AUTHENTICATED U.S. GOVERNMENT INFORMATION GPO

federal register

WEDNESDAY, JULY 30, 1975

WASHINGTON, D.C.

Volume 40 ■ Number 147

Pages 31925–32107

PART I




## HIGHLIGHTS OF THIS ISSUE

**This listing does not affect the legal status of any document published in this issue. Detailed table of contents appears inside.**

**HANDICAPPED RESEARCH GRANTS**—HEW notice of closing dates for applications for student research projects and field initiated studies ..... 31974

**HAZARDOUS MATERIALS REGULATIONS**—DOT/MTB provides guidelines for processing requests for exemption ..... 31976

**SEMI AND FULL TRAILERS**—DOT/FHA proposal specifying location of rear side-marker lamps; comments by 10–22–75 ..... 31959

**CORN, WHEAT AND SORGHUM**—USDA/CCC adopts loan and purchase rates, premiums, and discounts for 1975 crop (3 documents); effective 7–30–75 ..... 31946, 31949, 31952

**NEW ANIMAL DRUGS**—
HEW/FDA approves safe use of a tylosin premix for the manufacture of swine feed (2 documents); effective 7–30–75 ..... 31934
HEW/FDA approves safe use of a premix containing 20 or 50 percent of roxarsone for the manufacture of broiler chicken feed; effective 7–30–75 ..... 31933
HEW/FDA approves clopidol-zinc bacitracin for treating broiler chickens; effective 7–30–75 ..... 31934

**MEETINGS**—
Commerce: Coastal Zone Management Advisory Committee, 8–29–75 ..... 31973
DOD: Advisory Group on Electron Devices, 8–22–75 ..... 31965
Advisory Group on Electron Devices, 8–27–75 ..... 31965
Army: Tank Automotive Command Scientific Advisory Group, 8–13 and 8–14–75 ..... 31965
DOT/NHTSB: Task Force on International Congress on Automotive Safety, 8–15–75 ..... 31976
FEA: Conference to Discuss Power Plant Productivity, 9–3–75 ..... 31984

(Continued inside)

**PART II:**

**RESCISSIONS AND DEFERRALS**—OMB report to Congress on proposals for fiscal year 1976 ..... 32041

July 30, 1975—Pages 31925–32107

Consumer Affairs/Special Impact Advisory Committee, 8–21–75 ..... 31985
HEW/CDC: Tuberculosis Control Advisory Committee, 8–27–75 ..... 31973
NIH: Medicinal Chemistry B Study Section, 9–11 through 9–13–75 ..... 31974
Neurological Disorders Program—Project Review A Committee, 9–25–75 ..... 31974
Neurological Disorders Program—Project Review B Committee, 9–25 through 9–27–75 ..... 31975
NRC: Advisory Committee on Reactor Safeguards, 8–14 and 8–15–75 ..... 31997
NSF: Advisory Panel on Science Education Projects, 8–21 and 8–22–75 ..... 31996
Legal Services Corporation: Board of Directors, 8–4 and 8–5–75 ..... 31996

CORRECTED MEETING—
Administrative Conference of the United States: Committee on Judicial Review, 8–4–75 ..... 31977

**ATTENTION: Questions, corrections, or requests for information regarding the contents of this issue only may be made by dialing 202–523–5282. For information on obtaining extra copies, please call 202–523–5240. To obtain advance information from recorded highlights of selected documents to appear in the next issue, dial 202–523–5022.**

federal register

Area Code 202 Phone 523–5240

Published daily, Monday through Friday (no publication on Saturdays, Sundays, or on official Federal holidays), by the Office of the Federal Register, National Archives and Records Service, General Services Administration, Washington, D.C. 20408, under the Federal Register Act (49 Stat. 500, as amended; 44 U.S.C., Ch. 15) and the regulations of the Administrative Committee of the Federal Register (1 CFR Ch. I). Distribution is made only by the Superintendent of Documents, U.S. Government Printing Office, Washington, D.C. 20402.

The FEDERAL REGISTER provides a uniform system for making available to the public regulations and legal notices issued by Federal agencies. These include Presidential proclamations and Executive orders and Federal agency documents having general applicability and legal effect, documents required to be published by Act of Congress and other Federal agency documents of public interest.

The FEDERAL REGISTER will be furnished by mail to subscribers, free of postage, for $5.00 per month or $45 per year, payable in advance. The charge for individual copies is 75 cents for each issue, or 75 cents for each group of pages as actually bound. Remit check or money order, made payable to the Superintendent of Documents, U.S. Government Printing Office, Washington, D.C. 20402.

There are no restrictions on the republication of material appearing in the FEDERAL REGISTER.

# contents


**ADMINISTRATIVE CONFERENCE OF THE UNITED STATES**

Notices

Meetings:
Judicial Review Committee ... 31977

**AGRICULTURE DEPARTMENT**

*See also* Agricultural Marketing Service; Animal and Plant Health Inspection Service; Commodity Credit Corporation; Food and Nutrition Service; Forest Service; Rural Electrification Administration.

Rules

Authority delegation:
Under Secretary, et al ... 31941

**AGRICULTURAL MARKETING SERVICE**

Rules

Milk marketing orders:
Georgia region, et al ... 31941

**ALCOHOL, TOBACCO, AND FIREARMS BUREAU**

Proposed Rules

Liquor wholesale dealers; miscellaneous amendments ... 31957

**ANIMAL AND PLANT HEALTH INSPECTION SERVICE**

Rules

Quarantine areas; plant pest regulations, etc.:
Exotic Newcastle disease and psittacosis or ornithosis in poultry ... 31925

Notices

Soil samples; list of approved laboratories ... 31967

**ARMY DEPARTMENT**

Notices

Meetings:
Tank Automotive Command Scientific Advisory Group ... 31965

**CENTER FOR DISEASE CONTROL**

Notices

Committee renewals:
Tuberculosis Control and Venereal Disease Control Advisory Committees ... 31973
Meeting:
Tuberculosis Control Advisory Committee ... 31973

**CIVIL AERONAUTICS BOARD**

Notices

*Hearings, etc.:*
Servicio Aereo De Honduras, S.A ... 31977
Societa Aerea Mediterranea-Sam S.p.A ... 31977
Texas International Airlines, Inc ... 31977
Transportes Aereos Nacionales, S.A ... 31977

**CIVIL SERVICE COMMISSION**

Rules

Excepted service:
Export-Import Bank ... 31925

Notices

Noncareer executive assignments:
Export-Import Bank ... 31977

**COAST GUARD**

Rules

Drawbridge operation:
Connecticut ... 31938

**COMMERCE DEPARTMENT**

*See also* National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration.

Notices

Committees; establishment, renewals, etc.:
Coastal Zone Management Committee ... 31973

**COMMODITY CREDIT CORPORATION**

Rules

Loan and purchase programs:
Corn ... 31946
Sorghum ... 31949
Wheat ... 31952

**COMPTROLLER OF CURRENCY**

Proposed Rules

Capital structures, evaluation of debt issues; extension of comment period ... 31958

**CUSTOMS SERVICE**

Rules

Administrative rulings:
Importers, et al ... 31928

**DEFENSE DEPARTMENT**

*See also* Army Department.

Notices

Meetings:
DOD Advisory Group on Electron Devices (2 documents) ... 31965

**EDUCATION OFFICE**

Notices

Applications and proposals closing date:
Handicapped research and demonstration ... 31974

**ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY**

Notices

Environmental statements reviewed and commented upon ... 31977

**FEDERAL AVIATION ADMINISTRATION**

Rules

Control zone ... 31927
Transition area; correction ... 31927

Proposed Rules

Transition areas (3 documents) ... 31958, 31959

**FEDERAL DISASTER ASSISTANCE ADMINISTRATION**

Notices

Disaster areas:
New Jersey ... 31975

**FEDERAL ELECTION COMMISSION**

Notices

Advisory opinion requests; extension of time for comments ... 31984

**FEDERAL ENERGY ADMINISTRATION**

Rules

Allocation and price rules, general:
Stripper well lease exemption, extension ... 31925

Notices

Meetings:
Conference to Discuss Power Plant Productivity ... 31984
Consumer Affairs Impact Advisory Committee ... 31985

**FEDERAL HIGHWAY ADMINISTRATION**

Proposed Rules

Trailers, semi and full; specific location of rear side-lamps ... 31959

**FEDERAL MARITIME COMMISSION**

Notices

*Agreements filed:*
Atlantic and Gulf-Indonesia Conference ... 31985
Puerto Rico Maritime Shipping Authority ... 31985
Delegation of authorities:
Managing Director ... 31986

**FEDERAL POWER COMMISSION**

Notices

Environmental statement:
Trunkline LNG Co. and Trunkline Gas Co ... 31995

*Hearings, etc.:*
Amerada Hess Corp ... 31987
Amoco Production Co ... 31987
Arkansas-Missouri Power Co ... 31988
Champlin Petroleum Co ... 31988
Columbia River Basin et al ... 31989
Duke Power Co ... 31989
Herman Geo. Kaiser ... 31989
Holyoke Water Power Co ... 31989
Howell Drilling, Inc ... 31990
Lone Star Gas Co ... 31990
Mobil Oil Corp ... 31990
National rates for jurisdictional sales (2 documents) ... 31991
Natural Gas Pipeline Co. of America ... 31992
San Salvador Development Co. Inc. et al ... 31992
Shell Oil Co. et al ... 31993
South Carolina Electric & Gas Co. ... 31993
Southern California Edison Co. ... 31993
Tenneco Oil Co. et al (2 documents) ... 31994
Transcontinental Gas Pipe Line Corp. ... 31995
Trunkline Gas Co ... 31995

FISH AND WILDLIFE SERVICE

Rules

Hunting:
De Soto National Wildlife Refuge, Iowa and Nebraska (2 documents) ..... 31939
Valentine National Wildlife Refuge, Nebraska (2 documents) ..... 31940

FOOD AND DRUG ADMINISTRATION

Rules

Animal drugs:
Clopidol-zinc bacitracin ..... 31934
Roxarsone ..... 31933
Tylosin (2 documents) ..... 31934

FOOD AND NUTRITION SERVICE

Rules

School lunch program, national:
Federal grants-in-aid to State and local governments, correction ..... 31941
Supplemental security income payments:
Eligibility of household members for food donations ..... 31941

FOREST SERVICE

Notices

Environmental statements:
Appalachicola National Forest, Florida; correction ..... 31973
Osceola National Forest, Florida; correction ..... 31973

HEALTH, EDUCATION, AND WELFARE DEPARTMENT

*See* Center for Disease Control; Education Office; Food and Drug Administration; National Institutes of Health.

HOUSING AND URBAN DEVELOPMENT DEPARTMENT

*See also* Federal Disaster Assistance Administration.

Rules

Low income housing:
Fair market rents and automatic annual adjustment factors ..... 31935

Notices

Delegations of authority:
Acting Area Director, St. Louis Area Office (2 documents) ..... 31976
Acting Area Director, Kansas and Omaha area ..... 31975
Acting Insuring Director, Kansas and Des Moines Insuring Offices ..... 31975

INTERIOR DEPARTMENT

*See also* Fish and Wildlife Service; Land Management Bureau; National Park Service.

Notices

Environmental statements:
Kiaprowits Power Project, Utah ..... 31965

INTERNATIONAL TRADE COMMISSION

Notices

Hearing:
International Commodity Agreements ..... 31995

INTERSTATE COMMERCE COMMISSION

Rules

Car service orders:
Baltimore and Ohio Railroad Co. ..... 31938
Chicago, Rock Island and Pacific Railroad Co ..... 31939
Penn Central Transportation Co. ..... 31939

Notices

Hearing assignments ..... 32001
Motor carriers:
Alternate route deviation notices ..... 32010
Applications and certain other proceedings ..... 32001
Intrastate applications ..... 32008
Irregular route property carriers; gateway elimination ..... 32011
Lease and interchange of vehicles ..... 32008
Transfer proceedings ..... 32008

LAND MANAGEMENT BUREAU

Notices

Applications:
New Mexico (2 documents) ..... 31966
Classification of lands:
Idaho; reclassified for disposal ..... 31967

LEGAL SERVICES CORPORATION

Notices

Meeting:
Board of Directors ..... 31996

MANAGEMENT AND BUDGET OFFICE

Notices

Clearance of reports; list of requests ..... 31998
Budget rescissions and deferrals; proposed for Fiscal Year 1976 ..... 32041

MATERIALS TRANSPORTATION BUREAU

Notices

Hazardous materials regulations exemptions; interim procedures ..... 31976

NATIONAL HIGHWAY TRANSPORTATION SAFETY BOARD

Notices

Meetings:
National Motor Vehicle Safety Advisory Council ..... 31976

NATIONAL INSTITUTES OF HEALTH

Notices

Biomedical Mass Spectrometry Workshop ..... 31974
Meetings:
Medical Chemistry B Study Section ..... 31974
Neurological Disorders Program ..... 31974
Project Review Committee (2 documents) ..... 31974, 31975

NATIONAL OCEANIC AND ATMOSPHERIC ADMINISTRATION

Rules

Financial aid to fisheries:
Provision for fees ..... 31940

NATIONAL PARK SERVICE

Rules

Camping requirements:
Mount Rainier National Park, Wash. ..... 31938

NATIONAL SCIENCE FOUNDATION

Notices

Meetings:
Advisory Panel on Science Education Projects ..... 31996

NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION

Notices

Applications, etc.:
Arkansas Power and Light Co ..... 31996
Industrial Reactor Laboratories, Inc ..... 31997
Meetings:
Advisory Committee on Reactor Safeguards ..... 31997

RURAL ELECTRIFICATION ADMINISTRATION

Rules

Freedom of information:
REA Bulletins listing updated ..... 31956

Notices

Loan guarantees proposed:
Gulf Telephone Co., Foley, Alabama ..... 31973

SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION

Notices

*Hearings, etc.:*
BBI, Inc ..... 31998
Ben Franklin Income Securities, Inc ..... 31998
General Crude Oil Co ..... 31998
Mississippi Power & Light Co ..... 31998
National Association of Securities Dealers, Inc ..... 32000

TRANSPORTATION DEPARTMENT

*See* Coast Guard; Federal Aviation Administration; Federal Highway Administration; Materials Transportation Bureau; National Highway Traffic Safety Administration.

TREASURY DEPARTMENT

*See* Bureau of Alcohol, Tobacco and Firearms; Comptroller of the Currency; Customs Service.

VETERANS ADMINISTRATION

Proposed Rules

Fiduciary activities ..... 31960

WATER RESOURCES COUNCIL

Notices

Principles and standards for planning water and related land resources; change in discount rate ..... 32000

# list of cfr parts affected

The following numerical guide is a list of the parts of each title of the Code of Federal Regulations affected by documents published in today's issue. A cumulative list of parts affected, covering the current month to date, follows beginning with the second issue of the month.

A cumulative guide is published separately at the end of each month. The guide lists the parts and sections affected by documents published since January 1, 1974, and specifies how they are affected.

**5 CFR**

213 ..... 31925

**7 CFR**

2 ..... 31941
210 ..... 31941
250 ..... 31941
1007 ..... 31942
1030 ..... 31942
1032 ..... 31942
1040 ..... 31943
1046 ..... 31943
1049 ..... 31943
1050 ..... 31943
1060 ..... 31943
1061 ..... 31943
1062 ..... 31943
1063 ..... 31943
1064 ..... 31943
1065 ..... 31943
1068 ..... 31943
1069 ..... 31943
1070 ..... 31944
1071 ..... 31944
1073 ..... 31944
1076 ..... 31944
1078 ..... 31944
1079 ..... 31944
1090 ..... 31944
1094 ..... 31944
1096 ..... 31944
1097 ..... 31944
1098 ..... 31944
1099 ..... 31945
1102 ..... 31945
1104 ..... 31945
1106 ..... 31945
1108 ..... 31945
1120 ..... 31945
1126 ..... 31945
1131 ..... 31945
1132 ..... 31945
1138 ..... 31945
1139 ..... 31945
1421 (3 documents) ..... 31946, 31949, 31952
1701 ..... 31956

**9 CFR**

82 ..... 31925

**10 CFR**

210 ..... 31925

**12 CFR**

PROPOSED RULES:

14 ..... 31958

**14 CFR**

71 (2 documents) ..... 31927

PROPOSED RULES:

71 (3 documents) ..... 31958, 31959

**19 CFR**

4 ..... 31928
152 ..... 31928
159 ..... 31928
174 ..... 31928
177 ..... 31929

**21 CFR**

121 ..... 31933
510 (2 documents) ..... 31934
558 (4 documents) ..... 31933, 31934

**24 CFR**

888 ..... 31935

**27 CFR**

PROPOSED RULES:

194 ..... 31957

**33 CFR**

117 ..... 31938

**36 CFR**

7 ..... 31938

**38 CFR**

PROPOSED RULES:

13 ..... 31960

**49 CFR**

1033 (3 documents) ..... 31938, 31939

PROPOSED RULES:

393 ..... 31959

**50 CFR**

32 (4 documents) ..... 31939, 31940
258 ..... 31940

**The following numerical guide is a list of parts of each title of the Code of Federal Regulations affected by documents published to date during July.**

### 1 CFR

305 ..... 27925
310 ..... 27925

### 2 CFR

102 ..... 30793

### 3 CFR

PROCLAMATIONS:

4381 ..... 27637

EXECUTIVE ORDERS:

2909 (Revoked by PLO 5510) ..... 27939
5277 (Revoked by PLO 5507) ..... 27659
5481 (Revoked by PLO 5507) ..... 27659
11643 (Amended by EO 11870) ..... 30611
11861 (Amended by EO 11872) ..... 30619
11868 (Amended by EO 11873) ..... 30617
11870 ..... 30611
11871 ..... 30615, 30915
11872 ..... 30619
11873 ..... 30617
11874 ..... 31737

MEMORANDUMS:

Memorandum of June 26, 1975 ..... 31199
Memorandums of June 30, 1975 (3 documents) ..... 31201, 31203, 31205

### 4 CFR

54 ..... 27929

### 5 CFR

213 ..... 27639, 27640, 27929, 28047, 28445, 28806, 29067, 29811, 29812, 30086, 30269, 31591, 31925
307 ..... 28445
550 ..... 31207
551 ..... 27640
731 ..... 28047

PROPOSED RULES:

2470 ..... 31636
2471 ..... 31636

### 7 CFR

2 ..... 31941
6 ..... 29261
16 ..... 31227
26 ..... 28785
29 ..... 30917, 31591
210 ..... 30917, 31941
220 ..... 30922
246 ..... 27930
250 ..... 31941
271 ..... 28786, 29531, 29701
272 ..... 28786
275 ..... 29531
354 ..... 30621
722 ..... 28601
760 ..... 29067
780 ..... 27641
908 ..... 28460, 29068, 30091, 30928
910 ..... 28461, 29261, 30269, 31228
911 ..... 28462, 29262, 30270, 31228
915 ..... 28048, 29068, 29812, 30793
916 ..... 28462, 31592
917 ..... 27930, 28601
919 ..... 31592

### 7 CFR—Continued

921 ..... 30621
922 ..... 30270
924 ..... 30929
930 ..... 27931, 28602, 31739
944 ..... 29812, 30794
945 ..... 30271
967 ..... 29534
989 ..... 31739
999 ..... 29262
1007 ..... 31942
1030 ..... 31229, 31942
1032 ..... 31942
1033 ..... 30087, 30794
1040 ..... 31943
1046 ..... 31943
1049 ..... 31943
1050 ..... 31943
1060 ..... 31943
1061 ..... 31943
1062 ..... 31943
1063 ..... 31943
1064 ..... 27641, 31943
1065 ..... 31943
1068 ..... 31943
1069 ..... 31943
1070 ..... 31944
1071 ..... 31944
1073 ..... 31944
1076 ..... 31944
1078 ..... 31944
1079 ..... 31944
1090 ..... 31944
1094 ..... 31944
1096 ..... 31944
1097 ..... 31944
1098 ..... 31944
1099 ..... 31945
1102 ..... 31945
1104 ..... 31945
1106 ..... 31945
1108 ..... 31945
1120 ..... 31945
1126 ..... 31945
1131 ..... 27642, 30087, 31233, 31945
1132 ..... 31945
1138 ..... 31945
1139 ..... 31945
1408 ..... 29069
1421 ..... 30461, 30794, 31946, 31949, 31952
1427 ..... 30092, 30795
1434 ..... 30798
1438 ..... 29813
1446 ..... 28787
1464 ..... 28603, 28788
1701 ..... 31956
1822 ..... 28463, 29263
1823 ..... 29263, 30930
1843 ..... 27931
1845 ..... 30622
1964 ..... 27641

PROPOSED RULES:

29 ..... 29880
52 ..... 31607
719 ..... 31609
722 ..... 30274, 30275
728 ..... 28093
775 ..... 28093
911 ..... 28614
915 ..... 28090, 28614

### 7 CFR—Continued

PROPOSED RULES—Continued

916 ..... 28090
917 ..... 29087, 29881
923 ..... 29881
924 ..... 30662
927 ..... 31244
930 ..... 29553
932 ..... 30275
946 ..... 29725
947 ..... 29726
948 ..... 30276, 30840, 30977
958 ..... 28091, 30277
980 ..... 28091, 29725
982 ..... 30277
989 ..... 27691
1007 ..... 30119
1030 ..... 29296, 30019
1032 ..... 28618, 30019
1040 ..... 30119
1046 ..... 28465, 30019
1049 ..... 30119
1050 ..... 30119
1060 ..... 30119
1061 ..... 30119
1062 ..... 28618, 30119
1063 ..... 30119
1064 ..... 30119
1065 ..... 30119
1068 ..... 30119
1069 ..... 30119
1070 ..... 30119
1071 ..... 30119
1073 ..... 30119
1076 ..... 30119
1078 ..... 30119
1079 ..... 30119
1090 ..... 30119
1094 ..... 30119
1096 ..... 30119
1097 ..... 30119
1098 ..... 30119
1099 ..... 28807, 30119
1102 ..... 30119
1104 ..... 30119
1106 ..... 30119
1108 ..... 30119
1120 ..... 30119
1131 ..... 30119
1132 ..... 30119
1138 ..... 30119
1139 ..... 30119
1201 ..... 28092, 28093
1421 ..... 28094
1427 ..... 30283
1464 ..... 27691
1701 ..... 29087–29088, 30125, 31244
1822 ..... 28094, 29300

### 8 CFR

100 ..... 30467
103 ..... 30468
212 ..... 30470
242 ..... 30470
243 ..... 30470
299 ..... 30470
316a ..... 30470
499 ..... 30470

PROPOSED RULES:

212 ..... 28614

**9 CFR**

72 ... 31593
56 ... 30098
76 ... 29701
82 ... 31925
83 ... 27642
92 ... 31740
97 ... 27643
112 ... 30802, 31593
113 ... 30802
381 ... 29549

PROPOSED RULES:

92 ... 28807, 29728
101 ... 28621
112 ... 28621
113 ... 28621, 30126
114 ... 28621
381 ... 31616

**10 CFR**

51 ... 31593
205 ... 28446
210 ... 31925
211 ... 28446, 30030, 31741
212 ... 28447, 28448, 28637, 30030, 31741
303 ... 28420
309 ... 28420
707 ... 30803
860 ... 28789

RULINGS:

1975-7 ... 30037
1975-8 ... 30037

PROPOSED RULES:

70 ... 30133
205 ... 28481
206 ... 28481
211 ... 30500, 30671
212 ... 28447, 28448, 28637, 30671, 30674
213 ... 28481, 28487

**11 CFR**

Ch. II ... 28578

PROPOSED RULES:

Ch. II ... 28579, 30582

**12 CFR**

4 ... 30930
11 ... 30038
201 ... 30807
226 ... 30085
265 ... 31207
308 ... 28048
339 ... 27931
400 ... 28449
531 ... 29702
561 ... 29069
563 ... 29703
584 ... 29703
701 ... 30261
760 ... 29264

PROPOSED RULES:

14 ... 29724, 31958
204 ... 29732
217 ... 28644, 29732
266 ... 30986
329 ... 28099, 28100
505a ... 29729
544 ... 28638
545 ... 28638
546 ... 27953, 28640
555 ... 28641
563 ... 27954, 28643
571 ... 29093
701 ... 30291

**13 CFR**

120 ... 30261
121 ... 28603
123 ... 30807
305 ... 29070
313 ... 29704
315 ... 29265

PROPOSED RULES:

121 ... 29899, 30292

**14 CFR**

21 ... 28603
39 ... 27643, 27644, 28075, 28604-28605, 29269, 27270, 27272, 29549, 29704, 29814, 29815, 30463, 30464, 30807, 30808, 30932, 30933, 31208, 31594-31597, 31748-31752
71 ... 28076, 28077, 28790, 29272, 29273, 29550-29551, 30099, 30464, 30465, 30633, 30808, 30933, 31597, 31927
73 ... 29552, 30633, 31209
75 ... 27644, 28077, 31597
91 ... 29704
95 ... 30099, 30934
97 ... 28606, 29070, 30106, 30934
129 ... 29273
211 ... 28077
217 ... 28078
288 ... 28078, 28450
296 ... 28079, 30634
297 ... 28087
Ch. III ... 30106
399 ... 28087
416 ... 28095
1209 ... 30465

PROPOSED RULES:

1 ... 29410
21 ... 29410
23 ... 29410
25 ... 29410
27 ... 29410
29 ... 29410
31 ... 29410
33 ... 29410
35 ... 29410
37 ... 30839
39 ... 28096, 29301, 30126, 30980, 31624, 31806
43 ... 29410
45 ... 29410
71 ... 28628, 29302, 29728, 30127, 30128, 30493-30495, 30670, 30840, 30981, 31245, 31247, 31806, 31958, 31959
73 ... 30494
75 ... 28096, 28097, 28628, 31245
91 ... 28628, 29089, 29410
93 ... 28629
121 ... 29410, 30839
221 ... 28489, 30128
241 ... 30497
310a ... 30283
385 ... 30283

**15 CFR**

373 ... 31209
377 ... 29705
378 ... 31209
1300 ... 29534
2004 ... 30934

**16 CFR**

13 ... 27932, 28050, 30471-30479, 30808-30811, 31211
302 ... 27932
1031 ... 27934, 29815
1116 ... 30936

PROPOSED RULES:

255 ... 30988
257 ... 28489
437 ... 29892
439 ... 30842
444 ... 29892
701 ... 29892
702 ... 29894, 30674
703 ... 29896
1016 ... 29092

**17 CFR**

1 ... 29085, 30106, 30107
17 ... 29795
18 ... 29795
231 ... 30992
239 ... 30992
240 ... 29795, 30634-30636
241 ... 30992
249 ... 30636, 30992
270 ... 27644
275 ... 27644

PROPOSED RULES:

1 ... 29090-29091
230 ... 29306, 29899, 30844
239 ... 29899
240 ... 29306, 29899
249 ... 29899

**18 CFR**

3 ... 27645, 29275
260 ... 27645

PROPOSED RULES:

2 ... 29304
141 ... 29305
260 ... 31634

**19 CFR**

1 ... 27934
4 ... 31928
10 ... 31752
111 ... 31753
127 ... 28790
133 ... 28790
152 ... 31928
159 ... 31928
174 ... 31928
177 ... 31929
201 ... 31211

PROPOSED RULES:

24 ... 28807
153 ... 30825
175 ... 30825

**20 CFR**

401 ... 27648
404 ... 29071-29072, 30262, 30812, 31774, 31778
405 ... 28016, 28052, 29706, 29815, 30817
416 ... 31778
422 ... 27648

PROPOSED RULES:

401 ... 28810, 30493
404 ... 29071-29072, 30262, 30493
405 ... 27782, 28810, 30493, 31795

21 CFR
Ch. I ... 31298, 31605
1 ... 28582
2 ... 29817, 31234, 31754
5 ... 31754
8 ... 29817
27 ... 28791
51 ... 30940
121 ... 29073, 29534, 30108, 30639, 31933
201 ... 31307
229 ... 28610
310 ... 31307, 31312
312 ... 31308, 31312
314 ... 31312, 31313
361 ... 31308
431 ... 28052
510 ... 27651, 28791, 29535, 31235, 31934
522 ... 28792
540 ... 30639, 30941
556 ... 28792
558 ... 27651, 31236, 31933, 31934
561 ... 29706, 31236
558 ... 31235
600 ... 31313
601 ... 31313
610 ... 29706, 31313
640 ... 29711
660 ... 29711
701 ... 28451, 31606
1250 ... 30108
1308 ... 28611
1401 ... 27821
PROPOSED RULES:
1 ... 30978
25 ... 30978
80 ... 29089
125 ... 29089
310 ... 27796, 28587
314 ... 30493
320 ... 30493
950 ... 29554
951 ... 29554
952 ... 29554
1020 ... 28095
1304 ... 30117

22 CFR
8 ... 28606
205 ... 31754
PROPOSED RULES:
15 ... 30824

23 CFR
140 ... 29712, 31211
160 ... 29817
230 ... 28053
646 ... 29712, 31211
710 ... 29073
740 ... 30818
1204 ... 30639

24 CFR
17 ... 28597
58 ... 29991
82 ... 30480, 31211
236 ... 31872
401 ... 29073
426 ... 31873
570 ... 30640
888 ... 28451, 31935
1914 ... 28061, 29818, 29820–29822, 30110, 30111, 30941, 30943, 30944, 31211, 31215
1915 ... 27651, 29823, 29824, 31217, 31220
1916 ... 29824, 29825
2205 ... 28609
PROPOSED RULES:
888 ... 29999

25 CFR
12 ... 28026
153 ... 28039

26 CFR
1 ... 29826, 29839, 30945, 31238
11 ... 29535
31 ... 30946, 30956
53 ... 29842
301 ... 30946
420 ... 30959
PROPOSED RULES:
1 ... 27943, 28101, 28613, 29290, 29296, 29553, 29871, 29874, 30971
11 ... 28101
12 ... 30971
301 ... 29874

27 CFR
194 ... 30113
PROPOSED RULES:
4 ... 30117
5 ... 29866, 30971
194 ... 31957

28 CFR
PROPOSED RULES
0 ... 30977
4 ... 30489
4a ... 30491

29 CFR
5 ... 30480
5a ... 30480
94 ... 28980
97 ... 28980
727 ... 28064
1910 ... 31598
1952 ... 27655, 28472, 28792
2555 ... 31598, 31755
PROPOSED RULES:
94 ... 30584
97a ... 30584
570 ... 28814
1902 ... 27946
1907 ... 27691
1910 ... 30980
1915 ... 30980
1916 ... 30980
1917 ... 30980
1918 ... 30980
1926 ... 30980
2510 ... 31638
2520 ... 31638
2604 ... 29555

30 CFR
PROPOSED RULES:
250 ... 30119
251 ... 30119

31 CFR
1 ... 29290
345 ... 29846
347 ... 29846, 30640
348 ... 29847
PROPOSED RULES
51 ... 30974
306 ... 30485, 31238

32 CFR
641 ... 27936
706 ... 31601
1712 ... 28597
1801 ... 30114

32 CFR—Continued
1807 ... 30114
1812 ... 30114

33 CFR
3 ... 28451
110 ... 30640
117 ... 31938
127 ... 27939, 30641, 30961
209 ... 31320
252 ... 31718
PROPOSED RULES:
207 ... 30118

34 CFR
233 ... 30263
PROPOSED RULES:
Ch. II ... 28495

35 CFR
67 ... 30818

36 CFR
7 ... 31938
231 ... 31222
601 ... 29536
605 ... 29539
PROPOSED RULES:
2 ... 28088
1000 ... 30841

38 CFR
2 ... 31755
21 ... 31755
PROPOSED RULES
4 ... 30502
13 ... 31960

39 CFR
111 ... 31602, 31766
3002 ... 28792
3003 ... 31813
PROPOSED RULES:
262 ... 30988
266 ... 30988
267 ... 30988

40 CFR
52 ... 28064, 29540, 29712, 29713, 30287, 30288, 30961, 30962
80 ... 29292
85 ... 28066
125 ... 29848
162 ... 28242
180 ... 28065, 29547, 29714, 29715, 29850, 30289, 31236, 31237, 31602
229 ... 30114
413 ... 29075–29076
415 ... 29850
443 ... 31190
446 ... 31724
447 ... 31726
PROPOSED RULES:
2 ... 28814
51 ... 28629
52 ... 28097, 28098, 28815, 30932
60 ... 28814
61 ... 28814
79 ... 28814
120 ... 30983
125 ... 28814
130 ... 29882
131 ... 29887

**40 CFR—Continued**

PROPOSED RULES—Continued

167 28814
180 28814
243 29404
415 29892
432 28633
443 31196
446 31729
447 31730

**41 CFR**

1–1 30440
1–3 27655
1–4 30440
1–9 28067
1–16 30440
3–1 29715
3–3 29715
3–16 29719
9–4 28068
9–7 31766
9–15 31766
14–7 29722
60–5 30963
60–8 28609
101–11 27655, 29722
101–25 29818
101–26 31223
101–35 31224
101–42 31224
105–61 28610
114–38 30483
114–52 30483

PROPOSED RULES:

60–12 28477
60–14 28472

**42 CFR**

2 27802
36 29076

PROPOSED RULES:

85a 31248

**43 CFR**

20 28288
430 27658

PUBLIC LAND ORDERS:

1063, Revoked by PLO 5507 27659
3836, Amended by PLO 5506 27659
5150, Revoked in part by PLO 5506 27659
5180, Revoked in part by PLO 5509 27659
5497, Corrected by PLO 5508 27659
5499 29292, 30115
5504 27659
5506 27659
5507 27659

**43 CFR—Continued**

5508 27659
5509 27659
5510 27939

**45 CFR**

83 28572
177 30820
205 31766
206 27659
220 31767
232 31767
233 30963, 31767
234 31767
235 31767
249 28793
250 28070, 30818
301 29723, 31767
302 31767
303 31767
304 31767
1060 28793
1061 27661, 31602
1067 28794
1068 27665, 27667
1069 29292, 30461
1220 28799

PROPOSED RULES:

116d 28622
148 31617
160b 30662
613 31811

**46 CFR**

4 31604
5 31604
10 30965
502 27671
506 28801
538 28452

PROPOSED RULES:

503 30128
547 28489, 30674

**47 CFR**

0 28454
1 28454, 28803
2 31226
17 30263
73 27671, 27939, 28457, 28803, 29547, 29850, 31227
74 28610, 29862, 31604
76 28457, 28804, 30641, 30649, 30656
81 30820
83 30820

PROPOSED RULES:

2 31248
21 28816, 31248
43 28816

**47 CFR—Continued**

PROPOSED RULES—Continued

68 29302
73 28098, 28634, 29303, 30290, 30291, 30985, 31625, 31632, 31807
74 30985
76 28634, 28816, 30291, 30986, 31807
78 30985
89 31809
91 31810
93 31811

**49 CFR**

Ch. I 31767
1 30821, 31605
102 31768
170 31768
172 27939
173 27939
174 27939
177 27939
225 29548
256 29080
393 29292, 29723
392 31769
395 31769
571 28457, 28805, 31770–31772
575 28071, 28074
Ch. VIII 30232, 30661
1033 27939–27941, 29294, 29863, 30267, 30268, 31773, 31938, 31939
1102 27941

PROPOSED RULES:

221 30495
310 30981
390 29729
391 31806
393 31959
571 28097
604 29729
605 29729
802 30130, 30988
1063 30134, 30844

**50 CFR**

17 29863, 31734
20 30268
21 28459
28 30462, 30966, 30967, 30970
32 29084, 29548, 29549, 29864, 30115, 30116, 30463, 30822, 30823, 30966, 31939, 31940
33 29084 30463, 30823, 30967, 31773
258 31940

PROPOSED RULES:

17 28712
20 27943, 29725, 29880
32 31795

## FEDERAL REGISTER PAGES AND DATES—JULY

| *Pages* | *Date* | *Pages* | *Date* |
|---|---|---|---|
| 27637–27924 | 1 | 30037–30259 | 17 |
| 27925–28045 | 2 | 30261–30459 | 18 |
| 28046–28443 | 3 | 30461–30609 | 21 |
| 28445–28599 | 7 | 30611–30792 | 22 |
| 28601–28783 | 8 | 30793–30914 | 23 |
| 28785–29065 | 9 | 30915–31197 | 24 |
| 29067–29259 | 10 | 31199–31590 | 25 |
| 29261–29530 | 11 | 31591–31736 | 28 |
| 29531–29700 | 14 | 31737–31924 | 29 |
| 29701–29794 | 15 | 31925–32107 | 30 |
| 29795–30036 | 16 | | |

# reminders

(The items in this list were editorially compiled as an aid to FEDERAL REGISTER users. Inclusion or exclusion from this list has no legal significance. Since this list is intended as a reminder, it does not include effective dates that occur within 14 days of publication.)

## Rules Going Into Effect Today

EPA—Air pollution control; exhaust emission regulations for new diesel heavy duty engines..... 27574; 6–30–75

Fuels; controls applicable to carriers of unleaded gasoline..... 27447; 6–30–75

Regulation on fuels and fuel additives; controls applicable to carriers transporting unleaded gasoline. 29292; 7–11–75

Soap and detergent manufacturing category; pretreatment standards for new sources..... 27454; 6–30–75

HEW/SRS—Administration of medical assistance programs; utilization review; deferral of effective date of regulations. 28070; 7–3–75

HEW/SSA—Federal health insurance for the aged and disabled; utilization review; deferral of effective date..... 28052; 7–3–75

## Next Week's Deadlines for Comments On Proposed Rules

**AGRICULTURE DEPARTMENT**

Agricultural Stabilization and Conservation Service—

1976 wheat set-aside program; proposed determinations and program operating provisions for 1976; comments by 8–4–75..... 28093; 7–3–75

Animal and Plant Health Inspection Service—

Viruses, serums, toxins, and analogous products; relaxation of the restrictions on dividing serials of product between two producers, licensee and subsidiary or two subsidiaries; comments by 8–7–75. 28621; 7–8–75

Commodity Credit Corporation—

1976 feed grain and wheat loan, purchase and payment programs; proposed determinations and operating provisions for 1976; comments by 8–4–75..... 28094; 7–3–75

Farmers Home Administration—

Rural housing loans and grants; definition of rural area; comments by 8–4–75..... 28094; 7–3–75

**ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY**

Air quality implementation plans, Georgia; comments by 8–8–75. 28815; 7–9–75

Approval and promulgation of implementation plans; sulfur oxides control strategy—Montana; comments by 8–4–75..... 28097; 7–3–75

Clean Air; requirements for preparation, adoption, and submittal of State Implementation Plans; comments by 8–7–75..... 28629; 7–8–75

Michigan; air quality implementation plan; comments by 8–4–75. 28098; 7–3–75

Water pollution; effluent limitations guidelines and standards; poultry processing point source category; comments by 8–7–75..... 28633; 7–8–75

**FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS COMMISSION**

Bio-medical telemetry equipment; adoption of standards; comments by 8–8–75..... 24742; 6–10–75

Cable television: Exemption of smaller systems and conglomerates from syndicated program exclusivity protection obligation; comments by 8–4–75. 28816; 7–9–75

FM Broadcast stations in Arcadia, Lake Placid and Englewood, Florida; comments by 8–7–75.... 28634; 7–8–75

**FEDERAL ENERGY ADMINISTRATION**

Modification or termination of the State set-aside program; comments by 8–7–75..... 30500; 7–21–75

**FEDERAL HOME LOAN BANK BOARD**

Delegation of authority to Presidents of Federal Home Loan Banks to approve certain merger applications; comments by 8–8–75.... 28640; 7–8–75

Delegation of authority to Presidents of Federal Home Loan Banks to approve certain applications for an increase in accounts of an insurable type as a part of any merger, consolidation, or purchase of bulk assets; comments by 8–8–75..... 28643; 7–8–75

Federal Savings and Loan Corporation; procedures for mergers; comments by 8–8–75..... 27954; 7–2–75

Federal Savings and Loan System; procedures for mergers; comments by 8–8–75 ..... 27953; 7–2–75

**FEDERAL MARITIME COMMISSION**

Policy and procedures for environmental protection; enlargement of time to file answers; comments by 8–8–75. 30674; 7–22–75

Privacy Act; comments by 8–5–75. 30128; 7–17–75

**FEDERAL RESERVE SYSTEM**

Individual Retirement Accounts; establishment; comments by 8–8–75. 28644; 7–8–75

**FEDERAL TRADE COMMISSION**

Advertising of children's premiums on television; requests for additional comments; comments by 8–7–75. 28489; 7–7–75

Prescription drugs: disclosure of retail price; comments by 8–4–75. 24031; 6–4–75

**HEALTH, EDUCATION, AND WELFARE DEPARTMENT**

Food and Drug Administration—

Prescription drug labeling format; comment period extended to 8–6–75..... 15392; 24909

Procedures for establishing a bioequivalence requirement; comments by 8–4–75..... 26164; 6–20–75

Social Security Administration—

Federal old-age, survivors and disability insurance and supplemental security income; administrative actions that are initial determinations; comments by 8–4–75. 28095; 7–3–75

Information disclosure of certain post-hospital services and erroneous certifications by physicians; comments by 8–8–75..... 28810; 7–9–75

**INTERIOR DEPARTMENT**

Geological Survey—

Outer Continental Shelf; oil, gas and sulphur operations, geological and geophysical exploration; comments by 8–4–75..... 30119; 7–17–75

National Park Service—

Pictured symbol signs; proposed adoption for National Park System; comments by 8–4–75. 28088; 7–3–75

**JUSTICE DEPARTMENT**

Immigration and Naturalization Service—

Parole of aliens into the United States under a refugee program, or under a claim of asylum program; termination; comments by 8–8–75. 28614; 7–8–75

**LABOR DEPARTMENT**

Office of Federal Contract Compliance—

City of New York Plan; affirmative action for federally involved construction contractors; comments by 8–6–75..... 28472; 7–7–75

Philadelphia Area Plan; affirmative action for federally involved construction contractors; comments by 8–6–75..... 28477; 7–7–75

**PENSION BENEFIT GUARANTY CORPORATION**

Pension plans; notice of intention to terminate; comments by 8–4–75. 29555; 7–14–75

**TRANSPORTATION DEPARTMENT**

Federal Aviation Administration—

Airworthiness directives; Bell models 206A, 206B, 206A–1 and 206B–1 helicopters; comments by 8–4–75. 28096; 7–3–75

Alteration of jet route no. J–29; comments by 8–4–75..... 28097; 7–3–75

Designation of jet routes; comments by 8–4–75..... 28096; 7–3–75

Distance-to-go runway markers; comments by 8–7–75.. 20289; 5–9–75

National Highway Traffic Safety Administration—

Lamps, reflective devices and associated equipment; motor vehicle safety standard; comments by 8–4–75 ..... 24204; 6–5–75

**TREASURY DEPARTMENT**

Customs Service—

Customs financial and accounting procedure; payment of bills; comments by 8–8–75 ..... 28807; 7–9–75

Internal Revenue Service—

Income tax; title holding companies, cemetery companies and crematoria; exemption; comments by 8–8–75 ..... 28613; 7–8–75

## Next Week's Meetings

**COMMERCE DEPARTMENT**

Domestic and International Business Administration—

Exporters' Textile Advisory Committee; to be held in Washington, D.C. (open with restrictions); 8–6–75. 28650; 7–8–75

**DEFENSE DEPARTMENT**

Office of the Secretary—

Defense Science Board; to be held in Newport, Rhode Island (closed), 8–4 thru 8–8 ... 29094; 7–10–75

Defense Science Board Task Force on "Surface Naval Warfare" to be held in Newport, Rhode Island (closed), 8–7, and 8–8–75 .. 27693; 7–1–75

Department of Defense Wage Committee; to be held in Washington, D.C. (closed), 8–5–75 ..... 29307; 7–11–75

**FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS COMMISSION**

Executive Committee of the Radio Technical Commission of Aeronautics; to be held at Washington, D.C. (open), 8–8–75 ..... 29758; 7–15–75

Radio Technical Commission for Aeronautics Special Committee 127, Emergency Locator Transmitters; to be held at Washington, D.C. (open), 8–6–75 ..... 29758; 7–15–75

**FEDERAL ENERGY ADMINISTRATION**

Electric Utilities Advisory Committee; to be held in Washington, D.C. (open), 8–5–75 ..... 29127; 7–10–75

**FEDERAL MEDIATION AND CONCILIATION SERVICE**

Arbitration Services Advisory Committee; held in Washington, D.C. (open), 8–7 and 8–8–75 ... 27295; 6–27–75

**GENERAL SERVICES ADMINISTRATION**

Regional Public Advisory Panel on Architectural and Engineering Services, to be held in Chicago, Illinois (closed), 8–5–75 .... 30760; 7–22–75

Regional Public Advisory Panel on Architectural and Engineering Services; to be held in Washington, D.C. (closed), 8–7–75 .... 29340; 7–11–75

**HEALTH, EDUCATION, AND WELFARE DEPARTMENT**

Education Office—

Advisory Committee on Accreditation and Institutional Eligibility; to be held in Denver, Colo. (open), 8–6 through 8–8–75 .. 30149; 7–17–75

National Advisory Committee on the Handicapped; to be held in Washington, D.C. (open), 8–6 through 8–8–75 ..... 27067; 6–26–75

Food and Drug Administration—

Procedures for determining the in vivo bioavailability of drug products; comments by 8–4–75. 26157; 6–20–75

Health Services Administration—

Interagency Committee on Emergency Medical Services; to be held in Bethesda, Md. (open), 8–7–75. 29916; 7–16–75

National Institutes of Health—

Carcinogenesis Program Scientific Review Committee A; to be held in Bethesda, Md. (open with restrictions), 8–4 and 8–5–75 .. 29316; 7–11–75

National Commission on Diabetes; to be held at Chicago, Illinois (open) 8–4 and 8–5–75 .. 29751; 7–15–75

Virus Cancer Program Scientific Review Committee B; to be held in Bethesda, Maryland (open with restrictions), 8–4–75 ..... 24763; 6–10–75

**INTERIOR DEPARTMENT**

Fish and Wildlife Service—

Waterfowl Advisory Committee; to be held in Washington, D.C. (open), 8–5–75 ..... 29096; 7–10–75

National Park Service—

Independence National Historical Park Advisory Commission; to be held in Philadelphia, Pa. (open), 8–7–75 ..... 30147; 7–17–75

**NATIONAL ENDOWMENT FOR THE ARTS AND THE HUMANITIES**

Fellowships Panel Advisory Committee to be held in Washington, D.C. (closed), 8–11 and 8–15–75. 29570; 7–14–75

Advisory Committee Fellowships Panel; to be held in Washington, D.C. (closed), 8–8–75 .. 29937; 7–16–75

Federal Graphics Evaluation Advisory Panel; to be held in Washington, D.C. (open with limitations), 8–5–75. 30538; 7–21–75

**NATIONAL SCIENCE FOUNDATION**

International Decade of Ocean Exploration Proposal Review Panel; to be held in Washington, D.C. (open) 8–5 and 8–6–75 ..... 30538; 7–21–75

**NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION**

Advisory Committee on Reactor Safeguards; to be held in Washington, D.C. (open), 8–7, 8–8–75. 31047; 7–24–75

**PRESIDENTIAL CLEMENCY BOARD**

Presidential Clemency Board; to be held in Washington, D.C. (closed), 8–4 through 8–9–75 .... 30540; 7–21–75

**SMALL BUSINESS ADMINISTRATION**

San Diego District Advisory Council; to be held in San Diego, Calif. (open), 8–7–75 ..... 27536; 6–30–75

**STATE DEPARTMENT**

Agency for International Development—

Engineering, Architectural and Construction Industry Advisory Committee; to be held in Washington, D.C. (open with restrictions), 8–6–75 ..... 28103; 7–3–75

**UNITED STATES INFORMATION AGENCY**

Information Advisory Commission; to be held in Washington, D.C. (open); 8–4–75 ..... 28687; 7–8–75; 31052; 7–24–75

## Next Week's Public Hearings

**FEDERAL ENERGY ADMINISTRATION**

Consolidation of administrative procedures relating to oil imports; to be held in Washington, D.C. on 8–8–75. 31036; 7–24–75

Energy Resources Council Interagency Task Force on motor vehicle goals beyond 1980; to be held in Kansas City, on 8–5–75 ..... 28666; 7–8–75

### List of Public Laws

This is a listing of public bills enacted by Congress and approved by the President, together with the law number, the date of approval, and the U.S. Statute citation. The list is kept current in each issue of the Federal Register and copies of the laws may be obtained from the U.S. Government Printing Office.

H.R. 5710 ..... Pub. Law 94–62
Marine Protection, Research and Sanctuaries Act of 1972, amendment
(July 25, 1975; 89 Stat. 303)

H.R. 6950 ..... Pub. Law 94–59
Legislative Branch Appropriation Act, 1976
(July 25, 1975; 89 Stat. 269)

S. 435 ..... Pub. Law 94–61
Change of marketing year for wheat from July 1–June 30, to June 1–May 31
(July 25, 1975; 89 Stat. 302)

S. J. Res. 102 ..... Pub. Law 94–60
Federal savings and loan associations, custodians of individual retirement accounts
(July 25, 1975; 89 Stat. 301)

The following bill was vetoed by the President:

S. 66, Public Health Service Act and related health laws, amendment. Message dated July 26, 1975; Weekly Compilation of Presidential Documents, Vol. 11, No. 31.

# rules and regulations

This section of the FEDERAL REGISTER contains regulatory documents having general applicability and legal effect most of which are keyed to and codified in the Code of Federal Regulations, which is published under 50 titles pursuant to 44 U.S.C. 1510.

The Code of Federal Regulations is sold by the Superintendent of Documents. Prices of new books are listed in the first FEDERAL REGISTER issue of each month.

## Title 5—Administrative Personnel

## CHAPTER I—CIVIL SERVICE COMMISSION

## PART 213—EXCEPTED SERVICE

### Export-Import Bank of the United States

Section 213.3342 is amended to show that the position of Executive Vice President is excepted under Schedule C.

Effective on July 30, 1975, § 213.3342 (o) is added as set out below.

§ 213.3342 Export-Import Bank of the United States.

* * * * *

(o) Executive Vice President.

(5 U.S.C. 3301, 3302, E.O. 10577, 3 CFR 1954–58 Comp., p. 218)

UNITED STATES CIVIL SERVICE COMMISSION,
[SEAL] JAMES C. SPRY,
*Executive Assistant to the Commissioners.*

[FR Doc.75-19886 Filed 7-29-75;8:45 am]

## Title 9—Animals and Animal Products

## CHAPTER I—ANIMAL AND PLANT HEALTH INSPECTION SERVICE, DEPARTMENT OF AGRICULTURE

## PART 82—EXOTIC NEWCASTLE DISEASE; AND PSITTACOSIS OR ORNITHOSIS IN POULTRY

### Area Released From Quarantine

This amendment excludes a portion of Hidalgo County in Texas from the areas quarantined because of exotic Newcastle disease under the regulations in 9 CFR Part 82, as amended. Therefore, the restrictions pertaining to the interstate movement of poultry, mynah and psittacine birds, and birds of all other species under any form of confinement, and their carcasses and parts thereof, and certain other articles from quarantined areas, as contained in 9 CFR Part 82, as amended, will not apply to the excluded area. No areas remain under quarantine in the continental United States.

Accordingly, Part 82, Title 9, Code of Federal Regulations, is hereby amended in the following respect:

In § 82.3, paragraph (a)(1) relating to the State of Texas is deleted.

(Secs. 4–7, 23 Stat. 32, as amended; secs. 1 and 2, 32 Stat. 791–792, as amended; secs. 1–4, 33 Stat. 1264, 1265, as amended; secs. 3 and 11, 76 Stat. 130, 132 (21 U.S.C. 111–113, 115, 117, 120, 123–126, 134b, 134f); 37 FR 28464, 28477, 38 FR 19141)

*Effective date.* The foregoing amendment shall become effective on July 24, 1975.

The amendment relieves certain restrictions no longer deemed necessary to prevent the spread of exotic Newcastle disease, and must be made effective immediately to be of maximum benefit to affected persons. It does not appear that public participation in this rulemaking proceeding would make additional relevant information available to the Department. Accordingly, under the administrative procedure provisions in 5 U.S.C. 553, it is found upon good cause that notice and other public procedure with respect to the amendment are impracticable and unnecessary, and good cause is found for making it effective less than 30 days after publication in the FEDERAL REGISTER.

Done at Washington, D.C., this 24th day of July 1975.

J. K. ATWELL,
*Acting Deputy Administrator, Veterinary Services, Animal and Plant Health Inspection Service.*

[FR Doc.75-19733 Filed 7-29-75;8:45 am]

## Title 10—Energy

## CHAPTER II—FEDERAL ENERGY ADMINISTRATION

## PART 210—GENERAL ALLOCATION AND PRICE RULES

### Extension of Stripper Well Lease Exemption Amendment

The Federal Energy Administration hereby adopts an amendment to extend for 90 days beginning September 1, 1975 the amendment to the stripper well lease exemption (10 CFR 210.32), which became effective on June 3, 1975, but which under the provisions of section 4(g)(2) of the Emergency Petroleum Allocation Act of 1973 ("EPAA", Pub. L. 93–159, as amended, 93–511) expires on August 31, 1975. This new amendment is also being submitted to Congress for its review pursuant to section 4(g)(2) of the EPAA. Unless disapproved by either House of Congress during the five-day period allowed for legislative review, the June 3, 1975 amendment to the stripper well lease exemption will be extended for another 90 days, beginning September 1, 1975. This action is being taken at this time to ensure that the amendment will continued in its present form on September 1, should the EPAA be extended beyond August 31, 1975.

The amendment which became effective June 3, 1975 is designed to remove a disincentive to increased production from marginally-producing stripper well leases that existed under the previous regulation, and provides that once a property qualifies as a stripper well lease on the basis of its average daily production per well for any calendar year beginning after December 31, 1972, the property will continue to enjoy exempt status, regardless of any increased production in a subsequent year.

The amendment was adopted pursuant to the procedures of section 4(g)(2) of the EPAA, which provides:

> If at any time after the date of enactment of this Act the President finds that application of the regulation under subsection (a) to crude oil, residual fuel oil, or a refund petroleum product is not necessary to carry out this Act, that there is no shortage of such oil or product, and that exempting such oil or product from such regulation will not have an adverse impact on the supply of any other oil or refined petroleum products subject to this Act, he may prescribe an amendment to the regulation under subsection (a) exempting such oil or product from such regulation for a period of not more than ninety days. The President shall submit any such amendment and any such findings to the Congress. An amendment under this paragraph may not exempt more than one oil or one product. Such an amendment shall take effect on a date specified in the amendment, but in no case sooner than the close of the earliest period which begins after the submission of such amendment to the Congress and which includes at least five days during which the House was in session and at least five days during which the Senate was in session; except that such amendment shall not take effect if before the expiration of such period either House of Congress approves a resolution of that House stating in substance that such House disapproves such amendment.

The FEA submitted the stripper well lease amendment and findings to each House of Congress on May 15, 1975 (40 FR 22123, May 21, 1975) and the five day period for Congressional review began on May 16, 1975. The period beginning May 16, 1975 and ending June 2, 1975 included five days during which each House was in session, and during which neither House of Congress approved a resolution stating in substance that such House diapproved the amendment. Accordingly, the amendment became effective June 3, 1975 (40 FR 24517, June 9, 1975), and the last day of the 90-day period provided for by section 4(g)(2) of the EPAA is August 31, 1975.

Included with the May 15, 1975 submission to Congress were the following findings, required under section 4(g)(2) of the EPAA:

> It is clear from the legislative history, as well as from the language of the EPAA, that application of the allocation and price regulations to the first sale of crude oil produced from leases whose average daily production is

10 barrels or less per well was not deemed necessary to implement the overall congressional policy embodied in the Act.

The report of the House Interstate and Foreign Commerce Committee indicates that "pricing controls and forced allocation of [crude oil produced from stripper well leases] would unnecessarily inhibit production" since "pricing restrictions imposed under other federal authority have demonstrably had the effect of inhibiting production from such wells." The Committee clearly stated its intent "that a similar result should not be made possible by the Mandatory Petroleum Allocation Program called for [by the EPAA]."

Similarly, the legislative history of the Trans-Alaska Pipeline Authorization Act of 1973 (Pub. L. 93-153, enacted eleven days prior to the EPAA) contained a similar exemption for stripper wells, again indicating a clear congressional policy in favor of ensuring that price controls would not inhibit the continued production from these marginally-producing properties. The Senate Committee on Interior and Insular Affairs stated the purpose of the exemption as being "to insure that direct or indirect price ceilings do not have the effect of resulting in any loss of domestic crude oil production from the premature shutdown of stripper wells for economic reasons." Continuation of the existing regulation, however, would have effects contrary to those that Congress expressly sought to achieve.

The FEA finds that there is currently no shortage of crude oil available to refiners in the United States, and that this amendment will not have an adverse impact on other products covered by FEA regulations. These findings, however, although called for by the EPAA, are not necessarily material considerations in the adoption of this amendment, which is designed to encourage the increased domestic production of crude oil from marginally-producing stripper well leases, and thereby more adequately to carry out the intent of the congressionally-mandated stripper well lease exemption. The impact, therefore, of the amendment on crude oil and other products should be simply to displace with increased domestic production the crude oil that would otherwise need to be imported in order to maintain adequate and reliable supplies of petroleum products. Continuation of the regulation in its present form, on the other hand, would effectively eliminate this potential source of additional domestic crude oil, and would tend to accelerate the decline in production from marginally-producing properties. Accordingly, the FEA finds that the continued regulation of this narrow category of crude oil is unnecessary to carry out the purposes and objectives of the EPAA, and that the effect of the amendment will be to promote the increased production of domestic crude oil, thereby decreasing the Nation's dependence on foreign supplies.

This amendment is not intended to effect any change in the method of measuring the number of barrels of production from a property or in the method of counting the number of production wells for purposes of the stripper well lease exemption. These aspects of the stripper well lease exemption were specifically treated in Ruling 1974-29 and Ruling 1974-30, neither of which is altered in any way by the amendment adopted herein. The amendment is also intended to have no effect on the continued viability of Ruling 1974-28 (Inapplicability of the "Stripper Well Lease" Exemption of 10 CFR 210.32 to Gas Wells), which the FEA is currently reconsidering in a separate proceeding (40 FR 18004, April 24, 1975).

Insofar as the amendment might have the effect of releasing from the ceiling price some crude oil that is produced from properties that lost the stripper well lease exemption because of production levels in excess of ten barrels per well per day during calendar year 1974, the amendment will operate prospectively only. Therefore, for those properties in this narrow category, crude oil may be sold without regard to the ceiling price rule of 10 CFR 212.73, as of the effective date of the amendment. However, such producers may not retroactively increase the price of such crude oil for sales that occurred prior to the effective date of this amendment.

(40 FR 22123, 22124, May 21, 1975)

The findings and amendment were adopted by FEA after a rulemaking and public hearing. Notice of the proposed amendment was given on February 28, 1975 (40 FR 10195, March 5, 1975), comments were received from thirty-three interested persons, and a public hearing was held on March 21, 1975, at which five interested persons presented oral testimony. Consideration of all the written and oral presentations led FEA to conclude that it had been correct in its preliminary analysis that the prior regulation provided a disincentive to increased production.

The May 15 findings, however, although called for by the EPAA, were not then (and are not now) deemed necessarily material considerations in the adoption of the amendment, the purpose of which is to encourage the increased domestic production of crude oil from marginally-producing stripper well leases, and thereby more adequately to carry out the intent of the congressionally-mandated stripper well lease exemption. (Section 4(e)(2)(A) of the EPAA.) The impact, therefore, of the amendment on crude oil and other products was seen as simply one of displacing with increased domestic production the crude oil that would otherwise need to be imported in order to maintain adequate and reliable supplies of petroleum products. Continuation of the regulation in its prior form, on the other hand, would effectively have eliminated this potential source of additional domestic crude oil, and would have tended to accelerate the decline in production from marginally-producing properties. Accordingly, the FEA found that the continued regulation of this narrow category of crude oil was unnecessary to carry out the EPAA, and that the effect of the amendment would be to promote the increased production of domestic crude oil, thereby decreasing the Nation's dependence on foreign supplies.

There is presently no justification to depart from the conclusions reached as a result of the rulemaking proceeding and contained in the findings submitted to Congress on May 15, 1975. Moreover, just as continuation of the regulation in its prior form would effectively have discouraged a potential source of additional domestic crude oil, reversion at this time to the disincentives of the prior regulation might have the effect of forcing the premature abandonment of many projects continued or begun in reliance upon the amendment. Indeed, the amendment itself, as well as the comments and testimony received in the rulemaking proceeding, reflect the premise that, to be effective, the stripper well lease amendment must continue in its present form on an indefinite basis, so long as there is a price differential between exempt stripper well lease oil and other domestic crude oil.

Therefore, FEA hereby reaffirms its May 15 findings and again finds that (1) the application of the Mandatory Petroleum Price Regulations to crude oil produced from leases whose average daily production is 10 barrels or less per well in any year since 1972 is not necessary to implement the overall Congressional policy embodied in the EPAA; (2) there is no shortage of crude oil available to refiners in the United States; and (3) this amendment will not have an adverse impact on other products covered by FEA regulations. An opportunity will be afforded, however, for comment from interested persons on this action in order to ensure that there have been no changes in circumstances such as would affect the validity of those findings. However, due to the exigent circumstances—the current amendment will lapse on August 31, 1975, and both Houses of Congress are scheduled to be in recess during the month of August—this action extending the stripper well lease amendment will be sent to Congress at this time, pursuant to section 4(g)(2). Due to the unusual nature of the May 15 findings and amendment, which were predicated principally on the need to remove an obvious disincentive to increased production, FEA does not anticipate receiving any comments that would affect the validity of those findings and the amendment. If, however, after analysis of any comments received, FEA determines that the May 15 findings require modification, a new set of findings and/or a new amendment will be submitted to Congress at that time.

Interested persons are invited to submit data, views or arguments with respect to the extension set forth in this notice to Executive Communications, Room 3309, Federal Energy Administration, Box DX, Washington, D.C. 20461. Comments should be identified on the outside of the envelope and on documents submitted to FEA Executive Communications with the designation "Extension of Stripper Well Lease Amendment." Fifteen copies should be submitted. All comments received by Thursday, August 14, 1975 before 4:30 p.m., e.d.t., and all relevant information, will be considered by the Federal Energy Administration.

Any information or data considered by the person furnishing it to be confidential must be so identified and submitted in writing, one copy only. The FEA reserves the right to determine the confidential status of the information or data and to treat it according to that determination.

As required by section 7(c)(2) of the Federal Energy Administration Act of 1974 ("FEAA", Pub. L. 93-275), a copy of the February 28 notice was submitted to the Administrator of the Environmen-

tal Protection Agency ("EPA") for his comments concerning the impact of the proposed amendment on the quality of the environment. The Administrator had no comments on the proposal. During the ensuing rulemaking proceeding on the amendment, oral and written comments were received. The amendment, which was adopted on May 15, 1975 and became effective on June 3, 1975, is now being extended. Inasmuch as this extension is merely a continuation of the current § 210.32 and in no way modifies its substantive content, FEA has determined that the extension is unlikely to have any adverse impact on the quality of the environment or any substantial impact on the Nation's economy or large numbers of individuals or businesses that has not already been previously commented upon and evaluated. Accordingly, the requirement of section 7(c)(2) requiring submission to EPA, and the hearing requirement of section 7(i)(1)(C) have already been satisfied and neither a further submission to EPA, nor a further opportunity for oral presentation of views is necessary.

(Emergency Petroleum Allocation Act of 1973, Pub. L. 93-159, as amended, Pub. L. 93-511; Federal Energy Administration Act of 1974, Pub. L. 93-275; E.O. 11790 (39 FR 23185))

In consideration of the foregoing, Part 210 of Chapter II, Title 10 of the Code of Federal Regulations, as amended May 15, 1975 (as set forth below), and effective June 3, 1975, is continued in effect for a period of 90 days or for such longer period as may be permitted under applicable law, effective September 1, 1975, unless this amendment or any portion thereof is disapproved by either House of Congress before that date.

Issued in Washington, D.C. July 24, 1975.

ROBERT E. MONTGOMERY, Jr.,
*General Counsel.*

**§ 210.32 Stripper well leases.**

(a) The first sale of crude oil, including condensates, produced from any stripper well lease is exempt from the provisions of Parts 211 and 212 of this chapter.

(b) Definitions: "Average daily production" means the qualified maximum total production of crude oil, including condensates, produced from a property, divided by a number equal to the number of days in the year times the number of wells that produced crude oil, including condensates, from that property in that year. To qualify as maximum total production, each well on the property must have been maintained at the maximum feasible rate of production, in accordance with recognized conservation practices, and not significantly curtailed by reason of mechanical failure or other disruption in production.

"First sale" means the first transfer for value by the producer or royalty owner.

"Property" is the right that arises from a lease in existence in 1972 or from a fee interest to produce crude oil in existence in 1972 and is coextensive with the term "property" used in § 212.72 for purposes of determining "base production control level crude petroleum."

"Stripper well lease" means a "property" whose average daily production of crude oil, including condensates, per well did not exceed 10 barrels per day during any preceding calendar year beginning after December 31, 1972.

[FR Doc.75-19690 Filed 7-25-75;10:08 am]

## Title 14—Aeronautics and Space

## CHAPTER I—FEDERAL AVIATION ADMINISTRATION, DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION

[Airspace Docket No. 75-GL-19]

## PART 71—DESIGNATION OF FEDERAL AIRWAYS, AREA LOW ROUTES, CONTROLLED AIRSPACE, AND REPORTING POINTS

### Designation of Transition Area; Correction

In FR Doc. 75-17312, on pages 28076 and 28077 in the issue of Thursday, July 3, 1975, the effective date of the docket should be corrected to read August 14, 1975. This correction will enable the transition area to be shown on the sectional chart that is published August 14, 1975.

Issued in Des Plaines, Ill., on July 11, 1975.

H. W. POGGEMEYER,
*Acting Director,*
*Great Lakes Region.*

[FR Doc.75-19692 Filed 7-29-75;8:45 am]

---

[Airspace Docket No. 75-SO-45]

## PART 71—DESIGNATION OF FEDERAL AIRWAYS, AREA LOW ROUTES, CONTROLLED AIRSPACE, AND REPORTING POINTS

### Alteration of the Atlanta, Ga., Terminal Control Area

On June 6, 1975, a notice of proposed rulemaking (NPRM) was published in the FEDERAL REGISTER (40 FR 24364) stating that the Federal Aviation Administration (FAA) was considering an amendment to Part 71 of the Federal Aviation Regulations that would alter the Atlanta, Ga., terminal control area (TCA) by raising certain floor altitudes in the southern portion to 5,000 feet MSL.

Interested persons were afforded an opportunity to participate in the proposed rule making through the submission of comments. The only comment received in response to the NPRM was from the Air Transport Association (ATA) in which they interposed no objection to the proposal.

Portions of the TCA based on radials of the Atlanta VORTAC and Norcross VOR have been replaced by geographical coordinates due to the forthcoming relocation of the Atlanta VORTAC and other extensive airway changes in the Atlanta area. Inasmuch as no change in the airspace is affected, notice and public procedure are unnecessary.

In consideration of the foregoing, Part 71 of the Federal Aviation Regulations is amended, effective 0901 G.m.t., October 9, 1975, as hereinafter set forth.

In § 71.401(a) (40 FR 640 and 10169) the Atlanta, Ga., TCA is amended to read as follows:

PRIMARY AIRPORT

Atlanta Airport (Lat. 33°38'31" N., Long. 84°25'34" W.).

BOUNDARIES

*Area A.* That airspace extending upward from the surface to and incuding 8,000 feet MSL within a 7-mile radius of the Atlanta Airport, excluding the Fulton County Control Zone and the airspace north of a line four miles north of and parallel to the extended centerline of Runways 8/26.

*Area B.* That airspace extending upward from 2,500 feet MSL to and including 8,000 feet MSL within a 12-mile radius of the Atlanta Airport, and that airspace between the 12-mile and 20-mile radii, bounded on the north by the 090° and 270° radials of the Rex VOR and bounded on the south by a line extending from Lat. 33°30'03" N., Long. 84°03'53" W., to Lat. 33°30'42" N., Long. 84°47'36" W., excluding Area A, Area D, the Fulton County Control Zone, and the airspace north of a line four miles north of and parallel to the extended centerline of Runways 8/26.

*Area C.* That airspace extending upward from 3,500 feet MSL to and including 8,000 feet MSL within a 20-mile radius of the Atlanta Airport, excluding Area A, Area B, Area D and the airspace north of a line one mile south of and parallel to the 271° and 091° radials of the Fulton County VOR.

*Area D.* That airspace extending upward from 5,000 feet MSL to and including 8,000 feet MSL south of the Atlanta Airport, bounded on the north by a line extending from Lat. 33°30'03" N., Long. 84°03'53" W., to Lat. 33°30'42" N., Long. 84°47'36" W., and on the south by a 20-mile radius arc from Atlanta Airport.

*Area E.* That airspace extending upward from 6,000 feet MSL to and including 8,000 feet MSL north of the Atlanta Airport bounded on the east by a 20-mile radius arc from the Atlanta Airport, on the south by a line one mile south of and parallel to the 271° and 091° radials of Fulton County VOR, on the west by a 20-mile radius arc from the Atlanta Airport, and on the north by the southern boundary of the area described as the Atlanta, Ga., (Dobbins AFB/NAS Atlanta Control Zone) and a line extending from Lat. 33°54'35" N., Long. 84°23'40" W., to Lat. 33°56'03" N., Long. 84°13'54" W.

*Area F.* That airspace extending upward from 8,000 feet MSL to and including 12,500 feet MSL within a 35-mile radius of the Atlanta Airport.

(Sec. 307(a), Federal Aviation Act of 1958 (49 U.S.C. 1348(a)); sec. 6(c), Department of Transportation Act (49 U.S.C. 1655(c)))

Issued in Washington, D.C., on July 24, 1975.

WILLIAM E. BROADWATER,
*Acting Chief, Airspace and*
*Air Traffic Rules Division.*

[FR Doc.75-19691 Filed 7-29-75;8:45 am]

## Title 19—Customs Duties

## CHAPTER I—UNITED STATES CUSTOMS SERVICE, DEPARTMENT OF THE TREASURY

[T.D. 75-186]

### Issuance of Administrative Rulings by Headquarters Office

On January 13, 1975, a notice of proposed rulemaking was published in the FEDERAL REGISTER (40 FR 2437), which proposed to revise the Customs Regulations to set forth in a new part, Part 177, provisions relating to the issuance of administrative rulings to importers and other interested parties by the Headquarters Office of the United States Customs Service. It was also proposed to make certain conforming changes in other parts of the Customs Regulations. The latter changes would (1) delete the administrative ruling procedures set forth in §§ 4.80a(d) and 152.14–15 of the Customs Regulations (19 CFR 4.80a(d), 152.14–15), (2) provide, in § 152.16 of the Customs Regulations (19 CFR 152.16), for the publication in the Customs Bulletin of rulings regarding changes in tariff classification made by decision of either the United States Customs Court or the United States Court of Customs and Patent Appeals, and (3) provide, in a new paragraph (d) of § 174.24 of the Customs Regulations (19 CFR 174.24 (d)), that the refusal of the Headquarters Office to consider a question in the form of a request for internal advice under proposed § 177.11 of the Customs Regulations shall result in the further review of a protest of the decision involving that question, provided a proper application for further review is filed in accordance with § 174.25 of the Customs Regulations (19 CFR 174.25).

Interested persons were given until March 14, 1975, to submit relevant data, views, or arguments regarding the proposals set forth in the notice of proposed rulemaking. After consideration of the comments received, the following changes are made to the proposals:

1. Under §§ 177.5 and 177.11 of the proposal, action with respect to a Customs transaction by a Customs Service field office (including liquidation) will normally be suspended if a ruling or internal advice has been requested from the Customs Service Headquarters Office with respect to the transaction. Under § 159.56 of the Customs Regulations (19 CFR 159.56), the liquidation of entries involving questions of valuation or classification which have been submitted to the Commissioner of Customs for an administrative review of the official position contemplated, shall be suspended pending a decision on those questions. Section 159.56 further provides that no additional deposit of duty will normally be required in connection with a liquidation thus suspended. Inasmuch as administrative review of the type referred to in § 159.56 will now be accomplished pursuant to the applicable provisions of proposed Part 177 and, further, inasmuch as the practice of not requiring additional deposits of duty in connection with a suspended liquidation (except in special circumstances) will be continued as a matter of policy, the provisions of § 159.56 are deleted.

2. Proposed § 177.1(b) is amended to provide that oral inquiries may be made to Customs Service offices regarding existing administrative rulings and the scope of such rulings.

3. Proposed § 177.1(d)(3) is amended to provide that, in a series of identical, recurring transactions, each transaction may be considered an individual transaction for purposes of the administrative ruling procedures set forth in proposed Part 177.

4. Proposed § 177.2(b)(2)(iii), relating to the additional information to be supplied with requests for valuation rulings, is amended to require the inclusion in the ruling request of all of the applicable information described in Subparts C and D of Part 152 of the Customs Regulations (19 CFR Part 152) and, insofar as is relevant, the information which would be required on a Special Customs Invoice, as described in Subpart F of Part 141 of the Customs Regulations (19 CFR Part 141).

5. Proposed § 177.2(b) is amended by the addition of a new subparagraph (7), relating to requests for rulings which are claimed to contain privileged or confidential information. This subparagraph requires that ruling requests clearly identify information which is claimed to be trade secret or privileged or confidential commercial or financial information regarding the business transactions of private parties the disclosure of which would cause substantial harm to the competitive position of the person making the request (or of another interested party). The reasons such information should not be disclosed would also be required to be set forth.

6. Proposed § 177.8(a)(3) is amended to conform with the additional provisions added to proposed § 177.2(b) in new subparagraph (7), as just described. As amended, proposed § 177.8(a)(3) also provides for the notification of the person submitting the ruling request in the event a claim for exemption from disclosure of information alleged to be privileged or confidential is disallowed and, in the later event, permits the ruling request to be withdrawn.

7. Proposed § 177.10(b) is amended to provide that a ruling regarding a rate of duty or charge which is published in the Customs Bulletin will establish a uniform practice. The previously-included reference to section 315(d) of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended (19 U.S.C. 1315 (d)), is deleted as unnecessary.

In addition to the above changes, a number of editorial corrections have been made in the text of the provisions originally proposed.

Accordingly, new Part 177, and conforming changes in Parts 4, 152, 159, and 174 of the Customs Regulations (Chapter I, title 19, Code of Federal Regulations) are hereby adopted as set forth below.

*Effective date.* These amendments will be effective on or before August 29, 1975.

[SEAL] VERNON D. ACREE,
*Commissioner of Customs.*

Approved: July 16, 1975.

DAVID R. MACDONALD,
*Assistant Secretary of the Treasury.*

## PART 4—VESSELS IN FOREIGN AND DOMESTIC TRADES

Paragraph (d) of § 4.80a is amended to read as follows:

**§ 4.80a Passengers on foreign vessels taken on board and landed in the United States.**

* * * * *

(d) The owner or charterer of a foreign vessel or any other interested party may request from Headquarters, United States Customs Service, an advisory ruling as to whether or not the primary object of a contemplated voyage would be considered to be coastwise transportation in violation of 46 U.S.C. 289. Such a request shall be filed in accordance with the provisions of Part 177 of this chapter.

(R.S. 251, as amended, sec. 624, 46 Stat. 759 (5 U.S.C. 301, 19 U.S.C. 66, 1624))

## PART 152—CLASSIFICATION AND APPRAISEMENT OF MERCHANDISE

Part 152 is amended by deleting §§ 152.14 and 152.15, and by amending the first sentence of § 152.16 to read as follows:

**§ 152.14–152.15 [Deleted]**

**§ 152.16 Judicial changes in classification.**

The following procedures apply to changes in classification made by decision of either the United States Customs Court or the United States Court of Customs and Patent Appeals, except to the extent otherwise provided in a ruling published in the Customs Bulletin pursuant to § 177.10(a) of this chapter:

* * * * *

(R.S. 251, as amended, sec. 624, 46 Stat. 759, 77A Stat. 14 (5 U.S.C. 301, 19 U.S.C. 66, 1202 (General Headnote 11, Tariff Schedules of the United States), 1624))

## PART 159—LIQUIDATION OF DUTIES

Part 159 is amended by deleting § 159.56.

**§ 159.56 [Deleted]**

(R.S. 251, as amended, sec. 624, 46 Stat. 759, 77A Stat. 14 (5 U.S.C. 301, 19 U.S.C. 66, 1202 (General Headnote 11, Tariff Schedules of the United States), 1624))

## PART 174—PROTESTS

§ 174.24 is amended by deleting the word "or", which appears after paragraph (b), by deleting the period (".") which appears after paragraph (c) and replacing it with a semicolon (";") and the word "or", and by adding a new paragraph, (d), to read as follows:

§ 174.24 Criteria for further review.

* * * * *

(d) Is alleged to involve questions which the Headquarters Office, United States Customs Service, refused to consider in the form of a request for internal advice pursuant to § 177.11(b)(5) of this chapter.

(R.S. 251, as amended, sec. 624, 46 Stat. 759, 77A Stat. 14 (5 U.S.C. 301, 19 U.S.C. 66, 1202 (General Headnote 11, Tariff Schedules of the United States), 1624))

Chapter I of title 19 of the Code of Federal Regulations is amended by adding a new part, Part 177—Administrative Rulings, to read as follows:

## PART 177—ADMINISTRATIVE RULINGS

Sec.


177.0 Scope.
177.1 General ruling practice and definitions.
177.2 Submission of ruling requests.
177.3 Nonconforming requests for rulings.
177.4 Oral discussion of issues.
177.5 Change in status of transaction.
177.6 Withdrawal of ruling requests.
177.7 Situations in which no ruling will be issued.
177.8 Issuance of rulings.
177.9 Effect of ruling letters; modification or revocation.
177.10 Publication of rulings.
177.11 Requests for advice by field offices.


AUTHORITY: R.S. 251, as amended, sec. 624, 46 Stat. 759, 77A Stat. 14; (5 U.S.C. 301, 19 U.S.C. 66, 1202 (General Headnote 11), 1624).

§ 177.0 Scope.

This part relates to the issuance of rulings to importers and other interested persons by the Headquarters Office of the United States Customs Service. It describes the situations in which a ruling may be requested, the procedures to be following in requesting a ruling, the conditions under which a ruling will be issued, the effect of a ruling when it is issued, and the publication of rulings in the Customs Bulletin. The rulings issued under the provisions of this part will usually be prospective in application and, consequently, will usually not relate to specific matters or situations presently or previously under consideration by any Customs Service field office. Accordingly, the rulings requested under the provisions of this part should be distinguished from the administrative rulings, determinations, or decisions which may be requested under procedures set forth elsewhere in this chapter, including, but not limited to, those set forth in Part 12 (relating to submissions of proof of admissibility of articles detained under section 307 of the Tariff Act of 1930 (19 U.S.C. 1307)), Part 103 (relating to disclosure of information in Customs files), Part 133 (relating to disputed claims of piratical copying of copyrighted matter), Subpart C of Part 152 (relating to determinations concerning the dutiable value of merchandise by Customs field officers), Part 153 (relating to enforcement of the Antidumping Act, 1921, as amended), Part 159 (insofar as it relates to countervailing duties), Part 171 (relating to fines, penalties, and forfeitures), Part 172 (relating to liquidated damages), Part 174 (relating to protests), and Part 175 (relating to petitions filed by American manufacturers, producers, or wholesalers pursuant to section 516 of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended). Nor do the provisions of Part 177 apply to requests for decisions of an operational, administrative, or investigative nature which are properly within the cognizance of a Customs Headquarters Office other than the Office of Regulations and Rulings.

§ 177.1 General ruling practice and definitions.

(a) *The issuance of rulings generally*—(1) *Prospective transactions.* It is in the interest of the sound administration of the Customs and related laws that persons engaging in any transaction affected by those laws fully understand the consequences of that transaction prior to its consummation. For this reason, the Headquarters Office of the United States Customs Service will give full and careful consideration to written requests from importers or other interested parties for rulings or information setting forth, with respect to a specifically described Customs transaction, a definitive interpretation of applicable law, or other appropriate information. Generally, a ruling may be requested under the provisions of this part only with respect to prospective transactions—that is, transactions which are not already pending before a Customs Service office by reason of arrival, entry, or otherwise.

(2) *Current or completed transactions*—(i) *Current transactions.* A question arising in connection with a Customs transaction already before a Customs Service office will normally be resolved by that office in accordance with the principles and precedents previously announced by the Headquarters Office. If such a question cannot be resolved on the basis of clearly established rules set forth in the Customs and related laws, or in the regulations thereunder, or in applicable Treasury Decisions, rulings, opinions, or court decisions published in the Customs Bulletin, that office may be requested to forward the question to the Headquarters Office for consideration, as more fully described in § 177.11.

(ii) *Completed transactions.* A question arising in connection with an entry of merchandise which has been liquidated, or in connection with any other completed Customs transaction, may not be the subject of a ruling request.

(b) *Oral advice.* The Customs Service will not issue rulings in response to oral requests, nor will Headquarters Office personnel ordinarily discuss a substantive Customs question prior to receiving a written request for a ruling. Oral opinions or advice of Customs Service personnel are not binding on the Customs Service. However, oral inquiries may be made to Customs Service offices regarding existing rulings, the scope of such rulings, the types of transactions with respect to which the Headquarters Office will issue a ruling, the scope of the ruling which may be issued, or the procedures to be followed in submitting a ruling request, as described in this part.

(c) *Who may request a ruling.* A ruling may be requested by any person who, as an importer or exporter of merchandise, or otherwise, has a direct and demonstrable interest in the question or questions presented in the ruling request, or by the authorized agent of such person. A "person" in this context includes an individual, corporation, partnership, association, or other entity or group.

(d) *Definitions.* (1) A "ruling" is a written statement issued by the Headquarters Office that interprets and applies the provisions of the Customs and related laws to a specific set of facts. A "ruling letter" is a ruling issued in response to a written request therefor and set forth in a letter addressed to the person making the request or his designee. A "published ruling" is a ruling which has been published in the Customs Bulletin.

(2) An "information letter" is a written statement issued by the Headquarters Office that does no more than call attention to a well-established interpretation or principle of Customs law, without applying it to a particular set of facts. An information letter may be issued in response to a request for a ruling when (i) the request suggests that general information, rather than a ruling, is actually being sought, (ii) the request is incomplete or otherwise fails to meet the requirements set forth in this part, or (iii) the ruling requested cannot be issued for any other reason, and (iv) it is believed that general information may be of some benefit to the party making the request.

(3) A "Customs transaction" is an act or activity to which the Customs and related laws apply. A "prospective" Customs transaction is one that is contemplated or is currently being undertaken and has not resulted in any arrival or the filing of any entry or other document, or in any other act to bring the transaction, or any part of it, under the jurisdiction of any Customs Service office. A "current" Customs transaction is one which is presently under consideration by a field office (port, district, or region) of the Customs Service. A "completed" Customs transaction is one which has been acted upon by a Customs Service field office and with respect to which that office has issued a determination which is final in nature, but is (or was) subject to appeal, petition, protest, or other review, as provided in the applicable Customs laws and regulations. In a series of identical, recurring transactions, each transaction shall be considered an individual transaction for purposes of this part.

(4) An "authorized agent" is a person expressly authorized by a principal to act on his behalf. A ruling requested by an attorney or other person acting as an agent must include a statement describing the authority under which the request is made. With the exception of attorneys whose authority to represent is known, any person appearing before the Customs Service as an agent in connec-

tion with a ruling request may be required to present evidence of his authority to represent the principal. The foregoing requirements will not apply to an individual representing his full-time employer, or to a bona-fide officer, director, or other qualified representative of a corporation, association, or organized group.

(5) The term "Customs and related laws," as generally used in this part, includes any provision of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended (including the Tariff Schedules of the United States), or the Customs Regulations, or any provision contained in other legislation (including the navigation laws), regulations, treaties, orders, proclamations, or other agreements administered by the Customs Service.

(6) The term "Headquarters Office," as used herein, means the Office of Regulations and Rulings at Headquarters, United States Customs Service, Washington, D.C.

**§ 177.2 Submission of ruling requests.**

(a) *Form.* A request for a ruling should be in the form of a letter addressed to the Commissioner of Customs, Attention: Office of Regulations and Rulings, Washington, D.C. 20229. The Division and Branch in the Office of Regulations and Rulings to which the request should be directed may also be indicated, if known.

(b) *Content*—(1) *Generally.* Each request for a ruling must contain a complete statement of all relevant facts relating to the transaction. Such facts include the names, addresses, and other identifying information of all interested parties (if known); the name of the port or place at which any article involved in the transaction will arrive or be entered, or which will otherwise have jurisdiction with respect to the act or activity described in the transaction; and a description of the transaction itself, appropriate in detail to the type of ruling requested.

(2) *Description of transaction*—(i) *Generally.* The Customs transaction to which the ruling request relates must be described in sufficient detail to permit the proper application of relevant Customs and related laws.

(ii) *Tariff classification rulings.* If the transaction involves the importation of an article for which a ruling as to its proper classification under the provisions of the Tariff Schedules of the United States is requested, the request for a ruling should include a full and complete description of the article and, whenever germane to the proper classification of the article, information as to the article's chief use in the United States, its commercial, common, or technical designation, and, where the article is composed of two or more materials, the relative quantity (by weight and by volume) and value of each. The ruling request should also note, whenever germane, the purchase price of the article, and its approximate selling price in the United States.

(iii) *Valuation rulings.* If the transaction involves the valuation of an article for Customs purposes, the request for a ruling should include all of the applicable information described in subparts C and D of Part 152 of this chapter, and, insofar as is relevant, the information which would be required on a Special Customs Invoice, as described in Subpart F of Part 141 of this chapter. The request should also describe the nature of the transaction (whether f.o.b./c.i.f., ex-factory, or some other arrangement), the relationship (if any) of the parties, whether the transaction was at arm's-length, whether there have been other sales of the same or similar merchandise in the country of exportation, whether an agency relationship exists, or any other information relevant to a determination under section 402 or 402a of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended (19 U.S.C. 1401a, 1402).

(iv) *Carrier rulings.* If the transaction involves a vessel, the request for a ruling should include information relating to place of build and nationality of registration and, if to be used in waters under the jurisdiction of the United States, the exact place or places of intended use, if known. If the request for a ruling involves a determination as to whether or not the primary object of a contemplated voyage would be considered to be coastwise transportation in violation of 46 U.S.C. 289 (see § 4.80a of this chapter), the request should completely identify the voyage, including the proposed time of arrival at and departure from every port on the itinerary and any coordination of the voyage with special events at coastwise ports, and should be accompanied by samples, if available, of brochures, advertising, and other information that may be relevant to a determination of the primary object of the proposed voyage.

(3) *Samples.* Each request for a ruling regarding the status of an article under any Customs or related law affecting the importation or arrival of that article should be accompanied by photographs, drawings, or other pictorial representations of the article and, whenever possible, by a sample article, unless a precise description of the article is not essential to the ruling requested. Any article consisting of materials in chemical or physical combination for which a laboratory analysis has been prepared by or for the manufacturer should include a copy of that analysis. A sample submitted in connection with a request for a ruling becomes a part of the Customs Service file in the matter and will be retained until the ruling is issued or the ruling request is otherwise disposed of. If the return of the sample is desired, the ruling request should so state and should specify the desired means of return. A sample should only be submitted with the understanding that all or a part of it may be damaged or consumed in the course of examination, testing, analysis, or other actions undertaken in connection with the ruling request.

(4) *Related documents.* If the question or questions presented in the ruling request directly relate to matters set forth in any invoice, contract, agreement, or other document, a copy of the document must be submitted with the request. (Original documents should not be submitted inasmuch as any documents or exhibits furnished with the ruling request become a part of the Customs Service file in the matter and cannot be returned.) The relevant facts reflected in any documents submitted, and an explanation of their bearing on the question or questions presented, must be expressly set forth in the ruling request.

(5) *Prior or current transactions.* Each request for a ruling must state whether, to the knowledge of the person submitting the request, the same transaction, or one identical to it, has ever been considered, or is currently being considered by any Customs Service office or whether, to the knowledge of the person submitting the request, the issues involved have ever been considered, or are currently being considered, by the United States Customs Court, the United States Court of Customs and Patent Appeals, or any court of appeal therefrom. Where the transaction described in the ruling request is but one of a series of similar and related transactions, that fact must also be stated.

(6) *Statement of position.* If the request for a ruling asks that a particular determination or conclusion be reached in the ruling letter, a statement must be included in the request setting forth the basis for that determination or conclusion, together with a citation of all relevant supporting authority.

(7) *Privileged or confidential information.* If the request for a ruling contains information which is claimed to constitute trade secrets or privileged or confidential commercial or financial information regarding the business transactions of private parties the disclosure of which would cause substantial harm to the competitive position of the person making the request (or of another interested party), the ruling request must clearly identify such information and set forth the reasons such information should not be disclosed, including, where applicable, the reasons the disclosure of the information would prejudice the competitive position of the person making the request (or of another interested party).

(c) *Signing; instructions as to reply.* The request for a ruling must be signed by a person authorized to make the request, as described in § 177.1(c). A ruling requested by a principal or authorized agent may direct that the ruling letter be addressed to the other.

(d) *Requests for immediate consideration.* The Headquarters Office will normally process requests for rulings in the order they are received and as expeditiously as possible. However, a request that a particular matter be given consideration ahead of its regular order, if made in writing at the time the request is submitted, or subsequent thereto, and showing a clear need for such treatment, will be given consideration as the particular circumstances warrant and permit. Requests for special consideration made by telegram will be treated in the

same manner as requests made by letter, but rulings will not ordinarily be issued by telegram. In no event can any assurance be given that a particular request for a ruling will be acted upon by the time requested. However, upon request and where a clear need is shown for such action, a collect telephone call will be made to advise that the ruling letter has been issued and is being mailed.

§ 177.3 Nonconforming requests for rulings.

A person submitting a request for a ruling that does not comply with all of the provisions of this part will be so notified in writing, and the requirements that have not been met will be pointed out. Such person will be given a period of 30 days from the date of the notice (or such longer period as the notice may provide) to supply any additional information that is requested or to otherwise conform the ruling request to the requirements referred to in the notice. The Customs Service file with respect to ruling requests which are not brought into compliance with the provisions of this part within the period of time allowed will be administratively closed and the request removed from active consideration until such time as the deficiencies cited in the notice are corrected. A request for a ruling that is removed from active consideration by reason of failing to comply with the provisions of this part may be treated as withdrawn.

§ 177.4 Oral discussion of issues.

(a) *Generally.* A person submitting a request for a ruling and desiring an opportunity to orally discuss the issue or issues involved should indicate that desire in writing at the time the ruling request is filed. Such a discussion will only be scheduled when, in the opinion of the Headquarters Office personnel by whom the ruling request is under consideration, a conference will be helpful in deciding the issue or issues involved or when a determination or conclusion contrary to that advocated in the ruling request is contemplated. Conferences are scheduled for the purpose of affording the parties an opportunity to freely and openly discuss the matters set forth in the ruling request. Accordingly, the parties will not be bound by any argument or position advocated or agreed to, expressly or by implication, during the conference unless either party subsequently agrees to be so bound in writing. The conference will not conclude with the issuance of a ruling letter.

(b) *Time, place, and number of conferences.* If a request for a conference is granted, the person making the request will be notified of the time and place of the conference. Except under highly unusual circumstances, the conference will be held at the Headquarters Office of the United States Customs Service in Washington, D.C. No more than one conference with respect to the matters set forth in a ruling request will be scheduled unless, in the opinion of the Headquarters Office personnel by whom the ruling request is under consideration, additional conferences are necessary.

(c) *Representation.* A person whose request for a conference has been granted may be accompanied at that conference by counsel or other representatives, or may designate such persons to attend the conference in his place.

(d) *Additional information presented at conferences.* It will be the responsibility of the person submitting the request for a ruling to provide for inclusion in the Customs Service file in the matter a written record setting forth any and all additional information, documents, and exhibits introduced during the conference to the extent that person considers such material relevant to the consideration of the ruling request by the Headquarters Office.

§ 177.5 Change in status of transaction.

Each person submitting a request for a ruling in connection with a Customs transaction shall immediately advise the Headquarters Office in writing of any change in the status of that transaction, as defined in § 177.1(d)(3). In particular, the Headquarters Office must be advised when any transaction described in the ruling request as prospective becomes current and under the jurisdiction of any Customs Service field office. In addition, any person engaged in a Customs transaction coming under the jurisdiction of a Customs Service field office and having previously requested a ruling with respect to that transaction shall advise the field office of that fact. The field office will normally withhold action with respect to any transaction for which a ruling has previously been requested pending the disposition of the ruling request by the Headquarters Office.

§ 177.6 Withdrawal of ruling requests.

Any request for a ruling may be withdrawn by the person submitting it at any time prior to the issuance of a ruling letter or any other final disposition of the request by the Headquarters Office. All correspondence, documents, and exhibits submitted in connection with the request will be retained in the Customs Service file and will not be returned. In addition, the Headquarters Office may forward to Customs Service field offices which have or may have jurisdiction over the transaction to which the ruling request relates, its views in regard to the transaction or the issues involved therein, as well as appropriate information derived from materials in the Customs Service file.

§ 177.7 Situations in which no ruling will be issued.

(a) *Generally.* No ruling letter will be issued in response to a request for a ruling which fails to comply with the provisions of this part. Moreover, no ruling letter will be issued with regard to transactions or questions which are essentially hypothetical in nature or in any instance in which it appears contrary to the sound administration of the Customs and related laws to do so. No ruling letter will be issued in regard to a completed transaction.

(b) *Pending litigation in the United States Customs Court.* No ruling letter will be issued with respect to any issue which is pending before the United States Customs Court, the United States Court of Customs and Patent Appeals, or any court of appeal therefrom. Litigation before any other court will not preclude the issuance of a ruling letter, provided neither the Customs Service nor any of its officers or agents is named as a defendant.

§ 177.8 Issuance of rulings.

(a) *Ruling letters*—(1) *Generally.* The Headquarters Office will endeavor to issue a ruling letter setting forth its determinations with respect to a specifically-described Customs transaction whenever a request for such a ruling is submitted in accordance with the provisions of this part and it is in the interest of the sound administration of the Customs and related laws to do so. Otherwise, a request for a ruling will be answered by an information letter or, in those situations in which general information is likely to be of little or no value, by a letter stating that no ruling can be issued.

(2) *Submission of ruling letters to field offices.* Any person engaging in a Customs transaction with respect to which a ruling letter has been issued by the Headquarters Office shall ascertain that a copy of the ruling letter is attached to the documents filed in connection with that transaction with the appropriate Customs Service field office. A copy of any ruling letter received after the filing of such documents shall be forwarded immediately to the appropriate Customs Service field office.

(3) *Disclosure of ruling letters.* The ruling letter shall be based on the information set forth in the ruling request. No part of the ruling letter, including names, addresses, or information relating to the business transactions of private parties, shall be deemed to constitute privileged or confidential commercial or financial information or trade secrets exempt from disclosure pursuant to the Freedom of Information Act, as amended (5 U.S.C. 552), unless the ruling request, as provided in § 177.2(b)(7), clearly identifies the information claimed to be exempt from disclosure and sets forth the reasons therefor. Prior to the issuance of the ruling letter, the person submitting the ruling request will be notified of any decision adverse to his claim for exemption from disclosure and will, upon written request to the Commissioner of Customs within 10 working days of the date of notification, be permitted to withdraw the ruling request. All ruling letters issued by the Headquarters Office will be available, upon written request, for inspection and copying by any person (with any portions determined to be exempt from disclosure deleted).

(b) *Other rulings.* The Headquarters Office may from time to time issue other

rulings with respect to issues or transactions described or suggested by requests for rulings submitted under the provisions of this part, or with respect to issues or transactions otherwise brought to its attention. These rulings, which are statements of the official position of the Customs Service which are likely to be of widespread interest and application, are published in the Customs Bulletin, as described in § 177.10.

**§ 177.9 Effect of ruling letters; modification or revocation.**

(a) *Effect of ruling letters generally.* A ruling letter issued by the Headquarters Office under the provisions of this part represents the official position of the Customs Service with respect to the particular transaction or issue described therein and is binding on all Customs Service personnel in accordance with the provisions of this section until modified or revoked. In the absence of a subsequent change of practice or other modification or revocation which affects the principle of the ruling set forth in the ruling letter, that principle may be cited as authority in the dispositon of transactions involving the same circumstances.

(b) *Application of rulings to transactions*—(1) *Generally.* Each ruling letter is issued on the assumption that all of the information furnished in connection with the ruling request and incorporated in the ruling letter, either directly, by reference, or by implication, is accurate and complete in every material respect. The application of a ruling letter by a Customs Service field office to the transaction to which it is purported to relate is subject to the verification of the facts incorporated in the ruling letter, a comparison of the transaction described therein to the actual transaction, and the satisfaction of any conditions on which the ruling was based. If, in the opinion of any Customs Service field office by whom the transaction is under consideration or review, the ruling letter should be modified or revoked, the findings and recommendations of that office will be forwarded to the Headquarters Office for consideration, as provided in § 177.11(b)(1)(i), prior to any final disposition with respect to the transaction by that office. Otherwise, if the transaction described in the ruling letter and the actual transaction are the same, and any and all conditions set forth in the ruling letter have been satisfied, the ruling will be applied to the transaction.

(2) *Tariff classification rulings.* Each ruling letter setting forth the proper classification of an article under the provisions of the Tariff Schedules of the United States will be applied only with respect to transactions involving articles identical to the sample submitted with the ruling request or to articles whose description is identical to the description set forth in the ruling letter.

(3) *Valuation rulings.* Each ruling letter setting forth the proper valuation of an article under the provisions of section 402 or 402a of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended (19 U.S.C. 1401a, 1402), will be applied only with respect to transactions involving the same merchandise and like facts.

(4) *Carrier rulings.* Each ruling letter setting forth the applicability of the navigation laws to a vessel will be applied only with respect to transactions involving operations identical to those set forth in the ruling letter. Each ruling letter setting forth a determination as to whether or not the primary object of a contemplated voyage is coastwise transportation in violation of 46 U.S.C. 289 will be binding on the United States Customs Service with respect to any transaction identical to the facts and circumstances described in the ruling request and undertaken in reliance on the ruling letter.

(c) *Reliance on ruling letters by others.* A ruling letter is subject to modification or revocation without notice to any person, except the person to whom the letter was addressed. Accordingly, no other person should rely on the ruling letter or assume that the principles of that ruling will be applied in connection with any transaction other than the one described in the letter. However, any person eligible to request a ruling under section 177.1(c) may request information as to whether a previously-issued ruling letter has been modified or revoked by writing the Commissioner of Customs, Attention: Office of Regulations and Rulings, Washington, D.C. 20229, and either enclosing a copy of the ruling letter or furnishing other information sufficient to permit the ruling letter in question to be identified.

(d) *Modification or revocation of ruling letters*—(1) *Generally.* Any ruling letter found to be in error or not in accordance with the current views of the Customs Service may be modified or revoked. Modification or revocation of a ruling letter shall be effected by giving notice to the person to whom the ruling letter was addressed and, where circumstances warrant, by the publication of a notice or other statement in the Customs Bulletin.

(2) *Effect of modification or revocation of ruling letters.* The modification or revocation of a ruling letter will not be applied retroactively with respect to the person to whom the ruling was issued, or to any person directly involved in the transaction to which that ruling related, provided (i) The request for a ruling contained no misstatement or omission of material facts,

(ii) The facts subsequently developed are not materially different from the facts on which the ruling was based,

(iii) There has been no change in the applicable law,

(iv) The ruling was originally issued with respect to a prospective transaction, and

(v) All of the parties involved in the transaction acted in good faith in reliance upon the ruling and retroactive modification or revocation would be to their detriment.

Nothing in this subparagraph will prohibit the retroactive modification or revocation of a ruling with respect to a transaction which was not prospective at the time the ruling was issued, inasmuch as such a transaction was not entered into in reliance on a ruling from the Headquarters Office.

**§ 177.10 Publication of rulings.**

(a) *Generally.* Whenever it is determined that a ruling with regard to the issues involved in a particular transaction or with regard to any other matter brought to the attention of the Headquarters Office, will affect a substantial volume of imports or transactions or is otherwise of general interest or importance, the ruling will be published in the Customs Bulletin.

(b) *Rulings regarding a rate of duty or charge.* Any ruling regarding a rate of duty or charge which is published in the Customs Bulletin will establish a uniform practice. A published ruling may result in a change of practice, it may limit the application of a court decision, it may otherwise modify an earlier ruling with respect to the classification or valuation of an article or any other action found to be in error or no longer in accordance with the current views of the Customs Service, or it may revoke a previously-published ruling or a previously-issued ruling letter. No ruling published under the provisions of this section will have the effect of changing either an earlier published ruling or a practice established by other means by imposing a higher rate of duty or charge or an article unless the earlier ruling or practice has been determined to be clearly wrong.

(c) *Changes of practice or position.* (1) Before the publication of a ruling which has the effect of changing a practice and which results in the assessment of a higher rate of duty, notice that the practice (or prior ruling on which the practice is based) is under review will be published in the FEDERAL REGISTER and interested parties given an opportunity to make written submissions with respect to the correctness of the contemplated change. This procedure will also be followed when the contemplated change of practice will result in the assessment of a lower rate of duty and the Headquarters Office determines that the matter is of sufficient importance to involve the interests of domestic industry. No advance notice will be provided with respect to rulings which result in a change of practice but no change in the rate of duty.

(2) Before the publication of a ruling which has the effect of changing a position of the Customs Service and which results in a restriction or prohibition, notice that the position (or prior ruling on which the position is based) is under review will be published in the Federal Register and interested parties given an opportunity to make written submissions with respect to the correctness of the contemplated change. This procedure will also be followed when the change of position will result in a holding that an activity is not restricted or prohibited and the Headquarters Office determines that the matter is of sufficient impor-

tance to involve the interests of the general public.

(d) *Limiting rulings.* A published ruling may limit the application of a court decision to the specific article under litigation, or to an article of a specific class or kind of such merchandise, or to the particular circumstances or entries which were the subject of the litigation.

(e) *Effective dates.* Except as otherwise provided for in the ruling itself, all rulings published under the provisions of this part shall be applied immediately. If the ruling involves merchandise, it will be applicable to all unliquidated entries, except that a change of practice resulting in the assessment of a higher rate of duty or increased duties shall be effective only as to merchandise entered for consumption or withdrawn from warehouse for consumption on or after the 90th day after publication of the change in the Customs Bulletin.

**§ 177.11 Requests for advice by field offices.**

(a) *Generally.* Advice or guidance as to the interpretation or proper application of the Customs and related laws with respect to a specific Customs transaction may be requested by Customs Service field offices from the Headquarters Office at any time, whether the transaction is prospective, current, or completed. Advice as to the proper application of the Customs and related laws to a current transaction will be sought by a Customs Service field office whenever that office is requested to do so, pursuant to paragraph (b) of this section, by an importer or other person having an interest in the transaction. Advice or guidance will be furnished by the Headquarters Office as a means of assisting Customs personnel in the orderly processing of Customs transactions under consideration by them and to insure the consistent application of the Customs and related laws in the several Customs districts. Requests for advice received by the Headquarters Office will be processed as expeditiously as possible.

(b) *Certain current transactions—*(1) *When a ruling has been issued—*(i) *Requests by field offices.* If the Headquarters Office has issued a ruling letter with respect to a particular Customs transaction and the Customs Service field office having jurisdiction over that transaction feels that the ruling should be modified or revoked, the field office will forward to the Headquarters Office, pursuant to § 177.9(b)(1), a request that the ruling be reconsidered. The field office will notify the importer or other person to whom the ruling letter was issued, in writing, that it has requested the Headquarters Office to reconsider the ruling.

(ii) *Requests by importers and others.* If the importer or other person to whom a ruling letter is issued disagrees with the Customs Service field office having jurisdiction over the transaction to which the ruling relates as to the proper application of the ruling to the transaction, the field office will, upon receipt of a written request submitted in accordance with the procedure set forth in subparagraph (3) of this paragraph, request advice from the Headquarters Office as to the proper application of the ruling to the transaction.

(2) *When no ruling has been issued.* Internal advice will be sought by a Customs Service field office with respect to a current transaction for which no ruling was requested or issued under the provisions of this part whenever a difference of opinion exists as to the interpretation or proper application of the Customs and related laws to the transaction, and the field office is requested to seek such advice by an importer or other person who would have been entitled, under § 177.1(c), to request a ruling with respect to the transaction, while prospective. The request must be submitted to the field office in writing and in accordance with the provisions of subparagraph (3) of this paragraph.

(3) *Form of request by importers and others.* An importer or other person requesting that a Customs Service field office seek advice from the Headquarters Office must make such a request, in writing, to the field office having jurisdiction over the transaction in question. The request shall contain a complete statement setting forth a description of the transaction, the specific questions presented, the applicable law, and an argument for the conclusions advocated. The statement must also specify whether, to the knowledge of the person submitting the statement, the same transaction, or one identical to it, has ever been considered, or is currently being considered, by any Customs Service office. In addition, the statement should indicate at which port or ports of entry identical or substantially identical merchandise has been entered.

(4) *Review of requests by importers and others.* All requests submitted by importers and other persons under subparagraph (3) of this paragraph, will be reviewed by the field office to which they are submitted. In the event a difference of opinion exists as to the description of the transaction or as to the point or points at issue, the person submitting the request will be so advised in writing. If agreement cannot be reached, both the statements of the person submitting the request and the field office will be forwarded to the Headquarters Office for consideration.

(5) *Refusal by Headquarters Office to furnish advice.* The Headquarters Office may refuse to consider the questions presented to it in the form of a request for internal advice whenever (i) the Headquarters Office determines that the period of time necessary to give adequate consideration to the questions presented would result in a withholding of action with respect to the transaction, or in any other situation, that is inconsistent with the sound administration of the Customs and related laws, and (ii) the questions presented can subsequently be raised by the importer or other interested party in the form of a protest filed in accordance with the provisions of Part 174 of this chapter.

(6) *Effect of advice received from the Headquarters Office.* Advice furnished by the Headquarters Office in response to a request therefor represents the official position of the Customs Service as to the application of the Customs laws to the facts of a specific transaction. If the field office believes that the advice furnished by the Headquarters Office should be reconsidered, it shall promptly request such reconsideration. Otherwise, the advice furnished by the Headquarters Office will be applied by the field office in its disposition of the Customs transaction in question.

(7) *Publication.* Whenever advice issued by the Headquarters Office is likely to affect a substantial volume of imports or transactions or is otherwise of general interest or importance, such advice will be published in the form of a ruling in the Customs Bulletin, as described in § 177.10, and will be binding on all Customs Service personnel until modified or revoked.

[FR Doc.75-19703 Filed 7-29-75;8:45 am]

---

## Title 21—Food and Drugs

### CHAPTER I—FOOD AND DRUG ADMINISTRATION, DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH, EDUCATION, AND WELFARE

SUBCHAPTER B—FOOD AND FOOD PRODUCTS

### PART 121—FOOD ADDITIVES

SUBCHAPTER E—ANIMAL DRUGS, FEEDS, AND RELATED PRODUCTS

### PART 558—NEW ANIMAL DRUGS FOR USE IN ANIMAL FEEDS

#### Roxarsone

The Commissioner of Food and Drugs has evaluated a supplemental new animal drug application (92-953V) filed by Hess & Clark, Division of Rhodia, Inc., Ashland, OH 44805, proposing safe and effective use of a premix containing 20 or 50 percent of roxarsone for the manufacture of broiler chicken feed. The supplemental application is approved.

The Commissioner is amending Part 558 (formerly Part 135e prior to recodification published in the FEDERAL REGISTER of March 27, 1975 (40 FR 13802)) to reflect the approval as set forth below. This amendment shall become effective July 30, 1975.

The amendment provides for the revocation of paragraph (e) from § 121.262, which was originally promulgated under section 409 of the Federal Food, Drug, and Cosmetic Act. Paragraph (e) of § 121.262 includes reference to a prior approval granted to Hess & Clark, and the approval is incorporated as a part of new § 558.530, established under the provisions of section 512(i) of the act and promulgated by this publication. Section 558.530 cross-references § 121.262, which currently lists combination products for the drug and provides for claims established on the basis of prior use and may be subject to change based upon an evaluation of efficacy data by the National

Academy of Sciences/National Research Council, Drug Efficacy Study Group.

Therefore, pursuant to the provisions of the Federal Food, Drug, and Cosmetic Act (sec. 512(i), 82 Stat. 347 (21 U.S.C. 360b(i))) and under the authority delegated to the Commissioner (21 CFR 5.1), Parts 121 and 558 are amended as follows:

§ 121.262 [Amended]

1. In Part 121, § 121.262(e) is revoked.

2. In Part 558 a new § 558.530 is added to read as follows:

**§ 558.530 Roxarsone.**

(a) *Chemical name.* 3-Nitro-4-hydroxyphenylarsonic acid.

(b) *Approvals.* Premix levels of 10, 20, and 50 percent have been granted; for sponsor see No. 011801 and § 510.600(c) of this chapter.

(c) *Assay limits.* Finished feed not less than 85 percent nor more than 120 percent of the labeled amount.

(d) *Special considerations.* Finished feed containing roxarsone only and conforming to the requirements of this section are not required to comply with the provisions of section 512(m) of the Federal Food, Drug, and Cosmetic Act.

(e) *Related tolerances.* See § 556.60 of this chapter.

(f) *Conditions of use.* It is used in the complete feed of growing chickens for increased rate of weight gain, improved feed efficiency and improved pigmentation.

(1) *Amount per ton.* Roxarsone 0.0025 to 0.005 percent in complete feed.

(2) *Limitations.* Withdraw 5 days before slaughter; as sole source of organic arsenic.

(3) *Permitted combinations.* It is used in accordance with the provisions of this section in the combinations provided for in § 121.262 of this chapter.

*Effective date.* This order shall be effective July 30, 1975.

(Sec. 512(i), 82 Stat. 347 (21 U.S.C. 360b(i)).)

Dated: July 23, 1975.

C. D. VAN HOUWELING,
*Director, Bureau of Veterinary Medicine.*

[FR Doc.75-19720 Filed 7-29-75;8:45 am]

---

[Docket No. 75N-0026]

## PART 510—NEW ANIMAL DRUGS

## PART 558—NEW ANIMAL DRUGS FOR USE IN ANIMAL FEED

### Tylosin

The Commissioner of Food and Drugs has evaluated a new animal drug application (96-782V) filed by Dr. Macdonald's Vitamized Feed Co., Inc., Fort Dodge, IA 50501, proposing safe and effective use of tylosin premix for the manufacture of swine feed. The application is approved, effective July 30, 1975.

The Commissioner is amending Parts 510 and 558 (formerly Parts 135 and 135e prior to recodification published in the FEDERAL REGISTER of March 27, 1975 (40 FR 13802)) to reflect this approval.

Therefore, under the Federal Food, Drug, and Cosmetic Act (sec. 512(i), 82 Stat. 347 (21 U.S.C. 360b(i))), and under authority delegated to the Commissioner (21 CFR 5.1), Parts 510 and 558 are amended as follows:

1. Part 510 is amended in § 510.600 (formerly § 135.501) by adding a sponsor alphabetically to paragraph (c)(1) and numerically to paragraph (c)(2) as follows:

**§ 510.600 Names, addresses, and code numbers of sponsors of approved applications.**

* * * * *

(c) * * *

(1) * * *

| *Firm name and address* | *Drug listing No.* |
|---|---|
| * * * * * | |
| Dr. Macdonald's Vitamized Feed Co., Inc., Highway 20 W., Box 1077, Fort Dodge, Iowa 50501 | 044142 |
| * * * * * | |

(2) * * *

| *Drug listing No.* | *Firm name and address* |
|---|---|
| 044142 | Dr. Macdonald's Vitamized Feed Co., Inc., Highway 30 W., Box 1077, Fort Dodge, Iowa 50501. |

2. Part 558 is amended in § 558.625 (formerly § 135e.10) by adding paragraph (b)(37), to read as follows:

**§ 558.625 Tylosin.**

* * * * *

(b) * * *

(37) To 044142: 0.5 gram per pound; paragraph (f)(1)(vi)(*a*) of this section.

* * * * *

*Effective date.* This amendment shall become effective on July 30, 1975.

(Sec. 512(i), 82 Stat. 347 (21 U.S.C. 360b(i)))

Dated: July 23, 1975.

C. D. VAN HOUWELING,
*Director, Bureau of Veterinary Medicine.*

[FR Doc.75-19719 Filed 7-29-75;8:45 am]

---

[Docket No. 75N-0084]

## PART 510—NEW ANIMAL DRUGS

## PART 558—NEW ANIMAL DRUGS FOR USE IN ANIMAL FEED

### Tylosin

The Commissioner of Food and Drugs has evaluated a new animal drug application (100-352V) filed by Seeco Inc., North Highway 71, Box 1014, Willmar, MN 56201, proposing safe and effective use of a tylosin premix for the manufacture of swine feed. The application is approved, effective July 30, 1975.

