, 15 CFR 701, 1975.) (See especially § 301.11(e))

A copy of the record pertaining to each of the applications in this consolidated decision is available for public review during ordinary business hours of the Department of Commerce, at the Special Import Programs Division, Office of Import Programs, Department of Commerce, Washington, D.C. 20234.

Docket number: 76-00058-99-90000. Applicant: Daniel Freeman Memorial Hospital, 333 N. Prairie Avenue, Inglewood, CA 90301. Article: EMI Scanner System with Magnetic Tape Storage System. Manufacturer: EMI Limited, United Kingdom. Intended use of article: The article is intended to be used in the education and training of various professionals: Radiologists, Neurologists, Neurosurgeons, Neuropathologists Radiologic Technologists and Neuro Diagnostic Technologists. A formal educational program will be initiated to familiarize physicians in the diagnostic aspects of the scanner for brain and meningeal diseases. Additional course will be offered to instruct physicians and technologists in the technical aspects of EMI testing, the mechanical construction and in the use and care of the various associated components. Application received by Commissioner of Customs: July 28, 1975. Advice submitted by the Department of Health, Education, and Welfare on: November 11, 1975. Article ordered: February 21, 1975.

Docket number: 76-00073-33-90000. Applicant: Duke University Medical Center, Department of Radiology, Durham, N.C. 27710. Article: EMI Scanner System. Manufacturer: EMI Limited, United Kingdom. Intended use of article: The article is being evaluated to determine its impact on related procedures such as arteriograms, pneumoencephalograms, and brain scans as well as its impact on total patient care. In addition, it will be used in an ongoing comparative evaluation of its accuracy as opposed to that of presently used invasive procedures. The article will also be used to train residents in radiology, neurology, and neurosurgery; physicians and X-ray technicians. Application received by Commissioner of Customs: August 8, 1975. Advice submitted by the Department of Health, Education, and Welfare on: November 11, 1975. Article ordered: January 10, 1975.

Comments: no comments have been received with respect to any of the foregoing applications. Decision: Applications approved. No instrument or apparatus of equivalent scientific value to the foreign articles, for such purposes as these articles are intended to be used, is being manufactured in the United States. Reasons: Each foreign article is a newly developed system which is designed to provide precise transverse axial X-ray tomography. The Department of Health, Education, and Welfare (HEW) advised in its respectively cited memoranda that the sensitivity and the non-invasive methodology of each article are pertinent to the purposes for which each foreign article is intended to be used. HEW also advised that it knows of no domestic instrument of equivalent scientific value to any of the articles to which the foregoing applications relate for such purposes as these articles are intended to be used which was being manufactured in the United States at the time the articles were ordered.

The Department of Commerce knows of no other instrument or apparatus of equivalent scientific value to any of the foreign articles to which the foregoing applications relate, for such purposes as these articles are intended to be used, which were being manufactured in the United States at the time the articles were ordered.

(Catalog of Federal Domestic Assistance Program No. 11.105, Importation of Duty-Free Educational and Scientific Materials)

RICHARD M. SEPPA,
Director, Special Import Programs Division.

[FR Doc.76-412 Filed 1-6-76;8:45 am]

DONALD N. SHARP MEDICAL CENTER, ET AL.

Notice of Consolidated Decision on Applications for Duty-Free Entry of EMI Brain Scanner Systems

The following is a consolidated decision on applications for duty-free entry of EMI Brain Scanner Systems pursuant to section 6(c) of the Educational, Scientific, and Cultural Materials Importation Act of 1966 (Pub. L. 89-651, 80 Stat. 897) and the regulations issued thereunder as amended (40 FR 12253 et seq., 15 CFR 701, 1975). (See especially § 301.11(e).)

A copy of the record pertaining to each of the applications in this consolidated decision is available for public review during ordinary business hours of the Department of Commerce, at the Special Import Programs Division, Office of Import Programs, Department of Commerce, Washington, D.C. 20230.

Docket number: 76-00100-33-90000. Applicant: Donald N. Sharp Medical Center, 7901 Frost Street, San Diego, California 92123. Article: EMI Scanner System with Diagnostic Display Console.

Manufacturer: EMI Limited, United Kingdom. Intended use of article: The article is intended to be used for studies of the human cranium, its contents, and the middle and upper thirds of the facial bones and contained structures, including orbits and certain paranasal sinuses. The properties to be investigated include changes in radiation absorption coefficiency associated with disease and disease states in experiments which consist principally of the identification and localization of abnormalities of the brain and orbits—as well as the progress of these abnormalities in response to treatment. The educational purposes for which the article is intended to be used include the training of radiology technology students, presentations to the attending medical staffs of hospitals as a part of continuing medical education and utilization in training of resident physicians. Application received by Commissioner of Customs: August 26, 1975. Advice submitted by the Department of Health, Education, and Welfare on: November 28, 1975. Article ordered: June 20, 1975.

Docket number: 76-00102-33-90000. Applicant: Charlotte Memorial Hospital and Medical Center, 1000 Blythe Boulevard, Charlotte, North Carolina 28234. Article: EMI Scanner with Magnetic Tape Storage System. Manufacturer: EMI Limited, United Kingdom. Intended use of article: The article is intended to be used to diagnose, study, and evaluate pathological conditions of the brain in order to plan appropriate medical or surgical treatment. The article will also be used in research on cerebral blood flow. In addition, the article is intended to be used in various medical, educational and research programs for medical students of various rank and residents. The training will be designed to teach operation and the interpretation of the results provided by the article. Application received by Commissioner of Customs: August 26, 1975. Advice submitted by the Department of Health, Education, and Welfare on: November 28, 1975. Article ordered: November 8, 1974.

Docket number: 76-00109-33-90000. Applicant: St. Mary Medical Center, 1050 Linden Avenue, Long Beach, California 90801. Article: EMI Scanner System with Magnetic Tape System. Manufacturer: EMI Limited, United Kingdom. Intended use of article: The article is intended to be used in the investigation of neurologic diseases which result in alterations of the normal cerebral anatomy. Research to be conducted will involve (1) the specific anatomic changes occurring in the cerebral ventricular system with certain neurologic degenerative diseases such as normal pressure hydrocephalus, and (2) specific applications of the article, as compared to other modalities currently in use, in patient diagnosis. In addition, the article will be used in postgraduate medical training programs (internships, residencies, and fellowships) of all major specialties including medicine, surgery, pathology, pediatrics and radiology. Application received by Commissioner of Customs: August 29, 1975. Advice submitted by the Department of Health, Education, and Welfare on: November 28, 1975. Article ordered: May 7, 1975.

Docket number: 76-00116-33-90000. Applicant: Long Beach Community Hospital, 1720 Termino Avenue, Long Beach, California 90801. Article: EMI Scanner System with Magnetic Tape System. Manufacturer: EMI Limited, United Kingdom. Intended use of article: The article is intended to be used in development of a noninvasive technique for evaluating brain tumors and metastatic tumors to the brain. The article will also be used in following stroke patients and differentiating these from brain hemorrhages and brain tumors in the geriatric age group which is necessary in order to salvage functional capacity as well as in eliminating nonsalvageable patients and saving cost, hospitalization and utilization. Application received by Commissioner of Customs: September 3, 1975. Advice submitted by the Department of Health, Education, and Welfare on: November 28, 1975. Article ordered: April 11, 1975.

Docket number: 76-00122-33-90000. Applicant: Santa Rosa Medical Center, 519 W. Houston, San Antonio, Texas 78207. Article: EMI Scanner with Magnetic Tape Storage System. Manufacturer: EMI Limited, United Kingdom. Intended use of article: The article is intended to be used to provide a computerized analysis of the absorption coefficient from tomographic slices of the brain. Some of the research projects to be conducted will include:

(1) A study to determine which children with epileptic gait problems are surgically remedial as opposed to those not.

(2) A study to determine any difference in the size of the cortical mantle (i.e. hydrocephalus exvacuo) in the patients with chronic frequent seizures as versus patients who have only occasional seizures and patients without seizures.

(3) A study of epileptic children and adults with and without contrast enhancement in order to determine the number of patients with temporal lobe problems.

(4) Determination of ventricular size following neonatal meningitis and subarachnoid hemorrhage in newborn and a study to determine which of these children will benefit from ventricular shunting and the correlation of the intracranial changes on a serial basis after shunting, i.e., number of shunt failures and number of patients with good response to shunting.

(5) A study of the correlation of density of normal brain tissue as against the density of abnormal stored material in brain tissue present with inherited metabolic disorders of the brain.

(6) Study of patients with brain tumors pre and post surgery to determine the extent of removal of the tumor.

(7) Study of effects of hypersmolar therapy and steroid therapy in the treatment of closed head injuries with several contusions and edema.

The article is also intended to be used for training residents, technologists and medical students in the Department of Radiology. Application received by Commissioner of Customs: September 3, 1975. Advice submitted by the Department of Health, Education, and Welfare on: November 28, 1975. Article ordered: October 4, 1974.

Comments: No comments have been received with respect to any of the foregoing applications. Decision: Applications approved. No instrument or apparatus of equivalent scientific value to the foreign articles, for such purposes as these articles are intended to be used, is being manufactured in the United States. Reasons: Each foreign article is a newly developed system which is designed to provide precise transverse axial X-ray tomography. Although competitive systems are now being manufactured domestically, none of these systems were available at the time the articles were ordered. The Department of Health, Education, and Welfare (HEW) advised in its respectively cited memoranda that the sensitivity and the non-evasive methodology of each article are pertinent to the purposes for which each foreign article is intended to be used. HEW also advised that it knows of no domestic instrument of equivalent scientific value to any of the articles to which the foregoing applications relate for such purposes as these articles are intended to be used which was being manufactured in the United States at the time the articles were ordered.

The Department of Commerce knows of no other instrument or apparatus of equivalent scientific value to any of the foreign articles to which the foregoing applications relate, for such purposes as these articles are intended to be used, which were being manufactured in the United States at the time the articles were ordered.

(Catalog of Federal Domestic Assistance Program No. 11.105, Importation of Duty-Free Educational and Scientific Materials)

RICHARD M. SEPPA,
Director, Special Import Programs Division.

[FR Doc.76-411 Filed 1-6-76;8:45 am]

JOHNS HOPKINS UNIVERSITY

Notice of Decision on Application for Duty-Free Entry of Scientific Article

The following is a decision on an application for duty-free entry of a scientific article pursuant to section 6(c) of the Educational, Scientific, and Cultural Materials Importation Act of 1966 (Pub. L. 89-651, 80 Stat. 897) and the regulations issued thereunder as amended (40 FR 12253 et seq, 15 CFR 701, 1975).

A copy of the record pertaining to this decision is available for public review during ordinary business hours of the Department of Commerce, at the Office of Import Programs, Department of Commerce, Washington, D.C. 20230.

Docket number: 76–00103–33–19095. Applicant: The Johns Hopkins University, Charles and 34th Streets, Baltimore, Maryland 20218. Article: Scanning Microdensitometer, M85. Manufacturer: Vickers Instruments, Inc., United Kingdom. Intended use of article: The article is intended to be used for the measurement of adrenocorticotrophic hormone (ACTH) by observing changes in the adrenal gland induced by known and unknow samples of the hormone. In experiments to be conducted plasma concentrations of ACTH are determined during control periods and following certain manipulations. These manipulations include blood loss or infusion of fluid, manipulation of pressures or flows in critical vascular beds including the carotid arteries and atria of the heart, stimulation of peripheral nerves leading from these receptor areas to the central nervous system, and in stimulation of critical areas within the central nervous system including the medulla, midbrain and hypothalamus.

Comments: No comments have been received with respect to this application. Decision: Application approved. No instrument or apparatus of equivalent scientific value to the foreign article, for such purposes as this article is intended to be used, is being manufactured in the United States. Reasons: The foreign article provides continuous scanning from 400 to 700 manometers and has an obtainable sensitivity as low as 5 femtograms. The Department of Health, Education, and Welfare (HEW) advises in its memorandum dated November 28, 1975 that a scanning instrument with the highest sensitivity is pertinent to the applicant's intended purposes. HEW also advises that it knows of no comparable domestic instrument of equivalent scientific value to the foreign article for such purposes as this article is intended to be used.

The Department of Commerce knows of no other instrument or apparatus of equivalent scientific value to the foreign article, for such purposes as this article is intended to be used, which is being manufactured in the United States.

(Catalog of Federal Domestic Assistance Program No. 11.105, Importation of Duty-Free Educational and Scientific Materials)

RICHARD M. SEPPA,
Director, Special Import Programs Division.

[FR Doc.76–400 Filed 1–6–76;8:45 am]

NATIONAL CENTER FOR TOXICOLOGICAL RESEARCH AND PUBLIC HEALTH SERVICE

Notice of Consolidated Decision on Applications for Duty-Free Entry of Accessories for Foreign Instruments

The following is a consolidated decision on applications for duty-free entry of accessories for foreign instruments pursuant to section 6(c) of the Educational Scientific and Cultural Materials Importation Act of 1966 (Pub. L. 89–651, 80 Stat. 897) and the regulations issued thereunder as amended (40 FR 12253 et seq., 15 CFR 701, 1975.) (See especially § 301.11(e).)

A copy of the record pertaining to each of the applications in this consolidated decision is available for public review during ordinary business hours of the Department of Commerce, at the Special Import Programs Division, Office of Import Programs, Department of Commerce, at the Special Import Programs Division, Office of Import Programs, Department of Commerce, Washington, D.C. 20230.

Docket Number: 76–0071–00–77040. Applicant: DHEW/FDA, National Center for Toxicological Research, Jefferson, Arkansas 72079. Article: Mass Display and Marker w/o galvanometer, Model 471293. Manufacturer: Varian-MAT GmbH, West Germany. Intended use of article: The article is an accessory to an existing mass spectrometer ordered from the same manufacturer which is being used for the investigation of the chemical structural identity of carcinogenic residues from animal tissue, blood, urine, and feces.

The article is to be used for determining exact mass starting values and peak apex determination for data acquisition which is now necessary under the current research protocols. Application received by Commissioner of Customs: August 5, 1975. Advice submitted by the Department of Health, Education, and Welfare on: November 11, 1975.

Docket Number: 76–00074–00–46500. Applicant: DHEW, U.S. Public Health Service, Center for Disease Control, 1600 Clifton Rd. N.E., Atlanta, Ga. 30333. Article: Cryokit, Model LKB 14800. Manufacturer: LKB Produkter AB, Sweden. Intended use of article: The article is intended to be used to modify an existing ultramicrotome being used in the preparation of frozen tissue sections for immunofluorescence studies. Application received by Commissioner of Customs: August 8, 1975. Advice submitted by the Department of Health, Education, and Welfare on: November 11, 1975.

Comments: No comments have been received with respect to any of the foregoing applications. Decision: Applications approved. No instrument or apparatus of equivalent scientific value to the foreign articles, for the purposes for which the articles are intended to be used, is being manufactured in the United States. Reasons: The applications relate to compatible accessories for instruments that have been previously imported for the use of the applicant institutions. The articles are being manufactured by the manufacturers which produced the instruments with which they are intended to be used. We are advised by the Department of Health, Education, and Welfare in the respectively cited memoranda that the accessories are pertinent to the applicants' intended uses and that it knows of no comparable domestic articles.

The Department of Commerce knows of no similar accessories manufactured in the United States which are interchangeable with or can be readily adapted to the instruments with which the foreign articles are intended to be used.

(Catalog of Federal Domestic Assistance Program No. 11.105, Importation of Duty-Free Educational and Scientific Materials.)

RICHARD M. SEPPA,
Director, Special Import Programs Division.

[FR Doc.76–413 Filed 1–6–76;8:45 am]

NATIONAL RADIO ASTRONOMY OBSERVATORY

Notice of Decision on Application for Duty-Free Entry of Scientific Article

The following is a decision on an application for duty-free entry of a scientific article pursuant to section 6(c) of the Educational, Scientific, and Cultural Materials Importation Act of 1966 (Pub. L. 89–651, 80 Stat. 897) and the regulations issued thereunder as amended (40 FR 12253 et seq. 15 CFR 701, 1975).

A copy of the record pertaining to this decision is available for public review during ordinary business hours of the Department of Commerce, at the Office of Import Programs, Department of Commerce, Washington, D.C. 20230.

Docket number: 76–00156–18–80050. Applicant: National Radio Astronomy Observatory Associated Universities, Inc., 1000 Bullock Blvd., Socorro, New Mexico 87801. Article: (20mm Diameter) Flexible Helix Waveguide Length. Manufacturer: Fujikura Cable Works, Ltd., Japan. Intended use of article: The article is intended to be used as part of the Very Large Array radio telescope to transmit radio wavelengths radiation received from extraterrestrial objects to recording apparatus. The study of this radiation enables astronomers to study the sources of energy, origin and evaluation of the universe.

Comments: No comments have been received with respect to this application. Decision: Application approved. No instrument or apparatus of equivalent scientific value to the foreign article, for such purposes as this article is intended to be used, is being manufactured in the United States. Reasons: The foreign articles which are custom made provide (1) low loss of signal strength over the long transmission paths (21 kilometers) required by the Very Large Array radio telescope under construction by the applicant, (2) transmission of wide signal bandwidths, needed for handling very high data rates, (3) very low signal distortion (VSWR) and (4) elimination of the need for complex electronic amplifiers (repeaters) at 800 meters along the transmission line. The National Bureau of Standards (NBS) advises in its memorandum dated November 20, 1975 that the capabilities of the articles described above are pertinent to the applicant's intended use. NBS also advises that it knows of no domestic instrument or apparatus of equivalent scientific value to the foreign article for such purposes as the articles are intended to be used.

The Department of Commerce knows of no other instrument or apparatus of

equivalent scientific value to the foreign article, for such purposes as this article is intended to be used, which is being manufactured in the United States.

(Catalog of Federal Domestic Assistance Program No. 11.105, Importation of Duty-Free Educational and Scientific Materials.)

RICHARD M. SEPPA,
Director,
Special Import Programs Division.

[FR Doc.76-401 Filed 1-6-76;8:45 am]

NORTHWESTERN UNIVERSITY

Notice of Decision on Application for Duty-Free Entry of Scientific Article

The following is a decision on an application for duty-free entry of a scientific article pursuant to section 6(c) of the Educational, Scientific, and Cultural Materials Importation Act of 1966 (Pub. L. 89-651, 80 Stat. 897) and the regulations issued thereunder as amended (40 FR 12253 et seq., 15 CFR 701, 1975).

A copy of the record pertaining to this decision is available for public review during ordinary business hours of the Department of Commerce, at the Office of Import Programs, Department of Commerce, Washington, D.C. 20230.

Docket Number: 76-00028-33-14900. Applicant: Northwestern University, 619 Clark Street, Evanston, Ill. 60201. Article: 502/ADC Analogue to digital converter. Manufacturer: Cambridge Electronic Design Ltd., United Kingdom. Intended use of article: The article is intended to be used for studies of the responses to time-varying stimuli of retinal ganglion cells of the cat.

Comments: No comments have been received with respect to this application. Decision: Application approved. No instrument or apparatus of equivalent scientific value to the foreign article, for such purposes as this article is intended to be used, is being manufactured in the United States. Reasons: The application relates to a compatible accessory for an instrument that had been previously imported for the use of the applicant institution. The article is being furnished by the manufacturer which produced the instrument with which the article is intended to be used and is pertinent to the applicant's purposes.

The Department of Commerce knows of no similar accessory being manufactured in the United States, which is interchangeable with or can be readily adapted to the instrument with which the foreign article is intended to be used.

(Catalog of Federal Domestic Assistance Program No. 11.105, Importation of Duty-Free Educational and Scientific Materials.)

RICHARD M. SEPPA,
Director,
Special Import Programs Division.

[FR Doc.76-402 Filed 1-6-76;8:45 am]

PENNSYLVANIA HOSPITAL

Notice of Decision on Application for Duty-Free Entry of Scientific Article

The following is a decision on an application for duty-free entry of a scientific article pursuant to section 6(c) of the Educational, Scientific, and Cultural Materials Importation Act of 1966 (Pub. L. 89-651, 80 Stat. 897) and the regulations issued thereunder as amended (40 FR 12253 et seq., 15 CFR 701, 1975).

A copy of the record pertaining to this decision is available for public review during ordinary business hours of the Department of Commerce, at the Office of Import Programs, Department of Commerce, Washington, D.C. 20230.

Docket Number: 76-00105-33-77030. Applicant: Pennsylvania Hospital, 8th & Spruce Streets, Phila., Pa. 19107. Article: Coherent NMR Pulsed Spectrometer, Model CPS-2 with permanent magnet, PM 20 (or equivalent). Manufacturer: Spin-Lock Electronics Co., Canada. Intended use of article: The article is intended to be used to make various water proton relaxation measurements using pulsed NMR methods in a large variety of living tissues and their models, and to correlate these studies with other parallel investigations in order to find definitive criteria that would distinguish between the alternative theories mentioned above and in furthering the understanding of fundamental mechanisms involved in Damadian's phenomenological observation, now almost universally confirmed.

Comments: No comments have been received with respect to this application. Decision: Application approved. No instrument or apparatus of equivalent scientific value to the foreign article, for such purposes as this article is intended to be used, is being manufactured in the United States. Reasons: The foreign article is able to perform Corr-Purcell and spin lock pulse sequences. The Department of Health, Education, and Welfare (HEW) advises in its memorandum dated November 24, 1975 that the capability of the article described above is pertinent to the applicant's intended purposes. HEW also advises that it knows of no domestically produced spectrometer which provides the pertinent feature.

The Department of Commerce knows of no other instrument or apparatus of equivalent scientific value to the foreign article, for such purposes as this article is intended to be used, which is being manufactured in the United States.

(Catalog of Federal Domestic Assistance Program No. 11.105, Importation of Duty-Free Educational and Scientific Materials.)

RICHARD M. SEPPA,
Director,
Special Import Programs Division.

[FR Doc.76-403 Filed 1-6-76;8:45 am]

SUNY—STONY BROOK

Notice of Decision on Application for Duty-Free Entry of Scientific Article

The following is a decision on an application for duty-free entry of a scientific article pursuant to section 6(c) of the Educational, Scientific, and Cultural Materials Importation Act of 1966 (Pub. L. 89-651, 80 Stat. 897) and the regulations issued thereunder as amended (40 FR 12253 et seq, 15 CFR 701, 1975).

A copy of the record pertaining to this decision is available for public review during ordinary business hours of the Department of Commerce, at the Office of Import Programs, Department of Commerce, Washington, D.C. 20230.

Docket number: 76-00092-33-46040. Applicant: State University of New York, Health Sciences Center, Stony Brook, New York 11794. Article: Electron Microscope, Model EM 201 and goniometer stage. Manufacturer: Philips Electronic Instruments NVD, The Netherlands. Intended use of article: The article is intended to be used in carrying out the following research:

(a) Application of the electron microscope heteroduplex method, which is a method to analyze sequence relationships between different nucleic acid elements, to physically map the position of sequences such as duplications, substitutions, deletion, inversions, and translocations.

(b) Investigation into aspects of ribosome function and structure, such as particle surface structure.

(c) Study of actin and myosin fibrils which are found as bundles of cables within the cell.

(d) Study of cellular production of immunoglobulin (Ig) to determine differences in ultrastructure between normal cells and mutants deficient in Ig production.

(e) Investigation of the adsorption process of the bacteriophage P22 using a variety of mutants of this phage and the electron microscope.

(f) Determination of the extent to which structural elements may direct the biological function of ribonucleic acids of mammalian viruses and cells.

(g) Study of the uptake of vaccinia virus in mammalian cells will be undertaken as part of a study of the synthesis and transport of messenger-RNA by vaccinia virus.

(h) Research concerned with the structure and synthesis of the nucleic, especially the properties of the circular DNA's. In addition, the article is intended to be used in teaching medical, dental, and allied health students in addition to graduate and undergraduate students in the Basic Health Sciences curriculum and students enrolled in the Division of Biological Sciences. These students will learn the methodology and techniques of using an electron micro-

scope for visualization of extremely small cellular components, and will learn how to extend the results of these techniques to the solution of research problems.

Comments: No comments have been received with respect to this application. Decision: Application approved. No instrument or apparatus of equivalent scientific value to the foreign article, for such purposes as this article is intended to be used was being manufactured in the United States at the time the foreign article was ordered (August 12, 1975). Reasons: The foreign article is equipped with a eucentric goniometer stage. At the time the foreign article was ordered the most closely comparable domestic instrument was the Model EMU–4C available from the Adam David Company. The Department of Health, Education, and Welfare (HEW) advised in its memorandum dated November 20, 1975 that the eucentric goniometer stage of the article is pertinent to the applicant's intended purposes. HEW further advises that the EMU–4C does not have a scientifically equivalent eucentric goniometer stage. We, therefore, find that EMU–4C is not of equivalent scientific value to the foreign article for such purposes as this article is intended to be used.

The Department of Commerce knows of no other instrument or apparatus of equivalent scientific value to the foreign article, for such purposes as this article is intended to be used, which was being manufactured in the United States at the time the article was ordered.

(Catalog of Federal Domestic Assistance Program No. 11.105, Importation of Duty-Free Educational and Scientific Materials)

RICHARD M. SEPPA,
Director,
Special Import Programs Division.

[FR Doc.76–404 Filed 1–6–76;8:45 am]

TEMPLE UNIVERSITY

Notice of Decision on Application for Duty-Free Entry of Scientific Article

The following is a decision on an application for duty-free entry of a scientific article pursuant to section 6(c) of the Educational, Scientific, and Cultural Materials Importation Act of 1966 (Pub. L. 89–651, 80 Stat. 897) and the regulations issued thereunder as amended (40 FR 12253 et seq, 15 CFR 701,1975).

A copy of the record pertaining to this decision is available for public review during ordinary business hours of the Department of Commerce, at the Office of Import Programs, Department of Commerce, Washington, D.C. 20230.

Docket Number: 76–00091–33–46040. Applicant: Temple University, Health Science Center, Department of Pathology, 3400 North Broad Street, Philadelphia, Pa. 19140. Article: Electron Microscope, Model HU–12A. Manufacturer: Hitachi Ltd., Japan. Intended use of article: The article is intended to be used for research concerned with the fine structure of various aspects of cancer including squamous cell carcinoma in which the attachment zones at the cell surfaces are of considerable importance. Of particular interest is the evaluation of decreased numbers of attachment zones such as desmonsomes in noncancerous squamous epithelium invasive squamous cell carcinoma and cell carcinoma in situ. The article is also intended to be used in studies designed to reveal the presence of virus in the cell tissue.

Comments: No comments have been received with respect to this application. Decision: Application approved. No instrument or apparatus of equivalent scientific value to the foreign article, for such purposes as this article is intended to be used, was being manufactured in the United States at the time the foreign article was ordered (March 26, 1973). Reasons: The foreign article provides distortion free micrograms over a magnification range 500 to 500,000x without a pole-piece change in the first specimen chamber and 50x to 3,000x in the second specimen chamber. The most closely comparable domestic instrument available is the Model EMU–4C electron microscope currently supplied by the Adam David Company (Adam David). When the article was ordered the Model EMU–4C with its standard pole-piece, had a specified range from 1,400 to 240,000 magnifications. For survey and scanning, the lower end of this range could be reduced to 200 magnifications or less. But the continued reduction of magnification induced an increasingly greater distortion. The domestic manufacturer suggests in its literature on the Model EMU–4C that for highest quality, low magnification electron micrographs, an optional low magnification pole-piece providing 500–70,000x should be used. It is noted that changing the pole-piece on the Model EMU–4C requires a break in the vacuum of the column that induces the danger of contamination which would very likely lead to the failure of the experiment. The Department of Health, Education, and Welfare (HEW) advises in its memorandum dated November 20, 1975 that magnification range without a pole-piece change of the article is pertinent to the applicant's purposes. HEW also advises that the Model EMU–4C does not provide scientifically equivalent magnification ranges without a pole-piece change for the applicant's intended use.

We, therefore, find that the Model EMU–4C is not of equivalent scientific value to the foreign article, for such purposes as this article is intended to be used.

The Department of Commerce knows of no other instrument or apparatus of equivalent scientific value to the foreign article, for such purposes as this article is intended to be used, which was being manufactured in the United States at the time the article was ordered.

(Catalog of Federal Domestic Assistance Program No. 11.105, Importation of Duty-Free Educational and Scientific Materials)

RICHARD M. SEPPA,
Director, Special Import Programs Division.

[FR Doc.76–405 Filed 1–6–76;8:45 am]

UNIVERSITY OF CHICAGO, ET AL.

Notice of Consolidated Decision on Applications for Duty-Free Entry of Scientific Articles

The following is a consolidated decision on applications for duty-free entry of scientific articles pursuant to section 6 (c) of the Educational, Scientific, and Cultural Materials Importation Act of 1966 (Pub. L. 89–651, 80 Stat. 897) and the regulations issued thereunder as amended (40 FR 12253 et seq., 15 CFR 701, 1975.)

A copy of the record pertaining to each of the applications in this consolidated decision is available for public review during ordinary business hours of the Department of Commerce, at the Special Import Programs Division, Office of Import Programs, Department of Commerce, Washington, D.C. 20230.

Decision: Applications denied. Applicants have failed to establish that instruments or apparatus of equivalent scientific value to the foreign articles, for such purposes as the foreign articles are intended to be used, are not being manufactured in the United States.

Reasons: Subsection 301.8 of the Regulations provides in pertinent part:

> The applicant shall on or before the 20th day following the date of such notice, inform the Deputy Assistant Secretary whether it intends to resubmit another application for the same article for the same intended purposes to which the denied application relates. The applicant shall then resubmit the new application on or before the 90th day following the date of the notice of denial without prejudice to resubmission, unless an extension of time is granted by the Deputy Assistant Secretary in writing prior to the expiration of the 90 day period. . . . If the applicant fails, within the applicable time periods specified above, to either (a) inform the Deputy Assistant Secretary whether it intends to resubmit another application for the same article to which the denial without prejudice to resubmission relates, or (b) resubmit the new application, the prior denial without prejudice to resubmission shall have the effect of a final decision by the Deputy Assistant Secretary on the application within the context of Subsection 301.11.

The meaning of the subsection is that should an applicant either fail to notify the Deputy Assistant Secretary of its intent to resubmit another application for the same article to which the denial without prejudice relates within the 20 day period, or fails to resubmit a new application within the 90 day period, the prior denial without prejudice to resubmission will have the effect of a final denial of the application.

None of the applicants to which this consolidated decision relates has satisfied the requirements set forth above, therefore, the prior denials without prejudice have the effect of a final decision denying their respective applications.

Subsection 301.8 further provides:

> . . . the Deputy Assistant Secretary shall transmit a summary of the prior denial without prejudice to resubmission to the *Federal Register* for publication, to the Commissioner of Customs, and to the applicant.

Each of the prior denials without prejudice to resubmission to which this consolidated decision relates was based on the failure of the respective applicants to submit the required documentation, including a completely executed application form, in sufficient detail to allow the issue of "scientific equivalency" to be determined by the Deputy Assistant Secretary.

Docket number: 74–00137–90–46070. Applicant: University of Chicago, Operator of Argonne National Laboratory, 9700 South Cass Avenue, Argonne, Illinois 60439. Article: Scanning Electron Microscope, Model MSM–3T. Date of denial without prejudice to resubmission: August 5, 1975.

Docket number: 75–00456–33–02300. Applicant: Hahnemann Medical College and Hospital, Department of Physiology & Biophysics, 235 North Fifteenth Street, Philadelphia, PA. 19102. Article: Rhesus Primate Chairs. Date of denial without prejudice to resubmission: August 14, 1975.

Docket number: 75–00459–33–90000. Applicant: Florida Hospital, 601 E. Rollins Street, Orlando, Florida 32803. Article: EMI Scanner System. Date of denial without prejudice to resubmission: August 14, 1975.

(Catalog of Federal Domestic Assistance No. 11.105, Importation of Duty-Free Educational and Scientific Materials)

RICHARD M. SEPPA,
Director, Special Import Programs Division.

[FR Doc.76–409 Filed 1–6–76;8:45 am]

UNIVERSITY OF SOUTH CAROLINA

Notice of Decision on Application for Duty-Free Entry of Scientific Article

The following is a decision on an application for duty-free entry of a scientific article pursuant to section 6(c) of the Educational, Scientific, and Cultural Materials Importation Act of 1966 (Pub. L. 89–651, 80 Stat. 897) and the regulations issued thereunder as amended (40 FR 12253 et seq., 15 CFR 701, 1975).

A copy of the record pertaining to this decision is available for public review during ordinary business hours of the Department of Commerce, at the Office of Import Programs, Department of Commerce, Washington, D.C. 20230.

Docket number: 76–00166–00–43000. Applicant: University of South Carolina, Department of Geology, Columbia, S.C. 29208. Article: High Temperature Spinner and Furnance and Core Attachment. Manufacturer: Digico, United Kingdom. Intended use of article: The article is intended to be used for measurements of magnetic remanence during the process of heating; that is, monitor the changes in direction of the magnetization during heating.

Comments: No comments have been received with respect to this application. Decision: Application approved. No instrument or apparatus of equivalent scientific value to the foreign article, for such purposes as this article is intended to be used, is being manufactured in the United States. Reasons: The foreign article is able to measure magnetic remanence during the process of heating. The National Bureau of Standards (NBS) advises in memorandum dated December 3, 1975 that the capability described above is pertinent to the applicant's intended use. NBS also advises that it knows of no domestic instrument of equivalent scientific value to the foreign article for such purposes as this article is intended to be used.

(Catalog of Federal Domestic Assistance Program No. 11.105, Importation of Duty-Free Educational and Scientific Materials)

RICHARD M. SEPPA,
Director, Special Import Programs Division.

[FR Doc.76–407 Filed 1–6–76;8:45 am]

WASHINGTON UNIVERSITY SCHOOL OF MEDICINE

Notice of Decision on Application for Duty-Free Entry of Scientific Article

The following is a decision on an application for duty-free entry of a scientifice article pursuant to section 6(c) of the Educational, Scientific, and Cultural Materials Importation Act of 1966 (Pub. L. 89–651, 80 Stat. 897) and the regulations issued thereunder as amended (40 FR 12253 et seq, 15 CFR 701, 1975).

A copy of the record pertaining to this decision is available for public review during ordinary business hours of the Department of Commerce, at the Office of Import Programs, Department of Commerce, Washington, D.C. 20230.

Docket Number: 76–00087–33–07795. Applicant: Washington University School of Medicine, 660 South Euclid Avenue, St. Louis, Missouri 63110. Article: Continuous Recording Camera, Model PC–2A. Manufacturer: Nihon Kohden Kogyo Co., Ltd., Japan. Intended use of article: The article is intended to be used in the study of nerve cells in the lamprey spinal cord in which experiments will be conducted to determine synaptic interactions of cells by stimulating one and recording from another.

Comments: No comments have been received with respect to this application. Decision: Application approved. No instrument or apparatus of equivalent scientific value to the foreign article, for such purposes as this article is intended to be used, is being manufactured in the United States. Reasons: The foreign article provides a data marking unit for frame identification and can operate in a lighted room. The Department of Health, Education, and Welfare (HEW) advises in its memorandum dated November 20, 1975 that the capabilities described above are pertinent to the applicant's intended purposes. HEW also advises that comparable domestic oscilloscope cameras do not provide the pertinent features.

The Department of Commerce knows of no other instrument or apparatus of equivalent scientific value to the foreign article, for such purposes as this article is intended to be used, which is being manufactured in the United States.

(Catalog of Federal Domestic Assistance Program No. 11.105, Importation of Duty-Free Educational and Scientific Materials)

RICHARD M. SEPPA,
Director, Special Import Program Division.

[FR Doc.76–406 Filed 1–6–76;8:45 am]

WAYNE STATE UNIVERSITY, ET AL.

Notice of Applications for Duty-Free Entry of Scientific Articles

The following are notices of the receipt of applications for duty-free entry of scientific articles pursuant to section 6(c) of the Educational, Scientific, and Cultural Materials Importation Act of 1966 (Pub. L. 89–651; 80 Stat. 897). Interested persons may present their views with respect to the question of whether an instrument or apparatus of equivalent scientific value for the purposes for which the article is intended to be used is being manufactured in the United States. Such comments must be filed in triplicate with the Director, Special Import Programs Division, Office of Import Programs, Washington, D.C. 20230, on or before January 27, 1976.

Amended regulations issued under cited Act, (40 FR 12253 et seq, 15 CFR 701, 1975) prescribe the requirements applicable to comments.

A copy of each application is on file, and may be examined during ordinary Commerce Department business hours at the Special Import Programs Division, Department of Commerce, Washington, D.C. 20230.

Docket number: 76–00213–33–46500. Applicant: Wayne State University, Detroit, Michigan 48202. Article: Ultramicrotome, Model OM U3, with Specimen Holders. Manufacturer: C. Reichert Optische Werke A.G., Austria. Intended use of article: The article is intended to be used in an ultrastructural and cytochemical study of neural induction in amphibians with an intent to identify and describe the nature and mechanisms involved in neural induction utilizing *in vivo* and tissue culture techniques. Optimum information can only be obtained from thin sections of soft specimens such as neural structures, embryonic tissues and cell cultures, when they are uniform in thickness and have smoothly cut surfaces. Application received by Commissioner of Customs: November 20, 1975.

Docket number: 76–00214–33–90000. Applicant: Hartford Hospital, 80 Seymour Street, Hartford, Connecticut 06115. Article: EMI Scanner System. Manufacturer: EMI Limited, United Kingdom. Intended use of article: The article is intended to be used to obtain clinical information pertaining to the skull and head to determine if brain tissue abnormalities are present or not in patients. In addition, the article will be used for (1) teaching of Radiology residents and technicians to use and interpret diagnostic data obtained and (2) increasing diagnostic skills of users to broaden clinical information obtainable and to better diagnose patient abnormalities. Application received by Commissioner of Customs: November 24, 1975.

Docket number: 76-00215-33-42900. Applicant: State University of New York, Downstate Medical Center, 450 Clarkson Avenue, Brooklyn, New York 11203. Article: Superconductive Solenoid, Room Temperature Shim System Programmer Energization/SC Shim Power Supply. Manufacturer: Canada Superconductor and Cryogenics Co. Ltd., Canada. Intended use of article: The article is intended to be used for experiments aimed at detecting internal tumors in the monkey. The objective is an NMR technique that will ultimately detect and noninvasively localize internal tumors in man. Both high resolution NMR experiments utilizing p^{31} as the probe nucleus and relaxation measurements using p^{31}, K^{39}, Na^{23} and H^1 are planned. Application received by Commissioner of Customs: November 24, 1975.

Docket number: 76-00216-01-61300. Applicant: U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, Environmental Research Center (MD59), Research Tirangle Park, North Carolina 27711. Article: Automatic Pipette Diluter Dispenser System. Manufacturer: AutoChem Instrument, Sweden. Intended use of article: The article is intended to be used in an investigation of air pollution to assess the accuracy, reproducibility and reliability of a known method for assaying sulfate by careful duplication of the procedure. Application received by Commissioner of Customs: November 24, 1975.

Docket number: 76-00217-33-46040. Applicant: University of Minnesota, Department of Anatomy, 262 Jackson Hall, Minneapolis, Minnesota 55455. Article: Electron Microscope, Model EM 201C. Manufacturer: Philips Electronics Instruments NVD, The Netherlands. Intended use of article: The article is intended to be used to examine animal tissues and cells after challenge with chemical stimuli and/or modification of their physiological environment. Changes in cellular components will be quantitatively assessed by using linear scanning and other morphometric techniques. The distribution of antigens within tissues and cells will be investigated by using the unlabelled antibody method on ultrathin sections for electron microscopy. Ultrastructural alterations in the arrangement and distribution of cellular membranes, organelles and/or antigenic components will be correlated with biochemical observations, physiological observations, and quantitative changes in cellular morphology observed at the light microscope level. The article will also be used for educational purposes in courses open to undergraduate medical students, postdoctoral fellows, graduate students, and faculty who have expressed an interest in learning electron microscopy and associated techniques. Application received by Commissioner of Customs: November 24, 1975.

(Catalog of Federal Domestic Assistance Program No. 11.105, Importation of Duty-Free Educational and Scientific Material)

RICHARD M. SEPPA,
Director, Special Import Programs Division.

[FR Doc.76-408 Filed 1-6-76;8:45 am]

EXPORTERS' TEXTILE ADVISORY COMMITTEE

Notice of Public Meeting

JANUARY 5, 1976.

The Exporters' Textile Advisory Committee will meet at 10:00 a.m. on February 11, 1976, in Room 3817, Department of Commerce, 14th and Constitution Avenue NW., Washington, D.C. 20230.

The Committee which is comprised of 28 members involved in textile and apparel exporting, advises Department officials concerning ways of increasing U.S. exports of textile and apparel products.

The agenda for the meeting is as follows:

1. Review of Export Data.
2. Report on Conditions in the Export Market.
3. Recent Foreign Restrictions Affecting Textiles.
4. Other Business.

A limited number of seats will be available to the public. The public will be permitted to file written statements with the Committee before or after the meeting. To the extent time is available at the end of the meeting, the presentation of oral statements will be allowed.

Copies of the minutes of the meeting will be made available on written request addressed to the DIBA Freedom of Information Officer, Freedom of Information Control Desk, Room 3100, U.S. Department of Commerce, Washington, D.C. 20230.

Further information concerning the Committee may be obtained from Arthur Garel, Director, Office of Textiles, Main Commerce Building, U.S. Department of Commerce, Washington, D.C. 20230.

ALAN POLANSKY,
Deputy Assistant Secretary for Policy-DIBA, and Director, Bureau of Resources.

[FR Doc.76-541 Filed 1-6-76;8:45 am]

Economic Development Administration

CAPITAL FOOTWEAR CORP.

Notice of Petition for a Determination

A petition by Capital Footwear Corporation, 60 Fremont Street, Worcester, Massachusetts 01603, a producer of men's slippers and casual footwear, was accepted for filing on December 31, 1975, under Section 251 of the Trade Act of 1974 (Pub. L. 93-618). Consequently, the United States Department of Commerce has instituted an investigation to determine whether increased imports into the United States of articles like or directly competitive with those produced by the firm contributed importantly to total or partial separation of the firm's workers, or threat thereof, and to a decrease in sales or production of the petitioning firm.

Any party having a substantial interest in the proceedings may request a public hearing on the matter. A request for a hearing must be received by the Chief, Trade Act Certification Division, Economic Development Administration, U.S. Department of Commerce, Washington, D.C. 20230, no later than the close of business of the tenth calendar day following the publication of this notice.

CHARLES L. SMITH,
Acting Chief, Trade Act Certification Division, Office of Planning and Program Support.

[FR Doc.76-305 Filed 1-6-76;8:45 am]

Office of the Secretary

REFRIGERATORS, COMBINATION REFRIGERATOR-FREEZERS, AND FREEZERS

Voluntary Energy Conservation; Testing and Labeling

Correction

In FR Doc. 75-32787 appearing on page 58673 in the issue for Thursday, December 18, 1975 the following correction should be made:

In the table appearing on page 58675 in the 4th column, "Manual Defrost: Maximum", for the entry "17.5 to 18.4" cubic feet, the numbers "6.50" should read "5.60".

DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH, EDUCATION, AND WELFARE

Center for Disease Control

SAFETY AND OCCUPATIONAL HEALTH STUDY SECTION

Notice of Meeting

In accordance with section 10(a)(2) of the Federal Advisory Committee Act (Pub. L. 92-463), the Center for Disease Control announces the following National Institute for Occupational Safety and Health Committee meeting:

Name: Safety and Occupational Health Study Section.

Date: January 29-30, 1976.

Place: Conference Room A, Parklawn Building, 5600 Fishers Lane, Rockville, Maryland 20852.

Time: 9 a.m.

Type of Meeting: Open—9 a.m. to 10:15 a.m., on January 29. Closed—Remainder of meeting.

Contact Person: John F. Bester, Ph. D., Executive Secretary, Park Building, Room 1-10, NIOSH, 5600 Fishers Lane, Rockville, Maryland 20852. Phone: 301-443-4493.

Purpose: The Committee is charged with the initial review of research, training, demonstration, and fellowship grant applications for Federal assistance in program areas administered by the National Institute for Occupational Safety and Health, and with advising the Institute staff on training and research needs.

Agenda: Agenda items for the open portion of the meeting will include reading of minutes of previous meeting, administrative, staff, and Institute Direc-

tor's reports, and introduction of a new member. During the closed session beginning at 10:15 a.m., January 29, 1976, through adjournment on January 30, 1976, the Study Section will be performing the initial review of research grant and training grant applications for Federal assistance, and will not be open to the public, in accordance with the provisions set forth in Section 552(b) (5) and (6), Title 5, U.S. Code, and the Determination of the Director, Center for Disease Control, pursuant to Public Law 92-463.

Agenda items are subject to change as priorities dictate.

The portion of the meeting so indicated is open to the public for observation and participation. A roster of members and other relevant information regarding the meeting may be obtained from the contact person listed above.

Dated: December 29, 1975.

DAVID J. SENCER,
Director, Center for Disease Control.

[FR Doc.76-414 Filed 1-6-76;8:45 am]

Public Health Service

NATIONAL INSTITUTES OF HEALTH

Statement of Organization, Functions, and Delegations of Authority

Part 8 (National Institutes of Health) of the Statement of Organization, Functions, and Delegations of Authority for the Department of Health, Education, and Welfare (40 FR 22859, May 27, 1975) is amended to reflect: (1) the establishment of the Office of International Affairs as a division level office reporting to the Director, National Cancer Institute (NCI), and (2) the abolishment of the Clinical Research Program within the Division of Cancer Biology and Diagnosis, NCI.

Sec. 8-B Organization and Functions is amended as follows:

(1) Under *National Cancer Institute (8C00)*, insert the following statement after the statement for the Office of Cancer Communications (8C08):

Office of International Affairs (8C09). (1) Coordinates the planning, management, and evaluation of the international research, control, and information activities of the National Cancer Program; (2) develops and implements International Cancer Research Data Bank programs; (3) serves as National Cancer Institute focal point with the Fogarty International Center, the Office of International Health, the State Department, and other Federal organizations involved in international health activities; (4) coordinates cancer activities under bilateral agreements between the United States and other countries; (5) plans and implements programs for the international exchange of scientists; (6) maintains liaison with international agencies involved in the National Cancer Program.

(2) Delete the statement for the *Clinical Research Program (8C1302)* after the statement for the Division of Cancer Biology and Diagnosis (8C13).

Dated December 30, 1975.

JOHN OTTINA,
Assistant Secretary for Administration and Management.

[FR Doc.76-386 Filed 1-6-76;8:45 am]

Office of the Secretary

SUPPLEMENTARY MEDICAL INSURANCE FOR THE AGED AND DISABLED

Monthly Actuarial Rates and Monthly Premium Rate

Pursuant to authority contained in sections 1839(c) (1) and (4) of the Social Security Act (42 U.S.C. 1395r(c) (1) and (4)), as added by section 203(c) of Public Law 92-603, the Secretary of Health, Education, and Welfare is required to promulgate two monthly adequate actuarial rates for the Medicare Supplementary Medical Insurance program for the period July 1976 through June 1977. One rate is equivalent to one-half of the estimated incurred cost of the program per aged enrollee, and the other is equivalent to one-half of the estimated incurred cost for each disabled enrollee. Pursuant to authority contained in section 1839(c)(3) of the Social Security Act (42 U.S.C. 1395r(c)(3), added by section 203(c) of Public Law 92-603, the Secretary is also required to promulgate a single monthly premium rate for both aged and disabled enrollees which is derived from the monthly adequate actuarial rate for the aged enrollees. The difference between the premium paid by enrollees and total incurred per capita costs is met from the general revenues of the Federal government. The notices of these promulgations for the period July 1976 through June 1977 are as follows:

NOTICE OF MONTHLY ADEQUATE ACTUARIAL RATES

On the basis of the accompanying statement of actuarial assumptions and bases pursuant to section 1839(c) (1) and (4) of the Social Security Act (42 U.S.C. 1395r(c)(1) and (4)) as amended by Public Law 92-603, I hereby determine that the monthly adequate actuarial rates which shall be applicable for the 12-month period commencing July 1, 1976, are $10.70 for enrollees age 65 and over and $19.00 for disabled enrollee under age 65.

NOTICE OF MONTHLY PREMIUM RATE

Pursuant to authority contained in section 1839(c)(3) of the Social Security Act (42 U.S.C. 1395r(c)(3)), as amended by Public Law 92-603, I hereby determine and announce that the dollar amount which shall be applicable for premiums for purposes of section 1839(c)(3) of the Act, as amended, shall be $7.20 monthly in the 12-month period beginning July 1976 and ending June 1977.

STATEMENT OF ACTUARIAL ASSUMPTIONS AND BASES EMPLOYED IN DETERMINING THE MONTHLY ADEQUATE ACTUARIAL RATES AND THE STANDARD MONTHLY PREMIUM RATE FOR THE SUPPLEMENTARY MEDICAL INSURANCE PROGRAM BEGINNING JULY 1976

This is a statement of actuarial assumptions and bases employed in determining the adequate actuarial rates and the standard monthly premium rate for the Supplementary Medical Insurance Program for the period July 1976 through June 1977. The monthly adequate actuarial rate for enrollees age 65 and over is $10.70. The monthly adequate actuarial rate for disabled enrollees is $19.00. The standard premium monthly rate for both types of enrollees is $7.20.

I. ACTUARIAL STATUS OF THE SUPPLEMENTARY MEDICAL INSURANCE TRUST FUND

The law requires that the Supplementary Medical Insurance (SMI) program be financed on an incurred basis. That is, the income to the program during the 12-month period for which adequate rates are effective must be sufficient to pay for services (including associated administrative costs) rendered during that period even though payment for some of these services will not be made until after the close of the period. The portion of income required to cover those benefits not paid until after the close of the year is added to the trust fund until needed. Thus, the trust fund at any time should be equal to the cost of the benefits and administration incurred but not yet paid. Because the adequate rates are established prospectively, they are subject to projection error. As a result, the income to the program may not be equal to incurred costs and therefore the trust fund may not be equal to the value of incurred but unpaid expenses. Modest deficiencies in the trust fund balance do not interfere with the operation of the program if the fund is large relative to outlays and if future financing is established to correct the deficiencies. Table I summarizes the estimated actuarial status of the trust fund as of June 30, 1974, 1975, and 1976.

TABLE I

Year ending June 30	Fund at end of period (in millions)	Liability for incurred but unpaid services (in millions)	Fund-liabilities (in millions)
1974	$1,272	$1,146	$126
1975	1,424	1,462	−38
1976	1,176	1,775	−599

The sizeable deficit shown at the end of fiscal year 1976 results from program cost increases substantially in excess of those anticipated in setting the adequate rates for 1976. In particular, outpatient hospital and home health costs per enrollee appear to have increased approximately 50 percent in 1975, well in excess of typical increases of 20 to 25 percent. Also, "utilization" of physician services appears to have increased at the rate of 6 percent per year in 1973 through 1975 after several years of 2-3 percent increases. ("Utilization" here, is measured indirectly and refers to increased costs per capita due to added visits, the use of more expensive services, and other factors not explained by simple price per service increases.) This deficiency must be considered in establishing the financing for subsequent years.

II. MONTHLY ADEQUATE ACTUARIAL RATES FOR ENROLLEES AGE 65 AND OLDER

The monthly adequate actuarial rate is one-half the monthly projected per capita cost for benefits and administrative expenses adjusted to allow for interest earnings on the fund, to allow for

a contingency margin, and to allow for amortization of the program surplus or deficit.

The monthly adequate actuarial rate for enrollees age 65 and older for the year ending June 30, 1977, was determined by projecting the fiscal year 1974 per capita cost by type of service. The projected cost for the years ending June 30, 1974, 1975, 1976, and 1977 are shown in Table II. The 1974 values were established from program data. Subsequent years were projected using a combination of program data and data from external sources. The projection factors used are shown in Table III.

TABLE II.—*Derivation of SMI aged monthly rate required for years ending June 30, 1974–1977*

	1974	1975	1976	1977
Covered Services (at level recognized):				
Physicians' reasonable charges	$7.06	$8.11	$9.04	$10.25
Radiology and pathology	.32	.36	.39	.43
Group practice plans	.16	.18	.20	.22
Independent lab	.06	.07	.07	.08
Home health agencies	.08	.12	.17	.21
Outpatient hospital and other institutions	.89	1.33	1.80	2.25
Total services	8.57	10.17	11.67	13.44
Cost sharing:				
Deductible	−1.60	−1.64	−1.68	−1.73
Coinsurance	−1.31	−1.61	−1.89	−2.21
Total benefits	5.66	6.92	8.10	9.50
Administrative expenses	.65	.80	.88	.94
Incurred expenditures	6.31	7.72	8.98	10.44
Value of interest on fund	−.14	−.18	−.15	−.17
Margin for contingencies and to amortize unfunded liabilities	.13	−.84	−1.33	.43
Promulgated rate	6.30	6.70	7.50	10.70

TABLE III

	Year ending June 30		
	1975 (percent)	1976 (percent)	1977 (percent)
Physicians' services:			
Fees [1]	8.4	7.2	10.0
Number and mix [2]	6.0	4.0	3.0
Outpatient hospital and home health agencies	50.0	35.0	25.0
Group practice plans	15.0	10.0	10.0
Other	10.0	10.0	10.0

[1] As paid by the program.
[2] Increase in the number of services received per capita and greater relative use of more expensive services.

There is some ambiguity created by the change in fiscal year (to an October through September basis) regarding the updating of the physician reasonable charge screens. This ambiguity affects the projection factor to be used for physician fees in the July 1976 to June 1977 period. This projection assumes that the screens will be updated in July 1976 based on calendar 1975 fees—the same procedure as has occurred in the past. Taken literally, the law implies that the screens would be updated in October 1976 based on calendar 1975 fees; but the screens for July to September 1976 are not defined. Presumably this ambiguity will be resolved by technical amendment during the next few months.

The projected monthly rate required to pay for benefits and administrative costs for the year ending June 30, 1977, net of interest is $10.27. The monthly actuarially adequate rate of $10.70 will allow approximately 40 percent of the $599 million projected deficit to be written off between July 1976 and June 1977.

III. Adequate Actuarial Rate for the Disabled

The monthly adequate actuarial rate for disabled enrollees applies to persons eligible because they have been entitled to disability insurance benefits for not less than 24 months or because they are suffering from chronic renal disease. Data on an incurred basis are not yet complete enough to be used in the cost projection of these enrollees. From the cash payments it is possible to infer, at least approximately, the level of incurred costs for the disabled. Table IV summarizes the results of this procedure. The monthly rate required to pay for benefits and administrative costs for disabled beneficiaries for the year ending June 30, 1977, net of interest earnings is projected to be $19.08. The monthly actuarially adequate rate of $19.00 is appropriate in view of the excess amounts estimated for disabled enrollees in prior years.

TABLE IV.—*Derivation of SMI disabled monthly rate required for year ending June 30, 1974–1977*

	1974	1975	1976	1977
Total benefits	$7.85	$10.89	$14.78	$17.63
Administrative expenses	3.08	1.34	1.62	1.75
Incurred expenditures	10.93	12.23	16.40	19.38
Value of interest on fund	−.09	−.27	−.27	−.30
Margin for contingencies and to amortize unfunded liabilities	3.66	6.04	2.37	−.08
Promulgated monthly rate	14.50	18.00	18.50	19.00

IV. SENSITIVITY TESTING

Several factors contribute to uncertainty about future trends in medical care costs, notably, the recent deviations from long-term trends referred to above. In view of this, it seems appropriate to test the adequacy under alternate assumptions of the rates promulgated here. The most unpredictable factors which contribute significantly to future costs are outpatient hospital costs, physician utilization and the increase in physician fees as constrained by the reasonable charge screens and the newly implemented economic index. Two alternative sets of assumptions and the results of those assumptions are shown in Table V. All assumptions not shown in Table V are the same as in Table III.

Table V indicates that under fairly optimistic assumptions the monthly rates promulgated will result in a surplus of $79 million by the end of June 1977. Under fairly pessimistic assumptions the deficit increases to $1,421 million but the trust fund remains positive allowing the program to continue paying claims as presented.

TABLE V.—*Actuarial Status of the SMI trust fund under 3 sets of assumptions*

	This projection		Low assumption		High assumption	
	1976	1977	1976	1977	1976	1977
Per enrollee increases in:						
Physician fees (percent)	7.2	10.0	7.0	9.0	8.2	11.0
Physician utilization (percent)	4.0	3.0	3.0	2.0	6.0	6.0
Outpatient hospital and home health agencies (percent)	35.0	25.0	25.0	20.0	50.0	50.0
Trust fund as of June 30 (in millions)	$1,176	$1,798	$1,251	$2,119	$1,002	$991
Liabilities as of June 30 (in millions)	$1,775	$2,141	$1,730	$2,040	$1,859	$2,412
Trust fund—liabilities	−$599	−$343	−$479	$79	−$857	−$1,421

V. STANDARD PREMIUM RATE

The law provides that the standard monthly premium rate, promulgated in December to apply for both aged and disabled enrollees under the supplementary medical insurance program, shall be the lesser of:

(i) The actuarial rate for enrollees age 65 and older; or

(ii) The standard monthly premium currently being charged, increased by the same percentage that old-age, survivors, and disability insurance benefits were increased since the May preceding the promulgation (and rounded to the nearer dime).

The standard monthly premium currently being charged is $6.70. The OASDI benefit table was increased eight percent in June 1975. The $6.70 rate increased by eight percent is $7.20 rounded to the nearer ten cent multiple. Since this is less than the $10.70 actuarial rate, the standard premium rate is $7.20 for the twelve months ending with June 1977.

Dated: December 31, 1975.

DAVID MATHEWS,
Secretary.

[FR Doc.75-35254 Filed 12-31-75;3:31 pm]

DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION

Federal Railroad Administration

[Docket No. RST-1, Waiver Petition No. 17]

PENN CENTRAL TRANSPORTATION CO.

Supplemental Report and Order

On February 25, 1974, the Federal Railroad Administration (FRA) issued a final report and order (39 FR 7827) on the petition filed by the Penn Central Transportation Company (Penn Central) which requested a temporary waiver of the Federal Railroad Administration Track Safety Standards for Track Geometry (49 CFR 213.51–63) and Crossties (49 CFR 213.109). That final report and order also involved the similar petitions filed by the State of Maryland and by the State of Delaware which requested analogous relief with respect to the Penn Central track located within those states.

In that final report and order the Penn Central was granted a temporary waiver from compliance with those provisions of the Track Safety Standards subject to certain terms and conditions. Among those conditions was a provision requiring the initiation and pursuit of a program to restore track in accordance with the terms of that order. This program was premised on FRA's belief that sufficient resources were available to Penn Central, including internally-generated funds, to enable it to restore all of the non-complying track to the minimum Class 1 conditions. Subsequently, Penn Central submitted its proposed schedule of restoration which provided for the rehabilitation of all track covered by this petition by December 31, 1975. This schedule of restoration was accepted by FRA.

The Administrator of the FRA has delegated to the Railroad Safety Board (Board) the responsibility to consider all waivers of compliance with standards and regulations issued by the FRA (FRA Order No. 100.14C). Pursuant to its delegated authority, the Board has reviewed the performance of Penn Central under the provisions of the final report and order in order to determine the appropriate course of action to be taken in this proceeding, including whether to revoke the waiver, invoke the penalty provisions set forth in 45 U.S.C. 438, or allow the waiver to be continued on new terms and conditions.

With respect to progress under the restoration program for the track covered by this waiver, the monthly reports submitted by the Penn Central for each category of track pursuant to the final report and order indicate the following:

Mainlines, 120 miles under waiver, 100% restored.
Branchlines, 1400 miles under waiver, 43% restored.
Secondary, 1600 miles under waiver, 37% restored.
Running, 148 miles under waiver, 24% restored.
Industrial, 350 miles under waiver, 25% restored.
Yard, 2500 miles under waiver, 26% restored.

On the basis of this review, it is clear that Penn Central has not complied with the final report and order in that it has failed to complete the restoration program by December 31, 1975. One of the major causes for that failure is the general economic downturn occurring in late 1974 and most of 1975 which adversely impacted Penn Central's ability to carry out the restoration program. In fact the economic position of the Penn Central was altered to such a degree that a substantial infusion of federal funds was necessary simply to avoid a cessation of rail service.

The Board also examined in detail information concerning the operational safety of these lines. This information was supplied by Penn Central pursuant to the final report and order which required weekly reports of all derailments irrespective of injury or estimated dollar damage. That requirement is far more comprehensive than FRA's Accident/In-

cident Reporting regulations (49 CFR Part 225) which require reporting of incidents resulitng in damage in excess of $1,750. The Board found that 4,212 derailments occurred on this trackage during the 21-month reporting period, of which 3,060 derailments were due to track related causes.

Finally, the Board considered the status of the trackage subject to this waiver under the Final System Plan issued by the United States Railway Association pursuant to the Regional Rail Reorganization Act of 1973, as amended, (45 U.S.C. 751 et seq.) (Rail Act). Analysis of the available data indicates that, yard tracks excluded, 48 percent of the waiver trackage is scheduled for acquisition by Consolidated Rail Corporation (ConRail). Approximately 10 percent of this trackage is available for acquisition by other solvent railroads. The balance of this trackage is available for discontinuance or subsidy in order to retain service over those lines.

Under the Rail Act, properties are to be transferred on February 27, 1975 unless that date is modified by Congress. Service on properties which are not transferred will be discontinued under procedures set forth in the Rail Act unless an adequate subsidy is made available. It is imperative that essential services be maintained on all of these properties until the transfer date so as to assure an orderly transition and reorganization. Moreover, if services were discontinued prior to the transfer date, persons having their employment relationship terminate as a result of the discontinuance may become ineligible for labor protection benefits under title V of the Rail Act.

On the basis of this analysis of Penn Central's failure to comply with the restoration program, and the Board's evaluation that the public interest would be best served by permitting the waiver to continue in effect, the Board has decided to continue the waiver under new terms and conditions. The Board specifically finds that such a decision is consistent with railroad safety.

The issuance of this supplemental report and order does not, however, constitute a revocation of any of the conditions or provisions of that final report and order, except to the extent they are inconsistent with this order, and does not constitute a waiver of any rights or powers which the FRA may have by virtue of that final report and order including, without limitation, the ability to impose penalties for failure to comply with the terms and conditions of that final report and order.

A. *WAIVER.* For the reasons stated in this order, the Board hereby continues in effect the temporary waiver granted in the final report and order. This waiver is subject to the following conditions: (1) that Penn Central pursue the program of restoration required by Part B of the final report and order at the same average monthly level of effort and consistent with available financial resources, (2) that Penn Central submit to FRA the current monthly report of work completed and in progress, and (3) that Penn Central comply with the General Terms and Conditions of Part C and the Special Terms and Conditions of Part D of the final report and order as modified in this order. In the event of any failure to comply with the terms and conditions of this waiver, FRA may, at any time, revoke the waiver or invoke the penalty provisions of 45 U.S.C. 438, or both.

B. TRANSFER OF WAIVER. As previously stated, the Board has determined that it is in the public interest that this waiver be continued in order to assure an orderly transition and reorganization under the Rail Act. Consistent with this determination, the Board hereby provides for an expedited procedure, in lieu of procedures otherwise available under 49 CFR 211.9(a) and 213.5(b), so as to assure that operations can continue for an interim period under this waiver until a satisfactory program of restoration can be developed and implemented. Accordingly, notwithstanding any other provision of law, the following procedures are established for the transfer of this waiver:

1. This waiver shall continue to apply to trackage transferred from Penn Central to ConRail or another solvent railroad if, prior to the date of such transfer, the acquiring carrier submits the notice described in paragraph 3 of this part.

2. This waiver shall continue to apply to trackage to be operated pursuant to assistance provided under title IV of the Rail Act if, prior to the discontinuance of service pursuant to section 304 of the Rail Act, the carrier that is to continue rail operations over any such trackage pursant to assistance offered in accordance wtih section 304 of the Rail Act submits the notice described in paragraph 3 of this part.

3. This waiver shall continue with respect to trackage covered by this supplemental report and order if FRA receives, in accordance with paragraph 1 or 2 of this part, as appropriate, a written notice containing the following:

(a) the name and address of the party submitting the notice;

(b) a detailed identification of the trackage involved; and

(c) a statement from the party submitting the notice that—

(i) it has the competence and ability to carry out the duties of a track owner under the FRA Track Safety Standards (49 CFR 213);

(ii) it assumes responsibility for compliance with those standards and the terms and conditions set forth in parts B, C, and D of this waiver; and

(iii) within 90 days after the date of this notice, it will submit to FRA a program for restoration of the track described in the notice to at least Class 1 standards by the earliest feasible date but not later than January 1, 1977, unless for good cause shown the Administrator consents in writing to a later date; and

4. In the event of any failure to comply with the terms and conditions of this waiver, FRA may, at any time, revoke the waiver or invoke the penalty provisions of 45 U.S.C. 438, or both.

C. GENERAL TERMS AND CONDITIONS. 1. All track not complying with Class 1 standards which is known to be utilized for hauling more than 10 million gross ton miles per year (not including yard track) shall be inspected daily by carrier operating on such track; all track located in yards in which annual throughput exceeds 100,000 cars per annum shall be inspected weekly; and all other non-complying track shall be inspected weekly or prior to each train movement if there is less than one train per week operated over it.

2. Operating speed on all track that does not comply with Class 1 standards is restricted to a maximum of 8 miles per hour for secondary, branch and passing siding track, and to 6 miles per hour for yard, industrial and running connection track.

3. No train in revenue passenger service may be operated over any track that does not comply with Class 1 standards. Track not meeting Class 1 standard which is immediately adjacent to track over which passenger trains are operated, shall be taken out of service and all movements on the adjacent track shall be stopped not less than ½ hour prior to the scheduled passage of a passenger train. The track over which the passenger train is to move shall then be inspected to assure that it is clear. This inspection must be completed in sufficient time to permit flagging of any moving passenger train before it approaches the adjacent track. The adjacent track may not be returned to service until after passage of the passenger train has been verified.

4. *All* derailments occurring on track covered by this petition are to be reported weekly to FRA, regardless of whether they result in injury or property damage.

5. Other operating restrictions, including cessation of all operations over a segment of track, may be added as necessary for safe operations. Supervisors shall take special care to assure that employees subject to their supervision are informed of the provisions of this waiver.

6. Operations may be conducted pursuant to the provisions of Section 213.11 of the Track Safety Standards (49 CFR 213.11) on track which is being restored or renewed under the continuous supervision.

D. SPECIAL TERMS AND CONDITIONS (HAZARDOUS MATERIALS). 1. To minimize mileage of operation over substandard track that does not comply with Class 1 standards, placarded cars transporting hazardous materials shall, whenever possible and regardless of the number of additional movement miles, be routed over track that complies with the track safety standards, including track of another railroad. Such routing shall be made regardless of shipper instructions specifying a different route.

2. Any placarded car transporting a hazardous material shall not be oper-

ated over track that does not comply with Class 1 standards unless:

(a) that track is inspected within 24 hours prior to the movement by a person designated under § 213.7(a) of the Track Safety Standards (49 CFR 213.7 (a)) who certifies in writing to the operating carrier that the track is safe for that intended movement of hazardous materials; and

(b) the maximum operating speed for any such movement does not exceed 8 miles per hour.

3. Any placarded car transporting a hazardous material, whose total weight when loaded exceeds 263,000 pounds, shall not be operated over track that does not comply with Class 1 standards unless:

(a) that track is inspected immediately prior to the movement by a person designated under § 213.7(a) of the Track Safety Standards 49 CFR 213.7(a) who certifies in writing to the operating carrier that the track is safe for that intended movement of hazardous materials;

(b) the maximum operating speed for any such movement does not exceed 6 miles per hour; and

(c) all movements on adjacent tracks are stopped until the passage of these placarded cars is completed.

4. Upon written request, special approvals for relief from these conditions may be granted by the Associate Administrator for Safety of FRA upon such other terms and conditions as he deems appropriate. Any request must set forth the nature of the relief requested, the circumstances of the intended movement, and a description of any additional operating restrictions considered necessary for the safety of that movement.

This supplemental order supersedes the final report and order in this proceeding and becomes effective immediately upon its issuance.

Issued in Washington, D.C. on December 31, 1975.

DONALD W. BENNETT,
Chairman, Railroad Safety Board.

[FR Doc.76-307 Filed 1-6-76;8:45 am]

CIVIL AERONAUTICS BOARD

[Order No. 76-1-2]

AIR CARRIERS AND SWISS FOREIGN AIR CARRIERS

Order Granting Waiver Regarding Charter Trips Between the United States and Switzerland

Adopted by the Civil Aeronautics Board at its office in Washington, D.C. on the 2nd day of January, 1976.

On November 24, 1975, the Government of the United States and the Swiss Federal Air Office, by an exchange of letters, entered into an Understanding governing the charterworthiness of passenger charter trips operated by the carriers of both countries between their respective territories. The Understanding is effective from the date of the exchange of letters (November 24, 1975) to December 31, 1976. In principal substantive effect the Understanding provides:

1. Subject to compulsory clauses of their national air laws the air transport authorities of each country will accept as charterworthy air charter traffic which originates in the territory of the other and which is organized and operated pursuant to the rules of the other air transport authority, or according to waivers of such rules granted for exceptional reasons.

2. The air transport authorities of the country where a passenger charter is originated have the primary responsibility for enforcement of charterworthiness rules.

3. Passenger charterworthiness rules will be applied and enforced in a nondiscriminatory manner.

4. Modifications which alter the basic character of an existing charter rule or establish a new charter type will be brought to the attention of the other air transport authorities who may deny or revoke with immediate effect acceptance of those changes.

The Understanding represents the recognition by both the Swiss aviation authorities and the United States Government that if passenger charter operations between their territories are to be facilitated, there must be an accommodation as to the differing rules governing charter operations in effect under the laws and regulations of the two countries. Both the Swiss charter rules and the United States charter rules are designed to insure that the necessary distinction between group charter travel and individually ticketed service is maintained, and that charters do not in reality become simply a guise for the performance of individually ticketed service. Each country, moreover, maintains additional restrictions which are designed to restrict the extent of charter service diversion from scheduled services. In this latter respect, the formulas applied by the air authorities of the two countries differ significantly with respect to the differing types of authorized charters. Nevertheless, considering the primary responsibility of the Swiss aviation authorities with respect to Swiss-originating charters (which are composed primarily of Swiss citizens), and the similar primary responsibility of the U.S. with respect to United States-originating charters, and further considering the provisions of section 1102 of the Federal Aviation Act pursuant to which the Board is directed to exercise its powers and duties consistently with any obligation assumed by the United States in any agreement between the United States and any foreign country, and to take into consideration any applicable laws or requirements of foreign countries, the Board is satisfied that, for the purpose of Swiss-originating charters, the Swiss charter regulations are sufficient to maintain that distinction between charter and individually ticketed services contemplated under the provisions of the Federal Aviation Act of 1958.[1] This is not to say that the Board would necessarily conclude that the regulations applied by Switzerland for Swiss-originating passenger charters would be satisfactory to meet Board requirements for U.S.-originating charters operated in accordance with U.S. regulations.

Under current Board charter regulations U.S. carriers are required to conform to the U.S. charter rules for Swiss-originating as well as U.S.-originating charters, in the absence of the grant of a waiver or other exception in the regulations. The same is true with respect to Swiss scheduled carriers. With the exception noted below, Swiss charter carriers have authority in their permits which provides for the application of Swiss rules for Swiss-originating inclusive tour charters, but not for other types of charters. Each of the Board's charter regulations provides, nevertheless, for waiver of the requirements contained therein upon a finding that such waiver is in the public interest and that there are special or unusual circumstances warranting the grant of such a waiver.[2] The grant of appropriate waivers will, in accordance with section 1102 of the Act, permit implementation of the obligations assumed by the United States in the November 24, 1975 Understanding.

In view of the foregoing, and in consideration of the Understanding effectuated by the exchange of letters of November 24, 1975, the Board's responsibilities under section 1102 of the Federal Aviation Act, and the effect of the Understanding in providing assurance that the U.S.-originating public will have the opportunity to travel to Switzerland under charter rules (specifically the recently promulgated OTC Rule—SPR-85) found by the Board to be in the public interest, the Board finds that, with respect to Swiss-originating charters, the Swiss rules are sufficient to maintain the distinction between charters and individually ticketed service contemplated by the Federal Aviation Act. The Board further finds that the provisions of the November 24, 1974 Understanding represent a special circumstance which warrants the grant of waivers of the Board's various charter regulations to the extent necessary to permit U.S. certificated carriers and Swiss foreign air carriers to operate charters originating in Switzerland pur-

[1] An Annex to the Understanding sets forth the basic principles applicable to Swiss charter categories including: Advance Booking Charters; Affinity Charters; Inclusive Tour Charters; Special Event Charters; Student Charters; and Own Use Charters. All such charters, except Own Use Charters, may be operated as split charters with groups under charter contracts for at least 40 seats. As noted, any major substantive changes to the Swiss charter regulations are subject to the Board's objection and refusal to accept such new regulations as within the scope of the Understanding.

[2] See, §§ 207.16, 208.3a, 212.13, 214.3, 372.3, 372a.3, 373.30, 378.30 and 378a.3 of the Board's Economic and Special Regulations.

suant to the Swiss charter rules, and that the grant of such waivers would be in the public interest. Similarly the Board finds that it is in the public interest to exempt U.S. indirect air carriers, pursuant to section 101(3) of the Federal Aviation Act, from the provisions of Title IV of the Act insofar as is necessary to permit any such indirect air carrier to organize Swiss-originating charters operated under Swiss rules pursuant to the provisions of the Understanding.[3]

In light of the Understanding providing for acceptance as charter worthy of those Swiss-originating charters operated pursuant to Swiss charter regulations, no useful purpose would be served by requiring waiver applications with respect to individual charter flights or series of flights. Accordingly, the Board finds that it is in the public interest to grant a blanket waiver from the charter regulations for all U.S. certificated carriers, and for Swiss carriers holding foreign air carrier permits issued by the Board, extending for the duration of the Understanding (or the Understanding as it may be extended). The exemptions for indirect air carriers will extend for the same duration.

Pursuant to Order 75-4-101, Societe Anonyme de Transport Aerien (SATA), a Swiss charter foreign air carrier, was granted a foreign air carrier permit authorizing charter service between Switzerland and the United States, and from certain named European countries to the United States. Condition (3) of that permit provides, *inter alia*, that:

> (3) The authority of the holder to perform inclusive tour charters originating in the Swiss Confederation shall be subject to the terms, conditions, and limitations contained in licenses to be issued by the Swiss Federal Air Office (or other appropriate aviation authority) authorizing the performance of such charters: *Provided, however,* That, except as may be otherwise authorized by the Board, such inclusive tours shall also conform to the provisions of section 378.2 (b)(4) of the Board's Special Regulations, or any other provision of the Board's Regulations governing the minimum charge to passengers for an inclusive tour (including land or other accommodations) * * *."

The November 24, 1975 charterworthiness Understanding with the Swiss Federal Air Office does not prescribe any specific minimum price for inclusive tour charters. Moreover, Balair, the other Swiss charter carrier, is not subject to any similar minimum price requirement for Swiss-originating inclusive tours. Accordingly, consistent with the provisions of the Understanding pursuant to which Swiss-originating charters should be governed by Swiss rules with respect to price as well as other matters, the Board finds, in accordance with the provision of condition (3) of the SATA permit for the Board to "otherwise authorize," that it is in the public interest to authorize SATA to operate Swiss-originating inclusive tours subject to the terms, conditions and limitations contained in licenses to be issued by the Swiss Federal Air Office (or other appropriate aviation authority) authorizing the performance of such charters, *without conforming* to the minimum price requirements of § 378.2(b)(4), or other minimum price requirements in the Board's inclusive tour charter regulations.

Accordingly, it is ordered:

1. That to the extent respectively applicable, waivers of the provisions of §§ 207.11, 208.6, 212.8, and 214.7 of the Board's Economic Regulations (except with respect to the provisions of such sections governing charters to direct air carriers and direct foreign air carriers for commercial traffic), and of such other provisions of the Board's charter regulations as would otherwise be inconsistent with the waivers granted herein, be and they hereby are granted to all U.S. air carriers authorized to provide charter service (including off-route charter service) between Switzerland and the United States,[4] and all foreign air carriers of Swiss nationality holding foreign air carrier permits authorizing charter service (including off-route charter service) between Switzerland and the United States,[5] insofar as is necessary to permit such air carriers and foreign air carriers to operate charters originating in Switzerland and destined for the United States in accordance with rules governing the charterworthiness of such charters as applied by the Swiss Federal Air Office: *Provided, however,* That such waivers shall apply only to the extent contemplated by the Understanding incorporated in the exchange of letters between the Government of the United States and the Swiss Federal Air Office, dated November 24, 1975 (or such Understanding as it may be amended, modified, or extended); and *provided, further,* that the waivers granted herein shall not relieve such carriers from the requirements contained in Parts 207, 208, 212, and 214 of the Board's Economic Regulations, other than those relating to the charterworthiness of charters performed pursuant to those regulations;

2. That all U.S. indirect air carriers of passengers be and they hereby are relieved pursuant to section 101(3) of the Act, from the provisions of Title IV of the Act, insofar as is necessary to permit any such indirect air carrier to organize Swiss-originating passenger charters pursuant to the rules governing the charterworthiness of such charters as applied by the Swiss Federal Air Office in accordance with the provisions of the Understanding incorporated in the exchange of letters between the Government of the United States and the Swiss Federal Air Office, dated November 24, 1975;

3. That *Societe Anonyme de Transport Aerien (SATA)* be and it hereby is authorized, pursuant to the provision for such authorization contained in condition (3) of the permit issued to the carrier by Order 75-4-101, to perform inclusive tour charters originating in the Swiss Confederation subject to the terms, conditions and limitations contained in licenses to be issued by the Swiss Federal Air Office (or other appropriate aviation authority) authorizing the performance of such charters, *without conforming* to the provisions of § 378.2 (b)(4) of the Board's Special Regulations, or any other provision of the Board's Regulations governing the minimum charge to passengers for an inclusive tour (including land or other accommodations);

4. That this order may be modified, amended or revoked by the Board without notice or hearing;

5. That the waivers, exemptions, and authorization granted herein shall terminate upon the expiration of the Understanding concerning the charterworthiness of charters originating in their respective territories, incorporated in an exchange of letters between the Government of the United States and the Swiss Federal Air Office, dated November 24, 1975, or such Understanding as it may be amended, modified or extended; and

6. That this order shall be served upon all U.S. air carriers holding a certificate of public convenience and necessity issued by the Board; all Swiss holders of, and applicants for, a foreign air carrier permit; the Departments of State and Transportation; and the Ambassador of Switzerland.

This Order shall be published in the FEDERAL REGISTER.

By the Civil Aeronautics Board.

[SEAL] EDWIN Z. HOLLAND,
Secretary.

[FR Doc.76-437 Filed 1-6-76;8:45 am]

[3] The Board has declined to exercise jurisdiction over foreign indirect air carriers organizing foreign-originating charters. Accordingly, no additional authority is needed to permit Swiss indirect air carriers to organize Swiss-originating charters according to Swiss rules.

[4] Pursuant to section 401(e)(6) of the Act, and in the absence of any Board regulations precluding such operations, U.S. carriers holding certificates of public convenience and necessity issued by the Board pursuant to section 401(d)(1) of the Act, are authorized to provide off-route charter service between Switzerland and the United States in accordance with Board regulations.

[5] Such carriers presently include Swissair, Balair and SATA.

[Docket No. 28043]

HILO SERVICE CASE

Notice of Hearing

Notice is hereby given, pursuant to the provisions of the Federal Aviation Act of 1958, as amended, that a hearing in the above-entitled proceeding is assigned to be held on February 11, 1976, at 9:30 a.m. (local time), in the Coutroom of the Federal Building, 154 Waianuenue Avenue, Hilo, Hawaii, before the undersigned.

For information concerning the issues and other details involved in this proceeding, interested persons are referred to Order 75-7-11, dated July 2, 1975, instituting this proceeding, Order 75-9-

109, dated September 30, 1975, the prehearing conference report, served October 9, 1975, and other documents which are in the docket of this proceeding on file in the Docket Section of the Civil Aeronautics Board.

Dated at Washington, D.C., December 31, 1975.

[SEAL] ROBERT L. PARK,
Chief Administrative Law Judge.

[FR Doc.76-436 Filed 1-6-76;8:45 am]

ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY

[FRL 476-4]

APPROVAL AND PROMULGATION OF IMPLEMENTATION PLANS

Proposed Revision to Oregon Implementation Plan

On November 18, 1975, the Director of the State of Oregon Department of Environmental Quality submitted to the Administrator of the Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) a proposed revision to the Oregon State Implementation Plan. The revision is the Lane Regional Air Pollution Authority (LRAPA) regulation for indirect sources adopted by the Board of Directors of the LRAPA on July 8, 1975.

Pursuant to a court ruling by the United States Court of Appeals for the District of Columbia in the case *Natural Resources Defense Council Inc.* v. *Environmental Protection Agency*, 475 F. 2d 968 (D.C. Cir. 1973), the Administrator on March 8, 1973 (38 FR 6279) disapproved all State Implementation Plans to the extent to which they do not adequately assure the maintenance of the national ambient air quality standards. On June 18, 1973 (38 FR 15834), the Administrator promulgated requirements directing States to provide for preconstruction review and approval of indirect sources of air pollution to insure maintenance of standards. Indirect sources are facilities which have an impact on air quality because of associated mobile source activity.

It should be noted that the Federal indirect source regulation promulgated on February 25, 1974 (39 FR 7270) for Oregon and many other States was indefinitely suspended pending Congressional consideration of amendments to the Clean Air Act relating to indirect sources. See 40 FR 28065, July 3, 1975. As stated in that notice, however, EPA believes that the necessary preconstruction review for air quality can be most effective when implemented at the State or local levels, rather than the Federal level.

The State of Oregon adopted a regulation for the review of indirect sources, Oregon Administrative Rules, Chapter 340, §§ 20-100 through 20-135, "Rules for Indirect Sources," in November, 1974. The State regulation was submitted to EPA as a revision to the State Implementation Plan on July 24, 1975 and was proposed in the FEDERAL REGISTER on November 20, 1975. See 40 FR 54012. The regulation adopted by LRAPA is patterned after and is as stringent as the State regulation; however, it applies only in Lane County, the area of jurisdiction of the LRAPA, where it supersedes the State regulation.

The LRAPA regulation provides for preconstruction review of indirect sources in Lane County. Two types of areas are identified, each with a separate size criteria. In or around (within 5 miles) of the municipal boundaries of the City of Eugene, Oregon any new or modified source which will create or add 50 or more parking spaces is subject to review. In addition, any new highway with an anticipated average daily traffic volume of 20,000 or more motor vehicles per day within ten years of completion or any modification to a highway which will increase annual daily traffic volumes to a total of 20,000 or more motor vehicles per day or will incrementally increase traffic volumes by 10,000 or more motor vehicles per day within ten years after completion is subject to review.

In other areas of Lane County any new or modified source which will create or add 500 or more parking spaces is subject to review. The size criteria for new or modified highway is the same as in a municipality, as outlined in the previous paragraph.

In addition, any new airport in the county with projected annual aircraft operations of 50,000 or more within ten years of completion or modification of an airport which will increase the projected number of annual aircraft operations by 25,000 or more within ten years after completion if subject to the regulation.

The regulation also provides for the establishment of a Regional Parking and Circulation Plan(s) by a City, County or Regional Planning Agency, lists the information and requirements applicable to indirect source construction permit applications and lists the procedures for the issuance or denial of an indirect source construction permit.

The Administrator is required by Section 110 of the Clean Air Act to approve or disapprove any revision to an implementation plan submitted by a State. Public comment is invited on whether the LRAPA Indirect Source Regulation should be approved or disapproved as a revision to the State Implementation Plan. Copies of the proposed revision are available for public inspection during normal business hours at the EPA Region X Office, 1200 Sixth Avenue, Seattle, Washington 98101: EPA Public Information Reference Unit, 401 M Street SW, Washington, D.C. 20460; Lane Regional Air Pollution Authority, 16 Oakway Mall, Eugene, Oregon 97401; and the State of Oregon Department of Environmental Quality, 1234 SW Morrison Street, Portland, Oregon 97205.

Interested persons may participate in this rulemaking by submitting written comments, perferably in triplicate, to the Regional Administrator, EPA, Region X, 1200 Sixth Avenue, Seattle, Washington 98101. Attention: K. Higley. Relevant comments received on or before February 6, 1976 will be considered and will be available for public inspection at the above addresses.

This notice of proposed rulemaking is issued under the authority of section 110(a) of the Clean Air Act, as amended. 42 U.S.C. 1857c-5(a).

Dated: December 29, 1975.

CLIFFORD V. SMITH, Jr.,
Regional Administrator.

[FR Doc.76-443 Filed 1-6-76;8:45 am]

ENERGY RESEARCH AND DEVELOPMENT ADMINISTRATION

[ERDA-1535]

LIQUID METAL FAST BREEDER REACTOR PROGRAM

Notice of Availability of Final Environmental Statement and Administrator's Findings

Notice is hereby given that the Energy Research and Development Administration (ERDA) has issued a Final Environmental Statement, ERDA-1535, Liquid Metal Fast Breeder Reactor (LMFBR) Program, and the Administrator's Findings on that Statement. The Statement was issued pursuant to ERDA's implementation of the National Environmental Policy Act of 1969, in connection with the ERDA program for the development of LMFBR technology.

Copies of the Final Environmental Statement and the Administrator's Findings are available for public inspection in the Energy Research and Development Administration's Public Document Rooms at:

ERDA Headquarters, 20 Massachusetts Avenue, N.W., Washington, D.C.; Albuquerque Operations Office, Kirtland Air Force Base East, Albuquerque, New Mexico; Chicago Operations Office, 9800 South Cass Avenue, Argonne, Illinois; Idaho Operations Office, 550 Second Street, Idaho Falls, Idaho; Nevada Operations Office, 2753 South Highland Drive, Las Vegas, Nevada; Oak Ridge Operations Office, Federal Building, Oak Ridge, Tennessee; Richland Operations Office, Federal Building, Richland, Washington; San Francisco Operations Office, 1333 Broadway, Oakland, California; and Savannah River Operations Office, Savannah River Plant, Aiken, South Carolina.

Copies have also been furnished to those who commented on the Proposed Final Environmental Statement that was issued in January 1975, as WASH-1535.

A limited number of single copies are available for distribution by the Technical Information Center, P.O. Box 62, Oak Ridge, Tennessee 27830 (615) 483-8611, Extension 34672. Copies of the Statement and the Administrator's Findings are also available from the Superintendent of Documents, Government Printing Office, Washington, D.C. 20402.

Dated at Germantown, Maryland, this 31st day of December 1975.

For the Energy Research and Development Administration.

JAMES L. LIVERMAN,
Assistant Administrator for Environment and Safety.

[FR Doc.76-387 Filed 1-6-76;8:45 am]

FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS COMMISSION

[Report No. 785]

COMMON CARRIER SERVICES INFORMATION

Applications Accepted for Filing

DECEMBER 22, 1975.

The applications listed herein have been found, upon initial review, to be acceptable for filing. The Commission reserves the right to return any of these applications, if upon further examination, it is determined they are defective and not in conformance with the Commission's Rules and Regulations or its policies.

Final action will not be taken on any of these applications earlier than 31 days following the date of this notice, except for radio applications not requiring a 30-day notice period (see § 309(c) of the Communications Act of 1934) or as otherwise noted. Unless specified to the contrary, comments or petitions may be filed concerning any of these applications within 30 days of the date of this notice (February 6, 1976).

In order for an application filed under Part 21 of the Commission's Rules (Domestic Public Radio Services) to be considered mutually exclusive with any other such application appearing herein, it must be substantially complete and tendered for filing by whichever date is earlier: (a) the close of business one business day preceding the day on which the Commission takes action on the previously filed application; or (b) within 60 days after the date of the public notice listing the first prior filed application (with which the subsequent application is in conflict) as having been accepted for filing. In common carrier radio services other than those listed under Part 21, the cut-off date for filing a mutually exclusive application is the close of business one business day preceding the day on which the previously filed application is designated for hearing. With limited exceptions, an application which is subsequently amended by a major change will be considered as a newly filed application for purposes of the cut-off rule. [See §1.227(b) (3) and 21.30(b) of the Commission's Rules.]

FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS COMMISSION,
[SEAL] VINCENT J. MULLINS,
Secretary.

APPLICATIONS ACCEPTED FOR FILING

DOMESTIC PUBLIC LAND MOBILE RADIO SERVICE

21004–CD–P–76 Mid-South Telephone Company, Inc. (NEW) C.P. for a new station to operate on 152.84 MHz. to be located 1.25 miles east of U.S. Highway 45 on Ms. St. Highway 356, Rienzi, Mississippi.

21009–CD–AL–(2)–76 Hawkeye State Telephone Company Consent to Assignment of License from Hawkeye State Telephone Company, assignor to Iowa Telephone Company, assignee. Stations: KAF637, Knoxville, Iowa; KFL915, Charlton, Iowa.

21025–CD–P–(2)–76 Answer Iowa, Inc. (KAF642) C.P. for additional facilities to operate on 152.09 and 152.21 MHz at a new Loc. No. 2: Approx. 3 miles NNE of Bettendorf, Iowa.

21026–CD–P–(3)–76 Stockton Mobilphone, Incorporated (KMA616) C.P. for additional facilities to operate on 454.250 MHz Base and 75.98 MHz, repeater at Loc. No. 4: Atop Elizabeth Peak, 2.0 miles NW of Twain Harte, California; and additional control facilities to operate on 75.78 MHz at Loc. No. 3: 2171 Ralph Avenue, Stockton, California.

21027–CD–P–(4)–76 AAA Anserphone, Inc.—Jackson (KKV692) C.P. to relocate and change antenna system operating on 454.050, 454.125, 454.300 and 454.350 MHz at Loc. No. 4: Capital Towers Building, Jackson, Mississippi.

21028–CD–P–76 Dodge County Telephone Company (KWH346) C.P. for additional facilities to operate on 158.10 MHz at a new Loc. No. 2: 1 mile North of Jackson, Wisconsin.

21029–CD–P–(6)–76 Southwestern Bell Telephone Company (KKA782) C.P., to relocate and change antenna system operating on 454.400, 454.475, 454.550, 454.600, 454.625, and 454.650 MHz at Loc. No. 2: 4100 Bryan Street, Dallas, Texas.

21030–CD–P–76 Mobile Radio Communications Service, Inc. (KOA264) C.P. to relocate and change antenna system operating on 454.275 MHz located at 9494 Southeast Eastview Drive, Portland, Oregon.

21031–CD–AL–(3)–76 Continental Telephone Company of Utah Consent to Assignment of License from Continental Telephone Company of Utah, assignor to Continental Telephone Company of the West, assignee. Stations: KLF567, Bald Mesa, Utah; KOE515, Monticello, Utah; KFL906, Tremonton, Utah.

21032–CD–AL–76 Idaho Telephone Company Consent to Assignment of License from Idaho Telephone Company, assignor to Continental Telephone Company of the West, assignee. Station: KLF549, Brundage Mtn., Idaho.

Major Amendment

20571–CD–P–(2)–76 Denver & Ephrata Telegraph Company, Ephrata and Lancaster, Pennsylvania. Amend to change transmitter output power at Loc. No. 1 (Ephrata) and to change the antenna gain maximum at N 90 degrees E to a maximum at N 0 degrees and 180 degrees E. All other particulars of operation remain as reported in PN No. 774 dated October 6, 1975.

20766–CD–P–76 Harbor Communications, Inc., Port Huron, Michigan (NEW) Amend to change base frequency 152.18 MHz to 152.18 MHz to 152.21 MHz. All other particulars are to remain the same as reported on PN No. 779 dated November 10, 1975.

RURAL RADIO

60243–CR–AL–(11)–76 Continental Telephone Company of Utah Consent to Assignment of License from Continental Telephone Company of Utah, Assignor to Continental Telephone Company of the West, Assignee. Stations: KSV74, Halls Crossing; KSV78, Natural Bridges National Monument; KSV79, Cave Springs; KTQ59, Fry Canyon; KVD67, Nr. LaSal; WHA81, Helper; WHT79, Tommy White Ranch; WSN29, San Juan; KPQ62, (Temp-Fixed); KVU85, (Temp-Fixed); WAG604, Monticello, Utah.

60244–CR–AL–76 Idaho Telephone Company Consent to Assignment of License from Idaho Telephone Company, Assignor to Continental Telephone Company of the West, Assignee. Station: KKU66, Within State of Idaho.

POINT-TO-POINT MICROWAVE RADIO SERVICE

943–CF–R–76 New York Telephone Company (KEH95) Any fixed location within the territory of the Grantee. Renewal of Radio Station License (Developmental) expiring November 12, 1975. Term: November 12, 1975 to November 12, 1976.

1370–CF–MP–76 The Norfolk and Carolina Telephone and Telegraph Company (KJH20) 105 Uppowac Avenue, Manteo, North Carolina. Lat. 35°54'28" N.—Long. 75°40'26" W. Mod. of C.P. to add frequency 2118.4V MHz toward a new point of communication at Bodie Island, North Carolina on azimuth 310.5°.

1371–CF–P–76 Same (NEW) Bodie Island, 1050 yards West of US Hwy. 12 and 5.0 Miles South of Junction with US 158 in Nags Head, North Carolina. Lat. 35°50'12" N.—Long. 75°34'18" W. C.P. for a new station on frequency 2168.4V MHz toward Manteo, North Carolina on azimuth 130.5°.

1380–CF–P–76 Continental Telephone Company of California. (KMQ70) 350 Lagoon Street, Bishop, California. Lat. 37°21'33" N.—Long. 118°23'46" W. C.P. to increase power output, change emission, and change polarization from Horizontal to Vertical on frequency 2128 MHz toward Pine Creek, California on azimuth 288.3°; change this developmental frequency to a permanent system.

1381–CF–P–76 Same (WAH592) Telephone Central Office, Pine Creek, California. Lat. 37°24'53" N.—Long. 118°36'28" W. C.P. to increase power output, change emission, and change polarization from Horizontal to Vertical on frequency 2178 MHz toward Bishop, California on azimuth 108.2°; change this developmental frequency to a permanent system.

1868–CF–P–76 Southern Pacific Communications Co. (WAH608) 8.0 Miles NW of Sheridan, Indiana, Lat. 40°08'29" N.—Long. 86°14'29" W. C.P. to add 5974.8H towards Indianapolis, Indiana on azimuth 169.9°.

1369–CF–P–76 Same (New) L Indiana Square, Indianapolis, Indiana. Lat. 39°46'13" N.—Long. 86°09'20" W. C.P. for a new station on 6226.9V towards Sheridan, Indiana on azimuth 349.9°.

Corrections

1172–CF–MP–76 United States Transmission Systems, Inc. (WAH520) Stockbridge, Georgia. Correct File Number to read 1272–CF–MP–76. All other particulars remain same as reported on Public Notice No. 781, November 24, 1975.

1324–CF–MP–76 Southern Pacific Communications Co. (WAU268) Baltimore, Maryland. Correct File Number to read 1328–CF–MP–76. All other particulars remain the same as reported on Public Notice No. 784, December 15, 1975.

1326–CF–MP–76 Same (WAU271) Crownsville, Maryland. Correct File Number to read 1325–CF–MP–76. All other particulars remain the same as reported on Public Notice No. 784, December 15, 1975.

1327–CF–MP–76 Same (WAU272) Landover, Maryland. Correct File Number to read 1326–CF–MP–76. All other particulars remain the same as reported on Public Notice No. 784, December 15, 1975.

1328–CF–MP–76 Same (WAU273) Washington, D.C. Correct File Number to read 1327–CF–MP–76. All other particulars remain the same as reported on Public Notice No. 784, December 15, 1975.

1979 WARC CONFERENCE WORKING GROUP

Meeting

DECEMBER 31, 1975.

Pursuant to section 10 of the Federal Advisory Committee Act, 5 U.S.C. App. 1 § 10 (Supp. III, 1973), notice is hereby given of a meeting of the WARC Advisory Committee for Cable Ancillary Radio Services on January 20, 1976, at 2025

M Street NW., Washington, D.C., Room 6331. The meeting is scheduled to commence at 10 a.m.

The agenda is as follows:

(1) Review and approval of the "Preliminary Report to the Steering Committee". This report identifies existing and potential services, areas of spectral interest and impacts.

(2) Establish further work efforts.

(3) Adjournment.

Numerous service-oriented working groups have been formed by the Commission to investigate the spectrum needs of the United States to the year 2000. The outputs of the various groups will be channeled to one or more of four functional committees which will examine spectrum requirements and give recommendations based on these requirements to the FCC Steering Committee. The Steering Committee, composed of representatives of each of the Commission's Bureaus and Offices, will be responsible for formulating the Commission's basic spectrum recommendations for use at the 1979 Conference.

Any member of the public may attend or file a written statement with the Group either before or after the meeting. Inquiries may be directed to A. M. Rutkowski, Room 6216, FCC, Washington, D.C. 20554; telephone 202–632–9797.

FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS COMMISSION,
[SEAL] VINCENT J. MULLINS,
Secretary.

[FR Doc.76-381 Filed 1-6-76;8:45 am]

[FCC 75–1350; Docket Nos. 15461 and 16761; File Nos. BPCT–3282 and 3707]

CHAPMAN RADIO AND TELEVISION CO. AND BIRMINGHAM BROADCASTING CO.

Memorandum Opinion and Order Enlarging Issues

In the matter of applications of William A. Chapman and George K. Chapman d/b/a Chapman Radio and Television Co. Homewood, Alabama (Docket No. 15461; File No. BPCT–3282); Birmingham Broadcasting Co. Birmingham, Alabama (Docket No. 16761; File No. BPCT–3707) for construction permit for new television broadcast station.

1. In 1966, the Commission ordered a comparative hearing to determine the relative merits of four applications for UHF television channel 21, which had been allocated to Birmingham, Alabama in 1965. There have been a number of decisions issued followed by enlargements of issues and remands. During this protracted comparative proceeding, two applicants have withdrawn so that, of the four original applicants, only Chapman Radio and Television Company (Chapman) and Birmingham Broadcasting Company (BBC) remain in the proceeding. In its most recent Decision, 47 FCC 2d 775 (1974), the Review Board has granted BBC's application and has denied Chapman's application.

2. We now have before us for consideration Chapman's application for review [1] and petition to reopen the record and remand for further hearing; [2] and BBC's amendment to its application and petition for leave to amend.[3]

3. Facts are asserted in these pleadings which, for reasons which appear in the following paragraphs, convince us that serious questions remain unresolved as to whether BBC is financially qualified; whether the presiding officer improperly considered Miles College in lieu of Oscar E. Hyde as a 26.7 percent stockholder, without adducing evidence as to the structure and financial ability of Miles College; and whether BBC lacked diligence in advising the Commission of changes which are relevant to its proposal. In addition, the Commission has under consideration for the first time the merits of a ruling denying Chapman leave to amend to staffing and financial portions of its application after determinations which adversely affected its basic qualifications. The pleadings thus involve matters going to both applicants' basic qualifications. Those involving BBC's qualifications will be considered first.

4. *Facts Regarding BBC's Qualifications.* In order to place in context the questions raised regarding BBC's qualifications, certain background facts are set forth briefly below.[4] Oscar E. Hyde, an Alabama banker, was a moving force in the incorporation of BBC. He became a director, secretary-treasurer, 26.7 percent stockholder, and BBC's comptroller. In 1966 Hyde was indicated and subsequently was convicted on four felony counts. The Review Board (38 FCC 2d 871) added an issue to determine whether Hyde and BBC are qualified to own and operate the proposed television station.

5. In 1973, BBC sought to amend its application to reflect that, in 1970, Hyde had assigned his stock to Miles College. The presiding officer denied BBC leave to amend because the amendment was not filed with diligence and it would affect the comparative posture of the applicants. In the Initial Decision, *supra*, the Administrative Law Judge (ALJ) concluded that Hyde's felony conviction disqualifies him. He further concluded, however, that, although Hyde was a 26.7 percent stockholder in BBC, BBC was not disqualified because: (1) in accepting Hyde into the corporation, the corportation had relied on the fact that his character had recently been investigated by several Federal agencies pursuant to his successful application for a bank charter; (2) the corporation had taken positive steps to remove Hyde as a principal and shareholder upon learning of his conviction; (3) Hyde had not informed BBC's other principals of his criminal conduct; and (4) Hyde's activities did not involve broadcasting or any application before the Commision. On this basis, the ALJ concluded that BBC is qualified, and he granted BBC's application and denied Chapman's application.[5] In a brief Decision, 47 FCC 2d 775 (1974), the Review Board adopted and affirmed the Initial Decision.

6. In Chapman's petition to reopen the record and remand the proceeding on enlarged issues, Chapman argues that it was error to effectively substitute Miles College for Hyde in ruling on BBC's qualifications; but, in any event, since the ALJ disallowed BBC's amendment to reflect Hyde's withdrawal, and the record is silent on matters with regard to Miles College which are called for on the application form for each principal, the grant to BBC is based upon an incomplete record. Thus, Chapman asserts, there is no evidence with regard to Miles College's legal structure, its officers and directors/trustees, or its financial ability. Elaborating upon the significance which interposing Miles College into the BBC proposal has upon the financial data, Chapman asserts that Hyde had promised to lend BBC $50,000 and the loan was crucial to BBC's qualifications, yet the record does not establish Miles College's willingness or ability to undertake Hyde's obligation; that it cannot be assumed that Hyde continues as a proposed source of necessary funds; that the First Jefferson Mortagage Company (from whom Hyde would borrow funds to meet this obligation) no longer exists and that BBC has not reported to the Commission the changes which have occurred in the financial information set forth in the application.

7. In addition, Chapman asserts that BBC stockholders, and BBC itself relied upon the American National Bank for loans, and that American National no longer exists. Chapman submits an unnotarized letter from counsel for the successor bank (the National Bank of Commerce of Birmingham) stating that the National Bank does not recognize American National's commitments. Chapman concludes that on the basis of facts not previously considered, public interest questions are presented as to whether BBC is legally qualified; whether BBC has viable proposals to borrow funds and is financially qualified; and whether BBC's failure to amend its application to report these changes evidences a lack of diligence.

---

[1] Chapman's application for review was filed on August 9, 1974. Oppositions thereto were filed by the Chief, Broadcast Bureau, on August 21, 1974 and by BBC on August 22, 1974. Chapman filed replies to the oppositions on August 28 and September 25, 1974.

[2] Chapman's petition to reopen was filed on September 13, 1974; on September 23, 1974, BBC filed an opposition; on September 24, 1974, the Broadcast Bureau filed comments; and on September 30, 1974, Chapman filed a reply pleading, which was supplemented on October 9 and 16, 1974.

[3] On October 9, 1974, BBC petitioned for leave to amend; comments were filed by the Broadcast Bureau on October 21, 1974 and an opposition was filed by Chapman on October 23, 1974.

[4] The background is more fully stated in the Initial and Review Board Decisions, 47 FCC 2d 778 and 775.

[5] The basis for the denial of Chapman's application is discussed *infra* in para. 15.

8. The Broadcast Bureau comments that Chapman did not support its allegations with an affidavit of a person with first-hand knowledge, as Section 1.229 of the Rules requires. Nevertheless, the Bureau supports the addition of issues and remand of the proceeding because it believes the serious questions raised in the pleadings must be resolved on the record before a grant can be made to BBC, and BBC has not answered Chapman's challenges to its basic qualifications.[6]

9. In opposition to the petition to reopen and remand, BBC asserts that Chapman has not complied with § 1.229 (as the Bureau also observed); that the petition is untimely filed; that Chapman did not appeal the Board's denial of the January 24, 1974, petition raising these same matters (see footnote 6); and that the petition should be dismissed. As to the substitution of Miles College for Hyde, BBC asserts that Hyde has agreed to endorse any note executed by the college for the purpose of meeting Hyde's former loan commitment to BBC and that, therefore, its financial proposal is unaffected by Hyde's assignment of stock to Miles College. In addition, BBC claims that the two lending institutions which Chapman says no longer exist have changed their names; that the letter from National Bank's counsel, upon which Chapman relies, states that the bank has no "legally binding commitment" but such a commitment is not required by the Commission; that, in any event, the record establishes and the ALJ found (19 FCC 2d at 216) the facts as to the shareholders' net worth,[7] which demonstrate their financial ability to meet their commitments without reliance upon bank loans; that no serious question as to its financial qualifications has been raised; and that no inquiry is warranted into whether BBC has failed to advise the Commission of changes in relevant information. With a supplement to its opposition, BBC submits letters and affidavits from counsel for the National Bank of Commerce to the effect that the bank has never refused to lend funds to BBC, and that BBC has never requested clarification of the bank's commitment.[8]

10. BBC has filed with us an amendment, and a petition for leave to amend, to reflect the following changes in the financial portion of the application: (a) the American National Bank of Birmingham is now named the National Bank of Commerce of Birmingham; and (b) the First Jefferson Mortgage Company has merged, and is now called the First National Mortgage Company. Chapman and the Broadcast Bureau oppose the petition for leave to amend. Chapman maintains that even though Chapman petitioned the Board in January 1974, to declare BBC disqualified on the basis that these two lending institutions no longer exist, BBC did nothing until October 1974, to answer; that BBC has not established the continued viability of the loans; that, in fact, First Jefferson no longer is licensed to do business in Birmingham; and that leave to amend should be denied because of BBC's lack of diligence. The Broadcast Bureau opposes leave to amend and asserts that American National Bank of Birmingham changed its name on May 27, 1968 and BBC has not made clear when the First Jefferson merger occurred; that BBC gives no explanation for its failure to timely amend the application; that BBC has not shown the continued viability of the loan commitments upon which it has relied; and that—whether or not the amendment is accepted—appropriate issues should be added to resolve the questions raised in Chapman's petition to reopen regarding BBC's financial qualifications and its diligence in advising the Commission of changes.

11. We have determined to accept BBC's proffered amendment in order to reflect the more current information on the record. However, we shall enlarge the issues to inquire into BBC's compliance with the requirements of § 1.65 of the Rules that an applicant advise us of changes in the information previously submitted within 30 days, and to require an evaluation of the facts upon BBC's qualifications.

12. For the reasons which hereinafter appear, we are convinced that, despite the procedural shortcomings in Chapman's petition to reopen the record which are pointed up by the Broadcast Bureau and BBC, the series questions concerning BBC's basic qualifications have not been laid to rest by BBC's responsive pleadings or its tendered amendment; that matters now brought to the Commission's attention raise public interest questions affecting BBC's qualifications; that these questions must be resolved on the record under enlargements of issues; and that remand is required in these circumstances.

13. Facts must be adduced on the record which will establish what transfers of stock have, in fact, occurred between Hyde and Miles College and what connection, if any, Hyde has with Miles College. In addition, complete information should be submitted as to Miles College's legal structure and its officers and directors or trustees. As the evidence now stands, the conclusions of the presiding officer and the Review Board that Hyde has severed his relationship with BBC and that such severance forms an adequate basis for concluding that BBC is not disqualified by Hyde's misconduct are open to serious challenge.[9] Furthermore, the evidence thus adduced will assist the Commission in determining not only whether Hyde's misconduct requires the disqualification of BBC on character grounds but also how such misconduct affects its comparative standing, in the event that both applicants are ultimately determined to be qualified.[10] Appropriate issues for the purpose of adducing these relevant facts will therefore be added.

14. A financial issue is also clearly warranted against BBC. First, BBC concedes that there have been corporate changes affecting the First Jefferson Mortgage Company and the American National Bank; there is no evidence that the stockholders have committed liquid assets personally owned by them to this undertaking to replace bank loans; and BBC has failed to offer adequate assurance of the continued availability of funds from the successors to these lending institutions. Second, BBC continues to rely upon Hyde as the guarantor of a $50,000 loan which Hyde originally promised to make to BBC, but now BBC apparently assumes that Miles College will undertake the loan as primary obligor and make the funds available to BBC; yet BBC has not adequately shown that Miles College is willing or able to do either of these things. These changed circumstances undermine the basis on which BBC was found financially qualified, (19 FCC 2d 157 (1969)) and require BBC to demonstrate that it nevertheless has adequate funds available to construct and operate the new facilities.

15. *Chapman's Staffing and Financial Proposals.* A brief statement of the background of Chapman's appeal from adverse rulings as to its staffing and financial proposals will be helpful to an understanding of the questions now before us. In Initial Decisions in 1965 [11] and

[6] The Bureau observes that Chapman petitioned the Review Board on January 24, 1974, to declare BBC disqualified; and that, although the Board denied Chapman's petition on procedural grounds, BBC was placed on notice that the accuracy of information in the application was being challenged, and BBC has not answered the serious challenge to its basic qualifications.

[7] See, however, the Review Board's Rulings on WBMG's Exceptions No. 89 and 90. Cross-examination of BBC's principals as to their net worth was precluded since they relied upon bank loans. 19 FCC 2d at 181.

[8] In reply, Chapman states that BBC has not refuted the factual allegations in the petition; that the net worth of BBC's stockholders is immaterial since there is no evidence of a commitment by them to use their liquid assets on behalf of the applicant; and that whether or not BBC received formal notice from the bank that commitments had lapsed, BBC must be faulted for its failure to keep itself informed and timely transmit to the Commission information relevant to the status of its loan commitments.

[9] Chapman took exception to the holding that BBC is qualified and thus saved the point for appeal. However, in its application for review it did not address this aspect of the Review Board's Decision except to request an opportunity to file a brief and present oral argument on all matters saved for appeal. In light of the remand of the proceeding which this Memorandum Opinion and Order directs, oral argument and the filing of briefs would be premature, and that request will be denied.

[10] Even if it is established that Hyde's relationship with BBC has been severed, the extent to which Hyde's misconduct affects BBC's basic and comparative character qualifications is a matter which remains for consideration by the Commission. See *TV 9, Inc.* v. *FCC*, 495 F. 2d 929 (D.C. Cir. 1973), rehearing denied, 495 F. 2d 941 (1974), *cert. denied*, 419 U.S. 986 (1974).

[11] In an August 5, 1965, Initial Decision, Chapman's application for a new UHF television station at Birmingham was granted. That grant was set aside and this proceeding was inaugurated (1 FCC 2d 1400 and 1402 (1965)) because the Commission found that other applicants were entitled to comparative consideration for Channel 21, which became available in Birmingham for the first time when the 1965 UHF Television Table of Assignments was adopted. *UHF Channel As-*

1968, issues concerning Chapman's financial and staffing proposals were resolved favorably to the applicant on the basis of its then contemplated proposal for a joint staffing of the new television station with Chapman's AM and FM stations in Birmingham. However, the Review Board in its 1969 Decision, 19 FCC 2d 157, which granted the application of Alabama Television,[12] found Chapman disqualified because of shortcomings in its staffing and financial proposals. Chapman sought reconsideration of the Board's action, which was denied (20 FCC 2d 624 (1969)). Chapman, as well as each of the other two losing applicants, applied for Commission review of the Review Board's Decision. In a Memorandum Opinion and Order, 24 FCC 2d 282, released July 13, 1970, the Commission reopened and remanded the proceeding for further hearing on two issues directed to Alabama Television and one to inquire into whether the needs and interests of potential viewers have adequately been determined, was directed to all the applicants. By reason of the remand, the three review applications were dismissed as moot. On May 26, 1971, Chapman petitioned for reconsideration of the Commission's dismissal of its application for review, on the ground that its appeal from the adverse determination of the Review Board concerning its financial and staffing proposals should be considered on the merits. However, the Commission dismissed the petition as untimely and as inconsistent with the Commission's Rules. 31 FCC 2d 575, released September 7, 1971.

16. In 1972, during the course of the remand hearing, Chapman petitioned for leave to amend the staffing and financial portions of its application, contending that changed circumstances since the submission of its original proposals made advisable the updating of its "application by separating entirely the operations of the television station from that of the two radio stations."[13] The presiding officer ruled that Chapman lacked diligence in coming forward with the amendments and he denied leave to amend on the ground that administrative finality required it. FCC 74M–883, released July 31, 1973. The Review Board, in its 1974 Decision, *supra*, found that the ALJ had not abused his discretion in denying leave to amend, and that to have allowed leave to amend would have been disruptive of the remand hearing on issues which did not involve Chapman's staffing and financial proposals. It, therefore, affirmed the ALJ's ruling.

17. Thus, the merits of Chapman's appeals from the adverse rulings concerning its financial and staffing proposals, including its petition for leave to amend, are now under consideration by the Commission for the first time. In its application for review, Chapman contends that, ordinarily, amendments to cure defects in basic qualifications are allowed where the petitioning party will gain no comparative advantage, and no undue delay in the proceeding will result; that the denial of leave to amend thus conflicted with case precedent; that timeliness in filing is not the only factor to consider; and that the public interest would be served by affording the Commission a choice of applicants in a proceeding which was designated on comparative issues. BBC and the Broadcast Bureau have filed oppositions to the application for review.

18. In our view, circumstances exist which should be considered in mitigation of Chapman's failure earlier to file its staffing and financial amendments. The delay in the disposition of this proceeding resulted in large part because of the need for hearings on matters which pertained to parties other than Chapman.[14] After adverse staffing and financial determinations were made in 1969, Chapman pursued appellate procedures maintaining that these proposals were feasible, but was not heard on the merits by the Commission because of the remand on questions involving another applicant, and the community needs showing of all applications. Ultimately, when Chapman decided in 1972 that the passage of time had outdated the type of combined AM–FM–TV operation it originally had proposed in 1965, and filed amendments to sever the television operation, the thrust of its explanation based upon developments in UHF television broadcasting during the interim period does not appear to have been evaluated in the ALJ's denial of leave to amend or in the Board's affirmance of that action.[15] While the better practice would have been to come forward more promptly with new staffing and financial proposals, and we do not disagree with the Board's reasoning that it would have been disruptive of the proceeding to explore the merits of Chapman's staffing and financial proposals during the hearing, circumstances have changed and a further remand is now required irrespective of whether Chapman's amendment is accepted or rejected.

19. On balance, we are inclined to accept the amendments to Chapman's staffing and financial proposals which were submitted on November 28, 1972 and which were rejected by the ALJ and the Board,[16] subject to the condition that no comparative advantage shall accrue to Chapman by reason of these post-designation amendments. This action is appropriate since a remand hearing is required in any event, the proceeding will not be unduly prolonged by allowing the amendments, a more realistic appraisal of Chapman's staffing and financial proposals may be made if the record reflects the current situation, no comparative advantage will accrue to Chapman as a result, and this procedure will serve the public interest by affording a choice of applicants in the event that both BBC and Chapman are found to possess the basic qualifications to be Commission licensees. Issues will be added to determine Chapman's basic qualifications in light of its amended staffing and financial proposals. We shall also add an issue to determine whether a demerit should be assessed against Chapman for any unexcused tardiness in coming forward with its amendments.

20. In sum, Chapman's application for review will be granted to the extent that the amendments to its staffing and financial proposals have been accepted. We do not believe that any useful purpose will be served by permitting Chapman to file a brief or present oral argument and, to that extent, the application for review will be denied.

21. We recognize that the instant remand necessarily will further delay the inauguration of a new television service to the Birmingham community on Channel 21. Nevertheless, the public interest requires that the several serious questions raised by the pleadings be explored in an evidentiary hearing before an award of a license is made. However, to keep the delay to a minimum, consistent with the requirements of due process, we shall direct the presiding officer to expedite the hearing and his issuance of a Supplemental Initial Decision. We shall also provide that any exceptions thereto which the parties desire to interpose be filed directly with the Commission for its consideration.

22. *Accordingly, it is ordered:*

(a) That the petition for leave to amend filed by Birmingham Broadcasting Company on October 9, 1974, is granted, and the amendment is accepted;

(b) That the Petition for Reopening of Record and Remand for Further Hearing filed by Chapman Radio and Television Co. on September 13, 1974, is granted; and

(c) That the application for review filed by Chapman Radio and Television Co. on August 6, 1974, is granted to the extent indicated herein and is otherwise denied.

