

Register Federal

June 6, 1975—Pages 24353-24516

FRIDAY, JUNE 6, 1975

WASHINGTON, D.C.

Volume 40 ■ Number 110

Pages 24353-24516

PART I



NOTICE TO AGENCIES

In order to minimize costs of publishing the large volume of information expected under the Privacy Act of 1974, the Office of the Federal Register will accept magnetic tape or word processing equipment input by prior arrangement only. Call the Federal Register Privacy Act coordinator on 523-5240.

HIGHLIGHTS OF THIS ISSUE

This listing does not affect the legal status of any document published in this issue. Detailed table of contents appears inside.

- ENERGY RELATED INVENTIONS**—Commerce/NBS issues notice on establishment of new office to conduct evaluations 24373
- INTERNATIONAL ENERGY PROGRAM**—GSA issues notice on program and request for oil companies to participate 24387
- MOTOR GASOLINE**—FEA proposes to permit independent marketers to terminate and form new supplier/purchaser relationships 24365
- MEDICARE**—HEW/SSA issues regulations on entitlement to hospital insurance benefits; effective 7-7-75 24357
- MEETINGS**—
- Interior: Federal Metal and Nonmetal Mine Safety Advisory Committee, 6-24 thru 6-27-75 24369
- Coordinating Subcommittee of the Committee on Emergency Preparedness of the National Petroleum Council, 6-24-75 24369

(Continued inside)

PART II:

- MATERNAL AND CHILD HEALTH**—HEW/PHS issues regulations on project grants for sudden infant death syndrome information and counseling; effective 6-6-75 24435

PART III:

- HYPOALLERGENIC COSMETIC PRODUCTS**—HEW/FDA rules on labeling standards; effective 7-7-75 24441

PART IV:

- MINIMUM WAGES**—Labor/ESA issues index and determinations for Federal and federally assisted construction (2 documents).... 24454, 24466

reminders

(The items in this list were editorially compiled as an aid to FEDERAL REGISTER users. Inclusion or exclusion from this list has no legal significance. Since this list is intended as a reminder, it does not include effective dates that occur within 14 days of publication.)

Rules Going Into Effect Today

This list includes only rules that were published in the FEDERAL REGISTER after October 1, 1972.

page no.
and date

FCC—FM broadcast stations; table of assignments..... 19199; 5-2-75
19644; 5-6-75
Maritime services; auto alarm receiver tolerances..... 19644; 5-6-75

List of Public Laws

NOTE: No acts approved by the President were received by the Office of the Federal Register for inclusion in today's LIST OF PUBLIC LAWS.

ATTENTION: Questions, corrections, or requests for information regarding the contents of this issue only may be made by dialing 202-523-5284. For information on obtaining extra copies, please call 202-523-5240. To obtain advance information from recorded highlights of selected documents to appear in the next issue, dial 202-523-5022.

federal register

Phone 523-5240

Area Code 202



Published daily, Monday through Friday (no publication on Saturdays, Sundays, or on official Federal holidays), by the Office of the Federal Register, National Archives and Records Service, General Services Administration, Washington, D.C. 20408, under the Federal Register Act (49 Stat. 500, as amended; 44 U.S.C. Ch. 15) and the regulations of the Administrative Committee of the Federal Register (1 CFR Ch. I). Distribution is made only by the Superintendent of Documents, U.S. Government Printing Office, Washington, D.C. 20402.

The FEDERAL REGISTER provides a uniform system for making available to the public regulations and legal notices issued by Federal agencies. These include Presidential proclamations and Executive orders and Federal agency documents having general applicability and legal effect, documents required to be published by Act of Congress and other Federal agency documents of public interest.

The FEDERAL REGISTER will be furnished by mail to subscribers, free of postage, for \$5.00 per month or \$45 per year, payable in advance. The charge for individual copies is 75 cents for each issue, or 75 cents for each group of pages as actually bound. Remit check or money order, made payable to the Superintendent of Documents, U.S. Government Printing Office, Washington, D.C. 20402.

There are no restrictions on the republication of material appearing in the FEDERAL REGISTER.

FEDERAL REGISTER, VOL. 40, NO. 110—FRIDAY, JUNE 6, 1975

HIGHLIGHTS—Continued

FWS: Annual Regulations Conference for Migratory Shore and Upland Game Birds, 6-24-75	24368	VA: Advisory Committee on Structural Safety of Veterans Administration Facilities, 6-27-75	24394
HEW/OE: National Advisory Council on Indian Education, 6-26-75	24374	CANCELLED MEETINGS	
Commerce/DIBA: Computer Systems Technical Advisory Committee, 7-8-75	24372	FPC: National Power Survey Technical Advisory Committee, 6-10-75	24387

contents

AGRICULTURAL MARKETING SERVICE	Export privileges, actions affecting:	Hearings, etc.:	
Rules	World Wide Radar Science Research and Antoine Saab	Cities Service Gas Co.	24384
Expenses and rate of assessment:	Meetings:	FEDERAL RAILROAD ADMINISTRATION	
Potatoes grown in Southeastern States	Computer Systems Technical Advisory Committee	Proposed Rules	
24354	24372	Signal systems standards; commuter railroads and rapid transit lines	24365
Limitations of handling:	EDUCATION OFFICE	Notices	
Lemons grown in Calif. and Ariz.	Notices	Petitions for exemptions, etc.:	
24353	Applications closing dates:	Algers, Winslow & Western Railway Co.	24375
Limes grown in Fla.	Basic and advanced institutional developmental programs		
24353	24373	FISH AND WILDLIFE SERVICE	
Proposed Rules	Meetings:	Notices	
Imports:	National Advisory Council on Indian Education	Endangered species permits; applications; correction	24368
Walnuts	24374	Meetings:	
24363	Title I audit appeal; approval of applications:	Annual Regulations Conference for Migratory Shore and Upland Game Birds	24368
AGRICULTURE DEPARTMENT	Florida		
See Agricultural Marketing Service; Rural Electrification Administration; Soil Conservation Service.	24374	FOOD AND DRUG ADMINISTRATION	
ALCOHOL, TOBACCO, AND FIREARMS BUREAU	EMPLOYMENT STANDARDS ADMINISTRATION	Rules	
Notices	Notices	Animal drugs:	
Firearms; granting of relief	Index to general wage determination decisions and modifications	Sterile ampicillin trihydrate suspension	24360
24368	24466	Hypoallergenic cosmetic products; labeling	24441
CIVIL AERONAUTICS BOARD	Minimum wages for Federal and federally assisted construction; general wage determination decisions, modifications, and supersedeas decisions		
Notices	24454	GENERAL SERVICES ADMINISTRATION	
Hearings, etc.:	FEDERAL AVIATION ADMINISTRATION	Rules	
Alaska Airlines, Inc.	Rules	Procurement sources and programs; forms	24361
24380	Airworthiness directives:	Notices	
Caribwest Airways Ltd.; correction	Avco Lycoming	International energy program; request for participation and acceptances of oil companies	24387
24381	Sikorsky	HEALTH, EDUCATION, AND WELFARE DEPARTMENT	
CIVIL SERVICE COMMISSION	Transition areas (2 documents)	See Education Office; Food and Drug Administration; Public Health Service; Social Security Administration.	
Rules	24355	INTERIOR DEPARTMENT	
Excepted service:	Proposed Rules	See also Fish and Wildlife Service; Land Management Bureau; National Park Service.	
Administrative Office of the United States Courts	Airworthiness directives:	Notices	
24353	Avco Lycoming	Committees; establishment:	
COMMERCE DEPARTMENT	24364	Oil Shale Environmental Advisory Panel; charter change	24369
See also Domestic and International Business Administration; National Bureau of Standards.	Boeing	Meetings:	
COMMITTEE FOR PURCHASE FROM THE BLIND AND OTHER SEVERELY HANDICAPPED	24363	Federal Metal and Nonmetal Mine Safety Advisory Committee	24369
Notices	Control and transition areas (2 documents)	National Petroleum Council, Committee on Emergency Preparedness	24369
Procurement list, 1975; additions and deletions (3 documents)	24365		
24381	Terminal control area	FEDERAL ENERGY ADMINISTRATION	
COUNCIL ON ENVIRONMENTAL QUALITY	24364	Proposed Rules	
Notices	FEDERAL MARITIME COMMISSION	Motor gasoline; supplier/purchaser relationships	24365
Environmental statements; availability	Proposed Rules		
24381	Policy and procedures; environmental protection	FEDERAL POWER COMMISSION	
CUSTOMS SERVICE	24367	Notices	
Rules	Notices	Agreements filed:	
Ports of entry:	West Line, Inc.	24384	
Amarillo, Tex.		FEDERAL MARITIME COMMISSION	
24356	FEDERAL MARITIME COMMISSION	Proposed Rules	
Knoxville, Tenn.	Policy and procedures; environmental protection	24367	
24356	Notices	Agreements filed:	
Puget Sound, Wash.	24356	West Line, Inc.	24384
DOMESTIC AND INTERNATIONAL BUSINESS ADMINISTRATION	FEDERAL MARITIME COMMISSION	FEDERAL POWER COMMISSION	
Notices	Proposed Rules	Notices	
Adjustment assistance:	Policy and procedures; environmental protection	Meetings:	
Dell Knitwear, Inc.	24367	National Power Survey Technical Advisory Committee on impact of inadequate electric power supply; cancellation	24387
24371	Notices		

CONTENTS

INTERNAL REVENUE SERVICE

Rules	
Income tax:	
Deductions by estates or trusts for amounts for charitable purposes; corrections (2 documents)	24361

INTERSTATE COMMERCE COMMISSION

Notices	
Fourth section applications for relief	24414
Hearing assignments	24414
Motor carriers:	
Irregular route property carriers; gateway elimination	24395
Temporary authority applications	24411

LABOR DEPARTMENT

See Employment Standards Administration.

LAND MANAGEMENT BUREAU

Proposed Rules	
Sale of forest products:	
Export of timber from Federal lands	24362
Notices	
Opening of public lands:	
Washington; correction	24368

MANAGEMENT AND BUDGET OFFICE

Notices	
Clearance of reports; list of requests (2 documents)	24388

NATIONAL BUREAU OF STANDARDS

Notices	
Organization and functions:	
Office of Energy-Related Inventions; establishment	24373

NATIONAL PARK SERVICE

Notices	
Master plan workshops:	
Sequoia and Kings Canyon National Parks, Calif.	24369

NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION

Notices	
Applications, etc.:	
Boston Edison Co.	24377

Cleveland Electric Illuminating Co., et al	24377
Commonwealth Edison Co. (2 documents)	24377
Gladstone Laboratories, Inc.	24378
Northern States Power Co.	24378
Washington Public Power Supply System	24379
Yankee Atomic Electric Co.	24379
Environmental impact statement:	
Mixed oxide fuel; request for public comment; extension of comment period	24380
Meetings:	
Advisory Committee on Reactor Safeguards (2 documents)	24375, 24376
Regulatory guides; issuance and availability	24379

PIPELINE SAFETY OFFICE

Rules	
Gas transportation by pipeline; safety standards:	
Railroad transportation of pipe; correction	24361

PUBLIC HEALTH SERVICE

Rules	
Grants:	
Sudden infant death syndrome information and counseling	24435
Notices	
Authority delegations:	
Office of Assistant Secretary of Health	24374

RURAL ELECTRIFICATION ADMINISTRATION

Notices	
Loan guarantees; proposed:	
Continental Telephone Company of Minnesota, Inc.	24370
Oglethorpe Electric Membership Corp.	24370
Seminole Electric Cooperative, Inc.	24370

SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION

Notices	
Hearings, etc.:	
Appalachian Power Co. et al (2 documents)	24390, 24391

BBI, Inc.	24392
Guardian Mortgage Investors	24389
Indiana & Michigan Electric Co.	24392
Ohio Power Co.	24393
Royal Properties Inc.	24389
Southern Services, Inc.	24389
Toth Aluminum Co.	24390
Winner Industries, Inc.	24390

SMALL BUSINESS ADMINISTRATION

Rules	
Small business investment companies:	
Funds; prohibited uses	24354
Notices	
Disaster areas:	
Florida	24394
Kentucky	24394
North Dakota	24394
Virginia	24394

SOCIAL SECURITY ADMINISTRATION

Rules	
Health insurance for aged and disabled; old-age, survivors, and disability insurance:	
Hospital insurance benefits; entitlement	24357

SOIL CONSERVATION SERVICE

Notices	
Environmental statements:	
Anasco River Watershed Project, P.R.	24371

TRANSPORTATION DEPARTMENT

See Federal Aviation Administration; Federal Railroad Administration; Pipeline Safety Office.

TREASURY DEPARTMENT

See Alcohol, Tobacco and Firearms Bureau; Customs Service; Internal Revenue Service.

VETERANS ADMINISTRATION

Notices	
Meetings:	
Advisory Committee on Structural Safety of Veterans Administration Facilities	24394

list of cfr parts affected

The following numerical guide is a list of the parts of each title of the Code of Federal Regulations affected by documents published in today's issue. A cumulative list of parts affected, covering the current month to date, follows beginning with the second issue of the month. A cumulative guide is published separately at the end of each month. The guide lists the parts and sections affected by documents published since January 1, 1974, and specifies how they are affected.

5 CFR		PROPOSED RULES:		41 CFR	
213.....	24353	39 (2 documents).....	24363, 24364	101-26.....	24361
		71 (3 documents).....	24364, 24365		
7 CFR		19 CFR		42 CFR	
910.....	24353	1 (3 documents).....	24356	51a.....	24436
911.....	24353				
953.....	24354	20 CFR		43 CFR	
PROPOSED RULES:		404.....	24357	PROPOSED RULES:	
999.....	24363	405.....	24357	5400.....	24362
				5420.....	24362
10 CFR		21 CFR		5450.....	24362
PROPOSED RULES:		540.....	24360		
211.....	24365	701.....	24442	46 CFR	
				PROPOSED RULES:	
13 CFR		26 CFR		547.....	24367
107.....	24354	1 (2 documents).....	24361		
				49 CFR	
14 CFR				192.....	24361
39 (2 documents).....	24355			PROPOSED RULES:	
71 (2 documents).....	24355			Ch. II.....	24365

CUMULATIVE LIST OF PARTS AFFECTED—JUNE

The following numerical guide is a list of parts of each title of the Code of Federal Regulations affected by documents published to date during June.

5 CFR		14 CFR—Continued		24 CFR	
213	23717-23718, 23835, 23987-23989, 24353	71	23724, 23990, 24179-24181, 24355	82	23997
302	23835	75	23724	203	24216
330	23836	97	23843, 24181	207	23864
351	23836	216	23844	570	23864
353	23836	288	23844	1909	23864
531	23838	PROPOSED RULES:		1914	23725
550	23838	11	23897	23728, 23730, 23866-23872, 23977, 23978	
772	23839	25	23764, 24363, 24364	1915	23979, 23982, 24183
7 CFR		39	23765	1920	23864
20	23839	71	23766, 24019, 24204, 24364, 24365	PROPOSED RULES:	
29	24173	15 CFR		1925	23878
295	23719	370	23990	25 CFR	
905	24174	371	23991	88	24183
908	23720, 24175	372	23991	256	24184
910	24353	374	29991	26 CFR	
911	24353	379	23994	1	23721, 23738, 24361
915	24006	385	23994	11	24002
944	24008	386	23994	301	23743
953	23720, 24354	PROPOSED RULES:		PROPOSED RULES:	
1464	24175	1202	23875	1	24011
PROPOSED RULES:		16 CFR		301	24011
51	24013	13	23724	29 CFR	
916	24018	14	23845	97	24346
923	23763	PROPOSED RULES:		1910	23743, 23847
999	24363	437	23897	1926	23847
1033	24193	447	24031	2603	23847
1064	24019	17 CFR		PROPOSED RULES:	
1701	23763, 23874	15	23994	570	24215
1831	24204	18	23994	1952	24020
9 CFR		PROPOSED RULES:		2605	24206
78	23721	239	23770	30 CFR	
113	23721, 23989	18 CFR		PROPOSED RULES:	
151	24176	35	23768	250	24193
PROPOSED RULES:		154	24031	251	24193
112	24203	19 CFR		33 CFR	
113	24203	1	24356	1	23743
10 CFR		4	23845	PROPOSED RULES:	
211	24176	PROPOSED RULES:		204	24193
PROPOSED RULES:		141	23874	40 CFR	
71	23768	20 CFR		52	23743-23745, 23746, 23757, 24184, 24185
73	23768	404	24357	85	24186, 24350
211	23895, 24365	405	24324, 24357	423	23987
11 CFR		416	23846	429	23824
Ch. I	23832	PROPOSED RULES:		PROPOSED RULES:	
Ch. II	23832	405	23878, 23974	52	23766
PROPOSED RULES:		21 CFR		429	23827
Ch. II	23833	1	23996	450	24020
12 CFR		11	23725	41 CFR	
201	23842	121	23996, 23997	101-21	23856
PROPOSED RULES:		128c	24162	101-25	23856
7	23874	436	23725	101-26	24361
220	23768	442	23725	101-43	23856
226	23896	522	23843	42 CFR	
544	23895	540	24360	51a	24436
545	23896	701	23998, 24442	43 CFR	
701	24205	1308	23998	PROPOSED RULES:	
13 CFR		PROPOSED RULES:		5400	24362
107	24354	310	24328	5420	24362
PROPOSED RULES:		700	24328	5450	24362
121	23843, 24210	1030	23877	45 CFR	
14 CFR		1308	24216	63	24003
39	23721-23723, 23843, 23990, 24176-24178, 24355			86	24128

FEDERAL REGISTER

45 CFR—Continued

PROPOSED RULES:

81-----24148
190-----23970

46 CFR

10-----23758

PROPOSED RULES:

20-----23764
61-----23764
547-----24367

47 CFR

1-----24003
21-----24004
73-----23863

47 CFR—Continued

PROPOSED RULES:

21-----24021
43-----24021
68-----23879
73-----23767, 23768, 24031

49 CFR

192-----24361
921-----23758
1033-----23872, 24005, 24006

PROPOSED RULES:

Ch. II-----24365
571-----23897, 24204

FEDERAL REGISTER PAGES AND DATES—JUNE

Pages	Date
23717-23834-----	June 2
23835-23976-----	3
23977-24172-----	4
24173-24351-----	5
24353-24516-----	6

Table of Effective Dates and Time Periods—June 1975

This table is for use in computing dates certain in connection with documents which are published in the FEDERAL REGISTER subject to advance notice requirements or which impose time limits on public response.

Federal Agencies using this table in calculating time requirements for submissions must allow sufficient extra time for FEDERAL REGISTER scheduling procedures.

In computing dates certain, the day after publication counts as one. All succeeding days are counted except that when a date certain falls on a weekend or holiday, it is moved forward to the next Federal business day. (See 1 CFR 18.17)

A new table will be published monthly in the first issue of each month.

Dates of FR publication	15 days after publication	30 days after publication	45 days after publication	60 days after publication	90 days after publication
June 2	June 17	July 2	July 17	August 1	Sept. 2
June 3	June 18	July 3	July 18	August 4	Sept. 2
June 4	June 19	July 7	July 21	August 4	Sept. 2
June 5	June 20	July 7	July 21	August 4	Sept. 3
June 6	June 23	July 7	July 21	August 5	Sept. 4
June 9	June 24	July 9	July 24	August 8	Sept. 8
June 10	June 25	July 10	July 25	August 11	Sept. 8
June 11	June 26	July 11	July 28	August 11	Sept. 9
June 12	June 27	July 14	July 28	August 11	Sept. 10
June 13	June 30	July 14	July 28	August 12	Sept. 11
June 16	July 1	July 16	July 31	August 15	Sept. 15
June 17	July 2	July 17	August 1	August 18	Sept. 15
June 18	July 3	July 18	August 4	August 18	Sept. 16
June 19	July 7	July 21	August 4	August 18	Sept. 17
June 20	July 7	July 21	August 4	August 19	Sept. 18
June 23	July 8	July 23	August 7	August 22	Sept. 22
June 24	July 9	July 24	August 8	August 25	Sept. 22
June 25	July 10	July 25	August 11	August 25	Sept. 23
June 26	July 11	July 28	August 11	August 25	Sept. 24
June 27	July 14	July 28	August 11	August 26	Sept. 25
June 30	July 15	July 30	August 14	August 29	Sept. 29

NOTE: This table is a corrected version of the Table of Effective Dates and Time Periods for June 1975 which appeared in the issue for Tuesday, June 3, 1975. It corrects errors which occurred in the second column last line and in the third column penultimate line.

rules and regulations

This section of the FEDERAL REGISTER contains regulatory documents having general applicability and legal effect most of which are keyed to and codified in the Code of Federal Regulations, which is published under 50 titles pursuant to 44 U.S.C. 1510.

The Code of Federal Regulations is sold by the Superintendent of Documents. Prices of new books are listed in the first FEDERAL REGISTER issue of each month.

Title 5—Administrative Personnel

CHAPTER I—CIVIL SERVICE

COMMISSION

PART 213—EXCEPTED SERVICE

Administrative Office of the United States Courts

Section 213.3272 is amended to show that one position of Chief, Division of Clerks of Court is excepted under Schedule B.

Effective on June 6, 1975, § 213.3272(b) is added as set out below.

§ 213.3272 Administrative Office of the United States Courts.

(b) Chief, Division of Clerks of Court.

(5 U.S.C. secs. 3301, 3302; E.O. 10577, 3 CFR 1954-58 Comp. p. 218)

UNITED STATES CIVIL SERVICE COMMISSION,

[SEAL] JAMES C. SPRY,
Executive Assistant to
the Commissioners.

[FR Doc. 75-14966 Filed 6-5-75; 8:45 am]

Title 7—Agriculture

CHAPTER IX—AGRICULTURAL MARKETING SERVICE (MARKETING AGREEMENTS AND ORDERS; FRUITS, VEGETABLES, NUTS), DEPARTMENT OF AGRICULTURE

[Lemon Reg. 695]

PART 910—LEMONS GROWN IN CALIFORNIA AND ARIZONA

Limitation of Handling

This regulation fixes the quantity of California-Arizona lemons that may be shipped to fresh market during the weekly regulation period June 8-14, 1975. It is issued pursuant to the Agricultural Marketing Agreement Act of 1937, as amended, and Marketing Order No. 910. The quantity of lemons so fixed was arrived at after consideration of the total available supply of lemons, the quantity of lemons currently available for market, the fresh market demand for lemons, lemon prices, and the relationship of season average returns to the parity price for lemons.

§ 910.995 Lemon Regulation 695.

(a) Findings. (1) Pursuant to the marketing agreement, as amended, and Order No. 910, as amended (7 CFR Part 910), regulating the handling of lemons grown in California and Arizona, effective under the applicable provisions of the Agricultural Marketing Agreement Act of 1937, as amended (7 U.S.C. 601-674), and upon the basis of the recommendations and information submitted by the Lemon Administrative Committee, established under the said amended marketing

agreement and order, and upon other available information, it is hereby found that the limitation of handling of such lemons, as hereinafter provided, will tend to effectuate the declared policy of the act.

(2) The need for this section to limit the quantity of lemons that may be marketed during the ensuing week stems from the production and marketing situation confronting the lemon industry.

(i) The committee has submitted its recommendation with respect to the quantity of lemons it deems advisable to be handled during the ensuing week. Such recommendation resulted from consideration of the factors enumerated in the order. The committee further reports the demand for lemons is active this week with the favorable weather, especially along the Eastern Seaboard. Average f.o.b. price was \$6.48 per carton the week ended May 31, 1975, compared to \$6.42 per carton the previous week. Track and rolling supplies at 160 cars were up 23 cars from last week.

(ii) Having considered the recommendation and information submitted by the committee, and other available information, the Secretary finds that the quantity of lemons which may be handled should be fixed as hereinafter set forth.

(3) It is hereby further found that it is impracticable and contrary to the public interest to give preliminary notice, engage in public rulemaking procedure, and postpone the effective date of this section until 30 days after publication hereof in the FEDERAL REGISTER (5 U.S.C. 553) because the time intervening between the date when information upon which this section is based became available and the time when this section must become effective in order to effectuate the declared policy of the act is insufficient, and a reasonable time is permitted, under the circumstances, for preparation for such effective time; and good cause exists for making the provisions hereof effective as hereinafter set forth. The committee held an open meeting during the current week, after giving due notice thereof, to consider supply and market conditions for lemons and the need for regulation; interested persons were afforded an opportunity to submit information and views at this meeting; the recommendation and supporting information for regulation during the period specified herein were promptly submitted to the Department after such meeting was held; the provisions of this section, including its effective time, are identical with the aforesaid recommendation of the committee, and information concerning such provi-

sions and effective time has been disseminated among handlers of such lemons; it is necessary, in order to effectuate the declared policy of the act, to make this section effective during the period herein specified; and compliance with this section will not require any special preparation on the part of persons subject hereto which cannot be completed on or before the effective date hereof. Such committee meeting was held on June 3, 1975.

(b) Order. (1) The quantity of lemons grown in California and Arizona which may be handled during the period June 8, 1975 through June 14, 1975, is hereby fixed at 350,000 cartons.

(2) As used in this section, "handled", and "carton(s)" have the same meaning as when used in the said amended marketing agreement and order.

(Secs. 1-19, 48 Stat. 31, as amended; 7 U.S.C. 601-674)

Dated: June 4, 1975.

CHARLES R. BRADER,
Deputy Director, Fruit and Vegetable Division, Agricultural Marketing Service.

[FR Doc. 75-15040 Filed 6-5-75; 11:40 am]

[Lime Reg. 1]

PART 911—LIMES GROWN IN FLORIDA

Limitation of Handling

This regulation fixes the quantity of Florida limes that may be shipped to fresh market during the weekly regulation period June 8-June 14, 1975. It is issued pursuant to the Agricultural Marketing Agreement Act of 1937, as amended, and Marketing Order No. 911. The quantity of limes so fixed was arrived at after consideration of the total available supply of Florida limes, the quantity currently available for market, lime prices, and the relationship of season average returns to the parity price for Florida limes.

§ 911.401 Lime Regulation 1.

(a) Findings. (1) Pursuant to the marketing agreement, as amended, and Order No. 911, as amended (7 CFR Part 911; 37 FR 10497), regulating the handling of limes grown in Florida, effective under the applicable provisions of the Agricultural Marketing Agreement Act of 1937, as amended (7 U.S.C. 601-674), and upon the basis of the recommendations and information submitted by the Florida Lime Administrative Committee, established under the said amended marketing agreement and order, and upon other available information, it is hereby found that the limitation of handling of

such limes, as hereinafter provided, will tend to effectuate the declared policy of the act.

(2) The need for this regulation to limit the quantity of limes that may be marketed during the ensuing week stems from the production and marketing situation confronting the Florida lime industry.

(1) The committee has submitted its recommendation with respect to the quantity of limes which it deems advisable to be handled during the succeeding week. Such recommendation results from consideration of the factors enumerated in the order. The committee further reports the fresh market demand for limes is fair but market supplies exceed demand. The supply of fresh limes available for shipment is expected to be greater than markets will require next week because of rapidly increasing harvests. Fresh shipments for the weeks ended May 31, 1975, and May 24, 1975, were 44,560 bushels and 41,127 bushels, respectively.

(ii) Having considered the recommendation and information submitted by the committee, and other available information the Secretary finds that the quantity of limes which may be handled should be fixed as hereinafter set forth.

(3) It is hereby further found that it is impracticable and contrary to the public interest to give preliminary notice, engage in public rulemaking procedure, and postpone the effective date of this regulation until 30 days after publication hereof in the *FEDERAL REGISTER* (5 U.S.C. 553) because the time intervening between the date when information upon which this regulation is based became available and the time when this regulation must become effective in order to effectuate the declared policy of the act is insufficient, and a reasonable time is permitted, under the circumstances, for preparation for such effective time; and good cause exists for making the provisions hereof effective as hereinafter set forth. The committee held an open meeting during the current week, after giving due notice thereof, to consider supply and market conditions for Florida limes, and the need for regulation; interested persons were afforded an opportunity to submit information and views at this meeting; the recommendation and supporting information for regulation during the period specified herein were promptly submitted to the Department after such meeting was held; the provisions of this regulation, including its effective time, are identical with the aforesaid recommendation of the committee, and information concerning such provisions and effective time has been disseminated among handlers of such limes; it is necessary, in order to effectuate the declared policy of the act, to make this regulation effective during the period herein specified; and compliance with this regulation will not require any special preparation on the part of persons subject hereto which cannot be completed on or before the effective date hereof. Such committee meeting was held on June 3, 1975.

(b) *Order.* (1) The quantity of limes grown in Florida which may be handled during the period June 8, 1975, through June 14, 1975 is hereby fixed at 24,000 bushels.

(2) As used in this section, "handled" and "limes" have the same meaning as when used in said amended marketing agreement and order, and "bushel" means 55 pounds of limes.

(Secs. 1-19, 48 Stat. 31, as amended; 7 U.S.C. 601-674)

Dated: June 4, 1975.

CHARLES R. BRADER,
Deputy Director, Fruit and
Vegetable Division, Agricultural
Marketing Service.

[FR Doc. 75-14978 Filed 6-5-75; 8:45 am]

PART 953—POTATOES GROWN IN SOUTHEASTERN STATES Expenses and Rate of Assessment

This document authorizes the Southeastern Potato Committee to spend not more than \$11,125 for its operations during the fiscal period ending March 31, 1976, and to collect one-fourth cent (\$0.0025) per hundredweight on assessable potatoes handled by first handlers under the program.

The committee is the administrative agency established under Marketing Agreement No. 104 and Order No. 953, both as amended, regulating the handling of potatoes grown in designated counties of Virginia and North Carolina. This program is effective under the Agricultural Marketing Agreement Act of 1937, as amended (7 U.S.C. 601 et seq.).

Notice was published in the May 5, 1975, *FEDERAL REGISTER* (40 FR 19479) regarding the proposal. It afforded interested persons an opportunity to submit written comments not later than May 22, 1975. None was received.

After consideration of all relevant matters, including the proposal in the notice, it is found that the following expenses and rate of assessment should be approved.

It is further found that good cause exists for not postponing the effective date of this section until 30 days after publication in the *FEDERAL REGISTER* (5 U.S.C. 553) because this part requires that the rate of assessment for a particular period shall apply to all assessable potatoes from the beginning of such period.

The regulation follows:

§ 953.212 Expenses and rate of assessment.

(a) The expenses the Secretary finds may be necessary to be incurred during the fiscal period ending March 31, 1976, by the Southeastern Potato Committee for its maintenance and functioning will amount to \$11,125.

(b) The rate of assessment to be paid by each handler in accordance with the amended Marketing Agreement and this part shall be one-fourth cent (\$0.0025) per hundredweight of potatoes handled by him as the first handler thereof dur-

ing the said fiscal period: *Provided*, That potatoes for canning, freezing, and other processing shall be exempt.

(c) Unexpended income in excess of expenses for the fiscal period may be carried over as a reserve.

(d) Terms used in this section shall have the same meaning as when used in the said amended marketing agreement and this part.

(Secs. 1-19, 48 Stat. 31, as amended; 7 U.S.C. 601-674).

Dated: June 3, 1975.

CHARLES R. BRADER,
Deputy Director, Fruit and Veget-
etable Division, Agricultural
Marketing Service.

[FR Doc. 75-14862 Filed 6-5-75; 8:45 am]

Title 13—Business Credit and Assistance CHAPTER I—SMALL BUSINESS ADMINISTRATION

[Rev. 5, Amdt. 4]

PART 107—SMALL BUSINESS INVESTMENT COMPANIES

Prohibited Uses of Funds

On April 1, 1975, the Small Business Administration published in the *FEDERAL REGISTER* (40 FR 14606) a notice of proposed rulemaking involving an amendment to 13 CFR 107.1001(a) relating to prohibited uses of funds provided by a licensee to certain small business concerns.

The public was invited to submit written comments on or before May 1, 1975.

After consideration of comments received, the proposed amendment is adopted without change.

Effective Date. Amendment 4 to Revision 5 shall be effective June 6, 1975.

Information. This amendment liberalizes the prohibition against financing for relending or reinvesting by permitting Venture Capital financing of Disadvantaged Concerns engaged in lending and relending except for banks and savings and loan associations that are not federally insured, and agricultural credit companies. The restriction that such Disadvantaged Concerns must be organized for less than 5 years, has been deleted.

The regulation continues the prohibition for banks and savings and loan associations that are not federally insured, and agricultural credit companies.

Without SBA's prior approval, the total financings permitted under the liberalized regulation may not exceed a licensee's private capital as of the close of any full fiscal year.

As amended, § 107.1001(a) is revised to read as follows:

§ 107.1001 Prohibited uses of funds.

(a) *Relending, reinvesting, etc.* For relending or reinvesting, if its primary business activity involves, directly or indirectly, providing funds to others, the purchase of debt obligations, factoring, or long-term leasing of equipment with no provision for maintenance or repair: *Provided, however*, That Venture Capital

Financing (as defined in § 107.202(b)) of any Disadvantaged Concern engaged primarily in relending or reinvesting activities shall be permitted, except for banks and savings and loan associations not insured by agencies of the Federal Government, and agricultural credit companies. Without SBA's prior written approval, all financings pursuant to this proviso shall not exceed the Licensee's Private Capital as of the close of any full fiscal year.*

Dated: May 30, 1975.

THOMAS S. KLEPPE,
Administrator.

[PR Doc.75-14787 Filed 6-5-75;8:45 am]

Title 14—Aeronautics and Space

CHAPTER I—FEDERAL AVIATION ADMINISTRATION, DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION

[Docket No. 75-NE-26; Amdt. 39-2235]

PART 39—AIRWORTHINESS DIRECTIVE

Avco Lycoming Engines

Amendment 39-1590, AD 73-3-2, requires replacement of all P/N MS9060-08, second stage gas producer cylinder retaining bolts with P/N MS9705-08 retaining bolts on all Avco Lycoming T5313A and T5313B turboshaft engines.

Since issuance of Amendment 39-1590, the Administrator has determined that failures of the improved second stage gas producer cylinder retaining bolts are still possible. Therefore, the AD is being superseded by a new AD to require replacement of the presently installed second stage gas producer cylinder retaining bolts with new bolts, P/N 1-110-262-01, which have the shanks and heads of the bolts lockwired and are installed at a lower torque.

Since a situation exists that requires immediate adoption of this regulation, it is found that notice and public procedure hereon are impracticable and good cause exists for making this amendment effective in less than thirty (30) days.

In consideration of the foregoing and pursuant to the authority delegated to me by the Administrator (31 FR 13697), § 39.13 of Part 39 of the Federal Aviation Regulations is amended by adding the following new airworthiness directive:

Avco Lycoming. Applies to all Avco Lycoming T5313A and T5313B model turboshaft engines.

Compliance required as indicated.

To prevent failure of the second stage gas producer cylinder retaining bolts, accomplish the following:

a. Within the next 100 hours time in service after the effective date of this airworthiness directive, remove all P/N MS9060-08 retaining bolts and/or P/N MS9705-08 retaining bolts and replace with new P/N 1-110-262-01 retaining bolts in accordance with the instructions set forth in Avco Lycoming Service Bulletin, Product Support No. 0028, Revision 1.

* 1940 Act Companies are reminded that sections 12(d) (2) and (3) of that Act impose additional restrictions on certain investments otherwise permitted by this § 107.1001(a).

along 2, dated December 13, 1974, or later revision approved by the Chief, Engineering and Manufacturing Branch, FAA, New England Region.

b. Equivalent methods of compliance may be approved by the Chief, Engineering and Manufacturing Branch, FAA, New England Region.

This amendment becomes effective June 18, 1975.

(Secs. 313(a), 601, 603, Federal Aviation Act of 1958 (49 U.S.C. 1354(a), 1421, 1423); Sec. 6(c), Department of Transportation Act (49 U.S.C. 1655(c)))

Issued in Burlington, Massachusetts, on May 29, 1975.

QUENTIN S. TAYLOR,
Director, New England Region.

[PR Doc.75-14792 Filed 6-5-75;8:45 am]

[Docket No. 75-NE-24; Amdt. 39-2202]

PART 39—AIRWORTHINESS DIRECTIVES

Sikorsky S-61L, S-61N, S-61NM, and S-61R Helicopters Certified in All Categories Including Military Types

There have been reports of cracks detected in the barrel nuts which serve as part of the primary attachment of the transmission main gearbox to the fuselage. Therefore, an AD is being issued to require repetitive inspections to prevent possible failure of the nuts.

Since a situation exists that requires immediate adoption of this regulation, it is found that notice and public procedure hereon are impracticable and good cause exists for making this amendment effective in less than thirty (30) days.

In consideration of the foregoing and pursuant to the authority delegated to me by the Administrator (31 FR 13697), Chapter 39.13 of Part 39 of the Federal Aviation Regulations is amended by adding the following new airworthiness directive:

Sikorsky Aircraft. Applies to S-61L, S-61N, S-61NM, and S-61R helicopters certified in all categories including Military CH-3C, HH-3C, CH-3E, and HH-3E helicopters using NAS577-10 barrel nuts for attaching the main gearbox to the fuselage structure.

To detect possible fractures in the barrel nuts accomplish the following:

1. Torque check the NAS 630 bolts used with the NAS577-10 barrel nuts to a value of 1940 inch pounds within the next 10 hours time in service after the effective date of this AD, unless already accomplished, and every 150-hours time in service thereafter.

2. If the torque is found to be less than 1940 inch pounds, remove the NAS577-10 barrel nut and replace with a new NAS577-10 barrel nut before further flight.

This amendment becomes effective June 18, 1975.

(Secs. 313(a), 601, 603, Federal Aviation Act of 1958 (49 U.S.C. 1354(a), 1421, 1423), sec. 6(c), Department of Transportation Act (49 U.S.C. 1655(c)))

Issued in Burlington, Massachusetts, on May 27, 1975.

QUENTIN S. TAYLOR,
Director, New England Region.

[PR Doc.75-14794 Filed 6-5-75;8:45 am]

[Airspace Docket No. 74-SO-55]

PART 71—DESIGNATION OF FEDERAL AIRWAYS, AREA LOW ROUTES, CONTROLLED AIRSPACE, AND REPORTING POINTS

Alteration of Transition Area

On May 28, 1974, a Notice of Proposed Rulemaking was published in the FEDERAL REGISTER (39 FR 18469), stating that the Federal Aviation Administration was considering an amendment to Part 71 of the Federal Aviation Regulations that would alter the Oneida, Tenn., transition area.

Interested persons were afforded an opportunity to participate in the rule-making through the submission of comments. There were no comments received.

In consideration of the foregoing, Part 71 of the Federal Aviation Regulations is amended, effective 0901 G.m.t., August 14, 1975, as hereinafter set forth.

In § 71.181 (40 FR 441), the Oneida, Tenn., transition area is amended as follows:

* * * long. 84°35'10" W.) * * * is deleted and * * * long. 84°35'10" W.; within 3 miles each side of the 055° bearing from Scott RBN (lat. 36°27'26" N., long. 84°35'11" W.), extending from the 5.5-mile radius area to 8.5 miles north-east of the RBN * * * is substituted therefor.

(Sec. 307(a) Federal Aviation Act of 1958 (49 U.S.C. 1348(a)); sec. 6(c), Department of Transportation Act (49 U.S.C. 1655(c)))

Issued in East Point, Ga., on May 28, 1975.

PHILLIP M. SWATEK,
Director, Southern Region.

[PR Doc.75-14796 Filed 6-5-75;8:45 am]

[Airspace Docket No. 75-RM-12]

PART 71—DESIGNATION OF FEDERAL AIRWAYS, AREA LOW ROUTES, CONTROLLED AIRSPACE, AND REPORTING POINTS

Alteration of Transition Area

On April 25, 1975, a Notice of Proposed Rule Making was published in the FEDERAL REGISTER (40 FR 18176) stating that the Federal Aviation Administration was considering an amendment to Part 71 of the Federal Aviation Regulations that would alter the transition area at Mitchell, South Dakota.

Interested persons were given 30 days in which to submit written comments, suggestions or objections. No objections have been received and the proposed amendment is hereby adopted without change.

Effective date. This amendment shall be effective 0901 G.m.t., August 14, 1975.

(Sec. 307(a), Federal Aviation Act of 1958, as amended (49 U.S.C. 1348(a)); sec. 6(c), Department of Transportation Act (49 U.S.C. 1655(c)))

Issued in Aurora, Colorado, on May 30, 1975.

M. M. MARTIN,
Director, Rocky Mountain Region.

In § 71.181 (40 FR 441) the description of the Mitchell, So. Dak., transition area is amended to add:

MITCHELL, SO. DAK.

* * * and that airspace southwest of Mitchell extending upward from 9500 MSL within the area bounded on the east by V159, on the south by V148 and the Nebraska/South Dakota state line, on the west by a line from latitude 43°00' N, longitude 99°00' W direct to latitude 44°00' N, longitude 99°43' W, and on the north by the Pierre, So. Dak. 1200-foot transition area and V120.

[FR Doc.75-14795 Filed 6-5-75;8:45 am]

Title 19—Customs Duties

CHAPTER I—UNITED STATES CUSTOMS SERVICE, DEPARTMENT OF THE TREASURY

[T.D. 75-128]

PART 1—GENERAL PROVISIONS

Knoxville, Tenn.; Port of Entry

On December 18, 1974, a notice of a proposal to designate Knoxville, Tennessee, as a Customs port of entry in the New Orleans, Louisiana, Customs district (Region V) was published in the *FEDERAL REGISTER* (39 FR 43727). No comments were received regarding this proposal.

Accordingly, by virtue of the authority vested in the President by section 1 of the Act of August 1, 1914, 38 Stat. 623, as amended (19 U.S.C. 2), and delegated to the Secretary of the Treasury by Executive Order No. 10289, September 17, 1951 (3 CFR Ch. II), and pursuant to authority provided by Treasury Department Order No. 190, Rev. 10 (40 FR 2216), Knoxville, Tennessee, is hereby designated a Customs port of entry in the New Orleans, Louisiana, Customs District (Region V).

The geographical limits of the Knoxville port of entry shall include all of the area which is within the counties of Knox, Anderson, and Blount in the State of Tennessee.

To reflect this change, the table in § 1.2(c) of the Customs Regulations (19 CFR 1.2(c)) is amended by inserting "Knoxville, Tennessee (including the territory described in T.D. 75-128)" directly below "Greenville, Mississippi" in the column headed "Ports of entry" in the New Orleans, Louisiana, Customs district (Region V).

(Sec. 1, 37 Stat. 434, sec. 1, 38 Stat. 623, as amended (19 U.S.C. 1, 2))

Effective date. This amendment shall become effective on July 1, 1975.

Dated: May 22, 1975.

[SEAL] **DAVID R. MACDONALD,**
Assistant Secretary of the Treasury.

[FR Doc.75-14802 Filed 6-3-75;11:26 am]

[T.D. 75-129]

PART 1—GENERAL PROVISIONS

Amarillo, Tex.; Port of Entry

On September 17, 1974, there was published in the *FEDERAL REGISTER* (39 FR 33360), notice of a proposal to designate

Amarillo, Texas, as a Customs port of entry in the Houston, Texas, Customs district (Region VI). After consideration of the comments received, it has been decided to establish the Customs port of entry as proposed.

Accordingly, by virtue of the authority vested in the President by section 1 of the Act of August 1, 1914, 38 Stat. 623, as amended (19 U.S.C. 2), and delegated to the Secretary of the Treasury by Executive Order No. 10289, September 17, 1951 (3 CFR Ch. II), and pursuant to authority provided by Treasury Department Order No. 190, Rev. 10 (40 FR 2216), Amarillo, Texas, is hereby designated as a Customs port of entry in the Houston, Texas, Customs district (Region VI).

The geographical limits of the Amarillo port of entry will include all of the territory within the corporate limits of the city of Amarillo, Texas.

To reflect this change, the table in § 1.2(c) of the Customs Regulations (19 CFR 1.2(c)) is amended by inserting "Amarillo, Texas (T.D. 75-129)" directly below "Houston, Tex." in the column headed "Ports of entry" in the Houston, Texas, Customs district (Region VI).

(Sec. 1, 37 Stat. 434, sec. 1, 38 Stat. 623, as amended (19 U.S.C. 1, 2))

Effective date. This amendment shall become effective July 7, 1975.

Dated: May 22, 1975.

[SEAL] **DAVID R. MACDONALD,**
Assistant Secretary of the Treasury.

[FR Doc.75-14803 Filed 6-3-75;11:26 am]

[T.D. 75-130]

PART 1—GENERAL PROVISIONS

Puget Sound, Wash.; Port of Entry

On January 22, 1975, there was published in the *FEDERAL REGISTER* (40 FR 3452) a notice of a proposed change in Customs Region VIII, which would expand the port limits of the present Customs port of entry at Tacoma, Washington, and consolidate that port and Bellingham, Friday Harbor, Anacortes, Everett, Seattle, Olympia, Port Townsend, Port Angeles, and Neah Bay, Washington, into one Customs port of entry to be known as the Puget Sound Customs port of entry.

After consideration of the comments received in response to the notice, it has been decided to establish the consolidated port of entry as proposed. Entries for imported merchandise will continue to be accepted at Seattle, Anacortes, Bellingham, Everett, Friday Harbor, Neah Bay, Olympia, Port Angeles, Port Townsend, and Tacoma, Washington, with each of these former ports retaining its port code.

Accordingly, by virtue of the authority vested in the President by section 1 of the Act of August 1, 1914, 38 Stat. 623, as amended (19 U.S.C. 2), and delegated to the Secretary of the Treasury by Executive Order No. 10289, September 17, 1951 (3 CFR Ch. II), and pursuant to the authority provided by Treasury Department Order No. 190, Rev. 10 (40 FR 2216),

the Puget Sound consolidated port of entry is established.

The geographical limits of the consolidated port of entry will encompass all of the area within the present port of entry limits of Seattle, Anacortes, Bellingham, Everett, Friday Harbor, Neah Bay, Olympia, Port Angeles, and Port Townsend, Washington, and the port of entry limits of Tacoma, Washington, as extended to include the following territory:

Beginning at the intersection of the westernmost city limits of Tacoma and The Narrows and proceeding in an easterly, then southerly, then easterly direction along the city limits of Tacoma to its intersection with Pacific Highway (U.S. Route 99), then proceeding in a southerly direction along Pacific Highway to its intersection with Union Avenue Extended and continuing in a southerly direction along Union Avenue Extended to its intersection with the northwest corner of McChord Air Force Base, then proceeding along the northern, then western, then southern boundary of McChord Air Force Base to its intersection, just west of Lake Mondress, with the northern boundary of the Fort Lewis Military Reservation, then proceeding in an easterly direction along the northern boundary of the Fort Lewis Military Reservation to its intersection with Pacific Avenue, then proceeding in a southerly direction along Pacific Avenue to its intersection with National Park Highway, then proceeding in a southeasterly direction along National Park Highway to its intersection with 224th Street, East, then proceeding in an easterly direction along 224th Street, East, to its intersection with Meridian Street, South, then proceeding in a northerly direction along Meridian Street to the northern boundary of Pierce County, Washington, then proceeding in a westerly direction along the northern boundary of Pierce County to its intersection with Puget Sound, then proceeding in a generally southwestwesterly direction along the banks of the East Passage of Puget Sound, Commencement Bay, and The Narrows to the point of intersection with the westernmost city limits of Tacoma. The proposed port limits of the consolidated port of entry will also include all points and places on the southern boundary of the Juan de Fuca Strait from the eastern port limits of Neah Bay to the western port limits of Port Townsend, all points and places on the western boundary of Puget Sound, including Hood Canal, from the port limits of Port Townsend to the northern port limits of Olympia, all points and places on the southern boundary of Puget Sound from the port limits of Olympia to the western port limits of Tacoma, and all points and places on the eastern boundary of Puget Sound and contiguous waters from the proposed port limits of Tacoma north to the southern port limits of Bellingham.

To reflect this change, the table in § 1.2(c) of the Customs Regulations (19 CFR 1.2(c)) is hereby amended by substituting "Puget Sound (Seattle, Anacortes, Bellingham, Everett, Friday Harbor, Neah Bay, Olympia, Port Angeles, Port Townsend, Tacoma) (including the territory described in T.D. 75-130)" for "Seattle (including territory described in T.D. 53576)", "Anacortes (including the territory described in T.D. 53861)", "Bellingham (including territory described in T.D. 53738)", "Everett", "Friday Harbor (including territory described in E.O. 9433, Apr. 6, 1944; 9 FR

3761).", "Neah Bay (E.O. 10088, Dec. 3, 1949; 14 FR 7287).", "Olympia (E.O. 4780, Dec. 13, 1927).", "Port Angeles.", "Port Townsend.", and "Tacoma (including the territory described in T.D. 66-233).", immediately before "Aberdeen (including territory described in T.D. 56229)." in the column headed "Ports of entry" in the Seattle, Washington, Customs district (Region VIII).

(Sec. 1, 37 Stat. 434, sec. 1, 38 Stat. 623, as amended (19 U.S.C. 1, 2))

Effective date. This amendment shall become effective on July 1, 1975.

Dated: May 21, 1975.

[SEAL] DAVID R. MACDONALD,
Assistant Secretary of the Treasury.
[FR Doc. 75-14804 Filed 6-3-75; 11:26 am]

Title 20—Employees' Benefits

CHAPTER III—SOCIAL SECURITY ADMINISTRATION, DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH, EDUCATION, AND WELFARE

[Regs. No. 4, 5]

PART 404—FEDERAL OLD-AGE, SURVIVORS, AND DISABILITY INSURANCE (1950.....)

PART 405—FEDERAL HEALTH INSURANCE FOR THE AGED AND DISABLED (1965.....)

Entitlement to Hospital Insurance Benefits

On January 30, 1975, there was published in the FEDERAL REGISTER (40 FR 4440) a notice of proposed rule making with proposed amendments to Subpart D of Regulations No. 4 and Subpart A of Regulations No. 5 of the Social Security Administration. The proposed amendments to the regulations reflect and implement amendments to the Social Security Act made by sections 201, 202, and 299I of the Social Security Amendments of 1972 (Public Law 92-603) enacted October 30, 1972, and section 3 of the amendments to the Railroad Retirement Act of 1937 (Public Law 93-58) enacted July 6, 1973. The proposed amendments set forth the conditions and duration of entitlement to hospital insurance benefits (1) for individuals age 65 or older not otherwise eligible for such insurance, at a monthly premium (currently \$36 and scheduled to increase to \$40 effective July 1, 1975); (2) for individuals under age 65 who are entitled to a monthly benefit based on disability, or have the status of a disabled qualified railroad retirement beneficiary, and who have been so entitled or have had such status for the preceding 24 consecutive calendar months; and (3) for individuals under age 65 who have end-stage renal disease.

In addition, the proposed amendments set forth the requirements, provided in section 103 of the Social Security Amendments of 1965, (42 U.S.C. 426a, the transitional provision for the uninsured), for entitlement to hospital insurance benefits based on deemed entitlement to monthly benefits under section 202 of the Social Security Act. Regulations concerning this provision, presently in Part 404 (Regulations No. 4), Federal Old-Age,

Survivors, and Disability Insurance, are being transferred to Part 405 (Regulations No. 5). Federal Health Insurance for the Aged and Disabled, since the subject matter of the regulations concerns the conditions of entitlement to health insurance benefits. The substantive material contained in §§ 404.366 through 404.373, Regulations No. 4, Subpart D, is replaced with appropriate cross-references to Regulations No. 5, Subpart A.

Interested parties were given the opportunity to submit within 30 days, comments, views, or objections in writing with regard to the proposed amendments.

The only comment received involves entitlement to hospital insurance benefits based on end-stage renal disease (§ 405.104 of Regulations No. 5) and was submitted by the Department of Health of the State of New York. That Department suggests that an ESRD patient who has had a transplant should have his Medicare coverage continue without interruption until his demise. Its reasons for making this suggestion are that many transplants, which initially appear to be successful, fail after the second year following implantation and even where successful, transplant patients normally require extensive followup treatment and tests for the rest of their lives. Section 226(f) of the Social Security Act requires that Medicare eligibility end with the twelfth month after the month in which a person has a renal transplant. Since this 12-month termination rule is mandated by the Act, the Secretary is without authority to suspend or alter the requirement by regulation and the suggestion cannot be adopted.

The definition of "dependent child" in § 405.104(d) (3) as set out in the Notice of Proposed Rule Making has been changed in the final version to require that the child be unmarried on the first day he/she had end-stage renal disease.

The material revising § 405.310 was initially published under the Notice of Proposed Rule Making as § 405.107. However, since the subject matter of this material deals with limitations rather than entitlement to hospital insurance benefits, it has been moved to Regulations No. 5, Subpart C where one would naturally look for such exclusions. Accordingly, the amendments are adopted with minor editorial changes, some of which were necessitated by the Railroad Retirement Act of 1974 (Pub. L. 93-445).

(Secs. 205, 226, 1102, 1818, and 1871, 53 Stat. 1368, 79 Stat. 290, 49 Stat. 647, 79 Stat. 291-299, 86 Stat. 1374; 79 Stat. 331; 42 U.S.C. 405, 426, 1302, 1395i-2, 1395hh.)

Effective date. These amendments will be effective July 1, 1975.

(Catalog of Federal Domestic Assistance Program No. 13.800, Health Insurance for the Aged—Hospital Insurance).

Dated: May 21, 1975.

J. B. CARDWELL,
Commissioner of Social Security.

Approved: June 3, 1975.

CASPAR W. WEINBERGER,
Secretary of Health, Education,
and Welfare.

Regulations No. 4 and Regulations No. 5 of the Social Security Administration (20 CFR Parts 404 and 405) are further amended as set forth below.

1. Sections 404.366 through 404.373 are revised to read as follows:

§ 404.366 Hospital insurance benefits; entitlement in general.

See § 405.101 of this chapter.

§ 404.367 Hospital insurance benefits; conditions of entitlement.

See § 405.102(a) of this chapter.

§ 404.368 Hospital insurance benefits; qualified railroad retirement beneficiary.

See § 405.102(b) of this chapter.

§ 404.369 Hospital insurance benefits; duration of entitlement.

See §§ 405.102 (c) and (d) of this chapter.

§ 404.370 Transitional provisions for deemed entitlement of uninsured individuals to monthly benefits under section 202 of the Act.

See § 405.103 of this chapter.

§ 404.371 Application for hospital insurance entitlement by uninsured individual.

See § 405.103(a) (5) of this chapter.

§ 404.372 Exclusions from "deemed entitlement"; Federal employees.

See § 405.103(b) (1) of this chapter.

§ 404.373 Exclusion from deemed entitlement; convictions for subversion, treason.

See § 405.103(b) (2) of this chapter.

2. Section 405.102 is revised to read as follows:

§ 405.102 Hospital insurance benefits for individuals age 65 or over.

(a) *Conditions of entitlement.* An individual is entitled to hospital insurance benefits under the provisions described in this Subpart A if such individual has attained age 65 and:

(1) Is entitled to monthly insurance benefits under section 202 of the Social Security Act as described in Subpart D of Part 404 of this chapter; or

(2) Is a "qualified railroad retirement beneficiary" as described in paragraph (b) of this section; or

(3) Is deemed entitled to monthly insurance benefits under section 202 of the Social Security Act, solely for purposes of entitlement to hospital insurance benefits, by meeting the requirements prescribed in § 405.103.

(b) *Qualified railroad retirement beneficiary.* For purposes of this Part 405, the term "qualified railroad retirement beneficiary" means an individual whose name has been certified to the Social Security Administration by the Railroad Retirement Board under section 7(d) of the Railroad Retirement Act if 1974. An individual shall cease to be a qualified railroad retirement beneficiary at the close of the month preceding the month which is certified by the Railroad Retirement Board as the month in which he

cesses to meet the requirements of section 7(d) of the Railroad Retirement Act of 1974.

(c) *Beginning of coverage.* An individual is entitled to hospital insurance benefits beginning with the first day of the first month after June 1966 for which he meets the conditions of paragraph (a) of this section.

(d) *End of coverage.*—(1) *General.* An individual's entitlement to hospital insurance benefits under paragraph (a) of this section ends with whichever occurs first:

(i) The last day of the month in which he dies; or

(ii) The last day of the month before the month he no longer meets the requirements;

(A) For entitlement to monthly benefits under section 202 of the Social Security Act;

(B) Of section 7(d) of the Railroad Retirement Act of 1974, if qualified for hospital insurance benefits solely as a qualified railroad retirement beneficiary; or

(C) Of the transitional provisions on eligibility for hospital insurance benefits (see § 405.103) because such individual has become entitled to monthly benefits under section 202 of the Social Security Act or has been certified as a qualified railroad retirement beneficiary.

(2) *Deemed entitlement in the month of death.* For purposes of paragraph (d) (1) of this section, an individual will be deemed to have been entitled to a monthly insurance benefit under section 202 of the Social Security Act, or to have been a qualified railroad retirement beneficiary, for the month in which he died if he would have been entitled to a monthly insurance benefit under section 202 of the Act, or would have been a qualified railroad retirement beneficiary, for such month had he died in the next month.

3. Section 405.103 is revised to read as follows:

§ 405.103 *Transitional provisions for entitlement of aged uninsured individuals to hospital insurance benefits.*

(a) *Requirements.* Unless excluded under the provisions of paragraph (b) of this section, an individual age 65 or over will be deemed entitled to monthly insurance benefits under section 202 of the Social Security Act, solely for purposes of entitlement to hospital insurance benefits (see § 405.102(a)(3)), if such individual:

(1) (i) Attained age 65 before 1968, or
(ii) Attained age 65 after 1967 and has not less than three quarters of coverage (as defined in Subpart B of Part 404 of this chapter or in section (5) (1) of the Railroad Retirement Act of 1937), whenever acquired, for each calendar year after 1966 and before the year he attained age 65;

(2) Is not entitled to hospital insurance benefits as provided in § 405.102(a) (1) and would not be entitled to such benefits upon filing an application for monthly insurance benefits under section 202 of the Social Security Act;

(3) Is not certifiable as a qualified railroad retirement beneficiary (see § 405.102(a)(2));

(4) Is a resident of the United States (for definition of United States see § 404.2(c)(6) of this chapter), and

(i) Is a citizen of the United States, or

(ii) Is an alien lawfully admitted for permanent residence who has resided in the United States continuously during the 5 years immediately preceding the month in which he files his application required under paragraph (a) (5) of this section; and

(5) Has filed an application for entitlement to hospital insurance benefits under § 405.102(a)(3). Such application must be filed no earlier than 3 months before the first month in which the individual meets the requirements of paragraphs (a) (1), (2), (3), and (4) of this section. An application filed within 12 months after any month in which these requirements are met will be deemed to have been filed in such month.

(b) *Individuals not deemed entitled.* An individual may not be deemed entitled to monthly insurance benefits under section 202 of the Social Security Act, for purposes of § 405.102(a)(3), if either of the following exists:

(1) (i) He was covered by an enrollment in a health benefits plan under the Federal Employees Health Benefits Act of 1959 as of February 16, 1965, or as of the first day of the first month in which he meets the requirements set forth in paragraph (a) of this section, or (ii) he could have been so covered for such first month if he or some other person had availed himself of an opportunity to enroll in a health benefits plan under the Federal Employees Health Benefits Act of 1959 and to continue such enrollment, provided that he or such other person was a Federal employee at any time after February 15, 1965. This exclusion shall not apply in the case of any individual for the month (or any month thereafter) in which—

(i) His coverage under such health benefits plan ceases (or would have ceased if he had had such coverage),

(ii) Such cessation of coverage was by reason of his or some other person's separation from Federal service, and

(iii) He, or such other person, was not (or would not have been) eligible to continue such coverage after such separation.

(2) Before the first month in which he meets the requirements of paragraph (a) of this section, he has been convicted of any offense under chapter 37 (relating to espionage and censorship), or chapter 115 (relating to treason, sedition, and subversive activities), or chapter 105 (relating to sabotage) of title 18 of the United States Code; or sections 4) relating to conspiracy to establish dictatorship), 112 (relating to espionage or sabotage), or 113 (relating to individuals assisting others wanted in connection with espionage or sabotage) of the Internal Security Act of 1950, as amended.

4. Section 405.104 is revised to read as follows:

§ 405.104 *Entitlement to hospital insurance benefits based on end-stage renal disease.*

(a) *Conditions of entitlement.* An individual is eligible for hospital insurance benefits based on end-stage renal disease if he:

(1) Has not attained age 65; and

(2) Is either—

(i) Fully or currently insured (as such terms are defined in section 214 of the Act and Subpart B of Part 404 of this chapter) or would be fully or currently insured if his service as an employee (as defined in the Railroad Retirement Act of 1974) after December 31, 1936, were included in the term "employment" as defined in the Social Security Act, or

(ii) Entitled to monthly insurance benefits under title II of the Social Security Act or to an annuity under the Railroad Retirement Act of 1974, or

(iii) The spouse or dependent child of a person who meets the requirements in paragraphs (a) (2) (i) or (ii) of this section; and

(3) Is medically determined to have end-stage renal disease as defined in paragraph (d) (1) of this section.

(b) *Beginning of coverage.* An eligible individual as defined in paragraph (a) of this section is entitled to hospital insurance benefits beginning with whichever of the following is the latest:

(1) July 1, 1973; or

(2) The first day of whichever first occurs—

(i) The month in which he receives a renal transplant, or

(ii) The month prior to the month in which he receives a renal transplant if, in such prior month, he is hospitalized in preparation for and in anticipation of such surgery, or

(iii) The third calendar month after the month in which he begins a course of dialysis; or

(3) The first month in which he meets the requirements of paragraph (a) of this section.

(c) *End of coverage.* An individual's entitlement to hospital insurance benefits based on end-stage renal disease ends with the last day of whichever of the following first occurs:

(1) The 12th month after the month in which he receives a kidney transplant or his course of dialysis otherwise terminates, unless on or before the last day of such 12th month the individual again requires a course of dialysis or another kidney transplant; or

(2) The month in which he dies.

(d) *Definitions.*—(1) *End-stage renal disease.* An individual who is medically determined to have chronic renal disease and who requires hemodialysis or renal transplantation for such disease has "end-stage renal disease." End-stage renal disease is that stage of kidney impairment which is irreversible, cannot be controlled by conservative management alone, and requires dialysis or kidney transplantation to maintain life.

(2) *"Child" and "spouse" defined.* An individual is the child or spouse of a person, for purposes of paragraph (a) (2) (iii) of this section, if the individual is

so related to that person that he meets the relationship requirements set forth in Subpart L of Part 404 of this chapter for entitlement, respectively, to child's insurance benefits or to wife's, husband's, widow's, widower's, or mother's insurance benefits under title II on that person's earnings record, whether or not the relationship has continued long enough for such individual to qualify for such benefits. Notwithstanding the foregoing, an individual who qualifies as a spouse by virtue of § 404.1105 of this chapter must meet the duration of relationship requirements prescribed therein.

(3) *Dependency of a child.* For purposes of paragraph (a) (2) (iii) of this section, the child of a person is that person's "dependent child" if on the first day he has end-stage renal disease he is unmarried and meets the dependency requirements set forth in §§ 404.323-404.327a of this chapter for entitlement to child's insurance benefits on that person's earnings record and either:

(i) He has not attained age 22, or is under a disability (as defined in section 223(d) of the Act) which began before he attained age 22; or

(ii) (A) He has attained age 22, but not age 26, and

(B) He is receiving at least one-half support from that person, and

(C) He has continuously received since the day before he attained age 22, at least one-half support from that person.

For purposes of this subparagraph, the requirement in § 404.323(a) (1) that a child be dependent upon an individual "at the time the application for child's insurance benefits is filed," shall be deemed to read "on the first day he has end-stage renal disease," and the term "insured individual" referred to in §§ 404.323-404.324, and the term "individual" referred to in § 404.327a shall be deemed to read "a person who meets the requirements of paragraph (a) (2) (i) or (a) (2) (ii) of § 405.104."

(4) *What constitutes "at least one-half support."* A child is receiving at least one-half of his support from the person if such person makes regular contributions, in cash or kind, to such child's support and the amount of such contributions equals or exceeds one-half of such child's support.

5. Section 405.105 is added to read as follows:

§ 405.105 Hospital insurance entitlement based on entitlement to disability insurance benefits.

(a) *Conditions of entitlement.*—(1) *General.* An individual is entitled to hospital insurance benefits described in this Subpart A if such individual:

(i) Has not attained age 65 and is entitled, and has for the 24 preceding consecutive calendar months been entitled, to—

(A) Disability insurance benefits under section 223 of the Social Security Act (see § 404.306 of this chapter), or

(B) Child's insurance benefits under section 202(d) of the Social Security Act

by reason of disability (see § 404.320(a) (4) (iii) of this chapter), or

(C) Widow's insurance benefits under section 202(e) of the Social Security Act by reason of disability (see § 404.328(a) (3) (i) of this chapter and paragraphs (a) (2), (4), and (5) of this section), or

(D) Widower's insurance benefits under section 202(f) of the Social Security Act by reason of disability (see § 404.331(a) (3) (ii) of this chapter and paragraphs (a) (3) and (4) of this section); or

(ii) Has not attained age 65 and is, and has for the immediately preceding 24 consecutive calendar months been, a disabled qualified railroad retirement beneficiary under section 7(d) of the Railroad Retirement Act of 1974.

(2) *Modification of age requirement for widow's insurance benefits.* For purposes of determining entitlement to hospital insurance benefits under paragraph (a) (1) (i) (C) of this section, § 404.328(a) (3) (ii) and § 404.328(e) of this chapter are modified by substituting "age 65" where "age 60" appears therein.

(3) *Modification of age requirement for widower's insurance benefits.* For purposes of determining entitlement to hospital insurance benefits under paragraph (a) (1) (i) (D) of this section, § 404.331(a) (3) (ii) and § 404.331(c) of this chapter are modified by substituting "age 65" where "age 62" appears therein.

(4) *Deemed entitlement to widow's or widower's insurance benefits of certain individuals entitled to old-age insurance benefits.* For purposes of determining entitlement to hospital insurance benefits under paragraphs (a) (1) (i) (C) and (D) of this section, an individual who is entitled to old-age insurance benefits, and who was entitled to widow's or widower's insurance benefits based on disability for the month before the first month in which the individual was so entitled to old-age insurance benefits, shall be deemed to have continued to be entitled to such widow's insurance or widower's insurance benefits for and after such first month.

(5) *Deemed entitlement to widow's insurance benefits of certain individuals entitled to mother's insurance benefits.* For purposes of determining entitlement to hospital insurance benefits under paragraph (a) (1) (i) (C) of this section, an individual who is entitled to mother's insurance benefits under § 404.335 of this chapter (and would have been entitled to widow's insurance benefits by reason of disability if she had filed for such widow's insurance benefits) shall, upon application for hospital insurance benefits, be deemed to have filed for such widow's insurance benefits. Such individual shall, upon application and furnishing proof of such disability before July 1, 1974, be deemed to have been entitled to such widow's insurance benefits as of the first month she would have been entitled to such benefits if she had filed timely application therefor.

(b) *Beginning of coverage.* An individual is entitled to hospital insurance benefits under paragraph (a) of this sec-

tion beginning with the first day of the first month after June 1973 in which he meets the conditions set forth in that paragraph.

(c) *End of coverage.* The entitlement of an individual entitled under paragraph (a) of this section ends with the last day of whichever occurs first:

(1) The later of—

(i) The last month for which he is entitled, or deemed to be entitled, to any of the benefits specified in paragraph (a) (1) (i) of this section, or the last month for which he has the status of a disabled qualified railroad retirement beneficiary (see paragraph (a) (1) (ii) of this section), or

(ii) The month following the month in which notice of termination of entitlement to such benefits or of termination of such status is mailed to him; or

(2) The month before the month in which he attains age 65; or

(3) The month in which he dies.

6. Section 405.106 is added to read as follows:

§ 405.106 Premium hospital insurance.

(a) *General.* Hospital insurance benefits under Part A of title XVIII are available on a voluntary basis beginning July 1973 to eligible individuals age 65 or over who do not otherwise qualify for hospital insurance benefits and are willing to pay the full average cost of such insurance in a monthly premium. Eligible individuals must enroll timely for this insurance, pay a monthly premium (see paragraph (d) of this section), and enroll or already be enrolled in the supplementary medical insurance plan under Part B.

(b) *Requirements for eligibility to enroll for premium hospital insurance.* An individual is eligible to enroll for premium hospital insurance if:

(1) He has attained age 65; and

(2) He is already enrolled under the supplementary medical insurance plan under Part B of title XVIII or is eligible for such enrollment and files a timely enrollment request which entitles him to such coverage; and

(3) He is a resident of the United States and is either—

(i) A citizen of the United States, or

(ii) An alien lawfully admitted for permanent residence who resided in the United States continuously for the 5-year period immediately preceding the month in which all other requirements are met; and

(4) He is not otherwise eligible for hospital insurance benefits.

(c) *Enrollment and coverage periods.* The regulations in Subpart B of this part governing supplementary medical insurance enrollment and coverage periods are applicable to enrollment and periods of coverage for premium hospital insurance, subject to the following provisions:

(1) *Initial general enrollment period.*—*first eligibility before June 1973.* Individuals who first met the eligibility requirements of paragraph (b) of this section, other than subparagraph (2) thereof, be-

fore June 1973 could enroll for premium hospital insurance benefits during a 9-month initial general enrollment period that began December 1, 1972, and ended August 31, 1973. The premium hospital insurance coverage period of such an individual who enrolled during such 9-month period began on whichever of the following last occurred:

(i) The first day of the second month after the month in which he enrolled; or

(ii) July 1, 1973; or

(iii) The first day of the first month in which he met the requirements of paragraph (b) of this section.

(2) *Termination of premium hospital insurance coverage period.* (i) Filing of request for termination. An individual may at any time file a notice with the Social Security Administration that he no longer wishes to participate in the hospital insurance program. If such notice is filed before his hospital insurance coverage period begins, he will be deemed not to have enrolled for such insurance. If such notice is filed during his coverage period, such period will terminate at the close of the month following the month in which such notice is filed;

(ii) *Eligibility for hospital insurance not requiring premiums.* An individual's premium hospital insurance coverage shall terminate with the month before the first month in which he becomes eligible for hospital insurance benefits under § 405.102. Upon such termination, the individual shall be deemed, solely for purposes of hospital insurance entitlement, to have filed in such first month the application required to establish such entitlement;

(iii) *Termination of supplementary medical insurance benefits.* The termination of an individual's supplementary medical insurance coverage period shall result in the simultaneous termination of his premium hospital insurance coverage period.

(iv) *Nonpayment of premium.* An individual's premium hospital insurance coverage period shall be terminated because of nonpayment of premiums under the rules provided in Subpart I of this part governing termination for nonpayment of premiums of the supplementary medical insurance of an individual who is not entitled to monthly benefits payable under title II of the Social Security Act, the Railroad Retirement Act, or an act administered by the Civil Service Commission providing retirement or survivorship protection and who is not enrolled under a Federal-State agreement pursuant to section 1843 of the Act.

(3) *No deemed enrollment.* The provisions of § 405.210 (b) and (c) relating to deemed enrollment for supplementary medical insurance of certain individuals who become entitled to hospital insurance do not apply to individuals enrolling for hospital insurance under this section.

(d) *Premiums for hospital insurance.*

(1) *Premiums before July 1974; enrollment in initial enrollment period.* The monthly premium for each month of coverage before July 1974 shall be \$33.

(2) *Premiums after June 1974; enrollment in initial enrollment period.* For each month of premium hospital insurance coverage after June 1974, the amount of the hospital insurance premium will be governed as follows: The Secretary will, during the last calendar quarter of 1973 and of each year thereafter, determine and announce the dollar amount of the premium for each such month of coverage in the 12-month period commencing July 1 of the next year. Such premium amount for each month of the next year shall be equal to \$33 multiplied by the ratio of (i) the amount of the inpatient hospital deductible for such next year as promulgated under § 405.113, to (ii) \$76, the actuarially determined amount of such deductible for 1973. Any amount determined under the preceding sentence which is not a multiple of \$1 shall be rounded to the nearest multiple of \$1, or if midway between multiples of \$1, to the next higher multiple of \$1.

(3) *Enrollment after initial enrollment period.* For months of hospital insurance coverage resulting from enrollment or reenrollment by an individual after the close of his initial enrollment period, the amount of the monthly premium as determined under paragraph (d) (2) of this section shall be subject to increase in accordance with the same rules, set forth in § 405.902(b), as are applicable to supplementary medical insurance premiums.

(4) *Collection of premiums.* Premiums must be paid for premium hospital insurance under the same rules set forth in Subpart I of this Part as are applicable to collection of supplementary medical insurance premiums from individuals who are not entitled to monthly benefits payable under title II of the Social Security Act, the Railroad Retirement Act, or an act administered by the Civil Service Commission providing retirement or survivorship protection and who are not enrolled pursuant to a Federal-State agreement under section 1843 of the Social Security Act.

7. Section 405.310 is amended by adding thereto new paragraphs (m) and (n) as follows:

§ 405.310 Types of expenses not covered.

Notwithstanding any other provisions of this Part 405, no payment may be made for any expenses incurred for the following items or services:

(m) Post-hospital extended care services furnished before 1967; or

(n) Post-hospital extended care services furnished after 1966, or post-hospital home health services furnished at any time, if the hospital discharge necessary to qualify such services for payment under Part A of title XVIII occurred—

(1) In the case of an individual entitled to hospital insurance benefits under § 405.102, before July 1, 1966, or if later, before the first month in which he attained age 65; and

(2) In the case of an individual entitled to hospital insurance benefits un-

der §§ 405.104 or 405.105, when the individual was not entitled to such benefits.

[FR Doc. 75-14850 Filed 6-5-75; 8:45 am]

Title 21—Food and Drugs

CHAPTER I—FOOD AND DRUG ADMINISTRATION, DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH, EDUCATION, AND WELFARE

SUBCHAPTER E—ANIMAL DRUGS, FEEDS, AND RELATED PRODUCTS

PART 540—PENICILLIN ANTIBIOTIC DRUGS FOR ANIMAL USE

Sterile Ampicillin Trihydrate Suspension

The Commissioner of Food and Drugs has evaluated a new animal drug application (55-066V) filed by E. R. Squibb & Sons, Inc., Georges Rd., New Brunswick, NJ 08903, proposing safe and effective use of sterile ampicillin suspension, veterinary, for the treatment of dogs and cats. The application is approved.

Therefore, pursuant to provisions of the Federal Food, Drug, and Cosmetic Act (sec. 512(i), 82 Stat. 347; 21 U.S.C. 360b(i)) and under authority delegated to the Commissioner (21 CFR 2.120), § 540.207a(c) (formerly § 135b.104 prior to recodification published in the Federal Register of March 27, 1975 (40 FR 13802)) is amended by revising paragraph (c) (4) to read as follows:

§ 540.207a Sterile ampicillin trihydrate suspension.

(c) * * *

(4) *Conditions of use—(1) In calves.* (a) Administer intramuscularly for the treatment of bacterial enteritis caused by *E. coli* susceptible to ampicillin.

(b) Administer at a dose of 3 milligrams per pound of body weight, once or twice daily, for up to 3 days.

(c) It is not for use in other animals raised for food production.

(d) Treated animals must not be slaughtered for food use during treatment or for 9 days after the last treatment.

(e) Federal law restricts this drug to use by or on the order of a licensed veterinarian.

(ii) *In dogs.* (a) Administer intramuscularly for the treatment of respiratory tract infections due to *E. coli*, *Pseudomonas spp.*, *Proteus spp.*, *Staphylococcus spp.*, and *Streptococcus spp.*; tonsillitis due to *E. coli*, *Pseudomonas spp.*, *Streptococcus spp.*, and *Staphylococcus spp.*; generalized infections (septicemia) associated with abscesses, lacerations, and wounds due to *Staphylococcus spp.* and *Streptococcus spp.*

(b) Administer at a dose of 3 to 6 milligrams per pound of body weight once or twice daily until at least 48 hours after the animal's temperature has returned to normal and other signs of infection have subsided. Usual treatment is 3 to 5 days.

(c) Federal law restricts this drug to use by or on the order of a licensed veterinarian.

(iii) *In cats.* (a) Administer intramuscularly or subcutaneously for the treatment of generalized infections (septicemia) associated with abscesses, lac-

erations, and wounds due to *Staphylococcus spp.*, *Streptococcus spp.*, and *Pasteurella spp.*

(b) Administer at a dose of 5 to 10 milligrams per pound of body weight once or twice daily until at least 48 hours after the animal's temperature has returned to normal and other signs of infection have subsided. Usual treatment is 3 to 5 days.

(c) Federal law restricts this drug to use by or on the order of a licensed veterinarian.

Effective date. This order shall be effective June 6, 1975.

(Sec. 512(f), 82 Stat. 347; 21 U.S.C. 360b(f))

Dated: May 8, 1975.

FRED J. KINGMA,
Acting Director, Bureau
of Veterinary Medicine.

[FR Doc.75-14801 Filed 6-5-75; 8:45 am]

Title 26—Internal Revenue

CHAPTER I—INTERNAL REVENUE SERVICE, DEPARTMENT OF THE TREASURY

SUBCHAPTER A—INCOME TAX

[Income Tax Regulations; T.D. 7357]

PART 1—INCOME TAX; TAXABLE YEARS BEGINNING AFTER DECEMBER 31, 1953

Deduction for Amounts Paid or Permanently Set Aside by an Estate or Trust for a Charitable Purpose

Correction

In FR Doc. 75-14372 appearing at page 23738 in the issue for Monday, June 2, 1975, make the following changes:

1. On page 23738, in the third column, first paragraph, fifth line from the top, the words "beginning after Decem-" are deleted and the phrase "must be made by the due" should be inserted after "taxable year".

2. On page 23740, in § 1.642(c)-2(b)(3)(ii), the paragraph in the third column designated "(d)" should be designated "(D)".

3. In the first column of page 23741, under paragraph (b)(4)(iii) of § 1.642(c)-2, the seventh line should read "such will after October 9, 1969, and before October 9, 1972, by codi-".

4. In § 1.642(c)-2 on page 23741, at the end of paragraph (c)(1) the word "or" should appear.

5. On page 23741, in the second column, § 1.642(c)-3, third line from the bottom of paragraph (b)(1), the word now reading "longterm" should read "long-term".

6. On page 23741, in the third column, the second paragraph designation should read "Example (1)".

7. On page 23742, in the second column, in § 1.642(c)-4, the paragraph designation "(a)" should be deleted.

[Income Tax Regs.; T.D. 7357]

PART 1—INCOME TAX; TAXABLE YEARS BEGINNING AFTER DECEMBER 31, 1953

Deduction for Amounts Paid or Permanently Set Aside by an Estate or Trust for a Charitable Purpose; Correction

On Monday, June 2, 1975, a Treasury decision was published in the FEDERAL

REGISTER (40 FR 23738). The following corrections are made to the Treasury decision:

1. Lines 2 and 3 of § 1.642(c)-1 (a)(1) (page 23739) should be "gross income of an estate or trust which, pursuant to the terms of the".

2. Line 3 of § 1.642(c)-1 (a)(3) (page 23739) should be "der this section to a trust which is, or is treated under section 4947(a)(1) as though it were, a pri-".

3. Line 10 of § 1.642(c)-4 (page 23742) should be "642(c)(1) or (2), shall not be al-".

JAMES F. DRING,
Director,

Legislation and Regulations Division.

[FR Doc.75-14871 Filed 6-5-75; 8:45 am]

Title 41—Public Contracts and Property Management

CHAPTER 101—FEDERAL PROPERTY MANAGEMENT REGULATIONS

SUPCHAPTER E—SUPPLY AND PROCUREMENT [FPMR Amdt. E-160]

PART 101-26—PROCUREMENT SOURCES AND PROGRAMS

Illustrations of Revised Forms

This amendment illustrates revised editions of GSA Forms 1424 and 1781.

1. Section 101-26.4902-1424 is revised to illustrate the February 1975 edition of GSA Form 1424 GSA Supplemental Provisions.

2. Section 101-26.4902-1781 is revised to illustrate the November 1974 edition of GSA Form 1781, Motor Vehicle Requisition—Delivery Order—Invoice.

NOTE: The forms illustrated in §§ 101-26.4902-1424 and 101-26.4902-1781 are filed as part of the original document.

(Sec. 205(c), 63 Stat. 390; 40 U.S.C. 486(c))

Effective date. This regulation is effective June 6, 1975.

Dated: May 28, 1975.

ARTHUR F. SAMPSON,
Administrator of General Service.

[FR Doc.75-14838 Filed 6-5-75; 8:45 am]

Title 49—Transportation

CHAPTER I—DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION

SUBCHAPTER B—OFFICE OF PIPELINE SAFETY [Docket No. OPS-26; Amdt. 192-17]

PART 192—TRANSPORTATION OF NATURAL AND OTHER GAS BY PIPELINE: MINIMUM FEDERAL SAFETY STANDARDS

Qualification of Pipe Transported by Railroad

Correction

FR Doc. 75-3792, published at page 6345 in the issue dated Tuesday, February 11, 1975, is corrected on page 6346 by deleting "." and adding "; or" at the end of the amended § 192.65(a).

Issued in Washington, D.C. on May 30, 1975.

JOSEPH C. CALDWELL,
Director,
Office of Pipeline Safety.

[FR Doc.75-14811 Filed 6-5-75; 8:45 am]

proposed rules

This section of the FEDERAL REGISTER contains notices to the public of the proposed issuance of rules and regulations. The purpose of these notices is to give interested persons an opportunity to participate in the rulemaking prior to the adoption of the final rules.

DEPARTMENT OF THE INTERIOR

Bureau of Land Management

[43 CFR Parts 5400, 5420, 5450]

SALE OF FOREST PRODUCTS

Export of Timber From Federal Lands; Notice of Proposed Rulemaking

The purpose of this amendment is to incorporate into the regulations a requirement for the inclusion of provisions in timber sale contracts that will assure that unprocessed timber sold from public lands under the jurisdiction of the Bureau of Land Management will not be exported or used by the purchaser as a substitute for timber he exports or sells for export. The Department of the Interior and Related Agencies Appropriation Act, 1975, places a limitation on the use of funds available under the Act for sales of unprocessed timber made by the Secretary of the Interior. This amendment would incorporate the limitation into the Federal regulations so as to control substitution of Federal timber for private timber sold for export.

The proposed amendment does not change authorities or procedures which have an impact on the environment. It is hereby determined that the publication of this amendment is not a major Federal action significantly affecting the quality of the human environment and that no detailed statement, pursuant to section 102(2)(C) of the National Environmental Policy Act of 1969 (42 U.S.C. 4332(2)(C)) is required.

In accordance with the Department's policy on public participation in rule making (36 FR 8336) interested parties may submit written comments, suggestions, or objections with respect to the proposed rules to the Director (210), Bureau of Land Management, Washington, D.C. 20240 until June 30, 1975.

Copies of comments, suggestions, or objections made pursuant to this notice will be available for public inspection in the Division of Legislation and Regulatory Management, Bureau of Land Management, Room 5555, Interior Bldg., Washington, D.C., during regular business hours (7:45 a.m.-4:15 p.m.).

PART 5400—SALES OF FOREST PRODUCTS; GENERAL

Subpart 5400—Sales of Forest Products; General

Group 5400 of Chapter II, Title 43, of the Code of Federal Regulations is amended as follows:

1. Section 5400.0-3 (c) is revised to read as follows:

§ 5400.0-3 Authority.

(c) The Department of the Interior and Related Agencies Appropriation Act, 1975 (88 Stat. 803) prohibits the use of funds appropriated thereunder for sale of unprocessed timber from Federal lands west of the 100th meridian in the contiguous 48 States which will be exported from the United States, or which will be used as a substitute for timber from private lands which is exported by the purchaser. The law also provides that the export restriction shall not apply to specific quantities of grades and species of timber which the Secretary of the Interior determines to be surplus to domestic lumber and plywood manufacturing needs.

2. In § 5400.0-5 a new paragraph (n) is added and paragraphs (k) and (l) are revised to read as follows:

§ 5400.0-5 Definitions.

(k) "Unprocessed timber" means (1) any logs except those of utility grade or below, such as sawlogs, peeler logs, and pulp logs; (2) cants or squares to be subsequently remanufactured exceeding eight and three-quarters (8¾) inches in thickness; (3) split or round bolts, or other roundwood not processed to standards and specifications suitable for end product use.

(l) "Federal lands" as used in the Department of the Interior and Related Agencies Appropriation Act, 1975, means all lands administered by the Department of the Interior west of the 100th meridian in the contiguous 48 States with the exception of tribal and trust allotted lands managed by the Bureau of Indian Affairs on behalf of the Indians.

(n) "Substitution" as used in the Department of the Interior and Related Agencies Appropriation Act, 1975, means the purchase of a greater volume of public timber by an individual purchaser than his historic pattern within the previous twelve (12) months of the sale by such purchaser of a greater volume of his private timber than has been his historic pattern.

3. § 5400.0-7(a) is revised to read as follows:

§ 5400.0-7 Public hearings to determine surplus quantities and species of unprocessed timber.

(a) Pursuant to the Department of the Interior and Related Agencies Ap-

propriation Act, 1975, public hearings will be held when authorized by the Director to seek advice and counsel as to the specific quantities of grades and species of unprocessed timber surplus to the needs of domestic users and processors. Such species and quantities thereby determined to be surplus by the Secretary, may be designated as available for export by the Secretary.

Subpart 5401—Advertised Sales; General

4. § 5401.0-6(b) is revised to read as follows:

§ 5401.0-6 Policy.

(b) All competitive sales shall be subject to the restrictions relating to the export from the United States of unprocessed timber required by the Department of the Interior and Related Agencies Appropriation Act, 1975.

Subpart 5402—Other Than Advertised Sales; General

5. § 5402.0-6(d) is revised to read as follows:

§ 5402.0-6 Policy.

(d) All negotiated sales shall be subject to the restrictions relating to the export from the United States of unprocessed timber required by the Department of the Interior and Related Agencies Appropriation Act, 1975.

PART 5420—PREPARATION FOR SALE

Subpart 5424—Preparation for Contract

6. § 5424.0-6 is revised to read as follows:

§ 5424.0-6 Policy.

(a) All sales shall be made on contract forms approved by the Director. The contract form and any additional provisions shall be made available for inspection by prospective bidders during the advertising period.

(b) The Authorized Officer may include additional provisions in the contract to cover conditions peculiar to the sale area, such as road construction, logging methods, silvicultural practices, reforestation, snag felling, slash disposal, fire prevention, fire control, and the protection of improvements, watersheds and recreational values.

(c) Except for such specific quantities of grades and species of unprocessed timber determined to be surplus to domestic lumber and plywood manufacturing needs, each sale contract shall in-

clude provisions that prohibit: (1) the export of any unprocessed timber harvested from the area under contract; (2) the use of any timber of sawlog or peeler grades sold thereunder as a substitute for timber from private lands which is exported by the purchaser or sold by the purchaser for export.

PART 5450—AWARD OF CONTRACT

Subpart 5450—Award of Contract; General

7. In § 5450.1 a new paragraph (c) is added to read as follows:

§ 5450.1 Pre-award qualifications of high bidder.

(c) in addition to paragraphs (a) and (b) of this section the successful bidder must sign and return a certificate as to nonsubstitution of timber.

JACK O. HORTON,
Assistant Secretary
of the Interior.

[FR Doc. 75-14783 Filed 6-5-75; 8:45 am]

DEPARTMENT OF AGRICULTURE

Agricultural Marketing Service

[7 CFR Part 999]

IMPORTS OF WALNUTS

Proposed Amendment of Certain Requirements

Notice is hereby given that the Department is proposing to amend the regulation governing imports of walnuts (7 CFR 999.100) to revise the quality requirements for imported inshell walnuts, and to permit inspection and reconditioning prior to importation at any ports. The walnut import regulation is effective pursuant to section 8e (7 U.S.C. 608e-1) of the Agricultural Marketing Agreement Act of 1937, as amended (7 U.S.C. 601-674), hereinafter referred to collectively as the "act".

Section 8e of the act requires the Secretary of Agriculture to issue, after reasonable notice, quality restrictions on imported walnuts, which are the same as, or comparable to, those imposed upon domestic walnuts under a Federal marketing order (7 CFR Part 984; 39 FR 35327; 35999). The quality requirements for imported inshell walnuts under the import regulation are U.S. No. 3. The quality requirements for domestic inshell walnuts under the Federal marketing order were revised from U.S. No. 3 to U.S. No. 2. Therefore, it is proposed to revise the present quality requirements on imported inshell walnuts to U.S. No. 2.

The import regulation requires the inspection and certification, and reconditioning, if necessary, of inshell and shelled walnuts offered for importation into the United States to be performed at the port of arrival. On some occasions, walnuts have been unloaded at a port of arrival and transported under U.S. Customs

Service custody to the importer at the final port of destination; i.e., the port of entry. However, an importer may not know when this takes place, and therefore is unable to arrange to have the required inspection performed. Permitting inspection, prior to importation, at any port, would allow the importer to have the walnuts inspected before they are released from Customs custody to him at the port of entry.

Moreover, some shipments of foreign walnuts arrive at ports on the border between the United States and Canada. Most of these ports do not have inspection and reconditioning facilities. The lack of these facilities has caused delays in the movement of walnuts into the United States and placed undue restrictions on importers using these ports.

The proposal would permit inspection of walnuts offered for importation at any port, and thus would remove a restriction placed on importers and facilitate movement of imported walnuts. It would also provide for smoother operations under, and more efficient administration of, the import regulation.

Consideration will be given to any written data, views or arguments pertaining to the proposal which are received by the Hearing Clerk, U.S. Department of Agriculture, Room 112, Administration Building, Washington, D.C. 20250, not later than June 30, 1975. All written submissions pursuant to this notice should be in quadruplicate and will be made available for public inspection at the office of the Hearing Clerk during regular business hours (7 CFR 1.27(b)).

The proposal is as follows:

1. Sections 999.100(a) (6), (c) (4), and (d) are revised to read as follows:

§ 999.100 Regulation governing imports of walnuts.

(a) * * *

(b) "Importation of walnuts" means the release of walnuts from the custody of the United States Customs Service.

(c) * * *

(4) Inspection must be completed prior to the importation of walnuts. To avoid delay the applicant should make advance arrangements with the USDA inspection office.

(d) Nothing contained in this section shall be deemed to preclude reconditioning walnuts prior to importation, in order that such walnuts may be made eligible to meet the grade and size regulations prescribed in paragraph (b) of this section.

2. Section 999.100(b) (1) is amended by deleting "U.S. No. 3" and inserting "U.S. No. 2" in lieu thereof.

Dated: June 3, 1975.

CHARLES R. BRADER,
Deputy Director,
Fruit and Vegetable Division.

[FR Doc. 75-14805 Filed 6-5-75; 8:45 am]

DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION

Federal Aviation Administration

[14 CFR Part 39]

[Docket No. 75-NW-17-AD]

BOEING MODEL 747 SERIES AIRPLANES

Proposed Airworthiness Directive

The Federal Aviation Administration is considering amending Part 39 of the Federal Aviation Regulations by adding an airworthiness directive applicable to Boeing Model 747 series airplanes. There have been many incidents of toilet flush motor capacitor overheat reported in which a few have resulted in burn-through of the capacitor housing and emission of flames. As mentioned in Docket Number 73-NW-12-AD, FAA reviews of lavatory designs have revealed that many waste container systems exhibited a number of holes, gaps and cracks within the container envelope. These openings provided numerous small air pathways leading to adjacent lavatory compartmentation. Further, examination of in-service waste container systems revealed that with time various flammable materials such as dust, lint, and wastepaper accumulate beyond the waste containers (through the gaps, holes and cracks) constituting a fire potential. While the aforementioned airworthiness directive, with termination date of December 31, 1975, requires rework to seal off waste container compartmentation to enable existing waste container systems to contain a fire, the same fire potential situation prevails in the compartment containing the capacitor housing. The flush motor is located adjacent to waste container and other lavatory compartmentation, as well as a toilet venting source. Thus, there is venting and opportunity for foreign material to collect and unless the potential ignition source is eliminated, this could result in a lavatory fire. Since this condition is likely to exist or develop in other airplanes of the same type design, the proposed airworthiness directive would require removal of the capacitor assembly from the toilet flush motor and capping of exposed wires in accordance with Boeing Service Bulletin 747-38-2021, or later approved revisions, or in a manner approved by the Chief, Engineering and Manufacturing Branch, FAA Northwest Region.

Interested persons are invited to participate in the making of the proposed rule by submitting such written data, views, or arguments as they may desire. Communications should identify the docket number and be submitted in duplicate to the Department of Transportation, Federal Aviation Administration, Northwest Region, Office of Regional Counsel, Attention: Airworthiness Rules Docket, 9010 East Marginal Way South, Seattle, Washington 98108. All communications received on or before August 1, 1975, will be considered by the Adminis-

trator before taking action upon the proposed rule. The proposals contained in this notice may be changed in the light of comments received. All comments will be available, both before and after the closing date for comments, in the Rules Docket for examination by interested persons.

In consideration of the foregoing, it is proposed to amend § 39.13 of the Federal Aviation Regulations by adding the following new airworthiness directive:

Boring: Applies to all Boeing Model 747 series aircraft certificated in all categories.

Compliance required as indicated unless already accomplished. To prevent possible in-flight fire accomplish the following: Within 300 hours time in-service from the effective date of this AD, unless already accomplished, remove capacitor assembly from toilet flush motor and cap exposed wires in accordance with Boeing Service Bulletin 747-38-2021, or later approved revisions, or in a manner approved by the Chief, Engineering and Manufacturing Branch, FAA Northwest Region.

(Secs. 313(a), 601, 603, Federal Aviation Act of 1958 (49 U.S.C. 1354(a), 1421, 1423); sec. 6(c), Department of Transportation Act (49 U.S.C. 1655(c)))

Issued in Seattle, Washington, May 30, 1975.

C. B. WALK, Jr.,
Director, Northwest Region.

[PR Doc.75-14793 Filed 6-5-75;8:45 am]

[14 CFR Part 39]

[Docket No. 75-NE-27]

AVCO LYCOMING T53 SERIES ENGINES

Proposed Airworthiness Directive

The Federal Aviation Administration is considering amending Part 39 of the Federal Aviation Regulations by adding an airworthiness directive applicable to Avco Lycoming T53 series engines. There have been incidents of binding of the torque converter boost pump drive shaft resulting in secondary failure of the N2 accessory drive shaft. This secondary failure results in the loss of mechanical speed input to the N2 governor, N2 overspeed trip system and the N2 tachometer generator. Since this condition is likely to exist or develop in other engines of the same model, an airworthiness directive is being proposed to require the replacement of the torque converter boost pump assemblies with assemblies containing as reduced cross-section drive shaft.

Interested persons are invited to participate in the making of the proposed rule by submitting such written data, views, or arguments as they may desire. Communications should identify the docket number and be submitted in duplicate to the Department of Transportation, Federal Aviation Administration, New England Region, Attention: The Regional Counsel, Airworthiness: Rules Docket, 12 New England Executive Park, Burlington, Massachusetts 01803. All communications received on or before July 7, 1975, will be considered by the Administrator before taking action upon

the proposed rule. The proposals contained in this notice may be changed in the light of comments received. All comments will be available, both before and after the closing date for comments, in the Rules Docket for examination by interested persons.

This amendment is proposed under the authority of sections 313(a), 601, and 603 of the Federal Aviation Act of 1958 (49 U.S.C. 1354(a), 1421, and 1423) and of section 6(c) of the Department of Transportation Act (49 U.S.C. 1655(c)).

In consideration of the foregoing, it is proposed to amend § 39.13 of Part 39 of the Federal Aviation Regulations by adding the following new airworthiness directive:

Avco Lycoming. Applies to all Avco Lycoming T53 series engines.

To prevent secondary failure of the N2 accessory drive shaft, as a result of binding of the torque converter boost pump drive shaft, remove torque converter boost pump, Part Number 1-300-221-01 or Part Number 1-300-221-02, and replace with Part Number 1-300-221-03 or Part Number 1-300-221-04 within 200 hours time in service after the effective date of this AD.

Note: Avco Lycoming Service Bulletin Number 0031 pertains to this subject.

Issued in Burlington, Massachusetts, on May 29, 1975.

QUENTIN S. TAYLOR,
Director, New England Region.

[PR Doc.75-14791 Filed 6-5-75;8:45 am]

[14 CFR Part 71]

[Airspace Docket No. 75-SO-45]

ATLANTA, GA., TERMINAL CONTROL AREA

Proposed Alteration

The Federal Aviation Administration (FAA) is considering an amendment to Part 71 of the Federal Aviation Regulations that would alter the Atlanta, Ga., Terminal Control Area (TCA) by raising certain floor altitudes in the southern portion to 5,000 feet MSL.

Interested persons may participate in the proposed rule making by submitting such written data, views or arguments as they may desire. Communications should identify the airspace docket number and be submitted in triplicate to the Director, Southern Region, Attention: Chief, Air Traffic Division, Federal Aviation Administration, P.O. Box 20636, Atlanta, Ga. 30320. All communications received on or before July 7, 1975, will be considered before action is taken on the proposed amendment. The proposal contained in this notice may be changed in the light of comments received.

An official docket will be available for examination by interested persons at the Federal Aviation Administration, Office of the Chief Counsel, Attention: Rules Docket, 800 Independence Avenue, SW, Washington, D.C. 20591. An informal docket also will be available for examination at the office of the Regional Air Traffic Division Chief.

The proposed amendment would:

1. For that portion of present Area B south of the Atlanta VORTAC 091°/271°

radials to the 12 NM radius arc from the Atlanta Airport:

a. Raise the floor altitude from 2,500 to 5,000 feet MSL.

b. Include that area as part of new Area D.

2. For that portion of present Area C south of the Atlanta VORTAC 091°/271° radials to the 20 NM radius arc from the Atlanta Airport:

a. Raise the floor altitude from 3,500 to 5,000 feet MSL.

b. Include that area as part of new Area D.

3. Redesignate that portion of present Area D north of a line one mile south of and parallel to the Fulton County VOR 271°/091° radials as new Area E.

4. Redesignate present Area E as new Area F.

The raising of floor altitudes in the southern portion to 5,000 feet MSL is due to improved instrumentation on parallel Runways 8, 9L, 9R/26, 27L, 27R, airport construction, and decommissioning of Runway 33 Instrument Landing System (ILS) that has reduced the utilization of Runway 33 to less than one percent of the total activity.

In addition, the proposed actions would reduce the burden on the flying public by enabling en route aircraft to bypass the Atlanta terminal, east and west-bound, at substantially reduced mileage.

In consideration of the foregoing, it is proposed to amend the Atlanta, Ga., TCA in § 71.401 of Part 71 of the Federal Aviation Regulations to read as follows:

ATLANTA, GA., TERMINAL CONTROL AREA

Primary Airport. Atlanta Airport (Lat. 33° 38'31" N., Long. 84°25'34" W.)

Boundaries—Area A. That airspace extending upward from the surface to and including 8,000 feet MSL within a 7-mile radius of the Atlanta Airport, excluding the Fulton County Control Zone and the airspace north of a line four miles north of and parallel to the extended centerline of Runways 8/26.

Area B. That airspace extending upward from 2,500 feet MSL to and including 8,000 feet MSL within a 12-mile radius of the Atlanta Airport, and that airspace between the 12-mile and 20-mile radii, bounded on the north by the 090°T(089°M) and 270°T(269°M) radials of the Rex VOR and on the south by the 091°T(090°M) and 271°T(270°M) radials of the Atlanta VORTAC, excluding Area A, Area D, the Fulton County Control Zone, and the airspace north of a line four miles north of and parallel to the extended centerline of Runways 8/26.

Area C. That airspace extending upward from 3,500 feet MSL to and including 8,000 feet MSL within a 20-mile radius of the Atlanta Airport, excluding Area A, Area B, Area D and the airspace north of a line one mile south of and parallel to the 271°T(271°M) and 091°T(091°M) radials of the Fulton County VOR.

Area D. That airspace extending upward from 5,000 feet MSL to and including 8,000 feet MSL south of the Atlanta Airport, bounded on the north by Atlanta VORTAC 091°T(090°M) and 271°T(270°M) radials, and on the south by a 20-mile radius arc from Atlanta Airport.

Area E. That airspace extending upward from 5,000 feet MSL to and including 8,000 feet MSL north of the Atlanta Airport bounded on the east by a 20-mile radius arc from the Atlanta Airport, on the south by a line

one mile south of and parallel to the 271°T (271°M) and 091°T(091°M) radials of Fulton County VOR, on the west by a 20-mile radius arc from the Atlanta Airport, and on the north by the southern boundary of the area described as the Atlanta, Ga., (Dobbins AFB/NAS Atlanta Control Zone) and the 260°T (259°M) of Norcross VOR east of the Atlanta, Ga. (Dobbins AFB/NAS Atlanta Control Zone).

Area F. That airspace extending upward from 8,000 feet MSL to and including 12,500 feet MSL within a 35-mile radius of the Atlanta Airport.

(Sec. 307(a), Federal Aviation Act of 1958 (49 U.S.C. 1348(a)); sec. 6(c), Department of Transportation Act (49 U.S.C. 1555(c)))

Issued in Washington, D.C., on June 2, 1975.

EDWARD J. MALO,
Acting Chief, Airspace and
Air Traffic Rules Division.

[FR Doc.75-14797 Filed 6-5-75;8:45 am]

[14 CFR Part 71]

[Airspace Docket No. 75-SO-55]

TRANSITION AREA
Proposed Alteration

The Federal Aviation Administration is considering an amendment to Part 71 of the Federal Aviation Regulations that would alter the Brunswick, Ga., transition area.

Interested persons may submit such written data, views or arguments as they desire. Communications should be submitted in triplicate to the Federal Aviation Administration, Southern Region, Air Traffic Division, P.O. Box 20636, Atlanta, Ga. 30320. All communications received on or before July 7, 1975 will be considered before action is taken on the proposed amendment. No hearing is contemplated at this time, but arrangements for informal conferences with Federal Aviation Administration officials may be made by contacting the Chief, Airspace and Procedures Branch. Any data, views or arguments presented during such conferences must also be submitted in writing in accordance with this notice in order to become part of the record for consideration. The proposal contained in this notice may be changed in light of comments received.

The official docket will be available for examination by interested persons at the Federal Aviation Administration, Southern Region, Room 645, 3400 Whipple Street, East Point, Ga.

The Brunswick transition area described in § 71.181 (40 FR 441) would be amended as follows:

" * * * south of the VOR; * * * " would be deleted and " * * * south of the VOR; within an 8.5-mile radius of Brunswick-Golden Isles Municipal Airport (lat. 31°15'32" N., long. 81°27'59" W.); * * * " would be substituted therefor.

The proposed alteration is required to provide controlled airspace protection for IFR operations at Brunswick-Golden Isles Municipal Airport. An instrument approach procedure to this airport, utilizing the Brunswick VORTAC, is proposed

in conjunction with the alteration of this transition area.

(Sec. 307(a), Federal Aviation Act of 1958 (49 U.S.C. 1348(a)); Sec. 6(c), Department of Transportation Act (49 U.S.C. 1555(c)))

Issued in East Point, Ga., on May 29, 1975.

PHILLIP M. SWATEK,
Director, Southern Region.

[FR Doc.75-14798 Filed 6-5-75;8:45 am]

[14 CFR Part 71]

[Airspace Docket No. 75-SO-54]

CONTROL ZONE AND TRANSITION AREA
Notice of Proposed Alteration and
Designation

The Federal Aviation Administration is considering an amendment to Part 71 of the Federal Aviation Regulations that would alter the Fort Stewart, Ga., control zone and designate the Fort Stewart, Ga., transition area.

Interested persons may submit such written data, views or arguments as they desire. Communications should be submitted in triplicate to the Federal Aviation Administration, Southern Region, Air Traffic Division, P.O. Box 20636, Atlanta, Ga. 30320. All communications received on or before July 7, 1975 will be considered before action is taken on the proposed amendment. No hearing is contemplated at this time, but arrangements for informal conferences with Federal Aviation Administration officials may be made by contacting the Chief, Airspace and Procedures Branch. Any data, views or arguments presented during such conferences must also be submitted in writing in accordance with this notice in order to become part of the record for consideration. The proposal contained in this notice may be changed in light of comments received.

The official docket will be available for examination by interested persons at the Federal Aviation Administration, Southern Region, Room 645, 3400 Whipple Street, East Point, Ga.

The Fort Stewart control zone described in § 71.171 (40 FR 354) would be amended as follows:

" * * * Wright TVOR 242° * * * " would be deleted and " * * * Wright TVOR 234° * * * " would be substituted therefor.

The Fort Stewart transition area would be designated as:

That airspace extending upward from 700 feet above the surface within an 8.5-mile radius of Lyle H. Wright AAF (lat. 31°53'20" N., long. 81°33'45" W.); within 1.5 miles each side of the 049° bearing from Allenhurst RBN, extending from the 8.5 mile radius area to the RBN.

The proposed alteration of the control zone is required due to a change in the final approach radial of the VOR-A Instrument Approach Procedure to Lyle H. Wright AAF. The proposed designation of the transition area is required to provide controlled airspace protection for IFR aircraft when the control zone is not in effect.

(Sec. 307(a), Federal Aviation Act of 1958 (49 U.S.C. 1348(a)); Sec. 6(c) of the Department of Transportation Act (49 U.S.C. 1555(c)))

Issued in East Point, Ga., on May 27, 1975.

PHILLIP M. SWATEK,
Director, Southern Region.

[FR Doc.75-14799 Filed 6-5-75;8:45 am]

Federal Railroad Administration

[49 CFR Ch. II]

[Docket No. RSSI-1, Notice 1]

STANDARDS FOR SIGNAL SYSTEMS; COMMUTER RAILROADS AND RAPID TRANSIT LINES

Advance Notice of Proposed Rulemaking;
Extension of Comment Period

Notice is hereby given that, at the request of the American Public Transit Association and the Association of American Railroads, the Federal Railroad Administration (FRA) has extended to August 15, 1975, the period for filing written comments on the advance notice of proposed rulemaking published on May 2, 1975 (40 FR 19209), which requested public advice on the desirability of standards and the content of any necessary standards for signal systems on commuter railroads and rapid transit.

