

federal register

April 17, 1975—Pages 17135-17238

THURSDAY, APRIL 17, 1975

WASHINGTON, D.C.

Volume 40 ■ Number 75

Pages 17135-17238



HIGHLIGHTS OF THIS ISSUE

This listing does not affect the legal status of any document published in this issue. Detailed table of contents appears inside.

FEDERAL HEALTH INSURANCE —HEW/SSA proposal regarding modification of State supplementary medical insurance coverage agreements for the aged and disabled; comments by 5-19-75.....	17151
HAZARDOUS TOYS AND CHILDRENS ARTICLES —CPSC announces availability of drawings of a device to test sharp edges.....	17157
EMPLOYMENT TAX —Treasury/IRS amends requirements for certain information returns and statements.....	17144
SMALL BUSINESS —SBA sets size standards for special trade construction contractors; effective 4-17-75.....	17138
RADIOACTIVE MATERIALS —DOT/FAA limits carriage on passenger-carrying aircraft; effective 5-3-75.....	17141
CHANGED MEETINGS — DOD/AF: Scientific Advisory Board, 4-19-75.....	17173
SBA: St. Louis District Advisory Council, 4-30-75.....	17206
MEETINGS — USDA/FS: Deschutes National Forest Advisory Committee, 5-15-75.....	17188
White Mountain National Forest Advisory Committee, 5-15 and 5-16-75.....	17189
COMMERCE/NOAA: Marine and Minerals Advisory Committee, Working Group on International Ocean Investment Conditions, 5-2-75.....	17190
DOD: Defense Science Board Task Force on Training Technology, 5-20 and 5-21-75.....	17174
DOT/FAA: U.S. Advisory Committee on Visual Aids to Approach and Landing, 5-14-75.....	17194
INTERIOR: Oil Shale Environmental Advisory Panel, 5-16-75.....	17177
BLM: Coeur d'Alene District Multiple Use Board, 5-8-75.....	17175
NPS: Cape Cod National Seashore Advisory Commission, 5-9-75.....	17176
JUSTICE/LEAA: National Advisory Committee on Criminal Justice Standards and Goals, 5-7 and 5-8-75.....	17174
NATIONAL ADVISORY COMMITTEE ON OCEANS AND ATMOSPHERE, 5-19 and 5-20-75.....	17201
ST. LAWRENCE SEAWAY: Advisory Board, 5-7-75.....	17206
STATE: Fine Arts Committee, 5-19-75.....	17172
VA: Advisory Committee on Cemeteries and Memorials, 5-8 and 5-9-75.....	17207

reminders

(The items in this list were editorially compiled as an aid to FEDERAL REGISTER users. Inclusion or exclusion from this list has no legal significance. Since this list is intended as a reminder, it does not include effective dates that occur within 14 days of publication.)

Rules Going Into Effect Today

COMMERCE/EDA—Property management under grant and loan program.
12483; 3-19-75

Daily List of Public Laws

NOTE: No acts approved by the President were received by the Office of the Federal Register for inclusion in today's LIST OF PUBLIC LAWS.

ATTENTION: Questions, corrections, or requests for information regarding the contents of this issue only may be made by dialing 202-523-5282. For information on obtaining extra copies, please call 202-523-5240. To obtain advance information from recorded highlights of selected documents to appear in the next issue, dial 202-523-5022.

federal register

Phone 523-5240

Area Code 202



Published daily, Monday through Friday (no publication on Saturdays, Sundays, or on official Federal holidays), by the Office of the Federal Register, National Archives and Records Service, General Services Administration, Washington, D.C. 20408, under the Federal Register Act (49 Stat. 500, as amended; 44 U.S.C., Ch. 15) and the regulations of the Administrative Committee of the Federal Register (1 CFR Ch. I). Distribution is made only by the Superintendent of Documents, U.S. Government Printing Office, Washington, D.C. 20402.

The FEDERAL REGISTER provides a uniform system for making available to the public regulations and legal notices issued by Federal agencies. These include Presidential proclamations and Executive orders and Federal agency documents having general applicability and legal effect, documents required to be published by Act of Congress and other Federal agency documents of public interest.

The FEDERAL REGISTER will be furnished by mail to subscribers, free of postage, for \$5.00 per month or \$45 per year, payable in advance. The charge for individual copies is 75 cents for each issue, or 75 cents for each group of pages as actually bound. Remit check or money order, made payable to the Superintendent of Documents, U.S. Government Printing Office, Washington, D.C. 20402.

There are no restrictions on the republication of material appearing in the FEDERAL REGISTER.

contents

AGENCY FOR INTERNATIONAL DEVELOPMENT

Notices
Voluntary Foreign Aid Agencies, registry:
Esperanca, Inc. 17172

AGRICULTURAL MARKETING SERVICE

Rules
Limitations of handling and shipping:
Oranges (Navel) grown in Ariz. and Calif. (2 documents) 17149, 17150

Proposed Rules

Limitation of handling:
Potatoes (Irish) grown in certain states; termination of marketing order 17151

AGRICULTURE DEPARTMENT

See Agricultural Marketing Service; Commodity Exchange Authority; Food and Nutrition Service; Forest Service; Soil Conservation Service.

AIR FORCE DEPARTMENT

Notices
Meeting:
Scientific Advisory Board 17173

ARMY DEPARTMENT

Notices
Meeting:
Shoreline Erosion Advisory Panel 17173

CIVIL AERONAUTICS BOARD

Notices
Hearings, etc.:
Pan American World Airways, Inc.; correction 17196
Joint freight rates, increased; U.S. and Canada 17195

COAST GUARD

Proposed Rules
Bulk grain cargoes; carriage 17154

COMMERCE DEPARTMENT

See National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration.

COMPTROLLER OF THE CURRENCY

Rules
Business interest statements of directors and principal officers of national banks; reporting requirements clarification 17135

CONSUMER PRODUCT SAFETY COMMISSION

Proposed Rules
Toys and other children articles with sharp edges; testing device 17157

Notices

Bookmatches, standards publication; extension of time 17196

CUSTOMS SERVICE

Proposed Rules
Entry of merchandise:
Books, shipment of information on invoices; rescinded 17151

Notices
Foreign currencies; certification of rates 17173

DEFENSE DEPARTMENT

See also Air Force Department; Army Department.
Notices
Meeting:
Science Board Task Force on Training Technology 17174

DEFENSE MANPOWER COMMISSION

Notices
Meeting 17196

DRUG ENFORCEMENT ADMINISTRATION

Rules
Employee screening procedures for non-practitioners 17142
Notices
Applications for importation of controlled substances:
Abbott Laboratories 17174
Burrongs Wellcome Co. 17174

ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY

Rules
Pesticide chemicals, tolerances and exemptions:
Propargite 17146
Proposed Rules
Air quality implementation plans:
Oregon 17157
Notices
Pesticide registration applications 17196

FEDERAL AVIATION ADMINISTRATION

Rules
Airworthiness directives:
Bellanca 17138
Boeing 17139
Control zone 17140
Radioactive materials, carriage of on passenger-carrying aircraft 17141
Standard instrument approach procedures 17140
Transition area (3 documents) 17139, 17140

Proposed Rules

Certification and operations; large turbine-powered airplane; installation of approved glide slope deviation monitoring system 17156

Notices

Meetings:
Visual Aids to Approach and Landing, Advisory Committee on 17194

FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS COMMISSION

Rules
Fee schedules; broadcast or common carrier; correction 17146

FEDERAL DEPOSIT INSURANCE CORPORATION

Rules
Interest on deposits; restrictions on NOW accounts of public units 17137

FEDERAL ELECTION COMMISSION

Notices
Transfer of authority 17198

FEDERAL MARITIME COMMISSION

Notices
Freight forwarder licenses:
Lake Shipping Co., Inc. 17198
Ramirez International Forwarding Co. 17198

FEDERAL POWER COMMISSION

Notices
Hearings, etc.:
Arkansas-Louisiana Gas Co. (2 documents) 17159
Ashland Oil, Inc. 17159
Boston Edison Co. 17160
California Co. 17160
Central Telephone & Utilities Corp. (2 documents) 17160
Colorado Interstate Gas Co. (2 documents) 17160
Devon Corp. 17171
Florida Gas Transmission Co. 17161
Forest Drilling Venture 17170
Gulf States Utilities Co. 17162
Lawrenceburg Gas Transmission Corp. 17162
Marathon Oil Co. 17170
Missouri Power & Light Co. 17162
National Fuel Gas Supply Corp. 17163
Northern Natural Gas Co. 17163
Ohio Electric Co. 17163
Oklahoma Gas & Electric Co. 17164
Pacific Power & Light Co. (2 documents) 17164
Panhandle Eastern Pipe Line Co. 17164
Phillips Petroleum Co. 17165
Public Service Co. of New Hampshire 17165
Public Service Electric & Gas Co. 17165
PWG Partnership 17171
Southern California Edison Co. 17166
Texaco Inc. 17166
Texas Eastern Transmission Corp. 17167
Texas Gas Transmission Corp. 17167
Transcontinental Gas Pipe Line Corp. 17172
Transwestern Pipeline Co. 17168
Southeastern Power Administration 17168
Valley Gas Transmission, Inc. 17169

CONTENTS

FEDERAL RESERVE SYSTEM

Rules
Banks; reserves against Eurodollar borrowings..... 17136

Notices
Applications, etc.:
Bank of Nova Scotia..... 17198
Full Service Insurance Agency, Inc..... 17200
Memphis Trust Co..... 17199
Peoples Banking Co..... 17200
T.N.B. Financial Corp..... 17201
Federal Open Market Committee: Domestic policy directive..... 17201

FISH AND WILDLIFE SERVICE

Notices
Endangered species permits, applications..... 17170

FOOD AND DRUG ADMINISTRATION

Rules
Food additives:
Propargite..... 17142
Proposed Rules
Vaccine, smallpox and measles; testing for potency and safety; correction..... 17151

FOOD AND NUTRITION SERVICE

Rules
School breakfast and nonfood assistance programs and State administrative expenses: Funds, FY ending June 30, 1975..... 17148

FOREST SERVICE

Notices
Environmental statements:
Boulder Mountain Planning Unit..... 17188
Cholla Project..... 17188
Sandia Mountain Land Use Plan..... 17188
Meetings:
Deschutes National Forest Advisory Committee..... 17188
White Mountain National Forest Advisory Committee..... 17189

HEALTH, EDUCATION, AND WELFARE DEPARTMENT

See also Food and Drug Administration; Social Security Administration.
Notices
Meetings:
Protection of Human Subjects of Biomedical and Behavioral Research National Commission..... 17194

HOUSING AND URBAN DEVELOPMENT DEPARTMENT

See Interstate Land Sales Registration Office.

INTERIOR DEPARTMENT

See also Fish and Wildlife Service; Land Management Bureau; National Park Service.

Notices

Committee establishment:
Oil Shale Environmental Advisory Panel..... 17178
Environmental statements:
Jensen Unit, Central Utah Project..... 17188
Rock River, Wis..... 17178
Meeting:
Oil Shale Environmental Advisory Panel..... 17177
Organization and functions:
Central and field organization..... 17178

INTERNAL REVENUE SERVICE

Rules
Employment taxes:
Requirements to employment tax information returns and statements for employees, certain..... 17144
Notices
Authority delegations:
District Directors..... 17173

INTERSTATE COMMERCE COMMISSION

Rules
Intercity rail passenger service, adequacy..... 17147
Notices
Car service exemptions, mandatory:
Exemption 93, amendment..... 17208
Exemption 94, amendment..... 17208
Exemption 95, amendment..... 17208
Fourth section applications for relief..... 17208
Hearing assignments..... 17208
Intercity rail passenger service, adequacy; exemption..... 17209
Motor carrier, broker, water carrier and freight forwarder applications..... 17226
Motor carriers:
Irregular route property carriers; gateway elimination..... 17209
Transfer proceedings (2 documents)..... 17208

INTERSTATE LAND SALES REGISTRATION OFFICE

Notices
Hearings:
Hide-A-Way Lake..... 17194
Yogi Bear's Jellystone Park Camp Resort..... 17194

JUSTICE DEPARTMENT

See Drug Enforcement Administration; Law Enforcement Assistance Administration.

LABOR DEPARTMENT

See Occupational Safety and Health Administration; Wage and Hour Division.
Notices
Unemployment compensation: Extended availability in various States..... 17207

LAND MANAGEMENT BUREAU

Notices
Applications:
Alaska..... 17174
New Mexico (3 documents)..... 17175, 17176

Meetings:

Coeur d'Alene District Multiple Use Advisory Board..... 17175
Opening of public lands:
Washington..... 17176
Withdrawal and reservation of lands, proposed, etc.:
Utah..... 17176

LAW ENFORCEMENT ASSISTANCE ADMINISTRATION

Notices
Meeting:
Criminal Justice Standards and Goals National Advisory Committee..... 17174

MANAGEMENT AND BUDGET OFFICE

Notices
Clearance of reports; list of requests..... 17205

NATIONAL ADVISORY COMMITTEE ON OCEANS AND ATMOSPHERE

Notices
Meeting..... 17201

NATIONAL HIGHWAY TRANSPORTATION SAFETY BOARD

Notices
Petitions for temporary exemptions:
Eagle International, Inc..... 17195

NATIONAL OCEANIC AND ATMOSPHERIC ADMINISTRATION

Notices
Meeting:
Marine Petroleum and Minerals Advisory Committee..... 17190

NATIONAL PARK SERVICE

Notices
Concession contract:
Saga-Hill Corp..... 17177
Environmental statement, hearing:
National Mall, Washington, D.C..... 17177
Meeting:
Cape Cod National Seashore Advisory Commission..... 17176

NATIONAL TRANSPORTATION SAFETY BOARD

Notices
Aircraft accidents; request to investigate..... 17202
Safety recommendation response..... 17202

NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION

Notices
Applications, etc.:
Commonwealth Edison Co. (2 documents)..... 17205
Georgia Power Co. et al (2 documents)..... 17202, 17203
Southern California Edison Co. et al..... 17203
Regulatory guides; issuance and availability..... 17204

OCCUPATIONAL SAFETY AND HEALTH ADMINISTRATION

Notices
State plans for enforcement of state standards:
Iowa; correction..... 17207

CONTENTS

SAINT LAWRENCE SEAWAY DEVELOPMENT CORPORATION
Notices
 Meetings:
 Advisory Board..... 17206

SELECTIVE SERVICE SYSTEM
Notices
 Registrants Processing Manual... 17206

SMALL BUSINESS ADMINISTRATION
Rules
 Size standards; special trades contractor (construction); definition 17138

Notices
 Meetings:
 St. Louis District Advisory Council 17206

SOCIAL SECURITY ADMINISTRATION
Proposed Rules
 Health insurance for aged and disabled:
 State coverage agreements under supplementary medical insurance benefits..... 17151

Notices
 Hospital cost limits; proposed schedule 17190

SOIL CONSERVATION SERVICE
Rules
 Plant materials; special production 17149

Notices
 Environmental statements on watershed projects:
 Lower Little Tallapoosa, Ga.... 17189
 Upper Bayou Nezpique, La..... 17189
 Wilson Creek, Nebr..... 17189

STATE DEPARTMENT
 See Agency for International Development.

Notices
 Meeting:
 Fine Arts Committee..... 17172

TRANSPORTATION DEPARTMENT
 See Coast Guard; Federal Aviation Administration; National Highway Traffic Safety Administration.

TREASURY DEPARTMENT
 See Comptroller of the Currency; Customs Service; Internal Revenue Service.

VETERANS ADMINISTRATION
Notices
 Meetings:
 Cemeteries and Memorials Advisory Committee..... 17207

WAGE AND HOUR DIVISION
Rules
 Puerto Rico; wage orders:
 Construction, chemical, petroleum, and related industries; correction 17146

list of cfr parts affected

The following numerical guide is a list of the parts of each title of the Code of Federal Regulations affected by documents published in today's issue. A cumulative list of parts affected, covering the current month to date, follows beginning with the second issue of the month. A cumulative guide is published separately at the end of each month. The guide lists the parts and sections affected by documents published since January 1, 1974, and specifies how they are affected.

7 CFR		PROPOSED RULES:		26 CFR	
220.....	17148	121.....	17156	31.....	17144
613.....	17149	16 CFR		29 CFR	
907.....	17149	PROPOSED RULES:		870.....	17146
908.....	17150	1500.....	17157	726.....	17146
PROPOSED RULES:		19 CFR		40 CFR	
951.....	17151	PROPOSED RULES:		180.....	17146
12 CFR		133.....	17151	PROPOSED RULES:	
23.....	17135	141.....	17151	52.....	17157
204.....	17136	20 CFR		46 CFR	
213.....	17136	PROPOSED RULES:		PROPOSED RULES:	
329.....	17137	405.....	17151	31.....	17154
13 CFR		21 CFR		74.....	17154
121.....	17138	123.....	17142	93.....	17154
14 CFR		1301.....	17142	Subchapter M.....	17154
39 (2 documents).....	17138, 17139	PROPOSED RULES:		47 CFR	
71 (4 documents).....	17139, 17140	630.....	17151	1.....	17146
97.....	17140			49 CFR	
103.....	17141			1124.....	17147

CUMULATIVE LIST OF PARTS AFFECTED—APRIL

The following numerical guide is a list of parts of each title of the Code of Federal Regulations affected by documents published to date during April.

3 CFR		7 CFR—Continued		13 CFR	
PROCLAMATIONS:		1430	16649	121	17138
2799 (Revoked by Proc. 4360)	14567	1472	16213	PROPOSED RULES:	
2937 (Revoked by Proc. 4360)	14567	1488	16322, 16327, 16329, 16331	107	14606
2938 (Revoked by Proc. 4360)	14567	1701	16074	120	15098
2942 (Revoked by Proc. 4360)	14567	1803	16333		
2972 (Revoked by Proc. 4360)	14567	1872	15065	14 CFR	
3314 (Revoked by Proc. 4360)	14567	2710	14891	39	14739,
4101 (Revoked by Proc. 4360)	14567	PROPOSED RULES:			14740, 14891, 14892, 15085-15086,
4346 (Amended by Proc. 4359)	14565	29	15390		15384, 15866, 16189-16191, 16297-
4359	14565	401	14777		16299, 16831, 16832, 17006, 17138,
4360	14567	724	16671		17139
4361	15063	908	16335	71	14740,
4362	15861	951	17151		14741, 15086, 15385, 15867, 16050,
4363	15863	982	16852		16299, 16650, 16651, 16832, 17006,
4364	16293	1002	14702, 15390		17007, 17139, 17140
4365	16641	1004	14702, 15390	75	17007
4366	16643	1033	14769, 17029	91	16651
4367	16829	1251	15906	97	14893, 16300, 17140
EXECUTIVE ORDERS:		1421	15390	103	17141
11809 (See EO 11849)	14887	1823	14776	241	16652
11828 (Amended by EO 11848)	14885	1842	15405	288	14893
11847	14568	8 CFR		400	14572
11848	14885	PROPOSED RULES:		401	14574
11849	14887	103	16215	425	14578
11850	16187	214	15092	PROPOSED RULES:	
11851	16645	9 CFR		25	15093
PRESIDENTIAL DOCUMENTS OTHER THAN		72	16650	39	16854
PROCLAMATIONS AND EXECUTIVE ORDERS		94	14571	71	14780,
Memorandum of March 24,		113	17003		14781, 15094, 15399, 15400, 15907,
1975	15377	PROPOSED RULES:			16088, 16089, 16217, 16345, 16346,
4 CFR		303	15906		16854
408	14737, 15865	381	15906	73	15907
409	15865	10 CFR		75	14781
PROPOSED RULES:		70	16047	121	17156
10	16686	211	14738	135	16347
351	14942	213	16047	207	17039
5 CFR		215	16295	208	17039
213	15379, 16189	PROPOSED RULES:		212	17039
300	15379	73	15098	214	17039
302	15380	205	14605	217	17039
315	15380	211	14605, 16089	241	17039
890	14569	212	15041	249	17039
1001	14570	213	14948	372a	17039
7 CFR		12 CFR		378	17039
6	16069	23	17135	378a	17039
51	15381	204	17136	389	17039
52	15890-15900	213	17136	15 CFR	
220	17148	217	16831	350	14921
270	16069	309	17004	355	14925
271	16069, 16320	329	17137	377	15867
301	16070	541	15865	500	14930
331	16072	545	17005	920	16832
401	15905	563	14738	PROPOSED RULES:	
410	15905	584	17005	803	14603
613	17149	602	14571	16 CFR	
724	14737	PROPOSED RULES:		1	15232, 15233, 17008
728	16831	7	14767	2	15235
731	14601	206	15909	3	15234, 15236
873	16072	217	16684, 16685	4	15235, 15236
905	14889, 16210	329	16219	13	14579-14582,
907	14889, 16073, 16212, 17149	335	14947		14741, 14894-14904, 15385, 15386,
908	14890, 16211, 16213, 16321, 17150	541	15096		15868-15872, 16050, 16191, 16300,
910	15065, 16073, 16322	545	15096		16654
944	14891	584	16090, 17044	302	14584, 16654
959	16211	701	15404	1500	16191
991	14737	721	15404	PROPOSED RULES:	
1250	15065	745	15404	1	15237
1427	16647			3	15239
				4	15245
				444	16347
				1500	17157

FEDERAL REGISTER

17 CFR
 150..... 15086
 200..... 14748, 16052, 17008
PROPOSED RULES:
 150..... 15907
 240..... 16090
 275..... 14782

18 CFR
 3..... 16300
 301..... 14749
PROPOSED RULES:
 Ch. I..... 15402
 2..... 16220
 35..... 14606
 101..... 14606
 104..... 14606
 141..... 15402, 16684
 154..... 14606
 202..... 14606
 204..... 14606
 260..... 16684

19 CFR
 6..... 15386
 22..... 14749
 113..... 14749
 133..... 17151
 141..... 17151
 153..... 14591
PROPOSED RULES:
 112..... 15389
 113..... 15389

20 CFR
 10..... 14750
 405..... 14591, 14934
PROPOSED RULES:
 405..... 14934, 16673, 17151

21 CFR
 6..... 16662
 8..... 15087
 121..... 14905
 123..... 14592, 17142
 312..... 16053
 431..... 15088
 436..... 15088
 440..... 15088
 444..... 14906
 448..... 15088
 449..... 15089
 701..... 16192
 740..... 16192
 1030..... 14750, 16663
 1301..... 17142
PROPOSED RULES:
 27..... 16085
 630..... 17151
 1301..... 16082
 1308..... 16082

22 CFR
 1..... 15392
 3..... 15392
PROPOSED RULES:
 8..... 15060

23 CFR
 1..... 16057
 140..... 16057
 635..... 14906
 646..... 16059
 662..... 14907
 820..... 16301

24 CFR
 300..... 14753
 570..... 15089, 16663
 800..... 15580
 801..... 15580
 802..... 15580
 803..... 15580
 804..... 15580
 880..... 15580
 881..... 15580
 882..... 15580
 883..... 15580, 16934
 888..... 15580
 889..... 15542
 890..... 17008
 1700..... 14753
 1914..... 14599-14601
 16192, 16193, 16303, 16304, 16835-
 16841
 1915..... 14754,
 16192, 16193, 16193, 16303, 16304,
 16842, 17015, 17017
PROPOSED RULES:
 1917..... 16345, 16674-16676

25 CFR
 41..... 17022
 43K..... 14592
PROPOSED RULES:
 221..... 17029

26 CFR
 Ch. I..... 16835
 1..... 16663
 31..... 17144
 301..... 15090
PROPOSED RULES:
 1..... 14767
 31..... 17028

27 CFR
 Ch. I..... 16835
29 CFR
 90..... 14908
 91..... 16304
 92..... 16304
 670..... 16063, 17146
 694..... 15875
 726..... 16063, 17146
 1208..... 17022
 1601..... 16193
 1913..... 15876
 1952..... 16843
 2300..... 14593
PROPOSED RULES:
 4..... 16082
 1910..... 15390, 16217, 16336
 1926..... 15390
 1952..... 16853

31 CFR
 90..... 16844
 93..... 16844
 100..... 16844
 121..... 16844
PROPOSED RULES:
 210..... 16669

32 CFR
 40..... 16194
 287..... 16203
 765..... 16314
 856..... 14758
 1803..... 16314
PROPOSED RULES:
 641..... 16850

33 CFR
 82..... 17022
 117..... 14594, 15093
 209..... 17023
 380..... 14761
PROPOSED RULES:
 117..... 14604, 15903
 266..... 14872

34 CFR
PROPOSED RULES:
 235..... 16855

36 CFR
 7..... 14912, 16315
 214..... 16316
 603..... 15877
 604..... 15877
PROPOSED RULES:
 231..... 16335

38 CFR
 3..... 16064
PROPOSED RULES:
 1..... 14783
 3..... 16092

39 CFR
PROPOSED RULES:
 111..... 15909, 16686

40 CFR
 6..... 16814
 15..... 17123
 52..... 14595, 15879, 16844, 16845
 65..... 14876
 85..... 16667
 180..... 14496, 14597, 15387, 15880, 17146
 408..... 16204
PROPOSED RULES:
 52..... 15094, 15095, 16218, 16680, 17157
 408..... 15096
 414..... 17041

41 CFR
 1-3..... 15880
 1-7..... 14913
 1-12..... 14913
 1-15..... 14913
 1-30..... 14917
 3-1..... 16319
 3-8..... 16319
 5A-2..... 16847
 5A-7..... 16847
 5A-16..... 16847
 7-1..... 16205
 7-6..... 16205
 7-7..... 16205
 7-16..... 16206
 7-30..... 16206
 9-9..... 16848
 14-3..... 15091
 109-1..... 15091
PROPOSED RULES:
 Ch. 9..... 16677
 Ch. 60..... 14953
 3-16..... 16337

42 CFR
 57..... 14762
PROPOSED RULES:
 57..... 14932
 82..... 17029

FEDERAL REGISTER

43 CFR		46 CFR—Continued		49 CFR	
PUBLIC LAND ORDERS:		380.....	14599	1.....	14764
5494.....	16066	528.....	14599	310.....	14919
5495.....	16667	533.....	14599	571.....	14765
5496.....	16208	12.....	16676	1033.....	14765, 14766
PROPOSED RULES:		31.....	17154	1036.....	16846
4.....	14603	32.....	14935, 16676	1124.....	17147
2650.....	14603	50.....	14935, 16676	1126.....	16066
45 CFR		52.....	14935, 16676	1201.....	15388
112.....	16013	53.....	14935, 16676	PROPOSED RULES:	
113.....	16015	54.....	14935, 16676	571.....	16217, 16854, 17036
114.....	16019	74.....	17154	575.....	17039
115.....	16032	93.....	17154	1056.....	17044
151.....	14762	Subchapter M.....	17154	1241.....	15402
154.....	14917	PROPOSED RULES:		1249.....	15402
155.....	14918	56.....	14935, 16676	1250.....	15402
190.....	15248	58.....	14935, 16676	1251.....	15402
237.....	16667	63.....	14935, 16676		
249.....	14597	502.....	15097	50 CFR	
250.....	14597, 15388	550.....	15401	32.....	14920
1201.....	17023	47 CFR		33.....	14766, 14920, 16210, 16320
1216.....	16208	Ch. I.....	17130	280.....	16210
PROPOSED RULES:		0.....	14764	PROPOSED RULES:	
204.....	16672	1.....	15883, 16394, 17146	17.....	14767
228.....	16802	15.....	15091, 15881	227.....	14777
250.....	15093	73.....	15546, 15882-15889, 16667, 17026	251.....	14778, 14779, 16216
156.....	16086	76.....	15546		
1222.....	16676	PROPOSED RULES:			
46 CFR		1.....	16968		
40.....	17024	73.....	14943- 14947, 15907, 15908, 16680, 16682, 17042		
151.....	17024	76.....	15574, 16683, 16684		

FEDERAL REGISTER PAGES AND DATES—APRIL

<i>Pages</i>	<i>Date</i>
14565-14735.....	Apr. 1
14737-14883.....	2
14885-15062.....	3
15063-15376.....	4
15077-15859.....	7
15861-16046.....	8
16047-16186.....	9
16187-16291.....	10
16293-16640.....	11
16641-16827.....	14
17003-17134.....	16
17135-17238.....	17

rules and regulations

This section of the FEDERAL REGISTER contains regulatory documents having general applicability and legal effect most of which are keyed to and codified in the Code of Federal Regulations, which is published under 50 titles pursuant to 44 U.S.C. 1510.

The Code of Federal Regulations is sold by the Superintendent of Documents. Prices of new books are listed in the first FEDERAL REGISTER issue of each month.

Title 12—Banks and Banking

CHAPTER I—COMPTROLLER OF THE CURRENCY, DEPARTMENT OF THE TREASURY

PART 23—STATEMENTS OF BUSINESS INTERESTS OF DIRECTORS AND PRINCIPAL OFFICERS OF NATIONAL BANKS

Clarification of Reporting Requirements

The Comptroller of the Currency is amending the regulation governing statements of business interests of directors and principal officers of national banks (12 CFR Part 23, 39 FR 41735, December 2, 1974, 40 FR 6200, February 10, 1975, 40 FR 7897, February 24, 1975). The amendment eliminates the reporting of direct transactions between reporting persons or their business enterprises and the bank, clarifies the exemption for "insignificant" transactions, eliminates the requirement for annual review, and extends the date for filing statements.

Under this amendment a director or principal officer no longer will be required to report direct transactions with his or her bank. Only extensions of credit or other agreements which do not appear on the books of the bank in the name of the director or principal officer or the name of his or her business enterprises, but are in fact for his, her or their benefit, will have to be reported.

The above change is effected by adding a definition of the term "reportable transaction" to § 23.2 and limiting transactional reporting requirements to "reportable transactions."

Since only indirect transactions now need be reported, the previous exemption for certain transactions by the bank acting solely in a fiduciary or representative capacity has been eliminated. It is now required that indirect transactions of the type required to be reported if made with the commercial side likewise will be reportable if made with the trust department.

The term "excluded transaction" has been changed to "insignificant transaction" and the definition has been amended to include dollar guidelines for *prima facie* insignificance. For banks with assets of less than \$50 million, a transaction involving not more than \$10,000 is deemed insignificant; for banks with assets of \$50 million to \$300 million, transactions not exceeding \$25,000; for banks with assets of \$300 million to \$1 billion, transactions not exceeding \$50,000; and for banks with assets of \$1 billion or more, transactions not exceeding \$100,000.

The amendment extends the date for filing Forms CC-9030-29¹ to July 1, 1975,

¹ Filed as part of the original document.

for the purpose of affording adequate time for preparation under the revised reporting requirements.

Since this amendment merely clarifies ambiguous provisions and relaxes certain reporting requirements, it is hereby determined that further proposed rule-making and public participation procedures are not in the public interest. Accordingly, this amendment will become effective May 1, 1975, as part of the original regulation.

Part 23 of 12 CFR is amended as follows:

1. In §23.1, paragraph (a) is revised to read as follows:

§ 23.1 Authority and scope.

(a) This part is issued by the Comptroller of the Currency pursuant to sections 161, 481, 1818, and 1820 of Title 12 of the United States Code. This part requires the filing of a Statement of Interest, Form CC-9030-29, by directors and principal officers of national banks. An initial statement must be filed by July 1, 1975, or within 30 days after attaining the position of director or principal officer of a national bank, whichever is later.

2. Section 23.2 is amended as follows:

a. Paragraph (a) and (b) are revised.
b. Paragraph (e) and (f) are revised and redesignated as paragraphs (f) and (g), respectively.

c. Subparagraph (2) of paragraph (h) is revised.

d. A new paragraph (k) is added, and existing paragraph (k) is redesignated as paragraph (l).

The text is changed to read as follows:

§ 23.2 Definitions.

For purposes of this part:

(a) The term "agreement" means a contract, lease, or other agreement or understanding—other than an extension of credit or deposit—pursuant to which the designated bank receives or provides any tangible or intangible goods, property, or service.

(b) The term "business enterprise" means a corporation, association, business trust, partnership, joint venture, pool, syndicate, sole proprietorship or any other form of business not specifically listed herein, whether or not such enterprise has engaged in transactions with the designated bank. The term "business enterprise" also includes any personal or family trust and any charitable, social, fraternal, civic, governmental or recreational association, trust, club, agency or other organization if, and only if, such enterprise has engaged in any transaction with the designated bank, other than

making a deposit or soliciting or receiving contributions, during the last year. The term "business enterprise" excludes (i) the designated bank; (ii) a corporation, partnership, or joint venture which is 50 percent or more owned by the designated bank; (iii) a holding company whose control of the designated bank is registered with or approved by the Board of Governors of the Federal Reserve System, pursuant to sections 3 or 5 of the Bank Holding Company Act, 12 U.S.C. 1842 or 1844, or any of such holding company's subsidiaries the control of which has been registered with or approved by the Board of Governors of the Federal Reserve System pursuant to section 3, 4 or 5 of the Bank Holding Company Act, 12 U.S.C. 1842, 1843 or 1844; and (iv) a Federal Reserve Bank.

(e) The term "extension of credit" means the making of a loan or the extending of credit in any manner whatsoever by the designated bank and shall include (without limitation):

(1) A loan.
(2) An advance by means of an overdraft, cash item or otherwise.
(3) The acquisition by discount, purchase, exchange or otherwise of a note, draft, bill of exchange or other evidence of indebtedness.

(4) An acceptance.
(5) A letter of credit.
(6) A purchase of securities, accounts receivable or other chose in action under a repurchase agreement.

(f) The term "insignificant transaction" means an extension of credit or agreement which is of such insignificance to the designated bank that the senior management of the designated bank should not be expected in the normal course of business to be aware of the transaction. For the purposes of this part, the following transactions shall be deemed to be *prima facie* insignificant:

(1) If the designated bank had as of the preceding quarterly report of condition total assets of less than \$50 million, any transaction which involves an amount not exceeding \$10,000.

(2) If the designated bank had as of the preceding quarterly report of condition total assets equal to or exceeding \$50 million and less than \$300 million, any transaction which involves an amount not exceeding \$25,000.

(3) If the designated bank had as of the preceding quarterly report of condition total assets equal to or exceeding \$300 million and less than \$1 billion, any transaction which involves an amount not exceeding \$50,000.

(4) If the designated bank had as of the preceding quarterly report of condi-

tion total assets equal to or exceeding \$1 billion, any transaction which involves an amount not exceeding \$100,000.

(h) The term "material change" means:

(2) The creation, or termination, or significant change in the terms or conditions of any reportable transaction.

(k) (1) The term "reportable transaction" means an agreement or extension of credit, which is not an insignificant transaction, made to or for the account or benefit of, or with direct or contingent recourse to the reporting person, his or her spouse, minor child or any business enterprise in which the reporting person, his or her spouse or minor child have an interest, which does not appear on the bank's books as having been so made.

(2) The following examples of reportable transactions are for illustrative purposes only and are not meant to be exclusive. In all examples "X" refers to a person or business enterprise other than the reporting person, his or her spouse, minor child or business interest of any of them.

(i) An extension of credit to X, the proceeds of which are turned over to, reloaned to, or otherwise inure to the benefit of the reporting person, his or her spouse, minor child or business interest of any of them.

(ii) An extension of credit to X for the purpose of financing an enterprise in which the reporting person, his or her spouse, minor child or business interest of any of them, has an interest.

(iii) An extension of credit to X concerning which the reporting person, his or her spouse, minor child or a business interest of any of them has an agreement with X to hold X harmless against risk of loss.

(iv) The purchase of real property by the designated bank from X, subject to a mortgage held by the reporting person, his or her spouse, minor child or a business interest of any of them.

(3) The following are examples of transactions which do not have to be reported:

(i) A direct extension of credit to the reporting person, his or her spouse, minor child or business enterprise in which any of them have an interest.

(ii) An extension of credit to X on which the reporting person, his or her spouse, minor child or business enterprise in which any of them have an interest, appears on the designated bank's records as a guarantor.

3. Section 23.3 is revised to read as follows:

§ 23.3 Filing of statement.

Every director or principal officer of a national bank is required to maintain on file with the bank as prescribed in this Regulation one or more Forms CC-9030-29. The director or principal officer shall

complete and file Forms CC-9030-29 with the designated bank within 30 days after becoming a director or principal officer, or by July 1, 1975, whichever is later. Thereafter, the reporting person shall complete and file with the designated bank a new Form CC-9030-29 within 30 days after the occurrence of any material change. The reporting person shall include on the Forms, in accordance with applicable instructions, the required information concerning any business enterprise in which the reporting person, his or her spouse or minor child have an interest and any reportable transaction. If the reporting person his or her spouse or minor child have no interest in any business enterprise, the reporting person shall complete and file a Form CC-9030-29 so stating.

§ 23.4 [Revoked]

§§ 23.5 and 23.6 [Redesignated]

4. Section 23.4 is repealed and §§ 23.5 and 23.6 are redesignated as §§ 23.4 and 23.5, respectively.

Effective date. This amendment becomes effective on May 1, 1975.

Dated: April 14, 1975.

[SEAL] JAMES E. SMITH,
Comptroller of the Currency.
[FR Doc.75-10016 Filed 4-16-75;8:45 am]

CHAPTER II—FEDERAL RESERVE SYSTEM

[Regs. D, M]

PART 204—RESERVES OF MEMBER BANKS

PART 213—FOREIGN ACTIVITIES OF NATIONAL BANKS

Reserves Against Eurodollar Borrowings

The Board of Governors has approved amendments to its Regulation D, Reserves of Member Banks, and to its Regulation M, Foreign Activities of National Banks, to reduce from 8 per cent to 4 per cent the reserve requirement on member banks' Eurodollar borrowings and foreign branch loans to United States residents.

The Board first required member banks to maintain reserves against Eurodollar borrowings in 1969. At that time, a reserve rate of 10 per cent was applied to borrowings in excess of base period amounts, and subsequently in November of 1970 this marginal rate was increased to 20 per cent. In May, 1973, the Board decided to eliminate gradually existing reserve free bases by April of 1974 and to apply a general 8 per cent reserve requirement. The Board has now decided to lower this general reserve rate further to 4 per cent. The Board noted that it took this action to bring the Eurodollar reserve requirement into better alignment with reserve requirements on time and savings deposits. The Board also noted this action may strengthen the position of the United States dollar in foreign exchange markets.

Under the amendments, which will become effective May 22, 1975, the reduced

rate of reserve requirements will apply in the case of reserves that must be maintained during the maintenance period beginning May 22, 1975, based on the computation period extending from April 10, 1975 to May 7, 1975. The amendments will thus have an immediate impact on member banks' Eurodollar borrowings.

These amendments are issued pursuant to the Board's authority under section 19 of the Federal Reserve Act (12 U.S.C. 461) to set reserve ratios for member banks and under sections 25 and 9 of that Act (12 U.S.C. 601 and 321) to regulate foreign branches of member banks. There was no notice or public participation with respect to these amendments because the Board found such procedures would delay the desired impact on member bank Eurodollar borrowings and thus be contrary to the public interest for the reasons discussed above.

Effective May 22, 1975, §§ 204.5(c) of Regulation D and § 213.7 of Regulation M are amended to read as follows:

§ 204.5 Reserve requirements.

(c) *Reserve percentages against certain deposits by foreign banking offices.* Deposits represented by promissory notes, acknowledgements of advance, due bills, or similar obligations described in § 204.1(f) to foreign offices of other banks,⁷ or to institutions the time deposits of which are exempt from the rate limitations of Regulation Q pursuant to § 217.3(g) thereof, shall not be subject to paragraph (a) of this section or to § 204.3(a) (1) and (2); but during each week of the four-week period beginning May 22, 1975, and during each successive four-week ("maintenance") period, a member bank shall maintain with the Reserve Bank of its district a daily average balance equal to 4 per cent of the daily average amount of such deposits during the four-week computation period ending on the Wednesday fifteen days before the beginning of the maintenance period. An excess or deficiency in reserves in any week of a maintenance period under this paragraph shall be subject to § 204.3(a) (3), as if computed under § 204.3(a) (2), and deficiencies under this paragraph shall be subject to § 204.3(b).⁸

§ 213.7 Reserves against foreign branch deposits.

(a) *Transactions with parent bank.* During each week of the four-week period beginning May 22, 1975, and during each week of each successive four-week ("maintenance") period, a member bank having one or more foreign branches shall maintain with the Reserve Bank of its district, as a reserve against

⁷ Any banking office located outside the States of the United States and the District of Columbia of a bank organized under domestic or foreign law.

⁸ The term "computation period" in § 204.3(a) (3) and (b) shall, for this purpose, be deemed to refer to each week of a maintenance period under this paragraph.

its foreign branch deposits, a daily average balance equal to 4 per cent of the daily average total of—

(1) Net balances due from its domestic offices to such branches, and

(2) Assets (including participations) held by such branches which were acquired from its domestic offices (other than assets representing credit extended to persons not residents of the United States), during the four-week computation period ending on the Wednesday fifteen days before the beginning of the maintenance period.

(b) *Credit extended to United States residents.* During each week of the four-week period beginning May 22, 1975, and during each week of each successive four-week maintenance period, a member bank having one or more foreign branches shall maintain with the Reserve Bank of its district, as a reserve against its foreign branch deposits, a daily average balance equal to 4 per cent of the daily average credit outstanding from such branches to United States residents⁷ (other than assets acquired and net balances due from its domestic offices) during the four-week computation period ending on the Wednesday fifteen days before the beginning of the maintenance period: *Provided*, That this paragraph does not apply to credit extended (1) in the aggregate amount of \$100,000 or less to any United States resident, (2) by a foreign branch which at no time during the computation period had credit outstanding to United States residents exceeding \$1 million, (3) to enable the borrower to comply with the requirements of the Office of Foreign Direct Investments, Department of Commerce,⁸ (4) under binding commitments entered into before May 17, 1973, or (5) to another member bank that will be maintaining reserves on such credit under § 204.5(c) of Regulation D.

Effective date: May 22, 1975.

By order of the Board of Governors, April 9, 1975.

[SEAL] THEODORE E. ALLISON,
Secretary of the Board.

[FR Doc. 75-10030 Filed 4-16-75; 8:45 am]

⁷ (a) Any individual residing (at the time the credit is extended) in any State of the United States or the District of Columbia; (b) any corporation, partnership, association or other entity organized therein ("domestic corporation"); and (c) any branch or office located therein of any other entity wherever organized. Credit extended to a foreign branch, office, subsidiary, affiliate or other foreign establishment ("foreign affiliate") controlled by one or more such domestic corporations will not be deemed to be credit extended to a United States resident if the proceeds will be used in its foreign business or that of other foreign affiliates of the controlling domestic corporation(s).

⁸ The branch may in good faith rely on the borrower's certification that the funds will be so used.

CHAPTER III—FEDERAL DEPOSIT INSURANCE CORPORATION

SUBCHAPTER B—REGULATIONS AND STATEMENTS OF GENERAL POLICY

PART 329—INTEREST ON DEPOSITS

Restrictions on NOW Accounts of Public Units

1. On November 26, 1974 the Board of Directors of the Federal Deposit Insurance Corporation (hereinafter the "Board" and the "Corporation") adopted certain amendments to Part 329 of the Corporation's rules and regulations (12 CFR Part 329). These amendments appeared at 39 FR 42339-40, December 5, 1974.

The amendments allowed insured non-member banks to maintain savings deposits of public units. This had the effect, in Massachusetts and New Hampshire, of permitting insured nonmember banks (both commercial and mutual savings banks) to maintain so-called NOW accounts for public units, since NOW accounts are a form of savings deposit.

Following publication of the amendments, the Board received a number of comments which expressed opposition to and support of the maintenance of NOW accounts by public units in Massachusetts and New Hampshire with banks in those States. In response to the controversy over its previous action, the Board issued a notice of pending reconsideration on December 23, 1974. This notice appeared at 39 FR 44778, December 27, 1974. Interested persons were given until January 20, 1975 to submit their comments on the question of whether or not public units in Massachusetts and New Hampshire should be allowed to maintain NOW accounts with insured non-member banks in those States. In addition, the banks involved were advised not to accept NOW accounts from public units pending action by the Board upon reconsideration of the issues.

The Board has now had the opportunity to consider all comments submitted in response to its December 23 Notice and to discuss the issues at length with representatives of the Board of Governors of the Federal Reserve System.

Although the Board has been advised by counsel that it has the authority, pursuant to and in accordance with Pub. L. 93-100 (87 Stat. 342) and other Congressional enactments, to permit insured nonmember banks in Massachusetts and New Hampshire to accept NOW accounts from public units, it considers its previous action in this regard to have been premature in view of the relatively short duration of the NOW account experiment in both States and the potentially disruptive economic effects that continued authorization of public unit NOW accounts could have upon deposit relationships between financial institutions and state and local governments in the two States. The Board has accordingly concluded that eligibility should be limited for the present to those categories of depositors who were permitted to hold NOW accounts prior to the effective

date of the previous amendments (November 27, 1974). This would include individuals, certain nonprofit organizations, and fiduciaries representing such individuals and nonprofit organizations. It would not include Federal, state, or local governmental units (other than those operated primarily for charitable, educational, or similar purposes). However, the Board will continue to monitor NOW account activity in Massachusetts and New Hampshire and will consider allowing a broader class of public units to maintain NOW accounts at some future time if the results of the NOW account experiment in those two States then indicate that such an extension would be in the public interest.

In order to avoid unnecessary hardship, the Board has decided to delay the effective date of the following amendments until May 16, 1975. In addition, any nonqualifying public unit which then has a NOW account will be allowed to retain the account through December 31, 1975.

2. Part 329 of Title 12 of the Code of Federal Regulations is amended as follows:

Section 329.1(e)(2) is revised to read as follows:

§ 329.1 Definitions.

(e) *Savings deposits.* (1) * * *

(2)(i) Subject to the limitations in subdivision (ii) of this subparagraph (2), the term "savings deposit", as defined in paragraph (e)(1) of this section, shall include all interest-bearing deposits subject to withdrawal by negotiable or transferable instruments for the purpose of making transfers to third parties where such withdrawals are authorized by law.⁹

(ii) No insured nonmember bank or insured nonmember mutual savings bank shall maintain an interest-bearing deposit for a public unit, as defined in section 3(m) of the Federal Deposit Insurance Act, if such deposit is subject to withdrawal by negotiable or transferable instrument for the purpose of making transfers to third parties, unless the public unit meets the requirements of paragraph (e)(1) of this section other than by virtue of its status as a public unit: *Provided*, That any insured nonmember bank or insured nonmember mutual savings bank may maintain such a deposit for a nonqualifying public unit until January 1, 1976 if such deposit was established on or before May 16, 1975.

Section 329.7(b)(1) is revised to read as follows:

§ 329.7 Maximum rates of interest or dividends payable on deposits by insured nonmember mutual savings banks.¹⁰

⁹ Limited by Federal Law to banks in Massachusetts and New Hampshire (87 Stat. 342).

* * *

(b) *Maximum rates payable*—(1) *General*—(i) * * *

(ii) Notwithstanding the provisions of paragraph (b) (1) (i) of this section, no insured nonmember mutual savings bank shall pay interest or dividends at a rate in excess of 5 percent per annum on any deposit that is subject to withdrawal by negotiable or transferable instruments for the purpose of making transfers to third parties where such withdrawals are authorized by law.¹⁴⁴ Those eligible to hold such deposits shall be limited to individuals and those organizations, other than public units, described in § 329.1(e) (1) (i). Eligibility for public units shall be limited in accordance with the provisions of § 329.1 (e) (2) (ii).

(Sec. 9, 64 Stat. 881-82, (12 U.S.C. 1819); sec. 18, 64 Stat. 893, 80 Stat. 824 (12 U.S.C. 1828))

3. Having given ample notice and opportunity for public comment in its previous notice of pending reconsideration, the Board hereby adopts the above amendments. The effective date thereof will be delayed for at least 30 days following publication in accordance with 5 U.S.C. 553(d) and 12 CFR 302.5.

4. *Effective date.* The foregoing amendments to 12 CFR Part 329 are effective May 16, 1975.

By order of the Board of Directors,
April 4, 1975.

FEDERAL DEPOSIT INSURANCE
CORPORATION,
[SEAL] ALAN R. MILLER,
Executive Secretary.

[FR Doc. 75-10072 Filed 4-16-75; 8:45 am]

Title 13—Business Credit and Assistance

CHAPTER I—SMALL BUSINESS
ADMINISTRATION

[Rev. 13, Amdt. 2]

PART 121—SMALL BUSINESS SIZE
STANDARDS REGULATIONDefinition of Small Business Special Trades
Contractor (Construction)

On March 6, 1975, there was published in the FEDERAL REGISTER (40 FR 10486) a notice of proposal that the Small Business Size Standards Regulations be amended to provide that any concern which, from March 18, 1973, to March 18, 1974, was primarily engaged in bidding on small business set-asides for special trade construction contracts (that is, 50 percent or more of its annual receipts, including those of its affiliates, were attributable to such contracts) will, for a period of 1 year from the effective date of the amendment, be considered as small for the purpose of bidding on special trade construction contracts subject to a size standard of average annual receipts of \$1 million or \$2 million or less, if its

¹⁴⁴ Federal law limits the offering of such deposits by mutual savings banks to those banks located in Massachusetts and New Hampshire (87 Stat. 342).

average annual receipts for the 3 preceding fiscal years is \$7.5 million or less (the procurement size standard for special trade contractors prior to March 18, 1974).

Interested parties were given 30 days to submit arguments, opinions, comments, etc., on said proposal. Several parties' comments on the proposal took the position that a concern having average annual receipts of less than \$7.5 million should be permitted to bid on special trade contracts for the stipulated 1-year period of 25 percent or more rather than 50 percent of its annual receipts, including those of its affiliates, were attributable to such small business set-aside contracts during the specified period.

SBA has carefully considered all comments submitted on the aforementioned proposal and has decided to amend its size regulation along the lines proposed. The primary purpose of the proposal was to give remedial assistance to those concerns which had been most seriously and adversely affected by SBA's lowering of its procurement size standards for special trade contractors (those concerns most dependent on small business set-asides). Therefore, we concluded that at this time we should limit the remedy to concerns that for a year prior to the lowering of the standards had been primarily engaged in performing set-aside contracts. However, we will continue to consider the issue as to whether concerns who were not primarily engaged in set-aside procurements but who had a significant amount of their receipts attributable to such contracts should also be given remedial assistance.

Accordingly, Part 121 of Chapter I of Title 13 of the Code of Federal Regulations is hereby amended by revising § 121.3-8(a) (1) to read as follows:

Section 121.3-8 Definition of small
business for Government procure-
ments.

(a) * * *

(1) Small if the average annual receipts for its preceding 3 fiscal years do not exceed \$7.5 million: *Provided, however,* That if the requirements of the contract are classified in an industry set forth in Schedule H of this part, it is small if it does not exceed the size standard established therein for that industry. (Notwithstanding the above proviso, for a period of 1 year from the effective date of this amendment, any concern which from March 18, 1973, to March 18, 1974, was primarily engaged in performing small business set-aside contracts is small for the purpose of any contract covered by the proviso if its average annual receipts for its preceding 3 fiscal years did not exceed \$7.5 million. For the purpose of this rule, a concern was primarily engaged in performing small business set-aside contracts if 50 percent or more of its receipts, including receipts of its affiliates, were attributable to such contracts.)

Effective date: April 17, 1975.

(Catalog of Federal Domestic Assistance Programs No. 59.009, Procurement Assistance to Small Business)

Dated: April 8, 1975.

THOMAS S. KLEPPE,
Administrator.

[FR Doc. 75-9960 Filed 4-16-75; 8:45 am]

Title 14—Aeronautics and Space

CHAPTER I—FEDERAL AVIATION ADMIN-
ISTRATION, DEPARTMENT OF TRAN-
SPORTATION

[Docket No. 75-GL-8, Amdt. 39-2173]

PART 39—AIRWORTHINESS DIRECTIVES

Bellanca Aircraft Corp.

There have been failures of the alternate air valve on the Bellanca Model 7KCAB that have resulted in blockage of the carburetor air inlet and partial power loss. Since this condition is likely to exist or develop in other airplanes of the same type design, an airworthiness directive is being issued to require replacement of the alternate air valve with an improved valve on Bellanca Model 7ECA, 7GCAA, 7GCBC, 7KCAB, 8KCAB, and 8GCBC airplanes.

Since a situation exists that requires immediate adoption of this regulation, it is found that notice and public procedure hereon are impracticable and good cause exists for making this amendment effective in less than 30 days.

In consideration of the foregoing, and pursuant to the authority delegated to me by the Administrator (31 FR 13697 and 14 CFR 11.89) § 39.13 of Part 39 of the Federal Aviation Regulations is amended by adding the following new airworthiness directive.

BELLANCA. Applies to the following airplanes:

7ECA: S/N 985-74 thru 1059-74, 1060-75 thru 1088-75.

7GCAA: S/N 290-74 thru 304-74, 305-75 thru 312-75.

7GCBC: S/N 604-74 thru 773-74, 774-75 thru 815-75.

7KCAB: S/N 405-74 thru 486-74, 487-75 thru 507-75.

8KCAB: S/N 120-74 thru 161-74, 162-75 thru 174-75.

8GCBC: S/N 1-74 thru 119-74, 120-75 thru 162-75.

Compliance: Required within the next 10 hours time in service after the effective date of this AD, unless already accomplished. The aircraft may be flown to a facility where the installation can be performed after expiration of the 10 hours time in service after the effective date of the AD.

To prevent fatigue failure of carburetor air box alternate air valves, install Bellanca Kit #248.

Bellanca Service Letter No. 118 pertains to this same subject.

This amendment becomes effective April 23, 1975.

(Secs. 313(a), 601, 603, Federal Aviation Act of 1958, (49 U.S.C. 1354(a), 1421, and 1423); sec. 6(c), Department of Transportation Act (49 U.S.C. 1655(c))

Issued in Des Plaines, Ill., on April 9, 1975.

JOHN M. CYROCKI,
Director, Great Lakes Region.

[FR Doc.75-10062 Filed 4-16-75;8:45 am]

[Docket No. 74-NW-24-AD; Amdt. 39-2174]

PART 39—AIRWORTHINESS DIRECTIVES

Boeing Model 727 Series Airplanes

A proposal to amend Part 39 of the Federal Aviation Regulations to include an airworthiness directive requiring inspections, repair, and/or replacements, as necessary, of the 7079-T6 aluminum alloy horizontal stabilizer rear spar center section fittings on Boeing Model 727 series airplanes was published in 40 FR 3312.

Interested persons have been afforded an opportunity to participate in the making of the amendment. The proposal consisted of an initial inspection for cracks within 750 flight hours and, if no cracks are found, repetitive inspections thereafter every 3000 flight hours. If cracks are discovered, repair or replacement of fittings are required as described in Boeing Service Bulletin 727-55-34.

Subsequent to issuing the proposed AD, Boeing issued Service Bulletin 727-55-62 describing all repairs of the fitting which have been FAA approved. These repairs were developed due to cracks in the hinge lugs. This new service bulletin supersedes Service Bulletin 727-55-34 since it describes more intensive inspection procedures and crack limit information. Accordingly, Boeing Service Bulletin 727-55-62 will be specified in the AD.

The Air Transport Association (ATA) of America submitted four comments in response to the proposed AD. One comment alleged that the 1500 flight hour repetitive inspection for repaired fittings is unduly restrictive requiring special monitoring and routing of aircraft outside the normal maintenance cycles. Further, the ATA stated that since the fitting is fail-safe in design and crack progression would be arrested or slowed by the repair, a 3000 flight hour repetitive inspection would be more appropriate. The FAA recognizes that the fitting is fail-safe in design; however, experience with 7079-T6 aluminum alloy forging material, of which the fitting is made, has shown that prediction of crack growth is unreliable, even with corrective action which would normally arrest or slow the crack growth in other materials. Furthermore, the 1500 flight hour interval is generally compatible with maintenance inspection checks in which the airplane would be at a facility where equipment is available to reach the inspection areas of the fitting. The inspection is visual, requiring the removal of only existing panels or doors. Therefore, the 1500 flight hour inspection interval of repaired fittings will remain as proposed.

In its second comment, the ATA requested that ferry flights be allowed for

airplanes with cracked fittings exceeding the limits, which require replacement. The commentator stated that only major overhaul bases are equipped with the specialized tools and maintenance facilities to accomplish such a replacement. The FAA concurs with this comment, and nonrevenue flights to the operator's major overhaul base are allowed in accordance with FAR 21.197.

In its third comment, the ATA requested that fittings which had been previously repaired in accordance with FAA Designated Engineering Representative (DER) approved data be acceptable. As mentioned above, these repairs are incorporated in Boeing Service Bulletin 727-55-62. Since this new bulletin will be incorporated in the AD in place of Service Bulletin 727-55-34, previously approved repairs will be recognized as acceptable.

Finally, the ATA requested inclusion in the AD of the standard paragraph permitting an FAA maintenance inspector to adjust the compliance times, subject to prior approval by the Chief, Engineering and Manufacturing Branch, FAA Northwest Region. The commentator stated that some operators may have operating environment experience or maintenance programs to support adjustment of inspection intervals. The FAA disagrees with this comment on the basis that experience has shown crack progression cannot be predicted in the fitting material. Also, a survey of operators with different operating environments shows that cracking of fittings is not related to environmental conditions.

In consideration of the foregoing, and pursuant to the authority delegated to me by the Administrator (31 FR 13697), § 39.13 of the Federal Aviation Regulations is amended by adding the following new airworthiness directive:

BOXING: Applies to Boeing Model 727 series airplanes, certificated in all categories, listed in Boeing Service Bulletin 727-55-62, or later FAA approved revisions (line numbers 1 through 641, inclusive). Compliance required as indicated.

To detect cracks in the horizontal stabilizer rear spar center section fitting, accomplish the following:

A. Within the next 750 flight hours after the effective date of this AD, unless accomplished within the last 2250 flight hours, inspect the horizontal stabilizer rear spar center section fitting in accordance with Paragraph III of Boeing Service Bulletin 727-55-62, or later FAA approved revisions, or in a manner approved by the Chief, Engineering and Manufacturing Branch, FAA Northwest Region.

1. If no cracks are found in the fitting, repeat the inspections at intervals not to exceed 3000 flight hours, until replaced per Paragraph B.

2. If a crack is found at the upper or lower flanges of the fitting and is within the allowable limits specified in Figure 2 of Boeing Service Bulletin 727-55-62, or later FAA approved revisions, prior to further flight, stop drill the crack per the service bulletin. Inspect the stop drilled areas for crack growth at 1500 flight hour intervals, until repaired or replaced in accordance with Boeing Service Bulletin 727-55-62, or later FAA approved revisions, or in a manner approved by the

Chief, Engineering and Manufacturing Branch, FAA Northwest Region.

3. If a crack is found in any of the hinge lugs and is within the allowable limits specified in Boeing Service Bulletin 727-55-62, or later FAA approved revisions, before further flight, repair the fitting in accordance with Figure 3 of the service bulletin, or in a manner approved by the Chief, Engineering and Manufacturing Branch, FAA Northwest Region. Inspect the repaired fitting thereafter at intervals not to exceed 1500 flight hours.

4. If a crack at any location is beyond the allowable limits specified in Boeing Service Bulletin 727-55-62, or later FAA approved revisions, before further flight, repair in a manner approved by the Chief, Engineering and Manufacturing Branch, FAA Northwest Region, or replace the fitting with a new improved fitting per Paragraph B.

B. As terminating action for this AD, replace the horizontal stabilizer rear spar center section fitting with a new improved 7075-T73 aluminum alloy fitting.

C. Airplanes having cracked horizontal stabilizer rear spar center section fittings which require replacement under this AD may be flown in accordance with FAR 21.197 to a base where the replacement can be accomplished.

The manufacturer's specifications and procedures identified and described in this directive are incorporated herein and made a part hereof pursuant to 5 U.S.C. 552(a)(1).

All persons affected by this directive who have not already received these documents from the manufacturer may obtain copies upon request to Boeing Commercial Airplane Company, PO. Box 3707, Seattle, Washington 98124. The documents may also be examined at FAA Northwest Region, 9010 East Marginal Way South, Seattle, Washington.

This amendment becomes effective April 29, 1975.

(Secs. 313(a), 601, 603, Federal Aviation Act of 1958, (49 U.S.C. 1354(a), 1421, and 1423); sec. 6(c), Department of Transportation Act (49 U.S.C. 1655(c))

Issued in Seattle, Wash., on April 8, 1975.

C. B. WALK, JR.,
Director, Northwest Region.

NOTE: The incorporation by reference provisions in the document were approved by the Director of the Federal Register on June 19, 1967.

[FR Doc.75-10061 Filed 4-16-75;8:45 am]

[Airspace Docket No. 74-CE-24]

PART 71—DESIGNATION OF FEDERAL AIRWAYS, AREA LOW ROUTES, CONTROLLED AIRSPACE, AND REPORTING POINTS

Designation of Transition Area

On page 5793 of the FEDERAL REGISTER dated February 7, 1975, the Federal Aviation Administration published a notice of proposed rulemaking which would amend § 71.181 of Part 71 of the Federal Aviation Regulations so as to designate a transition area at Ulysses, Kansas.

Interested persons were given 30 days to submit written comments, suggestions or objections regarding the proposed amendment.

No objections have been received and the proposed amendment is hereby adopted without change and is set forth below.

This amendment shall be effective 0901 G.m.t., June 19, 1975.

(Sec. 307(a), Federal Aviation Act of 1958, (49 U.S.C. 1348); sec. 6(c), Department of Transportation Act, (49 U.S.C. 1655(c))

Issued in Kansas City, Missouri, on March 27, 1975.

C. R. MELUGIN, Jr.,
Director, Central Region.

In § 71.181 (39 FR 440), the following transition area is added:

ULYSSES, KANSAS

That airspace extending upward from 700 feet above the surface within a 5-mile radius of the Ulysses, Kansas Municipal Airport (latitude 37°36'10" N., longitude 101°22'15" W.); within 3 miles each side of the 307° bearing from the Ulysses radio beacon (latitude 37°35'51" N., longitude 101°22'00" W.); extending from the 5-mile radius area 2.5 miles northwest.

[FR Doc.75-10063 Filed 4-16-75;8:45 am]

[Airspace Docket No. 74-SO-24]

PART 71—DESIGNATION OF FEDERAL AIRWAYS, AREA LOW ROUTES, CONTROLLED AIRSPACE, AND REPORTING POINTS

Alteration of Control Zone

On March 14, 1974, a notice of proposed rulemaking was published in the FEDERAL REGISTER (39 FR 9987), stating that the Federal Aviation Administration was considering an amendment to Part 71 of the Federal Aviation Regulations that would alter the Louisville, Ky. (Bowman Field) control zone. On March 24, 1975, rule making action was initiated to withdraw the notice of proposed rule making. Selective distribution was made; however, publication in the FEDERAL REGISTER was not accomplished as it was discovered that the installation and commissioning of the Bowman TVOR would be accomplished.

Interested persons were afforded an opportunity to participate in the rule making through the submission of comments. The only comment received was favorable.

In consideration of the foregoing, Part 71 of the Federal Aviation Regulations is amended, effective 0901 G.m.t., June 19, 1975, as hereinafter set forth.

In § 71.171 (40 FR 354), the Louisville, Ky. (Bowman Field) control zone is amended as follows: " * * * 5-mile radius zone to the VOR * * * " is deleted and " * * * 5-mile radius zone to the VOR; within 3 miles each side of Bowman VOR 018°, 068°, 151° and 182° radials, extending from the 5-mile radius zone to 8.5 miles north, east, southeast and south of the VOR * * * " is substituted therefor.

(Sec. 307(a), Federal Aviation Act of 1958, (49 U.S.C. 1348(a)); sec. 6(c), Department of Transportation Act (49 U.S.C. 1655(c))

Issued in East Point, Ga., on April 9, 1975.

PHILLIP M. SWATEK,
Director, Southern Region.

[FR Doc.75-10064 Filed 4-16-75;8:45 am]

[Airspace Docket No. 74-SO-36]

PART 71—DESIGNATION OF FEDERAL AIRWAYS, AREA LOW ROUTES, CONTROLLED AIRSPACE, AND REPORTING POINTS

Alteration of Transition Area

On April 9, 1974, a notice of proposed rulemaking was published in the FEDERAL REGISTER (39 FR 12871), stating that the Federal Aviation Administration was considering an amendment to Part 71 of the Federal Aviation Regulations that would alter the Trenton, Tenn., transition area.

Interested persons were afforded an opportunity to participate in the rule making through the submission of comments. No comments were received.

In consideration of the foregoing, Part 71 of the Federal Aviation Regulations is amended, effective 0901 G.m.t., June 19, 1975, as hereinafter set forth.

In § 71.181 (40 FR 441), the Trenton, Tenn., transition area is amended as follows: " * * * longitude 88°50'54" W.) * * * " is deleted and " * * * longitude 88°50'54" W.); within 3 miles each side of the 020° bearing from Gibson RBN (latitude 35°56'03" N., longitude 88°51'02" W.), extending from the 5-mile radius area to 8.5 miles north of the RBN * * * " is substituted therefor.

(Sec. 307(a), Federal Aviation Act of 1958, (49 U.S.C. 1348(a)); sec. 6(c), Department of Transportation Act (49 U.S.C. 1655(c))

Issued in East Point, Ga., on April 9, 1975.

PHILLIP M. SWATEK,
Director, Southern Region.

[FR Doc.75-10065 Filed 4-16-75;8:45 am]

[Airspace Docket No. 75-SO-17]

PART 71—DESIGNATION OF FEDERAL AIRWAYS, AREA LOW ROUTES, CONTROLLED AIRSPACE, AND REPORTING POINTS

Designation of Transition Area

On March 5, 1975, a notice of proposed rulemaking was published in the FEDERAL REGISTER (40 FR 10194), stating that the Federal Aviation Administration was considering an amendment to Part 71 of the Federal Aviation Regulations that would designate the Mt. Sterling, Ky., transition area.

Interested persons were afforded an opportunity to participate in the rule-making through the submission of comments. There were no comments received.

In consideration of the foregoing, Part 71 of the Federal Aviation Regulations is amended, effective 0901 G.m.t., June 19, 1975, as hereinafter set forth.

In § 71.181 (40 FR 441), the following transition area is added:

Mt. Sterling, Ky.

That airspace extending upward from 700 feet above the surface within a 5.5-mile radius of Mt. Sterling-Montgomery County Airport (Lat. 38°03'35" N., Long. 83°58'50" W.).

(Sec. 307(a), Federal Aviation Act of 1958, (49 U.S.C. 1348(a)); sec. 6(c), Department of Transportation Act (49 U.S.C. 1655(c))

Issued in East Point, Ga., on April 9, 1975.

PHILLIP M. SWATEK,
Director, Southern Region.

[FR Doc.75-10066 Filed 4-16-75;8:45 am]

[Docket No. 14480, Amdt. 964]

PART 97—STANDARD INSTRUMENT APPROACH PROCEDURES

Miscellaneous Amendments

This amendment to Part 97 of the Federal Aviation Regulations incorporates by reference therein changes and additions to the Standard Instrument Approach Procedures (SIAPs) that were recently adopted by the Administrator to promote safety at the airports concerned.

The complete SIAPs for the changes and additions covered by this amendment described in FAA Forms 3139, 8260-3, 8260-4, or 8260-5 and made a part of the public rule making dockets of the FAA in accordance with the procedures set forth in Amendment No. 97-696 (35 FR 5609).

SIAPs are available for examination at the Rules Docket and at the National Flight Data Center, Federal Aviation Administration, 800 Independence Avenue, SW., Washington, D.C. 20591. Copies of SIAPs adopted in a particular region are also available for examination at the headquarters of that region. Individual copies of SIAPs may be purchased from the FAA Public Document Inspection Facility, HQ-405, 800 Independence Avenue SW., Washington, D.C. 20591 or from the applicable FAA regional office in accordance with the fee schedule prescribed in 49 CFR 7.85. This fee is payable in advance and may be paid by check, draft or postal money order payable to the Treasurer of the United States. A weekly transmittal of all SIAP changes and additions may be obtained by subscription at an annual rate of \$150.00 per annum from the Superintendent of Documents, U.S. Government Printing Office, Washington, D.C. 20402. Additional copies mailed to the same address may be ordered for \$30 each.

Since a situation exists that requires immediate adoption of this amendment, I find that further notice and public procedure hereon is impracticable and good cause exists for making it effective in less than 30 days.

In consideration of the foregoing, Part 97 of the Federal Aviation Regulations is

amended as follows, effective on the dates specified:

1. Section 97.23 is amended by originating, amending, or canceling the following VOR-VOR/DME SIAPs, effective May 29, 1975:

- Butler, Pa.—Butler-Graham Arpt., VOR-A, Amdt. 2.
- Fergus Falls, Minn.—Fergus Falls Municipal Arpt. (Elmer Mickelson Field), VOR Rwy 35, Amdt. 3.
- Monee, Ill.—Sanger Arpt., VOR Rwy 5, Orig. New Iberia, La.—Acadiana Regional Arpt., VOR Rwy 16, Amdt. 5.
- Pittsburgh, Pa.—Allegheny County Arpt., VOR Rwy 5, Amdt. 7.
- Pittsburgh, Pa.—Greater Pittsburgh Int'l. Arpt., VOR Rwy D5, Amdt. 1, cancelled.
- Pittsburgh, Pa.—Greater Pittsburgh Int'l. Arpt., VOR Rwy 10R (TAC), Amdt. 3.
- Pittsburgh, Pa.—Greater Pittsburgh Int'l. Arpt., VOR Rwy 23, Orig., cancelled.
- Pittsburgh, Pa.—Greater Pittsburgh Int'l. Arpt., VOR Rwy 28L, Amdt. 2.
- Santa Monica, Calif.—Santa Monica Municipal Arpt., VOR-A, Amdt. 3.
- Santa Monica, Calif.—Santa Monica Municipal Arpt., VOR Rwy 3, Amdt. 6.

* * * effective April 24, 1975:

- Barrow, Alaska—Wiley Post-Will Rogers Memorial Arpt., VOR Rwy 24, Orig.
- Ft. Myers, Fla.—Page Field, VOR Rwy 23, Orig.
- Ft. Myers, Fla.—Page Field, VOR Rwy 31, Amdt. 1, cancelled.
- Sheboygan, Wis.—Sheboygan County Memorial Arpt., VOR Rwy 3, Orig.
- Sheboygan, Wis.—Sheboygan County Memorial Arpt., VOR Rwy 21, Orig.

* * * effective April 7, 1975:

- Santa Ynez, Calif.—Santa Ynez Arpt., VOR-B, Amdt. 1.
- Westminster, Md.—Westminster Arpt., VOR Rwy 36, Amdt. 2.

2. Section 97.27 is amended by originating, amending, or canceling the following NDB/ADF SIAPs, effective May 29, 1975:

- Butler, Pa.—Butler-Graham Arpt., NDB-B, Amdt. 1.
- Jacksonville, Fla.—Jacksonville Int'l. Arpt., NDB Rwy 7, Amdt. 5.
- Pittsburgh, Pa.—Greater Pittsburgh Int'l. Arpt., NDB Rwy 10L, Amdt. 7.
- Pittsburgh, Pa.—Greater Pittsburgh Int'l. Arpt., NDB Rwy 28L, Amdt. 2.
- Pittsburgh, Pa.—Greater Pittsburgh Int'l. Arpt., NDB Rwy 28R, Amdt. 2.

* * * effective May 1, 1975:

- Brooksville, Fla.—Hernando County Arpt., NDB Rwy 9, Orig.

* * * effective April 7, 1975:

- Memphis, Tenn.—Memphis Int'l. Arpt., NDB Rwy 9, Amdt. 19.

* * * effective April 3, 1975:

- Grand Junction, Colo.—Walker Field, NDB Rwy 11, Amdt. 12.

3. Section 97.29 is amended by originating, amending, or canceling the following ILS SIAPs, effective May 29, 1975:

- Jacksonville, Fla.—Jacksonville Int'l. Arpt., ILS Rwy 7, Amdt. 6.
- Pittsburgh, Pa.—Greater Pittsburgh Int'l. Arpt., ILS Rwy 10L, Amdt. 17.
- Pittsburgh, Pa.—Greater Pittsburgh Int'l. Arpt., ILS Rwy 28L, Amdt. 17.
- Seattle, Wash.—Seattle-Tacoma Int'l. Arpt., ILS Rwy 16R, Amdt. 3.

Seattle, Wash.—Seattle-Tacoma Int'l. Arpt., ILS Rwy 34R, Amdt. 6.

* * * effective April 7, 1975:

Memphis, Tenn.—Memphis Int'l. Arpt., ILS Rwy 9, Amdt. 17.

4. Section 97.31 is amended by originating, amending, or canceling the following RADAR SIAPs, effective May 29, 1975:

Pittsburgh, Pa.—Greater Pittsburgh Int'l. Arpt., RADAR-1, Amdt. 16.

* * * effective April 7, 1975:

Memphis, Tenn.—Memphis Int'l. Arpt., RADAR-1, Amdt. 29.

5. Section 97.33 is amended by originating, amending, or canceling the following RNAV SIAPs, effective May 29, 1975.

- Hayward, Calif.—Hayward Air Terminal, RNAV-C, Orig.
- Pittsburgh, Pa.—Allegheny County Arpt., RNAV Rwy 9, Amdt. 2.
- Pittsburgh, Pa.—Greater Pittsburgh Int'l. Arpt., RNAV Rwy 5, Amdt. 2.
- Pittsburgh, Pa.—Greater Pittsburgh Int'l. Arpt., RNAV Rwy 14, Amdt. 2.
- Pittsburgh, Pa.—Greater Pittsburgh Int'l. Arpt., RNAV Rwy 23, Amdt. 2.

Correction

In Docket Nr. 14459, Amendment Nr. 963 to Part 97 of the Federal Aviation Regulations, published in the FEDERAL REGISTER dated April 11, 1975, under § 97.25 effective March 26, 1975—Change to read, Shreveport, La.—Shreveport Regional Arpt., LOC (BC) Rwy 31, Amdt. 11, cancelled.

(Secs. 307, 313, 601, 1110, Federal Aviation Act of 1948; (49 U.S.C. 1438, 1354, 1421, 1510); sec. 6(c), Department of Transportation Act, (49 U.S.C. 1655(c), 5 U.S.C. 552(a)(1)))

Issued in Washington, D.C., on April 10, 1975.

JAMES M. VINES,
Chief,
Aircraft Programs Division.

NOTE.—Incorporation by reference provisions in §§ 97.10 and 97.20 (35 FR 5610) approved by the Director of the Federal Register on May 12, 1969.

[FR Doc. 75-10067 Filed 4-16-75; 8:45 am]

[Docket No. 14249; Amdt. No. 103-24]

PART 103—TRANSPORTATION OF DANGEROUS ARTICLES AND MAGNETIZED MATERIALS

Carriage of Radioactive Materials on Passenger-Carrying Aircraft

The purpose of this amendment to Part 103 of the Federal Aviation Regulations is to implement section 108 of the Transportation Safety Act of 1974 (Pub. L. 93-633) by limiting the carriage of radioactive materials on passenger-carrying aircraft to those intended for use in, or incident to, research, or medical diagnosis or treatment and to those that meet the requirements in 49 CFR 173.391 which exempt them from packaging, marking, and labeling requirements for shipment by rail express.

Interested persons have been afforded an opportunity to participate in the

making of this amendment at public hearings conducted January 20, 1975, and February 27, 1975, and by a notice of proposed rulemaking (Notice 75-2) issued on January 29, 1975, and published in the FEDERAL REGISTER on February 4, 1975 (40 FR 5168). Due consideration has been given to all statements and comments presented at the hearings and all comments presented in response to the notice. Certain of the comments received make recommendations that are beyond the scope of the notice and cannot therefore, be considered in this proceeding.

Section 108 of the Transportation Safety Act of 1974, enacted into law on January 3, 1975, directs the Secretary of Transportation to issue regulations within 120 days after the date of enactment, in accordance with Section 108 and pursuant to Section 105 of that Act, with respect to the transportation of radioactive materials on any passenger-carrying aircraft in air commerce. The pertinent provisions of section 108 of the Act being implemented by this amendment state in part:

Sec. 108(a) General.— * * * Such regulations shall prohibit any transportation of radioactive materials on any such aircraft unless the radioactive materials involved are intended for use in, or incident to, research, or medical diagnosis or treatment, so long as such materials as prepared for and during transportation do not pose an unreasonable hazard to health and safety. The Secretary shall further establish effective procedures for monitoring and enforcing the provisions of such regulations.

(b) Definition.—As used in this section, "radioactive materials" means any materials or combination of materials which spontaneously emit ionizing radiation. The term does not include materials in which (1) the estimated specific activity is not greater than 0.002 microcuries per gram of material; and (2) the radiation is distributed in an essentially uniform manner.

Certain of the comments received reveal that there is a difference of opinion among interested persons as to whether the term "research" used in section 108 (a) of the Act must be construed to permit the transportation on passenger-carrying aircraft of only those radioactive materials intended for use in, or incident to, "medical research", thereby excluding those materials intended for use in, or incident to, nonmedical research.

The FAA has carefully reviewed Section 108 of the Act and is of the opinion, upon consideration of the syntax and punctuation of the sentence involved and its legislative history, that the term "research" used in section 108(a) must be given a statutory meaning which encompasses radioactive materials intended for use in, or incident to, nonmedical research as well as medical research. However, the term "research" as proposed in the notice has been revised in this amendment to make it clear that research includes investigation and experimentation aimed at the discovery of new theories or laws as well as their revision.

Section 103.3(d) of the proposal has been changed in this amendment to clarify the intent to require the statement

regarding a shipment of radioactive materials to be certified by the shipper or his agent in accordance with paragraph (a) of that section.

Certain other changes of an editorial nature have also been made in the proposal. These include a change in proposed § 103.1(c) (4) which, for purposes of clarification, deletes the reference to "49 CFR Parts 172 and 173" and substitutes for it a reference to "49 CFR 173.391."

This amendment is issued under the authority of sections 313(a) and 601 of the Federal Aviation Act of 1958 (49 U.S.C. 1354(a) and 1421), and sections 105, 107, and 108 of Pub. L. 93-633, as delegated to the Administrator by the Secretary of Transportation (40 F.R. 2861).

Since Pub. L. 93-633 requires this amendment to be effective no later than May 3, 1975, I find that good cause exists for making this amendment effective on less than 30 days notice.

In consideration of the foregoing, and for the reason set forth in Notice 75-2, Part 103 of the Federal Aviation Regulations is amended as follows, effective May 3, 1975:

1. By amending § 103.1(c) by revising paragraph (4) adding a new paragraph (7) and paragraph (d) to read as follows:

§ 103.1 Applicability.

(c) This part does not apply to—

(4) Prior to May 3, 1977, radioactive materials that meet those requirements in 49 CFR 173.391 in effect on May 3, 1975, that exempt them from the packaging, marking, and labeling requirements for shipment by rail express.

(7) Human beings and animals with an implanted medical device, such as a heart pacemaker, that contains radioactive material or with radio pharmaceuticals that have been injected or ingested.

(d) For the purposes of this part—

(1) Radioactive materials means any materials or combination of materials which spontaneously emit ionizing radiation. The term does not include materials in which (i) the estimated specific gravity is not greater than 0.002 microcuries per gram of material; and (ii) the radiation is distributed in an essentially uniform manner.

(2) Research means investigation or experimentation aimed at the discovery of new theories or laws, and the discovery and interpretation of facts or revision of accepted theories or laws in the light of new facts.

3. By amending § 103.3 by adding a new paragraph (e) to read as follows:

§ 103.3 Certification requirements.

(e) In addition to the requirements of paragraph (a) of this section, no shipper may offer, and no person operating an aircraft may knowingly accept, any ra-

dioactive material subject to the requirements of this part for shipment in a passenger-carrying aircraft unless there is accompanying the shipment a clear and visible statement, signed or stamped by the shipper or his agent as prescribed in paragraph (a), that the shipment contains radioactive materials intended for use in, or incident to, research, or medical diagnosis or treatment and meets the requirements of this part for shipment in passenger-carrying aircraft.

4. By amending § 103.7 by revising paragraph (b) (6) to read as follows:

§ 103.7 Passenger-carrying aircraft.

No person may carry any dangerous article in a passenger-carrying aircraft except—

(b) The following articles when packaged, marked, and labeled as specifically provided in 49 CFR Parts 171 through 173 for shipment by rail express:

(6) Subject to applicable provisions of this part, radioactive materials intended for use in, or incident to, research, or medical diagnosis or treatment and those radioactive materials that are exempted by § 103.1(c) (4) and (7) from the requirements of this part.

Issued in Washington, D.C., on April 12, 1975.

JAMES E. DOW,
Acting Administrator.

[FR Doc. 75-10150 Filed 4-16-75; 8:45 am]

Title 21—Food and Drug

CHAPTER I—FOOD AND DRUG ADMINISTRATION, DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH, EDUCATION, AND WELFARE

SUBCHAPTER B—FOOD AND FOOD PRODUCTS

[FRL 361-2; FAP3H5037/R5]

PART 123—TOLERANCES FOR PESTICIDES IN FOOD ADMINISTERED BY THE ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY

Subpart A—Food Additives Permitted in Food for Human Consumption

PROPARGITE

On July 5, 1973, notice was given (38 FR 17875) that Uniroyal Chemical, Division of Uniroyal, Inc., Bethany, CT 06525, had filed a petition (FAP 3H5037) for a food additive tolerance with the Environmental Protection Agency (EPA). This petition proposed establishment of a food additive tolerance for residues of the insecticide propargite (2-(p-tert-butyl-phenoxy)cyclohexyl 2-propynyl sulfite) in dried figs at 9 parts per million, resulting from the application of the insecticide to growing figs. (A document pertaining to the establishment of a pesticide tolerance for propargite also appears in today's FEDERAL REGISTER) 40 FR 17146.

The data submitted in the petition and other relevant material have been evaluated. It has been concluded that the tolerance should be established.

Any person adversely affected by this regulation may on or before May 19, 1975, file written objections with the Hearing Clerk, Environmental Protection Agency, 401 M Street SW., East Tower, Room 1019, Washington, D.C. 20460. Such objections should be submitted in quintuplicate and specify the provisions of the regulation deemed objectionable and the grounds for the objections. If a hearing is requested, the objections must state the issues for the hearing. A hearing will be granted if the objections are supported by grounds legally sufficient to justify the relief sought.

Effective on April 17, 1975, Part 123, Subpart A, is amended by revising § 123.370.

(Sec. 409(c) (1) & (4), Federal Food, Drug, Cosmetic Act (21 U.S.C. 348(c) (1) & (4)), transferred to the Administrator EPA in Reorganization Plan No. 3 (35 FR 15623)).

Dated: April 11, 1975.

EDWIN L. JOHNSON,
Deputy Assistant Administrator
for Pesticide Programs.

Part 123, Subpart A, is amended by adding the new paragraph "9" parts per million * * *" after the paragraph "25 parts per million * * *" in § 123.370 to read as follows.

§ 123.370 Propargite.

9 parts per million in dried figs.

[FR Doc. 75-10177 Filed 4-16-75; 8:45 am]

CHAPTER III—DRUG ENFORCEMENT, ADMINISTRATION, DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE

PART 1301—REGISTRATION OF MANUFACTURERS, DISTRIBUTORS, AND DISPENSERS OF CONTROLLED SUBSTANCES

Employee Screening Procedures for Non-Practitioners

On November 13, 1974, there was published in the FEDERAL REGISTER (39 FR 40029-30), notice of proposals for four new sections to be added to Part 1301 of Title 21 of the Code of Federal Regulations. The proposed regulations related to the screening and hiring of employees by non-practitioners. The notice invited comments and suggestions from interested persons.

Sixteen letters containing comments were received, all have been given due consideration. Some of these suggestions have been incorporated in the final regulations. The following is considered a representative sample of the questions raised by the comments.

Lederle Laboratories, New York; Eli Lilly and Company, Indiana; American Cynamid Company, New Jersey; Merck and Company, Incorporated, New Jersey; Richlyn Laboratory, Inc., Pennsylvania; Microbiological Associates, Maryland; Laser, Inc. Indiana; Kaiser Foundation Hospitals, Oregon; Hoffman-LaRoche, Inc., New Jersey; Smith-Kline, Inc., Pennsylvania and Sterling Drug, Inc., New York, and others expressed concern over possible conflicts existing between

the proposed regulations and recent developments in the area of civil rights. To preclude the likelihood of this occurring, the proposals were submitted to the Equal Employment Opportunity Commission for review and comment. The Commission's response indicated that they recognized the apparent need for an inquiry to be conducted into the abuse of certain drugs by employers. They did urge, however, that § 1301.90 be revised to reflect that screening procedures be limited to those positions which clearly involve access to controlled substances. Other suggestions which have been incorporated into the final regulations were to include a statement on the employment application form notifying an applicant that conviction of a felony is not in itself disqualification for employment and to insert language restricting criminal record inquiries to convictions and pending charges. Arrest records, as distinguished from pending charges are not to be considered a part of this criminal record inquiry.

The Wage and Hour Division of the Labor Department was consulted in reference to a question raised by the Pharmaceutical Manufacturers Association, Washington, D.C., Eli Lilly and Company, American Cynamid and others, over purported legislation which prevents obtaining age, date of birth, place of birth, etc., from employee applicants. The Age Discrimination in Employment Act of 1967 (29 U.S.C. 621, 29 CFR Part 860) governing this area, does not prevent obtaining and using this basic information as long as it is actually sought and used for purposes other than discrimination on the basis of age. However, in order to assure that the purpose for obtaining this information is not incorrectly construed by an applicant, it is recommended that a reference on the application form be made as follows:

The Age Discrimination in Employment Act of 1967 prohibits discrimination on the basis of age with respect to individuals who are at least 40 but less than 65 years of age.

Hoffman-LaRoche, Inc., Pharmaceutical Manufacturers Association, Lederle Laboratories and others have suggested that limitations be placed on the scope of inquiries into convictions which predate the application of employment. Recent Federal Court decisions in this area have also challenged the relevancy of considering certain convictions because of the lapse of time between convictions and application. Recognizing these limitations, DEA has inserted new language into the first question in § 1301.90.

The second question in § 1301.90 remains essentially the same as originally proposed although comments from Pharmaceutical Manufacturers, Eli Lilly and Company, and Smith-Kline, Inc., suggested that the inquiry be expanded to include hallucinogens and/or all dangerous drugs. DEA believes that by limiting this question to its present form, two advantages are realized. First, it will provide information on past use of those controlled substances which an em-

ployee will encounter most often in today's drug industry, and second, it remains precise and narrow enough in scope to forestall vagueness, irrelevancy and overbreath contentions.

An inquiry was received from the Drug Abuse Commission of New York based on apparent concern over whether or not the proposed regulations applied to operations other than those conducted by nonpractitioners. A related comment from the American Society of Hospital Pharmacists, Washington, D.C., suggested that the regulations be specifically drafted to apply to all registrants. The concern expressed by these two organizations emphasizes the general awareness existing today over the need for affirmative action to prevent drug diversion wherever controlled substances are contained. These regulations are published, however to specifically apply only to manufacturers, distributors, importers and exporters of controlled substances.

On December 31, 1974; Congress passed the Privacy Act of 1974 (5 U.S.C. 552a). Subsection (b) of this Act requires, except in a few cases, that a federal agency maintaining a system of records on individuals must have the written consent of the person to whom the record pertains before information contained therein can be released. To avoid any conflict with this Act, language has been added in § 1301.93 requiring that written consent from the concerned person be submitted whenever inquiries are made of DEA files.

In publishing these regulations DEA is cognizant of the fact that various restrictions do prevail on obtaining information for employee checks. Most inquiries surrounding criminal convictions and illicit drug activities of employees will necessarily require striking a balance between an individual's right to privacy and industries' need to obtain necessary employee security data. DEA believes however that effort expended in this area is essential because it serves as a preventive measure against drug diversion.

These regulations are not mandatory procedures but are published to serve as a guideline to assist non-practitioners in implementing realistic drug security programs.

Therefore, under the authority vested in the Attorney General by section 501 (b) of the Comprehensive Drug Abuse Prevention and Control Act of 1970 (21 U.S.C. 871(b)), delegated to the Administrator of the Drug Enforcement Administration by 28 CFR 0.100, it is hereby ordered that the following sections be added to Part 1301 of Title 21 of the Code of Federal Regulations:

EMPLOYEE SCREENING—NON-PRACTITIONERS

Sec.

- 1301.90 Employee screening procedures.
- 1301.91 Employee responsibility to report drug diversion.
- 1301.92 Illicit activities by employees.
- 1301.93 Sources of information for employee checks.