Therefore, pursuant to provisions of the Federal Food, Drug, and Cosmetic Act (sec. 512(i), 82 Stat. 347 (21 U.S.C. 360b(i))) and under authority delegated to the Commissioner (21 CFR 5.1), Parts 510 and 558 (formerly Parts 135 and 135e prior to recodification published in the FEDERAL REGISTER of March 27, 1975 (40 FR 13802)) are amended as follows:

1. In Part 510 by adding to § 510.600 (formerly § 135.501) a new sponsor, alphabetically to paragraph (c)(1) and numerically to paragraph (c)(2), as follows:

**§ 510.600 Names, addresses, and code numbers of sponsors of approved applications.**

* * * * *

(c) * * *

(1) * * *

| Firm name and address: | *Drug listing No.* |
|---|---|
| * * * * * | |
| Seeco Inc., Box 1014, North Highway 71, Willmar, MN 56201 | 011749 |
| * * * * * | |

(2) * * *

| Drug listing No. | *Firm name and address* |
|---|---|
| * * * * * | |
| 011749 | Seeco Inc., Box 1014, North Highway 71, Willmar, MN 56201. |
| * * * * * | |

2. In Part 558 by adding to § 558.625 (formerly § 135e.10), a new paragraph (b)(38) as follows:

**§ 558.625 Tylosin.**

* * * * *

(b) * * *

(38) To 011749: 1 or 10 grams per pound, paragraph (f)(1)(vi)(*a*) of this section.

* * * * *

*Effective date.* This amendment shall become effective July 30, 1975.

(Sec. 512(i), 82 Stat. 347 (21 U.S.C. 360b(i)))

Dated: July 23, 1975.

C. D. VAN HOUWELING,
*Director, Bureau of Veterinary Medicine.*

[FR Doc.75-19718 Filed 7-29-75;8:45 am]

---

[Docket No. 75N-0045]

## PART 558—NEW ANIMAL DRUGS FOR USE IN ANIMAL FEED

### Clopidol-Zinc Bacitracin

The Commissioner of Food and Drugs has evaluated a new animal drug application (49-934V) filed by The Dow Chemical Co., P.O. Box 1706, Midland, MI 48640, proposing safe and effective use of clopidol-zinc bacitracin for treating broiler chickens: The application is approved, effective July 30, 1975.

The Commissioner is amending Part 558 (formerly Part 135e prior to recodification published in the FEDERAL REGISTER of March 27, 1975 (40 FR 13802)) to reflect the approval set forth below.

Consistent with recodification of the regulations for use of new animal drugs in animal feeds, § 558.175 is amended to include this clopidol-zinc bacitracin drug combination in broiler chicken feed.

Therefore, under the Federal Food, Drug, and Cosmetic Act (sec. 512(i), 82 Stat. 347 (21 U.S.C. 360b(i))), and under authority delegated to the Commissioner (21 CFR 5.1), Part 558 is amended in

§ 558.175 (formerly § 135e.46), by adding paragraph (e)(1)(iv) to read as follows:

§ 558.175 Clopidol.

* * * * *

(e) * * *

(1) * * *

(iv) *Amount per ton.* Clopidol, 113.5 grams (0.0125%) plus zinc bacitracin, 5 to 25 grams.

(*a*) *Indications for use.* For increased rate of weight gain and improved feed efficiency; aid in the prevention of coccidiosis caused by *E. tenella, E. necatrix, E. acervulina, E. maxima, E. brunetti,* and *E. mivati.*

(*b*) *Limitations.* Feed continuously as sole ration. Zinc bacitracin as provided by No. 012769 of § 510.600(c) of this chapter.

* * * * *

*Effective date.* This order shall be effective on July 30, 1975.

(Sec. 512(i), 82 Stat. 347 (21 U.S.C. 360b(i)))

Dated: July 23, 1975.

C. D. VAN HOUWELING,
*Director, Bureau of Veterinary Medicine.*

[FR Doc.75-19721 Filed 7-29-75;8:45 am]

---

## Title 24—Housing and Urban Development

## CHAPTER VIII—LOW INCOME HOUSING, DEPARTMENT OF HOUSING AND URBAN DEVELOPMENT

[Docket No. R-75-311]

## PART 888—SECTION 8 HOUSING ASSISTANCE PAYMENTS PROGRAM—FAIR MARKET RENTS AND CONTRACT RENT AUTOMATIC ANNUAL ADJUSTMENT FACTORS

### Amendment of Schedule A—Fair Market Rents for New Construction and Substantial Rehabilitation; Interim Rule

The Department of Housing and Urban Development, on March 31, 1975, amended Title 24 of the Code of Federal Regulations by adding to Chapter VIII a new Part 888—section 8 Housing Assistance Payments Program—Fair Market Rents and Contract Rent Automatic Annual Adjustment Factors.

Since March 31, 1975, additional comments and data have been received indicating continuing need to revise these rents in light of the most recent data available. This material submitted by interested members of the general public, as well as HUD Field Offices, has generally indicated a need to increase the published rents in order to meet specific local housing market conditions.

The Department proposes to incorporate in Part 888, Subpart A, in the respective appropriate places at 40 FR 14512, 14531, 14532 and 14562 revised Schedules A for the following market areas: San Juan, Puerto Rico; Springfield, Champaign, East St. Louis, Peoria, Chicago, Moline, Rockford, Rock Island and Sterling, Illinois; Ontario, Coos Bay, Medford and West Salem, Oregon; and Idaho Falls, McCall and Twin Falls, Idaho.

Only the rents applicable to dwelling units in elevator buildings are revised for the San Juan, Puerto Rico market area.

Revisions of the Schedules A for the above named market areas in Oregon and Idaho consist of the addition of elevator rents to the Schedules A published March 31, 1975.

Because these Schedules represent tables of Fair Market Rents which change periodically and are republished annually, data and public comments with respect thereto are timely and relevant whenever interested parties wish to submit them, and such information will be considered at any time. Therefore, the Assistant Secretary for Housing Production and Mortgage Credit-FHA Commissioner has determined it to be reasonable and in the public interest to allow for a 15 day comment period.

Interested parties are invited to submit written comments, suggestions and objections regarding the proposed amendment by August 18, 1975. All materials which persons wish to submit should be filed with the Rules Docket Clerk, Office of the General Counsel, Room 10245, Department of Housing and Urban Development, 451 7th Street, SW., Washington, D.C. 20410.

(Sec. 7(d) Department of Housing and Urban Development Act, 42 USC 3535(d))

Issued at Wash., D.C. July 24, 1975.

DAVID M. DEWILDE,
*Acting Assistant Secretary for Housing Production and Mortgage Credit.*

U. S. DEPARTMENT OF HOUSING AND URBAN DEVELOPMENT
Section 8 Housing Assistance Payments Program

**Schedule A - Fair Market Rents for New Construction and Substantial Rehabilitation (Including Housing Finance and Development Agencies Program)**

These Fair Market Rents include projection for construction time through July 31, 1977.

NOTE: The Fair Market Rents for (1) dwelling units designed for the elderly or handicapped are those for the appropriate size unit, not to exceed 2-Bedroom, multiplied by 1.05 rounded to the next higher whole dollar, (2) congregate housing dwelling units are the same as for non-congregate units, and (3) single-room occupancy dwelling units are those for 0 - Bedroom units of the same type.

INSURING OFFICE SPRINGFIELD, ILLINOIS REGION V-CHICAGO

| MARKET AREA | STRUCTURE TYPE | NUMBER OF BEDROOMS | | | | |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| | | 0 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 or more |
| SPRINGFIELD | DETACHED | - | - | 343 | 383 | 431 |
| | SEMI-DETACHED/ROW | - | 279 | 325 | 362 | 409 |
| | WALKUP | 240 | 270 | 319 | 356 | 396 |
| | ELEVATOR | 291 | 321 | 381 | - | - |
| CHAMPAIGN | DETACHED | - | - | 293 | 331 | 378 |
| | SEMI-DETACHED/ROW | - | 232 | 274 | 312 | 356 |
| | WALKUP | 193 | 222 | 269 | 305 | 344 |
| | ELEVATOR | 242 | 271 | 328 | - | - |
| EAST ST. LOUIS | DETACHED | - | - | 297 | 338 | 388 |
| | SEMI-DETACHED/ROW | - | 231 | 278 | 316 | 365 |
| | WALKUP | 191 | 223 | 274 | 312 | 353 |
| | ELEVATOR | 248 | 280 | 344 | - | - |
| PEORIA | DETACHED | - | - | 349 | 390 | 438 |
| | SEMI-DETACHED/ROW | - | 285 | 331 | 368 | 416 |
| | WALKUP | 245 | 276 | 325 | 363 | 403 |
| | ELEVATOR | 297 | 328 | 389 | - | - |

AREA OFFICE CHICAGO, ILLINOIS REGION V-CHICAGO

| MARKET AREA | STRUCTURE TYPE | NUMBER OF BEDROOMS | | | | |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| | | 0 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 or more |
| CHICAGO | DETACHED | | | 435 | 515 | 582 |
| | SEMI-DETACHED/ROW | | 345 | 417 | 495 | 555 |
| | WALKUP | 281 | 315 | 370 | 445 | 500 |
| | ELEVATOR | 349 | 409 | 489 | 543 | - |
| MOLINE | DETACHED | - | - | 350 | 415 | 465 |
| | SEMI-DETACHED/ROW | - | 300 | 336 | 400 | 450 |
| | WALKUP | 240 | 265 | 311 | 353 | - |
| | ELEVATOR | 281 | 337 | 393 | - | - |
| ROCKFORD | DETACHED | - | - | 351 | 380 | 442 |
| | SEMI-DETACHED/ROW | - | 309 | 337 | 365 | 408 |
| | WALKUP | 200 | 245 | 309 | 330 | - |
| | ELEVATOR | 217 | 281 | 345 | - | - |
| ROCK ISLAND | DETACHED | - | - | 350 | 415 | 465 |
| | SEMI-DETACHED/ROW | - | 300 | 336 | 400 | 450 |
| | WALKUP | 240 | 265 | 311 | 353 | - |
| | ELEVATOR | 281 | 337 | 393 | - | - |
| STERLING | DETACHED | - | - | 247 | 317 | 352 |
| | SEMI-DETACHED/ROW | - | 220 | 254 | 288 | 330 |
| | WALKUP | 176 | 220 | 232 | 281 | - |
| | ELEVATOR | 183 | 235 | 288 | - | - |

U. S. DEPARTMENT OF HOUSING AND URBAN DEVELOPMENT
Section 8 Housing Assistance Payments Program

Schedule A - Fair Market Rents for New Construction and Substantial Rehabilitation (Including Housing Finance and Development Agencies Program)

These Fair Market Rents include projection for construction time through Dec. 31, 1976.

NOTE: The Fair Market Rents for (1) dwelling units designed for the elderly or handicapped are those for the appropriate size unit, not to exceed 2-Bedroom, multiplied by 1.05 rounded to the next higher whole dollar, (2) congregate housing dwelling units are the same as for non-congregate units, and (3) single-room occupancy dwelling units are those for 0 - Bedroom units of the same type.

AREA OFFICE PORTLAND, ORE. REGION X SEATTLE

| MARKET AREA | STRUCTURE TYPE | NUMBER OF BEDROOMS | | | | |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| | | 0 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 or more |
| ONTARIO | DETACHED | - | - | 280 | 318 | 356 |
| | SEMI-DETACHED/ROW | - | 223 | 261 | 305 | 343 |
| | WALKUP | 172 | 210 | 248 | 299 | 337 |
| | ELEVATOR | 218 | 268 | 320 | - | - |
| COOS BAY | DETACHED | - | - | 254 | 266 | 318 |
| | SEMI-DETACHED/ROW | - | 210 | 242 | 274 | 305 |
| | WALKUP | 166 | 197 | 229 | 261 | 293 |
| | ELEVATOR | 230 | 280 | 324 | - | - |
| MEDFORD | DETACHED | - | - | 261 | 293 | 324 |
| | SEMI-DETACHED/ROW | - | 216 | 248 | 280 | 312 |
| | WALKUP | 172 | 204 | 235 | 267 | 299 |
| | ELEVATOR | 236 | 287 | 330 | - | - |
| WEST SALEM | DETACHED | - | - | 248 | 280 | 318 |
| | SEMI-DETACHED/ROW | - | 197 | 235 | 267 | 305 |
| | WALKUP | 153 | 185 | 223 | 261 | 293 |
| | ELEVATOR | 217 | 268 | 318 | - | - |
| IDAHO FALLS IDA. | DETACHED | - | - | 273 | 312 | 351 |
| | SEMI-DETACHED/ROW | - | 215 | 254 | 299 | 338 |
| | WALKUP | 156 | 195 | 234 | 286 | 325 |
| | ELEVATOR | 202 | 253 | 306 | - | - |
| MC CALL, IDA. | DETACHED | - | - | 306 | 345 | 384 |
| | SEMI-DETACHED/ROW | - | 234 | 273 | 319 | 358 |
| | WALKUP | 176 | 215 | 254 | 306 | 345 |
| | ELEVATOR | 222 | 273 | 326 | - | - |

AREA OFFICE PORTLAND, ORE. REGION X SEATTLE

| MARKET AREA | STRUCTURE TYPE | NUMBER OF BEDROOMS | | | | |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| | | 0 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 or more |
| TWIN FALLS, IDA. | DETACHED | - | - | 293 | 332 | 371 |
| | SEMI-DETACHED/ROW | - | 234 | 273 | 319 | 358 |
| | WALKUP | 176 | 215 | 254 | 306 | 345 |
| | ELEVATOR | 222 | 273 | 326 | - | - |

AREA OFFICE SAN JUAN, PUERTO RICO REGION II-NEW YORK

| MARKET AREA | STRUCTURE TYPE | NUMBER OF BEDROOMS | | | | |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| | | 0 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 or more |
| SAN JUAN | DETACHED | - | - | 347 | 384 | 477 |
| | SEMI-DETACHED/ROW | | 273 | 306 | 353 | 439 |
| | WALKUP | 203 | 243 | 278 | 321 | 364 |
| | ELEVATOR | 308 | 340 | 388 | 439 | 490 |

[FR Doc.75-19687 Filed 7-29-75;8:45 am]

## Title 33—Navigation and Navigable Waters

### CHAPTER I—COAST GUARD, DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION

[CGD 75 157]

### PART 117—DRAWBRIDGE OPERATION REGULATIONS

#### Connecticut River, Conn.

This amendment changes the regulations for the Penn Central railroad bridge across the Connecticut River, mile 3.4, to require that the draw open on signal, except when a train is approaching so closely it cannot be safely stopped. This action revokes regulations published in the FEDERAL REGISTER (CGD 74-238) on December 12, 1974 (39 FR 43300), which restricted the draw openings while essential repair work was being done, because this work has been completed. As this change improves rather than hinders the movement of navigation, the Coast Guard finds that notice and public procedure are unnecessary and this regulation may become effective in less than 30 days.

Accordingly, Part 117 of Title 33 of the Code of Federal Regulations is amended by revising paragraph (c)(1) of § 117.115 to read as follows:

§ 117.115 Connecticut River, Conn.; bridges.

* * * * *

(c) * * *

(1) *Railroad bridge at Lyme.* When a westbound train scheduled to cross the bridge without stopping has passed Lyme and Blackhall Station, or an eastbound train Saybrook Junction Station, and is in motion toward the bridge, the draw shall be opened for the vessels described in paragraph (b) of this section as soon as the train has crossed the bridge.

* * * * *

(Sec. 5, 28 Stat. 362, as amended, sec. 6(g)(2), 80 Stat. 937; 33 U.S.C. 499, 49 U.S.C. 1655 (g)(2); 49 CFR 1.46(c)(5), 33 CFR 1.05-1 (c)(4))

*Effective date.* This revision shall become effective on July 31, 1975.

Dated: JULY 25, 1975.

R. I. PRICE,
*Rear Admiral, U.S. Coast Guard, Chief, Office of Marine Environment and Systems.*

[FR Doc.75-19771 Filed 7-29-75;8:45 am]

## Title 36—Parks, Forests, and Public Property

### CHAPTER I—NATIONAL PARK SERVICE, DEPARTMENT OF THE INTERIOR

### PART 7—SPECIAL REGULATIONS, AREAS OF THE NATIONAL PARK SYSTEM

#### Mount Rainier National Park, Wash.; Backcountry Camping Regulations

A proposal was published at 40 FR 26528 of the FEDERAL REGISTER of June 24, 1975 to amend Part 7 of 36 CFR Chapter 1, to regulate backcountry camping at Mount Rainier National Park, State of Washington.

A National Park Service study of backcountry use at Mount Rainier National Park has determined that unrestricted backcountry campers tend to camp in the more accessible and more scenic portions of the park, especially in the high meadows. Since these scenic meadows are the most fragile ecological units of the park, a great deal of damage has been done. Trampling and unauthorized fires have caused a severe loss of meadow vegetation. Crowding has not only put a strain on the resources, but virtually destroyed the possibility of a quality wilderness experience. The above findings are included in the "Backcountry Use and Operations Plan for Mount Rainier National Park" dated January 15, 1973.

The purpose of the backcountry camping regulations is to protect and preserve the lands and resources of Mount Rainier National Park from damage and potential damage by effectively managing and controlling the use of the backcountry for camping. A determination has been made pursuant to NEPA and pertinent regulations based on the study of January 15, 1973, and related files, that the regulations will have no significant impact but will be beneficial on the quality of the human environment and, therefore, no environmental impact statement is required. A copy of this determination is on file and may be examined in the Superintendent's office for Mount Rainier National Park.

It is the policy of the Department of the Interior, whenever practicable, to afford the public the full 30-day period following publication and the effective date of the rule, however because the maximum visitor use season has begun, delay in implementing these regulations would result in further site deterioration, and a lower quality of camping experience. This regulation will become effective July 30, 1975.

Specifically, Part 7 of 36 CFR Chapter 1, by adding paragraph (d) to § 7.5 Mount Rainier National Park is amended as follows:

§ 7.5 Mount Rainier National Park.

* * * * *

(d) *Backcountry Camping.* (1) *Definitions.* "Backcountry camping" at Mount Rainier National Park is defined as any use of portable shelter or sleeping equipment in the backcountry. "Backcountry" is defined as those areas of the park which have been proposed for designation by the Service as "wilderness area" under the provisions of the Wilderness Act (Sec. 4, Pub. L. 88-577, 78 Stat. 890, 16 U.S.C. 1133) and all areas of the park which are more than 250 yards from a paved road and more than one-half mile from any park facility other than trails, unpaved roads, trail shelters, backcountry toilets, campsite facilities, Camp Muir or Camp Schurman.

(2) *Backcountry camping permits required.* No person or group of persons traveling together may camp in the backcountry without a valid backcountry camping permit. Permits may be issued to each permittee or to the leader of the group for a group of persons. The permit must be attached to the pack or camping equipment of each permittee in a clearly visible location. No person may camp in any location other than that designated in the permit for a given date.

(3) *Campsite limitations.* Within the backcountry, the Superintendent may designate sites at which camping is permitted and define camping zones within which limited camping is permitted at other than designated sites. The location of such backcountry campsites and camping zones shall be designated on maps made available to the public at the Superintendent's office, visitor centers, and ranger stations. The Superintendent may establish limits for the number of persons, groups and horses which may enter the backcountry, or any portion of the backcountry when deemed necessary to protect the park resources from potential damage or to prevent disruption or degradation of other park uses, and operate a permit reservation system to meet these objectives.

(4) *Group size limitations.* Groups exceeding five persons must camp at a group site, but groups may not exceed twelve persons. The Superintendent may, however,

(i) Waive group size limitations on routes in the climbing zone when he determines that it will not result in environmental degradation; and

(ii) Establish special zones and group size limitations during the winter season to balance the impact of cross-country skiers, snowshoers, and snowmobilers on the resource.

Dated: July 25, 1975.

JOHN E. COOK,
*Acting Director.*

[FR Doc.75-19820 Filed 7-29-75;8:45 am]

## Title 49—Transportation

### CHAPTER X—INTERSTATE COMMERCE COMMISSION

#### SUBCHAPTER A—GENERAL RULES AND REGULATIONS

[Service Order No. 1106; Amdt. No. 8]

### PART 1033—CAR SERVICE

#### The Baltimore and Ohio Railroad Co. Authorized To Operate Over Tracks of Penn Central Transportation Co.

JULY 25, 1975.

At a Session of the Interstate Commerce Commission, Railroad Service Board, held in Washington, D.C., on the 23rd day of July, 1975.

Upon further consideration of Service Order No. 1106 (37 FR 15307; 38 FR 3332, 14754, 33302; 39 FR 3827, 27671 and 40 FR 5162), and good cause appearing therefor:

*It is ordered,* That: § 1033.1106 *Service Order No. 1106* (The Baltimore and Ohio Railroad Company authorized to operate over tracks of Penn Central Transportation Company, Robert W. Blanchette, Richard C. Bond, and John H. McArthur,

trustees) be, and it is hereby, amended by substituting the following paragraph (e) for paragraph (e) thereof:

(e) *Expiration date.* The provisions of this order shall expire at 11:59 p.m., January 31, 1976, unless otherwise modified, changed, or suspended by order of this Commission.

*Effective date.* This amendment shall become effective at 11:59 p.m., July 31, 1975.

(Secs. 1, 12, 15, and 17(2), 24 Stat. 379, 383, 384, as amended; 49 U.S.C. 1, 12, 15, and 17 (2). Interprets or applies Secs. 1(10–17), 15 (4), and 17(2), 40 Stat. 101, as amended, 54 Stat. 911; 49 U.S.C. 1(10–17), 15(4), and 17(2).)

*It is further ordered,* That a copy of this amendment shall be served upon the Association of American Railroads, Car Service Division, as agent of all railroads subscribing to the car service and car hire agreement under the terms of that agreement, and upon the American Short Line Railroad Association; and that notice of this amendment be given to the general public by depositing a copy in the Office of the Secretary of the Commission at Washington, D.C., and by filing it with the Director, Office of the Federal Register.

By the Commission, Railroad Service Board.

[SEAL] ROBERT L. OSWALD, *Secretary.*

[FR Doc.75-19805 Filed 7-29-75;8:45 am]

---

[Service Order No. 1084; Amdt. No. 7]

## PART 1033—CAR SERVICE

### Chicago, Rock Island and Pacific Railroad Co., Authorized To Operate Over Tracks of Chicago and North Western Transportation Co.

JULY 25, 1975.

At a Session of the Interstate Commerce Commission, Railroad Service Board, held in Washington, D.C., on the 23rd day of July, 1975.

Upon further consideration of Service Order No. 1084 (36 FR 22063; 37 FR 12726, 28059, 38 FR 20840; 39 FR 3827, 27672 and 40 FR 5162), and good cause appearing therefor:

*It is ordered,* That: § 1033.1084 *Service Order No. 1084* (Chicago, Rock Island and Pacific Railroad Company, W. M. Gibbons, trustee, authorized to operate over tracks of Chicago and North Western Transportation Company) be, and it is hereby amended by substituting the following paragraph (e) for paragraph (e) thereof:

(e) *Expiration date.* This order shall expire at 11:59 p.m., January 31, 1976, unless otherwise modified, changed, or suspended by order of this Commission.

*Effective date.* This amendment shall become effective at 11:59 p.m., July 31, 1975.

(Secs. 1, 12, 15, and 17(2), 24 Stat. 379, 383, 384, as amended; 49 U.S.C. 1, 12, 15, and 17 (2). Interprets or applies Secs. 1(10–17), 15(4), and 17(2), 40 Stat. 101, as amended, 54 Stat. 911; 49 U.S.C. 1(10–17), 15(4), and 17(2).)

*It is further ordered,* That a copy of this amendment shall be served upon the Association of American Railroads, Car Service Division, as agent of all railroads subscribing to the car service and car hire agreement under the terms of that agreement, and upon the American Short Line Railroad Association; and that notice of this amendment be given to the general public by depositing a copy in the Office of the Secretary of the Commission at Washington, D.C., and by filing it with the Director, Office of the Federal Register.

By the Commission, Railroad Service Board.

[SEAL] ROBERT L. OSWALD, *Secretary.*

[FR Doc.75-19807 Filed 7-29-75;8:45 am]

---

[Service Order No. 1203; Amdt. No. 2]

## PART 1033—CAR SERVICE

### Penn Central Transportation Co., Authorized To Operate Over Tracks of Philadelphia Belt Line Railroad Co.

JULY 25, 1975.

At a Session of the Interstate Commerce Commission, Railroad Service Board, held in Washington, D.C., on the 23rd day of July, 1975.

Upon further consideration of Service Order No. 1203, (39 FR 42367 and 40 FR 14766), and good cause appearing therefor:

*It is ordered,* That: § 1033.1203 *Service Order No. 1203* (Penn Central Transportation Company, Robert W. Blanchette, Richard C. Bond, and John H. McArthur, trustees, authorized to operate over tracks of the Philadelphia Belt Line Railroad Company) be, and it is hereby, amended by substituting the following paragraph (e) for paragraph (e) thereof:

(e) *Expiration date.* The provisions of this order shall expire at 11:59 p.m., January 31, 1976, unless otherwise modified, changed, or suspended by order of this Commission.

*Effective date.* This amendment shall become effective at 11:59 p.m., July 31, 1975.

(Secs. 1, 12, 15, and 17(2), 24 Stat. 379, 383, 384, as amended; 49 U.S.C. 1, 12, 15, and 17 (2). Interprets or applies Secs. 1(10–17), 15(4) and 17(2), 40 Stat. 101, as amended, 54 Stat. 911; 49 U.S.C. 1(10–17), 15(4), and 17(2).)

*It is further ordered,* That a copy of this amendment shall be served upon the Association of American Railroads, Car Service Division, as agent of all railroads subscribing to the car service and car hire agreement under the terms of that agreement, and upon the American Short Line Railroad Association; and that notice of this amendment be given to the general public by depositing a copy in the Office of the Secretary of the Commission at Washington, D.C., and by filing it with the Director, Office of the Federal Register.

By the Commission, Railroad Service Board.

[SEAL] ROBERT L. OSWALD, *Secretary.*

[FR Doc.75-19806 Filed 7-29-75;8:45 am]

---

## Title 50—Wildlife and Fisheries

## CHAPTER I—U.S. FISH AND WILDLIFE SERVICE, DEPARTMENT OF THE INTERIOR

## PART 32—HUNTING

### De Soto National Wildlife Refuge, Iowa

The following special regulation is issued and is effective July 30, 1975.

**§ 32.32 Special regulations; big game; for individual wildlife refuge areas.**

IOWA

DE SOTO NATIONAL WILDLIFE REFUGE

Public hunting of deer on the DeSoto National Wildlife Refuge, Iowa, is permitted only on the area designated by signs as open to hunting. This open area comprising 660 acres is delineated on a map available at the refuge headquarters and from the Area Manager, U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service, 601 E. 12th Street, Kansas City, Missouri 64106. Hunting shall be in accordance with all state regulations governing the hunting of deer with bow and arrow and shall be permitted only during the regular Iowa archery deer season, October 4, 1975, to November 21, 1975, and November 26, 1975, to December 5, 1975.

The provisions of this special regulation supplement the regulations which govern hunting on wildlife refuge areas generally which are set forth in Title 50, Code of Federal Regulations, Part 32, and are effective through December 5, 1975.

JAMES E. FRATES, *Refuge, Manager, DeSoto National Wildlife Refuge, Missouri Valley, Iowa.*

JULY 9, 1975.

[FR Doc.75-19711 Filed 7-29-75;8:45 am]

---

## PART 32—HUNTING

### De Soto National Wildlife Refuge, Nebraska

The following special regulation is issued and is effective July 30, 1975.

**§ 32.32 Special regulations; big game; for individual wildlife refuge areas.**

NEBRASKA

DE SOTO NATIONAL WILDLIFE REFUGE

Public hunting of deer on the De Soto National Wildlife Refuge, Nebraska, is permitted only on the area designated by signs as open to hunting. This area, comprising 1,200 acres, that lies west of the present Missouri River channel, is delineated on a map available at refuge headquarters and from the Area Manager, U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service, 601 E. 12th, Federal Building, Kansas City, Missouri 64106. Hunting shall be in accordance with state regulations govern-

ing the hunting of deer with bow and arrow and shall be permitted only during the regular Nebraska archery deer season, September 20, 1975 through November 7, 1975, and November 17, 1975 through December 31, 1975. During the refuge waterfowl hunting season, deer hunting hours will be from 1 p.m. to one-half hour after sunset. Information on hunting hours and dates during this period can be obtained by writing to: Refuge Manager, Box 114. RR-1, Missouri Valley, Iowa 51555

The provisions of this special regulation supplement the regulations which govern hunting on wildlife refuge areas generally which are set forth in Title 50, Code of Federal Regulations, Part 32, and are effective through December 31, 1975.

JAMES E. FRATES,
*Refuge Manager, DeSoto National Wildlife Refuge, Missouri Valley, Iowa 51555.*

JULY 9, 1975.

[FR Doc.75-19712 Filed 7-29-75;8:45 am]

## PART 32—HUNTING

### Valentine National Wildlife Refuge, Nebraska

The following special regulation is issued and is effective July 30, 1975.

**§ 32.22 Special regulations; upland game; for individual wildlife refuge areas.**

NEBRASKA

VALENTINE NATIONAL WILDLIFE REFUGE

The public hunting of prairie grouse and pheasants on the Valentine National Wildlife Refuge, Nebraska, shall be permitted only on areas designated by signs as open to hunting. The open areas are delineated on maps available at refuge headquarters, Valentine, Nebraska 69201, and from the office of the Regional Director, Fish and Wildlife Service, P.O. Box 25486, Denver Federal Center, Denver, Colorado 80225.

Hunting shall be in accordance with all applicable State regulations governing the hunting of prairie grouse and pheasants, subject to the following special conditions:

(1) The refuge will be open to prairie grouse during the regular State prairie grouse season. The open area shall include 40,765 acres or 57 percent of the refuge.

(2) The open season for hunting pheasants on the refuge will be the same as the regular State pheasant season. The open areas shall include 70,085 acres or 98 percent of the refuge. If the State pheasant and prairie grouse season run concurrently at any time, pheasant hunting will be prohibited east of Highway 83 until the grouse season closes.

The provisions of this special regulation supplement the regulations which govern hunting on wildlife refuge areas generally, which are set forth in Title 50, Code of Federal Regulations, Part 32, and are effective through the close of the regular State 1975-76 pheasant and prairie grouse hunting season.

ROBERT E. NAGEL,
*Acting Refuge Manager, Fort Niobrara-Valentine Complex, Valentine, Nebraska.*

JULY 5, 1975.

[FR Doc.75-19714 Filed 7-29-75;8:45 am]

## PART 32—HUNTING

### Valentine National Wildlife Refuge, Nebraska

The following special regulation is issued and is effective July 30, 1975.

**§ 32.32 Special regulations; big game; for individual wildlife refuge areas.**

NEBRASKA

VALENTINE NATIONAL WILDLIFE REFUGE

Public hunting of deer on the Valentine National Wildlife Refuge, Nebraska, is permitted only on the area designated by signs as open to hunting. This open area, comprising 70,085 acres, is delineated on maps available at refuge headquarters, Valentine, Nebraska and from the office of the Regional Director, Fish and Wildlife Service, P.O. Box 25486, Denver Federal Center, Denver, Colorado 80225.

Hunting shall be in accordance with all applicable state regulations governing the hunting of deer.

The provisions of this special regulation supplement the regulations which govern hunting on wildlife refuge areas generally, which are set forth in Title 50, Code of Federal Regulations, Part 32 and are effective through December 31, 1975.

ROBERT E. NAGEL,
*Acting Refuge Manager, Fort Niobrara-Valentine Complex, Valentine, Nebraska.*

JULY 5, 1975.

[FR Doc.75-19713 Filed 7-29-75;8:45 am]

## CHAPTER II—NATIONAL MARINE FISHERIES SERVICE, NATIONAL OCEANIC AND ATMOSPHERIC ADMINISTRATION, DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

### SUBCHAPTER F—AID TO FISHERIES

## PART 258—FISHERMEN'S PROTECTIVE ACT PROCEDURES

### Provision for Fees

JULY 25, 1975.

Section 7 of the Fishermen's Protective Act, as amended (22 U.S.C. 1971-1977), authorizes, among other things, the Secretary of Commerce to from time-to-time establish by regulation fees which shall be paid by the owners of vessels entering into Guarantee Agreements under section 7 of the Act. These fees are credited to the Fishermen's Guarantee Fund and used to carry out the provisions of section 7 of the Act. Section 7 of the Act guarantees to the owners of vessels entering into Guarantee Agreements payment of certain costs and losses resulting from the seizure of a vessel of the United States by a foreign country on the basis of rights or claims in territorial waters or the high seas which are not recognized by the United States.

Regulations governing administration of section 7 of the Act, Fishermen's Protective Act Procedures (50 CFR Part 258), have annually established fees based on anticipated claims projected from prior experience. The purpose of the following amendment to § 258.5 of Fisherman's Protective Act Procedures is to establish fees for the next agreement year (July 1, 1975, through June 30, 1976).

All parties holding Guarantee Agreements for the previous agreement year (July 1, 1974, through June 30, 1975) who wish them extended through June 30, 1976, by amendment to such Agreement (rather than entering into a new Agreement) must comply with the procedures established in § 258.5(d) for doing so.

Fees for the agreement year beginning July 1, 1975, and terminating June 30, 1976, have not, for vessels 500 gross tons or under, been changed from those prevailing for the previous agreement year.

For vessels over 500 gross tons, however, fees for the agreement year beginning July 1, 1975, and terminating June 30, 1976, have been increased from $60 plus $1.80 per gross ton to $60 plus $5.00 per gross ton. The full $60 plus $5.00 per-gross-ton fee for vessels over 500 gross tons is due on July 1, 1975, but is payable in two installments. The first installment, payable initially, is $60 plus $2.50 per gross ton. The second installment is $2.50 per gross ton and is payable on May 1, 1976. If either (1) actual seizure experience during the period from July 1, 1975, through about April 15, 1976, discloses that claims for such seizures under Section 7 do not warrant the full $60 plus $5.00 per-gross-ton fee for vessels over 500 gross tons or (2) the Fishermen's Guarantee Fund is reimbursed under section 5 of the Act prior to the date the second installment is payable, then such fee is subject to reduction. Any reduction in such fee shall be calculated prior to the date the second installment of $2.50 per gross ton is payable.

These fee adjustments are warranted by the quantum increase in claims during the previous agreement year. Since vessels 500 gross tons or under were not involved in such increased claim activity, vessels in this category have not been included in the fee adjustment.

This amendment relates to matters which are exempt from the rulemaking requirements of the Administrative Procedures Act (5 U.S.C. 553). This amendment is hereby adopted and will become effective on July 30, 1975.

Section 258.5 of Fishermen's Protective Act Procedures (50 CFR Part 258) is hereby amended by revising § 258.5 as follows:

§ 258.5 Fees.

(a) The fees are established to provide for payment of the administrative costs and a minimum of at least 25 percent of the estimated claims to be paid from the

fund. They are set on the basis of anticipated losses and prior experience. In order to meet the requirements of the Act, the fees may be adjusted from time-to-time by amendment to this part at any time, after appropriate notice, to become consistent with claims greater or lesser than estimated or in the event the Fishermen's Guarantee Fund is reimbursed under Section 5 of the Act.

(b) Fees to be paid by applicants for, or holders of, Guarantee Agreements executed (by amendment or otherwise) for the period terminating June 30, 1976, shall be as follows: for vessels 500 gross tons or under, $60 plus $1.80 per gross ton; for vessels over 500 gross tons, $60 plus $5.00 per gross ton. All tonnage shall be as listed on each vessel's document. Fractions of a ton shall not be included. All fees are due on July 1, 1975. All parties holding Guarantee Agreements (by amendment or otherwise) for the period terminating June 30, 1976, however, shall have until August 30, 1975 (midnight, local time), to pay the fees established herein; except those parties on a fishing voyage on the effective date of this amendment in which case such parties shall have until 5 days after termination of that voyage (from midnight, local time, on the day the voyage terminates to midnight, local time, 5 days hence) to pay the fees established herein, but in no event shall this be later than September 30, 1975 (midnight, local time). Fees for vessels over 500 gross tons may be paid in two installments: (1) $60 plus $2.50 per gross ton shall be payable at the time all fees are due as provided in the preceding sentence and (2) the second installment of $2.50 per gross ton shall be payable on May 1, 1976.

(c) No refund of a fee or portion of a fee will be made after a Guarantee Agreement is executed by both parties, except in the event that fees are reduced. Failure to comply with (b) above or failure to pay any increased fees within 30 days of adjustment shall cause termination of the Guarantee Agreement from inception. Such termination shall not absolve any party from paying the fees established in (b) above.

(d) Any party who held a Guarantee Agreement as of June 30, 1975, and wishes, without lapse, to extend it by amendment thereof for the period beginning July 1, 1975, and terminating June 30, 1976, must have executed such an amendment and returned it to the Secretary, together with the requisite fees, not later than the deadlines established for payment of fees in (b) above. Failure to do so will result in the necessity of entering into another Guarantee Agreement which will be effective only from the date actually executed.

(e) A Guarantee Agreement may, with the consent of the Secretary, be assigned to a new owner of a vessel if the ownership of the vessel is transferred during the period in which the agreement is in force.

By order of the Administrator, National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration.

Dated: July 25, 1975.

ROBERT M. WHITE,
*Administrator.*

[FR Doc.75-19864 Filed 7-29-75;8:45 am]

## Title 7—Agriculture

### SUBTITLE A—OFFICE OF THE SECRETARY OF AGRICULTURE

### PART 2—DELEGATIONS OF AUTHORITY BY THE SECRETARY OF AGRICULTURE AND GENERAL OFFICERS OF THE DEPARTMENT

#### Violations of the Merit System

Part 2, Subtitle A of Title 7, Code of Federal Regulations, is amended to specifically delegate to the Assistant Secretary for Administration the authority to take adverse action against employees in cases involving a violation of the merit system, and to redelegate said authority to the Director, Office of Personnel as follows:

*Subpart C—Delegations of Authority to the Under Secretary, Assistant Secretaries, and Director of Agricultural Economics*

Section 2.25 is amended to read as follows:

**§ 2.25 Delegations of Authority to the Assistant Secretary for Administration.**

* * * * *

(e) * * *

(9) * * *

(xxv) Initiate and take adverse action in cases involving a violation of the merit system.

* * * * *

*Subpart J—Delegations of Authority by the Assistant Secretary for Administration*

Section 2.78 is amended to read as follows:

**§ 2.78 Director, Office of Personnel.**

(a) * * *

(9) * * *

(xxv) Initiate and take adverse action in cases involving a violation of the merit system.

* * * * *

*Effective date:* July 30, 1975.

For Subpart C:

Dated: July 25, 1975.

EARL L. BUTZ,
*Secretary of Agriculture.*

For Subpart J:

Dated: July 24, 1975.

JOSEPH R. WRIGHT, Jr.,
*Assistant Secretary for Administration.*

[FR Doc.75-19736 Filed 7-29-75;8:45 am]

### CHAPTER II—FOOD AND NUTRITION SERVICE, DEPARTMENT OF AGRICULTURE

[Amdt. 19]

### PART 210—NATIONAL SCHOOL LUNCH PROGRAM

*Correction*

In FR Doc. 75-19149 appearing at page 30917 in the issue of Thursday, July 24, 1975, in the third column on page 30919 § 210.14(a)(4) should read as follows:

"(4) Documentation of such assistance shall be maintained on file by the State agency, or FNSRO where applicable."

[Amdt. 29]

### PART 250—DONATION OF FOODS FOR USE IN THE UNITED STATES, ITS TERRITORIES AND POSSESSIONS AND AREAS UNDER ITS JURISDICTION

#### Eligibility of Household Members Who Receive Supplemental Security Income Payments or Other Income Supplementation

Pub. L. 94-44, approved June 28, 1975, extends until July 1, 1976, the exclusion from eligibility for Federally donated foods of certain household members who receive supplemental security income payments or other income supplementation.

**§ 250.6 [Amended]**

Accordingly, § 250.6 (e) (5) of this part is amended by deleting the term "eighteen-month period" and inserting in lieu thereof the term "thirty-month period."

Since the statutory provisions upon which this change is made are mandatory, compliance with proposed rulemaking and public participation procedures is impracticable and unnecessary.

(Catalog of Federal Domestic Assistance Program No. 10.550, National Archives Reference Services).

This Amendment shall become effective as of July 1, 1975.

Dated: July 24, 1975.

RICHARD L. FELTNER,
*Assistant Secretary.*

[FR Doc.75-19734 Filed 7-29-75;8:45 am]

### CHAPTER X—AGRICULTURAL MARKETING SERVICE (MARKETING AGREEMENTS AND ORDERS; MILK), DEPARTMENT OF AGRICULTURE

[Docket No. AO-366-A14, etc.]

### MILK IN GEORGIA AND CERTAIN OTHER MARKETING AREAS

#### Order Amending Orders

| CFR Part | Marketing Area | Docket No. |
|---|---|---|
| 1007 | Georgia | AO-366-A14 |
| 1030 | Chicago regional | AO-361-A15 |
| 1032 | Southern Illinois | AO-313-A29 |
| 1040 | Southern Michigan | AO-225-A31 |

| CFR Part | Marketing Area | Docket No. |
|---|---|---|
| 1046 | Louisville-Lexington-Evansville | AO-123-A44 |
| 1049 | Indiana | AO-319-A26 |
| 1050 | Central Illinois | AO-355-A19 |
| 1060 | Minnesota-North Dakota | AO-360-A10-RO2 |
| 1061 | Southeastern Minnesota - Northern Iowa | AO-367-A9-RO2 |
| 1062 | St. Louis-Ozarks | AO-10-A51 |
| 1063 | Quad Cities-Dubuque | AO-105-A42 |
| 1064 | Greater Kansas City | AO-23-A49 |
| 1065 | Nebraska-Western Iowa | AO-86-A35 |
| 1068 | Minneapolis-St. Paul | AO-178-A33-RO2 |
| 1069 | Duluth-Superior | AO-153-A22-RO2 |
| 1070 | Cedar Rapids-Iowa City | AO-229-A31 |
| 1071 | Neosho Valley | AO-227-A32 |
| 1073 | Wichita, Kans | AO-173-A33 |
| 1076 | Eastern South Dakota | AO-260-A21-RO2 |
| 1078 | North Central Iowa | AO-272-A26 |
| 1079 | Des Moines, Iowa | AO-295-A31 |
| 1090 | Chattanooga, Tenn | AO-266-A22 |
| 1094 | New Orleans, La | AO-103-A38-RO1 |
| 1096 | Northern Louisiana | AO-257-A26-RO1 |
| 1097 | Memphis, Tenn | AO-219-A32 |
| 1098 | Nashville, Tenn | AO-184-A39 |
| 1099 | Paducah, Ky | AO-183-A33 |
| 1102 | Fort Smith, Ark | AO-237-A26 |
| 1104 | Red River Valley | AO-298-A26 |
| 1106 | Oklahoma metropolitan | AO-210-A39 |
| 1108 | Central Arkansas | AO-243-A30 |
| 1120 | Lubbock-Plainview | AO-328-A19 |
| 1126 | Texas | AO-231-A43 |
| 1131 | Central Arizona | AO-271-A21 |
| 1132 | Texas Panhandle | AO-262-A28 |
| 1138 | Rio Grande Valley | AO-335-A24 |
| 1139 | Lake Mead | AO-374-A5 |

FINDINGS AND DETERMINATIONS

The findings and determinations hereinafter set forth are supplementary and in addition to the findings and determinations previously made in connection with the issuance of each of the aforesaid orders and of the previously issued amendments thereto; and all of the said previous findings and determinations are hereby ratified and affirmed, except insofar as such findings and determinations may be in conflict with the findings and determinations set forth herein.

The following findings are hereby made with respect to each of the aforesaid orders:

(a) *Findings upon the basis of the hearing record.* Pursuant to the provisions of the Agricultural Marketing Agreement Act of 1937, as amended (7 U.S.C. 601 et seq.), and the applicable rules of practice and procedure governing the formulation of marketing agreements and marketing orders (7 CFR Part 900), a public hearing was held June 26, 1975, in Washington, D.C., upon certain proposed amendments to the tentative marketing agreement and to the order regulating the handling of milk in the respective marketing areas.

Upon the basis of the evidence introduced at such hearing and the record thereof, it is found that:

(1) The said order as hereby amended, and all of the terms and conditions thereof, will tend to effectuate the declared policy of the Act;

(2) The parity prices of milk, as determined pursuant to section 2 of the Act, are not reasonable in view of the price of feeds, available supplies of feeds, and other economic conditions which affect market supply and demand for milk in the said marketing area, and the minimum prices specified in the order as hereby amended, are such prices as will reflect the aforesaid factors, insure a sufficient quantity of pure and wholesome milk, and be in the public interest; and

(3) The said order as hereby amended, regulates the handling of milk in the same manner as, and is applicable only to persons in the respective classes of industrial or commercial activity specified in, a marketing agreement upon which a hearing has been held.

(b) *Additional findings.* It is necessary in the public interest to make this order amending the order effective not later than August 1, 1975. Any delay beyond that date would tend to disrupt the orderly marketing of milk in the marketing area.

The provisions of this order are known to handlers. The emergency decision of the Deputy Assistant Secretary containing all amendment provisions of this order was issued July 11, 1975. The changes affected by this order will not require extensive preparation or substantial alteration in method of operation for handlers. In view of the foregoing, it is hereby found and determined that good cause exists for making this order amending the order effective August 1, 1975, and that it would be contrary to the public interest to delay the effective date of this amendment for 30 days after its publication in the FEDERAL REGISTER. (Sec. 553(d), Administrative Procedure Act, 5 U.S.C. 551-559)

(c) *Determination.* It is hereby determined that:

(1) The refusal or failure of handlers (excluding cooperative associations specified in section 8c(9) of the Act) of more than 50 percent of the milk, which is marketed within the marketing area, to sign a proposed marketing agreement, tends to prevent the effectuation of the declared policy of the Act;

(2) The issuance of this order, amending each of the specified orders, is the only practical means pursuant to the declared policy of the Act of advancing the interests of producers as defined in the order as hereby amended;

(3) The issuance of the order amending each of the specified orders, except Fort Smith, Memphis, and North Central Iowa, is approved or favored by at least two-thirds of the producers who during the determined representative period were engaged in the production of milk for sale in the respective marketing areas; and

(4) The issuance of the order amending the Fort Smith, Memphis, and North Central Iowa orders is approved by at least three-fourths of the producers who during the determined representative period were engaged in the production of milk for sale in the respective marketing areas.

ORDER RELATIVE TO HANDLING

*It is therefore ordered*, That on and after the effective date hereof, the handling of milk in each of the aforesaid marketing areas shall be in conformity to and in compliance with the terms and conditions of each of the aforesaid orders, as amended, and as hereby further amended, as follows:

## PART 1007—MILK IN GEORGIA MARKETING AREA

In § 1007.15, paragraph (a) is revised as follows:

**§ 1007.15 Fluid milk product.**

(a) Except as provided in paragraph (b) of this section, "fluid milk product" means any of the following products in fluid or frozen form: Milk, skim milk, lowfat milk, milk drinks, buttermilk, filled milk, and milkshake and ice milk mixes containing less than 20 percent total solids, including any such products that are flavored, cultured, modified with added nonfat milk solids, concentrated (if in a consumer-type package), or reconstituted.

* * * * *

## PART 1030—MILK IN CHICAGO REGIONAL MARKETING AREA

In § 1030.15, paragraph (a) is revised as follows:

**§ 1030.15 Fluid milk product.**

(a) Except as provided in paragraph (b) of this section "fluid milk product" means any of the following products in fluid or frozen form: Milk, skim milk, lowfat milk, milk drinks, buttermilk, filled milk, and milkshake and ice milk mixes containing less than 20 percent total solids, including any such products that are flavored, cultured, modified with added nonfat milk solids, concentrated (if in a consumer-type package), or reconstituted.

* * * * *

## PART 1032—MILK IN SOUTHERN ILLINOIS MARKETING AREA

In § 1032.15, paragraph (a) is revised as follows:

**§ 1032.15 Fluid milk product.**

(a) Except as provided in paragraph (b) of this section, "fluid milk product" means any of the following products in fluid or frozen form: Milk, skim milk, lowfat milk, milk drinks, buttermilk, filled milk, and milkshake and ice milk mixes containing less than 20 percent total solids, including any such products that are flavored, cultured, modified with added nonfat milk solids, concentrated (if in a consumer-type package), or reconstituted.

* * * * *

### PART 1040—MILK IN SOUTHERN MICHIGAN MARKETING AREA

In § 1040.15, paragraph (a) is revised as follows:

**§ 1040.15 Fluid milk product.**

(a) Except as provided in paragraph (b) of this section, "fluid milk product" means any of the following products in fluid or frozen form: Milk, skim milk, lowfat milk, milk drinks, buttermilk, filled milk, and milkshake and ice milk mixes containing less than 20 percent total solids, including any such products that are flavored, cultured, modified with added nonfat milk solids, concentrated (if in a consumer-type package), or reconstituted.

* * * * *

### PART 1046—MILK IN LOUISVILLE-LEXINGTON-EVANSVILLE MARKETING AREA AREA

In § 1046.15, paragraph (a) is revised as follows:

**§ 1046.15 Fluid milk product.**

(a) Except as provided in paragraph (b) of this section, "fluid milk product" means any of the following products in fluid or frozen form: Milk, skim milk, lowfat milk, milk drinks, buttermilk, filled milk, and milkshake and ice milk mixes containing less than 20 percent total solids, including any such products that are flavored, cultured, modified with added nonfat milk solids, concentrated (if in a consumer-type package), or reconstituted.

* * * * *

### PART 1049—MILK IN INDIANA MARKETING AREA

In § 1049.15, paragraph (a) is revised as follows:

**§ 1049.15 Fluid milk product.**

(a) Except as provided in paragraph (b) of this section, "fluid milk product" means any of the following products in fluid or frozen form: Milk, skim milk, lowfat milk, milk drinks, buttermilk, filled milk, and milkshake and ice milk mixes containing less than 20 percent total solids, including any such products that are flavored, cultured, modified with added nonfat milk solids, concentrated (if in a consumer-type package), or reconstituted.

* * * * *

### PART 1050—MILK IN CENTRAL ILLINOIS MARKETING AREA

In § 1050.15, paragraph (a) is revised as follows:

**§ 1050.15 Fluid milk product.**

(a) Except as provided in paragraph (b) of this section, "fluid milk product" means any of the following products in fluid or frozen form: Milk, skim milk, lowfat milk, milk drinks, buttermilk, filled milk, and milkshake and ice milk mixes containing less than 20 percent total solids, including any such products that are flavored, cultured, modified with added nonfat milk solids, concentrated (if in a consumer-type package), or reconstituted.

* * * * *

### PART 1060—MILK IN MINNESOTA-NORTH DAKOTA MARKETING AREA

In § 1060.15, paragraph (a) is revised as follows:

**§ 1060.15 Fluid milk product.**

(a) Except as provided in paragraph (b) of this section, "fluid milk product" means any of the following products in fluid or frozen form: Milk, skim milk, lowfat milk, milk drinks, buttermilk, filled milk, and milkshake and ice milk mixes containing less than 20 percent total solids, including any such products that are flavored, cultured, modified with added nonfat milk solids, concentrated (if in a consumer-type package), or reconstituted.

* * * * *

### PART 1061—MILK IN SOUTHEASTERN MINNESOTA-NORTHERN IOWA (DAIRYLAND) MARKETING AREA

In § 1061.15, paragraph (a) is revised as follows:

**§ 1061.15 Fluid milk product.**

(a) Except as provided in paragraph (b) of this section, "fluid milk product" means any of the following products in fluid or frozen form: Milk, skim milk, lowfat milk, milk drinks, buttermilk, filled milk, and milkshake and ice milk mixes containing less than 20 percent total solids, including any such products that are flavored, cultured, modified with added nonfat milk solids, concentrated (if in a consumer-type package), or reconstituted.

* * * * *

### PART 1062—MILK IN ST. LOUIS-OZARKS MARKETING AREA

In § 1062.15, paragraph (a) is revised as follows:

**§ 1062.15 Fluid milk product.**

(a) Except as provided in paragraph (b) of this section, "fluid milk product" means any of the following products in fluid or frozen form: Milk, skim milk, lowfat milk, milk drinks, buttermilk, filled milk, and milkshake and ice milk mixes containing less than 20 percent total solids, including any such products that are flavored, cultured, modified with added nonfat milk solids, concentrated (if in a consumer-type package), or reconstituted.

* * * * *

### PART 1063—MILK IN QUAD CITIES-DUBUQUE MARKETING AREA

In § 1063.15, paragraph (a) is revised as follows:

**§ 1063.15 Fluid milk product.**

(a) Except as provided in paragraph (b) of this section, "fluid milk product" means any of the following products in fluid or frozen form: Milk, skim milk, lowfat milk, milk drinks, buttermilk, filled milk, and milkshake and ice milk mixes containing less than 20 percent total solids, including any such products that are flavored, cultured, modified with added nonfat milk solids, concentrated (if in a consumer-type package), or reconstituted.

* * * * *

### PART 1064—MILK IN GREATER KANSAS CITY MARKETING AREA

In § 1064.15, paragraph (a) is revised as follows:

**§ 1064.15 Fluid milk product.**

(a) Except as provided in paragraph (b) of this section, "fluid milk product" means any of the following products in fluid or frozen form: Milk, skim milk, lowfat milk, milk drinks, buttermilk, filled milk, and milkshake and ice milk mixes containing less than 20 percent total solids, including any such products that are flavored, cultured, modified with added nonfat milk solids, concentrated (if in a consumer-type package), or reconstituted.

* * * * *

### PART 1065—MILK IN NEBRASKA-WESTERN IOWA MARKETING AREA

In § 1065.15, paragraph (a) is revised as follows:

**§ 1065.15 Fluid milk product.**

(a) Except as provided in paragraph (b) of this section, "fluid milk product" means any of the following products in fluid or frozen form: Milk, skim milk, lowfat milk, milk drinks, buttermilk, filled milk, and milkshake and ice milk mixes containing less than 20 percent total solids, including any such products that are flavored, cultured, modified with added nonfat milk solids, concentrated (if in a consumer-type package), or reconstituted.

* * * * *

### PART 1068—MILK IN MINNEAPOLIS-ST. PAUL MARKETING AREA

In § 1068.15, paragraph (a) is revised as follows:

**§ 1068.15 Fluid milk product.**

(a) Except as provided in paragraph (b) of this section, "fluid milk product" means any of the following products in fluid or frozen form: Milk, skim milk, lowfat milk, milk drinks, buttermilk, filled milk, and milkshake and ice milk mixes containing less than 20 percent total solids, including any such products that are flavored, cultured, modified with added nonfat milk solids, concentrated (if in a consumer-type package), or reconstituted.

* * * * *

### PART 1069—MILK IN DULUTH-SUPERIOR MARKETING AREA

In § 1069.15, paragraph (a) is revised as follows:

**§ 1069.15 Fluid milk product.**

(a) Except as provided in paragraph (b) of this section, "fluid milk product"

means any of the following products in fluid or frozen form: Milk, skim milk, lowfat milk, milk drinks, buttermilk, filled milk, and milkshake and ice milk mixes containing less than 20 percent total solids, including any such products that are flavored, cultured, modified with added nonfat milk solids, concentrated (if in a consumer-type package), or reconstituted.

* * * * *

## PART 1070—MILK IN CEDAR RAPIDS-IOWA CITY MARKETING AREA

In § 1070.15, paragraph (a) is revised as follows:

**§ 1070.15 Fluid milk product.**

(a) Except as provided in paragraph (b) of this section, "fluid milk product" means any of the following products in fluid or frozen form: Milk, skim milk, lowfat milk, milk drinks, buttermilk, filled milk, and milkshake and ice milk mixes containing less than 20 percent total solids, including any such products that are flavored, cultured, modified with added nonfat milk solids, concentrated (if in a consumer-type package), or reconstituted.

* * * * *

## PART 1071—MILK IN NEOSHO VALLEY MARKETING AREA

In § 1071.15, paragraph (a) is revised as follows:

**§ 1071.15 Fluid milk product.**

(a) Except as provided in paragraph (b) of this section, "fluid milk product" means any of the following products in fluid or frozen form: Milk, skim milk, lowfat milk, milk drinks, buttermilk, filled milk, and milkshake and ice milk mixes containing less than 20 percent total solids, including any such products that are flavored, cultured, modified with added nonfat milk solids, concentrated (if in a consumer-type package), or reconstituted.

* * * * *

## PART 1073—MILK IN WICHITA, KANSAS MARKETING AREA

In § 1073.15, paragraph (a) is revised as follows:

**§ 1073.15 Fluid milk product.**

(a) Except as provided in paragraph (b) of this section, "fluid milk product" means any of the following products in fluid or frozen form: Milk, skim milk, lowfat milk, milk drinks, buttermilk, filled milk, and milkshake and ice milk mixes containing less than 20 percent total solids, including any such products that are flavored, cultured, modified with added nonfat milk solids, concentrated (if in a consumer-type package), or reconstituted.

* * * * *

## PART 1076—MILK IN EASTERN SOUTH DAKOTA MARKETING AREA

In § 1076.15, paragraph (a) is revised as follows:

**§ 1076.15 Fluid milk product.**

(a) Except as provided in paragraph (b) of this section, "fluid milk product" means any of the following products in fluid or frozen form: Milk, skim milk, low-fat milk, milk drinks, buttermilk, filled milk, and milkshake and ice milk mixes containing less than 20 percent total solids, including any such products that are flavored, cultured, modified with added nonfat milk solids, concentrated (if in a consumer-type package), or reconstituted.

* * * * *

## PART 1078—MILK IN NORTH CENTRAL IOWA MARKETING AREA

In § 1078.15, paragraph (a) is revised as follows:

**§ 1078.15 Fluid milk product.**

(a) Except as provided in paragraph (b) of this section, "fluid milk product" means any of the following products in fluid or frozen form: Milk, skim milk, lowfat milk, milk drinks, buttermilk, filled milk, and milkshake and ice milk mixes containing less than 20 percent total solids, including any such products that are flavored, cultured, modified with added nonfat milk sloids, concentrated (if in a consumer-type package), or reconstituted.

* * * * *

## PART 1079—MILK IN DES MOINES, IOWA MARKETING AREA

In § 1079.15, paragraph (a) is revised as follows:

**§ 1079.15 Fluid milk product.**

(a) Except as provided in paragraph (b) of this section, "fluid milk product" means any of the following products in fluid or frozen form: Milk, skim milk, lowfat milk, milk drinks, buttermilk, filled milk, and milkshake and ice milk mixes containing less than 20 percent total solids, including any such products that are flavored, cultured, modified with added nonfat milk solids, concentrated (if in a consumer-type package), or reconstituted.

* * * * *

## PART 1090—MILK IN CHATTANOOGA, TENNESSEE MARKETING AREA

In § 1090.15, paragraph (a) is revised as follows:

**§ 1090.15 Fluid milk product.**

(a) Except as provided in paragraph (b) of this section, "fluid milk product" means any of the following products in fluid or frozen form: Milk, skim milk, lowfat milk, milk drinks, buttermilk, filled milk, and milkshake and ice milk mixes containing less than 20 percent total solids, including any such products that are flavored, cultured, modified with added nonfat milk solids, concentrated (if in a consumer-type package), or reconstituted.

* * * * *

## PART 1094—MILK IN NEW ORLEANS MARKETING AREA

In § 1094.15, paragraph (a) is revised as follows:

**§ 1094.15 Fluid milk product.**

(a) Except as provided in paragraph (b) of this section, "fluid milk product" means any of the following products in fluid or frozen form: Milk, skim milk, lowfat milk, milk drinks, buttermilk, filled milk, and milkshake and ice milk mixes containing less than 20 percent total solids, including any such products that are flavored, cultured, modified with added nonfat milk solids, concentrated (if in a consumer-type package), or reconstituted.

* * * * *

## PART 1096—MILK IN NORTHERN LOUISIANA MARKETING AREA

In § 1096.15, paragraph (a) is revised as follows:

**§ 1096.15 Fluid milk product.**

(a) Except as provided in paragraph (b) of this section, "fluid milk product" means any of the following products in fluid or frozen form: Milk, skim milk, lowfat milk, milk drinks, buttermilk, filled milk, and milkshake and ice milk mixes containing less than 20 percent total solids, including any such products that are flavored, cultured, modified with added nonfat milk solids, concentrated (if in a consumer-type package), or reconstituted.

* * * * *

## PART 1097—MILK IN MEMPHIS, TENNESSEE MARKETING AREA

In § 1097.15, paragraph (a) is revised as follows:

**§ 1097.15 Fluid milk product.**

(a) Except as provided in paragraph (b) of this section, "fluid milk product" means any of the following products in fluid or frozen form: Milk, skim milk, lowfat milk, milk drinks, buttermilk, filled milk, and milkshake and ice milk mixes containing less than 20 percent total solids, including any such products that are flavored, cultured, modified with added nonfat milk solids, concentrated (if in a consumer-type package), or reconstituted.

* * * * *

## PART 1098—MILK IN NASHVILLE, TENNESSEE MARKETING AREA

In § 1098.15, paragraph (a) is revised as follows:

**§ 1098.15 Fluid milk product.**

(a) Except as provided in paragraph (b) of this section, "fluid milk product" means any of the following products in fluid or frozen form: Milk, skim milk, lowfat milk, milk drinks, buttermilk, filled milk, and milkshake and ice milk mixes containing less than 20 percent total solids, including any such products that are flavored, cultured, modified with added nonfat milk solids, concentrated (if in a consumer-type package), or reconstituted.

* * * * *

### PART 1099—MILK IN PADUCAH, KENTUCKY MARKETING AREA

In § 1099.15, paragraph (a) is revised as follows:

**§ 1099.15 Fluid milk product.**

(a) Except as provided in paragraph (b) of this section, "fluid milk product" means any of the following products in fluid or frozen form: Milk, skim milk, lowfat milk, milk drinks, buttermilk, filled milk, and milkshake and ice milk mixes containing less than 20 percent total solids, including any such products that are flavored, cultured, modified with added nonfat milk solids, concentrated (if in a consumer-type package), or reconstituted.

* * * * *

### PART 1102—MILK IN FORT SMITH, ARKANSAS MARKETING AREA

In § 1102.15, paragraph (a) is revised as follows:

**§ 1102.15 Fluid milk product.**

(a) Except as provided in paragraph (b) of this section, "fluid milk product" means any of the following products in fluid or frozen form: Milk, skim milk, lowfat milk, milk drinks, buttermilk, filled milk, and milkshake and ice milk mixes containing less than 20 percent total solids, including any such products that are flavored, cultured, modified with added nonfat milk solids, concentrated (if in a consumer-type package), or reconstituted.

* * * * *

### PART 1104—MILK IN RED RIVER VALLEY MARKETING AREA

In § 1104.15, paragraph (a) is revised as follows:

**§ 1104.15 Fluid milk product.**

(a) Except as provided in paragraph (b) of this section, "fluid milk product" means any of the following products in fluid or frozen form: Milk, skim milk, lowfat milk, milk drinks, buttermilk, filled milk, and milkshake and ice milk mixes containing less than 20 percent total solids, including any such products that are flavored, cultured, modified with added nonfat milk solids, concentrated (if in a consumer-type package), or reconstituted.

* * * * *

### PART 1106—MILK IN OKLAHOMA METROPOLITAN MARKETING AREA

In § 1106.15, paragraph (a) is revised as follows:

**§ 1106.15 Fluid milk product.**

(a) Except as provided in paragraph (b) of this section, "fluid milk product" means any of the following products in fluid or frozen form: Milk, skim milk, lowfat milk, milk drinks, buttermilk, filled milk, and milkshake and ice milk mixes containing less than 20 percent total solids, including any such products that are flavored, cultured, modified with added nonfat milk solids, concentrated (if in a consumer-type package), or reconstituted.

* * * * *

### PART 1108—MILK IN CENTRAL ARKANSAS MARKETING AREA

In § 1108.15, paragraph (a) is revised as follows:

**§ 1108.15 Fluid milk product.**

(a) Except as provided in paragraph (b) of this section, "fluid milk product" means any of the following products in fluid or frozen form: Milk, skim milk, lowfat milk, milk drinks, buttermilk, filled milk, and milkshake and ice milk mixes containing less than 20 percent total solids, including any such products that are flavored, cultured, modified with added nonfat milk solids, concentrated (if in a consumer-type package), or reconstituted.

* * * * *

### PART 1120—MILK IN LUBBOCK-PLAINVIEW, TEXAS MARKETING AREA

In § 1120.15, paragraph (a) is revised as follows:

**§ 1120.15 Fluid milk product.**

(a) Except as provided in paragraph (b) of this section, "fluid milk product" means any of the following products in fluid or frozen form: Milk, skim milk, lowfat milk, milk drinks, buttermilk, filled milk, and milkshake and ice milk mixes containing less than 20 percent total solids, including any such products that are flavored, cultured, modified with added nonfat milk solids, concentrated (if in a consumer-type package), or reconstituted.

* * * * *

### PART 1126—MILK IN TEXAS MARKETING AREA

In § 1126.15, paragraph (a) is revised as follows:

**§ 1126.15 Fluid milk product.**

(a) Except as provided in paragraph (b) of this section, "fluid milk product" means any of the following products in fluid or frozen form: Milk, skim milk, lowfat milk, milk drinks, buttermilk, filled milk, and milkshake and ice milk mixes containing less than 20 percent total solids, including any such products that are flavored, cultured, modified with added nonfat milk solids, concentrated (if in a consumer-type package, or reconstituted.

* * * * *

### PART 1131—MILK IN CENTRAL ARIZONA MARKETING AREA

In § 1131.15, paragraph (a) is revised as follows:

**§ 1131.15 Fluid milk product.**

(a) Except as provided in paragraph (b) of this section, "fluid milk product" means any of the following products in fluid or frozen form: Milk, skim milk, lowfat milk, milk drinks, buttermilk, filled milk, and milkshake and ice milk mixes containing less than 20 percent total solids, including any such products that are flavored, cultured, modified with added nonfat milk solids, concentrated (if in a consumer-type package), or reconstituted.

* * * * *

### PART 1132—MILK IN TEXAS PANHANDLE MARKETING AREA

In § 1132.15, paragraph (a) is revised as follows:

**§ 1132.15 Fluid milk product.**

(a) Except as provided in paragraph (b) of this section, "fluid milk product" means any of the following products in fluid or frozen form: Milk, skim milk, lowfat milk, milk drinks, buttermilk, filled milk, and milkshake and ice milk mixes containing less than 20 percent total solids, including any such products that are flavored, cultured, modified with added nonfat milk solids, concentrated (if in a consumer-type package), or reconstituted.

* * * * *

### PART 1138—MILK IN RIO GRANDE VALLEY MARKETING AREA

In § 1138.15, paragraph (a) is revised as follows:

**§ 1138.15 Fluid milk product.**

(a) Except as provided in paragraph (b) of this section, "fluid milk product" means any of the following products in fluid or frozen form: Milk, skim milk, lowfat milk, milk drinks, buttermilk, filled milk, and milkshake and ice milk mixes containing less than 20 percent total solids, including any such products that are flavored, cultured, modified with added nonfat milk solids, concentrated (if in a consumer-type package), or reconstituted.

* * * * *

### PART 1139—MILK IN LAKE MEAD MARKETING AREA

In § 1139.15, paragraph (a) is revised as follows:

**§ 1139.15 Fluid milk product.**

(a) Except as provided in paragraph (b) of this section, "fluid milk product" means any of the following products in fluid or frozen form: Milk, skim milk, lowfat milk, milk drinks, buttermilk, filled milk, and milkshake and ice milk mixes containing less than 20 percent total solids, including any such products that are flavored, cultured, modified with added nonfat milk solids, concentrated (if in a consumer-type package), or reconstituted.

* * * * *

(Secs. 1–19, 48 Stat. 31, as amended; 7 U.S.C. 601–674)

Effective date: August 1, 1975.

Signed at Washington, D.C., on July 25, 1975.

RICHARD L. FELTNER,
*Assistant Secretary.*

[FR Doc.75-19789 Filed 7-29-75;8:45 am]

## CHAPTER XIV—COMMODITY CREDIT CORPORATION, DEPARTMENT OF AGRICULTURE

[CCC Grain Price Support Regs., 1975 Crop Corn Supp.]

## PART 1421—GRAINS AND SIMILARLY HANDLED COMMODITIES

### Subpart—1975 Crop Corn Loan and Purchase Program

On July 17, 1974, notice of proposed rulemaking regarding loan and purchase rates for 1975 corn and operating provisions to carry out the 1975 crop corn loan and purchase program was published in the FEDERAL REGISTER (39 FR 26159).

Six responses were received from interested individual producers, a farm organization, and other interested parties. These responses included requests ranging from an increase in price support to elimination of the price support program. Recommendations were also received to change the loan maturity to the anniversary date of the loan.

After consideration of all responses, it has been determined that loan and purchase rates for 1975 crop corn on a national average will remain the same as in 1974. Support rates at the county level remain unchanged. Loans will no longer have identical maturity dates, but will mature on the last day of the eleventh calendar month following the month in which the loan is made. There will be no early delivery of corn for the 1975 crop year. Other operating provisions for the 1975 crop corn remain the same as the 1974 crop.

The General Regulations Governing Price Support for the 1970 and Subsequent Crops, published in the FEDERAL REGISTER at 35 FR 7368 and 7781, and any amendments thereto, and the 1970 and Subsequent Crops Corn Loan and Purchase Program Regulations, published in the FEDERAL REGISTER at 35 FR 13969, and any amendments thereto, are further supplemented as shown below for the 1975 crop of corn.

The material previously appearing in § 1421.113 has been deleted and § 1421.114, Loan and purchase rates, premiums and discounts, has been renumbered § 1421.113, and shall remain in full force and effect as to the crops to which it is applicable.

Sec.
1421.111 Availability.
1421.112 Maturity of loans.
1421.113 Loan and purchase rates, premiums and discounts.

AUTHORITY: Secs. 4, 5, 62 Stat. 1070, as amended (15 U.S.C. 714 b and c); secs. 105, 401, 63 Stat. 1051, as amended, (7 U.S.C. 1441 note, 1421).

### § 1421.111 Availability.

(a) *Loans.* A producer desiring to participate in the program through loans must request a loan on his 1975 crop of eligible corn on or before May 31, 1976.

(b) *Purchases.* A producer desiring to offer eligible 1975 crop corn not under loan for purchase must execute and deliver to the county ASCS office on or before April 30, 1977, a Purchase Agreement (Form CCC–614) indicating the approximate quantity of 1975 crop corn he will sell to CCC. Delivery of the commodity to CCC will not be accepted before April 30, 1977.

### § 1421.112 Maturity of loans.

Loans mature on the last day of the eleventh calendar month following the month in which the loan is disbursed or upon such earlier date as CCC may make demand for payment. The final maturity date for all loans shall be on demand but not later than April 30, 1977.

### § 1421.113 Loan and purchase rates, premiums and discounts.

County basic loan and purchase rates for corn and the schedule of premiums and discounts are contained in this section. Farm stored loans will be made at the basic rate for the county where the corn is stored, adjusted only for the weed control discount where applicable. The rate for warehouse stored corn loans shall be the basic rate for the county where the corn is stored, adjusted by the premiums and discounts prescribed in paragraphs (b) and (c) of this section. Notwithstanding § 1421.23(c), settlement for corn delivered from other than approved warehouse storage shall be based on the basic rate for the county in which the producer's customary delivery point is located, and on the quality and quantity of the corn delivered as shown on the warehouse receipts and accompanying documents issued by an approved warehouse to which delivery is made, or if applicable, the quality and quantity delivered as shown on a form prescribed by CCC for this purpose.

(a) *Basic county rates.* Basic county rates for corn grading No. 2 and containing from 15.1 through 15.5 percent moisture are as follows:

| County | Rate per bushel |
|---|---|
| **ALABAMA** | |
| All counties | $1.25 |
| **ARIZONA** | |
| All counties | 1.31 |
| **ARKANSAS** | |
| All counties | 1.22 |
| **CALIFORNIA** | |
| All counties | 1.31 |
| **COLORADO** | |
| Baca | $1.14 |
| Cheyenne | 1.13 |
| Kit Carson | 1.13 |
| Kiowa | 1.13 |
| Lincoln | 1.16 |
| Logan | 1.15 |
| Phillips | 1.13 |
| Prowers | 1.13 |
| Sedgwick | 1.13 |
| Washington | 1.15 |
| Yuma | 1.12 |
| All other Counties | 1.17 |
| **CONNECTICUT** | |
| All counties | 1.34 |
| **DELAWARE** | |
| All counties | 1.28 |
| **FLORIDA** | |
| All counties | 1.26 |
| **GEORGIA** | |
| All counties | 1.26 |
| **HAWAII** | |
| All counties | 1.62 |
| **IDAHO** | |
| All counties | 1.28 |

**ILLINOIS**

| County | Rate per bushel | County | Rate per bushel |
|---|---|---|---|
| Adams | $1.15 | Lee | $1.15 |
| Alexander | 1.19 | Livingston | 1.15 |
| Bond | 1.17 | Logan | 1.15 |
| Boone | 1.15 | McDonough | 1.16 |
| Brown | 1.16 | McHenry | 1.17 |
| Bureau | 1.15 | McLean | 1.14 |
| Calhoun | 1.17 | Macon | 1.15 |
| Carroll | 1.13 | Macoupin | 1.17 |
| Cass | 1.16 | Madison | 1.19 |
| Champaign | 1.14 | Marion | 1.16 |
| Christian | 1.16 | Marshall | 1.15 |
| Clark | 1.14 | Mason | 1.16 |
| Clay | 1.15 | Massac | 1.19 |
| Clinton | 1.18 | Menard | 1.15 |
| Coles | 1.14 | Mercer | 1.14 |
| Cook | 1.19 | Monroe | 1.20 |
| Crawford | 1.14 | Montgomery | 1.16 |
| Cumberland | 1.14 | Morgan | 1.16 |
| De Kalb | 1.15 | Moultrie | 1.14 |
| De Witt | 1.14 | Ogle | 1.14 |
| Douglas | 1.14 | Peoria | 1.16 |
| Du Page | 1.18 | Perry | 1.19 |
| Edgar | 1.14 | Piatt | 1.14 |
| Edwards | 1.16 | Pike | 1.16 |
| Effingham | 1.15 | Pope | 1.18 |
| Fayette | 1.16 | Pulaski | 1.19 |
| Ford | 1.15 | Putnam | 1.15 |
| Franklin | 1.17 | Randolph | 1.19 |
| Fulton | 1.16 | Richland | 1.15 |
| Gallatin | 1.17 | Rock Island | 1.14 |
| Greene | 1.17 | St. Clair | 1.20 |
| Grundy | 1.16 | Saline | 1.17 |
| Hamilton | 1.16 | Sangamon | 1.15 |
| Hancock | 1.15 | Schuyler | 1.16 |
| Hardin | 1.18 | Scott | 1.16 |
| Henderson | 1.15 | Shelby | 1.15 |
| Henry | 1.15 | Stark | 1.16 |
| Iroquois | 1.15 | Stephenson | 1.12 |
| Jackson | 1.18 | Tazewell | 1.15 |
| Jasper | 1.14 | Union | 1.18 |
| Jefferson | 1.18 | Vermilion | 1.14 |
| Jersey | 1.17 | Wabash | 1.16 |
| Jo Daviess | 1.12 | Warren | 1.16 |
| Johnson | 1.18 | Washington | 1.20 |
| Kane | 1.17 | Wayne | 1.16 |
| Kankakee | 1.16 | White | 1.16 |
| Kendall | 1.16 | Whiteside | 1.14 |
| Knox | 1.16 | Will | 1.18 |
| Lake | 1.18 | Williamson | 1.17 |
| La Salle | 1.15 | Winnebago | 1.13 |
| Lawrence | 1.15 | Woodford | 1.15 |

**INDIANA**

| County | Rate per bushel | County | Rate per bushel |
|---|---|---|---|
| Adams | $1.13 | Henry | $1.11 |
| Allen | 1.13 | Howard | 1.13 |
| Bartholomew | 1.14 | Huntington | 1.12 |
| Benton | 1.15 | Jackson | 1.16 |
| Blackford | 1.12 | Jasper | 1.16 |
| Boone | 1.10 | Jay | 1.13 |
| Brown | 1.14 | Jefferson | 1.17 |
| Carroll | 1.13 | Jennings | 1.16 |
| Cass | 1.15 | Johnson | 1.12 |
| Clark | 1.17 | Knox | 1.16 |
| Clay | 1.12 | Kosciusko | 1.15 |
| Clinton | 1.11 | Lagrange | 1.13 |
| Crawford | 1.17 | Lake | 1.18 |
| Daviess | 1.16 | La Porte | 1.18 |
| Dearborn | 1.17 | Lawrence | 1.16 |
| Decatur | 1.14 | Madison | 1.10 |
| De Kalb | 1.13 | Marion | 1.11 |
| Delaware | 1.11 | Marshall | 1.16 |
| Dubois | 1.16 | Martin | 1.16 |
| Elkhart | 1.15 | Miami | 1.13 |
| Fayette | 1.13 | Monroe | 1.14 |
| Floyd | 1.17 | Montgomery | 1.12 |
| Fountain | 1.12 | Morgan | 1.12 |
| Franklin | 1.16 | Newton | 1.16 |
| Fulton | 1.15 | Noble | 1.13 |
| Gibson | 1.17 | Ohio | 1.17 |
| Grant | 1.12 | Orange | 1.16 |
| Greene | 1.14 | Owen | 1.12 |
| Hamilton | 1.10 | Parke | 1.12 |
| Harrison | 1.17 | Perry | 1.17 |
| Hancock | 1.11 | Pike | 1.16 |
| Hendricks | 1.11 | Porter | 1.18 |

INDIANA—continued

| County | Rate per bushel | County | Rate per bushel |
|---|---|---|---|
| Posey | $1.17 | Tippecanoe | $1.13 |
| Pulaski | 1.16 | Tipton | 1.11 |
| Putnam | 1.11 | Union | 1.14 |
| Randolph | 1.12 | Vanderburgh | 1.17 |
| Ripley | 1.16 | Vermillion | 1.13 |
| Rush | 1.12 | Vigo | 1.13 |
| St. Joseph | 1.16 | Wabash | 1.13 |
| Scott | 1.17 | Warren | 1.13 |
| Shelby | 1.12 | Warrick | 1.17 |
| Spencer | 1.17 | Washington | 1.17 |
| Starke | 1.16 | Wayne | 1.12 |
| Steuben | 1.13 | Wells | 1.12 |
| Sullivan | 1.14 | White | 1.15 |
| Switzerland | 1.17 | Whitley | 1.13 |

IOWA

| | | | |
|---|---|---|---|
| Adair | $1.09 | Jefferson | $1.12 |
| Adams | 1.12 | Johnson | 1.12 |
| Allamakee | 1.07 | Jones | 1.11 |
| Appanoose | 1.12 | Keokuk | 1.12 |
| Audubon | 1.09 | Kossuth | 1.03 |
| Benton | 1.10 | Lee | 1.13 |
| Black Hawk | 1.10 | Linn | 1.10 |
| Boone | 1.08 | Louisa | 1.13 |
| Bremer | 1.09 | Lucas | 4.11 |
| Buchanan | 1.10 | Lyon | 1.02 |
| Buena Vista | 1.05 | Madison | 1.09 |
| Butler | 1.08 | Mahaska | 1.11 |
| Calhoun | 1.06 | Marion | 1.10 |
| Carroll | 1.09 | Marshall | 1.10 |
| Cass | 1.10 | Mills | 1.12 |
| Cedar | 1.13 | Mitchell | 1.06 |
| Cerro Gordo | 1.07 | Monona | 1.10 |
| Cherokee | 1.05 | Monroe | 1.11 |
| Chickasaw | 1.08 | Montgomery | 1.12 |
| Clarke | 1.11 | Muscatine | 1.13 |
| Clay | 1.03 | O'Brien | 1.04 |
| Clayton | 1.09 | Osceola | 1.02 |
| Clinton | 1.13 | Page | 1.14 |
| Crawford | 1.10 | Palo Alto | 1.02 |
| Dallas | 1.08 | Plymouth | 1.06 |
| Davis | 1.13 | Pocahontas | 1.05 |
| Decatur | 1.13 | Polk | 1.09 |
| Delaware | 1.10 | Pottawattamie | 1.12 |
| Des Moines | 1.13 | Poweshiek | 1.10 |
| Dickinson | 1.01 | Ringgold | 1.14 |
| Dubuque | 1.11 | Sac | 1.07 |
| Emmet | 1.01 | Scott | 1.13 |
| Fayette | 1.09 | Shelby | 1.11 |
| Floyd | 1.08 | Sioux | 1.04 |
| Franklin | 1.07 | Story | 1.10 |
| Fremont | 1.13 | Tama | 1.10 |
| Greene | 1.07 | Taylor | 1.14 |
| Grundy | 1.09 | Union | 1.12 |
| Guthrie | 1.08 | Van Buren | 1.13 |
| Hamilton | 1.07 | Wapello | 1.12 |
| Hancock | 1.06 | Warren | 1.16 |
| Hardin | 1.09 | Washington | 1.12 |
| Harrison | 1.11 | Wayne | 1.12 |
| Henry | 1.13 | Webster | 1.06 |
| Howard | 1.06 | Winnebago | 1.05 |
| Humboldt | 1.04 | Winneshiek | 1.07 |
| Ida | 1.07 | Woodbury | 1.08 |
| Iowa | 1.11 | Worth | 1.06 |
| Jackson | 1.12 | Wright | 1.06 |
| Jasper | 1.10 | | |

KANSAS

| | | | |
|---|---|---|---|
| Allen | $1.17 | Cowley | $1.12 |
| Anderson | 1.18 | Crawford | 1.19 |
| Atchison | 1.19 | Decatur | 1.09 |
| Barber | 1.11 | Dickinson | 1.11 |
| Barton | 1.09 | Doniphan | 1.17 |
| Bourbon | 1.19 | Douglas | 1.19 |
| Brown | 1.17 | Edwards | 1.10 |
| Butler | 1.13 | Elk | 1.13 |
| Chase | 1.13 | Ellis | 1.09 |
| Chautauqua | 1.13 | Ellsworth | 1.10 |
| Cherokee | 1.19 | Finney | 1.10 |
| Cheyenne | 1.07 | Ford | 1.11 |
| Clark | 1.11 | Franklin | 1.18 |
| Clay | 1.11 | Geary | 1.13 |
| Cloud | 1.11 | Gove | 1.08 |
| Coffey | 1.16 | Graham | 1.08 |
| Comanche | 1.11 | Grant | 1.11 |

KANSAS—continued

| County | Rate per bushel | County | Rate per bushel |
|---|---|---|---|
| Gray | $1.11 | Osborne | $1.09 |
| Greeley | 1.09 | Ottawa | 1.11 |
| Greenwood | 1.14 | Pawnee | 1.10 |
| Hamilton | 1.10 | Phillips | 1.10 |
| Harper | 1.11 | Pottawatomie | 1.16 |
| Harvey | 1.11 | Pratt | 1.10 |
| Haskell | 1.11 | Rawlins | 1.08 |
| Hodgeman | 1.10 | Reno | 1.10 |
| Jackson | 1.17 | Republic | 1.12 |
| Jefferson | 1.19 | Rice | 1.10 |
| Jewell | 1.10 | Riley | 1.15 |
| Johnson | 1.20 | Rooks | 1.09 |
| Kearny | 1.10 | Rush | 1.09 |
| Kingman | 1.11 | Russell | 1.09 |
| Kiowa | 1.10 | Saline | 1.11 |
| Labette | 1.15 | Scott | 1.09 |
| Lane | 1.09 | Sedgwick | 1.12 |
| Leavenworth | 1.20 | Seward | 1.12 |
| Lincoln | 1.10 | Shawnee | 1.17 |
| Linn | 1.19 | Sheridan | 1.08 |
| Logan | 1.08 | Sherman | 1.08 |
| Lyon | 1.15 | Smith | 1.10 |
| McPherson | 1.11 | Stafford | 1.10 |
| Marion | 1.11 | Stanton | 1.11 |
| Marshall | 1.15 | Stevens | 1.12 |
| Meade | 1.12 | Sumner | 1.12 |
| Miami | 1.19 | Thomas | 1.08 |
| Mitchell | 1.10 | Trego | 1.08 |
| Montgomery | 1.14 | Wabaunsee | 1.15 |
| Morris | 1.13 | Wallace | 1.08 |
| Morton | 1.12 | Washington | 1.14 |
| Nemaha | 1.16 | Wichita | 1.09 |
| Neosho | 1.17 | Wilson | 1.15 |
| Ness | 1.09 | Woodson | 1.16 |
| Norton | 1.10 | Wyandotte | 1.20 |
| Osage | 1.16 | | |

KENTUCKY

| | | | |
|---|---|---|---|
| Ballard | $1.19 | Jefferson | $1.19 |
| Boone | 1.18 | Kenton | 1.18 |
| Bracken | 1.20 | Lewis | 1.20 |
| Breckinridge | 1.19 | Livingston | 1.19 |
| Bullitt | 1.20 | Mc Cracken | 1.19 |
| Campbell | 1.18 | Mason | 1.20 |
| Carroll | 1.19 | Meade | 1.19 |
| Crittenden | 1.19 | Oldham | 1.19 |
| Daviess | 1.19 | Trimble | 1.19 |
| Gallatin | 1.19 | Union | 1.19 |
| Hancock | 1.19 | All other counties | 1.21 |
| Henderson | 1.19 | | |

LOUISIANA

| *Parish* | |
|---|---|
| All parishes | $1.24 |

MAINE

| *County* | |
|---|---|
| All counties | $1.34 |

MARYLAND

| | |
|---|---|
| All counties | $1.28 |

MASSACHUSETTS

| | |
|---|---|
| All counties | $1.34 |

MICHIGAN

| | | | |
|---|---|---|---|
| Alcona | $1.11 | Eaton | $1.11 |
| Alger | 1.09 | Emmet | 1.11 |
| Allegan | 1.11 | Genesee | 1.11 |
| Alpena | 1.11 | Gladwin | 1.09 |
| Antrim | 1.11 | Gogebic | 1.09 |
| Arenac | 1.10 | Grand Traverse | 1.11 |
| Baraga | 1.09 | Gratiot | 1.08 |
| Barry | 1.10 | Hillsdale | 1.14 |
| Bay | 1.09 | Houhton | 1.09 |
| Benzie | 1.11 | Huron | 1.09 |
| Berrien | 1.16 | Ingham | 1.11 |
| Branch | 1.13 | Ionia | 1.10 |
| Calhoun | 1.11 | Iosco | 1.10 |
| Cass | 1.14 | Iron | 1.09 |
| Charlevoix | 1.11 | Isabella | 1.08 |
| Cheboygan | 1.11 | Jackson | 1.12 |
| Chippewa | 1.09 | Kalamazoo | 1.12 |
| Clare | 1.09 | Kalkaska | 1.11 |
| Clinton | 1.10 | Kent | 1.09 |
| Crawford | 1.11 | Keweenaw | 1.09 |
| Delta | 1.09 | Lake | 1.10 |
| Dickinson | 1.09 | | |

MICHIGAN—continued

| County | Rate per bushel | County | Rate per bushel |
|---|---|---|---|
| Lapeer | $1.11 | Oceana | $1.10 |
| Leolanau | 1.11 | Ogemaw | 1.10 |
| Lenawee | 1.15 | Ontonagon | 1.09 |
| Livingston | 1.12 | Osceola | 1.09 |
| Luce | 1.09 | Oscoda | 1.11 |
| Mackinac | 1.09 | Otsego | 1.11 |
| Macomb | 1.12 | Ottawa | 1.10 |
| Manistee | 1.10 | Presque Isle | 1.11 |
| Marquette | 1.09 | Roscommon | 1.10 |
| Mason | 1.10 | Saginaw | 1.08 |
| Mecosta | 1.08 | St. Clair | 1.11 |
| Menominee | 1.09 | St. Joseph | 1.13 |
| Midland | 1.08 | Sanilac | 1.09 |
| Missaukee | 1.10 | Schoolcraft | 1.09 |
| Monroe | 1.16 | Shiawassee | 1.10 |
| Montcalm | 1.08 | Tuscola | 1.08 |
| Montmorency | 1.11 | Van Buren | 1.12 |
| Muskegon | 1.10 | Washtenaw | 1.13 |
| Newago | 1.09 | Wayne | 1.14 |
| Oakland | 1.12 | Wexford | 1.10 |

MINNESOTA

| | | | |
|---|---|---|---|
| Aitkin | $1.02 | Mahnomen | $1.00 |
| Anoka | 1.02 | Marshall | 1.00 |
| Becker | 1.00 | Martin | .99 |
| Beltrami | 1.00 | Meeker | 1.02 |
| Benton | 1.02 | Mille Lacs | 1.02 |
| Big Stone | .97 | Morrison | 1.01 |
| Blue Earth | 1.01 | Mower | 1.03 |
| Brown | 1.00 | Murray | .98 |
| Carlton | 1.02 | Nicollet | 1.01 |
| Carver | 1.02 | Nobles | .99 |
| Cass | 1.00 | Norman | 1.00 |
| Chippewa | 1.00 | Olmsted | 1.03 |
| Chisago | 1.02 | Otter Tail | 1.00 |
| Clay | 1.00 | Pennington | 1.00 |
| Clearwater | 1.00 | Pine | 1.02 |
| Cook | 1.02 | Pipestone | .98 |
| Cottonwood | .98 | Polk | 1.00 |
| Crow Wing | 1.01 | Pope | 1.00 |
| Dakota | 1.02 | Ramsey | 1.02 |
| Dodge | 1.02 | Red Lake | 1.00 |
| Douglas | 1.01 | Redwood | .99 |
| Faribault | 1.00 | Renville | 1.01 |
| Fillmore | 1.04 | Rice | 1.02 |
| Freeborn | 1.01 | Rock | .99 |
| Goodhue | 1.02 | Roseau | 1.00 |
| Grant | 1.00 | St. Louis | 1.02 |
| Hennepin | 1.02 | Scott | 1.02 |
| Houston | 1.04 | Sherburne | 1.02 |
| Hubbard | 1.00 | Sibley | 1.02 |
| Isanti | 1.02 | Stearns | 1.01 |
| Itasca | 1.02 | Steele | 1.01 |
| Jackson | .99 | Stevens | .99 |
| Kanabec | 1.02 | Swift | .99 |
| Kandiyohi | 1.01 | Todd | 1.01 |
| Kittson | 1.00 | Traverse | .97 |
| Koochiching | 1.02 | Wabasha | 1.02 |
| Lac Qui Parle | .97 | Wadena | 1.01 |
| Lake | 1.02 | Waseca | 1.01 |
| Lake of the Woods | 1.00 | Washington | 1.02 |
| Le Sueur | 1.02 | Watonwan | .99 |
| Lincoln | .96 | Wilkin | .99 |
| Lyon | .97 | Winona | 1.03 |
| McLeod | 1.02 | Wright | 1.02 |
| | | Yellow Medicine | .98 |

MISSISSIPPI

| | |
|---|---|
| All counties | $1.24 |

MISSOURI

| | | | |
|---|---|---|---|
| Adair | $1.15 | Camden | $1.18 |
| Andrew | 1.19 | Cape Girardeau | 1.19 |
| Atchinson | 1.16 | Carroll | 1.19 |
| Audrain | 1.17 | Carter | 1.20 |
| Barry | 1.22 | Cass | 1.20 |
| Barton | 1.18 | Cedar | 1.19 |
| Bates | 1.19 | Chariton | 1.18 |
| Benton | 1.17 | Christian | 1.22 |
| Bollinger | 1.19 | Clark | 1.14 |
| Boone | 1.16 | Clay | 1.20 |
| Buchanan | 1.20 | Clinton | 1.20 |
| Butler | 1.20 | Cole | 1.17 |
| Caldwell | 1.20 | Cooper | 1.15 |
| Callaway | 1.17 | | |

MISSOURI—Continued

| County | Rate per bushel | County | Rate per bushel |
|---|---|---|---|
| Crawford | $1.19 | New Madrid | $1.20 |
| Dade | 1.19 | Newton | 1.20 |
| Dallas | 1.20 | Nodaway | 1.17 |
| Daviess | 1.18 | Oregon | 1.22 |
| De Kalb | 1.18 | Osage | 1.17 |
| Dent | 1.20 | Ozark | 1.24 |
| Douglas | 1.24 | Pemiscot | 1.20 |
| Dunklin | 1.20 | Perry | 1.19 |
| Franklin | 1.19 | Pettis | 1.16 |
| Gasconade | 1.17 | Phelps | 1.20 |
| Gentry | 1.16 | Pike | 1.17 |
| Greene | 1.20 | Platte | 1.20 |
| Grundy | 1.17 | Polk | 1.20 |
| Harrison | 1.16 | Pulaski | 1.20 |
| Henry | 1.18 | Putnam | 1.14 |
| Hickory | 1.18 | Ralls | 1.16 |
| Holt | 1.17 | Randolph | 1.16 |
| Howard | 1.16 | Ray | 1.20 |
| Howell | 1.24 | Reynolds | 1.20 |
| Iron | 1.19 | Ripley | 1.20 |
| Jackson | 1.20 | St. Charles | 1.18 |
| Jasper | 1.19 | St. Clair | 1.18 |
| Jefferson | 1.20 | St. Francois | 1.19 |
| Johnson | 1.20 | Ste. Genevieve | 1.19 |
| Knox | 1.15 | St. Louis | 1.20 |
| Laclede | 1.20 | Saline | 1.18 |
| Lafayette | 1.20 | Schulyer | 1.15 |
| Lawrence | 1.20 | Scotland | 1.14 |
| Lewis | 1.15 | Scott | 1.20 |
| Lincoln | 1.18 | Shannon | 1.22 |
| Linn | 1.17 | Shelby | 1.15 |
| Livingston | 1.19 | Stoddard | 1.20 |
| McDonald | 1.21 | Stone | 1.22 |
| Macon | 1.16 | Sullivan | 1.16 |
| Madison | 1.19 | Taney | 1.24 |
| Maries | 1.18 | Texas | 1.22 |
| Marion | 1.15 | Vernon | 1.18 |
| Mercer | 1.15 | Warren | 1.18 |
| Miller | 1.18 | Washington | 1.19 |
| Mississippi | 1.20 | Wayne | 1.20 |
| Moniteau | 1.17 | Webster | 1.22 |
| Monroe | 1.16 | Worth | 1.16 |
| Montgomery | 1.17 | Wright | 1.22 |
| Morgan | 1.17 | | |

MONTANA

| County | Rate per bushel |
|---|---|
| All counties | $1.19 |

NEBRASKA

| County | Rate per bushel | County | Rate per bushel |
|---|---|---|---|
| Adams | $1.08 | Grant | $1.08 |
| Antelope | 1.08 | Greeley | 1.07 |
| Arthur | 1.08 | Hall | 1.07 |
| Banner | 1.13 | Hamilton | 1.07 |
| Blaine | 1.04 | Harlan | 1.08 |
| Boone | 1.08 | Hayes | 1.06 |
| Box Butte | 1.11 | Hitchcock | 1.06 |
| Boyd | 1.05 | Holt | 1.05 |
| Brown | 1.04 | Hooker | 1.06 |
| Buffalo | 1.05 | Howard | 1.07 |
| Burt | 1.12 | Jefferson | 1.13 |
| Butler | 1.11 | Johnson | 1.14 |
| Cass | 1.12 | Kearney | 1.07 |
| Cedar | 1.06 | Keith | 1.09 |
| Chase | 1.07 | Keyapaha | 1.04 |
| Cherry | 1.06 | Kimball | 1.13 |
| Cheyenne | 1.11 | Knox | 1.06 |
| Clay | 1.10 | Lancaster | 1.12 |
| Colfax | 1.11 | Lincoln | 1.06 |
| Cuming | 1.10 | Logan | 1.05 |
| Custer | 1.04 | Loup | 1.04 |
| Dakota | 1.06 | McPherson | 1.06 |
| Dawes | 1.11 | Madison | 1.08 |
| Dawson | 1.04 | Merrick | 1.07 |
| Deuel | 1.11 | Morrill | 1.13 |
| Dixon | 1.06 | Nance | 1.08 |
| Dodge | 1.11 | Nemaha | 1.14 |
| Douglas | 1.13 | Nuckolls | 1.10 |
| Dundy | 1.06 | Otoe | 1.13 |
| Fillmore | 1.11 | Pawnee | 1.15 |
| Franklin | 1.08 | Perkins | 1.08 |
| Frontier | 1.06 | Phelps | 1.07 |
| Furnas | 1.07 | Pierce | 1.08 |
| Gage | 1.14 | Platte | 1.09 |
| Garden | 1.09 | Polk | 1.09 |
| Garfield | 1.05 | Red Willow | 1.06 |
| Gosper | 1.06 | Richardson | 1.16 |

NEBRASKA—Continued

| County | Rate per bushel | County | Rate per bushel |
|---|---|---|---|
| Rock | $1.04 | Thayer | $1.11 |
| Saline | 1.12 | Thomas | 1.05 |
| Sarpy | 1.11 | Thurston | 1.10 |
| Saunders | 1.11 | Valley | 1.05 |
| Scotts Bluff | 1.13 | Washington | 1.13 |
| Seward | 1.11 | Wayne | 1.08 |
| Sheridan | 1.09 | Webster | 1.08 |
| Sherman | 1.05 | Wheeler | 1.07 |
| Sioux | 1.13 | York | 1.09 |
| Stanton | 1.10 | | |

NEVADA

| County | Rate per bushel |
|---|---|
| All counties | $1.32 |

NEW HAMPSHIRE

| County | Rate per bushel |
|---|---|
| All counties | $1.34 |

NEW JERSEY

| County | Rate per bushel |
|---|---|
| All counties | $1.30 |

NEW MEXICO

| County | Rate per bushel | County | Rate per bushel |
|---|---|---|---|
| Curry | $1.21 | Roosevelt | $1.21 |
| Harding | 1.21 | Union | 1.21 |
| Lea | 1.21 | All other counties | 1.28 |
| Quay | 1.21 | | |

NEW YORK

| County | Rate per bushel |
|---|---|
| All counties | $1.29 |

NORTH CAROLINA

| County | Rate per bushel |
|---|---|
| All counties | $1.27 |

NORTH DAKOTA

| County | Rate per bushel |
|---|---|
| All counties | $1.03 |

OHIO

| County | Rate per bushel | County | Rate per bushel |
|---|---|---|---|
| Adams | $1.18 | Licking | $1.16 |
| Allen | 1.15 | Logan | 1.15 |
| Ashland | 1.18 | Lorain | 1.18 |
| Ashtabula | 1.25 | Lucas | 1.18 |
| Athens | 1.21 | Madison | 1.14 |
| Auglaize | 1.14 | Mahoning | 1.25 |
| Belmont | 1.23 | Marion | 1.16 |
| Brown | 1.18 | Medina | 1.20 |
| Butler | 1.15 | Meigs | 1.20 |
| Carroll | 1.22 | Mercer | 1.13 |
| Champaign | 1.14 | Miami | 1.14 |
| Clark | 1.14 | Monroe | 1.24 |
| Clermont | 1.17 | Montgomery | 1.14 |
| Clinton | 1.16 | Morgan | 1.21 |
| Columbiana | 1.25 | Morrow | 1.16 |
| Coshocton | 1.19 | Muskingum | 1.19 |
| Crawford | 1.16 | Noble | 1.22 |
| Cuyahoga | 1.21 | Ottawa | 1.18 |
| Darke | 1.13 | Paulding | 1.14 |
| Defiance | 1.14 | Perry | 1.19 |
| Delaware | 1.15 | Pickaway | 1.15 |
| Erie | 1.17 | Pike | 1.17 |
| Fairfield | 1.17 | Portage | 1.23 |
| Fayette | 1.15 | Preble | 1.14 |
| Franklin | 1.14 | Putnam | 1.15 |
| Fulton | 1.16 | Richland | 1.16 |
| Gallia | 1.19 | Ross | 1.16 |
| Geauga | 1.23 | Sandusky | 1.16 |
| Greene | 1.14 | Scioto | 1.18 |
| Guernsey | 1.21 | Seneca | 1.16 |
| Hamilton | 1.16 | Shelby | 1.14 |
| Hancock | 1.16 | Stark | 1.22 |
| Hardin | 1.15 | Summit | 1.21 |
| Harrison | 1.23 | Trumbul | 1.25 |
| Henry | 1.16 | Tuscarawas | 1.21 |
| Highland | 1.16 | Union | 1.15 |
| Hocking | 1.18 | Van Wert | 1.14 |
| Holmes | 1.19 | Vinton | 1.18 |
| Huron | 1.17 | Warren | 1.16 |
| Jackson | 1.18 | Washington | 1.23 |
| Jefferson | 1.24 | Wayne | 1.20 |
| Knox | 1.16 | Williams | 1.15 |
| Lake | 1.23 | Wood | 1.16 |
| Lawrence | 1.19 | Wyandot | 1.16 |

OKLAHOMA

| County | Rate per bushel | County | Rate per bushel |
|---|---|---|---|
| Beaver | $1.16 | Harper | $1.16 |
| Beckham | 1.20 | Roger Mills | 1.20 |
| Cimarron | 1.15 | Texas | 1.15 |
| Ellis | 1.18 | All other counties | 1.22 |
| Harmon | 1.20 | | |

OREGON

| County | Rate per bushel |
|---|---|
| All counties | $1.28 |

PENNSYLVANIA

| County | Rate per bushel |
|---|---|
| All counties | $1.29 |

RHODE ISLAND

| County | Rate per bushel |
|---|---|
| All counties | $1.34 |

SOUTH CAROLINA

| County | Rate per bushel |
|---|---|
| All counties | $1.27 |

SOUTH DAKOTA

| County | Rate per bushel | County | Rate per bushel |
|---|---|---|---|
| Aurora | $0.96 | Hyde | $0.97 |
| Beadle | .96 | Jackson | 1.01 |
| Bennett | 1.03 | Jerauld | .96 |
| Bon Homme | 1.00 | Jones | 1.00 |
| Brookings | .96 | Kingsbury | .96 |
| Brown | .96 | Lake | .98 |
| Brule | .96 | Lawrence | 1.02 |
| Buffalo | .96 | Lincoln | 1.01 |
| Butte | 1.02 | Lyman | .98 |
| Campbell | .98 | McCook | .98 |
| Charles Mix | .98 | McPherson | .97 |
| Clark | .96 | Marshall | .96 |
| Clay | 1.03 | Meade | 1.01 |
| Codington | .96 | Mellette | 1.00 |
| Corson | 1.00 | Miner | .97 |
| Custer | 1.06 | Minnehaha | .99 |
| Davison | .97 | Moody | .98 |
| Day | .96 | Pennington | 1.03 |
| Deuel | .96 | Perkins | 1.00 |
| Dewey | 1.00 | Potter | .99 |
| Douglas | .97 | Roberts | .96 |
| Edmunds | .97 | Sanborn | .97 |
| Fall River | 1.09 | Shannon | 1.06 |
| Faulk | .97 | Spink | .96 |
| Grant | .96 | Stanley | 1.00 |
| Gregory | .98 | Sully | .98 |
| Haakon | 1.00 | Todd | 1.01 |
| Hamlin | .96 | Tripp | .99 |
| Hand | .96 | Turner | 1.00 |
| Hanson | .97 | Union | 1.03 |
| Harding | 1.02 | Walworth | .99 |
| Hughes | .98 | Washabaugh | 1.01 |
| Hutchinson | .99 | Yankton | 1.01 |
| | | Ziebach | 1.01 |

TENNESSEE

| County | Rate per bushel |
|---|---|
| All counties | $1.23 |

TEXAS

| County | Rate per bushel | County | Rate per bushel |
|---|---|---|---|
| Armstrong | $1.17 | Hemphill | $1.17 |
| Bailey | 1.17 | Hockley | 1.19 |
| Briscoe | 1.17 | Hutchinson | 1.17 |
| Carson | 1.17 | King | 1.19 |
| Castro | 1.17 | Lamb | 1.17 |
| Childress | 1.18 | Lipscomb | 1.17 |
| Cochran | 1.19 | Lubbock | 1.19 |
| Collingsworth | 1.18 | Moore | 1.17 |
| Cottle | 1.19 | Motley | 1.19 |
| Crosby | 1.19 | Ochiltree | 1.17 |
| Dallam | 1.17 | Oldham | 1.17 |
| Deaf Smith | 1.17 | Parmer | 1.17 |
| Dickens | 1.19 | Potter | 1.17 |
| Donley | 1.18 | Randall | 1.17 |
| Floyd | 1.17 | Roberts | 1.17 |
| Gray | 1.17 | Sherman | 1.17 |
| Hale | 1.17 | Swisher | 1.17 |
| Hall | 1.18 | Wheeler | 1.18 |
| Hansford | 1.17 | All other counties | 1.24 |
| Hartley | 1.17 | | |

UTAH

| County | Rate per bushel |
|---|---|
| All counties | $1.31 |

VERMONT

| County | Rate per bushel |
|---|---|
| All counties | $1.34 |

VIRGINIA

| County | Rate per bushel |
|---|---|
| All counties | $1.28 |

WASHINGTON

| County | Rate per bushel |
|---|---|
| All counties | $1.26 |

WEST VIRGINIA

| County | Rate per bushel |
|---|---|
| All counties | $1.27 |

WISCONSIN

| County | Rate per bushel | County | Rate per bushel |
|---|---|---|---|
| Adams | $1.07 | Marathon | $1.08 |
| Ashland | 1.07 | Marinette | 1.09 |
| Barron | 1.05 | Marquette | 1.09 |
| Bayfield | 1.04 | Menominee | 1.09 |
| Brown | 1.09 | Milwaukee | 1.14 |
| Buffalo | 1.04 | Monroe | 1.05 |
| Burnett | 1.03 | Oconto | 1.09 |
| Calumet | 1.09 | Oneida | 1.09 |
| Chippewa | 1.05 | Outagamie | 1.08 |
| Clark | 1.07 | Ozaukee | 1.12 |
| Columbia | 1.11 | Pepin | 1.04 |
| Crawford | 1.07 | Pierce | 1.04 |
| Dane | 1.13 | Polk | 1.03 |
| Dodge | 1.13 | Portage | 1.08 |
| Door | 1.10 | Price | 1.07 |
| Douglas | 1.02 | Racine | 1.16 |
| Dunn | 1.05 | Richland | 1.10 |
| Eau Claire | 1.05 | Rock | 1.14 |
| Florence | 1.09 | Rusk | 1.06 |
| Fond du Lac | 1.11 | St. Croix | 1.04 |
| Forest | 1.09 | Sauk | 1.10 |
| Grant | 1.09 | Sawyer | 1.06 |
| Green | 1.13 | Shawano | 1.09 |
| Green Lake | 1.11 | Sheboygan | 1.10 |
| Iowa | 1.13 | Taylor | 1.07 |
| Iron | 1.08 | Trempealeau | 1.04 |
| Jackson | 1.05 | Vernon | 1.05 |
| Jefferson | 1.14 | Vilas | 1.09 |
| Juneau | 1.07 | Walworth | 1.15 |
| Kenosha | 1.16 | Washburn | 1.05 |
| Kewaunee | 1.10 | Washington | 1.13 |
| La Crosse | 1.04 | Waukesha | 1.14 |
| Lafayette | 1.12 | Waushara | 1.09 |
| Langlade | 1.09 | Waupaca | 1.09 |
| Lincoln | 1.08 | Winnebago | 1.09 |
| Manitowoc | 1.10 | Wood | 1.07 |

WYOMING

| | |
|---|---|
| All counties | $1.19 |

(b) *Premiums.* (1) Moisture.

| | Cents per bushel |
|---|---|
| 14.0 or less | +1½ |
| 14.1 through 14.5 | +1 |
| 14.6 through 15.0 | + ½ |

(2) Broken corn and foreign material:

| | |
|---|---|
| Percent: 2.0 or less | +1 |

The premiums in this subsection (b) shall not apply to sample grade corn.

(c) *Discounts.* (1) Class.

| | Cents per bushel |
|---|---|
| Mixed | −2 |

(2) Test weight per bushel.

| Pounds: | |
|---|---|
| 53.0 through 53.9 | −1 |
| 52.0 through 52.9 | −2 |
| 51.0 through 51.9 | −3 |
| 50.0 through 50.9 | −4 |
| 49.0 through 49.9 | −5 |

(3) Total damage.

| Percent: | |
|---|---|
| 51.0 through 6.0 | − ½ |
| 6.1 through 7.0 | −1 |

(4) Heat damage.

| | |
|---|---|
| 0.21 through 0.50 percent | − ½ |

(5) Broken corn and foreign material.

| | |
|---|---|
| 3.1 through 4.0 percent | −1 |

(6) Weed control laws.

| | |
|---|---|
| Where required by § 1421.25 | −10 |

(7) Other: Amounts determined by CCC to represent market discounts for quality factors not specified above which affect the value of the corn such as (but not limited to) moisture, weevily, musty, sour, and rodent excreta. Such discounts will be established not later than the time delivery of corn to CCC begins and will thereafter be adjusted from time to time as CCC determines appropriate to reflect changes in market conditions. Producers may obtain schedules of such factors and discounts at county ASCS offices approximately 1 month prior to the loan maturity date.

Effective date: July 30, 1975.

Signed at Washington, D.C., on July 21, 1975.

E. J. Person,
*Acting Executive Vice President, Commodity Credit Corporation.*

[FR Doc.75-19470 Filed 7-29-75;8:45 am]

---

[CCC Grain Price Support Regs., 1975 Crop Sorghum Supp.]

## PART 1421—GRAINS AND SIMILARLY HANDLED COMMODITIES

### Subpart—1975 Crop Sorghum Loan and Purchase Program

On July 17, 1974, notice of proposed rulemaking regarding loan and purchase rates for 1975 crop sorghum and operating provisions to carry out the 1975 crop sorghum loan and purchase program was published in the Federal Register (39 FR 26159).

Six responses were received from interested parties. These responses included requests ranging from an increase in price support to the elimination of the price support program. Recommendations were also received to change the loan maturity date to the anniversary date of the loan.

After consideration of all responses, it has been determined that loan and purchase rates for 1975 crop sorghum on a national average will remain the same as in 1974. Support rates at the county level reflect adjustments necessary to reflect changes in rail freight structure and historical prices received by farmers by State and districts. Loans will no longer have identical maturity dates but will mature on the last day of the eleventh calendar month following the month in which the loan is made. Other operating provisions for the 1975 crop remain the same as those for the 1974 crop.

The General Regulations Governing Price Support for the 1970 and Subsequent Crops, published at 35 FR 7363 and 7781, and any amendments thereto, and the 1970 and Subsequent Crops Sorghum Loan and Purchase Program Regulations, published at 35 FR 10745 and any amendments to such regulations, are further supplemented for the 1975 crop of sorghum.

The material previously appearing in § 1421.236 has been deleted and §§ 1421.237 and 1421.238 have been renumbered and shall remain in full force and effect as to the crops to which it is applicable.

Sec.
1421.235 Availability.
1421.236 Maturity of loans.
1421.237 Loan and purchase rates and discounts.

Authority: Secs. 4, 5, 62 Stat. 1070, as amended (15 U.S.C. 714 b and c); Secs. 105, 401, 63 Stat. 1051, as amended (7 U.S.C. 1441 note, 1421)

### § 1421.235 Availability.

(a) *Loans.* A producer desiring to participate in the program through loans must request a loan on his 1975 crop of eligible sorghum on or before May 31, 1976.

(b) *Purchases.* A producer desiring to offer eligible 1975 crop sorghum not under loan for purchase must execute and deliver to the county ASCS office on or before April 30, 1977, a Purchase Agreement (Form CCC-614) indicating the approximate quantity of 1975 crop sorghum he will sell to CCC. Delivery of the commodity will not be accepted before April 30, 1977.

### § 1421.236 Maturity of loans.

Loans mature on the last day of the eleventh calendar month following the month in which the loan is disbursed or upon such earlier date as CCC may make demand for payment. The final maturity date for all loans shall be on demand but not later than April 30, 1977.