The requests for extension argued that additional comment time was necessary to provide the detailed technological and operational data sought in the notice. The FRA has decided that additional time will be necessary to gather this information and has, therefore, granted this extension of the comment period.

Issued in Washington, D.C., on June 2, 1975.

DONALD W. BENNETT,
Chief Counsel, Federal
Railroad Administration.

[FR Doc.75-14823 Filed 6-5-75;8:45 am]

FEDERAL ENERGY
ADMINISTRATION

[10 CFR Part 211]

MOTOR GASOLINE; SUPPLIER/
PURCHASER RELATIONSHIPS

Notice of Proposed Rulemaking

The Federal Energy Administration hereby gives notice of a proposal to amend Part 211, Chapter II of Title 10, Code of Federal Regulations, with respect to regulations concerning supplier/purchaser relationships for wholesale purchaser-resellers of motor gasoline. The FEA will receive written comments and hold a public hearing with respect to this proposal.

Termination of supplier/purchaser relationships for branded retail sales outlets of motor gasoline. The Mandatory Petroleum Allocation Regulations generally require that 1973 base period supplier/wholesale purchaser-reseller relationships for gasoline be maintained for the duration of the program. In several instances operators of retail sales outlets which are branded independent

marketers of motor gasoline (wholesale purchaser-resellers) switched from one brand of gasoline to another during 1972. Since the base period for motor gasoline is the month in 1972 corresponding to the current month, the retail sales outlet under the current program will be supplied gasoline from one branded supplier for part of the year and by another for the remainder of the year.

The shifting from one base period branded supplier to another during the calendar year has resulted in hardships for branded independent marketers. When the change from one supplier to another has occurred, brandnames, advertising, credit cards and other incidents of the relationship with a branded supplier have had to be changed to accommodate the new branded supplier. FEA therefore proposes to amend Subpart F of the allocation regulations—motor gasoline—to permit branded independent marketers with two or more base period suppliers to designate one base period supplier as their supplier and to terminate their supplier/purchaser relationships with their remaining base period suppliers. The suppliers involved would be given at least twenty days notice of the wholesale purchaser-reseller's intention. The designated supplier shall only supply the purchaser with that portion of the purchaser's base period use of motor gasoline supplied by the terminated suppliers plus whatever amounts the designated supplier supplied the purchaser prior to any termination of the other base period suppliers.

As proposed, the branded independent wholesale purchaser-reseller would have the option of designating its supplier for December, 1972, as its sole supplier for all periods corresponding to a base period. The rationale for this provision is that the most recent supplier/purchaser relationship during the base period year reflects the most agreeable arrangement among the parties. As an alternative, FEA is considering permitting the wholesale purchaser-reseller to choose any one of its base period suppliers as its sole supplier. This alternative would enable the reseller to choose the supplier which has proven to be the most satisfactory in light of experiences and dealings during the course of the past year and a half under the Mandatory Petroleum Allocation Program. FEA will welcome comments regarding this alternative approach.

Procedures for written comments and public hearing. Interested persons are invited to participate in this rulemaking by submitting data, views or arguments with respect to the proposed regulations set forth in this notice to Executive Communications, Federal Energy Administration, Box DH, Washington, D.C. 20461.

Comments should be identified on the outside of the envelope and on documents submitted to FEA Executive Communications with the designation "Termination of Supplier/Purchaser Relationships by Branded Independent Marketers of Motor Gasoline." Fifteen copies

should be submitted. All comments received by June 28, 1975, and all relevant information will be considered by the Federal Energy Administration before final action is taken on the proposed regulations.

Any information or data considered by the person furnishing it to be confidential must be so identified and submitted in writing, one copy only. The FEA reserves the right to determine the confidential status of the information or data and to treat it according to that determination.

The public hearing in this proceeding will be held beginning at 9 a.m., e.d.t., on July 1, 1975, at Room 2105, 2000 M Street, NW., Washington D.C. in order to receive comments from interested persons on the matters set forth herein.

Any person who has an interest in the proposed amendments or who is a representative of a group or class of persons that has an interest in the proposed amendments, may make a written request for an opportunity to make an oral presentation. Such a request should be directed to Executive Communications, FEA, and must be received before 4:30 p.m., e.d.t. June 23, 1975. Such a request may be hand delivered to Room 3309, Federal Building, 12th and Pennsylvania Avenue NW., Washington, D.C. between the hours of 8 a.m. and 4:30 p.m., e.d.t., Monday through Friday. The person making the request should be prepared to describe the interest concerned; if appropriate, to state why he or she is a proper representative of a group or class of persons that has such an interest; and to give a concise summary of the proposed oral presentation and a phone number where he or she may be contacted through June 27, 1975. Each person selected to be heard will be so notified by the FEA before 4:30 p.m., e.d.t., June 25, 1975, and must submit 100 copies of his or her statement to Executive Communications, FEA, Room 2214, 2000 M Street NW., Washington, D.C. 20461, before 4:30 p.m., e.d.t., on June 30, 1975.

The FEA reserves the right to select the persons to be heard at the hearing, to schedule their respective presentations and to establish the procedures governing the conduct of the hearing. The length of each presentation may be limited, based on the number of persons requesting to be heard.

An FEA official will be designated to preside at the hearing. It will not be a judicial or evidentiary-type hearing. Questions may be asked only by those conducting the hearing, and there will be no cross-examination of persons presenting statements. Any decision made by the FEA with respect to the subject matter of the hearing will be based on all information available to the FEA. At the conclusion of all initial oral statements, each person who has made an oral statement will be given the opportunity, if he or she so desires, to make a rebuttal statement. The rebuttal statements will be given in the order in which the initial statements were made and will be subject to time limitations.

Any interested person may submit questions, to be asked of any person making a statement at the hearing, to Executive Communications, FEA, before 4:30 p.m., e.d.t., June 27, 1975. Any person who wishes to ask a question at the hearing may submit the question, in writing, to the presiding officer. The FEA or the presiding officer, if the question is submitted at the hearing, will determine whether the question is relevant, and whether the time limitations permit it to be presented for answer.

Any further procedural rules needed for the proper conduct of the hearing will be announced by the presiding officer.

A transcript of the hearing will be made and the entire record of the hearing, including the transcript, will be retained by the FEA and made available for inspection at the Administrator's Reception Area, Room 3400, Federal Building, 12th and Pennsylvania Avenue, NW., Washington, D.C., between the hours of 8 a.m. and 4:30 p.m., Monday through Friday. Any person may purchase a copy of the transcript from the reporter.

As required by section 7(c)(2) of the Federal Energy Administration Act of 1974, Pub. L. 93-275, a copy of this notice has been submitted to the Administrator of the Environmental Protection Agency for his comments concerning the impact of this proposal on the quality of the environment. The Administrator had no comments to offer in this regard.

(Emergency Petroleum Allocation Act of 1973, Pub. L. 93-159, as amended by Pub. L. 93-511; Federal Energy Administration Act of 1974, Pub. L. 93-275; E.O. 11790, 39 FR 23185)

In consideration of the foregoing, it is proposed to amend Part 211, Chapter II of Title 10, Code of Federal Regulations, as set forth below.

Issued in Washington, D.C., June 4, 1975.

ROBERT E. MONTGOMERY, Jr.,
General Counsel.

Section 211.105 is revised to read as follows:

§ 211.105 Supplier/purchaser relationships.

(a) Unless otherwise specified in this section or in § 211.106, the provisions of §§ 211.9-211.13 apply to this subpart.

(b) Notwithstanding the provisions of subpart A of this part, for periods corresponding to a base period commencing after July 1, 1975, any wholesale purchaser-reseller of motor gasoline (i) which is a branded independent marketer, and (ii) which during the calendar year has two or more base period suppliers, may terminate its supplier/purchaser relationship with its base period suppliers for any period which corresponds to a base period. A wholesale purchaser-reseller of motor gasoline which terminates a supplier/purchaser relationship pursuant to this paragraph may designate its supplier as of December 1972 as a base period supplier for any periods corresponding to base periods affected by the termination.

(c) A wholesale purchaser-reseller of motor gasoline which designates a base period supplier pursuant to paragraph (b) of this section shall provide written notice to the terminated base period supplier(s) and to the designated supplier at least twenty (20) days prior to the beginning of any period corresponding to a base period affected by the termination. The notice shall include the names and addresses of the designated and terminated base period suppliers and of the wholesale purchaser-reseller; the location of any retail sales outlet concerned; and the portion of the wholesale purchaser-reseller's base period use which was formerly supplied by the terminated base period supplier(s) and which is to be supplied by the designated supplier.

(d) For each period corresponding to a base period, the portion of the wholesale purchaser-reseller's base period use

supplied by a supplier designated as a base period supplier pursuant to paragraph (b) of this section shall be that portion of the wholesale purchaser-reseller's base period use supplied by the terminated supplier(s) plus any portion supplied by the designated supplier prior to the termination made pursuant to paragraph (b) of this section.

[FR Doc.75-14977 Filed 6-4-75;4:03 pm]

FEDERAL MARITIME COMMISSION

[46 CFR Part 547]

[Docket No. 75-6]

POLICY AND PROCEDURES FOR ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION

Extension of Time

JUNE 2, 1975.

Hearing Counsel have indicated they would not oppose receipt of certain late-

filed comments¹ in this proceeding if they are afforded a corresponding enlargement of time to file their reply to comments. The late-filed comments having been received, time within which Hearing Counsel shall reply to comments is enlarged to and including June 27, 1975. Answers to Hearing Counsel shall be filed on or before July 15, 1975.

FRANCIS C. HURNEY,
Secretary.

[FR Doc.75-14847 Filed 6-5-75;8:45 am]

¹Late-filed comments were submitted on behalf of Japan/Korea-Atlantic and Gulf Freight Conference, New York Freight Bureau, Trans Pacific Freight Conference (Hong Kong) and Trans-Pacific Freight Conference of Japan/Korea.

notices

This section of the FEDERAL REGISTER contains documents other than rules or proposed rules that are applicable to the public. Notices of hearings and investigations, committee meetings, agency decisions and rulings, delegations of authority, filing of petitions and applications and agency statements of organization and functions are examples of documents appearing in this section.

DEPARTMENT OF THE TREASURY Bureau of Alcohol, Tobacco and Firearms FIREARMS

Granting of Relief

Notice is hereby given that pursuant to 18 U.S.C., section 925(c), the following named persons have been granted relief from disabilities imposed by Federal laws with respect to the acquisition, transfer, receipt, shipment, or possession of firearms incurred by reason of their convictions of crimes punishable by imprisonment for a term exceeding one year.

It has been established to my satisfaction that the circumstances regarding the convictions and each applicant's record and reputation are such that the applicants will not be likely to act in a manner dangerous to public safety, and that the granting of the relief will not be contrary to the public interest.

- Aker, David C., 15 East 12th Street, Covington, Kentucky, convicted on March 17, 1965, in the 47th District Court, Potter County, Texas.
- Bennett, Leonard, Jr., 1615 Northwest 8th Street, Topeka, Kansas, convicted on December 14, 1954, in the District Court of Shawnee County, Kansas.
- Brannen, Bruce G., Theresa Boulevard, Wappinger Falls, New York, convicted on December 1, 1972, in the Dutchess County Court, Poughkeepsie, New York.
- Brown, Roger Lee, 1009 N. Western Avenue, St. Paul, Minnesota, convicted on January 10, 1966, in the United States District Court for the District of Minnesota.
- Churchwell, Robert T., Route No. 1, Box 337A, Fisk, Missouri, convicted on February 23, 1971, in the Butler County Circuit Court, Missouri.
- Conklin, Robert W., Jr., 310 Walnut Street, Elmira, New York, convicted on July 21, 1965, in the Chemung County Court, Elmira, New York.
- Cook, Michael J., 514 1/2 West Third Street, Muscatine, Iowa, convicted on May 13, 1971, in the District Court of Muscatine County, Iowa.
- DeLaTorre, Louis, 14614 Harper, Detroit, Michigan, convicted on April 18, 1949, in the Recorder's Court, Detroit, Michigan.
- Gregory, Roderick A., 7155 Hart Street, Apt. B-11, Mentor, Ohio, convicted on October 19, 1967, in the Court of Common Pleas, Cuyahoga County, Ohio.
- Hatter, Oren Dale, Rural Route 4, Liberty, Kentucky, convicted on or about October 20, 1971, in the Casey County Circuit Court, Liberty, Kentucky.
- Hunt, Larry M., Route #1, Flemingsburg, Kentucky, convicted on November 4, 1968, Bracken Circuit Court, in the 19th Judicial District, Kentucky.
- Jones, Casey Clyde, Sr., Route 1, Box 342E, Honor, Michigan, convicted on September 12, 1955, Boyle County Circuit Court, Kentucky.
- Jordan, Jeffery L., 621 East 17th, Chanute, Kansas, convicted on April 6, 1972, in the Eleventh Judicial District Court, Fourth Division, Neosho County, Kansas.

- Krogsgaard, Ronald W., Rural Route 1, Wells, Minnesota, convicted on May 17, 1972, in the Third District Court, Freeborn County, Minnesota.
- Long, John W., 425 N. 11th Street, Reading, Pennsylvania, convicted on September 15, 1964, in the Court of Quarter Sessions of the Peace, Schuylkill County, Pennsylvania; and on April 2, 1965, in the Court of Common Pleas (Criminal), Lancaster County, Pennsylvania.
- Lowther, Paul L., 313 West 9th Avenue, Oshkosh, Wisconsin, convicted on December 20, 1972, in the Winnebago County Circuit Court, Wisconsin.
- Mathis, Guy Michael, 5350 Arlington Expressway, #S-15, Jacksonville, Florida, convicted on May 9, 1967, in the Criminal Court of Record, Duval County, Florida.
- Mitchell, Terry Lee, 236 Hill Avenue, Grand Junction, Colorado, convicted on August 2, 1972, in the District Court, Mesa County, Colorado.
- Moore, Martin, Jr., 19730 Santa Barbara, Detroit, Michigan, convicted on December 18, 1940, in the Recorder's Court, City of Detroit, Michigan.
- Murray, David F., 19 Harvard Street, Arlington, Massachusetts, convicted on May 11, 1964, in the United States District Court for the District of Massachusetts.
- Nalle, Jerry W., 138 Surface Street, East Bank, West Virginia, convicted on December 30, 1969, in the United States District Court, Southern District of West Virginia.
- Nelson, Gerald Henderson, 5564 Yuba Way, Denver, Colorado, convicted on or about January 16, 1952, in the United States District Court for the Eastern District of Arkansas.
- Paramo, Manuel, 700 Fourth, Pontiac, Michigan, convicted on February 23, 1944, in the Saginaw Circuit Court, Michigan.
- Powers, Douglas L., 2361 24th Avenue, Hudsonville, Michigan, convicted on April 12, 1968, in the Circuit Court for the County of Kent, State of Michigan.
- Rinaldi, Martin S., 14 Abbott Street, Portland, Maine, convicted on April 15, 1957, and on April 19, 1974, in the United States District Court, Portland, Maine.
- Rosario, Berardo, 1100 West Chester Pike, Apt. J-28, West Chester, Pennsylvania, convicted on April 16, 1959, in the United States District Court, Southern District of New York.
- Sauer, Frederick A., Jr., 2455 Kensington Drive, Kalamazoo, Michigan, convicted on or about August 14, 1972, in the United States District Court, Western District, Michigan.
- Scott, Ted R., 418 Cumberland Avenue, Harlan, Kentucky, convicted on January 22, 1960, in the Harlan Circuit Court, Harlan, Kentucky.
- Tipton, Lowell F., 18998 Parklane Drive, Livonia, Michigan, convicted on January 22, 1974, in the United States District Court, Eastern District of Michigan.
- Tunnell, James Eugene, 1519 Encanto Place, Walnut Creek, California, convicted on or about May 4, 1954, Hennepin County Superior Court, Minnesota; and on April 15, 1959, in the Superior Court of the State of California, in and for the County of Los Angeles.

- Turner, Michael Ray, Route 3, Box 387A, Strafford, Missouri, convicted on July 3, 1970, in the Greene County Circuit Court, Missouri.
- Voigt, Jeffrey Lee, 1325 North Division Street, Appleton, Wisconsin, convicted on June 26, 1972, in the Outagamie County Court, Appleton, Wisconsin.
- White, David E., Route 1, Muscotah, Kansas, convicted on September 7, 1966, in the District Court of Atchison County, Kansas.

Signed at Washington, D.C., this 23rd day of May 1975.

REX D. DAVIS,
Director, Bureau of
Alcohol, Tobacco and Firearms.

[FR Doc. 75-14788 Filed 6-5-75; 8:45 am]

DEPARTMENT OF THE INTERIOR

Bureau of Land Management

[OR 9984 (Wash.) 2220 (943.1)]

WASHINGTON

Opening of Public Land; Correction

MAY 23, 1975.

In FR Doc. 75-10055, appearing on page 17176 of the issue for Thursday, April 17, 1975, the following change should be made in the land description:

WILLAMETTE MERIDIAN

T. 9 N., R. 27 E.,
Sec. 21, SE 1/4;
should read
"T. 9 N., R. 26 E.,
Sec. 21, SE 1/4"

HAROLD A. BERENDS,
Chief, Branch of Lands
and Minerals Operations.

[FR Doc. 75-14845 Filed 6-5-75; 8:45 am]

Fish and Wildlife Service

ENDANGERED SPECIES PERMIT

Notice of Receipt of Application

Correction

In FR Doc. 75-14312, appearing at page 23774, in the issue for Monday, June 2, 1975, on page 23775, in the third column, the last sentence of the document which refers to the comment deadline as "June 2, 1975" should be changed to read: "All relevant comments received on or before July 2, 1975, will be considered."

ANNUAL REGULATIONS CONFERENCE FOR MIGRATORY SHORE AND UPLAND GAME BIRDS

Meeting

In accordance with section 10(a)(2) of the Federal Advisory Committee Act (Public Law 92-463), announcement is

made of the following Committee meeting:

Name. Annual Regulations Conference for Migratory Shore and Upland Game Birds.

Date. June 24, 1975.

Place. Conference Room 2010, New Executive Office Building, 726 Jackson Place NW., Washington, D.C. 20004.

Time. 9 a.m.

Purpose of meeting. The Committee will review the status of mourning doves, woodcock, band-tailed pigeons, white-winged doves, rails, gallinules, and common snipe and discuss proposed hunting regulations for the 1975-76 hunting season.

This meeting will be open to the public. Persons wishing to attend should notify the Director, United States Fish and Wildlife Service, U.S. Department of the Interior, Washington, D.C. 20240, or call AC 202-343-8827. Statements of interested persons other than Committee members must be filed in writing with the Director before or after the meeting. To the extent time permits, the chairman of the meeting will accept brief oral statements from the public at the close of the Committee's agenda providing such statements are also submitted in writing before or after the meeting.

Date: June 3, 1975.

LYNN A. GREENWALT,

Director,

U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service.

[FR Doc. 75-14844 Filed 6-5-75; 8:45 am]

National Park Service

SEQUOIA AND KINGS CANYON NATIONAL PARKS, CALIF.

Giant Forest Lodgepole Development Concept Plan; Notice of Intent

Notice is hereby given that the National Park Service will hold two public workshops in July 1975, to provide for public involvement and citizen participation as a part of the planning process for the Giant Forest-Lodgepole Development Concept Plan for Sequoia and Kings Canyon National Parks, California.

The workshops will be held in Visalia, California, July 8, in the Sequoia Room, Visalia Convention Center, 303 East Acequia Street, at 7 p.m., and in Fresno, California, July 9, in the main auditorium, McLane High School, 2727 North Cedar Avenue, at 7 p.m.

Concurrent with these workshops will be a series of consultations between members of the National Park Service and appropriate Federal, State and local government officials, organizations and individuals.

The purpose of these workshops and consultations is to provide for wide public involvement, including ideas, suggestions and comments from individuals and organizations on the proposals and composition of the Giant Forest-Lodgepole Development Concept Planning Alternatives prior to completing a final plan.

Anyone wanting additional information on the workshops, on the National Parks Service planning process, or wishing to submit comments on the Develop-

ment Concept Planning Alternatives may write to the Superintendent, Sequoia and Kings Canyon National Parks, Three Rivers, California 93271.

JOHN H. DAVIS,
Acting Regional Director, Western Region, National Park Service.

[FR Doc. 75-14780 Filed 6-5-75; 8:45 am]

Office of the Assistant Secretary Land and Water Resources

OIL SHALE ENVIRONMENTAL ADVISORY PANEL

Establishment and Functions; Charter Change

Paragraph 3 of the charter for the Oil Shale Environmental Advisory Panel, as published in the March 6, 1974, issue of the *FEDERAL REGISTER* Vol. 39, No. 45, page 8642, and as modified by a change published in the April 17, 1975, issue of the *FEDERAL REGISTER*, Vol. 40 No. 75, page 17178, provides that the Governor of each State in which an oil shale lease has been offered may nominate three Panel members, two of whom shall be employed by the State and represent State agencies and one of whom shall be chosen from among persons active in environmental matters. This language has the effect of barring the nomination of members from the legislative branch of State government.

In order to provide more flexibility in the nomination of members to the Panel the wording of the second sentence of paragraph 3(c) is changed to read as follows: The Governor of each State in which an oil shale lease has been offered for sale since November 30, 1973, may nominate for appointment by the Secretary of the Interior three members, two of whom shall be members of the State legislature or employed by the State and represent State agencies and one of whom shall be chosen from among persons active in environmental matters.

This change shall be effective June 6, 1975. Nothing in this change shall be interpreted as either requiring or prohibiting the nomination of the two State government members from the same branch of the State government.

JACK O. HORTON,
*Assistant Secretary
of the Interior.*

May 29, 1975.

[FR Doc. 75-14839 Filed 6-5-75; 8:45 am]

Office of the Secretary

NATIONAL PETROLEUM COUNCIL, COMMITTEE ON EMERGENCY PREPAREDNESS, COORDINATING SUBCOMMITTEE Meeting

Pursuant to the provisions of the Federal Advisory Committee Act (Public Law 92-463, 86 Stat. 770) notice is hereby given of the following meeting:

The Coordinating Subcommittee of the Committee on Emergency Preparedness of the National Petroleum Council will meet on June 24, 1975 in the Conference

Room, National Petroleum Council, 1625 K Street, NW, Washington, D.C. starting at 9 a.m.

The agenda includes the following items:

1. Review of first draft of report on petroleum storage for national security.
2. Review of additional materials to be developed.
3. Discuss any other matters pertinent to the overall assignment of the Subcommittee.

The purpose of the National Petroleum Council is to provide advice, information and recommendations to the Secretary of the Interior, upon request, upon any matter relating to petroleum or the petroleum industry. The Emergency Preparedness Committee is conducting a study of the major factors involved in the implementation of a petroleum security storage system.

The meeting will be open to the public to the extent that space and facilities permit. Any member of the public may file a written statement with the Council either before or after the meeting. Interested persons who wish to speak at the meeting must apply to the Council and obtain approval in accordance with its established procedures.

Further information with respect to this meeting may be obtained from Ben Tafoya, Office of the Assistant Secretary-Energy and Minerals, Department of the Interior, Washington, D.C., telephone number 343-6226.

Dated: June 3, 1975.

JACK W. CARLSON,
*Assistant Secretary
of the Interior.*

[FR Doc. 75-14869 Filed 6-5-75; 8:45 am]

FEDERAL METAL AND NONMETAL MINE SAFETY ADVISORY COMMITTEE

Public Meeting

In accordance with section 10(a)(2) of the Federal Advisory Committee Act (Public Law 92-463), notice is hereby given that the Federal Metal and Nonmetal Mine Safety Advisory Committee, authorized to be established under the Federal Metal and Nonmetallic Mine Safety Act (Public Law 89-577), will meet on Tuesday-June 24; Wednesday-June 25; Thursday-June 26; and if there remains uncompleted Committee business, on Friday-June 27, 1975, starting at 9:00 a.m. on Tuesday, at 8:30 a.m. Wednesday and Thursday, and at 8:00 a.m. on Friday until the Advisory Committee concludes its business, at the Ramada Inn Fisherman's Wharf, San Francisco, CA 94113—Telephone Number: Area Code 415-885-4700.

The agenda will consist of business not completed at the May 7-9, 1975 meeting of the Advisory Committee in New Orleans. The June meeting agenda will cover suggested revocation, new, and revised safety standards relating to ground control; ventilation; loading, hauling, dumping, electricity; use of equipment; and, man hoisting that are residual from the May meeting agenda. Copies of the agenda and suggested standards are

available to the public and may be obtained from or may be examined in the office of the Executive Secretary.

The meeting of the Advisory Committee is open to the public. Public attendance will be limited to seating available in the scheduled meeting room of the Ramada Inn Fisherman's Wharf. Persons desiring to attend this meeting are requested to notify the Executive Secretary in writing of their intention to attend the meeting by Wednesday, June 18, 1975.

Written data, views or arguments concerning the subjects to be considered may be filed with the Executive Secretary by Wednesday, June 18, 1975. Any such submission, timely received, will be provided to the members of the Advisory Committee and will be included in the record of the meeting. Persons wishing to orally address the Committee at the meeting should submit a written request to be heard to the Executive Secretary no later than Wednesday, June 18, 1975. The request must contain a short summary of the intended presentation and an estimate of the amount of time that will be needed. At the meeting the Chairman will announce whether oral presentations will be allowed and, if so, under what conditions. All written notices and requests to the Executive Secretary should be addressed as follows:

Mr. Robin A. Van Meter
Executive Secretary
Federal Metal and Nonmetal Mine Safety Advisory Committee
Room 708
Ballston Tower No. 3
4015 Wilson Boulevard
Arlington, VA 22203
Telephone Number: Area Code 703-235-8685

Date: June 3, 1975.

JACK W. CARLSON,
Assistant Secretary
of the Interior.

[FR Doc.75-14870 Filed 6-5-75; 8:45 am]

DEPARTMENT OF AGRICULTURE

Rural Electrification Administration
CONTINENTAL TELEPHONE COMPANY
OF MINNESOTA, INC.

Proposed Loan Guarantee

Under the authority of Pub. L. 93-32 (87 Stat. 65) and in conformance with applicable agency policies and procedures as set forth in REA Bulletin 320-22, "Guarantee of Loans for Telephone Facilities," dated February 4, 1975, published in proposed form in the FEDERAL REGISTER, September 16, 1974, (Vol. 39, No. 180, Pages 33228-33229) notice is hereby given that the Administrator of REA will consider providing a guarantee by the full faith and credit of the United States of America for a loan in the approximate amount of \$5,539,000 to Continental Telephone Company of Minnesota, Inc., St. Paul, Minnesota. The loan funds will be used to finance the construction of facilities to upgrade the Alborn, Arco, Argyle, Cromwell, Dumont, Herman, Kandiyohi, Raymond, Svea, Tyler and Warba exchanges to all one-

party service; connect new subscribers; and for other system improvements.

Legally organized agencies capable of making, holding, and servicing the loan proposed to be guaranteed may obtain information and details of the proposed project from Mr. Charles M. Rexroat, President, Continental Telephone Company of Minnesota, Inc., 3020 Hudson Road, Suite 301, St. Paul, Minnesota 55119.

To assure consideration, proposals must be submitted on or before July 7, 1975, to Mr. Charles M. Rexroat. The right is reserved to give such consideration and make such evaluation or other disposition of all proposals received, as the Continental Telephone Company of Minnesota, Inc., and REA deem appropriate. Prospective lenders are advised that financing for this project is available from the Federal Financing Bank under a standing loan commitment agreement with the Rural Electrification Administration.

Copies of REA Bulletin 320-22 are available from the Director, Information Services Division, Rural Electrification Administration, U.S. Department of Agriculture, Washington, D.C. 20250.

Dated at Washington, D.C., this 30th day of May, 1975.

DAVID A. HAMIL,
Administrator, Rural
Electrification Administration.

[FR Doc.75-14806 Filed 6-5-75; 8:45 am]

OGLETHORPE ELECTRIC MEMBERSHIP CORP.

Draft Environmental Impact Statement

Notice is hereby given that the Rural Electrification Administration has prepared a Draft Environmental Impact Statement in accordance with section 102(2)(C) of the National Environmental Policy Act of 1969, in connection with the use of guaranteed loan funds to finance Oglethorpe's 30 percent ownership in the Wansley Steam Plant of Georgia Power Co. and the purchase from Georgia Power Co. of three transmission lines emanating from the station—one to Georgia Power Company's Fortson Substation, one to Georgia Power Company's Villa Rica Substation, and one to a proposed Georgia Power Company Substation at O'Hara.

Additional information may be secured on request, submitted to Mr. David H. Askegaard, Assistant Administrator—Electric, Rural Electrification Administration, U.S. Department of Agriculture, Washington, D.C. 20250. Comments are particularly invited from State and local agencies which are authorized to develop and enforce environmental standards, and from Federal agencies having jurisdiction by law or special expertise with respect to any environmental impact involved from which comments have not been requested specifically.

Copies of the REA Draft Environmental Impact Statement have been sent to various Federal, State and local agencies, as outlined in the Council on En-

vironmental Quality Guidelines. The Draft Environmental Impact Statement may be examined during regular business hours at the offices of REA in the South Agriculture Building, 12th Street and Independence Avenue, SW., Washington, D.C., Room 4310, or at the borrower address indicated above.

Comments concerning the environmental impact of the construction proposed should be addressed to Mr. Askegaard at the address given above. Comments must be received on or before August 5, 1975, to be considered in connection with the proposed action.

Final REA action with respect to this matter (including any release of funds) will be taken only after REA has reached satisfactory conclusions with respect to its environmental effects and after procedural requirements set forth in the National Environmental Policy Act of 1969 have been met.

Dated at Washington, D.C., this 29th day of May, 1975.

DAVID A. HAMIL,
Administrator, Rural
Electrification Administration.

[FR Doc.75-14807 Filed 6-5-75; 8:45 am]

SEMINOLE ELECTRIC COOPERATIVE, INC. Proposed Loan Guarantee

Under the authority of Pub. L. 93-32 (87 Stat. 65) and in conformance with applicable agency policies and procedures as set forth in REA Bulletin 20-22 (Guarantee of Loans for Bulk Power Supply Facilities), notice is hereby given that the Administrator of REA will consider providing a guarantee supported by the full faith and credit of the United States of America for a loan in the approximate amount of \$26,000,000 to Seminole Electric Cooperative, Inc., of Tampa, Florida. These loan funds will be used by Seminole to finance an ownership interest in a ninety-five percent completed nuclear generating plant with a nameplate rating of 825,000 kW. The generating plant is being constructed by Florida Power Corporation of St. Petersburg, Florida.

Legally organized lending agencies capable of making, holding and servicing the loan proposed to be guaranteed may obtain information on the proposed project, including the engineering and economic feasibility studies and the proposed schedule for the advances to the borrower of the guaranteed loan funds from Mr. Joe D. Botto, Manager, Seminole Electric Cooperative, Inc., 2410 East Busch Boulevard, Tampa, Florida 33612.

In order to be considered, proposals must be submitted on or before July 7, 1975, to Mr. Botto. The right is reserved to give such consideration and make such evaluation or other disposition of all proposals received, as Seminole Electric and REA deem appropriate. Prospective lenders are advised that the guaranteed financing for this project is available from the Federal Financing Bank under a standing agreement with the Rural Electrification Administration.

Copies of REA Bulletin 20-22 are available from the Director, Information Services Division, Rural Electrification Administration, U.S. Department of Agriculture, Washington, D.C. 20250.

Dated at Washington, D.C., this 30th day of May, 1975.

DAVID A. HAMIL,
Administrator, Rural
Electrification Administration.

[PR Doc. 75-14808 Filed 6-5-75; 8:45 am]

Soil Conservation Service

ANASCO RIVER WATERSHED PROJECT, PUERTO RICO

Availability of Draft Environmental Impact Statement

Pursuant to section 102(2)(C) of the National Environmental Policy Act of 1969; Part 1500 of the Council on Environmental Guidelines (38 FR 20550, August 1, 1973); and Part 650 of the Soil Conservation Service Guidelines (39 FR 19650, June 3, 1974); the Soil Conservation Service, U.S. Department of Agriculture, has prepared a draft environmental impact statement (EIS) for the Anasco River Watershed Project, Adjuntas, Anasco, Lares, Las Marías, Maricao, Mayaguez and San Sebastián Municipalities, Puerto Rico, USDA-SCS-EIS-WS-(ADM)-75-1-(D)-P. R.

The EIS concerns a supplemental Watershed Work Plan No. 2 for watershed protection, flood prevention and drainage. The planned works of improvement provide for conservation land treatment, 8 debris basins, 4 floodwater retarding structures, and 18.84 miles of channel work. The channel work will involve enlargement and minor realignment of 16.32 miles of existing previously modified streams and installing 2.52 miles of new channels. Of the 16.32 miles of work proposed on existing streams, 15.56 miles have perennial flow and 0.76 miles have intermittent flow conditions.

A limited supply of copies are available at the following location to fill single copy requests:

Soil Conservation Service, USDA, 504-L Segarra Building, 1409 Ponce de Leon Avenue, San Juan, Puerto Rico, GPO Box 4868, San Juan, PR 00936.

Copies of the Draft Environmental Impact Statement have been sent for comment to various federal, state, and local agencies as outlined in the Council on Environmental Quality Guidelines. Comments are also invited from others having knowledge of or special expertise on Environmental Impacts.

Comments concerning the proposed action or requests for additional information should be addressed to Angel H. Quintero, Director, Soil Conservation Service, USDA, 504-L Segarra Building, 1409 Ponce de Leon Avenue, San Juan, P.R., GPO Box 4868, San Juan, P.R. 00936.

Comments must be received on or before July 15, 1975, in order to be considered in the preparation of the final environmental impact statement.

(Catalog of Federal Domestic Assistance Program No. 10.904, National Archives Reference Services.)

WILLIAM B. DAVEY,
Deputy Administrator for Water
Resources, Soil Conservation
Service.

MAY 30, 1975.

[PR Doc. 75-14835 Filed 6-5-75; 8:45 am]

DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

Domestic and International Business Administration

DELL KNITWEAR, INC.

Petition for Determination

A petition by Dell Knitwear, Inc., of the Bronx, New York, was accepted for filing on May 29, 1975, under section 251 of the Trade Act of 1974 and in conformity with Adjustment Assistance Certification Regulations for Firms, 15 CFR Part 350, 40 FR 14921 (April 3, 1975) (the "Regulations"). Consequently, the United States Department of Commerce has instituted an investigation to determine whether increased imports into the United States contributed importantly to total or partial separation of the firm's workers, or threat thereof, and to a decrease in sales or production of the petitioning firm. The petitioner asserts that imported articles classified in items 382.04 and 382.78 of the Tariff Schedules of the United States Annotated ("TSUSA") are like or directly competitive with sweaters for infants and children produced by the firm.

Any party having a substantial interest in the subject matter of the proceedings (as described in § 350.40(b) of the Regulations) may request a public hearing on the matter. A request for a hearing conforming to § 350.40 of the Regulations must be received by the Director, Office of Trade Adjustment Assistance, Room 3011, Domestic and International Business Administration, U.S. Department of Commerce, Washington, D.C. 20230, no later than June 16, 1975.

(Catalog of Federal Domestic Assistance Program No. 11.106, Trade Adjustment Assistance.)

HAROLD A. BRATT, JR.,
Acting Director, Office of
Trade Adjustment Assistance.

[PR Doc. 75-14800 Filed 6-5-75; 8:45 am]

[File No. 23(72)-2]

WORLD WIDE RADAR SCIENCE RESEARCH AND ANTOINE SAAB

Order Denying Export Privileges

In the matter of Carl Rashid, dba World Wide Radar Science Research, 5234 Buckingham, Detroit, Michigan 48224 and Antoine Georges Saab, Rue A. Edde, Beirut, Lebanon, respondents.

By a letter dated November 9, 1973, the Director, Compliance Division, Office of Export Administration, Bureau of East-West Trade, with the approval of the Office of General Counsel, charged the above-named respondents with certain violations of the Export Administration

Regulations (hereinafter, the regulations) promulgated pursuant to the Export Administration Act of 1969, as amended. The charging letter, duly served, informed the respondents that, pursuant to § 388.3 of the regulations, administrative proceedings had been instituted against them for the purpose of obtaining an order imposing sanctions provided in § 388.1 of the regulations.

Pursuant to § 388.10 of the regulations, by agreement of the Director, Compliance Division, and the respondents, there were submitted to the Hearing Commissioner a consent proposal from each respondent for the issuance of an order, as hereinafter set forth.

The respondents, for the purpose of the consent proposals only, neither admitted nor denied the charges, waived all rights to oral hearing before the Hearing Commissioner, consented to the issuance of an order to be entered by the Director of the Office of Export Administration, and further, waived all rights of administrative appeal from, and judicial review of, such order.

The Hearing Commissioner has considered the facts in the case and the respondents' proposals. He had approved the proposals and recommended that they be accepted by the Director, Office of Export Administration. The undersigned Director, having considered the evidence, and the Hearing Commissioner's Report and Recommendation, finds that the respondents violated the regulations in the manner set forth in the charging letter of November 9, 1973. The respondent Rashid, doing business as World Wide Radar Science Research, (1) violated § 387.2 of the regulations by having knowingly aided and abetted the respondent Saab in the attempt to export a U.S.-origin, controlled commodity, without the requisite validated export license, (2) violated § 387.3(b) of the regulations by having knowingly acted in concert with the respondent Saab for the purpose of making an export contrary to the requirements of the regulations, and (3) violated § 387.4 of the regulations by having bought, received, and otherwise serviced a U.S.-origin commodity to be exported from the United States with knowledge that a violation of the regulations was intended and was about to occur with respect to that transaction.

I find, further, that the respondent Saab, (1) violated § 387.3 of the regulations by having knowingly acted in concert with respondent Rashid and attempted to export a U.S.-origin commodity in violation of the regulations and (2) violated § 387.4 of the regulations by having received and transported a U.S.-origin commodity with knowledge that a violation of the regulations was about to occur by the export of that commodity without the required validated export license.

It is, therefore, ordered:

I. All outstanding validated export licenses in which respondents appear or participate in any manner or capacity are hereby revoked and are ordered to be returned forthwith to the Office of Ex-

port Administration, United States Department of Commerce for cancellation.

II. Except as qualified in Paragraph IV hereof, the respondents, their successors or assigns, partners, representatives, agents and employees, for the period of three years are hereby denied all privileges of participation, directly or indirectly, in any manner or capacity, in any transactions involving commodities or technical data exported from the United States in whole or in part, or to be exported, or which are otherwise subject to the Export Administration Regulations. Without limitation of the generality of the foregoing, participation prohibited in any such transaction, either in the United States or abroad, shall include participation:

A. As a party or as a representative of a party to any validated export license application;

B. In the preparation or filing of any export license application or reexportation authorization, or document to be submitted therewith;

C. In the obtaining or using of any validated or general export license or other export control documents;

D. In the carrying on of negotiations with respect to, or in the receiving, ordering, buying, selling, delivering, storing, using, or disposing of any commodities or technical data;

E. In the financing, forwarding, transporting, or other servicing of such commodities or technical data.

III. Such denial of export privileges shall extend not only to the respondents, but also to their successors, representatives, agents, and employees, and also to any person, firm, corporation, or other business organization with which the respondents now or hereafter may be related by affiliation ownership, control, position of responsibility, or other connection in the conduct of trade of services connected therewith.

IV. Eighteen months after the effective date of this Order each of the respondents may apply to have the effective denial of his export privileges held in abeyance while he remains on probation. Such application as may be filed by said respondent shall be supported by evidence showing his compliance with the terms of this Order and he shall make available and permit examination by the Office of Export Administration of such of his records as the Office of Export Administration deems necessary to determine whether he has complied with this Order. Such application will be considered on its merits and in the light of conditions and policies existing at this time. The respondent's export privileges may be restored under such terms and conditions as appear to be appropriate.

V. During the time when the respondents or other parties within the scope of this Order are prohibited from engaging in any activity within the scope of Part II hereof, no person, firm, corporation, partnership, or other business organization, whether in the United

States or elsewhere, without prior disclosure to and specific authorization from the Bureau of East-West Trade, shall do any of the following acts, directly or indirectly, in any manner or capacity, on behalf of or in any association with the respondents or other parties denied export privileges within the scope of this Order, or whereby the respondents or such other parties may obtain any benefit therefrom or have any interest or participation therein, directly or indirectly:

A. Apply for, obtain, transfer, or use any license, Shipper's Export Declaration, bill of lading, or other export control document relating to any exportation, reexportation, transshipment, or diversion of any commodity or technical data exported or to be exported from the United States, by, to or for the respondents or other person denied export privileges within the scope of this Order; or

B. Order, buy, receive, use, sell, deliver, store, dispose of, forward, transport, finance or otherwise service or participate in any exportation, reexportation, transshipment, or diversion of any commodity or technical data exported to or to be exported from the United States.

VI. A copy of this Order shall be served on the respondents.

RAUEL H. MEYER,
Director, Office of
Export Administration.

MAY 30, 1975.

[FR Doc. 75-14836 Filed 6-5-75; 8:45 am]

COMPUTER SYSTEMS TECHNICAL ADVISORY COMMITTEE Partially Closed Meeting

Pursuant to the provisions of the Federal Advisory Committee Act, 5 U.S.C. App. I (Supp. III, 1973), notice is hereby given that a meeting of the Computer Systems Technical Advisory Committee will be held on Tuesday, July 8, 1975 at 9:30 a.m. in Room 6802, Main Commerce Building, 14th and Constitution Avenue, NW, Washington, D.C.

The Computer Systems Technical Advisory Committee was initially established on January 3, 1973. On December 20, 1974, the Acting Assistant Secretary for Administration approved the recharter and extension of the Committee for two additional years, pursuant to section 5(c)(1) of the Export Administration Act of 1969, as amended, 50 U.S.C. App. Sec. 2404(c)(1) (Supp. III, 1973) and the Federal Advisory Committee Act.

The Committee advises the Office of Export Administration, Bureau of East-West Trade, with respect to questions involving technical matters, world-wide availability and actual utilization of production and technology, and licensing procedures which may affect the level of export controls applicable to computer systems, including technical data related thereto, and including those whose export is subject to multilateral (COCOM) controls.

The Committee meeting agenda has five parts:

GENERAL SESSION

- (1) Opening remarks by the Chairman.
- (2) Presentation of papers or comments by the public.
- (3) Discussion of priority work areas by the Director, Office of Export Administration.
- (4) Designation of subcommittees and appointment of subcommittee chairmen.

EXECUTIVE SESSION

- (5) Discussion of matters properly classified under Executive Order 11652, dealing with the U.S. and COCOM control program and strategic criteria related thereto.

The public will be permitted to attend the General Session, at which a limited number of seats will be available to the public. To the extent time permits members of the public may present oral statements to the Committee. Written statements may be submitted at any time before or after the meeting.

With respect to agenda item (5), the Assistant Secretary of Commerce for Administration, with the concurrence of the delegate of the General Counsel, formally determined on December 16, 1974, pursuant to Section 10(d) of the Federal Advisory Committee Act that the matters to be discussed in the Executive Session should be exempt from the provisions of the Act relating to open meetings and public participation therein, because the Executive Session will be concerned with matters listed in 5 U.S.C. 552(b)(1), i.e., it is specifically required by Executive Order 11652 that they be kept confidential in the interest of the national security. All materials to be reviewed and discussed by the Committee during the Executive Session of the meeting have been properly classified under the Executive Order. All Committee members have appropriate security clearances.

Minutes of the open portion of the meeting will be available upon written request addressed to the Central Reference and Records Inspection Facility, Room 7046, U.S. Department of Commerce.

For further information, contact Mr. Charles C. Swanson, Director, Operations Division, Office of Export Administration, Domestic and International Business Administration, Room 1620, U.S. Department of Commerce, Washington, D.C. 20230, telephone: A/C 202/967-4196.

In accordance with paragraph (4) of the Order of the United States District Court for the District of Columbia in Aviation Consumer Action Project, et al., v. C. Langhorne Washburn, et al., September 10, 1974 as amended, September 23, 1974 (Civil Action No. 1838-73), the Complete Notice of Determination to close portions of the series of meetings of the Computer Systems Technical Advisory Committee and of any subcommittees thereof, was published in the

FEDERAL REGISTER (40 FR 2243, appearing in the issue of January 10, 1975).

Date: June 3, 1975.

RAUER H. MEYER,
Director, Office of Export Ad-
ministration, Bureau of East-
West Trade, U.S. Department
of Commerce.

[FR Doc.75-14831 Filed 6-5-75; 8:45 am]

**National Bureau of Standards
OFFICE OF ENERGY-RELATED INVENTIONS
Notice of Establishment**

Notice is hereby given of the establishment of the Office of Energy-Related Inventions, Institute for Applied Technology, National Bureau of Standards, to carry out the responsibilities imposed by section 14 of the Federal Nonnuclear Energy Research and Development Act of 1974 (Public Law 93-577, dated December 31, 1974, 88 Stat. 1894). Set out below is a statement which furnishes the reasons for the establishment of that Office, the address to which communications may be sent, a description of its functions, and a set of procedures which are designed to assist persons in submitting energy-related inventions to the National Bureau of Standards for evaluation.

Regulations governing the evaluation of energy-related inventions are being developed. Upon their development the proposed regulations will be published in the Federal Register to allow a reasonable period for public review and comment. After appropriate review and consideration of the comments received, the regulations will be duly promulgated in the FEDERAL REGISTER.

OFFICE OF ENERGY-RELATED INVENTIONS

1. *Purpose.* The Federal Nonnuclear Energy Research and Development Act of 1974 (Public Law 93-577), hereinafter referred to as the Act, establishes a comprehensive national program for research and development of all potentially beneficial energy sources and utilization technologies. The program is to be conducted by the Energy Research and Development Administration (ERDA).

Section 14 of the Act directs the National Bureau of Standards (NBS) to "give particular attention to the evaluation of all promising energy-related inventions, particularly those submitted by individual inventors and small companies for the purpose of obtaining direct grants from the Administrator" of ERDA. The Office of Energy-Related Inventions (OERI) has been established in the National Bureau of Standards to carry out the duties required under this directive.

2. *Address.* The address of OERI, to which all inquiries and communications pertaining to NBS responsibilities under section 14 of the Act may be sent, is as follows:

Office of Energy-Related Inventions
National Bureau of Standards
Washington, D.C. 20234

3. *Functions.* The functions of OERI in carrying out its responsibilities shall be to:

3.1. Receive and process material and correspondence which describe energy-related inventions, hereinafter referred to as invention(s), and which request the evaluation thereof;

3.2. Conduct analyses to ascertain the technical and commercial feasibility of inventions submitted for evaluation;

3.3. Formulate recommendations to ERDA based on the analyses of submitted inventions;

3.4. Keep ERDA informed on the submission of inventions and results of evaluations;

3.5. Notify each submitter of an invention as to the results of the evaluation;

3.6. Conduct an information program to make the public aware of the invention evaluation service to be provided;

3.7. Correspond with inventors and members of the public as required;

3.8. Develop and promulgate regulations governing the evaluation of inventions; and

3.9. Perform such other functions as may be necessary to fulfill the responsibilities assigned to OERI.

4. *Procedures for submitting inventions for evaluation.* Each person desiring to submit an invention to NBS for evaluation pursuant to section 14 of the Act shall, in accordance with the procedures set forth below,

4.1. Obtain an Energy-Related Inventions Evaluation Request form (NBS-1019), hereinafter referred to as the Request form, by writing to the address set out in section 2 above. The form will provide:

(a) Detailed instructions for use in submitting an invention for evaluation;

(b) A description of the evaluation program and a statement of policy;

(c) A Memorandum of Understanding to be signed by the submitter as a prerequisite to accepting an invention for evaluation; and

(d) Space for submission of basic information on the invention and an outline of the complete disclosure information required.

4.2. Complete the Request form as instructed in section 3 of the form.

4.3. Prepare a detailed disclosure of the invention in an appropriate format, including the requirements specified in the Request form.

4.4. Mail the original Request form and a copy of the invention disclosure to the address set out in section 2 above. Receipt will be acknowledged by mail and, if all information is in order, the invention will be evaluated.

JUNE 3, 1975.

JOHN D. HOFFMAN,
Acting Director.

[FR Doc.75-14840 Filed 6-5-75; 8:45 am]

**DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH,
EDUCATION, AND WELFARE**

Office of Education

**BASIC AND ADVANCED INSTITUTIONAL
DEVELOPMENT PROGRAMS**

Closing Date for Receipt of Applications

Notice is hereby given that pursuant to the authority contained in section 304 of Title III of the Higher Education Act of 1965, as amended (20 U.S.C. 1054), applications are being accepted from institutions of higher education for grants under both the Basic and Advanced Institutional Development Programs (Title III, HEA, 20 U.S.C. 1051 et seq.).

Applications must be received by the U.S. Office of Education Application Control Center on or before October 31, 1975.

A. *Applications sent by mail.* Applications sent by mail should be addressed as follows: Application Control Center, U.S. Office of Education, 400 Maryland Avenue SW, Washington, D.C. 20202. Attention: Basic 13.454B or Advanced 13.454A. An application sent by mail will be considered to be received on time by the Application Control Center if:

(1) The application was sent by registered or certified mail no later than the fifth calendar day prior to the closing date (or if such fifth calendar day is Saturday, Sunday, or Federal holiday, not later than the next following business day), as evidenced by the U.S. Postal Service postmark on the wrapper or envelope, or on the original receipt from the U.S. Postal Service; or

(2) The application is received on or before the closing date by either the Department of Health, Education, and Welfare, or the U.S. Office of Education mail rooms in Washington, D.C. (In establishing the date of receipt, the Commissioner will rely on the time-date stamp of such mail rooms or other documentary evidence of receipt maintained by the Department of Health, Education, and Welfare, or the U.S. Office of Education.)

B. *Hand delivered applications.* An application to be hand delivered must be taken to the U.S. Office of Education Application Control Center, Room 5673, Regional Office Building Three, 7th and D Streets, SW, Washington, D.C. 20202. Hand delivered applications will not be accepted by the Application Control Center after 4 p.m. Washington, D.C. time, on the closing date.

C. *Program information and forms.* Information and application forms may be obtained from the Bureau of Postsecondary Education, Division of Institutional Development, Basic Institutional Development Branch and/or Advanced Institutional Development Branch, Regional Office Building Three, 7th and D Streets, SW, Washington, D.C. 20202.

(20 U.S.C. 1054)

Dated: June 2, 1975.

(Catalog of Federal Domestic Assistance Number 13.454; Strengthening Developing Institutions)

T. H. BELL,
U.S. Commissioner of Education.
[FR Doc. 75-14842 Filed 6-5-75; 8:45 am]

TITLE I AUDIT APPEAL

Approval of Application for Appeal; Florida

Notice is hereby given that, pursuant to the Notice establishing the Title I Audit Hearing Board (37 FR 23002, October 27, 1972), an application for an appeal before the Board has been received from the State of Florida and it has met the jurisdictional requirements of section 5 of the Notice establishing the Board. The appeal involves the allowability of specified expenditures of funds under the Title I Migrant program of ESEA from its inception through August 31, 1970, by the State Education Agency. The amount involved in the subject audit appeal is \$86,949.

Section 7(c) of the Notice setting up the Board provides:

(c) *Intervention by third parties.* (1) Interested third parties may, upon application to the Board Chairman, intervene in proceedings conducted under this notice. Such application must indicate to the satisfaction of the Board Chairman that the intervenor has information relative to the specific issues raised by the final audit determination and that such information will be useful to the Hearing Panel in resolving those issues.

(2) When third parties are given leave to intervene in accordance with subparagraph (1) above, such parties shall be afforded the same opportunities as other parties to present written materials, to participate in informal conferences, to call witnesses, to cross-examine other witnesses, and to be represented by counsel.

All such applications for intervention will be considered if received on or before June 16, 1975.

(20 U.S.C. 241a, 1232 c)

Dated: May 29, 1975.

(Catalog of Federal Domestic Assistance Numbers 13.427, Educationally Deprived Children—Handicapped (P.L. 89-313); 13.428, Educationally Deprived Children—Local Educational Agencies; 13.429, Educationally Deprived Children—Migrants; 13.430, Educationally Deprived Children—State Administration; 13.431, Educationally Deprived Children in State Administered Institutions Serving Neglected or Delinquent Children.)

T. H. BELL,
U.S. Commissioner of Education.
[FR Doc. 75-14841 Filed 6-5-75; 8:45 am]

NATIONAL ADVISORY COUNCIL ON INDIAN EDUCATION Meeting

Notice is hereby given, pursuant to section 10(a)(2) of the Federal Advisory Committee Act (Pub. L. 92-463), that the next meeting of the Legislative Committee of the National Advisory Council on Indian Education will be held June 26,

1975 at 9 a.m. at the United Tribes Development Center, Bismarck, North Dakota.

The National Advisory Council on Indian Education is established under section 442 of the Indian Education Act (Pub. L. 92-318, Title IV, 20 U.S.C. 1221g). The Council, among other things, is directed to:

(1) Advise the Commissioner of Education with respect to the administration (including the development of regulations and of administrative practices and policies) of any program in which Indian children or adults participate from which they can benefit, including sections 241aa to 241ff and 887c of this title and with respect to adequate funding thereof;

(2) Review applications for assistance under sections 241aa to 241ff, 887c, and 1211a of this title, and make recommendations to the Commissioner with respect to their approval;

(3) Evaluate program and projects carried out under any program of the Department of Health, Education, and Welfare in which Indian children or adults can participate or from which they can benefit, and disseminate the results of such evaluations;

(4) Provide technical assistance to local educational agencies and to Indian educational agencies, institutions, and organizations to assist them in improving the education of Indian children;

(5) Assist the Commissioner in developing criteria and regulations for the administration and evaluation of grants made under section 241bb (b) of this title; and

(6) To submit to the Congress not later than March 31 of each year a report on its activities, which shall include any recommendations it may deem necessary for the improvement of Federal education programs in which Indian children and adults participate, or from which they can benefit, which report shall include statement of the National Council's recommendations to the Commissioner with respect to the funding for any such programs.

The meeting on June 26, 1975 will be open to the public beginning at 9 a.m. This meeting will be held in the United Tribes Development Center.

The proposed agenda includes:

- (1) Review the ACKCO Study.
- (2) Review the Ad Hoc Committee Activities.

Records shall be kept of all Council proceedings (and shall be available for public inspection) at the Office of the National Advisory Council on Indian Education located at 425 13th Street, NW, Suite 326, Washington, D.C. 20004.

Signed at Washington, D.C. on April 22, 1975.

DORRANCE D. STEELE,
Acting Executive Director, NACIE.
[FR Doc. 75-14830 Filed 6-5-75; 8:45 am]

Public Health Service OFFICE OF THE ASSISTANT SECRETARY FOR HEALTH Statement of Organization, Functions, and Delegations of Authority

Part 11 in the Statement of Organization, Functions, and Delegations of Authority of the Department of Health, Education, and Welfare, Chapter 11, en-

titled Office of the Assistant Secretary for Health (38 FR 18571-74 as amended) is amended to emphasize the role of the Office of International Health (IN05) as the PHS and, in consultation with the Office of International Affairs Management, Department focal point for international health matters and to reflect the establishment of two divisions within that office.

Section 11-B Organization and Functions is amended by replacing the statement for the Office of International Health (IN05) with the following:

Office of International Health (IN05).

(1) The Director of the Office of International Health serves as the PHS and, in consultation and cooperation with the Office of International Affairs Management (OIAM), Department focal point for policy guidance and program coordination relating to international health; (2) provides staff advice to the Assistant Secretary for Health on international health policies, programs, and activities; (3) prepares analyses and evaluations of selected international health policies and programs for PHS, OIAM and DHEW, the Department of State, and other Federal departments; (4) maintains liaison with international institutions and organizations, and other departments and agencies on international health matters; (5) arranges for international technical assistance in the health field at the request of other departments and agencies; (6) recommends and promotes policies in health and health-related areas for implementation by international organizations, especially the World Health Organization (WHO) and Pan American Health Organization (PAHO); (7) serves as the principal focal point in PHS and the Department for relationships with WHO and provides technical consultation to the organization; (8) provides DHEW (OIAM) with periodical reports, as needed, on the H agencies' program, budget and personnel commitments for international activities; and (9) arranges for procedures, in consultation with OIAM, for screening and approval of proposed international travel by H personnel.

Division of Program Analysis

(IN0505). (1) Participates in the development of policy options and recommendations for U.S. policy in international health; (2) analyzes the relationships between international health and the domestic goals and objectives of PHS and the Department; (3) in cooperation with the PHS agencies, reviews and analyzes foreign health conditions in relation to PHS and Department objectives; (4) evaluates U.S. international health policies, programs, and expenditures for the Department of State; (5) recommends policies in health and health-related areas for implementation by international organizations, especially the World Health Organization (WHO) and the Pan American Health Organization (PAHO); (6) in cooperation with the PHS agencies, develops long-range policies, plans, and objectives regarding U.S. participation in international health activities; (7) develops

country studies relating health to socioeconomic development and carries out health sector assessments for DHEW and the Agency for International Development's Regional Bureaus; (8) assemblies, reviews, analyzes and evaluates international health data, relating this information to major U.S. priorities and interests in the field of international health.

Division of Program Development and Coordination (1N0507). (1) Encourages the development of international health program activities within the PHS agencies and the Office of International Health (OIH); (2) provides leadership in program development and coordination among the PHS agencies, the Agency for International Development (AID), and other Federal agencies and departments, in relation to U.S. international health goals, objectives, policies, and priorities; (3) monitors activities that facilitate the development and coordination of multilateral and bilateral U.S. international health programs within PHS and among Federal agencies; (4) coordinates and is responsible for overall management of the Scientific Activities Overseas (Special Foreign Currency) Program for the PHS agencies; (5) monitors international epidemics and disasters, assists AID in disaster relief planning and coordinates the deployment of DHEW resources for disaster relief; (6) participates in the identification of international health projects which have implications for U.S. domestic health programs; and (7) maintains liaison with individuals, professional groups, government and non-government agencies and institutions with an interest in professional and technical international health matters.

Dated: May 30, 1975.

JOHN OTTINA,
Assistant Secretary for
Administration and Management.

[FR Doc.75-14852 Filed 6-5-75; 8:45 am]

DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION

Federal Railroad Administration

[FRA Waiver Petition No. HS-75-12]

ALGERS, WINSLOW AND WESTERN
RAILWAY CO.

Petition for Exemption From Hours of
Service Act

The Algiers, Winslow and Western Railway Co. has petitioned the Federal Railroad Administration pursuant to 45 U.S.C. 64a(e) for an exemption, with respect to certain employees, from the Hours of Service Act, 45 U.S.C. Secs. 61, 62, 63 and 64.

Interested persons are invited to participate in this proceeding by submitting written data, views, or comments. Communications should be submitted in triplicate to the Docket Clerk, Office of Chief Counsel, Federal Railroad Administration, Attention: FRA Waiver Petition No. HS-75-12, Room 5101, 400 Seventh

Street, SW., Washington, D.C. 20590. Communications received before June 30, 1975, will be considered before final action is taken on this petition. All comments received will be available for examination by interested persons during business hours in Room 5101, Nassif Building, 400 Seventh Street, SW., Washington, D.C. 20590.

Issued in Washington, D.C. on June 2, 1975.

DONALD W. BENNETT,
Chief Counsel,
Federal Railroad Administration.

[FR Doc.75-14822 Filed 6-5-75; 8:45 am]

NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION

ADVISORY COMMITTEE ON REACTOR
SAFEGUARDS' SUBCOMMITTEE ON
RANCHO SECO, UNIT 1

Notice of Meeting

In accordance with the purposes of sections 29 and 182 b. of the Atomic Energy Act (42 U.S.C. 2039, 2232 b.), the Advisory Committee on Reactor Safeguards' Subcommittee on Rancho Seco, Unit 1, will hold a meeting on June 24, 1975 in room 1046, 1717 H Street, NW, Washington, D.C. 20555. The purpose of this meeting will be to develop information for consideration by the ACRS in its review of the application of the Sacramento Municipal Utility District for a license to operate the Rancho Seco Nuclear Power Plant, Unit 1, at full design power (2772 MW(t)). The facility, which is located approximately 25 miles southeast of Sacramento, California, has been operating at power levels up to 2568 MW(t).

The agenda for the subject meeting shall be as follows:

Tuesday, June 24, 1975, 9:00 a.m. until the conclusion of business. The Subcommittee will hear presentations by representatives of the NRC Staff and the Sacramento Municipal Utility District and will hold discussions with these groups pertinent to its review of the application of the Sacramento Municipal Utility District for a license to operate the Rancho Seco Nuclear Power Plant, Unit 1, at full power.

In connection with the above agenda, item, the Subcommittee will hold Executive Sessions, not open to the public, at 8:30 a.m. and at the end of the day to consider matters relating to the above application. These sessions will involve an exchange of opinions and discussion of preliminary views and recommendations of Subcommittee Members and internal deliberations for the purpose of formulating recommendations to the ACRS.

In addition to the Executive Sessions, the Subcommittee may hold closed sessions with representatives of the NRC Staff and Applicant for the purpose of discussing privileged information concerning plant physical security and other matters related to plant design, construction, and operation, if necessary.

I have determined, in accordance with subsection 10(d) of Pub. L. 92-463, that the above-noted Executive Sessions will

consist of an exchange of opinions and formulation of recommendations, the discussion of which, if written, would fall within exemption (5) of 5 U.S.C. 552(b) and that a closed session may be held, if necessary, to discuss certain documents and information which are privileged and fall within exemption (4) of 5 U.S.C. 552(b). Further, any non-exempt material that will be discussed during the above closed sessions will be inextricably intertwined with exempt material, and no further separation of this material is considered practical. It is essential to close such portions of the meeting to protect the free interchange of internal views, to avoid undue interference with agency or Subcommittee operation, and to avoid public disclosure of proprietary information.

Practical considerations may dictate alterations in the above agenda or schedule.

The Chairman of the Subcommittee is empowered to conduct the meeting in a manner that, in his judgment, will facilitate the orderly conduct of business, including provisions to carry over an incomplete open session from one day to the next.

With respect to public participation in the open portion of the meeting, the following requirements shall apply:

(a) Persons wishing to submit written statements regarding the agenda items may do so by mailing 25 copies thereof, postmarked no later than June 14, 1975 to the Executive Secretary, Advisory Committee on Reactor Safeguards, Nuclear Regulatory Commission, Washington, D.C. 20555. Such comments shall be based upon the Final Safety Analysis Report for this facility and related documents on file and available for public inspection at the Nuclear Regulatory Commission's Public Document Room, 1717 H Street, NW., Washington, D.C. 20555 and at the Business and Municipal Department, Sacramento City-County Library, 828 I Street, Sacramento, California 95814.

(b) Those persons submitting a written statement in accordance with paragraph (a) above may request an opportunity to make oral statements concerning the written statement. Such requests shall accompany the written statement and shall set forth reasons justifying the need for such oral statement and its usefulness to the Subcommittee. To the extent that the time available for the meeting permits, the Subcommittee will receive oral statements during a period of no more than 30 minutes at an appropriate time, chosen by the Chairman of the Subcommittee between the hours of 10:00 a.m. and 11:00 a.m. on June 24, 1975.

(c) Requests for the opportunity to make oral statements shall be ruled on by the Chairman of the Subcommittee who is empowered to apportion the time available among those selected by him to make oral statements.

(d) Information as to whether the meeting has been cancelled or rescheduled and in regard to the Chairman's

ruling on requests for opportunity to present oral statements, and the time allotted, can be obtained by a prepaid telephone call on June 23, 1975 to the Office of the Executive Secretary of the Committee (telephone 202/634-1413, Attn: Mr. R. Muller) between 8:15 a.m. and 5:00 p.m., E.D.T.

(e) Questions may be propounded only by members of the Subcommittee and its consultants.

(f) Seating for the public will be available on a first-come, first-served basis.

(g) The use of still, motion picture, and television cameras, the physical installation and presence of which will not interfere with the conduct of the meeting, will be permitted both before and after the meeting and during any recess. The use of such equipment will not, however, be allowed while the meeting is in session.

(h) Persons desiring to attend portions of the meeting where proprietary information, other than plant security information, is to be discussed may do so by providing to the Executive Secretary, Advisory Committee on Reactor Safeguards, 1717 H St., NW, Washington, D.C. 20555, seven days prior to the meeting, a copy of an executed agreement with the owner of the proprietary information to safeguard this material.

(i) A copy of the transcript of the open portion of the meeting will be available for inspection on or after June 26, 1975 at the Nuclear Regulatory Commission's Public Document Room, 1717 H St., NW, Washington, D.C. 20555 and within approximately nine days at the Business and Municipal Department, Sacramento City-County Library, 828 I Street, Sacramento, California 95814. Copies of the transcript may be reproduced in the Public Document Room or may be obtained from Ace Federal Reporters, Inc., 415 Second St., NE, Washington, D.C. 20002 (telephone 202/547-6222) upon payment of appropriate charges.

(j) On request, copies of the minutes of the meeting will be made available for inspection at the Nuclear Regulatory Commission's Public Document Room, 1717 H St., NW, Washington, D.C. 20555 after Sept. 24, 1975. Copies may be obtained upon payment of appropriate charges.

Dated: June 2, 1975.

JOHN C. HOYLE,
Advisory Committee
Management Officer.

[FRT Doc.75-14813 Filed 6-5-75; 8:45 am]

ADVISORY COMMITTEE ON REACTOR SAFEGUARDS' SUBCOMMITTEE ON EMERGENCY CORE COOLING SYSTEMS (ECCS)

Notice of Meeting

In accordance with the purposes of sections 29 and 182 b. of the Atomic Energy Act (42 U.S.C. 2039, 2232 b.), the ACRS Subcommittee on Emergency Core Cooling Systems will hold a meeting on June 25, 1975 at the Howard Johnson Motor Lodge, Highway 48, Monroeville, Pa. The purpose of this meeting will be

to discuss the effects of upper head injection on the Westinghouse Electric Corporation's analytical models formulated to meet current ECCS criteria.

The agenda for the subject meeting shall be as follows:

Wednesday, June 25, 1975, 8:30 a.m. until the conclusion of business. The Subcommittee will hear presentations by representatives of the NRC Staff and of the Westinghouse Electric Corporation and will discuss with these groups analytical models formulated by the Westinghouse Electric Corporation to meet current ECCS criteria.

In connection with the above agenda item, the Subcommittee will hold Executive Sessions, not open to the public, at 8 a.m. and at the end of the day to consider related matters. These sessions will involve an exchange of opinions and discussion of preliminary views and recommendations of Subcommittee Members and internal deliberations for the purpose of formulating recommendations to the ACRS.

In addition to the Executive Sessions, the Subcommittee may hold closed sessions with representatives of the NRC Staff and Westinghouse for the purpose of discussing, if necessary, privileged information such as that contained in WCAP-8400 concerning certain experiments and analyses, and WCAP-8479 concerning an ECCS evaluation model.

I have determined, in accordance with subsection 10(d) of Pub. L. 92-463, that the above-noted Executive Sessions will consist of an exchange of opinions and formulation of recommendations, the discussion of which, if written, would fall within exemption (5) of 5 U.S.C. 552(b) and that a closed session may be held, if necessary, to discuss certain documents and information which are privileged and fall within exemption (4) of 5 U.S.C. 552(b). Further, any non-exempt material that will be discussed during the above closed sessions will be inextricably intertwined with exempt material, and no further separation of this material is considered practical. It is essential to close such portions of the meeting to protect the free interchange of internal views, to avoid undue interference with agency or Subcommittee operation, and to avoid public disclosure of proprietary information.

Practical considerations may dictate alterations in the above agenda or schedule.

The Chairman of the Subcommittee is empowered to conduct the meeting in a manner that, in his judgment, will facilitate the orderly conduct of business, including provisions to carry over an incomplete open session from one day to the next.

With respect to public participation in the open portion of the meeting, the following requirements shall apply:

(a) Persons wishing to submit written statements regarding the agenda items may do so by mailing 25 copies thereof, postmarked no later than June 18, 1975 to: Executive Secretary, Advisory Committee on Reactor Safeguards, Nuclear Regulatory Commission, Washington, D.C. 20555. Such comments shall be based

on related documents on file and available for public inspection at the Nuclear Regulatory Commission's Public Document Room, 1717 H Street, NW, Washington, D.C. 20555.

(b) Those persons submitting a written statement in accordance with paragraph (a) above may request an opportunity to make oral statements concerning the written statement. Such requests shall accompany the written statement and shall set forth reasons justifying the need for such oral statement and its usefulness to the Subcommittee. To the extent that the time available for the meeting permits, the Subcommittee will receive oral statements during a period of no more than 30 minutes at an appropriate time, chosen by the Chairman of the Subcommittee between the hours of 2 p.m. and 3 p.m.

(c) Requests for the opportunity to make oral statements shall be ruled on by the Chairman of the Subcommittee who is empowered to apportion the time available among those selected by him to make oral statements.

(d) Information as to whether the meeting has been cancelled or rescheduled and in regard to the Chairman's ruling on requests for opportunity to present oral statements, and the time allotted, can be obtained by a prepaid telephone call on June 23, 1975 to the Office of the Executive Secretary of the Committee, (telephone 202/634-1374, Attn: Thomas G. McCreless) between 8:15 a.m. and 5 p.m., e.d.t.

(e) Questions may be propounded only by members of the Subcommittee and its consultants.

(f) Seating for the public will be available on a first-come-first-served basis.

(g) The use of still, motion picture, and television cameras, the physical installation and presence of which will not interfere with the conduct of the meeting, will be permitted both before and after the meeting and during any recess. The use of such equipment will not, however, be allowed while the meeting is in session.

(h) Persons desiring to attend portions of the meeting where proprietary information is to be discussed may do so by providing to the Executive Secretary, Advisory Committee on Reactor Safeguards, 1717 H Street, NW, Washington, D.C. 20555, seven days prior to the meeting, a copy of an executed agreement with the owner of the proprietary information to safeguard this material.

(i) A copy of the transcript of the open portion of the meeting will be available for inspection on or after June 30, 1975 at the Nuclear Regulatory Commission's Public Document Room, 1717 H Street, NW, Washington, D.C. 20555. Copies of the transcript may be reproduced in the Public Document Room or may be obtained from Ace Federal Reporters, Inc., 415 Second Street, NE, Washington, D.C. 20002 (telephone 202/547-6222) upon payment of appropriate charges.

(j) On request, copies of the minutes of the meeting will be made available for

inspection at the Nuclear Regulatory Commission's Public Document Room, 1717 H Street, NW., Washington, D.C. 20555 after September 26, 1975. Copies may be obtained upon payment of appropriate charges.

Dated: June 2, 1975.

JOHN C. HOYLE,
Advisory Committee
Management Officer.

[FR Doc. 75-14814 Filed 6-5-75; 8:45 am]

[Docket No. 50-293]

BOSTON EDISON CO.

Issuance of Amendment to Facility Operating License

Notice is hereby given that the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission (the Commission) has issued Amendment No. 10 to Facility Operating License No. DPR-35 issued to the Boston Edison Company (the licensee), which revised Technical Specifications for operation of the Pilgrim Nuclear Power Station (the facility), located in Plymouth County, Massachusetts. The amendment is effective as of its date of issuance.

The amendment revises provisions in the Technical Specifications for the facility's airborne effluent release limits in a manner to provide reasonable assurance that releases of radioactive iodines and particulates with half lives greater than eight days to unrestricted areas would be in accordance with Regulatory Guide 1.42.

The application for the amendment complies with the standards and requirements of the Atomic Energy Act of 1954, as amended (the Act), and the Commission's rules and regulations. The Commission has made appropriate findings as required by the Act and the Commission's rules and regulations in 10 CFR Ch. I, which are set forth in the license amendment. Prior public notice of this amendment is not required since the amendment does not involve a significant hazards consideration.

For further details with respect to this action, see (1) the application for amendment dated April 2, 1975, as modified by letter dated May 22, 1975, (2) Amendment No. 10 to License No. DPR-35, with Change No. 12, and (3) the Commission's concurrently issued related Safety Evaluation. All of these items are available for public inspection at the Commission's Public Document Room, 1717 H Street, NW., Washington, D.C. and at the Plymouth Public Library on North Street in Plymouth, Massachusetts 02360. A copy of items (2) and (3) may be obtained upon request addressed to the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission, Washington, D.C. 20555, Attention: Director, Division of Reactor Licensing.

Dated at Bethesda, Maryland, this 23rd day of May 1975.

For the Nuclear Regulatory Commission.

DENNIS L. ZIEMANN,
Chief, Operating Reactors
Branch No. 2, Division of Re-
actor Licensing.

[FR Doc. 75-14816 Filed 6-5-75; 8:45 am]

[Docket Nos. 50-440, 50-441]

CLEVELAND ELECTRIC ILLUMINATING CO. ET AL. (PERRY NUCLEAR POWER PLANT, UNITS 1 AND 2)

Evidentiary Hearing

Please take notice, by agreement of parties, approved by the Board and pursuant to the Joint Stipulation of the parties as set out in Prehearing Conference Order dated February 28, 1975, an evidentiary hearing thereon will be held on June 23, 1975 in Cleveland, Ohio.

Any person wishing to make a limited appearance statement pursuant to § 2.715 of the Commission's rules of practice, 10 CFR 2.715, will be permitted to do so on the first day of the evidentiary session provided a request for same is submitted to the Board before the commencement of the evidentiary hearing.

The evidentiary hearing will commence at 1 p.m. local time at the Federal Building, Room 2069, 1240 East 9th Street, Cleveland, Ohio 44199.

Issued this 2nd day of June, 1975 at Bethesda, Maryland.

It is so ordered.

ATOMIC SAFETY AND LICENS-
ING BOARD,
JOHN M. PRYSIAK,
Chairman.

[FR Doc. 75-14812 Filed 6-5-75; 8:45 am]

[Docket Nos. 50-295, 50-204]

COMMONWEALTH EDISON CO.

Issuance of Amendments to Facility Operating Licenses

Notice is hereby given that the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission (the Commission) has issued Amendment No. 8 to Facility Operating License No. DPR-39 and Amendment No. 5 to Facility Operating License No. DPR-48 issued to Commonwealth Edison Company which revised Technical Specifications for operation of the Zion, Lake County, Illinois, 2, located in Zion, Lake County, Illinois. These amendments are effective as of the date of issuance.

These amendments incorporate a change to the Technical Specifications that deletes the description of the standby diesel generator qualification tests which have been completed and institutes a standby diesel generator operational reliability testing program.

The application for these amendments complies with the standards and require-

ments of the Atomic Energy Act of 1954, as amended (the Act), and the Commission's rules and regulations. The Commission has made appropriate findings as required by the Act and the Commission's rules and regulations in 10 CFR Ch. I, which are set forth in the license amendments. Prior public notice of these amendments is not required since these amendments do not involve a significant hazards consideration.

For further details with respect to this action, see (1) the application for amendment dated March 31, 1975, (2) Amendment No. 8 to License No. DPR-39 and Amendment No. 5 to License No. DPR-48, with Change No. 9 and (3) the Commission's related Safety Evaluation. All of these items are available for public inspection at the Commission's Public Document Room, 1717 H Street, NW., Washington, D.C., and at the Waukegan Public Library, 128 North County Street, Waukegan, Illinois 60085.

A copy of items (2) and (3) may be obtained upon request addressed to the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission, Washington, D.C. 20555, Attention: Director, Division of Reactor Licensing.

Dated at Bethesda, Maryland, this 30th day of May 1975.

For the Nuclear Regulatory Commission.

ROBERT A. PURPLE,
Chief, Operating Reactors
Branch No. 1, Division of
Reactor Licensing.

[FR Doc. 75-14815 Filed 6-5-75; 8:45 am]

[Docket Nos. 50-295, 50-304]

COMMONWEALTH EDISON CO.

Issuance of Amendments to Facility Operating Licenses

Notice is hereby given that the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission (the Commission) has issued Amendment No. 9 to Facility Operating License No. DPR-39 and Amendment No. 6 to Facility Operating License No. DPR-48 issued to Commonwealth Edison Company which revised Technical Specifications for operation of the Zion Station Units 1 and 2, located in Zion, Lake County, Illinois. These amendments are effective as of the date of issuance.

These amendments revise the Technical Specifications to require draining and refilling an isolated primary coolant loop with water borated an additional amount in order to allow opening the loop stop valves of an isolated coolant loop with the Loop Stop Valve Interlock System inoperable.

The application for these amendments complies with the standards and requirements of the Atomic Energy Act of 1954, as amended (the Act), and the Commission's rules and regulations. The Commission has made appropriate findings as required by the Act and the Commis-

sion's rules and regulations in 10 CFR Ch. I, which are set forth in the license amendments. Prior public notice of these amendments is not required since these amendments do not involve a significant hazards consideration.

For further details with respect to this action, see (1) the application for amendment dated May 30, 1975, (2) Amendment No. 9 to License No. DPR-39 and Amendment No. 6 to License No. DPR-48, with Change No. 10, and (3) the Commission's related Safety Evaluation. All of these items are available for public inspection at the Commission's Public Document Room, 1717 H Street, NW., Washington, D.C., and at the Waukegan Public Library, 128 North County Street, Waukegan, Illinois 60085.

A copy of items (2) and (3) may be obtained upon request addressed to the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission, Washington, D.C. 20555, Attention: Director, Division of Reactor Licensing.

Dated at Bethesda, Maryland, this 30th day of May 1975.

For the Nuclear Regulatory Commission.

THOMAS V. WAMBACH,
Acting Chief, Operating Reactors
Branch No. 1, Division of Reactor Licensing.

[FR Doc.75-14818 Filed 6-5-75; 8:45 am]

[Byproduct Material License No. 34-01764-02]

GLADSTONE LABORATORIES, INC.

Notice of Hearing

Gladstone Laboratories, Inc., 1034 Woodrow Street, Cincinnati, Ohio (the Licensee) is the holder of Byproduct Material License No. 34-01764-02, which authorizes the company to perform industrial radiography in accordance with the conditions specified therein. The license has an expiration date of May 31, 1973, however, a timely application for renewal was submitted to the Commission by the Licensee and the license continues in effect.

On February 27, 1975, the Director of Inspection and Enforcement, pursuant to section 234 of the Atomic Energy Act of 1954, as amended (42 USC 2282) and 10 CFR 2.205 of the Commission's regulations, served on the Licensee a Notice of Violation together with a Notice of Proposed Imposition of Civil Penalties. The Notice of Proposed Imposition of Civil Penalties, incorporating by reference the Notice of Violation, alleged that the Licensee was responsible for eight separate items of noncompliance which were violations of the Commission's regulations and/or the conditions of the Licensee's license and set forth the civil penalty to be assessed for each violation. These alleged violations were based on the results of an inspection of activities conducted under the license on October 16, 1974.

An answer dated March 21, 1975 to the Notice of Proposed Imposition of Civil Penalties and an answer dated

March 24, 1975 to the Notice of Violation were received from the Licensee. The Director of Inspection and Enforcement, after consideration of the Licensee's response, by letter dated April 24, 1975, served on the Licensee an Order Imposing Civil Monetary Penalties requiring the Licensee to pay civil penalties in the amount of One Thousand Nine Hundred and Fifty Dollars (\$1,950). On May 16, 1975, the Licensee requested a hearing on the Order Imposing Civil Monetary Penalties.

Pursuant to the Atomic Energy Act of 1954, as amended, and the regulations in Title 10, Code of Federal Regulations, Part 2, "Rules of Practice", notice is hereby given that a hearing will be held before Mr. Samuel W. Jensch, Chief Administrative Law Judge, at a time and place to be set by the Chief Administrative Law Judge.

The Chief Administrative Law Judge will consider and initially decide, as the issues in this proceeding:

(a) Whether the Licensee committed the violations of the Commission's regulations and the conditions of the license designated as Items 1, 2, 3, 4(a), 4(b), 4(c), 5, 6(a), 6(b), 7 and 8 in the Notice of Violation referenced above; and

(b) Whether the Order Imposing Civil Penalties as it relates to Items 1, 2, 3, 4(a), 4(b), 4(c), 5, 3(a), 6(b), 7 and 8 designated in the Notice should be sustained.

A prehearing conference or conferences will be held by the Chief Administrative Law Judge, at a date and place to be set by him, to consider pertinent matters in accordance with the Commission's rules of practice. The date and place of the hearing will be set at or after the prehearing conference. Notices as to the dates and places of the prehearing conference and the hearing will be published in the FEDERAL REGISTER.

An answer to this Notice, pursuant to the provisions of 10 CFR 2.705, may be filed by the Licensee not later than twenty (20) days from the date of publication of this Notice in the FEDERAL REGISTER.

Papers required to be filed in this proceeding may be filed by mail or telegram addressed to the Secretary of the Commission, U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission, Washington, D.C. 20555, Attention: Chief, Public Proceedings Staff, or may be filed by delivery to the Commission's Public Document Room, 1717 H Street NW., Washington, D.C.

Pending further order of the Chief Administrative Law Judge, parties are required to file, pursuant to the provisions of 10 CFR 2.708, an original and twenty (20) conformed copies of each such paper with the Commission.

Pursuant to 10 CFR 2.785, the Commission authorizes an Atomic Safety and Licensing Appeal Board to exercise the authority and perform the review functions which would otherwise be exercised and performed by the Commission. The Appeal Board will be designated pursuant to 10 CFR 2.787, and notice as

to its membership will be published in the FEDERAL REGISTER.

Dated at Washington, D.C. this 2nd day of June, 1975.

For the Nuclear Regulatory Commission.

SAMUEL J. CHILK,
Secretary to the Commission.

[FR Doc.75-14863 Filed 6-5-75; 1:45 am]

[Docket No. 50-306]

NORTHERN STATES POWER CO.

Issuance of Amendment to Facility Operating License

Notice is hereby given that the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission (the Commission) has issued Amendment No. 1 to Facility Operating License No. DPR-60, issued to the Northern States Power (the licensee), which revised the license for operation of the Prairie Island Nuclear Generating Plant Unit 2 (the facility) located in Goodhue County, Minnesota. The amendment is effective as of its date of issuance.

The amendment authorizes the licensee to receive, possess and use additional byproduct material in the form of sodium 24 for the measurement of moisture carryover from the steam generators as part of the facility's startup test program, in accordance with the licensee's application dated March 18, 1975.

The application for the amendment complies with the standards and requirements of the Atomic Energy Act of 1954, as amended (the Act), and the Commission's rules and regulations. The Commission has made appropriate findings as required by the Act and the Commission's rules and regulations in 10 CFR Ch. I, which are set forth in the license amendment. Prior public notice of this amendment is not required since the amendment does not involve a significant hazards consideration.

For further details with respect to this action, see (1) the application for amendment dated March 18, 1975, (2) Amendment No. 1 to License No. DPR-60, and (3) the Commission's concurrently issued related Safety Evaluation. All of these items are available for public inspection at the Commission's Public Document Room, 1717 H Street, NW, Washington, D.C. and at The Environmental Conservation Library, Minneapolis Public Library, 300 Nicollet Mall, Minneapolis, Minnesota 55401. A copy of items (2) and (3) may be obtained upon request addressed to the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission, Washington, D.C. 20555, Attention: Director, Division of Reactor Licensing.

Dated at Bethesda, Maryland, this 29th day of May, 1975.

For the Nuclear Regulatory Commission.

DENNIS L. ZIEMANN,
Chief, Operating Reactors
Branch No. 2, Division of Reactor Licensing.

[FR Doc.75-14864 Filed 6-5-75; 8:45 am]

[Docket Nos. STN 50-508, STN 50-509]

WASHINGTON PUBLIC POWER SUPPLY SYSTEM (WPPSS NUCLEAR PROJECTS, NOS. 3 AND 5)

Final Prehearing Conference and Evidentiary Hearing on Environmental and Site Suitability Issues

On August 23, 1974, a "Notice of Hearing on Application for Construction Permits" in the above entitled matter was published in the FEDERAL REGISTER (39 FR 30535). The Notice advised that a hearing would be held upon the issues designated therein, at a time and place to be set by the Atomic Safety and Licensing Board, to consider the applications filed by the Washington Public Power Supply System, on behalf of itself and certain named investor-owned electric utilities, for construction permits for two pressurized water nuclear reactors, designated as WPPSS Nuclear Projects Nos. 3 and 5. The proposed facilities are to be located in Grays Harbor County, Washington, about 26 miles west of Olympia.

The matter having come before this Atomic Safety and Licensing Board at a Special Prehearing Conference heretofore held on November 19, 1974 and in a telephone conference with the parties on May 21, 1975, it was agreed that the Final Prehearing Conference provided for in 10 CFR 2.752, and the Evidentiary Hearing in this proceeding would commence in the vicinity of Aberdeen, Washington on June 24, 1975.

The Applicant has filed a Motion, dated May 30, 1975 requesting a separate hearing on environmental and site suitability issues pursuant to 10 CFR 2.761a, which Motion has been consented to by the Nuclear Regulatory Commission Staff and by the representative of the Thermal Power Plant Site Evaluation Council of the State of Washington. Good cause having been shown, and without objection, the aforesaid Motion is hereby granted.

Accordingly, the evidentiary hearings on this proceeding on environmental and site suitability issues will, pursuant to 10 CFR 50.10(e)(2) and 10 CFR 51.52, be concerned with the following issues:

1. (a) To determine whether the requirements of sections 102(2) (A), (C) and (D) of NEPA and 10 CFR Part 51 have been complied with in this proceeding.
- (b) To independently consider the final balance among conflicting factors contained in the record of the proceeding for the permits with a view to determining the appropriate action to be taken.
- (c) To determine, after weighing the environmental, economic, technical, and other benefits against environmental and other costs, and considering available alternatives, whether the construction permits should be issued, denied, or appropriately conditioned to protect environmental values.
- (d) To determine whether the NEPA review conducted by the Commission's Regulatory Staff has been adequate.
2. To determine that there is reasonable assurance that the proposed site is a suitable location for a nuclear power reactor of the general size and type proposed from the

standpoint of radiological health and safety considerations (10 CFR 50.10(e)(2)(ii)).

Wherefore, it is ordered, in accordance with the Atomic Energy Act, as amended, and the rules of practice of the Commission, that evidentiary hearings in this proceeding shall convene at 10:00 a.m., local time, on Tuesday, June 24, 1975 in the vicinity of Aberdeen, Washington. The specific place at which the hearings will be held will be designated in a further notice. The public is invited to attend the hearing.

The Evidentiary Hearing will be preceded by the Prehearing Conference provided for in 10 CFR 2.752, in order to finalize the procedural arrangements with regard to the conduct of the hearing. The Thermal Power Plant Site Evaluation Council of the State of Washington will be permitted to participate in the proceeding in accordance with the provisions of § 2.715(c) of the Rules (10 CFR 2.715(c)).

Section 2.715(a) of the Commission's rules (10 CFR 2.715(a)), provides that those persons and organizations who have filed requests for limited appearances with the Commission may be afforded an opportunity, in the discretion of the Licensing Board, to state their views or to file a written statement on the issues within such limits and on such conditions as may be fixed by the Board. Normally, limited appearances are made on the first day of the hearing, or at such other times as the Board may, for good cause, designate.

The Commission has thus far received approximately 70 requests from members of the public and organizations requesting permission to make limited appearances at the hearings. In most instances, these requests indicate that they favor a grant of the applications. In the interest of conserving the time of the parties, the public and the Commission, the Board urges those who have requested permission to make limited appearances, and who have substantially the same point of view, to agree upon a spokesman to present their viewpoints and to concur therein. In these circumstances, their written requests and statements will be incorporated in the docket of the proceeding, in accordance with the Commission's rules.

Those persons and organizations who wish, nevertheless, to be permitted to make separate oral presentations shall specifically notify the Licensing Board to that effect on or before June 15, 1975, so that proper and efficient scheduling for such limited appearances may be arranged.

Dated at Bethesda, Maryland this 30th day of May 1975.

It is so ordered.

For the Atomic Safety and Licensing Board.

MAX D. PAGLIN,
Chairman.

[FR Doc. 75-14820 Filed 6-5-75; 8:45 am]

[Docket No. 50-29]

YANKEE ATOMIC ELECTRIC CO.
Issuance of Amendment to Facility Operating License

Notice is hereby given that the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission (the Commission) has issued Amendment No. 11 to Facility Operating License No. DPR-3 issued to Yankee Atomic Electric Company which revised Technical Specifications for Operation of the Yankee Atomic Power Station, located in Rowe, Massachusetts. The amendment is effective as of its date of issuance.

This amendment adds a new section F, "Rod Drop Time" to the Technical Specifications and authorizes the deletion of the control rod surveillance program referred to in Changes No. 104 and 106, dated November 15, 1972, and March 30, 1973, respectively.

The application for the amendment complies with the standards and requirements of the Atomic Energy Act of 1954, as amended (the Act), and the Commission's rules and regulations. The Commission has made appropriate findings as required by the Act and the Commission's rules and regulations in 10 CFR Ch. I, which are set forth in the license amendment. Notice of Proposed Issuance of Amendment to Facility Operating License in connection with this action was published in the FEDERAL REGISTER April 7, 1975 (40 FR 15459). No request for a hearing or petition for leave to intervene was filed following notice of the proposed action.

For further details with respect to this action, see (1) the application for amendment dated February 18, 1975, (2) Amendment No. 11 to License No. DPR-3, with Change No. 116 and (3) the Commission's related Safety Evaluation. All of these items are available for public inspection at the Commission's Public Document Room, 1717 H Street, NW., Washington, D.C.

A copy of items (2) and (3) may be obtained upon request addressed to the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission, Washington, D.C. 20555, Attention: Director, Division of Reactor Licensing.

Dated at Bethesda, Maryland, this 28th day of May 1975.

For the Nuclear Regulatory Commission.

ROBERT A. PURPLE,
Chief, Operating Reactors
Branch No. 1, Division of
Reactor Licensing.

[FR Doc. 75-14817 Filed 6-5-75; 8:45 am]

REGULATORY GUIDE
Issuance and Availability

The Nuclear Regulatory Commission has issued a new guide in its Regulatory Guide Series. This series has been developed to describe and make available to the public methods acceptable to the NRC staff of implementing specific parts of the Commission's regulations and, in

some cases, to delineate techniques used by the staff in evaluating specific problems or postulated accidents and to provide guidance to applicants concerning certain of the information needed by the staff in its review of applications for permits and licenses.

Regulatory Guide 3.28, "Welder Qualification for Welding in Areas of Limited Accessibility in Fuel Reprocessing Plants and in Plutonium Processing and Fuel Fabrication Plants," describes a method acceptable to the NRC staff for complying with the Commission's regulations regarding the control of welding of safety-related nuclear components in areas of limited accessibility in fuel reprocessing plants and in plutonium processing and fuel fabrication plants.

Comments and suggestions in connection with (1) items for inclusion in guides currently being developed (listed below) or (2) improvements in all published guides are encouraged at any time. Public comments on Regulatory Guide 3.28 will, however, be particularly useful in evaluating the need for an early revision if received by August 4, 1975.

Comments should be sent to the Secretary of the Commission, U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission, Washington, D.C. 20555, Attention: Docketing and Service Section.

Regulatory Guides are available for inspection at the Commission's Public Document Room, 1717 H Street NW., Washington, D.C. Requests for single copies of issued guides (which may be reproduced) or for placement on an automatic distribution list for single copies of future guides should be made in writing to the Director, Office of Standards Development, U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission, Washington, D.C. 20555. Telephone requests cannot be accommodated. Regulatory Guides are not copyrighted and Commission approval is not required to reproduce them.

Other Division 3 Regulatory Guides currently being developed include the following:

- Control of Stainless Steel Welding for Safety-Related Components of Fuel Reprocessing Plants.
- Corrosion Testing and Evaluation of Metals for Application in Fuel Reprocessing Plants.
- Nondestructive Examination of Tubular Products for Use in Fuel Reprocessing and Fuel Fabrication Plants.
- Control of the Use of Sensitized Stainless Steel for Components of Fuel Reprocessing Plants.
- General Design Guide for Process Building Ventilation Systems for Fuel Reprocessing Plants.
- General Fire Protection Guide for Fuel Reprocessing Plants.
- Standard Format and Content of License Applications for Plutonium Processing and Fuel Fabrication Plants.
- Standard Format and Content of License Applications for Commercial Waste Burial Facilities.
- Quality Assurance for the Design, Construction, and Operation of Fuel Reprocessing Plants.
- Guide for Design, Construction, and Operation of Ventilation Systems for Plutonium Fuel Manufacturing Plants.

- Criteria for Siting, Design, and Operation of Plants for the Manufacture of Mixed Oxide Fuels.
- Selection and Application of Protective Coatings (Paints) for Fuel Reprocessing Plants.
- Guide to the Preparation of Emergency Plans for Uranium and Plutonium Processing and Fuel Fabrication Plants.
- Design Criteria for Spent Fuel Storage Facilities at HTGR Sites.
- Guide for Design of Irradiated Fuel Receiving and Storage Facilities.
- Assumptions Used for Evaluating the Consequences of a Criticality Accident in LWR Fuel Fabrication Plants.
- Selection, Training, and Qualification of Personnel for Fuel Reprocessing Plants.
- Temporary Storage of High-Level Liquid Waste at Fuel Reprocessing Plants.
- Assumptions Used for Evaluating the Consequences of a Criticality Accident in Fuel Reprocessing Plants.
- Assumptions Used for Evaluating the Consequences of a Criticality Accident in Plutonium Processing and Fuel Fabrication Plants.
- Confinement Structures and Systems for Plutonium Processing and Fuel Fabrication Plants.
- Emergency Water Systems for Fuel Reprocessing Plants and Plutonium Processing and Fuel Fabrication Plants.
- Protection Systems for Fuel Reprocessing Plants and for Plutonium Processing and Fuel Fabrication Plants.
- Design Basis Floods for Fuel Reprocessing Plants.
- Criteria for Gaseous Radioactive Effluent Systems at Fuel Reprocessing Plants.
- Design Criteria for Decommissioning of Nuclear Fuel Reprocessing Plants.
- Definition of Radioactive Waste Categories.
- Codes Applicable to Quality Control and Fabrication of Metallic Structures, Systems, and Components for Fuel Reprocessing Plants.
- Administrative Controls for Nuclear Fuel Reprocessing Plants.

(5 U.S.C. 552(a))

Dated at Rockville, Maryland this 29th day of May 1975.

For the Nuclear Regulatory Commission.

ROBERT B. MINOGUE,
Acting Director,
Office of Standards Development.

[FR Doc.75-14819 Filed 6-5-75;8:45 am]

MIXED OXIDE FUEL

General Environmental Statement; Treatment of Safeguards and Deferrals of Licensing Actions; Extension of Comment Period

By notice published in the FEDERAL REGISTER on May 8, 1975, the Commission requested comments upon its provisional position on certain issues related to the generic environmental impact statement on mixed oxide fuel, as set forth in that notice (40 FR 20142). The notice provided a 30-day comment period, expiring June 9, 1975.

The Commission has since received a request from the Atomic Industrial Forum for an extension of that comment period, together with a request for certain information under the Freedom of Information Act bearing on the provisional position. In the interest of per-

mitting interested parties the opportunity to respond to the materials to be released, ensuring a fully adequate consideration of the issues in this instance, and at the same time expediting the Commission's adoption of a final position, the time for commenting upon the issues raised in the May 8, 1975, notice is hereby extended for a period of forty-five days. Written statements should be filed with the Secretary of the Commission, United States Nuclear Regulatory Commission, Washington, D.C. 20555, Attention: Docketing and Service Section, by July 24, 1975.

Dated at Washington, D.C., this 4th day of June 1975.

For the Nuclear Regulatory Commission.

SAMUEL J. CHILK,
Secretary of the Commission.

[FR Doc.75-15005 Filed 6-5-75;8:45 am]

CIVIL AERONAUTICS BOARD

[Docket No. 26993; Order 75-6-7]

ALASKA AIRLINES, INC.

Order Fixing Final Service Mail Rates

Issued under delegated authority June 3, 1975.

In the matter of the petition of Alaska Airlines, Inc. for fuel surcharge applicable to the carriage of intra-Alaska mail.

By Order 75-5-63, May 16, 1975, all interested persons, and particularly Alaska Airlines, Inc. and the Postmaster General, were directed to show cause why the Board should not amend Order 71-2-102, February 23, 1971, so as to provide for surcharges to cover increased costs for fuel, subject to the terms and conditions set forth in Order 71-2-102.

The time designated for filing notice of objection has elapsed and no notice of objection or answer to the order has been filed by any person. All persons have therefore waived the right to a hearing and all other procedural steps short of fixing a final rate.

Upon consideration of the record, the findings and conclusions set forth in said order are reaffirmed and adopted.¹

Accordingly, pursuant to the Federal Aviation Act of 1958, and particularly sections 204(a) and 406 thereof, the Board's procedural regulations, 14 CFR Part 302, and the authority delegated by the Board in its organizational regulations, 14 CFR 385.16(g),

It is ordered That:

1. The fair and reasonable final rates compensation to be paid to Alaska Airlines, Inc., by the Postmaster General, pursuant to the provisions of section 406 of the Federal Aviation Act of 1958, for the transportation of mail by aircraft over its intra-Alaska routes, the facilities used and useful therefor, and the services connected therewith, per great-circle mail ton-mile are: (a) priority

¹ The rates effective on and after January 1, 1975, are being amended herein for technical corrections of administrative error.

mail \$1.3466 and nonpriority \$1.0966 effective from September 6, 1974 through December 31, 1974; and, (b) priority mail \$1.3775 and nonpriority \$1.1275 effective on and after January 1, 1975, subject to the terms and conditions as set forth in Order 71-2-102; and

2. The final service mail rates here fixed and determined are to be paid in their entirety by the Postmaster General.

Persons entitled to petition the Board for review of this order pursuant to the Board's regulations, 14 CFR 385.50, may file such petitions within 10 days after the date of service of this order.

This order shall be effective and become the action of the Civil Aeronautics Board upon expiration of the above period unless within such period a petition for review is filed, or the Board gives notice that it will review this order on its own motion.

This order will be published in the FEDERAL REGISTER.

[SEAL] EDWIN Z. HOLLAND,
Secretary.

[FR Doc.75-14853 Filed 6-5-75; 8:45 am]

[Docket No. 27282; Order 75-5-112]

CARIBWEST AIRWAYS LTD.

Foreign Air Carrier Permits; Tentative Findings and Conclusions; Order To Show Cause

Correction

In FR Doc. 75-14335, appearing at page 23786, in the issue for Monday, June 2, 1975, the following change should be made. In the first sentence of the third paragraph in this document the date "November 18, 1974" should read "December 23, 1974".

COMMITTEE FOR PURCHASE FROM THE BLIND AND OTHER SEVERELY HANDICAPPED

PROCUREMENT LIST 1975

Additions to Procurement List

Notice of proposed additions to Procurement List 1975, November 12, 1974 (39 FR 39964) were published in the Federal Register on February 28, 1975 (40 FR 8592) and April 8, 1975 (40 FR 15931).

Pursuant to the above notices the following commodities are added to the Procurement List:

Class 7690

Pad, writing paper (IB)	Price (per package)	
	GFM	CFM
7530-00-283-3090, region 5	\$0.67	\$1.47
7530-00-230-3479, region 2	.89	1.89
7530-00-283-3053, region 7		4.07

Class 7880

Sponge, cellulose (IB)	Price (each)	
7920-00-633-0628		\$0.065
7920-00-884-1115		.236
7920-00-884-1116		.144
7920-00-559-8462		.144
7920-00-559-8463		.144
7920-00-559-8464		.144
7920-00-240-2539		.140
7920-00-161-6219		.105
7920-00-663-9905		.073
7920-00-663-9906		.270
7920-00-240-2535		.173

C. W. FLETCHER,
Executive Director.

By the Committee.

[FR Doc.75-14834 Filed 6-5-75; 8:45 am]

PROCUREMENT LIST 1975

Proposed Addition

Notice is hereby given pursuant to section 2(a)(2) of Public Law 92-28; 85 Stat. 79, of the proposed addition of the following commodity to Procurement List 1975, November 12, 1974 (39 FR 39964):

CLASS 6515

Case, Ear Plug
6515-00-299-8287

Comments and views regarding this proposed addition may be filed with the Committee not later than July 7, 1975. Communications should be addressed to the Executive Director, Committee for Purchase from the Blind and Other Severely Handicapped, 2009 Fourteenth Street North, Suite 610, Arlington, Virginia 22201.

This notice is automatically cancelled December 6, 1975.

By the Committee.

C. W. FLETCHER,
Executive Director.

[FR Doc.75-14832 Filed 6-5-75; 8:45 am]

PROCUREMENT LIST 1975

Deletion From Procurement List

Notice of proposed deletion from Procurement List 1975, November 12, 1974 (39 FR 39964) was published in the FEDERAL REGISTER on April 8, 1975 (40 FR 15931).

Pursuant to the above notice the following commodity is deleted from the Procurement List:

CLASS 7210

Towel, Dish (IB)
7210-00-171-1144

By the Committee.

C. W. FLETCHER,
Executive Director.

[FR Doc.75-14833 Filed 6-5-75; 8:45 am]

COUNCIL ON ENVIRONMENTAL QUALITY

ENVIRONMENTAL STATEMENTS

Notice of Availability

Environmental impact statements received by the Council on Environmental Quality from May 26, through May 30, 1975.

The date of receipt for each statement is noted in the statement summary. Under Council Guidelines the minimum period for public review and comment on draft environmental impact statements is forty-five (45) days from this FEDERAL REGISTER notice of availability (July 22, 1975). The thirty (30) day period for each final statement begins on the day the statement is made available to the Council and to commenting parties.

Copies of individual statements are available for review from the originating agency. Back copies will also be available at cost from the Environmental Law Institute, 1346 Connecticut Avenue, Washington, D.C. 20036.

DEPARTMENT OF AGRICULTURE

Contact: David Ward, Acting Coordinator, Environmental Quality Activities, Office of the Secretary, U.S. Department of Agriculture, Room 331-E, Administration Building, Washington, D.C. 20250, (202) 447-3853.

Draft

Big Piney Land Use Plan, Bridger-Teton National Forest, Sublette and Lincoln Counties, Wyo., May 27: The proposed plan for Big Piney Planning Unit, Bridger-Teton National Forest provides for the allocation of lands for various resource uses and activities and emphasizes intensified timber and livestock forage production and retention of a 72,000 acre wilderness study area. Adverse impacts include degradation of air quality (due to wood smoke and dust from wildfires and prescribed burning), intermittent loud noise during transport of timber, and temporary aesthetic impact during road development. (ELR Order No. 50749.)

FOREST SERVICE

Draft

San Juan National Forest Timber Plan Revision, Colorado, May 30: The proposed project entails the implementation of a revised timber management plan for the San Juan National Forest, including silvicultural treatment of 102,000 to 273,000 acres of forested land and construction of 280 to 1,890 miles of new roads. Adverse effects include the decrease of livestock forage and problems in livestock distribution due to accumulation of silvicultural waste material; stress on wildlife due to increased human activities; increased soil erosion where road construction takes place; temporarily increased fire hazard during logging; and degradation of esthetics in the forest. (ELR Order No. 50783.)

Final

Sawtooth National Recreation Area, several counties, Idaho, May 30: The statement

refers to the proposed management plan for the Sawtooth National Recreation Area, which contains 754,000 acres of land. The plan proposes: the addition of as many as 877 new camping and picnicking areas; the designation of 250,000 acres as wilderness study areas; the harvesting of 900,000 board feet of timber and timber products; the phasing out of grazing in key wildlife habitat areas; and the construction of new roads and trails. The major environmental impacts will be on vegetation and soils (two volumes). Comments made by: EPA, DOI, COE, DOC, HUD, DOT, HEW, and State and local agencies, concerned groups, and individuals. (ELR Order No. 50774.)

Sandia Peak Tram Co. Land Exchange, Cibola, Carson National Forests, N. Mex., May 28: The statement refers to a proposal by the Sandia Peak Tram Company to exchange land with the Cibola and Carson National Forests. The Tram Company has offered land in Embudo Canyon in the Sandia Mountains, Cibola N.F., and land on the Rio Antonio Creek, northwest of Tres Piedras, Carson N.F. The tract in the Sandia Mountains is about 5 miles northwest of Albuquerque and borders on three other tracts which the company is presently developing. There are 159.89 acres in the tract of National Forest land. Adverse impacts are elimination of recreational activities on land given up, and economic gains from summer homes development that would be lost. Comments made by: COE, DOT, DOI, and EPA. (ELR Order No. 50771.) The final EIS eight-mile Blue Creek Unit, Six Rivers National Forest, was filed with the Council on Environmental Quality on May 22, 1975. Pursuant to the notice of the Forest Service in the FEDERAL REGISTER of May 28, 1975, the review period will end June 9, 1975.

RURAL ELECTRIFICATION ADMINISTRATION

Final

Alma Unit 6 and Transmission Lines (2), several counties, Wisconsin, May 28: The statement, a revised draft, refers to Dairyland Power Cooperative's request for \$121,591,000 in loan funds for the construction of Alma Generating Unit No. 6 and 79 miles of 161 kV transmission lines. The addition of the unit will require the acquisition of 105 acres of land. Adverse impacts include emissions from the coal burning unit and visual intrusion upon the landscape. Comments made by: USDA, DOI, DOT, EPA, COE, and State and local agencies. (ELR Order No. 50773.)

SOIL CONSERVATION SERVICE

Draft

Newman Watershed Project, Stanislaus County, Calif., May 28: The project entails the application of corrective treatment and structural measures to solve the high groundwater problem. Adverse environmental effects are the contribution of about 8,000 tons of dissolved salts per year to the San Joaquin River; increased traffic, waste products, and demands on energy reserves due to more intensive agriculture; decreased quality of wildlife habitat; temporary removal of 127 acres from production, and temporary construction disruption. (ELR Order No. 50770.)