23. *It is further ordered* That the request for leave to file the amendments tendered by Chapman Radio and Television Co. in 1972 with respect to its staffing and financial proposals is granted, and the amendments are accepted.

24. *It is further ordered:*

(a) That the Initial and Review Board Decisions herein, 47 FCC 2d 778 and 775,

signments (Dockets 14229 et al.), FCC 65–504, 5 RR 2d 1587. In the 1968 Initial Decision, the ALJ favored a competing applicant on a comparative basis.

[12] Alabama Television has since withdrawn from the proceeding.

[13] Chapman tendered other amendments also but these were withdrawn before the Review Board's consideration of Chapman's appeal from the denial of leave to amend.

[14] See 8 FCC 2d 370 (1967) and 24 FCC 2d 282 (1970).

[15] In 1973, Chapman disposed of its Birmingham AM and FM stations. Since the determination to have separate staffs had been made prior thereto the sale is not a matter of decisional significance with respect to the acceptance of the amendment.

[16] On April 11, 1974, Chapman filed a pleading captioned "Brief in Support of Appeal from Rulings of Judge Jay A. Kyle" to which it attached proposed modifications of the amendments of its staffing and financial proposals. Leave to amend was not requested, the submission was unauthorized, and no consideration has been or may be given to the modifications proposed therein.

are set aside to the extent that they are inconsistent with this Memorandum Opinion and Order;

(b) That the record herein is reopened;

(c) That the proceeding is remanded to a presiding officer, to be appointed by the Chief Administrative Law Judge, for further hearing on the issues specified below and for the issuance of a Supplemental Initial Decision on an expedited schedule; and

(d) That the parties shall direct any exceptions to the Supplemental Initial Decision they may desire to interpose, and related pleadings, to the Commission.

25. *It is further ordered* That the issues in this proceeding are enlarged to include the following issues:

(a) To determine the facts with regard to stock ownership by Oscar E. Hyde and/or Miles College in Birmingham Broadcasting Co.;

(b) To determine, in the event it is determined that Miles College owns a beneficial interest in Birmingham Broadcasting Co., the legal structure of Miles College, its officers and directors/trustees, its ability to participate in relevant respects in Birmingham Broadcasting Co.'s proposal, and what connection, if any, Oscar E. Hyde has with Miles College;

(c) To determine whether Birmingham Broadcasting Co. and its principals have reasonable assurance that loans previously relied upon from Oscar E. Hyde, the First Jefferson Mortgage Company and the American National Bank continue to be available from these sources or from Miles College, First National Mortgage Company or the National Bank of Commerce of Birmingham, Alabama, and, in light of the evidence thus adduced to determine if applicant is financially qualified to construct and operate the proposed television broadcast station;

(d) To determine whether Birmingham Broadcasting Co. has advised the Commission of relevant changes in the information furnished in its application in the manner prescribed in § 1.65 of the Rules and, if not, the effect thereof upon its basic and/or comparative qualifications.

(e) To determine, in the light of the evidence adduced pursuant to the foregoing issues, whether Birmingham Broadcasting Co. possesses the requisite character qualifications to be a Commission licensee;

(f) To determine, in the light of the amendments to its application, whether Chapman Radio and Television Company proposes adequate staffing to effectuate its programming proposal and whether it is financially qualified to construct and operate the proposed television broadcast station;

(g) To determine whether Chapman Radio and Television Company was lacking in diligence in coming forward with amendments to its staffing and financial proposals; and, if so, the effect upon its comparative qualifications;

(h) To determine, on the basis of all relevant evidence adduced in this proceeding, which of the proposals would better serve the public interest; and

(i) To determine, in light of all relevant evidence adduced in this proceeding, which, if either, of the applications for construction permit should be granted.

26. The burden of going forward with the presentation of evidence under Issues (a), (b), (c) and (g), and the burden of proof under Issues (c), (d), and (e) are placed on Birmingham Broadcasting Co.; and the burden of going forward with the presentation of evidence under Issues (d) and (f), and the burden of proof under Issues (f) and (g) are placed upon Chapman Radio and Television Company.

Adopted: December 10, 1975.

Released: December 24, 1975.

FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS COMMISSION,[17]
[SEAL] VINCENT J. MULLINS,
Secretary.

[FR Doc.76-376 Filed 1-6-76;8:45 am]

JOINT INDUSTRY/GOVERNMENT COMMITTEE 1977 WORLD ADMINISTRATIVE RADIO CONFERENCE FOR THE PLANNING OF THE BROADCASTING-SATELLITE SERVICE IN FREQUENCY BANDS 11.7–12.2 GHZ (IN REGIONS 2 AND 3) AND 11.7–12.5 GHZ (IN REGION 1)

Schedule of Working Group Meetings

DECEMBER 19, 1975.

Working Group A (Terminology and Definition)

Tuesday, January 13, 1976—9:30 a.m. to 12:30 p.m., Room 8009, 2025 "M" Street NW., Washington, D.C.

Chairman: Mr. Richard O. Pullen, Common Carrier Bureau, FCC.

Working Group B (Sharing Principles)

Wednesday, January 14, 1976—9:30 a.m. to 12:30 p.m., Room 712A, 1800 "G" Street NW., Washington, D.C.

Chairman: Mr. Donal Jansky, Office of Telecommunications Policy.

Working Group C (Sharing Criteria)

Wednesday, January 14, 1976—1:30 p.m. to 4:30 p.m., Room 712A, 1800 "G" Street NW., Washington, D.C.

Chairman: Mr. Edward E. Reinhart, Jet Propulsion Labs.

Working Group D (Evolution of Requirements)

Tuesday, January 13, 1976—1:30 p.m. to 4:30 p.m., Room 8009, 2025 "M" Street NW., Washington, D.C.

Chairman: Mr. George W. Bartlett, National Association of Broadcasters.

Working Group E (International Non-Technical Implications)

Friday, January 16, 1976—1 p.m. to 2:30 p.m., Room 752, 1919 "M" Street NW., Washington, D.C.

Chairman: Mr. Harry R. Olsson, Jr., Columbia Broadcasting System, Inc.

Working Group F (Procedures)

Wednesday, January 21, 1976—9:30 a.m. to 12:30 p.m., Room 8009, 2025 "M" Street NW., Washington, D.C.

Chairman: Mr. Donald Weiland, Office of Chief Engineer, FCC.

The Agenda for each Working Group meeting is essentially the same:

1. Approval of Minutes of previous meeting.
2. Review of Task Group Reports.
3. Establish date for final report from each Working Group which should be no later than the end of February.
4. Other business—need for further meetings and setting of those meeting dates.
5. Adjournment.

There will be a joint meeting of CCIR Study Groups 10/11 and 10/11B on Thursday, January 15, 1976, Room A–110, 1229 20th Street NW. (Annex to 1919 "M" Street NW.), Washington, D.C., 9:30 a.m. to 4:30 p.m.

FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS COMMISSION.
VINCENT J. MULLINS,
Secretary.

[FR Doc.76-380 Filed 1-6-76;8:45 am]

[FCC 75R-467; Docket No. 20100; File No. BPCT-4669]

KTVO, INC. (KTVO–TV)

Memorandum Opinion and Order Enlarging Issues

1. This proceeding, which involves the application of KTVO, Inc. (KTVO–TV), Kirksville, Missouri, seeking authority to relocate its transmitter and main studio sites and increase its antenna height, was designated for hearing under a UHF impact issue by Commission Order, FCC 74–685, released July 10, 1974. On June 12, 1975, Presiding Law Judge Lenore G. Ehrig released an Initial Decision, FCC 75D–31, in which she concluded, *inter alia*, that the application must be denied since the record evidence indicated that a grant would have a significant adverse impact on UHF television broadcast Station KCBJ–TV, Columbia, Missouri, and since the applicant had failed to demonstrate that a grant would not impair the potential competitive ability of several allocated but unapplied for UHF–TV broadcast facilities in the area. Presently before the Review Board is a "motion for remand for additional evidentiary hearings" and a "motion for leave to amend application to propose use of a directional antenna," filed on August 1, 1975, by KTVO.[1] The motions will be discussed *seriatim*.

Motion for Remand

2. In support of its request for remand, movant first states that subsequent to the release of the Initial Decision in this proceeding, the Commission in *"Amendment of Sections 73.333 and 73.699, Field*

[17] Separate statement of Chairman Wiley filed as a part of the original document. Commissioners Reid, Hooks, Washburn, Quello and Robinson join.

[1] Also before the Review Board are the following related pleadings: (a) opposition, filed August 19, 1975, by Channel Seventeen; and (b) comments, filed August 19, 1975, by the Broadcast Bureau.

Strength Curves for FM and TV Broadcast Stations", 53 FCC 2d 855, 34 RR 2d 361 (1975), promulgated new rules revising field strength curves for television broadcast stations, which are applicable in all proceedings which have not reached final determination. Remand is clearly required here, KTVO contends, since it is evident that application of the new rules could appreciably affect the resolution of the specified UHF impact issue. Thus, attaching the report of its engineer, KTVO asserts that computations based on the new propagation curves indicate that the overlap between Station KCBJ's present Grade B contour and the proposed KTVO Grade B contour would be about one-fourth of the area previously determined under the old method of computation, and that the population in the proposed overlap area of the Grade B contours of these two stations would be about 12,000 persons, rather than 80,000 persons, as concluded by the Judge. Next, movant alleges that subsequent to the release of the Initial Decision, the Commission, in *Jefferson-Pilot Broadcasting Company*, 53 FCC 2d 262, 33 RR 2d 1273 (1975), held for the first time that allocation of a UHF channel to a market by the Commission reflects a tentative determination that the market is capable of supporting a UHF broadcast station and, consequently, a VHF applicant must demonstrate that such UHF operations are unlikely in order to overcome that presumption. KTVO submits that the burden of proceeding with the introduction of evidence was placed on respondent Station KCBJ which submitted no evidence as to operation of the allocated UHF channels. As a consequence, given the fact that KTVO had no notice that it would be required to overcome a presumption of UHF activation in the market, elementary fairness, KTVO maintains, requires that this proceeding be remanded to allow it to have a realistic opportunity to meet that newly created presumption with additional evidence.

3. In opposition, the UHF respondent, Channel Seventeen, asserts that the Commission specifically indicated that decisions reached prior to the *Report and Order's* effective date, and thus based on the old propagation curves, were to be "grand-fathered", and therefore that a remand of the instant proceeding to introduce evidence reflecting the new method of prediction would be both inappropriate and contrary to the Commission's intent. But, in any event, Channel Seventeen notes that movant has made no showing that utilization of the "new curves" would diminish the substantial impact found by the Presiding Law Judge on five allocated but unoperational UHF channels. Finally, Channel Seventeen submits that KTVO has simply failed to meet its burden with respect to rebutting the likelihood of activation of allocated UHF stations and that *Jefferson-Pilot Broadcasting Company, supra*, does not mandate that KTVO have a second opportunity to introduce evidence to that effect.

4. In our opinion, the Commission's Report and Order holds that the new propagation curves are an improved allocations tool to be used in all proceedings that have not reached final determination and, in view of the fact that the location of field strength curves is critical to an evaluation of the impact of the proposed KTVO operation upon KCBJ, the Review Board agrees with the Broadcast Bureau that KTVO's motion for remand to submit additional evidence based on the "new curves" must be granted. Aside from the fact that the Commission in releasing its *Report and Order* stated that the contours of UHF stations would be reduced substantially in average radii, when predicted with the use of new propagation curves, KTVO has made a *prima facie* demonstration that the reduction in overlap is such that the use of the "new curves" could have decisional significance with respect to the resolution of this proceeding. As a related matter we believe that in view of the fact that the record is being reopened for adduction of further evidence, KTVO should also be afforded an opportunity to adduce evidence concerning the allocated but unapplied for area UHF channels within KTVO's proposed service area. Accordingly, we will grant KTVO's request to adduce further evidence with respect to the likelihood of operation of the allocated UHF channels.

Motion for Leave To Amend

5. In a motion for leave to amend, filed August 1, 1975,[2] KTVO seeks to amend its application to specify a directional television antenna in lieu of the presently proposed non-directional antenna. Movant states that the public interest would be served by the acceptance of its amendment, because, with the adoption of new field strength curves (see para. 2, *supra*), it is now possible to eliminate the grade B overlap between KCBJ–TV and KTVO–TV through use of a directional antenna and comply with the maximum-minimum decibel ratio requirements of Rule 73.685(e).

6. The Review Board will grant KTVO's motion for leave to amend and accept the amendment. In our view, petitioner has established good cause in light of the facts that the amendment was filed with due diligence after the Commission adopted the rules,[3] it would not afford the applicant a comparative advantage or prejudice any other party, and it would not necessitate the addition of new parties or issues to this proceeding. In this regard, however, we find that the addition of an issue inquiring into the applicant's compliance with the Commission's city coverage requirements is warranted. Exhibit E of the amendment reflects that, based upon the newly adopted prediction curves, KTVO's 74 dBu contour would fall short of Kirksville, the applicant's proposed city of license and, hence, an issue is warranted on this basis.[4] However, since this problem was not occasioned by the proposed amendment, but rather by the Commission's revision of the propagation curves, the addition of a city grade coverage issue cannot be regarded as a basis for rejecting the amendment.[5]

7. Accordingly, *It is ordered*, That the motion for remand for additional evidentiary hearings, filed August 1, 1975, by KTVO, Inc. (KTVO–TV), is granted; and

8. *It is further ordered*, That the motion for leave to amend, filed August 1, 1975, by KTVO, Inc. (KTVO–TV), is granted, and the amendment is accepted; and

9. *It is further ordered*, That the petition for leave to file reply, filed on October 23, 1975, by KTVO, Inc. (KTVO–TV), is granted, and the reply is accepted; and

10. *It is further ordered*, That the issues in this proceeding are enlarged to include the following:

To determine whether KTVO, Inc. (KTVO–TV) will provide its principal city of license with a city grade signal of 74 dBu, as required by § 73.685 of the Commission's Rules.

11. *It is further ordered*, That the burdens of proceeding and proof under the issue added herein shall be on KTVO, Inc. (KTVO–TV); and

12. *It is further ordered*, That the record herein is reopened and the proceeding is remanded for the purposes stated above; and

13. *It is further ordered*, That the Presiding Law Judge shall issue a Supplemental Initial Decision pertaining to all aspects raised therein.

Adopted: December 16, 1975.

Released: December 22, 1975.

FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS COMMISSION,[6]

[SEAL] VINCENT J. MULLINS,
Secretary.

[FR Doc.76–377 Filed 1–6–76;8:45 am]

[2] Also before the Review Board are: (a) opposition, filed October 16, 1975, by the Broadcast Burea; (b) petition for leave to file reply and reply, filed October 23, 1975, by KTVO; and (c) comments, filed November 11, 1975, by the Broadcast Bureau. The unopposed petition for leave to file reply will be granted. The proposed amendment was filed on September 30, 1975.

[3] In this connection, the Board notes that prior to the adoption of the new curves, the amended proposal would have violated Rule 73.685(e). Moreover, we cannot accept the Broadcast Bureau's contention that KTVO could have avoided Grade B overlap with KCBJ with or without a directional antenna through the use of an alternate site or by altering its proposed tower or antenna height. The Bureau's allegations are unspecific and in contravention of Rule 1.229(c) and it would be unreasonable to place an additional burden upon KTVO to show the superiority of its proposed site over hypothetical alternatives. *Cf. WKYR, Inc.* (*WYKR*), 1 RR 2d 314 (1963), and *WATR, Inc.* (*WATR–TV*), 16 FCC 2d 844, 15 RR 2d 900 (1969).

[4] KTVO's alternate use of a supplemental prediction method, which allegedly indicates that KTVO would provide Kirksville with adequate city grade coverage, cannot be accepted. The applicant has not made the necessary showing which Rule 73.684(f) requires before such a method can be employed and movant has failed to provide the Board with either the significant calculations pertaining to or an appropriate description of its supplemental prediction method.

[5] Thus, we note that KTVO's unamended proposal would also fail to cover Kirksville with a 74 dBu signal if the Commission's new propagation curves were utilized.

[6] Board member Ohlbaum dissenting in part filed as a part of the original document.

[FCC 75-1353; Docket No. 20654]

SPARK-TYPE IGNITION SYSTEMS

Notice of Inquiry Regarding Interference in Motor Vehicles

1. Field surveys have shown that the major portion of radio frequency man-made noise in the spectrum above 25 MHz is created by the ignition systems of motor vehicles.[1]

[1] Advisory Committee Land Mobile Radio Services Report available from National Technical Information Service (NTIS), Springfield, Va. 22161. Accession No. PB 174-278; FCC Report R-7302, available NTIS, Accession No. PB 234-216; and FCC Report RS75-05, available NTIS, Accession No. PB 243-881.

2. The automobile industry has pursued methods of reducing ignition interference for many years and with some success. Radiation standards and methods of measurement are in existence both nationally and internationally. In the U.S. it is the policy of automobile manufacturers to comply with the Society of Automotive Engineers (SAE) Standard J551.

3. The interference from a single vehicle that is complying with the SAE standard can be of small intensity and short duration. However, the collective effect of a flow of vehicles from a nearby thoroughfare degrades radio reception to a degree dependent on the traffic rate. Most affected are mobile units since they receive radio signals while surrounded by a number of other motor vehicles. Often a vehicle limits its own reception capability by the radio noise it generates. This has been a major incentive for automobile manufacturers to suppress ignition interference. The reception range of base stations in the land mobile services is commonly shortened by ignition radiation of motor vehicles operating within several blocks of its receiving antenna, particularly at frequencies below 150 MHz.

4. A small percentage of vehicles has been found to be "supernoisy" and their interference effect persists longer than their numbers would seem to indicate. Some believe that some of the supernoisy vehicles are created by owners who remove the normally-supplied resistive ignition cable and replace it with solid-conductor types. Although it is generally believed that this occurs, particularly with trucks and fleet cars, there are no firm statistics available. The incentive for removing the resistance cable stems from the deterioration caused by high engine temperatures.

5. Any type of radio interference can be alleviated by increasing the strength of the desired signal and this, of course, is true of ignition interference. The communications system designer fully understands this and resorts to the use of higher radiated power. Unfortunately, this tends to limit the re-use of the operating frequency and leads to poor spectrum utilization. In view of the steadily increasing demands for available spectrum, we are concerned that the best and most feasible techniques be utilized in order to promote efficient spectrum utilization.

6. Recently, Stanford Research Institute completed an FCC contracted study on improving the suppression of radiation from automobiles. In order to simplify our first efforts in this field we limited consideration to the greatest preponderance of motor vehicles—passenger automobiles. For the same reason, we restricted our interest to the frequency range of 25–400 MHz, since it is in this region that ignition interference is most severe.

7. The contract called for the development and demonstration of a new ignition suppression technique that:

1. Provided a 10 dB decrease in radiation by an FCC supplied vehicle that was previously found to be the lowest radiator of a group of 1972 automobiles;

2. Was feasible in terms of cost under mass production (including installation cost);

3. was feasible in terms of ease and cost of servicing;

4. had negligible effect on engine performance, including exhaust emission.

The contract has been completed and the FCC Report RS75-03 is available from NTIS, order number PB 239-471.

8. In view of the foregoing, this Notice of Inquiry solicits responses to the following questions:

(a) To what extent are other kinds of radio services such as television, microwave and amateur degraded by ignition interference?

(b) To what extent is land-mobile reception degraded by ignition interference?

(c) To what extent is radio reception degraded by motor vehicles that comply with the SAE J551 standard with respect to: (1) individual vehicles; and (2) simultaneous operation of groups of vehicles?

(d) To what extent do automobiles, trucks, and motorcycles comply with the SAE J551 standard?

(e) Do automotive vehicle manufacturers comply with the sampling requirements of the SAE J551 standard?

(f) To what extent are resistance type ignition cables and resistance type spark plugs removed from motor vehicles and replaced with solid wire cables and non-resistance spark plugs? What reports exist that describe the increased interference effects that result from this practice?

(g) Are ignition cables available that are effective in suppressing radiation but do not suffer the adverse effects of high engine temperature?

(h) What extent do imported cars comply with the present voluntary SAE standards?

(i) What information is available to support or refute the SRI findings?

(j) What would be the additional cost to the consumer in applying the suppression techniques developed by SRI?

(k) What are the cost benefit considerations in reducing ignition radiation from its present base using SRI or other techniques?

(l) What future developments can be expected in automotive design that will increase or decrease ignition radiation?

(m) What alternatives exist for alleviating ignition radiation other than suppression at the source?

(n) What are the cost-benefit considerations of these alternatives?

9. Attention is invited to relevant exhibits listed in the Appendix hereto. These exhibits are being placed on file in the instant docket for public inspection.

10. Pursuant to applicable procedures set forth in § 1.415 of the Commission's Rules, interested parties may file comments on or before March 19, 1976, and reply comments on or before May 4, 1976. All relevant and timely comments and reply comments will be considered by the Commission before further action is taken in this proceeding. The Commission may also consider any other relevant information brought to its attention.

11. In accordance with the provisions of § 1.419 of the Commission's Rules, an original and 14 copies of all comments, reply comments, pleadings, briefs and other documents shall be furnished the Commission. All filings in this proceeding will be available for examination by interested parties during regular business hours in the Commission's Public Reference Room at its headquarters, 1919 M Street, N.W., Washington, D.C.

Adopted: December 10, 1975.

Released: December 16, 1975.

FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS COMMISSION,[2]

[SEAL] VINCENT J. MULLINS,
Secretary.

APPENDIX

LIST OF FCC EXHIBITS

Exhibit No. FCC-1, Report RS75-03, "Improved Suppression of Radiation from Automobiles Used by the General Public," January 1975. Available NTIS—PB 239-471.

Exhibit No. FCC-2, Report R-7302, "Degradation of Mobile Radio Reception at UHF and VHF," August 1973. Available NTIS—PB 234-216.

Exhibit No. FCC-3, Report RS75-05, "Degradation of Base Station Radio Reception at UHF and VHF," June 1975. Available NTIS—PB 243-881.

Exhibit No. FCC-4, "Man-Made Noise," June 30, 1966. Available NTIS—PB 174-278.

NTIS address: National Technical Information Service, Springfield, Virginia 22161. Telephone: (703) 321-8543.

[FR Doc.76-378 Filed 1-6-76;8:45 am]

FEDERAL ENERGY ADMINISTRATION

OLD OIL ALLOCATION PROGRAM

Revised Entitlement Notice for October 1975

In accordance with the provisions of 10 CFR § 211.67 relating to FEA's old oil allocation program, the monthly notice specified in § 211.67(i) for October 1975

[2] Concurring statement of Commissioner Reid filed as a part of the original.

was issued on December 12, 1975 (40 FR 58619; December 17, 1975). FEA indicated therein that in the event of enactment of the Energy Policy and Conservation Act ("EPCA") (Pub. L. 94–163) in a form that required adjustments to entitlement issuances with respect to purchase obligations of small refiners for October 1975, a revised entitlement notice for that month would be issued.

On December 22, 1975, the EPCA was signed by the President. Section 403(a) of the EPCA amends the Emergency Petroleum Allocation Act of 1973 to exempt small refiners, whose total refining capacity did not exceed on January 1, 1975, and does not thereafter exceed 100,000 barrels per day, from the entitlement purchase requirements of FEA's Old Oil Allocation Program as to the first 50,000 barrels per day of their inputs or receipts, or both. Section 403(b) of the EPCA provides that such exemption shall be applicable to payments due on or after the last day of the month during which the EPCA is enacted. Since, pursuant to § 211.67(b), payments for October 1975 entitlements are due December 31, 1975, FEA is required to make the small refiner purchase exemption provided by EPCA applicable to the purchase and sale obligations set forth in the October 1975 entitlement notice.

On December 24, 1975, the FEA issued a notice revoking the previously issued October entitlement notice, and in order to implement the exemption granted by the EPCA with respect to October 1975 entitlement issuances, FEA is hereby issuing a revised Entitlement Notice for October 1975 pursuant to an emergency rule (Special Rule No. 6 for Subpart C) issued concurrently herewith and effective immediately.

Based on reports submitted to FEA by refiners as to crude oil receipts and crude oil runs to stills for October 1975, an application of the entitlement adjustment for small refiners provided in 10 CFR § 211.67(e), and an application of Special Rule No. 6, the adjusted national old oil supply ratio for October 1975 is calculated to be .35601.

The issuance of entitlements for the month of October 1975 to refiners is set forth in the Appendix to this notice. The Appendix lists the name of each refiner to which entitlements have been issued, the number of entitlements issued to each such refiner, and the number of barrels of old oil included in each such refiner's adjusted crude oil receipts.

Pursuant to 10 CFR § 211.67(i)(4), FEA hereby fixes the price at which entitlements shall be sold and purchased for the month of October 1975 at $8.62, which is the exact differential as reported for the month of October between the weighted average costs to refiners of old oil and of new, released, stripper well and imported crude oil.

In accordance with 10 CFR § 211.67(b), each refiner that has been issued fewer entitlements for the month of October 1975 than the number of barrels of old oil included in its adjusted crude oil receipts is required to purchase a number of entitlements for the month of December 1975 equal to the difference between the number of barrels of old oil included in those receipts and the number of entitlements issued to and retained by that refiner. Refiners which have been issued a number of entitlements for the month of October 1975 in excess of the number of barrels of old oil included in their adjusted crude oil receipts for October 1975 shall sell such entitlements to refiners required to purchase entitlements. In addition, certain refiners are required to purchase or sell entitlements to effect corrections for reporting errors for September 1975 pursuant to 10 CFR § 211.67(i)(5). No corrections for reporting errors for months prior to September 1975 are reflected in the listing as FEA intends to effect these corrections through modifications to the program to be issued in the near future.

The listing specifies a negative volume of old oil receipts as to one refiner due to corrective adjustments. The total number of entitlements issued to that refiner under the listing includes the number specified in the "issued" column, as well as a number of entitlements equal to the number of barrels of old oil shown as the negative volume.

The listing of refiners' old oil receipts contained in the Appendix reflects any adjustments made by FEA pursuant to § 211.67(h).

The listing contained in the Appendix gives effect to Special Rule No. 6, and all refiners totally exempted under the Special Rule are shown in the listing with a zero purchase and sale obligation. Special Rule No. 6 has been given effect in all cases where its application to a particular refiner is more favorable than relief previously granted for October 1975 entitlements by FEA's Office of Exceptions and Appeals. In some cases, however, the award of partial exception relief resulted in sale requirements for particular refiners, where the intent was only to exempt the firm completely from entitlement purchase obligations. FEA has allowed the more favorable exception relief for Mohawk, Oil-Shale, West Coast and Wickett to remain in effect for October 1975 entitlements, but FEA hereby gives notice of its intent to reopen that relief to determine whether the November or December entitlement issuances for these firms should be adjusted so as to require purchases of entitlements to offset their sales of October entitlements. This procedure will ensure that the relief received by these firms from the purchase requirements of the program does not exceed that contemplated either by the EPCA or by the original exception decision.

The total number of entitlements required to be purchased and sold under this notice is 16,980,415.

As required by Special Rule No. 6, payment for entitlements required to be purchased under 10 CFR § 211.67(b) for October 1975 must be made by January 9, 1976. For purposes of determining a refiner's January 1976 product prices, the Special Rule also provides that purchases and sales of October entitlements shall be deemed to have occurred in December 1975, notwithstanding completion of such transactions subsequent to the month of December, but on or prior to January 9, 1976. On or prior to January 15, 1976, each firm which is required chases and sales of entitlements for the month of October shall file with FEA the monthly transaction report specified in 10 CFR § 211.66(i) certifying its purchases and sales of entitlements for the month of October. FEA has mailed the monthly transaction report forms for the month of October to reporting firms. FEA requests that firms which have been unable to locate other firms for required entitlement transactions by January 9, 1976 contact FEA at 202–254–6296 to expedite consummation of these transactions. For firms that have failed to consummate required entitlement transactions on or prior to January 9, 1976, FEA may direct sales and purchases of entitlements pursuant to the provisions of 10 CFR § 211.67(j).

This notice is issued pursuant to Subpart G of FEA's regulations governing its administrative procedures and sanctions, 10 CFR Part 205. Any person aggrieved hereby may file an appeal with FEA's Office of Exceptions and Appeals in accordance with Subpart H of 10 CFR Part 205. Any such appeal shall be filed on or before February 6, 1976.

Issued in Washington, D.C., on December 31, 1975.

MICHAEL F. BUTLER,
General Counsel.

APPENDIX.—*Notice of entitlements for allocation of old oil*

Reporting firm short name	Old oil adjusted receipts	Entitlement position		
		Issued	Required to buy	Required to sell
A-Johnson	0	63,811	0	63,811
Allied	$41,566	64,040	0	22,474
Amer-Petrofina	1,397,483	1,812,248	0	414,765
Amerada-Hess	2,295,192	5,838,764	0	3,543,572
Amoco	11,692,010	10,346,919	1,345,091	0
Apco	383,168	605,257	0	222,089
Arco	6,368,497	7,382,290	0	1,013,793
Arizona	36,002	36,002	0	0
Asamera	4,491	25,910	0	21,419
Ashland	2,211,526	3,617,071	0	1,405,545
Bayou	59,415	59,415	0	0
Beacon	305,122	305,122	0	0
C&H	661	1,270	0	609
Calumet	0	25,884	0	25,884
Canal	57,327	57,327	0	0
Caribou	103,646	103,646	0	0
Champlin	1,922,795	1,502,363	420,432	0
Charter	1,214,541	1,111,262	103,279	0
Citgo	4,072,025	3,074,188	997,837	0

APPENDIX.—*Notice of entitlements for allocation of old oil*—Continued

Reporting firm short name	Old oil adjusted receipts	Entitlement position		
		Issued	Required to buy	Required to sell
Claiborne	5,459	5,459	0	0
Clark	555,541	1,088,903	0	533,362
Coastal	732,066	1,323,659	0	591,599
Conoco	4,416,868	3,480,722	936,146	0
Corco	0	942,166	0	942,166
Cra-Farmland	809,016	792,284	16,732	0
Cross	9,697	52,546	0	42,849
Crown	556,640	769,847	0	213,207
Crystal-Oil	167,247	176,933	0	9,686
Crystal-Ref	259	23,806	0	23,547
Delta	699,805	699,805	0	0
Diamond	591,228	591,228	0	0
Dorchester	5,466	11,777	0	6,311
Dow	67,068	67,068	0	0
Eddy	42,070	42,070	0	0
Edgington-Oil	281,078	281,078	0	0
Edgington-Oxn	11,424	11,424	0	0
Evangeline	35,296	35,296	0	0
Exxon	13,819,828	13,384,992	434,836	0
Famariss	388,259	388,259	0	0
Farmers-UN	487,596	487,596	0	0
Fletcher	412,847	412,847	0	0
Flint	11,021	11,021	0	0
Gary	1,127	86,031	0	84,904
Getty	287,162	1,011,245	0	724,083
Giant	[1] −5,056	[2] 33,558	0	38,614
Gladieux	32,996	146,376	0	113,380
Golden-Eagle	0	106,224	0	106,224
Good-Hope	342,425	342,425	0	0
Guam	0	343,267	0	343,267
Gulf	10,994,657	7,368,756	3,625,901	0
Gulf-STS	14,492	17,139	0	2,647
Hirt	0	641,794	0	641,794
Howell	801,071	801,071	0	0
Hunt	445,659	445,659	0	0
Husky	651,114	651,114	0	0
Indiana-Farm	129,263	242,433	0	113,170
J&W	54,854	54,854	0	0
Kentucky	1,731	6,494	0	4,763
Kerr-McGee	2,437,086	1,755,905	681,181	0
Koch	468,930	1,113,713	0	644,783
Lagloria	487,666	487,666	0	0
Lakeside	157,061	157,061	0	0
Laketon	208,414	208,414	0	0
Little-Amer	300,539	300,539	0	0
Macmillan	85,851	181,819	0	95,968
Marathon	3,255,298	2,677,504	577,794	0
Marion	110,518	265,933	0	155,415
Mid-Amer	0	42,267	0	42,267
Midland	278,756	278,756	0	0
Mobil	8,791,370	7,506,853	1,284,517	0
Mohawk	495,300	511,604	0	16,304
Monsanto	465,993	464,993	0	0
Morrison	7,752	7,752	0	0
Mountaineer	3,508	3,839	0	331
Murphy	1,050,391	1,065,241	0	14,850
N-Amer-Petro	75,003	75,003	0	0
Natl-Coop	462,251	536,541	0	74,290
Navajo	379,690	379,690	0	0
Newhall	214,643	214,643	0	0
Northland	27,887	33,443	0	5,556
Oil-Shale	1,269,573	1,038,331	231,242	0
OKC	370,792	370,792	0	0
Pasco	986,115	986,115	0	0
Pennzoil	684,409	684,409	0	0
Phillips	3,687,746	4,200,752	0	513,006
Pioneer	15,643	31,389	0	15,746
Placid	344,003	344,003	0	0
Plateau	80,589	80,589	0	0
Powerine	337,661	446,821	0	109,160
Pride	226,530	248,972	0	22,442
Quaker-ST	8,203	276,807	0	268,604
Road-oil	0	2,282	0	2,282
Rock-Island	475,238	475,238	0	0
Saber-Tex	108,032	108,032	0	0
Sabre-Cal	624	18,566	0	17,942
Sage-Creek	3,959	3,959	0	0
San-Joaquin	64,225	94,107	0	29,882
Seminole	0	48,566	0	48,566
Shell	13,136,697	10,219,683	2,917,014	0
Sigmor	7,432	21,928	0	14,496
Skelly	1,064,746	831,348	233,398	0
So-Hampton	96,230	96,230	0	0
Socal	9,099,000	10,645,431	0	1,546,431
Sohio	2,758,993	3,307,589	0	548,596
Somerset	1,097	37,547	0	36,450
Sound	0	14,439	0	14,439
Southland	359,554	359,554	0	0
Sunland	91,989	94,071	0	2,082
Sunoco	6,570,784	5,234,303	1,336,481	0
Tenneco	1,629,793	1,154,862	0	125,069
Tesoro	1,083,428	1,027,465	55,963	0
Texaco	11,671,472	11,527,696	143,776	0
Texas-Asph	717	1,249	0	532
Texas-City	1,160,842	1,063,498	97,344	0
Thagard	92,405	92,405	0	0
The-Refinery	128,164	199,329	0	71,165
Thriftway	26,286	36,330	0	10,044
Thunderbird	119,846	121,635	0	1,789
Tonkawa	25,209	52,977	0	27,768
Total-Leonard	130,235	490,411	0	360,176

APPENDIX.—*Notice of entitlements for allocation of old oil*—Continued

Reporting firm short name	Old oil adjusted receipts	Entitlement position		
		Issued	Required to buy	Required to sell
Union-Oil	5,990,538	4,449,087	1,541,451	0
Union-Texas	125,030	125,030	0	0
Untd-Ind	278	278	0	0
Untd-Ref	131,120	590,386	0	459,266
US-Oil	70	139,487	0	139,417
Vickers	341,700	398,571	0	56,871
Vulcan	0	24,829	0	24,829
Warrior	56,529	56,529	0	0
West-Coast	26,764	45,299	0	18,535
Western	2,299	23,643	0	21,344
Wickett	87,559	94,205	0	6,646
Winston	39,808	184,746	0	144,938
Wireback	0	1,279	0	1,279
Witco	435,583	435,583	0	0
Yetter	0	1,325	0	1,325
Young	60,696	60,696	0	0
Total	154,402,877	154,402,877	16,980,415	16,980,415

[1] Reflects a correction for excessive old oil receipts reported for Sept. 1975.
[2] Does not include entitlements issued by virtue of the negative volume of old oil receipts shown.

[FR Doc.75-35256 Filed 12-31-75;4:50 pm]

FEDERAL MARITIME COMMISSION

ATLANTICA S.P.A. AND GLOBAL TERMINAL AND CONTAINER SERVICES, INC.

Notice of Agreement Filed

Notice is hereby given that the following agreement has been filed with the Commission for approval pursuant to section 15 of the Shipping Act, 1916, as amended (39 Stat. 733, 75 Stat. 763, 46 U.S.C. 814).

Interested parties may inspect and obtain a copy of the agreement at the Washington office of the Federal Maritime Commission, 1100 L Street NW., Room 10126; or may inspect the agreement at the Field Offices located at New York, N.Y., New Orleans, Louisiana, San Francisco, California, and Old San Juan, Puerto Rico. Comments on such agreements, including requests for hearing, may be submitted to the Secretary, Federal Maritime Commission, Washington, D.C. 20573, on or before January 27, 1976. Any person desiring a hearing on the proposed agreement shall provide a clear and concise statement of the matters upon which they desire to adduce evidence. An allegation of discrimination or unfairness shall be accompanied by a statement describing the discrimination or unfairness with particularity. If a violation of the Act or detriment to the commerce of the United States is alleged, the statement shall set forth with particularity the acts and circumstances said to constitute such violation or detriment to commerce.

A copy of any such statement should also be forwarded to the party filing the agreement (as indicated hereinafter) and the statement should indicate that this has been done.

Notice of agreement filed by:

R. T. Norton, President, Global Terminal and Container Services, Inc., Post Office Box 273, Jersey City, New Jersey 07303

Agreement No. T-3246, between Atlantica S.p.A. (Atlantica) and Global Terminal & Container Services, Inc., (Global) provides for Global to act as agent for Atlantica in billing and collecting demurrage on cargo to be loaded to or discharged from Atlantica's vessels at the Global Marine Terminal facilities in the Port of New York. As compensation, Global shall retain all monies derived from the collection of demurrage assessed against cargo loaded or discharged to or from Atlantica's vessels at the aforesaid terminal. Charges covering containers and chasis equipment, separate and apart from charges covering cargo, will be billed and collected by Global for the account of Atlantica.

By Order of the Federal Maritime Commission.

Dated: January 2, 1976.

FRANCIS C. HURNEY,
Secretary.

[FR Doc.76-435 Filed 1-6-76;8:45 am]

PORT OF OAKLAND, ET AL.

Notice of Agreement Filed

Notice is hereby given that the following agreement has been filed with the Commission for approval pursuant to section 15 of the Shipping Act, 1916, as amended (39 Stat. 733, 75 Stat. 763, 46 U.S.C. 814).

Interested parties may inspect and obtain a copy of the agreement at the Washington office of the Federal Maritime Commission, 1100 L Street, N.W., Room 10126; or may inspect the agreement at the Field Offices located at New York, N.Y., New Orleans, Louisiana, San Francisco, California, and Old San Juan, Puerto Rico. Comments on such agreements, including requests for hearing, may be submitted to the Secretary, Federal Maritime Commission, Washington, D.C. 20573, on or before January 27, 1976. Any person desiring a hearing on the proposed agreement shall provide a clear and concise statement of the matters upon which they desire to adduce evidence. An allegation of discrimination or unfairness shall be accompanied by a statement describing the discrimination or unfairness with particularity. If a violation of the Act or detriment to the commerce of the United States is alleged, the statement shall set forth with particularity the acts and circumstances said to constitute such violation or detriment to commerce.

A copy of any such statement should also be forwarded to the party filing the agreement (as indicated hereinafter) and the statement should indicate that this has been done.

Notice of agreement filed by:

J. Kerwin Rooney, Port Attorney, Port of Oakland, 66 Jack London Square, P.O. Box 2064, Oakland, California 94607.

Agreement No. T-3040-A-1, between Port of Oakland (Port) and Japan Line, Ltd., Kawasaki Kisen Kaisha, Ltd., Mitsui O.S.K. Lines, Ltd. and Yamashita-Shinnihon Steamship Co., Ltd. (the Lines), modifies the basic agreement which provides for the ten-year nonexclusive preferential assignment of a 40-ton container crane for use by the Lines in loading and unloading cargo containers to and from the Lines' vessels. The purpose of the modification is to amend the provisions of the Agreement relating to total project cost.

By Order of the Federal Maritime Commission.

Dated: January 2, 1976.

FRANCIS C. HURNEY,
Secretary.

[FR Doc.76-434 Filed 1-6-76;8:45 am]

FEDERAL POWER COMMISSION

[Docket No. RP74-80]

NORTHERN NATURAL GAS COMPANY

Order Approving Settlement Agreement and Denying Motion to Sever the Liquid Revenues Issue

DECEMBER 18, 1975.

On August 1, 1975, Northern Natural Gas Company (Northern) tendered for filing a Stipulation and Agreement which reflects a settlement of all issues in this proceeding,[1] except the issue of whether Northern should be permitted cost of service treatment for company-owned production.[2] On August 13, 1975, the Presiding Administrative Law Judge certified the record to the Commission. Notice of the proposed settlement was issued August 8, 1975, with comments due on or before August 21, 1975.

On July 8, 1975, Northern Illinois Gas Company (NI-Gas) filed a motion to sever and set for hearing the issue of the appropriate credit to cost of service for sales of extracted liquids. The Commission did not rule on this motion within

[1] Northern filed its proposed $42.9 million annual rate increase on April 11, 1974. This filing was based upon book costs for the twelve month period which ended December 31, 1973 (base period), adjusted for known and measurable changes through September 30, 1974 (test period). By order issued May 20, 1974, the Commission accepted for filing and suspended the effectiveness of these revised tariff sheets until October 27, 1974.

[2] This issue is presently pending hearing and decision in Docket No. RP74-75.

thirty days after the Presiding Administrative Law Judge certified the question to the Commission; therefore, on September 12, 1975, the motion was considered denied by operation of Section 1.12(e) of the Rules. On October 14, 1975, NI-Gas petitioned for rehearing of denial of the motion to sever and set for hearing the liquid revenues issue. On November 13, 1975, the Commission issued an order granting rehearing for the purpose of further consideration of NI-Gas motion.

The settlement agreement would reduce the pending increase by approximately $10,813,000 from Northern's principal market area sales and approximately $26,000 from field area sales. The rates would be effective October 27, 1974, and revenues in excess of the settlement rates would be refunded, including 7 percent interest.

Other pertinent provisions of the settlement are as follows:

(1) Section I provides that the rates under Docket No. RP74–80 will be at levels set forth (i) in Appendix A for the billing months of November and December 1974; (ii) in Appendix B for the one day December 27, 1974; and (iii) Appendix C for the period December 28, 1974 through October 26, 1975. Within 30 days after the Commission's order approving the Stipulation and Agreement, Northern will file revised tariff sheets reflecting the rates shown in Appendix C. Refunds will be made to customers purchasing gas from Northern in zones 1, 2, 3, B, C and D on the basis of actual billing demand units for such period, including 7 percent interest; refunds will be made to jurisdictional field sale customers on the basis of actual sales volumes for such period, including 7 percent interest. Northern is also reducing rates and making refunds in an additional amount of approximately $324,000.[3] Northern will file tariff sheets which will show a reduction in jurisdictional revenues of approximately $10,813,000 in the principal market area sales, revised rates based upon the *United* 25–75 cost classification, allocation and rate design methodology and rates for winter services designed to fully recover allocated costs.

(2) Section II states that a segmented composite straight line depreciation rate for Northern's operating properties will be used resulting in a 4.25 percent annual weighted average rate. Furthermore, Northern will not collect any increase in revenues from its customers attributable to a further increase in depreciation rates or attributable to the UOP method prior to December 27, 1976.

(3) Section II provides that the group billing provision is just and reasonable and should continue in effect. Although group billing may not be accepted in other cases, several reasons were given for continuing group billing in this instance, including the following: (1) 95 percent of the Communities served by Northern utilized the grouping practice; (2) 858 of the communities used grouping for more efficient utilization of peak shaving facilities; and (3) grouping as practiced on Northern's system benefits high priority loads. No party to this proceeding presented evidence in opposition to the continued use of group billing procedures.

(4) Section IV involves a rate reduction and refund due to the exclusion of certain advance payments. Although Northern agrees to this Section of the Stipulation and Agreement, this is done without prejudice to any future proceedings.

(5) Section V pertains to the cost-of-service for Northern's Gas Exploration Program for the period October 27, 1974 through October 26, 1975. The settlement rates in Docket No. RP74–84 include a jurisdictional amount of $6,085,293 applicable to the reserved issue in Docket No. RP74–75. Northern's right to retain this amount will depend upon the ultimate resolution of the reserved issue in the Docket No. RP74–75 proceeding.

(6) Section VI states that nothing in this agreement will change Northern's obligations under any prior settlement agreement.

(7) Section VII provides that Northern shall file a report under oath of all data and computation pertaining to refunds.

(8) Section VIII reflects that the terms of the Stipulation and Agreement, if approved by the Commission, shall become effective as of October 27, 1974, and shall remain in effect until such time as a change in Northern's rates becomes effective as of October 27, 1974, and Northern under Section 4 of the Act or by a determination by the Commission under Section 5 of the Act.

(9) Section IX provides for acceptance of the settlement in its entirety and for waiver of the Commission's Regulations as may be required.

(10) Section X is a general reservation indicating that the agreement represents a negotiated dollar settlement and, except to the extent provided in Sections II and III, does not reflect approval or acceptance by any party thereto of any ratemaking principle underlying or supposed to underlie any of the rates or refunds provided for therein.

The Commission Staff, Northern and the Northern Distributor Group[4] filed comments in support of the proposed Stipulation and Agreement. NI-Gas also supports the settlement agreement subject to its position on the question of the proper credit to Northern's cost of service for its extracted liquid revenues.

In addition, NI-Gas expresses "concern" about the rate of return and the method of cost classification and rate design utilized in the formulation of the rates, but these are not expressed as formal objections to the Stipulation and Agreement. Furthermore, the approach used in the settlement is consistent with the Commission's desire to use rate design as a means of allocating a scarce natural resource to higher priority uses and is fully supported by the evidence.

NI-Gas' only objection to the Stipulation and Agreement relates to the extracted liquids' issue. Northern sells its liquid extracts to UPG, its affiliate, at the maximum ceiling price set by FEA regulations. From this price, Northern derives the appropriate credit included in its settlement cost of service. NI-Gas contends that this figure is too low because it does not reflect the higher selling price which UPG charges its customers. The result of using the lower FEA figures and smaller cost of service credit, according to NI-Gas, is that Northern's customers are paying higher prices for natural gas. NI-Gas claims that these higher revenues should be credited against Northern's settlement cost of service because of the Commission's no-profit-to-affiliates rule.[5] Northern and Staff state that Northern is charging the maximum amount allowable which is set by FEA and this is the figure used in the settlement cost of service. Furthermore, Staff states that to base the credit on UPG's selling prices would result in the Commission regulating the non-jurisdictional operations of Northern's affiliates. Since only 17% of UPG's supply is from Northern, Staff and Northern believe that the prices charged by UPG have no bearing on the proper credit to Northern's cost of service.

The argument advanced by NI-Gas is not without some merit. The question of the applicability of the no-profits-to-affiliates rule to prices set by other regulatory agencies is one which might be profitably litigated given the proper circumstances. However, we have concluded that this settlement should be approved as submitted and this matter should be terminated without substantial delay which would be the result of a full hearing on one issue. The entire settlement is supported by the Commission Staff, Northern and all intervenors except NI-Gas, which apparently supports all other aspects of the settlement. Although all intervenors were given an opportunity to serve evidence, NI Gas did not introduce evidence on the liquid credit issue. There is nothing in this record which demonstrates that any undue enrichment has accrued to Northern or its affiliates. Finally, it should be reiterated that the prices for liquid extracts charged by both Northern and its affiliate are fully regulated by FEA. Therefore, on balance, we have determined that the entire settlement, including the

[3] This is discussed more fully in Section IV.

[4] Central Telephone & Utilities Corporation; City of Duluth, Minnesota; Iowa Electric Light and Power; Iowa Illinois Gas and Electric Company; Iowa Power and Light Company; Iowa Public Service Company; Lake Superior District Power Company; Metropolitan Utilities District of Omaha; Minnesota Gas Company; North Central Public Service Co.; Northern States Power Company (Minnesota); Northern States Power Company (Wisconsin); Northwestern Public Service Company; St. Croix Valley Natural Gas Company; Superior Water Light and Power Company; and Wisconsin Southern Gas Company.

[5] *Cities Service Gas Co.* v. *F.P.C.*, 155 F.2d 694, (10th Cir., 1946), *cert. den.* 329 U.S. 773.

liquid revenue credit to the cost of service, should be approved without modification and that NI-Gas' Motion to Sever should be denied.

The Commission finds: (1) Approval of the settlement agreement filed in this proceeding is reasonable and appropriate and in the public interest in carrying out the provisions of the Natural Gas Act.

(2) Good cause does not exist to grant NI-Gas' motion of October 14, 1975 to sever the issue of liquid credits and set the issue for hearing.

The Commission orders: (A) The Stipulation and Agreement filed in this proceeding is incorporated herein by reference, approved, and made effective as provided by the provisions of the Stipulation and Agreement.

(B) The petition to sever the issue of liquid credits and set for hearing filed by NI-Gas is hereby denied.

(C) Within thirty days of the issuance of this order, Northern shall file revised tariff sheets which conform to the settlement agreement.

(D) Within thirty days after filing the revised tariff sheets, Northern shall refund to its jurisdictional customers all revenues collected in excess of the settlement rates.

(E) This order is without prejudice to any findings or orders which have been made or which hereafter will be made by the Commission, and is without prejudice to any claims or contentions which may be made by the Commission, its Staff, or any party or person affected by this order in any proceeding now pending or hereafter instituted by or against Northern or any person or party, except as provided in the settlement agreement.

(F) The Secertary shall cause prompt publication of this order to be made in the FEDERAL REGISTER.

By the Commission.

[SEAL] KENNETH F. PLUMB,
Secretary.

ATTACHMENT I.—*Northern Natural Gas Company, Transmission Division—Docket No. RP74–80, cost of service and jurisdictional revenue deficiency*

Line No.	Particulars (a)	Amount [2] (b)
1	Cost of service:	
2	Gas purchases	$196,286,305
3	Other operation and maintenance expenses	110,029,693
4	Total operation and maintenance expenses	306,315,998
5	Depreciation and amortization of gas plant in service, other than production plant	50,374,652
6	Depreciation and depletion of gas production plant	156,400
7	Provision for unsuccessful exploration and development costs	5,500,000
8	Taxes:	
9	Federal income	41,695,000
10	State income	4,965,700
11	Other	16,920,861
12	Return at 9.19 percent [1]	67,378,500
13	Other operating revenues	(19,102,338)
14	Total cost of service	473,604,773
15	Jurisdictional CD, PL, WPS, PS, SS and ERS sales:	
16	Allocated cost of service	361,035,628
17	Revenues at underlying rates	329,864,853
18	Indicated revenue deficiency	[3] 31,170,775
19	Jurisdictional field sales:	
20	Allocated cost of service	3,777,980
21	Revenues at underlying rates	3,329,901
22	Revenue deficiency	448,079

[1] Appendix F.
[2] Includes exploration program cost of service reflected on Appendix G.
[3] Agreed to revenue deficiency, $30,988,000.

ATTACHMENT II.—*Northern Natural Gas Company, Transmission Division—Docket No. RP74–80, settlement rate of return*

[Percent]

Line No.	Particulars (a)	Capital ratio (b)	Cost allowance (c)	Rate of return (d)
1	Debt	48.97	7.19	3.52
2	Preferred stock	4.31	6.93	.30
3	Common equity	46.72	11.50	5.37
4	Total	100.00		9.19

ATTACHMENT III.—*Northern Natural Gas Company, Transmission Division—Docket No. RP74–80, computation of reduction in revenues (refunds) for the period commencing Dec. 28, 1973 until Oct. 27, 1974, applicable to certain gas acquisition payments covered by Commission order dated Mar. 22, 1974 as amended on May 17, 1974*

Line No.	Producer (a)	Annual cost of service (b)
1	Elf Oil Corporation	$25,261
2	Panarctic Oils, Ltd	378,095
3	BP Alaska, Inc.—Exploration program	53,726
4	Kimball Production Company	87,239
5	Total cost of service	544,321
6	Total system sales volume	[1] 875,662,800
7	Reduction in rates per Mcf	0.06
8	Reduction in revenues applicable to jurisdictional sales during period Dec. 28, 1973 to Oct. 27, 1974:	
9	Principal market area sales ($0.06×525,603,921 Mcf, actual sales volume for period)	315,362
10	Field area sales ($0.06×13,917,499 Mcf, actual sales volume for period)	8,350
11	Total reduction in revenues (refunds) applicable to jurisdictional sales	323,712

[1] Per Northern's gas acquisition payment tracker filing of Oct. 25, 1973, Schedule 5.

[FR Doc.76–224 Filed 1–6–76;8:45 am]

CONSERVATION TECHNICAL ADVISORY TASK FORCE-EFFICIENCY IN USE OF GAS

Meetings

AGENDA

Meeting of Conservation-Technical Advisory Task Force-Efficiency in use of gas; Conference Room 5200, Federal Power Commission, Union Plaza Building, 825 North Capitol Street, NE., Washington, D.C. 20426. January 21, 1976, 9:30 a.m.

Presiding: Mr. James R. Kirby, Coordinating Representative and Secretary, Federal Power Commission.

1. Call to Order and Introductory Remarks—Mr. James R. Kirby

2. Introduction of the Task Force Co-chairmen—

Mr. James Wodruff, Deputy Director, Public Utilities Division, Michigan Public Service Commission, Lansing, Michigan; and

Mr. John A. Irwin, General Manager of Market Services, Panhandle Eastern Pipe Line Company, Houston, Texas.

3. Discussion of Task Force Work Scope and Goals—Mr. James Woodruff and Mr. John A. Irwin.

4. Assignment of Work to Task Force Members—Mr. James Woodruff and Mr. John A. Irwin.

5. Establishment of Priorities and Completion Dates for Work of the Task Force—Mr. James Woodruff and Mr. John A. Irwin.

6. Selection of Next Meeting Date.

7. Discussion of Other Matters.

8. Adjournment—Mr. James R. Kirby.

This meeting is open to the public. Any interested person may attend, appear before, or file statements with the committee—which statements, if in written form, may be filed before or after the meeting, or if oral, at the time and in the manner permitted by the committee.

KENNETH F. PLUMB,
Secretary.

[FR Doc.76–431 Filed 1–6–76;8:45 am]

[Rate Schedule Nos. 46, *et al.*]

PHILLIPS PETROLEUM CO., ET AL.

Notice of Rate Change Filing Pursuant to Commission's Opinion No. 699–H

DECEMBER 24, 1975.

Take notice that the producers listed in the Appendix attached hereto have filed proposed increased rates to the applicable new gas national ceiling based on the interpretation of vintaging concepts set forth by the Commission in its Opinion No. 699–H, issued December 4, 1974. Pursuant to Opinion No. 699–H the rates, if accepted, will become effective as of the date of filing.

The information relevant to each of these sales is listed in the Appendix.

Any person desiring to be heard or to make any protest with reference to said filings should on or before January 9, 1976, file with the Federal Power Commission, Washington, D.C. 20426, a petition to intervene or a protest in accordance with the requirements of the Commission's Rules of Practice and Procedure (18 CFR 1.8 or 1.10). A protest will not serve to make the protestant a party to the proceeding. Any party wishing to become a party to a proceeding must file a petition to intervene in accordance with the Commission's Rules.

KENNETH F. PLUMB,
Secretary.

APPENDIX

Filing date	Producer	Rate schedule No.	Buyer	Area
Dec. 11, 1975	Phillips Petroleum Co., Bartlesville, Okla. 74004.	46	El Paso Natural Gas Co.	Permian Basin.
Do	do	258	do	Do.
Dec. 15, 1975	Getty Oil Co., P.O. Box 1404, Houston, Tex. 77001.	51	Texas Eastern Transmission Corp.	Texas Gulf Coast.
Do	Exxon Corp., P.O. Box 2180, Houston, Tex. 77001.	110	United Gas Pipeline Co.	Other Southwest.
Do	Getty Oil Co	34	do	Texas Gulf Coast.

[FR Doc.76-430 Filed 1-6-76;8:45 am]

RESEARCH AND DEVELOPMENT TECHNICAL ADVISORY COMMITTEE

Meeting

AGENDA

Meeting of the Research & Development Technical Advisory Committee; to be held in Congressional Room, Quality Inn, Capitol Hill, 415 New Jersey Ave., NW., Washington, D.C.; January 21, 1976, 10:00 a.m.

Presiding: Daniel G. Lewis, FPC Coordinating Representative and Secretary.

1. Call to Order and Introduction of Committee Chairman—Mr. Lewis.
2. Review and Discussion of Work Assignments—Dr. S. William Gouse, Jr., Chairman.
3. Discussion of Completion Dates for Work.
4. Review of Schedule of Future Meetings.
5. Other Business.
6. Adjournment—Mr. Lewis.

This meeting is open to the public. Any interested person may attend, appear before, or file statements with the Committee—which statements, if in written form, may be filed before or after the meeting, or if oral, at the time and in the manner permitted by the Committee.

KENNETH F. PLUMB,
Secretary.

[FR Doc.76-433 Filed 1-6-76;8:45 am]

TRANSMISSION, DISTRIBUTION AND STORAGE-TECHNICAL ADVISORY TASK FORCE-RATE DESIGN

Meeting

AGENDA

Meeting of Transmission, Distribution & Storage-Technical Advisory Task Force-Rate Design; Conference Room 5200; Federal Power Commission, Union Plaza Building, 825 North Capitol Street, NE., Washington, D.C. 20426; January 29, 1976, 9:30 a.m.

Presiding: Mr. John F. Craig, Coordinating Representative and Secretary, Federal Power Commission.

1. Call to Order and Introductory Remarks—Mr. John F. Craig.
2. Introduction of the Technical Advisory Task Force Chairman—

Mr. Louis Zanoff, H. Zinder & Associates, Washington, D.C.; and

Introduction of the Technical Advisory Task Force Vice Chairman—

Mr. Richard W. Walker, Arthur Anderson & Company, Chicago, Illinois.

3. Discussion of Task Force Work Scope and Goals—Mr. Louis Zanoff.
4. Assignment of Work to Task Force Members—Mr. Louis Zanoff.
5. Establishment of Priorities and Completion Dates for Work of the Task Force—Mr. Louis Zanoff.
6. Selection of Next Meeting Date.
7. Discussion of Other Matters.
8. Adjournment—Mr. John F. Craig.

This meeting is open to the public. Any interested person may attend, appear before, or file statements with the Committee—which statements, if in written form, may be filed before or after the meeting, or if oral, at the time and in the manner permitted by the Committee.

KENNETH F. PLUMB,
Secretary.

[FR Doc.76-432 Filed 1-6-76;8:45 am]

FEDERAL RESERVE SYSTEM

DUBOIS BANKSHARES, INC.

Order Approving Formation of Bank Holding Company and Engaging in Insurance Agency Activities

DUBOIS BankShares, Inc., Sauk Centre, Minnesota, has applied for the System's approval under section 3(a)(1) of the Bank Holding Company Act (12 U.S.C. 1842(a)(1)) to become a bank holding company through acquisition of 93 percent of the voting shares of First State Bank of Sauk Centre, Sauk Centre, Minnesota ("Bank"). The factors that are considered in acting on the application are set forth in section 3(c) of the Act (12 U.S.C. 1842(c)). Applicant has also applied, pursuant to section 4(c)(8) of the Bank Holding Company Act (12 U.S.C. 1843(c)(8)) and section 225.4(b)(2) of the Board's Regulation Y, for permission to acquire the general insurance agency business presently conducted by First State Agency, Sauk Centre, Minnesota, an informal partnership of various individuals who also are shareholders of Bank. Applicant proposes to engage, on Bank premises, in the sale of ordinary life and casualty insurance (exclusive of credit life and credit accident and health insurance which will be sold by employees of Bank) in a community of less than 5,000 people. Such activities have been determined by the Board in section 225.4(a)(9)(iii) of Regulation Y as being closely related to banking and, therefore, permissible for bank holding companies, subject to Board approval of individual proposals in accordance with the procedures of section 225.4(b).

Notice of the applications, affording opportunity for interested persons to submit comments and views, has been given in accordance with sections 3 and 4 of the Act (40 FEDERAL REGISTER 52887). The time for filing comments and views has expired, and the applications and all comments received have been considered in light of the factors set forth in section (3(c) of the Act, and the considerations specified in section 4(c)(8) of the Act.

Applicant is a nonoperating Minnesota corporation organized for the purpose of becoming a bank holding company through acquisition of Bank and of acquiring the insurance agency business currently being conducted by First State Agency. Bank, with deposits of $14.0 million, representing less than 0.1 percent of the total commercial bank deposits in the State,[1] is the second largest of ten banking organizations located in the relevant banking market.[2] Bank holds 14.8 percent of deposits in the market and upon consummation of the proposal, Applicant would replace Bank as the 113th largest commercial banking organization in the State. Inasmuch as Applicant has no existing subsidiary banks and the proposal represents merely a restructuring of Bank's ownership, the acquisition of Bank by Applicant would not eliminate any existing or potential competition, nor have any adverse effects on the other banks in the relevant market. Accordingly, it is concluded that competitive considerations are consistent with approval of the application.

The financial and managerial resources and future prospects of Applicant and Bank are satisfactory and consistent with approval, particularly in view of Applicant's commitment to improve Bank's equity capital position. Although Applicant will incur some debt in connection with the injection of additional equity capital, its projected income from Bank and the insurance agency activities should provide sufficient revenue to service the debt without impairing the financial condition of the Bank. These considerations relating to banking factors are consistent with approval of the application. In regard to convenience and needs, there is no evidence that the banking needs of the community are not being satisfactorily served. However, the proposed reorganization and increase in equity capital should enhance Bank's financial condition and thereby improve its ability to serve its customers. Considerations relating to the convenience and needs of the community to be served are consistent with approval of the application. It is the judgment of the Federal Reserve Bank of Minneapolis that consummation of the proposed acquisition of Bank would be in the public inter-

[1] All banking data are as of December 1974.
[2] Relevant market has been approximated by the western half of Stearns County as well as a portion of southern Todd County.

est and that the application should be approved.

Applicant also proposes to operate a general insurance agency business, pursuant to section 225.4(a)(9)(iii) of Regulation Y, by acquiring the ordinary life and casualty insurance business of First State Agency. Such insurance activities will be conducted on the premises of Bank in Sauk Centre, Minnesota, a town which has a population of less than 5,000. The sale of credit life and credit accident and health insurance will be conducted by employees of Bank and all related commission income and expenses will be recorded on the accounts of Bank. Inasmuch as the proposal to acquire the insurance agency is essentially a reorganization of the existing ownership interests of the principals of Applicant and Bank, it does not appear that the acquisition of First State Agency would have any adverse effect on existing or future competition. On the other hand, approval of the application would assure residents of the area a continued convenient source of insurance services, which factor can be regarded as in the public interest. There is no evidence in the record indicating that consummation of the proposal would result in any undue concentration of resources, unfair competition, conflicts of interests, unsound banking practices or other adverse effects on the public interest.

Based on the foregoing and other considerations reflected in the record, the Federal Reserve Bank of Minneapolis has determined that the considerations affecting the competitive factors under section 3(c) of the Act and the balance of the public interest factors that must be considered under section 4(c)(8) both favor approval of Applicant's proposals.

For the reasons summarized above, the Federal Reserve Bank of Minneapolis hereby approves the applications. The acquisition of Bank shall not be made (a) before the thirtieth calendar day following the effective date of this Order and (b) neither the acquisition of Bank, nor the acquisition of First State Agency, shall be made later than three months after the effective date of this Order, unless such period is extended for good cause by the Board, or by the Federal Reserve Bank of Minneapolis pursuant to delegated authority. The determination as to Applicant's insurance activities is subject to the conditions set forth in section 225.4(c) of Regulation Y and to the Board's authority to require reports by, and make examinations of, holding companies and their subsidiaries and to require such modification or termination of the activities of a bank holding company or any of its subsidiaries as the Board finds necessary to assure compliance with the provisions and purposes of the Act and the Board's regulations and orders issued thereunder, or to prevent evasion thereof.

By order of the Federal Reserve Bank of Minneapolis, acting pursuant to delegated authority for the Board of Governors, effective December 19, 1975.

[SEAL] L. G. GABLE,
Vice President.

[FR Doc.76-329 Filed 1-6-76;8:45 am]

MASSACHUSETTS BAY BANCORP, INC.

Merger of Bank Holding Companies

Massachusetts Bay Bancorp, Inc., Lawrence, Massachusetts, has applied for the Board's approval under § 3(a)(5) of the Bank Holding Company Act (12 U.S.C. 1842(a)(5)) to merge Yankee Bancorporation, Gloucester, Massachusetts, with and into Massachusetts Bay Bancorporation, Inc. under the charter of Massachusetts Bay Bancorporation, Inc. and with the name of Massachusetts Bay Bancorporation, Inc. The factors that are considered in acting on the application are set forth in § 3(c) of the Act (12 U.S.C. 1842(c)).

The application may be inspected at the office of the Board of Governors or the Federal Reserve Bank of Boston. Any person wishing to comment on the application should submit views in writing to the Secretary, Board of Governors of the Federal Reserve System, Washington, D.C. 20551, to be received not later than January 28, 1976.

Board of Governors of the Federal Reserve System, December 30, 1975.

[SEAL] THEODORE E. ALLISON,
Secretary of the Board.

[FR Doc.76-330 Filed 1-6-76;8:45 am]

METRO INSURANCE AGENCY, INC.

Acquisition of Additional Shares of Bank

Metro Insurance Agency, Inc., Kansas City, Missouri, has applied for the Board's approval under § 3(a)(3) of the Bank Holding Company Act (12 U.S.C. 1842(a)(3)) to retain 1,000 additional voting shares of The Metropolitan Bank, Kansas City, Missouri, which were previously acquired through a pro rata rights offering. The factors that are considered in acting on the application are set forth in § 3(c) of the Act (12 U.S.C. 1842(c)).

The application may be inspected at the office of the Board of Governors or at the Federal Reserve Bank of Kansas City. Any person wishing to comment on the application should submit views in writing to the Reserve Bank to be received not later than January 28, 1976.

Board of Governors of the Federal Reserve System, December 30, 1975.

[SEAL] THEODORE E. ALLISON,
Secretary of the Board.

[FR Doc.76-331 Filed 1-6-76;8:45 am]

PEOPLES CREDIT CO.

Acquisition of Additional Shares of Bank

Peoples Credit Co., Kansas City, Missouri, has applied for the Board's approval under § 3(a)(3) of the Bank Holding Company Act (12 U.S.C. 1842(a)(3)) to retain 1,000 additional voting shares of The Metropolitan Bank, Kansas City, Missouri, which were previously acquired through a pro rata rights offering. The factors that are considered in acting on the application are set forth in § 3(c) of the Act (12 U.S.C. 1842(c)).

The application may be inspected at the office of the Board of Governors or at the Federal Reserve Bank of Kansas City. Any person wishing to comment on the application should submit views in writing to the Reserve Bank to be received not later than January 28, 1976.

Board of Governors of the Federal Reserve System, December 30, 1975.

[SEAL] THEODORE E. ALLISON,
Secretary of the Board.

[FR Doc.76-332 Filed 1-6-76;8:45 am]

REPUBLIC OF TEXAS CORP.

Proposed Continuation of Lending Activities of The Howard Corporation

Republic of Texas Corporation, Dallas, Texas, has applied, pursuant to § 4(c)(8) of the Bank Holding Company Act (12 U.S.C. 1843(c)(8)) and § 225.4(b)(2) of the Board's Regulation Y, for permission to continue the lending activities of its trusteed affiliate, The Howard Corporation, Dallas Texas. Notice of the application was published on December 10, 1975 in the Dallas Times Herald, a newspaper circulated in Dallas, Texas.

Applicant states that the subsidiary would retain until maturity its current loans and make additional loans necessary to fund outstanding commitments. Such activities have been specified by the Board in § 225.4(a) of Regulation Y as permissible for bank holding companies, subject to Board approval of individual proposals in accordance with the procedures of § 225.4(b).

Interested persons may express their views on the question whether consummation of the proposal can "reasonably be expected to produce benefits to the public, such as greater convenience, increased competition, or gains in efficiency, that outweigh possible adverse effects, such as undue concentration of resources, decreased or unfair competition, conflicts of interests, or unsound banking practices." Any request for a hearing on this question should be accompanied by a statement summarizing the evidence the person requesting the hearing proposes to submit or to elicit at the hearing and a statement of the reasons why this matter should not be resolved without a hearing.

The application may be inspected at the offices of the Board of Governors or at the Federal Reserve Bank of Dallas.

Any views or requests for hearing should be submitted in writing and received by the Secretary, Board of Governors of the Federal Reserve System, Washington, D.C. 20551, not later than January 29, 1976.

Board of Governors of the Federal Reserve System, December 30, 1975.

[SEAL] GRIFFITH L. GARWOOD,
Assistant Secretary of the Board.

[FR Doc.76-333 Filed 1-6-76;8:45 am]

TEXAS COMMERCE BANCSHARES, INC.

Order Approving Acquisition of Bank

Texas Commerce Bancshares, Inc., Houston, Texas, a bankholding company within the meaning of the Bank Holding Company Act, has applied for

the Board's approval under § 3 of the Bank Holding Company Act (12 U.S.C. 1842) to acquire all of the voting shares (less directors' qualifying shares) of Longview National Bank, Longview, Texas ("Bank"), through the acquisition of First Texas Bancshares Corporation, Houston, Texas, the parent holding company for Bank.

Notice of the application, affording opportunity for interested persons to submit comments and views, has been given in accordance with § 3(b) of the Act. The time for filing comments and views has expired, and the Board has considered the application and all comments received in light of the factors set forth in § 3(c) of the Act (12 U.S.C. 1842(c)).

Applicant, the third largest banking organization in Texas, controls 31 banks with aggregate deposits of $2.9 billion, representing 6.7 percent of total commercial bank deposits in Texas.[1] Acquisition of Bank ($71.6 million in deposits) would increase Applicant's share of Statewide commercial bank deposits by 0.2 percent and would not change Applicant's ranking in Texas.

Bank is the largest of 21 banks operating in the Longview banking market (the relevant banking market)[2] and controls 16 percent of the market's deposits. The second largest bank in the market, a subsidiary of the States' fifth largest banking organization, controls about 12 percent of market deposits. The market does not appear to be particularly concentrated. The three largest banks in the market control 37.8 percent of the deposits, and of the remaining eighteen banks in the market several hold between 5 and 10 percent of the market's deposits. Accordingly, the Board is of the view that consummation of the proposal would not appreciably affect the structure of banking within the market.

Similarly, it appears from the record that the proposal would not have significant adverse effects on existing or potential competition. Applicant's closest existing subsidiary bank is located some 130 miles west of Bank, and none of Applicant's subsidiaries derives any significant amount of business from the Longview market. Moreover, it appears unlikely that Applicant would choose to enter the relevant market *de novo*. The growth of the Longview market has lagged behind that of the State as a whole for several years and it does not appear that this trend will be altered in the near future.[3] Other economic characteristics of the area, such as per capita deposits and the population per banking office ratio, also indicate that *de novo* entry is unlikely. Accordingly, on the basis of the facts of record, including the fact that the second largest bank in the market is a subsidiary of one of the larger banking organizations in the State, that the market does not appear particularly concentrated, and that Bank's share of market deposits does not appear excessive, the Board concludes that consummation of the proposal would not have significant adverse effects on existing or potential competition and that competitive considerations are consistent with approval of the application.

The financial condition, managerial resources, and future prospects of Bank, Applicant, and its subsidiaries are generally satisfactory and regarded as consistent with approval of the application. Considerations relating to the convenience and needs of the communities to be served are also consistent with approval of the application as affiliation with Applicant will enable Bank to offer to its customers a broader range of banking services. It is the Board's judgment that consummation of the proposed acquisition would be in the public interest and that the application should be approved.

On the basis of the record, the application is approved for the reasons summarized above. The transaction shall not be made (a) before the thirtieth calendar day following the effective date of this Order, or (b) later than three months after the effective date of this Order, unless such period is extended for good cause by the Board, or by the Federal Reserve Bank of Dallas pursuant to delegated authority.

By order of the Board of Governors,[4] effective December 29, 1975.

[SEAL] THEODORE E. ALLISON,
Secretary of the Board.

[FR Doc.76-334 Filed 1-6-76;8:45 am]

[1] All banking data are as of December 31, 1974, and reflect bank holding company formations and acquisitions approved through November 30, 1975.

[2] The Longview banking market is approximated by the Texas Counties of Gregg, Harrison, and Rusk.

[3] The Longview banking market experienced a 3.4 percent population growth from 1970–1974, as compared to 7.6 percent for the entire State (based on Census Bureau data).

[4] Voting for this action: Vice Chairman Mitchell and Governors Holland, Wallich, Coldwell, and Jackson. Absent and not voting: Chairman Burns and Governor Bucher.

NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION

[DOCKET NO. 50-206]

SOUTHERN CALIFORNIA EDISON CO. AND SAN DIEGO GAS AND ELECTRIC CO.

Notice of Proposed Issuance of Amendment to Provisional Operating License

The Nuclear Regulatory Commission (the Commission) is considering issuance of an amendment to Provisional Operating License No. DPR-13 issued to Southern California Edison Company and San Diego Gas and Electric Company (the licensees) for operation of the San Onofre Nuclear Generating Station Unit 1, (SO-1) located in San Diego County, California.

In accordance with the licensee's application for amendment dated December 3, 1975, the amendment would revise the Technical Specifications to reflect a proposed contraction of the SO-1 exclusion area boundary and an explicit reduction of the minimum exclusion area boundary distance. This is intended for the licensees to achieve control over the SO-1 exclusion area, as defined in 10 CFR Part 100, Section 100.3(a) of the Commission's regulations. Proposed modifications of facility features and other changes to the Technical Specifications, related to the modified features, would assure that post-accident doses will be limited well below the dose guide line values in 10 CFR Part 100, Section 100.11, despite the reduction of the minimum exclusion area boundary distance. The modifications would include: upgrading of the existing containment spray system to provide for absorbtion of airborne radioactive iodine; installation of new containment isolation valves in fluid systems penetrating the containment, to improve the leaktightness of the containment; and the addition of a reinforced concrete structure around but separated from the existing containment sphere, to provide the biological shielding necessary for reducing post-accident direct radiation doses.

Prior to issuance of the proposed license amendment, the Commission will have made the findings required by the Atomic Energy Act of 1954, as amended (the Act), and the Commission's regulations.

By February 6, 1976 the licensee may file a request for a hearing and any person whose interest may be affected by this proceeding may file a request for a hearing in the form of a petition for leave to intervene with respect to the issuance of the amendment to the subject provisional operating license. Petitions for leave to intervene must be filed under oath or affirmation in accordance with the provisions of Section 2.714 of 10 CFR Part 2 of the Commission's regulations. A petition for leave to intervene must set forth the interest of the petitioner in the proceeding, how that interest may be affected by the results of the proceeding, and the petitioner's contentions with respect to the proposed licensing action. Such petitions must be filed in accordance with the provisions of this FEDERAL REGISTER notice and § 2.714, and must be filed with the Secretary of the Commission, U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission, Washington, D.C. 20555, Attention: Docketing and Service Section, by the above date. A copy of the petition and/or request for a hearing should be sent to the Executive Legal Director, U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission, Washington, D.C. 20555, and to Rollin E. Woodbury, Southern California Edison Company, Post Office Box 800, Rosemead, California 91770, and C. Hayden Ames, San Diego Gas and Electric Company, 111 Sutter Street, San Francisco, California 94104, attorneys for the licensees.

A petition for leave to intervene must be accompanied by a supporting affidavit which identifies the specific aspect or aspects of the proceeding as to which intervention is desired and specifies with particularity the facts on which the petitioner relies as to both his interest and his contentions with regard to each aspect on which intervention is requested. Petitions stating contentions

relating only to matters outside the Commission's jurisdiction will be denied.

All petitions will be acted upon by the Commission or licensing board, designated by the Commission or by the Chairman of the Atomic Safety and Licensing Board Panel. Timely petitions will be considered to determine whether a hearing should be noticed or another appropriate order issued regarding the disposition of the petitions.

In the event that a hearing is held and a person is permitted to intervene, he becomes a party to the proceeding and has a right to participate fully in the conduct of the hearing. For example, he may present evidence and examine and cross-examine witnesses.

For further details with respect to this action, see the application for amendment dated December 3, 1975, which is available for public inspection at the Commission's Public Document Room, 1717 H Street, NW., Washington, D.C., and at the San Clemente Public Library, 233 Granada Street, San Clemente, California 92672. The license amendment and the Safety Evaluation, when issued, may be inspected at the above locations, and a copy may be obtained upon request addressed to the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission, Washington, D.C. 20555, Attention: Director, Division of Reactor Licensing.

Dated at Bethesda, Maryland, this 30th day of December 1975.

For the Nuclear Regulatory Commission.

ROBERT A. PURPLE,
Chief, Operating Reactors Branch No. 1, Division of Reactor Licensing.

[FR Doc.76-228 Filed 1-6-76;8:45 am]

[Docket No. 50-271]

VERMONT YANKEE NUCLEAR POWER CORP.

Notice of Proposed Issuance of Amendment to Facility Operating License

The U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission (the Commission) is considering issuance of an amendment to Facility Operating License No. DPR-28 issued to Vermont Yankee Nuclear Power Corporation (the licensee) for operation of the Vermont Yankee Nuclear Power Station (the facility) located near Vernon, Vermont.

The amendment would revise the Technical Specifications to (1) add requirements that would limit the period of time operation can be continued with immovable control rods that could have control rod mechanism collet housing failures and (2) require increased control rod surveillance when the possibility of a control rod drive mechanism collet housing failure exists.

Prior to issuance of the proposed license amendment, the Commission will have made the findings required by the Atomic Energy Act of 1954, as amended (the Act), and the Commision's rules and regulations.

By February 6, 1976, the licensee may file a request for a hearing and any person whose interest may be affected by this proceeding may file a request for a hearing in the form of a petition for leave to intervene with respect to the issuance of the amendment to the subject facility operating license. Petitions for leave to intervene must be filed under oath or affirmation in accordance with the provisions of Section 2.714 of 10 CFR Part 2 of the Commissions' regulations. A petition for leave to intervene must set forth the interest of the petitioner in the proceeding, how that interest may be affected by the results of the proceeding, and the petitioner's contentions with respect to the proposed licensing action. Such petitions must be filed in accordance with the provisions of this FEDERAL REGISTER notice and Section 2.714, and must be filed with the Secretary of the Commission, U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission, Washington, D.C. 20555, Attention: Docketing and Service Section, by the above date. A copy of the petition and/or request for a hearing should be sent to the Executive Legal Director, U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission, Washington, D.C. 20555, and to Mr. John A. Ritsher, Esquire, Ropes and Gray, 225 Franklin Street, Boston Massachusetts 02110, the attorney for the licensee.

A petition for leave to intervene must be accompanied by a supporting affidavit which identifies the specific aspect or aspects of the proceeding as to which intervention is desired and specifies with particularity the facts on which the petitioner relies as to both his interest and his contentions with regard to each aspect on which intervention is requested. Petitions stating contentions relating only to matters outside the Commission's jurisdiction will be denied.

All petitions will be acted upon by the Commission or licensing board, designated by the Commission or by the Chairman of the Atomic Safety and Licensing Board Panel. Timely petitions will be considered to determine whether a hearing should be noticed or another appropriate order issued regarding the disposition of the petitions.

In the event that a hearing is held and a person is permitted to intervene, he becomes a party to the proceeding and has a right to participate fully in the conduct of the hearing. For example, he may present evidence and examine and cross-examine witnesses.

For further details with respect to this action, see the Commission's letter to Vermont Yankee Nuclear Power Corporation dated September 19, 1975 and the attached proposed Technical Specifications and the Safety Evaluation by the Commission's staff dated September 19, 1975 and Vermont Yankee Nuclear Power Corporation letter dated October 9, 1975, which are available for public inspection at the Commission's Public Document Room, 1717 H Street, N.W., Washington, D.C. and at the Brooks Memorial Library, 244 Main Street, Brattleboro, Vermont. This license amendment and the Safety Evaluation may be inspected at the above locations and a copy may be obtained upon request addressed to the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission, Washington, D.C. 20555, Attention: Division of Reactor Licensing.

Dated at Bethesda, Maryland, this 19th day of December, 1975.

For the Nuclear Regulatory Commission.

ROBERT W. REID,
Chief, Operating Reactors Branch No. 4, Division of Reactor Licensing.

[FR Doc.76-229 Filed 1-6-76;8:45 am]

[Docket No. 50-332-NEPA 50-332-OL]

ALLIED-GENERAL NUCLEAR SERVICES, ET AL. (BARNWELL NUCLEAR FUEL PLANT SEPARATIONS FACILITY)

Notice of Resumption of Hearing

Please take notice that the evidentiary hearing being conducted before this Atomic Safety and Licensing Board concerning the Barnwell Nuclear Fuel Plant Separations Facility, will resume on Tuesday, January 13, 1976, at 11:00 a.m., local time at:

Town House Motor Inn, 1615 Gervais Street, Columbia, South Carolina 29201.

This proceeding on the Barnwell Nuclear Fuel Plant Separations Facility is a consolidation of the hearing pursuant to 10 CFR Part 50. Appendix D, Section B, concerning environmental issues relating to the construction of the facility, and the hearing pursuant to 10 CFR Part 50, concerning the application for a facility operating license.

Members of the public are welcome to attend.

It is so ordered.

Dated at Bethesda, Maryland this 31st day of December 1975.

For the Atomic Safety and Licensing Board.

ROBERT M. LAZO,
Chairman.

[FR Doc.76-358 Filed 1-6-76;8:45 am]

[Docket No. STN 50-470]

COMBUSTION ENGINEERING, INC.

Combustion Engineering Standard Safety Analysis Report (CESSAR System 80 Nuclear Steam Supply System Standard Design); Notice of Issuance of a Safety Evaluation Report and Preliminary Design Approval

Notice is hereby given that the Staff of the Nuclear Regulatory Commission (the NRC Staff) has issued a Safety Evaluation Report (SER) dated December 1975, and a Preliminary Design Approval No. PDA-2 dated December 31, 1975, for the nuclear steam supply system portion of a nuclear power plant as described in the Combustion Engineering Standard Safety Analysis Report (CESSAR) and designated as System 80. CESSAR has been reviewed by the NRC

staff pursuant to Appendix 0 to 10 CFR Part 50.

CESSAR contains preliminary safety-related design information for the System 80 standard design which encompasses the reactor system, reactor coolant system, reactor control system, reactor protective system, engineered safety features actuation system, chemical and volume control system, shutdown cooling system, safety injection system, fuel handling equipment, and related systems and features. The System 80 reference design is designed to operate at a core thermal power level of 4100 megawatts, but in accordance with Regulatory Guide 1.49 "Power Levels of Nuclear Power Plants", the application for the Preliminary Design Approval was based on a core thermal power level of 3800 megawatts.

The SER documents the results of the Staff's review and evaluation of CES SAR, including Amendments 1 through 44 thereto. The SER addresses the comments of the Advisory Committee on Reactor Safeguards (ACRS) as reflected in its report to the Commission dated September 17, 1975. A copy of the ACRS report is included as Appendix C to the SER.

PDA–2 provides NRC Staff approval of the preliminary nuclear steam supply system (System 80) design described in CESSAR, including Amendments 1 through 44. By the issuance of PDA–2, the NRC Staff has determined that the design is acceptable for referencing in utility applications for construction permits. The CESSAR System 80 design as described in CESSAR, subject to the conditions of the PDA–2, shall be utilized by and relied upon by the NRC Staff and the ACRS in their review of facility license applications for construction permits incorporating by reference the CESSAR System 80 standard design unless there exists significant new information which substantially affects the determinations in PDA–2 or other good cause.

Issuance of PDA–2 and the Staff's Safety Evaluation Report does not constitute a commitment to issue a permit or license, or in any way affect the authority of the Commission, Atomic Safety and Licensing Appeal Board, Atomic Safety and Licensing Boards and other presiding officers in any proceeding under Subpart G of 10 CFR Part 2. This action only approves the design of a nuclear steam supply system for use for reference purposes in applications for permits to construct a nuclear power plant. It does not authorize the construction or operation of any nuclear power plant or any other facility. The environmental impacts associated with any facility proposed to be constructed utilizing the approved reference design will be considered in accordance with the Commission's regulations in 10 CFR Part 51.

PDA–2 is effcetive as of its date of issuance and shall expire on December 31, 1978, unless earlier superseded by issuance of an appropriate Final Design Approval (FDA) for the System 80 nuclear steam supply system standard design or extended by the NRC Staff. The expiration of PDA–2 on December 31, 1978, should not affect use of PDA–2 for reference in any construction permit application filed prior to such date.

A copy of (1) the Preliminary Design Apprvoal No. PDA–2 dated December 31, 1975; (2) the report of the Advisory Committee on Reactor Safeguards dated September 17, 1975; (3) the NRC Staff's Safety Evaluation Report, NUREG–75/112 dated December 1975; (4) the Combustion Engineering Standard Safety Analysis Report and Amendments 1 through 44 thereto; and (5) WASH 1341, the Commission's "Programmatic Information for the Licensing of Standardized Nuclear Power Plants", dated August 1974, which also includes the Standardization Policy issued on March 5, 1973, are available for public inspection at the Commission's Public Document Room at 1717 H Street, N.W., Washington, D.C. 20555. A copy of PDA–2 may be obtained upon request. The request should be addressed to the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission, Washington, D.C. 20555, Attention: Director, Division of Project Management. Copies of the Safety Evaluation Report (Document No. NUREG–75/112 may be purchased at current rates from the National Technical Information Service, Springfield, Virginia 22161.

Dated at Bethesda, Maryland, this 31st day of December, 1975.

For the Nuclear Regulatory Commission.

OLAN D. PARR,
Chief, Light Water Reactors, Project Branch 1–3, Division of Reactor Licensing.

[FR Doc.76–359 Filed 1–6–76;8:45 am]

[Docket Nos. STN 50–454, STN 50–455, STN 50–456 and STN 50–457]

COMMONWEALTH EDISON CO., BYRON STATION, UNITS 1 AND 2 AND BRAIDWOOD STATION, UNITS 1 AND 2

Notice of Issuance of Construction Permits

Notice is hereby given that, pursuant to the Initial Decisions of the Atomic Safety and Licensing Board, dated December 6, 1974, January 8, 1975, October 29, 1975, and December 31, 1975, the Nuclear Regulatory Commission (the Commission) has issued Construction Permits Nos. CPPR–130, CPPR–131, CPPR–132, and CPPR–133 to the Commonwealth Edison Company for construction of four pressurized water nuclear reactors. The proposed reactors, known as the Byron Station, Units 1 and 2, and the Braidwood Station, Units 1 and 2, are each designed for a rated power of 3411 megawatts thermal with a net electrical output of 1120 megawatts. The Byron Station is located in Ogle County, Illinois, and the Braidwood Station is located in Will County, Illinois.

The Initial Decisions are subject to review by an Atomic Safety and Licensing Appeal Board prior to their becoming final. Any decision or action taken by an Atomic Safety and Licensing Appeal Board in connection with the Initial Decisions may be reviewed by the Commission.

The Commission has made appropriate findings as required by the Atomic Energy Act of 1954, as amended (the Act), and the Commission's rules and regulations in 10 CFR Chapter I, which are set forth in the construction permits. The application for the construction permits complies with the standards and requirements of the Act and the Commission's rules and regulations.

The construction permits are effective as of their date of issuance. The completion dates for the facilities are as follows:

	Earliest	Latest
Byron 1	May 1, 1980	June 1, 1982
Byron 2	Nov. 1, 1981	Nov. 1, 1983
Braidwood 1	Nov. 1, 1980	Nov. 1, 1982
Braidwood 2	Nov. 1, 1981	Nov. 1, 1983

Each permit shall expire on the latest date for completion of the facility for which it is issued.

A copy of (1) the Initial Decisions, dated December 6, 1974, January 8, 1975, October 29, 1975, and December 31, 1975; (2) Construction Permits Nos. CPPR–130, CPPR–131, CPPR–132, and CPPR–133; (3) the report of the Advisory Committee on Reactor Safeguards, dated May 13, 1975; (4) the Office of Nuclear Reactor Regulation's Safety Evaluation Report dated April 4, 1975, and Supplements 1 and 2 thereto dated August 1, 1975, and October 17, 1975, respectively; (5) the Preliminary Safety Analysis Report and amendments thereto; (6) the applicant's Environmental Reports, both dated September 1973 and supplements thereto; (7) the Draft Environmental Statement for the Byron Station dated February 1974; (8) the Draft Environmental Statement for the Braidwood Station dated April 1974; (9) the Final Environmental Statement for the Byron Station dated July 1974; and (10) the Final Environmental Statement for the Braidwood Station dated July 1974, are available for public inspection at the Commission's Public Document Room at 1717 H Street, N.W., Washington, D.C. In addition, the above documents are available at the Byron Public Library, 3rd and Washington Streets, Byron, Illinois (the Local Public Document Room for the Byron Station) and at the Wilmington Township Public Library, 201 South Kankakee Street, Wilmington, Illinois (the Local Public Document Room for the Braidwood Station). A copy of the construction permits and the Final Environmental Statements may be obtained upon request addressed to the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission, Washington, D.C. 20555, Attention: Director, Division of Reactor Licensing.

Copies of the Safety Evaluation Report and Supplements 1 and 2 thereto (Document No. NUREG–75/023) may be purchased, at current rates, from the

National Technical Information Service, Springfield, Virginia 22161.

Dated at Bethesda, Maryland, this 31st date of December, 1975.

For the Nuclear Regulatory Commission,

D. B. VASSALLO,
Chief, Light Water Reactors, Project Branch 1-1, Division of Reactor Licensing.

[FR Doc.76-360 Filed 1-6-76;8:45 am]

[Docket No. 50-155]

CONSUMERS POWER CO.

Notice of Issuance of Amendment to Facility Operating License

Notice is hereby given that the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission (the Commission) has issued Amendment No. 9 to Facility Operating License No. DPR-6 issued to the Consumers Power Company which revised Technical Specifications for operation of the Big Rock Point Nuclear Power Plant located in Charlevoix County, Michigan. The amendment becomes effective 30 days after the date of issuance.

This amendment revises the reporting requirements of the Technical Specifications for the Big Rock Point Nuclear Power Plant. The amendment is a restatement of the license in its entirety in the current NRC format to (1) delete those record keeping and reporting requirements that have been transferred to the Technical Specifications and (2) delete the section in the license relating to the allocation of special nuclear material since the Commission no longer makes allocations for this material.

The application for the amendment complies with the standards and requirements of the Atomic Energy Act of 1954, as amended (the Act), and the Commission's rules and regulations. The Commission has made appropriate findings as required by the Act and the Commission's rules and regulations in 10 CFR Chapter I, which are set forth in the license amendment as items 1.A, 1.C, 1.D and 1.G. Prior public notice of this amendment is not required since the amendment does not involve a significant hazards consideration.

For further details with respect to this action, see (1) the application for amendment dated January 13, 1975, (2) Amendment No. 9 to License No. DPR-6, with Change No. 46, and (3) the Commission's concurrently issued related Safety Evaluation. All of these items are available for public inspection at the Commission's Public Document Room, 1717 H Street, N.W., Washington, D.C., and at the Charlevoix Public Library, 107 Clinton Street, Charlevoix, Michigan 49720.

A copy of items (2) and (3) may be obtained upon request addressed to the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission, Washington, D.C. 20555, Attention: Director, Division of Reactor Licensing.

Dated at Bethesda, Maryland, this 19th day of December, 1975.

For the Nuclear Regulatory Commission.

RICHARD D. SILVER,
Acting Chief, Operating Reactors Branch #2, Division of Reactor Licensing.

[FR Doc.76-361 Filed 1-6-76;8:45 am]

[Docket No. 50-284]

IDAHO STATE UNIVERSITY

Issuance of Construction Permit

Notice is hereby given that the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission (the Commission) has issued Construction Permit No. CPRR-121 to the Idaho State University (the licensee). The licensee is currently authorized by Commission Facility License No. R-110 to possess and operate an AGN-201 research reactor (the facility) at 100 milliwatts on its campus in Pocatello, Idaho, for teaching and training purposes.

The permit authorizes the licensee to move the reactor from the Physical Science Building to the Lillibridge Engineering Laboratory Building (a distance of approximately 110 yards) and to reconstruct it at the new location. The construction permit is effective as of its date of issuance. The earliest date for completion of the relocation and reconstruction of the facility is its date of issuance, and the latest date for completion of this activity is February 23, 1976.

The Commission has made appropriate findings as required by the Atomic Energy Act of 1954, as amended (the Act), and the Commission's rules and regulations in 10 CFR Chapter I, which are set forth in the construction permit. The application for the construction permit complies with the standards and requirements of the Act and the Commission's rules and regulations. Notice of proposed issuance of the permit was published in the Federal Register on September 5, 1975 (40 F.R. 41198). No request for a hearing or petition for leave to intervene was filed following notice of the proposed action.

A copy of (1) the application dated June 17, 1975, and supplements of July 21 and September 17, 1975 by Idaho State University, (2) Construction Permit No. CPRR-121, (3) the Commission's concurrently issued Safety Evaluation, and (4) the Commission's Negative Declaration dated November 21, 1975 (which is also being published in the FEDERAL REGISTER) and associated Environmental Impact Appraisal are available for public inspection at the Commission's Public Document Room at 1717 H Street, N.W. Washington, D.C. A single copy of items (2) through (4) above may be obtained upon request addressed to the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission, Washington, D.C. 20555, Attention: Director, Division of Reactor Licensing.

Dated at Bethesda, Maryland, this 23rd day of December, 1975.

For the Nuclear Regulatory Commission.

RICHARD D. SILVER,
Acting Chief, Operating Reactors Branch #2, Division of Reactor Licensing.

[FR Doc.76-362 Filed 1-6-76;8:45 am]

[Docket No. 50-284]

IDAHO STATE UNIVERSITY

Negative Declaration Regarding Relocation, Reconstruction and Operation of the Research Reactor

The U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission (the Commission) has considered the issuance of Construction Permit No. CPRR-121 to the Idaho State University (ISU) and subsequently will consider the issuance of an amendment to Facility Operating License No. R-110 issued to ISU. The construction permit authorizes ISU to dismantle, relocate from one building to another (both on its campus approximately 110 yards apart), and to reconstruct the 0.1 watt (thermal) research reactor in the new location. The subsequent consideration of the issuance of an amendment to License No. R-110 would authorize operation of the reactor in the new location at 0.1 watt (thermal).

The Commission's Division of Reactor Licensing has prepared an Environmental Impact Appraisal for the actions associated with this research reactor. On the basis of this appraisal, we have concluded that an environmental impact statement for these particular actions is not warranted because there will be no significant environmental impact attributable to the actions.

The Environmental Impact Appraisal is available for public inspection at the Commission's Public Document Room at 1717 H Street, NW., Washington, D.C.

Dated at Bethesda, Maryland, this 21st day of November 1975.

For the Nuclear Regulatory Commission.

WILLIAM H. REGAN,
Chief, Environmental Projects Branch No. 4, Division of Reactor Licensing.

[FR Doc.76-363 Filed 1-6-76;8:45 am]

[Docket No. 50-285]

OMAHA PUBLIC POWER DISTRICT

Notice of Issuance of Amendment to Facility Operating License

Notice is hereby given that the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission (the Commission) has issued Amendment No. 12 to Facility Operating License No.

DPR–40 issued to Omaha Public Power District which revised Technical Specifications for operation of the Fort Calhoun Station Unit No. 1, located in Washington County, Nebraska. The amendment is effective as of its date of issuance.

The amendment modifies the Technical Specifications to permit the release of radioactive gaseous wastes collected in the gas decay tanks prior to a 30 day holdup period.

The application for the amendment complies with the standards and requirements of the Atomic Energy Act of 1954, as amended (the Act), and the Commission's rules and regulations. The Commission has made appropriate findings as required by the Act and the Commission's rules and regulations in 10 CFR Chapter I, which are set forth in the license amendment. Prior public notice of this amendment is not required since the amendment does not involve a significant hazards consideration.

For further details with respect to this action, see (1) the application for amendment dated December 10, 1975, (2) Amendment No. 12 to License No. DPR–40, (3) the Commission's related Safety Evaluation, and (4) the Commission's Negative Declaration dated December 17, 1975, which is being published concurrently with this notice, and associated Environmental Impact Appraisal. All of these items are available for public inspection at the Commission's Public Document Room, 1717 H Street, N.W., Washington, D.C. and at the Blair Public Library, 1665 Lincoln Street, Blair, Nebraska 68008.

A copy of items (2), (3), and (4) may be obtained upon request addressed to the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission, Washington, D.C. 20555, Attention: Director, Division of Reactor Licensing.

Dated at Bethesda, Maryland, this 24th day of December 1975.

For the Nuclear Regulatory Commission.

DONALD M. ELLIOTT,
Acting Chief, Operating Reactors Branch #3, Division of Reactor Licensing.

[FR Doc.76–364 Filed 1–6–76;8:45 am]

[Docket No. 50–344]

PORTLAND GENERAL ELECTRIC CO., ET AL.

Issuance of Amendment to Facility Operating License

Notice is hereby given that the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission (the Commission) has issued Amendment No. 5 to Facility Operating License No. NPF–1 issued to Portland General Electric Company, The City of Eugene, Oregon, Pacific Power and Light Company which revised Condition 2.A of Facility Operating License, NPF–1 for operation of the Trojan Nuclear Plant, located on the west shore of the Columbia River in Columbia County, Oregon. The amendment is effective as of its date of issuance.

This amendment to the license changes the number of Amendments to the Final Safety Analysis Report cited in the license from Amendments 1 through 25 to Amendments 1 through 26. Amendment 26 to the Final Safety Analysis Report revises the licensees' estimates of post loss-of-coolant accident leakage through valves isolating the containment sump from the refueling water storage tank, which would result in an insignificant incremental increase in calculated post-accident thyroid doses at the site boundary.

The application for the amendment complies with the standards and requirements of the Atomic Energy Act of 1954, as amended (the Act), and the Commission's rules and regulations. The Commission has made appropriate findings as required by the Act and the Commission's rules and regulations in 10 CFR Chapter I, which are set forth in the license amendment. Prior public notice of this amendment is not required since the amendment does not involve a significant hazards consideration.

The Commission has determined that the issuance of this amendment will not result in any significant environmental impact and that pursuant to 10 CFR § 51.5(d)(4) an environmental statement, negative declaration or environmental impact appraisal need not be prepared in connection with issuance of this amendment.

For further details with respect to this action, see (1) the application for amendment dated December 2, 1975 and supplemented on December 11, 1975 (2) Amendment No. 5 to License NPF–1 and (3) the Commission's related Safety Evaluation. All of these items are available for public inspection at the Commission's Public Document Room, 1717 H Street N.W., Washington, D.C. and at the Columbia County Courthouse, Law Library, Circuit Court Room, St. Helens, Oregon 97501.

A copy of items (2) and (3) may be obtained upon request addressed to the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission, Washington, D.C. 20555, Attention: Director, Division of Reactor Licensing.

Dated at Bethesda, Maryland, this 2nd day of January, 1976.

For the Nuclear Regulatory Commission.

WALTER R. BUTLER,
Chief, Light Water Reactors Branch 1–2, Division of Reactor Licensing.

[FR Doc.76–365 Filed 1–6–76;8:45 am]

[Docket Nos. STN 50–546; STN 50–547]

PUBLIC SERVICE CO. OF INDIANA, INC. (MARBLE HILL NUCLEAR GENERATING STATION, UNITS 1 AND 2)

Order Relative to a Prehearing Conference

Take notice, a prehearing conference will be held in the third (3rd) floor Courtroom, Jefferson County Courthouse, Jefferson and Main Streets, Madison, Indiana on January 27 and 28, 1976 commencing at 9:30 am (local time).

The purpose of the prehearing conference is to:

1. Permit identification of the key issues in the proceeding;
2. Take any steps necessary for further identification of the issues;
3. Consider all intervention petitions to allow the presiding officer to make such preliminary or final determinations as to the parties to the proceeding, as may be appropriate; and
4. Establish a schedule for further actions in the proceding.

Since it is not possible to have a successful conference call with the Applicants, the Nuclear Regulatory Commission Regulatory Staff and the numerous petitioners to intervene to discuss a suitable prehearing date, the Board is issuing an early notice on the prehearing conference.

The public is invited to attend. No limited appearance statements will be received at the prehearing conference but they will be heard at the evidentiary hearings to be scheduled at a later date.

It is so ordered.

Issued this 31st day of December, 1975 at Bethesda, Maryland.

For the Atomic Safety and Licensing Board.

ELIZABETH S. BOWERS,
Chairman.

[FR Doc.76–366 Filed 1–6–76;8:45 am]

REGULATORY GUIDE

Issuance and Availability

The Nuclear Regulatory Commission has issued a new guide in its Regulatory Guide Series. This series has been developed to describe and make available to the public methods acceptable to the NRC staff of implementing specific parts of the Commission's regulations and, in some cases, to delineate techniques used by the staff in evaluating specific problems or postulated accidents and to provide guidance to applicants concerning certain of the information needed by the staff in its review of applications for permits and licenses.

Regulatory Guide 1.68.1, "Preoperational and Initial Startup Testing of Feedwater and Condensate Systems for Boiling Water Reactor Power Plants," describes the type and nature of BWR feedwater and condensate system tests that are acceptable to the NRC staff in more detail than Regulatory Guide 1.68, "Preoperational and Initial Startup Test Programs for Water-Cooled Power Reactors."

Comments and suggestions in connection with (1) items for inclusion in guides currently being developed or (2) improvements in all published guides are encouraged at any time. Public comments on Regulatory Guide 1.68.1 will, however, be particularly useful in evaluating the need for an early revision if received by March 5, 1976.

Comments should be sent to the Secretary of the Commission, U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission, Washington, D.C. 20555, Attention: Docketing and Service Section.

Regulatory guides are available for inspection at the Commission's Public Document Room, 1717 H Street NW, Washington, D.C. Requests for single copies of issued guides (which may be reproduced) or for placement on an automatic distribution list for single copies of future guides should be made in writing to the Director, Office of Standards Development, U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission, Washington, D.C. 20555. Telephone requests cannot be accommodated. Regulatory guides are not copyrighted and Commission approval is not required to reproduce them.

(5 U.S.C. 552(a))

Dated at Rockville, Maryland, this 30th day of December, 1975.

For the Nuclear Regulatory Commission.

ROBERT B. MINOGUE,
Director, Office of Standards Development.

[FR Doc.76-370 Filed 1-6-76;8:45 am]

[Docket Nos. 50-259 and 50-260]

TENNESSEE VALLEY AUTHORITY

Notice of Issuance of Amendment to Facility Operating Licenses

Notice is hereby given that the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission (the Commission) has issued Amendment No. 19 to Facility Operating License No. DPR-33 and Amendment No. 16 to Facility Operating License No. DPR-52 issued to Tennessee Valley Authority which revised Technical Specifications for operation of the Browns Ferry Nuclear Plant, Units 1 and 2, located in Limestone County, Alabama. The amendments are effective 30 days after the date of issuance.

These amendments revise the reporting requirements of the Technical Specifications for the Browns Ferry Nuclear Plant, Units 1 and 2.

The applications for the amendments comply with the standards and requirements of the Atomic Energy Act of 1954, as amended (the Act), and the Commission's rules and regulations. The Commission has made appropriate findings as required by the Act and the Commission's rules and regulations in 10 CFR Chapter I, which are set forth in the license amendment. Prior public notice of these amendments is not required since the amendments do not involve a significant hazards consideration.

The Commission has determined that the issuance of these amendments will not result in any significant environmental impact and that pursuant to 10 CFR § 51.5(d)(4) an environmental statement, negative declaration or environmental impact appraisal need not be prepared in connection with issuance of these amendments.

For further details with respect to this action, see (1) the applications for amendment dated November 29, 1974, and September 15, 1975, (2) Amendment No. 19 to License No. DPR-33 and Amendment No. 16 to License No. DPR-52 and, (3) the Commission's related Safety Evaluation. All of these items are available for public inspection at the Commission's Public Document Room, 1717 H Street NW., Washington, D.C., and at the Athens Public Library, South and Forrest, Athens, Alabama 35611.

A copy of items (2) and (3) may be obtained upon request addressed to the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission, Washington, D.C. 20555, Attention: Director, Division of Reactor Licensing.

Dated at Bethesda, Maryland, this 29th day of December 1975.

For the Nuclear Regulatory Commission.

ROBERT A. PURPLE,
Chief, Operating Reactors Branch No. 1, Division of Reactor Licensing.

[FR Doc.76-367 Filed 1-6-76;8:45 am]

[Docket Nos. 50-500 and 50-501]

TOLEDO EDISON COMPANY, ET AL. DAVIS-BESSE NUCLEAR POWER STATION, UNITS 2 AND 3

Notice of Issuance of Limited Work Authorization

A Partial Initial Decision on matters relating to the National Environmental Policy Act and site suitability with respect to the Davis-Besse Nuclear Power Station, Units 2 and 3, the Toledo Edison Company, the Cleveland Electric Illuminating Company, the Duquesne Light Company, the Ohio Edison Company, and the Pennsylvania Power Company (hereinafter jointly known as Applicant) was issued by the Atomic Safety and Licensing Board in the above captioned proceeding on December 31, 1975. Pursuant to the provisions of 10 CFR § 50.10 (e) of the Nuclear Regulatory Commission's (Commission) regulations, the Commission has authorized, subject to certain conditions for the protection of the environment, the Applicant to conduct certain site activities in connection with the Davis-Besse Nuclear Power Station, Units 2 and 3, prior to a decision regarding the issuance of construction permits.

The activities are within the scope of those authorized by 10 CFR § 50.10(e)(1), and include site preparation, installation of construction buildings and support facilities, and dewatering for certain facility structures.

Any activities undertaken pursuant to this authorization are entirely at the risk of the Applicant and the grant of this authorization has no bearing on the issuance of construction permits with respect to the requirements of the Atomic Energy Act of 1954, as amended, and rules, regulations, or orders promulgated pursuant thereto.

A copy of (1) the Partial Initial Decision; (2) the Applicant's Preliminary Saftey Analysis Report and amendments thereto; (3) the Applicant's Environmental Report, and amendments thereto; (4) the Staff's Final Environmental Statement published September 1975; (5) the Staff's Report on Site Suitability dated November 7, 1975; and (6) the Commission's letter of authorization dated December 31, 1975, are available for public inspection at the Commission's Public Document Room at 1717 H Street NW., Washington, D.C. and the Ida Rupp Public Library, 310 Madison Street, Port Clinton, Ohio 43452.

The Final Environmental Statement (Document No. NUREG 75/083) may be purchased from the National Technical Information Service, Springfield, Virginia 22161 (Printed copy $7.25; microfiche $2.25).

Dated at Rockville, Maryland this 31st day of December, 1975.

For the Nuclear Regulatory Commission.

GEORGE W. KNIGHTON,
Chief, Evironmental Projects Branch 1, Division of Site Safety and Environmental Analysis.

[FR Doc.76-368 Filed 1-6-76;8:45 am]

[Docket No. STN 50-480]

WESTINGHOUSE ELECTRIC CORP.

Reference Safety Analysis Report (RESAR-41 Nuclear Steam Supply System Standard Design); Issuance of a Safety Evaluation Report and Preliminary Design Approval

Notice is hereby given that the Staff of the Nuclear Regulatory Commission (the NRC Staff) has issued a Safety Evaluation Report (SER) dated December 1975, and a Preliminary Design Approval No. PDA-3 dated December 31, 1975, for the nuclear steam supply system portion of a nuclear power plant as described in Westinghouse Electric Corporation Reference Safety Analysis Report (RESAR-41). RESAR-41 was reviewed by the NRC Staff pursuant to Appendix 0 to 10 CFR Part 50.

RESAR-41 contains preliminary safety-related design information for the nuclear steam supply system portion of a pressurized water type nuclear power plant which includes the reactor coolant system, emergency core cooling system, emergency boration system, reactor coolant and protection systems, engineered safety features actuation system, chemical and volume control system, boron recycle system, residual heat removal system, fuel handling equipment, and related systems and features. The RESAR-41 reference system is designed to operate at a core thermal power level of 4100 megawatts, but in accordance with Regulatory Guide 1.49, "Power Levels of Nuclear Power Plants", the application for the Preliminary Design Approval was based on a core thermal power level of 3800 megawatts.

The SER documents the results of the Staff's review and evaluation of RESAR-41, including Amendments 1 through 23 thereto. The SER addresses the comments of the Advisory Committee on Reactor Safeguards (ACRS) as reflected in its report to the Commission, dated September 18, 1975. A copy of the ACRS re-

port is included as Appendix F to the SER.

PDA–3 provides NRC Staff approval of the preliminary nuclear steam supply system design described in RESAR–41, including Amendments 1 through 23 and described and evaluated in Sections 1 through 19 of the SER. Those supplementary systems and design features described in RESAR–41 and described and evaluated in Appendix A of the SER are not included in this Preliminary Design Approval. By the issuance of PDA–3, the NRC Staff has determined that the design is acceptable for referencing in utility applications for construction permits. The RESAR–41 nuclear steam supply system design as described in that document, subject to the conditions of the PDA–3, shall be utilized by and relied upon by the NRC Staff and the ACRS in their review of facility license applications for construction permits incorporating by reference the RESAR–41 nuclear steam supply system preliminary standard design, unless there exists significant new information which substantially affects the determinations in PDA–3 or other good cause.

Issuance of PDA–3 and the Staff's Safety Evaluation Report does not constitute a commitment to issue a permit or license, or in any way affect the authority of the Commission, Atomic Safety and Licensing Appeal Board, Atomic Safety and Licensing Boards and other presiding officers in any proceeding under Subpart G of 10 CFR Part 2. This action only approves the design of a nuclear steam supply system for use for reference purposes in applications for permits to construct a nuclear power plant. It does not authorize the construction or operation of any nuclear power plant or any other facility. The environmental impacts associated with any facility proposed to be constructed utilizing the approved reference design will be considered in accordance with the Commission's regulations in 10 CFR Part 51.

PDA–3 is effective as of its date of issuance and shall expire on December 31, 1978, unless earlier superseded by issuance of an appropriate Final Design Approval (FDA) for the RESAR–41 nuclear steam supply system standard design or extended by the NRC Staff. The expiration of PDA–3 on December 31, 1978, should not affect use of PDA–3 for reference in any construction permit application docketed prior to such date.

A copy of (1) the Preliminary Design Approval No. PDA–2 dated December 31, 1975; (2) the report of the Advisory Committee on Reactor Safeguards dated September 18, 1975; (3) the NRC Staff's Safety Evaluation Report, NUREG–75/103, dated December 1975; (4) the Westinghouse Electric Corporation Standard Safety Analysis Report and Amendments 1 through 23 thereto; and (5) WASH 1341, the Commission's "Programmatic Information for the Licensing of Standardized Nuclear Power Plants", dated August 1974, which also includes the Standardization Policy issued on March 5, 1973, are available for public inspection at the Commission's Public Document Room at 1717 H Street NW., Washington, D.C. 20555. A copy of PDA–3 may be obtained upon request. The request should be addressed to the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission, Washington, D.C. 20555, Attention: Director, Division of Reactor Licensing. Copies of the Safety Evaluation Report (Document No. NUREG–75/103) may be purchased at current rates from the National Technical Information Service, Springfield, Virginia 22161.

Dated at Bethesda, Maryland, this 31st day of December 1975.

For the Nuclear Regulatory Commission,

D. B. VASSALLO,
Chief, Light Water Reactors Project Branch 1–1, Division of Reactor Licensing.

[FR Doc.76–369 Filed 1–6–76;8:45 am]

[Docket No. 50–213]

CONNECTICUT YANKEE ATOMIC POWER CO.

Proposed Issuance of Amendment to Facility Operating License

The Nuclear Regulatory Commission (the Commission) is considering issuance of an amendment to Facility Operating License No. DPR–61 issued to Connecticut Yankee Atomic Power Company (the licensee), for operation of the Haddam Neck Plant Located in Middlesex County, Connecticut.

The amendment would change the license to allow more fuel to be stored at the Haddam Neck Plant by modifying the storage racks in the spent fuel pool. The proposed modifications would increase the storage capacity from 336 to 1172 fuel assemblies by replacing the existing storage racks with those of a design capable of accommodating an increased number of assemblies in accordance with the licensee's application for amendment dated December 19, 1975.

Prior to issuance of the proposed license amendment, the Commission will have made the findings required by the Atomic Energy Act of 1954, as amended (the Act), and the Commission's rules and regulations.

By February 6, 1976 the licensee may file a request for a hearing and any person whose interest may be affected by this proceeding may file a request for a hearing in the form of a petition for leave to intervene with respect to the issuance of the amendment to the subject facility operating license. Petitions for leave to intervene must be filed under oath or affirmation in accordance with the provisions of Section 2.714 of 10 CFR Part 2 of the Commission's regulations. A petition for leave to intervene must set forth the interest of the petitioner in the proceeding, how that interest may be affected by the results of the proceeding, and the petitioner's contentions with respect to the proposed licensing action. Such petitions must be filed in accordance with the provisions of this FEDERAL REGISTER notice and Section 2.714, and must be filed with the Secretary of the Commission, U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission, Washington, D.C. 20555, Attention: Docketing and Service Section, by the above date. A copy of the petition and/or request for a hearing should be sent to the Executive Legal Director, U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission, Washington, D.C. 20555, and to Day, Berry & Howard, Counselors at Law, One Constitution Plaza, Hartford, Connecticut 06103, the attorney for the licensee.

A petition for leave to intervene must be accompanied by a supporting affidavit which identifies the specific aspect or aspects of the proceeding as to which intervention is desired and specifies with particularity the facts on which the petitioner relies as to both his interest and his contentions with regard to each aspect on which intervention is requested. Petitions stating contentions relating only to matters outside the Commission's jurisdiction will be denied.

All petitions will be acted upon by the Commission or licensing board, designated by the Commission or by the Chairman of the Atomic Safety and Board Panel. Timely petitions will be considered to determine whether a hearing should be noticed or another appropriate order issued regarding the disposition of the petitions.

In the event that a hearing is held and a person is permitted to intervene, he become a party to the proceeding and has a right to participate fully in the conduct of the hearing. For example, he may present evidence and examine and cross-examine witnesses.

For further details with respect to this action, see the application for amendment dated December 19, 1975, which is available for public inspection at the Commission's Public Document Room, 1717 H Street NW., Washington, D.C., and at the Russell Library, 119 Broad Street, Middletown, Connecticut. The license amendment and the Safety Evaluation, when issued, may be inspected at the above locations and a copy may be obtained upon request addressed to the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission, Washington, D.C. 20555, Attention: Director, Division of Reactor Licensing.

Dated at Bethesda, Maryland, this

For the Nuclear Regulatory Commission.

ROBERT A. PURPLE,
Chief Operating Reactors Branch No. 1, Division of Reactor Licensing.

[FR Doc.76–561 Filed 1–6–76;8:45 am]

ADVISORY COMMITTEE ON REACTOR SAFEGUARDS SUBCOMMITTEE ON THE ARCHITECT-ENGINEER BALANCE OF PLANT

Notice of Meeting

In accordance with the purposes of Sections 29 and 182b. of the Atomic Energy Act (42 U.S.C. 2039, 2232 b.), the ACRS Subcommittee on the Architect-Engineer Balance of plant will hold a meeting on January 22, 1976 in Room 1046, 1717 H Street NW., Washington,

D.C. 20555. The purpose of this meeting is to develop further information for consideration by the ACRS in its review of the application by the Stone and Webster Engineering Corporation for a preliminary design approval of its Standard Safety Analysis Report (SWESSAR).

The agenda for the subject meeting shall be as follows:

Thursday, January 22, 1976, 8:30 a.m. The Subcommittee will meet in closed Executive Session, with any of its consultants who may be present, to explore their preliminary opinions, based upon their independent reviews of safety reports submitted by the Applicant and the NRC Staff regarding matters which should be covered during the following open meeting in order to formulate a Subcommittee report and recommendation to the full Committee.

9:00 a.m. until the conclusion of business. The Subcommittee will meet in open session to hear presentations by and hold discussions with the representatives of the NRC Staff and the Stone and Webster Engineering Corporation pertaining to review of the Standard Safety Analysis Report.