### § 1421.237 Loan and purchase rates and discounts.

(a) *Basic rates (counties).* Basic county rates for loan and settlement purposes are established for sorghum U.S. No. 2 or better and are as follows:

| County | Rate per hundredweight |
|---|---|
| ALABAMA | |
| All counties | $1.87 |

ARIZONA

| County | Rate per hundredweight | County | Rate per hundredweight |
|---|---|---|---|
| Apache | $1.84 | Mohave | $2.32 |
| Cochise | 2.06 | Navajo | 1.84 |
| Coconino | 1.84 | Pima | 2.12 |
| Gila | 1.84 | Pinal | 2.20 |
| Graham | 1.88 | Santa Cruz | 2.09 |
| Greenlee | 1.84 | Yavapai | 1.84 |
| Maricopa | 2.20 | Yuma | 2.25 |

ARKANSAS

| | | | |
|---|---|---|---|
| Arkansas | $1.97 | Cross | $2.00 |
| Ashley | 1.93 | Dallas | 1.89 |
| Baxter | 1.85 | Desha | 1.95 |
| Benton | 1.78 | Drew | 1.93 |
| Boone | 1.81 | Faulkner | 1.91 |
| Bradley | 1.91 | Franklin | 1.83 |
| Calhoun | 1.90 | Fulton | 1.90 |
| Carroll | 1.78 | Garland | 1.85 |
| Chicot | 1.93 | Grant | 1.88 |
| Clark | 1.86 | Greene | 1.99 |
| Clay | 1.99 | Hempstead | 1.87 |
| Cleburne | 1.92 | Hot Spring | 1.86 |
| Cleveland | 1.93 | Howard | 1.84 |
| Columbia | 1.89 | Independence | 1.92 |
| Conway | 1.88 | Izard | 1.88 |
| Craighead | 2.00 | Jackson | 1.97 |
| Crawford | 1.83 | Jefferson | 1.93 |
| Crittenden | 2.01 | Johnson | 1.83 |

ARKANSAS—Continued

| County | Rate per hundredweight | County | Rate per hundredweight |
|---|---|---|---|
| Lafayette | $1.89 | Polk | $1.83 |
| Lawrence | 1.97 | Pope | 1.85 |
| Lee | 2.00 | Prairie | 1.97 |
| Lincoln | 1.94 | Pulaski | 1.93 |
| Little River | 1.86 | Randolph | 1.96 |
| Logan | 1.83 | St. Francis | 2.00 |
| Lonoke | 1.95 | Saline | 1.87 |
| Madison | 1.79 | Scott | 1.83 |
| Marion | 1.82 | Searcy | 1.84 |
| Miller | 1.88 | Sebastian | 1.83 |
| Mississippi | 2.01 | Sevier | 1.84 |
| Monroe | 1.99 | Sharp | 1.92 |
| Montgomery | 1.83 | Stone | 1.88 |
| Nevada | 1.87 | Union | 1.89 |
| Newton | 1.82 | Van Buren | 1.89 |
| Ouachita | 1.88 | Washington | 1.79 |
| Perry | 1.86 | White | 1.95 |
| Phillips | 2.00 | Woodruff | 1.98 |
| Pike | 1.85 | Yell | 1.85 |
| Poinsett | 2.00 | | |

CALIFORNIA

| | | | |
|---|---|---|---|
| Alameda | $2.34 | Riverside | $2.29 |
| Amador | 2.33 | Sacramento | 2.34 |
| Butte | 2.23 | San Benito | 2.27 |
| Calaveras | 2.33 | San Bernardino | 2.32 |
| Colusa | 2.27 | San Diego | 2.34 |
| Contra Costa | 2.34 | San Francisco | 2.34 |
| El Dorado | 2.32 | San Joaquin | 2.34 |
| Fresno | 2.26 | San Luis Obispo | 2.18 |
| Glenn | 2.24 | San Mateo | 2.33 |
| Humboldt | 1.98 | Santa Barbara | 2.21 |
| Imperial | 2.29 | Santa Clara | 2.34 |
| Inyo | 2.05 | Santa Cruz | 2.26 |
| Kern | 2.30 | Shasta | 2.04 |
| Kings | 2.25 | Sierra | 2.11 |
| Lake | 2.17 | Siskiyou | 2.00 |
| Lassen | 2.02 | Solano | 2.33 |
| Los Angeles | 2.34 | Sonoma | 2.29 |
| Madera | 2.29 | Stanislaus | 2.34 |
| Marin | 2.30 | Sutter | 2.27 |
| Mariposa | 2.29 | Tehama | 2.22 |
| Mendocino | 2.09 | Tulare | 2.24 |
| Merced | 2.30 | Tuolumne | 2.29 |
| Modoc | 2.01 | Ventura | 2.31 |
| Monterey | 2.21 | Yolo | 2.27 |
| Napa | 2.28 | Yuba | 2.26 |
| Orange | 2.34 | | |
| Placer | 2.27 | | |
| Plumas | 2.09 | | |

COLORADO

| | | | |
|---|---|---|---|
| Baca | $1.81 | All other counties | $1.77 |

DELAWARE

All counties $1.92

FLORIDA

All counties $1.87

GEORGIA

All counties $1.92

IDAHO

All counties $1.63

ILLINOIS

| | | | |
|---|---|---|---|
| Alexander | $1.92 | Massac | $1.91 |
| Bond | 1.84 | Monroe | 1.86 |
| Calhoun | 1.80 | Perry | 1.87 |
| Clay | 1.84 | Pope | 1.90 |
| Clinton | 1.86 | Pulaski | 1.92 |
| Edwards | 1.86 | Randolph | 1.87 |
| Franklin | 1.87 | Richland | 1.83 |
| Gallatin | 1.86 | Saint Clair | 1.86 |
| Hamilton | 1.88 | Saline | 1.88 |
| Hardin | 1.89 | Union | 1.91 |
| Jackson | 1.89 | Wabash | 1.83 |
| Jefferson | 1.86 | Washington | 1.86 |
| Jersey | 1.80 | Wayne | 1.86 |
| Johnson | 1.90 | White | 1.86 |
| Lawrence | 1.82 | Williamson | 1.89 |
| Madison | 1.86 | All other counties | 1.74 |
| Marion | 1.85 | | |

INDIANA

All counties $1.79

IOWA

| County | Rate per hundredweight | County | Rate per hundredweight |
|---|---|---|---|
| Adair | $1.77 | Mills | $1.78 |
| Adams | 1.76 | Monona | 1.76 |
| Appanoose | 1.73 | Monroe | 1.71 |
| Audubon | 1.75 | Montgomery | 1.78 |
| Calhoun | 1.70 | Page | 1.78 |
| Carroll | 1.74 | Pottawattamie | 1.78 |
| Cass | 1.78 | Ringgold | 1.77 |
| Clarke | 1.74 | Sac | 1.72 |
| Crawford | 1.75 | Shelby | 1.76 |
| Decatur | 1.75 | Taylor | 1.77 |
| Fremont | 1.78 | Union | 1.75 |
| Greene | 1.71 | Warren | 1.73 |
| Guthrie | 1.74 | Wayne | 1.74 |
| Harrison | 1.77 | Woodbury | 1.74 |
| Ida | 1.72 | All other counties | 1.66 |
| Lucas | 1.73 | | |
| Madison | 1.75 | | |
| Marion | 1.70 | | |

KANSAS

| | | | |
|---|---|---|---|
| Allen | $1.86 | Linn | $1.89 |
| Anderson | 1.87 | Logan | 1.71 |
| Atchison | 1.90 | Lyon | 1.83 |
| Barber | 1.77 | McPherson | 1.77 |
| Barton | 1.73 | Marion | 1.77 |
| Bourbon | 1.87 | Marshall | 1.82 |
| Brown | 1.87 | Meade | 1.76 |
| Butler | 1.77 | Miami | 1.89 |
| Chase | 1.82 | Mitchell | 1.76 |
| Chautauqua | 1.81 | Montgomery | 1.85 |
| Cherokee | 1.85 | Morris | 1.81 |
| Cheyenne | 1.70 | Morton | 1.76 |
| Clark | 1.77 | Nemaha | 1.84 |
| Clay | 1.78 | Neosho | 1.86 |
| Cloud | 1.78 | Ness | 1.72 |
| Coffey | 1.84 | Norton | 1.73 |
| Comanche | 1.77 | Osage | 1.85 |
| Cowley | 1.77 | Osborne | 1.75 |
| Crawford | 1.86 | Ottawa | 1.77 |
| Decatur | 1.72 | Pawnee | 1.73 |
| Dickinson | 1.77 | Phillips | 1.74 |
| Doniphan | 1.86 | Pottawatomie | 1.84 |
| Douglas | 1.88 | Pratt | 1.75 |
| Edwards | 1.73 | Rawlins | 1.71 |
| Elk | 1.81 | Reno | 1.75 |
| Ellis | 1.73 | Republic | 1.78 |
| Ellsworth | 1.75 | Rice | 1.75 |
| Finney | 1.74 | Riley | 1.82 |
| Ford | 1.77 | Rooks | 1.75 |
| Franklin | 1.89 | Rush | 1.73 |
| Geary | 1.81 | Russell | 1.73 |
| Gove | 1.73 | Saline | 1.77 |
| Graham | 1.73 | Scott | 1.71 |
| Grant | 1.74 | Sedgwick | 1.77 |
| Gray | 1.76 | Seward | 1.76 |
| Greeley | 1.70 | Shawnee | 1.87 |
| Greenwood | 1.82 | Sheridan | 1.73 |
| Hamilton | 1.72 | Sherman | 1.70 |
| Harper | 1.77 | Smith | 1.75 |
| Harvey | 1.77 | Stafford | 1.73 |
| Haskel | 1.75 | Stanton | 1.74 |
| Hodgeman | 1.75 | Stevens | 1.76 |
| Jackson | 1.88 | Sumner | 1.77 |
| Jefferson | 1.89 | Thomas | 1.71 |
| Jewell | 1.76 | Trego | 1.73 |
| Johnson | 1.89 | Wabaunsee | 1.84 |
| Kearny | 1.72 | Wallace | 1.70 |
| Kingman | 1.76 | Washington | 1.78 |
| Kiowa | 1.75 | Wichita | 1.71 |
| Labette | 1.85 | Wilson | 1.85 |
| Lane | 1.71 | Woodson | 1.84 |
| Levenworth | 1.90 | Wyandotte | 1.90 |
| Lincoln | 1.76 | | |

KENTUCKY

All counties $1.87

LOUISIANA

All parishes $1.89

MICHIGAN

All counties $1.74

MINNESOTA

All counties $1.69

MISSISSIPPI

All counties $1.87

MISSOURI

| County | Rate per hundredweight | County | Rate per hundredweight |
|---|---|---|---|
| Adair | $1.73 | Livingston | $1.86 |
| Andrew | 1.86 | McDonald | 1.76 |
| Atchison | 1.78 | Macon | 1.77 |
| Audrain | 1.78 | Madison | 1.89 |
| Barry | 1.76 | Maries | 1.77 |
| Barton | 1.80 | Marion | 1.72 |
| Bates | 1.86 | Mercer | 1.78 |
| Benton | 1.80 | Miller | 1.78 |
| Bollinger | 1.89 | Mississippi | 1.91 |
| Boone | 1.77 | Moniteau | 1.76 |
| Buchanan | 1.88 | Monroe | 1.77 |
| Butler | 1.95 | Montgomery | 1.79 |
| Caldwell | 1.88 | Morgan | 1.80 |
| Callaway | 1.76 | New Madrid | 1.94 |
| Camden | 1.79 | Newton | 1.76 |
| Cape Girardeau | 1.90 | Nodaway | 1.81 |
| Carroll | 1.87 | Oregon | 1.88 |
| Carter | 1.89 | Osage | 1.77 |
| Cass | 1.88 | Ozark | 1.83 |
| Cedar | 1.79 | Pemiscot | 1.99 |
| Charlton | 1.83 | Perry | 1.88 |
| Christian | 1.77 | Pettis | 1.80 |
| Clark | 1.68 | Phelps | 1.77 |
| Clay | 1.90 | Pike | 1.77 |
| Clinton | 1.89 | Platte | 1.90 |
| Cole | 1.76 | Polk | 1.77 |
| Cooper | 1.80 | Pulaski | 1.77 |
| Crawford | 1.79 | Putnam | 1.76 |
| Dade | 1.76 | Ralls | 1.73 |
| Dallas | 1.79 | Randolph | 1.78 |
| Daviess | 1.83 | Ray | 1.90 |
| De Kalb | 1.83 | Reynolds | 1.84 |
| Dent | 1.82 | Ripley | 1.94 |
| Douglas | 1.82 | Saint Charles | 1.82 |
| Dunklin | 1.98 | Saint Clair | 1.82 |
| Franklin | 1.82 | Sainte Genevieve | 1.87 |
| Gasconade | 1.77 | Saint Francois | 1.86 |
| Gentry | 1.79 | Saint Louis | 1.83 |
| Greene | 1.76 | Saline | 1.84 |
| Grundy | 1.82 | Schuyler | 1.70 |
| Harrison | 1.78 | Scotland | 1.68 |
| Henry | 1.84 | Scott | 1.90 |
| Hickory | 1.80 | Shannon | 1.84 |
| Holt | 1.81 | Shelby | 1.74 |
| Howard | 1.80 | Stoddard | 1.92 |
| Howell | 1.85 | Stone | 1.77 |
| Iron | 1.88 | Sullivan | 1.76 |
| Jackson | 1.90 | Taney | 1.79 |
| Jasper | 1.79 | Texas | 1.79 |
| Jefferson | 1.86 | Vernon | 1.83 |
| Johnson | 1.86 | Warren | 1.81 |
| Knox | 1.72 | Washington | 1.85 |
| Laclede | 1.79 | Wayne | 1.92 |
| Lafayette | 1.88 | Webster | 1.76 |
| Lawrence | 1.76 | Worth | 1.79 |
| Lewis | 1.70 | Wright | 1.78 |
| Lincoln | 1.80 | | |
| Linn | 1.80 | | |

NEBRASKA

| | | | |
|---|---|---|---|
| Antelope | $1.74 | Otoe | $1.77 |
| Burt | 1.77 | Pawnee | 1.79 |
| Butler | 1.77 | Pierce | 1.75 |
| Cass | 1.78 | Platte | 1.75 |
| Colfax | 1.76 | Polk | 1.75 |
| Cuming | 1.76 | Richardson | 1.81 |
| Dodge | 1.77 | Saline | 1.77 |
| Douglas | 1.78 | Sarpy | 1.78 |
| Gage | 1.78 | Saunders | 1.77 |
| Hall | 1.73 | Seward | 1.77 |
| Hamilton | 1.74 | Stanton | 1.76 |
| Jefferson | 1.75 | Thayer | 1.73 |
| Johnson | 1.78 | Thurston | 1.76 |
| Lancaster | 1.77 | Washington | 1.78 |
| Madison | 1.75 | York | 1.76 |
| Merrick | 1.73 | All other counties | 1.72 |
| Nemaha | 1.79 | | |

NEVADA

All counties $1.79

NEW MEXICO

| | | | |
|---|---|---|---|
| Chaves | $1.84 | Guadalupe | $1.83 |
| Curry | 1.86 | Harding | 1.65 |
| De Baca | 1.83 | Hidalgo | 1.90 |

NEW MEXICO—Continued

| County | Rate per hundredweight | County | Rate per hundredweight |
|---|---|---|---|
| Lea | $1.86 | Roosevelt | $1.86 |
| Luna | 1.90 | All other counties | 1.82 |
| Quay | 1.86 | | |

NORTH CAROLINA

All counties $1.92

NORTH DAKOTA

All counties $1.64

OHIO

All counties $1.79

OKLAHOMA

| | | | |
|---|---|---|---|
| Adair | $1.89 | Le Flore | $1.95 |
| Alfalfa | 1.87 | Lincoln | 1.96 |
| Atoka | 1.97 | Logan | 1.93 |
| Beaver | 1.83 | Love | 1.97 |
| Beckham | 1.92 | McClain | 1.97 |
| Blaine | 1.93 | McCurtain | 1.95 |
| Bryan | 1.97 | McIntosh | 1.95 |
| Caddo | 1.97 | Major | 1.88 |
| Canadian | 1.96 | Marshall | 1.98 |
| Carter | 1.97 | Mayes | 1.89 |
| Cherokee | 1.94 | Murray | 1.97 |
| Choctaw | 1.97 | Muskogee | 1.95 |
| Cimarron | 1.83 | Noble | 1.90 |
| Cleveland | 1.97 | Nowata | 1.87 |
| Coal | 1.97 | Okfuskee | 1.95 |
| Comanche | 1.97 | Oklahoma | 1.96 |
| Cotton | 1.97 | Okmulgee | 1.95 |
| Craig | 1.87 | Osage | 1.88 |
| Creek | 1.95 | Ottawa | 1.87 |
| Custer | 1.93 | Pawnee | 1.91 |
| Delaware | 1.89 | Payne | 1.93 |
| Dewey | 1.88 | Pittsburg | 1.96 |
| Ellis | 1.87 | Pontotoc | 1.97 |
| Garfield | 1.90 | Pottawatomie | 1.96 |
| Garvin | 1.97 | Pushmataha | 1.97 |
| Grady | 1.97 | Roger Mills | 1.88 |
| Grant | 1.86 | Rogers | 1.89 |
| Greer | 1.93 | Seminole | 1.96 |
| Harmon | 1.93 | Sequoyah | 1.94 |
| Harper | 1.83 | Stephens | 1.97 |
| Haskell | 1.95 | Texas | 1.83 |
| Hughes | 1.96 | Tillman | 1.93 |
| Jackson | 1.93 | Tulsa | 1.94 |
| Jefferson | 1.97 | Wagoner | 1.93 |
| Johnston | 1.97 | Washington | 1.86 |
| Kay | 1.86 | Washita | 1.95 |
| Kingfisher | 1.93 | Woods | 1.87 |
| Kiowa | 1.96 | Woodward | 1.85 |
| Latimer | 1.96 | | |

OREGON

All counties $1.78

PENNSYLVANIA

All counties $1.92

SOUTH CAROLINA

All counties $1.92

SOUTH DAKOTA

| | | | |
|---|---|---|---|
| Bon Homme | $1.73 | Union | $1.75 |
| Clay | 1.75 | Yankton | 1.75 |
| Hutchinson | 1.72 | All other counties | 1.71 |
| Lincoln | 1.74 | | |
| Turner | 1.72 | | |

TENNESSEE

| | | | |
|---|---|---|---|
| Shelby | $1.99 | All other counties | $1.87 |

TEXAS

| | | | |
|---|---|---|---|
| Anderson | $2.04 | Bastrop | $2.04 |
| Andrews | 1.84 | Baylor | 1.94 |
| Angelina | 2.09 | Bee | 2.16 |
| Aransas | 2.17 | Bell | 2.01 |
| Archer | 1.94 | Bexar | 2.04 |
| Armstrong | 1.87 | Blanco | 2.05 |
| Atascosa | 2.09 | Borden | 1.86 |
| Austin | 2.14 | Bosque | 1.97 |
| Bailey | 1.86 | Bowie | 1.94 |
| Bandera | 2.05 | Brazoria | 2.17 |

TEXAS—Continued

| County | Rate per hundredweight | County | Rate per hundredweight |
|---|---|---|---|
| Brazos | $2.08 | Harrison | $1.95 |
| Brewster | 1.76 | Hartley | 1.82 |
| Briscoe | 1.88 | Haskell | 1.94 |
| Brooks | 2.15 | Hays | 2.04 |
| Brown | 1.95 | Hemphill | 1.85 |
| Burleson | 2.06 | Henderson | 2.00 |
| Burnet | 2.04 | Hidalgo | 2.18 |
| Caldwell | 2.05 | Hill | 1.98 |
| Calhoun | 2.13 | Hockley | 1.86 |
| Callahan | 1.94 | Hood | 1.97 |
| Cameron | 2.21 | Hopkins | 1.96 |
| Camp | 1.95 | Houston | 2.09 |
| Carson | 1.87 | Howard | 1.86 |
| Cass | 1.94 | Hudspeth | 1.77 |
| Castro | 1.86 | Hunt | 1.97 |
| Chambers | 2.21 | Hutchinson | 1.82 |
| Cherokee | 2.01 | Irion | 1.87 |
| Childress | 1.92 | Jack | 1.97 |
| Clay | 1.96 | Jackson | 2.09 |
| Cochran | 1.86 | Jasper | 2.11 |
| Coke | 1.91 | Jeff Davis | 1.77 |
| Coleman | 1.94 | Jefferson | 2.21 |
| Collin | 1.97 | Jim Hogg | 2.12 |
| Collingsworth | 1.91 | Jim Wells | 2.18 |
| Colorado | 2.11 | Johnson | 1.97 |
| Comal | 2.04 | Jones | 1.94 |
| Comanche | 1.97 | Karnes | 2.14 |
| Concho | 1.95 | Kaufman | 1.97 |
| Cooke | 1.97 | Kendall | 2.05 |
| Coryell | 1.98 | Kennedy | 2.15 |
| Cottle | 1.92 | Kent | 1.92 |
| Crane | 1.83 | Kerr | 2.04 |
| Crockett | 1.81 | Kimble | 1.99 |
| Crosby | 1.89 | King | 1.92 |
| Culberson | 1.76 | Kinney | 1.97 |
| Dallam | 1.82 | Kleberg | 2.18 |
| Dallas | 1.97 | Knox | 1.94 |
| Dawson | 1.86 | Lamar | 1.96 |
| Deaf Smith | 1.86 | Lamb | 1.86 |
| Delta | 1.96 | Lampasas | 1.99 |
| Denton | 1.97 | La Salle | 2.01 |
| DeWitt | 2.10 | Lavaca | 2.07 |
| Dickens | 1.92 | Lee | 2.06 |
| Dimmit | 1.98 | Leon | 2.04 |
| Donley | 1.87 | Liberty | 2.17 |
| Duval | 2.12 | Limestone | 2.02 |
| Eastland | 1.97 | Lipscomb | 1.82 |
| Ector | 1.82 | Live Oak | 2.14 |
| Edwards | 1.95 | Llano | 2.01 |
| Ellis | 1.97 | Loving | 1.82 |
| El Paso | 1.76 | Lubbock | 1.86 |
| Erath | 1.97 | Lynn | 1.86 |
| Falls | 2.03 | McCulloch | 1.95 |
| Fannin | 1.97 | McLennan | 2.01 |
| Fayette | 2.06 | McMullen | 2.05 |
| Fisher | 1.92 | Madison | 2.08 |
| Floyd | 1.88 | Marion | 1.95 |
| Foard | 1.92 | Martin | 1.86 |
| Fort Bend | 2.17 | Mason | 1.99 |
| Franklin | 1.95 | Matagorda | 2.15 |
| Freestone | 2.02 | Maverick | 1.91 |
| Frio | 2.00 | Medina | 2.03 |
| Gaines | 1.86 | Menard | 1.95 |
| Galveston | 2.21 | Midland | 1.84 |
| Garza | 1.88 | Milam | 2.05 |
| Gillespie | 2.03 | Mills | 1.97 |
| Glasscock | 1.85 | Mitchell | 1.89 |
| Goliad | 2.16 | Montague | 1.97 |
| Gonzales | 2.06 | Montgomery | 2.18 |
| Gray | 1.87 | Moore | 1.82 |
| Grayson | 1.98 | Morris | 1.95 |
| Gregg | 1.94 | Motley | 1.90 |
| Grimes | 2.13 | Nacogdoches | 2.02 |
| Guadalupe | 2.04 | Navarro | 2.01 |
| Hale | 1.86 | Newton | 2.11 |
| Hall | 1.90 | Nolan | 1.92 |
| Hamilton | 1.97 | Nueces | 2.21 |
| Hansford | 1.82 | Ochiltree | 1.82 |
| Hardeman | 1.92 | Oldham | 1.86 |
| Hardin | 2.21 | Orange | 2.17 |
| Harris | 2.21 | Palo Pinto | 1.97 |

TEXAS—Continued

| County | Rate per hundredweight | County | Rate per hundredweight |
|---|---|---|---|
| Panola | $2.01 | Swisher | $1.86 |
| Parker | 1.97 | Tarrant | 1.97 |
| Parmer | 1.86 | Taylor | 1.94 |
| Pecos | 1.81 | Terrell | 1.81 |
| Polk | 2.14 | Terry | 1.86 |
| Potter | 1.86 | Throckmorton | 1.95 |
| Presidio | 1.76 | Titus | 1.95 |
| Rains | 1.96 | Tom Green | 1.91 |
| Randall | 1.86 | Travis | 2.04 |
| Reagan | 1.84 | Trinity | 2.10 |
| Real | 2.02 | Tyler | 2.11 |
| Red River | 1.95 | Upshur | 1.95 |
| Reeves | 1.83 | Upton | 1.82 |
| Refugio | 2.18 | Uvalde | 2.02 |
| Roberts | 1.83 | Val Verde | 1.92 |
| Robertson | 2.04 | Van Zandt | 1.96 |
| Rockwall | 1.97 | Victoria | 2.13 |
| Runnels | 1.92 | Walker | 2.13 |
| Rusk | 1.98 | Waller | 2.15 |
| Sabine | 2.06 | Ward | 1.83 |
| San Augustine | 2.06 | Washington | 2.14 |
| San Jacinto | 2.12 | Webb | 1.99 |
| San Patricio | 2.21 | Wharton | 2.13 |
| San Saba | 1.96 | Wheeler | 1.88 |
| Schleicher | 1.88 | Wichita | 1.93 |
| Scurry | 1.89 | Wilbarger | 1.93 |
| Shackelford | 1.95 | Willacy | 2.20 |
| Shelby | 2.02 | Williamson | 2.04 |
| Sherman | 1.81 | Wilson | 2.09 |
| Smith | 1.98 | Winkler | 1.82 |
| Somervell | 1.97 | Wise | 1.97 |
| Starr | 2.14 | Wood | 1.96 |
| Stephens | 1.97 | Yoakum | 1.86 |
| Sterling | 1.87 | Young | 1.97 |
| Stonewall | 1.94 | Zapata | 2.11 |
| Sutton | 1.93 | Zavala | 1.96 |

UTAH

All counties $1.76

VIRGINIA

All counties $1.92

WASHINGTON

All counties $1.78

WISCONSIN

All counties 1.69

WYOMING

All counties 1.68

(b) *Discounts.* The basic county rate shall be adjusted as applicable by discounts as follows:

| | Cents per bushel |
|---|---|
| (1) Class: Mixed sorghum | 3 |
| (2) Grade: | |
| U.S. No. 3 (not over 13 percent moisture) | 3 |
| U.S. No. 3 (not over 14 percent moisture) | 5 |
| Smutty | 5 |
| (3) Weed control law (where required by § 1421.25) | 15 |

(4) Other factors: Amounts determined by CCC to represent market discounts for quality factors not specified above which affect the value of the sorghum, such as (but not limited to) moisture, heat damage, test weight, weevily, musty, sour, stones, weathered, discolored. Such discounts will be established not later than the time delivery of sorghum to CCC begins and will thereafter be adjusted from time to time as CCC determines appropriate to reflect changes in market conditions. Producers may obtain schedules of such factors and discounts at county ASCS offices approximately 1 month prior to maturity date.

NOTE: Discounts are cumulative except only one grade discount shall be applied.

Effective date: July 30, 1975.

Signed at Washington, D.C., on July 21, 1975.

E. J. PERSON,
*Acting Executive Vice President, Commodity Credit Corporation.*

[FR Doc.75-19468 Filed 7-29-75;8:45 am]

---

[CCC Grain Price Support Regs., 1975 Crop Wheat Supp.]

## PART 1421—GRAINS AND SIMILARLY HANDLED COMMODITIES

### Subpart—1975 Crop Wheat Loan and Purchase Program

On November 27, 1974, the U.S. Department of Agriculture announced loan and purchase rates for the 1975 crop of wheat on a national average of $1.37 per bushel the same as in effect for 1974. The Department also announced that loans will no longer have identical maturity dates but will mature on the last day of the eleventh calendar month following the month in which the loan is made. Other operating provisions for the 1975 crop remain the same as those for the 1974 crop.

In view of the need for an early announcement of the 1975 wheat program and inasmuch as wheat is currently being harvested in many parts of the wheat-producing area, it has been determined that compliance with the notice of proposed rulemaking and public participation procedure is impracticable and contrary to the public interest. Therefore, this regulation is being issued without following such procedure.

The General Regulations Governing Price Support for the 1970 and Subsequent Crops published at 35 FR 7363 and 7781, and the 1970 and Subsequent Crops Wheat Loan and Purchase Program Regulations, published at 35 FR 8204 and 35 FR 9106, and any amendments to such regulations are further supplemented for the 1975 crop of wheat. The material previously appearing in § 1421.486 has been deleted and §§ 1421.487 and 1421.488 have been renumbered and remain in full force and effect as to the crops to which it is applicable.

Sec.
1421.485 Availability.
1421.486 Maturity of loans.
1421.487 Ineligible classes.
1421.488 Loan and purchase rates.

AUTHORITY: Secs. 4 and 5, 62 Stat. 1070, as amended (15 U.S.C. 714b and c); secs. 107, 401, 63 Stat. 1051, as amended (7 U.S.C. 1445a, 1421)

### § 1421.485 Availability.

(a) *Loans.* A producer desiring to participate in the program through loans must request a loan on his 1975 crop of eligible wheat on or before March 31, 1976.

(b) *Purchases.* Producers desiring to offer eligible 1975 crop wheat not under loan for purchase must execute and deliver to the county ASCS office on or before February 28, 1977, a purchase agreement (Form CCC-614) indicating the approximate quantity of 1975 crop wheat they will sell to CCC.

### § 1421.486 Maturity of loans.

Loans mature on the last day of the eleventh calendar month following the month in which the loan is disbursed or upon such earlier date as CCC may make demand for payment. The final maturity date for all loans shall be on demand but not later than February 28, 1977.

### § 1421.487 Ineligible classes.

The class, Red Durum Wheat, shall not be eligible for loan or purchase.

### § 1421.488 Loan and purchase rates.

(a) *Basic loan and purchase rates (counties).* Basic rates per bushel for loan and settlement purposes for wheat are established for wheat grading U.S. No. 1 and are as follows:

| County | Rate per bushel |
|---|---|
| **ALABAMA** | |
| Mobile | $1.55 |
| All other counties | $1.36 |
| **ARIZONA** | |
| All counties | $1.41 |
| **ARKANSAS** | |
| All counties | $1.37 |

**CALIFORNIA**

| County | Rate per bushel | County | Rate per bushel |
|---|---|---|---|
| Alameda | $1.57 | Riverside | $1.49 |
| Alpine | 1.39 | Sacramento | 1.57 |
| Amador | 1.52 | San Benito | 1.50 |
| Butte | 1.46 | San Bernardino | 1.58 |
| Calaveras | 1.52 | San Diego | 1.57 |
| Colusa | 1.51 | San Francisco | 1.57 |
| Contra Costa | 1.52 | San Joaquin | 1.57 |
| El Dorado | 1.51 | San Luis Obispo | 1.46 |
| Fresno | 1.47 | San Mateo | 1.57 |
| Glenn | 1.45 | Santa Barbara | 1.47 |
| Humboldt | 1.30 | Santa Clara | 1.51 |
| Imperial | 1.49 | Santa Cruz | 1.52 |
| Inyo | 1.45 | Shasta | 1.31 |
| Kern | 1.52 | Sierra | 1.36 |
| Kings | 1.49 | Siskiyou | 1.30 |
| Lake | 1.45 | Solano | 1.52 |
| Lassen | 1.30 | Sonoma | 1.49 |
| Los Angeles | 1.57 | Stanislaus | 1.54 |
| Madera | 1.50 | Sutter | 1.51 |
| Marin | 1.50 | Tehama | 1.41 |
| Mariposa | 1.50 | Tulare | 1.49 |
| Mendocino | 1.39 | Tuolumne | 1.50 |
| Merced | 1.53 | Ventura | 1.54 |
| Modoc | 1.30 | Yolo | 1.52 |
| Monterey | 1.47 | Yuba | 1.51 |
| Napa | 1.51 | | |
| Orange | 1.57 | | |
| Placer | 1.51 | | |
| Plumas | 1.34 | | |

**COLORADO**

| County | Rate per bushel | County | Rate per bushel |
|---|---|---|---|
| Adams | $1.23 | Douglas | $1.23 |
| Alamosa | 1.20 | Eagle | 1.17 |
| Arapahoe | 1.23 | Elbert | 1.23 |
| Archuleta | 1.17 | El Paso | 1.23 |
| Baca | 1.26 | Fremont | 1.22 |
| Bent | 1.23 | Garfield | 1.17 |
| Boulder | 1.22 | Grand | 1.20 |
| Chaffee | 1.20 | Huerfano | 1.24 |
| Cheyenne | 1.24 | Jackson | 1.20 |
| Conejos | 1.20 | Jefferson | 1.22 |
| Costilla | 1.20 | Kiowa | 1.24 |
| Crowley | 1.23 | Kit Carson | 1.24 |
| Custer | 1.22 | La Plata | 1.14 |
| Delta | 1.14 | Larimer | 1.23 |
| Denver | 1.23 | Las Animas | 1.26 |
| Dolores | 1.14 | Lincoln | 1.23 |

**COLORADO—Continued**

| County | Rate per bushel | County | Rate per bushel |
|---|---|---|---|
| Logan | $1.23 | Rio Blanco | $1.17 |
| Mesa | 1.14 | Rio Grande | 1.20 |
| Moffat | 1.20 | Saguache | 1.20 |
| Montezuma | 1.14 | San Miguel | 1.14 |
| Montrose | 1.14 | Sedgwick | 1.23 |
| Morgan | 1.23 | Summit | 1.17 |
| Otero | 1.23 | Summit | 1.17 |
| Ouray | 1.14 | Teller | 1.22 |
| Phillips | 1.23 | Washington | 1.23 |
| Pitkin | 1.14 | Weld | 1.23 |
| Prowers | 1.25 | Yuma | 1.24 |
| Pueblo | 1.23 | | |
| **CONNECTICUT** | | | |
| All counties | $1.39 | | |
| **DELAWARE** | | | |
| All counties | $1.42 | | |
| **FLORIDA** | | | |
| All counties | $1.36 | | |
| **GEORGIA** | | | |
| All counties | $1.26 | | |

**IDAHO**

| County | Rate per bushel | County | Rate per bushel |
|---|---|---|---|
| Ada | $1.30 | Gem | $1.30 |
| Adams | 1.30 | Gooding | 1.30 |
| Bannock | 1.28 | Idaho | 1.38 |
| Bear Lake | 1.26 | Jefferson | 1.25 |
| Benewah | 1.41 | Jerome | 1.30 |
| Bingham | 1.26 | Kootenai | 1.39 |
| Blaine | 1.26 | Latah | 1.41 |
| Boise | 1.30 | Lemhi | 1.25 |
| Bonner | 1.38 | Lewis | 1.38 |
| Bonneville | 1.25 | Lincoln | 1.29 |
| Boundary | 1.31 | Madison | 1.25 |
| Butte | 1.25 | Minidoka | 1.29 |
| Camas | 1.27 | Nez Perce | 1.41 |
| Canyon | 1.30 | Oneida | 1.29 |
| Caribou | 1.27 | Owyhee | 1.29 |
| Cassia | 1.29 | Payette | 1.30 |
| Clark | 1.23 | Power | 1.29 |
| Clearwater | 1.39 | Shoshone | 1.25 |
| Custer | 1.25 | Teton | 1.24 |
| Elmore | 1.29 | Twin Falls | 1.30 |
| Franklin | 1.29 | Valley | 1.29 |
| Fremont | 1.24 | Washington | 1.30 |

**ILLINOIS**

| County | Rate per bushel | County | Rate per bushel |
|---|---|---|---|
| Adams | $1.38 | Henry | $1.43 |
| Alexander | 1.41 | Iroquois | 1.46 |
| Bond | 1.44 | Jackson | 1.43 |
| Boone | 1.46 | Jasper | 1.39 |
| Brown | 1.38 | Jefferson | 1.44 |
| Bureau | 1.44 | Jersey | 1.45 |
| Calhoun | 1.45 | Jo Daviess | 1.43 |
| Carroll | 1.43 | Johnson | 1.41 |
| Cass | 1.40 | Kane | 1.46 |
| Champaign | 1.44 | Kankakee | 1.46 |
| Christian | 1.42 | Kendall | 1.46 |
| Clark | 1.40 | Knox | 1.42 |
| Clay | 1.40 | Lake | 1.46 |
| Clinton | 1.44 | La Salle | 1.46 |
| Coles | 1.40 | Lawrence | 1.39 |
| Cook | 1.46 | Lee | 1.45 |
| Crawford | 1.39 | Livingston | 1.45 |
| Cumberland | 1.40 | Logan | 1.40 |
| De Kalb | 1.46 | McDonough | 1.40 |
| De Witt | 1.40 | McHenry | 1.46 |
| Douglas | 1.41 | McLean | 1.42 |
| Du Page | 1.46 | Macon | 1.40 |
| Edgar | 1.42 | Macoupin | 1.44 |
| Edwards | 1.40 | Madison | 1.45 |
| Effingham | 1.42 | Marion | 1.44 |
| Fayette | 1.43 | Marshall | 1.43 |
| Ford | 1.44 | Mason | 1.38 |
| Franklin | 1.44 | Massac | 1.40 |
| Fulton | 1.42 | Menard | 1.38 |
| Gallatin | 1.37 | Mercer | 1.42 |
| Greene | 1.44 | Monroe | 1.45 |
| Grundy | 1.46 | Montgomery | 1.44 |
| Hamilton | 1.38 | Morgan | 1.42 |
| Hancock | 1.38 | Moultrie | 1.41 |
| Hardin | 1.37 | Ogle | 1.44 |
| Henderson | 1.40 | Peoria | 1.42 |

ILLINOIS—continued

| County | Rate per bushel | County | Rate per bushel |
|---|---|---|---|
| Perry | $1.44 | Stark | $1.42 |
| Piatt | 1.40 | Stephenson | 1.45 |
| Pike | 1.39 | Tazewell | 1.40 |
| Pope | 1.38 | Union | 1.41 |
| Pulaski | 1.41 | Vermilion | 1.45 |
| Putnam | 1.43 | Wabash | 1.39 |
| Randolph | 1.45 | Warren | 1.42 |
| Richland | 1.39 | Washington | 1.44 |
| Rock Island | 1.43 | Wayne | 1.40 |
| Saint Clair | 1.45 | White | 1.37 |
| Saline | 1.38 | Whiteside | 1.44 |
| Sangamon | 1.42 | Will | 1.46 |
| Schuyler | 1.38 | Williamson | 1.42 |
| Scott | 1.40 | Winnebago | 1.45 |
| Shelby | 1.42 | Woodford | 1.42 |

INDIANA

| | | | |
|---|---|---|---|
| Adams | $1.39 | Lawrence | $1.40 |
| Allen | 1.39 | Madison | 1.39 |
| Bartholomew | 1.39 | Marion | 1.39 |
| Benton | 1.44 | Marshall | 1.42 |
| Blackford | 1.39 | Martin | 1.40 |
| Boone | 1.38 | Miami | 1.38 |
| Brown | 1.39 | Monroe | 1.39 |
| Carroll | 1.42 | Montgomery | 1.40 |
| Cass | 1.42 | Morgan | 1.38 |
| Clark | 1.44 | Newton | 1.45 |
| Clay | 1.40 | Noble | 1.39 |
| Clinton | 1.38 | Ohio | 1.39 |
| Crawford | 1.44 | Orange | 1.42 |
| Daviess | 1.40 | Owen | 1.39 |
| Dearborn | 1.39 | Parke | 1.42 |
| Decatur | 1.39 | Perry | 1.40 |
| De Kalb | 1.39 | Pike | 1.40 |
| Delaware | 1.39 | Porter | 1.46 |
| Dubois | 1.41 | Posey | 1.37 |
| Elkhart | 1.41 | Pulaski | 1.45 |
| Fayette | 1.39 | Putnam | 1.38 |
| Floyd | 1.44 | Randolph | 1.39 |
| Fountain | 1.42 | Ripley | 1.39 |
| Franklin | 1.39 | Rush | 1.39 |
| Fulton | 1.42 | St. Joseph | 1.44 |
| Gibson | 1.39 | Scott | 1.42 |
| Grant | 1.38 | Shelby | 1.39 |
| Greene | 1.40 | Spencer | 1.40 |
| Hamilton | 1.39 | Starke | 1.45 |
| Hancock | 1.39 | Steuben | 1.39 |
| Harrison | 1.44 | Sullivan | 1.40 |
| Hendricks | 1.38 | Switzerland | 1.40 |
| Henry | 1.39 | Tippecanoe | 1.41 |
| Howard | 1.38 | Tipton | 1.38 |
| Huntington | 1.38 | Union | 1.39 |
| Jackson | 1.40 | Vanderburgh | 1.39 |
| Jasper | 1.45 | Vermillion | 1.42 |
| Jay | 1.39 | Vigo | 1.41 |
| Jefferson | 1.42 | Wabash | 1.38 |
| Jennings | 1.40 | Warren | 1.44 |
| Johnson | 1.38 | Warrick | 1.40 |
| Knox | 1.39 | Washington | 1.42 |
| Kosciusko | 1.42 | Wayne | 1.39 |
| Lagrange | 1.38 | Wells | 1.39 |
| Lake | 1.46 | White | 1.44 |
| La Porte | 1.46 | Whitley | 1.38 |

IOWA

| | | | |
|---|---|---|---|
| Pottawattamie | $1.47 | All other counties | $1.35 |

KANSAS

| | | | |
|---|---|---|---|
| Allen | $1.42 | Comanche | $1.29 |
| Anderson | 1.45 | Cowley | 1.35 |
| Atchison | 1.47 | Crawford | 1.41 |
| Barber | 1.31 | Decatur | 1.27 |
| Barton | 1.31 | Dickinson | 1.35 |
| Bourbon | 1.43 | Doniphan | 1.45 |
| Brown | 1.45 | Douglas | 1.46 |
| Butler | 1.35 | Edwards | 1.31 |
| Chase | 1.38 | Elk | 1.38 |
| Chatauqua | 1.38 | Ellis | 1.31 |
| Cherokee | 1.40 | Ellsworth | 1.34 |
| Cheyenne | 1.24 | Finney | 1.26 |
| Clark | 1.28 | Ford | 1.29 |
| Clay | 1.36 | Franklin | 1.46 |
| Cloud | 1.36 | Geary | 1.38 |
| Coffey | 1.43 | Gove | 1.29 |

KANSAS—continued

| County | Rate per bushel | County | Rate per bushel |
|---|---|---|---|
| Graham | $1.31 | Osage | $1.43 |
| Grant | 1.25 | Osborne | 1.34 |
| Gray | 1.28 | Ottawa | 1.35 |
| Greeley | 1.23 | Pawnee | 1.31 |
| Greenwood | 1.38 | Phillips | 1.31 |
| Hamilton | 1.25 | Pottawatomie | 1.42 |
| Harper | 1.33 | Pratt | 1.31 |
| Harvey | 1.34 | Rawlins | 1.24 |
| Haskell | 1.26 | Reno | 1.34 |
| Hodgeman | 1.30 | Republic | 1.36 |
| Jackson | 1.44 | Rice | 1.34 |
| Jefferson | 1.46 | Riley | 1.40 |
| Jewell | 1.35 | Rooks | 1.32 |
| Johnson | 1.47 | Rush | 1.31 |
| Kearny | 1.25 | Russell | 1.32 |
| Kingman | 1.34 | Saline | 1.35 |
| Kiowa | 1.31 | Scott | 1.25 |
| Labette | 1.40 | Sedgwick | 1.34 |
| Lane | 1.29 | Seward | 1.27 |
| Leavenworth | 1.47 | Shawnee | 1.44 |
| Lincoln | 1.34 | Sheridan | 1.28 |
| Linn | 1.45 | Sherman | 1.23 |
| Logan | 1.25 | Smith | 1.34 |
| Lyon | 1.40 | Stafford | 1.31 |
| McPherson | 1.34 | Stanton | 1.25 |
| Marion | 1.34 | Stevens | 1.27 |
| Marshall | 1.40 | Sumner | 1.34 |
| Meade | 1.28 | Thomas | 1.25 |
| Miami | 1.46 | Trego | 1.31 |
| Mitchell | 1.34 | Wabaunsee | 1.41 |
| Mongomery | 1.40 | Wallace | 1.23 |
| Morris | 1.38 | Washington | 1.38 |
| Morton | 1.27 | Wichita | 1.24 |
| Nemaha | 1.42 | Wilson | 1.40 |
| Neosho | 1.41 | Woodson | 1.41 |
| Ness | 1.30 | Wyandotte | 1.47 |
| Norton | 1.31 | | |

KENTUCKY

| | | | |
|---|---|---|---|
| Jefferson | $1.45 | All other counties | $1.37 |

LOUISIANA

| | | | |
|---|---|---|---|
| East Baton Rouge | $1.55 | St. Charles | $1.55 |
| Jefferson | 1.55 | West Baton Rouge | 1.55 |
| Orleans | 1.55 | All other parishes | 1.40 |

MAINE

| | |
|---|---|
| All counties | $1.35 |

MARYLAND

| | | | |
|---|---|---|---|
| Baltimore City | $1.55 | All other counties | $1.42 |

MASSACHUSETTS

| | |
|---|---|
| All counties | 1.38 |

MICHIGAN

| | | | |
|---|---|---|---|
| Alcona | $1.29 | Grand Traverse | $1.29 |
| Alger | 1.30 | Gratiot | 1.35 |
| Allegan | 1.38 | Hillsdale | 1.40 |
| Alpena | 1.26 | Houghton | 1.30 |
| Antrim | 1.26 | Huron | 1.36 |
| Arenac | 1.30 | Ingham | 1.37 |
| Baraga | 1.30 | Ionia | 1.35 |
| Barry | 1.37 | Iosco | 1.30 |
| Bay | 1.34 | Iron | 1.30 |
| Benzie | 1.29 | Isabella | 1.34 |
| Berrien | 1.42 | Jackson | 1.38 |
| Branch | 1.39 | Kalamazoo | 1.38 |
| Calhoun | 1.38 | Kalkaska | 1.29 |
| Cass | 1.39 | Kent | 1.35 |
| Charlevoix | 1.25 | Keweenaw | 1.30 |
| Cheboygan | 1.25 | Lake | 1.31 |
| Chippewa | 1.30 | Lapeer | 1.37 |
| Clare | 1.32 | Leelanau | 1.28 |
| Clinton | 1.35 | Lenawee | 1.41 |
| Crawford | 1.29 | Livingston | 1.38 |
| Delta | 1.30 | Luce | 1.30 |
| Dickinson | 1.30 | Mackinac | 1.30 |
| Eaton | 1.37 | Macomb | 1.40 |
| Emmet | 1.23 | Manistee | 1.30 |
| Genesee | 1.37 | Marquette | 1.30 |
| Gladwin | 1.32 | Mason | 1.33 |
| Gogebic | 1.30 | | |

MICHIGAN—continued

| County | Rate per bushel | County | Rate per bushel |
|---|---|---|---|
| Mecosta | $1.34 | Otsego | $1.26 |
| Menominee | 1.30 | Ottawa | 1.35 |
| Midland | 1.34 | Presque Isle | 1.25 |
| Missaukee | 1.29 | Roscommon | 1.29 |
| Monroe | 1.43 | Saginaw | 1.37 |
| Montcalm | 1.35 | Saint Clair | 1.40 |
| Montmorency | 1.26 | Saint Joseph | 1.39 |
| Muskegon | 1.35 | Sanilac | 1.37 |
| Newaygo | 1.33 | Schoolcraft | 1.30 |
| Oakland | 1.40 | Shiawassee | 1.37 |
| Oceana | 1.33 | Tuscola | 1.37 |
| Ogemaw | 1.30 | Van Buren | 1.38 |
| Ontonagon | 1.30 | Washtenaw | 1.40 |
| Osceola | 1.31 | Wayne | 1.40 |
| Ostoda | 1.29 | Wexford | 1.29 |

MINNESOTA

| | | | |
|---|---|---|---|
| Aitkin | $1.56 | Marshall | $1.43 |
| Anoka | 1.56 | Martin | 1.52 |
| Becker | 1.46 | Meeker | 1.56 |
| Beltrami | 1.49 | Mille Lacs | 1.55 |
| Benton | 1.55 | Morrison | 1.54 |
| Big Stone | 1.47 | Mower | 1.54 |
| Blue Earth | 1.54 | Murray | 1.49 |
| Brown | 1.54 | Nicollet | 1.56 |
| Carlton | 1.56 | Nobles | 1.48 |
| Carver | 1.56 | Norman | 1.44 |
| Cass | 1.52 | Olmsted | 1.54 |
| Chippewa | 1.50 | Otter Tail | 1.49 |
| Chisago | 1.56 | Pennington | 1.45 |
| Clay | 1.44 | Pine | 1.55 |
| Clearwater | 1.48 | Pipestone | 1.46 |
| Cottonwood | 1.51 | Polk | 1.45 |
| Crow Wing | 1.54 | Pope | 1.52 |
| Dakota | 1.56 | Ramsey | 1.56 |
| Dodge | 1.56 | Red Lake | 1.45 |
| Douglas | 1.51 | Redwood | 1.52 |
| Faribault | 1.53 | Renville | 1.54 |
| Fillmore | 1.52 | Rice | 1.56 |
| Freeborn | 1.52 | Rock | 1.46 |
| Goodhue | 1.56 | Roseau | 1.41 |
| Grant | 1.49 | Saint Louis | 1.56 |
| Hennepin | 1.56 | Scott | 1.56 |
| Houston | 1.49 | Sherburne | 1.56 |
| Hubbard | 1.49 | Sibley | 1.56 |
| Isanti | 1.56 | Stearns | 1.54 |
| Itasca | 1.54 | Steele | 1.54 |
| Jackson | 1.50 | Stevens | 1.50 |
| Kanabec | 1.55 | Swift | 1.50 |
| Kandiyohi | 1.54 | Todd | 1.52 |
| Kittson | 1.40 | Traverse | 1.46 |
| Koochiching | 1.50 | Wabasha | 1.55 |
| Lac Qui Parle | 1.48 | Wadena | 1.50 |
| Lake of the Woods | 1.44 | Waseca | 1.55 |
| Le Sueur | 1.56 | Washington | 1.56 |
| Lincoln | 1.46 | Watonwan | 1.53 |
| Lyon | 1.49 | Wilkin | 1.46 |
| McLeod | 1.56 | Winona | 1.53 |
| Mahnomen | 1.46 | Wright | 1.56 |
| | | Yellow Medicine | 1.50 |

MISSISSIPPI

| | | | |
|---|---|---|---|
| Harrison | $1.55 | All other counties | $1.36 |
| Jackson | 1.55 | | |

MISSOURI

| | | | |
|---|---|---|---|
| Adair | $1.34 | Carter | $1.36 |
| Andrew | 1.45 | Cass | 1.44 |
| Atchison | 1.40 | Cedar | 1.38 |
| Audrain | 1.39 | Charlton | 1.40 |
| Barry | 1.35 | Christian | 1.32 |
| Barton | 1.39 | Clark | 1.35 |
| Bates | 1.43 | Clay | 1.45 |
| Benton | 1.39 | Clinton | 1.45 |
| Bollinger | 1.38 | Cole | 1.37 |
| Boone | 1.36 | Cooper | 1.36 |
| Buchanan | 1.47 | Crawford | 1.39 |
| Butler | 1.37 | Dade | 1.37 |
| Caldwell | 1.44 | Dallas | 1.34 |
| Callaway | 1.38 | Daviess | 1.42 |
| Camden | 1.37 | De Kalb | 1.44 |
| Cape Girardeau | 1.38 | Dent | 1.37 |
| Carroll | 1.42 | Douglas | 1.32 |
| | | Dunklin | 1.36 |

MISSOURI—continued

| County | Rate per bushel | County | Rate per bushel |
|---|---|---|---|
| Franklin | $1.45 | Osage | $1.38 |
| Gasconade | 1.42 | Ozark | 1.30 |
| Gentry | 1.41 | Pemiscot | 1.36 |
| Greene | 1.85 | Perry | 1.39 |
| Grundy | 1.39 | Pettis | 1.39 |
| Harrison | 1.41 | Phelps | 1.37 |
| Henry | 1.42 | Pike | 1.41 |
| Hickory | 1.39 | Platte | 1.45 |
| Holt | 1.43 | Polk | 1.37 |
| Howard | 1.36 | Pulaski | 1.35 |
| Howell | 1.30 | Putnam | 1.36 |
| Iron | 1.39 | Ralls | 1.38 |
| Jackson | 1.47 | Randolph | 1.37 |
| Jasper | 1.38 | Ray | 1.45 |
| Jefferson | 1.42 | Reynolds | 1.37 |
| Johnson | 1.43 | Ripley | 1.36 |
| Knox | 1.34 | St. Charles | 1.45 |
| Laclede | 1.34 | St. Clair | 1.40 |
| Lafayette | 1.45 | St. Genevieve | 1.40 |
| Lawrence | 1.35 | St. Francois | 1.40 |
| Lewis | 1.36 | St. Louis | 1.45 |
| Lincoln | 1.43 | Saline | 1.40 |
| Linn | 1.40 | Schuyler | 1.32 |
| Livingston | 1.41 | Scotland | 1.32 |
| McDonald | 1.35 | Scott | 1.37 |
| Macon | 1.37 | Shannon | 1.34 |
| Madison | 1.39 | Shelby | 1.37 |
| Maries | 1.40 | Stoddard | 1.37 |
| Marion | 1.38 | Stone | 1.35 |
| Mercer | 1.38 | Sullivan | 1.37 |
| Miller | 1.36 | Taney | 1.32 |
| Mississippi | 1.36 | Texas | 1.33 |
| Moniteau | 1.34 | Vernon | 1.41 |
| Monroe | 1.38 | Warren | 1.44 |
| Montgomery | 1.42 | Washington | 1.40 |
| Morgan | 1.37 | Wayne | 1.33 |
| New Madrid | 1.36 | Webster | 1.33 |
| Newton | 1.35 | Worth | 1.41 |
| Nodaway | 1.43 | Wright | 1.33 |
| Oregon | 1.34 | | |

MONTANA

| | | | |
|---|---|---|---|
| Beaverhead | $1.23 | Madison | $1.30 |
| Big Horn | 1.14 | Meagher | 1.28 |
| Blaine | 1.25 | Mineral | 1.30 |
| Broadwater | 1.29 | Missoula | 1.30 |
| Carbon | 1.25 | Musselshell | 1.25 |
| Carter | 1.25 | Park | 1.29 |
| Cascade | 1.28 | Petroleum | 1.25 |
| Chouteau | 1.28 | Phillips | 1.24 |
| Custer | 1.24 | Pondera | 1.28 |
| Daniels | 1.23 | Powder River | 1.24 |
| Dawson | 1.25 | Powell | 1.30 |
| Deer Lodge | 1.30 | Prairie | 1.25 |
| Fallon | 1.26 | Ravalli | 1.28 |
| Fergus | 1.27 | Richland | 1.25 |
| Flathead | 1.31 | Roosevelt | 1.24 |
| Gallatin | 1.30 | Rosebud | 1.23 |
| Garfield | 1.23 | Sanders | 1.30 |
| Glacier | 1.28 | Sheridan | 1.24 |
| Golden Valley | 1.27 | Silver Bow | 1.30 |
| Granite | 1.30 | Stillwater | 1.27 |
| Hill | 1.26 | Sweet Grass | 1.28 |
| Jefferson | 1.30 | Teton | 1.28 |
| Judith Basin | 1.27 | Toole | 1.27 |
| Lake | 1.30 | Treasure | 1.24 |
| Lewis and Clark | 1.26 | Valley | 1.23 |
| Liberty | 1.27 | Wheatland | 1.28 |
| Lincoln | 1.31 | Wibaux | 1.26 |
| McCone | 1.24 | Yellowstone | 1.25 |

NEBRASKA

| | | | |
|---|---|---|---|
| Adams | $1.34 | Cass | $1.46 |
| Antelope | 1.42 | Cedar | 1.43 |
| Arthur | 1.25 | Chase | 1.24 |
| Banner | 1.22 | Cherry | 1.28 |
| Blaine | 1.32 | Cheyenne | 1.22 |
| Boone | 1.42 | Clay | 1.35 |
| Box Butte | 1.22 | Colfax | 1.44 |
| Boyd | 1.39 | Cuming | 1.46 |
| Brown | 1.32 | Custer | 1.32 |
| Buffalo | 1.35 | Dakota | 1.46 |
| Burt | 1.47 | Dawes | 1.22 |
| Butler | 1.44 | Dawson | 1.34 |

NEBRASKA—continued

| County | Rate per bushel | County | Rate per bushel |
|---|---|---|---|
| Deuel | $1.23 | Madison | 1.42 |
| Dixon | 1.43 | Merrick | 1.39 |
| Dodge | 1.46 | Morrill | 1.22 |
| Douglas | 1.47 | Nance | 1.40 |
| Dundy | 1.24 | Nemaha | 1.40 |
| Fillmore | 1.37 | Nuckolls | 1.34 |
| Franklin | 1.32 | Otoe | 1.43 |
| Frontier | 1.27 | Pawnee | 1.42 |
| Furnas | 1.30 | Perkins | 1.24 |
| Gage | 1.40 | Phelps | 1.31 |
| Garden | 1.23 | Pierce | 1.42 |
| Garfield | 1.36 | Platte | 1.42 |
| Gosper | 1.30 | Polk | 1.41 |
| Grant | 1.25 | Red Willow | 1.27 |
| Greeley | 1.38 | Richardson | 1.43 |
| Hall | 1.36 | Rock | 1.35 |
| Hamilton | 1.38 | Saline | 1.39 |
| Harlan | 1.31 | Sarpy | 1.47 |
| Hayes | 1.26 | Saunders | 1.46 |
| Hitchcock | 1.26 | Scotts Bluff | 1.22 |
| Holt | 1.39 | Seward | 1.42 |
| Hooker | 1.26 | Sheridan | 1.23 |
| Howard | 1.36 | Sherman | 1.35 |
| Jefferson | 1.39 | Sioux | 1.20 |
| Johnson | 1.40 | Stanton | 1.44 |
| Kearney | 1.32 | Thayer | 1.37 |
| Keith | 1.25 | Thomas | 1.29 |
| Keya Paha | 1.34 | Thurston | 1.46 |
| Kimball | 1.22 | Valley | 1.35 |
| Knox | 1.42 | Washington | 1.47 |
| Lancaster | 1.43 | Wayne | 1.43 |
| Lincoln | 1.29 | Webster | 1.34 |
| Logan | 1.29 | Wheeler | 1.38 |
| Loup | 1.82 | York | 1.39 |
| McPherson | 1.28 | | |

NEVADA

| | |
|---|---|
| All counties | $1.32 |

NEW HAMPSHIRE

| | |
|---|---|
| All counties | $1.38 |

NEW JERSEY

| | |
|---|---|
| All counties | $1.42 |

NEW MEXICO

| | |
|---|---|
| All counties | $1.37 |

NEW YORK

| | |
|---|---|
| Albany | $1.55 |
| New York City | 1.55 |
| All other counties | 1.40 |

NORTH CAROLINA

| | |
|---|---|
| All counties | 1.36 |

NORTH DAKOTA

| | | | |
|---|---|---|---|
| Adams | $1.26 | McLean | $1.28 |
| Barnes | 1.41 | Mercer | 1.28 |
| Benson | 1.34 | Morton | 1.31 |
| Billings | 1.27 | Mountrail | 1.27 |
| Bottineau | 1.28 | Nelson | 1.40 |
| Bowman | 1.27 | Oliver | 1.29 |
| Burke | 1.26 | Pembina | 1.39 |
| Burleigh | 1.32 | Pierce | 1.31 |
| Cass | 1.43 | Ramsey | 1.36 |
| Cavalier | 1.35 | Ransom | 1.44 |
| Dickey | 1.41 | Renville | 1.28 |
| Divide | 1.24 | Richland | 1.45 |
| Dunn | 1.28 | Rolette | 1.31 |
| Eddy | 1.37 | Sargent | 1.44 |
| Emmons | 1.35 | Sheridan | 1.31 |
| Foster | 1.38 | Sioux | 1.30 |
| Golden Valley | 1.26 | Slope | 1.27 |
| Grand Forks | 1.42 | Stark | 1.29 |
| Grant | 1.30 | Steele | 1.41 |
| Griggs | 1.41 | Stutsman | 1.39 |
| Hettinger | 1.28 | Towner | 1.32 |
| Kidder | 1.35 | Traill | 1.42 |
| La Moure | 1.39 | Walsh | 1.41 |
| Logan | 1.37 | Ward | 1.27 |
| McHenry | 1.29 | Wells | 1.35 |
| McIntosh | 1.37 | Williams | 1.25 |
| McKenzie | 1.24 | | |

OHIO

| County | Rate per bushel | County | Rate per bushel |
|---|---|---|---|
| Adams | $1.40 | Licking | $1.44 |
| Allen | 1.41 | Logan | 1.40 |
| Ashland | 1.44 | Lorain | 1.44 |
| Ashtabula | 1.46 | Lucas | 1.43 |
| Athens | 1.43 | Madison | 1.40 |
| Auglaize | 1.40 | Mahoning | 1.46 |
| Belmont | 1.44 | Marion | 1.43 |
| Brown | 1.40 | Medina | 1.44 |
| Butler | 1.40 | Meigs | 1.40 |
| Carroll | 1.44 | Mercer | 1.40 |
| Champaign | 1.40 | Miami | 1.40 |
| Clark | 1.40 | Monroe | 1.44 |
| Clermont | 1.40 | Montgomery | 1.40 |
| Clinton | 1.40 | Morgan | 1.44 |
| Columbiana | 1.45 | Morrow | 1.44 |
| Coshocton | 1.44 | Muskingum | 1.44 |
| Crawford | 1.40 | Noble | 1.44 |
| Cuyahoga | 1.44 | Ottawa | 1.43 |
| Darke | 1.40 | Paulding | 1.40 |
| Defiance | 1.40 | Perry | 1.43 |
| Delaware | 1.43 | Pickaway | 1.43 |
| Erie | 1.43 | Pike | 1.40 |
| Fairfield | 1.43 | Portage | 1.44 |
| Fayette | 1.40 | Preble | 1.40 |
| Franklin | 1.43 | Putnam | 1.42 |
| Fulton | 1.42 | Richland | 1.44 |
| Gallia | 1.40 | Ross | 1.43 |
| Geauga | 1.46 | Sandusky | 1.43 |
| Greene | 1.40 | Scioto | 1.40 |
| Guernsey | 1.44 | Seneca | 1.43 |
| Hamilton | 1.40 | Shelby | 1.40 |
| Hancock | 1.43 | Stark | 1.44 |
| Hardin | 1.43 | Summit | 1.44 |
| Harrison | 1.44 | Trumbull | 1.46 |
| Henry | 1.42 | Tuscarawas | 1.44 |
| Highland | 1.40 | Union | 1.43 |
| Hocking | 1.43 | Van Wert | 1.40 |
| Holmes | 1.44 | Vinton | 1.43 |
| Huron | 1.43 | Warren | 1.40 |
| Jackson | 1.40 | Washington | 1.44 |
| Jefferson | 1.44 | Wayne | 1.44 |
| Knox | 1.44 | Williams | 1.40 |
| Lake | 1.46 | Wood | 1.43 |
| Lawrence | 1.40 | Wyandote | 1.43 |

OKLAHOMA

| | | | |
|---|---|---|---|
| Adair | $1.38 | Le Flore | $1.40 |
| Alfalfa | 1.36 | Lincoln | 1.40 |
| Atoka | 1.40 | Logan | 1.39 |
| Beaver | 1.31 | Love | 1.40 |
| Beckham | 1.39 | McClain | 1.40 |
| Blaine | 1.39 | McCurtain | 1.40 |
| Bryan | 1.40 | McIntosh | 1.40 |
| Caddo | 1.40 | Major | 1.38 |
| Canadian | 1.40 | Marshall | 1.40 |
| Carter | 1.40 | Mayes | 1.38 |
| Cherokee | 1.38 | Murray | 1.40 |
| Choctaw | 1.40 | Muskogee | 1.40 |
| Cimarron | 1.30 | Noble | 1.38 |
| Cleveland | 1.40 | Nowata | 1.38 |
| Coal | 1.40 | Okfuskee | 1.40 |
| Comanche | 1.40 | Oklahoma | 1.40 |
| Cotton | 1.40 | Okmulgee | 1.40 |
| Craig | 1.38 | Osage | 1.38 |
| Creek | 1.39 | Ottawa | 1.38 |
| Custer | 1.39 | Pawnee | 1.38 |
| Delaware | 1.38 | Payne | 1.39 |
| Dewey | 1.38 | Pittsburg | 1.40 |
| Ellis | 1.33 | Pontotoc | 1.40 |
| Garfield | 1.38 | Pottawatomie | 1.40 |
| Garvin | 1.40 | Pushmataha | 1.40 |
| Grady | 1.40 | Roger Mills | 1.37 |
| Grant | 1.36 | Rogers | 1.38 |
| Greer | 1.40 | Seminole | 1.40 |
| Harmon | 1.40 | Sequoyah | 1.40 |
| Harper | 1.31 | Stephens | 1.40 |
| Haskell | 1.40 | Texas | 1.31 |
| Hughes | 1.40 | Tillman | 1.40 |
| Jackson | 1.40 | Tulsa | 1.38 |
| Jefferson | 1.40 | Wagoner | 1.38 |
| Johnston | 1.40 | Washington | 1.38 |
| Kay | 1.37 | Washita | 1.39 |
| Kingfisher | 1.39 | Woods | 1.36 |
| Kiowa | 1.40 | Woodward | 1.36 |
| Latimer | 1.40 | | |

OREGON

| County | Rate per bushel | County | Rate per bushel |
|---|---|---|---|
| Baker | $1.40 | Lake | $1.35 |
| Benton | 1.46 | Lane | 1.44 |
| Clackamas | 1.53 | Lincoln | 1.36 |
| Clatsop | 1.60 | Linn | 1.47 |
| Columbia | 1.60 | Malheur | 1.30 |
| Coos | 1.26 | Marion | 1.51 |
| Crook | 1.44 | Morrow | 1.48 |
| Curry | 1.25 | Multnomah | 1.60 |
| Deschutes | 1.44 | Polk | 1.49 |
| Douglas | 1.30 | Sherman | 1.49 |
| Gilliam | 1.49 | Tillamook | 1.53 |
| Grant | 1.44 | Umatilla | 1.47 |
| Harney | 1.30 | Union | 1.42 |
| Hood River | 1.55 | Wallowa | 1.40 |
| Jackson | 1.30 | Wasco | 1.51 |
| Jefferson | 1.47 | Washington | 1.53 |
| Josephine | 1.30 | Wheeler | 1.46 |
| Klamath | 1.38 | Yamhill | 1.51 |

PENNSYLVANIA

| | |
|---|---|
| Philadelphia | $1.55 |
| All other counties | 1.40 |

RHODE ISLAND

| | |
|---|---|
| All counties | $1.39 |

SOUTH CAROLINA

| | |
|---|---|
| Charleston | $1.55 |
| All other counties | 1.36 |

SOUTH DAKOTA

| | | | |
|---|---|---|---|
| Aurora | $1.41 | Jackson | $1.32 |
| Beadle | 1.40 | Jerauld | 1.41 |
| Bennett | 1.28 | Jones | 1.34 |
| Bon Homme | 1.44 | Kingsbury | 1.41 |
| Brookings | 1.44 | Lake | 1.43 |
| Brown | 1.40 | Lawrence | 1.26 |
| Brule | 1.38 | Lincoln | 1.46 |
| Buffalo | 1.38 | Lyman | 1.35 |
| Butte | 1.26 | McCook | 1.44 |
| Campbell | 1.33 | McPherson | 1.37 |
| Charles Mix | 1.40 | Marshall | 1.44 |
| Clark | 1.40 | Meade | 1.27 |
| Clay | 1.46 | Mellette | 1.33 |
| Codington | 1.44 | Miner | 1.42 |
| Corson | 1.30 | Minnehaha | 1.45 |
| Custer | 1.20 | Moody | 1.45 |
| Davison | 1.41 | Pennington | 1.27 |
| Day | 1.44 | Perkins | 1.27 |
| Deuel | 1.47 | Potter | 1.35 |
| Dewey | 1.30 | Roberts | 1.46 |
| Douglas | 1.40 | Sanborn | 1.41 |
| Edmunds | 1.37 | Shannon | 1.27 |
| Fall River | 1.20 | Spink | 1.40 |
| Faulk | 1.39 | Stanley | 1.34 |
| Grant | 1.47 | Sully | 1.35 |
| Gregory | 1.37 | Todd | 1.32 |
| Haakon | 1.33 | Tripp | 1.35 |
| Hamlin | 1.43 | Turner | 1.45 |
| Hand | 1.40 | Union | 1.46 |
| Hanson | 1.41 | Walworth | 1.35 |
| Harding | 1.27 | Washabaugh | 1.32 |
| Hughes | 1.36 | Yankton | 1.42 |
| Hutchinson | 1.43 | Ziebach | 1.28 |
| Hyde | 1.38 | | |

TENNESSEE

| | | | |
|---|---|---|---|
| Shelby | $1.47 | All other counties | $1.36 |

TEXAS

| | | | |
|---|---|---|---|
| Andrews | $1.38 | Burnet | $1.50 |
| Archer | 1.43 | Caldwell | 1.52 |
| Armstrong | 1.38 | Calhoun | 1.56 |
| Atascosa | 1.52 | Callahan | 1.42 |
| Bailey | 1.38 | Carson | 1.38 |
| Bandera | 1.48 | Castro | 1.38 |
| Bastrop | 1.52 | Chambers | 1.62 |
| Baylor | 1.42 | Cherokee | 1.54 |
| Bee | 1.60 | Childress | 1.40 |
| Bell | 1.52 | Clay | 1.44 |
| Bexar | 1.52 | Cochran | 1.38 |
| Blanco | 1.50 | Coke | 1.39 |
| Borden | 1.38 | Coleman | 1.43 |
| Bosque | 1.49 | Collin | 1.47 |
| Bowie | 1.45 | Collingsworth | 1.38 |
| Briscoe | 1.38 | Comal | 1.52 |
| Brown | 1.46 | Comanche | 1.47 |
| Burleson | 1.57 | | |

TEXAS—Continued

| County | Rate per bushel | County | Rate per bushel |
|---|---|---|---|
| Concho | $1.44 | Live Oak | $1.58 |
| Cooke | 1.45 | Llano | 1.48 |
| Coryell | 1.51 | Loving | 1.38 |
| Cottle | 1.38 | Lubbock | 1.38 |
| Crosby | 1.38 | Lynn | 1.38 |
| Culberson | 1.38 | McCulloch | 1.46 |
| Dallam | 1.35 | McLennan | 1.51 |
| Dallas | 1.49 | Martin | 1.38 |
| Dawson | 1.38 | Mason | 1.46 |
| Deaf Smith | 1.38 | Maverick | 1.42 |
| Delta | 1.48 | Medina | 1.48 |
| Denton | 1.47 | Menard | 1.44 |
| DeWitt | 1.54 | Midland | 1.38 |
| Dickens | 1.38 | Milam | 1.55 |
| Dimmit | 1.46 | Mills | 1.47 |
| Donley | 1.38 | Mitchell | 1.39 |
| Eastland | 1.42 | Montague | 1.44 |
| Edwards | 1.42 | Moore | 1.35 |
| Ellis | 1.49 | Motley | 1.38 |
| El Paso | 1.38 | Navarro | 1.50 |
| Erath | 1.47 | Nolan | 1.39 |
| Falls | 1.51 | Nueces | 1.62 |
| Fannin | 1.45 | Ochiltree | 1.35 |
| Fisher | 1.39 | Oldham | 1.38 |
| Floyd | 1.38 | Palo Pinto | 1.44 |
| Foard | 1.40 | Parker | 1.46 |
| Frio | 1.50 | Parmer | 1.38 |
| Gaines | 1.38 | Pecos | 1.38 |
| Galveston | 1.62 | Potter | 1.38 |
| Garza | 1.38 | Presidio | 1.38 |
| Gillespie | 1.46 | Randall | 1.38 |
| Glasscock | 1.38 | Real | 1.44 |
| Goliad | 1.56 | Reeves | 1.38 |
| Gray | 1.38 | Refugio | 1.59 |
| Grayson | 1.45 | Roberts | 1.35 |
| Guadalupe | 1.52 | Robertson | 1.54 |
| Hale | 1.38 | Rockwall | 1.48 |
| Hall | 1.38 | Runnels | 1.42 |
| Hamilton | 1.49 | San Patricio | 1.62 |
| Hansford | 1.35 | San Saba | 1.47 |
| Hardeman | 1.40 | Schleicher | 1.40 |
| Harris | 1.62 | Scurry | 1.39 |
| Hartley | 1.35 | Shackelford | 1.42 |
| Haskell | 1.40 | Sherman | 1.35 |
| Hays | 1.52 | Somervell | 1.46 |
| Hemphill | 1.35 | Stephens | 1.43 |
| Hill | 1.49 | Sterling | 1.39 |
| Hockley | 1.38 | Stonewall | 1.38 |
| Hood | 1.46 | Sutton | 1.40 |
| Howard | 1.38 | Swisher | 1.38 |
| Hudspeth | 1.38 | Tarrant | 1.48 |
| Hunt | 1.48 | Taylor | 1.40 |
| Hutchinson | 1.35 | Terry | 1.38 |
| Irion | 1.40 | Throckmorton | 1.42 |
| Jack | 1.44 | Tom Green | 1.41 |
| Jackson | 1.52 | Travis | 1.52 |
| Jeff Davis | 1.38 | Uvalde | 1.45 |
| Jefferson | 1.58 | Van Zandt | 1.50 |
| Johnson | 1.48 | Victoria | 1.56 |
| Jones | 1.40 | Waller | 1.57 |
| Karnes | 1.56 | Ward | 1.38 |
| Kaufman | 1.50 | Wharton | 1.57 |
| Kendall | 1.50 | Wheeler | 1.38 |
| Kent | 1.38 | Wichita | 1.42 |
| Kerr | 1.46 | Wilbarger | 1.42 |
| Kimble | 1.44 | Williamson | 1.52 |
| King | 1.38 | Wilson | 1.54 |
| Kinney | 1.42 | Wise | 1.45 |
| Knox | 1.40 | Yoakum | 1.38 |
| Lamar | 1.45 | Young | 1.43 |
| Lamb | 1.38 | Zavala | 1.46 |
| Lampasas | 1.51 | | |
| Limestone | 1.51 | | |
| Lipscomb | 1.35 | | |

UTAH

| | |
|---|---|
| All counties | $1.32 |

VERMONT

| | |
|---|---|
| All counties | $1.38 |

VIRGINIA

| | | | |
|---|---|---|---|
| Chesapeake (Norfolk) | $1.55 | All other counties | $1.38 |

WASHINGTON

| County | Rate per bushel | County | Rate per bushel |
|---|---|---|---|
| Adams | $1.46 | Cowlitz | 1.60 |
| Asotin | 1.43 | Chelan | 1.48 |
| Benton | 1.48 | Clallam | 1.40 |
| Columbia | 1.47 | Clark | 1.60 |

WASHINGTON—Continued

| County | Rate per bushel | County | Rate per bushel |
|---|---|---|---|
| Douglas | $1.46 | Okanogan | $1.44 |
| Ferry | 1.38 | Pacific | 1.53 |
| Franklin | 1.47 | Pend Oreille | 1.33 |
| Garfield | 1.47 | Pierce | 1.60 |
| Grant | 1.46 | San Juan | 1.42 |
| Grays Harbor | 1.53 | Skagit | 1.50 |
| Island | 1.42 | Skamania | 1.56 |
| Jefferson | 1.42 | Snohomish | 1.55 |
| King | 1.60 | Spokane | 1.43 |
| Kitsap | 1.43 | Stevens | 1.38 |
| Kittitas | 1.50 | Thurston | 1.55 |
| Klickitat | 1.52 | Wahkiakum | 1.55 |
| Lewis | 1.56 | Walla Walla | 1.47 |
| Lincoln | 1.44 | Whatcom | 1.47 |
| Mason | 1.50 | Whitman | 1.44 |
| | | Yakima | 1.48 |

WEST VIRGINIA

| | |
|---|---|
| All counties | $1.41 |

WISCONSIN

| | | | |
|---|---|---|---|
| Douglas | $1.56 | All other counties | $1.34 |

WYOMING

| | |
|---|---|
| All counties | $1.22 |

(b) *Premiums and discounts.* The basic loan and purchase rates shall be adjusted as applicable by premiums and discounts as follows (all footnotes at end of each of paragraph):

(1) *Class premiums and discounts.*

| | Cents per bushel |
|---|---|
| (i) Premiums: | |
| Hard Amber Durum (U.S. No. 3 or better) | +5 |
| (ii) Discounts: | |
| Durum | −5 |
| Mixed Wheat (mixtures of classes other than contrasting classes) | −2 |
| Mixed Wheat (mixtures of contrasting classes) | −10 |

(2) *Grade premiums and discounts.*

| | |
|---|---|
| (i) Premium: | |
| Heavy, U.S. No. 3 or better (Hard Red Spring only) | +2 |
| (ii) Discounts: | |
| U.S. No. 2 | −1 |
| U.S. No. 3 | −3 |
| U.S. No. 4 | −6 |
| U.S. No. 5 | −9 |
| Smut-degree basis: | |
| Light smutty | −2 |
| Smutty | −6 |
| Garlic-degree basis: | |
| Light garlicky | −10 |
| Garlicky | −20 |

(3) *Protein premiums.* Applicable to grade U.S. No. 5 or better, Hard Red Winter, Hard Red Spring and Hard White Wheat.

| Protein content (percent): | |
|---|---|
| 12.0 to 12.4 | + 1½ |
| 12.5 to 12.9 | + 3 |
| 13.0 to 13.4 | + 4½ |
| 13.5 to 13.9 | + 6 |
| 14.0 to 14.4 | + 7½ |
| 14.5 to 14.9 | + 9 |
| 15.0 to 15.4 | +10½ |
| 15.5 to 15.9 | +12 |
| 16.0 to 16.4 | +13½ |
| 16.5 to 16.9 | +15 |
| 17.0 to 17.4 | +16½ |
| 17.5 and above | +18 |

(4) *Weed control discount (where required by § 1421.25)* 10

(5) *Other factors.* Amounts determined by CCC to represent market discounts for quality factors not specified above which affect the value of wheat, such as (but not limited to) moisture,

weevily, ergoty, stones, musty, sour, and heating. Such discounts will be established not later than the time delivery of wheat to CCC begins and will thereafter be adjusted from time to time as CCC determines appropriate to reflect changes in market conditions. Producers may obtain schedules of such factors and discounts at county ASCS offices.

NOTE: Premiums and discounts are cumulative except only one grade discount shall be applied.

Effective date: July 30, 1975.

Signed at Washington, D.C., on July 21, 1975.

E. J. PERSON,
*Acting Executive Vice President,*
*Commodity Credit Corporation.*

[FR Doc.75-19469 Filed 7-29-75;8:45 am]

## CHAPTER XVII—RURAL ELECTRIFICATION ADMINISTRATION, DEPARTMENT OF AGRICULTURE

## PART 1701—PUBLIC INFORMATION

### Appendix A—REA Bulletins

Part 1701, Title 7, is hereby amended to include revisions to the Appendix A listing and summary description of REA Bulletins and supplements thereto providing the program policies and requirements of the Rural Electrification Administration. The revisions reflect both new and revised REA Bulletins issued after publication in the FEDERAL REGISTER under proposed rulemaking procedures to secure public comment and participation.

No comments were received on the REA Bulletins and supplements thereto included in this update of the complete Appendix A listing published in the FEDERAL REGISTER of April 9, 1975 (40 FR 16074). Certain bulletins and supplements issued before the complete Appendix A, dated April 9, 1975, are included in this amendment because they were omitted, in error, from the April 9 listing.

The following listings of revised REA Bulletins and the summary descriptions of bulletins are replacements or additions, as specified, of listings in Appendix A to Part 1701 (40 FR 16074).

APPENDIX A—REA BULLETINS

JOINT RURAL ELECTRIFICATION AND TELEPHONE PROGRAM BULLETINS

| *REA bulletin number and date of last issuance* | *Description of content* |
|---|---|
| 168-7; 447-3; July 1973 (deletion). | The May 1975 revision of this bulletin concerning safety removed REA policy and rulemaking requirements from the publication. |

RURAL ELECTRIFICATION PROGRAM BULLETINS

| | |
|---|---|
| 20-22; February 1975 (added) | Added to correct the omission of this bulletin, concerning REA's loan guarantee program for electric borrowers, from the April 9, 1975, appendix A listing in the FEDERAL REGISTER. |
| 40-8; May 1973 (additional supplement). | A supplement to this bulletin dated February 1975 to announce the revision of REA Form 806, Specifications and Drawings for Underground Electric Distribution. |
| 43-5; July 1974 (additional supplements). | Added to correct the omission of supplements to this bulletin in the complete appendix A published in the FEDERAL REGISTER of April 9, 1975. The additions are supps. 1 and 2, dated October 1974 and January 1975, respectively, which amended the July 1974 basic list of electric materials acceptable to REA, and a supplement dated January 1975 providing guidelines for the procurement and use of foreign timber products by REA borrowers. |

RURAL TELEPHONE PROGRAM BULLETINS

| *REA bulletin number and date of issuance* | *Description of content* |
|---|---|
| 320-22; February 1975 (added) | Added to correct the omission of this bulletin, concerning REA's loan guarantee program for telephone borrowers, from the April 9, 1975, appendix A listing in the FEDERAL REGISTER. |
| 345-13; April 1974 (correction of issuance date). | Correction of error in last issuance date for this REA bulletin as published in appendix A dated April 9, 1975. |
| 345-50; May 1975 (replacing April 1971). | Specification of REA for trunk carrier multiplex equipment for telephone systems of REA borrowers. |
| 345-72; May 1975 (new) | Specification of REA for filled splice cases. |
| 360-1; February 1972 (correction of issuance date). | Correction to reflect last issuance date for this REA bulletin on supplemental telephone loan proposals. |
| 383-1; May 1967 (additional supplement). | A bulletin supplement dated April 1975 to announce revision of REA Form 511, Telephone System Construction Contract. |
| 447-1; October 1958 (deletion) | This bulletin concerning safety practices for REA telephone borrowers was superseded by the May 1975 revision of REA Bulletin 168-7: 447-3. |

Dated: July 23, 1975.

DAVID H. ASKEGAARD,
*Acting Administrator.*

[FR Doc.75-19791 Filed 7-29-75;8:45 am]

# proposed rules

This section of the FEDERAL REGISTER contains notices to the public of the proposed issuance of rules and regulations. The purpose of these notices is to give interested persons an opportunity to participate in the rulemaking prior to the adoption of the final rules.

## DEPARTMENT OF THE TREASURY

### Bureau of Alcohol, Tobacco and Firearms

### [ 27 CFR Part 194 ]

[Notice No. 282]

### LIQUOR DEALERS

### Proposed Amendment of Special Tax Returns Procedures

Notice is hereby given that the regulations set forth in tentative form below are proposed by the Director, Bureau of Alcohol, Tobacco and Firearms, with the approval of the Secretary of the Treasury or his delegate. This notice contains proposed miscellaneous amendments to Part 194 (Liquor Dealers) of Title 27 Code of Federal Regulations, the objectives being to provide an additional procedure for liquor dealers amending special tax returns which cover multiple locations when a change in location occurs; clarify provisions regarding the storing, mixing, and compounding of cocktails for consumption on dealers' premises; simplify the language exempting persons from special tax as wholesale dealers in liquors; and limit the instances where a receipt is issued for special tax remittances.

Liquor dealers who file special tax returns for multiple locations would be provided in § 194.151(a) with procedures for reporting a change of address for one or more of the locations covered by the return. Dealers falling into this category would be required to forward an attachment showing both the old and new address of any place of business which had been relocated, along with the amended return and the special tax stamp covering the location from which the business was moved. This should eliminate confusion as to which of the addresses of a liquor dealer engaging in business at multiple locations is being amended.

Conditions relating to the mixing and compounding of cocktails in advance of sale, and provisions as to the containers in which such mixtures may be stored pending consumption, are currently combined in § 194.73. To clarify these regulatory provisions, § 194.73 would be vacated and this information would be inserted in two new sections; § 194.38, controlling the mixing and compounding of alcoholic liquors, and § 194.264, regulating containers for the storage of mixed cocktails pending sale. Another section affected by this revision, § 194.251, would be amended to include a reference to new § 194.264.

The regulatory provisions in § 194.24 (c)(1) (i) and (ii) which exempt retail dealers selling to limited retail dealers from special tax as wholesale dealers in liquors, would be revised to clarify ambiguous language.

It has been administratively determined that no useful purpose is served by the routine issuance of a receipt on Form 809 for all special tax collections. Therefore, § 194.138 would be amended to limit the issuance of a receipt to instances where cash is received as a remittance or the dealer requests a receipt. The words "Form 809", describing the particular receipt used by alcohol, tobacco and firearms officers, are deleted from § 194.138 to eliminate any revision in the near future due to a possible change or replacement of the form.

Prior to final adoption of such regulations, consideration will be given to any comments or suggestions pertaining thereto which are submitted in writing, in duplicate, to the Director, Bureau of Alcohol, Tobacco and Firearms, Washington, D.C. 20226, on or before August 29, 1975. Written comments or suggestions which are not exempt from disclosure by the Bureau of Alcohol, Tobacco and Firearms, may be inspected by any person upon compliance with 27 CFR 71.22(d)(7). The provisions of 27 CFR 71.31(b) shall apply with respect to designation of portions of comments or suggestions as exempt from disclosure. Any interested person submitting written comments or suggestions who desires an opportunity to comment orally at a public hearing on these proposed regulations should submit his request, in writing, to the Director, Bureau of Alcohol, Tobacco and Firearms, within the 30-day period.

The proposed regulations are to be issued under the authority contained in section 26 U.S.C. 7805 (68A Stat. 917).

In consideration of the foregoing, it is proposed that 27 CFR Part 194 be amended as follows:

PARAGRAPH 1. Paragraph (c)(1) (i) and (ii) of § 194.24 are revised to clarify the language providing for the exemption of certain dealers from special tax as wholesale liquor dealers. As revised, § 194.24 (c)(1) (i) and (ii) read as follows:

**§ 194.24 Wholesale dealer in liquors.**

* * * * *

(c) *Persons exempt from special tax.* (1) * * *

(i) A retail dealer in liquors who, having paid the special tax as such dealer for the current fiscal year, consummates sales at his place of business of beer or wine, or both, to a limited retail dealer,

(ii) A retail dealer in beer who, having paid the special tax as such dealer for the current fiscal year, consummates sales at his place of business of beer to a limited retail dealer, or

* * * * *

(72 Stat. 1340 1344 (26 U.S.C. 5111, 5112, 5113, 5123))

PAR. 2. A new center heading, and a new § 194.38, dealing with the mixing of cocktails in advance of sale, are added immediately following § 194.35. As added, the new center heading and new § 194.38 read as follows:

SPECIAL TAX AS RECTIFIER

**§ 194.38 Mixing cocktails in advance of sale.**

A retail liquor dealer who mixes cocktails, or compounds any alcoholic liquors in advance of sale, except for the purpose of filling, for immediate consumption on the premises, orders received at the bar or in the expectation of the immediate receipt of such orders, shall pay special tax as a rectifier. (For further provisions, see § 194.264.)

(72 Stat. 1338, 1347 (26 U.S.C. 5081, 5143))

PAR. 3. The provisions of § 194.73 are being inserted into two new sections, § 194.38 and § 194.264; thus § 194.73 is hereby vacated and reserved.

**§ 194.73 [Reserved]**

PAR. 4. Section 194.138 is amended to limit the issuance of receipts on Form 809. As amended, § 194.138 reads as follows:

**§ 194.138 Receipt for taxes.**

An alcohol, tobacco and firearms officer will issue a receipt to a dealer if cash is received as a remittance in payment of special tax (including penalties and interest, if any), or for any type of remittance received if the dealer requests a receipt.

PAR. 5. Paragraph (a) of § 194.151 is amended to provide procedures for the amendment of special tax returns covering multiple locations. As amended, § 194.151(a) reads as follows:

**§ 194.151 Amended return, Form 11; endorsement on stamp.**

(a) *General.* A dealer who, during the taxable period for which special tax was paid, removes his business to a place other than that specified on his original special tax return on Form 11, and stated on his special tax stamp, shall, within 30 days from the date he begins to carry on such business at the new location, register the change with the director of the service center who issued the stamp, by filing a new return on Form 11, desig-

nated "Amended Return", setting forth the time when and the place to which such removal was made, and shall surrender the special tax stamp to the director of the service center for endorsement of the change in location: *Provided*, That a dealer who filed his original return, Form 11, under the provisions of § 194.106(b) may deliver the amended return and the stamp at any internal revenue office, or to any alcohol, tobacco and firearms officer inspecting the business, in lieu of submitting them directly to the director of the service center: *Provided further*, That a dealer who filed his original return under the provisions of § 194.106(c) shall forward with his amended return an attachment showing both the old and new address of any place of business which has been relocated, and the special tax stamp covering the location from which the business was removed. The director of the service center or the alcohol, tobacco and firearms officer receiving such return and stamp shall, if the return is submitted to him within the 30-day period, enter the proper endorsement on the stamp and return it to the taxpayer.

* * * * *

PAR. 6. Section 194.251 is amended to provide a reference to new § 194.264. As amended, § 194.251 reads as follows:

**§ 194.251 Strip stamps required on all bottles.**

Except as provided in §§ 194.264, 194.271, and 194.272, all distilled spirits in the possession of wholesale or retail dealers in liquors shall be in bottles or similar containers of a capacity of 1 gallon or less which shall bear the prescribed strip stamps evidencing bottling in compliance with internal revenue law. The strip stamps shall be affixed in such manner as to be broken when the containers are opened.

(72 Stat. 1358 (26 U.S.C. 5205))

PAR. 7. A new § 194.264 dealing with containers for storing mixed cocktails is added immediately following § 194.263. As added, new § 194.264 reads as follows:

**§ 194.264 Mixed cocktails.**

A retail liquor dealer who mixes cocktails or compounds any alcoholic liquors in advance of sale, as provided in § 194.38, may not use liquor bottles in which distilled spirits have been previously packaged for the storage of the mixture pending sale.

(72 Stat. 1374 (26 U.S.C. 5301))

Dated: July 3, 1975.

REX D. DAVIS,
*Director, Bureau of Alcohol, Tobacco and Firearms.*

Approved: July 23, 1975.

DAVID R. MACDONALD,
*Assistant Secretary of the Treasury.*

[FR Doc.75-19700 Filed 7-29-75;8:45 am]

## Comptroller of the Currency

**[ 12 CFR Part 14 ]**

**CHANGES IN CAPITAL STRUCTURE**

**Evaluation of Debt Issues as Additions to Capital Structure; Extension of Time for Comments**

On July 15, 1975 the Comptroller of the Currency published for comment in the FEDERAL REGISTER (40 FR 29724) Guideline-Criteria for Evaluating Debt Issues As Addition to a National Bank's Capital Structure.

The time by which such comments should be received is extended to not later than August 29, 1975.

JAMES E. SMITH,
*Comptroller of the Currency.*

[FR Doc.75-19809 Filed 7-29-75;8:45 am]

# DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION

## Federal Aviation Administration

**[ 14 CFR Part 71 ]**

[Airspace Docket No. 75-GL-47]

**CONTROL ZONE AND TRANSITION AREA**

**Proposed Alteration**

The Federal Aviation Administration is considering amending Part 71 of the Federal Aviation Regulations so as to alter the control zone and transition area at Mt. Vernon, Illinois.

Interested persons may participate in the proposed rule making by submitting such written data, views or arguments as they may desire. Communications should be submitted in triplicate to the Director, Great Lakes Region, Attention: Chief, Air Traffic Division, Federal Aviation Administration, 2300 East Devon, Des Plaines, Illinois 60018. All communications received on or before August 29, 1975, will be considered before action is taken on the proposed amendments. No public hearing is contemplated at this time, but arrangements for informal conferences with Federal Aviation Administration officials may be made by contacting the Regional Air Traffic Division Chief. Any data, views or arguments presented during such conferences must also be submitted in writing in accordance with this notice in order to become part of the record for consideration. The proposals contained in this notice may be changed in the light of comments received.

A public docket will be available for examination by interested persons in the Office of the Regional Counsel, Federal Aviation Administration, 2300 East Devon, Des Plaines, Illinois 60018.

A new instrument approach procedure has been developed for the Mt. Vernon-Outland Airport, Mt. Vernon, Illinois. Accordingly, it is necessary to alter the Mt. Vernon, Illinois control zone and transition area to adequately protect aircraft executing the new procedure.

In consideration of the foregoing, the Federal Aviation Administration proposes to amend Part 71 of the Federal Aviation Regulations as hereinafter set forth:

In § 71.171 (40 FR 354), the following control zone is amended to read:

MT. VERNON, ILLINOIS

Within a 5 mile radius of Mount Vernon-Outland Airport (latitude 38°19'23" N., longitude 88°51'33" W.); within 4.5 miles each side of the Mount Vernon VOR 044° radial, extending from the 5 mile radius zone to 10.5 miles northeast of the VOR. This control zone is effective during the specific dates and times established in advance by a Notice to Airmen. The effective date and time will thereafter be continuously published in the Airman's Information Manual.

In § 71.181 (40 FR 441), the following transition area is amended to read:

MT. VERNON, ILLINOIS

That airspace extending upward from 700 feet above the surface within a 7 mile radius of Mount Vernon-Outland Airport (latitude 38°19'23" N., longitude 88°51'33" W.); within 5 miles each side of the Mount Vernon VOR 044° radial, extending from the 7 miles radius area to 11.5 miles northeast of the VOR.

These amendments are proposed under the authority of section 307(a) of the Federal Aviation Act of 1958 (49 U.S.C. 1348), and of section 6(c) of the Department of Transportation Act (49 U.S.C. 1655(c)).

Issued in Des Plaines, Ill., on July 11, 1975.

H. W. POGGEMEYER,
*Acting Director, Great Lakes Region.*

[FR Doc.75-19693 Filed 7-29-75;8:45 am]

---

**[ 14 CFR Part 71 ]**

[Airspace Docket No. 75-GL-48]

**TRANSITION AREA**

**Proposed Designation**

The Federal Aviation Administration is considering amending Part 71 of the Federal Aviation Regulations so as to designate a transition area at Hallock, Minnesota.

Interested persons may participate in the proposed rule making by submitting such written data, views or arguments as they may desire. Communications should be submitted in triplicate to the Director, Great Lakes Region, Attention: Chief, Air Traffic Division, Federal Aviation Administration, 2300 East Devon, Des Plaines, Illinois 60018. All communications received on or before August 29, 1975, will be considered before action is taken on the proposed amendment. No public hearing is contemplated at this time, but arrangements for informal conferences with Federal Aviation Administration officials may be made by contacting the Regional Air Traffic Division Chief. Any data, views or arguments presented during such con-

ferences must also be submitted in writing in accordance with this notice in order to become part of the record for consideration. The proposal contained in this notice may be changed in the light of comments received.

A public docket will be available for examination by interested persons in the Office of the Regional Counsel, Federal Aviation Administration, 2300 East Devon, Des Plaines, Illinois 60018.

An instrument approach procedure has been developed for the Hallock Airport, Hallock, Minneosta. Consequently, it is necessary to provide controlled airspace protection for aircraft executing this new approach procedure by designating a transition area at Hallock, Minnesota.

In consideration of the foregoing, the Federal Aviation Administration proposes to amend Part 71 of the Federal Aviation Regulations as hereinafter set forth:

In § 71.181 (40 FR 441), the following transition area is added:

HALLOCK, MINNESOTA

That airspace extending upward from 700 feet above the surface within 5 miles northeast and 5 miles southwest of the Pembina VORTAC 135° radial extending from 6 miles southeast of the VORTAC to 22.5 miles southeast of the VORTAC and that airspace extending upward from 1200 feet above the surface 4.5 miles northeast and 7 miles southwest of the 315° radial and the 135° radial of Pembina VORTAC extending from 6 miles southeast of the VORTAC to 12.5 miles northwest of the VORTAC excluding that airspace north of latitude 49°00'00" N.; within 9.5 miles northeast and 4.5 miles southwest of the Pembina VORTAC 135° radial extending from 17.5 miles southeast of the VORTAC to 36 miles southeast of the VORTAC; and that airspace within a 29.5 mile radius of the Pembina VORTAC extending counterclockwise from the 183° radial to 4.5 miles southwest of and parallel to the 135° radial.

This amendment is proposed under the authority of section 307(a) of the Federal Aviation Act of 1958 (49 U.S.C. 1348), and of section 6(c) of the Department of Transportation Act (49 U.S.C. 1655(c)).

Issued in Des Plaines, Ill., on July 11, 1975.

H. W. POGGEMEYER,
*Acting Director,*
*Great Lakes Region.*

[FR Doc.75-19694 Filed 7-29-75;8:45 am]

---

## [ 14 CFR Part 71 ]

[Airspace Docket No. 75-GL-49]

## TRANSITION AREA

### Proposed Alteration

The Federal Aviation Administration is considering amending Part 71 of the Federal Aviation Regulations so as to alter the transition area at Flint, Michigan.

Interested persons may participate in the proposed rulemaking by submitting such written data, views, or arguments as they may desire. Communications should be submitted in triplicate to the Director, Great Lakes Region, Attention: Chief, Air Traffic Division, Federal Administration, 2300 East Devon, Des Plaines, Illinois 60018. All communications received on or before August 29, 1975, will be considered before action is taken on the proposed amendment. No public hearing is contemplated at this time, but arrangements for informal conferences with Federal Aviation Administration officials may be may be contacting the Regional Air Traffic Division Chief. Any data, views, or arguments presented during such conferences must also be submitted in writing in accordance with this notice in order to become part of the record for consideration. The proposal contained in this notice may be changed in the light of comments received.

A public docket will be available for examination by interested persons in the Office of the Regional Counsel, Federal Aviation Administration, 2300 East Devon, Des Plaines, Illinois 60018.

An instrument approach procedure has been developed for the Davison-Genova Airport, Flint, Michigan. Accordingly, it is necessary to alter the Flint, Michigan transition area to adequately protect the aircraft executing the new approach procedure.

In consideration of the foregoing, the Federal Aviation Administration proposes to amend Part 71 of the Federal Aviation Regulations as hereinafter set forth:

In § 71.181 (40 FR 441), the following transition area is amended to read:

FLINT, MICHIGAN

That airspace extending upward from 700 feet above the surface within a 12-mile radius of the Flint VOR, within 5 miles north and 8 miles south of the Flint ILS localizer west course, extending from the 12-mile radius area to 12 miles west of the outer marker; within a 4-mile radius of Owosso City Airport, (latitude 42°59'30" N., longitude 84°08'00" W.); within a 5½ mile radius of the Price Airport, (latitude 42°48'25" N., longitude 83°46'20" W.); and within a 5-mile radius of the Davison-Genova Airport, (latitude 43°01'45" N., longitude 83°31'34" W.); excluding the portion which overlies the Detroit, Michigan transition area.

This amendment is proposed under the authority of section 307(a) of the Federal Aviation Act of 1958 (49 U.S.C. 1348), and of section 6(c) of the Department of Transportation Act (49 U.S.C. 1655(c)).

Issued in Des Plaines, Ill., on July 11, 1975.

H. W. POGGEMEYER,
*Acting Director,*
*Great Lakes Region.*

[FR Doc.75-19695 Filed 7-29-75;8:45 am]

---

## Federal Highway Administration

## [ 49 CFR Part 393 ]

[Docket No. MC-66; Notice 75-11]

## SPECIFIC LOCATION OF REAR SIDE-MARKER LAMPS ON LARGE SEMI-TRAILERS AND FULL TRAILERS

### Advance Notice of Proposed Rulemaking

The Director of the Bureau of Motor Carrier Safety is considering rulemaking to require large semitrailers and full trailers operated in interstate or foreign commerce to locate their rear side-marker lamps at or near each lower rear corner on the sides of the trailer.

The purpose of the rulemaking is to provide the drivers of tractors pulling such trailers at night a guidelamp to constantly check the tracking of the rear end of the trailer. A quick glance into the sideview mirrors to spot the rear side-marker lamps assures the driver that the trailer is not fish-tailing, off-tracking, or beginning to jackknife.

Although the Director has previously recognized the need for such a rule change, the action has been initiated by a petition on March 6, 1975, from the International Brotherhood of Teamsters, Chauffeurs, Warehousemen and Helpers of America. Their petition further states that if the side-marker lamps are located in the lower rear corner of the trailer's sides, they are directly in the line of the tractor's mirrors and can be frequently checked with minimal distraction to the driver. If these lamps are at the upper rear corner of the trailer's sides, as allowed by 49 CFR 393.14(c), locating them in the mirror becomes much more difficult and time consuming for the truck driver, thus taking his eyes off the road for a longer period of time.

The petition also states that, in addition to the driver safety advantage, other carrier maintenance and safety benefits would accrue: (1) Repairing or replacing the lowered lamps would be faster and more economical and (2) less hazardous to the mechanic who would not have to use a ladder for repairing these lower rear side-marker lamps. Since current standardization of "tractor to trailer" and "trailer to trailer" electrical and brake connections would locate conduit connections slightly above the trailer beds, the lower location proposed for the rear side-marker lamps would be consistent with the grouping of safety inspection items at convenient elevations above the ground.

Finally, the petitioner believes the motoring public would benefit from the case of spotting eye-level rear side-marker lamps and having truck drivers spending more time watching the road instead of bobbing up and down to find such lamps in his mirrors.

The Director believes that this minor modification to § 393.14(c) would not work a hardship on the trailer industry since this section already permits, but does not require, this lighting location on current production when stipulated by the customer. The petitioner proposes that § 393.14(c) which presently reads:

* * * * *

(c) On each side, one side-marker lamp at or near the front; one side-marker lamp at or near the rear; one reflector * * *

Be amended to read:

§ 393.14 Lamps and reflectors, large semitrailers and full trailers.

* * * * *

(c) On each side, one side-marker lamp at or near the front; one side-marker lamp at or near the lower rear corner; one reflector * * *

There should be only a slight financial burden on manufacturers of new trailers by this proposed rule change. The Director invites comments from interested persons as to the need for this change. Comments are specifically requested as to whether the proposed rule change should apply to "trailers in use" and if so, when the change should become effective.

Comments on other pertinent related matters are also invited and will be given careful consideration.

Interested persons are invited to submit written data, views, or arguments pertaining to the proposed amendment. All comments submitted should refer to the docket number and notice number appearing at the top of this document. Comments should be submitted in three copies to the Director, Bureau of Motor Carrier Safety, Washington, D.C. 20590. All comments received before the close of business on October 22, 1975, will be considered before further action is taken. Comments will be available for examination by any interested person in the docket room of the Bureau of Motor Carrier Safety, Room 3401, 400 Seventh Street, SW., Washington, D.C., both before and after the closing date for comments.

This advance notice of proposed rulemaking is issued under the authority of section 204 of the Interstate Commerce Act as amended, (49 U.S.C. 304), section 6 of the Department of Transportation Act (49 U.S.C. 1655) and the delegations of authority by the Secretary of Transportation and the Federal Highway Administrator at 49 CFR 1.48 and 389.4, respectively.

Issued on July 17, 1975.

ROBERT A. KAYE,
*Director, Bureau of Motor Carrier Safety.*

[FR Doc.75-19715 Filed 7-29-75;8:45 am]

## VETERANS ADMINISTRATION

### [ 38 CFR Part 13 ]

### FIDUCIARY ACTIVITIES

### Proposed Regulatory Development

It is proposed to revise §§ 13.1 through 13.111 to change references to the Chief Attorney (now known as District Counsel) as the initiator of fiduciary actions of a nonlegal nature to the Veterans Services Officer; to eliminate authority pertaining to commitment, restoration and appointment of State court fiduciaries, which is now under the jurisdiction of the General Counsel; to conform citations to a redesignation of paragraphs in section 3202 of title 38, United States Code; to reflect substantive changes in section 102(b) of title 38, United States Code, which provides that the term "wife" includes the husband of any female veteran and the term "widow" includes the widower of any female veteran; to reflect changes in section 3202 of title 38, United States Code, which permit complete discretion in the selection of fiduciaries; and to reflect amendment to section 1701 of title 38, United States Code, which changes one of the definitions of a "guardian" from one who is legally vested with the care of the eligible person, to one who has been appointed by the Administrator to receive payment of Veterans Administration benefits for the use and benefit of the eligible person.

Interested persons are invited to submit written comments, suggestions or objections regarding the proposal to the Administrator of Veterans Affairs (271A), Veterans Administration, 810 Vermont Avenue NW., Washington, D.C. 20420. All relevant material received before August 29, 1975 will be considered. All written comments received will be available for public inspection at the above address only between the hours of 8 a.m. and 4:30 p.m. Monday through Friday (except holidays), during the mentioned 30-day period and for 10 days thereafter. Any person visiting Central Office for the purpose of inspecting any such comments will be received by the Central Office Veterans Assistance Unit in room 132. Such visitors to any VA field station will be informed that the records are available for inspection only in Central Office and furnished the address and the above room number.

Notice is also given that it is proposed to make any regulations that are adopted effective the date of final approval.

1. The title of Part 13 is changed from "Department of Veterans Benefits, Chief Attorneys" to "Department of Veterans Benefits, Fiduciary Activities" and §§ 13.1, 13.2 and 13.3 are revised to read as follows:

---

### PART 13—DEPARTMENT OF VETERANS BENEFITS, FIDUCIARY ACTIVITIES

§ 13.1 Authority.

The regulations in this part are issued pursuant to 38 U.S.C. 210 to reflect action under 38 U.S.C. 212 and to implement 38 U.S.C. 3101, 3202, 3203, 3311 and 5220. The duties, the delegations of authority, and all actions required of the Veterans Services Officer set forth in §§ 13.1 through 13.111 inclusive, are to be performed under the direction of, and authority vested in, the Director of the field station.

§ 13.2 Field examinations.

(a) *Authority to conduct; generally.* Field personnel in the Office of the Veterans Services Division and other employees who are qualified and designated by the field station Director are authorized, when assigned, to conduct investigations (field examinations) and examine witnesses upon any matter within the jurisdiction of the Veterans Administration, to take affidavits, to administer oaths and affirmations, to certify copies of public or private documents and to aid claimants in the preparation of claims.

(b) *Scope of field examinations; fiduciary activities.* Field examinations include but are not limited to the following:

(1) Matters involving the administration of estates and the welfare of beneficiaries of the Veterans Administration who are under legal disability or in need of supervision by the Veterans Services Officer.

(2) Matters involving the welfare and needs of dependents of incompetent beneficiaries.

(3) Recovery of amounts due the Government or General Post Fund under laws administered by the Veterans Administration.

§ 13.3 State legislation.

Field station Directors are authorized to cooperate with the affiliated organizations, legislative committees, and through the General Counsel with local and State bar associations, to the end that deficiencies of the State laws relating to Veterans Administration operations may be removed. No action to commit the Veterans Administration regarding any proposed legislation relating to fiduciary matters will be taken without the approval of the Chief Benefits Director or designee.

§§ 13.4, 13.50, 13.51, 13.52, 13.53, 13.54 [Revoked]

2. Sections 13.4, 13.50, 13.51, 13.52, 13.53 and 13.54 are revoked.

3. Sections 13.55, 13.56, 13.57, 13.58 and 13.59 are revised to read as follows:

§ 13.55 Veterans Services Officer to select and appoint or recommend for appointment the person or legal entity to receive Veterans Administration benefits in a fiduciary capacity.

(a) *Authority.* The Veterans Services Officer is authorized to select and appoint (or in the case of a court-appointed fiduciary, to recommend for appointment) the person or legal entity best suited to receive Veterans Administration benefits in a fiduciary capacity for a beneficiary who is mentally ill (incompetent) or under legal disability by reason of minority or court action, and beneficiary's dependents.

(b) *Payees.* Authorized payees include:

(1) The beneficiary (§ 13.56(c));

(2) The beneficiary under supervision (supervised direct payment) (§ 13.56(a) and (b));

(3) The wife or husband of an incompetent veteran (§ 13.57);

(4) The legal custodian of a beneficiary's Veterans Administration benefits (§ 13.58);

(5) A court-appointed fiduciary of a beneficiary (§ 13.59);

(6) The chief officer of the institution in which the veteran is receiving care and treatment (§ 13.61);

(7) The bonded officer of an Indian reservation (§ 13.62);

(8) A custodian-in-fact of the beneficiary (§ 13.63);

(9) Dependents of the veteran by an apportioned award (§ 13.70).

(c) *Certification.* The Veterans Services Officer's certification is authority to make payments to the designated payee.

**§ 13.56 Direct payment.**

(a) *Veterans.* Veterans Administration benefits payable to a veteran rated incompetent may be paid directly to the veteran in such amount as the Veterans Services Officer determines the veteran is able to manage with continuing supervision by the Veterans Services Officer, provided a fiduciary is not otherwise required.

(b) *Other adults.* Veterans Administration benefits payable to a mentally ill or infirm adult may be paid directly to the beneficiary in such amount as the Veterans Services Officer determines the beneficiary is able to manage with continuing supervision by the Veterans Services Officer, provided a fiduciary is not otherwise required.

(c) *Minors.* Veterans Administration benefits payable to a minor:

(1) May be paid direct when:

(i) Arising in connection with a program of education or training under 38 U.S.C. ch. 35.

(ii) The Veterans Services Officer determines it would be in the minor's best interests.

(2) Will be paid direct when:

(i) The beneficiary's only legal disability is minority and he or she is in active military, naval, or air service, or the widow or widower of a veteran.

(ii) The minor is deemed otherwise emancipated under State law.

**§ 13.57 Payment to the wife or husband of incompetent veteran.**

Compensation, pension or emergency officers' retirement pay of a veteran rated or judicially declared incompetent, may be paid to the veteran's spouse, provided the spouse is qualified to administer the funds payable and agrees to use the amounts paid for the veteran and the veteran's dependents, if any.

**§ 13.58 Legal custodian.**

(a) *Authority.* The Veterans Services Officer is authorized to make determinations as to the person or legal entity to be appointed legal custodian to receive Veterans Administration payments on behalf of a beneficiary who is incompetent or under legal disability by reason of minority or court action. In the absence of special circumstances, the person or legal entity to be appointed legal custodian will be the person or legal entity caring for and/or having custody of the beneficiary or the beneficiary's estate.

(b) *Payment to.* Veterans Administration benefits may be paid to a legal custodian subject to the following conditions:

(1) The Veterans Services Officer has determined that it would be in the best interests of the beneficiary to appoint a legal custodian.

(2) The proposed legal custodian is qualified to administer the benefits payable and will agree to:

(i) Apply the benefits paid for the best interests of the beneficiary,

(ii) Invest surplus funds as provided by Veterans Administration regulations,

(iii) Furnish, upon request, evidence of compliance with agreement as to usage and investment of Veterans Administration benefits, and

(iv) Inform the Veterans Services Officer of any change in the beneficiary's estate or any other circumstances that might affect entitlement or the manner in which payments are to be made.

**§ 13.59 Court-appointed fiduciary.**

(a) *Payment to.* Any Veterans Administration benefit may be paid to the fiduciary appointed by a State court for a beneficiary who is a minor, or incompetent or under other legal disability adjudged by a court of competent jurisdiction. Formal or informal accountings may be required from such fiduciaries, with or without judicial proceedings.

(b) *Veterans Services Officer's responsibility.* The Veterans Services Officer shall:

(1) Determine and recommend to the District Counsel the person or legal entity best fitted for appointment as State court fiduciary for the particular beneficiary. Necessary legal action will be taken by the District Counsel.

(2) Upon advice from the District Counsel that the fiduciary has been appointed, issue appropriate certification thereof so that payment of benefits can be made to such fiduciary.

**§ 13.60 [Revoked]**

4. Section 13.60 is revoked.

5. Sections 13.61 and 13.62 are revised to read as follows:

**§ 13.61 Payment to the chief officer of institution.**

The Veterans Services Officer may authorize the payment of all or part of the pension, compensation or emergency officers' retirement pay payable in behalf of a veteran rated incompetent by the Veterans Administration to the chief officer of the institution wherein the veteran is being furnished hospital treatment or institutional, nursing or domiciliary care, for the veteran's use and benefit, when the Veterans Services Officer has determined such payment (called an institutional award) will adequately provide for the needs of the veteran and obviate need for appointment of another type of fiduciary.

**§ 13.62 Payment to bonded officer of Indian reservation.**

Any benefits due an incompetent adult or minor Indian, who is a recognized ward of the Government, may be awarded to the superintendent or other bonded officer designated by the Secretary of the Interior to receive funds under 25 U.S.C. 14.

**§§ 13.64, 13.65, 13.67, 13.68 [Revoked]**

6. Sections 13.64, 13.65, 13.67 and 13.68 are revoked.

7. Sections 13.69, 13.70, 13.71, 13.72, 13.73 and 13.74 are revised to read as follows:

**§ 13.69 Limitation of beneficiaries to individual fiduciary.**

For purposes of payment of Veterans Administration benefits, the number of beneficiaries for whom an individual fiduciary may act will be limited to the number the fiduciary may be reasonably excepted to properly serve. When, in the judgment of the Veterans Services Officer, a fiduciary has been appointed or is seeking appointment in a case in excess of that number, the Veterans Services Officer will initiate action to obtain a suitable substitute fiduciary.

**§ 13.70 Apportionment of benefits to dependents.**

(a) *Incompetent veterans being furnished hospital treatment, institutional or domiciliary care by United States or political subdivision thereof.* (1) When compensation, pension or emergency officers' retirement pay is payable in behalf of a veteran who is incompetent or under other legal disability by court action, the Veterans Services Officer may recommend such apportionment to or in behalf of the veteran's wife, husband, child or dependent parent as may be necessary to provide for their needs.

(2) When payment of compensation, pension or emergency officers' retirement pay, in behalf of a veteran rated incompetent by the Veterans Administration by reason of mental illness has no wife, husband or child and is being furnished hospital treatment, institutional or domiciliary care by the United States or a political subdivision thereof, has been stopped because his or her estate equals or exceeds $1,500, the Veterans Services Officer may recommend the payment of so much of the benefit otherwise payable as is necessary to provide for the needs of dependent parent or parents. (See §§ 13.74(b) and 13.108(b).)

(b) *Dependent parents.* When the compensation of a veteran paid to his or her fiduciary includes an additional amount for a dependent parent or parents and the fiduciary neglects or refuses to make an equivalent contribution for their support, the Veterans Services Officer may recommend the apportionment to the parent or parents of the additional amount.

(c) *Payments withheld because of fiduciary's failure to properly administer veteran's estate.* When payments of compensation, pension or emergency officers' retirement pay in behalf of a veteran have been stopped because of the fiduciary's failure or inability to properly account or otherwise administer the estate, the Veterans Services Officer may recommend the apportionment to the veteran's wife, husband, child or dependent parent of any benefit not paid under an

institutional award or to a custodian-in-fact.

**§ 13.71 Payment of cost of veteran's maintenance in institution.**

(a) *By institutional award.* (1) The payment of part of compensation, pension or emergency officers' retirement pay for the cost of a veteran's hospital treatment, institutional or domiciliary care in an institution operated by a political subdivision of the United States may be authorized as provided in paragraph (a)(2) of this section when:

(i) The veteran is rated incompetent by the Veterans Administration.