East Upper Maple River Watershed, Schiawassee, Clinton, and Gratiot counties, Mich., May 27: The revised draft EIS for the East Upper Maple River Watershed project proposes conservation land treatment on 31,865 acres, 43.9 miles of channel improvement, 2.0 miles of dredging, 1.1 mile of floodway, 11.7 miles of levee, 10.6 miles of collection channels, 2 pumping stations, one dam, recreational development, and fish and wildlife development. Adverse effects include: loss of 1,320 acres of forest land; reduced wildlife habitat value of 2,375 acres; reduced fish

cover and river bottom plants and invertebrates for several years; increased noise, air, visual, and solid waste pollution due to additional recreational visits; and increased flooding in one area. (ELR Order No. 50750.)

Anasco River Watershed, Puerto Rico, May 28: This project entails the construction of flood detention and sediment control structures and 18.84 miles of channel works in the Anasco River Watershed, Puerto Rico. Impacts include the conversion of 22 acres of crop and pasture land into channels, the conversion of 123 acres of crop and pasture land into maintenance berms and spoil areas, relocation of 25 families and 2 farms, loss of agricultural and wildlife use on 88 acres, inundation of 4,730 feet of perennial streams, and increased turbidity in project channels during construction. (ELR Order No. 50789.)

Final

Pierce Creek No. 2 Watershed, Page and Montgomery Counties, Iowa, May 27: The statement refers to the construction of the Pierce Creek No. 2 Watershed Project in Page and Montgomery Counties, Iowa. Eleven acres of cropland, 32 acres of pasture and 6 acres of forest land will be permanently inundated by the project. In addition, four miles of ephemeral stream channel will be inundated by the project (30 pages). Comments made by: AHP, COE, HEW, DOI, DOT, EPA, and State agencies. (ELR Order No. 50756.)

Bryant Swamp Watershed, Bladen County, N.C., May 27: The proposed project measures include land treatment, 22.9 miles of stream channel modification, and six grade-control structures. Purposes of the project are to provide flood prevention and drainage to 4,090 acres of crop and pasture land and reduce erosion. Adverse impacts stemming from the project are reduction of wildlife wetland habitat by 52 acres, damage to one mile of fishing stream, and loss of or damage to 185 acres of woodland. Comments made by: COE, EPA, DOI, DOT, and State agencies and groups. (ELR Order No. 50781.)

DEPARTMENT OF DEFENSE

NAVY

Contact: Mr. Peter M. McDavitt, Special Assistant to the Assistant Secretary of the Navy (Installations and Logistics), Washington, D.C. 20350, (202) 692-3227.

Final

Naval Oceanographic Center, Bay St. Louis, Hancock County, Miss., May 30: The proposed action would establish a Naval Oceanographic Center at the National Space Technology Laboratory, Bay St. Louis, Mississippi. Existing administrative, laboratory and automated data processing spaces will be acquired from the National Atmospheric and Space Administration to house the activities concerned. The action will require the relocation of approximately 1300 employees and their families (three volumes). Comments made by: HEW, DOI, NASA, NCPC, EPA, and local agencies. (ELR Order No. 50779.)

AIR FORCE

Contact: Dr. Billy Welch, Room 4D 873, The Pentagon, Washington, D.C. 20330, (202) OX7-9297.

Draft

Lincoln Experimental Satellites 8 and 9 Program, Florida, May 27: The proposed action is the launch of the Lincoln Experimental Satellites 8 and 9 and solid satellites 11A and 11B as a single payload by a Titan III-C from Launch Complex 40 at Cape Canaveral Air Force Station, Florida. The only adverse effects are a very small probability of small amounts of plutonium or beryllium being released and a very small probability of human fatality or injury from physical impact of accidentally reentering payload hard-

ware. Either of these effects could only result from a malfunction of the launch vehicle. (ELR Order No. 50748.)

ARMY CORPS

Contact: Mr. Francis X. Kelly, Director, Office of Public Affairs, Attn: DAEN-PAP, Office of the Chief of Engineers, U.S. Army Corps of Engineers, 1000 Independence Avenue SW., Washington, D.C. 20314, (202) 692-6361.

Draft

West Coast Inland Waterway Maintenance Dredging, Florida, May 30: The proposed project provides for maintenance dredging of the 150 mile length of the Florida West Coast Inland Waterway between the Caloosahatchee and Anclote Rivers. The primary adverse effects include the loss of the benthic communities in the shoal material, increased turbidity and lower water quality in the vicinity of the cutterhead, and discolored appearance of the spoil area. All of these effects are foreseen as short-term (Jacksonville District). (ELR Order No. 50780.)

Mitigation of shore damage at Port Sanilac Harbor, Sanilac County, Mich., May 27: This project entails the mitigation of shore erosion in the vicinity of Port Sanilac Harbor by creation of an initial protective beach and a subsequent feeder beach. The disposition of sand for the project will cause a temporary increase in water turbidity at the operational sites and will affect the few bottom-dwelling organisms in the wave-washed shore area. The only other adverse effect will be temporary construction disruption. (ELR Order No. 50759.)

Wando and Cooper Rivers Marine Terminal, Charleston County, S.C., May 27: The proposed project entails construction on the Wando and Cooper Rivers of a wharf, two barge slip, and four docks; dredging a channel and turning basin; and disposal of dredged material in the Morris Island and Daniel Island disposal areas. Adverse environmental impacts are conversion of 210 acres of forest, 30 acres of marsh, open field, and Wando River bottom to an industrialized area and 87 acres to road right-of-way; preemption of disposal areas; increased air and noise pollution; disruption of benthos in dredged areas; increased ship traffic and congestion on the Cooper River bridges; and temporary construction impacts (Charleston District). (ELR Order No. 50757.)

Maintenance Dredging of Georgetown Harbor, Georgetown County, S.C., May 27: This project entails maintenance dredging of channels in Georgetown Harbor. Adverse impacts include destruction of some benthic organisms by the cutterhead, alteration of existing vegetation in disposal areas, increase in the local mosquito population, temporary increase in turbidity and siltation in dredge and disposal areas, and possible reduction in dissolved oxygen levels as a result of the dredge disturbing organic materials undergoing anaerobic decomposition (Charleston District). (ELR Order No. 50760.)

Brazos River Basin Natural Salt Pollution Control, Texas, May 27: This project entails the construction of three total impoundment dams and interconnecting pipelines to control major sources of salt pollution of the Brazos River and its tributaries. Adverse impacts include the permanent inundation of 4,600 acres of wildlife habitat, brine level fluctuations and wind-driven salt effects on 9,400 additional acres, relocation and loss of established ranch and farm units, and periodic inundation of 47 miles of tributary streambeds. (ELR Order No. 50751.)

Blair and Sitemum Waterways, Tacoma Harbor, Wash., May 27: The project would provide for the deepening of Blair Waterway and replacement of the East 11th Street Bridge

over Blair Waterway. The adverse impacts of this project would be loss of marine biota and wildlife inhabiting dredge and fill sites, displacement of occupants of two commercial buildings and three residences, changed appearance of disposal sites, temporary noise, air and water pollution during construction, and increased overland transportation costs during bridge construction. (ELR Order No. 50758.)

Final

Yazoo Headwater Project, Mississippi, May 29: The statement refers to a proposed flood control project for Ascalmore Creek and Tippecanoe Bayou. Project measures will include levee improvement; channel work on 17 miles of channel; and the construction of water control structures and a stoplog weir, Woodland, wetland, and stream habitat totalling 6,300 acres would be committed to the project, with a reduction in extent and quality of fish and wildlife habitat, timber resources, and aesthetic amenities (Vicksburg District). Comments made by: EPA, USDA, DOI, HEW, HUD, and State of Mississippi. (ELR Order No. 50775.)

DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

Contact: Dr. Sidney R. Galler, Deputy Assistant Secretary for Environmental Affairs, Department of Commerce, Washington, D.C. 20230, (202) 967-4335.

NATIONAL OCEANIC AND ATMOSPHERIC ADMINISTRATION

Draft

South Slough Estuarine Sanctuary, Coos Bay (8), Coos County, Oreg., May 30: The statement is a supplement to a final EIS filed with CEQ 21 June 1974. Proposed is an amendment to the grant awarded to the State of Oregon. The amendment would allow restricted timber harvest in certain areas within the sanctuary, increase the budget, and alter the method of releasing funds. The management policies would remove some of the fringe lands from the sanctuary boundaries and add some land to the sanctuary on the upstream portions of the Slough; this results in a net gain of 130 acres to be acquired for the sanctuary. (ELR Order No. 50785.)

DEPARTMENT OF INTERIOR

Contact: Mr. Bruce Blanchard, Director, Environmental Project Review, Room 7260, Department of the Interior, Washington, D.C. 20240, (202) 343-3891.

BUREAU OF RECLAMATION

Draft

Completion of Lyman Project, Wyoming, May 30: The proposed action is the construction of an earthfill dam on Smiths Fork to complete southwestern Wyoming's Lyman Project. The adverse effects of this project would include the direct loss of 300 acres of diverse wildlife habitat for numerous species, the inundation of about 173 acres of timber, the elimination of 1.8 miles of fishery habitat, an increase in evaporation loss of 25 acre-feet annually, short-term increases in sedimentation, esthetic degradation in the area, and possible minor earth slippouts in the dam embankment. (ELR Order No. 50767.)

Final

Klamath Straights Drain, Oregon and California, May 27: The statement refers to the proposed enlargement of the 10 mile long Klamath Straights Drain from 300 c.f.s. to a maximum 600 c.f.s. Two new pumping plants will be constructed each of 300 c.f.s. capacity. The enlargement is intended to improve the overall operation of the Lower Klamath National Wildlife Refuge, and also to benefit local irrigation projects

(107 pages). Comments made by: DOI, COE, HEW, USDA, AHP, EPA, and State and local agencies. (ELR Order No. 50763.)

BUREAU OF SPORTS FISHERIES AND WILDLIFE

Final

Proposed Rice Lake Wilderness Area, Aitkin and Mille Lacs Counties, Minn., May 29: The statement refers to the proposed legislative designation of 1,406 acres of the Rice Lake National Wildlife Refuge and 0.6 acre (two small islands) of the Mille Lacs National Wildlife Refuge as wilderness within the National Wilderness Preservation System. Some future management options will be removed (65 pages). Comments made by: USDA, DOT, DOI, and EPA. (ELR Order No. 50776.)

NATIONAL PARK SERVICE

Final

West Beach Unit, Indiana Dunes National Lakeshore, Porter County, Ind., May 27: Proposed is an integrated program of development, management and preservation for the West Beach Unit of the Indiana Dunes National Lakeshore at the southern tip of Lake Michigan. The action would result in increased recreational options for residents of the Calumet Region, and increased economic viability. Some wildlife habitation may be partially discouraged. Comments made by: AHP, USDA, DOC, DOI, DOT, EPA, GSA, and State agencies. (ELR Order No. 50754.)

ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY

Contact: Mr. Sheldon Meyers, Director, Office of Federal Activities, Room 3630, Waterside Mall, Washington, D.C. 20460, (202) 755-0940.

Final

Las Vegas Wash/Bay Drainage, Nevada, May 30: The statement refers to a project which is intended to eliminate existing secondary discharges from municipal sources in the Las Vegas Wash/Bay drainage area. The proposal includes a 90 mgd advanced waste treatment plant, a pilot desalination and pilot ground water discharge program of 1.0 mgd, and discharge to the Wash through a single outfall. There will be construction disruption due to the proposal, and secondary impacts in the form of increased population growth and urbanization. Comments made by: FPC, USDA, HEW, COE, and State and local agencies. (ELR Order No. 50784.)

GENERAL SERVICES ADMINISTRATION

Contact: Mr. Andrew E. Kauders, Executive Director of Environmental Affairs, General Services Administration, 18th and F Streets NW., Washington, D.C. 20405, (202) 343-4161.

Draft

Disposal of Portion, Camp Elliott, San Diego, San Diego County, Calif., May 27: The proposed disposal action consists of the public sale of approximately 280 acres comprising a portion of the former U.S. Naval Retraining Command, Camp Elliott. The statement assumes the land will be developed primarily for residential purposes. Some adverse effects would result from noise, emission pollutants due to increased traffic, increase in sewage, utilities, solid waste, and a change in the ecological balance involving development of a semi-arid bare land environment. (ELR Order No. 50765.)

Federal Office Building, Carbondale, Jackson County, Ill., May 27: The proposed action is the construction of a Federal Office Building containing approximately 20,000 square feet of office space for 108 employees. The adverse impacts include the possibility of a small, temporary overload on sewage facilities if the city's sewage system improves

are not completed as scheduled, and temporary construction disruption and inconvenience. (ELR Order No. 50755.)

Final

Federal Office Building, Anchorage, Alaska, May 30: The statement refers to the proposed construction of a Federal Office Building, Courthouse, and Parking Facility Complex in Anchorage. The facility would consolidate 23 federal agencies which are presently housed throughout the Greater Anchorage area. The complex will contain 462,000 sq. ft. of net useable space, and parking space for 725 vehicles. Adverse impact includes the displacement of individuals and businesses, and construction disruption (343 pages). Comments made by: AHP, EPA, HUD, SDA2, and State and local agencies. (ELR Order No. 50772.)

DEPARTMENT OF HUD

Contact: Mr. Richard H. Brown, Director, Office of Environmental Quality, Room 7258, 451 7th Street SW., Washington, D.C. 20410, (202) 755-6308.

Draft

Los Angeles Dam and Reservoir Project, Los Angeles County, Calif., May 27: The project consists of the construction of a dam and reservoir to restore the pre-earthquake dam capacity, including flood protection, of the Van Norman dams and reservoirs. Adverse effects include: vegetation removal, dust blanketing of vegetation, general disturbance and some destruction of wildlife, disturbance of "man-made" freshwater marsh, influx of noxious rodents, rodent migration into residential neighborhoods, and the possibility of fire. (ELR Order No. 50752.)

NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION

Contact: Mr. A. Giambusso, Director of Division of Reactor Licensing, P-722, NRC, Washington, D.C. 20555, (301) 492-7373.

Draft

Barnwell Fuel Receiving and Storage Station, Barnwell County, S.C., May 27: The proposed action is to issue a materials license authorizing Allied-General Nuclear Services to receive and handle fuel casks containing spent reactor fuel elements and to store spent reactor fuel at the Barnwell Nuclear Fuel Plant in the Barnwell Fuel Receiving and Storage Station. Construction of the facility will disturb about 15 acres of the 1700 acres of fallow-field vegetation and timberland. (ELR Order No. 50762.)

TENNESSEE VALLEY AUTHORITY

Contact: Dr. Peter Krenkel, Director of Environmental Planning, 720 Edney Building, Chattanooga, Tennessee 37401, (615) 755-2002.

Final

Hartsville Nuclear Plants, Smith and Trousdale Counties, Tenn., May 27: The statement refers to the proposed construction and operation of a 4-unit nuclear plant in Smith and Trousdale Counties, Tennessee. Adverse impacts include a slight increase in the temperature of water returned to the Cumberland River, destruction of fish larvae and plankton drawn into the closed cooling system, temporary turbidity, and, loss of some agricultural land. Comments made by: AHP, FPC, EPA, USDA, DOI, COE, HUD, DOC, and USCG. (ELR Order No. 50764.)

DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION

Contact: Mr. Martin Convisser, Director, Office of Environmental Affairs, 400 7th Street SW., Washington, D.C. 20590, (202) 426-4357.

FEDERAL HIGHWAY ADMINISTRATION

Draft

State Road 5 Bridge Replacements, Monroe County, Fla., May 27: This project involves the replacement of 37 deteriorating bridges, totalling approximately 17 miles in length, and construction of bridge approaches on SR 5 (US 1) in the Florida Keys between Key West and Tavernier (a distance of 87 miles). Adverse impacts include displacement of one residence and two businesses, minor disruption to marine habitat areas and temporary construction disruption (175 pages). (ELR Order No. 50753.)

NC 16, From Charlotte to NC 73, Mecklenburg, Gaston, and Lincoln Counties, N.C., May 30: The proposed action is an improvement in the NC 16 corridor consisting of a 4-lane road from Charlotte to NC 73, a distance of approximately 13.5 miles. Adverse environmental effects include the relocation of 9 to 20 families and 1 to 6 businesses; increased noise and air pollution during and after construction; minor siltation of Mountain Island Lake and Long Creek during construction; and loss of vegetation and yard space along the right-of-way. (ELR Order No. 50781.)

Final

1-5, Black Boulevard to Slate Creek, Shasta County, Calif., May 30: The project consists of reconstructing a 4-lane expressway with 4-foot median to a 65 MPH 4-lane expressway with 60-foot median from north of Black Boulevard to north of Slate Creek. Total length of the project is 5.7 miles. Approximately 200 acres of trees, brush and grass will be cleared. Four families and one business will be displaced. Comments made by: DOI, COE, USDA, EPA, HUD, and State agencies and concerned citizens. (ELR Order No. 50782.)

Nebraska Highway 11, Greeley and Howard Counties, Nebr., May 28: The proposed improvement involves the reconstruction of a 6.6 mile segment of Nebraska Highway 11 beginning approximately two miles north of the Village of Cotesfield, extending northwesterly to just south of the intersection of Nebraska Highways 11 and 22 approximately one mile west of the Village of Scotia. The improvement consists of some reconstruction and some resurfacing, curve modifications, drainage structures, a channel change, safety features and a new bridge over Davis Creek. The project will commit 44 acres of land to right-of-way. Comments made by: EPA, COE, DOI, and State agencies. (ELR Order No. 50786.)

URBAN MASS TRANSPORTATION ADMINISTRATION

Final

Railroad Connection, Philadelphia, Pa., May 30: Proposed is the granting of federal capital grant assistance to the City of Philadelphia, for the construction of 1.7 miles of combined tunnel and viaduct rail connection between the Reading and Penn Central commuter rail systems. Impact will include land acquisition, demolition of buildings, business and employee displacement, and construction disruption. Forty-one businesses will be displaced. Comments made by: EPA, HUD, DOI, USDA, and State and local agencies. (ELR Order No. 50778.)

COAST GUARD

Final

Destin Station, Santa Rosa Island, Okaloosa County, Fla., May 28: The statement refers to the proposed construction of a new 26-man U.S. Coast Guard Search and Rescue Station on the east end of Santa Rosa Island. The project will include a two story building, waterfront facilities, site work, and a dredged boat basin and channel. Adverse impact will result from construction activity, and will include some effects upon marine biota. Com-

ments made by: EPA, DOI, and State agencies. (ELR Order No. 50769.)

GARY L. WIDMAN,
General Counsel.

[FR Doc.75-14824 Filed 6-5-75;8:45 am]

FEDERAL MARITIME COMMISSION
WEST LINE, INC.

Order of Revocation

In the matter of Certificate of Financial Responsibility for indemnification of passengers for nonperformance of transportation No. P-80 and Certificate of Financial Responsibility to meet liability incurred for death or injury to passengers or other persons on voyages No. C-1,075.

Whereas, West Line, Inc. (c/o West-tours, Inc., 100 West Harrison Plaza, Seattle, Washington 98119) has ceased to operate the passenger vessel West Star (ex Cabo Izarra).

It is ordered, That Certificate (Performance) No. P-80 and Certificate (Casualty) No. C-1,075 issued to West Line, Inc. covering the Cabo Izarra and reissued to West Line, Inc. covering the West Star, be and are hereby revoked effective May 30, 1975.

It is further ordered, That a copy of this order be published in the FEDERAL REGISTER and served on the certificants.

By the Commission May 30, 1975.

FRANCIS C. HURNEY,
Secretary.

[FR Doc.75-14846 Filed 6-5-75;8:45 am]

FEDERAL POWER COMMISSION

[Docket Nos. RP64-9 (1971 Phase), RP65-21]

CITIES SERVICE GAS CO.

Order Approving Settlement Agreement
Subject to Condition and Denying Protest
and Petition To Intervene

MAY 30, 1975.

Cities Service Gas Company (Cities), on October 28, 1971, filed a motion for approval of a Stipulation and Agreement which provides for settlement of the refund issues in the above designated proceedings. These proceedings were initiated on July 24, 1970, by the filing of a petition by the Midwest Industrial and Commercial Gas Users Association (Midwest), a group of industrial and commercial customers served by Cities, requesting the Commission to issue an order (1) directing Pan American Petroleum Company, now Amoco Production Company (Amoco), to release and pay to Cities refunds in the amount of \$1,701,129.53, plus interest; and (2) directing Cities to flow-through such refunds to its jurisdictional customers in accordance with the principles enunciated in the Texas Eastern case. (39 FPC 630, Opinion No. 540, issued May 3, 1968). On August 21, 1970, Cities filed an answer in which it requested a hearing to enable it to demonstrate that it failed to earn a reasonable return during the applicable refund periods, and that it was therefore entitled to retain the refunds,

By order issued on March 24, 1971, in Docket No. RP64-9, the Commission set for hearing the issue of whether Cities was legally or equitably entitled to all or any part of the refunds being retained by Amoco. Amoco filed a petition to intervene in Docket No. RP64-9, which was denied by the Commission by order issued herein on June 28, 1971. An order denying rehearing was issued on August 25, 1971. On October 22, 1971, Amoco filed a motion requesting that the Commission stay all further proceedings herein pending judicial decision on its petition for review of those orders. The motion was granted by order issued December 7, 1971. The United States Court of Appeals for the 10th Circuit affirmed the Commission's orders of June 28, 1971, and August 25, 1971. (Amoco Production Company v. FPC, 465 P.2d 1350 (10th Cir. 1972).) No writ of certiorari having been sought, said orders are now final, and the settlement agreement is properly before us for consideration and decision.

Following the service of evidence by Cities, the Commission's staff, and Midwest, conferences were held on October 5 and 7, 1971, to explore the possibilities of settlement. The scope of the conference was expanded to include refunds due Cities from producers other than Amoco which were also being retained by the producers as a result of prior Commission orders. These additional refunds are incorporated as Docket No. RP65-21. The proposed settlement agreement here under consideration is the result of those conferences. The settlement is not opposed by any party.¹ Appendix A hereto sets forth the producers retaining refunds, the applicable FPC dockets, dates of the applicable producer refund orders, and the amounts being retained. Appendix B shows producer refunds previously received and retained by Cities Service. Appendix C shows jurisdictional and non-jurisdictional refunds for each of the refund periods involved in these dockets. All of the sales covered by the settlement are in the Commission-designated Hugoton-Anadarko or Other Southwest areas. The refunds at issue, however, result from company-wide producer rate settlements and not from the establishment by the Commission of area rates for these areas.

The principal terms and conditions of the Stipulation and Agreement are as follows:

ARTICLE I

The order approving the Agreement shall direct each of the producers involved to release and pay to Cities Service, within 30 days after the issuance of said order, the amounts retained by each producer, with interest, or earnings under escrow agreements, as provided by prior orders of the Commission.

¹ The City Group Gas Defense Association, comprising a number of municipalities served by Cities, does not concur in the settlement, but by virtue of the disclaimers set forth in Article IV thereof, does not oppose the settlement.

ARTICLE II

(1) Within 10 days after receipt by Cities Service of at least \$400,000 in refunds from the producers, Cities Service shall refund to its jurisdictional customers 12½ percent of that amount. Cities Service shall make final payment to such customers within 10 days after receipt of final payment from the producers. Cities Service shall not be required to make total refunds in excess of \$334,000. Each payment by Cities Service shall be distributed among its jurisdictional customers in proportion to the volumes of gas purchased from Cities Service during the period December 23, 1962 through December 22, 1965.

(2) Cities Service's payments to its jurisdictional customers shall be in full settlement of all claims with respect to the flow-through of producer refunds and related interest to be received by Cities Service in the proceedings listed in Appendices A and B of the Agreement.

(3) Concurrently with each payment, Cities Service shall file with the Commission a report setting forth the data and computations supporting the distribution of each payment and shall serve a copy of such report upon each of its jurisdictional customers and interested state commissions.

ARTICLE III

The Agreement shall become effective and binding upon approval, without modification, by final and nonappealable order or orders of the Commission which (a) direct the payment by the producers of the retained amounts, with interest to Cities Service; and (b) terminate the proceedings in Docket Nos. RP64-9 and RP65-21.

ARTICLE IV

Approval of the settlement shall not be deemed as approval or acceptance by the Commission or any party of any ratemaking principle, and no party waives any claim or right as to matters not expressly provided for in the settlement.

Notice of the filing of the proposed settlement agreement was issued on November 3, 1971, and was published in the FEDERAL REGISTER on November 6, 1971 (36 FR 21377). Amoco, on November 10, 1971, filed a protest and objection to the proposed settlement, and a petition for leave to intervene. Amoco's protest amounts to nothing more than a restatement of its claim that gas producers, notably Amoco, should not be required to make refunds at issue in these proceedings, and should instead be permitted to retain such refunds for investment in the search for new gas supplies. Amoco's arguments are precisely the same as those raised by its previous petition to intervene and motion for stay. These arguments have been considered and rejected by the aforementioned orders of June 28, 1971, and August 25, 1975. The issue of producer refunds is foreclosed. The producers must disburse their refunds. The only issue in this proceeding is as to the disposition of the refunds following disbursement by the producers. Accordingly, Amoco's protest and petition to intervene will be denied.

As a preliminary matter to considering the merits of the settlement terms and provisions, we have determined that the settlement should be judged according to the policies and standards of the Commission prevailing at the time the

settlement was negotiated and filed. During this period the Commission's policy on the refund flow-through obligations of pipeline purchasers was governed by Texas Eastern, supra. Under this case pipelines were permitted, under certain specified conditions which are met by Cities Service herein, to show equitable entitlement to producer refunds, provided the pipeline could demonstrate it earned less than a just and reasonable return during the refund period.

On March 17, 1975, we issued in Docket No. AR64-1, et al., an order directing the disbursement and flow-through of all refunds resulting from the establishment of area rates applicable to gas produced in the Hugoton-Anadarko area. This order represented a renunciation of the Texas Eastern equitable entitlement doctrine. We believe it would be inequitable and unreasonable to apply retroactively to the present settlement the new policy announced on March 17, and we will therefore apply instead the policy and standards set forth in Texas Eastern.

The heart of the settlement proposal before us is the provision for flow-through by Cities Service of 12½ percent of the producer refunds up to a maximum refund of \$334,000. The fundamental issue is whether this flow-through formula is reasonable and in the public interest. We find that the 12½ percent flow-through rate is reasonable, but that the refund ceiling is unreasonable.

It should be noted initially, that Cities is required under existing refund obligations, to flow-through all refunds applicable to the years 1965, 1966 and 1967. Moreover, the amounts applicable to the further periods May through December, 1964, and January through May, 1969 are *de minimis*. (See Appendix C hereto, col. (d), lines 6 and 11). The issue of equitable entitlement, therefore, involves primarily the refunds applicable to the periods 1961, 1962, 1963 and January through April, 1964. These periods account for approximately 98 percent of total jurisdictional refunds at issue in these dockets.

Studies prepared by our staff show that during these periods, Cities' return on jurisdictional sales exceeded or fell short of its Commission-approved rate of return as follows:

Year	Excess or deficiency	Jurisdictional earnings compared to allowed return
1961.....	Deficiency..	1(\$651,825)
1962.....	Excess.....	\$1,009,376
1963.....	Deficiency..	\$1,481,285
January through April 1964.....	do.....	\$1,730,555

* Classification and allocation of costs, and rate of return of 6.25 percent as set forth in Commission order of Mar. 27, 1961, in docket No. G-18799.

* Classification and allocation of costs, and rate of return of 6.33 percent as set forth in Commission order of Dec. 22, 1961, docket No. RP62-1.

* Based on 12 m ended Apr. 1964. Deficiency prorated for months January through April 1964.

Note.—The net deficiency for the above periods amounts to \$1,864,289.

For purposes of evaluating the reasonableness of the settlement refund flow-

through formula, it is necessary to establish an independent standard by which the settlement refunds can be judged. For this purpose we will assume that all post-April, 1964 jurisdictional refunds must be flowed through by Cities in their entirety, and in addition, all jurisdictional refunds in excess of the \$1,864,289 deficiency for periods 1961 through April 1964. Post-April, 1964, jurisdictional refunds total \$50,031. (Appendix C, col. (d), line 12). Total jurisdictional refunds applicable to periods 1961 through April 1964 amount to \$2,042,430 (Appendix C, col. (d), line 5). The latter figure is \$178,141 greater than the net deficiency of \$1,864,289. Under this method of computation, therefore, jurisdictional refunds required to be flowed-through would total \$228,172. (\$178,141 plus \$50,031).

Refunds to be flow-through by Cities under the settlement agreement will total \$271,473, representing 12½ percent of the total refunds of \$2,171,784. (Appendix C, col. (e), line 13). Refunds to be flowed through under the settlement, therefore, will be greater than the \$228,172 of refunds previously determined for comparison purposes. The above refund figures do not include interest or escrow earnings accruing subsequent to the respective producer refund orders. However, under the settlement, Cities would be required to flow-through 12½ percent of all such accruals up to the maximum refund of \$334,000.

The record does not disclose the basis for the refund ceiling of \$334,000. It appears a somewhat arbitrary and unnecessary limitation. This limitation comes into play when total refunds, including accruals, reach \$2,672,000. Cities' jurisdictional customers will not receive any portion of refunds in excess of this amount.

There can be little doubt that the refund ceiling of \$334,000 was not unreasonable at the time the settlement was submitted, for the reason that accrued interest and escrow earnings were not great enough, when added to the basic refund amounts, to cause the limitation to come into play to any significant degree. At the present time, however, the total amount of refunds due Cities in these dockets, including accruals, is approximately \$3.2 million. The refund limitation would therefore operate to deprive Cities' customers of over \$60,000 of refunds which they would otherwise receive but for the limitation (\$3,200,000 less \$2,672,000 × 12½ percent).

We must acknowledge the fact that the settlement refund limitation, to the extent it is unreasonable, has been made so in large part by the long pendency of the settlement agreement before the Commission, during which interest and escrow earnings continued to accumulate. The refund ceiling, however, operates arbitrarily under these circumstances by allowing Cities to receive proportionately more of the interest and escrow accruals, and Cities' customers proportionately less. Indeed all accruals

in excess of a total amount of \$2,672,000 would go solely to Cities.

After giving the matter careful consideration, we believe it is necessary to require that both Cities and its customers be permitted to share proportionately in the interest and escrow earnings which have accrued to date. Neither Cities or its customers are in any way at fault in the matter, and we can perceive no reason why the accruals should not be shared in the same proportion as the refund amounts. Accordingly, we shall condition our approval of the settlement to require that the refund limitation of \$334,000 be eliminated.

Based on the foregoing, and upon a review of the entire record in this proceeding, we find that the proposed settlement represents a reasonable resolution of the issues in this proceeding in the public interest, and the settlement will therefore be approved and adopted, subject to the condition previously discussed.

The Commission finds:

(1) The settlement of these proceedings on the basis of the Stipulation and Agreement filed on October 28, 1971, is reasonable and proper and in the public interest in carrying out the provisions of the Natural Gas Act and should be approved and made effective as hereinafter ordered.

(2) For the reasons stated herein, the protest and petition for leave to intervene of Amoco should be denied.

The Commission orders:

(A) The Stipulation and Agreement filed in these proceedings on October 28, 1971, is incorporated by reference and made a part of this order, and is hereby approved and adopted.

(B) The approval contained in paragraph (A) above is conditioned upon the elimination of the proposed refund ceiling of \$334,000 from Section 1 of Article II of the settlement agreement.

(C) Within 30 days from the date of issuance of this order, the producers listed in Appendix A hereto shall pay to Cities Service all of the refunds due, plus interest thereon, pursuant to the orders set out in Appendix A.

(D) Concurrently with making the refunds required in paragraph (C) above, each producer shall file a report with the Commission showing the total amount of the refunds made in such a manner that the principal and interest components may be ascertained.

(E) Cities Service shall flow-through to its jurisdictional customers all refunds required by the Stipulation and Agreement hereby approved, and shall file reports thereon with the Commission and serve a copy of such reports upon each jurisdictional customer and each interested state commission as provided in the Stipulation and Agreement.

(F) Upon compliance with the refund requirement by Cities Service contained in paragraph (E) above and acceptance

of the reports thereon by the Commission, Docket Nos. RP64-9 and RP65-21 shall be deemed terminated.

(G) The protest and petition for leave to intervene filed by Amoco are denied.

(H) The Secretary shall cause prompt

publication of this order in the FEDERAL REGISTER.

By the Commission.

[SEAL]

KENNETH F. PLUMB,
Secretary.

APPENDIX A.—Producer refunds due Cities Service Gas Co. being retained pending further Commission order

Line No.	Producer (1)	Docket Nos. (2)	Dates of Commission orders (3)	Total amount retained ¹ (4)
1	Amoco Production Co. (successor to Pan American Petroleum Corp.)	G-9279, et al.	Apr. 13, 1966; Sept. 11, 1967	\$1,701,129.53
2	Atlantic Richfield Co. (successor to the Atlantic Refining Co.)	G-9283, et al.	Oct. 8, 1964; May 24, 1966	11,892.10
3	Cities Service Oil Co.	RI63-485, et al.	June 3, Aug. 25, Dec. 30, 1965; May 31, 1966	171,279.07
4	Cities Service Oil Co. (successor to Columbian Fuel Corp.)	G-14101, et al.	Dec. 8, 1965; Jan. 25, 1966	20,145.83
5	Graham-Michell Drilling Co. (operator), et al.	RI65-427 and RI65-428	Dec. 1, 1966	5,794.94
6	W. L. Hartman	RI63-15	May 25, 1967	1,524.46
7	Humble Oil & Refining Co.	G-9287, et al.	July 8, Aug. 7, Sept. 29, 1964; Jan. 5, Apr. 21, 1965	9,557.91
8	Kansas Natural Gas, Inc.	RI63-71	Mar. 10, 1967	57,107.24
9	Kerr-McGee Industries, Inc.	G-20479, et al.	June 3, Aug. 25, Dec. 30, 1965; May 31, 1966	5,080.34
10	Northern Pump Company (operator), et al.	RI63-9, et al.	Oct. 31, 1966; July 26, 1967	38,248.70
11	Petroleum, Inc. (operator), et al.	RI63-391	Mar. 25, 1969	25,928.00
12	Sun Oil Co.	G-8288, et al.	Oct. 1, 1964; June 23, 1966	25,373.44
13	Sun Oil Co. (DX division) (successor to Sunray DX Oil Co.)	G-6822, et al.	Jan. 29, 1965; May 25, 1966	33,830.54
14	Warren Petroleum Corp. (operator)	G-20478, et al.	June 3, Aug. 25, Dec. 30, 1965; May 31, 1966	52,961.24

¹ Includes principal and interest as of the date of the applicable producer rate orders.

APPENDIX B.—Producer refunds received and retained by Cities Service Gas Co. pending further Commission order

Line No.	Producer (1)	Dockets Nos. (2)	Dates of Commission orders (3)	Refund received ¹ (4)
1	Cities Service Oil Co.	G-20302, et al.	Dec. 8, 1965; Jan. 25, 1966	\$416.84
2	Gulf Oil Corp. (successor to the British-American Oil Producing Co.)	G-10615, et al.	Dec. 28, 1966; Feb. 16, 1967	1,393.34
3	W. C. McBride, Inc., et al.	G-16890, et al.	Mar. 4, 1966	599.87

¹ The refund received includes both principal and interest.

APPENDIX C.—Cities Service Gas Co. dockets Nos. RP64-9 (1971 phase) and RP65-21 refunds including interest to dates of applicable producer refund orders¹

Line No.	Year or period (a)	Nonjurisdictional (c)	Jurisdictional (d)	Total (e)
REFUND PERIODS 1961 THROUGH APRIL 1964				
1	1961	\$14,509	\$199,968	\$214,477
2	1962	5,295	52,898	58,193
3	1963	19,564	1,218,512	1,238,076
4	January through April 1964	11,852	571,082	582,934
5	Total	51,220	2,042,460	2,093,680
REFUND PERIODS MAY 1964 THROUGH MAY 1969				
6	May through December 1964	\$7,734	\$12,061	\$20,795
7	1965	7,014	12,741	19,755
8	1966	9,332	16,961	26,293
9	1967	2,406	4,407	6,813
10	1968	1,271	2,500	3,771
11	January through May 1969	346	761	1,107
12	Total	28,103	50,091	78,194
13	Grand total	79,323	2,092,461	2,171,784

¹ Does not include additional interest accruing at the rate specified in each producer order, from the date the producers' refund liability was established to the date the refunds are made.

[FR Doc.75-14710 Filed 6-5-75;8:45 am]

NATIONAL POWER SURVEY TECHNICAL ADVISORY COMMITTEE ON IMPACT OF INADEQUATE ELECTRIC POWER SUPPLY

Meeting Cancellation

The meeting of the National Power Survey Technical Advisory Committee on the Impact of Inadequate Electric Power Supply, previously announced to be held on June 10, 1975, is canceled. The meeting will be rescheduled and the new meeting date announced.

KENNETH F. PLUMB,
Secretary.

[FR Doc. 75-15011 Filed 6-5-75; 9:27 am]

GENERAL SERVICES ADMINISTRATION

VOLUNTARY AGREEMENT AND PROGRAM; INTERNATIONAL ENERGY PROGRAM

Request to Oil Companies for Participation and Company Acceptances

Pursuant to section 708 of the Defense Production Act of 1950, as amended, a request, substantially as set forth below, was made by the Administrator of General Services to certain oil companies proposed by the Administrator, Federal Energy Administration, for participation in the Voluntary Agreement and Program Relating to the International Energy Program. The Agreement, as approved by the Administrator of General Services, was published in 40 FR 16041 on April 8, 1975. Also published at that time was a letter from the Administrator of the Federal Energy Administration recommending approval of the Agreement, a letter from the Attorney General to the Administrator of General Services approving the Agreement and certain proposed participants, and a letter from the Administrator of General Services to the Administrator of the Federal Energy Administration forwarding to him the approved Agreement. A correction to the notice of April 8 appeared in 40 FR 16718 on April 14, 1975.

Subsequent to the Attorney General's letter of March 23, 1975 (referred to above), the Attorney General by letter of April 3, 1975 (Appendix A) to the Administrator of General Services approved the proposed participation in the Agreement by an additional group of companies in the same manner and for the same reasons as set forth in his letter of March 23, 1975.

TEXT OF REQUEST BY ADMINISTRATOR OF GENERAL SERVICES TO OIL COMPANIES

Pursuant to section 708(b) of the Defense Production Act and the authority vested in me by section 501 of Executive Order 10480 and section 3 of Executive Order 11725, I am requesting you and the affiliates designated by you to enter into the Voluntary Agreement and Program Relating to the International Energy Program which I have approved pursuant to section 708(a) of the Defense Production Act and the aforesaid executive orders, and to undertake the actions contemplated therein. I find such participation and action to be in the public interest as contributing to the national defense of the United States for the reasons set out in section 1 of the Agreement.

Ten days prior notice of this request has been given to the Chairman of the Federal Trade Commission and the Attorney General, and the Attorney General has approved the making of this request. A copy of the Attorney General's letter approving this request and indicating that such request is sufficient to provide the immunity provided by section 708 is enclosed.

You may accept this request and become a participant in this Agreement by advising me and the Administrator of the Federal Energy Administration in writing of such acceptance. This request and its acceptance will be published in the FEDERAL REGISTER.

As confirmed by the Attorney General's letter, this request and its acceptance will be effective for the purpose of granting immunity from the antitrust laws and the Federal Trade Commission Act of the United States with respect to such acts and omissions to act by your company and designated affiliates within the scope of the Voluntary Agreement. Your attention, however, is invited to the Attorney General's limitation to a period of thirty days of his approval with respect to coverage of affiliates. In this regard, the Administrator of the Federal Energy Administration, as sponsor of the Agreement, will carry out the procedures described in the Attorney General's letter. The affiliates, if any, designated by you and hereby addressed in this request are as in the Attachment hereto.

ACCEPTANCES BY COMPANIES AND DESIGNATED AFFILIATES

The responses from fifteen companies to the above request were published in 40 FR 18509 on April 28, 1975. Since then, the request to participate has been accepted by the companies and designated affiliates on the dates listed below.

Atlantic Richfield Company (May 7, 1975).
515 South Flower Street, Los Angeles, California 90071.

Occidental Petroleum Corporation¹ (April 23, 1975). 10889 Wilshire Boulevard, Los Angeles, California 90024.

Phillips Petroleum Company² (April 30, 1975). Bartlesville, Oklahoma 74004.

Standard Oil Company of Ohio³ (April 24, 1975). 1750 Midland Building, Cleveland, Ohio 44115.

Dated: May 30, 1975.

LESLIE W. BRAY JR.,

Director, Office of Preparedness,
General Services Administration.

¹ Occidental Oil and Gas Corporation; Occidental Crude Sales Incorporated; Occidental International Oil Incorporated; Concord Petroleum Corporation; Permian Trading Corporation; The Permian Corporation.

² Alyeska Pipeline Service Company; Bridge-stone Multina Shipping Company; Cochise Refineries Limited; Colonial Pipeline Company; Dixi Pipeline Company; Everglades Pipe Line Company; Explorer Pipeline Company; Iranian Marine International Oil Company (IMINOCO); Kaw Pipe Line Company; Multina, Incorporated; Multina Shipping Company; Multinational Gas and Petrochemical Company; Multinational Gas and Petrochemical Services Limited; Norse Pipeline Limited; Pacific Petroleum Limited; Petrochim; Phillips-Imperial Petroleum Limited; Philmac Oils; Philmac Oils Limited; Philmac Transport; Powder River Corporation; Seadock, Incorporated; Seaway Pipeline, Incorporated; Western Desert Operating Petroleum Company (WEPCO); Phillips Petroleum Company (Registrant); Cardinal Drilling Corporation; Cardinal Petroleum Company

APPENDIX A

LETTER OF THE ATTORNEY GENERAL TO THE ADMINISTRATOR OF GENERAL SERVICES

APRIL 3, 1975.

This is in response to your letter of March 26, 1975, enclosing a third list of oil companies whose participation in the Voluntary Agreement and Program in aid of the International Energy Program is to be requested. This list, furnished you by the Federal Energy Administration, arrived here too late for consideration in my letter of March 28, 1975, which approved the Agreement and earlier lists of participating companies.

After due consideration I hereby approve, in the same manner and for the same reasons as in that letter, a request addressed to the attached list of companies to enter and participate in the Agreement. Thus, I unconditionally approve the proposed requests to the parent companies, whose names are underscored in the attached list. As for their designated affiliates as shown, I approve the requests for a period of thirty days from the date of this letter.

Acceptance of your request to act will convey the full antitrust immunity to the parent. It will further provide full antitrust immunity to all designated affiliates for a period of thirty days. This period will allow the Federal Energy Administration to re-investigate each such designated affiliate and furnish me with respect to each such affiliate (1) written assurance that to the best of FEA's information and belief, there are substantial grounds to believe the affiliate's participation is reasonably necessary to operations under the Agreement as presently foreseen, and (2) information on the percentage of stock ownership or degree of effective con-

Spain; Petroleum Terminal Company; Phillips Chemical Company; Phillips Investment Company; Phillips Oil Company; Phillips Oil Company (Nigeria) Limited; Phillips Petroleum Canada Limited; Phillips Petroleum Caribbean Limited; Phillips Petroleum Company Abu Dhabi; Phillips Petroleum Company Argentina; Phillips Petroleum Company Asia; Phillips Petroleum Company Bolivia; Phillips Petroleum Company Colombia; Phillips Petroleum Company Congo; Phillips Petroleum Company Europe-Africa; Phillips Petroleum Company Far East; Phillips Petroleum Company Germany; Phillips Petroleum Company Ghana; Phillips Petroleum Company Guatemala; Phillips Petroleum Company Honduras; Phillips Petroleum Company Indonesia; Phillips Petroleum Company Iran; Phillips Petroleum Company Ireland; Phillips Petroleum Company Ivory Coast; Phillips Petroleum Company Latin America; Phillips Petroleum Company Morocco; Phillips Petroleum Company Netherlands; Phillips Petroleum Company Norway; Phillips Petroleum Company of the Americas; Phillips Petroleum Company Peru; Phillips Petroleum Company Philippines; Phillips Petroleum Company Portugal; Phillips Petroleum Company Saudi Arabia; Phillips Petroleum Company Southeast Asia; Phillips Petroleum Company Thailand; Phillips Petroleum Company Western Hemisphere; Phillips Petroleum Exploration U.K. Limited; Phillips Petroleum International Corporation; Phillips Petroleum International France; Phillips Petroleum International U.K. Limited; Phillips Petroleum Norsk, A/S; Phillips Petroleum Trading Limited; Phillips Pipe Line Company; Phillips Puerto Rico Core Incorporated; Philtankers Incorporated; Seamount Petroleum Limited; Seabridge Petroleum Limited; Seabridge Petroleum Limited; Venezol, C. A.; Wasatch Oil Corporation; Western Hemisphere Corporation.

³ Sohio Petroleum Company of Delaware; B.P. Oil Inc. of Ohio; Sohio Pipeline Company; Sohio Iran; Huron Librarian.

trol a parent holds over each designated affiliate. Following provision of the required information by the Federal Energy Administration, I will advise promptly which affiliates will then be approved by me.

[FR Doc.75-14837 Filed 6-5-75;8:45 am]

OFFICE OF MANAGEMENT AND BUDGET

CLEARANCE OF REPORTS

List of Requests

The following is a list of requests for clearance of reports intended for use in collecting information from the public received by the Office of Management and Budget on June 3, 1975 (44 U.S.C. 3509). The purpose of publishing this list in the FEDERAL REGISTER is to inform the public.

The list includes the title of each request received; the name of the agency sponsoring the proposed collection of information; the agency form number(s), if applicable; the frequency with which the information is proposed to be collected; the name of the reviewer or reviewing division within OMB, and an indication of who will be the respondents to the proposed collection.

Requests for extension which appear to raise no significant issues are to be approved after brief notice through this release.

Further information about the items on this daily list may be obtained from the Clearance Office, Office of Management and Budget Washington, D.C. 20503, (202-395-4529), or from the reviewer listed.

NEW FORMS

U.S. CIVIL SERVICE COMMISSION

IPA Grant Project Data Collection Form, single-time, State and local governments, executive development and labor relations, Caywood, D. P., 395-4704.

DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

Bureau of International Commerce, recommendations for Export Promotion Program, DIB-4049P, single-time manufacturers of scientific and laboratory instruments, Caywood, D. P., 395-3443.

DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH, EDUCATION, AND WELFARE

National Institutes of Health:

Alienation and Fertility Control in the Early Years of Marriage, NIH-CH-24, single-time, young couples married 1970-1975 in Toledo area, Hall, George, 395-4697.

Antecedents and Consequences of the Motivation for Fertility Control: A Cross-Cultural Study (Josefina Jayme Card), NIH-CH-23, single-time, individuals, Hall, George, 395-4697.

Individual and Couple Fertility Decisions as Related to Parity, Marital Stage, and Education: A Pilot Study, NIH-CH-25, single-time young couples in San Francisco Bay area, Hall, George, 395-4697.

Office of the Secretary, Preliminary Plan for Nutrition Evaluation, —, single-time, participants and controls for nutrition program, Human Resources Division, Reese, B. F., 395-3532.

Office of Education, Assessment of Community Adjustment Following Deinstitutionalization of Handicapped People, OE 9040-2, OE 9040-3, OE 9040-4, OE 9040-5, OE 9040-6, OE 9040-7, OE 9040-8, OE 9040-9, single-time, parent, guardians, former clients, Human Resources Division, Planchon, P., 395-3532.

REVISIONS

DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH, EDUCATION, AND WELFARE

Office of Education, Fiscal/Performance Reports—State Student Incentive Grant Program, OE 1288-1, semiannually, State agencies, Lowry, R. L., 395-3772.

DEPARTMENT OF LABOR

Manpower Administration, Win Reporting System, MA 5-95, monthly, Government agencies, Human Resources Division, Strasser, A., 395-3532.

EXTENSIONS

DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

Bureau of the Census, Survey of Business Uses of Statistical Information, S-199A, S-199B, single-time, sample of small and large business firms, Lowry, R. L., 395-3772.

PHILLIP D. LARSEN,
Budget and Management Officer.

[FR Doc.75-14959 Filed 6-5-75;8:45 am]

CLEARANCE OF REPORTS

List of Requests

The following is a list of requests for clearance of reports intended for use in collecting information from the public received by the Office of Management and Budget on June 2, 1975 (44 U.S.C. 3509). The purpose of publishing this list in the FEDERAL REGISTER is to inform the public.

The list includes the title of each request received; the name of the agency sponsoring the proposed collection of information; the agency form number(s), if applicable; the frequency with which the information is proposed to be collected; the name of the reviewer or reviewing division within OMB, and an indication of who will be the respondents to the proposed collection.

Requests for extension which appear to raise no significant issues are to be approved after brief notice through this release.

Further information about the items on this daily list may be obtained from the Clearance Office, Office of Management and Budget, Washington, D.C. 20503 (202-395-4529), or from the reviewer listed.

NEW FORMS

DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

Economic Development Administration, Transportation Users Questionnaire—South Dakota, ED-9008, single-time, businessmen in South Dakota, Strasser, A., Lowry, R. L., 395-3880.

National Bureau of Standards, Safety on Stairs: A Survey and Inventory, NBS-1031-A-F, single-time, residential occupants in Milwaukee, Wis., Sunderhauf, M. B., Ellett, C. A., 395-4911.

DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH, EDUCATION, AND WELFARE

Health Services Administration, Analysis of Potential Impact of NHI on Current Users of BCHS Projects and Their Families, single-time, BCHS project users, Human Resources Division, Hall, George, 395-3532.

Center for Disease Control, Behavioral and Neurological Evaluation of Workers Exposed to Industrial Solvents: Carbon Disulfide: Tetrachloroethylene (Perchloroethylene), NIOSH 0321, single-time, exposed workers in industry, Dick Eisinger, 395-4716.

Public Health Service, Supplemental Diabetes Survey—Health Program Reporting System, single-time, Government agencies, Dick Eisinger, 395-4716.

DEPARTMENT OF HOUSING AND URBAN DEVELOPMENT

New communities, New Communities Admin. Title I Community Development Block Grant Budget and Progress Report, monthly, new community developers, Community and Veterans Affairs Division, Lowry, R. L., 395-3532.

DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION

Federal Highway Administration, Signs and Markings for Low Volume Rural Roads Questionnaire, single-time, licensed drivers, Lowry, R. L., Gonzalez, M., 395-3772.

Federal Aviation Administration, 1975 General Aviation Activity Survey, 1800-38 OT, 1800-39 OT, 1800-40 OT, single-time, general aviation pilots, Strasser, A., 395-3880.

REVISIONS

VETERANS ADMINISTRATION

Compliance Report of Proprietary Institutions Apprenticeship Programs and on the Job Training Programs, VA 27-4274, annually, VA approved proprietary schools, Human Resources Division, Caywood, D. P., 395-3532.

DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

National Bureau of Standards, Dental Service Utilization Consumer Questionnaire, NBS 779, single-time, households covered by prepaid dental-care plans, Dick Eisinger, 395-4716.

EXTENSIONS

NATIONAL SCIENCE FOUNDATION

Application for Consideration of Waiver of the Two-Year Foreign Residence Requirement of the Exchange Visitor Program, NSF258, on occasion, institutions, Marsha Traynham, 395-4529.

DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH, EDUCATION, AND WELFARE

Office of Education:

Request for Deferment of Payment Because of Student Armed Forces, Peace Corps, or Vista Status, OE1132, on occasion, individuals, Marsha Traynham, 395-4529.

Loan Application—Title II Section 207, NDEA (Student Loan Funds), OE 1024, annually, institutions of higher education, Marsha Traynham, 395-4529.

Loan Agreement—Title II Section 207, NDEA (Student Loan), OE 1023, annually, institutions of higher education, Marsha Traynham, 395-4529.

Request for Partial Cancellation of loan * * * Postponement of Installment Payment, U.S. Cuban Student Loan Program, OE-1028, annually, student borrowers, Marsha Traynham, 395-4529.

PHILLIP D. LARSEN,
Budget and Management Officer.

[FR Doc.75-14960 Filed 6-5-75;8:45 am]

SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION

[Administrative Proceeding File No. 3-4678; File Nos. 2-35427 (22-5808), 2-40603 (22-6712)]

GUARDIAN MORTGAGE INVESTORS

Notice of Application and Opportunity for Hearing

MAY 30, 1975.

Notice is hereby given that Guardian Mortgage Investors (the "Trust") has filed an application under clause (ii) of section 310(b) (1) of the Trust Indenture Act of 1939 (the "Act") for a finding that the trusteeship of Chemical Bank of New York ("Chemical Bank") under two indentures heretofore qualified under the Act, is not so likely to involve a material conflict of interest as to make it necessary in the public interest or for the protection of investors to disqualify Chemical Bank from acting as Trustee under any such indenture.

Section 310(b) of the Act provides in part that if a trustee under an indenture qualified under the Act has or shall acquire any conflicting interest it shall within ninety days after ascertaining that it has such conflicting interest, either eliminate such conflicting interest or resign. Subsection (1) of such section provides, in effect, with certain exceptions that a trustee under a qualified indenture shall be deemed to have a conflicting interest if such trustee is trustee under another indenture under which any other securities of the same issuer are outstanding. However, under clause (ii) of subsection (1), there may be excluded from the operation of this provision another indenture under which other securities of the issuer are outstanding, if the issuer shall have sustained the burden of proving, on application to the Commission and after opportunity for hearing thereon, that trusteeship under such qualified indenture and such other indenture is not so likely to involve a material conflict of interest as to make it necessary in the public interest or for the protection of investors to disqualify such trustee from acting as trustee under either of such indentures.

In support of its application the Trust has stated:

1. The Trust was organized under the laws of the Commonwealth of Massachusetts pursuant to a Declaration of Trust dated March 29, 1966.

2. The Trust has issued \$15,000,000 of 8% Convertible Subordinated Debentures Due March 1, 1985 (the "8% Debentures"), pursuant to a registration statement on Form S-11 (Reg. No. 2-35427) and pursuant to an Indenture between the Trust and The Chase Manhattan Bank (National Association) ("Chase"), Indenture Trustee, dated as of March 1, 1970 (File No. 22-5808) (the "8% Indenture"); and the Trust has issued \$30,000,000 of 6 3/4% Subordinated Debentures Due July 15, 1986 (the "6 3/4% Debentures"), pursuant to a registration statement on Form S-11 (Reg. No. 2-40603) and pursuant to an Indenture

between the Trust and First National City Bank ("FNCB"), Indenture Trustee, dated as of July 15, 1971 (File No. 22-6712) (the "6 3/4% Indenture").

3. On January 24, 1975, FNCB gave notice to the Trust pursuant to Section 8.10 of the 6 3/4% Indenture of its intent to resign as indenture trustee under the 6 3/4% Indenture, and on March 17, 1975, Chase gave notice to the Trust pursuant to § 8.10 of the 8% Indenture of its intent to resign as indenture trustee under the 8% Indenture.

4. On April 30, 1975, the Trust accepted the resignation of FNCB as indenture trustee and appointed Chemical Bank as successor indenture trustee under the 6 3/4% Indenture.

5. The Trust has agreed to accept the resignation of Chase as indenture trustee and to appoint Chemical Bank successor indenture trustee under the 8% Indenture, and Chemical Bank has agreed to accept such appointment upon the granting by the Securities and Exchange Commission of the order applied for in this Application.

6. Section 13.01 of the 5 3/4% Indenture states that "the indebtedness evidenced by the [6 3/4%] Debentures shall be, in right of payment, of equal rank . . . with the indebtedness evidenced by the 8% Convertible Subordinated Debentures Due March 1, 1985 of the Trust issued pursuant to an Indenture dated as of March 1, 1970, between the Trust and the Chase Manhattan Bank (National Association)."

7. The 6 3/4% Indenture and the 8% Indenture contain substantially the same provisions. The only substantive differences between the indentures relate to the following:

- (a) Interest rates;
- (b) Interest payment dates;
- (c) Maturity dates;
- (d) Sinking fund provisions;
- (e) Redemption provisions; and
- (f) Convertibility.

8. The 6 3/4% percent Indenture and the 8 percent Indenture and the respective debentures issued thereunder are unsecured.

9. The Trust is not in default under either of the indentures.

10. All differences that exist between the two indentures are not so likely to involve a material conflict of interest as to make it necessary in the public interest or for the protection of investors to disqualify Chemical Bank from acting as indenture trustee under both of the indentures.

The Trust has waived notice of hearing, hearing, and any and all rights to specify procedures under the rules of practice of the Securities and Exchange Commission in connection with this matter.

For a more detailed statement of the matters of fact and law asserted, all persons are referred to said application, which is a public document on file in the Office of the Commission at 1100 L Street, NW., Washington, D.C. 20549.

Notice is further given that any interested person may, not later than June 27, 1975, request in writing that a hearing

be held on such matter, stating the nature of his interest, the reasons for such request, and the issues of fact or law raised by said application which he desires to controvert, or he may request that he be notified if the Commission should order a hearing thereon. Any such request should be addressed: Secretary, Securities and Exchange Commission, Washington, D.C. 20549. At any time after said date, the Commission may issue an order granting the application, upon such terms and conditions as the Commission may deem necessary or appropriate in the public interest and the interest of investors, unless a hearing is ordered by the Commission.

For the Commission, by the Division of Corporation Finance, pursuant to delegated authority.

[SEAL] GEORGE A. FITZSIMMONS,
Secretary.

[FR Doc. 75-14825 Filed 6-5-75; 8:45 am]

[File No. 500-1]

ROYAL PROPERTIES INC.

Suspension of Trading

MAY 30, 1975.

It appearing to the Securities and Exchange Commission that the summary suspension of trading in the common stock of Royal Properties Inc., being traded otherwise than on a national securities exchange is required in the public interest and for the protection of investors;

Therefore, pursuant to section 15(c) (5) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, trading in such securities otherwise than on a national securities exchange is suspended, for the period from June 2, 1975 through June 11, 1975.

By the Commission.

[SEAL] GEORGE A. FITZSIMMONS,
Secretary.

[FR Doc. 75-14826 Filed 6-5-75; 8:45 am]

[Rel. No. 19012; 37-59]

SOUTHERN SERVICES, INC.

Post-Effective Amendment Regarding Issue and Sale of Long-Term Notes to Holding Company by Subsidiary Service Company

MAY 30, 1975.

Notice is hereby given that Southern Services, Inc., Perimeter Center East, Atlanta, Georgia 30346 ("Services"), a wholly-owned subsidiary service company of The Southern Co. ("Southern"), a registered holding company, has filed with this Commission a post-effective amendment to the application-declaration in this proceeding pursuant to sections 6(a), 7, and 13 of the Public Utility Holding Company Act of 1935 ("Act") regarding the following proposed transactions. All interested persons are referred to the application-declaration, as amended by the post-effective amendment, which is summarized below, for a complete statement of the proposed transactions.

By orders in this proceeding dated July 23, 1963, September 10, 1971, and May 15, 1973 (HCAR Nos. 14913, 17261, and 17961), the Commission, among other things, authorized Services to issue and sell its long-term unsecured notes to Southern for cash, during a period extending until June 30, 1975, of up to \$18,275,000 aggregate principal amount to be outstanding at any one time, such notes bearing interest at the prime rate in effect at an Atlanta, Georgia, bank. Services now proposes that the period for issuing said notes to Southern be extended until June 30, 1978.

Services' presently outstanding capital stock amounts to \$725,000, all held by Southern, and its unsecured notes to Southern in an aggregate principal amount of \$12,000,000 were issued and outstanding on March 31, 1975.

Services performs professional and technical services at cost for associate companies in the Southern holding-company system. Expansion of said services in recent years has resulted in a substantial increase in the amount of necessary working capital, and further expansion is anticipated in general services, engineering, power pool operations, and data processing.

In the current filing, Services states that its working capital requirements will increase from approximately \$13,500,000 in 1975 to approximately \$19,000,000 by June 30, 1978. Underlying these working capital estimates is Services' expectation that by the end of 1977, its personnel required to provide the aforementioned expanded services will increase over the totals at December 31, 1974, as follows: General services, from 270 to 321; engineering, from 1,023 to 1,669; power pool, from 102 to 121; and data processing, from 406 to 514.

The unsecured notes to be issued to Southern will mature December 31, 1999, and will be prepayable at any time without premium. Southern will acquire said notes at the principal amount thereof. The notes are to bear interest at a rate equal to the average effective interest cost of Southern's outstanding obligations for borrowed money on the date of issue, or, to the extent that the aggregate principal amount of Services' outstanding notes to Southern exceed the aggregate principal amount of Southern's outstanding obligations for borrowed money, such excess principal amount of notes shall bear interest at a rate equal to the weighted average rate of return on common equity most recently allowed the four operating subsidiaries by their respective public service commissions which have retail rate jurisdiction, but in any event at a rate not less than 125 percent of the prime rate in effect on the date of issue thereof at such bank in Atlanta, Georgia, as shall have been designated by Services for that purpose.

No State commission and no Federal commission, other than this Commission, has jurisdiction over the proposed transactions.

Notice is further given that any interested person may, not later than June 24,

1975, request in writing that a hearing be held on such matter, stating the nature of his interest, the reasons for such request, and the issues of fact or law raised by said post-effective amendment to the application-declaration which he desires to controvert; or he may request that he be notified if the Commission should order a hearing thereon. Any such request should be addressed: Secretary, Securities and Exchange Commission, Washington, D.C. 20549. A copy of such request should be served personally or by mail (air mail if the person being served is located more than 500 miles from the point of mailing) upon the applicant-declarant at the above-stated address, and proof of service (by affidavit or, in case of an attorney at law, by certificate) should be filed with the request. At any time after said date, the application-declaration, as now amended or as it may be further amended, may be granted and permitted to become effective as provided in rules 20(a) and 100 thereof or take such other action as it may deem appropriate. Persons who request a hearing or advice as to whether a hearing is ordered will receive any notices and orders issued in this matter, including the date of the hearing (if ordered) and any postponements thereof.

For the Commission, by the Division of Corporate Regulation, pursuant to delegated authority.

[SEAL] GEORGE A. FITZSIMMONS,
Secretary.

[FR Doc.75-14827 Filed 6-5-75; 8:45 am]

[File No. 500-1]

TOTH ALUMINUM CO.

Suspension of Trading

MAY 29, 1975.

It appearing to the Securities and Exchange Commission that the summary suspension of trading in the common stock of Toth Aluminum Co., being traded otherwise than on a national securities exchange is required in the public interest and for the protection of investors;

Therefore, pursuant to section 15(c) (5) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, trading in such securities otherwise than on a national securities exchange is suspended, for the period from 10 a.m. (e.d.t.) on May 29, 1975 through midnight (e.d.t.) on June 7, 1975.

By the Commission.

[SEAL] GEORGE A. FITZSIMMONS,
Secretary.

[FR Doc.75-14828 Filed 6-5-75; 8:45 am]

[File No. 500-1]

WINNER INDUSTRIES, INC.

Suspension of Trading

MAY 30, 1975.

It appearing to the Securities and Exchange Commission that the summary suspension of trading in the common

stock of Winner Industries, Inc. being traded otherwise than on a national securities exchange is required in the public interest and for the protection of investors;

Therefore, pursuant to section 15(c) (5) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, trading in such securities otherwise than on a national securities exchange is suspended, for the period from June 2, 1975 through June 11, 1975.

By the Commission.

[SEAL] GEORGE A. FITZSIMMONS,
Secretary.

[FR Doc.75-14829 Filed 6-5-75; 8:45 am]

[Rel. No. 19020; 70-5637]

APPALACHIAN POWER CO.

Proposed Issue and Sale of Notes to Banks and Dealer in Commercial Paper; Request for Exception From Competitive Bidding

MAY 30, 1975.

Notice is hereby given that Appalachian Power Company ("Appalachian"), 40 Franklin Road, Roanoke, Va. 24009, an electric utility subsidiary company of American Electric Power Company, Inc., a registered holding company, has filed an application with this Commission pursuant to the Public Utility Holding Company Act of 1935 ("Act"), designating section 6(b) of the Act and Rule 50(a)(5) promulgated thereunder as applicable to the proposed transactions. All interested persons are referred to the application, which is summarized below, for a complete statement of the proposed transactions.

Appalachian requests that, from the date of the granting of this application to June 30, 1976, the exemption from the provisions of section 6(a) of the Act afforded to it by the first sentence of section 6(b) of the Act, relating to the issue of short-term notes, be increased to the extent necessary to cover the issuance and sale of notes to banks and to dealers in commercial paper in an aggregate amount not to exceed \$200,000,000 outstanding at any one time. In no event will the amount outstanding be in excess of the maximum amount allowable both under its Articles of Association and the consent granted by its Cumulative Preferred stockholders permitting an increase in the amount of unsecured short-term debt that Appalachian could incur. The notes are to be issued from time to time prior to June 30, 1976, as funds are required, provided that none of the notes or commercial paper will mature later than December 31, 1976.

Each note payable to a bank to be issued by Appalachian will mature not more than 270 days after the date of issuance or renewal thereof, will bear interest not greater than the prime rate of commercial banks in effect at the time of issuance or in effect from time to time, and will be prepayable any time without premium or penalty.

Appalachian also proposes to issue commercial paper in the form of promis-

sory notes in denominations of not less than \$50,000 nor more than \$5,000,000 and will be of varying maturities, with no maturity more than 270 days after the date of issue, and none will be prepayable prior to maturity. The commercial paper notes will be sold directly by Appalachian to Lehman Commercial Paper Incorporated (the "dealer") at a discount rate not in excess of the discount rate per annum prevailing at the time of issuance for commercial paper of comparable quality and maturity. No commercial paper notes will be issued having a maturity of more than 90 days at an effective interest cost which exceeds the effective interest cost at which Appalachian could borrow from banks.

The dealer will reoffer the commercial paper notes to not more than 100 of the dealer's customers, identified and designated in a nonpublic list prepared by the dealer in advance, at a discount rate of $\frac{1}{8}$ of 1 percent per annum less than the discount rate to Appalachian. It is expected that such customers of the dealer will hold the commercial paper notes to maturity, but, if any such customer wishes to resell such commercial paper prior to maturity, the dealer, pursuant to a verbal repurchase agreement, will repurchase such commercial paper sold by it and reoffer it to other customers on its non-public list.

The proceeds from the issue and sale of the notes will be used by Appalachian to reimburse its treasury for past expenditures made in connection with its construction program, to pay part of the cost of its future construction program and for other corporate purposes. Such construction expenditures for the years 1975 and 1976 are estimated at approximately \$140,000,000 and \$130,000,000, respectively.

Appalachian requests exception from the competitive bidding requirements of Rule 50 for the proposed issue and sale of its commercial paper pursuant to paragraph (a) (5) thereof on the grounds that it is not practicable to invite competitive bids for commercial paper and the current rates for commercial paper for prime borrowers such as Appalachian are published daily in financial publications.

The application states that expenses of approximately \$5,000 are to be incurred in connection with the proposed transactions. It is further stated that the Virginia State Corporation Commission has jurisdiction over the proposed transactions, and that no other state commission and no federal commission, other than this Commission, has jurisdiction over the proposed transactions.

Notice is further given that any interested person may, not later than June 26, 1975, request in writing that a hearing be held on such matter, stating the nature of his interest, the reasons for such request, and the issues of fact or law raised by said application which he desires to controvert; or he may request that he be notified if the Commission should order a hearing thereon. Any such request should be addressed: Secre-

tary, Securities and Exchange Commission, Washington, D.C. 20549. A copy of such request should be served personally or by mail (air mail if the person being served is located more than 500 miles from the point of mailing) upon the applicant at the above-stated address, and proof of service (by affidavit or, in case of an attorney at law, by certificate) should be filed with the request. At any time after said date, the application, as filed or as it may be amended, may be granted as provided in Rule 23 of the general rules and regulations promulgated under the Act, or the Commission may grant exemption from such rules as provided in Rules 20(a) and 100 thereof or take such other action as it may deem appropriate. Persons who request a hearing or advice as to whether a hearing is ordered will receive any notices and orders issued in this matter, including the date of the hearing (if ordered) and any postponements thereof.

For the Commission, by the Division of Corporate Regulation, pursuant to delegated authority.

[SEAL] GEORGE A. FITZSIMMONS,
Secretary.

[FR Doc. 75-14856 Filed 6-5-75; 8:45 am]

[Rel. No. 19019; 70-5090]

APPALACHIAN POWER CO., ET AL.

Proposed Issue and Sale of Notes to Banks and Dealer in Commercial Paper by Holding Company; Issuance and Sale of Common Stock by Subsidiaries to Holding Company; Capital Contributions to Subsidiary; Request for Exception From Competitive Bidding

MAY 30, 1975.

In the matter of Appalachian Power Company, 40 Franklin Road, Roanoke, Virginia, 24009; Indiana & Michigan Electric Company, 2101 Spy Run Avenue, Fort Wayne, Indiana, 46801; Ohio Power Company, 301 Cleveland Avenue, SW., Canton, Ohio, 44701; American Electric Power Company, Inc., 2 Broadway, New York, New York, 10004.

Notice is hereby given that American Electric Power Company, Inc., ("AEP"), a registered holding company, and Appalachian Power Company ("Appalachian"), Indiana & Michigan Electric Company ("I&M"), and Ohio Power Company ("Ohio"), its subsidiary electric utility companies, have filed an application-declaration with this Commission pursuant to the Public Utility Holding Company Act of 1935 ("Act"), designating sections 6, 6(b), 10 and 12 of the Act and Rule 50 promulgated thereunder as applicable to the proposed transactions. All interested persons are referred to the application-declaration, which is summarized below, for a complete statement of the proposed transactions.

AEP requests that it be authorized to issue and sell, from time to time prior to June 30, 1976, as funds may be required, short-term notes (including commercial paper) in an aggregate amount not to exceed \$175,000,000 outstanding at

any one time. None of such notes or commercial paper shall mature later than December 31, 1976.

The notes to be sold to banks will be dated as of the date of the borrowing which it evidences, will mature not more than 270 days from the date of issue or reissue thereof, will bear interest not greater than the prime rate of commercial banks at the time of issuance and will be prepayable at any time without premium or penalty.

The commercial paper will be in the form of promissory notes in denominations of not less than \$50,000 nor more than \$5,000,000 of varying maturities, with no such maturity more than 270 days after the date of issue, and none will be prepayable prior to maturity. The commercial paper notes will be sold directly to Lehman Commercial Paper Incorporated (the "dealer"), at a discount rate not in excess of the discount rate per annum prevailing at the time of issuance for commercial paper of comparable quality and maturity. No commercial paper notes will be issued having a maturity of more than 90 days if such commercial paper notes would have an effective interest cost which exceeds the effective interest cost at which AEP could borrow from banks.

The dealer will reoffer the commercial paper notes to not more than 200 of such dealer's customers, identified and designated in a nonpublic list prepared by the dealer in advance, at a discount rate of $\frac{1}{8}$ of 1 percent per annum less than the discount rate to AEP. It is expected that such customers of the dealer will hold the commercial paper notes to maturity, but if any such customer wishes to resell such commercial paper prior to maturity, the dealer, pursuant to a verbal repurchase agreement, will repurchase such commercial paper sold by it and reoffer it to other customers on the list.

AEP also proposes to make investments in the equity of two of its public-utility subsidiary companies in the form of the purchase from time to time prior to June 30, 1976 of a total of (1) 1,250,000 shares of common stock of Appalachian for a consideration of \$40 a share, or a total consideration of \$50,000,000 and (2) 3,000,000 shares of common stock of Ohio for a consideration of \$15 a share, or a total consideration of \$45,000,000.

AEP requests authority to make capital contributions from time to time prior to June 30, 1976, to its public-utility subsidiary company I&M in an aggregate amount not to exceed \$50,000,000.

The proceeds from the sale of the short-term notes are to be applied by AEP, together with other funds, to make additional investments in certain of its public-utility subsidiary companies to assist them in financing the costs of their respective construction programs. The construction programs of AEP's public-utility subsidiary companies for 1975 and 1976 are estimated as follows: \$270,000,000 for Appalachian, \$370,000,000 for I&M and its generating subsidiary, and \$308,000,000 for Ohio and its generating subsidiary.

AEP requests an exception from the competitive bidding requirements of Rule 50 for the proposed issue and sale of its commercial paper pursuant to paragraph (a) (5) thereof on the grounds that the commercial paper to be issued will have maturities of not more than nine months, the current rates for commercial paper for prime borrowers such as AEP are published daily in financial publications and it is not practical to publish invitations for bids for commercial paper.

The application-declaration states that fees and expenses of approximately \$5,000 are to be incurred by AEP in connection with the proposed transactions. It is further stated that the State Corporation Commission of Virginia, the Public Service Commission of West Virginia, and the Public Service Commission of Tennessee have jurisdiction over the issue and sale by Appalachian of its common stock to AEP and the Public Utilities Commission of Ohio has jurisdiction over the issue and sale by Ohio of its common stock to AEP. No other state commission and no federal commission, other than this Commission, has jurisdiction over the proposed transactions.

Notice is further given that any interested person may, not later than June 26, 1975, request in writing that a hearing be held on such matter, stating the nature of his interest, the reasons for such request, and the issues of fact or law raised by said application-declaration which he desires to controvert; or he may request that he be notified if the Commission should order a hearing thereon. Any such request should be addressed: Secretary, Securities and Exchange Commission, Washington, D.C. 20549. A copy of such request should be served personally or by mail (air mail if the person being served is located more than 500 miles from the point of mailing) upon the applicants-declarants at the above-stated addresses, and proof of service (by affidavit or, in case of an attorney-at-law, by certificate) should be filed with the request. At any time after said date, the application-declaration, as filed or as it may be amended, may be granted and permitted to become effective as provided in Rule 23 of the general rules and regulations promulgated under the Act, or the Commission may grant exemption from such rules as provided in Rules 20(a) and 100 thereof or take such other action as it may deem appropriate. Persons who request a hearing or advice as to whether a hearing is ordered will receive any notices and orders issued in this matter, including the date of the hearing (if ordered) and any postponements thereof.

For the Commission, by the Division of Corporate Regulation, pursuant to delegated authority.

[SEAL] GEORGE A. FITZSIMMONS,
Secretary.

[FR Doc.75-14855 Filed 6-5-75;8:45 am]

[File No. 500-1]

BBI, INC.

Suspension of Trading

JUNE 2, 1975.

The common stock of BBI, Inc., being traded on the American Stock Exchange and the Philadelphia-Baltimore-Washington Stock Exchange pursuant to provisions of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 and all other securities of BBI, Inc. being traded otherwise than on a national securities exchange; and

It appearing to the Securities and Exchange Commission that the summary suspension of trading in such securities on such exchanges and otherwise than on a national securities exchange is required in the public interest and for the protection of investors;

Therefore, pursuant to sections 19(a) (4) and 15(c) (5) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, trading in such securities on the above mentioned exchange and otherwise than on a national securities exchange is suspended, for the period from June 3, 1975 through June 12, 1975.

By the Commission.

[SEAL] GEORGE A. FITZSIMMONS,
Secretary.

[FR Doc.75-14857 Filed 6-5-75;8:45 am]

[Rel. No. 19016 (70-5688)]

INDIANA & MICHIGAN ELECTRIC CO.

Proposed Issue and Sale of Notes to Banks and to a Dealer in Commercial Paper and Request for Exception From Competitive Bidding

MAY 30, 1975.

Notice is hereby given that Indiana & Michigan Electric Co., 2101 Spy Run Avenue, Fort Wayne, Indiana 46801 ("I&M"), an electric utility subsidiary company of American Electric Power Company, Inc., a registered holding company, has filed an application and an amendment thereto with this Commission pursuant to the Public Utility Holding Company Act of 1935 ("Act"), designating section 6(b) of the Act and rule 50(a) (5) promulgated thereunder as applicable to the proposed transactions. All interested persons are referred to the application, which is summarized below, for a complete statement of the proposed transactions.

I&M requests that, from the date of the granting of this application to June 30, 1976, the exemption from the provisions of section 6(a) of the Act afforded to it by the first sentence of section 6 (b) of the Act, relating to the issue of short-term notes, be increased to the extent necessary to cover the issuance and sale of notes to banks, to dealers in commercial paper and demand notes to bank trust departments in an aggregate amount not to exceed \$165,000,000 outstanding at any one time. In no event will the amount outstanding be in excess of the maximum amount allowable both under its Articles of Acceptance and the consent granted by its Cumulative Pre-

ferred stockholders permitting an increase in the amount of unsecured short-term debt that I&M could incur. The notes are to be issued from time to time prior to June 30, 1976, as funds may be required, provided that none of the notes, commercial paper, and demand notes to bank trust departments will mature later than December 31, 1976.

Each note payable to a bank to be issued by I&M will mature not more than 270 days after the date of issuance or renewal thereof, will bear interest at an annual rate of interest not greater than the prime rate of commercial banks in effect at the time of issuance or in effect from time to time and will be prepayable at any time without premium or penalty.

I&M also proposes to issue commercial paper in the form of promissory notes in denominations of not less than \$50,000 nor more than \$5,000,000, will be of varying maturities, with no maturity more than 270 days after the date of issue, and none will be prepayable prior to maturity. The commercial paper notes will be sold directly by I&M to Lehman Commercial Paper Incorporated (the "dealer") at a discount rate of not in excess of the discount rate per annum prevailing at the time of issuance for commercial paper of comparable quality and maturity. No commercial paper notes will be issued having a maturity of more than 90 days at an effective interest cost which exceeds the effective interest cost at which I&M could borrow from banks. The dealer will reoffer the commercial paper notes to not more than 100 of the dealer's customers identified and designated in a nonpublic list prepared by the dealer in advance, at a discount rate of 1/2 of 1% per annum less than the discount rate to I&M. It is expected that such customers of the dealer will hold the commercial paper prior to maturity, but, if any such customer wishes to resell such commercial paper prior to maturity, the dealer, pursuant to a verbal repurchase agreement, will repurchase such commercial paper sold by it and reoffer it to other customers on its non-public list.

I&M also proposes to issue and sell its demand notes to the trust departments of Fort Wayne National Bank, Fort Wayne, Indiana and Lincoln National Bank, Fort Wayne, Indiana, in the maximum amounts of \$5,000,000 and \$10,000,000, respectively. It is stated that the bank trust departments have a flow of funds, as fiduciary for various accounts, which would be available for investment in such demand notes. These demand notes will be in the form of promissory notes in denominations of not less than \$1,000 bearing an interest rate equivalent to the highest rate paid daily by General Motors Acceptance Corporation on its commercial paper with a maturity of less than 180 days. Notes issued from January 1 to June 30 will mature July 1 of the same year and those issued from July 1 to December 31 will mature January 1 of the following year. The bank trust departments will have the

right to demand payment at any time and I&M will have the right to repay at any time without penalty, all or part of the principal amount of such notes outstanding.

On April 30, 1975, the highest rate paid by General Motors Acceptance Corporation on its commercial paper with a maturity of less than 180 days was 6%. This rate was approximately 1 1/4% less than the rate at which I&M was then able to issue commercial paper of comparable maturities and approximately 2 3/4% below the effective rate for bank borrowings based on the current prime rate of 7% and compensating balances of 15% and 20%. It is stated that based on past experience, the rate on these demand notes will consistently be lower than the comparable rates for commercial paper and bank borrowings including the effect of compensating balances.

The proceeds from the issue and sale of the notes will be used by I&M to reimburse its treasury for past expenditures made in connection with its construction program, to pay part of the cost of its future construction program, and for other corporate purposes. Such construction expenditures for the years 1975 and 1976 are estimated at approximately \$80,000,000 and \$135,000,000 respectively.

I&M requests exception from the competitive bidding requirements of Rule 50 for the proposed issue and sale of its commercial paper pursuant to paragraph (a)(5) thereof on the grounds that it is not practicable to invite competitive bids for commercial paper and that current rates for commercial paper of prime borrowers such as I&M are published daily in financial publications.

The application states that expenses of approximately \$5,000 are to be incurred in connection with the proposed transactions. It is further stated that no state commission and no federal commission, other than this Commission, has jurisdiction over the proposed transactions.

Notice is further given that any interested person may, not later than June 26, 1975, request in writing that a hearing be held on such matter, stating the nature of his interest, the reasons for such request, and the issues of fact or law raised by said application which he desires to controvert; or he may request that he be notified if the Commission should order a hearing thereon. Any such request should be addressed: Secretary, Securities and Exchange Commission, Washington, D.C. 20549. A copy of such request should be served personally or by mail (air mail if the person being served is located more than 500 miles from the point of mailing) upon the applicant at the above-stated address, and proof of service (by affidavit or, in case of an attorney at law, by certificate) should be filed with the request. At any time after said date, the application, as amended or as it may be further amended, may be granted as provided in rule 23 of the general rules and regulations promulgated under the Act, or the Commission may grant exemption

from such rules as provided in rules 20(a) and 100 thereof or take such other action as it may deem appropriate. Persons who request a hearing or advice as to whether a hearing is ordered will receive any notices and orders issued in this matter, including the date of the hearing (if ordered) and any postponements thereof.

For the Commission, by the Division of Corporate Regulation, pursuant to delegated authority.

[SEAL] GEORGE A. FITZSIMMONS,
Secretary.

[FR Doc. 75-14858 Filed 6-5-75; 8:45 am]

[Rel. No. 19017; (70-5689)]

OHIO POWER CO.

Proposed Issue and Sale of Notes to Banks and to a Dealer in Commercial Paper and Request for Exception From Competitive Bidding

MAY 30, 1975.

Notice is hereby given that Ohio Power Co., 301 Cleveland Avenue S.W., Canton, Ohio 44701 ("Ohio"), an electric utility subsidiary company of American Electric Power Company, Inc., a registered holding company, has filed an application with this Commission pursuant to the Public Utility Holding Company Act of 1935 ("Act"), designating section 6(b) of the Act and rule 50(a)(5) promulgated thereunder as applicable to the proposed transactions. All interested persons are referred to the application, which is summarized below, for a complete statement of the proposed transactions.

Ohio requests that, from the date of the granting of this application to June 30, 1976, the exemption from the provisions of section 6(a) of the Act afforded to it by the first sentence of section 6(b) of the Act, relating to the issue of short-term notes, be increased to the extent necessary to cover the issuance and sale of notes to banks, to dealers in commercial paper and demand notes to bank trust departments in an aggregate amount not to exceed \$270,000,000 outstanding at any one time. In no event will the amount outstanding be in excess of the maximum amount allowable both under its Articles of Incorporation and the consent granted by its Cumulative Preferred stockholders permitting an increase in the amount of unsecured short-term debt that Ohio could incur. The notes are to be issued from time to time prior to June 30, 1976, as funds may be required, provided that none of the notes, commercial paper, and demand notes to bank trust departments will mature later than December 31, 1976.

Each note payable to a bank to be issued by Ohio will mature not more than 270 days after the date of issuance or renewal thereof, will bear interest at an annual rate of interest not greater than the prime rate of commercial banks in effect at the time of issuance or in effect from time to time and will be payable at any time without premium or penalty.

Ohio also proposes to issue commercial paper in the form of promissory notes in denominations of not less than \$50,000 nor more than \$5,000,000, will be of varying maturities with no maturity more than 270 days after the date of issue, and will not be prepayable prior to maturity. The commercial paper notes will be sold directly by Ohio to Lehman Commercial Paper Incorporated (the "dealer"), at a discount rate not in excess of the discount rate per annum prevailing at the time of issuance for commercial paper of comparable quality and maturity. No commercial paper notes will be issued having a maturity of more than 90 days at an effective interest cost which exceeds the effective interest cost at which Ohio could borrow from banks. The dealer will reoffer the commercial paper notes to not more than 100 of the dealer's customers identified and designated in a nonpublic list prepared by the dealer in advance, at a discount rate of 1/2 of 1% per annum less than the discount rate to Ohio. It is expected that such customers of the dealer will hold the commercial paper notes to maturity, but, if any such customer wishes to resell such commercial paper prior to maturity, the dealer, pursuant to a verbal repurchase agreement, will repurchase such commercial paper sold by it and reoffer it to other customers on its nonpublic list.

Ohio also proposes to issue and sell its demand notes to the trust departments of The Cleveland Trust Company, Cleveland, Ohio, and The Fidelity Bank, Philadelphia, Pennsylvania, in the maximum amounts of \$10,000,000 and \$20,000,000, respectively. It is stated that the bank trust departments have a flow of funds, as fiduciary for various accounts, which would be available for investment in such demand notes. These demand notes will be in the form of promissory notes in denominations of not less than \$1,000 bearing an interest rate equivalent to not more than the sum of 1/2% and the highest rate paid daily by General Motors Acceptance Corporation on its commercial paper with a maturity of less than 180 days. Notes issued from January 1 to June 30 will mature July 1 of the same year and those issued from July 1 to December 31 will mature on January 1 of the following year. The bank trust departments will have the right to demand payment at any time and Ohio will have the right to repay, without penalty, all or any part of the principal amount of such demand notes outstanding.

On April 30, 1975, the highest rate paid by General Motors Acceptance Corporation on its commercial paper with a maturity of less than 180 days was 6%. This rate plus the 1/2% referred to above was approximately 3/4% less than the rate at which Ohio was then able to issue commercial paper of comparable maturities and approximately 2 3/4% below the effective rate for bank borrowings based on the current prime rate of 7% and compensating balances of 15% and 20%. It is stated that based on past experience, the rate on these demand notes will consistently be lower than the comparable

rates for commercial paper and bank borrowings including the effect of compensating balances.

The proceeds from the issue and sale of the notes will be used by Ohio to reimburse its treasury for past expenditures made in connection with its construction program, to pay part of the cost of its future construction program, and for other corporate purposes. Such construction expenditures for the years 1975 and 1976 are estimated at approximately \$120,000,000 and \$117,000,000, respectively.

Ohio requests exception from the competitive bidding requirements of rule 50 for the proposed issue and sale of its commercial paper pursuant to paragraph (a) (5) thereof on the grounds that it is not practicable to invite competitive bids for commercial paper and that current rates for commercial paper of prime borrowers such as Ohio are published daily in financial publications.

The application states that expenses of approximately \$5,000 are to be incurred in connection with the proposed transactions. It is further stated that no state commission and no federal commission, other than this Commission, has jurisdiction over the proposed transactions.

Notice is further given that any interested person may, not later than June 26, 1975, request in writing that a hearing be held on such matter, stating the nature of his interest, the reasons for such request, and the issues of fact or law raised by said application which he desires to controvert; or he may request that he be notified if the Commission should order a hearing thereon. Any such request should be addressed: Secretary, Securities and Exchange Commission, Washington, D.C. 20549. A copy of such request should be served personally or by mail (air mail if the person being served is located more than 500 miles from the point of mailing) upon the applicant at the above-stated address, and proof of service (by affidavit or, in case of an attorney at law, by certificate) should be filed with the request. At any time after said date, the application, as filed or as it may be amended, may be granted as provided in rule 23 of the general rules and regulations promulgated under the Act, or the Commission may grant exemption from such rules as provided in rules 20(a) and 100 thereof or take such other action as it may deem appropriate. Persons who request a hearing or advice as to whether a hearing is ordered will receive any notices and orders issued in this matter, including the date of the hearing (if ordered) and any postponements thereof.

For the Commission, by the Division of Corporate Regulation, pursuant to delegated authority.

[SEAL] GEORGE A. FITZSIMMONS,
Secretary.

[FR Doc.75-14859 Filed 6-5-75; 8:45 am]

SMALL BUSINESS ADMINISTRATION

[Amendment #1 to Declaration of Disaster Loan Area #1132]

FLORIDA

Declaration of Disaster Area

In addition to previously declared counties (40 FR 19047) Gulf and adjacent counties within the State of Florida, constitute a disaster area because of damage resulting from flooding on April 11, 1975. Eligible persons, firms and organizations may file applications for loans for physical damage until the close of business on July 28, 1975, and for economic injury until the close of business on February 23, 1976, at:

Small Business Administration
District Office
400 West Bay Street
Jacksonville, Florida 32202

or other locally announced locations.

Dated: May 23, 1975.

THOMAS S. KLEPPE,
Administrator.

[FR Doc.75-14783 Filed 6-5-75; 8:45 am]

[Declaration of Disaster Loan Area #1145]

KENTUCKY

Declaration of Disaster Area

As a result of the President's declaration, I find that on May 24, 1975 Letcher and Pike Counties, and adjacent counties within the State of Kentucky constitute a disaster area because of damage resulting from severe storms and flooding beginning about May 17, 1975. Eligible persons, firms and organizations may file applications for loans for physical damage until the close of business on July 25, 1975, and for economic injury until the close of business on February 24, 1976, at:

Small Business Administration
District Office
Federal Office Building, Room 188
600 Federal Place
Louisville, Kentucky 40202

or other locally announced locations.

Dated: May 29, 1975.

THOMAS S. KLEPPE,
Administrator.

[FR Doc.75-14784 Filed 6-5-75; 8:45 am]

[Declaration of Disaster Loan Area #1144]

NORTH DAKOTA

Declaration of Disaster Area

As a result of the President's declaration, I find that on May 24, 1975 Barnes, Bottineau, Cass, Dunn, Grand Forks, La Moure, McHenry, Mountrail, Renville, Rolette, Sioux, Stutsman, Ward and Wells Counties, and adjacent counties within the State of North Dakota constitute a disaster area because of damage resulting from flooding from rains and

snowmelt beginning about April 10, 1975. Eligible persons, firms and organizations may file applications for loans for physical damage until the close of business on July 25, 1975, and for economic injury until the close of business on February 24, 1976, at:

Small Business Administration
District Office
Federal Office Building, Room 218
653 Second Avenue North
Fargo, North Dakota 58102

or other locally announced locations.

Dated: May 29, 1975.

THOMAS S. KLEPPE,
Administrator.

[FR Doc.75-14785 Filed 6-5-75; 8:45 am]

[Declaration of Disaster Loan Area #1143]

VIRGINIA

Declaration of Disaster Area

Wise County, and adjacent counties within the State of Virginia, constitute a disaster area because of damage resulting from flooding on May 17-18, 1975. Eligible persons, firms and organizations may file applications for loans for physical damage until the close of business on July 28, 1975, and for economic injury until the close of business on March 1, 1976, at:

Small Business Administration
District Office
Federal Building, Room 3015
400 North Eighth Street
Richmond, Virginia 23240

or other locally announced locations.

Dated: May 29, 1975.

THOMAS S. KLEPPE,
Administrator.

[FR Doc.75-14786 Filed 6-5-75; 8:45 am]

VETERANS ADMINISTRATION

ADVISORY COMMITTEE ON STRUCTURAL SAFETY OF VETERANS ADMINISTRATION FACILITIES

Meeting

The Veterans Administration gives notice pursuant to Pub. L. 92-463 that a meeting of the Advisory Committee on Structural Safety of Veterans Administration Facilities will be held in Room 442 at the Veterans Administration Central Office, 811 Vermont Avenue, N.W., Washington, D.C. on June 27, 1975 at 10 a.m. The Committee members will review Veterans Administration construction standards and criteria relating to fire, earthquake, and other disaster resistant construction.

The meeting will be open to the public up to the seating capacity of the room. Because of the limited seating capacity, it will be necessary for those wishing to attend to contact Mr. James Letter, Director, Civil Engineering Service, Office

of Construction, Veterans Administration Central Office (phone 202-389-2868), prior to June 25, 1975.

Dated: May 30, 1975.

[SEAL] R. L. ROUDEBUSH,
Administrator.
[FR Doc. 75-14781 Filed 6-5-75; 8:45 am]

INTERSTATE COMMERCE COMMISSION

IRREGULAR-ROUTE MOTOR COMMON CARRIERS OF PROPERTY

Elimination of Gateway Letter Notices

JUNE 3, 1975.

The following letter-notices of proposals to eliminate gateways for the purpose of reducing highway congestion, alleviating air and noise pollution, minimizing safety hazards, and conserving fuel have been filed with the Interstate Commerce Commission under the Commission's Gateway Elimination rules (49 CFR 1065), and notice thereof to all interested persons is hereby given as provided in such rules.

An original and two copies of protests against the proposed elimination of any gateway herein described may be filed with the Interstate Commerce Commission on or before June 16, 1975. A copy must also be served upon applicant or its representative. Protests against the elimination of a gateway will not operate to stay commencement of the proposed operation.

Successively filed letter-notices of the same carrier under these rules will be numbered consecutively for convenience in identification. Protests, if any, must refer to such letter-notices by number.

No. MC 95540 (Sub-No. E277), filed May 3, 1974. Applicant: WATKINS MOTOR LINES, INC., P.O. Box 1636, Atlanta, Ga. 30301. Applicant's representative: Clyde W. Carver, Suite 212, 5299 Roswell Rd. NE, Atlanta, Ga. 30342. Authority sought to operate as a common carrier, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: *Frozen foods*, from points in that part of California on and south of a line beginning at Eureka, thence along California Highway 36 to junction U.S. Highway 395, thence along U.S. Highway 395 to unnumbered highway, thence along unnumbered highway through Wendell to the California-Nevada State line, to points in that part of Ohio on and east of Interstate Highway 75. The purpose of this filing is to eliminate the gateway of Florence, Ala.

No. MC 95540 (Sub-No. E791), filed April 23, 1974. Applicant: WATKINS MOTOR LINES, INC., P.O. Box 1636, Atlanta, Ga. 30301. Applicant's representative: Clyde W. Carver, Suite 212, 5299 Roswell Rd. NE, Atlanta, Ga. 30342. Authority sought to operate as a common carrier, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: *Frozen foods, and meats, meat products, and meat by-products*, as described in Section A of Appendix I to the report in *Descriptions in Motor Carrier Certificates*, 61 M.C.C. 209

and 766 (except commodities in bulk, in tank vehicles), in vehicles equipped with mechanical refrigeration, from Newburgh, N.Y., to points in that part of Texas on and south of a line extending from the Texas-Oklahoma State line, thence along U.S. Highway 62 to junction U.S. Highway 83, thence along U.S. Highway 83 to Childress, thence along U.S. Highway 287 to junction Texas Highway 86, thence along Texas Highway 86 to junction U.S. Highway 60, thence along U.S. Highway 60 to the Texas-New Mexico State line. The purpose of this filing is to eliminate the gateway of Tifton, Ga.

No. MC 95540 (Sub-No. E840), filed December 12, 1974. Applicant: WATKINS MOTOR LINES, INC., P.O. Box 1636, Atlanta, Ga. 30301. Applicant's representative: Jerome F. Marks (same as above). Authority sought to operate as a common carrier, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: *Meat*, from Carrollton, Ga., to points in that part of Maine on and north of a line beginning at the Maine-New Hampshire State line, thence along U.S. Highway 2 to Bangor, thence along Alternate U.S. Highway 1 to Ellsworth, thence along Maine Highway 3 to Seal Harbor. The purpose of this filing is to eliminate the gateway of Dothan, Ala., and Newburgh, N.Y.

No. MC 100666 (Sub-No. E208), filed May 13, 1974. Applicant: MELTON TRUCK LINES, INC., P.O. Box 7666, Shreveport, La. 71107. Applicant's representative: Paul L. Caplinger (same as above). Authority sought to operate as a common carrier, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: *Asphalt or composition lumber*, from the facilities of Dierks Forests, Inc., at Broken Bos, Okla., to (1) points in California, Connecticut, Delaware, Idaho, Maine, Maryland, Massachusetts, Michigan, Minnesota, Montana, Nevada, New Hampshire, New Jersey, New York, North Dakota, Ohio, Oregon, Pennsylvania, Rhode Island, South Dakota, Utah, Vermont, Washington, Wisconsin, and the District of Columbia, and (2) points in Florida. The purpose of this filing is to eliminate the gateways of Pittsburgh, Kans., in (1) above and facilities of International Paper Co., at Stone County, Miss., in (2) above.

No. MC 100666 (Sub-No. E223), filed May 24, 1974. Applicant: MELTON TRUCK LINES, INC., P.O. Box 7666, Shreveport, La. 71107. Applicant's representative: Richard W. May (same as above). Authority sought to operate as a common carrier, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: *Composition or prepared roofing* from points in Arkansas to points in Georgia (except not applicable from points in Arkansas, east and south of a line beginning at Texarkana, Ark., and extending along U.S. Highway 82 to junction U.S. Highway 79 thence to junction with U.S. Highway 79, thence to junction with the Arkansas River, thence via the Arkansas River to junction with the Mississippi

River on the one hand, and, on the other, to points in Georgia on, south, and west of a line beginning at junction U.S. Highway 82 and the Chatahoochee River, along U.S. Highway 82 to junction Georgia Highway 41, thence along Georgia Highway 41 to junction Georgia Highway 37, thence along Georgia Highway 37 to junction U.S. Highway 19, thence along U.S. Highway 19 to the Georgia-Florida State line. The purpose of this filing is to eliminate the gateway of West Memphis, Ark.

No. MC 100666 (Sub-No. E253), filed June 4, 1975. Applicant: MELTON TRUCK LINES, INC., P.O. Box 7666, Shreveport, La. 71107. Applicant's representative: Paul L. Caplinger (same as above). Authority sought to operate as a common carrier, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: *Flakeboard, wallboard, insulation board and paneling*, from points in Texas on, east and south of line beginning at Galveston, Tex., and extending along Interstate Highway 45 to junction U.S. Highway 59, thence along U.S. Highway 59 to junction Texas Highway 103, thence along Texas Highway 103 to the Texas-Louisiana State line, to points in Wyoming and those points in Utah on, west and north of a line beginning at the Nevada-Utah State line and extending along Utah Highway 21 to junction Interstate Highway 15, thence along Interstate Highway 15 to junction Utah Highway 4, thence along Utah Highway 4 to junction U.S. Highway 89, thence along U.S. Highway 89 to junction Interstate Highway 70, thence along Interstate Highway 70 to the Utah-Colorado State line. The purpose of this filing is to eliminate the gateways of Pinedale, Tex., and the facilities of Permanee Corporation in Calhoun County, Ark.

No. MC 100666 (Sub-No. E254), filed June 4, 1974. Applicant: MELTON TRUCK LINES, INC., P.O. Box 7666, Shreveport, La. 71107. Applicant's representative: Paul L. Caplinger (same as above). Authority sought to operate as a common carrier, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: *Lumber*, other than logs, posts, poles, pilings, pallets, cross-ties, and creosoted or chemically treated lumber, from points in Missouri on, south and west of a line beginning at the Missouri-Kansas State line and extending along U.S. Highway 60 to junction U.S. Highway 63, thence along U.S. Highway 63 to the Missouri-Arkansas State line, to points in Indiana. The purpose of this filing is to eliminate the gateway of Arkansas.

No. MC 100666 (Sub-No. E255), filed June 4, 1975. Applicant: MELTON TRUCK LINES, INC., P.O. Box 7666, Shreveport, La. 71107. Applicant's representative: Paul L. Caplinger (same as above). Authority sought to operate as a common carrier, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: *Poles, posts and piling*, (1) from points in Oklahoma and Texas more than 250 miles from Texarkana, Tex., to points in Lou-

isiana more than 250 miles from Texarkana, Tex.; and (2) from points in Kansas, and those points in Arkansas and Missouri more than 250 miles from Texarkana, Tex., to points in Louisiana more than 250 miles from Texarkana, Tex. The purpose of this filing is to eliminate the gateway of points within 250 miles of Texarkana, Tex.

No. MC 100666 (Sub-No. E256), filed June 4, 1975. Applicant: MELTON TRUCK LINES, INC., P.O. Box 7666, Shreveport, La. 71107. Applicant's representative: Paul L. Caplinger (same as above). Authority sought to operate as a common carrier, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: *Composition or prepared roofing, including composition shingles or asbestos siding*, from Ellis County, Tex., to points in Mississippi on and south of Interstate Highway 20. The purpose of this filing is to eliminate the gateway of Shreveport, La.

No. MC 111401 (Sub-No. E21), filed May 12, 1974. Applicant: GROENDYKE TRANSPORT, INC., P.O. Box 632, Enid, Okla. 73704. Applicant's representative: Victor R. Comstock (same as above). Authority sought to operate as a common carrier, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: *Petroleum based synthetic plastics*, in bulk, not in liquid form, and petrochemicals, in bulk, in tank vehicles, from points in Texas on and south of Interstate Highway 20 and on and east of Interstate Highway 45. The purpose of this filing is to eliminate the gateway of Longview, Tex.

No. MC 102567 (Sub-No. E22), filed June 3, 1974. Applicant: McNAIR TRANSPORT, INC., P.O. Drawer 5357, Bossier City, La. 71010. Applicant's representative: Joe E. Shaw, Houston First Saving Bldg., Houston, Tex. 77002. Authority sought to operate as a common carrier, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: such petroleum products as are liquid chemicals (petrochemicals), in bulk, in tank vehicles, from those points in Texas, Arkansas and Louisiana within 150 miles of Henderson, Tex., which are east of a line beginning at Bearden, Ark. and extending along U.S. Hwy. 79 to junction Louisiana Hwy. 145, to junction Interstate Highway to Monroe, La., to those points in Texas west of the beginning at the Texas-Arkansas State line and extending along Interstate Highway 30 to junction Interstate Hwy. 35 to the United States-Mexico International Boundary line. The purpose of this filing is to eliminate the gateway of Plantsite of Dow Chemicals, U.S.A., in Columbia County, Ark.

No. MC 102567 (Sub-No. E25), filed June 3, 1974. Applicant: McNAIR TRANSPORT, INC., P.O. Drawer 5357, Bossier City, La. 71010. Applicant's representative: Joe E. Shaw, Houston First Saving Bldg., Houston, Tex. 77002. Authority sought to operate as a common carrier, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: such petroleum products as are liquid chemicals (petrochemicals), in bulk, in tank vehicles, from those points in Texas, Arkansas,

and Louisiana within 150 miles of Henderson, Tex., which are south and west of a line beginning at a point 150 miles from Henderson, Tex., near Decatur, Tex., and extending along U.S. Highway 380 to junction Interstate Highway 30, thence along Interstate Highway 30 to junction U.S. Highway 59-71, thence along U.S. Highway 59-71 to junction U.S. Highway 82, thence along U.S. Highway 82 to junction U.S. Highway 167, thence along U.S. Highway 167 to junction U.S. Highway 82, thence along U.S. Highway 82 to junction Louisiana Highway 9, thence along Louisiana Highway 9 to junction U.S. Highway 79, thence along U.S. Highway 79 to junction Interstate Highway 20, thence along Interstate Highway 20 to junction U.S. Highway 171, thence along U.S. Highway 171 to junction Louisiana Highway 5, thence along Louisiana Highway 5 to junction Texas Highway 7, thence along Texas Highway 7 to junction U.S. Highway 96, thence along U.S. Highway 96 to junction Texas Highway 82, thence along Texas Highway 82 to Port Arthur, Tex., to points in Tennessee. The purpose of this filing is to eliminate the gateway of the plant site of Dow Chemicals, U.S.A., in Columbia County, Ark.

No. MC 102567 (Sub-No. E37), filed June 3, 1974. Applicant: McNAIR TRANSPORT, INC., P.O. Drawer 5357, Bossier City, La. 71010. Applicant's representative: Joe E. Shaw, Houston First Saving Bldg., Houston, Tex. 77002. Authority sought to operate as a common carrier, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: such petroleum products as are liquid chemicals (petrochemicals), in bulk, in tank vehicles, from those points in Texas, Arkansas, and Louisiana within 150 miles of Henderson, Tex., which are north and east of a line beginning at Mena, Ark., and extending along U.S. Highway 59-71 to junction U.S. Highway 82, to junction U.S. Highway 167, to Callon, Ark., to those points in Texas west of a line beginning at the Oklahoma-Texas State line and extending along U.S. Hwy. 385 to junction U.S. Hwy. 70 to Hwy. 214 to junction Texas Hwy. 115 to junction Texas Hwy. 18 to junction U.S. Hwy. 385 to the United States-Mexico International Boundary line. The purpose of this filing is to eliminate the gateway of Plantsite of Dow Chemicals, U.S.A., in Columbia County, Ark.

No. MC 102567 (Sub-No. E38), filed June 3, 1974. Applicant: McNAIR TRANSPORT, INC., P.O. Drawer 5357, Bossier City, La. 71010. Applicant's representative: Joe E. Shaw, Houston First Saving Bldg., Houston, Tex. 77002. Authority sought to operate as a common carrier, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: such petroleum products as are liquid chemicals (petrochemicals), in bulk, in tank vehicles, from those points in Texas, Arkansas, and Louisiana within 150 miles of Henderson, Tex., which are south and east of a line beginning at El Dorado, Ark., and extending along U.S. Hwy. 82 to junction U.S. Hwy. 71 to Alexandria, La., to those

points in Oklahoma west of a line beginning at the Oklahoma-Kansas State line and extending along U.S. Highway 283 to junction U.S. Highway 270, to junction Oklahoma Highway 3, to the Oklahoma-Texas State line. The purpose of this filing is to eliminate the gateway of plantsite of Dow Chemicals, U.S.A., in Columbia County, Ark.

No. MC 102567 (Sub-No. E39), filed June 3, 1974. Applicant: McNAIR TRANSPORT, INC., P.O. Drawer 5357, Bossier City, La. 71010. Applicant's representative: Joe E. Shaw, Houston First Saving Bldg., Houston, Tex. 77002. Authority sought to operate as a common carrier, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: such petroleum products as are liquid chemicals (petrochemicals), in bulk, in tank vehicles, from those points in Texas, Arkansas, and Louisiana within 150 miles of Henderson, Tex., which are south and west of a line beginning at a point in U.S. Highway 380 near Decatur, Tex., and extending along U.S. Highway 380 to junction U.S. Highway 59-71, to junction U.S. Highway 82, to junction U.S. Highway 167, to junction Louisiana Highway 9, to junction U.S. Highway 79, to junction Interstate Highway 20, to junction U.S. Highway 171, to junction Louisiana Highway 5, to junction Texas Highway 7, to junction U.S. Highway 96, to junction Texas Highway 87, to Port Arthur, Tex., to points in Tennessee. The purpose of this filing is to eliminate the gateway of Plantsite of Dow Chemicals, U.S.A., in Columbia, Ark.