At the conclusion of the open session, the Subcommittee will caucus in a brief, closed session to determine whether the matters identified in the initial closed session have been adequately covered and whether the matters are ready for review by the full Committee. During the session Subcommittee members and consultants will discuss their final opinions and recommendations on these matters. Upon conclusion of this caucus, the Subcommittee will meet again in brief open session to announce its determination.

In addition to these closed deliberative sessions, it may be necessary for the Subcommittee to hold one or more closed sessions for the purpose of exploring with the NRC Staff and Applicant matters involving proprietary information, particularly with regard to specific features of the plant design and plans related to plant security.

I have determined, in accordance with subsection 10(d) of Public Law 92-463, that it is necessary to conduct the above closed sessions to protect the free interchange of internal views in the final stages of the Subcommittee's deliberative process (5 U.S.C. 552(b)(5)) and to protect confidential proprietary or plant security information (5 U.S.C. 552(b)(4)). Separation of factual material from individuals' advice, opinions, and recommendations while closed Executive Sessions are in progress is considered impractical.

Practical considerations may dictate alterations in the above agenda or schedule. The Chairman of the Subcommittee is empowered to conduct the meeting in a manner that, in his judgment, will facilitate the orderly conduct of business, including provisions to carry over an incompleted open session from one day to the next.

With respect to public participation in the open portion of the meeting, the following requirements shall apply:

(a) Persons wishing to submit written statements regarding the agenda items may do so by providing a readily reproducible copy to the Subcommittee at the beginning of the meeting. Comments should be limited to safety related areas within the Committee's purview.

Persons desiring to mail written comments may do so by sending a readily reproducible copy thereof in time for consideration at this meeting. Comments postmarked no later than January 15, 1976 to Mr. J. C. McKinley, ACRS, NRC, Washington, D.C., will normally be received in time to be considered at this meeting.

Background information concerning items to be considered at this meeting can be found in documents on file and available for public inspection at the NRC Public Document Room, 1717 H St. NW., Washington, D.C. 20555.

(b) Those persons wishing to make an oral statements on topics relevant to the make a written request to do so, identifying the topics and desired presentation time so that appropriate arrangements can be made. The Committee will receive oral statements on topics relevant to the Committee's purview at an appropriate time chosen by the Chairman of the Subcommittee.

(c) Further information regarding topics to be discussed, whether the meeting has been cancelled or rescheduled, the Chairman's ruling on requests for the opportunity to present oral statements and the time allotted therefor can be obtained by a prepaid telephone call on January 21, 1976 to the Office of the Executive Director of the Committee (telephone 202/634-1371, Attn: Mr. J. C. McKinley) between 8:15 a.m. and 5:00 p.m. EST.

(d) Questions may be propounded only by members of the Subcommittee and its consultants.

(e) The use of still, motion picture, and television cameras, the physical installation and presence of which will not interfere with the conduct of the meeting, will be permitted both before and after the meeting and during any recess. The use of such equipment will not, however, be allowed while the meeting is in session.

(f) Persons with agreements or orders permitting access to proprietary information, may attend portions of ACRS meetings where this material is being discussed upon confirmation that such agreements are effective and relate to the material being discussed.

The Executive Director of the ACRS should be informed of such an agreement at least three working days prior to the meeting so that the agreement can be confirmed and a determination can be made regarding the applicability of the agreement to the material that will be discussed during the meeting. Minimum information provided should include information regarding the date of the agreement, the scope of material included in the agreement, the project or projects involved, and the names and titles of the persons signing the agreement. Additional information may be requested to identify the specific agreement involved. A copy of the executed agreement should be provided to Mr. J. C. McKinley, of the ACRS Office, prior to the beginning of the meeting.

(g) A copy of the transcript of the open portion of the meeting will be available for inspection on or after January 29, 1976 at the NRC Public Document Room, 1717 H St. NW., Washington, D.C. 20555. Copies of the minutes of the meeting will be made available for inspection at the NRC Public Document Room, 1717 H St. NW., Washington, D.C. 20555, after April 22, 1976. Copies may be obtained upon payment of appropriate charges.

Dated: January 5, 1976.

JOHN C. HOYLE,
Advisory Committee Management Officer.

[FR Doc.76-560 Filed 1-6-76;9:52 am]

OFFICE OF MANAGEMENT AND BUDGET

CLEARANCE OF REPORTS

List of Requests

The following is a list of requests for clearance of reports intended for use in collecting information from the public received by the Office of Management and Budget on December 30, 1975 (44 U.S.C. 3509). The purpose of publishing this list in the FEDERAL REGISTER is to inform the public.

The list includes the title of each request received; the name of the agency sponsoring the proposed collection of information; the agency form number(s), if applicable; the frequency with which the information is proposed to be collected; the name of the reviewer or reviewing division within OMB, and an indication of who will be the respondents to the proposed collection.

Requests for extension which appear to raise no significant issues are to be approved after brief notice through this release.

Further information about the items on this daily list may be obtained from the Clearance Office, Office of Management and Budget, Washington, D.C. 20503 (202-395-4529), or from the reviewer listed.

NEW FORMS

NATIONAL ACADEMY OF SCIENCES

Questionnaire for Subject of Research in VA Hospitals: BRVA-001, single-time, VA patients, Dick Eisinger, 395-6140.

DEPARTMENT OF AGRICULTURE

Forest Service: State and private accomplishment reporting forms, 3100-7, quarterly, State forestry agencies, Lowry, R. L., 395-3772.

Food and Nutrition Service:

Sponsoring Organization Management Plan, (Child Care Food Program), FNS-342, annually, institutions administered by FNS Human Resources Division, Lowry, R. L., 395-3532.

Agreement (Child Care Food Program), FNS344, on occasion, institutions administered by FNS Human Resources Division, Lowry, R. L., 395-3532.

DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH, EDUCATION, AND WELFARE

Office of Education: Survey of Education and Socialization Programs for Emotionally Disturbed Children and Youth, 9054–1 through 4, single-time, Public School Staff, Joan Turek.

DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION

Federal Highway Administration: Supplemental Information-Driver Qualifications, MCS–5A, on occasion, Motor Carriers, Strasser, A., 395–5867.

REVISIONS

DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH, EDUCATION, AND WELFARE

Health Resources Administration: An Investigation into the Nature, Causes, and Implications of the Future Role of Health Administrators, single-time, Health Care Administrators, Reese B. F., 395–3211.

DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION

National Highway Traffic Safety Administration: Importation of Motor Vehicles and Motor Vehicle Equipment Subject to Federal Motor Vehicle Safety Standards, HS–7, on occasion, Importers of Motor Vehicles, Harry B. Sheftel, 395–5870.

EXTENSIONS

DEPARTMENT OF AGRICULTURE

Forest Service: Monthly Report on Actions Related to Letters of Credit, Commodity Distribution Program, FNS–60, monthly, persons or entities relinquishing U.S. permits, Marsha Traynham, 395–4529.

DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH, EDUCATION, AND WELFARE

National Center for Education Statistics: The Survey to Prepare or Retrain Education Personnel to Meet the Needs of Persons of Limited English-Speaking Ability, OE 2383–1, single-time, Institution of Higher Education, Marsha Traynham, 395–4529.

Health Resources Administration: Hospital Discharge Survey, HSM88–1, annually, hospitals, Marsha Traynham, 395–4529.

PHILLIP D. LARSEN,
Budget and Management Officer.

[FR Doc.76–447 Filed 1–6–76;8:45 am]

CLEARANCE OF REPORTS

List of Requests

The following is a list of requests for clearance of reports intended for use in collecting information from the public received by the Office of Management and Budget on December 31, 1975 (44 U.S.C. 3509). The purpose of publishing this list in the FEDERAL REGISTER is to inform the public.

The list includes the title of each request received; the name of the agency sponsoring the proposed collection of information; the agency form number(s), if applicable; the frequency with which the information is proposed to be collected; the name of the reviewer or reviewing division within OMB, and an indication of who will be the respondents to the proposed collection.

Requests for extension which appear to raise no significant issues are to be approved after brief notice through this release.

Further information about the items on this daily list may be obtained from the Clearance Office, Office of Management and Budget, Washington, D.C. 20503 (202–395–4529), or from the reviewer listed.

NEW FORMS

DEPARTMENT OF AGRICULTURE

Food and Nutrition Service: Summer Food Service Program for Children, on occasion, Lowry, R. L., 395–3772.

DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

Bureau of Census: Survey of Income and Education Questionnaire and Control Card, SIE–1 SIE–9, single-time, households, George Hall, Joan Turek, 395–6140.

DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH, EDUCATION, AND WELFARE

National Institutes of Health: Evaluation Survey for Carcinogenesis Abstracts, NIH–CA–36, single-time, recipients, Lowry, R. L., 395–3772.

DEPARTMENT OF THE INTERIOR

Bureau of Mines: Monthly Fuel Consumption report Manufacturing Plants, 6–1400–M–1, monthly, manufacturing consumers, Lowry, R. L., 395–3772.

DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION

St. Lawrence Seaway Development Corp.: Negotiable Shipping Document Questionnaire, single-time, traffic departments, Strasser, A., 395–5867.

REVISIONS

GENERAL SERVICES ADMINISTRATION

Report of Concessions Operations (Non-Cafeteria), R9–1232, monthly, Lowry, R. L., 395–3772.

VETERANS ADMINISTRATION

Letter to Veteran Requesting Information About Intentions To Acquire Specially Adapted Housing, FL26–583, annually, veterans, Caywood, D. P., 395–3443.

DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH, EDUCATION, AND WELFARE

Office of Human Development: Community Planning and Feedback Instruments—Youth Development, OS–49–74, single-time, youth receiving rehabilitation services, George Hall, 395–6140.

EXTENSIONS

DEPARTMENT OF HOUSING AND URBAN DEVELOPMENT

Housing Management: Mortgage Applicant Profile, HUD 9905, on occasion, mortgagees, Community and Veterans Affairs Division, 395–3532.

PHILLIP D. LARSEN,
Budget and Management Officer.

[FR Doc.76–448 Filed 1–6–76;8:45 am]

SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION

[Release No. 34–11963; File No. SR–BSE–75–5]

BOSTON STOCK EXCHANGE

Self-Regulatory Organization

Pursuant to section 19(b)(1) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, 15 U.S.C. 78s(b)(1), as amended by Pub. L. No. 94–29, 16 (June 4, 1975), notice is hereby given that on December 18, 1975, the above-mentioned self-regulatory organization filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission a proposed constitutional change as follows:

Statement of the Terms of Substance of the Proposed Constitutional Change

Article XIII, Section 1 of the Constitution (amended words underlined):

"The fee of members admitted by transfer shall be *two hundred fifty dollars;* and in all cases when the transfer fee shall not be paid within five days after the election of a member and his notification by the Secretary, such election shall be void. The transfer fee herein provided may, however, be waived in a particular case by the Board of Governors if (a) the new member is a full partner in the member-firm or holder of voting stock in the member-corporation in which the person transferring his membership is a full partner or holder of voting stock and (b) the new member is proposed to be elected as an officer of the Exchange."

STATEMENT OF BASIS AND PURPOSES

PURPOSE OF THE PROPOSED CONSTITUTIONAL CHANGE

The purpose of the constitutional change was to reduce the transfer fee to a more realistic amount as it relates to the current cost of memberships.

BASIS OF THE PROPOSED CONSTITUTIONAL CHANGE

a(i) Not applicable.
(ii) Not applicable.
(iii) Not applicable.
(iv) Not applicable.
(v) Not applicable.
(vi) Not applicable.
(vii) Not applicable.

COMMENTS RECEIVED FROM MEMBERS, PARTICIPANTS OR OTHERS ON PROPOSED CONSTITUTIONAL CHANGE

The change was submitted to a vote of the membership and no comments or objections were received.

BURDEN ON COMPETITION

No burden on competition is perceived by adoption of the proposed amendment.

The foregoing Constitutional change has become effective, pursuant to Section 19(b)(3) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934. At any time within 60 days of the filing of such proposed Constitutional change, the Commission may summarily abrogate such Constitutional change if it appears to the Commission that such action is necessary or appropriate in the public interest, for the protection of investors, or otherwise in furtherance of the purpose of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934.

Interested persons are invited to submit written data, views and arguments concerning the foregoing. Persons desiring to make written submissions should file 6 copies thereof with the Secretary of the Commission, Washington, DC 20549. Copies of the filing with respect to the foregoing and of all written submissions will be available for inspection and copying in the Public Reference Room, 1100 L Street, N.W., Washington, D.C. Copies of such filing will also be available for inspection and copying at the principal office of the above-men-

tioned self-regulatory organization. All submissions should refer to the file number referenced in the caption above and should be submitted on or before February 6, 1976. For the Commission by the Division of Market Regulation, pursuant to delegated authority.

Dated: December 30, 1975.

[SEAL] GEORGE A. FITZSIMMONS,
Secretary.

[FR Doc.76-299 Filed 1-6-76;8:45 am]

MIDWEST STOCK EXCHANGE, INC.

[Rel. No. 11965]

Order Approving Proposed Rule Change

DECEMBER 31, 1975.

On November 17, 1975, the Midwest Stock Exchange, Inc., 120 South LaSalle Street, Chicago, Illinois 60603, filed with the Commission, pursuant to section 19(b) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 (the "Act"), as amended by the Securities Acts Amendments of 1975, and Rule 19b-4 thereunder, copies of a proposed rule change. The proposed rule change removes certain restrictions and requirements relating to the sale of insurance by member firms, particularly with respect to administration charges and qualifications of insurance salespersons.

Notice of the proposed rule change together with the terms of substance of the proposed rule change was given by publication of a Commission Release (Securities Exchange Act Release No. 11858, November 19, 1975) and by publication in the FEDERAL REGISTER (54886 Fed. Reg. November 26, 1975).

The Commission finds that the proposed rule change is consistent with the requirements of the Act and the rules and regulations thereunder applicable to national securities exchanges, and in particular, the requirements of Section 6 and the rules and regulations thereunder.

It is therefore ordered, pursuant to section 19(b)(2) of the Act, that the proposed rule change filed with the Commission on November 17, 1975, be, and it hereby is, approved.

For the Commission by the Division of Market Regulation, pursuant to delegated authority.

GEORGE A. FITZSIMMONS,
Secretary.

[FR Doc.76-296 Filed 1-6-76;8:45 am]

[Rel. No. 11964]

NATIONAL ASSOCIATION OF SECURITIES DEALERS, INC.

Order Approving Amendment to the Uniform Practice Code of the National Association of Securities Dealers, Inc.

DECEMBER 30, 1975.

In November, 1975, the National Association of Securities Dealers, Inc. (the "NASD"), 1735 K Street, N.W., Washington, D.C. 20006 submitted, pursuant to Rule 19b-4 under the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 (the "Act"), a proposed change in the Uniform Practice Code of the NASD. The amendment would delete the rquiremeent that signatures on assignments and powers of substitution be witnessed.

Notice of the filing was published in the *SEC Docket* (Release No. 11831/November 14, 1975); the complete submission and a request for public comments were published in the FEDERAL REGISTER on November 21, 1975 (40 FR 54314). The period for public comment expired on December 21, 1975, and no comments were received.

The Commission finds that the proposed change in the Uniform Practice Code of the NASD described above is consistent with the requirements of the Act and the rules and regulations thereunder applicable to the NASD.

It is therefore ordered, pursuant to section 19(b)(2) of the Act, that the rule change referenced above be, and the same hereby is, approved.

For the Commission, by the Division of Market Regulation, pursuant to delegated authority.

[SEAL] GEORGE A. FITZSIMMONS,
Secretary.

[FR Doc.76-297 Filed 1-6-76;8:45 am]

[File No. 500-1]

TRANSJERSEY BANCORP

Notice Amending Notice of Suspension of Trading

DECEMBER 29, 1975.

The Commission having determined to amend its notice of December 23, 1975 summarily suspending trading in the securities of Transjersey Bancorp for the period from December 24, 1975 through January 2, 1976;

Therefore, pursuant to section 12(k) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, that trading in the common stock, and all other securities of Transjersey Bancorp being traded otherwise than on a national securities exchange is suspended, for the period from December 24, 1975 through midnight (e.s.t.) on December 30, 1975.

By the Commission.

[SEAL] GEORGE A. FITZSIMMONS,
Secretary.

[FR Doc.76-298 Filed 1-6-76;8:45 am]

DEPARTMENT OF LABOR

Employment and Training Administration

FEDERAL SUPPLEMENTAL BENEFITS (EMERGENCY UNEMPLOYMENT COMPENSATION)

Ending of Benefit Periods in 21 States

This notice announces the ending of Federal Supplemental Benefit Periods in the States of Colorado, Delaware, Idaho, Indiana, Iowa, Kansas, Louisiana, Minnesota, Mississippi, Nebraska, North Carolina, North Dakota, Ohio, Oklahoma, South Dakota, Texas, Utah, Virginia, Wisconsin, Wyoming, and the District of Columbia.

The Emergency Unemployment Compensation Act of 1974 (Pub. L. 93-572, enacted December 31, 1974) created a temporary program of supplemental unemployment benefits (referred to as Federal Supplemental Benefits) for unemployed individuals who have exhausted their rights to regular and extended benefits under State and Federal unemployment compensation laws. Federal Supplemental Benefits are payable during a Federal Supplemental Benefit Period in a State, and a benefit period is triggered on when unemployment in the State or nationally reaches the high levels set in the Act.

During January and February, 1975, benefit periods for Federal Supplemental Benefits triggered on in all the States. The Act also provides that a Federal Supplemental Benefit Period in a State will trigger off when the rate of insured unemployment in the State averages less than 5.0 per centum over a period of thirteen consecutive calendar weeks. The benefit period terminates at the end of the third week after the week in which there is an "off" indicator.

I have determined in accordance with the Act and 20 CFR Part 618 (40 FR 57765, December 11, 1975), and in accordance with Secretary of Labor's Order 4-75, dated April 16, 1975 (40 FR 18515), that there were "off" indicators in the States named above for the week ending on December 13, 1975, and that Federal Supplemental Benefit Periods in each of those States therefore terminated with the week ending on January 3, 1976.

Any individual to whom Federal Supplemental Benefits or Federal-State Extended Benefits were payable (whether or not any payment actually was made), for any portion of the last week of a Federal Supplemental Benefit Period in a State, will have an additional eligibility period beginning immediately following the end of the Federal Supplemental Benefit Period. During an additional eligibility period the individual may have continued entitlement to Federal Supplemental Benefits. An additional eligibility period will have a duration of 13 weeks, unless it is terminated sooner by reason of the beginning of a new Federal Supplemental Benefit Period in the State.

Individuals currently filing claims for Federal Supplemental Benefits will receive written notices from the State employment security agency of the end of the Federal Supplemental Benefit Period in their State and its effect on their entitlement to Federal Supplemental Benefits. Notices to individuals who will have an additional eligibility period following the Federal Supplemental Benefit Period will include notice of their potential entitlement to Federal Supplemental Benefits during the additional eligibility period.

Although the Federal Supplemental Benefit Periods terminated in the States named in this notice, in each of those States an Extended Benefit Period will continue in effect due to the National "on" indicator for the Federal-State Extended Benefit Program which was

announced in a notice published at 39 FR 4722, on February 21, 1975. Therefore, Federal-State Extended Benefits will continue to be payable to eligible individuals in those States.

Signed at Washington, D.C., this 5th day of January, 1976.

BEN BURDETSKY,
Acting Assistant Secretary, Employment and Training.

[FR Doc.76-553 Filed 1-6-76;8:45 am]

Office of the Secretary

[TA-W-517-518]

CHRYSLER CORP.

Investigation Regarding Certification of Eligibility To Apply for Worker Adjustment Assistance

On December 18, 1975, the Department of Labor received a petition filed under Section 221(a) of the Trade Act of 1974 ("the Act") by the International Union, United Automobile, Aerospace and Agricultural Implement Workers of America (UAW) on behalf of the workers and former workers of Chrysler Corporation plants in Belvidere, Illinois (TA-W-517); and Jefferson Avenue, Detroit, Michigan (TA-W-518). Accordingly, the Acting Director, Office of Trade Adjustment Assistance, Bureau of International Labor Affairs, has instituted an investigation as provided in Section 221(a) of the Act and 29 CFR 90.12.

The purpose of the investigation is to determine whether absolute or relative increases of imports of articles like or directly competitive with full size automobiles produced by Chrysler Corporation, or an appropriate subdivision thereof have contributed importantly to an absolute decline in sales or production, or both, of such firm or subdivision and to the actual or threatened total or partial separation of a significant number or proportion of the workers of such firm or subdivision. The investigation will further relate, as appropriate, to the determination of the date on which total or partial separations began or threatened to begin and the subdivision of the firm involved. A group meeting the eligibility requirements of Section 222 of the Act will be certified as eligible to apply for adjustment assistance under Title II, Chapter 2, of the Act in accordance with the provisions of Subpart B of 29 CFR Part 90.

Pursuant to 29 CFR 90.13, the petitioner or any other person showing a substantial interest in the subject matter of the investigation may request a public hearing, provided such request is filed in writing with the Acting Director, Office of Trade Adjustment Assistance, at the address shown below, not later than January 19, 1976.

The petition filed in this case is available for inspection at the Office of the Acting Director, Office of Trade Adjustment Assistance, Bureau of International Labor Affairs, U.S. Department of Labor, 3rd St. and Constitution Ave. NW., Washington, D.C. 20210.

Signed at Washington, D.C., this 18th day of December, 1975.

MARVIN M. FOOKS,
Acting Director, Office of Trade Adjustment Assistance.

[FR Doc.75-347 Filed 1-6-75;8:45 am]

[TA-W-483-516]

FORD MOTOR CO.

Investigation Regarding Certification of Eligibility To Apply for Worker Adjustment Assistance

On December 18, 1975, the Department of Labor received a petition filed under Section 221(a) of the Trade of 1974 ("the Act") by the International Union, United Automobile, Aerospace and Agricultural Implement Workers of America (UAW) on behalf of the workers and former workers of Ford Motor Company plants in Los Angeles, California (TA-W-483); Louisville, Kentucky (TA-W484); Wixom, Michigan (TA-W-485); St. Louis, Missouri (TA-W-486); Dearborn, Michigan (TA-W-487); San Jose, California (TA-W-488); Metuchen, New Jersey (TA-W-489); Lima, Ohio (TA-W-490); Cleveland, Ohio (TA-W-491); Sharonville, Ohio (TA-W-492); Fairfax, Ohio (TA-W-493); Canton, Ohio (TA-W-494); Dearborn, Michigan (TA-W-495); Green Island, New York (TA-W-496); Monroe, Michigan (TA-W-497); Warren, Michigan (TA-W-498); Warren, Michigan (TA-W-499); Warren, Michigan (TA-W-500); Sandusky, Ohio (TA-W-501); Saline, Michigan (TA-W-502); Ypsilanti, Michigan (TA-W-503); Plymouth, Michigan (TA-W-504); Ypsilanti, Michigan (TA-W-505); Muscle Shoals City, Alabama (TA-W-506); Woodhaven, Michigan (TA-W-507); Walton Hills, Ohio (TA-W-508); Chicago Heights, Illinois (TA-W-509); Utica, Michigan (TA-W-510); Mount Clemens, Michigan (TA-W-511); Dearborn, Michigan (TA-W-512); Nashville, Tennessee (TA-W-513); Northville, Michigan (TA-W-514); Buffalo, New York (TA-W-515); Maumee, Ohio (TA-W-516). Accordingly, the Acting Director, Office of Trade Adjustment Assistance, Bureau of International Labor Affairs, has instituted an investigation as provided in Section 231(a) of the Act and 29 CFR 90.12.

The purpose of the investigation is to determine whether absolute or relative increases of imports of articles like or directly competitive with full size and subcompact automobiles produced by Ford Motor Company or an appropriate subdivision thereof have contributed importantly to an absolute decline in sales or production, or both, of such firm or subdivision and to the actual or threatened total or partial separation of a significant number or proportion of the workers of such firm or subdivision. The investigation will further relate, as appriate, to the determination of the date on which total or partial separation began or threatened to begin and the subdivision of the firm involved. A group meeting the eligibility requirements of Section 222 of the Act will be certified as eligible to apply for adjustment assistance under Title II, Chapter 2, of the Act in accordance with the provisions of Subpart B of 29 CFR Part 90.

Pursuant to 29 CFR 90.13, the petitioner or any other person showing a substantial interest in the subject matter of the investigation may request a public hearing, provided such request is filed in writing with the Acting Director, Office of Trade Adjustment Assistance, at the address shown below, not later than January 19, 1976.

The petition filed in this case is available for inspection at the Office of the Acting Director, Office of Trade Adjustment Assistance, Bureau of International Labor Affairs, U.S. Department of Labor, 3rd St. and Constitution Ave., N.W., Washington, D.C.

Signed at Washington, D.C. this 18th day of December 1975.

MARVIN M. FOOKS,
Acting Director, Office of Trade Adjustment Assistance.

[FR Doc.76-348 Filed 1-6-76;8:45 am]

[TA-W-409-432]

GENERAL MOTORS CORP.

Investigation Regarding Certification of Eligibility To Apply for Worker Adjustment Assistance

On December 18, 1975, the Department of Labor received a petition filed under Section 221(a) of the Trade Act of 1974 ("the Act") by the International Union, United Automobile, Aerospace and Agricultural Implement Workers of America (UAW) on behalf of the workers and former workers of General Motors Corporation plants in Lordstown, Ohio (TA-W-409); Southgate, California (TA-W-410); Lordstown, Ohio (TA-W-411); Tonawanda, New York (TA-W-412); Massena, New York (TA-W-413); Muncie, Indiana (TA-W-414); Parma, Ohio (TA-W-415); Buffalo, New York (TA-W-416); Detroit, Michigan (TA-W-417); Warren, Michigan (TA-W-418); Saginaw, Michigan (TA-W-419), Dayton, Ohio (TA-W-420); Elyria, Ohio (TA-W-421); Bedford, Indiana (TA-W-422); Tecumseh, Michigan (TA-W-423); Colt Road, Cleveland, Ohio (TA-W-424); Euclid, Ohio (TA-W-425); Muncie, Indiana (TA-W-426); Olathe, Kansas (TA-W-427); Buffalo, New York (TA-W-428); Lockport, New York (TA-W-429); Rochester, New York (TA-W-430); Anderson, Indiana (TA-W-431); Bristol, Connecticut (TA-W-432); Clark, New Jersey (TA-W-433); Sandusky, Ohio (TA-W-434); Willow Run, Michigan (TA-W-435); Columbus, Ohio (TA-W-436); Pittsburgh, Pennsylvania (TA-W-

437); Mansfield, Ohio (TA-W-438); Anderson, Indiana (TA-W-439); Kokomo, Indiana (TA-W-440); Flint, Michigan (TA-W-441); Milwaukee, Wisconsin (TA-W-442); Defiance, Ohio (TA-W-443); Danville, Illinois (TA-W-444); Saginaw, Michigan (TA-W-445); Saginaw, Michigan (TA-W-446); Detroit, Michigan (TA-W-447); Flint, Michigan (TA-W-448); Grand Blanc, Michigan (TA-W-449); Grand Rapids, Michigan (TA-W-450); Hamilton, Ohio (TA-W-451); Kalamozoo, Michigan (TA-W-452); Marion, Indiana (TA-W-453); Willow Springs, Illinois (TA-W-454); Flint, Michigan (TA-W-455); Toledo, Ohio (TA-W-456); Grand Rapids, Michigan (TA-W-457); Livonia, Michigan (TA-W-458); Coldwater Rd., Flint, Michigan (TA-W-459); Fort Street, Detroit, Michigan (TA-W-460); Syracuse, New York (TA-W-461); Trenton, New Jersey (TA-W-462); Detroit, Michigan (TA-W-463); Flint, Michigan (TA-W-464); Saginaw, Michigan (TA-W-465); Bay City, Michigan (TA-W-466); Saginaw, Michigan (TA-W-467); Livonia, Michigan ((TA-W-468); Pontiac, Michigan (TA-W-469); Lansing, Michigan (TA-W-470); Flint, Michigan (TA-W-471); St. Louis, Missouri (TA-W-472); Fairfax, Kansas (TA-W-473); Janesville, Wisconsin (TA-W-474); Wilmington, Delaware (TA-W-475); Flint, Michigan (TA-W-476); Lansing, Michigan (TA-W-477); Pontiac, Michigan (TA-W-478); Lansing, Michigan (TA-W-479); Willow Run, Michigan (TA-W-480); Mansfield, Ohio (TA-W-481); Grand Blanc, Michigan (TA-W-482).

Accordingly, the Acting Director, Office of Trade Adjustment Assistance, Bureau of International Labor Affairs, has instituted an investigation as provided in Section 221(a) of the Act and 29 CFR 90.12.

The purpose of the investigation is to determine whether absolute or relative increases of imports of articles like or directly competitive with full size and subcompact automobiles produced by General Motors Corporation or an appropriate subdivision thereof have contributed importantly to an absolute decline in sales or production, or both, of such firm or subdivision and to the actual or threatened total or partial separation of a significant number or proportion of the workers of such firm or subdivision. The investigation will further relate, as appropriate, to the determination of the date on which total or partial separations began or threatened to begin and the subdivision of the firm involved. A group meeting the eligibility requirements of Section 222 of the Act will be certified as eligible to apply for adjustment assistance under Title II, Chapter 2, of the Act in accordance with the provisions of Subpart B of 29 CFR Part 90.

Pursuant to 29 CFR 90.13, the petitioner or any other person showing a substantial interest in the subject matter of the investigation may request a public hearing, provided such request is filed in writing with the Acting Director, Office of Trade Adjustment Assistance, at the address shown below, not later than January 19, 1976.

The petition filed in this case is available for inspection at the Office of the Acting Director, Office of Trade Adjustment Assistance, Bureau of International Labor Affairs, U.S. Department of Labor, 3rd St. and Constitution Ave., N.W., Washington, D.C. 20210.

Signed at Washington, D.C. this 18th day of December 1975.

MARVIN M. FOOKS,
Acting Director, Office of Trade Adjustment Assistance.

[FR Doc.76-349 Filed 1-6-76;8:45 am]

[TA-W-404-408]

INTERNATIONAL HARVESTER CO.

Investigation Regarding Certification of Eligibility To Apply for Worker Adjustment Assistance

On December 17, 1975, the Department of Labor received a petition filed under Section 221(a) of the Trade Act of 1974 ("the Act") by the United Automobile, Aerospace & Agricultural Implement Workers of America on behalf of the workers and former workers of International Harvester Company plant's Indianapolis, Indiana (TA-W-404); Ft. Wayne, Indiana (TA-W-405); Shadyside, Ohio (TA-W-406); Springfield, Ohio (TA-W-407); and San Leandro, California (TA-W-408). Accordingly, the Acting Director, Office of Trade Adjustment Assistance, Bureau of International Labor Affairs, has instituted an investigation as provided in Section 221(a) of the Act and 29 CFR 90.12.

The purpose of the investigation is to determine whether absolute or relative increases of imports of articles like or directly competitive with pickup trucks produced by International Harvester Company or an appropriate subdivision thereof have contributed importantly to an absolute decline in sales or production, or both, of such firm or subdivision and to the actual or threatened total or partial separation of a significant number or proportion of the workers of such firm or subdivision. The investigation will further relate, as appropriate, to the determination of the date on which total or partial separations began or threatened to begin and the subdivision of the firm involved. A group meeting the eligibility requirements of Section 222 of the Act will be certified as eligible to apply for adjustment assistance under Title II, Chapter 2, of the Act in accordance with the provisions of Subpart B of 29 CFR Part 90.

Pursuant to 29 CFR 90.13, the petitioner or any other person showing a substantial interest in the subject matter of the investigation may request a public hearing, provided such request is filed in writing with the Acting Director, Office of Trade Adjustment Assistance, at the address shown below, not later than January 19, 1976.

The petition filed in this case is available for inspection at the Office of the Acting Director, Office of Trade Adjustment Assistance, Bureau of International Labor Affairs, U.S. Department of Labor, 3rd St. and Constitution Ave. NW., Washington, D.C. 20210.

Signed at Washington, D.C. this 17th day of December 1975.

MARVIN M. FOOKS,
Acting Director, Office of Trade Adjustment Assistance.

[FR Doc.76-350 Filed 1-6-75;8:45 am]

NATIONAL SCIENCE FOUNDATION

TASK GROUP NO. 11, ADVISORY COMMITTEE FOR RESEARCH

Notice of Meeting

In accordance with the Federal Advisory Committee Act, P.L. 92-463, a meeting will be held by the National Science Foundation as indicated below.

Name: Task Group No. 11, Advisory Committee for Research.

Date: January 19 and 20, 1976.

Time: 9 a.m. each day.

Place: Rm. 321, National Science Foundation, 1800 G Street, NW., Washington, D. C.

Type of meeting: Closed.

Contact person: Mr. Leonard F. Garnder, Executive Secretary, Advisory Committee for Research, Rm. 320, National Science Foundation, Washington, D.C. 20550, telephone 202/632-4278.

Purpose of task groups: To provide advice and recommendations on specific topics of interest to the full committee concerning research activities and potential in the United States and problems in the administration of research support.

Agenda: Formation of an NSF Advisory Council and possible agenda items for next Advisory Committee for Research meeting.

Reason for closing: The task group will be directly advising senior NSF officials on the formation of an NSF Advisory Council, discussing development of internal documents concerning the future structure of the Council, and discussing agenda items for the last meeting of the Advisory Committee for Research which will be abolished when the agency-level Advisory Council is formed.

Authority to close meeting: The Director of the National Science Foundation determined on December 19, 1975, that the topics to be discussed are within exemption 5 of Section 552(b), 5 U.S.C. and that the entire meeting should be closed to the public.

GAIL A. MCHENRY,
Acting Committee Management Officer.

JANUARY 6, 1976.

[FR Doc.76-585 Filed 1-6-76;10:39 am]

INTERSTATE COMMERCE COMMISSION

[Notice No. 1]

MOTOR CARRIER TEMPORARY AUTHORITY APPLICATIONS

JANUARY 2, 1976.

The following are notices of filing of applications for temporary authority under Section 210a(a) of the Interstate Commerce Act provided for under the provisions of 49 C.F.R. § 1131.3. These rules provide that an original and six (6) copies of protests to an application may be filed with the field official named in the FEDERAL REGISTER publication no later that the 15th calendar day after the date the notice of the filing of the application is published in the FEDERAL REGISTER. One copy of the protest must be served on the applicant, or its authorized representative, if any, and the protestant must certify that such service has been made. The protest must identify the operating authority upon which it is predicated, specifying the "MC" docket and "Sub" number and quoting the particular portion of authority upon which it relies. Also, the protestant shall specify the service it can and will provide and the amount and type of equipment it will make available for use in connection with the service contemplated by the TA application. The weight accorded a protest shall be governed by the completeness and pertinence of the protestant's information.

Except as otherwise specifically noted, each applicant states that there will be no significant effect on the quality of the human environment resulting from approval of its application.

A copy of the application is on file, and can be examined at the Office of the Secretary, Interstate Commerce Commission, Washington, D.C., and also in the I.C.C. Field Office to which protests are to be transmitted.

MOTOR CARRIERS OF PROPERTY

No. MC 730 (Sub-No. 386TA), filed December 16, 1975. Applicant: PACIFIC INTERMOUNTAIN EXPRESS CO., 1417 Clay St., P.O. Box 958, Oakland, Calif. 94612. Applicant's representative: R. N. Cooledge (same address as applicant). Authority sought to operate as a *common carrier*, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: *Monochlorobenzene*, in bulk, in tank vehicles, from Henderson, Nev., to West Helena, Ark., for 180 days. Applicant has also filed an underlying ETA seeking up to 90 days of operating authority. Supporting shipper: Stauffer Chemical Company, 636 California St., San Francisco, Calif. 94111. Send protests to: A. J. Rodriguez, District Supervisor, Interstate Commerce Commission, 450 Golden Gate Ave., Box 36004, San Francisco, Calif. 94102.

No. MC 19778 (Sub-No. 90TA), filed December 19, 1975. Applicant: MILWAUKEE MOTOR TRANSPORTATION COMPANY, Suite 508, 516 W. Jackson Blvd., Chicago, Ill. 60606. Applicant's representative: W. E. Gallagher (same address as applicant). Authority sought to operate as a *common carrier*, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: *Lumber and plywood*, between Morton and Tacoma, Wash., and between Snoqualmie Falls, and Tacoma, Wash., restricted to shipments having a prior or subsequent movement by rail, for 180 days. Applicant has also filed an underlying ETA seeking up to 90 days of operating authority. Supporting shipper: Chicago, Milwaukee, St. Paul and Pacific Railroad, F. G. McGinn, Vice President, Operations, 516 W. Jackson Blvd., Chicago, Ill. 60606. Send protests to: Patricia A. Roscoe, Transportation Assistant, Interstate Commerce Commission, Everett McKinley Dirksen Bldg., 219 S. Dearborn St., Room 1086, Chicago, Ill. 60604.

No. MC 47010 (Sub-No. 9TA), filed December 16, 1975. Applicant: BARRY TRANSPORT, INC., 5315 N.W. St. Helens Road, Portland, Oreg. 97210. Applicant's representative: Nick I. Goyak, One Southwest Columbia, Portland, Oreg. 97258. Authority sought to operate as a *contract carrier*, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: *Canned goods*, from Toppenish, Wash., to the Port of Portland Docks at Portland, Oreg., under a continuing contract with Del Monte Corporation, for 180 days. Applicant has also filed an underlying ETA seeking up to 90 days of operating authority. Supporting shipper: Del Monte Corporation, 2001 Del Monte Way, Vancouver, Wash. 98660. Send protests to: W. J. Huetig, District Supervisor, Interstate Commerce Commission, Bureau of Operations, 114 Pioneer Courthouse, Portland, Oreg. 97204.

No. TA MC 47583 (Sub-No. 26TA), filed December 16, 1975. Applicant: TOLLIE FREIGHTWAYS, INC., 41 Lyons Ave., Kansas City, Kans. 66118. Applicant's representative: D. S. Hults, P.O. Box 225, Lawrence, Kans. 66044. Authority sought to operate as a *common carrier*, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: (1) *Paper and paper products*, from the plantsite and storage facilities of Packaging Corporation of America at Hutchinson, Kans., to all points in the states of Texas, New Mexico, and Colorado on and west of Highway 85; and (2) *Paper and paper products* for recycling, from all points in the states of Texas, New Mexico and Colorado, on and west of Highway 85 to the plantsite and storage facilities of Packaging Corporation of America in Hutchinson, Kans., for 180 days. Supporting shipper: Packaging Corporation of America, P.O. Box 1267, Hutchinson, Kans. Send protests to: Vernon V. Coble, District Supervisor, Interstate Commerce Commission, 600 Federal Bldg., 911 Walnut St., Kansas City, Mo. 64106.

No. MC 52579 (Sub-No. 147TA), filed December 17, 1975. Applicant: GILBERT CARRIER CORP., One Gilbert Drive, Secaucus, N.J. 07094. Applicant's representative: F. L. Cardascia (same address as applicant). Authority sought to operate as a *common carrier*, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: *Wearing apparel*, on hangers, from Miami, Fla., to Hartsville, Tenn., for 180 days. Applicant has also filed an underlying ETA seeking up to 90 days of operating authority. Supporting shipper: Marlene Industries Corporation, 1372 Broadway, New York, N.Y. 10018. Send protests to: Robert E. Johnston, District Supervisor, Interstate Commerce Commission, 9 Clinton St., Newark, N.J. 07102.

No. MC 59806 (Sub-No. 6TA), filed December 15, 1975. Applicant: GROSS & HECHT TRUCKING, INC., Box 514, 35 Brunswick Ave., Edison, N.J. 08817. Applicant's representative: A. David Millner d/o Bowes, Millner, Rodgers and Liberstein, 744 Broad St., Newark, N.J. 07102. Authority sought to operate as a *contract carrier*, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: *Such merchandise* as is dealt in by wholesale, retail and chain grocery and food business houses, and in connection therewith, *equipment, materials and supplies* used in the conduct of such business, over irregular routes between the warehouses of The Great Atlantic & Pacific Tea Company, Inc., at Fair Lawn and Hawthorne, N.J., on the one hand, and, on the other, points in Putnam and Dutchess Counties, N.Y. and those in Fairfield County, Conn. *Bakery goods*, between the bakery of The Great Atlantic & Pacific Tea Company, Inc., at Flushing, N.Y. on the one hand, and, on the other, points in Fairfield, Conn. Restriction: Restricted to a transportation service to be performed under a continuing contract or contracts with The Great Atlantic & Pacific Tea Company, Inc., of Montvale, N.J., for 180 days. Supporting shipper(s): The Great Atlantic & Pacific Tea Company, Inc., 2 Paragon Drive, Montvale, N.J. 07645. Send protests to: Robert S. H. Vance, District Supervisor, Bureau of Operations, Interstate Commerce Commission, 9 Clinton St., Newark, N.J. 07102.

No. MC 16065 (Sub-No. 30TA), filed December 16, 1975. Applicant: EHRLICH-NEWMARK TRUCKING CO., Inc., 505–509 West 37th St., New York, N.Y. 10001. Applicant's representative: Michael R. Werner, 2 West 45th St., New York, N.Y. 10336. Authority sought to operate as a *common carrier*, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: *Wearing apparel* on hangers *and materials, supplies and equipment* used in the manufacture of wearing apparel, (except commodities in bulk), between Philadelphia, Pa., and Seaford, Del., for 180 days. Applicant has also filed an underlying ETA seeking up to 90 days of operating authority. Supporting shipper: Bleeker Street Apparel Corp., 10101 Roosevelt Blvd., Philadelphia, Pa. 19154. Send protests to: Paul W. Assenza, District Supervisor, Interstate Commerce Commission, 26 Federal Plaza, New York, N.Y. 10007.

No. MC 90870 (Sub-No. 3TA), filed December 17, 1975. Applicant: GLEN R. RIECHMANN, doing business as, RIECHMANN TRUCK SERVICE, R.R. No. 2,

Box 137, Alhambra, Ill. 62201. Applicant's representative: Ernest A. Brooks, II, 1301 Ambassador Bldg., St. Louis, Mo. 63101. Authority sought to operate as a *common carrier*, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: (1) *Cement*, in bags; and (2) *Manufactured stone*, (1) from Dallas, Tex., to Hamel, Ill.; and (2) from Hamel, Ill., to points in Iowa, Michigan, and Missouri, for 180 days. Applicant has also filed an underlying ETA seeking up to 90 days of operating authority. Supporting shipper: James L. Hadley, President, Lavastone Industries, Inc., P.O. Box 187, Hamel, Ill. 62046. Send protests to: Harold C. Jolliff, District Supervisor, Interstate Commerce Commission, P.O. Box 2418, Springfield, Ill. 62705.

No. MC 107010 (Sub-No. 62TA), filed December 15, 1975. Applicant: BULK CARRIERS, INC., P.O. Box 423, Auburn, Nebr. 68305. Applicant's representative: John T. Clark (same address as applicant). Authority sought to operate as a *common carrier*, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: *Feed supplements, feed ingredients and materials* used in the manufacturing and processing of feed, feed supplements and feed ingredients, in bulk, in tank and hopper type vehicles, (1) from the terminal site of National By-Products, Inc., at or near LaPlatte, Nebr., to points in Iowa, Kansas and Missouri; (2) from points in Iowa, Kansas, and Missouri, to points in Nebraska and return of rejected shipments, from points of destination to points of origination, for 180 days. Applicant has also filed an underlying ETA seeking up to 90 days of operating authority. Supporting shipper: Darrell Flint, Traffic Manager, National By-Products, Inc., R.R. 3, Omaha, Nebr. Send protests to: Max H. Johnston, District Supervisor, 285 Federal Bldg., and Courthouse, 100 Centennial Mall North, Lincoln, Nebr. 68508.

No. MC 107295 (Sub-No. 795TA), filed December 16, 1975. Applicant: PRE-FAB TRANSIT CO., 100 South Main St., Farmer City, Ill. 61842. Applicant's representative: Duane Zehr (same address as applicant). Authority sought to operate as a *common carrier*, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: (1) *Buildings*, complete, knocked down, or in sections; (2) *Building sections and building panels;* (3) *Parts and accessories* used in the installation and completion of commodities in (1) and (2) above, and (4) *Metal prefabricated structural components and panels and accessories* used in the installation and completion thereof, from Houston, Tex., to points in Arizona, Alabama, Florida, Georgia, Kansas, Louisiana, Missouri, Minnesota, Mississippi, Nebraska, North Dakota, South Dakota, Oklahoma, and Wyoming, for 180 days. Supporting shippers: James R. Adkins, Shipping Supervisor, American Steel Building Co., 12218 Robin St., P.O. Box 14244, Houston, Tex. 77021. Raymundo Saldivar, Traffic Manager, Childers Manufacturing Co., 3620 West 11th, P.O. Box 7467, Houston, Tex. 77008. Mack Griffin, Production Control Manager, Kirby Building Systems, P.O. Box 7101 Renwick, Houston, Tex. 77036. Send protests to: Harold C. Jolliff, District Supervisor, Interstate Commerce Commission, P.O. Box 2418, Springfield, Ill. 62705.

No. MC 107496 (Sub-No. 1013TA), filed December 12, 1975. Applicant: RUAN TRANSPORT CORPORATION, 3200 Ruan Center, 666 Grand Avenue, Des Moines, Iowa 50309. Applicant's representative: E. Check (same address as applicant). Authority sought to operate as a *common carrier*, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: *Fly ash*, in bulk, from Waukegan, Ill., to points in Indiana and Wisconsin, for 180 days. Supporting shipper(s): American Admixtures Corporation, 5909 North Rogers Avenue, Chicago, Ill. 60646. Send protests to: Herbert W. Allen, District Supervisor, Bureau of Operations, Interstate Commerce Commission, 875 Federal Building, Des Moines, Iowa 50309.

No. MC 111274 (Sub-No. 8TA), filed December 19, 1975. Applicant: ELMER C. SCHMIDGALL AND BENJAMIN G. SCHMIDGALL, doing business as, SCHMIDGALL TRANSFER, Box 249, Tremont, Ill. 61568. Applicant's representative: Frederick C. Schmidgall, 318 Lilac Lane, East Peoria, Ill. 61611. Authority sought to operate as a *contract carrier*, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: *Salvage and distressed building materials*, between various points and places in Minnesota, Wisconsin, Illinois, Missouri, Kansas, Nebraska, and South Dakota, on the one hand, and, on the other, Anamosa, Iowa, under a continuing contract or contracts with United Salvage Associates, Inc., for 180 days. Supporting shipper(s): United Salvage Associates, Inc., Rick Stickle, Manager, Old Duduque Road, Anamosa, Iowa 52205. Send protests to: Transportation Assistant Patricia A. Roscoe, Interstate Commerce Commission, Everett McKinley Dirksen Building, 219 S. Dearborn Street, Room 1086, Chicago, Ill. 60604.

No. MC 111729 (Sub-No. 597TA), filed December 15, 1975. Applicant: PUROLATOR COURIER CORP., 3333 New Hyde Park Road, New Hyde Park, N.Y. 11040. Applicant's representative: Elizabeth L. Henoch (same address as applicant). Authority sought to operate as a *common carrier*, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: *Business papers, records, audit and accounting media, emergency small repair parts, plumbing, electrical* and office supplies, restricted against transportation of packages or articles weighing more than 100 pounds in the aggregate from one consignor to one consignee on any one day, between Newport News, Va., and Charlotte, N.C., for 180 days. Applicant has also filed an underlying ETA seeking up to 90 days of operating authority. Supporting shipper: Noland & Company, P.O. Box 974, Newport News, Va. Send protests to: Anthony D. Giaimo, District Supervisor, Interstate Commerce Commission, 26 Federal Plaza, New York, N.Y. 10007.

No. MC 111729 (Sub-No. 598TA), filed December 17, 1975. Applicant: PUROLATOR COURIER CORP., 3333 New Hyde Park Road, New Hyde Park, N.Y. 11040. Applicant's representative: Elizabeth L. Henoch (same address as applicant). Authority sought to operate as a *common carrier*, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: (1) *Business papers, records, and audit and accounting media*, between St. Louis, Mo., on the one hand, and, on the other, points in Illinois North of State Highway 136; (2) (a) *Dentures: Impressions, models, bites, articulators and products related to restorative dentistry;* (b) *Laboratory samples and specimens, and related reports and supplies*, between Wichita, Kans., on the one hand, and, on the other, points in Oklahoma, for 180 days. Supporting shippers: (1) Pillsbury Company, Minneapolis, Minn. (2) Pearce-Turk Dental Laboratory, Wichita, Kans. (3) Statlab, Inc., Wichita, Kans. Send protests to: Anthony D. Giaimo, District Supervisor, Interstate Commerce Commission, 26 Federal Plaza, New York, N.Y. 10007.

No. MC 115762 (Sub-No. 9TA) (Correction), filed November 21, 1975, published in the FEDERAL REGISTER issue of December 10, 1975, and republished as corrected this issue. Applicant: KENTUCKY WESTERN TRUCK LINES, INC., P.O. Box 623, Hopkinsville, Ky. 42240. Applicant's representative: Richard D. Gleaves, 631 Stahlman Bldg., Nashville, Tenn. 37201. Authority sought to operate as a *common carrier*, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: *Petroleum products*, in containers, from Wood River, Ill., and its commercial zone, to Hopkinsville, Ky., and its commercial zone, for 180 days. Supporting shipper: R. E. Croft, Jr., Monarch Oil Company, P.O. Box 64, Hopkinsville, Ky. 42240. Send protests to: Elbert Brown, Jr., District Supervisor, Interstate Commerce Commission, Bureau of Operations, 426 Post Office Bldg., 601 West Broadway, Louisville, Ky. 40202. The purpose of this republication is to add Hopkinsville, Ky., and its commercial zone, as a destination point.

No. MC 115821 (Sub-No. 17TA), filed December 16, 1975. Applicant: FRANK BEELMAN, doing business as, BEELMAN TRUCK CO., St. Libory, Ill. 62282. Applicant's representative: Ernest A. Brooks, II, 1301 Ambassador Bldg., St. Louis, Mo. 63101. Authority sought to operate as a *common carrier*, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: *Wood chips*, in bulk, from Hoyleton, Ill., to the plantsite of Westvaco Corp., at Wickliffe, Ky., for 180 days. Applicant has also filed an underlying ETA seeking up to 90 days of operating authority. Supporting shipper: Dale Hassell, Owner, Hassel Lumber Co., Rt. No. 1, Box 127, Hoyleton, Ill. 62803. Send protests to: Harold C. Jolliff, District Supervisor, Interstate Commerce Commission, P.O. Box 2418, Springfield, Ill. 62705.

No. MC 116077 (Sub-No. 369TA) (Correction), filed December 4, 1975, published in the Federal Register issue of

December 19, 1975, and republished as corrected this issue. Applicant: ROBERTSON TANK LINES, INC., 2000 West Loop South, Suite 1800, Houston, Tex. 77027. Applicant's representative. J. C. Browder (same address as applicant). Authority sought to operate as a *common carrier*, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: *Clay, processed clay, and clay slurry*, in bulk, in tank vehicles, from Attapulgus, Ga., to Tulsa, Okla., for 180 days. Applicant has also filed an underlying ETA seeking up to 90 days of operating authority. Supporting shipper: Engelhard Minerals & Chemicals, Menlo Park, Edison, N.J. 08817. Send protests to: John Mensing, District Supervisor, Interstate Commerce Commission, 515 Rusk, Room 8610 Federal Bldg., Houston, Tex. 77002. The purpose of this republication is to correct the docket No. MC 116077 (Sub-No. 369 TA) in lieu of MC 106077 (Sub-No. 369 TA) which was previously published in error.

No. MC 117068 (Sub-No. 56TA) (Partial correction), filed November 20, 1975, published in the Federal Register issue of December 12, 1975, and republished as corrected this issue. Applicant: MIDWEST SPECIALIZED TRANSPORTATION, INC., North Highway 63, P.O. Box 6418, Rochester, Minn. 55901. Applicant's representative: Paul F. Sullivan, 701 Washington Bldg., Washington, D.C. 20036. Authority sought to operate as a *common carrier*, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: *Enameled steel silos, silo loading and unloading devices, waste storage tanks, livestock feed bunkers, forage metering devices, animal waste spreader tanks, livestock feeding systems, and parts and accessories* for the above-named commodities. Supporting shipper: Ontario Harvestore Systems, Ltd., 65 Dawson Road, Guelph, Ontario, Canada. Send protests to: A. N. Spath, District Supervisor, Interstate Commerce Commission, Bureau of Operations, 414 Federal Bldg., and U.S. Courthouse, 110 S. 4th St., Minneapolis, Minn. 55401. The purpose of this republication is to correct the sub number in MC 117068 (Sub-No. 57 TA) in lieu of MC 117068 (Sub-No. 56 TA) which was previously published in error.

No. MC 117644 (Sub-No. 43TA), filed December 17, 1975. Applicant: D & T TRUCKING CO., INC., 498 First St., New Brighton, Minn. 55112. Applicant's representative: F. H. Kroeger, 1745 University Ave., St. Paul, Minn. 55104. Authority sought to operate as a *contract carrier*, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: *Foodstuffs* (except commodities in bulk), from Hudson, Iowa to points in Alabama, Connecticut, Delaware, Florida, Georgia, Kentucky, Louisiana, Maine, Maryland, Massachusetts, Mississippi, New Hampshire, New Jersey, New York, North Carolina, Ohio, Pennsylvania, Rhode Island, South Carolina, Tennessee, Vermont, Virginia, West Virginia, and the District of Columbia, under a continuing contract with Land O'Lakes, Ind., for 180 days. Applicant has also filed an underlying ETA seeking up to 90 days of operating authority. Supporting shipper: Land O'Lakes, Inc., 614 McKinley Place, Minneapolis, Minn. 55413. Send protests to: Raymond T. Jones, District Supervisor, Interstate Commerce Commission, Bureau of Operations, 414 Federal Bldg., and U.S. Courthouse, 110 S. 4th St., Minneapolis, Minn. 55401.

No. MC 119767 Sub-No. 334TA), filed December 17, 1975. Applicant: BEAVER TRANSPORT CO., P.O. Box 186, Pleasant Prairie, Wis. 53158. Applicant's representative: Joseph K. Reber (same address as applicant). Authority sought to operate as a *common carrier*, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: *Foodstuffs* (except commodities in bulk), from Hudson, Iowa to points in Minnesota, Wisconsin, Illinois, Indiana, Michigan, Ohio, Kentucky, and St. Louis, Mo., restricted to the transportation of shipments originating at the plantsites and warehouse facilities of Land O'Lakes, Inc., at or near Hudson, Iowa and destined to points in the named destination points, for 180 days. Applicant has also filed an underlying ETA seeking up to 90 days of operating authority. Supporting shipper: Land O'Lakes, Inc., Minneapolis, Minn. 55413. Send protests to: John E. Ryden, Interstate Commerce Commission, Bureau of Operations, 135 West Wells St., Room 807, Milwaukee, Wis. 53203.

No. MC 119988 (Sub-No. 88TA), filed December 19, 1975. Applicant: GREAT WESTERN TRUCKING CO., INC., P.O. Box 1384, Lufkin, Tex. 75901. Applicant's representative: Hugh T. Matthews, 630 Fidelity Union Tower, Dallas, Tex. 75201. Authority sought to operate as a *common carrier*, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: *Polystyrene shapes and forms, and disposable plastic tableware*, from the plantsite and warehouses of the Mobile Chemical Company, located at or near Temple, Tex., to points in Arkansas, Colorado, Kansas, Kentucky, Louisiana, Mississippi, Missouri, New Mexico, Oklahoma, and Tennessee, for 180 days. Supporting shipper: Mobile Oil Corporation, 8350 N. Central Expressway, Suite 522, Dallas, Tex. 75206. Send protests to: John Mensing, District Supervisor, Interstate Commerce Commission, 8610 Federal Bldg., 515 Rusk, Houston, Tex. 77002.

No. MC 120761 (Sub-No. 6TA), filed December 17, 1975. Applicant: NEWMAN BROS. TRUCKING COMPANY, 6559 Midway Road, P.O. Box 13302, Fort Worth, Tex. 76118. Applicant's representative: Clint Oldham, 1108 Continental Life Bldg., Fort Worth, Tex. 76102. Authority sought to operate as a *common carrier*, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: *Crushed automobile bodies*, from points in New Mexico, Colorado, Kansas, Wyoming, Oklahoma, Arkansas, and Louisiana, to points in Ellis County, Tex., for 180 days. Applicant has also filed an underlying ETA seeking up to 90 days of operating authority. Supporting shipper: Century Enterprises, P.O. Box 6552, Denver, Colo. 80206. Send protests to: H. C. Morrison, Sr., District Supervisor, Room (a27 Federal Bldg., 819 Taylor St., Fort Worth, Tex. 76102.

No. MC 121046 (Sub-No. 5TA), filed December 12, 1975. Applicant: B. A. MILLER & SONS TRUCKING, INC., P.O. Box 41, East St., Liberty Center, Ohio 43532. Applicant's representative: David A. Turano, 100 East Broad St., Columbus, Ohio 43215. Authority sought to operate as a *common carrier*, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: *Plastic articles*, from the facilities of Napco Plastics Company, at Napoleon, Ohio, to Bloomington, Ill., for 180 days. Applicant has also filed an underlying ETA seeking up to 90 days of operating authority. Supporting shipper: Napco Plastics Company, 600 Fillmore St., Napoleon, Ohio 43545. Send protests to: Kieth D. Warner, District Supervisor, Bureau of Operations, Interstate Commerce Commission, 313 Federal Office Bldg., 234 Summit St., Toledo, Ohio 43604.

No. MC 123407 (Sub-No. 278TA), filed December 15, 1975. Applicant: SAWYER TRANSPORT, INC., South Haven Square, U.S. Highway 6, Valparaiso, Ind. 46383. Applicant's representative: Stephen H. Loeb (same address as applicant). Authority sought to operate as a *common carrier*, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: *Composition board, plywood, and accessories and materials* used in the installation and distribution thereof, from the plant and warehouse sites of Abitibi Corporation, in Lucas County, Ohio, to points in the United States in and east of North Dakota, South Dakota, Nebraska, Colorado, and Texas, for 180 days. Applicant has also filed an underlying ETA seeking up to 90 days of operating authority. Supporting shipper: Abitibi Corporation, 3250 W. Big Veaver Road, Troy, Mich. 48084. Send protests to: J. H. Gray, District Supervisor, Interstate Commerce Commission, Bureau of Operations, 345 West Wayne St., Room 204, Fort Wayne, Ind. 46802.

No. MC 123640 (Sub-No. 21TA), filed December 16, 1975. Applicant: SUMMIT CITY ENTERPRISES, INC., 3200 Maumee Ave., Fort Wayne, Ind. 46803. Applicant's representative: Irving Klein, 280 Broadway, New York, N.Y. 10007. Authority sought to operate as a *contract carrier*, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: *Such commodities* sold, dealt in or used by chain or department stores, between Fort Wayne, Ind., on the one hand, and, on the other, points in Massachusetts, Maryland, New York, New Jersey, North Carolina, and Virginia, under a continuing contract with Supermarket Service Corp., for 180 days. Supporting shipper: Supermarket Service Corp., 2 Paragon Drive, Montvale, N.J. 07645. Send protests to: J. H. Gray, District Supervisor, Interstate Commerce Commission, Bureau of Operations, 345 West Wayne St., Room 204, Fort Wayne, Ind. 46802.

No. MC 124236 (Sub-No. 77TA), filed December 16, 1975. Applicant: CHEMICAL EXPRESS CARRIERS, INC., 1200 Simons Bldg., Dallas, Tex. 75201. Applicant's representative: Leroy Hallman, 4555 First National Bank Bldg., Dallas, Tex. 75202. Authority sought to operate as a *common carrier*, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: *Quicklime and hydrated lime*, from Marble City and Sallisaw, Okla., to Denver, Colo. (including Commerce City, Colo., a point in the Denver, Colo., Commercial Zone), for 180 days. Applicant has also filed an underlying ETA seeking up to 90 days of operating authority. Supporting shipper: St. Clair Lime Company, P.O. Box 894, Oklahoma City, Okla. 73101. Send protests to: Opal M. Jones, Transportation Assistant, Interstate Commerce Commission, 1100 Commerce St., Room 13C12, Dallas, Tex. 75202.

No. MC 124383 (Sub-No. 21TA), filed December 16, 1975. Applicant: STAR LINE TRUCKING CORPORATION, 18460 West Lincoln Ave., New Berlin, Wis. 53151. Applicant's representative: S. F. Schreiter, 161 W. Wisconsin Ave., Suite 3008, Milwaukee, Wis. 53203. Authority sought to operate as a *common carrier*, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: *Cinders*, in bulk, from Kaukauna, Wis., to West Chicago, Ill., for 180 days. Supporting shipper: Thilmony Pulp & Paper Company, Kaukauna, Wis. 54130. Send protests to: John E. Ryden, Interstate Commerce Commission, Bureau of Operations, 135 West Wells St., Room 807, Milwaukee, Wis. 53203.

No. MC 127539 (Sub-No. 48TA), filed December 17, 1975. Applicant: PARKER REFRIGERATED SERVICE, INC., 1108 54th Ave., East, Tacoma, Wash. 98424. Applicant's representative: Michael D. Duppenthaler, 515 Lyon Bldg., 607 3d Ave., Seattle, Wash. 98104. Authority sought to operate as a *common carrier*, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: *Meat, meat products, meat by-products, and articles* distributed by meat packinghouses, from Toppenish, Wash., to points in Oregon and California, for 180 days. Applicant has also filed an underlying ETA seeking up to 90 days of operating authority. Supporting shipper: Flavorland Industries, P.O. Box 552, Toppenish, Wash. 98948. Send protests to: L. D. Boone, Transportation Specialist, Bureau of Operations, Interstate Commerce Commission, 858 Federal Bldg., 915 Second Ave., Seattle, Wash. 98174.

No. MC 128030 (Sub-No. 100TA), filed December 15, 1975. Applicant: THE STOUT TRUCKING CO., INC., P.O. Box 177, R.R. No. 1, Urbana, Ill. 61801. Applicant's representative: Robert C. Stout, Sr., (same address as applicant). Authority sought to operate as a *common carrier*, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: *Malt beverages in containers*, from Monroe, Wisc., to Terre Haute, Ind., for 180 days. Supporting shipper(s): Dever Distributing Co., Inc., Luken Dever, President, 939 Poplar, Terre Haute, Ind. 47807. Send protests to: Transportation Assistant Patricia A. Roscoe, Interstate Commerce Commission, Everett McKinley Dirksen Building, 219 S. Dearborn Street, Room 1086, Chicago, Ill. 60604.

No. MC 129613 (Sub-No. 20TA), filed December 11, 1975. Applicant: ARTHUR H. FULTON, P.O. Box 86, Stephens City, Va. 22655. Applicant's representative: Charles E. Creager, 1329 Pennsylvania Ave., P.O. Box 1417, Hagerstown, Md. 21740. Authority sought to operate as a *contract carrier*, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: *Veneer*, from Martinsburg, W. Va., to points in Oregon and California, under a continuing contract with Erath Veneer Corporation, for 180 days. Applicant has also filed an underlying ETA seeking up to 90 days of operating authority. Supporting shipper: Erath Veneer Corporation, P.O. Box 1102, Martinsburg, W. Va. 25401. Send protests to: Interstate Commerce Commission, 12th and Constitution Ave., NW., Room B-317, W. C. Hersman, District Supervisor, Washington, D.C. 20423.

No. MC 133689 (Sub-No. 67TA), filed December 11, 1975. Applicant: OVERLAND EXPRESS, INC., 719 First St., S.W., New Brighton, Minn. 55112. Applicant's representative: Robert P. Sack, P.O. Box 6010, West St. Paul, Minn. 55118. Authority sought to operate as a *common carrier*, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: *Foodstuffs* (except commodities in bulk), from Duluth, Minn., and Superior, Wis., to points in Connecticut, Delaware, Maine, Maryland, Massachusetts, New Hampshire, New Jersey, New York, Ohio, Pennsylvania, Rhode Island, Vermont, Virginia, West Virginia, and the District of Columbia, for 180 days. Supporting shipper(s): Jeno's, Inc., 525 Lake Ave. South, Duluth, Minn. 55802. Send protests to: Raymond T. Jones, District Supervisor, Interstate Commerce Commission, Bureau of Operations, 414 Federal Building and U.S. Courthouse, 110 S. 4th St., Minneapolis, Minn. 55401.

No. MC 133689 (Sub-No. 68TA), filed December 17, 1975. Applicant: OVERLAND EXPRESS, INC., 719 First St., S.W., New Brighton, Minn. 55112. Applicant's representative: Robert P. Sack, P.O. Box 6010, West St. Paul, Minn. 55118. Authority sought to operate as a *common carrier*, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: *Foodstuffs* (except commodities in bulk), from Hudson, Iowa, to points in Connecticut, Delaware, Maine, Maryland, Massachusetts, New Hampshire, New Jersey, New York, Pennsylvania, Rhode Island, Vermont, Virginia, West Virginia, North Carolina, South Carolina, the District of Columbia, Kansas City, and St. Louis, Mo., for 180 days. Applicant has also filed an underlying ETA seeking up to 90 days of operating authority. Supporting shipper: Land O'Lakes, Inc., 614 McKinley Place, Minneapolis, Minn. 55413. Send protests to: Raymond T. Jones, District Supervisor, Interstate Commerce Commission, Bureau of Operations, 414 Federal Bldg., and U.S. Courthouse, 110 S. 4th St., Minneapolis, Minn. 55401.

No. MC 134387 (Sub-No. 27TA), filed December 15, 1975. Applicant: BLACKBURN TRUCK LINES, INC., 4998 Branyon Ave., South Gate, Calif. 90280. Applicant's representative: David P. Christianson, 606 S. Olive, Suite 825, Los Angeles, Calif. 90014. Authority sought to operate as a *common carrier*, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: *Plastic bottles, containers, fitments and closures*, from points in Orange County, Calif., to points in Maricopa, County, Ariz., for 180 days. Applicant has also filed an underlying ETA seeking up to 90 days of operating authority. Supporting shipper: Imco Container Company, 75th & Cleveland, Kansas City, Mo. 64132. Send protests to: Mildred I. Price, Transportation Assistant, Interstate Commerce Commission, Room 1321 Federal Bldg., 300 North Los Angeles St., Los Angeles, Calif. 90012.

No. MC 134477 (Sub-No. 96TA), filed December 17, 1975. Applicant: SCHANNO TRANSPORTATION, INC., 5 West Mendota Road, West St. Paul, Minn. 55118. Applicant's representative: Robert P. Sack, P.O. Box 6010, West St. Paul, Minn. 55118. Authority sought to operate as a *common carrier*, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: *Foodstuffs* (except commodities in bulk), from Hudson, Iowa, to points in Connecticut, Delaware, Maine, Maryland, Massachusetts, New Hampshire, New Jersey, New York, Pennsylvania, Rhode Island, Vermont, Virginia, West Virginia, and the District of Columbia, for 180 days. Applicant has also filed an underlaying ETA seeking up to 90 days of operating authority. Supporting shipper: Land O'Lakes, Inc., 614 McKinley Place, Minneapolis, Minn. 55413. Send protests to: A. N. Spath, District Supervisor, Interstate Commerce Commission, Bureau of Operations, 414 Federal Bldg., and U.S. Courthouse, 110 S. 4th St., Minneapolis, Minn. 55401.

No MC 134771 (Sub-No 2TA), filed December 15, 1975. Applicant: AUSTIN TUPLER TRUCKING, INC., 6570 S.W. 47th Court, Ft. Lauderdale, Fla. 33314. Applicant's representative: Richard B. Austin, 214 Palm Coast II Bldg., 5255 N.W. 87th Ave., Miami, Fla. 33178. Authority sought to operate as a *common carrier*, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: *Cores or tubes, NOI, paper or paperboard* other than corrugated paper or paperboard (explosive placement casing), from the plantsite of Sunoco Products Co., at or near Hartsville, S.C., to points in Florida, for 180 days. Supporting shipper: Vulcan Material Corp., P.O. Box 1087, Medley, Fla. 33166. Send protests to: Joseph B. Teichert, District Supervisor, Bureau of Operations, Interstate Commerce Commission, Monterey Bldg., Suite 101, 8410 N.W. 53rd Terrace, Miami, Fla. 33166.

No. MC 135032 (Sub-No. 18TA), filed December 17, 1975. Applicant: HIAWATHA PRODUCE COMPANY, 3850 Fourth St., Winona, Minn. 55987. Appli-

cant's representative: F. H. Kroeger, 1745 University Ave., St. Paul, Minn. 55104. Authority sought to operate as a *common carrier*, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: *Foodstuffs* (except commodities in bulk), from Hudson, Iowa, to points in Connecticut, Delaware, Illinois, Iowa, Kansas, Maine, Maryland, Massachusetts, Missouri, Nebraska, New Hampshire, New Jersey, New York, Pennsylvania, Rhode Island, Vermont, Virginia, West Virginia, and the District of Columbia, for 180 days. Applicant has also filed an underlying ETA seeking up to 90 days of operating authority. Supporting shipper: Land O'Lakes, Inc., 614 McKinley Place, Minneapolis, Minn. 55413. Send protests to: A. N. Spath, District Supervisor, Interstate Commerce Commission, Bureau of Operations, 414 Federal Bldg., and U.S. Courthouse, 110 S. 4th St., Minneapolis, Minn. 55401.

No. MC 135861 (Sub-No. 9TA), filed December 15, 1975. Applicant: LISA MOTOR LINES, INC., P.O. Box 4550, Fort Worth, Tex. 76106. Applicant's representative: Billy R. Reid, 6108 Sharon Road, Fort Worth, Tex. 76116. Authority sought to operate as a *contract carrier*, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: *Meat, meat products, meat by-products and articles* distributed by meat packinghouses as described in Sections A and C of Appendix I to the report in Descriptions in Motor Carrier Certificates 61 M.C.C. 209 and 766 (except hides and commodities in bulk, in tank vehicles), from the plant site and facilities of Maverick Beef Packers, Inc., at or near Eagle Pass, Tex., to points in California, Arizona, Alabama, Florida, Georgia, Louisiana, Mississippi, and Tennessee, under a continuing contract with Maverick Beef Packers, Inc., for 180 days. Supporting shipper: Maverick Beef Packers, Inc., P.O. Box 617, Eagle Pass, Tex. 78852. Send protests to: H. C. Morrison, Sr., District Supervisor, Room 9A27 Federal Bldg., 819 Taylor St., Fort Worth, Tex. 76102.

No. MC 136221 (Sub-No. 4TA), filed December 16, 1975. Applicant: H. L. STANSELL, INC., P.O. Box 158, Palm Harbor, Fla. 33563. Applicant's representative: David C. Venable, 666 Eleventh St., NW., Washington, DC. 20001. Authority sought to operate as a *contract carrier*, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: *Porcelain products and/or porcelainized products* (except in bulk), from Abingdon, Ill., and Knoxville, Tenn., to points in Florida, under a continuing contract with Briggs Co., for 180 days. Supporting shipper: Briggs Co., 1500 North Dale Mabry, Tampa, Fla. 33607. Send protests to: Joseph B. Teichert, District Supervisor, Interstate Commerce Commission, Bureau of Operations, Monterey Bldg., Suite 101, 8410 N. W. 53rd Terrace, Miami, Fla. 33166.

No. MC 136228 (Sub-No. 14TA), filed December 12, 1975. Applicant: LUISI TRUCK LINES, INC., P.O. Box 606, Milton-Freewater, Oreg. 97214. Applicant's representative: Philip Skofatad, 3076 E. Burnside St., Portland, Oreg. 97214. Authority sought to operate as a *common carrier*, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes transporting: *Fresh hanging meat*, from Spokane, Wash., to Eureka, San Jose, San Francisco, San Leandro and Los Angeles, Calif., for 180 days. Applicant has also filed an underlying ETA seeking up to 90 days of operating authority. Supporting shipper(s): Hygrade Food Products Corporation, N. 707 Regal Street, Spokane, Wash. 99202. Send protests to: District Supervisor, W. J. Huetig, Bureau of Operations, Interstate Commerce Commission, 14 Pioneer Courthouse, Portland, Oreg. 97204.

No. MC 136318 (Sub-No. 37TA), filed December 16, 1975. Applicant: COYOTE TRUCK LINE, INC., P.O. Box 756, Thomasville, N.C. 27360. Applicant's representative: David R. Parker, 2310 Colorado State Bank Bldg., Denver, Colo. 80202. Authority sought to operate as a *contract carrier*, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: *New furniture*, from Los Angeles, Calif., to points in Arizona, Arkansas, Colorado, Idaho, Illinois, Indiana, Iowa, Kansas, Louisiana, Massachusetts, Michigan, Minnesota, Missouri, Montana, Nebraska, Nevada, New Jersey, New Mexico, New York, North Dakota, Oklahoma, Oregon, Rhode Island, South Dakota, Texas, Utah, Washington, Wisconsin, and Wyoming. Restrictions: (1) Restricted to traffic originating at or destined to the facilities utilized by Gillespie Furniture Co. (2) Restricted to traffic moving under a continuous contract or contracts with Gillespie Furniture Co., for 180 days. Applicant has also filed an underlying ETA seeking up to 90 days for operating authority. Supporting shipper: Gillespie Furniture Co., 5700 Avalon Blvd., Los Ageles, Calif. 90011. Send protests to: Terrell Price, District Supervisor, 800 Briar Creek Road, Room CC516, Mart Office Bldg., Charlotte, N.C. 28205.

No. MC 138469 (Sub-No. 20TA), filed December 17, 1975. Applicant: CONCO CARRIERS, INC., 641 North Meridian, Oklahoma City, Okla. 73107. Applicant's representative: Jack H. Blanshan, 205 W. Touhy Ave., Suite 200, Park Ridge, Ill. 60068. Authority sought to operate as a *common carrier*, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: *Drugs, medicines, infant and children's foods, water, feeding sets, dispenser stands, rubber and plastic articles, vaporizers, glass specimen bottles, and can openers*, from the facilities of or utilized by Mead Johnson & Co., located at or near Evansville, Ind., and Babool and Springfield, Mo., to points in Arizona, California, Colorado, Idaho, Nevada, New Mexico, Oklahoma, Oregon, Texas, Utah, Washington, Wyoming; and Deer Lodge, Flathead, Granite, Lake, Lincoln, Mineral, Missoula, Powell, Ravalli, Sanders, and Silver Bow Counties, Mont., restricted to traffic originating at the above named origins, for 180 days. Supporting shipper: Mead Johnson & Co., Michael A. Ehrmann, T.M., 2404 Pennsylvania, Evansville, Ind. 47721. Send protests to: Marie Spillars, Transportation Assistant, Interstate Commerce Commission, Bureau of Operations, Room 240 Old P.O. Bldg., 215 N.W. Third, Oklahoma City, Okla. 73102.

No. MC 138635 (Sub-No. 18TA), filed December 16, 1975. Applicant: CAROLINA WESTERN EXPRESS, INC., 650 Eastwood Drive, Gastonia, N.C. 28052. Applicant's representative: Eric Meierhoefer, 303 N. Frederick Avenue, Gaithersburg, Md. 20760. Authority sought to operate as a *common carrier*, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: (1) *Dry chemical pigments*, except in bulk, or tank vehicles, from Baltimore and Laurel, Md., to Kirkland, Wash., (2) *Fiber glass*, from Graham, Tex., West Shelby, N.C., and Amsterdam, N.Y., to Kirkland, Wash., for 180 days. Applicant has also filed an underlying ETA seeking up to 90 days of operating authority. Supporting shipper(s): Durkin Chemicals, Inc., Box 655, Kirkland, Wash. 98033. Send protests to: District Supervisor Terrell Price, 800 Briar Creek Rd–Rm CC516, Mart Office Building, Charlotte, N.C. 28205.

No. MC 138789 (Sub-No. 6TA), filed December 11, 1975. Applicant: U & R EXPRESS, INC., P.O. Box 2369, White City, Oreg. 97501. Applicant's representative: Lawrence V. Smart, Jr., 419 N.W. 23rd Ave., Portland, Oreg. 97210. Authority sought to operate as a *contract carrier*, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: *Wood shavings*, from points in Lemhi County, Idaho, to Missoula, Mont., under a continuing contract with Evans Products Company, for 180 days. Applicant has also filed an underlying ETA seeking up to 90 days of operating authority. Supporting shipper: Evans Products Company, P.O. Drawer L, Missoula, Mont. 59801. Send protests to: A. E. Odoms, District Supervisor, Bureau of Operations, Interstate Commerce Commission, 114 Pioneer Courthouse, 555 S.W. Yamhill St., Portland, Oreg. 97204.

No. MC 138891 (Sub-No. 5TA), filed December 15, 1975. Applicant: FRANK TRANSFER & STORAGE, INC., 324 East 8th Street, Sioux Falls, S. Dak. 57102. Applicant's representative: A. J. Swanson, 521 South 14th St., P.O. Box 818949, Lincoln, Nebr. 68501. Authority sought to operate as a *common carrier*, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: *Electrical power switches and related electrical equipment, and empty trailers*, between Sioux Falls, and Watertown, S. Dak., restricted to the transportation of shipments having a prior or subsequent movement by rail in trailer-on-flatcar service, for 180 days. Supporting shipper(s): Cofco Distributing, Inc., P.O. Box 79, Mt. Prospect, Ill. 60056, Larry Marturana, Vice President. Send protests to: District Supervisor J. L. Hammond, Interstate Commerce Commission, Bureau of Operations, Room 369, Federal Building, Pierre, S. Dak. 57501.

No. MC 140313 (Sub-No. 3 TA), filed December 17, 1975. Applicant: JAMES R. JAMERSON, doing business as J & R TRUCKING, 4104 83rd Road, S.E., Mer-

cer Island, Wash. 98040. Applicant's representative: James T. Johnson, 1610 IBM Bldg., Seattle, Wash. 98101. Authority sought to operate as a *common carrier*, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: *Metal doors and metal fireplaces and attachments and related parts thereof*, from Fredericksburg, Va., to points in Washington and Oregon, and to Sacramento, Calif., for 180 days. Supporting shipper: General Metal Products Co., Inc., P.O. Box 88, Fredericksburg, Va. 22401. Send protests to: L. D. Boone, Transportation Specialist, Bureau of Operations, Interstate Commerce Commission, 858 Federal Bldg., 915 Second Ave., Seattle, Wash. 98174.

No. MC 140484 (Sub-No. 16TA), filed December 15, 1975. Applicant: LESTER COGGINS TRUCKING, INC., P.O. Box 69, Fort Myers, Fla. 33902. Applicant's representative: Clayton Geer (same address as applicant). Authority sought to operate as a *common carrier*, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: *Glassware, chinaware, earthenware, porcelain ware, stoneware, plastic bowls, cups, dishes or plates*, from Lake City and Jeannette, Pa., and Sebring, Ohio, to points in the United States in and west of New Mexico, Colorado, Wyoming and Montana, for 180 days. Supporting shipper: Jeannette Corporation, Jeannette, Pa. 15644. Send protests to: Joseph B. Teichert, District Supervisor, Interstate Commerce Commission, Bureau of Operations, Monterey Bldg., Suite 101, 8410 N.W. 53rd Terrace, Miami, Fla. 33166.

No. MC 141312 (Sub-No. 2TA), filed December 17, 1975. Applicant: DOKTER TRUCKING CORP., P.O. Box 408, Weeping Water, Nebr. 68463. Applicant's representative: Bradford E. Kistler, P.O. Box 82028, Lincoln, Nebr. 68501. Authority sought to operate as a *contract carrier*, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: *Di-calcium phosphate*, from Weeping Water, Nebr., to Glendive, Chinook, and Great Falls, Mont., under a continuing contract or contracts with Texasgulf, Inc., for 180 days. Applicant has also filed an underlying ETA seeking up to 90 days of operating authority. Supporting shipper(s): Texasgulf, Inc., Maurice S. Weber, Manager of Transportation, 4509 Creedmoor Road, P.O. Box 30321, Raleigh, N.C. 27612. Send protests to: Max Johnston, District Supervisor, Interstate Commerce Commission, 285 Federal Bldg., & U.S. Courthouse, 100 Centennial Mall North, Lincoln, Nebr. 68508.

By the Commission.

[SEAL] ROBERT L. OSWALD,
Secretary.

[FR Doc.76-340 Filed 1-6-76;8:45 am]

[Notice No. 152]

MOTOR CARRIER BOARD TRANSFER PROCEEDINGS

JANUARY 7, 1976.

Synopses of orders entered by the Motor Carrier Board of the Commission pursuant to Sections 212(b), 206(a), 211, 312(b), and 410(g) of the Interstate Commerce Act, and rules and regulations prescribed thereunder (49 C.F.R. Part 1132), appear below:

Each application (except as otherwise specifically noted) filed after March 27, 1972, contains a statement by applicants that there will be no significant effect on the quality of the human environment resulting from approval of the application. As provided in the Commission's Special Rules of Practice any interested person may file a petition seeking reconsideration of the following numbered proceedings on or before January 27, 1976. Pursuant to Section 17(8) of the Interstate Commerce Act, the filing of such a petition will postpone the effective date of the order in that proceeding pending its disposition. The matters relied upon by petitioners must be specified in their petitions with particularity.

No. MC-FC-75873. By order of December 31, 1975, the Motor Carrier Board approved the transfer to S & H Truck Lines, Inc., Fontana, Calif., of Certificate of Registration No. MC-56945 (Sub-No. 1) issued by the Commission October 30, 1963, to Churchill Transportation Company, Hemet, Calif., evidencing authority to perform a transportation service in interstate or foreign commerce corresponding in scope to the intrastate authority in Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity granted in Decision No. 23795 issued by the Public Utilities Commission of the State of California. R. Y. Schureman, Esquire, 1545 Wilshire Boulevard, Los Angeles, Calif. 90017.

No. MC-FC-76092. By order of January 2, 1976, the Motor Carrier Board approved the lease to Whitaker Transportation, Inc., Donie, Tex., for a period of five years commencing February 21, 1975, of Certificate of Registration No. MC-58734 (Sub-No. 1) issued by the Commission December 23, 1963, to Ivan Thorne, Mexia, Tex., evidencing authority to perform a transportation service in interstate or foreign commerce corresponding in scope to the intrastate authority in Certificate of Convenience and Necessity No. 5432 dated June 6, 1950, issued by the Railroad Commission of Texas. Terry L. Belt, Esquire, 1122 Colorado, No. 315, Austin, Tex. 78701.

[SEAL] ROBERT L. OSWALD,
Secretary.

[FR Doc.76-341 Filed 1-6-76;8:45 am]

[Notice No. 1]

MOTOR CARRIER APPLICATIONS AND CERTAIN OTHER PROCEEDINGS

JANUARY 2, 1976.

The following publications include motor carrier, water carrier, broker, freight forwarder and rail proceedings indexed as follows: (1) grants of authority requiring republication prior to certification; (2) notices of filing of petitions for modification of existing authorities; (3) new operating right's applications directly related to and processed on a consolidated record with finance applications filed under Sections 5(2) and 212(b); (4) notices of filing of Sections 5(2) and 210a(b) finance applications; and (5) notices of filing of Section 212(b) transfer applications.

Each applicant (except as otherwise specifically noted) states that there will be no significant effect on the quality of the human environment resulting from approval of its application in compliance with the requirements of 49 C.F.R. § 1100.250.

Protests to the granting of the requested authority must be filed with the Commission on or before February 6, 1976 (unless otherwise specified). Failure seasonably to file a protest will be construed as a waiver of opposition and participation in the proceeding. A protest should comply with section 247(d) or section 240(c) as appropriate of the Commission's General Rules of Practice which requires that it set forth specifically the grounds upon which it is made, contain a detailed statement of protestant's interest in the proceeding (including a copy of the specific portions of its authority which protestant believes to be in conflict with that sought in the application, and a detailed description of the method—whether by joinder, interline, or other means—by which protestant would use such authority to provide all or part of the service proposed), and shall specify with particularity the facts, matters, and things relied upon, but shall not include issues or allegations phrased generally. Protests not in reasonable compliance with the requirements of the rules may be rejected. The original and one (1) copy of the protest (except for petitions and Finance Dockets under Rule 40 requiring the original and six (6) copies of the protest) shall be filed with the Commission, and a copy shall be served concurrently upon applicant's or petitioner's representative, or applicant or petitioner if no representative is named. If the protest includes a request for oral hearing, such requests shall meet the requirements of section 247(d)(4) or section 240(c)(4) of the special rules, and shall include the certification required therein.

No. MC 139508 (Republication), filed December 7, 1973, and published in the FEDERAL REGISTER issue of March 7, 1974, and republished this issue. Applicant: AIR BROOK LIMOUSINE, INC., 400 Market Street, Saddle Brook, N.J. 07662. Applicants' representative: George H. Rosen, 265 Broadway, P.O. Box 348, Monticello, N.Y. 12701. A Decision and Order of the Commission, Review Board Number 1, dated December 2, 1975, and served December 9, 1975, finds that the present and future public convenience and necessity require operation by applicant, in interstate or foreign commerce, as a *common carrier* by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, of (A) *passengers and their baggage* in the same vehicle with passengers, in special and charter operations, in non-scheduled door-to-door service, limited to the transportation of not more than 11 passengers in any one vehicle, not including the driver thereof and not including children under 10 years of age who do not occupy a seat or seats, (B) *general com-*

modities (except Classes A and B explosives, household goods as defined by the Commission, commodities in bulk, and commodities requiring the use of special equipment) when moving in the same vehicle with passengers, restricted against the transportation of packages or articles weighing more than 100 pounds in the aggregate from one consignor at one location to one consignee at one location during a single day, and (C) *unaccompanied baggage* when moving in the same vehicle with passengers, between points in Bergen, Hudson, Passaic, and Essex Counties, N.J. (not including Newark International Airport located at Newark, N.J.), on the one hand, and, on the other, New York, N.Y., John F. Kennedy International Airport, and LaGuardia Airport located at New York, N.Y., that applicant is fit, willing, and able properly to perform such service and to conform to the requirements of the Interstate Commerce Act and the Commission's rules and regulations thereunder. The purpose of this republication is to indicate the broadening of the authority herein to include the transportation of general commodities (with the usual exceptions). Because it is possible that other parties who have relied upon the notice of the application as published, may have an interest in and would be prejudiced by the lack of proper notice of the authority described above, issuance of a certificate in this proceeding will be withheld for a period of 30 days from the date of this publication of the authority actually granted, during which period any proper party in interest may file an appropriate petition for intervention or other relief in this proceeding setting forth in detail the precise manner in which it has been so prejudiced. Pleadings may be tendered with respect to the modification(s) of applicant's grant of authority indicated by the purpose for this republication.

APPLICATIONS UNDER SECTIONS 5 AND 210A(B)

The following applications are governed by the Interstate Commerce Commission's Special Rules governing notice of filing of applications by motor carriers of property or passengers under Sections 5(a) and 210a(b) of the Interstate Commerce Act and certain other proceedings with respect thereto (49 C.F.R. 1.240).

MOTOR CARRIERS OF PROPERTY

No. MC-F-12720. Authority sought for control by control CENTRAL TRANSFER COMPANY, 1080 Springfield Road, Union, New Jersey 07083, of H. M. WITMYER, INC., 15 South Wolf Street, Manheim, Pa., and for acquisition by P. A. ALBANESE, also of Union, N.J. 07083, of control of H. M. WITMYER, INC., through the acquisition by CENTRAL TRANSFER COMPANY. Applicants' attorneys: A. David Millner, 744 Broad Street, Newark, N.J. 07102, and William S. Stein, 42 South 15th Street, Philadelphia, Pa. 19102. Operating rights sought to be controlled: *General Commodities* with exceptions, as a *common carrier* over irregular routes and points in Metropolitan New York, N.Y., Counties in southern New York and northern New Jersey, and at Pennsylvania points of Philadelphia, Chester, and Easton; and over regular routes as a *common carrier* at New Jersey and Pennsylvania. Vendee is authorized to operate as a common carrier in New York, New Jersey, and Pennsylvania. Application has been filed for temporary authority under section 210a(b).

By the Commission.

[SEAL] ROBERT L. OSWALD, *Secretary.*

[FR Doc.76-342 Filed 1-6-76;8:45 am]

FILING OF MOTOR CARRIER INTRASTATE APPLICATIONS

JANUARY 2, 1976.

The following applications for motor common carrier authority to operate in intrastate commerce seek concurrent motor carrier authorization in interstate or foreign commerce within the limits of the intrastate authority sought, pursuant to Section 206(a)(6) of the Interstate Commerce Act, as amended October 15, 1962. These applications are governed by Special Rule 1.245 of the Commission's Rules of Practice, published in the FEDERAL REGISTER, issue of April 11, 1963, page 3533, which provides, among other things, that protests and requests for information concerning the time and place of State Commission hearings or other proceedings, any subsequent changes therein, any other related matters shall be directed to the State Commission with which the application is filed and shall *not* be addressed to or filed with the Interstate Commerce Commission.

California Docket No. 56123, filed December 11, 1975. Applicant: G & H TRANSPORTATION, INC., 1501 Chapin Road, Montebello, Calif. 90640. Applicant's representative: Donald Murchison, 9454 Wilshire, Blvd., Suite 400, Beverly Hills, Calif. 90212. Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity sought to operate a freight service as follows: *General commodities*, as follows: A. Between all points and places within the Los Angeles Basin Territory described in Note A and within the San Diego Territory described in Note B and between said territories, serving all points and places on and within ten (10) miles laterally of Interstate Highway 5 or 15 or U.S. Highway 395; and B. Between all points and places within the territory described in Paragraph A. above and Goleta, serving all points and places on and within ten (10) miles laterally of U.S. Highway 101. Except that pursuant to the authority herein granted, carrier shall not transport any shipments of: 1. Used household goods, personal effects, and office, store, and institution furniture, fixtures, and equipment not packed in accordance with the crated property requirements set forth in Item 5 of Minimum Rate Tariff 4-B. 2. Automobiles, trucks, and buses, viz.: new and used, finished or unfinished passenger automobiles (including jeeps), ambulances, hearses, and taxis; freight automobiles, automobile chassis, trucks, truck chassis, truck trailers, trucks and trailers combined, buses and bus chassis. 3. Livestock, viz.: barrows, boars, bulls, butcher hogs, calves, cattle, cows, dairy cattle, ewes, feeder pigs, gilts, goats, heifers, hogs, kids, lambs, oxen, pigs, rams (bucks), sheep, sheep camp outfits, sows, steers, stags, swine, or wethers. 4. Liquids, compressed gases, commodities in semi-plastic form and commodities in suspension in liquids in bulk, in tank trucks, tank trailers, tank semitrailers, or a combination of such highway vehicles. 5. Commodities when transported in bulk in dump trucks or in hopper-type trucks. 6. Commodities when transported in motor vehicles equipped for mechanical mixing in transit. 7. Logs. 8. Trailer coaches and campers, including integral parts and contents when the contents are within the trailer coach or camper; and 9. Commodities requiring the use of special refrigeration or temperature control in specially designed and constructed refrigerator equipment.

NOTE A.—Los Angeles Basin Territory includes that area embraced by the following boundary: Beginning at the point the Ventura County-Los Angeles County boundary line intersects the Pacific Ocean; thence northeasterly along said county line to the point it intersects State Highway No. 118, approximately two miles west of Chatsworth; easterly along State Highway No. 118 to Sepulveda Boulevard; northerly along Sepulveda Boulevard to Chatsworth Drive; northeasterly along Chatsworth Drive to the corporate boundary of the City of San Fernando; westerly and northerly along said corporate boundary to McClay Avenue; northeasterly along McClay Avenue and its prolongation to the Los Angeles National Forest boundary; southeasterly and easterly along the Angeles National Forest and San Bernardino National Forest boundary to the county road known as Mill Creek Road; westerly along Mill Creek Road to the county road 3.8 miles north of Yucaipa; southerly along said county road to and including the unincorporated community of Yucaipa; westerly along Redlands Boulevard to U.S. Highway No. 99; northwesterly along U.S. Highway No. 99 to the corporate boundary of the City of Redlands; westerly and northerly along said corporate boundary to Brookside Avenue; westerly along Brookside Avenue to Barton Avenue; westerly along Barton Avenue and its prolongation to Palm Avenue, westerly along Palm Avenue to La Cadena Drive; southwesterly along La Cadena Drive to Iowa Avenue; southerly along Iowa Avenue to U.S. Highway No. 60; southwesterly along U.S. Highways Nos. 60 and 395 to the county road approximately one mile north of Perris; easterly along said county road via Nuevo and Lakeview to the corporate boundary of the City of San Jacinto; easterly, southerly, and westerly along said corporate boundary to San Jacinto Avenue; southerly along San Jacinto Avenue to State Highway No. 74; westerly along State Highway No. 74 to the corporate boundary of the City of Hemet; southerly, westerly, and northerly along said corporate boundary to the right of way of the Atchison, Topeaka & Santa Fe Railway Company; southwesterly along said right of way to Washington Avenue; southerly along Washington Avenue, through and including the unincorporated community of Winchester to Benton Road; westerly along Benton Road to the County road intersecting U.S. Highway No. 395, 2.1 miles north of the unincorporated community of Temecula; southerly along said

county road to U.S. Highway No. 395; southeasterly along U.S. Highway No. 395 to the Riverside County-San Diego County boundary lines; westerly along said boundary line to the Orange County-San Diego County boundary lines; southerly along said boundary line to the Pacific Ocean; northwesterly along the shoreline of the Pacific Ocean to point of beginning.

NOTE B.—San Diego Territory includes that area embraced by the following imaginary line starting at the northerly junction of U.S. Highways 101–E and 101–W (four miles north of La Jolla); thence easterly to Miramar on State Highway No. 395; thence southeasterly to Lakeside on the El Cajon-Ramona Highway; thence southerly to Bostonia on U.S. Highway No. 80; thence southeasterly to Jamul on State Highway No. 94; thence due south to the International Boundary Line; west to the Pacific Ocean and north along the coast to point of beginning.

Intrastate, interstate, and foreign commerce authority sought.

HEARING: Date, time, and place not yet fixed. Requests for procedural information should be addressed to the Public Utilities Commission, State of California, State Building, Civic Center, 455 Golden Gate Avenue, San Francisco, Calif. 94102 and should *not* be directed to the Interstate Commerce Commission.

New York Docket No. T 1139, filed November 26, 1975. Applicant: J. M. McMAHON TRUCKING, INC., Foot of Ironton Street, North Tonawanda, N.Y. 14120. Applicant's representative: Michael Beilewech, Jr., 20 Cathedral Park, Buffalo, N.Y. 14202. Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity sought to operate a freight service as follows: Transportation of *Acids and chemicals* by tank motor vehicle, from the city of North Tonawanda to the Cities of Buffalo, Lackawanna, and Niagara Falls, N.Y. Applicant presently has authority for the reverse direction. Intrastate, interstate, and foreign commerce authority sought.

HEARING: Date, time, and place not yet fixed. Requests for procedural information should be addressed to the New York State Department of Transportation, 1220 Washington Avenue, State Campus, Albany, N.Y. 12226, and should *not* be directed to the Interstate Commerce Commission.

By the Commission.

[SEAL] ROBERT L. OSWALD, *Secretary.*

[FR Doc.76-343 Filed 1-6-76;8:45 am]

[Notice No. 1]

MOTOR CARRIER ALTERNATE ROUTE DEVIATION NOTICES

JANUARY 2, 1976.

The following letter-notices of proposals (except as otherwise specifically noted, each applicant states that there will be no significant effect on the quality of the human environment resulting from approval of its application), to operate over deviation routes for operating convenience only have been filed with the Interstate Commerce Commission under the Commission's Revised Deviation Rules-Motor Carriers of Property, 1969 (49 C.F.R. 1042.4(c)(11)) and notice thereof to all interested persons is hereby given as provided in such rules (49 C.F.R. 1042.4(c)(11)).

Protests against the use of any proposed deviation route herein described may be filed with the Interstate Commerce Commission in the manner and form provided in such rules (49 C.F.R. 1042.4(c)(12)) at any time, but will not operate to stay commencement of the proposed operations unless filed within 30 days from the date of publication.

Successively filed letter-notices of the same carrier under the Commission's Revised Deviation Rules-Motor Carriers of Property, 1969, will be numbered consecutively for convenience in identification and protests, if any, should refer to such letter-notices by number.

MOTOR CARRIERS OF PROPERTY

No. MC–1936 (Deviation No. 18), B & P MOTOR EXPRESS, INC., 720 Groff St., Pittsburgh, Pa. 15224, filed December 5, 1975. Carrier's representative: William J. Lavelle, 2310 Grant Bldg., Pittsburgh, Pa. 15219. Carrier proposes to operate as a *common carrier,* by motor vehicle, of *general commodities,* with certain exceptions, over a deviation route as follows: From Irwin, Pa., over Interstate Highway 76 to junction Ohio Highway 7, thence over Ohio Highway 7 to junction U.S. Highway 224, thence over U.S. Highway 224 to junction U.S. Highway 30, thence over U.S. Highway 30 to Fort Wayne, Ind., and return over the same route for operating convenience only. The notice indicates that the carrier is presently authorized to transport the same commodities, over a pertinent service route as follows: From Irwin, Pa., over U.S. Highway 30 to Pittsburgh, Pa., thence over Pennsylvania Highway 51 to the Pennsylvania-Ohio State line, thence over Ohio Highway 14 to junction Ohio Highway 14A, thence over Ohio Highway 14A to Deerfield, Ohio, thence over Ohio Highway 14 to Cleveland, Ohio, thence over Ohio Highway 254 to junction Ohio Highway 57, thence over Ohio Highway 57 to Elyria, Ohio, thence over Ohio Highway 113 to Milan, Ohio, thence over U.S. Highway 250 to Sandusky, Ohio, thence over U.S. Highway 6 to junction Ohio Highway 34, thence over Ohio Highway 34 to Edon, Ohio, thence over Ohio Highway 49 to junction U.S. Highway 20, thence over U.S. Highway 20 to South Bend, Ind., thence over U.S. Highway 33 to Ft. Wayne, Ind., and return over the same route.

No. MC–43421 (Deviation No. 35), DOHRN TRANSFER COMPANY, 4016 9th Street, P.O. Box 1237, Rock Island, Ill. 61201, filed December 22, 1975. Carrier's representative: Edward G. Bazelon, 39 S. La Salle St., Chicago, Ill. 60603. Carrier proposes to operate as a *common carrier,* by motor vehicle, of *general commodities,* with certain exceptions, over a deviation route as follows: From Peru, Ill., over U.S. Highway 51 to junction Interstate Highway 55 near Bloomington, Ill., thence over Interstate Highway 55 to St. Louis, Mo., and return over the same route for operating convenience only. The notice indicates that the carrier is presently authorized to transport the same commodities, over a pertinent service route as follows: From Peru, Ill., over U.S. Highway 6 to junction Illinois Highway 88, thence over Illinois Highway 88 to junction U.S. Highway 24, thence over U.S. Highway 24 to junction Illinois Highway 78, thence over Illinois Highway 78 to junction U.S. Highway 67, thence over U.S. Highway 67 to junction Illinois Highway 267, thence over Illinois Highway 267 (formerly Alternate U.S. Highway 67) to junction U.S. Highway 67, thence over U.S. Highway 67 to St. Louis Mo., and return over the same route.

No. MC–59583 (Deviation No. 53), THE MASON AND DIXON LINES, INC. P.O. Box 969, Kingsport, Tenn. 37662, filed December 22, 1975. Carrier proposes to operate as a *common carrier,* by motor vehicle, of *general commodities,* with certain exceptions, over a deviation route as follows: From Cleveland, Ohio, over Interstate Highway 90 to Westfield, N.Y., thence over New York Highway 17 to Elmira, N.Y., and return over the same route for operating convenience only. The notice indicates that the carrier is presently authorized to transport the same commodities, over a pertinent service route as follows: From Cleveland, Ohio, over U.S. Highway 422 to Ebensburg, Pa., thence over U.S. Highway 22 to Amity Hall, Pa., thence over U.S. Highway 11 to Binghamton, N.Y., thence over New York Highway 17 to Elmira, N.Y., and return over the same route.

No. MC–111383 (Deviation No. 40), BRASWELL MOTOR FREIGHT LINES, INC., P.O. Box 4447, Dallas, Tex. 75208, filed December 17, 1975. Carrier proposes to operate as a *common carrier,* by motor vehicle, of *general commodities,* with certain exceptions, over a deviation route as follows: From Oklahoma City, Okla., over Interstate Highway 40 to Flagstaff, Ariz., thence over Interstate Highway 17 to Phoenix, Ariz., and return over the same route for operating convenience only. The notice indicates that the carrier is presently authorized to transport the same commodities, over a pertinent service route as follows: From Oklahoma City, Okla., over U.S. Highway 77 to Dallas, Tex., thence over U.S. Highway 80 to Phoenix, Ariz., and return over the same route.

By the Commission.

[SEAL] ROBERT L. OSWALD, *Secretary.*

[FR Doc.76-344 Filed 1-6-76;8:45 am]

[Notice No. 1]

MOTOR CARRIER ALTERNATE ROUTE DEVIATION NOTICES

JANUARY 2, 1976.

The following letter-notices of proposals (except as otherwise specifically noted, each applicant states that there will be no significant effect on the quality of the human environment resulting from approval of its application) to op-

erate over deviation routes for operating convenience only have been filed with the Interstate Commerce Commission under the Commission's Revised Deviation Rules—Motor Carriers of Passengers, 1969 (49 C.F.R. 1042.2(c)(9)) and notice thereof to all interested persons is hereby given as provided in such rules (49 C.F.R. 1042.2(c)(9)).

Protests against the use of any proposed deviation route herein described may be filed with the Interstate Commerce Commission in the manner and form provided in such rules (49 C.F.R. 1042.2(c)(9)) at any time, but will not operate to stay commencement of the proposed operations unless filed within 30 days from the date of publication.

Successively filed letter-notices of the same carrier under the Commission's Revised Deviation Rules—Motor Carriers of Property, 1969, will be numbered consecutively for convenience in identification and protests, if any, should refer to such letter-notices by number.

MOTOR CARRIERS OF PASSENGERS

No. MC–1515 (Deviation No. 701) (Cancels Deviation No. 694), GREYHOUND LINES, INC., Greyhound Tower, Phoenix, Ariz. 85077, filed December 5, 1975. Carrier proposes to operate as a *common carrier*, by motor vehicle, of *passengers and their baggage*, and *express* and *newspapers* in the same vehicle with passengers, over deviation routes as follows: From junction Interstate Highway 77 and Ohio Highway 21 south of Cleveland, Ohio, over Interstate Highway 77 to Charleston, W. Va., with the following access routes: (1) From junction Interstate Highway 77 and Ohio Highway 82 over Ohio Highway 82 to junction Ohio Highway 21, (2) From junction Interstate Highway 77 and Ohio Highway 176 over Ohio Highway 176 to junction Cleveland-Massillon Road, (3) From junction Interstate Highway 77 and Ohio Highway 176 over Ohio Highway 176 to junction Ohio Highway 18, (4) From Akron, Ohio, over city streets to junction Interstate Highway 77, (5) From Canton, Ohio, over city streets to junction Interstate Highway 77, (6) From Strasburg, Ohio, over Ohio Highway 21 to junction Interstate Highway 77, (7) From Dover, Ohio, over Ohio Highway 39 to junction Interstate Highway 77, (8) From New Philadelphia, Ohio, over unnumbered highway to junction Interstate Highway 77, (9) From Newcomerstown, Ohio, over U.S. Highway 36 to junction Interstate Highway 77.

(10) From Newcomerstown, Ohio, over unnumbered highway to junction Interstate Highway 77, (11) From junction Ohio Highway 541 and unnumbered highway over Ohio Highway 541 to junction Interstate Highway 77, (12) From Cambridge, Ohio, over U.S. Highway 22 to junction Interstate Highway 77, (13) From junction Interstate Highway 70 and unnumbered highway (formerly U.S. Highway 21) south of Cambridge, Ohio, over Interstate Highway 70 to junction Interstate Highway 77, (14) From junction Ohio Highway 313 and Ohio Highway 821 over Ohio Highway 313 to junction Interstate Highway 77, (15) From junction Ohio Highway 821 and Interstate Highway 77, north of Caldwell, Ohio, over Ohio Highway 821 to Caldwell, Ohio, (16) From junction Ohio Highway 78 and Ohio Highway 821 south of Caldwell, Ohio, over Ohio Highway 78 to junction Interstate Highway 77, (17) From Macksburg, Ohio, over Washington County Road 301 to junction Interstate Highway 77, (18) From Marietta, Ohio, over U.S. Highway Alternate 50 to junction Interstate Highway 77, (19) From Parkersburg, W. Va., over U.S. Highway 50 to junction Interstate Highway 77, (20) From Ripley, W. Va., over U.S. Highway 33 to junction Interstate Highway 77, and (21) From junction Interstate Highway 77 and U.S. Highway 21 near Pocatalico, W. Va., over Interstate Highway 77 to Charleston, W. Va., and return over the same routes for operating convenience only. The notice indicates that the carrier is presently authorized to transport passengers and the same property, over a pertinent service route as follows: From Cleveland, Ohio, over Interstate Highway 77 to junction Ohio Highway 21, thence over Ohio Highway 21 to junction Cleveland-Massillon Road, thence over Cleveland-Massillon Road to junction Ohio Highway 176, thence over Ohio Highway 176 to junction Ohio Highway 18, thence over Ohio Highway 18 to Akron, Ohio, thence over Ohio Highway 585 to junction Ohio Highway 21, thence over Ohio Highway 21 to junction unnumbered highway, thence over unnumbered highway via Parral, Dover, New Philadelphia, and Newcomerstown, Ohio, to junction Interstate Highway 77, south of Kimbolton, Ohio, thence over Interstate Highway 77 to junction U.S. Highway 22, thence over U.S. Highway 22 to Cambridge, Ohio, thence over Ohio Highway 209 to junction Ohio Highway 821, thence over Ohio Highway 821 to Marietta, Ohio; thence over Ohio Highway 7 to Belpre, Ohio, thence across the Ohio River to Parkersburg, W. Va., thence over U.S. Highway 21 to Kenna, W. Va., thence over unnumbered highway to junction Interstate Highway 77, thence over Interstate Highway 77 to junction U.S. Highway 21, thence over U.S. Highway 21 to Charleston, W. Va., and return over the same route.

By the Commission.

[SEAL] ROBERT L. OSWALD,
Secretary.

[FR Doc.76-345 Filed 1-6-76;8:45 am]

[Notice No. 944]

ASSIGNMENT OF HEARINGS

DECEMBER 31, 1975.

Cases assigned for hearing, postponement, cancellation or oral argument appear below and will be published only once. This list contains prospective assignments only and does not include cases previously assigned hearing dates. The hearings will be on the issues as presently reflected in the Official Docket of the Commission. An attempt will be made to publish notices of cancellation of hearings as promptly as possible, but interested parties should take appropriate steps to insure that they are notified of cancellation or postponements of hearings in which they are interested.

MC 61592 Sub 354, Jenkins Truck Lines, Inc., now assigned January 12, 1976, Seattle, Washington, will be held at King County Courthouse, EOC-Class Room, 3rd Avenue and Jameson St.

MC 115904 Sub 37 and Sub 40, Louis Grover Reentitled Grover Trucking Co., A Corporation, now assigned January 14, 1976, at Seattle, Washington, will be held at King County Courthouse, EOC-Class Room, 3rd Avenue and Jameson Street.

MC 139527 Sub-2, M.E.M. Enterprises, Inc., now assigned January 19, 1976, at Seattle, Washington, will be held in Room 514, Federal Building, 915 2nd Avenue.

MC 124606 Sub 4, Ford Truck Line, Inc., now assigned January 26, 1976, Little Rock, Arkansas, will be held at the Justice Building, State Capitol.

MC-F-12456, Skyline Transport, Inc.—Lease (Portion)—O'Boyle Tank Lines, Inc., now assigned February 2, 1976, at Washington, D.C., is postponed to March 2, 1976, at the Offices of the Interstate Commerce Commission, Washington, D.C.

MC 108461 Sub 124, Whitfield Transportation, Inc., now being assigned January 26, 1976, for continued hearing at El Paso, Texas, at the Airport Holiday Inn, Airport Road and Gateway West.

MC 133614 Sub 5, Pappas Trucking, Inc., now being assigned February 24, 1976 (1 day), at Denver, Colo.; in a hearing room to be later designated.

MC 140707 Sub 1, High Plains Trucking, Inc., now being assigned February 25, 1976 (3 days), at Denver, Colo.; in a hearing room to be later designated.

MC 133614 Sub 4, Pappas Trucking, Inc., now being assigned March 1, 1976 (2 days), at Denver, Colo.; in a hearing room to be later designated.

MC 129631 Sub 44, Pack Transport, Inc., now being assigned March 3, 1976 (3 days), at Denver, Colo.; in a hearing room to be later designated.

MC 100666 Sub 294, Melton Truck Lines, Inc., now being assigned for continued hearing on March 8, 1976 (1 week), at Albuquerque, New Mexico; in a hearing room to be later designated.

AB 7 Sub 12, Chicago, Milwaukee, St. Paul, and Pacific Railroad Company Abandonment between Hopkinton and Jackson Junction in Delaware, Clayton, Fayette, and Winnshiek Counties, Iowa, now assigned February 3, 1976, at Chicago, Ill., is postponed indefinitely.

MC 77972 Sub 28, Merchant Truck Line, Inc., now being assigned February 23, 1976 (2 weeks), at Jackson, Mississippi; a hearing room to be later designated.

MC 141106, C. E. Riemer, DBA Riemer's Marina, now being assigned February 4, 1976 (2 days), at Chicago, Illinois, in a hearing room to be later designated.

MC 126276 Sub 133, Fast Motor Service, Inc., now being assigned February 4, 1976 (1 day, at Chicago, Illinois, in a hearing room to be later designated.

MC 141082, Door-To-Door Limousine, Inc., now assigned January 1976, at Hartford, Conn., is postponed indefinitely.

MC 133916 Sub 3, O'Nan Transportation Company, now assigned February 2, 1976, at Frankfort, Ky., is postponed indefinitely.

[SEAL] ROBERT L. OSWALD,
Secretary.

[FR Doc.76-346 Filed 1-6-76;8:45 am]

federal register

WEDNESDAY, JANUARY 7, 1976

PART II:

FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS COMMISSION

■

Ascertainment of Community Problems by Broadcast Applicants

Primer

Title 47—Telecommunication

CHAPTER I—FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS COMMISSION

[FCC 75-1361; Docket No. 19715]

PART 1—PRACTICE AND PROCEDURE

First Report and Order

In the matter of ascertainment of community problems by broadcast applicants.

1. The Commission has before it a *Further Notice of Inquiry and Proposed Rulemaking*, 53 FCC 2d 3 (1975), in the above-captioned proceeding, and 51 comments in response thereto.

2. This proceeding was initiated by a *Notice of Inquiry*, 40 FCC 2d 379 (1973), to develop standards for the ascertainment of community problems and needs by commercial broadcast license applicants.[1] Renewal applicants are presently required to follow the guidelines set forth in the *Primer on Ascertainment of Community Problems by Broadcast Applicants*, 27 FCC 2d 650, 36 F.R. 4092 (1971), which was intended to apply to them until separate procedures were developed. Based upon comments received in response to the initial *Notice of Inquiry, supra*, the Commission made specific proposals regarding ascertainment by license renewal applicants, which were set forth in the *Further Notice*, released on May 15, 1975 (40 FR 22091).

SUMMARY OF ACTION TAKEN

3. We have considered the roles and functions of the radio and television media in discharging their statutory responsibilities for service in the public interest and how the execution of those responsibilities might be affected by variations in station and market size, station formats and numbers of outlets in a given market. We have determined that television and radio differ in substantial and meaningful ways, but that these differences do not call for different standards of community ascertainment. On the other hand, such distinctions as station size and format may reasonably affect the way in which common ascertainment standards are met, as well as the manner in which programming responsive to ascertained problems is designed and carried out.

4. We are eliminating the requirement that an applicant compile a compositional survey. In its place the licensee must maintain, in its public file, a listing of certain demographic aspects of its city of license, including total population figures, numbers and proportions of males, females, minorities, youth and the elderly. Also, we have compiled a list of structural and institutional elements common to most communities and are requiring licensees to interview leaders in each of these elements. No *minimum* number of interviews has been established—the key remaining the representativeness of the leaders interviewed—but we have established a reasonable number of interviews which, if performed, would preclude any challenge to the gross quantitative sufficiency of the licensee's leader survey. Up to 50 percent of these interviews may be conducted by non-management level employees under proper supervision. We seek to emphasize that the other half or more of such interviews conducted by management should be allocated in such a way as to bring the officials and principals of a station into contact with a variety of leaders—particularly those who speak for the interests of racial and ethnic minorities and women.[1a] We are retaining face-to-face interviewing as a staple of leader consultations, but are liberalizing the format of these interviews to allow licensees to include less formal contacts with leaders in their leadership surveys.

5. We expect that the ascertainment process will be continuous throughout the license term, but are requiring the licensee to submit its community leader checklist only with its renewal application. Summaries of these interviews are to be placed in the public file. From these interviews and whatever other input the licensee receives, the licensee must present programming to meet some community problems. We are extending to all licensees the current requirement that television licensees annually list no more than 10 problems they have discovered and the programs broadcast to meet these problems. These annual lists will be kept in the public file and submitted to the Commission with the licensee's renewal application. Further, we are retaining the requirement that licensees conduct general public surveys of their community. These surveys may be conducted at any time during the license term and are to be placed in the licensee's public file together with a narrative statement of the sources consulted and the methods followed in conducting the survey.

6. We will adopt our proposed small market exemption which excludes all stations located in communities with populations of 10,000 or fewer persons, but not within a Standard Metropolitan Statistical Area, from certain ascertainment requirements. Licensees of these stations, however, are still required to remain conversant with community problems. The exemption merely allows the licensee to choose the method by which he will become aware of these problems. Further, licensees in these small markets are required to prepare annual problems-programs lists and to submit them to the Commission with their renewal application. (Para. 5, *supra*)

7. In the discussion which follows, we will set out each specific proposal from the *Further Notice*, an analysis of the comments thereupon, and our conclusions. Included as Appendix A are certain changes in § 1.526 of the Rules, while Appendix B is the new *Primer on Ascertainment of Community Problems by Commercial Broadcast Renewal Applicants*.[2]

THE ROLES OF RADIO AND TELEVISION

8. In the *Further Notice*, we acknowledged the differing roles of radio and television in serving the public. We stated our belief, however, that the differences in the two media did not provide a basis for departing from the basically similar ascertainment *standards* applied to both kinds of commercial broadcast facilities. 53 FCC 2d at 6.[3] Comments were made by a number of parties insisting that there are differences between radio and television translatable into differing ascertainment requirements for each medium. These are stated mainly in terms of economic and manpower resources available (Brandon-Robinson Radio Corporation and RKO-General, Inc.), as well as greater variety in formats available in radio (American Broadcasting Companies, Inc.). The differences are said to be such that the ascertainment requirements should be lessened for radio licensees.

9. Specifically, Storer Broadcasting Company suggests that the general public survey be eliminated entirely, and that radio licensees be required to conduct only one community leader survey during the entire license period, and file only one set of documents (the leader survey form and the problems-programs list). Midwest Radio-Television, Inc. suggests that the annual lists of problems and programs be dispensed with entirely for radio. ABC submits that if the Commission is unable to outline different procedures for radio, then there should be "* * * different levels of application unique to radio * * *" of the proposed procedures. Specifically, the numbers of leaders to be contacted should be significantly reduced for radio licensees, and only one triennial list of problems and programs ought to be filed.

10. The comments are more detailed, but nevertheless essentially repetitive of the materials before us when the *Further Notice* was issued. We thus have decided to leave unaltered our decision to treat radio and television in the same manner in their ascertainment requirements for the reasons set forth in the *Further Notice*, 53 FCC 2d at 6. We believe, however, that the effect of the procedures adopted here—some of them modified beyond the extent proposed in *Further Notice*—frequently will be beneficial to those

[1] Ascertainment guidelines and reporting methods for non-commercial educational licensees have been set out for comment in *Ascertainment of Community Problems by Noncommercial Educational Broadcast Applicants*, 54 FCC 2d 766 (1975).