AUTHORITY: Sec. 501(b) of the Comprehensive Drug Abuse Prevention and Control Act of 1970 (21 U.S.C. 871(b)).

EMPLOYEE SCREENING—NON-PRACTITIONERS

§ 1301.90 Employee screening procedures.

It is the position of DEA that the obtaining of certain information by non-practitioners is vital to fairly assess the likelihood of an employee committing a drug security breach. The need to know this information is a matter of business necessity, essential to overall controlled substances security. In this regard, it is believed that conviction of crimes and unauthorized use of controlled substances are activities that are proper subjects for inquiry. It is, therefore, assumed that the following questions will become a part of an employer's comprehensive employee screening program:

Question. Within the past five years, have you been convicted of a felony, or within the past two years, of any misdemeanor or are you presently formally charged with committing a criminal offense? (Do not include any traffic violations, juvenile offenses or military convictions, except by general court-martial.) If the answer is yes, furnish details of conviction, offense, location, date and sentence.

Question. In the past three years, have you ever knowingly used any narcotics, amphetamines or barbiturates, other than those prescribed to you by a physician? If the answer is yes, furnish details.

Advice. An authorization, in writing, that allows inquiries to be made of courts and law enforcement agencies for possible pending charges or convictions must be executed by a person who is allowed to work in an area where access to controlled substances clearly exists. A person must be advised that any false information or omission of information will jeopardize his or her position with respect to employment. The application for employment should inform a person that information furnished or recovered as a result of any inquiry will not necessarily preclude employment, but will be considered as part of an overall evaluation of the person's qualifications. The maintaining of fair employment practices, the protection of the person's right of privacy, and the assurance that the results of such inquiries will be treated by the employer in confidence will be explained to the employee.

§ 1301.91 Employee responsibility to report drug diversion.

Reports of drug diversion by fellow employees is not only a necessary part of an overall employee security program but also serves the public interest at large. It is, therefore, the position of DEA that an employee who has knowledge of drug diversion from his employer by a fellow employee has an obligation to report such information to a responsible security official of the employer. The employer shall treat such information as confidential and shall take all reasonable steps to protect the confidentiality of the information and the identity of the employee furnishing information. A failure to report information of drug diversion will be considered in determining the feasibility of continuing to allow an employee to

work in a drug security area. The employer shall inform all employees concerning this policy.

§ 1301.92 Illicit activities by employees.

It is the position of DEA that employees who possess, sell, use or divert controlled substances will subject themselves not only to State or Federal prosecution for any illicit activity, but shall also immediately become the subject of independent action regarding their continued employment. The employer will assess the seriousness of the employee's violation, the position of responsibility held by the employee, past record of employment, etc., in determining whether to suspend, transfer, terminate or take other action against the employee.

§ 1301.93 Sources of information for employee checks.

DEA recommends that inquiries concerning employees' criminal records be made as follows:

Local inquiries: Inquiries should be made by name, date and place of birth, and other identifying information, to local courts and law enforcement agencies for records of pending charges and convictions. Local practice may require such inquiries to be made in person, rather than by mail, and a copy of an authorization from the employee may be required by certain law enforcement agencies.

DEA inquiries: Inquiries supplying identifying information should also be furnished to DEA Regional Offices along with written consent from the concerned individual for a check of DEA files for records of convictions. The Regional check will result in a national check being made by the Regional Office.

This order shall be effective on April 17, 1975.

Dated: April 9, 1975.

JERRY N. JENSON,
Acting Deputy Administrator,
Drug Enforcement Administration.

[FR Doc.75-10046 Filed 4-16-75; 8:45 am]

Title 26—Internal Revenue

CHAPTER I—INTERNAL REVENUE SERVICE, DEPARTMENT OF THE TREASURY

SUBCHAPTER C—EMPLOYMENT TAXES

[T.D. 7351]

PART 31—EMPLOYMENT TAXES; APPLICABLE ON AND AFTER JANUARY 1, 1955

Requirements With Respect to Certain Employment Tax Information Returns (Forms W-2, W-3, and 4804) and Statements for Employees

By a notice of proposed rulemaking appearing in the FEDERAL REGISTER for September 26, 1974 (39 FR 34526), an amendment to the Employment Tax Regulations (26 CFR Part 31) under sections 6011, 6051, 6053, 6071 and 6081 of the Internal Revenue Code of 1954 was proposed with respect to certain employment tax information returns and statements for employees. After consideration of all relevant matter presented by interested persons regarding the rules pro-

posed, the amendment of the regulations as proposed is adopted by this document.

The Treasury decision provides that the Internal Revenue Service copy of Form W-2 (wage and tax statement) is to be transmitted only with Form W-3 (not with Forms W-3 and 941 as was provided previously) or with Form 942 and that the two forms together constitute a return. The time for filing information returns on Forms W-2 and W-3 has been extended to the last day of February following the calendar year for which the return is made. However, the January 31 deadline for an employer to provide statements to his employees is left unchanged. In the case of domestic workers where tax returns on Forms 942 and W-2 are filed the filing date is the January 31 filing date currently prescribed for Form 942. The requirement that Forms W-2 be filed with the Service by employers of domestics where the wages specified on such statements are not subject to income tax withholding is new.

The Treasury decision requires that all employees' wage and tax statements be made on Form W-2 (whether or not the wages specified on such statement are subject to income tax withholding) except for cases in which a composite return on magnetic tape or other approved media is used by the employer. In these excepted cases the requirement is satisfied if in lieu of a Form W-2 the employee is provided with a statement on a form suitable for retention and containing all the information required to be shown on Form W-2. Undeliverable statements to employees are no longer to be sent to the Internal Revenue Service, but are instead to be retained by the employer for a 4-year period under the provisions of the Code requiring the retention of records.

In order to authorize future changes in the designations of the forms required to be used without the necessity of amending the regulations for each change, the new regulations provide that the instructions to the form control as to the use of a particular form.

The amendments are effective with respect to returns or statements required to be filed or provided after September 26, 1974 except that the amendment of § 31.6071(a)-1 which liberalizes the filing requirement of information returns on Forms W-2 and W-3 by extending the time for filing is effective with respect to remuneration paid during a calendar year after 1972.

Adoption of amendment to the regulations. On September 26, 1974, notice of proposed rule making with respect to amendment of the Employment Tax Regulations (26 CFR Part 31) under sections 6011, 6051, 6053, 6071, and 6081 of the Internal Revenue Code of 1954, relating to returns and statements for employees, was published in the FEDERAL REGISTER (39 FR 34526). After consideration of all such relevant matter as was presented by interested persons regarding the regulations proposed, the amendment of regulations is hereby adopted as proposed.

(Sec. 7805, Internal Revenue Code of 1954 (68A Stat. 917; 26 U.S.C. 7805))

[SEAL] DONALD C. ALEXANDER,
Commissioner of Internal Revenue.

Approved: April 3, 1975.

ERNEST S. CHRISTIAN, JR.,
Acting Assistant Secretary
of the Treasury.

PAR. 1. Paragraph (a) of § 31.6011(a)-1 is amended by amending paragraph (a) (3) as follows:

§ 31.6011(a)-1 Returns under Federal Insurance Contributions Act.

- (a) Requirement. * * *
(3) Employers of domestic workers: * * *
(ii) * * *

An employer entitled to make the election referred to in the preceding sentence who has chosen one method shall not change to the other method without first notifying the internal revenue office with which he is required to file his returns that he will thereafter use such other method. See, however, § 31.6011(a)-6 relating to final returns on Form 941. An employer who makes a return of tax on Form 942 pursuant to this section shall submit as part of such return for a period ending December 31, or for any period for which such return is made as a final return, the Internal Revenue Service copy of a Form W-2 for each employee with respect to whose wages tax is reported thereon. The provisions of this subparagraph shall not apply to any employer filing a return on Forms 941PR or 942PR (see § 31.6091-1).

PAR. 2. Paragraph (b) of § 31.6011(a)-4 is amended to read as follows:

§ 31.6011(a)-4 Returns of income tax withheld from wages.

(b) *Internal Revenue Service copies of Forms W-2 on domestic workers.* (1) Every employer who makes a return of tax on Form 942 pursuant to paragraph (a) (2) of this section shall submit as part of such return for a period ending December 31, or for any period for which such return is made as a final return, the Internal Revenue Service copy of a Form W-2 for each employee with respect to whose wages tax is reported thereon.

(2) The Internal Revenue Service copies of corrected Forms W-2 previously filed with a Form 942 for the calendar year shall be submitted with an explanatory statement to the service center on or before the date fixed for filing the employer's Form 942 for the calendar quarter in which the correction is made.

(3) For provisions relating to extensions of time for filing the Internal Revenue Service copies of Form W-2, see paragraph (a) (3) of § 31.6081(a)-1.

PAR. 3. Section 31.6011(a)-5 is amended by revising the heading of paragraph (b) and by revising paragraph (b) (2) to read as follows:

§ 31.6011(a)-5 Monthly returns.

(b) Information returns. . . .

(2) Information returns on Form W-3 and Internal Revenue Service copies of Form W-2. See § 31.6051-2 for requirements with respect to information returns on Form W-3 and Internal Revenue Service copies of Form W-2.

PAR. 4. A new § 31.6011(a)-9 is inserted immediately after § 31.6011(a)-8, to read as follows:

§ 31.6011(a)-9 Instructions to forms control as to which form is to be used.

Notwithstanding provisions in this part which specify the use of a particular form for a return or other document required by this part, the use of a different form may be required by the latter form's instructions. In such case, the latter form shall be completed in accordance with its instructions.

PAR. 5. In § 31.6051-1, paragraphs (a), (b), (c), and (d) are amended, and paragraph (f) is redesignated as paragraph (g) and a new paragraph (f) is added. Section 31.6051-1 is amended as follows:

§ 31.6051-1 Statements for employees.

(a) Requirement if wages are subject to withholding of income tax—(1) General rule.

(i)

(f) See paragraph (d) of this section for provisions relating to the time for furnishing the statement required by this subparagraph. See paragraph (f) of this section for an exception for employers filing composite returns from the requirement that statements for employees be on Form W-2.

(3) Undelivered statements for employees. The Internal Revenue Service copy and the employee's copy of each withholding statement for the calendar year which the employer is required to furnish to the employee and which after reasonable effort he is unable to deliver to the employee shall be retained by the employer for the 4-year period prescribed in paragraph (e)(2) of § 31.6001-1.

(b) Requirement if wages are not subject to withholding of income tax—

(1) General rule. If during the calendar year an employer pays to an employee wages subject to the employee tax imposed by section 3101, but not subject to income tax withholding under section 3402, the employer shall furnish to such employee the tax return copy and the employee's copy of a statement on Form W-2 for such calendar year. Such statement shall show the following:

(3) [Deleted]

(c) Correction of statements. . . .

(2) Income tax withholding. A corrected statement shall be furnished to the employee with respect to a prior calendar year (i) to show the correct amount of wages, as defined in section 3401(a), paid during the prior calendar

year if the amount of such wages entered on a statement furnished to the employee for such prior year is incorrect, or (ii) to show the amount actually deducted and withheld as tax under section 3402 if such amount is less or greater than the amount entered as tax withheld on the statement furnished the employee for such prior year. Such statement shall be indicated as corrected.

(3) Cross reference. For provisions relating to the disposition of the Internal Revenue Service copy of a corrected statement, see paragraph (b)(2) of § 31.6011(a)-4 and paragraph (b) of § 31.6051-2.

(d) Time for furnishing statements—

(1) In general. Each statement required by this section for a calendar year and each corrected statement required for the year shall be furnished to the employee on or before January 31 of the year succeeding such calendar year, or, if his employment is terminated before the close of such calendar year, on or before the 30th day after the day on which the last payment of wages is made. For provisions relating to the filing of the Internal Revenue Service copies of the statement, see § 31.6051-2.

(2) Extensions of time. (i) For good cause shown upon written application by an employer, the district director or director of a service center may grant an extension of time not exceeding 30 days in which to furnish to employees the statements required by this section. Each application for an extension of time under this subdivision shall be made in writing, properly signed by the employer or his duly authorized agent; shall be addressed to the internal revenue office with which the employer is required to file the Internal Revenue Service copies of the statements; and shall contain a full recital of the reasons for requesting the extension, to aid the internal revenue office in determining the period of extension, if any, which will be granted. Such a request in the form of a letter to the internal revenue office will suffice as an application. The application shall be filed on or before the date prescribed in subparagraph (1) of this paragraph for furnishing the statements required by this section. In any case in which an employer is unable, by reason of illness, absence, or other good cause, to sign a request for an extension, any person standing in close personal or business relationship to the employer may sign the request on his behalf, and shall be considered as a duly authorized agent for this purpose, provided the request sets forth a reason for a signature other than the employer's and the relationship existing between the employer and the signer. For provisions relating to extensions of time for filing the Internal Revenue Service copies of the statements, see paragraph (a)(3) of § 31.6081(a)-1.

(f) Employers filing composite returns. Every employer who files a composite return pursuant to § 31.6011(a)-8 shall furnish to his employees the state-

ments required under this section, except that in lieu of Form W-2 the statements may be in any form which is suitable for retention by the employee and which contains all information required to be shown on Form W-2.

(g) Cross references. . . .

PAR. 6. A new § 31.6051-2 is added to read as follows:

§ 31.6051-2 Information returns on Form W-3 and Internal Revenue Service copies of Forms W-2.

(a) In general. Every employer who is required to make a return of tax under § 31.6011(a)-1 (relating to returns under the Federal Insurance Contributions Act), § 31.6011(a)-4 (relating to returns of income tax withheld from wages), or § 31.6011(a)-5 (relating to monthly returns) for a calendar year or any period therein shall file the Internal Revenue Service copy of each Form W-2 required under § 31.6051-1 to be furnished by the employer with respect to wages paid during the calendar year (other than Forms W-2 which are filed as part of a return of tax on Form 942). Each Form W-2 and the transmittal Form W-3 shall together constitute an information return to be filed with the internal revenue office with which the employer is required to file such return of tax. However, in the case of an employer who elects to file a composite return pursuant to § 31.6011(a)-8, the information return required by this section shall consist of magnetic tape (or other approved media) containing all information required to be on the employee statement, together with transmittal Form 4804.

(b) Corrected returns. The Internal Revenue Service copies of corrected Forms W-2 (or magnetic tape or other approved media) for employees for the calendar year shall be submitted with Form W-3 (or Form 4804) and an explanatory statement, on or before the date on which information returns for the period in which the correction is made would be due under paragraph (a)(3)(ii) of § 31.6071(a)-1, to the internal revenue office with which such returns are required to be filed.

(c) Cross references. For provisions relating to the time for filing the information returns required by this section and to extensions of the time for filing, see paragraph (a)(3)(ii) of § 31.6071(a)-1 and paragraph (a)(3) of § 31.6081(a)-1, respectively. For the penalty provided in case of each failure to file, see paragraph (a) of § 301.6652-1 of this chapter (Regulations on Procedure and Administration).

PAR. 7. Paragraphs (b) and (c) of § 31.6053-2 are amended to read as follows:

§ 31.6053-2 Employer statement of uncollected employee tax.

(b) Form of statement. Form W-2 is the form prescribed for use in furnishing the statement required by paragraph (a) of this section, except that if an employer files a composite return pursuant

to § 31.6011(a)-8 he may furnish to the employee, in lieu of Form W-2, a statement containing the required information in a form suitable for retention by the employee. A statement is required under this section in respect of an excess referred to in paragraph (a) of this section, even though the employer may not be required to furnish a statement to the employee under § 31.6051. Provisions applicable to the furnishing of a statement under § 31.6051 shall be applicable to statements under this section.

(c) *Excess to be shown on statement.* If there is an excess in respect of the tips reported by an employee in two or more statements furnished pursuant to section 6053(a), only the total excess for the period covered by the employer statement shall be shown on such statement.

PAR. 8. Section 31.6071(a)-1 is amended by revising the caption of paragraph (a) (3) (i) and by revising paragraph (a) (3) (ii), to read as follows:

§ 31.6071(a)-1 Time for filing returns and other documents.

(a) *Federal Insurance Contributions Act and income tax withheld from wages.* * * *

(3) *Information returns—(i) Returns on Form 941.* * * *

(ii) *Returns on Forms W-2 and W-3.* Each information return in respect of wages as defined in the Federal Insurance Contributions Act or of income tax withheld from wages which is required to be made under § 31.6051-2 shall be filed on or before the last day of February following the calendar year for which it is made, except that, if a tax return under paragraph (a) of § 31.6011(a)-5 is filed as a final return for a period ending prior to December 31, the information statement shall be filed on or before the last day of the second calendar month following the period for which the tax return is filed.

PAR. 9. Paragraph (a) (3) of § 31.6081(a)-1 is amended to read as follows:

§ 31.6081(a)-1 Extensions of time for filing returns and other documents.

(a) *Federal Insurance Contributions Act; income tax withheld from wages; and Railroad Retirement Tax Act.* * * *

(3) *Information returns of employers on Forms W-2 and W-3.* For good cause shown upon application by an employer, the district director or director of a service center may grant an extension of time not exceeding 30 days in which to file (1) the copies of withholding statements (Form W-2) which are part of a return on Form 942 under paragraph (b) of § 31.6011(a)-4, or (ii) copies of withholding statements and the accompanying transmittal which constitute information returns under paragraph (a) of § 31.6051-2. Each application for an extension of time under this subparagraph shall be made in writing, properly signed by the employer or his duly authorized agent. Except as provided in paragraph (b) of § 301.6091-1 (relating to hand-

carried documents), each application shall be addressed to the internal revenue office with whom the employer is required to file the Forms W-2. Each application shall contain a full recital of the reasons for requesting the extension, to aid such office in determining the period of the extension, if any, which will be granted. Such a request in the form of a letter to such internal revenue office will suffice as an application. The application shall be filed on or before the date on which the employer is required to file the withholding statements without regard to this paragraph.

[FR 75-9946 Filed 4-16-75; 8:45 am]

Title 29—Labor

CHAPTER V—WAGE AND HOUR DIVISION, DEPARTMENT OF LABOR

PART 670—THE CHEMICAL, PETROLEUM, AND RELATED PRODUCTS INDUSTRY IN PUERTO RICO

PART 726—THE CONSTRUCTION INDUSTRY IN PUERTO RICO

Wage Orders; Corrections

In the wage orders concerning the Chemical, Petroleum and related products industry in Puerto Rico, Part 670, and the Construction Industry in Puerto Rico, Part 726 (FR Doc. 75-9242 and 75-9243, filed April 8, 1975, and published in the FEDERAL REGISTER of April 9, 1975 (40 FR 16063-64)), there was inadvertently omitted the paragraph specifying the effective date of the wage orders.

As required by section 8(d) of the Fair Labor Standards Act (29 U.S.C. 208), these wage orders take effect upon the expiration of 15 days after the date of such publication, or on April 25, 1975.

Signed at Washington, D.C., this 11th day of April 1975.

WARREN D. LANDIS,
Acting Administrator,
Wage and Hour Division.

[FR Doc. 75-10034 Filed 4-16-75; 8:45 am]

Title 40—Protection of Environment

CHAPTER I—ENVIRONMENTAL

PROTECTION AGENCY

SUBCHAPTER E—PESTICIDE PROGRAMS

[FRL 361-1; PP3F1402/R20]

PART 180—TOLERANCES AND EXEMPTIONS FROM TOLERANCES FOR PESTICIDE CHEMICALS IN OR ON RAW AGRICULTURAL COMMODITIES

Propargite

On July 5, 1973, notice was given (38 FR 17875) that Uniroyal Chemical, Division of Uniroyal, Inc., Bethany, CT 06525, had filed a petition (PP 3F1402) for a pesticide tolerance with the Environmental Protection Agency (EPA). This petition proposed establishment of tolerances for residues of the insecticide propargite (2-(p-tert-butyl-phenoxy) cyclohexyl 2-propynyl sulfite) in or on the raw agricultural commodities peanut hay at 7 parts per million (ppm), figs

at 3 ppm, peanut hulls at 1 ppm, and peanuts at 0.1 ppm (negligible residue). Uniroyal Chemical subsequently amended the petition by deleting the tolerance requests for residues in or on peanut hay, peanut hulls, and peanuts. (A document pertaining to the establishment of a food additive tolerance for propargite also appears in today's FEDERAL REGISTER, 40 FR 17142.)

The data submitted in the petition and other relevant material have been evaluated. The pesticide is considered useful for the purpose for which the tolerance is sought.

There is no reasonable expectation of residues in eggs, meat, milk, or poultry, and § 180.6(a) (3) applies. The tolerance established by this amendment to § 180.259 will protect the public health.

Any person adversely affected by this regulation may on or before May 19, 1975, file written objections with the Hearing Clerk, Environmental Protection Agency, 401 M Street SW, East Tower, Room 1019, Washington, D.C. 20460. Such objections should be submitted in quintuplicate and specify the provisions of the regulation deemed objectionable and the grounds for the objections. If a hearing is requested, the objections must state the issues for the hearing. A hearing will be granted if the objections are supported by grounds legally sufficient to justify the relief sought.

Effective on April 17, 1975, Part 180, Subpart C, is amended by revising § 180.259.

(Sec. 408(d) (2), Federal Food, Drug and Cosmetic Act (21 U.S.C. 346a(d) (2)))

Dated: April 11, 1975.

EDWIN L. JOHNSON,
Deputy Assistant
Administrator for Pesticide Programs.

Part 180, Subpart C, is amended by revising the paragraph "3 parts per million * * *" in § 180.259 to read as follows:

§ 180.259 Propargite; tolerances for residues.

3 parts per million in or on apples, figs, and pears.

[FR Doc. 75-10176 Filed 4-16-75; 8:45 am]

Title 47—Telecommunications

CHAPTER I—FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS COMMISSION

[Docket No. 19658; FCC 75-400]

PART 1—PRACTICE AND PROCEDURE

Subpart G—Schedule of Fees Filed With the Commission

Correction

In FR Doc. 75-9188 appearing as Part II in the issue of Friday, April 11, 1975 the running head on pages 16394-16403 reading "PROPOSED RULES" should read "RULES AND REGULATIONS".

Title 49—Transportation
CHAPTER X—INTERSTATE COMMERCE
COMMISSION

[Ex Parte No. 277 (Sub-No. 1)]

PART 1124—REGULATIONS GOVERNING
THE ADEQUACY OF INTERCITY RAIL-
ROAD PASSENGER SERVICE

Adequacy of Intercity Rail Passenger
Service

At a General Session of the Interstate Commerce Commission, held at its office in Washington, D.C., on the 27th day of March, 1975.

It appearing, That by order of the Commission, dated April 26, 1971, this proceeding was instituted for the purpose of implementing section 801 of the Rail Passenger Service Act of 1970 (Pub. L. 91-518) relating to the Commission's authority to prescribe regulations for the quality of intercity rail passenger service;

It further appearing, That pursuant to the report and order of the Commission, 344 I.C.C. 758, as modified, the Commission promulgated regulations, regulations for the Adequacy of Rail Passenger Service, Title 49, CFR 1124.1 et seq. and that on January 6, 1975, the Commission reopened this proceeding on its own motion to restate regulation 1124.2d and the procedure and notice requirements therein set forth, which under certain circumstances were found to be cumbersome, ambiguous, and in conflict with procedures set forth elsewhere in the regulations, to read as follows:

d. Exemption may be sought from any of these regulations, except those pertaining to health and safety, for reasonable periods of time, as to carriers, specific stations, or trains, by filing with the Commission an appropriate petition, and such petitions may be granted upon a showing of good cause therefor.

1. Carriers seeking exemption from any regulation must, in addition to such information necessary to support the granting of an exemption, include in their petitions the following information:

a. The specific regulation or regulations for which exemption is being sought.

b. The reasons why exemption is necessary, and the effect, if any, upon travelers if the exemption should be granted.

c. A statement describing present operations relating to the exemption being sought.

d. A statement describing how operations will be conducted if the exemption sought should be granted.

e. Statement that the carrier has complied with all prerequisites to exemptions as may be required by the regulation from which carrier seeks to be exempted.

f. Complete operating details relating to each train to be effected by the sought exemption including, but not limited to, schedules, connections, total number of passengers carried in each direction, passenger on and off counts at each station along the train route, relevant financial data, etc.

g. Copies of notices proposed to be posted, and certification of posting at all points required as hereinafter provided.

h. Certificate of service of petition for exemption on persons hereinafter designated for service.

2. Before any petition for exemption shall be considered, the carrier shall give adequate notice in the following manner:

a. A copy of the petition filed with this Commission shall be mailed to the gover-

nor and appropriate regulatory agency of each state affected; and where timely notice is required to be given to communities affected or carriers affected, to all such communities and carriers affected. For the purpose of this regulation, notice to the community shall be considered timely if a copy of the petition is mailed to its mayor or chief executive officer and published in a newspaper of local circulation within time limits set forth in this regulation; and notice to carriers affected shall be considered timely if a copy of the notice is mailed to their chief operations manager within such time limits.

b. Notice shall be given to the public by placing a copy of the following announcement, reproduced on a placard of at least 8½ inches by 11 inches in dimensions, with the lettering at least the size of ordinary typewritten material, except that the word "NOTICE" must be printed in letters at least one inch high and in proportional width, so as to be readable at a distance, and such announcement shall be placed in a conspicuous location in each and every train car and station to be affected by the exemption petition and in every station through which an affected train passes. The announcement shall read as follows:

NOTICE

(Name of Carrier) hereby gives notice that it has petitioned the Interstate Commerce Commission in Washington, D.C., to grant exemption to its (name of train or station) from Regulation (Number) of the Commission's Regulations for the Adequacy of Intercity Rail Passenger Service, Regulation (Number) states that (description of regulation or portion thereof from which exemption is sought). If exemption is granted, the aforementioned (names of station or trains) would no longer be required to comply with the aforesaid regulation(s). In the opinion of (name of carrier) the requested action on exemption by the Commission will not significantly affect the quality of the human environment. The proceeding will be handled without public hearings unless protests are received which contain information indicating a need for such hearings. In accordance with the Commission's regulations (49 CFR 1100.250) in Ex Parte No. 55 (Sub-No. 4), Implementation—National Environmental Policy Act, 1969, 340 I.C.C. 431 (1972), any protests may include a statement indicating the presence or absence of any effect of the requested Commission exemption on the quality of the human environment. If any such effect is alleged to be present, the statement shall include information relating to the relevant factors set forth in Ex Parte No. 55 (Sub-No. 4), supra, Part (B) (1)-(5), 340 I.C.C. 431, 461.

Any person opposed to this petition for exemption should advise the Commission promptly, with an original and six copies of a "protest", identifying the carrier and train or station, and regulation(s) from which exemption is opposed, and stating the grounds for such opposition. A copy of this protest should be sent to (name and business address of office at carrier to whom protests should be addressed). All protests submitted shall be filed with the Commission no later than (date at least 30 full days after posting of notice).

(Signature of carrier and
authorizing officer therein)

c. To be considered adequate, the above notices must be given at least 30 full days in advance of the proposed action.

d. The carrier shall send copies of the aforesaid notices to the Commission and shall

certify that notice has been given in accord with this regulation.

3. Representations of the petitioning carrier and protestants must be considered by the Commission before decision is reached on exemption.

4. This regulation shall not preclude the issuance by the Commission of temporary service orders in appropriate emergency situations. However, such orders shall not be used by the carrier as a means to circumvent the notice requirements as set forth in this regulation.

5. All petitions for exemption must follow the procedures set forth in this regulation.

It further appearing, That on January 27, 1975, the National Railroad Passenger Corporation (Amtrak) filed a letter petition for reconsideration of the above order of January 6, 1975, restating regulation 2d, on the ground that said restatement made changes in the substance of the regulations without benefit of hearing prior to promulgation, and to vacate said order, reinstate the original version of regulation 2d, and set the proposed restatement of regulation 2d for hearing;

It further appearing, That the aforesaid restatement constitutes a procedural rule change within the exception to section 553 of the Administrative Procedure Act, that notice and hearing of the restatement were not required; and that consequently, the petition of Amtrak should be denied;

It further appearing, That as promulgated in the order of January 6, 1975, certain requirements were set forth, which in certain instances, could be incorrectly construed, and, if incorrectly construed, could conflict with procedures set forth elsewhere in the regulations and could become an undue procedural burden on carriers affected; and that under these circumstances and other good cause, the regulations should be restated as found in Appendix A attached hereto; and

It further appearing, That such restatement constitutes a procedural rule change within the exception to section 553 of the Administrative Procedure Act, and that notice and hearing of the proposed restatement are therefore not required;

It is ordered, That for the aforesaid reasons, the petition of Amtrak for reconsideration of and to vacate the order of January 6, 1975, in the above-entitled proceeding, be, and it is hereby, denied.

It is further ordered, That for the aforesaid reasons, regulation 2(d) of the Commission's regulations for the Adequacy of Intercity Rail Passenger Service as set forth in Appendix A of the Commission's Order of January 6, 1975, in this proceeding, as modified in Appendix A hereto, be, and it is hereby, adopted;

It is further ordered, That this order shall become effective on the date of service;

It is further ordered, That except as herein modified, the order of January 6, 1975, in this proceeding, shall remain in full force and effect; and

It is further ordered, That notice of this order shall be given to the general public by depositing a copy thereof in

the Office of the Secretary of the Commission at Washington, D.C. and by filing a copy with the Director, Office of the Federal Register.

By the Commission.

[SEAL] ROBERT L. OSWALD,
Secretary.

NOTE.—This decision is not a major Federal action having a significant impact on the environment within the meaning of the National Environmental Policy Act of 1969.

§ 1124.2 Regulations regarding applications, exemptions, and effective date.

§ 1124.2(d) is hereby amended to read as follows:

(d) Exemption may be sought from any of these regulations, except those pertaining to health and safety, for reasonable periods of time, as to carriers, specific stations, or trains, by filing with the Commission an appropriate petition, together with six copies thereof, and such petitions may be granted upon a showing of good cause therefor.

(1) Carriers seeking exemption from any regulation must, in addition to such information necessary to support the granting of an exemption, include in their petitions the following information:

(i) The specific regulation or regulations for which exemption is being sought.

(ii) The reasons why exemption is necessary, and the effect, if any, upon travelers if the exemption should be granted.

(iii) A statement describing present operations relating to the exemption being sought.

(iv) A statement describing how operations will be conducted if the exemption sought should be granted.

(v) Statement that the carrier has complied with all prerequisites to exemptions as may be required by the regulation from which carrier seeks to be exempted.

(vi) Complete operating details relating to each train to be effected by the sought exemption including, but not limited to, schedules, connections, total number of passengers carried in each direction, passenger on and off counts at each station along the train route, relevant financial data, etc.

(vii) Copies of notices proposed to be posted, and certification of posting at all points required as hereinafter provided.

(viii) Certificate of service of petition for exemption on persons hereinafter designated for service.

(2) Before any petition for exemption shall be considered, the carrier shall give adequate notice in the following manner:

(i) A copy of the petition filed with this Commission shall be mailed to the governor and appropriate regulatory agency of each state affected; and where a regulation specifically requires that timely notice be given to communities or carriers affected (such as regulations 11a(1) and 16c), a copy of the notice to all such communities and carriers affected. For the purpose of this regulation, notice to

the community shall be considered timely if a copy of the notice is mailed to its mayor or chief executive officer and published in a newspaper of local circulation within time limits set forth in this regulation, and notice to carriers affected shall be considered timely if a copy of the notice is mailed to their chief operations manager within such time limits.

(ii) Notice shall be given to the public by placing a copy of the following announcement, reproduced on a placard of at least 8½ inches by 11 inches in dimensions, with the lettering at least the size of ordinary typewritten material, except that the word "NOTICE" must be printed in letters at least one inch high and in proportional width, so as to be readable at a distance, and such announcement shall be placed in a conspicuous location in each and every train car and station to be affected by the exemption petition and in every station through which an affected train passes. The announcement shall read as follows:

NOTICE

(Name of carrier) hereby gives notice that on (date) it has petitioned the Interstate Commerce Commission in Washington, D.C., to grant exemption to its (name of train or station) from Regulation (Number) of the Commission's Regulations for the Adequacy of Intercity Rail Passenger Service. Regulation (number) states that (description of regulation or portion thereof from which exemption is sought). If exemption is granted, the aforementioned (names of station or trains) would no longer be required to comply with the aforesaid regulation(s). In the opinion of (name of carrier) the requested action on exemption by the Commission will not significantly affect the quality of the human environment. The proceeding will be handled without public hearings unless protests are received which contain information indicating a need for such hearings. In accordance with the Commission's regulations (49 CFR 1100.250) in Ex Parte No. 55 (Sub-No. 4), Implementation—National Environmental Policy Act, 1969, 340 I.C.C. 431 (1972), any protests may include a statement indicating the presence or absence of any effect of the requested Commission exemption on the quality of the human environment. If any such effect is alleged to be present, the statement shall include information relating to the relevant factors set forth in Ex Parte No. 55 (Sub-No. 4), supra, Part (B) (1)–(5), 340 I.C.C. 431, 461.

Any person opposed to this petition for exemption should advise the Commission promptly, with an original and six copies of a "protest", identifying the carrier and train or station, and regulation(s) from which exemption is opposed, and stating the grounds for such opposition. A copy of this protest should be sent to (name and address of officer at carrier to whom protests should be addressed). All protests submitted shall be filed with the Commission no later than (date at least 30 days after posting of notice).

(Signature of carrier and authorizing office therein)

(iii) To be considered adequate, the above notices must be given at least 30 full days in advance of the proposed action by the carrier.

(iv) The carrier shall send seven copies of the aforesaid notices to the

Commission and shall certify that notice has been given in accord with this regulation.

(3) Representations of the petitioning carrier and protestants will be considered by the Commission before decision is reached on exemption and no exemption may be implemented by the carrier until so ordered by the Commission.

(4) Nothing in this regulation shall preclude approval by the Commission of temporary exemptions, in appropriate emergency situations and where the notice requirements have been satisfied, not to exceed 30 days unless otherwise extended by the Commission.

(5) All petitions for exemption must follow the procedures set forth in this regulation.

[FR Doc. 75-10083 Filed 4-16-75; 8:45 am]

Title 7—Agriculture

CHAPTER II—FOOD AND NUTRITION SERVICE, DEPARTMENT OF AGRICULTURE

PART 220—SCHOOL BREAKFAST AND NONFOOD ASSISTANCE PROGRAMS AND STATE ADMINISTRATIVE EXPENSES

Appendix C—Second Apportionment of Nonfood Assistance Funds Pursuant to Child Nutrition Act of 1966, Fiscal Year 1975

Pursuant to section 5(e) of the Child Nutrition Act of 1966, Pub. L. 89-642, 80 Stat. 887, nonfood assistance funds available for the fiscal year ending June 30, 1975, are reapportioned among the States as follows:

State	Total apportionment	State agency	Withheld for private schools
Alabama.....	\$597	\$597
Alaska.....	28,651	28,651
Arizona.....	100,519	100,519
Arkansas.....	2,000	\$2,000
California.....	1,433,056	1,433,056
Colorado.....	64,765	64,765	5,000
Connecticut.....	1,098,832	1,098,832
Delaware.....	10,000	10,000
District of Columbia.....	6,200	6,200
Florida.....	60,000	60,000
Georgia.....
Guam.....
Hawaii.....	32,601	32,601
Idaho.....	69,108	64,853	4,255
Illinois.....	250,000	250,000
Indiana.....	433,513	433,513
Iowa.....	54,466	54,466
Kansas.....	166,592	166,592
Kentucky.....	48,706	48,706
Louisiana.....	15,000	15,000
Maine.....	209,508	209,508	20,031
Maryland.....	19,479	19,479
Massachusetts.....	297,943	297,943
Michigan.....	618,878	618,878
Minnesota.....	386,896	386,896
Mississippi.....	89,094	89,094
Missouri.....	30,000	30,000
Montana.....	98,908	94,908	4,000
Nebraska.....	248,288	228,288	20,000
Nevada.....	22,692	12,890	9,743
New Hampshire.....	161,296	161,296
New Jersey.....	4,000,000	4,000,000
New Mexico.....	14,890	14,890
New York.....	1,111,048	1,111,048
North Carolina.....	47,462	47,462	2,000
North Dakota.....	35,170	33,170
Ohio.....	863,558	830,765	\$2,793
Oklahoma.....
Oregon.....	193,851	193,851
Pennsylvania.....	635,311	635,311
Puerto Rico.....	80,894	80,894
Rhode Island.....	124,965	124,965
Samoa, American.....	1,961	1,961

State	Total apportionment	State agency	Withheld for private schools
South Carolina.....	10,000		10,000
South Dakota.....	20,823	20,823	
Tennessee.....	15,921	15,921	
Texas.....	61,653	26,653	35,000
Utah.....	18,783	18,783	
Vermont.....	81,990	81,990	
Virginia.....	48,320		48,320
Virgin Islands.....	6,028	6,028	
Washington.....	153,421	79,778	73,643
West Virginia.....	71,300	40,000	21,300
Wisconsin.....	266,960	264,727	2,233
Wyoming.....	68,263	68,263	
Total.....	14,000,000	13,677,082	322,918

The survey made of nonfood assistance funds provided under Section 5(b) of the Child Nutrition Act determined that only \$36,939 could be released by States. This amount was determined to be too insignificant to be reapportioned.

(Secs. 2, 5, 6 and 8 through 16, 80 Stat. 885-890; U.S.C. 1771, 1774, 1775, 1777-1785)

Dated: April 10, 1975.

EDWARD J. HEKMAN,
Administrator.

[FR Doc.75-9920 Filed 4-16-75; 8:45 am]

CHAPTER VI—SOIL CONSERVATION SERVICE, DEPARTMENT OF AGRICULTURE
SUBCHAPTER B—CONSERVATION OPERATIONS
PART 613—PLANT MATERIALS CENTERS

Special Production of Plant Materials

Notice of proposed rulemaking was published in the FEDERAL REGISTER on October 31, 1974 (39 FR 38387) of policy on the special production of plant materials.

Interested persons were invited to submit written data, views, or arguments on the proposed policy. No comments were received on the proposed policy; therefore, Part 613, Chapter VI of Title 7 of the Code of Federal Regulations is amended by adding § 613.4 as set forth below.

Effective date. This regulation shall be effective on April 17, 1975.

(Catalog of Federal Domestic Assistance Program No. 10.905, National Archives Reference Services)

KENNETH E. GRANT,
Administrator.

MARCH 11, 1975.

§ 613.4 Special production of plant materials.

SCS may produce plant materials in the quantity required to do a specific conservation job if it is in the interest of the public welfare and commercial production is not feasible. This function may be performed only until the plant materials are available commercially. Specific production of plant materials by SCS requires the approval of the Administrator, and may require providing SCS with financial assistance.

[FR Doc.75-10022 Filed 4-16-75; 8:45 am]

CHAPTER IX—AGRICULTURAL MARKETING SERVICE (MARKETING AGREEMENTS AND ORDERS; FRUITS, VEGETABLES, NUTS), DEPARTMENT OF AGRICULTURE

[Navel Orange Reg. 348]

PART 907—NAVEL ORANGES GROWN IN ARIZONA AND DESIGNATED PART OF CALIFORNIA

Limitation of Handling

This regulation fixes the quantity of California-Arizona Navel oranges that may be shipped to fresh market during the weekly regulation period April 18-24, 1975. It is issued pursuant to the Agricultural Marketing Agreement Act of 1937, as amended, and Marketing Order No. 907. The quantity of Navel oranges so fixed was arrived at after consideration of the total available supply of Navel oranges, the quantity currently available for market, the fresh market demand for Navel oranges, Navel orange prices, and the relationship of season average returns to the parity price for Navel oranges.

§ 907.648 Navel Orange Regulation 348.

(a) **Findings.** (1) Pursuant to the marketing agreement, as amended, and Order No. 907, as amended (7 CFR Part 907), regulating the handling of Navel oranges grown in Arizona and designated part of California, effective under the applicable provisions of the Agricultural Marketing Agreement Act of 1937, as amended (7 U.S.C. 601-674), and upon the basis of the recommendations and information submitted by the Navel Orange Administrative Committee, established under the said amended marketing agreement and order, and upon other available information it is hereby found that the limitation of handling of such Navel oranges, as hereinafter provided, will tend to effectuate the declared policy of the act.

(2) The need for this section to limit the respective quantities of Navel oranges that may be marketed from District 1, District 2, and District 3 during the ensuing week stems from the production and marketing situation confronting the Navel orange industry.

(i) The committee has submitted its recommendation with respect to the quantities of Navel oranges that should be marketed during the next succeeding week. Such recommendation, designed to provide equity of marketing opportunity to handlers in all districts, resulted from consideration of the factors enumerated in the order. The committee further reports that the fresh market demand for Navel oranges appears to be improving on all sizes of fruit.

Prices f.o.b. averaged \$3.57 per carton on a reported sales volume of 1,288 cartons last week, compared with an average f.o.b. price of \$3.61 per carton and sales of 1,479 cartons a week earlier. Track and rolling supplies at 544 cars were down 33 cars from last week.

(ii) Having considered the recommendation and information submitted

by the committee, and other available information, the Secretary finds that the respective quantities of Navel oranges which may be handled should be fixed as hereinafter set forth.

(3) It is hereby further found that it is impracticable and contrary to the public interest to give preliminary notice, engage in public rulemaking procedure, and postpone the effective date of this regulation until 30 days after publication hereof in the FEDERAL REGISTER (5 U.S.C. 553) because the time intervening between the date when information upon which this section is based became available and the time this section must become effective in order to effectuate the declared policy of the act is insufficient, and a reasonable time is permitted, under the circumstances, for preparation for such effective time; and good cause exists for making the provisions hereof effective as hereinafter set forth. The committee held an open meeting during the current week, after giving due notice thereof, to consider supply and market conditions for Navel oranges and the need for regulation; interested persons were afforded an opportunity to submit information and views at this meeting; the recommendation and supporting information for regulation, including its effective time, are identical with the aforesaid recommendation of the committee, and information concerning such provisions and effective time has been disseminated among handlers of such Navel oranges; it is necessary, in order to effectuate the declared policy of the act, to make this regulations effective during the period herein specified; and compliance with this regulation will not require any special preparation on the part of persons subject hereto which cannot be completed on or before the effective date hereof. Such committee meeting was held on April 15, 1975.

(b) **Order.** (1) The respective quantities of Navel oranges grown in Arizona and designated part of California which may be handled during the period April 18, 1975, through April 24, 1975, are hereby fixed as follows:

(i) District 1: 1,190,000 cartons; (ii) District 2: 210,000 cartons; (iii) District 3: Unlimited movement."

(2) As used in this section, "handled," "District 1," "District 2," "District 3," and "carton" have the same meaning as when used in said amended marketing agreement and order.

(Secs. 1-19, 48 Stat. 31, as amended; 7 U.S.C. 601-674)

Dated: April 15, 1975.

CHARLES R. BRADER,
Deputy Director, Fruit and Vegetable Division, Agricultural Marketing Service.

[FR Doc.75-10295 Filed 4-16-75; 10:10 am]

[Valencia Orange Reg. 494]

PART 908—VALENCIA ORANGES GROWN IN ARIZONA AND DESIGNATED PART OF CALIFORNIA**Limitation of Handling**

This regulation fixes the quantity of California-Arizona Valencia oranges that may be shipped to fresh market during the weekly regulation period April 18-24, 1975. It is issued pursuant to the Agricultural Marketing Agreement Act of 1937, as amended, and Marketing Order No. 908. The quantity of Valencia oranges so fixed was arrived at after consideration of the total available supply of Valencia oranges, the quantity of Valencia oranges currently available for market, the fresh market demand for Valencia oranges, Valencia orange prices, and the relationship of season average returns to the parity price for Valencia oranges.

§ 908.794 Valencia Orange Regulation 494.

(a) *Findings.* (1) Pursuant to the marketing agreement, as amended, and Order No. 908, as amended (7 CFR Part 908), regulating the handling of Valencia oranges grown in Arizona and designated part of California, effective under the applicable provisions of the Agricultural Marketing Agreement Act of 1937, as amended (7 U.S.C. 601-674), and upon the basis of the recommendations and information submitted by the Valencia Orange Administrative Committee, established under the said amended marketing agreement and order, and upon other available information, it is hereby found that the limitation of handling of such Valencia oranges, as hereinafter provided, will tend to effectuate the declared policy of the act.

(2) The need for this section to limit the respective quantities of Valencia oranges that may be marketed from District 1, District 2, and District 3

during the ensuing week stems from the production and marketing situation confronting the Valencia orange industry.

(i) The committee has submitted its recommendation with respect to the quantities of Valencia oranges that should be marketed during the next succeeding week. Such recommendation, designed to provide equity of marketing opportunity to handlers in all districts, resulted from consideration of the factors enumerated in the order. The committee further reports that the fresh market demand for Valencia oranges this week appears to be improving from its earlier slowness.

Prices f.o.b. averaged \$2.63 per carton on a reported sales volume of 96 carlots last week, compared with an average f.o.b. price of \$2.96 per carton and sales of 101 carlots a week earlier. Track and rolling supplies at 87 cars were down 4 cars from last week.

(ii) Having considered the recommendation and information submitted by the committee, and other available information, the Secretary finds that the respective quantities of Valencia oranges which may be handled should be fixed as hereinafter set forth.

(3) It is hereby further found that it is impracticable and contrary to the public interest to give preliminary notice, engage in public rule-making procedure, and postpone the effective date of this regulation until 30 days after publication hereof in the FEDERAL REGISTER (5 U.S.C. 553) because the time intervening between the date when information upon which this regulation is based became available and the time when this regulation must become effective in order to effectuate the declared policy of the act is insufficient, and a reasonable time is permitted, under the circumstances, for preparation for such effective time; and good cause exists for making the provisions hereof effective as hereinafter set forth. The committee held an open meeting during the current week, after giving

due notice thereof, to consider supply and market conditions for Valencia oranges and the need for regulation; interested persons were afforded an opportunity to submit information and views at this meeting; the recommendation and supporting information for regulation during the period specified herein were promptly submitted to the Department after such meeting was held; the provisions of this section, including its effective time, are identical with the aforesaid recommendation of the committee, and information concerning such provisions and effective time has been disseminated among handlers of such Valencia oranges; it is necessary, in order to effectuate the declared policy of the act, to make this regulation effective during the period herein specified; and compliance with this section will not require any special preparation on the part of persons subject hereto which cannot be completed on or before the effective date hereof. Such committee meeting was held on April 15, 1975.

(b) *Order.* (1) The respective quantities of Valencia oranges grown in Arizona and designated part of California which may be handled during the period April 18, 1975, through April 24, 1975, are hereby fixed as follows:

(i) District 1: 31,292 cartons; (ii) District 2: 58,230 cartons; (iii) District 3: 200,000 cartons."

(2) As used in this section, "handled", "trict 2: 58,230 cartons; (iii) District 3: and "carton" have the same meaning as when used in said amended marketing agreement and order.

(Secs. 1-19, 48 Stat. 31, as amended; 7 U.S.C. 601-674)

Dated: April 16, 1975.

CHARLES R. BRADER,
Deputy Director, Fruit and Vegetable Division, Agricultural Marketing Service.

[FR Doc. 75-10293 Filed 4-16-75; 10:40 am]

proposed rules

This section of the FEDERAL REGISTER contains notices to the public of the proposed issuance of rules and regulations. The purpose of these notices is to give interested persons an opportunity to participate in the rule making prior to the adoption of the final rules.

DEPARTMENT OF THE TREASURY

Customs Service

[19 CFR Parts 133, 141]

SHIPMENTS OF BOOKS

Proposed Amendment Requiring Certain Information on Invoices Rescinded

On February 28, 1974, a notice of proposed rulemaking was published in the FEDERAL REGISTER (39 FR 7799) which proposed to amend §§ 133.45(c) (2) and 141.89 of the Customs regulations (19 CFR 133.45(c) (2), 141.89) to require that the invoice accompanying a shipment of books presented for entry set forth certain identifying information, including copyright information required in order to determine the admissibility of the books under the Universal Copyright Convention. The Customs Regulations do not presently require this information to be shown on the invoice and, as a consequence, Customs officers must often detain shipments of books until the necessary information is furnished by the importer. The purpose of the proposed amendments was to avoid the detention of shipments of books until the necessary information was furnished.

However, based upon the response to the notice, it has been determined that the public interest would not be served by proceeding with the proposed amendments at this time.

Accordingly, the notice is hereby rescinded.

[SEAL] VERNON D. ACREE,
Commissioner of Customs.

Approved: April 3, 1975.

DAVID R. MACDONALD,
Assistant Secretary of the
Treasury.

[FR Doc.75-10039 Filed 4-16-75;8:45 am]

DEPARTMENT OF AGRICULTURE

Agricultural Marketing Service

[7 CFR Part 951]

HANDLING OF IRISH POTATOES GROWN IN CERTAIN STATES

Proposed Termination of Marketing Order

Notice is hereby given that the Secretary of Agriculture is considering termination of Marketing Order No. 951 (7 CFR Part 951). This order authorizes handling regulations for potatoes grown in Massachusetts, Rhode Island, Connecticut, New Hampshire and Vermont. The order was issued November 12, 1950, under the Agricultural Marketing Agreement Act of 1937, as amended (7 U.S.C. 601-674).

The program has been inactive since the 1952 season when the last administrative committee was appointed and the last handling regulation was issued. Significant changes, such as State potato acreage declines up to 80 percent, have taken place within the production area during the two decades of inactivity which would prevent effective program operation without extensive revision of the order. With no reported producer interest in discussing reactivation of the order, there is little likelihood of successfully completing the necessary amendatory proceedings.

Pursuant to section 8c(16) (A) of the Act (7 U.S.C. 608c(16) (A) and § 951.84 of the order, consideration is being given to a finding that the order no longer tends to effectuate the declared policy of the act, and should be terminated.

The committee's assets consist of approximately \$5,000. This includes unexpended assessment income in excess of expenses accrued during the 1950-51 season plus subsequent interest. There were 227 handlers who had paid assessments that season, but less than 42 would be eligible for a refund of \$10 or more. Recent efforts to obtain a current address for each of these handlers disclosed that many are deceased, unknown, or left no forwarding address. Further efforts to locate such handlers could result in expenses exceeding the potential refund amount involved. Thus, it appears impracticable to try to refund the money pro rata to each handler. Consideration is being given to turning over the remaining funds to the following land grant universities for research on potatoes, based on the handlers' payments in each State relative to the committee's total assets:

College of Agriculture and Natural Resources
University of Connecticut
Storrs, Connecticut 06268

College of Food and Natural Resources
University of Massachusetts
Amherst, Massachusetts 01002

The Colleges of Resource Development and
Home Economics University of Rhode Island

Kingston, Rhode Island 02881
College of Life Sciences and Agriculture
University of New Hampshire
Durham, New Hampshire 03824

The College of Agriculture
University of Vermont
Burlington, Vermont 05401

Any handler who does not wish to support this proposed research should submit a refund claim as specified below or his claim will be considered as being waived.

It is contemplated if the order is terminated and the funds dispersed, the committee would be discharged.

All persons who desire to submit written data, views, or arguments on this proposal or handlers who wish to claim a refund shall file the same in duplicate with the Hearing Clerk, U.S. Department of Agriculture, Room 112-A, Washington, D.C. 20250, not later than May 21, 1975. All written submissions will be made available for public inspection at the Office of the Hearing Clerk during regular business hours (7 CFR 1.27(b)).

Dated: April 11, 1975.

JOHN C. BLUM,
Associate Administrator.

[FR Doc.75-10058 Filed 4-16-75;8:45 am]

DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH, EDUCATION, AND WELFARE

Food and Drug Administration

[21 CFR Part 630]

ADDITIONAL STANDARDS FOR VIRAL VACCINES

Testing for Potency and Safety of Smallpox Vaccine and Measles-Smallpox Vaccine, Live

Correction

In FR Doc. 75-6737, appearing at page 11884 in the issue of Friday, March 14, 1975, the following corrections should be made:

1. In the first column on page 11884, the sixth line from the bottom of the page reading "group of chicken eggs with appropriate", should read "group of chicken eggs with a control".

2. In the third column on page 11884, the section heading "§ 630.74 Test for safety." should be placed directly under the amendatory paragraph numbered "3".

Social Security Administration

[20 CFR Part 405]

SUPPLEMENTARY MEDICAL INSURANCE BENEFITS

State Coverage Agreements

Notice is hereby given, pursuant to the Administrative Procedure Act (5 U.S.C. 553), that the amendments to the regulations set forth in tentative form are proposed by the Commissioner of Social Security with the approval of the Secretary of Health, Education, and Welfare.

Under section 1843 of the Social Security Act (42 U.S.C. 1395v), each State had the option, prior to January 1, 1970, to enter into an agreement to enroll ("buy-in") under the supplemental medical insurance program individuals who are eligible or become eligible for supplemental medical insurance and are members or become members of the State's

coverage group. That coverage group could consist of (A) all cash assistance recipients under titles I, X, XIV, XVI, or part A of title IV of the Social Security Act, or (B) all cash assistance recipients under only certain of these titles (as specified in section 1843), or (C) all persons eligible for medical assistance whether or not they receive cash assistance. The State which bought-in only for cash assistance recipients could elect to exclude social security and railroad retirement beneficiaries from its coverage group. Federal matching funds were available under title XIX of the Social Security Act for premium payments made by States on behalf of cash assistance recipients. All but four States entered into such agreements.

The cash assistance programs under titles I, X, XIV and XVI were terminated January 1, 1974, in the fifty States and the District of Columbia as a result of the establishment of the supplemental security income program under Pub. L. 92-603, the Social Security Amendments of 1972. (State programs under Part A of title IV, Aid for Families with Dependent Children (AFDC), still exist but include few supplemental medical insurance eligibles.) Section 18(1) of Pub. L. 93-233 amended section 1843 of the Social Security Act to provide for the continuance of buy-in agreements after December 31, 1973, at the request of the State, subject to such modifications as the Secretary by regulations provides to take account of the substitution of the supplemental security income program for the State cash assistance programs. The attached proposed amendments to regulations would be issued under the authority of and to implement section 18(1) of Pub. L. 93-233. (Effect is also given, as described below, to section 249E of Pub. L. 92-603).

The coverage groups established effective January 1, 1974, under the proposed regulations are as nearly equivalent to the ones they replace as it is possible to make them.

Thus, where the State's coverage group on December 31, 1973, consisted of all persons eligible for medical assistance under the State plan approved under title XIX of the Act, it would continue to have the same coverage group effective January 1, 1974, (30 States). (The 2-month waiting period for buy-in coverage continues to apply where the medical assistance recipient would not qualify as a member of the coverage group described in the next paragraph.)

Where the State's coverage group on December 31, 1973, consisted of all persons receiving cash assistance under any of the plans of the State approved under titles I, X, XIV, XVI, and part A of title IV, its new group would consist of all persons who (1) are receiving supplemental security income or cash assistance under the State plan program under Part A of title IV and (2) are categorically needy, as the term is used in the regulations of the Social and Rehabilitation Service, under the State's plan for medical assistance approved under

title XIX of the Act (14 States). The old and new groups are equivalent because both the old groups and the new groups consist of all recipients of cash assistance, whether Federal or State derived, who are categorically needy under the State plan approved under title XIX. (Under the terms of title XIX, all recipients of State plan assistance under titles, I, X, XIV, XVI, and part A of title IV were categorically needy under the State plan for medical assistance, but recipients of supplemental security income or State supplementary payments are not necessarily categorically needy. The groups of individuals who are or may be covered under title XIX after December 31, 1973, as categorically needy are set forth in 45 CFR 248.1 (b) and (c).) Also, both the old and new groups are composed of all the persons for whom the State can receive Federal matching funds toward supplemental medical insurance premium payments made on their behalf. (Section 1903(a) as in effect before January 1, 1974, and as amended by Pub. L. 93-233 effective January 1, 1974.)

Where the State's coverage group on December 31, 1973, consisted of all persons receiving cash assistance under any of its plans approved under the public assistance titles of the Social Security Act except part A of title IV, persons receiving cash assistance under the State's plan approved under part A of title IV would continue to be excluded from its coverage group after December 31, 1973, (1 State).

Where the State's coverage group on December 31, 1973, consisted of all persons receiving cash assistance under any of the plans of the State approved under titles I, X, XIV, and part A of title IV but the State had no plan for medical assistance approved under title XIX, the new coverage group January 1, 1974, would consist of all recipients of supplemental security income, or State supplementation, or cash assistance under the plan of the State approved under part A of title IV (1 State).

Since no State availed itself of the option to buy-in only for persons receiving cash assistance under title I (Old Age Assistance), no provision is made in the proposed regulations for recognition of a corresponding coverage group after December 31, 1973.

Two States—Massachusetts and Wisconsin—in lieu of enrolling eligible cash assistance recipients who were social security or railroad retirement beneficiaries under its buy-in agreement, provided supplementary medical insurance for indigents by having them individually enroll in supplemental medical insurance and reimbursing them for the supplemental security insurance premiums by increasing their cash welfare assistance, for which the State would receive Federal matching. With the termination of the cash welfare programs as a result of the institution of the supplemental security income program January 1, 1974, this method of providing supplemental medical insurance

coverage and receiving Federal matching funds is no longer available to the States except under their plans approved under part A of title IV. Therefore, under the authority of section 18(1) of Public Law 93-233, the proposed regulations would enable Massachusetts and Wisconsin to continue to provide supplemental medical insurance to indigent beneficiaries by providing that buy-in agreements may no longer exclude otherwise covered beneficiaries except beneficiaries receiving cash assistance under the plan of the State approved under Part A of title IV. This modification would be effective with the month following the effective date of these amendments to regulations.

Under section 249E of Pub. L. 92-603, where an individual was eligible for or receiving cash assistance under any of the plans of the State approved under titles I, X, XIV, XVI, or part A of title IV and was entitled to monthly social security benefits for August 1972, he would be treated as eligible for such assistance for any month after August 1972 and before July 1975 for purposes of qualifying for medical assistance if he would have been eligible for such assistance had the 20 percent increase in social security benefits effective September 1972 under Pub. L. 92-336 and (by interpretation) the supplemental security income payment or of federalized. Under the proposed regulations an individual eligible for buy-in coverage and monthly social security benefits for August 1972 would be eligible for any month after August 1972 and before July 1975 under similar conditions.

In the proposed amendments to the regulations, it has been necessary to modify the provisions regarding termination of buy-in coverage to take account of the establishment of the supplemental security income program. As under present regulations, where the State makes the determination of termination of buy-in coverage, such termination will be limited to 2 months retroactivity from the date the Social Security Administration receives notice of such termination from the State. Where (because it makes the determination of termination of supplemental security income payments or of federally administered State supplementation on which buy-in coverage depends) the Social Security Administration makes the determination of termination of buy-in coverage, it is necessary for such determination to have full retroactivity; the State cannot be required to pay the supplemental medical insurance premiums for the period for which coverage is terminated retroactively. Where the Social Security Administration made the determination that the individual should be enrolled for buy-in coverage and the State makes the determination of termination of such coverage as a result of an alert from the Social Security Administration through its buy-in data exchange system as to the possible need for action, the determination would have full retroactivity if the State

notifies the Social Security Administration by the 25th day of the second month after the month in which it received such alert; if such notice is given later, the termination would have 2 months of retroactivity from the date of the State notice to the Social Security Administration.

Prior to the final adoption of the proposed amendments to the regulations, consideration will be given to any data, views, or arguments pertaining thereto which are submitted in writing in triplicate to the Commissioner of Social Security, Department of Health, Education, and Welfare Building, Fourth and Independence Avenue SW., Washington, D.C. 20201, on or before May 19, 1975.

Copies of all comments received in response to this notice will be available for public inspection during regular business hours at the Washington Inquiries Section, Office of Public Affairs, Social Security Administration, Department of Health, Education, and Welfare, North Building, Room 4146, 330 Independence Avenue SW., Washington, D.C. 20201.

The proposed amendments are to be issued under the authority contained in sections 1102, 1843, and 1871 of the Social Security Act, section 249E of Pub. L. 92-603, section 233 of Pub. L. 93-66, 49 Stat. 647, as amended, 79 Stat. 312, 331, 86 Stat. 1429, 87 Stat. 152; (42 U.S.C. 1302, 1395, and 1395hh).

(Catalog of Federal Domestic Assistance Program No. 13.801, Health Insurance for the Aged—Supplementary Medical Insurance)

Dated: January 20, 1975.

J. B. CARDWELL,
Commissioner of Social Security.

Approved: April 11, 1975.

CASPAR W. WEINBERGER,
*Secretary of Health, Education,
and Welfare.*

Part 405 of Chapter III of Title 20 of the Code of Federal Regulations is amended as set forth below:

1. Section 450.217 is amended by revising so much of paragraph (b) as precedes subparagraph (1), by revising paragraph (c) (1), and by adding paragraphs (g), (h), and (i) to read as follows:

§ 405.217 Enrollment pursuant to State agreement.

(b) Subject to paragraph (g) and (h) of this section, an agreement entered into with any State pursuant to paragraph (a) of this section shall be applicable to either of the following coverage groups:

(c) * * *

(1) An individual may not, subject to paragraphs (c) (2) and (g) (5) of this section, be a member of the coverage group specified in an agreement entered into under paragraph (a) of this section for any month in which he is entitled to monthly benefits under title II of the Social Security Act or entitled to receive an annuity or pension under the Rail-

road Retirement Act of 1937 (without regard to the retroactivity of such entitlement) unless the State requests before 1970, and the agreement is modified to provide, that such individuals shall be members of such coverage group.

(g) Effective January 1, 1974, an agreement pursuant to paragraph (a) of this section, entered into by any of the fifty States or the District of Columbia will at the request of the State be continued and shall be deemed to have been modified as follows:

(1) Where the State's coverage group as of December 31, 1973, consists of individuals receiving money payments under a plan of the State approved under title XVI of the Social Security Act (see paragraph (b) (1) of this section) and the State has a plan approved under title XIX of the Social Security Act in effect as of December 31, 1973, the State's coverage group shall be deemed to consist of individuals who (i) receive supplemental security income under title XVI of the Social Security Act or State supplementary payments (as the term is used in section 1616(a) of the Social Security Act and in section 212 of Pub. L. 93-66), and (ii) are categorically needy (as the term is used in 45 CFR 248.1) under the plan of such State approved under title XIX of the Social Security Act.

(2) Where the State's coverage group as of December 31, 1973, consists of individuals receiving money payments under the plans of the State approved under titles I, X, XIV, XVI, and part A of title IV of the Social Security Act (see paragraph (b) (2) of this section) and the State has a plan approved under title XIX in effect on December 31, 1973, the State's coverage group shall be deemed to consist of individuals who (i) receive supplemental security income under title XVI of the Social Security Act, or State supplementary payments (as the term is used in section 1616(a) of the Social Security Act and in section 212 of Pub. L. 93-66), or money payments under a plan of the State approved under part A of title IV of the Social Security Act, and (ii) are categorically needy (as the term is used in 45 CFR 248.1) under the plan of such State approved under title XIX of the Social Security Act.

(3) Where a State had an agreement as of December 31, 1973, but it had no plan approved under title XIX of the Social Security Act in effect on December 31, 1973, the State's coverage group shall be deemed to consist of individuals receiving supplemental security income under title XVI of the Social Security Act, State supplementary payments (as the term is used in section 1616(a) of the Social Security Act and in section 212 of Pub. L. 93-66), or money payments under a plan of the State approved under Part A of title IV of the Social Security Act.

(4) For purposes of this section and § 405.222, an individual will be treated as receiving supplemental security in-

come under title XVI of the Social Security Act or State supplementary payments if he receives or the State reports to the Social Security Administration in accordance with prescribed procedures that he receives such income or payments for January 1974 or for any month thereafter.

(5) For purposes of this section and § 405.222, an individual will be treated as categorically needy (as the term is used in 45 CFR 248.1) under a plan of the State approved under title XIX of the Social Security Act, if, for January 1974, or for any month thereafter, he has been determined or the State reports to the Social Security Administration in accordance with prescribed procedures that he has been determined to be categorically needy under such plan.

(h) Where a State's agreement has not been modified, pursuant to a request made by it before 1970, to provide that individuals entitled to monthly benefits under title II of the Social Security Act or entitled to receive an annuity or pension under the Railroad Retirement Act of 1937, as amended, shall be members of the coverage group, such State shall be deemed to have so modified its coverage group, except with respect to individuals receiving money payments under a plan of the State approved under Part A of title IV of the Social Security Act, effective with the month following the effective date of these amendments to regulations.

(i) Except as provided in paragraph (c) of this section, any individual is included in the State's coverage group for any month after August 1972 and before July 1975 if: (1) For August 1972 he was eligible for money payments under any of the plans of the State included in the coverage group of the State under its agreement under this section, and was eligible for medical assistance under a plan of the State approved under title XIX of the Social Security Act, and was entitled to monthly insurance benefits under title II of the Social Security Act; and (2) for such month after August 1972 and before July 1975 the individual would have been eligible for such money payments had the 20 percent increase in monthly insurance benefits under title II of the Social Security Act provided by Pub. L. 92-336 not been applicable for such individual and, in the case of the fifty States and the District of Columbia after December 31, 1973, had the plan of such state approved under titles I, X, IV, and XVI continued in effect.

2. Paragraph (c) of § 405.222 is revised to read as follows:

§ 450.222 Enrollment pursuant to a State agreement; coverage period beginning date.

(c) The first day of the first month in which he is both an eligible individual (see § 405.205) and a member of the coverage group that is specified in such agreement, except that, if an individual

is a member of such group solely by virtue of a modification described in § 405.217(c)(2) (i.e., he does not receive money payments under a plan of the State approved under titles I, X, XIV, XVI or part A of title IV; is not both receiving supplemental security income under title XVI or State supplementary payments and determined to be categorically needy (as the term is used in 45 CFR 248.1) under the plan of the State approved under title XIX; and he is not included in the coverage group by virtue of § 405.217(h)), his coverage period may not begin before the first day of the second month following the month in which he is both an eligible individual and the State has made the determination (without regard to the retroactivity of such determination) that he is eligible to receive medical assistance under the plan of such State approved under title XIX of the Social Security Act; or

3. Paragraph (c) of § 405.223 is revised to read as follows:

§ 405.223 Individual enrollments; State enrollments; manner and time of termination of enrollment and coverage.

(c) *Enrollees pursuant to State agreement.* In the case of an individual enrolled pursuant to a Federal-State agreement (see § 405.217), the coverage period attributable to the agreement terminates (subject to the provisions of paragraph (d) of this section) on:

(1) The last day of the last month for which he is eligible for inclusion in the coverage group provided that, where the State makes the determination of ineligibility, the State sends notice to the Social Security Administration of such ineligibility within a reasonable time. Such notice shall be given in such form and in accordance with such instructions as prescribed by the Social Security Administration. The notice is considered to be given within a reasonable period of time if the Social Security Administration receives such notice by the 25th day of the second month after the calendar month in which the individual becomes ineligible for inclusion in the coverage group or, where the individual's State enrollment coverage period began on the basis of a Social Security Administration determination and the State makes the determination of ineligibility after receiving notice from the Social Security Administration through the buy-in data exchange system that the individual is no longer receiving supplemental security income or Federally-administered State supplementary payments, by the 25th day of the second month after the calendar month in which the Social Security Administration so notifies the State. If, however, the Social Security Administration first receives prescribed notice of ineligibility from the State after such reasonable period of time, the individual's coverage attributable to the buy-in agreement will, instead, end on the last day of the second month before the month in which the Social Security

Administration receives such notice and, for such purpose, notice received in a calendar month but after the 25th day of that month shall be deemed to have been received in the next following month.

(2) If earlier than paragraph (c)(1) of this section, whichever of the following first occurs:

(i) The last day of the month preceding the first month in which the individual became entitled to monthly benefits under title II of the Social Security Act (see Subpart D of Part 404 of this chapter) or to an annuity or pension under the Railroad Retirement Act of 1937 without regard to the retroactivity of such entitlement unless the State agreement provides for the inclusion of such individuals entitled to such benefits in the coverage group, or

(ii) The last day of the month as of which the State agreement is terminated, or

(iii) The last day of the month in which he dies, or,

(iv) If he is under 65, the last day of the last month for which he is entitled to hospital insurance benefits.

[FR Doc.75-10041 Filed 4-16-75; 8:45 am]

DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION

Coast Guard

[46 CFR Parts 31, 74, 93, Subchapter M]

[CGD 74-182]

SHIPS CARRYING BULK GRAIN CARGOES

Proposed Intact Stability Requirements

The U.S. Coast Guard is considering amendments to the intact stability requirements for ships carrying bulk grain cargoes. These amendments would implement Resolution A.264(VIII) of the Inter-Governmental Maritime Consultative Organization (IMCO) concerning an amendment to Chapter VI of the International Convention for the Safety of Life at Sea (SOLAS) 1960. The proposed regulations would replace the current regulations contained in 46 CFR Subchapter M, Bulk Grain Cargoes.

Chapter VI of the SOLAS 1960 prescribes regulations for the carriage of bulk grain. Executive Order 11239 delegates to the Secretary of Transportation the authority to implement the provisions of SOLAS, 1960, which he has delegated to the Commandant (49 CFR 1.46(b)).

One of the principal functions of IMCO is to continually review the technical aspects of the various maritime safety provisions and upgrade the standards in light of increased knowledge and technological improvements. The mechanism whereby this is achieved is set forth in the IMCO Convention as well as in the various technical conventions relating to maritime and environmental safety elaborated under the aegis of IMCO. On the basis of the studies undertaken by the Maritime Safety Committee of IMCO and its technically specialized subcommittees, the Assembly

of IMCO makes recommendations to its member states as to new and improved practices and standards that should be applied.

It has been recognized that the process of formal amendment of a convention is a time consuming procedure which has the disadvantage of precluding the early implementation of urgently needed changes in maritime safety provisions. Accordingly, under the terms of the IMCO Convention, member states are urged to implement domestically recommendations of the Assembly in advance of the formal amendment procedures. SOLAS 1960 was elaborated with a provision, Regulation 5 of Chapter I, which facilitates the early implementation of IMCO Assembly recommendations where such recommendations relate to SOLAS. Regulation 5 authorizes the administration of a member to prescribe regulations which are the equivalent in terms of maritime safety to those contained in the Convention. While by its terms, Regulation 5 authorizes a member state to adopt as equivalent regulations which are unique to that state, the general practice has been to adopt the recommendations of the IMCO Assembly, not only to promote uniformity but to preclude the subsequent necessity of conforming the domestic legislation to the formal amendment when it enters into force.

With regard to the carriage of bulk grain, the Maritime Safety Committee of IMCO and its cognizant subcommittees undertook studies and research to uncover the true nature of grain behavior aboard ships. These studies were initiated because a number of casualties to ships carrying bulk grain in accordance with Chapter VI of SOLAS 1960 indicated that the provisions of that chapter were inadequate. During the term of the research and study, the Coast Guard twice modified the provisions of Subchapter M, Title 46 CFR under the authority of Regulation 5 to take into account the then current state of knowledge of grain behavior. Upon the completion of the IMCO studies and research, the Assembly of IMCO adopted the recommendations of the Maritime Safety Committee and by Resolution A.264 (VIII) on 20 November 1973 proposed to member states the amendment of Chapter VI of SOLAS 1960 with a new Chapter VI annexed to the resolution, and further recommended to all member states to put into effect as soon as possible the provisions of the amendment as an equivalent under Regulation 5 of the present text of Chapter VI.

While ordinarily member states would be presently taking the necessary steps to accept this amendment to SOLAS 1960, the IMCO scheduled a SOLAS Conference in October, 1974, for the purpose of elaborating a new SOLAS Convention which would incorporate all outstanding amendments to SOLAS 1960 not in force and would as well modify the provisions for adopting and bringing amendments into force with a view toward reducing the time interval for

this procedure. Accordingly, at this time, it may be anticipated that the new Chapter VI will more likely come into force as an element of SOLAS 1974. However, until SOLAS 1974 does come into force, the contemplated rapid amendment procedures will not be available. Under these circumstances, the Coast Guard believes that the amended Chapter VI, either under SOLAS 1960 or SOLAS 1974 will not come into force for several years. Consequently the Coast Guard has elected to propose new regulations to conform with the new Chapter VI pursuant to Regulation 5 of Chapter I and the recommendation of the Assembly in Resolution A.264(VIII).

The Coast Guard has determined that some vessels either because of their design or route can be safely loaded with bulk grain cargo without meeting the full requirements of IMCO Resolution A.264(VIII). In accordance with Part B, Section V(B) of the Annex to IMCO Resolution A.264(VIII), it is proposed to amend the tank vessel regulations to permit tank vessels with two or more longitudinal divisions to load grain under simplified requirements. It is further proposed to permit all vessels making specified coastwise voyages to load grain under simplified requirements.

Interested persons are invited to participate in this proposed rule making by submitting written views, data, arguments, objections, and comments to the Executive Secretary, Marine Safety Council (G-CMC/82), Room 8234, U.S. Coast Guard Headquarters, Washington, D.C. 20590. Each person submitting comments should include his name and address, identify this notice (CGD 74-182), and give reasons for any recommendations. Comments received on or before May 31, 1975, will be available for examination in Room 8234, U.S. Coast Guard Headquarters, Washington, D.C.

No public hearing is contemplated, but one may be held at a time and place set in a later notice in the FEDERAL REGISTER, if requested by an interested person raising a genuine issue and desiring an opportunity to comment orally.

The amendments are proposed under the authority of the International Convention for the Safety of Life at Sea 1960; 49 U.S.C. 1655(b); 49 CFR 1.46(b); 50 U.S.C. 198; E.O. 11239, July 31, 1965; and E.O. 11382, November 28, 1967.

In consideration of the foregoing, it is proposed to amend Parts 31, 74, and 93 and Subchapter M of Chapter I of Title 46 of the Code of Federal Regulations as follows:

PART 31—INSPECTION AND CERTIFICATION

1. By adding a new § 31.10-33 to read as follows:

§ 31.10-33 Bulk grain cargoes—TB/ALL.

(a) Tank vessels that are designed to carry bulk liquids and that have two or more longitudinal divisions may carry grain in bulk if—

(1) Each tank, other than one pair of wing tanks, are trimmed full; or

(2) The owner submits calculations to either the Commandant or the National Cargo Bureau, Inc., One World Trade Center, Suite 2757, New York, N.Y. 10048, showing that under the most unfavorable loading conditions, the vessel will not heel more than 5 degrees due to a grain shift having a resulting grain surface of 12 degrees to the horizontal; and

(3) The master complies with Part C of Annex I to Inter-Governmental Maritime Consultative Organization (IMCO) Resolution A.264(VIII), where applicable. As used in Resolving A.264(VIII), the terms "Administration" means "U.S. Coast Guard." Copies of Resolution A.264(VIII) may be obtained from The National Cargo Bureau, Inc., One World Trade Center, Suite 2757, New York, N.Y. 10048, the Commandant (G-M/82), U.S. Coast Guard, 400 Seventh Street SW., Washington, D.C. 20590; or the office of any Coast Guard District Commander or Officer in Charge, Marine Inspection.

(b) Notwithstanding the provisions of 46 CFR 56.50-50, bilges must be properly prepared and sounding pipes in place, clear and operable. If bilges are not present, suction must be boxed.

(c) The vessel must comply with 46 CFR 93.20-15.

(d) Tank vessels that carry grain in bulk, but that do not meet the requirements of paragraph (a) of this section, must meet the requirements of 46 CFR 93.20.

PART 74—STABILITY

2. By adding a new § 74.10-12 to read as follows:

§ 74.10-12 Bulk grain cargoes.

Each passenger vessel that carries grain in bulk must comply with 46 CFR 93.20.

PART 93—STABILITY

3. By adding a new Subpart 93.20 to read as follows:

Subpart 93.20—Bulk Grain Cargoes

- Sec. 93.20-01 Application.
- 93.20-05 General.
- 93.20-10 Document of Authorization.
- 93.20-15 Certificate of Loading.
- 93.20-20 Exemption for Certain Voyages.

AUTHORITY: International Convention for the Safety of Life at Sea 1960; 49 U.S.C. 1655(b); 49 CFR 1.46(b); 50 U.S.C. 198; E.O. 11239, July 31, 1965; and E.O. 11382, November 28, 1967.

Subpart 93.20—Bulk Grain Cargoes

§ 93.20-01 Application.

The provisions of this subpart apply to all vessels that load grain in bulk after (effective date of regulations) except:

- (a) U.S. tank vessels which meet the requirements of 46 CFR 31.10-33.
- (b) Vessels engaged on voyages solely between ports within the limits of the Great Lakes and the St. Lawrence River as far east as a straight line drawn from Cap de Rosiers to West Point, Anticosti

Island, and east of a line along the 63rd meridian from Anticosti Island to the north shore of St. Lawrence River.

§ 93.20-05 General.

(a) Each general cargo vessel or barge that carries grain in bulk must comply with the Annex to Inter-Governmental Maritime Consultative Organization (IMCO) Resolution A.264(VIII). As used in Resolution A.264(VIII), the term "Administration" means "U.S. Coast Guard". Copies of Resolution A.264(VIII) may be obtained from the National Cargo Bureau, Inc., One World Trade Center, Suite 2757, New York, N.Y. 10048; the Commandant (G-M/82), U.S. Coast Guard, 400 Seventh Street, SW., Washington, D.C. 20590; or the office of any Coast Guard District Commander or Officer in Charge, Marine Inspection.

(b) Notwithstanding the provisions of 46 CFR 56.50-50, bilges must be properly prepared and sounding pipes clear and operable. If bilges are not present, suction must be boxed.

§ 93.20-10 Document of authorization.

(a) Before it can load grain, each vessel that carries grain in bulk must have a document of authorization in accordance with Regulation 10, Part A of the Annex to IMCO Resolution A.264(VIII). The Commandant may authorize a recognized organization to issue a document of authorization to a particular vessel or type of vessel.

(b) The Commandant recognizes the National Cargo Bureau, Inc., One World Trade Center, Suite 2757, New York, N.Y. 10048, for the purpose of issuing documents of authorization in accordance with these regulations.

§ 93.20-15 Certificate of loading.

(a) Before it can sail, each vessel that carries grain in bulk must have a certificate of loading issued by an organization recognized by the Commandant for that purpose. The certificate of loading may be accepted as prima facie evidence of compliance with these regulations.

(b) The Commandant recognizes the National Cargo Bureau, Inc., One World Trade Center, Suite 2757, New York, N.Y. 10048, for the purpose of issuing certificates of loading.

§ 93.20-20 Exemptions for certain voyages.

(a) Vessels on a voyage that is within the inland waters of the United States are exempt from the regulations in this subpart.

- (b) Vessels on a voyage between—
 - (1) United States ports along the east coast as far south as Cape Henry;
 - (2) Wilmington, N.C. and Miami, Fla.;
 - (3) United States ports in the Gulf of Mexico;
 - (4) Puget Sound ports and Canadian West Coast ports or Columbia River ports, or both;
 - (5) San Francisco, Los Angeles, and San Diego; or
 - (6) Great Lakes ports and St. Lawrence River ports as far east as a straight

line drawn from Cap de Rosiers to West Point, Anticosti Island, and east of a line drawn along the 63rd meridian from Anticosti Island to the north shore of St. Lawrence River; are exempt from 46 CFR 93.20-10(a) if:

(i) The master is satisfied that the longitudinal strength of his vessel is not impaired;

(ii) The master ascertains the weather to be encountered on the voyage;

(iii) Potential heeling moments are reduced to a minimum value by carrying as few slack holds as possible;

(iv) Slack surfaces are leveled; and

(v) The metacentric height (GM) in feet on the vessel throughout the voyage, after correction for liquid free surface, is in excess of the required metacentric height (GM_r) in feet as obtained from Table 93.17-15(b).

1964-09-16-14

TABLE 93.17-15(b) - CALCULATION OF GM_x

$GM_x = L \times$ tabular value

Where L = total length of slack surfaces
 X = maximum breadth of slack surfaces
 Δ = displacement of vessel in 1000's lbf tons

TABULAR VALUE

If the ratio of available freeboard to beam is more than .268 the required GM_x in order to shift the vessel shall be calculated by multiplying the applicable figure below by the total length of slack surface. If the ratio found above is less than .268 the tabular values shall be adjusted by multiplying by .268 and dividing by the actual ratio found.

Δ	BREADTH (feet)												
	40	45	50	55	60	65	70	75	80	85	90	95	100
10	.0094	.0134	.0184	.0244	.0317	.0403	.0504	.0619	.0752	.0902	.1070	.125	.144
20	.0047	.0067	.0092	.0122	.0159	.0202	.0250	.0310	.0376	.0451	.0535	.062	.071
30	.0031	.0045	.0061	.0081	.0106	.0134	.0165	.0206	.0251	.0301	.0357	.042	.048
40	.0023	.0033	.0044	.0061	.0079	.0101	.0126	.0155	.0188	.0225	.0266	.031	.036
50	.0019	.0027	.0038	.0049	.0063	.0081	.0101	.0124	.0150	.0179	.0214	.025	.029
60	.0016	.0022	.0031	.0041	.0053	.0067	.0084	.0103	.0125	.0150	.0178	.021	.0245
70	.0013	.0019	.0026	.0035	.0045	.0058	.0072	.0088	.0107	.0129	.0153	.018	.0210
80	.0012	.0017	.0023	.0031	.0040	.0050	.0063	.0077	.0094	.0113	.0134	.015	.018
90	.0010	.0015	.0020	.0027	.0035	.0045	.0056	.0069	.0084	.0100	.0119	.014	.0163
00	.0009	.0013	.0018	.0024	.0032	.0040	.0050	.0062	.0075	.0090	.0107	.012	.0147

Notes: In those portions of length which are divided by a centesimal bulkhead $\frac{1}{2}$ of the tabular value may be used.
 The table is calculated for steel. If necessary the GM_x may be adjusted for other grades by multiplying by the following factors:

ST approx 50 cwt, γ , ρ , ρ \times .9
 ST approx 55 lb/ft³ \times .8
 ST approx 70 cwt \times .5

SUBCHAPTER M—BULK GRAIN CARGOES
 [RESERVED]

4. By revoking and reserving Subchapter M.

Dated: April 9, 1975.

J. V. CAFFREY,
 Captain, U.S. Coast Guard,
 Acting Chief, Office of Merchant Marine Safety.

[FR Doc.75-9924 Filed 4-16-75; 8:45 am]

Federal Aviation Administration
 [14 CFR Part 121]

[Docket No. 14554; Notice No. 75-16]

LARGE TURBINE-POWERED AIRPLANES
 Installation of Approved Glide Slope
 Deviation Monitoring System

The Federal Aviation Administration is considering amending Part 121 of the Federal Aviation Regulations to add a new § 121.358 to require the installation of an approved glide slope deviation monitoring system on each large turbine-powered airplane used in operations under Part 121. These amendments would also apply to air travel clubs certificated under Part 123 and air taxi operators certificated under Part 135 when conducting operations governed by those parts with large turbine-powered airplanes.

Interested persons are invited to participate in the making of the proposed

rule by submitting such written data, views, or arguments as they may desire. Communications should identify the regulatory docket or notice number and be submitted in duplicate to: Federal Aviation Administration, Office of the Chief Counsel, Attention: Rules Docket, AGC-24, 800 Independence Avenue SW., Washington, D.C. 20591. All communications received on or before May 5, 1975, will be considered by the Administrator before taking action on the proposed rules. The proposals contained in this notice may be changed in the light of comments received. All comments submitted will be available, both before and after the closing date for comments, in the Rules Docket for examination by interested persons.

On December 18, 1974, the FAA adopted § 121.360 which provides that, after December 1, 1975, no person may operate a large turbine-powered airplane under Part 121 unless it is equipped with an approved ground proximity warning system that is designed, constructed, and installed to provide a warning of imminent inadvertent contact with the ground. The FAA is aware that a system that will provide this warning can also form the basis of a system that can monitor deviation from an ILS glide slope, in some cases making use of a fifth operational mode capability of certain ground proximity warning systems. The FAA believes that there is a need for a glide

slope deviation monitoring system to supplement the ground proximity warning system. Such a system would warn of deviations below the glide slope that could result in inadvertent contact with the ground.

The FAA believes that, in view of the fact that the basic capability of monitoring glide slope deviation is inherent in any ground proximity warning system presently designed to meet the requirements of § 121.360, glide slope deviation monitoring systems can be developed, manufactured, and installed on all large turbine-powered airplanes used in operations under Parts 121 and 123 and § 135.2 by December 1, 1975, without an undue burden on the operators of those aircraft.

The regulation, as proposed, would provide that, after December 1, 1975, no person may operate a large turbine-powered airplane under Part 121 unless it is equipped with an approved glide slope deviation monitoring system that is designed, constructed, and installed to provide warning of any significant deviation below the glide slope of an ILS. The FAA is not proposing a specific amount of deviation which would initiate the warning, and comments are requested as to when the warning should be given and the capability of presently available equipment in this respect. The FAA is considering requiring that the warning initiate when the airplane is about to leave the glide slope envelope, i.e. when there is more than a two-thirds deflection of the glide slope indicator. This would permit corrective action in time for the airplane to remain in the glide slope envelope.

Under the proposed regulation, the system would have to operate at any height less than 1,000 feet down to a height of 50 feet above the ground. It would have to provide both visual and aural warnings, that initiate simultaneously and automatically without any crewmember action. The warnings would have to be distinct from each warning provided by any other aircraft warning device (including the ground proximity warning system). They would also have to operate continuously until the deviation is corrected, except that means would have to be provided for deactivation of the warnings by the pilot at his discretion, either before or after they initiate.

The FAA is not prepared at this time to issue specific technical standards for this equipment without further study. The FAA has initiated a study to develop either a Technical Standard Order or an amendment to Part 25 establishing specific technical standards. However, pending the development of such standards the FAA intends to approve the installation of systems that monitor glide slope deviation through the issue of supplemental type certificates after compliance has been shown with the general equipment requirements of Part 25.

It should be noted that the comment period for this Notice has been limited to 15 days from the date of its publication.

The FAA believes it necessary in the interest of safety that large turbine-powered airplanes used in operations under Part 121 be equipped with a glide slope deviation warning system as soon as possible. As stated above, § 121.360 requires these airplanes to be equipped with a ground proximity warning system by December 1, 1975, and the FAA believes that a glide slope deviation warning system requirement can be adopted and complied with by that date. In this connection it should be noted that, although it was not on the agenda for the meeting, the glide slope deviation monitoring system was discussed at the public meeting concerned with the preparation of minimum performance standards for airborne ground proximity warning systems, announced by the Federal Communications Commission in the FEDERAL REGISTER (40 FR 1743; January 9, 1975), that was held January 28 and 29, 1975.

These amendments are proposed under the authority of sections 313(a), 601, 603, and 604 of the Federal Aviation Act of 1958 (49 U.S.C. 1354(a), 1421, 1423, and 1424), and section 6(c) of the Department of Transportation Act (49 U.S.C. 1655(c)).

In consideration of the foregoing, it is proposed to amend Part 121 of the Federal Aviation Regulations by adding a new § 121.358 to read as follows:

§ 121.358 Glide slope deviation monitoring systems.

(a) After December 1, 1975, no person may operate a large turbine-powered airplane unless it is equipped with an approved glide slope deviation monitoring system that is designed, constructed, and installed to provide warning of any significant deviation below the electronic glide slope of an ILS.

(b) The glide slope deviation monitoring system required by paragraph (a) of this section must—

(1) Operate at any height less than 1,000 feet down to a height of 50 feet above the ground; and

(2) Provide both visual and aural warnings that—

(i) Initiate simultaneously;

(ii) Are distinct from each warning provided by any other aircraft warning device;

(iii) Initiate automatically without any crewmember action;

(iv) Except as provided in paragraph (b) (2) (v) of this section, operate continuously until the deviation is corrected; and

(v) Be capable of being deactivated by the flight crew.

Issued in Washington, D.C., on April 10, 1975.

J. A. FERRARESE,
Acting Director,
Flight Standards Service.

[FR Doc.75-10068 Filed 4-16-75;8:45 am]

CONSUMER PRODUCT SAFETY COMMISSION

[16 CFR Part 1500]

TOYS AND OTHER CHILDREN'S ARTICLES PRESENTING INJURY HAZARDS DUE TO SHARP EDGES

Testing Device

In the FEDERAL REGISTER of January 7, 1975 (40 FR 1488), proposed 16 CFR 1500.47 described a test method for determining sharp edges in toys and other articles intended for use by children.