No. MC 102567 (Sub-No. E40), filed June 3, 1974. Applicant: McNAIR TRANSPORT, INC., P.O. Drawer 5357, Bossier City, La. 71010. Applicant's representative: Joe E. Shaw, Houston First Saving Bldg., Houston, Tex. 77002. Authority sought to operate as a common carrier, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: Such petroleum products as are liquid chemicals (petrochemicals), in bulk, in tank vehicles, from those points in Texas, Arkansas, and Louisiana within 150 miles of Henderson, Tex., which are south and west of a line beginning at a point within 150 miles of Henderson, Tex., near Decatur, Tex., and extending along U.S. Highway 380 to junction Interstate Highway 30, thence along Interstate Highway 30 to junction U.S. Highway 59-71, thence along U.S. Highway 59-71 to junction U.S. Highway 82, thence along U.S. Highway 82 to junction U.S. Highway 167, thence along U.S. Highway 167 to junction Louisiana Highway 9, thence along Louisiana Highway 9 to junction U.S. Highway 79, thence along U.S. Highway 79 to junction Interstate Highway 20, thence along Interstate Highway 20 to junction U.S. Highway 171, thence along U.S. Highway 171 to junction Louisiana Highway 5, thence along Louisiana Highway 5 to junction Texas Highway 7, thence along Texas Highway 7 to junction U.S. Highway 96, thence along U.S. Highway 96 to junction Texas Highway 87, thence along Texas Highway 87 to points in Tennessee. The purpose of this

filing is to eliminate the gateway of the plant site of Dow Chemicals, U.S.A., in Columbia County, Ark.

No. MC 102567 (Sub-No. E41), filed June 3, 1974. Applicant: McNAIR TRANSPORT, INC., P.O. Drawer 5357, Bossier City, La. 71010. Applicant's representative: Joe E. Shaw, Houston First Savings Bldg., Houston, Tex. 77002. Authority sought to operate as a *common carrier*, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: Such petroleum products as are *liquid chemicals* (petrochemicals), in bulk, in tank vehicles, from those points in Texas, Arkansas, and Louisiana within 150 miles of Henderson, Tex., which are west of a line beginning at Mena, Ark., and extending along U.S. Highway 59-71 to junction U.S. Highway 82, thence along U.S. Highway 79, thence along U.S. Highway 79 to junction Interstate Highway 20, thence along Interstate Highway 20 to junction U.S. Highway 171, thence along U.S. Highway 171 to junction Louisiana Highway 5, thence along Louisiana Highway 5 to junction Texas Highway 7, thence along Texas Highway 7 to junction U.S. Highway 96, thence along U.S. Highway 96 to junction Texas Highway 87, thence along Texas Highway 87 to Port Arthur, Tex., to those points in Tennessee on and east of a line beginning at the Kentucky-Tennessee State line and extending along Tennessee Highway 120 to junction Tennessee Highway 13, thence along Tennessee Highway 13 to the Tennessee-Alabama State line. The purpose of this filing is to eliminate the gateway of the plant site of Dow Chemicals, U.S.A., in Columbia County, Ark.

No. MC 102567 (Sub-No. E52), filed June 3, 1974. Applicant: McNAIR TRANSPORT, P.O. Drawer 5357, Bossier City, La. 71010. Applicant's representative: Jo E. Shaw, Houston First Savings Bldg., Houston, Tex. 77002. Authority sought to operate as a *common carrier*, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: petroleum products, restricted to dry chemicals, in bulk, in tank vehicles, from those points in Texas, Arkansas, and Louisiana within 150 miles of Henderson, Tex., and west of a line beginning at Calion, and extending along Highway 167 to junction Louisiana Hwy. 9, to junction U.S. Hwy. 79, to junction U.S. Hwy. 71 to Alexandria, La., to those points in Florida south of U.S. Hwy. 41. The purpose of this filing is to eliminate the gateway of Henderson, Tex., and points in Texas within 150 miles of Henderson, and Baton Rouge, La.

No. MC 102567 (Sub-No. E53), filed June 3, 1974. Applicant: McNAIR TRANSPORT, P.O. Drawer 5357, Bossier City, La. 71010. Applicant's representative: Jo E. Shaw, Houston First Savings Bldg., Houston, Tex. 77002. Authority sought to operate as a *common carrier*, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: petroleum products, restricted to dry chemicals, in bulk, in tank vehicles, from those points in Texas, Ar-

kansas, and Louisiana within 150 miles of Henderson, and west of a line beginning at Mena, Ark., and extending along U.S. Highway 71 to junction Louisiana Hwy. 80, to junction U.S. Hwy. 59, to junction U.S. Hwy. 79 to a point in Texas within 150 miles of Henderson, Tex., near Rockford, Tex., to points in Florida. The purpose of this filing is to eliminate the gateway of Henderson, Tex., and points in Texas within 150 miles of Henderson, and Baton Rouge, La.

No. MC 102567 (Sub-No. E54), filed June 3, 1974. Applicant: McNAIR TRANSPORT, P.O. Drawer 5357, Bossier City, La. 71010. Applicant's representative: Jo E. Shaw, Houston First Savings Bldg., Houston, Tex. 77002. Authority sought to operate as a *common carrier*, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: petroleum products, restricted to dry chemicals, in bulk, in tank vehicles, from those points in Texas, Arkansas, and Louisiana within 150 miles of Henderson, Tex., and west of a line beginning at Mena, Ark., and extending along U.S. Highway 71 to junction U.S. Hwy. 171 to junction Louisiana Hwy. 6, to junction U.S. Hwy. 96 to junction Texas Hwy. 124, to the Gulf of Mexico, to those points in Mississippi on and east of a line beginning at the Gulf of Mexico and extending along U.S. Hwy. 90 to junction U.S. Hwy. 49, 49 to junction of Mississippi Hwy. 26, to junction U.S. Hwy. 98, to the Mississippi-Alabama State line. The purpose of this filing is to eliminate the gateway of Henderson, Tex., and points in Texas within 150 miles of Henderson, and Baton Rouge, La.

No. MC 102567 (Sub-No. E55), filed June 3, 1974. Applicant: McNAIR TRANSPORT, P.O. Drawer 5357, Bossier City, La. 71010. Applicant's representative: Jo E. Shaw, Houston First Savings Bldg., Houston, Tex. 77002. Authority sought to operate as a *common carrier*, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: petroleum products, restricted to dry chemicals, in bulk, in tank vehicles, from those points in Texas, Arkansas, and Louisiana within 150 miles of Henderson, Tex., and west of a line beginning at Mena, Ark., and extending along U.S. Highway 59 to junction U.S. Hwy. 96 to junction Louisiana Hwy. 327, to junction U.S. Hwy. 69, to the Gulf of Mexico, to those points in Mississippi on and east of a line beginning at the Mississippi-Louisiana State line and extending along U.S. Hwy. 27 to junction U.S. Hwy. 98 to junction U.S. Hwy. 59, to the Mississippi-Alabama State line. The purpose of this filing is to eliminate the gateway of Henderson, Tex., and points in Texas within 150 miles of Henderson, and Baton Rouge, La.

No. MC 102567 (Sub-No. E56), filed June 3, 1974. Applicant: McNAIR TRANSPORT, P.O. Drawer 5357, Bossier City, La. 71010. Applicant's representative: Jo E. Shaw, Houston First Savings Bldg., Houston, Tex. 77002. Authority sought to operate as a *common carrier*, by motor vehicle, over irregular

routes, transporting: petroleum products, restricted to dry chemicals, in bulk, in tank vehicles, from those points in Texas, Arkansas, and Louisiana within 150 miles of Henderson, Tex., and west of a line beginning at Mena, Ark., and extending along U.S. Highway 71 to Alexandria, La., to those points in Georgia east of a line beginning at the Georgia-Florida and extending along Georgia to junction of Georgia Hwy. 35 to junction Georgia Hwy. 32, to junction U.S. Hwy. 1, to junction U.S. Hwy. 341, to junction U.S. Hwy. 82 to the Georgia-South Carolina State line. The purpose of this filing is to eliminate the gateway of Henderson, Tex., and points in Texas within 150 miles of Henderson, and Baton Rouge, La.

No. MC 102567 (Sub-No. E58), filed June 3, 1974. Applicant: McNAIR TRANSPORT, P.O. Drawer 5357, Bossier City, La. 71010. Applicant's representative: Jo E. Shaw, Houston First Savings Bldg., Houston, Tex. 77002. Authority sought to operate as a *common carrier*, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: petroleum products, restricted to dry chemicals, in bulk, in tank vehicles, from those points in Texas, Arkansas, and Louisiana within 150 miles of Henderson, Tex., and which are west of a line beginning at Norman, Ark., and extending along U.S. Highway 70 to junction Louisiana Hwy. 27, to junction Hwy. 26, to junction Louisiana Hwy. 29, to junction Louisiana Hwy. 19 to junction U.S. Hwy. 82 to junction U.S. Hwy. 79 to junction Louisiana Hwy. 9, to junction Louisiana Hwy. 147 to junction U.S. Hwy. 167, to Alexandria, La., to those points in Florida extending at the Gulf of Mexico and along U.S. Hwy. 17 to junction Hwy. 70, to the Atlantic Ocean. The purpose of this filing is to eliminate the gateway of Henderson, Tex., and points in Texas within 150 miles of Texas, and Baton Rouge, La.

No. MC 106401 (Sub-No. E19), filed May 13, 1974. Applicant: JOHNSON MOTOR LINES, INC., P.O. 10877, Charlotte, N.C. 28234. Applicant's representative: Thomas G. Sloan (same as above). Authority sought to operate as a *common carrier*, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: *General commodities* (except those of unusual value, Classes A and B explosives, livestock, household goods as defined by the Commission, commodities in bulk, commodities requiring special equipment, and those injurious or contaminating to other lading); (1) from points in Georgia on and south of a line beginning at the Georgia-South Carolina State line and extending along Interstate Highway 20 to junction Georgia Highway 83 near Madison, points on and east of a line beginning at junction Interstate Highway 20 and Georgia Highway 83 and extending along Georgia Highway 83 to junction Georgia Highway 42, thence along Georgia Highway 42 to Roberta, thence along Georgia Highway 128 to junction Georgia Highway 137, thence along Georgia Highway 137 to Buena Vista, thence

along Georgia Highway 41 to junction U.S. Highway 82, thence along U.S. Highway 82 to junction Georgia Highway 266, thence along Georgia Highway 266 to junction Georgia Highway 37, thence along Georgia Highway 37 to the Georgia-Alabama State line, and points on and west of U.S. Highway 301 between the Georgia-South Carolina State line and the Georgia-Florida State line, to points in Alamance, Alexander, Anson, Beaufort, Bladen, Buncombe, Burke, Cabarrus, Caldwell, Catawba, Chatham, Cleveland, Columbus, Cumberland, Davidson, Duplin, Durham, Edgecombe, Forsyth, Franklin, Gaston, Granville, Guilford, Greene, Harnett, Henderson, Hoke, Iredell, Johnston, Lee, Lenoir, Lincoln, McDowell, Martin, Mecklenburg, Montgomery, Moore, Nash, New Hanover, Orange, Pitt, Polk, Randolph, Richmond, Robeson, Rockingham, Rowan, Rutherford, Sampson, Scotland, Stanly, Stokes, Surrey, Union, Vance, Wake, Warren, Wayne, and Wilson Counties, N.C., except points on Alternate U.S. Highway 29 between the South Carolina-North Carolina State line and Grover, N.C.

U.S. Highway 29 between Grover and Charlotte, North Carolina Highway 49 between Charlotte and junction unnumbered highway just south of Concord, unnumbered highway between junction North Carolina Highway 49 and Concord, Alternate U.S. Highway 29 between Concord and junction U.S. Highway 29, U.S. Highway 29 between junction Alternate U.S. Highway 29 between High Point and Greensboro, Alternate U.S. Highway 70 between Greensboro and junction U.S. Highway 70, U.S. Highway 70 between junction Alternate U.S. Highway 70 and Durham, U.S. Highway 15 between Durham and Oxford, U.S. Highway 158 between Oxford and Henderson, and U.S. Highway 1 between Henderson and the North Carolina-Virginia State line, also U.S. Highway 1 between the North Carolina-South Carolina State line and Rockingham, U.S. Highway 220 between Rockingham and junction U.S. Highway 311, south of Randleman, U.S. Highway 311 between junction U.S. Highway 220 and High Point, also, U.S. Highway 70 between Durham and junction North Carolina Highway 54 (formerly unnumbered highway), North Carolina Highway 54 between junction U.S. Highway 70 and Raleigh, U.S. Highway 1 between Raleigh and junction Alternate U.S. Highway 1 approximately four miles north of Neuse, Alternate U.S. Highway 1 between junction U.S. Highway 1 approximately four miles north of Neuse and junction U.S. Highway 1 approximately two miles north of Youngsville, and U.S. Highway 1 between junction Alternate U.S. Highway 1 approximately two miles north of Youngsville and Henderson, U.S. Highway 29 between Greensboro and the Rockingham-Caswell County line, U.S. Highway 70 between Salisbury and Hickory, U.S. Highway 321 between Hickory and junction Alternate U.S. Highway 321, Alternate U.S. Highway 321 between junction U.S. Highway 321 and Valmead,

(2) From points in Georgia on and south of Georgia Highway 99 between Ludowici and the Atlantic Ocean near Volona, Ga., and west of U.S. Highway 301 between Ludowici and the Georgia-Florida State line, to points in Alamance, Alexander, Anson, Beaufort, Buncombe, Burke, Cabarrus, Caldwell, Catawba, Chatham, Cleveland, Cumberland, Davidson, Durham, Edgecombe, Forsyth, Franklin, Gaston, Granville, Guilford, Greene, Harnett, Henderson, Hoke, Iredell, Johnston, Lee, Lincoln, McDowell, Martin, Mecklenburg, Montgomery, Moore, Nash, Orange, Pitt, Polk, Randolph, Richmond, Robeson, Rockingham, Rowan, Rutherford, Scotland, Stanly, Stokes, Surrey, Union, Vance, Wake, Warren, and Wilson Counties, N.C., and points in Bladen County on and north of North Carolina Highway 242 and U.S. Highway 701, points in Sampson County on and north of U.S. Highway 701 and North Carolina Highway 403, points in Duplin County on and north of North Carolina Highway 403, points in Wayne County on and north of North Carolina Highway 55, points in Lenoir County on and north of North Carolina Highway 55, and U.S. Highway 70, except points on Alternate Highway 29 between the South Carolina-North Carolina State line and Grover, N.C., U.S. Highway 29 between Grover and Charlotte, North Carolina Highway 49 between Charlotte and junction unnumbered highway just south of Concord, unnumbered highway between junction North Carolina Highway 49 and Concord, Alternate U.S. Highway 29 between Concord and junction U.S. Highway 29, U.S. Highway 29 between junction Alternate U.S. Highway 29 between High Point and Greensboro, Alternate U.S. Highway 70 between Greensboro and junction U.S. Highway 70, U.S. Highway 70 between junction Alternate U.S. Highway 70 and Durham, U.S. Highway 15 between Durham and Oxford, U.S. Highway 158 between Oxford and Henderson, and U.S. Highway 1 between Henderson and the North Carolina-Virginia State line, also U.S. Highway 1 between the North Carolina-South Carolina State line and Rockingham, U.S. Highway 220 between Rockingham and junction U.S. Highway 311 south of Randleman, U.S. Highway 311 between junction U.S. Highway 220 and High Point, also, U.S. Highway 70 between Durham and junction North Carolina Highway 54 (formerly unnumbered highway), North Carolina Highway 54 between junction U.S. Highway 70 and Raleigh, U.S. Highway 1 between Raleigh and junction Alternate U.S. Highway 1 approximately four miles north of Neuse, Alternate U.S. Highway 1 between junction U.S. Highway 1 approximately four miles north of Neuse and junction U.S. Highway 1 approximately two miles north of Youngsville, and U.S. Highway 1 between junction Alternate U.S. Highway 1 approximately two miles north of Youngsville and Henderson, U.S. Highway 29 between Greensboro and Rockingham-Caswell

County line, U.S. Highway 70 between Salisbury and Hickory, U.S. Highway 231 between Hickory and junction Alternate U.S. Highway 321, Alternate U.S. Highway 321 between junction U.S. Highway 321 and Valmead.

(3) From points in Georgia, except Atlanta, on and south of Interstate Highway 20 between Madison and the Georgia-Alabama State line and points west of a line extending from junction Interstate Highway 20 and Georgia Highway 83 near Madison, along Georgia Highway 83 to Forsyth, thence along Georgia Highway 42 to Roberta, thence along Georgia Highway 128 to junction Georgia Highway 137, thence along Georgia Highway 137 to Buena Vista, thence along Georgia Highway 41 to junction U.S. Highway 82, thence along U.S. Highway 82 to junction Georgia Highway 266, thence along Georgia Highway 266 to junction Georgia Highway 37, thence along Georgia Highway 37 to the Georgia-Alabama State line, to points in Alamance, Alexander, Anson, Beaufort, Bladen, Burke, Cabarrus, Caldwell, Catawba, Chatham, Cleveland, Columbus, Cumberland, Davidson, Duplin, Durham, Edgecombe, Forsyth, Franklin, Gaston, Granville, Guilford, Greene, Harnett, Hoke, Iredell, Johnston, Lee, Lenoir, Lincoln, Martin, Mecklenburg, Montgomery, Moore, Nash, New Hanover, Orange, Pitt, Polk, Randolph, Richmond, Robeson, Rockingham, Rowan, Sampson, Scotland, Stanly, Stokes, Surrey, Union, Vance, Wake, Warren, Wayne, and Wilson Counties, N.C., and points in McDowell and Rutherford Counties, N.C., on and east of U.S. Highway 221, except points on Alternate U.S. Highway 29 between the South Carolina-North Carolina State line and Grover, N.C., U.S. Highway 29 between Grover and Charlotte, North Carolina Highway 49 between Charlotte and junction unnumbered highway just south of Concord, unnumbered highway between junction North Carolina Highway 49 and Concord, Alternate U.S. Highway 29 between Concord and junction U.S. Highway 29.

U.S. Highway 29 between junction Alternate U.S. Highway 20 and High Point, Alternate U.S. Highway 29 between High Point and Greensboro, Alternate U.S. Highway 70 between Greensboro and junction U.S. Highway 70, U.S. Highway 70 between junction Alternate U.S. Highway 70 and Durham, U.S. Highway 15 between Durham and Oxford, U.S. Highway 158 between Oxford and Henderson, and U.S. Highway 1 between Henderson and the North Carolina-Virginia State line, along U.S. Highway 1 between the North Carolina-South Carolina State line and Rockingham, U.S. Highway 220 between Rockingham and junction U.S. Highway 311 south of Randleman, U.S. Highway 311 between junction U.S. Highway 220 and High Point, also, U.S. Highway 70 between Durham and junction North Carolina Highway 54 (formerly unnumbered highway), North Carolina Highway 54 between junction U.S. Highway 70 and

Raleigh, U.S. Highway 1 between Raleigh and junction Alternate U.S. Highway 1 approximately four miles north of Neuse, Alternate U.S. Highway 1 between junction U.S. Highway 1 approximately four miles north of Neuse and junction U.S. Highway 1 approximately two miles north of Youngsville, and U.S. Highway 1 between the junction of Alternate U.S. Highway 1 approximately two miles north of Youngsville and Henderson, U.S. Highway 29 between Greensboro and the Rockingham-Caswell County line, U.S. Highway 70 between Salisbury and Hickory, U.S. Highway 321 between Hickory and junction Alternate U.S. Highway 321, Alternate U.S. Highway 321 between junction U.S. Highway 321 and Valmead.

(4) From points in Georgia west of a line beginning at the Georgia-South Carolina State line and extending along U.S. Highway 301 to junction Georgia Highway 99, and points north of a line beginning at junction U.S. Highway 301 and Georgia Highway 99 at Ludowici, and extending along Georgia Highway 99 to the Atlantic Ocean near Volona, Ga., to points in Alamance, Alexander, Anson, Buncombe, Burke, Cabarrus, Caldwell, Catawba, Chatham, Cleveland, Davidson, Durham, Forsyth, Franklin, Gaston, Granville, Guilford, Henderson, Iredell, Lee, Lincoln, McDowell, Mecklenburg, Montgomery, Nash, Orange, Polk, Randolph, Rockingham, Rowan, Rutherford, Stanly, Stokes, Surrey, Union, Vance, Wake, Warren Counties, and points in Edgecombe County on and west of U.S. Highway 258 and on and north of North Carolina Highway 42, points in Wilson County on and north of North Carolina Highway 42, points in Johnston County on and north of North Carolina Highway 42, points in Harnett County on and west of North Carolina Highway 210 and on and north of North Carolina Highway 27, points in Moore County on and north of North Carolina Highway 27 and on and west of U.S. Highway 1, and points in Richmond County on and west of U.S. Highway 1 and North Carolina Highway 177, except points on Alternate U.S. Highway 29 between the South Carolina-North Carolina State line and Grover, N.C., U.S. Highway 29 between Grover and Charlotte, North Carolina Highway 49 between Charlotte and junction unnumbered highway just south of Concord, unnumbered highway between junction North Carolina Highway 49 and Concord, Alternate U.S. Highway 29 between Concord and junction U.S. Highway 29, U.S. Highway 29 between junction Alternate U.S. Highway 29 and High Point, Alternate U.S. Highway 29 between High Point and Greensboro, Alternate U.S. Highway 70 between Greensboro and junction U.S. Highway 70, U.S. Highway 70 between junction Alternate U.S. Highway 70 and Durham, U.S. Highway 15 between Durham and Oxford, U.S. Highway 158 between Oxford and Henderson, and U.S. Highway 1 between Henderson and the North Carolina-Virginia State line, also U.S. Highway 1 between the North Carolina-South Carolina State line and Rockingham.

U.S. Highway 220 between Rockingham and junction U.S. Highway 311 south of Randleman, U.S. Highway 311 between junction U.S. Highway 220 and High Point, also, U.S. Highway 70 between Durham and junction North Carolina Highway 54 (formerly unnumbered highway), North Carolina Highway 54 between junction U.S. Highway 70 and Raleigh, U.S. Highway 1 between Raleigh and junction Alternate U.S. Highway 1 approximately four miles north of Neuse, Alternate U.S. Highway 1 between junction U.S. Highway 1 approximately four miles north of Neuse and junction U.S. Highway 1 approximately two miles north of Youngsville, and U.S. Highway 1 between junction Alternate U.S. Highway 1 approximately two miles north of Youngsville and Henderson, U.S. Highway 29 between Greensboro and the Rockingham-Caswell County line, U.S. Highway 70 between Salisbury and Hickory, U.S. Highway 321 between Hickory and junction Alternate U.S. Highway 321, Alternate U.S. Highway 321 between junction U.S. Highway 321 and Valmead.

(5) From points in Georgia north of Interstate Highway 20 between the Georgia-South Carolina State line and Atlanta, except Atlanta, and points on and south of Interstate Highway 85 between Atlanta and junction U.S. Highway 441, points on, west, and south of a line beginning at junction Interstate Highway 85 and U.S. Highway 441 and extending along U.S. Highway 441 to Commerce, Ga., thence along Georgia Highway 98 to junction Georgia Highway 191, thence along Georgia Highway 191 to Comer, thence along Georgia Highway 72 to the Georgia-South Carolina State line, to points in Alamance, Beaufort, Bladen, Chatham, Columbus, Cumberland, Duplin, Durham, Edgecombe, Franklin, Granville, Greene, Harnett, Hoke, Johnston, Lee, Lenoir, Martin, Moore, Nash, New Hanover, Orange, Pitt, Robeson, Sampson, Scotland, Vance, Wake, Warren, Wayne, and Wilson Counties, N.C., and points in Guilford County on and east of North Carolina Highway 22 between the Guilford-Randolph County line and junction U.S. Highway 64, points on and south of U.S. Highway 64 between junction North Carolina Highway 22 and Asheboro, points on and east of U.S. Highway 220 and North Carolina Highway 134 between Asheboro and the Randolph-Montgomery County line, points in Montgomery County on and east of North Carolina Highway 134 and North Carolina Highway 109, points in Richmond County on and east of North Carolina Highway 109, and points in Anson County on and east of North Carolina Highway 109, except points on Alternate U.S. Highway 70 between the Guilford-Alamance County line and junction U.S. Highway 70, U.S. Highway 70 between junction Alternate U.S. Highway 70 and Durham, U.S. Highway 15 between Durham and Oxford, U.S. Highway 158 between Oxford and Henderson, and U.S. Highway 1 between Henderson and the North Carolina-Virginia State line,

also, U.S. Highway 1 between the North Carolina-South Carolina State line and Rockingham, U.S. Highway 220 between Rockingham and junction U.S. Highway 64 at Asheboro, U.S. Highway 70 between Durham and junction North Carolina Highway 54 (formerly unnumbered highway), North Carolina Highway 54 between junction U.S. Highway 70 and Raleigh, U.S. Highway between Raleigh and junction Alternate U.S. Highway 1 approximately four miles north of Neuse, Alternate U.S. Highway 1 between junction U.S. Highway 1 approximately four miles north of Neuse and junction U.S. Highway 1 approximately two miles north of Youngsville, and U.S. Highway 1 between junction Alternate U.S. Highway 1 approximately two miles north of Youngsville and Henderson.

(6) From points in Georgia north of a line beginning at the Georgia-Alabama State line and extending along Interstate Highway 20 to Atlanta, except Atlanta, points west of a line beginning at Atlanta and extending along Interstate Highway 85 to junction Georgia Highway 20, thence along Georgia Highway 20 and Interstate Highway 85 and extending along Georgia Highway 20 via Cumming to junction Georgia Highway 372 at Free Home, thence along Georgia Highway 372 to junction Georgia Highway 5, thence along Georgia Highway 5 to junction Georgia Highway 136, thence along Georgia Highway 136 to junction Georgia Highway 156, thence along Georgia Highway 156 to junction U.S. Highway 411, thence along U.S. Highway 411 to junction U.S. Highway 76, thence along U.S. Highway 76 to junction U.S. Highway 41, thence along U.S. Highway 41 to junction Interstate Highway 75, thence along Interstate Highway 75 to junction U.S. Highway 41, thence along U.S. Highway 41 to the Georgia-Tennessee State line, to points in Beaufort, Bladen, Chatham, Columbus, Cumberland, Duplin, Durham, Edgecombe, Franklin, Granville, Greene, Harnett, Hoke, Johnston, Lee, Lenoir, Martin, Moore, Nash, New Hanover, Pitt, Robeson, Sampson, Scotland, Vance, Wake, Warren, Wayne, and Wilson Counties, N.C., and points in Orange County on and east of North Carolina Highway 57 and on and south of U.S. Highway 70, points in Randolph County on and east of North Carolina Highway 49 between the Alamance-Randolph County line and its junction with U.S. Highway 64, on and south of U.S. Highway 64 between junction North Carolina Highway 49 and Asheboro, points on and east of U.S. Highway 220 and North Carolina Highway 134 between Asheboro and the Randolph-Montgomery County line, points in Montgomery County on and east of North Carolina Highway 134 and North Carolina Highway 109, points in Richmond County on and east of North Carolina Highway 109, and points in Anson County on and east of North Carolina Highway 109, except points on U.S. Highway 70 between the Alamance-Orange County line and Durham.

U.S. Highway 15 between Durham and Oxford, U.S. Highway 158 between Ox-

ford and Henderson, and U.S. Highway 1 between Henderson and the North Carolina-Virginia State line, also, U.S. Highway 1 between the North Carolina-South Carolina State line and Rockingham, U.S. Highway 220 between Rockingham and junction U.S. Highway 64 at Asheboro, U.S. Highway 70 between Durham and junction North Carolina Highway 54 (formerly unnumbered highway), North Carolina Highway 54 between junction U.S. Highway 70 and Raleigh, U.S. Highway 1 between Raleigh and junction of Alternate U.S. Highway 1 approximately four miles north of Neuse, Alternate U.S. Highway 1 between junction U.S. Highway 1 approximately four miles north of Neuse and junction U.S. Highway 1 approximately two miles north of Youngsville, and U.S. Highway 1 between junction Alternate U.S. Highway 1 approximately two miles north of Youngsville and Henderson.

(7) From points in Georgia north of a line beginning at junction Georgia Highway 20 and Interstate Highway 85 and extending along Interstate Highway 85 to junction U.S. Highway 441, points on and west of a line beginning at junction Interstate Highway 85 and U.S. Highway 441 and extending along U.S. Highway 441 to junction Georgia Highway 51, thence along Georgia Highway 51 to junction Georgia Highway 52 at Lula, points on and west of Georgia Highway 52 from Lula and extending to the Chattahoochee River, points south of the Chattahoochee River from junction Georgia Highway 52 to junction Georgia Highway 369, points on and south of Georgia Highway 369 from junction with the Chattahoochee River to junction U.S. Highway 19, points on and east of U.S. Highway 19 from junction Georgia Highway 369 to junction Georgia Highway 20 just south of Cumming, and points east of Georgia Highway 20 between junction U.S. Highway 19 just south of Cumming and junction Interstate Highway 85 to points in Beaufort, Bladen, Columbus, Cumberland, Duplin, Edgecombe, Greene, Hoke, Johnston, Lenoir, Martin, Nash, New Hanover, Pitt, Robeson, Sampson, Scotland, Wayne, and Wilson Counties, N.C., points in Vance County on and east of North Carolina Highway 39 between the North Carolina-Virginia State line and Henderson, and points on and east of U.S. Highway 1 between Henderson and the Vance-Martin County line, points in Franklin County on and east of U.S. Highway 1, points in Wake County on and east of North Carolina Highway 96, points in Harnett County on and east of North Carolina Highway 210 and on and south of North Carolina Highway 27, points in Moore County on and south of North Carolina Highway 27 and on and east of U.S. Highway 1, and points in Richmond County on and east of U.S. Highway 1, and North Carolina Highway 177, except points on U.S. Highway 1 between Henderson and the North Carolina-Virginia State line, and points on U.S. Highway 1 and Alternate U.S. Highway 1 between Henderson and the Franklin-Wake County line.

(8) From points in Georgia north of a line beginning at the Georgia-South Carolina State line and extending along Georgia Highway 72 to Comer, thence along Georgia Highway 98 to junction U.S. Highway 441, thence along U.S. Highway 441 to junction Georgia Highway 51, thence along Georgia Highway 51 to Lula, thence along Georgia Highway 51 to the Chattahoochee River, thence along the Chattahoochee River to junction Georgia Highway 269, thence along Georgia Highway 369 to junction U.S. Highway 19, thence along U.S. Highway 19 to junction Georgia Highway 20, thence along Georgia Highway 20 to junction Georgia Highway 372 at Free Home, thence along Georgia Highway 372 to junction Georgia Highway 5, thence along Georgia Highway 5 to junction Georgia Highway 136, thence along Georgia Highway 163 to junction Georgia Highway 156, thence along Georgia Highway 156 to junction U.S. Highway 411, thence along U.S. Highway 411 to junction U.S. Highway 76, thence along U.S. Highway 76 to junction U.S. Highway 41, thence along U.S. Highway 41 to junction Interstate Highway 75, thence along Interstate Highway 75 to junction U.S. Highway 41, thence along U.S. Highway 41 to the Georgia-Tennessee State line, to points in Beaufort, Bladen, Columbus, Cumberland, Duplin, Edgecombe, Greene, Hoke, Johnston, Lenoir, Martin, Nash, New Hanover, Pitt, Robeson, Sampson, Scotland, Wayne, and Wilson Counties, N.C., and points in Harnett County on and south of North Carolina Highway 210 and North Carolina Highway 27, points in Moore County on and south of North Carolina Highway 27 and points on and east of U.S. Highway 1, and points in Richmond County, N.C., on and east of U.S. Highway 1 and North Carolina Highway 177. The purpose of this filing is to eliminate the gateway of Graniteville, S.C.

No. MC 107002 (Sub-No. E154), filed May 13, 1974. Applicant: MILLER TRANSPORTERS, INC., P.O. Box 1123, Jackson, Miss. 39205. Applicant's representative: H. D. Miller, Jr. (same as above). Authority sought to operate as a common carrier, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: *Liquid chemicals* (except liquid hydrogen, liquid oxygen, liquid nitrogen and liquefied petroleum gases), in bulk, in tank vehicles, from Hazlehurst, Miss., to points in Ohio. The purpose of this filing is to eliminate the gateways of Barfield, Ark., and points within 10 miles thereof.

No. MC 107002 (Sub-No. E155), filed May 13, 1974. Applicant: MILLER TRANSPORTERS, INC., P.O. Box 1123, Jackson, Miss. 39205. Applicant's representative: H. D. Miller, Jr. (same as above). Authority sought to operate as a common carrier, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: *Chemicals* (except liquid hydrogen, liquid oxygen, or liquid nitrogen), in bulk, in tank vehicles, from Taylorsville, Miss., to points in Iowa. The purpose of this filing is to eliminate the gateways of those points in Louisiana in the Vicksburg,

Miss., Commercial Zone, and Barfield, Ark., and points within 10 miles thereof.

No. MC 107002 (Sub-No. E156), filed May 13, 1974. Applicant: MILLER TRANSPORTERS, INC., P.O. Box 1123, Jackson, Miss. 39205. Applicant's representative: H. D. Miller, Jr. (same as above). Authority sought to operate as a common carrier, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: *Chemicals* (except liquid hydrogen, liquid oxygen, or liquid nitrogen), in bulk, in tank vehicles, from Taylorsville, Miss., to those points in Missouri on, east and north of a line beginning at the Arkansas-Missouri State line and extending along Missouri Highway 51 to junction Missouri Highway 53, thence along Missouri Highway 53 to Poplar Bluff, thence along U.S. Highway 60 to Springfield, thence along U.S. Highway 160 to the Kansas-Missouri State line. The purpose of this filing is to eliminate the gateways of those points in Louisiana in the Vicksburg, Miss., Commercial Zone, and Barfield, Ark., and points within 10 miles thereof.

No. MC 107002 (Sub-No. E157), filed May 13, 1974. Applicant: MILLER TRANSPORTERS, INC., P.O. Box 1123, Jackson, Miss. 39205. Applicant's representative: H. D. Miller, Jr. (same as above). Authority sought to operate as a common carrier, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: *Liquid tall oil and liquid tall oil products*, in bulk, in tank vehicles, from Panama City, Fla., to points in Iowa. The purpose of this filing is to eliminate the gateway of Cedar town, Ga.

No. MC 107002 (Sub-No. E158), filed May 13, 1974. Applicant: MILLER TRANSPORTERS, INC., P.O. Box 1123, Jackson, Miss. 39205. Applicant's representative: H. D. Miller, Jr. (same as above). Authority sought to operate as a common carrier, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: *Tall oil and tall oil products*, in bulk, in tank vehicles, from Mobile, Ala., to points in Rhode Island. The purpose of this filing is to eliminate the gateway of Picayune, Miss.

No. MC 107002 (Sub-No. E159), filed May 13, 1974. Applicant: MILLER TRANSPORTERS, INC., P.O. Box 1123, Jackson, Miss. 39205. Applicant's representative: H. D. Miller, Jr. (same as above). Authority sought to operate as a common carrier, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: *Tall oil and tall oil products*, in bulk, in tank vehicles, from Mobile, Ala., to points in New York. The purpose of this filing is to eliminate the gateway of Picayune, Miss.

No. MC 107002 (Sub-No. E160), filed May 13, 1974. Applicant: MILLER TRANSPORTERS, INC., P.O. Box 1123, Jackson, Miss. 39205. Applicant's representative: H. D. Miller, Jr. (same as above). Authority sought to operate as a common carrier, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: *Liquid tall oil fatty acid*, in bulk, in tank

vehicles, from Panama City, Fla., to points in Wisconsin. The purpose of this filing is to eliminate the gateway of Cedartown, Ga.

No. MC 107002 (Sub-No. E161), filed May 13, 1974. Applicant: MILLER TRANSPORTERS, INC., P.O. Box 1123, Jackson, Miss. 39205. Applicant's representative: H. D. Miller, Jr. (same as above). Authority sought to operate as a common carrier, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: *Tall oil and tall oils products*, in bulk, in tank vehicles, from Mobile, Ala., to points in New Jersey. The purpose of this filing is to eliminate the gateway of Picayune, Miss.

No. MC 107002 (Sub-No. E162), filed May 13, 1974. Applicant: MILLER TRANSPORTERS, INC., P.O. Box 1123, Jackson, Miss. 39205. Applicant's representative: H. D. Miller, Jr. (same as above). Authority sought to operate as a common carrier, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: *Anthol, cymene, esterified tall oil, liquid soap, nalene, paracymene, paramethane, hydro peroxide, pinene, pine oil, pine pitch, pine tar, rosin, rosin liquor, rosin sizing, rosin solution, synthetic gums and resins, tall oil, tall oil fatty acids, tall oil pitch, terpeneol, turpentine, and zinc resinate*, in bulk, in tank vehicles, from Harrison and Jackson Counties, Miss., to points in New York. The purpose of this filing is to eliminate the gateway of Bay Minette, Ala.

No. MC 107002 (Sub-No. E163), filed May 13, 1974. Applicant: MILLER TRANSPORTERS, INC., P.O. Box 1123, Jackson, Miss. 39205. Applicant's representative: H. D. Miller, Jr. (same as above). Authority sought to operate as a common carrier, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: *Anthol, cymene, esterified tall oil, liquid soap, nalene, paracymene, paramethane, hydro peroxide, pinene, pine oil, pine pitch, pine tar, rosin, rosin liquor, rosin sizing, rosin solution, synthetic gums and resins, tall oil, tall oil fatty acids, tall oil pitch, terpeneol, turpentine, and zinc resinate*, in bulk, in tank vehicles, from Harrison and Jackson Counties, Miss., to points in Virginia. The purpose of this filing is to eliminate the gateway of Bay Minette, Ala.

No. MC 109478 (Sub-No. E3), filed May 5, 1974. Applicant: WORSTER MOTOR LINES, INC., Gay Rd., P.O. Box 110, North East, Pa. 16428. Applicant's representative: Joseph F. MacKrell, 23 West Tenth St., Erie, Pa. 16501. Authority sought to operate as a common carrier, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: (1) *Preserved fruits and vegetable and canned fruits and vegetable juices*, other than frozen or in bulk in tank vehicles, from Erie Co., Pa., to Connecticut, Delaware, Maryland, Massachusetts, New Jersey, Rhode Island, West Virginia, and the District of Columbia; (2) *Foodstuffs* (other than frozen or in bulk in tank vehicles), in vehicles equipped with mechanical refrigeration, from Erie Co., Pa., to Illinois; (3) *Food products*, other than fro-

zen or in bulk, in tank vehicles, between Crawford, Pa., on the one hand, and, on the other, Jersey City, N.J., and points within 25 miles thereof; and (4) *Food products*, other than frozen or in bulk in tank vehicles, from Crawford Co., Pa., to Fall River, Boston, New Bedford, and Taunton, Mass., Providence, R.I., and Swedesboro, N.J. The purpose of this filing is to eliminate the gateways of (1) that part of Chautauqua Co., N.Y., within 5 miles of the shore of Lake Erie, (2) Westfield, N.Y., (3) and (4) Brocton, N.Y.

No. MC 109478 (Sub-No. E4) (Correction), filed May 15, 1974, published in the FEDERAL REGISTER January 18, 1975. Applicant: WORSTER MOTOR LINES, INC., Gay Rd., P.O. Box 110, North East, Pa. 16428. Applicant's representative: Joseph F. MacKrell, 23 West Tenth St., Erie, Pa. 16501. Authority sought to operate as a common carrier, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: *Preserved foodstuffs*, other than frozen or in bulk, in tank vehicles, from North East, Pa., to points in Florida. The purpose of this filing is to eliminate the gateway of Holley, N.Y. The purpose of this correction is to correct the commodity description.

No. MC 109478 (Sub-No. E5) (Correction), filed May 15, 1974, published in the FEDERAL REGISTER January 20, 1975. Applicant: WORSTER MOTOR LINES, INC., Gay Rd., P.O. Box 110, North East, Pa. 16428. Applicant's representative: Joseph F. MacKrell, 23 West Tenth St., Erie, Pa. 16501. Authority sought to operate as a common carrier, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: *Foodstuffs*, other than frozen or in bulk, in tank vehicles, from Geneva, Ohio, to all points in Florida. The purpose of this filing is to eliminate the gateway of Holley, N.Y. The purpose of this correction is to correct the commodity description.

No. MC 109478 (Sub-No. E6) (Correction), filed May 15, 1974, published in the FEDERAL REGISTER January 16, 1975. Applicant: WORSTER MOTOR LINES, INC., Gay Rd., P.O. Box 110, North East, Pa. 16428. Applicant's representative: Joseph F. MacKrell, 23 West Tenth St., Erie, Pa. 16501. Authority sought to operate as a common carrier, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: (1) *Food products*, other than frozen or in bulk, in tank vehicles, from points in New York, on, north, and east of a line beginning at Lake Ontario and extending along New York Highway 13 to junction U.S. Highway 11 near Pulaski, N.Y., and thence along U.S. Highway 11 to the New York-Pennsylvania State line to points in Michigan (Lower Peninsula); (2) *Food products*, other than frozen or in bulk in tank vehicles, from all points in New York (except points in Allegany, Cattaraugus, and Chautauqua Counties, N.Y.), to points in Ohio; (3) *Preserved fruits and vegetables and fruit and vegetable juices*, other than frozen or in bulk in tank vehicles, from points in New York to points in Pennsylvania on and west

of Interstate Highway 81; (4) *Preserved foodstuffs and canned fruit and vegetable juices*, other than frozen or in bulk in tank vehicles, from points in New York to points in West Virginia; and (5) *Preserved fruits and vegetables and fruit or vegetable juices*, other than frozen or in bulk in tank vehicles, from all points in Allegany and Cattaraugus Counties, N.Y., to points in Ohio. The purpose of this filing is to eliminate the gateways of Hamlin, Holley, and Williamson, N.Y., in (1)-(4), and that part of Erie Co., N.Y., within 50 miles of LeRoy, N.Y. The purpose of this correction is to correct the commodity and destination descriptions in (1), (2), and (3) above, and to include (4) and (5).

No. MC 109478 (Sub-No. E10) (Correction), filed May 15, 1974, published in the FEDERAL REGISTER January 22, 1975. Applicant: WORSTER MOTOR LINES, INC., Gay Rd., P.O. Box 110, North East, Pa. 16428. Applicant's representative: Joseph F. MacKrell, 23 West Tenth Street, Erie, Pa. 16501. Authority sought to operate as a common carrier, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: (1) *Canned or preserved foodstuffs, cooking oil and shortening* (except commodities in bulk, in tank vehicles), in vehicles equipped with mechanical refrigeration, from Toledo, Ohio to all points in New York; and (2) *canned or preserved fruit juice, fruit juice products, wine and grape juice* (except commodities in bulk), from Toledo, Ohio to all points in New York. The purpose of this filing is to eliminate the gateways of (1) Crawford and Erie Counties, Pa.; and (2) Crawford Co., Pa., North East, Pa., Brocton and Westfield, N.Y. The purpose of this correction is to extend commodity descriptions and to correct the gateways.

No. MC 109478 (Sub-No. E14), filed May 15, 1974. Applicant: WORSTER MOTOR LINES, INC., Gay Rd., P.O. Box 110, North East, Pa. 16428. Applicant's representative: Joseph F. MacKrell, 23 West Tenth Street, Erie, Pa. 16501. Authority sought to operate as a common carrier, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: (1) *Food and food products*, frozen or refrigerated, other than in bulk, in tank vehicles, from Lawton, Mich., to all points in Connecticut, Delaware, Maryland, Massachusetts, New Hampshire, New Jersey, Rhode Island and Vermont, and the District of Columbia, restricted to traffic originating at Lawton, Mich.; (2) *fresh, preserved and prepared food products*, frozen or refrigerated, other than in bulk, in tank vehicles, from Lawton and Mat-tawan, Mich., to all points in New Hampshire, Vermont, and that part of Maine on and south of a line beginning at the Maine-New Hampshire State line near Gilead, Me., and extending along U.S. Highway 2 to Bangor, Me., thence along U.S. Highway 1 to Ellsworth, Me., and thence along Maine Highway 3 to Bar Harbor, Me.; (3) *food products*, frozen or refrigerated, other than in bulk, in tank

vehicles, from the plant sites and storage facilities of Duffy-Mott Co., Inc., at or near Hartford, Bailey and Grawn, Mich., to all points in New Hampshire, New York, Vermont, and that part of Maine located on and south of a line beginning at the Maine-New Hampshire State line near Gilead, Me., and extending along U.S. Highway 2 to Bangor, Me., thence along U.S. Highway 1 to Ellsworth, Me., and thence along Maine Highway 3 at Bar Harbor, Me., and to Fall River, Boston, New Bedford and Taunton, Mass., Jersey City, N.J., and points in New Jersey within 25 miles thereof, Swedesboro, N.J., and Providence, R.I. The purpose of this filing is to eliminate the gateways of (1) Leipsic, Holgate, and St. Marys, Ohio; (2) North East, Pa.; and Westfield, N.Y.; and (3) Hamlin, Holley, Bergen, and Williamson, N.Y.

No. MC 109478 (Sub-No. E15), filed May 15, 1974. Applicant: WORSTER MOTOR LINES, INC., Gay Road, P.O. Box 110, North East, Pa. 16428. Applicant's representative: Joseph F. MacKrell, 23 West Tenth Street, Erie, Pa. 16501. Authority sought to operate as a common carrier, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: (1) *Preserved food products*, refrigerated or frozen, in vehicles equipped with mechanical refrigeration, other than in bulk, in tank vehicles, from Boston and Waban, Mass., to points in Indiana; (2) *frozen prepared food products*, except commodities in bulk, from Dedham, Mass., to points in Illinois, Indiana, and West Virginia; (3) *grape juice, tomato juice, honey, jams, jellies and preserves*, frozen or refrigerated, other than in bulk, in tank vehicles, and *frozen fruits, frozen fruit juices and frozen tomato juice*, other than in bulk, in tank vehicles, from Boston and Waban, Mass., to points in Illinois, Indiana, and West Virginia; and (4) *fresh and preserved fruits and vegetables and fruit and vegetable juices*, frozen or refrigerated, in vehicles equipped with mechanical refrigeration, other than in bulk, in tank vehicles, from Boston and Waban, Mass., to points in Indiana. The purpose of this filing is to eliminate the gateways of (1) Brocton, N.Y., and North East, Pa.; (2) Chautauqua Co., N.Y., and Linesville, Pa.; (3) Brocton, N.Y.; and (4) Brocton, N.Y., and Erie Co., Pa.

No. MC 109478 (Sub-No. E16), filed May 15, 1974. Applicant: WORSTER MOTOR LINES, INC., Gay Road, P.O. Box 110, North East, Pa. 16428. Applicant's representative: Joseph F. MacKrell, 23 West Tenth Street, Erie, Pa. 16501. Authority sought to operate as a common carrier, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: (1) *Preserved food products*, frozen or refrigerated, in vehicles equipped with mechanical refrigeration, other than in bulk, in tank vehicles, from Jersey City, N.J., and points in New Jersey within 25 miles thereof, to points in Indiana; (2) *frozen food products*, other than in bulk, in tank vehicles, from Jersey City,

N.J., and points in New Jersey within 25 miles thereof, to points in Illinois and Indiana; (3) *grape juice, tomato juice, honey, jams, jellies, and preserves*, frozen or refrigerated, and *frozen fruits, frozen fruit juices and frozen tomato juice*, other than in bulk, in tank vehicles, from Jersey City, N.J., and points within 25 miles thereof, to points in Illinois and Indiana; (4) *fresh and preserved fruits and vegetables and fruit and vegetable juices*, frozen or refrigerated, in vehicles equipped with mechanical refrigeration, other than in bulk, in tank vehicles, from Jersey City, N.J., and points in New Jersey within 25 miles thereof, to points in Indiana; and (5) *frozen prepared foodstuffs*, other than in bulk, in tank vehicles, from Secaucus, N.J., to points in Illinois and Indiana. The purpose of this filing is to eliminate the gateways of (1) Brocton, N.Y., and Erie Co., Pa.; (2) Brocton, N.Y., and Linesville, Pa.; (3) Brocton, N.Y.; (4) Brocton, N.Y., and Erie County, Pa.; and (5) Westfield, N.Y.

No. MC 109478 (Sub-No. E19) (Correction), filed May 15, 1974 published in the FEDERAL REGISTER January 23, 1975. Applicant: WORSTER MOTOR LINES, INC., Gay Rd., P.O. Box 110, North East, Pa. 16428. Applicant's representative: Joseph F. MacKrell, 23 West Tenth St., Erie, Pa. 16501. Authority sought to operate as a common carrier, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: *Preserved food products*, from Le Roy, N.Y., and points within 50 miles thereof, to Baltimore, Md., and the District of Columbia. The purpose of this filing is to eliminate the gateway of Mt. Morris, and Oakfield, N.Y. The purpose of this correction is to correct the commodities and destination descriptions.

No. MC 109478 (Sub-No. E25) (Correction), filed May 15, 1974, published in the FEDERAL REGISTER January 22, 1975. Applicant: WORSTER MOTOR LINES, INC., Gay Rd., P.O. Box 110, North East, Pa. 16428. Applicant's representative: Joseph F. MacKrell, 23 West Tenth Street, Erie, Pa. 16501. Authority sought to operate as a common carrier, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: (1) *Fruit juice, fruit juice concentrates and essences, fruit juice products, grape juice and grape juice concentrates and essences and tomato juice*, in bulk, in tank vehicles, from Boston and Waban, Mass., Jersey City, N.J., and points in New Jersey within 25 miles thereof, Erie Co., Pa., and Philadelphia, Pa., and points in New York to points in Illinois; (2) *fruit juice and fruit juice products, grape juice and grape juice concentrates and essences and tomato juice*, in bulk, in tank vehicles, from Boston and Waban, Mass., Jersey City, N.J., and points in New Jersey within 25 miles thereof, Erie Co., Pa., and Philadelphia, Pa., and points in New York to points in Indiana; and (3) *fruit and vegetable juices, fruit juice products, fruit juice concentrates and essences*, in bulk, in tank vehicles, from Boston and Waban, Mass., Jersey City, N.J., and

points in New Jersey within 25 miles thereof, Erie Co., Pa., and Philadelphia, Pa., and points in New York to points in Ohio. The purpose of this filing is to eliminate the gateways of (1) Brocton, N.Y., LeRoy, N.Y., and points within 50 miles thereof, Geneva, Ohio and Erie Co., Pa.; (2) Brocton, N.Y., LeRoy, N.Y., and points within 50 miles thereof, Westfield, N.Y.; and (3) Brocton, N.Y., LeRoy, N.Y., and points within 50 miles thereof, Chautauqua and Erie Counties, N.Y., and Erie Co., Pa.

No. MC 113908 (Sub-No. E290), filed December 5, 1974. Applicant: ERICKSON TRANSPORT CORP., P.O. Box 3180, Glenstone Station, Springfield, Mo. 65804. Applicant's representative: John E. Handera, 641 Harrison Street, Topeka, Kans. 66603. Authority sought to operate as a common carrier, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: *vinegar*, in bulk, in tank vehicles, from Chicago, Ill., to points in Texas, Oklahoma, Louisiana, those points in Arkansas on, south or west of U.S. Highway 63, those points in Mississippi on, south, and west of State Highway 552 and State Highway 33, and those points in Colorado on and south of U.S. Highway 24, with no transportation for compensation on return except as otherwise authorized. The purpose of this filing is to eliminate the gateway of Nixa, Mo.

No. MC 114552 (Sub-No. E53), filed January 14, 1975. Applicant: SENN TRUCKING COMPANY, P.O. Drawer 220, Newberry, S.C. 29108. Applicant's representative: William P. Jackson, Jr., 919 18th Street NW., Washington, D.C. 20006. Authority sought to operate as a common carrier, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: (1) *Plywood, paneling, and composition board*, from Chesapeake, Va., to points in Arkansas, Oklahoma, Louisiana, and Texas; and (2) *composition board*, from Chesapeake, Va., to points in North Dakota, South Dakota, Nebraska, Kansas, Colorado, Minnesota, Iowa, Missouri, Wisconsin, Illinois, points in Indiana on and west of a line beginning at the Indiana-Ohio State line and extending along U.S. Highway 30 to its junction with U.S. Highway 3, thence along U.S. Highway 3 to the Indiana-Michigan State line, points in Kentucky on and west of Kentucky Highway 1, points in Ohio on and west of a line beginning at the Ohio-Indiana State line and extending along U.S. Highway 30 to junction U.S. Highway 30S, thence along U.S. Highway 30S to junction Ohio Highway 117, thence along Ohio Highway 117 to junction Ohio Highway 68, thence along Ohio Highway 68 to junction Ohio Highway 41, thence along Ohio Highway 41 to junction U.S. Highway 35, thence along U.S. Highway 35 to junction U.S. Highway 23, thence along U.S. Highway 23 to the Ohio-Kentucky State line, points in West Virginia on and west of a line beginning at the West Virginia-Kentucky State line, thence along West Virginia Highway 65 to junction West Virginia Highway 10, thence along West

Virginia Highway 10 to junction U.S. Highway 460, thence along U.S. Highway 460 to the West Virginia-Virginia State line, and points in Michigan on, north and west of a line beginning at Alpena, Mich., thence along Michigan Highway 32 to junction U.S. Highway 131, thence along U.S. Highway 131 to junction Michigan Highway 42, thence along Michigan Highway 42 to junction Michigan Highway 37, thence along Michigan Highway 37 to junction Michigan Highway 46, thence along Michigan Highway 46 to junction U.S. Highway 31, thence along U.S. Highway 31 to junction Michigan Highway 40, thence along Michigan Highway 40 to junction Michigan Highway 89, thence along Michigan Highway 89 to junction U.S. Highway 131, thence along U.S. Highway 131 to junction Michigan Highway 86, thence along Michigan Highway 86 to junction Michigan Highway 66, thence along Michigan Highway 66 to the Michigan-Indiana State line, restricted against the transportation of commodities in bulk. The purpose of this filing is to eliminate the gateways of (1) Greenwood County, S.C.; and (2) the plant site and warehouse facilities of the Abitibi Corporation near Roaring River, N.C.

No. MC 115841 (Sub-No. E14), filed June 3, 1974. Applicant: COLONIAL REFRIGERATED TRANSPORTATION, INC., P.O. Box 10327, Birmingham, Ala. 35202. Applicant's representative: E. Stephen Heisley, 666 11th St. NW., Washington, D.C. 20001. Authority sought to operate as a common carrier, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: *Meats, meat products, and meat byproducts*, as described in Section A of Appendix I to the report in *Descriptions in Motor Carrier Certificates*, 61 M.C.C. 209 and 766 (except commodities in bulk, in tank vehicles), in vehicles equipped with temperature control devices, from New Orleans, La., and points within 10 miles thereof, to points in Illinois, Indiana, Kentucky, Michigan, Ohio, Wisconsin, North Carolina, and South Carolina. The purpose of this filing is to eliminate the gateways of Nashville, Jackson, and Chattanooga, Tenn.

No. MC 115841 (Sub-No. E15), filed June 2, 1974. Applicant: COLONIAL REFRIGERATED TRANSPORTATION, INC., P.O. Box 10327, Birmingham, Ala. 35202. Applicant's representative: E. Stephen Heisley, 666 11th St. NW., Washington, D.C. 20001. Authority sought to operate as a common carrier, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: *Meats* (except canned meats, and dairy products, restricted against the transportation of said commodities when frozen, in vehicles equipped with mechanical refrigeration, from Springfield, N.H., to points in Texas. The purpose of this filing is to eliminate the gateway of Birmingham, Ala.

No. MC 115841 (Sub-No. E16), filed June 3, 1974. Applicant: COLONIAL REFRIGERATED TRANSPORTATION,

INC., P.O. Box 10327, Birmingham, Ala. 35202. Applicant's representative: E. Stephen Heisley, 666 11th St. NW., Washington, D.C. 20001. Authority sought to operate as a common carrier, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: *Meats, meat products, and meat by-products, dairy products* (except frozen), and articles distributed by meat packinghouses (except liquid commodities in bulk), as described in Sections A, B, and C of Appendix I to the report in *Descriptions in Motor Carrier Certificates*, 61 M.C.C. 209 and 766, in vehicles equipped with mechanical refrigeration, from Springfield, N.J., to points in Arkansas, California, Oregon, in Georgia on and west of Interstate Highway 75, and in Washington on and west of a line beginning at the Oregon-Washington State line south of Walla Walla, Wash., and extending northwestward to Sumas, Wash., and thence to the United States-Canada International Boundary line, and *meats*, except frozen and canned meats, and *unfrozen dairy products*, from Springfield, N.J., to points in Oklahoma. The purpose of this filing is to eliminate the gateway of Birmingham, Ala., and Chattanooga, and Nashville, Tenn.

No. MC 115841 (Sub-No. E17), filed June 3, 1974. Applicant: COLONIAL REFRIGERATED TRANSPORTATION, INC., P.O. Box 10327, Birmingham, Ala. 35202. Applicant's representative: E. Stephen Heisley, 666 11th Street NW., Washington, D.C. 20001. Authority sought to operate as a common carrier, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: *Meats, meat products, and meat by-products* (except commodities in bulk, in tank vehicles), as described in Section A of Appendix I to the report in *Descriptions in Motor Carrier Certificates*, 61 M.C.C. 209 and 766, in vehicles equipped with mechanical refrigeration, from Memphis, Tenn., to points in California, Oregon, Washington, Georgia, South Carolina, Connecticut, Delaware, Maine, Maryland, Massachusetts, New Hampshire, New Jersey, New York, Pennsylvania, Rhode Island, Vermont, and the District of Columbia. The purpose of this filing is to eliminate the gateways of Booneville, Miss., and Birmingham, Ala.

No. MC 115841 (Sub-No. E19), filed June 3, 1974. Applicant: COLONIAL REFRIGERATED TRANSPORTATION, INC., P.O. Box 10327, Birmingham, Ala. 35202. Applicant's representative: E. Stephen Heisley, 666 11th Street NW., Washington, D.C. 20001. Authority sought to operate as a common carrier, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: *Meats, meat products, and meat by-products*, and articles distributed by meat packing houses, unfrozen (except hides, liquid commodities, in bulk, and commodities in bulk, in tank vehicles), as described in Sections A and C of Appendix I to the report in *Descriptions in Motor Carrier Certificates*, 61 M.C.C. 209 and 766, from Garden City, Kans., to points in North Carolina and South Carolina, restricted to the trans-

portation of traffic originating at the plant site of Producers Packing Company near Garden City, Kans. The purpose of this filing is to eliminate the gateways of Birmingham, Ala., and Chattanooga, Tenn.

No. MC 115841 (Sub-No. E86), filed June 4, 1974. Applicant: COLONIAL REFRIGERATED TRANSPORTATION INCORPORATED, P.O. Box 10327, Birmingham, Ala. 35202. Applicant's representative: E. Stephen Heisley, 666 11th St. NW., Washington, D.C. 20001. Authority sought to operate as a common carrier, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: *Meat, meat products, and meat by-products*, as described in Section A of Appendix I to the report in *Descriptions in Motor Carrier Certificates*, 61 M.C.C. 209 (except liquid commodities in bulk, in tank vehicles), in vehicles equipped with mechanical refrigeration, from points in North Carolina to points in Oklahoma and Texas. The purpose of this filing is to eliminate the gateway of Montgomery, Ala.

No. MC 115841 (Sub-No. E87), filed June 4, 1974. Applicant: COLONIAL REFRIGERATED TRANSPORTATION INCORPORATED, P.O. Box 10327, Birmingham, Ala. 35202. Applicant's representative: E. Stephen Heisley, 666 11th St. NW., Washington, D.C. 20001. Authority sought to operate as a common carrier, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: *Meats, meat products, and meat by-products* (except in bulk, in tank vehicles), as described in Section A of Appendix I to the report in *Descriptions in Motor Carrier Certificates*, 61 M.C.C. 209 and 766, in vehicles equipped with mechanical refrigeration, from points in North Carolina to points in Wisconsin and Minnesota. The purpose of this filing is to eliminate the gateway of Nashville, Tenn.

No. MC 115841 (Sub-No. E89), filed June 4, 1974. Applicant: COLONIAL REFRIGERATED TRANSPORTATION INCORPORATED, P.O. Box 10327, Birmingham, Ala. 35202. Applicant's representative: E. Stephen Heisley, 666 11th St. NW., Washington, D.C. 20001. Authority sought to operate as a common carrier, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: *Meats, meat products, and dairy products* (such as are prepared foods), as described in Section A and B of Appendix I to the report in *Descriptions in Motor Carrier Certificates*, 61 M.C.C. 209 and 766, and frozen prepared foods, from New York, N.Y., Union City, and Jersey City, N.J., to points in Georgia on and west of Interstate Highway 75. The purpose of this filing is to eliminate the gateway of Chattanooga, Tenn.

No. MC 115841 (Sub-No. E92), filed June 4, 1974. Applicant: COLONIAL REFRIGERATED TRANSPORTATION INCORPORATED, P.O. Box 10327, Birmingham, Ala. 35202. Applicant's representative: E. Stephen Heisley, 666 11th St. NW., Washington, D.C. 20001. Authority sought to operate as a common carrier, by motor vehicle, over irregular

routes, transporting: *Meats, meat products, and meat by-products* (except in bulk, in tank vehicles), as described in Section A of Appendix I to the report in *Descriptions in Motor Carrier Certificates*, 61 M.C.C. 209 and 766, in vehicles equipped with mechanical refrigeration, from points in Knox County, Tenn., to points in Missouri, Wisconsin, and Minnesota, restricted against the transportation of frozen commodities to points in Missouri. The purpose of this filing is to eliminate the gateway of Nashville, Tenn.

No. MC 115841 (Sub-No. E94), filed June 4, 1974. Applicant: COLONIAL REFRIGERATED TRANSPORTATION INCORPORATED, P.O. Box 10327, Birmingham, Ala. 35202. Applicant's representative: E. Stephen Helsley, 666 11th St. NW., Washington, D.C. 20001. Authority sought to operate as a *common carrier*, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: *Meats* (except canned and frozen meats), and *dairy products* (except frozen and liquid dairy products, in bulk), as described in Sections A and B of Appendix I to the report in *Descriptions in Motor Carrier Certificates*, 61 M.C.C. 209 and 766, in vehicles equipped with mechanical refrigeration, from points in Knox County, Tenn., to points in Oklahoma and Texas. The purpose of this filing is to eliminate the gateway of Birmingham, Ala. and Chattanooga, Tenn.

No. MC 115841 (Sub-No. E95), filed June 4, 1974. Applicant: COLONIAL REFRIGERATED TRANSPORTATION INCORPORATED, P.O. Box 10327, Birmingham, Ala. 35202. Applicant's representative: E. Stephen Helsley, 666 11th St. NW., Washington, D.C. 20001. Authority sought to operate as a *common carrier*, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: *Meats, meat products, and meat by-products*, as described in Section A of Appendix I to the report in *Descriptions in Motor Carrier Certificates*, 61 M.C.C. 209 and 766 (except commodities in bulk, in tank vehicles, and hides), from the plant site of Missouri Beef Packers, Inc., at Plainview, Tex., to points in Florida. The purpose of this filing is to eliminate the gateway of West Point, Miss.

No. MC 115841 (Sub-No. E96), filed June 4, 1974. Applicant: COLONIAL REFRIGERATED TRANSPORTATION INCORPORATED, P.O. Box 10327, Birmingham, Ala. 35202. Applicant's representative: E. Stephen Helsley, 666 11th St. NW., Washington, D.C. 20001. Authority sought to operate as a *common carrier*, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: *Meat, meat products, and meat by-products, dairy products, and articles* distributed by meat packinghouses, unfrozen (except liquid commodities in bulk), as described in Sections A, B, and C of Appendix I to the report in *Descriptions in Motor Carrier Certificates*, 61 M.C.C. 209 and 766, and frozen foods, in vehicles equipped with mechanical refrigeration, from Chattanooga, Tenn., to points in California,

Oregon, and Washington. The purpose of this filing is to eliminate the gateway of Birmingham, Ala.

No. MC 116886 (Sub-No. E1), filed May 15, 1974. Applicant: HOWELL'S MOTOR FREIGHT, INC., 2210 Winston Ave. SW Roanoke, Va. 24014. Applicant's representative: R. Ray Rush, 300 Shenandoah Bldg., Roanoke, Va. 24005. Authority sought to operate as a *common carrier*, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: *Meats, meat products, and meat by-products, dairy products, and articles* distributed by meat packinghouses, as described in Sections A, B, and C of Appendix I to the report in *Descriptions in Motor Carrier Certificates*, 61 M.C.C. 209 and 766 (except commodities in bulk, in tank vehicles; (1) from Norfolk, Va., to points in North Carolina, South Carolina, points in Georgia on and east of U.S. Highway 75 and points in Tennessee on and east of U.S. Highway 27; (2) from Hamilton County, Ohio, points in that part of Indiana on and south of U.S. Highway 40 and those in that part of Kentucky on and east of U.S. Highway 41 to points in West Virginia (with no transportation for compensation on return except as otherwise authorized); (3) from points in Virginia on and south of U.S. Highway 460 to points in North Carolina, South Carolina, points in Georgia on and east of U.S. Highway 75, points in Tennessee on and east of U.S. Highway 27 and points in West Virginia on and south of U.S. Highway 60, (4) from Kingsport, Johnson City, Erwin, Fordtown, and Gray, Tenn., to points in North Carolina, South Carolina, and points in Georgia; (5) from points in Virginia on and east of U.S. Highway 15 to points in North Carolina, South Carolina, and points in Georgia on and east of U.S. Highway 76, (6) from Raleigh and Durham, N.C., to points in North Carolina, South Carolina, West Virginia, on and south of U.S. Highway 60 and Tennessee, on and east of U.S. Highway 27, and Georgia on and east of U.S. Highway 75; and (7) from High Point and Wilson, N.C., to points in North Carolina, South Carolina, to points in Virginia on and south of U.S. Highway 460, points in West Virginia on and south of U.S. Highway 60, points in Tennessee east of U.S. Highway 27, and points in Georgia east of U.S. Highway 75. The purpose of this filing is to eliminate the gateways in: (1) Raleigh, Charlotte, Fayetteville, Durham, and Asheville, Durham, and Asheville, N.C.; in (2) Hamilton, Ohio; in (3) Charlotte, Fayetteville, Durham, and Raleigh, N.C., Rockhill, S.C., and Roanoke, Va.; in (4) Asheville and Charlotte, N.C., and Columbia, S.C.; in (5) Norfolk, Va., Durham, Raleigh, Fayetteville and Charlotte, N.C., and Columbia, S.C.; in (6) Charlotte, N.C., and Roanoke, Va.; and in (7) Roanoke and Danville, Va.

No. MC 119531 (Sub-No. E290), filed May 24, 1974. Applicant: SUN EXPRESS, INC., Wooster Rd., Cincinnati, Ohio. Applicant's representative: Paul P. Beery, 8 East Broad St., Columbus, Ohio

43215. Authority sought to operate as a *common carrier*, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: *Glass containers*, from the facilities of Metro Glass, a division of Kraftco Corp., at Carteret and Jersey City, N.J., to points in Wisconsin. The purpose of this filing is to eliminate the gateway of Dolton, Ill.

No. MC 119777 (Sub-No. E47), filed April 23, 1974. Applicant: LIGON SPECIALIZED HAULER, INC., P.O. Box Drawer L, Madisonville, Ky. 42431. Applicant's representative: Ronald E. Butler (same as above). Authority sought to operate as a *common carrier*, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: *Pallets, skids, bases, boxes, crating, oak treads, oak risers, oak sills, oak molding, cardboard cartons, nails, and lumber*; (a) between Blytheville, Ark., on the one hand, and, on the other, those points in Colorado on U.S. Highway 40 from Elk Springs to the Colorado-Utah State line; (b) between points in Arkansas, on and north of a line beginning at the Arkansas-Oklahoma State line, thence along Arkansas Highway 22 to junction Arkansas Highway 7, thence along Arkansas Highway 7 to junction U.S. Highway 65, thence along U.S. Highway 65 to junction Arkansas Highway 9, thence along Arkansas Highway 9 to junction Arkansas Highway 14, thence along Arkansas Highway 14 to junction Arkansas Highway 18, thence along Arkansas Highway 18 to junction Arkansas Highway 77, thence along Arkansas Highway 77 to junction Arkansas Highway 140, thence along Arkansas Highway 140 to junction U.S. Highway 61, thence along U.S. Highway 61 to junction Arkansas Highway 120, thence along Arkansas Highway 120 to the Tennessee-Arkansas State line, on the one hand, and, on the other, points in Florida on, south, and east of a line beginning at the Florida-Georgia State line, thence along Florida Highway 121 to junction U.S. Highway 441, thence along U.S. Highway 441 to junction U.S. Highway 301, thence along U.S. Highway 301 to junction Florida Highway 50, thence along Florida Highway 50 to junction U.S. Highway 41, thence along U.S. Highway 41 to junction Florida Highway 52, thence along Florida Highway 52 to the Gulf of Mexico.

(c) Between points in Arkansas, on, north, and west of a line beginning at the Arkansas-Missouri State line, thence along Arkansas Highway 21 to junction Arkansas Highway 103, thence along Arkansas Highway 103 to junction Arkansas Highway 68, thence along Arkansas Highway 68 to junction Arkansas Highway 74, thence along Arkansas Highway 74 to junction U.S. Highway 62, thence along U.S. Highway 62 to the Arkansas-Oklahoma State line, on the one hand, and, on the other, points in Georgia, on, north, and east of a line beginning at the Alabama-Georgia State line, thence along Georgia Highway 143 to junction U.S. Highway 41, thence along U.S. Highway 41 to the Georgia-Florida State line; (d) between points in Arkansas, on and south of a line beginning at the Arkan-

sas-Oklahoma State line, thence along U.S. Highway 270 to junction Arkansas Highway 5, thence along Arkansas Highway 5 to junction U.S. Highway 67 and 70, thence along U.S. Highway 67 and 70 to junction U.S. Highway 70, thence along U.S. Highway 70 to junction Arkansas Highway 31, thence along Arkansas Highway 31 to junction Interstate Highway 40, thence along Interstate Highway 40 to the Arkansas-Tennessee State line, on the one hand, and, on the other, points in Illinois, on and east of a line beginning at the Illinois-Wisconsin State line, thence along Illinois Highway 47 to junction U.S. Highway 14, thence along U.S. Highway 14 to junction Illinois Highway 31, thence along Illinois Highway 31 to junction U.S. Highway 20, thence along U.S. Highway 20 to the junction of Illinois Highway 53, thence along Illinois Highway 53 to junction U.S. Highway 52, thence along U.S. Highway 52 to junction Illinois Highway 1, thence along Illinois Highway 1 to junction U.S. Highway 40, thence along U.S. Highway 40 to the Illinois-Indiana State line;

(e) Between points in Arkansas, on and south of a line beginning at the Arkansas-Oklahoma State line, thence along U.S. Highway 59 to junction U.S. Highway 71, thence along U.S. Highway 71 to junction Arkansas Highway 23, thence along Arkansas Highway 23 to junction Interstate Highway 40, thence along Interstate Highway 40 to junction U.S. Highway 64, thence along U.S. Highway 64 to junction Interstate Highway 55, thence along Interstate Highway 55 to the Arkansas-Tennessee State line, on the one hand, and, on the other, points in Indiana; (f) between points in Chicot County, Ark., on and south of U.S. Highway 82, on the one hand, and, on the other, points in Iowa, on, north, and east of a line beginning at the Minnesota-Iowa State line, thence along U.S. Highway 218 to junction Iowa Highway 3, thence along Iowa Highway 3 to junction Iowa Highway 13, thence along Iowa Highway 13 to junction U.S. Highway 20, thence along U.S. Highway 20 to junction Iowa Highway 136, thence along Iowa Highway 136 to junction Iowa Highway 64, thence along Iowa Highway 64 to junction U.S. Highway 67, thence along U.S. Highway 67 to junction U.S. Highway 30, thence along U.S. Highway 30 to the Iowa-Illinois State line; (g) between points in Arkansas, on and south of a line beginning at the Arkansas-Missouri State line, thence along Arkansas Highway 25 to junction U.S. Highway 67, thence along U.S. Highway 67 to junction U.S. Highway 64, thence along U.S. Highway 64 to junction Arkansas Highway 27, thence along Arkansas Highway 27 to junction U.S. Highway 270, thence along U.S. Highway 270 to junction Arkansas Highway 88, thence along Arkansas Highway 88 to the Arkansas-Oklahoma State line, on the one hand, and, on the other, points in Michigan;

(h) Between points in Arkansas, on and east of a line beginning at the

Arkansas-Missouri State line, thence along U.S. Highway 61 to junction Arkansas Highway 18, thence along Arkansas Highway 18 to junction Arkansas Highway 39, thence along Arkansas Highway 39 to junction U.S. Highway 70, thence along U.S. Highway 70 to junction Arkansas Highway 31, thence along Arkansas Highway 31 to junction Arkansas Highway 15, thence along Arkansas Highway 15 to junction Arkansas Highway 4, thence along Arkansas Highway 4 to junction Arkansas Highway 160, thence along Arkansas Highway 160 to junction Arkansas Highway 172, thence along Arkansas Highway 172 to junction U.S. Highway 167, thence along U.S. Highway 167 to the Arkansas-Louisiana State line, on the one hand, and, on the other, points in Minnesota, on and east of a line beginning at the United States-Canada International Boundary line, thence along U.S. Highway 53 to junction Minnesota Highway 73, thence along Minnesota Highway 73 to junction Minnesota Highway 37, thence along Minnesota Highway 37 to junction U.S. Highway 53, thence along U.S. Highway 53 to Duluth, Minn., on Lake Superior; (i) between points in Arkansas, on, north, and west of a line beginning at the Arkansas-Missouri State line, thence along U.S. Highway 61 to junction Arkansas Highway 18, thence along Arkansas Highway 18 to junction Arkansas Highway 39, thence along Arkansas Highway 39 to junction Arkansas Highway 14, thence along Arkansas Highway 14 to junction Arkansas Highway 25, thence along Arkansas Highway 25 to junction U.S. Highway 65, thence along U.S. Highway 65 to junction U.S. Highway 79, thence along U.S. Highway 79 to the Arkansas-Louisiana State line, on the one hand, and, on the other, points in North Carolina; (j) between points in Arkansas, on and east of a line beginning at the Arkansas-Missouri State line, thence along U.S. Highway 62 to junction Arkansas Highway 1, thence along Arkansas Highway 1 to junction Arkansas Highway 39, thence along Arkansas Highway 39 to junction U.S. Highway 70, thence along U.S. Highway 70 to junction Arkansas Highway 17, thence along Arkansas Highway 17 to junction U.S. Highway 79, thence along U.S. Highway 79 to junction Arkansas Highway 130, thence along Arkansas Highway 130 to junction Arkansas Highway 1, thence along Arkansas Highway 1 to junction U.S. Highway 65, thence along U.S. Highway 65 to junction U.S. Highway 165, thence along U.S. Highway 165 to the Arkansas-Louisiana State line, on the one hand, and, on the other, points in North Dakota, on, north, and west of a line beginning at the United States-Canada International Boundary line, thence along North Dakota Highway 256 to junction North Dakota Highway 5, thence along North Dakota Highway 5 to junction North Dakota Highway 8, thence along North Dakota Highway 8 to junction U.S. Highway 2, thence along U.S. Highway 2 to junction North Dakota-Montana State line.

(k) Between points in Arkansas, on, east, and south of a line beginning at the Arkansas-Oklahoma State line, thence along U.S. Highway 64 to junction Arkansas Highway 23, thence along Arkansas Highway 23 to junction Arkansas Highway 16, thence along Arkansas Highway 16 to junction Arkansas Highway 74, thence along Arkansas Highway 74 to junction Arkansas Highway 23, thence along Arkansas Highway 23 to the Arkansas-Missouri State line, on the one hand, and, on the other, points in Ohio; (l) between points in Arkansas, on, north, and west of a line beginning at the Texas-Arkansas State line, thence along U.S. Highway 67 to junction U.S. Highway 270, thence along U.S. Highway 270, thence along Arkansas Highway 31 to junction U.S. Highway 64, thence along U.S. Highway 64 to junction Arkansas Highway 39, thence along Arkansas Highway 39 to junction Arkansas Highway 14, thence along Arkansas Highway 14 to junction Arkansas Highway 1, thence along Arkansas Highway 1 to junction Arkansas Highway 18, thence along Arkansas Highway 18 to junction U.S. Highway 61, thence along U.S. Highway 61 to the Arkansas-Missouri State line, on the one hand, and, on the other, points in South Carolina, on and east of a line beginning at Charleston, S.C., on the Atlantic Ocean, thence along Interstate Highway 26 to the South Carolina-North Carolina State line.

(m) Between points in Arkansas, on and east of a line beginning at Berfield, Ark., thence along Arkansas Highway 18 to junction U.S. Highway 61, thence along U.S. Highway 61 to junction Arkansas Highway 120, thence along Arkansas Highway 120 to the Arkansas-Tennessee State line, on the one hand, and, on the other, points in South Dakota, on, north, and west of a line beginning at the Wyoming-South Dakota State line, thence along U.S. Highway 16 to junction North Dakota Highway 36, thence along North Dakota Highway 36 to junction North Dakota Highway 79, thence along North Dakota Highway 79 to junction North Dakota Highway 34, thence along North Dakota Highway 34 to junction North Dakota Highway 73, thence along North Dakota Highway 73 to junction U.S. Highway 212, thence along U.S. Highway 212 to junction North Dakota Highway 65, thence along North Dakota Highway 65 to junction North Dakota Highway 20, thence along North Dakota Highway 20 to junction U.S. Highway 12, thence along U.S. Highway 12 to junction U.S. Highway 281, thence along U.S. Highway 281 to the North Dakota-South Dakota State line; (n) between points in Arkansas, on, north, and west of a line beginning at the Arkansas-Missouri State line, thence along U.S. Highway 61 to junction Arkansas Highway 140, thence along Arkansas Highway 140 to junction Arkansas Highway 14, thence along Arkansas Highway 14 to junction U.S. Highway 67, thence along U.S. Highway 67 to junction Arkansas Highway 67 to junction Arkansas Highway 130, thence along

Arkansas Highway 130 to junction Arkansas Highway 1, thence along Arkansas Highway 1 to junction U.S. Highway 65, thence along U.S. Highway 65 to junction U.S. Highway 82, thence along U.S. Highway 82 to the Arkansas-Mississippi State line, on the one hand, and, on the other, points in Tennessee, on and north of a line beginning at the Kentucky-Tennessee State line, thence along Tennessee Highway 51 to junction U.S. Highway 27, thence along U.S. Highway 27 to junction Tennessee Highway 63, thence along Tennessee Highway 63 to junction U.S. Highway 25-E, thence along U.S. Highway 25-E to junction Interstate Highway 40, thence along Interstate Highway 40 to the North Carolina-Tennessee State line.

(c) Between points in Arkansas, on and south of a line beginning at the Oklahoma-Arkansas State line, thence along U.S. Highway 70 to junction Interstate Highway 30, thence along Interstate Highway 30 to junction Interstate Highway 40, thence along Interstate Highway 40 to the Arkansas-Tennessee State line, on the one hand, and, on the other, points in Wisconsin, on and east of a line beginning at the Illinois-Wisconsin State line, thence along U.S. Highway 14 to junction Wisconsin Highway 89, thence along Wisconsin Highway 89 to junction Wisconsin Highway 59, thence along Wisconsin Highway 59 to junction Wisconsin Highway 67, thence along Wisconsin Highway 67 to junction U.S. Highway 16, thence along U.S. Highway 16 to junction Wisconsin Highway 26, thence along Wisconsin Highway 26 to junction Wisconsin Highway 49, thence along Wisconsin Highway 49 to junction Wisconsin Highway 23, thence along Wisconsin Highway 23 to junction U.S. Highway 51, thence along U.S. Highway 51 to the Wisconsin-Michigan State line. The purpose of this filing is to eliminate the gateways of Logan County, Ky., and Muhlenberg County, Ky.

No. MC 119777 (Sub-No. E50), filed April 23, 1974. Applicant: LIGON SPECIALIZED HAULER, INC., P.O. Drawer L, Madisonville, Ky. 42431. Applicant's representative: Ronald E. Butler (same as above). Authority sought to operate as a common carrier, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: *Pallets, skids, bases, boxes, crating, oak treads, oak risers, oak sills, oak molding, cardboard cartons, nails, and lumber*. (1) (a) between points in Florida, on the one hand, and, on the other, points in Kansas, on and north of a line beginning at Kansas City, Kans., thence along Interstate Highway 70 to junction Interstate Highway 35, thence along Interstate Highway 35 to junction U.S. Highway 50, thence along U.S. Highway 50 to junction Kansas Highway 96, thence along Kansas Highway 96 to the Kansas-Colorado State line, (b) between points in Florida, on and west of a line beginning at the Alabama-Florida State line, thence along U.S. Highway 231 to the Gulf of Mexico, on the one hand, and, on the other, points in Maine, (c) between points in Florida, on and west of a line beginning at the Alabama-

Florida State line, thence along U.S. Highway 29 to Pensacola, Fla., on the Gulf of Mexico, on the one hand, and, on the other, points in Maryland on and west of a line beginning at the Pennsylvania-Maryland State line, thence along U.S. Highway 11 to the Maryland-West Virginia State line, (d) between points in Florida, on and west of a line beginning at the Florida-Alabama State line, thence along U.S. Highway 331 to junction U.S. Highway 98, thence along U.S. Highway 98 to junction Florida Highway 283, thence along Florida Highway 283 to Greyton Beach, at the Gulf of Mexico, on the one hand, and, on the other, points in Massachusetts.

(e) Between points in Florida, on and east of a line beginning at the Alabama-Florida State line, thence along U.S. Highway 231 to Panama City, Fla., at the Gulf of Mexico, on the one hand, and, on the other, points in Missouri, on and north of a line beginning at the Arkansas-Missouri State line, thence along U.S. Highway 65 to junction Missouri Highway 86, thence along Missouri Highway 86 to junction Missouri Highway 76, thence along Missouri Highway 76 to junction U.S. Highway 60, thence along U.S. Highway 60 to the Missouri-Kentucky State line, (f) between points in Florida, on and west of a line beginning at the Alabama-Florida State line, thence along U.S. Highway 231 to Panama City, Fla., at the Gulf of Mexico, on the one hand, and, on the other, points in New Hampshire, (g) between Pensacola, Fla., on the one hand, and, on the other, points in New Jersey, on and north of a line beginning at the Pennsylvania-New Jersey State line, thence along U.S. Highway 30 to junction New Jersey Highway 50, thence along New Jersey Highway 50 to junction U.S. Highway 9, thence along U.S. Highway 9 to junction Garden State Parkway, thence along Garden State Parkway to Cape May, N.J., at the Atlantic Ocean, (h) between points in Florida on and west of a line beginning at the Florida-Georgia State line, thence along U.S. Highway 221 to junction U.S. Highway 27, thence along U.S. Highway 27 to junction Florida Highway 361, thence along Florida Highway 361 to the terminus at Dekle Beach (near Live Oak Point) on the Gulf of Mexico, on the one hand, and, on the other, points in New York, on and north of a line beginning at the New York-Pennsylvania State line, thence along New York Highway 19 to junction New York Highway 21, thence along New York Highway 21 to junction New York Highway 245, thence along New York Highway 245 to junction U.S. Highway 20, thence along U.S. Highway 20 to junction New York Highway 5, thence along New York Highway 5 to junction U.S. Highway 11, thence along U.S. Highway 11 to junction New York Highway 12, thence along New York Highway 12 to junction New York Highway 3-A, thence along New York Highway 3 to Plattsburgh, N.Y., on the New York-Vermont State line.

(i) Between points in Florida, on the one hand, and, on the other, points in

Ohio, on and north of a line beginning at the Kentucky-Ohio State line, thence along Ohio Highway 41 to junction U.S. Highway 50, thence along U.S. Highway 50 to junction U.S. Highway 23, thence along U.S. Highway 23 to junction U.S. Highway 22, thence along U.S. Highway 22 to junction Ohio Highway 37, thence along Ohio Highway 37 to junction U.S. Highway 40, thence along U.S. Highway 40 to junction Ohio Highway 79, thence along Ohio Highway 79 to junction Ohio Highway 13, thence along Ohio Highway 13 to junction Ohio Highway 3, thence along Ohio Highway 3 to junction Ohio Highway 585, thence along Ohio Highway 585 to junction Ohio Highway 21, thence along Ohio Highway 21 to junction Interstate Highway 76, thence along Interstate Highway 76 to junction Ohio Highway 8, thence along Ohio Highway 8 to junction Interstate Highway 80, thence along Interstate Highway 80 to junction Ohio Highway 5, thence along Ohio Highway 5 to junction Ohio Highway 82, thence along Ohio Highway 82 to the Ohio-Pennsylvania State line, (j) between points in Florida on, east, and south of a line beginning at the Florida-Alabama State line, thence along U.S. Highway 231 to Panama City, Fla., on the Gulf of Mexico, on the one hand, and, on the other, points in Oklahoma, on and north of a line beginning at the Oklahoma-Missouri State line, thence along U.S. Highway 60 to junction U.S. Highway 64, thence along U.S. Highway 64 to junction U.S. Highway 54, thence along U.S. Highway 54 to the Oklahoma-Texas State line, (k) (1) between points in Florida, on, south, and west of a line beginning at the Georgia-Florida State line, thence along U.S. Highway 1 to junction Interstate Highway 10, thence along Interstate Highway 10 to Atlantic Beach, Fla., on the Atlantic Ocean, on the one hand, and, on the other, points in Pennsylvania, on and north of a line beginning at the New York-Pennsylvania State line, thence along Pennsylvania Highway 430 to junction Pennsylvania Highway 89, thence along Pennsylvania Highway 89 to junction Pennsylvania Highway 8, thence along Pennsylvania Highway 8 to junction U.S. Highway 6, thence along U.S. Highway 6 to the Pennsylvania-Ohio State line.

(2) Between points in Florida, on and west of a line beginning at the Alabama-Florida State line, thence along U.S. Highway 231 to junction Florida Highway 2, thence along Florida Highway 2 to junction Florida Highway 77, thence along Florida Highway 77 to Panama City, Fla., on the Gulf of Mexico, on the one hand, and, on the other, points in Pennsylvania, on, north, and west of a line beginning at the Pennsylvania-Maryland State line, thence along U.S. Highway 219 to junction Pennsylvania Highway 160, thence along Pennsylvania Highway 160 to junction U.S. Highway 30, thence along U.S. Highway 30 to junction U.S. Highway 220, thence along U.S. Highway 220 to junction U.S. Highway 22, thence along U.S. Highway 22 to junction U.S. Highway 522, thence along U.S. Highway 522 to junction U.S.

Highway 11, thence along U.S. Highway 11 to junction U.S. Highway 6, thence along U.S. Highway 6 to junction U.S. Highway 206, thence along U.S. Highway 206 to the Pennsylvania-New Jersey State line, (d) between points in Florida, on and west of a line beginning at the Florida-Alabama State line, thence along U.S. Highway 331 to junction U.S. Highway 98, thence along U.S. Highway 98 to junction Florida Highway 283, thence along Florida Highway 283 to Greyton Beach, Fla., on the Gulf of Mexico, on the one hand, and, on the other, points in Rhode Island, (m) between points in Florida, on and south of a line beginning at Clearwater, Fla., thence along Florida Highway 60 to junction Interstate Highway 4, thence along Interstate Highway 4 to junction Florida Highway 50, thence along Florida Highway 50 to junction Florida Highway 405, thence along Florida Highway 405 to the John F. Kennedy Space Center on the Atlantic Ocean, on the one hand, and, on the other, points in Tennessee, on and north of a line beginning at the Missouri-Tennessee State line, thence along Tennessee Highway 20 to junction U.S. Highway 70, thence along U.S. Highway 70 to junction Interstate Highway 40, thence along Interstate Highway 40 to junction Tennessee Highway 69, thence along Tennessee Highway 69 to junction Tennessee Highway 100, thence along Tennessee Highway 100 to junction U.S. Highway 70, thence along U.S. Highway 70 to junction U.S. Highway 231, thence along U.S. Highway 231 to the Tennessee-Kentucky State line.

(n) Between points in Florida, on and east of a line beginning at the Florida-Georgia State line, thence along U.S. Highway 231 to junction Florida Highway 20, thence along Florida Highway 20 to junction Florida Highway 12, thence along Florida Highway 12 to junction Florida Highway 65, thence along Florida Highway 65 to the terminus of Florida Highway 65 on the Gulf of Mexico between East Point and Green Point, Fla., on the one hand, and, on the other, points in Texas, on and north of a line beginning at the Texas-Oklahoma State line, thence along U.S. Highway 287 to junction Texas Highway 15, thence along Texas Highway 15 to junction U.S. Highway 83, thence along U.S. Highway 83 to junction U.S. Highway 60, thence along U.S. Highway 60 to the Texas-Oklahoma State line, (o) between points in Florida, on and west of a line beginning at the Florida-Alabama State line, thence along U.S. Highway 231 to Panama City on the Gulf of Mexico, on the one hand, and, on the other, points in Vermont, (p) between Pensacola, Fla., on the one hand, and, on the other, points in Virginia, on and north of a line beginning at the Virginia-West Virginia State line, thence along U.S. Highway 250 to junction U.S. Highway 220, thence along U.S. Highway 220 to the Virginia-West Virginia State line, and (q) between points in Florida, on and west of a line beginning at the Florida-Georgia State line, thence along U.S. Highway 221 to junction U.S. Alternate Highway 27,

thence along U.S. Alternate Highway 27 to junction U.S. Highway 98, thence along U.S. Highway 98 to junction Florida Highway 336, thence along Florida Highway 336 to junction U.S. Highway 41, thence along U.S. Highway 41 to junction Florida Highway 48, thence along Florida Highway 48 to junction U.S. Highway 301, thence along U.S. Highway 301 to junction U.S. Highway 98, thence along U.S. Highway 98 to junction Florida Highway 70, thence along Florida Highway 70 to junction Florida Highway 710, thence along Florida Highway 710 to its terminus at or near Riveria Beach, Fla., on the Gulf of Mexico, on the one hand, and, on the other, points in Brooke, Hancock, and Ohio Counties, W. Va.

(2) (a) Between points in Georgia, on the one hand, and, on the other, points in Indiana, on and west of a line beginning at the Indiana-Ohio State line, thence along U.S. Highway 20 to junction U.S. Highway 27, thence along U.S. Highway 27 to junction Indiana Highway 3 (at Fort Wayne, Ind.), thence along Indiana Highway 3 to junction Indiana Highway 46, thence along Indiana Highway 46 to junction Interstate Highway 65, thence along Interstate Highway 65 to junction U.S. Highway 50, thence along U.S. Highway 50 to junction Indiana Highway 135, thence along Indiana Highway 135 to the Indiana-Kentucky State line.

(b) between points in Georgia, on and west of a line beginning at the Georgia-Tennessee State line, thence along Georgia Highway 3 to junction Georgia Highway 52, thence along Georgia Highway 52 to junction U.S. Highway 411, thence along U.S. Highway 411 to junction Georgia Highway 61, thence along Georgia Highway 61 to junction U.S. Highway 27, thence along U.S. Highway 27 to junction Georgia Highway 219, thence along Georgia Highway 219 to junction Georgia Highway 103, thence along Georgia Highway 103 south on Georgia Highway 103 to Columbus, Ga., and the Georgia-Alabama State line, on the one hand, and, on the other, points in Maine, on, north, and east of a line beginning at the Maine-New Hampshire State line, thence along U.S. Highway 2 to junction U.S. Alternate Highway 1, thence along U.S. Alternate Highway 1 to junction Maine Highway 3, thence along Maine Highway 3 to the terminus at the Atlantic Ocean, (c) between points in Georgia, on and west of a line beginning at the Tennessee-Georgia State line, thence along U.S. Highway 27 to junction Georgia Highway 27 to junction Georgia Highway 100, thence along Georgia Highway 100 to junction Georgia Highway 6, thence along Georgia Highway 6 to the Georgia-Alabama State line, on the one hand, and, on the other, points in Massachusetts, on and north of a line beginning at the Massachusetts-New York State line, thence along Massachusetts Highway 2 to junction U.S. Highway 5, thence along U.S. Highway 5 to the Massachusetts-Vermont State line, and points in Massachusetts, on and east of a line beginning at the Massachusetts-New Hampshire State

line, thence along Massachusetts Highway 28 to junction Massachusetts Highway 114, thence along Massachusetts Highway 114 to Salem, Mass., on the Atlantic Ocean.

(d) Between points in Georgia, on the one hand, and, on the other, points in Michigan, on, north, and west of a line beginning at Port Huron, Mich., thence along Michigan Highway 21 to junction Michigan Highway 78, thence along Michigan Highway 78 to junction Michigan Highway 127, thence along Michigan Highway 127 to junction Michigan Highway 60, thence along Michigan Highway 60 to junction U.S. Highway 27, thence along U.S. Highway 27 to the Michigan-Indiana State line, (e) between points in Georgia, on the one hand, and, on the other, points in Missouri, on and north of a line beginning at the Arkansas-Missouri State line, thence along U.S. Highway 65 to junction Missouri Highway 86, thence along Missouri Highway 86 to junction Missouri Highway 76, thence along Missouri Highway 76 to junction U.S. Highway 60, thence along U.S. Highway 60 to the Missouri-Kentucky State line, (f) between points in Georgia, on, north, and west of a line beginning at the Georgia-Tennessee State line, thence along Georgia Highway 2 to junction U.S. Highway 27, thence along U.S. Highway 27 to junction Georgia Highway 337, thence along Georgia Highway 337 to junction Georgia Highway 48, thence along Georgia Highway 48 to the Georgia-Alabama State line, on the one hand, and, on the other, points in New Hampshire, (g) between points in Georgia, on and west of a line beginning at the Tennessee-Georgia State line, thence along U.S. Highway 411 to junction U.S. Highway 41, thence along U.S. Highway 41 to junction Interstate Highway 75, thence along Interstate Highway 75 to junction Georgia Highway 33, thence along Georgia Highway 33 to junction U.S. Highway 319, thence along U.S. Highway 319 to junction U.S. Highway 19, thence along U.S. Highway 19 to the Georgia-Florida State line, on the one hand, and, on the other, points in New York, on and north of a line beginning at the New York-Pennsylvania State line, thence along New York Highway 19 to junction New York Highway 21, thence along New York Highway 21 to junction New York Highway 245, thence along New York Highway 245 to junction U.S. Highway 20, thence along U.S. Highway 20 to junction New York Highway 5, thence along New York Highway 5 to junction U.S. Highway 11, thence along U.S. Highway 11 to junction New York Highway 12, thence along New York Highway 12 to junction New York Highway 3-A, thence along New York Highway 3-A to junction New York Highway 3, thence along New York Highway 3 to the terminus at Plattsburgh, N.Y., on the New York-Vermont State line.

(h) Between points in Georgia, on and south of a line beginning at the Alabama-Georgia State line, thence along Georgia Highway 20 to junction U.S. Highway 41, thence along U.S. Highway

41 to junction Interstate Highway 75, thence along Interstate Highway 75 to junction Interstate Highway 16, thence along Interstate Highway 16 to junction Georgia Highway 29, thence along Georgia Highway 29 to junction U.S. Highway 280, thence along U.S. Highway 280 to junction Interstate Highway 16, thence along Interstate Highway 16 to junction U.S. Highway 80, thence along U.S. Highway 80 to the Savannah Beach on the Atlantic Ocean, on the one hand, and, on the other, points in Ohio, on and west of a line beginning at the Indiana-Ohio State line, thence along Ohio Highway 122 to junction U.S. Highway 35, thence along U.S. Highway 35 to junction Ohio Highway 4, thence along Ohio Highway 4 to junction U.S. Highway 68, thence along U.S. Highway 68 to junction Interstate Highway 75, thence along Interstate Highway 75 to the Ohio-Michigan State line, (i) between points in Georgia, on and north-east of a line beginning at the Alabama-Georgia State line, thence along U.S. Highway 27 to junction U.S. Highway 82, thence along U.S. Highway 82 to junction Georgia Highway 91, thence along Georgia Highway 91 to junction Georgia Highway 1, thence along Georgia Highway 1 to junction Georgia Highway 97, thence along Georgia Highway 97 to the Georgia-Florida State line, on the one hand, and, on the other, points in Oklahoma, on and north of a line beginning at the Texas-Oklahoma State line, thence along Oklahoma Highway 51 to junction Oklahoma Highway 33, thence along Oklahoma Highway 33 to junction U.S. Highway 69, thence along U.S. Highway 69 to junction Interstate Highway 44, thence along Interstate Highway 44 to junction U.S. Highway 60, thence along U.S. Highway 60 to the Oklahoma-Missouri State line.

(j) Between points in Georgia, on and west of a line beginning at the Tennessee-Georgia State line, thence along U.S. Highway 411 to junction Georgia Highway 52, thence along Georgia Highway 52 to junction Georgia Highway 183, thence along Georgia Highway 183 to junction Georgia Highway 53, thence along Georgia Highway 53 to junction U.S. Highway 129, thence along U.S. Highway 129 to junction U.S. Highway 441, thence along U.S. Highway 441 to junction U.S. Highway 23, thence along U.S. Highway 23 to the Georgia-Florida State line, on the one hand, and, on the other, points in Pennsylvania, on and north of a line beginning at the Ohio-Pennsylvania State line on Interstate Highway 90, thence along Interstate Highway 90 to the Pennsylvania-New York State line, (k) between points in Georgia, on and east of a line beginning at the Georgia-Florida State line, thence along Interstate Highway 75 to junction Georgia Highway 257 (at Cordele, Ga.), thence along Georgia Highway 257 to junction Georgia Highway 26, thence along Georgia Highway 26 to junction Interstate Highway 16, thence along Interstate Highway 16 to junction Georgia Highway 96, thence along Georgia Highway 96 to junction

Georgia Highway 18, thence along Georgia Highway 18 to junction Georgia Highway 243, thence along Georgia Highway 243 to junction Georgia Highway 29, thence along Georgia Highway 29 to junction Georgia Highway 22, thence along Georgia Highway 22 to junction Georgia Highway 16, thence along Georgia Highway 16 to junction U.S. Highway 278, thence along U.S. Highway 278 to the Georgia-South Carolina State line, on the one hand, and, on the other, points in Tennessee, on and north of a line beginning at the Tennessee-Missouri State line, thence along Tennessee Highway 20 to junction U.S. Highway 51, thence along U.S. Highway 51 to junction Tennessee Highway 22, thence along Tennessee Highway 22 to junction Tennessee Highway 54, thence along Tennessee Highway 54 to junction U.S. Highway 79, thence along U.S. Highway 79 to junction U.S. Highway 431, thence along U.S. Highway 431 to the Kentucky-Tennessee State line.

(l) Between points in Georgia, on and east of a line beginning at the Georgia-Alabama State line, thence along U.S. Highway 29 to junction Georgia Highway 109, thence along Georgia Highway 109 to junction U.S. Highway 27-A, thence along U.S. Highway 27-A to junction Georgia Highway 41, thence along Georgia Highway 41 to junction Georgia Highway 26, thence along Georgia Highway 26 to junction U.S. Highway 19, thence along U.S. Highway 19 to junction Georgia Highway 133, thence along Georgia Highway 133 to junction Georgia Highway 33, thence along Georgia Highway 33 to junction Georgia Highway 94, thence along Georgia Highway 94 to junction Interstate Highway 75, thence along Interstate Highway 75 to the Georgia-Florida State line, on the one hand, and, on the other, points in Texas, on and north of a line beginning at the Texas-New Mexico State line, thence along U.S. Highway 60 to the Texas-Oklahoma State line, (m) between points in Georgia, on and west of a line beginning at the Georgia-Tennessee State line, thence along U.S. Highway 411 to junction Georgia Highway 61, thence along Georgia Highway 61 to junction Georgia Highway 6, thence along Georgia Highway 6 to junction Georgia Highway 92, thence along Georgia Highway 92 to junction Georgia Highway 5, thence along Georgia Highway 5 to junction U.S. Highway 27-A, thence along U.S. Highway 27-A to junction Georgia Highway 41, thence along Georgia Highway 41 to junction Georgia Highway 45, thence along Georgia Highway 45 to junction U.S. Highway 27, thence along U.S. Highway 27 to the Georgia-Florida State line, on the one hand, and, on the other, points in Vermont, on and north of a line beginning at the New York-Vermont State line, thence along U.S. Highway 4 to the Vermont-New Hampshire State line, and (n) between points in Georgia, on and west of a line beginning at the Tennessee-Georgia State line, thence along U.S. Highway 41 to junction U.S. Highway 341, thence along U.S. Highway 341 to

junction U.S. Highway 41, thence along U.S. Highway 41 to the Georgia-Florida State line, on the one hand, and, on the other, points in West Virginia, on and north of a line beginning at the Ohio-West Virginia State line, thence along Interstate Highway 70 to the West Virginia-Pennsylvania State line.

(3)(a) Between points in Brookport and Cairo, Ill., on the one hand, and, on the other, points in Indiana, on and east of a line beginning at the Indiana-Michigan State line, thence along U.S. Highway 33 to junction U.S. Highway 27, thence along U.S. Highway 27 to junction Indiana Highway 44, thence along Indiana Highway 44 to junction Indiana Highway 3, thence along Indiana Highway 3 to junction Indiana Highway 62, thence along Indiana Highway 62 to junction Interstate Highway 65, thence along Interstate Highway 65 to the Indiana-Kentucky State line, (b) between points in Illinois, on and east of a line beginning at the Illinois-Wisconsin State line, thence along Illinois Highway 26, to junction U.S. Highway 26, thence along U.S. Highway 26 to junction U.S. Highway 51, thence along U.S. Highway 51 to junction U.S. Highway 6, thence along U.S. Highway 6 to junction Illinois Highway 23, thence along Illinois Highway 23 to junction Illinois Highway 17, thence along Illinois Highway 17 to junction Illinois Highway 47, thence along Illinois Highway 47 to junction U.S. Highway 150, thence along U.S. Highway 150, to junction Illinois Highway 130, thence along Illinois Highway 130 to junction Interstate Highway 64, thence along Interstate Highway 64 to the Illinois-Indiana State line, on the one hand, and, on the other, points in Louisiana, (c) between points in Illinois, on and south of a line beginning at the Illinois-Missouri State line, thence along Illinois Highway 57 to junction U.S. Highway 36, thence along U.S. Highway 36 to junction Illinois Highway 104, thence along Illinois Highway 104 to junction Illinois Highway 29, thence along Illinois Highway 29 to junction U.S. Highway 51, thence along U.S. Highway 51 to junction U.S. Highway 40, thence along U.S. Highway 40 to junction Illinois Highway 33, thence along Illinois Highway 33 to junction Illinois Highway 130, thence along Illinois Highway 130 to junction U.S. Highway 50, thence along U.S. Highway 50 to the Illinois-Indiana State line, on the one hand, and, on the other, points in Maine.

(d) Between points in Illinois, on and south of a line beginning at the Illinois-Indiana State line, thence along Illinois Highway 15 to junction Illinois Highway 37, thence along Illinois Highway 37 to junction Illinois Highway 161, thence along Illinois Highway 161 to junction Illinois Highway 158, thence along Illinois Highway 158 to junction U.S. Bypass 50, thence along U.S. Bypass 50 to the Illinois-Missouri State line, on the one hand, and, on the other, points in Maryland, (e) between points in Illinois, on and south of a line beginning at Alton, Ill., on the Missouri-Illinois

State line, thence along Illinois Highway 140 to junction Illinois Highway 127, thence along Illinois Highway 127 to junction U.S. Highway 50, thence along U.S. Highway 50 to the Illinois-Indiana State line, on the one hand, and, on the other, points in Massachusetts, (f) between Brookport and Metropolis, Ill., on the one hand, and, on the other, points in Michigan, (g) between Brookport, Ill., on the one hand, and, on the other, points in Minnesota, on and north of a line beginning at the Minnesota-South Dakota State line, thence along U.S. Highway 14 to junction Minnesota Highway 15, thence along Minnesota Highway 15 to junction Minnesota Highway 5, thence along Minnesota Highway 5 to junction Interstate Highway 494, thence along Interstate Highway 494 to junction Minnesota Highway 12, thence along Minnesota Highway 12 to the Minnesota-Wisconsin State line, (h) between points in Illinois, on, north, and east of a line beginning at the Iowa-Illinois State line, thence along U.S. Highway 52 to junction Illinois Highway 88, thence along Illinois Highway 88 to junction Illinois Highway 121, thence along Illinois Highway 121 to junction Illinois Highway 16, thence along Illinois Highway 16 to junction Illinois Highway 49, thence along Illinois Highway 49 to junction Illinois Highway 33, thence along Illinois Highway 33 to junction Illinois Highway 1, thence along Illinois Highway 1 to junction Interstate Highway 64, thence along Interstate Highway 64 to the Illinois-Indiana State line, on the one hand, and, on the other, points in Mississippi, on and south of a line beginning at the Mississippi-Louisiana State line, thence along U.S. Highway 80 to junction U.S. Highway 61, thence along U.S. Highway 61 to junction Mississippi Highway 3, thence along Mississippi Highway 3 to junction U.S. Highway 49-E, thence along U.S. Highway 49-E to junction U.S. Highway 82, thence along U.S. Highway 82 to junction Mississippi Highway 15, thence along Mississippi Highway 15 to junction Mississippi Highway 50, thence along Mississippi Highway 50 to junction U.S. Highway 45, thence along U.S. Highway 45 to junction U.S. Highway 78, thence along U.S. Highway 78 to the Mississippi-Alabama State line.