(ii) It has been determined the veteran is legally liable for the cost of his or her maintenance, and

(iii) The institution's representative has asserted or probably will assert a claim for full maintenance costs.

(2) Subject to these conditions and the further condition that the responsible official of the institution or political subdivision will agree not to assert against Veterans Administration benefits any further claim for maintenance during the veteran's lifetime, the Veterans Services Officer may agree with such official to the payment of the veteran's benefits through an institutional award to be applied to:

(i) A monthly amount determined by the Veterans Services Officer to be needed for the veteran's personal use,

(ii) An amount to be agreed upon to be accumulated to provide for the veteran's rehabilitation upon release from the institution, and

(iii) So much of the amount of the benefit as remains not exceeding the amount the Veterans Services Officer shall determine to be the proper charge as fixed by statute or administrative regulation, to the cost of the veteran's maintenance.

(3) Upon execution of an agreement as provided in paragraph (a)(2) of this section, the Veterans Services Officer may certify to the Adjudication Division the total amount to be released to the chief officer of the institution.

(b) *By care and maintenance award.* When payment of compensation, pension or emergency officers' retirement pay in behalf of a veteran rated incompetent by the Veterans Administration by reason of mental illness, who has no wife, husband or child and is being furnished hospital treatment, institutional or domiciliary care by a political subdivision of the United States, has been stopped because his or her estate has reached $1,500, the Veterans Services Officer may certify to the Adjudication Division the amount to be released to the responsible official to pay for the cost of the veteran's current care and maintenance. The amounts paid in such cases shall not exceed the amount of the benefit otherwise payable less any amounts apportioned to dependent parents and in no event exceed the amount which the Veterans Services Officer shall determine to be the proper charge as fixed by statute or administrative regulation. (See §§ 13.74(b) and 13.108(b).)

**§ 13.72 Release of funds from Personal Funds of Patients.**

Veterans Services Officers may authorize release of funds from Personal Funds of Patients for the needs of veterans and their dependents, including amounts fixed by statute or administrative regulations as the cost of current maintenance of veterans in institutions of the United States or a political subdivision thereof other than Veterans Administration institutions.

**§ 13.73 Transfer of funds from funds due incompetent beneficiaries.**

Veterans Services Officers may, when required for the benefit of the veteran and/or the veteran's dependents, authorize the transfer of amounts credited to veterans in Funds Due Incompetent Beneficiaries to Veterans Administration Personal Funds of Patients accounts or to chief officers of non-Veterans Administration institutions for the accounts of institutionalized veterans.

**§ 13.74 Recommendation for payment.**

(a) *General.* When veterans' benefits are discontinued under 38 U.S.C. 3203(b)(1), Veterans Services Officers are delegated authority to recommend apportionments or awards in accordance with paragraphs (b) and (c) of this section.

(b) *Needy dependent parent.* If the veteran's estate is $4,000 or more, the Veterans Services Officer may authorize payment from Personal Funds of Patients or recommend payment from the veteran's estate for the needs of the dependent parent and for the care and maintenance of the veteran if hospitalized by the United States or a political subdivision thereof other than a Veterans Administration institution. If the estate is $2,500 or more but less than $4,000, the Veterans Services Officer may recommend an apportionment from appropriated funds to the dependent parent or parents, predicated upon need, not to exceed the veteran's discontinued award, and authorize an award to the hospital from Personal Funds of Patients if available, otherwise, the hospital must look to the veteran's estate for payment. If the veteran's estate is less than $2,500, the Veterans Services Officer may recommend an apportionment to the dependent parents, predicated upon need, and an award of so much of the balance, if any, of the veteran's discontinued award as is necessary for the current care and maintenance of the veteran, to the hospital.

(c) *No dependents.* If the veteran is hospitalized by the United States or a political subdivision thereof other than a Veterans Administration institution and has no dependent parent, and the estate is less than $2,500, the Veterans Services Officer may recommend an award from appropriated funds, not to exceed the amount of the veteran's discontinued award, to the hospital for current care and maintenance. When the veteran's estate is $2,500 or more, no award from appropriated funds should be made but the Veterans Services Officer may authorize an award from Personal Funds of Patients if available; otherwise, the hospital must look to the veteran's estate for payment.

(d) *Hardship cases.* Veterans Services Officers are authorized, in exceptional cases, to deviate from the criteria stated to avoid hardship.

8. In § 13.75, paragraph (b) is revised to read as follows:

**§ 13.75 Beneficiaries in penal institutions.**

* * * * *

(b) *Incompetent veterans; 38 U.S.C. 3203(b)(1).* In addition to paragraph (a) of this section as to payment in pension cases, the provisions of 38 U.S.C. 3203(b)(1) governing payment of compensation, pension or emergency officers' retirement pay to an incompetent veteran are applicable during his or her confinement in a penal institution whether awaiting trial, sentence or after conviction.

9. Sections 13.76, 13.77 and 13.100 are revised to read as follows:

**§ 13.76 Appeals from Veterans Services Officer's determination under 38 U.S.C. 3203(b)(2).**

(a) *Notification.* The Veterans Services Officer will be responsible for notification of action taken and the right to initiate an appeal by filing a Notice of Disagreement and of the time limits within which such notice may be filed (§ 19.109 of this chapter) when he or she determines that:

(1) The dependent is not in need.

(2) The needs of the dependent parent are to be met from the veteran's estate or from Personal Funds of Patients and no payments or partial payments will be made for the dependent parents' support from appropriated funds.

(3) No award from appropriated funds for care and maintenance for the veteran in a non-Veterans Administration hospital will be made, and that the veteran's estate will have to defray the cost.

(b) *Appeals.* Part 19 of this chapter will be followed in connection with appeals to the Board of Veterans Appeals from determinations of the Veterans Services Officer. Appeals may be initiated by a dependent parent on questions of need and payments for his or her support from appropriated funds, and by a fiduciary for the disallowance of the use of appropriated funds for the veteran's institutional care and maintenance.

(c) *Statement of the case.* When a Notice of Disagreement is filed, the Veterans Services Officer will be responsible for furnishing the claimant and the claimant's representative with a Statement of the Case and such notification regarding the filing of an appeal as is provided for in §§ 19.114(b) and 19.115 of this chapter.

**§ 13.77 Administrative review of the Veterans Services Officer's determination made under 38 U.S.C. 3203 (b)(2).**

(a) *Veterans Services Officer (revisions).* (1) The Veterans Services Officer may revise any previous determination upon review of the evidence of record, provided a specific finding is made in writing that it was clearly and unmistakably erroneous.

(2) The Veterans Services Officer may revise a previous determination upon receipt of new evidence.

(b) *Chief Benefits Director.* Upon request for further review by the dependent parent, the Chief Benefits Director or designee will review and may revise the determination of the Veterans Services Officer as to the amount to be paid from Personal Funds of Patients for the support of the dependent parent, predicated upon need.

**§ 13.100 Supervision of fiduciaries.**

(a) *Federal fiduciaries.* In Federal fiduciary cases, the Veterans Services Officer may, when he or she deems it necessary for the protection of the beneficiary's interests:

(1) Require an accounting, formal or informal, of Veterans Administration benefits paid.

(2) Terminate the appointment of a Federal fiduciary and appoint a successor Federal fiduciary.

(b) *Court-appointed fiduciaries.* In court-appointed fiduciary cases, the Veterans Services Officer will take such informal action as may be necessary to assure that the needs of the beneficiary are provided for and Veterans Administration benefits are prudently administered and adequately protected.

(c) *Unsatisfactory conditions.* In any case where a fiduciary fails to render a satisfactory account or has collected or paid, or is attempting to collect or pay, fees, commissions, or allowances that are illegal or inequitable or in excess of those allowed by law, or has failed to use Veterans Administration funds for the benefit of the beneficiary or the beneficiary's dependents, or has otherwise failed or neglected to properly execute the duties of his or her trust, and informal efforts by the Veterans Services Officer to correct the situation prove unsuccessful, the case will be referred to the District Counsel. In such cases the Veterans Services Officer may have all Veterans Administration benefits suspended.

(d) *Misappropriation, embezzlement or violation of Federal statutes.* When the evidence indicates a prima facie case of misappropriation, embezzlement or violation of the Federal statutes, the matter will be submitted to the District Counsel for review and, if appropriate, the District Counsel's referrel to the U.S. Attorney.

10. In § 13.102, paragraph (a) is revised to read as follows:

**§ 13.102 Accountability of legal custodians.**

(a) *Institutionalized veterans without wife, husband or child.* The legal custodian of Veterans Administration benefits of any incompetent veteran who has neither wife, husband nor child and who is being furnished hospital treatment, institutional or domiciliary care by the United States or a political subdivision thereof, will account upon request to the Veterans Administration for funds received from the Veterans Administration for the beneficiary and will submit a statement of all other income received and the total assets from any source held for the beneficiary.

* * * * *

11. Sections 13.103, 13.104, 13.105 and 13.106 are revised to read as follows:

**§ 13.103 Investments by legal custodians.**

(a) *Type authorized.* Veterans Administration benefits paid a legal custodian in a beneficiary's behalf may be invested only in U.S. savings bonds, or in interest or dividend-paying accounts in State or federally insured institutions, whichever is to the beneficiary's advantage.

(b) *Savings bond registration.* When funds are invested in bonds, they will be registered in this form: *(Beneficiary's name), (Social security number)*, under custodianship by designation of the Veterans Administration.

(c) *Savings account registration.* When funds are invested in interest or dividend-paying accounts in State or federally insured institutions, the account will be registered in this form: *(Beneficiary's name)*, by *(Legal custodian's name)*, legal custodian.

**§ 13.104 Accounts of court-appointed fiduciaries.**

(a) *Requirement to account; notices of filings and hearings.* Accounts may be required from court-appointed fiduciaries as provided by State law, but in no event less frequently than once every 3 years. Arrangements will be made with the courts whereby notices of filing of all petitions, accounts, etc., and of hearings on same, relative to court-appointed fiduciary cases wherein the Veterans Administration is an interested party, will be sent to the Veterans Services Officer for review, distribution and such action as may be appropriate. Matters which require legal action will be referred to the District Counsel, and will include any matter in which the Veterans Administration has any objections to offer.

(b) *Fiduciary and beneficiary in jurisdiction other than a State of the United States.* Accounts will not be required, in the discretion of the Veterans Services Officer, in cases where the fiduciary and beneficiary permanently reside in a jurisdiction other than a State of the United States, the District of Columbia, the Commonwealth of Puerto Rico or the Republic of the Philippines, and the fiduciary appointment was made in said jurisdiction.

**§ 13.105 Surety bonds.**

(a) *Federal fiduciaries.* (1) The Veterans Services Officer may require a legal custodian, custodian-in-fact or chief officer of a private institution recognized to administer Veterans Administration benefits on behalf of a beneficiary, to furnish a corporate surety bond in an amount determined to be sufficient to protect the interest of the beneficiary. Such bond shall run to the Administrator of Veterans Affairs for the use and benefit of the beneficiary.

(2) The Veterans Services Officer may require a legal custodian to furnish an agreement in lieu of a surety bond or additional surety bond when funds are deposited in an interest or dividend-paying account in a State or federally insured institution. The agreement will provide that the legal custodian and institution agree that all funds received from the Veterans Administration on behalf of the beneficiary, which have been or will be deposited by the legal custodian in the account, will be withdrawn only with the written consent of the Veterans Services Officer or designee.

(b) *Substitution of surety; claims against defunct companies.* If any surety company is placed in receivership or ceases to do business in the particular State, the Veterans Services Officer will take the necessary action to have proper bonds substituted in Federal fiduciary cases and refer the matter to the District Counsel for such other action as may be appropriate.

**§ 13.106 Investments by court-appointed fiduciaries.**

The Veterans Services Officer will review and to the extent possible determine the legality and prudence of investments involving Veterans Administration income or estate. It is Veterans Administration policy to invest income or estate derived from Veterans Administration benefits only in legal investments which have safety, assured income, stability of principal and ready convertibility for the requirements of the beneficiary and his or her dependents. When notice of a contemplated or actual illegal or imprudent investment comes to the attention of the Veterans Service Officer, he or she will take remedial action to protect the beneficiary's estate. Cases in which it becomes necessary to institute court action will be referred to the District Counsel.

12. Section 13.108 is revised to read as follows:

**§ 13.108 Estate $1,500; incompetent veteran, without wife, husband or child, is being furnished hospital treatment, institutional or domiciliary care by the United States or a political subdivision thereof.**

(a) *Discontinuance of payments.* Where a veteran, rated incompetent by the Veterans Administration by reason of mental illness, without wife, husband or child as defined in 38 U.S.C. 101(4), is receiving hospital treatment, domiciliary or institutional care in a public institution other than a Veterans Administration institution or has a fiduciary and is receiving hospital treatment or domiciliary care in a Veterans Administration institution, and his or her estate equals or exceeds $1,500, the Veterans Services Officer shall immediately notify the Ad-

judication Division so that payments, other than insurance, may be discontinued. In those cases in which the payment has been discontinued, the Veterans Services Officer shall, when such estate has been reduced to $500, immediately notify the Adjudication Division of that fact.

(b) *Apportionment to dependent parent; care and maintenance award.* In any case in which a veteran, without wife, husband or child, is hospitalized by the United States or a political subdivision thereof and his or her award of compensation, pension or emergency officers' retirement pay has been discontinued because his or her estate equals or exceeds $1,500, an apportionment of the award otherwise payable may nevertheless be made to a dependent parent, if any, based upon actual need as determined by the Veterans Services Officer. So much of any monthly remainder of the discontinued payments as equals the amount charged to the veteran for his or her current care and maintenance in the institution in which treatment or care is furnished, but not more than the amount determined by the Veterans Services Officer to be the proper charge as fixed by statute or administrative regulation, may be paid to the institution. The Veterans Services Officer shall recommend to the Adjudication Division the amount of either award.

(c) *Death of veteran; Personal Funds of Patient.* In the event of the incompetent veteran's death in other than a Veterans Administration institution, the Veterans Services Officer should make certain that the provisions of the pertinent laws are applied as to the gratuitous benefits in Personal Funds of Patients.

13. Section 13.109 is revised to read as follows:

**§ 13.109 Determination of value of estate; 38 U.S.C. 3203(b)(1).**

Except as stated in paragraph (d) of this section, all funds, including accumulated social security and amounts on deposit in Funds Due Incompetent Beneficiaries and to the veteran's credit in Personal Funds of Patients at Veterans Administration regional offices, hospitals, State institutions, hospitals or institutions of any nature whatsoever, as well as other property, both personal and real (which is capable of being liquidated), and interest therein owned by the veteran, will be included in arriving at the value of the veteran's estate.

(a) The value of such property, including any interest therein, will be established at the estimated net price the veteran's equity in the property will bring at a forced sale after payment of all costs incident to liquidation.

(b) U.S. savings bonds, war bonds, adjusted service bonds, and other appreciation bonds, the current value, including accrued interest, will be used.

(c) Bonds and stocks, the current price listed on recognized stock exchange or by over-the-counter dealers will be the value to be used. In the absence of either, other reliable evidence of value may be used.

(d) The following will not be included as assets:

(1) Adjusted service certificate.

(2) Insurance policy having cash surrender or loan value.

(3) Dividend credits on National Service Life Insurance and United States Government Life Insurance policies.

(4) Personal property, such as furniture and household equipment, working tools, livestock and jewelry, which are included under State exemption statutes.

NOTE: Cash in the estate will be considered, notwithstanding it was derived from any of the above excluded items.

14. In § 13.110, paragraphs (b) and (c) are revised to read as follows:

**§ 13.110 Escheat; post fund.**

* * * * *

(b) *General Post Fund; 38 U.S.C. 5220(a).* Upon the death of a veteran intestate while a member or patient in any facility while being furnished care or treatment therein by the Veterans Administration, who is not survived by a spouse, next of kin, or heirs entitled under the laws of the veteran's domicile, the veteran's fiduciary, if any, or the veteran's personal representative shall account for and turn over to the Veterans Administration all personal property, including money and choses in action owned by the veteran at the time of his or her death. (See also § 14.514(c) of this chapter)

(c) *Refusal of fiduciary or personal representative to cooperate.* If the fiduciary or personal representative, if any, refuses to voluntarily comply with the provisions of paragraph (a) or (b) of this section, the Veterans Services Officer will submit a complete report to the District Counsel.

15. Section 13.111 is revised to read as follows:

**§ 13.111 Claims of creditors.**

Under 38 U.S.C. 3101(a), payments made to or on account of a beneficiary under any of the laws relating to veterans are exempt, either before or after receipt by the beneficiary, from the claims of creditors and State and local taxation. The fiduciary should invoke this defense where applicable. If the fiduciary does not do so, the Veterans Services Officer should refer the matter to the District Counsel for appropriate action.

Approved: July 23, 1975.

By direction of the Administrator.

[SEAL] ODELL W. VAUGHN,
*Deputy Administrator.*

[FR Doc.75-19772 Filed 7-29-75;8:45 am]

# notices

This section of the FEDERAL REGISTER contains documents other than rules or proposed rules that are applicable to the public. Notices of hearings and investigations, committee meetings, agency decisions and rulings, delegations of authority, filing of petitions and applications and agency statements of organization and functions are examples of documents appearing in this section.

## DEPARTMENT OF DEFENSE

### Army Department

### TANK AUTOMOTIVE COMMAND SCIENTIFIC ADVISORY COMMITTEE

#### Meeting

JULY 16, 1975.

In accordance with Section 10(a)(2) of the Federal Advisory Committee Act P.L. 92-463, announcement is made of the following committee meeting:

Name of committee: Tank Automotive Command Scientific Advisory Group (TASAG).

Date: August 13-14, 1975.

Place: Keweenaw Field Station (KFS), Houghton, Michigan.

Time: 0900—August 13, 1975, to 1600—August 14, 1975.

Proposed agenda: 1st day—Discussion of the Land Mobility Technical Coordinating Paper; Army Materiel Command Mobility Research Program. 2nd day—Demonstration of Operation and Testing of Amphibious Vehicles in Water; Demonstration of the Absorbed Power Meter and the ride limiting speed (V-Ride); Demonstration of AMC Mobility Model Test Methodology; Demonstration of Cross-country ride.

This meeting is open to the general public. Interested persons may attend, appear before or file statements with the TASAG provided notification is received by 5 August 1975.

For the Commander.

E. N. PETRICK,
*Chief Scientist.*

[FR Doc.75-19677 Filed 7-29-75;8:49 am]

### Office of the Secretary of Defense

### ADVISORY GROUP ON ELECTRON DEVICES

#### Advisory Committee Meeting

The DoD Advisory Group on Electron Devices (AGED) will meet in closed session at 201 Varick Street, 9th Floor, New York, New York 10014 on 22 August 1975.

The purpose of the Advisory Group is to provide the Director of Defense Research and Engineering, the Director, Defense Advanced Research Projects Agency and the Military Departments with technical advice on the conduct of economical and effective research and development programs in the area of electron devices.

The meeting will be limited to review of research and development programs which the Military Departments propose to initiate with industry, universities or in their laboratories. The AGED will review programs on microwave devices, night vision devices, lasers, infra red systems, and microelectronics. The review will include classified program details and will result in advice or recommendations to government research and development agencies preliminary to decisions or actions, the preliminary disclosure of which would interfere with the orderly conduct of government.

In accordance with Section 10(d) of Appendix I, Title 5, United States Code, it has been determined that this Advisory Group meeting concerns matters listed in Section 552(b) of Title 5 of the United States Code, specifically Subparagraphs (1) and (5) thereof, and that accordingly this meeting will be closed to the public.

MAURICE W. ROCHE,
*Director, Correspondence and Directives, OASD (Comptroller).*

JULY 25, 1975.

[FR Doc.75-19716 Filed 7-29-75;8:45 am]

### ADVISORY GROUP ON ELECTRON DEVICES

#### Advisory Committee Meeting

Working Group D (Mainly Laser Devices) of the DoD Advisory Group on Electron Devices (AGED) will meet in closed session at 201 Varick Street, 9th Floor, New York, NY 10014 on 26, 27 August 1975.

The purpose of the Advisory Group is to provide the Director of Defense Research and Engineering, the Director, Defense Advanced Research Projects Agency and the Military Departments with technical advice on the conduct of economical and effective research and development programs in the area of electron devices.

The Working Group D meeting will be limited to review of research and development programs which the Military Departments propose to initiate with industry, universities or in their laboratories. The laser area includes programs on developments and research related to low energy lasers for such applications as battlefield surveillance, target designation, ranging, communications, weapon guidance and data transmission. The review will include classified program details and will result in advice or recommendations to government research and development agencies preliminary to decisions or actions, the preliminary disclosure of which would interfere with the orderly conduct of government.

In accordance with Section 10(d) of Appendix I, Title 5, United States Code, it has been determined that this Advisory Group meeting concerns matters listed in Section 552(4) of Title 5 of the United States Code, specifically Subparagraphs (1) and (5) thereof and that accordingly this meeting will be closed to the public.

MAURICE W. ROCHE,
*Director, Correspondence and Directives, OASD (Comptroller).*

JULY 25, 1975.

[FR Doc.75-19717 Filed 7-29-75;8:45 am]

## DEPARTMENT OF THE INTERIOR

### Office of the Secretary

[INT DES 75-43]

### KAIPAROWITS POWER PROJECT DEVELOPMENT

#### Availability of Draft Environmental Statement

The draft environmental statement for proposed construction and operation of a 3,000 megawatt power project and related facilities on the Kaiparowits Plateau in southern Utah will be available to the public during the last week of July 1975. The Department of the Interior invites your written comments within 60 days of this notice.

A limited number of copies are available upon request to the Director, Utah State Office, Bureau of Land Management, 125 South State Street, Salt Lake City, Utah 84111. A separate summary is also available upon request for those who do not wish to review the 2,700 page document.

Public reading copies will be available for review in the following locations:

BUREAU OF LAND MANAGEMENT OFFICES

Public Affairs Office
Bureau of Land Management
18th and E Streets, NW
Washington, D.C. 20240

Utah State Office
Bureau of Land Management
125 South State Street
Federal Building Room 8103
Salt Lake City, Utah 84111

Arizona Strip District
Bureau of Land Management
P.O. Box 250
St. George, Utah 84770

Havasu Resource Area
Bureau of Land Management
P.O. Box 685
Lake Havasu City, Arizona 86403

Las Vegas District Office
Bureau of Land Management
P.O. Box 5400
4765 Vegas Drive
Las Vegas, Nevada 89102

Nevada State Office
Bureau of Land Management
Federal Building, Room 3008
Booth Street
Reno, Nevada 89502

Kanab District Office
Bureau of Land Management
320 North First East
Kanab, Utah 84741

Phoenix District
Bureau of Land Management
2929 W. Clarendon Avenue
Phoenix, Arizona 85017

Yuma District
Bureau of Land Management
2450 Fourth Avenue
Yuma, Arizona 85364

Arizona State Office
Bureau of Land Management
3022 Federal Building
Phoenix, Arizona 85025

California State Office
Bureau of Land Management
Federal Office Building, Room E-2841
2800 Cotton Way
Sacramento, California 95825

Kingman Resource Area
Bureau of Land Management
P.O. Box 386
Kingman, Arizona 86401

High Desert Resource Area Hdqs.
Bureau of Land Management
35068 Barstow Road
Barstow, California 92311

Cedar City District Office
Bureau of Land Management
154 N. Main, P.O. Box 729
Cedar City, Utah 84720

FOREST SERVICE OFFICES

Powell Ranger District
Forest Service
57 N. Main Street
Panguitch, Utah

Forest Service
5124 Federal Building
Ogden, Utah 84401

Forest Supervisor
Dixie National Forest
500 South Main
Cedar City, Utah 84720

Forest Supervisor
Kaibab National Forest
P.O. Box 817
Williams, Arizona 86046

Forest Supervisor
Prescott National Forest
P.O. Box 2549
Prescott, Arizona 86301

Forest Supervisor
Tonto National Forest
102 South 28th Street
Phoenix, Arizona 85034

Forest Service
Escalante, Utah

Forest Supervisor
Angeles National Forest
150 South Los Robles, Suite 300
Pasadena, California 91101

Information Officer
Forest Service
630 Sansome Street
San Francisco, California 94111

Forest Supervisor
San Bernardino National Forest
144 North Mountain View Avenue
San Bernardino, California 92408

Forest Supervisor
Cleveland National Forest
3211—5th Street
San Diego, California 92103

Forest Supervisor
Coronado National Forest
301 W. Congress, P.O. Box 551
Tucson, Arizona 85702

PUBLIC LIBRARIES

Kanab City Library
6 South 100 East
Kanab, Utah 84741

Washington County Library
55 West Tabernacle Street
St. George, Utah 84770

Mohave County Library
219 North Fourth Street
Kingman, Arizona 86401

Maricopa County Free Library
125 West Washington
Phoenix, Arizona 85003

Yavapai County Library
125 East Gurley Street
Box 2059
Prescott, Arizona 86301

County Library
Seligman Branch
Box 95
Seligman, Arizona 86337

Orange Public Library
101 North Center Street
Orange, California 92666

Palm Springs Public Library
100 South Palm Canyon Drive
Palm Springs, California 92262

Williams Public Library
113 South First
Williams, Arizona 86046

Coconino County Library
Flagstaff
Arizona

Clark County Library
Searchlight Branch
Box 98
Searchlight, Nevada 89046

Clark County Library
Mesquite Branch
Box 113
Mesquite, Nevada 89024

Henderson District Public Library
55 Water
Box 2036
Henderson, Nevada 89015

Hemet Public Library
510 East Florida Avenue
Hemet, California 92343

Tulare County Free Library
Courthouse, Room 10
Victorville, California 93277

Notice is also given that public hearings on the draft environmental statement will be held at the following locations (exact times and procedures will be announced at a later date):

(1) Salt Palace, Suite A, Salt Lake City, Utah.

(2) Kanab High School Gymnasium, Kanab, Utah.

(3) Maricopa County Board of Supervisors Auditorium, 111 South 3rd Avenue, Phoenix, Arizona.

(4) University of California at Riverside, Commons Conference Room, Riverside, California.

(5) Clark County Courthouse, Las Vegas, Nevada.

Written comments or statements should be submitted to the Director, Utah State Office, Bureau of Land Management, 125 South State Street, Salt Lake City, Utah 84111.

Dated: July 25, 1975.

STANLEY D. DOREMUS,
*Deputy Assistant Secretary of the Interior.*

[FR Doc.75-19766 Filed 7-29-75;8:45 am]

[NM 26129, 26130]

## NEW MEXICO

### Notice of Applications

JULY 22, 1975.

Notice is hereby given that, pursuant to Section 28 of the Mineral Leasing Act of 1920 (30 U.S.C. 185), as amended by the Act of November 16, 1973 (87 Stat. 576), Southern Union Gas Company has applied for two 2 inch natural gas pipeline rights-of-way across the following lands:

NEW MEXICO PRINCIPAL MERIDIAN, NEW MEXICO

T. 27 N., R. 10 W.,
Sec. 16, S½NW¼.
T. 29 N., R. 11 W.,
Sec. 27, SW¼SW¼.

These pipelines will convey natural gas across .284 miles of national resource lands in San Juan County, New Mexico.

The purpose of this notice is to inform the public that the Bureau will be proceeding with consideration of whether the applications should be approved, and if so, under what terms and conditions.

Interested persons desiring to express their views should promptly send their name and address to the District Manager, Bureau of Land Management, 3550 Pan American Freeway, NE, Albuquerque, NM 87107.

FRED E. PADILLA,
*Chief, Branch of Lands and Minerals Operations.*

[FR Doc.75-19773 Filed 7-29-75;8:45 am]

---

[NM 26131]

## NEW MEXICO

### Notice of Application

JULY 22, 1975.

Notice is hereby given that, pursuant to Section 28 of the Mineral Leasing Act of 1920 (30 U.S.C. 185), as amended by the Act of November 16, 1973 (87 Stat. 576), El Paso Natural Gas Company has applied for two 4½ inch natural gas pipeline rights-of-way across the following lands:

NEW MEXICO PRINCIPAL MERIDIAN, NEW MEXICO

T. 21 S., R. 22 E.,
Sec. 7, NW¼SE¼.

This pipeline will convey natural gas across .101 miles of national resource lands in Eddy County, New Mexico.

The purpose of this notice is to inform the public that the Bureau will be proceeding with consideration of whether the application should be approved, and if so, under what terms and conditions.

Interested persons desiring to express their views should promptly send their name and address to the District Manager, Bureau of Land Management, P.O. Box 1397, Roswell, NM 88201.

FRED E. PADILLA,
*Chief, Branch of Lands and Minerals Operations.*

[FR Doc.75-19774 Filed 7-29-75;8:45 am]

## Bureau of Land Management

[Serial No. I-6307 et al.]

### IDAHO

### Classification of Public Lands for Disposal

1. Pursuant to Section 7 of the Taylor Grazing Act of June 28, 1934, as amended (43 U.S.C. 315 (F)), the lands described below are hereby classified for disposal through State Indemnity Lieu Selection (43 CFR 2620). The lands affected by this classification are located in Fremont County and are described as follows:

BOISE MERIDIAN, IDAHO

T. 13 N., R. 41 E.,
Sec. 10, SW¼NE¼, SE¼;
Sec. 11, lots 3 and 4, NE¼SW¼;
Sec. 12, S½;
Sec. 13, E½, E½W½;
Sec. 24, NE¼, E½NW¼.
T. 13 N., R. 42 E.,
Sec. 7, lots 1, 2, 3 and 4, NW¼NE¼, NE¼NW¼, E½SW¼, SE¼;
Sec. 8, lots 1–5 inclusive, NE¼, E½NW¼, NE¼SW¼;
Sec. 9, NW¼;
Sec. 14, NW¼, W½NE¼;
Sec. 17, W½, W½SE¼;
Sec. 18, all;
Sec. 19, N½;
Sec. 20, W½NE¼, NW¼;
Sec. 27, W½NW¼;
Sec. 28, N½, N½S½.
T. 14 N., R. 43 E.,
Sec. 2, lot 3.
T. 15 N., R. 43 E.,
Sec. 13, SE¼NE¼.
T. 16 N., R. 43 E.,
Sec. 31, lot 3;
Sec. 32, N½NW¼;
Sec. 33, N½, N½NE¼SE¼, NW¼SW¼NE¼SE¼, E½SE¼NE¼SE¼, SW¼SE¼NE¼SE¼.
T. 14 N., R. 44 E.,
Sec. 5, lots 1 and 2;
Sec. 7, lots 1, 2, 3 and 8;
Sec. 17, SE¼SW¼, S½SE¼
T. 15 N., R. 44 E.,
Sec. 31, lot 8.
T. 16 N., R. 44 E.,
Sec. 31, E½SW¼.

The area described contains approximately 5,557.93 acres National Resource Lands.

2. The following described petition applications are in conflict with portions of the above described lands and are hereby denied:

| Name of petitioner | Type of petition | Land description |
|---|---|---|
| Robert W. St. Clair. | Public sale (I-017500). | T. 16 N., R. 43 E., B.M., sec. 32, N½NW¼. |
| H. Gordon Hunt. | Private exchange (I-1987). | T. 16 N., R. 43 E., B.M., sec. 32, N½NW¼. |
| J. Edwin Strobel et al. | Public sale (I-2283). | T. 13 N., R. 41 E., B.M., sec. 10, SW¼NE¼. |

3. No protests were received to the Notice of Proposed Classification published on May 15, 1974 (FR Doc. 74–11194). For a period of 30 days from the date of publication of this notice in the FEDERAL REGISTER, interested parties may submit comments to the Secretary of the Interior, LLM 320, Washington, D.C. 20240.

Dated: July 21, 1975.

WILLIAM L. MATHEWS,
*State Director.*

[FR Doc.75-19710 Filed 7-29-75;8:45 am]

## DEPARTMENT OF AGRICULTURE

### Animal and Plant Health Inspection Service

[PPQ 639]

### SOIL SAMPLES

### List of Approved Laboratories for Receipt of Certain Soil Samples

This document lists certain laboratories as indicated herein which are approved by the Deputy Administrator for receipt in interstate commerce of soil samples for processing, testing, or analysis pursuant to §§ 301.48–3, 301.80–3, 301.81–3, 301.85–3, of the Japanese beetle, witchweed, imported fire ant, and golden nematode regulations (7 CFR 301.48–3, 301.80–3, 301.81–3, 301.85–3).

Also, in connection with the regulations relating to the movement into or through the United States of soil from foreign countries or Territories or possessions of the United States (7 CFR 330.300 *et seq.*), this document lists certain laboratories as indicated herein which the Deputy Administrator has approved for receipt, under permit, of soil samples for research or analytical purposes in accordance with safeguards and other conditions specified in the permits.

The list reads as follows:

LABORATORY AND ADDRESS

A

A & H Corp., Consulting Engineers, Carbondale, IL.
A & H Corp., Consulting Engineers, Champaign, IL.
A & H Corp., Consulting Engineers, Chicago, IL.
A & H Corp., Consulting Engineers, Peoria, IL.
A & H Engineering Corp., Springfield, IL.
A & L Laboratory, Memphis, TN[2] (6–30–79).
ATS, Arvin, CA[2] (6–30–76).
Abbott Laboratories, North Chicago, IL[2] (6–30–76)[1].
Ackenheil, A. C., & Associates, Inc., Nitro, WV.
Ackenheil, A. C., & Associates, Inc., Pittsburgh, PA.
Agrico Chemical Co., Washington Courthouse, OH.
Agricultural Service Laboratories, Pharr, TX[2] (6–30–77).
Agrimanagment, Yakima, WA.
Alfred Agricultural and Technical Institute, State University of New York, Department of Agronomy, Alfred, NY[2] (6–30–76).
Allied Chemical Corp., Morristown, NJ.
Ambric Testing & Engineering Associates, Inc., Testing Laboratories, Arlington, VA.
Amchem Products, Inc., Ambler, PA[2] (6–30–76).
American Cyanamid Co., Princeton, NJ[2] (6–30–80).
American Oil Co., Soil Laboratories, Holland, TX.

[1] See footnotes at end of document.

American Oil Co., Soil Testing Laboratory, Yoder, IN.
American Testing Engineering Corporation, ATEC Associates, Inc., Indianapolis, IN.
Ameron, South Gate, CA.
Amoco, Soil Guide Laboratory, Rochelle, GA.
Anaerobe Laboratory, Virginia Polytechnic Institute and State University, Blacksburg, VA.
Analysis Laboratories, Inc., Metairie, LA.
Analytical Biochemistry Laboratories, Inc., Columbia, MO.
Analytical Development Corp., Monument, CO[2] (6–30–79).
Analytical Research Labs., Inc., Monrovia, CA[2] (6–30–76).
Anco Testing Laboratory, Inc., St. Louis, MO.
Anderson, Bradley, Dyess & Woods, Inc., El Paso, TX[2] (6–30–76).
Applied Agricultural Research, Inc., Lakeland, FL[2] (6–30–77).
Arizona State University, Tempe, AZ.
Arizona State University, Department of Anthropology, Tempe, AZ[2] (6–30–79).
Arizona Testing Laboratory, Phoenix, AZ.
Arizona, University of, Department of Geosciences, Tucson, AZ[2] (6–30–80).
Arizoha, University of, Department of Plant Pathology, Tucson, AZ[2] (6–30–77).
Arizona, University of, Department of Soils, Water, and Engineering, Tucson, AZ[2] (6–30–80).
Arkansas, University of, Experiment Station, Fayetteville, AR.
Arkansas, University of, Rice Experiment Station, Stuttgart, AR[2] (6–30–76).
Arkansas Highway Department, Materials and Testing Laboratory, Little Rock, AR.
Arkansas Laboratories, Inc., Fort Smith, AR.
Asphalt Institute, College Park, MD.
Asphalt Technology, Bellmawr, NJ.
Astrotech, Inc., Harrisburg, PA.
Atkins Farmlab, Chico, CA.
Atlanta Testing & Engineering Co., Norcross, GA.
Auburn University, Soil Testing Laboratory, Auburn, AL.

B

Babcock, Edward S. & Sons, Riverside, CA
Baker, Michael, Inc., Rochester, PA.
Barbot, D. C. & Associates, Inc., Florence, SC.
Barrow-Agee Laboratories, Inc., Memphis, TN.[1]
B. C. Laboratories, Inc., Bakersfield, CA.[2] (6–30–76).
Beckman, Inc., Microbics Operations, La Habra, CA.
Benedict, Bowman, Craig and Moos, Columbus, OH.
Bethany Laboratory of Uni-Royal Chemical, Division of Uni-Royal, Inc., Bethany, CT.
Biological Testing and Research Laboratory, Lindsay, CA.
Black, Crow and Eidsness, Inc., Gainesville, FL[2] (6–30–76).
Boring Soils & Testing Co., Inc., Harrisburg, PA.
Boswell, J. G., Co., Corcoran, CA[2] (6–30–76).
Bowes & Associates, Steamboat Springs, CO[2] (6–30–76).
Bowser-Morner Testing Laboratories, Inc., Dayton, OH.
Brandley, Reinard W., Sacramento, CA.
Braun Engineering Testing, Minneapolis, MN.
Braun, Skaggs, and Kevorkian Engineering, Inc., Fresno, CA.
Bristol Laboratories, Syracuse, NY.
Broeman, F. C., & Co., Cincinnati, OH.
Brookhaven National Laboratory, Health Physics and Safety Division, Upton, NY[2] (6–30–76).
Brookside Farms Laboratory Association,

Inc., New Knoxville, OH [3] (6-30-76).
Brown and Root-Northrop IRL, Houston, TX.
Brucker & Associates, St. Louis, MO.

C

CIBA-Geigy Corp., Agriculture Division, Greensboro, NC [3] (6-30-77).
CPC International, Inc., Argo, IL.
California Department of Food & Agriculture, Chemistry Laboratories, Sacramento, CA.
California Department of Public Works, Division of Highways Materials and Research, Sacramento, CA.
California State Polytechnic College, Department of Biological Sciences, Pomona, CA [3] (6-30-77).
California Testing Laboratories, Los Angeles, CA.
California, University of, Agricultural Extension Service, Riverside, CA.
California, University of, Department of Anthropolgy, Davis, CA [3] (6-30-77).
California, University of, Department of Civil Engineering, Davis, CA [3] (6-30-77).
California, University of, Department of Food Science & Technology, Davis, CA [3] (6-30-77).
California, University of, Department of Geography, Berkeley, CA [3] (6-30-80).
California, University of, College of Natural Resources, Department of Plant Pathology, Berkeley, CA [3] (12-31-75).
California, University of, Lawrence Livermore Laboratory, Livermore, CA [3] (12-31-75).
California, University of (Los Angeles), Laboratory of Nuclear Medicine and Radiation Biology, Los Angeles, CA.
California, University of (Southern), Department of Geological Sciences, Los Angeles, CA [3] (6-30-77).
California, University of, School of Engineering and Applied Science, Mechanics-Structures Department, Los Angeles, CA [3] (6-30-76).
California State College San Bernardino, Department of Biology, San Bernardino, CA [3] (6-30-77).
Calspan Corp., Buffalo, NY.
Campbell Institute for Agricultural Research, Riverton, NJ [3] (6-30-79).
Capozzoli, Louis J., & Associates, Inc., Baton Rouge, LA.
Carpenter Construction Co., Inc., Virginia Beach, VA.
Cascade Agricultural Service Co., Mt. Vernon, WA.
CH2M Hill, Inc., Corvallis, OR [3] (6-30-76).
Chem-Lawn Corporation, Columbus, OH.
Chemagro Corp., Kansas City, MO [3] (6-30-77).
Chembac Laboratories, Charlotte, NC.
Chemical Service Laboratory, Inc., Jeffersonville, IN [3] (6-30-77).
Chemonics Industries, Phoenix, AZ [3] (6-30-78).
Chevron Chemical Co., Richmond, CA.
Chevron Oil Field Research Co., La Habra, CA.
Chicago, University of, Department of Geography, Chicago, IL [3] (6-30-76).
Christian Testing Laboratories, Inc., Montgomery, AL.
Citizens National Bank of Paris Soil Testing Laboratory, Paris, IL.
Clarkson Laboratory & Supply, Inc., San Diego, CA [3] (6-30-80).
Clemson University, Clemson, SC.
Clinton Corn Procesaing Company, Clinton, IA [3] (6-30-79).
Coenen and Associates-Engineers, Newport News, VA.
Coleman Engineering Laboratories, Inc., Augusta, GA.
Colorado State University, Department of Agronomy, Fort Collins, CO.
Colorado State University, Department of Economics, Fort Collins, CO.
Colorado State University, Department of Microbiology, Ft. Collins, CO [3] (6-30-80).
Colorado, University of, Department of Geological Sciences, Boulder, CO [3] (6-30-80).
Colorado School of Mines, Research Institute, Golden, CO [3] (6-30-80).
Commercial Testing & Engineering Co., Chicago, IL.[3]
Commercial Testing & Engineering Co., Norfolk, VA.
Commonwealth Laboratory, Inc., Greenville, SC.
Commonwealth Laboratory, Inc., Richmond, VA.
Connecticut, University of, Soil Testing Laboratory, Plant Science Department, College of Agriculture and Natural Resources, Storrs, CT.
Consolidated Cigar Corp., Glastonbury, CT.
Construction Aggregates Corp., Perrysburg, MI.
Contractors & Engineers Service, Inc., Fayetteville, NC.
Contractors & Engineers Service, Inc., Goldsboro, NC.
Cook Research Laboratories, Inc., Menlo Park, CA.
Cook Associates, Oroville, CA.
Cookwell Strainer, Cincinnati, OH.
Cooper-Clark & Associates, Palo Alto, CA.
Coors Spectro-Chemical Laboratory, Denver, CO.
Core Laboratories, Inc., Aurora, CO.
Core Laboratories, Inc., Houma, LA.
Core Laboratories, Inc., Lafayette, LA.
Core Laboratories, Inc., New Orleans, LA.
Core Laboratories, Inc., Shreveport, LA.
Core Laboratories, Inc., Farmington, NM.
Core Laboratories, Inc., Hobbs, NM.
Core Laboratories, Inc., Dallas, TX.
Core Laboratories, Inc., Casper, WY.
Cornell University, Department of Agronomy, Ithaca, NY [3] (6-30-79).
Cornell University, Department of Floriculture and Ornamental Horticulture, Ithaca, NY [3] (6-30-76).
Craig Testing Laboratories, Mays Landing, NJ.
Crobaugh Laboratories, Cleveland, OH.
Crop Chemical Testing Services, Inc., Arcola, IL.

D

Dade County Soils Laboratory, Homestead, FL [3] (6-30-77).
Dames & Moore, Denver, CO [3] (6-30-76).
Dames & Moore, Cincinnati, OH [3] (6-30-76).
Dames & Moore, Los Angeles, CA [3] (6-30-76).
Dames & Moore, San Francisco, CA [3] (6-30-77).
Dames & Moore, Atlanta, GA [3] (6-30-76).
Dames & Moore, Houston, TX.
Dames & Moore, Park Ridge, IL [3] (6-30-78).
Dames & Moore, Cranford, NJ [3] (6-30-80).
Dames & Moore, Seattle, WA [3] (6-30-79).
D'Appolonia, E., Consulting Engineers, Inc., Pittsburgh, PA [3] (6-30-77).
Davey Tree Expert Co., Kent, OH.
Daylin Laboratories, Inc., Los Angeles, CA.
Del Monte Corp., San Leandro, CA.
Del Monte Corp., Walnut Creek, CA.
Delta Testing and Inspection, Inc., Baton Rouge, LA.
Delta Testing and Inspection, Inc., Lafayette, LA.
Delta Testing and Inspection, Inc., New Orleans, LA.
Denver, University of, Department of Geography, Denver, CO [3] (6-30-77).
Diamond Shamrock Corp., Painesville, OH.
Dickinson Laboratories, Inc., Mobile, AL.
Dixie Laboratories, Inc., Mobile, AL.
Dow Chemical Co., Walnut Creek, CA [3] (6-30-77).
Dow Chemical Co., Midland, MI [3] (6-30-76).
du Pont de Nemours, E. I. & Co., Industrial and Biochemicals Department, Foreign Sales, Wilmington, DE [3] (6-30-76).
Duke University, Durham, NC [3] (6-30-80).
Duke University, Department of Botany, Durham, NC.
Duke University, Department of Zoology, Durham, NC [3] (6-30-76).

E

EFCO Laboratories, Tucson, AZ [3] (6-30-78).
Eagle Iron Works, Des Moines IA [3] (6-30-77).
Effingham Equity, Effingham, IL.
Eisenhauer Laboratories, Covina, CA.
Ellerbe Architect, Bloomington, MN.
Elmira College, Department of Biology, Elmira, NY [3] (6-30-80).
El Paso Chemical Laboratories, El Paso, TX [3] (6-30-78).
Emcon Associates, San Jose, CA.
Empire Soils Investigations, Groton, NY.
Engineering, Surveys, and Services, Columbia, MO.
Engineers Laboratories, Inc., Jackson, MS.
Engineers Testing Laboratories, Phoenix, AZ.
Environmental Science & Engineering Corp., Mt. Juliet, TN.
Eustis Engineering Co., Metairie, LA.
Evans, L. T., Inc., Los Angeles, CA.

F

Farm Clinic, West Lafayette, IN [3] (6-30-76).
Fayette County Farm Bureau, Vandalia, IL.
Federal Chemical Co., Columbus, OH.
Fertilizers, John Taylor, Sacramento, CA.
Florida Department of Agriculture and Consumer Services, Pesticide Residue Program, Tallahassee, FL.
Florida State University, Dept. of Geology, Tallahassee, FL.
Florida Testing Laboratories, Inc., St. Petersburg, FL.
Florida, University of, Agricultural Research & Education Center, Bradenton, FL [3] (6-30-76).
Florida, University of, Agricultural Research & Education Center, Lake Alfred, FL.
Florida, University of, Agricultural Extension Service, Soil Testing Laboratory, McCarty Hall, Gainesville, FL.
Florida, University of, Department of Geology, Floyd Hall, Gainesville, FL [3] (6-30-79).
Florida, University of, Soil Science Department, Gainesville, FL [3] (6-30-76).
Flowers Chemical Laboratories, Altamonte Springs, FL.
Foley, Hubert L., Jr., New Albany, MS.
Foundation Engineering Consultants, Inc., Columbia, SC.
Foundation Test Services, Inc., Bethesda, MD.
Franklin, R. T., & Associates, Burbank, CA.
Frederick Cancer Research Center, Fermentation Laboratory, Frederick, MD [3] (6-30-76).
Froehling & Robertson, Inc., Richmond, VA.[3]
Fruco & Associates, St. Louis, MO.
Fruin-Colnon Corp., St. Louis, MO.
F. S. Royster Guano Co., Toledo, OH.
Fugro, Inc., Long Beach, CA [3] (6-30-77).
Fuller Company, Catasauqua, PA [3] (6-30-80).

G

GHT Laboratories of Imperial Valley, Inc., Brawley, CA [3] (6-30-76).
GREFCO, Inc., Torrance, CA [3] (6-30-78).
GREFCO, Inc., Lompoc, CA [3] (6-30-79).
GX Laboratories, Inc., Golden, CO [3] (6-30-77).
General Foods Corp., Food Products Division, Woodburn, OR [3] (6-30-80).
General Testing Laboratory, Kansas City, MO.
Geochemical Surveys, Dallas, TX.
Geologic Associates, Franklin, TN.
Geologic Associates, Knoxville, TN.
Georgia State Department of Transportation, Office of Material and Pest, Forest Park, GA.[3]
Georgia Testing Laboratory, Atlanta, GA.
Georgia, University of, Cooperative Extension Service, Athens, GA.
Georgia, University of, Department of Agronomy, Athens, GA [3] (6-30-78).

Georgia, University of, Institute of Ecology, Athens, GA [2] (6-30-80).
Geo-Survey, Inc., Camp Hill, PA.
Geotechnical Consultants, Inc., Burbank, CA.
Geotechnical Engineering-Testing, Inc., Mobile, AL.
Geotechnical Engineers Inc., Winchester, MA [2] (6-30-76).
Geo-Testing, Inc., San Rafael, CA [2] (6-30-79).
Gillen Engineering Co., Inc., Metairie, LA.
Girdler Foundation & Exploration Co., Lenexa, VA.
Glassmire, S. H., & Associates, Metairie, LA.
Gooch, George W., Laboratory, Ltd., Los Angeles, CA.
Gore Engineering, Inc., Metairie, LA.
Grace, W. R., & Co., Columbia, MD [2] (6-30-77).
Grace, W. R., & Co., Fort Pierce, FL [2] 6-30-76).
Grace, W. R., & Co., Washington Research Center, Clarksville, MD [2] (6-30-77).
Grace, W. R., & Co., Nashville, TN.
Green Engineering Co., Sewickley, PA.
Green Giant Co., Agricultural Research Department, Le Sueur, MN [2] (6-30-77).
Green Thumb Corp., Apopka, FL [2] (6-30-79).
Grimes, Walter B., & Associates, Chico, CA.
Growers Chemical Corp., Milan, OH.
Grubbs Consulting Engineers, Little Rock, AR.
Gulf Coast Testing Laboratory, Inc., Corpus Christi, TX.
Gulf South Research Institute, Baton Rouge, LA.
Gulf South Research Institute, New Orleans, LA.

H

Hales Testing Laboratories, Santa Clara, CA.
Hales Testing Laboratories, Oakland, CA.
Hallenbeck-McKay and Associates, Emeryville, CA [2] (6-30-76).
Hamilton Company, Soil Testing Laboratory, McLeansboro, IL.
Hanks, Abbot A., Testing Laboratory, San Francisco, CA.
Hanson Engineers, Inc., Springfield, IL [2] (6-30-78).
Harding-Lawson Associates, San Rafael, CA.
Harlan, R. C., and Associates, San Francisco, CA [2] (6-30-77).
Harris, Inc., Frederick R., Woodbridge, NJ [2] (6-30-76).
Harris Laboratories, Inc., Phoenix, AZ [12] (6-30-77).
Harris Laboratories, Inc., Lincoln, NE.
Harvard University, Peabody Museum, Cambridge, MA [2] (6-30-78).
Harvard University, Herbaria, Cambridge, MA [2] (6-30-80).
Harvard University, Soil Mechanics Laboratory, Cambridge, MA.
Harza Engineering Co., Chicago, IL [2] (6-30-77).
Hawley & Hawley, Division of Skyline Labs, Inc., Tucson, AZ.
Haynes, John H., Consulting Engineer, Dallas, TX.
Hazen Research Inc., Golden, CO [2] (6-30-78).
Hazelton Laboratories, Inc., Falls Church, VA.
Hector Agricultural Export Co., Miami, FL [2] (6-30-79).
Heinrichs Geoexploration Co., Tucson, AZ [2] (6-30-76).
Heinz, H. J., Bowling Green, OH.
Hemphill Corp., Tulsa, OK.
Herbert & Associates, Virginia Beach, VA.
Hercules, Inc., Wilmington, DE.
Hess, John D., Testing Corp., El Centro, CA [2] (6-30-76).
Hill-Harned & Associates, Redding, CA.
Hoffman-LaRoche, Inc., Nutley, NJ [2] (6-30-78).
Hollywood Testing Laboratories, Hollywood, CA.
Horvitz Research Laboratories, Houston, TX.
Hoskins-Western-Sonderegger, Inc., Lincoln, NE [2] (6-30-77).
Hunt, Robert W., Co., Chicago, IL.
Hunter College, Department of Anthropology, New York, NY.
Hurst-Rosche Engineers, Inc., Hillsboro, IL.

I

IIT Research Institute, Chicago, IL.
IRI Research Institute, Inc., New York, NY.
Illinois Division of Highways, Bureau of Materials, Chicago, IL.
Illinois Division of Highways, Bureau of Materials, Dixon, IL.
Illinois Division of Highways, Bureau of Materials, Effingham, IL.
Illinois Division of Highways, Bureau of Materials, Elgin, IL.
Illinois Division of Highways, Bureau of Materials, Paris, IL.
Illinois Division of Highways, Bureau of Materials, Springfield, IL.
Illinois Division of Highways, Carbondale, IL.
Illinois Division of Highways, East St. Louis, IL.
Illinois Division of Highways, Ottawa, IL.
Illinois Division of Highways, Peoria, IL.
Illinois, University of, Department of Agronomy, Urbana, IL.
Illinois, University of, Department of Anthropology, Urbana, IL [2] (6-30-80).
Illinois, University of, at Chicago Circle, Department of Geography, Chicago, IL [2] (6-30-78).
Independent Testing Laboratories, Greensboro, NC.
Indiana Farm Bureau Co-op, Indianapolis, IN.
Indiana State Highway Commission, Division of Materials and Testing, Indianapolis, IN.
Indiana University, Department of Geology, Bloomington, IN.
Institute for Research, Inc., Houston, TX.
International Agriculture Services, San Francisco, CA [2] (6-30-77).
International Geochemics, Ltd., Gretna, LA [2] (6-30-76).
International Mineral & Chemical Corp., Libertyville, IL.
International Mineral & Chemical Corp., Mulberry, FL.
International Mineral Engineers, Inc., Golden, CO.
International Research Corp., Mattawan, MI.
Iowa State Highway Commission Soil Laboratory, Ames, IA.
Iowa State University, Department of Agronomy, Ames, IA [2] (6-30-80).
Iowa State University, Engineering Research Institute, Ames, IA [2] (6-30-80).
Iowa, University of, (Northern), Department of Biology, Cedar Falls, IA [2] (6-30-76).

J

Jennings Laboratories, Virginia Beach, VA.
Jersey Testing Laboratories, Atco, NJ.
Jersey Testing Laboratories, Newark, NJ.
Johns Hopkins University, Department of Geography and Environmental Engineering, Baltimore, MD [2] (6-30-77).
Johnson Soil Engineering Laboratory, Palisades Park, NJ.
Joy Manufacturing Co., Denver Equipment Division, Denver, CO [2] (6-30-76).

K

Kaiser Agricultural Chemical Co., Sullivan, IL.
Kaiser Agricultural Chemicals Corp., Savannah, GA.
Kaiser Aluminum and Chemical Corp., Center for Technology, Pleasanton, CA [2] (6-30-80).
Kalo Laboratories, Inc., Quincy, IL.
Kansas City Testing Laboratory, Inc., Kansas City, MO.
Kansas State University, Civil Engineering Department, Manhattan, KS [2] (6-30-76).
Kearney Field Station, University of California, Division of Agricultural Sciences, Parlier, CA.
Kelco Co., San Diego, CA [2] (6-30-76).
Kentucky, University of, Department of Agronomy, Lexington, KY [2] (6-30-76).
Kentucky, University of, Division of Regulatory Services, Lexington, KY.
Kerr-McGee Corp., Oklahoma City, OK [2] (6-30-76).
Kinlab, Inc., Cincinnati, OH.
Kleinfelder, J. H., & Associates, Fresno, CA.
Kleinfelder, J. H., & Associates, Merced, CA.
Kleinfelder, J. H., & Associates, Sacramento, CA.
Kleinfelder, J. H., & Associates, Stockton, CA.
Kreuger Enterprises, Inc., Cambridge, MA [2] (6-30-76).

L

LFE Environmental Analysis Laboratory, Richmond, CA.
Lake Ontario Environmental Laboratory, Oswego, NY.
Langan Engineering Associates, Clifton, NJ.
Langford & Meredith Laboratories, Division of The Analysts, Inc., New Orleans, LA.
Larsen, Herluf T., Enola, PA.
Larutan of the South, Hiram, GA.
La Salle County Farm Bureau, Soil Testing Laboratory, Ottawa, IL.
Law Engineering Testing Co., Atlanta, GA [1 2] (6-30-78).
Law Engineering Testing Co., Birmingham, AL [2] (6-30-76).
Law Engineering Testing Co., Marietta, Ga [2] (6-30-76).
Law Engineering Testing Co., McLean, VA [2] (6-30-79).
Law Engineering Testing Co., Tampa, FL [2] (6-30-76).
Layne-Western Co., Kansas City, MO.
Layne-Western Co., Kirkwood, MO.
Lederle Laboratories, Pearl River, NY [2] (6-30-80).
Lerch Brothers Inc., Hibbing, MN [2] (6-30-80).
Leroy Crandall & Associates, Los Angeles, CA [2] (6-30-77).
Lewin, David W., Corp., Geotechnical Engineering, The Arcade, Cleveland, OH.
Libby, McNeill, & Libby, Janesville, WI [2] (6-30-76).
Lilly, Eli, & Co., Greenfield, IN [2] (6-30-79).
Lilly, Eli, & Co., Lilly Research Laboratories, Indianapolis, IN [2] (6-30-80).
Louisiana Department of Highways, Baton Rouge, LA.
Louisiana State University, Department of Agronomy Laboratory, Baton Rouge, LA.
Louisiana State University, Coastal Studies Institute, Baton Rouge, LA.
Louisiana State University, New Orleans, LA.
Lowney/Kaldveer Associates, Palo Alto, CA.
Lubbock Labs, Lubbock, TX.

M

M & T Chemicals, Inc., Rahway, NJ.
Maine State Highway Commission, Bangor, ME.
Maine, University of, Orono, ME.
Maine, University of, Plant & Soil Science Department, Orono, ME [2] (6-30-76).
Manchester College, Biology Department, North Manchester, IN.
Mapco, Inc., Indiana Point Division, Athens, IL.
Marathon Oil Co., Findley, OH [2] (6-30-77).
Marr-Waddoups and Associates, Pasco, WA.
Mason-Johnston & Associates, Inc., Dallas, TX [2] (6-30-80).
Massachusetts Department of Public Works, Wellesley Hills, MA.
Massachusetts Institute of Technology, Soil Mechanics Division, Cambridge, MA.

Massachusetts, University of, Department of Plant and Soil Sciences, Amherst, MA.
McCallum Inspection Co., Chesapeake, VA.[3]
McClelland Engineers, Clayton, MO.
McClelland Engineers, Inc., Houston, TX[2] (6-30-79).
McGauthy, Marshall, and McMillian, Norfolk, VA.
McGillivray, Ross T., Consulting Engineer, Temple Terrace, FL.
Memphis State University, Department of Biology, Memphis, TN.
Memphis State University, Department of Civil Engineering, Memphis, TN.
Merck Institute for Therapeutic Research, Rahway, NJ[2] (6-30-78).
Merck & Co., Inc., Agri Chemical Development, Rahway, NJ.
Miami, University of, Department of Biology, Coral Gables, FL[2] (6-30-76).
Miami, University of, Department of Microbiology, Miami, FL[2] (6-30-77).
Michigan Department of Public Health, Bureau of Laboratories, Division of Antibiotics and Fermentation, Lansing, MI[2] (6-30-78).
Michigan State University, Department of Animal Husbandry, East Lansing, MI[2] (6-30-76).
Michigan State University, Department of Botany and Plant Pathology, East Lansing, MI[2] (6-30-77).
Michigan State University, Department of Entomology, Nematology Lab, East Lansing, MI[2] (6-30-76).
Michigan State University, Crop and Soil Science Department, East Lansing, MI[2] (6-30-80).
Michigan State University, Soil Testing Laboratory, East Lansing, MI.
Michigan, University of, Botany Department, Ann Arbor, MI[2] (6-30-79).
Michigan Testing Engineers, Inc., Michigan Drilling Division, Detroit, MI.
Midwest Soil Testing Service, Danforth, IL.
Mier, Ezra, Raleigh, NC.
Miles Laboratories, Inc., Marschall Division, Elkhart, IN[2] (6-30-77).
Miles Laboratories, Inc., Miles Research Division, West Haven, CT[2] (6-30-77).
Milwaukee, City of, Sewage Commission, Milwaukee, WI.
Minnesota Department of Highways, Office of Materials, St. Paul, MN.
Minnesota Mining and Manufacturing Co., Agrichemical Laboratories, St. Paul, MN[2] (6-30-76).
Minnesota, University of, Department of Geology & Geophysics, Minneapolis, MN[2] (6-30-80).
Minnesota, University of, The Hormel Institute, Austin, MN[2] (6-30-76).
Minnesota, University of, Department of Plant Pathology, St. Paul, MN[2] (6-30-78).
Minnesota, University of, Department of Soil Science, St. Paul, MN[2] (6-30-80).
Mississippi State University, State College, MS.
Mississippi, University of, University, MS.
Missouri Highway Commission, Jefferson City, MO.
Missouri, University of, Department of Food Sciences and Nutrition, Columbia, MO.
Missouri, University of, Division of Biology, Colunbia, MO[2] (6-30-76).
Monsanto Co., Agricultural Division, St. Louis, MO[2] (6-30-78).
Morse Laboratories, Sacramento, CA.
Mountain States Research and Development, Tucson, AZ[2] (6-30-80).
Mueser, Rutledge, Wentworth, and Johnston, New York, NY[2] (6-30-80).

N

Na-Churs Plant Food Co., Marion, OH[2] (6-30-80).
Na-Churs, Red Oak, IA.
National Aeronautics and Space Administration, Ames Research Center, Moffett Field, CA[2] (12-30-75).
National Bulk Carriers, Inc., New York, NY.
National Laboratories, Evansville, IN.
National Soil Services, Inc., Dallas, TX.
National Soil Services, Inc., Houston, TX[2] (6-30-77).
National Spectrographic, Division of Shiller Industries, Warrenville Heights, OH.
Natural Resources Laboratory, Golden, CO.
Nebraska Department of Roads, Soil Testing Laboratory, Lincoln, NE.
Nebraska, University of, Department of Agronomy, Heim Hall, Lincoln, NE[2] (6-30-78).
Nelson Laboratories, Stockton, CA[2] (6-30-80).
Nevada State Highway Department Laboratory, Carson City, NV.
New Hampshire, University of, Department of Earth Sciences, Durham, NH[2] (6-30-76).
New Jersey Department of Transportation, Trenton, NJ.
New Mexico State Highway Department, Santa Fe, NM.
New Mexico State University, Soil and Water Testing Laboratory, Las Cruces, NM[2] (6-30-76).
New York Botanical Garden, Cryptogamic Herbarium, Bronx, NY[2] (6-30-76).
New York State, University of, College of Environmental Sciences & Forestry, Dept. of Forest Botany & Pathology, Syracuse, NY[2] (6-30-80).
Niagara Chemical Division of FMC Corp., Middleport, NY.
North Carolina Department of Agriculture, Raleigh, NC.
North Carolina Department of Geology, Raleigh, NC.
North Carolina State University, Department of Soil Science, International Soil Testing Project, Raleigh, NC[2] (6-30-80).
North Carolina, University of, Research Lab. of Anthropology, Chapel Hill, NC[2] (6-30-76).
North Carolina, University of, Department of Botany, Chapel Hill, NC (Dr. J. N. Couch).
North Carolina, University of, Department of Botany, Chapel Hill, NC (Dr. N. G. Miller)[2] (6-30-80).
North Carolina, University of, Department of Botany, Chapel Hill, NC (Dr. Edward G. Barry).
North Dakota State Highway Department, State Highway Department Laboratory, Bismarck, ND.
Norvell Plowman Laboratories, Little Rock, AR.
Nu-ag, Division of U.S. Testing Co., Inc., Rochelle, IL.
Nutting, H. C., Co., Cincinnati, OH.

O

Ohio Florist Association, Columbus, OH.
Ohio State University, Botany Department, Columbus, OH[2] (6-30-76).
Ohio State University, Department of Agronomy, Columbus, OH[2] (6-30-80).
Ohio State University, Institute of Polar Studies, Columbus, OH[2] (6-30-76).
Ohio State University, Ohio Extension Service, Columbus, OH.
Oklahoma State Highway Department, Materials Division, Oklahoma City, OK.
Oklahoma State, University, Stillwater, OK.
Oklahoma State University, Department of Agronomy, Stillwater, OK.
Oklahoma State, University, School of Civil Engineering, Stillwater, OK.
Oklahoma Soil Testing Laboratories, Oklahoma City, OK.
Oklahoma, University of, School of Civil Engineering and Environmental Science, Norman, OK.
Old Dominion University, Norfolk, VA.
Olson Management Service, Freeport, IL.
Onondaga Soil Testing, Inc., East Syracuse, NY.
Oregon State Highway Department, Salem, OR[2].
Oregon State University, Soils Department, Corvallis, OR[2] (6-30-76).
Osborne Laboratories, Inc., Los Angeles, CA.
Owens-Illinois Inc., Technical Center, Toledo, OH[2] (6-30-76)

P

Pacific Spectro Chemical Laboratory, Los Angeles, CA.
Paleo Data, Inc., New Orleans, LA[2] (6-30-76).
Pan American Laboratories, Brownsville, TX[2] (6-30-80).
Parke, Davis, & Co., (Joseph Campau at the River), Detroit, MI.
Parrill, Irwin H., Edwardsville, IL.
Pattison's Labortories, Inc., Harlingen, TX.
Penniman & Browne, Inc., Baltimore, MD.
Penniman & Browne, Inc., Richmond, VA.
Pennsylvania State University, Department of Agronomy, University Park, PA[2] (6-30-76).
Pennsylvania State University, Department of Anthropology, University Park, PA[2] (6-30-76).
Pennsylvania, University of, Dept. of Geology, Philadelphia, PA[2] (6-30-78).
Peters, Robert B., Co., Allentown, PA.
Pfeiffer Foundation, Inc., Threefold Farm, Spring Valley, NY[2] (6-30-78).
Pfizer, Charles, & Co., Inc., Groton, CT.
Phifer, Allen, Thorofare, NJ.
Pickett, Ray, and Silver, St. Peters, MO.
Pioneer Testing Laboratory, Inc., Redlands, CA.
Pittsburgh Testing Laboratory, Pittsburgh, PA[3].
Plains Laboratory, Lubbock, TX.
Pope, W. I., Mobile, AL.
Portland Cement Association, Skokie, IL.
Portland State College, Department of Biology, Portland, OR[2] (6-30-77).
Princeton University, Department of Geological & Geophysical Sciences, Princeton, NJ[2] (6-30-76).
Purdue University, Department of Agronomy, Lafayette, IN[2] (6-30-79).
Purdue University, Department of Entomology, Lafayette, IN[2] (6-30-77).
PVO International Inc., Los Angeles, CA[2] (6-30-77).

Q

Queens College, Flushing, NY.

R

Rabe, Fred N., Engineering, Inc., Fresno, CA.
Raymond International, St. Louis, MO.
Reitz and Jens, Clayton, MO.
Resources International, Fresno, CA[2] (6-30-79).
Richfield Oil Corp., Long Beach, CA.
Ringel and Associates, Chico, CA.
Rochester, University of, Department of Biology, Rochester, NY[2] (6-30-79).
Rocky Mountain Geochemical Corp., Midvale, UT.
Rocky Mountain Geochemical Corp., Prescott, AZ.
Rocky Mountain Geochemical Corp., West Jordan, UT[2] (6-30-80).
Rocky Mountain Technology, Inc., Golden, CO.
Rosner-Hixon Laboratories, Chicago, IL.
Royster Co., Norfolk, VA.[3]
Rummel, Klepper, & Kahl, Lansdowne, MD.
Rutgers, the State University, Department of Soils and Crops, New Brunswick, NJ[2] (6-30-76).
Rutgers, the State University, International Agricultural Programs, New Brunswick, NJ[2] (6-30-76).
Rutgers, the State University, Soils Extension Specialist, New Brunswick, NJ.

S

San Fernando Valley State College, Department of Biology, Northridge, CA.

Sayre & Sutherland, Inc., Richmond, VA.
Schering Corp., Bloomfield, NJ [2] (6-30-79).
Scientific Associates, Inc., St. Louis, MO [2] (6-30-78).
Scott, O. M., & Sons, Seed Co., Marysville, OH.
Scottland Soil Laboratory, Chrisman, IL.
Seabrook Farms, Seabrook, NJ.
Shankman Laboratories, Los Angeles, CA.
Shannon & Wilson, Inc., Burlingame, CA.
Shannon & Wilson, Inc., Portland, OR.
Shannon & Wilson, Inc., Seattle, WA.
Shawnee College Soils Laboratory, Ullin, IL.
Shell Development Co., Biological Sciences Research Center, Modesto, CA.
Shilstone Testing Laboratory, Inc., Baton Rouge, LA.
Shilstone Testing Laboratory, Corpus Christi, TX.
Shilstone Testing Laboratory, Inc., Houston, TX.
Shilstone Testing Laboratory, Inc., Lafayette, LA.
Shilstone Testing Laboratory, Inc., Monroe, LA.
Shilstone Testing Laboratory, Inc., New Orleans, LA.
Skyline Laboratories, Inc., Wheat Ridge, CO [2] (6-30-77).
Smith-Douglas, Chesapeake, VA.
Smith-Douglas Co., Division of Borden Inc., Columbus, OH.
Smith, Kline, & French Laboratories, Swedeland, PA [2] (6-30-79).
Snohomish Farm Veterinary Service, Snohomish, WA.
Soil and Materials Engineers, Detroit, MI.
Soil and Plant Laboratory, Inc., Santa Ana, CA [2] (6-30-77).
Soil and Plant Laboratory, Inc., Santa Clara, CA [2] (6-30-80).
Soil Consultants, Inc., Charleston, SC.
Soil Consultants, Inc., Merrifield, VA.
Soil Control Laboratory, Watsonville, CA.
Soil Engineering Services, Decatur, IL.
Soil Exploration Co., St. Paul, MN.
Soil Services, Inc., Mountain View, CA [2] (6-30-78).
Soil Systems, Inc., Marietta, GA.
Soil Test, Moorestown, NJ.
Soil Testing, Burlington, WA.
Soilab Enterprises, Lancaster, CA.
South Alabama, University of, Department of Geology, Mobile, AL [2] (6-30-78).
South Carolina, University of, Columbia, SC.
South Dakota State Highway Department, Materials and Testing Department, Pierre, SD.
Southeastern Materials Laboratory, Phoenix, AZ.
Southern California Testing Lab., Inc., San Diego, CA [2] (6-30-76).
Southern Illinois Farm Foundation, Vienna, IL.
Southern Laboratories, Mobile, AL.
Southern Technical Services, Inc., Jackson, MS.
Southern Testing and Research Laboratories, Wilson, NC.
Southern Testing Laboratory, Montgomery, AL.
Southern Turf Nurseries, Tifton, GA [2] (6-30-79).
Southwestern Assayers & Chemists, Inc., Tucson, AZ [2] (6-30-79).
Southwestern Irrigation Field Station, Brawley, CA.
Southwestern Laboratories, Inc., Houston, TX.[1]
Southwestern Laboratories of Louisiana, Inc., Alexandria, LA.
Southwestern Laboratories of Louisiana, Inc., Baton Rouge, LA.
Southwestern Laboratories of Louisiana, Inc., Monroe, LA.
Southwestern Laboratories of Louisiana, Inc., Shreveport, LA.
Squibb, E. R., & Sons, Dept. of Microbiology, Lawrenceville, NJ [2] (6-30-79).
Stabilization Chemicals, Orange, CA [2] (6-30-77).
Standard Laboratories, Goodfield, IL.
Standard Testing & Engineering Co., Oklahoma City, OK [2] (6-30-76).
Stanford Research Institute, Menlo Park, CA [2] (6-30-77).
Stauffer Chemical Co., Mountain View, CA.
Stauffer Chemical Co., Richmond, CA.
Stilwell & Gladding, Inc., New York, NY.
Stone, Ralph and Co., Inc., Los Angeles, CA [2] (6-30-76).
Stoner Laboratories, Campbell, CA.
Stoner Laboratories, Inc., Santa Clara, CA [2] (6-30-77).
Strawinsky Laboratory, Long Beach, CA.
Sverdrup and Parcel & Associates, Inc., St. Louis, MO [2] (6-30-77).
Superior Oil Company, Geophysical Laboratory, Houston, TX [2] (6-30-79).
Syracuse University Research Corp., Syracuse, NY.

T

T-M-T Chemical Co., Inc., Five Points, CA.
Teledyne Isotopes, Palo Alto, CA.
Tennent & Associates, Memphis, TN.
Tennessee, University of, Soil Testing Laboratory, Nashville, TN.
Tennessee Valley Authority, Materials Engineering Laboratory, Knoxville, TN.
Terratech, Inc., San Jose, CA.
Test, Inc., Memphis, TN.
Testing Engineers, Inc., Oakland, CA.
Testing Engineers, Inc., San Jose, CA.
Testing Service Corp., Wheaton, IL.
Tetco, Trinity Engineering Testing Corp., Corpus Christi, TX.
Texas A & M University, Department of Sociology and Anthropology, College Station, TX [2] (6-30-80).
Texas A & M University, Soil Testing Laboratory, Agricultural Extension Service and Experiment Station, College Station, TX.
Texas Soil Laboratory, McAllen, TX [2] (6-30-78).
Texas Technological University, Department of Agronomy, Lubbock, TX [2] (6-30-76).
Texas Testing Laboratories, Dallas, TX.
Texas, University of, Radiocarbon Laboratory, Balcones Research Center, Austin, TX [2] (6-30-79).
Thompson, Vester J., Jr., Inc., Mobile, AL.
Thornton Laboratories, Inc., Tampa, FL.
Three Gee Dee, Pembroke, FL.
Tippetts - Abbett - McCarthy - Stratton, New York, NY [2] (6-30-76).
Trinity Testing Laboratories, Inc., Corpus Christi, TX.
Triple S Laboratory, Inc., Loveland, CO [2] (6-30-79).
Truesdale Laboratories, Inc., Los Angeles, CA.
Tulane University, Department of Geology, New Orleans, LA [2] (6-30-77).
Tulsa, University of, Department of Geology, Tulsa, OK [2] (6-30-76).
Twin City Testing and Engineering Laboratory, Inc., St. Paul, MN.
Twin County Services Co., Murphysboro, IL.
Twining Laboratories, Inc., Fresno, CA [2] (6-30-79).
Twining Laboratory of Southern California, Long Beach, CA.

U

U.S. Agricultural Consultants Laboratories, San Gabriel, CA.
U.S. Borax Research Corp., Anaheim, CA.
U.S. Plant, Soil, and Nutrition Laboratory, Ithaca, NY.
U.S. Sugar Corporation, Research Department, Clewiston, FL.
U.S. Terrestrial Plants Laboratory, Hanover, NH
U.S. Testing Co., Inc., Los Angeles, CA
U.S. Testing Co., Inc., Hoboken, NJ.
U.S. Testing Co., Memphis Laboratory, Memphis, TN [2] (6-30-79).
U.S. Testing Laboratory, Richland, WA.
USS Agri-Chemicals, Belmond, IA.
USS Agri-Chemicals, Decatur, GA.
Union Carbide, Corp., Grand Junction, CO [2] (6-30-80).
Union Carbide Corp., Niagara Falls, NY [2] (6-30-80).
Union Carbide Corp., South Charleston, WV.
Union Oil Company of California, Brea, CA.
Upjohn Co., Pharmaceutical Division, Kalamazoo, MI [2] (6-30-80).
Urban Testing and Construction Engineers, Inc., Atlanta, GA.
Utah State University, College of Engineering, Agriculture and Irrigation Engineering, Logan, UT.
Utah State University, Department of Biology, Logan, UT [2] (6-30-79).
Utah State University, Soil Laboratory, Logan, UT.
Utah State University, Soil and Water Conservation Research, Mechanic Arts, Logan, UT.
Utah State University, Crops Research Laboratory, Logan, UT.
Utah, University of, Department of Anthropology, Salt Lake City, UT [2] (6-30-76).

U.S. GOVERNMENT

U.S. Department of Agriculture, APHIS, Environmental Quality Laboratory, Brownsville, TX.
U.S. Department of Agriculture, APHIS, Environmental Quality Laboratory, Gulfport, MS.
U.S. Department of Agriculture, APHIS, Golden Nematode Laboratory, Hicksville, N.Y.
U.S. Department of Agriculture, APHIS, Gypsy Moth Laboratory, Otis AFB, MA.
U.S. Department of Agriculture, APHIS, Southern Methods Development Laboratory, Gulfport, MS.
U.S. Department of Agriculture, ARS, CARD, U.S. Fruit, Vegetable, Soil, and Water Laboratory, Nematology Investigation, Weslaco, TX [2] (6-30-77).
U.S. Department of Agriculture, ARS, SWC, Citrus, Vegetable, Soil, and Water Laboratory, Weslaco, TX.
U.S. Department of Agriculture, ARS, Plant and Entomological Sciences, Washington, DC [1].
U.S. Department of Agriculture, ARS, Soil, Water, and Air Sciences, Washington, DC [1].
U.S. Department of Agriculture, ARS, Southern Piedmont Conservation Research Center, Watkinsville, GA [2] (6-30-78).
U.S. Department of Agriculture, ARS, U.S. Water Conservation Lab, Phoenix, AZ [2] (6-30-79).
U.S. Department of Agriculture, Forest Service, Riverside, CA.
U.S. Department of Agriculture, FS, Forest Products Laboratory, Madison, WI [2] (6-30-77).
U.S. Department of Agriculture, FS, Southern Forest Experiment Station, Pineville, LA.
U.S. Department of Agriculture, FS, SEFES, Athens, GA.
U.S. Department of Agriculture, FS, Washington, DC.[1]
U.S. Department of Agriculture, FS, Wood Products Insect Laboratory, Gulfport, MS.
U.S. Department of Agriculture, SCS, Engineering and Watershed Planning Unit, Materials Testing Section, Portland, OR [2] (6-30-77).
U.S. Department of Agriculture, SCS, Engineering Division, Washington, DC.[1]
U.S. Department of Agriculture, SCS, Soil Mechanics Laboratory, Lincoln, NE.

U.S. Department of Agriculture, SCS, Soil Survey Investigations Unit, Lincoln, NE[2] (6-30-78).
U.S. Department of Agriculture, SCS, Soil Survey Laboratory, Riverside, CA[2] (6-30-77).
U.S. Department of Agriculture, SCS, Soil Survey, Washington, DC[1]
U.S. Department of Commerce, National Bureau of Standards, Health Physics Section, Gaithersburg, MD[2] (6-30-80).
U.S. Department of Defense, U.S. Air Force, AFCES/DL Civil Engineering Center, Tyndall AFB, Panama City, FL[2] (6-30-78).
U.S. Department of Defense, U.S. Air Force, Air Force Cambridge Research Laboratories (AFSC), Laurence G. Hanscom Field, Bedford, MA.
U.S. Department of Defense, U.S. Air Force, Air Force Weapons Laboratory, Kirkland AFB, Albuquerque, NM[2] (6-30-76).
U.S. Department of Defense, U.S. Army Corps of Engineers, Chicago, IL.
U.S. Department of Defense, U.S. Army Corps of Engineers, Engineering Division Laboratory, Marietta, GA[2] (6-30-77).
U.S. Department of Defense, U.S. Army Corps of Engineers, Vicksburg, MS.
U.S. Department of Defense, U.S. Army Corps of Engineers, Engineer Waterways Experiment Station, Vicksburg, MS[2] (6-30-76).
U.S. Department of Defense, U.S. Army Corps of Engineers, Washington, DC.[1]
U.S. Department of Defense, U.S. Army, Electronics Command, Institute for Exploratory Research, Fort Monmouth, NJ.
U.S. Department of Defense, U.S. Army Environmental Hygiene Agency, Aberdeen Proving Ground, MD[2] (6-30-80).
U.S. Department of Defense, U.S. Army Facility Engineering Support Agency, Engineering Division, Nuclear Branch, Fort Belvoir, VA[2] (6-30-79).
U.S. Department of Defense, U.S. Army Mobile Equipment Research Development Center, Countermine/Counter Intrusion Dept., Fort Belvoir, VA[2] (6-30-79).
U.S. Department of Defense, U.S. Army, South Pacific Corps of Engineers, Engineering Division Laboratory, Sausalito, CA[2] (6-30-78).
U.S. Department of Defense, U.S. Navy, Atlantic Division, Naval Facilities Engineering Command, Norfolk, VA[2] (6-30-76).
U.S. Department of Defense, U.S. Navy, Naval Facilities Engineering Command, Soil Mechanics and Paving Branch, Norfolk, VA.
U.S. Department of Health, Education, and Welfare, National Communicable Disease Center, Mycology Branch, Atlanta, GA[2] (6-30-78).
U.S. Department of the Interior, Bureau of Indian Affairs, Soil Testing Laboratory, Gallup, NM.
U.S. Department of the Interior, Branch of Central Environmental Geology, Denver, CO[2] (6-30-76).
U.S. Department of the Interior, Engineering and Research Center, Bureau of Reclamation, Denver, CO.
U.S. Department of the Interior, Geological Survey, Branch of Exploration Research, Denver, CO[2] (6-30-76).
U.S. Department of the Interior, Geological Survey, Albuquerque, NM.
U.S. Department of the Interior, Geological survey, Denver, CO[2] (6-30-77).
U.S. Department of the Interior, Geological Survey, Harrisburg, PA.
U.S. Department of the Interior, Geological Survey, Washington, DC.[1]
U.S. Department of the Interior, National Park Service, Ecological Services Division, Bay St. Louis, MS.
U.S. Department of the Interior, National Park Service Science Center, National Space Technology Labs., Bay St. Louis, MS[2] (6-30-76).
U.S. Department of Transportation, Federal Highway Administration, Fairbanks Highway Research Station, McLean, VA.
U.S. Department of Transportation, Federal Highway Administration, Materials Testing Laboratory, Vancouver, WA[2] (6-30-77).
U.S. Department of Transportation, Federal Highway Administration, Washington, D.C.[1]
U.S. Department of Transportation, Federal Highway Administration, Sevier County Industrial Park, Sevierville, TN[2] (6-30-76).
U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, Pesticides Monitoring Laboratory, Bay St. Louis, MS.
U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, Robert Kerr Laboratories, Ada, OK.
U.S. Geological Survey, Quality of Water Laboratory, Water Resources Division, Menlo Park, CA.

V

Valmont Industries, Inc., Valley, NE.
Value Engineering Company, Alexandria, VA.
Velsicol Chemical Corp., Chicago, IL[2] (6-30-80).
Vermillion Co., Farm Bureau, Danville, IL.
Vermont, University of, Burlington, VT.
Virginia Department of Highways, Richmond, VA.
Virginia Polytechnic Institute, Blacksburg, VA.
Virginia Truck Experiment Station, Painter, VA.
Virginia Truck Experiment Station, Virginia Beach, VA.
Virginia, University of, Department of Environmental Sciences, Charlottesville, VA[2] (6-30-77).
Vistron Company, Lima, OH.

W

Wahler, W. A., & Associates, Palo Alto, CA.
Walker Laboratories, Columbia, SC.
Walker Laboratories, Florence, SC.
Ward Engineering Testing, Inc., Atlanta, GA.
Ward, J. S., & Associates, Caldwell, NJ[2] (6-30-76).
Ward Lind Engineers, Inc., Jackson, MS.
Warf Institute, Inc., Madison, WI.
Washington State University, Department of Botany, Pullman, WA[2] (6-30-76).
Washington, University of, College of Forest Resources, Seattle, WA[2] (6-30-76).
Washington, University of, Department of Anthropology, St. Louis, MO[2] (6-30-76).
Washington, University of, Department of Biology, St. Louis, MO[2] (6-30-76).
Washington, University of, Department of Geological Sciences, Seattle, WA[2] (6-30-77).
Washington, University of, Department of Radiation Ecology, Seattle, WA[2] (6-30-80).
Washington, University, St. Louis, MO.
Weber State College, Department of Microbiology, Ogden, UT.
Western Agricultural Laboratory, Redlands, CA[2] (6-30-77).
Western Research Laboratories, Niagara Chemical Division, FMC, Richmond, CA.
West Virginia Department of Highways, Charleston, WV.
West Virginia, University of, Soil Testing Laboratory, Morgantown, WV.
Westfall Engineers, Saratoga, CA.
Wharton County Junior College, Soil Testing Laboratory, Wharton, TX.
Whitaker Laboratories, Inc., Savannah, GA.
Whittaker Corp., San Diego, CA.
William and Mary, College of, Williamsburg, VA.
Williams, E. V., Co., Inc., Virginia Beach, VA.
Wisconsin Department of Transportation, Madison, WI.
Wisconsin, University of, Department of Anthropology, Milwaukee, WI[2] (6-30-79).
Wisconsin, University of, Department of Bacteriology, Madison, WI[2] (12-31-75).
Wisconsin, University of, Department of Soil Science, Madison, WI[2] (6-30-79).
Wisconsin, University of, Department of Geography, Milwaukee, WI[2] (6-30-77).
Wolf's Dr., Agricultural Laboratories, Fort Lauderdale, FL[2] (6-30-80).
Woodward Research Corp., Herndon, VA.
Woodson-Tenent Laboratories, Memphis, TN[2] (6-30-80).
Woodward & Associates, Inc., Baton Rouge, LA.
Woodward, Clyde, & Associates, Orange, CA.
Woodward, Clyde, & Associates, Clifton, NJ.
Woodward, Clyde, & Associates, San Diego, CA.
Woodward-Clyde Consultants, Denver, CO[2] (6-30-77).
Woodward-Clyde Consultants, Plymouth Meeting, PA[2] (6-30-76).
Woodward, Gizienski & Associates, San Diego, CA[2] (6-30-80).
Woodward-Gardner & Associates, Philadelphia, PA.
Woodward-Krazynski & Associates, Inc., Houston, TX[2] (6-30-78).
Woodward-Lundgren & Associates, San Jose, CA.
Woodward-McMaster & Associates, Kansas City, MO.
Woodward-McMaster & Associates, Inc., St. Louis, MO.
Woodward-Moorehouse & Associates, Inc., Clifton, NJ[2] (6-30-76).
Woodville Lime Products, Woodville, OH.
Wyoming, University of, Department of Botany, Laramie, WY[2] (6-30-76).

Y

Yakima Testing Laboratory, Yakima, WA[2] (6-30-79).
Yale University, Department of Anthropology, New Haven, CT[2] (6-30-76).
Yale University, Department of Geology & Geophysics, New Haven, CT[2] (6-30-78).
Yale University, Greeley Laboratories, New Haven, CT[2] (6-30-77).
Yeshiva University, New York, NY.
Yule, Jordan, and Associates, Camp Hill, PA.

Z

Zeff Associates, Inc., Denver, CO[2] (6-30-76).
Zoecon Corp., Palo Alto, CA.

Done at Washington, D.C., this 25th day of July 1975.

T. G. DARLING,
*Acting Deputy Administrator, Plant Protection and Quarantine Programs.*

NOTE—All laboratories not designated by footnote[2] are approved to receive soil samples pursuant to sections 301.48-3, 301.80-3, 301.81-3, and 301.85-3, of the Japanese beetle, witchweed, imported fire ant, and golden nematode regulations (7 CFR 301.48-3, 301.80-3, 301.81-3, 301.85-3). A date after a name indicates when approval to receive soil samples pursuant to Subpart—Movement of Soil, Stone, and Quarry Products (7 CFR 330.300 *et seq.*) expires.

[FR Doc.75-19790 Filed 7-29-75;8:45 am]

---

[1] Approval includes all branch laboratories in conterminous United States.

[2] Approved to receive soil samples pursuant to Subpart—Movement of Soil, Stone, and Quarry Products (7 CFR 330.300 *et seq.*) only.

[3] Approved to receive soil samples pursuant to Subpart—Movement of Soil, Stone, and Quarry Products (7 CFR 330.300 *et seq.*) and pursuant to sections 301.48-3, 301.80-3, 301.81-3, and 301.85-3, of the Japanese beetle, witchweed, imported fire ant, and golden nematode regulations (7 CFR 301.48-3, 301.80-3, 301.81-3, 301.85-3).

### Forest Service

#### OSCEOLA NATIONAL FOREST, FLORIDA TIMBER MANAGEMENT PLAN

**Notice of Availability of Final Environmental Statement; Correction**

In FR Doc. 75-18522 appearing at page 30148 in the FEDERAL REGISTER of Thursday, July 17, 1975, the title of the environmental statement is corrected to read, OSCEOLA NATIONAL FOREST, FLORIDA.

Dated: July 22, 1975.

DAVID F. JOLLY,
*Regional Environmental Coordinator.*

[FR Doc.75-19776 Filed 7-29-75;8:45 am]

#### APPALACHICOLA NATIONAL FOREST, FLORIDA TIMBER MANAGEMENT PLAN

**Notice of Availability of Final Environmental Statement; Correction**

In FR Doc. 75-18523 appearing at page 30147 in the FEDERAL REGISTER of Thursday, July 17, 1975, the title of the environmental statement is corrected to read, APPALACHICOLA NATIONAL FOREST, FLORIDA.

Dated: July 22, 1975.

DAVID F. JOLLY,
*Regional Environmental Coordinator.*

[FR Doc.75-19777 Filed 7-29-75;8:45 am]

### Rural Electrification Administration

#### GULF TELEPHONE CO., FOLEY, ALABAMA

**Proposed Loan Guarantee**

Under the authority of Public Law 93-32 (87 Stat. 65) and in conformance with applicable agency policies and procedures as set forth in REA Bulletin 320-22, "Guarantee of Loans for Telephone Facilities," dated February 4, 1975, published in proposed form in the Federal Register, September 16, 1974, (Vol. 39 No. 180, pages 33228-33229) notice is hereby given that the Administrator of REA will consider providing a guarantee supported by the full faith and credit of the United States of America for a loan in the approximate amount of $10,605,000 to Gulf Telephone Company, Foley, Alabama. The loan funds will be used to finance the construction of facilities to extend telephone service to new subscribers, and improve telephone service for existing subscribers.

Legally organized lending agencies capable of making, holding and servicing the loan proposed to be guaranteed may obtain information and details of the proposed project from Mr. John McClure Snook, President, Gulf Telephone Company, Drawer 670, Foley, Alabama.

To assure consideration, proposals must be submitted (within 30 days of the date of this notice) to Mr. John McClure Snook. The right is reserved to give such consideration and make such evaluation or other disposition of all proposals received, as the Gulf Telephone Company and REA deem appropriate.

Prospective lenders are advised that financing for this project is available from the Federal Financing Bank under a standing loan commitment agreement with the Rural Electrification Administration.

Copies of REA Bulletin 320-22 are available from the Director, Information Services Division, Rural Electrification Administration, U.S. Department of Agriculture, Washington, D.C. 20250.

Dated at Washington, D.C., this 21 day of July, 1975.

DAVID A. HAMIL,
*Administrator,*
*Rural Electrification Administration.*

[FR Doc.75-19735 Filed 7-29-75;8:45 am]

## DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

### Office of the Secretary

#### COASTAL ZONE MANAGEMENT ADVISORY COMMITTEE

**Renewal**

In accordance with the provisions of the Federal Advisory Committee Act, 5 U.S.C. App. I and Office of Management and Budget Circular A-63 of March, 1974, and after consultation with OMB, the Secretary of Commerce has determined that the renewal of the Coastal Zone Management Advisory Committee is in the public interest in connection with the performance of duties imposed on the Department by law.

The Committee was first established in August, 1973, and it was to terminate on August 29, 1975. Its original purpose was to advise, consult with, and make recommendations to the Secretary on matters of policy concerning the coastal zone, as provided in the Coastal Zone Management Act of 1972. The Committee has made several recommendations to date, two to the Secretary of Commerce, and several others to the Office of Coastal Zone Management. The Secretary, after evaluation and modification of the Committee's first recommendation, forwarded it to the Office of Management and Budget in the form of a legislative proposal.

The Committee's deliberations have been useful to the Office of Coastal Zone Management in formulating policy alternatives, in deciding on program needs, and on obtaining suggestions and revisions on draft guidelines. Moreover, from time to time, individual members have filed their own views on the programs and progress of the Coastal Zone Management Program. Also, individual policy issue papers have been initiated by Committee members and presented to the Committee as a group. No official Committee action has been taken on these submissions. However, these individual comments have been of assistance to the Assistant Administrator and his staff in administering the program.

As initially established, the Committee will continue with a balanced representation of 15 members, chaired by the Associate Administrator of the National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration, and will operate in compliance with the provisions of the Federal Advisory Committee Act.

Copies of the Committee's revised charter will be filed with appropriate committees of the Congress and with the Library of Congress 15 days after the date this notice appears.

Inquiries or comments may be addressed to the Committee Control Officer, Dr. Richard Keating, Office of Coastal Zone Management, National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration, 3300 Whitehaven Street, N.W., Washington, D.C. 20235, telephone: 202/634-4245.

Dated: July 23, 1975.

GUY W. CHAMBERLAIN, JR.,
*Acting Assistant Secretary for Administration.*

[FR Doc.75-19783 Filed 7-29-75;8:45 am]

## DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH, EDUCATION, AND WELFARE

### Center for Disease Control

#### TUBERCULOSIS CONTROL ADVISORY COMMITTEE

**Meeting**

In accordance with section 10(a)(2) of the Federal Advisory Committee Act (Pub. L. 92-463), the Center for Disease Control announces the following Committee meeting:

Name: Tuberculosis Control Advisory Committee.

Date: August 27, 1975.

Place: Room 2107, Building 1, Center for Disease Control, 1600 Clifton Road, NE., Atlanta, Georgia 30333.

Time: 9:00 a.m.

Type of Meeting: Open.

Contact Person: Ms. Mary Louise Atkinson, Executive Secretary, Room 3403, Building 1, CDC, Atlanta, Georgia 30333, Phone: 404-633-3311, Ext. 3606.

Purpose: The Committee consults with and advises the Tuberculosis Control Division, Bureau of State Services, Center for Disease Control on policies and programs in tuberculosis control.

Agenda: To discuss policy guidelines on use of isoniazid as preventive treatment in tuberculosis control.

Agenda items are subject to change as priorities dictate.

The meeting will be open to public observation and participation. A roster of members and other relevant information regarding the meeting may be obtained from the contact person listed above.

Dated: July 24, 1975.

WILLIAM H. FOEGE,
*Acting Director,*
*Center for Disease Control.*

[FR Doc.75-19898 Filed 7-29-75;8:45 am]

#### TUBERCULOSIS CONTROL ADVISORY COMMITTEE AND VENEREAL DISEASE CONTROL ADVISORY COMMITTEE

**Renewals**

Pursuant to the Federal Advisory Committee Act, 5 U.S.C. Appendix I, the Center for Disease Control announces the renewal by the Secretary, DHEW, on June 30, 1975, with concurrence by the Office of Management and Budget Committee Secretariat, of the following committees:

| Committee | Termination Date |
|---|---|
| Tuberculosis Control Advisory Committee | June 30, 1977 |
| Venereal Disease Control Advisory Committee | June 30, 1977 |

Authority for these committees will expire on the dates indicated, unless the Secretary formally determines that continuance is in the public interest.

Dated: July 24, 1975.

WILLIAM H. FOEGE,
*Acting Director,*
*Center for Disease Control.*

---

### Office of Education

### HANDICAPPED RESEARCH AND DEMONSTRATION

#### Closing Date for Receipt of Applications

Notice is hereby given that pursuant to the authority contained in Sections 641 and 642 of Part E of the Education of the Handicapped Act (20 U.S.C. 1441, 1442), applications are being accepted for support of research and related purposes related to education of the handicapped. This announcement covers applications for new awards only for both the Field Initiated Studies program and the Student Research program.

The Field Initiated Studies program is designed to be responsive to a broad range of research and research related activities focusing on the education of handicapped children. One award cycle is planned for Fiscal Year 1976. Under the Student Research program the Office of Education is interested in a broad range of student initiated and directed research and research-related projects focusing on the education of handicapped children. Two award cycles are planned for Fiscal Year 1976. Proposals received for the first cycle will not be held over for the second cycle, but may be resubmitted.

Applications must be received by the U.S. Office of Education Application Control Center on or before the following dates: for Field Initiated Studies, October 31, 1975; for Student Research, First Cycle, October 1, 1975; and for Student Research, Second Cycle, March 1, 1976.

A. *Applications sent by mail.* An application sent by mail should be addressed as follows: U.S. Office of Education, Application Control Center, 400 Maryland Avenue, S.W., Washington, D.C. 20202, Attention: 13.443. An application sent by mail will be considered to be received on time by the Application Control Center if:

(1) The application was sent by registered or certified mail not later than October 27, 1975 for Field Initiated Studies, September 26, 1975 for Student Research, First Cycle, and February 26, 1976 for Student Research, Second Cycle, as evidenced by the U.S. Postal Service postmark on the wrapper or envelope, or on the original receipt from the U.S. Postal Service; or

(2) The application is received on or before the closing date by either the Department of Health, Education, and Welfare, or the U.S. Office of Education mailrooms in Washington, D.C. (In establishing the date of receipt, the Commissioner will rely on the time-date stamp of such mailrooms or other documentary evidence or receipt maintained by the Department of Health, Education, and Welfare, or the U.S. Office of Education).

B. *Hand delivered applications.* An application to be hand delivered must be taken to the U.S. Office of Education Application Control Center, Room 5673, Regional Office Building Three, 7th and D Streets, S.W., Washington, D.C. Hand delivered applications will be accepted daily between the hours of 8 a.m. and 4 p.m. Washington, D.C. time except Saturdays, Sundays, or Federal holidays. Applications will not be accepted after 4 p.m. on the closing date.

C. *Program information and forms.* Further information and application forms may be obtained from the Research Projects Branch, Bureau of Education for the Handicapped, Office of Education, 400 Maryland Avenue, S.W., (ROB, 2009), Washington, D.C. 20202.

D. *Applicable regulations.* The regulations applicable to this program include the Office of Education General Provisions Regulations (45 CFR Part 100a) and the program regulations (45 CFR Part 121h) published in the Federal Register on February 20, 1975 at 40 FR 7422.

(20 U.S.C. 1441, 1442)

(Catalog of Federal Domestic Assistance, No. 13.443 Handicapped Research and Demonstration)

Dated: July 16, 1975.

T. H. BELL,
*U.S. Commissioner of Education.*

[FR Doc.75-19722 Filed 7-29-75;8:45 am]

---

### National Institutes of Health

### DIVISION OF RESEARCH GRANTS

#### Workshop

Notice is hereby given of the Workshop on Biomedical Mass Spectrometry sponsored by the Medicinal Chemistry B Study Section, September 12-13, 1975, Building 31, Room 7, Bethesda, Maryland 20014.

This Workshop will be open to the public on September 12, 1975, at 2 p.m. until adjournment and September 13, 1975, at 8:30 a.m. until 1 p.m. Attendance by the public will be limited to space available.

Further information may be obtained from Mr. Richard P. Bratzel, Executive Secretary, Medicinal Chemistry B Study Section, Westwood Building, Room 222, telephone area code 301-496-7286.

Dated: July 22, 1975.

SUZANNE L. FREMEAU,
*Committee Management Officer,*
*National Institutes of Health.*

[FR Doc.75-19706 Filed 8-29-75;8:45 am]

---

### DIVISION OF RESEARCH GRANTS

#### Meeting

Pursuant to Public Law 92-463, notice is hereby given of the meeting of the Medicinal Chemistry B Study Section, Division of Research Grants, September 11-13, 1975, Building 31, Conference Room 7, Bethesda, Maryland 20014.

This meeting will be open to the public on September 11 from 9 a.m. to 9:30 a.m. to discuss administrative details relating to Study Section business. It will also be open on September 12 from 2 p.m. to adjournment and on September 13 from 8:30 a.m. to 1 p.m. for a Workshop on Mass Spectrometry sponsored by the Study Section and held in Conference Room 7. Attendance by the public will be limited to space available.

In accordance with provisions set forth in Sections 552(b)(4), 552(b)(5) and 552(b)(6), Title 5, U.S. Code and Section 10(d) of Public Law 92-463, the meeting will be closed to the public on September 11 from 9:30 a.m. to adjournment, on September 12 from 8:30 a.m. to 1 p.m. and on September 13 from 2 p.m. to adjournment for the review, discussion and evaluation of individual initial pending, supplemental and renewal grant applications. The closed portion of the meeting involves solely the internal expression of views and judgments of committee members on individual grant applications containing detailed research protocols, designs, and other technical information; financial data, such as salaries; and personal information concerning individuals associated with the applications.

Mr. Richard Turlington, Chief, Grants Inquiries Office of the Division of Research Grants, Westwood Building, Room 448, National Institutes of Health, Bethesda, Maryland 20014, telephone 301/496-7441, will furnish summaries of the meeting and rosters of committee members. Mr. Richard P. Bratzel, Executive Secretary, Room 222, Westwood Building, Bethesda, Maryland 20014, telephone 301/496-7286, will furnish substantive program information.

(Catalog of Federal Domestic Assistance Program No. 13.860, National Institutes of Health, DHEW)

Dated: July 22, 1975.

SUZANNE L. FREMEAU,
*Committee Management Officer,*
*National Institutes of Health.*

[FR Doc.75-19707 Filed 7-29-75;8:45 am]

---

### NATIONAL INSTITUTE OF NEUROLOGICAL AND COMMUNICATIVE DISORDERS AND STROKE

#### Meeting

Pursuant to Public Law 92-463, notice is hereby given of the meeting of the Neurological Disorders Program-Project Review A Committee, National Institute of Neurological and Communicative Disorders and Stroke, National Institutes of Health, September 25-27, 1975, in the Holiday Inn of Bethesda, 8120 Wisconsin Avenue, Bethesda, Maryland 20014.

This meeting will be open to the public from 8:30 p.m. until adjournment on September 25th, to discuss program planning and program accomplishments.

Attendance by the public will be limited to space available. In accordance with the provisions set forth in Sections 552 (b) (4), 552(b) (5) and 552(b) (6), Title 5, U.S. Code and Section 10(d) of P.L. 92–463, the meeting will be closed to the public on September 26, from 8:30 a.m. to adjournment on September 27, for the review, discussion and evaluation of individual initial pending and renewal grant applications. The closed portion of the meeting involves solely the internal expression of views and judgments of committee members on individual grant applications which contain information of a proprietary or confidential nature, including detailed research protocols, designs, and other technical information; financial data, such as salaries; and personal information concerning individuals associated with the applications.

Mrs. Ruth Dudley, Institute Information Officer, Bldg. 31, Room 8A03, Bethesda, Maryland, 20014, (301) 496–5751, will provide summaries of the meeting and rosters of committee members.

Dr. Leon J. Greenbaum, Jr., Executive Secretary, Westwood Bldg., Room 7A16A, Bethesda, Maryland, 20014 (301) 496–7966, will furnish substantive program information.

(Catalog of Federal Domestic Assistance Program No. 13.852, National Institutes of Health)

Dated: July 22, 1975.

SUZANNE L. FREMEAU,
*Committee Management Officer, National Institutes of Health.*

[FR Doc.75–19708 Filed 7–29–75;8:45 am]

## NATIONAL INSTITUTE OF NEUROLOGICAL AND COMMUNICATIVE DISORDERS AND STROKE

### Meeting

Pursuant to Public Law 92–463, notice is hereby given of the meeting of the Neurological Disorders Program-Project Review B Committee, National Institute of Neurological and Communicative Disorders and Stroke, National Institutes of Health, September 25–27, 1975, in the Holiday Inn of Bethesda, 8120 Wisconsin Avenue, Bethesda, Maryland 20014.

This meeting will be open to the public from 8:30 p.m. until adjournment on September 25th, to discuss program planning and program accomplishments. Attendance by the public will be limited to space available. In accordance with the provisions set forth in Sections 552 (b) (4), 552(b) (5) and 552(b) (6), Title 5, U.S. Code and Section 10(d) of P.L. 92–463, the meeting will be closed to the public on September 26, from 8.30 a.m. to adjournment on September 27, for the review, discussion and evaluation of individual initial pending and renewal grant applications. The closed portion of the meeting involves solely the internal expression of views and judgments of committee members on individual grant applications which contain information of a proprietary or confidential nature, including detailed research protocols, designs, and other technical information; financial data, such as salaries; and personal information concerning individuals associated with the applications.

Mrs. Ruth Dudley, Institute Information Officer, Bldg. 31, Room 8AO3, Bethesda, Maryland, 20014, (301) 496–5751, will provide summaries of the meeting and rosters of committee members.

Dr. G. Lawrence Fisher, Executive Secretary, Westwood Bldg., Room 7A16B, Bethesda, Maryland, 20014 (301) 496–7967, will furnish substantive program information.

(Catalog of Federal Domestic Assistance Program No. 13.852, National Institutes of Health)

Dated: July 22, 1975.

SUZANNE L. FREMEAU,
*Committee Management Officer, National Institutes of Health.*

[FR Doc.75–19709 Filed 7–29–75;8:45 am]

# DEPARTMENT OF HOUSING AND URBAN DEVELOPMENT

## Federal Disaster Assistance Administration

[FDAA–477–DR; NFD–278]

## NEW JERSEY

### Major Disaster and Related Determinations

Pursuant to the authority vested in the Secretary of Housing and Urban Development by the President under Executive Order 11795 of July 11, 1974, and delegated to me by the Secretary under Department of Housing and Urban Development Delegation of Authority, Docket No. D–74–285; and by virtue of the Act of May 22, 1974, entitled "Disaster Relief Act of 1974" (88 Stat. 143); notice is hereby given that on July 23, 1975, the President declared a major disaster as follows:

I have determined that the damage in certain areas of the State of New Jersey resulting from heavy rains, high winds, hail, and flooding beginning about July 13, 1975, is of sufficient severity and magnitude to warrant a major disaster declaration under Public Law 93–288. I therefore declare that such a major disaster exists in the State of New Jersey.

Notice is hereby given that pursuant to the authority vested in the Secretary of Housing and Urban Development under Executive Order 11795, and delegated to me by the Secretary under Department of Housing and Urban Development Delegation of Authority, Docket No. D–74–285, I hereby appoint Mr. Thomas R. Casey, HUD Region II, to act as the Federal Coordinating Officer for this declared major disaster.

I do hereby determine the following areas of the State of New Jersey to have been adversely affected by this declared major disaster:

The Counties of:

| | |
|---|---|
| Bergen | Morris |
| Burlington | Passaic |
| Cumberland | Salem |
| Essex | Somerset |
| Mercer | Sussex |
| Middlesex | Union |

Dated: July 23, 1975.

(Catalog of Federal Domestic Assistance No. 14.701, Disaster Assistance.)

WILLIAM E. CROCKETT,
*Acting Administrator, Federal Disaster Assistance Administration.*

[FR Doc.75–19729 Filed 7–29–75;8:45 am]

## Office of the Secretary

[Docket No. D–75–356]

## ACTING AREA DIRECTOR, KANSAS CITY, KANSAS AND OMAHA, NEBRASKA AREA OFFICES

### Designation and Delegation of Authority

Each of the officials appointed to the following positions is designated to serve as Acting Area Director during the absence of the Area Director, with all the powers, functions, and duties redelegated or assigned to the Area Director: Provided, That no official is authorized to serve as Acting Area Director unless all officials listed before him in this designation are unavailable to act by reason of absence or vacancy in the position:

1. The Deputy Area Director.
2. The Director, Community Planning and Development.
3. The Director, Housing Management.
4. The Area Counsel.

(36 FR 3389, Feb. 23, 1971, as amended at 37 FR 746, Jan. 18, 1972).

*Effective date*—This designation and delegation shall be effective on July 30, 1975.

ELMER E. SMITH,
*Regional Administrator, Region VII (Kansas City, Mo.).*

[FR Doc.75–19794 Filed 7–29–75;8:45 am]

(Docket No. D–75–357)

## ACTING INSURING DIRECTOR, TOPEKA, KANSAS AND DES MOINES, IOWA INSURING OFFICES

### Designation and Delegation of Authority

Each of the officials appointed to the following positions is designated to serve as Acting Insuring Director during the absence of the Insuring Director, with all the powers, functions, and duties redelegated or assigned to the Insuring Directors: Provided, That no official is authorized to serve as Acting Insuring Director unless all officials listed before him in this designation are unavailable to act by reason of absence or vacancy in the position:

1. The Deputy Director.
2. The Director, Housing Production & Mortgage Credit.
3. The Director, Housing Management.

*Effective date*—This designation and delegation shall be effective on July 30, 1975.

ELMER E. SMITH,
*Regional Administrator,*
*Region VII (Kansas City, Mo.).*

[FR Doc.75-19793 Filed 7-29-75;8:45 am]

(Docket No. D-75-358)

## ACTING AREA DIRECTOR, ST. LOUIS AREA OFFICE

### Designation and Delegation of Authority

Mr. Johnny Bullock, Jr. has been named Acting Area Director of the St. Louis Area Office effective July 1, 1975. Mr. Bullock will serve as Acting Area Director, with all the powers, functions, and duties redelegated or assigned to an Area Director, until the position is filled through the Department's merit staffing program.

*Effective date*—This designation and delegation shall be effective as of July 1, 1975.

ELMER E. SMITH,
*Regional Administrator,*
*Region VII (Kansas City, Mo.).*

[FR Doc.75-19792 Filed 7-29-75;8:45 am]

[Docket No. D-75-355]

## ACTING AREA DIRECTOR, ST. LOUIS AREA OFFICE

### Designation and Delegation of Authority

Each of the officials appointed to the following positions is designated to serve as Acting Area Director during the absence of the Area Director, with all the powers, functions, and duties redelegated or assigned to the Area Directors: Provided, That no official is authorized to serve as Acting Area Director unless all officials listed before him in this designation are unavailable to act by reason of absence or vacancy in the position:

1. The Deputy Area Director.
2. The Area Counsel.

(36 FR 3389, Feb. 23, 1971, as amended at 37 FR 746, Jan. 18, 1972).

*Effective date*—This designation and delegation shall be effective on July 30, 1975.

ELMER E. SMITH,
*Regional Administrator,*
*Region VII (Kansas City, Mo.).*

[FR Doc.75-19795 Filed 7-29-75;8:45 am]

# DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION

## Materials Transportation Bureau

[Docket No. HM-127]

## EXEMPTIONS FROM HAZARDOUS MATERIALS REGULATIONS

### Interim Procedures

● **Purpose. The purpose of these interim procedures is to provide for processing of requests for administrative relief from the Department of Transportation's regulations governing the transportation of hazardous materials by air, highway, rail and water pending the issuance of permanent procedures which will reflect the recent consolidation of the authority to grant such relief and implement certain new mandated requirements.** ●

*Scope.* These procedures apply to all applications for exemptions, including waivers, deviations or special permits, and all renewals or extensions thereof, with respect to the following bodies of hazardous materials regulations:

1. 14 CFR Part 103 governing transportation of dangerous and magnetized materials by aircraft.

2. 46 CFR Part 146 governing transportation or storage of explosives or other dangerous articles or substances and combustible liquids on board vessels.

3. 49 CFR Parts 172 through 179 governing the transportation and shipment of hazardous materials by rail and highway.

*Background.* Effective July 7, 1975, the authorities to issue, modify, or revoke the regulations governing the transportation of hazardous materials by air, highway, rail and water and bulk transportation (other than ship's stores and supplies and the bulk transportation of hazardous materials which are loaded or carried on board a vessel without benefit of packages or labels and received and handled by the vessel without mark or count) and the authorities to issue, modify, or revoke exemptions therefrom, previously exercised by the Federal Aviation Administration, the Federal Highway Administration, the Federal Railroad Administration and the Coast Guard, were consolidated and transferred to the newly established Materials Transportation Bureau. The Materials Transportation Bureau has the responsibility for developing and issuing Department-wide procedural regulations for the implementation of section 107 of the Hazardous Materials Transportation Act (Pub. L. 93-633), which establishes significant new procedural requirements in connection with administrative handling and issuance of hazardous materials exemptions. These new requirements include public proceedings, the issuance of FEDERAL REGISTER notices and the opportunity for public comment on nearly all applications for exemptions.

In addition to the new procedural requirements established by the Hazardous Materials Transportation Act, the United States District Court for the District of Columbia has issued an order requiring, among other things, immediate implementation of similar procedural requirements. The United States Court of Appeals for the District of Columbia has granted a temporary stay of the order. The Government has requested an extension of that stay pending appeal of the order. The Government's request was based on a commitment that the new Materials Transportation Bureau would issue procedural regulations by October 15, 1975, fully implementing the new requirements. In this connection it is anticipated that a notice of proposed rule making will be issued on or about July 30, followed by a public comment period of 30 to 45 days.

In view of the centralization and transfer of the authority to issue exemptions and the plan for implementation of the new procedures, it is necessary to establish interim procedures which will minimize the confusion that applicants for exemptions are likely to experience during the conversion period and will avoid unnecessary disruptions in essential movements of goods covered by such exemptions.

*General Procedures.* Except in the case of air transportation applications requiring priority treatment, applications for exemptions, waivers, deviations, or special permits which would have been submitted for processing under 14 CFR 103.5, 14 CFR 11.25 (with respect to the requirements of 14 CFR Part 103), 46 CFR 146.02-25, or 49 CFR 170.13-15 should continue to be prepared in accordance with those sections. However, they should be filed with the Director, Office of Hazardous Materials Operations, Materials Transportation Bureau, Washington, D.C. 20590, for his issuance or denial with a duplicate copy filed as indicated in 14 CFR or 46 CFR, as appropriate.

*Air Transportation Applications Requiring Priority Treatment.* The Federal Aviation Administration, acting in accordance with the procedures in 14 CFR 103.5, will continue to receive and act on applications submitted under that section for authority to deviate from the requirements of 14 CFR Part 103 when such a deviation is necessary for the expeditious transportation of articles for the protection of life or property.

*Termination Date:* These interim procedures are cancelled, effective October 16, 1975.

(18 U.S.C. 831-835; R.S. 4472(7), (11) (46 U.S.C. 170(7), (11); secs. 601(c), 902(h)(1), Pub. L. 85-726, 72 Stat. 775, 784 (49 U.S.C. 1421(c), 1472(h)(1); 49 CFR 1.64(f)-(h).)