The Consumer Product Safety Commission has recently obtained detailed working drawings of one type of device that could be used to perform the test. The drawings were developed as part of a contract between Value Engineering Company and the Commission. They are in the public domain which means that any person is permitted to use them, without restriction, to construct a device.

Interested parties can obtain copies of these drawings by writing to the Secretary, Consumer Product Safety Commission, Washington, D.C. 20207. Copies also may be picked up at the Office of the Secretary, 10th Floor, 1750 K Street NW., Washington, D.C. (phone 202-634-7700).

Dated: April 11, 1975.

SADYE E. DUNN,
Secretary, Consumer Product
Safety Commission.

[FR Doc.75-10007 Filed 4-16-75;8:45 am]

ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY

[40 CFR Part 52]

[359-2]

APPROVAL AND PROMULGATION OF IMPLEMENTATION PLANS

Oregon: Approval and Disapproval of Compliance Schedules

Section 110 of the Clean Air Act, as amended, and the implementing regulations of 40 CFR Part 51, require each State to submit a plan which provides for the attaining and maintaining of national ambient air quality standards throughout the State. On May 31, 1972 (37 FR 10842), the Administrator of the Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) approved the State of Oregon Clean Air Act Implementation Plan.

On July 2 and July 16, 1974, and January 15, 1975, the State of Oregon Department of Environmental Quality submitted for the Administrator's approval revisions to the compliance schedule portion of the State Implementation Plan, in accordance with 40 CFR 51.4, 51.6 and 51.15. The Administrator, pursuant to section 110 of the Clean Air Act and 40 CFR 51.8, is today proposing approval of one compliance schedule as a plan revision and proposing disapproval of three

compliance schedules which extend beyond the July 31, 1975, attainment date for national primary ambient air quality standards. The disapproval is being proposed because the information available for consideration fails to demonstrate that a final compliance date extension will not impact national ambient air quality standards within the applicable air quality control region.

Each compliance schedule establishes a new date by which an individual air pollution source must attain compliance with an emission limitation of the State Implementation Plan. This date is indicated in the following table under the heading "Final compliance date." Each compliance schedule which extends more than a year from the date of adoption by the State includes federally enforceable increments of progress toward compliance as required by 40 CFR 51.15(c). While the table below does not list those interim dates, the actual compliance schedules do. The "Effective date" column in the table refers to the date the compliance schedule becomes effective for purposes of federal enforcement. The "Date of adoption" column refers to the date the State adopted the compliance schedule.

An evaluation report will be prepared for each compliance schedule before the Administrator makes his final decision on whether to approve or disapprove the compliance schedule. During the review period, personnel in the EPA Regional Office, at the address noted below, are available to discuss the compliance schedules with the public. Each compliance schedule is available for public inspection at the EPA Regional Office, EPA Headquarters, and the State agency at the following addresses:

Environmental Protection Agency
1200 Sixth Avenue
Seattle, Washington 98101
Freedom of Information Center
Environmental Protection Agency
401 M Street SW
Washington, D.C. 20460
State of Oregon
Department of Environmental Quality
1234 S.W. Morrison Street
Portland, Oregon 97205

All interested persons are encouraged to submit written comments on whether the proposed revisions to the Oregon Implementation Plan should be approved as required by section 110 of the Clean Air Act, as amended, and 40 CFR 51.8. Comments postmarked on or before May 16, 1975, will be considered. Public comments received on the proposed revisions will be available for public inspection at the Regional Office and EPA Headquarters. Comments should be directed to the:

Regional Administrator, Environmental Protection Agency, 1200 Sixth Avenue, Seattle, Washington 98101, Attention: S. Ziegman M/S 513.

PROPOSED RULES

This notice of proposed rulemaking is issued under the authority of section 110(a) of the Clean Air Act, as amended. (42 U.S.C. 1857c-5(a)).

Date: April 4, 1975.

C. V. SMITH, Jr.,
Regional Administrator.

It is proposed to amend Part 52 of Chapter I, Title 40 of the Code of Federal Regulations as follows:

Subpart MM—Oregon

1. In § 52.1970, paragraph (c) (2) is revised as follows:

§ 52.1970 Identification of plan.

(c) * * *

(2) August 10, 1972; February 9, May 30, June 8, 22 and 25, July 17 and August 3, 20 and 27, 1973; July 2 and 16, 1974; and January 15, 1975, by the Department of Environmental Quality.

2. Section 52.1975 is amended by revising paragraph (b) and by adding the following lines to the table to read as follows:

§ 52.1975 Compliance schedules.

(b) The compliance schedules for the sources identified below are approved as meeting the requirements of § 51.6 and § 51.15 of this chapter. All regulations cited are contained in the Oregon Administrative Rules, Chapter 340, unless otherwise indicated.

Source	Location	Regulation involved	Date of adoption	Effective date	Final compliance date
Western Farmers Association	Ontario	OAR 340, Sec. 21-015, 21-040.	June 28, 1974	Immediately	May 1, 1975

3. Section 52.1975 is amended by adding a new paragraph (d) as follows.

§ 52.1975 Compliance schedules.

(d) The compliance schedules for the sources identified below are disapproved as not meeting the requirements of § 51.6 and § 51.15 of this chapter. All regulations cited are contained in the Oregon Administrative Rules, Chapter 340, unless otherwise noted.

Source	Location	Regulation involved	Date of adoption
Western Foundry Co.	Tigard	OAR 340, sec. 21-015, 21-040.	July 10, 1974
Louis Dreyfus Corp.	Portland	do	Jan. 15, 1975
Cargill Inc.	Portland	do	Jan. 17, 1974

[FR Doc. 75-9943 Filed 4-16-75;8:45 am]

notices

This section of the FEDERAL REGISTER contains documents other than rules or proposed rules that are applicable to the public. Notices of hearings and investigations, committee meetings, agency decisions and rulings, delegations of authority, filing of petitions and applications and agency statements of organization and functions are examples of documents appearing in this section.

FEDERAL POWER COMMISSION

[Docket No. RP75-32]

ARKANSAS-LOUISIANA GAS CO.

Extension of Procedural Dates

APRIL 10, 1975.

On April 7, 1975, Staff Counsel filed a motion to extend the procedural dates fixed by order issued February 13, 1975 in the above-designated matter. The motion states that the parties have been notified and have no objection.

Upon consideration, notice is hereby given that the procedural dates in the above matter are modified as follows:

Service of Staff's Testimony, June 17, 1975.
Service of Intervenor's Testimony, July 1, 1975.
Service of Company Rebuttal, July 15, 1975.
Hearing, July 29, 1975 (10 a.m. e.d.t.).

KENNETH F. PLUMB,
Secretary.

[PR Doc.75-9971 Filed 4-16-75;8:45 am]

[Docket No. RP75-32]

ARKANSAS LOUISIANA GAS CO.

Order Denying Application for Rehearing

APRIL 11, 1975.

On November 5, 1974, Arkansas Louisiana Gas Company (Arkla) tendered for filing an amended Rate Schedule XT-17, applicable solely to transportation of natural gas by Arkla for Reynolds Metals Company (Reynolds). The proposed amended schedule would have increased the rate charged for the transportation service rendered, and would also have modified certain conditions of service. Arkla requested that the amended schedule become effective as of January 1, 1975.

By letter dated December 31, 1974, the Commission Secretary, by direction of the Commission, rejected Arkla's filing because it was "inconsistent with § 154.22 of the Commission's regulations, which requires prior issuance of a certificate covering the proposed changes of service." The letter added that such rejection was without prejudice to Arkla's right to file a rate increase for service under Rate Schedule XT-17, either under existing terms and conditions of service or under modified conditions after such modifications have received certificate authorization.¹

¹ By order issued February 27, 1975, the Commission denied Arkla's and Reynolds' applications for rehearing of the December 31, 1974, letter order.

On January 14, 1975, Arkla tendered for filing a revised tariff sheet² to increase the rate for the subject transportation service from 4.5 cents per Mcf to 18.95 cents per Mcf. This filing was based on existing and conditions of service, and proposed only to increase the rate to Reynolds. Based on volumes transported during the 12 months ended October 31, 1974, the January 14, 1975, filing would increase annual revenues from such service from \$273,877 to \$1,153,326. Consistent with its earlier filing, Arkla requested that the proposed rate be permitted to become effective as of January 1, 1975.

By order issued February 13, 1975, the Commission accepted the tendered tariff sheet for filing and suspended the proposed increase for five months until June 1, 1975. This order also granted Arkla's request for waiver of our notice requirements for rate increase filings,³ permitted Reynolds' petition to intervene in this proceeding, and set the matter for hearing.

On March 14, 1975, Arkla filed an Application for Rehearing of our February 13, 1975 order in this docket. In said application, Arkla prays that we either (1) permit the filed rate to be effective, without suspension, as of January 1, 1975, or (2) reduce the suspension period to one day to permit Arkla to commence charging the new rate as of January 2, 1975, subject to refund.⁴ The only reason given by Arkla in support of these alternative requests is that "Since the service only involves one customer and since the parties are not in dispute about the filed rate, * * * the public interest does not require that a formal hearing be conducted with regard to this matter." In this regard, Arkla states further that Reynolds concurs with the relief sought in its application, and supports Arkla's request that the filed rate be made effective without suspension.

That a given customer consents to a rate increase does not necessarily indicate that such increase is consistent with the public interest. Rather, as we have frequently stated, our determination of a just and reasonable rate is predicated

² First Revised Sheet No. 5, superseding Original Sheet No. 5 of Arkla's FPC Gas Tariff, First Revised Volume No. 2.

³ See § 154.22 of the Commission's regulations, 18 CFR 154.22.

⁴ It is well settled that the length of the period of suspension is a matter within our discretion and is, moreover, immune from judicial review. *Municipal Light Boards of Reading and Wakefield, Massachusetts v. F.P.C.*, 450 F. 2d 1341, 1348 (CADC, 1971), cert. denied 405 U.S. 989 (1972).

on the cost of rendering the service in question plus a fair return on the investment required to render such service.⁵ In the instant case, we determined from our review of Arkla's filing * * * that the requested increase may be excessive or otherwise unlawful under the Natural Gas Act.⁶ This finding is now being challenged on the sole basis that the only customer affected by the rate increase consents both to the rate and to the requested effective date. As pointed out above, this fact is not sufficient, in and of itself, to warrant any change in or modification of our order of February 13, 1975. Accordingly, Arkla's Application for Rehearing shall be denied.

The Commission finds: Arkla's Application for Rehearing filed on March 14, 1975, presents no facts or principles of law which would provide an appropriate basis for modification of the Commission's order of February 13, 1975.

The Commission orders: (A) Arkla's Application for Rehearing filed March 14, 1975, is hereby denied.

(B) The Secretary shall cause prompt publication of this order in the FEDERAL REGISTER.

By the Commission.

[SEAL] KENNETH F. PLUMB,
Secretary.

[PR Doc.75-9972 Filed 4-16-75;8:45 am]

[Docket No. R175-121]

ASHLAND OIL, INC.

Petition for Special Relief

APRIL 10, 1975.

Take notice that on March 26, 1975, Ashland Oil, Inc., (Petitioner), P.O. Box 1503, Houston, Texas 77001, filed a petition for special relief pursuant to § 2.76 of the Commission's General Policy and Interpretations in Docket No. R175-121, seeking a rate above the applicable area ceiling under Opinion No. 586. Petitioner seeks a price of 46 cents per Mcf plus 1 cent per Mcf per year escalation for the sale of gas to Arkansas Louisiana Gas Company under its FPC Gas Rate Schedule No. 160 from all wells, ten (10), in the Erick Field, Beckham County, Oklahoma, from which it gathers and compresses the gas prior to delivery to Arkansas Louisiana. Petitioner states that it cannot economically continue the

⁵ See, for example, *Carolina Power and Light Company*, Docket No. E-8884, order issued August 26, 1974, mimeo at p. 4.

⁶ *Arkansas Louisiana Gas Company*, Docket No. RP75-32, order issued February 13, 1975, mimeo at p. 3.

present operation of collecting 13 cents per Mcf at 14.65 psia, and that an estimated 586 MMcf of natural gas will be lost to interstate commerce, and that it will have to abandon six of the ten wells connected to the Erick Field gathering system, unless relief is granted.

Any person desiring to be heard or to make any protest with reference to said petition should on or before April 29, 1975, file with the Federal Power Commission, Washington, D.C. 20426, a petition to intervene or a protest in accordance with the requirements of the Commission's rules of practice and procedure (18 CFR 1.8 or 1.10). All protests filed with the Commission will be considered by it in determining the appropriate action to be taken but will not serve to make the protestants parties to the proceeding. Any party wishing to become a party to a proceeding, or to participate as a party in any hearing therein, must file a petition to intervene in accordance with the Commission's rules.

KENNETH F. PLUMBS,
Secretary.

[FR Doc.75-9973 Filed 4-16-75;8:45 am]

[Docket No. E-9358]

BOSTON EDISON CO.

Filing of Initial Rate Schedule

APRIL 10, 1975.

Take notice that on April 4, 1975, Boston Edison Company (Edison) tendered for filing as an initial rate schedule, six copies of an Agreement dated September 21, 1972, including its Exhibits A and B and its Amendment dated April 26, 1973, which provides for Montaup Electric Company's (Montaup) and New Bedford Gas and Edison Light Company's (New Bedford) support of those Edison 345 kV transmission facilities required for Canal Unit No. 2 in which unit Montaup and New Bedford are joint participants (Edison is not a participant). Said facilities consist of two segments: the first segment is located between Jordan Road, Plymouth and Edison's Holbrook Substation #478, and the second segment is located between Edison's West Walpole Substation #447 and Edison's West Medway Substation #446.

Any person desiring to be heard or to protest said filing should file a petition to intervene or protest with the Federal Power Commission, 825 North Capitol Street, NE., Washington, D.C. 20426, in accordance with §§ 1.8 and 1.10 of the Commission's rules of practice and procedure (18 CFR 1.8, 1.10). All such petitions or protests should be filed on or before April 29, 1975. Protests will be considered by the Commission in determining the appropriate action to be taken, but will not serve to make protestants parties to the proceeding. Any person wishing to become a party must file a petition to intervene. Copies of this filing are on file with the Commission and are available for public inspection.

KENNETH F. PLUMBS,
Secretary.

[FR Doc.75-9974 Filed 4-16-75;8:45 am]

[Docket No. CI75-389; Docket No. CI75-391;
Docket No. CI75-393]

CALIFORNIA CO. ET AL.

Extension of Procedural Dates

APRIL 10, 1975.

The California Company, a Division of Chevron Oil Company, Kerr-McGee Corporation, Phillips Petroleum Company.

On April 8, 1975, The California Company filed a motion to extend the procedural dates fixed by order issued March 17, 1975, as modified by notice issued March 31, 1975, in the above-designated matter. The motion states that the parties have been notified and have no objection.

Upon consideration, notice is hereby given that the procedural dates in the above matter are modified as follows:

Service of Testimony by all supporting parties, April 30, 1975.
Hearing, May 15, 1975 (10 a.m. e.d.t.).

KENNETH F. PLUMBS,
Secretary.

[FR Doc.75-9975 Filed 4-16-75;8:45 am]

[Docket No. E-9356]

CENTRAL TELEPHONE & UTILITIES CORP.

Filing of Service

APRIL 11, 1975.

Take notice that on April 3, 1975, the Central Telephone & Utilities Corporation (CTU), tendered for filing Service Schedule P to FPC Rate Schedule 33, Supplement No. 10. CTU states that the proposal tendered herein, which provides for rates and conditions covering such service to be rendered by CTU, Western Power Division, to Sunflower Electric Cooperative, Inc., (Sunflower), is identical to one filed by CTU on December 26, 1974. CTU proposes an effective period of July 1, 1975 through June 30, 1976.

Any person desiring to be heard or to protest said filing should file a petition to intervene or protest with the Federal Power Commission, 825 North Capitol Street, NE., Washington, D.C. 20426, in accordance with §§ 1.8 and 1.10 of the Commission's rules of practice and procedure (18 CFR 1.8, 1.10). All such petitions or protests should be filed on or before April 21, 1975. Protests will be considered by the Commission in determining the appropriate action to be taken, but will not serve to make protestants parties to the proceeding. Any person wishing to become a party must file a petition to intervene. Copies of this filing are on file with the Commission and are available for public inspection.

KENNETH F. PLUMBS,
Secretary.

[FR Doc.75-9976 Filed 4-16-75;8:45 am]

[Docket No. E-9355]

CENTRAL TELEPHONE AND UTILITIES CORP.

Filing of Interconnection Contract

APRIL 11, 1975.

Take notice that on April 3, 1975, the Central Telephone and Utilities Corpora-

tion (CTU) tendered for filing on Interconnection Contract, dated December 17, 1974, between CTU, Western Power Division, and the City of Attica, Kansas. CTU states that the proposal tendered herein is identical to one filed December 26, 1974.

Any person desiring to be heard or to protest said filing should file a petition to intervene or protest with the Federal Power Commission, 825 North Capitol Street, NE., Washington, D.C. 20426, in accordance with §§ 1.8 and 1.10 of the Commission's rules of practice and procedure (18 CFR 1.8, 1.10). All such petitions or protests should be filed on or before April 21, 1975. Protests will be considered by the Commission in determining the appropriate action to be taken, but will not serve to make protestants parties to the proceeding. Any person wishing to become a party must file a petition to intervene. Copies of this filing are on file with the Commission and are available for public inspection.

KENNETH F. PLUMBS,
Secretary.

[FR Doc.75-9977 Filed 4-16-75;8:45 am]

[Docket No. CP75-281]

COLORADO INTERSTATE GAS CO.

Application

APRIL 10, 1975.

Take notice that on March 31, 1975, Colorado Interstate Gas Company, a division of Colorado Interstate Corporation (Applicant), Post Office Box 1087, Colorado Springs, Colorado 80944, filed in Docket No. CP75-281 an application pursuant to section 7(c) of the Natural Gas Act for a certificate of public convenience and necessity authorizing the exchange with and sale to Mountain Fuel Supply Company (Mountain Fuel) natural gas produced in the Natural Buttes Unit area of Utah, all as more fully set forth in the application which is on file with the Commission and open to public inspection.

Applicant states that under a gas purchase agreement, dated June 20, 1974, between Applicant and Gas Producing Enterprises, Inc. (GPE), an affiliate, Applicant controls certain gas rights on approximately 88,500 acres in the Natural Buttes Unit area of Uintah County, Utah. Applicant asserts that the gas is presently being sold by GPE to Mountain Fuel under an intrastate contract which permits GPE to withdraw certain volumes of gas and certain undeveloped acreage from commitment to Mountain Fuel. The application indicates that Mountain Fuel and Applicant have entered into a gas purchase and exchange agreement, dated June 20, 1974, whereunder Mountain Fuel may purchase up to 25 percent of the Natural Buttes production from Applicant with a minimum entitlement of 80,000 Mcf per month through January 1, 1982. Applicant states that it will purchase all of the Natural Buttes gas production from GPE and will advance funds to GPE for a developmental drilling program. It is proposed that the volume so purchased

[Docket No. CP75-279]

**COLORADO INTERSTATE GAS CO.
Application**

APRIL 10, 1975.

will be delivered by Applicant to Mountain Fuel on its existing Natural Buttes pipeline system and Mountain Fuel will deliver to Applicant volumes equivalent to the gas volumes not purchased by Mountain Fuel (exchange gas) at certain existing or future points of interconnection with Applicant's system. Applicant states that there is no charge to it in connection with the redelivery of exchange gas by Mountain Fuel.

Applicant states that it is in critical need of new gas supplies and that volumes of gas available to it from the Natural Buttes unit area are important to its efforts to meet future supply deficiencies. Since the Natural Buttes area is remote from its existing pipeline system, Applicant submits that, through the proposed exchange, Applicant will minimize the cost of adding the incremental volumes to its system.

Applicant states that the proposed exchange with Mountain Fuel will, except for new well connections, utilize existing facilities.

Any person desiring to be heard or to make any protest with reference to said application should on or before May 1, 1975, file with the Federal Power Commission, Washington, D.C. 20426, a petition to intervene or a protest in accordance with the requirements of the Commission's rules of practice and procedure (18 CFR 1.8 or 1.10) and the Regulations under the Natural Gas Act (18 CFR 157.10). All protests filed with the Commission will be considered by it in determining the appropriate action to be taken but will not serve to make the protestants parties to the proceeding. Any person wishing to become a party to a proceeding or to participate as a party in any hearing therein must file a petition to intervene in accordance with the Commission's rules.

Take further notice that, pursuant to the authority contained in and subject to the jurisdiction conferred upon the Federal Power Commission by sections 7 and 15 of the Natural Gas Act and the Commission's rules of practice and procedure, a hearing will be held without further notice before the Commission on this application if no petition to intervene is filed within the time required herein, if the Commission on its own review of the matter finds that a grant of the certificate is required by the public convenience and necessity. If a petition for leave to intervene is timely filed, or if the Commission on its own motion believes that a formal hearing is required, further notice of such hearing will be duly given.

Under the procedure herein provided for, unless otherwise advised, it will be unnecessary for Applicant to appear or be represented at the hearing.

KENNETH F. PLUMS,
Secretary.

[FR Doc.75-9978 Filed 4-16-75;8:45 am]

Take notice that on March 27, 1975, Colorado Interstate Gas Company, a division of Colorado Interstate Corporation (Applicant), P.O. Box 1087, Colorado Springs, Colorado 80944, filed in Docket No. CP75-279 an application pursuant to section 7(c) of the Natural Gas Act for a certificate of public convenience and necessity authorizing Applicant to construct the facilities necessary for and to add a 750-horsepower compressor unit to its Table Rock Compressor Station in Sweetwater County, Wyoming, all as more fully set forth in the application, which is on file with the Commission and open to public inspection.

Applicant states that the wellhead pressure decline in the Table Rock area necessitates a reduction in compressor suction pressure in order to maintain gathering system pressures consistent with current purchase obligations from year to year. The new compressor facilities will give the subject station a 1,330 horsepower capacity and a peak-day design capability for 1975-1976 of 50,000 Mcf, up from 36,300 Mcf.

Applicant further states that the total cost of the proposed facilities is expected to be \$311,763, to be financed from working funds on hand, funds from operations, short-term borrowings, or long-term financing.

Applicant anticipates that all of the proposed horsepower addition will eventually be required either by future declines in station suction pressure made necessary by decreases in Table Rock area wellhead pressures from current gas supplies or by the development of prospective new gas supplies in the Table Rock area. Exhibit H of the application indicates a decline in the annual gas balance from the Table Rock area from 14,081,000 Mcf for the 1974-1975 season to 11,548,000 Mcf for the 1977-1978 season and a corresponding peak day gas balance decline from 49,271 Mcf to 37,374 Mcf, with the proposed facilities in operation.

Any person desiring to be heard or to make any protest with reference to said application should on or before April 28, 1975, file with the Federal Power Commission, Washington, D.C. 20426, a petition to intervene or a protest in accordance with the requirements of the Commission's rules of practice and procedure (18 CFR 1.8 or 1.10) and the regulations under the Natural Gas Act (18 CFR 157.10). All protests filed with the Commission will be considered by it in determining the appropriate action to be taken but will not serve to make the protestants parties to the proceeding. Any person wishing to become a party to a proceeding or to participate as a party

in any hearing therein must file a petition to intervene in accordance with the Commission's rules.

Take further notice that, pursuant to the authority contained in and subject to the jurisdiction conferred upon the Federal Power Commission by sections 7 and 15 of the Natural Gas Act and the Commission's rules of practice and procedure, a hearing will be held without further notice before the Commission on this application if no petition to intervene is filed within the time required herein, if the Commission on its own review of the matter finds that a grant of the certificate is required by the public convenience and necessity. If a petition for leave to intervene is timely filed, or if the Commission on its own motion believes that a formal hearing is required, further notice of such hearing will be duly given.

Under the procedure herein provided for, unless otherwise advised, it will be unnecessary for Applicant to appear or be represented at the hearing.

KENNETH F. PLUMS,
Secretary.

[FR Doc.75-9979 Filed 4-16-75;8:45 am]

[Docket No. CP74-192]

**FLORIDA GAS TRANSMISSION CO.
Availability of Staff Draft Environmental
Impact Statement**

APRIL 22, 1975.

Notice is hereby given in the above docket, that on April 22, 1975, as required by § 2.82(b) of Commission Order No. 415-C, a draft environmental statement prepared by the staff of the Federal Power Commission was made available for comments. This statement deals with an application by Florida Gas Transmission Company in Docket No. CP74-192 for certificate of public convenience and necessity under section 7(c) and 7(b) of the Natural Gas Act authorizing construction and operation of 51.2 miles of 30-inch natural gas looping pipeline at nine locations in the states of Louisiana, Mississippi, and Florida; 11.3 miles of 4-inch and 5.9 miles of 20-inch natural gas lateral pipeline at three locations in the Orlando, Florida area; relocation of 8,100 hp of compression to two existing compressor stations in Marion and Orange Counties, Florida and 3,165 hp to a new compressor station in Palm Beach County, Florida; a 3,000 gallon propane storage tank in Osceola County, Florida, and all other miscellaneous and related appurtenant facilities necessary for the completion of its 30-inch natural gas pipeline looping system.

In this application Florida Gas also proposes to retire from natural gas service that part of its 24-inch mainline gas system extending from the discharge side of Compressor Station 8 near Zachary, Louisiana eastward to Fort

Lauderdale, Florida, and to convert this system to a petroleum products pipeline system. A total of 889 miles of pipeline would be retired from gas delivery service.

This statement has been circulated for comments to Federal, state and local agencies, has been placed in the public files of the Commission, and is available for public inspection both in the Commission's Office of Public Information, Room 1000, 825 North Capitol Street, NE., Washington, D.C. 20426 and at its Regional Office located at 730 Peachtree Bldg., Room 500, Atlanta, Georgia 30308. Copies are available in limited quantities from the Federal Power Commission's office of Public Information, Washington, D.C. 20426.

Any person who wishes to do so may file comments on the staff draft statement for the Commission's consideration. All comments must be filed on or before June 6, 1975.

KENNETH F. PLUMB,
Secretary.

[FR Doc.75-9980 Filed 4-16-75;8:45 am]

[Docket No. E-8416]

GULF STATES UTILITIES CO.

Application

APRIL 11, 1975.

Take notice that on April 10, 1975, Gulf States Utilities Company (Applicant) filed an Application seeking Supplemental Authority pursuant to section 204 of the Federal Power Act to increase the amount of short-term unsecured promissory notes that may be outstanding at any one time from \$125 million to \$160 million. All notes are to bear final maturity dates of not later than December 31, 1975. All other terms and conditions of the Commission's Order in the above-entitled docket are to remain in full force and effect. Applicant represents that this action is necessary as a result of its cancellation of proposed offerings of 3,000,000 shares of common stock and \$40 million of First Mortgage Bonds which had been scheduled for closing on April 10, 1975.

Applicant is incorporated under the laws of the State of Texas with its principal business office at Beaumont, Texas, and is engaged in the electric utility business in portions of Louisiana and Texas. Natural gas is purchased at wholesale and distributed at retail in the City of Baton Rouge, Louisiana and vicinity.

The net proceeds to be derived from the short-term borrowing will be used to provide working capital and funds for current corporate transactions.

Any person desiring to be heard or to make any protest with reference to said Application should on or before April 17, 1975, file with the Federal Power Commission, Washington, D.C. 20426, petitions or protests in accordance with the requirements of the Commission's rules of practice and procedure (18 CFR 1.8 and 1.10). All protests filed with the

Commission will be considered by it in determining the appropriate action to be taken but will not serve to make the protestants parties to the proceeding. Persons wishing to become parties to the proceeding or to participate as a party in any hearing therein must file petitions to intervene in accordance with the Commission rules. The Application is on file with the Commission and available for public inspection.

KENNETH F. PLUMB,
Secretary.

[FR Doc.75-9970 Filed 4-14-75;11:23 am]

[Docket No. RP70-6, et al.]

LAWRENCEBURG GAS TRANSMISSION CORP.

Report of Refunds

APRIL 10, 1975.

Take notice that on April 2, 1975, Lawrenceburg Gas Transmission Corporation (Lawrenceburg) filed a Report of Refunds, pursuant to Article VI of its Stipulation and Agreement at Docket No. RP70-6, et al., approved by Commission Order issued August 25, 1972. Lawrenceburg states that on March 31, 1975, it made gas refunds to its two jurisdictional wholesale customers, Lawrenceburg Gas Company, in the amount of \$36,144.02, and The Cincinnati Gas & Electric Company, in the amount of \$13,157.55, for a total refund of \$49,301.57, applicable to the period April 1, 1974 through January 31, 1975.

Lawrenceburg states that its refund constitutes (1) a flow through of a refund it received from Texas Gas Transmission Corporation (Texas Gas) dated January 29, 1975, in the amount of \$39,632.98, applicable to the period April 1, 1974 through November 30, 1974, and resulting from a settlement of Texas Gas' rate proceeding at Docket No. RP74-25; (2) the effect of a two (2) month delay, resulting from Lawrenceburg's inability to adjust its December 1, 1974 rates in a timely manner to track the reduction initiated by Texas Gas when it began billing its customers at the Docket No. RP74-25 settlement rates, beginning December 1, 1974. This latter portion of Lawrenceburg's refund is applicable to the period December 1, 1974 through January 31, 1975 and is in the amount of \$9,668.60.

Lawrenceburg states that a copy of its refund report has been mailed to its two jurisdictional customers and to the two interested State Commissions for the States of Ohio and Indiana.

Any person desiring to be heard or to protest said filing should file a petition to intervene (unless such intervention has previously been granted) or protest with the Federal Power Commission, 825 North Capitol Street, NE., Washington, D.C. 20426, in accordance with §§ 1.8 and 1.10 of the Commission's rules of practice and procedure (18 CFR 1.8, 1.10). All such petitions or protests should be filed on or before April 25, 1975. Protests will be con-

sidered by the Commission in determining the appropriate action to be taken, but will not serve to make protestants parties to the proceeding. Any person wishing to become a party must file a petition to intervene. Copies of this filing are on file with the Commission and are available for public inspection.

KENNETH F. PLUMB,
Secretary.

[FR Doc.75-9981 Filed 4-16-75;8:45 am]

[Docket No. E-9063]

MISSOURI POWER & LIGHT CO.

Accepting Revised Fuel Clause for Filing and Terminating Further Proceedings

APRIL 11, 1975.

On October 15, 1974, as completed on November 20, 1974, Missouri Power & Light Company (MP&L) tendered for filing a supplemental agreement for wholesale service to the City of Centralia, Missouri (Centralia).¹ The proposed Municipal Electric Service Wholesale Rate (MESWR) allegedly resulted in additional revenue of \$33,376 based upon sales for the 12 months ended August 31, 1975.

Upon review of the filing this Commission found that the fuel clause proposed therein provided for losses on a system basis rather than on a wholesale basis, and that implementation of the fuel clause as proposed may have resulted in excessive revenues. Therefore, by Order dated December 31, 1974, this Commission accepted MP&L's proposal for filing, suspended its effective date for one day until January 2, 1975 (subject to refund), and provided for the filing of a revised fuel adjustment clause. Upon receipt of a substitute fuel clause providing for losses on a wholesale basis or a fuel clause conforming to Order No. 517, the aforementioned Order provided that the substitute fuel clause would be made effective as of January 3, 1975. A hearing date and accompanying procedural dates were set in the event a substitute fuel clause was not tendered.

On January 23, 1975, (noticed on January 30, 1975) and again on March 10, 1975, and March 13, 1975, (noticed on March 27, 1975) MP&L submitted revisions and explanations of its fuel clause. The most current fuel adjustment clause provides for a monthly adjustment for any upward or downward change in the cost of fuel from a base cost of \$.00413/kwh, and is based on the actual fuel cost for the test year ended February 28, 1974, which was approximately 38 cents per million BTU as compared to a 36 cents per million base fuel cost used in the original filing.

Upon review of this last prepared fuel adjustment clause we find it to conform to § 35.14 of the Commission's regulations as amended by Order No. 517. We will therefore accept the revised fuel clause for filing and terminate further proceedings in this docket.

¹ See Attachment for designation.

The Commission finds: (1) Good cause exists to accept MP&L's revised fuel adjustment clause to become effective on January 3, 1975, pursuant to the Order dated December 31, 1974 in this docket.

(2) Good cause exists to lift the suspension as to MP&L's filing in this docket and to order interim refunds as required, and to terminate MP&L's refund obligation herein.

The Commission orders: (A) MP&L's revised fuel clause is accepted for filing to become effective as of January 2, 1975.

(B) The suspension of MP&L's filing is lifted and MP&L's refund obligation with respect to its filing shall be terminated.

(C) Within 30 days of the issuance of this order, MP&L shall pay to its customers the refund monies plus accumulated interest associated with the excess charges collected under the suspended fuel clause.

(D) The procedural dates scheduled in this docket are hereby terminated.

(E) The Secretary shall cause prompt publication of this order in the FEDERAL REGISTER.

By the Commission.

[SEAL] KENNETH F. PLUMB,
Secretary.

MISSOURI POWER & LIGHT COMPANY—DOCKET
NO. E-9063

Dated: (1) August 20, 1974, (2) Undated
Filed: December 2, 1974
Effective: January 3, 1975
Other Party: City of Centralia, Missouri

Designation	Description
(1) Rate schedule FPC No. 45 (supersedes rate schedule FPC No. 35).	Electric service agreement. Rate—MESWR.
(2) Supplement No. 1 to rate schedule FPC No. 45.	

[FR Doc.75-9982 Filed 4-16-75;8:45 am]

[Docket No. CP75-294]

NATIONAL FUEL GAS SUPPLY CORP.
Application

APRIL 10, 1975.

Take notice that on March 31, 1975, National Fuel Gas Supply Corporation (Applicant), 308 Seneca Street, Oil City, Pennsylvania 16301, filed in Docket No. CP75-284 an application pursuant to section 7(c) of the Natural Gas Act, as implemented by § 157.7(b) of the regulations thereunder (18 CFR 157.7(b)), for a certificate of public convenience and necessity authorizing the construction during a one-year period and operation of certain natural gas facilities, all as more fully set forth in the application which is on file with the Commission and open to public inspection.

The stated purpose of this budget-type application is to augment Applicant's ability to act with reasonable dispatch in contracting for and connecting to its pipeline system supplies of natural gas in

various producing areas generally co-extensive with said system.

Applicant states that the total cost of the proposed facilities will not exceed \$2,665,000, with no single project to exceed 25 percent of said total authorization. Applicant states that the proposed facilities will be financed out of funds on hand.

Any person desiring to be heard or to make any protest with reference to said application should on or before May 1, 1975, file with the Federal Power Commission, Washington, D.C. 20426, a petition to intervene or a protest in accordance with the requirements of the Commission's rules of practice and procedure (18 CFR 1.8 or 1.10) and the regulations under the Natural Gas Act (18 CFR 157.10). All protests filed with the Commission will be considered by it in determining the appropriate action to be taken but will not serve to make the protestants parties to the proceeding. Any person wishing to become a party to a proceeding or to participate as a party in any hearing therein must file a petition to intervene in accordance with the Commission's rules.

Take further notice that, pursuant to the authority contained in and subject to the jurisdiction conferred upon the Federal Power Commission by sections 7 and 15 of the Natural Gas Act and the Commission's rules of practice and procedure, a hearing will be held without further notice before the Commission on this application if no petition to intervene is filed within the time required herein, if the Commission on its own review of the matter finds that a grant of the certificate is required by the public convenience and necessity. If a petition for leave to intervene is timely filed, or if the Commission on its own motion believes that a formal hearing is required, further notice of such hearing will be duly given.

Under the procedure herein provided for, unless otherwise advised, it will be unnecessary for Applicant to appear or be represented at the hearing.

KENNETH F. PLUMB,
Secretary.

[FR Doc.75-9983 Filed 4-16-75;8:45 am]

[Docket No. CP75-280]

NORTHERN NATURAL GAS CO.

Application

APRIL 10, 1975.

Take notice that on March 31, 1975, Northern Natural Gas Company (Applicant), 2223 Dodge Street, Omaha, Nebraska, filed in Docket No. CP75-280 an application pursuant to section 7(c) of the Natural Gas Act for a certificate of public convenience and necessity authorizing the construction and operation of certain compressor facilities in Lea County, New Mexico, all as more fully set forth in the application which is on file with the Commission and open to public inspection.

Applicant proposes to construct and operate one 2,200 horsepower compressor addition to its existing Hobbs Gathering Compressor Station No. 2 and three 2,200

horsepower compressor units and 4.6 miles of 16-inch pipeline at the new Hobbs Gathering Compressor Station No. 5 all located in Lea County.

The application indicates that the total estimated cost of the proposed facilities is \$5,492,000, which cost will be financed from cash on hand.

Applicant states that the proposed facilities are required to offset the natural decline of reservoir pressures in certain subsystems of its Lea County gas gathering system and are necessary to enable Applicant to receive the gas volumes committed to it for use in meeting its firm delivery commitments.

Any person desiring to be heard or to make any protest with reference to said application should on or before May 1, 1975, file with the Federal Power Commission, Washington, D.C. 20426, a petition to intervene or a protest in accordance with the requirements of the Commission's rules of practice and procedure (18 CFR 1.8 or 1.10) and the Regulations under the Natural Gas Act (18 CFR 157.10). All protests filed with the Commission will be considered by it in determining the appropriate action to be taken but will not serve to make the protestants parties to the proceeding. Any person wishing to become a party to a proceeding or to participate as a party in any hearing therein must file a petition to intervene in accordance with the Commission's rules.

Take further notice that, pursuant to the authority contained in and subject to the jurisdiction conferred upon the Federal Power Commission by sections 7 and 15 of the Natural Gas Act and the Commission's rules of practice and procedure, a hearing will be held without further notice before the Commission on this application if no petition to intervene is filed within the time required herein, if the Commission on its own review of the matter finds that a grant of the certificate is required by the public convenience and necessity. If a petition for leave to intervene is timely filed, or if the Commission on its own motion believes that a formal hearing is required, further notice of such hearing will be duly given.

Under the procedure herein provided for, unless otherwise advised, it will be unnecessary for Applicant to appear or be represented at the hearing.

KENNETH F. PLUMB,
Secretary.

[FR Doc.75-9984 Filed 4-16-75;8:45 am]

[Docket No. E-8888]

OHIO ELECTRIC CO.

Further Extension of Procedural Dates

APRIL 10, 1975.

On April 8, 1975, Staff Counsel filed a motion to extend the procedural dates fixed by order issued September 16, 1974, as most recently modified by notice issued March 14, 1975, in the above-designated matter. The motion states that the parties have been notified and have no objection.

Upon consideration, notice is hereby given that the procedural dates in the above matter are modified as follows:

Service of staff's and intervenor's testimony, April 25, 1975.

Service of company rebuttal, May 2, 1975.

Hearing (unchanged), May 6, 1975 (10 a.m. e.d.t.).

KENNETH F. PLUMB,
Secretary.

[FR Doc.75-9985 Filed 4-16-75;8:45 am]

[Docket No. E-9354]

OKLAHOMA GAS AND ELECTRIC CO.

Superseding Contract Filing

APRIL 11, 1975.

Take notice that on April 3, 1975 Oklahoma Gas and Electric Company (OG&E) tendered for filing a new contract intended to supersede OG&E's Rate Schedule FPC No. 84. This contract is the contract between OG&E and the City of Perry, Oklahoma. The new contract provides for an increased term of years and a new delivery point. The proposed effective date is April 19, 1975.

Any person desiring to be heard or to protest said filing should file a petition to intervene or protest with the Federal Power Commission, 825 North Capitol Street NE., Washington, D.C. 20426, in accordance with §§ 1.8 and 1.10 of the Commission's rules of practice and procedure (18 CFR 1.8, 1.10). All such petitions or protests should be filed on or before April 28, 1975. Protests will be considered by the Commission in determining the appropriate action to be taken, but will not serve to make protestants parties to the proceeding. Any person wishing to become a party must file a petition to intervene. Copies of this filing are on file with the Commission and are available for public inspection.

KENNETH F. PLUMB,
Secretary.

[FR Doc.75-9986 Filed 4-16-75;8:45 am]

[Docket No. E-9369]

PACIFIC POWER AND LIGHT CO.

Tariff Change

APRIL 10, 1975.

Take notice that Pacific Power and Light Company (Pacific), on March 24, 1975, tendered for filing a tariff change in its FPC Electric Tariff, Original Volume No. 1, which according to Pacific adds three additional purchasers to Original Sheet No. 3 of said tariff, Index of Purchasers Executing Service Agreements, who have executed the Form of Service Agreement as provided in Original Sheet No. 2 of said tariff since the acceptance of filing of said tariff, according to Pacific, by the Federal Power Commission. Pacific states that the subject index includes the following additional three utilities:

Purchaser	Date of execution	Date of initial service
City of Glendale, Calif.	Dec. 21, 1973	Dec. 21, 1973
Pacific Gas & Electric Co.	Nov. 30, 1973	Dec. 6, 1973
San Diego Gas & Electric Co.	Mar. 11, 1974	Mar. 25, 1974

Pacific states that no change in rates is made by this filing.

Any person desiring to be heard or to protest said application should file a petition to intervene or protest with the Federal Power Commission, 825 North Capitol Street NE., Washington, D.C. 20426, in accordance with §§ 1.8 and 1.10 of the Commission's rules of practice and procedure (18 CFR 1.8, 1.10). All such petitions or protests should be filed on or before April 22, 1975. Protests will be considered by the Commission in determining the appropriate action to be taken, but will not serve to make protestants parties to the proceeding. Any person wishing to become a party must file a petition to intervene. Copies of this application are on file with the Commission and are available for public inspection.

KENNETH F. PLUMB,
Secretary.

[FR Doc.75-9987 Filed 4-16-75;8:45 am]

[Docket No. E-9368]

PACIFIC POWER AND LIGHT CO.

Tariff Change

APRIL 10, 1975.

Take notice that Pacific Power & Light Company (Pacific) on March 24, 1975, tendered for filing, in accordance with Section 35.13 of the Commission's Regulations, a tariff change providing for provisional delivery of surplus energy to the City of Pasadena, City of Burbank, City of Glendale, Pacific Gas and Electric Company, Southern California Edison Company and San Diego Gas & Electric Company. Pacific states that the letter agreements with those utilities provide that provisional energy sales made under Pacific's FPC Electric Tariff, Original Volume No. 1 may be requested to be returned under certain conditions.

Pacific states that a copy of these agreements has been provided to the Public Utilities Commissioner of the State of California.

Pacific states that there is no change in Pacific's tariff rates by this filing and that no estimate of quantities of energy to be delivered or revenues to be derived therefrom can be made. Pacific states that no provisional sales made in 1973 and 1974 were requested to be returned.

Pacific requests waiver of the Commission's notice requirements to permit the rate schedule to become effective on December 18, 1973, for the City of Pasadena and City of Burbank, December 19, 1973, for the City of Glendale, December 21, 1973, for Pacific Gas and Electric Company, January 17, 1974, for South-

ern California Edison Company, and March 8, 1974, for San Diego Gas & Electric Company, which it claims are the dates of commencement of service to each utility.

Any person desiring to be heard or to protest said application should file a petition to intervene or protest with the Federal Power Commission, 825 North Capitol Street NE., Washington, D.C. 20426, in accordance with §§ 1.8 and 1.10 of the Commission's rules of practice and procedure (18 CFR 1.8, 1.10). All such petitions or protests should be filed on or before April 22, 1975. Protests will be considered by the Commission in determining the appropriate action to be taken, but will not serve to make protestants parties to the proceeding. Any person wishing to become a party must file a petition to intervene. Copies of this application are on file with the Commission and are available for public inspection.

KENNETH F. PLUMB,
Secretary.

[FR Doc.75-9988 Filed 4-16-75;8:45 am]

[Docket No. RP71-119; Docket No. RP74-31-25]

PANHANDLE EASTERN PIPE LINE CO.

Clarifying Order Granting Conditional Temporary Extraordinary Relief

APRIL 11, 1975.

On March 4, 1975, Panhandle Eastern Pipe Line Company (Panhandle) filed a Petition for Clarification of the Commission's Order Granting Conditional Temporary Extraordinary Relief to Okie Pipe Line Company (Okie) issued on February 3, 1975, in the above-styled proceeding. The aforementioned order also set the matter for formal hearing and established procedures. The clarification sought by Panhandle relates to the conditional temporary relief afforded to Okie pending final determination.

The ambiguity alleged to exist in the order arises over whether or not Okie is to be afforded the full requirements of its standby natural gas engines in the interim period, pendente lite, or only such requirements when it is in fact experiencing a failure of its primary electric pumps due to a power interruption. Panhandle further asserts that it might be maintained "from the Commission's ordering paragraph alone that Panhandle is delivering Priority 2 volumes to Okie each month." (Emphasis added).

The basic determination on Okie's request was set forth in the body of our order where we stated (Mimeo, p. 2):

Okie should not be afforded the relief it seeks, pendente lite, for an exemption from curtailment to meet the full requirements of its standby natural gas powered engine that is used in the event of failure of primary electric pumps. The volumes requested for this purpose should be made available to Okie by Panhandle prior to a final determination

of this proceeding only in the event that Okie loses the operation of its electric pumps due to a power interruption and this relief should be afforded only during the period of such power interruption.

Thereafter, we granted relief to effectuate that determination (mimeo, p. 3):

(A) The petition for extraordinary relief filed by Okie Pipe Line Company is granted to the extent indicated above, on a temporary basis, pending notice and hearing.

(B) The grant of temporary relief in ordering paragraph (A) is conditioned as follows:

(2) Okie Pipe Line Company's usage of the gas granted hereunder for space heating shall be considered as Category 1 and shall be subject to curtailment along with other Category 1 requirements. All other usage shall be considered as being in Category 2.

In summary, relief for the space heating (residential) requirements afforded on a temporary basis under the provisions of the aforementioned order are to be regarded as being within Category No. 1. All other uses were temporarily placed in Category No. 2 during periods of electric power outages regardless of the priority classification that those uses were placed in by Panhandle prior to issuance of our order of February 3, 1975.

The Commission orders: (A) To the extent not therein stated, ordering paragraphs (A) and (B) (2) of our order of February 3, 1975, is hereby clarified to meet the intent of that order as expressed in the body of this order.

(B) In all other respects, our order of February 3, 1975, remains in full force and effect.

By the Commission.

[SEAL] KENNETH F. PLUMB,
Secretary.

[FR Doc.75-9989 Filed 4-16-75;8:45 am]

[Docket No. CI75-571]

PHILLIPS PETROLEUM CO.

Application

APRIL 10, 1975.

Take notice that on March 24, 1975, Phillips Petroleum Company (Applicant), Bartlesville, Oklahoma 74004, filed in Docket No. CI75-571 an application pursuant to Section 7(c) of the Natural Gas Act for a certificate of public convenience and necessity authorizing the sale for resale and delivery of natural gas in interstate commerce to Southern Natural Gas Company (Southern) from its interest in State Lease No. 1999, Well No. 22, Breton Sound Block 28, Plaquemines Parish, Louisiana, all as more fully set forth in the application in this proceeding.

Applicant states it commenced the sale of natural gas on March 11, 1975, within the contemplation of § 157.29 of the regulations under the Natural Gas Act (18 C.F.R. 157.29) and proposes to continue said sale for one year from the end of the emergency period within the contempla-

tion of § 2.70 of the Commission's general policy and interpretations (18 C.F.R. 2.70). Applicant proposes to sell approximately 4,500 Mcf of natural gas per month to Southern at 63.63 cents per Mcf at 14.73 psia, including 6.86 cents per Mcf for taxes, 5.27 cents per Mcf upward Btu adjustment, and 0.5 cent per Mcf for gathering. Applicant states that Well No. 22 is an exploratory well producing into existing field facilities which are not suited for long-term production and the well has commenced producing, salt water raising some question as to its productive life.

Any person desiring to be heard or to make any protest with reference to said application should on or before April 30, 1975, file with the Federal Power Commission, Washington, D.C. 20426, a petition to intervene or a protest in accordance with the requirements of the Commission's rules of practice and procedure (18 CFR 1.8 or 1.10). All protests filed with the Commission will be considered by it in determining the appropriate action to be taken but will not serve to make the protestants parties to the proceeding. Any person wishing to become a party to a proceeding or to participate as a party in any hearing therein must file a petition to intervene in accordance with the Commission's rules.

Take further notice that, pursuant to the authority contained in and subject to the jurisdiction conferred upon the Federal Power Commission by sections 7 and 15 of the Natural Gas Act and the Commission's rules of practice and procedure, a hearing will be held without further notice before the Commission on this application if no petition to intervene is filed within the time required herein, if the Commission on its own review of the matter finds that a grant of the certificate is required by the public convenience and necessity. If a petition for leave to intervene is timely filed, or if the Commission on its own motion believes that a formal hearing is required, further notice of such hearing will be duly given.

Under the procedure herein provided for, unless otherwise advised, it will be unnecessary for Applicant to appear or be represented at the hearing.

KENNETH F. PLUMB,
Secretary.

[FR Doc.75-9990 Filed 4-16-75;8:45 am]

[Docket No. E-9348]

PUBLIC SERVICE CO. OF NEW HAMPSHIRE

Filing of Agreement

APRIL 10, 1975.

Take notice that Public Service Company of New Hampshire (PSNH) on March 31, 1975, tendered for filing as an initial rate schedule a Transmission Contract with Central Maine Power Company (the Buyer).

Under the Contract, PSNH will transmit through its system entitlements of power which the Buyer is purchasing from (1) New England Power Company, (2) Vermont Electric Power Company,

Inc., and (3) The Connecticut Light and Power Company and Western Massachusetts Electric Company.

PSNH requests that the Commission waive the normal 30-day notice requirement and permit the rate schedule to be effective as of March 1, 1975.

According to PSNH, a copy of the filing was served upon Central Maine Power Company.

Any person desiring to be heard or to protest said application should file a petition to intervene or protest with the Federal Power Commission, 825 North Capitol Street NE., Washington, D.C. 20426, in accordance with §§ 1.8 and 1.10 of the Commission's rules of practice and procedure (18 CFR 1.8, 1.10). All such petitions or protests should be filed on or before April 25, 1975. Protests will be considered by the Commission in determining the appropriate action to be taken, but will not serve to make protestants parties to the proceeding. Any person wishing to become a party must file a petition to intervene. Copies of this application are on file with the Commission and are available for public inspection.

KENNETH F. PLUMB,
Secretary.

[FR Doc.75-9991 Filed 4-16-75;8:45 am]

[Docket No. E-9357]

PUBLIC SERVICE ELECTRIC AND GAS CO.

New Purchase Agreement and Filing of Certificate of Concurrence

APRIL 11, 1975.

Take notice that Public Service Electric and Gas Company (PS), on April 4, 1975, tendered for filing a proposed new purchase Agreement dated December 30, 1974 between PS and the Luzerne Electric Division of UGI Corporation (UGI), for the sale of a portion of the capacity and energy of one of its generating units to UGI. PS states that the proposed Agreement would provide PS with approximately \$2,473,280 in revenue during the 12 month period ending May 31, 1976.

PS states that the reason for this filing is to provide UGI with 25 megawatts of firm generating capacity, during the first 12 month period of the Agreement, and 40 megawatts of capacity during the second 12 month period, terminating on May 31, 1977.

PS requests that the instant filing be permitted to become effective on June 1, 1975.

PS included with its filing a Certificate of Concurrence executed by UGI Corporation on December 30, 1974 assenting to and concurring with the above-mentioned Agreement.

PS states that a copy of this filing has been sent to UGI and to the Board of Public Utility Commissioners of the State of New Jersey.

Any person desiring to be heard or to protest said application shall file a petition to intervene or protest with the Federal Power Commission, 825 North Capitol Street NE., Washington, D.C. 20426,

in accordance with §§ 1.8 and 1.10 of the Commission's rules of practice and procedure (18 CFR 1.8, 1.10). All such petitions or protests should be filed on or before April 28, 1975. Protests will be considered by the Commission in determining the appropriate action to be taken, but will not serve to make protestants parties to the proceeding. Any person wishing to become a party must file a petition to intervene. Copies of this application are on file with the Commission and are available for public inspection.

KENNETH F. PLUMB,
Secretary.

[FR Doc. 75-9992 Filed 4-16-75; 8:45 am]

[Docket No. E-9230]

SOUTHERN CALIFORNIA EDISON CO.

Accepting Proposed Agreement for Filing, Granting Intervention, and Terminating Further Proceedings

APRIL 11, 1975.

On January 27, 1975, Southern California Edison Company (Edison) tendered for filing a September 30, 1969 Interim Arrangement for Interconnected Operations (Navajo Interconnection Principles), and a September 13, 1974 Amendment No. 1 to the Navajo Interconnection Principles between the United States of America, Arizona Public Service Company, Department of Water and Power of the City of Los Angeles, Nevada Power Company, Salt River Project Agricultural Improvement and Power District, Tucson Gas & Electric Company and Edison. Included in the filing was a request for a waiver of the notice requirements of the Commission's rules and regulations to allow an effective date of June 1, 1973.

Concurrences with this filing were received from the Nevada Power Company (January 30, 1975—noticed February 6, 1975), the Arizona Public Service Company (January 30, 1975—noticed February 28, 1975), and the Tucson Gas & Electric Company (March 10, 1975—noticed March 18, 1975), thus rendering Edison's filing complete as of March 10, 1975.

On February 13, 1975 the cities of Anaheim, Riverside, and Banning, California (Cities) submitted for filing a Petition to Intervene. Cities stated that they are presently full requirements customers of Edison and are now in the process of negotiating rates, terms, and conditions for partial requirements service. Furthermore, Cities stated that they have a suit filed against the United States Department of the Interior in an effort to obtain, under the preference laws of the United States, a share of the power Edison is currently purchasing from the Interior Department's share of the Navajo Project generation. Depending upon the outcome of that suit and the negotiations noted above, Cities alleges that it may be necessary and appropriate for them to be included as participants in the proposed agreements.

Cities therefore propose a delay of further proceedings in this case for a period of 60 days in order to give Cities an opportunity to analyze the instant filing in detail.

Edison filed an Answer to Cities' petition on February 28, 1975. Edison stated that the provisions of the agreement contemplate that the parties have generating resources other than entitlements to or layoffs from the Navajo Project, and argued that the Cities' interests, as utilities which may have contingent interests in the Navajo Project power generation or may acquire other generating resources, are so remote that no public interest can be identified which would justify their participation in the instant proceedings.

Upon review it appears that, although participation by Cities in these proceedings may be in the public interest and good cause exists for permitting such intervention, Cities have not raised an objection which would justify further delay or investigation of these proceedings. Furthermore, we find that good cause has been shown to accept the instant proposal for filing and to permit the requested waiver and allow the filing to become effective June 1, 1973. We would note, however, that should Cities prevail in the abovementioned suit, our action herein is without prejudice to Cities' right to resubmit evidence of their interest in the proposed agreement and their objections thereto. We would, at that time, re-evaluate Cities' participation as a party to this agreement and initiate any further investigations deemed necessary.

The Commission finds: (1) Participation by Cities in these proceedings may be in the public interest and good cause exists for permitting such intervention.

(2) Good cause exists to deny Cities' request for a delay.

(3) Good cause exists to accept Edison's proposal for filing.

(4) Good cause exists to grant Edison's request for waiver of the notice requirements of the Commission's regulations.

The Commission orders: (A) The above named petitioner is hereby permitted to intervene in this proceeding, subject to the rules and regulations of the Commission; *Provided, however,* That the participation of such intervenor shall be limited to matters affecting rights and interests specifically set forth in the petition to intervene; *Provided, however,* That the admission of such intervenor shall not be construed as recognition by the Commission that it might be aggrieved because of any order or orders issued by the Commission in this proceeding.

(B) The proposal filed by Edison in this docket on January 27, 1975 is hereby accepted for filing and further proceedings terminated.

(C) Edison's requested waiver of the notice requirements of the Commission's Regulations is hereby granted, permitting the filing accepted herein to become effective June 1, 1973.

(D) The Secretary shall cause prompt publication of this order in the FEDERAL REGISTER.

By the Commission,

[SEAL] KENNETH F. PLUMB,
Secretary.

[FR Doc. 75-9993 Filed 4-16-75; 8:45 am]

[Docket No. CI75-553]

TEXACO INC.

Date for Hearing

APRIL 11, 1975.

On March 17, 1975, Texaco Inc. (Applicant), P.O. Box 430, Bellaire, Texas 77401, filed in Docket No. CI75-553 an application pursuant to sections 4 and 7 of the Natural Gas Act,¹ and § 2.75² of the Commission's General Policy and Interpretations, the Optional Procedure for Certifying New Producer Sales of Natural Gas set forth in Order No. 455,³ for a certificate of public convenience and necessity authorizing the sale and delivery of natural gas in interstate commerce by applicant to United Gas Pipe Line Company (United) from the High Island Block 119 Field, offshore Texas.

The sale from this field is proposed to be made pursuant to a contract dated March 13, 1975, between applicant and United for a term of 10 years at a price of \$1.18 per Mcf with annual 2¢ escalations. The area rate clause contained in the contract will be waived if certification is granted.

Notice of Texaco's application was issued April 1, 1975, and published in the FEDERAL REGISTER on April —, 1975 (40 FR —). The closing date for filing protests or petitions to intervene is April 18, 1975.

After analysis of the application, contract, and all material and data submitted or incorporated by reference therewith, the Commission has deemed it necessary that this matter be set for hearing to determine all issues raised by the filing of the application.

The Commission orders: (A) Pursuant to the authority of the Natural Gas Act, particularly sections 4, 5, 7, 14 and 16 thereof, the Commission's rules of practice and procedure and the regulations under the Natural Gas Act (18 C.F.R., Chapter I) Docket No. CI75-553 is set for the purpose of hearing and disposition.

(B) A public hearing on the issues presented by the application herein shall be held commencing on May 21, 1975, 10 a.m. (e.d.t.) in a hearing room of the Federal Power Commission, 825 North Capitol Street NE., Washington, D.C. 20426.

¹ 15 U.S.C. section 717, *et seq.*

² 18 CFR § 2.75.

³ Statement Of Policy Relating To Optional Procedure For Certifying New Producer Sales of Natural Gas, Docket No. R-441, 48 F.P.C. 218 (issued August 3, 1972).

(C) A Presiding Law Judge to be designated by the Chief Law Judge for that purpose (See Delegation of Authority, 18 CFR 3.5(d)) shall preside at the hearing in this proceeding pursuant to the Commission's rules of practice and procedure.

(D) Texaco and any party permitted to intervene herein supporting the application shall file their direct testimony and evidence on or before April 25, 1975. All testimony and evidence shall be served upon the Presiding Judge, the Commission Staff, and all parties to this proceeding.

(E) The Commission Staff and any party permitted to intervene herein opposing the application, shall file their direct testimony and evidence, if any, on a date to be fixed by further order of the Commission. All testimony and evidence shall be served upon the Presiding Judge and all other parties to this proceeding.

(F) All rebuttal testimony and evidence, if any, shall be served on a date to be fixed by further order of the Commission. All parties submitting rebuttal testimony and evidence shall serve such testimony upon the Presiding Judge, the Commission Staff and all other parties to this proceeding.

(G) The Gas Purchase contract between Texaco, Inc. and United dated March 13, 1975, is accepted for filing as of the date of initial delivery and designated as Texaco's F.P.C. Gas Rate Schedule No. 514.

By the Commission.

[SEAL] KENNETH F. PLUMB,
Secretary.

[FR Doc.75-9094 Filed 4-16-75;8:45 am]

[Docket No. CP75-282]

**TEXAS EASTERN TRANSMISSION CORP.
AND TRANSCONTINENTAL GAS PIPE
LINE CORP.**

Application

APRIL 10, 1975.

Take notice that on March 31, 1975, Texas Eastern Transmission Corporation (Texas Eastern), P.O. Box 2521, Houston, Texas 77001, and Transcontinental Gas Pipe Line Corporation (Transco), P.O. Box 1396, Houston, Texas 77001, filed an application pursuant to section 7(c) of the Natural Gas Act for a certificate of public convenience and necessity authorizing the construction and operation of facilities for the exchange of natural gas, all as more fully set forth in the application which is on file with the Commission and open to public inspection.

Applicants propose to construct an interconnection between their systems in Nueces County, Texas, in order to implement an exchange agreement between the parties dated February 6, 1975, whereby Texas Eastern will cause to be delivered to Transco for Texas Eastern's account at the tailgate of the Luby Gasoline Plant in Nueces County, up to 8,000 Mcf of natural gas per day which Texas Eastern has committed to it by Texaco Inc. Transco will redeliver an

equal volume of natural gas per day to Texas Eastern at the proposed Nueces County delivery point or at any mutually agreeable existing authorized interconnection between the two companies. Applicants state that their February 6, 1975, agreement amends an existing exchange agreement between Texas Eastern and Transco which provides for deliveries of gas previously authorized in Docket Nos. G-4610, CP73-60 and CP74-224 and now a part of Texas Eastern's FPC Gas Tariff, Original Volumes No. 2, Rate Schedule X-14 and Transco's FPC Gas Tariff, Original Volume No. 2, Rate Schedule X-4. Applicants state that the additional facilities proposed are estimated to cost \$49,050.

Any person desiring to be heard or to make any protest with reference to said application should on or before May 1, 1975, file with the Federal Power Commission, Washington, D.C. 20426, a petition to intervene or a protest in accordance with the requirements of the Commission's rules of practice and procedure (18 CFR 1.8 or 1.10) and the Regulations under the Natural Gas Act (18 CFR 157.10). All protests filed with the Commission will be considered by it in determining the appropriate action to be taken but will not serve to make the protestants parties to the proceeding. Any person wishing to become a party to a proceeding or to participate as a party in any hearing therein must file a petition to intervene in accordance with the Commission's rules.

Take further notice that, pursuant to the authority contained in and subject to the jurisdiction conferred upon the Federal Power Commission by sections 7 and 15 of the Natural Gas Act and the Commission's rules of practice and procedure, a hearing will be held without further notice before the Commission on this application if no petition to intervene is filed within the time required herein, if the Commission on its own review of the matter finds that a grant of the certificate is required by the public convenience and necessity. If a petition for leave to intervene is timely filed, or if the Commission on its own motion believes that a formal hearing is required, further notice of such hearing will be duly given.

Under the procedure herein provided for, unless otherwise advised, it will be unnecessary for Applicants to appear or be represented at the hearing.

KENNETH F. PLUMB,
Secretary.

[FR Doc.75-9095 Filed 4-16-75;8:45 am]

[Docket No. CP75-275; Docket No. CP75-276]

**TEXAS GAS TRANSMISSION CORP. AND
TENNESSEE GAS PIPELINE CO.**

Applications

APRIL 10, 1975.

Take notice that on March 21, 1975, Texas Gas Transmission Corporation (Texas Gas), 3800 Frederica Street, Owensboro, Kentucky 42301, filed in Docket No. CP75-275, and that on March 24, 1975, Tennessee Gas Pipeline

Company, a Division of Tenneco Inc. (Tennessee), P.O. Box 2511, Houston, Texas 77001, filed in Docket No. CP75-276 applications pursuant to section 7(c) of the Natural Gas Act for certificates of public convenience and necessity authorizing the transportation of natural gas in interstate commerce in order to enable Public Service Electric and Gas Company (Public Service) a New Jersey gas distributor, to obtain for its system volumes of natural gas to be purchased by Public Service from its producing affiliate, Energy Development Corporation (EDC), from the North Parc Perdue Field, Vermilion and Lafayette Parishes, Louisiana, all as more fully set forth in the applications, which are on file with the Commission and open to public inspection.

Texas Gas seeks authority to transport on a best-efforts basis, up to 15,000 Mcf per day from EDC's company-owned production and EDC's working interest owners' production located in the subject field to the tailgate of the Shell Oil Company Gasoline Plant near Chalkley, Cameron Parish, Louisiana, for further transportation by Tennessee. Tennessee proposes to then transport the subject gas, whenever in its sole opinion its operating conditions permit, to Transcontinental Gas Pipe Line Corporation (Transco) for the account of Public Service at Tennessee's existing Rivervale Sales Meter Station in Bergen County, New Jersey.

EDC is to pay Texas Gas for the subject service 2.05 cents per Mcf for gas transported. Public Service will pay Tennessee 38.28 cents per Mcf for the actual volumes transported and delivered.

Both pipeline companies state that no new or additional facilities are required to render the transportation service proposed herein.

It is stated that Public Service needs the subject gas because of its potential inability to continue to meet existing customer requirements and that Public Service has initiated a variety of supplemental gas supply programs including the development of production by its affiliate EDC.

EDC intends to purchase the share of gas owned in the subject field by its partner in the drilling interests in said field in accordance with the terms and conditions of EDC's joint venture exploration agreement with said partner. EDC's interest in the field ranges from 15 to 25 percent of the interest in each well, it is said. It is further said that EDC has a call on 30 to 50 percent of gas production. EDC will purchase gas from its partner at the national rate prescribed in § 2.56a of the Commission's General Policy and Interpretations and sell 100 percent of the production it controls in the field to Public Service at the same rate. There is further indication that all the production from the North Parc Perdue Field is being consumed in intrastate commerce under long term contracts but that the gas that EDC controls is being sold intrastate on a short-term basis. According to Public Service, had EDC not committed substantial risk capital to its

exploration program, all the developed gas reserves in the subject field would have been dedicated to the intrastate market.

EDC has further agreed to sell to Tennessee a quantity of gas equal to 9 percent of that quantity which Tennessee will transport in order to compensate for compression fuel usage and line loss attributed to the proposed transportation service.

The gas purchase contract between EDC and Public Service states that should the volume of gas made available from EDC's interest in the subject field exceed the quantity of gas capable of being transported to Public Service's market, EDC has the right to dispose separately of the excess gas not available for transportation.

The contract between EDC and Texas Gas provides that Texas Gas will attempt to accommodate volumes in excess of EDC's contract demand but that Texas Gas may vent such excess when such excess would prevent Texas Gas from transporting its own gas or gas of others or jeopardize the safety of Texas Gas' operations and/or its ability to meet its contract commitments to others.

Any person desiring to be heard or to make any protest with reference to said applications should on or before April 28, 1975, file with the Federal Power Commission, Washington, D.C. 20426, a petition to intervene or a protest in accordance with the requirements of the Commission's rules of practice and procedure (18 CFR 1.8 or 1.10) and the Regulations under the Natural Gas Act (18 CFR 157.10). All protests filed with the Commission will be considered by it in determining the appropriate action to be taken but will not serve to make the protestants parties to the proceeding. Any person wishing to become a party to a proceeding or to participate as a party in any hearing therein must file a petition to intervene in accordance with the Commission's rules.

Take further notice that, pursuant to the authority contained in and subject to the jurisdiction conferred upon the Federal Power Commission by sections 7 and 15 of the Natural Gas Act and the Commission's rules of practice and procedure, hearings will be held without further notice before the Commission on these applications if no petition to intervene is filed within the time required herein, if the Commission on its own review of the matter finds that a grant of the certificates is required by the public convenience and necessity. If a petition for leave to intervene is timely filed, or if the Commission on its own motion believes that formal hearings are required, further notice of such hearings will be duly given.

Under the procedure herein provided for, unless otherwise advised, it will be unnecessary for Applicants to appear or be represented at the hearings.

KENNETH F. PLUMS,
Secretary.

[FR Doc.75-9996 Filed 4-16-75;8:45 am]

[Docket No. CP75-277]

TRANSWESTERN PIPELINE CO.

Application

APRIL 10, 1975.

Take notice that on March 25, 1975, Transwestern Pipeline Company (Applicant), P.O. Box 2521, Houston, Texas 77001, filed in Docket No. CP75-277 an application pursuant to section 7(c) of the Natural Gas Act for a certificate of public convenience and necessity authorizing the continued operation of facilities which are being used for the direct sale of natural gas to right of way grantors of Applicant in Deaf Smith, Carson, Randall, Potter, Castro, Gray, Pecos, Hansford, Sherman, Hutchinson, Roberts, Lipscomb and Ochiltree Counties, Texas, and Roosevelt, Chaves, and Eddy Counties, New Mexico, and Cimmaron County, Oklahoma, all as more fully set forth in the application which is on file with the Commission and open to public inspection.

Applicant states that it delivers natural gas directly from its high pressure transmission lines to 50 customers primarily for agricultural and irrigation pumping purposes on its 24-inch Pampa to Roswell lateral, its West Texas lateral, its Cactus lateral and its Canadian lateral. The total cost of constructing the subject facilities was \$38,178, according to Applicant. Applicant further states that it is only now applying for a certificate because of the Commission's order issued in Docket No. CP75-17 on October 17, 1974, which found that similar facilities which Applicant was proposing to construct are subject to the Commission's jurisdiction.

Applicant explains that current contracts with the customers provide for a rate of 31.0 cents per Mcf at 14.73 psia and for a 200 Mcf per day delivery obligation, but that Applicant intends to renegotiate the contracts so that each of these customers would pay a rate equal to the rate in effect under Applicant's FPC Gas Rate Schedule RW-1, which the Commission has approved for the identical type of right-of-way sales where the tap and customer is located in a local distributor's service area and gas is resold to the right-of-way customer by such distributor. Applicant states that in 1974 it sold 311,479 Mcf of gas to these customers and that it is of the opinion that future requirements of these customers will remain in the same order of magnitude.

Any person desiring to be heard or to make any protest with reference to said application should on or before May 2, 1975, file with the Federal Power Commission, Washington, D.C. 20426, a petition to intervene or a protest in accordance with the requirements of the Commission's rules of practice and procedure (18 CFR 1.8 or 1.10) and the Regulations under the Natural Gas Act (18 CFR 157.10). All protests filed with the Commission will be considered by it in determining the appropriate action to be taken but will not serve to make the protestants parties to the proceeding.

Any person wishing to become a party to a proceeding or to participate as a party in any hearing therein must file a petition to intervene in accordance with the Commission's rules.

Take further notice that, pursuant to the authority contained in and subject to the jurisdiction conferred upon the Federal Power Commission by sections 7 and 15 of the Natural Gas Act and the Commission's rules of practice and procedure, a hearing will be held without further notice before the Commission on this application if no petition to intervene is filed within the time required herein, if the Commission on its own review of the matter finds that a grant of the certificate is required by the public convenience and necessity. If a petition for leave to intervene is timely filed, or if the Commission on its own motion believes that a formal hearing is required, further notice of such hearing will be duly given.

Under the procedure herein provided for, unless otherwise advised, it will be unnecessary for Applicant to appear or be represented at the hearing.

KENNETH F. PLUMS,
Secretary.

[FR Doc.75-9997 Filed 4-16-75;8:45 am]

[Docket No. E-9351]

**DEPARTMENT OF THE INTERIOR AND
SOUTHEASTERN POWER ADMINISTRATION**

**Request for Approval of Temporary Rates
and Charges**

APRIL 11, 1975.

Notice is hereby given that on March 28, 1975, the Secretary of the Interior, acting on behalf of the Southeastern Power Administration (SEPA) and pursuant to section 5 of the Flood Control Act of 1944 (58 Stat. 887, 890), filed with the Federal Power Commission a request for confirmation and approval of proposed Temporary Wholesale Power Rate Schedule WP-JB-1 for the sale by SEPA of power produced at the West Point and Jones Bluff Projects, in Georgia and Alabama. The proposed rate schedule applies to capacity and accompanying energy generated during an interim period at the West Point and Jones Bluff projects prior to the date of full commercial operation of all units at both projects. SEPA requests Commission approval thereof for the period from April 1, 1975, through June 30, 1975.

Under the proposed rates, capacity made available will be sold at \$0.90 per kilowatt per calendar month. The number of kilowatts for any month to which the capacity charge applies is the average of the kilowatts declared available on a daily basis of SEPA for scheduling by the customer. Energy generated from water released through the turbines at the project with the machine capability available and usable, including test and minimum release energy, will be sold at 3.25 mills per kilowatt-hour.

The application and the proposed rate schedule are on file with the Commission

and available for public inspection. Any person desiring to make comments or suggestions upon this application may write to the Federal Power Commission, 825 North Capitol Street NE., Washington, D.C. 20426. All comments or suggestions should be filed in writing on or before April 25, 1975.

KENNETH F. PLUMB,
Secretary.

[FR Doc.75-9998 Filed 4-16-75;8:45 am]

[Dockets Nos. RP73-94, G-19618, CP63-270, CP65-123, RP73-94, CP63-247, CP65-93, CP75-53]

**VALLEY GAS TRANSMISSION, INC., AND
TENNESSEE GAS PIPELINE CO.**

Applications

APRIL 11, 1975.

Take notice that on March 21, 1975, Valley Gas Transmission, Inc. (Valley), P.O. Box 1188, Houston, Texas 77001, and on March 24, 1975, Tennessee Gas Pipeline Company, a Division of Tenneco, Inc. (Tennessee), P.O. Box 2511, Houston, Texas 77001, filed in various dockets, as outlined below, submittals pursuant to section 7 of the Natural Gas Act to implement the 1975 Stipulation and Agreement of Settlement (1975 Agreement) entered into by Valley, Tennessee, National Fuel Gas Supply Corporation (NFG) and HNG Fossil Fuels Company (Fossil Fuels) in an effort to settle a controversy among certain of those parties, the subject of the proceeding in Docket No. RP73-94,¹ all as more fully set forth in the applications which are on file with the Commission and open to public inspection.

Tennessee is authorized by Commission orders in Docket Nos. CP63-247 (29 FPC 1000) and CP75-93 (33 FPC 617) to transport for NFG up to 34,810 Mcf of gas per day² which gas is purchased by NFG from Valley pursuant to Commission orders in Docket Nos. CP63-270 (30 FPC 349) and CP65-123 (33 FPC 617). On November 16, 1973, Valley filed in Docket No. RP73-94 a proposed rate increase for sales to its pipeline customers which proposal gave rise to a controversy with respect to the possibility of the transfer of a portion of a segregated gas supply from one customer of Valley (HFG) to another (Tennessee).³ In order to provide for a continued supply of gas to NFG and to settle the controversy among Valley, NFG and Tennessee, those parties along with Fossil Fuels entered into a settlement agreement dated July 8, 1974 (1974 Agreement). Subsequently thereto, the parties entered into a new settlement agreement, the 1975 Agreement, dated March 6, 1975.

¹ By order issued December 27, 1974, the Commission consolidated the proceedings in the several subject dockets in Docket No. RP73-94.

² All volumes are stated at 14.73 psia.

³ See "Order Accepting for Filing and Suspending PGA Rate Filing, Providing for Hearing Procedures and Granting a Waiver of Notice Requirements," issued January 11, 1974, in Docket No. RP73-94.

Pursuant to the 1974 Agreement, Tennessee had requested by application filed August 23, 1974, in Docket Nos. CP63-247 and CP65-93 authorization to abandon the transportation of 34,810 Mcf of gas per day for NFG. In lieu of said service Tennessee had also requested by application filed August 23, 1974, in Docket No. CP75-53 authorization to sell and deliver to NFG a contracted demand of 34,810 Mcf of gas per day. Also pursuant to the 1974 Agreement, Valley had sought authorization to abandon its sales to NFG in Docket Nos. CP63-270 and CP65-123, and had sought authorization to commit to Tennessee reserves to back up Tennessee's sale to NFG in Docket No. CP75-53. The filings submitted by Tennessee and Valley in support of the 1974 Agreement have been withdrawn in order to implement instead the 1975 Agreement.

According to Applicants, the 1975 Agreement provides, *inter alia*, that 1) all the reserves from the disputed Luby and Pretronilla Fields were dedicated, *ab initio*, to NFG; 2) the existing sales contract that is the basis of Valley's sale to NFG certificated in Docket No. CP63-270 will be terminated; 3) the sales contract that is the basis of the certificated sale between Valley and NFG in Docket No. CP65-123 will be amended thereby entitling NFG to all the gas produced from the dedicated reserves until depletion; 4) Valley will cause its affiliated company, Fossil Fuels, to contract to sell to NFG all of Fossil Fuels' interest in gas reserves located in Block A-612, West Cameron, offshore Louisiana, and Blocks A-330, A-349, A-562, A-563, A-564 and A-582, High Island, offshore Texas; 5) Tennessee and NFG will amend their existing transportation contract to provide for transportation and delivery of up to 14,919 Mcf of gas per day and for an initial termination date of that contract of October 15, 1983; and 6) Tennessee will sell and deliver to NFG 9,946 Mcf of gas per day for an estimated period of eight years until a total of 29.04 million Mcf of gas has been sold or accounted for, which volume is subject to reduction when the total volume sold by Valley to NFG exceeds 34,810 Mcf per day averaged over three months, and is subject to reduction by reason of curtailments on Tennessee's system.

Accordingly, Tennessee withdraws its application of August 23, 1974, in Docket No. CP75-53 and in that docket now requests authorization to sell to NFG 9,946 Mcf of gas per day. Tennessee also withdraws its applications of August 23, 1974, in Docket Nos. CP63-247 and CP65-93 and submits instead a request for an amendment to the orders issuing certificates in those dockets to reduce total contract demand from 34,810 to 14,919 Mcf of gas per day. In addition, Valley withdraws its applications filed August 13, 1974, in Docket Nos. G-19618, CP63-270 and CP65-123. In Docket No. CP63-270 Valley seeks Commission permission and approval to abandon the sales to NFG presently authorized in that docket (24,864 Mcf of gas per day). In Docket No. CP65-123 Valley files a

request for an amendment to the order issuing a certificate in that docket on March 24, 1965 (33 FPC 617), to consolidate under the terms of one sales contract and one certificated sale all the production dedicated by Valley to NFG from acreage in Jackson and Cameron Parishes, Louisiana, and Hardin, Colorado, Duval and Nueces Counties, Texas.

The instant applications indicate that implementation of the 1975 Agreement and authorization of the underlying certificate applications will serve the public interest because it will end the dispute among Valley, NFG and Tennessee over the dedication of certain reserves with a solution agreeable to all three parties and it will give Valley an opportunity to remain a viable natural gas company.

Any person desiring to be heard or to make any protest with reference to said applications should on or before April 30, 1975, file with the Federal Power Commission, Washington, D.C. 20426, a petition to intervene or a protest in accordance with the requirements of the Commission's rules of practice and procedure (18 CFR 1.8 or 1.10) and the Regulations under the Natural Gas Act (18 CFR 157.10). All protests filed with the Commission will be considered by it in determining the appropriate action to be taken but will not serve to make the protestants parties to the proceeding. Any person wishing to become a party to a proceeding or to participate as a party in any hearing therein must file a petition to intervene in accordance with the Commission's Rules. Any person who has heretofore filed protests, petitions to intervene or notices of intervention in the consolidated proceeding in Docket No. RP73-94, et al., need not file again.

KENNETH F. PLUMB,
Secretary.

[FR Doc.75-9999 Filed 4-16-75;8:45 am]

[Docket No. CI75-438; Docket No. CI75-540]

COLEVE ET AL.²

**Consolidating Proceedings and Setting
Date for Hearing**

APRIL 11, 1975.

The above-named Applicants have each filed its respective application pursuant to section 7(c) of the Natural Gas Act,³ and § 2.75⁴ of the Commission's general policy and interpretations for certificates of public convenience and necessity authorizing the sale and delivery of natural gas in interstate commerce.

On January 27, 1975, Coleve, a joint venture composed of Columbia Gas Development Corporation (Columbia Development) and Energy Ventures, Inc.

² A joint venture composed of Columbia Gas Development Corporation and Energy Ventures, Inc.

³ A joint venture composed of Forest Oil Corporation and Columbia Gas Development Corporation; and Forest Oil Corporation, acting in its individual capacity.

⁴ 15 U.S.C. § 717, et seq. (1970).

⁵ 18 CFR 2.75.

(Energy) filed in Docket No. CI75-438 an application for a certificate of public convenience and necessity authorizing the sale of natural gas to Columbia Gas Transmission Corporation (Columbia Transmission) an affiliate of Columbia Development, pursuant to the optional certification procedure.⁶ The subject gas would be delivered to Columbia Transmission from certain acreage in the Federal Domain offshore Louisiana, specifically from Blocks 642, 531, 479, 485 and the North half of Block 507, West Cameron Area; Blocks 370 and 371, East Cameron Area; and Block 267, South Marsh Island Area at an initial rate of \$1.44 per Mcf at 15.025 psia, plus 2.0 cents per Mcf annual escalation. Coleve states that this application covers estimated proved, probable and possible gas reserves totaling 345 billion cubic feet. Notice of the application was issued February 14, 1975 and was published in the FEDERAL REGISTER at 40 FR 7707 on February 21, 1975.

Petitions to intervene in Docket No. CI75-438 were filed by the Associated Gas Distributors, Columbia Gas Transmission Corporation and Texaco Inc. The Public Service Commission of the State of New York filed a notice of intervention.⁷

On March 13, 1975, Forest Drilling Venture (Venture) a joint venture composed of Forest Oil Corporation and Columbia Development; and Forest Oil Corporation (Forest Oil) acting in its individual capacity, filed in Docket No. CI75-540 an application for a certificate of public convenience and necessity. This application seeks authorization for Venture and Forest Oil to sell natural gas to Columbia Transmission under a Gas Purchase and Sales Agreement dated March 7, 1975, from the same acreage as that in Docket No. CI75-438, at the initial rate of \$1.52 per Mcf at 15.025 psia, plus 2.0 cents per Mcf annual escalation. Venture and Forest Oil state that this application covers estimated proved probable and possible gas reserves totaling 115 billion cubic feet.

Venture was formed by an agreement dated December 11, 1972, between Columbia Development, which owns 12.5 percent of the joint venture and Forest Oil Corporation, which owns approximately 87.5 percent of the joint venture.

A notice was issued on March 25, 1975, in Docket No. CI75-540, and was published in the FEDERAL REGISTER at 40 FR 14645 on April 1, 1975, setting April 14, 1975, as the deadline for the filing of all protests or petitions to intervene.

⁶ See: Order issued on March 7, 1975, in Docket No. CI75-438.

⁷ Such Petitions for leave to intervene were granted by our order of March 7, 1975, which also set Coleve for hearing and fixed other procedural dates. Our order herein shall supersede that order.

The Commission finds that a hearing is necessary to determine, on the record, whether the present and future public convenience and necessity will be served by authorizing this sale.

Since these applications involve similar questions of law and fact as to the reasonableness of the prices for which certification is sought, the Commission concludes that the ultimate disposition of the above-described proceedings would be best accomplished in a consolidated proceeding. The Commission shall, therefore, consolidate these dockets for hearing and disposition. Those parties in Docket No. CI75-438 who have been permitted to intervene by the order of March 7, 1975, need not seek leave to intervene in Docket No. CI75-540 but will be deemed to be parties therein.

The Commission finds: It is necessary and in the public interest that the above-docketed proceedings be consolidated for hearing and decision, and the order of March 7, 1975, be amended as hereinafter provided.

The Commission orders: (A) Pursuant to the authority of the Natural Gas Act, particularly Sections 4, 5, 7, 15, and 16 thereof, the Commission's Rules of Practice and Procedure, and the Regulations under the Natural Gas Act (18 CFR, Chapter I) Docket Nos. CI75-438 and CI75-540 are consolidated for the purpose of hearing and disposition.

(B) The order of March 7, 1975, is amended to provide that a public hearing on the issues presented by the proposals of the applicants herein shall be held commencing May 19, 1975, at 10 A.M., (E.D.T.) in a hearing room of the Federal Power Commission, 825 North Capitol Street NE., Washington, D.C. 20426.

(C) A Presiding Administrative Law Judge to be designated by the Chief Administrative Law Judge for that purpose (See Delegation of Authority, 18 CFR 3.5 (d)), shall preside at the hearing in this proceeding pursuant to the Commission's rules of practice and procedure.

(D) Applicants and all intervenors supporting the applications shall file their direct testimony and evidence on or before April 18, 1975. All such testimony and evidence shall be served upon the Presiding Administrative Law Judge, the Commission Staff, and all other parties to these proceedings.

(E) The Commission Staff and all intervenors opposing the application shall file their direct testimony and evidence on a date to be fixed by further order of the Commission. All such testimony and evidence shall be served upon the Presiding Administrative Law Judge, and all other parties to these proceedings.

(F) All rebuttal testimony and evidence shall be served on a date to be fixed by further order of the Commission. All parties submitting rebuttal testimony and evidence shall serve such testimony and evidence upon the Presiding Administrative Law Judge, the Commission Staff, and all other parties to these proceedings.

By the Commission.

[SEAL] KENNETH F. PLUMB,
Secretary.

[FR Doc.75-10000 Filed 4-16-75;8:45 am]

[Rate Schedule Nos. 59, etc.]

MARATHON OIL CO.

Rate Change Filings

APRIL 11, 1975.

Take notice that the producers listed in the Appendix attached below have filed proposed increased rates to the applicable new gas national ceiling based on the interpretation of vintaging concepts set forth by the Commission in its Opinion No. 699-H, issued December 4, 1974.

The information relevant to each of these sales is listed in the Appendix.

Any person desiring to be heard or to make any protest with reference to said filing should on or before April 28, 1975, file with the Federal Power Commission, Washington, D.C. 20426, a petition to intervene or a protest in accordance with the requirements of the Commission's rules of practice and procedure (18 CFR 1.8 or 1.10). All protests filed with the Commission will be considered by it in determining the appropriate action to be taken but will not serve to make the protestants parties to the proceeding. Any party wishing to become a party to a proceeding or to participate as a party in any hearing therein must file a petition to intervene in accordance with the Commission's rules.

KENNETH F. PLUMB,
Secretary.

APPENDIX

Filing date	Producer	Rate schedule No.	Buyer	Area
Mar. 17, 1975...	Marathon Oil Co., 589 South Main St., Findlay, Ohio 45840.	59	Cities Service Gas Co.....	Hugoton-Anadarko.
Mar. 26, 1975...	Continental Oil Co., P.O. Box 2197, Houston, Tex. 77001.	210	Lone Star Gas Co.....	Other Southwest.
Mar. 27, 1975...	CRA, Inc. (Operator), P.O. Box 7305, Kansas City, Mo. 63116.	46	Arkansas Louisiana Gas Co. Do.	

[FR Doc.75-10001 Filed 4-16-75;8:45 am]

[Docket Nos. RI75-123, etc.]

PWG PARTNERSHIP ET AL.

Providing for Hearing on and Suspension of Proposed Changes in Rates, and Allowing Rate Changes To Become Effective Subject to Refund¹

APRIL 10, 1975.

Respondents have filed proposed changes in rates and charges for jurisdictional sales of natural gas, as set forth in Appendix A below.

The proposed changed rates and charges may be unjust, unreasonable, unduly discriminatory, or preferential, or otherwise unlawful.

The Commission finds: It is in the public interest and consistent with the Nat-

¹ Does not consolidate for hearing or dispose of the several matters herein.

ural Gas Act that the Commission enter upon hearings regarding the lawfulness of the proposed changes, and that the supplements herein be suspended and their use be deferred as ordered below.

The Commission orders: (A) Under the Natural Gas Act, particularly Sections 4 and 15, the regulations pertaining thereto (18 CFR, Chapter I), and the Commission's rules of practice and procedure, public hearings shall be held concerning the lawfulness of the proposed changes.

(B) Pending hearings and decisions thereon, the rate supplements herein are suspended and their use deferred until date shown in the "Date Suspended Until" column. Each of these supplements

shall become effective, subject to refund, as of the expiration of the suspension period without any further action by the Respondent or by the Commission. Each respondent shall comply with the refunding procedure required by the Natural Gas Act and § 154.102 of the regulations thereunder.

(C) Unless otherwise ordered by the Commission, neither the suspended supplements, nor the rate schedules sought to be altered, shall be changed until disposition of these proceedings or expiration of the suspension period, whichever is earlier.

By the Commission.

[SEAL] **KENNETH F. PLUMB,**
Secretary.