[1a] See Q. and A.'s 4 and 13(a), 1971 *Primer, supra*.

[2] This proceeding is being kept open because there are some additional alterations to the *Primer* which we believe worthy of consideration with respect to applicants other than renewal candidates. These changes will be set out for comment later.

[3] Apart from these standards, however, we stated that "a station with few employees, for instance, cannot be expected to conduct a community survey as extensive as its larger television counterpart (footnote omitted). Similarly, how a licensee of a radio station decides to respond to the many conflicting and competing problems and needs of the public within its service area may differ substantially from the manner in which its television counterpart serves the public." 53 FCC 2d at 6.

smaller-staff, smaller-market licensees with lesser financial and personnel resources to draw upon for ascertainment. This is particularly true of the "small-market" exemption (Paras. 47–55, *infra.*), which covers roughly 25% of all commercial radio and TV stations presently licensed (only a handful of these being TV stations, however).

ASCERTAINMENT GUIDELINES FOR RENEWAL APPLICANTS: CONTINUOUS ASCERTAINMENT

11. We have stated our opinion that renewal applicants ought to ascertain throughout their license terms. 53 FCC 2d at 9. Continuous ascertainment is not new in concept but dates at least from the *Report and Statement of Policy Re: Commission en banc Programming Inquiries*, 25 Fed. Reg. 7291, 20RR 190, (1960) (hereinafter *Programming Policy Statement*). The novelty of the proposal in the *Further Notice* had to do with the continuity or periodicity of the reporting of ascertainment efforts, in contrast to the *Primer's* requirement that surveying be accomplished within six months of the filing of the renewal application. Requiring a licensee to record its ascertainment continuously or periodically throughout the license term received both support and opposition in the current round of comments. The National Organization for Women pointed out that one benefit of continuous ascertainment would be the spreading of the licensee's interest and involvement in the community through the entire term. CBS, Inc. says that it is more realistic to conduct an ascertainment continuously, and that the burdens imposed upon both the licensee and its interviewees will be alleviated through this stretching out, rather than being so tightly concentrated as is presently the case. McClatchy Newspapers states that it has followed the continuous ascertainment principle (as well as many of the other proposals in the *Further Notice*) for some time, and has found the approach to be valuable in establishing guidelines for programming. Heart O'Wisconsin Broadcasters, Inc. supports the concept because the listing of past programming with needs already discovered is more meaningful than attempting to propose programming for problems and needs which may exist at the time discerned, but not by the time the programming responses are made. Finally, the National Black Media Coalition supports the principle, but does not believe that the remainder of the proposals in the *Further Notice* are designed adequately to assure its effectiveness.

12. Opponents of continuous ascertainment, including several multiple station owners—e.g., Wendell Mayes, Jr. and John Quinlan Hearne—believe that continuous ascertainment will result in additional burdens upon licensees and upon the renewal process of the Commission, with no resulting increase in available information. They say that licensees are in such a position in the community that they hear about, or are aware of, all community problems and needs. Mr. Mayes believes further that the proposal will result not in continuous ascertainment, but in disjointed, periodic ascertainments. Similarly, Capitol Broadcasting Company, Inc. states that a licensee will never be able to get a single comprehensive picture of community problems and needs, but only fragmented glimpses. Finally, the Office of Communication of the United Church of Christ (UCC) argues that ascertainment is intended to be a prospective device for discovering community problems and needs with a view toward what programs will be proposed to serve those problems and needs.

13. The Commission is of the view that the principle of ascertainment throughout the license term is sound, and that the recording and reporting of those community survey efforts should complement the principle. At the same time, we have no desire to create three entire ascertainments—i.e., one for each of the yearly reports proposed in the *Further Notice*. Our aim, instead, was to enable the licensee to report the same single, continuous effort in three annual segments instead of one voluminous exposition near the end of the license term. We find persuasive, however, the argument that, under annual reporting as proposed in the *Further Notice, expectations* of what is required yearly—e.g., numbers of community leader interviews—will tend to rise until they approximate the levels of the current three-year effort. We are provided no comments, and we perceive no public interest reasons, why ascertainment reporting should triple in size and effort. Accordingly, we are adjusting our proposals to head off any such proliferation of community surveying and attendant paper work.

14. We shall expect a distribution of ascertainment effort throughout the license term (the exception being the general public survey, which may be done at a single discrete time during the license period). As is set forth in paragraph 19, *infra*, the licensee will be expected to achieve a representative survey of community leaders from the elements appearing on the community leader checklist (paragraph 16, and Appendix D) over the entire license period, rather than apportioning the contacts into particular time frames, such as the annual period of the *Further Notice*. Thus the change we make goes to periodicity, not continuity. We expect the licensee's ascertainment to be continuous, and believe that both timely performance and sustained evaluation of the leader surveying will be enhanced through the required deposit in the public file of information—such as that contained on the Community Leader Contact Form (Appendix E)—within a reasonable time after the contact has been completed. (See discussion at Paras. 38–39, *infra.*)

15. UCC is correct that *Primer* ascertainment has looked from presently discerned problems and needs toward future programming to treat some of those problems. This is so because the *Primer* was designed to apply to new applicants for broadcast facilities and proposals for prospective progamming to meet current problems were, *inter alia*, considered in determining whether a grant of license was in the public interest. The *Primer* was not designed to apply to renewal applicants who have operated stations in their communities and, thus, already have ascertained community needs and problems and are programming to them throughout their license terms. Nonetheless, this prospective element is retained under our proposals for continuous ascertainment, since earlier interviews provide problems-needs responses that may be the basis for later programming. In fact, ascertainment remains up-to-date if done in this fashion throughout the license term. However, ascertainment is chiefly a means to the end of better programming service to a community, not an end in itself. *Programming Policy Statement, supra.* The retrospective nature of the suggested problems-programs list for radio stations—like its predecessor for television stations, which has been requested of licensees since January 16, 1974—is one means of evaluating periodically the effectiveness of an ascertainment's programming results. This yearly look backward at problems and illustrative programming which treated them is, we believe, particularly appropriate for renewal applicants, who must "run on their records." *Office of Communication of the United Church of Christ v. F.C.C.*, 359 F. 2d 994 (D.C. Cir. 1966) at 1007. In sum, we do not think that the introduction of a retrospective element into an ascertainment scheme which is also prospective will detract from the purposes and effect of either community ascertainment or of the programming which is ascertainment's chief goal. Indeed, this method—which requires the licensee to prepare annually a list of problems and programs designed to meet those problems—will give rise to timely responses to current community problems.

COMMUNITY LEADER CHECKLIST

16. In an effort to simplify the compositional study required by the 1971 *Primer*, and to lend greater certainty to the survey coverage of a community of license, we proposed to substitute a "Community Leader Checklist" of "common socioeconomic elements" we believed would be found in most communities but which, in any event, could be added to or subtracted from to match the peculiarities of any broadcaster's own service area. 53 FCC 2d at 12. The Community Leader Checklist received generally favorable comments. CBS argues however, that the Checklist has the disadvantage of freezing the licensee's perceptions of the community. UNDA–USA says that the Checklist unduly narrows the scope of leader consultations from their present level. Other problems cited are the lack of a category, "Others", in which a licensee could enumerate contacts with leaders of elements peculiar to the community he serves but not found on the Checklist, and the possibility of confusion resulting from the overlap of some of the elements

on the list. RKO-General notes the same difficulty, and says that the Commission should make clear that the licensee's discretion will control the inclusion of a particular leader in a given category. NOW states that there should be included a requirement that the licensee include the total number of women as well as minority persons interviewed in each category of the Checklist. Storer opposes some of the categorization, saying that "public health" and "safety and welfare" should be separated.

17. Additionally, ABC supports specification of key elements within the community as an effective guide for ascertainment. ABC opposes, however, the concept and need for a Checklist, claiming this will merely increase the paperwork burden on licensees. ABC suggests a simple "yes-no" question in the renewal application to reflect the licensee's confirmation of contacts with leaders in each element, or the inclusion of the list of elements in the renewal application itself. John Quinlan Hearne also says that the checklist can be improved by recombination and reordering of the categories. NBMC supports the principle, but points out that the listing of elements should be done alphabetically. The Commission should also clearly state its expectations that minority persons are to be contacted in all categories, as well as the one labeled "minority and ethnic groups". NBMC also says that there should be a separate column in the form to indicate the number of minority persons in each category. NBC, on the other hand, submits that the Commission should make clear that licensees are *not* required to interview one minority person in each category, either annually or over the three-year license period. Finally, the Gay Coalition Task Force on the Media requests inclusion of the homosexual community in the list of common elements.

18. After consideration of all comments, we shall adhere to our decision to employ a Community Leader Checklist (Appendix D) as a means of assuring licensee contacts with a representative cross-section of community leaders, while at the same time relieving them of the burdens and uncertainties involved in the *Primer's* compositional study.[4] The Checklist is—with a few modifications adopted from the comments—thorough enough for most communities and yet not overly detailed. The checklist provides a general framework to the licensee, who must complete the details by contacting leaders within categories found in the community served. A licensee is permitted to show that one or more of these categories is not present in its community.

19. Among the commenters' suggestions we shall adopt are: (a) alphabetical listing of the elements; and (b) inclusion of a separate reporting category for women (the numbers of leaders contacted in all elements who are women) matching that for minorities. We have also included a category, "Other." This latter addition is intended to make allowances for certain elements not on the Checklist but peculiar to a given community, which the licensee could, at its own option, contact because of the size and/or influence of these elements. We do not wish the flexibility introduced by the "Other" category, however, to destroy the essential uniformity and common applicability of the Checklist by leading to disputes over whether a licensee should have perceived as significant in its community some element not otherwise covered on the Checklist. We intend, therefore, that if a licensee conducts interviews in all Checklist categories that apply in its community, its coverage of all significant elements will not be open to question. Whether the interviews performed in each element actually establish representativeness would depend not only on coverage, but on such above-mentioned factors as size and/or influence of these elements in the community. See discussion of numbers at para. 25, *infra*).

20. It is evident from the comments that clarification is necessary upon some additional matters. First is whether licensees are required to include women and members of minority groups in their contacts within each element of the community contained in the Community Leader Checklist. Licensees should be aware, from five years of practice under the 1971 *Primer*, that community leader surveys are to be representative of the significant elements in a community. *Primer*, Questions and Answers 13(a) and 16. If women as women, or women's groups as such, are significant, they should be represented in the survey; and the same is true for minority individuals and groups. But representativeness does not mean mathematically precise mirroring of the proportions of women and minorities in the community's population. What is true for the overall community leader survey is even more pertinent in considering the several topical elements of the Checklist. That is, it seems quite possible for a leader survey adequately to represent leadership by females and minority persons in an entire community without necessarily interviewing a woman or a minority individual in every Checklist element. Females and minorities may predominate in certain areas of community leadership, but they may be absent from others.

21. A further matter of possible confusion is the placement of leaders in particular categories. It is possible that one community leader could speak for more than one element from the Checklist. If so, should such a person be counted in more than one element? We believe that where the leader, in discussing community problems and needs, may fairly be said to have spoken for more than one element, that person may be included in all relevant categories. We will rely upon the licensee's discretion in this matter. Conceivably, that discretion might be abused if a single person were made to stand for too much of a community's opinion of problems and needs. But we will not draw that line in the abstract.

NUMBER OF LEADERS TO BE CONTACTED

22. We proposed in the *Further Notice* that the licensee's certification, in its renewal application, for consultation with "one or more" community leaders in each of the Checklist categories annually would "create a presumption of the adequacy of this part of the community leader ascertainment, rebuttable only by a clear and convincing showing to the contrary." 53 FCC 2d at 13. Our intent was to set some minimal standard of continuity, or at least periodicity (i.e., annual), and we attempted to make clear that tripling this yearly number of contacts over the triennial term was neither a requirement nor a guarantee—neither floor nor ceiling—of representativeness for the community leader survey. *Ibid.* at 14. A number of commenting parties (RKO-General, CBS, Dempsey & Koplovitz) requested clarification as to the number of community leader interviews giving rise to the presumption of adequacy for that portion of the ascertainment. Confusion is said to result from our reference to both quantitative (one leader per element per year) and qualitative ("representativeness") standards, and to two different time periods—one year and three years. RKO-General says that one leader contacted in each category annually should be sufficient. On the other hand, if a "representativeness" test is to be applied, and no number specified, then the criteria for that test, as well as some irreducible minimum number of total contacts, should be stated.

23. NBMC states the contrary proposition, that one leader in each category should not be sufficient to create a presumption, because no single element in a community is so homogeneous that a single leader can speak for the entire group. NBMC also argues that, should a minimum number be established, there should be a higher number for large VHF television stations than for other broadcast facilities. CBS submits that, if a test of representativeness is to be used, licensees be able to meet such a rquirement over the entire three-year license term, rather than annually. Midwest Radio-Television argues that the entire idea of a presumption of adequacy based upon minimum numbers reduces to a "ritual dance" what is otherwise a meaningful experience for licensees. Midwest observes that one contact of a leader in agriculture could be virtually meaningless in a large metropolitan area, and a single contact insufficient in a farm community. NOW says that the presumption of adequacy is an improper abandonment of the principle in the *Programming Policy Statement, supra*, that the chief ingredient of the licensee's service in the public interest is the ascertainment and meeting of community problems and needs. NOW also notes that there is no way of determining the relative importance of each element in the

[4] We note that currently all licensees will have performed a compositional study at some point either as purchasers or applicants for new facilities.

community, other than leaving this matter to the licensee's discretion, which NOW disapproves.

24. NAB argues that the Commission has said it is not getting into a "numbers game" when, in fact, it is. NAB also believes that the Commission is not actually relaxing any requirements or burdens upon licensees. ABC faults our failure to establish a minimum number of leaders to be contacted as being a numbers game without rules, subjecting a licensee to second-guessing by public interest groups and ultimately by the Commission. It is said that minimum numbers are necessary as a guideline, and that such guidelines could be correlated to the size of the SMSA, or the population of the community of license (where not located within an SMSA).

25. The comments received have caused us to reconsider as well-intentioned but unwise our efforts to place a floor under numbers of community leaders to be consulted. We sought to introduce a measure of predictability concerning ascertainment's quantitative aspects—a certain stability of expectation which would benefit both broadcasters and their citizen-evaluators. The magnitude and diversity of critical comment this inspired leads us to doubt the benefit in any change from the *Primer's* focus on a community leader ascertainment representative of all "significant elements" in the community—without reference to any "set number or formula." *Primer*, Question and Answer 14. We are inclined to agree, upon reflection, with the comment of Midwest Radio-Television that any effort to establish a presumptively adequate minimum number of leader consultations in each element—this number being the same for all communities, despite the varying importance of given elements in different cities—tends to place ritual ahead of representativeness.

26. We shall add a modicum of certainty to the leader ascertainment, however, by stating explicitly what we consider to be reasonable numbers of consultations which licensees in communities of varying sizes are expected to undertake during the license term. A licensee may conduct fewer interviews than set out in the table below, but a licensee who performs that number of interviews will be free of any question as to the gross quantitative sufficiency of its community leader survey. The relative coverage given to the several significant elements found on the Community Leader Checklist—i.e., whether representativeness has been achieved—would still be open to inquiry or challenge.

27. The following table of numbers of leader interviews takes into account several comments responsive to the *Notice* and *Further Notice*, as well as our own experience under the 1971 *Primer:*

Population of city of license:	*Number of consultations*
10,001 to 25,000	60
25,001 to 50,000	100
50,001 to 200,000	140
200,001 to 500,000	180
Over 500,000	220

LEVEL OF CONSULTATIONS

28. The *Further Notice* proposed to modify the requirement of the 1971 *Primer* that all community leader interviews be conducted by principals or management personnel of a broadcast station. We suggested that up to 50 per cent of such interviews ought to be permitted by non-managers or non-principals, if the licensee chose, so long as, during the three-year license term, principals or managers conducted at least one interview in each element of the Checklist. We further provided for supervision by principals or managers of leader surveying done by non-management station personnel. 53 FCC 2d 16. There was general agreement among the commenting parties for allowing 50% of the consultations with community leaders to be conducted by non-managerial employees of the licensee, so long as they are operating under the control of, and reporting results to, management personnel. For example, CBS states that this approach is more realistic, and will permit an increased scope of contacts. ABC, while supporting the relaxation, argues that the conditions imposed, particularly our admonition that one or more contacts within each element should be by a principal or manager, are unnecessarily restrictive, and minimize the benefits gained. In addition, ABC says that there should be no limitation upon the types of employees permitted to conduct ascertainment interviews, a point echoed by Haley, Bader and Potts, who express the hope that such persons as chief engineers, traffic directors and office managers would also qualify. RKO-General says that the limitation to 50% of the interviews by non-management employees is arbitrary so long as the control requirements are adhered to.

29. NOW, on the other hand, opposes interviews by non-management employees (as does NBMC), pointing out that such persons are not in decision-making positions, and rarely are able to influence major programming decisions. NOW urges that the burden upon licensees, if any, is a cost of doing business which must be accepted. Finally, NOW urges that, should the Commission decide to permit other employees to be involved, at the very least they should be employees in the upper levels, and not, for example, secretaries or maintenance workers.

30. Nothing in the comments on this point causes us to change our previous determination that non-managerial personnel ought to be permitted a degree of involvement in the ascertainment of community leaders, if the licensee chooses. Such participation constitutes an option, not a requirement. We reiterate the importance of leader contacts by principals and managers, and have decided to adopt the recommendations of the *Further Notice* that these decision-makers should account for at least 50 per cent of total leader interviews. We shall also adhere to the idea of managerial supervision for non-management interviewing. We have decided to withdraw, however, from the *Further Notice's* suggestion that there be at least one management interview in every applicable element of the Checklist. Instead, we wish to recognize that contact by a station's principals and officials may be more important for some elements than for others. We reaffirm, in this connection, the 1971 *Primer's* emphasis on contacts with leaders who represent the interests of racial and ethnic minorities and, by extension, of women. 27 FCC 2d at Q. & A. 4 and 13(a).

FORMAT OF LEADER CONSULTATIONS

31. Of several means for executing community leader interviewing, the *Further Notice* recommended that "face-to-face" should remain the "staple," but suggested that such sessions need not be pre-arranged or otherwise steeped in formality. Other methods mentioned included joint surveys by several broadcasters serving the same community, as well as telephone interviewing and even "on-air" discussions of problems and needs with leaders guesting on programs. 53 FCC 2d at 17.

32. Comment was generally favorable, to the effect that these relaxations from the *Primer* would result in more extensive and meaningful efforts on the part of the licensee, and ultimately in better programming for the public. (CBS, WKJB AM–FM, Inc. and ABC) The Greater Portland Radio Broadcasters Association noted that it has conducted joint leader surveys in the past (as well as individual surveys by member stations) and that this has worked to the benefit of both broadcasters and leaders. RKO-General made the additional request that jointly owned stations in the same market be allowed to conduct a single ascertainment for all stations so owned. McKenna, Wilkinson, Kittner (MWK) want us to make clear that other than "face-to-face" interviewing is not "inferior."

33. NAB, on the other hand, characterized the changes as slight, not truly a relaxation of the formalities of the *Primer*. NBMC believes that citizen advisory panels would be a better approach to community ascertainment. It argues that use of the telephone should not be allowed and that "town hall" and broadcast ascertainment methods should be used only to supplement face-to-face contacts, which it believes remain necessary. The Office of Communication, United Church of Christ (UCC), states that, while formality does not mean a "Victorian drawing room," it does mean something to impress the importance of the event on all parties concerned, which would be lost if any of the additional methods are allowed. Brandon-Robinson Broadcasting Corporation faults the proposed allowances as being still too rigid and formalistic, stating that a completely informal process would achieve the best results.

34. The comments generally supported our proposals for liberalizing the format of leader interviews, and we have found nothing in opposition which causes us to alter these aspects of ascertainment for renewal applicants. By retaining face-to-face interviewing as a "staple"

of leader consultations, we intend no label of inferiority for other methods. We appreciate UCC's concern that only a relatively formal contact will impress the parties with the importance of their undertaking. However, as we stated in the *Further Notice*, informal encounters might be equally fruitful, or more so, on any given occasion. 53 FCC 2d at 17. There is nothing wrong with the NBMC suggestion for citizen panels in supplemental ascertainment. Indeed, some licensees already are using them. To rely wholly upon such bodies, however, might affect both the representativeness of the interviewees and the independence of their responses. *Southern California Broadcasting Association, Inc.*, 30 FCC 2d 705 (1971). In any event, we see no reason to require citizen panels.

GENERAL PUBLIC SURVEY

35. The 1971 *Primer* provided for licensee interviews with a "random sample" of members of the general public in the community served—in addition to consultations with community leaders. *Primer* Q. & A. 13(b). The *Further Notice* declined to recommend deleting or substantially modifying this requirement, as some commenters urged, "in the absence of a clear demonstration that public surveying is unwarranted." 53 FCC 2d at 21. In the latest round of comments, the public survey met with positive responses from some licensees (e.g. Metromedia and CBS), but negative reactions from others. Among the latter, Storer repeated earlier comments that the public survey should be eliminated as time-consuming, burdensome and unproductive. ABC suggests that the function of public surveying essentially is fulfilled, continuously, by the semi-monthly announcements of renewal filings and of general trusteeship obligations recently introduced in §§ 1.580 and 73.1202 of the rules. Metromedia would like it made clear that only one survey of the public need be done over the three-year license term, and Media Statistics—a commercial organization specializing in public sampling—believes that, as a check upon the possible introduction of unfavorable variables interviews with the public should take place during a fixed time period as required under the *Primer*. The Greater Portland Radio Broadcasters' Association, noting that its members have conducted joint public surveys, and that this has lessened the burdens on licensees and achieved positive results, invites us to grant specific permission for such an approach. Midwest Radio-Television asks whether the Commission intends any distinction in the use of the terms "interview" and "consult" as applied to ascertainment surveys. Greater Media, Inc. suggests that the public survey should be permitted to consist of questions asked over the air, and responses to the station by telephone or mail. It argues that this approach would permit use of a readily available source of respondents—the station's listeners.

36. These comments, while diverse, present no clear weight of opinion for or against the general public survey, and tend to reinforce the tentative conclusion of the *Further Notice* that it ought to remain substantially unchanged from the practices developed under the 1971 *Primer*. We have discussed our reasons for believing that one-time surveying of the public may be more consonant with the idea of "randomness" than continuous public interviewing, 53 FCC 2d at 21, but we see no reason to bar more frequent or periodic surveying if rough randomness can be maintained. In response to ABC's point regarding the Section 1.580 and 73.1202 announcements, as well as Greater Media's suggestion, we stated in the report and order adopting on-air notices that they were not to be considered substitutes for ascertainment, *Formulation of Rules and Policies Relating to the Renewal of Broadcast Licenses*, 43 FCC 2d at 10 (1973). While responses of listeners may supplement ascertainment in making the broadcaster more aware of his community, we suspect that total reliance on such responses might not only introduce distortion or bias into the sampling but probably would produce more comments on program preferences than on the real matter of ascertainment—community problems and needs. *Primer*, Question and Answer 18. Answering Greater Portland's request for approval of joint public surveying, we see no reason why such methods could not comply with the 1971 *Primer*. Public interviewing may be done by a station's employees, or by an outside individual or organization having professional competence in the general field of polling, working under the licensee's supervision. We caution that if an association of broadcasters were to coordinate the surveying, it must somehow provide not only for the requisite technical expertise, but also for adequate supervision by each licensee taking part. A mere pooling of employees from each participating station to do the work of all—having among them no particular professional expertise—would not be sufficient, we believe. As we understand the *Primer*, a licensee may choose to survey the public by using either its own employees or an outside expert. But the employee-pooling arrangement described above would not insure every station a survey done by its own staff, nor would it guarantee professional competence. For the benefit of Midwest Radio-Television we have used the words "interview" and "consult" interchangeably so far as ascertainment is concerned—in the past and in this document.

DOCUMENTATION

37. *Community Leader Checklist.* Our decision, after review of all comments, not to require annual reporting of numbers of community leader interviews is discussed at Paras. 13–14, *supra*. In keeping with that decision, a single Checklist covering the three-year license term would be submitted with the license renewal application and simultaneously deposited in the public file. (See amendments to § 1.526, Appendix D) As previously noted, we make this change not out of any desire to retreat from the continuity of ascertainment espoused in the *Further Notice*, but to alleviate any concern or expectation on the part of broadcasters or citizens that three years' worth of ascertainment need be done by licensees every year. More important than quantities of leader interviews, we believe, are the timeliness and quality of the contacts, which should be enhanced by continuous interviewing, duly reported and filed in some fashion such as that suggested on the sample Community Leader Contact Form (Appendix E).

38. *Leader Contact Form.* In the *Further Notice*, we suggested a form for reporting community leader contacts which would provide more information to the licensee and the public, but which could serve its chief purpose simply through deposit in the station's public file and ordinarily would not have to be filed with the Commission. Radio licensees presently send with their renewal applications lists of leaders identified by name, organization and position of leadership.[5] We proposed adding to the description of the contact its date, time and place, and the problems and needs disclosed; the name of the interviewer, and the interviewer's supervisor, if any; and, finally, the date of review of the record of consultation by a principal or manager of the licensee. However, absent complaint or dispute involving the leader ascertainment, this information ordinarily would not be required by the Commission, and would not have to be submitted with a renewal application. 59 FCC 2d at 23. Many broadcast commenters expressed concern that linking leaders' names with the community problems and needs they identified would tend to inhibit their candor and reduce their willingness to participate in the ascertainment process. Westinghouse adds that the Commission expressed similar concern in its report and order adopting the 1971 *Primer*, and should have no reason to alter that view now. Storer thinks information about the leader-interviewee could be kept in the public file, and even suggests adding biographical data, but believes the problems and needs disclosed by that interviewee ought to be maintained separately and not be traceable to the spokesman. UCC objects to our proposal that the licensee be permitted 45 days after a community leader interview to deposit the record of the contact in the public file. It claims that a station doing numerous interviews near renewal filing time might not have to deposit forms until some time after the application had been sent to the Commission. Some broadcasters, on the other hand, suggest 45 days might not be enough time to prepare records for deposit where, for example, they derive from a joint survey not entirely under the control of any single licensee.

[5] Television licensees, however, may simply certify that the appropriate ascertainment materials have been placed in the public file. *Formulation of Rules and Policies Relating to the Renewal of Broadcast Licenses*, 43 FCC 2d at 48 (1973).

39. We acknowledge our earlier concern over the possibly inhibitory effect upon ascertainees if the problems they discern in the community were to be linked with their names. 27 FCC 2d at 671. Our conclusion then, however, was that "the choice of attributing specific comments to community leaders is a matter left to the applicant and the particular leader." (*Id.*) We adhere to that view. Any leader wishing his or her opinions of problems and needs to remain undisclosed may request confidentiality—and this may be noted on the contact form or other record of the interview. Frankly, we expect such requests will be rare. Most interviewees will not be strangers to the limelight, and will be accustomed to speaking to and for the public. The exposure of their views of community problems in a broadcast station's public file is not likely to restrain them overmuch. In view of our acknowledgment in the *Further Notice* of the wishes of some citizen-commenters for more and better ascertainment documentation at the local level, 53 FCC 2d at 23, we prefer to make the content of leader interviews public unless some restriction is requested.[6] Regarding Storer's suggestion for more biographical data on interviewees, that is a matter for station representatives and their leader-contacts to decide. With respect to the period within which interview records should be available through the public file, we shall draw back from any specified number of days and simply require deposit within a reasonable time—which we would perceive to be no more than 30 to 45 days in most cases. To meet UCC's concern, we shall require that any interview for which credit is sought on a renewal application be recorded and available in the public file no later than the day the renewal application itself is filed with the Commission (and, of course, simultaneously deposited in the public file).

40. *Annual Problems-Programs Lists.* The *Further Notice* proposed that radio licensees deposit yearly in their public files a list of no more than 10 significant problems and needs existing in their service areas during the preceding 12 months, and a related list of illustrative programming presented during that period to treat those problems and needs. Placement in the station file would occur on the anniversary date of the filing of the renewal application, and, upon sending of the application to the Commission, all such annual problems-programs lists from the term about to expire would be transmitted with it. 53 FCC 2d, 8, 23, 30. A similar requirement already obtains for television licensees. Section 1.526(a)(9) of the Rules, 47 C.F.R. 1.526(a)(9). Several broadcast multiple owners asked for clarification, in that we variously referred to "most significant problems" (53 FCC 2d at 8), simply "problems" (*Id.* at 23) or, in the text of the proposed rule, "significant problems." (*Id.* at 30) They urge that the reference be stated "problems," since the broadcaster has the discretion and the duty to evaluate their significance, in any event. Westinghouse praises the Commission for abandoning the prospective view currently followed under the *Primer*, i.e., the licensee must project programming to deal with problems and needs determined by an ascertainment which actually took place in the preceding license period. It is said to be more realistic to consider problems and responsive programs in retrospect. NBMC, on the other hand, opposes this retrospective approach. It argues that the link between problems and future programs is necessary in order to make the determination that a licensee will adequately serve the community in the coming license period. NBMC contends that there will be no way of determining a promise-versus-performance violation and such programming proposals as are made will be baseless. NBMC adds that the problems-programs list itself would discriminate against minorities because it is the problems and needs of the majority which will, quite naturally, be the "most significant." A number of parties fault the Commission for omissions or exclusions of certain types of programming from proposed § 1.526(a)(9), which may be counted as treating community problems and needs. RKO-General calls our omission of public service announcements "inappropriate." CBS argues that the Commission should reconsider its proposed exclusion of "ordinary news inserts," claiming that news is a valuable means of dealing with community problems, that there are First Amendment problems in making such an exclusion, and that this discriminates against radio stations with all-news formats. This point is also made by Channel Two Television Co., KPRC Radio Co. and WTVY, Inc. NAB, in supporting the problems-programs list, says that this is really the only material which should be required for ascertainment and that it should be triennial rather than annual, because 12 months is too short a time for a full overview of all problems and all responsive programs. NAB says that the yearly term invites short-sighted attacks by groups who are unable to see the broader range of community problems, or the overall programming of a station during the entire license term.

41. We shall clarify that the intent of our proposal, as stated in the draft amendment to § 1.526(a)(9), was to ask for up to 10 "significant" problems found in the licensee's service area during the 12 months prior to compilation and deposit of the list in the station's public file. The broadcaster wishing to depict his service in a favorable light, we suspect, would want to avoid listing "insignificant" problems anyway. On the other hand, we see no reason to invite dispute, and Commission second-guessing, as to whether five or seven or 10 problems on a given station's list truly were the "most significant" of the past year. "Significant" strikes the desirable balance between meaningful recording of service rendered and the licensee's discretion to evaluate not only the significance of a problem but its feasibility of treatment by the licensee's particular station.

42. At paragraph 15, *supra*, we have already responded to NBMC's concerns over the retrospective nature of the problems-programs list. We repeat that the renewal ascertainment proposed in the *Further Notice*, and adopted here, remains prospective, chiefly through the newly continuous recording of that process, and that the look back each year at the problems-programs performance provides an effective means for both broadcasters and citizens to evaluate the end and aim of ascertainment—namely, programming. With regard to NBMC's comment on promise versus performance evaluation (para. 40), it should be clear that the purpose of regulation is not the maintenance of "violations." If it is found to be in the public interest to change somewhat the nature of a broadcaster's "promises" regarding his programming, then we ought not refrain from making that change simply because the measure of performance to meet that promise also will be modified somewhat.[7] We suggest that a yearly problems-programs listing in the public file will give the citizen more information, not less, and that the citizen's ability to evaluate a broadcaster's service will be enhanced rather than diminished. We reject NBMC's contention that "majority" problems will turn out to be the "significant" ones chosen for treatment by the broadcasters, to the exclusion of minority service. We repeat our observation from the *Further Notice* that "ascertainment constitutes an effort to dig beneath the surfaces of majority opinion and conventional wisdom to discover and deal with needs that might not otherwise be exposed." 53 FCC 2d at 27. Noting that the problems-programs list would be required of *all* licensees, even those in smaller markets who were proposed for exemption from other ascertainment reporting, (see paras. 47–54, *infra*), we stated:

> The exempt licensee who fails * * * to program for [minorities]—notably the racial minorities protected under the Civil Rights Acts of 1964 and 1972, as well as our own rules—weakens [the] hypothesis [that he knows his community thoroughly], to the point which may cause us to inquire further into his trusteeship of a scarce broadcast frequency. (citations omitted) *Id.* at 28.

43. We shall also decline to credit announcements (such as PSA's) and ordinary "news inserts" (see Section 1.526(a)(9) of the rules) for purposes of the problems-programs list. There is no need for this Commission to defend the importance it attaches to news broadcasts in serving the community of license. It

[6] There is no requirement, in any event, that a contact record's summary of problems and needs contain direct quotes. Paraphrase, rather than confidentiality, may be the only protection needed in many situations.

[7] Under the present renewal application (FCC Form 303), of course, the licensee makes a number of representations about his programming, and some of these would continue to be made in the revised form (303–R) on which comment has been sought. *Revision of FCC Form 303*, 52 FCC 2d 184 (1975).

is also plain that we value public service announcements. While news inserts and announcements can sometimes respond to problems and needs, they ordinarily do not possess the length or depth to proceed toward a meeting or solution of problems. For this purpose, we seek *programs*. It is clear from the *Further Notice* that our concept of a "program," particularly on radio, is flexible enough to accommodate even the all-news station, 53 FCC 2d at 6, and that no licensee which takes seriously its non-entertainment programming obligations will have any trouble finding matter for its problems-programs list. As to NAB's suggestion that the list should be triennial rather than annual in scope, we are not persuaded. While we have elected to change the Community Leader Checklist from annual to triennial (see paras. 13–14, *supra*), the rationale applied in modification of that document does not hold for the problems-programs list. The latter possesses a limit of no more than 10 significant problems for each yearly list, while, theoretically at least, there are no ceilings on leader interviews. More importantly, ascertainment remains *continuous*, in the resolution reached here, whether interviews are counted every year or every three years. And the problems-programs list, as an evaluative tool for broadcaster and citizen respecting the programming results of a continuous ascertainment, rightly deserves more "continuity," or frequency, than the triennial compilation would provide. As for the NAB's concern with the broad overview of a licensee's program service, presumably that is met through appending to the renewal application problems-programs lists from each year of the expiring term—not to mention other information of three-year scope found in the same application.

44. *Demographic Data.* The *Further Notice* proposed replacement of the present *Primer's* "compositional study" with a checklist of institutional structural elements common to most comcapsuled briefly by certain statistical that the demographic aspects of the former compositional study could be capsuled briefly by certain statistical information maintained in the licensee's public file. 53 FCC 2d at 12, 31. A number of comments noted confusion in the *Further Notice* as to whether demographic information is to be prepared and kept for the community of license or for the broadcaster's entire service area. Storer and RKO-General stated that "service area" is too broad and difficult to determine, and the requirement should be stated in terms of community of license only. ABC notes that, while the proposal is an improvement over what is presently required, the Commission appears to be indicating that two sets of data are to be maintained, one for the community of license and one for the service area. It adds that the language stated in the proposed rule, "service area," is preferable and would avoid the necessity of separate compilations.

45. We agree that our discussion in the *Further Notice* was not clear on the areas for which demographic data was to be maintained. In view of the *Primer's* restriction to "community of license" for the compositional study, and in view of the likely variability—or unavailability—of data for "service areas" which cut across city, county and even state lines, we shall confine our public-file requirement to community of license also. Since the deposit of this data in the file is achieved by rule, we need a clarity which "service area" cannot provide.[8] At the same time, we think it appropriate to remind the licensee that if it undertakes to serve specific areas with definable populations outside its community of license, it might wish, optionally, to accumulate demographic data useful to the ascertainment and serving of those outside areas. Whether this optional or extra data is placed in the public file would be for the licensee to decide. Finally, while U.S. Census statistics are perhaps the most generally reliable, we do not wish to preclude licensees from the use of other information they have reason to believe accurate.

46. *Public Survey Description.* The *Further Notice* proposed placement in the public file of a "brief narrative statement covering the techniques and results" of the general public survey, such placement to be within 45 days of the survey's completion. 53 FCC 2d at 24. ABC suggests that the narrative statement consist only of the technique followed to obtain randomness. It claims that the description of results has led to present difficulties with special interest groups, who insist that their particular viewpoint did not receive adequate representation or attention by the station. ABC says it would be sufficient for the results of the survey to be simply available to the licensee, while the problems and needs so discovered could be traced in the annual lists of community problems. We believe that licensees should familiarize themselves with, and share with the public, the methods employed to achieve rough randomness for their general public surveys and the results in terms of problems and needs ascertained. The same information is available for community leader consultations, and we perceive no reason for dissimilar treatment here. We shall, in this connection, retreat from the specified 45-day grace period for filing this information after completion of the public survey, and substitute a "reasonable time" requirement as has been adopted for community leader interview data. We repeat our belief, however, that 45 days—or perhaps a bit longer for more extensive surveys in larger cities—should be an ample period within which to assemble and file the survey results. Moreover, we caution—again as with community leader data—that general public survey results for which credit is sought on a renewal application should be deposited in the public file no later than the date the application is submitted to the Commission.

SMALL MARKET EXEMPTION

47. In the *Further Notice*, we suggested a test of the hypothesis that "the broadcaster in the smaller community knows his town thoroughly, not only its majorities but also its minority elements." 53 FCC 2d at 28. We proposed that commercial radio and television stations licensed to such communities be exempt from all Commission inquiry into the manner in which they become aware of community problems and needs. We offered tentatively "to define a small community of license as one with a population of 10,000 or less (as enumerated in the 1970 U.S. Census) and which is located outside all officially designated Standard Metropolitan Statistical Areas (SMSA's)." *Id.* at 27. We invited comment, however, on "whether the 10,000 figure is an appropriate cutoff," noting that this would exempt from ascertainment reporting "approximately 1900 commercial radio stations and 14 commercial TV stations." *Id.* As we stated in the *Further Notice*, we believe that licensees in these communities must continue to maintain their awareness of local problems and needs. For the purpose of this test, however, we shall avoid any inquiry into *how* the licensee discerned which particular problems would be covered. *Id.* at 26.

48. Several commenting parties agreed with this exemption, but requested that it be extended to communities of populations larger than 10,000. NAB, Station KYMN and Central Broadcasting Company proposed a limit of 20,000; Bluestem Broadcasting Company proposed 20–24,000; Pappas Electronics at least 25,000 but preferably 50,000,[9] as did Dempsey and Koplovitz; while Brandon-Robison Broadcasting Corporation and KLIC, Inc. suggested a 100,000 limit. The reasons stated for raising the exemption were essentially similar. For instance, the NAB claims that a community of 20,000 has the same attributes as that of a community of 10,000. The station is equally likely, it is said, to have a thorough knowledge of the community based upon the licensee's presence there, and the importance of the station to the community. Such licensees are also as likely to know and understand the problems of minorities within the community. In addition, stations in such communities tend to have small staffs, thus making the burdens of ascertainment great. Finally, the station and its staff are equally as likely to be actively involved in their communities. Dempsey and Koplovitz points out that it would make sense to raise the figure to 50,000, in order to make this criterion of ascertainment comport with the size of community which has been deemed determinative by the Commission in the *Suburban*

[8] No such problem exists for interviews with leaders representing areas outside the community of license. Their addresses or affiliations can be identified with reasonable certainty.

[9] Pappas adds that 50,000 would be a valid cut-off because the federal government has defined such a population as being a "small community" for urban renewal assistance purposes.

Communities Policy Statement, 2 FCC 2d 190 (1965). Brandon-Robison notes that communities of up to 100,000 have the same kinds of information flow into and out of the station, with regard to community problems, and community leaders in such places tend to be business leaders, with whom the station personnel are in constant contact. Finally, as KYMN notes, it is the only station licensed to serve a community of 10,230 (Northfield, Minnesota), about which the station says "we are the community."

49. The confinement of the exemption to communities not located within SMSA's also received opposition. Dempsey and Koplovitz states that SMSA's contain many small noncontiguous communities which are entities unto themselves, and cannot be arbitrarily considered mere bedroom communities. NAB makes the same point, saying that the Commission's determination "defies reality." NAB argues that a station licensed to such a community is licensed to serve that community, has only the maximum power required to do so, and if the concern is that such stations are really serving the adjacent metropolitan center, then there is cause to believe that the Commission's suburban communities policy is being violated on a large scale, which simply is not the case.

50. On the other hand, there is opposition to the entire concept of the exemption. NOW points out that all stations have the same obligation to serve in the public interest, regardless of size or location. The exemption allegedly would effectively prevent public interest groups from performing their function of monitoring and analyzing the station's performance. Finally, the Commission would not be able to make the statutorily required finding that the licensee has served in the past, and will likely continue to serve, the public interest, because the basic information for making this determination would not be available. NBMC opposes the exemption, arguing that small communities are particularly dependent upon their broadcast stations, and thus the obligations of those stations are great. In addition, the problems of achieving interchange between the station and minority groups within the community are oftentimes particularly acute in these smaller communities. NBMC argues that the exemption violates the constitutional requirement of equal protection of the laws, in that the public—and particularly minorities in small communities—are not to receive the full protection and benefits of ascertainment. Finally, both public interest groups and the Commission will lose a means by which they may measure the performance of small-market stations. UCC urges that stations in small communities have at least as much need to be fully aware of the community and its problems as stations in any larger community.

51. Finally, proposals are made for additional exemptions to be applied to ascertainment reporting. Southern Broadcasting suggests an exemption for all licensees, regardless of location or size, if they have received regular renewals of their licenses—including the most recent ones—without major problems from the community or regulatory agencies. Spanish International Communications requests a special exemption for stations serving minority audiences. Such stations should be allowed to ascertain and serve only that audience, and exempted from making an ascertainment survey of the entire community. The station would, however, be able to obtain a broader view of the community by selecting spokesmen from outside the specialized audience served, but not on the scale presently required.

52. In the *Further Notice* we gave two criteria we thought the exemption level should satisfy: first, it should "create a large enough sample of 'exempt' licensees to make the experiment meaningful;" and second, it should keep the size of the exempt community "such as to admit of a reasonable assumption that the broadcaster knows his town thoroughly." 53 FCC 2d at 27. While we do not pretend to be statisticians, we believe that the approximately 1900 stations (virtually all radio) that would be exempted as licensed to communities of 10,000 or less outside all SMSA's is a sufficient sample, constituting some 25 percent of all commercial radio and TV stations presently licensed. This is not to say that the sample could not be enlarged, of course, and for that purpose we invited comment on other feasible cutoffs. We have found that the opponents of the small-market exemption do not dispute on statistical grounds, but dislike the concept altogether. Thus, no commenter attempted to demonstrate that a non-metropolitan community of 10,000 or less was not small enough to permit of the "reasonable assumption" noted above. On the other hand, many commenters suggested that communities are "knowable" well above 10,000. When the special pleading is removed from these comments—i.e. from licensees who fall rather narrowly outside the exemption and want to be included—the chief rationale for raising the exemption level appears to be the creation of a sample not only larger, as such, but more diverse and therefore potentially more informative to our proposed experiment. It is asserted, for example, that a greater number of multi-station and multi-owner communities would come into the sample. Similarly, since to retain the outside-SMSA restriction would be to rule out every broadcast facility in such megalopolitan areas as the New York-Washington corridor, why not remove the restriction and permit the sample to include some of those mid-Atlantic seaboard stations. (See especially the comments of Central Broadcasting Co.)

53. We have decided to stick with the exemption parameters in the *Further Notice*—stations licensed to communities of 10,000 or fewer persons not located in any SMSA. We are not attempting to define "small town" by this action.[10] Indeed, we acknowledge that there may be many communities of more than 10,000 population, some of them even lying within SMSA's, that are as knowable to a broadcaster as many of the communities where stations will be exempt from ascertainment reporting. But, as a test, we must draw a line somewhere. No commenter specifically opposed the 10,000 cutoff, although some attacked the exemption generally. As for the invitation of those favoring exemption to increase the size and diversity of the experimental sample, we have already observed the rought statistical adequacy of the approximately 1900 stations licensed to communities of 10,000 or less outside SMSA's. Moreover, without pretending to scientific rigor, we suspect that the more diverse a sample is, the less it may tell us—rather than more—because of the difficulty of identifying, much less controlling, the greater number of variables. Finally, Central Broadcasting Co. appears to have misunderstood our reference to "bedroom" suburbs and the knowledge of them possessed by the average resident. 53 FCC 2d at 27. Opposing the SMSA restriction, Central states that the metropolitan or non-metropolitan character of a small community is irrelevant to the question of whether a broadcaster can come to know the community well; and, in any event, it is the broadcaster, rather than the bedroom community's residents, whose degree of knowledge is at issue. Despite its imprecision, our use of the term bedroom suburbs was intended to identify a familiar phenomenon in metropolitan areas—namely, that many of the inhabitants live and work in different sectors of the metropolis, sometimes far removed. To this extent, we meant to suggest, it is harder for a broadcaster or for any citizen to get to know the community and its people. Indeed, the fund of common interests and information frequently is less for suburbanites than for individuals who both live and work in smaller, non-metropolitan communities. We believe that for the limited experimental purpose in which we apply it, the definition of a small community as one lying outside all SMSA's is sound, and we shall retain it as proposed.[11]

[10] At the same time, we cannot accept the suggestion of Pappas (Note 9, *supra*) that we import into our field of regulation a measure of community size used in determining eligibility for certain forms of federal assistance by other agencies whose missions are distinctly different from the mission of the FCC.

[11] The suggestion by several broadcasters or their representatives that the SMSA restriction is inconsistent with the Commissions' suburban communities policy is not only unpersuasive but out of date. First of all, the policy, when fully operative, had the effect of forcing a suburban applicant to prove that he really meant to serve the suburb in which he applied, and not a nearby large city. What this demonstration would have to do with how well the suburban licensee could come to know his community later is unclear. In any event, the Commis-

54. We believe that our discussion of the problems-programs list at Paragraphs 13 and 42, *supra*, disposes of NOW's and NBMC's concerns that citizens would be left with no way to evaluate the performance of a station in an exempt community. Moreover, we fail to understand how the modification of a policy—ascertainment—not established by any law, but instead falling within the discretion left to the Commission by the Communications Act of 1934, as amended, could deny equal protection of law to any citizen or group of citizens. We repeat that if the exempt licensee fails to carry out programming obligations toward significant minorities in his community, the administrative sanctions we possess should suffice to remedy the situation far in advance of any denial of constitutional rights. We wish to emphasize the following from the *Further Notice:*

> At its best, ascertainment constitutes an effort to dig beneath the surfaces of majority opinion and conventional wisdom to discover and deal with needs that might not otherwise be exposed. We expect all licensees to strive for that ideal, including those small market licensees who would be exempted from most reporting requirements under the experiment proposed herein. For the purpose of this experiment, we will accept as a given the hypothesis that the broadcaster in the smaller community knows his town thoroughly, not only its majorities but also its minority elements. The exempt licensee who fails, during this period of testing, to program for the latter—notably the racial minorities protected under the Civil Rights Act of 1964 and 1972, as well as our own rules—weakens this hypothesis, to the point which may cause us to inquire further into his trusteeship of a scarce broadcast frequency. (Citations omitted) *Id.* at 27.

55. Finally, we decline to explore Southern Broadcasting's suggestion that licensees be relieved of ascertainment on "good behavior," so to speak; and likewise elect not to extend, beyond the flexibility of the current *Primer* (Questions 25 and 32)) the specialization of ascertainment for specialized formats, as urged by Spanish International. We repeat our conclusion from the *Further Notice* that "all broadcast licensees have the same basic obligation to discover and fulfill the problems, needs and interests of the public within their service areas"—through ascertainment and programming. 53 FCC 2d at 6.

SUMMARY AND CONCLUSIONS

56. The above represents the Commission's view on ascertainment by commercial broadcast license renewal applicants. These views have been restated in a *Renewal Primer* (Appendix B) and effectuating provisions added to Section 1.526 of the rules. Such new forms as are required will be adopted, subject to General Accounting Office approval, in Docket 20419.[12] The *Renewal Primer*, the revised § 1.526(a) of the rules and the new forms will become effective February 6, 1976 for licensees whose authorizations expire December 1, 1976, and for all licensees whose authorizations expire thereafter.[13] Renewal applicants whose licenses expire prior to that date will be expected to follow the existing guides set forth in the original *Primer*.

57. This proceeding will be held open so that further consideration may be given to matters contained in the original *Primer*, as it applies to applicants for new or changed facilities. This proceeding is also being held open to further test the small market exemption, which we propose to revisit roughly three years from the time the first renewal applications are filed under the *Renewal Primer*.[14]

58. Accordingly, *it is ordered*, pursuant to the authority contained in sections 4 (i) and 303 of the Communications Act of 1934, as amended, That § 1.526(a) *is amended* as set forth in Appendix A effective February 6, 1976 (effective for licensees whose authorizations expire on or after December 1, 1976. Renewal applicants whose licensees expire prior to that date will be expected to follow the existing guides set forth in the original *Primer*.

59. *It is further ordered*, That the attached *Renewal Primer* (Appendix B), is adopted.

Adopted: December 15, 1975.

Released: January 7, 1976.

(Secs. 4, 303, 48 Stat., as amended, 1066, 1082; 47 U.S.C. 154, 303.)

FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS COMMISSION,[15]

[SEAL] VINCENT J. MULLINS,
Secretary.

APPENDIX A

In consideration of the foregoing Part I of Chapter I of Title 47 of the Code of Federal Regulations is amended as follows:

1. In § 1.526, paragraph (a) and subparagraph (a)(9) are revised, subparagraphs (11) & (12) added, and the note following to become Note 1, and a Note 2 added to read as follows:

§ 1.526 Records to be maintained locally for public inspection by applicants, permittees, and licensees.

(a) *Records to be maintained.* Every applicant for a construction permit for a new station in the broadcast services shall maintain for public inspection a file for such station containing the material in subparagraph (1) of this paragraph, every permittee or licensee of a section in the broadcast services shall maintain for public inspection a file for such station containing the material in subparagraphs (1), (2), (3), (4), (5), (6), (7) and (9) of this paragraph, and every permittee or licensee of a commercial television station shall maintain for public inspection a file for such station containing the material in subparagraph (8) of this paragraph: *Provided, however,* That the foregoing requirements shall not apply to applicants for or permittees or licensees of television broadcast translator stations, FM broadcast translator stations, or FM broadcast booster stations. The material to be contained in the file is as follows:

* * * * * *

(9) To be placed in the public inspection file every year, on the anniversary date on which the station's renewal application would be due for filing with the Commission, a listing of no more than ten significant problems and needs of the area served by the station during the preceding twelve months. In relation to each problem or need cited, licensees and permittees shall indicate typical and illustrative programs or program series, excluding ordinary news inserts of breaking events (the daily or ordinary news coverage of breaking newsworthy events), which were broadcast during the preceding twelve months in response to those problems and needs. Such a listing shall include the title of the program or program series, its source, type, brief description, time broadcast and duration. The third annual listing shall be placed in the station's public inspection file on the due date of the filing of the station's application for renewal of license. Additionally, upon the filing of the station's application for renewal of license, the three annual problems-programs listings shall be forwarded to the Commission as part of that application. The annual listings are not to exceed five pages, but may be supplemented at any time by additional material placed in the public inspection file and identified as a continuation of the information submitted to the Commission.

* * * * *

---

sion recently has decided to diminish substantially the application of the suburban communities policy; *AM Assignment Standards*, 54 FCC 2d 1 (1975); and any relevance it might have had to this proceding is correspondingly reduced.

[12] See *Revision of FCC Form 303*, 52 FCC 2d 184 (1975).

[13] We recognize that the Commission will be receiving applications from licensees whose expiring terms fall partly under these new ascertainment policies and rules and partly under the older guidelines of the 1971 *Primer*. We intend to review each portion of such a term by the standards then applicable. It should be noted that the small-market exemption is not available for licensees whose terms expire prior to December 1, 1976. With respect to the annual problems-programs list to be newly required of radio licensees, we shall not expect any radio licensee to deposit such a list in its public file prior to August 1, 1976, which is the deadline for the submission of renewal applications by licensees whose terms expire December 1, 1976. This ensures the better part of a year of notice to all affected licensees. Thus, a licensee whose term expires on June 1, 1978, for example, would be expected to deposit lists on his "anniversary" filing dates of February 1, 1977 and February 1, 1978, but would not have to deposit a list on February 1, 1976.

[14] The Commission will conduct studies to analyze and evaluate whether this exemption in fact has served the public interest.

[15] Commissioner Hooks concurring and issuing a statement; Commissioner Robinson dissenting and issuing a statement. Both statements were filed as part of the original document.

(11) Each licensee or permittee of a commercially operated radio or television station (except as provided in Note 2, below) shall place in the station's public inspection file appropriate documentation relating to its efforts to interview a representative cross-section of community leaders within its service area to ascertain community problems and needs. Such documentation shall be placed in the station's public inspection file within a reasonable time after the date of completion of each interview but in no event later than the due date for filing the station's application for renewal of license and shall include: (a) the name, address, organization, and position or title of the community leader interviewed; (b) the date, time and place of the interview; (c) the name of the principal, management-level or other employee of the station conducting the interview: (d) the problems and needs discussed during the interview or, when the interviewee request that his/her statements be held in confidence, that request shall be noted; and (e) for interviews conducted by non-principals or non-managers, the date of review of the interview record by a principal or management-level employee of the station. Additionally, upon the filing of the application for renewal of license each licensee shall forward to the Commission as part of the application for renewal of license a checklist indicating the numbers of community leaders interviewed during the current license term representing the several elements found on the form; provided that, if a community lacks one of the enumerated institutions or elements, the licensee or permittee should so indicate by providing a brief explanation on its checklist.

(12) Each licensee or permittee of a commercially operated radio and television station (except as provided in Note 2, below) shall place in the station's public inspection file documentation relating to its efforts to consult with a roughly random sample of members of the general public within its service area to ascertain community problems and needs. Such documentation shall consist of: (a) information relating to the total population of the station's city of license including the numbers and proportions of males and females; of minorities; of youth (17 and under); and of the elderly (65 and above); (b) a narrative statement of the sources consulted and the methods followed in conducting the general public survey, including the number of people surveyed and the results thereof. Such documentation shall be placed in the public inspection file within a reasonable time after completion of the survey but in no event later than the date the station's application for renewal of license is filed. Upon filing its application for renewal of license, each licensee and permittee must certify that the above-noted documentation has been placed in the station's public inspection file.

* * * * *

NOTE 1 * * *

NOTE 2: Subparagraphs (a) (11) and (a) (12) above shall not apply to commercial radio and television stations within cities of license which (1) have a population, according to the immediately preceding decennial U.S. Census, of 10,000 persons or less; and (2) are located outside all Standard Metropolitan Statistical Areas (SMSA's), as defined by the federal Bureau of the Census.

APPENDIX B; PRIMER ON ASCERTAINMENT OF COMMUNITY PROBLEMS BY BROADCAST RENEWAL APPLICANTS

INTRODUCTION

The principal ingredient of a licensee's obligation to operate in the public interest is the diligent, positive and continuing effort by the licensee to discover and fulfill the problems, needs and interests of the public within the station's service area. *Statement of Policy Re: Commission En Banc Programming Inquiry*, 25 Fed. Reg. 7291, 20 RR 1901 (1960). In the fulfillment of this obligation, the licensee must consult with leaders who represent the interests of the community and members of the general public who receive the station's signal. *1960 Programming Policy Statement, supra.* This Primer provides guidelines for the licensee of a commercial broadcast station to follow in conducting these consultations. The types of consultations required can best be summarized in a question and answer format.

A. GENERAL

Question 1. When must the community survey be conducted?

Answer. The licensee's obligation is to ascertain the problems, needs and interests of the public within the station's service area on a *continuing* basis. The licensee, therefore, must make reasonable and good faith efforts to ascertain community problems, needs and interests throughout the station's license term.

Question 2. What area should the community survey encompass?

Answer. The licensee is obligated to provide service to the station's entire service area. As a practical matter, however, it is realized that the service contours of a station cover a substantial geographical area. Thus, the licensee is permitted to place primary emphasis on the station's city of license and secondary emphasis outside that area. In any event, no community located more than 75 miles from the city of license need be included in the licensee's survey. Further, if a licensee chooses not to serve a community within the station's contours, a brief statement should be placed in the station's public inspection file explaining the reason(s) therefor.

Question 3. What is the purpose of the community survey?

Answer. The purpose of the community survey is to discover the problems, needs and interests of the public as distinguished from its programming preferences. However, a licensee may, if it wishes, also seek to discover the public's programming preferences.

Question 4. Who must be consulted during the community survey?

Answer. The licensee must interview leaders who represent the interests of the service area and members of the general public.

Question 5. Must a compositional study of the community be conducted?

Answer. A special compositional study of the community need not be conducted. We have identified typical community institutions and elements normally present in most communities and we expect the licensee to utilize this listing in conducting its community leader survey. (See Question and Answer 7, below.) We recognize that all communities are not the same and that other significant institutions or elements may be indigenous to a particular community. However, if a licensee interviews a representative sample of leaders from among the elements in this listing that apply to its community, its coverage of all significant elements will not be open to question. The licensee may, at its option, interview leaders within elements not found on this list.

Question 6. Must the licensee obtain demographic data relating to its community of license?

Answer. A licensee should have on file information relating to the population characteristics of its city of license. The population data required can be extracted from the U.S. Census Bureau's *County and City Data Book* and *General Population Characteristics* (two separate publications), or similarly reliable reference material. The information needed relates to the total population of the city of license; the numbers and proportions of males and females, of minorities, of youths (age 17 and under), and of the elderly (age 65 or older). Inclusion of data on portions of the station's service area outside the city of license is optional.

B. COMMUNITY LEADER SURVEY

Question 7. What community leaders should be consulted?

Answer. The community leaders consulted should constitute a representative cross-section of those who speak for the interests of the service area. This requirement may be met by interviews within the following institutions and elements commonly found in a community: (1) Agriculture; (2) Business; (3) Charities; (4) Civic, Neighborhood and Fraternal Organizations; (5) Consumer Services; (6) Culture; (7) Education; (8) Environment; (9) Government (local, county, state & federal); (10) Labor; (11) Military; (12) Minority and ethnic groups; (13) Organizations of and for the Elderly; (14) Organizations of and for Women; (15) Organizations of and for Youth (including children) and Students; (16) Professions; (17) Public Safety, Health and Welfare; (18) Recreation; and (19) Religion. A licensee is permitted to show that one or more of these institutions or elements is not present in its community. At its option it may also utilize the "other" category to interview leaders in elements not found on the checklist.

Question 8. If a licensee interviews in all of the above categories will the licensee be considered to have contacted all the significant groups in its community?

Answer. The Checklist is thorough enough for most communities and yet not overly detailed. Interviews in all of its elements will establish the requisite coverage of significant community groups. Whether this coverage is also representative will depend on such factors as number of interviews in each element, size and influence of that element in the community, etc. A licensee is permitted to show that one or more of these categories is not present in its community. It may also, at its option, interview leaders in other categories which may not be found on the Checklist.

Question 9. How many community leaders should be consulted?

Answer. A licensee should consult with leaders on a continuous basis. The Commission's concern, in this regard, is not one of numbers but of representativeness. The licensee's reasonable and good faith discretion as to how many community leaders should be interviewed to establish representativeness will be accorded great weight. However, we have established a reasonable number of interviews (see table below) that a licensee may conduct during the license term, if it wishes to remove any question as to the gross quantitative sufficiency of its community leader survey. Fewer interviews may be conducted if, in the exercise of its discretion, a

licensee determines that a lesser number results in a leadership survey that is representative of its service area.

Population of city of license:	Number of Consultations
10,001 to 25,000	60
25,001 to 50,000	100
50,001 to 200,000	140
200,001 to 500,000	180
Over 500,000	220

Question 10. What leaders in each significant institution or element should be consulted?

Answer. There are many community leaders in each of the enumerated institutions and elements. Due to the physical impossibility of interviews with all community leaders, and the practical impossibility of requiring interviews with leaders based on some ratio to population of their constituencies, each licensee is accorded wide discretion in determining what leaders in each of the institutions or elements should be interviewed from time to time. The leadership of some institutions or elements (e.g., government) may remain relatively stable throughout the license term and, thus, interviews with such leaders on several occasions can be expected. In this respect, each consultation with a community leader constitutes a separate ascertainment interview. The licensee should, of course, make reasonable and good faith efforts to consult with various leaders in each significant institution or element and not limit the consultations to the same leaders throughout the license term.

Question 11. Who can conduct the community leader consultations?

Answer. Principals, management level and other employees of the station may conduct the community leader consultations. (See Question and Answer 12, below.) When such interviews are conducted by non-management level employees, their efforts must be under the direction and supervision of a principal or management level employee. Also, the results of the interview must be reported to a principal or management level employee within a reasonable period of time after the consultation.

Question 12. Since non-management level employees may conduct community leader interviews, is it necessary for principals and management level employees to be involved in the consultations at all?

Answer. Yes. Community leader consultations may be conducted by any employee who the licensee believes is qualified for the assignment. However, a substantial degree of participation, as interviewers, by principals and management level employees is still necessary. Accordingly, 50 per cent of all interviews must be conducted by management level employees.

Question 13. Can a professional research firm conduct the community leader survey on behalf of the licensee?

Answer. No. The licensee is expected on its own behalf to consult with a cross-section of community leaders who represent the interests of the service area. Thus, a professional research firm cannot be used for this purpose.

Question 14. Must the community leader interviews take place in a formal meeting called for the specific purpose of inquiring about community problems, needs and interests?

Answer. The interview process allows for a multiplicity of dialogue techniques. Such interviews, for example, may take place during a meeting called for the specific purpose of discussing community problems, needs and interests, or in a business meeting with a community leader by a principal, management level or other employee of the licensee where community problems, needs and interests are also the subject of discussion. Additionally, such an interview may take place during community leader luncheons, joint consultations (see Question and Answer 15, below), on the air broadcasts (see Question and Answer 16, below), and during news interviews. In any event, appropriate documentation must be obtained (see Question 18, below).

Question 15. Are joint consultations between licensees and community leaders permitted?

Answer. Joint consultations between licensees and community leaders are permitted, provided: (i) each community leader who participates is on a roughly equivalent plane of interest or responsibility; (ii) each community leader is given ample opportunity to freely present his or her opinions as to community problems, needs and interests; and (iii) each licensee participating is given ample opportunity to question each leader.

Question 16. Can community leader interviews taking place during an on-the-air broadcast be used as evidence of a licensee's ascertainment process?

Answer. Ordinarily, a licensee should not rely on this method to ascertain community problems. When, however, such an on-the-air interview reveals a community problem, need or interest which results in the consideration of a future program concerning that problem, need or interest, the consultation may be used as evidence of the licensee's ascertainment efforts.

Question 17. Can community leaders be interviewed via telephone?

Answer. Face-to-face interviews should be the staple of the licensee's ascertainment process. The limited use of the telephone to conduct community leader interviews is permitted, particularly in areas outside the community of license, and other situations where reasons of convenience, efficiency or necessity might apply. However, a licensee should not, through over-reliance on ascertainment by telephone, abuse the flexibility that this medium gives the station.

Question 18. What documentation is required to be placed in the station's public inspection file regarding community leader interviews?

Answer. Within a reasonable time after completion of an interview, which we perceive ordinarily to be 30 to 45 days, the licensee must place in its public inspection file information identifying: (a) the name and address of the community leader consulted; (b) the institution or element in the community represented; (c) the date, time and place of the interview; (d) problems, needs or interests discussed during the interview (unless the leader requests that his comments be kept confidential); (e) the name of the licensee representative conducting the interview; and (f) where a non-manager performed the interview, the name of the principal or management level employee who reviewed the completed interview record. No credit will be given for interviews placed in the public file after the date on which the licensee's renewal application is filed with the Commission.

Question 19. What documentation relating to the community leader interviews must be submitted with the station's application for renewal of license?

Answer. Upon the filing of an application for renewal of license, the licensee must certify that the documentation noted in Question and Answer 18, above, has been placed in the station's public inspection file at the appropriate times. Additionally, the licensee must submit as part of its renewal application a checklist indicating the number of community leaders interviewed during the license term in the enumerated categories set forth at Question and Answer 7 above. If one or more of the institutions or elements is not present in the community, a brief explanation must be included with the checklist.

C. GENERAL PUBLIC SURVEY

Question 20. With what members of the general public should consultations be held?

Answer. A random sample of members of the general public should be consulted. For our purposes, a random sampling may be taken from a general city telephone directory or may be done on a geographical distribution basis by means of "man-in-the-street" interviews or questionnaires collected by the licensee. These techniques are illustrative, not exhaustive. Whatever survey technique is utilized by the licensee, there must be a full description of the methodology used to assure a roughly random sampling of the general public and an indication of the total number of general public interviews conducted by that survey technique.

Question 21. What is the purpose of the general public survey?

Answer. Here, again, the primary purpose of the general public survey is to discover the community problems, needs and interests of the public as distinguished from its programming preferences. (See Questions and Answers 3 and 4 above.)

Question 22. How many members of the general public should be surveyed?

Answer. No set number or formula has been adopted. A sufficient number of members of the general public should be consulted to assure a generally random sample. The number, of course, will vary with the size of the community in question.

Question 23. When should the general public survey be conducted?

Answer. Either throughout the license term or within some specific period during the license term, at the licensee's option. In either event, appropriate documentation must be placed in the station's public file within a reasonable time after its completion, which we perceive ordinarily to be 30 to 45 days, but in no event later than the date on which its renewal application is filed with the Commission.

Question 24. Who should consult with members of the general public?

Answer. Principals, station employees, or a professional research or survey service. If consultations are conducted by employees who are below the management level, the consultation process must be supervised by principals or management level employees.

Question 25. What documentation concerning the general public survey is required?

Answer. Each licensee must place in the station's public inspection file a narrative statement concerning the method used to conduct the general public survey, the number of people consulted, and the ascertainment results of the survey. (See also the reference to demographic data in Q. and A. 6).

Question 26. What documentation relating to the general public survey must be filed with the station's application for renewal of license?

Answer. Upon the filing of an application for renewal of license, the licensee must certify that the documentation noted in Question and Answer 25, above, has been placed in the station's public inspection file. No other submission is necessary unless specifically requested by the Commission.

D. PROGRAMMING

Question 27. Must all community problems revealed by the licensee's consultations with community leaders and members of the general public be treated by the station?

Answer. In serving the needs of its community, a licensee is not required to pro-

gram to meet all community problems ascertained. There are a number of problems which may deserve attention by the broadcast media. The evaluation of the relative importance and immediately of these many and varied problems, and the determination of how the station can devote its limited broadcast time to meeting the problems that merit treatment, is left to the good faith judgment of the licensee. In making this determination, the licensee may consider the programming offered by other stations in the area as well as its station's program format and the composition of its audience. With respect to the latter factor, however, it should be borne in mind that many problems affect and are pertinent to diverse groups within the community. All members of the public are entitled to some service from each station. While a station may focus relatively more attention on community problems affecting the audience to which it orients its program service, it cannot exclude all other members of the community from its ascertainment efforts and its nonentertainment programming. Indeed, many special interests may be adequately dealt with in programming which has a wide range of audience appeal.

Question 28. Must all community problems revealed by the ascertainment consultations be included in the licensee's showing placed in the public inspection file?

Answer. Yes. The purpose of the community leader and general public consultations is to elicit from those interviewed what they believe to be the community's problems, needs and interests. All ascertained community problems should, therefore, be reflected in the community leader contact reports and in the general public narrative retained in the station's public inspection file.

Question 29. In what form may matter be broadcast to treat ascertained community problems, needs and interests?

Answer. Programs, news and public service announcements. This includes station editorials, ordinary and special news inserts, program vignettes, and the like. (But see Question and Answer 33 below regarding the exclusion from the yearly problems-programs list of announcements and ordinary news inserts of breaking events.)

Question 30. Can a licensee use only news and public service announcements to treat community problems, needs and interests?

Answer. Not necessarily. It is the responsibility of the individual licensee to determine the appropriate amount, kind, and time period of broadcast matter which should be presented in response to the ascertained problems, needs and interests of its community and service area. Where the licensee, however, has chosen a brief and usually superficial manner of presentation, such as news and public service announcements, to the exclusion of all others, a question could be raised as to the reasonableness of the licensee's action. The licensee would then be required to clearly demonstrate that its single type of presentation would be the most effective method for its station to respond to the community's ascertained problems.

Question 31. When should matter broadcast in response to the community's ascertained problems, needs and interests be presented?

Answer. The Commission does not prescribe the time of day at which specific program matter responsive to the community's ascertained problems should be broadcast. Rather, the licensee is expected to schedule the time of presentation based upon its good faith judgment as to when the broadcast reasonably could be expected to be effective.

Question 32. If a licensee utilizes a specialized program format—such as all-news, classical music, religious—must it present broadcast matter to meet community problems, needs and interests?

Answer. Yes. It is the responsibility of the licensee to be attentive and responsive to the problems, needs and interests of the public it is licensed to serve. The licensee's choice of a particular program format does not alter its obligation to meet community problems, needs and interests. The manner in which the licensee presents such responsive programming may, of course, be tailored to the particular format of the station. (See, however, Question and Answer 27, above.)

Question 33. What documentation must be placed in the station's public inspection file regarding the licensee's efforts to program to meet ascertained community problems, needs and interests?

Answer. Each year on the anniversary date of the filing of the station's application for renewal of license, the licensee must place in its public inspection file a list of no more than ten significant problems, needs and interests ascertained during the preceding twelve months. Concerning each problem, need or interest listed the licensee must also indicate typical and illustrative programs broadcast in response to those problems, needs and interests indicating the title of the program or program series, its source, type, a brief description thereof, time broadcast and duration. Such programs do not include announcements (such as PSA's) or news inserts of breaking events (the daily or ordinary news coverage of breaking newsworthy events).

APPENDIX C; PARTIES FILING COMMENTS

1. William Armstrong (President of KOSI, Aurora, Colorado).
2. American Broadcasting Companies, Inc.
3. Ben Hill Broadcasting Co. (WBHB, Fitzgerald, Georgia).
4. Bluestem Broadcasting Co., Inc. (KVOE and KLRF(FM), Emporia, Kansas).
5. Brandon-Robison Broadcasting Corp. (WYAM, Bessemer, Alabama).
6. Capitol Broadcasting Co., Inc. (WRAI-TV and WRAL-FM, Raleigh, North Carolina).
7. Carthage Broadcasting Co. (KDMO, KRGK(FM), Carthage, Missouri).
8. CBS, Inc.
9. Central Broadcasting Co. (WCGC, Belmont, North Carolina).
10. Channel Two Television Co. and KPRC Radio Co. (KPRC-TV and KPRC, Houston, Texas).
11. J. B. Crawley (WCND, Shelbyville, Kentucky; WPTN-AM-FM, Cookeville, Tennessee; WMSK, Morganfield, Kentucky).
12. Dempsey & Koplovitz.
13. Gay Coalition Task Force on the Media.
14. Simon Geller (WVCA-FM, Gloucester, Massachusetts).
15. General Electric Broadcasting Co., Inc.
16. Greater Media, Inc.
17. Greater Portland Radio Broadcasters' Association.
18. Haley, Bader & Potts.
19. John Quinlan Hearne (shareholder of Santa Monica Broadcasting Co., licensee of KSRF, Santa Monica, California).
20. Heart O' Wisconsin Broadcasters, Inc. (WISM and WISM-FM, Madison, Wisconsin).
21. Mary Ann Heller.
22. Joint Comments of Radio Licenses.
23. Joint Comments of Television Licenses.
24. KCOK, Inc.; Concerned Communications Corp.; C & M Broadcasting, Inc.; Paulina Broadcasting Corp.; and KQEN Broadcasting, Inc.
25. KLIC, Inc. (KLIC, Monroe, Louisiana).
26. KYMN Radio (KYMN, Northfield, Minnesota).
27. Mark Media, Inc. (WKYK, Burnsville, North Carolina; WCSL, Cherrysville, North Carolina; WKKR, Pickens, North Carolina; and WKHJ, Holly Hall, South Carolina).
28. Wendell Mayes, Jr. (of the Wendell Mayes stations).
29. McClatchy Newspapers.
30. Media Statistics, Inc.
31. Metromedia, Inc.
32. Midwest Radio-Television, Inc. (WCCO AM/FM/TV, Minneapolis, Minnesota).
33. National Association of Broadcasters (NAB).
34. National Black Media Coalition (NBMC).
35. National Broadcasting Co., Inc. (NBC).
36. National Organization for Women (NOW).
37. National Urban League, Inc.
38. Office of Communication of the United Church of Christ (UCC).
39. Ozark Broadcasting Corp. (WZOK and WOAB-FM, Ozark, Alabama).
40. Pappas Electronics, Inc. (KGEN and KBOS-FM, Tulare, California).
41. Progressive Broadcasting Co. (KCCO, KRCG-FM, Lawton, Oklahoma).
42. Public Interest Research Group; the California Citizen Action Group; The Connecticut Citizen Action Group; the Missouri Public Interest Research Group; the North Carolina Public Interest Research Group; the New Jersey Public Interest Research Group; and the Oregon Student Public Interest Research Group.
43. Radio Station WKJB AM-FM, Inc. (Mayaguez, Puerto Rico).
44. RKO General, Inc.
45. Southern Broadcasting Co.
46. Spanish International Communications Corp.
47. Storer Broadcasting Co.
48. UNDA-USA (National Association for Broadcasters and Allied Communicators).
49. West Virginia Radio Corp. (WAJR and WAJR-FM, Morgantown, West Virginia).
50. Westinghouse Broadcasting Co., Inc.
51. WTVY, Inc. [WTVY(TV) and WTVY-FM, Dothan, Alabama].

APPENDIX D

SAMPLE – COMMUNITY LEADER ANNUAL CHECKLIST

Institution/Element	Number	Not Applicable (Explain briefly)
1. Agriculture		
2. Business		
3. Charities		
4. Civic, Neighborhood and Fraternal Organizations		
5. Consumer Services		
6. Culture		
7. Education		
8. Environment		
9. Government (local, county, state & federal)		
10. Labor		
11. Military		
12. Minority and ethnic groups		
13. Organizations of and for the Elderly		
14. Organizations of and for Women		
15. Organizations of and for Youth (including children) and Students.		
16. Professions		
17. Public Safety, Health and Welfare		
18. Recreation		
19. Religion		
20. Other		
While the following are not regarded as separate community elements for purposes of this survey, indicate the number of leaders interviewed in all elements above who are:		
(a) Blacks		
(b) Hispanic, Spanish speaking or Spanish-surnamed Americans.		
(c) American Indians		
(d) Orientals		
(e) Women		

APPENDIX E

SUGGESTED LEADER CONTACT FORM

Date:

Name and address of person contacted: _____

Organization(s) or group(s) represented by person contacted: _____

Date, time and place of contact: _____

Method of contact: _____

Problems, needs and interests identified by person contacted: _____

Name of interviewer: _____

Reviewed by _____ Position _____

Date _____

TABLE 1. Standard Metropolitan Statistical Areas

AREA CODE	AREA TITLE
0040	ABILENE, TX
	Callahan County
	Jones County
	Taylor County
0800	AKRON, OH
	Portage County
	Summit County
0120	ALBANY, GA
	Dougherty County
	Lee County
0160	ALBANY-SCHENECTADY-TROY, NY
	Albany County
	Montgomery County
	Rensselaer County
	Saratoga County
	Schenectady County
0200	ALBUQUERQUE, NM
	Bernalillo County
	Sandoval County
0220	ALEXANDRIA, LA
	Grant Parish
	Rapides Parish
0240	ALLENTOWN-BETHLEHEM-EASTON, PA-NJ
	Carbon County, PA
	Lehigh County, PA
	Northampton County, PA
	Warren County, NJ
0280	ALTOONA, PA
	Blair County
0320	AMARILLO, TX
	Potter County
	Randall County
0360	ANAHEIM-SANTA ANA-GARDEN GROVE, CA
	Orange County
0380	ANCHORAGE, AK
	Anchorage Census Division
0400	ANDERSON, IN
	Madison County
0440	ANN ARBOR, MI
	Washtenaw County
*0450	ANNISTON, ALA
	Calhoun County
0460	APPLETON-OSHKOSH, WI
	Calumet County
	Outagamie County
	Winnebago County
0480	ASHEVILLE, NC
	Buncombe County
	Madison County
0520	ATLANTA, GA
	Butts County
	Cherokee County
	Clayton County
	Cobb County
	De Kalb County
	Douglas County
	Fayette County
	Forsyth County
	Fulton County
	Gwinnett County
	Henry County
	Newton County
	Paulding County
	Rockdale County
	Walton County
0560	ATLANTIC CITY, NJ
	Atlantic County
0600	AUGUSTA, GA-SC
	Columbia County, GA
	Richmond County, GA
	Aiken County, SC
0640	AUSTIN, TX
	Hays County
	Travis County
0680	BAKERSFIELD, CA
	Kern County
0720	BALTIMORE, MD
	Baltimore city
	Anne Arundel County
	Baltimore County
	Carroll County
	Harford County
	Howard County
0760	BATON ROUGE, LA
	Ascension Parish
	East Baton Rouge Parish
	Livingston Parish
	West Baton Rouge Parish
0780	BATTLE CREEK, MI
	Barry County
	Calhoun County
0800	BAY CITY, MI
	Bay County
0840	BEAUMONT-PORT ARTHUR-ORANGE, TX
	Hardin County
	Jefferson County
	Orange County
0880	BILLINGS, MT.
	Yellowstone County
0920	BILOXI-GULFPORT, MS
	Hancock County
	Harrison County
	Stone County
0960	BINGHAMTON, NY-PA
	Broome County, NY
	Tioga County, NY
	Susquehanna County, PA

TABLE 1. Standard Metropolitan Statistical Areas

AREA CODE	AREA TITLE
1000	BIRMINGHAM, AL
	Jefferson County
	St. Clair County
	Shelby County
	Walker County
1040	BLOOMINGTON-NORMAL, IL
	McLean County
1080	BOISE CITY, ID
	Ada County
1120	BOSTON, MA
	Essex County (part)
	Beverly city
	Lynn city
	Peabody city
	Salem city
	Boxford town
	Danvers town
	Hamilton town
	Lynnfield town
	Manchester town
	Marblehead town
	Middleton town
	Nahant town
	Saugus town
	Swampscott town
	Topsfield town
	Wenham town
	Middlesex County (part)
	Cambridge city
	Everett city
	Malden city
	Medford city
	Melrose city
	Newton city
	Somerville city
	Waltham city
	Woburn city
	Acton town
	Arlington town
	Ashland town
	Bedford town
	Belmont town
	Boxborough town
	Burlington town
	Carlisle town
	Concord town
	Framingham town
	Holliston town
	Lexington town
	Lincoln town
	Natick town
	North Reading town
	Reading town
	Sherborn town
	Stoneham town
	Sudbury town
	Wakefield town
	Watertown town
	Wayland town
	Weston town
	Wilmington town
	Winchester town
	Norfolk County (part)
	Quincy city
	Bellingham town
	Braintree town
	Brookline town
	Canton town
	Cohasset town
	Dedham town
	Dover town
	Foxborough town
	Franklin town
	Holbrook town
	Medfield town
	Medway town
	Millis town
	Milton town
	Needham town
	Norfolk town
	Norwood town
	Randolph town
	Sharon town
	Stoughton town
	Walpole town
	Wellesley town
	Westwood town
	Weymouth town
	Wrentham town
	Plymouth County (part)
	Abington town
	Duxbury town
	Hanover town
	Hanson town
	Hingham town
	Hull town
	Kingston town
	Marshfield town
	Norwell town
	Pembroke town
	Rockland town
	Scituate town
	Suffolk County
	Boston city
	Chelsea city
	Revere city
	Winthrop town
1160	BRIDGEPORT, CT
	Fairfield County (part)
	Bridgeport city
	Shelton city
	Easton town
	Fairfield town
	Monroe town
	Stratford town
	Trumbull town
	New Haven County
	Derby city
	Milford city

TABLE 1. Standard Metropolitan Statistical Areas

AREA CODE	AREA TITLE
1170	BRISTOL, CT
	Hartford County (part)
	Bristol city
	Burlington town
	Litchfield County (part)
	Plymouth town
1200	BROCKTON, MA
	Bristol County (part)
	Easton town
	Norfolk County (part)
	Avon town
	Plymouth County (part)
	Brockton city
	Bridgewater town
	East Bridgewater town
	Halifax town
	West Bridgewater town
	Whitman town
1240	BROWNSVILLE-HARLINGEN-SAN BENITO, TX
	Cameron County
1260	BRYAN-COLLEGE STATION, TX
	Brazos County
1280	BUFFALO, NY
	Erie County
	Niagara County
1300	BURLINGTON, NC
	Alamance County
1310	CAGUAS, PR
	Caguas Municipio
	Gurabo Municipio
	San Lorenzo Municipio
1320	CANTON, OH
	Carroll County
	Stark County
1360	CEDAR RAPIDS, IA
	Linn County
1400	CHAMPAIGN-URBANA-RANTOUL, IL
	Champaign County
1440	CHARLESTON, SC
	Berkeley County
	Charleston County
	Dorchester County
1480	CHARLESTON, WV
	Kanawha County
	Putnam County
1520	CHARLOTTE-GASTONIA, NC
	Gaston County
	Mecklenburg County
	Union County
1560	CHATTANOOGA, TN-GA
	Hamilton County, TN
	Marion County, TN
	Sequatchie County, TN
	Catoosa County, GA
	Dade County, GA
	Walker County, GA
1600	CHICAGO, IL
	Cook County
	Du Page County
	Kane County
	Lake County
	McHenry County
	Will County
1640	CINCINNATI, OH-KY-IN
	Clermont County, OH
	Hamilton County, OH
	Warren County, OH
	Boone County, KY
	Campbell County, KY
	Kenton County, KY
	Dearborn County, IN
*1660	CLARKSVILLE-HOPKINSVILLE, TN-KY
	Montgomery County, TN
	Christian County, KY
1680	CLEVELAND, OH
	Cuyahoga County
	Geauga County
	Lake County
	Medina County
1720	COLORADO SPRINGS, CO
	El Paso County
	Teller County
1740	COLUMBIA, MO
	Boone County
1760	COLUMBIA, SC
	Lexington County
	Richland County
*1800	COLUMBUS, GA-AL
	Columbus, GA (cons. gov.)
	Chattahoochee County, GA
	Russell County, AL
1840	COLUMBUS, OH
	Delaware County
	Fairfield County
	Franklin County
	Madison County
	Pickaway County
1880	CORPUS CHRISTI, TX
	Nueces County
	San Patricio County
1920	DALLAS-FORT WORTH, TX
	Collin County
	Dallas County
	Denton County
	Ellis County
	Hood County
	Johnson County
	Kaufman County
	Parker County
	Rockwall County
	Tarrant County
	Wise County

TABLE 1. Standard Metropolitan Statistical Areas

AREA CODE	AREA TITLE
*1930	DANBURY, CT
	Fairfield County (part)
	Danbury city
	Bethel town
	Brookfield town
	New Fairfield town
	Newtown town
	Redding town
	Litchfield County (part)
	New Milford town
*1960	DAVENPORT-ROCK ISLAND-MOLINE, IA-IL
	Scott County, IA
	Henry County, IL
	Rock Island County, IL
2000	DAYTON, OH
	Greene County
	Miami County
	Montgomery County
	Preble County
2020	DAYTONA BEACH, FL
	Volusia County
2040	DECATUR, IL
	Macon County
2080	DENVER-BOULDER, CO
	Adams County
	Arapahoe County
	Boulder County
	Denver County
	Douglas County
	Gilpin County
	Jefferson County
2120	DES MOINES, IA
	Polk County
	Warren County
2160	DETROIT, MI
	Lapeer County
	Livingston County
	Macomb County
	Oakland County
	St. Clair County
	Wayne County
2200	DUBUQUE, IA
	Dubuque County
2240	DULUTH-SUPERIOR, MN-WI
	St. Louis County, MN
	Douglas County, WI
2280	*(Deleted) See 6640*
2320	EL PASO, TX
	El Paso County
2335	ELMIRA, NY
	Chemung County
2360	ERIE, PA
	Erie County
2400	EUGENE-SPRINGFIELD, OR
	Lane County
2440	EVANSVILLE, IN-KY
	Gibson County, IN
	Posey County, IN
	Vanderburgh County, IN
	Warrick County, IN
	Henderson County, KY
2480	FALL RIVER, MA-RI
	Bristol County (part), MA
	Fall River city
	Dighton town
	Somerset town
	Swansea town
	Westport town
	Newport County (part), RI
	Little Compton town
	Portsmouth town
	Tiverton town
2520	FARGO-MOORHEAD, ND-MN
	Cass County, ND
	Clay County, MN
2560	FAYETTEVILLE, NC
	Cumberland County
2580	FAYETTEVILLE-SPRINGDALE, AR
	Benton County
	Washington County
2600	FITCHBURG-LEOMINSTER, MA
	Middlesex County (part)
	Shirley town
	Townsend town
	Worcester County (part)
	Fitchburg city
	Leominster city
	Lunenburg town
	Westminster town
2640	FLINT, MI
	Genesee County
	Shiawassee County
2650	FLORENCE, AL
	Colbert County
	Lauderdale County
2680	FORT LAUDERDALE-HOLLYWOOD, FL
	Broward County
2700	FORT MYERS, FL
	Lee County
2720	FORT SMITH, AR-OK
	Crawford County, AR
	Sebastian County, AR
	Le Flore County, OK
	Sequoyah County, OK
2760	FORT WAYNE, IN
	Adams County
	Allen County
	De Kalb County
	Wells County
2800	*(Deleted) See 1920*

TABLE 1. Standard Metropolitan Statistical Areas

AREA CODE	AREA TITLE
2840	FRESNO, CA
	Fresno County
2880	GADSDEN, AL
	Etowah County
2900	GAINESVILLE, FL
	Alachua County
2920	GALVESTON-TEXAS CITY, TX
	Galveston County
2960	GARY-HAMMOND-EAST CHICAGO, IN
	Lake County
	Porter County
2970	*(Deleted) See* 1520
3000	GRAND RAPIDS, MI
	Kent County
	Ottawa County
3040	GREAT FALLS, MT
	Cascade County
3080	GREEN BAY, WI
	Brown County
3120	GREENSBORO—WINSTON-SALEM—HIGH POINT, NC
	Davidson County
	Forsyth County
	Guilford County
	Randolph County
	Stokes County
	Yadkin County
3160	GREENVILLE-SPARTANBURG, SC
	Greenville County
	Pickens County
	Spartanburg County
3200	HAMILTON-MIDDLETOWN, OH
	Butler County
3240	HARRISBURG, PA
	Cumberland County
	Dauphin County
	Perry County
3280	HARTFORD, CT
	Hartford County (part)
	Hartford city
	Avon town
	Bloomfield town
	Canton town
	East Granby town
	East Hartford town
	East Windsor town
	Enfield town
	Farmington town
	Glastonbury town
	Granby town
	Manchester town
	Marlborough town
	Newington town
	Rocky Hill town
	Simsbury town
	South Windsor town
	Suffield town
	West Hartford town
	Wethersfield town
	Windsor town
	Windsor Locks town
	Litchfield County (part)
	New Hartford town
	Middlesex County (part)
	Cromwell town
	East Hampton town
	Portland town
	New London County (part)
	Colchester town
	Tolland County (part)
	Andover town
	Bolton town
	Columbia town
	Coventry town
	Ellington town
	Hebron town
	Stafford town
	Tolland town
	Vernon town
	Willington town
3320	HONOLULU, HI
	Honolulu County
3360	HOUSTON, TX
	Brazoria County
	Fort Bend County
	Harris County
	Liberty County
	Montgomery County
	Waller County
3400	HUNTINGTON-ASHLAND, WV-KY-OH
	Cabell County, WV
	Wayne County, WV
	Boyd County, KY
	Greenup County, KY
	Lawrence County, OH
3440	HUNTSVILLE, AL
	Limestone County
	Madison County
	Marshall County
3480	INDIANAPOLIS, IN
	Boone County
	Hamilton County
	Hancock County
	Hendricks County
	Johnson County
	Marion County
	Morgan County
	Shelby County
3520	JACKSON, MI
	Jackson County
3560	JACKSON, MS
	Hinds County
	Rankin County

TABLE 1 Standard Metropolitan Statistical Areas

AREA CODE	AREA TITLE
3600	JACKSONVILLE, FL
	Baker County
	Clay County
	Duval County
	Nassau County
	St. Johns County
3640	JERSEY CITY, NJ
	Hudson County
3680	JOHNSTOWN, PA
	Cambria County
	Somerset County
3720	KALAMAZOO-PORTAGE, MI
	Kalamazoo County
	Van Buren County
3760	KANSAS CITY, MO-KS
	Cass County, MO
	Clay County, MO
	Jackson County, MO
	Platte County, MO
	Ray County, MO
	Johnson County, KS
	Wyandotte County, KS
3800	KENOSHA, WI
	Kenosha County
3810	KILLEEN-TEMPLE, TX
	Bell County
	Coryell County
3815	KINGSPORT-BRISTOL, TN-VA
	Hawkins County, TN
	Sullivan County, TN
	Bristol city, VA
	Scott County, VA
	Washington County, VA
3840	KNOXVILLE, TN
	Anderson County
	Blount County
	Knox County
	Union County
3870	LA CROSSE, WI
	La Crosse County
3880	LAFAYETTE, LA
	Lafayette Parish
3920	LAFAYETTE-WEST LAFAYETTE, IN
	Tippecanoe County
3960	LAKE CHARLES, LA
	Calcasieu Parish
3980	LAKELAND-WINTER HAVEN, FL
	Polk County
4000	LANCASTER, PA
	Lancaster County
4040	LANSING-EAST LANSING, MI
	Clinton County
	Eaton County
	Ingham County
	Ionia County
4080	LAREDO, TX
	Webb County
4120	LAS VEGAS, NV
	Clark County
4160	LAWRENCE-HAVERHILL, MA-NH
	Essex County (part), MA
	Lawrence city
	Haverhill city
	Amesbury town
	Andover town
	Georgetown town
	Groveland town
	Merrimac town
	Methuen town
	North Andover town
	Salisbury town
	West Newbury town
	Rockingham County (part), NH
	Atkinson town
	Hampstead town
	Kingston town
	Newton town
	Plaistow town
	Salem town
	Windham town
4200	LAWTON, OK
	Comanche County
4240	LEWISTON-AUBURN, ME
	Androscoggin County (part)
	Auburn city
	Lewiston city
	Lisbon town
*4280	LEXINGTON-FAYETTE, KY
	Bourbon County
	Clark County
	Fayette County
	Jessamine County
	Scott County
	Woodford County
4320	LIMA, OH
	Allen County
	Auglaize County
	Putnam County
	Van Wert County
4360	LINCOLN, NE
	Lancaster County
4400	LITTLE ROCK-NORTH LITTLE ROCK, AR
	Pulaski County
	Saline County
4410	LONG BRANCH-ASBURY PARK, NJ
	Monmouth County
4440	LORAIN-ELYRIA, OH
	Lorain County
4480	LOS ANGELES-LONG BEACH, CA
	Los Angeles County

TABLE 1. Standard Metropolitan Statistical Areas

AREA CODE	AREA TITLE
4520	LOUISVILLE, KY-IN
	Bullitt County, KY
	Jefferson County, KY
	Oldham County, KY
	Clark County, IN
	Floyd County, IN
4560	LOWELL, MA-NH
	Middlesex County (part), MA
	Lowell city
	Billerica town
	Chelmsford town
	Dracut town
	Tewksbury town
	Tyngsborough town
	Westford town
	Hillsborough County (part), NH
	Pelham town
4600	LUBBOCK, TX
	Lubbock County
4640	LYNCHBURG, VA
	Lynchburg city
	Amherst County
	Appomattox County
	Campbell County
4680	MACON, GA
	Bibb County
	Houston County
	Jones County
	Twiggs County
4720	MADISON, WI
	Dane County
4760	MANCHESTER, NH
	Hillsborough County (part)
	Manchester city
	Bedford town
	Goffstown town
	Merrimack County (part)
	Allenstown town
	Hooksett town
	Pembroke town
	Rockingham County (part)
	Derry town
	Londonderry town
4800	MANSFIELD, OH
	Richland County
4840	MAYAGUEZ, PR
	Añasco Municipio
	Hormigueros Municipio
	Mayagüez Municipio
4880	McALLEN-PHARR-EDINBURG, TX
	Hidalgo County
4900	MELBOURNE-TITUSVILLE-COCOA,
	Brevard County
4920	MEMPHIS, TN-AR-MS
	Shelby County, TN
	Tipton County, TN
	Crittenden County, AR
	De Soto County, MS
4960	MERIDEN, CT
	New Haven County (part)
	Meriden city
5000	MIAMI, FL
	Dade County
5040	MIDLAND, TX
	Midland County
5080	MILWAUKEE, WI
	Milwaukee County
	Ozaukee County
	Washington County
	Waukesha County
5120	MINNEAPOLIS-ST. PAUL, MN-WI
	Anoka County, MN
	Carver County, MN
	Chisago County, MN
	Dakota County, MN
	Hennepin County, MN
	Ramsey County, MN
	Scott County, MN
	Washington County, MN
	Wright County, MN
	St. Croix County, WI
5160	MOBILE, AL
	Baldwin County
	Mobile County
5170	MODESTO, CA
	Stanislaus County
5200	MONROE, LA
	Ouachita Parish
5240	MONTGOMERY, AL
	Autauga County
	Elmore County
	Montgomery County
5280	MUNCIE, IN
	Delaware County
5320	MUSKEGON-MUSKEGON HEIGHTS, MI
	Muskegon County
	Oceana County
5350	NASHUA, NH
	Hillsborough County (part)
	Nashua city
	Amherst town
	Hudson town
	Merrimack town
	Milford town
5360	NASHVILLE-DAVIDSON, TN
	Cheatham County
	Davidson County
	Dickson County
	Robertson County
	Rutherford County
	Sumner County
	Williamson County
	Wilson County
5380	NASSAU-SUFFOLK, NY
	Nassau County
	Suffolk County
5400	NEW BEDFORD, MA
	Bristol County (part)
	New Bedford city
	Acushnet town
	Dartmouth town
	Fairhaven town
	Freetown town
	Plymouth County (part)
	Lakeville town
	Marion town
	Mattapoisett town
5440	NEW BRITAIN, CT
	Hartford County (part)
	New Britain city
	Berlin town
	Plainville town
	Southington town
5460	NEW BRUNSWICK-PERTH AMBOY-SAYREVILLE, NJ
	Middlesex County
5480	NEW HAVEN-WEST HAVEN, CT
	Middlesex County (part)
	Clinton town
	Killingworth town
	New Haven County (part)
	New Haven city
	West Haven city
	Bethany town
	Branford town
	East Haven town
	Guilford town
	Hamden town
	Madison town
	North Branford town
	North Haven town
	Orange town
	Wallingford town
	Woodbridge town
5520	NEW LONDON-NORWICH, CT-RI
	Middlesex County (part), CT
	Old Saybrook town
	New London County (part), CT
	New London city
	Norwich city
	Bozrah town
	East Lyme town
	Griswold town
	Groton town
	Ledyard town
	Lisbon town
	Montville town
	Old Lyme town
	Preston town
	Sprague town
	Stonington town
	Waterford town
	Washington County (part), RI
	Hopkinton town
	Westerly town
5560	NEW ORLEANS, LA
	Jefferson Parish
	Orleans Parish
	St. Bernard Parish
	St. Tammany Parish
5600	NEW YORK, NY-NJ
	Bronx County, NY
	Kings County, NY
	New York County, NY
	Putnam County, NY
	Queens County, NY
	Richmond County, NY
	Rockland County, NY
	Westchester County, NY
	Bergen County, NJ
5640	NEWARK, NJ
	Essex County
	Morris County
	Somerset County
	Union County
5680	NEWPORT NEWS-HAMPTON, VA
	Hampton city
	Newport News city
	Williamsburg city
	Gloucester County
	James City County
	York County
*5720	NORFOLK-VIRGINIA BEACH-PORTSMOUTH, VA-NC
	Chesapeake city, VA
	Norfolk city, VA
	Portsmouth city, VA
	Suffolk city, VA
	Virginia Beach city, VA
	Currituck County, NC
5745	NORTHEAST PENNSYLVANIA
	Lackawanna County
	Luzerne County
	Monroe County
5760	NORWALK, CT
	Fairfield County (part)
	Norwalk city
	Weston town
	Westport town
	Wilton town
5800	ODESSA, TX
	Ector County
5840	*(Deleted) See* 7160
5880	OKLAHOMA CITY, OK
	Canadian County
	Cleveland County

TABLE 1. Standard Metropolitan Statistical Areas

AREA CODE	AREA TITLE
	McClain County
	Oklahoma County
	Pottawatomie County
5920	OMAHA, NE-IA
	Douglas County, NE
	Sarpy County, NE
	Pottawattamie County, IA
5960	ORLANDO, FL
	Orange County
	Osceola County
	Seminole County
5990	OWENSBORO, KY
	Daviess County
6000	OXNARD-SIMI VALLEY-VENTURA, CA
	Ventura County
6020	PARKERSBURG-MARIETTA, WV-OH
	Wirt County, WV
	Wood County, WV
	Washington County, OH
6040	PATERSON-CLIFTON-PASSAIC, NJ
	Passaic County
6080	PENSACOLA, FL
	Escambia County
	Santa Rosa County
6120	PEORIA, IL
	Peoria County
	Tazewell County
	Woodford County
6140	PETERSBURG-COLONIAL HEIGHTS—HOPEWELL, VA
	Colonial Heights city
	Hopewell city
	Petersburg city
	Dinwiddie County
	Prince George County
6160	PHILADELPHIA, PA-NJ
	Bucks County, PA
	Chester County, PA
	Delaware County, PA
	Montgomery County, PA
	Philadelphia County, PA
	Burlington County, NJ
	Camden County, NJ
	Gloucester County, NJ
6200	PHOENIX, AZ
	Maricopa County
6240	PINE BLUFF, AR
	Jefferson County
6280	PITTSBURGH, PA
	Allegheny County
	Beaver County
	Washington County
	Westmoreland County
6320	PITTSFIELD, MA
	Berkshire County (part)
	Pittsfield city
	Adams town
	Cheshire town
	Dalton town
	Lanesborough town
	Lee town
	Lenox town
	Stockbridge town
6360	PONCE, PR
	Juana Diaz Municipio
	Ponce Municipio
	Villalba Municipio
6400	PORTLAND, ME
	Cumberland County (part)
	Portland city
	South Portland city
	Westbrook city
	Cape Elizabeth town
	Cumberland town
	Falmouth town
	Freeport town
	Gorham town
	Scarborough town
	Windham town
	Yarmouth town
	York County (part)
	Saco city
	Old Orchard Beach town
6440	PORTLAND, OR-WA
	Clackamas County, OR
	Multnomah County, OR
	Washington County, OR
	Clark County, WA
6460	POUGHKEEPSIE, NY
	Dutchess County
6480	PROVIDENCE-WARWICK-PAWTUCKET, RI-MA
	Bristol County, RI
	Barrington town
	Bristol town
	Warren town
	Kent County (part), RI
	Warwick city
	Coventry town
	East Greenwich town
	West Warwick town
	Newport County (part), RI
	Jamestown town
	Providence County (part), RI
	Central Falls city
	Cranston city
	East Providence city
	Pawtucket city
	Providence city
	Woonsocket city
	Burrillville town
	Cumberland town
	Johnston town
	Lincoln town
	North Providence town
	North Smithfield town

TABLE 1. Standard Metropolitan Statistical Areas

AREA CODE	AREA TITLE
	Scituate town
	Smithfield town
	Washington County (part), RI
	Narragansett town
	North Kingstown town
	South Kingstown town
	Bristol County (part) MA
	Attleboro city
	North Attleborough town
	Norton town
	Rehoboth town
	Seekonk town
	Norfolk County (part), MA
	Plainville town
	Worcester County (part), MA
	Blackstone town
	Millville town
6520	PROVO-OREM, UT
	Utah County
6560	PUEBLO, CO
	Pueblo County
6600	RACINE, WI
	Racine County
6640	RALEIGH-DURHAM, NC
	Durham County
	Orange County
	Wake County
6680	READING, PA
	Berks County
6720	RENO, NV
	Washoe County
6740	RICHLAND-KENNEWICK, WA
	Benton County
	Franklin County
6760	RICHMOND, VA
	Richmond city
	Charles City County
	Chesterfield County
	Goochland County
	Hanover County
	Henrico County
	Powhatan County
6780	RIVERSIDE-SAN BERNARDINO ONTARIO, CA
	Riverside County
	San Bernardino County
6800	ROANOKE, VA
	Roanoke city
	Salem city
	Botetourt County
	Craig County
	Roanoke County
6820	ROCHESTER, MN
	Olmsted County
6840	ROCHESTER, NY
	Livingston County
	Monroe County
	Ontario County
	Orleans County
	Wayne County
6880	ROCKFORD, IL
	Boone County
	Winnebago County
6920	SACRAMENTO, CA
	Placer County
	Sacramento County
	Yolo County
6960	SAGINAW, MI
	Saginaw County
6980	ST. CLOUD, MN
	Benton County
	Sherburne County
	Stearns County
7000	ST. JOSEPH, MO
	Andrew County
	Buchanan County
7040	ST. LOUIS, MO-IL
	St. Louis city, MO
	Franklin County, MO
	Jefferson County, MO
	St. Charles County, MO
	St. Louis County, MO
	Clinton County, IL
	Madison County, IL
	Monroe County, IL
	St. Clair County, IL
7080	SALEM, OR
	Marion County
	Polk County
7120	SALINAS-SEASIDE-MONTEREY, CA
	Monterey County
7160	SALT LAKE CITY-OGDEN, UT
	Davis County
	Salt Lake County
	Tooele County
	Weber County
7200	SAN ANGELO, TX
	Tom Green County
7240	SAN ANTONIO, TX
	Bexar County
	Comal County
	Guadalupe County
7320	SAN DIEGO, CA
	San Diego County
7360	SAN FRANCISCO-OAKLAND, CA
	Alameda County
	Contra Costa County
	Marin County

TABLE 1. Standard Metropolitan Statistical Areas

AREA CODE	AREA TITLE
	San Francisco County
	San Mateo County
7400	SAN JOSE, CA
	Santa Clara County
7440	SAN JUAN, PR
	Bayamón Municipio
	Canóvanas Municipio
	Carolina Municipio
	Cataño Municipio
	Guaynabo Municipio
	Loíza Municipio
	San Juan Municipio
	Toa Baja Municipio
	Trujillo Alto Municipio
7480	SANTA BARBARA-SANTA MARIA-LOMPOC, CA
	Santa Barbara County
7485	SANTA CRUZ, CA
	Santa Cruz County
7500	SANTA ROSA, CA
	Sonoma County
7510	SARASOTA, FL
	Sarasota County
7520	SAVANNAH, GA
	Bryan County
	Chatham County
	Effingham County
7560	*(Deleted) See* 5745
7600	SEATTLE-EVERETT, WA
	King County
	Snohomish County
7640	SHERMAN-DENISON, TX
	Grayson County
7680	SHREVEPORT, LA
	Bossier Parish
	Caddo Parish
	Webster Parish
7720	SIOUX CITY, IA-NE
	Woodbury County, IA
	Dakota County, NE
7760	SIOUX FALLS, SD
	Minnehaha County
7800	SOUTH BEND, IN
	Marshall County
	St. Joseph County
7820	*(Deleted) See* 3160
7840	SPOKANE, WA
	Spokane County
7880	SPRINGFIELD, IL
	Menard County
	Sangamon County
7920	SPRINGFIELD, MO
	Christian County
	Greene County
7960	SPRINGFIELD, OH
	Champaign County
	Clark County
8000	SPRINGFIELD-CHICOPEE-HOLYOKE, MA-CT
	Hampden County (part), MA
	Chicopee city
	Holyoke city
	Springfield city
	Westfield city
	Agawam town
	East Longmeadow town
	Hampden town
	Longmeadow town
	Ludlow town
	Monson town
	Palmer town
	Southwick town
	West Springfield town
	Wilbraham town
	Hampshire County (part), MA
	Northampton city
	Belchertown town
	Easthampton town
	Granby town
	Hadley town
	Hatfield town
	South Hadley town
	Southampton town
	Worcester County (part), MA
	Warren town
	Tolland County (part), CT
	Somers town
8040	STAMFORD, CT
	Fairfield County (part)
	Stamford city
	Darien town
	Greenwich town
	New Canaan town
8080	STEUBENVILLE-WEIRTON, OH-WV
	Jefferson County, OH
	Brooke County, WV
	Hancock County, WV
8120	STOCKTON, CA
	San Joaquin County
8160	SYRACUSE, NY
	Madison County
	Onondaga County
	Oswego County
8200	TACOMA, WA
	Pierce County
8240	TALLAHASSEE, FL
	Leon County
	Wakulla County
8280	TAMPA-ST. PETERSBURG, FL
	Hillsborough County
	Pasco County
	Pinellas County

TABLE 1. Standard Metropolitan Statistical Areas

AREA CODE	AREA TITLE
8320	TERRE HAUTE, IN
	Clay County
	Sullivan County
	Vermillion County
	Vigo County
8360	TEXARKANA, TX-TEXARKANA, AR
	Bowie County, TX
	Little River County, AR
	Miller County, AR
8400	TOLEDO, OH-MI
	Fulton County, OH
	Lucas County, OH
	Ottawa County, OH
	Wood County, OH
	Monroe County, MI
8440	TOPEKA, KS
	Jefferson County
	Osage County
	Shawnee County
8480	TRENTON, NJ
	Mercer County
8520	TUCSON, AZ
	Pima County
8560	TULSA, OK
	Creek County
	Mayes County
	Osage County
	Rogers County
	Tulsa County
	Wagoner County
8600	TUSCALOOSA, AL
	Tuscaloosa County
8640	TYLER, TX
	Smith County
8680	UTICA-ROME, NY
	Herkimer County
	Oneida County
8720	VALLEJO-FAIRFIELD-NAPA, CA
	Napa County
	Solano County
*8760	VINELAND-MILLVILLE-BRIDGETON, NJ
	Cumberland County
8800	WACO, TX
	McLennan County
*8840	WASHINGTON, DC-MD-VA
	District of Columbia
	Charles County, MD
	Montgomery County, MD
	Prince Georges County, MD
	Alexandria city, VA
	Fairfax city, VA
	Falls Church city, VA
	Arlington County, VA
	Fairfax County, VA
	Loudoun County, VA
	Prince William County, VA
8880	WATERBURY, CT
	Litchfield County (part)
	Thomaston town
	Watertown town
	Woodbury town
	New Haven County (part)
	Waterbury city
	Naugatuck borough
	Beacon Falls town
	Cheshire town
	Middlebury town
	Prospect town
	Southbury town
	Wolcott town
8920	WATERLOO-CEDAR FALLS, IA
	Black Hawk County
8960	WEST PALM BEACH-BOCA RATON, FL
	Palm Beach County
9000	WHEELING, WV-OH
	Marshall County, WV
	Ohio County, WV
	Belmont County, OH
9040	WICHITA, KS
	Butler County
	Sedgwick County
9080	WICHITA FALLS, TX
	Clay County
	Wichita County
9120	*(Deleted) See* 5745
9140	WILLIAMSPORT, PA
	Lycoming County
9160	WILMINGTON, DE-NJ-MD
	New Castle County, DE
	Salem County, NJ
	Cecil County, MD
9200	WILMINGTON, NC
	Brunswick County
	New Hanover County
9240	WORCESTER, MA
	Worcester County (part)
	Worcester city
	Auburn town
	Berlin town
	Boylston town
	Brookfield town
	Charlton town
	East Brookfield town
	Grafton town
	Holden town
	Leicester town
	Millbury town
	Northborough town
	Northbridge town
	North Brookfield town

TABLE 1. Standard Metropolitan Statistical Areas

AREA CODE	AREA TITLE
	Oxford town
	Paxton town
	Shrewsbury town
	Spencer town
	Sterling town
	Sutton town
	Upton town
	Uxbridge town
	Webster town
	Westborough town
	West Boylston town
9260	YAKIMA, WA
	Yakima County
9280	YORK, PA
	Adams County
	York County
9320	YOUNGSTOWN-WARREN, OH
	Mahoning County
	Trumbull County

[FR Doc.76-41 Filed 1-6-76;8:45 am]

federal register

WEDNESDAY, JANUARY 7, 1976

PART III:

DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH, EDUCATION AND WELFARE

Office of Education

■

STATE ADMINISTERED PROGRAMS

Final Regulations

Title 45—Public Welfare

CHAPTER I—OFFICE OF EDUCATION, DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH, EDUCATION, AND WELFARE

STATE ADMINISTERED PROGRAMS

Final Regulations

Notice of proposed rulemaking was published in the FEDERAL REGISTER on May 2, 1975 (40 FR 19204), which set forth proposed regulations for section 434(b)(1) and (2) of the General Education Provisions Act, added by section 511 of the Education Amendments of 1974. Section 434(b)(1) provides for the submission of a State application consisting of (1) a General Application (containing five assurances), and (2) an Annual Program Plan for each program to which these regulations apply.

The regulations apply to the following currently funded Federal programs of assistance, since under these programs, funds may be made available to local educational agencies through, or under the supervision of, the State educational agency. (The definition of the term "State" was inadvertently omitted from the proposed rules. A definition has been added to Part 100 (45 CFR 100) which would apply where the program statute or regulations do not otherwise define the term.) However, where a particular State does not administer the funds provided under a particular program through its State educational agency, or does not make grants to local educational agencies with any of those funds, that State would be required to submit a State plan (or other comparable document required under the program statute), rather than an annual program plan (see § 100b.15(a)), and the general application would not apply to that program in that State.

CURRENTLY FUNDED FEDERAL PROGRAMS OF ASSISTANCE

Financial assistance to State agencies and local education agencies under Title I of the Elementary and Secondary Education Act of 1955 (20 U.S.C. 241a);

School library resources, textbooks, and other instructional materials under Title II of the Elementary and Secondary Education Act of 1965 (20 U.S.C. 821);

Supplementary educational centers and services, guidance, counseling, and testing under Title III of the Elementary and Secondary Education Act of 1965 (20 U.S.C. 841);

Libraries and learning resources, educational innovation and support under Title IV of the Elementary and Secondary Education Act of 1965 (20 U.S.C. 1801);

Assistance to States for the education of handicapped children under Part B of the Education of the Handicapped Act (20 U.S.C. 1411);

State vocational education programs under the Vocational Education Act of 1963, as amended; part B (State vocational education programs); part C, section 131(b) (Research and training in vocational education); Part D, section 142(d) (Exemplary programs and projects); part E, section 152 (Residential vocational education-State programs); part F (Consumer and homemaking education); part G (Cooperative vocational education programs); and part H (Work-study programs for vocational education students) (20 U.S.C. 1262, 1281(b), 1302(d), 1322, 1341, 1351, and 1371);

Programs under the Adult Education Act (except assistance for the improvement of educational opportunities for Adult Indians under section 314) (20 U.S.C. 1201);

Financial assistance for strengthening instruction in science, mathematics, modern foreign languages, and other critical subjects under Title III of the National Defense Education Act, except section 305 (20 U.S.C. 441); and

State reading improvement programs under Part B of Title VII of the Education Amendments of 1974 (20 U.S.C. 1941).

Interested persons were given 30 days in which to submit written comments, suggestions or objections regarding the proposed rules. Summaries of the comments received on the regulations and responses to those comments are set forth below. The comments are arranged in order of the sections of the final regulations.

SUMMARY OF COMMENTS

1. *General. Comment.* A commenter stated that the relationship of the general application, annual program plans, and State plans, is not clear.

Response. An annual program plan must be submitted each year by a State for each of the programs set forth in § 100b.10 where any of the funds are provided to local educational agencies through, or under the supervision of, the State educational agency. (See § 100b.15(a)). In such a program, the State would not be required to submit a State plan. (See § 100b.19). The general application applies only to those programs where an annual program plan is required, and provides assurances which need not be repeated in the annual program plans. (See § 100.17(c)). The general application need only be submitted once by each State, and will not have to be modified in the future unless Congress amends section 434(b)(1)(A)(ii) of the General Education Provisions Act (which sets forth the five assurances now required in the general application).

2. Section 100b.18 *Annual program plan. Comment.* A commenter suggested that once an annual program plan is on file with the Commissioner, only amendments should be required and the plan should be in effect automatically at the beginning of each fiscal year.

Response. Section 100b.18(c) provides that a State may use incorporation by reference with respect to material already on file with the Commissioner. While the statutory requirement for an annual program plan, which must include a statement of the purposes for which the funds are to be spent for that fiscal year, would appear to preclude an automatic extension, this provision in the regulations for referencing material which does not require an amendment should serve to reduce the paperwork burden and meet the substance of the comment. No change has been made in the regulation.

3. Section 100b.29 *Budget revisions and minor deviations. Comment.* A commenter objected to the requirement in § 100b.29(a) that a State agency notify the Commissioner whenever the amount of "authorized funds" is expected to exceed the State's needs by a specific amount. The objection was raised on the grounds that compliance would be difficult because of the carryover authority to obligate and expend funds in the fiscal year following the fiscal year for which the funds were appropriated. (Section 412(b) of the General Education Provisions Act (20 U.S.C. 1225(b)).

Response. The term "authorized funds" refers to all funds which a State is authorized to obligate and expend, and at any given time would include both current year funds and any funds which have been carried over from the previous year. The requirement relates to funds which a State does not expect to use (if any), and requires notification to the Commissioner whenever those unneeded funds exceed a certain amount. The notification enables the Commissioner to keep apprised of States' needs for funds for purposes of reallocation, the appropriations process, and other matters. No change has been made in the regulations.

4. Section 100b.35 *Effective dates of applications, plans, and amendments. Comment.* A commenter asked whether State and local agencies may begin to obligate funds (under a program listed in § 100b.10) when the general application has been submitted. The commenter also asked about the effective date of an annual program plan, and its relationship to the period when funds may be obligated.

Response. If a program is subject to the general application/annual program plan requirements (see "Response" under 1. *General.* above), the authority to obligate funds under that program begins when the State has submitted, in substantially approvable form, both its general application and its annual program plan. Since the general application has to be submitted only once, in future years the authority to obligate funds under a particular program would be contingent on submission of a substantially approvable annual program plan for that program. In any case, the period for obligation cannot begin earlier than the first day of the fiscal year for which the funds were appropriated. No change has been made in the regulations.

Comment. A commenter stated that § 100b.35(b) suggests that funds may be used for the development of State plans, State applications, State agreements, general applications, or annual program plans, and asked whether additional funds would be made available for the purpose.

Response. These costs are generally allowable if they are specifically set forth in the approved plan, application, or agreement (see Appendix B of the Office of Education General Provisions Regulations (45 CFR Parts 100–100c), Part II, section C (Costs allowable with approval of the grantor agency), paragraph 6 (Preagreement costs)). However, the statute and regulations for the particular program should be checked to determine whether those costs are allowable under that program. Reimbursement of those costs would have to come from State administrative funds under the program.

No change has been made in the regulations.

After consideration of all comments, Title 45 of the Code of Federal Regulations is amended as set forth below.

Effective date. Pursuant to section 431 (d) of the General Education Provisions Act, as amended, (20 U.S.C. 1232(d)) these regulations have been transmitted to the Congress concurrently with the publication in the FEDERAL REGISTER. That section provides that regulations subject thereto shall become effective on the forty-fifth day following the date of such transmission, subject to the provisions therein concerning Congressional action and adjournment.

It is hereby certified that the economic and inflationary impacts of this proposed regulation have been carefully evaluated in accordance with OMB Circular A–107.

(Catalog of Federal Domestic Assistance Programs Nos. 13.400–13.571 Office of Education)

Dated: August 26, 1975.