(i) Between Brookport, Ill., on the one hand, and, on the other, points in Nebraska, on and west of a line beginning at the South Dakota-Nebraska State line, thence along U.S. Highway 83 to the Kansas-Nebraska State line, (j) between points in Illinois, on and south of a line beginning at the Illinois-Missouri State line, thence along U.S. Highway 54 to junction Illinois Highway 96, thence along Illinois Highway 96 to junction Illinois Highway 100, thence along Illinois Highway 100 to junction Illinois Highway 16, thence along Illinois Highway 16 to junction Illinois Highway 185, thence along Illinois Highway 185 to junction U.S. Highway 51, thence along U.S. Highway 51 to junction U.S. Highway 50, thence along U.S. Highway 50 to the Illinois-Indiana State line, on the

one hand, and, on the other, points in New Hampshire, (k) between points in Illinois, on and south of a line beginning at the Missouri-Illinois State line, thence along U.S. Highway 50 to junction Illinois Highway 1, thence along Illinois Highway 1 to junction Illinois Highway 64, thence along Illinois Highway 64 to the Illinois-Indiana State line, on the one hand, and, on the other, points in New Jersey, (l) between points in Illinois, on and south of a line beginning at the Illinois-Missouri State line, thence along Illinois Highway 150 to junction Illinois Highway 154, thence along Illinois Highway 154 to junction Illinois Highway 148, thence along Illinois Highway 148 to junction U.S. Highway 460, thence along U.S. Highway 460 to junction U.S. Highway 45, thence along U.S. Highway 45 to junction Illinois Highway 141, thence along Illinois Highway 141 to the Illinois-Indiana State line, on the one hand, and, on the other, points in New York.

(m) Between points in Illinois, on the one hand, and, on the other, points in North Carolina, on and south of a line beginning at the Tennessee-North Carolina State line, thence along U.S. Highway 321 to junction U.S. Highway 421, thence along U.S. Highway 421 to junction North Carolina Highway 115, thence along North Carolina Highway 115 to junction U.S. Highway 70, thence along U.S. Highway 70 to junction U.S. Highway 64, thence along U.S. Highway 64 to junction U.S. Highway 70, thence along U.S. Highway 70 to junction U.S. Highway 64, thence along U.S. Highway 64 to junction U.S. Highway 70, thence along U.S. Highway 70 to its terminus at the Atlantic Ocean, (n) between points in Massac County and Cairo, Ill., on the one hand, and, on the other, points in North Dakota, on, north, and west of a line beginning at the North Dakota-South Dakota State line, thence along North Dakota Highway 3 to junction North Dakota Highway 11, thence along North Dakota Highway 11 to junction North Dakota Highway 3, thence along North Dakota Highway 3 to junction North Dakota Highway 13, thence along North Dakota Highway 13 to junction North Dakota Highway 30, thence along North Dakota Highway 30 to junction Interstate Highway 94, thence along Interstate Highway 94 to junction North Dakota Highway 20, thence along North Dakota Highway 20 to junction North Dakota Highway 200, thence along North Dakota Highway 200 to junction North Dakota Highway 1, thence along North Dakota Highway 1 to junction U.S. Highway 2, thence along U.S. Highway 2 to the North Dakota-Minnesota State line at Grand Forks, N. Dak.

(o) Between points in Illinois, on and south of a line beginning at the Missouri-Illinois State line, thence along Illinois Highway 150 to junction Illinois Highway 3, thence along Illinois Highway 3 to junction Illinois Highway 3 to junction Illinois Highway 149, thence along Illinois Highway 149 to junction Illinois Highway 13, thence along Illinois Highway 13 to the Illinois-Kentucky State

line, on the one hand, and, on the other, points in Ohio, on and southeast of a line beginning at the Kentucky-Ohio State line, thence along Ohio Highway 133 to junction Ohio Highway 276, thence along Ohio Highway 276 to junction Ohio Highway 132, thence along Ohio Highway 132 to junction Ohio Highway 48, thence along Ohio Highway 48 to junction U.S. Highway 42, thence along U.S. Highway 42 to junction Ohio Highway 142, thence along Ohio Highway 142 to junction U.S. Highway 40, thence along U.S. Highway 40 to junction U.S. Highway 23, thence along U.S. Highway 23 to junction Ohio Highway 423, thence along Ohio Highway 423 to junction Ohio Highway 4, thence along Ohio Highway 4 to Sandusky, Ohio, on Lake Erie, (p) between points in Illinois, on and east of a line beginning at the Illinois-Wisconsin State line, thence along Illinois Highway 26 to junction U.S. Highway 20, thence along U.S. Highway 20 to junction U.S. Highway 51, thence along U.S. Highway 51 to junction U.S. Highway 6, thence along U.S. Highway 6 to junction Illinois Highway 23, thence along Illinois Highway 23 to junction Illinois Highway 18, thence along Illinois Highway 18 to junction Illinois Highway 17, thence along Illinois Highway 17 to junction Illinois Highway 47, thence along Illinois Highway 47 to junction Interstate Highway 74, thence along Interstate Highway 74 to junction Illinois Highway 130, thence along Illinois Highway 130 to junction U.S. Highway 50, thence along U.S. Highway 50 to the Illinois-Indiana State line, on the one hand, and, on the other, Tom, Okla.

(q) Between points in Illinois, on and south of a line beginning at Harrisonville, Ill., on the Missouri-Illinois State line, thence along Illinois Highway 156 to junction Illinois Highway 13, thence along Illinois Highway 13 to junction Illinois Highway 154, thence along Illinois Highway 154 to junction Illinois Highway 148, thence along Illinois Highway 148 to junction Illinois Highway 14, thence along Illinois Highway 14 to junction U.S. Highway 460, thence along U.S. Highway 460 to the Illinois-Indiana State line, on the one hand, and, on the other, points in Pennsylvania, (r) between points in Illinois, on, south, and west of a line beginning at the Illinois-Missouri State line, thence along U.S. Highway 136 to junction U.S. Highway 96, thence along U.S. Highway 96 to junction U.S. Highway 24, thence along U.S. Highway 24 to junction Illinois Highway 104, thence along Illinois Highway 104 to junction U.S. Highway 67, thence along U.S. Highway 67 to junction Illinois Highway 140, thence along Illinois Highway 140 to junction U.S. Highway 51, thence along U.S. Highway 51 to junction U.S. Highway 50, thence along U.S. Highway 50 to the Illinois-Indiana State line, on the one hand, and, on the other, points in Rhode Island, (s) between points in Massac County, Ill., on the one hand, and, on the other, points in South Dakota, on and west of a line beginning at the South Dakota-Nebraska State line, thence

along South Dakota Highway 73 to junction U.S. Highway 16, thence along U.S. Highway 16 to junction South Dakota Highway 63, thence along South Dakota Highway 63 to junction U.S. Highway 14, thence along U.S. Highway 14 to junction U.S. Highway 83, thence along U.S. Highway 83 to junction U.S. Highway 212, thence along U.S. Highway 212 to junction South Dakota Highway 47, thence along South Dakota Highway 47 to junction U.S. Highway 12, thence along U.S. Highway 12 to junction U.S. Highway 281, thence along U.S. Highway 281 to the North Dakota-South Dakota State line.

(t) Between points in Illinois, on and north of a line beginning at the Missouri-Illinois State line, thence along Illinois Highway 150 to junction Illinois Highway 3, thence along Illinois Highway 3 to junction Illinois Highway 149, thence along Illinois Highway 149 to junction Illinois Highway 13, thence along Illinois Highway 13 to junction Illinois Highway 37, thence along Illinois Highway 37 to junction U.S. Highway 50, thence along U.S. Highway 50 to the Illinois-Indiana State line, on the one hand, and, on the other, points in Tennessee, on and east of a line beginning at the Kentucky-Tennessee State line, thence along U.S. Highway 79 to junction U.S. Alternate Highway 41, thence along U.S. Alternate Highway 41 to junction U.S. Highway 431 at Nashville, thence along U.S. Highway 431 to junction U.S. Highway 31, thence along U.S. Highway 31 to junction Tennessee Highway 11, thence along Tennessee Highway 11 to the Alabama-Tennessee State line, except for points on and north of a line beginning at the Kentucky-Tennessee State line, thence along U.S. Highway 127 to junction Tennessee Highway 52, thence along Tennessee Highway 52 to junction U.S. Highway 27, thence along U.S. Highway 27 to junction Tennessee Highway 62, thence along Tennessee Highway 62 to junction U.S. Highway 11-E, thence along U.S. Highway 11-E to junction Tennessee Highway 93, thence along Tennessee Highway 93 to junction U.S. Highway 11-W, thence along U.S. Highway 11-W to junction U.S. Highway 11, thence along U.S. Highway 11 to the Virginia-Tennessee State line.

(u) Between points in Illinois, on and east of a line beginning at the Illinois-Wisconsin State line, thence along U.S. Highway 51 to junction Illinois Highway 116, thence along Illinois Highway 116 to junction Illinois Highway 116 to junction Illinois Highway 47, thence along Illinois Highway 47 to junction Interstate Highway 74, thence along Interstate Highway 74 to junction Interstate Highway 57, thence along Interstate Highway 57 to junction Illinois Highway 33, thence along Illinois Highway 33 to junction Illinois Highway 130, thence along Illinois Highway 130 to junction U.S. Highway 50, thence along U.S. Highway 50 to the Illinois-Indiana State line, on the one hand, and, on the other, points in Texas, on and east of a line beginning at the United States-

Mexico International Boundary line, thence along U.S. Highway 67 to junction Texas Highway 17, thence along Texas Highway 17 to junction Interstate Highway 20, thence along Interstate Highway 20 to junction Texas Highway 115, thence along Texas Highway 115 to junction Texas Highway 18, thence along Texas Highway 18 to the Texas-New Mexico State line, and points in Texas, on and south of a line beginning at the Texas-New Mexico State line, thence along Texas Highway 176 to junction Interstate Highway 20, thence along Interstate Highway 20 to junction Texas Highway 36, thence along Texas Highway 36 to junction Texas Highway 22, thence along Texas Highway 22 to junction Texas Highway 31, thence along Texas Highway 31 to junction U.S. Highway 271, thence along U.S. Highway 271 to junction Texas Highway 155, thence along Texas Highway 155 to junction U.S. Highway 259, thence along U.S. Highway 259 to junction Texas Highway 11, thence along Texas Highway 11 to junction Texas Highway 49, thence along Texas Highway 49 to junction U.S. Highway 59, thence along U.S. Highway 59 to junction Interstate Highway 20, thence along Interstate Highway 20 to the Texas-Louisiana State line, (v) between points in Illinois, on and south of a line beginning at the Illinois-Missouri State line, thence along Illinois Highway 140 to junction U.S. Highway 40, thence along U.S. Highway 40 to junction U.S. Highway 51, thence along U.S. Highway 51 to junction U.S. Highway 50, thence along U.S. Highway 50 to the Illinois-Indiana State line, on the one hand, and, on the other, points in Vermont.

(w) Between points in Illinois, on and south of a line beginning at the Missouri-Vermont State line, thence along U.S. Highway 50 to junction Interstate Highway 57, thence along Interstate Highway 57 to junction Illinois Highway 15, thence along Illinois Highway 15 to junction Illinois Highway 130, thence along Illinois Highway 130 to junction Illinois Highway 1, thence along Illinois Highway 1 to junction U.S. Highway 460, thence along U.S. Highway 460 to the Illinois-Indiana State line, on the one hand, and, on the other, points in Virginia, and (x) between points in Illinois, on and south of a line beginning at Harrisonville, Ill., on the Missouri-Illinois State line, thence along Illinois Highway 156 to junction Illinois Highway 13, thence along Illinois Highway 13 to junction Illinois Highway 154, thence along Illinois Highway 154 to junction Illinois Highway 183, thence along Illinois Highway 183 to junction Interstate Highway 57, thence along Interstate Highway 57 to junction Illinois Highway 14, thence along Illinois Highway 14 to junction U.S. Highway 460, thence along U.S. Highway 460 to junction U.S. Highway 45, thence along U.S. Highway 45 to junction Illinois Highway 141, thence along Illinois Highway 141 to the Illinois-Indiana State line, on the one hand, and, on the other, points in West Virginia. The purpose of this filing is to eliminate the

gateways of Logan County and Muhlenberg County, Ky.

No. MC 119988 (Sub-No. E41), filed June 3, 1974. Applicant: GREAT WESTERN TRUCKING CO., INC., P.O. Box 1384, Lufkin, Tex. 75902. Applicant's representative: Joe E. Kinard, 201 West Commerce St., Dallas, Tex. 75208. Authority sought to operate as a common carrier, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: (1) *Printed advertising matter*, and (2) *newspaper supplements* otherwise exempt from economic regulation under Section 203(b)(7) of the Interstate Commerce Act when transported in mixed loads with printed advertising matter, from the facilities of the Oklahoma Publishing Co., Web Offset Division, at or near Oklahoma City, Okla., to points in Missouri on, east, and north of a line beginning at the Missouri-Arkansas State line and extending along Missouri Highway 39 to junction U.S. Highway 160, thence along U.S. Highway 160 to the Missouri-Kansas State line. The purpose of this filing is to eliminate the gateway of Independence, Kans.

No. MC 119988 (Sub-No. E50), filed June 3, 1974. Applicant: GREAT WESTERN TRUCKING CO., INC., P.O. Box 1384, Lufkin, Tex. 75902. Applicant's representative: Joe E. Kinard, 201 West Commerce St., Dallas, Tex. 75208. Authority sought to operate as a common carrier, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: (1) *Printed advertising matter*, and (2) *newspaper supplements* otherwise exempt from economic regulation under Section 203(b)(7) of the Interstate Commerce Act when transported in mixed loads with printed advertising matter, from the facilities of Allied Printers and Publishers at or near Tulsa, Okla., to points in Alabama on and south of a line beginning at the Alabama-Mississippi State line and extending along U.S. Highway 84 to the Alabama-Georgia State line (except Mobile, Ala.). The purpose of this filing is to eliminate the gateway of Lufkin, Tex.

No. MC 119988 (Sub-No. E67), filed June 3, 1974. Applicant: GREAT WESTERN TRUCKING CO., INC., P.O. Box 1384, Lufkin, Tex. 75902. Applicant's representative: Joe E. Kinard, 201 West Commerce St., Dallas, Tex. 75208. Authority sought to operate as a common carrier, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: (1) *Printed advertising matter*, and (2) *newspaper supplements* otherwise exempt from economic regulation under Section 203(b)(7) of the Interstate Commerce Act when transported in mixed loads with printed advertising matter, from the facilities of Allied Printers and Publishers at or near Tulsa, Okla., to points in Missouri on, east, and north of a line beginning at the Missouri-Arkansas State line and extending along Missouri Highway 5 to junction U.S. Highway 54, thence along U.S. Highway 54 to the Missouri-Kansas State line. The purpose of this filing is to eliminate the gateway of Montgomery County, Kans.

No. MC 119988 (Sub-No. E92), filed June 3, 1974. Applicant: GREAT WESTERN TRUCKING CO., INC., P.O. Box 1384, Lufkin, Tex. 75902. Applicant's representative: Joe E. Kinard, 201 West Commerce St., Dallas, Tex. 75208. Authority sought to operate as a common carrier, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: (1) *Printing advertising matter*, and (2) *newspaper supplements* otherwise exempt from economic regulation under Section 203(b)(7) of the Interstate Commerce Act when transported in mixed loads with printed advertising matter, from the facilities of Allied Printers and Publishers at or near Tulsa, Okla., to points in New Mexico on and north of a line beginning at the New Mexico-Texas State line, and extending along U.S. Highway 56 to junction U.S. Highway 25, thence along U.S. Highway 25 to junction U.S. Highway 66, thence along U.S. Highway 66 to the New Mexico-Arizona State line. The purpose of this filing is to eliminate the gateway of Montgomery County, Kans.

No. MC 119988 (Sub-No. E106), filed June 3, 1974. Applicant: GREAT WESTERN TRUCKING CO., INC., P.O. Box 1384, Lufkin, Tex. 75902. Applicant's representative: Joe E. Kinard, 201 West Commerce St., Dallas, Tex. 75208. Authority sought to operate as a common carrier, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: (1) *Printed advertising matter*, and (2) *newspaper supplements* otherwise exempt from economic regulation under Section 203(b)(7) of the Act when transported in mixed loads with printed advertising matter, from points in that part of Texas on and bounded by a line beginning at the Texas-Oklahoma State line and extending along U.S. Highway 81 to junction U.S. Highway 181, thence along U.S. Highway 181 to junction Texas Highway 35, thence along Texas Highway 35 to junction U.S. Highway 75, thence along U.S. Highway 75 to junction Texas Highway 21, thence along Texas Highway 21 to junction U.S. Highway 69, thence along U.S. Highway 69 to junction U.S. Highway 271, thence along U.S. Highway 271 to the Texas-Oklahoma State line to point of origin, to points in Ohio. The purpose of this filing is to eliminate the gateway of Montgomery County, Kans.

No. MC 119988 (Sub-No. E109), filed June 3, 1974. Applicant: GREAT WESTERN TRUCKING CO., INC., P.O. Box 1384, Lufkin, Tex. 75902. Applicant's representative: Joe E. Kinard, 201 West Commerce St., Dallas, Tex. 75208. Authority sought to operate as a common carrier, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: (1) *Printed advertising matter*, and (2) *newspaper supplements* otherwise exempt from economic regulation under Section 203(b)(7) of the Act when transported in mixed loads with printed advertising matter, from points in that part of Texas on and bounded by a line beginning at the Texas-Oklahoma State line and extending along U.S. Highway 81 to junction U.S. Highway 181, thence along U.S. Highway 181 to junction Texas Highway

136, thence along Texas Highway 136 to junction U.S. Highway 77, thence along U.S. Highway 77 to junction U.S. Highway 79, thence along U.S. Highway 79 to junction U.S. Highway 75, thence along U.S. Highway 75 to junction Texas Highway 31, thence along Texas Highway 31 to junction Texas Highway 19, thence along Texas Highway 19 to junction U.S. Highway 271, thence along U.S. Highway 271 to the Texas-Oklahoma State line, thence along the Texas-Oklahoma State line to point of origin, to points in Indiana. The purpose of this filing is to eliminate the gateway of Montgomery County, Kans.

No. MC 119988 (Sub-No. E118), filed June 3, 1974. Applicant: GREAT WESTERN TRUCKING CO., INC., P.O. Box 1384, Lufkin, Tex. 75902. Applicant's representative: Joe E. Kinard, 201 West Commerce St., Dallas, Tex. 75208. Authority sought to operate as a common carrier, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: (1) *Printed advertising matter*, and (2) *newspaper supplements* otherwise exempt from economic regulation under Section 203(b)(7) of the Act when transported in mixed loads with printed advertising matter, from points in that part of Texas on and east of a line beginning at the Texas-Oklahoma State line and extending along U.S. Highway 81 to junction U.S. Highway 181, thence along U.S. Highway 181 to the Gulf of Mexico, and points in that part of Texas on and west of a line beginning at the Texas-Oklahoma State line, and extending along U.S. Highway 259 to junction U.S. Highway 59, thence along U.S. Highway 59 to junction U.S. Highway 75, thence along U.S. Highway 75 to the Gulf of Mexico, to points in New Jersey. The purpose of this filing is to eliminate the gateway of Montgomery County, Kans.

By the Commission.

[SEAL] JOSEPH M. HARRINGTON,
Acting Secretary.

[FR Doc. 75-14865 Filed 6-5-75; 8:45 am]

[Notice No. 61]

MOTOR CARRIER TEMPORARY AUTHORITY APPLICATIONS

MAY 30, 1975.

The following are notices of filing of application, except as otherwise specifically noted, each applicant states that there will be no significant effect on the quality of the human environment resulting from approval of its application, for temporary authority under section 210a(a) of the Interstate Commerce Act provided for under the new rules of Ex Parte No. MC-67, (49 CFR 1131) published in the FEDERAL REGISTER, issue of April 27, 1965, effective July 1, 1965. These rules provide that protests to the granting of an application must be filed with the field official named in the FEDERAL REGISTER publication, within 15 calendar days after the date of notice of the filing of the application is published in the FEDERAL REGISTER. One copy of such protests must be served on the ap-

plicant, or its authorized representative, if any, and the protests must certify that such service has been made. The protests must be specific as to the service which such protestant can and will offer, and must consist of a signed original and six (6) copies.

A copy of the application is on file, and can be examined at the Office of the Secretary, Interstate Commerce Commission, Washington, D.C., and also in field office to which protests are to be transmitted.

MOTOR CARRIERS OF PROPERTY

No. MC 29910 (Sub-No. 161TA), filed May 21, 1975. Applicant: ARKANSAS-BEST FREIGHT SYSTEM, INC., 301 South 11th Street, Fort Smith, Ark. 72901. Applicant's representative: Gary D. Bronson (same address as applicant). Authority sought to operate as a common carrier, by motor vehicle, over regular routes, transporting: *General commodities*, (except those of unusual value, Classes A and B explosives, household goods as defined by the Commission, commodities in bulk, and commodities requiring special equipment, serving the plantsite of Potlatch Corporation, located near Cypress Bend, Desha County, Ark., as an off-route point in connection with applicant's regular route operation, for 180 days. Supporting shipper: Potlatch Corporation, P.O. Box 1016, Lewiston, Idaho 83501. Send protests to: William H. Land, Jr., District Supervisor, 2519 Federal Office Bldg., 700 West Capitol, Little Rock, Ark. 72201. Applicant intends to join the requested authority with docket No. MC 29910 and subs thereto.

No. MC 34227 (Sub-No. 13TA), filed May 16, 1975. Applicant: PACIFIC INLAND TRANSPORTATION COMPANY, 1695 Leggett Drive, Denver, Colo. 80137. Applicant's representative: Patrick E. Quinn, 605 South 14th St., P.O. Box 82028, Lincoln, Nebr. 68501. Authority sought to operate as a contract carrier, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: (1) *Suitcases, travel bags, brief cases, and carrying cases*, from points in Denver, Colo., to points in Alabama, Florida, Mississippi, North Carolina and South Carolina, with no transportation for compensation on return except as otherwise authorized; (2) *materials and supplies used in the repair, display and distribution of the commodities named in (1) above*, from points in Columbus, Miss., to points in Denver, Colo. Restriction: The operations authorized herein are limited to a transportation service to be performed, under a continuing contract, or contracts with Samsonite Corporation of Denver, Colo., for 180 days. Supporting shipper: Samsonite Corporation, 11200 East 45th Ave., Denver, Colo. 80217. Send protests to: Roger L. Buchanan, District Supervisor, Interstate Commerce Commission, 1961 Stout St., 2022 Federal Bldg., Denver, Colo. 80202.

No. MC 45059 (Sub-No. 12TA), filed May 21, 1975. Applicant: McNAUGHTON BROS., INC., 625 South 13th Street,

Indiana, Pa. 15701. Applicant's representative: Michael Handler, Mack Bldg., 616 Philadelphia St., Indiana, Pa. 15701. Authority sought to operate as a *common carrier*, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: *Merchandise, equipment and supplies, sold, used or distributed by a manufacturer of cosmetics*, from points in White Township, Indiana County, Pa., to points in Armstrong, Blair, Cambria, Clarion, Clearfield, Fayette, Huntingdon, Indiana, Jefferson, Somerset and Westmoreland Counties, Pa., and from the above named destination points to points in White Township, Indiana County, Pa., for 180 days. Supporting shipper: Avon Products, Inc., 2100 Ogletown Road, Newark, Del. 19711. Send protests to: James C. Donaldson, District Supervisor, Bureau of Operations, Interstate Commerce Commission, 2111 Federal Bldg., Pittsburgh, Pa. 15222.

No. MC 107002 (Sub-No. 471TA), filed May 20, 1975. Applicant: MILLER TRANSPORTERS, INC., P.O. Box 1123, U.S. Highway 80 West, Jackson, Miss. 39205. Applicant's representative: John J. Borth (same address as applicant). Authority sought to operate as a *common carrier*, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: *Tung oil*, in bulk, in tank vehicles, from points in Chason, Fla., to points in International Falls, Minn., for 180 days. Supporting shipper: Alnor Oil Company, Inc., P.O. Box 83, Valley Stream, N.Y. 11582. Send protests to: Alan C. Tarrant, District Supervisor, Interstate Commerce Commission, Room 212, 145 East Amite Bldg., Jackson, Miss. 39201.

No. MC 109533 (Sub-No. 69TA), filed May 20, 1975. Applicant: OVERNITE TRANSPORTATION COMPANY, 1100 Commerce Road, Richmond, Va. 43224. Applicant's representative: C. H. Swanson, P.O. Box 1216, Richmond, Va. 43209. Authority sought to operate as a *common carrier*, by motor vehicle, over regular routes, transporting: *General commodities* (except those of unusual value, Classes A and B explosives, household goods as defined by the Commission, commodities in bulk, and those requiring special equipment), between junction U.S. Highway 60 and U.S. Highway 522, and the James River, serving all intermediate points, from junction U.S. Highway 60 and U.S. Highway 522 over U.S. Highway 522 to the James River and return over the same route, for 180 days. Supporting shippers: Palmer's Store, Route 1, Box 157, A-1, Powhatan, Va. 23139. Beaumont Learning Center, J. G. Davis, Jr., Asst., Supt., Beaumont, Va. 23014. Tilman Lumber Company, Powhatan, Va. 23139. J & M Company, John J. Warden, Owner, P.O. Box 161, Powhatan, Va. 23139. Send protests to: C. M. Harmon, District Supervisor, Bureau of Operations, Interstate Commerce Commission, Room 10-502 Federal Bldg., 400 North 8th St., Richmond, Va. 23240. Applicant intends to join with its existing authority in MC 109533 (Sub-No. 22).

No. MC 111729 (Sub-No. 544TA), filed May 16, 1975. Applicant: PUROLATOR

COURIER CORP., 2 Nevada Drive, Lake Success, N.Y. 11040. Applicant's representative: John M. Delany (same address as applicant). Authority sought to operate as a *common carrier*, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: (1) *General hardware and tools*, restricted against the transportation of packages or articles weighing more than 75 pounds from one consignor to one consignee on any one day, between points in Toledo, Ohio, on the one hand, and, on the other, points in Indiana on and north of U.S. Highway 24 to its junction with U.S. Highway 24, and on and north of U.S. Highway 24 to the Illinois State Line; between points in Toledo, Ohio, on the one hand, and, on the other, points in Cook, Du Page, Kane, Kendall, Lake, Macon, and Will Counties, Ill. (2) *Exposed and processed film and prints, complimentary replacement film, incidental dealer handling supplies and advertising literature* (except motion picture film used primarily for commercial and television exhibition), between points in State College, Pa., on the one hand, and, on the other, points in Cumberland and Hagerstown, Md.; Harrisonburg, Staunton and Winchester, Va.; Beckley and Fairmount, W. Va., for 180 days. Supporting shippers: Bostwick-Braun Company, Summit & Monroe Streets, Toledo, Ohio 43692. Centre Film Lab., Inc., 321 West Beaver Ave., P.O. Box 76, State College, Pa. 16801. Send protests to: Anthony D. Gialmo, District Supervisor, Interstate Commerce Commission, 26 Federal Plaza, New York, N.Y. 10007.

No. MC 111729 (Sub-No. 545TA), filed May 16, 1975. Applicant: PUROLATOR COURIER CORP., 2 Nevada Drive, Lake Success, N.Y. 11040. Applicant's representative: John M. Delany (same address as applicant). Authority sought to operate as a *common carrier*, by motor vehicle over irregular routes, transporting: (1) *Whole human blood and blood derivatives*, between points in Omaha, Nebr., on the one hand, and, on the other, points in Adair, Adams, Audubon, Calhoun, Carroll, Cass, Crawford, Decatur, Fremont, Greene, Guthrie, Harrison, Ida, Mills, Monona, Montgomery, Page, Pottawattamie, Ringgold, Sac, Shelby, Taylor, and Union Counties, Iowa; (2) (a) *Cut flowers, decorative greens, and florist supplies*, when moving at the same time and in the same vehicle with commodities the transportation which is subject to economic regulation; (b) *Business papers, records, and audit and accounting media*, between points in Bensenville, Ill., on the one hand, and, on the other, points in Indiana, Iowa, and Wisconsin, for 180 days. Supporting shippers: American Red Cross, 432 S. 39th, Omaha, Nebr. William Zappettini Company, 710 W. Foster Ave., Bensenville, Ill. 60106. Send protests to: Anthony D. Gialmo, District Supervisor, Interstate Commerce Commission, 26 Federal Bldg., New York, N.Y. 10007.

No. MC 114055 (Sub-No. 5TA), filed May 21, 1975. Applicant: RAY KOLNIK,

doing business as RAY KOLNIK TRUCKING, Prairie View Road, Sak-worth, Wis. 43184. Applicant's representative: Ray Kolnik (same address as applicant). Authority sought to operate as a *contract carrier*, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: *Malt beverages*, from the plantsite of G. Heileman Brewing Company, Inc., Newport, Ky., to points in Elgin, Ill., Fox River Grove, Ill., and Waukegan, Ill.; also, from the plantsite of the G. Heileman Brewing Company of Indiana, Inc., Evansville, Ind., to points in Elgin, Ill., Fox River Grove, Ill., and Waukegan, Ill.; also, from the plantsite of the G. Heileman Brewing Company, Inc., La-Crosse, Wis., to points in Waukegan, Ill., for 180 days. Supporting shippers: Andro Pucin Distributing Co., Inc., 405 Oakwood Ave., Waukegan, Ill. 60085. Elgin Beverage Company, 1685 Fleetwood Drive, Elgin, Ill. 60120. L & V Distributing, Inc., Route 14, P.O. Box 44, Fox River Grove, Ill. 60012.

No. MC 118202 (Sub-No. 49TA), filed May 19, 1975. Applicant: SCHULTZ TRANSIT, INC., P.O. Box 406, Winona, Minn. 55987. Applicant's representative: Stanley C. Olsen, Jr., 1000 First National Bank Bldg., Minneapolis, Minn. 55402. Authority sought to operate as a *common carrier*, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: *Plumbing supplies and accessories*, from the plantsite and warehouse facilities of Powers Fiat Corporation, at or near Plainview, Long Island, N.Y. to points in the United States in and west of Ohio, Kentucky, Tennessee, and Mississippi. Restriction: Restricted to the transportation of traffic originating at the plantsite and warehouse facilities of Powers Fiat Corporation at Plainview, Long Island, N.Y., for 180 days. Supporting shipper: Powers Fiat Corporation, One Michael Court, Plainview, N.Y. 11803. Send protests to: A. N. Spath, District Supervisor, Interstate Commerce Commission, Bureau of Operations, 414 Federal Bldg., & U.S. Court House, 110 S. 4th St., Minneapolis, Minn. 55401.

No. MC 124078 (Sub-No. 650TA), filed May 20, 1975. Applicant: SCHWERTMAN TRUCKING CO., 611 South 28th St., Milwaukee, Wis. 53215. Applicant's representative: Richard H. Prevette (same address as applicant). Authority sought to operate as a *common carrier*, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: *Fly ash*, in bulk, in tank vehicles, from points in Louisville, Ky., to the site of Gathright Dam, Alleghany County, Va., for 180 days. Supporting shipper: Walter N. Handy Co., Inc., 1988 South Glenstone Ave., Springfield, Mo. 65804. Send protests to: John E. Ryden, Interstate Commerce Commission, Bureau of Operations, 135 West Wells St., Room 807, Milwaukee, Wis. 53203.

No. MC 128235 (Sub-No. 15TA), filed May 21, 1975. Applicant: AL JOHNSON TRUCKING, INC., 1516 Marshall Ave. NE., Minneapolis, Minn. 55413. Applicant's representative: Earl Hacking, 1700 New Brighton Blvd., Minneapolis, Minn. 55413. Authority sought to operate as a

common carrier, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: *Malt beverages*, in containers, from points in Grainbelt Breweries, Minneapolis, Minn., to points in Madison, S. Dak., for 180 days. Supporting shipper: Madison Grainbelt, Inc., 217 SW 1st St., Madison, S. Dak. 57042. Send protests to: A. N. Spath, District Supervisor, Bureau of Operations, Interstate Commerce Commission, 414 Federal Bldg., & U.S. Court House, 110 S. 4th St., Minneapolis, Minn. 55401.

No. MC 136689 (Sub-No. 5TA), filed May 16, 1975. Applicant: SLUGHTER TRANSPORTATION CORPORATION, 10910 Lane Street, Houston, Tex. 77029. Applicant's representative: Jo E. Shaw, 816 Houston First Savings Bldg., Houston, Tex. 77002. Authority sought to operate as a *contract carrier*, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: *Empty plastic bottles* in containers, from the plantsite of Swell Plastics, Inc., at or near Reserve, La., to the plantsite of Houston Distilled Water Company, Inc., in Houston, Tex., for 180 days. Supporting shipper: Houston Distilled Water Co., Inc., 2801 Polk St., Houston, Tex. 77003. Send protests to: John Mensing, District Supervisor, Interstate Commerce Commission, 8610 Federal Bldg., 515 Rusk, Houston, Tex. 77002.

No. MC 136786 (Sub-No. 74TA), filed May 20, 1975. Applicant: ROBCO TRANSPORTATION, INC., 309 Fifth Ave., NW., New Brighton, Minn. 55112. Applicant's representative: Stanley C. Olsen, Jr., 1000 First National Bank Bldg., Minneapolis, Minn. 55402. Authority sought to operate as a *common carrier*, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: *Carpet cushion* from points in Columbus, Miss., to points in Minnesota, for 180 days. Supporting shipper: Neidhoefer & Company, 323 Stinson Blvd., Minneapolis, Minn. 55413. Send protests to: Raymond T. Jones, District Supervisor, Bureau of Operations, Interstate Commerce Commission, 414 Federal Bldg., & U.S. Court House, 110 S. 4th St., Minneapolis, Minn. 55401.

No. MC 138432 (Sub-No. 4TA), filed May 19, 1975. Applicant: GARLAND GEHRKE, R.F.D. #1, Lincoln, Ill. 62656. Applicant's representative: James R. Madler, Suite 1608, 1255 North Sandburg Terrace, Chicago, Ill. 60610. Authority sought to operate as a *common carrier*, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: *Beer and related advertising material*, from points in St. Paul, Minn., to points in Bloomington, and Lincoln, Ill.; and *glass containers*, from points in Lincoln, Ill., to points in Minnesota, for 180 days. Supporting shipper: Jack Wombacher, Sec'y-Treas., B & J Sales, Inc., 1104 E. Lafayette, Bloomington, Ill. 61701 and 1760 Fifth St., Lincoln, Ill. 62656. Send protests to: Harold C. Jolliff, District Supervisor, Interstate Commerce Commission, P.O. Box 2418, Springfield, Ill. 62705.

No. MC 140460 (Sub-No. 2TA), filed May 21, 1975. Applicant: COAST RE-

FRIGERATED TRUCKING CO., INC., P.O. Box 188, Holly Ridge, N.C. Applicant's representative: Bobby Keith (same address as applicant). Authority sought to operate as a *common carrier*, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: *Pickled products*, (except in bulk), from (a) points in Imlay City, Bridgeport and Memphis, Mich., to points in Greenville, Miss.; and (b) from points in Greenville, Miss., to points in Florida, Georgia, Alabama, Tennessee, Kentucky, Indiana, Missouri, Kansas and South Carolina, for 180 days. Supporting shipper: Vlastic Foods, Inc., 33200 West 14 Mile Run, West Bloomfield, Mich. 48033. Send protests to: Archie W. Andrews, District Supervisor, Bureau of Operations, Interstate Commerce Commission, P.O. Box 26896, Raleigh, N.C. 27611.

No. MC 140733 (Sub-No. 1TA), filed May 21, 1975. Applicant: DWANE L. FORD doing business as D & G TRUCKING, 424 Canyon, Nampa, Idaho 83651. Applicant's representative: F. L. Sigloh, P.O. Box 7651, Boise, Idaho 83707. Authority sought to operate as a *contract carrier*, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: *Insulation and insulating materials and supplies*, except commodities in bulk, in tank vehicles, from the Plant of Thermo Products Corp., at Chilton, Tex., to points in Wyoming, Montana, Utah, Nevada, Idaho, Oregon, Washington, and those in California north of U.S. Highway 50, for 180 days. Supporting shipper: Thermo Products of Idaho, 2923 Holden Lane, Boise, Idaho 83706. Send protests to: C. W. Campbell, District Supervisor, Interstate Commerce Commission, 550 West Fort St., Box 07, Boise, Idaho 83724.

No. MC 140913 (Sub-No. 1TA), filed May 20, 1975. Applicant: CLARK D. ROTHGEB, Route 2, Box 122, Broadway, Va. 22815. Authority sought to operate as a *contract carrier*, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: *Asphalt and stone*, in bulk, in dump vehicles, from points in Broadway, Va., to points in Hardy County, W. Va., for 180 days. Supporting shipper: C. S. Mundy Quarries, Inc., Broadway, Va. 22815. Send protests to: Danny R. Beeler, District Supervisor, Bureau of Operations, Interstate Commerce Commission, 215 Campbell Ave., S.W., Roanoke, Va. 24011.

No. MC 140949TA, filed May 21, 1975. Applicant: WILMA BURTON, doing business as BURTON TRUCKING, Route 4, Cleveland, Ga. 30528. Applicant's representative: Wilma Burton (same address as applicant). Authority sought to operate as a *contract carrier*, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: *Lumber and wood chips*, from points in Clarksville and Cleveland, Ga., to points in South Carolina, North Carolina, Tennessee, Kentucky, Alabama, and Florida, for 180 days. Supporting shippers: Mize Lumber Company, P.O. Box 165, Clarksville, Ga. 30523. Sims Sawmill, Clarksville, Ga. 30523. Send protests to: William L. Scroggs, District Supervisor, 1252 W. Peachtree St., N.W., Room 546, Atlanta, Ga. 30309.

No. MC 140957 (Sub-No. 1TA), filed May 19, 1975. Applicant: JOSEPH Z. MASSEY, doing business as MASSEY'S VACUUM TRUCK SERVICE, 1907 West-ern Avenue, Farmington, N. Mex. 87401. Applicant's representative: Robert Cardin, 218 West Apache, Farmington, N. Mex. 87401. Authority sought to operate as a *common carrier*, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: *Sacked mud, chemicals, and loss circulation material*, from warehouse to well head, the transportation of which does not require specialized equipment, between points in Farmington, N. Mex., on the one hand, and, points in Apache and Navajo Counties, Ariz.; points on and east of U.S. Highway 89 and on and south of U.S. Highway 50 in Utah; points on and south of U.S. Highway 50 in Colorado, on the other hand, for 180 days. Supporting shippers: C. S. Willis, Area Manager, Oilfield Products Division of Dresser Industries, Inc., 418 Republic Bldg., Denver, Colo. 80202. Pete Staley, Area Manager, Runnels Mud Company, Area Distributor, IMCO Products and Services, 1800 East 24th Farmington, N. Mex. 87401. Send protests to: John H. Kirkemo, District Supervisor, Bureau of Operations, Interstate Commerce Commission, k106 Federal Office Bldg., 517 Gold Avenue SW., Albuquerque, N. Mex. 87101.

No. MC 140966TA, filed May 19, 1975. Applicant: MOORE'S TRANSFER, INC., Box 1151, Norfolk, Nebr. 68765. Applicant's representative: Einar Viren, 904 City National Bank Bldg., Omaha, Nebr. 68102. Authority sought to operate as a *contract carrier*, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: *Cellulose insulating material* in bags and liquid glue in 55 gallon drums, from the plant sites of National Cellulose Corporation, 12315 Robbin Blvd., Houston, Tex., and Diversified Insulation Company, Wells-ville, Kans., to points in Nebraska, for 180 days. Supporting shipper: Acoustical Spray Insulation Company, Inc., Tom Loisel, President, Box 1321, Norfolk, Nebr. 68701. Send protests to: Carroll Russell, District Supervisor, Suite 620 Union Pacific Plaza, 110 North 14th St., Omaha, Nebr. 68102.

No. MC 140967TA, filed May 19, 1975. Applicant: ARLEN LINDQUIST, doing business as ARLEN E. LINDQUIST TRUCKING, 3851 85th Avenue, NE., New Brighton, Minn. 55112. Applicant's representative: F. H. Kroeger, 1745 University Avenue, St. Paul, Minn. 55104. Authority sought to operate as a *contract carrier*, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: *Commodities sold distributed, or used by automotive, truck, agricultural or industrial supply houses, repair centers or service stations*, under a continuing contract or contracts with Pfeiffer Corp., of Anoka, Minn., between points in Alabama, Illinois, Indiana, Iowa, Kansas, Upper Peninsula of Michigan, Minnesota, Missouri, Nebraska, Ohio, Oklahoma, Tennessee, and Wisconsin, on the one hand, and, on the other, points in Iowa, Upper Peninsula of Michigan, North Dakota, South Dakota, and

Wisconsin, for 180 days. Supporting shipper: Pfeider Corp., 617 Pierce St., Anoka, Minn. 55303. Send protests to: Raymond T. Jones, District Supervisor, Bureau of Operations, Interstate Commerce Commission, 414 Federal Bldg., & U.S. Court House, 110 S. 4th St., Minneapolis, Minn. 55401.

No. MC 140968TA, filed May 19, 1975. Applicant: VALLEY TRANSPORT, INC., Drayton, N. Dak. 58225. Applicant's representative: Charles E. Johnson, 425 Gate City Bldg., Fargo, N. Dak. 58102. Authority sought to operate as a *contract carrier*, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: *Lime rock*, from points in Duluth, Minn., to points in Drayton, N. Dak., restricted to transportation services to be performed under a contract or continuing contracts with American Crystal Sugar Company, Moorhead, Minn., for 180 days. Supporting shipper: American Crystal Sugar Company, 101 North Third St., Moorhead, Minn. 56560. Send protests to: J. H. Ambs, District Supervisor, Bureau of Operations, Interstate Commerce Commission, P.O. Box 2340, Fargo, N. Dak. 58102.

No. MC 140969TA, filed May 19, 1975. Applicant: GULF SHIPPING & TRUCKING, INC., 130 Port Road, Riviera Beach, Fla. 33404. Applicant's representative: John P. Bond, 2766 Douglas Road, Miami, Fla. 33133. Authority sought to operate as a *contract carrier*, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: *General commodities* except the following: Class A and B explosives; articles that require special handling and special equipment, and household goods, between the Port of Palm Beach (Florida), on the one hand, and, on the other hand, points in Dade, Broward and Palm Beach Counties, Fla., restricted to all shipments having a subsequent movement by water, for 180 days. Supporting shipper: Gulf Shipping Agency (America), Inc., 130 Port Road, Riviera Beach, Fla. 33404. Send protests to: Joseph B. Teichert, District Supervisor, Bureau of Operations, Interstate Commerce Commission, Palm Coast II Bldg., Suite 208, 5255 N.W. 87th Ave., Miami, Fla. 33178.

By the Commission.

[SEAL] JOSEPH M. HARRINGTON,
Acting Secretary.

[FR Doc.75-14866 Filed 6-5-75;8:45 am]

FOURTH SECTION APPLICATIONS FOR RELIEF

JUNE 3, 1975.

An application, as summarized below, has been filed requesting relief from the

requirements of section 4 of the Interstate Commerce Act to permit common carriers named or described in the application to maintain higher rates and charges at intermediate points than those sought to be established at more distant points.

Protests to the granting of an application must be prepared in accordance with rule 40 of the general rules of practice (49 CFR 1100.40) and filed on or before June 23, 1975.

FSA No. 42998—*Joint Rail-Water Container Rates—United States Lines, Inc.* Filed by United States Lines, Inc. (No. 7), for itself and interested rail carriers. Rates on general commodities, from Singapore, Republic of Singapore, Port Kelang and Penang, Malaya, to rail carrier's terminals on U.S. Atlantic and Gulf Coasts. Grounds for relief—Water competition. Tariff—United States Lines, Inc., tariff No. 18, I.C.C. No. 18, F.M.C. No. 76. Rates are published to become effective on July 5, 1975.

FSA No. 42999—*Cane Pith and Blackstrap Molasses, Mixed from Points in Louisiana.* Filed by Southwestern Freight Bureau, Agent (No. B-535), for interested rail carriers. Rates on cane pith and blackstrap molasses, mixed, with or without other ingredients, dehydrated, in bulk or in packages, in carloads, as described in the application, from points in Louisiana, to points in IRC, southwestern (including Mississippi River crossings Memphis, Tennessee and south), and western trunk-line territories. Grounds for relief—Rate relationship, short-line distance formula and grouping. Tariffs—Supplements 45 and 102 to Southwestern Freight Bureau, Agent, tariffs SW-2004-J and SW/W-2006-J, I.C.C. Nos. 5160 and 5056, respectively. Rates are published to become effective on July 10, 1975.

FSA No. 43000—*Twine, Sisal from Points in Louisiana and Texas.* Filed by Southwestern Freight Bureau, Agent (No. B-536), for interested rail carriers. Rates on twine, sisal, in carloads, as described in the application, from specified points in Louisiana and Texas, to points in IRC, northern and western trunk-line territories. Grounds for relief—Rate relationship, short-line distance formula and grouping. Tariff—Supplement 102 to Southwestern Freight Bureau, Agent, tariff SW/W-2006-J, I.C.C. No. 5056. Rates are published to become effective on July 10, 1975.

FSA No. 43001—*Joint Water-Rail Container Rates—Seatrains International, S. A.* Filed by Seatrain International, S. A. (No. 19), for itself and interested rail carriers. Rates on general commodities, between rail terminals on the U.S. Pacific

Coast, and ports in Haiti and the Dominican Republic. Grounds for relief—Water competition. Tariff—Seatrains International, S. A., tariffs I.C.C. Nos. 18 and 19. Rates are published to become effective on August 1, 1975.

By the Commission

[SEAL] JOSEPH M. HARRINGTON,
Acting Secretary.

[FR Doc.75-14867 Filed 6-5-75;8:45 am]

[Notice No. 883]

ASSIGNMENT OF HEARINGS

JUNE 3, 1975.

Cases assigned for hearing, postponement, cancellation or oral argument appear below and will be published only once. This list contains prospective assignments only and does not include cases previously assigned hearing dates. The hearings will be on the issues as presently reflected in the Official Docket of the Commission. An attempt will be made to publish notices of cancellation of hearings as promptly as possible, but interested parties should take appropriate steps to insure that they are notified of cancellation or postponements of hearings in which they are interested.

MC 76032, Sub 284, NavaJo Freight Lines, Inc., now assigned September 24, 1975, at Denver, Colorado, is canceled and the application is dismissed.

MC 21866, Sub 78, West Motor Freight, Inc., now assigned June 11, 1975, at Washington, D.C., is canceled and the application is dismissed.

MC 75226, Sub 8, DeCarli's Express, Inc., now being assigned July 22, 1975 (3 days), at Hartford, Connecticut, in a hearing room to be designated later.

MC-F-12399, Gaines Motor Lines, Inc., and Tallant Transfer, Inc.—Investigation of Control—M. & H. Trucking Company, Inc., now being assigned July 23, 1975, at the Offices of the Interstate Commerce Commission, Washington, D.C.

MC 130262, Crimson Travel Service, Inc., d.b.a. Crimson Travel Service, now being assigned August 4, 1975, at Boston, Massachusetts, in a hearing room to be designated later.

MC 124796, Sub 117, Continental Contract Carrier Corp., now assigned September 11, 1975, at Kansas City, Missouri, is postponed indefinitely.

MC-F-12332, Great Coastal Express, Inc.—Purchase—Shippers Express, Inc., and MC 4491, Sub 14, Great Coastal Express, Inc., now being assigned July 14, 1975 (2 days), at New York, New York, in a hearing room to be designated later.

MC 139539, Sub 4, Afro-Urban Transportation, Inc., now being assigned July 16, 1975 (3 days), at New York, New York, in a hearing room to be designated later.

[SEAL] JOSEPH M. HARRINGTON,
Acting Secretary.

[FR Doc.75-14868 Filed 6-5-75;8:45 am]

federal register

FRIDAY, JUNE 6, 1975

WASHINGTON, D.C.

Volume 40 ■ Number 110

PART II



DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH, EDUCATION, AND WELFARE

Public Health Service



SUDDEN INFANT DEATH SYNDROME INFORMATION AND COUNSELING

Project Grants

Title 42—Public Health

CHAPTER I—PUBLIC HEALTH SERVICE,
DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH, EDUCATION,
AND WELFAREPART 51a—GRANTS FOR MATERNAL AND
CHILD HEALTH AND CRIPPLED CHILDREN'S SERVICESProject Grants for Sudden Infant Death
Syndrome Information and Counseling

On March 5, 1975, there was published in the *FEDERAL REGISTER* (40 FR 10318) a notice of proposed rulemaking regarding the implementation of the grant program established by section 1121(b) of the Public Health Service Act (42 U.S.C. 300c-11, as added by section 3(a) of the Sudden Infant Death Syndrome Act of 1974, Pub. L. 93-270). Interested persons were given until April 4, 1975, to submit written comments or suggestions thereon. Comments and suggestions received with regard to the notice of proposed rulemaking, responses thereto, and changes in the proposed regulation are summarized below.

1. It was suggested that the definition of "sudden infant death syndrome" in proposed § 51a.502(d) be changed to incorporate certain specific clinical findings, such as bronchiolitis. However, since it was felt that the definition set forth in § 51a.502(d) accorded with the weight of medical authority in the field, no change was made in the regulation.

2. The definition of "family" in proposed § 51a.502(f) has been revised to incorporate a specific reference to "parents" in view of the many references to parents in the legislative history.

3. A suggestion that the requirement that projects include "both" types of activities specified in proposed § 51a.503(b) be dropped was rejected as inconsistent with the statutory language.

4. A suggestion that the words "the causes of" in proposed § 51a.503(b)(1) be deleted was also rejected as inconsistent with the statute.

5. It was suggested that the requirement of "appropriate community representation" in proposed § 51a.504(c)(2) be dropped. This suggestion was rejected, since such representation is required by the statute.

6. A proposal that a subsection specifying organized parents' groups and similar voluntary organizations be added to § 51a.505(a)(10) was rejected as redundant.

7. Several suggestions were received that the role of the social services professions and child protective agencies in the information and counseling program should be more explicitly recognized. Sections 51a.505 and 51a.506 were revised accordingly.

8. General and specific objections to the project community council as required by proposed § 51a.506 were raised. However, aside from the change discussed in paragraph 7, the section was retained unchanged as an appropriate means of obtaining the community representation required by the statute.

9. A suggestion that the waiver provided for in proposed § 51a.506(c) be

made available to private non-profit organizations as well as to State and local governmental agencies was rejected as inconsistent with the purpose of the section as a whole.

10. Several comments were received objecting to the priority to be given projects in areas with populations of one million or more (§ 51a.507(b)(1)). However, the criterion is considered to be reasonable as a means of allocating resources and maximizing program impact and hence was retained.

11. A proposal that a criterion giving priority to already existing organizations be added to the criteria set forth in proposed § 51a.507(b) was rejected as unwarranted.

12. Section 51a.509 was revised to include a provision requiring the obtaining of legal consent to medical investigations which are paid for with project funds.

13. In accordance with a comment received, proposed § 51a.509(c) and 51a.511 were revised to make it clear that information may be released where required by applicable law.

14. A technical amendment was made to proposed § 51a.510 to add language consistent with the requirements of section 504 of the Rehabilitation Act of 1973, Pub. L. 93-112.

15. It was proposed that applications for grants under this subpart be subject to State health department approval. However, establishment of such a requirement was considered to be unwarranted by the statute or legislative history.

16. One comment implied that appropriate research into the cause(s) of SIDS should include more than "medical investigations * * * such as autopsies." However, it is believed that the functions of a project as set forth in § 51a.505 adequately reflect the intent of the statute as a whole and no change was made.

17. Minor editorial changes were made and typographical errors corrected.

Effective date. These regulations are effective June 6, 1975.

Dated: May 9, 1975.

THEODORE COOPER,
Acting Assistant
Secretary for Health.

Approved: May 29, 1975.

CASPAR W. WEINBERGER,
Secretary.

Part 51a of Title 42 is hereby amended by adding thereto a new Subpart E as set forth below:

Subpart E—Project Grants for Sudden Infant
Death Syndrome Information and Counseling

- Sec.
- 51a.501 Applicability.
 - 51a.502 Definitions.
 - 51a.503 Eligibility.
 - 51a.504 Application for a grant.
 - 51a.505 Project requirements.
 - 51a.506 Project community council.
 - 51a.507 Evaluation and grant award.
 - 51a.508 Payments.
 - 51a.509 Use of project funds.
 - 51a.510 Civil rights.

- Sec.
- 51a.511 Confidentiality of information.
 - 51a.512 Publications and copyright.
 - 51a.513 Grantee accountability.
 - 51a.514 Performance report.
 - 51a.515 Additional conditions.
 - 51a.516 Applicability of 45 CFR Part 74.

AUTHORITY: Sec. 215, 58 Stat. 690, as amended (42 U.S.C. 216); Sec. 1121, 88 Stat. 91 (42 U.S.C. 300c-11).

Subpart E—Project Grants for Sudden Infant
Death Syndrome Information and
Counseling

§ 51a.501 Applicability.

The regulations of this subpart are applicable to grants to public and non-profit private entities pursuant to section 1121(b) of the Public Health Service Act (42 U.S.C. 300c-11) for projects for the collection, analysis and furnishing of information relating to the causes of the sudden infant death syndrome and the provision of information and counseling to families affected by the sudden infant death syndrome.

§ 51a.502 Definitions.

As used in this subpart:

(a) "Act" means the Public Health Service Act, as amended.

(b) "Secretary" means the Secretary of Health, Education, and Welfare and any other officer or employee of the Department of Health, Education, and Welfare to whom the authority involved has been delegated.

(c) "Nonprofit" as applied to a private entity means that no part of the net earnings of such entity inures, or may lawfully inure, to the benefit of any private shareholder or individual.

(d) "The Sudden Infant Death Syndrome," for the purpose of this regulation, means the sudden death of any infant which is unexpected by history, and in which a thorough post mortem examination fails to demonstrate an adequate cause for death.

(e) "SIDS" means the sudden infant death syndrome.

(f) "Family" means the parents or other relatives of a SIDS victim or any persons functioning in loco parentis to such victim at the time of a SIDS death.

(g) "Applicant" means a public or nonprofit private entity which applies for a grant.

§ 51a.503 Eligibility.

(a) **Eligible applicants.** Any public or nonprofit private entity is eligible to apply for a grant under this subpart.

(b) **Eligible projects.** Grants to eligible applicants may be made by the Secretary for projects which include both:

(1) The collection, analysis, and furnishing of information (derived from post mortem examinations and other means) pertaining to the causes of SIDS; and

(2) The provision of information and counseling to families affected by SIDS.

§ 51a.504 Application for a grant.

(a) An application for a grant under this subpart shall be submitted to the Secretary at such time and in such form

and manner as the Secretary may prescribe. The application shall contain:

(1) A full and adequate description of the project and of the manner in which the applicant intends to conduct the project and carry out the requirements of this subpart;

(2) A budget and justification of the amount of grant funds requested;

(3) Such other pertinent information as the Secretary may require.

(b) The application must be executed by an individual authorized to act for the applicant and to assume for the applicant the obligations imposed by the regulations of this subpart and any additional conditions of the grant.

(c) The application shall:

(1) Provide that the project will be administered by or under the supervision of the applicant;

(2) Provide, in accordance with the provisions of § 51a.506, that the project will have appropriate community representation in its development and operation;

(3) Set forth such fiscal controls and fund accounting procedures, in accordance with the provisions of § 51a.516, as may be necessary to assure proper disbursement of and accounting for grant funds paid to the applicant; and

(4) Provide for making such reports, in addition to the performance report required by § 51a.514, in such form and containing such information as the Secretary may from time to time reasonably require.

§ 51a.505 Project requirements.

An approvable application must contain each of the following:

(a) A description, together with supporting materials, of how the project will:

(1) Establish a mechanism, or utilize a mechanism already existing in the community by which to identify possible SIDS deaths.

(2) Encourage and, where necessary, arrange for or provide appropriate medical investigations of the cause of death performed in accordance with appropriate medical standards when possible SIDS deaths are identified.

(3) Obtain and provide pertinent information from medical investigations of probable SIDS deaths by board qualified or board eligible medical pathologists or other persons authorized by law to perform such investigations.

(4) Identify and, where possible, utilize third-party sources of payment for appropriate medical investigations of probable SIDS deaths.

(5) Encourage the use of SIDS as a diagnosis on death certificates, or as the cause of death on death certificates, when medically determined.

(6) Provide information concerning SIDS to families affected by SIDS, including providing or arranging for prompt diagnosis of the cause of death and notification of the family of the diagnosis within 24 hours of the diagnosis where possible.

(7) Provide for voluntary counseling of families affected by SIDS, including

home visits and other followup in accordance with the families' needs, by personnel qualified by training and experience to provide such services. Such personnel must be fully knowledgeable about the management of SIDS and of problems associated with death, grief, and mourning. Such counseling services shall be provided by:

(i) project personnel; and
(ii) as necessary or appropriate to meet the families' needs, other counseling resources within the community.

(8) Maintain consultation and arrangements with other official and voluntary community resources, such as clergy, police, emergency personnel, health and mental health services, and organized parents' groups, and other voluntary organizations, for

(i) Referral of families affected by SIDS, as appropriate, to such resources; and

(ii) Furnishing directly or indirectly information and suggestions for dealing with SIDS cases to such community resources.

(9) Collect information on SIDS cases in the project area including demographic data, epidemiological data, and therapeutic management data.

(10) Provide information gathered under subparagraph (9) above, in accordance with § 51a.511, to:

(i) Appropriate public officials; and
(ii) Interested members of the general public in the project area.

(b) Assurances that:

(1) Services will be made available without the imposition of any durational residence or referral requirement;

(2) Services will be made available without regard to religion, creed, age, sex, parity, marital status, or income; and

(3) Services will be made available in such a manner as to protect the dignity of the individual.

§ 51a.506 Project community council.

(a) A project community council shall be established by the grantee and shall consist of a minimum of nine and a maximum of fifteen members. At least one-third of such members shall be representatives of the community being served by the project, including representatives of parents' groups or other voluntary civic or community organizations. The membership shall also include representatives of health care, social services, or public safety professions, such as medical examiners, public health nurses, social workers, private physicians, police and fire department representatives, and funeral directors.

(b) The process of selection of its members shall be stipulated in the council's bylaws, which shall be subject to approval by the Secretary and must provide that:

(1) Members shall serve for definite terms which shall not exceed four years, so staggered as to assure that the terms of not more than one-third of the members shall expire in any calendar year.

(2) The council shall meet as often as necessary, but not less than six times per year, for the purpose of considering and,

as appropriate, consulting with and advising the grantee with respect to:

(i) The project's progress toward achieving its goals of service to the area, and

(ii) Review and modification of the project's existing functions, as necessary.

(iii) All recommendations of the council with respect to the project's activities shall be available to the public.

(iv) Written minutes shall be kept of all council meetings.

(c) The Secretary may, for good cause shown, allow a grantee a period of time, not to exceed three months from the date of the receipt of a grant awarded under section 1121(b) of the Act, for compliance with the requirements of this section. In addition, in the case of a grantee which is a State or local governmental agency and which has demonstrated to the satisfaction of the Secretary that it is unable, under State or local law, to establish a project community council pursuant to paragraph (a) of this section, the Secretary may allow such grantee a reasonable period of time to take the appropriate steps to have such legal disability removed. *Provided that*, such grantee, in the interim, must establish alternate procedures, approved by the Secretary, to assure maximum community participation in the development and operation of the project.

§ 51a.507 Evaluation and grant award.

(a) Within the limit of funds available for such purposes, the Secretary may award grants to assist in the establishment and operation of those projects which will, in his judgment, best promote the purposes of section 1121(b) of the Act, taking into account:

(1) The need for the project's services and informational materials to be provided, including the relative extent to which the project will contribute to the development of a nationwide distribution of such services and materials;

(2) The applicant's demonstration of an understanding of the problem, including the incidence of SIDS and the handling of the problem in the project area;

(3) The capability of the applicant to provide services and informational materials of high quality and effectiveness; and

(4) The degree to which the project plan adequately provides for the elements set forth in § 51a.505.

(b) In determining priority in awarding grants under section 1121(b) of the Act, the Secretary will take into consideration the relative extent to which the project:

(1) Would serve an area with a population of one million or more persons;

(2) Would be located in an area with an infant mortality rate higher than the national average;

(3) Has community resources available which will enable it to meet the requirements of § 51a.505 and;

(4) Is assured of community support and provides an indication of how continuation of its services will be maintained after Federal funding is concluded.

(c) The amount of any award will be determined by the Secretary on the basis of his estimate of the sum necessary for the proper performance of the project. In determining the grantee's share of project costs, if any, costs borne by Federal funds, or costs used to match other Federal grants may not be included except as may be otherwise provided by law.

(d) All grant awards shall be in writing, shall set forth the amount of funds granted, and the period for which support is recommended.

(e) Neither the approval of any project nor any grant award shall commit or obligate the United States in any way to make additional, supplemental, continuation, or other award with respect to any approved project or portion thereof. For continuation support, grantees must make separate application periodically at such times and in such form as the Secretary may direct.

§ 51a.508 Payments.

The Secretary shall from time to time make payments to a grantee of all or portion of any grant award either in advance or by way of reimbursement for expenses incurred in the performance of the project, to the extent he determines such payments necessary to promote prompt initiation and advancement of the approved project.

§ 51a.509 Use of project funds.

Any funds granted pursuant to this subpart as well as other funds to be used in performance of the approved project may be expended solely for carrying out the approved project in accordance with the applicable statute, the regulations of this subpart, the terms and conditions of the award, and the applicable cost principles prescribed by Subpart Q of 45 CFR, Part 74. Project grant funds may be used for an appropriate medical investigation of a probable AIDS death, such as an autopsy performed in accordance with appropriate medical standards, by a board certified or board eligible medical pathologist or other person qualified by law to perform such a medical investigation, but only where legal consent to the medical investigation is obtained and the following conditions are met:

(a) No other source of funds for such an investigation is available;

(b) The cost of such investigation is reasonable;

(c) Consistent with § 51a.511, the result of such investigation is used only for the collection, analysis and furnishing of information relating to the causes of AIDS; and

(d) The result of such investigation is made available to the family of a suspected AIDS victim unless medically contraindicated.

§ 51a.510 Civil rights.

(a) Attention is called to the requirements of title VI of the Civil Rights Act of 1964 (78 Stat. 252 (42 U.S.C. 2000d et seq.)) and in particular section 601 of such Act which provides that no person

in the United States shall on the grounds of race, color, or national origin be excluded from participation in, be denied the benefits of, or be subjected to discrimination under any program or activity receiving Federal financial assistance. A regulation implementing such title VI, which applies to grants made under this part, has been issued by the Secretary with the approval of the President (45 CFR Part 80). In addition, no person shall be denied employment in or by such program or activity on the grounds of age, sex, creed, or marital status.

(b) Attention is also called to the requirements of section 504 of the Rehabilitation Act of 1973, as amended, which provides that no otherwise qualified handicapped individual in the United States shall, solely by reason of his handicap, be excluded from participation in, be denied the benefits of, or be subject to discrimination under any program or activity receiving Federal financial assistance.

§ 51a.511 Confidentiality of information.

All information as to personal facts and circumstances obtained by the project staff in connection with the provision of services under the project shall be treated as privileged communications, shall be held confidential, and shall not be divulged without the individual's consent except as may be otherwise required by applicable law (including this subpart) or necessary to provide services to the individual. Such information may be disclosed in summary, statistical, or other form which does not identify particular individuals.

§ 51a.512 Publications and copyright.

Except as may otherwise be provided under the terms and conditions of the award, the grantee may copyright without prior approval any publication, films or similar materials developed or resulting from a project supported by a grant under this part, subject, however, to a royalty-free, nonexclusive, and irrevocable license or right in the Government to reproduce, translate, publish, use, disseminate, and dispose of such materials and to authorize others to do so. Royalties received by grantees from copyrights on publications or other works developed under the grant shall first be used to reduce the Federal share of the grant to cover the costs of publishing or producing the materials and any royalties in excess of the costs of publishing or producing such materials shall be distributed in accordance with Chapter 1-420 of the Department of Health, Education, and Welfare Grants Administration Manual.¹

§ 51a.513 Grantee accountability.

(a) Accounting for grant award payments. All payments made by the Secretary shall be recorded by the grantee in accounting records separate from the records of all other grant funds, including funds derived from other grant awards. With respect to each approved project the grantee shall account for the sum total of all amounts paid by present-

ing or otherwise making available evidence satisfactory to the Secretary of expenditures for direct and indirect costs meeting the requirements of this subpart. *Provided, however,* That when the amount awarded for indirect costs was based on a predetermined fixed percentage of estimated direct costs, the amount allowed for indirect costs shall be computed on the basis of such predetermined fixed-percentage rates applied to the total, or a selected element thereof, of the reimbursement direct costs incurred.

(b) *Grant closeout.* (1) Date of final accounting. A grantee shall render, with respect to each approved project, a full account, as provided herein, as of the date of the termination of grant support. The Secretary may require other special and periodic accounting.

(2) Final settlement. There shall be payable to the Federal government as final settlement with respect to each approved project the total sum of:

(i) Any amount not accounted for pursuant to paragraph (a) of this section.

(ii) Any credits for earned interest pursuant to paragraph (b) of this section.

(iii) Any other amounts due pursuant to Subparts F, M, and O of 45 CFR Part 74. Such total sum shall constitute a debt owed by the grantee to the Federal government and shall be recovered from the grantee or its successors or assignees by setoff or other action as provided by law.

§ 51a.514 Performance report.

With each continuation or renewal application or with each financial status report at the end of a project period, whichever is appropriate, grantees shall submit a performance report for each grant which briefly presents the following for each program, functions, or activity involved:

(a) A comparison of actual accomplishments to the goals established for the period. Where the output of grant programs can be quantified, such quantitative data should be related to cost data for computation of unit costs.

(b) An explanation when established goals have not been met.

(c) Other pertinent information including, when appropriate, analysis and explanation of cost overruns or higher than anticipated unit costs.

§ 51a.515 Additional conditions.

The Secretary may with respect to any grant award impose additional conditions prior to or at the time of any award when in his judgment such conditions are necessary to assure or protect advancement of the approved project, the interests of public health, or the conservation of grant funds.

¹ The Department of Health, Education, and Welfare Grants Administration Manual is available for public inspection and copying at the Department and Regional Offices' information centers listed in 45 CFR Part 531 and may be purchased from the Superintendent of Documents, U.S. Government Printing Office, Washington, D.C. 20402.

§ 51a.516 Applicability of 45 CFR Part 74.

The relevant provisions of the following subparts of 45 CFR Part 74, establishing uniform administrative requirements and cost principles, shall apply to all grants under this subpart:


45 CFR PART 74

SUBPART

- A—General
- B—Cash Depositories
- C—Bonding and Insurance
- D—Retention and Custodial Requirements for Records
- F—Grant—Related Income

- K—Grant Payment Requirements
- L—Budget Revision Procedures
- M—Grant Closeout, Suspension, and Termination
- O—Property
- Q—Cost Principles

[FR Doc. 75-14752 Filed 6-5-75; 8:45 am]



federal register

FRIDAY, JUNE 6, 1975

WASHINGTON, D.C.

Volume 40 ■ Number 110



PART III

DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH, EDUCATION, AND WELFARE

Food and Drug Administration

■

HYPOALLERGENIC COSMETIC PRODUCTS

Labeling

Title 21—Food and Drugs

CHAPTER I—FOOD AND DRUG ADMINISTRATION, DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH, EDUCATION, AND WELFARE

SUBCHAPTER G—COSMETICS

PART 701—COSMETIC LABELING

Hypoallergenic Cosmetic Products

The Commissioner of Food and Drugs is defining the term "hypoallergenic" for use in cosmetic product labeling. The purpose of this regulation is to end the confusion about the meaning of the term "hypoallergenic" and establish a definition of that term that is not misleading and can be used uniformly in cosmetic labeling. The regulation provides that a cosmetic may be labeled "hypoallergenic" if scientific studies show that it causes significantly fewer adverse reactions in human subjects than similar-use competitive products. Those who presently label products as "hypoallergenic" have 2 years in which to conduct testing to substantiate the claim.

The views submitted to the Food and Drug Administration by the Cosmetic, Toilet, and Fragrance Association (CTFA), the Almay Corp., and the Federal Trade Commission (FTC) were included in the preamble to the proposed definition published in the *FEDERAL REGISTER* of February 25, 1974 (39 FR 7288). A total of 33 comments was received in response to the proposal: Four comments were in favor of the proposal as drafted; eleven comments were neither for nor against the proposal, including one which criticized the industry and the government without discussing the proposal; fifteen comments expressed criticism or were against the proposal; and three comments requested that the term "hypoallergenic" be banned.

Several comments among those critical of the Commissioner's proposal supported the views of the CTFA, Almay, or the FTC as published in the preamble to the proposed definition. Many comments requested modifications, exemptions, clarifications, or additional requirements.

The issues raised and the Commissioner's conclusions are as follows:

1. Two comments argued that the Food and Drug Administration had no authority for the proposed regulation under the Federal Food, Drug, and Cosmetic Act, and that there was no indication in the legislative history that Congress intended to grant authority to the Commissioner to promulgate substantive regulations. The two comments contended that neither section 602(a) nor section 201(n) or 701(a) of the act authorizes the promulgation of standards for testing cosmetic products, and any test method that demonstrates that a product is hypoallergenic is acceptable under the law.

The Commissioner concludes that section 701(a) of the act authorizes promulgation of substantive regulations. The Supreme Court reiterated in a decision in 1973 its earlier holdings that similar language in other statutes grants broad authority to issue regulations reasonably related to the purposes of the legislation.

(See *Mourning v. Family Publications Service, Inc.*, 411 U.S. 356 (1973); see also *National Petroleum Refiners Association v. F.T.C.*, 482 F.2d 672 (D.C. Cir. 1973).)

The application of this general rule to the Federal Food, Drug, and Cosmetic Act is indicated by decisions that have upheld regulations issued under the authority of section 701(a) of the act. (See, e.g., *Weinberger v. Hynson, Westcott & Dunning, Inc.*, 412 U.S. 609 (1973); *National Nutritional Foods Ass'n v. Weinberger*, No. 74-1738 (2d Cir. February 3, 1975); *CIBA-Geigy Corp. v. Richardson*, 446 F.2d 466 (2d Cir. 1971).)

The regulation defining the term "hypoallergenic" in cosmetic labeling is directly related to the purposes of the act. There is general agreement that the term "hypoallergenic," as it is used today by the cosmetic industry, does not have a uniform and well-defined meaning among cosmetics manufacturers. The comments received in response to the proposed definition confirm this observation.

Ambiguity or inconsistency in the use of a term in labeling is misleading to the user of a product. Section 602(a) of the act states that a cosmetic shall be deemed to be misbranded if its labeling is false or misleading in any particular. The Commissioner concludes that he is authorized to define the term "hypoallergenic" for use in product labeling in a meaningful and uniform way.

The authority to promulgate testing standards exists when the results of testing are implied by a labeling claim. No manufacturer is obliged to label his product as "hypoallergenic" or otherwise refer to its relative frequency of adverse reactions. If he chooses to do so, the Commissioner may set standards to assure that its use is not false or misleading. The requirement that the difference between the conventional product and the product labeled "hypoallergenic" must be statistically significant and the provisions concerning the reference product, the validity of the claim, and related requirements are necessary elements in a definition of a claim that is inherently a comparison to other products.

The Commissioner concurs that any dermatological testing method that demonstrates that a cosmetic is hypoallergenic is acceptable if the determination of hypoallergenicity satisfies the definition of the regulation. The Commissioner expressed this viewpoint in the proposed regulation and has adopted this concept in the final order. There are many dermatological testing methods that may be utilized for the determination of adverse reactions in human subjects. The data derived from these tests are useful for the determination of hypoallergenicity in accordance with the definition established in this order.

2. Comments questioned whether use of the term "hypoallergenic" should be permitted at all; they demanded that it be banned because most consumers do not have allergies, or hypoallergic products do not exist, or allergic individuals are not helped by these products. Other

comments stated that these products are usually overpriced or that they represent just another form of advertising.

The Commissioner does not agree with the contention that the term "hypoallergenic" should be prohibited. The Commissioner stated in the preamble to the proposed definition that there may well be instances when a claim that a product causes fewer adverse reactions than competing products is valid. In addition, no data or information was submitted that demonstrated the hypoallergenicities do not exist. If a product is tested in accordance with the requirements of the regulation and meets the criteria for a hypoallergenic cosmetic, all consumers may benefit from that product because it has been demonstrated that the product is less likely to cause adverse reactions. The assurance of significantly lower potential for harm justifies the use of the term where it can be substantiated through dermatological testing.

The Commissioner agrees that the term may currently be misused in labeling and advertising because of the lack of a uniform and well-defined meaning or because of a lack of data in support of the claim. This regulation, defining the term "hypoallergenic" in a uniform and meaningful manner, is intended to correct this misuse. In addition, the Commissioner has revised the proposed regulation to require that the meaning of the term "hypoallergenic" be explained to the consumer when it appears in labeling. The required explanation of the term in labeling will permit the consumer to make an informed decision about the relative safety of a cosmetic labeled "hypoallergenic." The Commissioner concludes, therefore, that the term "hypoallergenic" as defined in this order is not misleading.

3. Comments contended that the proposed definition does not eliminate harmful or poisonous products, and that it does not permit consumers to make an informed decision about the safety of cosmetics or permit allergic or sensitive persons to protect themselves against allergenic or irritating products. These comments preferred ingredient labeling as an alternative to use of the term "hypoallergenic." One comment recommended, in addition to ingredient labeling, that the safety problem be resolved by premarketing clearance of products and injury reporting by physicians.

The comment criticizing the proposed regulation because it failed to provide for elimination of harmful or poisonous products in cosmetics is beyond the scope of the proposal. This regulation does not attempt to solve all problems concerning cosmetic safety, but rather deals only with certain labeling claims. Nevertheless, the adoption of this regulation will aid in enforcement of the provision in section 601(a) of the act deeming adulterated any cosmetic containing any poisonous or deleterious substance that may render it injurious to users. Testing done pursuant to this regulation will expose products and ingredients that produce a relatively high frequency of adverse reactions. When the results of such test-

ing are submitted to the Food and Drug Administration to support a claim of hypoallergenicity, the Food and Drug Administration will obtain data on the safety of cosmetics that it would not otherwise have. The Commissioner concludes, therefore, that the safety of cosmetics will be improved by permitting use of the term "hypoallergenic" or related terms under conditions that require testing against competitive products.

In regard to the recommendations for ingredient labeling, the Commissioner advises that 21 CFR 701.3, made effective by an order published in the *FEDERAL REGISTER* of March 3, 1975 (40 FR 8924), makes the declaration of ingredients mandatory for all cosmetics. However, the Commissioner has no authority under the act to require the premarketing clearance of cosmetics or to require physicians to report cosmetic-related injuries to the agency.

4. The majority of comments contended that there was a definite need for hypoallergenic products because these products only rarely cause adverse reactions as compared to other cosmetics, and because the manufacturers of "hypoallergenic" cosmetics offer an important service to physicians by making available ingredient information and providing test samples of ingredients and mixtures of components for patch testing. Furthermore, it was argued that the number of ingredients in these products is kept to a minimum to reduce further the probability of allergic reaction. One comment asserted that doing away with the term "hypoallergenic" would be detrimental to cosmetic safety because ingredients that have an unacceptable level of irritancy or allergenicity might then be used to improve a product's elegance and effectiveness.

The Commissioner agrees that there is a need for products shown by scientific studies to cause significantly fewer adverse reactions than conventional products of the same usage category. Cosmetics that meet the required criteria are of benefit not only to those with special dermatological problems, but to all consumers. Consequently, the Commissioner encourages the continued marketing of truthfully labeled hypoallergenic cosmetics.

Furthermore, the Commissioner is favorably impressed with the special services some manufacturers provide to physicians, and he invites other cosmetic manufacturers to offer similar services to physicians and consumers alike. Any additional effort by cosmetic manufacturers that provides the consumer with information on the composition and usage of a product and its possible adverse effects due to inadvertent or deliberate misuse furthers consumer safety and is therefore encouraged by the Commissioner.

The Commissioner concludes, however, that the availability of special services to physicians is not properly conveyed by use of the term "hypoallergenic." The term is a statement of comparison to other products and does not carry the implication to consumers of the availability of special services to physicians.

Manufacturers are free to continue these special services and may inform consumers of their availability in labeling that is not misleading.

Much of the information now provided by manufacturers of "hypoallergenic" cosmetics will become available for all cosmetics when the requirement of 21 CFR 701.3 for ingredient declaration becomes effective. Meanwhile, manufacturers may declare the ingredients of their products voluntarily, or they may announce the availability of an ingredient declaration in a labeling statement that is not misleading. The Commissioner concludes, however, that such information is not appropriately conveyed through use of the term "hypoallergenic."

The Commissioner concludes that there is no basis for a conclusion that the practice of keeping the number of ingredients in products labeled as "hypoallergenic" to a "minimum" in fact results in a safer product. At least one investigator has noted that reducing the number of ingredients in a cosmetic product may increase its potential for adverse reactions because the ingredients used are consequently at higher concentrations. A copy of the scientific literature reflecting this viewpoint is on file with the Hearing Clerk, Food and Drug Administration, Rm. 4-65, 5600 Fishers Lane, Rockville, MD 20852.

The Commissioner concludes that less use of the term "hypoallergenic," resulting from inability of manufacturers to substantiate the claim, will not be detrimental to cosmetic safety. Whatever differences may have existed between "hypoallergenic" products and products not so labeled when the term was first used by cosmetic manufacturers have greatly diminished over the years. Evidence in support of this conclusion is on file in the office of the Hearing Clerk. Advances in cosmetic science and technology and in toxicology and the availability of uniform and high quality raw materials at reasonable costs have enabled cosmetic manufacturers to identify and eliminate from their products most raw materials known to cause a relatively high frequency of adverse reactions. These changes have taken place throughout the cosmetic industry and have not limited to products labeled as "hypoallergenic." The Commissioner concludes that the testing required by this regulation to validate the hypoallergenic claim may result in less use of the term "hypoallergenic" but will not lead to a reversal of the trend towards safer cosmetics, in the event that some products currently labeled as "hypoallergenic" are unable to prove the validity of the claim.

Moreover, the Commissioner notes that false or misleading labeling can make an otherwise safe cosmetic unsafe by misinforming the consumer about the virtues, usage, or limitations of a product. Products labeled as "hypoallergenic" are often purchased by persons with a history of dermatological problems on the assumption that they are safer. Accordingly, the potential for injury is greater because the products being labeled as "hypoallergenic" may induce those per-

sons to be less careful in using them than they would be if the products were not so labeled. Consequently, the Commissioner concludes that the safety of cosmetics is improved by the definition adopted if the claim of hypoallergenicity is thereby eliminated from the labeling of products that are not in fact hypoallergenic.

Against the unlikely decline in cosmetic safety conjectured in the comment as possible, the Commissioner must weigh the clear detriment to consumers from the current misleading uses of the term. The Commissioner concludes that the requirements adopted in this regulation as prerequisite to use of the term "hypoallergenic" and related terms are appropriate.

5. Several comments recommended that the term "hypoallergenic" be explained to the consumer on the label because the general public is not familiar with its definition. It was argued that the survey by the Field Research Corp. conducted for the FTC during October 1973 in California demonstrates the misunderstanding and confusion about the meaning of the term "hypoallergenic." Furthermore, it was argued that the consumer does not infer from the word "hypoallergenic" that the product was tested against similar-use products representing a 10-percent market share. One comment suggested that consumers interpret the term to mean that the manufacturer has data showing "removal of all sensitizing ingredients" and not to mean less likelihood of causing adverse reactions based on a comparison with other products. Several comments endorsed the FTC proposal of an explanatory label statement.

The Commissioner agrees that there is a need to explain to the consumer the meaning of the term "hypoallergenic" because there is public confusion about the use of this term in labeling. Furthermore, the term "hypoallergenic" does not inform the user that the comparison testing required prior to use of the term does not provide him with assurance that he will not experience an adverse reaction when he uses the product. The Commissioner concludes that the consumer should be made aware of the precise meaning of the term. The meaning of the term is a material fact which the Commissioner may require to be disclosed pursuant to sections 201(n) and 602(a) of the act. Awareness that a reaction may still occur from the use of a hypoallergenic product, though less likely than from a conventional product, is of particular importance to the user with a history of adverse reactions because he is more likely to purchase products labeled as "hypoallergenic" and must be cautioned that the absence of adverse reaction cannot be guaranteed. The Commissioner has, therefore, adopted the recommendation for requiring an explanatory statement in the labeling of cosmetic products when the term "hypoallergenic" or a similar term is used.

The Commissioner concludes that the explanatory statement "less likely to cause adverse reactions than some com-

peting products" will inform the user of a hypoallergenic product of the meaning of the term. By implication, the consumer is advised that further safeguards are appropriate when using a hypoallergenic product, as for example, careful study of the ingredient declaration to determine whether or not the cosmetic contains an ingredient to which he knows he is allergic. The regulation requires that the statement "less likely to cause adverse reactions than some competing products" appear immediately following or directly below the word "hypoallergenic" and that it be conspicuous and prominent compared to other words or statements. The explanation is required to appear once in each article of labeling, except that where the term "hypoallergenic" appears both on a principal display panel and elsewhere on a package, the explanation must appear in both places.

6. One comment asserted that the Commissioner was inconsistent in the preamble to the proposed definition by indicating first that there is confusion about the meaning of the term "hypoallergenic" and stating later that the term "hypoallergenic" means to the consumer that the product causes fewer adverse reactions.

The Commissioner does not agree that an inconsistency exists in the preamble in regard to the meaning of the term "hypoallergenic." In the first instance cited the Commissioner stated that "it is apparent that there is substantial uncertainty and confusion about the meaning of the term 'hypoallergenic,' and that a significant number of persons believe that it means that a product will result in fewer reactions of all types, without distinguishing among them." In the second reference cited, the Commissioner reiterates what the term means to a significant number of persons by stating that "the term 'hypoallergenic' means to the consumer that the product causes fewer adverse reactions * * *." The Commissioner believes that consumers do not have a single understanding of the meaning of the term "hypoallergenic," but, as indicated by the results of the FTC survey, a significant number believe that it means that the product so labeled will result in fewer adverse reactions.

7. Several definitions not involving comparison testing were suggested for the term "hypoallergenic." Some comments cited the definitions in Blackiston's second and third editions of the New Gould Medical Dictionary, i.e., " * * * a term applied to a preparation in which every possible care has been taken in formulation and production to insure minimum instance of allergic reaction" (2d ed.) and "having a low tendency to induce allergic reactions, especially with reference to dermatologic preparations so formulated and produced as to have this quality to a high degree" (3d ed.). The comments contended that when a product meets these criteria, it should be permitted to make a hypoallergenic claim. One comment recommended that the word "allergic" be replaced with "epidermal" in that definition.

One comment proposed that the term be defined as a product "especially designed, formulated, tested, marketed and monitored to meet her (the consumer's) need," i.e., "for the purpose of minimizing allergic response and for minimum irritancy in people with a history of allergic reactions, and to reduce the risk of allergic induction to the normal population."

Other comments asserted that the following conditions exist for the term "hypoallergenic" to be valid: Irritants and ingredients with suspected allergenic potential are omitted from the formulation, the product is subjected to predictive skin testing, dermatological services are made available to physicians, a formula is published, and adverse reactions are reported voluntarily to the Food and Drug Administration. One comment stated that to the consumer the term "hypoallergenic" means the manufacturer is testing his product more thoroughly.

The Commissioner notes that the diversity of definitions of the term "hypoallergenic" provided in the comments confirms his observation in the preamble "hypoallergenic" means the manufacturer as it is used today by the cosmetic industry does not have a uniform and well-defined meaning. Other evidence confirming the observation is on file in the office of the Hearing Clerk. Furthermore, none of the definitions in the comments adopts a meaning consistent with the comparative connotations of the term as defined in ordinary dictionaries and shared by a significant number of consumers, and implicit in any unexplained claim concerning a product's safety.

In addition, the Commissioner notes that the definitions are based on an understanding of the term by a small number of people or reflect a particular cosmetic manufacturer's use of the term. In no case did a manufacturer demonstrate through submitted data or information that consumers share his understanding of the term.

The Commissioner concludes that the definitions in Blackiston's New Gould Medical Dictionary are inappropriate for defining the term "hypoallergenic" as it is used in cosmetic labeling. Definitions that may be appropriate for the medical sciences are not necessarily appropriate for labeling intended for lay consumers. In considering whether labeling is false or misleading, the Commissioner must consider whether it may be false or misleading to the general public. The Commissioner notes, however, that both definitions from Blackiston's dictionaries discern the comparative nature of the hypoallergenic claim. Both definitions clearly imply a comparison to what would otherwise be expected to be encountered by physicians, i.e., conventional products causing a higher frequency of adverse reactions. The Commissioner therefore concludes that the definition adopted in this regulation is entirely consistent with the thrust of the definitions in Blackiston's dictionaries. The Commissioner notes that the American Medical As-

sociation Committee on Cutaneous Health and Cosmetics has recommended that use of the term "hypoallergenic" on cosmetics be discontinued since it no longer has a well-defined meaning. The statement of the American Medical Association on this subject is on file in the office of the Hearing Clerk.

The comments contending that the term "hypoallergenic" is justified when (1) irritants and ingredients with suspected allergenic potential are omitted from a formulation, (2) the product is subjected to predictive skin testing, (3) the ingredients are declared in labeling, (4) the physician is offered samples for patch testing, and (5) the adverse reactions are reported to the Food and Drug Administration, also fail to establish a meaningful distinction between hypoallergenic and conventional products. Responsible cosmetic manufacturers of products not labeled "hypoallergenic" have adopted these procedures as good business practice. A manufacturer may include in product labeling a nonmisleading description of such business practices, but the use of such practices is not conveyed by the term "hypoallergenic."

8. A number of comments opposed the aspect of the proposed definition requiring comparison to other products. As an alternative to the proposed method of comparison testing, these comments favored comparing cosmetics sought to be labeled as "hypoallergenic" to an "objective" or "normal" standard without reference to another product. Such comments recommended a variety of widely used animal and human testing methods as the standard. It was contended that a product meeting certain criteria for irritation and sensitization in such tests established by regulation should be permitted to use the term "hypoallergenic" because they thereby demonstrate a low potential for adverse reactions. Three comments suggested that "hypo" means "under" or "less than normal" in relation to an "objective standard" that means certain "objective testing procedures," instead of the reference product criterion used in the proposed rule.

The Commissioner does not oppose the concept of establishing testing specifications unrelated to comparison testing if such specifications could ascertain those products causing significantly fewer adverse reactions than other marketed products. As the preamble to the proposed regulation noted, however, "There is currently no uniformly accepted scientific reference standard against which the reactions resulting from diverse cosmetic product formulations and uses can be assessed. This product diversity dictates that each cosmetic usage must have its own standard." In the absence of scientifically valid and uniformly accepted reference standards based on toxicological data of particular categories of cosmetic products against which the dermal toxicity of particular products sought to be labeled "hypoallergenic" could be assessed, the only available standard is toxicological data obtained from testing competitive products. None

of the comments presented any specifications that would identify as "hypoallergenic" the same products as would comparative testing. Instead, the comments proposed conventional toxicological testing methods and suggested that a product achieving certain results on these tests should be permitted to be labeled as hypoallergenic. The recommended standards are dermatological testing procedures similar to the examples of testing procedures suggested in the preamble to the proposed regulation as useful for determining irritation or skin sensitization. No reference is made in the recommended standards to any marketed product, and the comments did not suggest any minimum testing requirements that, when met, would demonstrate that the test product causes significantly fewer adverse reactions than conventional, similar-use products.

The Commissioner rejects the suggestion that the term "hypoallergenic" is properly regarded as comparing a product to a "normal" or "objective" standard consisting of a series of conventional toxicological testing methods, because they are not related to currently marketed products. Hypoallergenicity testing standards must be properly related to toxicological testing data of marketed products and must not represent minimum testing requirements chosen without reference to the current state of cosmetic product safety. As the preamble to the proposed regulation noted, FTC case law holds that an unqualified comparative term connotes a comparison to other similar products. The Commissioner agrees that this case law properly assesses the connotation of comparative terms. Consequently, it would be inappropriate to define use of the term "hypoallergenic" in a way that does not relate the labeled product to other products. The Commissioner notes that under the proposed "objective" standard every product on the market could be formulated to be sold as hypoallergenic. The use of the term under such a situation would be grossly misleading. The FTC survey, discussed in paragraph 5. above, demonstrates that consumers understand the term "hypoallergenic" to mean that the product is expected to cause fewer adverse reactions than other products. A definition that would permit all products in a class to qualify as "hypoallergenic" does not conform to consumer understanding or to the dictionary definition of the prefix "hypo" and must be rejected.

9. A number of comments included other arguments against the prescribed comparison testing. Some comments stated that additional testing is not warranted; some stated that the proposed testing does not prove the hypoallergenicity of a product; some stated that the testing requirements are likely to increase misuse of the term rather than lessen it; some stated that it is difficult to enforce or impossible to regulate the testing; and some stated that comparative testing is comparable to proof of "relative efficacy," a concept which Congress rejected in 1962 as unnecessary to

demonstrate the effectiveness of drugs. Other comments contended that comparison testing is unscientific, unreasonable or impractical because it establishes a shifting and imprecise standard of comparison in view of frequent formulation changes due to ingredient shortages and changes in fashion. Another comment indicated that comparison testing is illogical because when the sales leader and "hypoallergenic" test product have equally low numbers of adverse reactions, neither can claim to be hypoallergenic. A further comment argued that comparison testing is illegal, counterproductive, and not in accordance with recognized testing procedures.

The Commissioner concludes that the appropriate method to determine whether a product labeled "hypoallergenic" produces fewer adverse reactions than similar-use, conventional products is to conduct appropriate scientific studies with the test product and a sample of the conventional products, i.e., reference product(s), and investigate whether the test product causes fewer adverse reactions than the reference product(s). If it is shown in dermatological testing that the hypoallergenic product causes significantly fewer reactions than the reference product under the same conditions of testing, it may be assumed that it also produces fewer reactions under use conditions. Consequently, the Commissioner concludes that comparison testing is a valid method of testing for that purpose. For the same reason, the Commissioner rejects the arguments that comparison testing is counterproductive or is against the fundamental principle of testing by following recognized testing protocols.

The Commissioner concludes that comparative testing is not impossible to enforce. The requirement that test data and other information be submitted to the Food and Drug Administration and the availability of these records to the public will assist greatly in the enforcement of this order by permitting interested persons to examine the data and to duplicate the tests and confirm the results.

The Commissioner concludes that comparison testing is not inappropriate because the standard of comparison "shifts" as the formulations of marketed products change. Since the term "hypoallergenic" is properly used only as a comparison to other marketed products, it is entirely appropriate that the reference standard should change as the nature of marketed products change. As the market changes, particular reference products may be reformulated or discontinued, but this fact does not make comparison testing inappropriate. As discussed in this preamble in paragraph 19, a particular reference product is likely to be similar in safety to other marketed products. Consequently, data obtained from testing against a particular reference product retain validity after the product is reformulated or discontinued, and a claim based on such data is not unscientific. However, since the characteristics of the market will

eventually change substantially, the regulation imposes a 5-year limit on use of any test result data as the basis for a claim of hypoallergenicity.

The Commissioner does not agree with the argument that comparison testing is illogical because when the reference product and test product have equally low numbers of adverse reactions, neither can claim to be hypoallergenic. "Hypoallergenic" is a comparative claim and is not appropriately used unless there are identified products less safe than the product making the claim. Under the regulation, both such products could make a hypoallergenic claim if they were each tested against products constituting 10 percent of the market and shown to cause significantly fewer adverse reactions.

Finally, the Commissioner concludes that the rejection of the concept of "relative efficacy" by Congress in 1962 regarding drugs has no bearing on the concept of comparative testing in the case of hypoallergenic cosmetics. The Drug Amendments of 1962 relate to the required proof of efficacy to permit marketing, not to labeling claims comparing the labeled product to another product. The Commissioner advises that a drug may not make a labeling claim asserting an advantage over other products without proof of its superiority; in the same way, this regulation will require proof in respect to cosmetics.

10. Comments contending that "hypoallergenic" is properly used on the label of a product meeting certain criteria on standard tests argued that if some manufacturers choose not to label as "hypoallergenic" products meeting the testing requirements, the right of other manufacturers to claim hypoallergenicity for a product must not be impaired, because if that right is denied, important and useful information is withheld from the consumer. Furthermore, issue was taken to comparison testing of a cosmetic against a competitive product, because the reference product might be a cosmetic not labeled "hypoallergenic" but meeting the same requirements of an "objective standard" as the test product claiming hypoallergenicity. Therefore, the test product might not be able to demonstrate a lower rate of adverse reactions and consequently could not make the hypoallergenic claim.

The Commissioner does not agree that the consumer is denied important and useful information when a manufacturer cannot claim hypoallergenicity because his product equals but does not exceed in safety other similar-use products. As is pointed out elsewhere, the term "hypoallergenic" is a comparative term, and only cosmetics causing significantly fewer adverse reactions than competitive reference products are permitted to make that claim. If every product meeting certain testing criteria were permitted to be labeled "hypoallergenic," the term would become meaningless and misleading. The admission in the comments that numerous products not currently labeled as "hypoallergenic" would qualify as such under the proposed "objective standard"

simply points up the fact that there is little difference in safety among cosmetics today, and much current use of the term "hypoallergenic" is misleading.

The Commissioner agrees that the endeavors of some manufacturers to test thoroughly and improve their products in the interest of consumer safety reduce the chances for other manufacturers to substantiate a claim of hypoallergenicity. These endeavors are in the best interest of the users of cosmetics, and the Commissioner encourages them.

11. Several comments expressed the opinion that comparison testing creates a highly insecure market for these products, that it means the end of cosmetics labeled "hypoallergenic," and that it is prohibitive in cost, particularly to smaller companies.

The Commissioner notes that the market for "hypoallergenic" products is insecure only if the continued sales of the product depend on the claim of hypoallergenicity and the claim is disproved. In such circumstances, the Commissioner concludes that it is appropriate that a product's market position be in jeopardy; a product that depends upon an unprovable claim for its sales is appropriately proceeded against under the misbranding provisions of the act. The Commissioner concludes that the disappearance of cosmetics labeled "hypoallergenic" is in the interest of consumers if such claims cannot be substantiated.

The Commissioner recognizes that comparison testing will result in additional costs to manufacturers who wish to label their products "hypoallergenic." However, any label statement describing or implying superiority over other products, which has not been adequately substantiated through appropriate testing, is in violation of section 602 of the act.

The Commissioner has revised the proposed regulation, however, to permit the costs of comparison testing to be reduced appreciably through testing of composite formulations that are representative of several test products. Such testing may be conducted whenever the composite formulations can be used in toxicological testing without affecting the scientific integrity of a study.

A composite formulation is a specially prepared testing sample that is representative of two or more product formulations in regard to qualitative and quantitative composition, formulation system, chemical and physical qualities, and toxicological characteristics. It contains all the ingredients of the product formulations it represents, and with the exception of the most predominant ingredient, all ingredients are present at the highest level found in any of the represented products. The concentration of the most predominant ingredient is reduced by the amount necessary to accommodate the quantitative representation of the other ingredients. The presence of all ingredients of several product formulations and the representation at their highest concentration, except for the most predominant one, must of course not affect the nature and integ-

rity of the formulation system common to all represented product formulations, and it must not change significantly the common physical and chemical properties, in order not to alter the toxicological characteristics.

A typical example of a composite formulation is a specially prepared testing sample representing a shade line of makeup formulations having a common base and different color additive compositions. As another example, a shade series of hair dye products having a single base formula and consisting of various hair dyes may also be represented by a composite formulation. In certain instances, the formulations sought to be tested may differ chemically, as for example three shampoo formulations for dry, medium, and oily hair; such shampoo products may be represented by one composite formulation as long as the blending of the various ingredients at their highest concentrations does not affect the chemical and physical characteristics common to all three products. Of course, any combination of ingredients undergoing an unwanted chemical reaction, or any combination of ingredients where uncertainty exists whether or not the ingredients are chemically compatible, would not be acceptable as a composite formulation suitable for representation of several test products in comparison studies. For example, a composite product representing formulations containing different fragrance compounds would not be acceptable for comparison studies.

12. One comment noted that the hypoallergenic food regulation (21 CFR 125.8) does not require comparative testing.

The Commissioner agrees that the regulation concerning label statements on hypoallergenic food does not require comparative testing. The Commissioner recognizes that an inconsistency may exist in the use of the term for food as compared to its permitted use for cosmetics and will review the food regulation for possible revision. At the same time, the Commissioner concludes that the regulation governing food is considerably different from current practices in labeling cosmetics. Section 125.8 applies to a food represented for special dietary use by reason of the decrease or absence of any allergenic property or by reason of being offered as food suitable as a substitute for another food having an allergenic property. Of the two cases in which a hypoallergenic claim is permitted, the first is when a food has been modified so that the recipe is not that which would normally be expected to be found in that type of food, e.g., by removal of milk from a food which ordinarily contains milk. The other case in which a food may make a hypoallergenic claim is when it is offered as a food suitable as a substitute for another food; again, the regulation applies only when there is a clearly defined "conventional" food for which the consumer will recognize the hypoallergenic food as a substitute. These two situations do not exist

in cosmetics; consumers, generally, do not know what constitutes a "normal" formulation of each particular type of cosmetic product, and in fact no such "normal" formulation exists. Also, not many people are aware of allergies they may have to particular cosmetic ingredients, although many people are aware of the allergies they have to particular food ingredients. Because of this differing knowledge of allergies, it may well be appropriate simply to identify, as the food regulation requires, which ingredients normally found in the type of food are not present. While a review of the food regulation will be undertaken to determine whether comparative testing is necessary, the Commissioner notes that to the knowledge of the Food and Drug Administration, whose employees regularly examine labeling for misleading statements, no abuse of hypoallergenic claims has taken place with respect to foods as it has with respect to cosmetic products.

13. Several comments agreed that the consumer cannot distinguish between irritation and allergic reactions and that the definition of the term "hypoallergenic" should be based on all types of adverse reactions. A few comments, however, opposed this viewpoint and contended that the two reactions should be treated separately. One of these comments stated that a product may be formulated to cause no irritation but may be a sensitizer and nevertheless qualify under the regulation as a hypoallergenic cosmetic. Another comment asserted that allergic people understand the difference between irritation and allergic reactions, and argued that if no distinction is made in the regulation between irritation and allergenicity, the labeling would be misleading, and the product misbranded.

The Commissioner discussed this issue at length in the preamble to the proposed regulation and requested the submission of any data or information that shows that consumers do not, or are unlikely to, interpret the term "hypoallergenic" to refer to all types of adverse reactions, and that consumers understand the difference and are able to distinguish between allergic (sensitization) and irritation reactions. No data were received in response to this request. Statements by dermatologists, and references to the scientific literature, which are on file with the Hearing Clerk, support the Commissioner's belief that irritation and allergic reactions are difficult for lay persons to distinguish. The Commissioner concludes, therefore, that a product labeled as hypoallergenic is understood to cause fewer adverse reactions of all types. The Commissioner agrees that some allergic people may be able to distinguish between an irritation and an allergic reaction based on information provided by an attending physician. These consumers, however, are few. The Commissioner concludes, therefore, that labeling using the term "hypoallergenic" is not false or misleading simply because no distinction is made between irritation and allergic

reaction in its claim substantiation, and may well be misleading if such a distinction is made in testing, but not fully explained in labeling.

14. Eight comments argued that the definition of the term "adverse reaction" is unscientific and illogical because it does not account for the severity of a reaction, or the number of reactions in a repeated patch test, or the sequential point at which a reaction occurs. It was contended that assigning a strong allergic reaction the same weight as a mild irritation is inconsistent, and it was recommended that all these factors be given statistical consideration. One comment argued that failure to take into account the degree of severity of a reaction may permit the marketing, as "hypoallergenic," of products that cause infrequent but severe reactions.

As pointed out in the preamble to the proposed regulation, the Commissioner carefully considered the significance and burden in statistical treatment of the numerical difference in response, sequential time of a reaction occurrence, and the differences in degree of severity of adverse reactions. The Commissioner concluded in the proposal that such variables would place an undue burden on the evaluation process and would not provide significant support to determine the difference in responses under actual conditions of product(s) use. He proposed, for the purpose of these studies, that they should not be given statistical consideration. Although several comments argued against the Commissioner's conclusion, none offered substantive scientific data supporting its views. The Commissioner does not believe, for the purpose of this determination, that it is unscientific, illogical, or inconsistent not to take into account the cited variables.

The Commissioner recognizes that the approach adopted theoretically permits the marketing, as "hypoallergenic," of products that cause infrequent but severe reactions. Any product causing severe reactions, even if infrequent, would be subject to regulatory action under the adulteration provisions of the act, however. This regulation does not sanction the marketing of such products, even if they qualify as hypoallergenic. For the purposes of regulating hypoallergenicity testing it is impractical to distinguish severe from mild reactions. Consequently, the Commissioner concludes that products causing severe reactions should be dealt with under the adulteration provisions of the act, and not through the definition of hypoallergenicity.

15. Two comments opposed the requirement for testing in human subjects. One comment held that testing in humans is hazardous because it might induce allergies in the test subjects. The second comment argued that regular cosmetics, used as reference products, are formulated for average rather than allergic users and may therefore cause injury in allergic subjects.

The Commissioner is aware of the potential hazard of testing on human subjects; however, he does not agree with

the contention that such testing constitutes an undue hazard. The regulation requires that the studies be conducted in accordance with recognized dermatological testing procedures. If human testing is carried out under professional supervision and according to one of a number of well-established and widely used dermatological testing methods, any reaction occurring from the application of a test product is expected to be of tolerable severity and confined to a small test area. Furthermore, such testing is not expected to induce allergies in human subjects. It will elicit a reaction only in those subjects already allergic to a particular cosmetic or cosmetic ingredient.

The Commissioner also rejects the argument that a regular cosmetic used as a reference may cause injury in allergic subjects because it is formulated for average rather than allergic users. The comment itself notes that the difference is incidence of adverse reactions between regular and hypoallergenic cosmetics has narrowed over the years. Furthermore, the reference products are required to be marketed competitive cosmetics, which are likely to have had broad human exposure and to have been used by allergic consumers. Any undue hazard associated with a reference product is therefore likely to have become known and corrected through reformulation or regulatory action.

16. Many comments criticized the absence in the regulation of specific dermatological testing requirements for comparison testing, and several comments made detailed recommendations for specific test methods and testing protocols. One comment suggested the requirement that separate methods be established for testing for irritancy and sensitization. Some comments argued that without specific testing requirements, a product may be compared with one known to have a relatively high frequency of adverse reactions. Other comments stated that, unless stringent tests with repeated application of the test product are required and the tests are carried out with panels of a specified size or composition, only the most notorious and evident sensitizing substances will be identified.

The Commissioner discussed at length in the preamble to the proposed regulation the reasons why the designation of a single dermatological test protocol is not considered necessary for comparison testing, and why the selection of an appropriate testing procedure may be at the discretion of the investigator. The crucial requirement of comparison testing is that the product labeled "hypoallergenic" and the reference product are tested in exactly the same manner.

Comparison testing determines relative product safety, i.e., the frequency of adverse reactions elicited by the test product as compared to the reference product. To ascertain a difference in adverse reaction potential, it is necessary that a testing method be selected that elicits some adverse reactions from the reference product and, by exactly the same method, significantly fewer reactions

from the test product. Consequently, the stringency of the dermatological testing methods is determined by the statistical requirements of the comparison testing procedure as well as by the safety characteristics, i.e., the potential for causing adverse reactions, of the marketed competitive products that serve as references. If a chosen test method does not elicit a minimum number of adverse reactions from the reference product because the reference product has a low potential for causing adverse reactions or the method is not sufficiently exacting, or if the test group is too small in number, the procedure must be altered to achieve the minimum number of reactions required for statistical significance.

The recommendation that separate methods be established in the regulation for testing for irritancy and sensitization is rejected for the same reasons as is the suggested requirement for specifying particular dermatological testing procedures. The Commissioner advises, however, that he does not object to separate procedures for testing for irritancy and sensitization if the test product and the reference product are tested by exactly the same procedures in the course of comparison testing.

The Commissioner agrees that the regulation permits the comparison of a test product with a reference product that has a relatively high frequency of adverse reactions compared to other products in the same class. Such a result is entirely consistent with the purpose of the regulation. If the tested product is significantly safer than products constituting a significant share of the market place, it is appropriate that its manufacturer be permitted to alert the public to its superiority through use of the term "hypoallergenic." In practice, this is unlikely to occur, however, because any product causing an abnormally high rate of adverse reactions either achieves limited marketing success and is reformulated or is subject to regulatory action because of its lack of safety.

17. Five comments discussed the difficulties encountered in obtaining reliable market data for the selection of the reference product(s). It was stated that information on the sales volume of competing products is difficult to obtain, that no organization is surveying the total cosmetic market or all sales outlets, and that sales are usually reported in dollar volume instead of unit volume. In addition, it was argued that custom surveys would be needed to obtain the required data and that the cost would be prohibitive, especially to small firms.