APPENDIX A

Docket No.	Respondent	Rate scheduled No.	Supplement No.	Purchaser and producing area	Amount of annual increase	Date filing tendered	Effective date unless suspended	Date suspended until—	Cents per Mcf*		Rate in effect subject to refund in docket Nos.
									Rate in effect ²	Proposed increased rate ²	
RI75-123..	PWG partnership.....	2	13	El Paso Natural Gas Co. (New Mexico) (Rocky Mountain).	\$18	3-13-75		9-13-75	28.8117	29.3117	
.....do.....do.....	4	10	Northwest Pipeline Corp. (New Mexico) (Rocky Mountain).	29	3-13-75		9-13-75	28.8117	29.3117	
.....do.....do.....	5	16	El Paso Natural Gas Co. (New Mexico) (Rocky Mountain).	475	3-13-75		9-13-75	28.8117	29.3117	
.....do.....do.....	6	13	Northwest Pipeline Corp. (New Mexico) (Rocky Mountain).	54	3-13-75		9-13-75	28.8117	29.3117	
RI75-124..	Amerada Hees Corp.....	65	17	Montana-Dakota Utilities Co. (North Dakota) (Rocky Mountain).	172,500	3-13-75		9-13-75	\$ 32.3508	\$ 34.3737	
RI75-125..	Northern Natural Gas Producing Co.	32	6	Southern Union Gathering Co. (New Mexico) (Rocky Mountain).		3-12-75	4-12-75	⁴ Accepted			
.....do.....do.....	32	7do.....	371	3-12-75	4-12-75	⁴ Accepted	15.3005	\$ 26.5943	
.....do.....do.....	32	8do.....	42	3-12-75		9-12-75	\$ 26.5943	\$ 27.8961	

* Unless otherwise stated, the pressure base is 15.025 lb/in².
¹ Subject to Btu adjustment upward or downward from a base of 1,000 Btu.
² Subject to Btu adjustment as provided in Opinion No. 658.
³ The pressure base is 14.73 lb/in².

⁴ Accepted, to be effective on the date shown in the "Effective Date Unless Suspended" column.
⁵ Unless otherwise stated, the rate shown is the total rate, inclusive of any applicable Btu adjustment and tax.

The proposed rate increase of Northern Natural Gas Producing Company under Supplement No. 7 to its FPC Gas Rate Schedule No. 32 does not exceed the applicable area ceiling in Opinion No. 658 and is accepted.

The remaining proposed increased rates exceed the applicable area ceiling rate set forth in Opinion No. 658 and are suspended for five months.

[FR Doc.75-10002 Filed 4-16-75;8:45 am]

[Docket No. CI74-232, etc.]

CERTIFICATES, ABANDONMENT OF SERVICE AND PETITIONS TO AMEND CERTIFICATES¹

Applications

APRIL 4, 1975.

Take notice that each of the Applicants listed herein has filed an application or petition pursuant to section 7 of the Natural Gas Act for authorization to

¹ This notice does not provide for consolidation for hearing of the several matters covered herein.

sell natural gas in interstate commerce or to abandon service as described herein, all as more fully described in the respective applications and amendments which are on file with the Commission and open to public inspection.

Any person desiring to be heard or to make any protest with reference to said applications should on or before April 25, 1975, file with the Federal Power Commission, Washington, D.C. 20426, petitions to intervene or protests in accordance with the requirements of the Commission's rules of practice and procedure (18 CFR 1.8 or 1.10). All protests filed with the Commission will be considered by it in determining the appropriate action to be taken but will not serve to make the protestants parties to the proceeding. Persons wishing to become parties to a proceeding or to participate as a party in any hearing therein must file petitions to intervene in accordance with the Commission's rules.

Take further notice that, pursuant to the authority contained in and subject

to the jurisdiction conferred upon the Federal Power Commission by sections 7 and 15 of the Natural Gas Act and the Commission's rules of practice and procedure a hearing will be held without further notice before the Commission on all applications in which no petition to intervene is filed within the time required herein if the Commission on its own review of the matter believes that a grant of the certificates or the authorization for the proposed abandonment is required by the public convenience and necessity. Where a petition for leave to intervene is timely filed, or where the Commission on its own motion believes that a formal hearing is required, further notice of such hearing will be duly given.

Under the procedure herein provided for, unless otherwise advised, it will be unnecessary for Applicants to appear or be represented at the hearing.

KENNETH F. PLUMB,
Secretary.

Docket No. and date filed	Applicant	Purchaser and location	Price per Mcf	Pressure base
CI74-232 D 3-12-75	Devon Corp., 3300 Liberty Tower, Oklahoma City, Okla. 73102.	Columbia Gas Transmission Corp., North Ripley Field, Ravenswood District, Jackson County, W. Va.	Depleted	
CI74-242 D 3-12-75	do	Columbia Gas Transmission Corp., North Ripley Field, Ravenswood and Ripley Districts, Jackson County, W. Va.	Depleted	
CI75-202 C 3-11-75	Sun Oil Co., P.O. Box 2890, Dallas, Tex. 75221.	El Paso Natural Gas Co., J. B. Tubb B No. 4 Well, Sand Hills Field, Crane County, Tex.	150.3476	14.65
CI75-442 A 3-14-75 ¹	Perry R. Bass and Bass Enterprises Production Co., 2600 First City National Bank Bldg., Houston, Tex. 77002.	Natural Gas Pipeline Co. of America, Shell James Ranch No. 1 Well, Los Medanos Field, Eddy County, N. Mex.	780.0	14.65
CI75-543 (C169-796) F 3-10-75	Monsanto Co. (successor to Sohio Petroleum Co. (Operator) et al.), 5051 Westheimer, 1300 Post Oak Tower, Houston, Tex. 77027.	Kansas Nebraska Natural Gas Co., Inc., Madden Field, Fremont and Natrona Counties, Wyo.	17.265	14.65
CI75-545 (C871-568) F 3-11-75	Sun Oil Co. (successor to Medical Research, Inc., of Dallas).	Brookridge Gasoline Co., Northwest Rodessa Field, Cass County, Tex.	6.25	14.65
CI75-546 A 3-14-75	Phillips Petroleum Co., Bartlesville, Okla. 74504.	Natural Gas Pipeline Co. of America, Faskin State-32 No. 1 Well, Permian Basin Area, Eddy County, N. Mex.	765.0	14.65
CI75-547 A 3-14-75	Forest Oil Corp., 1300 National Bank of Commerce Bldg., San Antonio, Tex. 78205.	Columbia Gas Transmission Corp., Blocks 287, 307, 314, 315, Eugene Island Area and Blocks 256, 267 and 298, Vermilion Area, offshore Louisiana.	81.65	15.025
CI75-548 A 3-20-75	Anadarko Production Co., P.O. Box 1390, Houston, Tex. 77001.	Panhandle Eastern Pipe Line Co., Carthage Field, Texas County, Okla.	53.6682	14.65
CI75-550 B 3-17-75	Lee E. Minter, Bradford, Pa. 16701.	Consolidated Gas Supply Corp., Benette Township, Elk County, Pa.	Wells no longer produce and are plugged. 54.5900	14.65
CI75-552 A 3-17-75	Monsanto Co. (Operator) et al., 5051 Westheimer, 1300 Post Oak Tower, Houston, Tex. 77027.	Transwestern Pipeline Co., Baskett No. 1 Well, Dewey County, Okla.	53.8626	14.73
CI75-554 A 3-18-75	CNG Producing Co., 445 West Main St., Clarksburg, W. Va. 26301.	Consolidated Gas Supply Corp., Choudrant Field, Lincoln Parish, La.	54.8356	14.65
CI75-555 A 3-18-75	Arkla Exploration Co., P.O. Box 1734, Shreveport, La. 71151.	Arkansas Louisiana Gas Co., West Britt Ranch Area, Wheeler County, Tex.	65.0	14.65
CI75-556 A 3-18-75 ⁴	do	Arkansas Louisiana Gas Co., O'Keene Field, Blaine County, Okla.	80.0	15.025
CI75-557 (G-4102) (G-19271) B 3-20-75	STENTEX, Inc., 403 Hunters Park Lane, Houston, Tex. 77034.	The Altex Corp., Tom Graham Field, Jim Wells County, Tex.	The purchaser has abandoned its gathering system.	
CI75-558 A 3-20-75	Cities Service Oil Co.	Texas Gas Transmission Corp., Block 217, Eugene Island Area, offshore Louisiana.	80.0	15.025

Filing code: A—Initial service.
B—Abandonment.
C—Amendment to add acreage.
D—Amendment to delete acreage.
E—Succession.
F—Partial succession.

¹ Includes 4.1127 cents per Mcf tax; 3.02 cents per Mcf upward Btu adjustment and 1.4919 cents per Mcf gathering allowance.

² This application is substituted for the application for a limited-term certificate previously filed by Applicants in this docket.

³ Subject to upward and downward Btu adjustment.

⁴ Subject to downward Btu adjustment.

⁵ Applicant is willing to accept a certificate in accordance with Opinion No. 699.

⁶ Subject to upward Btu adjustment.

⁷ Includes tax reimbursement and Btu adjustment.

⁸ Includes 1 cent per Mcf for tailgate delivery and 6.8626 cents per Mcf Louisiana severance tax and is subject to upward and downward Btu adjustment.

⁹ Applicant states that a certificate of public convenience and necessity was issued to Applicant in Docket No. CI73-984 on October 25, 1973, authorizing the sale of gas to Arkansas Louisiana Gas Co. for 1 year under FPC Gas Rate Schedule No. 36. That certificate has expired and this filing requests that the service certificated in Docket No. CI73-984 be authorized to be continued under a new FPC gas rate schedule.

[FR Doc.75-9872 Filed 4-16-76; 8:45 am]

[Docket Nos. RP74-48 and RP75-3]

TRANSCONTINENTAL GAS PIPE LINE CORP.

Conference

APRIL 15, 1975.

Take notice that on Monday, April 21, 1975, a conference of all interested parties in the above-referenced dockets will be convened at 10 a.m., in Room No. 5200 at the offices of the Federal

Power Commission, 825 North Capitol Street, NE., Washington, D.C. 20426.

The conference will be held pursuant to § 1.18 (Conferences, Offers of Settlement) of the Commission's rules of practice and procedure (18 CFR 1.18). Customers and other interested persons will be permitted to attend, but if such persons have not previously been permitted to intervene by order of the Commission, such attendance at the conference will

not be deemed to authorize such intervention as a party in the proceedings.

In accordance with the provisions of Section 1.18 of the Rules, all parties will be expected to come fully prepared to discuss the merits of all issues concerning the lawfulness of Transcontinental Gas Pipe Line Corporation's proposed tariff changes, any procedural matters preparatory to a full evidentiary hearing, or to make commitments with respect to such issues and any offers of settlement or stipulations discussed at the conference. Failure to attend the conference shall constitute a waiver of all objections to stipulations and agreements reached by the parties in attendance at the conference.

KENNETH F. PLUMS,
Secretary.

[FR Doc.75-10240 Filed 4-15-75; 4:53 pm]

DEPARTMENT OF STATE

Agency for International Development

ESPERANCA, INC.

Register of Voluntary Foreign Aid Agencies

In accordance with the regulations of the Agency for International Development concerning Registration of Agencies for Voluntary Foreign Aid (A.I.D. Regulation 3) 22 CFR Part 203, promulgated pursuant to section 621 of the Foreign Assistance Act of 1961, as amended, notice is hereby given that a Certificate of Registration as a voluntary foreign aid agency has been issued by the Advisory Committee on Voluntary Foreign Aid of the Agency for International Development to the following agency:

Esperanca, Inc.
5901 West Indian School Road
Phoenix, Arizona 85033

Dated: April 9, 1975.

HARRIETT S. CROWLEY,
Acting Assistant Administrator
for Population and Humanitarian Assistance.

[FR Doc.75-10037 Filed 4-16-75; 8:45 am]

[Public Notice CM-5/35]

FINE ARTS COMMITTEE

Meeting

The Fine Arts Committee will hold its Spring meeting on Monday, May 19, 1975 at 2 p.m. in the John Quincy Adams State Drawing Room in the Diplomatic Reception Rooms.

The agenda will include a summary of the work of the Fine Arts Committee since its last meeting, the announcement of gifts and loans during October through December 1974 and January through mid-May 1975 as well as the Committee's plans for the coming year. This will also be the occasion to bid farewell to Committee members whose terms have expired and to welcome new members.

The meeting is open to the public. Because of State Department security requirements anyone wishing to attend should telephone the Fine Arts Office prior to the meeting, Area Code (202) 632-0298 to make arrangements to enter the building.

Dated: April 9, 1975.

[SEAL] CLEMENT E. CONGER,
Chairman,
Fine Arts Committee.

[FR Doc.75-10036 Filed 4-16-75;8:45 am]

DEPARTMENT OF THE TREASURY

Customs Service

[T.D. 75-093]

FOREIGN CURRENCIES

Certification of Rates

APRIL 4, 1974.

The Federal Reserve Bank of New York, pursuant to section 522(c), Tariff Act of 1930, as amended (31 U.S.C. 372 (c)), has certified the following rates of exchange which varied by 5 per centum or more from the quarterly rate published in Treasury Decision 75-24 for the following countries. Therefore, as to entries covering merchandise exported on the dates listed, whenever it is necessary for Customs purposes to convert such currency into currency of the United States, conversion shall be at the following daily rates:

Belgium franc:	
Mar. 24, 1975	\$0.029210
Mar. 25, 1975	.028940
France franc:	
Mar. 24, 1975	.2374
Mar. 25, 1975	.2379
Mar. 26, 1975	.2371
Mar. 27, 1975	.2364
Mar. 28, 1975	.2375
Netherlands guilder:	
Mar. 24, 1975	.4201
Norway krone:	
Mar. 24, 1975	.2039
Mar. 25, 1975	.2030
Mar. 26, 1975	.2037
Mar. 27, 1975	.2032
Mar. 28, 1975	.2032

[SEAL] R. N. MARRA,
Director,
Duty Assessment Division.

[FR Doc.75-10038 Filed 4-16-75;8:45 am]

Internal Revenue Service

[Order No. 113 (Rev. 3)]

DISTRICT DIRECTORS

Delegation of Authority

Pursuant to authority vested in the Commission of Internal Revenue by Treasury Department Order No. 150-37, dated March 17, 1955, there is hereby delegated to the District Director of Internal Revenue for each of the following Districts:

Key district(s) - IRS districts covered.

CENTRAL REGION

Cincinnati	Cincinnati, Louisville, Indianapolis.
Cleveland	Cleveland, Parkersburg.
Detroit	Detroit.

MID-ATLANTIC REGION

Baltimore	Baltimore (which includes the District of Columbia and Office of International Operations) Pittsburgh, Richmond.
Philadelphia	Philadelphia, Wilmington.
Newark	Newark.

MIDWEST REGION

Chicago	Chicago.
St. Paul	St. Paul, Fargo, Aberdeen, Milwaukee.
St. Louis	St. Louis, Springfield, Des Moines, Omaha.

NORTH-ATLANTIC REGION

Boston	Boston, Augusta, Burlington, Providence, Hartford, Portsmouth.
Manhattan	Manhattan.
Brooklyn	Brooklyn, Albany, Buffalo.

SOUTHEAST REGION

Atlanta	Atlanta, Greensboro, Columbia, Nashville.
Jacksonville	Jacksonville, Jackson, Birmingham.

SOUTHWEST REGION

Austin	Austin, New Orleans, Albuquerque, Denver, Cheyenne.
Dallas	Dallas, Oklahoma City, Little Rock, Wichita.

WESTERN REGION

Los Angeles	Los Angeles, Phoenix, Honolulu.
San Francisco	San Francisco, Salt Lake City, Reno.
Seattle	Seattle, Portland, Anchorage, Boise, Helena.

The authority to: 1. Issue determination letters involving the provisions of the Internal Revenue Code of 1954 with respect to:

Exemption from Federal income tax under sections 501 and 521; the effect of section 502 on such exemption; the status of organizations under sections 507, 508, and 509; the imposition of unrelated business income tax under section 511 through 515; and the imposition of excise taxes under section 4940 through 4948; provided the requests present questions the answers to which are clear from an application of the provisions of the statute, Treasury decisions or regulations, or by a ruling, opinion, or court decision published in the Internal Revenue Bulletin.

2. Issue modifications or revocations of determination letters described above (see Commissioner Delegation Order No. 88 as revised for authority to issue notices of revocation of exemption relating to prohibited transactions as defined in section 503(b)).

3. Redelegate this authority as follows: (a) With respect to issuance of determination letters, not below Internal Revenue Agent and Tax Law Specialist, GS-12, provided such individual is a person other than the initiator.

(b) With respect to revocation or modification of determination letters, not below Chief, Employee Plans and Exempt Organizations Division.

4. To the extent that any action taken between January 2, 1975 (the effective date of Delegation Order No. 113 (Rev. 2)) and the effective date of this Order by District Directors or their delegates consistent with the delegation of au-

thority in Delegation Order No. 113 (Rev. 1) may require ratification, such action is hereby affirmed and ratified.

This Order supersedes Delegation Order No. 113 (Rev. 2), issued January 2, 1975.

Dated: April 10, 1975.

Effective: April 10, 1975.

DONALD C. ALEXANDER,
Commissioner.

[FR Doc.75-10086 Filed 4-16-75;8:45 am]

DEPARTMENT OF DEFENSE

Department of the Air Force
SCIENTIFIC ADVISORY BOARD
Meeting Location Changed

APRIL 11, 1975.

The location of the meeting on April 19, 1975, of the USAF Scientific Advisory Board ad hoc Committee for Review of Mission, Organization, Resources and Location of Air Force Cambridge Research Laboratories has been changed.

The meeting now will be held in Room A 106, Headquarters Air Force Systems Command, Andrews Air Force Base, Maryland. The original notice for this meeting appeared in 40 FR 14785, April 2, 1975. The April 18, 1975, portion of the meeting was cancelled.

For further information, contact the Scientific Advisory Board Secretariat on 202-697-4648.

JAMES E. DAGWELL,
Chief, Documentation Management Branch, Directorate of Administration.

[FR Doc.75-10053 Filed 4-16-75;8:45 am]

Department of the Army
SHORELINE EROSION ADVISORY PANEL
Meeting

Pursuant to section 10(a)(2) of the Federal Advisory Committee Act (Pub. L. 92-463), notice is hereby given of a meeting of the Shoreline Erosion Advisory Panel on May 9, 1975.

The meeting will be held in the first floor Hearing Room of the Kingman Building, Fort Belvoir, Virginia 22060, from 0845 hours to 1245 hours.

The meeting will include briefings on the budgetary cycle for funding shoreline erosion control projects, environmental impact statement requirements and discussions by SEAP members. Time will be made available for public participation at the meeting.

The session will be open to the public, subject to the following:

1. Since seating capacity of the hearing room at the Kingman Building limits public attendance to not more than 50 people advance notice of intent to attend, although not required, is requested in order to assure adequate arrangements for those wishing to attend.

2. Oral participation by public attendees is solicited during those times scheduled on the agenda; written statements may be submitted prior to, or up to 30 days after the meeting.

Inquiries may be addressed to Colonel James L. Trayers, Commander and Director, U.S. Army Coastal Engineering Research Center, Kingman Building, Fort Belvoir, Virginia 22060; telephone 202-325-7000.

Dated: April 11, 1975.

By authority of the Secretary of the Army.

FRED R. ZIMMERMAN,
Lt. Colonel, U.S. Army,
Chief, Plans Office, TAGO.

[FR Doc.75-10024 Filed 4-16-75;8:45 am]

Office of the Secretary

DEFENSE SCIENCE BOARD TASK FORCE
ON TRAINING TECHNOLOGY

Advisory Committee Meeting

The Defense Science Board Task Force on Training Technology will meet in closed session on May 20-21, 1975, at the Institute for Defense Analyses, Arlington, Virginia.

The mission of the Defense Science Board is to advise the Secretary of Defense and the Director of Defense Research and Engineering on overall research and engineering and to provide long-range guidance in these areas to the Department of Defense.

The Task Force will meet in Executive Session to review and discuss information received from Department of Defense components since September 1974 and will develop preliminary conclusions and recommendations for a draft report. The Task Force final report will provide a basis for DoD policy decisions to reduce costs and increase effectiveness and efficiency of DoD training. The review and discussion will include classified information pertaining to long range plans and force structures which determine future training requirements and research and development requirements for training technology.

In accordance with section 10(d) of Appendix I, Title 5, United States Code, it has been determined that this Task Force meeting concerns matters listed in section 552(b) of Title 5 of the United States code, specifically subparagraphs (1) and (5) thereof, and that accordingly this meeting will be closed to the public.

MAURICE W. ROCHE,
Director, Correspondence and
Directives OASD (Comptroller).

APRIL 14, 1975.

[FR Doc.75-9969 Filed 4-16-75;8:45 am]

DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE

Drug Enforcement Administration

ABBOTT LABORATORIES

Application for Importation of Controlled
Substances

Pursuant to section 1008 of the Controlled Substance Import and Export Act (21 U.S.C. 958(h)), the Attorney General shall, prior to issuing a registration under this section to a bulk manufacturer of a

controlled substance in schedules I or II, and prior to issuing a regulation under section 1002(a) authorizing the importation of such a substance, provide manufacturers holding registration for the bulk manufacture of the substance an opportunity for a hearing.

Therefore in accordance with § 1311.42 of Title 21, Code of Federal Regulations, notice is hereby given that on March 26, 1975, Abbott Laboratories, 14th & Sheridan Road, North Chicago, Illinois 60064, made application to the Drug Enforcement Administration to be registered as an importer of Codeine, a basic class controlled substance listed in schedule II.

Any person registered to manufacture Codeine in bulk may, on or before May 22, 1975 file written comments on or objections to the issuance of the proposed registration and may, at the same time, file written request for a hearing on the application (stating with particularity the objections or issues, if any, concerning which the person desires to be heard and a brief summary of his position on those objections or issues).

Comments and objections may be addressed to the Hearing Clerk, Office of Administrative Law Judge, Drug Enforcement Administration, Room 1130, 1405 Eye Street NW., Washington, D.C. 20537.

Dated: April 8, 1975.

JOHN R. BARTELS, Jr.,
Administrator,
Drug Enforcement Administration.

[FR Doc.75-10044 Filed 4-16-75;8:45 am]

BURROUGHS WELLCOME CO.

Importation of Controlled Substances

Pursuant to section 1008 of the Controlled Substance Import and Export Act (21 U.S.C. 958(h)), the Attorney General shall, prior to issuing a registration under this section to a bulk manufacturer of a controlled substance in schedules I or II, and prior to issuing a regulation under section 1002(a) authorizing the importation of such a substance, provide manufacturers holding registrations for the bulk manufacture of the substance an opportunity for a hearing.

Therefore in accordance with § 1311.42 of Title 21, Code of Federal Regulations, notice is hereby given that on January 27, 1975, Burroughs Wellcome Co., Intersection U.S. 13 & S.R. 1584, Post Office Box 1887, Greenville, North Carolina 27834, made application to the Drug Enforcement Administration to be registered as an importer of Codeine, a basic class controlled substance listed in schedule II.

Any person registered to manufacture Codeine in bulk may, on or before May 22, 1975 file written comments on or objections to the issuance of the proposed registration and may, at the same time, file written request for a hearing on the application (stating with particularity the objections or issues, if any, concerning which the person desires to be heard and a brief summary of his position on those objections or issues).

Comments and objections may be addressed to the Hearing Clerk, Office of Administrative Law Judge, Drug Enforcement Administration, Room 1130, 1405 Eye Street NW., Washington, D.C. 20537.

Dated: April 8, 1975.

JOHN R. BARTELS, Jr.,
Administrator,
Drug Enforcement Administration.

[FR Doc.75-10045 Filed 4-16-75;8:45 am]

Law Enforcement Assistance
Administration

NATIONAL ADVISORY COMMITTEE ON
CRIMINAL JUSTICE STANDARDS AND
GOALS

Meeting

This is to provide notice of meeting of the Organized Crime Task Force to the National Advisory Committee on Criminal Justice Standards and Goals.

The Task Force will meet on May 7 and 8, 1975 in the conference room, 13th floor, Law Enforcement Assistance Administration (LEAA), U.S. Department of Justice, 633 Indiana Avenue NW., Washington, D.C.

The meetings will convene at 10 a.m. and will be open to the public.

This will be the first meeting of the Task Force. Discussion will focus upon the functions and duties to be performed by the Task Force as well as a discussion of the Task Force subject matter.

For further information, contact William T. Archey, Acting Director, Policy Analysis Division, Office of Planning and Management, 633 Indiana Avenue, NW., Washington, D.C. 20351.

GERALD H. YAMADA,
Attorney-Advisor,
Office of General Counsel.

[FR Doc.75-10057 Filed 4-16-75;8:45 am]

DEPARTMENT OF THE INTERIOR

Bureau of Land Management

[Serial No. F-21411]

STATE OF ALASKA DEPARTMENT OF
PUBLIC WORKS

Application for Airport Lease

APRIL 10, 1975.

Notice is hereby given that pursuant to the Act of May 24, 1928 (49 U.S.C. 211-214) the State of Alaska, Department of Public Works, Division of Aviation, has applied for an airport lease for the following land:

KATEEL RIVER MERIDIAN, ALASKA

T. 17 N., R. 11 W.,
Protracted secs. 34 and 35.

Commencing at the True Point of Beginning, being common to Corner Number 2 of U.S. Survey No. 5069, Alaska;

Thence north 85°46'15" E. a distance of 1,022 ft to a point, being common to meander corner 1 of U.S. Survey No. 5069, and from which W.C.M.C. 1 and U.S.L.M. No. 5069 bears south 85°46'15" W. a distance of 7.18 ft;

Thence southeasterly along the meanders of the south bank of the Nazuruk Channel of the Kobuk River the following courses and distances:

South 29°53'35" E. a distance of 145.76 ft;
 South 16°59'10" E. a distance of 327.81 ft;
 South 19°39'30" E. a distance of 232.07 ft;
 South 14°58'00" E. a distance of 81.94 ft;
 South 34°27'00" E. a distance of 180.62 ft;
 South 24°34'00" E. a distance of 328.91 ft;
 South 16°29'10" E. a distance of 241.47 ft;
 South 38°14'40" E. a distance of 117.82 ft;
 South 29°16'30" E. a distance of 102.44 ft;
 South 40°14'50" E. a distance of 134.51 ft;
 South 27°08'00" E. a distance of 79.67 ft;
 South 62°11'30" E. a distance of 72.78 ft;
 South 34°12'20" E. a distance of 134.90 ft;
 South 25°44'00" E. a distance of 84.38 ft;
 South 77°50'20" E. a distance of 72.82 ft;
 South 25°12'40" E. a distance of 68.67 ft;
 South 40°17'10" E. a distance of 339.33 ft;
 South 66°19'10" E. a distance of 151.34 ft;
 South 46°59'20" E. a distance of 90.83 ft;
 South 51°05'20" E. a distance of 148.27 ft;
 South 25°13'20" E. a distance of 116.23 ft;
 South 60°45'50" E. a distance of 416.01 ft;
 South 74°52'30" E. a distance of 99.90 ft;
 South 54°47'10" E. a distance of 190.28 ft;
 South 74°13'00" E. a distance of 381.66 ft;
 North 79°53'00" E. a distance of 253.72 ft;
 South 81°51'10" E. a distance of 233.24 ft;
 North 76°59'50" E. a distance of 213.54 ft to a point referred to as division of aviation meander corner No. 2 on the attached property plan, from which an ADA brass cap monument labelled W.C.M.C. bears south 44°18'00" W. a distance of 63.63 ft;
 Thence south 44°18'00" W. a distance of 2,178.83 ft to a point;
 Thence north 45°42'00" W. a distance of 4,384.87 ft to a point;
 Thence north 44°12'25" E. a distance of 691.49 ft to a point;
 Thence north 45°47'35" W. a distance of 814.01 ft to a point of intersection with line 2-3 of U.S. Survey No. 5069;
 Thence north 44°18'00" E. along a portion of line 2-3 of U.S. Survey No. 5069, a distance of 549.64 ft to the true point of beginning.

This tract contains 170.37 acres, more or less.

(The parcel described above is designated as Tract I on the attached Division of Aviation Noorvik Airport Property Plan.)

and

Commencing at corner No. 2 of U.S. Survey No. 5069, Alaska, being common to the true point of beginning of tract I, Noorvik Airport, proceed south 44°18'00" W. a distance of 549.64 ft along a portion of line 2-3 of U.S. Survey No. 5069, then proceed south 45°47'35" E. a distance of 814.01 ft, then proceed south 44°12'25" W. a distance of 691.49 ft, then proceed south 45°42'00" E. a distance of 890.07 ft to the true point of beginning being monumented with an ADA brass cap;

Thence south 45°42'00" E. a distance of 1,000 ft along a line common to a portion of the southern boundary of tract I, Noorvik Airport, to a point monumented with an ADA brass cap;

Thence south 44°18'00" W. a distance of 2,700 ft to a point;
 Thence north 45°42'00" W. a distance of 1,000 ft to a point;
 Thence north 44°18'00" E. a distance of 2,700 ft to the true point of beginning.

This tract contains 61.98 acres, more or less.

(The parcel described above is designated as Tract II on the attached Division of Aviation Noorvik Airport Property Plan.)

The purpose of this Notice is to inform the public that the filing of this application segregates the described land from all other forms of use or disposal under the public land laws.

Interested persons desiring to express their views should promptly send their name and address to the District Manager, Bureau of Land Management, P.O. Box 1150, Fairbanks, Alaska 99707.

HAROLD E. WALDO,
 Chief, Division of Land Office.

[FR Doc.75-10031 Filed 4-16-75; 8:45 am]

COEUR D'ALENE DISTRICT MULTIPLE USE ADVISORY BOARD

Meeting

Pursuant to the requirements of the Federal Advisory Committee Act, Pub. L. 92-463, notice is hereby given that the Coeur d'Alene District Multiple Use Advisory Board will hold a meeting beginning at 9 a.m., May 8, 1975, at the Holiday Inn, Coeur d'Alene, Idaho. The agenda for the meeting will include election of officers, presentation of the North Idaho and Elk City Management Framework Plans, Mineral Ridge Bald Eagle Wildlife Habitat Plan, Lower Salmon River Visitor Management Plan, Timber Sale Plan, and programs for Fiscal Year 1976.

The meeting is open to the public. Interested persons will have an opportunity at the end of committee business to make oral presentations to the committee or file written statements. Such requests should be made to the official listed below at least three days prior to the meeting.

Further information concerning this meeting may be obtained from BLM District Manager Larry Woodard, 1808 North Third Street, Coeur d'Alene, Idaho 83814. His telephone number is 208-664-8231. Minutes of the meeting will be available for public inspection and copying two weeks after the meeting at the BLM District Office in Coeur d'Alene, Idaho and the BLM Resource Area Headquarters in Cottonwood, Idaho.

LARRY L. WOODARD,
 District Manager.

[FR Doc.75-9958 Filed 4-16-75; 8:45 am]

[NM 25107]

NEW MEXICO

Application

APRIL 8, 1975.

Notice is hereby given that, pursuant to section 28 of the Mineral Leasing Act of 1920 (30 U.S.C. 185), as amended by the Act of November 16, 1973 (87 Stat. 576), Delhi Gas Pipeline Corporation has applied for one 6½ inch natural gas pipeline right-of-way across the following lands:

NEW MEXICO PRINCIPAL MERIDIAN, NEW MEXICO

T. 20 S., R. 28 E.,
 Sec. 27, W½SE¼;
 T. 20 S., R. 29 E.,
 Sec. 8, NW¼SE¼, S½SE¼;
 Sec. 16, NW¼NW¼, S½NW¼, NE¼SW¼,
 NW¼SE¼;

This pipeline will convey natural gas across 1.782 miles of national resource lands in Eddy County, New Mexico.

The purpose of this notice is to inform the public that the Bureau will be proceeding with consideration of whether the application should be approved, and if so, under what terms and conditions.

Interested persons desiring to express their views should promptly send their name and address to the District Manager, Bureau of Land Management, P.O. Box 1397, Roswell, NM 88201.

FRED E. PADILLA,
 Chief, Branch of Lands and Minerals Operations.

[FR Doc.75-9956 Filed 4-16-75; 8:45 am]

[NM 25116, 25165]

NEW MEXICO

Applications

APRIL 9, 1975.

Notice is hereby given that, pursuant to section 28 of the Mineral Leasing Act of 1920 (30 U.S.C. 185), as amended by the Act of November 16, 1973 (87 Stat. 576), Northwest Pipeline Corporation has applied for two 4½ inch natural gas pipelines rights-of-way across the following lands:

NEW MEXICO PRINCIPAL MERIDIAN,
 NEW MEXICO

T. 30 N., R. 5 W.,
 Sec. 15, SE¼SW¼;
 Sec. 17, W½SW¼;
 Sec. 22, NE¼NW¼.

These pipelines will convey natural gas across 0.340 miles of national resource lands in Rio Arriba County, New Mexico.

The purpose of this notice is to inform the public that the Bureau will be proceeding with consideration of whether the applications should be approved, and if so, under what terms and conditions.

Interested persons desiring to express their views should promptly send their name and address to the District Manager, Bureau of Land Management, 3550 Pan American Freeway, NE, Albuquerque, NM 87107.

FRED E. PADILLA,
 Chief, Branch of Lands and Minerals Operations.

[FR Doc.75-9955 Filed 4-16-75; 8:45 am]

[NM 25167]

NEW MEXICO
Application

APRIL 8, 1975.

Notice is hereby given that, pursuant to section 28 of the Mineral Leasing Act of 1920 (30 U.S.C. 185), as amended by the Act of November 16, 1973 (87 Stat. 576), El Paso Natural Gas Company has applied for two 4½ inch natural gas pipeline rights-of-way across the following lands:

NEW MEXICO PRINCIPAL MERIDIAN,
NEW MEXICO

T. 21 S., R. 26 E.,
Sec. 5, S½SW¼;
Sec. 6, S½SE¼ and SE¼SW¼.

These pipelines will convey natural gas across 1.057 miles of national resource lands in Eddy County, New Mexico.

The purpose of this notice is to inform the public that the Bureau will be proceeding with consideration of whether the application should be approved, and if so, under what terms and conditions.

Interested persons desiring to express their views should promptly send their name and address to the District Manager, Bureau of Land Management, PO Box 1397, Roswell, NM, 88201.

FRED E. PADILLA,
Chief, Branch of Lands
and Minerals Operations.

[FR Doc.75-9957 Filed 4-16-75;8:45 am]

[Serial U-23166]

UTAH

**Notice of Proposed Withdrawal and
Reservation of Lands; Correction**

In FR Doc. 75-6568 appearing on page 11759 of the issue for March 13, 1975, in the second line under T. 2 N., R. 25 E., Section 19 the reference to "NW¼ NW¼", should read "NW¼SW¼."

PAUL L. HOWARD,
State Director.

APRIL 11, 1975.

[FR Doc.75-10054 Filed 4-16-75;8:45 am]

[OR 9884 (Wash.) 2220(943.1)]

WASHINGTON

Opening of Public Land

APRIL 9, 1975.

1. In an exchange of lands made under the provisions of section 8 of the Act of June 28, 1934 (48 Stat. 1272), as amended June 26, 1936 (49 Stat. 1976; 43 U.S.C. 315g), the following land has been conveyed to the United States.

WILLAMETTE MERIDIAN

T. 9 N., R. 27 E.,
Sec. 21, SE¼;
Containing 158.57 acres in Benton County,
Washington.

2. Subject to valid existing rights, the provisions of existing withdrawals, and the requirements of applicable law, the land is hereby open to operation of the public land laws, including the mining

laws (Ch. 2, Title 30 U.S.C.), and the mineral leasing laws. All valid applications received at or prior to 10 a.m. May 15, 1975, shall be considered as simultaneously filed at that time. Those received thereafter shall be considered in the order of filing.

3. Inquiries concerning the land should be addressed to the Chief, Branch of Lands and Minerals Operations, P.O. Box 2965 Portland, Oregon 97208.

HAROLD A. BERENDS,
Chief, Branch of Lands
and Minerals Operations.

[FR Doc.10055 Filed 4-16-75;8:45 am]

Fish and Wildlife Service

ENDANGERED SPECIES PERMIT

Receipt of Application

Notice is hereby given that the following application for a permit is deemed to have been received under section 10 of the Endangered Species Act of 1973 (Pub. L. 93-205).

Applicant: Colonel Lawrence E. Tetzlaff, President, Jungle Larry's Safari Land, Inc. Winter Address: African Safari, 725 Ketch Drive, Post Office Box 2505, Naples, Florida 33940.

Summer: May-September, 1618 Willow Drive, Cedar Point, Sandusky, Ohio 44870. Director, U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service, Washington, D.C. 20240.

FEBRUARY 11, 1975.

Dear Sir: This is a request for a permit to travel from Florida to Ohio and back with four trained tigers (*Panthera tigris*), three males of two years, one female of eighteen months; born in captivity in Florida.

These are not to be sold, and will remain the property of Jungle Larry's Safari Land, Inc., Colonel Lawrence E. Tetzlaff, President. Address to May 1975: Naples, Florida 33940.

The tigers do a show with African lions at Cedar Point, Sandusky, Ohio, in a perpetual contract. In one of America's largest vacation spots. They would remain in Ohio from about May 12 through September 15, each year, and then spend the rest of the year at the African Safari in Naples, Florida.

In Ohio, between shows, the tigers live a life of ease in a 6,000 square foot outdoor area with shelters available. Their winter home in Florida is 10,000 square feet in the middle of a lush tropical garden.

There is an economic hardship involved in a business that employs nearly 60 people. We have been at this for over 37 years. Our support strings will be cut off if we can't move our beautiful Bengal tigers to our summer park in Ohio.

Expertise: Staff members have lived in the jungles of India, Africa, and South America. There are veterinarians trained in exotic animal care. We were chosen by the State of Florida to help set up cage standards for the zoos of Florida. All trainers are U.S. citizens.

We have one of the outstanding animal propagation centers in the world. We have successfully bred tigers in Florida in our 10,000 square foot natural habitat jungle. When these tigers are old enough to breed they will be kept in Florida.

Our summer show completely supports our conservation effort in Florida, with no burden on the taxpayers.

A \$50 check is enclosed.

I hereby certify that I have read and am familiar with the regulations contained in

Title 50, Part 13, of the Code of Federal Regulations and the other applicable parts in Subchapter B of Chapter I of Title 50, and I further certify that the information submitted in this application for a permit is complete and accurate to the best of my knowledge and belief. I understand that any false statement hereon may subject me to the criminal penalties of 18 U.S.C. 1001.

(SGD) Colonel Lawrence E. Tetzlaff,
Winter address (to May), Post Office
Box 2505, Naples, Florida 33940.

Documents and other information submitted in connection with this application are available for public inspection during normal business hours at the Service's office in Suite 600, 1612 K Street NW., Washington, D.C.

Interested persons may comment on this application by submitting written data, views, or arguments, preferably in triplicate, to the Director (FWS/LE), U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service, Post Office Box 19183, Washington, D.C. 20036. All relevant comments received on or before May 19, 1975, will be considered.

Dated: April 10, 1975.

LOREN K. PARCHER,
Acting Chief, Division of Law
Enforcement, U.S. Fish and
Wildlife Service.

[FR Doc.75-10089 Filed 4-16-75;8:45 am]

National Park Service

CAPE COD NATIONAL SEASHORE
ADVISORY COMMISSION

Meeting

Notice is hereby given in accordance with Pub. L. 92-463 that a meeting of the Cape Cod National Seashore Advisory Commission will be held on Friday, May 9, 1975, at 1:30 p.m., at the Headquarters Building, Cape Cod National Seashore, Marconi Station Area, South Wellfleet, Massachusetts.

The Commission was established by Pub. L. 87-126 to meet and consult with the Secretary of the Interior on general policies and specific matters relating to the development of Cape Cod National Seashore.

The purpose of the meeting is to consider the following Agenda items: (1) Plans for replacement of facilities at Coast Guard and Nauset Light Beaches in Eastham, (2) Plans for improvements at Provincetown Airport, and (3) Advisory Commission tenure. The Superintendent will give a progress report covering current problems and items of interest, which will be reviewed and discussed.

The meeting is open to the public. It is expected that 15 persons will be able to attend the session in addition to Commission members. Interested persons may make oral/written presentations to the Commission or file written statements. Such requests should be made to the official listed below at least seven days prior to the meeting.

Further information concerning this meeting may be obtained from Lawrence C. Hadley, Superintendent, Cape Cod National Seashore, South Wellfleet,

Massachusetts 02663 (telephone: 617-349-3785). Minutes of the meeting will be available for public inspection and copying four weeks after the meeting at Office of the Superintendent, Cape Cod National Seashore, South Wellfleet, Massachusetts.

Dated: March 31, 1975.

JERRY D. WAGERS,
Regional Director,
North Atlantic Region.

[FR Doc. 75-10013 Filed 4-16-75; 8:45 am]

NATIONAL MALL, WASHINGTON, D.C.
Draft Environmental Impact Statement of Proposed Rehabilitation

Notice is hereby given that the National Park Service will hold a public hearing on May 28, 1975, at 8 p.m., in the Department of the Interior Auditorium, 18th and C Streets, NW., Washington, D.C. The purpose of the hearing is to receive comments from interested persons, organizations, and agencies on a draft environmental impact statement covering the proposed rehabilitation of the Mall in Washington, D.C., between Madison Drive and Jefferson Drive, from Third Street to 14th Street. The project includes the construction of four pedestrian/bike paths between Third Street and 14th Street and crosswalks at each of the Mall museum buildings, plus reconstruction of walks along Third, Fourth, Seventh, and 14th Streets. It also includes the installation of park benches, drinking fountains, curb ramps for handicapped persons, street lights, telephones, refreshment and information kiosk foundations, bike racks, additional plantings in the tree panels, and necessary utility services. Two of the walks would replace Adams and Jefferson Drives and two walks will replace Sixth and 13th Streets between Madison and Jefferson Drives. A part of the project, but outside the boundaries of the project area, is the establishment as a demonstration project, of fringe parking at the Robert F. Kennedy Stadium with a visitor shuttle bus system between the stadium and the Mall. From the stadium, the shuttle buses would travel along East Capitol Street to First Street, then south to Independence Avenue, stopping at a designated bus stop, then west to Seventh Street, and then north to the terminus at Madison Drive. The return trip would leave the terminus going north on Seventh Street to Constitution Avenue, then proceed east to First Street, stopping at a designated bus stop on the Capitol Grounds, then south to Independence Avenue, and then east to the stadium parking lot.

A copy of the draft environmental impact statement will be available about April 30 from Room 201, National Capital Parks, 1100 Ohio Drive, SW., Washington, D.C. 20242.

Interested individuals, representatives of organizations, and public officials are invited to express their views in person at the hearing, provided that they notify

the Hearing Officer, in care of the Director, National Capital Parks, 1100 Ohio Drive, SW., Washington, D.C. 20242, by May 16 of their desire to appear. Registration may be made by calling 426-8646.

Time limitations may make it necessary to limit the length of oral presentations to 10 minutes and to restrict to one person the presentation made in behalf of an organization. An oral statement may, however, be supplemented by a more complete written statement which may be submitted to the Hearing Officer at the time of presentation of the oral statement. It is requested that a copy of the testimony be made available for the transcriber of the hearings. Written statements presented in person at the hearing will be included in the hearing record. To the extent that time is available after presentation of oral statements by those who have given the required advance notice, the Hearing Officer will give others present an opportunity to be heard.

After an explanation of the proposal by a representative of the National Park Service, the Hearing Officer, insofar as possible, will adhere to the following order in calling for the presentation of oral statements:

1. Members of Congress.
2. Mayor of the City of Washington, D.C., or his representative.
3. Members of the D.C. City Council.
4. Officials of other Federal or local agencies.
5. Organizations in alphabetical order.
6. Individuals in alphabetical order.
7. Others not giving advance notice, to the extent there is remaining time.

Those not wishing to appear in person may submit written statements to the Hearing Officer at the foregoing address for inclusion in the official record, which will be held open until June 15 for receipt of written statements to be included in the record.

MANUS J. FISH, Jr.,
Director,
National Capital Parks.

APRIL 8, 1975.

[FR Doc. 75-10013 Filed 4-16-75; 8:45 am]

SAGAMORE HILL NATIONAL HISTORIC SITE

Intention To Negotiate Concession Contract

Pursuant to the provisions of section 5 of the Act of October 9, 1965 (79 Stat. 969; 16 U.S.C. 20), public notice is hereby given that on or before May 19, 1975 the Department of the Interior, through the Director of the National Park Service, proposes to negotiate a concession contract with Saga-Hill Corporation authorizing it to provide concession facilities and services for the public at Sagamore Hill National Historic Site for a period of 5 years from January 1, 1975, through December 31, 1979.

An assessment of the environmental impact of this proposal action has been made and it has been determined that it will not significantly affect the quality of the human environment, and that it

is not a major Federal action under the National Environmental Policy Act and the guidelines of the Council on Environmental Quality. The environmental assessment may be reviewed in the North Atlantic Regional Office, National Park Service, 150 Causeway Street, Boston, Massachusetts 02114, and the Office of the Superintendent, New York Group, National Park Service, 26 Wall Street, New York, New York 10005.

The foregoing concessioner has performed its obligations under the expired contract to the satisfaction of the National Park Service, and therefore, pursuant to the Act cited above, is entitled to be given preference in the renewal of the contract and in the negotiation of a new contract. However, under the Act cited above, the Secretary is also required to consider and evaluate all proposals received as a result of this notice. Any proposal to be considered and evaluated must be submitted on or before May 19, 1975.

Interested parties should contact the Assistant Director for Concessions Management, National Park Service, Washington, D.C. 20240, for information as to the requirements of the proposed contract.

JOE BROWN,
Acting Associate Director,
National Park Service.

APRIL 9, 1975.

[FR Doc. 75-10014 Filed 4-16-75; 8:45 am]

Office of the Secretary
OIL SHALE ENVIRONMENTAL ADVISORY PANEL
Meeting

Notice is hereby given in accordance with Pub. L. 92-463 that a meeting of the Oil Shale Environmental Advisory Panel will be held on May 15 and 16, 1975, at the Fairfield Convention Center, Main Street and Third, Meeker, Colorado. The meeting will begin at 9 a.m. on Thursday, May 15, in the main meeting room of the Center and conclude at 2:30 p.m. Friday, May 16.

The Panel was established to assist the Department of the Interior in the performance of functions in connection with the supervision of oil shale leases issued under the Prototype Oil Shale Leasing Program. The purpose of this meeting is to review the second quarterly environmental data reports for the two Colorado lease tracts, review a fish and wildlife management plan for the Utah lease tracts, to receive reports from Departmental officials, hear a technical briefing on revegetation research by Colorado State University, and tour the two Colorado oil shale lease tracts.

The meeting is open to the public. It is expected that space will permit 200 persons to attend the meeting in addition to the Panel members. Interested persons may make brief presentations to the Panel or file written statements. Requests should be made to Mr. William L. Rogers, Chairman, Office of the Secretary, Department of the Interior,

Room 688, Building 67, Denver Federal Center, Denver, Colorado 80225.

Further information concerning this meeting may be obtained from Mr. Henry O. Ash, Office of the Oil Shale Environmental Advisory Panel, Room 690, Building 67, Denver Federal Center, Denver, Colorado 80225, telephone No. (303) 234-3275. Minutes of the meeting will be available for public inspection 30 days after the meeting at the Panel office.

Dated: April 11, 1975.

JACK O. HORTON,
Assistant Secretary of the Interior for Land and Water Resources.

[FR Doc.75-10056 Filed 4-16-75; 8:45 am]

[INT FES 75-42]

FISHERY REHABILITATION OF THE ROCK RIVER

Availability of Final Environmental Statement

Fishery rehabilitation of the Rock River, Dane, Dodge, Columbia, Fond du Lac, Green Lake, Jefferson, Rock, Washington, Walworth and Waukesha Counties, Wisconsin.

Pursuant to section 102(2)(C) of the National Environmental Policy Act of 1969, Pub. L. 91-190, the Department of the Interior has prepared a final environmental statement for the fishery rehabilitation of the Rock River, Wisconsin.

The Wisconsin Department of Natural Resources, in cooperation with the U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service, proposes to continue a multi-staged project started in the mid-1960's of aquatic habitat enhancement in southeastern Wisconsin. The primary thrust of this project is population reduction (and elimination where practical) of an exotic fish, the carp, through registered use of two chemicals, antimycin and rotenone. The marshes, lakes and streams of Rock River watershed above Indianford Dam will be restored to dominance by native plants and animals for the benefit of people—people interested in sport fishing, waterfowl hunting, fur animal trapping, bird watching, nature study, and other expressions of outdoor recreation.

Copies of the final statement are available for inspection at the following locations:

U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service
Branch of Environmental Coordination
Department of the Interior
18th and C Streets, NW.—Room 2254
Washington, D.C. 20240

U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service
Division of Planning and Assistance
Federal Building, Fort Snelling
Twin Cities, Minnesota 55111

Wisconsin Department of Natural Resources
Pyare Square Building
4610 University Avenue
Madison, Wisconsin 53701

Single copies may be obtained by writing the Division of Ecological Services, Branch of Environmental Coordination, U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service, Department of the Interior, Washington, D.C. 20240. Comments concerning the pro-

posed action should also be addressed to the Branch of Environmental Coordination. Please refer to the statement number above.

Dated: April 14, 1975.

STANLEY D. DOREMUS,
Deputy Assistant Secretary of the Interior.

[FR Doc.75-10071 Filed 4-16-75; 8:45 am]

OIL SHALE ENVIRONMENTAL ADVISORY PANEL

Charter Change

The Charter for the Oil Shale Environmental Advisory Panel, as published in the March 6, 1974, issue of the FEDERAL REGISTER, Volume 39, No. 45, page 8642, paragraph 3, subparagraph (c) provides that the Governor of each State in which an oil shale lease is issued may nominate three members to the Panel. The purpose of this provision was to allow participation by representatives of each State involved at any time in the Prototype Oil Shale Leasing Program. Lands in Wyoming were included in the program, but no oil shale leases were issued in Wyoming. The present wording of paragraph 3, subparagraph (c) of the Charter has the effect of barring the appointment to the Panel of representatives of the Governor of Wyoming.

In order that all States included in the prototype program at any time may be represented on the Panel, the wording of the second sentence of paragraph 3(c) is changed to read as follows: The Governor of each State in which an oil shale lease has been offered for sale since November 30, 1973, may nominate for appointment by the Secretary of the Interior three members, two of whom shall be employed by the State and represent State agencies and one of whom shall be chosen from among persons active in environmental matters.

State and Federal employees who are members of the Panel have the flexibility to be represented by specialists at Panel meetings. Authority is needed to give public members of the Panel the same flexibility.

In order that public members of the Panel may be represented by alternates at Panel meetings, the following four sentences are added after the last sentence of paragraph 3, subparagraph (c): Any public member of the Panel may be represented by an alternate in any Panel business. However, an alternate must be nominated by the same officer, or his successor, who nominated the public member. Such nominees must be approved by the Secretary before they may serve on any Panel business. More than one alternate may be appointed for a public member, but only one alternate may represent the public member at any Panel meeting.

Dated: April 14, 1975.

ROGERS C. B. MORTON,
Secretary of the Interior.

[FR Doc.75-10017 Filed 4-16-75; 8:45 am]

CENTRAL AND FIELD ORGANIZATION

Functions

This notice is published in accordance with the provisions of subsection (a)(1)(A) of section 552, Title 5, United States Code. It supersedes the notice published in the FEDERAL REGISTER on August 26, 1972 (37 FR 17431). Provided herein is a description of the central and field organization of the Department of the Interior. Places at which the public may obtain information and the functions of the various bureaus and offices of the Department are also included. More specific information with respect to the course and method by which functions are performed, procedures, and substantive provisions are contained in the public regulations of the Department. References to applicable public regulations are listed with each pertinent organization description.

Internal departmental directives are published in the departmental manual which is available for inspection in the Department's library, Interior Building, Washington, D.C., and at each of the headquarters or regional offices of bureaus of the Department as prescribed in 43 CFR 2.3. The administrative manuals of those bureaus which have issued such documents are available for inspection at the headquarters offices and at the regional offices of the bureaus.

Dated: April 10, 1975.

RICHARD R. HITE,
Deputy Assistant Secretary of the Interior.

OFFICE OF THE SECRETARY SECRETARY

The Secretary of the Interior, as the head of an executive department, reports directly to the President and is responsible for the direction and supervision of all activities of the Department. He also has certain powers or supervisory responsibilities relating to Territorial governments.

UNDER SECRETARY

The Under Secretary assists the Secretary in the discharge of his duties and in the absence of the latter performs his functions. With the exception of certain matters reserved by the Secretary, the Under Secretary has the full authority of the Secretary.

FISH AND WILDLIFE AND PARKS

The Assistant Secretary for Fish and Wildlife and Parks discharges the duties of the Secretary with the authority and direct responsibility for programs associated with the development, conservation, and utilization of fish, wildlife, recreation, historical, and national park system resources of the Nation. He represents the Department in the coordination of marine environmental quality and biological resources programs with other Federal agencies. The Assistant Secretary for Fish and Wildlife and Parks exercises Secretarial direction and

supervision over the United States Fish and Wildlife Service and National Park Service, and the Bureau of Outdoor Recreation.

ENERGY AND MINERALS

The Assistant Secretary—Energy and Minerals discharges the duties of the Secretary with the authority and direct responsibility for programs associated with mineral data and analysis; generation, transmission, and marketing of electric power except for those functions performed in the Bureau of Reclamation; mine health, safety, and training programs; topographic, geologic, and mineral resources matters; energy, metallurgical, and mining research and development; and emergency preparedness and natural disaster energy and minerals functions. He is responsible for the development and coordination of ocean mineral resources affairs, and provides coordination of Interior's operations with the Board on Geographic Names. The Assistant Secretary—Energy and Minerals exercises Secretarial direction and supervision over the Geological Survey; Bureau of Mines; Mining Enforcement and Safety Administration; the Bonneville, Southwestern, Southeastern, and Alaska Power Administrations; Office of Research and Development; Defense Electric Power Administration; Ocean Mining Administration; and the Office of Minerals Policy Development.

LAND AND WATER RESOURCES

The Assistant Secretary—Land and Water Resources discharges the duties of the Secretary with the authority and direct responsibility for programs associated with land use and water planning; public land management; construction and operation of multi-purpose dams and water distribution facilities; marketing of water and specified Bureau of Reclamation hydroelectric power projects; conversion of saline water and water resources research; and emergency preparedness water resources functions. The Assistant Secretary—Land and Water Resources exercises Secretarial direction and supervision over the Bureau of Land Management, Bureau of Reclamation, Office of Land Use and Water Planning, and the Office of Water Research and Technology.

CONGRESSIONAL AND LEGISLATIVE AFFAIRS

The Assistant Secretary—Congressional and Legislative Affairs discharges the duties of the Secretary with the authority and direct responsibility for programs associated with legislative and congressional liaison activities. Secretarial offices appropriately identified with the functions previously described are under his supervision.

PROGRAM DEVELOPMENT AND BUDGET

The Assistant Secretary—Program Development and Budget discharges the duties of the Secretary with the authority and direct responsibility for Department-wide programs related to interagency and interdisciplinary subjects concerning natural resources manage-

ment and environmental quality; budget management; comprehensive planning; policy analysis; economic analyses of departmental programs and natural and environmental resources issues; and committee management. Secretarial offices appropriately identified with the functions previously described are under his supervision.

MANAGEMENT

The Assistant Secretary—Management discharges the duties of the Secretary with the authority and direct responsibility for all phases of management and administrative activities including management consulting, automatic data processing and management information systems programs, directives and reports management; the Department's management by objectives program; organization planning; executive and manpower development, labor-management relations, and personnel management and program evaluation; real and personal property, supply, space, records, security, telecommunications, printing and reproduction, and other administrative services, central coordination of emergency preparedness and disaster assistance programs; accounting and financial management; safety management; procurement, contracting, audit, inspection and investigative operations, library services; direction of the Department's Youth and manpower training and conservation programs; law enforcement; and other related activities. Secretarial offices appropriately identified with the functions previously described are under his supervision.

SOLICITOR

The Solicitor is the principal legal adviser to the Secretary and the chief law

officer of the Department. He is responsible for and has supervision over all of the legal work of the Department, with the exception of that performed by the Office of Hearings and Appeals.

FIELD COMMITTEES

The Departmental Field Committees promote the development and execution of coordinated regional natural resource programs for the Department and facilitate the coordination of field activities which involve two or more bureaus or which have special significance to the Department's overall objectives. Field Committees are composed of regional directors or other ranking officials approved by the heads of bureaus and offices. The regional Special Assistants to the Secretary serve as Chairmen of the field committees in their respective regions.

The regional Special Assistants to the Secretary maintain continuous surveillance over the entire range of the Department's program activities, provide leadership and assistance in the coordination of departmental programs and policies where more than one bureau or program interest is involved, and when directed by the Secretary, coordinate Department participation in major interagency and intergovernmental efforts.

The regional Special Assistants to the Secretary serve as departmental representatives on various interagency river basin committees and on Federal-State river basin commissions authorized by the Water Resources Planning Act of 1965.

REGIONAL ASSIGNMENTS

<i>Field committee area region</i>	<i>Field representative address</i>
Northeast: Maine, New Hampshire, Vermont, Massachusetts, Connecticut, New Jersey, New York, Maryland, Delaware, Rhode Island, Pennsylvania, Virginia, West Virginia.	John F. Kennedy Federal Building, Room 2003K, Boston, Mass. 02203.
Southeast: Alabama, Florida, Georgia, Mississippi, North Carolina, South Carolina, Kentucky, Tennessee, Puerto Rico.	404 Financial Services Building, 148 Cain Street, NE, Atlanta, Ga. 30303.
North Central: Michigan, Minnesota, Illinois, Indiana, Ohio, Wisconsin.	230 South Dearborn Street, 32d floor, Chicago, Ill. 60604.
Missouri Basin: Montana, North Dakota, South Dakota, Wyoming, Nebraska, Iowa, Missouri, Utah, Colorado, Kansas.	Building 67, Denver Federal Center, Denver, Colo. 80225.
Southwest: New Mexico, Texas, Oklahoma, Arkansas, Louisiana.	Federal Building, Room 4030, 517 Gold Avenue SW, Albuquerque, N. Mex. 87101.
Pacific Northwest: Idaho, Oregon, Washington.	Bonneville Building, Room 702, 1002 Northeast Holladay Street, Box 3621, Portland, Ore. 97208.
Pacific Southwest: California, Nevada, Arizona, Hawaii.	450 Golden Gate Avenue, Box 36098, San Francisco, Calif. 94102.
Alaska: Alaska	P.O. Box 120, Anchorage, Alaska 99501

For pertinent codified regulations issued by the Office of the Secretary see Code of Federal Regulations, Title 43, Subtitle A; and Title 41, Chapters 14 and 114.

OTHER DEPARTMENTAL OFFICES

The following described offices are neither a part of the Office of the Secretary nor bureaus of the Department. Information concerning these offices may be obtained directly from the appropriate office director, Department of the Interior, Washington, D.C. 20240.

OFFICE OF THE SOLICITOR

The Office of the Solicitor performs all legal work for the entire Department with the exception of that performed by the Office of Hearings and Appeals, and specified territorial matters. In addition to the legal work directly concerned with the programs and activities of the Department, the Office of the Solicitor handles matters relating to torts, other claims, and inventions by personnel of the Department.

OFFICE OF THE SOLICITOR—DEPARTMENT OF THE INTERIOR

Region	Address
Anchorage—Alaska	Anchorage Legal Center, 1016 West 6th Ave., Suite 201, Anchorage, Alaska 99501.
Atlanta—Alabama, Florida, Georgia, Kentucky, Mississippi, North Carolina, South Carolina, Puerto Rico, Tennessee, Virgin Islands.	148 Cain St. NE., Suite 410, Atlanta, Ga. 30303.
Denver—Colorado, Iowa, Kansas, Missouri, Montana, Nebraska, North Dakota, South Dakota, Wyoming.	P.O. Box 25007, Denver Federal Center, Denver, Colo. 80225.
Philadelphia—Connecticut, Delaware, Illinois, Indiana, Maine, Massachusetts, Michigan, Maryland, Minnesota, New Hampshire, New Jersey, New York, Ohio, Pennsylvania, Rhode Island, Vermont, West Virginia, Wisconsin.	Merchants' Exchange Bldg., 143 South Third Street, Philadelphia, Pa. 19106.
Portland—Idaho, Oregon, Washington	P.O. Box 3621, Room 766, 1002 Northeast Holladay St., Portland, Oreg. 97208.
Sacramento—Arizona, California, Hawaii, Nevada	Federal Building, Room E-2753, 2800 Cottage Way, Sacramento, Calif. 95825.
Salt Lake City—Utah	Federal Building, Suite 6201, 125 South State Street, Salt Lake City, Utah 84111.
Tulsa—Arkansas, Louisiana, New Mexico, Oklahoma, Texas.	P.O. Box 3156 (ZIP code 74101), Room 3068, Page Belcher Federal Building, 333 West 4th Street, Tulsa, Okla. 74103.

OFFICE OF WATER RESEARCH AND TECHNOLOGY

The Office of Water Research and Technology (OWRT) performs water resources research and development activities (through contracts and grants) and related functions vested in the Secretary of the Interior under the Water Resources Research Act of 1964 as amended (78 Stat. 329, 80 Stat. 129; 42 U.S.C. 1961 et seq.) and under the Saline Water Conversion Act of 1971 (85 Stat. 159; 42 U.S.C. 1959 et seq.). The fundamental purposes of OWRT are to develop new or improved technology and methods for solving or mitigating existing and projected State, regional and nationwide water resource problems, to train water scientists and engineers through their on-the-job participation in research work, and to accomplish water research coordination and research results information dissemination activities. To do this OWRT carries out the following general functions:

Administration of a cooperative program with university Water Resources Research Institutes, designated by the States, for research, investigations, and experiments, as well as the training of scientists through such research, directed toward solving water and water related problems of the States and the

hydrological regions of the Nation (Title I of Pub. L. 88-379), together with a research program directed toward solving or mitigating water problems related to the mission of the Department of the Interior, using research competence available at private firms and foundations, colleges and universities, and elsewhere (Title II of Pub. L. 88-379);

Conducting research and development activities and related studies directed toward developing methods, equipment, and processes that will establish practical means for economical production, from sea and other saline water, of water suitable for agricultural, industrial, municipal, and other beneficial uses (Pub. L. 92-60);

Management of a Water Resources Scientific Information Center to furnish information to the Nation's water resources community, in project abstract and other summary formats, regarding ongoing water research projects and the results obtained from completed water resources studies and investigations; and

Performance of Federal interagency water resources research coordination functions for the interagency Committee on Water Resources Research of the Federal Council for Science and Technology, including but not limited to the OWRT coordination responsibilities under Pub. L. 88-379.

For further information, contact the Office of Water Research and Technology, Department of the Interior, Washington, D.C. 20240. Phone, 202-343-5881 or 202-343-4607.

For pertinent codified regulations issued by the Office of Water Research and Technology, see Code of Federal Regulations, Title 18, Chapter IV; and Title 41, Chapter 14R.

OFFICE OF LAND USE AND WATER PLANNING

The Office of Land Use and Water Planning was established on May 7, 1973, by Secretarial Order No. 2953. The Office, under the Assistant Secretary—Land and Water Resources, is responsible for developing program and legislative initiatives to strengthen State and local land and resource management capabilities; serving as Departmental focal point for discussion and coordination of land use and water planning policies for Federal and non-Federal agencies; liaison with national organizations having an interest in land use planning; serving as staff to the Secretary in his role as Chairman of the Water Resources Council and coordinating all Departmental input to the Water Resources Council; providing and monitoring a work program for the Departmental field planners, including their coordination of Departmental input to the River Basin Commissions and River Basin Interagency Committees; and assisting in preparation of Departmental positions and programs dealing with participation in the Federal Regional Councils.

DEFENSE ELECTRIC POWER ADMINISTRATION

The Defense Electric Power Administration (DEPA) was created during the Korean conflict in 1950 and reestablished by the Secretary of the Interior in 1959.

The purpose of DEPA is to perform emergency preparedness functions covering electric power and, in the event of a declared civil defense emergency or a major natural disaster, to exercise the authority of the Secretary of the Interior with respect to electric power.

DEPA develops and maintains plans to provide a state of readiness in electric power for all declared emergency conditions. In this work, DEPA performs those functions specified in Executive Order 11490 of October 28, 1969, which apply to electric power in a national civil defense emergency; and OEP Circular 4000.10A of December 23, 1971, which apply to electric power natural disaster assistance. In a declared national emergency DEPA functions would be to assure that an adequate supply of electric power was available to meet defense and essential civilian needs; in a natural disaster DEPA will maintain surveillance of the disaster situations and conditions as related to electric power and assure that effective and timely assistance is rendered to State and local governments.

OFFICE OF RESEARCH AND DEVELOPMENT

The Office of Research and Development was established on May 7, 1973,

by Secretarial Order No. 2953. The Office was established to coordinate energy and minerals research and development activities. The Office sets priorities and formulates research and development budgets, oversees development of new research and development programs, and evaluates the progress and results of all energy related research and development conducted or sponsored by the Department. The Office undertakes research related to establishing goals and priorities as applied to strategic research and development planning.

OFFICE OF HEARINGS AND APPEALS

The Office of Hearings and Appeals was established by the Secretary on April 8, 1970, to consolidate related functions and to provide for more effective departmental appeals procedures.

The Office of Hearings and Appeals is responsible for departmental quasi-judicial and related functions. Administrative law judges and five formal boards of appeal render decisions in cases pertaining to contract disputes; Indian probate and administrative appeals; public and acquired lands and their resources; submerged offshore lands of the Outer Continental Shelf; mine health and safety; claims under the Alaska Native Claims Settlement Act; and enforcement of the importation and transportation of rare and endangered species. The Director of the Office of Hearings and Appeals may assign administrative law judges for the purpose of holding rule-making hearings and he may also assign administrative law judges or establish ad hoc boards of appeal to meet special requirements of disputes not falling under one of the previously listed categories. Decisions of the boards are final for the Department.

The Office includes the headquarters organization and two offices in the field for departmental administrative law judges and 11 field offices for Indian probate administrative law judges. It also includes an office in Alaska which serves as the headquarters of the Alaska Native Claims Appeal Board.

OFFICE OF TERRITORIAL AFFAIRS

The Office of Territorial Affairs was established on February 6, 1973, by Secretarial Order No. 2951. The Office is responsible for the promotion of the economic, social, and political development of the territories of Guam, American Samoa, the Virgin Islands, and the Trust Territory of the Pacific Islands. The Office also discharges the responsibilities of the Secretary of the Interior as they relate to those and all other non-contiguous territories under the jurisdiction of the Secretary.

OFFICE OF MINERALS POLICY DEVELOPMENT

The Office of Minerals Policy Development was established on April 17, 1974, by Secretarial Order No. 2964. The Office oversees the development of policies, programs, and legislative initiatives regarding mineral development and conservation, recycling, and substitution; performs departmental level analyses of policy options and the state of research and development and their effect

on future mineral markets; and coordinates mineral policy analysis, mineral conservation, and mineral data analysis within the Department. The Office performs selective departmental analysis in energy and electric power, and collects, processes, and analyzes energy data. The Office also oversees the development of comprehensive mineral data and information systems within the Department, including standards for statistical processes for data management pertaining to minerals; analytical models and techniques; and forecasting, evaluation, and appraisals of mineral plans and programs.

OCEAN MINING ADMINISTRATION

The Ocean Mining Administration, under the supervision of the Assistant Secretary—Energy and Minerals, was established by Secretary's Order 2971 of February 26, 1975. It is responsible for policy formulation on the development of ocean mineral resources, the implementation of a domestic ocean mining development program with special emphasis on its relationship to ongoing and future international negotiations on the law of the sea and ocean mining, supervision of ocean minerals technology and resource assessments, supervision of ocean mineral resources environmental studies, liaison with other Federal agencies concerned with ocean mineral resources development and regulatory aspects of ocean mining. To the extent that the functions of the Ocean Mining Administration involve the leasing of lands for the recovery of minerals, it makes use of the expertise and facilities of the Assistant Secretary—Land and Water Resources, and ensures effective consultation and coordination with the Bureau of Land Management. It is anticipated that this organization and its functions will be transferred intact to the United States Geological Survey upon conclusion of international negotiations on ocean mining and enactment of appropriate legislation and regulations—not later than June 30, 1977.

BUREAU OF MINES

The Bureau of Mines was established July 1, 1910, in the Department of the Interior by the Organic Act of May 16, 1910 (36 Stat. 369; 30 U.S.C. secs. 1, 3, 5-7), as amended. The 1910 act has been supplemented by several statutes including those that authorize production and sale of helium, and research and environmental problems associated with minerals.

The Bureau of Mines is primarily a research and factfinding agency. Its goal is to stimulate private industry to produce a substantial share of the Nation's mineral needs in ways that best protect the public interest. Basic and applied research are conducted to develop the technology for the extraction, processing, use, and recycling of the Nation's mineral resources at a reasonable cost without harm to the environment or the workers involved. Typical areas of research are mine health and safety, recycling of solid wastes, improvement of coal production technology, abatement of pollution and land damage caused by mineral extrac-

tion and processing operations, and development of ways to use domestic low-grade ores as alternative sources of critical minerals that must currently be imported.

In addition, the Bureau helps administer some environmental repair programs specifically authorized by laws like the Appalachian Regional Development Act.

The Bureau also collects, compiles, analyzes, and publishes statistical and economic information on all phases of mineral resource development, including exploration, production, shipments, demand, stocks, prices, imports, and exports. Special studies are frequently made on subjects of particular national interest, such as the effects of potential economic, technologic, or legal developments on resource availability.

For further information, contact the Office of Mineral Information, Bureau of Mines, 2401 E Street NW., Washington, D.C. 20241. Phone 202-634-1001.

For pertinent codified regulations issued by the Bureau of Mines, see Code of Federal Regulations, Title 30, Chapter VI.

MINING ENFORCEMENT AND SAFETY ADMINISTRATION

The Mining Enforcement and Safety Administration was established by Order No. 2953 issued by the Secretary of the Interior, effective May 7, 1973. This order separated from the Bureau of Mines administration of the Federal Metal and Nonmetallic Mine Safety Act (80 Stat. 772; 30 U.S.C. 721), and, except for certain research functions, the Federal Coal Mine Health and Safety Act of 1969 (83 Stat. 742; 30 U.S.C. 801).

Programs are conducted to control health hazards and reduce fatalities and injuries in the mineral extraction industries through inspection, investigation, and enforcement; assessment of penalties for violations; technical support; and education, training, and motivation.

Mandatory health and safety standards and regulations are developed or revised as warranted by new technology or by changing conditions.

For further information, contact the Mining Enforcement and Safety Administration, Information Office, Room 330, 4015 Wilson Blvd., Arlington, Va. 22203. Phone 703-557-2315.

For pertinent codified regulations issued by the Mining Enforcement and Safety Administration, see Code of Federal Regulations, Title 30, Chapter I.

GEOLOGICAL SURVEY

[National Center, 12201 Sunrise Valley Drive, Reston, Va. 22092]

The Geological Survey was established by the act of March 3, 1879 (20 Stat. 394; 43 U.S.C. 31) which provided for "the classification of the public lands and the examination of the geological structure, mineral resources, and products of the national domain." The act of September 5, 1962 (76 Stat. 427; 43 U.S.C. 31 (b)), expanded this authorization to include such examination outside the national domain. Topographic mapping and chemical and physical research were

recognized as an essential part of the investigations and studies authorized by the Organic Act, and specific provision was made for them by Congress in the act of October 2, 1888 (25 Stat. 505, 526).

Provision was made in 1894 for gaging the streams and determining the water supply of the United States (28 Stat. 398). Authorizations for publication, sale, and distribution of material prepared by the geological Survey were contained in several statutes (43 U.S.C. 41-45; 44 U.S.C. 260-262).

The broad objectives of the Geological Survey are to perform surveys, investigations, and research covering topography, geology, and the mineral and water resources of the United States; classify land as to mineral character and water and power resources; enforce departmental regulations applicable to oil, gas, and other mining leases, permits, licenses, development contracts, and gas storage contracts; and publish and disseminate data relative to the foregoing activities.

CONSERVATION

The Survey classifies Federal lands as to their value for leasable minerals or for reservoir and waterpower sites; supervises the operations of private industry on geothermal, oil shale, mining, and oil and gas leases on public domain, acquired, Indian, Outer Continental Shelf, and certain Naval Petroleum Reserve lands to ensure maximum utilization and prevent waste of the mineral resources, to limit environmental damage and pollution, and to protect public health and safety; assures the public a fair market return for the disposition of its mineral resources; establishes maximum rates of production for producing wells on the Outer Continental Shelf; maintains production accounts and collects royalties; prepares and publishes maps and reports of mineral and water resources investigations on Federal lands; and provides certain Federal agencies geologic and engineering advice, evaluations, and inspection services for the management and disposition of public lands and mineral resources.

GEOLOGY

The Survey conducts highly diversified research programs to increase understanding and to aid in management of the mineral and energy potential of the land area of the United States and of the adjacent continental margins. These programs provide basic information on the character, magnitude, location, and distribution of mineral, energy, and land resources, as well as on the principles and processes involved in their formation. This information also provides a basis for many critical decisions and actions relating to land use, urban planning and development, construction practices, environmental and health problems and earthquake, volcanic, and other natural hazards. Special programs include the investigation and evaluation of geothermal resources, the maintenance of seismic and geomagnetic observatories as part of an earthquake hazards reduction program, and the administration of exploration contracts for

the discovery of domestic minerals by private industry with Federal assistance.

TOPOGRAPHIC MAPPING

The Survey prepares, publishes, and revises maps of the National Topographic Map Series covering the United States and its outlying areas. It operates the National Cartographic Information Center, which collects and furnishes information concerning maps, aerial photography, geographic positions, and elevations; geographic positions, and elevations; coordinates mapping activities financed by Federal funds; conducts research in topographic surveying and mapping, on both the techniques and the instrumentation of mapping operations; prepares and publishes the National Atlas of the United States; and carries out research on domestic geographic names.

WATER RESOURCES

The Survey determines the source, quantity, quality, distribution, movement, and availability of both surface and ground waters. This work includes investigations of floods and shortages of water supply; the evaluation of available waters in river basins and groundwater provinces; the determination of the chemical, physical and biological quality of water resources and the relationship of water quality to various parts of the hydrologic cycle; special hydrologic studies of the interrelations between climate, topography, vegetation, soils, and the water supply; research to increase the understanding of fundamental principles of water occurrence, movement, and interaction with its environment, and to improve techniques and technology; scientific and technical assistance in hydrologic fields to other Federal agencies and to licensees of the Federal Power Commission; and the coordination of Federal water data-acquisition activities.

LAND INFORMATION AND ANALYSIS PROGRAMS

Earth Resources Observation Systems (EROS). A Departmental program for acquiring, processing, distributing, and applying remote sensor data to the solution of resources and environmental problems.

Resources and Land Investigations Program (RALI). A Departmental program that develops and coordinates a directory and catalog of land and resource information and investigates, develops and demonstrates multi-disciplinary methodologies and models for

solution of environmental, and resource and land inventory problems.

Geography Program. Provides a basic program of investigations in the modern science of geography in support of fundamental earth science and land-use data analysis mapping.

Earth Sciences Applications Program. Directs and coordinates the core disciplines of the Geological Survey to demonstrate the application of earth science information to land-use decisionmaking problems.

Environmental Impact Analysis Program. Provides direction, coordination, and expertise for review of environmental impact statements.

For further information, contact the Information Officer, Geological Survey, Department of the Interior, National Center, 12201 Sunrise Valley Drive, Reston, Va. 22092.

For pertinent codified regulations issued by the Geological Survey, see Code of Federal Regulations, Title 30, Chapter II.

BUREAU OF INDIAN AFFAIRS

The Bureau of Indian Affairs was created in the War Department in 1824 and transferred to the Department of the Interior at the time of its establishment in 1849. The Snyder Act of 1921 (42 Stat. 208; 25 U.S.C. 13) provided substantive law for appropriations covering the conduct of activities by the Bureau of Indian Affairs. The scope and character of the authorizations contained in this act were broadened by the Indian Reorganization Act of 1934 (48 Stat. 984; 25 U.S.C. 461 et seq.).

The principal objectives of the Bureau are to actively encourage and train Indian and Alaska Native people to manage their own affairs under the trust relationship to the Federal Government; to facilitate, with maximum involvement of Indian and Alaska Native people, full development of their human and natural resource potentials; to mobilize all public and private aids to the advancement of Indian and Alaska Native people for use by them; and to utilize the skill and capabilities of Indian and Alaska Native people in the direction and management of programs for their benefit.

Functions. In carrying out these objectives, the Bureau works with Indians and Alaska Native people, other Federal agencies, State and local governments, and other interested groups in the development and implementation of effective programs for their advancement.

AREA OFFICES—BUREAU OF INDIAN AFFAIRS

Area	Headquarters
Aberdeen, S. Dak. 57401.....	115 Fourth Ave. SE.
Albuquerque, N. Mex. 87108.....	5301 Central Ave., NE.
Anadarko, Okla. 73065.....	Federal Bldg., P.O. Box 368.
Billings, Mont. 59101.....	316 North 26th St.
Juneau, Alaska 99802.....	Box 3-8000.
Minneapolis, Minn. 55402.....	831 Second Ave. S.
Muskogee, Okla. 74401.....	Federal Bldg.
Window Rock, Ariz. 86515.....	Navajo area office.
Phoenix, Ariz. 85011.....	124 W. Thomas Rd.
Portland, Oreg. 97208.....	1425 Irving St. NE.
Sacramento, Calif. 95825.....	2800 Cottage Way.
Eastern area.....	1951 Constitution Ave. NW., Washington, D.C. 20245.

The Bureau seeks for them adequate educational opportunities in public education systems, assists them in the creation and management of educational systems for their own benefit, or provides from Federal resources the educational systems needed; actively promotes the improvement of their social welfare by working with them to obtain and provide needed social and community development programs and services; works with them in the development and implementation of programs for their economic advancement and for full utilization of their natural resources consistent with the principles of resource conservation.

The Bureau also acts as trustee for their lands and monies held in trust by the United States, assisting them to realize maximum benefits from such resources.

For further information, contact the Office of Public Information, Bureau of

Indian Affairs, Department of the Interior, 1951 Constitution Avenue NW., Washington, D.C. 20245. Phone, 202-343-7435.

For pertinent codified regulations issued by the Bureau of Indian Affairs, see Code of Federal Regulations, Title 25, Chapter I; and Title 41, Chapter 14H.

BUREAU OF LAND MANAGEMENT

The Bureau of Land Management was established July 16, 1946, by the consolidation of the General Land Office (created in 1812) and the Grazing Service (formed in 1934). This was done in accordance with the provisions of sections 402 and 403 of the President's Reorganization Plan 3 of 1946 (5 U.S.C. 133y-16).

The Bureau manages the national resource lands and their resources. It also administers the mineral resources connected with acquired lands and the submerged lands of the Outer Continental Shelf (OCS).

Field offices—Bureau of Land Management

State offices	Area of responsibility	Address and phone number
Alaska	Alaska	555 Cordova St., Anchorage, Alaska 99501. Phone: 907-277-1561.
Arizona	Arizona	Federal Bldg., Phoenix, Ariz. 85025. Phone: 602-261-3873.
California	California	Federal Bldg., Sacramento, Calif. 95825. Phone: 916-491-4676.
Colorado	Colorado	Colorado State Bank Bldg., Denver, Colo. 80202. Phone: 303-837-4325.
Eastern States	All States bordering on and east of the Mississippi River.	7381 Eastern Ave., Silver Spring, Md. 20910. Phone: 301-427-7500.
Idaho	Idaho	Federal Bldg., Boise, Idaho 83724. Phone: 208-342-2401.
Montana	Montana, North Dakota, South Dakota	Federal Bldg., Billings, Mont. 59101. Phone: 406-245-6463.
Nevada	Nevada	Federal Bldg., Reno, Nev. 89502. Phone: 702-784-5451.
New Mexico	New Mexico, Oklahoma	Federal Bldg., Santa Fe, N. Mex. 87501. Phone: 505-988-6317.
Oregon	Oregon, Washington	729 Northeast Oregon St., Portland, Ore. 97208. Phone: 503-231-4001.
Utah	Utah	Federal Bldg., Salt Lake City, Utah 84111. Phone: 801-624-5311.
Wyoming	Wyoming, Kansas, Nebraska	Federal Bldg., Cheyenne, Wyo. 82001. Phone: 307-778-2326.

OUTER CONTINENTAL SHELF (OCS) OFFICES

Alaska	Alaska OCS	121 W. Fireweed Ln., Anchorage, Alaska 99510. Phone: 206-442-0150.
Atlantic	Atlantic OCS (north from Florida-Georgia State line)	6 World Trade Center, Suite 600 D, New York, N.Y. 10048. Phone: 212-364-2960.
Gulf of Mexico	Gulf of Mexico and Florida OCS	1001 Howard Ave., New Orleans, La. 70113. Phone: 504-569-6541.
Pacific	Pacific OCS (including Hawaii OCS)	300 North Los Angeles St., Los Angeles, Calif. 90012. Phone: 213-688-7234.

SERVICE AND SUPPORT OFFICES

Denver Service Center	Federal Center Bldg., Denver, Colo. 80225. Phone: 303-234-3229.
Boise Interagency Fire Center	3205 Vista Ave., Boise, Idaho 83705. Phone: 208-342-9237.

The Bureau organization consists basically of a headquarters in Washington, D.C., one detached office having Bureau-wide support responsibilities, and a field organization of State, District, and Outer Continental Shelf offices.

The Bureau is responsible for the total management of 450 million acres of national resource lands. These lands are located primarily in the Far West and Alaska. However, scattered parcels are located in other States. In addition to minerals management responsibilities on the national resource lands and the Outer Continental Shelf, the Bureau is also responsible for subsurface resource management of an additional 63 million acres

where mineral rights have been reserved to the Federal Government.

Resources managed and leased by the Bureau include timber, minerals, geothermal energy, wildlife habitat, livestock forage, recreation and cultural values, and open space. Bureau programs provide for the protection, orderly development, and use of the national resource lands and resources under principles of multiple use—providing for a mix of compatible goods and services from a given unit of public land—and sustained yield—the harvest of a renewable resource on a yearly schedule where the average annual harvest does not exceed the average annual growth—while main-

taining and enhancing the quality of the environment. The Bureau also manages watersheds to protect soil and enhance water quality; develops recreational opportunities on national resource lands; and makes land available through sale to individuals, organizations, local governments, and other Federal agencies when such transfer is in the public interest. Lands for certain purposes may be leased to State and local government agencies and to nonprofit organizations.

The Bureau is responsible for the survey of Federal lands and maintains public land records.

For further information, contact the Office of Public Affairs, Bureau of Land Management, Department of the Interior, Washington, D.C. 20240. Phone, 202-343-5717.

For pertinent codified regulations issued by the Bureau of Land Management, see Code of Federal Regulations, Title 43, Chapter II.

UNITED STATES FISH AND WILDLIFE SERVICE

The United States Fish and Wildlife Service's national responsibility in the service of wildlife and people reach back over 100 years to the establishment in 1871 of a predecessor agency, the Bureau of Fisheries. First created as an independent agency, the Bureau of Fisheries was later placed in the Department of Commerce. A second predecessor agency, the Bureau of Biological Survey, was established in 1885 in the Department of Agriculture.

The two Bureaus and their functions were transferred in 1939 to the Department of the Interior. They were consolidated into one agency and redesignated the Fish and Wildlife Service in 1940 by Reorganization Plan III (54 Stat. 1232).

Further reorganization came in 1956 when the Fish and Wildlife Act (70 Stat. 1119) created the United States Fish and Wildlife Service and provided for it to replace and succeed the former Fish and Wildlife Service. The Act established two Bureaus within the new Service: the Bureau of Commercial Fisheries and the Bureau of Sport Fisheries and Wildlife.

In 1970, under Reorganization Plans 3 and 4, the Bureau of Commercial Fisheries was transferred to the Department of Commerce. The Bureau of Sport Fisheries and Wildlife, which remained in Interior, was renamed by Act of Congress in April 1974 (88 Stat. 92) as the United States Fish and Wildlife Service.

The Service is composed today of a headquarters office in Washington, D.C., six regional offices in the lower 48 states, an Alaska area office, and a variety of field units and installations. These include more than 350 National Wildlife Refuges comprising more than 30 million acres; 35 fish and wildlife research stations and laboratories; cooperative research units at 45 universities across the country; nearly 100 National Fish Hatcheries; and a nationwide network of wildlife law enforcement agents and wildlife enhancement biologists.

The objective of the United States Fish and Wildlife Service, which is responsible for wild birds, mammals (except certain marine mammals), inland sport fisheries, and specific fishery research activities, is to assure maximum opportunity for the American people to benefit from fish and wildlife resources as part of their natural environment. Within this framework, the Service assists in the development of an environmental stewardship ethic for our society based on ecological principles, scientific knowledge of wildlife, and a sense of moral responsibility; guides the conservation, development, and management of the Nation's fish and wildlife resources, and administers a national program which provides opportunities to the American public to understand, appreciate, and wisely use these resources.

In the area of resource management, the Service provides leadership for the protection and improvement of land and water environments (habitat preservation), which directly benefits the living natural resources, and adds quality to human life. Activities include:

Biological monitoring, through scientific research; surveillance of pesticides, heavy metals, and thermal pollution; studies of fish and wildlife populations; and ecological studies;

Environmental impact assessment through river basin studies, including hydroelectric dams, nuclear power sites, stream channelization, dredge and fill permits; associated research; and environmental impact statement review;

Area planning and preservation involving river basins, wilderness areas, and special studies, such as oil shale and geothermal energy.

The Service is responsible for improving and maintaining fish and wildlife resources by proper management of migratory birds and other wildlife; control of population imbalances and fulfilling the public demand for recreational fishing while maintaining the Nation's fisheries at a level and in a condition that will assure their continued survival. Specific wildlife and fishery resources programs include:

Migratory birds, wildlife refuge management for production, migration, and wintering; game law enforcement; research, including bird banding, harvest and survival rate studies, breeding, migrating, and wintering surveys, and disease studies;

Mammals and nonmigratory birds, refuge management of resident species (primarily big game); law enforcement; research on disease and population distribution, including marine mammals, species transplants; and technical assistance;

Animal damage control, operational measures through cooperative programs to control predator, rodent, and bird depredations on crops and livestock; research on nonlethal control methods and predator-prey relationships;

Cooperative fish and wildlife research units, located at 45 universities to conduct research and supervise graduate student research, complementing the

Service's wildlife and fishery research programs:

Coastal anadromous fish, hatchery production, stocking, and research on nutrition, disease, and habitat requirements in 16 of the 24 coastal States;

Great Lakes fisheries, hatchery production of lake trout; fishery management in cooperation with Canada and the States, and research;

Reservoir fisheries, hatchery production, and stocking of large impoundments and control methods;

Other inland fisheries, hatchery production and stocking of State-managed waters, and Indian lands; technical assistance; and research on genetics, disease, nutrition, and taxonomy.

The Service provides national and international leadership in the area of endangered fish and wildlife from the standpoint of both restoration as well as preventive measures involving threatened species. This program includes development of species lists, recovery plans, conduct of status surveys, coordination of efforts nationally and internationally; research on propagation methods, distribution, genetics, and behavior; operation of wildlife refuges; law enforcement, foreign importation enforcement, and consultant services to foreign countries.

Conservation education and public information programs include: conservation education talks, TV and radio appearances; preparation of leaflets and brochures; operation of environmental study areas on Service lands for use by school groups and teachers; operation of visitor centers, self-guided nature trails, observation towers, display ponds, etc., and providing for recreational activities, such as hunting, fishing, wildlife photography, swimming, and picnicking.

The Service's anadromous fish program provides for reimbursements to State and other non-Federal cooperators of up to 60 percent of the cost of projects designed to conserve, develop, and enhance the anadromous fishery resources of the Nation, including fish in the Great Lakes that ascend streams to spawn.

The following receipts and funds are administered by the Service:

Migratory Bird Conservation Account. Receipts from the sale of Federal hunting (duck) stamps are utilized to acquire, by fee or easement, migratory bird refuges and waterfowl production areas.

National Wildlife Refuge Fund. Receipts derived from the sale of products from National Wildlife Refuges are used for payments to counties, in which refuges are located, for schools and roads, management of the refuge system, and enforcement of the Migratory Bird Treaty Act.

Federal Aid in Fish Restoration and Management. Receipts derived from the excise tax on items of sport fishing tackle provide for reimbursement to States for up to 75 percent of the cost of approved State fish restoration and management projects, including their research into fish culture, formulation of restocking plans, and acquisition and improvement of fish habitat.

Federal Aid in Wildlife Restoration. Receipts derived from the excise tax on sporting arms and ammunition are similarly disbursed to the States for up to 75 percent of the cost of approved State wildlife restoration projects, including State acquisition and development of land and water areas for wildlife management research and the cost of approved hunter safety programs.