Issued in Washington, D.C., on July 24, 1975.

HERBERT H. KAISER, Jr.,
*Acting Director,*
*Materials Transportation Bureau.*

Approved by:

JOHN W. BARNUM,
*Deputy Secretary of Transportation.*

[FR Doc.75-19769 Filed 7-29-75;8:45 am]

## National Highway Traffic Safety Administration

## TASK FORCE ON INTERNATIONAL CONGRESS ON AUTOMOTIVE SAFETY

### Public Meeting

On August 15, 1975, the National Motor Vehicle Safety Advisory Council's Task Force on International Congress on Automotive Safety will hold open meetings in the DOT Headquarters Building, 400 Seventh Street, S.W., Washington, D.C. The Advisory Council is composed of 25 members, a majority of whom are representatives of the general public, including representatives of State and local

governments, with the remainder including representatives of motor vehicle manufacturers, motor vehicle equipment manufacturers, and motor vehicle dealers. The Advisory Council makes recommendations to the Secretary of Transportation on motor vehicle safety and property loss education programs carried out by the National Highway Traffic Safety Administration.

The following meeting is subject to the approval of the National Highway Traffic Safety Administrator.

The Task Force will meet on August 15 at 9:00 a.m. in room 7234 of the DOT Headquarters Building. The purpose of the meeting is to discuss plans for the Fifth International Congress on Automotive Safety.

For further information contact the NHTSA Executive Secretary, Room 5215, 400 Seventh Street, S.W., Washington, D.C., telephone 202-426-2872.

This notice is given pursuant to section 10(a)(2) of Public Law 92-463, Federal Advisory Committee Act (FACA), effective January 5, 1973.

Issued: July 25, 1975.

CRAIG L. MILLER,
*Acting Executive Secretary.*

[FR Doc.75-19770 Filed 7-29-75;8:45 am]

## ADMINISTRATIVE CONFERENCE OF THE UNITED STATES

### COMMITTEE ON JUDICIAL REVIEW

#### Corrected Notice of Meeting

In the FEDERAL REGISTER of July 23, 1975 (40 FR 30862) we gave notice of a meeting of the Committee on Rulemaking and Public Information of the Administrative Conference of the United States to be held on August 4, 1975. The meeting will be that of the Committee on Judicial Review, not the Committee on Rulemaking and Public Information. In all other respects the previous notice was correct.

RICHARD K. BERG,
*Executive Secretary.*

JULY 23, 1975.

[FR Doc.75-19704 Filed 7-29-75;8:45 am]

## CIVIL AERONAUTICS BOARD

[Dockets 24612, 26141, 27287]

### SERVICIO AEREO DE HONDURAS, S. A. (SAHSA) FOREIGN PERMIT RENEWAL AND AMENDMENTS (HONDURAS)

#### Prehearing Conference

Notice is hereby given that a prehearing conference in the above-entitled matter is assigned to be held on August 21, 1975, at 10:00 a.m. (local time), in Room 503, Universal Building, 1825 Connecticut Avenue, N.W., Washington, D.C., before Administrative Law Judge Dee C. Blythe.

Dated at Washington, D.C., July 24, 1975.

[SEAL] ROBERT L. PARK,
*Chief Administrative Law Judge.*

[FR Doc.75-19782 Filed 7-29-75;8:45 am]

[Docket 27628]

### SOCIETA AEREA MEDITERRANEA-SAM S.P.A. FOREIGN CHARTER PERMIT RENEWAL (ITALY-U.S.)

#### Hearing

Notice is hereby given, pursuant to the provisions of the Federal Aviation Act of 1958, as amended, that a hearing in the above-entitled proceeding will be held on September 30, 1975, at 10:00 a.m. (local time) in Room 503, Universal Building, 1825 Connecticut Avenue, N.W., Washington, D.C., before Administrative Law Judge Dee C. Blythe.

For information concerning the issues involved and other details in this proceeding, interested persons are referred to the prehearing conference report served on July 21, 1975, and other documents which are in the docket of this proceeding on file in the Docket Section of the Civil Aeronautics Board.

Dated at Washington, D.C., July 24, 1975.

[SEAL] DEE C. BLYTHE,
*Administrative Law Judge.*

[FR Doc.75-19780 Filed 7-29-75;8:45 am]

[Docket 27571]

### TEXAS INTERNATIONAL AIRLINES, INC., DELETION OF JONESBORO, ARKANSAS

#### Prehearing Conference

Notice is hereby given that a prehearing conference in the above-entitled matter is assigned to be held on September 10, 1975, at 10:00 a.m. (local time), in Room 503, Universal Building, 1825 Connecticut Avenue, N.W., Washington, D.C., before Administrative Law Judge Dee C. Blythe.

In order to facilitate the conduct of the conference, parties are instructed to submit one copy to each party and four copies to the Judge of (1) proposed statements of issues; (2) proposed stipulations; (3) proposed requests for information and for evidence; (4) statements of positions; and (5) proposed procedural dates. The Bureau of Operating Rights will circulate its material on or before August 21, 1975, and the other parties on or before August 29, 1975. The submissions of the other parties shall be limited to points on which they differ with the Bureau, and shall follow the numbering and lettering used by the Bureau to facilitate cross-referencing.

Dated at Washington, D.C., July 24, 1975.

[SEAL] ROBERT L. PARK,
*Chief Administrative Law Judge.*

[FR Doc.75-19779 Filed 7-29-75;8:45 am]

[Docket 27881]

### TRANSPORTES AEREOS NACIONALES, S.A. (TAN) FOREIGN PERMIT RENEWAL (HONDURAS)

#### Prehearing Conference

Notice is hereby given that a prehearing conference in the above-entitled matter is assigned to be held on August 27, 1975, at 10:00 a.m. (local time), in Room 503, Universal Building, 1825 Connecticut Avenue, N.W., Washington, D.C., before Administrative Law Judge Dee C. Blythe.

Dated at Washington, D.C., July 24, 1975.

[SEAL] ROBERT L. PARK,
*Chief Administrative Law Judge.*

[FR Doc.75-19781 Filed 7-29-75;8:45 am]

## CIVIL SERVICE COMMISSION

### NOTICE OF REVOCATION OF AUTHORITY TO MAKE NONCAREER EXECUTIVE ASSIGNMENT

#### Export-Import Bank of the United States

Under authority of § 9.20 of Civil Service Rule IX (5 CFR 9.20), the Civil Service Commission revokes the authority of the Export-Import Bank of the United States to fill by noncareer executive assignment in the excepted service the position of Executive Vice President.

UNITED STATES CIVIL SERVICE COMMISSION,

[SEAL] JAMES C. SPRY,
*Executive Assistant to the Commissioners.*

[FR Doc.75-19887 Filed 7-29-75;8:45 am]

## ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY

### ENVIRONMENTAL IMPACT STATEMENTS AND OTHER ACTIONS IMPACTING THE ENVIRONMENT

#### Availability of Comments

Pursuant to the requirements of section 102(2)(C) of the National Environmental Policy Act of 1969, and section 309 of the Clean Air Act, as amended, the Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) has reviewed and commented in writing on Federal agency actions impacting the environment contained in the following appendices during the period of June 1, 1975 and June 30, 1975.

Appendix I contains a listing of draft environmental impact statements reviewed and commented upon in writing during this review period. The list includes the Federal agency responsible for the statement, the number and title of the statement, the classification of the nature of EPA's comments as defined in Appendix II, and the EPA source for copies of the comments as set forth in Appendix VI.

Appendix II contains the definitions of the classifications of EPA's comments on the draft environmental impact statements as set forth in Appendix I.

Appendix III contains a listing of final environmental impact statements reviewed and commented upon in writing during this review period. The listing includes the Federal agency responsible for the statement, a summary of the nature of EPA's comments, the number and title of the statement, and the EPA source for copies of the comments as set forth in Appendix VI.

Appendix IV contains a listing of final environmental impact statement reviewed but not commented upon by EPA during this review period. The listing includes the Federal agency responsible for the statement, the number and title of the statement, and the source of the EPA review as set forth in Appendix VI.

Appendix V contains a listing of proposed Federal agency regulations, legislation proposed by Federal agencies, and any other proposed actions reviewed and commented upon in writing pursuant to section 309(a) of the Clean Air Act, as amended, during the referenced reviewing period. The listing includes the Federal agency responsible for the proposed action, the title of the action, a summary of the nature of EPA's comments, and the source for copies of the comments as set forth in Appendix VI.

Appendix VI contains a listing of the names and addresses of the sources of EPA reviews and comments listed in Appendices I, III, IV, and V.

Copies of the EPA Manual setting forth the policies and procedures for EPA's review of agency actions may be obtained by writing the Public Inquiries Branch, Office of Public Affairs, Environmental Protection Agency, Washington, D.C. 20460. Copies of the draft and final environmental impact statements referenced herein are available from the originating Federal department or agency.

Dated: July 18, 1975.

SHELDON MEYERS,
*Director,*
*Office of Federal Activities.*

APPENDIX I.—*Draft environmental impact statements for which comments were issued between June 1, 1975, and June 30, 1975*

| Identifying No. | Title | General nature of comments | Source for copies of comments |
|---|---|---|---|
| Department of Agriculture: | | | |
| D-AFS-B61002-NH | Kilkenny unit plan, White Mountain National Forest, Coos County, N.H. | LO-1 | B |
| D-AFS-G82001-AR | Vegetation management with herbicides on the Ozark and St. Francis National Forests, several counties, Arkansas. | LO-2 | G |
| D-AFS-J65007-MT | Hebgen Lake land use plan, Montana | 3 | I |
| D-AFS-K65010-NV | Central Nevada land use plan, Tolyabe National Forest, Nev. | LO-1 | J |
| D-AFS-L61027-ID | Proposed land use plan for the Shafer Butte planning unit, Idaho. | LO-2 | K |
| D-AFS-L61028-ID | Moose Creek Basin planning unit, Salmon National Forest, Salmon, Idaho. | LO-1 | K |
| D-AFS-L65008-AK | Tongass National Forest, proposed Basket Bay No. 2 timber sale, Alaska. | LO-1 | K |
| D-AFS-L65010-AK | Tongass National Forest, Southern Chilkat study area, Alaska. | LO-1 | K |
| D-REA-E08004-KY | Reid plant addition, steam generating capacity and transmission lines, Kentucky. | 3 | E |
| D-DOA-H81002-IA | Veterinary Biologics Laboratory, Ames, Story County, Iowa. | LO-2 | H |
| D-REA-J08003-WY | 115 kV transmission line from Teton to Jackson, Teton County, Wyo. | 3 | I |
| D-SCS-E36022-NC | Second Broad River watershed, Rutherford, Cleveland, and McDowell Counties, N.C. | ER-2 | E |
| D-SCS-E36023-SC | Upper New River watershed project, protection and flood prevention, Beaufort and Jasper Counties, S.C. | ER-2 | E |
| D-SCS-E36024-TN | McNairy-Cypress Creek watershed, McNairy County, Tenn. | LO-2 | I |
| D-SCS-F64001-MN | Chippewa and Long Prairie headwaters, fish and wildlife development, grant, Ottertail and Pope Counties, Minn. | LO-1 | F |
| D-SCS-G36022-AR | Southfork watershed, Montgomery County, Ala | LO-2 | G |
| D-SCS-G36023-LA | Upper Bayou Nezpique watershed, Evangeline and Allen Parishes, La. | LO-2 | G |
| D-SCS-G36024-TX | 3-Mile and Sulfur Draw watershed project, Culberson and Hudspeth Counties, Tex. | LO-1 | G |
| Department of Commerce: | | | |
| D-NOA-E90001-GA | Grant to establish an estuarine sanctuary in the Duplin River, McIntosh County, Ga. | LO-1 | E |
| D-NOA-F90001-OH | Estuarine sanctuary grant award for Old Woman Creek, Erie County, Ohio. | LO-1 | F |
| D-MAR-A03051-00 | Title XI, vessels engaged in offshore oil and gas drilling operations. | LO-2 | A |
| Corps of Engineers: | | | |
| DS-COE-A30065-PA | Cooperative beach erosion project at Presque Island Peninsula, Erie, Pa. | ER-2 | D |
| DS-COE-A36196-VA | Water filtration plant flood protection project, Richmond, Va. | LO-1 | D |
| DS-COE-A36784-WV | Oceana local flood protection, Upper Guyandotte River Basin, West Virginia. | LO-1 | D |
| DS-COE-A39022-PA | Delaware River, Philadelphia, Pa., to Trenton, N.J., Allegheny Ave. to Delair Railroad Bridge. | ER-2 | D |
| D-COE-B07001-ME | Unit No. 4 addition, William F. Wyman Station, Yarmouth, Cumberland County, Maine. | ER-2 | B |
| D-COE-B07002-MA | Addition of unit No. 5, Salem Harbor electric generating station, Essex County, Salem, Mass. | ER-2 | B |
| DS-COE-A36377-CA | Fairfield vicinity streams project, Solano County, Calif. | LO-2 | J |
| D-COE-C35004-NJ | Permit to construct an embankment and other facilities on Berry's Creek, Bergen County, N.J. | ER-2 | C |
| DS-COE-C36004-NJ | Robinson's Branch of the Rahway River, Clark, Scotch Plains, and Rahway, N.J. | ER-2 | C |
| DS-COE-D36001-PA | Lock Haven flood protection project, West Branch Susquehanna River and Bald Eagle Creek, Pa. | LO-1 | D |
| D-COE-D36010-VA | Virginia Beach streams canal No. 2, flood protection, Virginia Beach, Va. | ER-2 | D |
| D-COE-E36003-NC | Fort Fisher historic site shore protection, erosion prevention, New Hanover County, N.C. | LO-1 | E |
| DS-COE-E32002-SC | Charleston Harbor deepening project, Charleston Harbor and Shipward River, S.C. | ER-2 | E |

APPENDIX I.—*Draft environmental impact statements for which comments were issued between June 1, 1975, and June 30, 1975*—Continued

| Identifying No. | Title | General nature of comments | Source for copies of comments |
|---|---|---|---|
| D-COE-E35006-FL | Completion of Marco Island, Collier County, Fla. | EU-2 | E |
| D-COE-F30006-MI | Ludington Harbor, mitigation of shore damage, Michigan. | LO-1 | F |
| D-COE-F30007-MI | Grand Haven Harbor, mitigation of shore damage, Ottowa County, Mich. | LO-1 | F |
| D-COE-F30008-MI | White Lake Harbor, mitigation of shore damage, Muskegon County, Mich. | LO-2 | F |
| D-COE-F30009-MI | Port Sanilac Harbor, mitigation of shore damage, Mich. | LO-2 | F |
| D-COE-F32021-MI | Charlevoix Harbor, proposed harbor improvements, Michigan. | LO-1 | F |
| D-COE-F32022-MI | Great Lakes-St. Lawrence Seaway navigation season extension demonstration program, fiscal year 1976. | LO-2 | F |
| D-COE-F35006-OH | Diked disposal facility, site No. 75, Sandusky Bay, Sandusky, Ohio. | ER-2 | F |
| D-COE-F36009-MI | Grand River Basin comprehensive water resources study, Michigan. | ER-2 | F |
| D-COE-G32017-OO | Broken Bow Lake, Mountain Fork River, Okla.; Pine Creek Lake, Little River, Ark.; operation and maintenance program. | LO-1 | G |
| D-COE-G34010-LA | Continued operation and maintenance of 7 small navigation projects on tributaries of Lake Pontchartrain, La. | ER-2 | G |
| D-COE-G34011-TX | Natural salt pollution control study, Brazos River Basin, Kent and Stonewall Countries, Tex. | LO-1 | G |
| D-COE-G36025-OK | Construction of Parker Lake, Muddy Boggy Creek, Coal, Hughes, and Pontotoc Counties, Okla. | ER-2 | G |
| D-COE-G36026-LA | Flood control Mississippi River and tributaries, Teche-Vermilion Basins, water supply, several counties, Louisiana. | LO-2 | G |
| D-COE-H34006-KS | Operation and maintenance of John Redmond, Marion, and Council Grove Lakes, Kans. | 3 | H |
| D-COE-H36017-IA | Marengo local flood protection project, Iowa River, Iowa. | LO-2 | H |
| D-COE-K35003-HI | Kia Marina, regulatory permit application, Oahu, Hawaii. | LO-2 | J |
| D-COE-K36009-CA | Napa River flood control project, Napa County, Calif. | LO-2 | J |
| D-COE-L36021-OR | Operation and maintenance of channels and breakwaters in Yaquina Bay and River, Oreg. | LO-1 | K |
| D-COE-L36022-OR | Rivergate, North Portland area flood control study, Oregon. | LO-1 | K |
| Department of Defense: | | | |
| D-USN-L02001-AK | Continuing exploration and evaluation of NPR-4, zone A, Alaska. | LO-2 | K |
| Federal Power Commission: | | | |
| D-FPC-D09001-VA | Bath County project No. 2716-VA, pumped storage facility, Virginia. | ER-2 | D |
| D-FPC-E03001-00 | Construction and conversion, Zachary-Port Lauderdale pipeline, Louisiana, Alabama, Florida. | LO-2 | E |
| Department of Housing and Urban Development: | | | |
| D-HUD-A80085-00 | Application of modular integrated utilities systems technology (MIUS)—Generic EIS. | LO-2 | A |
| D-HUD-C35015-MJ | Lightning Brook and Tributary, channel modification, Union County, N.J. | 3 | C |
| D-HUD-C85003-PR | Brisas de Loiza Development, FHA case No. 69-090, Canovanas, P.R. | ER-2 | C |
| D-HUD-D89004-PA | Near northwest neighborhood improvement, Reading, Berks County, Pa. | LO-1 | D |
| D-HUD-D89005-PA | Parks and recreation project, Reading, Berks County, Pa. | LO-1 | D |
| D-HUD-D89006-PA | Physical environment projects, Reading, Berks County, Pa. | LO-1 | D |
| D-HUD-D89007-PA | Northeast Industrial area development project, Reading, Berks County, Pa. | LO-1 | D |
| D-HUD-D89008-PA | Court St. widening project, Reading, Berks County, Pa. | LO-1 | D |
| D-HUD-D89009-PA | Housing programs and projects, Reading, Berks County, Pa. | LO-1 | D |
| D-HUD-D89010-PA | 11th and Pennsylvania residential development project, Reading, Berks County, Pa. | LO-1 | D |
| D-HUD-K89005-NV | Community development block grant, Las Vegas, Vegas Heights, Nev. | LO-1 | J |
| Department of the Interior: | | | |
| D-BIA-G85002-NM | Residential development on lands of the Pueblo of Tesuque, Santa Fe County, N. Mex. | LO-2 | G |
| D-BIA-J01002-CO | Ute Mountain, Ute uranium project, exploration and mining, Colorado. | ER-2 | I |
| RS-SFW-A80054-00 | Injurious wildlife importation regulations | LO-2 | A |
| D-BPA-L08012-WA | Facility location evaluation for Port Angeles support study area 76-2, Washington. | LO-1 | K |
| D-BPA-L08013-WA | Facility location evaluation for Seattle area reinforcement study area 76-5, Seattle, King County, Wash. | LO-1 | K |
| D-BPA-L08014-WA | Facility location evaluation for Hangman Creek service study area 76-8, Washington. | LO-1 | K |
| D-BPA-L08015-WA | Facility location evaluation for Okanogan area study area 75-6, Washington. | LO-1 | K |
| D-BPA-L08016-WA | Facility location evaluation for Cheney-4 Lakes area service study area 76-7, Washington. | LO-1 | K |
| D-BOR-G61003-TX | Proposed inclusion of the Rio Grande in the National Wild and Scenic Rivers System, Texas. | LO-2 | G |
| RD-IGS-A02074-00 | Proposed regulations pursuant to geological and geophysical explorations, Outer Continental Shelf. | ER-2 | A |
| D-IBR-J32000-UT | Central Utah project, Jensen unit, Utah | ER-2 | I |
| Nuclear Regulatory Commission: | | | |
| D-NRC-A06152-SC | Cherokee Nuclear Station units 1, 2, and 3, Duke Power Co., dockets Nos. STN 50-491, 50-492, 50-493, Cherokee County, S.C. | ER-2 | A |
| Department of Transportation: | | | |
| RD-CGD-A90006-00 | Deepwater ports projects, regulations, Deepwater Ports Act of 1974, Public Law 93-627. | 3 | A |
| D-FAA-A52081-00 | Concorde supersonic transport aircraft, SST, limited commercial service to John F. Kennedy International Airport, N.Y., and Dulles International Airport, Va. | ER-2 | A |

APPENDIX I.—*Draft environmental impact statements for which comments were issued between June 1, 1975, and June 30, 1975*—Continued

| Identifying No. | Title | General nature of comments | Source for copies of comments |
|---|---|---|---|
| D-FHW-C40014-VI | Highway improvement from Windward Passage Hotel to Raphune Hill, St. Thomas, V.I. | ER-2 | C |
| D-FAA-J51000-ND | Bismarck Municipal Airport layout, N. Dak. | LO-2 | I |
| D-FHW-D40015-PA | LR 1015, TR 119 and LR 63, TR 66, New Stanton to Delmont, Westmoreland County, Pa. | LO-2 | D |
| D-FHW-D40016-PA | Proposed Enlenton Bridge replacement, LR 75, TR 38, Venango County, Pa. | LO-2 | D |
| D-FHW-E40031-KY | North-South interstate connector between I-275 and Cincinnati, I-471, Campbell County, Ky. | ER-2 | E |
| D-FHW-E40032-GA | Proposed widening of de Renne Ave. between Abercorn St. and Skidaway Rd., Chatham County, Savannah, Ga. | LO-2 | E |
| D-FHW-E40034-NC | NC-16 from Charlotte to NC-73, Mecklenburg, Caston, Lincoln Counties, N.C. | LO-2 | E |
| D-FHW-F40029-OH | Appalachian corridor D, Albany through Athens, Ohio. | LO-2 | F |
| D-FHW-F40030-WI | County truck highway Q to I-94, Kenosha County, Wis. | EU-1 | F |
| D-FHW-F40032-IN | I-63, U.S. 63 to U.S. 136, Vermillion and Warren Counties, Ind. | LO-2 | F |
| D-FHW-H40025-NB | U.S. 6 and U.S. 281, Hastings South, Adams County, Nebr. | ER-2 | H |
| D-FHW-K40016-CA | CA-7 transportation corridor between CA-210 and CA-19, Los Angeles County, Calif. | ER-2 | J |
| D-FHW-K40018-AZ | Williams Interstate Freeway/Ash Fork-Flagstaff Highway, I-40, Coconino County, Ariz. | LO-2 | J |
| D-FHW-L40021-WA | Regal St. and Reagal St. crossover from Palouse Highway to 29th Ave. at Southeast Blvd., Wash. | LO-2 | K |
| D-FHW-L40022-WA | WA-167 junction WA-410 to junction WA-18 Sumner vicinity to Auburn vicinity, Washington. | LO-1 | K |
| D-FHW-L40023-OR | Skipanon River Bridge, OR-105, Warrenton-Astoria Highway, Clatsop County, Oreg. | LO-1 | K |
| UNITED STATES POSTAL SERVICE: | | | |
| D-UPS-C81001-NY | Proposed airmail facility at Kennedy International Airport, N.Y. | LO-2 | C |
| DEPARTMENT OF LABOR: | | | |
| RD-LAB-A27001-00 | Proposed regulation of inorganic arsenic | ER-2 | A |
| NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION: | | | |
| D-NRC-A06154-AL | Alan R. Barton Nuclear Plant, units 1, 2, 3, 4, Alabama Power Co., Dockets Nos. 50-524, 50-525, 50-526, 50-527, Coosa River, Chilton and Elmore Counties, Ala. | ER-2 | A |
| FEDERAL ENERGY ADMINISTRATION: | | | |
| D-FEA-A00034-00 | Energy Independence Act of 1975 and related tax proposals. | ER-2 | A |

## APPENDIX II

### DEFINITIONS OF CODES FOR THE GENERAL NATURE OF EPA COMMENTS

*Environmental Impact of the Action*

LO—Lack of Objection. EPA has no objections to the proposed action as described in the draft impact statement; or suggests only minor changes in the proposed action.

ER — Environmental Reservations. EPA has reservations concerning the environmental effects of certain aspects of the proposed action. EPA believes that further study of suggested alternatives or modifications is required and has asked the originating Federal agency to reassess these impacts.

EU—Environmentally Unsatisfactory. EPA believes that the proposed action is unsatisfactory because of its potentially harmful effect on the environment. Furthermore, the Agency believes that the potential safeguards which might be utilized may not adequately protect the environment from hazards arising from this action. The Agency recommends that alternatives to the action be analyzed further (including the possibility of no action at all).

*Adequacy of the Impact Statement*

Category 1—Adequate. The draft impact statement adequately sets forth the environmental impact of the proposed project or action as well as alternatives reasonably available to the project or action

Category 2—Insufficient Information. EPA believes that the draft impact statement does not contain sufficient information to assess fully the environmental impact of the proposed project or action. However, from the information submitted, the Agency is able to make a preliminary determination of the impact on the environment. EPA has requested that the originator provide the information that was not included in the draft statement.

Category 3—Inadequate. EPA believes that the draft impact statement does not adequately assess the environmental impact of the proposed project or action, or that the statement inadequately analyzes reasonable available alternatives. The Agency has requested more information and analysis concerning the potential environmental hazards and has asked that substantial revision be made to the impact statement.

APPENDIX III.—*Final environmental impact statements for which comments were issued between June 1, 1975 and June 30, 1975*

| Identifying No. | Title | General nature of comments | Source for copies of comments |
|---|---|---|---|
| Department of Agriculture: | | | |
| F-AFS-K08001-AZ | Cholla project, power plant expansion, coal mine expansion, transmission line, Arizona. | EPA generally has no objections to the project as proposed. However, EPA notes that pursuant to the class 2 designation for the "prevention of significant degradation of air quality," the Cholla Facility may "use up" the allowable $SO_2$ increment available, thus precluding other new emission sources. | J |
| F-AFS-K65003-CA | Eight-Mile Blue Creek units Six River National Forest, Calif. | EPA generally had no objections to the proposed project. However, EPA recommends that a water quality monitoring program be initiated to substantiate that water quality standards are not exceeded as a result of timber harvesting and/or road construction. | J |
| F-REA-F08003-MN | 230 kv transmission line, Winger to Wilton, Minn. | EPA had no objections to the proposed project. EPA recommended continued adherence to the construction and maintenance mitigation measures described in the draft EIS along with continuous coordination with the Minnesota Department of Natural Resources to minimize adverse environmental impacts. | F |
| F-SCS-A36100-HI | Kahaluu Watershed, Oahu, Hawaii. | EPA expressed environmental reservations on the proposed project. EPA found that floodwaters conveyed in concrete channels and through a man-made lagoon may result in violations of water quality standards in Kaneoka Bay and may impede the recolonization of coral reefs in the bay. The channel-lagoon system may be less efficient in trapping fine suspended sediments than the natural flood plain probably resulting in increased discharge of suspended sediment and attached nutrients into the bay. EPA has requested recalculation of the sediment yield, a reexamination of water quality impacts, and a post-project monitoring system. | J |
| F-SCS-D36008-VA | Nibbs Creek Watershed project, Amelia County, Va. | EPA generally had no objections to the project as proposed. | D |
| Corps of Engineers: | | | |
| F-COE-A32333-WI | Port Washington small boat Harbor, Wis. | EPA had environmental reservations concerning the proposed project. EPA believes that the provision of a protected harbor will impact the treatment capability of the existing municipal sewage treatment plant. The city of Port Washington should be contacted for a determination as to whether the additional flows from the proposed recreational facilities at the small boat harbor and related secondary development in Port Washington will cause any violation of the NPDES permit effluent limitations beyond existing conditions. EPA further recommends that the distance from the proposed open water disposal site to any private or public water intakes and the effects of current and meteorological conditions be considered in mitigating any impacts upon intake water quality. | F |
| F-COE-A32502-CA | San Diego Harbor navigation improvements, San Diego, Calif. | EPA had no objections to the proposed | J |
| F-COE-A34099-IL | Cahokia Creek Low Dam, East St. Louis and Vicinity, Ill. | EPA continues to have environmental reservations about the proposed project. EPA is particularly concerned over the project's encroachment of Bottomland Forest, the location of the proposed 15 acres borrow and disposal area and the chemical nature of the spoil. | F |
| F-COE-A36401-HI | Kahoma Streams flood control, Maui, Hawaii. | EPA generally had no objections to the project as proposed. However, EPA encourages the corps to continue to formulate flood control alternatives in support of non-structural land management measures. | J |
| F-COE-C32005-NJ | Maintenance of the Raritan River, navigation project, N.J. | EPA generally had no objections to the proposed project. However, EPA has requested additional information regarding the land disposal site for dredge spoil. | C |
| F-COE-D34001-WV | R.D. Bailey Lake, Guyandotte River, Wyo., and Mingo Counties, W. Va. | EPA expressed environmental reservations on the proposed project. EPA believes that recreational use of the lake will not be possible until State water standards are met. Since the upstream communities of Pineville, Mullens, and Baileyville only have primary waste treatment plants, they are discharging inadequately treated domestic wastes above the dam resulting in large concentrations of fecal coliform bacteria in the Guyandotte River. The resulting fecal coliform density in the river is appreciably above that allowable for contact recreation. | D |

APPENDIX III.—*Final environmental impact statements for which comments were issued between June 1, 1975 and June 30, 1975*—Continued

| Identifying No. | Title | General nature of comments | Source for copies of comments |
|---|---|---|---|
| F-COE-F32002-MI.. | Tawas Bay Harbor, facility, East Tawas, Iosco County, Mich. | EPA expressed environmental reservations concerning the proposed project. EPA's main concerns included the effects of increased loading upon the municipal sewage treatment plant, resultant effects upon water quality in Tawas River and Tawas Bay and the project's effects upon the quality of the East Tawas Waterworks influent. EPA would not object to the construction of the breakwater provided appropriate action is taken to prevent and eliminate any potential health risks caused by the breakwater. | F |
| F-COE-F35001-MI.. | Bolles Harbor, confined disposal facility, Michigan. | EPA generally had no objections to the project as proposed. | F |
| Federal Power Commission: | | | |
| F-FPC-F03001-OH.. | Crawford underground storage project, Ohio. | EPA generally had no objections to the project as proposed. However, EPA believes that the FPC should have used more affirmative language in defining their mitigative and surveillance responsibilities. | F |
| Department of Housing and Urban Development: | | | |
| F-HUD-C85002-NJ.. | Potter urban renewal project and north Edison No. 2 urban renewal project, Township of Edison, Middlesex County, N.J. | EPA had no objections to the proposed project. However, EPA questions the methodology inherent in the noise impact analysis and suggests that an alternate methodology and change in site configuration be considered. | C |
| Interstate Commerce Commission: | | | |
| F-ICC-D53002-00... | Proposed railway abandonment, Norfolk and Western, Virginia to North Carolina. | EPA had no objections to the project as proposed. | D |
| F-ICC-F53001-IL... | Illinois Central Gulf Railroad Co., electric commuter train fares. | EPA generally had no objections to the proposed action. However, since the proposed fare increase would almost entirely negate portions of the transportation control plan for the city of Chicago. EPA has recommended that the railroad coordinate with the regional transportation authority in an effort to improve service and reduce fares. | F |
| Department of the Interior: | | | |
| F-IBR-A34120-CO.. | Fryingpan-Arkansas project, Colorado. | EPA generally had no objections to the project as proposed. However, EPA suggests that no future municipal diversions occur without the most thorough understanding of the legal water supply and the capacity of the natural system. EPA further suggests that every effort be made to improve salinity and reduce both the economic and environmental impacts on the river system. | I |
| F-NPS-A61213-IL... | Lincoln Home, National Historic Site, Springfield, Ill. | EPA generally had no objections to the proposed project. | F |
| F-SFW-A64041-WI.. | Fishery rehabilitation of the Rock River, Wisconsin. | EPA generally had no objections to the project as proposed. However, EPA suggests a contingency plan be developed to include $KMnO_4$ and possibly charcoal, in the event a "spill" of antimycin occurs. | F |
| Department of Transportation: | | | |
| F-FAA-K51002-CA.. | San Jose Airport, land acquisition. | EPA had no objections to the proposed project... | J |
| F-FHW-A41745-CO.. | U.S. 6 through Lakewood, Kipling St., Colo. | EPA expressed environmental reservations on the proposed project. EPA was particularly concerned about noise impacts to adjacent apartment buildings and air quality impacts. EPA questioned the advisability of proceeding with the project until it could be shown that it would not adversely affect the attainment of the air quality standards in the Denver area. | I |
| F-FHW-A42351-IL.. | FAP Route 22, Milwaukee Ave., Sanders Rd. to Grove Rd., Cook County, Ill. | EPA generally had no objections to the proposed project. | F |
| F-FHW-F40023-IN.. | Relocation of I-912, Cline Ave., Lake County, Ind. | EPA generally had no objections to the project as proposed. However, EPA recommends that the Indiana Highway Commission continue to discuss methods of disposing of stormwater runoff with the Indiana Stream Pollution Board and that a noise barrier be installed at the Field School to minimize noise impacts. | F |
| F-UMT-A53030-PA.. | Center city commuter rail connection, Philadelphia, Pa. | EPA generally had no objections to the proposed project. However, EPA recommended that a study be prepared to insure that the project's further development will realize the full potential the commuter rail connection would have for inducing regional air quality improvements. | C |
| F-FHW-H40000-IA.. | U.S. 6, Scott County, Iowa.. | EPA generally had no objections to the proposed project. However, the final statement inadequately responded to EPA's concerns of solid waste disposal and the segmented approach to the evaluation of environmental impacts of U.S. 6 improvements. | H |

APPENDIX IV.—*Final environmental impact statements which were reviewed and not commented on between June 1, 1975 and June 30, 1975*

| Identifying No. | Title | Source of review |
|---|---|---|
| *Department of Agriculture:* | | |
| F-AFS-A60086-WA | Alpine Lakes wilderness recommended land use plan, Alpine Lakes area, Wash. | K |
| F-AFS-B82001-ME | Spruce Budworm suppression addendum, Maine | B |
| F-AFS-E65003-MS | Management plan, Tehoutacabouffa unit, De Sota National Forest, Harrison, Jackson, and Stone Counties, Miss. | E |
| F-AFS-G60001-NM | Sandia Peak Tram Company Land Exchange, Cibola National Forest, N. Mex. | G |
| F-AFS-J65011-CO | Timber Management plan, Rio Grande National Forest, Colo. | I |
| FS-AFS-L65005-00 | Malhfur, Umatilla and Wollawa-Whitman National Forest, vegetation management using selective herbicides, Oreg. and Wash. | K |
| F-DOA-A61076-TN | Hiwassee unit plan, Cherokee National Forests, Monroe, McMinn and Polk Counties, Tenn. | E |
| F-SCS-A36292-NC | Bryant Swamp Watershed, Bladen County, N. C. | E |
| F-SCS-A36308-TX | Kickapoo Creek Watershed, Lipan project, Erath, Hood, Palo Pinto, and Parker Counties, Tex. | G |
| F-SCS-G63009-LA | Bayou Plaquemine Brule Watershed, Arcadia and St. Landry Parishes, La. | G |
| F-SCS-H36003-IA | Pierce Creek No. 2 Watershed, Page and Montgomery Counties, Iowa | H |
| F-SCS-H36009-IA | Deer Creek Watershed, Worth County, Iowa | H |
| F-AFS-G65005-AR | Management plan for Petit Jean unit, Ouachita National Forest, Ark. | G |
| F-AFS-A61247-ID | General management plan, Sawtooth National Recreation area, Idaho | K |
| F-AFS-L61012-ID | Bighorn Winter Sports Site, Caribou National Forest, Idaho | K |
| Department of Commerce: | | |
| F-NOA-E99001-GA | Estuarine Sanctuary grant award, Duplin River, McIntosh County, Ga. | E |
| Corps of Engineers: | | |
| F-COE-A32489-OK | Operation and maintenance program, McClellan-Kerr Arkansas River navigation system, Okla. | G |
| F-COE-A34123-AR | Operation and Maintenance, Beaver Lake, Ark. | G |
| F-COE-A35100-VA | Maintenance dredging, Aberdeen Creek, Gloucester County, Va. | D |
| F-COE-D67001-WV | Pleasants Power Station units No. 1 and 2, Ohio River, Willow Island, Pleasants County, W. Va. | D |
| F-COE-D36002-WV | Coal River Basin channel rehabilitation project, W. Va. | D |
| FS-COE-G36016-NM | Los Esteros Dam and Lake, Guadalupe and Debuca Counties, Santa Ross, N. Mex. | G |
| F-COE-H36002-KS | Gypsum Small Flood Control project, Kans. | H |
| F-COE-D36005-PA | Girtys Run local flood protection project, Millvale, Pa. | D |
| Department of Defense: | | |
| F-USN-E11003-MS | Naval Oceanographic Center, National Space Technology Laboratory (NSTL), Bay St. Louis, relocation of the Naval Oceanographic Office. | E |
| Federal Power Commission: | | |
| F-FPC-A03002-TX | Refugio-Waha pipeline project, Tex. | G |
| F-FPC-A05439-MT | Mystic Lake project No. 2301, Mont. | I |
| General Services Administration: | | |
| F-GSA-G81003-TX | New Construction-lease Consolidation, Bell County, Temple, Tex. | G |
| Department of Housing and Urban Development: | | |
| F-HUD-D89001-PA | Church-Musser urban renewal project, Lancaster, Pa. | D |
| Department of the Interior: | | |
| F-IBR-A05440-UT | Second unit Huntington Canyon generating station and 345 kV Transmission Line, Utah. | I |
| F-IBR-A38008-00 | Klamath Straits drain enlargement, Klamath project, Oreg. and Calif. | K |
| F-NPS-A61258-SC | Cowpens National Battlefield, Cherokee County, S.C. | E |
| LF-NPS-E61012-FL | Big Cypress National Fresh Water Preserve, Collier, Monroe and Dade Counties, Fla. | E |
| F-SFW-A64020-WA | Operation, Maintenance, Development, Turnbull National Wildlife Refuge, Wash. | K |
| RF-SFW-A86084-00 | Issuance of annual regulations permitting the sport hunting of migratory birds. | A |
| F-SFW-A64022-WA | Quilmalt National Fish Hatchery, Wash. | K |
| Department of Transportation: | | |
| F-CGD-A99057-FL | New Coast Guard Station, Destin Station, Santa Rosa Island, Fla. | E |
| F-DOT-A41100-MO | Route CC, Ozark County, Mo. | H |
| FS-DOT-A41101-KS | I-435 extension, Johnson County, Kans. | H |
| NF-FAA-E51006-MS | Fletcher Field, Clarksdale, Miss. | E |
| F-FHW-A42005-FL | Atlantic Boulevard extension, FL-814, Broward County, Fla. | E |
| F-FHW-A42143-FL | Alachua County, Gainesville, FL-121, FL-26 and FL-26A, Fla. | E |
| F-FHW-A42327-WA | I-82 Union Gap to Prosser and Alboro Road, Wash. | K |
| F-FHW-A42353-CA | I-5, Shasta County, Calif. | J |
| F-FHW-D40004-WV | Appalachian Corridor D, APD 282(46), Clarksburg, W. Va. | D |
| F-FHW-E40004-TN | TN-1 Bypass, Madison County, Tenn. | E |
| F-FHW-G40002-TX | U.S. 54 from loop 375 in El Paso to New Mexico State line at Newman, Tex. | G |
| F-FHW-L40001-ID | Cherry Lane Linder Road to U.S. 30, Idaho | K |
| F-FHW-H40007-KS | Gage Boulevard from Drury Lane to Ninth Street, Topeka, Shawnee County, Kans. | H |
| F-FHW-G40019-TX | FM 1765, Galveston County, Tex. | G |

APPENDIX V—*Regulations, legislation and other Federal agency actions for which comments were issued between June 1, 1975 and June 30, 1975*

| Identifying No. | Title | General nature of comments | Source for copies of comments |
|---|---|---|---|
| Federal Energy Administration: | | | |
| R-FEA-A07088-00... | 10 CFR part 303, 309, allocation of coal. | EPA generally had no objections to the proposed regulation. However, EPA encouraged FEA to modify section 303.57(A)(3) which would, prior to the expiration of a two-year period dismiss automatically the coal allocation applications filed by facilities subject to FEA prohibition orders. | A |
| Department of Housing and urban development: | | | |
| R-HUD-A86086-00... | 24 CFR parts 1901-1911, 1914-1915, 1917, National Flood Insurance Program, proposed criteria. | EPA generally had no objections to the proposed criteria. EPA supports the requirements that local communities participating in the Flood Insurance Program adopt flood plain management regulations consistent with Federal criteria. However, EPA suggests that "Unusual" and "Exceptional hardships" terms be explained as a basis for granting variances. EPA also suggests that the administrator (of FIA) be notified when there is any change in the boundary of a municipality where authority to adopt or enforce flood plains management regulations is involved. | A |
| Department of the Interior: | | | |
| R-SFW-A64040-00... | 50 CFR part 81, conservation of endangered and threatened species of fish, wildlife, plants, cooperation with the States. | EPA generally had no objections to the proposed rules. EPA recommended that the language of the rules specifically reference plants. EPA also recommended more thorough evaluation of environmental concerns, proper coordination with Federal agencies and States, and increased public involvement. | A |
| R-SFW-A99098-00... | 50 CFR part 17, endangered and threatened wildlife, amendments to permit provisions. | EPA generally had no objections to the proposed regulation. EPA, however, favored the deletion of hardship provisions in favor of retaining provisions for importing rare and endangered species for educational and zoological purposes. | A |
| Department of Transportation: | | | |
| RS-CGD-A90006-00. | Deepwater ports projects regulations, Deepwater Ports Act of 1974, Public Law 93-627. | EPA generally had no objections to the proposed regulation. EPA believes, however, that the regulations should contain further information concerning coordination with EPA and other agencies on EIS preparation and procedures for dredge spoil permits and discharge permits. | A |

APPENDIX VI

SOURCE FOR COPIES OF EPA COMMENTS

A. Director, Office of Public Affairs, Environmental Protection Agency, 401 M Street, SW., Washington, D.C. 20460.

B. Director of Public Affairs, Region I, Environmental Protection Agency, John F. Kennedy Federal Building, Boston, Massachusetts 02203.

C. Director of Public Affairs, Region II, Environmental Protection Agency, 26 Federal Plaza, New York, New York 10007.

D. Director of Public Affairs, Region III, Environmental Protection Agency, Curtis Building, 6th and Walnut Streets, Philadelphia, Pennsylvania 19106.

E. Director of Public Affairs, Region IV, Environmental Protection Agency, 1421 Peachtree Street, NE, Atlanta, Georgia 30309.

F. Director of Public Affairs, Region V, Environmental Protection Agency, 230 South Dearborn Street, Chicago, Illinois 60604.

G. Director of Public Affairs, Region VI, Environmental Protection Agency, 1600 Patterson Street, Dallas, Texas 75201.

H. Director of Public Affairs, Region VII, Environmental Protection Agency, 1735 Baltimore Street, Kansas City, Missouri 64108.

I. Director of Public Affairs, Region VIII, Environmental Protection Agency, 1860 Lincoln Street, Denver, Colorado 80203.

J. Director of Public Affairs, Region IX, Environmental Protection Agency, 100 California Street, San Francisco, California 94111.

K. Director of Public Affairs, Region X, Environmental Protection Agency, 1200 Sixth Avenue, Seattle, Washington 98101.

[FR Doc.75-19564 Filed 7-29-75;8:45 am]

## FEDERAL ELECTION COMMISSION

[Notice 1975-17]

### ADVISORY OPINION REQUESTS

**Application of Federal Election Campaign Act to Candidates for Delegate to National Nominating Conventions; Extension of Time To Comment**

The time period within which written comments concerning Advisory Opinion Request 1975-12, Application of Federal Election Campaign Act to Candidates for Delegate to National Nominating Conventions (Notice 1975-11, 40 FR 28945, July 9, 1975) will be received by the Federal Election Commission is extended to August 28, 1975.

Interested persons wishing to comment should submit written views to the Federal Election Commission, Office of General Counsel, Advisory Opinion Request Section, 1325 K Street, N.W., Washington, D.C. 20463. The Commission recommends that comments refer to the specific AOR number and that statutory references be to the United States Code citations rather than to the Public Law citations.

Dated: July 25, 1975.

NEIL STAEBLER,
*Vice Chairman for the Federal Election Commission.*

[FR Doc.75-19784 Filed 7-29-75;8:45 am]

## FEDERAL ENERGY ADMINISTRATION

### CONFERENCE TO DISCUSS POWER PLANT PRODUCTIVITY

**Meeting**

Notice is hereby given that a "Conference to Discuss Power Plant Productivity," will be held from 8:30 a.m., to 6 p.m., September 3, 1975, in Conference Room B on the eleventh floor of the Federal Building, 1421 Cherry Street, Philadelphia, Pennsylvania.

The purpose of the conference is to provide for an exchange of information and ideas among owner/operators of nuclear and large fossil fired electric power generating units aimed at improving the productivity of these units.

Invitees to the Conference will be from electric utilities located in FEA Region III, i.e., Pennsylvania, West Virginia, Maryland, Delaware, Virginia and the District of Columbia, that own/operate or have under construction or in planning nuclear and 390 megawatts and larger oil or coal-fired generating units.

The agenda for the meeting is as follows:

8:30- 8:45 a.m.—FEA introductory remarks.
8:45- 9:15 a.m.—FEA review of report on improving the productivity of electric powerplants.
9:15-12:15 p.m.—Utility statements.
12:15- 1:30 p.m.—Lunch break.
1:30- 4:30 p.m.—Utility statements.
4:30- 5:30 p.m.—Statements by others.
5:30- 6:00 p.m.—Summary by FEA and questions/answers.
6:00 p.m.—Adjourn.

The meeting is open to the public. The meeting chairman is empowered to conduct the meeting in a fashion that will, in his judgment, facilitate the orderly conduct of business. Any member of the public who wishes to file a written statement with the conference chairman will be permitted to do so, either before or after the meeting. Members of the public who wish to make oral statements should inform Evan Kovacic, telephone (202) 961-6193, at least five days before the meeting and reasonable provision will be made for their appearance on the agenda. Further information concerning this meeting also may be obtained from Mr. Kovacic.

Minutes of the meeting will be made available for public inspection at the Federal Energy Administration, Washington, D.C. and Philadelphia, Pennsylvania.

FEA intends to hold similar meetings in the near future in other FEA Re-

gions. These will be announced in the FEDERAL REGISTER.

Issued at Washington, D.C. on July 24, 1975.

ROBERT E. MONTGOMERY, Jr.,
*General Counsel.*

[FR Doc.75-19701 Filed 7-25-75;10:39 am]

## CONSUMER AFFAIRS/SPECIAL IMPACT ADVISORY COMMITTEE

### Meeting

Pursuant to the provisions of the Federal Advisory Committee Act (Public Law 92-463, 86 Stat. 770), notice is hereby given that the Consumer Affairs/Special Impact Advisory Committee will meet Thursday, August 21, 1975, at 9 a.m., Room 7132, 12th & Pennsylvania Avenue, NW., Washington, D.C.

The Committee was established to provide the Federal Energy Administration with diversified information possessed by a wide range of highly qualified individuals who have been extensively involved in planning, development, and implementation of programs to remedy the problems of the consumer, the poor, the elderly, and the handicapped persons in rural and urban America.

The agenda for the meeting is as follows:

1. Report on:
a. Utility issues.
b. Social relief programs.
c. Mutual environmental and consumer issues.
d. Pricing, including natural gas.
e. Impact of energy policy on the cities.
f. Consumer participation.
2. Old business.
3. New business.

The meeting is open to the public. The Chairman of the Committee is empowered to conduct the meeting in a fashion that will, in his judgment, facilitate the orderly conduct of business. Any member of the public who wishes to file a written statement with the Committee will be permitted to do so, either before or after the meeting. Members of the public who wish to make oral statements should inform Lois Weeks, Advisory Committee Management Officer, (202) 961-7022, at least 5 days before the meeting and reasonable provision will be made for their appearance on the agenda.

Further information concerning this meeting may be obtained from the Advisory Committee Management Office.

Minutes of the meeting will be made available for public inspection at the Federal Energy Administration, Washington, D.C.

Issued at Washington, D.C. on July 24, 1975.

ROBERT E. MONTGOMERY, Jr.,
*General Counsel.*

[FR Doc.75-19702 Filed 7-25-75;10:40 am]

# FEDERAL MARITIME COMMISSION

## ATLANTIC AND GULF-INDONESIA CONFERENCE

### Agreement Filed

Notice is hereby given that the following agreement has been filed with the Commission for approval pursuant to section 15 of the Shipping Act, 1916, as amended (39 Stat. 733, 75 Stat. 763, 46 U.S.C. 814).

Interested parties may inspect and obtain a copy of the agreement at the Washington office of the Federal Maritime Commission, 1100 L Street, NW., Room 10126; or may inspect the agreement at the Field Offices located at New York, N.Y., New Orleans, Louisiana, San Francisco, California and Old San Juan, Puerto Rico. Comments on such agreements, including requests for hearing, may be submitted to the Secretary, Federal Maritime Commission, Washington, D.C. 20573, on or before August 19, 1975. Any person desiring a hearing on the proposed agreement shall provide a clear and concise statement of the matters upon which they desire to adduce evidence. An allegation of discrimination or unfairness shall be accompanied by a statement describing the discrimination or unfairness with particularity. If a violation of the Act or detriment to the commerce of the United States is alleged, the statement shall set forth with particularity the acts and circumstances said to constitute such violation or detriment to commerce.

A copy of any such statement should also be forwarded to the party filing the agreement (as indicated hereinafter) and the statement should indicate that this has been done.

Notice of Agreement Filed by:

Wade S. Hooker, Jr., Esq., Casey, Lane & Mittendorf, 26 Broadway, New York, New York 10004.

Agreement No. 8080-13 has been entered into by the member lines of the Atlantic and Gulf-Indonesia Conference for the purpose of amending 1) the Preamble and Article 1 of the Conference agreement to include "any and all movements of cargo from a port or point of origin to a port or point of destination which include as a part of such movement the transportation of cargo from a U.S. Atlantic or Gulf port either directly or indirectly to a port in Indonesia, Portuguese Timor and West New Guinea." and; 2) Article 5 is renumbered 5(a) and 5(b) is added containing provisions for the filing of tariffs establishing intermodal rates by member lines. A line desiring to file such a tariff, either individually or in concert with others, must first give written notice thereof to the Conference and include a copy of the proposed tariff. If the Conference has not filed a tariff covering all commodities and points covered by the proposed tariff within the 120-day period from the date of such notice, the member line, or any other member line, may within 30 days after the expiration of such 120-day period, file with the Commission a tariff covering any commodities and points not covered by such a Conference tariff.

By Order of the Federal Maritime Commission.

Dated: July 25, 1975.

FRANCIS C. HURNEY,
*Secretary.*

[FR Doc.75-19786 Filed 7-29-75;8:45 am]

[Docket No. 75-20]

## PUERTO RICO MARITIME SHIPPING AUTHORITY

### Rates on Government Cargo, First Supplemental Order

On May 30, 1975 the Managing Director, pursuant to delegated authority (section 7.01 of Commission order no. 1 (Revised) dated January 19, 1975) rejected the tariff pages which are the subject matter of this investigation. Respondent, Puerto Rico Maritime Shipping Authority (PRMSA) petitioned the Commission to review this action. On June 6, 1975, the Commission issued its Order sustaining the action of the Managing Director as modified in that Order. Subsequently, on that same date, the U.S. Court of Appeals for the District of Columbia Circuit, in Puerto Rico Maritime Shipping Authority v. F.M.C. and U.S.A., (No. 75-1565) stayed the effectiveness of these actions. Upon receipt of that Order the Commission then issued the Order of Investigation and Suspension in this proceeding thereby negating its prior action on the said tariff pages.

On July 18, 1975 the Court issued the attached order.

Hearings have been completed pursuant to the Commission's Order of Investigation and Suspension and opening briefs are to be filed on August 1st and reply briefs on August 22, 1975. It is the intention of the Commission to have this hearing expeditiously completed.

NOW THEREFORE, IT IS ORDERED, That the Commission's proceeding under its Order of Investigation and Suspension is to proceed forthwith, and a decision reached as quickly as possible.

By the Commission.

[SEAL] FRANCIS C. HURNEY,
*Secretary.*

[No. 75-1565]

PUERTO RICO MARITIME SHIPPING AUTHORITY, PETITIONER v. FEDERAL MARITIME COMMISSION AND UNITED STATES OF AMERICA, RESPONDENTS.

ORDER

This matter came before the Court for hearing on petitioner's motions for injunctive relief, to amend petition for review, for leave to amend emergency petition for review, and to expand scope of restraining order and for emergency order directing respondent to produce documents and information, for oral argument and for leave to submit additional affidavits, and of the responses to the foregoing. The matter having been fully argued and the Court being advised in the premises and being unable, based on any evidence submitted to it, and identified by reference thereto, to find that specific irreparable damage would result to the petitioner, it is

ORDERED by the Court that petitioner's motion for leave to amend, and for leave to submit additional affidavits are granted, and it is

FURTHER ORDERED by the Court that petitioner's motion to expand the scope of the restraining order is denied, and, it is

FURTHER ORDERED by the Court that petitioner's motion for an order directing respondent to produce documents and information is denied as moot, and, it is

FURTHER ORDERED by the Court, sua sponte, that this Court's order of June 6,

1975, staying the effectiveness of respondent's order is vacated, and, it is

FURTHER ORDERED by the Court that petitioner's motion for injunctive relief is denied.

*Per Curiam*

[FR Doc.75-19788 Filed 7-29-75;8:45 am]

---

[Commission Order No. 201.1 (Revised)]

## MANAGING DIRECTOR

### Redelegation of Authorities

*Section 1. Purpose.* 1.01 The purpose of this order is to redelegate certain authorities delegated to the Managing Director, pursuant to Commission Order No. 1 (Revised), effective September 15, 1973.

*Section 2. Redelegations of Authorities.* 2.01 The authorities set forth in sections 3 through 7 of this order are hereby redelegated to the specified officials; in the absence of such officials, their duly designated deputies are authorized to exercise the authorities redelegated to their respective superiors.

2.02 Officials shall exercise the authorities redelegated herein in a manner consistent with the established policy of the Federal Maritime Commission.

2.03 Authorities redelegated herein may not be exercised unless resolution of all legal questions and the approval of the form of all legal documents have been obtained, either concurrently or previously, from the General Counsel, or his designee.

2.04 No recipients of authorities redelegated herein may further redelegate such authorities, except by prior approval of the Federal Maritime Commission and the Managing Director.

2.05 The Managing Director shall be consulted on all matters involving:

1. Deviation from established policy or procedure.

2. An important decision or interpretation thereof.

3. Matters on which differences of opinion exist among bureaus or offices of the Federal Maritime Commission.

*Section 3. Specific Authorities Redelegated to the Deputy Managing Director.* 3.01 Authority, in the absence or preoccupation of the Managing Director, to exercise all authorities of the Managing Director consistent with the programs, policies, and precedents established by the Commission, or the Managing Director.

*Section 4. Specific Authorities Redelegated to the Director, Bureau of Compliance.* 4.01 Authority to accept or reject tariff filings of common carriers in the domestic offshore trades and in the foreign commerce of the United States, or conferences of such carriers for failure to meet the requirements of statute or the Commission's requirements, or for lack of completeness and clarity of the rules and regulations governing the tariff, or noncompliance with Special Permission or other order of the Commission.

4.02 Authority to approve special permission applications submitted by domestic offshore carriers or carriers in the foreign commerce of the United States, or conferences of such carrires, for relief from statutory and/or Commission tariff requirements, when good cause is clearly stated by the applicant in terms of: (a) complete, or near-complete, lack of service; (b) lack of special service (RO/RO, heavy lift, refrigerator, etc.); (c) expiration of letter of credit requiring prompt movement of cargo and nonavailability of vessel or space; (d) flag requirement of U.S. or any other government; (e) the correction of an obvious clerical or typographical error; (f) advancement of an effective date because of added cost which could not be predicted by the carriers (normally concerned with currency changes, fuel oil increases, port conditions, imposition of new or additional charges by a government or quasi-governmental authority, closing of a land or waterway which increases the length of a voyage, congestion, labor shortages, poor productivity, and lack of equipment); (g) movement of relief or other special cargo; (h) filing of open rates; (i) entrance of an independent carrier into a conference; (j) waiver of Commission rules for the convenience of tariff publishing; (k) amendment of suspended tariff items to eliminate the need for litigation; (l) postponement or cancellation of the effective date of tariff changes prior to or after receipt of protests to resolve matters which may otherwise require formal Commission action; or (m) other appropriate criteria.

4.03 Authority to approve unprotested transshipment agreements covering transportation of cargo in the foreign commerce of the United States as provided in section 15 of the Shipping Act, 1916 (46 U.S.C. 816); such agreements shall include the:

1. Complete name of the parties entering into the arrangement and specifically setting forth the portion of the trade that each party will cover, including: ports of areas of origin and destination; cargo to be carried; and ports or ranges of ports at which cargo will be transshipped;

2. Responsibility of parties for establishing and filing the applicable through rates, rules, regulations, and other tariff matters;

3. Provisions for the apportionment of the through revenue and transshipment expenses stated in percentages, or specific dollar amounts;

4. When applicable, provisions for application and apportionment of other expenses such as wharfage, special handling, lighterage, tonnage dues, surcharges, and other such charges assessed by a governmental authority;

5. When desired by the parties, provisions for indemnification between the parties for liabilities incurred from loss, damage, delay, or misdelivery of goods;

6. Provision for the termination of the agreement within a stated notice period; and

7. Provisions for the submission to the Federal Maritime Commission for approval of any modification or addition to the agreement.

4.04 Authority (1) to approve modifications to section 15 agreements when such modifications are filed in accordance with the requirement of Commission rule or general order and are clearly in compliance with the criteria and/or intent of such rule or general order, and (2) to require modification of the filed amendment to the extent necessary to conform to the requirements of such rule or general order.

4.05 Authority to approve the termination of section 15 agreements between common carriers by water and conferences of such carriers engaged in the foreign and domestic commerce of the United States, and between other persons subject to the Act, after publication of notice of intent to terminate in the Federal Register, when such terminations are (1) requested by the parties to the agreements or, (2) deemed to have occurred when it is determined that the parties are no longer engaged in concerted activities requiring section 15 approval and official inquiries and correspondence cannot be delivered to the parties.

4.06 Authority to approve unprotested modifications to approved section 15 agreements which are filed to (1) reflect changes in the name of a country or port, or (2) increase or decrease the trade areas within the general geographic scope of the approved existing agreements, provided that such increases or decreases do not involve foreign-to-foreign trade, or (3) reflect non-substantive changes in language, procedures, or administration.

4.07 Authority to approve, pursuant to section 15, unprotested container interchange agreements between ocean common carriers.

4.08 Authority to issue notices of intent to cancel inactive tariffs of carriers in the domestic offshore trades after a diligent effort has been made to locate the carrier without success, or if the carrier has advised the Commission that it no longer offers a domestic common carrier service but refuses to cancel its tariff upon written request; and to cancel such tariff if within 30 days after publication, the carrier does not furnish reasons why such tariff should not be cancelled.

*Section 5. Specific Authorities Redelegated to the Director, Bureau of Certification and Licensing.* 5.01 Authority to (a) approve, within the framework of prescribed Commission policy and criteria, the transfer of ocean freight forwarder licenses to persons, partnerships, corporations, or associations where such transfer is solely for the purpose of accomplishing a change in name or business organization of the licensee, and involves no new management or ownership interests; (b) revoke the license of a freight forwarder upon the request of the licensee; (c) upon receipt of notice of cancellation of any bond, to notify the licensee in writing that his license will automatically be suspended or revoked, effective on the bond cancellation date, unless a new or reinstated bond is submitted to and approved by the Commission prior to such date, and subsequently to order such suspension or revocation for failure to maintain a bond; (d) approve extension or extensions of time to licensed freight forwarders, in which to furnish the Commission the name(s) and ocean freight forwarding experience of the managing partner(s) or officer(s) who will replace the qualified partner or officer upon whose qualifications the original licensing was approved.

5.02 Authority to (a) approve applications for Certificates of Financial Responsibility (Pollution) and to issue or reissue or transfer such Certificates; (b) deny any application for a Certificate where applicant has received a letter of intent to deny and, within the notice period, has not requested a hearing; (c) rescind letters of intent to deny or grant extensions of the time specified in such letters; (d) revoke a Certificate upon request of the certificant; (e) upon receipt of notice of termination of evidence of financial responsibility to notify the certificant in writing that his Certificate will automatically be suspended or revoked, effective on the termination date, unless new or reinstated evidence of financial responsibility is submitted to and approved by the Commission prior to such date, and subsequently to order such suspension or revocation for failure to maintain adequate evidence of financial responsibility; and (f) exercise the various grants, waivers, and requests relating to the filing of financial data by self-insurers and guarantors pursuant to the provisions of section 542.5(a) of General Order 27.

*Section 6. Specific Authorities Redelegated to the Director, Bureau of Industry Economics.* 6.01 Authority to approve or disapprove applications as specified in General Order 11, "Reports of Rate Base and Income Account by Vessel Operating Common Carriers in the Domestic Offshore Trades", Sections: 512.3(c)(1)—Extensions of Time for Filing; 512.3(c)(2)—Alternate Data; and 512.3(c)(3)—Waivers of Filing.

6.02 Authority to approve or disapprove applications as specified in General Order No. 5, "Reports by Common Carriers by Water in the Domestic Offshore Trades", Sections: 511.4(b)—Extensions of Time for Filing; 511.6—Alternate Data; and 511.7—Waivers of Filing.

*Section 7. General Authority Redelegated to the Heads of Bureaus and Offices Under the Direction of the Managing Director.* 7.01 Authority to take all actions necessary to the performance of the duties and responsibilities assigned to their respective bureaus and offices, in collaboration with and subject to the concurrence of other appropriate offices or bureaus as may be required, in accordance with their functional assignments as prescribed in Commission Order No. 1 (Revised).

*Section 8. Appeals.* 8.01 All actions performed under the authorities redelegated by this Order may be appealed to the Managing Director, provided that appeals must be received by the Managing Director in writing, within ten calendar days following the action being appealed.

*Section 9. Effects on Other Orders.* 9.01 This order supersedes Commission Order No. 201.1 (Revised) effective September 29, 1970.

ROBERT S. HOPE,
*Managing Director.*

[FR Doc.75-19787 Filed 7-29-75;8:45 am]

## FEDERAL POWER COMMISSION

[Docket No. CI76-21]

### AMERADA HESS CORP.

### Application

JULY 24, 1975.

Take notice that on July 14, 1975, Amerada Hess Corporation (Applicant), P.O. Box 2040, Tulsa, Oklahoma 74102, filed in Docket No. CI76-21 an application pursuant to Section 7(b) of the Natural Gas Act for permission and approval to abandon the sale of natural gas to Skelly Oil Company (Skelly) from the Eunice-Monument Field, Lea County, New Mexico, all as more fully set forth in the application on file with the Commission and open to public inspection.

Applicant states that it proposes to abandon the sale of natural gas to Skelly from the Eunice-Monument Field which has been made pursuant to a percentage-type casinghead gas sale contract. Applicant proposes to abandon the sale because the New Mexico Oil Conservation Commission has reclassified the well located on the E. Wood Lease from an oil well to a gas well; and, therefore, Skelly is no longer contractually entitled to the gas from the subject acreage.

Applicant states that the gas from the subject well as reclassified is dedicated to Northern Natural Gas Company pursuant to a gas purchase contract dated May 5, 1975, and that an application for a certificate authorizing said sale has been filed in Docket No. CI75-702.[1]

Any person desiring to be heard or to make any protest with reference to said application should on or before August 14, 1975, file with the Federal Power Commission, Washington, D.C. 20426, a petition to intervene or a protest in accordance with the requirements of the Commission's Rules of Practice and Procedure (18 CFR 1.8 or 1.10). All protests filed with the Commission will be considered by it in determining the appropriate action to be taken but will not serve to make the protestants parties to the proceeding. Any person wishing to become a party to a proceeding or to participate as a party in any hearing therein must file a petition to intervene in accordance with the Commission's Rules.

Take further notice that, pursuant to the authority contained in and subject to the jurisdiction conferred upon the Federal Power Commission by Sections 7 and 15 of the Natural Gas Act and the Commission's Rules of Practice and Procedure, a hearing will be held without further notice before the Commission on this application if no petition to intervene is filed within the time required herein, if the Commission on its own review of the matter finds that permission and approval for the proposed abandonment are required by the public convenience and necessity. If a petition for leave to intervene is timely filed, or if the Commission on its own motion believes that a formal hearing is required, further notice of such hearing will be duly given.

Under the procedure herein provided for, unless otherwise advised, it will be unnecessary for Applicant to appear or be represented at the hearing.

KENNETH F. PLUMB,
*Secretary.*

[FR Doc.75-19742 Filed 7-29-75;8:45 am]

[1] Notice has been published in the FEDERAL REGISTER June 18, 1975 (40 FR 25715), in CI72-494, et al.

---

[Docket No. RI75-81]

### AMOCO PRODUCTION CO.

### Order Providing for Hearing on and Suspension of Proposed Changes in Rates, and Allowing Rate Changes To Become Effective Subject to Refund [1]

JULY 18, 1975.

Respondents have filed proposed changes in rates and charges for jurisdictional sales of natural gas, as set forth in Appendix A below.

The proposed changed rates and charges may be unjust, unreasonable, unduly discriminatory, or preferential, or otherwise unlawful.

*The Commission finds.* It is in the public interest and consistent with the Natural Gas Act that the Commission enter upon hearings regarding the lawfulness of the proposed changes, and that the supplements herein be suspended and their use be deferred as ordered below.

*The Commission orders.* (A) Under the Natural Gas Act, particularly sections 4 and 15, the regulations pertaining thereto (18 CFR Ch. I), and the Commission's rules of practice and procedure, public hearings shall be held concerning the lawfulness of the proposed changes.

(B) Pending hearings and decisions thereon, the rate supplements herein are suspended and their use deferred until date shown in the "Date Suspended Until" column. Each of these supplements shall become effective, subject to refund, as of the expiration of the suspension period without any further action by the Respondent or by the Commission. Each Respondent shall comply with the refunding procedure required by the Natural Gas Act and § 154.102 of the regulations thereunder.

(C) Unless otherwise ordered by the Commission, neither the suspended supplements, nor the rate schedules sought to be altered, shall be changed until disposition of these proceedings or expiration of the suspension period, whichever is earlier.

By the Commission.

[SEAL] MARY B. KIDD,
*Acting Secretary.*

[1] Does not consolidate for hearing or dispose of the several matters herein.

APPENDIX A

| Docket No. | Respondent | Rate schedule No. | Supplement No. | Purchaser and producing area | Amount of annual increase | Date filing tendered | Effective date unless suspended | Date suspended until— | Cents per Mcf* | | Rate in effect subject to refund in docket No. |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| | | | | | | | | | Rate in effect | Proposed increased rate | |
| RI75-81 | Amoco Production Co. | 363 | 45 | El Paso Natural Gas Co. (New Mexico) (Rocky Mountain). | $3,510 | 6-20-75 | | 7-2-75 | 29.810 | [3] 29.823 | RI75-81. |
| | do | | | do | 95 | 6-20-75 | 7-1-75 | (4) | 41.734 | [3] 41.753 | |
| | do | | | do | 85 | 6-20-75 | 7-1-75 | (4) | 60.814 | [3] 60.840 | |
| | do | 195 | 44 | do | 200 | 6-23-75 | | 7-2-75 | 29.810 | [3] 29.823 | RI75-81. |
| | do | | | do | 513 | 6-23-75 | 7-1-75 | (4) | 41.734 | [3] 41.753 | |
| | do | | | do | 280 | 6-23-75 | 7-1-75 | (4) | 60.814 | [3] 60.840 | |

* Unless otherwise stated, the pressure base is 14.73 lb/in²a.
[1] For sales subject to Opinion No. 658.
[2] For sales subject to Ordering Paragraph (E) of Opinion No. 699-H.
[3] For sales from wells commenced on or after Jan. 1, 1973 (Opinion No. 699-H).
[4] Accepted, to be effective as of the date set forth in the "Effective Date Unless Suspended" column.
[5] Unless otherwise stated, the rate shown is the total rate, inclusive of any applicable British thermal unit adjustment and tax.

The proposed tax increases of Amoco which do not exceed the applicable area rate of Opinion No. 699-H, are accepted as of July 1, 1975. The other tax increases exceed the applicable area ceiling rate in Opinion No. 658, and they are suspended for one day.

[FR Doc.75-19761 Filed 7-29-75;8:45 am]

[Docket No. E-9092]

## ARKANSAS-MISSOURI POWER CO.

### Proposed Agreement for Wholesale Electric Service

JULY 24, 1975.

Take notice that on July 17, 1975, Arkansas-Missouri Power Company (Ark-Mo) tendered for filing an Electric Service Agreement for Wholesale Electric Service dated July 1, 1975 between Ark-Mo and the Gideon-Anderson Lumber Company of Gideon, Missouri (Gideon-Anderson) and an unexecuted Electric Service Agreement between Ark-Mo and the City of Campbell, Missouri (Campbell).

Ark-Mo states that both of the electric service agreements tendered by Ark-Mo incorporate its Electric Resale Power Schedule (R-1), which is its currently effective rate schedule for wholesale for resale service, pending further action of the Commission.

Ark-Mo proposes to make the revised electric service agreements with Gideon-Anderson and Campbell effective upon the expiration of their existing electric service agreements on August 1, 1975 and September 9, 1975, respectively. Ark-Mo has requested waiver of § 35.3 of the Commission's regulations to permit the Electric Service Agreement for Wholesale Electric Service with Gideon-Anderson to become effective at that time.

Any person desiring to be heard or to to protest said application should file a petition to intervene or protest with the Federal Power Commission, 825 North Capitol Street, NE., Washington, D.C. 20426, in accordance with §§ 1.8 and 1.10 of the Commission's rules of practice and procedure (18 CFR 1.8, 1.10). All such petitions or protests should be filed on or before August 4, 1975. Protests will be considered by the Commission in determining the appropriate action to be taken, but will not serve to make protestants parties to the proceeding. Any person wishing to become a party must file a petition to intervene. Copies of this application are on file with the Commission and are available for public inspection.

KENNETH F. PLUMB,
*Secretary.*

[FR Doc.75-19743 Filed 7-29-75;8:45 am]

[Docket No. RI76-11]

## CHAMPLIN PETROLEUM CO.

### Order Providing for Hearing on and Suspension of Proposed Change in Rate, and Allowing Rate Change To Become Effective Subject to Refund

JULY 23, 1975.

Respondent has filed a proposed change in rate and charge for the jurisdictional sale of natural gas, as set forth in Appendix A below.

The proposed changed rate and charge may be unjust, unreasonable, unduly discriminatory, or preferential, or otherwise unlawful.

*The Commission finds.* It is in the public interest and consistent with the Natural Gas Act that the Commission enter upon a hearing regarding the lawfulness of the proposed change, and that the supplement herein be suspended and its use be deferred as ordered below.

*The Commission orders.* (A) Under the Natural Gas Act, particularly sections 4 and 15, the regulations pertaining thereto (18 CFR Ch. I), and the Commission's rules of practice and procedure, a public hearing shall be held concerning the lawfulness of the proposed change.

(B) Pending hearing and decision thereon, the rate supplement herein is suspended and its use deferred until date shown in the "Date Suspended Until" column. This supplement shall become effective, subject to refund, as of the expiration of the suspension period without any further action by the Respondent or by the Commission. Respondent shall comply with the refunding procedure required by the Natural Gas Act and § 154.102 of the regulations thereunder.

(C) Unless otherwise ordered by the Commission, neither the suspended supplement, nor the rate schedule sought to be altered, shall be changed until disposition of this proceeding or expiration of the suspension period, whichever is earlier.

By the Commission.

[SEAL] KENNETH F. PLUMB,
*Secretary.*

APPENDIX A

| Docket No. | Respondent | Rate schedule No. | Supplement No. | Purchaser and producing area | Amount of annual increase | Date filing tendered | Effective date unless suspended | Date suspended until— | Cents per Mcf* | | Rate in effect subject to refund in docket Nos. |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| | | | | | | | | | Rate in effect | Proposed increased rate | |
| RI76-11 | Champlin Petroleum Co. | 98 | [1] 12 | Cities Service Gas Co. (Oklahoma) (Hugoton-Anadarko).[2] | $56,109 | 6-23-75 | | 7-25-75 | 19.5 | 90.425 | |

* Unless otherwise stated, the pressure base is 14.65 lb/in²a.
[1] Includes gas purchase contract between Champlin Petroleum Co. and Dyco Petroleum Corp. (Small Producer in docket No. CS73-128) providing for a price of 70¢ $/M ft³ at 14.65 lb/in²a for gas delivered to Champlin at the wellhead; also includes letter from Cities dated May 28, 1975.

Both Champlin's proposed increased rate and Dyco's proposed rate exceed the applicable national ceiling rate prescribed in Opinion No. 699-H. Accordingly, it is appropriate to suspend Champlin's resale rate for one day.

[FR Doc.75-19762 Filed 7-29-75;8:45 am]

[Docket Nos. E-6384, E-6766]

## COLUMBIA AND WILLAMETTE RIVER BASINS

### Proposed Determination of Headwater Benefits Payments

JULY 24, 1975.

Public notice is hereby given that the Commission Staff proposes a determination pursuant to the provisions of section 10(f) of the Federal Power Act (16 U.S.C. 803(f)) of certain payments for benefits provided to downstream non-Federal hydroelectric projects by Federal reservoir projects in the Columbia River Basin (including its tributary Willamette River basin) during the 1973–1974 contract year for the Pacific Northwest Coordination Agreement. The proposed payments total $2,035,300.

Federal projects which provide benefits during the period are Hungry Horse, Albeni Falls, Libby, Grand Coulee, Hills Creek, Lookout Point, Cougar, Green Peter-Foster, Detroit, Dorena, Cottage Grove, Fern Ridge, Fall Creek, and Blue River. All but the first four projects are in the Willamette River basin.

The proposed payments are as follows:

| Payer | Payments | | |
|---|---|---|---|
| | Headwater benefits | Commission costs | Total |
| To be transferred from BPA: | | | |
| The Montana Power Co. | $181,000 | $700 | $181,700 |
| The Washington Water Power Co. | 206,200 | 800 | 207,000 |
| PUD No. 1 of Pend Oreille Co. | 44,500 | 400 | 44,900 |
| Seattle City Light | 261,700 | 900 | 262,600 |
| PUD No. 1 of Douglas County | 231,100 | 800 | 231,900 |
| PUD No. 1 of Chelan County | 504,600 | 1,400 | 506,000 |
| PUD No. 2 of Grant County | 571,400 | 1,500 | 572,900 |
| Eugene Water & Electric Board | 8,300 | [1] 0 | 8,300 |
| Portland General Electric Co. | 16,600 | [1] 0 | 16,600 |
| | 2,025,400 | 6,500 | 2,031,900 |
| For direct payment to FPC: | | | |
| Eugene Water & Electric Board | 240 | 100 | [2] 340 |
| Portland General Electric Co. | 2,860 | 200 | [2] 3,060 |
| Subtotal | 3,100 | 300 | 3,400 |
| Total | 2,028,500 | 6,800 | 2,035,300 |

[1] Commission costs included in amount for direct payment to FPC.
[2] Interim payments.

The computations were based on data in the document entitled "Pacific Northwest Coordination Agreement, 1973–74 Contract Year. Computation of Payments for Coordinated Storage Releases from Upstream Reservoirs", dated January 15, 1974, and sent to all parties.

Commission Staff proposes that certain changes be made in the method of computing payments for the 1973–74 contract year and subsequent years compared with the method employed during previous years. For all projects with power at site, the procedure previously used was that specified in § 11.27(a) of the Commission's regulations under the Federal Power Act, which provides for apportioning section 10(f) costs of headwater projects on the basis of critical-period energy amounts.

For the 1973–74 contract year and subsequent years the Staff proposes that the apportionment of costs be made on the basis of a weighted combination of average annual and critical-period energy rather than critical-period energy only.

Article 47 of the FPC license for the Wells development (Project No. 2149) of the Douglas County PUD No. 1 specifies procedures for determining headwater benefits to that project which are the same as those included in Section 11.27 (a) of the Regulations. Pursuant to the language of Article 47 permitting alternative procedures, Staff proposes that the Commission prescribe the amended procedure described above to determine the annual payment for this billing and for future years.

Any person desiring to be heard or to make any protest with reference to said proposed determination should on or before August 11, 1975, file with the Federal Power Commission, Washington, D.C. 20426, a petition to intervene or a protest in accordance with the requirements of the Commission's rules of practice and procedure (18 CFR 1.8 or 1.10). All protests filed with the Commission will be considered by it in determining the appropriate action to be taken but will not serve to make the protestants parties to the proceeding. Any person wishing to become a party in any hearing therein must file a petition to intervene in accordance with the Commission's rules. The documents referred to herein are on file with the Commission and are available for public inspection.

KENNETH F. PLUMB,
*Secretary.*

[FR Doc.75-19751 Filed 7-29-75;8:45 am]

[Docket No. E-9512]

## DUKE POWER CO.

### Supplement to Filing

JULY 18, 1975.

Take notice that on July 2, 1975, Duke Power Company (Duke) tendered for filing a substitute "Document No. 1" to its original filing in Docket No. E-9512. The substitute "Document No. 1" is Exhibit A-7 superseding Exhibit A-6 dated April 29, 1974.

Any person desiring to be heard or to protest said filing should file a petition to intervene or protest with the Federal Power Commission, 825 North Capitol Street, NE., Washington, D.C. 20426, in accordance with §§ 1.8 and 1.10 of the Commission's rules of practice and procedure (18 CFR 1.8, 1.10). All such petitions or protests should be filed on or before August 11, 1975. Protests will be considered by the Commission in determining the appropriate action to be taken, but will not serve to make protestants parties to the proceeding. Any person wishing to become a party must file a petition to intervene. Copies of this filing are on file with the Commission and are available for public inspection.

MARY B. KIDD,
*Acting Secretary.*

[FR Doc.75-19750 Filed 7-29-75;8:45 am]

[Docket No. RI76-9]

## HERMAN GEO. KAISER

### Petition for Special Relief

JULY 24, 1975.

Take notice that on July 9, 1975, Herman Geo. Kaiser (Petitioner), 4120 East 51st Street, Tulsa, Oklahoma 74131, filed a petition for special relief in Docket No. RI76-9, seeking a rate of 45 cents per Mcf under Order No. 481. Petitioner states that the rate increase is due to the necessity of installing artificial lift facilities to recover the remaining reserves from the Whipple gas unit in Section 31–25N–15W, Woods County, Oklahoma.

Petitioner further states that it is presently negotiating with Cities Service Gas Company for an amendment to the original contract. Petitioner states that it is presently collecting a rate of 15 cents per Mcf.

Any person desiring to be heard or to make any protest with reference to said petition should on or before August 11, 1975, file with the Federal Power Commission, Washington, D.C. 20426, a petition to intervene or a protest in accordance with the requirements of the Commission's rules of practice and procedure (18 CFR 1.8 or 1.10). All protests filed with the Commission will be considered by it in determining the appropriate action to be taken but will not serve to make the protestants parties to the proceeding. Any party wishing to become a party to a proceeding, or to participate as a party in any hearing therein, must file a petition to intervene in accordance with the Commission's Rules.

KENNETH F. PLUMB,
*Secretary.*

[FR Doc.75-19744 Filed 7-29-75;8:45 am]

[Docket No. E-7561]

## HOLYOKE WATER POWER CO.

### Extension of Time

JULY 23, 1975.

On July 17, 1975, Western Massachusetts Electric Company filed a motion to extend the date for filing direct testimony, as fixed by order issued June 9, 1975, in the above-designated matter. The motion states that the parties have been notified and have no objection.

Upon consideration, notice is hereby given that the date for Western Massachusetts Electric Company to file direct testimony in the above matter is modified to July 31, 1975.

KENNETH F. PLUMB,
*Secretary.*

[FR Doc.75-19752 Filed 7-29-75;8:45 am]

---

[Docket No. CI76-15]

## HOWELL DRILLING, INC.

### Application

JULY 24, 1975.

Take notice that on July 11, 1975, Howell Drilling, Inc. (Applicant), Milam Building, San Antonio, Texas 78205, filed in Docket No. CI76-15 an application pursuant to section 7(b) of the Natural Gas Act for permission and approval to abandon the sale for resale of natural gas produced from the Anna Barre Well No. 1, North Field, DeWitt County, Texas, to Texas Eastern Transmission Corporation (Texas Eastern), all as more fully set forth in the application on file with the Commission and open to public inspection.

Applicant states that its gas sales contract with Texas Eastern would expire on August 1, 1975. Applicant further indicates that the last effective price of natural gas sales under such contract is 23.35 cents per Mcf of gas, and that gas production through May 1975, was 1,043,000 Mcf of gas.

Applicant alleges that there is a reserve of gas of 457 Mcf as of June 1, 1975, but that if another well were drilled on the Anna Barre Lease an additional 1 million Mcf of gas could be recovered from the 3 million Mcf estimated to be in place. Applicant alleges that the cost of drilling and completing a new well and converting the present Well No. 1 to a water disposal well would exceed the income from gas produced from the present proven reserves at 55.0 cents per Mcf of gas. Applicant states that Houston Pipe Line Company (Houston) has offered $1.75 per Mcf for an intrastate sale of the gas produced from the Anna Barre Lease and at this price the gas would be commercially producible.

Applicant states that the offer from Houston for gas from the subject acreage should indicate to the Commission that the demand for gas in the State of Texas is in excess of three times the demand in the balance of the United States and that the law of supply and demand does work. This price is said to be reduced by 10 cents over what would have been offered for the gas a few months back, due to the current oversupply of gas in South Texas. The present oversupply is seen by Applicant to be temporary, as the demand for gas should increase drastically with the increase of the price of foreign oil anticipated in September. The cost of drilling and completing a new well on the Anna Barre lease and converting the present #1 well to a water disposal well would exceed the income at 55 cents of the present proven reserves Applicant states. In the event the #2 well was a dry hole, it could be converted into a disposal well which, it is stated, would guarantee that the remaining reservoir of 457 Mcf would be commercially producible. Applicant alleges that the remaining 457 Mcf may not be commercial production due to the increase in hauling costs of water, due to the increase of fuel cost for the trucks, due to the increase in foreign price of oil that was allowed to occur, and due to the fact the Commission has kept the price of gas so low that the producers are not encouraged to develop excess production capacity that would have been very much of a deterent to the Arab's raising their price of crude to such high extents.

Applicant states that if it can make the sale to Houston, the State of Texas, the County of DeWitt, and the Cuero Independent School District will receive in direct ad valorem taxes a sum of money that will be approximately twice the gross that Applicant would receive by entering into a new contract with Texas Eastern at 55 cents per Mcf. Applicant alleges that after paying taxes to the State of Texas, DeWitt County, and Cuero Independent School District, and after deducting the cost of the well and any depletion allowance that might occur, the sum of one and one-quarter million dollars would be fully taxable by the United States Government, or would allow Applicant to drill ten additional wildcat wells looking for equivalent reserves. It is said that, ultimately, the taxes paid to the United States Government for new discoveries made by drilling wildcat wells would benefit considerably more of the citizens of the United States than the particular few that would be receiving the present gas being produced by subject lease.

Applicant states further that the tax benefit to the State of Texas, the Cuero Independent School District, the citizens of DeWitt County, plus the taxpayers in the United States, would be in the order of one million dollars. The present reserve production at 55 cents per Mcf would gross one-quarter million dollars and cannot possibly benefit the few purchasers of such gas to the advantage of three-quarters of a million dollars, Applicant states. If the present purchasers are benefited by the three-quarters of a million dollars, Applicant alleges, they obviously are not paying the proper value for the gas and are being subsidized by the taxpayers of the United States.

Any person desiring to be heard or to make any protest with reference to said application should on or before August 15, 1975, file with the Federal Power Commission, Washington, D.C. 20426, a petition to intervene or a protest in accordance with the requirements of the Commission's rules of practice and procedure (18 CFR 1.8 or 1.10). All protests filed with the Commission will be considered by it in determining the appropriate action to be taken but will not serve to make the protestants parties to the proceeding. Any person wishing to become a party to a proceeding or to participate as a party in any hearing therein must file a petition to intervene in accordance with the Commission's rules.

Take further notice that, pursuant to the authority contained in and subject to the jurisdiction conferred upon the Federal Power Commission by sections 7 and 15 of the Natural Gas Act and the Commission's rules of practice and procedure, a hearing will be held without further notice before the Commission on this application if no petition to intervene is filed within the time required herein, if the Commission on its own review of the matter finds that permission and approval for the proposed abandonment are required by the public convenience and necessity. If a petition for leave to intervene is timely filed, or if the Commission on its own motion believes that a formal hearing is required, further notice of such hearing will be duly given.

Under the procedure herein provided for, unless otherwise advised, it will be unnecessary for Applicant to appear or be represented at the hearing.

KENNETH F. PLUMB,
*Secretary.*

[FR Doc. 75-19745 Filed 7-29-75;8:45 am]

---

[Docket No. CP74-235]

## LONE STAR GAS CO.

### Withdrawal

JULY 15, 1975.

On June 30, 1975, Lone Star Gas Company filed a withdrawal of his application for abandonment, filed March 14, 1974, in the above-designated matter.

Notice is hereby given that pursuant to § 1.11(d) of the Commission's rules and regulations, the withdrawal of the above application shall become effective July 30, 1975.

MARY B. KIDD,
*Acting Secretary.*

[FR Doc. 75-19753 Filed 7-29-75;8:45 am]

---

[Docket No. RI76-7]

## MOBIL OIL CORP.

### Petition for Special Relief

JULY 23, 1975.

Take notice that on July 14, 1975, Mobil Oil Corporation (Petitioner), Three Greenway Plaza East, Suite 800, Houston, Texas 77046, filed a petition for special relief in Docket No. RI76-7 pursuant to § 2.76 of the Commission's Statements of General Policy and Interpretations. Petitioner seeks a rate increase to 34.5 cents per Mcf for the sale of natural gas from the Pickrell-Forsythe "B-1" Gas Unit situated in the Medicine Lodge North Field, Barber County, Kansas, to Cities Service Gas Company from its present rate of 15 cents per Mcf under its FPC Gas Rate Schedule No. 183. Petitioner states that it seeks relief in order to provide it with sufficient income to

cover expenses and capital improvements required for continued and subsequent operation of the property.

Any person desiring to be heard or to make any protest with reference to said petition should on or before August 8, 1975, file with the Federal Power Commission, Washington, D.C. 20426, a petition to intervene or a protest in accordance with the requirements of the Commission's rules of practice and procedure (18 CFR 1.8 or 1.10). All protests filed with the Commission will be considered by it in determining the appropriate action to be taken but will not serve to make the protestants parties to the proceeding. Any party wishing to become a party to a proceeding, or to participate as a party in any hearing therein, must file a petition to intervene in accordance with the Commission's rules.

KENNETH F. PLUMB,
*Secretary.*

[FR Doc.75-19754 Filed 7-29-75;8:45 am]

---

[Docket No. RM75-14]

## NATURAL GAS

### National Rates for Jurisdictional Sales; Denying Further Extension of Time

JULY 24, 1975.

National rates for jurisdictional sales of natural gas dedicated to interstate commerce on or after January 1, 1973, for the period January 1, 1975 to December 31, 1976.

On July 10, 1975, Getty Oil Company filed a motion to extend the date for compliance with the Commission's order of June 13, 1975, as most recently modified by notice issued July 10, 1975, extending the date in the above designated matter to July 31, 1975.[1]

Upon consideration, notice is hereby given that the motion to further extend the date of compliance is denied.

KENNETH F. PLUMB,
*Secretary.*

[FR Doc.75-19760 Filed 7-29-75;8:45 am]

---

[Docket No. RM75-14]

## NATURAL GAS

### National Rates for Jurisdictional Sales; Order Denying Rehearing

JULY 24, 1975.

National rates for jurisdictional sales of natural gas dedicated to interstate commerce on or after January 1, 1973, for the period January 1, 1975 to December 31, 1976.

A number of producers[1] filed on July 8, 1975 a joint application for rehearing of the Commission's order issued June 13, 1975, in the above-entitled proceeding. In addition, Exxon Corporation (Exxon), Union Oil Company of California (Union) and Mobil Oil Corporation (Mobil) filed on July 3, July 11 and July 14, 1975, applications for rehearing of the June 13 order and motions for stay of the filing date.[2] By the June 13 order the Commission instituted an investigation to inquire further into the gas reserves relating to the Gulf of Mexico 1971–1972 new field gas discoveries. The producers holding proved reserves in such discoveries are required by July 25, 1975,[3] to provide the Commission with estimates of the proved reserves on the subject properties, plus all background information relating thereto, such as well logs and production histories. In addition, the producers must submit all information made available to the American Gas Association (AGA) for the years 1971–1974 relative to the reserve estimates made by AGA for the 1971–1972 new discoveries. The responses to the order will be a matter of public record. The purpose of the Commission's investigation is to examine the credibility of the AGA reserve estimates and to determine what, if any, modifications of the AGA estimates are necessary in the biennial review of nationwide rates in Docket No. RM75-14.

All of the producers argue that the Commission's objective in this case can be fully met by making all of the requested data available in the respective company offices. They seek to keep their proprietary reserve data confidential.

We have previously rejected in Opinion No. 687 issued February 4, 1974, and Opinion No. 687-A issued April 3, 1974, similar claims of confidentiality with respect to the placing of reserve data in the public file. Particularly in light of the nature of the investigation involved here, we think the value to the public of this information far outweighs the claimed pecuniary interest of the producers in confidentiality.[4] We recognize that disclosure of the reserve data requested by us may adversely affect the private interests of the producers, but such possible damage does not override in our view the public interest in disclosure. The regulatory process is a bond of consumer protection and regulation of rates cannot proceed satisfactorily without full disclosure of the facts upon which the rates are to be determined.[5] Our investigation here is part of our biennial review in Docket No. RM75-14 where we will determine the just and reasonable rates for producer sales. The parties in Docket No. RM75-14, a rule-making proceeding, are entitled to examine the underlying evidence relating to the Staff report that will be made a part of the record in the proceeding upon completion of its investigation herein, as well as the evidentiary basis for any ultimate Commission findings in this case. Under section 19(b) of the Natural Gas Act, the "finding of the Commission as to the facts, if supported by substantial evidence, shall be conclusive". Accordingly, in order for a court to review any determination made by us in this matter, it must have before it as a part of the record the evidence on which we rely.

The Freedom of Information Act has no applicability here inasmuch as the exemptions provided therein are a privilege of the agency, not of those seeking to protect the confidentiality of information.[6] Nor is the Amerada case in Docket No. RI74-15, order issued October 15, 1973, 50 FPC 1048, relevant here for in that case the reserve data was furnished voluntarily with the understanding that it would be afforded confidential treatment. Finally, Section 8(b) of the Natural Gas Act does not bar disclosure of the reserve data because the Commission has instituted an investigation into these matters and has "directed" disclosure of the data.

Many of the producers also suggest that the subject reserve issue should be severed from Docket No. RM75-14 because they fear that this investigation may delay the decision with respect to a new national rate for producers. We recognize the need for prompt action in Docket No. RM75-14 and we have no intention of causing any unreasonable delay in determining the just and reasonable rate in that case. But, we are not in a position of causing any delay at this juncture. Consequently, the producers' request is premature.

Mobil contends that the Commission should eleminate that portion of the June 13 order which directs Mobil and others to obtain an individual subcommittee member's workpapers used in performance of his AGA assignments. Mobil asserts that no Mobil information was presented to the AGA subcommittee and that the estimates submitted by the individual to the AGA were not and have not been reviewed by Mobil. Mobil further asserts that the individual has kept his AGA workpapers in his possession, not in Mobil's offices or otherwise within Mobil's possession.

It makes no difference whether Mobil or an individual employee of Mobil serving as a representative to the AGA Committee on Natural Gas Reserves or its subcommittees for the fields involved here supplied information to AGA. In either event that information must be submitted to this Commission. We do not agree with Mobil that it is like asking for an individual's federal tax return. On the contrary, the individual is a Mobil employee assigned by Mobil to the AGA, and, as such, Mobil remains responsible for submitting the required information.

The applications for rehearing filed

---

[1] First published at 39 FR 43093, December 10, 1974. Later appeared at 40 FR 30537, July 21, 1975.

[1] The producers are listed in the Appendix below.

[2] Gulf Oil Corporation on July 14, 1975, adopted Exxon's application for rehearing and motion for stay.

[2] By notice issued July 11, 1975, the time was extended to July 31, 1975 (40 FR 30537, July 21, 1975).

[4] F.C.C. v. Schreiber, 381 U.S. 279, 298.

[5] This is similar to our requirements that cost data be accounted for in a particular way reports be filed with the Commission for regulatory use and the benefit of the public. See F.P.C. v. East Ohio Gas Co., 338 U.S. 464, 475 (1950); Northwestern Co. v. F.P.C., 321 U.S. 119, 125 (1944).

[6] Cf. La Morte v. Mansfield, 438 F.2d 448 (CA2; 1971).

herein present no new facts or principles of law which were not fully considered by the Commission in its June 13 order, or, which having now been considered, warrant any modification of that order.

Exxon and Mobil sought a stay of the Commission's June 13 order until a reasonable period of time following the Commission's order on rehearing and Union has requested a three week extension. The time for filing has previously been extended to July 31, 1975, and we see no need for any further extension. The motions are therefore denied. However, any information submitted in compliance with our June 13 order, as amended, will not be placed in the public files until August 11, 1975.

*The Commission orders.* (A) The applications for rehearing filed herein are denied.

(B) The motions of Exxon, Mobil and Union for a stay are denied.

(C) Any information submitted in compliance with our June 13 order, as amended, shall not be placed in the public files until August 11, 1975.

By the Commission.

[SEAL] KENNETH F. PLUMB, *Secretary.*

APPENDIX

Amerada Hess Corporation
Ashland Oil, Inc.
Atlantic Richfield Company
Burmah Oil and Gas Company
Cabot Corporation
The California Company, A Division of Chevron Oil Company
Chevron Oil Company, Western Division
Cities Service Oil Company
Estate of E. Cockrell, Jr.
Texas Production Company
Pinto, Inc.
Ecee, Inc.
Continental Oil Company
Diamond Shamrock Corporation
Felmont Oil Corporation
Freeport Oil Company
Getty Oil Company
Gulf Oil Company—U.S.
J. M. Huber Corporation
Hunt Oil Company
Inexco Oil Company
Kerr-McGee Corporation
Marathon Oil Company
Kewanee Oil Company
Pennzoil Company
Pennzoil Producing Company
Pennzoil Offshore Gas Operators, Inc.
Pennzoil Louisiana and Texas Offshore, Inc.
Phillips Petroleum Company
Placid Oil Company
Shell Oil Company
Skelly Oil Company
Sohio Petroleum Company
Southern Union Production Company
Sun Oil Company
Tenneco Oil Company

[FR Doc.75-19759 Filed 7-29-75;8:45 am]

---

[Docket No. CP76-14]

## NATURAL GAS PIPELINE COMPANY OF AMERICA

### Application

JULY 24, 1975.

Take notice that on July 14, 1975, Natural Gas Pipeline Company of America (Applicant), 122 South Michigan Avenue, Chicago, Illinois 60603, filed in Docket No. CP76-14 an application pursuant to section 7(c) of the Natural Gas Act for a certificate of public convenience and necessity authorizing the construction and operation of measuring facilities and a pipeline to provide for delivery of natural gas to its system from offshore Louisiana, all as more fully set forth in the application on file with the Commission and open to public inspection.

Applicant proposes to construct and operate a measuring facility and approximately 9.6 miles of 16-inch pipeline from West Cameron Block 543 to a point of connection with Stingray Pipeline Company's (Stingray) existing 30-inch pipeline in West Cameron Block 565, all offshore Louisiana. Applicant states that Stingray would transport and redeliver onshore said gas to Applicant pursuant to Stingray's Rate Schedule T-1.

Applicant states that during the first years of operation it would transport for itself and others up to 77,576 Mcf of gas per day and that the maximum capacity of the proposed facilities would be 164,669 Mcf of gas per day. The estimated cost of the proposed facilities would be approximately $5,658,000, which cost would be met from cash on hand.

Applicant states that it has entered into a contract with Kerr-McGee Corporation for the purchase of gas to be produced in the West Cameron Block 543 and that of approximately 49 million Mcf of estimated gas reserves, approximately 25 million Mcf of reserves from said block are dedicated to Applicant. Applicant further states that presently there is no other pipeline either constructed or proposed in the area which could transport Applicant's gas. Applicant alleges that it is presently negotiating for the purchase of 50 percent of the remaining gas reserves in West Cameron Block 543 and notes that reserves are being developed in adjacent blocks. Applicant requests that the Commission waive the requirement of § 2.65(a)(4) of the Commission's General Policy and Interpretations (18 CFR 2.65(a)(4)) that its proposed facilities be utilized at a minimum load factor of 60 percent of the annual capacity available at the end of a 12-month period following the installation thereof. Applicant states that the initial load factor for the proposed facilities would be approximately 46 percent, but that the volumes that Applicant expects to become available for purchase or transportation and which are presently being developed in this area would raise the load factor above 60 percent within a reasonable time.

Any person desiring to be heard or to make any protest with reference to said application should on or before August 14, 1975, file with the Federal Power Commission, Washington, D.C. 20426, a petition to intervene or a protest in accordance with the requirements of the Commission's rules of practice and procedure (18 CFR 1.8 or 1.10) and the regulations under the Natural Gas Act (18 CFR 157.10). All protests filed with the Commission will be considered by it in determining the appropriate action to be taken but will not serve to make the protestants parties to the proceeding. Any person wishing to become a party to a proceeding or to participate as a party in any hearing therein must file a petition to intervene in accordance with the Commission's rules.

Take further notice that, pursuant to the authority contained in and subject to the jurisdiction conferred upon the Federal Power Commission by sections 7 and 15 of the Natural Gas Act and the Commission's rules of practice and procedure, a hearing will be held without further notice before the Commission on this application if no petition to intervene is filed within the time required herein, if the Commission on its own review of the matter finds that a grant of the certificate is required by the public convenience and necessity. If a petition for leave to intervene is timely filed, or if the Commission on its own motion believes that a formal hearing is required, further notice of such hearing will be duly given.

Under the procedure herein provided for, unless otherwise advised, it will be unnecessary for Applicant to appear or be represented at the hearing.

KENNETH F. PLUMB, *Secretary.*

[FR Doc.75-19755 Filed 7-29-75;8:45 am]

---

[Docket No. CI76-14]

## SAN SALVADOR DEVELOPMENT COMPANY, INC., ET AL.

### Application

JULY 24, 1975.

Take notice that on July 9, 1975, San Salvador Development Company, Inc., et al.[1] (Applicants), 1100 Milam Building, Suite 776, Houston, Texas 77002, filed in Docket No. CI76-14 an application pursuant to section 7(b) of the Natural Gas Act for permission and approval to abandon sales of natural gas from properties from which gas was dedicated to Tennessee Gas Pipeline Company, a Division of Tenneco Inc. (Tennessee), in Hidalgo County, Texas, all as more fully set forth in the application on file with the Commission and open to public inspection.

Applicant states that it entered into agreements with Tennessee for the sale of natural gas in interstate commerce from certain leases in San Salvador Field, Hidalgo County, Texas, on October 14, 1954, which sales were made under Applicant's FPC Gas Rate Schedule No. 1. Applicant further states that the leases dedicated to Tennessee were terminated for lack of production.

Applicant states that subsequent to the termination of the original production and termination of the original leases, Atlantic Richfield Company (ARCO) ac-

[1] Applicant states that it is submitting this application for itself and for Highland Resources, Inc., as assignee of 75 percent of Applicant's working interest in the subject leases under a partial assignment dated June 11, 1976.

quired a number of new leases in the San Salvador Field in March, April and May 1975, and by an assignment dated December 14, 1973, ARCO assigned to Applicant working interests in the subject leases for all horizons from ground level down to 150 feet below the top of Frio K Sand (Shallow Horizons). Applicant states that an exploratory well has revealed the existence of marginal gas reserves under the subject leases in the shallow horizons and neither Tennessee nor Applicant deems it economic to construct an approximately 1.3-mile gathering line necessary to transport such gas the Tennessee's system. Applicant states that an exploratory well has revealed the existence of marginal gas reserves under the subject leases in the shallow horizons and neither Tennessee nor Applicant deems it economic to construct an approximately 1.3-mile gathering line necessary to transport such gas the Tennessee's system. Applicant states that no gas produced from the shallow horizons underlying the subject leases has been sold subject to the jurisdiction of the Commission and requests that permission and approval to abandon the sales of natural gas for resale in interstate commerce be granted to the extent that any dedication has taken place under Applicant's FPC Gas Rate Schedule No. 1.

Any person desiring to be heard or to make any protest with reference to said application should on or before August 13, 1975, file with the Federal Power Commission, Washington, D.C. 20426, a petition to intervene or a protest in accordance with the requirements of the Commission's rules of practice and procedure (18 CFR 1.8 or 1.10). All protests filed with the Commission will be considered by it in determining the appropriate action to be taken but will not serve to make the protestants parties to the proceeding. Any person wishing to become a party to a proceeding or to participate as a party in any hearing therein must file a petition to intervene in accordance with the Commission's Rules.

Take further notice that, pursuant to the authority contained in and subject to the jurisdiction conferred upon the Federal Power Commission by sections 7 and 15 of the Natural Gas Act and the Commission's rules of practice and procedure, a hearing will be held without further notice before the Commission on this application if no petition to intervene is filed within the time required herein, if the Commission on its own review of the matter finds that permission and approval for the proposed abandonment are required by the public convenience and necessity. If a petition for leave to intervene is timely filed, or if the Commission on its own motion believes that a formal hearing is required, further notice of such hearing will be duly given.

Under the procedure herein provided for, unless otherwise advised, it will be unnecessary for Applicant to appear or be represented at the hearing.

KENNETH F. PLUMB,
*Secretary.*

[FR Doc.75-19746 Filed 7-29-75;8:45 am]

[Rate Schedule Nos. 29, etc.]

## SHELL OIL CO., ET AL.

### Rate Change Filings

JULY 24, 1975.

Take notice that the producers listed in the Appendix attached below have filed proposed increased rates to the applicable new gas national ceiling based on the interpretation of vintaging concepts set forth by the Commission in its Opinion No. 639, issued December 12, 1972, and in Opinion No. 699-H, issued December 4, 1974. The rates filed pursuant to Opinion No. 699-H, if accepted, will become effective as of the date of filing.

The information relevant to each of these sales is listed in the Appendix below.

Any person desiring to be heard or to make any protest with reference to said filing should on or before August 8, 1975, file with the Federal Power Commission, Washington, D.C. 20426, a petition to intervene or a protest in accordance with the requirements of the Commission's rules of practice and procedure (18 CFR 1.8 or 1.10). A protest will not serve to make the protestant a party to the proceeding. Any party wishing to become a party to a proceeding must file a petition to intervene in accordance with the Commission's rules.

KENNETH F. PLUMB,
*Secretary.*

APPENDIX

| Filing date | Producer | Rate schedule No. | Buyer | Area |
|---|---|---|---|---|
| July 11, 1975 | Shell Oil Co., P.O. Box 2099, Houston, Tex. 77001. | 29 | Texas Gas Pipe Line Co. | Texas Gulf Coast. |
| July 16, 1975 | American Petrofina Co. of Texas, P.O. Box 2159, Dallas, Tex. 75221. | 48 | Transcontinental Gas Pipe Line Corp. | Do. |

[FR Doc.75-19765 Filed 7-29-75;8:45 am]

[Project No. 516; Docket No. E-7791]

## SOUTH CAROLINA ELECTRIC & GAS CO.

### Certification of Settlement Proposal and Question Regarding Need for Environmental Impact Statement

JULY 24, 1975.

Take notice that on July 7, 1975, Presiding Administrative Law Judge Ernst Liebman certified to the Federal Power Commission (1) a settlement proposal by the South Carolina Department of Parks, Recreation and Tourism (PRT), and (2) the question whether the Commission's Staff must file—and if so, when it must file—an environmental impact statement (EIS) pursuant to the National Environmental Policy Act, 42 U.S.C. 4333, and the statements of General Policy and Interpretations of the Commission, 18 CFR 2.81.

On September 10, 1973, the Commission ordered a hearing in the above-styled proceeding to consider certain applications for easements or for other permission to utilize project lands and waters. Applications considered during the evidentiary hearings held from February 24 through February 28, March 11 through March 13, and June 10 through June 12, 1975 included (1) Billy Dreher Island State Park—a proposed state park to be located on Billy Dreher Island in Lake Murray and to be operated and maintained by the South Carolina Department of Parks, Recreation, and Tourism; (2) Champion International—a request to discharge treated effluent into the Saluda River in Newberry County, South Carolina; (3) Lands End Development—a proposed housing development which would be located on the Jim Spence Islands in Lake Murray (an environmental impact statement was prepared on the entire development prior to the Commission's September 10, 1973 order); and (4) Edgewater Shores—a proposed housing subdivision which was withdrawn from these proceedings on April 9, 1975. Commission approval of the proposed settlement agreement would permit PRT to build and operate the proposed Billy Dreher State Park, but would not authorize any other proposed construction.

Copies of the settlement proposal and briefs on the need for an EIS are on file with the Commission and are available for public inspection. Any person desiring to file comments respecting such certification should file such comments with the Federal Power Commission, 825 North Capitol Street, NE., Washington, D.C. 20426. All such comments should be filed on or before August 15, 1975.

KENNETH F. PLUMB,
*Secretary.*

[FR Doc.75-19747 Filed 7-29-75;8:45 am]

[Project No. 298]

## SOUTHERN CALIFORNIA EDISON CO.

### Issuance of Annual License

JULY 24, 1975.

On May 2, 1975, Southern California Edison Company, Licensee for Kaweah Project No. 298, located on the Kaweah River in Sequoia National Park, Tulare County, California, filed an application for a new license under the Federal Power Act and Commission Regulations thereunder.

The license for Project No. 298 was issued effective August 7, 1924, for a period ending August 8, 1974. In order to authorize continued operation of the project, pending completion of Licensee's application and Commission action thereon, it is appropriate and in the public interest to issue an annual license to Southern California Edison Company for continued operation and maintenance of Kaweah Project No. 298.

Take notice that an annual license is issued to Southern California Edison Company (Licensee) for the period August 9, 1975, to August 8, 1976, unless during that period a new license for the project is issued, for the continued operation and maintenance of the Kaweah Project No. 298, subject to the terms and conditions of its present license.

KENNETH F. PLUMB,
*Secretary.*

[FR Doc.75-19748 Filed 7-29-75;8:45 am]

---

[Docket Nos. CI75-45, CP75-152 etc.]

## TENNECO OIL CO., ET AL.

### Order Granting Severance of Docket, Withdrawal of Application, Termination of Proceeding and Granting Intervention

JULY 16, 1975.

On November 18, 1974, Southern Natural Gas Company (Southern) filed an application for a certificate of public convenience and necessity in Docket No. CP75-152, requesting authorization to transport up to 5,400 Mcf of natural gas per day from certain points of receipt in South Marsh Island Blocks 268, 269 and 281, offshore Louisiana, by means of Southern's capacity in Trunkline Gas Company's proposed South Marsh Line to the inlet side of a processing plant near Patterson, Louisiana, and further to transport this gas, less plant volume reduction and adjustment for equivalent Btu content, by means of 430 miles of existing pipeline from the tailgate of that processing plant to Hunt Oil Company's (Hunt) refinery near Tuscaloosa, Alabama.

The proposal underlying the subject application was the transportation agreement between Southern and Hunt dated June 4, 1974, whereby Hunt had reserved the 5400 Mcf per day volume of gas pursuant to the gas sale and purchase agreement between Hunt and Southern dated June 4, 1974, which provided for the sale of gas from Blocks 268, 269 and 281, South Marsh Island Area. Pursuant to the terms of said transportation agreement, and consistent with representations made by counsel for Hunt on the record in the hearing of Docket Nos. CI75-45, et al., on May 30, 1975, Southern was notified of Hunt's cancellation of the agreement effective July 1, 1975, by letter dated June 11, 1975.

Pursuant to § 1.11(d) of the Commission's Rules of Practice and Procedure, Southern filed a notice of withdrawal of the application for a certificate of public convenience and necessity in Docket No. CP75-152 on June 13, 1975. Based upon the particular facts underlying this proceeding and subsequent events which have transpired, specifically including cancellation of the transportation agreement, continuation of the subject proceeding would serve no purpose. In order to facilitate the termination of this singular aspect of the consolidated proceedings in Docket Nos. CI75-45, et al., Docket No. CP75-152 shall be severed therefrom to assure procedural continuity in the remaining proceedings.

Subsequent to the issuance of the Commission's April 14, 1975, order in Docket Nos. CI75-45, et al., OKC Corporation filed a petition to intervene in these proceedings. No objection to this petition has been received.

*The Commission finds.* (1) It is in the public interest and consistent with the Natural Gas Act that the proceeding in Docket No. CP75-152 be severed from Docket Nos. CI75-45, et al., the application withdrawn and the proceeding terminated.

(2) Participation by the above-titled intervener may be in the public interest.

*The Commission orders.* (A) The proceeding in Docket No. CP75-152 is hereby severed from Docket Nos. CI75-45, et al., the application withdrawn and the proceeding terminated.

(B) The petitioner named above is hereby permitted to intervene in these proceedings subject to the rules and regulations of the Commission, *Provided, however*, that the participation of such intervener shall be limited to matters affecting rights and interests specifically set forth in its petition to intervene and that the admission of such intervener shall not be construed as recognition by the Commission that it might be aggrieved because of any order or order issued by the Commission in this proceeding, and that such petitioner shall take the record as presently established.

By the Commission.

[SEAL] MARY B. KIDD,
*Acting Secretary.*

[FR Doc.75-19756 Filed 7-29-75;8:45 am]

---

[Docket No. CI75-466]

## TENNECO OIL CO., ET AL.

### Order Granting Motion and Establishing Procedures

JULY 16, 1975.

On February 7, 1975, we ordered Tenneco Oil Company (Tenneco) and Tennessee Gas Pipeline Company, a Division of Tenneco, Inc. (Tennessee) to show cause why Tenneco should not pay back to Tennessee in excess of 12 Bcf which Tennessee has delivered for the account of Tenneco. In that order we took note of the proceeding in Docket No. RP75-45 instituted to investigate Tennessee's increased level of curtailment above that projected in Form 16. That curtailment was in excess of 13 Bcf in December 1974. We also noted that in that proceeding Tennessee had projected a negative storage situation. For these reasons we found that an emergency existed on the Tennessee system and ordered Tenneco and Tennessee to show cause why the imbalance could not be repaid immediately to help relieve the current shortage situation on the Tennessee system. Hearings were set to commence three weeks later.

Hearings commenced March 3, 1975, and continued through March 4, 1975, covering the direct case of Tennessee and Tenneco. At this hearing Tennessee indicated that its current level of curtailment was 650,000 Mcf per day (Tr. 1/66) which was the last of three increasingly worse curtailments. This curtailment cuts 30 percent into priority 2 (Tr. 1/81). Tennessee also indicated that storage dropped to 1.7 Bcf in January 1975 (Exhibit No. 17, Attachment 3). This confirms the seriousness of the situation as we found originally in our order to show cause.

After completion of the direct case, intervenor Air Products and Chemicals, Inc. (Air Products) requested that the hearing be reconvened on March 31, 1975, for presentation of any answering testimony. On March 31, 1975, the staff requested that the date be moved forward to March 19, 1975, in order that the hearing be expedited. This motion was denied by the Presiding Administrative Law Judge. On April 14, 1975, Air Products requested further hearings. The staff and other parties opposed this motion, and the staff requested that procedures be expedited by requiring that an initial decision be rendered 15 days after reply briefs. While the Presiding Administrative Law Judge found no need to reconvene the hearing, he again denied the staff motion for expedition. Thereafter, initial briefs were filed April 21, 1975, and reply briefs were filed May 5, 1975. This proceeding has, thus, been under consideration for two months.

On April 23, 1975, the staff filed with us its third motion for expedited procedures in this proceeding. The staff cites the concern expressed in our February 7, 1975, order to show cause that any repayment is required immediately to remedy the current emergency situation on the Tennessee system. The staff further cites the extended hearing as well as the refusal of the Presiding Administrative Law Judge to render a decision within 15 days as causing inordinate delay. The staff, therefore, requests that we reduce the time for filing briefs on and opposing exceptions. Air Products filed an answer on April 29, 1975, opposing the staff motion.

At this time, five months have passed since the issuance of our show cause order. There has been no initial decision. We think the amount of time taken by this proceeding thus far is unwarranted. The single issue of whether there should be an immediate repayment requires only a limited amount of evidence, and time for consideration of the issue. It is necessary for the Commission to have the record in this proceeding in order to make a final decision in order to allow high priority customers of the Tennessee system this winter in the event we find that the public convenience and necessity requires repayment of the imbalance. Therefore, we will grant the motion of the staff to reduce the filing time for briefs on exception to 15 days, and the filing time for briefs opposing exceptions to 10 days. In addition, in order to effectuate the staff request for expedited

briefs, we direct the Presiding Administrative Law Judge to issue an initial decision within 15 days after this order.