T. H. BELL,
U.S. Commissioner of Education.

Approved: December 24, 1975.

DAVID MATHEWS,
Secretary of Health, Education, and Welfare.

Title 45 of the Code of Federal Regulations is amended as follows:

PART 117—FINANCIAL ASSISTANCE FOR SCHOOL LIBRARY RESOURCES, TEXTBOOKS AND OTHER INSTRUCTIONAL MATERIALS

§ 117.2 [Amended]

1. In Part 117, § 117.2 is amended by deleting the last sentence in paragraph (d), and by deleting paragraph (i).

PART 121—PROGRAMS FOR THE EDUCATION OF HANDICAPPED CHILDREN

§ 121.102 [Amended]

2. In Part 121, § 121.102 is amended by deleting paragraph (b).

3. In Part 130, § 130.22 is amended by deleting and reserving paragraph (a) and by revising the remainder of the section to read as follows:

PART 130—LIBRARY SERVICES, PUBLIC LIBRARY CONSTRUCTION, AND INTERLIBRARY COOPERATION

§ 130.22 Amendment of State plan.

(a) [Reserved]

(b) Long-range program. The long-range program shall be amended to reflect changes in:

(1) Estimates of present and projected program needs;

(2) The plan of action for meeting these needs; and

(3) Policies, criteria, priorities, and procedures.

(c) Amendments of the basic State plan and the long-range program shall be submitted each year as part of the annual extension of the long-range program submitted pursuant to § 130.20(b).

(20 U.S.C. 351d, 354, 355c, 355e–2)

PART 141—FINANCIAL ASSISTANCE FOR STRENGTHENING INSTRUCTION IN ACADEMIC SUBJECTS IN PUBLIC SCHOOLS

§ 141.2 [Amended]

4. In Part 141, § 141.2 is amended by deleting the last sentence in paragraph (d).

PART 166—STATE ADULT EDUCATION PROGRAMS

§ 166.15 [Removed]

5. In Part 166, § 166.15 is deleted.

PART 173—FINANCIAL ASSISTANCE FOR COMMUNITY SERVICE AND CONTINUING EDUCATION PROGRAMS

§ 173.5 [Removed]

6. In Part 173, § 173.5 is deleted.

PART 100—GENERAL

7. In Part 100, Section 100.1 is amended by adding the following definition:

§ 100.1 Definitions.

As used in this chapter (except as otherwise defined by an applicable statute or regulation):

* * * * *

"State" includes, in addition to the several States of the Union, the Commonwealth of Puerto Rico, the District of Columbia, Guam, American Samoa, the Virgin Islands, and the Trust Territory of the Pacific Islands.

PART 100b—STATE ADMINISTERED PROGRAMS

8. Part 100b is amended by revising Subparts A and B to read as follows:

Subpart A—General

Sec.
100b.10 Scope.

Subpart B—State Plans, General Applications, and Annual Program Plans

SCOPE
100b.15 Scope of subpart.
REQUIREMENTS RELATING TO THE GENERAL APPLICATIONS AND ANNUAL PROGRAM PLANS
100b.16 Implementation of application procedures.
100b.17 General application.
100b.18 Annual program plan.
100b.19 State plan requirements.
GENERAL REQUIREMENTS
100b.28 Amendments.
100b.29 Budget revisions and minor deviations.
100b.35 Effective dates of applications, plans, and amendments.
100b.36 Governor's comments.

Subpart A—General

§ 100b.10 Scope.

Except to the extent inconsistent with an applicable statute or regulation, the provisions contained in this part apply to all Federal programs of assistance authorized under the following authorities:

(a) Financial assistance to State agencies and local educational agencies under title I of the Elementary and Secondary Education Act of 1965 (20 U.S.C. 241a);

(b) School library resources, textbooks, and other instructional materials under title II of the Elementary and Secondary Education Act of 1965 (20 U.S.C. 821);

(c) Supplementary educational centers and services; guidance, counseling, and testing under title III of the Elementary and Secondary Education Act of 1965 (20 U.S.C. 841);

(d) Libraries and learning resources and educational innovation and support under title IV of the Elementary and Secondary Education Act of 1965 (20 U.S.C. 1801);

(e) Education of handicapped children under part B of the Education of the Handicapped Act (20 U.S.C. 1411);

(f) Vocational education programs under the Vocational Education Act of 1963: part B (State vocational education programs); part C, section 131(b) (Research and training in vocational education); part D, section 142(d) (Exemplary programs and projects); part E, section 152 (Residential vocational education-State programs); part F (Consumer and homemaking education); part G (Cooperative vocational education programs); and part H (Work-study programs for vocational education students) (20 U.S.C. 1262, 1281 (b), 1302(d), 1322, 1341, 1351, and 1371);

(g) Programs under the Adult Education Act (except section 314 thereof) (20 U.S.C. 1201);

(h) Community service and continuing education programs under title I of the Higher Education Act of 1965 (except section 106 thereof) (20 U.S.C. 1001);

(i) Financial assistance for strengthening instruction in science, mathematics, modern foreign languages, and other critical subjects under title III–A of the National Defense Education Act of 1958 (except section 305 thereof) (20 U.S.C. 441);

(j) Programs under the Library Services and Construction Act (20 U.S.C. 351);

(k) Attracting and qualifying teachers to meet critical teacher shortages under part B–2 of the Education Professions Development Act (20 U.S.C. 1108); and

(l) State reading improvement programs under part B of title VII of the Education amendments of 1974 (20 U.S.C. 1941). (20 U.S.C. 1221e–3(a)(1))

Subpart B—State Plans, General Applications, and Annual Program Plans

SCOPE

§ 100b.15 Scope of subpart.

(a) A State shall comply with §§ 100b.16–100b.19 (inclusive) with respect to each of the programs set forth in § 100b.10 under which any of the Federal funds are made available for assistance to local educational agencies through, or under the supervision of the State educational agency of that State.

(20 U.S.C. 1232c(b)(1)(A))

(b) Sections 100b.28–100b.36 (inclusive) apply to all of the programs set forth in § 100b.10.

(20 U.S.C. 1221e–3(a)(1))

REQUIREMENTS RELATING TO THE GENERAL APPLICATION AND ANNUAL PROGRAM PLANS

§ 100b.16 Implementation of application procedures.

The purpose of §§ 100b.16–100b.19 is to implement section 434(b)(1) and (2) of the General Education Provisions Act, as amended, which provides for the submission of general applications and annual program plans by States which desire to participate in one or more of the Federal programs referenced in § 100.b, 15(a).

(20 U.S.C. 1232c(b)(1), (2))

§ 100b.17 General application.

(a) The general application of a State shall meet the requirements of section 434(b)(1)(A) of the General Education Provisions Act.

(b) The Commissioner will not require the resubmission of a general application which has been filed with him unless the general application fails to meet the requirements of section 434(b)(1)(A) of the General Education Provisions Act.

(20 U.S.C. 1232c(b)(1)(A))

(c) The following statutory sections require that certain provisions (among others) must be submitted to the Commissioner in order for a State to participate under the Federal programs to which those statutory sections apply. Submission of a general application which meets the requirements of section 434(b)(1)(A) of the General Education Provisions Act by a State will satisfy these submission requirements, subject to paragraph (d) of this section.

(1) *Compensatory education.* Under title I of the Elementary and Secondary Education Act of 1965: section 142(a)(2), (3);

(20 U.S.C. 1232c(b)(1)(A)(ii) (II), (III))

(2) *School library resources.* Under title II of the Elementary and Secondary Education Act: section 203(a) (5), (6), and (7);

(20 U.S.C. 1232c(b)(1)(A)(ii) (II), (III), (IV))

(3) *Supplementary educational centers and services; guidance, counseling, and testing.* Under title III of the Elementary and Secondary Education Act of 165: section 305(b)(9)(B), (10), and (11);

(20 U.S.C. 1232c(b)(1)(A)(ii) (II), (III), (IV))

(4) *Education of the handicapped.* Under part B of the Education of the Handicapped Act: section 613(a)(4), (7)(A), and (8);

(20 U.S.C. 1232c(b)(1)(A)(ii)(II), (III), (IV))

(5) *Vocational education.* Under the Vocational Education Act of 1963: section 123(a)(11), (12), and (17);

(20 U.S.C. 1232c(b)(1)(A)(ii)(II), (III), (IV))

(6) *Adult education.* Under the Adult Education Act: section 306(a)(6) and (7);

(20 U.S.C. 1232c(b)(1)(A)(ii)(II), (III))

(7) *Strengthening instruction in academic subjects.* Under title III–A of the National Defense Education Act of 1958: section 1004(a)(2) and (3);

(20 U.S.C. 1232c(b)(1)(A)(ii)(II), (III))

(8) *Attracting and qualifying teachers to meet critical teacher shortages.* Under part B, subpart 2, of the Education Professions Development Act: section 520(a)(7), (8), and (9); and

(20 U.S.C. 1232c(b)(1)(A)(ii)(II), (III), (IV))

(9) *State reading improvement programs.* Under part B of title VII of the Education Amendments of 1974: section 714(a)(10).

(20 U.S.C. 1232c(b)(1)(A)(ii)(II), (III))

(d)(1) In some cases, although an assurance required in the general application and a provision set forth in paragraph (c) of this section cover the same subject matter (e.g., a prohibition against supplanting non-Federal funds), the wording of the two provisions is different.

(2) The general application satisfies statutory requirements to submit the provisions set forth in paragraph (c) of this section but does not supersede the legal substance of those provisions.

(20 U.S.C. 1232c(b)(1)(B)(i), (iii))

(3) In judging compliance with the assurances required in the general application by section 434(b)(1)(A)(ii) of the General Education Provisions Act, the Commissioner will apply the statutory provisions referenced in paragraph (c) of this section rather than such assurances.

(20 U.S.C. 1232c(b)(1)(B)(i), (iii); 1232c(b)(2))

§ 100b.18 Annual program plan.

(a) Any State which desires to participate in one or more of the programs referenced in § 100b.15(a) shall submit an annual program plan (for each such program) which meets the requirements of section 434(b)(1)(B) of the General Education Provisions Act.

(b) Each annual program plan shall include:

(1) a statement describing the purposes for which Federal funds will be expended during the fiscal year for which the annual program plan is submitted; and

(2) all provisions required by statute or regulation to be in the State plan, annual program plan, State application, or State agreement (as the case may be) under that program, except that the provisions referenced in § 100b.17(c) may be omitted.

(c) In meeting the requirement of paragraph (b)(2) of this section, with respect to a particular program, States may incorporate by reference pertinent documents or specified portions of such documents (such as State plans) which have been previously approved by and are currently on file with the Commissioner.

(d) Except as provided in this subpart, all requirements imposed by statute or regulation governing the preparation, submission, and administration of State plans, State applications or other similar documents submitted by a State as the basis for participation in one of the Federal programs referenced in § 100b.15(a), shall apply to the preparation, submission, and administration of annual program plans submitted under this subpart in lieu of such docments.

(e) States may submit annual program plans covering individual Federal programs separately or together.

(f) States will keep such records and afford such access thereto as the Commissioner finds necessary to assure the correctness and verification of reports.

(20 U.S.C. 1232c(b)(1)(B), (b)(2))

§ 100b.19 State plan requirements.

Submission of a general application and an annual program plan under this subpart shall be in lieu of submission of the individual State plan, State application, or other similar document under the applicable program referenced in § 100b.15(a).

(20 U.S.C. 1232c(b)(2))

GENERAL REQUIREMENTS

§ 100b.28 Amendments.

(a) Whenever there is any change in the content or administration of the program, or whenever there is any change in pertinent State law or in the organization, policies, or operations of the State agency which materially affects the program: (1) The annual program plan (or State plan, as the case may be), shall be appropriately amended and (2) such amendment shall be submitted to the Commissioner for his approval.

(b) Substantive amendments shall be subject to approval in the same manner as the original plan.

(20 U.S.C. 1221e–3(a)(1); 1232c(b)(1))

§ 100b.29 Budget revisions and minor deviations.

(a) *Needs of State government.* The State agency shall notify the Commissioner promptly whenever the amount of authorized funds under a Federal program is expected to exceed the needs of the State agency by more than $5,000 or 5 percent of the amount of Federal authorized funds, whichever is greater.

(20 U.S.C. 1221e–3(a)(1); 34 CFR Part 256, Appendix D)

(b) *Deviations.* Expenditures of State agencies and subgrantees will not be considered ineligible for Federal financial participation solely because of minor deviations from an approved plan, application, agreement, project, or budget: *Provided,* (1) That the expenditures in question are in accordance with the applicable Federal statutes and regulations, and (2) that with respect to the Federal

program in which the State is participating, the total Federal share will not exceed the State's allotment.

(20 U.S.C. 1221e-3(a)(1))

§ 100b.35 Effective dates of applications, plans, and amendments.

(a) Federal financial participation is available only with respect to obligations incurred under (1) an approved State plan (in the case of the programs set forth in § 100b.10 other than those referenced in § 100b.15(a)), or (2) a general application on file with the Commissioner and an approved annual program plan (in the case of the programs referenced in § 100b.15(a)).

(b) A State plan, general application, annual program plan, or amendment to any of the foregoing, shall be considered to be in effect as of the date on which it is submitted to the Federal Government by the State in substantially approvable form, but in no event shall the effective date be earlier than the first day of the fiscal year for which it is submitted.

(c) The State agency will be apprised of the effective date in the notice of approval sent to the State agency by the Commissioner.

(d) Federal funds, except funds made available expressly for the development of State plans, State applications, State agreements, general applications, or annual program plans, shall not be available for obligation with respect to (1) binding commitments (other than those relating to personal services, utility services, travel, or the rental of equipment or facilities) entered into, or (2) personal services, utility services, travel, or the rental of equipment or facilities rendered or performed, prior to the effective date of the plan, application, or agreement (as the case may be).

(20 U.S.C. 1221e-3(a)(1))

§ 100b.36 Governor's comments.

Prior to the submission to the Commissioner of an annual program plan, State plan, or an amendment to either of the foregoing, the State agency shall afford the Governor of such State an opportunity to comment on the relationship of the plan or amendment to comprehensive and other State plans and programs. The Governor shall be afforded a period of not less than 45 days in which to make such comments. Any such comments, or, if the Governor makes no comments, a statement to that effect, shall be attached to the plan or amendment when the same is submitted to the Commissioner.

(20 U.S.C. 1221e-3(a)(1); 38 FR 32877)

[FR Doc.76-226 Filed 1-6-76;8:45 am]

federal register

WEDNESDAY, JANUARY 7, 1976

PART IV:

FEDERAL LABOR RELATIONS COUNCIL AND FEDERAL SERVICES IMPASSES PANEL

■

DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH, EDUCATION AND WELFARE

PRIVACY ACT OF 1974

Proposed Implementation Procedures

FEDERAL LABOR RELATIONS COUNCIL AND FEDERAL SERVICE IMPASSES PANEL

[5 CFR Part 2402]

PRIVACY ACT OF 1974

Proposed Implementation Procedures

Pursuant to the provisions of section 552a(f) of title 5, United States Code, as added by the Privacy Act of 1974, Pub. L. 93–579, approved December 31, 1974, 88 Stat. 1900, which requires each agency to establish procedures for notification, access and amendment of records pertaining to individuals, the Federal Labor Relations Council and Federal Service Impasses Panel have amended Chapter XIV of title 5 of the Code of Federal Regulations by adding a new Part 2402 to read as set forth below.

Part 2402 contains the Council's and Panel's rules which set forth agency procedures regarding an individual's access to and amendment of records pertaining to him or her within a system of records maintained by the agency or within its custody.

Interested persons are invited to comment in writing on the proposed rules by submitting written data, views and arguments, to either the Executive Director, Federal Labor Relations Council, or the Executive Secretary, Federal Service Impasses Panel, or both, at 1900 E Street NW., Washington, D.C. 20415, not later than January 10, 1976.

All such written submittals received by January 30, 1976, will be considered before the Council and the Panel take final action on the revision proposed herein.

Dated: December 24, 1975.

By the Federal Labor Relations Council.

HENRY B. FRAZIER III,
Executive Director.

By the Federal Service Impasses Panel.

HOWARD W. SOLOMON,
Executive Secretary.

The proposed new Part 2402 reads as follows:

PART 2402—PRIVACY

Sec.
2402.1 Purpose and scope.
2402.2 Definitions.
2402.3 Annual notice.
2402.4 Existence of records requests.
2402.5 Individual access requests.
2402.6 Initial decision on access requests.
2402.7 Special procedures: medical records.
2402.8 Limitations on disclosure.
2402.9 Accounting of disclosures.
2402.10 Requests for correction or amendment of records.
2402.11 Initial decision on correction or amendment.
2402.12 Amendment or correction of previously disclosed records.
2402.13 Agency review of refusal to correct or amend a record.
2402.14 Fees.
2402.15 Penalties.

§ 2402.1 Purpose and scope.

This part contains the regulations of the Federal Labor Relations Council and Federal Service Impasses Panel implementing the Privacy Act of 1974, 5 U.S.C. 552a. The regulations apply to all records maintained by the Council and the Panel that are contained in a system of records, as defined herein, and that contain information about an individual. The regulations in this part set forth procedures that (a) authorize an individual's access to records maintained about the individual, (b) limit the access of other persons to those records, and (c) permit an individual to request the amendment or correction of records about the individual.

§ 2402.2 Definitions.

For the purposes of this part—

(a) "Council" means the Federal Labor Relations Council.

(b) "Panel" means the Federal Service Impasses Panel.

(c) "Individual" means a citizen of the United States or an alien lawfully admitted for permanent residence.

(d) "Maintain" includes maintain, collect, use or disseminate.

(e) "Record" means any item, collection or grouping of information about an individual that is maintained by the Council and the Panel including, but not limited to, his education, financial transactions, medical history, and criminal or employment history and that contains his name, or the identifying number, symbol, or other identifying particular assigned to the individual, such as a finger or voice print or a photograph.

(f) "System of records" means a group of any records under the control of the Council and the Panel from which information is retrieved by the name of the individual or by some identifying particular assigned to the individual.

(g) "Routine use" means, with respect to the disclosure of a record, the use of such record for a purpose which is compatible with the purpose for which it was collected.

§ 2402.3 Annual notice.

The Council and Panel will publish in the FEDERAL REGISTER an annual notice describing the systems of records that the Council and Panel maintain. Those notices shall include (a) the system name, (b) the system location, (c) the categories of individuals covered by the system, (d) the categories of records in the system, (e) the Council's and Panel's authority to maintain the system, (f) the routine uses of the system, (g) the Council's and Panel's policies and practices for maintenance of the system, (h) the system manager, (i) the procedures for notification, access to and correction of records in the system, and (j) the sources of information for the system. Notices shall also be published, as required by the Privacy Act of 1974, of significant changes in or additions to the Council's and Panel's systems of records.

§ 2402.4 Existence of records requests.

(a) An individual who desires to know if a system of records maintained by the Council and the Panel contains a record pertaining to the individual must submit a written inquiry to the Administrative Officer, Federal Labor Relations Council, 1900 E Street NW., Washington, D.C. 20415.

(b) The request shall be in writing and should be clearly and prominently identified as a Privacy Act request. If the request is submitted by mail or otherwise submitted in an envelope or other cover, it should bear the legend "Privacy Act Request" on the envelope or other cover. If a request does not comply with the provisions of this paragraph, it shall not be deemed received until the time it is actually received by the Administrative Officer.

(c) The inquiry must include the name and address of the individual and reasonably describe the system of records in question by the individual. Descriptions of the systems of records maintained by the Council and the Panel have been published in the FEDERAL REGISTER.

(d) The Administrative Officer will advise the individual in writing within 10 working days from receipt of the request whether the system of records named by the individual contains a record pertaining to him or her.

§ 2402.5 Individual access requests.

(a) Any individual who desires to inspect or receive copies of any record pertaining to the individual which is contained in a system of records maintained by the Council and Panel must submit a written request to the Administrative Officer, Federal Labor Relations Council, 1900 E Street NW., Washington, D.C. 20415, reasonably identifying the records sought to be inspected or copied.

(b) The request shall be in writing and should be clearly and prominently identified as a Privacy Act request. If the request is submitted by mail or otherwise submitted in an envelope or other cover, it should bear the legend "Privacy Act Request" on the envelope or other cover. If a request does not comply with the provisions of this paragraph, it shall not be deemed received until the time it is actually received by the Administrative Officer.

(c) An individual seeking access to a record may, if desired, be accompanied by another person during review of the records. If the requestor does desire to be accompanied by another person during the inspection, the requestor must sign a statement, to be furnished to the Council or Panel representative at the time of the inspection, authorizing such other person to accompany requestor.

(d) Satisfactory identification (i.e., employee identification number, current address, and verification of signature) must be provided to the Council or Panel prior to review of the record.

§ 2402.6 Initial decision on access request.

(a) Within 10 working days of the receipt of a request pursuant to § 2402.5, the Administrative Officer shall make an initial decision whether the requested records exist and whether they will be made available to the person requesting them. That initial decision shall immediately be communicated, in writing or

other appropriate form, to the person who has made the request.

(b) Where the initial decision is to provide access to the requested records, the above writing or other appropriate communication shall (1) briefly describe the records to be made available, (2) state whether any records maintained, in the system of records in question, about the individual making the request are not being made available, (3) state that the requested records will be available during the Council's and Panel's ordinary office hours at 1900 E Street NW., Washington, D.C. 20415, and (4) state whether any further verification of the identity of the requesting individual is necessary.

(c) Where the initial decision is not to provide access to requested records, the Administrative Officer shall by writing or other appropriate communication explain the reason for that decision. The Administrative Officer shall only refuse to provide an individual access to records about the individual where (1) there is inadequate verification that the requesting individual is in fact the person about whom records are maintained, (2) in fact no such records are maintained, or (3) the requested records have been compiled in reasonable anticipation of civil or criminal action or proceedings.

§ 2402.7 Special procedures: medical records.

(a) If medical records are requested for inspection which, in the opinion of the Administrative Officer, may be harmful to the requestor if personally inspected by him, such records will be furnished only to a licensed physician designated to receive such records by the requestor. Prior to such disclosure, the requestor must furnish a signed written authorization to the Council or Panel to make such disclosure and the physician must furnish a written request to the Administrative Officer for the physician's receipt of such records.

(b) If such authorization is not executed within the presence of a Council or Panel representative, the authorization must be accompanied by a notarized statement verifying the identification of the requestor.

§ 2402.8 Limitations on disclosure.

Requests for records about an individual made by persons other than that individual shall also be directed to the Administrative Officer. Such records shall only be made available to persons other than that individual in the following circumstances:

(a) To any person with the prior written consent of the individual about whom the records are maintained;

(b) To officers and employees of the Council and Panel who need the records in the performance of their official duties;

(c) For a routine use compatible with the purpose for which it was collected;

(d) To any person to whom disclosure is required by the Freedom of Information Act, 5 U.S.C. 552;

(e) To the Bureau of the Census for uses pursuant to title 13, United States Code;

(f) In a form not individually identifiable to a recipient who has provided the Council and Panel with adequate assurance that the record will be used solely as a statistical research or reporting record;

(g) To the National Archives of the United States or other appropriate entity as a record which has historical or other value warranting its preservation;

(h) To another agency or to an instrumentality of any governmental jurisdiction within or under control of the United States for a civil or criminal law enforcement activity that is authorized by law if the head of the agency or instrumentality has made a written request for the record to the Council or Panel.

(i) To a person pursuant to a showing of compelling circumstances affecting the health or safety of an individual, provided that notification of such a disclosure shall be immediately mailed to the last known address of the individual;

(j) To either House of Congress or to any committee thereof with appropriate jurisdiction;

(k) To the Comptroller General in the performance of the official duties of the General Accounting Office; or

(l) Pursuant to the order of a court of compentent jurisdiction.

§ 2402.9 Accounting of disclosures.

(a) The Administrative Officer of the Council shall maintain a record ("accounting") of every instance in which records about an individual are made available, pursuant to this part, to any person other than (1) an officer or employee of the Council or Panel in the performance of his or her duties or (2) any person pursuant to the Freedom of Information Act, 5 U.S.C. 552.

(b) The accounting which shall be retained for at least 5 years or the life of the record, whichever is longer, shall contain the following information:

(1) A brief description of records disclosed;

(2) The date, nature and, where known, the purpose of the disclosure; and

(3) The name and address of the person or agency to whom the disclosure is made.

§ 2402.10 Requests for correction or amendment of records.

(a) After inspection of any records, if the individual disagrees with any information in the record, he or she may request that the Administrative Officer correct or otherwise amend the records maintained about him or her. Such request shall specify the particular portions of the record to be amended or corrected, the desired amendment or correction, and the reasons therefor.

(b) Such request shall be in writing and directed to the Administrative Officer, Federal Labor Relations Council, 1900 E Street NW., Washington, D.C. 20415. It should be clearly and prominently identified as a Privacy Act request and, if submitted by mail or otherwise submitted in an envelope or other cover, should bear the legend "Privacy Act Request" on the envelope or other cover. If a request does not comply with the provisions of this paragraph, it shall not be deemed received until the time it is actually received by the Administrative Officer.

§ 2402.11 Initial decision on correction or amendment.

(a) Within 10 working days from the date of receipt of a request for correction or amendment, the Administrative Officer will acknowledge receipt of the request and, under normal circumstances, not later than 30 days from receipt of the request the Administrative Officer will give the requesting individual notice, by mail or other appropriate means, of his decision regarding the request.

(b) The Administrative Officer's notice of decision shall include:

(1) A statement whether the request has been granted or denied, in whole or in part;

(2) A quotation or description of any amendment or correction made to any records; and

(3) Where a request is denied in whole or in part, an explanation of the reason for that denial and of the requesting individual's right to appeal the decision to the Executive Director of the Council or the Executive Secretary of the Panel, as the case may be, pursuant to § 2402.13 of this part.

§ 2402.12 Amendment or correction of previously disclosed records.

Whenever a record is amended or corrected pursuant to § 2402.11 or a written statement filed pursuant to § 2402.13, the Administrative Officer shall give notice of that correction, amendment or written statement to all persons to whom the records or copies thereof have been disclosed, as recorded in the accounting kept pursuant to § 2402.9 of this part.

§ 2402.13 Agency review of refusal to correct or amend a record.

(a) *Federal Labor Relations Council.* (1) Any individual whose request for access to, or amendment or correction of, records of the Council has been denied in whole or in part by an initial decision of the Administrative Officer may appeal that decision by filing a written request for review of that decision with the Executive Director, Federal Labor Relations Council, 1900 E Street NW., Washington, D.C. 20415, within 30 days of the receipt of notice of the initial decision.

(2) The appeal shall describe (i) the request initially made by the individual for access to, or the amendment or correction of, records, (ii) the Administrative Officer's initial decision thereupon and (iii) the reasons why that initial decision should be modified by the Executive Director.

(3) Not later than 30 working days from receipt of a request for review

(unless such period is extended by the Chairman of the Federal Labor Relations Council for good cause shown), the Executive Director shall make a decision, and give notice thereof to the appealing individual, whether to modify the Administrative Officer's initial decision in any way. If the Executive Director upholds the Administrative Officer's initial decision not to amend or correct the records as requested, he shall notify the appealing individual of (i) his or her right to judicial review of the Executive Director's decision pursuant to 5 U.S.C. 552a(g)(1)(A), and (ii) of his or her right to file with the agency a written statement of disagreement setting forth the reasons why the record should have been amended or corrected as requested. That written statement of disagreement shall be made a part of the record and shall accompany that record in any use or disclosure of the record.

(b) *Federal Service Impasses Panel.* (1) Any individual whose request for access to, or amendment or correction of, records of the Panel has been denied in whole or in part by an initial decision of the Administrative Officer may appeal that decision by filing a written request for review with the Executive Secretary, Federal Service Impasses Panel, 1900 E Street NW., Washington, D.C. 20415, within 30 days of the receipt of notice of the initial decision.

(2) The appeal shall describe (i) the request initially made by the individual for access to, or the amendment or correction of, records, (ii) the Administrative Officer's initial decision thereupon and (iii) the reasons why that initial decision should be modified by the Executive Secretary.

(3) Not later than 30 working days from receipt of a request for review (unless such period is extended by the Chairman of the Federal Service Impasses Panel for good cause shown), the Executive Secretary shall make a decision, and give notice thereof to the appealing individual, whether to modify the Administrative Officer's initial decision in any way. If the Executive Secretary upholds the Administrative Officer's initial decision not to amend or correct the records as requested, he shall notify the appealing individual of (i) his or her right to judicial review of the Executive Secretary's decision pursuant to 5 U.S.C. 552a(g)(1)(A), and (ii) of his or her right to file with the agency a written statement of disagreement setting forth the reasons why the record should have been amended or corrected as requested. That written statement of disagreement shall be made a part of the record and shall accompany that record in any use or disclosure of the record.

§ 2402.14 Fees.

(a) Upon request the Council or Panel will provide a copy of the records to the individual to whom they pertain. There will be a charge of $0.10 per page.

(b) Any charges may be waived or reduced whenever it is in the public interest to do so.

§ 2402.15 Penalties.

Any person who knowingly and willfully requests or obtains any record concerning an individual from the Council or Panel under false pretenses shall be subject to criminal prosecution under 5 U.S.C. 552a(i)(3) which provides that such person shall be guilty of a misdemeanor and fined not more than $5,000.

[FR Doc.75-35126 Filed 12-24-75;1:06 pm]

FEDERAL LABOR RELATIONS COUNCIL AND FEDERAL SERVICE IMPASSES PANEL

PRIVACY ACT OF 1974

Notice of Systems of Records

Notice is hereby given that the Federal Labor Relations Council and the Federal Service IMpasses Panel, in accordance with the provisions of subsections (e)(4) and (e)(11) of section 552a of the Privacy Act of 1974 (Pub. L. 93-579), propose to adopt the following notice of the existence and character of the systems of records which they maintain which contain information about individuals. The personnel records covered by this notice are supplemental to the general personnel records maintained for the Coundil and the Panel by the Civil Service Commission for which a general, Government-wide notice was published by the Civil Service Commission at 40 FR 39239 (1975).

Any person interested in this notice may comment in wirting upon the proposed notice by submitting data, views and arguments to either the Executive Director, Federal Labor Relations Council, or the Executive Secretary, Federal Service Impasses Panel, or both, at 1900 E St. NW., Washington, DC 20415, on or before January 30, 1976.

All written comments received through said date will be considered before the Council and the Panel take action on a final notice.

Dated: December 24, 1975.

By the Federal Labor Relations Council.

Henry B. Frazier III,
Executive Director.

By the Federal Service Impasses Panel.

Howard W. Solomon,
Executive Secretary.

FLRC/FSIP—1

System name: Personnel files on current, past, and prospective employees--FLRC/FSIP.

System location: Council offices, 1900 E St., NW., Washington, DC 20415.

Categories of individuals covered by the system: Present and past employees and individuals who have submitted solicited or unsolicited applications for employment.

Categories of records in the system: Information regarding name; address; telephone, number; marital status; birth date; Social Security Number; veteran preference; tenure; handicap; past and present salaries, grades, and position titles; letters of commendation, reprimand, charges, and decision on charges; locator files; personnel actions, including but not limited to, appointment, reassignment, demotion, detail, promotion, transfer, and separation; training files; records relating to life insurance, health benefits, and designation of beneficiary; performance ratings; awards; performance appraisals; letters of recommendation; emergency information; educational background; and special employment qualifications.

Authority for maintenance of the system: Executive Order 11491, as amended.

Routine uses of records maintained in the system, including categories of users and the purposes of such uses: Information contained the system is used by the Council and Panel officials for purposes of review in connection with appointments, transfers, promotions, and determination of qualifications of an individual; to provide information to a Federal agency, in response to its request, in connection with the hiring or retention of an employee, or other benefit by the requesting agency, to the extent that the information is relevant and necessary to the requesting agency's decision on the matter; to provide information to a prospective employer of a Council or Panel employee or former Council or Panel employee or to an organization at the request of the employee or former employee; and to provide information to a congressional office from the record of an individual in response to an inquiry from a congressional office made at the request of that individual.

Policies and practices for storing, retrieving, accessing, retaining, and disposing of records in the system:

Storage: The records are maintained in file folders.

Retrievability: Manual-indexed by name.

Safeguards: The system is primarily maintained in a locked, metal filing cabinet, the access combination to which is known only to authorized persons. Certain information in the system, such as home address, emergency information, grade, and date of last promotion or within-grade step increase is maintained in limited-access files available only to authorized persons.

Retention and disposal: Records on current employees are retained in an active file during the course of an employee's employment with the Council or Panel. Files on past employees are retained in an inactive file. Other records in the system, such as applications for employment, are periodically reviewed and disposed of in accordance with established procedures.

System manager(s) and address:

Executive Director
Federal Labor Relations Council
1900 E St., NW.
Washington, DC 20415
Executive Secretary
Federal Service Impasses Panel
1900 E St., NW.
Washington, DC 20415

Notification procedure: An individual may obtain notification as to whether the system of records contains a record pertaining to the individual by forwarding an inquiry to the Administrative Officer, Federal Labor Relations Council, Room 7P56, 1900 E St., NW., Washington, DC 20415, or by appearing in person at the above address. See Council and Panel regulations in 5 CFR 2402.4.

Record access procedures: See Council and Panel access regulations in 5 CFR 2402.5. Assistance in obtaining access the contents of records can be obtained from the Administrative Officer at the above address.

Contesting record procedures: The Council's and Panel's rules for contesting contents of records, and for appealing adverse determinations with respect thereto, are contained in 5 CFR 2402.10 and 2402.13.

Record source categories: Subject individuals; former and/or present employers of subject individuals; and other persons familiar with the individual.

FLRC/FSIP—2

System name: Travel records—FLRC/FSIP

System location: Council offices, 1900 E St., NW., Washington, DC 20415.

Categories of individuals covered by the system: Names of persons who use Council funds for travel.

Categories of records in the system: Contains the various records required to administer the travel requirements of the Council and Panel, including all places to which travel was accomplished and the costs. Included on various travel forms is the home address of the traveling individual.

Authority for maintenance of the system: Executive Order 11491, as amended.

Routine uses of records maintained in the system, including categories of users and the purposes of such uses: This system of records is used for bedgetary purposes and for reporting to Members of Congress and other agencies when appropriate.

Policies and practices for storing, retrieving, accessing, retaining, and disposing of records in the system:

Storage: The records are maintained in file folders.

Retrievability: Manual-indexed by name.

Safeguards: Access to and use of such records are limited to those persons whose official duties require such access.

Retention and disposal: The records are maintained and disposed of in accordance with established procedures.

System manager(s) and address:

Executive Director
Federal Labor Relations Council
1900 E St., NW.
Washington, DC 20415
Executive Secretary
Federal Service Impasses Panel
1900 E St., NW.

Washington, DC 20415

Notification procedure: An individual may obtain notification as to whether the system of records contains a record pertaining to the individual by forwarding an inquiry to the Administrative Officer, Federal Labor Relations Council, Room 7P56, 1900 E St., NW., Washington, DC 20415, or by appearing in person at the above address. See Council and Panel regulations in 5 CFR 2402.4.

Record access procedures: See Council and Panel access regulations in 5 CFR 2402.5. Assistance in obtaining access to the contents of records can be obtained from the Administrative Officer at the above address.

Contesting record procedures: The Council's and Panel's rules for contesting contents of records, and for appealing adverse determinations with respect thereto, are contained in 5 CFR 2402.10 and 13.

Record source categories: Information in this system of records comes from the individual to whom it applies.

DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH, EDUCATION, AND WELFARE

PRIVACY ACT OF 1974

Systems of Records and Notice of Proposed Routine Uses ThereforPursuant to the Privacy Act of 1974 (Public Law 9830579) as prescribed in 5 U.S.C. 552a(e)(4), the following notice of systems of records that are maintained by the Department of Health, Education, and Welfare is published as set forth below. Any omissions due to oversight will be published at a later date.

Prior to the final adoption of the poposed routine uses for new or corrected notices, consideration in accordance with the requirements of 5 U.S.C. 552a(e)(11) will be given to comments which are submitted in writing on or before the 30th day following the date of publication of this notice.

Comments should be addressed to the Director, Fair Information Practice Staff, Department of Health, Education, and Welfare, 330 Independence Ave., S.W., Washington, D.C. 20201. Comments received will be available for inspection in Room 4513, at the above address.

The following listing includes notices of systems of records which were inadvertently omitted from the groups of notices published on August 27, 1975, Part II, Section I, pp. 38391038683; September 22, 1975, Part IV, pp. 43700043711 and October 1, 1975, Part III, pp. 45348045415. In addition, it includes a number of corrections to notices previously published. In the corrected notices additional routine uses can be ascertained by comparing the routine uses of the corrected notices with those previouslyu published in the Federal Register.

Dated: December 22, 1975.

John Ottina
Assistant Secretary for Administration and Management

Systems not previously published as Notices of Systems of Record:

HRA NCHS 0041.00

System name: Health Resources Utilization Statistics. DHEW/HRA/NCHS.

Security classification: None.

System location:

Parklawn Building
5600 Fishers Lane
Rockville, Maryland 20852

Categories of individuals covered by the system: Recipients of medical care included in statistical surveys and reports of the National Center for Health Statistics (NCHS), including: (1) staff and residents of nursing homes selected by random sampling techniques to be representative of nursing homes in the U.S.; (2) physicians providing office based medical care and patients visiting such physicians; (3) medical records from selected short-stay hospitals; and (4) persons visiting the family planning facilities participating in the National Reporting System for Family Planning Services.

Categories of records in the system: Statistical records containing information on: (1) the utilization of nursing home care through data on residents (demographic and social characteristics, health status, and charges paid for care) and the facility (general characteristics, certification, services offered, and expense); (2) the demographic characteristics, medical and other problems of persons visiting physicians' offices, and the physicians' diagnoses, treatment, and disposition decisions made during such visits as obtained from physicians during randomly assigned one-week survey periods; (3) the demographic characteristics, administrative information (admission and discharge dates, discharge status, and medical record number), and medical information (diagnoses and surgical procedures abstracted from the face sheet of short stay hospital medical records); and (4) family planning services (medical, social, and educational) provided by the clinics participating in a nationwide reporting system, the demographic and socioeconomic characteristics, including education and welfare status, of the recipients of these services, and the extent to which these services (excluding physicians' offices) are funded by Federal grants. In many cases, these records do not contain individual identifiers when they come under control of the National Center for Health Statistics; they carry only sequence numbers, which only the originating agency would be able to translate into a personal identifier--and even then, not in all cases. Names of residents and staff of nursing homes and patients of physicians are listed on separated forms for sampling purposes only and are not included in the final statistical records.

Authority for maintenance of the system: Public Health Service Act, Section 306(b)(1)(F)(42 U.S.C. 242k).

Routine uses of records maintained in the system, including categories of users and the purposes of such uses: The data are disseminated for: (1) purposes of statistical research and analysis, and in the case of family planning statistics, for executing national family planning programs; (2) outside of DHEW in forms which do not permit the identification of individuals, such as publications of statistical tables, specially requested tabulations, and public use computer tapes. These are communicated to interested persons outside DHEW, such as members of Congress and their staffs, other executive branch agencies, universities and medical schools, State and local health planning agencies, private foundations, etc. The findings are used by demographers, sociologists, health statisticians, epidemiologists, medical educators, health planners, other scholars, and concerned citizens, to evaluate health matters, make determinations on needs for legislation, appropriations, new service programs, and the like.

Policies and practices for storing, retrieving, accessing, retaining, and disposing of records in the system:

Storage: The records are transferred onto magnetic tapes without name and address information and are maintained on magnetic tapes for an indefinite period.

Retrievability: Data are retrieved by individual identifier only in the editing stage of data processing and only for the purpose of correcting errors in the recording of information. Original survey records are reviewed for accuracy and edited, then data (without personal identifiers such as name or social security number) are transferred to magnetic tape. The original records are stored at the Federal Records Center. The tape is used for statistical purposes only, as specified by statute, Section 308(d) of Public Law 93-353. These uses include the preparation of aggregated data in the form of statistical tables for publication, analysis and interpretation to meet the legislative mandates of Public Law 93-353, i.e., collection of statistics on the utilization of health services, including the utilization of: (1) nursing home facilities to determine levels of illness and disability, effects on the institutionalized population, and the costs of care; (2) ambulatory health services by specialties and types of practice of the health professionals providing such services; (3) short-stay hospitals to determine characteristics of patients, length of stay, diagnosis and surgical operations, and patterns of use of care in hospitals of different size and ownership; (4) family planning facilities to provide statistics on the size of and services dispensed by these facilities, the numbers and characteristics of family planning patients, the overall proportion of the 'target population' which is being reached by family planning programs on a national scale, and the like. The family planning data are distributed to the Deputy Assistant Secretary for Population Affairs, DHEW, the Bureau of Community Health Services, and others for the purpose of executing national family planning programs.

Safeguards: All employees of the National Center for Health Statistics, as a condition of employment, sign an affidavit binding them to nondisclosure of identifiable individual information. Since the magnetic data tapes have no name and address information, users of the tape could only identify specific individuals by relating the identification number on the tape to the original record. Only employees of NCHS, NCHS contractors, the agency supplying the information in the first instance, or third parties with the written permission of the agency supplying the information are permitted access to the magnetic tapes with the identifying numbers described above or to the files containing the original reporting instruments. Magnetic tapes with identification numbers removed are made available to the public for statistical uses.

Retention and disposal: The original records are retained in office files of NCHS or NCHS contractors for two years. The procedure for family planning records differs in that the original documents are retained in office files for only two months. In all instances, the original records are then sent to the Federal Records Center where they are stored for five years.

System manager(s) and address:

Director, National Center for Health Statistics, HRA

5600 Fishers Lane
Rockville, Maryland 20852

Notification procedure: None.

Record access procedures: None.

Contesting record procedures: None.

Record source categories: None.

Systems exempted from certain provisions of the act: With respect to this system of records, exemption has been requested from requirements contained in Subsections 552a, (c)(3), (d), (e)(4)(G), (H), and (I), and (f), in accordance with provisions of Subsections 552a (k)(4) of the Privacy Act of 1974. The reason for this request is that this system contains only records required by statute to be maintained and used solely as statistical records.

SSA HI CAR 0575.00

System name: Medicare Physician Supplier Master File

Security classification: None.

System location: Carriers under contract to Social Security Administration. (See Appendix C, Section 4).

Categories of individuals covered by the system: Physicians and supplies who provide medical services or suppliers to Medicare beneficiaries.

Categories of records in the system: A compilation of all charges submitted by a physician or supplier for services and supplies to: (1) derive 'reasonable charge' information; (2) determine economic indexes; and (3) to substantiate the basis for payment to beneficiaries, physicians, supplies and hospitals.

Authority for maintenance of the system: Sections 1833, 1835, 1842 and 1874 of title XVIII of the Social Security Act.

Routine uses of records maintained in the system, including categories of users and the purposes of such uses: No routine uses for disclosure.

Policies and practices for storing, retrieving, accessing, retaining, and disposing of records in the system:

Storage: Records are maintained on magnetic tape, microfilm, and hard copy paper.

Retrievability: The records are indexed by physician provider numbers and supplier EIN (employer's identification number). The records are prepared and updated daily by carriers as a basis for determining reasonable charges and area prevailing charges.

Safeguards: Disclosure of records is limited to carrier personnel on a need-to-know basis. The files are closed to unauthorized personnel.

Retention and disposal: Records are closed out the first quarter following the close of the previous calendar year. Files are retained indefinitely.

System manager(s) and address:

Director, Bureau of Health Insurance
6401 Security Boulevard
Baltimore, Maryland 21235

Notification procedure: Inquiries and requests for records information should be directed to the carrier servicing the physician's or supplier's geographical area. Individuals who want to determine if they have a record in this system must provide their full name and address.

Record access procedures: Same as Notification.

Contesting record procedures: Same as Notification.

Record source categories: Information contained in these records is furnished in part by the individual physician or supplier and in part abstracted from Medicare Part B billing records.

SSA HI 1175.00

System name: SSA Health Insurance Program Review Team Case Files

Security classification: None.

System location:

SSA, BHI, Program Integrity Branch
Baltimore, Maryland 21235
Health Insurance Regional Representative in the HI Regional Offices located in Boston, New York, Philadelphia, Atlanta, Chicago, Dallas, Kansas City, Denver, San Francisco, and Seattle.

Categories of individuals covered by the system: Beneficiaries whose medical treatment in connection with title XVIII of the Social Security Act (Medicare) is suspected as being unnecessary, harmful, or inferior or whose charges are substantially in excess of customary.

Categories of records in the system: Statements, medical records, payment records, complaints from beneficiaries and others, including correspondence and forms, to document complaints and reports of medical review committees.

Authority for maintenance of the system: Section 205, title II of the Social Security Act. Section 1106 and 1107, title XI. Sections 1862 and 1866, title XVIII.

Routine uses of records maintained in the system, including categories of users and the purposes of such uses: Routine disclosure made to program review teams.

Policies and practices for storing, retrieving, accessing, retaining, and disposing of records in the system:

Storage: Paper files maintained in locked file cabinets.

Retrievability: Filed alphabetically by last name of subject within region of investigation.

Safeguards: File cabinets are kept locked and are located in a room that is locked after office hours. Access to the files is limited to Program Integrity Staff members.

Retention and disposal: Records are placed in inactive file after final action on the case. The inactive file is closed out at the end of the calendar year in which final action was taken, held 2 additional years, transferred to the Federal Records Center, and destroyed after 3 additional years.

System manager(s) and address:

Director, Bureau of Health Insurance
6401 Security Boulevard,
Baltimore, Maryland 21235

Notification procedure: Inquiries should be directed to SSA, Bureau of Health Insurance Program Integrity Branch, Baltimore, Maryland 21235

Record access procedures: Same.

Contesting record procedures: Same.

Record source categories: Complainants, other informants, statements of subject, investigative records, utilization review committee reports, peer review committee reports.

SSA HI 1275.00

System name: Non-Provider Overpayment Recovery File

Security classification: None

System location: Bureau of Health Insurance

Categories of individuals covered by the system: The system contains the name of the beneficiary on whose account an overpayment has been made and the name of the physician to whom the overpayment was made.

Categories of records in the system: The system contains the name of the beneficiary on whose account an overpayment has been made and the name of the physician to whom the overpayment was made. The file also includes completed Medicare claims forms which contain patient's name, sex, claim number, address, date of birth, date of treatment, diagnosis, and summary of treatment rendered as well as other documents that were used in calculating the existence and amount of overpayment.

Authority for maintenance of the system: Section 3, Federal Claims Collection Act of 1966.

Routine uses of records maintained in the system, including categories of users and the purposes of such uses: Disclosure may be made to the Department of Justice when civil litigation is involved, to the Internal Revenue Service for investigation of alleged tax fraud and to Professional Standards Review Organizations and State Licensing Boards for review of unethical practices or non-professional conduct. Further, SSA may request a credit report on certain physicians from whom repayment of an overpayment is requested.

Policies and practices for storing, retrieving, accessing, retaining, and disposing of records in the system:

Storage: Paper files maintained in individual folders.

Retrievability: The system is indexed by beneficiary name and cross-indexed by physician name. The purpose of the record is to provide documentation and control of cases in which a Medicare overpayment has been made.

Safeguards: Only authorized personnel are permitted in the file area.

Retention and disposal: The files are retained indefinitely.

System manager(s) and address:

Director, Bureau of Health Insurance
6401 Security Blvd.
Baltimore, Maryland 21235.

Notification procedure: Inquiries and requests for system records should be addressed to Social Security Administration, Bureau of Health Insurance, Office of Program Review, Recovery Staff, E-2 Gwynn Oak Building, 1710 Gwynn Oak Avenue, Baltimore, MD 21235.

Record access procedures: Same.

Contesting record procedures: Same.

Record source categories: Data in these records is obtained from carrier or intermediary billing records.

SSA PP PEP 0175.00

System name: Congressional Bills File

Security classification: None.

System location:

Office of Program Evaluation and Planning
6401 Security Blvd.
Baltimore, Maryland 21235

Categories of individuals covered by the system: Members of Congress

Categories of records in the system: Listing of bills to amend the Social Security Act. Includes bill number, sponsor's name, state and party affiliation, date of introduction of bill, and a 3-digit subject code.

Authority for maintenance of the system: 5 U.S. Code 301

Routine uses of records maintained in the system, including categories of users and the purposes of such uses: Disclosure to the public upon request of information contained in the file is mandatory under the Freedom of Information Act.

Policies and practices for storing, retrieving, accessing, retaining, and disposing of records in the system:

Storage: Computer terminal (magnetic tape), and paper listings.

Retrievability: Bill number, subject matter, name of sponsor.

Safeguards: Information is accessed on a limited need-to-know basis by staff of the Legislative Reference Office.

Retention and disposal: Retained for duration of each Congress. (Listing by subject matter is retained indefinitely.)

System manager(s) and address:

Legislative Reference Officer
Room 400 Altmeyer Building
6401 Security Boulevard
Baltimore, Maryland 21235

Notification procedure: Systems Manager.

Record access procedures: Systems Manager.

Contesting record procedures: Systems Manager

Record source categories: Congressional Record.

SSA PP RSG 0475.00

System name: Extramural research contract file.

Security classification: None.

System location:

Office or Research and Statistics
6401 Security Boulevard
Baltimore, Maryland 21235

Categories of individuals covered by the system: Research personnel described in statistical research and development proposals submitted both on an unsolicited basis and in response to request for proposals.

Categories of records in the system: Each file contains the vitae of the major project personnel, submitted as part of the project proposal, containing information on education, professional training, experience and publications. Proposed budgets show staff salaries. Frequently other demographic data about individual project personnel are also provided.

Authority for maintenance of the system: Sections 702 and 1875 of the Social Security Act.

Routine uses of records maintained in the system, including categories of users and the purposes of such uses: No routine uses for purposes of disclosure.

Policies and practices for storing, retrieving, accessing, retaining, and disposing of records in the system:

Storage: Stored in file cabinets, selected information in card file.

Retrievability: Within each file for a specific project, by name of individual.

Safeguards: All persons having access have been notified of the criminal sanctions for unauthorized disclosure of information about individuals.

Retention and disposal: 3 years following period of award.

System manager(s) and address:

Assistant Commissioner for Research and Statistics
Social Security Administration, Room 1121
1875 Connecticut Avenue, N.W.
Washington, D. C. 20009

Notification procedure: For purpose of notification, the system manager will need to know the name of the system, the contractor and project title or number, and the name of the person requesting notification.

Record access procedures: Same.

Contesting record procedures: Same.

Record source categories: Project proposals submitted for contract consideration.

SSA PP RSG 0575.00

System name: Extramural Research Grants File

Security classification: None.

System location:

Office of Research and Statistics, SSA
6401 Security Boulevard
Baltimore, Maryland 21235

Categories of individuals covered by the system: Persons submitting applications for grant support of statistical research and development projects under the Cooperative Research Grants Program, and associated project personnel.

Categories of records in the system: Research proposals containing individual salary information, details on education, professional training, experience, and publications, and frequently various demographic data for project personnel.

Authority for maintenance of the system: Section 1110 of the Social Security Act.

Routine uses of records maintained in the system, including categories of users and the purposes of such uses: No routine uses for purposes of disclosure.

Policies and practices for storing, retrieving, accessing, retaining, and disposing of records in the system:

Storage: Stored in file cabinets, selected information in card file.

Retrievability: Within each grant applicant's file, by names of project personnel.

Safeguards: All persons having access have been notified of criminal sanctions for unauthorized disclosures of information about individuals.

Retention and disposal: Funded proposals are retained for a minimum period of 3 years. Unfunded proposals are retained for 1 year.

System manager(s) and address:

Assistant Commissioner for Research and Statistics
Social Security Administration, Room 1121
1875 Connecticut Avenue, N.W.
Washington, D. C. 20009

Notification procedure: For purpose of notification, the system manager will need to know the name of the system, the grantee organization and project description, and the name of the person requesting notification.

Record access procedures: Same.

Contesting record procedures: Same.

Record source categories: Project proposals submitted for grant consideration.

SSA PP RSH 1575.00

System name: Survey of Physicians' Administrative and Practice Costs and Medicaid Participation.

Security classification: None.

System location:

Abt Associates
55 Wheeler Street
Cambridge, Mass.

Categories of individuals covered by the system: A national and regional sample of 2000 office-based physicians.

Categories of records in the system: Education, professional background, age, and demographic information about physicians, to be merged with administrative and practice cost data, practice characteristics in terms of specialty, type, size, etc., and participation in Medicaid.

Authority for maintenance of the system: Section 1875 of the Social Security Act, and section 222(a) of the Social Security Act amendments of 1972.

Routine uses of records maintained in the system, including categories of users and the purposes of such uses: No routine uses for disclosure.

Policies and practices for storing, retrieving, accessing, retaining, and disposing of records in the system:

Storage: AMA background information will be maintained on a master sheet, and individually in each interview folder. Interview information will be maintained on questionnaires prior to conversion to magnetic tape. Resulting tapes will contain no personal identifiers.

Retrievability: Until each interview is completed and verified, the contractor will maintain questionnaires and background information in folders labeled with the physician's name. After the information is removed from the folders, there can be no retrieval of individual records.

Safeguards: All identifiers will be removed from data as soon as the collection and matching is complete and verified. All persons having access to records have been notified of criminal sanctions for unauthorized disclosure of information about individuals. Since the tapes will contain no personal identifiers, no special safeguards will be required.

Retention and disposal: Master sheets will be retained indefinitely. Folder identification link will be destroyed. Tapes without personal identifiers will be maintained indefinitely.

System manager(s) and address:

Assistant Commissioner for Research and Statistics
Social Security Administration, Room 1121
1875 Connecticut Avenue, N.W.
Washington, D.C. 20009

Notification procedure: To access AMA background master sheets, name and address is required by the system manager.

Record access procedures: Same.

Contesting record procedures: Same.

Record source categories: Responses from 1000 physicians nationwide and 1000 physicians in two Census regions, both for a single time use; background information from the American Medical Association Directory of Physicians.

SSA PP RSH 1675.00

System name: Ambulatory Surgery Research Project

Security classification: None.

System location:

The Orkand Corporation
8630 Fenton Street
Silver Spring, Md. 20910

The Orkand Corporation
0A420
5131 North Fortieth Street
Phoenix, Arizona 82018

Categories of individuals covered by the system: Patients in selected ambulatory and hospital surgical facilities; selected physicians.

Categories of records in the system: Patient interview questionnaires containing demographic, financial, medical, and family information; physician questionnaires with demographic and financial information.

Authority for maintenance of the system: Section 1875 of the Social Security Act and Section 222(b) of the Social Security Amendments of 1972.

Routine uses of records maintained in the system, including categories of users and the purposes of such uses: To contractor under contract with the Social Security Administration to perform research and statistical activities related to this study.

Policies and practices for storing, retrieving, accessing, retaining, and disposing of records in the system:

Storage: Hard copy questionnaires, hard copy patient identification forms, punched card decks, and magnetic tapes at contractor's locations. Magnetic tapes in non-individually identifiable form in the Bureau of Data Processing.

Retrievability: By name, indexed to case number. At completion of the study, patient identification index will be destroyed and retrieval of individual information will no longer be possible.

Safeguards: All records containing personal identifiers will be processed and handled at contractor's location. This installation has Department of Defense approval at SECRET CLEARANCE level, and has on-site security personnel 24 hours a day at primary location. The patient identifier forms will be retained in a safe at that site until they are destroyed at completion of the study.

Retention and disposal: Records will be retained only as long as required for records management purposes. All patient identification will be destroyed at the conclusion of the study. Magnetic tapes in non-individually identifiable form will be retained indefinitely.

System manager(s) and address:

Assistant Commissioner for Research and Statistics
Social Security Administration, Room 1121
Universal North Building
1875 Connecticut Avenue, N.W.
Washington, D.C. 20009

Notification procedure: For purposes of notification and access, name of system and name of individual; for purposes of verification, address, sex, and date of birth.

Record access procedures: Same.

Contesting record procedures: Same.

Record source categories: Patient and physician interview forms; patient medical records.

The following Notices of Systems of Record have been changed primarily within the paragraph indicated.

Routine uses of records maintained in the system, including categories of uses and the purposes of such uses:

OS ASAM PT 01.03

System name: Applicants for Employment Records. HEW/OS/ASAM/PT.

Security classification: None.

System location: This system is located in personnel offices and other offices of the Department authorized to receive applications for employment. See Personnel Appendix 1.

Categories of individuals covered by the system: Persons who have applied for Federal employment or are employed in the Federal service.

Categories of records in the system: These records contain information relating to the education and training; employment history and earnings; appraisal of past performance; convictions and offenses against the law; results of written tests; appraisal of potential; honors, awards or fellowships; military service; veteran preference, birthplace; birth date; social security number; and home address of persons who have applied for Federal employment or are employed in the Federal service; and correspondence related thereto. These records may also include information concerning the date of application, qualification status, employment consideration, priority grouping, and other information relating to the consideration of the individual for employment.

Authority for maintenance of the system: 5 U.S.C. 1302, 3301, 3302, Executive Order 10577.

Routine uses of records maintained in the system, including categories of users and the purposes of such uses: These records may be used: 1) to respond to requests for information from the Civil Service Commission, Members of Congress, or other inquirers from outside the Department, to the extent their request is compatible with the purpose for which the records are maintained; 2) to other federal agencies for Suitability checks; 3) See Appendix B Departmental Regulations (45 CFR Part 5b), Items 01,3,4,6,7,8,9.

Policies and practices for storing, retrieving, accessing, retaining, and disposing of records in the system:

Storage: Records are maintained on magnetic tapes, punched cards, microfiche, cards, lists, and forms.

Retrievability: Records are indexed by any combination of name, birth date, social security number and identification number. Records are used to refer applicants to officials of the Department

for placement in positions for which applicant has applied and is qualified.

Safeguards: Access to and use of these records are limited to those persons whose official duties require such access. Personnel screening is employed to prevent unauthorized disclosure.

Retention and disposal: Destroy 2 years after date application or correspondence is filed, or incorporate in official personnel folder when applicant enters on duty as a Department employee.

System manager(s) and address: Personnel Officers of the Department. See Personnel Appendix 1.

Notification procedure: Personnel office to which application is made (see Personnel Appendix 1). Individual should provide name, date of birth, social security number, approximate date of record and title of position for which application was made.

Record access procedures: Same as notification.

Contesting record procedures: Same as notification.

Record source categories: Information in this system of records is provided by the individual to whom it applies; is derived from information he or she supplied; or is obtained from vouchers supplied by references.

Systems exempted from certain provisions of the act: None.

Appendix 1

Personnel Offices in Department of Health, Education, and Welfare

Department Staff Personnel Office:

Deputy Assistant Secretary for Personnel and Training
Office of Personnel and Training
Assistant Secretary for Administration and Management,
Office of the Secretary
Department of Health, Education, and Welfare
330 Independence Avenue, S. W.
Washington, D. C. 20201

Headquarters Personnel Offices:

Personnel Officer
Division of OS Personnel
Office of the Secretary
Department of Health, Education, and Welfare
Room 4361 - 4th Floor
330 Independence Avenue, S. W.
Washington, D. C. 20201

Personnel Officer
Personnel and Training Division
Office of Education
Department of Health, Education, and Welfare
Room 1087 - FOB06
400 Maryland Avenue, S. W.
Washington, D. C. 20202

Personnel Officer
Personnel Division
National Institue of Education
Department of Health, Education, and Welfare
Room 821 - Marsh Building
1832 M Street, N. W.
Washington, D. C. 20208

Personnel Officer
Divison of Personnel
Social and Rehabilitation Service
Department of Health, Education, and Welfare
Room 2068
330 C Stree, S. W.
Washington, D. C. 20201

Personnel Officer
Office of Human Development
Department of Health, Education, and Welfare
Room 3742 - Donohoe Building
400 6th Street, S. W.
Washington, D. C. 20024

Personnel Director
Office of Personnel Management
Public Health Service
Department of Health, Education, and Welfare
Room 18A-55 - Parklawn Building
5600 Fishers Lane
Rockville, Maryland 20852

Director
Division of Personnel Management
Alcohol, Drug Abuse, and Mental Health Administration
Department of Health, Education, and Welfare
Room 12105 - Parklawn Building
5600 Fishers Lane
Rockville, Maryland 20852

Personnel Officer
Saint Elizabeths Hospital
Department of Health, Education, and Welfare
Room 120 - E Building
2700 Martin Luther King Avenue, S. E.
Washington, D. C. 20032

Personnel Director
Division of Personnel Managmeent
National Institutes of Health
Department of Health, Education, and Welfare
Room 21 - Building 1
9000 Rockville Pike
Bethesda, Maryland 20014

Personnel Officer
Personnel Office
National Library of Medicine
Department of Health, Education, and Welfare
8600 Wisconsin Avenue
Bethesda, Maryland 20014

Chief
Personnel Management Branch
National Cancer Institute
Department of Health, Education, and Welfare
Room 3A32 - Building 31
9000 Rockville Pike
Bethesda, Maryland 20014

Chief
Personnel Management Branch
National Heart and Lung Institute
Department of Health, Education, and Welfare
Room 5A32 - Building 31
9000 Rockville Pike
Bethesda, Maryland 20014

Director
Personnel Management
Health Resources Administration
Department of Health, Education, and Welfare
Room 10A-30 - Parklawn Building
5600 Fishers Lane
Rockville, Maryland 20852

Director
Office of Personnel
Health Services Administration
Department of Health, Education, and Welfare
Room 14A-30 - Parklawn Building
5600 Fishers Lane
Rockville, Maryland 20852

Personnel Director
Food and Drug Administration
Department of Health, Education, and Welfare
Room 10-85 - Parklawn Building HFA-400
5600 Fishers Lane
Rockville, Maryland 20852

Personnel Officer
Bureau of Health Resources Development
Department of Health, Education, and Welfare
Room 3C19 - Building 31

9000 Rockville Pike
Bethesda, Maryland 20014

Personnel Officer
Office of the Assistant Secretary for Health
Department of Health, Education, and Welfare
Room 5077 - 5th Floor
330 Independence Avenue, S. W.
Washington, D. C. 20202

Regional Personnel Offices:

Regional Personnel Officer
Regional Personnel Office I
Department of Health, Education, and Welfare
John F. Kennedy Federal Building
Government Center - Room 1503
Boston, Massachusetts 02203

Regional Personnel Officer
Regional Personnel Office II
Department of Health, Education, and Welfare
Federal Building
26 Federal Plaza
New York, New York 10007

Regional Personnel Officer
Regional Personnel Office III
Department of Health, Education, and Welfare
3535 Market Street, Room 9460
Philadelphia, Pennsylvania 19101

Regional Personnel Officer
Regional Personnel Office IV
Department of Health, Education, and Welfare
Room 415
50 Seventh Street, N. E.
Atlanta, Georgia 30323

Regional Personnel Officer
Regional Personnel Office V
Department of Health, Education, and Welfare
31st Floor
300 S. Wacker Drive
Chicago, Illinois 60606

Regional Personnel Officer
Regional Personnel Office VI
Department of Health, Education, and Welfare
14th Floor
1114 Commerce Street
Dallas, Texas 75202

Regional Personnel Officer
Regional Personnel Office VII
Department of Health, Education, and Welfare
Room 468
601 E. 12th Street
Kansas City, Missouri 64106

Regional Personnel Officer
Regional Personnel Office VIII
Department of Health, Education, and Welfare
Room 1103
Federal Office Building
1961 Stout Street
Denver, Colorado 80202

Regional Personnel Officer
Regional Personnel Office IX
Department of Health, Education, and Welfare
50 Fulton Street
San Francisco, California 94102

Regional Personnel Officer
Regional Personnel Office X
Department of Health, Education, and Welfare
Arcade Plaza
1321 Second Aveneu, M/S 627
Seattle, Washington 98101

Chief, Personnel Office
Cleveland Branch Office
Region V
Department of Health, Education, and Welfare
14725 Detroit Avenue, Room 250
Cleveland, Ohio 44107

Other Servicing Personnel Offices:

Personnel Officer
U. S. Public Health Service Hospital
Personnel Section
Deparmtent of Health, Education, and Welfare
Carville, Louisiana 70721

Personnel Officer
U. S. Public Health Service Hospital
Personnel Section
Department of Health, Education, and Welfare
440 Avenue N.
Galveston, Texas 77550

Personnel Officer
U. S. Public Health Service Hospital
Personnel Section
Department of Health, Education, and Welfare
1131 14th Avenue South
Seattle, Washington 98114

Personnel Officer
U. S. Public Health Service Hospital
Office of Personnel
Department of Health, Education, and Welfare
Bay and Vanderbilt Streets
Staten Island, New York 10304

Personnel Officer
U. S. Public Health Service Hospital
Personnel Section
Department of Health, Education, and Welfare
15th Avenue and Lake Street
San Francisco, California 94118

Personnel Officer
U. S. Public Health Service Hospital
Personnel Section
Department of Health, Education, and Welfare
77 Warren Street
Boston, Massachusetts 02135

Personnel Officer
U. S. Public Health Service Hospital
Personnel Section
Department of Health, Education, and Welfare
210 State Street
New Orleans, Louisiana 70118

Personnel Officer
U. S. Public Health Service Hospital
Personnel Section
Department of Health, Education, and Welfare
6500 Hampton Boulevard
Larchmont
Norfolk, Virginia 23508

Personnel Officer
U.S. Public Health Service Hospital
Personnel Management Branch
Department of Health, Education, and Welfare
3100 Wyman Park Drive
Baltimore, Maryland 21211

Personnel Officer
Indian Health Service
Personnel Management Branch
Department of Health, Education, and Welfare
P.O. Box 2143
Billings, Montana 59101

Personnel Officer
U. S. Public Health Service Hospital

Personnel Section
Department of Health, Education, and Welfare
4005 Federal Office Building
500 Gold Avenue, S. W.
Albuquerque, New Mexico 87101

Personnel Officer
Indian Health Service
Personnel Management Branch
Deparmten of Health, Education, and Welfare
405 Citizens Building
115 4th Avenue, S. E.
Aberdeen, South Dakota 57401

Personnel Officer
Indian Health Service
Personnel Managment Branch
Department of Health, Education, and Welfare
801 East Indian School Road
Phoenix, Arizona 85014

Personnel Officer
Indian Health Service
Personnel Branch
Department of Health, Education, and Welfare
388 Old Post Office and Courthouse
Oklahoma City, Oklahoma 73102

Personnel Officer
Alaska Area Office
Indian Health Service
Department of Health, Education, and Welfare
P. O. Box 7-741
Anchorage, Alaska 99501

Personnel Officer
Indian Health Area Office
Office of Personnel
Department of Health, Education, and Welfare
Room 200
921 S. W. Washington Street
Portland, Oregon 97205

Personnel Officer
Indian Health Service
Office of Personnel
Department of Health, Education, and Welfare
P. O. Box G
Window Rock, Arizona 86515

Personnel Officer
Division of Personnel
Social Security Administration
Department of Health, Education, and Welfare
Room G-2208, West High Rise Building
6401 Security Boulevard
Baltimore, Maryland 21235

Personnel Officer
Bureau of Hearings and Appeals
Social Security Administration
Department of Health, Education, and Welfare
Room 306, Webb Building
4040 B. Fairfax Drive
Arlington, Virginia 22203

Chief, Personnel Branch
Mid-Atlantic Program Center
Social Security Administration
Department of Health, Education, and Welfare
P. O. Box 12837
Philadelphia, Pennsylvania 19108

Chief, Personnel Branch
Northeastern Program Center
Social Security Administration
Department of Health, Education, and Welfare
P. O. Box 100
San Francisco, Califronia 94101

Chief, Personnel Branch
Southeastern Program Center
Social Security Administration
Department of Health, Education, and Welfare
2001 12th Avenue, North
Birmingham, Alabama 35285

96-05 Horace Harding Expressway
Flushing, New York 11368

Chief, Personnel Branch
Western Program Center
Social Security Administration
Department of Health, Education, and Welfare
Chief, Personnel Branch
Great Lakes Program Center
Social Security Administration
Department of Health, Education, and Welfare
165 North Canal Street
Chicago, Illinois 60606

Chief, Personnel Branch
Mid-America Program Center
Social Security Administration
Department of Health, Education, and Welfare
Room 1466, Federal Office Building
601 East 12th Street
Kansas City, Missouri 64106

Personnel Officer
Albuquerque Data Operations Center
Social Security Administration
Department of Health , Education, and Welfare
P. O. Box 4429, Station 'A'
Albuquerque, New Mexico 87106

Personnel Director
Personnel Management Office
Center for Disease Control
Department of Health, Education, and Welfare
Building 1, Room 153A
1600 Clifton Road, N. E.
Atlanta, Georgia 30333

Personnel Officer
Addiction Research Center
National Institute of Drug Abuse
Department of Health, Education, and Welfare
P. O. Box 12390
Lexington, Kentucky 40511

Personnel Officer
National Institute for Occupational Safety and Health
Department of Health, Education, and Welfare
Room 540
U. S. Post Office and Courthouse
5th and Walnut Street
Cincinnati, Ohio 45202

Personnel Officer
National Institute of Environmental Sciences
Department of Health, Education, and Welfare
P. O. Box 12233
Research Triangle Park, North Carolina 27709

OS ASAM PT 02.03

System name: Complaints and Inquiries Records - Miscellaneous. HEW/OS/ASAM/PT.

Security classification: None.

System location: Personnel offices shown in (See Application for Employment Records, HEW System OS-ASAM-PT-1) Appendix 1 and offices of operating officials in organizational units serviced by those personnel offices, in which employees are employed.

Categories of individuals covered by the system: Current Federal employees of the Department.

Categories of records in the system: This system consists of records relating to correspondence concerning an individual's employment status or conduct while employed by the Department. Examples of these records include: correspondence from employees,

Members of Congress, and members of the public alleging misconduct by an employee of the Department, miscellaneous complaints not covered by the Department's formal or informal grievance procedure, informal complaints handled by labor union officials, and miscellaneous debt correspondence received from creditors.

Authority for maintenance of the system: Executive Orders 11222, 10561 and 11491.

Routine uses of records maintained in the system, including categories of users and the purposes of such uses: Information in these records may be used: a) In accordance with Appendix B Departmental Regulations (45 CFR Part 5b) Items 01,4,5,6,7,9; b) by the Civil Service Commission in carrying out its functions; c) to respond to Members of Congress and members of the public with regard to complaints or inquiries presented by them; d) In accordance with Appendix B Departmental Regulations (45 CFR Part 5b) Item 3; e) In accordance with Appendix B Departmental Regulations (45 CFR Part 5b) Item 8.

Policies and practices for storing, retrieving, accessing, retaining, and disposing of records in the system:

Storage: Filed in folders and index cards.

Retrievability: Records are filed by name. Records are used to take action on and respond to a complaint about a DHEW employee; to produce summary descriptive statistics and analytical studies in support of the functions for which the records are collected and maintained and for related personnel management functions or pay studies; and for other purposes compatible with the intent for which the records system was created.

Safeguards: Access to and use of these records are limited to those persons whose official duties require such access.

Retention and disposal: Information in this system of records is disposed of 6 months after transfer or separation of employee.

System manager(s) and address: Personnel Officers shown in Appendix 1 to Applicants for Employment Records, HEW System OS-ASAM-PT-1 who service organizational units in which individuals are employed.

Notification procedure: Operating officials in organizational unit in which employee is employed or personnel offices shown as systems managers in Appendix 1 Applicants for Employment Records, HEW System 1. Individuals should provide name, organization in which employed, and date of birth.

Record access procedures: Same as above.

Contesting record procedures: Same as above.

Record source categories: Information in this system of records is obtained: 1) directly from the individual, or 2) derived from information supplied by the individual, or 3) from information supplied by members of the public, other employees, Members of Congress, Department management officials, or 4) from police and court records relevant to the complaint about the employee.

OS ASAM PT 03.03

System name: Conflict of Interest Records System. HEW/OS/ASAM/PT.

Security classification: None.

System location: Personnel Offices of the Department (See Applicants for Employment Records, HEW System 1) or levels of approving officials to be identified by those Personnel Offices.

Categories of individuals covered by the system: Incumbents of Department positions the duties of which are of such a nature that incumbent's financial interests, debts, ownership of real property or outside employment may come in conflict with the incumbent's official duties.

Categories of records in the system: The Conflict of Interest Records System consists of a variety of records relating to an employee's financial interest, conduct and outside activities. In addition to the name of the employee, SSN, position title, grade, salary, pay plan, and employing organization, the system includes information about outside employment and financial interests, creditors, interests in real property, outside compensation and related information.

Authority for maintenance of the system: Executive Order 11222.

Routine uses of records maintained in the system, including categories of users and the purposes of such uses: Information in this system of records may be used: a) by the Civil Service Commission in carrying out its functions; b) In accordance with Appendix B of the Departmental regulations (45 CFR Part 5b), Item 1,4,6,9.

Policies and practices for storing, retrieving, accessing, retaining, and disposing of records in the system:

Storage: Conflict of Interest Records are maintained in file folders.

Retrievability: Records are retrievable by name. They are used for the purpose of determining whether an employee's financial interest, conduct or outside activities is in conflict with the employee's duties as a Federal official. They also may be used for production of summary descriptive statistics and analytical studies in support of the functions for which the records are collected and maintained and for other purposes compatible with the intent for which the records system was created.

Safeguards: These records are treated as Personnel-Confidential and made available only to persons specifically authorized to receive them.

Retention and disposal: Information in this records system is disposed of 2 years after the employee leaves a position in which a statement is required or two years after the employee leaves the Department, whichever is earlier, in the case of regular employees. Information for consultants is disposed of five years after termination of consulting services.

System manager(s) and address: Personnel Office of the Department shown in Appendix 1 to Applicants for Employment Record, HEW System 1.

Notification procedure: For incumbents who are in position under the Executive Schedule; Office of the Secretary Staff Office Heads; or Regional Directors:

Deputy Assistant Secretary for Personnel and Training
Office of Personnel and Training
Department of Health, Education, and Welfare
330 Independence Avenue, S. W.
Washington, D. C. 20201

For incumbents of other positions included in this records system, the Personnel Officer shown in Appendix 1 to Applicants for Employment Records, HEW System OS-ASAM-PT-1, who services the organizational units in which the individual is employed. The individual should indicate name, position title, grade and series, and organization in which located.

Record access procedures: Same as notification.

Contesting record procedures: Same as notification.

Record source categories: Information in this system of records is: 1) supplied directly by the individual, or 2) derived from information supplied by the individual, or 3) supplied by Department officials or other persons such as trustee, attorney, accountant, relative.

OS ASAM PT 04.03

System name: Discrimination Complaints Records System. HEW/OS/ASAM/PT.

Security classification: None.

System location:

Office of Equal Employment Opportunity, DHEW
330 Independence Avenue, S. W.
Washington, D. C. 20201

Offices of designated EEO Officers in Principal Operating Components, Office of the Secretary, Health Agencies, Education Agencies and Regional Offices. See Personnel Appendix 1 for exact locations.

Categories of individuals covered by the system: Individuals or organizations which have consulted an EEO Counselor or have filed a complaint alleging discrimination on the basis of race, color, religion, sex, national origin or age, because of a determination or decision made by a Department official.

Categories of records in the system: This system of records contains information or documents concerning pre-complaint processing and discrimination complaints. The records consist of counselors' reports, the initial complaints, letters or notices to the individual or organization, record of hearings when conducted, materials placed into the record to support or refute the decision or determination, affidavits or statements, testimonies of witnesses, investigative reports, instructions about action to be taken to comply with decisions, and related correspondence, opinions and recommendations.

Authority for maintenance of the system: Executive Order 11478, P. L. 92-261 and P. L. 93-259.

Routine uses of records maintained in the system, including categories of users and the purposes of such uses: These records and information in the records may be used:

a. To respond to a request from a Member of Congress regarding the status of an appeal, complaint or grievance;
b. To refer to CSC in connection with an appeal or review;
c. In accordance with Appendix B Departmental Regulations (45 CFR Part 5b) Items 01,3,4,5,6,7,8,9.
d. To provide information to a Federal agency, in response to its request, in connection with the hiring or retention of an employee, the letting of a contract, or the issuance of a license, grant, or other benefit by the requesting agency to the extent that the information is relevant and necessary to the requesting agency's decision on that matter.

Policies and practices for storing, retrieving, accessing, retaining, and disposing of records in the system:

Storage: These records are maintained in file folders, binders, and index cards.

Retrievability: These records are indexed by the names of the individuals or organizations on whom they are maintained. They may be used: to adjudicate an appeal, complaint, or grievance; to provide a basis for a corrective action related to the discrimination situation; as a data source for management information for production of summary descriptive statistics and analytical studies in support of the function for which the records are collected and maintained, or for related personnel management functions or manpower studies; and to locate specific individuals for personnel research or other personnel management functions.

Safeguards: Access to and use of these records are limited to those persons whose official duties require such access.

Retention and disposal: The records are maintained up to two years after final disposition, after which time, they will be destroyed in accordance with CSC guidelines.

System manager(s) and address: See Personnel Appendix 1 for General Coordinator and appropriate Immediate System Manager.

Notification procedure: Individuals and organizations which have filed complaints or appeals are aware of that fact and have provided a copy of the record. They may, however, write the appropriate immediate system manager indicated above, or the general coordinator if the immediate system manager is unknown, regarding the existence of such records pertaining to them. The inquirers, as appropriate, should provide their name, date of birth, agency in which employed or agency in which the complaint arose if different from employing agency, the approximate date, and the kind of action taken, when making inquiries about records.

Record access procedures: Same as Systems Manager.

Contesting record procedures: Individuals and organizations which have appealed a decision or determination made about conditions existing in the Department have already been provided a copy of the record. The contest, amendment, or correction of an appeal record is permitted during the prosecution of the appeal or complaint by the individual to whom the record pertains. However, after the appeal or complaint case has been closed, the inquirer may gain access to, or contest the official copy of the appeal or complaint record by writing the appropriate immediate system manager indicated above, or the general coordinator if the immediate system manager is unknown.

Record source categories:

a. Individual to whom the record pertains
b. Department or other officials
c. Affidavits or statements from employees
d. Testimonies of witnesses
e. Official documents relating to the appeal or complaint
f. Correspondence from specific organizations or persons.

Personnel Appendix 1

List of Locations and System Managers for EEO Matters

Department of Health Education and Welfare (General Coordinator)

Director, Equal Employment Opportunity Staff
Room 4766
330 Independence Avenue, S.W.
Washington, D.C. 20201

Immediate Locations and System Managers

Office of the Secretary, Washington
EEO Officer, OS
Room 2038
330 C Street, S.W.
Washington, D.C. 20201

Regional Offices of the Secretary

REGION I

EEO Officer
Room 1500
JFK Federal Building
Boston, Massachusetts 02203

REGION II

EEO Officer
Room 3838-D
26 Federal Plaza
New York, New York 10007

REGION III

EEO Officer
Room 9200
3535 Market Street
Philadelphia, Pennsylvania 19101

REGION IV

EEO Officer
Room 404
50 Seventh Street, N.E.
Atlanta, Georgia 30323

REGION V

EEO Officer
Room, 35th Floor
300 S. Wacker Drive
Chicago, Illinois 60606

REGION VI

EEO Officer
Room 904
1114 Commerce Street
Dallas, Texas 75202

REGION VII

EEO Officer
Room 616D
601 East 12th Street
Kansas City, Missouri 64106

REGION VIII

EEO Officer
Room 10001
1961 Stout Street
Denver, Colorado 80202

REGION IX

EEO Officer
Room 413
50 Fulton Street
San Francisco, California 94102

REGION X

EEO Officer
Room 6068, Arcade Bldg.
1322 Second Avenue
Seattle, Washington 98101

Principal Operating Components and Agencies

Social Security Administration

EEO Officer
Room 739, Administration Bldg.
6401 Security Boulevard
Baltimore, Maryland 21235

Social and Rehabilitation Service

EEO Officer
Room 3413
330 C Street, S.W.
Washington, D.C. 20201

Office of Education

EEO Officer
Room 2117
400 Maryland Avenue, S.W.
Washington, D.C. 20202

National Institute of Education

EEO Officer
Room 717
1200 19th Street, N.W.
Washington, D.C. 20208

Assistant Secretary for Health

EEO Officer
Room 18-07, Parklawn Bldg.
5600 Fishers Lane
Rockville, Maryland 20852

Health Resources Administration

EEO Officer
Room 10-22, Parklawn Bldg.
5600 Fishers Lane
Rockville, Maryland 20852

Health Services Administration

EEO Officer
Room 14-18, Parklawn Bldg.
5600 Fishers Lane
Rockville, Maryland 20852

Food and Drug Administration

EEO Officer
Room 16-B-07, Parklawn Bldg.
5600 Fishers Lane
Rockville, Maryland 20852

Alcohol, Drug Abuse & Mental Health Administration

EEO Officer
Room 17-C-20, Parklawn Bldg.
5600 Fishers Lane
Rockville, Maryland 20208

National Institutes of Health

EEO Officer
Room 2-B-40, Bldg. 31
NIH, Bethesda
Bethesda, Maryland 20014

Center for Disease Control

EEO Officer
Room 2405, Bldg. 1
1600 Clifton Road, N.E.
Atlanta, Georgia 30333

OS ASAM PT 06.02

System name: Employee Appraisal Program Records. HEW/OS/ASAM/PT.

Security classification: None.

System location: Personnel offices shown in Applicants for Employment Records, HEW System OS-ASAM-PT-1 Appendix 1 and operating offices in organizational units serviced by those personnel offices.

Categories of individuals covered by the system: Current Federal employees of the Department.

Categories of records in the system: This system contains information which includes employee's name, SSN, employing organization, grade, title, series, and materials relating to the evaluations of employee's performance. For purposes of the annual performance rating required by law and for promotion consideration purposes.

Authority for maintenance of the system: 5 U.S.C. 4302, 5 U.S.C. 3301, 5 U.S.C. 3302, Executive Order 10577.

Routine uses of records maintained in the system, including categories of users and the purposes of such uses: Information in these records may be used:

1. By the Civil Service Commission in carrying out its functions.
2. In accordance with Items 1,3,4,6,7,8,9 of Appendix B of the Departmental Regulations (45 CFR, Part 5b).

Policies and practices for storing, retrieving, accessing, retaining, and disposing of records in the system:

Storage: Records are maintained in file folders, on magnetic tape, and on punch cards.

Retrievability: Records are retrievable by name or social security number. They may be used as a basis for reduction-in-force, adverse actions, decisions regarding retention of employees during probationary period, recognition, promotions, reassignments and other personnel actions compatible with the purpose for which the record was collected.

Safeguards: Access to and use of these records are limited to those persons whose official duties require such access.

Retention and disposal: Employee appriasals are destroyed after two years or when replaced by a new appraisal, whichever is earlier, except that copies of unsatisfactory and outstanding performance ratings and any related papers are filed on the permanent side of the Official Personnel Folder.

System manager(s) and address: Personnel Officers shown in Applicants for Employment Records, HEW System 1, Appendix 1.

Notification procedure: Same as above. Employee should provide name, social security number and organization in which employed.

Record access procedures: Same as above.

Contesting record procedures: Same as above.

Record source categories: Information in this system of records is provided by the individual, derived from information supplied by the individual or supplied by Department officials.

OS ASAM PT 07.02

System name: Executive Development Records System. HEW/OS/ASAM/PT.

Security classification: None.

System location: Personnel offices shown in Applicants for Employment Records, HEW System OS-ASAM-PT-1 Appendix 1.

Categories of individuals covered by the system: All supergrade employees (GS-16-18) and equivalents, incumbents of managerial positions and employees in grade GS-13-15 designated as high potential employees.

Categories of records in the system: The Executive Development Records System consists of a variety of records relating to an employee's participation in the Executive Development Program. In addition to the employee's name, the system contains the employee's title, grade and salary, Social Security Account Number, organization in which employed, date of entry into the Executive Development Program, training needs while participating in the program, individual's development plan, basis for participation in the Executive Development Program.

Authority for maintenance of the system: 5 U.S.C. 4101 et. seq.

Routine uses of records maintained in the system, including categories of users and the purposes of such uses: Information in this system of records is used:

a. In accordance with Appendix B Departmental Regulations (45 CFR Part 5b) Items 01,3,4,5,6,7,8,9.
b. By the Civil Service Commission in the performance of its functions.

Policies and practices for storing, retrieving, accessing, retaining, and disposing of records in the system:

Storage: Information in this system of records is maintained in file folders, magnetic tape, punch cards, and forms.

Retrievability: Records are indexed by name and Social Security Account Number. Records are used by operating officials in carrying out their personnel management responsibilities. They may be used by those officials in connection with promotions, transfer or reassignment of the individual and as base for preparing management, budgetary or statistical reports to support organizational planning or manpower utilization studies.

Safeguards: Access to and use of these records are limited to those persons whose official duties require such access. Personnel screening is employed to prevent unauthorized disclosure.

Retention and disposal: Information is retained in the master file for as long as the individual is participating in the program. Upon completing the program, the individual's record is maintained in an inactive file for 5 years.

System manager(s) and address: Heads of personnel offices which service the organizational unit in which the individual is employed. See Applicants for Employment Records, HEW System OS-ASAM-PT-1 Appendix 1.

Notification procedure: Same as Above. Individuals should include their name, grade, title, and organization when contacting the system manager.

Record access procedures: System manager.

Contesting record procedures: System manager.

Record source categories: Information in this system of records is: 1) supplied directly by the individual, or 2) derived from information supplied by the individual, or 3) supplied by Department officials.

OS ASAM PT 08.02

System name: Federal Employees Occupational Health Program Records. HEW/OS/ASAM/PT.

Security classification: None.

System location: Personnel offices shown in Applicants for Employment Records, HEW System 1, Appendix 1 and designated offices performing occupational health services for employees in organizations serviced by those personnel offices.

Categories of individuals covered by the system: Current Federal employees of the Department.

Categories of records in the system: This system consists of a variety of records relating to an employee's participation in the Federal Occupational Health Program. Examples of information which may be included in this system are the employee's name, SSN, date of birth, weight, height, medical history, blood type, nature of injury or complaint, type of treatment/medication received, examination findings, and laboratory results.

Authority for maintenance of the system: 5 U.S.C. 7901 et. seq., P. L. 79-658.

Routine uses of records maintained in the system, including categories of users and the purposes of such uses: Information in this system of records may be used:

1. By authorized medical personnel in connection with the performance of their official duties.
2. By the Civil Service Commission in connection with the performance of its functions.
3. By the Department of Labor in connection with a claim filed by an employee for compensation for a job-related injury or disease.
4. By private contractors engaged in providing medical services under Federal contract.
5. In accordance with Items 1,3,4,5,6,7,8,9 of the Departmental Regulations (45 CFR part 5b), Appendix B.

Policies and practices for storing, retrieving, accessing, retaining, and disposing of records in the system:

Storage: Stored in file folders, punch cards and data tape.

Retrievability: Records are retrievable by name, date of birth, and SSN. They are used to document an employee's visit to a health unit and contain information such as a summary of the nature of a complaint, a record of the employee's participation in a voluntary screening program, a record of an employee's participation in a voluntary blood donor program, and a record of any treatment administered by the health unit. Information from this system may be used by Department officials in connection with fitness for duty examinations and for preparing statistical or summary reports about the employee participation in the Federal Occupational Health Program.

Safeguards: During the employment of the individual, medical records are maintained in files separate from the Official Personnel Folder and are located in lockable metal containers or in secured rooms with access limited to those whose official duties require access.

Retention and disposal: A medical record is maintained for as long as employed. If the medical record is deemed to be of long term value, it is combined with the employee's Official Personnel Folder upon separation of the employee and forwarded to the National Personnel Records Center, St. Louis, Missouri. Other medical records of no historic value or if of no value in documenting employee health activities are destroyed upon separation of the employee.

System manager(s) and address: Personnel Officers shown in Applicants for Employment Records, HEW System OS-ASAM-PT-1, Appendix 1. who service organizational units in which the individual is employed.

Notification procedure: Same as above. The individual should include name, SSN, title and organization.

Record access procedures: Same as above.

Contesting record procedures: Same as above.

Record source categories: Information in this system of records is: 1) supplied directly by the individual, or 2) derived from information supplied by the individual, or 3) supplied by the medical officer or nurse providing treatment or medication, or 4) supplied by the individual's private physician.

OS ASAM PT 09.03

System name: Grievances Filed Under the Informal Grievance Procedures. HEW/OS/ASAM/PT.

Security classification: None.

System location: Offices of the immediate supervisors and the first officials within the Department excluding the immediate supervisor, with line authority to decide on the matter grieved. Such offices are located within the organizational units serviced by personnel offices shown in Applicants For Employment Records, HEW System OS-ASAM-PT-1, Appendix 1.

Categories of individuals covered by the system: Department employees individually or as a group who have requested personal relief in a matter of concern or dissatisfaction which is subject to the control of Department management.

Categories of records in the system: Information or documents relating to the grievance and personal relief sought; documented materials used in consideration of the grievance, and correspondence related to disposition of the grievance.

Authority for maintenance of the system: 5 U.S.C. 1302, 3301, 3302; Executive Order 10577.

Routine uses of records maintained in the system, including categories of users and the purposes of such uses: Information in this system of records may be used:

a. In accordance with Appendix B Departmental Regulations (45 CFR Part 5b) Items 01,3,4,5,6,7,8,9.
b. By the Civil Service Commission in carrying out its functions.
c. To respond to requests from Members of Congress.

Policies and practices for storing, retrieving, accessing, retaining, and disposing of records in the system:

Storage: The records are maintained in file folders, binders and index cards.

Retrievability: Records are indexed by name of individual filing the grievance. Records are used to consider and resolve informal grievances and to provide background data to further consideration of grievances if later pursued through formal grievance procedures.

Safeguards: Access to and use of these records are limited to those persons whose official duties require such access. Personnel screening is employed to prevent unauthorized disclosure.

Retention and disposal: Records are maintained for 6 months to 2 years or upon separation, or transfer of the employee, whichever is sooner, at which time they are destroyed.

System manager(s) and address: Heads of personnel offices which service organizational units in which employees who submit infor-

mal grievances are located. See Applicants for Employment Records, HEW, System OS-ASAM-PT-1, Appendix 1.

Notification procedure: Individuals who have filed grievances are aware of that fact and have been provided information in writing concerning the disposition of the grievance. They may contact the official who signed the written notice, or the System Manager indicated above. They should provide their name, organization in which employed and date of birth and approximate date of the filing of the informal grievance.

Record access procedures: Same as above.

Contesting record procedures: Same as above.

Record source categories: Information in this system of records is: 1) supplied directly by the individual, or 2) derived from information supplied by the individual, or 3) supplied by Department officials.

OS ASAM PT 10.03

System name: Grievance Records Filed Under Procedures Established by Labor-Management Negotiations. HEW/OS/ASAM/PT.

Security classification: None.

System location: Personnel offices shown in Applicants for Employment Records, HEW System OS-ASAM-PT-1 Appendix 1 and offices of operating officials in organizational units serviced by those personnel offices.

Categories of individuals covered by the system: Current Federal employees of the Department covered by a collective bargaining agreement.

Categories of records in the system: This system of records consists of a variety of records relating to an employee's grievance filed under procedures established by labor-management negotiations. Examples of information which may be included in this system of records are the employee's name, SSN, grade, job title, testimony of witnesses, material placed into the record to support the decision, the arbitrator's decision, the arbitrator's report, and a record of an appeal to the Federal Labor Relations Council.

Authority for maintenance of the system: Executive Order 11491 as amended.

Routine uses of records maintained in the system, including categories of users and the purposes of such uses: Information in this system may be released to:

1. The Civil Service Commission in carrying out its functions.
2. In accordance with Departmental Regulations, (45 CFR Part 5b), Appendix B. See Items 01,3,4,5,6,7,8,9.
7. The Department of Labor.
8. The Federal Labor Relations Council.

Policies and practices for storing, retrieving, accessing, retaining, and disposing of records in the system:

Storage: Records are maintained in file folders.

Retrievability: Records are retrievable by name. They are used to make a determination on and to document a decision made on a grievance filed by an employee under the negotiated grievance procedures. Information from this system may be used by Department officials for preparing statistical, summary or management reports.

Safeguards: Records maintained by management are stored in secured rooms with access limited to those whose official duties require access.

Retention and disposal: As negotiated by the local parties to the contract.

System manager(s) and address: Personnel Officers shown in Applicants for Employment Records, HEW System OS-ASAM-PT-1 Appendix 1 who service the organizational unit in which the individual is employed.

Notification procedure: Same as above. Individuals should include their name, grade, title and organizational unit when contacting the system manager.

Record access procedures: Same as above

Contesting record procedures: Same as above.

Record source categories: Information in this system of records is: 1) supplied directly by the individual, or 2) derived from information supplied by the individual, or 3) supplied by testimony of witnesses, or 4) supplied by union officials, or 5) supplied by Department officials.

Systems exempted from certain provisions of the act: None.

OS ASAM PT 11.02

System name: DHEW Motor Vehicle Operator Records. HEW/OS/ASAM/PT.

Security classification: None.

System location: Personnel offices of the Department shown in Applicants For Employment Records, HEW System OS-ASAM-PT-1, Appendix 1. Issuing Officers for Motor Vehicle Operator Identification Cards within the organizations serviced by the above personnel offices.

Categories of individuals covered by the system: All Department employees who are required to operate motor vehicles regularly or incidentally in carrying out their official duties.

Categories of records in the system: The DHEW Motor Vehicle Operator Records system consists of a variety of records related to the issuance of a Government Motor Vehicle Operator's permit. In addition to the name of the employee, the system includes information about the employee's birthplace, SSN, employing organization, number of years driven, type of vehicles operated, current driver's license number, state issuing driver's license, date license expires, restrictions. on state license, sex, date of birth, color of hair, color of eyes, weight, height, record of arrests, and record of accidents. These records also include expiration dates of Motor Vehicle Operator permit, any limitations imposed on its use and the results of the annual review of each driving record.

Authority for maintenance of the system: 40 U.S.C. 471.

Routine uses of records maintained in the system, including categories of users and the purposes of such uses: Information in this system of records may be used:

a. In accordance with Appendix B Departmental Regulations (45 CFR Part 5b) Items 01,3,4,5,6,7,8,9.
b. By the Civil Service Commission in carrying out its functions.

Policies and practices for storing, retrieving, accessing, retaining, and disposing of records in the system:

Storage: Stored in Official Personnel Folder and in file folders and index cards.

Retrievability: Records are retrievable by name. Information is used as a basis for issuing a SF-46 'U. S. Government Motor Vehicle Operator's Identification Card' and evaluating its use. It may also be used for production of summary descriptive statistics and analytical studies in support of the functions for which the records are collected and maintained and for related personnel management functions.

Safeguards: Access to and use of these records are limited to personnel whose official duties require such access. Personnel screening is employed to prevent unauthorized disclosure.

Retention and disposal: A record is retained for as long as the employee has an active Federal Motor Vehicle Operator Permit, and for two years after the permit becomes inactive or upon separation from the Department, whichever is earlier. At that time the record is destroyed.

System manager(s) and address: Personnel Officers of the Department shown in Applicants for Employment Records, HEW, System OS-ASAM-PT-1, Appendix 1.

Notification procedure: Issuing officers in organizational units in which employed or systems managers shown above. Individual should provide name and organization in which employed.

Record access procedures: Same as above.

Contesting record procedures: Same as above.

Record source categories: Information contained in this system of records is obtained: 1) from information supplied by the individual, or 2) derived from information supplied by the individual, or 3) from information supplied by officials of the DHEW.

Systems exempted from certain provisions of the act: None.

OS ASAM PT 12.03

System name: Pay, Leave and Attendance Records. HEW/OS/ASAM/PT.

Security classification: None.

System location:

Division of Central Payroll
Department of Health, Education, and Welfare
330 Independence Avenue, S. W.
Washington, D. C. 20201

Payroll Liaison Representatives. See Personnel Appendix 1.
Timekeepers in organizational units serviced by Payroll Liaison Representatives shown in Personnel Appendix 1, HEW, System OS-ASAM-PT-1.

Categories of individuals covered by the system: All paid employees of the Department of Health, Education, and Welfare including PHS Commissioned Corps Personnel.

Categories of records in the system: The Pay and Leave Records system consists of a variety of records relating to pay and leave determinations made about each employee of the Department of Health, Education, and Welfare. In addition to the name of the employee, the system includes information such as the employee's date of birth, social security number, home address, grade or rank, employing organization, timekeeper number, salary, Civil Service Commission retirement fund contributions, pay plan, number of hours worked, annual and sick leave accrual rate and usage, annual and sick leave balance, FICA withholdings, Federal, state and city tax withholdings, Federal Employees Government Life Insurance withholdings, Federal Employees Health Benefits withholdings, garnishment documents, savings allotments, union and management association dues withholdings allotments, savings bonds allotments, and combined Federal Campaign allotments; for Commissioned Corps personnel information such as the allotments; for Commissioned Corps personnel information such as the following is included: years of service, payroll number, base pay, incentive pay, hazardous pay, allowances and Servicemen's Group Life Insurance.

Authority for maintenance of the system: 5 U.S.C. 5501 et. seq., 5525 et. seq., 6301 et. seq.; 42 U.S.C. 201 et.seq.; and P.L. 90-83.

Routine uses of records maintained in the system, including categories of users and the purposes of such uses: Information in this system of records is used or may be used:

a. To prepare W-2 Forms to submit to the Internal Revenue Service, state and city revenue departments for the purposes of informing them of the employee's earned income and amount of Federal, state and city taxes withheld, if any.
b. In accordance with Appendix B Departmental Regulations (45 CFR Part 5b) Items 01,3,4,5,6,7,8,9;and when an individual to whom a record pertains dies, to disclose information in the individual's record to heirs, executors and legal representatives of beneficiaries.
c. By the Civil Service Commission in the performance of its functions.
d. By the Department of Labor to make compensation determination in connection with a claim filed by the employee for compensation on account of a job-connected injury or disease.
e. To respond to court orders for garnishment of an employee's pay for alimony or child support.
f. To respond to orders from IRS for garnishment of an employee's pay for Federal income tax purposes.
g. To the Department of Treasury for the purposes of preparing and issuing employee salary and compensation checks and U.S. Savings Bonds.
h. By state offices of unemployment compensation in connection with claims filed by former DHEW employees for unemployment compensation.

Policies and practices for storing, retrieving, accessing, retaining, and disposing of records in the system:

Storage: Magnetic tape, microfilm, punch cards and forms.

Retrievability: Records are maintained by pay period and are retrievable by name, SSN and Timekeeper number within each pay period. They are used to insure that each employee receives the proper pay and allowances; that proper deductions and authorized allotments are made from the employee's salary; that the employee is credited and charged with the proper amount of sick and annual leave. Records are also used to produce summary descriptive statistics and analytical studies in support of the functions for which the records are collected and maintained and for related personnel management functions or pay studies, and for other purposes compatible with the intent for which the records system was created.

Safeguards: Access to and use of these records are limited to personnel whose official duties require such access. Personnel screening is employed to prevent unauthorized disclosure.

Retention and disposal: A record (continuously updated) is maintained on the data disc for as long as employed. Source document microfilm records are kept 4 years then destroyed. Time card microfilm is kept 5 years then destroyed. Data file microfilm is kept 6 years then destroyed.

System manager(s) and address:
Director, Division of Central Payroll
P. O. Box 1825
Washington, D. C. 20013

Notification procedure: Same as System Manager. Also may contact Payroll Liaison Representatives in Personnel Appendix 1. Provide name, social security number, timekeeper number and pay period about which inquiring.

Record access procedures: Same as above.

Contesting record procedures: Same as above.

Record source categories: Information in this system of records is 1) supplied directly by the individual, or 2) derived from information supplied by the individual, or 3) supplied by timekeepers and other Department officials.

Systems exempted from certain provisions of the act: None.

Personnel Appendix 1

Divison of Central Payroll Liaison Officers

OS
TK RANGE
00010 - 00497

Payroll Liaison Officer
Office of the Secretary
Room 4309 - HEW North Bldg.
330 Independence Avenue, S. W.
Washington, D. C. 20201

OE
TK RANGE
04000 - 04999

Payroll Liaison Officer
Office of Education
Room 3092 - E, FOB 06
400 Maryland Ave., S. W.
Washington, D. C. 20202

NIE
TK RANGE
06000 - 06999

Payroll Liaison Officer
National Institute of Education
Room 821 - Marsh Bldg.
1832 M Street, N. W.
Washington, D. C. 20208

SRS

Payroll Liaison Officer
Social and Rehabilitation Service
Room 1219 - HEW South Bldg.
330 C Street, S. W.
Washington, D. C. 20201

Social Security Administration Headquarters

SSA HQ
TK RANGE
80000 - 89999

Payroll Liaison Officer
SSA Headquarters
Room 1M10, Annex Bldg.
6401 Security Blvd.
Baltimore, Maryland 21235

SSA HQ

Payroll Liaison Officer
BRSI Financial Mgmt. Branch
Room 237, West Bldg.
6401 Security Blvd.

Baltimore, Maryland 21235

SSA
TK RANGE
00620 - 00624

Payroll Liaison Officer
Universal Bldg., Room 93
1875 Connecticut Ave., N. W.
Washington, D. C. 20009

Social Security Administration Program Centers

MID ATLANTIC
MATPC
TK RANGE
20000 - 20499

Payroll Liaison Officer
SSA, HEW Program Center
P. O. Box 12807
Philadelphia, Pennsylvania 19108

NORTH EASTERN
NEPC
TK RANGE
20500 - 20499

Payroll Liaison Officer
SSA Program Center
9605 Horace Harding Expressway
Flushing, New York 11368

SOUTH EASTERN
SEPC
TK RANGE
40000 - 40999

Payroll Liaison Officer
Birmingham Program Center
P. O. Box 1031
Birmingham, Alabama 35201

GREAT LAKES
GLPC
TK RANGE
50000 - 50999

Payroll Liaison Officer
Chicago Program Center
165 North Canal Street
Chicago, Illinios 60606

MID-AMERICAN
MAMPC
TK RANGE
60000 - 60999

Payroll Liaison Officer
601 East 12th Street - Room 1459
Kansas City, Missouri 64106

WESTERN
WPC
TK RANGE
70000 - 70999

Payroll Liaison Officer
San Francisco Program Center
P. O. Box 2000
Richmond, California 94802

Boston
TK RANGE
26086 - 27707

Payroll Liaison Officer
John F. Kennedy Federal Bldg.
SSA
Government Center
Boston, Massachusetts 02203

New York
TK RANGE
27800 - 28435

Payroll Liaison Officer
26 Federal Plaza
Room 737
New York, New York 10007

Philadelphia
TK RANGE
31675 - 32146

Payroll Liaison Officer
P. O. Box 8788
Philadelphia, Pennsylvania 19101

Atlanta
TK RANGE
47115 - 47365

Payroll Liaison Officer
Room 226, Peachtree-Seventh Bldg.
50 7th Street, N. W.
Atlanta, Georgia 30323

Cleveland
TK RANGE
56408 - 56675

Payroll Liaison Officer
Room 100
14725 Detroit Ave.
Cleveland, Ohion 44107

Chicago
TK RANGE
55535 - 56359

Payroll Liaison Officer
SSA Admin. BDOO
300 South Wacker Drive
Chicago, Illinois 60606

Kansas City
TK RANGE
65765 - 66030

Payroll Liaison Officer
Regional Representatives
DHEW SSA
601 E. 12th Street
Kansas City, Missouri 64106

Dallas
TK RANGE
67366 - 67780

Payroll Liaison Officer
DHEW SSA BDOO
Room 624
1114 Commerce Street
Dallas, Texas 75202

Denver
TK RANGE
69100 - 69230

Payroll Liaison Officer
Federal Office Bldg.
19th and Stout Streets
Denver, Colorado 80202

Seattle
TK RANGE
79505 - 79559

Payroll Liaison Officer
DHEW Regional Representatives BDOO
RFK Plaza Bldg.

Seattle, Washington, 98101

San Francisco

Payroll Liaison Officer
DHEW Personnel
50 Fulton Street
San Francisco, California 94102

Baltimore

Payroll Liaison Officer
SSA
Room 245 - Altmeyer Bldg.
6401 Security Blvd.
Baltimore, Maryland 21235

BHA
TK RANGE

Payroll Liaison Officer
Room 335 - Webb Bldg.
801 N. Randolph Street
Arlington, Virginia 22203

Regional Offices

Boston

Payroll Liaison Officer
HEW Personnel
Room 1503
JFK Federal Bldg
Boston, Massachusetts 02203

New York

Payroll Liaison Officer
Room 937
26 Federal Plaza
New York, New York 10007

Philadelphia

Payroll Liaison Officer
Financial Management
P. O. Box 13716
Philadelphia, Pennsylvania 19101

Atlanta

Payroll Liaison Officer
Room 404
50 7th Street, N. E.
Atlanta, Georgia 30323

Chicago

Payroll Liaison Officer
DHEW Personnel
300 S. Wacker Drive
Chicago, Illinois 60606

Dallas

Payroll Liaison Officer
Region VI Personnel Office
DHEW, Room 1410
1114 Commerce Street
Dallas, Texas 75202

Kansas

Payroll Liaison Officer
Office of Regional Directors, DHEW
601 East 12th Street
Kansas City, Missouri 64106

Denver

Payroll Liaison Officer
Room 9019
Federal Office Bldg.
19th and Stout Street
Denver, Colorado 80202

San Francisco

Payroll Liaison Officer
DHEW Personnel
50 Fulton Street
San Francisco, California 94102

Seattle

Payroll Liaison Officer
Regional Personnel Office
RFK Plaza Bldg., MS 627
Seattle, Washington 98101

Cleveland

Payroll Liaison Officer
Room 500
14600 Detroit Ave.
Cleveland, Ohio 44107

Black Lung

Payroll Liaison Officer
Room 423
P. O. Box 2518
Washington, D. C.

Data Operations Center

Payroll Liaison Officer
Room 423
P. O. Box 2518
Washington, D. C.

Data Operations Center

Payroll Liaison Officer
Data Operations Center
P. O. Box 2247
Albuquerque, New Mexico 87103

U. S. Public Health Service Hospitals

San Francisco
TK RANGE
72000 - 72284

Payroll Liaison Officer
USPHS Hospital
15th and Lake Street
San Francisco, California 94118

Seattle
TK RANGE
73000 - 73300

Payroll Liaison Officer
USPHS Hospital
P. O. Box 3145
Seattle, Washington 98114

Staten Island
TK RANGE
23300 - 23840

Payroll Liaison Officer
USPHS Hospital
Bay and Vanderbilt Street
Staten Island, New York 10304

Saint Elizabeths
TK RANGE
08010 - 08910

Payroll Liaison Officer

St. Elizabeths Hospital
Room 120, E Bldg.
2700 Martin Luther King Ave., S.E.
Washington, D. C. 20032

Baltimore
TK RANGE
24500 - 24610

Payroll Liaison Officer
USPHS Hospital
3100 Wyman Park Drive
Baltimore, Maryland 21211

Boston
TK RANGE
23005 - 23250

Payroll Liaison Officer
USPHS Hospital
77 Warren Street
Boston, Massachusetts 02135

Carville
TK RANGE
46500 - 46710

Payroll Liaison Officer
USPHS Hospital
Carville, Louisiana 70721

Galveston
TK RANGE
62000 - 62100

Payroll Liaison Officer
USPHS Hospital
4400 Avenue N.
Galveston, Texas 77550

New Orleans
TK RANGE
46000 - 46415

Payroll Liaison Officer
USPHS Hospital
210 State Street
New Orleans, Louisiana 70118

Norfolk
TK RANGE
24900 - 25155

Payroll Liaison Officer
USPHS Hospital
6500 Hampton Blvd.
Norfolk, Virginia 23508

Indian Health Service

Aberdeen
TK RANGE
53400 - 53490

Payroll Liaison Officer
PHS Indian Health Service
Room 300 - Citizens Bldg.
Aberdeen, South Dakota 57401

Albuquerque
TK RANGE
62700 - 63325

Payroll Liaison Officer
Albuquerque Indian Health Service
Federal Office Bldg. and U. S. Courthouse
Room 4006
500 Gold Avenue
Albuquerque, New Mexico 87101

Anchorage
TK RANGE
74005 - 75450

Payroll Liaison Officer
Alaska Native Medical Center
P. O. Box 7-741
Anchorage, Alaska 99501

Billings
TK RANGE
53900 - 54155

Payroll Liaison Officer
Indian Health Area Office
P. O. Box 2134
Billings, Montana 59103

Oklahoma
TK RANGE
63400 - 63985

Payroll Liaison Officer
Oklahoma City Area
Indian Health Service
388 Old Post Office and Courthouse Bldg.
Oklahoma City, Oklahoma 73102

Saratoga
TK RANGE
63917

Payroll Liaison Officer
Indian Health Service
1970 Main Street
Saratoga, Florida 33577

Phoenix
TK RANGE
73501 - 73519
73521 - 73952

Payroll Liaison Officer
Phoenix Area Indian Health
801 E. Indian Medical Center
Phoenix, Arizona 85021

Phoenix
TK RANGE
73520

Payroll Liaison Officer
Phoenix Indian Medical Center
4212 No. 16th Street
Phoenix, Arizona 85016

Tucson

Payroll Liaison Officer
IHS - HPSC
P. O. Box 11340
Tucson, Arizona 85734

Public Health Service

CDC
TK RANGE
45000 - 45600
74005 - 74085

Payroll Liaison Officer
Center for Disease Control
Financial Management
1600 Clifton Road, N. E.
Atlanta, GEorgia 30330

NIH
TK RANGE
10010 - 16571

Payroll Liaison Officer
National Institutes of Health

Room B1B, Bldg. 11
9000 Rockville Pike
Bethesda, Maryland 20014

FDA
TK RANGE
09000 - 09934

Payroll Liaison Officer
FDA Payroll Liaison Section
Accounting Branch
HFA - 120, Room 11-82, Parklawn Bldg.
5600 Fishers Lane
Rockville, Maryland 20852

NIOSH (CDC)
TK RANGE
03500 - 03579
03600 - 03608
52936 - 52949
52930 - 52935
69120

Payroll Liaison Officer
DHEW, CDC, NIOSH
Financial Mgmt. Branch
Parklawn Bldg.
DANAC 3 - 32 F
5600 Fishers Lane
Rockville, Maryland 20852

NIH

Payroll Liaison Officer
National Institute of Environmental Health Sciences, NIH
P. O. Box 12233
Research Triangle Park
North Carolina 27709

HSMHA
TK RANGE
02000 - 03878

Payroll Liaison Officer
HSMHA (Parklawn)
Room 1649 - Parklawn Bldg.
5600 Fishers Lane
Rockville, Maryland 20852

NIMH
TK Range
03900 - 03999
52000 - 52120

Payroll Liaison Officer
NIMH
Room 1399 - Parklawn Bldg.
5600 Fishers Lane
Rockville, Maryland 20852

DHEW HSA FHPS
TK RANGE
[illegible] - 03420

Payroll Liaison Officer
Administrative Asst.
6525 Belcrest Road
West Hyattsville, Maryland 20782

OS ASAM PT 13.03

System name: Personnel Records in Operating Offices. HEW/OS/ASAM/PT.

Security classification: None.

System location: Operationg Offices of the Department at the organizational level of the individual's employment. Such offices are located within organizational components serviced by personnel offices shown in Appicants for Employment Records, HEW System OS-ASAM-PT-1, Appendix 1.

Categories of individuals covered by the system: Current employees of the Department.

Categories of records in the system: This system consists of a variety of records relating to personnel actions and determinations made about an individual while employed. These records may contain information about an individual relating to name; birth date; home address; telephone number; emergency addressee; social security number; veterans preference; tenure; work connected injuries; handicap code; employment history; qualifications background; past and present salaries, grades and position titles; training; awards and other recognition; counseling; performance appraisal; conduct; pay and leave; and data documenting reasons for personnel actions, decisions or recommendations made about an employee; and background data and documentation leading to an adverse action being taken against an employee.

Authority for maintenance of the system: 5 U.S.C. 1302, 2951, 4118, 4308, 4506, 7501, 7511, 7521 and Executive Order 10561.

Routine uses of records maintained in the system, including categories of users and the purposes of such uses: Information in these records may be used:

1. By an agency of the Federal Government having oversight or review with regard to Civil Service Commission activities.
2. In the event an appeal is made outside the Department, records which are relevant may be referred to the appropriate agency charged with rendering a decision on the appeal.
3. In accordance with Appendix B of the Departmental Regulations (45 CFR, Part 5b) Items 1,3,4,5,6,7,8,9.

Policies and practices for storing, retrieving, accessing, retaining, and disposing of records in the system:

Storage: Records are maintained in file folders, magnetic tape, and index cards.

Retrievability: Records are indexed by any combination of name, birth date, social security number, or identification number. Records are used by operating officials in carrying out their personnel management responsibilities. They may be used in this connection in recommending or taking personnel actions such as are related to appointments, transfers, promotions, reassignments, adverse actions; as a base for employee development, training, recognition, reprimands, and disciplinary actions; for making decisions on employee complaints; and as a base for staffing and budgetary planning and control, organizational planning, and for manpower utilization purposes; and for preparing statistical or summary reports. They may also be used by appropriate officials in making decisions on grievances, appeals and adverse actions.

Safeguards: Access to and use of these records are limited to those persons whose official duties require such access.

Retention and disposal: Retained during the period of employment of the individual. Destroyed or transferred to the Official Personnel Folder, as appropriate, when an individual resigns, transfers or is separated from the Federal service.

System manager(s) and address: Personnel Officers of the Department. See Applicants for Employment Records, HEW, System OS-ASAM-PT-1, Appendix 1.

Notification procedure: Immediate supervisors of individuals or the administrative offices of the organizational units in which employed. The system manager shown above may also provide further information concerning the existence of this system of records. Individuals should provide their name, social security number, and organization in which employed.

Record access procedures: Same as notification.

Contesting record procedures: Same as notification.

Record source categories: Information in this system of records either comes from the individual to whom it applies, is derived from information he supplied, or is provided by Department officials.

Systems exempted from certain provisions of the act: None.

OS ASAM PT 14.02

System name: Special Employment Programs. HEW/OS/ASAM/PT.

Security classification: None.

System location: Personnel Offices shown in Applicants for Employment Records, HEW, System OS-ASAM-PT-1, Appendix 1 and operating offices in organizational units, serviced by those personnel offices, in which employees are employed.

Categories of individuals covered by the system: Current Federal employees of the Department who are participating in special employment programs.

Categories of records in the system: This system consists of a variety of records relating to an employee's participation in special employment programs such as the Upward Mobility College, START, STRIDE, ACCESS, Worker Trainee Opportunity, Junior Fellows, Management Intern, Personnel Intern and the HEW Fellows Program. Examples of information which this records system may contain include the employee's name, SSN, program enrolled in, employing agency, grade, job title, job series, sex, date of birth, status, educational background, handicap code, application for employment, position description, assignment evaluations, Veterans preference, job counselling records, and letters of reference and recommendations.

Authority for maintenance of the system: 5 U.S.C. 1301, 3301, and 7151 et. seq., Executive Order 11813.

Routine uses of records maintained in the system, including categories of users and the purposes of such uses: Information in this system may be used:

1. By the Civil Service Commission in carrying out its functions.
2. In accordance with Items 1,3,4,5,6,7,8,9 of the Departmental Regulations, (45 CFR Part 5b), Appendix B.

Policies and practices for storing, retrieving, accessing, retaining, and disposing of records in the system:

Storage: Information in this system of records is maintained in file folders, data tape and punch cards.

Retrievability: Records are retrievable by name and SSN. Records are used by personnel offices and operating officials to monitor the progress of the individual in the special program, for career planning, and for taking personnel actions.

Safeguards: Access and use of these records are limited to those persons whose official duties require such access.

Retention and disposal: Information in these records is retained for as long as the individual participates in a special employment program. Upon completing the program, the individual's record is maintained in an inactive file for 2 years, then destroyed.

System manager(s) and address: Personnel Officers shown in Applicants for Employment Records, HEW System OS-ASAM-PT-1, Appendix 1, who service organizational units in which the participant is employed.

Notification procedure: Same as above. Individuals should include their name, SSN, grade, title and organization when contacting the system manager.