Contributed Funds. Funds donated by individuals and groups are used for fish and wildlife programs, including wetlands preservation and sea lamprey control work supported by the Great Lakes Fishery Commission.

Job Corps Conservation Centers. The Service operates residential Job Corps Conservation Centers at Wichita Mountains National Wildlife Refuge, Cache, Okla., and the Mingo National Wildlife Refuge, Puxico, Mo.

In addition approximately 36 residential and nonresidential Youth Conservation Corps Camps are operated at select National Wildlife Refuges and National Fish Hatcheries during the summer (8 weeks) providing a conservation work-experience for youth, ages 15 through 18.

For further information, contact the Assistant Director—Public Affairs, United States Fish and Wildlife Service, Department of the Interior, Washington, D.C. 20240. Phone, 202-343-5634.

For pertinent codified regulations issued by the United States Fish and Wildlife Service, see Code of Federal Regulations, Title 50, Chapters I and IV.

Regional offices—U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service

Region	Address	Phone
Atlanta—Alabama, Arkansas, Florida, Georgia, Kentucky, Louisiana, Mississippi, North Carolina, Puerto Rico, South Carolina, Tennessee, Virgin Islands.	17 Executive Park Dr. NE., Atlanta, Ga. 30329.	404-526-4671.
Albuquerque—Arizona, New Mexico, Oklahoma, Texas.	P.O. Box 1306, Albuquerque, N. Mex. 87103.	505-766-2321.
Anchorage—Alaska.	813 D St., Anchorage, Alaska 99501.	206-442-6150, tell the operator you want 907-265-4864.
Boston—Connecticut, Delaware, Maine, Maryland, Massachusetts, New Hampshire, New Jersey, New York, Pennsylvania, Rhode Island, Vermont, Virginia, West Virginia.	John W. McCormack Post Office and Courthouse, Boston, Mass. 02109.	617-233-2961.
Denver—Colorado, Iowa, Kansas, Missouri, Montana, Nebraska, North Dakota, South Dakota, Utah, Wyoming.	P.O. Box 25486, Denver Federal Center, Denver, Colo. 80225.	303-234-2200.
Portland—California, Hawaii, Idaho, Nevada, Oregon, Washington.	P.O. Box 3737, Portland, Ore. 97208.	503-234-3361, extension 4050.
Twin Cities—Illinois, Indiana, Michigan, Minnesota, Ohio, Wisconsin.	Federal Bldg., Fort Snelling, Twin Cities, Minn. 55111.	612-726-3500.

THE NATIONAL PARK SERVICE

The National Park Service was established in the Department of the Interior on August 25, 1916 (39 Stat. 535; 16 U.S.C. 1).

The National Park Service administers for the American people an extensive system of national parks, monuments, historic sites, and recreation areas. The objectives of the National Park Service are to administer the properties under its jurisdiction for the enjoyment and education of our citizens, to protect the natural environment of the areas, and to assist States, local governments, and citizen groups in the development of park areas, the protection of the natural environment, and the preservation of historic properties.

The National Park Service has a Service Center in Denver that provides planning, architectural, engineering, and other professional services; and a Center for production of interpretive exhibits, audiovisual materials, and publications in Harpers Ferry, W. Va. There are about 300 units in the National Park System, in three categories: Natural, historical, and recreational. Natural areas are the national parks and monuments established primarily for their scenic and scientific values. Historical areas are those sites associated with important movements, events, and personalities of the American past. Recreational areas include scenic parkways, reservoirs, seashores, lakeshores, and riverways.

Activities. The National Park Service develops and implements park management plans and staffs the areas under its administration. It relates the natural values and historical significance of these areas to the public through talks, tours, films, exhibits, publications, and other interpretive media. It operates campgrounds and other visitor facilities and provides—usually through concessions—lodging, food, and transportation services in many areas.

In addition to preserving, managing, and interpreting to the public the lands and resources under its administration, it sponsors programs to identify and recognize natural and historic landmarks, to recover archeological remains, to record significant architectural and engineering works, and to assist through grants-in-aid the preservation of non-Federal historic properties. It cooperates with local school administrations in the presentation of environmental education programs.

For further information, contact the Assistant to the Director, Public Affairs, National Park Service, Department of the Interior, Washington, DC 20240. Phone, 202-343-7394.

For pertinent codified regulations issued by the National Park Service, see Code of Federal Regulations, Title 36, Chapter I.

REGIONAL OFFICES—NATIONAL PARK SERVICE

Region	Address
North Atlantic—Maine, New Hampshire, Vermont, Massachusetts, Rhode Island, Connecticut, New York, New Jersey.	150 Causeway St., Boston, Mass. 02114.
Mid-Atlantic—Pennsylvania, Maryland, West Virginia, Delaware, Virginia.	143 South 3d St., Philadelphia, Pa. 19106.
Southeast—Alabama, Florida, Georgia, Kentucky, Mississippi, North Carolina, South Carolina, Tennessee, Puerto Rico, Virgin Islands.	3401 Whipple St., Atlanta, Ga. 30344.
Midwest—Ohio, Indiana, Michigan, Wisconsin, Illinois, Minnesota, Iowa, Missouri, Nebraska, Kansas.	1709 Jackson St., Omaha, Nebr. 68102.
Rocky Mountain—Montana, North Dakota, South Dakota, Wyoming, Utah, Colorado.	645-655 Parfet Ave., Denver, Colo. 80215.
Southwest—Arkansas, Louisiana, New Mexico, Oklahoma, Texas.	Box 728, Santa Fe, N. Mex. 87501.
Western—Arizona, California, Nevada, Hawaii.	450 Golden Gate Ave., San Francisco, Calif. 94102.
Pacific Northwest—Alaska, Idaho, Oregon, Washington.	1424 4th Ave., Seattle, Wash. 98101.
National capital parks—Metropolitan area of Washington, D.C.	1100 Ohio Dr. SW., Washington, D.C. 20242.

BUREAU OF OUTDOOR RECREATION

The Bureau of Outdoor Recreation was created April 2, 1962. Under the act of May 28, 1963 (16 U.S.C. 460), the Bureau is responsible for promoting coordination and development of effective programs relating to outdoor recreation. The Bureau carries out most of the responsibilities delegated to the Secretary under the Land and Water Conservation Fund Act of 1965, as amended (16 U.S.C. 460f-4). Numerous functions are performed under the Federal Water Project Recreation Act (16 U.S.C. 460i-12); the Department of Transportation Act, as amended (49 U.S.C. 1653); the Wild and Scenic Rivers Act (82 Stat. 906); the National Trails System Act (82 Stat. 919); and the Federal Property and Administrative Services Act, as amended (40 U.S.C. 484).

The Bureau serves as the Federal focal point to assure prompt and coordinated action at all levels of government for coordinating, planning, and financing public outdoor recreation; and encouraging and assisting all governmental and private interests to conserve, develop, and utilize outdoor recreation resources for the benefit and enjoyment of present and future generations.

Activities. Under the Land and Water Conservation Act of 1965, the Bureau administers a program of financial assistance grants to States and their political subdivisions for comprehensive planning, land acquisition, and facility development. The Fund also helps finance the acquisition of Federal lands and water areas for recreational purposes and the Bureau participates directly in the planning, coordination, and establishment of uniform policies relating to recreation and fish and wildlife benefits and costs of Federal multipurpose water resource projects.

The Bureau has responsibility for formulating and implementing a comprehensive Nationwide Outdoor Recreation Plan that encompasses the needs and demands of the public for outdoor recreation, the current and foreseeable availability of outdoor recreation resources to meet those needs, critical outdoor recreation problems, and recommended desirable actions to be taken at each level of government and by private interests. The Bureau also promotes coordination of Federal plans and activities relating to outdoor recreation; cooperates with and provides technical assistance to States, political subdivisions, and private interests; encourages interstate and regional cooperation, monitors and stimulates research relating to outdoor recreation; and cooperates with and provides technical assistance to other Federal departments and agencies. Certain rivers and trails are also studied for possible inclusion in the national wild and scenic rivers and trails systems. Under the Department of Transportation Act the possible adverse effects of transportation projects and programs on parks, recreation areas, and wildlife and waterfowl refuges are reviewed. Another important part of the Bureau's mission, under the National Environmental Policy Act is to review Federal actions having an impact on outdoor recreation. The Bureau also acts on applications from States and local governments requesting the conveyance of surplus Federal real property for public park and recreation purposes.

For further information, contact the Division of Personnel and Management, Bureau of Outdoor Recreation, Department of the Interior, Washington, D.C. 20240. Phone, 202-343-4805.

REGIONAL OFFICES—BUREAU OF OUTDOOR RECREATION

Region	Address
Northeast—Maine, Vermont, New Hampshire, New York, Massachusetts, Connecticut, Rhode Island, Pennsylvania, New Jersey, Maryland, Delaware, West Virginia, Virginia, District of Columbia.	600 Arch St., Philadelphia, Pa. 19106.
Southeast—Alabama, Florida, Georgia, Kentucky, Tennessee, Mississippi, North Carolina, Puerto Rico, South Carolina.	148 Cain St., Atlanta, Ga. 30303.
Lake Central—Indiana, Illinois, Michigan, Minnesota, Ohio, Wisconsin.	3853 Research Park Dr., Ann Arbor, Mich. 48104.
Midcontinent—Colorado, Iowa, Kansas, Missouri, Montana, Nebraska, North Dakota, South Dakota, Utah, Wyoming.	Denver Federal Center, Building 41, P.O. Box 25387, Denver, Colo. 80225.
South Central—Arkansas, Louisiana, New Mexico, Oklahoma, Texas.	5000 Marble Ave. NE., Albuquerque, N. Mex. 87110.
Northwest—Alaska, Idaho, Oregon, Washington.	915 2d Ave., Seattle, Wash. 98104.
Pacific Southwest—American Samoa, Arizona, California, Guam, Hawaii, Nevada.	450 Golden Gate Ave., San Francisco, Calif. 94102.

BUREAU OF RECLAMATION

The Reclamation Act of 1902 (43 U.S.C. 391 et seq.), authorized the Secretary of the Interior to locate, construct, operate, and maintain works for the storage, diversion, and development of waters for the reclamation of arid and semiarid lands in the Western States. To perform these functions, the Secretary in July 1902 established a Reclamation Service in the Geological Survey. In March 1907 the Reclamation Service was separated from the Survey, and in June 1923, the name was changed to Bureau of Reclamation.

The basic objectives of the Federal Reclamation program are to assist the States, local governments, and other Federal agencies to stabilize and stimulate local and regional economies, enhance and protect the environment, and improve the quality of life through development of water and related land resources throughout the 17 contiguous Western States and Hawaii.

Reclamation projects, through a multiple purpose concept, provide for some or all of the following purposes: (1) Municipal and industrial water service, (2) hydroelectric power generation and transmission, (3) irrigation water service, (4) water quality improvement, (5) fish and wildlife enhancement, (6) outdoor recreation, (7) flood control, (8) navigation, (9) river regulation and control, and (10) related uses. Through contractual agreements with project bene-

ficiaries, the Bureau arranges for repayment to the Government of reimbursable project construction and operation and maintenance costs. About 86 percent of all project costs are reimbursable. Interest is paid on costs allocated to power and to municipal and industrial water service.

Major functions of the Bureau include: (1) Investigation and development of plans for the regulation, conservation, and utilization of water and related resources, including basin-wide water studies and new sources of fresh water supplies, power capacity, and energy; (2) research programs to maximize use of resources, including weather modification; (3) design and construction of authorized projects for which funds have been appropriated by the Congress; (4) repair and rehabilitation of existing projects; (5) operation and maintenance of Bureau constructed facilities which are not transferred to local organizations; (6) review of operation and maintenance of Bureau-built facilities which have been transferred to local organizations; (7) settlement of public or acquired lands on Bureau projects; (8) administration of the Small Reclamation Projects Act of 1956, and of loans for construction or rehabilitation of irrigation systems; and (9) negotiation, execution, and administration of repayment contracts, water-user operation and maintenance contracts, and contracts relating to the irrigation of excess lands.

MAJOR FIELD OFFICES—BUREAU OF RECLAMATION

Office	Headquarters
Engineering and research center.....	Building 67, Box 25007, Denver Federal Center, Denver, Colo. 80225.
Pacific Northwest region.....	550 West Fort St., Box 043, Boise, Idaho 83724.
Mid-Pacific region.....	Federal Office Bldg., 2800 Cottage Way, Sacramento, Calif. 95825.
Lower Colorado region.....	Nevada Highway and Park St., Box 427, Boulder City, Nev. 89005.
Upper Missouri region.....	125 South State, Box 11568, Salt Lake City, Utah 84111.
Southwest region.....	317 East 3d, Box H-4377, Amarillo, Tex. 79101.
Upper Missouri region.....	316 North 26th St., Box 2553, Billings, Mont. 59103.
Lower Missouri region.....	Building 20, Denver Federal Center, Denver, Colo. 80225.

The Bureau has responsibility for the sale, interchange, or transmission of electric power and energy generated at: powerplants constructed and operated by the Bureau (except surplus electric power from nine hydroelectric plants operated by the Bureau in the Pacific Northwest); six powerplants on the Missouri River and one on the Rio Grande that were constructed by other Federal agencies.

In cooperation with other agencies the Bureau reviews environmental statements for proposed Federal water resource projects; renders technical assistance to foreign countries in water resource development and utilization; and administers youth conservation programs.

For further information, contact the Office of Public Affairs, Bureau of Reclamation, Department of the Interior, Washington, D.C. 20240. Phone 202-343-4662.

For pertinent codified regulations issued by the Bureau of Reclamation, see Code of Federal Regulations, Title 43, Chapter I.

BONNEVILLE POWER ADMINISTRATION

1002 NE. Holladay Street, P.O. Box 3621, Portland, OR 97208

The Bonneville Power Administration (BPA) was created pursuant to the act of August 20, 1937 (50 Stat. 731, as amended; 16 U.S.C. 832 et seq.). Through a regionwide interconnecting transmission system, it markets electric power and energy from Federal hydroelectric projects in the Pacific Northwest constructed and operated by the Corps of Engineers or the Bureau of Reclamation. (The Corps of Engineers and Bureau of Reclamation generating plants, together with BPA's transmission system, constitute the Federal Columbia River Power System.) Through interregional connections, it sells power surplus to the needs of the Pacific Northwest outside the region, and participates in other interregional exchanges of power.

The Administration markets power produced by the Federal Columbia River Power System at the lowest rates consistent with sound business practices, for the benefit of the general public. Preference is given to public bodies and cooperatives. Through interutility coordination and regional planning, it seeks to assure an adequate and reliable supply of power for the region's future needs. Through integration of Federal and non-Federal generating plants, it seeks to achieve this objective with a minimum investment of resources in the region's power system with maximum attention to the importance of preserving environmental quality.

MAJOR FIELD OFFICES—BONNEVILLE POWER ADMINISTRATION

Office	Headquarters
Portland area.....	919 Northeast 19th Ave., Portland, Oreg. 97208.
Seattle area.....	415 1st Ave. N., Seattle, Wash. 98109.

Office	Headquarters
Spokane area.....	U.S. Courthouse, Spokane, Wash. 99201.
Walla Walla area...	West 101 Poplar St., Walla Walla, Wash. 99362.
Eugene district...	834 Pearl St., Eugene, Oreg. 97401.
Idaho Falls district.	531 Lomax St., Idaho Falls, Idaho 83401.
Kalispell district...	Highway 2 E., Box 758, Kalispell, Mont. 59901.
Wenatchee district.	U.S. Federal Bldg. and Post Office, Wenatchee, Wash. 98801.

The Administration conducts power marketing programs to provide the basis for a sound regional economy. Power is sold at wholesale to utilities and directly to electroprocess industries and to other Federal agencies. The Administration negotiates contracts for the sale and exchange of electric power; prepares wholesale rates and repayment schedules; and constructs, operates, and maintains a transmission system which integrates Federal power projects and interconnects with non-Federal utility systems. The Federal transmission system is also utilized for the wheeling of power from non-Federal generating plants to load centers.

The Administration participates with other Government agencies and non-Federal groups in planning for the orderly development of the region's potential electric energy resources—hydro and thermal—to meet long-term power needs, and in the development and implementation of operating agreements concerning power generating and transmission facilities.

BPA in cooperation with the Corps of Engineers represents the United States in implementing the provisions of the Columbia River Treaty with Canada for the joint development of the Columbia River. BPA plays a key role in the Joint Power Planning Council, comprised of all interested public and private power systems in the region and in formulating cooperative plans for efficient development of the region's future hydrothermal power facilities.

Through an act passed on October 18, 1974 (16 U.S.C. 838), Bonneville Power Administration now has the authority, in lieu of appropriations, to use its revenues or to sell revenue bonds to the U.S. Treasury in order to construct, operate, and maintain its transmission system.

For further information, contact the Public Information Office, Bonneville Power Administration, 1002 NE. Holladay Street, Portland, Oreg. 97208. Phone 503-234-3361, extension 5133.

SOUTHEASTERN POWER ADMINISTRATION Elberton, Ga. 30635

The Southeastern Power Administration was created by the Secretary of the Interior in 1950, to carry out functions assigned to the Secretary by the Flood Control Act of 1944 (58 Stat. 890), which pertain to the transmission and disposition of surplus electric power and energy

generated at reservoir projects which are or may be under the control of the Department of the Army in the States of West Virginia, Virginia, North Carolina, South Carolina, Georgia, Florida, Alabama, Mississippi, Tennessee, and Kentucky.

The Southeastern Power Administration transmits and disposes of the surplus electric power and energy generated at the Federal reservoir projects in such manner as to encourage the most widespread use. The Administration sets the lowest possible rates to consumers, consistent with sound business principles, and gives preference in the sale of such power and energy to public bodies and cooperatives.

The program of the Administration includes the negotiation, preparation execution, and administration of contracts for the disposition of electric power; the preparation of wholesale rates and repayment schedules; the provision by construction, by contract, or otherwise, of transmission and related facilities to interconnect reservoir projects and to serve contractual loads; and activities pertaining to the planning and operation of power facilities.

For further information, contact the Administrator, Southeastern Power Administration, Elberton, Ga. 30635. Phone, 404-283-3261.

SOUTHWESTERN POWER ADMINISTRATION Page Belcher Federal Building, Tulsa, Okla. 74101

The Southwestern Power Administration was created by the Secretary of the Interior in 1943, to carry out the Secretary's responsibility for the sale and disposition of electric power and energy generated at certain projects constructed and operated by the Department of the Army. For these projects the Administration carries out the functions assigned to the Secretary by the Flood Control Act of 1944 (58 Stat. 890; 16 U.S.C. 825a) in the States of Kansas, Missouri, Oklahoma, Arkansas, Texas and Louisiana.

The headquarters office is located at Tulsa, Okla. There are three area offices at Springfield, Mo., Muskogee, Okla., and Jonesboro, Ark.; four maintenance units; and three dispatching offices.

The Southwestern Power Administration transmits and disposes of the electric power and energy generated at the Federal reservoir projects, supplemented by power purchased from public and private utilities, in such manner as to encourage the most widespread and economical use. The Administration sets the lowest possible rates to consumers, consistent with sound business principles, and gives preference in the sale of power and energy to public bodies and cooperatives.

The Administration prepares, negotiates, and administers contracts for the sale and interchange of electric power and energy on a wholesale basis; prepares rate and repayment studies; designs and constructs transmission lines and related facilities to interconnect hydroelectric projects of the Administration's system, and with other systems, both public and

private; develops long-range marketing programs for maximum utilization of power from existing and proposed hydroelectric developments; conducts and participates in studies for integration of electric power facilities in the Southwest; participates in the comprehensive planning of water-resource development in the Southwest; and operates and maintains a high-voltage transmission system to serve contractual loads and maintain reliable interconnections.

For further information, contact the Southwestern Power Administration, P.O. Drawer 1619, Tulsa, Okla. 74101. Phone, 918-581-7531.

ALASKA POWER ADMINISTRATION Federal Building, P.O. Box 50, Juneau, Alaska 99802

The Alaska Power Administration was created by the Secretary of the Interior in 1967 to carry out functions assigned to the Secretary in water and power planning and power operations in Alaska, including among others the Eklutna Project Act (64 Stat. 382); the Snettisham Project authorization in the Flood Control Act of 1962 (76 Stat. 1193); and the power marketing provision of the Flood Control Act of 1944 (58 Stat. 890).

The Administration assignments include planning for water, power, and related resources development and utilization in Alaska in cooperation with other State, local, and Federal entities; operation, maintenance, and power marketing for Federal hydroelectric projects; and representing the Secretary of the Interior in Alaska on power matters.

Through the planning process the Administration focuses on problem solving or priority areas of energy, water, and land resources; identifies and encourages the water, power, and related resources programs that are consistent with State and national needs for economic development and environmental protection; achieves optimum economics and reliability for the government and consumer in the power operations and marketing functions.

The power operations and marketing functions involve the Eklutna and Snettisham hydroelectric projects, including related transmission systems serving the Anchorage and Juneau areas respectively.

The planning program includes participation in water, power, and related aspects of the land use planning under terms of the Alaska Native Claims Settlement Act, lead agency responsibility in the Alaska regional assessment of water and related land resources (Water Resources Council Program), power system studies, and evaluation of potential water, power, and related resources development.

For further information, contact:

Administrator
Alaska Power Administration
P.O. Box 50
Juneau, Alaska 99802
Phone: 907-586-7405

[FR Doc. 75-10032 Filed 4-16-75; 8:45 am]

[INT-DES-75-22]

JENSEN UNIT, CENTRAL UTAH PROJECT**Availability of Draft Environmental Statement**

Pursuant to section 102(2)(C) of the National Environmental Policy Act of 1969, the Department of the Interior has prepared a draft environmental statement on a proposed water resource project that would develop water for irrigation in the vicinity of Jensen, Utah, and municipal water to augment existing supplies to Vernal and other nearby northeastern Utah towns. It would also provide fish and wildlife, recreation, and flood control benefits. Written comments may be submitted to the Regional Director (address below) on or before May 29, 1975.

Copies are available for inspection at the following locations:

Office of Assistant to the Commissioner—Ecology, Room 7620, Bureau of Reclamation, Department of the Interior, Washington, D.C. 20240 Telephone (202) 343-4991.

Division of Engineering Support, Technical Services and Publications Branch, E&R Center, Denver, Federal Center, Denver, Colorado 80225, Telephone (303) 234-3006.

Office of the Regional Director, Bureau of Reclamation, Federal Building, 125 South State Street, Salt Lake City, Utah 84111 Telephone (801) 524-5404.

Utah Activities Office, P.O. Box 1338, 168 West, 100 North, Provo, Utah 84601, Telephone (801) 374-7222.

Single copies of the draft statement may be obtained on request to the Commissioner of Reclamation or the Regional Director. Please refer to the statement number above.

Dated: April 14, 1975.

STANLEY D. DOREMUS,
*Deputy Assistant
Secretary of the Interior.*

[FR Doc. 75-10070 Filed 4-16-75; 8:45 am]

DEPARTMENT OF AGRICULTURE**Forest Service****BOULDER MOUNTAIN PLANNING UNIT****Availability of Final Environmental Statement**

Pursuant to section 102(2)(C) of the National Environmental Policy Act of 1969, the Forest Service, Department of Agriculture, has prepared a final environmental statement for Boulder Mountain Planning Unit, Dixie National Forest, Utah. The Forest Service report number is USDA-FS-FES (Adm) R4-75-6.

The environmental statement identifies and evaluates the probable effects of the land use plan for the Boulder Mountain Planning Unit on the Dixie National Forest in south central Utah. The purpose of the plan is to allocate National Forest lands within the unit to specific resource uses and activities; establish management objectives; document management direction, management decisions, and necessary coordination between resource uses and activities; and provide for the protection, use, and de-

velopment of the various resources within the planning unit. The plan provides for minimization of adverse effects and maximization of desirable effects.

This final environmental statement was transmitted to CEQ on April 11, 1975.

Copies are available for inspection during regular working hours at the following locations:

USDA, Forest Service
South Agriculture Bldg., Room 3230
12th St. & Independence Ave., S.W.
Washington, D.C. 20250

Regional Planning Office
USDA, Forest Service
Federal Building, Room 4403
324-25th Street
Ogden, Utah 84401

Forest Supervisor
Dixie National Forest
500 South Main Street
Cedar City, Utah 84720

District Forest Ranger
Teasdale Ranger District
Teasdale, Utah 84773

District Forest Ranger
Escalante Ranger District
Escalante, Utah 84726

A limited number of single copies are available upon request to Forest Supervisor Merlin I. Bishop, Dixie National Forest, 500 South Main Street, Cedar City, Utah 84720.

Copies of the environmental statement have been sent to various Federal, State, and local agencies as outlined in the CEQ Guidelines.

P. M. REES,
Regional Planner.

[FR Doc. 75-10052 Filed 4-16-75; 8:45 am]

CHOLLA PROJECT**Availability of Final Environmental Statement**

Pursuant to section 102(2)(C) of the National Environmental Policy Act of 1969, the Forest Service, Department of Agriculture, has prepared a final environmental statement of Proposed Cholla Project, Apache-Sitgreaves and Tonto National Forests, USDA-FS-FES(Adm) R3-74-04.

The environmental statement considers probable environmental effects of the proposed program.

The final environmental statement was transmitted to CEQ on April 9, 1975.

Copies are available for inspection during regular working hours at the following locations:

USDA, Forest Service
South Agriculture Bldg., Room 3230
14th & Independence Ave., SW
Washington, D.C. 20250

USDA, Forest Service
Southwestern Region
517 Gold Avenue, SW
Albuquerque, New Mexico 87102

Apache-Sitgreaves National Forest
Federal Building
Springerville, Arizona 85938

Tonto National Forest
102 South 28th Street
Phoenix, Arizona 85034

Single copies are available upon request to the Forest Supervisor, Apache-Sitgreaves National Forest, P.O. Box 640, Springerville, Arizona 85938; Forest Supervisor, Tonto National Forest, 102 South 28th Street, Phoenix, Arizona 85034; and the Regional Forester, Southwestern Region, 517 Gold Avenue, SW, Albuquerque, New Mexico 87102. Copies are also available from the Colorado Plateau Environmental Advisory Council, P.O. Box 1389, Flagstaff, Arizona 86001. Please refer to the name and number of the environmental statement when ordering.

Copies of the environmental statement have been sent to various Federal, State, and local agencies as outlined in the CEQ guidelines.

W. L. EVANS,
*Deputy Regional Forester,
Resources, Region 3.*

APRIL 9, 1975.

[FR Doc. 75-10019 Filed 4-16-75; 8:45 am]

**DESCHUTES NATIONAL FOREST
ADVISORY COMMITTEE****Meeting**

The Deschutes National Forest Advisory Committee will meet at 6:30 p.m., May 15, 1975, for a no-host dinner at Tony's Poco Toro Restaurant, Bend, Oregon. The program will follow at 8 p.m.

The subject to be discussed at this meeting will be "The Forest Plan", which includes situations, assumptions and Forest direction and are the requirements on which we put together the Forest Land Use Plan. William D. Shenk, Assistant Forest Supervisor (Planning and Programming) will lead the discussion.

The meeting will be open to the public.

Dated: April 11, 1975.

EARL E. NICHOLS,
Forest Supervisor.

[FR Doc. 75-10050 Filed 4-16-75; 8:45 am]

SANDIA MOUNTAIN LAND USE PLAN**Availability of Final Environmental Statement**

Pursuant to section 102(2)(C) of the National Environmental Policy Act of 1969, the Forest Service, Department of Agriculture, has prepared a final environmental statement for the Sandia Mountain Land Use Plan, Cibola National Forest, USDA-FS-FES(Adm) R3-74-44.

The environmental statement considers probable environmental effects of the proposed program.

The final environmental statement was transmitted to CEQ on April 11, 1975.

Copies are available for inspection during regular working hours at the following locations:

USDA, Forest Service
So. Agriculture Bldg., Room 3028
12th & Independence Ave., SW
Washington, D.C. 20250

USDA, Forest Service
Southwestern Region
517 Gold Ave., SW
Albuquerque, New Mexico 87102
Cibola National Forest
10308 Candelaria NE
Albuquerque, New Mexico 87112

Single copies are available upon request to the Forest Supervisor, Cibola National Forest, 10308 Candelaria NE, Albuquerque, New Mexico 87112; Regional Forester, Southwestern Region, 517 Gold Avenue, SW, Albuquerque, New Mexico 87102; and the Colorado Plateau Environmental Advisory Council, P.O. Box 1389, Flagstaff, Arizona 86001. Please refer to the name and number of the environmental statement when ordering.

Copies of the environmental statement have been sent to various Federal, State, and local agencies as outlined in the CEQ guidelines.

Dated: April 11, 1975.

ADRIAN M. GILBERT,
*Acting Deputy Chief,
Forest Service.*

[FR Doc.75-10060 Filed 4-16-75;8:45 am]

WHITE MOUNTAIN NATIONAL FOREST ADVISORY COMMITTEE

Meeting

The White Mountain National Forest Advisory Committee will meet May 15 and 16, 1975, at the Red Jacket Motor Inn, North Conway, New Hampshire.

The purpose of this meeting is to discuss planning and management proposals for the White Mountain National Forest.

The meeting will be open to the public. Persons who wish to attend should notify Ned Therrien, U.S. Forest Service, Laconia, New Hampshire 03246. Telephone number 603-524-6450.

Dated: April 10, 1975.

PAUL D. WEINGART,
Forest Supervisor.

[FR Doc.75-10051 Filed 4-16-75;8:45 am]

Soil Conservation Service

LOWER LITTLE TALLAPOOSA RIVER WATERSHED PROJECT, GEORGIA

Availability of Negative Declaration

Pursuant to section 102(2)(C) of the National Environmental Policy Act of 1969; § 1500.36(e) of the Council on Environmental Quality Guidelines (38 FR 20550) August 1, 1973; and § 650.8(b)(3) of the Soil Conservation Service Guidelines (39 FR 19651) June 3, 1974; the Soil Conservation Service, U.S. Department of Agriculture, gives notice that an environmental impact statement is not being prepared for the Big Indian Creek Drainage Area of the Lower Little Tallapoosa River Watershed Project, Carroll, Haralson, and Heard Counties, Georgia, and Cleburne County, Alabama.

The environmental assessment of this federal action indicates that the installation of the Big Indian Creek portion of

the project will not create significant adverse local, regional, or national impacts on the environment and that no significant controversy is associated with the project. As a result of these findings, Mr. Charles W. Bartlett, State Conservationist, Soil Conservation Service, USDA, 355 East Hancock Avenue, Athens, Georgia 30601, has determined that the preparation and review of an environmental impact statement is not needed for this part of the project.

The Big Indian Creek part of the project concerns a plan for watershed protection and flood prevention. The planned works of improvement as described in the negative declaration include conservation land treatment supplemented by four single purpose flood-water retarding structures, and two multiple purpose structures for flood prevention and municipal and industrial water supply.

The environmental assessment file is available for inspection during regular working hours at the following location:

Soil Conservation Service, USDA
228 Federal Building
355 East Hancock Avenue
Athens, Georgia 30601

The negative declaration is available for single copy requests. Requests should be directed to the Soil Conservation Service, P.O. Box 832, Athens, Georgia 30601.

No administrative action on implementation of the proposal will be taken until May 2, 1975.

(Catalog of Federal Domestic Assistance Program No. 10.904, National Archives Reference Services.)

Dated: April 10, 1975.

WILLIAM B. DAVEY,
*Deputy Administrator for Water
Resources, Soil Conservation
Service.*

[FR Doc.75-10020 Filed 4-16-75;8:45 am]

UPPER BAYOU NEZPIQUE WATERSHED PROJECT, LOUISIANA

Availability of Draft Environmental Impact Statement

Pursuant to section 102(2)(C) of the National Environmental Policy Act of 1969; Part 1500 of the Council on Environmental Quality Guidelines (38 FR 20550, August 1, 1973); and § 650.7(e) of the Soil Conservation Service Guidelines (39 FR 19650, June 3, 1974); the Soil Conservation Service, U.S. Department of Agriculture, has prepared a draft environmental impact statement for the Upper Bayou Nezpique Watershed Project, Evangeline and Allen Parishes, Louisiana, USDA-SCS-EIS-WS-(ADM)-75-6-(D)-LA.

The environmental impact statement concerns a plan for watershed protection, flood prevention, and drainage. The watershed plan was approved for operations in August 1957 and construction began in 1968. Two single-purpose flood prevention structures (Sites 6 and 7) and one multiple-purpose flood prevention and recreation structure (Site 3)

have been installed and the associated recreation facility for Site 3 is nearing completion. The environmental impact statement is prepared for the seven single-purpose flood prevention structures; one multiple-purpose flood prevention and irrigation water storage structure; 2,200 feet of floodway for flood prevention; and about 61 miles of channel work, which serves both flood prevention and drainage. The channel work will involve clearing and debris removal on 17 miles of existing channel and 44 miles of enlargement by excavation to provide improved water management in a flatland area that is 25 percent agricultural cropland and grassland. Of the 61 miles of work proposed on existing streams or channels, 8 miles will involve those with only ephemeral flow. The balance involves those with intermittent flow.

A limited supply of copies is available at the following location to fill single copy requests:

Soil Conservation Service, USDA
3737 Government Street
Alexandria, Louisiana 71301

Copies of the draft environmental impact statement have been sent for comment to various Federal, State, and local agencies as outlined in the Council on Environmental Quality Guidelines. Comments are also invited from others having knowledge of or special expertise on environmental impacts.

Comments concerning the proposed action or requests for additional information should be addressed to Alton Mangum, State Conservationist, Soil Conservation Service, 3737 Government Street, Alexandria, Louisiana 71301.

Comments must be received on or before June 11, 1975 in order to be considered in the preparation of the final environmental impact statement.

(Catalog of Federal Domestic Assistance Program No. 10.904, National Archives Reference Services.)

Dated: April 10, 1975.

WILLIAM B. DAVEY,
*Deputy Administrator for Water
Resources, Soil Conservation
Service.*

[FR Doc.75-10023 Filed 4-16-75;8:45 am]

WILSON CREEK WATERSHED PROJECT, NEBRASKA

Availability of Negative Declaration

Pursuant to section 102(2)(C) of the National Environmental Policy Act of 1969; § 1500.6(e) of the Council on Environmental Quality Guidelines (38 FR 20550) August 1, 1973; and § 650.8(b)(3) of the Soil Conservation Service Guidelines (39 FR 19651) June 3, 1974; the Soil Conservation Service, U.S. Department of Agriculture, gives notice that an environmental impact statement is not being prepared for the Wilson Creek Watershed Project, Cass and Otoe Counties, Nebraska.

The environmental assessment of this federal action indicated that the project

will not create significant adverse local, regional, or national impacts on the environmental and that no significant controversy is associated with the project. As a result of these findings, Mr. Wilson J. Parker, State Conservationist, Soil Conservation Service, USDA, 134 South 12th Street, Room 604, Lincoln, Nebraska 68508, has determined that the preparation and review of an environmental impact statement is not needed for this project.

The project concerns a plan for watershed protection and flood prevention. The remaining planned works of improvement include conservation land treatment supplemented by five single purpose floodwater retarding structures and eleven grade stabilization structures.

The environmental assessment file is available for inspection during regular working hours at the following location:

Soil Conservation Service
134 South 12th Street, Room 604
Lincoln, Nebraska 68508

Single copy requests for the negative declaration should be sent to the above address.

No administrative action on implementation of the proposal will be taken until May 2, 1975.

(Catalog of Federal Domestic Assistance Program No. 10.904, National Archives Reference Services.)

Dated April 10, 1975.

WILLIAM B. DAVEY,
Deputy Administrator for Water
Resources, Soil Conservation
Service.

[FR Doc.75-10021 Filed 4-16-75; 8:45 am]

DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

National Oceanic and Atmospheric
Administration

MARINE PETROLEUM AND MINERALS ADVISORY COMMITTEE'S WORKING GROUP ON INTERNATIONAL OCEAN INVESTMENT CONDITIONS

Open Meeting

The Marine Petroleum and Minerals Advisory Committee's Working Group on International Ocean Investment Conditions (the "Working Group") will meet from 9 a.m. until approximately 4:30 p.m. on May 22, 1975 in Room 5230 of the Department of Commerce Building, 14th Street between E and Constitution Avenue, NW., Washington, D.C. The meeting will be open for public observation.

The Working Group was established as a subcommittee of the Marine Petroleum and Minerals Advisory Committee (the "Committee") for the purpose of considering matters relating to the integrity of investment—international and domestic protection of U.S. private investments made for the purpose of developing non-living marine resources beyond U.S. jurisdiction, including topics such as the honoring of contractual obligations, the settlement of disputes, the security of tenure, and the respective roles of the

private sector and the Federal Government—and to draft recommendations to the Secretary of Commerce for consideration by the full Committee at the Committee's next meeting.

At the Working Group's May 22, 1975 meeting, it is anticipated that the Working Group will receive a "debriefing" on the Geneva Law of the Sea Conference, consider actions taken to implement recommendations made by the full Committee at its March 4-5, 1975 meeting, consider criteria deep seabed mining domestic legislation should meet to provide a satisfactory investment climate, and review a report being developed by the Department of Commerce on investment protection mechanisms. A recess for lunch from approximately 12 noon until 1:30 p.m. is planned.

Because of the nature of the work being performed by the Working Group, it may be necessary for it to meet again between May 22 and the next Committee meeting planned for July 22-23, 1975. This would result in there being inadequate time between meetings for the publication of notices in the FEDERAL REGISTER as prescribed by Office of Management and Budget Circular No. A-63 and the Order of the U.S. District Court for the District of Columbia in Civil Action No. 1838-73. To provide the public with as much notice as possible, the date, location, and tentative agenda of any such meeting will be announced on May 22 and notices will be published as soon as possible thereafter. Information on any such meetings will also be available by telephoning or writing to the Executive Secretary at the telephone number or address given below.

Approximately 20 seats will be available for the public on a first-come, first-served basis. Written statements from interested persons will be accepted before or after the meeting or by mail. Inquiries or statements should be addressed to: Amor L. Lane, Executive Secretary, Marine Petroleum and Minerals Advisory Committee, National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration (MR3), 6010 Executive Boulevard, Rockville, Maryland 20852, Telephone (301) 496-8518.

Dated: April 15, 1975.

R. L. CARNAHAN,
Acting Assistant Administrator
for Administration, National
Oceanic and Atmospheric
Administration.

[FR Doc.75-10206 Filed 4-16-75; 8:45 am]

DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH, EDUCATION, AND WELFARE

Social Security Administration

HOSPITAL COSTS

Cost Reporting Periods Beginning on or
After July 1, 1975

Notice is hereby given, pursuant to the Administrative Procedure Act (5 U.S.C. 553), that a revised Schedule of Limits on Hospital Inpatient General Routine Service Costs in the Medicare Program

is set forth in tentative form as proposed by the Commissioner of Social Security, with the approval of the Secretary of Health, Education, and Welfare, Section 1861(v)(1) of the Social Security Act permits the Secretary to set prospective limits on direct or indirect overall incurred costs or incurred costs of specific items or services or groups of items or services furnished by a provider, to be recognized as reasonable based on estimates of the cost necessary in the efficient delivery of needed health services. The Initial Schedule of Limits on Hospital Inpatient General Routine Service Costs was published in the FEDERAL REGISTER on June 6, 1974 (39 FR 20168), and was applicable to cost-reporting periods beginning on or after July 1, 1974, and before the earlier of July 1, 1975, or the effective date of a revised schedule.

The Schedule of Limits on Hospital Inpatient General Routine Service Costs set out below, when published in final, will be applicable for cost-reporting periods beginning on or after July 1, 1975, and before the effective date of a revised schedule. The Schedule of Limits will apply to the entire cost-reporting period of a hospital whose cost-reporting period begins during its effective period. The schedule applies to the total of the cost of hospital inpatient general routine service costs. These limits will not apply to the cost of special care units or ancillary services.

The initial classification system was developed to provide for comparison of hospitals of similar size and in similar economic environments. These two principal elements were reflected in 5 State groupings with the States grouped according to per capita income. Hospitals in each of the 5 State groupings were divided between those in Standard Metropolitan Statistical Areas (SMSA's) and those not in SMSA's. (A Standard Metropolitan Statistical Area, as defined by the Office of Management and Budget, is a county or group of contiguous counties which (1) includes at least one city of 50,000 inhabitants, or (2) otherwise meets the basic criteria specified by the Office of Management and Budget for defining such areas. The standard definition and a complete list of Standard Metropolitan Statistical Areas can be found in the Federal Information Processing Standards Publication (F.I.P.S. 8-3), which is available from the Superintendent of Documents, United States Government Printing Office, Washington, D.C. 20402. These 10 groupings were further divided into 7 bed-size categories, resulting in 70 classes, and limits were developed for each of these groups as described in the FEDERAL REGISTER of June 6, 1974.)

Since establishing the initial classification system, the Social Security Administration has been seeking refinements that might be incorporated in the system. Considerable study and consultation with persons knowledgeable in the field have taken place, especially with respect to refining input cost elements and in determining outputs.

The initial system grouped together hospitals located in all SMSA's in the same State.

It has been recognized by providers and others that certain urban areas (SMSA's) within a State may have income levels and related economic characteristics which are significantly different from those of other SMSA areas in the same State. It is believed that income levels tend to reflect wages and costs of construction, goods, and services.

To take account of these concepts, the major change in the revised methodology is for the SMSA to replace the State as the major geographical grouping factor for urban areas.

This change in classification, for example, allows the New York City SMSA to be located in Group I and the Albany-Schenectady-Troy, New York SMSA to be located in Group II. In addition, an entire SMSA will now be classified as a unit without regard to State lines. Thus, for example, Washington, D.C. and surrounding Maryland and Virginia counties constituting an SMSA will be treated as a common entity for purposes of the Schedule of Limits on Hospital Inpatient Routine Service Costs.

Nonurban areas will continue to be grouped on a Statewide basis.

Another proposed change is that the classification system utilize 32 classes—17 classes for providers located in SMSA areas (2 income groups with 4 bed-size categories and 3 income groups with 3 bed-size categories) and 15 classes for providers located in non-SMSA areas (5 income groups and 3 bed-size categories).

Our analysis of cost and hospital characteristics, by bed size, reveals that the use of 7 bed-size categories previously used does not result in a more homogeneous clustering of hospitals in relation to their routine services than does the smaller number of classes. At the same time, the smaller number of classes yields more reliable estimates of the appropriate cost limits.

The specific limits were derived from the 80th percentile and 10 percent of the median for each class. In view of the more homogeneous groupings resulting from the improved cost limit methodology, the Secretary believes it is appropriate to set the limits at the 80th percentile plus 10 percent of the median of each classification group.

It should be noted that no direct provision has been made in the revised classification system for the effects of factors such as educational programs, patient mix, or scope of services on the hospital's inpatient general routine service costs. The reason for this is that bed size has been shown to be correlated with these factors. Moreover, the variables tested for possible addition to the system to reflect these factors more directly did not show promise of significant improvement. The regulations (see § 405.460(f)(2)) provide that, where a provider can demonstrate that its costs exceed the applicable limit by reason of

educational activities or by the special needs of the patients treated, an exception can be made to the application of the limit to the extent that the added costs flow from approved educational activities, to the extent they are atypical (although reasonable) from providers in the comparison group, or flow from the provision of special needs of patients treated. Work on the handling of such exceptions and other work that may yield findings that will permit further improvements in the classification system will continue in the future.

The new classification system which is proposed herein reflects those changes which can be made at this time to improve the initial system. These revised limits will better identify hospitals whose costs are substantially higher than those deemed necessary for efficient delivery of hospital inpatient general routine services. A continuing effort will be made to improve the classification system.

Methodology. Under the proposed classification system, hospitals will be grouped based on whether they are located in a Standard Metropolitan Statistical Area or a non-Standard Metropolitan Statistical Area. Hospitals located in Standard Metropolitan Statistical Areas are classified on the basis of per capita income in those areas and without regard to State lines. All SMSA's have been divided into the following five groups based on per capita income.

SMSA—GROUP I

ALASKA

Anchorage

CALIFORNIA

Los Angeles-Long Beach
Salinas-Seaside-Monterey
San Francisco-Oakland

COLORADO

Denver-Boulder

CONNECTICUT

Bridgeport
Bristol
Danbury
Hartford
Meriden
New Britain
New Haven-West
Haven
Norwalk
Stamford
Waterbury

DELAWARE

Wilmington, DE-NJ-MD

DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA

Washington, DC-MD-VA

FLORIDA

Miami
West Palm Beach-Boca Raton

HAWAII

Honolulu

ILLINOIS

Chicago
Decatur
Rockford
Springfield

MASSACHUSETTS

Boston
Brockton
Lawrence-Haverhill, MA-NH
Lowell, MA-NH

MICHIGAN

Ann Arbor
Detroit
Flint

MINNESOTA

Minneapolis-St. Paul, MN-WI

NEVADA

Las Vegas
Reno

NEW JERSEY

Jersey City
New Brunswick-Perth Amboy-Sayreville
Newark
Trenton

NEW YORK

Nassau-Suffolk
New York, NY-NJ
Rochester

OHIO

Cleveland

VIRGINIA

Richmond

WISCONSIN

Milwaukee

SMSA—GROUP II

ARIZONA

Phoenix

CALIFORNIA

Anaheim-Santa Ana-
Carden Grove
San Diego
Santa Barbara-Santa
Maria-Lompoc
San Jose

FLORIDA

Fort Lauderdale-
Hollywood
Sarasota

GEORGIA

Atlanta

IDAHO

Boise City

ILLINOIS

Bloomington-Normal
Peoria

INDIANA

Fort Wayne
Indianapolis

IOWA

Cedar Rapids
Des MoinesDavenport-Rock
Island-Moline, IA-
IL

KANSAS

Topeka
Wichita

KENTUCKY

Louisville, KY-IN

MARYLAND

Baltimore

MASSACHUSETTS

Fitchburg-Leomin-
ster
Pittsfield
Worcester

MICHIGAN

Grand Rapids
Lansing-East
Lansing Saginaw
Jackson

MINNESOTA

Rochester

MISSOURI

Kansas City, MO-KS
St. Louis, MO-IL

NEW JERSEY

Paterson-Clifton-Passaic

NEW YORK

Albany-Schenec-
tady-Troy
Buffalo
Poughkeepsie

NORTH CAROLINA		NEW YORK		NEW MEXICO	
Charlotte-Gastonia		Binghamton, NY-PA Syracuse		Albuquerque	
OHIO		NORTH CAROLINA		NEW YORK	
Akron Mansfield		Greensboro-Winston-Salem-High Point		Utica-Rome	
Cincinnati, OH-KY-IN Toledo, OH-MI		Raleigh-Durham		NORTH CAROLINA	
Dayton Youngstown-Warren		OHIO		Asheville Burlington	
OREGON		Canton		NORTH DAKOTA	
Portland, OR-WA		Columbus		Fargo-Moorhead, ND-MN	
PENNSYLVANIA		Lima		OHIO	
Allentown - Bethlehem-Easton, PA- NJ Pittsburgh Reading		Lorain-Elyria Springfield		Hamilton- Middletown	
TEXAS		Steubenville-Weirton, OH-WV		OREGON	
Dallas-Fort Worth Midland		OKLAHOMA		Eugene- Springfield	
Houston Wichita Falls		Tulsa		SALEM	
WASHINGTON		PENNSYLVANIA		SOUTH CAROLINA	
Seattle-Everett		Erie Lancaster		Columbia Greenville- Spartanburg	
WISCONSIN		Harrisburg York		SOUTH DAKOTA	
Kenosha Madison		RHODE ISLAND		Sioux Falls	
SMSA—GROUP III		Providence-Warwick-Pawtucket, RI-MA		TENNESSEE	
ARIZONA		TENNESSEE		Chattanooga Knoxville	
Tucson		Memphis, TN-AR-MS		TEXAS	
ARKANSAS		Nashville-Davidson		Ablene Sherman- Denison	
Little Rock-North Little Rock		TEXAS		Galveston- Texas City	
CALIFORNIA		Amarillo Killeen-Temple		San Angelo Tyler	
Fresno Santa Rosa		Beaumont-Port Arthur-Orange		San Antonio Waco	
Modesto Stockton		VIRGINIA		UTAH	
Sacramento Vallejo-Fairfield- Santa Cruz Napa		Newport News- Hampton Roanoke		Salt Lake City- Ogden	
COLORADO		Norfolk-Virginia Beach-Portsmouth, VA-NC		VIRGINIA	
Colorado Springs		WASHINGTON		Petersburg- Colonial Heights- Hopewell	
CONNECTICUT		Richland- Kennewick Spokane Tacoma		WASHINGTON	
New London-Norwich, CT-RI		WEST VIRGINIA		Yakima	
FLORIDA		WISCONSIN		WEST VIRGINIA	
Jacksonville		Appleton- Oshkosh Racine		Parkersburg- Marietta, WV-OH	
Lakeland-Winter Haven		SMSA—GROUP IV		WISCONSIN	
Orlando		ALABAMA		Green Bay La Crosse	
Tampa-St. Petersburg		Birmingham Montgomery		SMSA—GROUP V	
ILLINOIS		CALIFORNIA		ALABAMA	
Champaign-Urbana-Rantoul		Bakersfield Riverside-San Ber- nardino-Ontario		Anniston Huntsville Florence Mobile Gadsden Tuscaloosa	
INDIANA		COLORADO		ARKANSAS	
Anderson		Pueblo		Fayetteville-Springdale Fort Smith, AR-OK	
Evansville, IN-KY		FLORIDA		Pine Bluff	
Gary-Hammond-East Chicago		Daytona Beach Melbourne- Titusville- Pensacola Cocoa		Tallahassee	
Lafayette-West Lafayette		GEORGIA		ALBANY	
South Bend		Augusta, GA-SC Savannah		Columbus, GA-AL	
IOWA		Macon		INDIANA	
Dubuque Waterloo-Cedar Falls		INDIANA		Terre Haute	
Sioux City, IA-NE		Muncie		LOUISIANA	
KENTUCKY		Owensboro		Alexandria Lake Charles Lafayette Monroe	
Lexington		LOUISIANA		MAINE	
New Orleans		Baton Rouge Shreveport		Lewiston-Auburn	
Portland		MASSACHUSETTS		MINNESOTA	
MASSACHUSETTS		Fall River, MA-RI New Bedford		St. Cloud	
Springfield-Chicopee-Holyoke, MA-CT		MICHIGAN		MISSISSIPPI	
MICHIGAN		Muskegon- Muskegon Heights		MISSOURI	
Battle Creek Kalamazoo-Portage		MINNESOTA		Columbia	
Bay City		Duluth-Superior, MN-WI		NEW YORK	
MISSOURI		JACKSON		NORTH CAROLINA	
St. Joseph		MISSISSIPPI		Fayetteville Wilmington	
MONTANA		MISSOURI		OKLAHOMA	
Billings Great Falls		Springfield		Lawton	
NEBRASKA					
Lincoln Omaha, NE-IA					
NEW HAMPSHIRE					
Manchester Nashua					
NEW JERSEY					
Atlantic City					
Long Branch-Asbury Park					
Vineland-Millville-Bridgeton					

PENNSYLVANIA	
Altoona	
Johnstown	
Northeast Pennsylvania	
Williamsport	
PUERTO RICO	
Caguas	Ponce
Mayaguez	San Juan
SOUTH CAROLINA	
Charleston	
TENNESSEE	
Clarksville-Hopkinsonville, TN-KY	
Kingsport-Bristol, TN-VA	
TEXAS	
Austin	
Brownsville-Harlingen-San Benito	
Bryan-College Station	
Corpus Christi	
El Paso	
Laredo	
Lubbock	
McAllen-Pharr-Edinburg	
Odessa	
Texarkana, TX, Texarkana, AR	
UTAH	
Provo-Orem	
VIRGINIA	
Lynchburg	
WEST VIRGINIA	
Huntington-Ashland, WV-KY-OH	

Non-SMSA areas will be classified according to the per capita income of all non-SMSA counties within a State. The following are the five income groupings, with States classified according to per capita income, to be used for hospitals located in non-Standard Metropolitan Statistical Areas in those States.

Non-SMSA	
GROUP I	
Alaska	Kansas
Connecticut	Massachusetts
Delaware	Nebraska
Illinois	Nevada
Indiana	Rhode Island
Iowa	Washington
GROUP II	
Arizona	New Jersey
California	New York
Hawaii	Ohio
Maryland	Oregon
Montana	Vermont
New Hampshire	
GROUP III	
Colorado	North Dakota
Florida	Pennsylvania
Idaho	South Dakota
Michigan	Wisconsin
Minnesota	Wyoming
GROUP IV	
Georgia	Oklahoma
Maine	South Carolina
Missouri	Texas
New Mexico	Virginia
North Carolina	
GROUP V	
Alabama	Puerto Rico
Arkansas	Tennessee
Kentucky	Utah
Louisiana	Virgin Islands
Mississippi	West Virginia

To develop urban groups, the Standard Metropolitan Statistical Areas were arrayed in order of the size of their per capita income and classes were established. The nonurban groups were de-

veloped in the same manner, using per capita income of non-SMSA areas. The class and points were selected where a relatively significant per capita income difference occurred.

The following bed-size categories are used to classify hospitals:

STANDARD METROPOLITAN STATISTICAL AREAS	
GROUPS I AND II	
Less than 100	
100 to 404	
405 to 684	
685 and above	
GROUPS III, IV, AND V	
Less than 100	
100 to 404	
405 and above	
NON-STANDARD METROPOLITAN STATISTICAL AREAS	
Less than 100	
100 to 169	
170 and above	

The proposed limits were developed in the following manner:

1. Inpatient general routine service cost data for each participating hospital were obtained from the fiscal intermediaries.

2. The data for hospitals in each class were arrayed in descending order of inpatient general routine service costs.

3. The 80th percentile and the median were computed for each class.

4. For each class, an amount equal to 10 percent of the median was added to the 80th percentile amount.

5. This sum was adjusted to reflect the 18 percent annual rate of estimated cost increases in per diem costs following the date of data collection.

6. The amounts calculated in step 5 are rounded to the next highest dollar which establishes the limit for each class, subject to adjustment for hospitals reporting on other than a reporting period starting July 1, 1975.

Under the authority of section 1861 (v) of the Social Security Act, it is proposed that the following cost limitations apply to the total of the hospital inpatient general routine service costs (excluding costs incurred for special care units and ancillary services), adjusted upward as provided for below. The proposed limits are applicable to cost-reporting periods beginning on or after July 1, 1975, and will remain in effect until the effective date of a revised schedule. However, this schedule will apply to the entire cost-reporting period of a hospital whose cost-reporting period begins during its effective period.

The proposed limits are applicable to any hospital with a cost-reporting period beginning on or after July 1, 1975. Where a hospital has a cost-reporting period beginning after July 1, 1975, the published limit will be adjusted upward by a factor of 1.33 percent for each elapsed month between July 1, 1975, and the first day of the month in which the hospital's reporting period starts. The result of this calculation is not rounded and is to be given in dollars and cents.

Example: Hospital A's cost-reporting period starting in 1975 begins October 1, 1975,

and ends September 30, 1976. The cost factor for Hospital A's group from the table below is \$100.00.

COMPUTATION OF ADJUSTED COST LIMIT	
Cost factor.....	\$100.00
Plus: Adjustment for 3-month period (July 1, 1975, to Oct. 1, 1975, 3 months x 1.33 percent=3.99 percent, 3.99 percent x \$100.....	3.99
Adjusted cost limit applicable to hospital A for the Oct. 1, 1975, to Sept. 30, 1976, reporting period...	103.99

SCHEDULE OF LIMITS ON HOSPITAL INPATIENT GENERAL ROUTINE SERVICE COSTS FOR HOSPITALS WITH COST-REPORTING PERIODS BEGINNING ON OR AFTER JULY 1, 1975

HOSPITALS LOCATED WITHIN SMSA'S (URBAN)—BED SIZE				
SMSA group	Less than 100	100 to 404	405 to 684	685 and above
I.....	113	111	133	170
II.....	91	96	96	133
III.....	89	88	89	89
IV.....	86	86	87	87
V.....	65	72	84	84

HOSPITALS LOCATED OUTSIDE SMSA'S (NONURBAN)—BED SIZE			
State group	Less than 100	100 to 169	170 and above
I ²	76	83	86
II ²	90	89	88
III.....	75	80	79
IV.....	67	67	65
V.....	62	64	67

¹ Limits apply to all Group I SMSA's except Anchorage, Alaska, and Honolulu, Hawaii, where cost-of-living adjustment was made. The limits for these areas are as follows:

	Less than 100	100 to 404	405 to 684	685 and above
Anchorage.....	141	138	166	212
Honolulu.....	130	127	153	195

² Limits apply to all Group I States except Alaska—limits for Alaska are:

Less than 100	100 to 169	170 and above
65	103	106

³ Applies to all Group II States except Hawaii—limits for Hawaii are:

Less than 100	100 to 169	170 and above
103	102	101

Prior to the final adoption of the proposed Schedule of Limits on Hospital Costs, consideration will be given to any views and comments pertaining thereto which are submitted in triplicate to the Commissioner of Social Security, Department of Health, Education, and Welfare, P.O. Box 1585, Baltimore, Maryland 21203, on or before May 19, 1975.

Copies of all comments received in response to this notice will be available for public inspection during regular business hours at the Washington Inquiries Section, Office of Public Affairs, Department of Health, Education, and Welfare, North Building, Room 4146, 330 Independence Avenue SW., Washington, D.C. 20201.

(Catalog of Federal Domestic Assistance Program No. 13.800, Health Insurance for the Aged—Hospital Insurance.)

Dated: March 21, 1975.

J. B. CARDWELL,
Commissioner of Social Security.

Approved: April 10, 1975.

CASPAR W. WEINBERGER,
Secretary of Health,
Education, and Welfare.

[FR Doc. 75-9765 Filed 4-16-75; 8:45 am]

Office of the Secretary

PROTECTION OF HUMAN SUBJECTS OF BIOMEDICAL AND BEHAVIORAL RESEARCH, NATIONAL COMMISSION

Meeting

Pursuant to the notice which appeared in the April 3, 1975 issue of the FEDERAL REGISTER (40 FR 14967), notice is hereby given that the National Commission for the Protection of Human Subjects of Biomedical and Behavioral Research will meet on April 25 and 26, 1975, in Conference Room 6, C Wing, Building 31, National Institutes of Health, 9000 Rockville Pike, Bethesda, Maryland 20014. The meeting will convene at 9 a.m. each day and will be open to the public, subject to the limitation of available space.

The agenda will include further discussion of research on the fetus and, time permitting, discussion of other issues identified in the legislative mandate to the Commission under Pub. L. 93-348.

Requests for information should be directed to Ms. Anne Ballard (301-496-7776), Room 125, Westwood Building, 5333 Westbard Avenue, Bethesda, Maryland 20016.

Dated: April 14, 1975.

MICHAEL S. YESLEY,
Acting Executive Director, National Commission for the Protection of Human Subjects of Biomedical and Behavioral Research.

[FR Doc. 75-10122 Filed 4-16-75; 8:45 am]

DEPARTMENT OF HOUSING AND URBAN DEVELOPMENT

Office of Interstate Land Sales Registration

[Docket No. N-75-285]

HIDE-A-WAY LAKE

Hearing

In the matter of Hide-A-Way Lakes, OILSR Nos. 0-0433-28-8, 0-0793-28-15, 0-0400-28-5, and 0-0556-28-12, Docket No. ED-75-1,

Notice is hereby given that: 1. Hide-A-Way Lake, Inc. (Miss.), James Fair, President, its officers and agents, hereinafter referred to as "Respondent," being subject to the provisions of the Interstate Land Sales Full Disclosure Act (Pub. L. 90-448) (15 U.S.C., 1701 et seq.), received a Notice of Suspension dated February 4, 1975, which was sent to the developer pursuant to 15 U.S.C. 1706(b) and 24 CFR 1710.45(a) informing the

developer that its Statement of Record submitted January 8, 1975, for Hide-A-Way Lake, Inc. (Miss.), Hide-A-Way Lake, located in Tyler, Texas, was not effective pursuant to the Act, and regulations contained in 24 CFR Part 1710.

2. The Respondent filed an answer dated February 24, 1975, in answer to the allegations of the Notice of Suspension dated February 4, 1975.

3. In said Answer the Respondent requested a hearing on the allegations contained in the Notice of Suspension.

4. Therefore, pursuant to the provisions of 15 U.S.C. 1706(b) and 24 CFR 1720.155(b), *It is hereby ordered*, That a public hearing for the purpose of taking evidence on the questions set forth in the Notice of Suspension will be held before James W. Mast, Administrative Law Judge, in room 7146, Department of HUD Building, 451 7th Street SW., Washington, D.C. on April 17, 1975, at 10:00 a.m.

The following time and procedure is applicable to such hearing: All affidavits and a list of all witnesses are requested to be filed with the Hearing Clerk, HUD Building, Room 10150, Washington, D.C. 20410 on or before April 10, 1975.

5. The Respondent is hereby notified that failure to appear at the above scheduled hearing shall be deemed a default and the suspension of the Statement of Record, herein identified, shall continue until vacated by order of the Secretary, pursuant to 24 CFR 1720.155.

This notice shall be served upon the Respondent forthwith pursuant to 24 CFR 1720.440.

Dated April 8, 1975.

By the Secretary.

JAMES W. MAST,
Administrative Law Judge.

[FR Doc. 75-10004 Filed 4-16-75; 8:45 am]

[Docket No. N-75-284]

YOGI BEAR'S JELLYSTONE PARK CAMP RESORT

Hearing

In the matter of Yogi Bear's Jellystone Park Camp Resort, OILSR No. 0-2853-57-18(B), Docket No. ED-75-7,

Notice is hereby given that: 1. First American Land Corporation, Mr. Martin Price, President, its officers and agents, hereinafter referred to as "Respondent," being subject to the provisions of the Interstate Land Sales Full Disclosure Act (Pub. L. 90-448) (15 U.S.C., 1701 et seq.), received a Notice of Suspension dated January 29, 1975, which was sent to the developer pursuant to 15 U.S.C. 1706(b) and 24 CFR 1710.45(a) informing the developer that its Statement of Record submitted January 14, 1975, for First American Land Corporation, Yogi Bear's Jellystone Park Camp Resort located in McLean, Virginia, was not effective pursuant to the Act, and the regulations contained in 24 CFR Part 1710.

2. The Respondent filed an answer dated February 11, 1975, in answer to the

allegations of the Notice of Suspension dated January 29, 1975.

3. In said Answer the Respondent requested a hearing on the allegations contained in the Notice of Suspension.

4. Therefore, pursuant to the provisions of 15 U.S.C. 1706(b) and 24 CFR 1720.155(b); *It is hereby ordered*, That a public hearing for the purpose of taking evidence on the questions set forth in the Notice of Suspension will be held before James W. Mast, Administrative Law Judge, in room 7146, Department of HUD Building, 451 7th Street, SW., Washington, D.C. on April 16, 1975, at 10:00 a.m.

The following time and procedure is applicable to such hearing: All affidavits and a list of all witnesses are requested to be filed with the Hearing Clerk, HUD Building, Room 10150, Washington, D.C. 20410 on or before April 9, 1975.

5. The Respondent is hereby notified that failure to appear at the above scheduled hearing shall be deemed a default and the suspension of the Statement of Record, herein identified, shall continue until vacated by order of the Secretary, pursuant to 24 CFR 1720.155.

This notice shall be served upon the Respondent forthwith pursuant to 24 CFR 1720.440.

Dated April 7, 1975.

By the Secretary.

JAMES W. MAST,
Administrative Law Judge.

[FR Doc. 75-10003 Filed 4-16-75; 8:45 am]

DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION

Federal Aviation Administration

ADVISORY COMMITTEE ON VISUAL AIDS TO APPROACH AND LANDING

Meeting

Pursuant to section 10(a) (2) of Pub. L. 92-463, notice is hereby given that the U.S. Advisory Committee on Visual Aids to Approach and Landing will hold a meeting at 9:30 a.m., Wednesday, May 14, 1975, in Room 5C, Federal Aviation Administration, 800 Independence Avenue, SW., Washington, D.C. The agenda for the meeting is as follows:

1. Discussion of the agenda and preparation for the February 1976 Seventh Meeting of the ICAO Visual Aids Panel, which will consider the following items: Approach, runway and taxiway light characteristics; maintenance of lighting of precision approach runways category III; guidance material on lighting systems serving precision approach runways categories I, II and III and taxiways; VASIS; taxiway guidance systems; light intensity control; inset lights; apron flood-lighting; and apron parking/docking guidance systems.

2. Discussion of U.S. views on items on the ICAO Visual Aids Panel Work Program.

All those interested in attending the meeting should contact Miss Aughtie Hawkins, Airports Engineering Division, Airports Service, Federal Aviation Administration, 800 Independence Avenue, SW., Washington, D.C. 20591, Telephone (202) 426-3055. The meeting will be open to the public.

Issued in Washington, D.C., on April 11, 1975.

ROBERT BATES,
Chairman, U.S. Advisory Com-
mittee on Visual Aids to Ap-
proach and Landing.

[FR Doc. 75-10069 Filed 4-16-75; 8:45 am]

**National Highway Traffic Safety
Administration**

[Docket No. EX 75-15; Notice 2]

EAGLE INTERNATIONAL, INC.

**Temporary Exemption From Federal Motor
Vehicle Safety Standard**

The National Highway Traffic Safety Administration has decided to grant Eagle International, Inc. an exemption from Motor Vehicle Safety Standard No. 121, *Air Brake Systems*, on grounds of substantial economic hardship. The exemption expires July 1, 1975.

Notice of the petition was published in the FEDERAL REGISTER on March 10, 1975 (40 FR 11018) and an opportunity afforded for comment.

Eagle and Bus & Car Company of Belgium are wholly-owned subsidiaries of Overseas Inns SA, a Luxembourg corporation. Eagle acts as sales and distribution agent for Bus & Car in the United States. In 1974 Eagle manufactured 11 intercity coaches, while Bus & Car shipped 225 coaches to the United States. In 1975 coaches produced by Bus & Car will be sent to Brownsville where "the electronic portion of the Skid-Trol system will be added." As the importer of the coach, Eagle pursuant to 49 CFR 567(g) will certify conformance of the vehicle with applicable Federal motor vehicle safety standards. Eagle, incorporated in 1973, had a net loss of almost \$1,400,000 in its first fiscal year.

Eagle is primarily concerned with the aspects of Standard No. 121 covering antilock systems, antilock warning signals, and braking performance under antilock conditions. An exemption until September 1, 1975 is needed "because of our inability to obtain needed supplies in time to work out the installation, develop the desired performance specifications and production line methods." Axles and other braking equipment "have been on order for nearly a year" but, at the time the petition was filed, had not arrived. Before September 1, 1975 Eagle plans to build 30 buses and to certify 115 coaches from Bus & Car "not having axles to standard #121." Apparently there will also be 220 coaches produced by Eagle without antiskid devices but which "will be retrofitted to bring compliance to completion on September 30, 1975 or as soon as possible thereafter." Eagle asserts that denial of the exemption would mean a prolonged period of closure that Eagle could not withstand, "and the loss of 350 jobs will result in a severe economic hardship for [Brownsville]." It would, Eagle states, also result in a permanent closure of the Belgian

facilities with a loss of employment for 500 people.

Oshkosh Truck Corporation, the sole commenter, opposed the petition on the grounds that an exemption would unfairly penalize those manufacturers who had done what was necessary to meet the standard.

The NHTSA has learned that conforming rear axles were shipped to Eagle in February and that conforming front axles are available. However, the supplier does not intend to ship the front axles until payment arrangements are made. Eagle's net loss of almost \$1,400,000 in its first year of operation has apparently eroded its credit and seriously reduced its available cash. It appears that a short exemption would enable Eagle to improve its position by providing it enough cash to purchase the conforming axles and other hardware necessary for compliance. NHTSA has also been informed that Eagle has closed its Brownsville plant pending a decision on its petition. Eagle has therefore satisfactorily demonstrated economic hardship. Because the vehicles produced by Eagle will be engaged in public transit on a daily basis over a long period of time, the Administrator has concluded that the public interest is best served by providing an exemption period shorter than that requested.

In consideration of the foregoing Eagle International Inc. is hereby granted NHTSA Temporary Exemption No. 75-15 from 49 CFR 571.121 Motor Vehicle Safety Standard No. 121, *Air Brake Systems*, expiring July 1, 1975.

(Sec. 3, Pub. L. 92-548, 86 Stat. 1159 (15 U.S.C. 1410); delegation of authority at 49 CFR 1.51).

Issued on April 11, 1975.

JAMES B. GREGORY,
Administrator.

[FR Doc. 75-10043 Filed 4-16-75; 8:45 am]

CIVIL AERONAUTICS BOARD

[Docket No. 27698; Order 75-4-66]

**INCREASED JOINT FREIGHT RATES
BETWEEN UNITED STATES AND CANADA**

Order of Suspension and Investigation

Adopted by the Civil Aeronautics Board at its office in Washington, D.C., on the 3rd day of April 1975.

By tariff revisions¹ filed January 30 and scheduled to become effective April 15, 1975, various United States- and Canadian-flag carriers propose, inter alia, to increase joint general and specific commodity rates between points in Canada, on the one hand, and the United States, on the other. The rates are typically proposed to be increased by 10 percent.

The carriers assert, inter alia, that the proposed increases reflect higher local

¹ Airline Tariff Publishing Company, Agent, C.A.B. No. 243. The joint rates include those participated in jointly by (1) U.S. carriers; (2) U.S. and Canadian carriers; and (3) Canadian carriers.

rates recently granted the carriers by the Board and the Canadian Transport Commission, that the costs of interline movements are equal to or greater than those for online movements, and that the proposed rates are, therefore, cost-justified.

Upon examination of the proposed rates, the Board finds numerous rates that exceed the sums of the local rates charged for the individual segments of the interline hauls.² No justification has been submitted for such a rate structure.

In view of the foregoing, the Board concludes that the above rates and charges may be unjust, unreasonable, unjustly discriminatory, unduly preferential, unduly prejudicial, or otherwise unlawful, and should be investigated.³ The Board further concludes that these rates should be suspended pending investigation.

Accordingly, pursuant to the Federal Aviation Act of 1958, and particularly Sections 204(a), 403, 404, and 1002 thereof,

It is ordered, That:

1. An investigation be instituted to determine whether the rates and provisions described in Appendix A attached hereto,⁴ and rules, regulations, and practices affecting such rates and provisions, are or will be unjust, unreasonable, unjustly discriminatory, unduly preferential, unduly prejudicial, or otherwise unlawful, and, if found to be unlawful, to take appropriate action to prevent the use of such rates and provisions, or rules, regulations, or practices;

2. Pending hearing and decision by the Board, the rates and provisions described in Appendix A attached hereto are suspended, and their use deferred to and including April 13, 1976, unless otherwise ordered by the Board, and that no changes be made therein during the period of suspension except by order or special permission of the Board;

3. This order shall be submitted to the President⁵ and shall become effective

4. The proceeding herein designated Docket 27698 be assigned for hearing before an Administrative Law Judge at a time and place hereafter to be designated; and

5. Copies of this order shall be filed with the tariffs and served upon the carriers listed in Appendix B, attached hereto,⁶ which are hereby made parties to Docket 27698.

This order will be published in the FEDERAL REGISTER.

By the Civil Aeronautics Board.

[SEAL] EDWIN Z. HOLLAND,
Secretary.

[FR Doc. 75-10049 Filed 4-16-75; 8:45 am]

² Airline Tariff Publishing Company, Agent, Tariff C.A.B. No. 169.

³ See our findings in Orders 72-4-42, 74-3-80, and 74-12-108, Domestic Passenger-Fare Investigation—Phase 4 (Joint Fares), Docket 21866-4.

⁴ This order was transmitted to the President on April 4, 1975.

⁵ Filed as part of the original document.

[Docket No. 27114, etc.; Order 75-4-4]

**PAN AMERICAN WORLD AIRWAYS, INC.
AND TRANS WORLD AIRLINES, INC.**

Reconsideration and Labor Issues

Correction

In FR Doc. 75-8980 appearing at page 15430 in the issue of Monday, April 7, 1975, the following changes should be made:

1. In the middle column on page 15434, the headings in the table should read:

Length of service Year	Separation allowance Months' pay
---------------------------	--

2. In the first column on page 15436 under paragraph 7. (b), in the third line "Washington, Baltimore" should read "Washington-Baltimore".

**CONSUMER PRODUCT SAFETY
COMMISSION
BOOKMATCHES**

Extension of Time for Publishing a Proposed Rule or Withdrawing Notice of Proceeding

The purpose of this notice is to extend by 30 days, or until May 2, 1975, the period in which the Consumer Product Safety Commission must publish in the FEDERAL REGISTER a proposed consumer product safety standard for bookmatches or a notice withdrawing the proceedings for development of a standard.

In the FEDERAL REGISTER of September 4, 1974 (39 FR 32050), the Commission commenced a proceeding under section 7 of the Consumer Product Safety Act (15 U.S.C. 2056) for the development of a consumer product safety standard applicable to bookmatches. On October 24, 1974, the Commission accepted the offer of the American Society for Testing and Materials (ASTM) to develop a recommended standard, and formalized that agreement on December 30, 1974. A notice was published in the January 7, 1975 FEDERAL REGISTER (40 FR 1298) announcing the acceptance of the ASTM offer. On January 31, 1975, ASTM submitted to the Commission a recommended standard.

Section 7(f) of the Consumer Product Safety Act provides that within 210 days after the publication in the FEDERAL REGISTER of a notice of proceeding to develop a consumer product safety standard, the Commission must either publish a proposed standard or withdraw the notice of proceeding. The Commission may extend the 210 day period for good cause, by publishing a notice of extension in the FEDERAL REGISTER.

With respect to the development and analysis of a recommended standard for bookmatches, the 210 day period expired April 2, 1975. The Commission has determined, however, that it needs additional time to properly review the material submitted by the offeror and the analysis of the Commission staff and to act upon the numerous and complex issues and options available in this matter. Therefore, the Commission, for good

cause shown, hereby extends by 30 days, or until May 2, 1975, the time in which it must either publish a proposed consumer product safety standard applicable to bookmatches or withdraw its notice of proceeding.

Dated: April 11, 1975.

SADYE E. DUNN,
Secretary, Consumer
Product Safety Commission.

[FR Doc. 75-10006 Filed 4-16-75; 8:45 am]

**DEFENSE MANPOWER COMMISSION
MEETING**

Pursuant to the provisions of the Federal Advisory Committee Act (Pub. L. 92-463), notice is hereby given that the Commissioners of the Defense Manpower Commission will meet on May 2, 1975, at 9 a.m. in the New Executive Office Building, Room 2010, 726 Jackson Place, N.W., Washington, D.C. 20036.

The purpose of the meeting will be to discuss the general subject of Recruitment and issues relating thereto, the issues that will be included in the May Interim Report and such other business as may be presented by the members.

The meeting will be open to the public. Since meeting space is limited, interested persons wishing to attend should telephone (202) 254-7800 before close of business April 30, 1975.

Dated: April 15, 1975.

BRUCE PALMER, Jr.,
General, USA (Ret),
Executive Director.

[FR Doc. 75-10009 Filed 4-16-75; 8:45 am]

**ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION
AGENCY**

[OPP-32000/230 & 231; FRL 359-1]

**RECEIPT OF APPLICATIONS FOR
PESTICIDE REGISTRATION**

**Data To Be Considered in Support of
Applications**

On November 19, 1973, the Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) published in the FEDERAL REGISTER (38 FR 31862) its interim policy with respect to the administration of section 3(c) (1) (D) of the Federal Insecticide, Fungicide, and Rodenticide Act (FIFRA), as amended. This policy provides that EPA will, upon receipt of every application for registration, publish in the FEDERAL REGISTER a notice containing the information shown below. The labeling furnished by the applicant will be available for examination at the Environmental Protection Agency, Room EB-31, East Tower, 401 M Street, SW, Washington DC 20460.

On or before June 16, 1975, any person who (a) is or has been an applicant, (b) believes that data he developed and submitted to EPA on or after October 21, 1972, is being used to support an application described in this notice, (c) desires to assert a claim for compensation under section 3(c) (1) (D) for such use of his

data, and (d) wishes to preserve his right to have the Administrator determine the amount of reasonable compensation to which he is entitled for such use of the data, must notify the Administrator and the applicant named in the notice in the FEDERAL REGISTER of his claim by certified mail. Notification to the Administrator should be addressed to the Information Coordination Section, Technical Services Division (WH-569), Office of Pesticide Programs, 401 M Street, SW, Washington DC 20460. Every such claimant must include, at a minimum, the information listed in the interim policy of November 19, 1973.

Applications submitted under 2(a) or 2(b) of the interim policy will be processed to completion in accordance with existing procedures. Applications submitted under 2(c) of the interim policy cannot be made final until the 60 day period has expired. If no claims are received within the 60 day period, the 2(c) application will be processed according to normal procedure. However, if claims are received within the 60 day period, the applicants against whom the claims are asserted will be advised of the alternatives available under the Act. No claims will be accepted for possible EPA adjudication which are received after June 16, 1975.

Dated: April 9, 1975.

JOHN B. RITCH, Jr.,
Director, Registration Division.

APPLICATIONS RECEIVED
(OPP-32000/230)

EPA File Symbol 36493-R. Acme Candle Co., Inc., 57-09 59th St., Maspeth NY 11378. CITRONELLA SCENTED CANDLE. Active Ingredients: Oil of Citronella 3%. Method of Support: Application proceeds under 2 (c) of interim policy. PM17

EPA File Symbol 35040-R. Mr. Donald Bastiere, 85 Water St., Haverhill MA 01830. DR. WILSMANN'S DETERGENT/SANITIZER TABLETS WITH IODINE. Active Ingredients: Iodine 5%. Method of Support: Application proceeds under 2(c) of interim policy. PF34

EPA File Symbol 12113-R. Black Hills Chem. Co., 403 W. Blvd., PO Box 2082, Rapid City SD 57701. DIAMOND SANITIZING AGENT. Active Ingredients: n-Alkyl (60% C14, 30% C16, 5% C12, 5% C18) dimethyl benzyl ammonium chlorides 5%; n-Alkyl (68% C12, 32% C14) dimethyl ethylbenzyl ammonium chlorides 5%. Method of Support: Application proceeds under 2(b) of interim policy. PM31

EPA File Symbol 10882-0. Chem-Power, 15 Wing Dr., Hanover Industrial Park, Cedar Knolls NJ 07927. WEED & GRASS KILLER. Active Ingredients: Monuron Trichloroacetate 3-(p-chlorophenyl)-1, 1-di-methyl-urea trichloroacetate 3.19%; Aromatic Petroleum Derivative 9.67%. Method of Support: Application proceeds under 2(c) of interim policy. PM25

EPA File Symbol 30944-E. Erickson Chem. Co., 2800 Shermer Rd., Northbrook IL 60062. ERICKSON ALGAECIDE #270. Active Ingredients: Disodium cyanodithioimidocarbonate 4.90%; Potassium N-methyldithiocarbamate 6.76%. Method of Support: Application proceeds under 2(b) of interim policy. PM22

- EPA File Symbol 3286-UT. Ferd Staffel Co., Inc., PO Box 2380, San Antonio TX 78298. PYROCID DUST. Active Ingredients: Pyrethrins 0.20%. Method of Support: Application proceeds under 2(c) of interim policy. PM17
- EPA File Symbol 2993-EIE. Hopkins Agricultural Chem. Co., PO Box 584, Madison WI 53701. HOPKINS KOCIDE 404. Active Ingredients: Cupric Hydroxide 39%. Method of Support: Application proceeds under 2(c) of interim policy. PM22
- EPA File Symbol 2270-AOL. The Huge Co., Inc., 7625 Page Blvd., St. Louis MO 63133. EXCELICIDE PIVAL RODENTICIDE. Active Ingredients: 2-Pivalyl-1, 3-indandione 0.025%. Method of Support: Application proceeds under 2(c) of interim policy. PM11
- EPA File Symbol 35906-R. Inter-Mar-Co., Ltd 2815 E. Skelly Dr., Suite 819, Tulsa OK 74105. IODINE (TECHNICAL GRADE). Active Ingredients: Iodine 99.5%. Method of Support: Application proceeds under 2(c) of interim policy. PM34
- EPA File Symbol 8760-I. Jackson & Perkins Co., PO Box 1028, Medford OR 97501. READY ROSE SPRAY A FUNGICIDE AND INSECTICIDE. Active Ingredients: Pyrethrins 0.025%; Piperonyl Butoxide, Technical (Equivalent to 0.205% of (butylcarbityl) (6-propylpiperonyl) ether and to 0.051% of related compounds) 0.256%; Rotenone 0.128%; Other Cube Extractives 0.237%; Folpet: N-(Trichloromethylthio) phthalimide 0.700%; Carbaryl: 1-naphthyl N-methylcarbamate 1.000%; Petroleum Distillate 0.025%. Method of Support: Application proceeds under 2(c) of interim policy. PM17
- EPA File Symbol 1021-RGLO. McLaughlin Gormley King Co., 8810 10th Ave. N., Minneapolis MN 55427. D-TRANS INTERMEDIATE 2041. Active Ingredients: d-trans Allethrin (allyl homolog of Cinerin I) 3.34%; Piperonyl butoxide, technical (Equivalent to 17.78% (butylcarbityl) (6-propylpiperonyl) ether and 4.46% other related compounds) 22.23%; 2-Hydroxyethyl-n-octyl sulfide 31.67%; Other related compounds 1.67%; Petroleum Distillate 7.74%. Method of Support: Application proceeds under 2(c) of interim policy. PM17
- EPA File Symbol 1021-RGGU. McLaughlin Gormley King Co., 8810 10th Ave. N., Minneapolis MN 55427. PYROCID INTERMEDIATE 7241. Active Ingredients: Pyrethrins 0.20%; Piperonyl butoxide, technical (Equivalent to 0.34% (butylcarbityl) (6-propylpiperonyl) ether and 0.08% related compounds) 0.42%; N-octyl bicycloheptene dicarboximide 0.60%; Chlor-dane, technical (Equal to 4.12% octachloro-4,7-methanoctetrahydroindane and 2.74% related compounds) 6.86%; Ronnel (0,0-Dimethyl 0-(2,4,5-trichlorophenyl) phosphorothioate) 4.58%; Petroleum Distillate 85.01%. Method of Support: Application proceeds under 2(c) of interim policy. PM15
- EPA File Symbol 34731-R. Medico Industries, Inc., PO Box 338, Elwood KS 66024. MEDEX SUSPENSION FOR VETERINARY USE IN HORSES ONLY. Active Ingredients: Piperazine-Carbon Disulfide Complex 21.6%. Method of Support: Application proceeds under 2(c) of interim policy. PM15
- EPA File Symbol 4476-TL. Morton Pharmaceuticals, Inc., 1625-39 N. Highland, Memphis TN 38108. MORTON SPACE & RESIDUAL INSECT SPRAY. Active Ingredients: (5-Benzy-3-furyl)methyl 2,2-dimethyl-3-(2-methylpropenyl) cyclopropanecarboxylate 0.350%; Related Compounds 0.048%; Aromatic petroleum hydrocarbons 0.464%. Method of Support: Application proceeds under 2(c) of interim policy. PM17
- EPA File Symbol 1769-ETN. National Chemsearch, Div. of USChem, Inc., 2727 Chemsearch Blvd., Irving TX 75062. NATIONAL CHEMSEARCH HEAVEN-SOFT LAUNDRY SOFTENER-SANITIZER. Active Ingredients: n-Alkyl (60% C14, 30% C16, 5% C12, 5% C18) dimethyl benzyl ammonium chlorides 0.8%; n-Alkyl (68% C12, 32% C14) dimethyl ethylbenzyl ammonium chlorides 0.8%. Method of Support: Application proceeds under 2(c) of interim policy. PM31
- EPA File Symbol 9779-EEL. Riverside Chem. Co., PO Box 171199, Memphis TN 38117. RIVERSIDE KON-TAC PLUS. Active Ingredients: Fatty Alcohols (C6-0.42%; C8-38.68%; C10-45.90%) 85%. Method of Support: Application proceeds under 2(c) of interim policy. PM25
- EPA File Symbol 9779-EEA. Riverside Chem. Co. RIVERSIDE KON-TAC. Active Ingredients: n-Octanol 28%; n-Decanol 35%. Method of Support: Application proceeds under 2(c) of interim policy. PM25
- EPA File Symbol 9779-EEU. Riverside Chem. Co. RIVERSIDE SUPER KON-TAC. Active Ingredients: n-Decanol 79%. Method of Support: Application proceeds under 2(c) of interim policy. PM25
- EPA File Symbol 6720-EUL. Southern Mill Creek Products Co., Inc., PO Box 1096, Tampa, FL 33601. SMCP DURSBAN 15% GRANULAR INSECTICIDE. Active Ingredients: Chlorpyrifos (0,0-diethyl-0-(3,5,6-Trichloro-2-pyridyl) phosphorothioate) 15.0%. Method of Support: Application proceeds under 2(c) of interim policy. PM12
- EPA File Symbol 6720-EUA. Southern Mill Creek Products Co., Inc., PO Box 1096, Tampa FL 33601. SMCP DURSBAN 10 GRANULAR INSECTICIDE. Active Ingredients: Chlorpyrifos (0,0-diethyl-0-(3,5,6-Trichloro-2-pyridyl) phosphorothioate) 10.0%. Method of Support: Application proceeds under 2(c) of interim policy. PM12
- EPA File Symbol 1440-I. Tomic Insecticide Co., 241 S. Mednik Ave., Los Angeles CA 90022. TOMIC DU-PY RESIDUAL ROACH SPRAY. Active Ingredients: Pyrethrins 0.052%; Piperonyl Butoxide, Technical (Equivalent to 0.208% (butylcarbityl) (6-propylpiperonyl) ether and to 0.052% related compounds) 0.260%; Chlorpyrifos [0,0-diethyl 0-(3,5,6-trichloro-2-pyridyl) phosphorothioate] 0.500%; Petroleum Distillate 98.736%. Method of Support: Application proceeds under 2(c) of interim policy. PM17
- EPA File Symbol 2095-R. Vinson Chem. Products Co., Inc., 14448 W. Dixie Hwy., Miami FL 33161. VINSON'S TNT ANT & ROACH KILLER. Active Ingredients: Decachlorooctahydro-1,3,4-metheno-2H cyclobuta (ed) pentalen-2-one 0.125%. Method of Support: Application proceeds under 2(c) of interim policy. PM15
- EPA File Symbol 7547-EE. Western Chemical Co., 1345 Taney N., Kansas City MO 64116. WESTERN ALGAE & SLIME CONTROLLER. Active Ingredients: 2,2-dibromo-3-nitropropionamide 5%. Method of Support: Application proceeds under 2(b) of interim policy. PM34
- APPLICATIONS RECEIVED (OPP-32000/231)
- EPA File Symbol 12015-RA. Allied Laboratories, 975 Lake Rd., Medina OH 44256. SPEEDEE 4.5. Active Ingredients: Octyl Decyl Dimethyl Ammonium Chloride 4.50%; Dioctyl Dimethyl Ammonium Chloride 2.25%; Didecyl Dimethyl Ammonium Chloride 2.25%; Tetrasodium Ethylene-diamine Tetraacetate 2.40%; Isopropyl Alcohol 3.60%. Method of Support: Application proceeds under 2(b) of interim policy. PM31.
- EPA File Symbol 10088-UG. Athes Laboratories, Inc., 4180 N. First St., Milwaukee WI 53212. SELECTIVE HERBICIDE #4. Active Ingredients: Isooctyl ester of Silvex [2 (2,4,5-trichlorophenoxy) propionic acid] 33.19%. Method of Support: Application proceeds under 2(c) of interim policy. PM23.
- EPA File Symbol 30948-RI. Bionomical Chemicals and Services, Inc., 1003 Pineville Rd., Chattanooga TN 37405. N-M WEED KILLER (NON-SELECTIVE) READY-TO-USE. Active Ingredients: Monuron Trichloroacetate [3-(p-chlorophenyl)-1,1-dimethylurea trichloroacetate] 0.80%; Aromatic Petroleum Derivative 47.53%; Petroleum Distillate 50.00%. Method of Support: Application proceeds under 2(c) of interim policy. PM25.
- EPA File Symbol 35896-E. Copper Pigment Chemicals, Inc., Arbor St., Sewaren NJ 07077. "TREE-TREAT" LIQUID WOOD PRESERVATIVE CONCENTRATE CCA-TYPE C. Active Ingredients: Chromium Trioxide CrO3 23.5%; Copper Oxide CUO 9.5%; Arsenic Pentoxide AS2O5 17.0%. Method of Support: Application proceeds under 2(c) of interim policy. PM22.
- EPA Reg. No. 464-429. The Dow Chemical Co., PO Box 1708, Midland MI 48640. DOG FLEA COLLAR FOR SMALL DOGS. Active Ingredients: Pospirate (Dimethyl 3,5,6-trichloro-2-pyridyl phosphate) 15%. Method of Support: Application proceeds under 2(c) of interim policy. Republished: Additional data submitted. PM15.
- EPA Reg. 352-354. E. I. du Pont de Nemours & Co., 7056 Du Pont Bldg., Wilmington DE 19898. BENLATE BENOMYL FUNGICIDE FOR CONTROL OF CERTAIN DISEASES OF BLUEBERRIES. Active Ingredients: Benomyl [methyl 1-(butylcarbamoyl) 2-benzimidazolecarbamate] 50%. Method of Support: Application proceeds under 2(b) of interim policy. Republished: Label revised for additional uses. PM22.
- EPA Reg. No. 279-2716. FMC Corp., 100 Niagara St., Middleport NY 14105. NYNAMITE 44. Active Ingredients: Toxaphene (Technical Chlorinated Camphene (67-69% Chlorine)) 38.8%; 0,0-Dimethyl 0-p-nitrophenyl thiophosphate 36.8%; Xylene base aromatic petroleum solvent 17.2%. Method of Support: Application proceeds under 2(c) of interim policy. PM12.
- EPA File Symbol 34463-G. Veneziani Zonca Vernici SPA, Via Malaspina, 8, 34147 Trieste, Italy. U.S. Agent: Grandi Associates International Ltd., Suite 250, Watergate 600, Washington DC 20037. ANCIENT MARINER COPPER-FREE 303 NOSCRUB ANTI-FOULING PAINT. Active Ingredients: Bis (tri-n-butyltin) oxide 2.85%; Triphenyltin fluoride 9.31%; Tributyltin fluoride 6.27%. Method of Support: Application proceeds under 2(c) of interim policy. PM24.
- EPA File Symbol 34463-U. Veneziani Zonca Vernici SPA, Via Malaspina, 8, 34147 Trieste, Italy. U.S. Agent: Grandi Associates International Ltd., Suite 250, Watergate 600, Washington DC 20037. ANCIENT MARINER 202 NO-SCRUB ANTI-FOULING PAINT. Active Ingredients: Cuprous oxide 16.72%; Bis (tri-n-butyltin) oxide 2.85%; Tetrachloro-sophthalonitrile 12.96%. Method of Support: Application proceeds under 2(c) of interim policy. PM24.
- PA File Symbol 9228-L. Huber Janitor Supplies, Inc., 114 N. St. Francis, Wichita KS 67202. SANI-DIS RINSE. Active Ingredients: n-Alkyl (60% C14, 30% C16, 5% C12, 5% C18) dimethyl benzyl ammonium chlorides 5%; n-Alkyl (68% C12, 32% C14) dimethyl ethylbenzyl ammonium chlorides 5%. Method of Support: Application proceeds under 2(b) of interim policy. PM31.