The proposed regulation has been revised to clarify the identity of the products that may be used as reference products in comparison testing. The regulation provides that the reference product may consist of each of any number of similar-use competitive products in the same cosmetic product category representing a combined market share of at least 10 percent of the similar usage cosmetic market. The provision in the proposal identifying the three highest market leaders as possible reference products

has been eliminated to clarify the requirement that the reference product(s) must constitute a significant share of the market, i.e., at least 10 percent. A single product may be used as a reference product if it constitutes at least 10 percent of the market.

The Commissioner agrees that there may be a few instances where insufficient market research data are available to determine which similar-use competitive products represent a combined market share of at least 10 percent. If a manufacturer can demonstrate that he has made a reasonable but unsuccessful effort to obtain the sales volume information needed to ascertain a reference product(s), he may use as reference products at least two similar-use competitive products, selected at random, which by trade name or brand designation represent at least 10 percent of the total number of nationally distributed competitive brands in the same product usage category.

The Commissioner concludes that this revision provides a reasonable alternative to the selection of reference products by sales volume without affecting substantially the significance of the reference product in regard to its market representation. The cosmetic manufacturer should have little difficulty in determining by trade name or brand designation the competitive products in the same cosmetic product category and selecting at random a number of products representing at least 10 percent of the total number. This alternative requires multiple comparisons, and each comparison test must meet the requirements of this regulation.

The Commissioner concludes that in many instances sufficient market survey data can reasonably be obtained to identify products constituting 10 percent of the market. Although some market research organizations do not survey the total cosmetic market or all sales outlets, e.g., they may omit mail order and door-to-door sales, the Commissioner concludes that in most cases enough data can be obtained to determine products constituting at least 10 percent of the market, notwithstanding lack of knowledge about the unsurveyed portions of the market.

Estimates of a product's market share may be based on surveys of a portion of the market. Where survey data are especially scanty, it may be necessary to use as reference products one or more products that in fact probably constitute more than 10 percent of the market to assure that products representing at least a 10-percent share are tested. Through reasonably available surveys and reasonable estimates based on such surveys, the Commissioner concludes it will almost always be possible to ascertain products constituting at least 10 percent of the market. A product's share of the entire market can reasonably be estimated by relating the survey data of a portion of the market to other information available about the product and the market. For example, if a survey of drug, discount and grocery store sales shows that a par-

ticular aerosol deodorant has a 20 percent share of that market, and it can be demonstrated that sales through other retail outlets follow about the same pattern, it may reasonably be estimated that the 20 percent market share applies to the entire market. Moreover, the proposed regulation has been revised to provide that the selection of a reference product may be based on either the unit or the dollar sales volume.

18. Two comments argued against the 2-year period permitted for substantiation of hypoallergenic claims in labeling of products in commercial distribution at the time this order is published. One comment requested a 5-year period because of the complexity of the testing requirements, the shortage of testing subjects and facilities, the time needed to identify reference products, and the involvement of hundreds of formulations in the project. The second comment considered the 2-year period inconsistent with the provision in the proposal requiring a product whose hypoallergenic claim is challenged to be retested within 180 (sic) days and requested that the 2-year period be reduced to 180 days.

The Commissioner is not persuaded that an extension of the 2-year period for substantiation of the hypoallergenic claim is justified for all cosmetics currently marketed as hypoallergenic. He agrees, however, that there may be instances where comparison testing cannot be completed within that time period for some of the reasons cited in the comment and will extend the 2-year period for individual products upon petition, where it is shown that testing is in progress, that every effort has been made to comply with the regulation, and that a delay of testing completion was unavoidable. Any such petition and the data submitted in support of the request for a time extension will be placed on public display in the office of the Hearing Clerk.

The Commissioner does not agree with the argument that the 2-year period is inconsistent with the proposed "180" day period (the proposed period was 150 days) for the retesting of hypoallergenic products whose claim is challenged. The 2-year period is justified for initial compliance with the regulation because some manufacturers have a large number of products that will have to be tested simultaneously, the availability of suitable human test subjects is limited, and the testing of a great number of cosmetics at one time will strain the capability of existing testing facilities. These factors are of lesser consequence after the initial period, when fewer products will require comparison testing, and the 150-day period would therefore have been appropriate at that time. (The Commissioner notes, however, that the proposed 150-day period for retesting has been deleted as unnecessary. As discussed below, the only ground upon which a claim of hypoallergenicity may be invalidated is that the claim does not meet the requirements of the regulation.)

19. Several comments took issue with the provision allowing a claim of hypo-

allergenicity to be valid for a period of 5 years without retesting because it was felt that this provision sanctions false or misleading labeling. It was stated that the 5-year period is arbitrary, that reference products constantly undergo formula changes, and that many are discontinued during that period. These reasons, it was argued, make the validity of the claim unreliable and invalid regardless of the requirements that there be no change in the formulation of the hypoallergenic product and no data are submitted demonstrating the invalidity of the claim. One comment noted the possibility that a reformulated reference product could be compared tested against another cosmetic and might itself qualify to be labeled "hypoallergenic." The comments requested retesting of the hypoallergenic product whenever it becomes known that a reference product was reformulated or discontinued.

The Commissioner does not agree that the 5-year period during which a claim of hypoallergenicity remains valid is arbitrary, and that labeling is false or misleading when the product is not retested, provided there is no change in the formula of the hypoallergenic product and no data are submitted to the Food and Drug Administration effectively challenging such a claim. The rationale for comparing a hypoallergenic cosmetic with a competitive product has a broader meaning than the comparison of a test product with a specific formulation of a reference product. Its primary purpose is to ascertain that a hypoallergenic product causes significantly fewer adverse reactions than a significant share of the similar-use product market. A product or products with a combined market representation of at least 10 percent characterize that market share. While it is possible that the products used as reference products are the only products on the market against which the hypoallergenic product could have been successfully tested, it is more likely that they are a sample of products of similar safety sold by other manufacturers. A subsequent change of the formulation, or the discontinuance of a particular reference product, therefore, does not affect the basic intent of the testing to the extent that a claim of hypoallergenicity becomes invalid and renders labeling misleading. Moreover, no regulation permitting comparative claims based on testing against identified products can operate if a manufacturer can prohibit his competitor's legitimate claim of superiority by making a change in formulation that in fact may or may not affect the validity of the claim. The Commissioner concludes that once a product has been proved to be hypoallergenic as compared to a competitive product, a presumption of continuing hypoallergenicity should operate in favor of the product demonstrated to be superior.

The 5-year period was established by considering the rate at which the nature of a particular cosmetic market tends to change. In considering the rate at which the nature of the market changes,

the Commissioner considered the expected rate of formulation changes of marketed products, the expected rate of introduction of new products and withdrawal from the market of existing products, and the likely advances in cosmetic science and technology towards further improvements in product safety. Also considered in establishing the 5-year period were the complexity of the dermatological testing procedures for the determination of hypoallergenicity and the cost of such testing. Considering all these factors, the Commissioner concludes that the 5-year period of claim validity is appropriate.

The Commissioner concludes that there is no illogic in the possibility that a reformulated reference product could be compared tested against another cosmetic and might itself qualify to be labeled "hypoallergenic." If such a reference product can be reformulated and successfully tested against products constituting 10 percent of the market, it is entirely appropriate that it be permitted to claim hypoallergenicity.

20. Two comments were opposed to the provision that any change in the formula of a product invalidates a hypoallergenic claim and requires retesting. One comment contended that this restriction discourages development of less allergenic, safer products. The second comment alluded to an inconsistency between the preamble and the proposed regulation concerning the meaning of the term "change in formula." It was stated that the preamble meaning is much broader than the meaning of the term in the regulation in that it includes not only qualitative or quantitative changes in a formulation but also changes in the composition and stability caused by the purity or composition of an ingredient or the manufacture and packaging of the cosmetic. The comment urged that the matter be resolved in favor of the regulation and that the regulation be amended to the effect that formula changes which, in the opinion of qualified experts, do not affect the product's potential for epidermal reaction do not invalidate the claim and, therefore, do not require retesting of the product.

Although the provision invalidating a hypoallergenic claim when a formulation change is made will not slow development of safer cosmetics, the Commissioner recognizes that it will delay introduction of reformulated products into the market. Introduction of reformulated hypoallergenic products will tend to take place only at the end of the 5-year periods. The Commissioner concludes, however, that any disadvantage caused by such delay of improvement is outweighed by the heightened incentive to produce a less allergenic product, which this regulation as a whole will cause. By assigning the term "hypoallergenic" a uniform and well-defined meaning and permitting it to appear only on products of demonstrated superiority, manufacturers will be induced to devote more resources to developing a safer product, thus greatly advancing the cause of cosmetic safety.

The Commissioner advises that there is no inconsistency between the preamble and the proposed regulation in regard to the meaning of the term "change in formula." The preamble simply explains what constitutes a change in formula to forestall any misunderstanding. The meaning of the term "change in formula" is not limited to intended quantitative changes or substitutions of the ingredients that were used at the time of testing. The term also includes qualitative or quantitative formulation changes brought on by changes in the purity or composition of the cosmetic ingredients, or any changes in the manufacture and packaging of a cosmetic that are likely to affect its composition or stability. The Commissioner further concludes that permitting, without product retesting, formula changes which, in the opinion of qualified experts, do not affect a product's potential for epidermal reaction, contradicts the purpose of the regulation and is therefore rejected. The purpose of the regulation is to determine through dermatological testing that a claim of hypoallergenicity is justified. Although qualified experts may agree that a change in a formulation will not affect a product's potential for adverse reactions, their concurrence expresses an opinion that must be confirmed through appropriate testing.

21. Several comments opposed the provision that all data submitted to the agency for the purpose of substantiating a hypoallergenic claim will be placed on public display. Some comments pointed out that competitive sales data compiled by market research firms are supplied to client firms as confidential information. Other comments objected to this provision because the disclosure of testing data is expected to lead to "unfortunate" competitive practices, i.e., the reformulation and retesting of competitive products used as references, the challenging of the validity of substantiated claims of hypoallergenicity, and the initiation of a cycle of reformulation of test products and reference products, which will make the 5-year validity of the claim nothing more than an illusion or drive smaller cosmetic firms out of business.

The Commissioner advises that the public disclosure of data submitted to the Food and Drug Administration for the purpose of justifying a hypoallergenic claim is guided by the regulations on public information published in the *FEDERAL REGISTER* of December 24, 1974 (39 FR 44602). Section 4.111(c) (21 CFR 4.111(c)) provides that all voluntarily submitted safety data, as well as the protocols for tests or studies, are available for public disclosure. However, in accordance with § 4.111(d) (3) (21 CFR 4.111(d) (3)), sales data are not placed on public display, unless they have been previously disclosed to the public as defined in § 4.81 (21 CFR 4.81). If the only disclosure of the sales data has been pursuant to contractual arrangements, such information in Food and Drug Administration files is not available to the

public. However, the names of the reference products used in comparison testing are available.

The public disclosure of testing data and other records submitted to the Food and Drug Administration in support of the hypoallergenic claim is important to enforcement of the regulation and is in the interest of consumers. It provides consumers and cosmetic manufacturers with the opportunity to review important information to determine the validity of claims and to ascertain ways of improving cosmetic safety.

The proposed regulation has been revised, however, to make clear that the reformulation of a reference product does not invalidate the claim of hypoallergenicity. A manufacturer who establishes that his product is hypoallergenic in accordance with the requirements of this regulation may label his product with that claim for 5 years notwithstanding any change in the reference product formulation. Under the regulation, a challenge to a product's claim of hypoallergenicity may be based only on the ground that the claim does not meet the requirements of the regulation. Thus, a challenge to a claim is limited to issues such as whether the testing was properly conducted. Subsequent testing is relevant to the validity of the claim only if the test results on which the claim is based cannot be duplicated; in such a case, the Commissioner would determine which test data reflect accurate testing of the product.

The Commissioner concludes that under these rules no cycle of reformulations and retesting or other "unfortunate" competitive practices will occur.

22. One comment implied that under the proposed regulation the Commissioner would have too much discretion in resolving issues on the adequacy of the submitted data supporting or disproving a claim of hypoallergenicity and requested that a mechanism, for example, a hearing, be established for resolving any factual disputes. Another comment requested that the regulation require the Commissioner to resolve such an issue within a specified time period.

The proposed regulation has been revised to clarify the circumstances under which a claim of hypoallergenicity may be challenged. Such a claim may be challenged only on the grounds that the study did not meet the requirements of the regulation. With that clarification to the regulation, the Commissioner has no more discretion in resolving disputes than he does on any other scientific question before the agency. Such issues will be resolved in the same manner as are other scientific issues considered by the Food and Drug Administration.

Under the regulation as revised, the Commissioner concludes that no time limit for deciding the validity of a challenged claim of hypoallergenicity is appropriate. Any information received that indicates the invalidity of a hypoallergenic claim will be treated as is any other information relating to an apparently misbranded product.

23. Four comments were critical of the provision in the proposal that no recall would be required of a marketed product after a determination has been made that a claim of hypoallergenicity is not supported. One comment contended that a product should be recalled when a hypoallergenic claim is not justified. Another comment suggested that, because a product need not be recalled, subsequent labeling should disclose in some manner that the product is not hypoallergenic. The third comment indicated that the no-recall provision implied that the agency administers no meaningful sanction against a misbranded product. The fourth comment stated that the agency has no statutory authority to require the recall of a cosmetic and requested that the regulation be amended to reflect the agency's legal authority.

The proposed regulation provided that no recall would be required if a claim of hypoallergenicity was determined to be "not reasonably applicable." The Commissioner notes that under the regulation, as revised, the only ground on which a hypoallergenic claim supported by testing may be challenged is that the testing was improperly conducted or otherwise does not meet the requirements of the regulation. Accordingly, any successful challenge demonstrates that the claim was never warranted, and regulatory action is appropriate. The particular form of the regulatory action undertaken, which may include recall, will be determined on a case-by-case basis as it is in all other instances of misbranding. If a recall is not voluntarily undertaken, the misbranded products may be seized, or a court may compel their recall.

The Commissioner rejects the suggestion that when a hypoallergenic claim is determined to be invalid, subsequent labeling should be required to state that the product is not hypoallergenic. The Commissioner concludes that misbranding with respect to claims of hypoallergenicity should be treated the same as all other misbranding.

24. Several comments were critical of the determination in the proposed regulation that terms and phrases such as "allergy tested," "lower rate of reaction," "safer for sensitive skin," and related claims containing such words as "irritation," "allergy," or "sensitivity" in their text convey the same meaning as "hypoallergenic" and therefore require the same scientific studies as are required to substantiate the hypoallergenic claim. Some comments argued that these terms are even more clearly noncomparative, and hence that the competitive testing requirement for such terms is capricious. Other comments suggested that these terms could convey the meaning of complete absence of adverse reaction potential and may then render a product misbranded.

The Commissioner notes that no cosmetic is completely free of adverse reaction to all consumers. Evidence in support of this conclusion is on file at the office of the Hearing Clerk. The fact that no cosmetic is free of adverse reactions

means that any unquantified statement about a product's safety or about any testing that was conducted to determine its potential for adverse reactions is implicitly a statement that the product will have a "low" rate of reactions, i.e., that it is relatively safe. As discussed above, when no standard of reference is stated, consumers infer that the standard of comparison is competitive products. For example, the term "allergy tested" conveys a comparative meaning when used in a statement such as, "this product was allergy tested to assure a low rate of adverse reactions" because it communicates to the consumer that the product in question is for reasons of such testing a safer product. Since no standard of reference is specified, the consumer infers that the product is safer compared to competitive products.

Had the statement in the example above been quantified, such as "this product was allergy tested and produced a rate of adverse reaction of ten per million consumers," the claim would be noncomparative. A documented claim that a particular product causes a determined number of adverse reactions per million users can undoubtedly be made in labeling in a way that is not misleading. The Commissioner is not aware of instances in which that type of claim has been made for cosmetic products. Instead, labeling frequently contains claims such as "allergy tested" or "safe for sensitive skin," which are perceived as comparative.

The Commissioner notes that brief, unexplained claims relating to safety or testing will ordinarily be viewed by consumers as comparative. For example, when the term "allergy tested" is stated alone on the principal display panel or set apart from other statements or phrases on an information panel, the consumer perceives a comparative meaning because it implies superior safety. Although cosmetic products are expected to be tested for their allergenic potential, and the majority are tested accordingly, most products do not mention that fact in labeling, and the consumer is not sufficiently knowledgeable to recognize that most competitive products are tested similarly. The use of such a statement in labeling is clearly intended to convey the impression of relative safety. The implication of the phrase "allergy tested" on a product certainly is not that the product was tested and did poorly, but that the product was tested and did well. Thus, such a statement is no less comparative than the term "hypoallergenic." The Commissioner therefore concludes that the same rules should apply as for obviously comparative claims, such as "hypoallergenic."

Therefore, pursuant to provisions of the Federal Food, Drug, and Cosmetic Act (secs. 201(n), 602(a), 701(a), 52 Stat. 1041, 1054 as amended, and 1055 (21 U.S.C. 321(n), 362(a), 371(a)) and under authority delegated to the Commissioner (21 CFR 2.120), Part 701 is amended by adding a new Subpart D consisting of § 701.100 to read as follows:

Subpart D—Specific Statements in Labeling

§ 701.100 "Hypoallergenic" and other claims of relative safety.

(a) A cosmetic may be designated in its labeling by words that state or imply that the product or any ingredient thereof is "hypoallergenic" if it has been shown by scientific studies that the relative frequency of adverse reactions in human subjects from the test product is significantly less than the relative frequency of such reactions from each reference product(s).

(b) For the purpose of these studies, the term "adverse reactions" means any epidermal reaction of undefined degree of severity, occurring on a subject during the course of a study involving one or multiple dermal applications of the test material.

(c) The studies shall be carried out on human subjects. Such subjects may be chosen from individuals who have a history of adverse reactions. If separate groups of subjects are used for the test product and the reference product, the subjects shall be assigned at random to each group.

(d) The studies shall be conducted in accordance with recognized dermatological testing procedures adequate to determine adverse reactions. To support a claim of hypoallergenicity, the relative frequency of response observed for the test product shall be sufficiently less than that for the reference product so as statistically to reject the hypothesis of no difference in relative frequencies at the 5-percent level of significance.

(e) A claim of hypoallergenicity which has been justified by the requirements of this section shall be valid for a period of 5 years from the date of the completion of the required testing if each of the following conditions is met:

(1) The test product is:

(i) The product sought to be labeled as "hypoallergenic," or

(ii) A composite product consisting of two or more formulations of individual products sought to be labeled "hypoallergenic" where the formulations of such individual products are of similar qualitative and quantitative composition and the products have similar physical and chemical characteristics. The formulation of the composite product shall represent each ingredient of the formulations of the individual products at its highest concentration except that the most predominant ingredient shall be reduced by the amount necessary to accommodate the other ingredients at their highest concentration. Where such reduction in concentration of the most predominant ingredient would cause the concentration of that ingredient to fall below the concentration of the second most predominant ingredient, the concentration of the most predominant ingredient shall be reduced to the level of the second most predominant ingredient, which shall then be reduced by the remaining portion to accommodate the other ingredients. The reduction of the most predominant ingredient(s) shall

not change significantly the physical and chemical characteristics of the composite product as compared to the characteristics of the individual products it represents to ensure the scientific validity of representation of all of such individual products. A composite product of formulations containing different fragrance compounds is not acceptable as a test product.

(2) The reference product(s) is on the date testing is begun:

(i) Each of any number of similar-use competitive products in the same cosmetic product category representing a combined market share of at least 10 percent of the similar usage cosmetic market as determined by the most recent survey of unit or dollar sales volume. A survey of less than the entire market, e.g., a survey of some types of retail outlets, may be used in a reasonable way, together with other information available about the product and market, to estimate a product's share of the entire market.

(ii) Where market share data are not available, at least two similar-use competitive products in the same cosmetic product category, selected at random, which by trade name or brand designation constitute at least 10 percent of the total number of nationally distributed similar-use competitive products identified by trade name or brand designation.

(3) Subsequent to testing there is no change in the formula of the product for which the claim is made. Any change in the formula of a product for which such a claim is made requires that the reformulated product again meet all of the requirements of this section.

(4) All records of any tests, results, and evaluations conducted pursuant to the provisions of this section, irrespective of the results, are submitted to the Food and Drug Administration prior to commercial distribution of a new product or, in the case of products currently in commercial distribution in accordance with paragraph (h) (1) of this section, as soon as completed. Each submission shall be accompanied by a statement, signed by the person responsible for the submission, that to the best of his knowledge and belief it includes all of the tests, results, and evaluations comparing the product to other products with reference to frequency of adverse reactions, except

for other data previously submitted. All such information shall promptly be placed on public display in the Public Records and Documents Center of the Food and Drug Administration, Rm. 4-62, 5600 Fishers Lane, Rockville, MD 20852.

(5) No data submitted at any time to the Food and Drug Administration by the manufacturer or any other interested person demonstrate that the testing upon which the claim is made does not meet the requirements of this section.

(6) In each article of labeling, e.g., carton, label, or package insert, the most prominent use of the word "hypoallergenic" or any such similar or related term as specified in paragraph (j) of this section that is not on a principal display panel is followed by the phrase, "less likely to cause adverse reactions than some competing products." In addition, the word "hypoallergenic" or such similar or related term on any principal display panel shall be followed by such phrase. Such phrase shall appear in labeling immediately following, or set directly below, the word "hypoallergenic" or any such similar or related term and shall be conspicuous and prominent as compared to other words, statements, designs or devices.

(f) A "line" of cosmetics may be considered as a product for the purpose of determining the reference product in accordance with the provisions of paragraph (e) (2) of this section. In such a case, any product of the "line" may serve as the reference product actually tested. A "line" consists of products in the same cosmetic product category that are similar in composition and intended for the same use which are sold by the labeler under a common trade name or brand designation where no trade name or brand designation not common to all such products appears in the labeling of any of them. Products differing in fragrance are not similar in composition.

(g) Any cosmetic having labeling including a claim of hypoallergenicity shipped in interstate commerce or held for sale after shipment in interstate commerce after a determination that such a claim does not meet the requirements of this section shall be deemed to be misbranded.

(h) Any cosmetic product which is designated in labeling as hypoallergenic or for which claims are made that one

or more ingredients are hypoallergenic or for which hypoallergenicity is implied through the use of other terms shall comply with the requirements of this section as follows:

(1) If it is in commercial distribution on June 6, 1975, such claims shall be justified as required by this section no later than June 6, 1977.

(2) If it is not in commercial distribution on June 6, 1975, such claims shall be justified, as required by this section, before such claims are made.

(3) If such claims have not been justified in accordance with the requirements of this section, or if records of test studies have not been made available as required by this section, such claims shall not be made.

(i) No data submitted to the Food and Drug Administration may be construed to represent approval or endorsement by the Food and Drug Administration. Any product bearing labeling that states or implies that such test data have been submitted to the Food and Drug Administration or that the Food and Drug Administration has approved or endorsed the tests of the product shall be deemed to be misbranded.

(j) Terms and phrases such as "allergy tested," "dermatologist tested," "lower rate of reactions," "safer for sensitive skin," and similar or related claims containing such words as "allergy," "irritation," or "sensitivity" in their text, used alone or in conjunction with other words or statements that convey to the user that the product is less likely to cause adverse reactions than similar-use competitive products of the same product category are subject to all the requirements of this section for use of the term "hypoallergenic."

(k) Terms or phrases in labeling which imply complete safety or the absence of the potential for adverse reaction are false or misleading and render a product misbranded.


Effective date. All cosmetics introduced into interstate commerce after July 7, 1975, shall comply with this regulation.

(Secs. 201(n), 602(a), 701(a), 52 Stat. 1041, 1054, as amended (21 U.S.C. 321(n), 362(a), 371(a)).)

Dated: May 29, 1975.

A. M. SCHMIDT,
Commissioner of Food and Drugs.

[FR Doc. 75-14734 Filed 6-5-75; 8:45 am]



federal register

FRIDAY, JUNE 6, 1975

WASHINGTON, D.C.

Volume 40 ■ Number 110

PART IV



DEPARTMENT OF LABOR

**Employment Standards
Administration**



MINIMUM WAGES FOR FEDERAL AND FEDERALLY ASSISTED CONSTRUCTION

**General Wage Determination Decisions,
Modifications and Supersedeas
Decisions; Index**

DEPARTMENT OF LABOR

Employment Standards Administration
MINIMUM WAGES FOR FEDERAL AND
FEDERALLY ASSISTED CONSTRUCTION

General Wage Determination Decisions

General Wage Determination Decisions of the Secretary of Labor specify, in accordance with applicable law and on the basis of information available to the Department of Labor from its study of local wage conditions and from other sources, the basic hourly wage rates and fringe benefit payments which are determined to be prevailing for the described classes of laborers and mechanics employed in construction activity of the character and in the localities specified therein.

The determinations in these decisions of such prevailing rates and fringe benefits have been made by authority of the Secretary of Labor pursuant to the provisions of the Davis-Bacon Act of March 3, 1931, as amended (46 Stat. 1494, as amended, 40 U.S.C. 276a) and of other Federal statutes referred to in 29 CFR 1.1 (including the statutes listed at 36 FR 306 following the Secretary of Labor's Order No. 24-70) containing provisions for the payment of wages which are dependent upon determinations by the Secretary of Labor under the Davis-Bacon Act; and pursuant to the provisions of Part 1 of Subtitle A of Title 29 of Code of Federal Regulations, Procedure for Predetermination of Wage Rates (37 FR 21138) and of Secretary of Labor's Orders 12-71 and 15-71 (36 FR 8755, 8756). The prevailing rates and fringe benefits determined in these decisions shall, in accordance with the provisions of the foregoing statutes, constitute the minimum wages payable on Federal and federally assisted construction projects to laborers and mechanics of the specified classes engaged on contract work of the character and in the localities described therein.

Good cause is hereby found for not utilizing notice and public procedure thereon prior to the issuance of these determinations as prescribed in 5 U.S.C. 553 and not providing for delay in effective date as prescribed in that section, because the necessity to issue construction industry wage determination frequently and in large volume causes procedures to be impractical and contrary to the public interest.

General Wage Determination Decisions are effective from their date of publication in the FEDERAL REGISTER without limitation as to time and are to be used in accordance with the provisions of 29 CFR, Parts 1 and 5. Accordingly, the applicable decision together with any modifications issued subsequent to its publication date shall be made a part of every contract for performance of the described work within the geographic area indicated as required by an applicable Federal prevailing wage law and 29 CFR, Part 5. The wage rates contained therein shall be the minimum paid under such contract by contractors and subcontractors on the work.

MODIFICATIONS AND SUPERSEDEAS DECISIONS TO GENERAL WAGE DETERMINATION DECISIONS

Modifications and Supersedeas Decisions to General Wage Determination Decisions are based upon information obtained concerning changes in prevailing hourly wage rates and fringe benefit payments since the decisions were issued.

The determinations of prevailing rates and fringe benefits made in the Modifications and Supersedeas Decisions have been made by authority of the Secretary of Labor pursuant to the provisions of the Davis-Bacon Act of March 3, 1931, as amended (46 Stat. 1494, as amended, 40 U.S.C. 276a) and of other Federal statutes referred to in 29 CFR 1.1 (including the statutes listed at 36 FR 306 following Secretary of Labor's Order No. 24-70) containing provisions for the payment of wages which are dependent upon determination by the Secretary of Labor under the Davis-Bacon Act; and pursuant to the provisions of Part 1 of Subtitle A of Title 29 of Code of Federal Regulations, Procedure for Predetermination of Wage Rates (37 FR 21138) and of Secretary of Labor's Orders 13-71 and 15-71 (36 FR 8755, 8756). The prevailing rates and fringe benefits determined in foregoing General Wage Determination Decisions, as hereby modified, and/or superseded shall, in accordance with the provisions of the foregoing statutes, constitute the minimum wages payable on Federal and federally assisted construction projects to laborers and mechanics of the specified classes engaged in contract work of the character and in the localities described therein.

Modifications and Supersedeas Decisions are effective from their date of

publication in the FEDERAL REGISTER without limitation as to time and are to be used in accordance with the provisions of 29 CFR Parts 1 and 5.

Any person, organization, or governmental agency having an interest in the wages determined as prevailing is encouraged to submit wage rate information for consideration by the Department. Further information and self-explanatory forms for the purpose of submitting this data may be obtained by writing to the U.S. Department of Labor, Employment Standards Administration, Office of Special Wage Standards, Division of Wage Determinations, Washington, D.C. 20210. The cause for not utilizing the rule-making procedures prescribed in 5 U.S.C. 553 has been set forth in the original General Wage Determination Decision.

MODIFICATIONS TO GENERAL WAGE DETERMINATION DECISIONS

The numbers of the decisions being modified and their dates of publication in the FEDERAL REGISTER are listed with each State.

Georgia:		
GA75-1039	-----	Apr. 11, 1975.
Maryland:		
AR-2053	-----	Sept. 27, 1974.
New York:		
NY75-3036; NY75-3040; NY 75-3041.		Apr. 11, 1975.
Pennsylvania:		
AR-2002; AR-2003; AR-2004; AR-2005; AR-2006.		July 12, 1974.
AR-2028	-----	Sept. 20, 1974.
PA75-3011	-----	Feb. 14, 1975.
Texas:		
TX75-4106; TX75-4107; TX75-4108.		May 23, 1975.

SUPERSEDEAS DECISIONS TO GENERAL WAGE DETERMINATION DECISIONS

The numbers of the decisions being superseded and their dates of publication in the FEDERAL REGISTER are listed with each State. Supersedeas Decision numbers are in parentheses following the numbers of the decisions being superseded.

Alabama:		
AL75-1022 (AL75-1061)---		Feb. 14, 1975.
Tennessee:		
TN75-1050 (TN75-1053) --		May 2, 1975.

Signed at Washington, D.C., this 30th day of May 1975.

RAY J. DOLAN,
Assistant Administrator,
Wage and Hour Division.

DECISION NO. NY75-3040 - Mod. #1
(40 FR 16589 - April 11, 1975)
Oneida County, New York

Change:

POWER EQUIPMENT OPERATORS Building Construction

Class 1
Class 2
Class 3

Basic Hourly Rates	Fringe Benefits Payments			App. To
	M & V	Pension	Variable	
\$10.03	.50	.90	a	.05
9.53	.50	.90	a	.05
8.51	.50	.90	a	.05

FOOTNOTE:

a: Paid Holidays: New Year's Day; Memorial Day; Independence Day; Labor Day; Thanksgiving Day and Christmas Day, providing employee has worked 5 consecutive working days before and the working day after the holiday.

POWER EQUIPMENT OPERATORS: Building Construction

Class 1: Asphalt and blacktop roller; Automated concrete spreader (OMI or equivalent); Automated fine grade machine (OMI); Backhoe; Belt placer; Blacktop spreader (such as Barber Greene and Bencknox) Blacktop plant (automated); Blast or rotary drill (truck or cat mounted); Boom truck; Cableway; Calson auger; Carry-all-scraper-self loading; Central Mix plant (automated); Cherry picker over five (5) ton capacity; Compressors; Pump; Generator or welding machine (when used in a battery of not more than four (4)); Crane; Crawler-cock; Derrick; Diesel power unit; Drag-line; Dredge; Dual drum paver; Elevating grader (self-propelled to towed); Elevator hoist - two cage; Excavator - all purpose - hydraulically operated; Fork lift (factory rating 15 ft. or more); Front end loader (4 c.y. and over); Grapple; Grader (power); Head tower (Saur man or equal); Hoist (2 or 3 drums); Locomotive; Maintenance engineer; Maintenance welder; Mine hoist; Mucking machine or mole; Overhead crane fixed permanent; Pile driver; Quarry Master or equivalent; Refrigeration equipment - for soil stabilization; Shovel; Side boom; Slip form paver; Straddle buggy (Ross carrier, Lumber carrier) Tractor drawn belt type loader (Euclid loader); Trenching machine (digging capacity of over 4 ft. depth); Truck crane operator; Tunnel shovel; Vibro or sonic hammer controls (when not mounted in proximity to the rig operator)

DECISION NO. NY75-3036 - Mod. #1

POWER EQUIPMENT OPERATORS Building Construction (Cont'd)

Class 2: "A" Frame truck; Blacktop plant (non-automated); Boring machine; Bulldozer; Cage hoist; Carry-all scraper; Central mix plant (non-automated); Cherry picker five (5) tons and under; Compressor (315 c.f. and over); Concrete paver (single drum over 16S); Concrete pump; Core boring machine; Drill rig-tractor mounted; Elevator - as a material hoist; Fork lift (factory rating less than 15 ft.); Front end loader (under 4 cu. yds.); Gunite machine; High pressure boiler (15 lbs. and over); Hoist (one drum); Hydraulic breaking hammer; (Drop hammer); Kolman plant loader (screening gravel); Maintenance grease man; Mixer for stabilized base - self-propelled (seaman mixer); Motorzill machine; Parapet concrete or pavement grinder post hole digger (truck or tractor mounted); Post driver (truck or tractor mounted); Power sweeper (Wayne or similar); Pump 4" and over; Pump-concrete or squeeze-concrete; Road widener (front end of grader or self-propelled); Shell winder (motorized); Shovel (overhead arm); Roller; Trenching machine (digging capacity of 4 ft. or less); Tugger hoist vibro tamp; Well drill; Well point system (submersible pumps when used in lieu of well-point system); Winch (motor driven); Winch cat; Winch truck

Class 3: Compressor (under 315 cu. ft.); Concrete paver or mixer (under 16S); Concrete pavement spreaders and finishers (not automated); Conveyor (over 12 ft.); Electric submersible pump (4" and over); Farm tractor with or without accessories; Fine grade machine (not automated); Fireman; Form tamper; Generator (2,500 watts and over); Hydraulic pump; Mechanical heaters - more than two (2) mechanical heaters or any mechanical heater or heaters whose combined output exceeds 640,000 BTU per hour (manufacturer's rating); Mulching machine; Oiler; Power driven welding machine - 300 amp. and over (other than all electric); One welding machine under 300 amp. Will not require an engineer unless in a battery); Power beaterman (hay drier) Pump (under 4"); Revinus widener (road widener); Steam cleaner or Jenny; Tractor with or without towed accessories

DECISION NO. NY73-3041 - Mod. #1
(40 FE 1695 - April 11, 1975)
Ontario County, New York

Basic Hourly Rates	Fringe Benefits Payments			App. To
	H & V	Pensions	Vacation	
\$9.00	.60	.60		.01
9.63	.50			
10.21	.47	.57		.10

Change:
Cement masons, building
Glaziers
Ironworkers:
Structural, ornamental and
reinforcing

Quali:
Building Construction, Power Equipment Operators schedule originally issued.

Add:
Building Construction, Power Equipment Operators schedule attached.

POWER EQUIPMENT OPERATORS (Cont'd)
Building Construction

Class 2: "A" Frame truck; Blacktop plant (non-automatic); Boring machine; Bulldozer; Cage hoist; Carry-all scraper; Central mix plant (non-automatic); Cherry picker five (5) tons and under; Compressor (315 c.f. and over); Concrete paver (single drum over 165); Concrete pump; Core boring machine; Drill rigs-tractor mounted; Elevator - as a material hoist; Fork lift (factory rating less than 15 ft.); Front end loader (under 4 cu. yds.); Grumite machine; High pressure boiler (15 lbs. and over); Hoist (one drum); Hydraulic breaking hammer; (Drop hammer); Kolman plant loader (screening gravel); Maintenance grease man; Mixer for stabilized base - self-propelled (season mixer); Motorail machine; Pavejet concrete or pavement grinder post hole digger (truck or tractor mounted); Post driver (truck or tractor mounted); Power sweeper (Wayne or similar); Pump 4" and over; Pump-crete or squeeze-crete; Road widener (front end of grader or self-propelled); Shell winder (motorized); Snorkel (overhead arms); Rollers; Trenching machine (digging capacity of 4 ft. or less); Tugger hoist vibro tamper; Well drill; Well point system (submersible pump; when used in lieu of well-point system); Winch (motor driven); Winch cat; Winch truck

Class 3: Compressor (under 315 cu. ft.); Concrete paver or mixer (under 165); Concrete pavement spreaders and finishers (not automated); Conveyor (over 12 ft.); Electric submersible pump (4" and over); Farm tractor with or without accessories; Fine grade machine (not automated); Fireman; Form tamper; Generator (2,500 watts and over); Hydraulic pump; Mechanical beaters - more than two (2) mechanical beaters or any mechanical heater or beaters whose combined output exceeds 640,000 BTU per hour (manufacturer's rating); Mulching machine; Oilier; Power driven welding machine - 300 amp. and over (other than all electric); One welding machine under 300 amp. will not require an engineer unless in a battery; Power beaterman (hay drier) Pump (under 4"); Revinus widener (road widener); Steam cleaner or Jenny; Tractor with or without towed accessories

DECISION NO. NY75-3041 - Mod. #1POWER EQUIPMENT OPERATORS
Building Construction

Class 1

Class 2

Class 3

FOOTNOTES:

a: Paid Holidays: New Year's Day; Memorial Day; Independence Day; Labor Day; Thanksgiving Day and Christmas Day, providing employee has worked 5 consecutive working days before and the working day after the holiday.

Basic Hourly Rates	Fringe Benefits Payments			App. Tc.
	M & W	Pension	Vacation	
\$10.03	.50	.90	a	.05
9.53	.50	.90	a	.05
8.51	.50	.90	a	.05

POWER EQUIPMENT OPERATORS
Building Construction (Cont'd)

Class 2: "A" Frame truck; Blacktop plant (non-automatic); Boring machine; Bulldozer; Cage hoist; Carry-all scraper; Central mix plant (non-automatic); Cherry picker five (5) tons and under; Compressor (315 c.f. and over); Concrete paver (single drum over 16S); Concrete pump; Core boring machine; Drill rig-tractor mounted; Elevator - as a material hoist; Fork lift (factory rating less than 15 ft.); Front end loader (under 4 cu. yds.); Grapple machine; High pressure boiler (15 lbs. and over); Hoist (one drum); Hydraulic breaking hammer; (Drop hammer); Kolman plant loader (screening gravel); Maintenance grease man; Mixer for stabilized base - self-propelled (seaman mixer); Motorail machine; Parapet concrete or pavement grinder post hole digger (truck or tractor mounted); Post driver (truck or tractor mounted); Power sweeper (Wayne or similar); Pump 4" and over; Pump-crete or squeeze-crete; Road widener (front end of grader or self-propelled); Shell winder (motorized); Smorkel (overhead arms); Roller; Trenching machine (digging capacity of 4 ft. or less); Tugger hoist vibro tam; Well drill; Well point system (submersible pumps when used in lieu of well-point system); Winch (motor driven); Winch cat; Winch truck

Class 3: Compressor (under 315 cu. ft.); Concrete paver or mixer (under 16S); Concrete pavement spreaders and finishers (not automated); Conveyor (over 12 ft.); Electric submersible pump (4" and over); Farm tractor with or without accessories; Fine grade machine (not automated); Fireman; Form tamper; Generator (2,500 watts and over); Hydraulic pump; Mechanical heaters - more than two (2) mechanical heaters or any mechanical heater or heaters whose combined output exceeds 640,000 BTU per hour (manufacturer's rating); Hauling machine; Oiler; Power driven welding machine - 300 amp. and over (other than all electric); One welding machine under 300 amp. will not require an engineer unless in a battery; Power heatman (hay drier) Pump (under 4"); Revinis winder (road winder); Steam cleaner or Jenny; Tractor with or without towed accessories

POWER EQUIPMENT OPERATORS: Building Construction

Class 1: Asphalt and blacktop roller; Automated concrete spreader (CMI or equivalent); Automated fine grade machine (CMI); Backhoe; Belt placer; Blacktop spreader (such as Barber Greene and Bughoff) Blacktop plant (automated); Blast or rotary drill (truck or cat mounted); Boom truck; Cableway; Caisson auger; Carry-all-scraper-self loading; Central Mix plant (automated); Cherry picker over five (5) ton capacity; Compressor; Pump; Generator or welding machine (when used in a battery of not more than four (4)); Crane; Crusher-cock; Derrick; Diesel power unit; Drag-line; Dredge; Dual drum paver; Elevating grader (self-propelled to towed); Elevator hoist - two cage; Excavator - all purpose - hydraulically operated; Fork lift (factory rating 15 ft. or more); Front end loader (4 c.y. and over); Grapple; Grader (power); Head tower (Saur nam or equal); Hoist (2 or 3 drums); Locomotive; Maintenance engineer; Maintenance welder; Mine hoist; Mucking machine or mole; Overhead crane fixed permanent; pile driver; Quarry Master or equivalent; Refrigeration equipment - for soil stabilization; Shovel; Side boom; Slip form paver; Straddle buggy (Ross carrier, lumber carrier) Tractor drawn belt type loader (Euclid loader); Trenching machine (digging capacity of over 4 ft. depth); Truck crane operator; Tunnel shovel; Vibro or sonic hammer controls (when not mounted in proximity to the rig operator)

MODIFICATIONS P. 9

DECISION #AR-2002 - Mod. #5
(39 FR 25892 - July 12, 1974)
Delaware County, Pennsylvania

Basic Hourly Rates	Fringe Benefits Payments				App. Tr.
	M & W	Pensions	Vacation		
\$ 9.32	1.58	1.10			.12
10.02	1.58	1.10			.12
8.33	1.28	1.10			.12
7.57	.505	.4875	a+b		
7.67	.505	.4875	a+b		
7.87	.505	.4875	a+b		
9.50	.67	.65			
9.06	.85	.65			
9.52	1.58	1.10			.12
10.02	1.58	1.10			.12
8.33	1.28	1.10			.12
7.57	.505	.4875	a+b		
7.67	.505	.4875	a+b		
7.87	.505	.4875	a+b		
9.50	.67	.65			
9.06	.85	.65			

DECISION #AR-2002 - Mod. #5 (39 FR 25892 - July 12, 1974) Delaware County, Pennsylvania	Charge: Carpenters Millwrights Soft Floor Layers Truck Drivers: Class I Class II Class III Marble Setters Tile Setters	
DECISION #AR-2003 - Mod. #5 (30 FR 25895 - July 12, 1974) Chester County, Pennsylvania	Charge: Carpenters Millwrights Soft Floor Layers Truck Drivers: Class I Class II Class III Marble Setters Tile Setters	

DECISION #AR-2003 - Mod. #5
(30 FR 25895 - July 12, 1974)
Chester County, Pennsylvania

<u>Grade:</u>					
Carpenters	9.52	1.58	1.10		.12
Milwrights	10.02	1.58	1.10		.12
Soft Floor Layers	8.33	1.28	1.10		.12
Truck Drivers:					
Class I	7.57	.505	.4875	a+b	
Class II	7.67	.505	.4875	a+b	
Class III	7.87	.505	.4875	a+b	
Marble Setters	9.50	.67	.65		
Tile Setters	9.06	.85	.65		

Change:
Carpenters
Millwrights
Soft Floor Layers
Truck Drivers:
Class I
Class II
Class III
Marble Setters
Tile Setters

MODIFICATIONS P. 10

DECISION #AR-2070 - Mod. #5
(39 FR 10070 - March 15, 1974)
Sullivan County, Pennsylvania

Basic Hourly Rates	Fringe Benefits Payments				App. Tr.
	M & W	Pensions	Vacation		
\$8.78	.30	.35		.03	
9.86	.30	.35		.03	
7.77	.25	.50			
8.17	.25	.50			

Change:	
Carpenters:	
Remainder of County	
Millwrights	
Laborers:	
Unskilled & Window washers	
Mason tenders incl. scaffold	
builders	
Semi-skilled: Pneumatic,	
electrical & mechanical	
tool ops., under the jur-	
isdiction of the laborers -	
2" pumps-con-metallic pipe-	
laying & making of joints	
clay, terra cotta, ironstone,	
vitrified concrete, handling	
of burning torches, asphalt	
or other hot materials,	
cement finishers & blasters	
helpers, power buggies, walk	
along hoist	
Plaster tenders, blasters &	
wagon drill operators	

Change:

Carpenters:
Remainder of County
Millwrights
Laborers:
Unskilled & Window washers
Mason tenders incl. scaffold
builders
Semi-skilled: Pneumatic,
electrical & mechanical
tool ops., under the jur-
isdiction of the laborers -
2" pump-non-metallic pipe-
laying & making of joints
clay, terra cotta, ironstone,
vitrified concrete, handling
of burning torches, asphalt
or other hot materials.
cement finishers & blasters
helpers, power buggies, walk
along hoist
Plaster tenders, blasters &
wagon drill operators

DECISION #AR-2004 - Mod. #6
(39 FR 23899 - July 12, 1974)
Montgomery County, Pennsylvania

Basic Hourly Rates	Fringe Benefits Payments			App. To
	M & W	Pensions	Vacation	
\$ 9.52	1.58	1.10		.12
10.02	1.58	1.10		.12
8.33	1.28	1.10		.12
7.57	.505	.4875	atb	
7.67	.505	.4875	atb	
7.87	.505	.4875	atb	
9.50	.67	.65		
9.06	.85	.65		

Change:
Carpenters
Millwrights
Soft Floor Layers
Truck Drivers:
Class I
Class II
Class III
Marble Setters
Tile Setters

DECISION #AR-2005 - Mod. #5
(39 FR 23902 - July 12, 1974)
Philadelphia County, Pennsylvania

Basic Hourly Rates	Fringe Benefits Payments			App. To
	M & W	Pensions	Vacation	
9.52	1.58	1.10		.12
9.50	.67	.65		
10.02	1.58	1.10		.12
8.33	1.28	1.10		.12
9.06	.85	.65		
7.57	.505	.4875	atb	
7.67	.505	.4875	atb	
7.87	.505	.4875	atb	

Change:
Carpenters
Marble Setters
Millwrights
Soft Floor Layers
Tile Setters
Truck Drivers:
Class I
Class II
Class III

DECISION #AR-2006 - Mod. #5
(39 FR 23905 - July 12, 1974)
Bucks, Chester, Delaware,
Montgomery & Philadelphia
Counties, Pennsylvania

Basic Hourly Rates	Fringe Benefits Payments			App. To
	M & W	Pensions	Vacation	
\$10.02	1.58	1.10		.12
9.52	1.58	1.10		.12
8.33	1.28	1.10		.12
7.57	.505	.4875	atb	
7.67	.505	.4875	atb	
7.87	.505	.4875	atb	
9.50	.67	.65		
9.06	.85	.65		

Change:
Millwrights
Carpenters
Soft Floor Layers
Truck Drivers:
Class I
Class II
Class III
Marble Setters
Tile Setters

DECISION #AR-2028 - Mod. #2
(39 FR 33984 - September 20, 1974)
Bucks, Chester, Delaware,
Montgomery and Philadelphia
Counties, Pennsylvania

Basic Hourly Rates	Fringe Benefits Payments			App. To
	M & W	Pensions	Vacation	
9.97	1.58	1.10		.02

Change:
Carpenters

DECISION #PA-75-3011 - Mod. #3
(40 FR 4781 - January 31, 1975)
Bucks County, Pennsylvania

Basic Hourly Rates	Fringe Benefits Payments			App. To
	M & W	Pensions	Vacation	
\$ 9.52	1.58	1.10		.12
10.02	1.58	1.10		.12
9.50	.67	.65		
8.33	1.28	1.10		.12
9.06	.85	.65		
7.57	.505	.4875	atb	
7.67	.505	.4875	atb	
7.87	.505	.4875	atb	

Change:
Carpenters
Millwrights
Marble Setters
Soft Floor Layers
Tile Setters
Truck Drivers:
Class I
Class II
Class III

	Basic Hourly Rates	Fringe Benefits Payments			
		M & M	Pensions	Unemp. Ins.	App. Tax
<u>DECISION #TX75-4106 - Mod. #1</u> (40 FR 22799 - May 23, 1975) Brazos County, Texas Change: Cement masons Ironworkers	\$8.32 8.77	.49 .55	.42 .70		.05 .075
<u>DECISION #TX75-4107 - Mod. #1</u> (40 FR 22801 - May 23, 1975) El Paso County, Texas Change: Cement masons Plasterers	5.36 6.55	.48			.03
<u>DECISION #TX75-4108 - Mod. #1</u> (40 FR 22803 - May 23, 1975) Wichita County, Texas Change: Painters: Brush Spray Plumbers & Pipefitters: Zone 1 Zone 2 Zone 3 Zone 4 Zone 5	\$7.50 8.50 7.05 7.30 7.45 7.60 7.75	.25 .25 .25 .25 .25 .25	.35 .35 .35 .35 .35		.02 .02 .02 .02 .02

SUMMARY DECISION

AL75-1061 (Cont'd)

COUNTY: *See below

STATE: Alabama
 EDITION NO.: AL75-1061
 DATE: Date of Publication
 Supersedes Decision No. AL75-1022 dated February 14, 1975, in LO PR 6912
 DESCRIPTION OF WORK: Building construction (excluding single family homes and garden type apartments up to and including 4 stories).

Welders: Receive rate prescribed for craft performing operation to which welding is incidental.

PAID HOLIDAYS:

A-New Year's Day; E-Memorial Day; C-Independence Day; D-Labor Day;
 E-Thanksgiving Day; F-Christmas Day

FOOTNOTES:

- a. 6 paid holidays: A through F
 b. Employer contributes 4% of regular hourly rate to vacation pay credit for employee who has worked in business more than 5 years. Employer contributes 2% of regular hourly rate to vacation pay credit for employee who has worked in business less than 5 years.

	Basic Hourly Rates	Fringe Benefits Payments			App. Tr.
		H & V	Pensions	Vacation	
Colbert and Lauderdale	7.71	.30	.30		.05
Asbestos workers	7.50	.40	.90		.02
Millwrights	8.345				
Bricklayers; Blocklayers; Stonemasons	8.595				
Saw operator	7.59	.30	.25		
Carpenters; Soft floor layers	7.74	.30	.25		
Piledrivers	7.84	.30	.25		
Millwrights	7.00				
Cement masons	7.25				
Power tool operators	8.35	.30	.75		.24
Electricians	8.60	.30	.75		.26
Cable splicers	8.02	.445	.29	36wast	.02
Elevator constructors	7.04JR	.445	.29	36wast	.02
Elevator constructors' helpers	5.60	.40	.30		.03
(Prob.)	8.005				
Glaziers	4.975	.15	.25		
Ironworkers	5.175	.15	.25		
Laborers	4.975	.15	.25		
Common	4.975	.15	.25		
Air tool operator (jackhammer, vibrator)	5.175	.15	.25		
Plasterers' tenders	4.975	.15	.25		
Mason tenders	4.975	.15	.25		
Mortar mixers	5.175	.15	.25		
Pipelayers	5.175	.15	.25		
Painters	7.00		.20		.05
Commercial	7.75		.20		.05
Industrial	7.25			.15	
Plasterers	7.75	.40	.40		.05
Plumbers; Steamfitters	8.10	.45	.40		.10
Roofers	9.00	.50	.70		.05
Sheet metal workers	8.75				.05
Sprinkler fitters	3.70				
Truck drivers:	3.57				
4 to 3 tons					
3 to 5 tons					
5 tons & over including special equipment such as Euclid, dumpster, etc.	4.37				

AL75-1061 (Cont'd)

POWER EQUIPMENT OPERATORS

	Basic Monthly Salary	Fringe Benefits Payments			App. To
		M & W	Pensions	Vacation	
GROUP A	\$8.43	.25	.25		
GROUP B	7.16	.25	.25		
GROUP C	6.48	.25	.25		

GROUP A - Backhoe, bulldozer, crane, crane dr., central mixing plant, concrete pump, derrick, dragline, dredge, drill, elevating grader, finishing machine (concrete), forklift, front end loader, gradall, grout pump, helicopter pilot, hoist, locomotive engineer, mechanic, motor patrol, mucking machine, piledriver, post hole digger, scraper (pull type & self prop.), shovel, sweeper, tractor (spec. equip.), trenching machine, well point & windmill truck operators

GROUP B - Bituminous dist., central air comp., concrete mixer (port.) fireman floating equip., front end loader, rubber tire, 3 cu. yd. & under, locomotive brakeman, locomotive flagman, locomotive switchman, oiler-driver (35 ton crane & overboard motor boat (when used for towing)), paving machine, portable hoist "Buck hoist type", post hole digger mounted on farm type tractor & walk behind type trenching machine operators

GROUP C - Air compressor (port.) conveyor, fireman stationary equip., mechanic helper, oiler, outboard motor boat & pump operators

Oiler driver - additional \$.10 per hour

All cranes, derricks & gantry operators operating such equipment with an overall height of 150', including jibs; all scraper operators - additional \$.125 per hour

SUPERSEDES EDITION

STATE: Tennessee
 COUNTY: Anderson & Boone
 DATE: Date of Publication
 SUPERSEDES EDITION NO.: TW75-1053
 DATE: May 2, 1975 in 40 SE - 19367
 SUPERSEDES EDITION NO.: TW75-1050 dated May 2, 1975 in 40 SE - 19367
 DESCRIPTION OF WORK: Building construction, (excluding single family homes and garden type apartments up to and including 4 stories).

BUILDING CONSTRUCTION

Oak Ridge, Energy Research
 Development Administration Only

Asbestos workers
 Boilermakers
 Bricklayers; Marble setters;
 Stonemasons; Terrazzo workers;
 Tile setters
 Carpenters; Soft floor layers
 Cement masons
 Electricians;
 Electricians; Linemen
 Cable splicers
 Elevator constructors
 Elevator constructors' helpers
 Elevator constructors' helpers
 (Prob.)
 Glaziers
 Ironworkers;
 Fence erectors; Ornamental;
 Structural
 Reinforcing
 Lathers
 Leadburners
 Millwrights
 Painters;
 Commercial
 Industrial
 Piledrivers
 Plasterers
 Plumbers Steamfitters
 Roofers;
 Composition
 Slate; Tile
 Sheet metal workers
 Sprinkler fitters
 Truck drivers;
 Up to 3 tons & incl. 4 yds.,
 dump truck
 3 to 5 tons & incl. 6 yds., dump
 truck
 5 tons & over incl. dump trucks
 over 6 yds.; ready-mix concrete
 truck, tank trucks; floats and
 lowboys; winch truck and semi-
 trailer trucks

Basic Hourly Rates	Fringe Benefits Payments			
	H & V	Pensions	Vacation	App. Tn.
8.40	.30	.20		.01
7.50	.40	.90		.02
9.00		.30		.02
7.55				
7.02				
8.36	.30	.75		.5%
8.81	.30	.75		.5%
7.45	.445	.29	3%-a+b	.02
7.0625	.445	.29	3%-a+b	.02
5.9438				
5.75		.25		.005
7.61	.40	.10		
7.47	.40	.10		.01
8.45	.40	.20	e	.02
7.80	.30	.30		.03
8.11				.03
6.75		.30		.02
7.10		.30		.05
7.29		.30		
7.95	.35	.45	.30-a	
8.55				
6.76		.25		
8.81		.25		.04
8.35	.30	.50		.06
8.75	.50	.70		
4.28		e		.01
4.48		e		.01
4.63		e		.01

TW75-1053 (Cont d)

Water well drill operator
 Welders - Receive rate prescribed
 for craft performing operation
 to which welding is incidental.

3.00

Basic Hourly Rates	Fringe Benefits Payments			
	H & V	Pensions	Vacation	App. Tn.
3.00				

PAID HOLIDAYS:

A-New Year's Day; B-Memorial Day; C-Independence Day; D-Labor Day;
 E-Thanksgiving Day; F-Christmas Day

FOOTNOTES:

- 6 paid holidays: A through F
- Employer contributes 1/4 of regular hourly rate to Vacation Pay Credit for employee who has worked in business more than 5 years. Employer contributes 2% of regular hourly rate to Vacation Pay Credit for employee who has worked in business less than 5 years.
- 9 paid holidays: A through F, Washington's Birthday, Good Friday, and Christmas Eve, providing the employee has worked 45 full days during the 120 calendar days prior to the holiday, and the regular scheduled work days immediately preceding and following the holiday.
- \$.05 holiday pay
- \$5.00 per week for each employee

INDEX TO GENERAL WAGE DETERMINATION DECISIONS AND MODIFICATIONS AS OF MAY 2, 1975

There is set forth below an index to general wage determination decisions and modifications as published in the Federal Register pursuant to the Davis-Bacon and related Acts. The index lists general wage determination decisions and

modifications by State and county. An updated index is published on the first Friday of each month.

The index is published for the convenience of the public and the Department of Labor will endeavor to keep it accurate and up to date. In the event the data in the index and published general decisions do not coincide, the published general decisions shall control.

ABBREVIATIONS
(B)—Building Construction
(D)—Dredging Construction
(F)—Flood Control Construction
(H)—Heavy Construction
(Hw)—Highway Construction
(R)—Residential Construction
Mod.—Modification
(HE)—Heavy Engineering

(LE)—Light Engineering
(U)—Utility
(W&S)—Water and Sewer

Signed at Washington, D.C., this 30th day of May 1975.

RAY J. DOLAN,
Assistant Administrator,
Wage and Hour Division.

ALABAMA

ALABAMA (Cont'd.)

ALABAMA (Cont'd.)

ALABAMA (cont'd.)

STATEWIDE
Decision #18-4013 (D)
39 FR 27397 - 7/26/74
Decision #AQ-4088 (Hw) (Excluding
Airport Construction)
39 FR 10085 - 3/15/74
Mod. #1 - 40 FR 2373 - 1/10/75
AUTAUGA COUNTY
(D, Hw) - See Statewide
BALDWIN COUNTY
Decision #AL75-1043 (R)
40 FR 15286 - 4/4/75
(Hw) - See Statewide
BARBOUR COUNTY
(D, Hw) - See Statewide
BIBB COUNTY
(D, Hw) - See Statewide
BLOUNT COUNTY
(D, Hw) - See Statewide
Decision #AL75-1047 (R)
40 FR 17474 - 4/18/75
(D, Hw) - See Statewide
BULLOCK COUNTY
(D, Hw) - See Statewide
BUTLER COUNTY
(D, Hw) - See Statewide
CALHOUN COUNTY
(D, Hw) - See Statewide
CHAMBERS COUNTY
(D, Hw) - See Statewide
CHEROKEE COUNTY
(D, Hw) - See Statewide
CHILTON COUNTY
(D, Hw) - See Statewide
CHOCTAW COUNTY
(D, Hw) - See Statewide
CLARKE COUNTY
(D, Hw) - See Statewide

CLAY COUNTY
(D, Hw) - See Statewide
CLEBURNE COUNTY
(D, Hw) - See Statewide
COFFEE COUNTY
(D, Hw) - See Statewide
COLBERT COUNTY
Decision #AL75-1046 (R)
40 FR 17451 - 4/18/75
Decision #AL75-1022 (B)
40 FR 6912 - 2/14/75
(D, Hw) - See Statewide
(Hw) - See Statewide
CONECUH COUNTY
(D, Hw) - See Statewide
(R) - See Baldwin County
COOSA COUNTY
(D, Hw) - See Statewide
CONVINGTON COUNTY
(D, Hw) - See Statewide
CRENSHAW COUNTY
(D, Hw) - See Statewide
CULLMAN COUNTY
(D, Hw) - See Statewide
DALE COUNTY
(D, Hw) - See Statewide
DALLAS COUNTY
(D, Hw) - See Statewide
DE KALB COUNTY
(D, Hw) - See Statewide
ELMORE COUNTY
(D, Hw) - See Statewide
ESCAMBIA COUNTY
(D, Hw) - See Statewide
ETOWAH COUNTY
(D, Hw) - See Statewide

FAYETTE COUNTY
(D, Hw) - See Statewide
FRANKLIN COUNTY
(D, Hw) - See Statewide
(R) - See Colbert County
GENEVA COUNTY
(D, Hw) - See Statewide
GREENE COUNTY
(D, Hw) - See Statewide
HALE COUNTY
(D, Hw) - See Statewide
HENRY COUNTY
(D, Hw) - See Statewide
HOUSTON COUNTY
(D, Hw) - See Statewide
JACKSON COUNTY
(D, Hw) - See Statewide
JEFFERSON COUNTY
Decision #18-4043 (B)
39 FR 3918 - 10/4/74
Mod. #1 - 39 FR 41655 - 11/29/74
Mod. #2 - 39 FR 42804 - 12/6/74
Mod. #3 - 40 FR 2373 - 1/10/75
Mod. #4 - 40 FR 6899 - 2/14/75
(D) - See Statewide
(R) - See Blount County
(Hw) - See Statewide
LAWAR COUNTY
(D, Hw) - See Statewide
LANDERDALE COUNTY
(B, R) - See Colbert County
(D, Hw) - See Statewide
LAWRENCE COUNTY
Decision #AL75-1027 (B)
40 FR 8701 - 2/28/75
(D, Hw) - See Statewide
(R) - See Colbert County
LEE COUNTY
(D, Hw) - See Statewide
LIMESTONE COUNTY
(B) - See Lawrence County
(D, Hw) - See Statewide

LONGES COUNTY
(D, Hw) - See Statewide
MACON COUNTY
(D, Hw) - See Statewide
MADISON COUNTY
Decision #AL75-1032 (B)
40 FR 12959 - 3/21/75
Mod. #1 - 40 FR 19323 - 5/2/75
(D, Hw) - See Statewide
MARENGO COUNTY
(D, Hw) - See Statewide
MARION COUNTY
(R) - See Colbert County
(D, Hw) - See Statewide
MARSHALL COUNTY
(D, Hw) - See Statewide
MOBILE COUNTY
Decision #AL75-1023 (B)
40 FR 6913 - 2/14/75
(D, Hw) - See Statewide
(R) - See Baldwin County
MONROE COUNTY
(D, Hw) - See Statewide
MONTGOMERY COUNTY
Decision #AP-184 (B)
38 FR 11244 - 5/4/73
Mod. #1 - 38 FR 13103 - 5/18/73
Mod. #2 - 39 FR 24281 - 7/5/74
Mod. #3 - 39 FR 35709 - 10/11/74
Decision #AQ-4082 (R)
39 FR 8100 - 3/1/74
Mod. #1 - 40 FR 7773 - 2/21/75
(D, Hw) - See Statewide
MORGAN COUNTY
(B) - See Lawrence County
(D, Hw) - See Statewide
PERRY COUNTY
(D, Hw) - See Statewide
PICKENS COUNTY
(D, Hw) - See Statewide

ALABAMA (Cont'd.)

PIKE COUNTY
(D, H, W) - See Statewide
RANDOLPH COUNTY
(D, H, W) - See Statewide
RUSSELL COUNTY
(D, H, W) - See Statewide
SAINT CLAIR COUNTY
(D, H, W) - See Statewide
(R) - See Blount County
SHELBY COUNTY
(D, H, W) - See Statewide
(R) - See Blount County
SUNTER COUNTY
(D, H, W) - See Statewide
TALLADEGA COUNTY
(D, H, W) - See Statewide
(R) - See Blount County
TALLAPOOSA COUNTY
(D, H, W) - See Statewide
TUSCALOOSA COUNTY
Decision #AL75-1048 (B)
40 FR 17475 - 4/18/75
(D, H, W) - See Statewide
WALKER COUNTY
(D, H, W) - See Statewide
WASHINGTON COUNTY
(D, H, W) - See Statewide
(R) - See Baldwin County
WILCOX COUNTY
(D, H, W) - See Statewide
WINSTON COUNTY
(D, H, W) - See Statewide
(R) - See Colbert County

ALASKA

STATEWIDE
Decision #AK75-5033 (B, H, W, R)
40 FR 10085 - 3/7/75
Mod. #1 - 40 FR 12951 - 3/21/75
Mod. #2 - 40 FR 15268 - 4/4/75
Mod. #3 - 40 FR 19323 - 5/2/75

ARIZONA

STATEWIDE
Decision #AZ75-5034 (B, H, W)
40 FR 12020 - 3/14/75
Mod. #1 - 40 FR 14195 - 3/28/75
Mod. #2 - 40 FR 18274 - 4/25/75
APACHE COUNTY
Decision #AZ75-5003 (R)
(Navajo and Hopi Indian
Reservations in Apache, Coconino, Navajo Cos.)
40 FR 3868 - 1/24/75
(B, H, W) - See Statewide
COCHISE COUNTY
(B, H, W) - See Statewide
COCONINO COUNTY
(B, H, W) - See Statewide
(R) - See Apache County
GILA COUNTY
(B, H, W) - See Statewide
GRAHAM COUNTY
(B, H, W) - See Statewide
GREENLEE COUNTY
(B, H, W) - See Statewide
MARICOPA COUNTY
(B, H, W) - See Statewide
Decision #AZ75-5035 (R)
• 40 FR 12960 - 3/21/75
Mod. #1 - 40 FR 18274 - 4/25/75
MOHAVE COUNTY
(B, H, W) - See Statewide
NAVAJO COUNTY
(B, H, W) - See Statewide
(R) - See Apache County
PIMA COUNTY
Decision #AZ75-5036 (R)
40 FR 12966 - 3/21/75
Mod. #1 - 40 FR 18274 - 4/25/75
(B, H, W) - See Statewide
PINAL COUNTY
(B, H, W) - See Statewide
SANTA CRUZ COUNTY
(B, H, W) - See Statewide
YAVAPAI COUNTY
(B, H, W) - See Statewide
YUMA COUNTY
(B, H, W) - See Statewide

ARKANSAS

STATEWIDE
Decision #AR-71 (Construction,
Alteration, and/or repair of
streets, highways, runways,
and Water & Sewer Utilities)
39 FR 40429 - 11/15/74
Decision #AR-4013 (D)
39 FR 27397 - 7/26/74
ARKANSAS COUNTY
(D, H, W) - See Statewide
Decision #AR75-5032 (F)
40 FR 8706 - 2/28/75
ASHLEY COUNTY
(D, H, W) - See Statewide
(F) - See Arkansas County
BAXTER COUNTY
(D, H, W) - See Statewide
(F) - See Arkansas County
BENTON COUNTY
(D, H, W) - See Statewide
(F) - See Arkansas County
BOONE COUNTY
(D, H, W) - See Statewide
(F) - See Arkansas County
BRADLEY COUNTY
(D, H, W) - See Statewide
(F) - See Arkansas County
CALHOUN COUNTY
(D, H, W) - See Statewide
(F) - See Arkansas County
CARROLL COUNTY
(D, H, W) - See Statewide
(F) - See Arkansas County
CHicot COUNTY
(D, H, W) - See Statewide
(F) - See Arkansas County

ARKANSAS (Cont'd.)

CLARK COUNTY
(D, H, W) - See Statewide
(F) - See Arkansas County
CLAY COUNTY
(D, H, W) - See Statewide
(F) - See Arkansas County
CLEBURNE COUNTY
(D, H, W) - See Statewide
(F) - See Arkansas County
CLEVELAND COUNTY
(D, H, W) - See Statewide
(F) - See Arkansas County
COLUMBIA COUNTY
(D, H, W) - See Statewide
(F) - See Arkansas County
CONWAY COUNTY
Decision #AR75-4084 (B)
40 FR 19321 - 5/2/75
CRAIGHEAD COUNTY
(D, H, W) - See Statewide
(F) - See Arkansas County
CRAWFORD COUNTY
(D, H, W) - See Statewide
(F) - See Arkansas County
Decision #AR75-4082 (B)
40 FR 17452 - 4/18/75
CRITTENDEN COUNTY
(D, H, W) - See Statewide
(F) - See Arkansas County
CROSS COUNTY
(D, H, W) - See Statewide
(F) - See Arkansas County
DALLAS COUNTY
(D, H, W) - See Statewide
(F) - See Arkansas County
DESHA COUNTY
(D, H, W) - See Statewide
(F) - See Arkansas County

ARKANSAS (Cont'd)

BREN COUNTY
(D, H, Hw) - See Statewide
(F) - See Arkansas County

FRANKLIN COUNTY
(B) - See Conway County
(D, H, Hw) - See Statewide
(F) - See Arkansas County

FRANKLIN COUNTY
(D, H, Hw) - See Statewide
(F) - See Arkansas County

FULTON COUNTY
(D, H, Hw) - See Statewide
(F) - See Arkansas County

GARLAND COUNTY
(D, H, Hw) - See Statewide
(F) - See Arkansas County

Decision #A875-4083 (B)
40 FR 18271 - 4/25/75

GRANT COUNTY
(D, H, Hw) - See Statewide
(F) - See Arkansas County

GREENE COUNTY
(D, H, Hw) - See Statewide
(F) - See Arkansas County

HEMPSTEAD COUNTY
(D, H, Hw) - See Statewide
(F) - See Arkansas County

HOT SPRING COUNTY
(B) - See Garland County
(D, H, Hw) - See Statewide
(F) - See Arkansas County

HOWARD COUNTY
(D, H, Hw) - See Statewide
(F) - See Arkansas County

INDEPENDENCE COUNTY
(D, H, Hw) (D) - See Statewide
(F) - See Arkansas County

IZARD COUNTY
(D, H, Hw) (D) - See Statewide
(F) - See Arkansas County

ARKANSAS (Cont'd)

JACKSON COUNTY
(D, H, Hw) - See Statewide
(F) - See Arkansas County

JEFFERSON COUNTY
(D, H, Hw) - See Statewide
(F) - See Arkansas County

JOHNSON COUNTY
(D, H, Hw) - See Statewide
(F) - See Arkansas County

LAFAYETTE COUNTY
(D, H, Hw) - See Statewide
(F) - See Arkansas County

LAWRENCE COUNTY
(D, H, Hw) - See Statewide
(F) - See Arkansas County

LEE COUNTY
(D, H, Hw) - See Statewide
(F) - See Arkansas County

LINCOLN COUNTY
(D, H, Hw) - See Statewide
(F) - See Arkansas County

LITTLE RIVER COUNTY
(D, H, Hw) - See Statewide
(F) - See Arkansas County

LOGAN COUNTY
(D, H, Hw) - See Statewide
(F) - See Arkansas County

LONOKE COUNTY
(D, H, Hw) - See Statewide
(F) - See Arkansas County

MADISON COUNTY
(D, H, Hw) - See Statewide
(F) - See Arkansas County

MARION COUNTY
(D, H, Hw) - See Statewide
(F) - See Arkansas County

ARKANSAS (Cont'd)

MILLER COUNTY
(D, H, Hw) - See Statewide
(F) - See Arkansas County

MISSISSIPPI COUNTY
(D, H, Hw) - See Statewide
(F) - See Arkansas County

MONROE COUNTY
(D, H, Hw) - See Statewide
(F) - See Arkansas County

MONTGOMERY COUNTY
(D, H, Hw) - See Statewide
(F) - See Arkansas County

NEVADA COUNTY
(D, H, Hw) - See Statewide
(F) - See Arkansas County

NEWTON COUNTY
(D, H, Hw) - See Statewide
(F) - See Arkansas County

OUACHITA COUNTY
(D, H, Hw) - See Statewide
(F) - See Arkansas County

PELAY COUNTY
(B) - See Conway County
(D, H, Hw) - See Statewide
(F) - See Arkansas County

PHILLIPS COUNTY
(D, H, Hw) - See Statewide
(F) - See Arkansas County

PIKE COUNTY
(D, H, Hw) - See Statewide
(F) - See Arkansas County

POLK COUNTY
(D, H, Hw) - See Statewide
(F) - See Arkansas County

POLK COUNTY
(D, H, Hw) - See Statewide
(F) - See Arkansas County

ARKANSAS (Cont'd)

POPE COUNTY
(D, H, Hw) - See Statewide
(F) - See Arkansas County

PRAIRIE COUNTY
(D, H, Hw) - See Statewide
(F) - See Arkansas County

PULASKI COUNTY
(D, H, Hw) - See Statewide
(F) - See Arkansas County

Decision #A875-4059 (B)
40 FR 8704 - 2/20/75

Mod. #1 - 40 FR 12001 - 3/14/75
Mod. #2 - 40 FR 15263 - 4/4/75
Mod. #3 - 40 FR 18274 - 4/25/75
Mod. #4 - 40 FR 19323 - 5/2/75

Decision #A875-4068 (R)
40 FR 14218 - 3/28/75

RANDOLPH COUNTY
(D, H, Hw) - See Statewide
(F) - See Arkansas County

ST. FRANCIS COUNTY
(D, H, Hw) - See Statewide
(F) - See Arkansas County

SALINE COUNTY
(D, H, Hw) - See Statewide
(F) - See Arkansas County

SCOTT COUNTY
(D, H, Hw) - See Statewide
(F) - See Arkansas County

SEARCY COUNTY
(D, H, Hw) - See Statewide
(F) - See Arkansas County

SEBASTIAN COUNTY
(D, H, Hw) - See Statewide
(F) - See Arkansas County

SEVIER COUNTY
(D, H, Hw) - See Statewide
(F) - See Arkansas County

SHARP COUNTY
(D, H, Hw) - See Statewide
(F) - See Arkansas County

ARKANSAS (CONT'D)

STONE COUNTY
(D, H, W) - See Statewide
(F) - See Arkansas County
UNION COUNTY
Decision #A75-4073 (B)
40 FR 15287 - 4/4/75
Mod. #1 - 40 FR 19323 - 5/2/75
(F) - See Arkansas County
(D, H, W) - See Statewide
VAN BUREN COUNTY
(F) - See Arkansas County
(D, H, W) - See Statewide
WASHINGTON COUNTY
(F) - See Statewide
(D, H, W) - See Arkansas County
WHITE COUNTY
(D, H, W) - See Statewide
(F) - See Arkansas County
WOODRUFF COUNTY
(D, H, W) - See Statewide
(F) - See Arkansas County
YELL COUNTY
(D, H, W) - See Statewide
(F) - See Arkansas County

CALIFORNIA

ALAMEDA COUNTY
Decision #CA75-5052 (B, H, W, D)
40 FR 17476 - 4/18/75
Decision #CA75-5053 (R)
40 FR 17494 - 4/18/75
ALPINE COUNTY
(B, D, H, W, R) - See Alameda County
AMADOR COUNTY
(B, D, H, W, R) - See Alameda County
BUTTE COUNTY
(B, H, W, D) - See Alameda County
CALAVERAS COUNTY
(B, D, H, W, R) - See Alameda County
COLUSA COUNTY
(B, H, W, D) - See Alameda County
CONTRA COSTA COUNTY
(B, D, H, W, R) - See Alameda County
DELMORTE COUNTY
(B, D, H, W, R) - See Alameda County
ELDORADO COUNTY
(B, D, H, W, R) - See Alameda County
FRESNO COUNTY
(B, D, H, W, R) - See Alameda County
GLENN COUNTY
(B, H, W, D) - See Alameda County
HUMBOLDT COUNTY
(B, D, H, W, R) - See Alameda County
IMPERIAL COUNTY
Decision #CA75-5022 (B, D, H, W)
40 FR 8707 - 2/28/75
Mod. #1 - 40 FR 15269 - 4/4/75
Mod. #2 - 40 FR 19324 - 5/2/75
Decision #CA75-5023 (R)
40 FR 8717 - 2/28/75
Mod. #1 - 40 FR 15270 - 4/4/75
Mod. #2 - 40 FR 19324 - 5/2/75
INYO COUNTY
(B, H, W, D) - See Imperial County
KERN COUNTY
(B, D, H, W, R) - See Imperial County

CALIFORNIA (CONT'D)

KING COUNTY
(B, H, W, D) - See Alameda County
LAKE COUNTY
(B, H, W, D) - See Alameda County
LASSEN COUNTY
(B, H, W, D) - See Alameda County
LOS ANGELES COUNTY
(B, D, H, W, R) - See Imperial County
MADERA COUNTY
(B, H, W, D) - See Alameda County
MARIN COUNTY
(B, H, W, D, R) - See Alameda County
MARIPOSA COUNTY
(B, D, H, W, R) - See Alameda County
MENDOCINO COUNTY
(B, H, W, D) - See Alameda County
MERCE COUNTY
(B, D, H, W, R) - See Alameda County
MODOC COUNTY
(B, H, W, D) - See Alameda County
MONTEZUMA COUNTY
(B, D, H, W, R) - See Imperial County
NAPA COUNTY
(B, D, H, W, R) - See Alameda County
NEVADA COUNTY
(B, D, H, W, R) - See Alameda County
ORANGE COUNTY
(B, D, H, W, R) - See Imperial County
PLACER COUNTY
(B, D, H, W, R) - See Alameda County
PLUMAS COUNTY
(B, H, W, D) - See Alameda County
RIVERSIDE COUNTY
(B, D, H, W, R) - See Imperial County
SACRAMENTO COUNTY
(B, D, H, W, R) - See Alameda County
SAN BENITO COUNTY
(B, H, W, D, R) - See Alameda County
SAN BERNARDINO COUNTY
(B, D, H, W, R) - See Imperial County
SAN DIEGO COUNTY
Decision #CA75-5020 (B, H, W, D)
40 FR 6916 - 2/14/75
Mod. #1 - 40 FR 10871 - 3/7/75
Mod. #2 - 40 FR 15269 - 4/4/75

CALIFORNIA (CONT'D)

SAN DIEGO COUNTY (Cont'd.)
Decision #CA75-5021 (R)
40 FR 6922 - 2/14/75
Mod. #1 - 40 FR 10871 - 3/7/75
Mod. #2 - 40 FR 15269 - 4/4/75
SAN FRANCISCO COUNTY
(B, D, H, W, R) - See Alameda County
SAN JOAQUIN COUNTY
(B, D, H, W, R) - See Alameda County
SAN LUIS OBISPO COUNTY
(B, D, H, W, R) - See Imperial County
SAN MATEO COUNTY
(B, H, W, D, R) - See Alameda County
SANTA BARBARA COUNTY
(B, D, H, W, R) - See Imperial County
SANTA CLARA COUNTY
(B, D, H, W, R) - See Alameda County
SANTA CRUZ COUNTY
(B, D, H, W, R) - See Alameda County
SHASTA COUNTY
(B, D, H, W, R) - See Alameda County
SIERRA COUNTY
(B, H, W, D) - See Alameda County
SISKIYOU COUNTY
(B, H, W, D) - See Alameda County
SOLANO COUNTY
(B, D, H, W, R) - See Alameda County
SONOMA COUNTY
(B, D, H, W, R) - See Alameda County
STANISLAUS COUNTY
(B, H, W, D) - See Alameda County
SUTTER COUNTY
(B, D, H, W, R) - See Alameda County
TEHAMA COUNTY
(B, D, H, W, R) - See Alameda County
TRINITY COUNTY
(B, H, W, D) - See Alameda County
TULARE COUNTY
(B, H, W, D) - See Alameda County
TUOLUMNE COUNTY
(B, D, H, W, R) - See Alameda County
VENTURA COUNTY
(B, D, H, W, R) - See Imperial County

COLORADO (Cont'd)

COLORADO (Cont'd)

- CLEAR CREEK
 (Hw) - See Adams County
 (B,H) - See Statewide
 CONEJO COUNTY
 (Hw) - See Statewide
 COSTILLA COUNTY
 (Hw) - See Statewide
 CROWLEY COUNTY
 (Hw) - See Statewide
 CUSTER COUNTY
 (Hw) - See Statewide
 DELTA COUNTY
 Decision #C075-5047 (B,H)
 40 FR 16504 - 4/11/75
 (Hw) - See Statewide
 DENVER COUNTY
 (Hw) - See Statewide
 (B,H,R) - See Adams County
 DOLORES COUNTY
 (Hw) - See Statewide
 DOUGLAS COUNTY
 (Hw) - See Statewide
 (B,H) - See Adams County
 EAGLE COUNTY
 (B,H) - See Adams County
 (B,H) - See Statewide
 ELBERT COUNTY
 (Hw) - See Statewide
 (B,H) - See Adams County
 EL PASO COUNTY
 Decision #C075-5049 (B,H)
 40 FR 16518 - 4/11/75
 (Hw) - See Statewide
 FREMONT COUNTY
 (Hw) - See Statewide
 GARFIELD COUNTY
 (B,H) - See Delta County
 (Hw) - See Statewide
 GILPIN COUNTY
 (B,H) - See Adams County
 (Hw) - See Statewide
 GRAND COUNTY
 (B,H) - See Adams County
 (Hw) - See Statewide
 GUNNISON COUNTY
 (B,H) - See Garfield County
 (Hw) - See Statewide
 HINDS DALE COUNTY
 (Hw) - See Statewide
 HUERFANO COUNTY
 (Hw) - See Statewide
 JACKSON COUNTY
 (Hw) - See Statewide
 YOLO COUNTY
 (B,H,Hw,D,R) - See Alameda County
 YUBA COUNTY
 (B,H,Hw,D,R) - See Alameda County
- CALIFORNIA (Cont'd)
 YOLO COUNTY
 (B,H,Hw,D,R) - See Alameda County
 YUBA COUNTY
 (B,H,Hw,D,R) - See Alameda County
- COLORADO
 STATEWIDE
 Decision #C075-5050 (Hw)
 40 FR 16524 - 4/11/75
 ADAMS COUNTY
 Decision #C075-5048 (B,H)
 40 FR 16510 - 4/11/75
 (Hw) - See Statewide
 Decision #A0-1059 (R)
 39 FR 14123 - 4/19/74
 (Hw) - See Statewide
 ALAMOSA COUNTY
 (Hw) - See Statewide
 ARAPAHOE COUNTY
 (Hw) - See Statewide
 (B,H,R) - See Adams County
 ARCHULETA COUNTY
 (Hw) - See Statewide
 BACA COUNTY
 (Hw) - See Statewide
 BENT COUNTY
 (Hw) - See Statewide
 BOULDER COUNTY
 (Hw) - See Statewide
 (B,H) - See Adams County
 CHAFFEE COUNTY
 (Hw) - See Statewide
 CHEYENNE COUNTY
 (Hw) - See Statewide
- COLORADO (Cont'd)
 RIO BLANCO COUNTY
 (Hw) - See Statewide
 RIO GRANDE COUNTY
 (Hw) - See Statewide
 ROUTT COUNTY
 (Hw) - See Statewide
 SAGUACHE COUNTY
 (Hw) - See Statewide
 SAN JUAN COUNTY
 (Hw) - See Statewide
 SAN MIGUEL COUNTY
 (Hw) - See Statewide
 SEDGWICK COUNTY
 (Hw) - See Statewide
 SUMMIT COUNTY
 (B,H) - See Adams County
 (Hw) - See Statewide
 TELLER COUNTY
 (Hw) - See Statewide
 WASHINGTON COUNTY
 (Hw) - See Statewide
 WELD COUNTY
 (B,H) - See Adams County
 YUMA COUNTY
 (Hw) - See Statewide

- JEFFERSON COUNTY
 (Hw) - See Statewide
 (B,H,R) - See Adams County
 KITOMA COUNTY
 (Hw) - See Statewide
 KIT CARSON COUNTY
 (Hw) - See Statewide
 LAKE COUNTY
 (B,H) - See Adams County
 (Hw) - See Statewide
 LA PLATA COUNTY
 (Hw) - See Statewide
 LARIMER COUNTY
 (B,H) - See Adams County
 (Hw) - See Statewide
 LAS ANIMAS COUNTY
 Decision #C075-5046 (B,H)
 40 FR 16438 - 4/11/75
 (Hw) - See Statewide
 LINCOLN COUNTY
 (Hw) - See Statewide
 LOGAN COUNTY
 (Hw) - See Statewide
 MESA COUNTY
 (B,H) - See Delta County
 (Hw) - See Statewide
 MINERAL COUNTY
 (Hw) - See Statewide
 MOFFAT COUNTY
 (Hw) - See Statewide
 MONTEZUMA COUNTY
 (Hw) - See Statewide
 MONTROSE COUNTY
 (B,H) - See Delta County
 (Hw) - See Statewide
 MORRIS COUNTY
 (B,H) - See Adams County
 (Hw) - See Statewide
 OTERO COUNTY
 (B,H) - See Las Animas County
 (Hw) - See Statewide
 OURAY COUNTY
 (Hw) - See Statewide
 PARK COUNTY
 (B,H) - See Adams County
 (Hw) - See Statewide
 PHILLIPS COUNTY
 (Hw) - See Statewide
 PITKIN COUNTY
 (B,H) - See Delta County
 (Hw) - See Statewide
 PRIMERS COUNTY
 (Hw) - See Statewide
 PUEBLO COUNTY
 (Hw) - See Statewide
 (B,H) - See Las Animas County

CONNECTICUT

FAIRFIELD COUNTY
 Decision #CT75-2065 (B,H,Hw,R)
 40 FR 18288 - 4/25/75
 Decision #CT75-2045 (D)
 40 FR 15294 - 4/4/75
HARTFORD COUNTY
 Decision #CT75-2066 (B,H,Hw)
 40 FR 18296 - 4/25/75
 Decision #CT75-2067 (R)
 40 FR 18304 - 4/25/75
 (D) - See Fairfield County
LITCHFIELD COUNTY
 Decision #A9-396 (B,H,Hw,R)
 39 FR 29739 - 8/16/74
 Mod. #1 - 39 FR 31773 - 8/30/74
 Mod. #2 - 39 FR 35904 - 10/4/74
MIDDLESEX COUNTY
 (D) - See Fairfield County
NEW HAVEN COUNTY
 (B,H,Hw,R) - See Hartford County
 (D) - See Fairfield County
NEW LONDON COUNTY
 (B,H,Hw,D,R) - See Fairfield County
TOLLAND COUNTY
 (B,H,Hw,R) - See Hartford County
WINDHAM COUNTY
 (B,H,Hw,D,R) - See Fairfield County

DELAWARE

STATEWIDE
 Decision #CT75-5045 (D)
 40 FR 15294 - 4/4/75
 Decision #DE75-3001 (B,H,Hw)
 40 FR 930 - 1/3/75
 Mod. #1 - 40 FR 14195 - 3/28/75
KENT COUNTY
 (B,H,Hw,D) - See Statewide
NEW CASTLE COUNTY
 (B,H,Hw,D) - See Statewide
SUSSEX COUNTY
 (B,H,Hw,D) - See Statewide

FLORIDA (Cont'd.)

BAY COUNTY (Cont'd.)
 Decision #AQ-4030 (Hw)
 38 FR 29727 - 10/26/73
 Mod. #1 - 38 FR 31098 - 11/9/73
 Mod. #2 - 40 FR 12003 - 3/14/75
 Mod. #3 - 40 FR 17457 - 4/18/75
BRAZOS COUNTY
 (Hw) - See Alachua County
BREVARD COUNTY (Cape Kennedy)
 Kennedy Space Flight Center &
 Patrick AFB only
 Decision #FL75-1035 (B,H,Hw)
 40 FR 12572 - 3/21/75
 Decision #A9-4068 (D)
 39 FR 44915 - 12/27/74
 (Remainder of County)
 Decision #AQ-4006 (Hw)
 38 FR 22842 - 8/24/73
 Mod. #1 - 39 FR 5047 - 2/8/74
BROWARD COUNTY
 Decision #AQ-4003 (Hw)
 38 FR 22841 - 8/24/73
 Decision #FL75-1011 (B,H)
 40 FR 3886 - 1/24/75
 Mod. #1 - 40 FR 8694 - 2/28/75
 (D) - See Brevard County
CALHOUN COUNTY
 (Hw) - See Bay County
CHARLOTTE COUNTY
 Decision #AQ-4019 (Hw)
 38 FR 27703 - 10/5/73
 Mod. #1 - 40 FR 12003 - 3/14/75
 (D) - See Brevard County
CITRUS COUNTY
 Decision #A9-4009 (R)
 39 FR 24775 - 7/5/74
 Mod. #1 - 40 FR 12003 - 3/14/75
 Decision #AQ-4039 (D)
 38 FR 33203 - 1/3/75
 (D) - See Brevard County
CLAY COUNTY
 (Hw) - See Baker County
COLLIER COUNTY
 (D) - See Brevard County
 (Hw) - See Charlotte County
COLUMBIA COUNTY
 (B,H) - See Alachua County
DADE COUNTY
 Decision #FL75-1018 (B)
 40 FR 6018 - 2/7/75
 Mod. #1 - 40 FR 14196 - 3/28/75
 Mod. #2 - 40 FR 17457 - 4/18/75
 Decision #A9-4050 (R)
 39 FR 38077 - 10/25/74
 Mod. #1 - 39 FR 40404 - 11/15/74
 (D) - See Brevard County
 (Hw) - See Broward County

FLORIDA (Cont'd.)

DESOTO COUNTY
 Decision #A9-4065 (R)
 40 FR 43468 - 12/13/74
 Decision #AQ-4017 (Hw)
 38 FR 27718 - 10/5/73
 Mod. #1 - 40 FR 12002 - 3/14/75
DIXIE COUNTY
 (D) - See Brevard County
 (B,H) - See Alachua County
DUVAL COUNTY
 Decision #FL75-1016 (B)
 40 FR 4807 - 1/31/75
 Mod. #1 - 40 FR 12552 - 3/21/75
 (D) - See Brevard County
 (Hw) - See Baker County
ESCAMBIA COUNTY
 Decision #FL75-1024 (B)
 40 FR 7801 - 2/21/75
 Mod. #1 - 40 FR 12553 - 3/21/75
 Decision #A9-178 (R)
 38 FR 11259 - 5/4/73
 Mod. #1 - 39 FR 12002 - 3/14/75
 Decision #FL75-1030 (Hw)
 40 FR 10891 - 3/7/75
 Mod. #1 - 40 FR 14196 - 3/28/75
 (D) - See Bay County
FLAGLER COUNTY
 (D) - See Brevard County
 (Hw) - See Baker County
FRANKLIN COUNTY
 (D) - See Bay County
 (Hw) - See Bay County
GAUSEN COUNTY
 Decision #A9-179 (R)
 38 FR 11260 - 5/4/73
 Mod. #1 - 39 FR 15604 - 5/3/74
 Mod. #2 - 40 FR 12003 - 3/14/75
 (Hw) - See Bay County
GILCHRIST COUNTY
 (B,H) - See Alachua County
GLADES COUNTY
 (Hw) - See Charlotte County
GULF COUNTY
 (D) - See Bay County
 (Hw) - See Alachua County
HAMILTON COUNTY
 (Hw) - See Alachua County
HASSELL COUNTY
 (Hw, R) - See DeSoto County

FLORIDA

ALACHUA COUNTY
 Decision #FL75-1037 (B,H)
 40 FR 12974 - 3/21/75
 Mod. #1 - 40 FR 18275 - 4/25/75
 Decision #AQ-4031 (Hw)
 38 FR 31092 - 11/9/73
BAKER COUNTY
 Decision #AQ-4004 (Hw)
 38 FR 22841 - 8/24/73
 Mod. #1 - 40 FR 12002 - 3/14/75
BAY COUNTY
 Decision #FL75-1012 (B)
 40 FR 4805 - 1/31/75
 Decision #A9-4013 (D)
 39 FR 27397 - 7/26/74

GEORGIA

FLORIDA (Cont'd)

FLORIDA (cont'd)

FLORIDA (cont'd)

- HENDRY COUNTY
 (D) - See Charlotte County
 (Hw) - See Broward County
 HERNANDO COUNTY
 (D) - See Broward County
 (Hw) - See Broward County
 HIGHLAND COUNTY
 (D) - See Citrus County
 (Hw) - See Citrus County
 HILLSBOROUGH COUNTY
 (D) - See De Soto County
 (Hw) - See De Soto County
 Decision #FL75-1010 (B)
 40 FR 3894 - 1/24/75
 Mod. #1 - 40 FR 12962 - 3/21/75
 Mod. #2 - 40 FR 17457 - 4/18/75
 Decision #AQ-4018 (Hw)
 38 FR 27703 - 10/5/73
 Mod. #1 - 40 FR 12003 - 3/14/75
 (D) - See Broward County
 (Hw) - See Citrus County
 HOLMES COUNTY
 (D) - See Citrus County
 (Hw) - See Citrus County
 INDIAN RIVER COUNTY
 (D) - See Broward County
 (Hw) - See Broward Co. (Remainder of Co.)
 JACKSON COUNTY
 (D) - See Bay County
 (Hw) - See Bay County
 JEFFERSON COUNTY
 (D) - See Bay County
 (Hw) - See Bay County
 LAFAYETTE COUNTY
 (B, H) - See Alachua County
 LAKE COUNTY
 Decision #AR-4033 (R)
 38 FR 33157 - 9/13/74
 Mod. #1 - 38 FR 34910 - 9/27/74
 (Hw) - See Broward Co. (Remainder of Co.)
 LEE COUNTY
 (D) - See Broward County
 (Hw) - See Charlotte County
 LEON COUNTY
 Decision #FL75-1036 (B)
 40 FR 14221 - 3/28/75
 (Hw) - See Bay County
 (Hw) - See Gadsden County
 LEVY COUNTY
 (D) - See Broward County
 (Hw) - See Citrus County
 LIBERTY COUNTY
 (D) - See Bay County
 (Hw) - See Bay County
 MADISON COUNTY
 (D) - See Gadsden County
 (Hw) - See Alachua County
 MANATEE COUNTY
 (D) - See Broward County
 (Hw) - See Hillsborough County
 MARION COUNTY
 (Hw) - See Citrus County
 MARTIN COUNTY
 Decision #FL75-1033 (B)
 40 FR 14219 - 3/28/75
 Mod. #1 - 40 FR 19327 - 5/2/75
 (D) - See Broward County
 (Hw) - See Broward County
 MONROE COUNTY
 (D) - See Broward County
 (Hw) - See Broward County
 NASSAU COUNTY
 (D) - See Broward County
 (Hw) - See Broward County
 OKALOOSA COUNTY
 (B) - See Escambia County
 (D) - See Bay County
 (Hw) - See Escambia County
 Decision #AP-180 (R)
 38 FR 11260 - 5/14/73
 Mod. #1 - 38 FR 15604 - 5/3/75
 Mod. #2 - 40 FR 12002 - 3/14/75
 OKEECHOBEE COUNTY
 (Hw) - See De Soto County
 ORANGE COUNTY
 Decision #FL75-1009 (B)
 40 FR 3882 - 1/24/75
 Mod. #1 - 40 FR 12352 - 3/21/75
 (Hw) - See Broward Co. (Remainder of Co.)
 (R) - See Lake County
 OSCEOLA COUNTY
 (Hw) - See Broward Co. (Remainder of Co.)
 (R) - See Lake County
 PALM BEACH COUNTY
 (D) - See Broward County
 (Hw) - See Broward County
 PASCO COUNTY
 (D) - See Broward County
 (Hw) - See Hillsborough County
 (R) - See Citrus County
 PINELLAS COUNTY
 Decision #FL75-1034 (B)
 40 FR 12970 - 3/21/75
 Mod. #1 - 40 FR 16477 - 4/11/75
 (R) - See Citrus County
 (D) - See Broward County
 (Hw) - See Hillsborough County
 POLK COUNTY
 (Hw) - See De Soto County
 (R) - See De Soto County
 PUTNAM COUNTY
 (Hw) - See Baker County
 ST. JOHNS COUNTY
 (D) - See Broward County
 (Hw) - See Baker County
 ST. LUCIE COUNTY
 (D) - See Broward County
 (Hw) - See Broward County
 SANTA ROSA COUNTY
 (B) - See Escambia County
 (D) - See Bay County
 (R) - See Okaloosa County
 SAKASOTA COUNTY
 (D) - See Hillsborough County
 (Hw) - See Hillsborough County
 SEMINOLE COUNTY
 (Hw) - See Broward Co. (Remainder of Co.)
 (R) - See Lake County
 SUMTER COUNTY
 (Hw, R) - See Citrus County
 SUWANNEE COUNTY
 (B, H) - See Alachua County
 TAYLOR COUNTY
 (D) - See Broward County
 (R) - See Gadsden County
 (B, H) - See Alachua County
 UNION COUNTY
 (B, H) - See Alachua County
 VOLUSIA COUNTY (Except Cape Kennedy,
 Kennedy Space Flight Center &
 Patrick Air Force Base only and
 including Melabur Radar Site)
 Decision #FL75-1040 (B)
 40 FR 14223 - 3/28/75
 (B, H) - See Broward Co. (Cape Kennedy, Etc.)
 (D) - See Broward County
 (Hw) - See Broward County (Remainder of Co.)
 WAKULLA COUNTY
 (D) - See Bay County
 (Hw) - See Bay County
 WALTON COUNTY
 (D) - See Escambia County
 (Hw) - See Bay County
 WASHINGTON COUNTY
 (R) - See Okaloosa County
 (Hw) - See Bay County
 BAKER COUNTY
 Decision #AQ-4089 (R)
 38 FR 10067 - 3/15/74
 Mod. #1 - 40 FR 3083 - 1/17/75
 (Hw) - See Statewide
 BALDWIN COUNTY
 (Hw) - See Statewide
 BANKS COUNTY
 (Hw) - See Statewide
 BARROW COUNTY
 Decision #AQ-4108 (R)
 38 FR 14841 - 4/26/74
 (Hw) - See Statewide
 BARTOW COUNTY
 (Hw) - See Statewide
 BEN HILL COUNTY
 (Hw) - See Statewide
 BERRIEN COUNTY
 (Hw) - See Statewide
 BIEB COUNTY
 (Hw) - See Statewide
 BLECKLEY COUNTY
 (Hw) - See Statewide
 BRANTLEY COUNTY
 (Hw) - See Statewide
 BROOKS COUNTY
 (Hw) - See Statewide
 BRYAN COUNTY
 (Hw) - See Statewide
 (Hw) - See Statewide
 Decision #AQ-4058 (R)
 38 FR 3394 - 1/25/74
 Decision #MD75-3008 (D)
 40 FR 3094 - 1/17/75
 Mod. #1 - 40 FR 14204 - 3/28/75
 BULLOCH COUNTY
 (R) - See Bryan County
 (Hw) - See Statewide
 BURKE COUNTY
 Decision #AQ-4085 (R)
 38 FR 9333 - 3/8/74
 (Hw) - See Statewide
 BUTTS COUNTY
 (Hw) - See Statewide
 CALHOUN COUNTY
 (Hw) - See Statewide
 (R) - See Baker County
 CANNON COUNTY
 (D) - See Bryan County
 (Hw) - See Statewide
 Candler County
 (Hw) - See Statewide
 CARROLL COUNTY
 (Hw) - See Statewide
 CATOOSA COUNTY
 (Hw) - See Statewide
 CHARLTON COUNTY
 Decision #AQ-4037 (R)
 38 FR 3319 - 9/20/75
 Mod. #1 - 40 FR 3088 - 1/17/75
 (Hw) - See Statewide

GEORGIA (Cont'd.)

CHATHAM COUNTY

Decision #AR-4064 (B)
39 FR 42817 - 12/6/74
Mod. #1 - 40 FR 16478 - 4/11/75
Decision #AQ-4058 (R)
39 FR 3394 - 1/25/74
(D, R) - See Bryan County
CHATHAM COUNTY
Decision #GA75-1039 (B)
Decision #GA75-1039 (B)
40 FR 16471 - 4/11/75
Decision #AQ-4086 (R)
39 FR 9334 - 3/6/74
Mod. #1 - 40 FR 3083 - 1/17/75
(Hw) - See Statewide
CHATHAM COUNTY
(Hw) - See Statewide
CHEROKEE COUNTY
(Hw) - See Statewide
CLARKE COUNTY
(Hw) - See Statewide
(R) - See Barrow County
CLAY COUNTY
(R) - See Baker County
CLAYTON COUNTY
(R) - See Statewide
Decision #AQ-4052 (B)
39 FR 5020 - 2/17/75
Mod. #1 - 40 FR 15418 - 4/12/75
Decision #AQ-4052 (B)
39 FR 2328 - 1/18/74
Decision #AR-4051 (R)
39 FR 38797 - 11/1/74

(Hw) - See Statewide
CLINTON COUNTY
(Hw) - See Statewide
COBB COUNTY
(B, H, R) - See Fulton County
COFFEE COUNTY
(Hw) - See Statewide
COLQUITT COUNTY
(Hw) - See Statewide
COLUMBIA COUNTY
(R) - See Burke County
(Hw) - See Statewide
COOK COUNTY
(Hw) - See Statewide
CONETIA COUNTY
(Hw) - See Statewide
CRAWFORD COUNTY
(Hw) - See Statewide
CRISP COUNTY
(Hw) - See Statewide
DADE COUNTY
(Hw) - See Statewide
DAWSON COUNTY
(Hw) - See Statewide
DECATUR COUNTY
(Hw) - See Statewide
(R) - See Baker County

GEORGIA (Cont'd.)

DEKALB COUNTY
(B, H, R) - See Clayton County
(Hw) - See Statewide
DOUGLASS COUNTY
(Hw) - See Statewide
DOOLY COUNTY
(Hw) - See Statewide
DOUGHERTY COUNTY
(Hw) - See Statewide
(R) - See Baker County
DOUGLAS COUNTY
(Hw) - See Statewide
EARLY COUNTY
(Hw) - See Statewide
(Hw) - See Statewide
(R) - See Baker County
ECHOLS COUNTY
(Hw) - See Statewide
EFFINGHAM COUNTY
(R) - See Bryan County
(Hw) - See Statewide
ELBERT COUNTY
(Hw) - See Statewide
(Hw) - See Statewide
(R) - See Barrow County
EMANUEL COUNTY
(Hw) - See Statewide
EVANS COUNTY
(R) - See Bryan County
(Hw) - See Statewide
FANNIN COUNTY
(Hw) - See Statewide
FAYETTE COUNTY
(Hw) - See Statewide
FLOYD COUNTY
(Hw) - See Statewide
FORSYTH COUNTY
(Hw) - See Statewide
FRANKLIN COUNTY
(Hw) - See Statewide
FULTON COUNTY
(Hw) - See Statewide
(B, H, R) - See Clayton County
GILMER COUNTY
(Hw) - See Statewide
GLASCOCK COUNTY
(Hw) - See Statewide
(R) - See Burke County
GLYNN COUNTY
(D) - See Bryan County
(Hw) - See Statewide
GORDON COUNTY
(Hw) - See Statewide
GRADY COUNTY
(Hw) - See Statewide
(Hw) - See Statewide
(R) - See Baker County
GREENE COUNTY
(R) - See Barrow County
(Hw) - See Statewide

GEORGIA (Cont'd.)

GUINNETT COUNTY
(H, R) - See Clayton County
(Hw) - See Statewide
HABERSHAM COUNTY
(Hw) - See Statewide
HALL COUNTY
(Hw) - See Statewide
Decision #GA75-1025 (B)
40 FR 8691 - 2/21/75
Mod. #1 - 40 FR 12003 - 3/14/75
(Hw) - See Statewide
HARDECK COUNTY
(Hw) - See Statewide
(Hw) - See Statewide
HARLSON COUNTY
(Hw) - See Statewide
HARLES COUNTY
(Hw) - See Statewide
(R) - See Statewide
HART COUNTY
(Hw) - See Statewide
(Hw) - See Barrow County
HEAD COUNTY
(Hw) - See Statewide
HENRY COUNTY
(Hw) - See Statewide
HUNTER COUNTY
(Hw) - See Statewide
IDAHO COUNTY
(Hw) - See Statewide
JACKSON COUNTY
(Hw) - See Statewide
(Hw) - See Statewide
(R) - See Barrow County
JASPER COUNTY
(Hw) - See Statewide
JEFF DAVIS COUNTY
(Hw) - See Statewide
JEFFERSON COUNTY
(Hw) - See Statewide
(R) - See Burke County
JENKINS COUNTY
(Hw) - See Statewide
(R) - See Burke County
JOHNSON COUNTY
(Hw) - See Statewide
JONES COUNTY
(Hw) - See Statewide
LACR COUNTY
(Hw) - See Statewide
LAFAYETTE COUNTY
(Hw) - See Statewide
LASSAS COUNTY
(Hw) - See Statewide
Decision #AQ-4124 (B)
39 FR 20917 - 6/14/74
Mod. #1 - 40 FR 12003 - 3/14/75
(Hw) - See Statewide
LEE COUNTY
(Hw) - See Statewide
(Hw) - See Baker County
LIBERTY COUNTY
(D, R) - See Bryan County
(Hw) - See Statewide

GEORGIA (Cont'd.)

LINCOLN COUNTY
(Hw) - See Statewide
(R) - See Burke County
LONG COUNTY
(Hw) - See Statewide
(Hw) - See Bryan County
LOWMEYER COUNTY
(Hw) - See Statewide
LUMPKIN COUNTY
(Hw) - See Statewide
(Hw) - See Statewide
MCDOUGLE COUNTY
(Hw) - See Statewide
(R) - See Burke County
MCINTOSH COUNTY
(D) - See Bryan County
(Hw) - See Statewide
MACON COUNTY
(Hw) - See Statewide
(R) - See Chattahoochee County
MADISON COUNTY
(Hw) - See Statewide
(R) - See Barrow County
MARION COUNTY
(Hw) - See Statewide
(R) - See Chattahoochee County
MERIWETHER COUNTY
(R) - See Chattahoochee County
(Hw) - See Statewide
MILLER COUNTY
(Hw) - See Statewide
(R) - See Baker County
MITCHELL COUNTY
(Hw) - See Statewide
(Hw) - See Statewide
MONROE COUNTY
(Hw) - See Statewide
MONTGOMERY COUNTY
(Hw) - See Statewide
MORGAN COUNTY
(Hw) - See Statewide
(R) - See Barrow County
MURRAY COUNTY
(Hw) - See Statewide
(Hw) - See Statewide
MUSCOGEE COUNTY
(B, R) - See Chattahoochee County
NEATON COUNTY
(Hw) - See Statewide
(R) - See Barrow County
OCFEE COUNTY
(Hw) - See Statewide
(R) - See Barrow County
OGLETHERPE COUNTY
(Hw) - See Statewide
(Hw) - See Statewide
PAULDING COUNTY
(Hw) - See Statewide
PEACH COUNTY
(Hw) - See Statewide
PIKE COUNTY
(Hw) - See Statewide
PIERCE COUNTY
(R) - See Charlton County
(Hw) - See Statewide

IDaho

GUM

STATEMIDE

Decision #1075-5024 (B,H,Hw)
40 FR 7803 - 2/21/75
Mod. #1 - 40 FR 12953 - 3/21/75
Mod. #2 - 40 FR 16275 - 4/25/75
ADA COUNTY
Decision #1075-5029 (R)
38 FR 24513 - 9/7/73
Mod. #1 - 38 FR 25543 - 9/21/73
(B,H,Hw) - See Statewide
ADAMS COUNTY
(B,H,Hw) - See Statewide
BANDOCK COUNTY
(B,H,Hw) - See Statewide
BEAR LAKE COUNTY
(B,H,Hw) - See Statewide
BENEMAH COUNTY
(B,H,Hw) - See Statewide
BINGHAM COUNTY
(B,H,Hw) - See Statewide
BLAINE COUNTY
(B,H,Hw) - See Statewide
BOISE COUNTY
(B,H,Hw) - See Statewide
BONNER COUNTY
(B,H,Hw) - See Statewide
BOONEVILLE COUNTY
(B,H,Hw) - See Statewide
BOUNDARY COUNTY
(B,H,Hw) - See Statewide
BUTTE COUNTY
(B,H,Hw) - See Statewide
CAGAS COUNTY
(B,H,Hw) - See Statewide
CANYON COUNTY
(B,H,Hw) - See Statewide
CAREBOU COUNTY
(B,H,Hw) - See Statewide
CASSIA COUNTY
(B,H,Hw) - See Statewide
CLACK COUNTY
(B,H,Hw) - See Statewide
CLEMATER COUNTY
(B,H,Hw) - See Statewide
CUSTER COUNTY
(B,H,Hw) - See Statewide
ELMORE COUNTY
(B,H,Hw) - See Statewide
FRANKLIN COUNTY
(B,H,Hw) - See Statewide

GUM

STATEMIDE

Decision #1075-5022 (B,H,Hw,D,R)
40 FR 3888 - 1/24/75
Mod. #1 - 40 FR 12003 - 3/14/75
Mod. #2 - 40 FR 12953 - 3/21/75
Mod. #3 - 40 FR 16479 - 4/11/75
HAWAII
Decision #1075-5022 (B,H,Hw,D,R)
40 FR 3888 - 1/24/75
Mod. #1 - 40 FR 12003 - 3/14/75
Mod. #2 - 40 FR 12953 - 3/21/75
Mod. #3 - 40 FR 16479 - 4/11/75

GEORGIA (Cont'd.)