*The Commission order.* (A) That the April 23, 1975, motion of the staff is granted, and the time for filing briefs on exceptions is reduced to 15 days, and the time for filing briefs opposing exceptions is reduced to 10 days.

(B) Within 15 days from issuance of this order, the Presiding Administrative Law Judge shall issue his initial decision.

By the Commission.

[SEAL] MARY B. KIDD,
*Acting Secretary.*

[FR Doc.75-19757 Filed 7-29-75;8:45 am]

---

[Docket Nos. RP74-48, RP75-3; AP75-3]

## TRANSCONTINENTAL GAS PIPE LINE CORP.

### Tariff Filing

JULY 24, 1975.

Take notice that Transcontinental Gas Pipe Line Corporation (Transco) on July 16, 1975, tendered for filing seven revised tariff sheets to its FPC Gas Tariff, First Revised Volume No. 1 and Original Volume No. 2.

Transco states that such filing is made in accordance with the provisions of Section 6 of Article III of its "Agreement as to Rates" in the above dockets to track a net increase in advance payment amounts not previously reflected in rates of $6,863,293. The proposed effective date of the filing is September 1, 1975 subject to Commission approval of the Agreement.

Transco states that the revised tariff sheets included in the filing reflect an increase of 0.2¢ per Mcf in the commodity rate or delivery charge of the Company's CD, G, OG, E, PS, S-2, X-11, X-20, X-42, X-52, and X-56 rate schedules.

The Company states that copies of the filing have been mailed to each of the Company's jurisdictional customers and interested State Commissions.

Any person desiring to be heard or to protest said filing should file a petition to intervene or protest with the Federal Power Commission, 825 North Capitol Street, NE., Washington, D.C. 20426, in accordance with §§ 1.8 and 1.10 of the Commission's rules of practice and procedure (18 CFR 1.8, 1.10). All such petitions or protests should be filed on or before August 8, 1975. Protests will be considered by the Commission in determining the appropriate action to be taken, but will not serve to make protestants parties to the proceeding. Any person wishing to become a party must file a petition to intervene. Copies of this filing are on file with the Commission and are available for public inspection.

KENNETH F. PLUMB,
*Secretary.*

[FR Doc.75-19749 Filed 7-29-75;8:45 am]

---

[Docket No. RP74-89]

## TRUNKLINE GAS CO.

### Change in Tariff

JULY 24, 1975.

Take notice that on July 18, 1975, Trunkline Gas Company (Trunkline) tendered for filing Substitute Thirteenth Revised Sheet No. 3-A and Third Revised Sheet No. 21-F to its FPC Gas Tariff, Original Volume No. 1. Trunkline submits that these revised tariff sheets reflect rates approved by the Commission's July 9, 1975, order Approving Settlement and Determining Reserved Issue in Trunkline's Docket No. RP74-89 rate proceeding, adjusted for the following items:

(1) Adjustments to the PGA Filing of June 13, 1975 (Docket No. RP73-35, PGA 75-3), filed herewith, to reflect provisions included as a part of the Agreement as to Rates and Related Matters, dated April 14, 1975.

(2) Advance Payment Tracker, filed herewith, pursuant to Article V of the Agreement as to Rates and Related Matters, dated April 14, 1975.

(3) Purchased Gas Transmission and Compression Tracker, filed herewith, pursuant to Article VI of the Agreement as to Rates and Related Matters, dated April 14, 1975.

The Company states that each of the above adjustments results in a reduction in rates. Trunkline proposes that the rates be made effective August 1, 1975, and requests waiver of Section 154.22 to permit such sheets to become effective on August 1, 1975.

Trunkline states that it has included in the Advance Payment Section of the filing the cost effect to Trunkline of advances to Exxon Company, U.S.A. for offshore Louisiana and Texas. Trunkline requests that such advance payments be included under Article V of the Agreement as to Rates and Related Matters dated April 14, 1975, as approved by the Commission's July 9, 1975, order in Docket No. RP74-89. In recognition that prior like advances to Exxon have not received Commission approval, Trunkline tendered for filing Alternate Substitute Thirteenth Revised Sheet No. 3-A, which Trunkline states includes the Advance Payment Tracker exclusive of such payment to Exxon.

Trunkline states that copies of its filing have been served on all jurisdictional customers and applicable State regulatory agencies.

Any person desiring to be heard or to protest said filing should file a petition to intervene or protest with the Federal Power Commission, 825 North Capitol Street, NE., Washington, D.C. 20426, in accordance with §§ 1.8 and 1.10 of the Commission's rules of practice and procedure (18 CFR 1.8, 1.10). All such petitions or protests should be filed on or before August 7, 1975. Protests will be considered by the Commission in determining the appropriate action to be taken, but will no serve to make protestants parties to the proceeding. Any person wishing to become a party must file a petition to intervene. Copies of this filing are on file with the Commission and are available for public inspection.

KENNETH F. PLUMB,
*Secretary.*

[FR Doc.75-19758 Filed 7-29-75;8:45 am]

---

[Docket Nos. CP74-138, CP74-139, and CP74-140]

## TRUNKLINE LNG CO. AND TRUNKLINE GAS CO., CALCASIEU LNG PROJECT

### Availability of Environmental Impact Statement

JULY 30, 1975.

Notice is hereby given in the above Dockets, that on July 30, 1975, as required by Section 2.82(b) of Commission Order No. 415-C, a draft environmental impact statement prepared by the staff of the Federal Power Commission was made available for comments. This statement deals with applications filed by Trunkline LNG Company and Trunkline Gas Company requesting authorization pursuant to Sections 3 and 7 of the Natural Gas Act to import liquefied natural gas (LNG) from Algeria to a proposed LNG terminal in Calcasieu Parish, Louisiana, and for the sale in interstate commerce of the imported LNG. These applications include requests to construct an unloading dock, three 600,000-barrel LNG storage tanks, a 50,000-barrel Bunker-C fuel-oil storage tank, vaporizer units, 45.8 miles of 30-inch pipeline, and other appurtenant facilities.

This statement has been circulated for comments to Federal, state and local agencies, has been placed in the public files of the Commission, and is available for public inspection both in the Commission's Office of Public Information, Room 1000, 825 North Capitol Street, N.E., Washington, D.C., and at its regional office located at 819 Taylor Street, Fort Worth, Texas 76102. Copies are available in limited quantities from the Federal Power Commission's Office of Public Information, Washington, D.C. 20426.

Any person who wishes to do so may file comments on the draft statement for the Commission's consideration. All comments must be filed on or before September 15, 1975.

KENNETH F. PLUMB,
*Secretary.*

[FR Doc.75-19916 Filed 7-29-75;8:45 am]

---

# INTERNATIONAL TRADE COMMISSION

[332-75]

## INTERNATIONAL COMMODITY AGREEMENTS

### Scope of Investigation and Public Hearing

On June 26, 1975, the United States International Trade Commission an-

nounced an investigation under section 332(g) of the Tariff Act of 1930 (19 U.S.C. 1332(g)) on the experience of the United States with international commodity agreements (40 FR 27737).

The purpose of this investigation is to develop facts which will form the basis for a report to the Subcommittee on Trade of the Senate Committee on Finance on past and prospective international commodity agreements. The investigation will focus, with respect to past agreements, on the international commodity arrangements covering tin, coffee, cocoa, wheat, and sugar and on the authority of the President to negotiate international commodity agreements.

A public hearing in connection with the investigation will be held in the Hearing Room, U.S. International Trade Commission Building, Eighth and E Streets NW., Washington, D.C., beginning at 10 a.m., on Monday, August 11, 1975.

Requests to appear at the public hearing should be filed in writing with the Secretary of the Commission not later than August 6, 1975. Written submissions in addition to or in lieu of oral testimony should be received by the Secretary of the Commission on or before August 15, 1975, to assure time for consideration.

By order of the Commission.

Issued: July 29, 1975.

[SEAL] KENNETH R. MASON,
*Secretary.*

[FR Doc.75-20004 Filed 7-29-75;11:40 am]

## LEGAL SERVICES CORPORATION

### BOARD OF DIRECTORS

#### Meeting

The second meeting of the Board of Directors of the Legal Services Corporation will be held on August 4 and 5, 1975 in conference room B behind the Departmental Auditorium. The Auditorium is between Twelfth and Fourteenth Streets on Constitution Avenue, NW., Washington, D.C., and is directly across from the Museum of History and Technology.

The meetings will begin at 9:30 a.m. each day and will be for the purpose of considering reports from the Committee on Administration, the Committee on Presidential Search, the Committee on By-laws and Regulations, and the Committee on Appropriations and Audit.

Dated: July 29, 1975.

ROGER C. CRAMTON,
*Chairman.*

[FR Doc.75-20001 Filed 7-29-75;11:23 am]

## NATIONAL SCIENCE FOUNDATION

### ADVISORY PANEL ON SCIENCE EDUCATION PROJECTS, SUBPANEL ON MINORITY INSTITUTIONS SCIENCE IMPROVEMENT PROGRAM (MISIP)

#### Meeting

The Subpanel on Minority Institutions Science Improvement Program will hold a meeting at 9:00 a.m. on August 21 and 22, 1975, in Rm. 443 at 5225 Wisconsin Avenue NW., Washington, D.C.

The purpose of this Subpanel is to provide advice and recommendations concerning the merit of specific education proposals submitted for consideration by the Minority Institutions Science Improvement Program.

This meeting will not be open to the public because the Subpanel will be reviewing, discussing, and evaluating individual education proposals, projects or applications. Also, these proposals contain information of a proprietary or confidential nature, including technical information; financial data, such as salaries; and personal information concerning individuals associated with the proposals. These matters are within the exemptions of 5 U.S.C. 552(b), (4), (5), and (6). The closing of this meeting is in accordance with the determination by the Director of the National Science Foundation dated February 21, 1975, pursuant to the provisions of Section 10(d) of Pub. L. 92-463.

For further information about this Subpanel, please contact Dr. Ciria Sanchez-Baca, Program Manager, Minority Institutions Science Improvement Program, Rm. 450-W, National Science Foundation, Washington, D.C. 20550 telephone 202/282-7760.

FRED K. MURAKAMI,
*Committee Management Officer.*

JULY 24, 1975.

[FR Doc.75-19696 Filed 7-29-75;8:45 am]

## NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION

[Docket No. 50-313]

### ARKANSAS POWER AND LIGHT COMPANY

#### Proposed Issuance of Amendment to Facility Operating License

The Nuclear Regulatory Commission (the Commission) is considering the issuance of an amendment to Facility Operating License No. DPR-51 issued to Arkansas Power and Light Company (the licensee) for operation of Arkansas Nuclear One—Unit 1 (the facility), a pressurized-water reactor located in Pope County, Arkansas, and currently authorized for operation at power levels up to 2568 MWt.

In accordance with the licensee's application for a license amendment dated July 9, 1975, the amendment would modify operating limits in the Technical Specifications based upon an evaluation of ECCS performance calculated in accordance with an acceptable evaluation model that conforms to the requirements of the Commission's regulations in 10 CFR § 50.46. The amendment would modify various limits established in accordance with the Commission's Interim Acceptance Criteria, and would, with respect to the facility, terminate the further restrictions imposed by the Commission's December 27, 1974 Order for Modification of License, and would impose instead, limitations established in accordance with the Commission's Acceptance Criteria for Emergency Core Cooling Systems for Light Water Nuclear Power Reactors, 10 CFR § 50.46.

The amendment also allows for a change in the control rod position limits following control rod interchange for the facility.

Prior to issuance of the proposed license amendment, the Commission will have made the findings required by the Atomic Energy Act of 1954, as amended (the Act), and the Commission's regulations.

By August 29, 1975, the licensee may file a request for a hearing and any person whose interest may be affected by this proceeding may file a request for a hearing in the form of a petition for leave to intervene with respect to the issuance of the amendment to the subject facility operating license. Petitions for leave to intervene must be filed under oath or affirmation in accordance with the provisions of § 2.714 of 10 CFR Part 2 of the Commission's regulations. A petition for leave to intervene must set forth the interest of the petitioner in the proceeding, how that interest may be affected by the results of the proceeding, and the petitioner's contentions with respect to the proposed licensing action. Such petitions must be filed in accordance with the provisions of this FEDERAL REGISTER Notice and § 2.714, and must be filed with the Secretary of the Commission, U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission, Washington, D.C. 20555, Attention: Docketing and Service Section, by the above date. A copy of the petition and/or request for a hearing should be sent to the Executive Legal Director, U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission, Washington, D.C. 20555 and to Horace Jewell, Esquire, House, Holms and Jewell, 1550 Tower Building, Little Rock, Arkansas 72201, attorney for the licensee.

A petition for leave to intervene must be accompanied by a supporting affidavit which identifies the specific aspect or aspects of the proceeding as to which intervention is desired and specifies with particularity the facts on which the petitioner relies as to both his interest and his contentions with regard to each aspect on which intervention is requested. Petitions stating contentions relating only to matters outside the Commission's jurisdiction will be denied.

All petitions will be acted upon by the Commission or licensing board designated by the Commission or by the Chairman of the Atomic Safety and Licensing Board Panel. Timely petitions will be considered to determine whether a hearing should be noticed or another appropriate order issued regarding the disposition of the petitions.

In the event that a hearing is held and a person is permitted to intervene, he becomes a party to the proceeding and has a right to participate fully in the conduct of the hearing. For example, he may present evidence and examine and cross-examine witnesses.

For further details with respect to this action, see (1) the application for amendment dated July 9, 1975 and (2) the Commission's Order dated December

27, 1974 (published in the FEDERAL REGISTER on January 9, 1975 (40 FR 1758)), which are available for public inspection at the Commission's Public Document Room, 1717 H Street, N.W., Washington, D.C., and at the Arkansas Polytechnic College, Russellville, Arkansas 72801. The license amendment and the Safety Evaluation, when issued, may be inspected at the above locations, and a copy may be obtained upon request addressed to the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission, Washington, D.C. 20555, Attention: Director, Division of Reactor Licensing.

Dated at Bethesda, Maryland, this 22nd day of July 1975.

For the Nuclear Regulatory Commission.

DENNIS L. ZIEMANN,
*Chief, Operating Reactors, Branch #2, Division of Reactor Licensing.*

[FR Doc.75-19659 Filed 7-29-75;8:45 am]

[Docket No. 50-17]

## INDUSTRIAL REACTOR LABORATORIES, INC. ET AL.

### Intent To Issue Order Authorizing Dismantling of Facility

By application dated June 12, 1975, Industrial Reactor Laboratories, Inc. and N. L. Industries, Inc. requested authorization to dismantle the Industrial Reactor Laboratory research reactor in accordance with its dismantling plan.

The Commission is reviewing the application in accordance with the provisions of the Commission's regulations. In particular, the Commission is evaluating the potential for environmental impact associated with the above action.

Accordingly, consideration will be given to the issuance of an appropriate order after August 29, 1975, to authorize Industrial Reactor Laboratories, Inc. and N. L. Industries, Inc. to dismantle the research reactor covered by Facility License No. R-46.

Dated at Bethesda, Maryland, this July 22, 1975.

For the Nuclear Regulatory Commission.

KARL R. GOLLER,
*Assistant Director for Operating Reactors, Division of Reactor Licensing.*

[FR Doc.75-19660 Filed 7-29-75;8:45 am]

## ADVISORY COMMITTEE ON REACTOR SAFEGUARDS

### Meeting

In accordance with the purposes of Sections 29 and 182 b. of the Atomic Energy Act (42 U.S.C. 2039, 2232 b.), the Advisory Committee on Reactor Safeguards will hold a meeting on August 14-16, 1975, in Room 1046, 1717 H Street NW., Washington, D.C.

The following constitutes that portion of the Committee's agenda for the above meeting which will be open to the public:

Thursday, August 14, 1975:

*11:00 AM-12:30 PM and 1:30 PM-5:00 PM: CESSAR—System 80*—The Committee will hear presentations by and hold discussions with representatives of Combustion Engineering, Incorporated, and the NRC Staff regarding approval of this standardized nuclear plant. Closed sessions will be held if required to discuss proprietary material related to the design, construction or operation of this standardized plant. Closed portions will also be held if required to discuss security arrangements for this type of plant and for Committee deliberative sessions.

Friday, August 15, 1975:

*10:00 AM-10:30 AM: Meeting with NRC Staff*—The Committee will hear presentations by and hold discussions with members of the NRC Staff related to:

RECENT OPERATING EXPERIENCE AND LICENSING ACTIONS

*10:30 AM—12:30 PM and 1:30 PM—3:30 PM: RESAR-41*—The Committee will hear presentations by and hold discussions with representatives of the Westinghouse Electric Corporation and the NRC Staff regarding the request for approval of this standardized nuclear plant. Closed sessions will be held if necessary to discuss proprietary material related to the design, construction or operation of this standardized plant. Closed portions will also be held if required to discuss security arrangement for this type of plant and for Committee deliberative sessions.

It should be noted that, in addition to the closed portions of the agenda items noted above, the Committee will hold other sessions not open to the public under the authority of Section 10(d) of Public Law 92-463 (the Federal Advisory Committee Act), to consider the above applications and other matters. I have determined in accordance with Subsection 10(d) of Public Law 92-463 that it is necessary to close such portions of the meeting to protect proprietary data (5 U.S.C. 552(b)(4)), and to protect the free interchange of internal views to avoid undue interference with agency or Committee operation (5 U.S.C. 552(b)(5)). Any non-exempt material that may be discussed during the closed portions of the meeting will be inextricably intertwined with discussion of exempt material and no further separation is practical. Practical considerations may dictate alternations in the above agenda or schedule.

The Chairman of the Committee is empowered to conduct the meeting in a manner that in his judgment will facilitate the orderly conduct of business, including provisions to carry over an incompleted open session from one day to the next.

With respect to public participation in the open portion of the meeting, the following requirements shall apply:

(a) Persons wishing to submit written statements regarding the agenda item may do so by mailing a readily reproducible copy thereof, postmarked no later than Aug. 6, 1975, to the Executive Secretary, Advisory Committee on Reactor Safeguards, U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission, Washington, D.C. 20555. Such written comments shall be based on the agenda items noted above. Documents related to items to be discussed at this meeting are on file and available for public inspection at the Nuclear Regulatory Commission's Public Document Room, 1717 H Street NW., Washington, D.C. 20555.

Comments which fail to meet the specified mailing date noted above will be considered to the extent practicable.

(b) Those persons submitting a written statement in accordance with paragraph (a) above may request an opportunity to make oral statements concerning the written statement. Such requests shall accompany the written statement so that appropriate arrangements can be made. To the extent that the time available for the meeting permits, the Committee will receive oral statements at an appropriate time chosen by the Chairman of the Committee.

(c) Further information regarding topics to be discussed, whether the meeting or portions of the meeting have been cancelled or rescheduled, the Chairman's ruling on requests for the opportunity to present oral statements, and the time allotted can be obtained by a prepaid telephone call on Aug. 13, 1975, to the Office of the Executive Secretary of the Committee (Telephone: 202-634-1371) between 8:30 AM and 5:15 PM, Eastern Time. It should be noted that the schedule noted above is tentative, based on the anticipated availability of related information, etc. It may be necessary to reschedule items during the same day to accommodate required changes. The ACRS Executive Secretary will be prepared to describe these changes on August 13, 1975.

(d) Questions may be propounded only by members of the Committee and its consultants.

(e) The use of still, movie, and television cameras, the physical installation of presence of which will not interfere with the course of the meeting, will be permitted both before and after the meeting and during any recess. The use of such equipment will not, however, be allowed while the meeting is in session.

(f) Persons desiring to attend portions of the meeting where proprietary information, other than plant security information, is being discussed may do so by mailing to the Executive Secretary, postmarked no later than August 6, 1975, a copy of an executed agreement with the owner of the proprietary information providing for access to this information.

(g) A copy of the transcript of the open portions of the meeting will be available for inspection during the following workday at the Nuclear Regulatory Commission's Public Document Room, 1717 H Street NW., Washington, D.C. On request, copies of the minutes of the meeting will be made available for inspection at the Nuclear Regulatory Commission's Public Document Room, 1717 H Street NW., Washington, D.C., on or after November 14, 1975. Copies may

be obtained upon payment of appropriate charges.

Dated: July 25, 1975.

JOHN C. HOYLE,
*Advisory Committee Management Officer.*

[FR Doc.75-19741 Filed 7-29-75;8:45 am]

## OFFICE OF MANAGEMENT AND BUDGET

### CLEARANCE OF REPORTS

#### List of Requests

The following is a list of requests for clearance of reports intended for use in collecting information from the public received by the Office of Management and Budget on July 24, 1975 (44 U.S.C. 3509). The purpose of publishing this list in the FEDERAL REGISTER is to inform the public.

The list includes the title of each request received; the name of the agency sponsoring the proposed collection of information; the agency form number(s), if applicable; the frequency with which the information is proposed to be collected; the name of the reviewer or reviewing division within OMB, and an indication of who will be the respondents to the proposed collection.

Requests for extension which appear to raise no significant issues are to be approved after brief notice through this release.

Further information about the items on this daily list may be obtained from the Clearance Office, Office of Management and Budget Washington, D.C. 20503 (202-395-4529), or from the reviewer listed.

NEW FORMS

U.S. CIVIL SERVICE COMMISSION

Geographic Availability Questionnaire, DE: X-252 on occasion, job eligibles, Caywood, D. P., 395-3443.

Geographic Availability for Appointment to Office Assistant Position, SLPMS-70, on occasion, applicants, Caywood, D. P., 395-3443.

Geographic Availability for Appointment to Mid-Level Position, SLPMS-149, on occasion, applicants, Caywood, D. P., 395-3443.

Geographic Availability for Junior Federal Assistant, SLPMS-280, on occasion, applicants, Caywood, D. P., 395-3443.

Supplemental Experience Questionnaire, on occasion, applicants who apply for specific positions, Caywood, D. P., 395-3443.

UNITED STATES INTERNATIONAL TRADE COMMISSION

Cigar Wrapper Tobacco Importers' Questionnaire, single-time, importers, Evinger, S. K., 395-3710.

Cigar Wrapper Tobacco—Cigar Manufacturers' Questionnaire, single-time, cigar manufacturers, Evinger, S. K., 395-3710.

Cigar Wrapper Tobacco—Growers' Questionnaire, single-time, tobacco growers, Evinger, S. K., 395-3710.

DEPARTMENT OF AGRICULTURE

Agricultural Stabilization and Conservation Service Checklist for Cost-Share Applications Agricultural and Rural Environmental Conservation, on occasion, farmers, Lowry, R. L., 395-377.

DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

Bureau of the Census, Survey of Agencies Making Population Estimates or Projections, S-136, single-time, local government planning agencies, Sunderhauf, M. B., 395-6140.

National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration, Report of Marine Biological Investigations, NOAA 24-31, on occasion, scientific institutions, Caywood, D. P., 395-3443.

Bureau of Domestic Commerce, Metal Home Canning Lids, DIB-990, single-time, metal lid manufacturers, Lowry, R. L., 395-3772.

DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH, EDUCATION AND WELFARE

Office of the Secretary:
Health Insurance Study—Departed Person Telephone Interview, OS-40-75, on occasion, enrolled households in HIS with departed member, Reese B. F., 395-3211.
Health Insurance Study—Annual Income Report, OS-39-75, annually, adults enrolled in HIS, Reese B. F., 395-3211.

National Institutes of Health, NIH-Longitudinal Study of Intended and Actual Fertility 1975 National Fertility Study, OSNIH-CH-28, single-time, white women in intact first marriages, married before age 25, Sunderhauf, M. B., 395-6140.

REVISIONS

DEPARTMENT OF AGRICULTURE

Food and Nutrition Service, Report of Food Shipment Received Over, Short and/or Damaged, FNS-57, on occasion, State distributing agencies, Marsha Traynham, 395-4529.

DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

Bureau of East-West Trade Nomination for Possible Appointment to an Export Administration Technical Advisory Committee, DIB-603P, on occasion, corporate executives, Caywood, D. P., 395-3443.

DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH, EDUCATION, AND WELFARE

Social Security Administration, Operating Schedule—Cumulative Interim Expenditure Report Supplemental Medical Insurance Benefits Program and Cumulative Interim Expenditure Report, SSA-1527 and 1, quarterly, Commercial Health Insurance Companies and Blue Shield Plans, Caywood, D. P., 395-3443.

EXTENSIONS

DEPARTMENT OF AGRICULTURE

Agricultural Stabilization and Conservation Service Livestock Feed Program Application, DF6, on occasion, farms, Marsha Traynham, 395-4529.

Rural Electrification Administration, Weekly Report of Progress of Construction and Engineering Services, REA-178, weekly, engineers of REA electric borrowers, Marsha Traynham, 395-4529.

DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

Bureau of East-West Trade additional information required for commodities moving in transit (Shippers Export Declaration), ECR386.3, on occasion, export carriers and forwarders, Marsha Traynham, 395-4529.

Bureau of the Census, Steel Power Boilers (New Orders Booked), MA 34G, annually, manufacturing establishments, Marsha Traynham, 395-4529.

DEPARTMENT OF HOUSING AND URBAN DEVELOPMENT

Housing Management, Insurance Information (Low-Rent Housing), HUD 5460, on occasion, local housing authorities, community and veterans affairs division, 395-3532.

PHILLIP D. LARSEN,
*Budget and Management Officer.*

[FR Doc.75-19876 Filed 7-29-75;8:45 am]

## SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION

[File No. 500-1]

### BBI, INC.

#### Suspension of Trading

JULY 22, 1975.

The Common stock of BBI, Inc., being traded on the American, and the Philadelphia-Baltimore Washington Stock Exchanges pursuant to provisions of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 and all other securities of BBI, Inc. being traded otherwise than on a national securities exchange; and

It appearing to the Securities and Exchange Commission that the summary suspension of trading in such securities on such exchanges and otherwise than on a national securities exchange is required in the public interest and for the protection of investors;

THEREFORE, pursuant to Section 12 (k) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, trading in such securities on the above mentioned exchanges and otherwise than on a national securities exchange is suspended, for the period from July 23, 1975 through August 1, 1975.

By the Commission.

[SEAL] GEORGE A. FITZSIMMONS,
*Secretary.*

By: SHIRLEY E. HOLLIS,
*Assistant Secretary.*

[FR Doc.75-19724 Filed 7-29-75;8:45 am]

[811-2436]

### BEN FRANKLIN INCOME SECURITIES, INC.

#### Proposal To Terminate Registration

JULY 22, 1975.

NOTICE IS HEREBY GIVEN that the Commission proposes, pursuant to Section 8(f) of the Investment Company Act of 1940 ("Act"), to declare by order upon its own motion that Ben Franklin Income Securities, Inc. ("Ben Franklin"), c/o W. Bruce McConnel, III, 1100 Philadelphia National Bank Building, Broad & Chestnut Streets, Philadelphia, Pennsylvania 19107, registered under the Act as a closed-end investment company, has ceased to be an investment company as defined in the Act.

Ben Franklin filed a Notification of Registration on Form N-8A and a Registration Statement on Form N-8B-1 on November 16, 1973. Ben Franklin also filed a Registration Statement under the Securities Act of 1933 on November 16, 1973, which was later withdrawn on January 24, 1974.

The Commission's records show that none of Ben Franklin's securities have ever been offered or sold, that Ben Franklin has never had any assets, and

that it has never conducted any business as an investment company.

Section 8(f) of the Act provides, in pertinent part, that when the Commission, on its own motion or upon application, finds that a registered investment company has ceased to be an investment company, it shall so declare by order, and upon the effectiveness of such order, the registration of such company shall cease to be in effect.

NOTICE IS FURTHER GIVEN that any interested person may, not later than August 18, 1975, at 5:30 p.m. submit to the Commission in writing a request for a hearing on the matter accompanied by a statement as to the nature of his interest, and the reason for such request, and the issues, if any, of fact or law proposed to be controverted or he may request that he be notified if the Commission shall order a hearing thereon. Any such communication should be addressed: Secretary, Securities and Exchange Commission, Washington, D.C. 20549. A copy of such request shall be served personally or by mail (air mail if the person being served is located more than 500 miles from the point of mailing) upon Ben Franklin at the address stated above. Proof of such service (by affidavit, or in case of an attorney-at-law, by certificate) shall be filed contemporaneously with the request. As provided by Rule 0-5 of the Rules and Regulations promulgated under the Act, an order disposing of the matter will be issued as of course following said date, unless the Commission thereafter orders a hearing upon request or upon the Commission's own motion. Persons who request a hearing, or advice as to whether a hearing is ordered, will receive any notices and orders issued in this matter, including the date of the hearing (if ordered) and any postponements thereof.

For the Commission, by the Division of Investment Management Regulation, pursuant to delegated authority.

[SEAL] GEORGE A. FITZSIMMONS,
*Secretary.*

By: SHIRLEY E. HOLLIS,
*Assistant Secretary*

[FR Doc.75-19725 Filed 7-29-75;8:45 am]

---

[File No. 81-182]

## GENERAL CRUDE OIL CO.

### Application and Opportunity for Hearing

JULY 16, 1975.

NOTICE IS HEREBY GIVEN that General Crude Oil Company ("Applicant") has filed an application pursuant to Section 12(h) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended (the "1934 Act"), for a finding that an exemption from the requirement to file reports pursuant to Section 15(d) of the 1934 Act would not be inconsistent with the public interest or the protection of investors.

Section 15(d) of the 1934 Act provides that each issuer who has filed a registration statement which has become effective pursuant to the Securities Act of 1934, as amended (the "Act"), shall file with the Commission, in accordance with such rules and regulations as the Commission may prescribe as necessary or appropriate in the public interest or for the protection of investors, such supplementary and periodic information, documents, and reports as may be required pursuant to Section 13 of the 1934 Act in respect of a security registered pursuant to Section 12 of the 1934 Act.

Section 12(h) of the 1934 Act empowers the Commission to exempt, in whole or in part, any issuer or class of issuers from the registration or reporting provisions of Section 15(d) if the Commission finds, by reason of the number of public investors, amount of trading interest in the securities, the nature and extent of the activities of the issuer, income or assets of the issuer or otherwise, that such exemption is not inconsistent with the public interest or the protection of investors.

The Applicant states in part:

(1) Applicant, a Delaware corporation, is a wholly-owned subsidiary of International Paper Company, ("IPCo"), a company engaged in the manufacture and sale of paper-board, paper and pulp; converted paper products; plywood and lumber; and other wood products.

(2) On February 10, 1975, pursuant to a plan of merger between General Crude Oil Company ("Old General Crude"—predecessor to the applicant) and IPCo-II Corporation, a subsidiary of IP Petroleum, Inc., in turn a subsidiary of IPCo, approximately $74 million of 8½% Seven-Payment Notes due in 1982 of IPPI (the "1982 Notes") and $26.6 million of 8½% Restricted Five-Payment Notes due in 1980 of IPPI were issued, in addition to cash, in an exchange for all the outstanding common and preferred stock of Oil General Crude (other than such stock held by IPPI on that date). A single $222,000,000, 8½% Installment Note was issued to Glenmede Trust Company in connection with its prior purchase of the stock held by IPPI. These notes are fully guaranteed by IPCo.

(3) On February 11, 1975, Old General Crude was merged with and into IPPI, which survived the merger and simultaneously changed its name to General Crude Oil Company.

(4) As a condition of the granting of this application, IPCo would agree to provide to the holders of the 1980 and 1982 notes and the Glenmede Trust Company, as holder of the Installment Note, IPCo's 1934 Act reports.

(5) None of the equity securities of the Applicant are presently held by any person other than IPCo.

In the absence of an exemption, Applicant is required to file certain periodic reports with the Commission pursuant to Section 15(d) of the 1934 Act.

Accordingly, Applicant believes that the exemption order requested by it is appropriate in view of the fact that none of its equity securities are held by any person other than IPCo and that, since the Notes will be fully and directly guaranteed by IPCo, it is the 1934 Act Reports of IPCo and not those of Applicant in which reasonable investors would be primarily interested.

For more detailed statement of the information presented, all persons are referred to said application which is on file in the offices of the Commission at 500 North Capitol Street, Washington, D.C. 20549.

Notice is further given that any interested person not later than August 11, 1975, may submit to the Commission, in writing, his views or any substantial facts bearing on this application or the desirability of a hearing thereon. Any such communication or request should be addressed to: Secretary, Securities and Exchange Commission, 500 North Capitol Street, Washington, D.C. 20549, and should state briefly the nature of the interest of the person submitting such information or requesting the hearing, the reason for such request, and the issues of fact and law raised by the application which he desires to controvert. At any time after said date, an order granting the application, in whole or in part may be issued upon request or upon the Commission's own motion.

By the Commission.

[SEAL] GEORGE A. FITZSIMMONS,
*Secretary.*

By: Shirley E. Hollis,
*Assistant Secretary.*

[FR Doc.75-19726 Filed 7-29-75;8:45 am]

---

[70-5706]

## MISSISSIPPI POWER & LIGHT CO.

### Proposal To Issue and Sell First Mortgage Bonds at Competitive Bidding

NOTICE IS HEREBY GIVEN that Mississippi Power & Light Company ("Mississippi"), P.O. Box 1640, Jackson, Mississippi 39205, an electric utility subsidiary company of Middle South Utilities, a registered holding company, has filed a declaration with this Commission pursuant to the Public Utility Holding Company Act of 1935 ("Act"), designating Sections 6(a) and 7 of the Act and Rule 50 promulgated thereunder as applicable to the following proposed transaction. All interested parties are referred to said declaration, which is summarized below, for a complete statement of the proposed transaction.

Mississippi proposes to issue and sell at competitive bidding, $25 million principal amount of its First Mortgage Bonds ----% Series. The interest rate, which shall be a multiple of ⅛ of 1%, and the price, which shall be not less than 100% nor more than 102.75% of the principal amount thereof, will be determined by competitive bidding. Not later than the third business day preceeding the day fixed for the presentation and opening of bids, Mississippi will determine, and will notify prospective bidders of, the date on which the bonds are to mature, which date shall be not less than five years nor more than thirty years from the date of issuance of the bonds. The bonds will be issued under the company's

Mortgage and Deed of Trust dated as of September 1, 1944 ("Indenture") between Mississippi and Irving Trust Company, Trustee, as heretofore supplemented and as to be further supplemented by a Seventeenth Supplemental Indenture to be dated as of September 1, 1975. Such Supplemental Indenture will include a prohibition until September 1, 1980 (September 1, 1979, if the maturity date of the bonds is September 1, 1980), against refunding such bonds through the use, directly or indirectly, of funds borrowed by the company at an effective interest cost to the company of less than the effective interest cost of the bonds.

The declaration states that Mississippi will use the net proceeds from the sale of bonds to repay short-term borrowings, and to finance, in part, the company's 1975 construction program (estimated at $60 million).

A statement of the fees, commissions, and expenses incurred or to be incurred in connection with the proposed transaction will be supplied by amendment. It is stated that no State commission and no Federal commission, other than this Commission, has jurisdiction over the proposed transaction.

Notice is further given that any interested person may, not later than August 15, 1975, request in writing that a hearing be held on such matter, stating the nature of his interest, the reasons for such request, and the issues of fact or law raised by said declaration which he desires to controvert; or he may request that he be notified if the Commission should order a hearing thereon. Any such request should be addressed: Secretary, Securities and Exchange Commission, Washington, D.C. 20549. A copy of such request should be served personally or by mail (air mail if the person being served is located more than 500 miles from the point of mailing) upon the declarant at the above-stated address, and proof of service (by affidavit or, in case of an attorney at law, by certificate) should be filed with the request. At any time after said date, the declaration, as filed or as it may be amended, may be permitted to become effective as provided in Rule 23 of the General Rules and Regulations promulgated under the Act, or the Commission may grant exemption from its rules under the Act as provided in Rules 20(a) and 100 thereof or take such other action as it may deem appropriate. Persons who request a hearing or advice as to whether a hearing is ordered will receive any notices and orders issued in this matter, including the date of the hearing (if ordered) and any postponements thereof.

For the Commission, by the Division of Corporate Regulation, pursuant to delegated authority.

[SEAL] GEORGE A. FITZSIMMONS,
*Secretary.*

By: Shirley E. Hollis,
*Assistant Secretary.*

[FR Doc.75-19727 Filed 7-29-75;8:45 am]

[Rel. No. 34-11546; File No. SR-1]

## NATIONAL ASSOCIATION OF SECURITIES DEALERS, INC.

### Consent to Deferral on Certain Rule Changes and Extension of Time for Public Comment

Article XVIII of the By-Laws of the National Association of Securities Dealers, Inc. (the "NASD"), and Schedule G thereunder (the "NASD Rule Changes"), governing (i) the reporting of transactions in eligible securities in the consolidated transaction reporting system (the "consolidated system") contemplated by Rule 17a-15 under the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended by the Securities Acts Amendments of 1975 (Public Law 94-29) (the "Act"), (ii) charges to cover the costs of compliance with such reporting requirements, and (iii) anti-manipulative rules relating to over-the-counter trading in such securities, were originally filed with the Commission by the NASD on March 21, 1975.

The provisions of Schedule G were amended by the NASD and refiled with the Commission on June 4, 1975. The NASD Rule Changes were deemed by the Commission to have been filed under new Section 19(b)(1) of the Act. In view of the need to implement the NASD Rule Changes immediately to permit the scheduled commencement of the consolidated system on June 16, 1975, the Commission, by order, put the NASD Rule Changes into effect summarily pursuant to Section 19(b)(3)(B) of the Act on June 11, 1975. Notice of that action was provided by Securities Exchange Act Release No. 11461 (June 11, 1975), published in the FEDERAL REGISTER on June 18, 1975 (40 FR 25730). That release also solicited public comment on the NASD Rule Changes.[1] The Commission has received written and oral requests from interested persons for an extension of time within which to comment upon the NASD Rule Changes.

On July 21, 1975, the Commission received the NASD's consent to an extension of the time within which the Commission is required, under Section 19(b)(2) of the Act, either to approve the NASD Rule Changes or to institute proceedings to determine whether they should be disapproved, to September 15, 1975. In view of the complexity and the significance of the NASD Rule Changes, the Commission has determined to extend the time for the submission of comments by interested persons on the NASD Rule Changes until August 22, 1975.

As indicated in the Commission's prior extension of time for public comment on the NASD Rule Changes,[2] the Commission is especially interested in receiving comments on the competitive effects and other consequences of the NASD Rule Changes relating to: (i) the appropriateness of the reporting charges to be imposed on NASD members under Section 4 of Schedule G of the NASD Rule Changes, which have the effect of compelling Designated Reporting Members, as defined in Schedule G, to bear a major portion of the costs associated with reporting compliance and (ii) the appropriateness of the provisions of Sections 1(a)(2) and 1(b)(2) of Schedule G dealing with the reporting of principal transactions effected over-the-counter, particularly those of block size, in connection with which a commission, commission equivalent, or differential has been charged in light of the fact that similar principal transactions effected on national securities exchanges are reported exclusive of any commissions or similar charges imposed.[3] In this regard, the Commission invites suggestions as to alternative methods of fairly allocating the costs of reporting under the NASD Rule Changes among members of the NASD and the appropriateness of requiring those costs to be borne by the NASD itself.

The Commission emphasizes that, until the Commission takes further action with respect to the NASD Rule Changes, the NASD Rule Changes remain in full effect as a consequence of the Commission's summary action on June 11, 1975, referred to above.[4]

All interested persons are invited to submit their comments on the NASD Rule Changes, in writing, to George A. Fitzsimmons, Secretary, Securities and Exchange Commission, 500 North Capitol Street, Washington, D.C. 20549 on or before August 22, 1975. All such comments should refer to File No. SR-1 and will be available for public inspection.

By the Commission.

SHIRLEY E. HOLLIS,
*Assistant Secretary.*

JULY 23, 1975.

[FR Doc.75-19778 Filed 7-29-75;8:45 am]

[1] The deadline for submission of written comments pursuant to Securities Exchange Act Release No. 11461 (June 11, 1975) was June 30, 1975; the time for such comment was extended until July 18, 1975 in Securities Exchange Act Release No. 11508 (June 30, 1975).

[2] Securities Exchange Act Release No. 11508 (June 30, 1975).

[3] *Id.*

[4] Securities Exchange Act Release No. 11461 (June 11, 1975).

## WATER RESOURCES COUNCIL

### PRINCIPLES AND STANDARDS FOR PLANNING WATER AND RELATED LAND RESOURCES

#### Change in Discount Rate

Notice is hereby given that the interest rate to be used by Federal agencies in the formulation and evaluation of plans for water and related land resources is 6⅛ percent for the period July 1, 1975, through and including June 30, 1976.

The rate has been computed in accordance with Chapter IV, D., "The Discount Rate" in the "Standards for Planning Water and Related Land Resources" of the Water Resources Council, as amended (39 FR 29242), and is to be used by

all Federal agencies in plan formulation and evaluation of water and related land resources projects for the purpose of discounting future benefits and computing costs, or otherwise converting benefits and costs to a common time basis.

The Department of the Treasury on July 17, 1975, informed the Water Resources Council pursuant to Chapter IV, D., (b) that the interest rate would be seven percent based upon the formula set forth in Chapter IV, D., (a): "* * * the average yield during the preceding Fiscal Year on interest-bearing marketable securities of the United States which, at the time the computation is made, have terms of 15 years or more remaining to maturity * * *." However, Chapter IV, D., (a) further provides "* * * [t]hat in no event shall the rate be raised or lowered more than one-quarter of one percent for any year." Since the rate in Fiscal Year 1975 was 5⅞ percent (39 FR 29242), the rate for Fiscal Year 1976 is 6⅛ percent.

PETER P. RAMATOWSKI,
*Acting Director.*

[FR Doc.75-19755 Filed 7-29-75;8:45 am]

## INTERSTATE COMMERCE COMMISSION

[Notice No. 818]

### ASSIGNMENT OF HEARINGS

JULY 25, 1975.

Cases assigned for hearing, postponement, cancellation or oral argument appear below and will be published only once. This list contains prospective assignments only and does not include cases previously assigned hearing dates. The hearings will be on the issues as presently reflected in the Official Docket of the Commission. An attempt will be made to publish notices of cancellation of hearings as promptly as possible, but interested parties should take appropriate steps to insure that they are notified of cancellation or postponements of hearings in which they are interested.

MC-F-12461, Boss-Linco Lines, Inc.—Purchase (Portion)—Harrison-Shields Transportation Lines, Inc. (Hillard Kreimer, Receiver); F.D. 27883, Boss-Linco Lines, Inc. Securities and MC 109647 (Sub-No. 21), Boss-Linco Lines, Inc., now assigned September 30, 1975 at Buffalo, New York; will be held in the Buffalo Room, Statler Hilton Hotel, 107 Delaware Avenue.

MC 21866 Sub No. 79, West Motor Freight, Inc., now being assigned, October 8, 1975 at the Office of the Interstate Commerce Commission, Washington, D.C.

MC 31367 (Sub-No. 28), H. F. Campbell & Son, Inc. and MC 113843 Sub No. 215, now being assigned, September 16, 1975 at the Office of the Interstate Commerce Commission, Washington, D.C.

MC 116519 (Sub-No. 29), Frederick Transport Limited, a corporation, now being assigned, September 30, 1975 at the Office of the Interstate Commerce Commission, Washington, D.C.

MC 30487 (Sub-No. 5), Dearman Moving and Storage Co., now being assigned September 17, 1975, at the Office of the Interstate Commerce Commission, Washington, D.C.

MC 112696 (Sub-No. 48), Hartman's Incorporated and MC 138000 Sub 9, Arthur J. Fulton, now being assigned September 17, 1975, at the Offices of the Interstate Commerce Commission, Washington, D.C.

MC 61445 (Sub-No. 6), Contractors Transport Corp., now being assigned October 6, 1975, at the Offices of the Interstate Commerce Commission, Washington, D.C.

MC 21436 (Sub-No. 3), Thomas F. Welsh, d/b/a Reliance Van Company, now being assigned October 7, 1975, at the Offices of the Interstate Commerce Commission, Washington, D.C.

MC-F-12399, Gaines Motor Lines, Inc., and Tallant Transfer, Inc.—Investigation of Control—M. H. Trucking Company, Inc., now being assigned October 7, 1975, at the Offices of the Interstate Commerce Commission, Washington, D.C.

MC 87103 Sub 14, Miller Transfer and Rigging Co., now being assigned October 15, 1975, at Washington, D.C., Offices of the Interstate Commerce Commission.

MC 51146 (Sub-No. 408), Schneider Transport, Inc., now being assigned October 15, 1975, at Chicago, Ill., in a hearing room to be later designated.

MC-F-12417, All-American, Inc.—Purchase—Hajek Trucking Co., Inc., and Control—Admiral Leasing Co., Inc., and FD 27892, All-American, Inc., now being assigned October 20, 1975 (1 week), at Chicago, Ill.; in a hearing room to be later designated.

MC-C-8551, Red Star Express Lines of Auburn, Inc. V. Boss-Linco Lines, Inc., now assigned July 30, 1975 at Washington, D.C. is postponed indefinitely.

MC-F-12339, H. W. Taynton Company, Inc.—Purchase—Margaret B. Bowser and the Estate of Robert Bowser and MC 109821 Sub 40, H. W. Taynton Company, Inc., now being assigned September 29, 1975 (1 week) at Philadelphia, Pennsylvania; in a hearing room to be designated later.

[SEAL] ROBERT L. OSWALD,
*Secretary.*

[FR Doc.75-19799 Filed 7-29-75;8:45 am]

[Notice No. 59]

### MOTOR CARRIER APPLICATIONS AND CERTAIN OTHER PROCEEDINGS

JULY 25, 1975.

The following publications include motor carrier, water carrier, broker, freight forwarder and rail proceedings indexed as follows: (1) grants of authority requiring republication prior to certification; (2) notices of filing of petitions for modification of existing authorities; (3) new operating right's applications directly related to and processed on a consolidated record with finance applications filed under Sections 5(2) and 212(b); (4) notices of filing of Sections 5(2) and 210a(b) finance applications; and (5) notices of filing of Section 212 (b) transfer applications.

Each applicant (except as otherwise specifically noted) states that there will be no significant effect on the quality of the human environment resulting from approval of its application in compliance with the requirements of 49 CFR 1100.250.

Protests to the granting of the requested authority must be filed with the Commission within 30 days after the date of this Federal Register notice (unless otherwise specified). Failure seasonably to file a protest will be construed as a waiver of opposition and participation in the proceeding. A protest should comply with section 247(d) or section 240(c) as appropriate of the Commission's General Rules of Practice which requires that it set forth specifically the grounds upon which it is made, contain a detailed statement of protestant's interest in the proceeding (including a copy of the specific portions of its authority which protestant believes to be in conflict with that sought in the application, and a detailed description of the method—whether by joinder, interline, or other means—by which protestant would use such authority to provide all or part of the service proposed), and shall specify with particularity the facts, matters, and things relied upon, but shall not include issues or allegations phrased generally. Protests not in reasonable compliance with the requirements of the rules may be rejected. The original and one (1) copy of the protest (except for petitions and Finance Dockets under Rule 40 requiring the original and six (6) copies of the protest) shall be filed with the Commission, and a copy shall be served concurrently upon applicant's or petitioner's representative, or applicant or petitioner if no representative is named. If the protest includes a request for oral hearing, such requests shall meet the requirements of section 247(d)(4) or section 240(c)(4) of the special rules, and shall include the certification required therein.

MC 15364 (Sub-No. 17) (Republication), filed October 23, 1974, and published in the FEDERAL REGISTER issue of November 27, 1974, and republished this issue. Applicant: WISCONSIN-MICHIGAN COACHES, INC., 725 Smith Street, Green Bay, Wis. 54302. Applicant's representative: Paul C. Gartzke, 121 West Doty Street, Madison, Wis. 53703. An Order of the Commission, Operating Rights Board, dated June 26, 1975, and served July 21, 1975, finds, that the present and future public convenience and necessity require operation by applicant, in interstate or foreign commerce, as a *common carrier* by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, of *passengers and their baggage*, in special operations, in round-trip sightseeing and pleasure tours beginning and ending at points in Door, Kewaunee, Brown, Manitowoc, Outagamie, Oconto, Marinette, Florence, Forest, Vilas, Oneida, Langlade, Shawano, Waupaca, Marathon, Clark, Chippewa, Eau Claire and Iron Counties, Wis., and Dickinson, Iron and Gogebec Counties, Mich., and extending to points in the United States (including Alaska but excluding Hawaii); that applicant is fit, willing and able properly to perform such service and to conform to the requirements of the Interstate Commerce Act and the Commission's rules and regulations thereunder. The purpose of this republication is to indicate the specific counties of origin and termination which have been granted in lieu of the originally requested origins of all points on carrier's presently authorized Interstate Regular Routes located in Wisconsin and Michi-

gan. Because it is possible that other parties who have relied upon the notice of the application as published, may have an interest in and would be prejudiced by the lack of proper notice of the authority described above, issuance of a certificate in this proceeding will be withheld for a period of 30 days from the date of this publication of the authority actually granted, during which period any proper party in interest may file an appropriate petition for intervention or other relief in this proceeding setting forth in detail the precise manner in which it has been so prejudiced.

MC 36556 (Sub-No. 29) (Republication), filed April 12, 1974, and published in the FEDERAL REGISTER issue of May 23, 1974, and republished this issue. Applicant: BLACKMON TRUCKING, INC., P.O. Box 186, Somers, Wis. 53171. Applicant's representative: Fred H. Figge (same address as applicant). An Order of the Commission, Review Board Number 3, dated June 23, 1975, and served July 11, 1975, finds, that the present and future public convenience and necessity require operation by applicant, in interstate or foreign commerce, as a *common carrier* by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, (1) of *containers*, (2) of *canned or preserved foodstuffs*, and of *materials, equipment, and supplies* used in the manufacture of containers or canned or preserved foodstuffs (except in bulk, in tank vehicles), between Chilton, Jefferson, Menomonee Falls, Oconomowoc, and Waupun, Wis., on the one hand, and, on the other, points in Illinois (except points in Boone, Cook, Du Page, Kane, Lake, McHenry, Will, and Winnebago Counties), and St. Louis, Mo., restricted to the transportation of shipments originating at or destined to the facilities of the Carnation Company; that applicant is fit, willing, and able properly to perform such service and to conform to the requirements of the Interstate Commerce Act and the Commission's rules and regulations thereunder. The purpose of this republication is to indicate a modification in the commodity description and the addition of a restriction. Because it is possible that other parties who have relied upon the notice of the application as published, may have an interest in and would be prejudiced by the lack of proper notice of the authority described above, issuance of a certificate in this proceeding will be withheld for a period of 30 days from the date of this publication of the authority actually granted, during which period any proper party in interest may file an appropriate petition for intervention or other relief in this proceeding setting forth in detail the precise manner in which it has been so prejudiced.

MC 116935 (Sub-No. 16) (Republication), filed March 13, 1974, and published in the FEDERAL REGISTER issue of April 25, 1974, and republished this issue. Applicant: COMMERCIAL FURNITURE DISTRIBUTORS, INC., 107 Trumbull Street, Elizabeth, N.J. 07206. Applicant's representative: George A. Olsen, 69 Tonnelle Avenue, Jersey City, N.J. 07306. An Order of the Commission, Review Board Number 2, dated July 14, 1975, and served July 18, 1975, finds, that the present and future public convenience and necessity require operation by applicant, in interstate or foreign commerce, as a *common carrier* by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, of *new office furniture and component parts for new office furniture* between the facilities of Cole, Division of Litton Industries, at York, Pa., on the one hand, and, on the other, points in New York, New Jersey, and Connecticut; that applicant is fit, willing, and able properly to perform such service and to conform to the requirements of the Interstate Commerce Act and the Commission's rules and regulations thereunder. The purpose of this republication is to indicate that the restriction limiting the above authority to the transportation on the above commodities in cartons has been removed. Because it is possible that other parties who have been relied upon the notice of the application as published, may have an interest in and would be prejudiced by the lack of proper notice of the authority described above, issuance of a certificate in this proceeding will be withheld for a period of 30 days from the date of this publication of the authority actually granted, during which period any proper party in interest may file an appropriate petition for intervention or other relief in this proceeding setting forth in detail the precise manner in which it has been so prejudiced.

No. MC 121630 (Sub-No. 3) (Republication), filed December 16, 1974, and published in the FEDERAL REGISTER issue of January 23, 1975, and republished this issue. Applicant: LEMORE TRANSPORTATION, INC., 1420 Industrial Park, P.O. Box 6085, Concord, Calif. 94524. Applicant's representative: Daniel W. Baker, 100 Pine Street, Suite 2550, San Francisco, Calif. 94111. An Order of the Commission, Operating Rights Board, dated June 13, 1975, and served July 1, 1975, finds, that the present and future public convenience and necessity require operation by applicant, in interstate or foreign commerce, as a *common carrier* by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, of (1) *dry commodities*, in bulk, in dump or hopper-type vehicles (except earth, sand, loam, gravel, stone, cement, asphalt, and cement or asphalt mixes) between points in Solano, Contra Costa and Alameda Counties, Calif., on the one hand, and, on the other, Pittsburg, Benicia, Selby, Richmond, Oakland, and Alameda, Calif.; and (2) *general commodities* (a) except commodities of unusual value, (b) classes A and B explosives, (c) household goods as defined by the Commission, (d) commodities requiring special equipment, (e) commodities in vehicles equipped with mechanical refrigeration, (f) liquids, compressed gases, commodities in semi-plastic form, and commodities in suspension in liquids, in bulk, in tank vehicles.

(g) earth, sand, loam, gravel, stone, cement, asphalt and cement or asphalt mixes, in bulk, in dump or hopper-type vehicles, (h) logs, and (i) fresh fruits and vegetables), between points in San Francisco, Alameda and Contra Costa Counties, Calif., those points in San Mateo County, Calif., which are on and east of California Highway 82, those points in Santa Clara County, Calif., which are on, north, and west of California Highway 17 and 82, and those points in Solano County, Calif., which are bounded by a line beginning at the junction of Interstate Highway 680 and the Solano-Contra Costa, Calif., County line, and extending over Interstate Highway 680 to its junction with Interstate Highway 80, thence extending over Interstate Highway 80 to its junction with the Solano-Contra Costa, Calif., County line, and thence extending along the Solano-Contra Costa, Calif., county line to the point of beginning (i.e. the junction of said county line and Interstate Highway 680), including points on the said line subject in (1) and (2) above to the restriction that service to or from San Francisco, Calif., shall be restricted to the transportation of traffic having a prior or subsequent movement by water; that applicant is fit, willing, and able properly to perform such service and to conform to the requirements of the Interstate Commerce Act and the Commission's rules and regulations thereunder. The purpose of this republication is to clarify the restriction on traffic having a prior or subsequent movement by water.

Because it is possible that other parties who have relied upon the notice of the application as published, may have an interest in and would be prejudiced by the lack of proper notice of the authority described above, issuance of a Certificate in this proceeding will be withheld for a period of 30 days from the date of this publication of the authority actually granted, during which period any proper party in interest may file an appropriate petition or intervention or other relief in this proceeding setting forth in detail the precise manner in which it has been so prejudiced.

No. MC 5987 (Notice of filing of petition to modify commodity description), filed July 15, 1975. Petitioner: KULB TRUCKING, INC., 789 Rambler Rd., Warminster, Pa. 18974. Petitioner's Representative: Alan Kahn, Suite 1920, 2 Penn Center Plaza, Philadelphia, Pa. 19102. Petitioner holds a motor *common carrier* certificate in No. MC 5987 issued May 6, 1971, authorizing transportation, over irregular routes, of *Electric switchboards, motors, transformers*, and *rigging and tools* used in the installation thereof, Between Philadelphia, Pa., on the one hand, and, on the other, Wilmington, Del., and Conowingo and Baltimore, Md. By the instant petition, petitioner seeks to modify the commodity description in the above authority so as to read, *Commodities* the transportation of which, because of size or weight, requires the use of special equipment or special handling. Any interested person or persons desiring to participate may file an original and six copies of his written representations, views or arguments

in support of or against the petition within 30 days from the date of publication in the FEDERAL REGISTER.

No. MC 30803 (Notice of filing of petition to modify territorial description), filed July 14, 1975. Petitioner: WALSH BROS., INC., 33 Brill Street, Newark, N.J. 07105. Petitioner's representative: George A. Olsen, 69 Tonnele Avenue, Jersey City, N.J. 07306. Petitioner holds a motor *common carrier* certificate in No. MC-30803 issued October 4, 1960, authorizing transportation, as pertinent, over irregular routes, of *Building materials and building contractors' supplies, forest products,* and *steel and steel machinery,* between Newark, N.J., and points in New Jersey within 25 miles of Newark, on the one hand, and, on the other, points in that part of Connecticut west of U.S. Highway 5, that part of Pennsylvania east of a line beginning at the New York-Pennsylvania State line and extending south along U.S. Highway 11 to Harrisburg, Pa., thence along U.S. Highway 111 to the Pennsylvania-Maryland State line, and those in that part of New York east and south of a line beginning at the New York-Vermont State line and extending southwest along New York Highway 7 to Binghamton, N.Y., thence along U.S. Highway 11 to the New York-Pennsylvania State line, including points on the indicated portions of the highways specified.

By the instant petition, petitioner seeks to add points in New Jersey as terminal points in the above territorial description, so as to read, between Newark, N.J., and points in New Jersey within 25 miles of Newark, on the one hand, and, on the other, points in New Jersey, points in that part of Connecticut west of U.S. Highway 5, that part of Pennsylvania east of a line beginning at the New York-Pennsylvania state line and extending south along U.S. Highway 11 to Harrisburg, Pa., thence along U.S. Highway 111 to the Pennsylvania-Maryland state line, and those in that part of New York east and south of a line beginning at the New York-Vermont state line and extending southwest along New York Highway 7 to Binghamton, N.Y., thence along U.S. Highway 11 to the New York-Pennsylvania state line, including points on the indicated portions of the highways specified. Any interested person or persons desiring to participate may file an original and six copies of his written representations, views or arguments in support of or against the petition within 30 days from the date of publication in the FEDERAL REGISTER.

No. MC 40978 (Sub-No. 5) (Notice of filing of petition to add a destination), filed July 14, 1975. Petitioner: CHAIR CITY MOTOR EXPRESS COMPANY, a Corporation, 3321 Highway 141 South, P.O. Box 686, Sheboygan, Wis. 53081. Petitioner's representative: William C. Dineen, 710 North Plankinton Avenue, Milwaukee, Wis. 53203. Petitioner holds a *motor common carrier* certificate in No. MC 40978 (Sub-No. 5), issued June 2, 1958, authorizing transportation, over irregular routes, of *Caskets and burial cases,* uncrated, from Sheboygan, Wis., to points in Illinois and Iowa. By the instant petition, petitioner seeks to add points in the Upper Peninsula of Michigan as destination points in the above authority. Any interested person or persons desiring to participate may file an original and six copies of his written representations, views or arguments in support of or against the petition within 30 days from the date of publication in the FEDERAL REGISTER.

No. MC 85233 (Sub-No. 2) (Notice of filing of petition to add destination point), filed July 15, 1975. Petitioner: METRO CARRIER CORP., 18 Vreeland Ave., Clifton, N.J. 07011. Petitioner's representative: George A. Olsen, 69 Tonnele Avenue, Jersey City, N.J. 07306. Petitioner holds a motor *common carrier* certificate in No. MC 85233 (Sub-No. 2), issued April 27, 1967 authorizing transportation, over irregular routes of *Plastic and glass containers,* from the plantsite of the Modern Decorating Co., Paterson, N.J., to Deer Park, East Hills, Farmingdale, and Glen Cove, N.Y. By the instant petition, petitioner seeks to add Freeport, N.Y. as a destination point in the above authority. Any interested person or persons desiring to participate may file an original and six copies of his written representations, views or arguments in support of or against the petition within 30 days from the date of publication in the FEDERAL REGISTER.

No. MC 115353 (Sub-No. 11) (Notice of filing of petition to modify territorial description), filed July 1, 1975. Petitioner: LOUIS J. KENNEDY TRUCKING COMPANY, a Corporation, 342 Schuyler Ave., Kearny, N.J. 07032. Petitioner's representative: Bert Collins, Suite 6193, 5 World Trade Center, New York, N.Y. 10048. Petitioner holds a motor *contract carrier* permit in No. MC 115353 (Sub-No. 11), issued June 24, 1971, authorizing transportation over irregular routes, of *Building materials, gypsum and gypsum products, paint and paint products, lime* (except liquid in bulk), and *such materials and supplies* as are used in the manufacture, installation and distribution of the aforementioned commodities (except commodities in bulk), Between Staten Island and Stony Point, N.Y., and Kearny, N.J., on the one hand, and, on the other, points in Connecticut, Delaware, Maine, Maryland, Massachusetts, New Hampshire New Jersey, New York, North Carolina, Ohio, Pennsylvania, Rhode Island, Vermont, Virginia, West Virginia and the District of Columbia, under a continuing contract or contracts with United States Gypsum Company, of Chicago, Ill. By the instant petition, petitioner seeks to add Lisbon Falls, Maine, Boston, Mass., Philadelphia, Pa. and Baltimore, Md. as additional terminal points in the above territorial description, so as to read, Between Staten Island and Stony Point, N.Y., Kearny, N.J., Lisbon Falls, Maine, Boston, Mass., Philadelphia, Pa. and Baltimore, Md., on the one hand, and, on the other, points in Connecticut, Delaware, Maine, Maryland, Massachusetts, New Hampshire, New Jersey, New York, North Carolina, Ohio, Pennsylvania, Rhode Island, Vermont, Virginia, West Virginia and the District of Columbia. Any interested person or persons desiring to participate may file an original and six copies of his written representations, views or arguments in support of or against the petition within 30 days from the date of publication in the FEDERAL REGISTER.

No. MC 123641 (Sub-No. 3) (Notice of filing of petition for interpretation of authority or modification of permit), filed July 7, 1975. Petitioner: Norbert Geiger, Dorchester, Wis. 54425. Petitioner's representative: John J. Nikolay, Abbotsford, Wis. 54405. Petitioner holds Permit No. MC 123641 (Sub-No. 3), issued March 20, 1967, authorizing operation as a *contract carrier* by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, of *lumber products,* between Dorchester, Wis., on the one hand, and, on the other, points in Minnesota, Iowa, Indiana, Illinois, and the Lower Peninsula of Michigan, under a continuing contract, or contracts, with Bennett Lumber Company, Inc., and Dorchester Hardwood, Inc., both of Dorchester, Wis. By petition filed July 7, 1975, petitioner states that by his application in No. MC 123641 (Sub-No. 3), he sought authority to transport *lumber and lumber products,* both finished and unfinished, and that he believes that the grant of authority to transport lumber products was in error since a question has arisen as to whether said grant authorizes him to transport those products which he actually does transport. Petitioner requests that the Commission enter an order declaring that under his authority to transport lumber products he can transport those products which he actually does transport, or modifying Permit No. MC 123641 (Sub-No. 3), to authorize him to transport lumber and lumber products, both finished and unfinished. It should be noted that notice of the application in No. MC 123641 (Sub-No. 3), as published in the March 3, 1966, issue of the FEDERAL REGISTER stated that petitioner sought authority to transport only *lumber products,* both finished and unfinished. Any person or persons desiring to participate in this proceeding may within 30 days from the date of this publication in the FEDERAL REGISTER file an appropriate pleading consisting of an original and six copies.

No. MC 127042 (Sub-No. 115) (Notice of filing of petition to modify commodity description), filed July 3, 1975. Petitioner: HAGEN, INC., 3232 Highway 75 North, P.O. Box 98, Leeds Station, Sioux City, Iowa 51108. Petitioner's representative: Edward A. O'Donnell (same address as petitioner). Petitioner holds a motor *common carrier* certificate in No. MC 127042 (Sub-No. 115), issued June 6, 1975, authorizing transportation, over irregular routes, of *Cleaning, scouring, or washing compounds* (except commodities in bulk) and *sodium hypocholorite*

*solutions* (except in bulk): (1) From South Beloit, Ill., to points in Iowa, Nebraska, Minnesota, South Dakota, North Dakota, Kansas, Missouri, Wisconsin and Colorado; and (2) from South Beloit, Ill., to points in Washington, Oregon, California, Nevada, Arizona, Texas, New Mexico, Idaho, Montana, Wyoming and Utah, restricted in (1) above to the transportation of shipments originating at the plant site of Klenzade Products, Division of Economic Laboratory, Inc., at South Beloit, Ill. By the instant petition, petitioner seeks to add, *materials, equipment and supplies* utilized in the sale and distribution of the aforesaid commodities (except commodities in bulk and those which by reason of size or weight require the use of special equipment), to the commodity description in the above authority. Any interested person or persons desiring to participate may file an original and six copies of his written representations, vews or arguments in support of or against the petition within 30 days from the date of publication in the FEDERAL REGISTER.

APPLICATIONS UNDER SECTIONS 5 AND 210a(b)

The following applications are governed by the Interstate Commerce Commission's Special Rules governing notice of filing of applications by motor carriers of property or passengers under Sections 5(a) and 210a(b) of the Interstate Commerce Act and certain other proceedings with respect thereto. (49 C.F.R. 1.240).

MOTOR CARRIERS OF PROPERTY

APPLICATIONS FOR CERTIFICATES OR PERMITS WHICH ARE TO BE PROCESSED CONCURRENTLY WITH APPLICATIONS UNDER SECTION 5 GOVERNED BY SPECIAL RULE 240 TO THE EXTENT APPLICABLE.

No. MC 87966 (Sub-No. 17), filed June 18, 1975. Applicant: ELEVATED CHICAGO FURNITURE SERVICE, INC., 4020 West 24th Street, Chicago, Ill. 60623. Applicant's representative: Leonard R. Kofkin, 39 South LaSalle Street, Chicago, Ill. 60603. Authority sought to operate as a *common carrier*, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: (1) *Store and bar fixtures and equipment*, uncrated, between Pittsburgh, Pa., and points in Illinois, Indiana, Wisconsin, Michigan, Ohio, Minnesota, Iowa, and those in Missouri in the St. Louis, Mo.-East St. Louis, Ill., Commercial Zone, as defined by the Commission in 1 M.C.C. 656, on the one hand, and, on the other, points in the United States (except Alaska and Hawaii); (2) *store fixtures*, from Pittsburgh, Pa., and points in Illinois, Indiana, Wisconsin, Michigan, Ohio, Minnesota, Iowa, and those in Missouri in the St. Louis, Mo.-East St. Louis, Ill., Commercial Zone, as defined by the Commission in 1 M.C.C. 656, to points in Illinois, Wisconsin, Minnesota, Iowa, Nebraska, Missouri, Indiana, Michigan, Ohio, Pennsylvania, New York, West Virginia, Tennessee, and Kentucky; (3) *new store restaurant and bar furniture*, uncrated, from Grand Rapids, Mich., to points in the United States (except Alaska and Hawaii); (4) *new store furniture*, from Grand Rapids, Mich., to points in Illinois, Wisconsin, Minnesota, Iowa, Nebraska, Missouri, Indiana, Michigan, Ohio, Pennsylvania, New York, West Virginia, Tennessee, and Kentucky.

(5) *Store fixtures*, uncrated, as described in Appendix III to the report in *Descriptions in Motor Carrier Certificates*, 60 M.C.C. 209 and 275, between the plant site and facilities of Packerland Woodworking Company, a subsidiary of Capitol Fixtures and Construction Corporation, at or near Peshtigo, Wis., on the one hand, and, on the other, points in the United States (except Alaska and Hawaii); (6) *store fixtures*, as described in Appendix III to the report in Descriptions in *Motor Carrier Certificates*, 60 M.C.C. 209 and 275, from the plant site and facilities of Packerland Woodworking Company, a subsidiary of Captiol Fixtures and Construction Corporation, at or near Peshtigo, Wis., to points in Illinois, Wisconsin, Minnesota, Iowa, Nebraska, Missouri, Indiana, Michigan, Ohio, Pennsylvania, New York, West Virginia, Tennessee, and Kentucky; and (7) *store fixtures*, uncrated, from points in the United States (except Alaska and Hawaii) to points in Illinois, Wisconsin, Minnesota, Iowa, Nebraska, Missouri, Indiana, Wisconsin, Minnesota, Iowa, Nebraska, Missouri, Indiana, Michigan, Ohio, Pennsylvania, New York, West Virginia, Tennessee, and Kentucky. The purpose of this filing in (1) through (7) above, is to eliminate a gateway at Chicago, Ill.

NOTE.—This is a gateway elimination request and is a matter directly related to a section 5(2) proceeding in MC-F-12565 published in the FEDERAL REGISTER issue of July 2, 1975. If a hearing is deemed necessary, applicant requests it be held at Chicago, Ill.

No. MC 106644 (Sub-No. 203) (Correction), filed February 10, 1975, published in the FEDERAL REGISTER issue of May 21, 1975, and republished as corrected this issue. Applicant: SUPERIOR TRUCKING COMPANY, INC., P.O. Box 916, Atlanta, Ga. 30301. Applicant's representative: Guy H. Postell, Suite 713, 3384 Peachtree Road NE., Atlanta, Ga. 30326. Authority sought to operate as a *common carrier*, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: (1) (A) *Commodities*, the transportation of which because of size, weight, or shape require the use of special equipment or special handling (except pipe, pipeline machinery, equipment, and supplies incidental to and used in connection with the construction, operation, repair, servicing and dismantling of pipelines and the stringing or picking up thereof) and (B) *self-propelled articles*, each weighing 15,000 pounds or more, and *related machinery, tools, parts and supplies*, moving in connection therewith (except pipe, pipeline machinery, equipment, and supplies incidental to and used in connection with the construction, operation, repair, servicing, and dismantling of pipelines and the stringing or picking up thereof), (1) Between points in Oklahoma, on the one hand, and, on the other, points in Missouri west of U.S. Highway 67. The purpose of this filing is to eliminate the gateway of St. Charles, Mo., and/or points on and east of U.S. Highway 67 in Missouri beginning at the Mississippi River, thence over U.S. Highway 67 By-Pass to junction U.S. Highway 61, thence over U.S. Highway 61 to the Missouri-Arkansas State line.

(2) Between points in Oklahoma, on the one hand, and, on the other, points in Iowa west of U.S. Highway 61 beginning at Dubuque, Iowa, thence to the Missouri-Iowa State line. The purpose of this filing is to eliminate the gateway of St. Charles, Mo., and/or points on and east of U.S. Highway 67 in Missouri beginning at the Mississippi River, thence over U.S. Highway 67 By-Pass to junction U.S. Highway 61, thence over U.S. Highway 61 to the Missouri-Arkansas State line.

(3) Between points in Oklahoma, on the one hand, and, on the other, points in Tennessee south and west of Tennessee State Highway 19 beginning at the Mississippi River, thence over Tennessee State Highway 19 to junction Tennessee State Highway 76, thence over Tennessee State Highway 76 to junction Tennessee State Highway 57, thence over Tennessee State Highway 57 to junction Tennessee State Highway 18, thence over Tennessee State Highway 18 to the Tennessee-Mississippi State line. The purpose of this filing is to eliminate the gateway of St. Charles, Mo., and/or points on and east of U.S. Highway 67 in Missouri beginning at the Mississippi River, thence over U.S. Highway 67 By-Pass to junction U.S. Highway 61, thence over U.S. Highway 61 to the Missouri-Arkansas State line.

(4) (a) Between points in Oklahoma, on the one hand, and, on the other, points in Mississippi; (b) Between points in Oklahoma east and south of Oklahoma Highway 1 from the Oklahoma-Arkansas State line to junction Oklahoma Highway 7, thence over Oklahoma Highway 7 to junction U.S. Highway 81 to the Oklahoma-Texas State line, on the one hand, and, on the other, points in Alabama on and south of U.S. Highway 82 from the Alabama-Georgia State line to the Alabama-Mississippi State line; and (c) Between points in Oklahoma south of Interstate Highway 44 from the Oklahoma-Missouri State line to junction of Interstate Highway 40, thence over Interstate Highway 40 to the Oklahoma-Texas State line, on the one hand, and, on the other, points in Alabama south of U.S. Highway 84 from the Alabama-Mississippi State line to junction of Alabama Highway 55, thence over Alabama Highway 55 to the Alabama-Florida State line. The purpose of this filing is to eliminate the gateway of points in Missouri on and east of U.S. Highway 61 north of the Arkansas-Missouri State line, and points in Tennessee.

(5) Between points in Oklahoma east and south of Oklahoma Highway 9 from

the Arkansas State line, thence over Oklahoma Highway 9 to junction Oklahoma Highway 7, thence over Oklahoma Highway 7 to U.S. Highway 81, thence over U.S. Highway 81 to the Texas State line, on the one hand, and, on the other, points in Florida west of the Apalachicola River. The purpose of this filing is to eliminate the gateway of points in Missouri on and east of U.S. Highway 61 north of the Arkansas-Missouri State line, and points in Tennessee.

(6) Between points in Oklahoma east and south of Oklahoma Highway 1 from the Oklahoma-Arkansas State line to junction Oklahoma Highway 7, thence over Oklahoma Highway 7 to junction U.S. Highway 81, thence over U.S. Highway 81 to the Oklahoma-Texas State line, on the one hand, and, on the other, points in Virginia west of U.S. Highway 52 between the North Carolina and Kentucky State lines. The purpose of this filing is to eliminate the gateway of points in Missouri on and east of U.S. Highway 61 north of the Arkansas-Missouri State lines, points in Tennessee, and points in North Caroli ı located approximately one mile south of Mouth of Wilson, Va.

(7) Between points in Oklahoma, on the one hand, and, on the other, points in Pennsylvania west of U.S. Highway 15 between the New York and Maryland State lines. The purpose of this filing is to eliminate the gateway of points in Missouri on and east of U.S. Highway 61 north of the Arkansas-Missouri State lines, points in Tennessee, and points in North Carolina located approximately one mile south of Mouth of Wilson, Va.

(8) Between points in Oklahoma, on the one hand, and, on the other, points in New York west of U.S. Highway 11 from the Canadian Border at St. Regis, N.Y., thence over U.S. Highway 11 to junction New York Highway 58, thence over New York Highway 58 to junction New York Highway 3, thence over New York Highway 3 to junction New York Highway 26, thence over New York Highway 26 to the New York-Pennsylvania State line. The purpose of this filing is to eliminate the gateway of points in Missouri on and east of U.S. Highway 61 north of the Arkansas-Missouri State lines, points in Tennessee, and points in North Carolina located approximately one mile south of Mouth of Wilson, Va.

(9) (a) Between points in Texas, on the one hand, and, on the other, points in Missouri west of Missouri Highway 15 beginning at the Missouri-Iowa State line, thence over Missouri Highway 15 to junction Missouri Highway 22, thence over Missouri Highway 22 to junction Missouri Highway 19, thence over Missouri Highway 19 to junction Missouri Highway 49, thence over Missouri Highway 49 to junction Missouri Highway 21, thence over Missouri Highway 21 to the Missouri-Arkansas State line; (b) Between points in Texas, on the one hand, and, on the other, points in Iowa west of U.S. Highway 63 between the Iowa-Minnesota State line and the Iowa-Missouri State line; and (c) Between points in Texas, on the one hand, and, on the other, points in Tennessee west of U.S. Highway 45 beginning at the Tennessee-Mississippi State line; thence over U.S. Highway 45 to junction Tennessee Highway 20, thence over Tennessee Highway 20 to the Tennessee-Missouri State line. The purpose of this filing is to eliminate the gateway of points in Missouri on and east of U.S. Highways 61 and 67.

(10) Between points in Texas east and south of U.S. Highway 287 from the Texas-Oklahoma State line to junction U.S. Highway 87, thence over U.S. Highway 87 to junction U.S. Highway 80, thence over U.S. Highway 80 to the Texas-New Mexico State line, on the one hand, and, on the other, points in Alabama west of U.S. Highway 231 from the Alabama-Florida State line to junction with Interstate Highway 65, thence over Interstate Highway 65 to the Alabama-Tennessee State line. The purpose of this filing is to eliminate the gateway of points in Missouri on and east of U.S. Highway 61 north of the Arkansas-Missouri State line, and points in Tennessee.

(11) (a) Between points in Texas, on the one hand, and, on the other, points in Louisiana; and (b) Between points in Texas east and south of U.S. Highway 87 to the Texas-Oklahoma State line to junction U.S. Highway 87, thence over U.S. Highway 87 to junction U.S. Highway 80, thence over U.S. Highway 80 to the Texas-New Mexico State line, on the one hand, and, on the other, points in Mississippi west of U.S. Highway 45 from the Mississippi-Tennessee State line to junction U.S. Alternate Highway 45, thence over U.S. Alternate Highway 45 to junction U.S. Highway 45, thence over U.S. Highway 45 to junction Mississippi Highway 16, thence over Mississippi Highway 16 to the Mississippi-Alabama State line. The purpose of this filing is to eliminate the gateway of points in Missouri on and east of U.S. Highway 61 north of the Arkansas-Missouri State line, and points in Tennessee.

NOTE.—This correction substantially modifies applicant's territorial portion of its request for authority. This application is a gateway elimination request filed pursuant to the Commission's Policy Statement in Ex Parte No. 55 (Sub-No. 8), noticed in the FEDERAL REGISTER issue of December 9, 1974; and is directly related to MC-F-11318 published in the FEDERAL REGISTER of September 29, 1972.

No. MC 110325 (Sub-No. 68), filed July 8, 1975. Applicant: TRANSCON LINES, P.O. Box 92220, Los Angeles, Calif. 90009. Applicant's representative: Wentworth E. Griffin, 1221 Baltimore Avenue, Kansas City, Mo. 64105. Authority sought to operate as a *common carrier*, by motor vehicle, over regular routes, transporting: *General commodities* (except those of unusual value, Classes A and B explosives, household goods, as defined by the Commission, and commodities requiring special equipment), serving points in a territory in Illinois as off-route points in connection with carrier's otherwise authorized regular operations. The territory in Illinois is described as follows: beginning at Lake Michigan and extending westerly along the Illinois-Wisconsin State Boundary line to the junction of Illinois Highway 31, thence south along Illinois Highway 31 to junction Illinois Highway 173, thence westerly along Illinois Highway 173 to junction Illinois Highway 47, thence southerly along Illinois Highway 47 to junction Illinois Highway 176, thence westerly along Illinois Highway 176 to junction Illinois Highway 23, thence southerly along Illinois Highway 23 to junction Illinois Highway 64, thence easterly along Illinois Highway 64 to the Kane County Boundary line, thence along the western boundary line of Kane and Kendall Counties, thence along the southern boundary line of Kendall County to junction Illinois Highway 47, thence southerly along Illinois Highway 47 to junction Illinois Highway 113, thence easterly over Illinois Highway 113 to the Will County Boundary line, thence along the western and southern boundary line of Will County to the Illinois-Indiana State Boundary line to Lake Michigan, thence northerly along the shore of Lake Michigan to the point of beginning.

NOTE.—Common control may be involved. By the instant application, applicant seeks to convert a Certificate of Registration it is seeking to acquire to a Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity. This is a matter directly related to the Section 5 purchase proceeding in MC-F-12581 published in the FEDERAL REGISTER issue of July 23, 1975. Applicant states it presently has regular route authority into Chicago, Ill., and is presently authorized to serve Chicago, Illinois, Commercial Zone, and points within eight (8) miles of said zone. If a hearing is deemed necessary, the applicant requests it be held at Chicago, Ill.

No. MC 112070 (Sub-No. 12), filed June 2, 1975. Applicant: GRAY MOVING & STORAGE, INC., 1290 So. Pearl St., Denver, Colo. 80210. Applicant's representative: Carlin Hansen (same address as applicant). Authority sought to operate as a *common carrier*, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: *Household goods* as defined by the Commission, between points in Maine, Vermont, and New Hampshire, on the one hand, and, on the other, points in Alabama, Arkansas, California, Colorado, Connecticut, Delaware, Florida, Georgia, points in that part of Idaho east of the western boundary of Lamhi County and south of the southern boundary of Idaho County; Illinois, Indiana, Iowa, Kansas, Kentucky, Louisiana, Maryland, Michigan, Massachusetts, Minnesota, Mississippi, Missouri, Montana, Nebraska, Nevada, New Jersey, New Mexico, New York, North Carolina, North Dakota, Ohio, Oklahoma, Oregon, Pennsylvania, Rhode Island, South Carolina, South Dakota, Tennessee, Texas, Utah, Virginia, West Virginia, Wisconsin, Wyoming, and the District of Columbia. The purpose of this filing is to eliminate a gateway at Boston, Mass., and points within 10 miles thereof, and Easton, Pa., and points within 15 miles thereof.

NOTE.—Common control may be involved. This is a gateway elimination request and is directly related to a Section 5(2) proceeding in MC-F-12545 published in the FEDERAL

REGISTER issue of June 18, 1975. If a hearing is deemed necessary, applicant requests it be held at Denver, Colo.

No. MC 114273 (Sub-No. 235) (Partial correction), filed June 6, 1975, published in the FEDERAL REGISTER issue of July 16, 1975, and republished as corrected, in part, this issue. Applicant: CRST, INC., P.O. Box 68, Cedar Rapids, Iowa 52406. Applicant's representative: Robert E. Konchar, Suite 315, Commerce Exchange Building, 2720 First Avenue NE., P.O. Box 1943, Cedar Rapids, Iowa. (B) *General Commodities* (except those of unusual value, Classes A and B explosives, livestock, household goods as defined by the Commission, commodities in bulk and those requiring special equipment), Between Chicago, Ill. and points in its Commercial Zone as defined by the Commission and those points in that part of Illinois south of a line extending from Lake Michigan along the Cook-Lake County Boundary line to intersection Illinois Highway 53, and points east of a line extending from the intersection of Illinois Highway 53 and the Cook-Lake County Boundary line southerly along Illinois Highway 53, to intersection Palatine Road, thence easterly along Palatine Road to intersection Roselle Road, thence southerly along Roselle Road to intersection Interstate Highway 90, thence easterly along Interstate Highway 90 to intersection County Highway D, thence southerly along County Highway D to intersection U.S. Highway 20, thence southeasterly along U.S. Highway 20 to intersection Gary Avenue, thence southerly along Gary Avenue to intersection Illinois Highway 64, thence easterly along Illinois Highway 64 to intersection Bloomingdale Road, thence southerly along Bloomingdale Road to intersection Roosevelt Road, thence easterly along Roosevelt Road to intersection Bryant Avenue, thence southerly along Bryant Avenue to intersection Butterfield Road, thence easterly along Butterfield Road to intersection Highland Avenue, thence southerly along Highland Avenue to intersection Main Street (Downers Grove), thence southerly along Main Street to intersection 75th Street, thence easterly along 75th Street to intersection Cass Avenue, thence southerly along Cass Avenue to intersection Illinois State Highway 83, thence southerly along Illinois Highway 83 to intersection Illinois Highway 171, thence southerly along Illinois State Highway 171 to intersection Bell Road, thence southerly along Bell Road to intersection Cook-Will County Boundary line, thence easterly, southerly, easterly and southerly along said county boundary line to intersection U.S. Highway 30; and points in Illinois north of U.S. Highway 30 on the one hand, and, on the other, points in Ohio. The purpose of this filing is to eliminate the gateway at Dayton, Ohio.

NOTE.—The purpose of this partial republication is to indicate that applicant seeks authority over Palatine Road in lieu of Palatine Highway 53 as previously published. The rest of the notice remains as originally published. Common control may be involved. This is a gateway elimination request and is directly related to a Section 5(2) proceeding No. MC-F-12498 published in the FEDERAL REGISTER issue of April 30, 1975. The territorial description pertaining to points in Illinois has been modified by applicant upon request by the Commission in order to conform to the standards set forth in *Glennon Transports, Inc., Extension-New York, N.Y.*, 78 M.C.C. 157 (1958). If a hearing is deemed necessary, applicant requests it be held at Chicago, Ill.

No. MC 123159 (Sub-No. 6), filed June 5, 1975. Applicant: DE-PEN LINE, INC., P.O. Box 486, Hollow Road, R.F.D. #1, Phoenixville, Pa. 19460. Applicant's representative: Maxwell A. Howell, 1100 Investment Building, 1511 K Street NW., Washington, D.C. 20005. Authority sought to operate as a *common carrier*, by motor vehicle, over regular and irregular routes, transporting: A. Regular routes: *General commodities* (except those of unusual value, classes A & B explosives, household goods as defined by the Commission, and commodities in bulk), (1) serving Lancaster, Pa., and points within 3 miles thereof, as an off route point in connection with carrier's regular route operations; and (2) serving Columbia, Pa., and points within 3 miles thereof, as an off route point in connection with carrier's authorized regular route operations; (B) Irregular routes: (1) *Refrigerators*, between Lancaster, Pa., on the one hand, and, on the other, points in Lancaster County, Pa., and (2) *Poles and heavy iron and steel articles* requiring rigging or special handling, between points in Lancaster, Pa., on the one hand, and, on the other, points in Lancaster, Chester, Berks, Lebanon, Dauphin, and York Counties, Pa.

NOTE.—By the instant application, applicant seeks to convert a Certificate of Registration it is seeking to acquire to a Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity. The territorial description in Part (B) above has been modified by applicant upon requested by the Commission in order to conform to the standards set forth in *Glennon Transports, Inc., Extension-New York, N.Y.*, 78 M.C.C. 157 (1958). Applicant indicates the intention to tack the authority requested in Part (B) above with its existing regular authority in the lead cerificate, at Leola, Pa., to provide a through service between Lancaster, Pa., on the one hand, and, on the other, Philadelphia, and Brickerville, Pa. Applicant further seeks elimination of the resulting gateway. This is a matter directly related to a section 5(2) application in MC-F-12533 published in the FEDERAL REGISTER issue of June 4, 1975. If a hearing is deemed necessary, applicant requests it be held at Washington, D.C.

No. MC 126588 (Sub-No. 3) (Correction), filed June 16, 1975, published in the FR issue of July 16, 1975, and republished as corrected this issue. Applicant: KERR MOTOR LINES, INC., ¼ Jackson Street, Binghamton, N.Y. 13903. Applicant's representative: Norman M. Pinsky, 345 South Warren Street, Syracuse, N.Y. 13202. Authority sought to operate as a *common carrier*, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: *General commodities* (except those of unusual value, classes A and B explosives, household goods as defined by the Commission, commodities in bulk and those requiring special equipment), between points in Montgomery County, N.Y. on the one hand, and, on the other, points in Albany, Fulton, Herkimer, Montgomery, Otsego, Saratoga, Schenectady, and Schoharie Counties, N.Y.

NOTE.—The purpose of this republication is to correct the territorial description previously published in error. By the instant application, applicant seeks to convert a Certificate of Registration it is seeking to acquire to a Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity. This application is a matter directly related to a Section 5(2) proceeding in No. MC-F-12482 published in the Federal Register issue of April 23, 1975. Applicant has also filed concurrently in MC 126588 (Sub-No. 3) an application to permit tacking of the combined authorities and to eliminate the resulting gateway. Notice of this request was published in the Federal Register issue of May 21, 1975. If a hearing is deemed necessary, applicant requests it be held at either Syracuse, N.Y. or Washington, D.C.

No. MC-F-11965 (Amendment) (CUSTOM MOTOR FREIGHT, INC.—PURCHASE—REX FORWARDING, INC.), published in the August 29, 1973, issue of the FEDERAL REGISTER, on page 23372. By amendment filed March 18, 1974, applicants seek to modify the original transaction by substituting as vendee TRANSPORT SALES, INC., of Columbus, Ohio, TRANSPORT SALES, INC., is a non-carrier controlled by CUSTOM MOTOR FREIGHT, INC.

No. MC-F-12568. (Correction) (HOLMES FREIGHT LINES, INC.—MERGER—(1) BYERS TRANSPORTATION COMPANY, INC., and (2) COMMERCIAL FREIGHT LINES, INC.), published in the July 2, 1975, issue of the FEDERAL REGISTER on pages 27996 and 27997. Prior notice should be modified to *exclude*, "general commodities with exceptions, between St. Louis, Missouri, and Kansas City, Missouri." This portion of Byers authority was previously purchased by Lovelace Truck Service, Inc., under No. MC-F-11997.

No. MC-F-12585. Authority sought for control by RALPH C. WILSON AGENCY, INC., One Woodward Ave., Detroit, MI 48226, of C & J COMMERCIAL DRIVE-AWAY, INC., 2400 W. St. Joseph St., P.O. Box 689, Lansing, MI 48917. Applicants' attorneys: Walter N. Bieneman, 100 W. Long Lake Rd., Suite 102, Bloomfield Hills, MI 48013, and Jack Goodman, 39 S. LaSalle St., Chicago, IL 60606. Operating rights sought to be controlled: *New automobiles, trucks, chassie*, cabs, etc., as a *common carrier* over irregular routes, from, to, and between specified points in all of the States in the United States (except Alaska and Hawaii), with certain restrictions, as more specifically described in Docket No. MC 10345 and Sub-numbers thereunder. This notice does not purport to be a complete description of all of the operating rights of the carrier involved. The foregoing summary is believed to be sufficient for purposes of public notice regarding the nature and extent of this carrier's operating rights, without stating, in full,

the entirety, thereof. RALPH C. WILSON AGENCY, INC., holds no authority from this Commission. However, he is affiliated with MOTORCAR TRANSPORT COMPANY, 1280 Joslyn Ave., Pontiac, MI 48055 (MC 60470), which is authorized to operate as a *common carrier* in Illinois, Michigan, Nebraska, Alabama, Georgia, Indiana, Iowa, Missouri, Tennessee, Ohio, Wisconsin, Kentucky, Connecticut, Delaware, Maine, Maryland, Massachusetts, New Hampshire, New Jersey, New York, Rhode Island, Vermont, Pennsylvania, West Virginia, and the District of Columbia. Application has not been filed for temporary authority under section 210a(b).

No. MC–F–12587. Authority sought for control and merger by IML FREIGHT, INC., P.O. Box 2277, Salt Lake City, UT 84110, of the operating rights and property of FREIGHTMASTER CORPORATION, a non-carrier, 869 N. Minitz Highway, Honolulu, HI 96817, and for acquisition by GATES CORPORATION, 999 S. Broadway, Denver, CO 80209, of control of such rights and property through the transaction. Applicants' attorneys: Carl L. Steiner, 39 S. LaSalle St., Chicago, IL 60603, and Henry Shigekane, 810 Richards St., Honolulu, HI 96813. Operating rights sought to be controlled and merged: Freightmaster Corporation operates wholly within the State of Hawaii pursuant to a Certificate of Exemption. Applicants filed a motion asserting that the Commission has jurisdiction over the entire transaction. The jurisdiction will be decided simultaneously with the Section 5 application.

NOTE.—MC 33641 (Sub-No. 122), is a matter directly related.

No. MC–F–12588. Authority sought for continuance in control of BEATRICE FOODS CO., 120 S. LaSalle St., Chicago, IL 60603, of KSS TRANSPORTATION CORP., P.O. Box 3052, N. Brunswick, NJ 08902. Applicants' attorney: Charles W. Singer, 327 S. LaSalle St. Chicago, IL 60604. Operating rights sought to be controlled: Operation by applicant, in interstate or foreign commerce, as a contract carrier by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, of envelopes, advertising materials, circulars, paper bags, rolled paper stock for printing, newsprint, periodical inserts, and business forms, from Metuchen and North Brunswick, N.J., to points in the United States (except Alaska and Hawaii). Permit not yet issued. BEATRICE FOODS CO., holds no authority from this Commission. However, it is affiliated with (1) JAMES J. GALLERY, INC., 555 Pleasant St., Watertown, MA 02172, and (2) HAULAWAY, INC., 419 W. Pike St., Jackson Center, OH 45334, (1) is authorized to operate as a common carrier in Massachusetts, Rhode Island, Maine, and New Hampshire, and (2) as a contract carrier in all points in the United States. Application has not been filed for temporary authority under section 210a(b).

No. MC–F–12589. Authority sought for merger by CENTRAL TRANSPORT, INC., 34200 Mound Rd., Sterling Heights, MI 48077, of the operating rights and property of MICHIGAN EXPRESS, INC., also of Sterling Heights, MI 48077, and for acquisition by T. J. MOROUN and M. J. MOROUN, both of 34200 Mound Rd., Sterling, MI 48077, of control of such rights and properties through the transaction. Applicants' attorney: Jack Goodman, 39 LaSalle St., Chicago, IL 60603. Operating rights sought to be merged: *General commodities*, with certain specified exceptions, and numerous other specified commodities, as a *common carrier*, over regular and irregular routes, from, to, and between specified points in the States of Michigan, Illinois, Missouri, Indiana, Oklahoma, Texas, Arkansas, Louisiana, Mississippi, Alabama, Tennessee, Georgia, Florida, South Carolina, North Carolina, Virginia, Vermont, Maine, North Dakota, South Dakota, Kansas, Montana, Wyoming, Colorado, New Mexico, Idaho, Utah, Arizona, Nevada, Washington, Oregon, California, Pennsylvania, New York, Ohio, Wisconsin, Minnesota, New Jersey, Massachusetts, Connecticut, Delaware, Iowa, Kentucky, New Hampshire, Rhode Island, and the District of Columbia, with certain restrictions, serving various intermediate and off-route points, over numerous alternate routes for operating convenience only, as more specifically described in Docket No. MC 67818 and Sub-numbers thereunder. This notice does not purport to be a complete description of all of the operating rights of the carrier involved. The foregoing summary is believed to be sufficient for purposes of public notice regarding the nature and extent of this carrier's operating rights, without stating, in full, the entirety, thereof. CENTRAL TRANSPORT, INC., is authorized to operate as a *common carrier* in all of the States in the United States (except Alaska and Hawaii). Application has not been filed for temporary authority under section 210a(b).

NOTE.—Transferee acquired control of transferor under MC–F–11030.

No. MC–F–12591. Authority sought for control and merger by RED STAR LINES OF AUBURN, INC., dba RED STAR EXPRESS LINES, 24–50 Wright Avenue, Auburn, N.Y. 13021, of the operating rights and property of COLEMAN BROS. TRANSPORTATION LINES, INC., 600–614 Erie Boulevard West, Rome, N.Y. 13440, and for acquisition by JOHN BISGROVE, SR., 264 E. Genesee Street, Auburn, N.Y., of control of such rights and property through the transaction. Applicants' attorneys: Leonard A. Jaskiewicz, 1730 M Street N.W., Washington, D.C. 20036, and John R. Bagileo, 918 16th Street N.W., Washington, D.C. 20006. Operating rights sought to be controlled and merged: *General commodities*, with exceptions as a *common carrier* over regular routes between Syracuse, N.Y., and Utica, N.Y., serving all intermediate points, as follows: from Syracuse over New York Highway 5 to Utica, and return over the same route. From Syracuse over New York Highway 5 to junction New York Highway 5–S, thence over New York Highway 5–S to Rome, N.Y., and thence over New York Highway 49 (also over New York Highway 5–S) to Utica; and return over the same route. Under a certificate of registration, in Docket No. MC 114459 (Sub. No. 3), covering the transportation of *general commodities*, as a *common carrier*, in interstate commerce within the State of New York. Vendee is authorized to operate as a *common carrier* in Connecticut, Delaware, District of Columbia, Maine, Maryland, Massachusetts, New Hampshire, New Jersey, New York, Ohio, Pennsylvania, Rhode Island, Vermont, and Virginia. Application has been filed for temporary authority under section 210a(b).

NOTICE

SOUTHERN RAILWAY COMPANY, P.O. Box 1808, Washington, D.C. 20013, represented by Mr. R. Allan Wimbish of the above address, hereby gives notice that, on the 27th day of June 1975, it filed with the Interstate Commerce Commission at Washington, D.C., an application under Section 5(2) of the Interstate Commerce Act for an order approving and authorizing the acquisition of trackage rights over Central of Georgia Railroad Company from Milepost 92.03 near Brice, Georgia, via Cedartown, Georgia, to Bremen, Georgia, a distance of approximately 38 miles, which application was assigned Finance Docket No. 27948.

In the opinion of the Applicant, the granting of the authority sought will not constitute a major federal action significantly affecting the quality of the human environment within the meaning of the National Environmental Policy Act of 1969. In accordance with the Commission's regulations (49 C.F.R. 1100.250) in Ex Parte No. 55 (Sub-No. 4), *Implementation-Nat'l. Environmental Policy Act, 1969*, 340 I.C.C. 431 (1972), any protests may include a statement indicating the presence or absence of any effect of the requested Commission action on the quality of the human environment. If any such effect is alleged to be present, the statement shall include information relating to the relevant factors set forth in Ex Parte No. 55 (Sub-No. 4), *supra*, Part (b)(1)–(5), 340 I.C.C. 431, 461.

The proceeding will be handled without public hearings unless protests are received which contain information indicating a need for such hearings. Any protests submitted shall be filed with the Commission no later than 30 days from the date of first publication in the FEDERAL REGISTER.

SOUTHERN RAILWAY COMPANY

NOTICE

CENTRAL OF GEORGIA RAILROAD COMPANY, P.O. Box 1808, Washington, D.C. 20013, represented by Mr. R. Allan Wimbish of the same address, hereby gives notice that, on the 27th day of June 1975, it filed with the Interstate Commerce Commission at Washington, D.C., an application under Section 5(2)

of the Interstate Commerce Act for an order approving and authorizing the acquisition of trackage rights over Southern Railroad between Milepost 92.03 near Brice and Milepost 86.74 at Chambers, Georgia, a distance of approximately 5.3 miles, which application was assigned Finance Docket No. 27949.

In the opinion of the Applicant, the granting of the authority sought will not constitute a major Federal action significantly affecting the quality of the human environment within the meaning of the National Environmental Policy Act of 1969. In accordance with the Commission's regulations (49 C.F.R. 1100.250) in Ex Parte No. 55 (Sub-No. 4), *Implementation-Nat'l. Environmental Policy Act, 1969*, 340 I.C.C. 431 (1972), any protests may include a statement indicating the presence or absence of any effect of the requested Commission action on the quality of the human environment. If any such effect is alleged to be present, the statement shall include information relating to the relevant factors set forth in Ex Parte No. 55 (Sub-No. 4), *supra*, Part (b)(1)–(5), 340 I.C.C. 431, 461.

The proceeding will be handled without public hearings unless protests are received which contain information indicating a need for such hearings. Any protests submitted shall be filed with the Commission no later than 30 days from the date of first publication in the FEDERAL REGISTER.

CENTRAL OF GEORGIA RAILROAD COMPANY

By the Commission.

[SEAL] ROBERT L. OSWALD, *Secretary.*

[FR Doc.75-19803 Filed 7-29-75;8:45 am]

---

[Ex Parte No. MC-43]

## LEASE AND INTERCHANGE OF VEHICLES BY MOTOR CARRIERS

### Denial of Petition

JULY 25, 1975.

At a Session of the Interstate Commerce Commission, Motor Carrier Leasing Board, held at its office in Washington, D.C., on the 21st day of July 1975.

It appearing, that a petition has been filed by United Towing Service, Inc. (MC 133114 Sub-Nos. 2 and 3) for waiver of paragraph (a)(3) of Section 1057.4 and substitution therefor of paragraph (a)(4)(ii);

It further appearing, that the U.S. Department of Transportation takes no position wtih respect to the relief requested by the petitioner;

*It is ordered*, That the petition be, and it is hereby, denied for the reason that the relief sought is not justified by the reasons advanced by the petitioner.

By the Commission, Motor Carrier Leasing Board.

[SEAL] ROBERT L. OSWALD, *Secretary.*

[FR Doc.75-19804 Filed 7-29-75;8:45 am]

[Notice No. 42]

## MOTOR CARRIER BOARD TRANSFER PROCEEDINGS

JULY 25, 1975.

Synopses of orders entered by the Motor Carrier Board of the Commission pursuant to Sections 212(b), 206(a), 211, 312(b) and 410(g) of the Interstate Commerce Act, and rules and regulations prescribed thereunder (49 C.F.R. Part 1132), appear below:

Each application (except as otherwise specifically noted) filed after March 27, 1972, contains a statement by applicants that there will be no significant effect on the quality of the human environment resulting from approval of the application. As provided in the Commission's Special Rules of Practice any interested person may file a petition seeking reconsideration of the following numbered proceedings on or before August 19, 1975. Pursuant to Section 17(8) of the Interstate Commerce Act, the filing of such a petition will postpone the effective date of the order in that proceeding pending its disposition. The matters relied upon by petitioners must be specified in their petitions with particularity.

No. MC-FC-75887. By order of July 25, 1975, Motor Carrier Board approved the transfer to United Specialized Hauling, Inc., 715 Park Avenue, East Orange, N.J. 07017, the Certificate in No. MC 138418 (Sub-No. 4), issued July 24, 1974, to Standard Container Transport Corporation, Elizabeth, N.Y. 07201, authorizing transportation of: varied specified commodities, from, to, or between points in New Jersey, New York, Pennsylvania, and Connecticut. A. David Millner, 744 Broad Street, Newark, NJ 07102, Attorney for transferee. Anthony Walsh, 1500 Market Street, Philadelphia, PA 19102, Attorney for transferor.

No. MC-FC-75997. By order entered July 25, 1975, the Motor Carrier Board approved the transfer to Leitchfield Transfer Co., a corporation, Leitchfield, Ky., of the operating rights set forth in Certificate No. MC 56667 (Sub-No. 1), issued December 13, 1973, to Charles D. Bolton, doing business as Leitchfield Transfer Company, Leitchfield, Ky., authorizing the transportation of general commodities, with the usual exceptions, over specified routes, between Louisville, Ky., and Leitchfield, Ky., serving all intermediate and off-route points on or within 3 miles of that portion of U.S. Highway 62 extending between Elizabethtown, Ky., and Leitchfield, Ky., excluding Elizabethtown; and the off-route points in Indiana and Kentucky within 5 miles of Louisville, Ky. DUAL OPERATIONS AUTHORIZED. John M. Nader, P.O. Box E, Bowling Green, Ky. 42101, attorney for applicants.

No. MC-FC-76001. By order entered July 25, 1975, the Motor Carrier Board approved the transfer to Angelo E. Scappechi, doing business as Lane Transfer Co., Farmington, Ill., of the operating rights set forth in Certificate No. MC 97261 (Sub-No. 2), issued September 4, 1964, to S. B. Lane, Samuel Oren Lane, Successor in Interest (Alice P. Lane, Dennis O. Lane, and Linda I. Hannappel, Heirs at Law), doing business as Lane Transfer Company, Farmington, Ill., authorizing the transportation of general commodities, with the usual exceptions, over specified routes, between Peoria, Ill., and Middle Grove, Ill., serving all intermediate points. Robert T. Lawley, 300 Reisch Bldg., Springfield, Ill. 62701, attorney for applicants.

[SEAL] ROBERT L. OSWALD, *Secretary.*

[FR Doc.75-19800 Filed 7-29-75;8:45 am]

---

## NOTICE OF FILING OF MOTOR CARRIER INTRASTATE APPLICATIONS

JULY 25, 1975.

The following applications for motor common carrier authority to operate in intrastate commerce seek concurrent motor carrier authorization in interstate or foreign commerce within the limits of the intrastate authority sought, pursuant to Section 206(a)(6) of the Interstate Commerce Act, as amended October 15, 1962. These applications are governed by Special Rule 1.245 of the Commission's Rules of Practice, published in the Federal Register, issue of April 11, 1963, page 3533, which provides, among other things, that protests and requests for information concerning the time and place of State Commission hearings or other proceedings, any subsequent changes therein, any other related matters shall be directed to the State Commission with which the application is filed and shall *not* be addressed to or filed with the Interstate Commerce Commission.

California Docket No. A-55794, filed July 3, 1975. Applicant: ROZAY'S TRANSFER, 2315 Nadeau Street, Huntington Park, Calif. 90255. Applicant's representative: Carl H. Fritze, 1545 Wilshire Boulevard, Los Angeles, Calif. 90017. Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity sought to operate a freight service as follows: Transportation as a highway common carrier of *General commodities* between: A. All points and places in the Los Angeles Basin Territory as said area is described in part II below. B. Points in said Los Angeles Basin Territory, on the one hand, and the San Diego Territory as also described in said part II below, including intermediate points on and along Interstate Highways No. 5 and 15 and State Highway 1. C. Through routes and joint rates may be established between any and all points described above and between the said points, on the one hand, and points served by other carriers, on the other hand, at the most convenient point of interchange. Except that, pursuant to the authority herein granted, carrier shall not transport any shipments of: (1) Used household goods and personal effects not packed in accordance with the crated property requirements set forth in paragraph (d)

of Item No. 10–C of Minimum Rate Tariff No. 4–A. (2) Automobiles, trucks and buses, viz.: new and used, finished or unfinished passenger automobiles (including jeeps), ambulances, hearses, and taxis; freight automobiles, automobile chassis, trucks, truck chassis, truck trailers, trucks and trailers combined, buses and bus chassis. (3) Livestock, viz.: barrows, boars, bulls, butcher hogs, calves, cattle, cows, dairy cattle, ewes, feeder pigs, gilts, goats, heifers, hogs, kids, lambs, oxen, pigs, rams (bucks), sheep, sheep camp outfits, sows, steers, stags, swine, or wethers. (4) Liquids, compressed gases, commodities in semiplastic form and commodities in suspension in liquids in bulk, in tank trucks, tank trailers, tank semitrailers or a combination of such highway vehicles. (5) Commodities when transported in bulk in dump trucks or in hopper-type vehicles. (6) Commodities when transported in motor vehicles equipped for mechanical mixing in transit. (7) Logs. (8) Trailer coaches and campers, including integral parts and contents when the contents are within the trailer coach or camper; and (9) Commodities requiring the use of special refrigeration or temperature control in specially designed and constructed refrigerator equipment.

LOS ANGELES BASIN TERRITORY

Los Angeles Basin Territory includes that area embraced by the following boundary: Beginning at the point the Ventura County-Los Angeles County Boundary Line intersects the Pacific Ocean; thence northeasterly along said county line to the point it intersects State Highway 118, approximately two miles west of Chatsworth; easterly along State Highway 118 to Sepulveda Boulevard; northerly along Sepulveda Boulevard to Chatsworth Drive; northeasterly along Chatsworth Drive to the corporate boundary of the City of San Fernando; westerly and northerly along said corporate boundary of the City of San Fernando to Maclay Avenue; northeasterly along Maclay and its prolongation to the Angeles National Forest Boundary; southeasterly and easterly along the Angeles National Forest and San Bernardino National Forest Boundary to Mill Creek Road (State Highway 38); westerly along Mill Creek Road to Bryant Street; southerly along Bryant Street to and including the unincorporated community of Yucaipa; westerly along Yucaipa Boulevard to Interstate Highway 10; northwesterly along Interstate Highway 10 to Redlands Boulevard; northwesterly along Redlands Boulevard to Barton Road; westerly along Barton Road to La Cadena Drive; southerly along La Cadena Drive to Iowa Avenue; southerly along Iowa Avenue to State Highway 60; southeasterly along State Highway 60 and U.S. Highway 395 to Nuevo Road; easterly along Nuevo Road via Nuevo and Likeview to State Highway 79; southerly along State Highway 79 to State Highway 74; thence westerly to the corporate boundary of the City of Hemet, southerly, westerly and northerly along said corporate boundary to the Atchison, Topeka & Santa Fe right-of-way; southerly along said right-of-way to Washington Road; southerly along Washington Road through and including the unincorporated community of Winchester to Benton Road; westerly along Benton Road to Winchester Road (State Highway 79) to Jefferson Avenue; southerly along Jefferson Avenue to U.S. Highway 395; southerly along U.S. Highway 395 to the Riverside County-San Diego County Boundary Line; westerly along said boundary line to the Orange County-San Diego County Boundary Line; southerly along said boundary line to the Pacific Ocean; northwesterly along the shoreline of the Pacific Ocean to point of beginning, including the point of March Air Force Base.

SAN DIEGO TERRITORY

The San Diego Territory includes that area embraced by following an imaginary line starting at a point approximately four miles north of La Jolla on the Pacific Coast shoreline running east of Miramar on U.S. Highway 395; thence following an imaginary line running southeasterly to Lakeside on State Highway 67; thence southerly on County Road S 17 (San Diego County) and its prolongation to State Highway 94; easterly on State Highway 94 to Jamul; Thence due south following an imaginary line to the California-Mexico Boundary Line; thence westerly along the boundary line to Pacific Ocean and north along the shore line to point of beginning. Intrastate, interstate and foreign commerce authority sought.

*HEARING:* Date, time, and place not yet fixed. Requests for procedural information should be addressed to the Public Utilities Commission, State of California, State Building, Civic Center, 455 Golden Gate Avenue, San Francisco, Calif. 94102, and should *not* be directed to the Interstate Commerce Commission.

California Docket No. A–55802, filed July 14, 1975. Applicant: D & I DELIVERY SERVICE CO., 229 Castro Street, Oakland, Calif. 94607. Applicant's representative: Raymond A. Greene, Jr., 100 Pine Street, Suite 2550, San Francisco, Calif. 94111. Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity sought to operate a freight service as follows: *General Commodities*, I. Between all points and places in the San Francisco Territory as described in Note A; and II. Between all points and places on or within 10 miles laterally of the following routes: (1) Interstate Highway 5 between Redding and its intersection with State Highway 198; (2) State Highway 99 between Chico and Tulare; (3) U.S. Highway 101 between Ukiah and San Fafael; (4) State Highway 1 between Santa Cruz and Gorda; (5) State Highway 29 between Calistoga and Napa; (6) U.S. Highway 580 between its intersection with State Highway 17 and its intersection with State Highway 120; (7) State Highway 17 between Santa Cruz and San Jose; (8) U.S. Highway 101 between Santa Cruz and King City; (9) State Highway 198 between Exeter and San Lucas; (10) U.S. Highway 101 between San Lucas and King City; (11) State Highway 180 between Fresno and Paicines; (12) State Highway 152 between its intersection with State Highway 99 and its intersection with U.S. Highway 101; and (13) State Highway 24 from Oakland to Concord. In performing the service herein authorized, carrier may make use of any and all streets, roads, highways, and bridges necessary or convenient for the performance of said service.

*Restrictions:* (1) Applicant shall not use trucks of a larger rating than one ton; (2) Applicant shall not carry any package or parcel weighing more than one hundred pounds; (3) Applicant shall not carry any package or parcel with a combined length and girth of more than one hundred sixty inches; (4) Applicant shall publish only a tariff for parcel delivery in which charges are made per parcel or package delivered; and (5) Applicant shall not transport parcels or packages which have been sold at retail by a retail merchant, and transported from a retail store or retail store warehouse to residences of retail customers.

San Francisco Territory includes all the City of San Jose and that area embraced by the following boundary: Beginning at the point the San Francisco-San Mateo County Line meets the Pacific Ocean; thence easterly along said County Line to a point one mile west of State Highway 82; southerly along an imaginary line one mile west of and paralleling State Highway 82 to its intersection with Southern Pacific Company right-of-way at Arastradero Road; southeasterly along the Southern Pacific Company right-of-way to Pollard Road, including industries served by the Southern Pacific Company spur line extending approximately two miles southwest from Simla to Permanente; easterly along Pollard Road to W. Parr Avenue; easterly along W. Parr Avenue to Capri Drive; southerly along Capri Drive to Division Street; easterly along Division Street to the Southern Pacific Company right-of-way; southerly along the Southern Pacific right-of-way to the Campbell-Los Gatos City Limits; easterly along said limits and the prolongation thereof to South Bascom Avenue (formerly San Jose-Los Gatos Road); northeasterly along South Bascom Avenue to Foxworthy Avenue; easterly along Foxworthy Avenue to Almaden Road; southerly along Almaden Road to Hillsdale Avenue; easterly along Hillsdale Avenue to State Highway 82; northwesterly along State Highway 82 to Tully Road; northwesterly along Tully Road and the prolongation thereof to White Road; northwesterly along White Road to McKee Road; southwesterly along McKee Road to Capitol Avenue; northwesterly along Capitol Avenue to State Highway 238 (Oakland Road); northerly along State Highway 238 to Warm Springs; northerly along State Highway 238 (Mission Blvd.) via Mission San Jose and Niles to Hayward; northerly along Foothill Blvd. and MacArthur Blvd. to Seminary Avenue; easterly along Seminary Avenue to Moun-

tain Blvd.; northerly along Mountain Blvd. to Warren Blvd. (State Highway 13); northerly along Warren Blvd. to Broadway Terrace; westerly along Broadway Terrace to College Avenue; northerly along College Avenue to Dwight Way; easterly along Dwight Way to the Berkeley-Oakland Boundary Line; northerly along said boundary line to the Campus Boundary of the University of California; westerly, northerly, and easterly along the campus boundary to Euclid Avenue; northerly along Euclid Avenue to Marin Avenue; westerly along Marin Avenue to Arlington Avenue; northerly along Arlington Avenue to San Pablo Avenue (State Highway 123); northerly along San Pablo Avenue to and including the City of Richmond to Point Richmond; southerly along an imaginary line from Point Richmond to the San Francisco waterfront at the foot of Market Street; westerly along said waterfront and shoreline to the Pacific Ocean; southerly along the shoreline of the Pacific Ocean to point of beginning. Intrastate, interstate, and foreign commerce authority sought.

*HEARING*: Date, time, and place not yet fixed. Requests for procedural information should be addressed to the Public Utilities Commission, State of California, State Bldg., Civic Center, 455 Golden Gate Avenue, San Francisco, Calif. 94102, and should *not* be directed to the Interstate Commerce Commission.