EPA File Symbol 5491-E, Jones-Blair Co., 2728 Empire Central, Dallas TX 75235. #44 LATEX PAINT MILDEWICIDE. Active Ingredients: 2,3,5,6-tetrachloro-4 (Methylsulfonyl) Pyridine 35.3%; Other chlorinated pyridines 3.5%. Method of Support: Application proceeds under 2(c) of interim policy. PM33.

EPA File Symbol 36310-E, Poolcare Ltd., 300 E. Industrial Lane, Wheeling IL 60090. PARACHLOR ALGAECIDE. Active Ingredients: Poly[oxyethylene(dimethyliminio)ethylene (dimethyliminio)ethylene dichloride] 15.0%. Method of Support: Application proceeds under 2(b) of interim policy. PM34.

EPA File Symbol 10380-RN, Q-Pak Corp., 2145 McCarter Hwy., Newark NJ 07104. Q-PAK LIQUID CHLORINE. Active Ingredients: Sodium Hypochlorite 10%. Method of Support: Application proceeds under 2(c) of interim policy. PM34.

EPA File Symbol 1409-UI, Roberts Consolidated Industries, Industrial Chemical Products, 2305 Superior Ave., Kalamazoo MI 49003. WOODLIFE MILLTREAT TYPE-E-EC CONCENTRATE. Active Ingredients: Bis (tributyltin) oxide 2.5%; Pentachlorophenol 15.2%; Tetrachlorophenol 2.1%; Xylene 19.6%. Method of Support: Application proceeds under 2(b) of interim policy. PM22.

EPA File Symbol 1409-UO, Roberts Consolidated Industries, WOODLIFE MILLTREAT TYPE-E CONCENTRATE. Active Ingredients: Bis (tributyltin) oxide 2.5%; Pentachlorophenol 14.9%; Other chlorophenols 1.7%; Xylene 19.6%. Method of Support: Application proceeds under 2(b) of interim policy. PM22.

EPA File Symbol 1409-UT, Roberts Consolidated Industries, WOODLIFE (MILLTREAT TYPE-PD). Active Ingredients: Pentachlorophenol 4.6%; Tetrachlorophenol 0.6%; Petroleum Distillates 85.3%. Method of Support: Application proceeds under 2(c) of interim policy. PM22.

EPA File Symbol 11655-G, Sampson Paint Manufacturing Co., Inc., 301 Hull St., Richmond VA 23224. WOOD PRESERVATIVE COPPER NAPHTHENATE. Active Ingredients: Copper Naphthenate 25.6%. Method of Support: Application proceeds under 2(c) of interim policy. PM22.

EPA File Symbol 18536-RA, Soil Chemicals Corp., PO Box 531, Morgan Hill CA 95037. METHYL BROMIDE-98%. Active Ingredients: Methyl Bromide 98%; Chloropicrin 2%. Method of Support: Application proceeds under 2(c) of interim policy. PM 11.

EPA File Symbol 8536-RL, Soil Chemicals Corp., PO Box 531, Morgan Hill CA 95037. METHYL BROMIDE-100. Active Ingredients: Methyl Bromide 100%. Method of Support: Application proceeds under 2(c) of interim policy. PM11.

EPA File Symbol 9768-GA, Thatcher Chemical Co., PO Box 6114, Salt Lake City UT 84106. COPPER SULFATE LARGE CRYSTALS. Active Ingredients: Copper Sulfate Pentahydrate 99%. Method of Support: Application proceeds under 2(c) of interim policy. PM24.

EPA File Symbol 9768-GT, Thatcher Chemical Co., PO Box 6114, Salt Lake City UT 84106. COPPER SULFATE GRANULAR CRYSTALS. Active Ingredients: Copper Sulfate, Pentahydrate 99%. Method of Support: Application proceeds under 2(c) of interim policy. PM24.

EPA Reg. No. 400-82, Uniroyal Chemical, Div. of Uniroyal, Inc., 74 Amity Rd., Naugatuck CT 06770. OMITE-6E. Active Ingredients: Propargite 2-(p-tert-butylphenoxy) cyclohexyl 2-propynyl sulfite 68.1%. Method of Support: Application proceeds under

2(c) of interim policy. Republished: Label revised for additional uses. PM13.

EPA File Symbol 1769-ETR, National Chemsearch, Div. of USACHEM, Inc., 2727 Chemsearch Blvd., Irving TX 75062. NATIONAL CHEMSEARCH APPLE-GREEN AIR SANITIZER AND DEODORANT. Active Ingredients: Isopropyl Alcohol 19.5%; Triethylene Glycol 12.0%; Propylene Glycol 8.0%; Essential Oils 0.5%. Method of Support: Application proceeds under 2(c) of interim policy. PM33.

EPA File Symbol 23566-A, U.S. Yacht Paint Co., Box 96, Roseland NJ 07068. 610 HATTERAS COPPER RED. Active Ingredients: Cuprous Oxide 25.0%. Method of Support: Application proceeds under 2(c) of interim policy. PM22.

EPA File Symbol 23566-I, U.S. Yacht Paint Co. 641 SUPER VINYL COPPER BLUE. Active Ingredients: Cuprous Oxide 35.0%. Method of Support: Application proceeds under 2(c) of interim policy. PM22.

EPA File Symbol 23566-O, U.S. Yacht Paint Co. 620 FLORIDA COPPER RED. Active Ingredients: Cuprous Oxide 35.0%. Method of Support: Application proceeds under 2(c) of interim policy. PM22.

EPA File Symbol 35482-E, G. E. Wilson Co., PO Box 1266, Harborside Blvd., Providence RI 02901. WILCLOR FOR WATER CHLORINATION. Active Ingredients: Sodium Hypochlorite 10.5%. Method of Support: Application proceeds under 2(c) of interim policy. PM34.

EPA File Symbol 35482-E, G. E. Wilson Co., PO Box 1266, Harborside Blvd., Providence RI 02901. WILCLOR FOR SWIMMING POOL CHLORINATION. Active Ingredients: Sodium Hypochlorite 10.5%. Method of Support: Application proceeds under 2(c) of interim policy. PM34.

[FR Doc.75-9806 Filed 4-16-75;8:45 am]

FEDERAL ELECTION COMMISSION TRANSFER OF AUTHORITY

As provided by section 208(b) of the Federal Election Campaign Act Amendments of 1974 (Pub. L. 93-443), the Federal Election Commission will complete the transfer of authority from the Supervisory Officers designated by the Federal Election Campaign Act of 1971 within 30 days after the appointment of the Commission's General Counsel. In the interim period all requests for advisory opinions or other information under the 1974 Act can be addressed to the Commission, 1325 K Street, NW., Washington, D.C., 20005, or care of either the Clerk of the U.S. House of Representatives, or the Secretary of the Senate, U.S. Capitol, Washington, D.C., 20515 or 20510. The Commission will respond to all such inquiries and requests as soon as possible, telephone number is Area Code 202-382-5162.

THOMAS B. CURTIS,
Chairman.

[FR Doc.75-10187 Filed 4-16-75;8:45 am]

FEDERAL MARITIME COMMISSION

[Independent Ocean Freight Forwarder License No. 1109]

LAKE SHIPPING CO., INC.

Order of Revocation

On February 24, 1975, the Federal Maritime Commission received notification

that Lake Shipping Company, Inc. wishes to voluntarily surrender its Independent Ocean Freight Forwarder License No. 1109 for revocation.

By virtue of authority vested in me by the Federal Maritime Commission as set forth in Manual of Orders, Commission Order No. 1 (revised) § 7.04(f) (dated 9/15/73);

It is ordered, That Independent Ocean Freight Forwarder License No. 440 be returned to the Commission for cancellation.

It is further ordered, That Independent Ocean Freight Forwarder License No. 1109 of Lake Shipping Company, Inc. be and is hereby revoked effective March 12, 1975, without prejudice to reapply for a license in the future.

It is further ordered, That a copy of this Order be published in the FEDERAL REGISTER and served upon Lake Shipping Company, Inc.

ROBERT S. HOPE,
Managing Director.

[FR Doc.75-10074 Filed 4-16-75;8:45 am]

[Independent Ocean Freight Forwarder License No. 1527]

RAMIREZ INTERNATIONAL FORWARDING CO. ALFRED RAMIREZ D/B/A

Order of Revocation

On March 31, 1975, the Federal Maritime Commission received notification that Ramirez International Forwarding Co., Alfred Ramirez d/b/a, 1603 Willowby Drive, Houston, Texas 77008 wishes to voluntarily surrender his Independent Ocean Freight Forwarder License No. 1527 for revocation.

By virtue of authority vested in me by the Federal Maritime Commission as set forth in Manual of Orders, Commission Order No. 1 (revised) section 7.04 (f) (dated 9/15/73);

It is ordered, That Independent Ocean Freight Forwarder License No. 1527 be returned to the Commission for cancellation.

It is further ordered, That Independent Ocean Freight Forwarder License No. 1527 of Ramirez International Forwarding Co., Alfred Ramirez d/b/a be and is hereby revoked effective March 31, 1975, without prejudice to reapply for a license in the future.

It is further ordered, That a copy of this Order be published in the FEDERAL REGISTER and served upon Ramirez International Forwarding Co., Alfred Ramirez d/b/a.

ROBERT S. HOPE,
Managing Director.

[FR Doc.75-10073 Filed 4-16-75;8:45 am]

FEDERAL RESERVE SYSTEM

BANK OF NOVA SCOTIA

Order Approving Formation of Bank Holding Company

The Bank of Nova Scotia, Toronto, Ontario, Canada, has applied for the Board's approval under section 3(a) (1) of the Bank Holding Company Act (12 U.S.C. 1842(a)(1)) of formation of a

bank holding company through acquisition of 75 percent or more of the voting shares of Banco Mercantil de Puerto Rico, Inc., San Juan (Rio Piedras), Puerto Rico ("Bank").

Notice of the application, affording opportunity for interested persons to submit comments and views, has been given in accordance with section 3(b) of the Act. The time for filing comments and views has expired, and the Board has considered the application and all comments received in light of the factors set forth in section 3(c) of the Act (12 U.S.C. 1842(c)).

Applicant, a Canadian commercial bank chartered under the Bank Act of Canada, has 982 offices located in Canada and abroad and controls approximately \$12.1 billion of deposits.¹ Applicant has been doing business in Puerto Rico for 65 years and operates four branches there with deposits aggregating \$80.5 million, ranking Applicant, in terms of deposits, as the ninth largest bank in Puerto Rico.² Applicant also maintains an agency each in New York City and San Francisco, and owns The Bank of Nova Scotia Trust Company, New York, New York, a New York State chartered trust company, which has approximately \$1 million in deposits. Upon becoming a bank holding company, Applicant has indicated that it will file applications under section 4 of the Act to retain its interest in The Bank of Nova Scotia Trust Company, and The Nova Scotia Corporation, New York, New York, a dormant shell corporation.

Bank, with deposits of \$87 million at December 31, 1974, is organized under the laws of the Commonwealth of Puerto Rico and operates one branch office each in Rio Piedras, Santurce, and Ponce, and has received a conditional license to establish a fourth office at Hato Rey. In terms of deposits at December 31, 1973, Bank ranked 10th among 14 nongovernment-owned commercial banks operating in Puerto Rico. Bank has a wholly-owned subsidiary, Bankmerc Investment Group, Inc., Hato Rey, Puerto Rico, engaged in leasing activities, which Applicant will seek to retain under section 4 of the Act after consummation of the proposed acquisition.

Applicant and Bank are presently two of the smaller competing banks in the San Juan banking market,³ where the four largest banks control approximately 71.6 percent of the market's \$2.3 billion of deposits.⁴ Applicant ranks ninth in the market with approximately 3.1 percent of the market's deposits, and Bank ranks eleventh with approximately 2.0

percent of the market's deposits. Consummation of the proposal would advance Applicant's rank to sixth in the market, as it would increase applicant's share to 5.1 percent of the market's deposits. While consummation of the proposal would eliminate some existing competition between Applicant and Bank and would slightly increase the concentration of banking resources in the market, in the Board's judgment, these anti-competitive effects would be clearly outweighed in the public interest by the probable effect of the transaction in meeting the convenience and needs of the community to be served, because Bank's viability as a competitor in the market has been greatly lessened as a result of its financial and managerial problems. Due to Bank's condition, it would also appear that no substantial potential competition between Applicant and Bank is being foreclosed, and, while it might be more desirable to have Bank serve as a foothold entry for another banking organization outside the market, the necessity of finding a timely resolution of its present problems must be the dominant consideration.

The financial and managerial resources and future prospects of Applicant are regarded as satisfactory and consistent with approval. The financial and managerial resources and future prospects of Bank are considered to be poor, and if it is to continue as a viable banking institution it must be acquired by a sound and well-managed banking institution such as Applicant. Acquisition of Bank by Applicant will provide Bank with needed financial and managerial resources and will greatly improve its future prospects. Particularly important from the Board's viewpoint is the fact that upon consummation of the proposal, Applicant will take full managerial control of Bank. These factors lend great weight toward approval.

Convenience and needs factors also lend great weight toward approval, as the continuity of banking services by a locally-chartered institution would be maintained in those areas now served by Bank. Applicant intends to operate Bank as a separate subsidiary, perhaps in combination with its other branch offices in Puerto Rico. This should also improve the range and quality of banking services available to the public at Bank's offices. It is the Board's judgment that consummation of the proposed transaction would be in the public interest and that the application to acquire Bank should be approved.

On the basis of the record, the application is approved for the reasons summarized above. The transaction shall not be made (a) before the thirtieth calendar day following the effective date of this Order or (b) later than three months after the effective date of this Order, unless such period is extended for good cause by the Board, or by the Federal Reserve Bank of New York pursuant to delegated authority.

By order of the Board of Governors,
effective April 9, 1975.

[SEAL] THEODORE E. ALLISON,
Secretary of the Board.

[FR Doc. 75-10027 Filed 4-16-75; 8:45 am]

MEMPHIS TRUST CO.

Order Denying Acquisition of Homeowners Savings and Loan Association, Inc.

Memphis Trust Company, Memphis, Tennessee, a bank holding company within the meaning of the Bank Holding Company Act, has applied for the Board's approval, under section 4(c)(8) of the Act and § 225.4(b)(2) of the Board's Regulation Y, to acquire 100 percent of the permanent stock of Homeowners Savings and Loan Association, Inc., Collierville, Tennessee ("Company"), a company that proposes to engage in the *de novo* operation of a savings and loan association.

Notice of the application, affording opportunity for interested persons to submit comments and views on whether such activity is closely related to banking, as well as on the public interest factors, has been duly published (38 FR 32849). The time for filing comments and views has expired, and those filed, including testimony and exhibits received at an oral presentation before available members of the Board, held October 30 and 31, 1973, have been considered.

Applicant controls one bank located in the State of Tennessee,¹ Memphis Bank & Trust Company, Memphis, Tennessee ("Bank"), with deposits of \$120 million, which represent approximately 1 percent of the total deposits in commercial banks in Tennessee. Applicant is the ninth largest banking organization in the State.²

Company, chartered in 1972, has not commenced operation. Company would operate in the manner of a traditional savings and loan association. Its office would be located in Collierville, Tennessee, approximately 25 miles southeast of downtown Memphis.

The Board has previously considered the nature and the structure of the savings and loan industry in connection with the application of American Fletcher Corporation to acquire shares of Southwest Savings and Loan Association.³ The record of that proceeding has been made a part of this application and the Board

¹ Voting for this action: Chairman Burns and Governors Sheehan, Bucher, Holland, Wallich, and Coldwell. Absent and not voting: Governor Mitchell.

² Applicant also owns 24.8 and 22 percent respectively of the outstanding voting shares of two banks located in the State of Mississippi.

³ Banking data are as of June 30, 1974.

⁴ Order of November 4, 1974, denying application of American Fletcher Corporation, Indianapolis, Indiana, to acquire shares of Southwest Savings and Loan Association, Phoenix, Arizona, 39 Federal Register 39912 (1974); 60 Fed. Res. Bulletin 868 (1974).

¹ All banking and financial data for Applicant and its subsidiaries are as of October 31, 1974.

² Applicant's deposit data for Puerto Rico are as of December 31, 1973, unless otherwise indicated.

³ The San Juan banking market consists of the San Juan SMSA.

⁴ All deposit data for the San Juan banking market are as of June 30, 1973.

concludes, as it was compelled to in connection with that case, that the activity in which Company would engage is closely related to banking. Whether it is a "proper incident thereto" within the meaning of section 4(c)(8) of the Act requires a determination whether its performance by Company as an affiliate of Applicant can reasonably be expected to produce benefits to the public that outweigh possible adverse effects.

Four savings and loan associations operate offices in Shelby County. The largest held approximately 78 per cent of the total assets held by savings and loan associations located in Shelby County, as of June 30, 1973. At that time, that association held the largest amount of real estate loans held by all 13 Shelby County banks and savings and loan associations, approximately 47 per cent. The four largest such financial institutions held more than 86 per cent of the real estate loans held by the 13. Applicant does not operate a savings and loan association although it does indirectly, through Bank, engage in the receipt of deposits and, through Bank, engage in the making of mortgage loans.

Bank, as of June 30, 1973,⁴ was the fourth largest of the nine commercial banks with offices in Shelby County. However, it held only 3.8 per cent of the time and savings deposits in the County. The three largest of the nine commercial banks in Shelby County held more than 85 per cent of the total time and savings deposits held in the County. Bank held the eighth largest real estate loan portfolio among the 13 commercial banks and savings and loan associations in the County. Its real estate loans amounted to approximately 1.6 per cent of the total amount of real estate loans held by commercial banks and savings and loan associations in the County.

Time and savings deposit and real estate loan markets approximating Shelby County are concentrated. Bank, however, is not dominant in either field. Consummation of Applicant's proposal, rather than eliminating actual or probable future competition, may increase competition as it appears to represent a method by which Applicant would reach customers for those services in the Collierville area in a more direct fashion. There is no savings and loan association within a twelve-mile radius of Company's proposed office, despite the rapid economic development that the Collierville area is experiencing. Although Bank may lawfully establish a branch office in Collierville, Applicant's entry into Collierville through Company may constitute a greater benefit to time and savings depositors and residential real estate borrowers in view of the higher interest rates Company may pay on such deposits and the greater emphasis that Company may place on residential mortgages.

The Board has previously expressed the view that at this time bank holding companies generally should slow their

⁴ There is no reason to believe that any significant change has occurred in the textual data since June 30, 1973.

rate of expansion into new activities and should direct their energies toward strengthening existing operations, particularly where such expansion may be into new activities in which bank holding companies have not previously engaged.⁵ That view is especially applicable to bank holding companies applying to acquire or form companies which are or would be highly leveraged and which would require continuing infusions of capital.⁶ Here Company will be located in a rapidly developing area southeast of Memphis, an area in which no other savings and loan associations currently maintain offices, and Applicant anticipates significant growth by Company. That growth would require continuing infusion of capital by Applicant into Company.

The Board has frequently reiterated its view that the primary role of a bank holding company should be to serve as a source of financial strength and support for its subsidiaries. The financial and managerial resources of a bank holding company should not be unduly diverted from that role. The formation and acquisition of Company, even absent any commitment by Applicant to inject capital into Company, would divert its resources away from Bank's probable future needs. Although consummation of the proposed transaction would increase competition in Shelby County mortgage and savings deposit markets by providing a new convenient alternative source of such services, such diversion constitutes a significant adverse effect of the proposed affiliation that is not outweighed, at this time and under these circumstances, in the Board's judgment, by any benefits to the public that can reasonably be expected to be produced by the affiliation.

Based upon the foregoing and other considerations reflected in the record, the Board is unable to determine, under section 4(c)(8) of the Act, that the proposed affiliation can reasonably be expected to produce benefits to the public that outweigh possible adverse effects. Accordingly, the application is hereby denied.

By order of the Board of Governors,⁷ effective April 10, 1975.

[SEAL] THEODORE E. ALLISON,
Secretary of the Board.

[FR Doc. 75-10026 Filed 4-16-75; 8:45 am]

FULL SERVICE INSURANCE AGENCY, INC.

Formation of Bank Holding Company

Full Service Insurance Agency, Inc., Buxton, North Dakota, has applied for

⁵ See Order of September 9, 1974, concerning the underwriting of real estate mortgage guaranty insurance, 39 FR 33712, 33713, 69 Fed. Res. Bulletin 727 (1974).

⁶ See Order of June 29, 1973, denying application of Chemical New York Corporation to acquire CNA Nuclear Leasing, Inc., 38 FR 18407, 18408 (1973), 59 Fed. Res. Bulletin 698, 699 (1973).

⁷ Voting for this action: Chairman Burns and Governors Sheehan, Bucher, Holland, Wallich and Coldwell. Absent and not voting: Governor Mitchell.

the Board's approval under section 3(a)(1) of the Bank Holding Company Act (12 U.S.C. 1842(a)(1)) to become a bank holding company through acquisition of 83.2 percent of the voting shares of First State Bank of Buxton, Buxton, North Dakota. The factors that are considered in acting on the application are set forth in section 3(c) of the Act (12 U.S.C. 1842(c)).

Full Service Insurance Agency, Inc., Buxton, North Dakota has also applied, pursuant to section 4(c)(8) of the Bank Holding Company Act (12 U.S.C. 1843(c)(8)) and § 225.4(b)(2) of the Board's Regulation Y, for permission to continue to engage in the activities of a general insurance agency, including the sale of hail and other types of casualty insurance, fire, automobile and ordinary life, and credit life insurance. Such insurance activities will be conducted from the offices of Applicant in Thompson and Buxton, North Dakota, communities with populations of less than 5,000 persons; the credit life insurance activities will be conducted at the offices of First State Bank of Buxton, Buxton, North Dakota. Notices of the application were published on February 13, 20, 27, 1975 in the Hillsboro Banner, a newspaper circulated in Thompson and Buxton, North Dakota. Applicant states that such activities have been specified by the Board in § 225.4(a) of Regulation Y as permissible for bank holding companies, subject to Board approval of individual proposals in accordance with the procedures of § 225.4(b).

Interested persons may express their views on the question whether consummation of the proposal can "reasonably be expected to produce benefits to the public, such as greater convenience, increased competition, or gains in efficiency, that outweigh possible adverse effects, such as undue concentration of resources, decreased or unfair competition, conflicts of interests, or unsound banking practices." Any request for a hearing on this question should be accompanied by a statement summarizing the evidence the person requesting the hearing proposes to submit or to elicit at the hearing and a statement of the reasons why this matter should not be resolved without a hearing.

The application may be inspected at the offices of the Board of Governors or at the Federal Reserve Bank of Minneapolis.

Any views or requests for hearing should be submitted in writing and received by the Secretary, Board of Governors of the Federal Reserve System, Washington, D.C. 20551, not later than May 5, 1975.

Board of Governors of the Federal Reserve System, April 10, 1975.

[SEAL] GRIFFITH L. GARWOOD,
Assistant Secretary of the Board.

[FR Doc. 75-10025 Filed 4-16-75; 8:45 am]

PEOPLES BANKING CORP.

Acquisition of Bank

Peoples Banking Corporation, Bay City, Michigan, has applied for the

Board's approval under section 3(a)(3) of the Bank Holding Company Act (12 U.S.C. 1842(a)(3)) to acquire 100 percent of the voting shares (less directors' qualifying shares) of the successor by consolidation to Frankenmuth Bank & Trust, Frankenmuth, Michigan. The factors that are considered in acting on the application are set forth in section 3(c) of the Act (12 U.S.C. 1842(c)).

The application may be inspected at the office of the Board of Governors or at the Federal Reserve Bank of Chicago. Any person wishing to comment on the application should submit views in writing to the Secretary, Board of Governors of the Federal Reserve System, Washington, D.C. 20551, to be received not later than May 5, 1975.

Board of Governors of the Federal Reserve System, April 10, 1975.

[SEAL] GRIFFITH L. GARWOOD,
Assistant Secretary of the Board.

[FR Doc.75-10028 Filed 4-16-75;8:45 am]

T.N.B. FINANCIAL CORP.

Order Approving Acquisition of Bank

T.N.B. Financial Corp., Springfield, Massachusetts, a bank holding company within the meaning of the Bank Holding Company Act, has applied for the Board's approval under section 3(a)(3) of the Act (12 U.S.C. 1842(a)(3)) to acquire 100 per cent of the voting shares of Ware Trust Company, Ware, Massachusetts ("Bank").

Notice of the application, affording opportunity for interested persons to submit comments and views, has been given in accordance with section 3(b) of the Act. The time for filing comments and views has expired, and the Board has considered the application and all comments received in light of the factors set forth in section 3(c) of the Act (12 U.S.C. 1842(c)).

Applicant, the tenth largest banking organization in Massachusetts, controls one bank, Third National Bank of Hampden County, Springfield, Massachusetts ("Third National"), with aggregate deposits of approximately \$233 million, representing 1.6 per cent of the total deposits in commercial banks in the State. Acquisition of Bank (deposits of \$9 million) would not significantly increase Applicant's share of Statewide deposits nor would it alter Applicant's ranking among the other banking organizations in Massachusetts.

Bank is located in the town of Ware just outside the Springfield-Holyoke RMA banking market. Bank is the only commercial bank in Ware. Two offices of Applicant's banking subsidiary, Third National, are located within 10 and 14 miles, respectively, of Bank's closest office. The record indicates that there is no significant existing competition between Applicant's subsidiary and Bank.

¹ All banking data are as of October 15, 1974, unless otherwise indicated, and reflect holding company formations and acquisitions approved by the Board through March 31, 1975.

Furthermore, it appears unlikely that any such competition would develop in the future since Third National is located in Hampden County and Bank is located in Hampshire County, and State law prohibits a bank from branching outside its home office county. On the other hand, the proposal could have some procompetitive effects on competition in the Ware area by stimulating competition in certain product lines between Bank and the Ware Savings Bank (deposits of \$84 million). Accordingly, the Board concludes that competitive considerations are consistent with approval of the application.

The financial and managerial resources and prospects of Applicant and Bank are regarded as satisfactory and consistent with approval of the application. Considerations relating to convenience and needs are also consistent with approval. Applicant proposes to assist Bank in expanding its banking services and, in particular, will assist Bank in making available to its customers time deposits and expanded loan services. It is the Board's judgment that the proposed acquisition would be in the public interest and that the application should be approved.

On the basis of the record, the application is approved for the reasons summarized above. The transaction shall not be made (a) before the thirtieth calendar day following the effective date of this Order or (b) later than three months after the effective date of this Order, unless such period is extended for good cause by the Board, or by the Federal Reserve Bank of Boston pursuant to delegated authority.

By order of the Board of Governors,² effective April 9, 1975.

[SEAL] THEODORE E. ALLISON,
Secretary of the Board.

[FR Doc.75-10029 Filed 4-16-75;8:45 am]

FEDERAL OPEN MARKET COMMITTEE

Domestic Policy Directive of February 19, 1975

In accordance with § 271.5 of its rules regarding availability of information, there is set forth below the Committee's Domestic Policy Directive issued at its meeting held on February 19, 1975.¹

The information reviewed at this meeting suggests that real output of goods and services is continuing to fall sharply in the current quarter. In January declines in industrial production and employment were large and widespread for the third consecutive month. The unemployment rate rose a full percentage point to 8.2 per cent. Average wholesale prices of industrial commodities, which were unchanged in December, rose moderately in January, and prices of farm and food products declined further. In re-

² Voting for this action: Chairman Burns and Governors Mitchell, Sheehan, Bucher, Holland, Wallach, and Coldwell.

¹ The Record of Policy Actions of the Committee for the meeting of February 19, 1975, is filed as part of the original document. Copies are available on request to the Board of Governors of the Federal Reserve System, Washington, D.C. 20551.

cent months increases in average wage rates have moderated, although they have still been large.

The decline in the foreign exchange value of the dollar was arrested in early February by concerted central bank intervention and a sharp decline in European interest rates, but in recent days the dollar has declined somewhat. In December the U.S. foreign trade deficit increased, but it was smaller in the fourth quarter as a whole than in the third.

The narrowly defined money stock, after having grown at an annual rate of about 4½ percent over the fourth quarter of 1974, declined sharply in January. However, net inflows of consumer-type time and savings deposits at banks and nonbank thrift institutions were large, and broader measures of the money stock continued to expand. Business demands for short-term credit have weakened in recent months, both at banks and in the commercial paper market, while demands in the long-term market have been exceptionally strong. Since mid-January short-term market interest rates have fallen substantially further, and yields on long-term securities also have declined. Federal Reserve discount rates were reduced from 7¼ to 6¾ percent in early February.

In light of the foregoing developments, it is the policy of the Federal Open Market Committee to foster financial conditions conducive to cushioning recessionary tendencies and stimulating economic recovery, while resisting inflationary pressures and working toward equilibrium in the country's balance of payments.

To implement this policy, while taking account of developments in domestic and international financial markets, the Committee seeks to achieve bank reserve and money market conditions consistent with more rapid growth in monetary aggregates over the months ahead than has occurred in recent months.

By order of the Federal Open Market Committee, April 11, 1975.

ARTHUR L. BROIDA,
Secretary.

[FR Doc.75-9961 Filed 4-16-75;8:45 am]

NATIONAL ADVISORY COMMITTEE ON OCEANS AND ATMOSPHERE

OPEN MEETING

APRIL 14, 1975.

The National Advisory Committee on Oceans and Atmosphere (NACOA) will hold a meeting Monday and Tuesday, May 19 and 20, 1975. Both sessions will be open to the public and will be held in room 6802 of the U.S. Department of Commerce Building, 15th and Constitution Avenue NW., Washington, D.C., beginning at 9 a.m.

The Committee, consisting of 25 non-Federal members appointed by the President from State and local governments, industry, science, and other appropriate areas, was established by Congress by Pub. L. 92-125, on August 16, 1971. Its duties are to: (1) Undertake a continuing review of the progress of the marine and atmospheric science and service programs of the United States, (2) submit a comprehensive annual report to the President and to the Congress setting forth an overall assessment of the status of the Nation's marine and atmospheric activities on or before June 30 of each year, and (3) advise the Secretary of Commerce with respect to the carrying

out of the purposes of the National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration.

The Committee will be briefed by representatives of the Interdepartmental Committee on Marine Science and Engineering and the Interagency Committee on Atmospheric Science on studies of the adequacy of capital investment plans in support of marine and atmospheric research responsive to expressions of concern in NACOA's Second Annual Report. The Committee will also consider draft materials for its Fourth Annual Report. A more detailed agenda will be published when plans become firm.

The public is welcome and will be admitted to the limit of the seating available. Persons wishing to make formal statements should notify the Chairman in advance of the meeting. The Chairman retains the prerogative to place limits on the duration of oral statements and discussion. Written statements may be submitted at any time.

Additional information concerning this meeting may be obtained through the Committee's Executive Director, Dr. Douglas L. Brooks whose mailing address is: National Advisory Committee on Oceans and Atmosphere, Department of Commerce Building, Room 5225, Washington, D.C. 20230. Telephone: (202) 967-3343.

DOUGLAS L. BROOKS,
Executive Director.

[FR Doc.75-10010 Filed 4-16-75;8:45 am]

NATIONAL TRANSPORTATION SAFETY BOARD

[Public Notice PN-1]

CERTAIN AIRCRAFT ACCIDENTS FOR A TEMPORARY PERIOD

Request to the Secretary of the Department of Transportation To Investigate

The Independent Safety Board Act of 1974 (49 U.S.C. 1901), effective April 1, 1975, rescinds the former authority of the National Transportation Safety Board (Board) to delegate investigative authority to the Federal Aviation Administration (FAA) with respect to certain aviation accidents, formerly contained in § 400.45 (14 CFR Part 400). In lieu thereof the following request is issued.

(a) Acting pursuant to the authority vested in it by Title VII of the Federal Aviation Act of 1958 (49 U.S.C. 1441) and section 304(a)(1) of the Independent Safety Board Act of 1974, the Board hereby requests the Secretary of the Department of Transportation to exercise his authority subject to the terms, conditions, and limitations of Title VII and section 304(a)(1) of the Independent Safety Board Act of 1974, and as set forth below, to investigate the facts, conditions, and circumstances surrounding certain fixed-wing and rotorcraft aircraft accidents and to submit a report to the Board from which the Board may make a determination of the probable cause.

(b) The authority to be exercised hereunder shall include the investigation

of all civil aircraft accidents involving rotorcraft, aerial application, amateur-built aircraft, restricted category aircraft, and all fixed-wing aircraft which have a certificated maximum gross take-off weight of 12,500 pounds or less except:

(1) Accidents in which fatal injuries have occurred to an occupant of such aircraft, but shall include accidents involving fatalities incurred as a result of aerial application operations, amateur-built aircraft operations, or restricted category aircraft operations.

(2) Accidents involving aircraft operated in accordance with the provisions of Part 135 of the Federal Air Regulations entitled "Air Taxi Operators and Commercial Operators of Small Aircraft."

(3) Accidents involving aircraft operated by an air carrier authorized by certificate of public convenience and necessity to engage in air transportation.

(4) Accidents involving midair collisions.

(c) Provided, That this authority shall not be construed to authorize the Secretary to hold public hearings or to determine the probable cause of the accident, and Provided further, That the Secretary will report to the Board in a form acceptable to the Board the facts, conditions, and circumstances surrounding each accident from which the Board may determine the probable cause.

(d) And Provided further, That this request includes authority to conduct autopsies and such other tests of the remains of deceased persons aboard the aircraft at the time of the accident, who die as a result of the accident, necessary to the investigations requested hereunder, and such authority may be delegated and redelegated to any official or employee of the Federal Aviation Administration. For the purpose of this provision, designated aviation examiners are not deemed to be officials or employees of the FAA.

(e) If at any time the Board shall determine, either upon request of the Secretary or upon its own initiative, that the circumstances of a particular accident being investigated by the Secretary pursuant to this request are of sufficient public interest, the Board may, upon written notice to the Secretary, terminate his authority as to such accident and assume full responsibility for the investigation of the accident in the same manner as an accident not covered by this request.

(f) Invoking the provisions of section 701(f) of the Federal Aviation Act of 1958, and section 304(a)(1) of the Independent Safety Board Act of 1974, is necessary inasmuch as sufficient funds have not been made available to the Board to provide adequate facilities and personnel to investigate all accidents involving civil aircraft. This request, therefore, is considered to be temporary in nature and may be modified or terminated by written notice to the Secretary.

Effective date: This public notice was approved on April 1, 1975.

Signed at Washington, D.C. this 14th day of April, 1975.

[SEAL]

JOHN H. REED,
Chairman.

[FR Doc.75-10047 Filed 4-16-75;8:45 am]

[1436]

RESPONSE TO SAFETY RECOMMENDATION

Receipt

Pursuant to section 307 of the Independent Safety Board Act of 1974 (Pub. L. 93-633, 88 Stat. 2172 (49 U.S.C. 1906)), the National Transportation Safety Board announces the receipt of the following response to a safety recommendation which it has recently issued:

M-75-4, issued 31 March 1975 in Marine Casualty Report—Cold Bay, Alaska, 7 March 1973 (Report No. USCG/NTSB—MAR-75-1) to the U.S. Coast Guard and to the National Oceanographic and Atmospheric Administration's National Ocean Survey. The latter addressee advises by letter of April 4, 1975, that the obscure sector for the Kaslokan Point Light will be revised from 186½°-336° to 193°-336° and that this change will be announced in Local Notice to Mariners No. 13, from the 17th U.S. Coast Guard District, and correction made in National Ocean Survey nautical chart 16549 (formerly C&GS 8703).

A copy of this response may be obtained by writing to the Publications Unit, National Transportation Safety Board, Washington, D.C. 20594. A \$4.00 user-service charge for the copy will be made, in addition to a charge of 10¢ per page for reproduction.

MARGARET L. FISHER,
Federal Register Liaison Officer.

APRIL 14, 1975.

[FR Doc.75-10048 Filed 4-16-75;8:45 am]

NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION

[Docket No. 50-321]

GEORGIA POWER CO. AND OGLETHORPE ELECTRIC MEMBERSHIP CORP.

Proposed Issuance of Amendment to Facility Operating License

The Nuclear Regulatory Commission (the Commission) is considering issuance of an amendment to Facility Operating License No. DPR-57 issued to Georgia Power Company and Oglethorpe Electric Membership Corporation (the licensee), for operation of the Edwin I. Hatch Nuclear Plant Unit 1, located in Appling County, Georgia.

The amendment would revise the provisions in the Technical Specifications relating to the safety system settings for the Average Power Range Monitor (APRM High-High Flux Scram Trip, for the APRM rod block trip, and for the Rod Block Monitor (RBM) High Flux rod block. These safety system settings are established as a function of reactor coolant flow and the proposed values

represent updated correlations, in accordance with the licensee's application for amendment dated March 3, 1975.

Prior to issuance of the proposed license amendment, the Commission will have made the findings required by the Atomic Energy Act of 1954, as amended (the Act), and the Commission's regulations.

By May 19, 1975, the licensee may file a request for a hearing and any person whose interest may be affected by this proceeding may file a request for a hearing in the form of a petition for leave to intervene with respect to the issuance of the amendment to the subject facility operating license. Petitions for leave to intervene must be filed under oath or affirmation in accordance with the provisions of § 2.714 of 10 CFR Part 2 of the Commission's regulations. A petition for leave to intervene must set forth the interest of the petitioner in the proceeding, how that interest may be affected by the results of the proceeding, and the petitioner's contentions with respect to the proposed licensing action. Such petitions must be filed in accordance with the provisions of this FEDERAL REGISTER notice and § 2.714, and must be filed with the Secretary of the Commission, U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission, Washington, D.C. 20555, Attention: Docketing and Service Section, by the above date. A copy of the petition and/or request for a hearing should be sent to the Executive Legal Director, U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission, Washington, D.C. 20555, and to G. F. Trowbridge, Esquire, Shaw Pittman, Potts, Trowbridge & Madden, Barr Building 910 17th Street, NW., Washington, D.C. 20006, the attorney for the licensee.

A petition for leave to intervene must be accompanied by a supporting affidavit which identifies the specific aspect or aspects of the proceeding as to which intervention is desired and specifies with particularity the facts on which the petitioner relies as to both his interest and his contention with regard to each aspect on which intervention is requested. Petitions stating contentions relating only to matters outside the Commission's jurisdiction will be denied.

All petitions will be acted upon by the Commission or licensing board, designated by the Commission or by the Chairman of the Atomic Safety and Licensing Board Panel. Timely petitions will be considered to determine whether a hearing should be noticed or another appropriate order issued regarding the disposition of the petitions.

In the event that a hearing is held and a person is permitted to intervene, he becomes a party to the proceeding and has a right to participate fully in the conduct of the hearing. For example, he may present evidence and examine and cross-examine witnesses.

For further details with respect to this action, see the application for amendment dated March 3, 1975, which is available for public inspection at the Commission's Public Document Room, 1717 H Street, NW., Washington, D.C.

and at the Appling County Public Library, Parker Street, Baxley, Georgia 31513. The license amendment and the Safety Evaluation, when issued, may be inspected at the above locations and a copy may be obtained upon request addressed to the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission, Washington, D.C. 20555, Attention: Director, Division of Reactor Licensing.

Dated at Bethesda, Maryland, this 11th day of April, 1975.

For the Nuclear Regulatory Commission.

GEORGE LEAR,
Chief, Operating Reactors
Branch No. 3, Division of Reactor Licensing.

[FR Doc. 75-10144 Filed 4-16-75; 8:45 am]

[Docket No. 50-321]

GEORGIA POWER CO. AND OGLETHORPE ELECTRIC MEMBERSHIP CORP.

Proposed Issuance of Amendment to Facility Operating License

The Nuclear Regulatory Commission (the Commission) is considering issuance of an amendment to Facility Operating License No. DPR-57 issued to Georgia Power Company and Oglethorpe Electric Membership Corporation (the licensee), for operation of the Edwin I. Hatch Nuclear Plant Unit 1, located in Appling County, Georgia.

The amendment would revise the Technical Specifications to allow all low pressure core and containment cooling systems to be inoperable provided that the reactor is in the cold shutdown condition and no work is being performed which has the potential for draining reactor vessel. Incorporation of this change will permit certain major maintenance to be performed such as draining the pressure suppression pool should it become necessary, in accordance with the licensee's application for amendment dated February 3, 1975.

Prior to issuance of the proposed license amendment, the Commission will have made the findings required by the Atomic Energy Act of 1954, as amended (the Act), and the Commission's regulations.

By May 19, 1975, the licensee may file a request for a hearing and any person whose interest may be affected by this proceeding may file a request for a hearing in the form of a petition for leave to intervene with respect to the issuance of the amendment to the subject facility operating license. Petitions for leave to intervene must be filed under oath or affirmation in accordance with the provisions of § 2.714 of 10 CFR Part 2 of the Commission's regulations. A petition for leave to intervene must set forth the interest of the petitioner in the proceeding, how that interest may be affected by the results of the proceeding, and the petitioner's contentions with respect to the proposed licensing action. Such petitions must be filed in accordance with

the provisions of this FEDERAL REGISTER notice and § 2.714, and must be filed with the Secretary of the Commission, U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission, Washington, D.C. 20555, and to G. F. Trowbridge, Esquire, Shaw, Pittman, Potts, Trowbridge & Madden, Barr Building, 910 17th Street, NW., Washington, D.C. 20006, the attorney for the licensee.

A petition for leave to intervene must be accompanied by a supporting affidavit which identifies the specific aspect or aspects of the proceeding as to which intervention is desired and specifies with particularity the facts on which the petitioner relies as to both his interest and his contention with regard to each aspect on which intervention is requested. Petitions stating contentions relating only to matters outside the Commission's jurisdiction will be denied.

All petitions will be acted upon by the Commission or licensing board, designated by the Commission or by the Chairman of the Atomic Safety and Licensing Board Panel. Timely petitions will be considered to determine whether a hearing should be noticed or another appropriate order issued regarding the disposition of the petitions.

In the event that a hearing is held and a person is permitted to intervene, he becomes a party to the proceeding and has a right to participate fully in the conduct of the hearing. For example, he may present evidence and examine and cross-examine witnesses.

For further details with respect to this action, see the application for amendment dated February 3, 1975, which is available for public inspection at the Commission's Public Document Room 1717 H Street, NW., Washington, D.C. and at the Appling County Public Library, Parker Street, Baxley, Georgia 31513. The license amendment and the Safety Evaluation, when issued, may be inspected at the above locations and a copy may be obtained upon request addressed to the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission, Washington, D.C. 20555, Attention: Director, Division of Reactor Licensing.

Dated at Bethesda, Maryland, this April 11, 1975.

For the Nuclear Regulatory Commission.

GEORGE LEAR,
Chief, Operating Reactors
Branch No. 3, Division of Reactor Licensing.

[FR Doc. 75-10148 Filed 4-16-75; 8:45 am]

[Docket No. 50-206]

SOUTHERN CALIFORNIA EDISON CO. AND SAN DIEGO GAS AND ELECTRIC CO.

Proposed Issuance of Amendment to Provisional Operating License

The Nuclear Regulatory Commission (the Commission) is considering issuance of an amendment to Provisional Operating License No. DPR-13 issued to

Southern California Edison Company and San Diego Gas and Electric Company (the licensees) for operation of the San Onofre Nuclear Generating Station Unit 1, located in San Diego County, California.

The license amendment would revise the Technical Specifications for the facility to incorporate requirements for inservice inspection of the high energy lines outside containment. This is intended to reduce the probability of ruptures in the main steam and main feedwater piping outside containment. Modifications to the facility have also been proposed to provide added assurance that the facility will withstand the consequences of postulated ruptures in the high energy fluid piping outside containment without loss of capability to achieve the maintain safe shutdown of the facility as required by the Commission's regulations.

Prior to issuance of the proposed license amendment, the Commission will have made the findings required by the Atomic Energy Act of 1954, as amended (the Act), and the Commission's regulations.

By May 19, 1975, the licensee may file a request for a hearing and any person whose interest may be affected by this proceeding may file a request for a hearing in the form of a petition for leave to intervene with respect to the issuance of the amendment to the subject provisional operating license. Petitions for leave to intervene must be filed under oath or affirmation in accordance with the provisions of § 2.714 of 10 CFR Part 2 of the Commission's regulations. A petition for leave to intervene must set forth the interest of the petitioner in the proceeding, how that interest may be affected by the results of the proceeding, and the petitioner's contentions with respect to the proposed licensing action. Such petitions must be filed in accordance with the provisions of this FEDERAL REGISTER notice and § 2.714, and must be filed with the Secretary of the Commission, U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission, Washington, D.C. 20555, Attention: Docketing and Service Section, by the above date. A copy of the petition and/or request for a hearing should be sent to the Executive Legal Director, U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission, Washington, D.C. 20555, and to Rollin E. Woodbury, Southern California Edison Company, Post Office Box 800, Rosemead, California 91770, and C. Hayden Ames, San Diego Gas and Electric Company, 111 Sutter Street, San Francisco, California 94104, attorneys for the licensees.

A petition for leave to intervene must be accompanied by a supporting affidavit which identifies the specific aspect or aspects of the proceeding as to which intervention is desired and specifies with particularity the facts on which the petitioner relies as to both his interest and his contentions with regard to each aspect on which intervention is requested. Petitions stating contentions relating only to matters outside the Commission's jurisdiction will be denied.

All petitions will be acted upon by the Commission or licensing board, designated by the Commission or by the Chairman of the Atomic Safety and Licensing Board Panel. Timely petitions will be considered to determine whether a hearing should be noticed or another appropriate order issued regarding the disposition of the petitions.

In the event that a hearing is held and a person is permitted to intervene, he becomes a party to the proceeding and has a right to participate fully in the conduct of the hearing. For example, he may present evidence and examine and cross-examine witnesses.

For further details with respect to this action, see the Commission's letter to the licensee dated December 18, 1972, and the licensee's report on postulated pipe breaks outside of containment dated December 26, 1973, supplements to the report dated April 29, 1974 and November 27, 1974, and the proposed inservice inspection program dated March 7, 1975 (Proposed Change No. 31). All of these documents are available for public inspection at the Commission's Public Document Room, 1717 H Street, NW., Washington, D.C., and at the San Clemente Public Library, 233 Granada Street, San Clemente, California 92672. Safety Evaluation Reports will be prepared by the Office of Nuclear Reactor Regulation for the license amendment and for the proposed facility modifications. The Safety Evaluation Reports and the license amendment, when issued, may be inspected at the above locations, and a copy may be obtained upon request addressed to the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission, Washington, D.C. 20555, Attention: Director, Division of Reactor Licensing.

Dated at Bethesda, Md., this 9th day of April 1975.

For the Nuclear Regulatory Commission.

ROBERT A. PURPLE,
Chief, Operating Reactors
Branch No. 1, Division of Reactor Licensing.

[FR Doc. 75-9899 Filed 4-16-75; 8:45 am]

REGULATORY GUIDE Issuance and Availability

The Nuclear Regulatory Commission has issued a new guide in its Regulatory Guide Series. This series has been developed to describe and make available to the public methods acceptable to the NRC staff of implementing specific parts of the Commission's regulations and, in some cases, to delineate techniques used by the staff in evaluating specific problems or postulated accidents and to provide guidance to applicants concerning certain of the information needed by the staff in its review of applications for permits and licenses.

Regulatory Guide 1.94, "Quality Assurance Requirements for Installation, Inspection, and Testing of Structural Concrete and Structural Steel During the Construction Phase of Nuclear Power

Plants," describes a method acceptable to the NRC staff for complying with the Commission's regulations with regard to quality assurance requirements for installation, inspection, and testing of structural concrete and structural steel during the construction phase of nuclear power plants. This guide endorses ANSI N45.2.5-1974, "Supplementary Quality Assurance Requirements for Installation, Inspection, and Testing of Structural Concrete and Structural Steel During the Construction Phase of Nuclear Power Plants."

Comments and suggestions in connection with (1) items for inclusion in guides currently being developed (listed below) or (2) improvements in all published guides are encouraged at any time. Public comments on Regulatory Guide 1.94 will, however, be particularly useful in evaluating the need for an early revision if received by June 13, 1975.

Comments should be sent to the Secretary of the Commission, U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission, Washington, D.C. 20555, Attention: Docketing and Service Section.

Regulatory Guides are available for inspection at the Commission's Public Document Room, 1717 H Street NW., Washington, D.C. Requests for single copies of issued guides (which may be reproduced) or for placement on an automatic distribution list for single copies of future guides should be made in writing to the Director, Office of Standards Development, U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission, Washington, D.C. 20555. Telephone requests cannot be accommodated. Regulatory Guides are not copyrighted and Commission approval is not required to reproduce them.

Other Division 1 Regulatory Guides currently being developed include the following:

- Prevention of Fracture of Structural Discontinuities in Reactor Pressure Vessel
- Protection Against Postulated Events and Accidents Outside of Containment
- Fracture Toughness Requirements for Materials for Class 2 and 3 Components
- Maintenance of Water Purity in PWR Secondary Systems
- Criteria for Heatup and Cooldown Procedures
- Effects of Residual Elements on Predicted Radiation Damage
- Surveillance Testing and Inservice Inspection of Thermal Barrier and Steam Generator Materials in High-Temperature Gas-Cooled Reactors
- Surveillance and Postirradiation Examination of Fuel Rods in Lead Assemblies
- Design Load Combinations for Component Supports
- Interim Guide on Tornado Missiles
- Criteria for Plugging Steam Generator Tubes
- Structural Design Criteria for Fuel Assemblies in Light-Water-Cooled Reactors
- Overhead Crane Handling Systems for Nuclear Power Plants
- Recommended Procedure for Resintering Test to Monitor Densification Stability of Production Fuel
- Tornado Design Classification
- Overpressure Protection of Low-Pressure Systems Connected to Reactor Coolant Pressure Boundary
- Investigation of Material Underneath Nuclear Power Plant Foundations
- Protective Coatings for Light-Water Nuclear Reactor Containment Facilities

Quality Assurance Requirements for Installation, Inspection, and Testing of Mechanical Equipment and Systems
 Assumptions Used for Evaluating the Potential Radiological Consequences of a BWR Radioactive Offgas System Failure
 Fire Protection Criteria for Nuclear Power Plants
 Requirements for Auditing of Quality Assurance Programs for Nuclear Power Plants
 Quality Assurance Requirements for Control of Procurement of Equipment, Materials, and Services for Nuclear Power Plants
 Quality Assurance Requirements for Lifting Equipment
 Maintenance and Testing of Batteries
 Qualification Test of Class IE Cables, Connections, and Field Splices for Nuclear Power Plants
 Seismic Qualification of Class I Electric Equipment
 Design of Main Steam Isolation Valve Leakage Control Systems for Boiling Water Reactor Nuclear Power Plants
 Instrumentation for Light-Water-Cooled Nuclear Power Plants to Assess Plant Conditions During and Following an Accident
 Fuel Oil Systems for Standby Diesel Generators
 Quality Assurance Requirements for the Manufacture of Class IE Instrumentation and Electric Equipment for Nuclear Power Plants
 Assumptions Used for Evaluating the Potential Radiological Consequences of a Liquid Radioactive Waste System Accident
 Containment Isolation Provisions
 Instrument Spans and Setpoints
 Initial Startup Testing Program for Facility Shutdown from Outside the Control Room
 Periodic Testing of Diesel Generators
 Qualification of Inspection, Examination, and Testing Personnel for Nuclear Facilities
 Quality Assurance Program Requirements for Nuclear Power Plant Fuels
 Testing of Nuclear Air Cleaning Systems
 Preoperational and Initial Startup Testing of Feedwater Systems for BWRs
 Design Criteria for Overload Protection of Motor-Operated Valves
 Probable Maximum Storm Surge Flooding on Lakes and Sea Shores
 Protection of Nuclear Power Plants Against Industrial Sabotage
 Emergency Planning for Nuclear Power Plants
 Control Room Manning
 Flood Protection for Nuclear Power Plants
 Hydrologic Design Criteria for Water Control Structures Constructed for Nuclear Power Plants
 Spill Analysis—Dispersion and Dilution in Surface and Ground Water
 Design Objectives for LWR Spent Fuel Facilities
 Design Objectives for LWR Fuel Handling Systems

(5 U.S.C. 552(a))

Dated at Rockville, Maryland this 9th day of April 1975.

For the Nuclear Regulatory Commission.

ROBERT B. MINOGUE,
*Acting Director, Office
 of Standards Development.*

[FR Doc. 75-9963 Filed 4-16-75; 8:45 am]

[Docket Nos. STN 50-454; STN 50-455; STN 50-456; STN 50-457]

COMMONWEALTH EDISON CO.

Availability of Safety Evaluation Report for Byron Station, Units 1 & 2 and Braidwood Station, Units 1 & 2

Notice is hereby given that the Office of Nuclear Reactor Regulation has published its Safety Evaluation Report on the proposed construction of the Byron Station, Units 1 and 2 and the Braidwood Station, Units 1 and 2. The Byron site is located about two miles east of the Rock River and approximately three miles southwest of Byron in Ogle County, north central Illinois. The Braidwood site is located in north central Illinois, near the town of Braidwood, in Will County, approximately 60 miles southwest of Chicago and 24 miles southwest of Joliet. Notice of Receipt to construct and operate the Byron Station, Units 1 and 2 and the Braidwood Station, Units 1 and 2 was published in the FEDERAL REGISTER on October 26, 1973 (38 FR 29633).

This application was docketed under one of the options of the Commission's standardization policy for nuclear power plants. The applicable option involves a limited number of duplicate plants to be constructed within a limited time span by a utility or group of utilities. Each of the proposed pressurized water reactor units is designed for initial operation at approximately 3425 megawatts thermal with a net electrical output of approximately 1120 megawatts.

The report is being referred to the Advisory Committee on Reactor Safeguards and is being made available at the Commission's Public Document Room, 1717 H Street, NW., Washington, D.C., at the Byron Public Library, 3rd and Washington Streets, Byron, Illinois 61010, and at the Wilmington Township Public Library, 201 South Kankakee Street, Wilmington, Illinois 60481. The report (Document No. NUREG-75/023) can also be purchased at \$7.00 per copy from the National Technical Information Service, Springfield, Virginia 22161.

Dated at Bethesda, Maryland this 4th day of April, 1975.

For the Nuclear Regulatory Commission.

D. B. VASSALLO,
*Chief, Light Water Reactor
 Projects Branch 1-1, Division
 of Reactor Licensing.*

[FR Doc. 75-9964 Filed 4-16-75; 8:45 am]

[Docket Nos. 50-254 and 50-265]

COMMONWEALTH EDISON CO. AND IOWA-ILLINOIS GAS AND ELECTRIC CO.

Issuance of Amendments to Facility Operating Licenses

Notice is hereby given that the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission (the Commission) has issued Amendment Nos. 16

and 13 to Facility Operating License Nos. DPR-29 and DPR-30 (respectively) issued to the Commonwealth Edison Company (acting for itself and on behalf of the Iowa-Illinois Gas and Electric Company) which revised Technical Specifications for operation of the Quad Cities Units 1 and 2 located in Rock Island County, Illinois. These amendments are effective as of their date of issuance.

The amendments incorporate a provision in the Technical Specifications which allows bypassing the Rod Worth Minimizer for performing low power physics tests to demonstrate shutdown margins, in accordance with the licensee's request dated April 3, 1975.

The application for these amendments complies with the standards and requirements of the Atomic Energy Act of 1954, as amended (the Act), and the Commission's rules and regulations. The Commission has made appropriate findings as required by the Act and the Commission's rules and regulations in 10 CFR Chapter I, which are set forth in the license amendments. Prior public notice of these amendments is not required since these amendments do not involve a significant hazards consideration.

For further details with respect to this action, see (1) the application for these amendments dated April 3, 1975, (2) Amendment Nos. 16 and 13 to License Nos. DPR-29 and DPR-30, with Change No. 28, and (3) the Commission's concurrently issued related Safety Evaluation. All of these items are available for public inspection at the Commission's Public Document Room, 1717 H Street, NW., Washington, D.C., and at the Moline Public Library, at 504-17th Street in Moline, Illinois 60265. A copy of items (2) and (3) may be obtained upon request addressed to the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission, Washington, D.C. 20555. Attention: Director, Division of Reactor Licensing.

Dated at Bethesda, Maryland, this 7th day of April, 1975.

For the Nuclear Regulatory Commission.

DENNIS L. ZIEMANN,
*Chief, Operating Reactors
 Branch No. 2, Division of
 Reactor Licensing.*

[FR Doc. 75-9965 Filed 4-16-75; 8:45 am]

OFFICE OF MANAGEMENT AND BUDGET

CLEARANCE OF REPORTS

List of Requests

The following is a list of requests for clearance of reports intended for use in collecting information from the public received by the Office of Management and Budget on April 11, 1975 (44 U.S.C. 3509). The purpose of publishing this list in the FEDERAL REGISTER is to inform the public.

The list includes the title of each request received; the name of the agency sponsoring the proposed collection of information; the agency form number(s), if applicable; the frequency with which the information is proposed to be collected; the name of the reviewer or reviewing division within OMB, and an indication of who will be the respondents to the proposed collection.

Request for extension which appear to raise no significant issues are to be approved after brief notice through this release.

Further information about the items on this daily list may be obtained from the Clearance Office, Office of Management and Budget, Washington, D.C. 20503 (202-395-4529), or from the reviewer listed.

NEW FORMS

VETERANS ADMINISTRATION

Survey of Public Reaction to Method of Benefits Payment for VA Education Programs, single-time, veteran organizations and educational groups, Community and Veterans Affairs Division, 395-3532.

Survey of Tuition Assistance—PL 93-508, single-time, persons training under VA education programs, Community and Veterans Affairs Division, Caywood, D. P., 395-3532.

U.S. CIVIL SERVICE COMMISSION

Supplemental Questionnaire—Nursing Assistant, DH-604, single-time, job applicant, Caywood, D. P. 395-3443.

DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH, EDUCATION, AND WELFARE

Social and Rehabilitation Service, QC Manual—Quality Control in Medicaid, QCM 301-4, QCM 401.1, QCM-401.4, semi-annually, title XIX recipients, Human Resources Division, Caywood, D. P., 395-3532.

DEPARTMENT OF HOUSING AND URBAN DEVELOPMENT

Housing Management, Counselor Evaluation Form (Pre-Purchase), HUD 9908, on occasion, low and moderate income homebuyers, Community and Veterans Affairs Division, 395-3532.

DEPARTMENT OF THE INTERIOR

Geological Survey:
Annual Report of Estimated Recoverable Geothermal Resources, 9-1903, annually, business firms, Natural Resources Division, Weiner, N., 395-6827.

Annual Report of Estimated Recoverable Mineral and Mineral Fuel Reserves, 9-1901, annually, business firms, Natural Resources Division, Weiner, N., 395-6827.

Annual Report of Estimated Recoverable Oil and Gas Reserves, 9-1902, annually, business firms, Natural Resources Division, Weiner, N., 395-6827.

REVISIONS

GENERAL SERVICES ADMINISTRATION

Principals and Associates Personal Resume of VM Qualifications, GSA 2759-A, on occasion, business firms, Caywood, D. P., 395-3443.

DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH, EDUCATION, AND WELFARE

Social Security Administration, Evaluation and Measurement System—Supplemental Security Income Questionnaire, SSA 9750, on occasion, new SSI applicants, Sunderhauf, M. B., 395-4911.

DEPARTMENT OF HOUSING AND URBAN DEVELOPMENT

New communities, Annual Report on Relocation and Real Property Acquisition Activities, HUD6501, annually, local public agencies, Community and Veterans Affairs Division, 395-3532.

HEALTH RESOURCES ADMINISTRATION

1975 Health Interview Survey Questionnaire, NCHS 0303, other (see SF-83), sample of households in several locations, Hall, George, 395-4697.

EXTENSIONS

DEPARTMENT OF AGRICULTURE

Forest Service, Metropolitan Tree Performance Data, annually, arborists in northeast Caywood, D. P., 395-3443.

DEPARTMENT OF DEFENSE

Department of the Navy, Fraudulent Enlistment Warning (Survey), NAVPERS 1130, on occasion, Caywood, D. P., 395-3443.

DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH, EDUCATION AND WELFARE

Social Security Administration:

Monthly Statistical Report on Recipients and Payments Under State Administered State Assistance Programs for Aged, Blind, SSA 9741, monthly, 25 State welfare agencies, Caywood, D. P., 395-3443.

Study of Blue Cross and Medicaid Prospective Reimbursement Programs, SSA-9767, single-time, hospitals, Caywood, D. P., 395-3443.

Office of Economic Opportunity, Interconventional Care Patient Data Forms, on occasion, family planning clinic patients, Hulet, D. T., 395-4730.

PHILLIP D. LARSEN,

Budget and Management Officer.

[FR Doc.75-10112 Filed 4-16-75; 8:45 am]

SAINT LAWRENCE SEAWAY DEVELOPMENT CORPORATION

ADVISORY BOARD

Open Meeting

Notice is hereby given pursuant to the Federal Advisory Committee Act, section 10(a)(2), dated October 6, 1972, that an open meeting of the Advisory Board of the Saint Lawrence Seaway Development Corporation will be held in the offices of the Corporation on the 8th floor at 800 Independence Avenue SW, Washington, D.C. on May 7, 1975 at 11 a.m.

Agenda items are as follows: (1) Opening remarks by the Administrator; (2) Approval of minutes of prior meeting; (3) Administrative report; (4) Program reviews; (5) Closing remarks.

Reservations and further information may be obtained from Mr. Robert Kraft, Special Assistant to the Administrator, Office of the Administrator, at the above address, or by calling 202-426-3574.

[SEAL]

D. W. OBERLIN,
Administrator.

[FR Doc.75-10035 Filed 4-16-75; 8:45 am]

SMALL BUSINESS ADMINISTRATION

ST. LOUIS DISTRICT ADVISORY COUNCIL

Public Meeting; Change of Location

The Small Business Administration St. Louis District Advisory Council will meet at 9:30 a.m., (c.d.t.), Wednesday,

April 30, 1975, at the Missouri Athletic Club, Crystal Room, 405 Washington Avenue, St. Louis, Missouri, instead of Small Business Administration, 210 N. 12th Street, St. Louis, Missouri 63101.

Dated: April 7, 1975.

ANTHONY STASIO,
*Chief Counsel for Advocacy,
Small Business Administration.*

[FR Doc.75-9959 Filed 4-16-75; 8:45 am]

SELECTIVE SERVICE SYSTEM REGISTRANTS PROCESSING MANUAL

The Registrants Processing Manual is an internal manual of the Selective Service System. The following portions of that Manual are considered to be of sufficient interest to warrant publication in the FEDERAL REGISTER.

Temporary Instruction No. 680-4/662-8—Processing of Medical Specialists and Medical Specialty Students.

Temporary Instruction No. Appendix 1-14—Preparation of SSS Forms 7 and 101.

Sections 625.6, 625.7, and 625.8 (Revised April 4, 1975)

Dated: April 11, 1975.

BYRON V. PEPITONE,
Director.

[Temporary Instruction No. 680-4/622-8]

Issued: April 8, 1975.

Subject: Processing of Medical Specialists and Medical Specialty Students.

1. Chapter 680, RPM, is suspended. Do not remove this Chapter from the RPM.

2. No further classification action will be taken on medical specialists or medical specialty students unless they are in the 1955 or 1956 year of birth groups.

3. No further action will be taken to retrieve or reestablish files of medical specialists or medical specialty students.

4. Temporary Instruction No. Appendix 1-12 is rescinded.

This Temporary Instruction will terminate upon rescission.

BYRON V. PEPITONE,
Director.

[Temporary Instruction No. Appendix 1-14]

Issued: April 7, 1975.

Subject: Preparation of SSS Forms 7 and 101.

1. The following information will no longer be shown on the Status Card (SSS Form 7) or the Registrant File Folder (SSS Form 101): COLOR EYES; COLOR HAIR; HEIGHT; WEIGHT. When preparing a Status Card, hyphens will be typed across the boxes provided for these items, including the field separators between them. When preparing a Registrant File Folder, the boxes provided for these items shall be left blank.

2. As an exception to the procedure stated in Temporary Instruction Appendix No. 1-13, when preparing an SSS Form 7, type hyphens in only the white spaces where the Social Security Account Number would have appeared.

3. When a Registrant File Folder is established for a registrant in Administrative 1-H, (address change or duplicate label, no entry will be made in Items

12 and 13 (CLASS and EFF. DATE) on page 1, and no dates will be entered on lines 1 and 2 of page 3.

4. Should it be necessary to prepare a Status Card for a registrant in Administrative 1-H, address change or duplicate) prior to his reclassification from 1-H, the date entered as the DATE OF CLASSIFICATION shall be the "MAIL DATE" shown on the label.

This Temporary Instruction will remain in effect until rescinded.

BYRON V. PEPITONE,
Director.

SECTION 625.6 NOTICE OF ACTION WHEN CLASSIFICATION CONSIDERED ANEW.

When the local board reopens a registrant's classification, the board shall mail him a Status Card (SSS Form 7) within 10 working days after it has again classified the registrant, except when he is classified into Class 1-C or 1-W.

SECTION 625.7 PROCEDURAL RIGHTS FOLLOWING REOPENING OF CLASSIFICATION.

Each classification resulting from a reopening under the provisions of this Chapter shall be followed by the right of personal appearance before the local board (if the classification did not result from a personal appearance) and the right of appeal to the appeal board.

SECTION 625.8 CANCELLATION OF ORDER TO REPORT FOR INDUCTION OR ALTERNATE SERVICE BY REOPENING OF CLASSIFICATION.

The reopening of the classification of a registrant by his local board cancels any order to report for induction or for alternate service which may have been issued to him. The local board shall issue a Notice of Cancellation (SSS Form 255) to advise the registrant that his order has been canceled, except when the registrant has been reclassified into Class 1-C or Class 1-W.

[FR Doc.75-10008 Filed 4-16-75; 8:45 am]

VETERANS ADMINISTRATION

ADVISORY COMMITTEE ON CEMETERIES AND MEMORIALS

Meeting

The Veterans Administration gives notice that a meeting of the Administrator's Advisory Committee on Cemeteries and Memorials, authorized by section 1001, title 38, United States Code, will be held at the Veterans Administration Central Office, 810 Vermont Avenue, NW., Washington, D.C., on May 8 and 9, 1975, at 9 a.m. The meeting will be held to conduct routine business.

The meeting will be open to the public up to the seating capacity of the conference room which is about 40 persons. Because of the limited seating capacity and the need for building security, it will be necessary for those wishing to attend to contact Ms. Charlotte Withers in the office of the Director, National Cemetery System, Veterans Administration Central Office (phone 202-389-5211) prior to May 8, 1975.

Any interested person may attend, appear before, or file statements with the Committee—which statements, if in written form, may be filed before or after the meeting.

Oral statements and/or reports from the public will be heard only between 3 p.m. and 5 p.m. on May 9, 1975, due to the number of items on the agenda for the meeting.

Dated: April 11, 1975.

[SEAL] R. L. ROUBEUSH,
Administrator.
[FR Doc.75-10040 Filed 4-16-75; 8:45 am]

DEPARTMENT OF LABOR

Occupational Safety and Health Administration

STATE PLANS FOR ENFORCEMENT OF STATE PLANS STANDARDS

Approval of Iowa Plan

Correction

In FR Doc. 75-8936 appearing at page 15468 in the issue of Monday, April 7, 1975, in the first column on page 15469, the nineteenth line from the bottom the reference, "39 FR 5896" should read "39 FR 35896".

Office of the Secretary

SOUTH DAKOTA

Availability of Federal-State Extended Benefits and Federal Supplemental Benefits Pursuant to a Reduced National "on" Indicator

I, as Secretary of Labor, have determined that there was a reduced National "on" indicator for the week ending on January 11, 1975, as announced in 40 FR 7509, and that an Extended Benefit Period and a Federal Supplemental Benefit Period commenced with the week beginning on January 26, 1975, in the State of South Dakota. This determination is made pursuant to the Federal-State Extended Unemployment Compensation Act of 1970, 84 Stat. 708, and the Emergency Unemployment Compensation Act of 1974, 88 Stat. 1869.

Section 203(d)(1) of the Federal-State Extended Unemployment Compensation Act of 1970 provides that there will be a National "on" indicator for a week if in each of the three calendar months preceding that week the rate of insured unemployment for all States equalled or exceeded 4.5 per centum. Whenever there is a National "on" indicator under the Act an Extended Benefit Period commences in every State with the third week following the week for which there is an "on" indicator. During an Extended Benefit Period, individuals who are unemployed and qualify may receive up to 13 weeks of Federal-State Extended Benefits after they have exhausted their rights to regular unemployment benefits.

Section 107 of the Emergency Unemployment Compensation Act of 1974 amended the Federal-State Extended Unemployment Compensation Act of 1970

to authorize a reduction in the National "on" indicator during 1975 and 1976. Under that amendment a State may amend its unemployment compensation law to provide that the National "on" indicator shall take effect in that State when the rate of insured unemployment nationally reaches 4.0 per centum instead of 4.5 per centum. South Dakota has amended its unemployment compensation law in accordance with section 107 of the Emergency Unemployment Compensation Act of 1974.

There have been three consecutive calendar months in which the monthly rate of insured unemployment for all States equalled or exceeded 4.0 per centum. The rate was 4.0 per centum for October 1974, 4.5 per centum for November 1974, and 5.2 per centum for December 1974. Accordingly, there was a reduced National "on" indicator for the first week of January 1975, and an Extended Benefit Period therefore commenced in South Dakota with the week beginning on January 26, 1975.

The same "on" indicator is effective to commence a Federal Supplemental Benefit Period in accordance with the Emergency Unemployment Compensation Act of 1974, in States which have entered into an Agreement with the Secretary of Labor of the United States pursuant to the Act. During a Federal Supplemental Benefit Period up to 13 weeks of Federal Supplemental Benefits are payable under the Act to individuals who are unemployed and have exhausted their rights to regular unemployment benefits and Federal-State Extended Benefits (or are not eligible for such extended benefits because of the ending of their eligibility periods). South Dakota has entered into an Agreement pursuant to the Act. Accordingly, a Federal Supplemental Benefit Period commenced in South Dakota with the week beginning on January 26, 1975.

The extended Benefit Period in South Dakota will last for a minimum period of 13 weeks, and the Federal Supplemental Benefit Period will last for a minimum period of 26 weeks. The Extended Benefit Period in the State will end with the third week following the week for which there is both a State and National "off" indicator in accordance with the State law and the Federal-State Extended Unemployment Compensation Act of 1970. The Federal Supplemental Benefit Period in the State will end on the same date as the Extended Benefit Period ends, if it has been in effect for 26 or more weeks.

Persons who believe they may be entitled to Federal-State Extended Benefits or Federal Supplemental Benefits in the State of South Dakota, or who wish to inquire about their rights under these programs, should contact the State employment security office or unemployment insurance claims office in their locality.

Signed at Washington, D.C. this 14th day of April, 1975.

JOHN T. DUNLOP,
Secretary of Labor.

[FR Doc.75-10015 Filed 4-16-75; 8:45 am]

INTERSTATE COMMERCE COMMISSION

[Notice No. 744]

ASSIGNMENT OF HEARINGS

APRIL 14, 1975.

Cases assigned for hearing, postponement, cancellation or oral argument appear below and will be published only once. This list contains prospective assignments only and does not include cases previously assigned hearing dates. The hearings will be on the issues as presently reflected in the Official Docket of the Commission. An attempt will be made to publish notices of cancellation of hearings as promptly as possible, but interested parties should take appropriate steps to insure that they are notified of cancellation or postponements of hearings in which they are interested.

MC 15735, Sub 26, Allied Van Lines, Inc., now being assigned June 2, 1975 (3 days), at Seattle, Washington; in a hearing room to be designated later.

MC 118978, Sub 7, Mercury Produce Express Ltd., now assigned June 2, 1975, at Seattle, Washington, is postponed indefinitely.

MC 130261, International Weekends, Inc., now assigned May 21, 1975, at Boston, Massachusetts, will be held at 150 Causeway, 5th Floor.

MC 4405, Sub 515, Dealers Transit, Inc., now assigned May 5, 1975, at Washington, D.C., is cancelled and application dismissed.

MC 130247, Colpitta Travel Agency of Rhode Island, application dismissed.

MC 139959, The Three J's, Inc., Application dismissed.

MC 67866, Sub 30, Film Transit, Inc., now assigned June 10, 1975, at Memphis, Tennessee will be held at the Tax Court, in Room 1006 Federal Building, 167 North Main Street.

MC-P-12166, Jackson and Johnson, Inc.—Purchase (Portion)—Dressing Transport, Inc., now assigned July 8, 1975 at Buffalo, New York, is canceled.

MC 111594, Sub 61, C. W. Transport, Inc., now assigned June 4, 1975, at Chicago, Ill., is cancelled and the application is dismissed.

MC-F-12336, Atl, Inc.—Purchase—Associated Truck Lines, Inc., now being assigned May 20, 1975, at the Offices of the Interstate Commerce Commission, Washington, D.C.

MC-C-8554, Dixie Lee Leasing, Inc., M. L. Wilkerson, Dixie Driving Service, Inc., and Michael George Oliver—Investigation of Operations, now assigned June 3, 1975 at Atlanta, Georgia, is Canceled.

MC 124154, Sub 67, Wingate Trucking Company, Inc., now being assigned June 3, 1975 (1 day), at Atlanta, Georgia; in a hearing room to be designated later.

[SEAL] ROBERT L. OSWALD,
Secretary.

[FR Doc.75-10078 Filed 4-16-75;8:45 am]

FOURTH SECTION APPLICATION FOR RELIEF

APRIL 14, 1975.

An application, as summarized below, has been filed requesting relief from the requirements of Section 4 of the Interstate Commerce Act to permit common carriers named or described in the application to maintain higher rates and charges at intermediate points than

those sought to be established at more distant points.

Protests to the granting of an application must be prepared in accordance with Rule 40 of the general rules of practice (49 CFR 1100.40) and filed on or before May 2, 1975.

FSA No. 42974—*Joint Water-Rail Container Rates—Seatrain International, S.A.* Filed by Seatrain International, S.A., (No. 16), for itself and interested rail carriers. Rates on general commodities, between rail terminals on the U.S. Pacific Coast, and ports in the United Kingdom and Continental Europe; also between rail terminals on the U.S. Atlantic and Gulf Coasts, and ports in Japan, Hong Kong, Korea, and Taiwan. Grounds for relief—Water competition.

By the Commission.

[SEAL] ROBERT L. OSWALD,
Secretary.

[FR Doc.75-10075 Filed 4-16-75;8:45 am]

[Notice No. 268]

MOTOR CARRIER TRANSFER PROCEEDINGS

APRIL 17, 1975.

Application filed for temporary authority under section 210a(b) in connection with transfer application under section 212(b) and Transfer Rules, 49 CFR Part 1132:

No. MC-FC-75792. By application filed April 8, 1975, THOMPSON TRUCKING, INC., 300 E. 27th St., Charlotte, N.C. 28206, seeks temporary authority to lease the operating rights of BURRIS EXPRESS, INC., 1024-A North Second St., Albemarle, N.C. 28001, under section 210a(b). The transfer to THOMPSON TRUCKING, INC., of the operating rights of BURRIS EXPRESS, INC., is presently pending.

By the Commission.

[SEAL] ROBERT L. OSWALD,
Secretary.

[FR Doc.75-10076 Filed 4-16-75;8:45 am]

[Notice No. 269]

MOTOR CARRIER TRANSFER PROCEEDINGS

APRIL 17, 1975.

Application filed for temporary authority under section 210a(b) in connection with transfer application under section 212(b) and Transfer rules, 49 CFR Part 1132:

No. MC-FC-75800. By application filed April 9, 1975, KONRAD STECK, 1015 Pulaski Highway, Joppa, Md. 21085, seeks temporary authority to lease the operating rights of QUINTON B. MOULSDALE, doing business as MOULSDALE BUS SERVICE, 333 Paradise Rd., Aberdeen, Md. 21001, under section 210a(b). The transfer to KONRAD STECK, of the operating rights of QUINTON B. MOULSDALE, doing business as MOULSDALE BUS SERVICE, is presently pending.

By the Commission.

[SEAL] ROBERT L. OSWALD,
Secretary.

[FR Doc.75-10077 Filed 4-16-75;8:45 am]

[Ex Parte No. 241; Rule 19; Amdt. 1
to Exemption No. 93]

EXEMPTION UNDER MANDATORY CAR SERVICE RULES

Upon further consideration of Exemption No. 93 issued January 15, 1975.

It is ordered, That, under the authority vested in me by Car Service Rule 19, Exemption No. 93 to the Mandatory Car Service Rules ordered in Ex Parte No. 241, be, and it is hereby amended to expire July 15, 1975.

This amendment shall become effective April 15, 1975.

Issued at Washington, D.C., April 9, 1975.

INTERSTATE COMMERCE
COMMISSION,
R. D. PFAHLER,
Agent.

[FR Doc.75-10080 Filed 4-16-75;8:45 am]

[Ex Parte No. 241; Rule 19; Amdt.
1 to Exemption No. 94]

EXEMPTION UNDER THE MANDATORY CAR SERVICE RULES

Upon further consideration of Exemption No. 94 issued February 5, 1975.

It is ordered, That, under the authority vested in me by Car Service Rule 19, Exemption No. 94 to the Mandatory Car Service Rules ordered in Ex Parte No. 241, be, and it is hereby amended to expire July 15, 1975.

This amendment shall become effective April 15, 1975.

Issued at Washington, D.C., April 9, 1975.

INTERSTATE COMMERCE
COMMISSION,
R. D. PFAHLER,
Agent.

[FR Doc.75-10081 Filed 4-16-75;8:45 am]

[Ex Parte No. 241; Rule 19; Amdt. 1
to Exemption No. 95]

EXEMPTION UNDER THE MANDATORY CAR SERVICE RULES

Upon further consideration of Exemption No. 95 issued February 5, 1975.

It is ordered, That, under the authority vested in me by Car Service Rule 19, Exemption No. 95 to the Mandatory Car Service Rules ordered in Ex Parte No. 241, be, and it is hereby amended to expire July 31, 1975.

This amendment shall become effective April 15, 1975.

Issued at Washington, D.C., April 9, 1975.

INTERSTATE COMMERCE
COMMISSION,
R. D. PFAHLER,
Agent.

[FR Doc.75-10082 Filed 4-16-75;8:45 am]

[Ex Parte 277 (Sub-No. 1); Finance Docket No. 27847]

ADEQUACY OF INTERCITY RAIL PASSENGER SERVICE

Petition of National Railroad Passenger Corporation for Exemption From Regulation 3(e) for Trains 46 and 47 on Weekends

At a General Session of the Interstate Commerce Commission, held at its office in Washington, D.C., on the 27th day of March, 1975.

It appearing, That by order of the Commission, dated April 26, 1971, this proceeding was instituted for the purpose of implementing section 801 of the Rail Passenger Service Act (Pub. L. 91-518) relating to the Commission's authority to prescribe regulations for the quality of intercity rail passenger service, and that on December 7, 1973, by report and order of the Commission, 344 I.C.C. 758, regulations for the Adequacy of Intercity Rail Passenger Service were promulgated, and have been from time to time modified;

It further appearing, That by petition filed February 5, 1975, the National Railroad Passenger Corporation (Amtrak) seeks repeal of regulations 3(e) of the Commission's regulations for the Adequacy of Intercity Rail Passenger Service, Title 49, CFR Part 1124, § 1124.3(e), which states:

e. For passenger trips of 200 miles or more, sufficient revenue seats in coaches shall be made available to meet the normal demands of customers requesting reservations, and all carriers shall make provision for advance reservation of such coach-seat space by customers.

1. The provisions of this subsection do not preclude, when warranted by the public demand, the operation of unreserved coach-seat trains in addition to "reserved space" trains on routes capable of sustaining more than one train daily in each direction, provided that no more than 50 percent of the trains on any given route are unreserved coach-seat trains.

2. The provisions of this subsection do not preclude, when warranted by the public demand, the operation of unreserved coach-seat cars on "reserved space" trains in addition to reserved coach and other reserved cars.

That in the alternative Amtrak petitions for an increase from 200 to 400 miles in the minimum trip length prescribed in regulation 3(e) and elimination of the 50-percent provision in subparagraph (1) thereof and for exemption for trains 46 and 47, which operate between Harrisburg and Boston on weekends, from regulation 3(e);

It further appearing, That Amtrak in its petition for repeal makes no showing with any degree of specificity or merit that (1) compliance with regulation 3(e) is imposing upon it an unnecessary burden; and (2) that any such burden which might be imposed by compliance could not be remedied by exemption from regulation 3(e) as provided by 49 CFR 1124.2(d) and therefore, compliance should be effected with 49 CFR 1124.3(e) except as

hereafter indicated with regard to Trains Nos. 46-47;

It further appearing, That Amtrak in its petition for exemption from regulation 3(e) for trains 46 and 47 has not given passenger on and off counts as required by regulation 49 CFR 1124.26; that it makes no showing with any degree of specificity whether or not compliance is possible; but the compliance with said regulation under existing circumstances, nonetheless, may not be required by the public need and that trains 46 and 47 should be granted temporary exemption from regulation 3(e) for a period of 30 days, or for such further period as the Commission may prescribe, to enable Amtrak to file properly an exemption under regulation 1124.2(d);

It further appearing, That Amtrak is in violation of regulation 3(e) in that it does not permit a traveler to make coach reservations for trips of 200 miles or more on at least 50 percent of applicable trains on a given route to the extent required by regulation 1124.3(e);

It is ordered, That Amtrak's petition for exemption from regulation 3(e) for trains 46 and 47 on weekends be and it is hereby denied without prejudice to Amtrak filing an amended petition within 30 days, or within such further period as the Commission may prescribe, setting forth all information required in 49 CFR 1124.2d, and that Amtrak be, and it is hereby granted, a temporary exemption from regulation 3(e) for trains 46 and 47 on weekends for a period of 30 days from the date of this order to enable it to file an amended petition.

It is further ordered, That except as indicated in the preceding ordering paragraph, the petition be, and it is hereby, denied, and Amtrak will be expected to bring itself into compliance with 49 CFR 1124.3 within 30 days from the date of service of this order by revision of existing timetables and, where appropriate, the conspicuous posting of a Notice in open stations, depots, and other passenger facilities it serves to the effect that "Coach reservations are available for passenger trips of 200 miles or more on Train No. _____, the (name of the train)" for each such train, and filing with this Commission a statement certifying that such compliance measures have been effected;

It is further ordered, That notice of this order shall be given to the general public by depositing a copy thereof in the Office of the Secretary of the Commission at Washington, D.C., and by filing a copy with the Director, Office of Federal Register.

NOTE: This decision is not a major Federal action having a significant impact on the environment within the meaning of the National Environmental Policy Act of 1969.

By the Commission.

[SEAL] ROBERT L. OSWALD,
Secretary.

[FR Doc.75-10084 Filed 4-16-75;8:45 am]

IRREGULAR-ROUTE MOTOR COMMON CARRIERS OF PROPERTY-ELIMINATION OF GATEWAY LETTER NOTICES

APRIL 11, 1975.

The following letter-notices of proposals to eliminate gateways for the purpose of reducing highway congestion, alleviating air and noise pollution, minimizing safety hazards, and conserving fuel have been filed with the Interstate Commerce Commission under the Commission's Gateway Elimination Rules (49 CFR Part 1065), and notice thereof to all interested persons is hereby given as provided in such rules.

An original and two copies of protests against the proposed elimination of any gateway herein described may be filed with the Interstate Commerce Commission within 10 days from the date of this publication. A copy must also be served upon applicant or its representative. Protests against the elimination of a gateway will not operate to stay commencement of the proposed operation.

Successively filed letter-notices of the same carrier under these rules will be numbered consecutively for convenience in identification. Protests, if any, must refer to such letter-notices by number.

No. MC 21170 (Sub-No. E183), filed June 29, 1974. Applicant: BOS LINES, INC., P.O. Box 68, Cedar Rapids, Iowa 52406. Applicant's representative: Gene R. Prohushl (same as above). Authority sought to operate as a common carrier, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: Meats, meat products, and meat by-products, and articles distributed by meat packinghouses, as defined in Sections A and C of Appendix I to the report in Descriptions in Motor Carrier Certificates, 61 M.C.C. 209 and 766 (except commodities in bulk, in tank vehicles, and hides), restricted to food products, from the facilities of Minden Beef Company at or near Minden, Nebr.; (a) to points in that part of Illinois on, south, and east of a line beginning at the Illinois-Missouri State line extending along Illinois Highway 96 to junction Illinois Highway 61, thence along Illinois Highway 61 to junction U.S. Highway 136, thence along U.S. Highway 136 to Illinois Highway 41, thence along Illinois Highway 116, thence along Illinois Highway 116 to junction U.S. Highway 24, thence along U.S. Highway 24 to junction U.S. Highway 66, thence along U.S. Highway 66 to junction Illinois Highway 53, thence along Illinois Highway 53 to junction unnumbered highway, thence along unnumbered highway to junction U.S. Highway 57, thence along U.S. Highway 57 to junction U.S. Highway 30, thence along U.S. Highway 30 to the Illinois-Indiana State line;

(b) to points in that part of Indiana on, south, and east of a line beginning at the Indiana-Illinois State line extending along U.S. Highway 30 to junction U.S. Highway 41, thence along U.S. Highway 41 to junction U.S. Highway

231, thence along U.S. Highway 231 to junction Indiana Highway 8 at Hebron, thence along Indiana Highway 8 to junction U.S. Highway 421, thence along U.S. Highway 421 to junction U.S. Highway 30, thence along U.S. Highway 30 to junction U.S. Highway 31, thence along U.S. Highway 31 to junction U.S. Highway 6, thence along U.S. Highway 6 to junction Indiana Highway 9, thence along Indiana Highway 9 to the Indiana-Michigan State line; (c) to points in that part of Michigan on, north, and east of a line beginning at the Michigan-Indiana State line extending along Michigan Highway 66 to junction Michigan Highway 60, thence along Michigan Highway 60 to junction unnumbered highway, thence along unnumbered highway to junction Michigan Highway 43, thence along Michigan Highway 43 to junction Michigan Highway 40, thence along Michigan Highway 40 to Lake Michigan and to points in that part of Michigan on, north, and east of a line beginning at Lake Michigan at Nahma extending long unnumbered highway to junction Michigan Highway 28, thence along Michigan Highway 28 to Lake Superior; and (d) to points in Ohio, Kentucky, Pennsylvania, West Virginia, Virginia, Maryland, District of Columbia, Delaware, New Jersey, New York, Connecticut, Rhode Island, Massachusetts, Vermont, New Hampshire, and Maine. The purpose of this filing is to eliminate the gateway of the facilities of Ralston Purina Co., at or near California, Mo.