TIFT COUNTY

(Hw) - See Statewide
TOOLES COUNTY
(Hw) - See Statewide
TOWNS COUNTY
(Hw) - See Statewide
TREUTLEN COUNTY
(Hw) - See Statewide
TROUP COUNTY
(Hw) - See Statewide
(Hw) - See Statewide
TURNER COUNTY
(Hw) - See Statewide
TWINN COUNTY
(Hw) - See Statewide
UNION COUNTY
(Hw) - See Statewide
UPSON COUNTY
(Hw) - See Statewide
WALKER COUNTY
(Hw) - See Statewide
WALTON COUNTY
(Hw) - See Statewide
WARREN COUNTY
(Hw) - See Statewide
WASHINGTON COUNTY
(Hw) - See Statewide
WAYNE COUNTY
(Hw) - See Statewide
WEBSTER COUNTY
(Hw) - See Statewide
WHEELER COUNTY
(Hw) - See Statewide
WHITE COUNTY
(Hw) - See Statewide
WHITEFIELD COUNTY
(Hw) - See Statewide
WILCOX COUNTY
(Hw) - See Statewide
WILKES COUNTY
(Hw) - See Statewide
WILKINSON COUNTY
(Hw) - See Statewide
WORTH COUNTY
(Hw) - See Statewide

GEORGIA (Cont'd.)

PIKE COUNTY

(Hw) - See Statewide
POLK COUNTY
(Hw) - See Statewide
POLASKI COUNTY
(Hw) - See Statewide
PUTNAM COUNTY
(Hw) - See Statewide
QUINCY COUNTY
(Hw) - See Statewide
RABUN COUNTY
(Hw) - See Statewide
RANDOLPH COUNTY
(Hw) - See Statewide
RICHMOND COUNTY
(Hw) - See Statewide
Decision #1075-1005 (B)
40 FR 3091 - 1/17/75
Mod. #1 - 40 FR 6800 - 2/14/75
Mod. #2 - 40 FR 19328 - 5/2/75
(Hw) - See Statewide
RICKDALE COUNTY
(Hw) - See Statewide
SCHLEY COUNTY
(Hw) - See Statewide
SCREEN COUNTY
(Hw) - See Statewide
SEMINOLE COUNTY
(Hw) - See Statewide
SPALDING COUNTY
(Hw) - See Statewide
STEPHENS COUNTY
(Hw) - See Statewide
STEWART COUNTY
(Hw) - See Statewide
SUNTER COUNTY
(Hw) - See Statewide
TALBOT COUNTY
(Hw) - See Statewide
TALLADEGA COUNTY
(Hw) - See Statewide
TALLAPOUS COUNTY
(Hw) - See Statewide
TATNALL COUNTY
(Hw) - See Statewide
TAYLOR COUNTY
(Hw) - See Statewide
TELFORD COUNTY
(Hw) - See Statewide
TERRELL COUNTY
(Hw) - See Statewide
THOMAS COUNTY
(Hw) - See Statewide

ILLINOIS (Cont'd)

MAISON COUNTY
(H, Hw) - See Clay County

MARSHALL COUNTY
(B) - See Bureau County

MASSOW COUNTY
(H, Hw) - See Ford County

MASSAC COUNTY
(H, Hw) - See Adams County

MENARD COUNTY
(H, Hw) - See Alexander County

MERCER COUNTY
(H, Hw) - See Adams County

MORRIS COUNTY
(H, Hw) - See Fulton County

MORRIS COUNTY
(H, Hw) - See Bond County

MONTGOMERY COUNTY
(H, Hw) - See Alexander County

MONTGOMERY COUNTY
(H, Hw) - See Bond County

MORGAN COUNTY
(H, Hw) - See Adams County

MOUTRIE COUNTY
(H, Hw) - See Alexander County

MOUTRIE COUNTY
(B) - See Christian County

OSAGE COUNTY
(H, Hw) - See Champaign County

OSAGE COUNTY
(H, Hw) - See Bureau County

PEORIA COUNTY
Decision #AR-305B (B, R, D)
39 FR 26813 - 8/9/74
Mod. #1 - FR 42805 - 12/6/74
Mod. #2 - 40 FR 12005 - 3/14/75

PERRY COUNTY
(H, Hw) - See Fulton County

PIATT COUNTY
(H, Hw) - See Alexander County

PIATT COUNTY
(B) - See Christian County

PIKE COUNTY
(H, Hw) - See Champaign County

PIKE COUNTY
(B, H, Hw) - See Adams County

POPE COUNTY
(H, Hw) - See Alexander County

PULASKI COUNTY
(H, Hw, D) - See Alexander County

POTOMAC COUNTY
(B) - See Bureau County

RANDOLPH COUNTY
(H, Hw) - See Ford County

RICHARD COUNTY
(H, Hw, D) - See Alexander County

RICHARD COUNTY
(H, Hw) - See Clay County

ROCK ISLAND COUNTY
Decision #IL75-2051 (B)
40 FR 12576 - 3/21/75

SAINT CLAIR COUNTY
(H, Hw) - See Bureau County

SAINT CLAIR COUNTY
(B, R) - See Madison County

SAINT CLAIR COUNTY
(H, Hw) - See Bond County

SAINT CLAIR COUNTY
(D) - See Alexander County

ILLINOIS (Cont'd)

SALINE COUNTY
(H, Hw) - See Alexander County

SANGAMON COUNTY
Decision #AR-3072 (B, R)
39 FR 26822 - 8/9/74
Mod. #1 - 39 FR 43458 - 12/13/74
Mod. #2 - 40 FR 12006 - 3/14/75

SCHUYLER COUNTY
(H, Hw) - See Adams County

SCOTT COUNTY
(H, Hw) - See Adams County

SCOTT COUNTY
(D) - See Alexander County

SHELBY COUNTY
(B) - See Christian County

STARK COUNTY
(H, Hw) - See Champaign County

STEPHENSON COUNTY
(H, Hw) - See Fulton County

TAZEWELL COUNTY
(B, R, D) - See Peoria County

UNION COUNTY
(H, Hw) - See Fulton County

UNION COUNTY
(H, Hw, D) - See Alexander County

VERMILION COUNTY
(H, Hw) - See Champaign County

WABASH COUNTY
(B) - See Champaign County

WABASH COUNTY
(H, Hw) - See Clay County

WARREN COUNTY
(H, Hw) - See Fulton County

WASHINGTON COUNTY
(H, Hw) - See Bond County

WAYNE COUNTY
(H, Hw) - See Clay County

WHITE COUNTY
(H, Hw) - See Clay County

WHITE COUNTY
(H, Hw) - See Clay County

WHITKID COUNTY
(H, Hw) - See Bureau County

WILL COUNTY
(B, R) - See Du Page County

WILLIAMSON COUNTY
(H, Hw) - See Boone County

WILLIAMSON COUNTY
Decision #IL75-2043 (B)
40 FR 8736 - 2/28/75

WILLIAMSON COUNTY
Mod. #1 - 40 FR 18276 - 4/25/75

WINNEBAGO COUNTY
(H, Hw) - See Alexander County

WINNEBAGO COUNTY
Decision #IL75-2044 (B)
40 FR 10893 - 3/17/75

WOODFORD COUNTY
(H, Hw) - See Bureau County

WOODFORD COUNTY
(B) - See Bureau County

WOODFORD COUNTY
(H, Hw) - See Ford County

INDIANA

ADAMS COUNTY
Decision #IN75-2037 (H, Hw)
40 FR 7814 - 2/21/75

ALLEN COUNTY
Decision #IN75-2017 (B)
40 FR 6024 - 2/17/75
Mod. #1 - 40 FR 10871 - 3/7/75
Decision #AQ-3000 (B)
39 FR 22341 - 8/17/73

BARTHOLOMEW COUNTY
(H, Hw) - See Adams County

BARTHOLOMEW COUNTY
Decision #IN75-2018 (B)
40 FR 4809 - 1/31/75
Mod. #1 - 40 FR 10871 - 3/7/75

BENTON COUNTY
Decision #IN75-2046 (H, Hw)
40 FR 7831 - 2/21/75

BENTON COUNTY
Decision #IN75-2019 (B)
40 FR 6027 - 2/17/75
Mod. #1 - 40 FR 10871 - 3/7/75

BLACKFORD COUNTY
Decision #IN75-2038 (H, Hw)
40 FR 7820 - 2/21/75

BLACKFORD COUNTY
Decision #IN75-2039 (H, Hw)
40 FR 7824 - 2/21/75
Mod. #1 - 40 FR 12553 - 3/21/75

BOONE COUNTY
Decision #AP-668 (B)
38 FR 13247 - 5/16/73

BROWN COUNTY
(H, Hw) - See Bartholomew County

CARROLL COUNTY
(H, Hw) - See Benton County

CASS COUNTY
(H, Hw) - See Benton County

CLARK COUNTY
Decision #IL75-2036 (D)
40 FR 6023 - 2/17/75

CLAY COUNTY
(H, Hw) - See Bartholomew County

CLAY COUNTY
(H, Hw) - See Bartholomew County

CLINTON COUNTY
(H, Hw) - See Benton County

CLINTON COUNTY
(H, Hw) - See Benton County

CLINTON COUNTY
(D) - See Clark County

CLINTON COUNTY
Decision #IN75-2020 (B)
40 FR 4812 - 1/31/75
Mod. #1 - 40 FR 10872 - 3/7/75

CLINTON COUNTY
(H, Hw) - See Bartholomew County

INDIANA (Cont'd)

DECATUR COUNTY
(H, Hw) - See Bartholomew County

DEKALB COUNTY
(H, Hw) - See Adams County

DELAWARE COUNTY
Decision #IN75-2045 (B)
40 FR 7828 - 2/21/75

DUBOIS COUNTY
(H, Hw) - See Blackford County

ELKHART COUNTY
(H, Hw) - See Bartholomew County

ELKHART COUNTY
(H, Hw) - See Adams County

FAYETTE COUNTY
(H, Hw) - See Blackford County

FLOYD COUNTY
(D) - See Clark County

FOUNTAIN COUNTY
(H, Hw) - See Bartholomew County

FRANKLIN COUNTY
(H, Hw) - See Bartholomew County

FULTON COUNTY
(H, Hw) - See Benton County

GIBSON COUNTY
(H, Hw) - See Bartholomew County

GRANT COUNTY
Decision #IN75-2022 (B)
40 FR 6032 - 2/17/75
Mod. #1 - 40 FR 10872 - 3/7/75
Mod. #2 - 40 FR 19325 - 5/2/75

GREENE COUNTY
(H, Hw) - See Bartholomew County

HAMILTON COUNTY
(H, Hw) - See Blackford County

HANDCOCK COUNTY
(H, Hw) - See Blackford County

HARRISON COUNTY
(R) - See Boone County

HARRISON COUNTY
(D) - See Clark County

HARRISON COUNTY
(H, Hw) - See Bartholomew County

HARRISON COUNTY
(H, Hw) - See Bartholomew County

HARRISON COUNTY
(R) - See Boone County

HARRISON COUNTY
(H, Hw) - See Blackford County

HARRISON COUNTY
(H, Hw) - See Benton County

HARRISON COUNTY
(H, Hw) - See Adams County

JACKSON COUNTY
(H, Hw) - See Bartholomew County

JACKSON COUNTY
(H, Hw) - See Benton County

JAY COUNTY
(H, Hw) - See Blackford County

INDIANA (Cont'd.)

JEFFERSON COUNTY
(D) - See Clark County
(H, Hw) - See Bartholomew County

JENNINGS COUNTY
(H, Hw) - See Bartholomew County

JOHNSON COUNTY
(H, Hw) - See Bartholomew County
(R) - See Boone County

KNOX COUNTY
(H, Hw) - See Bartholomew County

KOSCIUSKO COUNTY
(H, Hw) - See Adams County

LAGRANGE COUNTY
(H, Hw) - See Adams County

LAKE COUNTY
Decision #IN75-2023 - (B, H, Hw)
Mod. #1 - 40 FR 5035 - 2/17/75
Mod. #1 - 40 FR 10872 - 3/7/75
Decision #40-3095 (D)
39 FR 982 - 2/15/74
Mod. #1 - 39 FR 44161 - 12/20/74

LAPORTE COUNTY
Decision #IN75-2024 (B, H, Hw)
40 FR 6039 - 2/17/75
Mod. #1 - 40 FR 10872 - 3/7/75
(H) - See Lake County

LAWRENCE COUNTY
(H, Hw) - See Bartholomew County

MADISON COUNTY
(H, Hw) - See Blackford County

MARION COUNTY
Decision #IN75-2025 (B)
40 FR 4815 - 1/31/75
Mod. #1 - 40 FR 10872 - 3/7/75
(R) - See Boone County

MARSHALL COUNTY
(H, Hw) - See Adams County

MARTIN COUNTY
(H, Hw) - See Bartholomew County

MIAMI COUNTY
(H, Hw) - See Benton County

MORFEE COUNTY
Decision #IN75-2026 (B)
40 FR 4817 - 1/31/75
Mod. #1 - 40 FR 10872 - 3/7/75
(H, Hw) - See Bartholomew County

MONROE COUNTY
(H, Hw) - See Bartholomew County

MORGAN COUNTY
(R) - See Boone County

MONTGOMERY COUNTY
(H, Hw) - See Bartholomew County

NEWTON COUNTY
(H, Hw) - See Benton County

NOBLE COUNTY
(H, Hw) - See Adams County

INDIANA (Cont'd.)

OSHO COUNTY
(D) - See Clark County
(H, Hw) - See Bartholomew County

ORANGE COUNTY
(H, Hw) - See Bartholomew County

OWEN COUNTY
(H, Hw) - See Bartholomew County

PARKE COUNTY
(H, Hw) - See Bartholomew County

PERRY COUNTY
(D) - See Clark County
(H, Hw) - See Bartholomew County

PIKE COUNTY
(H, Hw) - See Bartholomew County

PORTER COUNTY
Decision #IN75-2027 (B, H, Hw)
40 FR 6044 - 2/17/75
Mod. #1 - 40 FR 10873 - 3/7/75
(D) - See Lake County

POSSEY COUNTY
(D) - See Clark County
(H, Hw) - See Bartholomew County

PULASKI COUNTY
(H, Hw) - See Benton County

POTOMAC COUNTY
(H, Hw) - See Bartholomew County

RANDOLPH COUNTY
(H, Hw) - See Blackford County

RIPLLEY COUNTY
(H, Hw) - See Bartholomew County

RUSH COUNTY
(H, Hw) - See Blackford County

SAINT JOSEPH COUNTY
Decision #IN75-2028 (B, H, Hw)
40 FR 6049 - 2/17/75
Mod. #1 - 40 FR 10873 - 3/7/75

SCOTT COUNTY
(H, Hw) - See Bartholomew County

SHELBY COUNTY
(R) - See Boone County
(H, Hw) - See Blackford County

SPENCER COUNTY
(D) - See Clark County
(H, Hw) - See Bartholomew County

STARKE COUNTY
(H, Hw) - See Adams County

STUBBINS COUNTY
(H, Hw) - See Adams County

SULLIVAN COUNTY
(H, Hw) - See Bartholomew County

SWITZERLAND COUNTY
(D) - See Clark County
(H, Hw) - See Bartholomew County

INDIANA (Cont'd.)

TIPPECANOE COUNTY
(B, H, Hw) - See Benton County

TIPTON COUNTY
(H, Hw) - See Benton County

UNION COUNTY
(H, Hw) - See Blackford County

VANDERBURGH COUNTY
Decision #IN75-2029 (B)
40 FR 4820 - 1/31/75
Mod. #1 - 40 FR 10873 - 3/7/75
(D) - See Clark County
(H, Hw) - See Bartholomew County

VERMILION COUNTY
(H, Hw) - See Bartholomew County

VIGO COUNTY
Decision #IN75-2030 (B)
40 FR 6053 - 2/17/75
Mod. #1 - 40 FR 10873 - 3/7/75
(H, Hw) - See Bartholomew County

WABASH COUNTY
(H, Hw) - See Benton County

WARREN COUNTY
(H, Hw) - See Bartholomew County

WARRICK COUNTY
(D) - See Clark County
(H, Hw) - See Bartholomew County

WASHINGTON COUNTY
(H, Hw) - See Bartholomew County

WAYNE COUNTY
(H, Hw) - See Blackford County

WELLS COUNTY
(H, Hw) - See Adams County

WHITE COUNTY
(H, Hw) - See Benton County

WHITLEY COUNTY
(H, Hw) - See Adams County

INDIANA

ADAIR COUNTY
None

ADAMS COUNTY
None

ALLIANCE COUNTY
None

APPANOOSE COUNTY
Decision #48-73 (Hw)
39 FR 38797 - 11/1/74
Mod. #1 - 40 FR 4766 - 1/31/75

ARIZONA COUNTY
None

BENTON COUNTY
Decision #1A75-4081 (Hw)
40 FR 17507 - 4/18/75

BLACK HAWK COUNTY
Decision #1A75-4034 (B, H, Hw) (City of Waterloo & adjoining Municipalities)
40 FR 4823 - 1/31/75
Mod. #1 - 40 FR 8900 - 2/14/75
Mod. #2 - 40 FR 12955 - 3/21/75
Mod. #3 - 40 FR 14201 - 3/28/75
Mod. #4 - 40 FR 15271 - 4/4/75

BOONE COUNTY
None

BREMER COUNTY
None

BUCHANAN COUNTY
None

BUENA VISTA COUNTY
None

BUTLER COUNTY
None

CALHOUN COUNTY
None

CARROLL COUNTY
Decision #4W-6712 (H, Hw)
37 FR 7429 - 4/14/72
Mod. #1 - 39 FR 40404 - 11/15/74

CASS COUNTY
(H, Hw) - See Carroll County

CECIL COUNTY
None

CERRILLO COUNTY (MASON CITY)
Decision #1A75-4035 (B, H, Hw)
40 FR 4826 - 1/31/75
Mod. #1 - 40 FR 5901 - 2/14/75
Mod. #2 - 40 FR 14201 - 3/28/75

CHEROKEE COUNTY
None

CHICKASAW COUNTY
None

CLARK COUNTY
None

IOWA (Cont'd.)

CLAY COUNTY
(H, Hw) - See Carroll County

CLAYTON COUNTY
None

CLINTON COUNTY (City of Clinton and abutting municipalities)
Decision #IA75-4036 (B, H, Hw)
40 FR 4828 - 1/31/75
Mod. #1 - 40 FR 6900 - 2/14/75
Mod. #2 - 40 FR 12954 - 3/21/75
Mod. #3 - 40 FR 14201 - 3/28/75

CRAMFORD COUNTY
(H, Hw) - See Carroll County

DALLAS COUNTY
None

DAVIS COUNTY
(Hw) - See Appanoose County

DECATUR COUNTY
None

DELAWARE COUNTY
None

DES MOINES COUNTY (City of Burlington and abutting municipalities; and Burlington Ordinance Plant)
Decision #IA75-4037 (B, H, Hw)
40 FR 4834 - 1/31/75
Mod. #1 - 40 FR 6901 - 2/14/75
Mod. #2 - 40 FR 12954 - 3/21/75
Mod. #3 - 40 FR 14202 - 3/28/75

DICKINSON COUNTY
None

DUBUQUE COUNTY (City of Dubuque and abutting municipalities)
Decision #IA75-4038 (B, H, Hw)
40 FR 4831 - 1/31/75
Mod. #1 - 40 FR 14202 - 3/28/75

EMMET COUNTY
None

FAYETTE COUNTY
None

FLOYD COUNTY
None

FRANKLIN COUNTY
None

FREMONT COUNTY
Decision #IE75-4054 (Channel Stabilization)
40 FR 8039 - 2/26/75

GREENE COUNTY
None

GRUNDY COUNTY
None

IOWA (Cont'd.)

GUTHRIE COUNTY
None

HAMILTON COUNTY
None

HANCOCK COUNTY
None

HARDIN COUNTY
None

HARRISON COUNTY
(Chann. Stab.) - See Freeman Co.
None

HENRY COUNTY
None

HOWARD COUNTY
(H, Hw) - See Carroll County

HUBBARD COUNTY
None

IDA COUNTY
None

IOWA COUNTY
(Hw) - See Benton County

JACKSON COUNTY
None

JASPER COUNTY
None

JEFFERSON COUNTY
(Hw) - See Appanoose County

JOHNSON COUNTY (City of Iowa City and abutting municipalities)
Decision #IA75-4039 (B, H)
40 FR 4836 - 1/31/75
Mod. #1 - 40 FR 6902 - 2/14/75
Mod. #2 - 40 FR 14202 - 3/28/75
Mod. #3 - 40 FR 18276 - 4/25/75

JONES COUNTY
None

KEOKUK COUNTY
(Hw) - See Benton County

KOSSUTH COUNTY
None

LEE COUNTY
None

LINN COUNTY
Decision #IA75-4040 (B, H, Hw)
40 FR 4839 - 1/31/75
Mod. #1 - 40 FR 6902 - 2/14/75
Mod. #2 - 40 FR 14202 - 3/28/75
Mod. #3 - 40 FR 18276 - 4/25/75

IOWA (Cont'd.)

LOUISA COUNTY
None

LUCAS COUNTY
None

LYON COUNTY
None

MADISON COUNTY
None

MAHASKA COUNTY
(Hw) - See Benton County

MARION COUNTY
None

MARSHALL COUNTY
None

MILLS COUNTY
(Channel Stab.) - See Freemont Co.

MITCHELL COUNTY
None

MONROE COUNTY
(H, Hw) - See Carroll County

MONROE COUNTY
(Channel Stab.) - See Freemont Co.

MONTGOMERY COUNTY
None

MUSCATINE COUNTY
None

MUSCATINE COUNTY
(H, Hw) - See Carroll County

OSCEOLA COUNTY
(H, Hw) - See Carroll County

PAGE COUNTY
None

PAIO ALTO COUNTY
None

PLYMOUTH COUNTY
None

POCAHONTAS COUNTY
None

POLK COUNTY
Decision #IA75-4041 (B, H, Hw)
40 FR 4841 - 1/31/75
Mod. #1 - 40 FR 6903 - 2/14/75
Mod. #2 - 40 FR 14202 - 3/28/75
Mod. #3 - 40 FR 18276 - 4/25/75

POTTAWATTAMIE COUNTY (City of Council Bluffs and the area within 3 miles from the City Limits)
Decision #IA75-4042 (B, H, Hw)
40 FR 4843 - 1/31/75
Mod. #1 - 40 FR 6903 - 2/14/75
Mod. #2 - 40 FR 12955 - 3/21/75
Mod. #3 - 40 FR 14202 - 3/28/75
Mod. #4 - 40 FR 15271 - 4/4/75
Mod. #5 - 40 FR 18277 - 4/25/75
(Chann. Stab.) - See Freemont County

IOWA (Cont'd.)

POKESHIEK COUNTY
(Hw) - See Benton County

RINGGOLD COUNTY
(H, Hw) - See Carroll County

SAC COUNTY
None

SCOTT COUNTY
Decision #IA75-4043 (B, H, Hw)
40 FR 4845 - 1/31/75
Mod. #1 - 40 FR 6903 - 2/14/75
Mod. #2 - 40 FR 14203 - 3/28/75
Mod. #3 - 40 FR 18277 - 4/25/75

SHELBY COUNTY
None

STOUC COUNTY
None

STORY COUNTY (City of Ames and abutting municipalities)
Decision #IA75-4044 (B, H, Hw)
40 FR 4848 - 1/31/75
Mod. #1 - 40 FR 6904 - 2/14/75
Mod. #2 - 40 FR 14203 - 3/28/75

TAMA COUNTY
(Hw) - See Benton County

TAYLOR COUNTY
(H, Hw) - See Carroll County

UNION COUNTY
None

WABEY COUNTY
(Hw) - See Appanoose County

WAPELLO COUNTY
(Hw) - See Appanoose County

WARREN COUNTY
None

WASHINGTON COUNTY
(Hw) - See Benton County

WAYNE COUNTY
None

WEBSTER COUNTY (City of Fort Dodge)
Decision #IA75-4024 (B, H, Hw)
40 FR 15295 - 4/4/75

WINNEBAGO COUNTY
None

WINNEBAGO COUNTY
None

WINNEBAGO COUNTY
None

IOWA (Cont'd.)

WOODBURY COUNTY (City of Sioux City and abutting municipalities)
Decision #1675-4046 (8)
40 FR 4082 - 1/31/75
Mod. #1 - 40 FR 6905 - 2/14/75
Mod. #2 - 40 FR 14203 - 3/28/75
(Cham. Stab.) - See Fremont Co.
WORTH COUNTY
None
WRIGHT COUNTY
None

KANSAS

ALLEN COUNTY
Decision #KS75-4051 (Hw, MBS)
40 FR 6056 - 2/7/75
Mod. #1 - 40 FR 7775 - 2/21/75
ANDERSON COUNTY
(Hw, MBS) - See Allen County
ATCHISON COUNTY
Decision #1075-4070 (D)
40 FR 14225 - 3/28/75
(Hw, MBS) - See Allen County
BARBER COUNTY
Decision #KS75-4063 (Hw, MBS)
40 FR 6058 - 2/7/75
Mod. #1 - 40 FR 7775 - 2/21/75
BARTON COUNTY
(Hw, MBS) - See Barber County
BOHNSON COUNTY
(Hw, MBS) - See Allen County
BROWN COUNTY
(Hw, MBS) - See Allen County
BUTLER COUNTY
(Hw, MBS) - See Allen County
CHASE COUNTY
(Hw, MBS) - See Allen County
CHAUTAUQUA COUNTY
(Hw, MBS) - See Allen County
CIEKOCKE COUNTY
(Hw, MBS) - See Allen County
CREYNE COUNTY
(Hw, MBS) - See Barber County
CLARK COUNTY
(Hw, MBS) - See Barber County

KANSAS (Cont'd.)

CLAY COUNTY
(Hw, MBS) - See Allen County
CLOUD COUNTY
(Hw, MBS) - See Allen County
COFFEY COUNTY
(Hw, MBS) - See Allen County
COWADOC COUNTY
(Hw, MBS) - See Barber County
COWLEY COUNTY
(Hw, MBS) - See Allen County
CRAWFORD COUNTY
(Hw, MBS) - See Allen County
DECATUR COUNTY
(Hw, MBS) - See Barber County
DICKINSON COUNTY
(Hw, MBS) - See Allen County
DODD COUNTY
(D) - See Atchison County
(Hw, MBS) - See Allen County
DOUGLAS COUNTY
Decision #KS75-4063 (Hw)
40 FR 12041 - 3/14/75
Mod. #1 - 40 FR 19326 - 5/2/75
EDWARDS COUNTY
(Hw, MBS) - See Barber County
ELK COUNTY
(Hw, MBS) - See Allen County
ELLIS COUNTY
(Hw, MBS) - See Barber County
ELLSWORTH COUNTY
(Hw, MBS) - See Barber County
FINNEY COUNTY
(Hw, MBS) - See Barber County
FORD COUNTY
(Hw, MBS) - See Barber County
FRANKLIN COUNTY
(Hw, MBS) - See Allen County
GEARY COUNTY
Decision #AQ-88 (R)
39 FR 11791 - 3/2/74
(Hw, MBS) - See Allen County
GOVE COUNTY
(Hw, MBS) - See Barber County
GRAHAM COUNTY
(Hw, MBS) - See Barber County
GRANT COUNTY
(Hw, MBS) - See Barber County
GRAY COUNTY
(Hw, MBS) - See Barber County
GREELEY COUNTY
(Hw, MBS) - See Barber County
GREENWOOD COUNTY
(Hw, MBS) - See Allen County

KANSAS (Cont'd.)

HAMILTON COUNTY
(Hw, MBS) - See Barber County
HARPER COUNTY
(Hw, MBS) - See Allen County
HARVEY COUNTY
(Hw, MBS) - See Allen County
HESSELL COUNTY
(Hw, MBS) - See Allen County
HODGEPHANT COUNTY
(Hw, MBS) - See Barber County
JACKSON COUNTY
(Hw, MBS) - See Allen County
JEFFERSON COUNTY
(Hw) - See Douglas County
JEWELL COUNTY
(Hw, MBS) - See Barber County
JOHNSON COUNTY
Decision #1075-4059 (H, H, Hw)
40 FR 8740 - 2/28/75
Mod. #1 - 40 FR 15273 - 4/14/75
Mod. #2 - 40 FR 17457 - 4/18/75
Decision #1075-4071 (R)
40 FR 14226 - 3/28/75
Mod. #1 - 40 FR 17459 - 4/18/75
KEARNY COUNTY
(Hw, MBS) - See Barber County
KINGMAN COUNTY
(Hw, MBS) - See Allen County
KITOMA COUNTY
(Hw, MBS) - See Barber County
LABETTE COUNTY
(Hw, MBS) - See Allen County
LAKE COUNTY
(Hw, MBS) - See Barber County
LEAVENWORTH COUNTY
Decision #KS75-4061 (B)
40 FR 12034 - 3/14/75
Mod. #1 - 40 FR 15271 - 4/14/75
(Hw) - See Douglas County
(D) - See Atchison County
LINCOLN COUNTY
(Hw, MBS) - See Barber County
LINN COUNTY
(Hw, MBS) - See Allen County
LOGAN COUNTY
(Hw, MBS) - See Barber County

KANSAS (Cont'd.)

LYON COUNTY
(Hw, MBS) - See Allen County
MCPherson COUNTY
(Hw, MBS) - See Allen County
MARION COUNTY
(Hw, MBS) - See Allen County
MARSHALL COUNTY
(Hw, MBS) - See Allen County
MEADE COUNTY
(Hw, MBS) - See Barber County
MILAM COUNTY
(Hw) - See Douglas County
MITCHELL COUNTY
(Hw, MBS) - See Barber County
MONTGOMERY COUNTY
(Hw, MBS) - See Allen County
MORRIS COUNTY
(Hw, MBS) - See Allen County
MORTON COUNTY
(Hw, MBS) - See Barber County
NEMAH COUNTY
(Hw, MBS) - See Allen County
NEOSHO COUNTY
(Hw, MBS) - See Allen County
NESS COUNTY
(Hw, MBS) - See Barber County
MORTON COUNTY
(Hw, MBS) - See Barber County
OSAGE COUNTY
(Hw, MBS) - See Allen County
OSBORNE COUNTY
(Hw, MBS) - See Barber County
OTTAWA COUNTY
(Hw, MBS) - See Allen County
PAWNEE COUNTY
(Hw, MBS) - See Barber County
PHILLIPS COUNTY
(Hw, MBS) - See Barber County
POTTAWATOMIE COUNTY
(Hw, MBS) - See Allen County
PRATT COUNTY
(Hw, MBS) - See Barber County
RAWLINS COUNTY
(Hw, MBS) - See Barber County

KANSAS (Cont'd.)

RENO COUNTY
(Hw, MS) - See Allen County

REPUBLIC COUNTY
(Hw, MS) - See Allen County

RICE COUNTY
(Hw, MS) - See Barber County

RILEY COUNTY
(Hw, MS) - See Allen County

ROCKS COUNTY
(Hw, MS) - See Barber County

ROSS COUNTY
(Hw, MS) - See Barber County

RUSSELL COUNTY
(Hw, MS) - See Barber County

SALINE COUNTY
(Hw, MS) - See Allen County

SCOTT COUNTY
(Hw, MS) - See Barber County

SEDMICK COUNTY
Decision #40-533 (R)
38 FR 16573 - 6/22/73
Decision #K575-4065 (B)
40 FR 12048 - 3/14/75
Mod. #1 - 40 FR 15272 - 4/4/75
Decision #K575-4052 (Hw, MS)
40 FR 6057 - 2/7/75
Mod. #1 - 40 FR 7775 - 2/21/75

SEWARD COUNTY
(Hw, MS) - See Barber County

SHAWNEE COUNTY
Decision #K575-4062 (B)
40 FR 12038 - 3/14/75
Mod. #1 - 40 FR 15271 - 4/4/75
Decision #K575-4064 (R)
40 FR 12045 - 3/14/75
Mod. #1 - 40 FR 15272 - 4/4/75
(Hw) - See Douglas County

KANSAS (Cont'd.)

SHERIDAN COUNTY
(Hw, MS) - See Barber County

SHERMAN COUNTY
(Hw, MS) - See Barber County

SMITH COUNTY
(Hw, MS) - See Barber County

STAFFORD COUNTY
(Hw, MS) - See Barber County

STANTON COUNTY
(Hw, MS) - See Barber County

STEVENS COUNTY
(Hw, MS) - See Barber County

SUPPER COUNTY
(Hw, MS) - See Barber County

THOMAS COUNTY
(Hw, MS) - See Allen County

TREGO COUNTY
(Hw, MS) - See Barber County

WAGAUSS COUNTY
(Hw, MS) - See Barber County

WALLACE COUNTY
(Hw, MS) - See Allen County

WASHINGTON COUNTY
(Hw, MS) - See Barber County

WICHITA COUNTY
(Hw, MS) - See Barber County

WILSON COUNTY
(Hw, MS) - See Allen County

WOODSON COUNTY
(Hw, MS) - See Allen County

WYANDOTTE COUNTY
(S, H, W, R) - See Johnson County
(D) - See Atchison County

KENTUCKY

ADAIR COUNTY
Decision #AR-4054 (H, Hw)
39 FR 39697 - 11/8/74
Mod. #1 - 39 FR 44907 - 12/27/74
Mod. #2 - 40 FR 3025 - 1/17/75

ALLEN COUNTY
Decision #AR-4053 - (H, Hw)
39 FR 39694 - 11/8/74
Mod. #1 - 40 FR 3085 - 1/17/75

ANDERSON COUNTY
Decision #AR-4055 (H, Hw)
39 FR 39700 - 11/8/74
Mod. #1 - 40 FR 3086 - 1/17/75

BALLARD COUNTY
Decision #AR-4013 (D)
39 FR 27397 - 7/26/74
(H, Hw) - See Allen County

BARREN COUNTY
(H, Hw) - See Adair County

BATH COUNTY
(H, Hw) - See Anderson County
Decision #AQ-4066 (R)
39 FR 4305 - 2/7/74

BELL COUNTY
(H, Hw) - See Adair County
Decision #AQ-4126 (B)
39 FR 22359 - 6/21/74

BOONE COUNTY
Decision #AR-4056 (H, Hw)
39 FR 39703 - 11/8/74
Mod. #1 - 40 FR 3082 - 1/17/74
Mod. #2 - 40 FR 4766 - 1/21/75
Decision #AR-4034 (B)
39 FR 33158 - 9/13/74
Mod. #1 - 40 FR 10377 - 3/7/75
Decision #L175-2036 (D)
40 FR 5023 - 2/7/75

BOURBON COUNTY
(Hw, H) - See Anderson County
(R) - See Bath County

BOTTS COUNTY
Decision #AR-4047 (B)
39 FR 39824 - 11/17/74
Mod. #1 - 40 FR 10878 - 3/7/75
Mod. #2 - 40 FR 19527 - 5/2/75
(H, Hw) - See Anderson County
(D) - See Boone County

BOYLE COUNTY
(H, Hw) - See Anderson County

BRACKEN COUNTY
(H, Hw) - See Anderson County
(D) - See Boone County

BREATHITT COUNTY
Decision #AQ-4076 (H, Hw)
39 FR 5987 - 2/15/74
Mod. #1 - 39 FR 5102 - 3/7/74

KENTUCKY (Cont'd.)

BRECKINRIDGE COUNTY
Decision #AR-183 (R)
38 FR 11276 - 5/4/74
(H, Hw) - See Anderson County
(D) - See Boone County

BULLITT COUNTY
(D) - See Boone County
(H, Hw) - See Anderson County
(R) - See Breckinridge County

BUTLER COUNTY
(H, Hw) - See Allen County

CALDWELL COUNTY
(H, Hw) - See Allen County

CALLAWAY COUNTY
(H, Hw) - See Allen County

CAMPBELL COUNTY
(S, H, D, Hw) - See Boone County

CARLISLE COUNTY
(D) - See Ballard County

CARRIAGE COUNTY
(H, Hw) - See Allen County

CARTER COUNTY
(H, Hw) - See Anderson County

CASEY COUNTY
(H, Hw) - See Adair County

CHRISTIAN COUNTY
(H, Hw) - See Allen County

CLARK COUNTY
(H, Hw) - See Anderson County
(R) - See Bath County

CLAY COUNTY
(H, Hw) - See Adair County

CLINTON COUNTY
(H, Hw) - See Adair County

CRITTENDEN COUNTY
(H, Hw) - See Allen County
(D) - See Boone County

CURELAND COUNTY
(H, Hw) - See Adair County

DAVIESS COUNTY
Decision #AQ-4122 (B)
39 FR 20281 - 6/7/74
(H, Hw) - See Allen County
(D) - See Boone County

EDMONSON COUNTY
(H, Hw) - See Allen County

ELLIOTT COUNTY
(H, Hw) - See Anderson County

ESTILL COUNTY
(H, Hw) - See Adair County

KENTUCKY (Cont'd)

KENTUCKY (Cont'd)

KENTUCKY (Cont'd)

KENTUCKY (Cont'd)

- FAYETTE COUNTY
 Decision #49-4018 (B)
 39 FR 28836 - 8/9/74
 Mod. #1 - 39 FR 32442 - 9/6/74
 Mod. #2 - 39 FR 40406 - 11/15/74
 Mod. #3 - 40 FR 10875 - 3/7/75
 (H, Hw) - See Anderson County
 (R) - See Bath County
 FLEMING COUNTY
 (H, Hw) - See Anderson County
 FLOYD COUNTY
 Decision #49-4002 (B)
 39 FR 24777 - 7/5/74
 (H, Hw) - See Adair County
 FRANKLIN COUNTY
 Decision #40-4101 (B)
 39 FR 14113 - 4/19/74
 Mod. #1 - 39 FR 30565 - 8/23/74
 Mod. #2 - 39 FR 38503 - 11/1/74
 Mod. #3 - 40 FR 10879 - 3/7/75
 (H, Hw) - See Anderson County
 FULTON COUNTY
 (D) - See Ballard County
 (H, Hw) - See Allen County
 GALLATIN COUNTY
 (H, Hw) - See Anderson County
 (D) - See Boone County
 GARRARD COUNTY
 (H, Hw) - See Adair County
 GRANT COUNTY
 (H, Hw) - See Anderson County
 GRAVES COUNTY
 (H, Hw) - See Allen County
 GRAYSON COUNTY
 (H, Hw) - See Anderson County
 GREENE COUNTY
 (H, Hw) - See Adair County
 GREENUP COUNTY
 (H, Hw) - See Anderson County
 (D) - See Boone County
 HANCOCK COUNTY
 (H, Hw) - See Allen County
 (D) - See Boone County
 HARRISON COUNTY
 (B) - See Jefferson County
 (H, Hw) - See Anderson County
 (R) - See Breckinridge County
 (D) - See Boone County
 HART COUNTY
 (H, Hw) - See Adair County
 (R) - See Bath County
 HENDERSON COUNTY
 Decision #49-4025 (B)
 39 FR 31796 - 8/30/74
 Mod. #1 - 40 FR 10877 - 3/7/75
 (H, Hw) - See Allen County
 (D) - See Boone County
 HENRY COUNTY
 (H, Hw) - See Anderson County
 HICKMAN COUNTY
 (D) - See Ballard County
 (H, Hw) - See Allen County
 HOPKINS COUNTY
 (H, Hw) - See Allen County
 JACKSON COUNTY
 (H, Hw) - See Adair County
 JEFFERSON COUNTY
 Decision #49-4016 (B)
 39 FR 28833 - 8/9/74
 Mod. #1 - 39 FR 38803 - 11/1/74
 Mod. #2 - 40 FR 10875 - 3/7/75
 (D) - See Boone County
 (R) - See Breckinridge County
 (H, Hw) - See Anderson County
 JESSAMINE COUNTY
 (H, Hw) - See Anderson County
 (R) - See Bath County
 JOHNSON COUNTY
 (H, Hw) - See Anderson County
 KENTON COUNTY
 (B, H, Hw, D) - See Boone County
 KNOTT COUNTY
 (H, Hw) - See Adair County
 KNOX COUNTY
 (H, Hw) - See Adair County
 LARUE COUNTY
 (H, Hw) - See Anderson County
 LAUREL COUNTY
 (H, Hw) - See Adair County
 LAWRENCE COUNTY
 (H, Hw) - See Johnson County
 LEE COUNTY
 (H, Hw) - See Adair County
 LESLIE COUNTY
 (H, Hw) - See Adair County
 LETCHER COUNTY
 (H, Hw) - See Adair County
 LETCHER COUNTY
 (H, Hw) - See Adair County
 LENTIS COUNTY
 (H, Hw) - See Anderson County
 (D) - See Boone County
 LINCOLN COUNTY
 (H, Hw) - See Adair County
 LIVINGSTON COUNTY
 (H, Hw) - See Allen County
 (D) - See Boone County
 LOGAN COUNTY
 (H, Hw) - See Allen County
 LYON COUNTY
 (H, Hw) - See Allen County
 MCCRACKEN COUNTY
 Decision #49-4014 (B)
 39 FR 28044 - 8/2/74
 Mod. #1 - 39 FR 30665 - 8/23/74
 Mod. #2 - 39 FR 40406 - 11/15/74
 Mod. #3 - 40 FR 10874 - 3/7/75
 Mod. #4 - 40 FR 15367 - 5/2/75
 (B) - See Boone County
 (H, Hw) - See Allen County
 MADISON COUNTY
 (H, Hw) - See Adair County
 (R) - See Bath County
 MAGOFFIN COUNTY
 (H, Hw) - See Adair County
 MARIOW COUNTY
 (H, Hw) - See Anderson County
 (R) - See Breckinridge County
 MASSACHUSETTS COUNTY
 (H, Hw) - See Allen County
 MARTIN COUNTY
 (H, Hw) - See Adair County
 MASON COUNTY
 (H, Hw) - See Anderson County
 (D) - See Boone County
 MEADE COUNTY
 (H, Hw) - See Anderson County
 (R) - See Jefferson County
 (R) - See Breckinridge County
 (D) - See Boone County
 MENIFEE COUNTY
 (H, Hw) - See Adair County
 MERCER COUNTY
 (H, Hw) - See Anderson County
 METCALFE COUNTY
 (H, Hw) - See Adair County
 MONROE COUNTY
 (H, Hw) - See Adair County
 MONTGOMERY COUNTY
 (H, Hw) - See Anderson County
 (R) - See Bath County
 MORGAN COUNTY
 (H, Hw) - See Anderson County
 MURKIN COUNTY
 (H, Hw) - See Allen County

KENTUCKY (Cont'd)

NELSON COUNTY
(H, Hw) - See Anderson County
(R) - See Breckinridge County
NICHOLAS COUNTY
(H, Hw) - See Anderson County
OHIO COUNTY
(H, Hw) - See Allen County
OLDHAM COUNTY
(H, Hw) - See Anderson County
(R) - See Breckinridge County
(D) - See Boone County
OWEN COUNTY
(H, Hw) - See Anderson County
OWSLEY COUNTY
(H, Hw) - See Adair County
PENDLETON COUNTY
(B)(D) - See Boone County
PERRY COUNTY
(H, Hw) - See Adair County
PIKE COUNTY
(B) - See Floyd County
(H, Hw) - See Adair County
PONELL COUNTY
(H, Hw) - See Adair County
PULASKI COUNTY
(H, Hw) - See Adair County
ROBERTSON COUNTY
(H, Hw) - See Anderson County
ROCKCASTLE COUNTY
(H, Hw) - See Adair County
ROMAN COUNTY
(H, Hw) - See Anderson County
RUSSELL COUNTY
(H, Hw) - See Adair County
SCOTT COUNTY
(H, Hw) - See Anderson County
(R) - See Bath County
SHELBY COUNTY
(H, Hw) - See Anderson County
(R) - See Breckinridge County

KENTUCKY (Cont'd)

SIMPSON COUNTY
(H, Hw) - See Allen County
SPENCER COUNTY
(H, Hw) - See Anderson County
(R) - See Breckinridge County
TAYLOR COUNTY
(H, Hw) - See Allen County
TODD COUNTY
(H, Hw) - See Allen County
TRIGGS COUNTY
(H, Hw) - See Allen County
TRIMBLE COUNTY
(H, Hw) - See Anderson County
(D) - See Boone County
UNION COUNTY
(H, Hw) - See Allen County
(D) - See Boone County
WARREN COUNTY
(D) - See Boone County
Decision #AR-4023 (B)
Mod. #1 - 40 FR 10876 - 3/7/75
WASHINGTON COUNTY
(H, Hw) - See Anderson County
(R) - See Breckinridge County
WAYNE COUNTY
(H, Hw) - See Adair County
WEBSTER COUNTY
(H, Hw) - See Allen County
WHITLEY COUNTY
(H, Hw) - See Adair County
WOLFE COUNTY
(H, Hw) - See Adair County
WOODFORD COUNTY
(H, Hw) - See Anderson County
(R) - See Bath County

LOUISIANA

STATEWIDE
Decision #AR-4013 (D)
39 FR 27397 - 7/26/74
Decision #LA75-4033 (B, Hw, R)
40 FR 3898 - 1/24/75
Mod. #1 - 40 FR 6907 - 2/14/75
Mod. #2 - 40 FR 7775 - 2/21/75
Mod. #3 - 40 FR 8695 - 2/26/75
Mod. #4 - 40 FR 12955 - 3/21/75
Mod. #5 - 40 FR 18277 - 4/25/75
ACADIA PARISH
(B, D, Hw) - See Statewide
Decision #AR-5032 (F)
40 FR 8705 - 2/28/75
ALLEN PARISH
(F) - See Acadia Parish
(B, D, Hw) - See Statewide
ASCENSION PARISH
(F) - See Acadia Parish
(B, D, Hw) - See Statewide
ASSUMPTION PARISH
(F) - See Acadia Parish
(B, D, Hw) - See Statewide
BOYELLES PARISH
(F) - See Acadia Parish
(B, D, Hw) - See Statewide
BEAUREGARD PARISH
(F) - See Acadia Parish
(B, D, Hw) - See Statewide
BIENVILLE PARISH
(F) - See Acadia Parish
(B, D, Hw) - See Statewide
BOSSIER PARISH
(F) - See Acadia Parish
(B, D, Hw, R) - See Statewide
CAHO PARISH
(B, D, Hw, R) - See Statewide
CALCASIEU PARISH
(F) - See Acadia Parish
(B, D, Hw, R) - See Statewide

LOUISIANA (Cont'd)

CALDWELL PARISH
(F) - See Acadia Parish
(B, D, Hw) - See Statewide
CAMERON PARISH
(F) - See Acadia Parish
(B, D, Hw) - See Statewide
CATAMACHA PARISH
(F) - See Acadia Parish
(B, D, Hw) - See Statewide
CLAIBORNE PARISH
(F) - See Acadia Parish
(B, D, Hw) - See Statewide
CONCORDIA PARISH
(F) - See Acadia Parish
(B, D, Hw) - See Statewide
DE SOTO PARISH
(F) - See Acadia Parish
(B, D, Hw) - See Statewide
EAST BATON ROUGE PARISH
(B, D, Hw) - See Statewide
EAST CARROLL PARISH
(F) - See Acadia Parish
(B, D, Hw) - See Statewide
EAST FELICIAN PARISH
(F) - See Acadia Parish
(B, D, Hw) - See Statewide
EVANGELINE PARISH
(F) - See Acadia Parish
(B, D, Hw) - See Statewide
FRANKLIN PARISH
(F) - See Acadia Parish
(B, D, Hw) - See Statewide
GRANT PARISH
(F) - See Acadia Parish
(B, D, Hw) - See Statewide
IBERIA PARISH
(F) - See Acadia Parish
(B, D, Hw) - See Statewide

LOUISIANA (Cont'd)

IBERVILLE PARISH
(F) - See Acadia Parish
(B,D,Hw) - See Statewide
JACKSON PARISH
(F) - See Acadia Parish
(B,D,Hw) - See Statewide
JEFFERSON PARISH
Decision #48-3 (R)
39 FR 25777 - 7/12/74
(F) - See Acadia Parish
(B,D,Hw) - See Statewide
JEFFERSON DAVIS PARISH
(F) - See Acadia Parish
(B,D,Hw) - See Statewide
LAFAYETTE PARISH
(F) - See Acadia Parish
(B,D,Hw) - See Statewide
LAFORCHE PARISH
(F) - See Acadia Parish
(B,D,Hw) - See Statewide
LA SALLE PARISH
(F) - See Acadia Parish
(B,D,Hw) - See Statewide
LINCOLN PARISH
(F) - See Acadia Parish
(B,D,Hw) - See Statewide
LIVINGSTON PARISH
(F) - See Acadia Parish
(B,D,Hw) - See Statewide
MADISON PARISH
(F) - See Acadia Parish
(B,D,Hw) - See Statewide
MOREHOUSE PARISH
(F) - See Acadia Parish
(B,D,Hw) - See Statewide
NATCHITOCHES PARISH
(F) - See Acadia Parish
(B,D,Hw) - See Statewide
ORLEANS PARISH
(F) - See Acadia Parish
(B,D,Hw) - See Statewide
QUACHITA PARISH
(R) - See Jefferson Parish
Decision #40-116 (R)
39 FR 22397 - 6/21/74
(B,D,Hw) - See Statewide

LOUISIANA (Cont'd)

PLAQUEMINES PARISH
(F) - See Acadia Parish
(B,D,Hw) - See Statewide
POINTE COUPEE PARISH
(F) - See Acadia Parish
(B,D,Hw) - See Statewide
RAPIDES PARISH
(B,D,Hw) - See Statewide
RED RIVER PARISH
(F) - See Acadia Parish
(B,D,Hw) - See Statewide
RICHLAND PARISH
(F) - See Acadia Parish
(B,D,Hw) - See Statewide
SABINE PARISH
(F) - See Acadia Parish
(B,D,Hw) - See Statewide
ST. BERNARD PARISH
(F) - See Acadia Parish
(B,D,Hw) - See Statewide
(R) - See Jefferson Parish
ST. CHARLES PARISH
(F) - See Acadia Parish
(B,D,Hw) - See Statewide
ST. HELENA PARISH
(F) - See Acadia Parish
(B,D,Hw) - See Statewide
ST. JAMES PARISH
(F) - See Acadia Parish
(B,D,Hw) - See Statewide
ST. JOHN THE BAPTIST PARISH
(F) - See Acadia Parish
(B,D,Hw) - See Statewide
ST. LANDRY PARISH
(F) - See Acadia Parish
(B,D,Hw) - See Statewide
ST. MARTIN PARISH
(F) - See Acadia Parish
(B,D,Hw) - See Statewide
ST. MARY PARISH
(F) - See Acadia Parish
(B,D) - See Statewide

LOUISIANA (Cont'd)

ST. TAMMANY PARISH
(F) - See Acadia Parish
(B,D,Hw) - See Statewide
TANGIPAHOLA PARISH
(F) - See Acadia Parish
(B,D,Hw) - See Statewide
TENSAS PARISH
(F) - See Acadia Parish
(B,D,Hw) - See Statewide
TERREBOINE PARISH
(F) - See Acadia Parish
(B,D,Hw) - See Statewide
UNION PARISH
(F) - See Acadia Parish
(B,D,Hw) - See Statewide
VIENTHON COUNTY
(F) - See Acadia Parish
(B,D,Hw) - See Statewide
VERNON PARISH
(F) - See Acadia Parish
(B,D,Hw) - See Statewide
WASHINGTON PARISH
(F) - See Acadia Parish
(B,D,Hw) - See Statewide
WEBSTER PARISH
(F) - See Acadia Parish
(B,D,Hw) - See Statewide
WEST BATON ROUGE PARISH
(F) - See Acadia Parish
(B,D,Hw) - See Statewide
WEST CARROLL PARISH
(F) - See Acadia Parish
(B,D,Hw) - See Statewide
WEST FELICIANA PARISH
(F) - See Acadia Parish
(B,D,Hw) - See Statewide
MINN PARISH
(F) - See Acadia Parish
(B,D,Hw) - See Statewide

MAINE

ANDROSCOGGIN COUNTY
None
AROSTOOK COUNTY
None
CUMBERLAND COUNTY
Decision #C775-S045 (D)
40 FR 15294 - 4/14/75
FRANKLIN COUNTY
None
HANCOCK COUNTY
(D) - See Cumberland County
KENNEBEC COUNTY
None
KNOX COUNTY
(D) - See Cumberland County
LINCOLN COUNTY
(D) - See Cumberland County
OXFORD COUNTY
None
PENOBSCOT COUNTY
None
PISCATAQUIS COUNTY
None
SAGadahoc COUNTY
(D) - See Cumberland County
SOMERSET COUNTY
None
WALDO COUNTY
(D) - See Cumberland County
WASHINGTON COUNTY
(D) - See Cumberland County
YORK COUNTY
(D) - See Cumberland County

MARYLAND

- ALLEGANY COUNTY
Decision #A8-2091 (B)
39 FR 41651 - 11/29/74
Mod. #1 - 40 FR 928 - 1/3/75
Decision #A8-2094 (B,H)
39 FR 41125 - 11/22/74
ANNE ARUNDEL COUNTY
Decision #D75-3009 (D)
40 FR 3094 - 1/17/75
Mod. #1 - 40 FR 14204 - 3/28/75
Decision #A8-2086 (B,H)
39 FR 41127 - 11/22/74
Mod. #1 - 40 FR 928 - 1/3/75
Mod. #2 - 40 FR 14204 - 3/28/75
Mod. #3 - 40 FR 17460 - 4/18/75
Decision #A8-2085 (H)
39 FR 41100 - 11/22/74
BALTIMORE CITY
Decision #A8-2053 (H)
39 FR 34905 - 9/27/74
Mod. #1 - 40 FR 928 - 1/3/75
Mod. #2 - 40 FR 16479 - 4/11/75
Decision #A8-2093 (B,H)
39 FR 44162 - 12/20/74
Mod. #1 - 40 FR 928 - 1/3/75
Mod. #2 - 40 FR 14204 - 3/28/75
Mod. #3 - 40 FR 17461 - 4/18/75
Decision #A8-2072 (R)
39 FR 8122 - 3/1/74
Decision #C75-5045 (D)
40 FR 15294 - 4/4/75
BALTIMORE COUNTY
Decision #A8-2052 (H)
39 FR 34904 - 9/27/74
[B,H,R] - See Baltimore City
CALVERT COUNTY
[D,H] - See Anne Arundel County
CAROLINE COUNTY
[H] - See Anne Arundel County
GARBOLL COUNTY
[H] - See Anne Arundel County
CECIL COUNTY
[R] - See Baltimore County
CHARLES COUNTY
[D,H] - See Anne Arundel County
DORCHESTER COUNTY
[D] - See Anne Arundel County
FREDERICK COUNTY
Decision #A8-2076 (R)
39 FR 10069 - 3/15/74
Mod. #1 - 40 FR 15272 - 4/4/75
[H] - See Anne Arundel County
GARBETT COUNTY
[B,H,H] - See Allegany County
HARFORD COUNTY
[B,H,R] - See Baltimore City
[B,H] - See Anne Arundel County

MARYLAND (Cont'd.)

- HOWARD COUNTY
[B,H] - See Baltimore City
[R] - See Baltimore County
[H] - See Anne Arundel County
KENT COUNTY
[D] - See Anne Arundel County
MONTGOMERY COUNTY
Decision #D75-3003 (B)
40 FR 937 - 1/3/75
[H] - See Anne Arundel County
PRINCE GEORGES COUNTY
[B] - See Montgomery County
[D,H] - See Anne Arundel County
QUEEN ANNES COUNTY
[D] - See Anne Arundel County
ST. MARYS COUNTY
[D,H] - See Anne Arundel County
SOMERSET COUNTY
[D] - See Anne Arundel County
TALBOT COUNTY
[D] - See Anne Arundel County
WASHINGTON COUNTY
[H] - See Anne Arundel County
WICOMICO COUNTY
Decision #A8-2075 (B)
39 FR 10068 - 3/15/74
Mod. #1 - 39 FR 14949 - 4/26/74
[D] - See Anne Arundel County
WORCESTER COUNTY
[D] - See Baltimore County
Decision #A8-2012 (B)
39 FR 27991 - 8/2/74

MASSACHUSETTS

- BARNSTABLE COUNTY
Decision #A75-2002 (B,H,Hw, & Marine)
40 FR 3095 - 1/17/75
Mod. #1 - 40 FR 7776 - 2/21/75
Decision #C75-5045 (D)
40 FR 15294 - 4/4/75
BERKSHIRE COUNTY
Decision #A75-2003 (B,H,Hw)
40 FR 3099 - 1/17/75
Mod. #1 - 40 FR 6908 - 2/21/75
BRISTOL COUNTY
Decision #A75-2071 (B,H,Hw,R, & Marine)
40 FR 19335 - 5/2/75
[D] - See Barnstable County
DUXES COUNTY
[D] - See Barnstable County
ESSEX COUNTY
Decision #A75-2072 (B,H,Hw, & Marine)
40 FR 18305 - 4/25/75
[D] - See Barnstable County
FRANKLIN COUNTY
Decision #A75-2006 (B,H,Hw)
40 FR 3112 - 1/17/75
Mod. #1 - 40 FR 7777 - 2/21/75
Mod. #2 - 40 FR 18277 - 4/25/75
HAMPSHIRE COUNTY
Decision #A75-2053 (B,H,Hw)
40 FR 12051 - 3/14/75
Mod. #1 - 40 FR 19327 - 5/2/75
Decision #A75-2054 (R)
40 FR 15297 - 4/4/75
HAMPSHIRE COUNTY
Decision #A75-2008 (B,H,Hw)
40 FR 3121 - 1/17/75
Mod. #1 - 40 FR 7778 - 2/21/75
Mod. #2 - 40 FR 19327 - 5/2/75
MIDDLESEX COUNTY
Decision #A75-2073 (B,H,Hw,R, & Marine)
40 FR 19340 - 5/2/75
[D] - See Barnstable County
NANTUCKET COUNTY
[D] - See Barnstable County
NORFOLK COUNTY
Decision #A75-2010 (B,H,Hw,R)
40 FR 3123 - 1/17/75
Mod. #1 - 40 FR 7775 - 2/21/75
[D] - See Barnstable County

MASSACHUSETTS

- PLYMOUTH COUNTY
Decision #A75-2011 (B,Hw,Hw,R)
40 FR 3133 - 1/17/75
Mod. #1 - 40 FR 7779 - 2/21/75
SUFFOLK COUNTY
Decision #A75-2012 (B,H,Hw,D,R,
& Marine)
40 FR 3137 - 1/17/75
Mod. #1 - 40 FR 7780 - 2/21/75
WORCESTER COUNTY
Decision #A75-2013 (B,H,Hw,R)
40 FR 3141 - 1/17/75
Mod. #1 - 40 FR 7780 - 2/21/75

MICHIGAN

STATEWIDE

- Decision #AR-3141 (H, M, MS)
39 FR 30765 - 8/23/74
Mod. #1 - 39 FR 41109 - 11/22/74
Mod. #2 - 39 FR 41658 - 11/29/74
Mod. #3 - 40 FR 10079 - 3/7/75
Mod. #4 - 40 FR 16479 - 4/11/75
- ALCONA COUNTY
Decision #H75-5051 (D)
40 FR 16629 - 4/11/75
(H, MS) - See Statewide
(B, H) - See Alpena County
- ALGER COUNTY
Decision #AR-3177 (B, H)
39 FR 44164 - 12/20/74
Mod. #1 - 40 FR 529 - 1/3/75
Mod. #2 - 40 FR 6895 - 2/26/75
(D) - See Alcona County
(H, MS) - See Statewide
- ALLEGAN COUNTY
Decision #AS-3102 (B, H)
39 FR 29764 - 8/16/74
Mod. #1 - 39 FR 33152 - 9/13/74
Mod. #2 - 39 FR 33911 - 9/20/74
Mod. #3 - 39 FR 34920 - 9/27/74
Mod. #4 - 40 FR 7781 - 2/21/75
Decision #AR-358 (R)
36 FR 15891 - 8/19/71
(H, MS) - See Statewide
(D) - See Alcona County
- ALPENA COUNTY
Decision #AR-3150 (B, H)
39 FR 36759 - 10/11/74
Mod. #1 - 40 FR 7795 - 2/21/75
Mod. #2 - 40 FR 15272 - 4/4/75
(H, MS) - See Statewide
- ANTRIN COUNTY
(D) - See Alcona County
(H, MS) - See Statewide
- AREMAC COUNTY
(D) - See Alcona County
(H, MS) - See Statewide
- BADAGA COUNTY
(B, H) - See Alger County
(D) - See Alcona County
(H, MS) - See Statewide
- BARRY COUNTY
(H, MS) - See Statewide
- BAY COUNTY
(H, MS) - See Statewide
(D) - See Alcona County
(H, MS) - See Statewide
- BENZIE COUNTY
(D) - See Alcona County
(H, MS) - See Statewide

MICHIGAN (Cont'd.)

- BERRIEN COUNTY
Decision #AR-3164 (B, H)
39 FR 37338 - 10/18/74
Mod. #1 - 40 FR 7796 - 2/21/75
Decision #AR-359 (R)
36 FR 15892 - 8/16/71
(D) - See Alcona County
(H, MS) - See Statewide
- BRANCH COUNTY
Decision #AR-401 (R)
36 FR 15894 - 8/16/71
(H, MS) - See Statewide
- CALHOUN COUNTY
Decision #AR-3105 (B, H)
39 FR 29797 - 8/16/74
Mod. #1 - 39 FR 33154 - 9/13/74
Mod. #2 - 39 FR 33912 - 9/20/74
Mod. #3 - 39 FR 34922 - 9/27/74
Decision #AR-400 (R)
36 FR 15892 - 8/16/71
(H, MS) - See Statewide
- CASS COUNTY
(H, MS) - See Statewide
(R) - See Branch County
- CHARLEVOIX COUNTY
Decision #H75-2049 (B, H)
40 FR 17508 - 4/18/75
(D) - See Alcona County
(H, MS) - See Statewide
- CHEBOYGAN COUNTY
(D) - See Alcona County
(H, MS) - See Statewide
- CHIPPENAW COUNTY
(H, MS) - See Statewide
(B, H) - See Alger County
(D) - See Alcona County
(H, MS) - See Statewide
- CLARE COUNTY
(H, MS) - See Statewide
- CLINTON COUNTY
(H, MS) - See Statewide
- CRANFORD COUNTY
(H, MS) - See Statewide

MICHIGAN (Cont'd.)

- DELTA COUNTY
(D) - See Alcona County
(H, MS) - See Statewide
- DICKINSON COUNTY
(H, MS) - See Statewide
- EATON COUNTY
Decision #H75-8041 (R)
36 FR 24027 - 12/17/71
(H, MS) - See Statewide
- EMMET COUNTY
(B, H) - See Charlevoix County
(D) - See Alcona County
(H, MS) - See Statewide
- GENESEE COUNTY
Decision #H75-2061 (B, H)
40 FR 17511 - 4/18/75
Mod. #1 - 40 FR 19326 - 5/2/75
Decision #H75-2062 (R)
40 FR 17515 - 4/18/75
(H, MS) - See Statewide
- GLADWIN COUNTY
(H, MS) - See Statewide
(H, MS) - See Statewide
- GOSEBIC COUNTY
(B, H) - See Alger County
(D) - See Alcona County
(H, MS) - See Statewide
- GRAND TRAVERSE COUNTY
(B, H) - See Charlevoix County
(D) - See Alcona County
(H, MS) - See Statewide
- GRATIOT COUNTY
(H, MS) - See Statewide
(H, MS) - See Statewide
- HILL SCALE COUNTY
(H, MS) - See Statewide
- Houghton County
(B, H) - See Alger County
(D) - See Alcona County
(H, MS) - See Statewide
- HURON COUNTY
(B, H) - See Genesee County
(D) - See Alcona County
(H, MS) - See Statewide
- INGHAM COUNTY
Decision #AR-3113 (B, H)
39 FR 29820 - 8/16/74
Mod. #1 - 39 FR 33154 - 9/13/74
Mod. #2 - 39 FR 33914 - 9/20/74
Mod. #3 - 39 FR 35910 - 10/4/74
Mod. #4 - 40 FR 7787 - 2/21/75
(H, MS) - See Statewide
(R) - See Eaton County

MICHIGAN (Cont'd.)

- IONIA COUNTY
(H, MS) - See Statewide
- IOSCOD COUNTY
(H, MS) - See Statewide
(D) - See Alcona County
- IRON COUNTY
(H, MS) - See Statewide
- ISABELLA COUNTY
(H, MS) - See Statewide
- JACKSON COUNTY
(H, MS) - See Statewide
- KALAMAZOO COUNTY
Decision #AR-3114 (B, H)
39 FR 29823 - 8/16/74
Mod. #1 - 39 FR 33914 - 9/13/74
Mod. #2 - 39 FR 34920 - 10/4/74
Mod. #3 - 40 FR 7788 - 2/21/75
(H, MS) - See Statewide
(R) - See Branch County
- KALAMASKA COUNTY
(H, MS) - See Statewide
- KENT COUNTY
Decision #AR-3054 (B, H)
39 FR 25858 - 7/12/74
Mod. #1 - 39 FR 33155 - 9/13/74
Mod. #2 - 39 FR 34920 - 9/27/74
Mod. #3 - 40 FR 3862 - 1/24/75
Decision #AR-402 (R)
36 FR 15895 - 8/16/71
(H, MS) - See Statewide
- KENOSHA COUNTY
(H, MS) - See Statewide
(B, H) - See Alger County
(H, MS) - See Statewide
- LACE COUNTY
(H, MS) - See Statewide
- LAPEER COUNTY
(B, H) - See Genesee County
(H, MS) - See Statewide
- LELAND COUNTY
(B, H) - See Grand Traverse County
(H, MS) - See Statewide
- LAWANEE COUNTY
(H, MS) - See Statewide
- LIVINGSTON COUNTY
(H, MS) - See Statewide
- LOCE COUNTY
(D) - See Alcona County
(H, MS) - See Statewide
- MCKINAC COUNTY
(B, H) - See Alger County
(H, MS) - See Statewide
- MADONNE COUNTY
(B, H, R) - See Mastenaw County
(D) - See Alcona County
(H, MS) - See Statewide

MICHIGAN (Cont'd.)

MANISTEE COUNTY
(D) - See Alcona County
(H, W, S) - See Statewide
MARQUETTE COUNTY
Decision #AP-3178 (R)
35 FR 44166 - 12/20/74
(B, H) - See Alpena County
(D) - See Alcona County
(H, W, S) - See Statewide
MASON COUNTY
(B, H) - See Charlevoix County
(D) - See Alcona County
MECOSTA COUNTY
(H, W) - See Statewide
(H, W, S) - See Statewide
MENDOTA COUNTY
(D) - See Alcona County
(H, W, S) - See Statewide
MIDLAND COUNTY
(H, W, S) - See Statewide
MISSAUKEE COUNTY
(H, W, S) - See Statewide
MONROE COUNTY
(D) - See Alcona County
(H, W, S) - See Statewide
MONTICALLY COUNTY
(H, W, S) - See Statewide
(D) - See Kent County
MONTMORENCY COUNTY
(B, H) - See Alpena County
(H, W, S) - See Statewide
MUSKEGON COUNTY
Decision #AP-3117 (B, H)
35 FR 29833 - 8/15/74
Mod. #1 - 35 FR 33915 - 9/20/74
Mod. #2 - 35 FR 33912 - 10/4/74
Mod. #3 - 35 FR 36216 - 10/11/74
Mod. #4 - 40 FR 7790 - 2/21/75
Decision #NI-403 (R)
36 FR 15896 - 8/16/71
(D) - See Alcona County
(H, W, S) - See Statewide
NEWAYGO COUNTY
(H, W, S) - See Statewide
OAKLAND COUNTY
(B, H, R) - See Macomb County
(D) - See Alcona County
(H, W, S) - See Statewide
OCEANA COUNTY
(B, H, R) - See Muskegon County
(D) - See Alcona County
(H, W, S) - See Statewide
OGEWEE COUNTY
(H, W, S) - See Statewide
ONTONAGON COUNTY
(B, H) - See Baraga County
(D) - See Alcona County
(H, W, S) - See Statewide

MICHIGAN (Cont'd.)

OSCEOLA COUNTY
(H, W, S) - See Statewide
OSHTON COUNTY
(B, H) - See Alpena County
(H, W, S) - See Statewide
OTSEGO COUNTY
(H, W, S) - See Statewide
OTTAWA COUNTY
(D) - See Alcona County
(H, W, S) - See Statewide
PRESQUE ISLE COUNTY
(B, H) - See Alpena County
(D) - See Alcona County
(H, W, S) - See Statewide
ROSCONCHON COUNTY
(H, W, S) - See Statewide
SAGINAW COUNTY
(B, H, R) - See Genesee County
(H, W, S) - See Statewide
SAINT CLAIR COUNTY
(B, H, R) - See Genesee County
(H, W, S) - See Statewide
SAINT JOSEPH COUNTY
(H, W, S) - See Statewide
SARASOT COUNTY
(R) - See Branch County
SAMLAC COUNTY
(B, H) - See Saint Clair County
(D) - See Alcona County
(H, W, S) - See Statewide
SCHOOLCRAFT COUNTY
(D) - See Alcona County
(H, W, S) - See Statewide
SHTAMASSEE COUNTY
(B, H) - See Genesee County
(H, W, S) - See Statewide
TUSCULA COUNTY
(B, H) - See Saginaw County
(D) - See Alcona County
(H, W, S) - See Statewide
VAN BUREN COUNTY
(D) - See Alcona County
(H, W, S) - See Statewide
WASHINGTON COUNTY
Decision #IT75-2063 (B, H, R)
40 FR 19345 - 5/27/75
WAYNE COUNTY
(B, H, R) - See Macomb County
(D) - See Alcona County
(H, W, S) - See Statewide
WEXFORD COUNTY
(H, W, S) - See Statewide

MINNESOTA

AITKIN COUNTY
Decision #IT75-2068 (H, W)
40 FR 19349 - 5/27/75
ANOKA COUNTY
Decision #AP-3166 (B, R)
35 FR 39705 - 11/8/74
Mod. #1 - 40 FR 12007 - 3/14/75
Mod. #2 - 40 FR 17461 - 4/18/75
(B, H) - See Aitkin County
BECKER COUNTY
Decision #AQ-3104 (H, W)
35 FR 9389 - 3/8/74
BELTRAMI COUNTY
Decision #AP-3147 (H, W)
35 FR 36704 - 10/11/74
BENTON COUNTY
(H, W) - See Aitkin County
BIG STONE COUNTY
Decision #AQ-3105 (H, W)
35 FR 9370 - 3/8/74
BLUE EARTH COUNTY
(B, H) - See Aitkin County
BROWN COUNTY
None
CARLTON COUNTY
(H, W) - See Aitkin County
CARVER COUNTY
(B, R) - See Anoka County
(H, W) - See Aitkin County
CASS COUNTY
(H, W) - See Becker County
CHIPPEWA COUNTY
(H, W) - See Big Stone County
CHISAGO COUNTY
(H, W) - See Aitkin County
CLAY COUNTY
(H, W) - See Becker County
CLEAR WATER COUNTY
(H, W) - See Beltrami County
COOK COUNTY
Decision #IT75-5051 (D)
40 FR 16529 - 4/11/75
(H, W) - See Aitkin County
COTTONWOOD COUNTY
Decision #AQ-3134 (H, W)
35 FR 935 - 3/8/74
CROW WING COUNTY
(H, W) - See Aitkin County
DAKOTA COUNTY
(B, R) - See Anoka County
(H, W) - See Aitkin County

MINNESOTA (Cont'd.)

DODGE COUNTY
(H, W) - See Aitkin County
DOUGLAS COUNTY
(H, W) - See Big Stone County
FAIRBULT COUNTY
(H, W) - See Aitkin County
FILLMORE COUNTY
(H, W) - See Aitkin County
FREDERICK COUNTY
(H, W) - See Aitkin County
GOODHUE COUNTY
(H, W) - See Aitkin County
GRANT COUNTY
(H, W) - See Big Stone County
HENNEPIN COUNTY
(B, R) - See Anoka County
(H, W) - See Aitkin County
HOUSTON COUNTY
(H, W) - See Aitkin County
HUBBARD COUNTY
(H, W) - See Becker County
ISANTI COUNTY
(H, W) - See Aitkin County
ITASCA COUNTY
(H, W) - See Aitkin County
JACKSON COUNTY
(H, W) - See Aitkin County
KANABEC COUNTY
(H, W) - See Aitkin County
KANDIYOH COUNTY
(H, W) - See Big Stone County
KITTSON COUNTY
(H, W) - See Beltrami County
KOOCHICUNG COUNTY
(H, W) - See Aitkin County
LAC QUI PARLE COUNTY
(H, W) - See Big Stone County
LAKE COUNTY
(H, W) - See Aitkin County
(D) - See Cook County
LAKE OF THE WOODS COUNTY
(H, W) - See Beltrami County
LE SUEUR COUNTY
(H, W) - See Aitkin County
LINCOLN COUNTY
(H, W) - See Cottonwood County

MINNESOTA (Cont'd.)

LYON COUNTY
(H, W) - See Cottonwood County

MCLEOD COUNTY
(H, W) - See Aitkin County

MANUMON COUNTY
(H, W) - See Beltrami County

MARSHALL COUNTY
(H, W) - See Beltrami County

MARTIN COUNTY
(H, W) - See Aitkin County

PEEKER COUNTY
(H, W) - See Aitkin County

MILLE LACS COUNTY
(H, W) - See Aitkin County

MORRISON COUNTY
(H, W) - See Aitkin County

MOWER COUNTY
(H, W) - See Aitkin County

MURRAY COUNTY
(H, W) - See Cottonwood County

NICOLLET COUNTY
(H, W) - See Aitkin County

NOBLES COUNTY
(H, W) - See Aitkin County

NORMAN COUNTY
(H, W) - See Beltrami County

OLMSTED COUNTY
(H, W) - See Beltrami County

40 FR 17454 - 4/10/75

OTTER TAIL COUNTY
(H, W) - See Aitkin County

PENNINGTON COUNTY
(H, W) - See Beltrami County

PINE COUNTY
(H, W) - See Aitkin County

PIPESTONE COUNTY
(H, W) - See Cottonwood County

POLK COUNTY
(H, W) - See Beltrami County

POPE COUNTY
(H, W) - See Big Stone County

RANDY COUNTY
(B, R) - See Anoka County

RED LAKE COUNTY
(H, W) - See Beltrami County

REDWOOD COUNTY
(H, W) - See Cottonwood County

RENVILLE COUNTY
None

RICE COUNTY
(H, W) - See Aitkin County

ROCK COUNTY
(H, W) - See Aitkin County

MINNESOTA (Cont'd.)

ROSEAU COUNTY
(H, W) - See Beltrami County

SALAT LOUIS COUNTY
(D) - See Cook County

(H, W) - See Aitkin County

Decision #H/75-2042 (B, R)

40 FR 2037 - 2/21/75

Mod. #1 - 40 FR 12007 - 3/14/75

Mod. #2 - 40 FR 10278 - 4/25/75

SCOTT COUNTY
(H, W) - See Aitkin County

(B, R) - See Anoka County

SHERBOURNE COUNTY
(H, W) - See Aitkin County

SIBLEY COUNTY
(H, W) - See Aitkin County

STEARNS COUNTY
(H, W) - See Aitkin County

Decision #H/75-2059 (B, R)

40 FR 16472 - 4/11/75

Mod. #1 - 40 FR 18279 - 4/25/75

(H, W) - See Aitkin County

STEELE COUNTY
(H, W) - See Aitkin County

STEVENS COUNTY
(H, W) - See Big Stone County

SWIFT COUNTY
(H, W) - See Big Stone County

TODD COUNTY
(H, W) - See Becker County

TRaverse COUNTY
(H, W) - See Big Stone County

WABASHA COUNTY
(H, W) - See Aitkin County

WADSWORTH COUNTY
(H, W) - See Becker County

WASECA COUNTY
(H, W) - See Aitkin County

WASHINGTON COUNTY
(B, R) - See Anoka County

(H, W) - See Aitkin County

WATONWICH COUNTY
None

WILKIN COUNTY
(H, W) - See Becker County

WINONA COUNTY
(H, W) - See Aitkin County

WRIGHT COUNTY
(H, W) - See Aitkin County

YELLOW MEDICINE COUNTY
(H, W) - See Cottonwood County

MISSISSIPPI

STATEWIDE
Decision #A/2-4013 (D)

39 FR 27397 - 7/26/74

Decision #H/75-5032 (F)

40 FR 8706 - 2/20/75

Decision #H/2-4066 (H, W)

39 FR 44167 - 12/20/74

Mod. #1 - 40 FR 3066 - 1/17/75

Mod. #2 - 40 FR 5977 - 2/7/75

ADAMS COUNTY
(D, F, H, W) - See Statewide

ALCOURN COUNTY
(D, F, H, W) - See Statewide

AMITE COUNTY
(D, F, H, W) - See Statewide

ATTALA COUNTY
(D, F, H, W) - See Statewide

BENTON COUNTY
(D, F, H, W) - See Statewide

BOLIVAR COUNTY
(D, F, H, W) - See Statewide

CALHOUN COUNTY
(D, F, H, W) - See Statewide

CARROLL COUNTY
(D, F, H, W) - See Statewide

CHICKASAW COUNTY
(D, F, H, W) - See Statewide

CHOCTAW COUNTY
(D, F, H, W) - See Statewide

CLALBONE COUNTY
(D, F, H, W) - See Statewide

CLARKE COUNTY
(D, F, H, W) - See Statewide

MISSISSIPPI (Cont'd.)

CLAY COUNTY
(H, W) - See Statewide

COAHOMA COUNTY
Decision #A/2-4024 (B)

39 FR 31771 - 8/30/74

Mod. #1 - 40 FR 3086 - 1/17/75

(D, F, H, W) - See Statewide

COPPIN COUNTY
Decision #A/2-4120 (B)

39 FR 20302 - 6/7/74

(D, F, H, W) - See Statewide

COVINGTON COUNTY
(D, H, W) - See Statewide

DE SOTO COUNTY
(D, F, H, W) - See Statewide

FOREST COUNTY
Decision #H/75-1007 (R)

40 FR 3147 - 1/17/75

Decision #H/75-1020 (B)

40 FR 5966 - 2/7/75

Mod. #1 - 40 FR 7798 - 2/21/75

(D, F, H, W) - See Statewide

(H, W) - See Statewide

FRANKLIN COUNTY
(D, F, H, W) - See Statewide

GEORGE COUNTY
Decision #A/2-4174 (R)

38 FR 10584 - 4/27/74

Mod. #1 - 39 FR 8102 - 3/17/74

(D, F, H, W) - See Statewide

GREENE COUNTY
(D, F, H, W) - See Statewide

GRENADA COUNTY
(D, F, H, W) - See Statewide

HANDOCK COUNTY
Decision #H/75-1013 (B, H, W, W)

40 FR 4854 - 1/31/75

(D, F, H, W) - See Statewide

HARRISON COUNTY
(B, H, W, W) - See Hancock County

(R) - See George County

(D, F) - See Statewide

MISSOURI (Cont'd)

MADISON COUNTY (Hw) - See Statewide
 MARIETTA COUNTY (Hw) - See Statewide
 MARIETTA COUNTY (Hw) - See Statewide
 MILLER COUNTY (Hw) - See Statewide
 MISSISSIPPI COUNTY (Hw) - See Statewide
 (D) - See Cape Girardeau County
 (Hw) - See Statewide
 MONTEAU COUNTY (Hw) - See Statewide
 (D) - See Andrew County
 (Hw) - See Statewide
 MONROE COUNTY (Hw) - See Statewide
 (Hw) - See Statewide
 MONTGOMERY COUNTY (Hw) - See Statewide
 (D) - See Andrew County
 (Hw) - See Statewide
 MORGAN COUNTY (Hw) - See Statewide
 (Hw) - See Statewide
 NEW MAURIO COUNTY (Hw) - See Statewide
 (Hw) - See Statewide
 NEWTON COUNTY (Hw) - See Statewide
 (Hw) - See Statewide
 NORMAN COUNTY (Hw) - See Statewide
 (Hw) - See Statewide
 OREGON COUNTY (Hw) - See Statewide
 (Hw) - See Statewide
 OSAGE COUNTY (Hw) - See Statewide
 (D) - See Andrew County
 (Hw) - See Statewide
 OZARK COUNTY (Hw) - See Statewide
 (Hw) - See Statewide
 PERRY COUNTY (Hw) - See Statewide
 (D) - See Cape Girardeau County
 (Hw) - See Statewide
 PETTIS COUNTY (Hw) - See Statewide
 PHELPS COUNTY (Hw) - See Statewide
 PIKE COUNTY (Hw) - See Statewide
 (Hw) - See Statewide
 PLATTE COUNTY (Hw) - See Statewide
 (B,H,Hw) - See Cass County
 (D) - See Andrew County
 POLK COUNTY (Hw) - See Statewide
 (Hw) - See Statewide

MISSOURI (Cont'd)

PULASKI COUNTY (Hw) - See Statewide
 PUTNAM COUNTY (Hw) - See Statewide
 RALLS COUNTY (Hw) - See Statewide
 RANDOLPH COUNTY (Hw) - See Statewide
 (Hw) - See Statewide
 RAY COUNTY (Hw) - See Statewide
 (B,R) - See Cass County
 (Hw) - See Statewide
 (D) - See Andrew County
 REYNOLDS COUNTY (Hw) - See Statewide
 (Hw) - See Statewide
 RIPLEY COUNTY (Hw) - See Statewide
 (Hw) - See Statewide
 ST. CHARLES COUNTY (Hw) - See Statewide
 (B,R) - See Franklin County
 (D) - See Andrew County
 (D) - See Cape Girardeau County
 (Hw) - See Statewide
 ST. CLAIR COUNTY (Hw) - See Statewide
 (Hw) - See Statewide
 ST. FRANCIS COUNTY (Hw) - See Statewide
 (Hw) - See Statewide
 ST. LOUIS COUNTY (Hw) - See Statewide
 (B,R) - See Franklin County
 (D) - See Cape Girardeau County
 (D) - See Andrew County
 (Hw) - See Statewide
 STE. GENEVIEVE COUNTY (Hw) - See Statewide
 (D) - See Cape Girardeau County
 (Hw) - See Statewide
 SALINE COUNTY (Hw) - See Statewide
 (D) - See Andrew County
 (Hw) - See Statewide
 SCHRIER COUNTY (Hw) - See Statewide
 (Hw) - See Statewide
 SCOTLAND COUNTY (Hw) - See Statewide
 SCOTT COUNTY (Hw) - See Statewide
 (D) - See Cape Girardeau County
 (Hw) - See Statewide
 SHANNON COUNTY (Hw) - See Statewide
 (Hw) - See Statewide
 SHELBY COUNTY (Hw) - See Statewide
 (Hw) - See Statewide
 STODOLSKY COUNTY (Hw) - See Statewide

MISSOURI (Cont'd)

STONE COUNTY (Hw) - See Statewide
 SULLIVAN COUNTY (Hw) - See Statewide
 TANEY COUNTY (Hw) - See Statewide
 TEXAS COUNTY (Hw) - See Statewide
 (Hw) - See Statewide
 VERNON COUNTY (Hw) - See Statewide
 WARREN COUNTY (Hw) - See Statewide
 (D) - See Andrew County
 (Hw) - See Statewide
 WASHINGTON COUNTY (Hw) - See Statewide
 WAYNE COUNTY (Hw) - See Statewide
 (Hw) - See Statewide
 WEBSTER COUNTY (Hw) - See Statewide
 NORTH COUNTY (Hw) - See Statewide
 WRIGHT COUNTY (Hw) - See Statewide

MONTANA

STATEWIDE
 Decision #MT75-5012 (B)
 40 FR 6059 - 2/7/75
 Mod. #1 - 40 FR 8695 - 2/28/75
 Mod. #2 - 40 FR 10880 - 3/7/75
 Mod. #3 - 40 FR 14205 - 3/28/75
 Mod. #4 - 40 FR 18281 - 4/25/75
 Decision #MT75-5017 (H,Hw)
 40 FR 6081 - 2/7/75
 Mod. #1 - 40 FR 8696 - 2/28/75
 Mod. #2 - 40 FR 10881 - 3/7/75
 Mod. #3 - 40 FR 12008 - 3/14/75
 Mod. #4 - 40 FR 14208 - 3/28/75
 Mod. #5 - 40 FR 18282 - 4/25/75
 BEAVERHEAD COUNTY (B,H,Hw) - See Statewide
 BIG HORN COUNTY (B,H,Hw) - See Statewide
 BLAINE COUNTY (B,H,Hw) - See Statewide
 BROMWATER COUNTY (B,H,Hw) - See Statewide
 CARBON COUNTY (B,H,Hw) - See Statewide
 CARTER COUNTY (B,H,Hw) - See Statewide
 CASCADE COUNTY (B,H,Hw) - See Statewide
 Decision #MT75-5029 (R)
 40 FR 7841 - 2/21/75
 Mod. #1 - 40 FR 10882 - 3/7/75
 Mod. #2 - 40 FR 14211 - 3/28/75
 Mod. #3 - 40 FR 16480 - 4/11/75
 Mod. #4 - 40 FR 18282 - 4/25/75
 (B,H,Hw) - See Statewide
 CHOUTEAU COUNTY (B,H,Hw) - See Statewide
 CUSTER COUNTY (B,H,Hw) - See Statewide
 DANIELS COUNTY (B,H,Hw) - See Statewide
 DAWSON COUNTY (B,H,Hw) - See Statewide
 DEER LODGE COUNTY (B,H,Hw) - See Statewide
 Decision #MT75-5019 (R)
 40 FR 6103 - 2/7/75
 Mod. #1 - 40 FR 8696 - 2/28/75
 Mod. #2 - 40 FR 10881 - 3/7/75
 Mod. #3 - 40 FR 14208 - 3/28/75
 FALLON COUNTY (B,H,Hw) - See Statewide
 FERROS COUNTY (B,H,Hw) - See Statewide
 FLATHEAD COUNTY (B,H,Hw) - See Statewide
 (R) - See Cascade County

NEW HAMPSHIRE

BELKNAP COUNTY
None
CARROLL COUNTY
None
CHESHIRE COUNTY
None
COOS COUNTY
None
GRAFTON COUNTY
None
HILLSBORO COUNTY
Decision #AR-3142 (B.H.Hw,R)
39 FR 35021 - 9/27/74
Mod. #1 - 39 FR 36717 - 10/11/74
Mod. #2 - 40 FR 7799 - 2/21/75
Mod. #3 - 40 FR 19331 - 5/27/75
MERRIMACK COUNTY
Decision #AR-3143 (B.H.Hw, & Marine)
39 FR 35024 - 9/27/74
Mod. #1 - 39 FR 36717 - 10/11/74
Mod. #2 - 40 FR 7799 - 2/21/75
ROCKINGHAM COUNTY
Decision #CT75-5045 (D)
40 FR 15294 - 4/4/75
Decision #AR-3144 (B.H.Hw,R, & Marine)
39 FR 35027 - 9/27/74
Mod. #1 - 39 FR 36718 - 10/11/74
Mod. #2 - 40 FR 7799 - 2/21/75
STRAFFORD COUNTY
Decision #AR-3145 (B.H.Hw, & Marine)
39 FR 35031 - 9/27/74
Mod. #1 - 39 FR 36718 - 10/11/74
Mod. #2 - 40 FR 7799 - 2/21/75
SULLIVAN COUNTY
None

NEW JERSEY

ATLANTIC COUNTY
Decision #AR-2077 (B.H.Hw)
39 FR 40467 - 11/15/74
Mod. #1 - 39 FR 42812 - 12/6/74
Mod. #2 - 39 FR 43654 - 12/13/74
Mod. #3 - 40 FR 5960 - 2/7/75
Mod. #4 - 40 FR 16489 - 4/11/75
Decision #CT75-5045 (D)
40 FR 15294 - 4/4/75
BERGEN COUNTY
Decision #AR-2078 (B.H.Hw)
39 FR 40473 - 11/15/74
Mod. #1 - 39 FR 42811 - 12/6/74
Mod. #2 - 39 FR 43155 - 12/20/74
Mod. #3 - 40 FR 5961 - 2/7/75
Mod. #4 - 40 FR 16489 - 4/11/75
(D) - See Atlantic County

NEBRASKA (Cont'd.)

BOYD COUNTY
(B) - See Banner County
(H.Hw) - See Statewide
MANCE COUNTY
(H.Hw) - See Statewide
NEBASKA COUNTY
Decision #MD75-4070 (D)
40 FR 14225 - 3/25/75
(H.Hw) - See Statewide
(Chann. Stab.) - See Boyd County
MCKENZIE COUNTY
(H.Hw) - See Statewide
OTIE COUNTY
(Chann. Stab.) - See Boyd County
(H.Hw) - See Statewide
PANNE COUNTY
(H.Hw) - See Statewide
PERKINS COUNTY
(H.Hw) - See Statewide
PHELPS COUNTY
(H.Hw) - See Statewide
PIERCE COUNTY
(B) - See Cedar County
(H.Hw) - See Statewide
PLATTE COUNTY
(H.Hw) - See Statewide
POLK COUNTY
(H.Hw) - See Statewide
RED WILLOW COUNTY
(H.Hw) - See Statewide
RICHMOND COUNTY
(Chann. Stab.) - See Boyd County
(D) - See Nebraska County
(H.Hw) - See Statewide
ROCK COUNTY
(H.Hw) - See Statewide
SALINE COUNTY
(H.Hw) - See Statewide
SAPPH COUNTY
(Chann. Stab.) - See Boyd County
(B.R.) - See Douglas County
(H.Hw) - See Cass County
SKIDDER COUNTY
(H.Hw) - See Statewide
(H.Hw) - See Cass County
SCOTT BLUFF COUNTY
(B) - See Banner County
(H.Hw) - See Statewide
SEWARD COUNTY
(H.Hw) - See Statewide
SHERIDAN COUNTY
(B) - See Banner County
(H.Hw) - See Statewide
SHERMAN COUNTY
(H.Hw) - See Statewide
SIQUOT COUNTY
(B) - See Banner County
(H.Hw) - See Statewide

NEBRASKA (Cont'd.)

HALL COUNTY
Decision #AR-24 (B)
39 FR 24495 - 9/7/73
Mod. #1 - 39 FR 30330 - 11/2/73
(H.Hw) - See Statewide
HAMILTON COUNTY
(H.Hw) - See Statewide
HARLAN COUNTY
(H.Hw) - See Statewide
HAYES COUNTY
(H.Hw) - See Statewide
HITCHCOCK COUNTY
(H.Hw) - See Statewide
HOLT COUNTY
(H.Hw) - See Statewide
HOOVER COUNTY
(H.Hw) - See Statewide
HOWARD COUNTY
(H.Hw) - See Statewide
JEFFERSON COUNTY
(H.Hw) - See Statewide
JOHNSON COUNTY
(H.Hw) - See Statewide
KEAGNEY COUNTY
(H.Hw) - See Statewide
KIEITH COUNTY
(H.Hw) - See Statewide
KEYAPAPA COUNTY
(H.Hw) - See Statewide
KIMBALL COUNTY
(B) - See Banner County
(H.Hw) - See Statewide
KNOW COUNTY
(H.Hw) - See Statewide
(Chann. Stab.) - See Boyd County
LANCASTER COUNTY
Decision #AR-76 (B)
39 FR 40462 - 11/15/74
Mod. #1 - 40 FR 10882 - 3/7/75
Decision #AR-6140 (B)
36 FR 21735 - 11/12/71
(H.Hw) - See Statewide
LINCOLN COUNTY
(H.Hw) - See Statewide
LOGAN COUNTY
(H.Hw) - See Statewide
LOUP COUNTY
(H.Hw) - See Statewide
MC PHERSON COUNTY
(H.Hw) - See Statewide
MADISON COUNTY
(H.Hw) - See Statewide
MERRICK COUNTY
(H.Hw) - See Statewide

NEW JERSEY

NEW JERSEY (Cont'd.)

NEW MEXICO (Cont'd.)