Record access procedures: Same as above.

Contesting record procedures: Same as above.

Record source categories: Information in this system of records is: 1) supplied directly by the individual, or 2) derived from information supplied by the individual, or 3) supplied by Department officials.

Systems exempted from certain provisions of the act: None.

OS ASAM PT 15.03

System name: Suitability for Employment Records. HEW/OS/ASAM/PT.

Security classification: None.

System location: Personnel Offices shown in Applicants for Employment Records, HEW System OS-ASAM-PT-1, Appendix 1.

Categories of individuals covered by the system: Federal employees of the Department, and applicants for employment.

Categories of records in the system: This system consists of a variety of records relating to an individual's suitability for employment in terms of character, reputation and fitness, including letters of reference, responses to pre-employment inquiries, National Agency Checks and Inquiries material received from the Civil Service Commission relating to non-sensitive positions, qualifications and character investigations, and other information which may relate to the suitability of the individual for the position.

Authority for maintenance of the system: 5 U.S.C. 3301, 3302, 7301,; Executive Order 10577; Executive Order 11222.

Routine uses of records maintained in the system, including categories of users and the purposes of such uses: Information in these records may be used:

a. By the Civil Service Commission in carrying out its functions.
b. In accordance with Appendix B, Departmental Regulations (45 CFR Part 5b) Items 01,3,4,6,8,9.

Policies and practices for storing, retrieving, accessing, retaining, and disposing of records in the system:

Storage: Records are maintained in file folders.

Retrievability: Records are indexed by any combination of name, birth date, Social Security Number, or identification number. Information in these records is used by designated appointing and selecting authorities to determine an individual's suitability for employment by consideration of factors present in such records.

Safeguards: Records are maintained in confidential files separate from the Official Personnel Folder and are located in lockable metal file cabinets or in metal file cabinets in secured rooms with access limited to those whose official duties require access.

Retention and disposal: NACI information is retained during the period of employment with the Department and upon transfer or separation of employee, is returned to the Civil Service Commission. Other records are retained during the period of employment or for two years whichever is earlier. After that time they are destroyed.

System manager(s) and address: Heads of personnel offices which service organizational units in which individual is employed or in which she applied for employment. See Applicants For Employment Records, HEW, System OS-ASAM-PT-1 Appendix 1.

Notification procedure: Same as above. Individuals should indicate name, Social Security Number, date of birth, and organization in which employed, or to which they applied for employment.

Record access procedures: Current Department employees or applicants should contact the appropriate system manager shown above. Former Department employees who are employed by the Federal Government who wish to gain access or contest the records maintained on them while employed by the Department should contact the appropriate official of their current employing agency in accordance with the appropriate records system notice of that agency. Former Department employees who have separated from Federal service should direct a request to:

National Personnel Records Center
General Services Administration
111 Winnebago Street
St. Louis, Missouri 63118

Contesting record procedures: Same as above.

Record source categories: Information contained in the system is obtained from the categories of sources:

Applications and other personnel and security forms furnished by the individual.
Information furnished by other Federal agencies.
Information provided by sources such as, employers, schools, references, former employers.

Systems exempted from certain provisions of the act: Individuals will be provided information from the above record system except when in accordance with the provisions of 5 U.S.C. 552a(k)(5); 1. disclosure of such information would reveal the identity of a source who furnished information to the Government under a express promise that the identity of the source would be held in confidence, or 2. if the information was obtained prior to the effective date of Section 3, P. L. 93-579, disclosure of such information would reveal the identity of a source who provided information under an implied promise that the identity of the source would be held in confidence. (F.R. 38409, August 27,1975).

OS ASAM PT 16.03

System name: Training Manpower Information System. HEW/OS/ASAM/PT.

Security classification: None.

System location:

Department of Health, Education, and Welfare
330 Independence Avenue, S. W.
Washington, D. C. 20201

Categories of individuals covered by the system: All employees who receive training in a course which was more than 8 hours in length and which was authorized under the authority of the Government Employees Training Act.

Categories of records in the system: The Training Management Information System consists of a variety of records relating to training received by an employee. In addition to the name of the employee, the system includes information about the employee's Social Security Account Number, position title, grade, salary, pay plan, series, tenure, years of continuous service, hours of prior

non-government training, nature of training taken, cost of training and dates of training.

Authority for maintenance of the system: 5 U.S.C. 4101 et. seq.; Executive Order 11348.

Routine uses of records maintained in the system, including categories of users and the purposes of such uses: Information in this system of records is used:

a. In accordance with Appendix B Departmental Regulations (45 CFR Part 5b) Items 01,3,4,5,6,7,8,9.
b. By the Civil Service Commission in carrying out its functions.
c. To other Federal agencies or private organizations to authorize training.

Policies and practices for storing, retrieving, accessing, retaining, and disposing of records in the system:

Storage: Data tape.

Retrievability: Records are indexed by any combination of name, birth date, SSN or transaction number. Information is used to maintain a history of training of the individual during his employment with the Department, as a basis for determining future training needs of the individual, for evaluating the Department's training program, for auditing and budgetary planning purposes and for related analytical and operational personnel management functions.

Safeguards: The information is available only to authorized personnel. Personnel screening is used to prevent unauthorized disclosure.

Retention and disposal: Retained in the data file until separation of employee from the Department. Training records are retained indefinitely in the Official Personnel Folder.

System manager(s) and address:

Deputy Assistant Secretary for Personnel and Training
Office of Personnel and Training
Department of Health, Education, and Welfare
330 Independence Avenue, S.W.
Washington, D. C. 20201

Notification procedure: Contact the Deputy Assistant Secretary for Personnel and Training for information. The individual should indicate name, position title, grade and series and organization in which located.

Record access procedures: Same as notification.

Contesting record procedures: Same as notification.

Record source categories: Information in this system of record is: 1) supplied directly by the individual, or 2) derived from information supplied by the individual, or 3) supplied by Department or by source of training officials.

Systems exempted from certain provisions of the act: None.

OS ASAM PT 17.03

System name: Volunteer EEO Support Personnel Records. HEW/OS/ASAM/PT.

Security classification: None.

System location:

Office of Equal Employment Opportunity, DHEW
330 Independence Avenue, S. W.
Washington, D. C. 20201
Offices of the designated EEO Officers in Principal Operating Components, Office of the Secretary, Health Agencies, Education Agencies and Regional Offices. See Discrimination Complaints Records System, HEW System OS-ASAM-PT-4 Appendix 01 for exact locations.

Categories of individuals covered by the system: Individuals who have volunteered or have been proposed for duty as EEO Counsellors and discrimination complaint investigators on a part-time basis.

Categories of records in the system: This system of records contains information or documents concerning personal characteristics of EEO counsellors and investigators. The records consists of the name and other identifying data, title, location, training received, information concerning qualifying background, case assignments, and evaluations of EEO counsellors and investigators serving on a part-time basis, and related information.

Authority for maintenance of the system: Executive Order 11478, P. L. 92-261, P. L. 93-259.

Routine uses of records maintained in the system, including categories of users and the purposes of such uses: These records and information in the records may be used:

a. To provide resource to another Federal agency, in response to its request for loan of investigators or counsellors.
b. In accordance with Appendix B Departmental Regulations (45 CFR Part 5b), Items 01,3,4,6,7,8,9
c. By the Civil Service Commission in carrying out its functions.

Policies and practices for storing, retrieving, accessing, retaining, and disposing of records in the system:

Storage: These records are maintained in file folders, binders and index cards.

Retrievability: These records are indexed by the names of the individuals on whom they are maintained. They may be used: for identification, location and determination of availability of volunteer counsellors and investigators for assignment to counsel complaints and to investigate complaints; to respond to inquiries regarding availability of counsellor resources or determination regarding location and the jurisdictional propriety of an investigator resource; for determing training needs of EEO counsellors and investigators; to provide information for production of summary descriptive statistics and analytical studies in support of the function for which the records are collected and maintained, or for related personnel management functions or manpower studies; and to locate specific individuals for personnel research or other personnel management functions.

Safeguards: Access to and use of these records are limited to those persons whose official duties require access.

Retention and disposal: The records are maintained up to one year after volunteer has terminated his services, at which time they are destroyed.

System manager(s) and address: See Discrimination Complaints Records System, HEW System OS-ASAM-PT-4, Appendix1 for General Coordinator and appropriate Immediate System Manager.

Notification procedure: Individuals who have volunteered or been proposed as counsellors or investigators are aware of that fact and the information contained in the record. They may, however, write the immediate system manager or coordinator indicated above regarding the existence of such records pertaining to them. The inquirers, as appropriate, should provide to the immediate system manager or coordinator, their name, agency in which they were proposed or served when making inquiries about records.

Record access procedures: Individuals who have been certified as counsellors or investigators on a part-time basis have either provided the information themselves or are aware of the information. The inquirer, who has been certified as a counselor or investigator may gain access to or contest the official record by writing the immediate system manager or coordinator indicated above.

Contesting record procedures: Contact Systems Manager.

Record source categories:

a. Individuals to whom the record pertains
b. Department or other officials
c. Official documents relating to appointments and case assignments as counselors and investigators
d. Correspondence for specific persons or organizations
e. Formal reports submitted by the individual in the performance of official volunteer work.

15
None.

OS ASAM PT 18.02

System name: Unfair Labor Practice Records. HEW/OS/ASAM/PT.

Security classification: None.

System location: Personnel Offices of the Department shown in (See Application for Employment Records, HEW System OS-ASAM-1, Appendix 1 and offices of operating officials in organizational units serviced by those Personnel Offices.

Categories of individuals covered by the system: Current HEW employees and union officials.

Categories of records in the system: This system of records consists of a variety of records relating to an unfair labor practice charge. Examples of information which may be included in this system are the employee's name, Social Security Number, grade, job title, employment history and a variety of work and personnel records associated with the charges and required under proceedings established by Executive Order 11491 and Department of Labor Regulations.

Authority for maintenance of the system: Executive Order 11491, as amended.

Routine uses of records maintained in the system, including categories of users and the purposes of such uses: Information in this system may be released to:

1. The Department of Labor.
2. In accordance with Items 1,3,4,5,6,7,8,9 of Departmental Regulations, (45 CFR Part 5b), Appendix B.
3. The Federal Labor Relations Council.

Policies and practices for storing, retrieving, accessing, retaining, and disposing of records in the system:

Storage: Records are maintained in file folders.

Retrievability: Records are retrievable by name. They are used to make a determination on and to document a decision made on an unfair labor practice charge filed by an employee or union official. Information from this system may be used by Department officials for preparing statistical summary or management reports.

Safeguards: When not in use by authorized persons, these records are stored in lockable metal file cabinets. Access to and use of these records are limited to personnel who have a need for the records in performance of official duties.

Retention and disposal: The case files are maintained as long as they may be pertinent for purposes of precedent or as management information devices. When no longer useful for such purposes, they are destroyed.

System manager(s) and address: Personnel Officer shown in Appendix I of OS-ASAM-PT-1 who services th organizational unit in which the individual is employed.

Notification procedure: Contact the systems manager and provide name, approximate date of record, the unfair labor practice charge as specified by the complainant, and management component in which the charge was filed.

Record access procedures: Same as above.

Contesting record procedures: Same as above.

Record source categories: Information in this system of records is:

1. Supplied directly by the individual, or
2. Derived from information supplied by the individual, or
3. Supplied by testimony of witnesses, or
4. Supplied by union officials, or
5. Supplied by Department officials.

Systems exempted from certain provisions of the act: None.

ADAMHA OA 0029.01

System name: Grant Files containing information on individual grantees and personnel at grantee institution - HEW/ADAMHA.

Security classification: None.

System location:

National Institute on Drug Abuse
Grants Management Branch
Room 849, Rockwall Building
11400 Rockville Pike
Rockville, Maryland 20852

National Institute on Alcohol Abuse and Alcoholism
Grants Management Branch
Room 14C-04, Parklawn Building
5600 Fishers Lane
Rockville, Maryland 20852

National Institute of Mental Health
Grants and Contracts Management Branch, OPS
Room 7C-02, Parklawn Building
Rockville, Maryland 20852

Categories of individuals covered by the system: Principal investigators, project directors, other employees of grantee institutions, and trainees, supported under grants awarded by the three Institutes.

Categories of records in the system: Curriculum vitae, salary information, summary of review committee deliberations, reports on site visits, and related documents.

Authority for maintenance of the system: NIDA: Public Health Service Act Section 301(42 U.S.C. 241); Section 251 (42 U.S.C. 2688k) Community Mental Health Centers Act Section 410 (21 U.S.C. 1177); and the Drug Abuse Office and Treatment Act of 1972. NIAAA: Public Health Service Act Section 301 (42 U.S.C. 241); Comprehensive Alcohol Abuse and Alcoholism Treatment and Rehabilitation Act of 1970 Sections 101, 311 (42 U.S.C. 4551, 4577). NIMH: Public Health Service Act Section 301, 303(a), 433(a), 455, and 472(a)(1)(A), (42 U.S.C. 241, 242a 289c, 289k-1, and 289l-1).

Routine uses of records maintained in the system, including categories of users and the purposes of such uses: Records contained in the Official Grant File may be released:

1. to the Smithsonian Science Information Exchange for dissemination of science information.
2. to qualified experts for opinion during the review process.
3. to individuals and organizations deemed qualified by the Secretary to carry out specific research solely for the purpose of carrying out such research.
4. to organizations deemed qualified by the Secretary to carry out quality assessment, medical audits, or utilization review.
5. to the Cognizant Audit Agency and the General Accounting Office for auditing.
6. to the Department of Justice or other law enforcement agencies as required for litigation.
7. to either House of Congress, or to the extent of matter within its jurisdiction, to any committee or subcommittee.
8. to a Federal agency, in response to its request, in connection with the hiring or retention of an employee, the issuance of a security clearance, the reporting of an investigation of an employee, the letting of a contract, or the issuance of a license, grant, or other benefit by the requesting agency, to the extent that the record is relevant and necessary to the requesting agency's decision on the matter.

Policies and practices for storing, retrieving, accessing, retaining, and disposing of records in the system:

Storage: Documents filed in folders in enclosed file cabinets. Information on 3 x 5 cards in file cabinets.

Retrievability: By grant numbers and cross-indexed by name. Used by staff program and management specialists for purpose of awarding and monitoring grant funds.

Safeguards: Only authorized personnel have access to the files and the files themselves may not leave the premises.

Retention and disposal: Information retained indefinitely. Files retired to a Federal Records Center after the expiration of grant period and all closeout procedures are completed.

System manager(s) and address:

National Institute on Drug Abuse
Chief, Grants Management Branch, OPS
Room 854, Rockwall Building
11400 Rockville Pike
Rockville, Md. 20852

National Institute on Alcohol Abuse and Alcoholism
Chief, Grants Management Branch
Room 16-86, Parklawn Building
5600 Fishers Lane
Rockville, Md. 20852

National Institute of Mental Health
Chief, Grants and Contracts Management Branch
Room 7C-02, Parklawn Building
5600 Fishers Lane
Rockville, Md. 20852

Notification procedure: Same as the above.

Record access procedures: Same as above. Provide grant number under which employed.

Contesting record procedures: Same as above.

Record source categories: Grantees on whom the record is maintained, Federal advisory committees, site visitors, consultants, references.

Systems exempted from certain provisions of the act: None.

HRA BHM 0007.01

System name: Public Health Service Scholarship Program HEW/HRA/BHM.

Security classification: None.

System location:

Bldg 31
9000 Rockville Pk.
Bethesda, Md 20014
Div of Computer Research & Tech.
Bldg 12
Bethesda, Md 20014
and Regional Federal Records Center

Categories of individuals covered by the system: Students - Health Professionals as specified in 42 CFR 62: 1) Applicants, 2) Recipients.

Categories of records in the system: Application and associated forms; recipient records also contain progress reports, payroll forms, deferment and placement data.

Authority for maintenance of the system: P.L. 92-585.

Routine uses of records maintained in the system, including categories of users and the purposes of such uses: Name of scholarship recipient, professional school he or she is attending, and date of graduation are made available to health professions associations and other interested health professions groups who have responsibility for coordinating funds paid to students from Federal and other sources.

Policies and practices for storing, retrieving, accessing, retaining, and disposing of records in the system:

Storage: File Cabinets; ADP - Tapes.

Retrievability: The records in this system are used in the following manner: 1) Application - for the selection process by HRA and HSA 2) Recipient - Payroll, tuition and fees, tracking, deferment, defaults, & placement by HRA, HSA, central payroll and PHS claims office. Retrievability: Name, Award No., University, Social Security No. (Permission has been requested from the department for the continued use in this system of the SSN as a use within Sec. 7(a)(2)(B) of the Privacy Act.)

Safeguards: Locking file units have been requested. (Lektriever 200).

Retention and disposal: Number of years held at HRA: 12. Number of years held at Federal Record Center before disposal: 3. How destroyed: shredder (non-selected applicant files destroyed: after six months from deadline due date).

System manager(s) and address:

Chief, Scholarship Section, Student Assistance Staff
9000 Rockville, Pike
Bethesda, Md 20014

Notification procedure: Same as above.

Record access procedures: Same as above.

Contesting record procedures: Same as above.

Record source categories:

Evaluation forms from school faculty - requested by student applicant
Educational Institution attended
Internship and/or residency training site
HSA and CPOD, OPM/H

Systems exempted from certain provisions of the act: None.

HSA BMS 0004.02

System name: Federal Employee Occupational Health Data System HEW/HSA/BMS.

Security classification: None.

System location:

FCB 03, Room 330
6525 Belcrest Road
W.Hyattsville, Maryland 20782

Categories of individuals covered by the system: Federal employees enrolled in PHS/DFEH Health Units. (See appendix to Division of Federal Employee Health (DEFH) Employee Health Records System to determine covered employees).

Categories of records in the system: Health Records.

Authority for maintenance of the system: 5 USC 7901, 5 USC 8101, OMB Circular No. A-72.

Routine uses of records maintained in the system, including categories of users and the purposes of such uses: Purpose: access to files of those persons claiming compensation benefits due to personal injury while on the job. Users: U.S. Department of Labor, Officer of Worker's Compensation Program. Certain records may be disclosed to medical laboratories, medical consultants, or computer processing firms under service contract agreement. In the event of a change in sponsorship of a PHS/DFEH health care unit or in a case of mass transfer of employees covered by a PHS/DFEH health care unit to one served by a non-departmental organization, the health records will be transferred to the custodianship of the new organization.

Policies and practices for storing, retrieving, accessing, retaining, and disposing of records in the system:

Storage: Magnetic tape, and disc.

Retrievability: Purpose: The system is designed to provide improved information to DFEH management while simultaneously reducing the administrative workload on the medical professionals in the health units. Users: physicians, nurses, other health professionals. Retrievability: by SSN. (Permission has been requested from the Department for the continued use in this system of the SSN as a use within Sec 7 (a)(2)(B) of the Privacy Act.)

Safeguards: Access to information limited to authorized DFEH personnel.

Retention and disposal: Number of years held at HSA - Indefinitely or until 6 years after record becomes inactive. Purged from computer and stored on computer tape for a period of 6 years after file becomes inactive.

System manager(s) and address:

Director
Division of Federal Employee Health
FCB 03 6526 Belcrest Road
Hyattsville, Maryland 20782

Notification procedure: Same as above.

Record access procedures: Same as above.

Contesting record procedures: Same as above.

Record source categories: Data resulting from the clinical and preventive services offered by the PHS/DFEH Health Units and data obtained from individual.

NIH DRG 0134.01

System name: Grants: Application Control File. HEW/NIH/DRG.

Security classification: None.

System location:

Westwood Building, Room 248, NIH
5333 Westbard Avenue
Bethesda, MD 20016

Categories of individuals covered by the system: Grantees and applicants for NIH extramural biomedical research grants.

Categories of records in the system: Grant Application Data reflecting the identification and qualifications of the Principal Investigator.

Authority for maintenance of the system: 42 USC 241c, 2891; 289-1; 58 Stat 691c (Repealed).

Routine uses of records maintained in the system, including categories of users and the purposes of such uses: Information sent to National Science Foundation for program coordination; a record from this system may be disclosed to a federal, state, or local agency, in response to its request in connection with the issuance of a grant by the requesting agency; Appendix B of the Department Regulations (45 CFR Part 5b) items 9, 101, 102.

Policies and practices for storing, retrieving, accessing, retaining, and disposing of records in the system:

Storage: They are stored in file folders, on cards, in notebooks and on film.

Retrievability: They are retrieved by name. NIH Use: Used by division staff and outside consultants to review applications for scientific merit, evaluate award decisions, and to communicate with applicant.

Safeguards: Limited access.

Retention and disposal: Years at NIH: indefinitely.

System manager(s) and address:

Chief, Referral Branch, DRG
Westwood Building, Room 248, NIH
5333 Westbard Avenue
Bethesda, MD 20016

Notification procedure:

Chief, Grants Inquiries Office
Westwood Building, Room 448, NIH
5333 Westbard Avenue
Bethesda, MD 20016

Record access procedures: Same as Notification.

Contesting record procedures: Same as Notification.

Record source categories: Data is obtained from the individual Principal Investigator.

NIH DRG 0137.02

System name: Grants: IMPAC (Grant/Contract Information). HEW/NIH/DRG.

Security classification: None.

System location:

Westwood Building, Room 1A03B
5333 Westbard Avenue
Bethesda, MD 20016

Categories of individuals covered by the system: Applicants for and recipients of PHS grants (NIH and ADAMHA).

Categories of records in the system: Applications, awards, and trainee appointments.

Authority for maintenance of the system: 42 USC 241c; 58 Stat. 691c & d Repealed.

Routine uses of records maintained in the system, including categories of users and the purposes of such uses: Information sent to Science Information Exchange for dissemination of science info; to cognizant audit agency & the Government Accounting Office for auditing; to Professional, Scientific Organizations, Individual's Educational Institution for dissemination of information; Information is also sent to other federal, state, and local agencies, public and private organizations, and private individuals; Appendix B of the Department Regulations (45 CFR Part 5b) items 1, 9, 101, 102. Purposes include administrative (fiscal operations, budgeting, program direction, planning, etc); public information; research and analysis, judicial and legislative.

Policies and practices for storing, retrieving, accessing, retaining, and disposing of records in the system:

Storage: They are stored on discs and magnetic tapes.

Retrievability: They are retrieved by name, application, grant, and contract ID number. NIH Use: The records are used by NIH & ADAMHA management and operating staff to prepare listing, tabulations, magnetic tapes and other machine processable media, microfiche and publications for administrative purposes such as fiscal operations, budgeting, program direction and planning; public information; research and analysis.

Safeguards: Limited access.

Retention and disposal: Years at NIH: indefinitely.

System manager(s) and address:

Chief, Statistics and Analysis Branch, DRG
Westwood Building, Room 1A03B, NIH
5333 Westbard Avenue
Bethesda, MD 20016

Notification procedure:

Grants Inquiries Officer, DRG
Westwood Building, Room 448, NIH
5333 Westbard Avenue
Bethesda, MD 20016

Record access procedures: Same as Notification.

Contesting record procedures: Same as Notification.

Record source categories: Individual, individual's educational institution, and references.

NIH OD 0300.02

System name: Grants: Research, Research Training, Fellowship and Construction Applications and Awards. HEW/NIH/OD.

Security classification: None.

System location: See Appendix I.

Categories of individuals covered by the system: Grant applicants and Grantees, and Reviewers.

Categories of records in the system: Grant Applications and review history, awards, financial records and progress reports and correspondence.

Authority for maintenance of the system: 42 USC 241(c), (d), 276, 281, 287, 288, 289(a), (d), (e), (i), 289(k-2) 58 Stat. 691 (repealed).

Routine uses of records maintained in the system, including categories of users and the purposes of such uses: Information sent to Science Information Exchange for dissemination of science info; to Cognizant Audit Agency & GAO for auditing; to Dept. of Justice as required for litigation; to Professional, Scientific Organizations; Individuals Educational Institution; for dissemination of information and used to respond to Congressional inquiries; to qualified experts, not within the definition of department employees as prescribed in Department Regulations (45 CFR Part 5b 2), for opinions as a part of the review process; Appendix B of the Department Regulations (45 CFR Part 5b) items 9,101,102; a record from this system may be disclosed to a federal, state or local agency, in response to its request in connection with the issuance of a grant by the requesting agency.

Policies and practices for storing, retrieving, accessing, retaining, and disposing of records in the system:

Storage: Stored in file folders, on computer tapes and discs, cards and in notebooks.

Retrievability: Retrieved by name and grant number. Use: By staff for review, award, and administration, of grants.

Safeguards: Access limited to authorized personnel.

Retention and disposal: Years at NIH: 1 year after close out except for Construction Grants which are retained for 3 years after close-out. Years at FRC: 5 years except for National Research Service Awards, 9 years and Construction Awards, 12 years.

System manager(s) and address: See Appendix II.

Notification procedure: See Appendix III.

Record access procedures: See Appendix IV.

Contesting record procedures: Same as System Manager.

Record source categories: Information submitted by applicant, supplemented by outside reviewers and internal staff.

Systems exempted from certain provisions of the act: None.

Appendix I System Location

National Cancer Institute
Westwood Building
5333 Westbard Avenue
Bethesda, MD 20016
Room 837, 10A15, 8A18, 805, 855, 809, 848

National Heart and Lung Institute
Westwood Building
5333 Westbard Avenue
Bethesda, MD 20016
Room 5A15

National Library of Medicine
Federal Building
7550 Rockville Pike
Bethesda, MD 20014
Room 916A

National Institute of Allergy and Infectious Diseases
Westwood Building
5333 Westbard Avenue
Bethesda, MD 20016
Room 722

National Institute of Arthritis, Metabolism, and Digestive Diseases
Westwood Building
5333 Westbard Avenue
Bethesda, MD 20016
Room 621

National Institute of Child Health and Human Development
Landow Building
7910 Woodmont Avenue
Bethesda, MD 20014
Room A621

National Institute on Aging
Landow Building
7910 Woodmont Avenue
Bethesda, MD 20014
Room A621

National Institute of Dental Research
Westwood Building
5333 Westbard AVenue
Bethesda, MD 20016
Room 510

National Institute of Environmental Health Sciences
Building 12

Research Triangle Park, North Carolina 27709
Room 1213

National Institute of General Medical Sciences
Westwood Building
5333 Westbard Avenue
Bethesda, MD 20016
Room 938

National Institute of Neurological and Communicative Disorders and Stroke
Westwood Building
5333 Westbard Avenue
Bethesda, MD 20016
Room 748

National Eye Institute
Building 31
9000 Rockville Pike
Bethesda, MD 20014
Room 6A47

Division of Research Resources
Building 31
9000 Rockville Pike
Bethesda, MD 20014
Room 5B05

Regional Federal Records Center

Appendix II System Manager and Address

National Cancer Institute

Chief, Program Analysis & Evaluation Branch
Room 837, Westwood Building

Fellowships Officer (Fellowship and Research Career Awards)
Room 10A15, Westwood Building

Chief, Grants Administration Branch
Room 8A18, Westwood Building

Grants Technical Assistant (Institutional Research Fellowships)
Room 805, 855, Westwood Building

Referral Officer (Applications Referrals, Site Visits and Conference Grants)
Room 809, Westwood Building

Program Director for Review Activities
Room 805, Westwood Building

Executive Secretary, DCRRC (Center Grant Application)
Room 809, Westwood Building

Associate Director for Research Programs
Room 848, Westwood Building

Review Activities Program Director (Research Grant Applications)
Room 809, Westwood Building

National Heart and Lung Institute
Administrative Officer, DEA
Room 5A15, Westwood Building

National Library of Medicine
Associate Director for Extramural Programs
Room 816A, Federal Building

National Institute of Allergy and Infectious Diseases
Administrative Officer, NIAID
Room 707, Westwood Building

National Institute of Arthritis, Metabolism, and Digestive Diseases
Technical Information Specialist
Room 726A, Westwood Building

National Institute of Child Health and Human Development
Chief, Office of Grants & Contracts
Room A621, Landow Building

National Institute on Aging
Grants Management Officer
Room A621, Landow Building

National Institute of Dental Research
Grants Management Officer, NIDR
Room 510, Westwood Building

National Institute of Environmental Health Sciences
Grants Management Officer
Room 1213, Building 12

National Institute of General Medical Sciences
Grants Management Officer, NIGMS
Room 938, Westwood Building

National Institute of Neurological and Communicative Disorders and Stroke
Grants Officer, Extramural Programs
Room 738, Westwood Building

National Eye Institute
Ass. Dir. for Extramural & Collaborative Programs
Room 6A04, Building 31

Division of Research Resources
Executive Officer, DRR
Room 5B05, Building 31

Appendix III Notification Procedures

National Cancer Institute
Chief, Grants Administration Branch
Room 8A18, Westwood Building

National Heart and Lung Institute
Privacy Act Coordinator, NHLI
Room 5A50, Building 31

National Library of Medicine
See Appendix II.

National Institute of Allergy and Infectious Diseases
See Appendix II.

National Institute of Arthritis, Metabolism, and Digestive Diseases
Administrative Assistant
Room 9A35, Building 31

National Institute of Child Health and Human Development
See Appendix II.

National Institute on Aging
See Appendix II.

National Institute of Dental Research
See Appendix II.

National Institute of Environmental Health Sciences
See Appendix II.

National Institute of General Medical Sciences
See Appendix II.

National Institute of Neurological and Communicative Disorders and Stroke
See Appendix II.

National Eye Institute
See Appendix II.

Division of Research Resources
See Appendix II.

Appendix IV Record Access Procedures

National Cancer Institute
Chief, Grants Administration Branch
Room 8A18, Westwood Building

National Heart and Lung Institute
See Appendix III.

National Library of Medicine
See Appendix II.

National Institute of Allergy and Infectious Diseases
Chief, Of. of Research Reporting & Public Response
Room 7A32, Building 31

National Institute of Arthritis, Metabolism, and Digestive Diseases
See Appendix III.

National Institute of Child Health and Human Development
See Appendix II.

National Institute on Aging
See Appendix II.

National Institute of Dental Research
Personnel Officer, NIDR
Room 2C23, Building 31

National Institute of Environmental Health Sciences
See Appendix II.

National Institute of General Medical Sciences
Research Reports Officer
Room 909, Westwood Building

National Institute of Neurological and Communicative Disorders and Stroke
Head, Administration Mgmt. Section
Room 8A47, Building 31

National Eye Institute
Administrative Officer
Room 6A31, Building 31

Division of Research Resources
See Appendix II.

Revisions of a number of paragraphs to the following Notices of Systems of Record:

OS ASAM SM 1.01

System name: Safety Management Information System (DHEW Accident, Injury and Illness Reporting System). HEW/OS/ASAM/SM

Security classification: None.

System location: At Departmental Regional and Headquarters facilities (see Appendix I).

Categories of individuals covered by the system:

1. DHEW Employees, including both civilian and commissioned corps personnel, who are involved in an accident which arises out of and in the course of their employment whether occurring on HEW premises or not and results in:
   a. A fatality.
   b. Lost workdays beyond the day in which the accident occurred.
   c. Nonfatal injuries which result in transfer to another job, termination of employment, medical treatment other than first aid, loss of consciousness or restriction of work or motion.
   d. A possible tort claim.
   e. A claim for compensation.
   f. Property damage in excess of 50.00.
   g. Interrupts or interferes with the orderly progress of work of other employees.
   h. Radiation over exposure.
   i. Biological exposure resulting in lost time or accidental release of biologicals where the public may be over-exposed.
2. Visting scientists, contractor personnel, hospitalized patients, out-patients, employees of other Federal agencies, state or local governments or members of the public who suffer injury, illness or property damage on or in HEW premises or as a result of HEW activities.

Categories of records in the system: This system consists of a variety of information and supporting documentation resulting from the reporting and investigation of accidents which have resulted in injury, illness, property damage or the interruption or interference with the orderly progress of work. The records contain information about individuals involved in or experiencing accidents including but not limited to the severity of the injury, whether consciousness was lost, the type of injury, culmination of any injury, days lost from work if any, the nature of the injury, illness or disease, the body part affected, causal factors, weather factors, agency of accident, whether unsafe mechanical, physical, or personal acts or factors were involved, the accidents area of origin and if fire was involved, the type and form of materials involved. Property damage (both public and private) is noted through the property sequency number, who owned the property involved, property damaged and actual or estimated monetary loss, the HEW installation number if appropriate and the year of manufacture or construction if appropriate. Identifiers relating to a particular accident include the organization, case number assigned, date and time of occurrence, state or territory, site, type and classification of accident, estimated amount of tort claims if appropriate, name of individual(s) involved, the social security number, sex, age, grade series and level, CSC series, address, other Departments notified of accident, duty status, activity at time of accident and time on duty before accident. Management's evaluation and corrective action taken or proposed is also noted.

Authority for maintenance of the system: Section 19 of the Occupational Safety and Health Act of 1970 (PL 91-596); 5 U.S.C. 7902; 29 CFR Part 1960; Executive Order No. 11807

Routine uses of records maintained in the system, including categories of users and the purposes of such uses:

a. See Appendix B, Departmental Regulations (45 CFR Part 5b) Items 01,5
b. To request from a Federal, state, local agency or private sources information relevant to the investigation of an accident and/or corrective action.
c. To respond to an inquiry from a member of Congress made on behalf of a constituent.

Policies and practices for storing, retrieving, accessing, retaining, and disposing of records in the system:

Storage: Records are or may be maintained on magnetic tapes, punchcards, lists, forms, discs, computer storage, in file folders, binders and index cards.

Retrievability:

1. At the Departmental level, the system is completely computerized with no other records normally maintained or retained in the Office of Safety Management. While individual identifiers such as name, case number, and social security number are within the computer storage banks, special programming would be required to extract individual records. Normal output consists of statistical reports and surveys including those required by the Department of Labor and Statistical Analysis in support of the Departmental occupational safety and health program for dissemination to the Principal Operating Components, Regions and Staff Offices.
2. The Principal Operating Components of the Department, their sub-components, Regional Offices and Staff Offices may maintain original or copies of the accident reports and supporting documentation by name, social security number, case number or cross reference.
3. Establish a written record of the causes of accidents.
4. Provide information to initiate and support corrective or preventive action.
5. Provide statistical information relating to accidents resulting in occupational injuries, illnesses, and/or property damage.
6. Provide management with information with which to evaluate the effectiveness of safety management programs.

7. Provide the means for complying with the reporting requirements of Section 19 of the Occupational Safety and Health Act of 1970 and such other reporting requirements as may be required by legislative or regulative requirements.
8. Provide such other summary descriptive statistics and analytical studies as necessary in support of the function for which the records are collected and maintained including general requests for statistical information without personal identification of individuals.
9. Information in these records is used by or may be disclosed to: a. The Office of Safety Management, Office of the Secretary, DHEW in the review of accident experience data to determine the adequacy of corrective actions, the effect of codes, standards and guides, the consolidation, summarization and dissemination of accident experience data throughout DHEW and other Government Departments and agencies as needed or required. b. The supervisor, administrative officer or other official initiating an accident report, including each succeeding reviewing official in the chain of command through which the report passes to insure that corrective action, as needed and appropriate, is taken. c. Appropriately appointed Safety Directors, Officers, or others with safety responsibilities within the Department in the verifying, assembling, analyzing, summarizing and disseminating data concerning the accident experience in their areas of responsibility and the initiation of appropriate corrective action.

Safeguards: Access to and use of these records is made available to those personnel having a legitimate need for the information (those whose duties require review or access) including inspecting or evaluating personnel. Records, which under the law, may not be disclosed such as information pertinent to national security or trade secrets are maintained separately, adequately safeguarded and released only in accordance with the law. When there is doubt regarding the release of information, the matter will be referred, in advance of release, to the Office of the General Counsel, DHEW.

Retention and disposal: All accident reports, records, logs, and other information relating to an accident are retained by the Principal Operating Components and their agencies, the Regional Offices and the Office of the Secretary for at least five years following the end of the calendar year in which the accident occurred. Specific occupational safety and health standards (such as the standards covering the handling of carcinogenic chemicals) may be required to be kept for up to twenty years. Records may be retained indefinitely.

System manager(s) and address:

Director
Office of Safety Management
Department of Health, Education, and Welfare
330 Independence Avenue, S.W.
Washington, D.C. 20201

Notification procedure: Individuals wishing to inquire whether this system of records contains information about them should address their inquiries to one of the following as appropriate:

1. If employed or formerly employed in DHEW Regional Office, the Regional Occupational Safety and Health Manager of the Region involved (see list and addresses under appendix).
2. If employed or formerly employed in a DHEW Principal Operating Component or sub-agency, a staff office or the Office of the Secretary, the Occupational Safety and Health Manager of the component where employed with the exception of the Food and Drug Administration (see list and addresses under appendix). The contact for the Food and Drug Administration is:

Privacy Act Coordinator
Food and Drug Administration
5600 Fishers Lane
Rockville, Maryland 20852 Individuals requesting information about this system of records should provide their full name, social security number, name and address of office and agency in which currently or formerly employed and the accident(s) case number if known.

Record access procedures: Individuals wishing to gain access to or contest their records should contact the following in person or writing as appropriate; with the exception of Food and Drug Administration, See 'Notification' above. 1. If employed or formerly employed in a DHEW Regional Office, the Regional Occupational Safety and Health Manager of the Region involved (see list and addresses under appendix). 2. If employed or formerly employed in a DHEW Principal Operating Component or sub-agency, a staff office or the Office of the Secretary, the Occupational Safety and Health Manager of the component where employed. (see list and addresses under appendix). Individuals requesting informtion in this system of records should provide their full name, social security number, case number if known, time and brief description of the accident in which they were involved and the name and address of office in which employed.

Contesting record procedures: Same as above.

Record source categories: The information in this system is obtained from the following sources: 1) the individual to whom the record pertains; 2) witnesses to the accident; 3) investigating officials (Federal, state, local); 4) medical personnel seeing the individual as a result of the accident; 5) supervisory personnel; 6) reviewing officials; 7) personnel offices; 8) investigative material furnished by Federal, state, or local agencies; 9) on site observations.

Appendix

Region I:
DHEW Regional Safety and Occupational Health Manager
John F. Kennedy Federal Building - Room 1503
Government Center
Boston, Massachusetts 02203

Regional II:
DHEW Regional Safety and Occupational Health Manager
Federal Building - Room 3835
26 Federal Plaza
New York, New York 10007

Regional III:
DHEW Regional Safety and Occupational Health Manager
3535 Market Street
Philadelphia, Pennsylvania 19101

Regional IV:
DHEW Regional Safety and Occupational Health Manager
50 7th Street, N.E. - Room 447
Atlanta, Georgia 30323

Regional V:
DHEW Regional Safety and Occupational Health Manager
300 South Wacker Drive - 35th Floor
Chicago, Illinois 60606

Regional VI:
DHEW Regional Safety and Occupational Health Manager
1114 Commerce Street - Room 1025
Dallas, Texas 75202

Regional VII:
DHEW Regional Safety and Occupational Health Manager
601 East 12th Street - Room 566
Kansas City, Missouri 64106

Regional VIII:
DHEW Regional Safety and Occupational Health Manager
1961 Stout Street - Room 11037
Denver, Colorado 80202

Regional IX:
DHEW Regional Safety and Occupational Health Manager
Federal Office Building - Room 8
50 Fulton Street
San Francisco, California 94102

Regional X:
DHEW Regional Safety and Occupational Health Manager
Arcade Plaza - Room 6003
1321 Second Avenue
Seattle, Washington 98101

Office of Education:
Safety Officer
FOB 06 - Room 1175

400 Maryland Avenue, S.W.
Washington, D.C.

National Institute of Education:
Safety Officer
1200 19th Street, N.W.
Washington, D.C. 20208

Social and Rehabilitaition Service:
Safety Officer
Room 4228 - Switzer Building
300 C Street, S.W.
Washington, D.C. 20201

Social Security Administration:
Director, Occupational Health Management
Room 3205 Annex
6401 Security Boulevard
Baltimore, Maryland 21235

Office of the Secretary, DHEW
Safety Officer
Room 4440 - North Building
Department of Health, Education, and Welfare
330 Independence Avenue, S.W.
Washington, D.C. 20201

Public Health Service:
Director, Division of Health Facilities Planning
Room 18-42 - Parklawn Building
5600 Fishers Lane
Rockville, Maryland 20852

Alcohol, Drug Abuse, and Mental Health Administration:
Safety Officer
Room 6-105 - Parklawn Building
5600 Fishers Lane
Rockville, Maryland 20852

Center for Disease Control:
Chief, Office of Biosafety
Building 8 - Room 232
1600 Clifton Road, N.E.
Atlanta, Georgia 30333

Food and Drug Administration
Safety and Occupational Health Manager
Room 10-71 - Parklawn Building
5600 Fishers Lane
Rockville, Maryland 20852

Health Resources Administration:
Safety Officer
Room 10A-19 - Parklawn Building
5600 Fishers Lane
Rockville, Maryland 20852

National Institutes of Health:
Associate Director, Environmental Health and Safety, Division of Research Sciences
Room 4051 - Building 12A
9000 Rockville Pike
Bethesda, Maryland 20014

Health Service Administration
Director, Safety and Environmental Health
Room 14-A-39 Parklawn Bld
5600 Fishers Lane
Rockville, Maryland 20852

ADAMHA NIAAA 0033.01

System name: Records of Research on Alcohol Abusers and Addicts. HEW/ADAMHA/NIAAA.

Security classification: None.

System location:

Intramural Research Branch
National Institute on Alcohol Abuse and Alcoholism
Rm. 493, Wm. A. White Building
Saint Elizabeths Hospital
Washington, D.C. 20032

Categories of individuals covered by the system: Alcohol abusers and addicts.

Categories of records in the system: Case studies, medical records of individuals involved in studies between 1969 and 1973 in the Intramural Research Laboratory pertaining to alcohol abuse and alcoholism; medical, non-medical, test and laboratory data, observation data, data from interviews, data from previous records, data from epidemiological, biochemical, and behavioral areas, and other data from investigations in medical and related sciences. This includes material contained on video tapes.

Authority for maintenance of the system: Public Health Service Act, Sections 301,303 (42 U.S.C. 241, 242(a), 4551).

Routine uses of records maintained in the system, including categories of users and the purposes of such uses: See, Appendix B of DHEW Regulations, Item 101, 45 CFR 5(b).

Policies and practices for storing, retrieving, accessing, retaining, and disposing of records in the system:

Storage: Documents filed in metal file cabinets and in cardboard boxes.

Retrievability: By case study number and/or by patient's last name.

Safeguards: Only authorized personnel have access to the files and the files themselves may not leave the premises.

Retention and disposal: Case studies and patient records will be retained for five years and then transferred to the Federal Records Center.

System manager(s) and address:

Chief, Intramural Research Branch
National Institute on Alcohol Abuse and Alcoholism
Rm. 493, Wm. A. White Building
Saint Elizabeths Hospital
Washington, D.C. 20032

Notification procedure: An individual may have access to his/her record upon written request, with notarized signature, addressed to the System Manager identified above.

Record access procedures: Same as above.

Contesting record procedures: Same as above.

Record source categories: Directly from the individual and from observation during research studies.

Systems exempted from certain provisions of the act: None. /*

federal register

WEDNESDAY, JANUARY 7, 1976

PART V:

DEPARTMENT OF HOUSING AND URBAN DEVELOPMENT

Federal Insurance Administration

■

NATIONAL FLOOD INSURANCE PROGRAM

Flood Prone Areas

DEPARTMENT OF HOUSING AND URBAN DEVELOPMENT

Federal Insurance Administration

[Docket No. N–76–376]

NATIONAL FLOOD INSURANCE PROGRAM

Notice of Flood-Prone Areas of Communities Subject to Section 202 Prohibition of Federal and Federally Related Assistance

The purpose of this notice is to provide a list of commodities that contain areas of special flood hazard potentially subject to the provisions of Section 202 of the Flood Disaster Protection Act of 1973 (PL–93–234) on July 1, 1975, or an appropriate later date, and to provide a convenient reference for interested persons, communities, Federal agencies and instrumentalities, and others involved in assuring compliance with that section. This list supersedes and up-dates the list published in the FEDERAL REGISTER at 40 FR 56592–56649.

Section 202 provides that effective July 1, 1975, Federal agencies and federally supervised, approved, insured, or regulated lending institutions are prohibited from providing financial assistance or making loans for acquisition or construction purposes in areas which (a) have been designated by the Secretary of Housing and Urban Development as Special Flood Hazard Areas for at least one year; and (b) are in communities which are not participating in the National Flood Insurance Program (42 U.S.C. 4001–4128).

The prohibition does not apply to any loan made by a federally-supervised, approved, insured, or regulated lending institution made prior to March 1, 1976, to finance the acquisition of a previously occupied residential dwelling.

Each of the communities listed below received notice of its designation as flood-prone prior to February 1, 1975, and legal notice was furnished of such designation by publication under Part 1915 of Title 24 of the Code of Federal Regulations in the FEDERAL REGISTER. These communities have failed to provide the Federal Insurance Administrator with sufficient technical or scientific data to rebut their designation as flood prone nor have they as yet qualified for participation in the National Flood Insurance Program. Thus, the sanctions of Section 202 apply as of July 1, 1975, or one year after a community's identification, whichever is later, until the community participates in the program.

In order to continue Federal or federally related assistance or lending in its Special Flood Hazard Area, a community must apply for and be made eligible for participation in the program in accordance with 24 CFR (Parts 1909 to 1920). Communities may receive assistance in applying for participation by contacting the Federal Insurance Administration, 451 Seventh St., SW., Washington, D.C. 20410, (202) 755–5581, or its toll-free numbers 800–424–8872 or 800–424–8873.

Communities on this list may be made eligible to participate in the program after the date of publication of this list. Such eligibility will be published periodically in the FEDERAL REGISTER under 24 CFR § 1914.4 List of eligible communities. At that time the sanctions of Section 202 will no longer apply to the communities listed below.

* UNINCORPORATED AREAS ONLY

ALABAMA

COMMUNITY NUMBER	NAME	HAZARD AREA IDENTIFIED
010267	ADAMSVILLE, CITY [JEFFERSON CO]	JANUARY 17, 1975
010229	ALLGOOD, TOWN [BLOUNT CO]	JANUARY 24, 1975
010001	AUTAUGAVILLE, TOWN OF [AUTAUGA CO.]	JUNE 07, 1974
010242	BABBIE, TOWN [COVINGTON CO]	JANUARY 10, 1975
010255	BARRY, TOWN OF [FAYETTE CO.]	DECEMBER 27, 1974
010083	BELK, TOWN OF [FAYETTE CO.]	SEPTEMBER 13, 1974
010092	BOLIGEE, CITY OF [GREENE CO.]	DECEMBER 13, 1974
010055	BRANTLEY, TOWN OF [CRENSHAW CO.]	JUNE 28, 1974
010119	CARDIFF, TOWN OF [JEFFERSON CO.]	SEPTEMBER 20, 1974
010240	CAROLINA, TOWN [COVINGTON CO]	JANUARY 10, 1975
010239	COFFEE COUNTY *	JANUARY 17, 1975
010244	COVINGTON COUNTY *	DECEMBER 13, 1974
010103A	COWARTS, TOWN OF [HOUSTON CO.]	AUGUST 16, 1974
010056	DOZIER, TOWN OF [CRENSHAW CO.]	SEPTEMBER 20, 1974
010212	ELBERTA, TOWN OF [BALDWIN CO.]	NOVEMBER 15, 1974
010219	FAYETTE COUNTY *	JANUARY 10, 1975
010027	FIVE POINTS, TOWN OF [CHAMBERS CO.]	NOVEMBER 15, 1974
010080	GADSDEN, CITY OF [ETOWA CO.]	MARCH 08, 1974
010053	GANTT, TOWN OF [COVINGTON CO.]	JUNE 07, 1974
010057A	GLENWOOD, TOWN OF [CRENSHAW CO.]	SEPTEMBER 20, 1974
010105	GORDON, TOWN OF [HOUSTON CO.]	OCTOBER 25, 1974
010294	HELENA, TOWN [SHELBY CO]	JANUARY 10, 1975
010271	LAMAR COUNTY *	DECEMBER 06, 1974
010248	MIDLAND CITY, TOWN [DALE CO]	JANUARY 10, 1975
010238	NEW BROCKTON, TOWN [COFFEE CO]	JANUARY 17, 1975
010232	OHATCHEE, TOWN OF [CALHOUN CO]	JANUARY 31, 1975
010298	PARRISH, TOWN [WALKER CO]	JANUARY 10, 1975
010283	PICKENS COUNTY	JANUARY 17, 1975
010249	PINCKARD, TOWN [DALE CO]	JANUARY 24, 1975
010268	PLEASANT GROVE, CITY OF [JEFFERSON CO.]	DECEMBER 20, 1974
010075	POLLARD, TOWN OF [ESCAMBIA CO]	JANUARY 31, 1975
010159	PROVIDENCE, TOWN OF [MARENGO CO.]	NOVEMBER 01, 1974
010182	RANDOLPH COUNTY *	SEPTEMBER 13, 1974
010243	RED LEVEL, TOWN [COVINGTON CO]	JANUARY 10, 1975
010287	RUSSELL COUNTY	JANUARY 17, 1975
010010	SILVER HILL, TOWN OF [BALDWIN CO.]	JUNE 28, 1974
010108	TAYLOR, TOWN OF [HOUSTON CO.]	SEPTEMBER 13, 1974
010273	THOMASTON, TOWN	JANUARY 10, 1975
010302	WASHINGTON COUNTY *	DECEMBER 20, 1974

TOTAL IN THE STATE 39

* UNINCORPORATED AREAS ONLY

ALASKA

COMMUNITY NUMBER	NAME	HAZARD AREA IDENTIFIED
020049	HOONAH, CITY OF (UNORGANIZED BORO.)	JUNE 07, 1974
020108	HOOPER BAY, CITY OF (UNORGANIZED BORO.)	JUNE 28, 1974

TOTAL IN THE STATE 2

* UNINCORPORATED AREAS ONLY

ARIZONA

COMMUNITY NUMBER	NAME	HAZARD AREA IDENTIFIED
040028	GILA COUNTY *	NOVEMBER 01, 1974
040086	MAMMOTH, TOWN OF [PINAL CO.]	DECEMBER 07, 1973
040053A	SURPRISE, TOWN OF [MARICOPA CO.]	JUNE 28, 1974 AND DECEMBER 05, 1975

TOTAL IN THE STATE 3

* UNINCORPORATED AREAS ONLY

ARKANSAS

COMMUNITY NUMBER	NAME	HAZARD AREA IDENTIFIED
050017A	ALPENA, TOWN OF [BOONE CO.]	AUGUST 30, 1974 AND OCTOBER 03, 1975
050123	AUBREY, TOWN OF [LEE CO.]	DECEMBER 06, 1974
050062A	CARTHAGE, CITY OF [DALLAS CO.]	MARCH 08, 1974 AND OCTOBER 10, 1975
050214	ELKINS, TOWN OF [WASHINGTON CO.]	DECEMBER 20, 1974
050019	EVERTON, TOWN OF [BOONE CO.]	AUGUST 30, 1974
050130A	FOREMAN, CITY OF [LITTLE RIVER CO.]	MARCH 01, 1974 AND NOVEMBER 07, 1975
050224A	GARNER, TOWN OF [WHITE CO.]	AUGUST 30, 1974 AND NOVEMBER 07, 1975
050217A	GREENLAND, TOWN OF [WASHINGTON CO]	JUNE 28, 1974 AND OCTOBER 24, 1975
050234A	HAVANA, CITY OF [YELL CO.]	AUGUST 23, 1974
050240	HEBER SPRINGS, CITY OF	JANUARY 24, 1975
050225	HIGGINSON, TOWN OF [WHITE CO.]	AUGUST 16, 1974
050120A	IMBODEN, TOWN (LAWRENCE CO)	MAY 03, 1974 AND OCTOBER 10, 1975
050227	KENSETT, CITY OF [WHITE CO.]	OCTOBER 12, 1973
050203	MIDLAND, TOWN OF [SEBASTIAN CO.]	AUGUST 16, 1974
050069	MITCHELLVILLE, TOWN OF [DESHA CO.]	NOVEMBER 08, 1974
050095	MOUNT PLEASANT, TOWN OF [IZARD CO.]	NOVEMBER 22, 1974
050051A	MOUNTAINBURG, TOWN OF [CRAWFORD CO.]	MAY 03, 1974 AND NOVEMBER 28, 1975
050357	OLA, CITY [YELL CO]	JANUARY 10, 1975
050007A	PARKDALE, CITY OF [ASHLEY CO.]	MARCH 29, 1974 AND OCTOBER 31, 1975
050040A	RISON, CITY OF [CLEVELAND CO.]	MARCH 08, 1974 AND OCTOBER 10, 1975
050043A	WALDO, CITY OF [COLUMBIA CO.]	APRIL 05, 1974 AND OCTOBER 17, 1975
050077A	WINCHESTER, TOWN OF [DREW CO.]	AUGUST 30, 1974 AND OCTOBER 10, 1975

TOTAL IN THE STATE 22

* UNINCORPORATED AREAS ONLY

COMMUNITY NUMBER	NAME	HAZARD AREA IDENTIFIED
	CALIFORNIA	
060271	BARSTOW, CITY [SAN BERNARDINO CO]	JANUARY 17, 1975
060017	BUTTE COUNTY *	SEPTEMBER 06, 1974
060068A	CALIPATRIA, CITY OF [IMPERIAL CO.]	APRIL 12, 1974
060196A	CARMEL BY-THE-SEA, CITY OF [MONTEREY CO.]	JUNE 14, 1974
060249	COACHELLA, CITY OF [RIVERSIDE CO.]	MAY 17, 1974
060022	COLUSA COUNTY *	OCTOBER 25, 1974
060110A	COMMERCE, CITY OF [LOS ANGELES CO.]	JUNE 28, 1974
060040	EL DORADO COUNTY *	AUGUST 02, 1974
060445	FERNDALE, CITY [HUMBOLDT CO.]	DECEMBER 20, 1974
060386	HUGHSON, CITY OF [STANISLAUS CO.]	MAY 24, 1974
060255A	INDIO, CITY OF [RIVERSIDE CO.]	MAY 31, 1974 AND AUGUST 08, 1975
060079A	MARICOPA, CITY OF [KERN CO.]	JUNE 14, 1974 AND NOVEMBER 14, 1975
060192	MODOC COUNTY	JANUARY 24, 1975
060454	PARLIER, CITY [FRESNO CO]	JANUARY 24, 1975
060185	POINT ARENA, CITY OF [MENDOCINO CO.]	OCTOBER 18, 1974
060349	SAN JOSE, CITY [SANTA CLARA CO]	JANUARY 24, 1975
060056	SELMA, CITY OF [FRESNO CO.]	MAY 24, 1974
060412A	SONORA, CITY OF [TUOLUMNE CO.]	MAY 31, 1974 AND OCTOBER 10, 1975
	TOTAL IN THE STATE	18

* UNINCORPORATED AREAS ONLY

COMMUNITY NUMBER	NAME	HAZARD AREA IDENTIFIED
	COLORADO	
080003	BENNETT, TOWN OF [ADAMS CO.]	NOVEMBER 22, 1974
080148	BOONE, TOWN OF [PUEBLO CO.]	SEPTEMBER 06, 1974
080192	CALHAN, TOWN [EL PASO CO]	JANUARY 17, 1975
080111	CROOK, TOWN OF [LOGAN CO.]	NOVEMBER 08, 1974
080120	DINOSAUR, TOWN OF [MOFFAT CO.]	AUGUST 30, 1974
080047A	DOVE CREEK, TOWN OF [DOLORES CO.]	MAY 24, 1974 AND NOVEMBER 28, 1975
080056	ELIZABETH, TOWN OF [ELBERT CO.]	SEPTEMBER 06, 1974
080112	FLEMING, TOWN OF [LOGAN CO.]	NOVEMBER 08, 1974
080073	FRASER, TOWN OF [GRAND CO.]	SEPTEMBER 06, 1974
080117A	GRAND JUNCTION, CITY OF [MESA CO.]	FEBRUARY 01, 1974 AND JUNE 28, 1974
080195	GREENWOOD VILLAGE, CITY OF [ARAPAHOE CO.]	DECEMBER 27, 1974
080074A	HOT SULPHUR SPRINGS, TOWN OF [GRAND CO.]	NOVEMBER 22, 1974
080044	HOTCHKISS, TOWN OF [DELTA CO.]	JUNE 21, 1974
080268	IGNACIO, TOWN (LA PLATA CO)	MARCH 22, 1974
080207	ILIFF, TOWN OF [LOGAN CO.]	DECEMBER 27, 1974
080057	KIOWA, TOWN OF [ELBERT CO.]	SEPTEMBER 06, 1974
080033	KIT CARSON, TOWN OF [CHEYENNE CO.]	DECEMBER 13, 1974
080096A	LEADVILLE, CITY OF [LAKE CO.]	MAY 17, 1974
080167	NORWOOD, TOWN OF [SAN MIGUEL CO.]	NOVEMBER 22, 1974
080127	NUCLA, TOWN OF [MONTROSE CO.]	MAY 24, 1974
080178A	OLIS, TOWN OF [WASHINGTON CO.]	MAY 24, 1974 AND OCTOBER 31, 1975
080170	OVID, TOWN OF [SEDGWICK CO.]	NOVEMBER 15, 1974
085078	RIFLE, CITY OF (GARFIELD CO.)	JUNE 15, 1973
080200	SILVER PLUME, TOWN OF (CLEAR CREEK CO.)	DECEMBER 13, 1974
080058	SIMLA, TOWN OF [ELBERT CO.]	SEPTEMBER 13, 1974
080100	STARKVILLE, TOWN OF [LAS ANIMAS CO.]	SEPTEMBER 06, 1974
080160	YAMPA, TOWN OF [ROUTT CO.]	MAY 24, 1974
	TOTAL IN THE STATE	27

* UNINCORPORATED AREAS ONLY

COMMUNITY NUMBER	NAME	HAZARD AREA IDENTIFIED
	CONNECTICUT	
090183	CANTERBURY, TOWN [WINDHAM CO]	JANUARY 10, 1975
090169	DANIELSON, BOROUGH OF (WINDHAM CO.)	JANUARY 24, 1975
090186	KENT, TOWN [LITCHFIELD CO]	JANUARY 03, 1975
090155	LEBANON, TOWN [NEW LONDON CO]	JANUARY 24, 1975
090172	LISBON, TOWN OF (NEW LONDON CO.)	JANUARY 31, 1975
090182	SCOTLAND, TOWN OF (WINDHAM CO.)	JANUARY 31, 1975
090159	WILLINGTON, TOWN OF [TOLLAND CO.]	DECEMBER 20, 1974
	TOTAL IN THE STATE	7

* UNINCORPORATED AREAS ONLY

COMMUNITY NUMBER	NAME	HAZARD AREA IDENTIFIED
	DELAWARE	
100055	BETHEL, TOWN [SUSSEX CO]	JANUARY 17, 1975
100013	KENTON, TOWN OF [KENT CO.]	SEPTEMBER 13, 1974
100014	LEIPSIC, TOWN OF [KENT CO.]	AUGUST 09, 1974
100044A	MILLVILLE, TOWN OF [SUSSEX CO.]	OCTOBER 18, 1974 AND DECEMBER 12, 1975
100056	ODESSA, TOWN OF (NEW CASTLE CO.)	JANUARY 31, 1975
	TOTAL IN THE STATE	5

* UNINCORPORATED AREAS ONLY

COMMUNITY NUMBER	NAME	HAZARD AREA IDENTIFIED
	FLORIDA	
120194	BELLE GLADE, CITY OF [PALM BEACH CO.]	JULY 19, 1974
120071	BIG ALLIGATOR LAKE, TOWN OF [COLUMBIA CO.]	SEPTEMBER 06, 1974
120323	BITHLO, TOWN OF [ORANGE CO.]	DECEMBER 13, 1974
120197	BRINY BREEZES, TOWN OF [PALM BEACH CO.]	JANUARY 23, 1974
120324	BRISTOL, TOWN OF [LIBERTY CO.]	DECEMBER 13, 1974
120016	BROOKER, TOWN OF [BRADFORD CO.]	AUGUST 30, 1974
120171	CALLAHAN, CITY OF [NASSAU CO.]	JULY 19, 1974
120126	CAMPBELLTON, CITY OF [JACKSON CO.]	SEPTEMBER 06, 1974
120128	GRAND RIDGE, TOWN OF [JACKSON CO.]	AUGUST 02, 1974
120205	HAVERHILL, TOWN OF [PALM BEACH CO]	JUNE 28, 1974
120269	NORTH DAVENPORT, CITY OF (POLK CO)	JUNE 28, 1974
120163	OCEAN BREEZE, TOWN OF [MARTIN CO.]	AUGUST 02, 1974
120051	PARKLAND, TOWN OF [BROWARD CO.]	AUGUST 30, 1974
120130	SNEADS, TOWN OF [JACKSON CO.]	AUGUST 02, 1974
120270	WEST DUNDEE, CITY OF [POLK CO.]	AUGUST 02, 1974
120100	WEWAHITCHKA, TOWN OF [GULF CO.]	AUGUST 09, 1974
	TOTAL IN THE STATE	16

* UNINCORPORATED AREAS ONLY

COMMUNITY NUMBER	NAME	HAZARD AREA IDENTIFIED
	GEORGIA	
130235	ADAIRSVILLE, TOWN OF [BARTOW CO.]	JUNE 14, 1974
130205	BALDWIN, TOWN OF [BANKS CO.]	JUNE 28, 1974
130103	CLARKESVILLE, CITY OF [HABERSHAM CO.]	JUNE 21, 1974
130146	CRAWFORD, CITY OF [OGLETHORPE CO.]	JUNE 07, 1974
130129	DAHLONEGA, CITY OF [LUMPKIN CO.]	JUNE 28, 1974
130064	DAWSONVILLE, CITY [DAWSON CO]	JANUARY 17, 1975
130215	DENTON, CITY OF [JEFF DAVIS CO.]	DECEMBER 13, 1974
130023	GIRARD, TOWN OF [BURKE CO.]	SEPTEMBER 06, 1974
130220	GREENSBORO, CITY OF [GREENE CO.]	DECEMBER 20, 1974
130107	HAMPTON, CITY OF [HENRY CO.]	JUNE 28, 1974
130192A	HELEN, CITY OF [WHITE CO.]	SEPTEMBER 06, 1974 AND OCTOBER 17, 1975
130221	HIRAM, CITY OF [PAULDING CO.]	OCTOBER 18, 1974
130112	JEFFERSON, CITY OF [JACKSON CO.]	JUNE 28, 1974
130043	JONESBORO, CITY OF [CLAYTON CO.]	MAY 24, 1974
130128	LUDOWICI, CITY OF [LONG CO.]	JUNE 14, 1974
130223A	LYONS, CITY OF [TOOMBS CO.]	DECEMBER 06, 1974 AND NOVEMBER 21, 1975
* 130252	MOUNTAIN CITY, TOWN [RABUN CO.]	JANUARY 17, 1975
130046	MOUNTAIN VIEW, CITY OF [CLAYTON CO.]	MAY 10, 1974
130161	NEWINGTON, TOWN OF [SCREVEN CO.]	SEPTEMBER 06, 1974
130189	ODUM, CITY [WAYNE CO]	JANUARY 03, 1975
130162	ROCKY FORD, TOWN OF [SCREVEN CO.]	SEPTEMBER 06, 1974
130168	SCOTLAND, CITY OF [TELFAIR + WHEELER COS.]	AUGUST 30, 1974
130260	STONE MOUNTAIN, CITY OF [DEKALB CO.]	MAY 12, 1974
130003	SURRENCY, TOWN [APPLING CO]	JANUARY 03, 1975
135165A	VERNONBURG, TOWN OF [CHATHAM CO.]	JULY 27, 1973 AND OCTOBER 31, 1975
130174	YOUNG HARRIS, TOWN OF [TOWNS CO.]	JUNE 14, 1974

TOTAL IN THE STATE 26

* UNINCORPORATED AREAS ONLY

COMMUNITY NUMBER	NAME	HAZARD AREA IDENTIFIED
	IDAHO	
160042	ALBION, TOWN [CASSIA CO]	JANUARY 10, 1975
160015	CHATCOLET, CITY OF [BENEWAH CO.]	SEPTEMBER 13, 1974
160005A	COUNCIL, CITY OF [ADAMS CO.]	MAY 03, 1974 AND DECEMBER 12, 1975
160068	FERDINAND, CITY OF [IDAHO CO.]	SEPTEMBER 06, 1974
160079	FERNAN LAKE, CITY OF [KOOTENAI CO.]	SEPTEMBER 06, 1974
160004A	GARDEN CITY, CITY OF [ADA CO.]	DECEMBER 17, 1973
160080	HARRISON, CITY OF (KOOTENAI CO.)	MARCH 22, 1974
160082	HAYDEN LAKE, CITY OF [KOOTENAI CO.]	SEPTEMBER 06, 1974
160089A	KENDRICK, CITY OF [LATAH + NEZ PERCE COS.]	OCTOBER 18, 1974
160197	MALTA, TOWN OF [CASSIA CO.]	DECEMBER 13, 1974
160045	OAKLEY, TOWN OF [CASSIA CO.]	OCTOBER 18, 1974
160039	PARMA, CITY OF [CANYON CO.]	MAY 17, 1974
160152	ROBERTS, CITY [JEFFERSON CO]	JANUARY 24, 1975
160119A	VICTOR, CITY OF [TETON CO.]	SEPTEMBER 06, 1974 AND DECEMBER 05, 1975
160072	WHITE BIRD, CITY OF [IDAHO CO.]	SEPTEMBER 13, 1974

TOTAL IN THE STATE 15

* UNINCORPORATED AREAS ONLY

COMMUNITY NUMBER	NAME	HAZARD AREA IDENTIFIED
	ILLINOIS	
170228	ALTAMONT, CITY OF [EFFINGHAM CO.]	MARCH 22, 1974
170489	ANCHOR, VILLAGE OF [MCLEAN CO.]	DECEMBER 06, 1974
170657	ANNA, CITY OF [UNION CO.]	MARCH 29, 1974
170741	ASTORIA, TOWN OF (FULTON CO)	JANUARY 31, 1975
170543A	ATWOOD, VILLAGE OF [PIATT CO.]	NOVEMBER 23, 1973
170742	AVON, VILLAGE OF [FULTON CO.]	OCTOBER 18, 1974
170470	BARDOLPH, VILLAGE OF [MCDONOUGH CO.]	SEPTEMBER 13, 1974
170464	BATH, VILLAGE OF [MASON CO.]	DECEMBER 17, 1973
170608	BLUFFS, VILLAGE OF [SCOTT CO.]	JUNE 07, 1974
170268	BOWEN, VILLAGE OF [HANCOCK CO.]	NOVEMBER 15, 1974
170046	BREESE, CITY OF [CLINTON CO.]	JUNE 07, 1974
170468	BROOKPORT, CITY OF [MASSAC CO.]	JUNE 07, 1974
170226	BROWNS, VILLAGE OF [EDWARDS CO.]	NOVEMBER 15, 1974
170747	BRUSSELS, VILLAGE OF [CALHOUN CO.]	NOVEMBER 22, 1974
170746	BRYANT, VILLAGE OF [FULTON CO.]	DECEMBER 06, 1974
170471	BUSHNELL, CITY OF [MCDONOUGH CO.]	JUNE 07, 1974
170784	BUSH, VILLAGE OF [WILLIAMSON CO.]	MARCH 29, 1974
170785	CAMARGO, VILLAGE OF [DOUGLAS CO.]	APRIL 05, 1974
170250	CARROLLTON, CITY OF [GREEN CO.]	JUNE 07, 1974
* 170039	CASEY, CITY OF [CLARK CO.]	JUNE 28, 1974
170748	CLEVELAND, VILLAGE OF [HENRY CO.]	NOVEMBER 15, 1974
170044	CLINTON COUNTY *	DECEMBER 20, 1974
170193	CLINTON, CITY OF [DEWITT CO.]	MAY 24, 1974
170646	CREVE COEUR, VILLAGE OF [TAZEWELL CO.]	MARCH 01, 1974
170422	CULLOM, VILLAGE OF [LIVINGSTON CO.]	FEBRUARY 22, 1974
170292	DANFORTH, VILLAGE OF [IROQUOIS CO.] (IROQUOIS CO.)	FEBRUARY 22, 1974 SEPTEMBER 13, 1974
170751	DE SOTO, VILLAGE OF [JACKSON CO.]	APRIL 05, 1974
170647	DEER CREEK, VILLAGE OF [TAZEWELL CO.]	JUNE 28, 1974
170658	DONGOLA, VILLAGE OF [UNION CO.]	MARCH 08, 1974
170432	EAST GILLESPIE, VILLAGE (MACOUPIN CO)	JULY 19, 1974
170441	EDWARDSVILLE, CITY OF [MADISON CO.]	APRIL 05, 1974
170251	ELDRED, VILLAGE OF [GREENE CO.]	DECEMBER 17, 1973
170889	ELLISVILLE, VILLAGE OF [FULTON CO.]	MARCH 22, 1974
170628	FAYETTEVILLE, VILLAGE OF [ST. CLAIR CO.]	FEBRUARY 22, 1974
170552	FLORENCE, VILLAGE OF [PIKE CO.]	DECEMBER 17, 1973
170790	FREEBURG, VILLAGE OF [ST. CLAIR CO.]	MARCH 22, 1974
170283	GALVA, CITY OF [HENRY CO.]	JUNE 14, 1974
170049	GERMANTOWN, VILLAGE OF [CLINTON CO.]	MARCH 29, 1974
170234	GIBSON CITY, CITY OF [FORD CO.]	MARCH 29, 1974
170326	GILBERTS, VILLAGE OF [KANE CO.]	SEPTEMBER 06, 1974
170002	GOLDEN, VILLAGE OF [ADAMS CO.]	JUNE 07, 1974
170252	GREENFIELD, CITY OF [GREEN CO.]	FEBRUARY 22, 1974
170548	HAMMOND, VILLAGE OF [PIATT CO.]	JUNE 14, 1974
170327	HAMPSHIRE, VILLAGE OF [KANE CO.]	MAY 03, 1974
170267	HANCOCK COUNTY	JANUARY 24, 1975
170465	HAVANA, CITY OF [MASON CO.]	APRIL 05, 1974
170497A	HEYWORTH, VILLAGE OF [MCLEAN CO.]	JUNE 14, 1974

* UNINCORPORATED AREAS ONLY

ILLINOIS

COMMUNITY NUMBER	NAME	HAZARD AREA IDENTIFIED
170253	HILLVIEW, VILLAGE OF [GREENE CO.]	DECEMBER 06, 1974
170184	HINCKLEY, VILLAGE OF [DEKALB CO.]	MARCH 01, 1974
170307	INA, VILLAGE OF [JEFFERSON CO.]	AUGUST 16, 1974
170369	INDIAN CREEK, VILLAGE OF [LAKE CO.]	AUGUST 23, 1974
170315	JERSEYVILLE, CITY OF [JERSEY CO.]	JUNE 07, 1974
170757	JOPPA, VILLAGE OF [MASSAC CO.]	NOVEMBER 23, 1973
170457	LACON, CITY OF [MARSHALL CO.]	NOVEMBER 30, 1973
170373	LAKE BLUFF [VILLAGE OF [LAKE CO.]	FEBRUARY 01, 1974
170458	LAROSE, VILLAGE OF [MARSHALL CO.]	OCTOBER 25, 1974
170500	LEXINGTON, CITY OF [MCLEAN CO.]	JUNE 28, 1974
170118	LINCOLNWOOD, VILLAGE OF [COOK CO.]	JUNE 21, 1974
170342	LISBON, VILLAGE OF [KENDALL CO.]	NOVEMBER 01, 1974
170794	LIVINGSTON, VILLAGE OF [MADISON CO.]	MARCH 22, 1974
170763	LONDON MILLS, VILLAGE OF [LAKE CO.]	OCTOBER 18, 1974
170765A	MAEYSTOWN, VILLAGE [MONROE CO.]	DECEMBER 20, 1974 AND OCTOBER 31, 1975
170301	MAKANDA, VILLAGE OF [JACKSON CO.]	AUGUST 23, 1974
170187	MALTA, VILLAGE OF [DEKALB CO.]	JUNE 07, 1974
170549	MANSFIELD, VILLAGE [PIATT CO]	JANUARY 03, 1975
170351	MAQUON, VILLAGE OF [KNOX CO.]	NOVEMBER 15, 1974
170764	MARIETTA, VILLAGE OF [MARIETTA CO)	JANUARY 31, 1975
170650	MARQUETTE HEIGHTS, CITY OF [TAZEWELL CO.]	MARCH 08, 1974
170041	MARTINSVILLE, CITY OF [CLARK CO.]	NOVEMBER 23, 1973
170463	MASON COUNTY *	JANUARY 31, 1975
170684	MAUNIE, VILLAGE OF [WHITE CO.]	JANUARY 09, 1974
170501	MCLEAN, VILLAGE OF [MCLEAN CO.]	DECEMBER 06, 1974
170573	MCNABB, VILLAGE OF [PUTNAM CO.]	SEPTEMBER 13, 1974
170381	METTAWA, VILLAGE OF [LAKE CO.]	OCTOBER 18, 1974
170685	MILL SHOALS, VILLAGE OF [WHITE CO.]	JULY 19, 1974
170230	MONTROSE, VILLAGE OF [EFFINGHAM CO.]	AUGUST 23, 1974
170309	MASON, CITY OF [JEFFERSON CO.]	AUGUST 16, 1974
170554	NEBO, VILLAGE OF [PIKE CO.]	DECEMBER 28, 1973
170555A	NEW CANTON, TOWN OF [PIKE CO.]	AUGUST 16, 1974
170769	NEWMAN, VILLAGE OF [DOUGLAS CO.]	NOVEMBER 29, 1974
170303	NEWTON, CITY OF [JASPER CO.]	DECEMBER 17, 1973
170430	NIANTIC, VILLAGE OF [MACON CO.]	MARCH 01, 1974
170515	NOKOMIS, CITY OF [MONTGOMERY CO.]	MARCH 29, 1974
170770A	NORRIS, VILLAGE OF [FULTON CO.]	DECEMBER 06, 1974
170352	ONEIDA, CITY OF [KNOX CO.]	JUNE 07, 1974
170641	ORANGEVILLE, VILLAGE OF [STEPHENSON CO.]	AUGUST 16, 1974
170556	PEARL, VILLAGE OF [PIKE CO.]	DECEMBER 28, 1973
170797	PECATONICA, VILLAGE OF [WINNEBAGO CO.]	APRIL 05, 1974
170538	PERRY COUNTY *	DECEMBER 13, 1974
170542	PIATT COUNTY *	JANUARY 31, 1975
170798	PLEASANT PLAINS, VILLAGE OF [SANGAMON CO.]	MARCH 22, 1974
170272	PONTOOSUC, VILLAGE OF [HANCOCK CO.]	JANUARY 16, 1974
170643	RIDOTT, VILLAGE OF [STEPHENSON CO.]	DECEMBER 17, 1973
170603	RIVERTON, VILLAGE OF [SANGMON CO.]	NOVEMBER 16, 1973
170635	SAUGET, VILLAGE OF [ST. CLAIR CO.]	DECEMBER 13, 1974

* UNINCORPORATED AREAS ONLY

ILLINOIS

COMMUNITY NUMBER	NAME	HAZARD AREA IDENTIFIED
170605	SCHUYLER COUNTY	JANUARY 03, 1975
170595	SILVIS, CITY OF [ROCK ISLAND CO.]	MAY 31, 1974
170776	SMITHFIELD, VILLAGE OF [FULTON CO.]	DECEMBER 06, 1974
170892	SMITHTON, VILLAGE OF [ST. CLAIR CO.]	MARCH 29, 1974
170190	SOMONAUK, VILLAGE [DEKALB CO]	JANUARY 24, 1975
170519	SOUTH JACKSONVILLE, CITY OF [MORGAN CO.]	MARCH 29, 1974
170459	SPARLAND, VILLAGE OF [MARSHALL CO.]	NOVEMBER 23, 1973
170686	SPRINGERTON, VILLAGE OF [WHITE CO.]	DECEMBER 06, 1974
170600	STONEFORT, VILLAGE OF [SALINE CO.]	AUGUST 30, 1974
170775	ST. DAVID, VILLAGE OF [FULTON CO.]	DECEMBER 06, 1974
170801	ST. FRANCISVILLE, VILLAGE OF [LAWERENCE CO.]	MARCH 29, 1974
170636	SUMMERFIELD, VILLAGE OF [ST. CLAIR CO.]	MAY 03, 1974
170412	SUMNER, CITY OF [LAWRENCE CO.]	MARCH 01, 1974
170803	TALLULA, VILLAGE OF [MENARD CO.]	MARCH 29, 1974
170005	TAMMS, VILLAGE OF [ALEXANDER CO.]	MAY 10, 1974
170010	TIMEWELL, VILLAGE OF [BROWN CO.]	SEPTEMBER 20, 1974
170559	VALLEY CITY, VILLAGE OF [PIKE CO.]	DECEMBER 17, 1973
170781	VERMONT, VILLAGE OF [FULTON CO.]	NOVEMBER 29, 1974
170310	WALTONVILLE, VILLAGE OF [JEFFERSON CO.]	AUGUST 23, 1974
170455	WAMAC, CITY OF [CLINTON CO]	JUNE 28, 1974
170254	WHITE HALL, CITY OF [GREENE CO.]	APRIL 05, 1974
170356	YATES CITY, VILLAGE OF [KNOX CO.]	JUNE 14, 1974

TOTAL IN THE STATE 117

* UNINCORPORATED AREAS ONLY

COMMUNITY NUMBER	INDIANA NAME	HAZARD AREA IDENTIFIED	
180012	ADVANCE, TOWN OF [BOONE CO.]	SEPTEMBER 20, 1974	
180184	ALBION, TOWN OF [NOBLE CO.]	JUNE 07, 1974	
180031	ALTON, TOWN OF [CRAWFORD CO.]	JANUARY 23, 1974	
180303	AMBOY, TOWN OF [MIAMI CO.]	NOVEMBER 29, 1974	
180097	ANDREWS, TOWN OF [HUNTINGTON CO.]	JUNE 07, 1974	
180315	ARCADIA, TOWN OF [HAMILTON CO.]	FEBRUARY 01, 1974	
180233	AUSTIN, CITY OF [SCOTT CO.]	NOVEMBER 23, 1973	
180112	BARGERSVILLE, TOWN OF [JOHNSON CO.]	NOVEMBER 01, 1974	
180316	BLOOMFIELD, TOWN OF [GREENE CO.]	NOVEMBER 23, 1973	
180273	BOONVILLE, CITY OF [WARRICK CO.]	DECEMBER 28, 1973	
180087	BROWNSBURG, TOWN OF [HENDRICKS CO.]	NOVEMBER 23, 1973	
180317	BROWNSTOWN, TOWN OF [JACKSON CO.]	NOVEMBER 23, 1973	
180318	BURLINGTON, TOWN OF [CARROLL CO.]	NOVEMBER 23, 1973	
180319	CAMDEN, TOWN OF [CARROLL CO.]	NOVEMBER 23, 1973	
180258	CAYUGA, TOWN OF [VERMILLION CO.]	MAY 31, 1974	
180274A	CHANDLER, TOWN OF [WARRICK CO.]	JANUARY 09, 1974	AND
		JULY 11, 1975	
180247A	CLEAR LAKE, TOWN OF [STEUBEN CO.]	DECEMBER 06, 1974	AND
		DECEMBER 12, 1975	
180029	CLINTON COUNTY *	DECEMBER 13, 1974	
180167A	CONVERSE, TOWN OF [MIAMI CO.]	MAY 17, 1974	
180044	DEKALB COUNTY *	DECEMBER 06, 1974	
180054	DUBOIS COUNTY *	DECEMBER 13, 1974	
180106	DUPONT, TOWN OF [JEFFERSON CO.]	NOVEMBER 29, 1974	
180324	EDWARDSPORT, TOWN OF [KNOX CO.]	NOVEMBER 23, 1973	
180032A	ENGLISH, TOWN OF [CRAWFORD CO.]	APRIL 12, 1974	AND
		OCTOBER 24, 1975	
180261	FAIRVIEW PARK, TOWN OF [VERMILLION CO.]	SEPTEMBER 20, 1974	
180282	FOUNTAIN CITY, CITY OF [WAY	MAY 10, 1974	
180187	FRENCH LICK, TOWN OF [ORANGE CO.]	FEBRUARY 01, 1974	
180070	FULTON COUNTY *	DECEMBER 20, 1974	
180325	GOSPORT, TOWN OF [OWEN CO.]	NOVEMBER 23, 1973	
180080	HAMILTON COUNTY *	DECEMBER 13, 1974	
180009	HARTFORD CITY, CITY OF [BLACKFORD CO.]	NOVEMBER 23, 1973	
180327	HAZLETON, TOWN OF [GIBSON CO.]	NOVEMBER 23, 1973	
180328	HILLSBORO, TOWN OF [FOUNTAIN CO.]	FEBRUARY 01, 1974	
180249	HUDSON, TOWN OF [STEUBEN CO.]	JULY 19, 1974	
180225	INDIAN VILLAGE, TOWN OF [ST. JOSEPH CO.]	OCTOBER 18, 1974	
180108	JENNINGS COUNTY *	DECEMBER 13, 1974	
180193	JUDSON, TOWN OF [PARKE CO.]	DECEMBER 17, 1973	
180090	KNIGHTSTOWN, TOWN OF [HENRY CO.]	NOVEMBER 30, 1973	
180172	LADOGA, TOWN OF [MONTGOMERY CO.]	MAY 24, 1974	
180144	LAPORTE COUNTY *	DECEMBER 20, 1974	
180035	LEAVENWORTH, TOWN OF [CRAWFORD CO.]	NOVEMBER 30, 1973	
180091	LEWISVILLE, TOWN OF [HENRY CO.]	SEPTEMBER 20, 1974	
180278	LIVONIA, TOWN OF [WASHINGTON CO.]	OCTOBER 18, 1974	
180307	MACY, TOWN OF [MIAMI CO.]	DECEMBER 06, 1974	
180033A	MARENGO, TOWN OF [CRAWFORD CO.]	FEBRUARY 01, 1974	AND
		SEPTEMBER 19, 1975	

* UNINCORPORATED AREAS ONLY

COMMUNITY NUMBER	INDIANA NAME	HAZARD AREA IDENTIFIED	
180330	MECCA, TOWN OF [PARKE CO.]	JANUARY 23, 1974	
180098	MEDORA, TOWN OF [JACKSON CO.]	NOVEMBER 23, 1973	
180034A	MILLTOWN, TOWN OF [CRAWFORD + HARRISON COS.]	NOVEMBER 30, 1973	AND
		OCTOBER 10, 1975	
180010	MONTPELIER, TOWN OF [BLACKFORD CO.]	DECEMBER 07, 1973	
180176	MORGAN COUNTY *	DECEMBER 20, 1974	
180178	MORGANTOWN, TOWN OF [MORGAN CO.]	SEPTEMBER 20, 1974	
180336A	NEW PALESTINE, TOWN OF [HANCOCK CO.]	NOVEMBER 30, 1973	
180337	NEWBERRY, TOWN OF [GREENE CO.]	FEBRUARY 01, 1974	
180262	NEWPORT, TOWN OF [VERMILLION CO.]	MAY 31, 1974	
180072	OAKLAND CITY, CITY OF [GIBSON CO.]	MAY 10, 1974	
180206	OGDEN DUNES, TOWN OF [PORTER CO.]	MAY 31, 1974	
180250	ORLAND, TOWN OF [STEUBEN CO.]	MAY 31, 1974	
180188	ORLEANS, TOWN OF [ORANGE CO.]	MAY 31, 1974	
180229A	OSCEOLA, TOWN OF [ST. JOSEPH CO.]	DECEMBER 17, 1973	AND
		DECEMBER 05, 1975	
180189A	PAOLI, TOWN OF [ORANGE CO.]	NOVEMBER 23, 1973	AND
		OCTOBER 31, 1975	
180338	PARAGON, TOWN OF [MORGAN CO.]	FEBRUARY 01, 1974	
180192	PARKE COUNTY *	DECEMBER 20, 1974	
180340	PATOKA, TOWN [GIBSON CO.]	NOVEMBER 23, 1973	
180395	PERRYSVILLE, TOWN [VERMILLION CO.]	NOVEMBER 23, 1973	
180089A	PLAINFIELD, TOWN OF [HENDRICKS CO.]	FEBRUARY 01, 1974	AND
		SEPTEMBER 26, 1975	
180213	PUTNAM COUNTY	JANUARY 03, 1975	
180342	RILEY, TOWN OF [VIGO CO.]	FEBRUARY 01, 1974	
180221	RIPLEY COUNTY *	DECEMBER 13, 1974	
180096	ROANOKE, TOWN OF [HUNTINGTON CO.]	DECEMBER 28, 1973	
180071	ROCHESTER, CITY OF [FULTON CO.]	FEBRUARY 15, 1974	
180343A	ROSEDALE, TOWN OF [PARKE CO.]	NOVEMBER 30, 1973	AND
		AUGUST 08, 1975	
180216	RUSSELLVILLE, TOWN OF [PUTNAM CO.]	SEPTEMBER 20, 1974	
180143	SCHNEIDER, TOWN OF [LAKE CO.]	DECEMBER 17, 1973	
180404	SPENCERVILLE, TOWN OF [DEKALB CO.]	FEBRUARY 15, 1974	
180346	SPRING LAKE PARK, TOWN OF [HANCOCK CO.]	FEBRUARY 01, 1974	
180347	SPRINGPORT, TOWN OF [HENRY CO.]	FEBRUARY 01, 1974	
180348	STINESVILLE, TOWN OF [MONROE CO.]	FEBRUARY 01, 1974	
180349	SULPHUR SPRINGS, TOWN OF [HENRY CO.]	FEBRUARY 01, 1974	
180350	TENNYSON, TOWN OF [WARRICK CO.]	FEBRUARY 01, 1974	
180198A	TROY, TOWN OF [PERRY CO.]	MAY 31, 1974	
180351	UNIVERSAL, TOWN OF [VERMILLION CO.]	FEBRUARY 01, 1974	
180175	WAYNETOWN, TOWN OF [MONTGOMERY CO.]	MAY 31, 1974	
180288	WELLS COUNTY *	DECEMBER 13, 1974	
180190	WEST BADEN SPRINGS, TOWN OF [ORANGE CO.]	DECEMBER 28, 1973	
180265	WEST TERRE HAUTE, TOWN [VIGO CO]	NOVEMBER 22, 1974	
180313	WHITING, CITY [LAKE CO]	JANUARY 10, 1975	
180272	WILLIAMSPORT, TOWN OF [WARREN CO.]	DECEMBER 17, 1973	
180200	WINSLOW, TOWN OF [PIKE CO.]	DECEMBER 17, 1973	

TOTAL IN THE STATE 88

* UNINCORPORATED AREAS ONLY

COMMUNITY NUMBER	NAME	HAZARD AREA IDENTIFIED
	IOWA	
190138	ALDEN, TOWN OF [HARDIN CO.]	JUNE 21, 1974
190065	ALTA VISTA, TOWN OF [CHICKASAW CO.]	DECEMBER 20, 1974
190286	ANTHON, TOWN OF [WOODBURY CO.]	JANUARY 23, 1974
190092	ARION, TOWN OF [CRAWFORD CO.]	SEPTEMBER 06, 1974
190303A	BELMOND, CITY OF [WRIGHT CO.]	MAY 03, 1974 AND
		AUGUST 22, 1975
190266	BONAPARTE, CITY OF [VAN BUREN CO.]	JANUARY 09, 1974
190093	BUCK GROVE, TOWN OF [CRAWFORD CO.]	NOVEMBER 29, 1974
190277	CALLENDER, TOWN OF [WEBSTER CO.]	NOVEMBER 08, 1974
190269	CHILLICOTHE, TOWN OF [WAPELLO CO.]	NOVEMBER 08, 1974
190307	COLUMBUS JUNCTION, CITY OF [LOUISA CO.]	JANUARY 09, 1974
190421	DAKOTA CITY, TOWN OF [HUMBOLDT CO.]	NOVEMBER 08, 1974
190246	DEFIANCE, TOWN OF [SHELBY CO.]	DECEMBER 20, 1974
190095	DELOIT, TOWN OF [CRAWFORD CO.]	AUGUST 30, 1974
190097	DOW CITY, TOWN OF [CRAWFORD CO.]	MAY 31, 1974
190305	DOWS, TOWN OF [WRIGHT CO.]	JUNE 21, 1974
190271	ELDON, TOWN OF [WAPELLO CO.]	DECEMBER 17, 1973
190301	FERTILE, TOWN OF [WORTH CO.]	DECEMBER 27, 1974
190027	FREDERIKA, TOWN OF [BREMER CO.]	NOVEMBER 22, 1974
190279	GOWRIE, TOWN OF [WEBSTER CO.]	JUNE 28, 1974
190104	GRANGER, TOWN OF [DALLAS CO.]	MARCH 22, 1974
190159	GREEN ISLAND, TOWN OF [JACKSON CO.]	OCTOBER 25, 1974
190236	HANCOCK, TOWN OF [POTTAWATTAMIE CO.]	AUGUST 30, 1974
190280A	HARCOURT, TOWN OF [WEBSTER CO.]	DECEMBER 06, 1974
190224	HINTON, TOWN OF [PLYMOUTH CO.]	SEPTEMBER 06, 1974
190275	INDIANOLA, CITY OF [WARREN CO.]	JUNE 07, 1974
190511	IRETON, TOWN [SIOUX CO]	JANUARY 24, 1975
190023	JANESVILLE, TOWN OF [BLACK HAWK AND BREMER COS.]	DECEMBER 28, 1973
190164	KELLOGG, TOWN OF [JASPER CO.]	JUNE 21, 1974
190098	KIRON, CITY OF [CRAWFORD CO.]	NOVEMBER 08, 1974
190309	LA PORTE CITY, CITY OF [BLACK HAWK CO.]	DECEMBER 06, 1974
190006	LANSING, TOWN OF [ALLAMAKEE CO.]	JANUARY 04, 1974
190311	LETTS, TOWN OF [LOUISA CO.]	DECEMBER 27, 1974
190032	LINN GROVE, TOWN OF [BUENA VISTA CO.]	AUGUST 16, 1974
190078	LITTLEPORT, TOWN OF [CLAYTON CO.]	AUGUST 23, 1974
190165	LYNNVILLE, TOWN [JASPER CO]	JANUARY 03, 1975
190160	MAQUOKETA, CITY OF [JACKSON, CO.]	JUNE 28, 1974
190148A	MODALE, TOWN OF [HARRISON CO.]	OCTOBER 18, 1974
190161	MONMOUTH, TOWN [JACKSON CO]	JANUARY 17, 1975
190312	MORNING SUN, TOWN [LOUISA CO]	JANUARY 17, 1975
190010	MYSTIC, CITY OF [APPANOOSE CO.]	NOVEMBER 01, 1974
190007	NEW ALBIN, TOWN OF [ALLAMAKEE CO.]	MAY 17, 1974
190082	NORTH BUENAVISTA, TOWN OF [CLAYTON CO.]	OCTOBER 18, 1974
190176	OLIN, TOWN OF [JONES CO.]	AUGUST 30, 1974
190294	OTO, TOWN OF [WOODBURY CO.]	SEPTEMBER 13, 1974
190172	OXFORD, TOWN OF [JOHNSON CO.]	MAY 10, 1974
190150	PERSIA, TOWN OF [HARRISON CO.]	DECEMBER 13, 1974
190151	PISGAH, TOWN OF [HARRISON CO.]	DECEMBER 06, 1974
190061	PLYMOUTH, TOWN OF [CERRO GORDO CO.]	NOVEMBER 08, 1974
190167	REASNOR, TOWN OF [JASPER CO.]	NOVEMBER 01, 1974
190100	RICKETTS, TOWN OF [CRAWFORD CO.]	NOVEMBER 22, 1974
190132	SHEFFIELD, TOWN OF [FRANKLIN CO.]	JUNE 28, 1974
190178A	SIGOURNEY, CITY OF [KEOKUK CO.]	MARCH 15, 1974
190207	SILVER CITY, TOWN OF [MILLS CO.]	NOVEMBER 08, 1974
190056	STANWOOD, TOWN OF [CEDAR CO.]	AUGUST 09, 1974
190141	STEAMBOAT ROCK, TOWN[HARDIN CO]	JANUARY 03, 1975
190173	TIFFIN, TOWN OF [JOHNSON CO.]	NOVEMBER 22, 1974
190194	WAPELLO, CITY OF [LOUISA CO.]	JANUARY 16, 1974
190179	WHAT CHEER, CITY OF [KEOKUK CO.]	JANUARY 09, 1974
190090	WHEATLAND, TOWN OF [CLINTON CO.]	JUNE 28, 1974
190070	WOODBURN, TOWN OF [CLARKE CO.]	DECEMBER 20, 1974
190260	ZEARING, TOWN OF [STORY CO.]	JULY 19, 1974

TOTAL IN THE STATE 61

* UNINCORPORATED AREAS ONLY

COMMUNITY NUMBER	NAME	HAZARD AREA IDENTIFIED
	KANSAS	
200291	ALDEN, CITY OF [RICE CO.]	DECEMBER 27, 1974
200340	ATHOL, CITY OF [SMITH CO.]	DECEMBER 13, 1974
200287A	BELLEVILLE, CITY OF [REPUBLIC CO.]	FEBRUARY 15, 1974 AND
		OCTOBER 31, 1975
200270	BELVUE, CITY OF [POTTAWATOMIE CO.]	NOVEMBER 29, 1974
200195	BLUE MOUND, CITY [LINN CO]	JANUARY 17, 1975
200128	BLUFF CITY, CITY OF [HARPER CO.]	DECEMBER 27, 1974
200157	BURR OAK, CITY OF [JEWELL CO.]	NOVEMBER 29, 1974
200130A	BURRTON, CITY OF [HARVEY CO.]	MARCH 15, 1974 AND
		OCTOBER 24, 1975
200230A	CANEY, CITY OF [MONTGOMERY CO.]	FEBRUARY 15, 1974 AND
		OCTOBER 24, 1975
200004	COLONY, CITY OF [ANDERSON CO.]	DECEMBER 20, 1974
200139	DELIA, CITY OF [JACKSON CO.]	AUGUST 30, 1974
200079	DENTON, CITY OF [DONIPHAN CO.]	DECEMBER 27, 1974
200235	DUNLAP, CITY [MORRIS CO]	JANUARY 03, 1975
200162	EDGERTON, CITY OF [JOHNSON CO.]	MARCH 08, 1974
200236A	ELKHART, CITY OF [MORTON CO.]	MAY 24, 1974 AND
		DECEMBER 05, 1975
200271	EMMETT, CITY OF [MIAMI CO.]	DECEMBER 20, 1974
200120	EUREKA, CITY OF [GREENWOOD CO.]	APRIL 12, 1974
200028	FAIRVIEW, CITY OF [BROWN CO.]	NOVEMBER 08, 1974
200221	FONTANA, CITY OF [MIAMI CO.]	DECEMBER 20, 1974
200211A	FRANKFORT, CITY OF [MARSHALL CO.]	JANUARY 23, 1974 AND
		OCTOBER 24, 1975
200024	FULTON, CITY [BOURBON CO]	JANUARY 10, 1975
200342	GAYLORD, CITY OF [SMITH CO.]	DECEMBER 27, 1974
200263	GLADE, CITY OF [PHILLIPS CO.]	DECEMBER 27, 1974
200227	GLEN ELDER, CITY OF [MITCHELL CO.]	DECEMBER 27, 1974
200206	GOESSEL, CITY OF [MARION CO.]	NOVEMBER 22, 1974
200239	GOFF, CITY OF [NEMAHA CO.]	NOVEMBER 08, 1974
200111	GRANDVIEW PLAZA, CITY OF [GEARY CO.]	FEBRUARY 01, 1974 AND
		AUGUST 09, 1974
200006	GREELEY, CITY OF [ANDERSON CO.]	NOVEMBER 22, 1974
200064	GRIDLEY, CITY OF [COFFEY CO.]	NOVEMBER 22, 1974
200355	HADDAM, CITY OF [WASHINGTON CO.]	DECEMBER 27, 1974
200352	HARVEYVILLE, CITY OF [WABAUNSEE CO.]	NOVEMBER 08, 1974
200141A	HOLTON, CITY OF [JACKSON CO.]	FEBRUARY 22, 1974
200142	HOYT, CITY OF [JACKSON CO.]	DECEMBER 20, 1974
200228	HUNTER, CITY OF [MITCHELL CO.]	DECEMBER 13, 1974
200012	HURON, CITY OF [ATCHISON CO.]	DECEMBER 13, 1974
200118	INGALLS, CITY OF [GRAY CO.]	DECEMBER 20, 1974
200007	KINCAID, CITY OF [ANDERSON CO.]	NOVEMBER 22, 1974
200329	KISMET, CITY OF [SEWARD CO.]	NOVEMBER 22, 1974
200196	LA CYGNE, CITY OF [LINN CO.]	MARCH 15, 1974
200103	LANE, CITY OF [FRANKLIN CO.]	DECEMBER 27, 1974
200189A	LANSING, CITY OF [LEAVENWORTH CO.]	AUGUST 23, 1974 AND
		NOVEMBER 28, 1975

* UNINCORPORATED AREAS ONLY

COMMUNITY NUMBER	KANSAS NAME	HAZARD AREA IDENTIFIED
200343	LEBANON, CITY OF [SMITH CO.]	DECEMBER 20, 1974
200082	LEONA, CITY OF [DONIPHAN CO.]	DECEMBER 20, 1974
200066A	LEROY, CITY OF [COFFEY CO.]	DECEMBER 28, 1973 AND
		OCTOBER 24, 1975
200309	LIEBENTHAL, CITY [RUSH CO]	JANUARY 03, 1975
200265A	LOGAN, CITY OF [PHILLIPS CO.]	JULY 19, 1974 AND
		OCTOBER 17, 1975
200222	LOUISBURG, CITY OF [MIAMI CO.]	MARCH 01, 1974
200272	LOUISVILLE, CITY OF [POTTAWATOMIE CO.]	DECEMBER 06, 1974
200143	MAYETTA, CITY OF [JACKSON CO.]	NOVEMBER 08, 1974
200310	MCCRACKEN, CITY OF [RUSH CO.]	NOVEMBER 22, 1974
200051A	MINNEOLA, CITY OF [CLARK CO.]	FEBRUARY 08, 1974 AND
		OCTOBER 17, 1975
200055	MORGANVILLE, CITY OF [CLAY CO.]	DECEMBER 20, 1974
200032	MORRILL, CITY OF [BROWN CO.]	NOVEMBER 22, 1974
200356	MORROWVILLE, CITY OF [WASHINGTON CO.]	DECEMBER 06, 1974
200197A	MOUND CITY, CITY OF [LINN CO.]	MARCH 01, 1974
200014	MUSCOTAH, CITY OF [ATCHISON CO.]	NOVEMBER 22, 1974
200360	NEOSHO FALLS, CITY OF [WOODSON CO]	JANUARY 31, 1975
200204	NEOSHO RAPIDS, CITY [LYON CO]	JANUARY 03, 1975
200144	NETAWAKA, CITY OF [JACKSON CO.]	NOVEMBER 22, 1974
200318	NEW CAMBRIA, CITY OF [SALINE CO.]	DECEMBER 27, 1974
200067	NEW STRAWN, CITY OF [COFFEY CO.]	NOVEMBER 22, 1974
200150	NORTONVILLE, CITY OF [JEFFERSON CO.]	MARCH 01, 1974
200252A	OSAGE CITY, CITY OF [OSAGE CO]	MARCH 01, 1974 AND
		FEBRUARY 28, 1975
200311	OTIS, CITY OF [RUSH CO.]	NOVEMBER 22, 1974
200357	PALMER, CITY OF [WASHINGTON CO.]	DECEMBER 20, 1974
200021	PAWNEE ROCK, CITY [BARTON CO]	JANUARY 10, 1975
200105	POMONA, CITY OF [FRANKLIN CO.]	FEBRUARY 08, 1974
200256	PORTIS, CITY OF [OSBORNE CO.]	DECEMBER 27, 1974
200253	QUENEMO, CITY OF [OSAGE CO.]	DECEMBER 20, 1974
200296	RAYMOND, CITY OF [RICE CO.]	DECEMBER 27, 1974
200025	REDFIELD, CITY OF [BOURBON CO.]	DECEMBER 27, 1974
200288	REPUBLIC, CITY OF [REPUBLIC CO.]	DECEMBER 06, 1974
200260	ROZEL, CITY [PAWNEE CO]	JANUARY 03, 1975
200312	RUSH CENTER, CITY OF [RUSH CO.]	NOVEMBER 22, 1974
200315B	RUSSELL, CITY OF [RUSSELL CO.]	FEBRUARY 08, 1974 AND
		NOVEMBER 08, 1974
200097	SCHOENCHEN, CITY [ELLIS CO]	JANUARY 17, 1975
200083	SEVERANCE, CITY OF [DONIPHAN CO.]	DECEMBER 20, 1974
200145	SOLDIER, CITY OF [JACKSON CO.]	NOVEMBER 22, 1974
200274	ST. GEORGE, CITY [POTTAWATOMIE CO]	JANUARY 03, 1975
200213	VERMILLION, TOWN OF [MARSHALL CO.]	DECEMBER 20, 1974
200122	VIRGIL, CITY [GREENWOOD CO]	JANUARY 10, 1975
200374	WALTON, CITY [HARVEY CO]	JANUARY 24, 1975
200277	WESTMORELAND, CITY OF [POTTAWATOME CO.]	MARCH 08, 1974
200008	WESTPHALIA, CITY [ANDERSON CO]	DECEMBER 20, 1974
200086	WHITE CLOUD, CITY OF [DONIPHAN CO.]	DECEMBER 27, 1974
200146	WHITING, CITY OF [JACKSON CO.]	NOVEMBER 29, 1974

-- TOTAL IN THE STATE

86

* UNINCORPORATED AREAS ONLY

COMMUNITY NUMBER	KENTUCKY NAME	HAZARD AREA IDENTIFIED
210070	ALLEN, TOWN OF [FLOYD CO.]	JANUARY 23, 1974
210043	ARLINGTON, TOWN OF [CARLISLE CO.]	MAY 17, 1974
210044	BARDWELL, TOWN OF [CARLISLE CO.]	MAY 17, 1974
210106	BERRY, TOWN OF [HARRISON CO.]	AUGUST 16, 1974
210187	BOONEVILLE, CITY OF [OWSLEY CO.]	FEBRUARY 01, 1974
210161	BRADFORDSVILLE, CITY OF [MARION CO.]	MAY 10, 1974
210023	BREATHITT COUNTY	JANUARY 03, 1975
210201	BRODHEAD, CITY OF [ROCK CASTLE CO.]	MAY 17, 1974
210154	CALHOUN, TOWN OF [MCLEAN CO.]	FEBRUARY 01, 1974
210042	CARLISLE COUNTY *	OCTOBER 18, 1974
210050	CARTER COUNTY *	DECEMBER 13, 1974
210053	CASEY COUNTY *	DECEMBER 13, 1974
210195	CLAY CITY, CITY OF [POWELL CO.]	FEBRUARY 01, 1974
210057	CLAY COUNTY *	DECEMBER 27, 1974
210222	CLAY, CITY OF [WEBSTER CO.]	FEBRUARY 01, 1974
210111	CLINTON, TOWN OF [HICKMAN CO.]	MAY 17, 1974
210263	COAL RUN, CITY [PIKE CO]	JANUARY 10, 1975
210227	CORBIN, CITY OF [WHITLEY CO.]	JUNE 14, 1974
210143	CRAB ORCHARD, TOWN OF [LINCOLN CO.]	JUNE 14, 1974
210060	CUMBERLAND COUNTY	JANUARY 03, 1975
210167	DOVER, TOWN OF [MASON CO.]	AUGUST 02, 1974
210238	FLORENCE, TOWN OF [BOONE CO.]	FEBRUARY 01, 1974
210069	FLOYD COUNTY *	DECEMBER 13, 1974
210038	FORT THOMAS, CITY OF [CAMPBELL CO.]	JANUARY 25, 1974 AND
		OCTOBER 18, 1974
210081	GARRARD COUNTY *	OCTOBER 18, 1974
210046	GHENT, TOWN OF [CARROLL CO.]	JANUARY 16, 1974
210078	GLENCOE, CITY OF [GALLATIN CO.]	FEBRUARY 01, 1974
210094	HARDIN COUNTY *	OCTOBER 18, 1974
210303	HARDIN, CITY OF [MARSHALL CO.]	JUNE 14, 1974
210165	HARDIN, TOWN OF [MARSHALL CO.]	MAY 10, 1974
210172	HARRODSBURG, CITY OF [MERCER CO.]	MAY 10, 1974
210032	HAZEL, TOWN OF [CALLOWAY CO.]	AUGUST 09, 1974
210110	HENRY COUNTY *	OCTOBER 18, 1974
210077	HICKMAN, CITY OF [FULTON CO]	JUNE 28, 1974
210112	HOPKINS COUNTY *	OCTOBER 18, 1974
210137	HYDEN, CITY OF [LESLIE CO.]	MAY 24, 1974
210138	JENKINS, CITY OF [LETCHER CO.]	JUNE 07, 1974
210134	LAUREL COUNTY *	DECEMBER 27, 1974
210304	LEBANON JUNCTION, CITY OF [BULLITT CO.]	MARCH 15, 1974
210085	LEITCHFIELD, TOWN OF [GRAYSON CO.]	MAY 10, 1974
210141	LEWIS COUNTY *	DECEMBER 20, 1974
210166	MARTIN COUNTY *	DECEMBER 13, 1974
210071	MARTIN, TOWN OF [FLOYD CO.]	MAY 24, 1974
210233	MCHENRY, TOWN OF [OHIO CO.]	OCTOBER 25, 1974
210153	MCLEAN COUNTY *	DECEMBER 06, 1974
210014	MILLERSBURG, CITY OF [BOURBON CO.]	MAY 10, 1974
210242	MORGANTOWN, TOWN OF [BUTLER CO.]	FEBRUARY 01, 1974
210139	NEON, TOWN OF [LETCHER CO.]	MAY 24, 1974

* UNINCORPORATED AREAS ONLY

KENTUCKY

COMMUNITY NUMBER	NAME	HAZARD AREA IDENTIFIED
210186	OWEN COUNTY *	OCTOBER 18, 1974
210244	PETERSBURG, TOWN OF [BOONE CO.]	JANUARY 23, 1974
210194	POWELL COUNTY *	NOVEMBER 29, 1974
210047	PRESTONVILLE, TOWN OF [CARROLL CO.]	AUGUST 16, 1974
210089	RACELAND, TOWN OF [GREENUP CO.]	FEBRUARY 08, 1974
210319	RAVENNA, CITY OF (ESTILL CO.)	MAY 17, 1974
210245	ROCKPORT, TOWN OF [OHIO CO.]	FEBRUARY 01, 1974
210260	SADIEVILLE, CITY [SCOTT CO]	JANUARY 03, 1975
210048	SANDERS, TOWN OF [CARROLL CO.]	JANUARY 23, 1974
210209	SHELBY COUNTY *	OCTOBER 18, 1974
210028	SHEPHERDSVILLE, CITY OF [BULLITT CO.]	MAY 24, 1974
210225	SLAUGHTERS, CITY OF [WEBSTER CO.]	AUGUST 16, 1974
210079	SPARTA, CITY OF [GALLATIN CO.]	FEBRUARY 01, 1974
210261	STAMPING GROUND, CITY [SCOTT CO]	JANUARY 03, 1975
210212	TAYLOR COUNTY *	OCTOBER 18, 1974
210218	UNIONTOWN, TOWN OF [UNION CO.]	MAY 17, 1974
210192	VICCO, CITY OF [PERRY CO.]	MAY 10, 1974
210096	VINE GROVE, CITY OF [HARDIN CO.]	MAY 17, 1974
210309	VISALIA, CITY OF [KENTON CO.]	JANUARY 23, 1974
210080	WARSAW, CITY OF [GALLATIN CO.]	FEBRUARY 01, 1974
210073	WAYLAND, TOWN OF [FLOYD CO.]	AUGUST 23, 1974
210264	WEST BUECHEL, CITY [JEFFERSON CO]	JANUARY 10, 1975
210248	WHEAT CROFT, TOWN OF [WEBSTER CO.]	FEBRUARY 15, 1974
210307	WINSTON PARK, TOWN OF [KENTON CO.]	JANUARY 23, 1974
210049	WORTHVILLE, TOWN OF [CARROLL CO.]	JANUARY 23, 1974
	TOTAL IN THE STATE	73

* UNINCORPORATED AREAS ONLY

LOUISIANA

COMMUNITY NUMBER	NAME	HAZARD AREA IDENTIFIED
220114	ALBANY, VILLAGE OF [LIVINGSTON PARISH]	APRIL 12, 1974
220231	ANGIE, VILLAGE [WASHINGTON PARISH]	JANUARY 03, 1975
220065A	BASILE, TOWN [EVANGELINE PARISH]	MAY 24, 1974 AND
		OCTOBER 31, 1975
220026	BEAUREGARD PARISH	JANUARY 17, 1975
220314	BERNICE TOWN [UNION CO]	JANUARY 17, 1975
220147A	BOYCE, TOWN OF [RAPIDES PARISH]	APRIL 05, 1974
220130	CLARENCE, VILLAGE OF [NATCHITOCHES PARISH]	MARCH 01, 1974
220236A	DOYLINE, VILLAGE OF [WEBSTER PARISH]	APRIL 05, 1974 AND
		OCTOBER 17, 1975
220117	FRENCH SETTLEMENT, VILLAGE OF (LIVINGSTON PAR.)	OCTOBER 25, 1974
220333	JACKSON, TOWN [EAST FELICIANA CO.]	JANUARY 10, 1975
220255	MANSURA, TOWN [AVOYELLES PARISH]	JANUARY 10, 1975
220006A	MERMENTAU, TOWN OF [ACADIA PARISH]	NOVEMBER 23, 1973 AND
		NOVEMBER 14, 1975
220007	MORSE, TOWN [ACADIA PARISH]	NOVEMBER 23, 1973
220012A	OBERLIN, CITY OF [ALLEN PARISH]	JUNE 21, 1974 AND
		NOVEMBER 14, 1975
220203	PEARL RIVER, TOWN OF [ST. TAMMANY PARISH]	MAY 24, 1974
220030	RINGGOLD, TOWN OF [BIENVILLE PARISH]	MAY 03, 1974
220212	ROSELAND, TOWN OF [TANGIPAHOA PARISH]	OCTOBER 26, 1973
220050	SICILY ISLAND, VILLAGE OF [CATAHOULA PARISH]	DECEMBER 28, 1973
220120	SPRINGFIELD, TOWN OF [LIVINGSTON PARISH]	AUGUST 23, 1974
220137	STERLINGTON, TOWN OF [OUACHITA PARISH]	DECEMBER 17, 1973
220205	SUN, VILLAGE OF [ST. TAMMANY PARISH]	AUGUST 30, 1974
220069A	TURKEY CREEK, VILLAGE OF [EVANGELINE PARISH]	AUGUST 30, 1974 AND
		OCTOBER 31, 1975
220234	VARNADO, VILLAGE OF [WASHINGTON PARISH]	OCTOBER 25, 1974
220070	VILLE PLATTE, TOWN OF [EVANGELINE PARISH]	MAY 17, 1974
220230	WASHINGTON PARISH	JANUARY 10, 1975
	TOTAL IN THE STATE	25

* UNINCORPORATED AREAS ONLY

MAINE

COMMUNITY NUMBER	NAME	HAZARD AREA IDENTIFIED
230303	ALEXANDER, TOWN OF [WASHINGTON CO.]	DECEMBER 06, 1974
230083	ALNA, TOWN [LINCOLN CO]	JANUARY 03, 1975
230272	AMHERST, TOWN [HANCOCK CO]	JANUARY 24, 1975
230208	ARROWSIC, TOWN [SAGADAHOC CO]	JANUARY 17, 1975
230345	AVON, TOWN [FRANKLIN CO]	JANUARY 10, 1975
230144	BERWICK, TOWN OF [YORK CO.]	AUGUST 09, 1974
230214	BREMEN, TOWN OF (LINCOLN CO.)	JANUARY 31, 1975
230130	BURHAM, TOWN OF [WALDO CO.]	JULY 26, 1974
230146	BUXTON, TOWN OF [YORK CO.]	APRIL 05, 1974
230278	CRANBERRY ISLE, TOWN OF (HANCOCK CO.)	JANUARY 31, 1975
230309	CRAWFORD, TOWN [WASHINGTON CO]	JANUARY 17, 1975
230224	CUSHING, TOWN [KNOX CO]	JANUARY 03, 1975
230148	DAYTON, TOWN OF [YORK CO]	JUNE 28, 1974
230280	DEER ISLE, TOWN OF (HANCOCK CO.)	JANUARY 31, 1975
230084	DRESDEN, TOWN OF [LINCOLN CO.]	SEPTEMBER 20, 1974
230382	EDDINGTON, TOWN [PENOBSCOT CO]	JANUARY 24, 1975
230217	EDGECOMB, TOWN [LINCOLN CO]	JANUARY 03, 1975
230385	ETNA, TOWN [PENOBSCOT CO]	JANUARY 17, 1975
230237	FAYETTE, TOWN OF [KENNEBEC CO.]	NOVEMBER 29, 1974
230165	FRENCHVILLE, TOWN OF [AROOSTOOK CO.]	SEPTEMBER 20, 1974
230225	FRIENDSHIP, TOWN [KNOX CO]	JANUARY 03, 1975
230425	HERSEY, TOWN OF [AROOSTOOK CO.]	DECEMBER 20, 1974
230362	JACKMAN, TOWN [SOMERSET CO]	JANUARY 24, 1975
230108	KENDUSKEAG, TOWN OF [PENOBSCOT CO.]	NOVEMBER 01, 1974
230394	LEE, TOWN [PENOBSCOT CO]	JANUARY 31, 1975
230152	LIMINGTON, TOWN OF [YORK CO.]	MAY 31, 1974
230173	LIVERMORE, TOWN OF [ANDROSCOGGIN CO.]	NOVEMBER 08, 1974
230350	MADRID, TOWN [FRANKLIN CO]	JANUARY 10, 1975
230176	MERCER, TOWN OF (SOMERSET CO.)	JANUARY 31, 1975
230008	MINOT, TOWN OF [ANDROSCOGGIN CO.]	FEBRUARY 01, 1974
230363	MOOSE RIVER, TOWN [SOMERSET CO]	JANUARY 17, 1975
230287	MT. DESERT, TOWN [HANCOCK CO]	JANUARY 17, 1975
230202	NORTH YARMOUTH, TOWN OF (CUMBERLAND CO.)	JANUARY 31, 1975
230203	OTISFIELD, TOWN OF (CUMBERLAND CO.)	JANUARY 31, 1975
230366	PALMYRA, TOWN OF [SOMERSET CO.]	NOVEMBER 29, 1974
230154	PARSONFIELD, TOWN OF [YORK CO.]	JUNE 28, 1974
230115	PATTEN, TOWN OF [PENOBSCOT CO.]	NOVEMBER 01, 1974
230143	PEMBROKE, TOWN OF [WASHINGTON CO.]	OCTOBER 18, 1974
230290	PENOBSCOT, TOWN [HANCOCK CO]	JANUARY 24, 1975
230204	POWNAL, TOWN OF (CUMBERLAND CO.)	JANUARY 31, 1975
230368	RIPLEY, TOWN [SOMERSET CO]	JANUARY 03, 1975
230246	ROME, TOWN OF [KENNEBEC CO.]	DECEMBER 27, 1974
230011	SABATTUS, TOWN OF [ANDROSCOGGIN CO.]	MAY 31, 1974
230206	SEBAGO, TOWN [CUMBERLAND CO]	JANUARY 17, 1975
230291	SEDGWICK, TOWN [HANCOCK CO]	JANUARY 24, 1975
230198	SHAPLEIGH, TOWN [YORK CO]	JANUARY 17, 1975
230415	SHIRLEY, TOWN [PISCATAQUIS CO]	JANUARY 24, 1975