No. MC 30280 (Sub-No. E87), filed January 20, 1975. Applicant: WATKINS CAROLINA EXPRESS, INC., P.O. Box 1636, Atlanta, Ga. 30301. Applicant's representative: Jerome F. Marks (same as above). Authority sought to operate as a *common carrier*, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: *General commodities* (except those of unusual value), Classes A and B explosives, household goods as defined by the Commission, commodities in bulk, commodities requiring special equipment, and those injurious or contaminating to other lading, between points in that part of Georgia on and east of a line beginning at the Georgia-Florida State line, thence along U.S. Highway 1 to Waycross, Ga., thence along U.S. Highway 84 to Brunswick, Ga., thence along Toll Road to St. Simons Island, on the one hand, and, on the other, points in that part of North Carolina on and east of a line beginning at the North Carolina-South Carolina State line, thence along U.S. Highway 321 to junction North Carolina Highway 18, thence along North Carolina Highway 18 to the North Carolina-Virginia State line, thence along the North Carolina-Virginia State line to junction U.S. Highway 220, thence along U.S. Highway 220 to Asheville, N.C., thence along North Carolina Highway 49 to Charlotte, N.C., thence along Interstate Highway 77 to the North Carolina-South Carolina State line. The purpose of this filing is to eliminate the gateways of (1) Greenwood, S.C., and (2) Charlotte, N.C.

No. MC 30280 (Sub-No. E97), filed January 22, 1975. Applicant: WATKINS CAROLINA EXPRESS, INC., P.O. Box 1636, Atlanta, Ga. 30301. Applicant's representative: Jerome F. Marks (same as above). Authority sought to operate as a *common carrier*, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: *Synthetic fiber*, from the plant sites and warehouses of Monsanto Company at Decatur and Huntsville, Ala., to points in that part of New York in the New York, N.Y., commercial zone, as defined by the Commission, Baltimore, Md., points in that part of Pennsylvania on and south of a line extending along U.S. Highway 22 to junction unnumbered highway (formerly portion U.S. Highway 22), thence along unnumbered highway through Upper Bern to junction U.S. Highway 22, thence along U.S. Highway 22 to Harrisburg, Pa., thence along U.S. Highway 15 to the Pennsylvania-Maryland State line, Beverly, N.J., and points in Hudson, Bergen, Passaic, Essex, Union, and Middlesex Counties, N.J., with no transportation for compensation for return except as otherwise authorized. Restriction: The operation authorized herein is restricted to the transportation of shipments originating at the above-described origin sites. The purpose of this filing is to eliminate the gateways of (1) Charlotte, N.C., and (2) Gastonia, N.C.

No. MC 30280 (Sub-No. E102), filed January 22, 1975. Applicant: WATKINS CAROLINA EXPRESS, INC., P.O. Box 1636, Atlanta, Ga. 30301. Applicant's representative: Jerome F. Marks (same as above). Authority sought to operate as a *common carrier*, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: *General commodities* (except those of unusual value, classes A and B explosives, livestock, household goods as defined by the Commission, commodities in bulk, commodities requiring special equipment, and those injurious or contaminating to other lading), between Danville, Va., on the one hand, and, on the other, points in (a) Anderson, Cherokee, Greenville, Oconee, Pickens, and Spartanburg, Counties, S.C., (b) points in that part of York County, S.C., on and west of South Carolina Highway 49, (c) points in that part of Chester County, S.C., on and north of South Carolina Highway 9, and (d) points in that part of Union County, S.C., on and north of South Carolina Highway 9. The purpose of this filing is to eliminate the gateways of (1) Reidsville, N.C., and (2) Charlotte, N.C.

No. MC 30280 (Sub-No. E104), filed January 24, 1975. Applicant: WATKINS CAROLINA EXPRESS, INC., P.O. Box 1636, Atlanta, Ga. 30301. Applicant's representative: Jerome F. Marks (same as above). Authority sought to operate as a *common carrier*, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: *Synthetic fiber*, from the plant sites and warehouses of Monsanto Co., at Decatur and Huntsville, Ala., to points in Sussex, Monmouth, and Somerset Counties, N.J. Restriction: The operations authorized herein are restricted to the transportation of shipments originating at the

above-described origin sites. The purpose of this filing is to eliminate the gateways of (1) Charlotte, N.C., (2) Gastonia, N.C., and (3) New York, N.Y.

No. MC 30280 (Sub-No. E105), filed January 22, 1975. Applicant: WATKINS CAROLINA EXPRESS, INC., P.O. Box 1636, Atlanta, Ga. 30301. Applicant's representative: Jerome F. Marks (same as above). Authority sought to operate as a *common carrier*, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: *Synthetic fiber*, from the plant sites and warehouses of Monsanto Company at Decatur and Huntsville, Ala., to Wilmington, Del. Restriction: The operations authorized herein are restricted to the transportation of shipments originating at the above-described origin sites. The purpose of this filing is to eliminate the gateways of (1) Charlotte, N.C., (2) Gastonia, N.C., and (3) Baltimore, Md.

No. MC 30280 (Sub-No. E106), filed January 24, 1975. Applicant: WATKINS CAROLINA EXPRESS, INC., P.O. Box 1636, Atlanta, Ga. 30301. Applicant's representative: Jerome F. Marks (same as above). Authority sought to operate as a *common carrier*, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: *General commodities* (except those of unusual value, classes A and B explosives, livestock, household goods as defined by the Commission, commodities in bulk, commodities requiring special equipment, and those injurious or contaminating to other lading), from Philadelphia, Pa., to points in Georgia. The purpose of this filing is to eliminate the gateways of (1) Danville, Va., (2) Reidsville, N.C., (3) Charlotte, N.C., and (4) Greenville, S.C.

No. MC 30280 (Sub-No. E107), filed January 24, 1975. Applicant: WATKINS CAROLINA EXPRESS, INC., P.O. Box 1636, Atlanta, Ga. 30301. Applicant's representative: Jerome F. Marks (same as above). Authority sought to operate as a *common carrier*, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: *General commodities* (except those of unusual value, Class A and B explosives, household goods as defined by the Commission, commodities in bulk, commodities requiring special equipment, and those injurious or contaminating to other lading), between points in that part of Georgia on and north of a line beginning at the Georgia-Alabama State line, thence along Georgia Highway 72 to the Georgia-South Carolina State line, on the one hand, and, on the other, points in that part of North Carolina on and west of a line beginning at the North Carolina-Virginia State line, thence along U.S. Highway 2 to junction U.S. Highway 158, thence along U.S. Highway 158 to Warrenton, N.C., thence along North Carolina Highway 58 to Wilson, N.C., thence along U.S. Highway 301 to junction U.S. Highway 117, thence along U.S. Highway 117 to junction U.S. Highway 421, thence along U.S. Highway 421 to Fort Fisher, N.C., thence along the Atlantic Ocean to the North Carolina-South Carolina State line, thence along the North Carolina-South Carolina State line to junction Interstate Highway 85,

thence along Interstate Highway 85 to Charlotte, N.C., thence along U.S. Highway 21 to Statesville, N.C., thence along Interstate Highway 40 to junction U.S. Highway 601, thence along U.S. Highway 601 to Mount Airy, N.C., thence along U.S. Highway 52 to the North Carolina-Virginia State line. The purpose of this filing is to eliminate the gateways of Greenville, S.C., and Charlotte, N.C.

No. MC 31462 (Sub-No. E402) (Correction), filed May 11, 1974, published in the FEDERAL REGISTER March 3, 1975. Applicant: PARAMOUNT MOVERS, INC., P.O. Box 309, Lancaster, Tex. 75146. Applicant's representative: R. L. Rork (same as above). Authority sought to operate as a *common carrier*, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: *Household goods*, as defined by the Commission, between points in Tennessee, on the one hand, and, on the other points in that part of South Dakota on and north of a line beginning at the South Dakota-Minnesota State line extending along U.S. Highway 16 to junction U.S. Highway 81, thence along U.S. Highway 81 to junction U.S. Highway 18, thence along U.S. Highway 18 to junction U.S. Highway 281, thence along U.S. Highway 281 to the South Dakota-Nebraska State line. The purpose of this filing is to eliminate the gateways of (1) Cairo, Ill., and points within 25 miles thereof, and (2) any points which is both within 35 miles of Alden, Minn., and within that part of Minnesota or Iowa on and south of a line beginning at the Mississippi River, thence along U.S. Highway 16 to junction U.S. Highway 71, thence along U.S. Highway 71 to junction U.S. Highway 20, thence along U.S. Highway 20 to the Mississippi River. The purpose of this correction is to expand the territorial descriptions.

No. MC 66900 (Sub-No. E2), filed May 24, 1974. Applicant: HOUFF TRANSFER, INC., P.O. Box 91, Weyers Cave, Va. 24486. Applicant's representative: Harold G. Hernly, Jr., 118 N. St. Asaph St., Alexandria, Va. 22314. Authority sought to operate as a *common carrier*, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: *General Commodities* (except commodities in bulk, in tank vehicles, those of unusual value, Classes A and B explosives, household goods as defined by the Commission, commodities requiring special equipment, and those injurious or contaminating to other lading; (1) between points in Chesterfield County, Va., and those points in Virginia located on and east of U.S. Highway 1, on the one hand, and, on the other, points in West Virginia (except points north of Hardy, W. Va., and east of U.S. Highway 220). The purpose of this filing is to eliminate the gateway of points in Augusta County, Va. (2) Between points in Chesterfield County, Va., and those points in Virginia located on and east of U.S. Highway 1, on the one hand, and, on the other, points in Pennsylvania and Ohio within 30 miles of Wheeling, W. Va., and Pittsburgh, Pa. The purpose of this filing is to eliminate the gateways of points in Augusta

County, Va., and points in West Virginia within 30 miles of Wheeling, W. Va.

(3) Between points in Virginia within 80 miles of Staunton, Va., other than Roanoke (except those in Rockingham, Augusta, and Rockbridge Counties), on the one hand, and, on the other, points in West Virginia and Pittsburgh, Pa., restricted against service (a) between Roanoke, Craig, Botetourt, and Alleghany Counties, Va., on the one hand, and, on the other, points in West Virginia on and south of U.S. Highway 60, and (b) between Shenandoah, Warren, Page, Rappahannock, Fauquier, and Culpeper Counties, Va., on the one hand, and, on the other, Grant, Hardy, Mineral, Hampshire, Morgan, Berkeley, and Jefferson Counties, W. Va. The purpose of this filing is to eliminate the gateway of points in Augusta County, Va. (4) Between points in Rockingham, Augusta, and Rockbridge Counties, Va., on the one hand, and, on the other, points in Ohio and Pennsylvania within 30 miles of Wheeling, W. Va. The purpose of this filing is to eliminate the gateway of points in West Virginia within 30 miles of Wheeling, W. Va. The purpose of this filing is to eliminate the gateway of points in West Virginia within 30 miles of Wheeling, W. Va. (5) Between points in Virginia within 80 miles of Staunton, other than Roanoke (except those in Rockingham, Augusta, and Rockbridge Counties, Va.), on the one hand, and, on the other, points in Ohio and Pennsylvania within 30 miles of Wheeling, W. Va. The purpose of this filing is to eliminate the gateways of points in Augusta County, Va., and points in West Virginia within 30 miles of Wheeling, W. Va.

(6) Between points in Frederick and Clarke Counties, Va., on the one hand, and, on the other, points in Virginia within 80 miles of Staunton, other than Roanoke (except points in Shenandoah and Warren Counties). The purpose of this filing is to eliminate the gateways of points within the overlap of points within 50 miles of Washington, D.C., and 80 miles of Staunton, Va. (7) Between points in Chesterfield County, Va., and points in Virginia on and east of U.S. Highway 1, on the one hand, and, on the other, points in Bland County, Va. (except points in Bland County within five miles of Bluefield, W. Va.-Va.). The purpose of this filing is to eliminate the gateways of points in Augusta County, Va., and Mercer County, W. Va. (8) Between points in Spotsylvania, Caroline, Hanover, Henrico, Goochland, Powhatan, Amelia, Nottoway, Dinwiddie, Brunswick, Lunenburg, and Mecklenburg Counties, Va., on the one hand, and, on the other, points in Pennsylvania and Ohio within 30 miles of Wheeling, W. Va. The purpose of this filing is to eliminate the gateways of points in Clarke County, Va., within 50 miles of Washington, D.C., and West Virginia within 30 miles of Wheeling, W. Va. and (9) Between points in Spotsylvania, Caroline, Hanover, Henrico, Goochland, Powhatan, Amelia, Nottoway, Dinwiddie, Brunswick, Lunenburg, and Mecklenburg Counties, Va., on

the one hand, and, on the other, points in that part of West Virginia located on and north of U.S. Highway 33. The purpose of this filing is to eliminate the gateway of points in Clarke County, Va., within 50 miles of Washington, D.C.

No. MC 66900 (Sub-No. E9), filed May 24, 1974. Applicant: HOUFF TRANSFER, INC., P.O. Box 91, Weyers Cave, Va. 24486. Applicant's representative: Harold G. Hernly, Jr., 118 N. St. Asaph St., Alexandria, Va. 22314. Authority sought to operate as a *common carrier*, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: *General commodities* (except those of unusual value, classes A and B explosives, household goods as defined by the Commission, commodities in bulk, commodities requiring special equipment, and those injurious or contaminating to other lading; (1) from points in that part of Pennsylvania located on and south of U.S. Highway 422 and on and east of Interstate Highway 83, including York, Pa., to points located in and south of Nicholas, Monroe, and Greenbrier Counties, W. Va., and points in that part of Virginia located within 80 miles of Staunton, Va. (except Roanoke, Va.). Restriction: No service will be provided from points in the described portion of Pennsylvania on and west of a line beginning at the Delaware-Pennsylvania State line, thence along U.S. Highway 202 to junction Pennsylvania Highway 29, thence along Pennsylvania Highway 29 to junction U.S. Highway 422 to points in Shenandoah, Warren, Fauquier, and Rappahannock Counties, Va., which are 80 miles of Staunton, Va. The purpose of this filing is to eliminate the gateway of points within 50 miles of Staunton, Va. (2) From points in that part of Pennsylvania located on and south of U.S. Highway 422 and on and east of Interstate Highway 83, including York, Pa., to points in that part of West Virginia located in and south of Jackson, Calhoun, Clay, Nicholas, and Greenbrier Counties, W. Va. (except points within 80 miles of Staunton, Va. The purpose of this filing is to eliminate the gateway of points within 50 miles of Staunton, Va.

(3) From points in that part of Pennsylvania located on and south of U.S. Highway 422 and on and east of Interstate Highway 83, including York, Pa., to points in that part of Virginia located on and south of the northern boundary lines of Southampton, Greensville, Lunenburg, Prince Edward, Charlotte, Halifax, Pittsylvania, Roanoke, Franklin, and Craig Counties (except points within 80 miles of Staunton, Va.). The purpose of this filing is to eliminate the gateway of points in Virginia that fall within 80 miles of Staunton, Va., and 50 miles of Washington, D.C., and points within 50 miles of Staunton, Va. (4) Between Lancaster, Pa., on the one hand, and, on the other, points in Nicholas, Greenbrier, and Monroe Counties, W. Va., and points in Virginia located on and south of Interstate Highway 64 that fall within 80 miles of Staunton, Va. The purpose of this filing is to eliminate the gateway of points in Rockingham County, Va. (5)

Between Lancaster, Pa., on the one hand, and, on the other, points in that part of West Virginia located in and south of Jackson, Calhoun, Clay, Nicholas, and Greenbrier Counties, W. Va. (except points in West Virginia within 80 miles of Staunton, Va.). The purpose of this filing is to eliminate the gateway of points in Rockingham County, Va. (7) Between Philadelphia and Bristol, Pa., on the one hand, and, on the other, points in West Virginia in and south of Pleasants, Ritchie, Doddridge, Harrison, Barbour, Tucker, and Pendleton Counties (except points within 80 miles of Staunton, Va.). The purpose of this filing is to eliminate the gateways of Rockingham and Augusta Counties, Va. and (8) Between Philadelphia and Bristol, Pa., on the one hand, and, on the other, points in Virginia located on and south of the northern boundary lines of Southampton, Greensville, Lunenburg, Prince Edward, Charlotte, Halifax, Pittsylvania, Roanoke, Franklin, and Craig Counties (except points within 80 miles of Staunton, Va.). The purpose of this filing is to eliminate the gateway of points in Rockingham County, Va., and points which are within 80 miles of Staunton, Va., and within 50 miles of Washington, D.C.

No. MC 66900 (Sub-No. E10), filed May 24, 1974. Applicant: HOUFF TRANSFER, INC., P.O. Box 91, Weyers Cave, Va. 24486. Applicant's representative: Harold G. Hernly, Jr., 118 N. St. Asaph St., Alexandria, Va. 22314. Authority sought to operate as a *common carrier*, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: *General commodities* (except uncrated household goods, explosives, and dangerous articles, bank bills, currency, or money, deeds, drafts, or valuable papers, postage stamps, precious metals or stones or other articles manufactured therefrom, jewelry or other articles of extraordinary value, articles exceeding 20 feet in length or 6 feet in height, or 7 feet in width, except by special arrangements, green hides, slaughter house offal, tanner's fleshings, or any other articles offensive in odor, which are liable to impregnate other freight or cause damage thereof, and livestock, and articles requiring refrigeration); (1) between the District of Columbia, on the one hand, and, on the other, points in Ohio and Pennsylvania within 30 miles of Wheeling, W. Va. The purpose of this filing is to eliminate the gateways of points in Clarke County, Va., and points in West Virginia within 30 miles of Wheeling, W. Va. (2) Between the District of Columbia and 50 miles thereof, on the one hand, and, on the other, points in West Virginia on and east of U.S. Highway 33 within 80 miles of Staunton, Va. The purpose of this filing is to eliminate the gateways of points in Virginia which are within 50 miles of Washington, D.C., and within 80 miles of Staunton, Va.

(3) Between the District of Columbia and 50 miles thereof, on the one hand, and, on the other, points in West Virginia on and south of U.S. Highway 33 (except points within 80 miles of Staunton, Va.).

The purpose of this filing is to eliminate the gateways of points in Virginia which are within 80 miles of Staunton, Va., and within 50 miles of Washington, D.C., and points in Rockingham County, Va. (4) Between Hagerstown, Md., on the one hand, and, on the other, points in West Virginia on and south of U.S. Highway 33 within 80 miles of Staunton, Va. The purpose of this filing is to eliminate the gateway of points in Rockingham County, Va. (5) Between Hagerstown, Md., on the one hand, and, on the other, points in West Virginia on and south of U.S. Highway 33 (except points within 80 miles of Staunton, Va.). The purpose of this filing is to eliminate the gateways of points in Rockingham and Augusta Counties, Va. (6) Between Salisbury, Md., on the one hand, and, on the other, points in West Virginia on and north of U.S. Highway 33. The purpose of this filing is to eliminate the gateway of points in Clarke County, Va., within 50 miles of Washington, D.C. (7) Between Salisbury, Md., on the one hand, and, on the other, points in Ohio and Pennsylvania within 30 miles of Wheeling, W. Va. The purpose of this filing is to eliminate the gateway of points in Clarke County, Va., within 50 miles of Washington, D.C., and points in West Virginia within 30 miles of Wheeling, W. Va. (8) Between Salisbury, Md., on the one hand, and, on the other, points in West Virginia south of U.S. Highway 33 which are within 80 miles of Staunton, Va. The purpose of this filing is to eliminate the gateways of points in Virginia which are within 80 miles of Staunton, Va., and within 50 miles of Washington, D.C. and (9) Between Salisbury, Md., on the one hand, and, on the other, points in West Virginia south of U.S. Highway 33 (except points within 80 miles of Staunton, Va.). The purpose of this filing is to eliminate the gateways of points in Virginia which are within 80 miles of Staunton, Va., and within 50 miles of Washington, D.C., and points in Rockingham County, Va.

No. MC 66900 (Sub-No. E11), filed May 31, 1974. Applicant: HOUFF TRANSFER, INC., P.O. Box 91, Weyers Cave, Va. 24486. Applicant's representative: Harold G. Hernly, Jr., 118 N. St. Asaph St., Alexandria, Va. 22314. Authority sought to operate as a *common carrier*, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: *Paper*, from points in West Virginia within 80 miles of Staunton, Va., which are on and south of West Virginia Highway 39 and from points in Virginia within 80 miles of Staunton, Va., which are on and south of Virginia Highway 39 and on and west of Interstate Highway 81 to Newark, and New Castle, Del. The purpose of this filing is to eliminate the gateway of Covington, Va.

No. MC 66900 (Sub-No. E12), filed May 31, 1974. Applicant: HOUFF TRANSFER, INC., P.O. Box 91, Weyers Cave, Va. 24486. Applicant's representative: Harold G. Hernly, Jr., 118 N. St. Asaph St., Alexandria, Va. 22314. Authority sought to operate as a *common carrier*, by motor vehicle, over irregular

routes, transporting: *Iron and steel castings and agricultural implements and parts* (except articles which because of size, shape or weight require the use of special equipment), from points in Virginia located within 80 miles of Staunton, Va., and bounded on the west by Interstate Highway 81 and on the north by U.S. Highway 60 and on the east by U.S. Highway 15. The purpose of this filing is to eliminate the gateway of Lynchburg, Va.

No. MC 66900 (Sub-No. E13), filed May 31, 1974. Applicant: HOUFF TRANSFER, INC., P.O. Box 91, Weyers Cave, Va. 24486. Applicant's representative: Harold G. Hernly, Jr., 118 N. St. Asaph St., Alexandria, Va. 22314. Authority sought to operate as a *common carrier*, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: *Such merchandise* as is dealt in by wholesale and retail hardware stores; (1) from points in Virginia within 80 miles of Staunton, Va., (except Roanoke, Va.), located on and south of U.S. Highway 250 and Interstate Highway 64 to points in Allegany and Garrett Counties, Md.; and (2) from points in that part of Virginia located on and east of a line beginning at the North Carolina-Virginia State line, thence along U.S. Highway 1 to junction Interstate Highway 64, thence along Interstate Highway 64 to Norfolk, Va. (except Richmond, Va., and points in Henrico County, Va.), and points in North Hampton and Accomack Counties, Va., to points in Allegany and Garrett Counties, Md. The purpose of this filing is to eliminate the gateway of Staunton, Va.

No. MC 83835 (Sub-No. E37), filed May 14, 1974. Applicant: WALES TRANSPORTATION, INC., P.O. Box 6186, Dallas, Tex. 75222. Applicant's representative: William A. Cunningham (same as above). Authority sought to operate as a *common carrier*, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: (A) *Pipe* (except oil field pipe), when transported as; commodities which, because of size or weight, require the use of special equipment, and related parts when their transportation is incidental to the transportation of pipe, which by reason of size or weight, require the use of special equipment (except machinery, equipment, materials, and supplies used in, or in connection with, the discovery, development, production, refining, manufacture, processing, storage, transmission, and distribution of natural gas and petroleum and their products and by-products, and materials, equipment, and supplies used in, or in connection with the construction, operation, repair, servicing, maintenance, and dismantling of pipelines, including the stringing and picking up thereof; (B) *Pipe* (except oil-field pipe) when transported as; earth drilling machinery and equipment, and machinery, equipment, materials, supplies, and pipe incidental to, used in, or in connection with (a) the transportation, installation, removal, operation, repair, servicing, maintenance, and dismantling of drilling machinery and equipment, (b) the completion of holes

or wells drilled, (c) the production, storage, and transmission of commodities resulting from drilling operations at well or hole sites, and (d) the injection or removal of commodities into or from holes or wells;

(C) *Pipe* (except oilfield pipe) when transported as; machinery, equipment, materials, and supplies used in, or in connection with, the construction, operation, repair, servicing, maintenance, and dismantling of pipelines, other than pipelines used for the transmission of natural gas, petroleum, their products, and by-products, water, or sewerage, restricted to the transportation of shipments moving to or from pipeline rights-of-way; (1) from points in Montana, North Dakota, South Dakota, and Wyoming, to points in Florida, Georgia, and Mississippi; (2) from points in Montana and Wyoming to points in Alabama, South Carolina, North Carolina, and Tennessee; (3) from points in South Dakota to points in Alabama; (4) from points in the Wyoming, to points in Virginia; (5) from points in Wyoming on and west of a line beginning at the Wyoming-Montana State line extending along Wyoming Highway 59, thence along Wyoming Highway 59 to junction Interstate Highway 25, thence along Interstate Highway 25 to the Wyoming-Colorado State line, to points in New Jersey; (6) from points in North Dakota on and west of a line beginning at the North Dakota-South Dakota State line extending along U.S. Highway 281, thence along U.S. Highway 281 to junction North Dakota Highway 20, thence along North Dakota Highway 20 to the United States-Canada International Boundary line to points in South Carolina;

(7) From points in Wyoming on and west of a line beginning at the Colorado-Wyoming State line extending along U.S. Highway 287, thence along U.S. Highway 287 to junction Wyoming Highway 487, thence along Wyoming Highway 487 to junction Wyoming Highway 20, thence along Wyoming Highway 20 to the Montana-Wyoming State line to points in West Virginia; (8) from points in Montana on and west of a line beginning at the Wyoming-Montana State line extending along U.S. Highway 87, thence along U.S. Highway 87 to junction U.S. Highway 212, thence along U.S. Highway 212 to junction U.S. Highway 87, thence along U.S. Highway 87 to junction U.S. Highway 12, thence along U.S. Highway 12 to junction U.S. Highway 89, thence along U.S. Highway 89 to junction U.S. Highway 91, thence along U.S. Highway 91 to the United States-Canada International Boundary line to points in Kentucky on and south of a line beginning at the Illinois-Kentucky State line extending along U.S. Highway 45, thence along U.S. Highway 45 to junction U.S. Highway 62, thence along U.S. Highway 62 to junction U.S. Highway 60, thence along U.S. Highway 60 to junction Mountain Parkway Toll, thence along Mountain Parkway Toll to junction Kentucky Highway 114, thence along Kentucky Highway 114 to junction Kentucky Highway 80, thence along

Kentucky Highway 80 to the Virginia-Kentucky State line;

(9) From points in Montana on, south, and west of a line beginning at the Montana-Wyoming State line extending along U.S. Highway 87, thence along U.S. Highway 87 to junction Interstate Highway 90, thence along Interstate Highway 90 to junction U.S. Highway 93, thence along U.S. Highway 93 to the United States-Canada International Boundary line to points in Virginia on and south of a line beginning at the Virginia-West Virginia State line extending along U.S. Highway 250, thence along U.S. Highway 250 to junction Interstate Highway 64, thence along Interstate Highway 64 to junction U.S. Highway 60, thence along U.S. Highway 60 to junction U.S. Highway 17, thence along U.S. Highway 17 to junction U.S. Highway 58, thence along U.S. Highway 58 to the Virginia-Atlantic Ocean line; (10) from points in North Dakota on and west of a line beginning at the North Dakota-South Dakota State line extending along U.S. Highway 12, thence along U.S. Highway 12 to junction North Dakota Highway 22, thence along North Dakota Highway 22 to junction U.S. Highway 10, thence along U.S. Highway 10 to junction U.S. Highway 83, thence along U.S. Highway 83 to the United States-Canada International Boundary line to points in Tennessee on, west, and south of a line beginning at the Alabama-Tennessee State line extending along U.S. Highway 72, thence along U.S. Highway 72 to junction U.S. Highway 41, thence along U.S. Highway 41 to junction U.S. Highway 70, thence along U.S. Highway 70 to junction Tennessee Highway 69, thence along Tennessee Highway 69 to junction Tennessee Highway 54, thence along Tennessee Highway 54 to junction Tennessee Highway 22, thence along Tennessee Highway 22 to junction U.S. Highway 45E, thence along U.S. Highway 45E to the Tennessee-Kentucky State line to the Tennessee-Kentucky State line;

(11) From points in South Dakota on and west of a line beginning at the South Dakota-Nebraska State line extending along South Dakota Highway 47, thence along South Dakota Highway 47 to junction U.S. Highway 183, thence along U.S. Highway 183 to junction U.S. Highway 14, thence along U.S. Highway 14 to junction South Dakota Highway 34, thence along South Dakota Highway 34 to junction South Dakota Highway 73, thence along South Dakota Highway 73 to the South Dakota-North Dakota State line to points in Tennessee on, west, and south of a line beginning at the Tennessee-Alabama State line extending along Interstate Highway 24, thence along Interstate Highway 24 to junction U.S. Highway 70, thence along U.S. Highway 70 to junction Tennessee Highway 69, thence along Tennessee Highway 69 to the Tennessee-Kentucky State line; (12) from points in Wyoming on and north of a line beginning at the Wyoming-Nebraska State line extending along U.S. Highway 26, thence along U.S. Highway 26 to junction U.S. Highway 87, thence

along U.S. Highway 87 to junction Wyoming Highway 34, thence along Wyoming Highway 34 to junction U.S. Highway 287, thence along U.S. Highway 287 to junction U.S. Highway 30, thence along U.S. Highway 30 to junction Interstate Highway 80, thence along Interstate Highway 80 to the Wyoming-Utah State line to points in Kentucky on and south of a line beginning at the Kentucky-Indiana State line extending along Interstate Highway 64, thence along Interstate Highway 64 to the Kentucky-West Virginia State line; and (13) from points in North Dakota on and west of a line beginning at the United States-Canada International Boundary line extending along North Dakota Highway 1, thence along North Dakota Highway 1 to junction North Dakota Highway 200, thence along North Dakota Highway 200 to junction North Dakota Highway 20, thence along North Dakota Highway 20 to junction U.S. Highway 281, thence along U.S. Highway 281 to the North Dakota-South Dakota State line, to points in Alabama. The purpose of this filing is to eliminate the gateways of Kansas and Wagoner, Okla.

No. MC 83835 (Sub-No. E54), filed June 4, 1974. Applicant: WALES TRANSPORTATION, INC., P.O. Box 6186, Dallas, Tex. 75222. Applicant's representative: William A. Cunningham (same as above). Authority sought to operate as a *common carrier*, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: *Towers, parts, and accessories for towers, and electronic equipment shelters*, when transported as; (1) commodities which, because of their size or weight, require the use of special equipment, and related parts when their transportation is incidental to the transportation of commodities, which by reason of size or weight, require the use of special equipment (except machinery, equipment, materials, and supplies used in, or in connection with, the discovery, development, production, refining, manufacture, processing, storage, transmission, and distribution of natural gas and petroleum and their products and by-products, and materials, equipment, and supplies used in, or in connection with the construction, operation, repair, servicing, maintenance, and dismantling of pipelines, including the stringing and picking up thereof, (2) machinery, equipment, materials, and supplies used in, or in connection with, the discovery, development, production, refining, manufacture, processing, storage, transmission, and distribution of natural gas and petroleum and their products and by-products, and machinery, equipment, materials, and supplies used in or in connection with the construction, operation, repair, servicing, maintenance, and dismantling of pipelines, including the stringing and picking up thereof,

(3) Earth drilling machinery and equipment, and machinery, equipment, materials, supplies, and pipe incidental to, used in, or in connection with (a) the transportation, installation, removal, operation, repair, servicing, maintenance,

nance, and dismantling of drilling machinery and equipment, (b) the completion of holes or wells drilled, (c) the production, storage, and transmission of commodities resulting from drilling operations at well or hole sites, and (d) the injection or removal of commodities into or from holes or wells, and (4) machinery, equipment, materials, and supplies used in or in connection with the construction, operation, repair, servicing, maintenance, and dismantling of pipelines, other than pipelines used for the transmission of natural gas, petroleum, their products, and by-products, water, or sewerage, restricted to the transportation of shipments moving to or from pipeline rights-of-way; (a) from points in Colorado, Utah, and points in Nebraska on and south of a line beginning at the Nebraska-Kansas State line extending along U.S. Highway 281, thence along U.S. Highway 281 to junction U.S. Highway 6, thence along U.S. Highway 6 to junction Nebraska Highway 44, thence along Nebraska Highway 44 to junction U.S. Highway 30, thence along U.S. Highway 30 to junction U.S. Highway 26, thence along U.S. Highway 26 to the Nebraska-Wyoming State line, and points in Kansas on and west of a line beginning at the Kansas-Oklahoma State line to junction Interstate Highway 35, thence along Interstate Highway 35 to junction Interstate Highway 70, thence along Interstate Highway 70 to the Kansas-Missouri State line, and points in Wyoming on and west of a line beginning at the Nebraska-Wyoming State line extending along U.S. Highway 26, thence along U.S. Highway 26 to junction U.S. Highway 87, thence along U.S. Highway 87 to the Wyoming-Montana State line, to points in Connecticut, Delaware, Indiana, Kentucky, Maryland, Massachusetts, New Hampshire, New Jersey, North Carolina, Ohio, Pennsylvania, Rhode Island, Tennessee, Vermont, Virginia, and West Virginia;

(b) From points in Texas on and west of a line beginning at the Texas-Oklahoma State line extending along U.S. Highway 75 to junction Interstate Highway 45, thence along Interstate Highway 45 to the Texas-Gulf of Mexico line, to points in Connecticut, Iowa, Maine, Massachusetts, Michigan, Minnesota, New Hampshire, New Jersey, New York, Pennsylvania, Rhode Island, Vermont, Wisconsin, and points in Indiana on and north of a line beginning at the Indiana-Illinois State line extending along U.S. Highway 40, thence along U.S. Highway 40 to the Indiana-Ohio State line, and points in Ohio on and north of a line beginning at the Indiana-Ohio State line extending along U.S. Highway 40, thence along U.S. Highway 40 to junction Ohio Highway 49, thence along Ohio Highway 49 to junction U.S. Highway 35, thence along U.S. Highway 35 to junction U.S. Highway 50, thence along U.S. Highway 50 to junction Alternate U.S. Highway 50, thence along Alternate U.S. Highway 50 to the Ohio-West Virginia State line; and (c) from points in New Mexico and Oklahoma, to points in Connecticut, Iowa, Maine,

Massachusetts, Michigan, Minnesota, New Hampshire, New Jersey, New York, Ohio, Pennsylvania, Rhode Island, Vermont, Wisconsin, and points in Indiana on and north of a line beginning at the Indiana-Illinois State line extending along U.S. Highway 40, thence along U.S. Highway 40 to junction Indiana Highway 46, thence along Indiana Highway 46 to junction Indiana Highway 7, thence along Indiana Highway 7 to the Indiana-Kentucky State line. The purpose of this filing is to eliminate the gateways of Kansas and the facilities of Grases Fabricating Co., in Kansas City, Mo.

No. MC 104654 (Sub-No. E23) (Correction), filed May 14, 1974, published in the FEDERAL REGISTER February 19, 1975. Applicant: COMMERCIAL TRANSPORT, INC., South 20th St., Belleville, Ill. 62222. Applicant's representative: Edward G. Villalon, Suite 1032 Pennsylvania Bldg., 13th & Pennsylvania Ave. NW., Washington D.C. 20004. Authority sought to operate as a common carrier, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: *Petroleum products*, as described in Appendix XIII to the report in *Descriptions in Motor Carrier Certificates*, 61 M.C.C. 209, in bulk, in tank vehicles, from Indianapolis, Ind., and points within 20 miles thereof, to points in that part of Missouri bounded by a line beginning at the Missouri-Illinois State line on U.S. Highway 66 extending along U.S. Highway 66 to junction U.S. Highway 63, thence along U.S. Highway 63 to the Missouri-Arkansas State line, thence along the Missouri-Arkansas State line to the Mississippi River, thence along the Mississippi River to the point of beginning, including points and places on the indicated portions of the highways specified. The purpose of this filing is to eliminate the gateways of St. Elmo and Gale, Ill. The purpose of this correction is to include the origin points.

No. MC 104654 (Sub-No. E27) (Correction), filed May 14, 1974, published in the FEDERAL REGISTER February 19, 1975. Applicant: COMMERCIAL TRANSPORT, INC., South 20th St., Belleville, Ill. 62222. Applicant's representative: Edward G. Villalon, Suite 1032 Pennsylvania Bldg., Northwest, Washington, D.C. 20004. Authority sought to operate as a common carrier, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: *Petroleum and petroleum products*, as described in Appendix XIII to the report in *Descriptions in Motor Carrier Certificates*, 61 M.C.C. 209, in bulk, in tank vehicles, from the site of Stokes Oil Co., Inc., river terminal at or near Hickman, Ky., to points and places in Arkansas within an area bounded by a line beginning at the Missouri-Arkansas State line at Arkansas Highway 9, extending along Arkansas Highway 9 to junction U.S. Highway 65, thence along U.S. Highway 65 to junction U.S. Highway 70, thence along U.S. Highway 70 to junction U.S. Highway 49, thence along U.S. Highway 49 to the Arkansas-Mississippi State line, thence along the Mississippi River to the Missouri-Arkansas

State line to point of beginning, including points and places on the indicated portions of the highways specified. The purpose of this filing is to eliminate the gateway of Caruthersville, Mo. The purpose of this correction is to correct a typographical error.

No. MC 104654 (Sub-No. E29), filed May 14, 1974. Applicant: COMMERCIAL TRANSPORT, INC., South 20th St., Belleville, Ill. 62222. Applicant's representative: Edward G. Villalon, Suite 1032 Pennsylvania Bldg., 13th & Pennsylvania Ave. NW., Washington, D.C. 20004. Authority sought to operate as a common carrier, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: *Petroleum products*, as described in Appendix XIII to the report in *Descriptions in Motor Carrier Certificates*, 61 M.C.C. 209 (except liquid hydrogen derived from petroleum and road building and construction materials derived from petroleum), from Roxana, Ill., and points and places within 5 miles thereof, East St. Louis and Cahokia, Ill., to points and places in that part of Kentucky bounded by a line beginning at the Indiana-Kentucky State line extending along U.S. Highway 231 to the Kentucky-Tennessee State line, thence along the Kentucky-Tennessee State line to the Kentucky-Virginia State line, thence along the Kentucky-Virginia State line to the Kentucky-West Virginia State line, thence along the Kentucky-West Virginia State line to the Ohio River, thence along the Ohio River to point of beginning, including points and places on the indicated portions of the highways specified. The purpose of this filing is to eliminate the gateways of Jackson County, Ind., or Evansville, Ind.

No. MC 104654 (Sub-No. E30) (Correction), filed May 14, 1974, published in the FEDERAL REGISTER February 19, 1975. Applicant: COMMERCIAL TRANSPORT, INC., South 20th St., Belleville, Ill. 62222. Applicant's representative: Edward G. Villalon, Suite 1032 Pennsylvania Bldg., 13th & Pennsylvania Ave. NW., Washington, D.C. 20004. Authority sought to operate as a common carrier, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: *Petroleum products* (except liquid hydrogen derived from petroleum and road building and construction materials derived from petroleum), in bulk, in tank vehicles, from Roxana and points and places within 5 miles thereof, East St. Louis and Cahokia, Ill., to points in Ohio. The purpose of this filing is to eliminate the gateway of that part of Jackson County, Ind., located on and east of a line extending from the Jackson-Bartholomew County line south along Indiana Highway 58 to junction Indiana Highway 135, thence along Indiana Highway 153 to junction U.S. Highway 50, thence along U.S. Highway 50 to junction Indiana Highway 250, thence along Indiana Highway 250 to the Jackson-Jennings County line. The purpose of this correction is to correct the gateway.

No. MC 104654 (Sub-No. E33), filed May 14, 1974. Applicant: COMMERCIAL TRANSPORT, INC., South 20th St., Belleville, Ill. 62222. Applicant's repre-

representative: Edward G. Villalon, Suite 1032 Pennsylvania Bldg., 13th & Pennsylvania Ave. NW., Washington, D.C. 20004. Authority sought to operate as a *common carrier*, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: *Petroleum products*, as described in Appendix XIII to the report in *Descriptions in Motor Carrier Certificates*, 61 M.C.C. 209, in bulk, in tank vehicles, from Roxana, Ill., and points and places within 5 miles thereof, East St. Louis and Cahokia, Ill., to points in that part of Tennessee on, south, and west of a line beginning and extending along Tennessee Highway 20 to Dyersburg, thence along Tennessee Highway 104 to junction U.S. Highway 45E, thence along U.S. Highway 45E to Jackson, thence along U.S. Highway 45 to the Tennessee-Mississippi State line. The purpose of this filing is to eliminate the gateways of Hickman, Ky., and Caruthersville, Mo.

No. MC 104654 (Sub-No. E35), filed May 14, 1974. Applicant: COMMERCIAL TRANSPORT, INC., South 20th St., Belleville, Ill. 62222. Applicant's representative: Edward G. Villalon, 13th & Pennsylvania Ave. NW., Suite 1032 Pennsylvania Bldg., Washington, D.C. 20004. Authority sought to operate as a *common carrier*, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: *Petroleum products*, in bulk, in tank vehicles, from Roxana, Ill. and points and places within 5 miles thereof, East St. Louis and Cahokia, Ill., to points in that part of Missouri on and south of a line beginning at the Missouri-Kentucky State line extending along Missouri Highway 80, thence along Interstate Highway 55 to junction U.S. Highway 62, thence along U.S. Highway 62 to the Missouri-Arkansas State line. The purpose of this filing is to eliminate the gateway of Hickman, Ky.

No. MC 104654 (Sub-No. E37), filed May 14, 1974. Applicant: COMMERCIAL TRANSPORT, INC., South 20th St., Belleville, Ill. 62222. Applicant's representative: Edward G. Villalon, Suite 1032 Pennsylvania Bldg., 13th & Pennsylvania Ave. NW., Washington, D.C. 20004. Authority sought to operate as a *common carrier*, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: *Petroleum products*, as described in Appendix XIII to the report in *Descriptions in Motor Carrier Certificates*, 61 M.C.C. 209, in bulk, in tank vehicles, from Caruthersville, Mo., and points within 10 miles thereof, to points in that part of Illinois on, south, and east of a line beginning at the Illinois-Indiana State line extending along Illinois Highway 15 to junction Illinois Highway 37, thence along Illinois Highway 37 to junction Illinois Highway 146, thence along Illinois Highway 146 to junction U.S. Highway 45, thence along U.S. Highway 45 to the Illinois-Kentucky State line. The purpose of this filing is to eliminate the gateway of Paducah, Ky.

No. MC 106920 (Sub-No. E30) (Correction), filed June 4, 1974, published in the FEDERAL REGISTER February 4, 1975. Applicant: RIGGS FOOD EXPRESS,

INC., P.O. Box 26, New Bremen, Ohio 45869. Applicant's representative: E. Stephen Heisley, 666 Eleventh St. NW., Washington, D.C. 20001. Authority sought to operate as a *common carrier*, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: *Milk, cream, and buttermilk* (except concentrated whole milk and concentrated skim milk), in bulk, in tank vehicles, from points in Minnesota on, north, and east of a line beginning at the United States-Canada International Boundary line and extending along U.S. Highway 75 to junction Minnesota Highway 11, thence along Minnesota Highway 11 to junction Minnesota Highway 220, thence along Minnesota Highway 220 to junction U.S. Highway 2, thence along U.S. Highway 2 to junction U.S. Highway 169, thence along U.S. Highway 169 to junction Minnesota Highway 73, thence along Minnesota Highway 73 to junction U.S. Highway 53, thence along U.S. Highway 53 to the United States-Canada International Boundary line, to points in Mississippi east of a line beginning at the Tennessee-Mississippi State line and extending along U.S. Highway 45 to junction Mississippi Highway 6, thence along Mississippi Highway 6 to junction Mississippi Highway 15, thence along Mississippi Highway 15 to junction Mississippi Highway 12, thence along Mississippi Highway 12 to junction U.S. Highway 51, thence along U.S. Highway 51 to junction U.S. Highway 49, thence along U.S. Highway 49 to junction Mississippi Highway 13, thence along Mississippi Highway 13 to junction U.S. Highway 98, thence along U.S. Highway 98 to junction Mississippi Highway 35, thence along Mississippi Highway 35 to the Mississippi-Louisiana State line. The purpose of this filing is to eliminate the gateways of Darke, Mercer, and Auglaize Counties, Ohio. The purpose of this correction is to clarify the origin description.

No. MC 106920 (Sub-No. E74) (Correction), filed June 3, 1974, published in the FEDERAL REGISTER February 19, 1975. Applicant: RIGGS FOOD EXPRESS, INC., P.O. Box 26, New Bremen, Ohio 45869. Applicant's representative: E. Stephen Heisley (same as above). Authority sought to operate as a *common carrier*, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: *Commodities, classified as dairy products* under Section B in the Appendix to the report in *Modification of Permits of Motor Contract Carriers of Packing House Products*, 48 M.C.C. 628, from points in the Upper Peninsula of Michigan south of a line beginning at Lake Michigan and extending along unnumbered highway to junction U.S. Highway 2 at Gulliver, thence along U.S. Highway 2 to junction Michigan Highway 77, thence along Michigan Highway 77 to junction County Highway 58, thence along County Highway 58 to junction County Highway 37, thence along County Highway 37 to junction Michigan Highway 123, thence along Michigan Highway 123 to junction Interstate Highway 75, thence along Interstate Highway 75 to points in Kentucky

north of a line beginning at the Indiana-Kentucky State line and extending along Kentucky Highway 69 to junction Kentucky Highway 369, thence along Kentucky Highway 369 to junction Kentucky Highway 106, thence along Kentucky Highway 106 to junction Kentucky Highway 80, thence along Kentucky Highway 80 to junction Kentucky Highway 962, thence along Kentucky Highway 962 to junction U.S. Highway 68, thence along U.S. Highway 68 to the Kentucky-Missouri State line. The purpose of this filing is to eliminate the gateways of Darke, Mercer, and Auglaize Counties, Ohio. The purpose of this correction is to clarify the origin points.

No. MC 106920 (Sub-No. E90) (Correction), filed June 3, 1974, published in the FEDERAL REGISTER February 13, 1975. Applicant: RIGGS FOOD EXPRESS, INC., P.O. Box 26, New Bremen, Ohio 45869. Applicant's representative: E. Stephen Heisley, 666 Eleventh St. NW., Washington, D.C. 20001. Authority sought to operate as a *common carrier*, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: *Commodities classified as dairy products* under Section B in the Appendix to the report in *Modification of Permits of Motor Contract Carriers of Packing House Products*, 48 M.C.C. 628, except in bulk, in tank vehicles, and concentrated whole milk and concentrated skim milk, in containers, from points in Wisconsin south of a line beginning at the Iowa-Wisconsin State line and extending along Wisconsin Highway 60 to junction U.S. Highway 41, thence along U.S. Highway 41 to junction Wisconsin Highway 74, thence along Wisconsin Highway 74 to Lake Michigan to points in Tennessee on and east of a line beginning at the Tennessee-Kentucky State line and extending along Tennessee Highway 216 to junction Tennessee Highway 53, thence along Tennessee Highway 53 to junction Tennessee Highway 56, thence along Tennessee Highway 56 to the Tennessee-Alabama State line. The purpose of this filing is to eliminate the gateways of Darke, Mercer, and Auglaize Counties, Ohio. The purpose of this correction is to correct the territorial description.

No. MC 107295 (Sub-No. E115) (Correction), filed May 13, 1974, published in the FEDERAL REGISTER March 10, 1975. Applicant: PRE-FAB TRANSIT CO., P.O. Box 146, Farmer City, Ill. 61842. Applicant's representative: Dale L. Cox (same as above). Authority sought to operate as a *common carrier*, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: *Building board*; (1) from Lockport, N.Y., to points in New Mexico, Oklahoma, and Texas; (2) from Lockport, N.Y., to points in Arizona, California, Louisiana, Nevada, Oregon, Utah, and Washington; and (3) from Lockport, N.Y., to points in Colorado, Nebraska, North Dakota, South Dakota, and to points in that part of Kansas located in, north, and west of Miami, Anderson, Woodson, Greenwood, Elk, and Chautauqua Counties. The purpose of this filing is to eliminate the gateway of Henry County, Tenn. The purpose

of this correction is to correct the destination points in (3) above.

No. MC 107295 (Sub-No. E173) (Correction), filed May 14, 1974, published in the FEDERAL REGISTER March 10, 1975. Applicant: PRE-FAB TRANSIT CO., P.O. Box 146, Farmer City, Ill. 61842. Applicant's representative: Dale L. Cox (same as above). Authority sought to operate as a *common carrier*, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: *Wallboard*, from the plant site and warehouse facilities of the Epson Company at Bristol, Ind., (1) to points in Alabama, California, Idaho, Minnesota, Montana, Nevada, Oklahoma, Oregon, Utah, Washington, and Wyoming; (2) to points in Arizona, Mississippi, New Mexico, and Texas; (3) to points in Connecticut, Delaware, the District of Columbia, Florida, Maine, Maryland, Massachusetts, New Hampshire, New Jersey, New York, Pennsylvania, Rhode Island, West Virginia, and to points in that part of Virginia located north of U.S. Highway 460 and west of U.S. Highway 301; and (4) to points in Louisiana. The purpose of this filing is to eliminate the gateways of (1) the plant site and warehouse facilities of the Keene Corporation at Kalamazoo, Mich., (2) Trumaun, Ariz., (3) points in Lucas County, Ohio, and (4) points in Henry County, Tenn. The purpose of this correction is to correct a typographical error.

No. MC 107515 (Sub-No. E561), filed January 27, 1975. Applicant: REFRIGERATED TRANSPORT CO., INC., P.O. Box 308, Forest Park, Ga. 33050. Applicant's representative: R. M. Tettlebaum, Suite 375, 3379 Peachtree Rd. NE., Atlanta, Ga. 30326. Authority sought to operate as a *common carrier*, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: *Frozen foods* (except in bulk, in tank vehicles), from Buffalo, N.Y., to points in California, Arizona, New Mexico, Washington, Oregon, Nevada, Utah, that portion of Colorado on and south of Interstate Highway 70, and that portion of Idaho on and south of a line beginning at the Wyoming-Idaho State line on U.S. Highway 30N to junction Interstate Highway 15, thence along Interstate Highway 15 to junction Interstate Highway 80N to the Idaho-Oregon State line. The purpose of this filing is to eliminate the gateway of Dyersburg, Tenn.

No. MC 114019 (Sub-No. E54) (Correction), filed June 3, 1974, published in the FEDERAL REGISTER February 5, 1975. Applicant: RIGGS FOOD EXPRESS, INC., P.O. Box 26, New Bremen, Ohio 45869. Applicant's representative: E. Stephen Heisley, 666 Eleventh St. NW., Washington, D.C. 20001. Authority sought to operate as a *common carrier*, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: *Commodities classified as dairy products* under Section B in the Appendix to the report in *Modification of Permits of Motor Contract Carriers of Packing House Products*, 48 M.C.C. 628, from points in Texas on and west of a line beginning at the United States-Mexico International Boundary line and extending along U.S. Highway 67 to

junction Texas Highway 17, thence along Texas Highway 17 to junction U.S. Highway 80, thence along U.S. Highway 80 to junction Texas Highway 350, thence along Texas Highway 350 to junction Texas Highway 208, thence along Texas Highway 208 to junction U.S. Highway 380, thence along U.S. Highway 380 to junction U.S. Highway 83, thence along U.S. Highway 83 to junction U.S. Highway 287, thence along U.S. Highway 287 to junction Texas Highway 283, thence along Texas Highway 283 to the Texas-Oklahoma State line to points in North Carolina on and east of a line beginning at the Atlantic Ocean and extending along U.S. Highway 74 to junction North Carolina Highway 87, thence along North Carolina Highway 87 to junction U.S. Highway 421, thence along U.S. Highway 421 to junction U.S. Highway 321, thence along U.S. Highway 321 to the North Carolina-Tennessee State line. The purpose of this filing is to eliminate the gateways of Darke, Mercer, and Auglaize Counties, Ohio. The purpose of this correction is to correct a highway destination and to expand the territorial description.

No. MC 114019 (Sub-No. E283) (Correction), filed May 22, 1974, published in the FEDERAL REGISTER February 13, 1975. Applicant: MIDWEST EMERY FREIGHT SYSTEM, INC., 7000 S. Pulaski Rd., Chicago, Ill. 60629. Applicant's representative: Arthur J. Sibik (same as above). Authority sought to operate as a *common carrier*, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: *General commodities* (except those of unusual value, household goods as defined by the Commission, classes A and B explosives, livestock, commodities in bulk, and commodities requiring special equipment, between Burns Harbor and points in Porter County, Ind., on the one hand, and, on the other, points in New York, Pennsylvania, West Virginia; Toledo, Ohio; and those points in Ohio on and north of U.S. Highway 40, and on and south of U.S. Highway 20, and those points in Bergen, Monmouth, Morris, and Somerset Counties, N.J., which are within 20 miles of New York, N.Y., points in Hudson, Essex, Union, Passaic, Middlesex, Mercer, and Hunter Counties, N.J., those points in New Jersey, Delaware, and Maryland which are within 30 miles of Philadelphia, Pa., and Sparrows Point and Baltimore, Md. The purpose of this filing is to eliminate the gateway of Gary, Ind. The purpose of this correction is to correct the territorial description.

No. MC 114019 (Sub-No. E285) (Correction), filed May 24, 1974, published in the FEDERAL REGISTER March 3, 1975. Applicant: MIDWEST EMERY FREIGHT SYSTEM, INC., 7000 S. Pulaski Rd., Chicago, Ill. 60629. Applicant's representative: Arthur J. Sibik (same as above). Authority sought to operate as a *common carrier*, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: (2) *Materials, equipment, and supplies* used in the manufacture and processing of iron and steel articles, from points in New York, and those in Connecticut and Bergen,

Monmouth, Morris, and Somerset Counties, N.J., within 20 miles of New York, N.Y., and points in Hudson, Essex, Union, Passaic, Middlesex, Mercer, and Hunter Counties, N.J., to the facilities of Jones and Laughlin Steel Corporation in Putnam County, Ill. The purpose of this filing is to eliminate the gateway of Gary, Ind. The purpose of this partial correction is to include (2) above. The remainder of this letter-notice remains as previously published.

No. MC 114019 (Sub-No. E294) (Correction), filed May 31, 1974, published in the FEDERAL REGISTER March 11, 1975. Applicant: MIDWEST EMERY FREIGHT SYSTEM, INC., 7000 S. Pulaski Rd., Chicago, Ill. 60629. Applicant's representative: Arthur J. Sibik (same as above). Authority sought to operate as a *common carrier*, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: *Steel strapping, and materials and supplies* used in the manufacture and shipping of paper and paper products, between those points in Michigan on and south of a line beginning at Lake Michigan and extending along Michigan Highway 21 to junction Michigan Highway 66, thence along Michigan Highway 66 to the Indiana-Michigan State line, on the one hand, and, on the other, points in West Virginia. The purpose of this filing is to eliminate the gateway of Hamilton, Ohio. The purpose of this correction is to correct the territorial description.

No. MC 114019 (Sub-No. E297) (Correction), filed May 31, 1974, published in the FEDERAL REGISTER March 11, 1975. Applicant: MIDWEST EMERY FREIGHT SYSTEM, INC., 7000 S. Pulaski Rd., Chicago, Ill. 60629. Applicant's representative: Arthur J. Sibik (same as above). Authority sought to operate as a *common carrier*, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: *Meats, meat products, and meat by-products, dairy products, and articles* distributed by meat packinghouses as described in Sections A, B, and C of Appendix I to the report in *Descriptions in Motor Carrier Certificates*, 61 M.C.C. 209 and 766 (except liquid commodities in bulk, in tank vehicles); (1) from the facilities of Swift & Co., at Rochelle, Ill., to points in Maine, Vermont, New Hampshire, West Virginia, Virginia, Delaware, Maryland (except Baltimore), points in that part of Pennsylvania on and north of a line beginning at the Ohio-Pennsylvania State line extending along U.S. Highway 22 to junction U.S. Highway 219, thence along U.S. Highway 219 to the Pennsylvania-Maryland State line (except Philadelphia and points within 20 miles thereof), points in that part of Ohio, on and south of U.S. Highway 40. The purpose of this filing is to eliminate the gateways of Union City, Ohio, and Gary, Ind. The purpose of this partial correction is to correct the territorial description. The remainder of this letter-notice remains as previously published.

No. MC 114019 (Sub-No. E338) (Correction), filed May 22, 1974, published in the FEDERAL REGISTER March 3, 1975. Applicant: MIDWEST EMERY

FREIGHT SYSTEM, INC., 7000 S. Pulaski Rd., Chicago, Ill. 60629. Applicant's representative: Arthur J. Sibik (same as above). Authority sought to operate as a *common carrier*, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: *Structural, architectural and ornamental iron, steel, and metal work*, from Milwaukee, Wis., to points in that part of Indiana on and south of a line beginning at the Illinois-Indiana State line, and extending along Indiana Highway 64 to junction U.S. Highway 41, thence along U.S. Highway 41 to junction Indiana Highway 56, thence along Indiana Highway 56 to junction Indiana Highway 164, thence along Indiana Highway 164 to junction Indiana Highway 66, thence along Indiana Highway 66 to Leavenworth, Ind. The purpose of this filing is to eliminate the gateway of Hennepin, Ill. The purpose of this correction is to properly reflect the highway destination and to include the gateway.

No. MC 114019 (Sub-No. E340) (Correction), filed May 22, 1974, published in the *FEDERAL REGISTER* March 3, 1975. Applicant: **MIDWEST EMERY FREIGHT SYSTEM, INC.**, 7000 S. Pulaski Rd., Chicago, Ill. 60629. Applicant's representative: Arthur J. Sibik (same as above). Authority sought to operate as a *common carrier*, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: *Candy, desserts, and confectionery, and candy, dessert, and confectionery ingredients*, in vehicles equipped with mechanical refrigeration, from Boston and Mansfield, Mass., to points in Wisconsin, Iowa, and Illinois, those points in Nebraska on and east of a line beginning at the Kansas-Nebraska State line and extending along U.S. Highway 83 to junction U.S. Highway 30, thence along U.S. Highway 30 to the Missouri River, points in that part of Kansas on and east of U.S. Highway 281, St. Louis, Mo., and points in Missouri on and west of U.S. Highway 65, points in Indiana on and west of a line beginning at the Michigan-Indiana State line and extending along Indiana Highway 15 to junction Indiana Highway 9, thence along Indiana Highway 9 to junction Indiana Highway 46, thence along Indiana Highway 46 to junction Indiana Highway 135, thence along Indiana Highway 135 to the Indiana-Kentucky State line, Niles, Buchanan, Grand Rapids, St. Joseph, Benton Harbor, Three Rivers, and Sturgis, Mich. The purpose of this filing is to eliminate the gateway of Gary, Ind. The purpose of this correction is to expand the destination points.

No. MC 114019 (Sub-No. E351) (Correction), filed June 3, 1974, published in the *FEDERAL REGISTER* February 25, 1975. Applicant: **MIDWEST EMERY FREIGHT SYSTEM, INC.**, 7000 S. Pulaski Rd., Chicago, Ill. 60629. Applicant's representative: Arthur J. Sibik (same as above). Authority sought to operate as a *common carrier*, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: *Asphalt, fibre board, wallboard, as-*

bestos or felt paper insulating material, pulpboard and strawboard, tin roofing caps, roofing cement, metal clamps, roof coating, creosote, metal fasteners, building or roofing felts, asbestos or felt paper roofing material, nails, asphaltum and coal tar paint, building and roofing paper, roofing pitch, composition roofing, asphalt siding, shingles, sheathing, and tin straps, from Lockland, Ohio, to Baltimore, Md., and those points in Maryland east of the Intercoastal Waterway and points in Delaware, New Jersey, New York, Maine, New Hampshire, Vermont, Massachusetts, Connecticut, and Rhode Island. The purpose of this filing is to eliminate the gateway of Sunbury, Pa. The purpose of this correction is to correct the commodity description.

No. MC 114019 (Sub-No. E358) (Correction), filed May 16, 1974, published in the *FEDERAL REGISTER* March 6, 1975. Applicant: **MIDWEST EMERY FREIGHT SYSTEM, INC.**, 7000 S. Pulaski Rd., Chicago, Ill. 60629. Applicant's representative: Arthur J. Sibik (same as above). Authority sought to operate as a *common carrier*, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: *General commodities, except those of unusual value, household goods as defined by the Commission, Classes A and B explosives, livestock, commodities in bulk, and commodities requiring special equipment*, from Sparrows Point and Baltimore, Md.; New York, N.Y., and points within 30 miles thereof; points in those parts of New Jersey, Delaware, and Maryland, which are located within 30 miles of Philadelphia, Pa.; points in that part of New York on and west of a line beginning at Lake Ontario and extending along U.S. Highway 15 to junction New York Highway 245, thence along New York Highway 245 to junction New York Highway 36, thence along New York Highway 36 to junction New York Highway 21, thence along New York Highway 21 to junction New York Highway 17, thence along New York Highway 17 to the New York-Pennsylvania State line; points in Pennsylvania and those in West Virginia in, north, and west of Wetzel, Harrison, Upshur, Randolph, and Pocahontas Counties, to points in that part of Indiana on and north of a line beginning at the Indiana-Illinois State line and extending along U.S. Highway 24 to junction Indiana Highway 3, thence along Indiana Highway 3 to the Indiana-Michigan State line. The purpose of this filing is to eliminate the gateway of Akron, Ohio. The purpose of this correction is to correct the territorial descriptions.

No. MC 114019 (Sub-No. E381) (Correction), filed May 29, 1974, published in the *FEDERAL REGISTER* February 20, 1975. Applicant: **MIDWEST EMERY FREIGHT SYSTEM, INC.**, 7000 S. Pulaski Rd., Chicago, Ill. 60629. Applicant's representative: Arthur J. Sibik (same as above). Authority sought to operate as a *common carrier*, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: *Dairy products* as classified in the Appendix of *Modification of Permits of Motor Contract Carriers of Packing House Prod-*

ucts, 46 M.C.C. 23, from points in Iowa (except Sioux City), to points in Rutland, Bennington, and Windham Counties, Vt., and points in Cheshire County, N.H. Restriction: Restricted to shipments moving from, to, or between warehouses or other facilities of retail food and household supply and furnishings business houses, peddle service. The purpose of this filing is to eliminate the gateway of Schenectady, N.Y. The purpose of this correction is to clarify the origin points.

No. MC 114019 (Sub-No. E382) (Correction), filed May 27, 1974, published in the *FEDERAL REGISTER* February 18, 1975. Applicant: **MIDWEST EMERY FREIGHT SYSTEM, INC.**, 7000 S. Pulaski Rd., Chicago, Ill. 60629. Applicant's representative: Arthur J. Sibik (same as above). Authority sought to operate as a *common carrier*, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: *Meats, meat products and meat by-products*, as defined in Section A of Appendix I to the report in *Descriptions in Motor Carrier Certificates*, 61 M.C.C. 209 and 766 (except liquid commodities in bulk, in tank vehicles), from points in the New York commercial zone, as defined by the Commission M.C.C. 665, and points in New York and New Jersey within 40 miles of City Hall, N.Y., to points in Bath, Brearley, Boyd, Carter, Elliott, Flemming, Floyd, Greenup, Johnson, Knott, Lawrence, Letcher, Lewis, Magoffin, Martin, Mason, Menifee, Morgan, Perry, Pike, Rowan, and Wolfe Counties, Ky. Restriction: Restricted to shipments moving from, to, or between warehouses or other facilities of retail food and household supply and furnishings business houses, in peddle service. The purpose of this filing is to eliminate the gateway of Huntington, W. Va.

No. MC 114019 (Sub-No. E388) (Correction), filed May 31, 1974, published in the *FEDERAL REGISTER* February 24, 1975. Applicant: **MIDWEST EMERY FREIGHT SYSTEM, INC.**, 7000 S. Pulaski Rd., Chicago, Ill. 60629. Applicant's representative: Arthur J. Sibik (same as above). Authority sought to operate as a *common carrier*, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: *Frozen edible meats, meat products, and meat by-products, and frozen edible articles* distributed by meat packinghouses as described in the Appendix to the report in *Modification of Permits of Motor Contract Carriers of Packing House Products*, 46 M.C.C. 23, from points in New York, New Jersey, Rhode Island, Connecticut, and Massachusetts to Bismarck, N. Dak., Salt Lake City, Utah, and points in Oregon and Washington. The purpose of this filing is to eliminate the gateway of Cleveland, Ohio. The purpose of this correction is to clarify the destination points.

No. MC 114019 (Sub-No. E391) (Correction), filed May 31, 1974, published in the *FEDERAL REGISTER* February 24, 1975. Applicant: **MIDWEST EMERY FREIGHT SYSTEM, INC.**, 7000 S. Pulaski Rd., Chicago, Ill. 60629. Applicant's representative: Arthur J. Sibik (same as above). Authority sought to operate as

a common carrier, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: Paper and paper products, (1) between Sparrows Point and Baltimore, Md., New York, N.Y., and points within 30 miles of New York N.Y., points in those parts of New Jersey, Delaware, and Maryland, which are located within 30 miles of Philadelphia, Pa., points in West Virginia on and south of U.S. Highway 50, and points in Pennsylvania on and south of a line beginning at the Maryland-Pennsylvania State line extending along U.S. Highway 11 to junction U.S. Highway 22, thence along U.S. Highway 22 to the Pennsylvania-New Jersey State line, on the one hand, and, on the other, points in Missouri on, west, and south of a line beginning at the Iowa-Missouri State line and extending along U.S. Highway 63 to junction Missouri Highway 6, thence along Missouri Highway 6 to junction U.S. Highway 65, thence along U.S. Highway 65 to junction U.S. Highway 24, thence along U.S. Highway 24 to the Kansas-Missouri State line; (2) between Sparrows Point and Baltimore, Md., New York, N.Y., and points within 30 miles of New York, N.Y., points in those parts of New Jersey, Delaware, and Maryland which are located within 30 miles of Philadelphia, Pa., points in West Virginia and those points in Pennsylvania on and south of a line beginning at the Ohio-Pennsylvania State line and extending along U.S. Highway 22 to junction U.S. Highway 11, thence along U.S. Highway 11 to junction U.S. Highway 6, thence along U.S. Highway 6 to the Pennsylvania-New Jersey State line, on the one hand and, on the other, points in Minnesota. Restriction: The authority in (1) and (2) above is restricted to the transportation of the commodities described therein when moving from, to, or between paper or paper products, manufacturing plants or warehouses, or other facilities of such plants, and when moving from, to or between warehouses, and wholesale, retail, or chain outlets of food business houses, or when moving from, to or between food processing plants, or warehouses or other facilities or such plants. The purpose of this filing is to eliminate the gateways of Hamilton, Ohio, and Muscatine, Iowa. The purpose of this correction is to expand the territorial description.

No. MC 114019 (Sub-No. E410) (Correction), filed May 19, 1974, published in the FEDERAL REGISTER February 12, 1975. Applicant: MIDWEST EMERY FREIGHT SYSTEM, INC., 7000 S. Pulaski Rd., Chicago, Ill. 60629. Applicant's representative: Arthur J. Sibik (same as above). Authority sought to operate as a common carrier, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: Cocoa, chocolate, and compounds thereof, and confectionery, between Detroit and Grand Rapids, Mich., on the one hand, and, on the other, points in New York on and east of a line beginning at Lake Ontario and extending along New York Highway 14 to junction New York Highway 17, thence along New York Highway 17 to the New York-Pennsylvania State

line, and points in Pennsylvania on and east of a line beginning at the New York-Pennsylvania State line and extending along U.S. Highway 220 to junction U.S. Highway 6, thence along U.S. Highway 6 to junction Pennsylvania Highway 307, thence along Pennsylvania Highway 307 to junction Interstate Highway 81, thence along Interstate Highway 81 to junction Interstate Highway 80, thence along Interstate Highway 80 to the New Jersey-Pennsylvania State line, and those points in New Jersey which are within 40 miles of City Hall, New York, N.Y. and within the Philadelphia, Pa., commercial zone. The purpose of this filing is to eliminate the gateway of Syracuse, N.Y. The purpose of this correction is to correct the territorial description.

No. MC 114019 (Sub-No. E424) (Correction), filed June 5, 1974, published in the FEDERAL REGISTER February 24, 1975. Applicant: MIDWEST EMERY FREIGHT SYSTEM, INC., 7000 S. Pulaski Rd., Chicago, Ill. 60629. Applicant's representative: Arthur J. Sibik (same as above). Authority sought to operate as a common carrier, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: General commodities (except those of unusual value, classes A and B explosives, livestock, household goods as defined by the Commission, commodities in bulk, and those requiring special equipment, from points in that part of Ohio on and east of a line beginning at the Ohio-West Virginia State line and extending along U.S. Highway 40 to junction Ohio Highway 9, thence along Ohio Highway 9 to junction Ohio Highway 148, thence along Ohio Highway 148 to junction Ohio Highway 145, thence along Ohio Highway 145 to junction Ohio Highway 556, thence along Ohio Highway 556 to junction Ohio Highway 26, thence along Ohio Highway 26 to junction unnumbered highway, thence along unnumbered highway to the Ohio-West Virginia State line, to points in that part of Indiana on and north of a line beginning at the Indiana-Illinois State line and extending along U.S. Highway 24 to junction Indiana Highway 3, thence along Indiana Highway 3 to the Indiana-Michigan State line. The purpose of this filing is to eliminate the gateways of Wheeling, W. Va., and Akron, Ohio. The purpose of this correction is to expand the destination territory.

No. MC 114211 (Sub No. E856), filed June 4, 1974. Applicant: WARREN TRANSPORT, INC., P.O. Box 420, Waterloo, Iowa 50704. Applicant's representative: Kenneth R. Nelson (same as above). Authority sought to operate as a common carrier, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: Cast iron pressure pipe (except pipe used in or in with the discovery, development, production, refining, manufacture, processing, storage, transmission, and distribution of natural gas and petroleum and their products and by-products) and fittings and accessories therefor when moving with such pipe, from points in that part of Texas on and west of a line beginning at the Oklahoma-Texas State line ex-

tending along U.S. Highway 283 to junction U.S. Highway 277, thence along U.S. Highway 277 to junction U.S. Highway 84, thence along U.S. Highway 84 to junction U.S. Highway 283, thence along U.S. Highway 283 to junction U.S. Highway 87, thence along U.S. Highway 87 to junction U.S. Highway 377, thence along U.S. Highway 377 to junction U.S. Highway 83, thence along U.S. Highway 83 to junction U.S. Highway 57, thence along U.S. Highway 57 to junction U.S. Highway 277, thence along U.S. Highway 277 to Eagle Pass, Tex., and from points in that part of Oklahoma on and west of a line beginning at the Kansas-Oklahoma State line extending along U.S. Highway 77 to junction U.S. Highway 60, thence along U.S. Highway 60 to junction U.S. Highway 183, thence along U.S. Highway 183 to junction Oklahoma Highway 9, thence along Oklahoma Highway 9 to junction Oklahoma Highway 44, thence along Oklahoma Highway 44 to junction U.S. Highway 283, thence along U.S. Highway 283 to the Oklahoma-Texas State line, to points in Pennsylvania, New York, New Jersey, Connecticut, Rhode Island, Massachusetts, Vermont, New Hampshire, and Maine. The purpose of this filing is to eliminate the gateway of the plant site of the Griffin Pipe Company located at or near Council Bluffs, Iowa.

No. MC 114211 (Sub-No. E859), filed June 4, 1974. Applicant: WARREN TRANSPORT, INC., P.O. Box 420, Waterloo, Iowa 50704. Applicant's representative: Kenneth R. Nelson (same as above). Authority sought to operate as a common carrier, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: Cast iron pressure pipe (except pipe used in or in connection with the discovery, development, production, refining, manufacture, processing, storage, transmission, and distribution of natural gas and petroleum and their products) and fittings and accessories therefor when moving with such pipe, from points in that part of Oklahoma on and west of a line beginning at the Kansas-Oklahoma State line extending along Oklahoma Highway 34 to junction Oklahoma Highway 15, thence along Oklahoma Highway 15 to junction U.S. Highway 283, thence along U.S. Highway 283 to junction Oklahoma Highway 51, thence along Oklahoma Highway 51 to the Oklahoma-Texas State line, from points in that part of Texas on and west of a line beginning at the Oklahoma-Texas State line extending along U.S. Highway 60 to junction U.S. Highway 87, thence along U.S. Highway 87 to junction Texas Highway 349, thence along Texas Highway 349 to junction U.S. Highway 80, thence along U.S. Highway 80 to junction Texas Highway 17, thence along Texas Highway 17 to junction U.S. Highway 67, thence along U.S. Highway 67 to the United States-Mexico Boundary line, to points in that part of Ohio on and north of a line beginning at the West Virginia-Ohio State line extending along U.S. Highway 40 to the Ohio-Indiana State line. The purpose of this filing is to eliminate the gateway of the plant site

of the Griffin Pipe Company located at or near Council Bluffs, Iowa.

No. MC 114211 (Sub-No. E860), filed June 4, 1974. Applicant: WARREN TRANSPORT, INC., P.O. Box 420, Waterloo, Iowa 50704. Applicant's representative: Kenneth R. Nelson (same as above). Authority sought to operate as a *common carrier*, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: *Experimental tractors*, from points in that part of Wisconsin on and south of a line beginning at the Wisconsin-Iowa State line extending along Iowa-Wisconsin Highway 82 to junction Wisconsin Highway 13, thence along Wisconsin Highway 13 to junction Wisconsin Highway 54, thence along Wisconsin Highway 54 to junction U.S. Highway 51, thence along U.S. Highway 51 to junction U.S. Highway 10, thence along U.S. Highway 10 to junction Wisconsin Highway 161, thence along Wisconsin Highway 161 to junction Wisconsin Highway 22, thence along Wisconsin Highway 22 to junction U.S. Highway 41, thence along U.S. Highway 41 to the Wisconsin-Michigan State line, to points in that part of Idaho on and west of a line beginning at the Montana-Idaho State line extending along U.S. Highway 191 to junction U.S. Highway 30N, thence along U.S. Highway 30N to junction Interstate Highway 15W, thence along Interstate Highway 15W to junction Interstate Highway 80N, thence along Interstate Highway 80N to junction U.S. Highway 93, thence along U.S. Highway 93 to the Idaho-Utah State line, and to points in that part of California on and north of a line beginning at the Nevada-California State line extending along Interstate Highway 15 to junction U.S. Highway 395, thence along U.S. Highway 395 to junction Interstate Highway 15.

Thence along Interstate Highway 15 to junction Interstate Highway 5, thence along Interstate Highway 5 to the United States-Mexico Boundary line, to points in that part of Montana on and west of a line beginning at the United States-Canada Boundary line extending along Montana Highway 247 to junction U.S. Highway 2, thence along U.S. Highway 2 to junction U.S. Highway 191, thence along U.S. Highway 191 to junction Interstate Highway 90, thence along Interstate Highway 90 to junction U.S. Highway 89, thence along U.S. Highway 89 to the Montana-Wyoming State line, to points in that part of Nevada on and north of a line beginning at the Idaho-Nevada State line extending along U.S. Highway 93 to junction U.S. Highway 40, thence along U.S. Highway 40 to junction Nevada Highway 8A, thence along Nevada Highway 8A to junction U.S. Highway 95, thence along U.S. Highway 95 to junction U.S. Highway 91, thence along U.S. Highway 91 to the California-Nevada State line, and to points in Washington and Oregon. The purpose of this filing is to eliminate the gateways of Waterloo, Iowa and that part of the Fargo, N. Dak., Commercial Zone located in Moorhead, Minn.

No. MC 114211 (Sub-No. E861), filed June 4, 1974. Applicant: WARREN TRANSPORT, INC., P.O. Box 420, Waterloo, Iowa 50704. Applicant's representative: Kenneth R. Nelson (same as above). Authority sought to operate as a *common carrier*, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: *Farm tractors* (except, in each instance, commodities which because of size or weight require the use of special equipment, and except commodities described in *Mercer Extension-Oil Field Commodities*, 74 M.C.C. 459), from points in that part of Minnesota on and west of a line beginning at the Canada-United States Boundary line extending along Minnesota Highway 72 to junction U.S. Highway 71, thence along U.S. Highway 71 to junction U.S. Highway 16, thence along U.S. Highway 16 to junction Minnesota Highway 4, thence along Minnesota Highway 4 to the Minnesota-Iowa State line, to points in that part of California on and south of a line beginning at Oceanside, Calif., extending along California Highway 76 to junction California Highway S-16, thence along California Highway S-16 to junction California Highway 71, thence along California Highway 71 to junction California Highway 74, thence along California Highway 74 to junction California Highway 111, thence along California Highway 111 to junction Interstate Highway 10, thence along Interstate Highway 10 to junction U.S. Highway 95, thence along U.S. Highway 95 to the Arizona-California State line, to points in that part of Arizona on and south of a line beginning at the California-Arizona State line extending along Interstate Highway 40 to junction U.S. Highway 66.

Thence along U.S. Highway 86 to junction Interstate Highway 40, thence along Interstate Highway 40 to the Arizona-New Mexico State line, to points in that part of New Mexico on and south of a line beginning at the Arizona-New Mexico State line extending along Interstate Highway 40 to the New Mexico-Texas State line, to points in that part of Oklahoma on and south of a line beginning at the Texas-Oklahoma State line extending along Interstate Highway 40 to junction U.S. Highway 81, thence along U.S. Highway 81 to junction U.S. Highway 60, thence along U.S. Highway 60 to junction U.S. Highway 75, thence along U.S. Highway 75 to junction Oklahoma Highway 33, thence along Oklahoma Highway 33 to the Arkansas-Oklahoma State line, to points in that part of Arkansas on, south and west of a line beginning at the Oklahoma-Arkansas State line extending along Arkansas Highway 33 to junction Arkansas Highway 16, thence along Arkansas Highway 16 to junction Arkansas Highway 23, thence along Arkansas Highway 23 to junction Interstate Highway 40, thence along Interstate Highway 40 to junction U.S. Highway 65, thence along U.S. Highway 65 to junction U.S. Highway 82, thence along U.S. Highway 82 to the Mississippi-Arkansas State line, to points in that part of Mississippi on, south and east of

a line beginning at the Arkansas-Mississippi State line extending along Mississippi Highway 1 to junction Mississippi Highway 322, thence along Mississippi Highway 322 to junction U.S. Highway 49, thence along U.S. Highway 49 to junction U.S. Highway 82, thence along U.S. Highway 82 to junction U.S. Highway 49.

Thence along U.S. Highway 49 to junction U.S. Highway 80, thence along U.S. Highway 80 to the Alabama-Mississippi State line, to points in that part of Alabama on and south of a line beginning at the Alabama-Mississippi State line extending along U.S. Highway 80 east to the Alabama-Georgia State line, to points in that part of Georgia on and south of a line beginning at the Georgia-Alabama State line extending along U.S. Highway 280 to junction Georgia Highway 55, thence along Georgia Highway 55 to junction U.S. Highway 82, thence along U.S. Highway 82 to junction U.S. Highway 84, thence along U.S. Highway 84 to Brunswick, Ga., and to points in Louisiana and Florida. The purpose of this filing is to eliminate the gateways of Claremore, Okla., and points in Kansas.

No. MC 114211 (Sub-No. E863), filed June 4, 1974. Applicant: WARREN TRANSPORT, INC., P.O. Box 420, Waterloo, Iowa 50704. Applicant's representative: Kenneth R. Nelson (same as above). Authority sought to operate as a *common carrier*, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: *Farm machinery*, from points in that part of Minnesota on and west of a line beginning at the United States-Canada Boundary line extending along U.S. Highway 71 to junction Minnesota Highway 6, thence along Minnesota Highway 6 to junction U.S. Highway 2, thence along U.S. Highway 2 to junction Minnesota Highway 65, thence along Minnesota Highway 65 to junction Interstate Highway 694, thence along Interstate Highway 694 to junction Interstate Highway 494, thence along Interstate Highway 494 to junction Minnesota Highway 494 to junction Minnesota Highway 110, thence along Minnesota Highway 110 to junction Minnesota Highway 55, thence along Minnesota Highway 55 to junction Interstate Highway 494, thence along Interstate Highway 494 to junction U.S. Highway 169, thence along U.S. Highway 169 to junction Minnesota Highway 60, thence along Minnesota Highway 60 to junction Interstate Highway 90, thence along Interstate Highway 90 to junction U.S. Highway 16, thence along U.S. Highway 16 to the South Dakota-Minnesota State line, to points in that part of Indiana on and south of a line beginning at the Illinois-Indiana State line extending along Indiana Highway 32 to junction Indiana Highway 63, thence along Indiana Highway 63 to junction Indiana Highway 234.

Thence along Indiana Highway 234 to junction U.S. Highway 41, thence along U.S. Highway 41 to junction U.S. Highway 36, thence along U.S. Highway 36 to junction U.S. Highway 231, thence along U.S. Highway 231 to junction Indiana Highway 46, thence along Indiana

Highway 46 to junction Indiana Highway 135, thence along Indiana Highway 135 to junction U.S. Highway 50, thence along U.S. Highway 50 to junction U.S. Highway 31, thence along U.S. Highway 31 to junction Indiana Highway 256, thence along Indiana Highway 256 to junction U.S. Highway 421, thence along U.S. Highway 421 to the Indiana-Kentucky State line. The purpose of this filing is to eliminate the gateway of Ft. Dodge, Iowa.

No. MC 114211 (Sub-No. E864), filed June 4, 1974. Applicant: WARREN TRANSPORT, INC., P.O. Box 420, Waterloo, Iowa 50704. Applicant's representative: Kenneth R. Nelson (same as above). Authority sought to operate as a *common carrier*, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: *Road building equipment*, from points in Texas and Oklahoma, to points in that part of Illinois on and north of a line beginning at the Missouri-Illinois State line extending along U.S. Highway 54 to junction U.S. Highway 36, thence along U.S. Highway 36 to junction Interstate Highway 55, thence along Interstate Highway 55 to junction Illinois Highway 9, thence along Illinois Highway 9 to junction Illinois Highway 54, thence along Illinois Highway 54 to junction Interstate Highway 57, thence along Interstate Highway 57 to junction Illinois Highway 17, thence along Illinois Highway 17 to the Illinois-Indiana State line. The purpose of this filing is to eliminate the gateways of points in Kansas.

No. MC 114211 (Sub-No. E865), filed June 4, 1974. Applicant: WARREN TRANSPORT, INC., P.O. Box 420, Waterloo, Iowa 50704. Applicant's representative: Kenneth R. Nelson (same as above). Authority sought to operate as a *common carrier*, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: *Cast iron pressure pipe* (except pipe used in or in connection with the discovery, development, production refining, manufacture, processing, storage, transmission, and distribution of natural gas and petroleum and their products) and *fittings and accessories* therefor when moving with such pipe, from points in that part of Oklahoma on and northwest of a line beginning at the Kansas-Oklahoma State line extending along Oklahoma Highway 34 to junction Oklahoma Highway 15, thence along Oklahoma Highway 15 to junction U.S. Highway 283, thence along U.S. Highway 283 to junction Oklahoma Highway 51, thence along Oklahoma Highway 51 to the Texas-Oklahoma State line, and from points in that part of Texas on and north of a line beginning at the Oklahoma-Texas State line extending along U.S. Highway 60 to the Texas-New Mexico State line, to points in that part of Ohio on and south of a line beginning at the Indiana-Ohio State line extending along U.S. Highway 40 to the Ohio-West Virginia State line. The purpose of this filing is to eliminate the gateway of the facilities of Griffin Pipe Products Company at Council Bluffs, Iowa.

No. MC 114211 (Sub-No. E866), filed June 4, 1974. Applicant: WARREN TRANSPORT, INC., P.O. Box 420, Waterloo, Iowa 50704. Applicant's representative: Kenneth R. Nelson (same as above). Authority sought to operate as a *common carrier*, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: *Farm tractors* (except, in each instance, commodities which because of size or weight require the use of special equipment, and except commodities described in *Mercer Extension—Oil Field Commodities*, 74 M.C.C. 459), from points in that part of Iowa on, south and east of a line beginning at the Iowa-Minnesota State line extending along Iowa Highway 4 to junction U.S. Highway 18, thence along U.S. Highway 18 to junction U.S. Highway 71, thence along U.S. Highway 71 to junction Interstate Highway 80, thence along Interstate Highway 80 to the Iowa-Nebraska State line, to points in that part of California on and south of a line beginning at the California Coast extending along California Highway 1 to junction California Highway 152, thence along California Highway 152 to junction California Highway 99, thence along California Highway 99 to junction California Highway 58, thence along California Highway 58 to junction Interstate Highway 15, thence along Interstate Highway 15 to the California-Nevada State line, and to points in that part of Nevada on and south of a line beginning at the Nevada-California State line extending along Interstate Highway 15 to junction U.S. Highway 93, thence along U.S. Highway 93 to the Nevada-Arizona State line, and to points in that part of Arizona on and south of a line beginning at the Arizona-Nevada State line extending along U.S. Highway 93 to junction U.S. Highway 66.

Thence along U.S. Highway 66 to junction Interstate Highway 40, thence along Interstate Highway 40 to the Arizona-New Mexico State line, to points in that part of New Mexico on and south of a line beginning at the New Mexico-Arizona State line extending along Interstate Highway 40 to junction U.S. Highway 285, thence along U.S. Highway 285 to junction U.S. Highway 60, thence along U.S. Highway 60 to the New Mexico-Texas State line, to points in that part of Oklahoma on and south of a line beginning at the Oklahoma-Texas State line extending along U.S. Highway 66 to junction Interstate Highway 35, thence along Interstate Highway 35 to junction Oklahoma Highway 51, thence along Oklahoma Highway 51 to junction U.S. Highway 75, thence along U.S. Highway 75 to junction Oklahoma Highway 3, thence along Oklahoma Highway 3 to junction U.S. Highway 70, thence along U.S. Highway 70 to the Oklahoma-Arkansas State line, to points in that part of Arkansas on and south of a line beginning at the Arkansas-Oklahoma State line extending along U.S. Highway 70 to junction U.S. Highway 71, thence along U.S. Highway 71 to the Arkansas-Louisiana

State line, and to points in that part of Louisiana on and south of a line beginning at the Louisiana-Arkansas State line extending along U.S. Highway 71 to junction U.S. Highway 171, thence along U.S. Highway 171 to junction Louisiana Highway 10, thence along Louisiana Highway 10 to junction U.S. Highway 167, thence along U.S. Highway 167 to junction Louisiana Highway 82, thence along Louisiana Highway 82 to Erath, La. The purpose of this filing is to eliminate the gateway of Claremore, Okla., and points in Kansas.

No. MC 114211 (Sub-No. E867), filed June 4, 1974. Applicant: WARREN TRANSPORT, INC., P.O. Box 420, Waterloo, Iowa 50704. Applicant's representative: Kenneth R. Nelson (same as above). Authority sought to operate as a *common carrier*, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: *Concrete pipe making machinery* and when moving with concrete pipe making machinery with which it is to be used, *parts of such machinery and auxiliary equipment to be used therewith*, the transportation of which because of size or weight, requires special equipment, from points in that part of Nebraska on and north of a line beginning at the Iowa-Nebraska State line extending along U.S. Highway 20 to junction Nebraska Highway 70, thence along Nebraska Highway 70 to junction Nebraska Highway 91, thence along Nebraska Highway 91 to junction Nebraska Highway 2, thence along Nebraska Highway 2 to junction U.S. Highway 385, thence along U.S. Highway 385 to junction Nebraska Highway 26, thence along U.S. Highway 26 to the Nebraska-Wyoming State line, to points in Florida, Georgia, Alabama, South Carolina, North Carolina, and to points in that part of Mississippi on and east of a line beginning at the Tennessee-Mississippi State line extending along Interstate Highway 55 to the Mississippi-Louisiana State line. The purpose of this filing is to eliminate the gateway of Waterloo, Iowa.