Tennessee Docket No. MC 3279 (Sub-No. 3), filed July 7, 1975. Applicant: LEWISBURG TRANSFER COMPANY, INC., Lewisburg, Tenn. 37901. Applicant's representative: Walter Harwood, P.O. Box 15214, Nashville, Tenn. 37215. Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity sought to operate a freight service as follows: *General commodities* (except those of unusual value, Classes A and B explosives, household goods as defined by the Commission, commodities in bulk, and commodities requiring special equipment). ROUTE DESCRIPTION: (1) Between Nashville and Knoxville, Tenn.: From Nashville via U.S. Highway 70N to Crossville, thence via U.S. Highway 70 to Knoxville, and return over the same route, serving all intermediate points between the junction and U.S. Highway 70 and Tennessee Highway 95, and Knoxville. (2) Between Knoxville and Oak Ridge, Tenn.: From Knoxville via Tennessee Highway 62, and return over the same route, serving all intermediate points. (3) Between Oak Ridge and Lenoir City, Tenn.: From Oak Ridge over Tenn. Highway 95 to Lenoir City, and return over the same route, serving all intermediate points: (4) Between Loudon, Tenn., and the junction of U.S. Highways 11 and 70: From Loudon via U.S. Highway 11 to junction with U.S. Highway 70, and return over the same route, serving all intermediate points.

(5) Between Knoxville, Tenn., and Maryville, Tenn.: From Knoxville, via U.S. Highway 129 to its junction with U.S. Highway 411, thence via U.S. Highway 411 to Maryville, thence via Tennessee Highway 33 to Knoxville, and return over the same route, serving all intermediate points, including Alcoa, Tenn. (6) Between the junction of U.S. Highway 31A and 41A and Knoxville, Tenn.: From the junction of U.S. Highway 31A and 41A via U.S. Highway 31A to junction with Tennessee Highway 99 to Murfreesboro, thence via U.S. Highway 231 to junction with Interstate Highway 40, thence via Interstate Highway 40 to Knoxville, and return over the same route, serving no intermediate points; and (7) Between Nashville and junction of U.S. Highway 231 and Interstate Highway 40; From Nashville via Interstate Highway 40 to its junction with U.S. Highway 231, and return over the same route, serving no intermediate points, and serving the junction Interstate Highway 40 and U.S. Highway 231 for purposes of joinder only. Restriction: Restricted against the handling of traffic which originates at, is destined to, or interlined at points in Davidson County, on the one hand, and, on the other, that which originates at, is destined, or interlined at Knoxville, Tenn., and points in its commercial zone. All of said routes to be used in conjunction with each other, and in conjunction with applicant's present routes. Applicant also seeks co-extensive interstate authority. Intrastate, interstate and foreign commerce authority sought.

*HEARING:* Date, time, and place scheduled for October 27, 1975, at the Commission's Court Room, C–1 Cordell Hull Building, Nashville, Tenn., at 9:30 a.m. Requests for procedural information should be addressed to the Tennessee Public Service Commission, Cordell Hull Building, Nashville, Tenn. 37219, and should *not* be directed to the Interstate Commerce Commission.

Tennessee Docket No. MC 5441 (Sub-No. 3), filed July 2, 1975. Applicant: NASHVILLE-CLARKSVILLE EXPRESS, INC., 211 Kraft Street, Clarksville, Tenn. 37040. Applicant's representative: A. O. Buck, 618 Hamilton Bank Building, Nashville, Tenn. 37219. Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity sought to operate a freight service as follows: *GENERAL COMMODITIES* (except household goods, Classes A and B explosives, commodities in bulk and commodities requiring special equipment), between all points and places in Montgomery County, Tennessee, in conjunction with existing certificates Nos. 3004 and 3004–A. Intrastate, interstate, and foreign commerce authority sought.

*HEARING:* Date, time, and place scheduled for October 8, 1975, Commission's Court Room, C–1–110 Cordell Hull Building, Nashville, Tenn., at 9:30 a.m. Requests for procedural information should be addressed to the Tennessee Public Service Commission, Cordell Hull Building, Nashville, Tenn. 37219, and should *not* be directed to the Interstate Commerce Commission.

Tennessee Docket No. MC 6422, filed June 25, 1975. Applicant: JAMES V. HICKS, doing business as HICKS TRUCKING SERVICE, Foot of Keel Street, Memphis, Tenn. 38107. Applicant's representative: R. Connor Wiggins, Jr., Suite 909–100 North Main Bldg., Memphis, Tenn. 38103. Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity sought to operate a freight service as follows: *Scrap metal*, in bulk, in dump trailers, from Jackson, Tenn., over Interstate Highway 40 and access roads leading to and from Interstate Highway 40, to Memphis, Tenn. Intrastate, interstate and foreign commerce authority sought.

*HEARING:* Date, time, and place scheduled for August 12, 1975, 9:30 a.m., Commission's Court Room, C1–110 Cordell Hull Building, Nashville, Tenn. Requests for procedural information should be addressed to the Tennessee Public Service Commission, Cordell Hull Building, Nashville, Tenn. 37219, and should *not* be directed to the Interstate Commerce Commission.

By the Commission.

[SEAL] ROBERT L. OSWALD, *Secretary.*

[FR Doc.75–19801 Filed 7–29–75;8:45 am]

---

[Notice No. 26]

## MOTOR CARRIER ALTERNATE ROUTE DEVIATION NOTICES

JULY 25, 1975.

The following letter-notices of proposals (except as otherwise specifically noted, each applicant states that there will be no significant effect on the quality of the human environment resulting from approval of its application) to operate over deviation routes for operating convenience only have been filed with the Interstate Commerce Commission under the Commission's Revised Deviation Rules-Motor Carriers of Property, 1969 (49 C.F.R. 1042.4(c)(11)) and notice thereof to all interested persons is hereby given as provided in such rules (49 C.F.R. 1042.4(c)(11)).

Protests against the use of any proposed deviation route herein described may be filed with the Interstate Commerce Commission in the manner and form provided in such rules (49 C.F.R. 1042.4(c)(12)) at any time, but will not operate to stay commencement of the proposed operations unless filed within 30 days from the date of publication.

Successively filed letter-notices of the same carrier under the Commission's Revised Deviation Rules-Motor Carriers of Property, 1969, will be numbered consecutively for convenience in identification and protests, if any, should refer to such letter-notices by number.

MOTOR CARRIERS OF PROPERTY

No. MC 59680 (Deviation No. 93), STRICKLAND TRANSPORTATION CO., INC., P.O. Box 5689, Dallas, Tex. 75222, filed July 2, 1975. Carrier proposes to operate as a *common carrier*, by motor vehicle, of *general commodities* with certain exceptions, over a deviation route as follows: From Carlisle, Pa., over U.S. Highway 11 to junction Interstate Highway 83, thence over Interstate Highway 83 to junction Interstate Highway 81, thence over Interstate Highway 81 to

junction Interstate Highway 78, thence over Interstate Highway 78 to junction Interstate Highway 287, thence over Interstate Highway 287 to junction Interstate Highway 80, thence over Interstate Highway 80 to junction Interstate Highway 95, thence over Interstate Highway 95 to the George Washington Bridge, and return over the same route, for operating convenience only. The notice indicates that the carrier is presently authorized to transport the same commodities, over a pertinent service route as follows: From Carlisle, Pa., over the Pennsylvania Turnpike to the New Jersey Turnpike, thence over the New Jersey Turnpike to Newark, N.J., thence over U.S. Highway 1 to junction George Washington Bridge and return over the same route.

No. MC 111383 (Deviation No. 32), BRASWELL MOTOR FREIGHT LINES, INC., P.O. Box 4447, Dallas, Tex. 75208, filed July 3, 1975. Carrier proposes to operate as a *common carrier*, by motor vehicle, of *general commodities*, with certain exceptions, over a deviation route as follows: From Chicago, Ill., over Interstate Highway 57 to junction U.S. Highway 60 near Sikeston, Mo., thence over U.S. Highway 60 to junction U.S. Highway 67 near Poplar Bluff, Mo., thence over U.S. Highway 67 to junction U.S. Highway 71 near Texarkana, Ark., and return over the same route for operating convenience only. The notice indicates that the carrier is presently authorized to transport the same commodities, over a pertinent service route as follows: From Chicago, Ill., over U.S. Highway 66 to Tulsa, Okla., thence over U.S. Highway 75 to junction Oklahoma Highway 3 near Coalgate, Okla., thence over Oklahoma Highway 3 to Antlers, Okla., thence over U.S. Highway 271 to junction U.S. Highway 70 at Hugo, Okla., thence over U.S. Highway 70 via Broken Bow and Valliant, Okla., to junction U.S. Highway 71, thence over U.S. Highway 71 to Texarkana, Ark., and return over the same route.

By the Commission.

[SEAL] ROBERT L. OSWALD, *Secretary.*

[FR Doc.75-19802 Filed 7-29-75;8:45 am]

## IRREGULAR-ROUTE MOTOR COMMON CARRIERS OF PROPERTY—ELIMINATION OF GATEWAY LETTER NOTICES

JULY 25, 1975.

The following letter-notices of proposals to eliminate gateways for the purpose of reducing highway congestion, alleviating air and noise pollution, minimizing safety hazards, and conserving fuel have been filed with the Interstate Commerce Commission under the Commission's *Gateway Elimination* Rules (49 CFR 1065), and notice thereof to all interested persons is hereby given as provided in such rules.

An original and two copies of protests against the proposed elimination of any gateway herein described may be filed with the Interstate Commerce Commission *within 10 days* from the date of this publication. A copy must also be served upon applicant or its representative. Protests against the elimination of a gateway will *not* operate to stay commencement of the proposed operation.

Successively filed letter-notices of the same carrier under these rules will be numbered consecutively for convenience in identification. Protests, if any, must refer to such letter-notices by number.

No. MC 52657 (Sub-No. E28), filed June 4, 1974. Applicant: ARCO AUTO CARRIERS, INC., 2140 W. 79th St., Chicago, Ill. 60620. Applicants representative: S. J. Zangri (same as above). Authority sought to operate as a *common carrier*, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: *Truck Bodies*, between points in Minnesota, on the one hand, and, on the other, points in the States of Alabama, Connecticut, Delaware, Florida, Georgia, that part of Louisiana, on, east, and south of a line beginning at the Mississippi-Louisiana State line near Torras, Louisiana, thence south on Louisiana Highway 15 to its junction with Louisiana Highway 1 near Lettsworth, thence west on Louisiana Highway 1 to its junction with Louisiana Highway 105 near Simmesport, thence south on Louisiana Highway 105 to its junction with Louisiana Highway 360 near Current, thence west on Louisiana Highway 360 to its junction with Louisiana Highway 10 near Labeau, Louisiana, thence west on Louisiana Highway 10 to its junction with Louisiana Highway 182 near Beggs, thence south on Louisiana Highway 182 to its junction with U.S. Highway 190 near Poelousas, thence west on U.S. Highway 190 to Louisiana Highway 13 near Eunice, thence south on Louisiana Highway 13 to its junction with Louisiana Highway 14 near Kaplan, thence south Louisiana Highway 35 to junction Louisiana Highway 82, thence east on Louisiana Highway 82 to junction Louisiana Highway 333, thence south on Louisiana Highway 333 to the Gulf of Mexico, Maine, Maryland, Massachusetts, Mississippi, that part of Missouri on and east of a line beginning at the Mississippi River and Interstate Highway 270 near Hazlewood, Missouri, thence west on Interstate Highway 270 to Interstate Highway 244 near Vigus, Missouri, thence south on Interstate Highway 244 to Missouri Highway 21 near Mehlville, thence south on Missouri Highway 21 to the Missouri-Arkansas State line near Doniphan, Arkansas, New Hampshire, New Jersey, that part of New York on and east of a line beginning at the Mississippi River and Intertate the Canadian border and Interstate Highway 87 near Champlain, N.Y., thence extending south on Interstate Highway 87 to U.S. Highway 209 at Kingston, thence south on U.S. Highway 209 to the Pennsylvania State line near Port Jervis, New York, North Carolina, that part of Pennsylvania (except that part north and west of a line beginning at the N.Y.-Pennsylvania State line and U.S. Highway 209 near Milford, Pa., thence extending south on U.S. Highway 209 to Pennsylvania Highway 145 near Perryville, thence south on Pennsylvania Highway 145 to U.S. Highway 22 near Whitehall, thence west on U.S. Highway 22 to Interstate Highway 81 near Harrisburg, Pa., thence southwest on Interstate Highway 81 to the Maryland State line near Stateline, Pennsylvania, Rhode Island, South Carolina, Tennessee, Vermont, Virginia, West Virginia (except that part north and west of a line beginning at the Ohio River and U.S. Highway 50 near Parkersburg, West Va., then extending east on U.S. Highway 50 to the West Virginia Highway 26 at Fellowsville, thence north on West Virginia Highway 26 to the Pennsylvania-West Virginia State line near Glade Farms, West Virginia, and The District of Columbia, restricted against the transportation of fuel tanks between points in Illinois, Arkansas, Indiana, Kansas, Kentucky, Louisiana, Missouri, New Mexico, Oklahoma, Tennessee, and Texas, on the one hand, and, on the other, points in Coles County, Ill. The purpose of this filing is to eliminate the gateway of Mattoon, Coles Co., Ill.

No. MC-52793 (Sub-No. E1) (Correction), filed June 4, 1974, published in the FEDERAL REGISTER December 18, 1974. Applicant: BEKINS VAN LINES, INC., P.O. Box 109, LaGrange, Ill. 60525. Applicant's representative: Robert McClure (same as above). Authority sought to operate as a *common carrier*, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: (1) *Household goods*, as defined by the Commission, between points in Alabama, Arizona, Arkansas, California, Colorado, Connecticut, Delaware, Florida, Georgia, Illinois, Indiana, Kansas, Kentucky, Louisiana, Maryland, Massachusetts, Michigan, Minnesota, Mississippi, Missouri, Nevada, New Jersey, New Mexico, North Carolina, North Dakota, Ohio, Oklahoma, Oregon, Pennsylvania, Rhode Island, South Carolina, South Dakota, Tennessee, Texas, Virginia, West Virginia, Wisconsin, Wyoming, Nebraska (except points within 50 miles of Sioux City, Iowa), Iowa (except points within 50 miles of Omaha, Nebr.), the District of Columbia, Washington, Utah, Idaho, and Montana, on the one hand, and, on the other, points in Maine; (2) *Household goods*, as defined by the Commission, between points in Alabama, Arizona, Arkansas, California, Colorado, Connecticut, Delaware, Florida, Georgia, Illinois, Indiana, Kansas, Kentucky, Louisiana, Maryland, Michigan, Minnesota, Mississippi, Missouri, Nevada, New Jersey, New Mexico, North Carolina, North Dakota, Ohio, Oklahoma, Oregon, South Carolina, South Dakota, Tennessee, Texas, Virginia, West Virginia, Wisconsin, Wyoming, Nebraska (except points within 50 miles of Sioux City, Iowa), Iowa (except points within 50 miles of Omaha, Nebr.), the District of Columbia, Washington, Utah, Idaho, and Montana, on the one hand, and, on the other, points in New Hampshire; (3) *Household goods*, as defined by the Commission, between points in Alabama, Arizona, Arkansas, California, Colorado, Florida, Georgia, Illinois, Indiana, Kansas, Louisiana, Minnesota, Mississippi, Missouri, Nevada, New Mex-

ico, North Carolina, North Dakota, Ohio, Oklahoma, Oregon, South Carolina, South Dakota, Tennessee, Texas, Wyoming, Nebraska (except points within 50 miles of Sioux City, Iowa), Iowa (except points within 50 miles of Omaha, Nebr.), the District of Columbia, Washington, Utah, Idaho, and Montana, on the one hand, and, on the other, points in Vermont. The purpose of this filing is to eliminate the gateways of points in Essex County, Mass., in (1), (2), and (3) above; and points in Colorado in (4) above. The purpose of this partial correction is to correct the territorial descriptions. The remainder of this letter-notice remains as previously published.

No. MC-52932 (Sub-No. E2), filed May 13, 1974. Applicant: NORTH PENN TRANSFER, INC., P.O. Box 230, Lansdale, Pa. 19446. Applicant's representative: John W. Frame, P.O. Box 626, Camp Hill, Pa. 17011. Authority sought to operate as a *common carrier*, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: *Machinery, which because of size or weight requires the use of special equipment*, between points in Connecticut, Massachusetts, and Rhode Island, on the one hand, and, on the other, points in Ohio, Maryland, Delaware, District of Columbia, Virginia and North Carolina; between points in Connecticut and Rhode Island, and that part of Massachusetts on and west of Interstate Highway 91, on the one hand, and, on the other, points in that part of New Jersey on, south and west of a line beginning at Trenton, N.J. and continuing along New Jersey Highway 524 to its junction with New Jersey Highway 539 at Allentown, N.J., thence along New Jersey Highway 539 to the Atlantic Ocean; between points in that part of Massachusetts east of Interstate Highway 91, on the one hand, and, on the other, points in that part of New Jersey on and south of New Jersey Highway 33; between points in Niagara, Erie and Chautauqua Counties, on the one hand, and, on the other, New York, N.Y., points in Nassau and Suffolk Counties, N.Y., and points in Fairfield County, Conn; between points in New York, on the one hand, and, on the other, points in Delaware, points in that part of Maryland east of the Chesapeake Bay, and points in that part of New Jersey on, south and west of a line beginning at Trenton, N.J., and thence along New Jersey Highway 524 to junction with New Jersey Highway 539 at Allentown, N.J., thence along New Jersey Highway 539 to the Atlantic Ocean; between points in that part of New York on and east of Interstate Highway 81, on the one hand, and, on the other, points in Virginia, North Carolina, and points in that part of Maryland west of the Chesapeake Bay; between points in that part of New York west of Interstate Highway 81 (except points in Niagara, Erie, Chautauqua, and Cattaraugus Counties, N.Y.), on the one hand, and, on the other, points in that part of North Carolina and that part of Virginia on and east of U.S. Highway 15; between points in Ohio, on the one hand, and, on the other, New York, N.Y., points in Nassau and Suffolk Counties, N.Y., and points in New Jersey, and Delaware; between points in that part of Ohio on and south of Interstate Highway 70, on the one hand, and, on the other, points in that part of New York on and east of Interstate Highway 81; between points in that part of Ohio on and north of Interstate Highway 70, on the one hand, and, on the other, points in that part of Maryland east of the Chesapeake Bay. The purpose of this filing is to eliminate the gateways of points in Montgomery, Bucks (except Bristol & Falls Townships) and Delaware, Counties, Pa., and Philadelphia, Pa.

No. MC-59247 (Sub-No. E11), filed June 4, 1974. Applicant: LINDEN MOTOR FREIGHT CO., 1300 Lower Road, Linden, N.J. 07036. Applicant's representative: Louis Salz (same as above). Authority sought to operate as a *common carrier*, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: Chemicals, containers, and chemical supplies, from points in Connecticut west of a line beginning at the Massachusetts-Connecticut State line and extending along Interstate Highway 91 through Thompsonville, and Windsor Locks, Conn., to junction U.S. Highway 44, thence along U.S. Highway 44 to junction U.S. Highway 202 through Farmington, Conn., to junction Connecticut Highway 8, thence along Connecticut Highway 8 to Waterbury, Conn., thence south and west along Interstate Highway 84 through Middlebury, Conn., to junction Connecticut Highway 25, thence along Connecticut Highway 25 to junction Connecticut Highway 59, thence along Connecticut Highway 59 to junction Connecticut Highway 136, thence along Connecticut Highway 136 to junction Connecticut Highway 15, thence along Connecticut Highway 15 to Greenwich, Conn., to points in Pennsylvania east and south of a line beginning at the Pennsylvania-New Jersey State line at or near Morrisville, Pa., and extending along Pennsylvania Highway 32 to junction U.S. Highway 611, thence along U.S. Highway 611 to junction Pennsylvania Highway 412, thence along Pennsylvania Highway 412 to Bethlehem, Pa., to junction U.S. Highway 22, to junction Pennsylvania Turnpike Extensions, thence along the Pennsylvania Turnpike Extension to junction Pennsylvania Highway 93, thence along Pennsylvania Highway 93 through Hazelton and Berwick, Pa., thence along U.S. Highway 11 via Harrisburg and York, Pa., to the Pennsylvania-Maryland State line. The purpose of this filing is to eliminate the gateway of Linden, N.J.

No. MC-61825 (Sub-No. E68), filed May 13, 1974. Applicant: ROY STONE TRANSFER CORP., P.O. Box 385, Collinsville, Va. 24078. Applicant's representative: Joe Clyde Wilson (same as above). Authority sought to operate as a *common carrier*, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: *General commodities* (except those of unusual value, Class A and B explosives, livestock, household goods as defined by the Commission, commodities in bulk, commodities requiring special equipment, and those injurious or contaminating to other lading), between points in Maryland on and east of U.S. Highway 220 and on and west of U.S. Highway 15, on the one hand, and, on the other, points in North Carolina located on and west of a line beginning at the Virginia-North Carolina State line and extending along U.S. Highway 1 to junction U.S. Highway 158, thence along U.S. Highway 158 to junction U.S. Highway 401, thence along U.S. Highway 401 to junction North Carolina Highway 581, thence along North Carolina Highway 581 to junction U.S. Highway 70, thence along U.S. Highway 70 to New Bern, N.C., thence along the Neuse River to the Atlantic Coast. The purpose of this filing is to eliminate the gateway of Lynchburg, Va.

No. MC-61825 (Sub-No. E70), filed May 13, 1974. Applicant: ROY STONE TRANSFER CORP., P.O. Box 385, Collinsville, Va. 24078. Applicant's representative: Joe Clyde Wilson (same as above). Authority sought to operate as a *common carrier*, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: *General commodities* (except those of unusual value, Class A and B explosives, livestock, household goods as defined by the Commission, commodities in bulk, commodities requiring special equipment, and those injurious or contaminating to other lading), between points in West Virginia on and east of a line beginning at the Virginia-West Virginia State line and extending along West Virginia Highway 39 to junction U.S. Highway 19, thence along U.S. Highway 19 to junction Interstate Highway 79, thence along Interstate Highway 79 to junction West Virginia Highway 16, thence along West Virginia Highway 16 to junction West Virginia Highway 47, thence along West Virginia Highway 47 to junction West Virginia Highway 18, thence along West Virginia Highway 18 to junction U.S. Highway 50, thence along U.S. Highway 50 to the West Virginia-Maryland State line, on the one hand, and, on the other, points in North Carolina on and east of a line beginning at the Virginia-North Carolina State line and extending along U.S. Highway 29 to junction Business U.S. Highway 29, thence along U.S. Business Highway 29 to junction U.S. Highway 29, thence along U.S. Highway 29 to junction U.S. Highway 220, thence along U.S. Highway 220 to junction North Carolina Highway 49, thence along North Carolina Highway 49 to junction U.S. Highway 52, thence along U.S. Highway 52 to junction North Carolina Highway 24, thence along North Carolina Highway 24 to junction North Carolina Highway 200, thence along North Carolina Highway 200 to the North Carolina-South Carolina State line, and south and west of a line beginning at the Atlantic Ocean and extending along the Pamlico Sound, thence along the Pamlico Sound to the Pamlico River, thence along the Pamlico River to junction U.S. Highway 17, thence along U.S. Highway 17 to junction North Carolina

Highway 33, thence along North Carolina Highway 33 to junction North Carolina Highway 30, thence along North Carolina Highway 30 to junction North Carolina Highway 11, thence along North Carolina Highway 11 to junction U.S. Highway 264, thence along U.S. Highway 264 to junction North Carolina Highway 58, thence along North Carolina Highway 58 to junction North Carolina Highway 43, thence along North Carolina Highway 43 to junction U.S. Highway 401, thence along U.S. Highway 401 to junction U.S. Highway 1, thence along U.S. Highway 1 to the North Carolina-Virginia State line. The purpose of this filing is to eliminate the gateway of Lynchburg, Va.

No. MC-61825 (Sub-No. E71), filed May 13, 1974. Applicant: ROY STONE TRANSFER CORP., P.O. Box 385, Collinsville, Va. 24078. Applicant's representative: Joe Clyde Wilson (same as above). Authority sought to operate as a *common carrier*, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: *General commodities* (except those of unusual value, Class A and B explosives, livestock, household goods as defined by the Commission, commodities in bulk, commodities requiring special equipment, and those injurious or contaminating to other lading), between points in West Virginia on, east, and north of a line beginning at the Ohio-West Virginia State line and extending along West Virginia Highway 18 to junction U.S. Highway 50, thence along U.S. Highway 50 to the West Virginia-Maryland State line, on the one hand, and, on the other, points in North Carolina on and east of a line beginning at the Virginia-North Carolina State line at U.S. Highway 220 and extending along U.S. Highway 220 to junction North Carolina Highway 704, thence along North Carolina Highway 704 to junction U.S. Highway 311, thence along U.S. Highway 311 to junction Interstate Highway 40, thence along Interstate Highway 40 to junction North Carolina Highway 16, thence along North Carolina Highway 16 to junction U.S. Highway 321, thence along U.S. Highway 321 to junction North Carolina Highway 150, thence along North Carolina Highway 150 to the North Carolina-South Carolina State line. The purpose of this filing is to eliminate the gateway of Lynchburg, Va.

No. MC-61825 (Sub-No. E72), filed May 13, 1974. Applicant: ROY STONE TRANSFER CORP., P.O. Box 385, Collinsville, Va. 24078. Applicant's representative: Joe Clyde Wilson (same as above). Authority sought to operate as a *common carrier*, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: *General commodities* (except those of unusual value, Class A and B explosives, livestock, household goods as defined by the Commission, commodities in bulk, commodities requiring special equipment, and those injurious or contaminating to other lading), between points in West Virginia on and west of a line beginning at the Ohio-West Virginia State line and extending along West Virginia Highway 18 to junction West Virginia Hghway 47, thence along West Virginia Highway 47 to junction U.S. Highway 33, thence along U.S. Highway 33 to junction U.S. Highway 119, thence along U.S. Highway 119 to junction U.S. Highway 60, thence along U.S. Highway 60 to the West Virginia-Kentucky State line, on the one hand, and, on the other, points in North Carolina on and east of a line beginning at the Virginia-North Carolina State line and extending along U.S. Highway 29 to junction U.S. Highway Business 29, thence along U.S. Highway Business 29 to junction North Carolina Highway 87, thence along North Carolina Highway 87 to junction U.S. Highway 401, thence along U.S. Highway 401 to junction North Carolina Highway 211, thence along North Carolina Highway 211 to junction North Carolina Highway 710, thence along North Carolina Highway 710 to junction U.S. Highway 501, thence along U.S. Highway 501 to the North Carolina-South Carolina State line. The purpose of this filing is to eliminate the gateway of Lynchburg, Va.

No. MC-61825 (Sub-No. E73), filed May 13, 1974. Applicant: ROY STONE TRANSFER CORP., P.O. 385, Collinsville, Va. 24078. Applicant's representative: Joe Clyde Wilson (same as above). Authority sought to operate as a *common carrier*, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: *General commodities* (except those of unusual value, Class A and B explosives, livestock, household goods as defined by the Commission, commodities in bulk, commodities requiring special equipment, and those injurious or contaminating to other lading), from points in Maryland on and north of a line beginning at the Pennsylvania-Maryland State line and extending along U.S. Highway 40 to junction U.S. Highway 220, thence along U.S. Highway 220 to the Maryland-Pennsylvania State line, to points in North Carolina on, south, and west of a line beginning at the Virginia-North Carolina State line and extending along North Carolina Highway 46 to junction Interstate Highway 95, thence along Interstate Highway 95 to junction North Carolina Highway 125, thence along North Carolina Highway 125 to junction U.S. Highway 64, thence along U.S. Highway 64 to the Croatan Sound, thence along the Croatan Sound to the Pamlico Sound, thence along the Pamlico Sound to the Atlantic Ocean via Drum Inlet. The purpose of this filing is to eliminate the gateway of Lynchburg, Va.

No. MC-61825 (Sub-No. E74), filed May 13, 1974. Applicant: ROY STONE TRANSFER CORP., P.O. Box 385, Collinsville, Va. 24078. Applicant's representative: Joe Clyde Wilson (same as above). Authority sought to operate as a *common carrier*, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: *General commodities* (except those of unusual value, Class A and B explosives, livestock, household goods as defined by the Commission, commodities in bulk, commodities requiring special equipment, and those injurious or contaminating to other lading), from points in Maryland on and north of a line beginning at the Pennsylvania-Maryland State line and extending along U.S. Highway 220 to junction U.S. Highway 40, thence along U.S. Highway 40 to Hagerstown, Md., thence along Alternate U.S. Highway 40 (formerly U.S. Highway 40) to junction U.S. Highway 40 near Frederick, Md., thence along U.S. Highway 40 to junction U.S. Highway 15, thence along U.S. Highway 15 to the Maryland-Pennsylvania State line, to points in North Carolina on and west of a line beginning at the Virginia-North Carolina State line and extending along U.S. Highway 1 to junction U.S. Highway 158, thence along U.S. Highway 158 to junction U.S. Highway 401, thence along U.S. Highway 401 to junction North Carolina Highway 581, thence along North Carolina Highway 581 to junction U.S. Highway 70, thence along U.S. Highway 70 to junction U.S. Highway 17, thence along U.S. Highway 17 to the Neuse River, thence along the Neuse River to the Atlantic Ocean. The purpose of this filing is to eliminate the gateway of Lynchburg, Va.

No. MC-61825 (Sub-No. E75), filed May 13, 1974. Applicant: ROY STONE TRANSFER CORP., P.O. Box 385, Collinsville, Va. 24078. Applicant's representative: Joe Clyde Wilson (same as above). Authority sought to operate as a *common carrier*, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: *General commodities* (except those of unusual value, Class A and B explosives, livestock, household goods as defined by the Commission, commodities in bulk, commodities requiring special equipment, and those injurious or contaminating to other lading), from points in Maryland on and north of a line beginning at the Pennsylvania-Maryland State line and extending along U.S. Highway 40 to junction Interstate Highway 70, thence along Interstate Highway 70 to the Maryland-Pennsylvania State line, to points in Virginia located on and south of a line beginning at the Tennessee-Virginia State line and extending along Interstate Highway 81 to junction Interstate Highway 581, thence along Interstate Highway 581 to junction U.S. Highway 460, thence along U.S. Highway 460 to junction Blue Ridge Parkway, thence along the Blue Ridge Parkway to junction Virginia Highway 130, thence along Virginia Highway 130 to junction Virginia Highway 635, thence along Virginia Highway 635 to junction U.S. Highway 60, thence along U.S. Highway 60 to junction Virginia Highway 26, thence along Virginia Highway 26 to junction U.S. Highway 460, thence along U.S. Highway 460 to junction Virginia Highway 40, thence along Virginia Highway 40 to junction Virginia Highway 46, thence along Virginia Highway 46 to junction Business U.S. Highway 58, thence along Business U.S. Highway 58 to junction Virginia Highway 46, thence along Virginia Highway 46 to the Virginia-North Carolina State line. The purpose of this filing is to eliminate the gateway of Lynchburg, Va.

No. MC–61825 (Sub-No. E76), filed May 13, 1974. Applicant: ROY STONE TRANSFER CORP., P.O. Box 385, Collinsville, Va. 24078. Applicant's representative: Joe Clyde Wilson (same as above). Authority sought to operate as a *common carrier*, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: *General commodities* (except those of unusual value, Class A and B explosives, livestock, household goods as defined by the Commission, commodities in bulk, commodities requiring special equipment, and those injurious or contaminating to other lading), from points in Maryland on and north of a line beginning at the Pennsylvania-Maryland State line and extending along Interstate Highway 70 to junction U.S. Highway 40, thence along U.S. Highway 40 to junction Alternate U.S. Highway 40 (formerly U.S. Highway 40), thence along Alternate U.S. Highway 40 to junction U.S. Highway 40 near Frederick, Md., thence along U.S. Highway 40 to junction Maryland Highway 144 (formerly U.S. Highway 40), thence along Maryland Highway 144 to junction Maryland Highway 27, thence along Maryland Highway 27 to junction Maryland Highway 30, thence along Maryland Highway 30 to the Maryland-Pennsylvania State line to points in Virginia on, south, and west of a line beginning at the West Virginia-Virginia State line and extending along Virginia Highway 311 to junction Virginia Highway 18, thence along Virginia Highway 18 to junction Virginia Highway 616, thence along Virginia Highway 616 to junction Virginia Highway 621, thence along Virginia Highway 621 to junction Virginia Highway 615, thence along Virginia Highway 615 to junction Virginia Highway 43, thence along Virginia Highway 43 to junction Blue Ridge Parkway, thence along Blue Ridge Parkway to junction Virginia Highway 130, thence along Virginia Highway 130 to junction Virginia Highway 635, thence along Virginia Highway 635 to junction U.S. Highway 60, thence along U.S. Highway 60 to junction Virginia Highway 26, thence along Virginia Highway 26 to junction U.S. Highway 460, thence along U.S. Highway 460 to junction Virginia Highway 696, thence along Virginia Highway 696 to junction Virginia Highway 662, thence along Virginia Highway 662 to junction Virginia Highway 40, thence along Virginia Highway 40 to junction Virginia Highway 635, thence along Virginia Highway 635 to junction Virginia Highway 47, thence along Virginia Highway 47 to junction Virginia Highway 664, thence along Virginia Highway 664 to junction U.S. Highway 1, thence along U.S. Highway 1 to the Virginia-North Carolina State line. The purpose of this filing is to eliminate the gateway of Lynchburg, Va.

No. MC–61825 (Sub-No. E77), filed May 13, 1974. Applicant: ROY STONE TRANSFER CORP., P.O. Box 385, Collinsville, Va. 24078. Applicant's representative: Joe Clyde Wilson (same as above). Authority sought to operate as a *common carrier*, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: *General commodities* (except those of unusual value, Class A and B explosives, livestock, household goods as defined by the Commission, commodities in bulk, commodities requiring special equipment, and those injurious or contaminating to other lading), from points in Maryland on and northeast of a line beginning at the Pennsylvania-Maryland State line and extending along Maryland Highway 30 to junction Maryland Highway 27, thence along Maryland Highway 27 to junction Maryland Highway 144 (formerly U.S. Highway 40), thence along Maryland Highway 144 to Baltimore, Md., thence along the shores of Chesapeake Bay and Elk River to the Chesapeake and Delaware Canal, thence along the Chesapeake and Delaware Canal to the Maryland-Delaware State line to points in Virginia on, south, and west of a line beginning at the West Virginia-Virginia State line and extending along U.S. Highway 60 to junction Virginia Highway 26, thence along Virginia Highway 26 to junction (U.S. Highway 460, thence along U.S. Highway 460 to junction U.S. Highway 15 South, thence along U.S. Highway 15 South to junction Virginia Highway 646, thence along Virginia Highway 646 to junction Virginia Highway 49, thence along Virginia Highway 49 to junction Virginia Highway 92, thence along Virginia Highway 92 to junction U.S. Highway 58, thence along U.S. Highway 58 to junction U.S. Highway 15 South, thence along U.S. Highway 15 to the Virginia-North Carolina State line. The purpose of this filing is to eliminate the gateway of Lynchburg, Va.

No. MC–61825 (Sub-No. E78), filed May 13, 1974. Applicant: ROY STONE TRANSFER CORP., P.O. Box 385, Collinsville, Va. 24078. Applicant's representative: Joe Clyde Wilson (same as above). Authority sought to operate as a *common carrier*, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: *General commodities* (except those of unusual value, Class A and B explosives, livestock, household goods as defined by the Commission, commodities in bulk, commodities requiring special equipment, and those injurious or contaminating to other lading), from points in Maryland on and north of a line beginning at the Pennsylvania-Maryland State line and extending along Interstate Highway 70 to junction U.S. Highway 40, thence along U.S. Highway 40 to junction Interstate Highway 81, thence along Interstate Highway 81 to the Maryland-Pennsylvania State line to points in West Virginia located on and south of a line beginning at the Virginia-West Virginia State line and extending along U.S. Highway 460 to junction U.S. Highway 19, thence along U.S. Highway 19 to junction West Virginia Highway 10, thence along West Virginia Highway 10 to junction U.S. Highway 119, thence along U.S. Highway 119 to junction West Virginia Highway 65, thence along West Virginia Highway 65 to junction U.S. Highway 52, thence along U.S. Highway 52 to junction West Virginia Highway 40, thence along West Virginia Highway 40 to the West Virginia-Kentucky State line. The purpose of this filing is to eliminate the gateway of Lynchburg, Va.

No. MC–61825 (Sub-No. E79), filed May 13, 1974. Applicant: ROY STONE TRANSFER CORP., P.O. Box 385, Collinsville, Va. 24078. Applicant's representative: Joe Clyde Wilson (same as above). Authority sought to operate as a *common carrier*, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: Empty containers for petroleum products, from points in South Carolina, and those points in West Virginia, Virginia, and North Carolina on and south of a line beginning at the Kentucky-West Virginia State line and extending along U.S. Highway 60 to junction Interstate Highway 64 near Huntington, W. Va., thence along Interstate Highway 64 to junction U.S. Highway 119, thence along U.S. Highway 119 to junction West Virginia Highway 4, thence along West Virginia Highway 4 to junction West Virginia Highway 16, thence along West Virginia Highway 16 to junction West Virginia Highway 39, thence along West Virginia Highway 39 to junction U.S. Highway 219, thence along U.S. Highway 219 to junction West Virginia Highway 39, thence along West Virginia Highway 39 to junction Virginia Highway 252, thence along Virginia Highway 252 to junction Virginia Highway 606, thence along Virginia Highway 606 to junction Virginia Highway 56, thence along Virginia Highway 56 to junction Virginia Highway 655, thence along Virginia Highway 655 to junction U.S. Highway 29, thence along U.S. Highway 29 to junction Virginia Highway 56, thence along Virginia Highway 56 to junction U.S. Highway 60, thence along U.S. Highway 60 to junction U.S. Highway 15, thence along U.S. Highway 15 to junction Virginia Highway 40, thence along Virginia Highway 40 to junction Virginia Highway 49, thence along Virginia Highway 49 to junction Virginia Highway 47, thence along Virginia Highway 47 to junction U.S. Highway 1, thence along U.S. Highway 1 to junction Interstate Highway 85, thence along Interstate Highway 85 to junction U.S. Highway 1 Bypass near Henderson, N.C., thence along U.S. Highway 1 Bypass to junction North Carolina Highway 39, thence along North Carolina Highway 39 to junction U.S. Highway 401, thence along U.S. Highway 401 to junction North Carolina Highway 581, thence along North Carolina Highway 581 to junction U.S. Highway 264, thence along U.S. Highway 264 to junction North Carolina Highway 58 South, thence along North Carolina Highway 58 South to junction U.S. Highway 70, thence along U.S. Highway 70 to junction U.S. Highway 17, thence along U.S. Highway 17 to the Neuse River, thence along the Neuse River to the Atlantic Ocean. The purpose of this filing is to eliminate the gateway of Lynchburg, Va.

No. MC–61825 (Sub-No. E85), filed May 13, 1974. Applicant: ROY STONE TRANSFER CORP., P.O. Box 385, Collinsville, Va. 24078, Applicant's repre-

sentative: Joe Clyde Wilson (same as above). Authority sought to operate as a *common carrier*, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: *General commodities* (except those of unusual value, Class A and B explosives, livestock, household goods as defined by the Commission, commodities in bulk, commodities requiring special equipment, and those injurious or contaminating to other lading), between points in the District of Columbia, on the one hand, and, on the other, points in Virginia on, south, and west of a line beginning at the Virginia-West Virginia State line and extending along Interstate Highway 64 to junction U.S. Highway 220, thence along U.S. Highway 220 to junction Virginia Highway 43, thence along Virginia Highway 43 to junction Interstate Highway 81, thence along Interstate Highway 81 to junction Virginia Highway 130, thence along Virginia Highway 130 to junction U.S. Highway 501, thence along U.S. Highway 501 to junction Blue Ridge Parkway, thence along Blue Ridge Parkway to junction U.S. Highway 60, thence along U.S. Highway 60 to junction Virginia Highway 26, thence along Virginia Highway 26 to junction U.S. Highway 460, thence along U.S. Highway 460 to junction Virginia Highway 47, thence along Virginia Highway 47 to junction Virginia Highway 49, thence along Virginia Highway 49 to junction U.S. Highway 15, thence along U.S. Highway 15 to the North Carolina-Virginia State line. The purpose of this filing is to eliminate the gateway of Lynchburg, Va.

No. MC–61825 (Sub-No. E86), filed May 13, 1974. Applicant: ROY STONE TRANSFER CORP., P.O. Box 385, Collinsville, Va. 24078. Applicant's representative: Joe Clyde Wilson (same as above). Authority sought to operate as a *common carrier*, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: *General commodities* (except those of unusual value, Class A and B explosives, livestock, household goods as defined by the Commission, commodities in bulk, commodities requiring special equipment and those injurious or contaminating to other lading), between points in Maryland south of a line beginning at the Maryland-Delaware State line and extending along U.S. Highway 301 to junction U.S. Highway 50, thence along U.S. Highway 50 to the Maryland-District of Columbia boundary line, on the one hand, and, on the other, points in North Carolina on and west of a line beginning at the North Carolina-Virginia State line and extending along North Carolina Highway 62 to junction North Carolina Highway 49, thence along North Carolina Highway 49 to junction U.S. Highway 220, thence along U.S. Highway 220 to junction U.S. Highway 1, thence along U.S. Highway 1 to the North Carolina-South Carolina State line. The purpose of this filing is to eliminate the gateway of Lynchburg, Va.

No. MC–61825 (Sub-No. E87), filed May 13, 1974. Applicant: ROY STONE TRANSFER CORP., P.O. Box 385, Collinsville, Va. 24078. Applicant's representative: Joe Clyde Wilson (same as above). Authority sought to operate as a *common carrier*, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: *General commodities* (except those of unusual value, Class A and B explosives, livestock, household goods as defined by the Commission, commodities in bulk, commodities requiring special equipment, and those injurious or contaminating to other lading), between points in Maryland on and west of a line beginning at the Delaware-Maryland State line and extending along U.S. Highway 301 to junction U.S. Highway 50, thence along U.S. Highway 50 to the Maryland-District of Columbia boundary line, and on and east of U.S. Highway 15, on the one hand, and, on the other, points in North Carolina, on and west of a line beginning at the Virginia-North Carolina State line and extending along U.S. Highway 15 to junction North Carolina Highway 50, thence along North Carolina Highway 50 to junction U.S. Highway 70, thence along U.S. Highway 70 to junction U.S. Highway 70 Alternate, thence along U.S. Highway 70 Alternate to junction Interstate Highway 95, thence along Interstate Highway 95 to junction U.S. Highway 701, thence along U.S. Highway 701 to junction North Carolina Highway 24, thence along North Carolina Highway 24 to junction North Carolina Highway 50, thence along North Carolina Highway 50 to the Atlantic Ocean. The purpose of this filing is to eliminate the gateway of Lynchburg, Va.

No. MC–61825 (Sub-No. E88), filed May, 13, 1974. Applicant: ROY STONE TRANSFER CORP., P.O. Box 385, Collinsville, Va. 24078. Applicant's representative: Joe Clyde Wilson (same as above). Authority sought to operate as a *common carrier*, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: *Furniture factory machinery*, and *materials* used in the manufacture of furniture, from points in Ohio located on, south, and west of a line beginning at the Indiana-Ohio State line extending along U.S. Highway 40 to junction U.S. Highway 33, thence along U.S. Highway 33 to junction U.S. Alternate Highway 50, thence along U.S. Alternate Highway 50 to junction U.S. Highway 50, thence along U.S. Highway 50 to junction Ohio Highway 346, thence along Ohio Highway 346 to junction Ohio Highway 124, thence along Ohio Highway 124 to junction Ohio Highway 139, thence along Ohio Highway 139 to junction U.S. Highway 52, thence along U.S. Highway 52 to junction U.S. Highway 23, thence along U.S. Highway 23 to the Ohio River, to points in North Carolina bounded by a line beginning on the Atlantic Ocean near Carolina Beach, N.C., and extending along U.S. Highway 421 to junction U.S. Highway 117, thence along U.S. Highway 117 to junction U.S. Highway 70, thence along U.S. Highway 70 to junction U.S. Highway Alternate 70, thence along U.S. Highway Alternate 70 to junction U.S. Highway 301, thence along U.S. Highway 301 to junction North Carolina Highway 39, thence along North Carolina Highway 39 to the North Carolina-Virginia State line, thence along the North Carolina-Virginia State line to U.S. Highway 301, thence along U.S. Highway 301 to junction U.S. Highway 158, thence along U.S. Highway 158 to Elizabeth City, N.C., thence along U.S. Highway 17 to junction North Carolina Highway 32, thence along North Carolina Highway 32 to junction U.S. Highway 64, thence along U.S. Highway 64 to the Atlantic Ocean, thence along the Atlantic shore to point of beginning including points on highways specified. The purpose of this filing is to eliminate the gateways of Martinsville and Lynchburg, Va.

No. MC–61825 (Sub-No. E89), filed May 13, 1974. Applicant: ROY STONE TRANSFER CORP., P.O. Box 385, Collinsville, Va. 24078. Applicant's representative: Joe Clyde Wilson (same as above). Authority sought to operate as a *common carrier*, by motor vehicle over irregular routes, transporting: *Furniture factory machinery*, and *materials* used in the manufacture of furniture, from points in Ohio located north and west of a line beginning at the Ohio-Indiana State line near Richmond, Ind., and extending along U.S. Highway 40 to junction U.S. Highway 23, thence along U.S. Highway 23 to junction Ohio Highway 98, thence along Ohio Highway 98 to junction Ohio Highway 4, thence along Ohio Highway 4 to Lake Erie to points in North Carolina bounded by a line beginning on the Atlantic Ocean near Carolina Beach, N.C., and extending along U.S. Highway 421 to junction Interstate Highway 95, thence along Interstate Highway 95 to junction North Carolina Highway 50, thence along North Carolina Highway 50 to junction U.S. Highway 70, thence along U.S. Highway 70 to junction U.S. Highway 501, thence along U.S. Highway 501 to the North Carolina-Virginia State line, thence along the North Carolina-Virginia State line to junction North Carolina Highway 46, thence along North Carolina Highway 46 to junction U.S. Highway 158, thence along U.S. Highway 158 to junction North Carolina Highway 305, thence along North Carolina Highway 305 to junction U.S. Highway 258, thence along U.S. Highway 258 to junction North Carolina Highway 308, thence along North Carolina Highway 308 to junction U.S. Highway 13, thence along U.S. Highway 13 to junction U.S. Highway 64, thence along U.S. Highway 64 to the Atlantic Ocean, thence along the Atlantic shore to the point of beginning including points on highways specified. The purpose of this filing is to eliminate the gateways of Martinsville and Lynchburg, Va.

No. MC–61825 (Sub-No. E90), filed May 13, 1974. Applicant: ROY STONE TRANSFER CORP., P.O. Box 385, Collinsville, Va. 24078. Applicant's representative: Joe Clyde Wilson (same as above). Authority sought to operate as a *common carrier*, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: *Furniture factory machinery, and materials* used in the manufacture of furniture, from points in Ohio bounded by a line beginning on the Ohio River near Portsmouth,

Ohio, thence along U.S. Highway 23 to junction U.S. Highway 52, thence along U.S. Highway 52 to junction Ohio Highway 139, thence along Ohio Highway 139 to junction Ohio Highway 124, thence along Ohio Highway 124 to junction Ohio Highway 346, thence along Ohio Highway 346 to junction U.S. Highway 50, thence along U.S. Highway 50 to junction U.S. Highway Alternate 50, thence along U.S. Highway Alternate 50 to junction U.S. Highway 33, thence along U.S. Highway 33 to junction U.S. Highway 40, thence along U.S. Highway 40 to junction Interstate Highway 77, thence along Interstate Highway 77 to the Ohio River, thence along the Ohio River to the point of beginning to points in North Carolina bounded by a line beginning on the Atlantic Ocean near Swansboro, N.C., thence along North Carolina Highway 24 to junction U.S. Highway 258, thence along U.S. Highway 258 to junction U.S. Highway 70, thence along U.S. Highway 70 to junction North Carolina Highway 581, thence along North Carolina Highway 581 to junction North Carolina Highway 39, thence along North Carolina Highway 39 to the North Carolina-Virginia State line, thence along the North Carolina-Virginia State line to junction North Carolina Highway 46, thence along North Carolina Highway 46 to junction U.S. Highway 301, thence along U.S. Highway 301 to junction U.S. Highway 158, thence along U.S. Highway 158 to junction North Carolina Highway 305, thence along North Carolina Highway 305 to junction U.S. Highway 13, thence along U.S. Highway 13 to junction U.S. Highway 64, thence along U.S. Highway 64 to the Atlantic Ocean, thence along the Atlantic Shore to point of beginning including points on highways specified. The purpose of this filing is to eliminate the gateways of Martinsville and Lynchburg, Va.

No. MC 61825 (Sub-No. E91), filed May 13, 1974. Applicant: ROY STONE TRANSFER CORP., P.O. Box 385, Collinsville, Va. 24078. Applicant's representative: Joe Clyde Wilson (same as above). Authority sought to operate as a *common carrier*, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: *Furniture factory machinery*, and *materials* used in the manufacture of furniture, from points in Ohio bounded by a line beginning at Lake Erie near Sandusky, Ohio, and extending along Ohio Highway 4 to junction Ohio Highway 98, thence along Ohio Highway 98 to junction U.S. Highway 23, thence along U.S. Highway 23 to junction U.S. Highway 40, thence along U.S. Highway 40 to junction Interstate Highway 77, thence along Interstate Highway 77 to junction Ohio Highway 8, thence along Ohio Highway 8 to Cleveland, Ohio, to Lake Erie, thence along the Lake Erie shore to point of beginning to points in North Carolina bounded by a line beginning on the Atlantic Ocean near Carolina Beach, N.C., thence along U.S. Highway 421 to junction U.S. Highway 701, thence along U.S. Highway 701 to junction North Carolina Highway 55, thence along North Carolina Highway 55 to junction North Carolina Highway 50, thence along North Carolina Highway 50 to junction U.S. Highway 15, thence along U.S. Highway 15 to the North Carolina-Virginia State line, thence along the North Carolina-Virginia State line to junction North Carolina Highway 39, thence along North Carolina Highway 39 to junction U.S. Highway 264, thence along U.S. Highway 264 to junction North Carolina Highway 58, thence along North Carolina Highway 58 to Pollocksville, N.C., thence along unnumbered highway to Riverdale, N.C., thence along U.S. Highway 70 to the Atlantic Ocean, thence along the Atlantic shore to points of beginning including points on highways specified. The purpose of this filing is to eliminate the gateways of Martinsville and Lynchburg, Va.

No. MC 73390 (Sub-No. E1), filed May 20, 1974. Applicant: H. A. HARTMAN & SON, INC., 537 N. Front St., Steelton, Pa. 17092. Applicant's representative: John W. Frame, P.O. Box 626, Camp Hill, Pa. 17011. Authority sought to operate as a *common carrier*, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: *Household goods*, as defined in Practices in Motor Common Carriers of Household Goods, 17 M.C.C. 467; (1) between points in New Jersey on and south of U.S. Highway 30, on the one hand, and, on the other, points in Pennsylvania (except points in Bucks, Delaware, Chester, Berks, Lancaster, Lebanon, Montgomery, and Philadelphia Counties), and points in Rhode Island, and that portion of Connecticut and Massachusetts on and east of Interstate Highway 91; (2) between points in that portion of New Jersey on and north of U.S. Highway 30 and east of U.S. Highway 202, on the one hand, and, on the other, points in Erie County, Pa., and that portion of Pennsylvania on and south of U.S. Highway 322, which are west of the Susquehanna River; (3) between points in Niagara, Erie, and Chautauqua Counties, N.Y., on the one hand, and, on the other, New York, N.Y., and points in Nassau and Suffolk Counties, N.Y., and points in Connecticut on and south of U.S. Highway 1; (4) between points in New York on and east of Interstate Highway 81, on the one hand, and, on the other, points in Delaware, points in Maryland on and east of Interstate Highway 95, and points in New Jersey on and south of U.S. Highway 30, and to points in Alabama, Georgia, Florida, South Carolina, North Carolina, Virginia, Kentucky, Tennessee, Illinois, Indiana, and West Virginia; (5) between points in Ohio, on the one hand, and, on the other, New York, N.Y., and points in Nassau and Suffolk Counties, N.Y., and points in Delaware; (6) between New York, N.Y., and points in Nassau and Suffolk Counties, N.Y., on the one hand, and, on the other, points in Pennsylvania on and south of U.S. Highway 322; (7) between points in Pennsylvania on and east of U.S. Highway 309, on the one hand, and, on the other, points in Pennsylvania on and south of U.S. Highway 322; and (8) between that southwestern portion of Pennsylvania bounded on the north by U.S. Highway 22, and on the east by the Susquehanna River, on the one hand, and, on the other, points in Connecticut, Massachusetts, and Rhode Island. The purpose of this filing is to eliminate the gateway of Philadelphia, Pa.

No. MC 83539 (Sub-No. E194), filed June 4, 1974. Applicant: C & H TRANSPORTATION CO., INC., P.O. Box 5976, Dallas, Tex. 75222. Applicant's representative: Wiley C. Willingham (same as above). Authority sought to operate as a *common carrier*, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: (1) *Machinery, equipment, materials and supplies* used in, or in connection with, the discovery, development, production, refining, manufacture, processing, storage, transmission, and distribution of natural gas and petroleum and their products and by-products, (2) *machinery, equipment, materials and supplies* used in, or in connection with construction, operation, repair, servicing, maintenance, and dismantling of pipelines, including the string and picking up thereof, and (3) *earth drilling machinery*, and equipment, and machinery, equipment, materials, supplies and pipe, incidental to, used in, or in connection with the transportation, installation, removal, operation, repair, servicing, maintenance, and dismantling of drilling machinery and equipment, the completion of holes or wells drilled, the production, storage, and transmission of commodities resulting from drilling operations at well or hole sites and the injection or removal of commodities into or from holes or wells, (A) between points in California, in and north of Monterey, Kings, Tulare, and Inyo Counties, on the one hand, and, on the other, points in Colorado in and north of Rio Blanco, Routt, Grand, Clear Creek, Park, Teller, El Paso, Lincoln, and Cheyenne Counties; (B) between points in California, in and north of San Francisco, Alameda, San Joaquin, Calaveras, Tuolumne, and Mono Counties, on the one hand, and, on the other points in Oklahoma; (C) between points in California, on the one hand, and on the other, points in that part of Utah in and east of U.S. Highway 191 from the Idaho-Utah State line to the junction of Interstate Highway 15 through Salt Lake City to the junction of Alternate U.S. Highway 50 to Provo, thence along U.S. Highway 189 to junction of U.S. Highway 40 to the Utah-Colorado State line; (D) between points in California in and north of Santa Cruz, Santa Clara, Merced, Madera, and Mono Counties, on the one hand, and, on the other, points in Texas in, north, and east of Dallam, Moore, Carson, Donley, Childress, Hardeman, Wilbarger, Baylor, Archer, Clay, Montague, Cooke, Denton, Tarrant, Johnson, Hill, McLennan, Falls, Robertson, Brazos, Grimes, Montgomery, Harris, and Galveston Counties; and (E) between points in California, in and north of Monterey, Kings, Tulare, and Inyo Counties, on the one hand, and, on the other, points in Alabama. The

purpose of this filing is to eliminate the gateway of points in Wyoming.

No. MC 83539 (Sub-No. E195), filed June 4, 1974. Applicant: C & H TRANSPORTATION CO., INC., P.O. Box 5976, Dallas, Tex. 75222. Applicant's representative: Wiley C. Willingham (Same as above). Authority sought to operate as a *common carrier*, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: (1) *Machinery, equipment, materials and supplies* used in, or in connection with, the discovery, development, production, refining, manufacture, processing, storage, transmission, and distribution of natural gas and petroleum and their products and by-products, (2) *machinery, equipment, materials and supplies* used in, or in connection with, construction, operation, repair, servicing, maintenance, and dismantling of pipelines, including the stringing and picking up thereof, and (3) *earth drilling machinery* and equipment, and machinery, equipment, materials, supplies, and pipe, incidental to, used in, or in connection with the transportation, installation, removal, operation, repair, servicing, maintenance, and dismantling of drilling machinery and equipment, the completion of holes or wells drilled, the production, storage, and transmission of commodities resulting from drilling operations at well or hole sites and the injection or removal of commodities into or from holes or wells. (A) between points in California in and north of Monetrey, Kings, Tulare, and Inyo Counties, on the one hand, and, on the other, points in Florida; (B) between points in California in and north of Monterey, Kings, Tulare, and Inyo Counties, Calif., on the one hand, and, on the other, points in Georgia; and (C) between points in California (except those in San Bernardino, Riverside, Imperial, and San Diego Counties), on the one hand, and, on the other, points in Illinois. The purpose of this filing is to eliminate the gateways of points in Wyoming and Oklahoma.

No. MC 83539 (Sub-No. E196), filed June 4, 1974. Applicant: C & H TRANSPORTATION CO., INC., P.O. Box 5976, Dallas, Tex. 75222. Applicant's representative: Wiley C. Willingham (Same as above). Authority sought to operate as a *common carrier*, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: (1) *Machinery, equipment, materials and supplies* used in, or in connection with, the discovery, development, production, refining, manufacture, processing, storage, transmission, and distribution of natural gas and petroleum and their products and by-products, (2) *machinery, equipment, materials, and supplies* used in, or in connection with, construction, operation, repair, servicing, maintenance, and dismantling of pipelines, including the stringing and picking up thereof, and (3) *earth drilling machinery* and equipment, and machinery, equipment, materials, supplies, and pipe, incidental to, used in, or in connection with the transportation, installation, removal, operation, repair, servicing, maintenance, and dismantling of drilling machinery and equipment, the completion of holes or wells drilled, the production, storage, and transmission of commodities resulting from drilling operations at well or hole sites and the injection or removal of commodities into or from holes or wells, between points in California (except San Bernardino, Riverside, San Diego, and Imperial Counties, on the one hand, and, on the other, points in Kansas (except those in or south of Greeley, Wichita, Scott, Lane, Ness, Rush, Parton, Ellsworth, Saline, Dickinson, Geary, Morris, Lyon, Coffey, Anderson, and Linn Counties). The purpose of this filing is to eliminate the gateways of points in Wyoming and Colorado.

No. MC 83539 (Sub-No. E197), filed June 4, 1974. Applicant: C & H TRANSPORTATION CO., INC., P.O. Box 5976, Dallas, Tex. 75222. Applicant's representative: Wiley C. Willingham (same as above). Authority sought to operate as a common carrier, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: (1) *Machinery, equipment, materials and supplies* used in, or in connection with, the discovery, development, production, refining, manufacture, processing, storage, transmission, and distribution of natural gas and petroleum and their products and by-products, (2) *machinery, equipment, materials, and supplies* used in, or in connection with, construction, operation, repair, servicing, maintenance, and dismantling of pipelines, including the stringing and picking up thereof, and (3) *earth drilling machinery* and equipment, and machinery, equipment, materials, supplies and pipe, incidental to, used in, or in connection with, the transportation, installation, removal, operation, repair, servicing, maintenance, and dismantling of drilling machinery, and equipment, the completion of holes or wells drilled, the production, storage, and transmission of commodities resulting from drilling operations at well or hole sites and the injection or removal of commodities into or from holes or wells, between Fresno, Calif., on the one hand, and, on the other, Bartlesville, Okla. The purpose of this filing is to eliminate the gateway of points in Wyoming.

No. MC 83539 (Sub-No. E198), filed June 4, 1974. Applicant: C & H TRANSPORTATION CO., INC., P.O. Box 5976, Dallas, Tex. 75222. Applicant's representative: Wiley C. Willingham (Same as above). Authority sought to operate as a *common carrier*, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: (1) *Machinery, equipment, materials, and supplies* used in, or in connection with, the discovery, development, production, refining, manufacture, processing, storage, transmission, and distribution of natural gas and petroleum and their products and by-products, (2) *machinery, equipment, materials, and supplies* used in, or in connection with construction, operation, repair, servicing, maintenance, and dismantling of pipelines, including the stringing and picking up thereof, and (3) *earth drilling machinery* and equipment, and machinery, equipment, materials, supplies, and pipe, incidental to, used in, or in connection with the transportation, installation, removal, operation, repair, servicing, maintenance, and dismantling of drilling machinery and equipment, the completion of holes or wells drilled, the production, storage, and transmission of commodities resulting from drilling operations at well or hole sites and the injection or removal of commodities into or from holes or wells, between Merced, Calif., on the one hand, and, on the other, Ada, Alva, Bartlesville, Boise City, Enid, Guymon, Hugo, McAlester, Muskogee, Oklahoma City, Ponca City, Seminole, Stillwater, Tulsa, and Woodward, Okla. The purpose of this filing is to eliminate the gateway of points in Wyoming.

No. MC 83539 (Sub-No. E199), filed June 4, 1974. Applicant: C & H TRANSPORTATION CO., INC., P.O. Box 5976, Dallas, Tex. 75222. Applicant's representative: Wiley C. Willingham (Same as above). Authority sought to operate as a *common carrier*, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: (1) *Machinery, equipment, materials and supplies* used in, or in connection with, the discovery, development, production, refining, manufacture, processing, storage, transmission, and distribution of natural gas and petroleum and their products and by-products, (2) *machinery, equipment, materials, and supplies* used in, or in connection with, construction, operation, repair, servicing, maintenance, and dismantling of pipelines, including the stringing and picking up thereof, and (3) *earth drilling machinery* and equipment, and machinery, equipment, materials, supplies and pipe, incidental to, used in, or in connection with, the transportation, installation, removal, operation, repair, servicing maintenance, and dismantling of drilling machinery and equipment, the completion of holes or wells drilled, the production, storage, and transmission of commodities resulting from drilling operations at well or hole sites and the injection or removal of commodities into or from holes or wells, San Jose, Calif., on the one hand, and, on the other, Ada, Altus, Alva, Ardmore, Bartlesville, Boise City, Chickasira, Clinton, Enid, Guymon, Hugo, Lawton, McAlester, Muskogee, Oklahoma City, Ponca City, Seminole, Stillwater, Tulsa, and Woodward, Okla. The purpose of this filing is to eliminate the gateway of points in Wyoming.

No. MC 83539 (Sub-No. E200), filed June 4, 1974. Applicant: C & H TRANSPORTATION CO., INC., P.O. Box 5976, Dallas, Tex. 75222. Applicant's representative: Wiley C. Willingham (Same as above). Authority sought to operate as a *common carrier*, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: (1) *Machinery, equipment, materials and supplies* used in, or in connection with, the discovery, development, production, refining, manufacture, processing, storage, transmission, and distribution of natural gas and petroleum and their products and by-products, (2) *machinery, equipment, materials, and supplies* used in,

or in connection with, construction, operation, repair, servicing, maintenance, and dismantling of pipelines, including the stringing and picking up thereof, and (3) *earth drilling machinery* and equipment, and machinery, equipment, materials, supplies and pipe, incidental to, used in, or in connection with, the transportation, installation, removal, operation, repair, servicing maintenance, and dismantling of drilling machinery and equipment, the completion of holes or wells drilled, the production, storage, and transmission of commodities resulting from drilling operations at well or hole sites and the injection or removal of commodities into or from holes or wells, between San Luis Obispo, and Santa Maria, Calif., on the one hand, and, on the other, points in Bartlesville and Ponca City, Okla. The purpose of this filing is to eliminate the gateway of points in Wyoming.

No. MC 83539 (Sub-No. E201), filed June 4, 1974. Applicant: C & H TRANSPORTATION CO., INC., P.O. Box 5976, Dallas, Tex. 75222. Applicant's representative: Wiley C. Willingham (same as above). Authority sought to operate as a *common carrier*, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: (1) *Machinery, equipment, materials and supplies* used in, or in connection with, the discovery, development, production, refining, manufacture, processing, storage, transmission and distribution of natural gas and petroleum and their products and by-products, (2) *machinery, equipment, materials* and supplies used in, or in connection with construction, operation, repair, servicing, maintenance and dismantling of pipelines, including the stringing and picking up thereof, and (3) *earth drilling machinery* and equipment, and machinery, equipment, materials, supplies and pipe, incidental to, used in, or in connection with the transportation, installation, removal, operation, repair, servicing, maintenance, and dismantling of drilling machinery and equipment, the completion of holes or wells drilled, the production, storage and transmission of commodities resulting from drilling operations at well or hole sites and the injection or removal of commodities into or from holes or wells, between Tulare, Calif., on the one hand, and, on the other, Bartlesville, Okla. The purpose of this filing is to eliminate the gateway of points in Wyoming.

No. MC 83539 (Sub-No. E202), filed June 4, 1974. Applicant: C & H TRANSPORTATION CO., INC., P.O. Box 5976, Dallas, Tex. 75222. Applicant's representative: Wiley C. Willingham (same as above). Authority sought to operate as a *common carrier*, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: (1) *Machinery, equipment, materials and supplies* used in, or in connection with, the discovery, development, production, refining, manufacture, processing, storage, transmission and distribution of natural gas and petroleum and their products and by-products, (2) *machinery, equipment, materials and supplies* used in, or in connection with construction, operation, repair, servicing, maintenance and dismantling of pipelines, including the stringing and picking up thereof, and (3) *earth drilling machinery* and equipment, and machinery, equipment, materials, supplies and pipe, incidental to, used in, or in connection with the transportation, installation, removal, operation, repair, servicing, maintenance, and dismantling of drilling machinery and equipment, the completion of holes or wells drilled, the production, storage and transmission of commodities resulting from drilling operations at well or hole sites and the injection or removal of commodities into or from holes or wells, between Alturas, Calif., on the one hand, and, on the other, Alamogordo, Albuquerque, Carlsbad, Clovis, Farmington, Hobbs, Las Vegas, Los Alamos, Raton, Roswell, Santa Fe, and Tucumcari, N. Mex. The purpose of this filing is to eliminate the gateway of points in Wyoming.

No. MC 83539 (Sub-No. E203), filed June 4, 1974. Applicant: C&H TRANSPORTATION CO., INC., P.O. Box 5976, Dallas, Tex. 75222. Applicant's representative: Wiley C. Willingham (same as above). Authority sought to operate as a *common carrier*, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: (1) *Machinery, equipment, materials and supplies* used in, or in connection with, the discovery, development, production, refining, manufacture, processing, storage, transmission and distribution of natural gas and petroleum and their products and by-products, (2) *machinery, equipment, materials and supplies* used in, or in connection with construction, operation, repair, servicing, maintenance and dismantling of pipelines, including the stringing and picking up thereof, and (3) *earth drilling machinery* and equipment, and machinery, equipment, materials, supplies and pipe, incidental to, used in, or in connection with the transportation, installation, removal, operation, repair, servicing, maintenance, and dismantling of drilling machinery and equipment, the completion of holes or wells drilled, the production, storage and transmission of commodities resulting from drilling operations at well or hole sites and the injection or removal of commodities into or from holes or wells, between Bishop, Fairfield, Lodi, Manteca, Oakland, San Francisco, San Jose, San Mateo, Santa Cruz, Stockton, Tracy, and Vallejo, on the one hand, and, on the other, Raton, N. Mex. The purpose of this filing is to eliminate the gateway of points in Wyoming.

No. MC 83539 (Sub-No. E204), filed June 4, 1974. Applicant: C & H TRANSPORTATION CO. INC., P.O. Box 5976, Dallas, Tex. 75222. Applicant's representative: Wiley C. Willingham (same as above). Authority sought to operate as a *common carrier*, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: (1) *Machinery, equipment, materials and supplies* used in, or in connection with, the discovery, development, production, refining, manufacture, processing, storage, transmission and distribution of natural gas and petroleum and their products and by-products, (2) *machinery, equipment, materials and supplies* used in, or in connection with construction, operation, repair, servicing, maintenance and dismantling of pipelines, including the stringing and picking up thereof, and (3) *earth drilling machinery* and equipment, and machinery, equipment, materials, supplies and pipe, incidental to, used in, or in connection with the transportation, installation, removal, operation, repair, servicing, maintenance and dismantling of drilling machinery and equipment, the completion of holes or wells drilled, the production, storage and transmission of commodities resulting from drilling operations at well or hole sites and the injection or removal of commodities into or from holes or wells, between Chico, Calif., on the one hand, and, on the other, Clovis, Hobbs, Las Vegas, Los Alamos, Raton, Roswell, Santa Fe, and Tucumcari, N. Mex. The purpose of this filing is to eliminate the gateway of points in Wyoming.

No. MC 83539 (Sub-No. E205), filed June 4, 1974. Applicant: C & H TRANSPORTATION CO., INC., P.O. Box 5976, Dallas, Tex. 75222. Applicant's representative: Wiley C. Willingham (same as above). Authority sought to operate as a *common carrier*, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: (1) *Machinery, equipment, materials and supplies* used in, or in connection with, the discovery, development, production, refining, manufacture, processing, storage, transmission and distribution of natural gas and petroleum and their products and by-products, (2) *machinery, equipment, materials and supplies* used in, or in connection with construction, operation, repair, servicing, maintenance and dismantling of pipelines, including the stringing and picking up thereof, and (3) *earth drilling machinery* and equipment, and machinery, equipment, materials, supplies and pipe, incidental to, used in, or in connection with the transportation, installation, removal, operation, repair, servicing, maintenance, and dismantling of drilling machinery and equipment, the completion of holes or wells drilled, the production, storage and transmission of commodities resulting from drilling operations at well or hole sites and the injection or removal of commodities into or from holes or wells, between Eureka, Calif., on the one hand, and, on the other, points in Albuquerque, Carlsbad, Clovis, Farmington, Hobbs, Las Vegas, Los Alamos, Raton, Roswell, Santa Fe, and Tucumcari, N. Mex. The purpose of this filing is to eliminate the gateways of points in Wyoming.

No. MC 83539 (Sub-No. E206), filed June 4, 1974. Applicant: C & H TRANSPORTATION CO., INC., P.O. Box 5976, Dallas, Tex. 75222. Applicant's representative: Wiley C. Willingham (same

as above). Authority sought to operate as a *common carrier*, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: (1) *Machinery, equipment, materials and supplies* used in, or in connection with, the discovery, development, production, refining, manufacture, processing, storage, transmission and distribution of natural gas and petroleum and their products and by-products, (2) *machinery, equipment, materials and supplies* used in, or in connection with construction, operation, repair, servicing, maintenance and dismantling of pipelines, including the stringing and picking up thereof, and (3) *earth drilling machinery* and equipment, and machinery, equipment, materials, supplies and pipe, incidental to, used in, or in connection with the transportation, installation, removal, operation, repair, servicing, maintenance, and dismantling of drilling machinery and equipment, the completion of holes or wells drilled, the production, storage and transmission of commodities resulting from drilling operations at well or hole sites and the injection or removal of commodities into or from holes or wells, between Redding, Calif., on the one hand, and, on the other, Carlsbad, Clovis, Hobbs, Las Vegas, Los Alamos, Raton, Roswell, Santa Fe, and Tucumcari, N. Mex. The purpose of this filing is to eliminate the gateway of points in Wyoming.

No. MC 83539 (Sub-No. E207), filed June 4, 1974. Applicant: C & H TRANSPORTATION CO., INC., P.O. Box 5976, Dallas, Tex. 75222. Applicant's representative: Wiley C. Willingham (same as above). Authority sought to operate as a common carrier, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: (1) *Machinery, equipment, materials and supplies* used in, or in connection with, the discovery, development, production, refining, manufacture, processing, storage, transmission and distribution of natural gas and petroleum and their products and by-products, (2) *machinery, equipment, materials, and supplies* used in, or in connection with construction, operation, repair, servicing, maintenance and dismantling of pipelines, including the stringing and picking up thereof and (3) *earth drilling machinery* and equipment, and machinery, equipment, materials, supplies and pipe, incidental to, used in, or in connection with the transportation installation removal, operation, repair, servicing, maintenance, and dismantling of drilling machinery and equipment, the completion of holes or wells drilled, the production, storage and transmission of commodities resulting from drilling operations at well or hole sites and the injection or removal of commodities into or from holes or wells, between Sacramento, Calif., on the one hand, and, on the other, Las Vegas, Los Alamos, and Raton, N. Mex. The purpose of this filing is to eliminate the gateway of points in Wyoming.

No. MC 83539 (Sub-No. E208), filed June 4, 1974. Applicant: C & H TRANSPORTATION CO., INC., P.O. Box 5976, Dallas, Tex. 75222. Applicant's representative: Wiley C. Willingham (same as above). Authority sought to operate as a *common carrier*, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: (1) *Machinery, equipment, materials and supplies* used in, or in connection with, the discovery, development, production, refining, manufacture, processing, storage, transmission and distribution of natural gas and petroleum and their products and by-products, (2) *machinery, equipment, materials and supplies* used in, or in connection with construction, operation, repair, servicing, maintenance and dismantling of pipelines, including the stringing and picking up thereof, and (3) *earth drilling machinery* and equipment, and machinery, equipment, materials, supplies and pipe, incidental to, used in, or in connection with the transportation, installation, removal, operation, repair, servicing, maintenance, and dismantling of drilling machinery and equipment, the completion of holes or wells drilled, the production, storage and transmission of commodities resulting from drilling operations at well or hole sites and the injection or removal of commodities into or from holes or wells, between Santa Rose, Calif., on the one hand, and, on the other, Las Vegas and Raton, N. Mex. The purpose of this filing is to eliminate the gateway of points in Wyoming.

No. MC 83539 (Sub-No. E209), filed June 4, 1974. Applicant: C&H TRANSPORTATION CO., INC., P.O. Box 5976, Dallas, Tex. 75222. Applicant's representative: Wiley C. Willingham (same as above). Authority sought to operate as a *common carrier*, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: (1) *Machinery, equipment, materials and supplies* used in, or in connection with, the discovery, development, production, refining, manufacture, processing, storage, transmission and distribution of natural gas and petroleum and their products and by-products, (2) *machinery, equipment, materials and supplies* used in, or in connection with construction, operation, repair, servicing, maintenance and dismantling of pipelines, including the stringing and picking up thereof, and (3) *earth drilling machinery* and equipment, and machinery, equipment, materials, supplies and pipe, incidental to, used in, or in connection with the transportation, installation, removal, operation, repair, servicing, maintenance, and dismantling of drilling machinery and equipment, the completion of holes or wells drilled, the production, storage and transmission of commodities resulting from drilling operations at well or hole sites and the injection or removal of commodities into or from holes or wells, between Weed, Calif., on the one hand, and, on the other, Carlsbad, Clovis, Farmington, Hobbs, Las Vegas, Los Alamos, Raton, Roswell, Santa Fe, and Tucumcari, N. Mex. The purpose of this fling is to eliminate the gateway of points in Wyoming.

No. MC 83539 (Sub-No. E210), filed June 4, 1974. Applicant: C&H TRANSPORTATION CO., INC., P.O. Box 5976, Dallas, Tex. 75222. Applicant's representative: Wiley C. Willingham (same as above). Authority sought to operate as a *common carrier*, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: (1) *Machinery, equipment, materials and supplies* used in, or in connection with, the discovery, development, production, refining, manufacture, processing, storage, transmission and distribution of natural gas and petroleum and their products and by-products, (2) *machinery, equipment, materials and supplies* used in, or in connection with construction, operation, repair, servicing, maintenance and dismantling of pipelines, including the stringing and picking up thereof, and (3) *earth drilling machinery* and equipment, and machinery, equipment, materials, supplies and pipe, incidental to, used in, or in connection with the transportation, installation, removal, operation, repair, servicing, maintenance, and dismantling of drilling machinery and equipment, the completion of holes or wells drilled, the production, storage and transmission of commodities resulting from drilling operations at well or hole sites and the injection or removal of commodities into or from holes or wells, between Yuba City, Calif., on the one hand, and, on the other, Clovis, Las Vegas, Los Alamos, Raton, Santa Fe, and Tucumcari, N. Mex. The purpose of this filing is to eliminate the gateway of points in Wyoming.

No. MC 102616 (Sub E22), filed June 3, 1974. Applicant: COASTAL TANK LINES, INC., 215 East Waterloo Rd., Akron, Ohio 44319. Applicant's representative: Fred H. Daly (same as above). Authority sought to operate as a *common carrier*, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: *Coal tar products*, in bulk, in tank vehicles, from points in New Castle County, Del., to points in Illinois, Indiana, Michigan, and Wisconsin. The purpose of this filing is to eliminate the gateway of points in Cambria County, Pa., within the Altoona commercial zone, and Youngstown, Ohio, or points within 5 miles thereof.

No. MC 102616 (Sub E256), filed June 3, 1974. Applicant: COASTAL TANK LINES, INC., 215 East Waterloo Rd., Akron, Ohio 44319. Applicant's representative: Fred H. Daly (same as above). Authority sought to operate as a *common carrier*, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: *Liquid chemicals*, in bulk, in tank vehicles, from Akron, Cleveland, Columbus, Mansfield, Springfield, and Toledo, Ohio, to points in Nebraska, Oklahoma, Texas (except Harris County), and points in Colorado, New Mexico, North Dakota, South Dakota, and Wyoming which are on and east of U.S. Highway 85, restricted against transportation of resins, paint and paint materials to points in the Dallas, Tex., commercial zone. The purpose of this filing is to eliminate the gateway of Kalamazoo, Mich., and Marshall, Ill., or points within 5 miles thereof.

No. MC 111823 (Sub E13), filed June 4, 1974. Applicant: SHERWOOD VAN

LINES, INC., 4322 Milling Road, San Antonio, Tex. 78219. Applicant's representative: Robert J. Gallagher, 1776 Broadway, New York, N.Y. 10019. Authority sought to operate as a *common carrier*, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: *Household goods*, as defined by the Commission, between Offutt Air Force Base, Omaha, Nebraska, on the one hand, and, on the other, Craig Air Force Base, Selma, Ala., Fort McClellan, Anniston, Ala., Fort Rucker, Ozark, Ala., Gunter Air Force Base, Montgomery, Ala., Maxwell Air Force Base, Montgomery, Ala., Redstone Arsenal, Huntsville, Ala., Blytheville Air Force Base, Blytheville, Ark., Naval Submarine Base, New London, Groton, Conn., Pentagon, Arlington Hall Station, Henderson Hall, and Navy Security Station, District of Columbia, Andrews Air Force Base, Camp Springs, Md., Bolling Air Force Base, District of Columbia, Fort Myer, Arlington, Va., Fort McNair, District of Columbia, Cameron Station, Alexandria, Va., Walter Reed Army Medical Center, District of Columbia, National Naval Medical Center, Bethesda, Md., Naval Station, District of Columbia, Eglin Air Force Base, Valparaiso, Fla., Homestead Air Force Base, Homestead, Fla., McDill Air Force Base, Tampa, Fla., McCoy Air Force Base, Orlando, Fla., Naval Air Station, Cecil Field, Jacksonville, Fla., Naval Air Station, Jacksonville, Fla., Naval Air Station, Pensacola, Fla., Naval Station, Mayport, Fla., Naval Air Station, Whiting Field, Milton, Fla., Naval Station, Key West, Fla., Naval Training Center, Orlando, Fla.

Patrick Air Force Base, Cocoa Beach, Fla., Tyndall Air Force Base, Panama City, Fla., Atlanta Army Depot, Forest Park, Ga., Fort Benning, Columbus, Ga., Fort Gordon, Augusta, Ga., Fort McPherson, Atlanta, Ga., Fort Stewart, Hinesville, Ga., Hunter Army Airfield, Savannah, Ga., Marine Corps Supply Center, Albany, Ga., Dobbins Air Force Base, Marietta, Ga., Moody Air Force Base, Valdosta, Ga., Naval Air Station, Albany, Ga., Naval Air Station, Glynco, Ga., Robins Air Force Base, Warner Robins, Ga., Chanute Air Force Base, Rantoul, Ill., Fort Sheridan, Highland Park, Ill., Joliet Army Ammunition Depot, Joliet, Ill., Savanna Army Depot, Savanna, Ill., Naval Air Station, Glenview, Ill., Naval Training Center, Great Lakes, Ill., Scott Air Force Base, Belleville, Ill., Grissom Air Force Base, Peru, Ind., Fort Benjamine Harrison, Indianapolis, Ind., Naval Ammunition Depot, Crane, Ind., Fort Campbell, Hopkinsville, Ky., Fort Knox, Fort Knox, Ky., England Air Force Base, Alexandria, La., Naval Air Station, New Orleans, La., Aberdeen Proving Ground, Aberdeen, Md., Edgewood Arsenal, Edgewood Arsenal, Md., Fort Detrick, Frederick, Md., Fort Holabird, Baltimore, Md., Fort George Meade, Laurel, Md., Fort Ritchie, Cascade, Md., Naval Air Station, Patuxent River, Md., Naval Academy, Annapolis, Md., Naval Training Center, Bainbridge, Md., Fort Devens, Ayer, Massachusetts, Naval Air Station, South Weymouth, Massachusetts, L. G. Hanscom Field, Bedford, Massachusetts, Otis Air Force Base, Falmouth (Cape Cod), Mass., Westover Air Force Base, Springfield, Mass., Kincheloe Air Force Base, Sault Ste Marie, Mich., K. I. Sawyer Air Force Base, Gwinn, Mich., Selfridge Air National Guard Base, Mount Clemens, Mich., Wurtsmith Air Force Base, Oscoda, Mich., Columbus Air Force Base, Columbus, Miss., Keesler Air Force Base, Biloxi, Miss., Naval Air Station, Meridian, Miss., Naval Construction Battalion, Gulfport, Miss., Pease Air Force Base, Potsmouth, N.H., Fort Dix, Wrightstown, N.J., Fort Monmouth, Oceanport, N.J., McGuire Air Force Base, Wrightstown, N.J., Naval Air Station, Lakehurst, N.J., Camp Drum, Watertown, N.Y., Fort Hamilton, Brooklyn, N.Y., Fort Wadsworth, Staten Island, N.Y.

U.S. Coast Guard Base, Governor's Island (New York City), N.Y., Griffis Air Force Base, Rome, N.Y., Hancock Field, Syracuse, N.Y., Plattsburg Air Force Base, Plattsburg, N.Y., Naval Hospital, St. Albans, N.Y., Seneca Army Depot, Romulus, N.Y., Stewart Field, Newburgh, N.Y., U.S. Military Academy, West Point, N.Y., Lockbourne Air Force Base, Columbus, Ohio, Wright-Patterson Air Force Base, Dayton, Ohio, Army War College, Carlisle Barracks, Pa., Letterkenny Army Depot, Chambersburg, Pa., Naval Base, Philadelphia, Pa., New Cumberland Army Depot, New Cumberland, Pa., Tobyhanna Army Depot, Tobyhanna, Pa., Defense Activities, Mechanicsburg, Pa., Valley Forge General Hospital, Phoenixville, Pa., Charleston Air Force Base, Charleston, S.C., Fort Jackson, Columbia, S.C., Marine Corps Air Station, Beaufort, S.C., Marine Corps Recruit Depot, Parris Island, S.C., Myrtle Beach Air Force Base, Myrtle Beach, S.C., Naval Base, Charleston, S.C., Polaris Missile Facility, Charleston, S.C., Shaw Air Force Base, Sumter, S.C., Arnold Air Force Station, Tullahoma, Tenn., Naval Air Station Memphis, Millington, Tenn., Fort Belvoir, Alexandria, Va., Fort Eustis, Newport News, Va., Fort Lee, Petersburg, Va., Fort Monroe, Hampton, Va., Fort Story, Virginia Beach, Va., Langley Air Force Base, Hampton, Va., Marine Corps School, Quantico, Va., Naval Air Station, Oceana (Virginia Beach), Va., Naval Amphibious Base, Little Creek (Norfolk), Va., Naval Shipyard Norfolk, Portsmouth, Va., Naval Station, Norfolk, Va., Naval Weapons Laboratory, Dahlgren, Va., Naval Weapons Station, Yorktown, Va., Defense General Supply Center, Richmond, Va., and Vint Hill Farms Station, Warrenton, Va. The purpose of this filing is to eliminate the gateway of St. Louis, Mo., on that part of Illinois and Indiana on and north of U.S. Highway 36.

No. MC 111823 (Sub-No. E80), filed June 4, 1974. Applicant: SHERWOOD VAN LINES, INC., 4322 Milling Road, San Antonio, Tex. 78219. Applicant's representative: Robert J. Gallagher, 1776 Broadway, New York, N.Y. 10019. Authority sought to operate as a *common carrier*, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: *household goods*, as defined by the Commission, between Fort Jackson, Columbia, S.C., on the one hand, and, on the other, Ent Air Force Base & Peterson Field, Colorado Springs, Colorado, Fitzsimons General Hospital, Denver, Colorado, Fort Carson, Colorado Springs, Colorado, Lowry Air Force Base, Denver, Colorado, U.S. Air Force Academy, Colorado Springs, Colorado, Chanute Air Force Base, Rantoul, Illinois, Fort Sheridan, Highland Park, Illinois, Joliet Army Ammunition Depot, Joliet, Illinois, Savanna Army Depot, Savanna, Illinois, Naval Air Station, Glenview, Illinois, Naval Training Center, Great Lakes, Illinois, Scott Air Force Base, Belleville, Illinois, Grissom Air Force Base, Peru, Indiana, Fort Benjamin Harrison, Indianapolis, Indiana, Naval Ammunition Depot, Crane, Indiana, Forbes Air Force Base, Topeka, Kansas, Fort Leavenworth, Leavenworth, Kansas, Fort Riley, Junction City, Kansas, McConnell Air Force Base, Wichita, Kansas, Fort Campbell, Hopkinsville, Kentucky, Fort Knox, Fort Knox, Kentucky, Kincheloe Air Force Base, Sault Ste. Marie, Michigan.

K. I. Sawyer Air Force Base, Gwinn, Michigan, Selfridge Air National Guard Base, Mount Clemens, Michigan, Wurtsmith Air Force Base, Oscoda, Michigan, Duluth International Airport, Duluth, Minnesota, Fort Leonard Wood, Waynesville, Missouri, Richards-Gebaur Air Force Base, Kansas City, Missouri, Whiteman Air Force Base, Knob Noster, Missouri, Offutt Air Force Base, Omaha, Nebraska, Grand Forks Air Force Base, Emerado, North Dakota, Minot Air Force Base, Minot, North Dakota, Lockbourne Air Force Base, Columbus, Ohio, Wright-Patterson Air Force Base, Dayton, Ohio, Altus Air Force Base, Altus, Oklahoma, Fort Sill, Lawton, Oklahoma, Tinker Air Force Base, Oklahoma City, Oklahoma, Vance Air Force Base, Enid, Oklahoma, Ellsworth Air Force Base, Rapid City, South Dakota, Dyess Air Force Base, Abilene, Texas, Fort Bliss, El Paso, Texas, Goodfellow Air Force Base, San Angelo, Texas, Laughlin Air Force Base, Del Rio, Texas, Reese Air Force Base, Lubbock, Texas, Sheppart Air Force Base, Wichita Falls, Texas, Webb Air Force Base, Big Spring, Texas, and Camp McCoy, Sparta, Wisconsin. The purpose of this filing is to eliminate the gateway of St. Louis, Mo., or Louisville, Ky., or that part of Ohio, Indiana, and Illinois on and north of a line beginning at the Pennsylvania-Ohio State line, and extending along U.S. Highway 422 to junction U.S. Highway 62, thence along U.S. Highway 62 to junction U.S. Highway 40, thence along U.S. Highway 40 to Springfield, Ohio, thence along Ohio Highway 440 to junction U.S. Highway 40, thence along U.S. Highway 40 to junction U.S. Highway 36, thence along U.S. Highway 36 to the Illinois-Missouri State line.

No. MC 111823 (Sub-No. E92), filed June 4, 1974. Applicant: SHERWOOD VAN LINES, INC., 4322 Milling Road, San Antonio, Tex. 78219. Applicant's representative: Robert J. Gallagher, 1776

Broadway, New York, N.Y. 10019. Authority sought to operate as a *common carrier*, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: *household goods*, as defined by the Commission, between Robins Air Force Base, Warner Robins, Ga., on the one hand, and, on the other, Ent Air Force & Peterson Field, Colorado Springs, Colorado, Fitzsimons General Hospital, Denver, Colorado, Fort Carson, Colorado Springs, Colorado, Lowry Air Force Base, Denver, Colorado, U.S. Air Force Academy, Colorado Springs, Colorado, Chanute Air Force Base, Rantoul, Illinois, Fort Sheridan, Highland Park, Illinois, Joliet Army Ammunition Depot, Joliet, Illinois, Savanna Army Depot, Savanna, Illinois, Naval Air Station, Glenview, Illinois, Naval Training Center, Great Lakes, Illinois, Scott Air Force Base, Belleville, Illinois, Grissom Air Force Base, Peru, Indiana, Fort Benjamin Harrison, Indianapolis, Indiana, Naval Ammunition Depot, Crane, Indiana, Forbes Air Force Base, Topeka, Kansas, Fort Leavenworth, Leavenworth, Kansas, Fort Riley, Junction City, Kansas, McConnell Air Force Base, Wichita, Kansas, Fort Knox, Fort Knox, Kentucky, Kincheloe Air Force Base, Sault St Marie, Michigan, K. I. Sawyer Air Force Base, Gwinn, Michigan, Selfridge Air National Guard Base, Mount Clemens, Michigan.

Wurtsmith Air Force Base, Oscoda, Michigan, Duluth International Airport, Duluth, Minnesota, Fort Leonard Wood, Waynesville, Missouri, Richards-Gebaur Air Force Base, Kansas City, Missouri, Whiteman Air Force Base, Knob Noster, Missouri, Offutt, Air Force Base, Omaha, Nebraska, Camp Drum, Watertown, New York, Griffiss Air Force Base, Rome, New York, Hancock Field, Syracuse, New York, Plattsburg Air Force Base, Plattsburg, New York, Seneca Army Depot, Romulus, New York, Grand Forks Air Force Base, Emerado, North Dakota, Minot Air Force Base, Minot, North Dakota, Lockbourne Air Force Base, Columbus, Ohio, Wright-Patterson Air Force Base, Dayton, Ohio, Altus Air Force Base, Altus, Oklahoma, Fort Sill, Lawton, Oklahoma, Tinker Air Force Base, Oklahoma City, Oklahoma, Vance Air Force Base, Enid, Oklahoma, Ellsworth Air Force Base, Rapid City, South Dakota, and Camp McCoy, Sparta, Wisconsin. The purpose of this filing is to eliminate the gateway of St. Louis, Mo., or Louisville, Ky. or that part of Ohio, Indiana, and Illinois on and north of a line beginning at the Pennsylvania-Ohio State line, and extending along U.S. Highway 422 to junction U.S. Highway 62, thence along U.S. Highway 62 to junction U.S. Highway 40, thence along U.S. Highway 40 to Springfield, Ohio, thence along Ohio Highway 440 to junction U.S. Highway 40, thence along U.S. Highway 40 to junction U.S. Highway 36, thence along U.S. Highway 36 to the Illinois-Missouri State line.

No. MC 111823 (Sub-No. E102), filed June 4, 1974. Applicant: SHERWOOD VAN LINES, INC., 4322 Milling Road, San Antonio, Tex. 78219. Applicant's representative: Robert J. Gallagher, 1776 Broadway, New York, N.Y. 10019. Authority sought to operate as a *common carrier*, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: *Household goods*, as defined by the Commission, between the Seneca Army Depot, Romulus, N.Y., on the one hand, and, on the other, Craig Air Force Base, Selma, Ala., Fort McClellan, Anniston, Ala., Fort Rucker, Ozark Ala., Gunter Air Force Base, Montgomery, Ala., Redstone Arsenal, Huntsville, Ala., Blytheville Air Force Base, Blytheville, Ark., Little Rock Air Force Base, Jacksonville, Ark., Ent Air Force Base & Peterson Field, Colorado Springs, Colo., Fitzsimons General Hospital, Denver, Colo., Fort Carson, Colorado Springs, Colo., Lowry Air Force Base, Denver, Colo., U.S. Air Force Academy, Colorado Springs, Colo., Eglin Air Force Base, Valparaiso, Fla., Homestead Air Force Base, Homestead, Fla., McDill Air Force Base, Tampa, Fla., McCoy Air Force Base, Orlando, Fla., Naval Air Station Cecil Field, Jacksonville, Fla., Naval Air Station, Jacksonville, Fla., Naval Air Station, Pensacola, Fla., Naval Station, Mayport, Fla., Naval Air Station Whiting Field, Milton, Fla., Naval Station, Key West, Fla., Naval Training Center, Orlando, Fla., Patrick Air Force Base, Cocoa Beach, Fla., Tyndall Air Force Base, Panama City, Fla., Atlanta Army Depot, Forest Park, Ga., Fort Benning, Columbus, Ga., Fort McPherson, Atlanta, Ga., Marine Corps Supply Center, Albany, Ga., Dobbins Air Force Base, Marietta, Ga., Moody Air Force Base, Valdosta, Ga., Naval Air Station, Albany, Ga., Naval Air Station, Glynco, Ga., Robins Air Force Base, Warner Robins, Ga., Chanute Air Force Base, Rantoul, Ill.

Fort Sheridan, Highland Park, Ill., Joliet Army Ammunition Depot, Joliet, Ill., Savanna Army Depot, Savanna, Ill., Naval Air Station, Glenview, Ill., Naval Training Center, Great Lakes, Ill., Scott Air Force Base, Belleville, Ill., Grissom Air Force Base, Peru, Ind., Fort Benjamin Harrison, Indianapolis, Ind., Naval Ammunition Depot, Crane, Ind., Forbes Air Force Base, Topeka, Kans., Fort Leavenworth, Leavenworth, Kans., Fort Riley, Junction City, Kans., McConnell Air Force Base, Wichita, Kans., Fort Campbell, Hopkinsville, Ky., Fort Knox, Fort Knox, Ky., Barksdale Air Force Base, Shreveport, La., England Air Force Base, Alexandria, La., Fort Polk, Leesville, La., Naval Air Station, New Orleans, La., Kincheloe Air Force Base, Sault Ste. Marie, Mich., K.I. Sawyer Air Force Base, Gwinn, Mich., Selfridge Air National Guard Base, Mount Clemens, Mich., Wurtsmith Air Force Base, Oscoda, Mich., Duluth International Airport, Duluth, Minn., Columbus Air Force Base, Columbus, Miss., Keesler Air Force Base, Biloxi, Miss., Naval Air Station, Meridian, Miss., Naval Construction Battalion, Gulfport, Miss., Fort Leonard Wood, Waynesville, Mo., Richards-Gebaur Air Force Base, Kansas City, Mo., Whiteman Air Force Base, Knob Noster, Mo., Offutt Air Force Base, Omaha, Nebr., Grand Forks Air Force Base, Emerado, N. Dak., Minot Air Force Base, Minot, N. Dak., Lockbourne Air Force Base, Columbus, Ohio, Wright-Patterson Air Force Base, Dayton, Ohio, Altus Air Force Base, Altus, Okla., Fort Sill, Lawton, Okla., Tinker Air Force Base, Oklahoma City, Okla., Vance Air Force Base, Enid, Okla., Ellsworth Air Force Base, Rapid City, S. Dak., Arnold Air Force Station, Tullahoma, Tenn., Naval Air Station Memphis, Millington, Tenn., Bergstrom Air Force Base, Austin, Tex., Brooks Air Force Base, San Antonio, Tex., Carswell Air Force Base, Fort Worth, Tex., Dyess Air Force Base, Abilene, Tex., Ellington Air Force Base, Houston, Tex., Naval Air Station, Dallas, Tex., Fort Bliss, El Paso, Tex., Fort Hood, Killeen, Tex., Fort Sam Houston, San Antonio, Tex., Fort Wolters, Mineral Wells, Tex., Goodfellow Air Force Base, San Angelo, Tex., Kelly Air Force Base, San Antonio, Tex., Lackland Air Force Base, San Antonio, Tex., Laredo Air Force Base, Laredo, Tex., Laughlin Air Force Base, Del Rio, Tex.

Naval Air Station, Corpus Christi, Tex., Naval Air Station Chase Field, Beeville, Tex., Naval Air Station, Kingsville, Tex., Randolph Air Force Base, Universal City, Tex., Reese Air Force Base, Lubbock, Tex., Sheppard Air Force Base, Wichita Falls, Tex., Webb Air Force Base, Big Spring, Tex., and Camp McCoy, Sparta, Wis. The purpose of this filing is to eliminate the gateway of St. Louis, Mo., or Louisville, Ky., or that part of Ohio, Indiana, and Illinois on and north of a line beginning at the Pennsylvania-Ohio State line, and extending along U.S. Highway 422 to junction U.S. Highway 62, thence along U.S. Highway 62 to junction U.S. Highway 40, thence along U.S. Highway 40 to Springfield, Ohio, thence along Ohio Highway 440 to junction U.S. Highway 40, thence along U.S. Highway 40 to junction U.S. Highway 36, thence along U.S. Highway 36 to the Illinois-Missouri State line.

No. MC 111823 (Sub-No. E103), filed June 4, 1974. Applicant: SHERWOOD VAN LINES, INC., 4322 Milling Road, San Antonio, Tex. 78219. Applicant's representative: Robert J. Gallagher, 1776 Broadway, New York, N.Y. 10019. Authority sought to operate as a *common carrier*, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: *Household goods*, as defined by the Commission, between Camp Drum, Watertown, N.Y., on the one hand, and, on the other, Craig Air Force Base, Selma, Ala., Fort McClellan, Anniston, Ala., Fort Rucker, Ozark, Ala., Gunter Air Force Base, Montgomery, Ala., Maxwell Air Force Base, Montgomery, Ala., Redstone Arsenal, Huntsville, Ala., Blytheville Air Force Base, Blytheville, Ark., Little Rock Air Force Base, Jacksonville, Ark., Ent Air Force Base & Peterson Field, Colorado Springs, Colo., Fitzsimons General Hospital, Denver, Colo., Fort Carson, Colorado Springs, Colo., Lowry Air Force Base, Denver, Colo., U.S. Air Force Academy, Colorado Springs, Colo., Eglin Air Force Base, Valparaiso, Fla., Homestead Air Force Base, Homestead, Fla., McDill Air Force Base, Tampa, Fla., McCoy Air Force Base, Orlando, Fla., Naval Air Station Cecil Field,

Jacksonville, Fla., Naval Air Station, Jacksonville, Fla., Naval Air Station, Pensacola, Fla., Naval Station, Mayport, Fla., Naval Air Station Whiting Field, Milton, Fla., Naval Station, Key West, Fla., Naval Training Center, Orlando, Fla., Patrick Air Force Base, Cocoa Beach, Fla., Tyndall Air Force Base, Panama City, Fla., Atlanta Army Depot, Forest Park, Ga., Fort Benning, Columbus, Ga., Fort McPherson, Atlanta, Ga.

Marine Corps Supply Center, Albany, Ga., Dobbins Air Force Base, Marietta, Ga., Moody Air Force Base, Valdosta, Ga., Naval Air Station, Albany, Ga., Robins Air Force Base, Warner Robins, Ga., Chanute Air Force Base, Rantoul, Ill., Fort Sheridan, Highland Park, Ill., Joliet Army Ammunition Depot, Joliet, Ill., Savanna Army Depot, Savanna, Ill., Naval Air Station, Glenview, Ill., Naval Training Center, Great Lakes, Ill., Scott Air Force Base, Belleville, Ill., Grissom Air Force Base, Peru, Ind., Fort Benjamin Harrison, Indianapolis, Ind., Naval Ammunition Depot, Crane, Ind., Forbes Air Force Base, Topeka, Kans., Fort Leavenworth, Leavenworth, Kans., Fort Riley, Junction City, Kans., McConnell Air Force Base, Wichita, Kans., Fort Campbell, Hopkinsville, Ky., Fort Knox, Fort Knox, Ky., Barksdale Air Force Base, Shreveport, La., England Air Force Base, Alexandria, La., Fort Polk, Leesville, La., Naval Air Station, New Orleans, La., Kincheloe Air Force Base, Sault Ste Marie, Mich., K.I. Sawyer Air Force Base, Gwinn, Mich., Selfridge Air Nat'l Guard Base, Mount Clemens, Mich., Wurtsmith Air Force Base, Oscoda, Mich., Duluth International Airport, Duluth, Minn., Columbus Air Force Base, Columbus, Miss., Keesler Air Force Base, Biloxi, Miss., Naval Air Station, Meridian, Miss., Naval Construction Battalion, Gulfport, Miss., Fort Leonard Wood, Waynesville, Mo., Richards-Gebaur Air Force Base, Kansas City, Mo., Whiteman Air Force Base, Knob Noster, Mo., Offutt Air Force Base, Omaha, Nebr., Grand Forks Air Force Base, Emerado, N. Dak., Minot Air Force Base, Minot, N. Dak., Lockbourne Air Force Base, Columbus, Ohio, Wright-Patterson Air Force Base, Dayton, Ohio, Altus Air Force Base, Altus, Okla., Fort Sill, Lawton, Okla., Tinker Air Force Base, Oklahoma City, Okla., Vance Air Force Base, Enid, Okla., Ellsworth Air Force Base, Rapid City, S. Dak., Arnold Air Force Station, Tullahoma, Tenn., Naval Air Station, Memphis, Millington, Tenn., Bergstrom Air Force Base, Austin, Tex., Brooks Air Force Base, San Antonio, Tex., Carswell Air Force Base, Fort Worth, Tex., Dyess Air Force Base, Abilene, Tex., Ellington Air Force Base, Houston, Tex., Naval Air Station, Dallas, Tex., Fort Bliss, El Paso, Tex., Fort Hood, Killeen, Tex., Fort Sam Houston, San Antonio, Tex.

Fort Wolters, Mineral Wells, Tex., Goodfellow Air Force Base, San Angelo, Tex., Kelly Air Force Base, San Antonio, Tex., Lackland Air Force Base, San Antonio, Tex., Laredo Air Force Base, Laredo, Tex., Laughlin Air Force Base, Del Rio, Tex., Naval Air Station, Corpus Christi, Tex., Naval Air Station Chase Field, Beeville, Tex., Naval Air Station, Kingsville, Tex., Randolph Air Force Base, Universal City, Tex., Reese Air Force Base, Lubbock, Tex., Sheppard Air Force Base, Wichita Falls, Tex., Webb Air Force Base, Big Spring, Tex., and Camp McCoy, Sparta, Wis. The purpose of this filing is to eliminate the gateways of St. Louis, Mo., or Louisville, Ky., or that part of Ohio, Indiana, and Illinois on and north of a line beginning at the Pennsylvania-Ohio line, and extending along U.S. Highway 422 to junction U.S. Highway 62, thence along U.S. Highway 62 to junction U.S. Highway 40, thence along U.S. Highway 40 to Springfield, Ohio, thence along Ohio Highway 440 to junction U.S. Highway 40, thence along U.S. Highway 40 to junction U.S. Highway 36, thence along U.S. Highway 36 to the Illinois-Missouri State line.

No. MC 111823 (Sub-No. E104), filed June 4, 1974. Applicant: SHERWOOD VAN LINES, INC., 4322 Milling Road, San Antonio, Tex. 78219. Applicant's representative: Robert J. Gallagher, 1776 Broadway, New York, N.Y. 10019. Authority sought to operate as a *common carrier*, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: *Household goods*, as defined by the Commission, between the Naval Hospital, Saint Albans, N.Y., Fort Hamilton, Brooklyn, N.Y., Fort Wadsworth, Staten Island, and U.S. Coast Guard Base, Governor's Island, N.Y., on the one hand, and, on the other, Craig Air Force Base, Selma, Ala., Fort McClellan, Anniston, Ala., Fort Rucker, Ozark, Ala., Gunter Air Force Base, Montgomery, Ala., Maxwell Air Force Base, Montgomery, Ala., Redstone Arsenal, Huntsville, Ala., Blytheville Air Force Base, Blytheville, Ark., Little Rock Air Force Base, Jacksonville, Ark., Ent Air Force Base & Peterson Field, Colorado Springs, Colo., Fitzsimons General Hospital, Denver, Colo., Fort Carson, Colorado Springs, Colo., Lowry Air Force Base, Denver, Colo., U.S. Air Force Acadamy, Colorado Springs, Colo., Eglin Air Force Base, Valparaiso, Fla., Naval Air Station, Pensacola, Fla., Naval Air Station, Whiting Field, Milton, Fla., Tyndall Air Force Base, Panama City, Fla., Chanute Air Force Base, Rantoul, Ill., Fort Sheridan, Highland Park, Ill., Joliet Army Ammunition Depot, Joliet, Ill., Savanna Army Depot, Savanna, Ill., Naval Air Station, Glenview, Ill., Naval Training Center, Great Lakes, Ill., Scott Air Force Base, Belleville, Ill., Grissom Air Force Base, Peru, Ind.

Fort Benjamin, Harrison, Indianapolis, Ind., Naval Ammunition Depot, Crane, Ind., Forbes Air Force Base, Topeka, Kans., Fort Leavenworth, Leavenworth, Kans., Fort Riley, Junction City, Kans., McConnell Air Force Base Wichita, Kans., Fort Campbell, Hopkinsville, Ky., Fort Knox, Fort Knox, Ky., Barksdale Air Force Base, Shreveport, La., England Air Force Base, Alexandria, La., Fort Polk, Leesville, La., Naval Air Station, New Orleans, La., Kincheloe Air Force Base, Sault Ste Marie, Mich., K.I. Sawyer Air Force Base, Gwinn, Mich., Selfridge Air National Guard Base, Mount Clemens, Mich., Wurtsmith Air Force Base, Oscoda, Mich., Duluth International Airport, Duluth, Minn., Columbus Air Force Base, Columbus, Miss., Keesler Air Force Base, Biloxi, Miss., Naval Air Station, Meridian, Miss., Naval Construction Battalion, Gulfport, Miss., Fort Leonard Wood, Waynesville, Mo., Richards-Gebaur Air Force Base, Kansas City, Mo., Whiteman Air Force Base, Knob Noster, Mo., Offutt Air Force Base, Omaha, Nebr., Grand Forks Air Force Base, Emerado, N. Dak., Minot Air Force Base, Minot, N. Dak., Lockbourne Air Force Base, Columbus, Ohio, Wright-Patterson Air Force Base, Dayton, Ohio, Altus Air Force Base, Altus, Okla., Fort Sill, Lawton, Okla., Tinker Air Force Base, Oklahoma City, Okla., Vance Air Force Base, Enid, Okla., Ellsworth Air Force Base, Rapid City, S. Dak., Arnold Air Force Station, Tullahoma, Tenn., Naval Air Station Memphis, Millington, Tenn., Bergstrom Air Force Base, Austin, Tex., Brooks Air Force Base, San Antonio, Tex., Carswell Air Force Base, Fort Worth, Tex., Dyess Air Force Base, Abilene, Tex., Ellington Air Force Base, Houston, Tex., Naval Air Station, Dallas, Tex., Fort Bliss, El Paso, Tex., Fort Hood, Killen, Tex., Fort Sam Houston, San Antonio, Tex., Fort Wolters, Mineral Wells, Tex., Goodfellow Air Force Base, San Angelo, Tex., Kelly Air Force Base, San Antonio, Tex., Lackland Air Force Base, San Antonio, Tex., Laredo Air Force Base, Laredo, Tex., Laughlin Air Force Base, Del Rio, Tex., Naval Air Station, Corpus Christi, Tex., Naval Air Station Chase Field Beeville, Tex., Naval Air Station, Kingsville, Tex., Randolph Air Force Base, Universal City, Tex., Reese Air Force Base, Lubbock, Tex., Sheppard Air Force Base, Wichita Falls, Tex., Webb Air Force Base, Big Spring, Tex., and Camp McCoy, Sparta, Wis.

The purpose of this filing is to eliminate the gateway of St. Louis, Mo., or Louisville, Ky., or that part of Ohio, Indiana, and Illinois on and north of a line beginning at the Pennsylvania-Ohio State line, and extending along U.S. Highway 422 to junction U.S. Highway 62, thence along U.S. Highway 62 to junction U.S. Highway 40, thence along U.S. Highway 40 to Springfield, Ohio, thence along Ohio Highway 440 to junction U.S. Highway 40, thence along U.S. Highway 40 to junction U.S. Highway 36, thence along U.S. Highway 36 to the Illinois-Missouri State line.

No. MC 115554 (Sub-No. E8), filed June 4, 1974. Applicant: SCOTT'S TRANSPORTATION SERVICE, INC., P.O. Box 1634, Des Moines, Iowa 50306. Applicant's representative: James R. Madler, 1255 N. Sandburg Terrace, Chicago, Ill. 60610. Authority sought to operate as a *common carrier*, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: *Refrigerators, refrigeration, cooling, heating*, and *electrical equipment, appliances*, and *parts materials*, and *supplies*

used in the manufacture, repair, and distribution of such commodities; (1) between points in Iowa, on, south, and east of a line beginning at the Iowa-Wisconsin State line, thence along U.S. Highway 20 to junction U.S. Highway 63, thence along U.S. Highway 63 to the Iowa-Missouri State line, on the one hand, and, on the other, points in South Dakota on and west of U.S. Highway 83; (2) between points in Iowa on and east of a line beginning at the Iowa-Missouri State line, thence along U.S. Highway 63 to junction Interstate Highway 80, thence along Interstate Highway 80 to junction Iowa Highway 38, thence along Iowa Highway 38 to the Iowa-Illinois State line, on the one hand, and, on the other, points in Kansas; (3) between points in Iowa, on, east, and south of a line beginning at the Iowa-Minnesota State line, thence along U.S. Highway 63 to junction Interstate Highway 80, thence along Interstate Highway 80 to the Illinois-Iowa State line, on the one hand, and, on the other, points in Missouri on and west of U.S. Highway 65; and (4) between points in Iowa on, east, and south of a line beginning at the Minnesota-Iowa State line, thence along U.S. Highway 63 to junction U.S. Highway 20, thence along U.S. Highway 20 to the Illinois-Iowa State line, on the one hand, and, on the other, points in Missouri on and east of U.S. Highway 63. The purpose of this filing is to eliminate the gateway of Amana, Iowa.

No. MC 115554 (Sub-No. E17), filed June 4, 1974. Applicant: SCOTT'S TRANSPORTATION SERVICE, INC., P.O. Box 1634, Des Moines, Iowa 50306. Applicant's representative: James R. Madler, 1255 N. Sanburg Terrace, Chicago, Ill. 60610. Authority sought to operate as a *common carrier*, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: *Refrigerators, refrigeration, cooling, heating and electrical equipment, appliances*, and *parts, materials*, and *supplies* used in the manufacture, repair, and distribution of such commodities; (1) between points in Nebraska on, south, and east of a line beginning at the Nebraska-Iowa State line, thence on U.S. Highway 30, to junction U.S. Highway 281, thence along U.S. Highway 281 to junction U.S. Highway 6, thence along U.S. Highway 6 to junction U.S. Highway 34, thence along U.S. Highway 34 to the Iowa-Nebraska State line, on the one hand, and, on the other, points in Missouri on, east, and south of a line beginning at the Illinois-Missouri State line, thence on U.S. Highway 54 to junction U.S. Highway 61, thence along U.S. Highway 61 to the Missouri-Arkansas State line, points in Arkansas south and east of a line beginning at the Missouri-Arkansas State line, thence on Interstate Highway 55 to junction Arkansas Highway 140, thence along Arkansas Highway 140 to the Arkansas-Tennessee State line; and (2) between Omaha, Nebr., on the one hand, and, on the other, points in Missouri on and south of Missouri Highway 61. The purpose of this filing is to eliminate the gateway of Amana, Iowa.

No. MC 115840 (Sub-No. E74) (Correction), filed December 30, 1974, published in the FEDERAL REGISTER June 26, 1975. Applicant: COLONIAL FAST FREIGHT, INC., P.O. Box 10327, Birmingham, Ala. 35202. Applicant's representative: Roger M. Shaner (same as above). Authority sought to operate as a *common carrier*, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: *Iron and steel articles* (except commodities which because of size or weight require the use of special equipment; (3) from those points on the Mississippi River from Vicksburg to Greenville, Miss., to points in New Jersey, New York, Pennsylvania, Maryland, Delaware, Connecticut, Rhode Island, Massachusetts, Vermont, New Hampshire, and Maine. The purpose of this filing is to eliminate the gateways of Armiston and Birmingham, Ala. The purpose of this partial correction is to include (3) above. The remainder of this letter-notice remains as previously published.

No. MC 121060 (Sub E80), filed May 28, 1975. Applicant: ARROW TRUCK LINES, INC., 1220 West 3d Street, Birmingham, Ala. 35201. Applicant's representative: William P. Jackson, Jr., 919 Eighteenth Street NW., Washington, D.C. 20006. *Ceiling systems, paint, plastic light diffusers, adhesives, furring, fasteners, lighting systems, moldings, steel shapes, steel rods, steel channels, steel ceiling beams, applicators and roofing caps, and materials and supplies used in the installation of any commodity named above* (except in bulk), from Mobile, Ala., to points in Missouri, on and north of a line commencing at the Illinois-Missouri State line, thence along U.S. Highway 54 to its intersection with Missouri Highway 19, thence along Missouri Highway 19 to its intersection with Missouri Highway 154, thence along Missouri Highway 154 to its intersection with U.S. Highway 24, thence along U.S. Highway 24 to its intersection with Missouri Highway 5, thence along Missouri Highway 5 to its intersection with U.S. Highway 36, thence along U.S. Highway 36 to its intersection with Missouri Highway 13, thence along Missouri Highway 13 to its intersection with U.S. Highway 136, thence along U.S. Highway 136 to the Missouri-Nebraska State line. Gateway: Demopolis, Ala., and the facilities of Litecraft-Luminous Ceilings, Division of the Celotex Corporation, located at or near Scottsboro, Ala.