* UNINCORPORATED AREAS ONLY

MAINE

COMMUNITY NUMBER	NAME	HAZARD AREA IDENTIFIED
230370	SMITHFIELD, TOWN [SOMERSET CO.]	JANUARY 24, 1975
230292	SORRENTO, TOWN [HANCOCK CO.]	JANUARY 24, 1975
230293	SOUTHWEST HARBOR, TOWN [HANCOCK CO.]	JANUARY 17, 1975
230400	SPRINGFIELD, TOWN [PENOBSCOT CO.)	JANUARY 24, 1975
230035	STOCKHOLM, TOWN [AROOSTOOK CO.]	JANUARY 10, 1975
230186	STOW, TOWN [OXFORD CO.]	JANUARY 24, 1975
230183	ST. FRANCIS, TOWN OF [AROOSTOOK CO.]	DECEMBER 20, 1974
230229	ST. GEORGE, TOWN [KNOX CO.]	JANUARY 24, 1975
230268	THORNDIKE, TOWN [WALDO CO.]	JANUARY 10, 1975
230298	TREMONT, TOWN OF (HANCOCK CO.)	JANUARY 31, 1975
230299	TRENTON, TOWN [HANCOCK CO.]	JANUARY 24, 1975
230403	VEAZIE, TOWN (PENOBSCOT CO.)	JANUARY 03, 1975
230301	WALTHAM, TOWN [HANCOCK CO.]	JANUARY 03, 1975
230082	WASHINGTON, TOWN OF [KNOX CO.]	SEPTEMBER 06, 1974
230416	WELLINGTON, TOWN (PISCATAQUIS CO)	JANUARY 10, 1975
230158	WELLS, TOWN OF [YORK CO.]	OCTOBER 18, 1974
230327	WESLEY, TOWN OF [WASHINGTON CO.]	DECEMBER 27, 1974
230211	WEST BATH, TOWN [SAGADAHOC CO.]	JANUARY 03, 1975
230250	WEST GARDINER, TOWN OF [KENNEBEC CO.]	DECEMBER 27, 1974
230222	WESTPORT, TOWN [LINCOLN CO.]	JANUARY 03, 1975
230087	WHITEFIELD, TOWN OF [LINCOLN CO.]	JULY 26, 1974
230251	WINDSOR, TOWN [KENNEBEC CO.]	JANUARY 17, 1975
230404	WINN, TOWN [PENOBSCOT CO.]	JANUARY 24, 1975

TOTAL IN THE STATE 70

* UNINCORPORATED AREAS ONLY

MARYLAND

COMMUNITY NUMBER	NAME	HAZARD AREA IDENTIFIED
240097	BROOKVIEW, TOWN OF [DORCHESTER CO.]	DECEMBER 06, 1974
240102	DEER PARK, TOWN OF [GARRETT CO.]	NOVEMBER 08, 1974
240059	QUEEN ANNE, TOWN OF [QUEEN ANNE)S CO.]	AUGUST 09, 1974

TOTAL IN THE STATE 3

* UNINCORPORATED AREAS ONLY

MASSACHUSETTS

COMMUNITY NUMBER	NAME	HAZARD AREA IDENTIFIED
250048	ACUSHNET, TOWN OF [BRISTOL CO.]	SEPTEMBER 06, 1974
250109A	ASHFIELD, TOWN OF [FRANKLIN CO.]	JUNE 28, 1974 AND NOVEMBER 14, 1975
250134	BLANDFORD, TOWN OF [HAMPDEN CO.]	JULY 26, 1974
250135	BRIMFIELD, TOWN OF [HAMPDEN CO.]	JULY 19, 1974
250185	BURLINGTON, TOWN OF [MIDDLESEX CO.]	DECEMBER 20, 1974
250187	CARLISLE, TOWN OF [MIDDLESEX CO.]	AUGUST 16, 1974
250136	CHESTER, TOWN OF [HAMPDEN CO.]	JULY 19, 1974
250068	CHILMARK, TOWN OF [DUKES CO.]	DECEMBER 06, 1974
250300	CLINTON, TOWN OF [WORCESTER CO.]	AUGUST 09, 1974
250115	DEERFIELD, TOWN OF [FRANKLIN CO.]	SEPTEMBER 13, 1974
250191	DUNSTABLE, TOWN OF [MIDDLESEX CO.]	NOVEMBER 29, 1974
250160	EAST HAMPTON, TOWN OF [HAMPSHIRE CO.]	JUNE 21, 1974
250070	GAY HEAD, TOWN OF [DUKES CO.]	DECEMBER 06, 1974
250071	GOSNOLD, TOWN OF [DUKES CO.]	DECEMBER 20, 1974
250139	GRANVILLE, TOWN OF [HAMPDEN CO.]	AUGUST 30, 1974
250119	HAWLEY, TOWN OF [FRANKLIN CO.]	NOVEMBER 22, 1974
250311	HUBBARDSTON, TOWN OF [WORCESTER CO.]	SEPTEMBER 06, 1974
250204	MAYNARD, TOWN OF [MIDDLESEX CO.]	JULY 26, 1974
250316	MENDON, TOWN OF [WORCESTER CO.]	SEPTEMBER 06, 1974
250166	MIDDLEFIELD, TOWN OF [HAMPSHIRE CO.]	DECEMBER 20, 1974
250094	MIDDLETON, TOWN OF [ESSEX CO.]	DECEMBER 06, 1974
250244	MILLIS, TOWN OF [NORFOLK CO.]	JULY 19, 1974
250146	MONTGOMERY, TOWN OF [HAMPDEN CO.]	NOVEMBER 22, 1974
250032	NEW ASHFORD, TOWN OF [BERKSHIRE CO.]	NOVEMBER 22, 1974
250324	OAKHAM, TOWN OF [WORCESTER CO.]	AUGUST 02, 1974
250326	PAXTON, TOWN OF [WORCESTER CO.]	JULY 26, 1974
250168	PELHAM, TOWN OF [HAMPSHIRE CO.]	JUNE 28, 1974
250036	PERU, TOWN OF [BERKSHIRE CO.]	NOVEMBER 01, 1974
250169	PLAINFIELD, TOWN OF [HAMPSHIRE CO.]	NOVEMBER 01, 1974
250101	ROWLEY, TOWN OF [ESSEX CO.]	JULY 26, 1974
250043	TYRINGHAM, TOWN OF [BERKSHIRE CO.]	NOVEMBER 29, 1974
250130	WARWICK, TOWN [FRANKLIN CO]	JANUARY 24, 1975
250044	WASHINGTON, TOWN OF [BERKSHIRE CO.]	NOVEMBER 01, 1974
250131	WENDELL, TOWN [FRANKLIN CO]	JANUARY 17, 1975
250047	WINDSOR, TOWN OF [BERKSHIRE CO.]	NOVEMBER 22, 1974

TOTAL IN THE STATE 35

* UNINCORPORATED AREAS ONLY

MICHIGAN

COMMUNITY NUMBER	NAME	HAZARD AREA IDENTIFIED
260210	BANGOR, TOWNSHIP [VAN BUREN CO]	JANUARY 10, 1975
260096	BELDING, CITY [IONIA CO]	JANUARY 17, 1975
260192	BERLIN, TOWNSHIP OF [ST. CLAIR CO.]	AUGUST 23, 1974
260027	BLAINE, TOWNSHIP OF [BENZIE CO.]	SEPTEMBER 20, 1974
260073	BURTON, TWP [GENESEE CO]	AUGUST 16, 1974
260195	CLYDE, TOWNSHIP OF [ST. CLAIR CO.]	JULY 26, 1974
260314A	HASTINGS, CITY OF [BARRY CO.]	APRIL 12, 1974 AND OCTOBER 03, 1975
260137	HINTON, TOWNSHIP OF [MECOSTA CO.]	SEPTEMBER 06, 1974
260084	ITHACA, CITY OF [GRATIOT CO.]	DECEMBER 27, 1974
260014	LINCOLN, TOWNSHIP OF [ARENAC CO.]	JUNE 14, 1974
260310	MELVINDALE, CITY [WAYNE CO]	JANUARY 10, 1975
260235	NORTHVILLE, CITY OF [WAYNE CO.]	SEPTEMBER 06, 1974
260319A	PARCHMENT, CITY OF [KALAMAZOO CO.]	MAY 10, 1974
260236	PLYMOUTH, CITY OF [WAYNE CO.]	MAY 17, 1974
260240A	RIVERVIEW, CITY OF [WAYNE CO.]	MAY 03, 1974 AND FEBRUARY 28, 1975
260243	SUMPTER, TOWNSHIP OF [WAYNE CO]	JUNE 28, 1974
260049	WEESAW, TOWNSHIP OF [BERRIEN CO.]	JUNE 28, 1974

TOTAL IN THE STATE 17

* UNINCORPORATED AREAS ONLY

MINNESOTA

COMMUNITY NUMBER	NAME	HAZARD AREA IDENTIFIED
270345A	ASKOV, CITY OF [PINE CO.]	OCTOBER 25, 1974 AND AUGUST 29, 1975
270417	AURORA, CITY OF [ST. LOUIS CO.]	APRIL 05, 1974
270552	AVOCA, CITY [MURRAY CO]	JANUARY 10, 1975
270443	AVON, CITY OF [STEARNS CO.]	MARCH 29, 1974
270554	BAUDETTE, CITY OF [LAKE OF THE WOODS CO.]	DECEMBER 27, 1974
270555	BEJOU, CITY [MAHNOMEN CO]	JANUARY 03, 1975
270663	BIG LAKE, CITY [SHERBURNE CO.]	JANUARY 17, 1975
270261	BISCAY, CITY OF [MCLEOD CO.]	NOVEMBER 15, 1974
270333	BLUFFTON, CITY OF [OTTER TAIL CO.]	AUGUST 09, 1974
270293	BOWLUS, CITY OF [MORRISON CO.]	OCTOBER 25, 1974
270070	BRANCH, CITY OF [CHISAGO CO.]	AUGUST 30, 1974
270557	BROOK PARK, CITY OF [PINE CO.]	OCTOBER 25, 1974
270388	BROOKS, CITY OF [RED LAKE CO.]	AUGUST 09, 1974
270191	BROWNSVILLE, CITY OF [HOUSTON CO.]	OCTOBER 18, 1974
270262	BROWNTON, CITY OF [MCLEOD CO.]	MAY 03, 1974
270294	BUCKMAN, CITY OF [MORRISON CO.]	AUGUST 09, 1974
270521	CAMPBELL, CITY OF [WILKIN CO.]	AUGUST 02, 1974
270312A	CHANDLER, CITY OF [MURRAY CO.]	AUGUST 09, 1974 AND NOVEMBER 21, 1975
270464A	CHOKIO, CITY OF [STEVENS CO.]	MAY 03, 1974
270664A	CLEAR LAKE, CITY [SHERBURNE CO.]	JANUARY 03, 1975 AND SEPTEMBER 12, 1975
270559	CLEARBROOK, CITY OF [CLEARWATER CO.]	NOVEMBER 01, 1974
270560	CLEVELAND, CITY OF [LESUEUR CO.]	DECEMBER 27, 1974
270363	CLIMAX, CITY OF [POLK CO.]	SEPTEMBER 20, 1974
270024	CLINTON, CITY OF [BIG STONE CO.]	MAY 17, 1974
270468	CLONTARF, CITY OF [SWIFT CO.]	JULY 19, 1974
270561	COBDEN, CITY OF [BROWN CO.]	NOVEMBER 01, 1974
270562	COLOGNE, CITY OF [CARVER CO.]	NOVEMBER 01, 1974
270035	COMFREY, CITY OF [BROWN CO.]	SEPTEMBER 20, 1974
270025	CORRELL, CITY [BIG STONE CO]	JANUARY 24, 1975
270282	COSMOS, CITY OF [MEEKER CO.]	MAY 17, 1974
270314	COURTLAND, CITY OF [NICOLLET CO.]	JULY 19, 1974
270313	CURRIE, CITY OF [MURRAY CO.]	AUGUST 02, 1974
270369	CYRUS, CITY OF [POPE CO.]	AUGUST 09, 1974
270395	DANUBE, CITY OF [RENVILLE CO.]	SEPTEMBER 20, 1974
270469A	DANVERS, CITY OF [SWIFT CO.]	AUGUST 09, 1974
270334	DEER CREEK, CITY OF [OTTER TAIL CO.]	AUGUST 16, 1974
270470	DEGRAFF, CITY OF [SWIFT CO.]	AUGUST 09, 1974
270117	DELAVAN, CITY OF [FAIRBAULT CO.]	AUGUST 16, 1974
270563	DELHI, CITY OF [REDWOOD CO.]	OCTOBER 25, 1974
270346	DENHAM, CITY [PINE CO]	JANUARY 24, 1975
270225	DONALDSON, CITY [KITTSON CO]	JANUARY 24, 1975
270566A	DOVER, CITY OF [OLMSTEAD CO.]	NOVEMBER 01, 1974 AND OCTOBER 17, 1975
270059A	EAST GULL LAKE, CITY OF [CASS CO.]	DECEMBER 20, 1974 AND OCTOBER 10, 1975
270283	EDEN VALLEY, CITY OF [STEARNS CO.]	MAY 03, 1974

* UNINCORPORATED AREAS ONLY

MINNESOTA

COMMUNITY NUMBER	NAME	HAZARD AREA IDENTIFIED
270320	ELLSWORTH, CITY OF [NOBLES CO.]	MAY 03, 1974
270295	ELMDALE, CITY OF [MORRISON CO.]	AUGUST 09, 1974
270119	ELMORE, CITY OF [FAIRBAULT CO.]	MAY 14, 1974
270657	EMMONS, CITY OF [FREEBORN CO.]	DECEMBER 27, 1974
270336	ERHARD, CITY OF [OTTER TAIL CO.]	AUGUST 09, 1974
270422	EVELETH, CITY OF [ST. LOUIS CO.]	JUNE 07, 1974
270329A	EYOTA, CITY OF [OLMSTEAD CO.]	APRIL 12, 1974
270396	FAIRFAX, CITY OF [RENVILLE CO.]	MARCH 29, 1974
270276A	FAIRMONT, CITY OF [MARTIN CO.]	JUNE 07, 1974 AND DECEMBER 12, 1975
270096	FIFTY LAKES, CITY OF [CROW WING CO.]	OCTOBER 18, 1974
270366	FISHER, CITY OF [POLK CO.]	AUGUST 02, 1974
270570	FRAZEE, CITY OF [BECKER CO.]	NOVEMBER 15, 1974
270446A	FREEPORT, CITY OF [STEARNS CO.]	MAY 03, 1974 AND AUGUST 08, 1975
270136	GENEVA, CITY OF [FREEBORN CO.]	SEPTEMBER 06, 1974
270439	GIBBON, CITY OF [SIBLEY CO.]	JUNE 21, 1974
270088	GONVICK, CITY OF [CLEARWATER CO.]	AUGUST 23, 1974
270142A	GOODHUE, CITY OF [GOODHUE CO.]	MAY 24, 1974
270026A	GRACEVILLE, CITY OF [BIG STONE CO.]	MAY 17, 1974 AND NOVEMBER 14, 1975
270277	GRANADA, CITY OF [MARTIN CO.]	AUGUST 02, 1974
270447	GREENWALD, CITY OF [STEARNS CO.]	AUGUST 23, 1974
270061	HACKENSACK, CITY OF [CASS CO.]	SEPTEMBER 20, 1974
270227	HALMA, CITY OF [KITTSON CO]	JANUARY 31, 1975
270071A	HARRIS, CITY OF [CHISAGO CO.]	SEPTEMBER 20, 1974 AND OCTOBER 24, 1975
270355	HATFIELD, CITY OF [PIPESTONE CO]	JANUARY 31, 1975
270149	HENNEPIN COUNTY *	DECEMBER 13, 1974
270338A	HENNING, CITY OF [OTTER TRAIL CO.]	MAY 03, 1974 AND OCTOBER 31, 1975
270002	HILL CITY, CITY OF [AITKIN CO.]	NOVEMBER 08, 1974
270409A	HILLS, CITY OF [ROCK CO.]	APRIL 12, 1974
270578A	HOFFMAN, CITY OF [GRANT CO]	JANUARY 31, 1975
270448A	HOLDINGFORD, CITY OF [STEARNS CO.]	MAY 17, 1974
270138	HOLLANDALE, CITY OF [FREEBORN CO.]	SEPTEMBER 20, 1974
270356A	HOLLAND, CITY OF [PIPESTONE CO.]	AUGUST 30, 1974 AND DECEMBER 05, 1975
270471	HOLLOWAY, CITY OF [SWIFT CO.]	SEPTEMBER 06, 1974
270228	HUMBOLDT, CITY OF [KITTSON CO.]	AUGUST 09, 1974
270357	IHLEN, CITY OF [PIPESTONE CO.]	AUGUST 02, 1974
270235	INTERNATIONAL FALLS, CITY OF [KOOCHICHING CO.]	JUNE 07, 1974
270579	IONA, CITY OF [MURRAY CO.]	DECEMBER 20, 1974
270580	IRON JUNCTION, CITY OF [ST. LOUIS CO.]	OCTOBER 25, 1974
270098	JENKINS, CITY OF [CROW WING CO.]	AUGUST 23, 1974
270205	KEEWATIN, CITY OF [ITASCA CO.]	MAY 03, 1974
270655	KELLOGG, CITY OF [WABASHA CO]	JANUARY 31, 1975
270143	KENYON, CITY OF [GOODHUE CO.]	MAY 24, 1974
270121	KIESTER, CITY OF [FARIBAULT CO.]	MAY 10, 1974

* UNINCORPORATED AREAS ONLY

MINNESOTA

COMMUNITY NUMBER	NAME	HAZARD AREA IDENTIFIED
270206A	LA PRAIRIE, CITY OF [ITASCA CO.]	AUGUST 23, 1974 AND
		OCTOBER 17, 1975
270062	LAKE SHORE, CITY OF [CASS CO.]	SEPTEMBER 13, 1974
275241A	LILYDALE, CITY OF (DAKOTA CO.)	FEBRUARY 09, 1973
270015	LINO LAKES, CITY OF [ANOKA CO.]	DECEMBER 13, 1974
270517	MADELIA, CITY OF [WATONWAN CO.]	APRIL 12, 1974
270242	MADISON, CITY OF [LAC QUI PARLE CO.]	APRIL 12, 1974
270667	MAPLE LAKE, CITY [WRIGHT CO.]	JANUARY 10, 1975
270243	MARIETTA, CITY OF [LAC QUI PARLE CO.]	SEPTEMBER 06, 1974
270053	MAYER, CITY OF [CARVER CO.]	NOVEMBER 23, 1973
270587	MAYNARD, CITY OF [CHIPPEWA CO.]	NOVEMBER 15, 1974
270487	MAZEPPA, CITY OF [WABASHA CO.]	JULY 19, 1974
270588	MCINTOSH, CITY OF [POLK CO.]	NOVEMBER 29, 1974
270493A	MENAHGA, CITY OF [WADENA CO.]	APRIL 12, 1974 AND
		AUGUST 29, 1975
270110	MENDOTA HEIGHTS, CITY OF [DAKOTA CO.]	NOVEMBER 23, 1973
270109	MENDOTA, CITY OF [DAKOTA CO.]	FEBRUARY 08, 1974
270367	MENTOR, CITY OF [POLK CO.]	DECEMBER 20, 1974
270270	MIDDLE RIVER, CITY OF [MARSHALL CO.]	JULY 19, 1974
270111	MIESVILLE, CITY OF [DAKOTA CO.]	JULY 19, 1974
270488	MILLVILLE, CITY OF [WABASHA CO.]	AUGUST 02, 1974
* 270122	MINNESOTA LAKE, CITY OF [FARIBAULT CO.]	MAY 17, 1974
270405A	MORRISTOWN, CITY OF [RICE CO.]	MARCH 29, 1974 AND
		SEPTEMBER 12, 1975
270300	MOTLEY, CITY OF [MORRISON CO.]	AUGUST 02, 1974
270424	MOUNTAIN IRON, VILLAGE OF [ST. LOUIS CO.]	MAY 24, 1974
270473A	MURDOCK, CITY OF [SWIFT CO.]	AUGUST 23, 1974
270451	NEW MUNICH, CITY OF [STEARNS CO.]	OCTOBER 25, 1974
270497	NEW RICHLAND, CITY OF [WASECA CO.]	APRIL 12, 1974
270315	NICOLLET, CITY OF [NICOLLET CO.]	APRIL 05, 1974
270590	NIELSVILLE, CITY OF [POLK CO.]	NOVEMBER 01, 1974
270592	NORCROSS, CITY OF [GRANT CO.]	DECEMBER 13, 1974
270072	NORTH BRANCH, CITY OF [CHISAGO CO.]	MAY 10, 1974
270512	OAK PARK HEIGHTS, CITY OF [WASHINGTON CO.]	MARCH 22, 1974
270594	ODIN, CITY OF [WATOWAN CO.]	DECEMBER 13, 1974
270595	OKABENA, CITY OF [JACKSON CO.]	DECEMBER 27, 1974
270648	ORR, CITY OF [ST. LOUIS CO.]	DECEMBER 13, 1974
270658	OSSEO, CITY [HENNEPIN CO.]	JANUARY 10, 1975
270004	PALISADE, CITY OF [AITKIN CO.]	AUGUST 02, 1974
270291	PEASE, CITY OF [MILLE LACS CO.]	AUGUST 02, 1974
270126	PETERSON, CITY OF [FILMORE CO.]	AUGUST 30, 1974
270063A	PILLAGER, CITY OF [CASS CO.]	JULY 19, 1974
270596	PLATO, CITY OF [MCLEOD CO.]	NOVEMBER 01, 1974
270221	PRINSBURG, CITY OF [KANDIYOHI CO.]	AUGUST 23, 1974
270218	QUAMBA, CITY OF [KANNABEC CO.]	AUGUST 09, 1974
270374	RAMSEY COUNTY	JANUARY 03, 1975
270670	RAVENNA, CITY OF [DAKOTA CO.]	FEBRUARY 25, 1974
270223	REGAL, CITY OF (KANDIYOHI CO)	JANUARY 31, 1975
270597	RICE, CITY [BENTON CO]	JANUARY 17, 1975

* UNINCORPORATED AREAS ONLY

MINNESOTA

COMMUNITY NUMBER	NAME	HAZARD AREA IDENTIFIED
270453	RICHMOND, CITY OF [STEARNS CO.]	MARCH 29, 1974
270341	RICHVILLE, CITY OF [OTTER TAIL CO.]	OCTOBER 25, 1974
270455	ROSCOE, CITY OF [STEARNS CO.]	AUGUST 02, 1974
270599A	ROSEVILLE, CITY [RAMSEY CO]	JANUARY 24, 1975 AND
		OCTOBER 31, 1975
270073	RUSH CITY, CITY OF [CHISAGO CO.]	MAY 10, 1974
270600	RUSSELL, CITY OF [LYON CO.]	DECEMBER 13, 1974
270360A	RUTHTON, CITY OF [PIPESTONE CO.]	AUGUST 09, 1974
270350	RUTLEDGE, CITY OF [PINE CO.]	AUGUST 09, 1974
270402A	SACRED HEART, CITY OF [RENVILLE CO.]	MAY 03, 1974
270046	SCANLON, CITY OF [CARLTON CO.]	NOVEMBER 02, 1973
270602	SEAFORTH, CITY OF [REDWOOD CO.]	DECEMBER 13, 1974
270494A	SEBEKA, CITY OF [WADENA CO.]	APRIL 12, 1974 AND
		JULY 11, 1975
270662	SILVER LAKE, CITY [MCLEOD CO.]	JANUARY 24, 1975
270352	STURGEON LAKE, CITY OF [PINE CO.]	OCTOBER 25, 1974
270183	ST. BONIFACIUS, CITY OF [HENNEPIN CO.]	JUNE 07, 1974
270033	ST. CLAIR, CITY OF [BLUE EARTH CO.]	AUGUST 23, 1974
270458	ST. STEPHEN, CITY OF [STEARNS CO.]	AUGUST 23, 1974
270660A	S. INTERNATIONAL FALLS, CTY [KOOCHICHING CO.]	JANUARY 17, 1975
270604	TAOPI, CITY [MOWER CO]	JANUARY 24, 1975
270260A	TAUNTON, CITY OF [LYON CO.]	JULY 19, 1974 AND
		NOVEMBER 14, 1975
270047	THOMSON, CITY OF [CARLTON CO.]	AUGUST 02, 1974
270605	TOWER, CITY OF [ST. LOUIS CO.]	DECEMBER 13, 1974
270361	TROSKY, CITY OF [PIPESTONE CO.]	AUGUST 09, 1974
270139	TWIN LAKES, CITY OF [FREEBORN CO.]	AUGUST 02, 1974
270255	TYLER, CITY OF [LINCOLN CO.]	MAY 03, 1974
270306	UPSALA, CITY OF [MORRISON CO.]	OCTOBER 25, 1974
270607	VERNDALE, CITY OF [WADENA CO.]	OCTOBER 25, 1974
270608	VERNON CENTER, CITY [BLUE EARTH CO]	JANUARY 03, 1975
270609	VESTA, CITY [REDWOOD CO]	JANUARY 10, 1975
270498	WALDORF, CITY OF [WASECA CO.]	AUGUST 09, 1974
270147A	WANAMINGO, CITY OF [GOODHUE CO.]	MAY 10, 1974 AND
		AUGUST 29, 1975
270210	WARBA, CITY OF [ITASCA CO.]	SEPTEMBER 13, 1974
270286	WATKINS, CITY OF [MEEKER CO.]	APRIL 12, 1974
270610	WATSON, CITY OF [CHIPPEWA CO.]	DECEMBER 06, 1974
270666	WAVERLY, CITY [WRIGHT CO.]	JANUARY 17, 1975
270279	WELCOME, CITY OF [MARTIN CO.]	MAY 10, 1974
270612	WILLIAMS, CITY OF [LAKE OF THE WOODS CO.]	NOVEMBER 29, 1974
270613	WINGER, CITY OF (POLK CO)	JANUARY 31, 1975
270427	WINTON, CITY OF (ST. LOUIS CO.)	AUGUST 02, 1974
270524	WOLVERTON, CITY OF [WILKIN CO.]	AUGUST 09, 1974
270615	WOOD LAKE, CITY [YELLOW MEDICINE CO]	JANUARY 17, 1975
270048	WRIGHT, CITY OF [CARLTON CO.]	SEPTEMBER 13, 1974

TOTAL IN THE STATE 175

* UNINCORPORATED AREAS ONLY

MISSISSIPPI

COMMUNITY NUMBER	NAME	HAZARD AREA IDENTIFIED
280156	BRAXTON, VILLAGE OF [SIMPSON CO.]	JULY 19, 1974
280240	CHUNKY, TOWN OF [NEWTON CO.]	DECEMBER 20, 1974
280220	CLARKE COUNTY *	NOVEMBER 29, 1974
280017	DUNCAN, TOWN OF [BOLIVAR CO.]	JUNE 21, 1974
280188	EDEN, VILLAGE OF [YAZOO CO.]	JULY 19, 1974
280117	GATTMAN, VILLAGE OF [MONROE CO.]	JULY 19, 1974
280045A	GEORGETOWN, TOWN OF [COPIAH CO.]	AUGUST 02, 1974
280093	LAFAYETTE COUNTY *	DECEMBER 27, 1974
280149	LAKE, TOWN OF [SCOTT CO.]	JULY 19, 1974
280204	LENA, TOWN [LEAKE CO]	OCTOBER 25, 1974
280008	MCCOOL, VILLAGE OF [ATTALA CO)	JANUARY 31, 1975
280225	MONTICELLO, TOWN OF [LAWRENCE CO.]	DECEMBER 27, 1974
280219	PACHUTA, TOWN OF [CLARKE CO.]	NOVEMBER 08, 1974
280234	PONTOTOC COUNTY *	NOVEMBER 29, 1974
280147	PUCKETT, TOWN OF [RANKIN CO.]	AUGUST 23, 1974
280009	SALLIS, VILLAGE OF [ATTALA CO.]	AUGUST 09, 1974
280105	SCHLATER, TOWN OF [LEFLORE CO.]	AUGUST 23, 1974
280034	SHUBUTA, TOWN OF [CLARKE CO.]	JUNE 07, 1974
280263	TOCCOPOLA, TOWN OF (POTOTOC CO.)	DECEMBER 13, 1974
280237	UNION COUNTY *	DECEMBER 13, 1974
280098	WALNUT GROVE, TOWN OF [LEAKE CO]	JUNE 28, 1974
280238	WAYNE COUNTY *	DECEMBER 20, 1974
280025	WINSTONVILLE, TOWN OF [BOLIVAR CO.]	JULY 19, 1974

TOTAL IN THE STATE 23

* UNINCORPORATED AREAS ONLY

MISSOURI

COMMUNITY NUMBER	NAME	HAZARD AREA IDENTIFIED
290749	ADRIAN, CITY [BATES CO.]	JANUARY 24, 1975
290005	AMAZONIA, TOWN OF [ANDREW CO.]	AUGUST 16, 1974
290217	ANDERSON, CITY OF [MCDONALD CO.]	MAY 17, 1974
290229A	ANNISTON, TOWN OF [MISSISSIPPI CO.]	MAY 03, 1974
290061A	ARCHIE, TOWN OF [CASS CO.]	JUNE 07, 1974 AND
		DECEMBER 12, 1975
290461	AUGUSTA, VILLAGE OF [ST. CHARLES CO.]	DECEMBER 06, 1974
290121	AVA, CITY OF [DOUGLAS CO.]	MAY 17, 1974
290332	BEL NOR, VILLAGE OF [ST. LOUIS CO.]	APRIL 05, 1974
290421A	BELL CITY, CITY OF [STODDARD CO.]	OCTOBER 18, 1974 AND
		NOVEMBER 21, 1975
290139	BLAND, CITY OF [GASCONADE CO.]	MAY 17, 1974
290463	BOSWORTH, CITY [CARROLL CO]	JANUARY 17, 1975
290170A	BUCKNER, TOWN OF [JACKSON CO.]	DECEMBER 21, 1973 AND
		NOVEMBER 07, 1975
290119	BUNKER, TOWN OF [REYNOLDS CO.]	SEPTEMBER 06, 1974
290124	CAMPBELL, CITY OF [DUNKLIN CO.]	MARCH 29, 1974
290180	CARTERVILLE, TOWN OF [JASPER CO.]	DECEMBER 28, 1973
290311	CENTERVILLE, VILLAGE OF [REYNOLDS CO.]	NOVEMBER 22, 1974
290303	CENTER, TOWN OF [RALLS CO.]	JULY 26, 1973
290028	COLE CAMP, CITY OF (BENTON CO.)	OCTOBER 25, 1974
290196A	CONWAY, TOWN OF [LACLEDE CO.]	MAY 10, 1974 AND
		NOVEMBER 21, 1975
290160	CRAIG, TOWN OF [HOLT CO.]	DECEMBER 06, 1974
290430A	CRANE, CITY OF [STONE CO.]	JUNE 07, 1974 AND
		OCTOBER 31, 1975
290464	DALTON, VILLAGE OF [CHARITON CO.]	DECEMBER 13, 1974
290146	DARLINGTON, VILLAGE OF [GENTRY CO.]	DECEMBER 13, 1974
290055	DELTA, CITY OF [CAPE GIRARDEAU CO.]	DECEMBER 06, 1974
290453	DENVER, VILLAGE OF [WORTH CO.]	NOVEMBER 22, 1974
290465	DEWITT, CITY OF [CARROLL CO.]	SEPTEMBER 06, 1974
290182A	DUENWEG, CITY OF [JASPER CO.]	MAY 03, 1974 AND
		OCTOBER 24, 1975
290466A	ELLSINORE, CITY OF [CARTER CO.]	OCTOBER 18, 1974 AND
		NOVEMBER 15, 1975
290219	ELMER, CITY OF [MACON CO.]	DECEMBER 06, 1974
290425	ESSEX, TOWN OF [REYNOLDS CO.]	SEPTEMBER 06, 1974
290016	FARBER, CITY OF [AUDRAIN CO.]	NOVEMBER 01, 1974
290292	FARLEY, TOWN [PLATTE CO]	JANUARY 24, 1975
290066	FREEMAN, CITY OF [CASS CO.]	NOVEMBER 08, 1974
290051	FULTON, CITY OF [CALLAWAY CO.]	MAY 17, 1974
290431A	GALENA, CITY OF [STONE CO.]	AUGUST 30, 1974 AND
		OCTOBER 31, 1975
290151	GALT, CITY OF [GRUNDY CO.]	OCTOBER 18, 1974
290460	GRANDIN, CITY OF [CARTER CO.]	NOVEMBER 08, 1974
290308	HENRIETTA, CITY OF [RAY CO.]	OCTOBER 18, 1974
290581	JAMESTOWN, CITY [MONITEAU CO]	JANUARY 24, 1975
290266A	KOSHKONONG, CITY OF [OREGON CO.]	SEPTEMBER 06, 1974 AND
		DECEMBER 12, 1975

* UNINCORPORATED AREAS ONLY

COMMUNITY NUMBER	NAME	MISSOURI HAZARD AREA IDENTIFIED
290152A	LAREDO, CITY OF [GRUNDY CO.]	OCTOBER 18, 1974
290561	LEASBURG, VILLAGE OF [CRAWFORD CO]	JANUARY 31, 1975
290053A	LINN CREEK, CITY OF [CAMDEN CO.]	OCTOBER 25, 1974 AND NOVEMBER 28, 1975
290082A	LURAY, VILLAGE OF [CLARK CO.]	OCTOBER 18, 1974 AND OCTOBER 31, 1975
290031	LUTESVILLE, CITY OF [BOLLINGER CO.]	MAY 10, 1974
290402A	MALTA BEND, CITY OF [SALINE CO.]	OCTOBER 18, 1974 AND NOVEMBER 07, 1975
290032A	MARBLE HILL, CITY OF [BOLLINGER CO.]	MAY 10, 1974 AND NOVEMBER 28, 1975
290215	MARCELINE, CITY OF [LINN CO.]	MARCH 29, 1974
290200A	MARIONVILLE, CITY OF [LAWRENCE CO.]	MAY 17, 1974 AND OCTOBER 17, 1975
290368	MARLBOROUGH, VILLAGE OF [ST. LOUIS CO.]	MAY 31, 1974
290444A	MARTHASVILLE, VILLAGE OF [WARREN CO.]	SEPTEMBER 13, 1974
290369	MARY RIDGE, VILLAGE OF [ST. LOUIS CO.]	APRIL 05, 1974
290271A	META, TOWN OF [OSAGE CO.]	SEPTEMBER 13, 1974 AND NOVEMBER 28, 1975
290499	MILL SPRING, VILLAGE OF [WAYNE CO.]	DECEMBER 13, 1974
290097	MISSOURI CITY, VILLAGE OF [CLAY CO.]	AUGUST 16, 1974
290207	MONTICELLO, VILLAGE OF [LEWIS CO.]	DECEMBER 27, 1974
290314A	NAYLOR, CITY OF [RIPLEY CO.]	MARCH 01, 1974 AND NOVEMBER 21, 1975
290405	NELSON, CITY OF [SALINE CO.]	OCTOBER 18, 1974
290078	NIXA, CITY OF [CHRISTIAN CO]	JUNE 28, 1974
290218A	NOEL, TOWN OF [MCDONALD CO.]	MAY 24, 1974 AND NOVEMBER 14, 1975
290059A	NORBORNE, CITY OF [CARROLL CO.]	APRIL 05, 1974
290293A	NORTHMOOR, TOWN OF [PLATTE CO.]	JULY 19, 1974 AND NOVEMBER 14, 1975
290079A	OZARK, CITY OF [CHRISTIAN CO.]	DECEMBER 28, 1973
290224A	PALMYRA, CITY OF [MARION CO.]	MARCH 29, 1974 AND JULY 18, 1975
290428	PUXICO, TOWN OF [STODDARD CO.]	MARCH 08, 1974
290070	RAYMORE, CITY OF [CASS CO.]	DECEMBER 27, 1974
290083	REVERE, TOWN [CLARK CO]	JANUARY 10, 1975
290656	RICHLAND, CITY OF	JANUARY 31, 1975
290485	RITCHEY, TOWN [NEWTON CO]	JANUARY 10, 1975
290381A	RIVERVIEW, CITY OF [ST. LOUIS CO]	JUNE 28, 1974 AND NOVEMBER 14, 1975
290438	ROCKAWAY BEACH, TOWN[TANEY CO]	JANUARY 10, 1975
290522	SHELDON, CITY OF [VERNON CO]	JANUARY 31, 1975
290487	SHOAL CREEK DRIVE, TOWN OF [NEWTON CO.]	AUGUST 16, 1974
290177	SIBLEY, VILLAGE OF [JACKSON CO.]	AUGUST 30, 1974
290212A	SILEX, VILLAGE OF [LINCOLN CO.]	NOVEMBER 22, 1974 AND DECEMBER 05, 1975
290117	STEWARTSVILLE, CITY OF [DEKALB CO.]	DECEMBER 20, 1974
290071A	STRASBURG, CITY OF [CASS CO.]	AUGUST 16, 1974 AND NOVEMBER 14, 1975

* UNINCORPORATED AREAS ONLY

COMMUNITY NUMBER	NAME	MISSOURI HAZARD AREA IDENTIFIED
290135A	ST. CLAIR, TOWN OF [FRANKLIN CO.]	APRIL 12, 1974 AND DECEMBER 05, 1975
290507	SUMMERSVILLE, CITY OF [SHANNON & TEXAS CO]	JANUARY 31, 1975
290076	SUMNER, TOWN [CHARITON CO]	JANUARY 10, 1975
290640	TIPTON, CITY [MONITEAU CO.]	JANUARY 17, 1975
290228A	TUSCUMBIA, VILLAGE OF [MILLER CO.]	OCTOBER 25, 1974 AND NOVEMBER 21, 1975
290024	WASHBURN, TOWN [BARRY CO]	JANUARY 10, 1975
290014	WATSON, VILLAGE OF [ATCHISON CO.]	NOVEMBER 29, 1974
290634	WEAUBLEAU, CITY OF [HICKORY CO]	JANUARY 31, 1975
290483	WENTWORTH, TOWN [NEWTON CO]	JANUARY 10, 1975
290272A	WESTPHALIA, CITY OF [OSAGE CO.]	OCTOBER 18, 1974 AND NOVEMBER 21, 1975
290452	WILLIAMSVILLE, CITY OF [WAYNE CO.]	OCTOBER 18, 1974
290156	WINDSOR, CITY OF [HENRY CO.]	APRIL 05, 1974
290085A	WYACONDA, CITY OF [CLARK CO.]	OCTOBER 18, 1974 AND NOVEMBER 14, 1975
290033A	ZALMA, VILLAGE OF [BOLLINGER CO.]	OCTOBER 25, 1974 AND NOVEMBER 07, 1975

TOTAL IN THE STATE 91

* UNINCORPORATED AREAS ONLY

COMMUNITY NUMBER	NAME	MONTANA HAZARD AREA IDENTIFIED
300066	BAINVILLE, TOWN [ROOSEVELT CO]	JANUARY 03, 1975
300018A	BAKER, CITY OF [FALLON CO.]	MARCH 15, 1974 AND OCTOBER 24, 1975
300012A	BIG SANDY, TOWN OF [CHOUTEAU CO.]	MARCH 29, 1974
300091	CLYDE PARK, TOWN [PARK CO]	JANUARY 03, 1975
300020	DENTON, TOWN OF [FERGUS CO.]	DECEMBER 27, 1974
300053	DODSON, TOWN OF [PHILLIPS CO.]	DECEMBER 27, 1974
300044A	ENNIS, TOWN OF [MADISON CO.]	MARCH 15, 1974
300064	FAIRVIEW, TOWN OF [RICHLAND CO.]	AUGUST 16, 1974
300070	FORSYTH, CITY OF [ROSEBUD CO.]	MARCH 08, 1974
300013A	FORT BENTON, CITY OF [CHOUTEAU CO.]	MAY 10, 1974 AND NOVEMBER 28, 1975
300005	FROMBERG, TOWN OF [CARBON CO.]	NOVEMBER 22, 1974
300081	GLASGOW, CITY OF [VALLEY CO.]	JANUARY 09, 1974
300021	GRASS RANGE, TOWN OF [FERGUS CO.]	DECEMBER 27, 1974
300073	HOT SPRINGS, TOWN OF [SANDERS CO.]	JUNE 07, 1974
300080	HYSHAM, TOWN OF [TREASURE CO.]	DECEMBER 27, 1974
300006	JOLIET, TOWN OF [CARBON CO.]	DECEMBER 27, 1974
300025	KALISPELL, CITY OF [FLATHEAD CO.]	FEBRUARY 15, 1974
300031	LAVINA, TOWN [GOLDEN VALLEY CO]	JANUARY 24, 1975
300074	PLAINS, TOWN OF [SANDERS CO.]	MARCH 22, 1974
300069	ROSEBUD COUNTY *	AUGUST 02, 1974
300037	STANFORD, TOWN OF [JUDITH BASIN CO.]	JUNE 28, 1974
300127	SUNBURST, TOWN [TOOLE CO]	JANUARY 10, 1975
300047	WHITE SULPHUR SPRINGS, CITY OF [MEAGER CO.]	MAY 24, 1974
300052	WINNETT, TOWN OF [PETROLEUM CO.]	DECEMBER 27, 1974

TOTAL IN THE STATE 24

* UNINCORPORATED AREAS ONLY

NEBRASKA

COMMUNITY NUMBER	NAME	HAZARD AREA IDENTIFIED
310050	ANSELMO, VILLAGE OF [CUSTER CO.]	NOVEMBER 22, 1974
310006	ARTHUR, VILLAGE OF [ARTHUR CO]	JANUARY 10, 1975
310090A	BARNESTON, TOWN OF [GAGE CO.]	SEPTEMBER 06, 1974 AND NOVEMBER 21, 1975
310208	BEAVER CROSSING, VILLAGE OF [SEWARD CO.]	NOVEMBER 08, 1974
310026	BELLWOOD, VILLAGE OF [BUTLER CO.]	NOVEMBER 22, 1974
310066	BIG SPRINGS, VILLAGE OF [DEUEL CO.]	DECEMBER 20, 1974
310012A	BRISTOW, VILLAGE OF [BOYD CO]	JANUARY 03, 1975
310253	BRUNING, VILLAGE OF (THAYER CO)	JANUARY 31, 1975
310169	BURCHARD, VILLAGE OF (PAWNEE CO.)	NOVEMBER 08, 1974
310161	BURR, VILLAGE OF [OTOE CO.]	DECEMBER 06, 1974
310257	CARROLL, VILLAGE OF (WAYNE CO)	JANUARY 31, 1975
310258	CEDAR RAPIDS, VILLAGE [BOONE CO.]	JANUARY 10, 1975
310359	CLARKSON, CITY [COLFAX CO.]	JANUARY 17, 1975
310040	CLAY CENTER, CITY OF [CLAY CO.]	MARCH 22, 1974
310123A	COOK, VILLAGE OF [JOHNSON CO.]	OCTOBER 18, 1974 AND NOVEMBER 28, 1975
310041	DEWEESE, VILLAGE OF [CLAY CO.]	NOVEMBER 08, 1974
* 310102A	DONIPHAN, VILLAGE OF [HALL CO]	JANUARY 24, 1975
310162	DOUGLAS, VILLAGE OF [OTOE CO.]	NOVEMBER 29, 1974
310007	DUNNING, VILLAGE OF [BLAINE CO.]	DECEMBER 20, 1974
310060	EDDYVILLE, VILLAGE OF [DAWSON CO.]	NOVEMBER 29, 1974
310125A	ELK CREEK, VILLAGE OF [JOHNSON CO.]	NOVEMBER 01, 1974 AND NOVEMBER 21, 1975
310114	EWING, VILLAGE OF [HOLT CO.]	MAY 03, 1974
310061A	FARNAM, VILLAGE OF [DAWSON CO.]	NOVEMBER 08, 1974 AND DECEMBER 05, 1975
310152	FULLERTON, CITY OF [NANCE CO.]	JUNE 07, 1974
310213A	HAY SPRINGS, CITY OF [SHERIDAN CO9]	MARCH 22, 1974 AND NOVEMBER 07, 1975
310142	HERSHEY, VILLAGE OF [LINCOLN CO]	JANUARY 10, 1975
310380	HOWELLS, VILLAGE [COLFAX CO.]	JANUARY 17, 1975
310292	JACKSON, VILLAGE OF [DAKOTA CO.]	DECEMBER 20, 1974
310293	JUNIATA, VILLAGE [ADAMS CO.]	JANUARY 17, 1975
310097	LEWELLEN, VILLAGE OF [GARDEN CO]	JANUARY 10, 1975
310177A	LINDSAY, VILLAGE OF [PLATTE CO.]	NOVEMBER 08, 1974 AND DECEMBER 05, 1975
310028A	LINWOOD, VILLAGE OF [BUTLER CO.]	OCTOBER 25, 1974
310085	MAYWOOD, VILLAGE OF [FRONTIER CO.]	DECEMBER 20, 1974
310156	NEMAHA, VILLAGE OF [NEMAHA CO.]	AUGUST 30, 1974
310070A	NICKERSON, TOWN OF [DODGE CO.]	JANUARY 23, 1974
310159	OAK, VILLAGE OF [NUCKOLLS CO.]	NOVEMBER 15, 1974
310081	OHIOWA, VILLAGE OF [FILLMORE CO.]	NOVEMBER 08, 1974
310044	ONG, VILLAGE OF [CLAY CO.]	NOVEMBER 08, 1974
310005	ORCHARD, VILLAGE OF [ANTELOPE CO.]	NOVEMBER 15, 1974
310165	PALMYRA, VILLAGE OF [OTOE CO.]	SEPTEMBER 06, 1974
310216	PILGER, VILLAGE OF [STANTON CO.]	DECEMBER 06, 1974
310067	PONCA, CITY OF [DIXON CO.]	APRIL 12, 1974
310202	PRAGUE, VILLAGE OF [SAUNDERS CO.]	NOVEMBER 15, 1974
310138A	RAYMOND, VILLAGE OF [LANCASTER CO.]	OCTOBER 18, 1974 AND DECEMBER 12, 1975
310139	ROCA, VILLAGE OF [LANCASTER CO.]	NOVEMBER 29, 1974
310214	RUSHVILLE, CITY OF [SHERIDAN CO.]	MAY 03, 1974
310315	RUSKIN, VILLAGE OF (NUCKOLLS CO)	JANUARY 31, 1975
310185	SALEM, VILLAGE OF [RICHARDSON CO.]	NOVEMBER 08, 1974
310398	SPALDING, VILLAGE [GREELEY CO.]	JANUARY 10, 1975
310322	STAPLEHURST, VILLAGE [SEWARD CO.]	JANUARY 10, 1975
310171	STEINAUER, VILLAGE OF [PAWNEE CO.]	NOVEMBER 22, 1974
310106	STOCKHAM, VILLAGE OF [HAMILTON CO.]	DECEMBER 06, 1974
310172	TABLE ROCK, VILLAGE OF [PAWNEE CO.]	NOVEMBER 01, 1974
310079	WATERLOO, VILLAGE OF [DOUGLAS CO.]	SEPTEMBER 06, 1974
310205	WESTON, VILLAGE OF [SAUNDERS CO.]	OCTOBER 18, 1974
310049	WISNER, TOWN OF [CUMING CO.]	DECEMBER 07, 1973
310099	WOLBACH, VILLAGE OF [GREELEY CO.]	NOVEMBER 08, 1974

TOTAL IN THE STATE 57

* UNINCORPORATED AREAS ONLY

NEVADA

COMMUNITY NUMBER	NAME	HAZARD AREA IDENTIFIED
320025	LOVELOCK, CITY OF [PERSHING CO.]	DECEMBER 13, 1974
320018	NYE COUNTY *	OCTOBER 18, 1974

TOTAL IN THE STATE 2

* UNINCORPORATED AREAS ONLY

NEW HAMPSHIRE

COMMUNITY NUMBER	NAME	HAZARD AREA IDENTIFIED
330152	ACWORTH, TOWN OF [SULLIVAN CO.]	SEPTEMBER 13, 1974
330174	ALBANY, TOWN [CARROLL CO]	JANUARY 17, 1975
330104	ANDOVER, TOWN OF [MERRIMACK CO]	JUNE 28, 1974
330175	ATKINSON, TOWN [ROCKINGHAM CO]	JANUARY 03, 1975
330177	BARNSTEAD, TOWN [BELKNAP CO]	JANUARY 03, 1975
330010	BARTLETT, TOWN OF [CARROLL CO]	JUNE 28, 1974
330044	BENTON, TOWN OF [GRAFTON CO.]	DECEMBER 27, 1974
330105	BOSCAWEN, TOWN OF [MERRIMACK CO.]	MARCH 15, 1974
330047A	BRISTOL, TOWN OF [GRAFTON CO.]	JUNE 21, 1974 AND SEPTEMBER 26, 1975
330179	BROOKFIELD, TOWN [CARROLL CO]	JANUARY 03, 1975
330048	CAMPTON, TOWN OF (GRAFTON CO.)	APRIL 05, 1974
330030	CARROLL, TOWN OF [COOS CO]	JANUARY 24, 1975
330181	CHATHAM, TOWN [CARROLL CO]	JANUARY 03, 1975
330109	CHICHESTER, TOWN OF [MERRIMACK CO.]	APRIL 05, 1974
330184	CLARKSVILLE, TOWN [COOS CO]	JANUARY 03, 1975
330185	COLUMBIA, TOWN [COOS CO]	JANUARY 03, 1975
330156	CROYDON, TOWN OF [SULLIVAN CO.]	NOVEMBER 29, 1974
330199	DANVILLE, TOWN [ROCKINGHAM CO]	JANUARY 17, 1975
330201	DUMMER, TOWN [COOS CO]	JANUARY 17, 1975
330202	DUNBARTON, TOWN [MERRIMACK CO]	JANUARY 17, 1975
330204	EATON, TOWN [CARROLL CO]	JANUARY 17, 1975
330012	EFFINGHAM, TOWN (CARROL CO.)	JANUARY 17, 1975
330112	EPSOM, TOWN OF [MERRIMACK CO.]	MARCH 15, 1974
330206	ERROL, TOWN [COOS CO]	JANUARY 17, 1975
330013	FREEDOM, TOWN OF [CARROLL CO.]	AUGUST 30, 1974
330131	FREMONT, TOWN OF [ROCKINGHAM CO.]	AUGUST 09, 1974
330206	GILMANTON, TOWN (BELKNAP CO.)	JANUARY 17, 1975
330054	GRAFTON, TOWN OF [GRAFTON CO]	JANUARY 17, 1975
330158	GRANTHAM, TOWN OF (SULLIVAN CO.)	JANUARY 24, 1975
330212	HARRISVILLE, TOWN [CHESHIRE CO]	JANUARY 24, 1975
330057	HAVERHILL, TOWN OF [GRAFTON CO.]	MARCH 08, 1974
330058	HEBRON, TOWN OF [GRAFTON CO]	JANUARY 03, 1975
330114	HENNIKER, TOWN OF [MERRIMACK CO.]	MARCH 15, 1974
330092	HUDSON, TOWN OF [HILLSBOROUGH CO.]	MARCH 08, 1974
330215	JAFFREY, TOWN [CHESHIRE CO]	JANUARY 24, 1975
330216	KENSINGTON, TOWN OF (ROCKINGHAM CO.)	JANUARY 31, 1975
330217	KINGSTON, TOWN [ROCKINGHAM CO]	JANUARY 17, 1975
335277	LANCASTER, TOWN OF (COOS CO.)	APRIL 13, 1973
330060	LANDAFF, TOWN OF [GRAFTON CO.]	DECEMBER 06, 1974
330159	LANGDON, TOWN OF [SULLIVAN CO]	JANUARY 03, 1975
330160	LEMPSTER, TOWN OF (SULLIVAN CO.)	JANUARY 31, 1975
330134	LONDONDERRY, TOWN OF [ROCKINGHAM CO.]	AUGUST 09, 1974
330117	LOUDON, TOWN OF [MERRIMACK CO.]	AUGUST 02, 1974
330219	MADBURY, TOWN [STRAFFORD CO]	JANUARY 17, 1975
330220	MADISON, TOWN [CARROLL CO]	JANUARY 17, 1975
330222	MIDDLETON, TOWN OF (STRAFFORD CO.)	JANUARY 31, 1975
330035	MILAN, TOWN OF [COOS CO]	JUNE 28, 1974
330068	MONROE, TOWN OF [GRAFTON CO.]	NOVEMBER 29, 1974

* UNINCORPORATED AREAS ONLY

NEW HAMPSHIRE

COMMUNITY NUMBER	NAME	HAZARD AREA IDENTIFIED	
330224	MOUNT VERNON, TOWN [HILLSBOROUGH CO]	JANUARY 17, 1975	
330007	NEW HAMPTON, TOWN OF [BELKNAP CO.]	MARCH 08, 1974	
330099	NEW IPSWICH, TOWN OF [HULLSBOROUGH CO.]	DECEMBER 13, 1974	
330226	NEWBURY, TOWN OF [MERRIMACK CO.]	JANUARY 31, 1975	
330228	NEWFIELDS, TOWN [ROCKINGHAM CO]	JANUARY 17, 1975	
330137	NOTTINGHAM, TOWN OF [ROCKINGHAM CO]	JUNE 28, 1974	
330069	ORANGE, TOWN [GRAFTON CO]	JANUARY 10, 1975	
330186	PITTSBURG, TOWN OF [COOS CO.]	JANUARY 31, 1975	
330120	PITTSFIELD, TOWN OF [MERRIMACK CO.]	MARCH 15, 1974	
330138	PLAISTOW, TOWN OF [ROCKINGHAM CO.]	OCTOBER 18, 1974	
330187	RANDOLPH, TOWN [COOS CO]	JANUARY 03, 1975	
330188	RICHMOND, TOWN [CHESHIRE CO]	JANUARY 03, 1975	
330190	ROLLINSFORD, TOWN [STRAFFORD CO]	JANUARY 03, 1975	
330073	RUMNEY, TOWN OF [GRAFTON CO.]	MARCH 15, 1974	
330191	SANDOWN, TOWN [ROCKINGHAM CO]	JANUARY 03, 1975	
330143	SEABROOK, TOWN OF [ROCKINGHAM CO.]	AUGUST 02, 1974	
330037	SHELBURNE, TOWN OF [COOS CO.]	NOVEMBER 29, 1974	
330163	SPRINGFIELD, TOWN [SULLIVAN CO]	JANUARY 17, 1975	
330038	STARK, TOWN OF [COOS CO.]	NOVEMBER 29, 1974	
330194	STEWARTSTOWN, TOWN [COOS CO]	JANUARY 10, 1975	
330195	STODDARD, TOWN [CHESCHIRE CO]	JANUARY 17, 1975	
330164	SUNAPEE, TOWN OF [SULLIVAN CO.]	JUNE 28, 1974	
330170	SURRY, TOWN [CHESHIRE CO]	JANUARY 03, 1975	
330122	SUTTON, TOWN OF [MERRIMACK CO]	JUNE 28, 1974	
330016	TAMWORTH, TOWN OF [CARROLL CO.]	JULY 19, 1974	
330173	TROY, TOWN [CHESHIRE CO]	JANUARY 03, 1975	
330165	UNITY, TOWN OF [SULLIVAN CO.]	MAY 31, 1974	
330019	WAKEFIELD, TOWN OF [CARROLL CO.]	JULY 26, 1974	
330168	WARREN, TOWN OF [GRAFTON CO.]	SEPTEMBER 13, 1974	
330166	WASHINGTON, TOWN OF [SULLIVAN CO.]	DECEMBER 27, 1974	
330077	WATERVILLE VLY, TOWN[GRAFTON CO]	JANUARY 10, 1975	
330236	WEBSTER, TOWN [MERRIMACK CO]	JANUARY 17, 1975	
330238	WESTMORELAND, TOWN [CHESHIRE CO)	JANUARY 17, 1975	
330040	WHITEFIELD, TOWN OF [COOS CO.]	JULY 26, 1974	
330124	WILMOT, TOWN OF [MERRIMACK CO.]	AUGUST 16, 1974	
330239	WOLFEBORO, TOWN [CARROLL CO]	JANUARY 17, 1975	
	TOTAL IN THE STATE	84	

* UNINCORPORATED AREAS ONLY

NEW JERSEY

COMMUNITY NUMBER	NAME	HAZARD AREA IDENTIFIED	
340122	AUDUBON PARK, BOROUGH OF [CAMDEN CO.]	JUNE 21, 1974	
340525	BUENA VISTA, TOWNSHIP OF [ATLANTIC CO.]	DECEMBER 20, 1974	
340555	CHESTER, TOWNSHIP OF [MORRIS CO.]	DECEMBER 06, 1974	
340507	FRANKLIN, TOWNSHIP OF [HUNTERDON CO.]	JULY 26, 1974	
340202	FRANKLIN, TOWNSHIP OF [GLOUCESTER CO.]	SEPTEMBER 13, 1974	
340529	GREEN, TOWNSHIP OF [SUSSEX CO.]	NOVEMBER 01, 1974	
340221	HARRISON, TOWN OF [HUDSON CO.]	JUNE 28, 1974	
340345	KINNELON, BOROUGH OF [MORRIS CO.]	JULY 13, 1973	
340489	LIBERTY, TOWNSHIP OF [WARREN CO.]	AUGUST 09, 1974	
340137	LINDENWOLD, BOROUGH OF [CAMDEN CO.]	NOVEMBER 22, 1974	
340206A	LOGAN, TOWNSHIP OF [GLOUCESTER CO.]	SEPTEMBER 13, 1974 AND NOVEMBER 28, 1975	
340212	SOUTH HARRISON, TOWNSHIP OF [GLOUCESTER CO]	JUNE 28, 1974	
340116	SPRINGFIELD, TWP [BURLINGTON CO]	JULY 26, 1974	
340544	TAVISTOCK, BOROUGH OF [CAMDEN CO.]	NOVEMBER 29, 1974	
340537	TETERBORO, BOROUGH OF [BERGEN CO.]	NOVEMBER 01, 1974	
340332	UPPER FREEHOLD, TOWNSHIP OF [MONMOUTH CO.]	MARCH 22, 1974	
340479A	WINFIELD, TOWNSHIP OF [UNION CO.]	MARCH 08, 1974 AND OCTOBER 17, 1975	
	TOTAL IN THE STATE	17	

* UNINCORPORATED AREAS ONLY

NEW MEXICO

COMMUNITY NUMBER	NAME	HAZARD AREA IDENTIFIED	
350050A	CHAMA, VILLAGE OF [RIO ARRIBA CO.]	AUGUST 16, 1974 AND DECEMBER 12, 1975	
350007	CIMARRON, VILLAGE OF [COLFAX CO.]	MAY 17, 1974	
350037	COLUMBUS, VILLAGE OF [LUNA CO.]	DECEMBER 13, 1974	
350012	DONA ANA COUNTY	JANUARY 03, 1975	
350036	JEMEZ SPRINGS, VILLAGE OF [SANDOVAL CO.]	DECEMBER 13, 1974	
350076	MAGDALENA, VILLAGE OF [SOCORRO CO.]	AUGUST 02, 1974	
350116	QUESTA, VILLAGE [TAOS CO]	JANUARY 17, 1975	
350032	TATUM, TOWN OF [LEA CO.]	JUNE 21, 1974	
	TOTAL IN THE STATE	8	

* UNINCORPORATED AREAS ONLY

NEW YORK

COMMUNITY NUMBER	NAME	HAZARD AREA IDENTIFIED	
360324	ADAMS, TOWN OF [JEFFERSON CO.]	MAY 31, 1974	
361067	ALABAMA, TOWN OF [GENESEE CO.]	MAY 03, 1974	
361252A	ALBION, TOWN OF [ORLEANS CO.]	OCTOBER 25, 1974 AND DECEMBER 12, 1975	
360641	ALBION, VILLAGE OF [ORLEANS CO.]	MAY 24, 1974	
361361	ALLEN, TOWN OF [ALLEGHANY CO.]	JANUARY 31, 1975	
360980	ALMA, TOWN OF [ALLEGANY CO.]	DECEMBER 13, 1974	
360646	ALTMAR, VILLAGE OF [OSWEGO CO.]	NOVEMBER 15, 1974	
361260	AMBOY, TOWN OF [OSWEGO CO.]	NOVEMBER 15, 1974	
361332	AMENIA, TOWN OF [DUTCHESS CO.]	OCTOBER 18, 1974	
361094	ANDOVER, TOWN OF [ALLEGANY CO.]	DECEMBER 27, 1974	
360023	ANGELICA, VILLAGE [ALLEGANY CO]	DECEMBER 06, 1974	
361560	ANTWERP, TOWN OF [JEFFERSON CO.]	JANUARY 31, 1975	
361105	ARKWRIGHT, TOWN OF [CHAUTAUQUA CO.]	OCTOBER 18, 1974	
361385	AUSTERLITZ, TOWN OF [COLUMBIA CO.]	DECEMBER 27, 1974	
360518	AVA, TOWN OF [ONEIDA CO.]	JUNE 28, 1974	
361085	BAINBRIDGE, TOWN [CHENANGO CO]	JANUARY 10, 1975	
361054	BALDWIN, TOWN OF [CHEMUNG CO.]	MAY 31, 1974	
360166	BEEKMANTOWN, TOWN OF [CLINTON CO.]	AUGUST 30, 1974	
361333	BEEKMAN, TOWN OF [DUTCHESS CO.]	OCTOBER 18, 1974	
361096	BELFAST, TOWN OF [ALLEGANY CO.]	OCTOBER 18, 1974	
361532	BELLE TERRE, VILLAGE OF [SUFFOLK CO.]	DECEMBER 27, 1974	
361392	BELLMONT, TOWN [FRANKLIN CO]	JANUARY 17, 1975	
361137	BERGEN, TOWN OF [GENESEE CO.]	NOVEMBER 22, 1974	
361362	BIRDSALL, TOWN [ALLEGANY CO]	JANUARY 03, 1975	
361309	BLACK BROOK, TOWN [CLINTON CO]	JANUARY 10, 1975	
361525	BLACK RIVER, VILLAGE [JEFFERSON CO.]	JANUARY 03, 1975	
361127A	BLEECKER, TOWN OF [FULTON CO.]	NOVEMBER 22, 1974 AND NOVEMBER 14, 1975	
361490	BLOOMINGDALE, VILLAGE OF [ESSEX CO.]	NOVEMBER 15, 1974	
361415	BOYLSTON, TOWN [OSWEGO CO]	JANUARY 03, 1975	
361207	BRADFORD, TOWN OF [STEUBEN CO.]	DECEMBER 13, 1974	
361393	BRANDON, TOWN [FRANKLIN CO]	JANUARY 17, 1975	
361171	BRASHER, TOWN [ST. LAWRENCE CO]	JANUARY 03, 1975	
360521	BRIDGEWATER, TOWN OF [ONEIDA CO.]	SEPTEMBER 06, 1974	
360522	BRIDGEWATER, VILLAGE OF [ONEIDA CO.]	MAY 17, 1974	
361128	BROADALBIN, TOWN OF [FULTON CO.]	SEPTEMBER 20, 1974	
361063A	BROWNVILLE, TOWN OF [JEFFERSON CO.]	DECEMBER 06, 1974	
361480	BRUSHTON, VILLAGE OF [FRANKLIN CO.]	NOVEMBER 15, 1974	
361479	BURKE, VILLAGE [FRANKLIN CO]	JANUARY 03, 1975	
361416	BURLINGTON, TOWN OF [OTSEGO CO.]	NOVEMBER 22, 1974	
361098	BURNS, TOWN OF [ALLEGANY CO.]	NOVEMBER 08, 1974	
361445	BUTLER, TOWN OF [WAYNE CO.]	NOVEMBER 29, 1974	
361247	BUTTERNUTS, TOWN OF [OTSEGO CO.]	DECEMBER 13, 1974	
361223	CAMBRIDGE, TOWN OF [WASHINGTON CO.]	OCTOBER 25, 1974	
361208	CAMERON, TOWN [STEUBEN CO]	JANUARY 10, 1975	
361313	CANAAN, TOWN OF [COLUMBIA CO.]	NOVEMBER 01, 1974	
361297	CANADICE, TOWN OF [ONTARIO CO.]	NOVEMBER 08, 1974	

* UNINCORPORATED AREAS ONLY

COMMUNITY NUMBER	NEW YORK NAME	HAZARD AREA IDENTIFIED
360393	CANASTOTA, VILLAGE OF [MADISON CO.]	MARCH 29, 1974
360833	CANDOR, TOWN OF [TIOGA CO.]	AUGUST 02, 1974
361129	CAROGA, TOWN OF [FULTON CO.]	NOVEMBER 08, 1974
360063	CARROLLTON, TOWN OF (CATTARAUGUS CO.)	SEPTEMBER 20, 1974
360994	CARROLL, TOWN OF [CHAUTAUQUA CO.]	SEPTEMBER 20, 1974
361243	CASTILE, TOWN OF [WYOMING CO.]	DECEMBER 27, 1974
360359	CASTORLAND, VILLAGE OF [LEWIS CO.]	AUGUST 09, 1974
361203	CAYUTA, TOWN OF [SCHUYLER CO.]	NOVEMBER 15, 1974
360328B	CHAMPION, TOWN OF (JEFFERSON COU)	MAY 31, 1974 AND
		FEBRUARY 07, 1975
360444	CHARLESTON, TOWN OF [MONTGOMERY CO.]	JULY 26, 1974
361071	CHAUTAUQUA, TOWN [CHAUTAUQUA CO]	JANUARY 24, 1975
360136	CHERRY CREEK, VILLAGE OF (CHAUTAUQUA CO.)	MAY 10, 1974
360264	CHESTERFIELD, TOWN OF [ESSEX CO.]	SEPTEMBER 06, 1974
360524	CLAYVILLE, VILLAGE OF [ONEIDA CO.]	MAY 24, 1974
360998	CLEVELAND, VILLAGE OF [OSWEGO CO.]	MAY 31, 1974
361173	CLIFTON, TOWN OF [ST. LAWRENCE CO.]	NOVEMBER 29, 1974
361380	CLINTON, TOWN [CLINTON CO]	JANUARY 24, 1975
361334	CLINTON, TOWN OF [DUTCHESS CO.]	DECEMBER 20, 1974
360890	CLYDE, VILLAGE OF [WAYNE CO.]	MAY 31, 1974
361369	CLYMER, TOWN [CHAUTAUQUA CO]	JANUARY 17, 1975
361573A	COBLESKILL, TOWN OF (SCHOHARIE CO.)	DECEMBER 20, 1974
360743A	COBLESKILL, VILLAGE OF (SCHOHARIE CO.)	JUNE 07, 1974 AND
		SEPTEMBER 12, 1975
360064	COLD SPRING, TOWN OF (CATTARAUGUS CO.)	JUNE 21, 1974
360299	COLUMBIA, TOWN OF [HERKIMER CO.]	MARCH 29, 1974
361432	CONESVILLE, TOWN OF [SCHOHARIE CO.]	DECEMBER 13, 1974
360065	CONEWANGO, TOWN OF [CATTARAUGUS CO.]	JUNE 28, 1974
360108A	CONQUEST, TOWN OF [CAYUGA CO.]	AUGUST 16, 1974 AND
		SEPTEMBER 12, 1975
360360	CONSTABLEVILLE, VILLAGE OF [LEWIS CO.]	AUGUST 09, 1974
361395	CONSTABLE, TOWN [FRANKLIN CO]	JANUARY 03, 1975
361375	COVENTRY, TOWN [CHENANGO CO]	JANUARY 17, 1975
361068	CROGHAN, VILLAGE OF [LEWIS CO.]	MAY 31, 1974
361148	CROWN POINT, TOWN OF [ESSEX CO.]	NOVEMBER 08, 1974
361099	CUBA, TOWN [ALLEGANY CO]	JANUARY 24, 1975
361487	DANNEMORA, VILLAGE [CLINTON CO]	JANUARY 03, 1975
361209	DANSVILLE, TOWN [STEUBEN CO]	JANUARY 24, 1975
360300	DANUBE, TOWN OF [HERKIMER CO.]	APRIL 05, 1974
361188	DAY, TOWN OF [SARATOGA CO.]	NOVEMBER 15, 1974
361417	DECATUR, TOWN [OTSEGO CO]	JANUARY 17, 1975
361174	DEKALB, TOWN [ST. LAWRENCE CO]	JANUARY 10, 1975
361368	DELEVAN, VILLAGE [CATTARAUGUS CO]	JANUARY 03, 1975
361524	DERING HARBOR, VILLAGE OF [SUFFOLK CO.]	DECEMBER 20, 1974
360364	DIANA, TOWN OF [LEWIS CO.]	SEPTEMBER 13, 1974
361122	DICKINSON, TOWN OF [FRANKLIN CO.]	NOVEMBER 08, 1974
360908	DOBBS FERRY, VILLAGE OF [WESTCHESTER CO.]	MAY 17, 1974
361335	DOVER, TOWN OF [DUTCHESS CO.]	DECEMBER 06, 1974
361396	DUANE, TOWN [FRANKLIN CO]	JANUARY 17, 1975

* UNINCORPORATED AREAS ONLY

COMMUNITY NUMBER	NEW YORK NAME	HAZARD AREA IDENTIFIED
360943	EAGLE, TOWN OF [WYOMING CO.]	SEPTEMBER 13, 1974
361224	EASTON, TOWN OF [WASHINGTON CO.]	DECEMBER 20, 1974
361189	EDINBURG, TOWN OF [SARATOGA CO.]	NOVEMBER 01, 1974
361176	EDWARDS, TOWN [ST. LAWRENCE CO]	JANUARY 24, 1975
361463	EDWARDS, VILLAGE OF [ST. LAWRENCE CO.]	NOVEMBER 15, 1974
361499	ELBA, VILLAGE [GENESEE CO]	JANUARY 24, 1975
361491	ELIZABETHTOWN, VILLAGE [ESSEX CO]	JANUARY 24, 1975
361073	ELLICOTT, TOWN OF [CHAUTAUQUA CO.]	DECEMBER 06, 1974
361074	ELLINGTON, TOWN OF [CHAUTAUQUA CO.]	OCTOBER 25, 1974
361399	EPHRATAH, TOWN OF (FULTON CO.)	JANUARY 31, 1975
361374	ERIN, TOWN [CHEMUNG CO]	JANUARY 10, 1975
361542	ESPERANCE, VILLAGE [SCHOHARIE CO]	JANUARY 24, 1975
361149	ESSEX, TOWN OF [ESSEX CO.]	DECEMBER 20, 1974
361418	EXETER, TOWN [OTSEGO CO]	JANUARY 10, 1975
360302	FAIRFIELD, TOWN OF [HERKIMER CO.]	MARCH 29, 1974
360071	FARMERSVILLE, TOWN OF [CATTARAUGUS CO.]	JUNE 28, 1974
360399	FENNER, TOWN OF [MADISON CO.]	SEPTEMBER 13, 1974
360030A	FILLMORE, VILLAGE OF [ALLEGANY CO.]	FEBRUARY 01, 1974 AND
		DECEMBER 12, 1975
360527	FLORENCE, TOWN OF [ONEIDA CO.]	SEPTEMBER 13, 1974
360529	FOREST PORT, TOWN OF [ONEIDA CO.]	JUNE 28, 1974
361231	FORT ANN, TOWN OF [WASHINGTON CO.]	DECEMBER 06, 1974
360698A	FOWLER, TOWN OF [ST. LAWRENCE CO.]	NOVEMBER 01, 1974 AND
		OCTOBER 17, 1975
360073A	FRANKLINVILLE, VILLAGE OF (CATTARAUGUS CO.)	MAY 31, 1974
361325	FREETOWN, TOWN [CORTLAND CO]	JANUARY 17, 1975
361370	FRENCH CREEK, TOWN [CHAUTAUQUA CO]	JANUARY 17, 1975
361195	FULTON, TOWN OF [SCHOHARIE CO.]	NOVEMBER 08, 1974
361225	GALEN, TOWN OF [WAYNE CO.]	DECEMBER 06, 1974
361561	GALWAY, VILLAGE OF (SARATOGA CO.)	JANUARY 31, 1975
361101	GENESEE, TOWN OF [ALLEGANY CO.]	DECEMBER 13, 1974
361452A	GENESEO, VILLAGE OF [LIVINGSTON CO.]	NOVEMBER 15, 1974 AND
		NOVEMBER 14, 1975
360111	GENOA, TOWN OF [CAYUGA CO.]	JUNE 28, 1974
361109	GERRY, TOWN OF [CHAUTAUQUA CO.]	NOVEMBER 08, 1974
361455	GILBERTSVILLE, VILLAGE [OTSEGO CO]	OCTOBER 25, 1974
361433	GILBOA, TOWN OF [SCHAHARIE CO.]	DECEMBER 06, 1974
360336	GLEN PARK, VILLAGE OF [JEFFERSON CO.]	MARCH 29, 1974
361295	GLEN, TOWN [MONTGOMERY CO]	JANUARY 17, 1975
361178	GOUVERNEUR, TOWN [ST. LAWRENCE CO]	JANUARY 24, 1975
361363	GRANGER, TOWN [ALLEGANY CO]	JANUARY 03, 1975
361087	GREENE, TOWN OF [CHENANGO CO.]	DECEMBER 27, 1974
361210	GREENWOOD, TOWN [STEUBEN CO]	JANUARY 03, 1975
360365	GREIG, TOWN OF [LEWIS CO.]	JUNE 28, 1974
361005	GROVE, TOWN OF [ALLEGANY CO]	JUNE 28, 1974
361442	HAMPTON, TOWN OF (WASHINGTON CO.)	JANUARY 03, 1975
361124	HARRIETSTOWN, TOWN [FRANKLIN CO]	JANUARY 10, 1975
361451	HARRISVILLE, VILLAGE OF [LEWIS CO.]	NOVEMBER 15, 1974
361234	HARTFORD, TOWN OF [WASHINGTON CO.]	DECEMBER 27, 1974

* UNINCORPORATED AREAS ONLY

COMMUNITY NUMBER	NEW YORK NAME	HAZARD AREA IDENTIFIED
361271	HARTWICK, TOWN OF [OTSEGO CO.]	OCTOBER 25, 1974
361513	HEAD OF THE HARBOR, VILLAGE OF [SUFFOLK CO.]	NOVEMBER 15, 1974
361443	HEBRON, TOWN [WASHINGTON CO]	JANUARY 24, 1975
361204A	HECTOR, TOWN OF [SCHUYLER CO.]	DECEMBER 06, 1974
361464	HERMON, VILLAGE [SAINT LAWERENCE CO]	JANUARY 10, 1975
360367	HIGH MARKET, TOWN OF [LEWIS CO.]	SEPTEMBER 13, 1974
361179	HOPKINTON, TOWN OF [ST. LAWRENCE CO.]	NOVEMBER 15, 1974
361434	HOWARD, TOWN OF [STEUBEN CO.]	DECEMBER 27, 1974
360078	HUMPHREY, TOWN OF [CATTARAUGUS CO.]	AUGUST 30, 1974
360293	HUNTER, VILLAGE OF [GREENE CO.]	AUGUST 16, 1974
361338	HYDE PARK, TOWN OF [DUTCHESS CO.]	DECEMBER 20, 1974
361008	INDEPENDENCE, TOWN OF [ALLEGANY CO.]	SEPTEMBER 06, 1974
361404	INLET, TOWN [HAMILTON CO]	JANUARY 24, 1975
360112	IRA, TOWN OF [CAYUGA CO.]	JULY 26, 1974
360079	ISCHUA, TOWN OF (CATTARAUGUS CO.)	MAY 31, 1974
360958	ITALY, TOWN OF [YATES CO.]	JUNE 28, 1974
361212	JASPER, TOWN OF [STEUBEN CO.]	NOVEMBER 01, 1974
361244	JAVA, TOWN OF [WYOMING CO.]	OCTOBER 25, 1974
360265	JAY, TOWN OF [ESSEX CO.]	NOVEMBER 08, 1974
361151	KEENE, TOWN OF [ESSEX CO.]	NOVEMBER 01, 1974
360266	KEESEVILLE, VILLAGE OF [ESSEX CO.]	MAY 31, 1974
361350	LACONA, VILLAGE OF [OSWEGO CO.]	NOVEMBER 22, 1974
361405	LAKE PLEASANT, TOWN [HAMILTON CO]	JANUARY 24, 1975
361419	LAURENS, TOWN [OTSEGO CO]	JANUARY 03, 1975
361351	LAURENS, VILLAGE OF [OTSEGO CO.]	NOVEMBER 15, 1974
361285	LEICESTER, TOWN OF [LIVINGSTON CO.]	OCTOBER 18, 1974
361456	LEICESTER, VILLAGE [LIVINGSTON CO]	JANUARY 10, 1975
360080	LEON, TOWN OF [CATTARAUGUS CO.]	MAY 31, 1974
360502	LEWISTON, VILLAGE OF [NIAGARA CO.]	FEBRUARY 15, 1974
361152	LEWIS, TOWN OF [ESSEX CO.]	NOVEMBER 29, 1974
360368	LEWIS, TOWN OF [LEWIS CO.]	JUNE 28, 1974
361457	LIMA, VILLAGE [LIVINGSTON CO]	JANUARY 10, 1975
361376	LINCKLAEN, TOWN OF [CHENANGO CO.]	DECEMBER 06, 1974
360309	LITCHFIELD, TOWN OF [HERKIMER CO.]	MARCH 15, 1974
360175	LIVINGSTON, TOWN OF [COLUMBIA CO.]	MAY 24, 1974
361458	LIVONIA, VILLAGE [LIVINGSTON CO]	JANUARY 10, 1975
360753	LODI, TOWN OF [SENECA CO.]	JULY 26, 1974
360342A	LORRAIN, TOWN OF (JEFFERSON CO.)	MAY 10, 1974 AND OCTOBER 17, 1975
361459	LYNDONVILLE, VILLAGE OF [ORLEANS CO.]	DECEMBER 20, 1974
360083	LYNDON, TOWN OF [CATTARAUGUS CO.]	AUGUST 09, 1974
360371	LYONSDALE, TOWN OF [LEWIS CO.]	AUGUST 16, 1974
360084	MACHIAS, TOWN OF [CATTARAUGUS CO.]	OCTOBER 18, 1974
361292	MADISON, TOWN OF [MADISON CO.]	DECEMBER 20, 1974
361181	MADRID, TOWN OF [ST. LAWRENCE CO.]	NOVEMBER 01, 1974
360085	MANSFIELD, TOWN OF [CATTARAUGUS CO.]	MAY 31, 1974
361327	MARATHON, TOWN OF [CORTLAND CO.]	NOVEMBER 29, 1974
361446	MARION, TOWN [WASHINGTON CO]	JANUARY 17, 1975
361272	MARYLAND, TOWN OF [OTSEGO CO.]	OCTOBER 18, 1974

* UNINCORPORATED AREAS ONLY

COMMUNITY NUMBER	NEW YORK NAME	HAZARD AREA IDENTIFIED
361132	MAYFIELD, TOWN [FULTON CO]	JANUARY 17, 1975
361483	MAYFIELD, VILLAGE OF [FULTON CO.]	NOVEMBER 15, 1974
361377	MCDONOUGH, TOWN OF (CHENANGO CO.)	JANUARY 31, 1975
360207	MEREDITH, TOWN OF [DELAWARE CO.]	JUNE 28, 1974
360654	MEXICO, TOWN OF [OSWEGO CO.]	NOVEMBER 15, 1974
360744A	MIDDLEBURG, TOWN OF [SCHOHARIE CO.]	AUGUST 30, 1974
360209	MIDDLETOWN, TOWN OF [DELAWARE CO.]	SEPTEMBER 06, 1974
361274	MILFORD, TOWN OF [OTSEGO CO.]	DECEMBER 13, 1974
361352	MILFORD, VILLAGE [OTSEGO CO]	JANUARY 10, 1975
361371	MINA, TOWN [CHAUTAUQUA CO]	JANUARY 03, 1975
361153	MINERVA, TOWN OF [ESSEX CO.]	DECEMBER 20, 1974
361125	MOIRA, TOWN OF [FRANKLIN CO.]	NOVEMBER 15, 1974
361488	MOOERS, VILLAGE [CLINTON CO]	JANUARY 03, 1975
360117	MORAVIA, TOWN OF [CAYUGA CO.]	JUNE 14, 1974
361389	MORIAH, TOWN OF [ESSEX CO.]	NOVEMBER 22, 1974
360706	MORRISTOWN, TOWN OF [ST. LAWRENCE CO.]	SEPTEMBER 06, 1974
360406	MORRISVILLE, VILLAGE OF [MADISON CO.]	MARCH 08, 1974
361273	MORRIS, TOWN OF [OTSEGO CO.]	NOVEMBER 15, 1974
361353	MORRIS, VILLAGE OF [OTSEGO CO.]	NOVEMBER 15, 1974
360407	MUNNSVILLE, VILLAGE OF [MADISON CO.]	AUGUST 30, 1974
360086	NAPOLI, TOWN OF (CATTARAUGUS CO.)	JUNE 14, 1974
361155	NASSAU, TOWN OF [RENSSELAER CO.]	NOVEMBER 22, 1974
360675	NASSAU, VILLAGE OF [RENSSELAER CO.]	MARCH 22, 1974
360453	NELLISTON, VILLAGE OF [MONTGOMERY CO.]	FEBRUARY 15, 1974
361293	NELSON, TOWN OF [MADISON CO.]	OCTOBER 25, 1974
360373	NEW BREMEN, TOWN OF [LEWIS CO.]	NOVEMBER 01, 1974
361420	NEW LISBON, TOWN OF (OTSEGO CO.)	JANUARY 31, 1975
361544A	NEW PALTZ, VILLAGE [ULSTER CO]	JANUARY 24, 1975
360836	NEWARK VALLEY, VIL [TIOGA CO]	JUNE 07, 1974
361390	NEWCOMB, TOWN [ESSEX CO]	JANUARY 24, 1975
361111	NEWPORT, TOWN OF [HERKIMER CO.)	NOVEMBER 15, 1974
360838	NICHOLS, VILLAGE OF [TIOGA CO.]	JUNE 07, 1974
361240	NORTH SALEM, TOWN OF (WESTCHESTER CO.)	JANUARY 31, 1975
361400	NORTHAMPTON, TOWN OF (FULTON CO.)	JANUARY 31, 1975
361484	NORTHVILLE, VILLAGE [FULTON CO]	JANUARY 10, 1975
361500	OAKFIELD, VILLAGE OF [GENESEE CO.]	NOVEMBER 15, 1974
361408	OHIO, TOWN [HERKIMER CO]	JANUARY 03, 1975
361134	OPPENHEIM, TOWN OF [FULTON CO.]	NOVEMBER 08, 1974
361354	ORISKANY FALLS, VILLAGE OF [ONEIDA CO.]	NOVEMBER 22, 1974
361262	ORWELL, TOWN OF [OSWEGO CO.]	OCTOBER 25, 1974
360657A	OSWEGO, TOWN OF [OSWEGO CO.]	MAY 10, 1974 AND SEPTEMBER 12, 1975
360589	OTISCO, TOWN OF [ONONDAGA CO.]	MAY 31, 1974
361276	OTSEGO, TOWN OF [OTSEGO CO.]	DECEMBER 20, 1974
361090	OTSELIC, TOWN OF [CHENANGO CO.]	DECEMBER 20, 1974
360090A	OTTO, TOWN OF [CATTARAUGUS CO.]	MAY 31, 1974 AND SEPTEMBER 19, 1975
360754A	OVID, TOWN OF [SENECA CO.]	JULY 26, 1974 AND SEPTEMBER 12, 1975

* UNINCORPORATED AREAS ONLY

NEW YORK

COMMUNITY NUMBER	NAME	HAZARD AREA IDENTIFIED
360120	OWASCO, TOWN OF [CAYUGA CO.]	MAY 31, 1974
361413	PALATINE, TOWN OF [MONTGOMERY CO.]	NOVEMBER 29, 1974
360346A	PAMELIA, TOWN OF [JEFFERSON CO.]	OCTOBER 18, 1974
361425	PARISHVILLE, TOWN [ST. LAWERENCE CO]	JANUARY 17, 1975
361357	PARISH, TOWN OF [OSWEGO CO.]	DECEMBER 27, 1974
361341	PAWLING, TOWN OF [DUTCHESS CO.]	OCTOBER 25, 1974
360091	PERRYSBURG, TOWN OF [CATTARAUGUS CO.]	MAY 17, 1974
360946	PERRY, TOWN OF [WYOMING CO.]	JUNE 28, 1974
361135	PERTH, TOWN OF [FULTON CO.]	OCTOBER 18, 1974
361091	PHARSALIA, TOWN OF [CHENANGO CO.]	OCTOBER 18, 1974
361426	PIERCEFIELD, TOWN OF (ST. LAWRENCE CO.)	JANUARY 31, 1975
361427	PIERREPONT, TOWN [ST. LAWERENCE CO]	JANUARY 17, 1975
360947	PIKE, TOWN OF [WYOMING CO.]	SEPTEMBER 13, 1974
361027	PIKE, VILLAGE OF [WYOMING CO.]	AUGUST 09, 1974
360375	PINCKNEY, TOWN OF [LEWIS CO.]	SEPTEMBER 06, 1974
361141	PINE PLAINS, TOWN OF [DUTCHESS CO.]	DECEMBER 20, 1974
361277	PITTSFIELD, TOWN OF [OTSEGO CO.]	OCTOBER 25, 1974
361278	PLAINFIELD, TOWN OF [OTSEGO CO.]	NOVEMBER 08, 1974
361221	PLATTEKILL, TOWN OF [ULSTER CO.]	DECEMBER 06, 1974
360316	POLAND, VILLAGE OF (HERKIMER CO.)	MARCH 08, 1974
* 361518	POQUOTT, VILLAGE OF [SUFFOLK CO.]	NOVEMBER 15, 1974
361029	PORTAGE, TOWN OF [LIVINGSTON CO.]	AUGUST 16, 1974
361306	PRESTON, TOWN [CHENANGO CO]	JANUARY 17, 1975
361192	PRINCETOWN, TOWN OF [SCHENECTADY CO.]	DECEMBER 20, 1974
361356	PROSPECT, VILLAGE OF [ONEIDA CO.]	NOVEMBER 15, 1974
361190	PROVIDENCE, TOWN OF [SARATOGA CO.]	NOVEMBER 15, 1974
361236	PUTNAM, TOWN OF (WASHINGTON CO.)	JANUARY 17, 1975
360781	RATHBONE, TOWN OF [STEUBEN CO.]	AUGUST 02, 1974
361205	READING, TOWN OF [SCHUYLER CO.]	OCTOBER 25, 1974
361548	RED CREEK, VILLAGE [WAYNE CO]	JANUARY 10, 1975
361265	REDFIELD, TOWN OF [OSWEGO CO.]	NOVEMBER 22, 1974
360014	RENSSELAERVILLE, TOWN OF [ALBANY CO.]	DECEMBER 06, 1974
360032	RICHBURG, VILLAGE OF (ALLEGANY CO.)	AUGUST 09, 1974
361360	RICHFIELD SPRINGS, VILLAGE OF [OTSEGO CO.]	NOVEMBER 15, 1974
361216	RICHFORD, TOWN OF [TIOGA CO.]	DECEMBER 20, 1974
361467	RICHVILLE, VILLAGE [ST. LAWERENCE CO]	JANUARY 10, 1975
360755	ROMULUS, TOWN OF [SENECA CO.]	JULY 26, 1974
361421	ROSEBOOM, TOWN [OTSEGO CO]	JANUARY 10, 1975
360897	ROSE, TOWN OF [WAYNE CO.]	JUNE 28, 1974
361035	ROSLYN HARBOR, VILLAGE OF [NASSAU CO]	JUNE 28, 1974
361186	ROSSIE, TOWN OF [ST. LAWRENCE CO.]	NOVEMBER 01, 1974
361121	RUSSIA, TOWN OF [HERKIMER CO.]	NOVEMBER 01, 1974
360317	SALISBURY, TOWN OF [HERKIMER CO.]	JUNE 07, 1974
361167	SAND LAKE, TOWN OF [RENSSELAER CO.]	DECEMBER 27, 1974
361358	SANDY CREEK, VILLAGE OF [OSWEGO CO.]	NOVEMBER 15, 1974
361504	SAUGERTIES, VILLAGE OF [ULSTER CO.]	NOVEMBER 15, 1974
361229	SAVANNAH, TOWN OF [WAYNE CO.]	DECEMBER 20, 1974
361168	SCHAGHTICOKE, TOWN OF [RENSSELAER CO.]	DECEMBER 20, 1974
361169	SCHODACK, TOWN OF (RENSSELAER CO.)	JANUARY 31, 1975

* UNINCORPORATED AREAS ONLY

NEW YORK

COMMUNITY NUMBER	NAME	HAZARD AREA IDENTIFIED
361158	SCHROON, TOWN OF [ESSEX CO.]	DECEMBER 20, 1974
360172	SCHUYLER FALLS, TOWN OF [CLINTON CO.]	SEPTEMBER 13, 1974
360122	SCIPIO, TOWN OF [CAYUGA CO.]	MAY 31, 1974
360123	SEMPRONIUS, TOWN OF [CAYUGA CO.]	MAY 31, 1974
360124	SENNETT, TOWN OF [CAYUGA CO.]	JUNE 14, 1974
361549A	SHARON SPRINGS, VILLAGE [SCHOHARIE CO]	JANUARY 10, 1975
361200	SHARON, TOWN OF [SCHOHARIE CO.]	NOVEMBER 08, 1974
361258A	SHELBY, TOWN OF [ORLEANS CO.]	NOVEMBER 08, 1974 AND DECEMBER 12, 1975
361308	SMYRNA, TOWN OF [CHENANGO CO.]	DECEMBER 20, 1974
361329	SOLON, TOWN [CORTLAND CO]	JANUARY 17, 1975
361242	SOMERS, TOWN OF [WESTCHESTER CO.]	DECEMBER 20, 1974
360099	SOUTH CAYTON, VILLAGE OF (CATTARUUGUS CO.)	MAY 31, 1974
360100	SOUTH VALLEY, TOWN OF [CATTARAUGUS CO.]	SEPTEMBER 06, 1974
361288	SPARTA, TOWN OF [LIVINGSTON CO.]	NOVEMBER 08, 1974
361471A	SPENCER, VILLAGE OF [TIOGA CO.]	NOVEMBER 15, 1974
361118	STAFFORD, TOWN [GENESEE CO]	JANUARY 24, 1975
361145	STANFORD, TOWN OF [DUTCHESS CO.]	OCTOBER 18, 1974
361170	STEPHENTOWN, TOWN OF [RENSSELAER CO.]	DECEMBER 20, 1974
* 360126	STERLING, TOWN OF [CAYUGA CO.]	JULY 26, 1974
360555	STEUBEN, TOWN OF [ONEIDA CO.]	JUNE 28, 1974
361412	STOCKBRIDGE, TOWN [MADISON CO]	JANUARY 03, 1975
361429	STOCKHOLM, TOWN [ST. LAWERENCE CO]	JANUARY 03, 1975
361081	STOCKTON, TOWN OF [CHAUTAUQUA CO.]	NOVEMBER 01, 1974
361136	STRATFORD, TOWN OF [FULTON CO.]	NOVEMBER 15, 1974
361157	ST. ARMAND, TOWN OF [ESSEX CO.]	OCTOBER 25, 1974
360456	ST. JOHNSVILLE, TOWN OF [MONTGOMERY CO.]	AUGUST 16, 1974
360353A	THERESA, VILLAGE OF [JEFFERSON CO.]	MAY 10, 1974 AND DECEMBER 05, 1975
361213	THURSTON, TOWN OF [STEUBEN CO.]	OCTOBER 25, 1974
361507	TIVOLI, VILLAGE OF [DUTCHESS CO.]	DECEMBER 20, 1974
360966	TORREY, TOWN OF [YATES CO.]	MAY 31, 1974
360557	TRENTON, VILLAGE OF [ONEIDA CO.]	AUGUST 30, 1974
360055A	TRIANGLE, TOWN OF [BROOME CO.]	APRIL 05, 1974 AND NOVEMBER 21, 1975
361470	TRUMANSBURG, VILLAGE [TOMPKINS CO]	JANUARY 10, 1975
361355	TURIN, VILLAGE OF [LEWIS CO.]	NOVEMBER 29, 1974
360561	VERONA, TOWN OF [ONEIDA CO.]	SEPTEMBER 13, 1974
361057	VETERAN, TOWN OF [CHEMUNG CO.]	OCTOBER 25, 1974
360131	VICTORY, TOWN OF [CAYUGA CO.]	JULY 26, 1974
360733	VICTORY, VILLAGE OF [SARATOGA CO.]	APRIL 05, 1974
361102	VILLENOVA, TOWN OF [CHAUTAUQUA CO.]	OCTOBER 18, 1974
361228A	WALWORTH, TOWN OF [WAYNE CO.]	DECEMBER 13, 1974 AND OCTOBER 10, 1975
361485	WAMPSVILLE, VILLAGE [MADISON CO]	JANUARY 17, 1975
361364	WARD, TOWN [ALLEGANY CO]	JANUARY 17, 1975

* UNINCORPORATED AREAS ONLY

COMMUNITY NUMBER	NEW YORK NAME	HAZARD AREA IDENTIFIED
360563	WATERVILLE, VILLAGE OF [ONEIDA CO.]	JUNE 14, 1974
360377	WATSON, TOWN OF [LEWIS CO.]	NOVEMBER 01, 1974
361126	WAVERLY, TOWN OF [FRANKLIN CO.]	OCTOBER 25, 1974
360035	WELLSVILLE, TOWN OF (ALLEGANY CO.)	DECEMBER 20, 1974
361112	WELLS, TOWN OF [HAMILTON CO.]	NOVEMBER 29, 1974
361365	WEST ALMOND, TOWN [ALLEGANY CO]	JANUARY 17, 1975
360564	WESTERN, TOWN OF [ONEIDA CO.]	AUGUST 02, 1974
361282	WESTFORD, TOWN OF [OTSEGO CO.]	OCTOBER 25, 1974
361160	WESTPORT, TOWN OF [ESSEX CO.]	DECEMBER 20, 1974
361123	WESTVILLE, TOWN OF [FRANKLIN CO.]	DECEMBER 27, 1974
361246	WETHERSFIELD, TOWN OF [WYOMING CO.]	DECEMBER 13, 1974
361238	WHITE CREEK, TOWN OF [WASHINGTON CO.]	OCTOBER 18, 1974
361331	WILLET, TOWN OF [CORTLAND CO.]	DECEMBER 20, 1974
361267	WILLIAMSTOWN, TOWN OF [OSWEGO CO.]	NOVEMBER 01, 1974
360267	WILLSBORO, TOWN OF [ESSEX CO.]	NOVEMBER 08, 1974
361161	WILMINGTON, TOWN [ESSEX CO]	JANUARY 17, 1975
360736	WILTON, TOWN OF [SARATOGA CO.]	JUNE 14, 1974
360901	WOLCOTT, TOWN OF [WAYNE CO.]	JUNE 28, 1974
361263	WORCESTER, TOWN OF [OTSEGO CO.]	NOVEMBER 01, 1974
361409	WORTH, TOWN [JEFFERSON CO]	JANUARY 17, 1975
361202	WRIGHT, TOWN OF [SCHOHARIE CO.]	NOVEMBER 08, 1974
360952	WYOMING, VILLAGE OF [WYOMING CO.]	MAY 17, 1974

TOTAL IN THE STATE 345

* UNINCORPORATED AREAS ONLY

COMMUNITY NUMBER	NORTH CAROLINA NAME	HAZARD AREA IDENTIFIED
370010	AVERY COUNTY	JANUARY 03, 1975
370210	CHINA GROVE, TOWN OF [ROWAN CO.]	JANUARY 09, 1974
370099	GASTON COUNTY *	NOVEMBER 29, 1974
370235	INDIAN TRAIL, CITY OF [UNION CO.]	SEPTEMBER 06, 1974
370138	JOHNSTON COUNTY	JANUARY 03, 1975
370144	LENOIR COUNTY *	DECEMBER 27, 1974
370146	LINCOLN COUNTY *	DECEMBER 27, 1974
370090	MACCLESFIELD, TOWN OF [EDGECOMBE CO.]	JANUARY 09, 1974
370101	MCADENVILLE, CITY OF [GASTON CO.]	JUNE 21, 1974
370184	PASQUOTANK COUNTY	JANUARY 03, 1975
370195	RANDOLPH COUNTY	JANUARY 03, 1975
370106	ROBBINSVILLE, TOWN OF [GRAHAM CO.]	JUNE 14, 1974
370166	ROBBINS, TOWN OF [MOORE CO.]	NOVEMBER 22, 1974
370258	RONDA, TOWN OF [WILKES CO.]	SEPTEMBER 06, 1974
370217	RUTHERFORD COUNTY	JANUARY 10, 1975
370220	SAMPSON COUNTY *	DECEMBER 20, 1974
370005	SPARTA, CITY [ALLEGANY CO.]	FEBRUARY 15, 1974
370093	SPEED, TOWN OF [EDGECOMBE CO.]	JANUARY 09, 1974
370075	VANCEBORO, TOWN OF [CRAVEN CO.]	MARCH 01, 1974
370254	WAYNE COUNTY *	DECEMBER 27, 1974
370269	WEAVERVILLE, TOWN OF [BUNCOMBE CO.]	NOVEMBER 29, 1974
370256	WILKES COUNTY *	DECEMBER 20, 1974

TOTAL IN THE STATE 22

* UNINCORPORATED AREAS ONLY

COMMUNITY NUMBER	NORTH DAKOTA NAME	HAZARD AREA IDENTIFIED
380055	ALEXANDER, CITY OF [MCKENZIE CO.]	DECEMBER 13, 1974
380154	ANAMOOSE, CITY [MCHENRY CO]	JANUARY 17, 1975
380080	BATHGATE, CITY OF [PEMBINA CO.]	NOVEMBER 22, 1974
380217A	CANDO, CITY [TOWNER CO]	JANUARY 10, 1975 AND SEPTEMBER 26, 1975
380142	CAPRIO, CITY OF (WARD CO)	JANUARY 31, 1975
380164	COGSWELL, CITY [SARGENT CO]	JANUARY 17, 1975
380121	COURTENAY, CITY OF [STUTSMAN CO.]	DECEMBER 27, 1974
380093	CRARY, CITY OF [RAMSEY CO.]	NOVEMBER 15, 1974
380039	DAWSON, CITY OF [KIDDER CO.]	DECEMBER 06, 1974
380165	EDINBURG, CITY [WALSH CO]	JANUARY 17, 1975
380228	FORMAN, CITY [SARGENT CO]	JANUARY 10, 1975
380035	GILBY, CITY OF [GRAND FORKS CO.]	NOVEMBER 22, 1974
380176	GRANVILLE, CITY [MCHENRY CO]	JANUARY 17, 1975
380229	GWINNER, CITY [SARGENT CO]	JANUARY 10, 1975
380084	HAMILTON, CITY OF [PEMBINA CO.]	DECEMBER 20, 1974
380094	HAMPDEN, CITY OF [RAMSEY CO.]	NOVEMBER 29, 1974
380178	HANNAFORD, CITY [GRIGGS CO]	JANUARY 17, 1975
380131A	HATTON, CITY OF [TRAIL CO.]	MAY 10, 1974
380232	HAZELTON, CITY [EMMONS CO]	JANUARY 17, 1975
380048	KARLSRUHE, CITY [MCHENRY CO]	JANUARY 10, 1975
380123	KENSAL, CITY OF [STUTSMAN CO.]	DECEMBER 20, 1974
380075	LAKOTA, CITY OF [NELSON CO.]	MAY 03, 1974
380095	LAWTON, CITY OF [RAMSEY CO.]	DECEMBER 06, 1974
380003	LEEDS, CITY OF [BENSON CO.]	APRIL 05, 1974
380043	LEHR, CITY OF [LOGAN + MCINTOSH COS.]	NOVEMBER 22, 1974
380187	LITCHVILLE, CITY [BARNES CO]	JANUARY 17, 1975
380004A	MADDOCK, CITY OF [BENSON CO.]	MARCH 08, 1974 AND NOVEMBER 15, 1974
380037	MANVEL, CITY [GRAND FORKS CO]	JANUARY 10, 1975
380115	MARKARTH, CITY OF [SLOPE CO.]	NOVEMBER 29, 1974
380008	MAXBASS, CITY OF [BOTTINEAU CO.]	NOVEMBER 22, 1974
380060	MAX, CITY OF [MCLEAN CO.]	NOVEMBER 22, 1974
380124	MEDINA, CITY OF [STUTSMAN CO.]	DECEMBER 20, 1974
380239	MILNOR, CITY [SARGENT CO]	JANUARY 17, 1975
380240	MINNEWAUKAN, CITY [BENSON CO]	JANUARY 17, 1975
380125	MONTPELIER, CITY OF [STUTSMAN CO.]	NOVEMBER 15, 1974
380189	MUNICH, CITY [CAVALIER CO]	JANUARY 17, 1975
380031	NEW ROCKFORD, CITY OF [EDDY CO.]	NOVEMBER 23, 1973
380009	NEWBURG, CITY OF [BOTTINEAU CO.]	DECEMBER 06, 1974
380073	PARSHALL, CITY OF [MOUNTRAIL CO.]	NOVEMBER 29, 1974
380129	ROCK LAKE, CITY OF [TOWNER CO.]	NOVEMBER 22, 1974
380088A	RUGBY, CITY OF [PIERCE CO.]	MARCH 22, 1974 AND OCTOBER 03, 1975
380145	SAWYER, CITY OF (WARD CO)	JANUARY 31, 1975
380010	SOURIS, CITY OF [BOTTINEAU CO.]	NOVEMBER 29, 1974
380096	STARKWEATHER, CITY OF [RAMSEY CO.]	DECEMBER 06, 1974
380127	STREETER, CITY OF [STUTSMAN CO.]	NOVEMBER 22, 1974
380040	TAPPEN, CITY OF [KIDDER CO.]	DECEMBER 06, 1974
380210	TOWER CITY, CITY [BARNES CO.]	JANUARY 10, 1975
380253	TOWNER, CITY OF (MCHENRY CO)	JANUARY 31, 1975
380061	TURTLE LAKE, CITY OF [MCLEAN CO.]	MARCH 22, 1974
380041	TUTTLE, CITY OF [KIDDER CO.]	NOVEMBER 29, 1974
380050	UPHAM, CITY OF [MCHENRY CO.]	DECEMBER 06, 1974
380254	WALHALLA, CITY OF (PEMBINA CO)	JANUARY 31, 1975
380063	WASHBURN, CITY OF [MCLEAN CO.]	MARCH 22, 1974
380074	WHITE EARTH, CITY OF [MOUNTRAIL CO.]	DECEMBER 20, 1974
380011	WILLOW CITY, CITY OF [BOTTINEAU CO.]	NOVEMBER 15, 1974
380065A	WILTON, CITY OF [MCLEAN AND BURLEIGH CO.]	MAY 24, 1974

TOTAL IN THE STATE 56

* UNINCORPORATED AREAS ONLY

COMMUNITY NUMBER	OHIO NAME	HAZARD AREA IDENTIFIED
390205	ADDYSTON, VILLAGE OF [HAMILTON CO.]	MARCH 01, 1974
390329	ALEXANDRIA, VILLAGE OF [LICKING CO.]	MAY 31, 1974
390296	AMSTERDAM, VILLAGE OF [JEFFERSON CO.]	APRIL 12, 1974
390435	ANTWERP, VILLAGE OF [PAULDING CO.]	MARCH 29, 1974
390207A	ARLINGTON HEIGHTS, VILLAGE OF [HAMILTON CO.]	FEBRUARY 01, 1974 AND DECEMBER 05, 1975
390242	ARLINGTON, VILLAGE OF [HANCOCK CO.]	MAY 17, 1974
390159	BALTIMORE, VILLAGE OF [FAIRFIELD CO.]	JUNE 21, 1974
390024	BARNESVILLE, VILLAGE OF [BELMONT CO.]	JUNE 07, 1974
390066	BATAVIA, VILLAGE OF [CLERMONT CO.]	NOVEMBER 30, 1973
390650	BERLIN HEIGHTS, VILLAGE OF [ERIE CO.]	APRIL 05, 1974
390500	BETTSVILLE, VILLAGE OF [SENECA CO.]	APRIL 12, 1974
390074	BLANCHESTER, VILLAGE OF [CLINTON CO.]	APRIL 05, 1974
390488	BURGOON, VILLAGE OF [SANDUSKY CO.]	AUGUST 02, 1974
390461	CAMDEN, VILLAGE OF [PREBLE CO.]	MAY 10, 1974
390653	CASTALIA, VILLAGE OF [ERIE CO.]	MARCH 29, 1974
390607	CEDARVILLE, VIL [GREENE CO]	JANUARY 10, 1975
390017	CHAUNCEY, VILLAGE OF [ATHENS CO.]	JUNE 21, 1974
390608	CHESAPEAKE, VIL [LAWERENCE CO]	JANUARY 10, 1975
390056	CHRISTIANSBURG, VILLAGE OF [CHAMPAIGN CO.]	AUGUST 09, 1974
* 390326	COAL GROVE, VILLAGE OF [LAWRENCE CO.]	JUNE 14, 1974
390291	COALTON, VILLAGE OF [JACKSON CO.]	FEBRUARY 01, 1974
390466	COLUMBUS GROVE, VILLAGE OF [PUTNAM CO.]	FEBRUARY 08, 1974
390711	COMMERCIAL POINT, VILLAGE OF [PICKAWAY COU]	JANUARY 31, 1975
390575	CRESTON, VILLAGE OF [WAYNE CO.]	FEBRUARY 01, 1974
390441	CROOKSVILLE, VILLAGE OF [PERRY CO.]	FEBRUARY 01, 1974
390187	CROWN CTY, VILLAGE [GALLIA CO]	JANUARY 10, 1975
390654	CUYAHOGA HEIGHTS, VILLAGE OF [CUYAHOGA CO.]	MARCH 29, 1974
390137	DARKE COUNTY *	DECEMBER 20, 1974
390049	DELLROY, VILLAGE OF [CARROLL CO.]	AUGUST 09, 1974
390061	DONNELSVILLE, VILLAGE OF [CLARK CO.]	FEBRUARY 01, 1974
390467	DUPONT, VILLAGE OF [PUTNAM CO.]	AUGUST 09, 1974
390080	EAST ROCHESTER, VILLAGE OF [COLUMBIANA CO.]	SEPTEMBER 13, 1974
390656A	ELIDA, VILLAGE OF [ALLEN CO.]	MARCH 29, 1974
390153	ERIE COUNTY *	JANUARY 31, 1975
390214	EVENDALE, VILLAGE OF [HAMILTON CO.]	MARCH 01, 1974
390468	FORT JENNINGS, VILLAGE OF [PUTNAM CO.]	MAY 31, 1974
390395	FORT RECOVERY, VILLAGE OF [MERCER CO.]	JUNE 07, 1974
390426	FRAZEYSBURG, VILLAGE OF [MUCKINGHAM CO.]	AUGUST 30, 1974
390185	GALLIA COUNTY *	DECEMBER 27, 1974
390469	GILBOA, VILLAGE OF [PUTNAM CO.]	AUGUST 09, 1974
390442	GLENFORD, VILLAGE OF [PERRY CO.]	AUGUST 23, 1974
390614	GRAFTON, VILLAGE OF [LORAIN CO.]	DECEMBER 20, 1974
390492	GREEN SPRINGS, VILLAGE OF [SANDUSKY CO.]	MARCH 01, 1974
390554	HAMDEN, VILLAGE OF [VINTON CO.]	FEBRUARY 01, 1974
390264	HAMLER, VILLAGE OF [HENRY CO.]	APRIL 12, 1974
390250	HARDIN COUNTY	JANUARY 03, 1975
390255	HARRISON COUNTY *	JANUARY 31, 1975

* UNINCORPORATED AREAS ONLY

COMMUNITY NUMBER	OHIO NAME	HAZARD AREA IDENTIFIED
390331	HARTFORD, VILLAGE OF [LICKING CO.]	SEPTEMBER 13, 1974
390505	JACKSON CENTER, VILLAGE OF [SHELBY CO.]	MAY 31, 1974
390290	JACKSON COUNTY	JANUARY 10, 1975
390019	JACKSONVILLE, VILLAGE OF [ATHENS CO.]	MAY 17, 1974
390294	JEFFERSON COUNTY *	DECEMBER 27, 1974
390246	JENERA, VILLAGE OF [HANCOCK CO.]	AUGUST 09, 1974
390471	KALIDA, VILLAGE OF [PUTNUM CO.]	MARCH 01, 1974
390201	KIMBOLTON, VILLAGE OF [GUERNSEY CO.]	DECEMBER 06, 1974
390306	KNOX COUNTY *	JANUARY 31, 1975
390270	LEESBURG, VILLAGE OF [HIGHLAND CO.]	APRIL 05, 1974
390050	LEESVILLE, VILLAGE OF [CARROLL CO.]	SEPTEMBER 20, 1974
390085	LISBON, VILLAGE OF [COLUMBIANA CO.]	APRIL 12, 1974
390691	LOCKBOURNE, VILLAGE OF [FRANKLIN COU]	JANUARY 31, 1975
390223	LOCKLAND, CITY OF [HAMILTON CO.]	FEBRUARY 15, 1974
390366	LONDON, CITY OF [MADISON CO.]	MAY 10, 1974
390202	LORE CITY, VILLAGE OF [GUERNSEY CO.]	AUGUST 30, 1974
390661A	LUCAS, VILLAGE OF [RICHLAND CO.]	APRIL 05, 1974 AND SEPTEMBER 26, 1975
390271	LYNCHBURG, VILLAGE OF [HIGHLAND CO.]	MARCH 29, 1974
390051	MAGNOLIA, VILLAGE OF [CARROLL CO.]	MAY 03, 1974
* 390226A	MARIEMONT, VILLAGE OF [HAMILTON CO.]	FEBRUARY 08, 1974 AND JANUARY 10, 1975
390254	MCGUFFEY, VILLAGE OF [HARDIN CO.]	MAY 10, 1974
390378	MEDINA COUNTY	JANUARY 10, 1975
390398	MIAMI COUNTY	JANUARY 10, 1975
390155	MILAN, VILLAGE OF [ERIE CO.]	APRIL 12, 1974
390689	MILLERSPORT, VILLAGE OF [FAIRFIELD COU]	JANUARY 31, 1975
390041	MILLVILLE, VILLAGE OF [BUTLER CO.]	JUNE 07, 1974
390396	MONTEZUMA, VILLAGE OF [MERCER CO.]	AUGUST 09, 1974
390581	MONTPELIER, VILLAGE OF [WILLIAMS CO.]	MAY 31, 1974
390420	MORGAN COUNTY	JANUARY 10, 1975
390248	MOUNT BLANCHARD, VILLAGE OF [HANCOCK CO.]	AUGUST 09, 1974
390249	MOUNT CORY, VILLAGE OF [HANCOCK CO.]	SEPTEMBER 20, 1974
390119	NEWBURGH HEIGHTS, VILLAGE OF [CUYAHOGA CO.]	MARCH 15, 1974
390428	NOBLE COUNTY	JANUARY 10, 1975
390231	NORTH BEND, VILLAGE OF [HAMILTON CO.]	MARCH 15, 1974
390285	NORTH FAIRFIELD, VILLAGE OF [HURON CO.]	MARCH 15, 1974
390433	OAK HARBOR, VILLAGE OF [OTTAWA CO.]	MARCH 01, 1974
390437	OAKWOOD, VILLAGE OF [PAULDING CO.]	MAY 17, 1974
390176	OBETZ, VILLAGE OF [FRANKLIN CO.]	FEBRUARY 15, 1974
390141	OSGOOD, VILLAGE OF [DARKE CO.]	AUGUST 30, 1974
390150A	OSTRANDER, VILLAGE OF [DELAWARE CO.]	SEPTEMBER 20, 1974
390439	PAYNE, VILLAGE OF [PAULDING CO.]	MAY 03, 1974
390162A	PICKERINGTON, VILLAGE OF [FAIRFIELD CO.]	JUNE 28, 1974
390450	PIKE COUNTY	JANUARY 03, 1975
390203	PLEASANT CITY, VILLAGE OF [GUERNSEY CO.]	AUGUST 23, 1974
390287	PLYMOUTH, VILLAGE OF [HURON CO.]	MAY 03, 1974
390453	PORTAGE COUNTY *	DECEMBER 27, 1974
390390	RACINE, VILLAGE OF [MEIGS CO.]	APRIL 05, 1974

* UNINCORPORATED AREAS ONLY

OHIO

COMMUNITY NUMBER	NAME	HAZARD AREA IDENTIFIED	
390499	RARDEN, VILLAGE OF [SCIOTO CO.]	AUGUST 23, 1974	
390692	RIVERLEA, VILLAGE OF (FRANKLIN COU)	JANUARY 31, 1975	
390416A	RIVERSIDE, VILLAGE OF [MONTGOMERY CO.]	FEBRUARY 15, 1974	
390645	ROGERS, VILLAGE OF [COLUMBIANA CO.]	MARCH 22, 1974	
390086	SALEM, CITY OF [COLUMBIANA CO.]	MAY 03, 1974	
390151	SHAWNEE HILLS, VILLAGE OF [DELAWARE CO.]	FEBRUARY 08, 1974	
390503	SHELBY COUNTY *	DECEMBER 20, 1974	
390054	SHERRODSVILLE, VILLAGE OF [CARROLL CO.]	AUGUST 09, 1974	
390647	SHREVE, VILLAGE OF [WAYNE CO.]	MARCH 29. 1974	
390630	SOUTH POINT, VIL [LAWERENCE CO]	JANUARY 03, 1975	
390485	SOUTH SALEM, VILLAGE OF [ROSS CO.]	AUGUST 23, 1974	
390059	ST. PARIS, VILLAGE OF [CHAMPAIGN CO.]	JUNE 07, 1974	
390546	SUGAR CREEK, VILLAGE OF [TUSCARAWAS CO.]	MAY 31, 1974	
390690	THURSTON, VILLAGE OF (FAIRFIELD COU)	JANUARY 31, 1975	
390666	TUSCARAWAS, VILLAGE OF [TUSCARAWAS CO.]	APRIL 05, 1974	
390592	UPPER SANDUSKY, CITY OF [WYANDOT CO.]	JANUARY 09, 1974	
390385	VALLEY CITY, VILLAGE OF [MEDINA CO.]	AUGUST 02, 1974	
390648	VAN BUREN, VILLAGE OF [HANCOCK CO.]	MARCH 22, 1974	
390475	VAUGHNSVILLE, TOWN OF [PUTNAM CO.]	NOVEMBER 15, 1974	
390464	VERNONA, VILLAGE OF [PREBLE CO.]	OCTOBER 18, 1974	
390189	VINTON, VILLAGE OF [GALLIA CO.]	DECEMBER 27, 1974	
390649	WAITE HILL, VILLAGE OF [LAKE CO.]	DECEMBER 17, 1973	
390288	WAKEMAN, VILLAGE OF [HURON CO.]	NOVEMBER 09, 1973	
390087	WASHINGTONVILLE, VILLAGE OF [COLUMBIANA + MAHONING	NOVEMBER 09, 1973	
390574	WAYNE COUNTY	JANUARY 03, 1975	
390565	WAYNESVILLE, VILLAGE OF [WARREN CO.]	NOVEMBER 09, 1973	
390293	WELLSTON, CITY OF [JACKSON CO.]	FEBRUARY 15, 1974	
390072A	WILLIAMSBURG, VILLAGE OF [CLERMONT CO.]	MARCH 29, 1974	
390459	WINDHAM, VILLAGE OF [PORTAGE CO.]	MARCH 15, 1974	
390345	ZANESFIELD, VILLAGE OF [LOGAN CO.]	OCTOBER 18, 1974	