BURLINGTON COUNTY
Decision #AR-2080 (B.H.Hw)
39 FR 41130 - 11/22/74
Mod. #1 - 39 FR 43645 - 12/13/74
Mod. #2 - 39 FR 44156 - 12/20/74
Mod. #3 - 40 FR 5981 - 2/7/75
Mod. #4 - 40 FR 16490 - 4/11/75
(D) - See Atlantic County
CAMDEN COUNTY
Decision #AR-2081 (B.H.Hw)
39 FR 41139 - 11/22/74
Mod. #1 - 40 FR 5981 - 2/7/75
(D) - See Atlantic County
CAPE MAY COUNTY
(B.H.Hw,D) - See Atlantic County
CAMDEN COUNTY
Decision #AR-2082 (B.H.Hw)
39 FR 41146 - 11/22/74
Mod. #1 - 39 FR 43645 - 12/13/74
Mod. #2 - 39 FR 44156 - 12/20/74
Mod. #3 - 40 FR 5981 - 2/7/75
(D) - See Atlantic County
CAMDEN COUNTY
Decision #AR-2083 (B.H.Hw)
39 FR 41153 - 11/22/74
Mod. #1 - 40 FR 5981 - 2/7/75
(D) - See Atlantic County
CAMDEN COUNTY
Decision #AR-2084 (B.H.Hw)
39 FR 41161 - 11/22/74
Mod. #1 - 40 FR 5981 - 2/7/75
(D) - See Atlantic County
CAMDEN COUNTY
Decision #AR-2085 (B.H.Hw)
39 FR 41168 - 11/22/74
Mod. #1 - 40 FR 5981 - 2/7/75
(D) - See Atlantic County
CAMDEN COUNTY
Decision #AR-2086 (B.H.Hw)
39 FR 41175 - 11/22/74
Mod. #1 - 40 FR 5981 - 2/7/75
(D) - See Atlantic County
CAMDEN COUNTY
Decision #AR-2087 (B.H.Hw)
39 FR 41182 - 11/22/74
Mod. #1 - 40 FR 5981 - 2/7/75
(D) - See Atlantic County
CAMDEN COUNTY
Decision #AR-2088 (B.H.Hw)
39 FR 41189 - 11/22/74
Mod. #1 - 40 FR 5981 - 2/7/75
(D) - See Atlantic County
CAMDEN COUNTY
Decision #AR-2089 (B.H.Hw)
39 FR 41196 - 11/22/74
Mod. #1 - 40 FR 5981 - 2/7/75
(D) - See Atlantic County

MORRIS COUNTY
Decision #AR-2041 (B.H.Hw)
39 FR 33172 - 9/13/74
Mod. #1 - 39 FR 34924 - 9/27/74
Mod. #2 - 39 FR 34924 - 9/27/74
Mod. #3 - 40 FR 5978 - 2/7/75
Mod. #4 - 40 FR 16486 - 4/11/75
Mod. #5 - 40 FR 16486 - 4/11/75
(D) - See Atlantic County
OCEAN COUNTY
Decision #AR-2090 (B.H.Hw)
39 FR 41693 - 11/29/74
Mod. #1 - 40 FR 5983 - 2/7/75
Mod. #2 - 40 FR 16491 - 4/11/75
(D) - See Atlantic County
PASSAIC COUNTY
Decision #AR-2042 (B.H.Hw)
39 FR 33179 - 9/13/74
Mod. #1 - 39 FR 34924 - 9/27/74
Mod. #2 - 39 FR 34924 - 9/27/74
Mod. #3 - 39 FR 42811 - 12/16/74
Mod. #4 - 40 FR 5978 - 2/7/75
Mod. #5 - 40 FR 16487 - 4/11/75
Mod. #6 - 40 FR 16487 - 4/11/75
(D) - See Atlantic County
SALEM COUNTY
Decision #AR-2073 (B.H.Hw)
39 FR 40371 - 11/15/74
Mod. #1 - 40 FR 5979 - 2/7/75
Mod. #2 - 40 FR 16488 - 4/11/75
(D) - See Atlantic County
SOMERSET COUNTY
Decision #AR-2043 (B.H.Hw)
39 FR 32456 - 9/16/74
Mod. #1 - 39 FR 34925 - 9/27/74
Mod. #2 - 39 FR 42810 - 12/16/74
Mod. #3 - 40 FR 5979 - 2/7/75
Mod. #4 - 40 FR 16487 - 4/11/75
(D) - See Atlantic County
SUSSEX COUNTY
Decision #AR-2075 (B.H.Hw)
39 FR 40386 - 11/15/74
Mod. #1 - 39 FR 42811 - 12/16/74
Mod. #2 - 40 FR 5980 - 2/7/75
Mod. #3 - 40 FR 16488 - 4/11/75
Mod. #4 - 40 FR 16488 - 4/11/75
(D) - See Atlantic County
UNION COUNTY
Decision #AR-2044 (B.H.Hw)
39 FR 32464 - 9/16/74
Mod. #1 - 39 FR 34925 - 9/27/74
Mod. #2 - 39 FR 41659 - 11/29/74
Mod. #3 - 39 FR 42811 - 12/16/74
Mod. #4 - 40 FR 5979 - 2/7/75
Mod. #5 - 40 FR 16487 - 4/11/75
(D) - See Atlantic County
WARREN COUNTY
Decision #AR-2074 (B.H.Hw)
39 FR 40378 - 11/15/74

WARREN COUNTY (Cont'd.)
Mod. #1 - 39 FR 42811 - 12/16/74
Mod. #2 - 40 FR 5980 - 2/7/75
Mod. #3 - 40 FR 16488 - 4/11/75
NEW MEXICO
STATEWIDE
Decision #AQ-18 (Streets,
Highways, Utilities and Light
Engineering Construction)
38 FR 21714 - 8/10/73
Mod. #1 - 38 FR 22053 - 8/24/73
Mod. #2 - 39 FR 32443 - 9/6/74
Mod. #3 - 40 FR 15273 - 4/4/75
Decision #IN75-4079 (Building, including
residential in McKinley, Santa Fe,
San Juan & Bernalillo Cos.) and Heavy
engineering construction.
40 FR 17517 - 4/18/75
Mod. #1 - 40 FR 19331 - 5/2/75
BERNALILLO COUNTY
(B.H.Hw,R) - See Statewide
CATRON COUNTY
(B.H.Hw) - See Statewide
CHAVES COUNTY
(B.H.Hw) - See Statewide
COLFAX COUNTY
(B.H.Hw) - See Statewide
CURRY COUNTY
(B.H.Hw) - See Statewide
DE BACA COUNTY
(B.H.Hw) - See Statewide
DONA ANA COUNTY
(B.H.Hw) - See Statewide
Decision #IN75-4014 (R)
40 FR 3148 - 1/17/75
EDDY COUNTY
(B.H.Hw) - See Statewide
GRANT COUNTY
(B.H.Hw) - See Statewide
GUADALUPE COUNTY
(B.H.Hw) - See Statewide
HARDING COUNTY
(B.H.Hw) - See Statewide
HIDALGO COUNTY
(B.H.Hw) - See Statewide
LEA COUNTY
(B.H.Hw) - See Statewide
LINCOLN COUNTY
(B.H.Hw) - See Statewide
LOS ALAMOS COUNTY
(B.H.Hw) - See Statewide
LUNA COUNTY
(B.H.Hw) - See Statewide
McKINLEY COUNTY
(R) - See San Juan County

MORA COUNTY
(B.H.Hw) - See Statewide
OTERO COUNTY
(R) - See Dona Ana County
QUAY COUNTY
(B.H.Hw) - See Statewide
RIO ARriba COUNTY
(B.H.Hw) - See Statewide
ROOSEVELT COUNTY
(B.H.Hw) - See Statewide
SABINAL COUNTY
(B.H.Hw) - See Statewide
SAN JUAN COUNTY
Decision #IN75-5004 (R) (Navajo Indian
Reservation)
40 FR 3821 - 1/24/75
(B.H.Hw,R) - See Statewide
SAN MIGUEL COUNTY
(B.H.Hw) - See Statewide
SAMTE FE COUNTY
(B.H.Hw,R) - See Statewide
SIERRA COUNTY
(B.H.Hw) - See Statewide
SOCORRO COUNTY
(B.H.Hw) - See Statewide
TAOS COUNTY
(B.H.Hw) - See Statewide
TORANQUE COUNTY
(B.H.Hw) - See Statewide
URUON COUNTY
(B.H.Hw) - See Statewide
VALENCIA COUNTY
(B.H.Hw) - See Statewide

NEW YORK

ALBANY COUNTY
Decision #NY75-3031 (B,H,Hw)
40 FR 16545 - 4/11/75

ALLEGANY COUNTY

None

BRONX COUNTY

Decision #AR-2101 (B,H,Hw)

39 FR 44925 - 12/27/74

Mod. #1 - 40 FR 5984 - 2/7/75

Mod. #2 - 40 FR 7739 - 2/21/75

Decision #NY75-3016 (R)

40 FR 7852 - 2/21/75

Decision #CT75-5045 (U)

40 FR 15294 - 4/14/75

BROOME COUNTY

Decision #NY75-3032 (B,H,Hw)

40 FR 16549 - 4/11/75

CATTARAUGUS COUNTY

None

CAYUGA COUNTY

Decision #NY75-3033 (D)

40 FR 16554 - 4/11/75

CHAUTAUQUE COUNTY

Decision #AR-2014 (B,H,Hw)

39 FR 26735 - 8/9/74

Mod. #1 - 40 FR 3983 - 1/24/75

(U) - See Cayuga County

CHENANGO COUNTY

None

CLINTON COUNTY

None

COLUMBIA COUNTY

None

CORTLAND COUNTY

None

DELAWARE COUNTY

None

NEW YORK (Cont'd)

DUTCHESS COUNTY
Decision #NY75-3034 (B,H,Hw)
40 FR 16559 - 4/11/75

(U) - See Bronx County

ERIE COUNTY

Decision #NY75-3035 (B,H,Hw)

40 FR 16565 - 4/11/75

(U) - See Cayuga County

ESSEX COUNTY

None

FRANKLIN COUNTY

(U) - See Cayuga County

FULTON COUNTY

None

GENESSEE COUNTY

None

GREENE COUNTY

None

HAMILTON COUNTY

None

HERKIMER COUNTY

None

JEFFERSON COUNTY

Decision #NY75-3036 (B,H,Hw)

40 FR 16571 - 4/11/75

(U) - See Cayuga County

KINGS COUNTY

(B,H,Hw,R,D) - See Bronx County

LEWIS COUNTY

None

LIVINGSTON COUNTY

None

MADISON COUNTY

None

NEW YORK (Cont'd)

MONROE COUNTY
Decision #NY75-3037 (B,H,Hw)
40 FR 16576 - 4/11/75

(U) - See Cayuga County

MONTGOMERY COUNTY

None

NASSAU COUNTY

Decision #NY75-3038 (B,H,Hw)

40 FR 16581 - 4/11/75

(U) - See Bronx County

NEW YORK COUNTY

(B,H,Hw,R,D) - See Bronx County

NIAGARA COUNTY

Decision #NY75-3039 (B,H,Hw)

40 FR 16586 - 4/11/75

(U) - See Cayuga County

ONTARIO COUNTY

Decision #NY75-3040 (B,H,Hw)

40 FR 16589 - 4/11/75

UNION COUNTY

Decision #NY75-3041 (B,H,Hw)

40 FR 16595 - 4/11/75

OSANTEE COUNTY

Decision #NY75-3042 (B,H,Hw)

40 FR 16599 - 4/11/75

(U) - See Bronx County

ORLEANS COUNTY

(U) - See Cayuga County

OSWEGO COUNTY

(U) - See Cayuga County

OTSEGO COUNTY

None

PUTNAM COUNTY

None

QUEENS COUNTY

(B,H,Hw,R) - See Bronx County

NEW YORK (Cont'd)

RENSSELAER COUNTY

Decision #NY75-3043 (B,H,Hw)

40 FR 16596 - 4/11/75

RICHMOND COUNTY

(B,H,Hw,R,D) - See Bronx County

ROCKLAND COUNTY

(U) - See Bronx County

ST. LAWRENCE COUNTY

(U) - See Cayuga County

SARATOGA COUNTY

Decision #AR-2097 (B,H,Hw)

39 FR 44919 - 12/27/74

SCHENECTADY COUNTY

Decision #NY75-3044 (B,H,Hw)

40 FR 16610 - 4/11/75

SCHWARZ COUNTY

None

SCHUYLER COUNTY

None

SENECA COUNTY

None

STEBEN COUNTY

Decision #NY75-3046 (B,H,Hw)

40 FR 16619 - 4/11/75

SUFFOLK COUNTY

Decision #NY75-3045 (B,H,Hw)

40 FR 16614 - 4/11/75

(U) - See Bronx County

SULLIVAN COUNTY

None

TIOGA COUNTY

None

NORTH DAKOTA (Cont'd)

NORTH DAKOTA

NORTH CAROLINA (Cont'd)

NORTH CAROLINA (Cont'd)

MITCHELL COUNTY
(Sewer & Water, H, Hw) - See Statewide
(B) - See Avery County

MONTGOMERY COUNTY
(Sewer & Water, H, Hw) - See Statewide

MOORE COUNTY
(B, R) - See Chatham County
(Sewer & Water, H, Hw) - See Statewide

NASH COUNTY
(Sewer & Water, H, Hw) - See Statewide

NEW HAMPSHIRE COUNTY
(R) - See Brunswick County
(D) - See Beaufort County
(Sewer & Water, H, Hw) - See Statewide

NORTHAMPTON COUNTY
(Sewer & Water, H, Hw) - See Statewide
(R) - See Bertie County

OKSLOW COUNTY
Decision #R-4048 (B)
39 FR 35078 - 10/25/74
(Sewer & Water, H, Hw) - See Statewide
(R) - See Brunswick County

ORANGE COUNTY
(Sewer & Water, H, Hw) - See Statewide

PAYLICO COUNTY
(D) - See Beaufort County
(Sewer & Water, H, Hw) - See Statewide
(R) - See Carteret County

PASQUOTANK COUNTY
(D) - See Beaufort County
(Sewer & Water, H, Hw) - See Statewide

PENDER COUNTY
(B, R) - See Brunswick County
(D) - See Beaufort County

PERDUE COUNTY
(D) - See Beaufort County
(Sewer & Water, H, Hw) - See Statewide

PERSONS COUNTY
(Sewer & Water, H, Hw) - See Statewide

PITT COUNTY
(Sewer & Water, H, Hw) - See Statewide

POLK COUNTY
(R) - See Burke County
(Sewer & Water, H, Hw) - See Statewide

RANDOLPH COUNTY
(R) - See Alamance County
(Sewer & Water, H, Hw) - See Statewide

RICHMOND COUNTY
(Sewer & Water, H, Hw) - See Statewide

ROBERTSON COUNTY
(Sewer & Water, H, Hw) - See Statewide

ROCKINGHAM COUNTY
(Sewer & Water, H, Hw) - See Statewide
(R) - See Alamance County

ROWAN COUNTY
(Sewer & Water, H, Hw) - See Statewide

RUTHERFORD COUNTY
(B) - See Burke County
(Sewer & Water, H, Hw) - See Statewide

STATEWIDE
Decision #R-1053 (Hw)
39 FR 42523 - 12/6/74
Mod. #1 - 40 FR 14211 - 3/28/75

ADAMS COUNTY
(Hw) - See Statewide

BADKES COUNTY
(Hw) - See Statewide

BENSON COUNTY
(Hw) - See Statewide

BILLINGS COUNTY
(Hw) - See Statewide

BOTTINEAU COUNTY
(Hw) - See Statewide

BOWMAN COUNTY
(Hw) - See Statewide

BURKE COUNTY
(Hw) - See Statewide

BURLEIGH COUNTY
(Hw) - See Statewide
Decision #R-75-5031 (B)
40 FR 8747 - 2/28/75
Mod. #1 - 40 FR 14213 - 3/28/75
Mod. #2 - 40 FR 16492 - 4/11/75
Mod. #3 - 40 FR 18282 - 4/25/75

CASS COUNTY
(Hw) - See Statewide
(B) - See Burleigh County

CAVALIER COUNTY
(Hw) - See Statewide

DICKEY COUNTY
(Hw) - See Statewide

DIVIDE COUNTY
(Hw) - See Statewide

DUNN COUNTY
(Hw) - See Statewide

EDDY COUNTY
(Hw) - See Statewide

EMMONS COUNTY
(Hw) - See Statewide

FOSTER COUNTY
(Hw) - See Statewide

GOLDEN VALLEY COUNTY
(Hw) - See Statewide

GRAND FORKS COUNTY
(Hw) - See Statewide
(B) - See Burleigh County

GRANT COUNTY
(Hw) - See Statewide

GREGG COUNTY
(Hw) - See Statewide

HETTINGER COUNTY
(Hw) - See Statewide

KIDDER COUNTY
(Hw) - See Statewide

LANGHEIM COUNTY
(Hw) - See Statewide

LOGAN COUNTY
(Hw) - See Statewide

MCHEENY COUNTY
(Hw) - See Statewide

MCINTOSH COUNTY
(Hw) - See Statewide

MCKENZIE COUNTY
(Hw) - See Statewide

MCLEAN COUNTY
(Hw) - See Statewide

MERCER COUNTY
(Hw) - See Statewide

MORTON COUNTY
(Hw) - See Statewide

MOUNTAINTOP COUNTY
(Hw) - See Statewide

NELSON COUNTY
(Hw) - See Statewide

OLIVER COUNTY
(Hw) - See Statewide

PENNINGTON COUNTY
(Hw) - See Statewide

RANSOM COUNTY
(Hw) - See Statewide

RENTVILLE COUNTY
(Hw) - See Statewide

RICHLAND COUNTY
(Hw) - See Statewide

(B) - See Burleigh County

NORTH DAKOTA (Cont'd)

ROLETTE COUNTY
(Hw) - See Statewide

SARGENT COUNTY
(Hw) - See Statewide

SHERIDAN COUNTY
(Hw) - See Statewide

SIOUX COUNTY
(Hw) - See Statewide

SLOPE COUNTY
(Hw) - See Statewide

STARK COUNTY
(Hw) - See Statewide

STEELE COUNTY
(Hw) - See Statewide

(B) - See Burlington County

STUTSPAN COUNTY
(Hw) - See Statewide

TOWNER COUNTY
(Hw) - See Statewide

TRAILL COUNTY
(Hw) - See Statewide

WALSH COUNTY
(Hw) - See Statewide

WARD COUNTY
(B) - See Burlington County

(Hw) - See Statewide

(B) - See Burlington County

WELLS COUNTY
(Hw) - See Statewide

WILLIAMS COUNTY
(Hw) - See Statewide

OHIO

STATEWIDE
Decision #OH75-2056 (H,Hw)
40 FR 1530 - 4/4/75

ADAMS COUNTY
Mod. #1 - 40 FR 16282 - 4/25/75

Decision #IL75-2036 (D)

40 FR 6023 - 2/7/75

ALLER COUNTY
(H,Hw) - See Statewide

Decision #OH75-2034 (B)

40 FR 5967 - 2/7/75

ASHLAND COUNTY
(H,Hw) - See Statewide

ASHTABULA COUNTY
Decision #AR-3169 (B,R)

39 FR 40401 - 11/15/74

Mod. #1 - 40 FR 14214

Decision #IL75-5051 (D)

40 FR 16529 - 4/11/75

ATHENS COUNTY
(H,Hw) - See Statewide

(D) - See Adams County

AUGALIZZ COUNTY
(H,Hw) - See Statewide

(B) - See Allen County

BEAUCHAMPT COUNTY
(H,Hw) - See Statewide

BROWN COUNTY
(H,Hw) - See Statewide

(D) - See Adams County

BUTLER COUNTY
(H,Hw) - See Statewide

39 FR 30774 - 8/23/74

Mod. #1 - 40 FR 16492 - 4/11/75

Decision #AP-663 (R)

38 FR 14045 - 5/25/73

CARROLL COUNTY
(H,Hw) - See Statewide

CHAMPAIGN COUNTY
(H,Hw) - See Statewide

CLARK COUNTY
Decision #AR-3034 (B)

39 FR 30776 - 8/23/74

Mod. #1 - 40 FR 15274 - 4/4/75

Decision #AP-664 (B)

38 FR 14045 - 5/25/73

CLEBURT COUNTY
(H,Hw) - See Statewide

Decision #AR-685 (R)

39 FR 14050 - 5/25/73

(B) - See Hamilton County

(D) - See Adams County

CLINTON COUNTY
(H,Hw) - See Statewide

(H,Hw) - See Statewide

COLLIER COUNTY
(H,Hw) - See Statewide

CONROCK COUNTY
(H,Hw) - See Statewide

OHIO (Cont'd)

CORWEN COUNTY
(H,Hw) - See Statewide

CUYAHOGA COUNTY
Decision #AR-3035 (B,R)

39 FR 30778 - 8/23/74

Mod. #1 - 40 FR 15274 - 4/4/75

(H,Hw) - See Statewide

DARKE COUNTY
(H,Hw) - See Statewide

DEFIANCE COUNTY
(H,Hw) - See Statewide

DELANE COUNTY
Decision #AR-420 (B)

36 FR 15963 - 8/16/71

Mod. #1 - 38 FR 4630 - 2/16/73

(H,Hw) - See Statewide

ERIE COUNTY
Decision #AR-2172 (B)

39 FR 41102 - 11/22/74

Mod. #1 - 40 FR 15276 - 4/4/75

(D) - See Ashtabula County

FAIRFIELD COUNTY
(R) - See Delaware County

FAVETTE COUNTY
(H,Hw) - See Statewide

FRANKLIN COUNTY
Decision #AR-3036 (B)

39 FR 30700 - 8/23/74

Mod. #1 - 40 FR 37330 - 10/18/74

Mod. #2 - 40 FR 15274 - 4/4/75

(R) - See Delaware County

FULTON COUNTY
(B) - See Lucas County

(H,Hw) - See Statewide

GALLIA COUNTY
(D) - See Adams County

(H,Hw) - See Statewide

GEauga COUNTY
(H,Hw) - See Statewide

GREENE COUNTY
Decision #AR-3037 (B)

39 FR 30782 - 8/23/74

Mod. #1 - 40 FR 15275 - 4/4/75

Decision #AT-422 (R)

36 FR 15965 - 8/16/71

Mod. #1 - 37 FR 8619 - 4/28/72

(H,Hw) - See Statewide

GUERNSEY COUNTY
(H,Hw) - See Statewide

HAMILTON COUNTY
Decision #AR-3038 (B)

39 FR 30704 - 8/23/74

Mod. #1 - 40 FR 15275 - 4/4/75

(D) - See Adams County

(R) - See Clermont County

(H,Hw) - See Statewide

OHIO (Cont'd)

HARCOCK COUNTY
Decision #AR-3173 (B)

39 FR 41105 - 11/22/74

Mod. #1 - 40 FR 15276 - 4/4/75

(H,Hw) - See Statewide

HARDIN COUNTY
(H,Hw) - See Statewide

HARRISON COUNTY
(H,Hw) - See Statewide

HENRY COUNTY
(H,Hw) - See Statewide

HIGHLAND COUNTY
(H,Hw) - See Statewide

HOCKING COUNTY
(H,Hw) - See Statewide

HOLMES COUNTY
(H,Hw) - See Statewide

HURON COUNTY
(H,Hw) - See Statewide

JACKSON COUNTY
(H,Hw) - See Statewide

JEFFERSON COUNTY
(H,Hw) - See Statewide

KNOX COUNTY
(H,Hw) - See Statewide

LAKE COUNTY
(B,R) - See Cuyahoga County

(D) - See Ashtabula County

(H,Hw) - See Statewide

LAWRENCE COUNTY
(D) - See Adams County

(H,Hw) - See Statewide

LICKING COUNTY
Decision #AR-3039 (B)

39 FR 30786 - 8/23/74

Mod. #1 - 40 FR 16492 - 4/11/75

(H,Hw) - See Statewide

(R) - See Delaware County

LOGAN COUNTY
(H,Hw) - See Statewide

LORAIN COUNTY
Decision #AR-3040 (B,R)

39 FR 30653 - 8/23/74

Mod. #1 - 40 FR 16493 - 4/11/75

(D) - See Ashtabula County

(H,Hw) - See Statewide

LUCAS COUNTY
Decision #OH75-2050 (B)

40 FR 17529 - 4/18/75

(D) - See Ashtabula County

(H,Hw) - See Statewide

WADSWORTH COUNTY
(B) - See Franklin County

(R) - See Delaware County

(H,Hw) - See Statewide

OKLAHOMA

STATEWIDE (Except the City of Muskogee)
Decision #40-124 (Constr., alteration,
and/or repair of streets, highways,
runways, erosion control structures,
well drilling, and water and sewer
utilities)

38 FR 24134 - 6/28/74

ADAIR COUNTY

Decision #8075-4069 (B, H, W)

40 FR 14317 - 1/28/75

Mod. #1 - 40 FR 16595 - 4/11/75

Mod. #2 - 40 FR 18553 - 4/25/75

(H, W) - See Statewide

ATOKA COUNTY

(H, W) - See Statewide

BEAVER COUNTY

(H, W) - See Statewide

BECKHAM COUNTY

(H, W) - See Statewide

BLAINE COUNTY

(H, W) - See Statewide

BRYAN COUNTY

(H, W) - See Statewide

Decision #8075-4016 (B)

40 FR 3161 - 1/17/75

CADDO COUNTY

(H, W) - See Statewide

CANAWA COUNTY

(H, W) - See Statewide

Decision #8075-4060 (B)

40 FR 17531 - 4/18/75

Decision #8075-4034 (B)

37 FR 15789 - 8/14/72

Mod. #1 - 38 FR 8539 - 2/28/72

Mod. #2 - 38 FR 4078 - 2/9/73

CARTER COUNTY

(H, W) - See Statewide

CHENOWETH COUNTY

(B) - See Adair County

(H, W) - See Statewide

CHOCTAW COUNTY

(H, W) - See Statewide

CIMARRON COUNTY

(H, W) - See Statewide

CLEVELAND COUNTY

(B, R) - See Canadian County

COAL COUNTY

(H, W) - See Statewide

COMANCHE COUNTY

(H, W) - See Statewide

Decision #8075-4017 (B)

40 FR 3152 - 1/17/75

Decision #8075-4016 (B)

38 FR 41107 - 11/22/74

Mod. #1 - 38 FR 44157 - 12/20/74

Mod. #2 - 40 FR 3856 - 1/24/75

Mod. #3 - 40 FR 15213 - 4/25/75

Mod. #4 - 40 FR 16493 - 4/11/75

COTTON COUNTY

(H, W) - See Statewide

CRAIG COUNTY

(H, W) - See Statewide

CREEK COUNTY

(B) - See Tulsa County

OKLAHOMA (cont'd.)

CUSTER COUNTY

(H, W) - See Statewide

DELAWARE COUNTY

(H, W) - See Statewide

DENVER COUNTY

(H, W) - See Statewide

ELLIS COUNTY

(H, W) - See Statewide

GARFIELD COUNTY

Decision #8075-4069 (B)

38 FR 42801 - 12/6/74

Mod. #1 - 40 FR 6905 - 2/14/75

Mod. #2 - 40 FR 14214 - 3/28/75

Mod. #3 - 40 FR 16494 - 4/11/75

Mod. #4 - 40 FR 18283 - 4/25/75

(H, W) - See Statewide

GARVIN COUNTY

(H, W) - See Statewide

GRADY COUNTY

(H, W) - See Statewide

GRANT COUNTY

(H, W) - See Statewide

GREER COUNTY

(H, W) - See Statewide

HARMON COUNTY

(H, W) - See Statewide

HAWPER COUNTY

(H, W) - See Statewide

HASKELL COUNTY

(H, W) - See Statewide

HIGHES COUNTY

(H, W) - See Statewide

JACKSON COUNTY

(H, W) - See Statewide

JEFFERSON COUNTY

(H, W) - See Statewide

JOHNSTON COUNTY

(H, W) - See Statewide

KAY COUNTY

(H, W) - See Statewide

KINGFISHER COUNTY

(H, W) - See Statewide

KITOMA COUNTY

(H, W) - See Statewide

LATIMER COUNTY

(H, W) - See Statewide

LEFLORE COUNTY

(H, W) - See Statewide

LINCOLN COUNTY

(H, W) - See Statewide

(B, R) - See Canadian County

LOGAN COUNTY

(H, W) - See Statewide

LOVE COUNTY

(H, W) - See Statewide

MCCLAIN COUNTY

(H, W) - See Statewide

(B) - See Canadian County

MCURTAIN COUNTY

(H, W) - See Statewide

OKLAHOMA (cont'd.)

MCINTOSH COUNTY

Decision #8075-4069 (B)

38 FR 43456 - 12/13/74

Mod. #1 - 40 FR 6905 - 2/14/75

Mod. #2 - 40 FR 14215 - 3/28/75

Mod. #3 - 40 FR 16492 - 4/11/75

(H, W) - See Statewide

MAJOR COUNTY

(H, W) - See Statewide

MARSHALL COUNTY

(H, W) - See Statewide

MAYES COUNTY

(H, W) - See Statewide

MURRAY COUNTY

(H, W) - See Statewide

MUSKOGEE COUNTY

(B) - See Adair County

NOBLE COUNTY

(H, W) - See Statewide

NOWATA COUNTY

(H, W) - See Statewide

OKFUSKEE COUNTY

(H, W) - See Statewide

OKMULGEE COUNTY

(B, R) - See Canadian County

OKMULGEE COUNTY

(H, W) - See Statewide

OSAGE COUNTY

(H, W) - See Statewide

(B, R) - See Canadian County

OTTAWA COUNTY

(H, W) - See Statewide

PANHANDLE COUNTY

(H, W) - See Statewide

PAYNE COUNTY

(H, W) - See Statewide

PITTSBURG COUNTY

Decision #8075-4069 (B)

38 FR 43454 - 12/13/74

Mod. #1 - 40 FR 2381 - 1/10/75

Mod. #2 - 40 FR 6506 - 2/14/75

Mod. #3 - 40 FR 15277 - 4/4/75

Mod. #4 - 40 FR 16494 - 4/11/75

(H, W) - See Statewide

PONTIAC COUNTY

(H, W) - See Statewide

POTOMAC COUNTY

(H, W) - See Statewide

(B, R) - See Canadian County

PUSHMATAH COUNTY

(H, W) - See Statewide

ROGER HILLS COUNTY

(H, W) - See Statewide

(B, R) - See Canadian County

SEMINOLE COUNTY

(B) - See Canadian County

(H, W) - See Statewide

SEDOYAH COUNTY

(H, W) - See Statewide

SEMPER PARVUS COUNTY

(H, W) - See Statewide

TEXAS COUNTY

(H, W) - See Statewide

OKLAHOMA (cont'd.)

TILLMAN COUNTY

(H, W) - See Statewide

TULSA COUNTY

Decision #8075-4050 (B)

40 FR 6115 - 2/7/75

Mod. #1 - 40 FR 7800 - 2/21/75

Mod. #2 - 40 FR 10882 - 3/7/75

Mod. #3 - 40 FR 16495 - 4/11/75

(H, W) - See Statewide

Decision #8075-4015 (B)

40 FR 3150 - 1/17/75

WAGONER COUNTY

Decision #8075-4015 (B)

40 FR 44803 - 12/27/74

Mod. #1 - 40 FR 5985 - 2/7/75

Mod. #2 - 40 FR 15277 - 4/4/75

Mod. #3 - 40 FR 16494 - 4/11/75

(H, W) - See Statewide

WASHINGTON COUNTY

(H, W) - See Statewide

WASHINGTON COUNTY

(H, W) - See Statewide

WASHINGTON COUNTY

(H, W) - See Statewide

WASHINGTON COUNTY

(H, W) - See Statewide

WASHINGTON COUNTY

(H, W) - See Statewide

WASHINGTON COUNTY

(H, W) - See Statewide

WASHINGTON COUNTY

(H, W) - See Statewide

WASHINGTON COUNTY

(H, W) - See Statewide

WASHINGTON COUNTY

(H, W) - See Statewide

WASHINGTON COUNTY

(H, W) - See Statewide

WASHINGTON COUNTY

(H, W) - See Statewide

WASHINGTON COUNTY

(H, W) - See Statewide

WASHINGTON COUNTY

(H, W) - See Statewide

WASHINGTON COUNTY

(H, W) - See Statewide

WASHINGTON COUNTY

(H, W) - See Statewide

WASHINGTON COUNTY

(H, W) - See Statewide

WASHINGTON COUNTY

(H, W) - See Statewide

WASHINGTON COUNTY

(H, W) - See Statewide

WASHINGTON COUNTY

(H, W) - See Statewide

WASHINGTON COUNTY

(H, W) - See Statewide

WASHINGTON COUNTY

(H, W) - See Statewide

WASHINGTON COUNTY

(H, W) - See Statewide

WASHINGTON COUNTY

(H, W) - See Statewide

PENNSYLVANIA (Cont'd.)

ELK COUNTY
Decision #AR-2046 (B)
39 FR 9338 - 3/8/74
Mod. #1 - 39 FR 18408 - 5/24/74
Mod. #2 - 39 FR 20919 - 6/14/74
Mod. #3 - 39 FR 20008 - 8/2/74
Mod. #4 - 39 FR 33333 - 10/18/74
Mod. #5 - 39 FR 44158 - 12/20/74
Mod. #6 - 39 FR 15277 - 4/4/75
(H, Hw) - See Bedford County

ERIE COUNTY
Decision #AR-2094 (B)
39 FR 43491 - 12/13/74
Mod. #1 - 40 FR 15281 - 4/4/75
(H, Hw) - See Butler County

FAVETTE COUNTY
(B) - See Butler County

FOREST COUNTY
Decision #AQ-2121 (B)
39 FR 18393 - 5/24/74
Mod. #1 - 39 FR 20920 - 6/14/74
Mod. #2 - 39 FR 20008 - 8/2/74
Mod. #3 - 39 FR 37332 - 10/18/74
Mod. #4 - 39 FR 38812 - 11/1/74
Mod. #5 - 39 FR 44911 - 12/27/74
Mod. #6 - 40 FR 15278 - 4/4/75
(H, Hw) - See Bedford County

FRANKLIN COUNTY
Decision #AR-2001 (B)
39 FR 24803 - 7/5/74
Mod. #1 - 39 FR 25562 - 7/19/74
Mod. #2 - 39 FR 28010 - 8/2/74
Mod. #3 - 39 FR 40466 - 11/15/74
Mod. #4 - 39 FR 44157 - 12/20/74
(H, Hw) - See Bedford County

FULTON COUNTY
(H, Hw) - See Bedford County

GREENE COUNTY
(H, Hw) - See Centre County

HUNTINGDON COUNTY
(H, Hw) - See Bedford County

INDIANA COUNTY
Decision #AR-2037 (B)
39 FR 31859 - 8/30/74
Mod. #1 - 39 FR 44912 - 12/27/74
Mod. #2 - 40 FR 4789 - 1/31/75
Mod. #3 - 40 FR 15279 - 4/4/75
(H, Hw) - See Armstrong County

JEFFERSON COUNTY
(B) - See Cameron County

JOHNSTON COUNTY
(H, Hw) - See Centre County

PENNSYLVANIA (Cont'd.)

JUNIATA COUNTY
(B) - See Cumberland County

LACKAWANNA COUNTY
Decision #AR-2092 (B)
39 FR 41701 - 11/29/74
Mod. #1 - 40 FR 5986 - 2/7/75
Mod. #2 - 40 FR 15281 - 4/4/75
Mod. #3 - 40 FR 18283 - 4/25/75
(H, Hw) - See Adams County

LANCASTER COUNTY
Decision #AR-2030 (B)
39 FR 31857 - 8/30/74
Mod. #1 - 39 FR 40406 - 11/15/74
Mod. #2 - 39 FR 44912 - 12/27/74
Mod. #3 - 40 FR 4789 - 1/31/75
Mod. #4 - 40 FR 5986 - 2/7/75
Mod. #5 - 40 FR 14215 - 3/28/75
(H, Hw) - See Adams County

LAWRENCE COUNTY
Decision #AR-2045 (B)
39 FR 37345 - 10/18/74
Mod. #1 - 39 FR 44913 - 12/27/74
Mod. #2 - 40 FR 15280 - 4/4/75
(H, Hw) - See Butler County

LEBANON COUNTY
Decision #AQ-2080 (B)
39 FR 12571 - 4/5/74
Mod. #1 - 39 FR 24785 - 7/5/74
Mod. #2 - 39 FR 25564 - 7/19/74
Mod. #3 - 39 FR 37331 - 10/18/74
Mod. #4 - 39 FR 44007 - 11/15/74
Mod. #5 - 40 FR 4789 - 1/31/75
Mod. #6 - 40 FR 5986 - 2/7/75
(H, Hw) - See Adams County

LEHIGH COUNTY
Decision #AR-2019 (B)
40 FR 8755 - 2/28/75
Mod. #1 - 40 FR 14216 - 3/28/75
(H, Hw) - See Adams County

LUTHER COUNTY
Decision #AR-2025 (B)
40 FR 12982 - 3/21/75
(H, Hw) - See Adams County

LYCOMING COUNTY
Decision #AQ-2079 (B)
39 FR 11803 - 3/29/74
Mod. #1 - 39 FR 30657 - 8/23/74
Mod. #2 - 39 FR 40407 - 11/15/74
Mod. #3 - 40 FR 12016 - 3/14/75
Mod. #4 - 40 FR 15278 - 4/4/75
Mod. #5 - 40 FR 19331 - 5/2/75
(H, Hw) - See Adams County

MC KEAN COUNTY
(H, Hw) - See Adams County

MERCER COUNTY
Decision #AR-2045 (B)
39 FR 32349 - 10/18/74
Mod. #1 - 39 FR 44913 - 12/27/74
Mod. #2 - 40 FR 15281 - 4/4/75
(H, Hw) - See Butler County

MILFORD COUNTY
(H, Hw) - See Bedford County

MONROE COUNTY
(H, Hw) - See Adams County

PENNSYLVANIA (Cont'd.)

MONTGOMERY COUNTY
Decision #AR-2004 (B)
39 FR 25898 - 7/12/74
Mod. #1 - 39 FR 26012 - 8/2/74
Mod. #2 - 39 FR 37333 - 10/18/74
Mod. #3 - 39 FR 38813 - 11/1/74
Mod. #4 - 40 FR 12014 - 3/14/75
Mod. #5 - 40 FR 17465 - 4/18/75
(H, Hw) - See Bucks County

MONTGOMERY COUNTY
(H, Hw) - See Adams County

NORTHAMPTON COUNTY
Decision #AR-2015 (B)
40 FR 5947 - 2/14/75
Mod. #1 - 40 FR 8658 - 2/28/75
Mod. #2 - 40 FR 15282 - 4/4/75
(H, Hw) - See Adams County

NORTHAMPTON COUNTY
Decision #AR-2005 (B)
39 FR 43494 - 1/74
Mod. #1 - 40 FR 16 - 3/14/75
(H, Hw) - See Adams County

PERRY COUNTY
(H, Hw) - See Adams County

PHILADELPHIA COUNTY
Decision #AR-2005 (B)
39 FR 25907 - 7/12/74
Mod. #1 - 39 FR 38812 - 8/2/74
Mod. #2 - 40 FR 15281 - 4/4/75
Mod. #3 - 40 FR 17465 - 4/18/75
Mod. #4 - 40 FR 17465 - 4/18/75
Decision #C175-5045 (B)
40 FR 15294 - 4/4/75
(H, Hw, R) - See Bucks County

PIKE COUNTY
(H, Hw) - See Adams County

POTTER COUNTY
(H, Hw) - See Bedford County

SCHUYLKILL COUNTY
Decision #AR-2017 (B)
40 FR 7854 - 2/27/75
Mod. #1 - 40 FR 15282 - 4/4/75
Mod. #2 - 40 FR 19331 - 5/2/75
(H, Hw) - See Adams County

SNYDER COUNTY
(H, Hw) - See Adams County

SOMERSET COUNTY
(H, Hw) - See Butler County

SULLIVAN COUNTY
Decision #AQ-2070 (B)
39 FR 10070 - 3/15/74
Mod. #1 - 39 FR 26562 - 7/19/74
Mod. #2 - 39 FR 44911 - 12/27/74
Mod. #3 - 40 FR 4789 - 1/31/75
Mod. #4 - 40 FR 15278 - 4/4/75
(H, Hw) - See Adams County

SUSQUEHANNA COUNTY
(B) - See Lackawanna County

TIOGA COUNTY
(H, Hw) - See Adams County

UNION COUNTY
(H, Hw) - See Adams County

PENNSYLVANIA (Cont'd.)

VENANGO COUNTY
Decision #AR-2043 (B)
39 FR 7011 - 2/22/74
Mod. #1 - 39 FR 18408 - 5/24/74
Mod. #2 - 39 FR 20919 - 6/14/74
Mod. #3 - 39 FR 20008 - 8/2/74
Mod. #4 - 39 FR 41110 - 11/22/74
Mod. #5 - 40 FR 15277 - 4/4/75
(H, Hw) - See Armstrong County

WARREN COUNTY
Decision #AQ-2085 (B)
39 FR 14115 - 4/19/74
Mod. #1 - 39 FR 20920 - 6/14/74
Mod. #2 - 39 FR 20008 - 8/2/74
Mod. #3 - 39 FR 37332 - 10/18/74
Mod. #4 - 39 FR 38811 - 11/1/74
Mod. #5 - 39 FR 44911 - 12/27/74
(H, Hw) - See Armstrong County

WASHINGTON COUNTY
Decision #AR-2039 (B)
39 FR 35054 - 9/27/74
Mod. #1 - 39 FR 44912 - 12/27/74
Mod. #2 - 40 FR 4790 - 1/31/75
Mod. #3 - 40 FR 15280 - 4/4/75
(H, Hw) - See Butler County

WAYNE COUNTY
(H, Hw) - See Adams County

WESTMORELAND COUNTY
Decision #AR-2038 (B)
39 FR 31862 - 8/30/74
Mod. #1 - 39 FR 44913 - 12/27/74
Mod. #2 - 40 FR 4790 - 1/31/75
Mod. #3 - 40 FR 15280 - 4/4/75
(H, Hw) - See Butler County

WYOMING COUNTY
(H, Hw) - See Adams County

YORK COUNTY
(B, H, Hw) - See Adams County
(B, H, Hw) - See Cumberland County
(B) - See Cumberland County (New Cumberland Depot)
(H, Hw) - See Adams County (New Cumberland Depot)
(B) - See Lackawanna County

SOUTH CAROLINA (Cont'd)

CLISTERSFIELD COUNTY
(Sewer & Water, H, Hw) - See Statewide
CLARENDON COUNTY
Decision #AR-4052 (R)
38 FR 39671 - 11/8/74
(Sewer & Water, H, Hw) - See Statewide
COLLETON COUNTY
(D) - See Beaufort County
(Sewer & Water, H, Hw) - See Statewide
Decision #AR-4052 (R)
39 FR 39671 - 11/8/74
DARLINGTON COUNTY
Decision #SC75-1041 (B)
40 FR 14194 - 3/28/75
(R) - See Clarendon County
DILLON COUNTY
(Sewer & Water, H, Hw) - See Statewide
(R) - See Clarendon County
DOUGLASS COUNTY
(Sewer & Water, H, Hw) - See Statewide
(R) - See Aiken County
FAIRFIELD COUNTY
(R) - See Chester County
(Sewer & Water, H, Hw) - See Statewide
FLORENCE COUNTY
(R) - See Clarendon County
(Sewer & Water, H, Hw) - See Statewide
GEORGETOWN COUNTY
(D) - See Beaufort County
(Sewer & Water, H, Hw) - See Statewide
Decision #SC75-1038 (B)
40 FR 12951 - 3/21/75
Mod. #1 - 40 FR 16456 - 4/11/75
(R) - See Anderson County
(Sewer & Water, H, Hw) - See Statewide
GREENWOOD COUNTY
(Sewer & Water, H, Hw) - See Statewide
HUNTERDON COUNTY
(Sewer & Water, H, Hw) - See Statewide
(D) - See Beaufort County
(Sewer & Water, H, Hw) - See Statewide
JASPER COUNTY
(D) - See Beaufort County
(Sewer & Water, H, Hw) - See Statewide
KERSHAW COUNTY
(Sewer & Water, H, Hw) - See Statewide
LANCASTER COUNTY
(R) - See Chester County
(Sewer & Water, H, Hw) - See Statewide
LAURENS COUNTY
(R) - See Abbeville County
(Sewer & Water, H, Hw) - See Statewide
LEE COUNTY
(R) - See Clarendon County
(Sewer & Water, H, Hw) - See Statewide

SOUTH CAROLINA

STATEWIDE
Decision #SC75-1031 (H, Hw)
40 FR 12058 - 3/14/75
Decision #AR-157 (Sewer & Water)
38 FR 4619 - 2/16/73
ABBEVILLE COUNTY
Decision #AR-4003 (B)
39 FR 26554 - 7/19/74
(Sewer & Water, H, Hw) - See Statewide
AIKEN COUNTY
Decision #SC75-1029 (R)
40 FR 10900 - 3/7/75
(Sewer & Water, H, Hw) - See Statewide
ALLENDALE COUNTY
Decision #SC75-1045 (R)
40 FR 16636 - 4/11/75
(Sewer & Water, H, Hw) - See Statewide
ANDERSON COUNTY
Decision #SC75-1004 (R)
40 FR 3154 - 1/17/75
(Sewer & Water, H, Hw) - See Statewide
BAMBERG COUNTY
(R) - See Allendale County
(Sewer & Water, H, Hw) - See Statewide
BARNWELL COUNTY
(R) - See Aiken County
(Sewer & Water, H, Hw) - See Statewide
BEAUFORT COUNTY
Decision #SC75-1026 (B)
40 FR 8682 - 2/28/75
Mod. #1 - 40 FR 16456 - 4/11/75
Decision #R075-3008 (D)
40 FR 3094 - 1/17/75
Mod. #1 - 40 FR 14204 - 3/28/75
(Sewer & Water, H, Hw) - See Statewide
BERKELEY COUNTY
(D) - See Beaufort County
(Sewer & Water, H, Hw) - See Statewide
Decision #SC75-1021 (R)
40 FR 7859 - 2/21/75
Decision #AR-4045 (B)
39 FR 37327 - 10/18/74
Mod. #1 - 39 FR 41662 - 11/29/74
Mod. #2 - 40 FR 3087 - 1/17/75
Mod. #3 - 40 FR 4790 - 1/31/75
CALHOUN COUNTY
(R) - See Allendale County
(Sewer & Water, H, Hw) - See Statewide
CHARLESTON COUNTY
(B) - See Berkeley County
(Sewer & Water, H, Hw) - See Statewide
(R) - See Berkeley County
CHESTER COUNTY
Decision #SC75-1017 (R)
40 FR 4785 - 1/31/75
Mod. #1 - 40 FR 12017 - 3/14/75
(R) - See Abbeville County
CHESTER COUNTY
Decision #AR-4009 (B)
39 FR 25778 - 7/12/74
(Sewer & Water, H, Hw) - See Statewide

PUERTO RICO

Decision #AR-2026 (R)
39 FR 20859 - 8/9/74
Decision #AQ-2052 (B)
38 FR 5161 - 2/8/74
Decision #AQ-2019 (H, Hw)
38 FR 24847 - 9/14/73
Mod. #1 - 39 FR 27395 - 7/26/74
RHODE ISLAND
STATEWIDE
Decision #CT75-5045 (D)
40 FR 18294 - 4/4/75
BRISTOL COUNTY
Decision #R175-2031 (S, H, Hw, R, & Marine)
40 FR 6128 - 2/7/75
Mod. #1 - 40 FR 12017 - 3/14/75
(D) - See Statewide
KENT COUNTY
(S, H, Hw, & Marine) - See Bristol County
(D) - See Statewide
NEWPORT COUNTY
Decision #R175-2032 (S, H, Hw, R, & Marine)
40 FR 6132 - 2/7/75
Mod. #1 - 40 FR 12017 - 3/14/75
(D) - See Statewide
PROVIDENCE COUNTY
(S, H, Hw, R, & Marine) - See Bristol County
(D) - See Statewide
WASHINGTON COUNTY
Decision #R175-2033 (S, H, Hw, R, & Marine)
40 FR 6124 - 2/7/75
Mod. #1 - 40 FR 12017 - 3/14/75
Mod. #2 - 40 FR 14216 - 3/28/75
(D) - See Statewide

LEXINGTON COUNTY
Decision #40-4049 (R)
39 FR 38078 - 10/25/74
Decision #5C75-1042 (R)
40 FR 14271 - 3/26/75
(Sewer & Water, H, W) - See Statewide
MCCONTICK COUNTY
(Sewer & Water, H, W) - See Statewide
MARION COUNTY
(R) - See Clarendon County
(Sewer & Water, H, W) - See Statewide
MAYLEND COUNTY
(R) - See Clarendon County
(Sewer & Water, H, W) - See Statewide
NEWBERRY COUNTY
(R) - See Abbeville County
(Sewer & Water, H, W) - See Statewide
OCONEE COUNTY
(R) - See Anderson County
(Sewer & Water, H, W) - See Statewide
ORANGEBURG COUNTY
(Sewer & Water, H, W) - See Statewide
(R) - See Allendale County
PICKENS COUNTY
(R) - See Anderson County
(Sewer & Water, H, W) - See Statewide
RICHLAND COUNTY
(Sewer & Water, H, W) - See Statewide
(R) - See Lexington County
(R) - See Lexington County
SALUDA COUNTY
(Sewer & Water, H, W) - See Statewide
SPARTANBURG COUNTY
(R) - See Cherokee County
(Sewer & Water, H, W) - See Statewide
SUMTER COUNTY
(Sewer & Water, H, W) - See Statewide
Decision #40-4097 (B)
39 FR 12581 - 4/5/74
(Sewer & Water, H, W) - See Statewide
(R) - See Clarendon County
UNION COUNTY
(R) - See Cherokee County
(Sewer & Water, H, W) - See Statewide
(R) - See Abbeville County
WILLIAMSBURG COUNTY
(R) - See Clarendon County
(Sewer & Water, H, W) - See Statewide
YORK COUNTY
(Sewer & Water, H, W) - See Statewide

STATEWIDE
Decision #5075-5013 (H, W)
40 FR 8553 - 1/31/75
AURORA COUNTY
(H, W) - See Statewide
BEADLE COUNTY
(H, W) - See Statewide
BENNETT COUNTY
(H, W) - See Statewide
BON HOPPE COUNTY
(H, W) - See Statewide
BROOKINGS COUNTY
(H, W) - See Statewide
BROWN COUNTY
(H, W) - See Statewide
BRULE COUNTY
(H, W) - See Statewide
BUFFALO COUNTY
(H, W) - See Statewide
BUTTE COUNTY
(H, W) - See Statewide
CAMPBELL COUNTY
(H, W) - See Statewide
CHARLES MIX COUNTY
(H, W) - See Statewide
CLARK COUNTY
(H, W) - See Statewide
CLAY COUNTY
(H, W) - See Statewide
CODDINGTON COUNTY
(H, W) - See Statewide
CORSON COUNTY
(H, W) - See Statewide
COSTER COUNTY
(H, W) - See Statewide
DAVISON COUNTY
(H, W) - See Statewide
DAY COUNTY
(H, W) - See Statewide
DEUEL COUNTY
(H, W) - See Statewide
DENNET COUNTY
(H, W) - See Statewide
DOUGLAS COUNTY
(H, W) - See Statewide
EDMONDS COUNTY
(H, W) - See Statewide
FALL RIVER COUNTY
(H, W) - See Statewide
FAULK COUNTY
(H, W) - See Statewide
GRANT COUNTY
(H, W) - See Statewide
GREGORY COUNTY
(H, W) - See Statewide
HANCOCK COUNTY
(H, W) - See Statewide

HAMLIN COUNTY
(H, W) - See Statewide
HAZARD COUNTY
(H, W) - See Statewide
HANSON COUNTY
(H, W) - See Statewide
HARDING COUNTY
(H, W) - See Statewide
HUGHES COUNTY
(H, W) - See Statewide
HUTCHINSON COUNTY
(H, W) - See Statewide
HYDE COUNTY
(H, W) - See Statewide
JACKSON COUNTY
(H, W) - See Statewide
JEROME COUNTY
(H, W) - See Statewide
JONES COUNTY
(H, W) - See Statewide
KINGSBURY COUNTY
(H, W) - See Statewide
LAKE COUNTY
(H, W) - See Statewide
LAMAR COUNTY
(H, W) - See Statewide
LINCOLN COUNTY
(H, W) - See Statewide
LYMAN COUNTY
(H, W) - See Statewide
MARSHALL COUNTY
(H, W) - See Statewide
MC CORMACK COUNTY
(H, W) - See Statewide
MC PHERSON COUNTY
(H, W) - See Statewide
MEADE COUNTY
Decision #5075-5044 (B)
40 FR 15327 - 4/4/75
(H, W) - See Statewide
MELLETTE COUNTY
(H, W) - See Statewide
MINER COUNTY
(H, W) - See Statewide
MINNEHAHA COUNTY
Decision #5075-5043 (B)
40 FR 15326 - 4/4/75
(H, W) - See Statewide
Decision #40-1091 (R)
39 FR 8146 - 3/1/74
Mod. #1 - 39 FR 14856 - 4/26/74
MOODY COUNTY
(H, W) - See Statewide
PENNINGTON COUNTY
(B) - See Meade County
(H, W) - See Statewide

SOUTH DAKOTA (Cont'd)

PENNINGTON COUNTY
(B) - See Beade County
(H, Hw) - See Statewide
PERKINS COUNTY
(H, Hw) - See Statewide
POTTER COUNTY
(H, Hw) - See Statewide
ROBERTS COUNTY
(H, Hw) - See Statewide
SANDSPRING COUNTY
(H, Hw) - See Statewide
SHANNON COUNTY
(H, Hw) - See Statewide
SPIRIT COUNTY
(H, Hw) - See Statewide
STANLEY COUNTY
(H, Hw) - See Statewide
SULLY COUNTY
(H, Hw) - See Statewide
TODD COUNTY
(H, Hw) - See Statewide
TRIPP COUNTY
(H, Hw) - See Statewide
TURNER COUNTY
(H, Hw) - See Statewide
UNION COUNTY
(H, Hw) - See Statewide
WALWORTH COUNTY
(H, Hw) - See Statewide
WASHINGTON COUNTY
(H, Hw) - See Statewide
YANKTON COUNTY
(H, Hw) - See Statewide
ZIEBACH COUNTY
(H, Hw) - See Statewide

TENNESSEE

TENNESSEE (Cont'd)

STATEWIDE
Decision #A075-5032 (F)
40 FR 8706 - 2/28/75
Decision #A0-4046 (Hw)
40 FR 940 - 1/3/75
ANDERSON COUNTY
Decision #T075-1050 (B) (Oak Ridge and
Atomic Energy Commission)
40 FR 19367 - 5/27/75
(Hw) - See Statewide
(F) - See Statewide
BEDFORD COUNTY
(F, Hw) - See Statewide
BENTON COUNTY
(F, Hw) - See Statewide
BLEDSOE COUNTY
(F, Hw) - See Statewide
BLOUNT COUNTY
(F, Hw) - See Statewide
BRADLEY COUNTY
(F, Hw) - See Statewide
CAMPELLE COUNTY
(F, Hw) - See Statewide
CANTON COUNTY
(F, Hw) - See Statewide
CARROLL COUNTY
Decision #A0-4013 (D)
39 FR 27397 - 7/25/74
(F, Hw) - See Statewide
CARTER COUNTY
Decision #A0-104 (B)
37 FR 13915 - 7/14/72
Decision #A0-4128 (R)
39 FR 24158 - 6/28/74
(F, Hw) - See Statewide
CREATHAM COUNTY
Decision #A0-9061 (R)
39 FR 3426 - 1/25/74
Mod. #1 - 40 FR 7800 - 2/21/75
(F, Hw) - See Statewide
CRESTER COUNTY
(F, Hw) - See Statewide
CLAIDSON COUNTY
(F, Hw) - See Statewide

CLAY COUNTY
(F, Hw) - See Statewide
COCKE COUNTY
(F, Hw) - See Statewide
COFFEY COUNTY
(F, Hw) - See Statewide
CROCKETT COUNTY
(D) - See Carroll County
(F, Hw) - See Statewide
CUMBERLAND COUNTY
(F, Hw) - See Statewide
DAVISON COUNTY
Decision #A0-4021 (B)
39 FR 31868 - 8/30/74
Mod. #1 - 39 FR 35815 - 11/1/74
Mod. #2 - 39 FR 42813 - 12/6/74
Mod. #3 - 40 FR 3088 - 1/17/75
Mod. #4 - 40 FR 6910 - 2/14/75
(R) - See Cheatham County
(F, Hw) - See Statewide
DECATUR COUNTY
(F, Hw) - See Statewide
DEKALB COUNTY
(F, Hw) - See Statewide
DEKSON COUNTY
(F, Hw) - See Statewide
(R) - See Cheatham County
DYER COUNTY
Decision #A0-4073 (B)
39 FR 5947 - 2/15/74
Mod. #1 - 39 FR 9357 - 3/6/74
(F, Hw) - See Statewide
(D) - See Carroll County
FAYETTE COUNTY
(D) - See Carroll County
(F, Hw) - See Statewide
FENTRESS COUNTY
(F, Hw) - See Statewide
FRANKLIN COUNTY
(F, Hw) - See Statewide
GIBSON COUNTY
(D) - See Carroll County
(F, Hw) - See Statewide
(B) - See Dyer County

TENNESSEE (Cont'd)

GILES COUNTY
(F, Hw) - See Statewide
GRANT COUNTY
(F, Hw) - See Statewide
GREENE COUNTY
(F, Hw) - See Statewide
GRUNDY COUNTY
(F, Hw) - See Statewide
HAMILTON COUNTY
(F, Hw) - See Statewide
Decision #48-4062 (B, H)
39 FR 42628 - 12/6/74
Mod. #1 - 40 FR 3058 - 1/17/75
Mod. #2 - 40 FR 6950 - 2/14/75
Mod. #3 - 40 FR 8698 - 2/28/75
(F, Hw) - See Statewide
HARDEMAN COUNTY
(F, Hw) - See Statewide
(D) - See Carroll County
(F, Hw) - See Statewide
HARDIN COUNTY
(F, Hw) - See Statewide
HARRIS COUNTY
(F, Hw) - See Statewide
HAYWOOD COUNTY
(D) - See Carroll County
(F, Hw) - See Statewide
HENDESON COUNTY
(F, Hw) - See Statewide
HENRY COUNTY
(D) - See Carroll County
(F, Hw) - See Statewide
HICKMAN COUNTY
(F, Hw) - See Statewide
HOUSTON COUNTY
(F, Hw) - See Statewide
HUGHES COUNTY
(F, Hw) - See Statewide
JACKSON COUNTY
(F, Hw) - See Statewide

TENNESSEE (CONT'D)

JEFFERSON COUNTY
(F, Hw) - See Statewide
JOHNSON COUNTY
(F, Hw) - See Statewide
KNOW COUNTY
Decision #48-4022 (B)
39 FR 31869 - 8/30/74
Mod. #1 - 39 FR 36815 - 11/1/74
Mod. #2 - 39 FR 42813 - 12/6/74
Mod. #3 - 40 FR 3088 - 1/17/75
Mod. #4 - 40 FR 6910 - 2/14/75
Decision #40-4051 (B)
39 FR 2321 - 1/18/74
(F, Hw) - See Statewide
LAKE COUNTY
Decision #40-4074 (B)
39 FR 5947 - 2/15/74
(F, Hw) - See Statewide
LAUDERDALE COUNTY
(D) - See Carroll County
(F, Hw) - See Statewide
LAWRENCE COUNTY
(F, Hw) - See Statewide
(R) - See Cheatham County
LEWIS COUNTY
(F, Hw) - See Statewide
LINCOLN COUNTY
(F, Hw) - See Statewide
LODON COUNTY
(F, Hw) - See Statewide
MC HENRY COUNTY
(F, Hw) - See Statewide
MC NALLY COUNTY
(F, Hw) - See Statewide
MADISON COUNTY
Decision #40-4094 (B)
39 FR 10997 - 3/22/74
Mod. #1 - 39 FR 34929 - 9/27/74
Mod. #2 - 40 FR 3083 - 1/17/75
Mod. #3 - 40 FR 6911 - 2/14/75
(D) - See Carroll County
(F, Hw) - See Statewide
MARION COUNTY
(D) - See Carroll County
(F, Hw) - See Statewide

TENNESSEE (Cont'd)

MARSHALL COUNTY
(F, Hw) - See Statewide
(B) - See Cheatham County
MAURY COUNTY
(F, Hw) - See Statewide
(B) - See Cheatham County
MEigs COUNTY
(F, Hw) - See Statewide
MONROE COUNTY
(F, Hw) - See Statewide
MONTGOMERY COUNTY
(F, Hw) - See Statewide
MOORE COUNTY
(F, Hw) - See Statewide
MORGAN COUNTY
(F, Hw) - See Statewide
OBION COUNTY
(R) - See Lake County
(D) - See Carroll County
(F, Hw) - See Statewide
OVERTON COUNTY
(F, Hw) - See Statewide
PERRY COUNTY
(F, Hw) - See Statewide
PICKETT COUNTY
(F, Hw) - See Statewide
POLK COUNTY
(F, Hw) - See Statewide
POTOMAC COUNTY
(F, Hw) - See Statewide
RHEA COUNTY
(F, Hw) - See Statewide
ROANE COUNTY
(B) - See Anderson Co. (Oak Ridge and Atomic Energy Commission)
(F, Hw) - See Statewide
ROBERTSON COUNTY
(F, Hw) - See Statewide
(B) - See Cheatham County

TENNESSEE (Cont'd)

RUTHERFORD COUNTY
Decision #40-4114 (B)
39 FR 16980 - 5/10/74
Mod. #1 - 39 FR 28013 - 8/2/74
Mod. #2 - 39 FR 29726 - 8/16/74
Mod. #3 - 39 FR 31782 - 8/30/74
Mod. #4 - 40 FR 3088 - 1/17/75
(F, Hw) - See Statewide
(R) - See Cheatham County
SCOTT COUNTY
(F, Hw) - See Statewide
SEQUITAH COUNTY
(F, Hw) - See Statewide
SEVIER COUNTY
(F, Hw) - See Statewide
SHELBY COUNTY
Decision #TN75-1006 (B, H, & Utility)
40 FR 3155 - 1/17/75
Mod. #1 - 40 FR 6911 - 2/14/75
Decision #49-152 (R)
38 FR 4176 - 2/9/73
(D) - See Carroll County
(F, Hw) - See Statewide
SMITH COUNTY
(F, Hw) - See Statewide
STEWART COUNTY
(F, Hw) - See Statewide
SULLIVAN COUNTY
Decision #49-8623 (B)
37 FR 12013 - 5/16/72
Mod. #1 - 37 FR 23065 - 10/27/72
Mod. #2 - 39 FR 11815 - 3/29/74
Mod. #3 - 39 FR 17655 - 5/17/74
(F, Hw) - See Statewide
(R) - See Carter County
SUMNER COUNTY
(F, Hw) - See Statewide
(R) - See Cheatham County
TIPTON COUNTY
(D) - See Carroll County
(F, Hw) - See Statewide
TROUSDALE COUNTY
(F, Hw) - See Statewide
(R) - See Cheatham County

TENNESSEE (cont'd)

TULSA

TULSA

UNION COUNTY
(F, H, W) - See Statewide
UNION COUNTY
(F, H, W) - See Statewide
VAN BUREN COUNTY
(F, H, W) - See Statewide
VAN BUREN COUNTY
(F, H, W) - See Statewide
WASHINGTON COUNTY
(F, H, W) - See Statewide
WASHINGTON COUNTY
Decision #100-100 (B)
37 FR 13419 - 1/1/72
Mod. #1 - 37 FR 17316 - 8/25/72
Mod. #2 - 37 FR 22708 - 10/20/72
(R) - See Carter County
(F, H, W) - See Statewide
WAYNE COUNTY
(F, H, W) - See Statewide
JEANETTE COUNTY
(F, H, W) - See Statewide
(F, H, W) - See Carroll County
(F, H, W) - See Statewide
WILLIAMSON COUNTY
(F, H, W) - See Statewide
(R) - See Cheatham County
WILSON COUNTY
(F, H, W) - See Statewide
(R) - See Cheatham County

STATEWIDE (Excluding Dallas-Fort Worth
Regional Airport)
Decision #TX75-4001 (H) (Excluding tunnels
and dams), H
Incidental shore work, and paving and
utilities incidental to general
building construction)
40 FR 2402 - 1/10/75
Mod. #1 - 40 FR 3887 - 1/24/75
Mod. #2 - 40 FR 4791 - 1/31/75
ANDERSON COUNTY
(H, H, W) - See Statewide
ANDREWS COUNTY
(H, H, W) - See Statewide
ANGELINA COUNTY
(H, H, W) - See Statewide
ARANSAS COUNTY
(H, H, W) - See Statewide
Decision #AR-4013 (D)
39 FR 27397 - 7/26/74
ARCHER COUNTY
(H, H, W) - See Statewide
ARMSTRONG COUNTY
Decision #TX75-4020 (B)
40 FR 3922 - 1/24/75
Mod. #1 - 40 FR 5987 - 2/7/75
Mod. #2 - 40 FR 8699 - 2/28/75
Mod. #3 - 40 FR 12018 - 3/14/75
Mod. #4 - 40 FR 12956 - 3/21/75
Decision #TX75-4021 (R)
40 FR 3925 - 1/24/75
Mod. #1 - 40 FR 5988 - 2/7/75
(H, H, W) - See Statewide
ATASCOSA COUNTY
(H, H, W) - See Statewide
AUSTIN COUNTY
(H, H, W) - See Statewide
BAILEY COUNTY
(H, H, W) - See Statewide
Decision #TX75-4003 (R)
40 FR 3158 - 1/17/75
BANDERA COUNTY
(H, H, W) - See Statewide
BASTROP COUNTY
Decision #AR-1 (R)
39 FR 24809 - 7/5/74
(H, H, W) - See Statewide
BAYLOR COUNTY
(H, H, W) - See Statewide
BEE COUNTY
Decision #TX75-4004 (R)
40 FR 3160 - 1/17/75
(H, H, W) - See Statewide
BELL COUNTY
(H, H, W) - See Statewide
Decision #TX75-4022 (B)
40 FR 3927 - 1/24/75
Mod. #1 - 40 FR 8699 - 2/28/75
Mod. #2 - 40 FR 12956 - 3/21/75
Mod. #3 - 40 FR 12956 - 3/21/75
Mod. #4 - 40 FR 17472 - 4/18/75

BEAR COUNTY
Decision #AR-45 (B)
39 FR 34015 - 9/20/74
Mod. #1 - 39 FR 35915 - 10/16/74
Mod. #2 - 39 FR 35915 - 11/8/74
Mod. #3 - 39 FR 44158 - 12/20/74
(H, H, W) - See Statewide
Decision #TX75-4005 (R)
40 FR 3161 - 1/17/75
BLANCO COUNTY
(H, H, W) - See Statewide
(R) - See Bastrop County
BORDER COUNTY
(H, H, W) - See Statewide
BOSSQUE COUNTY
(B) - See Bell County
(H, H, W) - See Statewide
BOWIE COUNTY
Decision #TX75-4023 (B)
40 FR 3930 - 1/24/75
Mod. #1 - 40 FR 8699 - 2/28/75
(H, H, W) - See Statewide
BRADSHAW COUNTY
(H, H, W) - See Statewide
Decision #AR-11 (R)
39 FR 29910 - 8/16/74
(D) - See Arkansas County
BRADSHAW COUNTY
Decision #TX75-4047 (B)
40 FR 5969 - 2/7/75
Mod. #1 - 40 FR 8700 - 2/28/75
Mod. #2 - 40 FR 12957 - 3/21/75
(H, H, W) - See Statewide
BREMER COUNTY
(H, H, W) - See Statewide
BRISCOE COUNTY
(H, H, W) - See Statewide
BROOKS COUNTY
(H, H, W) - See Statewide
BROWN COUNTY
(H, H, W) - See Statewide
BURLINGTON COUNTY
(H, H, W) - See Statewide
BURNETT COUNTY
(H, H, W) - See Statewide
CALDWELL COUNTY
(H, H, W) - See Statewide
(R) - See Bastrop County
CALHOUN COUNTY
(H, H, W) - See Statewide
(D) - See Arkansas County
CALLAHAN COUNTY
(H, H, W) - See Statewide
CANEBAUGH COUNTY
Decision #TX75-4007 (B)
40 FR 3165 - 1/17/75
Mod. #1 - 40 FR 8698 - 2/28/75
Mod. #2 - 40 FR 12956 - 3/21/75
(H, H, W) - See Statewide

TEXAS (Cont'd.)

CAMERON COUNTY (Cont'd.)
Decision #TX75-4003 (R)
40 FR 3166 - 1/17/75

CARR COUNTY
(H, Hw) - See Statewide

CARSON COUNTY
(B, R) - See Armstrong County
(H, Hw) - See Statewide

CASS COUNTY
(H, Hw) - See Statewide

CASTRO COUNTY
(B, R) - See Armstrong County
(H, Hw) - See Statewide

CHAMBERS COUNTY
(H, Hw) - See Statewide
(D) - See Aransas County

CHEKKEE COUNTY
(H, Hw) - See Statewide

CHILDRESS COUNTY
(B, R) - See Armstrong County
(H, Hw) - See Statewide

CLAY COUNTY
(H, Hw) - See Statewide

COCHRAN COUNTY
(H, Hw) - See Statewide
(R) - See Bailey County

COKE COUNTY
(H, Hw) - See Statewide

COLEMAN COUNTY
(H, Hw) - See Statewide

COLLIN COUNTY
Decision #40-87 (R)
39 FR 10106 - 3/15/74

Fort Worth Regional Airport
Decision #TX75-4009 (B-excluding Dallas-
40 FR 3168 - 1/17/75

Mod. #1 - 40 FR 4791 - 1/31/75
Mod. #2 - 40 FR 5937 - 2/7/75
Mod. #3 - 40 FR 8698 - 2/28/75
Mod. #4 - 40 FR 12017 - 3/14/75
Mod. #5 - 40 FR 15283 - 4/4/75

(H, Hw) - See Statewide

COLLINGSWORTH COUNTY
(B, R) - See Armstrong County
(H, Hw) - See Statewide

COLORADO COUNTY
(H, Hw) - See Statewide

COMAL COUNTY
(H, Hw) - See Statewide

COMANCHE COUNTY
(H, Hw) - See Statewide

CONCHO COUNTY
(H, Hw) - See Statewide

COOKE COUNTY
(H, Hw) - See Statewide

CORTELL COUNTY
(B) - See Bell County
(H, Hw) - See Statewide

COTILE COUNTY
(H, Hw) - See Statewide

TEXAS (Cont'd.)

CRANE COUNTY
(H, Hw) - See Statewide
Decision #TX75-4006 (R)
40 FR 3163 - 1/17/75

CROCKETT COUNTY
(H, Hw) - See Statewide

CROSBY COUNTY
(R) - See Bailey County
(H, Hw) - See Statewide

CULBERSON COUNTY
(H, Hw) - See Statewide

DALLAS COUNTY
(R, R) - See Collin County
(H, Hw) - See Statewide

DALLAM COUNTY
(B, R) - See Armstrong County
(H, Hw) - See Statewide

DANFORTH COUNTY
(H, Hw) - See Statewide

DEAF SMITH COUNTY
(B, R) - See Armstrong County
(H, Hw) - See Statewide

DELTA COUNTY
(H, Hw) - See Statewide

DENTON COUNTY
(B, R) - See Collin County
(H, Hw) - See Statewide

DE WITT COUNTY
(H, Hw) - See Statewide

DICKENS COUNTY
(H, Hw) - See Statewide

DIMMIT COUNTY
Decision #TX75-4010 (B, R)
40 FR 3172 - 1/17/75

(H, Hw) - See Statewide

DONLEY COUNTY
(B, R) - See Collin County
(H, Hw) - See Statewide

DUVAL COUNTY
(H, Hw) - See Statewide

EASTLAND COUNTY
(H, Hw) - See Statewide

ECTOR COUNTY
(H, Hw) - See Statewide
(R) - See Crane County

EDWARDS COUNTY
(H, Hw) - See Statewide

ELLIS COUNTY
(B, R) - See Collin County
(H, Hw) - See Statewide

EL PASO COUNTY
Decision #TX75-4070 (B)
40 FR 10638 - 4/1/75

Mod. #1 - 40 FR 18203 - 4/25/75

(H, Hw) - See Statewide

EBATH COUNTY
(H, Hw) - See Statewide

TEXAS (Cont'd.)

FALLS COUNTY
(B) - See Bell County
(H, Hw) - See Statewide

FANNIN COUNTY
(H, Hw) - See Statewide

FAYETTE COUNTY
(H, Hw) - See Statewide
(B) - See Bastrop County

FISHER COUNTY
(H, Hw) - See Statewide

FLOYD COUNTY
(R) - See Bailey County
(H, Hw) - See Statewide

FOARD COUNTY
(H, Hw) - See Statewide

FORT BEND COUNTY
(R) - See Brazoria County
(H, Hw) - See Statewide

FRANKLIN COUNTY
(H, Hw) - See Statewide

FREESTONE COUNTY
(H, Hw) - See Statewide

FRIO COUNTY
(H, Hw) - See Statewide

GAINES COUNTY
(H, Hw) - See Statewide

GALVESTON COUNTY
Decision #TX75-4077 (B)
40 FR 16637 - 4/11/75

(R) - See Brazoria County
(D) - See Aransas County

(H, Hw) - See Statewide

GARZA COUNTY
(R) - See Bailey County
(H, Hw) - See Statewide

GILLESPIE COUNTY
(H, Hw) - See Statewide

GLASSCOCK COUNTY
(H, Hw) - See Statewide

GOLIAD COUNTY
(H, Hw) - See Statewide

GONZALES COUNTY
(H, Hw) - See Statewide

GRAY COUNTY
(B, R) - See Armstrong County
(H, Hw) - See Statewide

GRAYSON COUNTY
(B) - See Collin County
(H, Hw) - See Statewide

GREGG COUNTY
Decision #TX75-4026 (B)
40 FR 3935 - 1/24/75

Mod. #1 - 40 FR 14216 - 3/28/75

(H, Hw) - See Statewide

TEXAS (Cont'd.)

GRIMES COUNTY
(H, Hw) - See Statewide

GUADALUPE COUNTY
(H, Hw) - See Statewide

HALE COUNTY
(R) - See Bailey County
(H, Hw) - See Statewide

HALL COUNTY
(H, Hw) - See Statewide

HAMILTON COUNTY
(H, Hw) - See Statewide

HANSFORD COUNTY
(B, R) - See Armstrong County
(H, Hw) - See Statewide

HARDEN COUNTY
(H, Hw) - See Statewide

HARDIN COUNTY
(H, Hw) - See Statewide

HARRIS COUNTY
(B) - See Galveston County
(R) - See Brazoria County
(D) - See Aransas County
(H, Hw) - See Statewide

HARRISON COUNTY
Decision #40-82 (B)
39 FR 41652 - 11/29/74

Mod. #1 - 40 FR 3867 - 1/24/75
Mod. #2 - 40 FR 8698 - 2/28/75
Mod. #3 - 40 FR 12017 - 3/14/75

(H, Hw) - See Statewide

HARTLEY COUNTY
(B, R) - See Armstrong County
(H, Hw) - See Statewide

HASKELL COUNTY
(H, Hw) - See Statewide

HAYS COUNTY
(R) - See Statewide
(H, Hw) - See Statewide

HENRICH COUNTY
(B, R) - See Armstrong County
(H, Hw) - See Statewide

HENDERSON COUNTY
(H, Hw) - See Statewide

HIDALGO COUNTY
(B, R) - See Cameron County
(H, Hw) - See Statewide

HILL COUNTY
(B) - See Bell County
(H, Hw) - See Statewide

HOCKLEY COUNTY
(R) - See Bailey County
(H, Hw) - See Statewide

HOOD COUNTY
(B) - See Collin County
Decision #TX75-4011 (R)
40 FR 3173 - 1/17/75

(H, Hw) - See Statewide

TEXAS (Cont'd)

HOPKINS COUNTY (H, Hw) - See Statewide
 HOUSTON COUNTY (H, Hw) - See Statewide
 HOWARD COUNTY (H, Hw) - See Statewide
 Decision #TX75-4027 (B, R) 40 FR 3536 - 1/24/75
 Mod. #1 - 40 FR 5988 - 2/7/75
 (H, Hw) - See Statewide
 HUDSPETH COUNTY (H, Hw) - See Statewide
 HUNT COUNTY (H, Hw) - See Statewide
 (R) (B) - See Collin County
 (H, Hw) - See Statewide
 HUTCHINSON COUNTY (H, Hw) - See Statewide
 (R) (B) - See Armstrong County
 (H, Hw) - See Statewide
 IRLOW COUNTY (H, Hw) - See Statewide
 JACK COUNTY (H, Hw) - See Statewide
 JACKSON COUNTY (H, Hw) - See Statewide
 (B) - See Aransas County
 (H, Hw) - See Statewide
 JASPER COUNTY (H, Hw) - See Statewide
 JEFF DAVIS COUNTY (H, Hw) - See Statewide
 JEFFERSON COUNTY (H, Hw) - See Statewide
 Decision #TX75-4012 (B, R) 40 FR 3175 - 1/17/75
 Mod. #1 - 40 FR 7800 - 2/21/75
 Mod. #2 - 40 FR 8698 - 2/28/75
 Mod. #3 - 40 FR 12017 - 3/14/75
 (D) - See Aransas County
 (Hw) - See Statewide
 JOHN HOGG COUNTY (H, Hw) - See Statewide
 (B, R) - See Dimitt County
 (H, Hw) - See Statewide
 JOHN WELLS COUNTY (H, Hw) - See Statewide
 (R) - See Hood County
 JONES COUNTY (H, Hw) - See Statewide
 KARNES COUNTY (H, Hw) - See Statewide

TEXAS (Cont'd)

KAUFMAN COUNTY (B, R) - See Collin County
 (H, Hw) - See Statewide
 KENDALL COUNTY (H, Hw) - See Statewide
 KENEDY COUNTY (H, Hw) - See Statewide
 (H, Hw) - See Statewide
 (B) (R) - See Aransas County
 KENT COUNTY (H, Hw) - See Statewide
 KERR COUNTY (H, Hw) - See Statewide
 KIMBLE COUNTY (H, Hw) - See Statewide
 KING COUNTY (H, Hw) - See Statewide
 KINNEY COUNTY (H, Hw) - See Statewide
 KLEBERG COUNTY (H, Hw) - See Statewide
 Decision #TX75-4028 (B) 40 FR 3938 - 1/24/75
 Mod. #1 - 40 FR 8699 - 2/28/75
 Mod. #2 - 40 FR 16496 - 4/11/75
 (D) - See Aransas County
 (H, Hw) - See Statewide
 (R) - See See County
 KNOX COUNTY (H, Hw) - See Statewide
 LAMAR COUNTY (H, Hw) - See Statewide
 LAMB COUNTY (H, Hw) - See Statewide
 (R) - See Bailey County
 (H, Hw) - See Statewide
 LAMPASAS COUNTY (H, Hw) - See Statewide
 LA SALLE COUNTY (B, R) - See Dimitt County
 (H, Hw) - See Statewide
 LAVACA COUNTY (H, Hw) - See Statewide
 (H, Hw) - See Statewide
 LEE COUNTY (H, Hw) - See Statewide
 (R) - See Bastrop County

TEXAS (Cont'd)

LEON COUNTY (H, Hw) - See Statewide
 LIBERTY COUNTY (H, Hw) - See Statewide
 LIMESTONE COUNTY (H, Hw) - See Statewide
 LIPSOMB COUNTY (H, Hw) - See Statewide
 (H, Hw) - See Statewide
 (B) (R) - See Armstrong County
 LIVE OAK COUNTY (H, Hw) - See Statewide
 LLANO COUNTY (H, Hw) - See Statewide
 LOVING COUNTY (H, Hw) - See Statewide
 (H, Hw) - See Statewide
 (R) - See Crane County
 LUBBOCK COUNTY (R) - See Bailey County
 (H, Hw) - See Statewide
 Decision #TX75-4029 (B) 40 FR 3940 - 1/24/75
 Mod. #1 - 40 FR 8699 - 2/28/75
 Mod. #2 - 40 FR 12018 - 3/14/75
 Mod. #3 - 40 FR 12957 - 3/21/75
 LYNCH COUNTY (R) - See Bailey County
 (H, Hw) - See Statewide
 McCULLOCH COUNTY (H, Hw) - See Statewide
 MCLENNAN COUNTY (B) - See Bell County
 (H, Hw) - See Statewide
 MCMLLEN COUNTY (H, Hw) - See Statewide
 (H, Hw) - See Statewide
 MADISON COUNTY (H, Hw) - See Statewide
 MAGDON COUNTY (H, Hw) - See Statewide
 MARTIN COUNTY (H, Hw) - See Statewide

TEXAS (Cont'd)

MASON COUNTY (H, Hw) - See Statewide
 MATAGORDA COUNTY (R) - See Brazoria County
 (H, Hw) - See Statewide
 (D) - See Aransas County
 WATERICK COUNTY (B, R) - See Dimitt County
 (H, Hw) - See Statewide
 MEDINA COUNTY (H, Hw) - See Statewide
 MENARD COUNTY (H, Hw) - See Statewide
 MIDLAND COUNTY (H, Hw) - See Statewide
 (R) - See Crane County
 MILAM COUNTY (H, Hw) - See Statewide
 MILLS COUNTY (H, Hw) - See Statewide
 MITCHELL COUNTY (H, Hw) - See Statewide
 MONTAGUE COUNTY (H, Hw) - See Statewide
 MONTGOMERY COUNTY (R) - See Brazoria County
 (H, Hw) - See Statewide
 MOORE COUNTY (R) (B) - See Armstrong County
 (H, Hw) - See Statewide
 MORRIS COUNTY (H, Hw) - See Statewide
 MOTLEY COUNTY (H, Hw) - See Statewide
 NACOGDOCHES COUNTY (H, Hw) - See Statewide
 NAVARRO COUNTY (H, Hw) - See Statewide

TEXAS (Cont'd.)

NEXTON COUNTY
 (H, Hw) - See Statewide
 NOLAN COUNTY
 (R) - See Crane County
 ALEXES COUNTY
 (H, Hw) - See Statewide
 (B) - See Kleberg County
 (D) - See Aransas County
 (H, Hw) - See Statewide
 (B) - See Statewide
 (R) - See Bexar County
 OCHILTREE COUNTY
 (R) - See Armstrong County
 (H, Hw) - See Statewide
 OGDEN COUNTY
 (R) - See Armstrong County
 (H, Hw) - See Statewide
 (B) - See Statewide
 (D) - See Aransas County
 PALO PINTO COUNTY
 (B) - See Collin County
 (H, Hw) - See Statewide
 (R) - See Hood County
 PANOLA COUNTY
 (H, Hw) - See Statewide
 PARKER COUNTY
 (H, Hw) - See Statewide
 (R) - See Hood County
 PARKER COUNTY
 (H, Hw) - See Statewide
 (R) - See Statewide
 (D) - See Crane County
 POLK COUNTY
 (H, Hw) - See Statewide
 POTTER COUNTY
 (H, Hw) - See Statewide
 (B) - See Armstrong County
 PRESIDIO COUNTY
 (H, Hw) - See Statewide
 RALPHS COUNTY
 (H, Hw) - See Statewide
 RANDALL COUNTY
 (H, Hw) - See Statewide
 (B) - See Armstrong County
 REAGAN COUNTY
 (H, Hw) - See Statewide
 REAL COUNTY
 (H, Hw) - See Statewide
 RED RIVER COUNTY
 (H, Hw) - See Statewide

TEXAS (Cont'd.)

REEVES COUNTY
 (H, Hw) - See Statewide
 (R) - See Crane County
 REFUGIO COUNTY
 (H, Hw) - See Statewide
 (B) - See Aransas County
 ROBERTS COUNTY
 (H, Hw) - See Statewide
 (B) - See Statewide
 (R) - See Armstrong County
 ROBERTSON COUNTY
 (H, Hw) - See Statewide
 ROCKWALL COUNTY
 (R) - See Collin County
 (H, Hw) - See Statewide
 (B) - See Statewide
 (D) - See Aransas County
 RAINWALL COUNTY
 (H, Hw) - See Statewide
 RISK COUNTY
 (H, Hw) - See Statewide
 SABINE COUNTY
 (H, Hw) - See Statewide
 SAN AUGUSTINE COUNTY
 (H, Hw) - See Statewide
 SAN JACINTO COUNTY
 (H, Hw) - See Statewide
 SAN PATRICK COUNTY
 (R) - See Bexar County
 (D) - See Aransas County
 SAN SABA COUNTY
 (H, Hw) - See Statewide
 SCHLEICHER COUNTY
 (H, Hw) - See Statewide
 SCURRY COUNTY
 (H, Hw) - See Statewide
 SHERMAN COUNTY
 (H, Hw) - See Statewide
 (B) - See Armstrong County
 SMITH COUNTY
 (H, Hw) - See Statewide
 SONDERWELL COUNTY
 (H, Hw) - See Statewide
 STARR COUNTY
 (H, Hw) - See Statewide
 (B) - See Statewide
 (R) - See Cameron County
 STEPHENS COUNTY
 (H, Hw) - See Statewide

TEXAS (Cont'd.)

STERLING COUNTY
 (H, Hw) - See Statewide
 STONEWALL COUNTY
 (H, Hw) - See Statewide
 SUTTON COUNTY
 (D) - See Statewide
 (H, Hw) - See Statewide
 SWISHER COUNTY
 (H, Hw) - See Statewide
 (B) - See Statewide
 (R) - See Armstrong County
 TARRANT COUNTY
 (B) - See Collin County
 (H, Hw) - See Statewide
 Decision #42-117 (R)
 39 FR 22400 - 6/21/74
 TAYLOR COUNTY
 Decision #42-117 (R)
 40 FR 3841 1/24/75
 Mod. #1 - 40 FR 8699 - 2/28/75
 (H, Hw) - See Statewide
 TERRELL COUNTY
 (H, Hw) - See Statewide
 TERRY COUNTY
 (H, Hw) - See Statewide
 (R) - See Statewide
 (B) - See Bailey County
 THROCKMORTON COUNTY
 (H, Hw) - See Statewide
 TITUS COUNTY
 (H, Hw) - See Statewide
 TOM GREEN COUNTY
 Decision #42-117 (R)
 40 FR 5971 - 2/7/75
 Mod. #1 - 40 FR 10803 - 3/7/75
 (H, Hw) - See Statewide
 TRAVIS COUNTY
 Decision #42-117 (R)
 40 FR 3842 - 1/24/75
 Mod. #1 - 40 FR 8700 - 2/28/75
 Mod. #2 - 40 FR 1436 - 4/1/75
 Mod. #3 - 40 FR 18283 - 4/25/75
 (R) - See Bastrop County
 (H, Hw) - See Statewide
 TRINITY COUNTY
 (H, Hw) - See Statewide
 TYLER COUNTY
 (H, Hw) - See Statewide
 UPSHUR COUNTY
 (H, Hw) - See Statewide
 UPTON COUNTY
 (H, Hw) - See Statewide
 (B) - See Statewide
 (R) - See Crane County
 UNALDE COUNTY
 (H, Hw) - See Statewide
 VAL VERDE COUNTY
 (H, Hw) - See Statewide
 VAN ZANDT COUNTY
 (H, Hw) - See Statewide
 (B) - See Statewide
 VICTORIA COUNTY
 (H, Hw) - See Statewide
 (D) - See Aransas County

TEXAS (Cont'd.)

WALKER COUNTY
 (H, Hw) - See Statewide
 (R) - See Brazoria County
 WALLER COUNTY
 (H, Hw) - See Statewide
 (B) - See Statewide
 (D) - See Statewide
 (R) - See Crane County
 WASHINGTON COUNTY
 (H, Hw) - See Statewide
 WEBB COUNTY
 (B, R) - See Dimitt County
 (H, Hw) - See Statewide
 WARTON COUNTY
 (H, Hw) - See Statewide
 WHEELER COUNTY
 (R) - See Armstrong County
 (H, Hw) - See Statewide
 WICHITA COUNTY
 Decision #42-117 (R)
 40 FR 3177 - 1/17/75
 Decision #42-117 (R)
 40 FR 8755 - 2/28/75
 Mod. #1 - 40 FR 12018 - 3/14/75
 Mod. #2 - 40 FR 12957 - 3/21/75
 (H, Hw) - See Statewide
 WILBARGER COUNTY
 (H, Hw) - See Statewide
 WILLACY COUNTY
 (R) - See Cameron County
 (H, Hw) - See Statewide
 (D) - See Aransas County
 WILLIAMSON COUNTY
 (H, Hw) - See Statewide
 (R) - See Bastrop County
 WILSON COUNTY
 (H, Hw) - See Statewide
 WINKLER COUNTY
 (H, Hw) - See Statewide
 (R) - See Crane County
 WISE COUNTY
 (B) - See Collin County
 (H, Hw) - See Statewide
 (R) - See Hood County
 WOOD COUNTY
 (H, Hw) - See Statewide
 YOCKUM COUNTY
 (B) - See Bailey County
 (H, Hw) - See Statewide
 YOUNG COUNTY
 (H, Hw) - See Statewide
 ZAPATA COUNTY
 (B, R) - See Dimitt County
 (H, Hw) - See Statewide
 ZAVALA COUNTY
 (B, R) - See Dimitt County
 (H, Hw) - See Statewide

UTAH

UTAH (Cont'd)

STATEVILLE
Decision #10775-5026 (B, H, Hw)
40 FR 7260 - 7/21/75
Mod. #1 - 40 FR 16216 - 3/28/75
Mod. #2 - 40 FR 17472 - 4/18/75
Mod. #3 - 40 FR 18203 - 4/25/75

BEAVER COUNTY
(B, H, Hw) - See Statewide

BOX ELDER COUNTY
(B, H, Hw) - See Statewide

CACHE COUNTY
(B, H, Hw) - See Statewide

CARSON COUNTY
(B, H, Hw) - See Statewide

DAWSON COUNTY
(B, H, Hw) - See Statewide

DOUGLASS COUNTY
(B, H, Hw) - See Statewide

EMERY COUNTY
(B, H, Hw) - See Statewide

GOSFIELD COUNTY
(B, H, Hw) - See Statewide

GRAND COUNTY
(B, H, Hw) - See Statewide

IFW COUNTY
(B, H, Hw) - See Statewide

JUAB COUNTY
(B, H, Hw) - See Statewide

KANE COUNTY
(B, H, Hw) - See Statewide

MILLARD COUNTY
(B, H, Hw) - See Statewide

MORGAN COUNTY
(B, H, Hw) - See Statewide

PIUTE COUNTY
(B, H, Hw) - See Statewide

RICH COUNTY
(B, H, Hw) - See Statewide

SALT LAKE COUNTY
(B, H, Hw) - See Statewide

SAN JUAN COUNTY
(B, H, Hw) - See Statewide

SANPETE COUNTY
(B, H, Hw) - See Statewide

SEVIER COUNTY
(B, H, Hw) - See Statewide

SUMMIT COUNTY
(B, H, Hw) - See Statewide

TOWNE COUNTY
(B, H, Hw) - See Statewide

UTAH COUNTY
(B, H, Hw) - See Statewide

WASATCH COUNTY
(B, H, Hw) - See Statewide

WASHINGTON COUNTY
(B, H, Hw) - See Statewide

WAYNE COUNTY
(B, H, Hw) - See Statewide

WEBER COUNTY
(B, H, Hw) - See Statewide

VERMONT

Statewide (Except Rutland County)
Decision #AQ-3164 (Hw)
39 FR 24003 - 6/26/74
Mod. #1 - 39 FR 27397 - 7/26/74
Mod. #2 - 40 FR 16497 - 4/11/75

ADDISON COUNTY
(Hw) - See Statewide

BENNINGTON COUNTY
(Hw) - See Statewide

CALEDONIA COUNTY
(Hw) - See Statewide

CHITTENDEN COUNTY
(Hw) - See Statewide

ESSEX COUNTY
(Hw) - See Statewide

FRANKLIN COUNTY
(Hw) - See Statewide

GRAND ISLE COUNTY
(Hw) - See Statewide

LAMOILLE COUNTY
(Hw) - See Statewide

ORANGE COUNTY
(Hw) - See Statewide

ORLEANS COUNTY
(Hw) - See Statewide

ROUTLAND COUNTY
(Hw) - See Statewide

None

WASHINGTON COUNTY
(Hw) - See Statewide

WINDHAM COUNTY
(Hw) - See Statewide

WINDSOR COUNTY
(Hw) - See Statewide

VIRGINIA

VIRGINIA (Cont'd)

ACCOMACK COUNTY
Decision #AP-805 (Hw)
35 FR 11279 - 5/4/73
Mod. #1 - 36 FR 13127 - 5/18/73
Mod. #2 - 40 FR 15284 - 4/4/75
Decision #PD75-3008 (D)
40 FR 3094 - 1/17/75
Mod. #1 - 40 FR 14204 - 3/28/75

ALBEMARLE COUNTY
Decision #AP-1874 (Hw)
36 FR 16342 - 8/20/71
Mod. #1 - 40 FR 15284 - 4/4/75

ALEXANDRIA CITY
Decision #AP-3003 (B)
45 FR 937 - 1/3/75
Mod. #1 - 40 FR 12957 - 3/21/75

ALLEGANY COUNTY
Decision #AP-1035 (Hw)
36 FR 16343 - 8/20/71
Mod. #1 - 37 FR 5159 - 3/30/72
Mod. #2 - 40 FR 15284 - 4/4/75

ANNELETTA COUNTY
Decision #AP-2032 (Hw)
39 FR 31871 - 8/30/74

ARMISTE COUNTY
Decision #AQ-2032 (Hw)
38 FR 33259 - 11/30/73

APPOMATTOX COUNTY
(Hw) - See Armistee County

ARLINGTON COUNTY
(B) - See Alexandria City
(D) - See Accomack County

AUGUSTA COUNTY
Decision #AP-9320 (B)
37 FR 10267 - 5/19/72
Mod. #1 - 40 FR 15284 - 4/4/75

BATH COUNTY
(Hw) - See Allegheny County

BEDFORD COUNTY
(Hw) - See Bedford County

BEDFORD COUNTY
Decision #AQ-2021 (Hw)
38 FR 27744 - 10/5/73

BLAND COUNTY
Decision #AQ-2020 (Hw)
38 FR 27744 - 10/5/73
Mod. #1 - 40 FR 15284 - 4/4/75

BOTETOURT COUNTY
(Hw) - See Bedford County

BRISTOL CITY
(Hw) - See Bland County

BURNSWICK COUNTY
(Hw) - See Amelia County

BUCHANAN COUNTY
(Hw) - See Bland County

BUCKINGHAM COUNTY
(Hw) - See Albemarle County

BUENA VISTA CITY
(Hw) - See Allegheny County

CAMPBELL COUNTY
(Hw) - See Albemarle County

CAROLINE COUNTY
Decision #AQ-2031 (Hw)
38 FR 33259 - 11/30/73

CARROLL COUNTY
(Hw) - See Bedford County

CHARLES CITY COUNTY
(Hw) - See Amelia County

CHARLOTTE COUNTY
(Hw) - See Albemarle County

CHARLOTTESVILLE CITY
(Hw) - See Albemarle County

CHESAPEAKE CITY
Decision #AP-3005 (B)
40 FR 944 - 1/3/75
Mod. #1 - 40 FR 14217 - 3/28/75
Mod. #2 - 40 FR 14713 - 4/18/75

Decision #AP-494 (Hw)
38 FR 7603 - 3/23/73

CHESTERFIELD COUNTY
(Hw) - See Amelia County

CLARKE COUNTY
Decision #AP-405 (R)
37 FR 15234 - 7/28/72
Mod. #1 - 40 FR 15284 - 4/4/75

CLIFFORD COUNTY
(Hw) - See Allegheny County

COLONIAL HEIGHTS CITY
(Hw) - See Amelia County

COWINGTON CITY
(Hw) - See Allegheny County

CRAIG COUNTY
(Hw) - See Bedford County

VIRGINIA (Cont'd)

PAGE COUNTY
(Hw) - See Alleghany County

PATRICK COUNTY
(Hw) - See Bedford County

PETERSBURG CITY
(Hw) - See Amelia County

PITTSBURGH COUNTY
(Hw) - See Alleghany County

PORTSMOUTH CITY
(Hw, B) - See Chesapeake City

POWELL COUNTY
(D) - See Accomack County

PRINCE GEORGE COUNTY
(Hw) - See Amelia County

PRINCE WILLIAM COUNTY
(Hw) - See Albemarle County

PULASKI COUNTY
(Hw) - See Bedford County

RAIFORD CITY
(Hw) - See Bedford County

RAPPAHANNOCK COUNTY
(Hw) - See Albemarle County

RICHMOND CITY
(B) - See Henrico County

RICHMOND COUNTY
(Hw) - See Amelia County

ROANOKE CITY
(Hw) - See Caroline County

ROANOKE COUNTY
(Hw) - See Bedford County

ROCKBRIDGE COUNTY
(Hw) - See Alleghany County

ROCKINGHAM COUNTY
(Hw) - See Clarke County

RUSSELL COUNTY
(Hw) - See Alleghany County

SALEN CITY
(Hw) - See Bedford County

SCOTT COUNTY
(Hw) - See Bland County

SHEPHERD COUNTY
(Hw) - See Alleghany County

SMITH COUNTY
(Hw) - See Clarke County

SOUTHAMPTON COUNTY
(Hw) - See Accomack County

VIRGINIA (Cont'd)

SOUTH BOSTON CITY
(Hw) - See Albemarle County

SPOTSYLVANIA COUNTY
(Hw) - See Caroline County

STAUNTON CITY
(Hw) - See Alleghany County

STAFFORD COUNTY
(Hw) - See Caroline County

STAFFORD COUNTY
(D) - See Accomack County

SUFFOLK CITY
(Hw) - See Accomack County

SURRY COUNTY
(Hw) - See Accomack County

SUSSEX COUNTY
(Hw) - See Accomack County

TAZEWELL COUNTY
(Hw) - See Bland County

VIRGINIA BEACH CITY
Decision #H-9321 (R)
37 FR 10266 - 5/19/72

WARREN COUNTY
(Hw, B) - See Chesapeake City

WASHINGTON COUNTY
(D) - See Accomack County

WAYNESBORO CITY
(Hw) - See Clarke County

WESTMORELAND COUNTY
(Hw) - See Alleghany County

WILLIAMSBURG CITY
(Hw) - See Accomack County

WINCHESTER CITY
(Hw) - See Alleghany County

WISE COUNTY
(Hw) - See Bland County

WYTHE COUNTY
(Hw) - See Bland County

YORK COUNTY
Decision #H75-3004 (B, H, S, M)
40 FR 941 - 1/3/75
Mod. #1 - 40 FR 14217 - 3/28/75

WASHINGTON

STATEWIDE
Decision #H75-3054 (B, H, Hw, D)
40 FR 18310 - 4/25/75

ADAMS COUNTY
(B, H, Hw, D) - See Statewide

ASHTON COUNTY
(B, H, Hw, D) - See Statewide

BENTON COUNTY
(B, H, Hw, D) - See Statewide

CHILAN COUNTY
(B, H, Hw, D) - See Statewide

CLALLAM COUNTY
Decision #H-1030 (R)
39 FR 34017 - 9/20/74
Mod. #1 - 40 FR 929 - 1/3/75

CLATSOP COUNTY
(B, H, Hw, D) - See Statewide

COLUMBIA COUNTY
(B, H, Hw, D) - See Statewide

COMAL COUNTY
(B, H, Hw, D) - See Statewide

DOUGLAS COUNTY
(B, H, Hw, D) - See Statewide

FERRY COUNTY
(B, H, Hw, D) - See Statewide

FRANKLIN COUNTY
(B, H, Hw, D) - See Statewide

GARFIELD COUNTY
(B, H, Hw, D) - See Statewide

GRANT COUNTY
(B, H, Hw, D) - See Statewide

GRAYS HARBOR COUNTY
(B, H, Hw, D) - See Statewide

ISLAND COUNTY
(B, H, Hw, D) - See Statewide

JEFFERSON COUNTY
(B, H, Hw, D) - See Statewide

KING COUNTY
(B, H, Hw, D) - See Statewide

KITTSAP COUNTY
(B, H, Hw, D) - See Statewide

KITITAS COUNTY
(B, H, Hw, D) - See Statewide

Klickitat County
(B, H, Hw, D) - See Statewide

WASHINGTON (Cont'd)

LEWIS COUNTY
(B, H, Hw, D) - See Statewide

LINCOLN COUNTY
(B, H, Hw, D) - See Statewide

MASON COUNTY
(B, H, Hw, D) - See Statewide

OKANOGAN COUNTY
(B, H, Hw, D) - See Statewide

PACIFIC COUNTY
(B, H, Hw, D) - See Statewide

PEND DRELL COUNTY
(B, H, Hw, D) - See Statewide

PIERCE COUNTY
(B, H, Hw, D) - See Statewide

SAN JUAN COUNTY
(B, H, Hw, D) - See Statewide

SKAGIT COUNTY
(B, H, Hw, D) - See Statewide

SKAMANIA COUNTY
(B, H, Hw, D) - See Statewide

SNOHOMISH COUNTY
(B, H, Hw, D) - See Statewide

SPOKANE COUNTY
(B, H, Hw, D) - See Statewide

STEVENS COUNTY
(B, H, Hw, D) - See Statewide

THURSTON COUNTY
(B, H, Hw, D) - See Statewide

WACOTA COUNTY
(B, H, Hw, D) - See Statewide

WALLA WALLA COUNTY
(B, H, Hw, D) - See Statewide

WATCOM COUNTY
(B, H, Hw, D) - See Statewide

WITMAN COUNTY
(B, H, Hw, D) - See Statewide

YAKIMA COUNTY
Decision #H-1109 (R)
39 FR 18416 - 5/24/74
Decision #H75-3008 (D)
40 FR 3094 - 1/17/75
Mod. #1 - 40 FR 14204 - 3/28/75

WASHINGTON, D. C.

WASHINGTON, D. C.
Decision #H75-3002 (B, Hw, M, S)
40 FR 948 - 1/3/75
Mod. #1 - 40 FR 12019 - 3/14/75
Mod. #2 - 40 FR 19334 - 5/2/75
Decision #H75-3008 (D)
40 FR 3094 - 1/17/75
Mod. #1 - 40 FR 14204 - 3/28/75

WEST VIRGINIA (Cont'd.)

WEST VIRGINIA (Cont'd.)

WEST VIRGINIA

STATEWIDE

Decision #W75-3009 (H, Hw)

40 FR 4883 - 1/31/75

Mod. #1 - 40 FR 10883 - 3/7/75

Mod. #2 - 40 FR 14217 - 3/26/75

BARBOUR COUNTY

Decision #W75-3007 (S)

40 FR 6135 - 2/7/75

Mod. #1 - 40 FR 8703 - 2/28/75

Mod. #2 - 40 FR 10883 - 3/7/75

Mod. #3 - 40 FR 14217 - 3/28/75

Mod. #4 - 40 FR 16497 - 4/11/75

(H, Hw) - See Statewide

BERKELEY COUNTY

(H, Hw) - See Statewide

BOONE COUNTY

(B) - See Barbour County

(H, Hw) - See Statewide

BRANTON COUNTY

(H, Hw) - See Statewide

BROOKS COUNTY

(B) - See Barbour County

(H, Hw) - See Statewide

CABELL COUNTY

(B) - See Barbour County

Decision #L75-2036 (D)

40 FR 6023 - 2/7/75

(H, Hw) - See Statewide

CALHOUN COUNTY

(B) - See Barbour County

(H, Hw) - See Statewide

CLAY COUNTY

(B) - See Barbour County

(H, Hw) - See Statewide

DOODRIDGE COUNTY

(B) - See Barbour County

(H, Hw) - See Statewide

FAYETTE COUNTY

(B) - See Barbour County

(H, Hw) - See Statewide

GILMER COUNTY

(B) - See Barbour County

(H, Hw) - See Statewide

GRANT COUNTY

(H, Hw) - See Statewide

(H, Hw) - See Statewide

GREENBERG COUNTY

(H, Hw) - See Statewide

(H, Hw) - See Statewide

HAMPSHIRE COUNTY

(H, Hw) - See Statewide

(B) - See Barbour County

HAWK COUNTY

(H, Hw) - See Statewide

(H, Hw) - See Statewide

HARRIS COUNTY

(H, Hw) - See Statewide

(B) - See Barbour County

HARRISON COUNTY

(H, Hw) - See Statewide

(H, Hw) - See Statewide

HART COUNTY

(H, Hw) - See Statewide

(H, Hw) - See Statewide

HENDRICKS COUNTY

(H, Hw) - See Statewide

(H, Hw) - See Statewide

HENDERSON COUNTY

(H, Hw) - See Statewide

(H, Hw) - See Statewide

HENDRICKS COUNTY

(H, Hw) - See Statewide

(H, Hw) - See Statewide

JACKSON COUNTY

(D) - See Cabell County

(H, Hw) - See Statewide

(B) - See Barbour County

(H, Hw) - See Statewide

JEFFERSON COUNTY

(H, Hw) - See Statewide

KANAWHA COUNTY

(B) - See Barbour County

(H, Hw) - See Statewide

Decision #AR-2058 (S)

39 FR 35948 - 10/4/74

Mod. #1 - 39 FR 44913 - 12/27/74

(H, Hw) - See Statewide

LEWIS COUNTY

(B) - See Barbour County

(H, Hw) - See Statewide

LINDOLN COUNTY

(H, Hw) - See Statewide

LOSAN COUNTY

(H, Hw) - See Statewide

MC DONELL COUNTY

(H, Hw) - See Statewide

MARION COUNTY

(B) - See Barbour County

(H, Hw) - See Statewide

MARSHALL COUNTY

(B) - See Barbour County

(H, Hw) - See Statewide

MASON COUNTY

(B) - See Cabell County

(H, Hw) - See Statewide

MERCER COUNTY

(H, Hw) - See Statewide

MINERAL COUNTY

(H, Hw) - See Statewide

MINGO COUNTY

(H, Hw) - See Statewide

MONROE COUNTY

(B) - See Barbour County

(H, Hw) - See Statewide

MORGAN COUNTY

(H, Hw) - See Statewide

NICHOLAS COUNTY

(H, Hw) - See Statewide

OHIO COUNTY

(B) - See Barbour County

(H, Hw) - See Statewide

PENNINGTON COUNTY

(H, Hw) - See Statewide

PLEASANT COUNTY

(D) - See Cabell County

(H, Hw) - See Statewide

(B) - See Barbour County

PRESTON COUNTY

(H, Hw) - See Statewide

PUTNAM COUNTY

(B) - See Barbour County

(H, Hw) - See Statewide

RALEIGH COUNTY

(H, Hw) - See Statewide

RANDOLPH COUNTY

(H, Hw) - See Statewide

REITCRITE COUNTY

(H, Hw) - See Statewide

(B) - See Barbour County

ROANE COUNTY

(H, Hw) - See Statewide

SUMMERS COUNTY

(H, Hw) - See Statewide

TAYLOR COUNTY

(H, Hw) - See Statewide

TUCKER COUNTY

(H, Hw) - See Statewide

TYLER COUNTY

(B) - See Barbour County

(H, Hw) - See Statewide

UPSHUR COUNTY

(B) - See Barbour County

(H, Hw) - See Statewide

WAYNE COUNTY

(B) - See Barbour County

(H, Hw) - See Statewide

WEBSTER COUNTY

(H, Hw) - See Statewide

WETZEL COUNTY

(B) - See Barbour County

(H, Hw) - See Cabell County

WIRT COUNTY

(B) - See Barbour County

(H, Hw) - See Statewide

WOOD COUNTY

(B) - See Barbour County

(D) - See Cabell County

(H, Hw) - See Statewide

WYOMING COUNTY

(H, Hw) - See Statewide

WISCONSIN

STATEWIDE
Decision #A175-2047 (Hw)
40 FR 8757 - 2/28/75
Decision #A175-2048 (H, Sower, Tunnel,
& Water)
40 FR 12059 - 3/14/75

ADAMS COUNTY
(H, Hw, MBS) - See Statewide

ASHLAND COUNTY
Decision #1175-5051 (O)
40 FR 16529 - 4/11/75

(H, Hw, MBS) - See Statewide

Decision #AR-3151 (B, R)
39 FR 36705 - 10/11/74

BARRON COUNTY
(B) - See Polk County

(H, Hw, MBS) - See Statewide

BAITFIELD COUNTY
(B, O, R) - See Ashland County

(H, Hw, MBS) - See Statewide

BROWN COUNTY
Decision #AR-3153 (B)
39 FR 36895 - 10/11/74

(O) - See Ashland County

BUFFALO COUNTY
(H, Hw, MBS) - See Statewide

BURNETT COUNTY
(H, Hw, MBS) - See Statewide

CALUMET COUNTY
(H, Hw, MBS) - See Statewide

CHIPPЕWAGON COUNTY
(H, Hw, MBS) - See Statewide

CLARK COUNTY
(B, R) - See Eau Claire County

CLAY COUNTY
(H, Hw, MBS) - See Statewide

COLUMBIA COUNTY
(H, Hw, MBS) - See Statewide

CRAWFORD COUNTY
(H, Hw, MBS) - See Statewide

DANE COUNTY
(H, Hw, MBS) - See Statewide

Decision #AR-3155 (B, R)
39 FR 36829 - 10/11/74

Mod. #1 - 40 FR 10204 - 4/25/75

DODGE COUNTY - See Statewide

DOOR COUNTY
(O) - See Ashland County

(H, Hw, MBS) - See Statewide

DOUGLAS COUNTY
(B, R) - See Ashland County

DUNN COUNTY
(B) - See Polk County

(H, Hw, MBS) - See Statewide

EAU CLAIRE COUNTY
Decision #AR-3154 (B, R)
39 FR 36827 - 10/11/74

Mod. #1 - 40 FR 10204 - 4/25/75

(H, Hw, MBS) - See Statewide

WISCONSIN (Cont'd.)

FLORENCE COUNTY
(H, Hw, MBS) - See Statewide

FOND DU LAC COUNTY
(H, Hw, MBS) - See Statewide

FOREST COUNTY
(H, Hw, MBS) - See Statewide

GRANT COUNTY
(H, Hw, MBS) - See Statewide

GREEN COUNTY
(B) - See Rock County

(H, Hw, MBS) - See Statewide

GREEN LAKE COUNTY
(H, Hw, MBS) - See Statewide

(B) - See Minnecago County

(H, Hw, MBS) - See Statewide

IOWA COUNTY
(B) - See Dane County

(H, Hw, MBS) - See Statewide

IRON COUNTY
(D) - See Ashland County

(H, Hw, MBS) - See Statewide

JACKSON COUNTY
(H, Hw, MBS) - See Statewide

JEFFERSON COUNTY
(H, Hw, MBS) - See Statewide

JUNEAU COUNTY
(H, Hw, MBS) - See Statewide

Decision #AR-3158 (B)
39 FR 36835 - 10/11/74

Mod. #1 - 40 FR 10205 - 4/25/75

KENOSHA COUNTY
(H, Hw, MBS) - See Statewide

Decision #AR-3159 (B, R)
39 FR 36837 - 10/11/74

Mod. #1 - 39 FR 41662 - 1/29/74

Kewaunee County
(O) - See Ashland County

(H, Hw, MBS) - See Statewide

LA CROSSE COUNTY
Decision #AR-3160 (B, R)
39 FR 36839 - 10/11/74

Mod. #1 - 40 FR 10206 - 4/25/75

(H, Hw, MBS) - See Statewide

LA FAYETTE COUNTY
(H, Hw, MBS) - See Statewide

LAKE COUNTY
(B) - See Marathon County

(H, Hw, MBS) - See Statewide

LEWIS COUNTY
(B) - See Marathon County

(H, Hw, MBS) - See Statewide

MANITOWOC COUNTY
(H, Hw, MBS) - See Statewide

Decision #AR-3161 (B)
39 FR 36840 - 10/11/74

Mod. #1 - 40 FR 10206 - 4/25/75

(H, Hw, MBS) - See Statewide

MADISON COUNTY
(O) - See Ashland County

WISCONSIN (Cont'd.)

MADISON COUNTY
(B) - See Minnecago County

(H, Hw, MBS) - See Statewide

MANITOWISSE COUNTY
(H, Hw, MBS) - See Statewide

MILWAUKEE COUNTY
Decision #AR-3162 (B, R)
39 FR 36843 - 10/11/74

Mod. #1 - 40 FR 10207 - 4/25/75

(H, Hw, MBS) - See Statewide

MONROE COUNTY
(H, Hw, MBS) - See Statewide

OSHTAGO COUNTY
(D) - See Ashland County

(H, Hw, MBS) - See Statewide

ONEIDA COUNTY
(H, Hw, MBS) - See Statewide

OUTAGAMIE COUNTY
(H, Hw, MBS) - See Statewide

OSHAUKEE COUNTY
(B, R) - See Milwaukee County

(D) - See Ashland County

PEPIN COUNTY
(H, Hw, MBS) - See Statewide

(B, R) - See Eau Claire County

PIERCE COUNTY
(H, Hw, MBS) - See Statewide

POLK COUNTY
Decision #AR-3163 (B)
39 FR 36823 - 10/11/74

Mod. #1 - 40 FR 10208 - 4/25/75

(H, Hw, MBS) - See Statewide

PORTAGE COUNTY
(H, Hw, MBS) - See Statewide

PRICE COUNTY
(H, Hw, MBS) - See Statewide

RACINE COUNTY
Decision #AR-3163 (B, R)
39 FR 36845 - 10/11/74

(D) - See Ashland County

(H, Hw, MBS) - See Statewide

RICHLAND COUNTY
(H, Hw, MBS) - See Statewide

ROCK COUNTY
Decision #AR-3166 (B)
39 FR 36831 - 10/11/74

(H, Hw, MBS) - See Statewide

RUSK COUNTY
(H, Hw, MBS) - See Statewide

SANIT COUNTY
(B) - See Polk County

SAUK COUNTY
(H, Hw, MBS) - See Statewide

(B) - See Dane County

(H, Hw, MBS) - See Statewide

SAVING COUNTY
(H, Hw, MBS) - See Statewide

(D) - See Statewide

WISCONSIN (Cont'd.)

SHAWANO COUNTY
(H, Hw, MBS) - See Statewide

SHEBOYGAN COUNTY
(D) - See Ashland County

TAYLOR COUNTY
(H, Hw, MBS) - See Statewide

TREPPEREAU COUNTY
(H, Hw, MBS) - See Statewide

VERNON COUNTY
(H, Hw, MBS) - See Statewide

VILAS COUNTY
(H, Hw, MBS) - See Statewide

WALNORTH COUNTY
(H, Hw, MBS) - See Statewide

WASHINGTON COUNTY
(H, Hw, MBS) - See Statewide

(B, R) - See Milwaukee County

(H, Hw, MBS) - See Statewide

WAUKESHA COUNTY
(B, R) - See Milwaukee County

(H, Hw, MBS) - See Statewide

WAUPACA COUNTY
(B) - See Minnecago County

(H, Hw, MBS) - See Statewide

WASHARA COUNTY
(B) - See Minnecago County

(H, Hw, MBS) - See Statewide

WINNEBAGO COUNTY
Decision #AR-3157 (B)
39 FR 36833 - 10/11/74

(H, Hw, MBS) - See Statewide

WOOD COUNTY
(H, Hw, MBS) - See Statewide

MIDLANDS (Cont'd.)

WESTON COUNTY
(Hw) - See Statewide
YELLOWSTONE NATIONAL PARK
(Hw) - See Statewide

STATEWIDE
Decision 4075-5011 (Hw)
40 FR 4829 - 1/31/75
Mod. #1 - 40 FR 16497 - 4/11/75

ALBANY COUNTY
(Hw) - See Statewide

BIG HORN COUNTY
(Hw) - See Statewide

CANBY COUNTY
(Hw) - See Statewide

CARSON COUNTY
(Hw) - See Statewide

CONVERSE COUNTY
Decision 4075-5028 (B,H)

40 FR 7637 - 2/21/75

Mod. #1 - 40 FR 16497 - 4/11/75

Mod. #2 - 40 FR 17473 - 4/18/75

Mod. #3 - 40 FR 18207 - 4/25/75

(Hw) - See Statewide

CROOK COUNTY
(Hw) - See Statewide

FREMONT COUNTY
(Hw) - See Statewide

GOSPER COUNTY
(B,H) - See Converse County

(Hw) - See Statewide

HOT SPRINGS COUNTY
(Hw) - See Statewide

JOHNSON COUNTY
(Hw) - See Statewide

LARABEE COUNTY
(B,H) - See Converse County

(Hw) - See Statewide

LINCOLN COUNTY
(Hw) - See Statewide

NATRONA COUNTY
(B,H) - See Converse County

(Hw) - See Statewide

NIobrara County
(B,H) - See Converse County

(Hw) - See Statewide

PARK COUNTY
(Hw) - See Statewide

PLATTE COUNTY
(B,H) - See Converse County

(Hw) - See Statewide

SHERIDAN COUNTY
(Hw) - See Statewide

SUBLETTE COUNTY
(Hw) - See Statewide

SWEETWATER COUNTY
(Hw) - See Statewide

TETON COUNTY
(Hw) - See Statewide

UINTA COUNTY
(Hw) - See Statewide

WASHINGTON COUNTY
(Hw) - See Statewide

[PR Doc.75-14622 Filed 6-5-75; 8:45 am]