No. MC 121060 (Sub E82), filed May 28, 1975. Applicant: ARROW TRUCK LINES, INC., 1220 West 3rd Street, Birmingham, Ala. 35201. Applicant's representative: William P. Jackson, Jr., 919 Eighteenth Street NW., Washington, D.C. 20006. *Ceiling systems, paint, plastic light diffusers, adhesives, furring, fasteners, lighting systems, moldings, steel shapes, steel rods, steel channels, steel ceiling beams, applicators and roofing caps, and materials and supplies used in the installation of any commodity named above* (except in bulk), from Mobile, Ala., to points in Kansas, on and north of a line commencing at the Kansas-Nebraska State line, thence along U.S. Highway 73 to its intersection with U.S. Highway 36, thence along U.S. Highway 36 to its intersection with U.S. Highway 75, thence along U.S. Highway 75 to its intersection with Kansas Highway 16, thence along Kansas Highway 16 to its intersection with Kansas Highway 13, thence along Kansas Highway 13 to its intersection with U.S. Highway 24, thence along U.S. Highway 24 to its intersection with Kansas Highway 177, thence along Kansas Highway 177 to its intersection with U.S. Highway 40, thence along U.S. Highway 40 to its intersection with U.S. Highway 156, thence along U.S. Highway 156 to its intersection with Kansas Highway 96, thence along Kansas Highway 96 to its intersection with U.S. Highway 83, thence along U.S. Highway 83 to its intersection with U.S. Highway 40, thence along U.S. Highway 40 to the Kansas-Colorado State line. Gateway: Demopolis, Ala., and the facilities of Litecraft-Luminous Ceiling, Division of the Celotex Corporation, located at or near Scottsboro, Ala.

No. MC 121060 (Sub E86), filed May 28, 1975. Applicant: ARROW TRUCK LINES, INC., 1220 West 3rd Street, Birmingham, Ala. 35201. Applicant's representative: William P. Jackson, Jr., 919 Eighteenth Street, NW., Washington, D.C. 20006. *Ceiling systems, paint, plastic light diffusers, adhesives, furring, fasteners, lighting systems, moldings, steel shapes, steel rods, steel channels, steel ceiling beams, applicators and roofing caps, and materials and supplies used in the installation of any commodity named above* (except in bulk), from points in Muscogee, Chattahoochie, and Harris Counties, Ga., to points in West Virginia, on and north of a line commencing at the West Virginia-Virginia State line, thence along West Virginia Highway 83 to its intersection with West Virginia Highway 80, thence along West Virginia Highway 80 to its intersection with U.S. Highway 52, thence along U.S. Highway 52 to its intersection with West Virginia Highway 16, thence along West Virginia Highway 16 to its intersection with U.S. Highway 19, thence along U.S. Highway 19 to its intersection with U.S. Highway 60, thence along U.S. Highway 60 to its intersection with West Virginia Highway 20, thence along West Virginia 15, thence along West Virginia Highway 15 to its intersection with U.S. Highway 219, thence along U.S. Highway 219 to its intersection with U.S. Highway 250, thence along U.S. Highway 250 to the West Virginia-Virginia State line. Gateway: Lee County, Ala., and the facilities of Litecraft-Luminous Ceilings, Division of the Celotex Corporation, located at or near Scottsboro, Ala.

No. MC 123502 (Sub-No. E39), filed May 12, 1974. Applicant: FREE STATE TRUCK SERVICE, INC., P.O. Box 760, Glen Burnie, Md. 21061. Applicant's representative: W. Wilson Corroum (same as above). Authority sought to operate as a *common carrier*, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: *Metal al-*

*loys*, in dump vehicles, from points in Maine to points in Illinois, Indiana, Michigan, Ohio (except Ashtabula County, Ohio), Virginia, and West Virginia. The purpose of this filing is to eliminate the gateway of Baltimore, Md.

No. MC 123502 (Sub-No. E40), filed May 12, 1974. Applicant: FREE STATE TRUCK SERVICE, INC., P.O. Box 760, Glen Burnie, Md. 21061. Applicant's representative: W. Wilson Corroum (same as above). Authority sought to operate as a *common carrier*, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: *Metal alloys*, in dump vehicles, from points in Maryland, on and east of U.S. Highway 15 and on and north of U.S. Highway 50 to points in Illinois, Indiana, Michigan, and Ohio. The purpose of this filing is to eliminate the gateway of Baltimore, Md.

No. MC 123502 (Sub-No. E42), filed May 12, 1974. Applicant: FREE STATE TRUCK SERVICE, INC., P.O. Box 760, Glen Burnie, Md. 21061. Applicant's representative: W. Wilson Corroum (same as above). Authority sought to operate as a *common carrier*, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: *Metal alloys*, in dump vehicles from points in New Hampshire to points in Illinois, Indiana, Michigan, Ohio (except Ashtabula, Geauga, Lake, and Cuyahoga Counties, Ohio), Virginia, and West Virginia. The purpose of this filing is to eliminate the gateway of Baltimore, Md.

No. MC 123502 (Sub-No. E43), filed May 12, 1974. Applicant: FREE STATE TRUCK SERVICE, INC., P.O. Box 760, Glen Burnie, Md. 21061. Applicant's representative: W. Wilson Corroum (same as above). Authority sought to operate as a *common carrier*, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: *Metal alloys*, in dump vehicles; (1) from points in New Jersey to points in Illinois, Indiana, Michigan, Virginia, and West Virginia; and (2) from points in New Jersey (except points in Sussex County) to points in Ohio (except in Ashtabula County). The purpose of this filing is to eliminate the gateway of Baltimore, Md.

No. MC 123502 (Sub-No. E44), filed May 12, 1974. Applicant: FREE STATE TRUCK SERVICE, INC., P.O. Box 760, Glen Burnie, Md. 21061. Applicant's representative: W. Wilson Corroum (same as above). Authority sought to operate as a *common carrier*, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: *Metal alloys*, in dump vehicles, from points in New York, on and east of the Hudson River, Lake George and Lake Champlain to points in Illinois; Indiana, Michigan, Ohio (except points in Ashtabula, Trumbull, and Mahoning Counties), Virginia, and West Virginia. The purpose of this filing is to eliminate the gateway of Baltimore, Md.

No. MC 123502 (Sub-No. E46), filed May 12, 1974. Applicant: FREE STATE TRUCK SERVICE, INC., P.O. Box 760, Glen Burnie, Md. 21061. Applicant's representative: W. Wilson Corroum (same as above). Authority sought to operate as a *common carrier*, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: *Metal alloys*, in dump vehicles, from points in Rhode Island to points in Illinois, Indiana, Michigan, Ohio, Virginia, and West Virginia. The purpose of this filing is to eliminate the gateway of Baltimore, Md.

No. MC 123502 (Sub-No. E47), filed May 12, 1974. Applicant: FREE STATE TRUCK SERVICE, INC., P.O. Box 760, Glen Burnie, Md. 21061. Applicant's representative: W. Wilson Corroum (same as above). Authority sought to operate as a *common carrier*, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: *Metal alloys*, in dump vehicles, from points in Vermont to points in Illinois, Indiana, Michigan, Virginia, and West Virginia. The purpose of this filing is to eliminate the gateway of Baltimore, Md.

No. MC 123502 (Sub-No. E48), filed May 12, 1974. Applicant: FREE STATE TRUCK SERVICE, INC., P.O. Box 760, Glen Burnie, Md. 21061. Applicant's representative: W. Wilson Corroum (same as above). Authority sought to operate as a *common carrier*, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: *Metal alloys*, in dump vehicles, from points in West Virginia on and west of U.S. Highway 19 and on and south of U.S. Highway 40 to points in Connecticut. The purpose of this filing is to eliminate the gateway of Baltimore, Md.

No. MC 123502 (Sub-No. E49), filed May 12, 1974. Applicant: FREE STATE TRUCK SERVICE, INC., P.O. Box 760, Glen Burnie, Md. 21061. Applicant's representative: W. Wilson Corroum (same as above). Authority sought to operate as a *common carrier*, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: *Metal alloys*, in dump vehicles, from points in West Virginia on and west of U.S. Highway 19 and on and south of U.S. Highway 40 to points in Delaware. The purpose of this filing is to eliminate the gateway of Baltimore, Md.

No. MC 123502 (Sub-No. E50), filed May 12, 1974. Applicant: FREE STATE TRUCK SERVICE, INC., P.O. Box 760, Glen Burnie, Md. 21061. Applicant's representative: W. Wilson Corroum (same as above). Authority sought to operate as a *common carrier*, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: *Metal alloys*, in dump vehicles, from points in West Virginia on and west of U.S. Highway 19 and on and south of U.S. Highway 40 to points in Maine. The purpose of this filing is to eliminate the gateway of Baltimore, Md.

No. MC 123502 (Sub-No. E51), filed May 12, 1974. Applicant: FREE STATE TRUCK SERVICE, INC., P.O. Box 760, Glen Burnie, Md. 21061. Applicant's representative: W. Wilson Corroum (same as above). Authority sought to operate as a *common carrier*, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: *Metal alloys*, in dump vehicles, from points in West Virginia on and west of U.S. Highway 19 and on and south of U.S. Highway 40 to points in Massachusetts. The purpose of this filing is to eliminate the gateway of Baltimore, Md.

No. MC 123502 (Sub-No. E52), filed May 12, 1974. Applicant: FREE STATE TRUCK SERVICE, INC., P.O. Box 760, Glen Burnie, Md. 21061. Applicant's representative: W. Wilson Corroum (same as above). Authority sought to operate as a *common carrier*, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: *Metal alloys*, in dump vehicles, from points in West Virginia on and west of U.S. Highway 19 and on and south of U.S. Highway 40 to points in New Hampshire. The purpose of this filing is to eliminate the gateway of Baltimore, Md.

No. MC 123502 (Sub-No. E53), filed May 12, 1974. Applicant: FREE STATE TRUCK SERVICE, INC., P.O. Box 760, Glen Burnie, Md. 21061. Applicant's representative: W. Wilson Corroum (same as above). Authority sought to operate as a *common carrier*, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: *Metal alloys*, in dump vehicles, from points in West Virginia on and west of U.S. Highway 19 and on and south of U.S. Highway 40 to points in New Jersey (except points in Cumberland, Salem, Gloucester, Cape May, Atlantic, Camden, and Burlington Counties, N.J.). The purpose of this filing is to eliminate the gateway of Baltimore, Md.

No. MC 123502 (Sub-No. E54), filed May 12, 1974. Applicant: FREE STATE TRUCK SERVICE, INC., P.O. Box 760, Glen Burnie, Md. 21061. Applicant's representative: W. Wilson Corroum (same as above). Authority sought to operate as a *common carrier*, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: *Metal alloys*, in dump vehicles, from points in West Virginia on and west of U.S. Highway 19 and on and south of U.S. Highway 40 to points in New York on and east of the Hudson River, Lake George, and Lake Champlain. The purpose of this filing is to eliminate the gateway of Baltimore, Md.

No. MC 123502 (Sub-No. E55), filed May 12, 1974. Applicant: FREE STATE TRUCK SERVICE, INC., P.O. Box 760, Glen Burnie, Md. 21061. Applicant's representative: W. Wilson Corroum (same as above). Authority sought to operate as a *common carrier*, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: *Alloys*, in dump vehicles, from points in West Virginia on and west of U.S. Highway 19 and on and south of U.S. Highway 40 to points in Bucks, Chester, Delaware, Lancaster, Lehigh (except from Wheeling, W. Va.), Montgomery, and Philadelphia Counties, Pa. The purpose of this filing is to eliminate the gateway of Baltimore, Md.

No. MC 123502 (Sub-No. E56), filed May 12, 1974. Applicant: FREE STATE TRUCK SERVICE, INC., P.O. Box 760, Glen Burnie, Md. 21061. Applicant's representative: W. Wilson Corroum (same as above). Authority sought to operate as a *common carrier*, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: *Metal alloys*, in dump vehicles, from points in West Virginia on and west

of U.S. Highway 19 and on and south of U.S. Highway 40 to points in Rhode Island. The purpose of this filing is to eliminate the gateway of Baltimore, Md.

No. MC 123502 (Sub-No. E57), filed May 12, 1974. Applicant: FREE STATE TRUCK SERVICE, INC., P.O. Box 760, Glen Burnie, Md. 21061. Applicant's representative: W. Wilson Corroum (same as above). Authority sought to operate as a *common carrier*, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: *Aggregates*, in bulk, in dump vehicles, from Arlington, Va., to points in Delaware, Connecticut, Ohio, New Jersey (except points in Cumberland, Salem, Gloucester, Cape May, Atlantic, Camden, and Burlington Counties), New York (except points in Suffolk, Nassau, Queens, and Kings Counties), Pennsylvania and West Virginia. The purpose of this filing is to eliminate the gateway of Baltimore, Md.

No. MC 123502 (Sub-No. E58), filed May 12, 1974. Applicant: FREE STATE TRUCK SERVICE, INC., P.O. Box 760, Glen Burnie, Md. 21061. Applicant's representative: W. Wilson Corroum (same as above). Authority sought to operate as a *common carrier*, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: *Metal alloys*, in dump vehicles, from points in Arizona to points in Connecticut, Delaware, Maine, Maryland on and east of Interstate Highway 81, Massachusetts, New Hampshire, New Jersey (except points in Cumberland, Salem, Gloucester, Cape May, Atlantic, Camden, and Burlington Counties), New York on and east of the Hudson River, Lake George, and Lake Champlain, points in Bucks, Chester, Delaware, Lancaster, Montgomery, and Philadelphia Counties, Pa., Rhode Island, Vermont, and Virginia on and east of U.S. Highway 220. The purpose of this filing is to eliminate the gateway of Baltimore, Md.

No. MC 123744 (Sub E5), filed May 15, 1974. Applicant: BUTLER TRUCKING CO., P.O. Box 88, Woodland, Pa. 16881. Applicant's representative: E. Steward Butler (same as above). Authority sought to operate as a *common carrier*, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: *Refractories* (except in bulk) from the facilities of Kaiser Refractories at Frostburg, Md., to points in Indiana north of U.S. Highway 30, and points in Missouri, Michigan, and Wisconsin. The purpose of this filing is to eliminate the gateway of Clearfield, Pa., and points within 25 miles thereof.

No. MC 124211 (Sub E66), Filed May 7, 1975. Applicant: HILT TRUCK LINE, INC., P.O. Box 988, Omaha, Nebr. 68101. Applicant's representative: Thomas L. Hilt (same). Authority sought to operate as a *common carrier*, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: *Pallets*, (1) from points in Alabama, to Sioux City, Iowa, (except points in Nebraska within the Sioux City, Iowa, Commercial Zone as defined by the Commission) and those points in California in and north of Mono, Madera, Merced, Santa Clara, and Santa Cruz Counties; (2) from points in Arizona, to Belleville, Chicago, Peoria, and Quincy, Ill., Kansas City and St. Louis, Mo., Omaha, Nebr., and Minneapolis, and St. Paul, Minn.; (3) from points in Arkansas, to Sioux City, Iowa (except points in Nebraska within the Sioux City, Iowa Commercial Zone as defined by the Commission) and those points in California in and north of Mono, Madera, Merced, Santa Clara, and, Santa Cruz Counties; (4) from points in California, to Kansas City, Mo., Minneapolis and St. Paul, Minn., Omaha, Nebr., and Belleville, Chicago, Peoria, and Quincy, Ill., (5) from points in Colorado, to Detroit, Mich., and points in New Jersey; (6) from points in Florida, to Sioux City, Iowa (except points in Nebraska within the Sioux City, Iowa Commercial Zone as defined by the Commission) and those points in California in and north of Mono, Madera, Merced, Santa Clara, and, Santa Cruz Counties; (7) from points in Georgia, to Sioux City, Iowa (except points in Nebraska with the Sioux City, Iowa, Commercial Zone as defined by the Commission), and those points in California in and north of Mono, Madera, Merced, Santa Clara, and Santa Cruz Counties; (8) from points in Illinois, and Indiana to Sioux City, Iowa (except points in Nebraska within the Sioux City, Iowa Commercial Zone as defined by the Commission), and points in California;

(9) from points in Iowa, to points in California, and those in Texas on and west of U.S. Highway 77; (10) from points in Louisiana to Sioux City, Iowa (except points in Nebraska within the Sioux City, Iowa Commercial Zone as defined by the Commission); (11) from points in Michigan to Sioux City, Iowa, (except points in Nebraska within the Sioux City, Iowa Commercial Zone as defined by the Commission), points in California, and those in Texas on and west of U.S. Highway 81; (12) from points in Minnesota, to points in California and Texas; (13) from points in Mississippi, to Sioux City, Iowa (except points within the Sioux City, Iowa Commercial Zone as defined by the Commission), and those points in California on and north of Interstate Highway 80; (14) from points in Montana, to Sioux City, Iowa (except points within the Sioux City, Iowa Commercial Zone as defined by the Commission) and points in New Jersey; (15) from points in New Mexico, to Sioux City, Iowa (except points within the Sioux City, Iowa Commercial Zone as defined by the Commission), (16) from points in North Dakota, to points in Louisiana, Texas, and those in California on and south of Interstate Highway 80, and Sioux City, Iowa; (except points within the Sioux City, Iowa Commercial Zone, as defined by the Commission), (17) from points in Oklahoma, to Sioux City, Iowa; (except points within the Sioux City, Iowa Commercial Zone, as defined by the Commission), (18) from points in South Dakota, to points in Louisiana, Texas, and those in California on and south of Interstate Highway 80; (19) from points in Wisconsin, to Sioux City, Iowa (except those within the Sioux City, Iowa Commercial zone, as defined by the Commission), points in California, and those in Texas on and west of U.S. Highway 77; and (20) from points in Wyoming, to points in New Jersey. The purpose of this filing is to eliminate the gateway of Omaha, Nebr., on points in Kansas within the St. Joseph, Mo., commercial zone.

No. MC 124211 (Sub E67), filed May 13, 1974. Applicant: HILT TRUCK LINES, INC., P.O. Box 988 D.T.S., Omaha, Nebr. 68101. Applicant's representative: Thomas L. Hilt (same as above). Authority sought to operate as a *common carrier*, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: *Unfrozen alcoholic beverages*, from those points in California in and north of Fresno, Mono, and Monteray Counties, to those points in Texas on, east, and north of a line beginning at the Texas-Oklahoma State line and extending along Interstate Highway 35 to junction Texas Highway 22, to junction Interstate Highway 45 to the Gulf of Mexico. The purpose of this filing is to eliminate the gateway of Muskogee, Okla.

No. MC 124211 (Sub-No. E72) (Correction), filed May 13, 1974, published in the FEDERAL REGISTER May 20, 1975. Applicant: HILT TRUCK LINE, INC., P.O. Box 988 D.T.S., Omaha, Nebr. 68101. Applicant's representative: Thomas L. Hilt (same as above). Authority sought to operate as a *common carrier*, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: (17) from those points in the United States on and south of U.S. Highway 6 and on and east of U.S. Highway 85, to points in South Dakota and North Dakota. The purpose of this filing is to eliminate the gateway of Nebraska. The purpose of this partial correction is to include the paragraph above. The remainder of this letter-notice will remain as previously published.

No. MC 124211 (Sub-No. E75), filed May 22, 1974. Applicant: HILT TRUCK LINES, INC., P.O. Box 988 D.T.S., Omaha, Nebr. 68101. Applicant's representative: Thomas L. Hilt (same as above). Authority sought to operate as a *common carrier*, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: (1) *Grain products and food products* (except commodities in bulk, frozen foods, potato products, and meat and packinghouse products), between points in Idaho, Montana, North Dakota, and South Dakota, on the one hand, and, on the other, points in Louisiana and Mississippi, and those in Texas on and east of U.S. Highway 77; restricted against the transportation of inedible grain products to points in South Dakota; (2) *grain products and food products* (except commodities in bulk, frozen foods, potato products, dairy products, and meat and packinghouse products), from points in Idaho, Montana, North Dakota, and South Dakota, and those points in Nebraska on and north of a line beginning at the Nebraska-Wyoming State line and extending along Interstate Highway 80 to Lincoln, thence

along Nebraska Highway 2 to the Iowa-Nebraska State line, to Bells, Humboldt, Jackson, Milan, and Memphis, Tenn.: (3) *grain products and food products* (except commodities in bulk and frozen foods), between those points in Nebraska on and north of a line beginning at the Nebraska-Wyoming State line and extending along Interstate Highway 80 to Lincoln, thence along Nebraska Highway 2 to the Nebraska-Iowa State line, on the one hand, and, on the other, points in Louisiana, Mississippi, and those in Texas on and east of U.S. Highway 77; (4) *food products* (except candy and confectionery, meats and packinghouse products, dairy products, frozen foods, potato products, and commodities in bulk), from points in Louisiana, Mississippi, and Tennessee (except points in Bells, Humboldt, Jackson, Milan, and Memphis and those in the Memphis Commercial Zone, as defined by the Commission), and those in Texas on and east of U.S. Highway 77, to points in Oregon, Washington, and Wyoming; (5) *food products* (except commodities in bulk, dairy products, frozen foods, and meats, meat products, and meat by-products, and articles distributed by meat packinghouses, as described in Sections A and C of Appendix I to the report in *Descriptions in Motor Carrier Certificates*, 61 M.C.C. 209 and 766), from points in Texas on and east of U.S. Highway 77, to points in Iowa, Wisconsin, and those in Michigan on, west and north of a line beginning at the Iowa-Nebraska State line, and extending along U.S. Highway 75 to junction Interstate Highway 80, thence along Interstate Highway 80 to junction Interstate Highway 35, thence along Interstate Highway 35 to junction U.S. Highway 151, thence along U.S. Highway 151 to junction Wisconsin Highway 23, thence along Wisconsin Highway 23 to junction U.S. Highway 51, thence along U.S. Highway 51 to junction U.S. Highway 2, thence along U.S. Highway 2 to junction Michigan Highway 28, thence along Michigan Highway 28 to Lake Superior. The purpose of this filing is to eliminate the gateway of Lincoln, Nebr.

No. MC 124211 (Sub-No. E82), filed June 3, 1974. Applicant: HILT TRUCK LINE, INC., P.O. Box 988 D.T.S., Omaha, Nebr. 68101. Applicant's representative: Thomas L. Hilt (same as above). Authority sought to operate as a *common carrier*, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: (1) Macaroni, noodles, grain products, pancake and cake flour (except in bulk), Spaghetti, and vermicelli, from points in Idaho and Montana to points in Indiana; and, from those points in Nebraska on, north and west of a line beginning at the Nebraska-Iowa State line and extending along U.S. Highway 77 to junction Interstate Highway 80, thence along Interstate Highway 80 to the Nebraska-Colorado State line, those in North Dakota on and west of U.S. Highway 83, and those in South Dakota, on, west and south of a line beginning at the North Dakota-South Dakota State line and extending along U.S. Highway 281 to junction U.S. Highway 14, thence along U.S. Highway 14 to junction U.S. Highway 77, thence along U.S. Highway 77 to the Nebraska-South Dakota State line, to those points in Indiana on and south of U.S. Highway 24; and, from those points in Nebraska on, west and south of a line beginning at the Nebraska-South Dakota State line, and extending along U.S. Highway 81 to junction U.S. Highway 275, thence along U.S. Highway 275 to junction U.S. Highway 77, thence along U.S. Highway 77 to the Nebraska-Kansas State line, those in South Dakota on and west of U.S. Highway 83, and those in Texas on and west of a line beginning at the Oklahoma-Texas State line, and extending along U.S. Highway 83 to junction U.S. Highway 277 to Del Rio, thence along unnumbered highway to the United States-Mexico International Boundary line, and Omaha, Nebr., to those points in Indiana on and north of U.S. Highway 24; (2) *Macaroni, noodles, grain products, pancake and cake flour, spaghetti, and vermicelli*, (except commodities in bulk and frozen foods), from those points in Oklahoma on and west of U.S. Highway 83, to those points in Indiana on and north of U.S. Highway 24. The purpose of this filing is to eliminate the gateway of Lincoln, Nebr.

No. MC 124211 (Sub-No. E86), filed August 9, 1974. Applicant: HILT TRUCK LINE, INC., P.O. Box 988 D.T.S., Omaha, Nebr. 68101. Applicant's representative: Thomas L. Hilt (same as above). Authority sought to operate as a *common carrier*, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: (1) *Alcoholic beverages*, not frozen, (except in bulk) from points in Oregon and Washington, to those points in the United States in and east of Wisconsin, Iowa, Nebraska, Kansas, Oklahoma, and Texas, those in Minnesota on, east and south of a line beginning at the Minnesota-Wisconsin State line and extending along U.S. Highway 12 to Minneapolis, thence along U.S. Highway 169 to junction U.S. Highway 16, thence along U.S. Highway 16 to the Minnesota-South Dakota State line, and those in South Dakota on and east of U.S. Highway 281 and on and south of Interstate Highway 90, (except those in Oklahoma west of U.S. Highway 77, those in Texas west of U.S. Highway 81, and those in Michigan and Wisconsin south of U.S. Highway 10), restricted against the transportation of commodities in bulk, to those points in the United States in and east of Ohio, West Virginia, Virginia, North Carolina, and South Carolina (Nebraska; Omaha, Nebr., or Weston, Mo.)*; (2) *junk, scrap, and waste materials* (except scrap meats, scrap paper, and waste paper, and waste materials in bulk), between those points in California on and south of U.S. Highway 60, on the one hand, and, on the other, those points in the United States in and east of Minnesota, Iowa, and Illinois and in and north of Iowa, Illinois, Indiana Ohio, Pennsylvania, and New Jersey (except those in Illinois and Indiana south of U.S. Highway 40), between those points in Kentucky, Missouri, Tennessee, Virginia, and West Virginia on and south of U.S. Highway 60, on the one hand, and, on the other, those points in Nebraska on and north of U.S. Highway 30 (points in Nebraska within the Council Bluffs, Iowa Commercial Zone)*. The purpose of this filing is to eliminate the gateways as indicated by asterisks above.

By the Commission.

[SEAL] ROBERT L. OSWALD,
*Secretary.*

[FR Doc.75-19798 Filed 7-29-75;8:45 am]

federal register

WEDNESDAY, JULY 30, 1975

WASHINGTON, D.C.

Volume 40 ■ Number 147

PART II

# OFFICE OF MANAGEMENT AND BUDGET

■

## BUDGET RESCISSIONS AND DEFERRALS

Proposed for Fiscal Year 1976

## OFFICE OF MANAGEMENT AND BUDGET

### FISCAL 1976 PROPOSALS

#### Rescissions and Deferrals

To the Congress of the United States:

In accordance with the Impoundment Control Act of 1974, I herewith propose five rescissions and report 23 new deferrals of budget authority available for obligation in fiscal year 1976. The rescission proposals total $90.2 million and the new deferrals total $541.8 million. In addition, I am reporting an increase of $1.0 million in deferrals previously transmitted.

Rescissions of budget authority are proposed for programs in the Community Services Administration and the Departments of Agriculture, Interior, and Health, Education, and Welfare. The rescissions are proposed for a variety of reasons: to avoid duplicative efforts, to preserve the effective and limited uses of demonstration programs, and because program objectives can be met with lesser amounts than have been made available.

The majority of the new deferrals I am reporting—14 of 23—defer the obligation of funding provided by the continuing resolution for 1976 (Public Law 94-41). I have proposed that several ongoing programs be reduced, terminated, or transferred to other agencies beginning in fiscal year 1976. The Congress has not yet completed action on the 1976 regular appropriation bills or on my proposed modifications of certain programs. In the meantime, it has generally provided for all programs to receive temporary appropriations at ongoing rates. I am deferring obligations above the levels I have proposed, pending completion of Congressional action on my proposals. The remaining new deferrals are routine in nature and have little or no effect on program levels.

The details of each deferral and proposed rescission are contained in the attached reports.

This special message increases to eight the number of rescissions now pending before the Congress. I urge prompt, positive action on each of them.

GERALD R. FORD.

THE WHITE HOUSE,
JULY 25, 1975.

SUMMARY OF PROPOSED RESCISSIONS AND DEFERRALS
(In thousands of dollars)

| Rescission # | Item | Budget Authority |
|---|---|---|
| | Agriculture | |
| | Forest Service | |
| R76-4 | Forest roads and trails..... | 25,723 |
| | Health, Education, and Welfare | |
| | Assistant Secretary for Human Development | |
| R76-5 | Child development and Head Start..... | 7,000 |
| | Department of the Interior | |
| | Bureau of Mines | |
| R76-6 | Helium Fund..... | 47,500 |
| | Other Independent Agencies | |
| | Community Services Administration | |
| | Economic Opportunity program | |
| R76-7 | Research and demonstration.... | 2,500 |
| R76-8 | Community economic development..... | 7,500 |
| | Subtotal, Rescissions..... | 90,223 |

| Deferral# | | |
|---|---|---|
| | Agriculture | |
| | Agricultural Stabilization and Conservation Service | |
| D76-28 | Agricultural conservation program..... | 31,667 |
| D76-29 | Water Bank Act program..... | 536 |
| D76-30 | Forestry incentives program..... | 3,750 |
| | Farmers Home Administration | |
| D76-31 | Rural water and waste disposal.. | 37,500 |
| D76-32 | Rural housing for domestic farm labor grants..... | 1,250 |
| D76-33 | Mutual and self-help housing grants..... | 2,050 |
| D76-34 | Self-help housing land development fund..... | 1,625 |
| | Agricultural Marketing Service | |
| D76-35 | Payments to States and possessions..... | 400 |
| | Forest Service | |
| D76-36 | Forest roads and trails..... | 280,000 |
| D76-37 | Expense, brush disposal..... | 27,113 |
| D76-38 | Licensee programs..... | 95 |

2

| Deferral # | Item | Budget Authority |
|---|---|---|
| | Health, Education, and Welfare | |
| | Health Services Administration | |
| D76-39 | Indian health facilities..... | 1,000 |
| | Alcohol, Drug Abuse, and Mental Health Administration | |
| D76-40 | Alcohol, drug abuse and mental health..... | 3,409 |
| | Health Resources Administration | |
| D76-41 | Health resources..... | 22,000 |
| | Office of Education | |
| D76-42 | School assistance in federally affected areas..... | 68,350 |
| D76-43 | Higher education..... | 9,500 |
| D76-44 | Library resources..... | 10,437 |
| | Social and Rehabilitation Service | |
| | Public assistance | |
| D76-45 | Child welfare services..... | 1,000 |
| | Assistant Secretary for Human Development | |
| D76-11A | Research and training activities overseas..... | 8,307 |
| | Department of the Interior | |
| | Bureau of Reclamation | |
| D76-13A | Construction and rehabilitation. | 1,030 |
| | Bureau of Mines | |
| D76-46 | Drainage of anthracite mines.... | 3,375 |
| | Environmental Protection Agency | |
| D76-47 | Abatement and control..... | 4,000 |
| | General Services Administration | |
| D76-48 | Rare silver dollar program..... | 1,790 |
| | Other Independent Agencies | |
| | Community Services Administration | |
| | Economic opportunity program | |
| D76-49 | Emergency energy conservation services..... | 16,500 |
| D76-50 | Community economic development..... | 14,500 |
| | Subtotal, deferrals..... | 551,184 |
| | Total rescissions and deferrals.... | 641,407 |

3

SUMMARY OF SPECIAL MESSAGES FOR FY 1976

(Amounts in thousands of dollars)

| | Rescissions | Deferrals |
|---|---|---|
| Second Special Message: | | |
| Change: | | |
| For new items | 90,223 | 541,847 |
| To amounts previously reported | --- | 1,000 |
| Subtotal, effect of Second Special Message | 90,223 | 542,847 |
| First Special Message: | | |
| Items previously reported | 123,665 | 2,729,355 |
| Total, amount proposed in FY 1976 Special Messages to date | 213,888 | 3,272,202 |

Rescission Proposal No: R76-4

Sheet 1 of 2

PROPOSED RESCISSION OF BUDGET AUTHORITY
Report Pursuant to Section 1012 of P.L. 93-344

| | |
|---|---|
| Agency<br>DEPARTMENT OF AGRICULTURE | New budget authority (P.L. 93-87 ___) $ 47,975,000 |
| Bureau<br>FOREST SERVICE | Other budgetary resources 418,286,000 |
| Appropriation title & symbol<br>12X2262 Forest Roads and Trails<br>12X5203 Roads and Trails for States, National Forests Fund | Total budgetary resources 466,261,000 |
| | Amount proposed for rescission: $ 25,723,000 |
| | Legal authority (in addition to sec. 1012):<br>☐ Antideficiency Act<br>☐ Other _____ |
| OMB identification code:<br>05-96-2262-0-1-302 | |
| Grant program ☐ Yes ☒ No | |
| Type of account or fund:<br>☐ Annual<br>☒ Multiple-year 6/30/76 (expiration date)<br>☐ No-year | Type of budget authority:<br>☐ Appropriation<br>☒ Contract authority<br>☐ Other _____ |

Justification:

The Federal-aid Highway Act of 1973 provided contract authority of $140 million for each of fiscal years 1974, 1975, and 1976. This contract authority is available for two years after the fiscal year for which it was authorized. The $160,538,000 of contract authority for the Forest Roads and Trails program that is proposed to be liquidated in fiscal year 1976 represents the funding required to support resource development and use plans for the National Forest System in 1976. This amount is made up of $110,563,000 of 1974 contract authority available for use through 1976, $47,975,000 available from 10 percent of 1976 National Forest receipts (16 U.S.C. 501) and $2,000,000 in anticipated reimbursements. An additional $25,723,000 of the 1974 contract authority is not needed to achieve the 1976 program objectives and will lapse on June 30, 1976. This amount is proposed for rescission.

The 1976 program level has been prepared considering resource development and use opportunities and the associated benefit/cost relationships to the extent possible. Analysis of additional program opportunities is necessary and is continuing to better determine their benefit/cost relationships and to establish priorities. Road requirements are a major consideration in these efforts. It is conceivable that upon further analysis, some increase or decrease in the program might be justified, but these possible changes are expected to be relatively small in relation to the contract authority available.

Estimated Effect:

Congressional appropriation action of $108.2 million in cash is anticipated for 1976 to liquidate contract authority from prior years and for the portion of the 1976 program requiring cash payment in 1976. Additional obligations in 1976 would increase 1976 cash requirements beyond that anticipated.

If this contract authority proposed for rescission or for deferral were to be made available, the result would probably be an increase in the program level of about $18,000,000 in the current year.* Greater increases are unlikely because necessary planning and other preconstruction activities have not been accomplished. The outlay effect would be $4,000,000 in fiscal year 1976, $1,000,000 in the transition quarter, $11,000,000 in fiscal year 1977, and $2,000,000 in fiscal year 1978.

Outlay Effect:* (estimated in tenths of millions of dollars)

| | |
|---|---|
| Comparison with President's 1976 Budget: | |
| 1. Budget outlay estimate for 1976 | $103.2 |
| 2. Outlay savings included in the budget outlay estimate | - - |
| Current Outlay Estimates for 1976: | |
| 3. Without rescission | 107.2 |
| 4. With rescission | 103.2 |
| 5. Current outlay savings | 4.0 |
| Transition quarter | 1.0 |
| Outlay savings for 1977 | 11.0 |

* $280 million of this account is currently being deferred (see D76-37). Both the statement of estimated effect and the outlay savings estimate treat the deferral and rescission as one reserve.

Forest Roads and Trails
Roads and Trails for States,
National Forests Fund

Contract authority provided for the fiscal year ending June 30, 1974, by Section 104(a)(5) of the Federal Aid Highway Act of 1973 (P.L. 93-87) for "Forest Development Roads and Trails" is rescinded in the amount of $25,723,000.

Rescission Proposal No: R76-5

PROPOSED RESCISSION OF BUDGET AUTHORITY

Report Pursuant to Section 1012 of P.L. 93-344

| | |
|---|---|
| Agency Department of Health, Education, and Welfare | New budget authority (P.L. 94-32 ) $ ____ |
| Bureau Assistant Secretary for Human Development | Other budgetary resources 7,000,000 |
| Appropriation title & symbol 755/60136 Human Development (Child Development) (Head Start) | Total budgetary resources 7,000,000 |
| | Amount proposed for rescission $ 7,000,000 |
| OMB identification code: 09-80-0136-0-1-501 | Legal authority (in addition to sec. 1012): ☐ Antideficiency Act |
| Grant program ☒ Yes ☐ No | ☐ Other ____ |
| Type of account or fund: ☐ Annual ☒ Multiple-year Oct. 31, 1975 (expiration date) ☐ No-year | Type of budget authority: ☒ Appropriation ☐ Contract authority ☐ Other ____ |

## Justification

The annual funding level for this program under the FY 1975 Second Supplemental appropriation exceeds the 1975 Budget request by $26,700,000. Of this increase, which is available through October 31, 1975, $19,700,000 has already been obligated and will provide adequate funding for services to handicapped children. The remaining $7,000,000 is proposed for rescission.

## Estimated Effects

The $7,000,000 that is proposed for rescission represents the amount in excess of the estimated budgetary requirements to initiate special services for handicapped children enrolled in Head Start. The President has requested $20 million for the handicapped in the FY 1976 budget, and $8 million from the FY 1975 Supplemental appropriation has been forward funded for school year 1975-1976. In addition, most of the cost-of-living increase provided in the Supplemental appropriation is targeted on school year 1975-1976. These resources are sufficient to ensure services to handicapped children until the FY 1976 Appropriation Act is enacted.

Outlay Effect (estimated in tenths of millions of dollars)

Comparison with President's 1976 Budget:

| | | |
|---|---|---|
| 1. | Budget outlay estimate for 1976 | 0 |
| 2. | Outlay savings, if any, included in the budget outlay estimate | 0 |

Current Outlay Estimates for 1976:

| | | |
|---|---|---|
| 3. | Without rescission | 5.7 |
| 4. | With rescission | 0 |
| 5. | Current outlay savings (line 3 - line 4) | 5.7 |

Outlay Savings for the Transition Quarter ..... 1.0

Outlay Savings for 1977 ..... .3

DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH, EDUCATION, AND WELFARE

ASSISTANT SECRETARY FOR HUMAN DEVELOPMENT

Appropriations provided under this head in the Second Supplemental Appropriations Act, 1975, for carrying out Title V, Part A, of the Community Services Act of 1974, are rescinded in the amount of $7,000,000.

Rescission Proposal No: R76-6

PROPOSED RESCISSION OF BUDGET AUTHORITY

Report Pursuant to Section 1012 of P.L. 93-344

| | |
|---|---|
| Agency Interior | New budget authority (P.L. 87-122) $47,500,000 |
| Bureau Bureau of Mines | Other budgetary resources 17,944,540 |
| Appropriation title & symbol<br>Helium Fund<br>14X4053 | Total budgetary resources 65,444,540 |
| | Amount proposed for rescission $47,500,000 |
| OMB identification code:<br>10-32-4053-0-3-306 | Legal authority (in addition to sec. 1012):<br>☐ Antideficiency Act |
| Grant program ☐ Yes ☒ No | ☐ Other |
| Type of account or fund:<br>☐ Annual<br>☐ Multiple-year (expiration date)<br>☒ No-year | Type of budget authority:<br>☐ Appropriation<br>☒ Contract authority<br>☐ Other |

Justification

- Summary

Contract authority of $47,500,000 was provided annually for purchase of helium by PL 87-122. Government purchases of helium have been terminated, however, and no resumption of purchasing is anticipated at this time. Rescission of the FY 1976 contract authority is proposed since previous contracts have been terminated and new contracts cannot be justified.

- Reasons for Contracts

Since its discovery in 1895 until the early 1960's, helium was produced and sold only by the Federal Government. Demand for helium for traditional uses, such as welding and leak detection, grew over the years but was greatly expanded in the late 1950's with NASA and Defense efforts which used helium in rocket programs. Projection of such demand led to the belief that helium concentrated in producing natural gas wells should be extracted and stored for future Government needs. This led to amendments of the Helium Act (50 USC 167) which authorized the Department of Interior to enter into helium purchase contracts with natural gas producers and to stockpile the acquired helium.

- General Program Provisions

The program was to be self-liquidating by (1) fixing the price to recover all costs over the life of the contracts and (2) requiring all Government agencies to purchase helium from the Government at that price. A general decline in the market price for helium frustrated the Government's efforts to liquidate the program. The four contracts written under the program in 1961 permitted termination by the Government in the event that new sources of helium were discovered or demand was substantially diminished.

- Program Problems

By 1971 significant changes in helium demand had occurred. NASA and DOD efforts had either been cut back or new methods not requiring helium had been adopted. By decision of Acting Interior Secretary Russell, the contracts were terminated because of changes in helium demand forecasts. The four contract helium producers sued the Government, one for breach of contract, the others on grounds that the Government's decision had not been in compliance with the National Environmental Policy Act (NEPA). Results of these suits led to a second termination action as to three of the contractors by Secretary Morton in February, 1973.

The contracts are no longer in force, but court action continues. Suits by three of the contractors, claiming damages for breach of contract, are pending in the U.S. Court of Claims. The Government's liability, if any, in these cases will not be finally determined before the end of the current fiscal year.

- Present Efforts in the Helium Program

The Government still has a helium program, which is funded from the Helium Fund. Elements of this program are

° production and sale of helium by the Department of the Interior

° maintenance of stockpiled helium.

Nearly 64 billion cubic feet (bcf) of helium are in storage or in proved non-depleting reserves. Current annual domestic use is about 1/2 bcf. The Department of the Interior is developing a management and pricing policy for the stored helium.

New contracts by which the Government would purchase and store helium at public expense are not justifiable in view of past experience and the estimated future availability of and demand

for helium. The April 11, 1975, ERDA study* on helium discusses in detail historical events and possible courses of public policy toward helium. The report recommends that the Government authorize storage of privately owned helium in Government facilities, but does not recommend reinstitution of Government purchase contracts.

Without helium purchase contracts, the contract authority cannot be expended. Under these conditions, rescission of the FY 1976 contract authority is proposed.

Estimated Effects

No effect is projected. The authority would remain unused even if not rescinded because no contracts exist for expenditures.

Outlay Effect (estimated millions of dollars)

| | |
|---|---|
| Comparison with President's 1976 Budget: | |
| 1. Budget outlay estimate for 1976 ..... | .5 |
| 2. Outlay savings, if any, included in the budget outlay estimate ..... | 0 |
| Current Outlay Estimates for 1976: | |
| 3. Without rescission..... | .5 |
| 4. With rescission..... | .5 |
| 5. Current outlay savings (line 3 - line 4).... | 0 |
| Outlay Savings for the Transition Quarter ..... | 0 |
| Outlay Savings for 1977 ..... | 0 |

* "The Energy Related Applications of Helium"

DEPARTMENT OF INTERIOR

BUREAU OF MINES

Helium Fund

Contract authority under this head provided by Public Law 87-122 for the fiscal year 1976 is rescinded in the amount of $47,500,000.

Recission Proposal No: R76-7

## PROPOSED RESCISSION OF BUDGET AUTHORITY

Report Pursuant to Section 1012 of P.L. 93-344

| | |
|---|---|
| Agency Community Services Administration | New budget authority (P.L. 94-32) $8,800,000 |
| Bureau | Other budgetary resources - 0 - |
| Appropriation title & symbol<br>Economic Opportunity Program<br>Executive 1975 - 815/60500<br>(Research and Demonstration) | Total budgetary resources 8,800,000 |
| | Amount proposed for rescission $2,500,000 |
| OMB identification code:<br>30-39-0500-0-1-451 | Legal authority (in addition to sec. 1012)<br>☐ Antideficiency Act |
| Grant program ☒ Yes ☐ No | ☐ Other |
| Type of account or fund:<br>☒ Annual 9-30-75 expiration date<br>☐ Multiple-year (expiration date)<br>☐ No-year | Type of budget authority:<br>☒ Appropriation<br>☐ Contract authority<br>☐ Other |

Justification

A rescission of appropriation authority under Section 1012 of the Impoundment Control Act of 1974 (P.L. 93-344) is proposed for $2.5 million of the Research and Demonstration program. The R&D program duplicates efforts of other government agencies.

Early in FY 1974 the Office of Economic Opportunity, predecessor agency to the Community Services Administration, transferred by delegations of authorities all of its R&D functions to various Federal agencies with the major portion of the activity going to the Department of Health, Education, and Welfare. The Assistant Secretary for Planning and Evaluation under HEW presently has the responsibility of conducting social policy research and demonstration programs

dealing with the basic causes of poverty and dependency and methods for preventing and eliminating them. The areas covered include income maintenance and employment, health insurance, the economics of poverty at the family and individual levels, and statistical activities. When the Congress appropriated $24,950,000 in FY 1975 for this R&D function in HEW, concern was voiced in both the House and Senate over the possibility of overlapping efforts of R&D activities being carried on by the Federal Government. The President has proposed eliminating some of this duplication of effort by phasing down in FY 1974 and FY 1975 CSA's R&D responsibilities and transferring them to the appropriate agencies. The add-on of $2.5 million to the CSA Research and Demonstration appropriation clearly reverses the trend both the President and the Congress have sought to follow, since it will duplicate those efforts of HEW.

Estimated Effects

The Senate Report No. 94-137 accompanying the Second Supplemental Appropriations Bill, 1975, reads in part ". . . $2,500,000 will provide for expansion of the basic skills learning centers demonstration programs." CSA is currently funding two demonstration projects, begun in FY 1974, that are designed to improve reading and math skills of children below the national norms. Evaluations of the program, warranting either continuation or expansion, have not been completed. Without the $2.5 million add-on, funding from CSA for one of the centers will end in September 1975 and, for the second project, in September 1976. At that time these demonstration projects will have to seek funding from other sources, such as HEW or State/local agencies. This rescission proposal of $2.5 million will have no adverse effect on operations of the Community Services Administration.

Outlay Effect (estimated in tenths of millions of dollars)

| | |
|---|---|
| Outlay savings for 1975 | $ 0 |
| Comparison with President's 1976 Budget: | |
| 1. Budget outlay estimate for 1976 | 0 |
| 2. Outlay savings, if any, included in the budget outlay estimate | 0 |
| Current outlay estimates for 1976: | |
| 3. Without rescission | 2.5 |
| 4. With rescission | .0 |
| 5. Current outlay savings (line 3 - line 4) | 2.5 |
| Outlay savings for the Transition Quarter | 0 |
| Outlay savings for 1977 | 0 |

3

COMMUNITY SERVICES ADMINISTRATION

Community Services Program

Appropriations provided for the Research and Demonstration program under this head in the Second Supplemental Appropriations Act, 1975 are rescinded in the amount of $2,500,000.

Recission Proposal No: R76-8

## PROPOSED RESCISSION OF BUDGET AUTHORITY

Report Pursuant to Section 1012 of P.L. 93-344

| | |
|---|---|
| Agency: Community Services Administration<br>Bureau: | New budget authority (P.L. 94-32): $ 61,000,000<br>Other budgetary resources: - 0 -<br>Total budgetary resources: 61,000,000 |
| Appropriation title & symbol:<br>Economic Opportunity Program<br>Executive 1975 - 815/60500<br>(Community Economic Development) | Amount proposed for rescission: $ 7,500,000 |
| OMB identification code:<br>30-39-0500-0-1-451<br>Grant program ☒ Yes ☐ No | Legal authority (in addition to sec. 1012):<br>☐ Antideficiency Act<br>☐ Other |
| Type of account or fund:<br>☒ Annual 9-30-75 expiration date<br>☐ Multiple-year (expiration date)<br>☐ No-year | Type of budget authority:<br>☒ Appropriation<br>☐ Contract authority<br>☐ Other |

Justification

A rescission of appropriation authority under Section 1012 of the Impoundment Control Act of 1974 (P.L. 93-344) is proposed for $7.5 million of the Community Economic Development program.

Without the $7.5 million add-on, CSA already operates 35 Special Impact programs under Community Economic Development through community based organizations called Community Development Corporations. The CDC's invest in either on-going or newly created business ventures that are designed both to employ and train low-income persons, and to upgrade the community in which they live. The program is demonstration in nature. Depending on the size of the ventures, it could take up to several years to properly evaluate the effectiveness of just the CDC's now in operation. Future evaluations may prove that some programs could effectively be expanded and that others failed to accomplish the program's goals.

But because such conclusive evaluations have not yet been made and the Federal Government is already spending $39 million for these high risk business ventures, it is clearly not the time to invest an additional $7.5 million for more projects until the success of the program can be determined.

An additional reason for rescinding the $7.5 million is that the Community Economic Development program has objectives very similar to those of the Office of Minority Business Enterprise and Economic Development Administration in the Department of Commerce and therefore the $7.5 million add-on only accentuates this duplication of Federal efforts. Recognizing the programs' similarities, the Congress provided in P.L. 93-644 that if the President chooses to submit a reorganization plan for the Community Services Administration, the functions of Community Economic Development are to be transferred to the Department of Commerce.

Estimated Effects

The rescission proposal of $7.5 million of the Community Economic Development program will not have any adverse effect on existing operations of the program. The appropriation will remain at $39 million to continue on-going CED projects at their present 1975 funding levels.

| Outlay Effect (estimated in tenths of millions of dollars) | |
|---|---|
| Outlay savings for 1975 | $ 0 |
| Comparison with President's 1976 Budget: | |
| 1. Budget outlay estimate for 1976 | 39.0 |
| 2. Outlay savings, if any, included in the budget outlay estimate | 0 |
| Current Outlay Estimates for 1976: | |
| 3. Without rescission | 46.5 |
| 4. With rescission | 39.0 |
| 5. Current outlay savings (line 3 - line 4) | 7.5 |
| Outlay savings for the Transition Quarter | 0 |
| Outlay savings for 1977 | 0 |

3

COMMUNITY SERVICES ADMINISTRATION

Community Services Program

Appropriations provided for the Community Economic Development program under this head in the Second Supplemental Appropriations Act, 1975 are rescinded in the amount of $7,500,000.

Deferral No: D76-28

# DEFERRAL OF BUDGET AUTHORITY

Report Pursuant to Section 1013 of P.L. 93-344

| | |
|---|---|
| Agency U.S. Department of Agriculture | New budget authority (P.L. 94-41) $ 31,666,666 |
| Bureau Agricultural Stabilization and Conservation Service | Other budgetary resources -- |
| Appropriation title & symbol<br>Agricultural Conservation Program - 1976<br>126/83315 | Total budgetary resources 31,666,666 |
| | Amount to be deferred:<br>Part of year $ 31,666,666<br>Entire year |
| OMB identification code:<br>05-60-3315-0-1-302 | Legal authority (in addition to sec. 1013):<br>☐ Antideficiency Act |
| Grant program ☐ Yes ☒ No | ☐ Other |
| Type of account or fund:<br>☐ Annual<br>☒ Multiple-year 12/31/76 (expiration date)<br>☐ No-year | Type of budget authority:<br>☐ Appropriation<br>☒ Contract authority<br>☐ Other |

Justification

This action would defer $31,666,666 of contract authority for the first quarter for the 1976 Agricultural Conservation Program (ACP), authorized in the Joint Resolution continuing appropriations for fiscal year 1976.

Funds were not requested for this program in the fiscal year 1976 Budget but were included in P.L. 94-41, which provides for continuing the operations of the Federal Government until such time as 1976 appropriations are enacted or sine die adjournment of the first session of the 94th Congress.

The obligation of any of these funds is being deferred until such time as both Houses of the Congress have reviewed the merits of the President's proposal to terminate the program and made a final decision on this proposal in the form of the 1976 appropriations act. When the act is approved, the President will then review the action of the Congress in light of the situation existing at that time and determine whether or not to carry out the program if funded or to request a rescission or deferral of the amounts provided.

Although the Continuing Resolution covers roughly the first half of fiscal year 1976, deferral is proposed for the first quarter only since action on the appropriations bill is expected sometime during the first quarter.

Estimated Effects

Since the Agricultural Conservation Program (ACP) is generally not announced until October, the temporary deferral of the $31.7 million authorized for the first quarter would have no significant effect on the operation of the 1976 ACP. If a decision is made to implement the program after enactment of the appropriation bill, the outlay savings projected for FY 1977 would not be realized.

Outlay Effect (Estimated in Tenths of Millions of Dollars)

| | |
|---|---|
| Comparison with President's 1976 Budget: | |
| 1. Budget outlay estimate for 1976 | 138.8 a/ |
| 2. Outlay savings, if any, included in the budget outlay estimate | 0 |
| Current Outlay Estimates for 1976: | |
| 3. Without deferral | 138.8 a/ |
| 4. With deferral | 138.8 a/ |
| 5. Current outlay savings | 0 |
| Outlay Savings for the Transition Quarter | 0 |
| Outlay Savings for 1977 | 0 |

a/ Includes effect of Budget Amendment.

Deferral No: D76-29

## DEFERRAL OF BUDGET AUTHORITY

Report Pursuant to Section 1013 of P.L. 93-344

| | |
|---|---|
| Agency U.S. Department of Agriculture | New budget authority (P.L. 94-41) $ 535,882 |
| Bureau Agricultural Stabilization and Conservation Service | Other budgetary resources -- |
| Appropriation title & symbol<br>Water Bank Act Program - 1976<br>12X3320 | Total budgetary resources 535,882 |
| | Amount to be deferred:<br>Part of year $ 535,882<br>Entire year |
| OMB identification code:<br>05-60-3320-0-1-302 | Legal authority (in addition to sec. 1013):<br>☐ Antideficiency Act |
| Grant program ☐ Yes ☒ No | ☐ Other |
| Type of account or fund:<br>☐ Annual<br>☐ Multiple-year _____ (expiration date)<br>☒ No-year | Type of budget authority:<br>☒ Appropriation<br>☐ Contract authority<br>☐ Other |

Justification

This action would defer $535,882 of no-year funds, for the first quarter of FY 1976. The Agriculture-Environmental and Consumer Protection Appropriation Act, 1975, provided $10,000,000 for the Water Bank Program. Congress approved a rescission of $7,856,470, which left a balance of $2,143,530 available from the 1975 appropriation in addition to unobligated balances from prior years to fund a 1975 program. All of the unobligated balances and the balance of the 1975 appropriations were used to announce a 1975 program of $14,356,470 in March 1975.

Funds were not requested for this program in the fiscal year 1976 budget but were included in P.L. 94-41, which provides for continuing the operations of the Federal Government until such time as 1976 appropriations are enacted or sine die adjournment of the first session of the 94th Congress.

The obligation of any of these funds is being deferred until such time as both Houses of the Congress have reviewed the merits of the President's proposal to terminate the program and made a final decision on this proposal in the form of the 1976 appropriations act. When the act is approved, the President will then review the action of the Congress in light of the situation existing at that time and determine whether or not to carry out the program, if funded, or to request a rescission or deferral of the amounts provided.

Although the Continuing Resolution covers roughly the first half of fiscal year 1976, deferral is proposed for the first quarter only, since action on the appropriations bill is expected sometime during the first quarter.

Estimated Effects:

The temporary deferral of $535,882 would have no significant effect on the Water Bank Program in FY 1976. A program could be announced and carried out after the end of the first quarter.

Outlay Effect: (estimated in tenths of millions of dollars)

| | |
|---|---|
| Comparison with President's 1976 Budget: | |
| 1. Budget outlay estimate for 1976 | 2.0 |
| 2. Outlay savings, if any, included in the budget outlay estimate | 0 |
| Current Outlay Estimates for 1976: | |
| 3. Without deferral | 2.1 |
| 4. With deferral | 2.0 |
| 5. Current outlay savings (line 3 minus line 4) | .1 |
| Outlay Savings for the Transition Quarter | 0 |
| Outlay Savings for 1977 | .1 |

Deferral No: D76-30

# DEFERRAL OF BUDGET AUTHORITY

Report Pursuant to Section 1013 of P.L. 93-344

| | |
|---|---|
| Agency U.S. Department of Agriculture | New budget authority (P.L. 94-41) $3,750,000 |
| Bureau Agricultural Stabilization and Conservation Service | Other budgetary resources |
| Appropriation title & symbol<br>Forestry Incentives Program - 1976<br>12X3336 | Total budgetary resources 3,750,000 |
| | Amount to be deferred:<br>Part of year $3,750,000<br>Entire year |
| OMB identification code:<br>05-60-3336-0-1-302 | Legal authority (in addition to sec. 1013):<br>☐ Antideficiency Act |
| Grant program ☐ Yes ☒ No | ☐ Other |
| Type of account or fund:<br>☐ Annual<br>☐ Multiple-year (expiration date)<br>☒ No-year | Type of budget authority:<br>☒ Appropriation<br>☐ Contract authority<br>☐ Other |

Justification

Funds were not requested for this program in the fiscal year 1976 Budget but were included in P.L. 94-41, which provides for continuing the operations of the Federal Government until such time as 1976 appropriations are enacted or sine die adjournment of the first session of the 94th Congress.

The obligation of any of these funds is being deferred until such time as both Houses of the Congress have reviewed the merits of the President's proposal to terminate the program and made a final decision on this proposal in the form of the 1976 appropriations act. When the act is approved, the President will then review the action of the Congress in light of the situation existing at that time and determine whether or not to carry out the program if funded or to request a rescission or deferral of the amounts provided.

Although the Continuing Resolution covers roughly the first half of fiscal year 1976, deferral is proposed for the first quarter only since action on the appropriations bill is expected sometime during the first quarter.

Estimated Effects

This action would defer implementation of the program until such time as decision is made after enactment of the appropriation bill. If the decision is made to implement the program at that time, the following outlay savings would not be realized.

Outlay Effect (Estimated in Tenths of Millions of Dollars)

Comparison with President's 1976 Budget:

| | |
|---|---|
| 1. Budget outlay estimate for 1976 | 0 |
| 2. Outlay savings, if any, included in the budget outlay estimate | 0 |

Current Outlay Estimates for 1976:

| | |
|---|---|
| 3. Without deferral | 2.0 |
| 4. With deferral | 0 |
| 5. Current outlay savings (line 3 minus line 4) | 2.0 |
| Outlay Savings for the Transition Quarter | .3 |
| Outlay Savings for 1977 | 1.2 |

Deferral No: D76-31

DEFERRAL OF BUDGET AUTHORITY

Report Pursuant to Section 1013 of P.L. 93-344

| | |
|---|---|
| Agency U.S. Department of Agriculture | New budget authority (P.L. 94-41) $ 187,500,000 |
| Bureau Farmers Home Administration | Other budgetary resources 1,000,000 |
| Appropriation title & symbol<br>Rural Water and Waste Disposal Grants - 12X2066 | Total budgetary resources 188,500,000 |
| | Amount to be deferred:<br>Part of year $ 37,500,000<br>Entire year ____ |
| CMB identification code: 05-75-2066-0-1-451 | Legal authority (in addition to sec. 1013):<br>☐ Antideficiency Act<br>☐ Other ____ |
| Grant program ☒ Yes ☐ No | |
| Type of account or fund:<br>☐ Annual<br>☐ Multiple-year ____ (expiration date)<br>☒ No-year | Type of budget authority:<br>☒ Appropriation<br>☐ Contract authority<br>☐ Other ____ |

Justification

Under the continuing resolution passed by Congress for FY 1976, a direct appropriation of $150 million in grant funds was authorized for the fiscal year in addition to the amounts provided by the rate provision of that act. This $150 million will be allocated on a quarterly basis for use in funding projects as proposed in the 1976 budget. The additional $37.5 million authorized for the first quarter of FY 1976 will be deferred until Congress has made a final determination of the total 1976 appropriation level.

Although the Continuing Resolution covers roughly the first half of fiscal year 1976, deferral is proposed for the first quarter only since action on the appropriation bill is expected sometime during the first quarter.

Estimated Effects

Because projects are developed before they are ready for funding and obligation, this deferral would have less than a six percent impact on the program for all of 1976. Because the deferral is proposed to extend only through the period of the continuing resolution, the deferral may have no impact at all. If the Agriculture Appropriations Act is passed early enough in the year and the Administration carries out the funding provided in it for this program, the presently-proposed delays can be overcome.

Outlay Effect (in millions of dollars)

| | |
|---|---|
| Comparison with President's 1976 Budget: | |
| 1. Budget outlay estimate for 1976 | 66.0 |
| 2. Outlay savings, if any, included in the budget outlay estimate | 0 |
| Current Outlay Estimates for 1976: | |
| 3. Without deferral | 70.0 |
| 4. With deferral | 66.0 |
| 5. Current outlay savings (line 3 - line 4) | 4.0 |
| Outlay Savings for the Transition Quarter | 4.0 |
| Outlay Savings for 1977 | 14.0 |

Deferral No: D76-32

DEFERRAL OF BUDGET AUTHORITY
Report Pursuant to Section 1013 of P.L. 93-344

| | |
|---|---|
| Agency U.S. Department of Agriculture | New budget authority (P.L. 94-41) $1,250,000 |
| Bureau Farmers Home Administration | Other budgetary resources -- |
| Appropriation title & symbol<br>Rural Housing for Domestic Farm Labor Grants - 12X2004 | Total budgetary resources 1,250,000 |
| | Amount to be deferred:<br>Part of year $1,250,000<br>Entire year _____ |
| OMB identification code:<br>05-75-2004-0-1-401 | Legal authority (in addition to sec. 1013):<br>☐ Antideficiency Act<br>☐ Other _____ |
| Grant program ☒ Yes ☐ No | |
| Type of account or fund:<br>☐ Annual<br>☐ Multiple-year _____ (expiration date)<br>☒ No-year | Type of budget authority:<br>☒ Appropriation<br>☐ Contract authority<br>☐ Other _____ |

Justification

Funds were not requested for this program in the fiscal year 1976 Budget but were included in P.L. 94-41, which provides for continuing the operations of the Federal Government until such time as 1976 appropriations are enacted or sine die adjournment of the first session of the 94th Congress.

The obligation of any of these funds is being deferred until such time as both Houses of the Congress have reviewed the merits of the President's proposal to terminate the program and made a final decision on this proposal in the form of the 1976 appropriations act. When the act is approved, the President will then review the action of the Congress in light of the situation existing at that time and determine whether or not to carry out the program if funded or to request a rescission or deferral of the amounts provided.

Although the Continuing Resolution covers roughly the first half of fiscal year 1976, deferral is proposed for the first quarter only since action on the appropriations bill is expected sometime during the first quarter.

Estimated Effects

The Labor Housing Loans and Grants represent a very small percentage of total funding for FmHA housing programs. The deferral of this program would limit its outlays by only about three percent if it remained in effect throughout 1976. Since the deferral is proposed only until action is completed on the regular appropriations, the actual effect--assuming rapid action on the regular appropriations and Administration implementation of them--could be negligible. The proposal being preserved by this deferral would have its greatest effect on future years.

Outlay Effect (in millions of dollars)

| | |
|---|---|
| Comparison with President's 1976 Budget: | |
| 1. Budget outlay estimate for 1976 | $6.3 |
| 2. Outlay savings, if any, included in the budget outlay estimate | 0 |
| Current Outlay Estimates for 1976: | |
| 3. Without deferral | 6.5 |
| 4. With deferral | 6.3 |
| 5. Current outlay savings (line 3 - line 4) | .2 |
| Outlay Savings for the Transition Quarter | .2 |
| Outlay Savings for 1977 | .7 |

Deferral No: D76-33

## DEFERRAL OF BUDGET AUTHORITY

Report Pursuant to Section 1013 of P.L. 93-344

| | |
|---|---|
| Agency U.S. Department of Agriculture | New budget authority (P.L. 94-41) $ 1,250,000 |
| Bureau Farmers Home Administration | Other budgetary resources 800,000 |
| Appropriation title & symbol<br>Mutual and Self-Help Housing Grants<br>12X2006 | Total budgetary resources 2,050,000 |
| | Amount to be deferred:<br>Part of year $ 2,050,000<br>Entire year ____ |
| OMB identification code:<br>05-75-2006-0-1-401 | Legal authority (in addition to sec. 1013):<br>☐ Antideficiency Act<br>☐ Other ____ |
| Grant program ☒ Yes ☐ No | |
| Type of account or fund:<br>☐ Annual<br>☐ Multiple-year ____ (expiration date)<br>☒ No-year | Type of budget authority:<br>☒ Appropriation<br>☐ Contract authority<br>☐ Other ____ |

Justification

Funds were not requested for this program in the fiscal year 1976 budget but were included in P.L. 94-41, which provides for continuing the operations of the Federal Government until such time as 1976 appropriations are enacted or sine die adjournment of the first session of the 94th Congress.

The obligation of any of these funds is being deferred until such time as both Houses of the Congress have reviewed the merits of the President's proposal to terminate the program and made a final decision on this proposal in the form of the 1976 appropriations act. When the act is approved, the President will then review the action of the Congress in light of the situation existing at that time and determine whether or not to carry out the program if funded or to request a rescission or deferral of the amounts provided.

Although the Continuing Resolution covers roughly the first half of fiscal year 1976, deferral is proposed for the first quarter only since action on the appropriations bill is expected sometime during the first quarter.

Estimated Effects

Although a significant effort was made by the field staffs, this agency had difficulty finding enough eligible applicants or grantees that could perform satisfactorily to utilize the grant funds available in FY 1975. Because the process of identifying grantee recipients is also likely to be difficult this year, it is unlikely that the deferred funds would be obligated before the regular appropriations are enacted. Thus, the actual effects of this deferral--assuming rapid action on the regular appropriations and Administration implementation of them--could be negligible. The proposal being preserved by this deferral would have its greatest effect on future years.

Outlay Effect (Dollars in millions)

| | |
|---|---|
| Comparison with President's 1976 Budget: | |
| 1. Budget outlay estimate for 1976 | $.7 |
| 2. Outlay savings; if any, included in the budget outlay estimate | 0 |
| Current Outlay Estimates for 1976: | |
| 3. Without rescission | .9 |
| 4. With rescission | .7 |
| 5. Current outlay savings (line 3 - line 4) | .2 |
| Outlay Savings for the Transition Quarter | .2 |
| Outlay Savings for 1977 | 1.3 |

Deferral No: D76-34

# DEFERRAL OF BUDGET AUTHORITY

Report Pursuant to Section 1013 of P.L. 93-344

| | |
|---|---|
| Agency U.S. DEPARTMENT OF AGRICULTURE | New budget authority (P.L. 94-41) $ ____ |
| Bureau Farmers Home Administration | Other budgetary resources 1,625,000 |
| Appropriation title & symbol: Self-Help Housing Land Development Fund 12X4222 | Total budgetary resources 1,625,000 |
| | Amount to be deferred: Part of year $ 1,625,000 |
| | Entire year ____ |
| OMB identification code: 05-75-4222-0-3-401 | Legal authority (in addition to sec. 1013): ☐ Antideficiency Act |
| Grant program ☐ Yes ☒ No | ☐ Other ____ |
| Type of account or fund: ☐ Annual ☐ Multiple-year ____ (expiration date) ☒ No-year | Type of budget authority: ☒ Appropriation ☐ Contract authority ☐ Other ____ |

Justification

No program was planned for FY 1976 in the 1976 Budget. These loans are associated with mutual and self-help grants and consequently we are proposing to defer these funds until determination can be made on the mutual and self-help grant program.

Estimated Effects

Of the $900,000 in loan funds available for this program for FY 1975, only $89,000 was obligated. Since the demand for this program has been very limited, no significant effects would result from the deferral of this program in the first quarter of FY 1976. The full year outlay effects are shown below.

Outlay Effects: (Dollars in millions)

| | |
|---|---|
| Comparison with President's 1976 Budget: | |
| 1. Budget outlay estimate for 1976..... | .2 |
| 2. Outlay savings, if any, included in the budget outlay estimate..... | .0 |
| Current Outlay Estimates for 1976: | |
| 3. Without deferral..... | .8 |
| 4. With deferral..... | .2 |
| 5. Current outlay savings (line 3-line 4)..... | .6 |
| Outlay Savings for the Transition Quarter..... | .2 |
| Outlay Savings for 1977..... | .8 |

Deferral No: D76-35

# DEFERRAL OF BUDGET AUTHORITY

Report Pursuant to Section 1013 of P.L. 93-344

| | |
|---|---|
| Agency U.S. Department of Agriculture | New budget authority (P.L. 94-41) $ 400,000 |
| Bureau Agricultural Marketing Service | Other budgetary resources -- |
| Appropriation title & symbol<br>1262501 - Payments to States and Possessions, Agricultural Marketing Service | Total budgetary resources 400,000 |
| | Amount to be deferred:<br>Part of year $ 400,000<br>Entire year |
| OMB identification code:<br>05-81-2501-0-1-352 | Legal authority (in addition to sec. 1013):<br>☐ Antideficiency Act |
| Grant program ☒ Yes ☐ No | ☐ Other |
| Type of account or fund:<br>☒ Annual<br>☐ Multiple-year _____ (expiration date)<br>☐ No-year | Type of budget authority:<br>☒ Appropriation<br>☐ Contract authority<br>☐ Other |

Justification

Funds were not requested for this program in the fiscal year 1976 Budget, but were included in P.L. 94-41, which provides for continuing the operations of the Federal Government until such time as 1976 appropriations are enacted or sine die adjournment of the first session of the 94th Congress.

The obligation of any of these funds is being deferred until such time as both Houses of the Congress have reviewed the merits of the President's proposal to terminate the program and made a decision on this proposal in the form of the 1976 appropriations act. When the act is approved, the President will then review the action of the Congress in light of the situation existing at that time and determine whether or not to carry out the program if funded or to request a rescission or deferral of the amounts provided.

Although the Continuing Resolution covers roughly the first half of fiscal year 1976, deferral is proposed for the first quarter only, since action on the appropriations bill is expected sometime during the first quarter.

Estimated Effects

The Payments to States program has been in effect since 1948, and during that period of time, most State departments of agriculture have received increased appropriations from their States in response to their marketing service needs. As these State marketing appropriations have gradually built up over the years, the relative importance of Federal funds under this program has gradually diminished. Therefore, the effect of deferring the small number of projects which would normally be approved in this period would have little impact on the marketing projects carried out by the States.

| Outlay Effect: | Dollars in Millions |
|---|---|
| Comparison with President's 1976 Budget: | |
| 1. Budget outlay estimate for 1976 | -- |
| 2. Outlay savings, if any, included in the budget outlay estimate | -- |
| Current outlay estimate for 1976: | |
| 3. Without deferral | $.4 |
| 4. With deferral | -- |
| 5. Current outlay savings | $.4 |
| Outlay savings for the Transition Quarter | -- |
| Outlay savings for 1977 | -- |

Deferral No: D76-36
Sheet 1 of 2

DEFERRAL OF BUDGET AUTHORITY
Report Pursuant to Section 1013 of P.L. 93-344

Agency
DEPARTMENT OF AGRICULTURE

Bureau
FOREST SERVICE

Appropriation title & symbol
12X2262 Forest Roads and Trails
12X5203 Roads and Trails for States, National Forests Fund

OMB identification code:
05-96-2262-0-1-302

Grant program ☐ Yes ☒ No

Type of account or fund:
☐ Annual
☐ Multiple-year _____ (expiration date)
☒ No-year

| | |
|---|---|
| New budget authority (P.L. 93-87) | $ 47,975,000 |
| Other budgetary resources | 418,286,000 |
| Total budgetary resources | 466,261,000 |
| Amount to be deferred: | |
| Part of year | $ - - |
| Entire year | 280,000,000 |

Legal authority (in addition to sec. 1013):
☐ Antideficiency Act
☐ Other _____

Type of budget authority:
☐ Appropriation
☒ Contract authority
☐ Other _____

Justification: The Federal-Aid Highway Act of 1973 provided contract authority of $140 million for each of fiscal years 1974, 1975, and 1976. This contract authority is available one year before and two years subsequent to the year for which it is provided. For 1976, $160,538,000 is proposed for the Forest Roads and Trails program and represents the funding required to support resource development and use plans for the national forest system in 1976. This amount is made up of $110,563,000 of 1974 contract authority made available for use through 1976, $47,975,000 available from 10 percent of 1976 national forest receipts (16 U.S.C. 501) and $2,000,000 in anticipated reimbursements. Of the remaining $305,723,000 budgetary resources from the 1973 Federal-Aid Highway Act, $25,723,000 is proposed for rescission as it represents 1974 contract authority which will lapse at the end of fiscal year 1976, and $280,000,000 is being deferred.

The amount of road development in any one year is based on estimated requirements to meet the objective for resource development and use plans on national forests. Roads are also constructed by timber purchasers in connection with timber sale operations. These roads are closely related to individual timber sales and are appropriately considered to be a cost of the sale.

An appropriation of $108.2 million for 1976 is anticipated to pay for obligations of the prior years which will be due for payment in fiscal year 1976 and to pay the portion of the 1976 obligations of the $110.6 million contract authority which will require cash payment in fiscal year 1976. Additional obligations in 1976 would increase 1976 cash requirements beyond the level of the anticipated appropriation. Thus the amount of contract authority requested reflects the level of obligations anticipated to be approved by the Congress.

Estimated Effects: Obligations of major amounts of funds proposed to be deferred would not be possible as planning and other pre-construction activities have not been accomplished. Therefore, if these funds were made available for obligation, investment could result in opportunities likely to yield low returns, investments not coordinated with other resource development activities, and a program level for which comprehensive plans have not been prepared.
If the contract authority proposed for rescission or for deferral were to be made available, obligations in 1976 would increase by about $18,000,000. Greater increases are unlikely because necessary planning and other preconstruction activities have not been accomplished. The outlay effect would be $4,000,000 in fiscal year 1976, $1,000,000 in the transition quarter, $11,000,000 in fiscal year 1977, and $2,000,000 in fiscal year 1978. This is the same result as discussed under the proposed rescission for this appropriation.

Outlay Effect:* (estimated in tenths of millions of dollars)

| | |
|---|---|
| Comparison with President's 1976 Budget: | |
| 1. Budget outlay estimate for 1976 | $103.2 |
| 2. Outlay savings included in budget outlay estimate | -- |
| Current Outlay Estimates for 1976: | |
| 3. Without deferral | 107.2 |
| 4. With deferral | 103.2 |
| 5. Current outlay savings | 4.0 |
| 6. Transition quarter | 1.0 |
| Outlay savings for 1977 | 11.0 |

* $25,723,000 is proposed for rescission in this account. Both the statement of estimated effect and the outlay savings estimate treat the deferral and rescission as one reserve.

Deferral No: D76-37

DEFERRAL OF BUDGET AUTHORITY
Report Pursuant to Section 1013 of P.L. 93-344

| | |
|---|---|
| Agency: Agriculture | New budget authority (P.L. 16 USC 490): $33,000,000 |
| Bureau: Forest Service | Other budgetary resources: 26,141,027 |
| Appropriation title & symbol: Expense, Brush Disposal 12X5206 | Total budgetary resources: 59,141,027 |
| | Amount to be deferred: Part of year: $-- |
| | Entire year: 27,113,027 |
| OMB identification code: 05-96-9999-0-2-302 | Legal authority (in addition to sec. 1013): [X] Antideficiency Act |
| Grant program: [ ] Yes [X] No | [ ] Other |
| Type of account or fund: [ ] Annual [ ] Multiple-year (expiration date) [X] No-year | Type of budget authority: [X] Appropriation [ ] Contract authority [ ] Other |

Justification:

Purchasers of national forest timber are required to deposit the estimated cost to the Forest Service of disposing of brush and other debris resulting from their cutting operations pursuant to 16 USC 490. The deposits becoming available in the current year are estimated and the related disposal operations are planned for the following year. Efficient program planning and accomplishment is facilitated by carrying forward actual deposit balances and operating the program on actual deposits. The $27.1 million in reserve represents estimated deposits in fiscal year 1976. An apportionment of $32.0 million has been made to the Forest Service for this program in the current fiscal year compared with $29.6 million in 1975 and $25.0 million in 1974. The current fiscal year reserve of $27.1 million was established pursuant to the Antideficiency Act (31 USC 665) as a reserve for contingencies compared with reserves of $26.1 million in 1975 and $21.6 million in 1974.

Disposal operations related to deposits made during certain periods of the year cannot be initiated until weather conditions permit. Thus, seasonal factors frequently require deferring use of deposits until the following fiscal year.

Estimated Effects:

There are no programmatic or budgetary effects that result from this deferral action. Rather, the reserve merely reflects the seasonal nature of program funding requirements.

Outlay Effect (estimated in tenths of millions of dollars)

| | |
|---|---|
| Comparison with President's 1976 Budget: | |
| 1. Budget outlay estimate for 1976 | 31.0 |
| 2. Outlay savings, if any, included in the budget outlay estimate | -- |
| Current Outlay Estimates for 1976 | |
| 3. Without rescission | 31.0 |
| 4. With rescission | 31.0 |
| 5. Current outlay savings (line 3 - line 4) | -- |
| Outlay Savings for the Transition Quarter | -- |
| Outlay Savings for 1977 | -- |

Deferral No: D76-38

# DEFERRAL OF BUDGET AUTHORITY

Report Pursuant to Section 1013 of P.L. 93-344

| | |
|---|---|
| Agency: Agriculture | New budget authority (P.L. 18 USC 711): $250,000 |
| Bureau: Forest Service | Other budgetary resources: 173,499 |
| Appropriation title & symbol: Licensee Programs, Forest Service, 12X5214 | Total budgetary resources: 423,499 |
| | Amount to be deferred: Part of year: $ -- |
| | Entire year: 95,499 |
| OMB identification code: 05-96-9999-0-2-302 | Legal authority (in addition to sec. 1013): [X] Antideficiency Act |
| Grant program: [ ] Yes [X] No | [ ] Other |
| Type of account or fund: [ ] Annual; [ ] Multiple-year (expiration date); [X] No-year | Type of budget authority: [X] Appropriation; [ ] Contract authority; [ ] Other |

Justification:

Royalties collected under licenses for use of the character "Smokey Bear" and "Woodsy Owl" are permanently appropriated and utilized for furthering the nationwide forest fire prevention campaign and promoting the wise use of the environment as provided by the Act of May 23, 1952 (18 USC 711), and for Woodsy Owl, 31 USC 488b-3 - 6. The total budgetary resources available in this program for fiscal year 1976 consist of $173,499 in estimated receipts earned in fiscal year 1975 and $250,000 in receipts anticipated for fiscal year 1976. In keeping with routine financial management practices maintained over the years, $95,499 of the total budgetary resources available has been reserved. The reserve is justified on two grounds.

First, the reserve contributes to a consistent, stable program level from year to year which, in turn, promotes more efficient operations. The fiscal year 1976 program is being funded, in part, from reserved balances carried forward from last year. The 1977 program will be partially funded by the estimated receipts being deferred in fiscal year 1976.

Second, reservation of funds is required to avoid the possibility of a violation of the Antideficiency Act (31 USC 665, (a), (b), (h)). A violation of the sections cited could occur if all the estimates of receipts now deferred were made available and obligated while estimated receipts were not fully realized.

This reserve action is taken under provisions of the Antideficiency Act that authorize the establishment of reserves for contingencies (31 USC 665(c) (2)).

Estimated Effects:

The amount deferred has no effect on carrying out the fiscal year 1976 program level as planned. The deferral consists of anticipated receipts during fiscal year 1976 that will carry forward to insure a stable program level during fiscal year 1977.

Release of deferred funds would necessitate development of a plan for an expanded 1976 program.

Outlay Effect (estimated in tenths of millions of dollars)

| | |
|---|---|
| Comparison with President's 1976 Budget: | |
| 1. Budget outlay estimate for 1976 | .3 |
| 2. Outlay savings, if any, included in the Budget outlay estimate | -- |
| Current Outlay Estimates for 1976: | |
| 3. Without deferral | .3 |
| 4. With deferral | .3 |
| 5. Current outlay savings (line 3 - line 4) | -- |
| Outlay Savings for the Transition Quarter | -- |
| Outlay Savings for 1977 | -- |

Deferral No: D76-39

DEFERRAL OF BUDGET AUTHORITY
Report Pursuant to Section 1013 of P.L. 93-344

| | |
|---|---|
| Agency Department of Health, Education, and Welfare | New budget authority (P.L. ____) $ ____ |
| Bureau Health Services Administration | Other budgetary resources 1,000,000 |
| Appropriation title & symbol<br>Indian Health Facilities<br>75X3091<br>(Acoma-Laguna-Cononcito hospital) | Total budgetary resources 1,000,000 |
| | Amount to be deferred:<br>Part of year $ -- <br>Entire year 1,000,000 |
| OMB identification code:<br>09-15-0338-0-1-551 | Legal authority (in addition to sec. 1013):<br>☒ Antideficiency Act |
| Grant program ☐ Yes ☒ No | ☐ Other ____ |
| Type of account or fund:<br>☐ Annual<br>☐ Multiple-year ____ (expiration date)<br>☒ No-year | Type of budget authority:<br>☒ Appropriation<br>☐ Contract authority<br>☐ Other ____ |

Justification

P.L. 93-404, the Department of Interior and Related Agencies Appropriation Act, 1975, appropriated $57,431,000 for the construction of sanitation and health facilities for Indians. Of this amount, $1 million was added by the Congress for initiation of construction of the new Acoma-Laguna-Cononcito hospital at Acomita, New Mexico.

In the Conference Report on H.R. 16027, the conferees recognized that $1 million would not be sufficient to complete the project and urged HEW to submit a supplemental budget request for the balance of the costs. The total costs of completing the Acoma-Laguna-Cononcito hospital in 1976 are estimated by HEW to be $6.5 million.

As part of efforts to control overall Federal spending, however, the Administration is attempting to avoid requesting supplemental funds whenever there is the possibility of accomplishing programmatic responsibilities within existing resources. At HEW's request, the start-up funds were, in 1975, placed in reserve for contingencies under provisions of the Anti-deficiency Act (31 U.S.C. 665) pending a review of whether or not savings would materialize to permit construction of

the entire facility within the 1975 or 1976 budget levels. These funds are now placed in reserve through 1976 for the same reason. The ability to fund the entire hospital construction will be considered by HEW in the context of developing its recommendation to the President for the Indian Health Service in the 1977 budget. Rather than initiating first phase construction without the availability of the total funds, and risking increased costs and community expectations for immediate completion, a more viable approach is to defer the available funds until the funds can be made available for construction of the entire facility.

Estimated Effects

Indian Health Service beneficiaries in the Acomita community currently receive health care at an outpatient clinic located on the reservation and inpatient care at the IHS hospital at Albuquerque, New Mexico. Deferral of these funds in 1976 will result in the delay of beginning construction of the new hospital at least through 1976. Reservation beneficiaries will continue to receive inpatient care in Albuquerque. No beneficiaries will be adversely affected, by the inability to obtain care, solely because of this deferral.

| Outlay Effect | Dollars in millions |
|---|---|
| Comparison with President's 1976 Budget: | |
| 1. Budget outlay estimate for 1976 | .0 |
| 2. Outlays savings, if any, included in the budget outlay estimate | .3 |
| Current outlay estimates for 1976 | |
| 3. Without deferral | .3 |
| 4. With deferral | -0- |
| 5. Current outlay savings | .3 |
| Outlay Savings for the Transition Quarter | -0- |
| Outlay Savings for 1977 | -.3 |

Deferral No: D76-40

## DEFERRAL OF BUDGET AUTHORITY

Report Pursuant to Section 1013 of P.L. 93-344

| | |
|---|---|
| Agency Department of Health, Education, and Welfare | New budget authority (P.L. 94-41) $ 51,829,000 |
| Bureau Alcohol, Drug Abuse, and Mental Health Administration | Other budgetary resources $ ____ |
| Appropriation title & symbol<br>Alcohol, Drug Abuse, and Mental Health 7561361<br>(General Mental Health, Research; Alcohol, Research) | Total budgetary resources $ 51,829,000 |
| | Amount to be deferred:<br>Part of year $ 3,409,000<br>Entire year ____ |
| OMB identification code:<br>09-30-1361-0-1-550 | Legal authority (in addition to sec. 1013):<br>☐ Antideficiency Act<br>☐ Other ____ |
| Grant program ☒ Yes ☐ No | |
| Type of account or fund:<br>☒ Annual<br>☐ Multiple-year ____ (expiration date)<br>☐ No-year | Type of budget authority:<br>☒ Appropriation<br>☐ Contract authority<br>☐ Other ____ |

Justification:

The funding level authorized for these programs in the Alcohol, Drug Abuse and Mental Health Administration (ADAMHA) appropriation under the continuing resolution exceeds the 1976 budget request. This deferral of funds is proposed to keep 1st quarter spending at the President's 1976 Budget request level until the Administration and the Congress reach agreement on a final level of funding for these programs for 1976.

In addition to maintaining the intramural research program, the President's Budget request would provide sufficient funds for all program commitments to about 900 ongoing general mental health and alcohol research projects. Within this effort, an adequate number of scientifically meritorious projects would be supported that address the wide range of mental health and social problems. Any research proposal that shows exceptional promise to obtain desired breakthroughs in understanding the underlying causes of mental illness can be undertaken through the intramural program or by re-programming of lower priority efforts.

Estimated Effects:

General Mental Health Research - The deferral of $3,115,000 through the first quarter will delay the funding of approximately 39 new research grants. This delay in funding, until after the first quarter, will have no significant adverse programmatic effect.

Alcohol Research - Deferral of $294,000 through the first quarter will delay the funding of approximately 4 new research grants. This delay in funding until after the first quarter will have no significant adverse programmatic effect.

Outlay Effect: (estimated in tenths of millions of dollars for full year)

Comparison with President's 1976 Budget:

| | | |
|---|---|---|
| 1. | Budget outlay estimate for 1976 | $ 95.5 |
| 2. | Outlay savings included in the budget outlay estimate | -- |

Current Outlay Estimates for 1976:

| | | |
|---|---|---|
| 3. | Without deferral | 104.4 |
| 4. | With deferral | 104.1 |
| 5. | Current outlay savings (line 3 - line 4) | .3 |

Outlay Savings for the Transition Quarter ..... -.3

Outlay Savings for 1977 ..... 0

Deferral No: D76-41

DEFERRAL OF BUDGET AUTHORITY

Report Pursuant to Section 1013 of P.L. 93-344

| | |
|---|---|
| Agency Department of Health, Education, and Welfare<br>Bureau Health Resources Administration | New budget authority (P.L. 94-32) $ 22,000,000<br>Other budgetary resources -0-<br>Total budgetary resources $ 22,000,000 |
| Appropriation title & symbol<br>Health Resources - 75X0712<br>(Special Medical Facilities) | Amount deferred:<br>Part of year $ 22,000,000<br>(Released July 24, 1975)<br>Entire year |
| OMB identification code:<br>09-35-0712-0-1-550 | Legal authority (in addition to sec. 1013):<br>☐ Antideficiency Act |
| Grant program ☒ Yes ☐ No | ☐ Other |
| Type of account or fund:<br>☐ Annual<br>☐ Multiple-year (expiration date)<br>☒ No-year | Type of budget authority:<br>☒ Appropriation<br>☐ Contract authority<br>☐ Other |

Justification:

The Second Supplemental Appropriations Act of 1975 provided $22,000,000 under Section 305(b)(3) of the Public Health Service Act. These funds were appropriated for the purpose of providing additional construction assistance towards the completion of the Children's Hospital ($16,000,000) and the Georgetown University Hospital ($6,000,000) projects.

These funds have been deferred in order to provide the opportunity to review whether or not the proposed additional Federal funding for these projects--which already approximates 90% of the estimated total costs--was the most appropriate use of limited Federal funds.

Estimated Effects:

The deferral of this budget authority is expected to have no programmatic or outlay effects. The funds have been made available.

Deferral No: D76-42

## DEFERRAL OF BUDGET AUTHORITY

Report Pursuant to Section 1013 of P.L. 93-344

| | |
|---|---|
| Agency Department of Health, Education and Welfare<br>Bureau Office of Education | New budget authority (1/2 yr.) (P.L. 94-41) $301,500,000<br>Other budgetary resources —<br>Total budgetary resources 301,500,000 |
| Appropriation title & symbol<br>School Assistance in Federally Affected Areas<br>7560280<br>(A and B children and Partial Loss of Tax Base) | Amount to be deferred:<br>Part of year $68,350,000<br>Entire year — |
| OMB identification code:<br>09-40-0280-0-1-501 | Legal authority (in addition to sec. 1013):<br>☐ Antideficiency Act |
| Grant program ☑ Yes ☐ No | ☐ Other — |
| Type of account or fund:<br>☒ Annual<br>☐ Multiple-year June 30, 1976 (expiration date)<br>☐ No-year | Type of budget authority:<br>☑ Appropriation<br>☐ Contract authority<br>☐ Other — |

Justification

The annual funding level authorized for this program under the continuing resolution exceeds the 1976 budget request. This deferral of funds is proposed to keep first quarter funding spending at the budgeted level until the President and the Congress reach agreement on a final level of funding for this program for 1976.

Estimated Effects

Public Law 93-380 provides for three "tiers" or separate calculations to determine final payments to school districts when sums appropriated are not sufficient to pay in full the total of entitlements established under sections 2, 3, and 4 of P.L. 874. Section 2 is the part of the Act that authorizes payments when the Federal Government acquires real property; Section 3 identifies the categories of children on which other payments are based; and Section 4 authorizes payments when there are sudden and substantial increases in school attendance resulting from the activities of the United States. The tiers are as follows:

Tier #1 - A payment equal to 25% of entitlement based on all categories of eligibility under Sections 2 and 3.

Tier #2 - Additional payments on a graded scale for certain Sections 2 and 3 categories of eligibility.

Tier #3 - All categories may be brought up to 100% entitlement. However, this tier may be ratably reduced subject to the availability of appropriations.

Current law requires that tier 1 must be fully funded if tier 2 is funded. However, if tier 2 is not funded, the law allows less than 100% funding of tier 1. The level proposed for operation under the Continuing Resolution would fund on an annual basis approximately 80% of the first tier. Since the first tier is comprised of payments at 25% of entitlements for Sections 2 and 3, the net effect of this deferral would be to pay 20% of entitlement on an annual basis.

Under normal operations, some school districts would receive a significant portion of their payment in the first quarter because of hardship, while others would not receive any payment until later in the year. The deferral recognizes this policy.

Outlay Effect (estimated in millions of dollars for full year)*

| | |
|---|---|
| Comparison with President's 1976 Budget: | |
| 1. Budget outlay estimate for 1976 | $306.2 |
| 2. Outlay savings, if any, included in the budget outlay estimate | 0 |
| Current Outlay Estimates for 1976: | |
| 3. Without deferral | 600.9 |
| 4. With deferral | 582.9 |
| 5. Current outlay savings | 18.0 |
| Outlay Savings for the Transition Quarter | -2.0 |
| Outlay Savings for 1977 | -15.0 |

* Outlays associated with the maintenance and operations, payments to school districts portion of the Impact Aid program.

Deferral No: D76-43

# DEFERRAL OF BUDGET AUTHORITY

Report Pursuant to Section 1013 of P.L. 93-344

| | |
|---|---|
| Agency Department of Health, Education and Welfare | New budget authority (P.L. 94-41 ) $ 9,500,000 |
| Bureau Office of Education | Other budgetary resources -- |
| Appropriation title & symbol<br>Higher Education<br>7560293<br>(Aid to land-grant colleges - Annual appropriation) | Total budgetary resources 9,500,000 |
| | Amount to be deferred:<br>Part of year $ 9,500,000<br>Entire year |
| OMB identification code:<br>09-40-0293-0-1-502 | Legal authority (in addition to sec. 1013):<br>☐ Antideficiency Act |
| Grant program ☐ Yes ☐ No | ☐ Other |
| Type of account or fund:<br>☒ Annual<br>☐ Multiple-year (expiration date)<br>☐ No-year | Type of budget authority:<br>☒ Appropriation<br>☐ Contract authority<br>☐ Other |

## Justification

The annual funding level authorized for this program under the continuing resolution exceeds the 1976 budget request. This deferral of funds is proposed to keep first quarter spending at the budgeted level until the President and the Congress reach agreement on a final level of funding for this program for 1976.

## Estimated Effects

This deferral of $9.5 million to annual aid to land grant colleges should be of minimal effect as these funds constitute such a small amount of these colleges' budgets, and because in past years allotments have gone out after the first quarter without serious consequences. For the past two years the total appropriated amount for aid to land grant colleges has been allotted in the third quarter.

2

Outlay Effect (estimated in tenths of millions of dollars for full year)

| | |
|---|---|
| Comparison with President's 1976 Budget: | |
| 1. Budget outlay estimate for 1976 | 0 |
| 2. Outlay savings, if any, included in the budget outlay estimate | 0 |
| Current Outlay Estimates for 1976: | |
| 3. Without deferral | 9.5 |
| 4. With deferral | 9.5 |
| 5. Current outlay savings (line 3 - line 4) | 0 |
| Outlay Savings for the Transition Quarter | 0 |
| Outlay Savings for 1977 | 0 |

Deferral No: D76-44

# DEFERRAL OF BUDGET AUTHORITY

Report Pursuant to Section 1013 of P.L. 93-344

| | |
|---|---|
| Agency: Department of Health, Education and Welfare | New budget authority (P.L. 94-41) (Half-year): $25,874,500 |
| Bureau: Office of Education | Other budgetary resources: --- |
| Appropriation title & symbol: 75 6 0212 Library Resources (Public Libraries | Total budgetary resources: 25,874,500 |
| | Amount to be deferred: Part of year (Qtr. year): $10,437,250 |
| | Entire year: |
| OMB identification code: 09-40-0212-0-1-503 | Legal authority (in addition to sec. 1013): ☐ Antideficiency Act |
| Grant program: ☒ Yes ☐ No | ☐ Other |
| Type of account or fund: ☒ Annual ☐ Multiple-year (expiration date) ☐ No-year | Type of budget authority: ☒ Appropriation ☐ Contract authority ☐ Other |

Justification

The funding level authorized for this program under the continuing resolution exceeds the 1976 budget request. This deferral of funds is proposed to keep first quarter funding spending at the budgeted level until the President and the Congress reach agreement on a final level of funding for this program for 1976.

The 1976 budget proposed continuing the Public Library program at a level of $10 million. The maximum annual rate available under the Continuing Resolution is $51,749,000. The first quarter deferral of $10,437,250 is for basic library services. Administrative costs at the state level are not being deferred.

Estimated Effects

This action will defer the allocation of funds to State and local governments for basic public library services until the second quarter. State library plans for distributing Federal dollars would have to be adjusted to recognize that $2.5 million would be available for the first quarter or $5 to $10 million less than probably would be obligated under the Continuing Resolution level. State and local governments would have to make spending adjustments during the first quarter pending finalization of the 1976 appropriations and deferral action. No funds would be available for the first quarter under the deferral versus $650,000 under the Continuing Resolution.

Outlay Effect (estimated in tenths of millions of dollars for full year)

| | |
|---|---|
| Comparison with President's 1976 Budget: | |
| 1. Budget outlay estimate for 1976 | $23.0 |
| 2. Outlay savings, if any, included in the budget outlay estimate | - 0 - |
| Current Outlay Estimates for 1976: | |
| 3. Without deferral | $49.2 |
| 4. With deferral | 46.6 |
| 5. Current outlay savings (line 3 - line 4) | 2.6 |
| Outlay Savings for the Transition Quarter | - 2.6 |
| Outlay Savings for 1977 | - 0 - |

Deferral No: D76-45

## DEFERRAL OF BUDGET AUTHORITY

Report Pursuant to Section 1013 of P.L. 93-344

| | |
|---|---|
| Agency<br>Department of Health, Education, & Welfare | New budget authority (P.L. 94-41) $ 50,000,000 |
| Bureau<br>Social and Rehabilitation Service | Other budgetary resources ____ |
| Appropriation title & symbol<br>Public Assistance<br>(Child Welfare Services)<br>755/60581 | Total budgetary resources 50,000,000 |
| | Amount to be deferred:<br>Part of year $ 1,000,000<br>Entire year -0- |
| OMB identification code:<br>09-50-0581-0-1-506 | Legal authority (in addition to sec. 1013):<br>☐ Antideficiency Act |
| Grant program ☒ Yes ☐ No | ☐ Other ____ |
| Type of account or fund:<br>☒ Annual<br>☐ Multiple-year ____ (expiration date)<br>☐ No-year | Type of budget authority:<br>☒ Appropriation<br>☐ Contract authority<br>☐ Other ____ |

Justification:

The funding level authorized for this program under the Continuing Resolution exceeds the 1976 Budget Request. This deferral of funds is proposed to hold 1st quarter spending at the budgeted level until the Congress has had an opportunity to evaluate, through the appropriations process, the President's proposed level of funding for this program for 1976.

Estimated Effects:

Federal support under Title IV-B is only about 9% of the total expenditures for Child Welfare Services. Therefore, this deferral of funds through the first quarter will have negligible effect on the provision of services and the number of persons receiving services.

Outlay Effect (estimated in tenths of millions of dollars)

Comparison with President's 1976 Budget:
1. Budget outlay estimate for 1976 ..... 46
2. Outlay savings, if any, included in the budget outlay estimate .... -0-

Current Outlay Estimates for 1976:
3. Without deferral ..... 50
4. With deferral ..... 49
5. Current outlay savings (line 3 - line 4) ..... 1

Outlay Savings for the Transition Quarter ..... -0-

Outlay Savings for 1977 ..... -0-

D76-11A

SUPPLEMENTARY REPORT

Report Pursuant to Sec. 1014(c) of P.L. 93-344

This supplementary report modifies Deferral No. D76-11 transmitted in the special message of July 1, 1975, and printed as House Document No. 94-206.

This message contains a change in budgetary resources from the previous message for Assistant Secretary of Health, Scientific activities overseas. The budgetary resources have increased by $1,000,000 for a new total of $8,307,000.

Deferral No: D76-11A

DEFERRAL OF BUDGET AUTHORITY

Report Pursuant to Section 1013 of P.L. 93-344

| | |
|---|---|
| Agency: Dept. of Health, Ed., and Welfare | New budget authority (P.L. ) $ |
| Bureau: Asst. Sec. For Human Development | Other budgetary resources 8,306,986* |
| Appropriation title & symbol: Research and Training Activities Overseas (Special Foreign Currency Program) | Total budgetary resources 8,306,986* |
| | Amount to be deferred: Part of year $8,306,986* |
| | Entire year |
| CMB identification code: 09-80-0505-0-1-506 | Legal authority (in addition to sec. 1013): [X] Antideficiency Act |
| Grant program [X] Yes [ ] No | [ ] Other |
| Type of account or fund: [ ] Annual [ ] Multiple-year (expiration date) [X] No-year | Type of budget authority: [X] Appropriation [ ] Contract authority [ ] Other |

Justification

This amount proposed for deferral has been placed in reserve pursuant to the Antideficiency Act (31 USC 665 et. seq.). This deferral action involves the postponement of funds for foreign research and training activities. This reserve will allow the Department of Health, Education and Welfare to prepare a financial plan which describes the activities to be financed, the foreign currency to be used, the amounts allotted by country by quarter, research objectives and/or training element, the number of beneficiaries, and other supporting information. The total amount is deferred pending submission of a financial plan in an effort to assure prudent financial management of this activity. Upon transmittal of the necessary plan these funds will be released from reserve.

Estimated Effects

Since the funds would, in any event, not be used in the absence of final determinations of the activities to be financed there should be no delay in activities. In addition, it should be noted that grants are restricted in this program to countries where the United States owns excess local currencies and expenditures in this account do not take monies out of the U.S.

*Revised from initial message.

Outlay Effect (estimated in tenths of millions of dollars)

Comparison with President's 1976 Budget:
1. Budget outlay estimate for 1976.....3.0
2. Outlay savings, if any, included in the budget outlay estimate.....0

Current Outlay Estimates for 1976:
3. Without deferral.....3.0
4. With deferral.....3.0
5. Current outlay savings.....0 (line 3 - line 4)

Outlay Savings for the Transition Quarter....0

Outlay Savings for 1977.....0

D76-13A

SUPPLEMENTARY REPORT

Report Pursuant to Sec. 1014(c) of P.L. 93-344

This supplementary report modifies Deferral No. D76-13 transmitted in the special message of July 1, 1975, and printed as House Document No. 94-206.

This message contains a change from the previous message in the scheduled completion date of a Bureau of Reclamation report on alternative project plans. The report is expected to be completed on September 1, 1975, rather than November 1, 1975, as indicated in the former report.

Deferral No: D76-13A

## DEFERRAL OF BUDGET AUTHORITY

Report Pursuant to Section 1013 of P.L. 93-344

| | |
|---|---|
| Agency: Department of the Interior | New budget authority (P.L. _____): $ - 0 - |
| Bureau: Bureau of Reclamation | Other budgetary resources: 2,400,000 |
| Appropriation title & symbol: Construction & Rehabilitation 14X5061 | Total budgetary resources: 2,400,000 |
| | Amount to be deferred: Part of year: $1,030,000 |
| | Entire year: _____ |
| OMB identification code: 10-06-5061-0-2-301 | Legal authority (in addition to sec. 1013): [X] Antideficiency Act |
| Grant program: [ ] Yes [ ] No | [ ] Other _____ |
| Type of account or fund: [ ] Annual | Type of budget authority: [X] Appropriation |
| [ ] Multiple-year _____ (expiration date) | [ ] Contract authority |
| [X] No-year | [ ] Other _____ |

Justification *

This deferral is a renewal of a deferral of prior year funds contained in budget deferral D-75-14. Fiscal year 1975 funds contained in another budget deferral affecting this account (75-84) were released earlier this year following action by the Congress and will continue to be available in fiscal year 1976 for use by the Bureau of Reclamation. The Bureau of Reclamation is preparing a report on alternative plans for the Second Bacon Siphon and Tunnel and the development of the East High-East Low area of the Columbia Basin Irrigation Project, Washington. The report will include (1) an economic and financial analysis of the initial development stage included as one of the four alternative development plans mentioned above, (2) a sizing analysis of the Second Bacon Siphon and Tunnel, and (3) a more precise determination of the State of Washington's cost sharing proposal. Pending the completion of the Bureau of Reclamation report, scheduled for completion September 1, 1975, the funds will be deferred.

Estimated Effects

The deferral of the $1,030,000 is solely for reasons of prudent financial management. The preparation of analytical evaluations of alternative development plans will help meet the objective of selecting an optimum economic development plan for the Columbia Basin project. The economic and budgetary impacts of the deferral of $1,030,000 cannot be meaningfully measured until the alternative development plans are completed and evaluated.

*Revised from initial message.

Outlay Effect (Estimated in the tenths of million of dollars)

Comparison with President's 1976 Budget:

| | | |
|---|---|---|
| 1. | Budget outlay estimate for 1976 ..... | $290.0 |
| 2. | Outlay savings, if any, included in the budget outlay estimate ..... | -0- |

Current Outlay Estimates for 1976:

| | | |
|---|---|---|
| 3. | Without deferral ..... | $290.0 |
| 4. | With deferral ..... | $289.0 |
| 5. | Outlays savings ..... | 1.0 |

Outlay savings for the Transition Quarter: -0-

Outlay Saving for 1977: -0-

Deferral No: D76-46

## DEFERRAL OF BUDGET AUTHORITY

Report Pursuant to Section 1013 of P.L. 93-344

| | |
|---|---|
| Agency Interior | New budget authority (P.L. --) $ None |
| Bureau Bureau of Mines | Other budgetary resources 3,575,248 |
| Appropriation title & symbol<br>Drainage of Anthracite Mines,<br>Bureau of Mines 14X0956 | Total budgetary resources 3,575,248 |
| | Amount to be deferred:<br>Part of year $ None<br>Entire year 3,375,248 |
| OMB identification code:<br>10-32-9999-0-1-306 | Legal authority (in addition to sec. 1013):<br>☐ Antideficiency Act<br>Matching grant provision of<br>☒ Other PL 84-219 (69 Stat 460) |
| Grant program ☒ Yes ☐ No | |
| Type of account or fund:<br>☐ Annual<br>☐ Multiple-year _____ (expiration date)<br>☒ No-year | Type of budget authority:<br>☒ Appropriation<br>☐ Contract authority<br>☐ Other _____ |

Justification - $200,000 has been apportioned for this account to match funds anticipated to be made available by the Commonwealth of Pennsylvania for water monitoring stations and for sealing and other public health and safety projects. The remaining unobligated balance has been deferred because the Commonwealth of Pennsylvania is not expected to provide further matched funding during the year.

Estimated Effects - No FY 76 program impact will result from deferral. A change in the matching provision of the original legislation would be required if the Congress desired to make available the funds currently being deferred. This is proposed for deferral through June 30, 1976, or, if appropriate legislation is enacted, through September 30, 1976.

Outlay Effect (estimated in millions of dollars)

| | |
|---|---|
| Comparison with President's 1976 Budget: | |
| 1. Budget outlay estimate for 1976..... | .2 |
| 2. Outlay savings, if any, included in the budget outlay estimate..... | -- |
| Current Outlay Estimates for 1976 | |
| 3. Without deferral..... | .2 |
| 4. With deferral..... | .2 |
| 5. Current outlay savings (line 3 - line 4 | -- |
| Outlay Savings for the Transition Quarter.. | -- |
| Outlay Savings for 1977..... | -- |

Deferral No: 1976-47

## DEFERRAL OF BUDGET AUTHORITY

Report Pursuant to Section 1013 of P.L. 93-344

| | |
|---|---|
| Agency: Environmental Protection Agency | New budget authority (P.L. 94-32): $6,600,000 |
| Bureau: Water Supply | Other budgetary resources: |
| Appropriation title & symbol: Abatement and Control - 68X0108 | Total budgetary resources: 6,600,000 |
| | Amount deferred: Part of year (Released July 23, 1975): $4,000,000; Entire year: |
| OMB identification code: | Legal authority (in addition to sec. 1013): ☐ Antideficiency Act |
| Grant program ☒ Yes ☐ No | ☐ Other |
| Type of account or fund: ☐ Annual; ☐ Multiple-year (expiration date); ☒ No-year | Type of budget authority: ☒ Appropriation; ☐ Contract authority; ☐ Other |

Justification: Budget authority of $4,000,000 has been reserved since June 30. The funds were included in the Second Supplemental Appropriations Act of 1975 and were appropriated for Sec. 1444 of the Safe Drinking Water Act, for the purpose of assisting in the construction of a Water Supply demonstration plant in Duluth.

The funds have been deferred to provide the opportunity to review the merits of the project so that a final decision on the use of these funds could be made. A complete review was necessary in order to determine:

1. the research and technical benefits to be gained from a full-scale, Federally-funded demonstration project.

2. the availability of other Federal funds (e.g., HUD Grants) which could be used to assist Duluth in constructing the plant.

Estimated Effects: The deferral of this budget authority is expected to have neither programmatic nor outlay effects. The funds were made available for obligation on July 23.

Deferral No: D76-48

DEFERRAL OF BUDGET AUTHORITY
Report Pursuant to Section 1013 of P.L. 93-344

| | |
|---|---|
| Agency General Services Administration | New budget authority (P.L. ) $ |
| Bureau | Other budgetary resources $1,790,000 |
| Appropriation title & symbol<br>Rare Silver Dollar Program<br>47X0701 | Total budgetary resources<br>Amount to be deferred:<br>Part of year $<br>Entire year $1,790,000 |
| OMB identification code:<br>23-30-5255-0-2-999 | Legal authority (in addition to sec. 1013):<br>[X] Antideficiency Act |
| Grant program [ ] Yes [X] No | [ ] Other |
| Type of account or fund:<br>[ ] Annual<br>[ ] Multiple-year (expiration date)<br>[XX] No-year | Type of budget authority:<br>[XX] Appropriation<br>[ ] Contract authority<br>[ ] Other |

Justification

This deferral action is taken under the provisions of the Antideficiency Act (31 U.S.C. 655), which authorizes the establishment of reserves for contingencies. Funds were appropriated in 1972 and 1973, without fiscal year limitation, for operating costs for disposal of rare silver dollars. To date, roughly $60.4 million (gross receipts) of silver dollars have been sold, with approximately $26.5 million still available for sale. However, current sales procedures severely restrict the amount of remaining coins which may be sold under the existing appropriation.

The contingency of $1,790,000 reflects revised disposal plans suspending all coin sales until legislation is passed revising current sales methods. Sales using past procedures are no longer deemed economically feasible and consideration is being given to the effects of alternative terms and conditions on future Government sales of the coins as well as on the coin market in general.

Estimated Effects

The deferral of funds for operating expenses will have no adverse effect on silver dollar sales program since current sales procedures have limited the amount of marketable coins. New sales procedures are under review.

Outlay Effect (estimated in tenths of millions of dollars)

| | |
|---|---|
| Comparison with President's 1976 Budget: | |
| 1. Budget outlay estimate for 1976 | 2.2 |
| 2. Outlay savings, if any, included in budget outlay estimate | 0 |
| Current Outlay Estimates for 1976: | |
| 3. Without deferral | 2.2 |
| 4. With deferral | .4 |
| 5. Current outlay savings (line 3 - line 4) | 1.8 |
| Outlay Savings for the Transition Quarter | 0 |
| Outlay Savings for 1977 | 0 |

Deferral No: D76-49

## DEFERRAL OF BUDGET AUTHORITY

Report Pursuant to Section 1013 of P.L. 93-344

| | |
|---|---|
| Agency: Community Services Administration | New budget authority (P.L. 94-41): $16,500,000 |
| Bureau: | Other budgetary resources: - 0 - |
| Appropriation title & symbol: Economic Opportunity Program Executive 1976 816/0500 (Emergency Energy Conservation Services) | Total budgetary resources: 16,500,000 |
| | Amount to be deferred: Part of year: $16,500,000 |
| | Entire year: |
| OMB identification code: 30-30-0500-0-1-451 | Legal authority (in addition to sec. 1013): ☐ Antideficiency Act |
| Grant program ☒ Yes ☐ No | ☐ Other |
| Type of account or fund: ☒ Annual | Type of budget authority: ☒ Appropriation |
| ☐ Multiple-year (expiration date) | ☐ Contract authority |
| ☐ No-year | ☐ Other |

Justification

A deferral of appropriation authority under Section 1013 of the Impoundment Control Act of 1974 (P.L. 93-344) is proposed for $16,500,000 in the 1976 Continuing Resolution for the Emergency Energy Conservation Services (EECS) program.

The President has requested that this winterization program be administered by the Federal Energy Administration (FEA) at a $55 million level in FY 1976. Under the President's plan, FEA would establish a program to offer subsidies to low income homeowners to assist them in the installation of winterization materials to conserve energy. Because the President believes this program properly belongs in the Federal Energy Administration, the deferral of $16.5 million for the Community Services Administration in the 1976 Continuing Resolution is offered pending congressional action on the President's authorization proposal for FEA.

Estimated Effects

CSA was also appropriated $16.5 million for the EECS program in June 1975 for FY 1975. However, the funds were made available for obligation through the first quarter of FY 1976 ending on September 30, 1975. Because of the extended availability period, energy conservation activities will be funded well into FY 1976 with the FY 1975 funds. This deferral, therefore, will not terminate the EECS program, but prevent it from continuing within CSA beyond the FY 1975 Appropriation of $16.5 million.

Outlay Effect (estimated in tenths of millions of dollars)

Comparison with the President's 1976 Budget:

| | |
|---|---|
| 1. Budget outlay estimates for 1976..... | $ 0 |
| 2. Outlay savings, if any, included in the budget outlay estimates ..... | 0 |

Comparison Outlay Estimates for 1976:

| | |
|---|---|
| 3. Without deferral ..... | 12.0 |
| 4. With deferral ..... | 0 |
| 5. Current outlay savings ..... | 12.0 |
| Outlay savings for the Transition Quarter ..... | 4.5 |
| Outlay savings for 1977 ..... | 0 |

Deferral No: D76-50

DEFERRAL OF BUDGET AUTHORITY
Report Pursuant to Section 1013 of P.L. 93-344

| | |
|---|---|
| Agency: Community Services Admin. | New budget authority (P.L. 93-32): $61,000,000 |
| Bureau: | Other budgetary resources: - 0 - |
| Appropriation title & symbol: Economic Opportunity Program Executive 1975 - 815/60500 (Community Economic Development) | Total budgetary resources: 61,000,000 |
| | Amount deferred: Part of year (Released July 24, 1975): $14,500,000 |
| | Entire year: |
| OMB identification code: 03-39-0500-0-1-451 | Legal authority (in addition to sec. 1013): ☐ Antideficiency Act |
| Grant program ☒ Yes ☐ No | ☐ Other |
| Type of account or fund: ☒ Annual 9-30-75 expiration date | Type of budget authority: ☒ Appropriation |
| ☐ Multiple-year (expiration date) | ☐ Contract authority |
| ☐ No-year | ☐ Other |

Justification

A deferral of appropriation authority under Section 1013 of the Impoundment Control Act of 1974 (P.L. 93-344) has been in effect since June 24, 1975, for $14,500,000 of the funds available to the Community Services Administration (CSA). These funds were included in the Second Supplemental Appropriations Act of 1975 and Congress indicated their potential use for completion of construction of the Hahnemann Medical College and Hospital of Philadelphia.

The funds have been deferred to provide the opportunity to review the project so that a final decision on the use of these funds could be made. A complete review was necessary in order to resolve two major problems:

1. What legal authority does the Community Services Administration have for hospital construction activity; and

2. The availability of other Federal funds (i.e., Title XVI of the Public Health Service Act) which are the customary source of funding for such projects.

Estimated Effects

Since these funds were made available for obligation on July 24, 1975, there is no anticipated outlay effect.

[FR Doc.75-19884 Filed 7-28-75;2:57 pm]

## Latest Edition

# Guide to Record Retention Requirements

[Revised as of January 1, 1975]

This useful reference tool is designed to keep businessmen and the general public informed concerning the many published requirements in Federal laws and regulations relating to record retention.

The 87-page "Guide" contains over 1,000 digests which tell the user (1) what type records must be kept, (2) who must keep them, and (3) how long they must be kept. Each digest carries a reference to the full text of the basic law or regulation providing for such retention.

The booklet's index, numbering over 2,000 items, lists for ready reference the categories of persons, companies, and products affected by Federal record retention requirements.

**Price: $1.45**

Compiled by Office of the Federal Register, National Archives and Records Service, General Services Administration

**Order from Superintendent of Documents, U.S. Government Printing Office Washington, D.C. 20402**