TOTAL IN THE STATE 124

* UNINCORPORATED AREAS ONLY

OKLAHOMA

COMMUNITY NUMBER	NAME	HAZARD AREA IDENTIFIED	
400074	ADDINGTON, TOWN OF [JEFFERSON CO.]	OCTOBER 18, 1974	
400101	BLANCHARD, TOWN OF [GRADY + MCCLAIN COS.]	SEPTEMBER 13, 1974	
400138	BOLEY, TOWN OF [OKFUSKEE CO.]	APRIL 12, 1974	
400038	BOSWELL, TOWN OF [CHOCTAW CO.]	MARCH 15, 1974	
400051	BRISTOW, CITY OF [CREEK CO]	JUNE 28, 1974	
400149	BURBANK, TOWN [OSAGE CO]	JANUARY 10, 1975	
400175A	BYNG, TOWN OF [PONTOTOC CO.]	AUGUST 30, 1974 AND	
		DECEMBER 05, 1975	
400185	CATOOSA, TOWN OF [ROGERS CO.]	SEPTEMBER 06, 1974	
400187A	CHELSEA, CITY OF [ROGERS CO.]	DECEMBER 28, 1973 AND	
		DECEMBER 12, 1975	
400183	CHEYENNE, TOWN OF [ROGER MILLS CO.]	JUNE 28, 1974	
400225	CORN, TOWN [WASHITA CO]	JANUARY 10, 1975	
400122	COUNCIL HILL, TOWN OF [MUSKOGEE CO.]	DECEMBER 13, 1974	
400226A	DACOMA, TOWN OF [WOODS CO.]	NOVEMBER 08, 1974 AND	
		DECEMBER 12, 1975	
400204A	DAVIDSON, TOWN OF [TILLMAN CO.]	JULY 26, 1974	
400229	FORT SUPPLY, TOWN OF [WOODWARD CO.]	MAY 24, 1974	
400039	FORT TOWSON, TOWN [CHOCTAW CO]	JANUARY 10, 1975	
400194	GANS, TOWN OF [SEQUOYAH CO.]	NOVEMBER 29, 1974	
400032	GENE AUTRY, TOWN OF [CARTER CO.]	NOVEMBER 08, 1974	
400013	GREENFIELD, TOWN OF [BLAINE CO.]	AUGUST 30, 1974	
400167	HAILEYVILLE, CITY OF [PITTSBURG CO.]	JULY 26, 1974	
400140	HARRAH, TOWN OF [OKLAHOMA CO.]	AUGUST 02, 1974	
400151	HOMINY, CITY OF [OSAGE CO.]	DECEMBER 28, 1973	
400024A	HYDRO, TOWN OF [CADDO CO.]	JULY 26, 1974 AND	
		DECEMBER 05, 1975	
400456	INOLA, CITY OF [ROGERS CO.]	MAY 10, 1974	
400057	JAY, TOWN OF [DELAWARE CO]	JUNE 28, 1974	
400007	JET, TOWN OF [ALFALFA CO.]	DECEMBER 06, 1974	
400071	KINTA, CITY OF [HASKELL CO.]	NOVEMBER 08, 1974	
400190	KONAWA, CITY OF [SEMINOLE CO.]	APRIL 05, 1974	
400169	KREBS, CITY OF [PITTSBURG CO.]	DECEMBER 28, 1973	
400096	LOGAN COUNTY *	DECEMBER 27, 1974	
400085	LONE WOLF, TOWN OF [KIOWA CO.]	MAY 03, 1974	
400014	LONGDALE, TOWN OF [BLAINE CO.]	DECEMBER 13, 1974	
400025A	LOOKEBA, TOWN OF [CADDO CO.]	OCTOBER 25, 1974 AND	
		DECEMBER 12, 1975	
400203	MARLOW, CITY OF [STEPHENS CO.]	DECEMBER 28, 1973	
400113	MENO, TOWN OF [MAJOR CO.]	NOVEMBER 15, 1974	
400217	OKAY, TOWN OF [WAGONER CO.]	AUGUST 16, 1974	
400015A	OKEENE, TOWN OF [BLAINE CO]	JUNE 28, 1974 AND	
		DECEMBER 05, 1975	
400158	PEORIA, TOWN OF [OTTAWA CO.]	NOVEMBER 22, 1974	
400171	PITTSBURG, TOWN OF [PITTSBURG CO.]	NOVEMBER 08, 1974	
400127A	PORUM, TOWN OF [MUSKOGEE CO.]	JUNE 28, 1974 AND	
		NOVEMBER 28, 1975	
400222A	RAMONA, TOWN OF [WASHINGTON CO.]	JULY 19, 1974 AND	
		DECEMBER 05, 1975	
400437	RED OAK, TOWN OF [LATIMER CO.]	DECEMBER 20, 1974	
400176	ROFF, CITY OF [PONTOTOC CO.]	MARCH 22, 1974	
400088	ROOSEVELT, TOWN OF [KIOWA CO.]	NOVEMBER 01, 1974	
400191	SASAKWA, TOWN OF [SEMINOLE CO.]	NOVEMBER 08, 1974	
400061	SHATTUCK, TOWN OF [ELLIS CO.]	MAY 24, 1974	
400414	STERLING, TOWN [COMANCHE CO.]	JANUARY 10, 1975	
400177	STONEWALL, TOWN OF [PONTOTOC CO]	JUNE 28, 1974	
400128A	TAFT, TOWN OF [MUSCOGEE CO.]	JUNE 28, 1974 AND	
		DECEMBER 12, 1975	
400055	THOMAS, CITY OF [CUSTER CO.]	APRIL 05, 1974	
400077	TISHOMINGO, CITY OF [JOHNSTON CO.]	JANUARY 16, 1974	
400079	TONKAWA, CITY OF [KAY CO.]	NOVEMBER 23, 1973	
400219	WAGONER, CITY OF [WAGONER CO]	JUNE 28, 1974	
400129	WAINWRIGHT, TOWN OF [MUSKOGEE CO.]	DECEMBER 13, 1974	
400180	WANETTE, TOWN OF [POTTAWATOMIE CO.]	DECEMBER 20, 1974	
400109	WRIGHT CITY, TOWN [MCCURTAIN CO]	JANUARY 10, 1975	
400161A	WYANDOTTE, TOWN OF [OTTAWA CO.]	JUNE 28, 1974 AND	
		DECEMBER 12, 1975	

TOTAL IN THE STATE 57

* UNINCORPORATED AREAS ONLY

COMMUNITY NUMBER	NAME	HAZARD AREA IDENTIFIED
	OREGON	
410205	ADAMS, CITY OF [UMATILLA CO.]	AUGUST 30, 1974
410013	BARLOW, CITY [CLACKAMAS CO]	JANUARY 10, 1975
410217	COVE, CITY OF [UNION CO.]	DECEMBER 06, 1974
410055	DESCHUTES COUNTY	JANUARY 17, 1975
410157	DETROIT, CITY OF [MARION CO.]	NOVEMBER 01, 1974
410062A	ELKTON, CITY OF [DOUGLAS CO.]	SEPTEMBER 13, 1974
410139	HALSEY, CITY OF [LINN CO.]	NOVEMBER 22, 1974
410208	HELIX, CITY OF [UMATILLA CO.]	DECEMBER 20, 1974
410037	PRESCOTT, CITY [COLUMBIA CO]	JANUARY 10, 1975
410166	ST. PAUL, CITY OF [MARION CO.]	NOVEMBER 22, 1974
	TOTAL IN THE STATE	10

* UNINCORPORATED AREAS ONLY

COMMUNITY NUMBER	NAME	HAZARD AREA IDENTIFIED
	PENNSYLVANIA	
422453	ABINGTON, TOWNSHIP OF [LACKAWANNA CO.]	DECEMBER 27, 1974
422508	ADDISON, TOWNSHIP [SOMERSET CO.]	JANUARY 03, 1975
422461	AKRON, BOROUGH OF [LANCASTER CO.]	JANUARY 31, 1975
421266	ALEPPO, TOWNSHIP OF [ALLEGHENY CO.]	MAY 10, 1974
421667	ALEPPO, TOWNSHIP OF [GREENE CO.]	DECEMBER 27, 1974
422529	ALLEGHENY, TOWNSHIP [VENANGO CO.]	JANUARY 17, 1975
422509	ALLEGHENY, TOWNSHIP [SOMERSET CO.]	JANUARY 03, 1975
421928	ALLEN, TOWNSHIP OF [NORTHAMPTON CO.]	SEPTEMBER 06, 1974
422072	APOLACON, TOWNSHIP OF [SUSQUEHANNA CO.]	NOVEMBER 29, 1974
421879	ARMAGH, TWP [MIFFLIN CO]	JANUARY 10, 1975
420871	ARONA, BOROUGH OF [WESTMORELAND CO.]	AUGUST 09, 1974
422361	ASHLAND, TOWNSHIP [CLARION CO.]	JANUARY 10, 1975
422297	ATWOOD, BOROUGH OF [ARMSTRONG CO.]	JANUARY 31, 1975
422074	AUBURN, TOWNSHIP OF [SUSQUEHANNA CO.]	DECEMBER 27, 1974
422435	BANKS, TOWNSHIP [INDIANA CO.]	JANUARY 17, 1975
421643	BARNETT, TOWNSHIP OF [FOREST CO.]	DECEMBER 27, 1974
422440	BARNETT, TOWNSHIP OF [JEFFERSON CO.]	DECEMBER 27, 1974
421683	BARREE, TOWNSHIP OF [HUNTINGDON CO.]	DECEMBER 06, 1974
421434	BARR, TWP [CAMBRIA CO]	JANUARY 17, 1975
421738	BEALE, TOWNSHIP [JUNIATA CO]	DECEMBER 06, 1974
422129	BEALLSVILLE, BOROUGH OF [WASHINGTON CO.]	DECEMBER 13, 1974
422544	BEAR LAKE, BOROUGH OF [WARREN CO.]	DECEMBER 27, 1974
421547	BEAVER, TOWNSHIP OF [COLUMBIA CO.]	NOVEMBER 01, 1974
422362	BEAVER, TOWNSHIP [CLARION CO.]	JANUARY 24, 1975
422032	BEAVER, TOWNSHIP OF [SNYDER CO.]	NOVEMBER 01, 1974
422441	BEAVER, TOWNSHIP [JEFFERSON CO.]	JANUARY 17, 1975
421049	BEDMINSTER, TOWNSHIP OF [BUCKS CO.]	JANUARY 31, 1975
421659	BELFAST, TOWNSHIP OF [FULTON CO.]	DECEMBER 20, 1974
420009	BELLEVUE, BOROUGH OF [ALLEGHENY CO.]	DECEMBER 28, 1973
421513	BELL, TOWNSHIP OF [CLEARFIELD CO.]	DECEMBER 20, 1974
422244	BELL, TOWNSHIP OF [JEFFERSON CO.]	DECEMBER 13, 1974
422185	BELL, TOWNSHIP OF [WESTMORELAND CO.]	SEPTEMBER 13, 1974
420011	BEN AVON HEIGHTS, BOROUGH OF [ALLEGHANY CO.]	JANUARY 31, 1975
420010	BEN AVON, BOROUGH OF [ALLEGHENY CO.]	DECEMBER 28, 1973
421749	BENTON, TWP [LACKAWANNA CO]	JANUARY 10, 1975
422158	BERLIN, TWP [WAYNE CO]	JANUARY 10, 1975
421051	BERNVILLE, BOROUGH OF [BERKS CO.]	SEPTEMBER 13, 1974
421052	BETHEL, TOWNSHIP OF [BERKS CO.]	SEPTEMBER 20, 1974
421606	BETHEL, TWP [DELAWARE CO]	JANUARY 24, 1975
420508	BIG RUN, BOROUGH OF [JEFFERSON CO.]	JULY 19, 1974
421514	BIGLER, TOWNSHIP OF [CLEARFIELD CO.]	DECEMBER 06, 1974
421973	BINGHAM, TOWNSHIP OF [POTTER CO.]	NOVEMBER 29, 1974
420482	BIRMINGHAM, BOROUGH OF [HUNTINGDON CO.]	DECEMBER 06, 1974
421435	BLACK LICK, TOWNSHIP OF [CAMBRIA CO.]	JANUARY 10, 1975
421709	BLACK LICK, TOWNSHIP OF [INDIANA CO.]	NOVEMBER 15, 1974
422510	BLACK, TOWNSHIP [SOMERSET CO.]	JANUARY 24, 1975
422141	BLAINE, TOWNSHIP OF [WASHINGTON CO.]	OCTOBER 18, 1974
420495	BLAIRSVILLE, BOROUGH OF [INDIANA CO.]	JULY 26, 1974

* UNINCORPORATED AREAS ONLY

COMMUNITY NUMBER	NAME	HAZARD AREA IDENTIFIED
	PENNSYLVANIA	
421332	BLOOMFIELD, TOWNSHIP OF (BEDFORD CO.)	JANUARY 31, 1975
421962	BLOOMING GROVE, TOWNSHIP OF [PIKE CO.]	NOVEMBER 08, 1974
421515	BOGGS, TOWNSHIP OF [CLEARFIELD CO.]	NOVEMBER 15, 1974
421301	BOGGS, TOWNSHIP OF [ARMSTRONG CO.]	AUGUST 30, 1974
420873	BOLIVAR, BOROUGH OF [WESTMORELAND CO.]	JUNE 14, 1974
420794	BOSWELL, BOROUGH OF [SOMERSET CO.]	JULY 26, 1974
421262	BRADFORD WOODS, BOROUGH [ALLEGHENY CO]	JANUARY 03, 1975
421516	BRADFORD, TOWNSHIP OF [CLEARFIELD CO.]	DECEMBER 06, 1974
421302	BRADYS BEND, TOWNSHIP OF [ARMSTRONG CO.]	NOVEMBER 29, 1974
421517	BRADY, TOWNSHIP OF [CLEARFIELD CO.]	NOVEMBER 15, 1974
422241	BRADY, TOWNSHIP OF (BUTLER CO.)	OCTOBER 25, 1974
420297	BRISBIN, BOROUGH OF [CLEARFIELD CO.]	SEPTEMBER 20, 1974
420483	BROAD TOP CITY, BORO[HUNTINGDON CO]	JANUARY 10, 1975
422115	BROKENSTRAW, TOWNSHIP OF [WARREN CO.]	DECEMBER 20, 1974
422075	BROOKLYN, TOWNSHIP OF [SUSQUEHANNA CO.]	DECEMBER 13, 1974
422511	BROTHERSVALLEY, TWP [SOMERSET CO.]	JANUARY 10, 1975
421710	BRUSH VALLEY, TWP [INDIANA CO]	JANUARY 03, 1975
421899	BRYN ATHYN, BOROUGH OF [MONTGOMERY CO.]	DECEMBER 20, 1974
421824	BUCK, TOWNSHIP OF [LUZERNE CO.]	DECEMBER 20, 1974
421711	BUFFINGTON, TOWNSHIP OF [INDIANA CO.]	DECEMBER 27, 1974
421622	BULLSKIN, TOWNSHIP OF [FAYETTE CO.]	DECEMBER 27, 1974
420847	BURGETTSTOWN, BOROUGH OF [WASHINGTON C.]	JANUARY 23, 1974
421054	BURLINGTON, TOWNSHIP OF [BRADFORD CO.]	SEPTEMBER 13, 1974
420298	BURNSIDE, BOROUGH OF [CLEARFIELD CO.]	NOVEMBER 15, 1974
421518	BURNSIDE, TWP [CLEARFIELD CO]	JANUARY 24, 1975
421303	BURRELL, TOWNSHIP OF [ARMSTRONG CO.]	SEPTEMBER 20, 1974
421929	BUSHKILL, TOWNSHIP OF [NORTHAMPTON CO.]	NOVEMBER 08, 1974
421304	CADOGAN, TOWNSHIP OF [ARMSTRONG CO.]	DECEMBER 06, 1974
422364	CALLENSBURG, BOROUGH OF (CLARION CO.)	JANUARY 31, 1975
420213	CALLERY, BOROUGH OF [BUTLER CO.]	AUGUST 09, 1974
422108	CANAL, TOWNSHIP OF [VENANGO CO.]	DECEMBER 06, 1974
421397	CANTON, TOWNSHIP OF [BRADFORD CO.]	OCTOBER 18, 1974
421750	CARBONDALE, TOWNSHIP OF (LACKAWANNA CO.)	JANUARY 31, 1975
421685	CARBON, TWP [HUNTINGDON CO]	JANUARY 10, 1975
421949	CARROLL, TWP [PERRY CO]	JANUARY 24, 1975
421837	CASCADE, TOWNSHIP (LYCOMING CO)	OCTOBER 18, 1974
420795	CASSELMAN, BOROUGH OF [SOMERSET CO.]	NOVEMBER 08, 1974
421686	CASS, TOWNSHIP OF [HUNTINGDON CO.]	DECEMBER 27, 1974
420020	CASTLE SHANNON, BOROUGH OF [ALLEGHENY CO]	JUNE 28, 1974
420129	CENTERPORT, BOROUGH OF (BERKS CO.)	NOVEMBER 22, 1974
421417	CENTER, TOWNSHIP OF [BUTLER CO.]	SEPTEMBER 13, 1974
422310	CENTER, TWP [BEAVER CO.]	JANUARY 17, 1975
421056A	CENTRE, TOWNSHIP OF [BERKS CO.]	NOVEMBER 01, 1974 AND NOVEMBER 07, 1975
422217	CHANCEFORD, TWP [YORK CO]	JANUARY 17, 1975
422251	CHAPMAN, BOROUGH OF [NORTHAMPTON CO.]	NOVEMBER 15, 1974
422545	CHERRY GROVE, TOWNSHIP OF [WARREN CO.]	DECEMBER 27, 1974
421714	CHERRYHILL, TOWNSHIP (INDIANA CO)	JANUARY 24, 1975
422530	CHERRYTREE, TOWNSHIP [VENANGO CO.]	JANUARY 10, 1975

* UNINCORPORATED AREAS ONLY

PENNSYLVANIA

COMMUNITY NUMBER	NAME	HAZARD AREA IDENTIFIED
422342	CHERRY, TOWNSHIP [BUTLER CO.]	JANUARY 10, 1975
422058	CHERRY, TOWNSHIP OF [SULLIVAN CO.]	DECEMBER 20, 1974
421885	CHESTNUT HILL, TOWNSHIP OF [MONROE CO.]	NOVEMBER 15, 1974
421519	CHEST, TOWNSHIP OF [CLEARFIELD CO.]	NOVEMBER 15, 1974
422604	CHEST, TOWNSHIP [CAMBRIA CO]	JANUARY 10, 1975
422311	CHIPPEWA, TOWNSHIP OF [BEAVER CO.]	DECEMBER 27, 1974
422076	CHOCONUT, TWP [SUSQUEHANNA CO]	JANUARY 10, 1975
421974	CLARA, TOWNSHIP OF [POTTER CO.]	OCTOBER 18, 1974
421221	CLARENDON, BOROUGH (WARREN CO)	SEPTEMBER 06, 1974
421507	CLARION, TOWNSHIP OF [CLARION CO.]	NOVEMBER 29, 1974
421687	CLAY, TOWNSHIP OF [HUNTINGDON CO.]	DECEMBER 13, 1974
422343	CLAY, TOWNSHIP [BUTLER CO.]	JANUARY 17, 1975
422344	CLEARFIELD, TOWNSHIP [BUTLER CO.]	JANUARY 10, 1975
422077	CLIFFORD, TOWNSHIP OF [SUSQUEHANNA CO.]	DECEMBER 27, 1974
421751	CLIFTON, TWP [LACKAWANNA CO]	JANUARY 24, 1975
422532	CLINTONVILLE, BOROUGH OF [VENANGO CO.]	DECEMBER 27, 1974
422345	CLINTON, TOWNSHIP [BUTLER CO.]	JANUARY 10, 1975
422531	CLINTON, TOWNSHIP [VENANGO CO.]	JANUARY 17, 1975
422442	CLOVER, TOWNSHIP [JEFFERSON CO.]	JANUARY 03, 1975
421334	COLERAIN, TWP [BEDFORD CO]	JANUARY 03, 1975
* 422059	COLLEY, TOWNSHIP OF [SULLIVAN CO.]	DECEMBER 13, 1974
422346	CONCORD, TOWNSHIP [BUTLER CO.]	JANUARY 17, 1975
422410	CONCORD, TOWNSHIP [ERIE CO.]	JANUARY 17, 1975
421715	CONEMAUGH, TOWNSHIP OF [INDIANA CO.]	DECEMBER 06, 1974
422406	CONEWAGO, TOWNSHIP OF [DAUPHINCO.]	DECEMBER 27, 1974
421361	CONNEAUT, TOWNSHIP OF [ERIE CO.]	DECEMBER 13, 1974
422387	CONNEAUT, TOWNSHIP [CRAWFORD CO.]	JANUARY 10, 1975
421623	CONNELLSVILLE, TOWNSHIP OF [FAYETTE CO.]	DECEMBER 20, 1974
421413	CONNOQUENESSING, BOROUGH OF [BUTLER CO.]	NOVEMBER 15, 1974
421549	CONYNGHAM, TWP [COLUMBIA CO]	JANUARY 03, 1975
422186	COOK, TOWNSHIP OF [WESTMORELAND CO.]	SEPTEMBER 20, 1974
421520	COOPER, TOWNSHIP OF [CLEARFIELD CO.]	DECEMBER 20, 1974
421521	COVINGTON, TOWNSHIP OF [CLEARFIELD CO.]	DECEMBER 06, 1974
422455	COVINGTON, TOWNSHIP [LACKAWANNA CO.]	JANUARY 10, 1975
421230	COWANSHANNOCK, TOWNSHIP OF [ARMSTRONG CO.]	SEPTEMBER 13, 1974
421535	CRAWFORD, TOWNSHIP OF [CLINTON CO.]	DECEMBER 20, 1974
421688	CROMWELL, TOWNSHIP OF [HUNTINGDON CO.]	DECEMBER 27, 1974
422209	CROSS ROADS, BOROUGH OF [YORK CO.]	DECEMBER 13, 1974
421188	CUMBERLAND, TOWNSHIP OF [GREENE CO.]	AUGUST 30, 1974
422388	CUSSEWAGO, TOWNSHIP [CRAWFORD CO.]	JANUARY 10, 1975
421319	DARLINGTON, BOROUGH (BEAVER CO)	JANUARY 24, 1975
422313	DAUGHERTY, TOWNSHIP OF [BEAVER CO.]	DECEMBER 27, 1974
421440	DEAN, TOWNSHIP OF [CAMBRIA CO.]	NOVEMBER 08, 1974
421189	DECATUR, TOWNSHIP OF [CLEARFIELD CO.]	SEPTEMBER 20, 1974
* 422476	DEER CREEK, TWP [MERCER CO.]	JANUARY 10, 1975
421176	DEERFIELD, TOWNSHIP OF [TIOGA CO.]	AUGUST 30, 1974
422118	DEERFIELD, TOWNSHIP OF [WARREN CO.]	NOVEMBER 15, 1974
420690	DELAWARE WATER GAP, BOROUGH OF [MONROE CO.]	JUNE 21, 1974
422177	DELMONT, BOROUGH OF [WESTMORELAND CO.]	NOVEMBER 22, 1974

* UNINCORPORATED AREAS ONLY

PENNSYLVANIA

COMMUNITY NUMBER	NAME	HAZARD AREA IDENTIFIED
422211	DELTA, BOROUGH OF [YORK CO.]	NOVEMBER 22, 1974
422078	DIMOCK, TWP [SUSQUEHANNA CO]	JANUARY 17, 1975
422187	DONEGAL, TOWNSHIP OF [WESTMORELAND CO.]	JUNE 21, 1974
422146	DONEGAL, TOWNSHIP OF [WASHINGTON CO.]	DECEMBER 06, 1974
421689	DUBLIN, TOWNSHIP OF [HUNTINGDON CO.]	DECEMBER 13, 1974
421681A	DUDLEY, BOROUGH OF [HUNTINGDON CO.]	NOVEMBER 08, 1974 AND NOVEMBER 14, 1975
422431	DUNKARD, TWP [GREENE CO.]	JANUARY 10, 1975
421716	E WHEATFIELD, TWP [INDIANA CO]	JANUARY 24, 1975
422527	EAGLES MERE, BOROUGH [SULLIVAN CO.]	JANUARY 24, 1975
422252	EAST BANGOR, BOROUGH OF [NORTHAMPTON CO.]	NOVEMBER 15, 1974
420215	EAST BUTLER, BOROUGH OF [BUTLER CO.]	JULY 26, 1974
422259	EAST CONEMAUGH, BOROUGH OF [CAMBRIA CO.]	NOVEMBER 15, 1974
422389	EAST FALLOWFIELD, TOWNSHIP [CRAWFORD CO.]	JANUARY 10, 1975
422147	EAST FINLEY, TOWNSHIP OF [WASHINGTON CO.]	NOVEMBER 29, 1974
422218	EAST HOPEWELL, TOWNSHIP OF [YORK CO.]	DECEMBER 27, 1974
422188	EAST HUNTINGDON, TOWNSHIP OF [WESTMORELAND CO.]	SEPTEMBER 20, 1974
421864	EAST LACKAWANNOCK, TOWNSHIP OF [MERCER CO.]	SEPTEMBER 06, 1974
422436	EAST MAHONING, TOWNSHIP [INDIANA CO.]	JANUARY 17, 1975
421481	EAST NANTMEAL, TOWNSHIP OF [CHESTER CO.]	DECEMBER 20, 1974
* 420108	EAST ROCHESTER, BOROUGH OF [BEAVER CO.]	FEBRUARY 01, 1974
422360	EAST SIDE, BOROUGH OF (CARBON CO.)	JANUARY 31, 1975
421357	EAST SPRINGFIELD, BOROUGH OF (ERIE CO.)	JANUARY 31, 1975
421441	EAST TAYLOR, TWP [CAMBRIA CO]	JANUARY 17, 1975
422314	EASTVALE, BOROUGH OF (BEAVER CO.)	JANUARY 31, 1975
422348	EAU CLAIRE, BORO [BUTLER CO.]	JANUARY 10, 1975
420109	ECONOMY, BOROUGH OF [BEAVER CO.]	APRIL 05, 1974
421772	EDEN, TOWNSHIP OF [LANCASTER CO.]	AUGUST 30, 1974
420228	EHRENFELD, BOROUGH OF [CAMBRIA CO.]	AUGUST 09, 1974
422592	ELDER, TOWNSHIP (CAMBRIA CO)	JANUARY 10, 1975
422443	ELDRED, TOWNSHIP [JEFFERSON CO.]	JANUARY 17, 1975
422546	ELDRED, TOWNSHIP [WARREN CO.]	JANUARY 17, 1975
421887	ELDRED, TOWNSHIP OF [MONROE CO.]	DECEMBER 06, 1974
421263	ELIZABETH, BOROUGH OF [ALLEGHENY CO.]	JANUARY 09, 1974
422412	ELK CREEK, TOWNSHIP [ERIE CO.]	JANUARY 24, 1975
422048	ELK LICK, TOWNSHIP OF [SOMERSET CO.]	DECEMBER 27, 1974
422096	ELKLAND, TOWNSHIP OF [TIOGA CO.]	NOVEMBER 22, 1974
422365	ELK, TOWNSHIP OF (CLARION CO.)	JANUARY 31, 1975
422462	ELLPORT, BORO [LAWRENCE CO.]	JANUARY 24, 1975
421752	ELMHURST, TOWN OF [LACKAWANNA CO.]	OCTOBER 18, 1974
422287	ELVERSON, BORO [CHESTER CO]	JANUARY 03, 1975
422189	FAIRFIELD, TOWNSHIP OF [WESTMORELAND CO.]	SEPTEMBER 06, 1974
422049	FAIRHOPE, TOWNSHIP OF [SOMERSET CO.]	NOVEMBER 15, 1974
* 421827	FAIRMOUNT, TWP [LUZERNE CO]	JANUARY 17, 1975
421238A	FAIRVIEW, BORO [ERIE CO]	JULY 26, 1974
422603	FAIRVIEW, TOWNSHIP [BUTLER CO]	JANUARY 24, 1975
421865	FAIRVIEW, TOWNSHIP OF [MERCER CO.]	NOVEMBER 29, 1974
422219	FAWN, TOWNSHIP OF [YORK CO.]	DECEMBER 27, 1974

* UNINCORPORATED AREAS ONLY

PENNSYLVANIA

COMMUNITY NUMBER	NAME	HAZARD AREA IDENTIFIED
422380	FERGUSON, TOWNSHIP [CLEARFIELD CO.]	JANUARY 03, 1975
422067	FOREST CITY, BOROUGH OF [SUSQUEHANNA CO.]	NOVEMBER 22, 1974
422576	FOREST LAKE, TWP [SUSQUEHANNA CO.]	JANUARY 24, 1975
421419	FORWARD, TOWNSHIP OF [BUTLER CO.]	SEPTEMBER 13, 1974
421502	FOXBURG, BOROUGH OF [CLARION CO.]	DECEMBER 20, 1974
422063	FOX, TOWNSHIP OF [SULLIVAN CO.]	DECEMBER 20, 1974
422315	FRANKFORT SPRINGS, BORO [BEAVER CO.]	JANUARY 17, 1975
422593	FRANKLIN, BOROUGH OF (CAMBRIA CO.)	JANUARY 10, 1975
421398	FRANKLIN, TOWNSHIP OF [BRADFORD CO.]	OCTOBER 25, 1974
421362	FRANKLIN, TOWNSHIP OF [ERIE CO.]	DECEMBER 13, 1974
422350	FRANKLIN, TOWNSHIP [BUTLER CO.]	JANUARY 24, 1975
422288	FRANKLIN, TOWNSHIP OF [CHESTER CO.]	NOVEMBER 29, 1974
422595	FRANKLIN, TOWNSHIP [GREENE CO]	JANUARY 03, 1975
422573	FRANKLIN, TOWNSHIP OF [HUNTINGDON CO.]	DECEMBER 27, 1974
422030	FREEBURG, BOROUGH OF [SNYDER CO.]	DECEMBER 13, 1974
422121	FREEHOLD, TWP [WARREN CO]	JANUARY 24, 1975
422432	FREEPORT, TWP [GREENE CO.]	JANUARY 03, 1975
422110	FRENCH CREEK, TOWNSHIP OF [VENANGO CO.]	NOVEMBER 22, 1974
421727	GASKILL, TOWNSHIP OF [JEFFERSON CO.]	DECEMBER 13, 1974
421627	GERMAN, TWP [FAYETTE CO]	JANUARY 03, 1975
422433	GILMORE, TWP [GREENE CO.]	JANUARY 10, 1975
420112	GLASGOW, BOROUGH OF [BEAVER CO.]	AUGUST 16, 1974
422437	GLEN CAMPBELL, BORO [INDIANA CO.]	JANUARY 24, 1975
420305	GLEN HOPE, BOROUGH OF [CLEARFIELD CO.]	DECEMBER 20, 1974
421754	GLENBURN, TOWNSHIP OF [LACKAWANNA CO.]	DECEMBER 27, 1974
421522	GRAHAM, TWP [CLEARFIELD CO]	JANUARY 03, 1975
421717	GRANT, TOWNSHIP OF (INDIANA CO.)	JANUARY 31, 1975
421591	GRATZ, BOROUGH OF [DAUPHIN CO.]	DECEMBER 20, 1974
421669	GRAY, TOWNSHIP OF [GREENE CO.]	DECEMBER 20, 1974
422317	GREENE, TOWNSHIP OF (BEAVER CO.)	DECEMBER 13, 1974
421670	GREENE, TWP [GREENE CO]	JANUARY 17, 1975
421365	GREENFIELD, TOWNSHIP OF [ERIE CO.]	DECEMBER 06, 1974
422456	GREENFIELD, TOWNSHIP [LACKAWANNA CO.]	JANUARY 10, 1975
421523	GREENWOOD, TOWNSHIP OF [CLEARFIELD CO.]	DECEMBER 20, 1974
422390	GREENWOOD, TOWNSHIP [CRAWFORD CO.]	JANUARY 17, 1975
421644	GREEN, TWP [FOREST CO]	JANUARY 24, 1975
421539	GRUGAN, TOWNSHIP OF [CLINTON CO.]	NOVEMBER 08, 1974
421650	GUILFORD, TWP [FRANKLIN CO]	JANUARY 03, 1975
421524	GULICH, TOWNSHIP OF [CLEARFIELD CO.]	DECEMBER 20, 1974
421888	HAMILTON, TOWNSHIP OF [MONROE CO.]	NOVEMBER 22, 1974
421223	HANOVER, TOWNSHIP OF [BEAVER CO.]	SEPTEMBER 06, 1974
422081	HARFORD, TOWNSHIP OF [SUSQUEHANNA CO.]	SEPTEMBER 20, 1974
422528	HARTLETON, BOROUGH OF [UNION CO.]	DECEMBER 27, 1974
422102	HARTLEY, TOWNSHIP OF [UNION CO.]	DECEMBER 20, 1974
421503	HAWTHORNE, BOROUGH OF [CLARION CO.]	DECEMBER 27, 1974
421728	HEATH, TOWNSHIP OF [JEFFERSON CO.]	DECEMBER 06, 1974
421069	HEIDELBERG, TOWNSHIP OF [BERKS CO.]	DECEMBER 06, 1974
421809A	HEIDELBERG, TOWNSHIP OF [LEHIGH CO.]	DECEMBER 27, 1974 AND OCTOBER 17, 1975

* UNINCORPORATED AREAS ONLY

PENNSYLVANIA

COMMUNITY NUMBER	NAME	HAZARD AREA IDENTIFIED
422221	HEIDELBERG, TWP [YORK CO]	JANUARY 10, 1975
421628	HENRY CLAY, TOWN OF [FAYETTE CO.]	DECEMBER 06, 1974
422289	HIGHLAND, TOWNSHIP OF [CHESTER CO.]	NOVEMBER 29, 1974
421609	HIGHLAND, TWP [ELK CO]	JANUARY 10, 1975
422319	HOOKSTOWN, BOROUGH OF (BEAVER CO.)	JANUARY 31, 1975
421581	HOPEWELL, TOWNSHIP OF [CUMBERLAND CO.]	DECEMBER 27, 1974
421690	HOPEWELL, TOWNSHIP OF [HUNTINGDON CO.]	DECEMBER 06, 1974
421610	HORTON, TOWNSHIP OF [ELK CO.]	SEPTEMBER 13, 1974
420307	HOUTZDALE, BOROUGH OF [CLEARFIELD CO.]	MAY 17, 1974
422299	HOVEY, TWP [ARMSTRONG CO.]	JANUARY 24, 1975
421464	HOWARD, TOWNSHIP OF [CENTRE CO.]	NOVEMBER 22, 1974
421525	HUSTON, TOWNSHIP OF [CLEARFIELD CO.]	DECEMBER 20, 1974
422332	HUSTON, TOWNSHIP [BLAIR CO.]	JANUARY 10, 1975
421323	INDEPENDENCE, TOWNSHIP OF [BEAVER CO.]	AUGUST 30, 1974
420308	IRVONA, BOROUGH OF [CLEARFIELD CO.]	APRIL 12, 1974
422534	IRWIN, TOWNSHIP OF (VENANGO CO.)	JANUARY 31, 1975
420502	JACKSONVILLE, BOROUGH OF [INDIANA CO.]	DECEMBER 13, 1974
421552	JACKSON, TOWNSHIP OF [COLUMBIA CO.]	DECEMBER 13, 1974
421671	JACKSON, TOWNSHIP OF [GREENE CO.]	DECEMBER 20, 1974
421691	JACKSON, TOWNSHIP OF [HUNTINGDON CO.]	DECEMBER 13, 1974
421593	JACKSON, TOWNSHIP OF (DAUPHIN CO.)	JANUARY 31, 1975
422535	JACKSON, TOWNSHIP [VENANGO CO.]	JANUARY 24, 1975
421952	JACKSON, TOWNSHIP OF [PERRY CO.]	DECEMBER 27, 1974
421889	JACKSON, TWP [MONROE CO]	JANUARY 03, 1975
422431	JAMESTOWN, BORO [MERCER CO.]	JANUARY 03, 1975
421611	JAY, TOWNSHIP OF [ELK CO.]	NOVEMBER 15, 1974
421421	JEFFERSON, TOWNSHIP OF [BUTLER CO.]	NOVEMBER 01, 1974
421594	JEFFERSON, TOWNSHIP OF (DAUPHIN CO.)	JANUARY 31, 1975
422457	JEFFERSON, TOWNSHIP [LACKAWANNA CO.]	JANUARY 03, 1975
422050	JEFFERSON, TOWNSHIP OF [SOMERSET CO.]	DECEMBER 13, 1974
421629	JEFFERSON, TWP [FAYETTE CO]	JANUARY 03, 1975
422422	JENKS, TOWNSHIP [FOREST CO.]	JANUARY 17, 1975
422514	JENNERSTOWN, BOROUGH OF [SOMERSET CO.]	DECEMBER 27, 1974
422051	JENNER, TWP [SOMERSET CO]	JANUARY 24, 1975
422084	JESSUP, TOWNSHIP OF [SUSQUEHANNA CO.]	DECEMBER 27, 1974
422596	JORDAN, TOWNSHIP [LYCOMING CO]	JANUARY 24, 1975
422383	JORDON, TOWNSHIP (CLEARFIELD CO)	JANUARY 17, 1975
421390	JUNIATA, TOWNSHIP OF [BLAIR CO.]	DECEMBER 27, 1974
421692	JUNIATA, TWP [HUNTINGDON CO]	JANUARY 17, 1975
420218	KARNS CITY, BOROUGH OF [BUTLER CO.]	NOVEMBER 08, 1974
421526	KARTHAUS, TOWNSHIP OF [CLEARFIELD CO.]	NOVEMBER 29, 1974
421981	KEATING, TOWNSHIP OF [POTTER CO.]	NOVEMBER 22, 1974
422423	KINGSLEY, TOWNSHIP OF [FOREST CO.]	DECEMBER 27, 1974
421342	KING, TOWNSHIP OF (BEDFORD CO.)	JANUARY 31, 1975
421209	KISKIMINTAS, TOWNSHIP OF [ARMSTRONG CO.]	SEPTEMBER 20, 1974
421730	KNOX, TOWNSHIP OF [JEFFERSON CO.]	DECEMBER 20, 1974
422320	KOPPEL, BOROUGH OF (BEAVER CO.)	JANUARY 31, 1975
422483	LAKE, TOWNSHIP OF (MERCER CO.)	JANUARY 31, 1975

* UNINCORPORATED AREAS ONLY

PENNSYLVANIA

COMMUNITY NUMBER	NAME	HAZARD AREA IDENTIFIED
421422	LANCASTER, TOWNSHIP OF [BUTLER CO.]	SEPTEMBER 06, 1974
422057	LAPORTE, BOROUGH OF [SULLIVAN CO.]	DECEMBER 20, 1974
422515	LARIMER, TOWNSHIP [SOMERSET CO.]	JANUARY 10, 1975
422085	LATHROP, TOWNSHIP OF [SUSQUEHANNA CO.]	NOVEMBER 29, 1974
421454	LAUSANNE, TWP [CARBON CO]	JANUARY 03, 1975
422334	LE RAYSVILLE, BORO [BRADFORD CO.]	JANUARY 24, 1975
422567	LEBANON, TWP [WAYNE CO.]	JANUARY 03, 1975
421967	LEHMAN, TWP [PIKE CO]	JANUARY 03, 1975
421540	LEIDY, TOWNSHIP OF [CLINTON CO.]	DECEMBER 20, 1974
422200	LEMON, TOWNSHIP OF [WYOMING CO.]	NOVEMBER 29, 1974
422086	LENOX, TOWNSHIP OF [SUSQUEHANNA CO.]	DECEMBER 20, 1974
421076	LEROY, TOWNSHIP OF [BRADFORD CO.]	SEPTEMBER 20, 1974
422425	LETTERKENNY, TOWNSHIP OF [FRANKLIN CO.]	DECEMBER 20, 1974
420929	LEWISBERRY, BOROUGH OF [YORK CO.]	AUGUST 02, 1974
421940	LEWIS, TOWNSHIP OF (NORTHUMBERLAND CO.)	JANUARY 31, 1975
422087	LIBERTY, TOWNSHIP OF [SUSQUEHANNA CO.]	NOVEMBER 29, 1974
421662	LICKING CREEK, TOWNSHIP OF [FULTON CO.]	DECEMBER 20, 1974
422368	LICKING, TOWNSHIP [CLARION CO.]	JANUARY 24, 1975
422609	LIGONIER, BOROUGH OF [WASHINGTON CO.]	APRIL 12, 1974
421430	LILLY, BOROUGH OF [CAMBRIA CO.]	NOVEMBER 01, 1974
* 422547	LIMESTONE, TOWNSHIP OF (WARREN CO.)	DECEMBER 27, 1974
422588	LIMESTONE, TOWNSHIP [LYCOMING CO]	JANUARY 24, 1975
422516	LINCOLN, TOWNSHIP [SOMERSET CO.]	JANUARY 10, 1975
421693	LINCOLN, TWP [HUNTINGDON CO]	JANUARY 03, 1975
421560	LINESVILLE, BORO [CRAWFORD CO]	JANUARY 10, 1975
421533	LOGANTON, BOROUGH OF [CLINTON CO.]	NOVEMBER 08, 1974
421694	LOGAN, TOWNSHIP OF [HUNTINGDON CO.]	DECEMBER 06, 1974
421582	LOWER MIFFLIN, TOWNSHIP OF [CUMBERLAND CO.]	DECEMBER 27, 1974
422253	LOWER NAZARETH, TOWNSHIP OF [NORTHAMPTON CO.]	NOVEMBER 15, 1974
422517	LOWER TURKEYFOOT, TWP [SOMERSET CO.]	JANUARY 24, 1975
421630	LOWER TYRONE, TOWNSHIP OF [FAYETTE CO.]	DECEMBER 06, 1974
421811	LOWHILL, TOWNSHIP OF [LEHIGH CO.]	DECEMBER 20, 1974
421595	LYKENS, TOWNSHIP OF (DAUPHIN CO.)	JANUARY 31, 1975
421812	LYNN, TOWNSHIP OF [LEHIGH CO.]	NOVEMBER 29, 1974
421308	MADISON, TOWNSHIP OF [ARMSTRONG CO.]	NOVEMBER 22, 1974
422370	MADISON, TOWNSHIP [CLARION CO.]	JANUARY 10, 1975
421755	MADISON, TWP [LACKAWANNA CO]	JANUARY 03, 1975
420310	MAHAFFEY, BOROUGH OF [CLEARFIELD CO.]	AUGUST 30, 1974
421325	MANNS CHOICE, BOROUGH OF [BEDFORD CO.]	DECEMBER 13, 1974
420219	MARION TWP [BUTLER CO]	JUNE 02, 1974
421364	MARTINSBURG, BOROUGH OF [BLAIR CO.]	DECEMBER 20, 1974
421935	MCEWENSVILLE, BOROUGH OF [NORTHUMBERLAND CO.]	DECEMBER 27, 1974
421881	MENNO, TOWNSHIP OF [MIFFLIN CO.]	NOVEMBER 22, 1974
422352	MERCER, TOWNSHIP [BUTLER CO.]	JANUARY 10, 1975
* 421653	METAL, TWP [FRANKLIN CO]	JANUARY 24, 1975
422044	MEYERSDALE, BOROUGH OF [SOMERSET CO.]	NOVEMBER 15, 1974
421443	MIDDLE TAYLOR, TOWNSHIP OF [CAMBRIA CO.]	NOVEMBER 22, 1974
422518	MIDDLECREEK, TOWNSHIP [SOMERSET CO.]	JANUARY 03, 1975
422321	MIDLAND, BOROUGH OF (BEAVER CO.)	JANUARY 31, 1975

* UNINCORPORATED AREAS ONLY

PENNSYLVANIA

COMMUNITY NUMBER	NAME	HAZARD AREA IDENTIFIED
422558	MIDWAY, BORO [WASHINGTON CO.]	JANUARY 24, 1975
422519	MILFORD, TOWNSHIP [SOMERSET CO.]	JANUARY 03, 1975
421871	MILL CREEK, TOWNSHIP OF [MERCER CO.]	DECEMBER 20, 1974
421695	MILLER, TOWNSHIP OF [HUNTINGDON CO.]	NOVEMBER 29, 1974
421954	MILLER, TWP [PERRY CO]	JANUARY 17, 1975
422536	MINERAL, TOWNSHIP OF (VENANGO CO.)	JANUARY 31, 1975
421744	MONROE, TOWNSHIP (JUNIATA CO)	JANUARY 10, 1975
422372	MONROE, TOWNSHIP (CLARION CO)	JANUARY 03, 1975
421083	MONROE, TWP [BRADFORD CO]	SEPTEMBER 13, 1974
422426	MONTGOMERY, TOWNSHIP OF [FRANKLIN CO.]	DECEMBER 13, 1974
421719	MONTGOMERY, TWP [INDIANA CO]	JANUARY 03, 1975
421674	MORGAN, TOWNSHIP OF [GREENE CO.]	NOVEMBER 01, 1974
421696	MORRIS, TOWNSHIP OF [HUNTINGDON CO.]	NOVEMBER 22, 1974
420692	MT. POCONO, BOROUGH OF (MONROE CO.)	JANUARY 24, 1975
421847	MUNCY, TOWNSHIP (LYCOMING CO)	DECEMBER 20, 1974
422263	MUNSTER, TOWNSHIP OF [CAMBRIA CO.]	NOVEMBER 22, 1974
421348	NAPIER, TOWNSHIP OF (BEDFORD CO.)	JANUARY 31, 1975
420725	NAZARETH, BOROUGH OF [NORTHAMPTON CO.]	JANUARY 09, 1974
420889A	NEW ALEXANDRIA, BOROUGH OF [WESTMORELAND CO.]	JUNE 28, 1974 AND
		OCTOBER 17, 1975
420799	NEW BALTIMORE, BOROUGH OF [SOMERSET CO.]	NOVEMBER 08, 1974
422012	NEW CASTLE, TOWNSHIP OF [SCHUYLKILL CO.]	DECEMBER 27, 1974
422322	NEW GALILEE, BOROUGH OF (BEAVER CO.)	JANUARY 31, 1975
422484	NEW LEBANON, BORO [MERCER CO.]	JANUARY 24, 1975
422485	NEW VERNON, TOWNSHIP OF (MERCER CO.)	JANUARY 31, 1975
420312	NEW WASHINGTON, BOROUGH OF [CLEARFIELD CO.]	DECEMBER 20, 1974
422405	NEWBURG, BORO [CUMBERLAND CO.]	JANUARY 10, 1975
420311	NEWBURG, BOROUGH OF [CLEARFIELD CO.]	NOVEMBER 29, 1974
421579	NEWVILLE, BOROUGH OF (CUMBERLAND CO.)	JANUARY 31, 1975
422460	NORTH ABINGTON, TOWNSHIP OF [LACKAWANNA CO.]	DECEMBER 27, 1974
422300A	NORTH APOLLO, BOROUGH OF (ARMSTRONG CO.)	JANUARY 31, 1975 AND
		OCTOBER 31, 1975
422182	NORTH BELLE VERNON, BOROUGH OF [WESTMORELAND CO.]	NOVEMBER 29, 1974
422203	NORTH BRANCH, TOWNSHIP OF [WYOMING CO.]	NOVEMBER 29, 1974
421310	NORTH BUFFALO, TOWNSHIP OF [ARMSTRONG CO.]	APRIL 05, 1974
421085	NORTH FAYETTE, TWP [ALLEGHENY CO]	SEPTEMBER 20, 1974
421086	NORTH HEIDELBERG, TOWNSHIP OF [BERKS CO.]	SEPTEMBER 13, 1974
422438	NORTH MAHONING, TWP [INDIANA CO.]	JANUARY 10, 1975
422204	NORTH MORELAND, TOWNSHIP OF [WYOMING CO.]	NOVEMBER 15, 1974
421583	NORTH NEWTON, TWP [CUMBERLAND CO]	JANUARY 17, 1975
421392	NORTH WOODBURY, TWP [BLAIR CO]	JANUARY 24, 1975
422520	NORTHAMPTON, TOWNSHIP [SOMERSET CO.]	JANUARY 03, 1975
422111	OAKLAND, TOWNSHIP OF [VENANGO CO.]	DECEMBER 13, 1974
422354	OAKLAND, TOWNSHIP [BUTLER CO.]	JANUARY 10, 1975
422052	OGLE, TOWNSHIP OF [SOMERSET CO.]	DECEMBER 13, 1974
421615	OHIOPYLE, BOROUGH OF (FAYETTE CO.)	JANUARY 31, 1975
422537	OILCREEK, TOWNSHIP [VENANGO CO.]	JANUARY 24, 1975
421732	OLIVER, TOWNSHIP OF [JEFFERSON CO.]	DECEMBER 13, 1974

* UNINCORPORATED AREAS ONLY

PENNSYLVANIA

COMMUNITY NUMBER	NAME	HAZARD AREA IDENTIFIED
421401	ORWELL, TWP [BRADFORD CO]	JANUARY 24, 1975
420313	OSCEOLA MILLS, BOROUGH OF (CLEAFIELD CO.)	MARCH 29, 1974
421982	OSWAYO, TOWNSHIP OF [POTTER CO.]	NOVEMBER 22, 1974
422486	OTTER CREEK, TOWNSHIP OF (MERCER CO.)	JANUARY 31, 1975
421860	OTTO, TOWNSHIP OF [MCKEAN CO.]	NOVEMBER 15, 1974
422568	OVERFIELD, TOWNSHIP OF [WYOMING CO.]	DECEMBER 27, 1974
421402	OVERTON, TOWNSHIP OF [BRADFORD CO.]	AUGUST 30, 1974
422521	PAINT, TOWNSHIP OF [SOMERSET CO.]	DECEMBER 27, 1974
422373	PAINT, TOWNSHIP [CLARION CO.]	JANUARY 24, 1975
421968	PALMYRA, TOWNSHIP OF [PIKE CO.]	DECEMBER 13, 1974
420099	PARKER CITY, CITY OF [ARMSTRONG CO.]	JUNE 14, 1974
421219	PARKER, TOWNSHIP OF [BUTLER CO.]	SEPTEMBER 20, 1974
421311	PARKS, TOWNSHIP OF [ARMSTRONG CO.]	MAY 03, 1974
422325	PATTERSON HEIGHTS, BORO [BEAVER CO.]	JANUARY 17, 1975
422496	PENNSBURG, BOROUGH OF [MONTGOMERY CO.]	DECEMBER 27, 1974
421848	PENN, TOWNSHIP (LYCOMING CO)	NOVEMBER 01, 1974
421698	PENN, TOWNSHIP OF [HUNTINGDON CO.]	DECEMBER 06, 1974
421530	PENN, TOWNSHIP OF [CLEARFIELD CO.]	NOVEMBER 29, 1974
421509	PERRY, TOWNSHIP OF [CLARION CO.]	NOVEMBER 15, 1974
422444	PERRY, TOWNSHIP [JEFFERSON CO.]	JANUARY 10, 1975
422434	PERRY, TOWNSHIP [GREENE CO.]	JANUARY 10, 1975
422487	PERRY, TOWNSHIP OF (MERCER CO.)	JANUARY 31, 1975
422038	PERRY, TWP [SNYDER CO]	JANUARY 03, 1975
420221	PETROLIA, BOROUGH OF [BUTLER CO.]	NOVEMBER 22, 1974
421190	PIKE, TOWNSHIP OF [CLEARFIELD CO.]	SEPTEMBER 06, 1974
421403	PIKE, TOWNSHIP OF [BRADFORD CO.]	NOVEMBER 01, 1974
422445	PINECREEK, TOWNSHIP [JEFFERSON CO.]	JANUARY 24, 1975
422538	PINEGROVE, TOWNSHIP [VENANGO CO.]	JANUARY 24, 1975
422374	PINEY, TOWNSHIP [CLARION CO.]	JANUARY 17, 1975
421312	PINE, TOWNSHIP OF [ARMSTRONG CO.]	SEPTEMBER 20, 1974
421720	PINE, TWP [INDIANA CO]	JANUARY 03, 1975
422125	PITTSFIELD, TOWNSHIP OF [WARREN CO.]	NOVEMBER 08, 1974
421984	PLEASANT VALLEY, TOWNSHIP OF [POTTER CO.]	NOVEMBER 29, 1974
421327	PLEASANTVILLE, BOROUGH OF [BEDFORD CO.]	NOVEMBER 29, 1974
422548	PLEASANT, TOWNSHIP [WARREN CO.]	JANUARY 17, 1975
421313	PLUM CREEK, TOWNSHIP OF [ARMSTRONG CO.]	SEPTEMBER 06, 1974
420504A	PLUMVILLE, BOROUGH OF [INDIANA CO.]	AUGUST 09, 1974 AND OCTOBER 10, 1975
422539	PLUM, TOWNSHIP [VENANGO CO.]	JANUARY 24, 1975
421733	POLK, TOWNSHIP OF [JEFFERSON CO.]	DECEMBER 06, 1974
421985	PORTAGE, TOWNSHIP OF [POTTER CO.]	DECEMBER 13, 1974
422355	PORTERSVILLE, BORO [BUTLER CO.]	JANUARY 10, 1975
421510	PORTER, TOWNSHIP OF [CLARION CO.]	SEPTEMBER 06, 1974
422327	POTTER, TOWNSHIP OF [BEAVER CO.]	DECEMBER 13, 1974
422356	PROSPECT, BORO [BUTLER CO.]	JANUARY 17, 1975
422328	PULASKI, TOWNSHIP OF [BEAVER CO.]	DECEMBER 20, 1974
422053	QUEMAHONING, TOWNSHIP OF [SOMERSET CO.]	DECEMBER 20, 1974
421655	QUINCY, TOWNSHIP OF [FRANKLIN CO.]	DECEMBER 27, 1974
421220	RACCOON, TOWNSHIP OF [BEAVER CO.]	AUGUST 02, 1974

* UNINCORPORATED AREAS ONLY

PENNSYLVANIA

COMMUNITY NUMBER	NAME	HAZARD AREA IDENTIFIED
422393	RANDOLPH, TOWNSHIP [CRAWFORD CO.]	JANUARY 10, 1975
421721	RAYNE, TWP [INDIANA CO]	JANUARY 17, 1975
421445	READE, TOWNSHIP OF [CAMBRIA CO.]	DECEMBER 06, 1974
421315	REDBANK, TOWNSHIP OF [ARMSTRONG CO.]	DECEMBER 06, 1974
422375	RICHLAND, TOWNSHIP [CLARION CO.]	JANUARY 17, 1975
422540	RICHLAND, TOWNSHIP [VENANGO CO.]	JANUARY 24, 1975
422447	RINGGOLD, TOWNSHIP [JEFFERSON CO.]	JANUARY 03, 1975
421557	ROARING CREEK, TOWNSHIP OF [COLUMBIA CO.]	DECEMBER 13, 1974
421097	ROBINSON, TOWNSHIP OF [ALLEGHENY CO.]	SEPTEMBER 20, 1974
422562	ROBINSON, TWP [WASHINGTON CO.]	JANUARY 17, 1975
422113	ROCKLAND, TWP [VENANGO CO]	JANUARY 10, 1975
422045	ROCKWOOD, BOROUGH OF [SOMERSET CO.]	DECEMBER 06, 1974
422395	ROME, TOWNSHIP [CRAWFORD CO.]	JANUARY 03, 1975
422255	ROSETO, BOROUGH OF [NORTHAMPTON CO.]	NOVEMBER 15, 1974
420826	ROSEVILLE, BOROUGH OF [TIOGA CO.]	DECEMBER 13, 1974
421734	ROSE, TOWNSHIP OF (JEFFERSON CO.)	SEPTEMBER 20, 1974
421895	ROSS, TOWNSHIP OF [MONROE CO.]	NOVEMBER 29, 1974
421835	ROSS, TWP [LUZERNE CO]	JANUARY 24, 1975
421986	ROULETTE, TOWNSHIP OF [POTTER CO.]	DECEMBER 20, 1974
422302	RURAL VALLEY, BORO [ARMSTRONG CO.]	JANUARY 24, 1975
422090	RUSH, TOWNSHIP OF [SUSQUEHANNACO.]	SEPTEMBER 20, 1974
421597	RUSH, TOWNSHIP OF (DAUPHIN CO.)	JANUARY 31, 1975
421488	SADSBURY, TOWNSHIP OF (CHESTER CO.) (CHESTER CO.)	JANUARY 31, 1975 SEPTEMBER 13, 1974
422192	SALEM, TOWNSHIP OF [WESTMORELAND CO.]	SEPTEMBER 20, 1974
422376	SALEM, TOWNSHIP [CLARION CO.]	JANUARY 10, 1975
420492	SALTILLO, BOROUGH OF (HUNTINGTON CO.)	JANUARY 31, 1975
421874	SANDY LAKE, TOWNSHIP OF [MERCER CO.]	DECEMBER 13, 1974
422357	SAXONBURG, BOROUGH OF [BUTLER CO.]	DECEMBER 27, 1974
420237	SCALP LEVEL, BOROUGH OF [CAMBRIA CO.]	JUNE 28, 1974
422173	SCOTT, TOWNSHIP OF [WAYNE CO.]	NOVEMBER 22, 1974
421757	SCOTT, TOWNSHIP OF [LACKAWANNA CO.]	DECEMBER 27, 1974
420071	SEWICKLEY HTS, BORO [ALLEGHENY CO]	MARCH 22, 1974 AND MAY 17, 1974
420802	SHANKSVILLE, BOROUGH OF [SOMERSET CO.]	NOVEMBER 15, 1974
422126	SHEFFIELD, TOWNSHIP OF [WARREN CO.]	NOVEMBER 22, 1974
421585	SHIPPENSBURG, TWP [CUMBERLAND CO]	SEPTEMBER 06, 1974
420117	SHIPPING PORT, BOROUGH OF [BEAVER CO.]	FEBRUARY 01, 1974
420493	SHIRLEYSBURG, BOROUGH OF [HUNTINGDON CO.]	NOVEMBER 15, 1974
421700	SHIRLEY, TOWNSHIP OF [HUNTINGDON CO.]	DECEMBER 13, 1974
422230	SHREWSBURY, TWP [YORK CO]	JANUARY 03, 1975
422091	SILVER LAKE, TWP [SUSQUEHANNA CO]	JANUARY 10, 1975
422338	SILVERDALE, BORO [BUCKS CO.]	JANUARY 03, 1975
421506	SLIGO, BOROUGH OF [CLARION CO.]	NOVEMBER 08, 1974
420899	SMITHTON, BOROUGH OF [WESTMORELAND CO.]	MAY 31, 1974
421349	SNAKE SPRING, TWP [BEDFORD CO]	JANUARY 24, 1975
422055	SOMERSET, TWP [SOMERSET CO]	JANUARY 24, 1975
421214	SOUTH BEND, TOWNSHIP OF [ARMSTRONG CO.]	SEPTEMBER 20, 1974
420100	SOUTH BETHLEHEM, BOROUGH OF [ARMSTRONG CO]	JUNE 28, 1974

* UNINCORPORATED AREAS ONLY

PENNSYLVANIA

COMMUNITY NUMBER	NAME	HAZARD AREA IDENTIFIED
421619	SOUTH CONNELLSVILLE, BOROUGH OF [FAYETTE CO.]	NOVEMBER 08, 1974
420900	SOUTH GREENBURG, BOROUGH OF [WESTMORELAND CO.]	JUNE 14, 1974
422330	SOUTH HEIGHTS, BOROUGH OF (BEAVER CO.)	JANUARY 31, 1975
422194	SOUTH HUNTINGDON, TOWNSHIP OF [WESTMORELAND CO.]	AUGUST 09, 1974
422439	SOUTH MAHONING, TWP [INDIANA CO.]	JANUARY 24, 1975
422467	SOUTH NEW CASTLE, BORO [LAWRENCE CO.]	JANUARY 17, 1975
421586	SOUTH NEWTON, TOWNSHIP OF [CUMBERLAND CO.]	DECEMBER 27, 1974
421587	SOUTHAMPTON, TOWNSHIP OF [CUMBERLAND CO.]	DECEMBER 27, 1974
422523	SOUTHAMPTON, TOWNSHIP OF [SOMERSET CO.]	DECEMBER 27, 1974
422127	SOUTHWEST, TOWNSHIP OF [WARREN CO.]	NOVEMBER 22, 1974
421759	SPRING BROOK, TOWNSHIP OF [LACKAWANNA CO.]	DECEMBER 27, 1974
421614	SPRING CREEK, TOWNSHIP OF [ELK CO.]	DECEMBER 06, 1974
421638	SPRINGFIELD, TOWNSHIP OF [FAYETTE CO.]	DECEMBER 27, 1974
422092	SPRINGVILLE, TWP [SUSQUEHANNA CO]	JANUARY 10, 1975
421570	SPRING, TOWNSHIP OF [CRAWFORD CO.]	MAY 31, 1974
422039	SPRING, TWP [SNYDER CO]	JANUARY 17, 1975
421745	SPRUCE HILL, TOWNSHIP OF [JUNIATA CO.]	NOVEMBER 22, 1974
421406	STANDING STONE, TOWNSHIP OF [BRADFORD CO.]	SEPTEMBER 20, 1974
421407	STEVENS, TOWNSHIP OF [BRADFORD CO.]	NOVEMBER 01, 1974
* 421640	STEWART, TOWNSHIP OF [FAYETTE CO.]	DECEMBER 13, 1974
422524	STONYCREEK, TOWNSHIP [SOMERSET CO.]	JANUARY 03, 1975
420152	STRAUSSTOWN, BORO [BERKS CO]	JANUARY 24, 1975
421328	ST. CLAIRSVILLE, BOROUGH OF (BEDFORD CO.)	JANUARY 31, 1975
422191	ST. CLAIR, TOWNSHIP OF [WESTMORELAND CO.]	SEPTEMBER 20, 1974
422489	SUGAR GROVE, TOWNSHIP OF (MERCER CO.)	JANUARY 31, 1975
422549	SUGAR GROVE, TOWNSHIP [WARREN CO.]	JANUARY 24, 1975
422303	SUGARCREEK, TWP [ARMSTRONG CO.]	JANUARY 24, 1975
422399	SUMMERHILL, TOWNSHIP OF (CRAWFORD CO.)	JANUARY 31, 1975
422358	SUMMIT, TOWNSHIP [BUTLER CO.]	JANUARY 24, 1975
422056	SUMMIT, TWP [SOMERSET CO]	JANUARY 03, 1975
420816	SUSQUEHANNA DEPOT, BOROUGH OF (SEQUEHANNA CO.)	JUNE 21, 1974
421447	SUSQUEHANNA, TOWNSHIP OF [CAMBRIA CO.]	NOVEMBER 15, 1974
421990	SYLVANIA, TOWNSHIP OF [POTTER CO.]	DECEMBER 06, 1974
422397	S. SHENANGO, TOWNSHIP [CRAWFORD CO.]	JANUARY 03, 1975
421469	TAYLOR, TOWNSHIP OF [CENTRE CO.]	DECEMBER 20, 1974
422339	TELFORD, BOROUGH OF (MONTGOMERY CO.)	JANUARY 10, 1975
422582	THOMPSON, BORO [SUSQUEHANNA CO.]	JANUARY 24, 1975
421664	THOMPSON, TOWNSHIP [FULTON CO.]	DECEMBER 13, 1974
422576	THREE SPRINGS, BORO [HUNTINGDON CO.]	JANUARY 24, 1975
422448	TIMBLIN, BORO [JEFFERSON CO.]	JANUARY 24, 1975
420468	TIONESTA, BOROUGH OF [FOREST CO.]	MARCH 29, 1974
421113	TOWANDA, TOWNSHIP OF (BRADFORD CO.)	JULY 26, 1974
422401	TOWNVILLE, BORO [CRAWFORD CO.]	JANUARY 24, 1975
422550	TRIUMPH, TWP [WARREN CO.]	JANUARY 17, 1975
420315	TROUTVILLE, BOROUGH OF [CLEARFIELD CO.]	DECEMBER 06, 1974
421115	TULPEHOCKEN, TOWNSHIP OF [BERKS CO.]	NOVEMBER 08, 1974
421898	TUNKHANNOCK, TOWNSHIP OF (MONROE CO.)	JANUARY 31, 1975
421116	TUSCARORA, TOWNSHIP OF [BRADFORD CO.]	OCTOBER 18, 1974

* UNINCORPORATED AREAS ONLY

PENNSYLVANIA

COMMUNITY NUMBER	NAME	HAZARD AREA IDENTIFIED
421960	TUSCARORA, TWP [PERRY CO]	JANUARY 17, 1975
421961	TYRONE, TOWNSHIP OF (PERRY CO.)	JANUARY 31, 1975
422584	UNIONDALE, BORO [SUSQUEHANNA CO.]	JANUARY 24, 1975
421573	UNION, TOWNSHIP OF [CRAWFORD CO.]	AUGUST 30, 1974
421704	UNION, TOWNSHIP (HUNTINGDON CO)	DECEMBER 06, 1974
421370	UNION, TOWNSHIP OF [ERIE CO.]	DECEMBER 13, 1974
421261	UNION, TOWNSHIP OF [ADAMS CO.]	DECEMBER 06, 1974
422430	UNION, TOWNSHIP OF [FULTON CO.]	DECEMBER 27, 1974
422449	UNION, TOWNSHIP OF [JEFFERSON CO.]	DECEMBER 27, 1974
421836	UNION, TWP [LUZERNE CO]	JANUARY 17, 1975
421531	UNION, TWP [CLEARFIELD CO]	JANUARY 17, 1975
421118	UPPER BERN, TOWNSHIP OF [BERKS CO.]	SEPTEMBER 20, 1974
421589	UPPER MIFFLIN, TOWNSHIP OF [CUMBERLAND CO.]	NOVEMBER 15, 1974
421934	UPPER NAZARETH, TOWNSHIP OF [NORTHAMPTON CO.]	DECEMBER 27, 1971
421918	UPPER SALFORD, TOWNSHIP OF [MONTGOMERY CO.]	DECEMBER 06, 1974
422525	UPPER TURKEYFOOT, TOWNSHIP OF [SOMERSET CO.]	DECEMBER 27, 1974
421491	UPPER UWCHLAN, TOWNSHIP OF [CHESTER CO.]	DECEMBER 20, 1974
421120	UPR TULPEHOCKEN, TWP[BERKS CO]	JANUARY 10, 1975
420841	UTICA, BOROUGH OF [VENANGO CO.]	AUGUST 16, 1974
421316	VALLEY, TOWNSHIP OF [ARMSTRONG CO.]	NOVEMBER 29, 1974
421620	VANDERBILT, BOROUGH OF (FAYETTE CO.)	JANUARY 31, 1975
420355	VENANGO, BOROUGH OF [CRAWFORD CO.]	AUGUST 30, 1974
421574	VENANGO, TOWNSHIP OF [CRAWFORD CO.]	MAY 31, 1974
422359	VENANGO, TOWNSHIP [BUTLER CO.]	JANUARY 24, 1975
420081	VERSAILLES, BOROUGH OF [ALLEGHENY CO.]	MARCH 29, 1974
422543	VICTORY, TOWNSHIP OF (VENANGO CO.)	JANUARY 31, 1975
421577	W FALLOWFIELD, TWP [CRAWFORD CO]	JANUARY 17, 1975
422577	WALKER, TWP [HUNTINGDON CO.]	JANUARY 10, 1975
420316	WALLACETON, BOROUGH OF [CLEARFIELD CO.]	NOVEMBER 08, 1974
421493	WALLACE, TWP [CHESTER CO]	JANUARY 10, 1975
421408	WARREN, TOWNSHIP OF (BRADFORD CO.)	JANUARY 31, 1975
422427	WARREN, TOWNSHIP [FRANKLIN CO.]	JANUARY 24, 1975
422232	WARRINGTON, TOWNSHIP (YORK CO)	DECEMBER 27, 1974
421705	WARRIORS MARK, TWP [HUNTINGDON CO]	JANUARY 17, 1975
422450	WARSAW, TOWNSHIP [JEFFERSON CO.]	JANUARY 17, 1975
421383	WASHINGTON, TOWNSHIP OF [BERKS CO.]	NOVEMBER 22, 1974
422378	WASHINGTON, TOWNSHIP [CLARION CO.]	JANUARY 17, 1975
421678	WASHINGTON, TOWNSHIP OF [GREENE CO.]	NOVEMBER 01, 1974
422207	WASHINGTON, TOWNSHIP OF [WYOMING CO.]	DECEMBER 06, 1974
421317	WASHINGTON, TOWNSHIP OF [ARMSTRONG CO.]	NOVEMBER 15, 1974
421598	WASHINGTON, TOWNSHIP OF [DAUPHIN CO.]	DECEMBER 13, 1974
421448	WASHINGTON, TOWNSHIP OF [CAMBRIA CO.]	NOVEMBER 22, 1974
420224	WASHINGTON, TOWNSHIP OF [BUTLER CO.]	SEPTEMBER 13, 1974
422196	WASHINGTON, TOWNSHIP OF [WESTMORELAND CO.]	SEPTEMBER 06, 1974
422041	WASHINGTON, TWP [SNYDER CO]	JANUARY 17, 1975
421722	WASHINGTON, TWP [INDIANA CO]	DECEMBER 22, 1974
422419	WATERFORD, TOWNSHIP [ERIE CO.]	JANUARY 24, 1975
422551	WATSON, TWP [WARREN CO.]	JANUARY 10, 1975
422027	WAYNE, TOWNSHIP OF [SCHUYLKILL CO.]	NOVEMBER 29, 1974

* UNINCORPORATED AREAS ONLY

COMMUNITY NUMBER	NAME	HAZARD AREA IDENTIFIED
	PENNSYLVANIA	
421679	WAYNE, TOWNSHIP OF [GREENE CO.]	DECEMBER 27, 1974
421599	WAYNE, TWP [DAUPHIN CO]	JANUARY 17, 1975
421817	WEISENBERG, TWP [LEHIGH CO]	JANUARY 10, 1975
422526	WELLERSBURG, BOROUGH OF (SOMERSET CO.)	JANUARY 31, 1975
421760	WEST ABLINGTON, TOWNSHIP OF [LACKAWANNA CO.]	DECEMBER 20, 1974
422507	WEST BEAVER, TWP [SNYDER CO.]	JANUARY 24, 1975
422156	WEST BETHLEHEM, TOWNSHIP OF [WASHINGTON CO.]	NOVEMBER 29, 1974
422028	WEST BRUNSWICK, TWP [SCHUYLKILL CO]	JANUARY 10, 1975
422106	WEST BUFFALO, TOWNSHIP OF [UNION CO.]	NOVEMBER 01, 1974
421497	WEST CALN, TOWNSHIP OF [CHESTER CO.]	SEPTEMBER 06, 1974
421449	WEST CARROLL, TOWNSHIP OF [CAMBRIA CO.]	NOVEMBER 22, 1974
422565	WEST FINLEY, TOWNSHIP OF [WASHINGTON CO.]	DECEMBER 27, 1974
422304	WEST FRANKLIN, TWP [ARMSTRONG CO.]	JANUARY 10, 1975
421542	WEST KEATING, TOWNSHIP OF [CLINTON CO.]	DECEMBER 06, 1974
420905	WEST LEECHBURG, BOROUGH OF [WESTMORELAND CO.]	JUNE 21, 1974
421723	WEST MAHONING, TWP [INDIANA CO]	JANUARY 24, 1975
422234A	WEST MANHEIM, TOWNSHIP OF [YORK CO.]	DECEMBER 13, 1974 AND
		OCTOBER 31, 1975
422280	WEST NOTTINGHAM, TOWNSHIP OF [CHESTER CO.]	NOVEMBER 22, 1974
422029	WEST PENN, TOWNSHIP OF [SCHUYLKILL CO.]	NOVEMBER 15, 1974
422042	WEST PERRY, TOWNSHIP OF [SNYDER CO.]	NOVEMBER 01, 1974
421123	WEST ROCKHILL, TOWNSHIP OF [BUCKS CO.]	SEPTEMBER 13, 1974
422490	WEST SALEM, TWP [MERCER CO.]	JANUARY 24, 1975
422402	WEST SHENANGO, TOWNSHIP [CRAWFORD CO.]	JANUARY 17, 1975
421450	WEST TAYLOR, TOWNSHIP OF [CAMBRIA CO.]	NOVEMBER 22, 1974
421706	WEST, TWP [HUNTINGDON CO]	JANUARY 17, 1975
421680	WHITELEY, TOWNSHIP OF [GREENE CO.]	DECEMBER 27, 1974
422258	WHITE, TOWNSHIP OF [CAMBRIA CO.]	NOVEMBER 22, 1974
421725	WHITE, TOWNSHIP OF [INDIANA CO.]	DECEMBER 13, 1974
420400	WILLIAMSTOWN, BOROUGH OF [DAUPHIN CO.]	JUNE 28, 1974
421601	WILLIAMS, TOWNSHIP OF [DAUPHIN CO.]	DECEMBER 13, 1974
420244	WILMORE, BOROUGH OF [CAMBRIA CO.]	AUGUST 09, 1974
421124	WILMOT, TOWNSHIP OF [BRADFORD CO.]	SEPTEMBER 06, 1974
421215	WINSLOW, TWP [JEFFERSON CO]	SEPTEMBER 20, 1974
422491	WOLF CREEK, TOWNSHIP OF (MERCER CO.)	JANUARY 31, 1975
420157	WOMELSDORF, BOROUGH OF [BERKS CO.]	MAY 24, 1974
421330	WOODBURY, BOROUGH OF [BEDFORD CO.]	DECEMBER 06, 1974
421355	WOODBURY, TOWNSHIP OF (BEDFORD CO.)	JANUARY 31, 1975
422403	WOODCOCK, BOROUGH OF (CRAWFORD CO.)	SEPTEMBER 13, 1974
421532	WOODWARD, TOWNSHIP OF [CLEARFIELD CO.]	DECEMBER 27, 1974
422306	WORTHINGTON, BORO [ARMSTRONG CO.]	DECEMBER 27, 1974
422492	WORTH, TWP [MERCER CO.]	JANUARY 24, 1975
421126	WYALUSING, TOWNSHIP OF [BRADFORD CO.]	SEPTEMBER 20, 1974
420946	YORK HAVEN, BOROUGH OF [YORK CO.]	JANUARY 23, 1974
421737	YOUNG, TOWNSHIP OF [JEFFERSON CO.]	AUGUST 30, 1974
421726	YOUNG, TWP [INDIANA CO]	DECEMBER 20, 1974
	TOTAL IN THE STATE	620

* UNINCORPORATED AREAS ONLY

COMMUNITY NUMBER	NAME	HAZARD AREA IDENTIFIED
	RHODE ISLAND	
	TOTAL IN THE STATE	0

* UNINCORPORATED AREAS ONLY

COMMUNITY NUMBER	NAME	HAZARD AREA IDENTIFIED
	SOUTH CAROLINA	
450201	ALLENDALE COUNTY *	NOVEMBER 29, 1974
450222A	ATLANTIC BEACH, TOWN OF	AUGUST 23, 1974
450203	BAMBERG COUNTY *	NOVEMBER 29, 1974
450204	BARNWELL COUNTY *	DECEMBER 20, 1974
450027A	BLUFFTON, TOWN OF [BEAUFONT CO.]	MAY 17, 1974
450096A	BRUNSON, TOWN [HAMPTON CO]	MAY 31, 1974 AND
		NOVEMBER 14, 1975
450004	BURNETTOWN, TOWN OF [AIKEN CO.]	OCTOBER 25, 1974
450032	CAMERON, TOWN [CALHOUN CO]	JANUARY 03, 1975
450077	COWARD, TOWN OF [FLORENCE CO.]	OCTOBER 25, 1974
450124	CROSS HILL, TOWN OF [LAURENS CO.]	SEPTEMBER 06, 1974
450060	DARLINGTON COUNTY *	DECEMBER 27, 1974
450065	DILLON, TOWN OF [DILLON CO.]	MAY 17, 1974
450074	EDGEFIELD, TOWN OF [EDGEFIELD CO.]	MAY 24, 1974
450022	EHRHARDT, TOWN OF [BAMBERG CO.]	JULY 19, 1974
450118	ELGIN, TOWN [KERSHAW CO]	JANUARY 24, 1975
450010	FAIRFAX, TOWN OF [ALLENDALE CO.]	MAY 31, 1974
450132	GILBERT, TOWN OF [LEXINGTON CO.]	OCTOBER 25, 1974
450210	GRAY COURT, TOWN OF [LAURENS CO.]	DECEMBER 13, 1974
450163	HOLLY HILL, TOWN OF [ORANGEBURG CO.]	JUNE 07, 1974
450037	HOLLYWOOD, TOWN OF [CHARLESTON CO.]	SEPTEMBER 06, 1974
450217	INMAN, TOWN OF (SPARTANBURG COU)	JANUARY 31, 1975
450005	JACKSON, TOWN OF [AIKEN CO.]	MAY 17, 1974
450080	OLANTA, TOWN OF [FLORENCE CO.]	MAY 24, 1974
450081	PAMPLICO, TOWN OF [FLORENCE CO.]	MAY 10, 1974
450053	PAXVILLE, TOWN OF [CLARENDON CO.]	AUGUST 09, 1974
450135	PELION, TOWN OF [LEXINGTON CO.]	AUGUST 09, 1974
450183	PINEWOOD, TOWN OF [SUMTER CO.]	JUNE 21, 1974
450218	REEVESVILLE, TOWN OF [DORCHESTER CO.]	DECEMBER 27, 1974
450071	RIDGEVILLE, TOWN OF [DORCHESTER CO.]	MAY 31, 1974
450165	ROWESVILLE, TOWN OF [ORANGEBURG CO.]	SEPTEMBER 20, 1974
450212	SALEM, TOWN OF [OCONEE CO.]	NOVEMBER 08, 1974
450145	SELLERS, TOWN OF [MARION CO.]	JUNE 07, 1974
450155	SILVERSTREET, TOWN [NEWBERRY CO]	JANUARY 24, 1975
450057	SMOAKS, TOWN OF [COLLETON CO.]	SEPTEMBER 06, 1974
450011	SYCAMORE, TOWN OF [ALLENDALE CO.]	OCTOBER 25, 1974
450084A	TIMMONSVILLE, TOWN OF [FLORENCE CO.]	MAY 24, 1974
450012	ULMERS, TOWN OF [ALLENDALE CO.]	AUGUST 16, 1974
450059	WILLIAMS, TOWN [COLLETON CO]	JANUARY 10, 1975
	TOTAL IN THE STATE	38

* UNINCORPORATED AREAS ONLY

COMMUNITY NUMBER	NAME	HAZARD AREA IDENTIFIED
	SOUTH DAKOTA	
460077	ASHTON, CITY OF [SPINK CO.]	DECEMBER 06, 1974
460058	BALTIC, TOWN OF [MINNEHAHA CO.]	DECEMBER 06, 1974
460008	COLUMBIA, TOWN OF [BROWN CO.]	DECEMBER 06, 1974
460078	CONDE, TOWN OF [SPINK CO.]	DECEMBER 20, 1974
460026	EDGEMONT, CITY OF [FALL RIVER CO.]	AUGUST 02, 1974
460009	FREDERICK, TOWN OF [BROWN CO.]	NOVEMBER 22, 1974
460050	KENNEBEC, TOWN [LYMAN CO]	JANUARY 17, 1975
460068	LEBANON, TOWN [POTTER CO]	JANUARY 03, 1975
460080	NORTHVILLE, TOWN OF [SPINK CO.]	DECEMBER 13, 1974
460082	TURTON, TOWN OF [SPINK CO.]	DECEMBER 20, 1974
	TOTAL IN THE STATE	10

* UNINCORPORATED AREAS ONLY

COMMUNITY NUMBER	TENNESSEE NAME	HAZARD AREA IDENTIFIED
470159	ADAMS, TOWN OF [ROBERTSON CO.]	NOVEMBER 15, 1974
470007	BELL BUCKLE, TOWN OF [BEDFORD CO.]	JUNE 14, 1974
470246	BELLS, TOWN [CROCKETT CO]	JANUARY 10, 1975
470218	BENTON COUNTY	JANUARY 10, 1975
470128	BETHEL SPRINGS, TOWN OF [MCNAIRY CO.]	JUNE 28, 1974
470220	BLOUNT COUNTY	JANUARY 10, 1975
470057	BRADFORD, TOWN OF [GIBSON CO.]	JUNE 21, 1974
470244	BRUCETON, TOWN [CARROLL CO]	JANUARY 10, 1975
470147	BYRDSTOWN, CITY OF [PICKETT CO.]	JUNE 21, 1974
470016	CAMPBELL COUNTY *	NOVEMBER 29, 1974
470120	CHAPEL HILL, TOWN OF [MARSHALL CO.]	JUNE 14, 1974
470160	CROSS PLAINS, TOWN [ROBERTSON CO]	JANUARY 24, 1975
470046	DICKSON COUNTY *	DECEMBER 06, 1974
470166	EAGLEVILLE, TOWN OF [RUTHERFORD CO.]	AUGUST 23, 1974
470271	ENGLEWOOD, CITY OF [MCMINN CO.]	MAY 17, 1974
470129	FINGER, TOWN OF [MCNAIRY CO.]	SEPTEMBER 06, 1974
470247	GADSDEN, TOWN [CROCKETT CO]	JANUARY 24, 1975
470048	GALLAWAY, CITY OF [FAYETTE CO.]	DECEMBER 13, 1974
470264	GARLAND, TOWN OF (TIPTON COU)	JANUARY 31, 1975
470190	GILT EDGE, CITY OF [TIPTON CO.]	SEPTEMBER 13, 1974
* 470255	GRAND JUNCTION, CITY OF (HARDEMAN COU)	JANUARY 31, 1975
470161	GREENBRIER, CITY OF [ROBERTSON CO.]	JUNE 21, 1974
470226	HANCOCK COUNTY	JANUARY 24, 1975
470088	HENDERSON COUNTY *	DECEMBER 20, 1974
470259	HENNING, TOWN [LAUDERDALE CO]	JANUARY 03, 1975
470101	IRON CITY, CITY OF [LAWRENCE CO.]	JUNE 14, 1974
470224	KENTON, TOWN OF [GIBSON CO.]	DECEMBER 27, 1974
470231	LAWRENCE COUNTY	JANUARY 17, 1975
470121	LEWISBURG, CITY OF [MARSHALL CO.]	MARCH 01, 1974
470065	LYNNVILLE, CITY OF [GILES CO.]	JUNE 14, 1974
470251	MEDINA, CITY [GIBSON CO]	JANUARY 03, 1975
470139	MORGAN COUNTY	JANUARY 17, 1975
470149	PUTNAM COUNTY *	SEPTEMBER 13, 1974
470131	RAMER, TOWN OF [MCNAIRY CO.]	NOVEMBER 29, 1974
470277	RICHARD CITY, CITY OF [MARION CO.]	FEBRUARY 01, 1974
470162	RIDGETOP, CITY OF [ROBERTSON CO.]	JUNE 07, 1974
470050	ROSSVILLE, TOWN OF [FAYETTE CO.]	JULY 19, 1974
470061	RUTHERFORD, TOWN OF [GIBSON CO.]	JUNE 07, 1974
470083	SALTILLO, TOWN OF [HARDIN CO.]	JUNE 14, 1974
470078	SIGNAL MOUNTAIN, TOWN OF [HAMILTON CO.]	JUNE 14, 1974
470256	STANTON, TOWN [HAYWOOD CO]	JANUARY 03, 1975
470180	STEWART COUNTY *	SEPTEMBER 13, 1974
470279	SURGOINSVILLE, CITY OF [HAWKINS CO.]	MAY 17, 1974
470280	TELLICO PLAINS, CITY OF [MONROE CO.]	MARCH 08, 1974
470282	TRACY CITY, CITY OF [GRUNDY CO.]	MAY 10, 1974
470249	TRIMBLE, TOWN [DYER CO]	JANUARY 03, 1975
470252	TROY, CITY [OBION CO]	JANUARY 24, 1975
470009	WARTRACE, TOWN OF [BEDFORD CO.]	JUNE 14, 1974
470201	WAYNESBORO, CITY OF [WAYNE CO.]	JUNE 14, 1974
470118	WHITWELL, CITY OF [MARION CO.]	FEBRUARY 15, 1974

TOTAL IN THE STATE 50

* UNINCORPORATED AREAS ONLY

COMMUNITY NUMBER	TEXAS NAME	HAZARD AREA IDENTIFIED
480335A	ALAMO, CITY OF [HIDALGO CO.]	JANUARY 23, 1974 AND SEPTEMBER 05, 1975
480397	ALVARADO, CITY OF [JOHNSON CO.]	AUGUST 09, 1974
480007	ANGELINA COUNTY *	DECEMBER 27, 1974
480132	ANNA, CITY OF [COLLIN CO.]	AUGUST 09, 1974
480567	ARP, CITY OF [SMITH CO.]	AUGUST 16, 1974
480065	BAILEYS PRAIRIE, TOWN OF [BRAZORIA CO.]	NOVEMBER 08, 1974
480021	BANDERA, CITY OF [BANDERA CO.]	APRIL 12, 1974
480706	BELL COUNTY	JANUARY 10, 1975
480350	BLUM, CITY OF [HILL CO.]	NOVEMBER 01, 1974
480051	BOSQUE COUNTY *	DECEMBER 27, 1974
480676	BOYD, CITY OF [WISE CO.]	DECEMBER 28, 1973
480084	BREWSTER COUNTY *	DECEMBER 27, 1974
480398	BRIAR OAKS, CITY OF [JOHNSON CO.]	MARCH 29, 1974
480649	BURTON, CITY OF [WASHINGTON CO.]	DECEMBER 20, 1974
480490	CACTUS, CITY OF [MOORE CO.]	JUNE 14, 1974
480364	CADDO MILLS, CITY OF [HUNT CO.]	JUNE 28, 1974
480365	CELESTE, CITY OF [HUNT CO.]	JUNE 21, 1974
480566	CENTER, CITY OF [SHELBY CO.]	MARCH 01, 1974
* 480326	CHANDLER, CITY OF [HENDERSON CO]	JUNE 28, 1974
480169	COCKRELL HILL, CITY OF [DALLAS CO.]	DECEMBER 07, 1973
480144	COLORADO COUNTY *	OCTOBER 25, 1974
480104	COMBES, TOWN OF [CAMERON CO.]	MAY 10, 1974
480193	COOPER, CITY OF [DELTA CO.]	JANUARY 09, 1973
480527	CORRIGAN, CITY OF [POLK CO.]	MAY 24, 1974
480409	CRANDALL, CITY OF [KAUFMAN CO.]	MARCH 08, 1974
480164	DALHART, CITY OF [DALLAM CO.]	AUGUST 23, 1974
480088	EARLY, CITY OF [BROWN CO.]	MAY 17, 1974
480635	EDGEWOOD, CITY OF [VAN ZANDT CO.]	JUNE 14, 1974
480207	ENNIS, CITY OF [ELLIS CO.]	JUNE 28, 1974
480277	ESTELLINE, CITY OF [HALL CO.]	NOVEMBER 08, 1974
481069	FAIRVIEW, TOWN [COLLIN CO]	JANUARY 10, 1975
480226	FLOYDADA, CITY OF [FLOYD CO.]	MAY 31, 1974
480446A	FOLLETT, CITY OF [LIPSCOMB CO.]	JUNE 28, 1974 AND AUGUST 15, 1975
480003	FRANKSTON, CITY OF [ANDERSON CO.]	AUGUST 16, 1974
480523A	FRIONA, CITY OF [PARMER CO.]	APRIL 12, 1974
480574	GLEN ROSE, CITY [SOMERVELL CO]	AUGUST 23, 1974
480328	GUN BARREL CITY, CITY OF [HENDERSON CO.]	NOVEMBER 08, 1974
480153	GUSTINE, TOWN OF [COMANCHE CO.]	AUGUST 02, 1974
480273	HALE CENTER, CITY OF [HALE CO.]	MAY 10, 1974
480600	HASLET, CITY OF [TARRANT CO.]	NOVEMBER 01, 1974
480201	HEDLEY, CITY OF [DONLEY CO.]	NOVEMBER 08, 1974
480458	HEWITT, CITY OF [MCLENNAN CO.]	JANUARY 23, 1974
481072	IREDELL, TOWN OF [BOSQUE CO.]	NOVEMBER 01, 1974
480411	KAUFMAN, CITY OF [KAUFMAN CO.]	MARCH 22, 1974
480417	KENDALL COUNTY *	DECEMBER 27, 1974
480674	KERMIT, CITY OF [WINKLER CO.]	MAY 24, 1974

* UNINCORPORATED AREAS ONLY

COMMUNITY NUMBER	NAME	HAZARD AREA IDENTIFIED
	TEXAS	
480475	LACOSTE, CITY OF [MEDINA CO.]	JANUARY 09, 1974
480278	LAKEVIEW, TOWN OF [HALL CO.]	DECEMBER 06, 1974
480441	LIBERTY, TOWN OF [LIBERTY CO.]	DECEMBER 20, 1974
480569	LINDALE, CITY OF [SMITH CO.]	MARCH 22, 1974
480451	LLANO, CITY OF [LLANO CO.]	DECEMBER 28, 1973
480329	MALAKOFF, CITY OF [HENDERSON CO.]	OCTOBER 25, 1974
480268	MARION, CITY OF [GUADALUPE CO.]	JANUARY 09, 1974
480467	MASON, CITY OF [MASON CO.]	MAY 10, 1974
480208	MAYPEARL, CITY OF [ELLIS CO.]	NOVEMBER 29, 1974
480257	MCLEAN, CITY OF [GRAY CO.]	MAY 17, 1974
480463	MCMULLEN COUNTY *	DECEMBER 27, 1974
480376	MERTZON, CITY OF [IRION CO.]	JULY 26, 1974
480541	MIAMI, CITY OF [ROBERTS CO.]	MAY 24, 1974
480679	MINEOLA, CITY OF [WOOD CO.]	MAY 03, 1974
480937	MITCHELL COUNTY	APRIL 12, 1974
480433	MOULTON, TOWN OF [LAVACA CO.]	APRIL 05, 1974
480500	NEWTON, CITY OF [NEWTON CO.]	JUNE 07, 1974
480436	NORMANGEE, CITY OF [LEON CO.]	AUGUST 16, 1974
480437	OAKWOOD, TOWN OF [LEON CO.]	MAY 24, 1974
480206A	ODESSA, CITY OF [ECTOR CO.]	JUNE 28, 1974
481082	OZONA, CITY OF [CROCKETT CO.]	DECEMBER 07, 1973
480258	PAMPA, CITY OF [GRAY CO.]	MAY 10, 1974
480509A	PERRYTON, CITY OF [OCHILTREE CO.]	SEPTEMBER 13, 1974
480274	PETERSBURG, CITY OF [HALE CO.]	APRIL 12, 1974
480116	PITTSBURG, CITY OF [CAMP CO.]	JANUARY 23, 1974
480141	PROSPER, TOWN OF [COLLIN CO.]	JUNE 21, 1974
480645	PYOTE, CITY OF [WARD CO.]	AUGUST 16, 1974
480370	QUINLAN, CITY OF [HUNT CO.]	APRIL 12, 1974
480205	RANGER, CITY OF [EASTLAND CO.]	MAY 17, 1974
480628	RANKIN, CITY OF [UPTON CO.]	MAY 10, 1974
480562	RICHLAND SPRINGS, CITY OF [SAN SABA CO.]	NOVEMBER 08, 1974
480496	ROARING SPRINGS, CITY OF [MOTLEY CO.]	NOVEMBER 08, 1974
480225	ROBY, CITY OF [FISHER CO.]	MAY 17, 1974
480610	SAGINAW, CITY OF [TARRANT CO.]	MARCH 08, 1974
480667	SAN PERLITA, CITY OF [WILLACY CO.]	OCTOBER 25, 1974
480114	SANTA ROSA, CITY OF [CAMERON CO.]	MAY 17, 1974
480488	SPLENDORA, CITY OF [MONTGOMERY CO.]	AUGUST 30, 1974
480375	STINNETT, CITY OF [HUTCHINSON CO.]	MAY 31, 1974
480673A	STOCKDALE, CITY OF [WILSON CO.]	MAY 31, 1974 AND OCTOBER 10, 1975
480355	SUNDOWN, CITY OF [HOCKLEY CO.]	APRIL 12, 1974
480416	TERRELL, CITY OF [KAUFMAN CO.]	DECEMBER 20, 1974
480315	TOMBALL, CITY [HARRIS CO]	JANUARY 24, 1975
480663	VERNON, CITY OF [WILBARGER CO.]	MAY 17, 1974
480652	WHARTON COUNTY *	AUGUST 02, 1974
480633	WILLS POINT, CITY OF [VAN ZANDT CO.]	MAY 17, 1974
480675	WINK, CITY OF [WINKLER CO.]	JUNE 28, 1974
480573	WINONA, CITY OF [SMITH CO.]	NOVEMBER 01, 1974
480372	WOLFE CITY, CITY OF [HUNT CO.]	JUNE 28, 1974
480434	YOAKUM, CITY OF [LAVACA CO.]	MAY 10, 1974
480687	ZAPATO COUNTY *	AUGUST 02, 1974

TOTAL IN THE STATE 98

* UNINCORPORATED AREAS ONLY

COMMUNITY NUMBER	NAME	HAZARD AREA IDENTIFIED
	UTAH	
490122	ANNABELLA, TOWN [SEVIER CO]	JANUARY 10, 1975
490123	AURORA, TOWN OF (SEVIER CO)	JANUARY 31, 1975
490002	BEAVER, CITY OF [BEAVER CO.]	JUNE 11, 1974
490095	CIRCLEVILLE, TOWN OF [PIUTE CO.]	AUGUST 02, 1974
490007	ELWOOD, TOWN [BOX ELDER CO]	JANUARY 24, 1975
490169	ENTERPRISE, CITY OF [WASHINGTON CO.]	AUGUST 16, 1974
490008	HONEYVILLE, TOWN OF [BOX ELDER CO]	JUNE 28, 1974
490188	HUNTSVILLE, TOWN OF [WEBER CO.]	JUNE 21, 1974
490127	JOSEPH, TOWN [SEVIER CO]	JANUARY 10, 1975
490018	LEWISTON, CITY OF [CACHE CO.]	AUGUST 16, 1974
490185	LOA, TOWN OF [WAYNE CO.]	DECEMBER 20, 1974
490100A	RANDOLPH, TOWN OF [RICH CO.]	AUGUST 16, 1974
490144	STOCKTON, TOWN [TOOELE CO]	JANUARY 24, 1975
490037	WELLINGTON, CITY OF [CARBON CO.]	JULY 26, 1974
490011	WILLARD, CITY OF [BOX ELDER CO.]	JUNE 07, 1974

TOTAL IN THE STATE 15

* UNINCORPORATED AREAS ONLY

COMMUNITY NUMBER	NAME	HAZARD AREA IDENTIFIED
	VERMONT	
500243	ALBANY, TOWN [ORLEANS CO]	JANUARY 10, 1975
500279	ATHENS, TOWN OF [WINDHAM CO.]	DECEMBER 06, 1974
500082	BARTON, VILLAGE OF [ORLEANS CO.]	NOVEMBER 08, 1974
500242	BELLE HAVEN, TOWN OF [ACCOMACK CO.]	JANUARY 17, 1975
500227	BELVIDERE, TOWN OF [LAMOILLE CO.]	DECEMBER 06, 1974
500234	BRADFORD, VILLAGE OF [ORANGE CO.]	OCTOBER 25, 1974
500236	BROOKFIELD, TOWN OF [ORANGE CO.]	DECEMBER 13, 1974
500245	BROWNINGTON, TOWN OF [ORLEANS CO.]	DECEMBER 13, 1974
500107	CABOT, VILLAGE OF [WASHINGTON CO.]	SEPTEMBER 06, 1974
500083	CHARLESTON, TOWN OF [ORLEANS CO.]	AUGUST 09, 1974
500312	DANBY, TOWN [RUTLAND CO]	JANUARY 17, 1975
500185	DANVILLE, TOWN [CALENDONIA CO]	JANUARY 17, 1975
500127	DOVER, TOWN OF [WINDHAM CO.]	AUGUST 02, 1974
500209	EAST HAVEN, TOWN OF [ESSEX CO.]	DECEMBER 13, 1974
500229	EDEN, TOWN OF [LAMOILLE CO.]	DECEMBER 06, 1974
500051	ENOSBURG, TOWN OF [FRANKLIN CO.]	AUGUST 09, 1974
500035	ESSEX JCT., VILLAGE OF [CHITTANDEN CO.]	JUNE 28, 1974
500210	FERDINAND, TOWN OF [ESSEX CO.]	DECEMBER 13, 1974
500251	GLOVER, TOWN OF [ORLEANS CO.]	DECEMBER 20, 1974
500129	GRAFTON, TOWN OF [WINDHAM CO.]	DECEMBER 06, 1974
500211	GRANBY, TOWN OF [ESSEX CO.]	DECEMBER 13, 1974
500003	GRANVILLE, TOWN OF [ADDISON CO.]	JANUARY 24, 1975
500281	HALIFAX, TOWN OF [WINDHAM CO.]	JANUARY 31, 1975
500187	HARDWICK, VILLAGE OF [CALEDONIA CO.]	DECEMBER 20, 1974
500055	HIGHGATE, TOWN OF [FRANKLIN CO.]	MAY 31, 1974
500322	HINESBURG, TOWN OF [CHITTENDEN CO.]	JANUARY 31, 1975
500313	HUBBARDTON, TOWN OF [RUTLAND CO.]	DECEMBER 13, 1974
500036	HUNTINGTON, TOWN OF [CHITTENDEN CO.]	JULY 21, 1974
500230	HYDE PARK, TOWN OF [LAMOILLE CO.]	DECEMBER 06, 1974
500231	HYDE PARK, VILLAGE OF [LAMOILLE CO.]	AUGUST 30, 1974
500252	IRASBURG, TOWN OF [ORLEANS CO.]	DECEMBER 20, 1974
500253	JAY, TOWN OF [ORLEANS CO.]	SEPTEMBER 13, 1974
500188	KIRBY, TOWN OF [CALEDONIA CO.]	DECEMBER 13, 1974
500254	LOWELL, TOWN OF [ORLEANS CO.]	SEPTEMBER 20, 1974
500048	LUNENBURG, TOWN OF [ESSEX CO.]	JUNE 28, 1974
500213	MAIDSTONE, TOWN OF [ESSEX CO.]	DECEMBER 13, 1974
500283	MARLBORO, TOWN OF [WINDHAM CO.]	DECEMBER 27, 1974
500039	MILTON, VILLAGE OF [CHITTENDON CO.]	MAY 03, 1974
500167	MONKTON, TOWN [ADDISON CO]	JANUARY 24, 1975
500255	MORGAN, TOWN OF [ORLEANS CO.]	JANUARY 31, 1975
500262	MT. TABOR, TOWN [RUTLAND CO]	JANUARY 10, 1975
500285	N WESTMINSTER, VILL [WINDHAM CO]	JANUARY 10, 1975
500190	NEWARK, TOWN [CALEDONIA CO.]	JANUARY 10, 1975
500284	NEWFANE, VILLAGE [WINDHAM CO]	JANUARY 03, 1975
500256	NEWPORT, TOWN OF [ORLEANS CO.]	NOVEMBER 01, 1974
500225	NORTH HERO, TOWN [GRAND ISLE CO]	JANUARY 10, 1975
500087	NORTH TROY, VILLAGE OF [ORLEANS CO.]	AUGUST 02, 1974
500296	PERKINSVILLE, VILL [WINDSOR CO]	JANUARY 03, 1975

* UNINCORPORATED AREAS ONLY

COMMUNITY NUMBER	NAME	HAZARD AREA IDENTIFIED
	VERMONT	
500181	PERU, TOWN [BENNINGTON CO]	JANUARY 10, 1975
500218	RICHFORD, TOWN OF [FRANKLIN CO.]	AUGUST 02, 1974
500010	RIPTON, TOWN [ADDISON CO]	JANUARY 17, 1975
500183	SANDGATE, TOWN OF [BENNINGTON CO.]	JANUARY 31, 1975
500184	SEARSBURG, TOWN OF [BENNINGTON CO.]	NOVEMBER 15, 1974
500287	SOMERSET, TOWN OF [WINDHAM CO.]	NOVEMBER 22, 1974
500321	STRATTON, TOWN OF [WINDHAM CO.]	JANUARY 31, 1975
500219	ST. ALBANS, TOWN [FRANKLIN CO]	JANUARY 10, 1975
500269	SUDBURY, TOWN [RUTLAND CO]	JANUARY 24, 1975
500198	SUTTON, TOWN OF [CALEDONIA CO.]	DECEMBER 13, 1974
500270	TINMOUTH, TOWN OF [RUTLAND CO.]	DECEMBER 06, 1974
500241	TOPSHAM, TOWN OF [ORANGE CO.]	DECEMBER 13, 1974
500288	TOWNSEND, VILLAGE OF [WINDHAM CO.]	NOVEMBER 29, 1974
500215	VICTORY, TOWN OF [ESSEX CO.]	DECEMBER 13, 1974
500077	WASHINGTON, TOWN OF [ORANGE CO]	JUNE 28, 1974
500233	WATERVILLE, TOWN OF [LAMOILLE CO.]	DECEMBER 20, 1974
500078	WELLS RIVER, VILLAGE OF [ORANGE CO.]	AUGUST 09, 1974
500272	WEST HAVEN, TOWN [RUTLAND CO]	JANUARY 03, 1975
500257	WESTFIELD, TOWN OF [ORLEANS CO.]	SEPTEMBER 06, 1974
500203	WESTFORD, TOWN [CHITTENDEN CO]	JANUARY 03, 1975
500140	WESTMINSTER, VILLAGE OF [WINDHAM CO.]	DECEMBER 20, 1974
500311	WESTMORE, TOWN [ORLEANS CO]	JANUARY 03, 1975
500204	WHEELOCK, TOWN OF [CALEDONIA CO.]	NOVEMBER 15, 1974
500314	WOODBURY, TOWN [WASHINGTON CO]	JANUARY 17, 1975
	TOTAL IN THE STATE	72

* UNINCORPORATED AREAS ONLY

COMMUNITY NUMBER	NAME	HAZARD AREA IDENTIFIED
	VIRGINIA	
510022	BRISTOL, CITY OF [INDP. CITY]	MARCH 08, 1974
510289	GLEN LYN, TOWN OF [GILES CO.]	NOVEMBER 15, 1974
510218	HALLWOOD, TOWN OF [ACCOMACK CO.]	AUGUST 09, 1974
510121A	HAYMARKET, TOWN OF [PRINCE WILLIAM CO.]	AUGUST 09, 1974
510226A	NEW CASTLE, TOWN OF [CRAIG CO.]	AUGUST 09, 1974
510298	ONANCOCK, TOWN OF [ACCOMACK CO.]	JANUARY 31, 1975
510128	RAPPAHANNOCK COUNTY *	NOVEMBER 29, 1974
510322	ROYKINS, TOWN OF [SOUTHAMPTON CO.]	MAY 31, 1974
	TOTAL IN THE STATE	8

* UNINCORPORATED AREAS ONLY

COMMUNITY NUMBER	NAME	HAZARD AREA IDENTIFIED
	WASHINGTON	
530107	ALMIRA, TOWN OF [LINCOLN CO.]	DECEMBER 06, 1974
530276	BRIER, CITY [SNOHOMISH CO]	JANUARY 24, 1975
530108	CRESTON, TOWN OF [LINCOLN CO.]	DECEMBER 20, 1974
530150A	FRIDAY HARBOR, TOWN OF [SAN JUAN CO.]	NOVEMBER 29, 1974
530110	HARRINGTON, TOWN OF [LINCOLN CO.]	DECEMBER 13, 1974
530045	KAHLOTUS, TOWN OF [FRANKLIN CO.]	DECEMBER 13, 1974
530178	LATAH, TOWN OF [SPOKANE CO.]	DECEMBER 06, 1974
530136	METALINE FALLS, TOWN OF [PEND OREILLE CO.]	DECEMBER 20, 1974
530112	REARDAN, TOWN [LINCOLN CO]	JANUARY 10, 1975
530042	REPUBLIC, TOWN OF [FERRY CO.]	JUNE 07, 1974
530298	RIDGEFIELD, TOWN [CLARK CO]	JANUARY 24, 1975
530039	ROCK ISLAND, TOWN [DOUGLAS CO]	JANUARY 24, 1975
530181	ROCKFORD, TOWN OF [SPOKANE CO.]	DECEMBER 06, 1974
530031	STARBUCK, CITY [COLUMBIA CO]	JANUARY 03, 1975
530184	WAVERLY, TOWN OF [SPOKANE CO.]	NOVEMBER 15, 1974
	TOTAL IN THE STATE	15

* UNINCORPORATED AREAS ONLY

COMMUNITY NUMBER	NAME	HAZARD AREA IDENTIFIED
	WEST VIRGINIA	
540226	HAMPSHIRE COUNTY *	JANUARY 31, 1975
540270	MASONTOWN, TOWN OF [PRESTON CO.]	NOVEMBER 15, 1974
540283	POCAHONTAS COUNTY *	JANUARY 24, 1975
540198	UPSHUR COUNTY	JANUARY 17, 1975
540207	WETZEL COUNTY *	DECEMBER 20, 1974
540211	WIRT COUNTY	JANUARY 17, 1975
540213	WOOD COUNTY	JANUARY 17, 1975

TOTAL IN THE STATE 7

* UNINCORPORATED AREAS ONLY

COMMUNITY NUMBER	NAME	HAZARD AREA IDENTIFIED
	WISCONSIN	
550009	ALMENA, VILLAGE OF [BARRON CO.]	SEPTEMBER 06, 1974
550174	BARNEVELD, VILLAGE OF [IOWA CO.]	MAY 17, 1974
550526	BEAR CREEK, VILLAGE OF [OUTAGAMIE CO.]	NOVEMBER 22, 1974
550311	BELGIUM, VILLAGE OF [OZAUKEE CO.]	JUNE 07, 1974
550068	BELL CENTER, VILLAGE OF [CRAWFORD CO.]	JANUARY 09, 1974
550493	BIG FALLS, VILLAGE OF [WAUPACA CO.]	AUGUST 30, 1974
550148	BOSCOBEL, CITY OF [GRANT CO.]	DECEMBER 17, 1973
550161	BROWNTOWN, VILLAGE OF [GREEN CO.]	JANUARY 09, 1974
550018A	CABLE, VILLAGE OF [BAYFIELD CO.]	AUGUST 30, 1974 AND NOVEMBER 21, 1975
550011	CAMERON, VILLAGE OF [BARRON CO.]	DECEMBER 28, 1973
550425	CASCADE, VILLAGE OF [SHEBOYGAN CO.]	MAY 03, 1974
550358A	CAZENOVIA, VILLAGE OF [RICHLAND CO.]	AUGUST 23, 1974 AND OCTOBER 24, 1975
550371	CONRATH, VILLAGE OF [RUSK CO.]	AUGUST 30, 1974
550069	DESOTO, VILLAGE [CRAWFORD CO]	JANUARY 09, 1974
550121	DOWNING, VILLAGE OF [DUNN CO.]	NOVEMBER 08, 1974
550059	DOYLESTOWN, VILLAGE OF [COLUMBIA CO.]	MAY 17, 1974
550461	EAGLE RIVER, CITY OF [VILAS CO.]	DECEMBER 28, 1973
550417	ELAND, VILLAGE OF [SHAWANO CO.]	AUGUST 23, 1974
550249	ELDERON, VILLAGE OF [MARATHON CO.]	JULY 19, 1974
550129	FAIRCHILD, VILLAGE OF [EAU CLAIRE CO.]	MAY 31, 1974
550250	FENWOOD, VILLAGE OF [MARATHON CO.]	AUGUST 02, 1974
550110	FORESTVILLE, VILLAGE OF [DOOR CO.]	NOVEMBER 30, 1973
550367	FORTVILLE, VILLAGE OF [ROCK CO.]	MAY 31, 1974
550238	FRANCIS CREEK, VILLAGE OF [MANITOWOC CO.]	MAY 17, 1974
550443	GALESVILLE, CITY OF [TREMPEALEAU CO.] (SHAWANO CO.)	MAY 17, 1974 NOVEMBER 30, 1973
550372	GLEN FLORA, VILLAGE OF [RUSK CO.]	NOVEMBER 08, 1974
550050	GRANTON, VILLAGE OF [CLARK CO.]	AUGUST 30, 1974
550229	GRATIOT, VILLAGE OF [LAFAYETTE CO.]	JANUARY 16, 1974
550157	GREEN COUNTY *	DECEMBER 06, 1974
550023	HOWARD, VILLAGE OF [BROWN CO.]	DECEMBER 28, 1973
550557A	HUSTISFORD, VILLAGE [DODGE CO]	NOVEMBER 30, 1973
550202	HUSTLER, VILLAGE OF [JUNEAU CO.]	AUGUST 23, 1974
550374	INGRAM, VILLAGE OF [RUSK CO.]	SEPTEMBER 06, 1974
550393	IRONTON, VILLAGE OF [SAUK CO.]	AUGUST 16, 1974
550194	JOHNSON CREEK, VILLAGE OF [JEFFERSON CO.]	JANUARY 09, 1974
550565	LAC LABELLE, VILLAGE OF [WAUKESHA CO]	JANUARY 31, 1975
550396	LIME RIDGE, VILLAGE OF [SAUK CO.]	AUGUST 23, 1974
550397	LOGANVILLE, VILLAGE OF [SAUK CO.]	SEPTEMBER 06, 1974
550102	LOWELL, VILLAGE OF [DODGE CO.]	MAY 17, 1974
550434	LUBLIN, VILLAGE OF [TAYLOR CO.]	SEPTEMBER 20, 1974
550170	MARQUETTE, VILLAGE OF [GREEN LAKE CO.]	DECEMBER 28, 1973
550419	MATTOON, VILLAGE OF [SHAWANO CO.]	AUGUST 30, 1974
550288	MELVINA, VILLAGE OF [MONROE CO.]	AUGUST 30, 1974
550123A	MENOMONIE, CITY [DUNN CO]	JUNE 28, 1974 AND AUGUST 15, 1975
550189	MERRILLAN, VILLAGE OF [JACKSON CO.]	MAY 31, 1974

* UNINCORPORATED AREAS ONLY

COMMUNITY NUMBER	NAME	HAZARD AREA IDENTIFIED
	WISCONSIN	
550152	MOUNT HOPE, VILLAGE OF [GRANT CO.]	AUGUST 30, 1974
550205	NECEDAH, VILLAGE OF [JUNEAU CO.]	JANUARY 09, 1974
550046	NEW AUBURN, CITY OF [CHIPPEWA CO.]	JULY 19, 1974
550350	NORTH BAY, VILLAGE OF [RACINE CO.]	SEPTEMBER 06, 1974
550500	OGDENSBURG, VILLAGE OF [WAUPACA CO.]	AUGUST 23, 1974
550113	OLIVER, VILLAGE OF [DOUGLAS CO.]	AUGUST 30, 1974
550533	OMRO, VILLAGE OF [WINNEBAGO CO.]	NOVEMBER 15, 1974
550457	ONTARIO, VILLAGE OF [VERNON CO.]	JANUARY 09, 1974
550427A	OOSTBURG, VILLAGE OF [SHEBOYGAN CO.]	JUNE 28, 1974
550062	PARDEEVILLE, VILLAGE OF [COLUMBIA CO.]	DECEMBER 28, 1973
550446	PIGEON FALLS, VILLAGE OF [TREMPEALEAU CO.]	SEPTEMBER 06, 1974
550114	POPLAR, VILLAGE OF [DOUGLAS CO.]	DECEMBER 28, 1973
550155	POTOSI, VILLAGE OF [GRANT CO.]	DECEMBER 28, 1973
550401	PRAIRIE DU SAC, VILLAGE OF [SAUK CO.]	DECEMBER 07, 1973
550024	PULASKI, VILLAGE OF [BROWN CO.]	MAY 24, 1974
550508	REDGRANITE, VILLAGE OF [WAUSHARA CO.]	MAY 17, 1974
550556	SHOREWOOD HILLS, VILLAGE OF [DANE COU]	JANUARY 31, 1975
550230	SHULLSBURG, CITY OF [LAFAYETTE CO.]	MAY 17, 1974
550231	SOUTH WAYNE, VILLAGE OF [LAFAYETTE CO.]	DECEMBER 07, 1973
550388	STANTON, TOWN OF [ST. CROIX CO.]	NOVEMBER 15, 1974
550387	STAR PRAIRIE, VILLAGE OF [ST. CROIX CO.]	DECEMBER 28, 1973
550353A	STURTEVANT, VILLAGE OF [RACINE CO.]	MAY 24, 1974
550142	ST. CLOUD, VILLAGE OF [FOND DU LAC CO.]	DECEMBER 28, 1973
550190	TAYLOR, VILLAGE OF [JACKSON CO.]	DECEMBER 07, 1973
550207	UNION CENTER, VILLAGE OF [JUNEAU CO.]	NOVEMBER 15, 1974
550257	UNITY, CITY OF [MARATHON CO.]	SEPTEMBER 20, 1974
550519	VESPER, VILLAGE OF [WOOD CO.]	AUGUST 02, 1974
550124	WHEELER, VILLAGE OF [DUNN CO.]	AUGUST 02, 1974
550507	WILD ROSE, VILLAGE OF [WAUSHARA CO.]	MAY 31, 1974
550389A	WILSON, VILLAGE OF [ST. CROIX CO.]	AUGUST 30, 1974
550156A	WOODMAN, VILLAGE OF [GRANT CO.]	JANUARY 16, 1974 AND AUGUST 08, 1975

TOTAL IN THE STATE 76

* UNINCORPORATED AREAS ONLY

COMMUNITY NUMBER	NAME	HAZARD AREA IDENTIFIED
	WYOMING	
560041	CHUGWATER, TOWN OF [PLATTE CO.]	DECEMBER 13, 1974
560018	DUBOIS, TOWN OF [FREMONT CO.]	JANUARY 23, 1974
560025	EAST THERMOPOLIS, TOWN OF [HOT SPRINGS CO.]	NOVEMBER 08, 1974
560005A	GREYBULL, TOWN [BIG HORN CO]	JUNE 21, 1974
560028	KAYCEE, TOWN OF [JOHNSON CO.]	NOVEMBER 22, 1974
560035	KEMMERER, TOWN OF [LINCOLN CO.]	MARCH 29, 1974
560002	LARAMIE, CITY OF [ALBANY CO.]	APRIL 05, 1974
560006	MANDERSON, TOWN OF [BIG HORN CO.]	SEPTEMBER 13, 1974
560046	RANCHESTER, TOWN OF [SHERIDAN CO.]	SEPTEMBER 06, 1974
560021	RIVERTON, CITY OF [FREMONT CO.]	MARCH 29, 1974
560055	TEN SLEEP, TOWN OF [WASHAKIE CO.]	DECEMBER 13, 1974
560023A	TORRINGTON, TOWN OF [GOSHEN CO.]	MARCH 15, 1974 AND SEPTEMBER 12, 1975
560053	VINTA COUNTY *	DECEMBER 27, 1974
560024	YODER, TOWN OF [GOSHEN CO.]	DECEMBER 06, 1974

TOTAL IN THE STATE 14

National Total 2,871

(National Flood Insurance Act of 1968 (title XIII of the Housing and Urban Development Act of 1968); effective Jan. 28, 1969 (33 FR 17804 Nov. 28, 1968), as amended, 42 U.S.C. 4001–4128; and Secretary's delegation of authority to Federal Insurance Administrator, 34 FR 2680, Feb. 27, 1969) as amended 39 FR 2787, Jan. 24, 1974.

Issued: December 23, 1975.

J. ROBERT HUNTER,
Acting Federal
Insurance Administrator.

[FR Doc.76–244 Filed 1–6–76;8:45 am]

Subscriptions Now Being Accepted

SLIP LAWS

94th Congress, 2d Session
1976

Separate prints of Public Laws, published immediately after enactment, with marginal annotations and legislative history references.

Subscription Price:

$90.00 per Session

Published by Office of the Federal Register, National Archives and Records Service, General Services Administration

Order from Superintendent of Documents, U.S. Government Printing Office
Washington, D.C. 20402