No. MC 114211 (Sub-No. E868), filed June 4, 1974. Applicant: WARREN TRANSPORT, INC., P.O. Box 420, Waterloo, Iowa 50704. Applicant's representative: Kenneth R. Nelson (same as above). Authority sought to operate as a *common carrier*, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: *Concrete pipe making machinery* and when moving with concrete pipe making machinery with which it is to be used, *parts of such machinery and auxiliary equipment to be used therewith*, the transportation of which, because of size or weight, requires special equipment, from points in that part of Nebraska on and northwest of a line beginning at the Iowa-Nebraska State line extending along Nebraska Highway 2 to junction Nebraska Highway 50, thence along Nebraska Highway 50 to junction U.S. Highway 136, thence along U.S. Highway 136 to junction Nebraska Highway 15, thence along Nebraska Highway 15 to the Nebraska-Kan-

sas State line, to points in that part of Florida on and east of a line beginning at the Georgia-Florida State line extending along U.S. Highway 90 to junction Florida Highway 274, thence along Florida Highway 274 to junction U.S. Highway 231, thence along U.S. Highway 231 to Panama City, Fla., and to points in that part of Georgia on and east of a line beginning at the North Carolina-Georgia State line extending along Georgia Highway 75 to junction U.S. Highway 29, thence along U.S. Highway 29 to junction U.S. Highway 23, thence along U.S. Highway 23 to junction Interstate Highway 75, thence along Interstate Highway 75 to junction Georgia Highway 33, thence along Georgia Highway 33 to junction Georgia Highway 112, thence along Georgia Highway 112 to junction Georgia Highway 97, thence along Georgia Highway 97 to the Georgia-Florida State line, and to points in that part of North Carolina on and east of a line beginning at the Tennessee-North Carolina State line extending along U.S. Highway 129 to junction North Carolina Highway 69, thence along North Carolina Highway 69 to junction North Carolina Highway 75, thence along North Carolina Highway 75 to the North Carolina-Georgia State line, and to points in South Carolina, Virginia, West Virginia, Delaware, Maryland, Pennsylvania, New Jersey, Connecticut, New York, Rhode Island, Massachusetts, Vermont, New Hampshire, and Maine. The purpose of this filing is to eliminate the gateway of Waterloo, Iowa.

No. MC 114211 (Sub-No. E871), filed June 4, 1974. Applicant: WARREN TRANSPORT, INC., P.O. Box 420, Waterloo, Iowa 50704. Applicant's representative: Kenneth R. Nelson (same as above). Authority sought to operate as a *common carrier*, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: *Farm machinery*, from points in that part of Kansas on and west of a line beginning at the Nebraska-Kansas State line extending along U.S. Highway 77 to junction U.S. Highway 24, thence along U.S. Highway 24 to junction Interstate Highway 35, thence along Interstate Highway 35 to the Kansas-Oklahoma State line, and points in Colorado to points in that part of Pennsylvania on and north of a line beginning at Erie, Pa., extending along U.S. Highway 19 to junction U.S. Highway 6, thence along U.S. Highway 6 to junction U.S. Highway 219, thence along U.S. Highway 219 to junction Pennsylvania Highway 255, thence along Pennsylvania Highway 255 to junction Pennsylvania Highway 120, thence along Pennsylvania Highway 120 to junction U.S. Highway 220, thence along U.S. Highway 220 to junction U.S. Highway 15, thence along U.S. Highway 15 to junction U.S. Highway 11, thence along U.S. Highway 11 to junction Pennsylvania Highway 61, thence along Pennsylvania Highway 61 to junction U.S. Highway 422, thence along U.S. Highway 422 to the Pennsylvania-New Jersey State line, and points in New Jersey, New York, Rhode Island, Connecticut, Massachusetts, Vermont, New Hampshire, and

Maine, restricted to the transportation of traffic originating at or destined to the plant sites, warehouse sites, and experimental farms of Deere and Company. The purpose of this filing is to eliminate the gateways of Beatrice and Nebraska City, Nebr., and Dubuque, Iowa.

No. MC 114211 (Sub-No. E874), filed June 4, 1974. Applicant: WARREN TRANSPORT, INC., P.O. Box 420, Waterloo, Iowa 50704. Applicant's representative: Kenneth R. Nelson (same as above). Authority sought to operate as a *common carrier*, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: *Agricultural implements and parts thereof*, from points in Oklahoma, to points in that part of Minnesota on and north of a line beginning at the Minnesota-South Dakota State line extending along U.S. Highway 16 to junction Minnesota Highway 23, thence along Minnesota Highway 23 to junction Minnesota Highway 48, thence along Minnesota Highway 48 to the Minnesota-Wisconsin State line, and to points in that part of Wisconsin on and north of a line beginning at the Minnesota-Wisconsin State line extending along Wisconsin Highway 77 to the Michigan-Wisconsin State line, and to points in that part of Michigan on and north of a line beginning at the Wisconsin-Michigan State line extending along Michigan Highway 28 to junction U.S. Highway 41, thence along U.S. Highway 41 to Marquette, Mich., restricted against movement to oil field locations. The purpose of this filing is to eliminate the gateway of Beatrice, Nebr.

No. MC 114211 (Sub-No. E875), filed June 4, 1974. Applicant: WARREN TRANSPORT, INC., P.O. Box 420, Waterloo, Iowa 50704. Applicant's representative: Kenneth R. Nelson (same as above). Authority sought to operate as a *common carrier*, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: *Grading, paving and finishing machinery, equipment, parts, accessories, and attachments*, between points in that part of Minnesota on and south of a line beginning at the Wisconsin-Minnesota State line extending along Interstate Highway 94 to junction Minnesota Highway 5, thence along Minnesota Highway 5 to junction U.S. Highway 212, thence along U.S. Highway 212 to junction Minnesota Highway 5, thence along Minnesota Highway 5 to junction Minnesota Highway 15, thence along Minnesota Highway 15 to junction U.S. Highway 14, thence along U.S. Highway 14 to junction Minnesota Highway 23, thence along Minnesota Highway 23 to junction Interstate Highway 90, thence along Interstate Highway 90 to the South Dakota-Minnesota State line, on the one hand, and, on the other, points in that part of Montana on and west of a line beginning at the Montana-Wyoming State line extending along U.S. Highway 212 to junction U.S. Highway 87, thence along U.S. Highway 87 to junction U.S. Highway 91, thence along U.S. Highway 91 to the United States-Canada Boundary line, and points in Idaho, Wyoming, Oregon, and Washington. The purpose

of this filing is to eliminate the gateway of Canton, S. Dak.

No. MC 114211 (Sub-No. E876), filed June 4, 1974. Applicant: WARREN TRANSPORT, INC., P.O. Box 420, Waterloo, Iowa 50704. Applicant's representative: Kenneth R. Nelson (same as above). Authority sought to operate as a *common carrier*, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: *Self-propelled farm machinery and parts thereof*, from points in North Dakota, to points in New York. The purpose of this filing is to eliminate the gateway of plant site of Stinar Corp., at Minneapolis, Minn.

No. MC 114211 (Sub-No. E877), filed June 4, 1974. Applicant: WARREN TRANSPORT, INC., P.O. Box 420, Waterloo, Iowa 50704. Applicant's representative: Kenneth R. Nelson (same as above). Authority sought to operate as a *common carrier*, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: *Self-propelled farm machinery and parts thereof*, from points in North Dakota, to points in Ohio, West Virginia, Kentucky, North Carolina, South Carolina, Tennessee, Arkansas, Alabama, Louisiana, Mississippi, Georgia, Florida, to points in that part of Michigan on and south of a line beginning at the United States-Canada Boundary line extending along Interstate Highway 75 to junction U.S. Highway 2, thence along U.S. Highway 2 to the Michigan-Wisconsin State line, to points in that part of Wisconsin on and south of a line beginning at the Wisconsin-Michigan State line extending along U.S. Highway 8 to the Minnesota-Wisconsin State line, to points in that part of Missouri on and east of a line beginning at the Iowa-Missouri State line extending along U.S. Highway 69 to the Kansas-Missouri State line, to points in that part of Oklahoma on and east of a line beginning at the Missouri-Oklahoma State line extending along U.S. Highway 69 to junction U.S. Highway 75, thence along U.S. Highway 75 to the Oklahoma-Texas State line, and to points in that part of Texas on and east of a line beginning at the Texas-Oklahoma State line extending along U.S. Highway 75 to junction Interstate Highway 35, thence along Interstate Highway 35 to junction U.S. Highway 183, thence along U.S. Highway 183 to junction U.S. Highway 77, thence along U.S. Highway 77 to the United States-Mexico Boundary line. The purpose of this filing is to eliminate the gateway of Minneapolis, Minn.

No. MC 114211 (Sub-No. E878), filed June 4, 1974. Applicant: WARREN TRANSPORT, INC., P.O. Box 420, Waterloo, Iowa 50704. Applicant's representative: Kenneth R. Nelson (same as above). Authority sought to operate as a *common carrier*, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: *Self-propelled farm machinery and parts thereof*, from points in that part of Minnesota on and east of a line beginning at the United States-Canada Boundary line extending along U.S. Highway 71 to junction Minnesota Highway 6, thence along Minnesota Highway 6 to junction Minnesota Highway 210, thence along

Minnesota Highway 210 to junction Minnesota Highway 18, thence along Minnesota Highway 18 to junction U.S. Highway 169, thence along U.S. Highway 169 to junction U.S. Highway 12, thence along U.S. Highway 12 to the Minnesota-Wisconsin State line, to points in New York. The purpose of this filing is to eliminate the gateways of the plantsite of the Stinar Corporation at Minneapolis, Minn.

No. MC 114211 (Sub-No. E879), filed June 4, 1974. Applicant: WARREN TRANSPORT, INC., P.O. Box 420, Waterloo, Iowa 50704. Applicant's representative: Kenneth R. Nelson (same as above). Authority sought to operate as a *common carrier*, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: *Self-propelled rollers*, from points in that part of Minnesota on and east of a line beginning at the United States-Canada Boundary line extending along U.S. Highway 6, thence along Minnesota Highway 6 to junction Minnesota Highway 210, thence along Minnesota Highway 210 to junction Minnesota Highway 18, thence along Minnesota Highway 18 to junction U.S. Highway 169, thence along U.S. Highway 169 to junction U.S. Highway 12, thence along U.S. Highway 12 to the Minnesota-Wisconsin State line, to points in Massachusetts, and Connecticut. The purpose of this filing is to eliminate the gateway of Minneapolis, Minn.

No. MC 114211 (Sub-No. E880), filed June 4, 1974. Applicant: WARREN TRANSPORT, INC., P.O. Box 420, Waterloo, Iowa 50704. Applicant's representative: Kenneth R. Nelson (same as above). Authority sought to operate as a *common carrier*, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: *Grading, paving, and finishing machinery, equipment, parts, accessories, and attachments*, between points in that part of Kansas on and west of a line beginning at the Oklahoma-Kansas State line extending along U.S. Highway 83 to junction U.S. Highway 56, thence along U.S. Highway 56 to junction U.S. Highway 156, thence along U.S. Highway 156 to junction Interstate Highway 70, thence along Interstate Highway 70 to junction Kansas Highway 14, thence along Kansas Highway 14 to junction Kansas Highway 9, thence along Kansas Highway 9 to junction U.S. Highway 81, thence along U.S. Highway 81 to the Nebraska-Kansas State line, on the one hand, and, on the other, points in that part of New Jersey on and north of a line beginning at the New Jersey-Delaware State line extending along Interstate Highway 295 to junction New Jersey Highway 49, thence along New Jersey Highway 49 to junction New Jersey Highway 47, thence along New Jersey Highway 47 to the Atlantic coast, and to points in that part of Delaware on and north of a line beginning at the Pennsylvania-Delaware State line extending along Delaware Highway 41 to junction Interstate Highway 95, thence along Interstate Highway 95 to junction Interstate Highway 295, thence

along Interstate Highway 295 to the Delaware-New Jersey State line, and to points in that part of Pennsylvania on and east of a line beginning at the Ohio-Pennsylvania State line extending along Interstate Highway 80 to junction U.S. Highway 322, thence along U.S. Highway 322 to junction Interstate Highway 83, thence along Interstate Highway 83 to junction U.S. Highway 30, thence along U.S. Highway 30 to junction Pennsylvania Highway 41, thence along Pennsylvania Highway 41 to the Maryland-Pennsylvania State line, and to points in that part of Ohio on and east of a line beginning at Lake Erie extending along Ohio Highway 46 to junction Ohio Highway 11, thence along Ohio Highway 11 to junction Ohio Highway 82, thence along Ohio Highway 82 to junction Ohio Highway 193, thence along Ohio Highway 193 to junction Interstate Highway 80, thence along Interstate Highway 80 to the Ohio-Pennsylvania State line, and points in Maine, Vermont, New Hampshire, Massachusetts, Rhode Island, New York, and Connecticut. The purpose of this filing is to eliminate the gateway of Canton, S. Dak.

No. MC 114211 (Sub-No. E881), filed June 4, 1974. Applicant: WARREN TRANSPORT, INC., P.O. Box 420, Waterloo, Iowa 50704. Applicant's representative: Kenneth R. Nelson (same as above). Authority sought to operate as a *common carrier*, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: *Tractors and stationary engines and attachments and parts* therefor when moving incidental to and in the same vehicle with tractors and stationary engines (not including tractors with vehicle beds, bed frames, or fifth wheels, nor any of the above-specified commodities which, because of their size or weight require the use of special equipment), from points in Minnesota, to points in that part of Ohio on and east of a line beginning at Lake Erie extending along Ohio Highway 21 to junction Ohio Highway 585, thence along Ohio Highway 585 to junction Ohio Highway 3, thence along Ohio Highway 3 to junction Ohio Highway 13, thence along Ohio Highway 13 to junction U.S. Highway 22, thence along U.S. Highway 22 to junction Ohio Highway 159, thence along Ohio Highway 159 to junction U.S. Highway 50, thence along U.S. Highway 50 to junction Ohio Highway 41, thence along Ohio Highway 41 to the Ohio-Kentucky State line, to points in that part of Kentucky on and east of a line beginning at the Ohio-Kentucky State line extending along U.S. Highway 68 to junction U.S. Highway 31E, thence along U.S. Highway 31E to the Kentucky-Tennessee State line, and to points in Maine, Vermont, New Hampshire, Massachusetts, Rhode Island, Connecticut, New York, Pennsylvania, Delaware, New Jersey, Maryland, Virginia, West Virginia, Indiana, and Michigan, with no transportation for compensation on return except as otherwise authorized restricted to the transportation of traffic originating at the plant sites and warehouse facilities

of Deere and Company. The purpose of this filing is to eliminate the gateways of Horicon, Wis., and Dubuque, Iowa.

No. MC 114211 (Sub-No. E882), filed June 4, 1974. Applicant: WARREN TRANSPORT, INC., P.O. Box 420, Waterloo, Iowa 50704. Applicant's representative: Kenneth R. Nelson (same as above). Authority sought to operate as a *common carrier*, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: *Grading, paving, and finishing machinery, equipment, parts and accessories, and attachments*, between points in Iowa, on the one hand, and, on the other, points in Washington, Oregon, Montana, California and points in Nevada on and west of a line beginning at the Idaho-Nevada State line extending along U.S. Highway 93 to junction Interstate Highway 15, thence along Interstate Highway 15 to junction U.S. Highway 93, thence along U.S. Highway 93 to the Arizona-Nevada State line; to points in that part of Idaho on and north west of a line beginning at the Wyoming-Idaho State line extending along Idaho Highway 34 to junction U.S. Highway 30, thence along U.S. Highway 30 to junction U.S. Highway 93, thence along U.S. Highway 93 to the Nevada-Idaho State line; to points in that part of Wyoming on and north of a line beginning at the South Dakota-Wyoming State line extending along U.S. Highway 85 to junction U.S. Highway 16, thence along U.S. Highway 16 to junction Wyoming Highway 59, thence along Wyoming Highway 59 to junction Wyoming Highway 387, thence along Wyoming Highway 387 to junction U.S. Highway 87.

Thence along Wyoming Highway 87 to U.S. Highway 26, thence along U.S. Highway 26 to junction U.S. Highway 89, thence along U.S. Highway 89 to the Idaho-Wyoming State line, and to points in that part of North Dakota on and southwest of a line beginning at the United States-Canada International Boundary line extending along North Dakota Highway 8 to junction North Dakota Highway 5, thence along North Dakota Highway 5 to junction U.S. Highway 52, thence along U.S. Highway 52 to junction North Dakota Highway 15, thence along North Dakota Highway 15 to junction U.S. Highway 281, thence along U.S. Highway 281 to junction North Dakota Highway 9, thence along North Dakota Highway 9 to junction North Dakota Highway 1, thence along North Dakota Highway 1 to junction North Dakota Highway 46, thence along North Dakota Highway 46 to junction North Dakota Highway 32, thence along North Dakota Highway 32 to junction North Dakota Highway 13, thence along North Dakota Highway 13 to junction North Dakota Highway 18, thence along North Dakota Highway 18 to junction North Dakota Highway 11, thence along North Dakota Highway 11 to the North Dakota-Minnesota State line. The purpose of this filing is to eliminate the gateway of Canton, S. Dak.

No. MC 114211 (Sub-No. E883), filed June 4, 1974. Applicant: WARREN TRANSPORT, INC., P.O. Box 420, Waterloo, Iowa 50704. Applicant's representative: Kenneth R. Nelson (same as above). Authority sought to operate as a *common carrier*, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: *Grading, paving, and finishing machinery, equipment, parts, accessories, and attachments*, between points in that part of Colorado on and north of a line beginning at the Colorado-Wyoming State line extending along Interstate Highway 80S to junction U.S. Highway 6, thence along U.S. Highway 6 to the Colorado-Utah State line, on the one hand, and, on the other, points in that part of Indiana on, north, and east of a line beginning at Lake Michigan extending along U.S. Highway 52 to junction Indiana Highway 18, thence along Indiana Highway 18 to junction U.S. Highway 31, thence along U.S. Highway 31 to junction Indiana Highway 28, thence along Indiana Highway 28 to junction U.S. Highway 27, thence along U.S. Highway 27 to junction U.S. Highway 40, thence along U.S. Highway 40 to the Indiana-Ohio State line; points in that part of Ohio on and north of a line beginning at the Indiana-Ohio State line extending along junction U.S. Highway 35 to junction Ohio Highway 48, thence along Ohio Highway 48 to junction U.S. Highway 73, thence along Ohio Highway 73 to junction U.S. Highway 50, thence along U.S. Highway 50 to junction Ohio Highway 41, thence along Ohio Highway 41 to junction Ohio Highway 124, thence along Ohio Highway 124 to junction U.S. Highway 35, thence along U.S. Highway 35 to the Ohio-West Virginia State line; and points in that part of West Virginia on and north of a line beginning at the Ohio-West Virginia State line extending along U.S. Highway 35 to junction West Virginia Highway 2, thence along West Virginia Highway 2 to junction U.S. Highway 33, thence along U.S. Highway 33 to junction West Virginia Highway 28, thence along West Virginia Highway 28 to junction U.S. Highway 220, thence along U.S. Highway 220 to junction U.S. Highway 50, thence along U.S. Highway 50 to the West Virginia-Virginia State line. The purpose of this filing is to eliminate the gateway of Canton, S. Dak.

No. MC 114211 (Sub-No. E884), filed June 4, 1974. Applicant: WARREN TRANSPORT, INC., P.O. Box 420, Waterloo, Iowa 50704. Applicant's representative: Kenneth R. Nelson (same as above). Authority sought to operate as a *common carrier*, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: *Farm machinery and parts thereof* (except commodities the transportation of which, because of size or weight, requires the use of special equipment), from points in Wyoming to points in that part of Minnesota on and north of a line beginning at the North Dakota-Minnesota State line extending along Interstate Highway 94 to junction Minnesota Highway 210, thence along Minnesota High-

way 210 to junction U.S. Highway 61, thence along U.S. Highway 61 to the Wisconsin-Minnesota State line; and to points in that part of Wisconsin on and north of a line beginning at the Minnesota-Wisconsin State line extending along U.S. Highway 53 to junction U.S. Highway 2, thence along U.S. Highway 2 to the Michigan-Wisconsin State line; and to points in that part of Michigan on and north of a line beginning at the Wisconsin-Michigan State line extending along U.S. Highway 2, thence along U.S. Highway 2 to Mackinaw City, Mich., with no transportation for compensation on return except as otherwise authorized. The purpose of this filing is to eliminate the gateways of Nassau, Minn., Fargo, N. Dak., and points in South Dakota.

No. MC 114211 (Sub-No. E885), filed June 4, 1974. Applicant: WARREN TRANSPORT, INC., P.O. Box 420, Waterloo, Iowa 50704. Applicant's representative: Kenneth R. Nelson (same as above). Authority sought to operate as a *common carrier*, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: *Tractors, with or without attachments, tractor attachments and parts of tractors and tractor attachments* when moving in mixed loads with commodities specified above, between all ports of entry on the Gulf Coast between and including New Orleans, La., and Miami, Fla., on the one hand, and, on the other, points in Nebraska, South Dakota, and parts of Colorado on, north, and west of a line beginning at the Kansas-Colorado State line extending along U.S. Highway 50 to junction Colorado Highway 10, thence along Colorado Highway 10 to junction U.S. Highway 160, thence along U.S. Highway 160 to junction U.S. Highway 550, thence along U.S. Highway 550 to the Colorado-New Mexico State line, and points in that part of Kansas on, north, and west of a line beginning at the Kansas-Missouri State line extending along Kansas Highway 68 to junction Interstate Highway 35, thence along Interstate Highway 35 to junction U.S. Highway 54, thence along U.S. Highway 54 to junction U.S. Highway 154, thence along U.S. Highway 154 to junction U.S. Highway 50, thence along U.S. Highway 50 to the Kansas-Colorado State line, restricted to the transportation of commodities in foreign commerce. The purpose of this filing is to eliminate the gateway of Topeka, Kans.

No. MC 114211 (Sub-No. E886), filed June 4, 1974. Applicant: WARREN TRANSPORT, INC., P.O. Box 420, Waterloo, Iowa 50704. Applicant's representative: Kenneth R. Nelson (same as above). Authority sought to operate as a *common carrier*, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: *Tractors, with or without attachments, tractor attachments and parts of tractors and tractor attachments* when moving in mixed loads with commodities specified above, between ports of entry of Baltimore, Md., Philadelphia, Pa., and New York, N.Y., on the one hand, and, on the

other, points in Colorado, Nebraska, Kansas, and points in that part of South Dakota on and west of a line beginning at the Nebraska-South Dakota-Iowa State lines extending along Interstate Highway 29 to junction U.S. Highway 14, thence along U.S. Highway 14 to junction U.S. Highway 81, thence along U.S. Highway 81 to junction South Dakota Highway 20, thence along South Dakota Highway 20 to junction U.S. Highway 281, thence along U.S. Highway 281 to the South Dakota-North Dakota State line, restricted to the transportation of commodities in foreign commerce. The purpose of this filing is to eliminate the gateway of Topeka, Kans.

No. MC 114211 (Sub-No. E887), filed June 4, 1974. Applicant: WARREN TRANSPORT, INC., P.O. Box 420, Waterloo, Iowa 50704. Applicant's representative: Kenneth R. Nelson (same as above). Authority sought to operate as a *common carrier*, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: *Grading, paving, and finishing machinery, equipment, parts, accessories, and attachments*, between points in Minnesota, on the one hand, and, on the other, points in Colorado, New Mexico, and Arizona and points in that part of Wyoming on and south of a line beginning at the Wyoming-South Dakota State line extending along U.S. Highway 16 to junction U.S. Highway 85, thence along U.S. Highway 85 to junction U.S. Highway 20, thence along U.S. Highway 20 to junction Wyoming Highway 789, thence along Wyoming Highway 789 to junction U.S. Highway 287, thence along U.S. Highway 287 to junction Wyoming Highway 28, thence along Wyoming Highway 28 to junction U.S. Highway 287, thence along U.S. Highway 287 to junction Wyoming Highway 30, thence along Wyoming Highway 30 to junction Wyoming Highway 89, thence along Wyoming Highway 89 to the Utah-Wyoming State line; points in that part of Nevada on and south of a line beginning at the Utah-Nevada State line extending along U.S. Highway 40 to junction Nevada Highway 49, thence along Nevada Highway 49 to junction Nevada Highway 48, thence along Nevada Highway 48 to junction Nevada Highway 34, thence along Nevada Highway 34 to junction Nevada Highway 8A, thence along Nevada Highway 8A to the Nevada-California State line.

Points in that part of Oklahoma on and west of a line beginning at the Kansas-Oklahoma State line extending along Oklahoma Highway 18 to junction U.S. Highway 60, thence along U.S. Highway 60 to junction U.S. Highway 177, thence along U.S. Highway 177 to junction Oklahoma Highway 13, thence along Oklahoma Highway 13 to junction Oklahoma Highway 99, thence along Oklahoma Highway 99 to junction U.S. Highway 377, thence along U.S. Highway 377 to the Oklahoma-Texas State line; points in that part of Texas on and southwest of a line beginning at the Texas-Oklahoma State line extending along U.S. Highway 377 to junction U.S.

Highway 380, thence along U.S. Highway 380 to junction Interstate Highway 30, thence along Interstate Highway 30 to junction U.S. Highway 67, thence along U.S. Highway 67 to junction Texas Highway 77, thence along Texas Highway 77 to junction Texas Highway 8, thence along Texas Highway 8 to junction U.S. Highway 59, thence along U.S. Highway 59 to junction U.S. Highway 96, thence along U.S. Highway 96 to junction Texas Highway 63, thence along Texas Highway 63 to the Texas-Louisiana State line; and points in that part of Louisiana on and west of a line beginning at the Texas-Louisiana State line extending along Louisiana Highway 8 to junction U.S. Highway 171, thence along U.S. Highway 171 to junction Louisiana Highway 14, thence along Louisiana Highway 14 to junction Louisiana Highway 27, thence along Louisiana Highway 27 to Creole, La. The purpose of this filing is to eliminate the gateway of Canton, S. Dak.

No. MC 114211 (Sub-No. E888), filed June 4, 1974. Applicant: WARREN TRANSPORT, INC., P.O. Box 420, Waterloo, Iowa 50704. Applicant's representative: Kenneth R. Nelson (same as above). Authority sought to operate as a *common carrier*, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: *Cast iron pipe and fittings* for cast iron pipe, the transportation of which because of size or weight requires special equipment, from points in Wisconsin, Minnesota, and from points in that part of Illinois on and north of a line beginning at the Iowa-Illinois State line extending along U.S. Highway 30 to junction U.S. Highway 51, thence along U.S. Highway 51 to junction Interstate Highway 80, thence along Interstate Highway 80 to junction Illinois Highway 47, thence along Illinois Highway 47 to junction Illinois Highway 17, thence along Illinois Highway 17 to junction Illinois Highway 114, thence along Illinois Highway 114 to the Illinois-Kansas State line, to points in that part of Iowa on, south and west of a line beginning at the Nebraska-Iowa State line extending along U.S. Highway 6 to junction U.S. Highway 59, thence along U.S. Highway 59, to junction U.S. Highway 34, thence along U.S. Highway 34 to junction Ia. Highway 48, thence along Ia. Highway 48 to junction Ia. Highway 2, thence along Ia. Highway 2 to the Iowa-Nebraska State line. The purpose of this filing is to eliminate the gateway of the plant site of Griffin Pipe Products Company at Council Bluffs, Iowa.

No. MC 114211 (Sub-No. E889), filed June 4, 1974. Applicant: WARREN TRANSPORT, INC., P.O. Box 420, Waterloo, Iowa 50704. Applicant's representative: Kenneth R. Nelson (same as above). Authority sought to operate as a *common carrier*, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: *Tractors*, with or without attachments, *tractor attachments*, and *parts* of tractors and tractor attachments when moving in mixed loads with commodities specified above, between all ports of entry on the

United States-Mexico Boundary line between and including El Paso and Brownsville, Tex., on the one hand, and, on the other, points in Minnesota and Iowa, restricted to the transportation of commodities in foreign commerce. The purpose of this filing is to eliminate the gateway of Topeka, Kans.

No. MC 114211 (Sub-No. E890), filed June 4, 1974. Applicant: WARREN TRANSPORT, INC., P.O. Box 420, Waterloo, Iowa 50704. Applicant's representative: Kenneth R. Nelson (same as above). Authority sought to operate as a *common carrier*, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: *Road building equipment*, between points in Wisconsin, on the one hand, and, on the other, points in that part of Oklahoma on and west of a line beginning at the Oklahoma-Kansas State line extending along U.S. Highway 83 to the Oklahoma-Texas State line, and points in that part of Texas on and west of a line beginning at the Oklahoma-Texas State line extending along U.S. Highway 83 to junction Texas Highway 70, thence along Texas Highway 70 to junction Texas Highway 208, thence along Texas Highway 208 to junction Texas 163, thence along Texas 163 to junction U.S. Highway 90, thence along U.S. Highway 90 to junction U.S. Highway 277, thence along U.S. Highway 277 to Eagle Pass, Tex. The purpose of this filing is to eliminate the gateway of Canton, S. Dak., and points in Kansas.

No. MC 114211 (Sub-No. E891), filed June 4, 1974. Applicant: WARREN TRANSPORT, INC., P.O. Box 420, Waterloo, Iowa 50704. Applicant's representative: Kenneth R. Nelson (same as above). Authority sought to operate as a *common carrier*, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: *Tractors*, with or without attachments, *tractor attachments*, and *parts* of tractors and tractor attachments when moving in mixed loads with commodities specified above, between all ports of entry on the United States-Mexico Boundary line between and including San Diego, Calif., and El Paso, Tex., on the one hand, and, on the other points in Minnesota, Iowa, and Illinois, restricted to the transportation of commodities in foreign commerce. The purpose of this filing is to eliminate the gateway of Topeka, Kans.

No. MC 114211 (Sub-No. E892), filed June 4, 1974. Applicant: WARREN TRANSPORT, INC., P.O. Box 420, Waterloo, Iowa 50704. Applicant's representative: Kenneth R. Nelson (same as above). Authority sought to operate as a *common carrier*, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: *Tractors*, with or without attachments, *tractor attachments* when moving in mixed loads with commodities specified above, between all ports of entry on the east coast between and including Norfolk, Va., and Madawasha, Maine, on the one hand, and, on the other, points in Nebraska, Kansas, Colorado and that part of South Dakota on and west of a line beginning at the Nebraska-South Dakota State line extending along Interstate Highway 29 to junction U.S. Highway 14,

thence along U.S. Highway 14 to junction with U.S. Highway 81, thence along U.S. Highway 81 to junction South Dakota Highway 20, thence along South Dakota Highway 20 to junction with U.S. 281, thence along U.S. Highway 281 to the South Dakota-North Dakota State line, restricted to the transportation of commodities in foreign commerce. The purpose of this filing is to eliminate the gateway of Topeka, Kans.

No. MC 114211 (Sub-No. E893), filed June 4, 1974. Applicant: WARREN TRANSPORT, INC., P.O. Box 420, Waterloo, Iowa 50704. Applicant's representative: Kenneth R. Nelson (same as above). Authority sought to operate as a *common carrier*, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: *Tractors*, with or without attachments, *tractor attachments* and *parts* of tractors and tractor attachments when moving in mixed loads with commodities specified above, between ports of entry on the United States-Canada Boundary line between and including Madawasha, Maine, and Detroit, Mich., on the one hand, and, on the other, points in Kansas and Colorado restricted to the transportation of commodities in foreign commerce. The purpose of this filing is to eliminate the gateway of Topeka, Kans.

No. MC 11421 (Sub-No. E894), filed June 4, 1974. Applicant: WARREN TRANSPORT, INC., P.O. Box 420, Waterloo, Iowa 50704. Applicant's representative: Kenneth R. Nelson (same as above). Authority sought to operate as a *common carrier*, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: (1) *Tractors*, with or without attachments, (2) *tractor attachments*, and (3) *parts* of tractors and tractor attachments when moving in mixed loads with commodities specified in (1) and (2), above, between the ports of entry of Port Angelo, Wash., and San Francisco, Calif., on the one hand, and, on the other, points in Illinois and points in that part of Kansas on and east of a line beginning at the Kansas-Oklahoma State line extending along U.S. Highway 281 to junction U.S. Highway 24, thence along U.S. Highway 24 to junction Kansas Highway 181, thence along Kansas Highway 181 to junction U.S. Highway 36, thence along U.S. Highway 36, to junction U.S. Highway 81, thence along U.S. Highway 81 to the Kansas-Nebraska State line and points in that part of Nebraska on and east of a line beginning at the Nebraska-Kansas State line extending along U.S. Highway 81 to junction U.S. Highway 136, thence along U.S. Highway 136 to junction U.S. Highway 77, thence along U.S. Highway 77 to junction U.S. Highway 30 thence along U.S. Highway 30 to the Nebraska-Iowa State line, and points in that part of Iowa on, east and south of a line beginning at the Nebraska-Iowa State line extending along U.S. Highway 30 to junction Interstate Highway 35, thence along Interstate Highway 35 to junction Iowa Highway 3, thence along Iowa Highway 3 to the Iowa-Wisconsin-Illinois State line restricted to the transportation of com-

modities in foreign commerce. The purpose of this filing is to eliminate the gateway of Topeka, Kans.

No. MC 114211 (Sub-No. E895), filed June 4, 1974. Applicant: WARREN TRANSPORT, INC., P.O. Box 420, Waterloo, Iowa 50704. Applicant's representative: Kenneth R. Nelson (same as above). Authority sought to operate as a *common carrier*, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: (1) *Tractors*, with or without attachments, (2) *tractor attachments*, and (3) *parts of tractors and tractor attachments* when moving in mixed loads with commodities specified in (1) and (2) above, between the port of entry of Detroit, Mich., on the one hand, and, on the other, points in that part of Kansas on and west of a line beginning at the Oklahoma-Kansas State line extending along U.S. Highway 75 to junction U.S. Highway 54, thence along U.S. Highway 54 to the Kansas-Missouri State line, and points in Colorado, restricted to the transportation of commodities in foreign commerce. The purpose of this filing is to eliminate the gateway of Topeka, Kans.

No. MC 114211 (Sub-No. E896), filed June 4, 1974. Applicant: WARREN TRANSPORT, INC., P.O. Box 420, Waterloo, Iowa 50704. Applicant's representative: Kenneth R. Nelson (same as above). Authority sought to operate as a *common carrier*, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: (1) *Tractors*, with or without attachments, (2) *tractor attachments*, and (3) *parts of tractors and tractor attachments* when moving in mixed loads with commodities specified in (1) and (2) above, between ports of entry on the United States-Canada International Boundary line and including Pembina, Minn., and Detroit, Mich., on the one hand, and, on the other, points in that part of Colorado on and south of a line beginning at the Colorado-New Mexico State line extending along U.S. Highway 550 to junction U.S. Highway 160, thence along U.S. Highway 160 to junction U.S. Highway 285, thence along U.S. Highway 285 to junction U.S. Highway 50, thence along U.S. Highway 50 to junction Colorado Highway 115, thence along Colorado Highway 115 to junction U.S. Highway 24, thence along U.S. Highway 24 to junction Interstate Highway 70, thence along Interstate Highway 70 to the Colorado-Kansas State line; points in that part of Kansas on and south of a line beginning at the Colorado-Kansas State line extending along U.S. Highway 24 to junction Kansas Highway 9, thence along Kansas Highway 9 to junction U.S. Highway 73, thence along U.S. Highway 73 to junction U.S. Highway 36, thence along U.S. Highway 36 to the Kansas-Missouri State line, thence along the Kansas-Missouri State line to junction U.S. Highway 54, thence along U.S. Highway 54 to junction U.S. Highway 75, thence along U.S. Highway 75 to the Kansas-Oklahoma State line, restricted to the transportation of com-

modities in foreign commerce. The purpose of this filing is to eliminate the gateway of Topeka, Kans.

No. MC 114211 (Sub-No. E897), filed June 4, 1974. Applicant: WARREN TRANSPORT, INC., P.O. Box 420, Waterloo, Iowa 50704. Applicant's representative: Kenneth R. Nelson (same as above). Authority sought to operate as a *common carrier*, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: *Tractors, stationary engines, and attachments* therefor when moving incidental to and in the same vehicle, with tractors and wheels, nor any of the above-specified commodities which, because of their size or weight require the use of special equipment), from points in that part of Kansas on and northwest of a line beginning at the Missouri-Kansas State line extending along U.S. Highway 59 to junction U.S. Highway 159, thence along U.S. Highway 159 to junction Kansas Highway 116, thence along Kansas Highway 116 to junction Kansas Highway 16, thence along Kansas Highway 16 to junction Kansas Highway 63, thence along Kansas Highway 63 to junction U.S. Highway 24, thence along U.S. Highway 24 to junction Kansas Highway 99, thence along Kansas Highway 99 to junction Interstate Highway 70, thence along Interstate Highway 70 to junction U.S. Highway 156, thence along U.S. Highway 156 to junction U.S. Highway 56, thence along U.S. Highway 56 to junction U.S. Highway 183, thence along U.S. Highway 183 to junction U.S. Highway 54, thence along U.S. Highway 54 to the Kansas-Oklahoma State line, and from points in Nebraska and Colorado to points in that part of New York on and east of a line beginning at Silver Creek, N.Y., extending along New York Highway 428 to junction New York Highway 83, thence along New York Highway 83 to junction U.S. Highway 62, thence along U.S. Highway 62 to the New York-Pennsylvania State line;

To points in that part of Pennsylvania on and northeast of a line beginning at the New York-Pennsylvania State line and extending along Pennsylvania Highway 46 to junction Pennsylvania Highway 446, thence along Pennsylvania Highway 446 to junction U.S. Highway 6, thence along U.S. Highway 6 to junction Pennsylvania Highway 44, thence along Pennsylvania Highway 44 to junction Pennsylvania Highway 664, thence along Pennsylvania Highway 664 to junction U.S. Highway 220, thence along U.S. Highway 220 to junction Pennsylvania Highway 120, thence along Pennsylvania Highway 120 to junction Interstate Highway 80, thence along Interstate Highway 80 to junction U.S. Highway 15, thence along U.S. Highway 15 to junction U.S. Highway 209, thence along U.S. Highway 209 to junction Pennsylvania Highway 61, thence along Pennsylvania Highway 61 to junction Pennsylvania Highway 10, thence along Pennsylvania Highway 10 to junction Interstate Highway 76, thence along Interstate Highway 76 to junction Pennsylvania Highway 100, thence along Pennsylvania Highway 100 to junction U.S. Highway 202, thence along U.S.

Highway 202 to the Pennsylvania-Delaware State line and to points in New Jersey, Connecticut, Rhode Island, Massachusetts, Maine, New Hampshire, and Vermont, with no transportation for compensation on return except as otherwise authorized restricted to the transportation of traffic originating at the plant sites and warehouse facilities of Deere and Company. The purpose of this filing is to eliminate the gateways of Dubuque, Iowa, and Horicon, Wis.

No. MC 114211 (Sub-No. E898), filed June 4, 1974. Applicant: WARREN TRANSPORT, INC., P.O. Box 420, Waterloo, Iowa 50704. Applicant's representative: Kenneth R. Nelson (same as above). Authority sought to operate as a *common carrier*, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: *Farm machinery and parts* thereof (except commodities the transportation of which because of size or weight requires the use of special equipment), from points in Oklahoma to points in District of Columbia, Rhode Island, Vermont, New Hampshire, and Maine, with no transportation for compensation on return except as otherwise authorized. The purpose of this filing is to eliminate the gateway of Tulsa, Okla.

No. MC 114211 (Sub-No. E899), filed June 4, 1974. Applicant: WARREN TRANSPORT, INC., P.O. Box 420, Waterloo, Iowa 50704. Applicant's representative: Kenneth R. Nelson (same as above). Authority sought to operate as a *common carrier*, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: (1) *Tractors*, with or without attachments, (2) *tractor attachments*, and (3) *parts of tractors and tractor attachments* when moving in mixed loads with commodities specified in (1) and (2) above, between the port of entry at San Diego, Calif., on the one hand, and, on the other, points in Minnesota, Illinois, and points in that part of Kansas on and east of a line beginning at the Oklahoma-Kansas State line extending along U.S. Highway 77 to junction Kansas Highway 15, thence along Kansas Highway 15 to junction U.S. Highway 81, thence along U.S. Highway 81 to junction Kansas Highway 96, thence along Kansas Highway 96 to junction Kansas Highway 17, thence along Kansas Highway 17 to junction Kansas Highway 61, thence along Kansas Highway 61 to junction U.S. Highway 81, thence along U.S. Highway 81 to junction Kansas Highway 28, thence along Kansas Highway 28 to junction U.S. Highway 36, thence along U.S. Highway 36 to junction Kansas Highway 281, thence along U.S. Highway 281 to the Kansas-Nebraska State line; points in that part of Nebraska on and east of a line beginning at the Nebraska-Kansas State line extending along U.S. Highway 281 to junction U.S. Highway 30, thence along U.S. Highway 30 to junction U.S. Highway 81, thence along U.S. Highway 81 to the Nebraska-South Dakota State line; and points in that part of South Dakota on and east of a line beginning at the South Dakota-Nebraska State line extending along U.S. Highway 81 to

junction Interstate Highway 90, thence along Interstate Highway 90 to junction South Dakota Highway 37, thence along South Dakota Highway 37 to junction U.S. Highway 12, thence along U.S. Highway 12 to junction U.S. Highway 281, thence along U.S. Highway 281 to the South Dakota-North Dakota State line, restricted to the transportation of commodities in foreign commerce. The purpose of this filing is to eliminate the gateway of Tulsa, Okla.

No. MC 114211 (Sub-No. E900), filed June 4, 1974. Applicant: WARREN TRANSPORT, INC., P.O. Box 420, Waterloo, Iowa 50704. Applicant's representative: Kenneth R. Nelson (same as above). Authority sought to operate as a *common carrier*, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: *Self-propelled road building equipment*, each weighing 15,000 pounds or more, between points in Texas, on the one hand, and, on the other, points in that part of Missouri on and west of a line beginning at the Kansas-Missouri State line extending along U.S. Highway 36 to junction U.S. Highway 169, thence along U.S. Highway 169 to the Iowa-Missouri State line, restricted to commodities which are transported on trailers. The purpose of this filing is to eliminate the gateway of points in Kansas and Nebraska.

No. MC 114211 (Sub-No. E902), filed June 4, 1974. Applicant: WARREN TRANSPORT, INC., P.O. Box 420, Waterloo, Iowa 50704. Applicant's representative: Kenneth R. Nelson (same as above). Authority sought to operate as a *common carrier*, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: *Farm machinery and parts thereof* (except commodities, the transportation of which, because of size or weight require the use of special equipment), from points in that part of Nebraska on and south of a line beginning at the Wyoming-Nebraska State line extending along Interstate Highway 80 to junction U.S. Highway 77, thence along U.S. Highway 77 to the Nebraska-Wyoming State line, to points in Indiana and Ohio, with no transportation for compensation on return except as otherwise authorized restricted against movement to oil field locations. The purpose of this filing is to eliminate the gateway of Beatrice, Nebr.

No. MC 114211 (Sub-No. E903), filed June 4, 1974. Applicant: WARREN TRANSPORT, INC., P.O. Box 420, Waterloo, Iowa 50704. Applicant's representative: Kenneth R. Nelson (same as above). Authority sought to operate as a *common carrier*, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: *Farm machinery and parts thereof*, from Racine, Wis., to points in that part of Illinois on and southwest of a line beginning at the Iowa-Illinois State line extending along U.S. Highway 136 to junction Illinois Highway 97, thence along Illinois Highway 97 to junction Illinois Highway 10, thence along Illinois Highway 10 to junction Illinois Highway 121, thence along Illinois Highway 121 to junction Illinois Highway 32,

thence along Illinois Highway 32 to junction U.S. Highway 45, thence along U.S. Highway 45 to junction Illinois Highway 15, thence along Illinois Highway 15 to junction Illinois Highway 130, thence along Illinois Highway 130 to junction Illinois Highway 1, thence along Illinois Highway 1 to junction U.S. Highway 460, thence along U.S. Highway 460 to the Illinois-Indiana State line, with no transportation for compensation on return except as otherwise authorized. The purpose of this filing is to eliminate the gateway of Sangamon County, Ill.

No. MC 114211 (Sub-No. E904), filed June 4, 1974. Applicant: WARREN TRANSPORT, INC., P.O. Box 420, Waterloo, Iowa 50704. Applicant's representative: Kenneth R. Nelson (same as above). Authority sought to operate as a *common carrier*, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: *Agricultural shredders, agricultural sprayers, scalpels, row crop shields, and attachments and parts* for said shredders, sprayers, scalpels, and corn cribs, when moving incidental to and in the same vehicle with said commodities, from Oelwein, Iowa, to points in Texas and New Mexico, with no transportation for compensation on return except as otherwise authorized. The purpose of this filing is to eliminate the gateway of Des Moines, Iowa.

By the Commission.

[SEAL] ROBERT L. OSWALD,
Secretary.

[FR Doc. 75-10079 Filed 4-16-75; 8:45 am]

[Notice No. 30]

MOTOR CARRIER, BROKER, WATER CARRIER AND FREIGHT FORWARDER APPLICATIONS

APRIL 11, 1975.

The following applications (except as otherwise specifically noted, each applicant (on applications filed after March 27, 1972) states that there will be no significant effect on the quality of the human environment resulting from approval of its application), are governed by Special Rule 1100.247¹ of the Commission's general rules of practice (49 CFR, as amended), published in the FEDERAL REGISTER issue of April 20, 1966, effective May 20, 1966. These rules provide, among other things, that a protest to the granting of an application must be filed with the Commission within 30 days after date of notice of filing of the application is published in the FEDERAL REGISTER. Failure seasonably to file a protest will be construed as a waiver of opposition and participation in the proceeding. A protest under these rules should comply with section 247(d) (3) of the rules of practice which requires that it set forth specifically the grounds upon which it is made, contain a detailed statement of protestant's interest in the proceeding (including a copy of the specific portions of its authority which protestant believes to be in conflict with that sought in the application, and describing in detail the method—whether by joinder, interline, or

other means—by which protestant would use such authority to provide all or part of the service proposed), and shall specify with particularity the facts, matters, and things relied upon, but shall not include issues or allegations phrased generally. Protests not in reasonable compliance with the requirements of the rules may be rejected. The original and one (1) copy of the protest shall be filed with the Commission, and a copy shall be served concurrently upon applicant's representative, or applicant if no representative is named. If the protest includes a request for oral hearing, such requests shall meet the requirements of section 247(d) (4) of the special rules, and shall include the certification required therein.

Section 247(f) of the Commission's rules of practice further provides that each applicant shall, if protests to its application have been filed, and within 60 days of the date of this publication, notify the Commission in writing (1) that it is ready to proceed and prosecute the application, or (2) that it wishes to withdraw the application, failure in which the application will be dismissed by the Commission.

Further processing steps (whether modified procedure, oral hearing, or other procedures) will be determined generally in accordance with the Commission's general policy statement concerning motor carrier licensing procedures, published in the FEDERAL REGISTER issue of May 3, 1966. This assignment will be by Commission order which will be served on each party of record. Broadening amendments will not be accepted after the date of this publication except for good cause shown, and restrictive amendments will not be entertained following publication in the FEDERAL REGISTER of a notice that the proceeding has been assigned for oral hearing.

No. MC 151 (Sub-No. 54), filed March 26, 1975. Applicant: LOVELACE TRUCK SERVICE, INC., 2225 Wabash Avenue, Terre Haute, Ind. 47807. Applicant's representative: Walter F. Jones, Jr., 601 Chamber of Commerce Bldg., Indianapolis, Ind. 46204. Authority sought to operate as a *common carrier*, by motor vehicle, over regular routes, transporting: *General commodities* (except those of unusual value, Classes A and B explosives, household goods as defined by the Commission, commodities in bulk, and commodities requiring special equipment): Serving the plantsite of Central Illinois Public Service Company power plant, at or near Newton, Ill., as an off-route point in connection with regular route operations between Terre Haute, Ind., and St. Louis, Mo.

NOTE.—Common control may be involved. If a hearing is deemed necessary, the applicant requests it be held at St. Louis, Mo.; Washington, D.C.; or Indianapolis, Ind.

No. MC 2900 (Sub-No. 274), filed March 21, 1975. Applicant: RYDER TRUCK LINES, INC., 2050 Kings Rd.,

¹ Copies of Special Rule 247 (as amended) can be obtained by writing to the Secretary, Interstate Commerce Commission, Washington, D.C. 20423.

P.O. Box 2408, Jacksonville, Fla. 32203. Applicant's representative: John Carter (same address as applicant). Authority sought to operate as a *common carrier*, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: *Plastic pipe and fittings*, (1) from Tampa, Fla., to points in Alabama, Georgia, North Carolina, and South Carolina; and (2) from Auburn-dale, Fla., to points in the United States in and east of North Dakota, South Dakota, Nebraska, Kansas, Oklahoma, and Texas, restricted to traffic originating at named origins and destined to points in the described territory.

NOTE.—Common control may be involved. If a hearing is deemed necessary, the applicant requests it be held at either Jacksonville, or Tampa, Fla.

No. MC 3062 (Sub-No. 35), filed March 17, 1975. Applicant: L. A. TUCKER TRUCK LINES, INCORPORATED, 321 N. Spring Ave., P.O. Box 1060, Cape Girardeau, Mo. 63701. Applicant's representative: James R. Ponsot (same address as applicant). Authority sought to operate as a *common carrier*, by motor vehicle, over regular routes, transporting: *General commodities* (except those of unusual value, Classes A and B explosives, household goods as defined by the Commission, commodities in bulk, and commodities requiring special equipment), Between Evansville, Ind. and Memphis, Tenn.: (A) From Evansville, Ind. over U.S. Highway 60 to Paducah, Ky., thence over U.S. Highway 45 to Fulton, Ky., thence over U.S. Highway 51 to Memphis, Tenn. and return over the same route, serving no intermediate points; and (B) From Evansville, Ind. over U.S. Highway 60 to Marion, Ky., thence over U.S. Highway 641 to Purchase Parkway, thence over Purchase Parkway to U.S. Highway 51, thence over U.S. Highway 51 to Memphis, Tenn. and return over the same route, serving no intermediate points; (2) Between Evansville, Ind. and Harrisburg, Ill.: (A) From Evansville, Ind. over Indiana Highway 62 to the Indiana-Illinois State Boundary line, thence over Illinois Highway 141 to U.S. Highway 45, thence over U.S. Highway 45 to Harrisburg, Ill. and return over the same route, serving no intermediate points; and (B) From Evansville, Ind. over U.S. Highway 60 to Morganfield, Ky., thence over Kentucky Highway 56 to Kentucky-Illinois State Boundary line, thence over Illinois Highway 13 to Harrisburg, Ill. and return over the same route, serving no intermediate points; (3) Between DuQuoin, Ill. and Pana, Ill.: From DuQuoin, Ill. over U.S. Highway 51 to Pana, Ill. and return over the same route, serving no intermediate points; and (4) Between Arcadia, Mo. and Fredericktown, Mo.: from Arcadia, Mo. over Missouri Highway 72 to Fredericktown, Mo. and return over the same route, serving no intermediate points, (1) through (4) as alternate routes for operating convenience only in connection with carrier's authorized regular route operations.

NOTE.—Common control may be involved. If a hearing is deemed necessary, applicant requests it be held at either St. Louis, Mo., or Memphis, Tenn.

No. MC 16550 (Sub-No. 7), filed March 10, 1975. Applicant: WALTER POTTER, Route 4, Goodlettsville, Tenn. 37072. Applicant's representative: Robert L. Baker, 618 Hamilton Bank Building, Nashville, Tenn. 37219. Authority sought to operate as a *common carrier*, by motor vehicle, over regular routes, transporting: *Movie films, theatre supplies, and automotive parts, supplies and accessories*, (1) Between Hopkinsville, Ky. and Beaver Dam, Ky., serving all intermediate points: From Hopkinsville, Ky., over Kentucky Highway 91 to its junction with U.S. Highway 62 or the Western Kentucky Parkway, thence over U.S. Highway 62 or the Western Kentucky Parkway to their junctions with U.S. Highway 41, thence north over U.S. Highway 41 to its junction with Kentucky Highway 56, thence over Kentucky Highway 56 to its junction with U.S. Highway 41A, thence south over U.S. Highway 41A to its junction with Kentucky Highway 56, thence over Kentucky Highway 56 to its junction with U.S. Highway 60, thence over U.S. Highway 60 to its junction with U.S. Highway 231, thence south over U.S. Highway 231 to Beaver Dam, Ky., and return over the same route, restricted against service at any point in Indiana; (2) Between Morgantown, Ky. and Nashville, Tenn., serving all intermediate points in Kentucky: From Morgantown, Ky., over Kentucky Highway 70 to its junction with U.S. Highway 31W, thence over U.S. Highway 31W to Bowling Green, Ky., thence north over Interstate Highway 65 to its junction with U.S. Highway 68, thence east over U.S. Highway 68 to its junction with U.S. Highway 31E, thence south over U.S. Highway 31E to Nashville, Tenn., and return over the same route; (3) Between Princeton, Ky., and Morganfield, Ky., serving all intermediate points: From Princeton, Ky., over U.S. Highway 621 or Western Kentucky Parkway to junction with U.S. Highway 641, thence over U.S. Highway 641 to junction with U.S. Highway 60, thence over U.S. Highway 60 to Morganfield, Ky., and return over the same route; (4) Between Providence, Ky. and Marion, Ky., serving all intermediate points: From Providence, Ky., over Kentucky Highway 120 to Marion, Ky., and return over the same route; (5) Between Sacramento, Ky. and Owensboro, Ky., serving all intermediate points: From Sacramento, Ky. over Kentucky Highway 81 to Owensboro, Ky., and return over the same route, restricted against service at any point in Indiana; and (6) Between Madisonville, Ky., and Central City, Ky., serving all intermediate points: From Madisonville, Ky. over Kentucky Highway 70 to Central City, Ky., and return over the same route.

NOTE.—If a hearing is deemed necessary, the applicant requests it be held at Nashville, Tenn.

No. MC 19227 (Sub-No. 210), filed March 6, 1975. Applicant: LEONARD BROS. TRUCKING CO., INC., 2515 NW. 20th Street, Miami, Fla. 33152. Applicant's representative: J. Fred Dewhurst (same address as applicant). Authority sought to operate as a *common carrier*, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes,

transporting: *Iron and steel and iron and steel articles*, from points in North Dakota, South Dakota, Minnesota, Iowa and the Chicago, Ill., Commercial Zone as described by the Commission, to the plantsite and facilities of Moridge Mfg., Inc., located at or near Moundridge, Kans.

NOTE.—Common control may be involved. If a hearing is deemed necessary, the applicant requests it be held at Washington, D.C.

No. MC 25798 (Sub-No. 270), filed March 24, 1975. Applicant: CLAY HYDER TRUCKING LINES, INC., P.O. Box 1186, Auburndale, Fla. 33823. Applicant's representative: Tony G. Russell (same address as applicant). Authority sought to operate as a *common carrier*, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: *Foodstuffs* (except in bulk), from Hudson, Iowa, to points in Florida, Georgia, North Carolina and South Carolina, restricted to traffic originating at the plantsite or warehouse facilities of Land O'Lakes, Inc., and destined to be destination states.

NOTE.—Common control may be involved. If a hearing is deemed necessary, the applicant requests it be held at Minneapolis, Minn. or Chicago, Ill.

No. MC 25798 (Sub-No. 271), filed March 24, 1975. Applicant: CLAY HYDER TRUCKING LINES, INC., P.O. Box 1186, Auburndale, Fla. 33823. Applicant's representative: Tony G. Russell (same address as applicant). Authority sought to operate as a *common carrier*, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: *Foodstuffs* (except in bulk), from points in Minnesota and Wisconsin, to points in Florida, Georgia, North Carolina and South Carolina, restricted to traffic originating at the plantsite or facilities of and used by Land O'Lakes, Inc., and destined to the destination states.

NOTE.—Common control may be involved. If a hearing is deemed necessary, the applicant requests it be held at Minneapolis, Minn., or Chicago, Ill.

No. MC 31389 (Sub-No. 196), filed March 20, 1975. Applicant: MCLEAN TRUCKING COMPANY, a Corporation, 617 Woughton Street, Winston-Salem, N.C. 27107. Applicant's representative: David F. Eshelman, P.O. Box 213, Winston-Salem, N.C. 27102. Authority sought to operate as a *common carrier*, by motor vehicle, over regular routes, transporting: *General commodities* (except those of unusual value, Classes A and B explosives, household goods as defined by the Commission, commodities in bulk, and those requiring special equipment); Serving the warehouse and distribution center of Lee Tire & Rubber Co., at or near Frazer, Pa., in conjunction with applicant's regular route operations to and from Philadelphia, Pa.

NOTE.—Common control may be involved. If a hearing is deemed necessary, applicant requests it be held at Philadelphia, Pa., or Washington, D.C.

No. MC 33641 (Sub-No. 118), filed March 24, 1975. Applicant: IML FREIGHT, INC., 2175 South 3270 West, P.O. Box 2277, Salt Lake City, Utah 84110. Applicant's representative: Wil-

liam S. Richards, 1515 Walker Bank Bldg., P.O. Box 2465, Salt Lake City, Utah 84110. Authority sought to operate as a *common carrier*, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: *Silver bullion*, from Garfield, Utah, to St. Louis, Mo.

NOTE.—Common control may be involved. If a hearing is deemed necessary, the applicant requests it be held at Salt Lake City, Utah.

No. MC 35628 (Sub-No. 373), filed March 21, 1975. Applicant: INTERSTATE MOTOR FREIGHT SYSTEM, 134 Grandville SW., Grand Rapids, Mich. 49502. Applicant's representative: Leonard D. Verdier, Jr., 900 Old Kent Building, Grand Rapids, Mich. 49502. Authority sought to operate as a *common carrier*, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: *Meats, packinghouse products, and commodities used by packinghouses*, as described in Appendix I to the report in *Descriptions in Motor Carrier Certificates*, 61 M.C.C. 209 and 766 (except hides and commodities in bulk), between Wichita, Kans., on the one hand, and, on the other, points in Arkansas, Connecticut, Colorado, Delaware, Illinois, Indiana, Kentucky, Maryland, Michigan, Minnesota, Nebraska, New Jersey, New York, Ohio, Oklahoma, Pennsylvania, Rhode Island, South Dakota, West Virginia, and Wisconsin, restricted to traffic either originating at or destined to, Wichita, Kans.

NOTE.—If a hearing is deemed necessary, the applicant requests it be held at Wichita, Kans., or Chicago, Ill.

No. MC 42212 (Sub-No. 6), filed March 26, 1975. Applicant: HARDER S EXPRESS, INC., Route 9-H, Claverack, N.Y. 12513. Applicant's representative: Micheal R. Werner, 2 West 45th Street, New York, N.Y. 10036. Authority sought to operate as a *common carrier*, by motor vehicle, over regular routes, transporting: *General commodities* (except those of unusual value, Classes A and B explosives, household goods as defined by the Commission, commodities in bulk, and commodities requiring special equipment); Serving Newtown, Conn., as an off-route point in connection with its regular-route operations.

NOTE.—If a hearing is deemed necessary, applicant requests it be held at New York, N.Y.

No. MC 42487 (Sub-No. 833), filed March 14, 1975. Applicant: CONSOLIDATED FREIGHTWAYS CORPORATION, OF DELAWARE, a Corporation, 175 Linfield Drive, Menlo Park, Calif. 94025. Applicant's representative: E. T. Lipfert, Suite 1100-1660 L Street NW., Washington, D.C. 20036. Authority sought to operate as a *common carrier*, by motor vehicle, over regular routes, transporting: *General commodities* (except those of unusual value, Classes A and B explosives, livestock, green hides, household goods as defined by the Commission, commodities in bulk, and commodities requiring special equipment), serving points in Connecticut as off route

points in connection with carrier's presently authorized regular route operations to and from points in Connecticut.

NOTE.—Applicant holds irregular route authority in MC 42487 (Sub-No. 819) in all points in Connecticut via gateways at Hartford, East Hartford, and West Hartford, Conn. The purpose of this application is the elimination of the above described gateways and the conversion of said authority to off-route authority. Common control may be involved. If a hearing is deemed necessary, the applicant requests it be held at Washington, D.C.

No. MC 61592 (Sub-No. 346), filed March 24, 1975. Applicant: JENKINS TRUCK LINE, INC., P.O. Box 697, R.R. 3, Jeffersville, Ind. 47130. Applicant's representative: E. A. DeVine, P.O. Box 737, 101 First Avenue, Moline, Ill. 61265. Authority sought to operate as a *common carrier*, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: *Building materials* (except commodities in bulk), from Las Vegas, Nev., to Paramount and Orange, Calif.

NOTE.—Common control may be involved. If a hearing is deemed necessary, the applicant requests it be held at Los Angeles, Calif.

No. MC 82492 (Sub-No. 120), filed March 13, 1975. Applicant: MICHIGAN & NEBRASKA TRANSIT CO., INC., P.O. Box 2853, 2109 Olmstead Road, Kalamazoo, Mich. 49003. Applicant's representative: William C. Harris (same address as applicant). Authority sought to operate as a *common carrier*, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: *Foodstuffs* (except commodities in bulk), from Hudson, Iowa, to Toledo and Maumee, Ohio and points in Michigan, restricted to traffic originating at the plantsites and facilities utilized by Land O'Lakes, Inc. at the above named origin and destined to the above named destinations.

NOTE.—If a hearing is deemed necessary, applicant requests it be held at St. Paul, Minn.; Chicago, Ill.; or Washington, D.C.

No. MC 95876 (Sub-No. 168), filed March 24, 1975. Applicant: ANDERSON TRUCKING SERVICE, INC., 203 Cooper Avenue North, St. Cloud, Minn. 56301. Applicant's representative: Val M. Higgins, 1000 First National Bank Building, Minneapolis, Minn. 55402. Authority sought to operate as a *common carrier*, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: (1) *Precast stone*, and (2) *materials and supplies* used in the installation of precast stone, from points in Napa County, Calif., to points in Connecticut, Delaware, Idaho, Illinois, Indiana, Iowa, Kentucky, Maine, Maryland, Massachusetts, Michigan, Minnesota, Montana, Nebraska, New Hampshire, New Jersey, New York, North Dakota, Ohio, Oregon, Pennsylvania, Rhode Island, South Dakota, Tennessee, Vermont, Virginia, Washington, West Virginia, Wisconsin, Wyoming, and the District of Columbia.

NOTE.—Common control may be involved. If a hearing is deemed necessary, the applicant requests it be held at San Francisco, Calif.

No. MC 95876 (Sub-No. 169), filed March 24, 1975. Applicant: ANDERSON TRUCKING SERVICE, INC., 203 Cooper Avenue North, St. Cloud, Minn. 56301. Applicant's representative: Val M. Higgins, 1000 First National Bank Building, Minneapolis, Minn. 55402. Authority sought to operate as a *common carrier*, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: *Composition board*, from ports of entry on the International Boundary line between the United States and Canada, located at or near Grand Portage and International Falls, Minn., to points in Illinois, Indiana, Iowa, Michigan, Missouri, Nebraska, North Dakota, New York, Ohio, Pennsylvania, South Dakota, and Wisconsin.

NOTE.—Common control may be involved. If a hearing is deemed necessary, the applicant requests it be held at Minneapolis, Minn.

No. MC 96855 (Sub-No. 6), filed March 24, 1975. Applicant: REFRIGERATED DELIVERY SERVICE, INC., 45 South Fulton, P.O. Box 50247, Tulsa, Okla. 74150. Applicant's representative: V. Langenberg (same address as applicant). Authority sought to operate as a *common carrier*, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: *Such general merchandise* as is dealt in by wholesale, retail, and chain grocery and food business houses (except commodities in bulk), in vehicles equipped with mechanical refrigeration, restricted to traffic having a prior or subsequent movement in interstate or foreign commerce, between points in Oklahoma.

NOTE.—If a hearing is deemed necessary, the applicant requests it be held at Oklahoma City, Okla.

No. MC 103191 (Sub-No. 49), filed March 27, 1975. Applicant: THE GEO. A. RHEMAN CO., INC., 2019 Elgin Street, P.O. Box 2095, Station A, Charleston, S.C. 29403. Applicant's representative: Harris G. Andrews, P.O. Box 4255, Greenville, S.C. 29608. Authority sought to operate as a *common carrier*, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: *Petroleum products*, in bulk, in tank vehicles, (1) from points in North Carolina, to points in Georgia and South Carolina; (2) from points in South Carolina to points in Georgia, North Carolina, and South Carolina; and (3) from points in Georgia to points in North Carolina and South Carolina (except from Belton, and Camp Croft, S.C., and Wilmington, N.C.).

NOTE.—Common control may be involved. If a hearing is deemed necessary, the applicant does not specify a location.

No. MC 105501 (Sub-No. 13), filed March 26, 1975. Applicant: TERMINAL WAREHOUSE COMPANY, a Corporation, 1851 Raddison Road NE., Blaine, Minn. 55434. Applicant's representative: Joseph J. Dudley, W-1260 First National Bank Bldg., Saint Paul, Minn. 55101. Authority sought to operate as a *common carrier*, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: *General commodities* (except Class A and B explosives,

those of unusual value, livestock, household goods as defined by the Commission, commodities in bulk, and those requiring special equipment), from points in Minnesota, to St. Paul and Minneapolis, Minn., restricted to shipments which will receive an immediate subsequent movement by rail.

NOTE.—If a hearing is deemed necessary, applicant requests it be held at either St. Paul or Minneapolis, Minn.

No. MC 106407 (Sub-No. 30), filed March 6, 1975. Applicant: T. E. MERCER TRUCKING CO., a Corporation, 920 N. Main Street, P.O. Box 1809, Fort Worth, Tex. 76101. Applicant's representative: Clayte Binion, 1108 Continental Life Building, Fort Worth, Tex. 76102. Authority sought to operate as a *common carrier*, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: *Copper articles*, from the plantsites and warehouses of American Smelting & Refining Company located in Potter County, Tex., to points in the United States, including Alaska but excluding Hawaii.

NOTE.—If a hearing is deemed necessary, applicant requests it be held at Dallas or Houston, Tex.

No. MC 106433 (Sub-No. 8), filed March 20, 1975. Applicant: ANTRIM TRANSPORTATION CO., INC., 7-11 Suffern Place, Suffern, N.Y. 10901. Applicant's representative: John L. Alfano, 550 Mamaroneck Avenue, Harrison, N.Y. 10528. Authority sought to operate as a *common carrier*, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: *Materials, supplies and equipment* used in the production, packaging and distribution of malt beverages (except in bulk), from points in Connecticut, Maryland, New Jersey, New York, Ohio, Pennsylvania, and Virginia, to New York, N.Y.; Baltimore, Md.; and Fogelsville, Pa.

NOTE.—Common control and dual operations may be involved. If a hearing is deemed necessary, the applicant requests it be held at New York, N.Y.

No. MC 106743 (Sub-No. 13), filed March 26, 1975. Applicant: LOFTIN'S TRANSFER & STORAGE CO., INC., 4081 Ross Clark Circle NW, Dothan, Ala. 36301. Applicant's representative: R. S. Richard, 57 Adams Avenue, P.O. Box 2069, Montgomery, Ala. 36103. Authority sought to operate as a *common carrier*, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: *Used household goods, and unaccompanied baggage*, between points in Calhoun, Clay, Cleburne, Cherokee, Etowah, Randolph, St. Clair, Talladega, and De Kalb Counties, Ala., restricted to the transportation of traffic having a prior or subsequent movement, in containers, beyond the points authorized, and to the performance of pick-up and delivery service in connection with packing, crating, and containerization, or unpacking, uncrating, and decontainerization of such traffic.

NOTE.—If a hearing is deemed necessary, the applicant requests it be held at either Birmingham or Montgomery, Ala.

No. MC 106920 (Sub-No. 60), filed March 7, 1975. Applicant: RIGGS FOOD

EXPRESS, INC., P.O. Box 26, West Monroe Street, New Bremen, Ohio 45869. Applicant's representative: E. Stephen Heisley, Suite 805, 666 11th St. NW., Washington, D.C. 20001. Authority sought to operate as a *common carrier*, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: *Plastic articles; burlap articles; paper articles; and materials, equipment and supplies*, used or useful in the sale, manufacture and distribution of plastic articles, burlap articles, and paper articles (except commodities in bulk), from Garden City, Kans., to points in Ohio, Kentucky, Tennessee, Michigan, Arkansas, Missouri, West Virginia, Indiana, Illinois, Wisconsin, Minnesota, North Dakota, South Dakota, Iowa, Nebraska, Kansas, Oklahoma, Colorado, Virginia, Florida, Maine, New Hampshire, Vermont, New York, Connecticut, Massachusetts, Mississippi, Rhode Island, Delaware, Maryland, Pennsylvania, Alabama, North Carolina, South Carolina, Georgia, and the District of Columbia.

NOTE.—If a hearing is deemed necessary, the applicant requests it be held at Newark, N.J.

No. MC 106920 (Sub-No. 61), filed March 10, 1975. Applicant: RIGGS FOOD EXPRESS, INC., P.O. Box 26, West Monroe Street, New Bremen, Ohio 45869. Applicant's representative: E. Stephen Heisley, Suite 805, 666 Eleventh Street NW., Washington, D.C. 20001. Authority sought to operate as a *common carrier*, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: *Foodstuffs* (except in bulk), from Decatur, Ind., to points in Alabama, Connecticut, Delaware, Florida, Georgia, Illinois, Kansas, Kentucky, Maine, Maryland, Massachusetts, Minnesota, Mississippi, Missouri, New Hampshire, New Jersey, New York, North Carolina, Ohio, Pennsylvania, Rhode Island, South Carolina, Tennessee, Vermont, Virginia, West Virginia, Wisconsin, and the District of Columbia.

NOTE.—If a hearing is deemed necessary, the applicant requests it be held at Washington, D.C.

No. MC 107818 (Sub-No. 76), filed March 20, 1975. Applicant: GREENSTEIN TRUCKING COMPANY, a Corporation, 280 NW. 12th Avenue, P.O. Box 608, Pompano Beach, Fla. 33061. Applicant's representative: Martin Sack, Jr., 1754 Gulf Life Tower, Jacksonville, Fla. 32207. Authority sought to operate as a *common carrier*, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: *Foodstuffs* (except commodities in bulk), from points in Minnesota and Wisconsin, to points in Florida, restricted to transportation of traffic for the account of Land O'Lakes, Inc., originating at plantsites and facilities of and used by Land O'Lakes, and destined to the above named destinations.

NOTE.—If a hearing is deemed necessary, applicant requests it be held at Minneapolis, Minn.

No. MC 107818 (Sub-No. 77), filed March 20, 1975. Applicant: GREENSTEIN TRUCKING COMPANY, a Corporation, 280 NW. 12th Avenue, P.O. Box 608, Pompano Beach, Fla. 33061. Appli-

cant's representative: Martin Sack, Jr., 1754 Gulf Life Tower, Jacksonville, Fla. 32207. Authority sought to operate as a *common carrier*, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: *Foodstuffs* (except commodities in bulk), from Hudson, Iowa, to points in Florida, restricted to transportation of traffic for the account of Land O'Lakes, Inc., originating at plantsites and facilities of and used by Land O'Lakes, Inc., and destined to the above named destinations.

NOTE.—If a hearing is deemed necessary, applicant requests it be held at Minneapolis, Minn.

No. MC 108449 (Sub-No. 385), filed March 10, 1975. Applicant: INDIAN-HEAD TRUCK LINE, INC., 1947 West County Rd., C, St. Paul, Minn. 55113. Applicant's representative: W. A. Myllenbeck (same address as applicant). Authority sought to operate as a *common carrier*, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: (1) *Liquid animal feed and liquid animal feed supplements*, in bulk, from the plantsite of Land O'Lakes, Inc., at or near Clarence, Iowa, to points in Illinois, Iowa, Minnesota, Nebraska, North Dakota, South Dakota, and Wisconsin; (2) *fly ash*, from points in Buffalo, and Grant Counties, Wis., to points in Iowa, Illinois, Minnesota, Nebraska, North Dakota, South Dakota, Upper Peninsula of Michigan and Wisconsin; and (3) *dry catalyst*, as used by petroleum refiners, in bulk, between Pine Bend, Minn., Wrenshall, Minn., Superior, Wis., and Mandan, N. Dak.

NOTE.—Common control may be involved. If a hearing is deemed necessary, the applicant requests it be held at either St. Paul, Minn., or Chicago, Ill.

No. MC 108523 (Sub-No. 7), filed March 27, 1975. Applicant: POLMAN TRANSFER, INC., Rt. 3, Wadena, Minn. 56482. Applicant's representative: Robert P. Sack, P.O. Box 6010, West St. Paul, Minn. 55118. Authority sought to operate as a *contract carrier*, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: (1) *Pet foods*, from the facilities of Tuffy's Division of Star-Kist Foods, Inc., at or near Perham, Minn., to points in Texas, Oklahoma, Kansas, Arkansas, Louisiana, Mississippi, Alabama, Georgia, Tennessee, Kentucky, South Carolina, North Carolina, Florida, Ohio, West Virginia, Virginia, Maryland, Delaware, Pennsylvania, New Jersey, New York, New Hampshire, Vermont, Rhode Island, Maine, Massachusetts, Connecticut, and the District of Columbia; and (2) *materials, supplies, and equipment and ingredients*, used in the manufacture and distribution of pet foods (except commodities in bulk), from points in New York, New Jersey, Maryland, Delaware, Pennsylvania, South Carolina, and North Carolina, to the facilities of Star-Kist Foods, Inc., at or near Perham, Minn., under contract with Tuffy's Division of Star-Kist Foods, Inc.

NOTE.—Applicant holds common carrier authority in MO 116227, therefore dual operations may be involved. If a hearing is deemed necessary, the applicant requests it be held at Minneapolis, Minn.

No. MC 110420 (Sub-No. 733), filed March 24, 1975. Applicant: QUALITY CARRIERS, INC., P.O. Box 186, Pleasant Prairie, Wis. 53158. Applicant's representative: John Sims, Jr., 915 Pennsylvania Bldg., 425 13th Street NW., Washington, D.C. 20004. Authority sought to operate as a *common carrier*, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: (1) *Fuel oil*, in bulk, in tank vehicles, from Lawrenceburg, Ind., to the plant-site and warehouse facilities of Northern Chemical Co., located at West Chicago, Ill.; (2) *beverage base, beverages, beverage concentrate, fruit emulsions, and fruit juices*, in bulk, in tank vehicles, from Chicago, Ill., to Lawrence, Kans., and points in its Commercial Zone; and (3) *paint, stain varnish or lacquer*, in bulk, in tank vehicles, from Oak Creek, Wis., to points in Louisiana.

NOTE.—Common control may be involved. If a hearing is deemed necessary, the applicant requests it be held at Chicago, Ill.

No. MC 110988 (Sub-No. 319), filed March 24, 1975. Applicant: SCHNEIDER TANK LINES, INC., 200 West Cecil Street, Neenah, Wis. 54956. Applicant's representative: Neil A. DuJardin, P.O. Box 2298, Green Bay, Wis. 54306. Authority sought to operate as a *common carrier*, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: *Chemicals*, in bulk, in tank or hopper-type vehicles, from Waukegan, Ill., to points in the United States (except Alaska and Hawaii).

NOTE.—Common control may be involved. If a hearing is deemed necessary, the applicant requests it be held at Chicago, Ill.

No. MC 113974 (Sub-No. 51), filed Feb. 24, 1975. Applicant: PITTSBURGH & NEW ENGLAND TRUCKING CO., a Corporation, 211 Washington Avenue, Dravosburg, Pa. 15034. Applicant's representative: F. R. Hiller (same address as applicant). Authority sought to operate as a *common carrier*, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: (1) *Sheet metal products, and equipment, materials, and supplies*, used in the installation of sheet metal products, from the facilities of Acme Manufacturing Company, at or near Peoria, Ill., to Philadelphia, Pa., and points in Minnesota, Iowa, Nebraska, Kansas, Oklahoma, Texas, Wisconsin, Missouri, Arkansas, Louisiana, Indiana, Michigan, Ohio, Kentucky, Tennessee, Alabama, Mississippi, North Carolina, South Carolina, Virginia, Georgia, Florida, and the District of Columbia; and (2) *materials*, used in the manufacture of sheet metal products, from Philadelphia, Pa., to the facilities of Acme Manufacturing Co., at or near Peoria, Ill.

NOTE.—Common control may be involved. If a hearing is deemed necessary, applicant requests it be held at Washington, D.C., or Philadelphia, Pa.

No. MC 114019 (Sub-No. 260), filed March 24, 1975. Applicant: MIDWEST EMERY FREIGHT SYSTEMS, INC., 7000 South Pulaski Road, Chicago, Ill. 60629. Applicant's representative: Arnold L. Burke, Suite 1133, 127 North Dearborn Street, Chicago, Ill. 60602. Author-

ity sought to operate as a *common carrier*, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: *Unfrozen foodstuffs* (except in bulk), from Owensboro, Ky., to points in Colorado, Illinois, Indiana, Iowa, Kansas, Michigan, Minnesota, Missouri, Nebraska, North Dakota, Ohio, South Dakota, and Wisconsin, restricted to traffic originating at the plantsite of Ragu Foods, Inc., at Owensboro, Ky., and destined to points in the named destination states.

NOTE.—Common control may be involved. If a hearing is deemed necessary, the applicant requests it be held at New York, N.Y.

No. MC 114045 (Sub-No. 416), filed March 21, 1975. Applicant: TRANS-COLD EXPRESS, INC., P.O. Box 5842, Dallas, Tex. 75222. Applicant's representative: Arnold L. Burke, 127 North Dearborn Street, Chicago, Ill. 60602. Authority sought to operate as a *common carrier*, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: *Unfrozen foodstuffs* (except in bulk), from Owensboro, Ky., to points in Arizona, Arkansas, California, Colorado, Louisiana, Nevada, New Mexico, Oklahoma, Texas, and Utah, restricted to traffic originating at the plantsite of Ragu Foods, Inc., located at Owensboro, Ky., and destined to points in the named destination states.

NOTE.—Common control may be involved. If a hearing is deemed necessary, the applicant requests it be held at New York, N.Y.

No. MC 114045 (Sub-No. 417), filed March 26, 1975. Applicant: TRANS-COLD EXPRESS, INC., P.O. Box 5842, Dallas, Tex. 75222. Applicant's representative: J. B. Stuart (same address as applicant). Authority sought to operate as a *common carrier*, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: *Chemicals*, in containers, in vehicles equipped with mechanical refrigeration, from the plantsite of The Upjohn Company, at Houston, Tex., to points in South Carolina.

NOTE.—Common control may be involved. If a hearing is deemed necessary, applicant requests it be held at either Houston or Dallas, Tex.

No. MC 114457 (Sub-No. 225), filed March 13, 1975. Applicant: DART TRANSIT COMPANY, a corporation, 780 N. Prior Avenue, St. Paul, Minn. 55104. Applicant's representative: Michael P. Zell (same address as applicant). Authority sought to operate as a *common carrier*, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: *Foodstuffs* (except commodities in bulk), from Hudson, Iowa, to points in Michigan, Indiana, Ohio, and Illinois, restricted to transportation of traffic for the account of Land O'Lakes, Inc., originating at plantsites and facilities of and used by Land O'Lakes, Inc., and destined to the above named destinations.

NOTE.—If a hearing is deemed necessary, applicant requests it be held at St. Paul, Minn., or Chicago, Ill.

No. MC 116763 (Sub-No. 309), filed March 20, 1975. Applicant: CARL SUB-LER TRUCKING, INC., North West

Street, Versailles, Ohio 45380. Applicant's representative: H. M. Richters (same address as applicant). Authority sought to operate as a *common carrier*, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: *Meat, meat products, meat by-products, and articles distributed by meat packinghouses* as described in Sections A and C of Appendix I in *Descriptions in Motor Carrier Certificates*, 61 M.C.C. 209 and 766, and *foodstuffs* (except hides and commodities in bulk) (1) from the plant site and/or warehouse facilities utilized by Geo. A. Hormel & Co., at or near Ottumwa, Iowa, to points in Alabama, Arkansas, Delaware, District of Columbia, Florida, Georgia, Indiana, Kentucky, Louisiana, Maryland, Michigan, Mississippi, New Jersey, New York, North Carolina, Ohio, Pennsylvania, South Carolina, Tennessee, Virginia, and West Virginia; and (2) from the destination states in part (1) above to the plantsite and/or warehouse facilities utilized by Geo. A. Hormel & Co., at or near Ottumwa, Iowa, restricted in (1) and (2) above to traffic originating at the above named origins, and destined to the above-named destinations.

NOTE.—If a hearing is deemed necessary, the applicant requests it be held at St. Paul, Minn.

No. MC 116763 (Sub-No. 311), filed March 27, 1975. Applicant: CARL SUB-LER TRUCKING, INC., North West Street, Versailles, Ohio, 45380. Applicant's representative: H. M. Richters (same address as applicant). Authority sought to operate as a *common carrier*, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: *Frozen Foods*, from the plantsite and facilities of Roman Meal, at or near Decatur, Ind., to points in Alabama, Arkansas, Florida, Georgia, Kansas, Kentucky, Louisiana, Mississippi, Missouri, North Carolina, Oklahoma, South Carolina, Tennessee, and Texas, restricted to traffic originating at the named facilities, and destined to the named destinations.

NOTE.—If a hearing is deemed necessary, applicant requests it be held at Columbus, Ohio.

No. MC 116791 (Sub-No. 25), filed March 21, 1975. Applicant: FARMERS ELEVATOR OF KENSINGTON, MINNESOTA, INC., Kensington, Minn. 56343. Applicant's representative: F. H. Kroeger, 1745 University Ave., St. Paul, Minn. 55104. Authority sought to operate as a *common carrier*, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: *Animal and poultry feeds*, from New Richmond, Wis., to points in Cottonwood, Jackson, Lincoln, Lyon, Murray, Nobles, Pipestone, Redwood, and Rock Counties, Minn.

NOTE.—If a hearing is deemed necessary, the applicant requests it be held at Minneapolis, Minn.

No. MC 117068 (Sub-No. 43) (Correction), filed February 14, 1975, published in the FEDERAL REGISTER issue of March 27, 1975, and republished as corrected this issue. Applicant: MIDWEST SPECIALIZED TRANSPORTATION, INC.,

P.O. Box 6418, North Highway 63, Rochester, Minn. 55901. Applicant's representative: Paul F. Sullivan, 711 Washington Bldg., Washington, D.C. 20005. Authority sought to operate as a *common carrier*, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: (a) *Compressors*; (b) *cranes and excavators*; (c) *paving, road construction and maintenance machinery, and equipment*; and (d) *rock drills*, from Michigan City, Ind., Lexington and Bowling Green, Ky., Mattoon, Ill., and Claremont, N.H., to points in Minnesota.

NOTE.—The purpose of this republication is to correct the origin territory of Michigan City, Mich., which was published in error. If a hearing is deemed necessary, the applicant requests it be held at Chicago, Ill., or Minneapolis, Minn.

No. MC 117686 (Sub-No. 154), filed March 20, 1975. Applicant: HIRSCHBACH MOTOR LINES, INC., 5000 South Lewis Blvd., P.O. Box 417, Sioux City, Iowa 51101. Applicant's representative: George L. Hirschbach (same address as applicant). Authority sought to operate as a *common carrier*, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: (1) *Meat, meat products, meat by-products, articles distributed by meat packing plants and foodstuffs* (except hides and commodities in bulk), from the plantsite and/or warehouse facilities utilized by Geo. A. Hormel & Co., at or near Ottumwa, Iowa, to points in Alabama, Arizona, California, Georgia, Louisiana, Mississippi, Nevada, Oregon, Texas, Utah, and Washington, restricted to traffic originating at the above-named origin and destined to the above-named states; and (2) *meat, meat products, meat by-products, articles distributed by meat packing plants, foodstuffs, packing plant materials, equipment and supplies* (except hides and commodities in bulk), from points in Alabama, Arizona, California, Georgia, Louisiana, Mississippi, Nevada, Oregon, Texas, Utah, and Washington, to the plantsite and/or warehouse facilities utilized by Geo. A. Hormel & Co., at or near Ottumwa, Iowa, restricted to traffic originating at the above-named states and destined to the above-named destinations.

NOTE.—If a hearing is deemed necessary, the applicant requests it be held at St. Paul, Minn.

No. MC 117765 (Sub-No. 188), filed March 20, 1975. Applicant: HAHN TRUCK LINE, INC., 5315 NW, Fifth Street, Oklahoma City, Okla. 73107. Applicant's representative: R. E. Hagan (same address as applicant). Authority sought to operate as a *common carrier*, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: *Fruit juices, fruit punches, and fruit flavored drinks* (except bulk commodities), from the plantsite of Milk Producers Marketing Company located at or near Lawrence, Kans., to points in Arkansas, Colorado, Missouri, Montana, Nebraska, New Mexico, North Dakota, Oklahoma, South Dakota, and Wyoming, restricted to the transportation of

shipments originating at the above-named origin point.

NOTE.—If a hearing is deemed necessary, the applicant requests it be held at Oklahoma City, Okla.

No. MC 118431 (Sub-No. 21), filed March 18, 1975. Applicant: DENVER SOUTHWEST EXPRESS, INC., P.O. Box 9950, Little Rock, Ark. 72209. Applicant's representative: David R. Parker, P.O. Box 82028, Lincoln, Nebr. 68501. Authority sought to operate as a *contract carrier*, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: *Wire, cable, power cords and reels*, between Lawrenceburg, Ky., on the one hand, and, on the other, points in Arkansas, California, Florida, Illinois, Indiana, Massachusetts, Missouri, Nebraska, New Jersey, Pennsylvania, South Carolina, Texas, Vermont, and Virginia, restricted to traffic originating at or destined to the plantsites and facilities utilized by General Cable Corporation, under a continuing contract or contracts with General Cable Corporation.

NOTE.—If a hearing is deemed necessary, the applicant requests it be held at New York, N.Y., or Washington, D.C.

No. MC 118610 (Sub-No. 26), filed March 20, 1975. Applicant: L & B EXPRESS, INC., P.O. Box 137, Madisonville, Ky. 42431. Applicant's representative: Fred F. Bradley, P.O. Box 773, Frankfort, Ky. 40601. Authority sought to operate as a *common carrier*, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: (1) *Motor graders, road making, construction and earth moving machinery and equipment and components and parts*, for such commodities; and (2) *material, equipment, and supplies*, used in the manufacture of the commodities in (1) above, from points in the United States (except Alaska and Hawaii), to Owensboro, Ky., restricted to traffic destined to Owensboro, Ky.

NOTE.—If a hearing is deemed necessary, applicant requests it be held at either Frankfort or Louisville, Ky., or Nashville, Tenn.

No. MC 119384 (Sub-No. 28), filed November 18, 1974. Applicant: MORTON TRUCK LINES, INC., 101 West Willis Avenue, Perry, Iowa 50220. Applicant's representative: Robert R. Rydell, 900 Savings and Loan Building, Des Moines, Iowa 50309. Authority sought to operate as a *common carrier*, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: *Agricultural twine and baler wire*, from Kenosha, Wis., to points in Iowa, Missouri, Kansas, and Minnesota.

NOTE.—If a hearing is deemed necessary, the applicant requests it be held at Des Moines, Iowa, or Chicago, Ill.

No. MC 119493 (Sub-No. 137), filed March 24, 1975. Applicant: MONKEM COMPANY, INC., West 20th Street Road, P.O. Box 1196, Joplin, Mo. 64801. Applicant's representative: Jack J. Knotts, Jr. (same address as applicant). Authority sought to operate as a *common carrier*, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: (1) *Manufactured*

animal and poultry feeds and ingredients (except in bulk), (a) from Muscatine, Iowa, to points in the United States (except Alaska, Hawaii, Arkansas, Louisiana, Mississippi, Illinois, Tennessee, and Missouri); and (b) from Joplin, Mo., to points in the United States (except Iowa, Nebraska, Kansas, Oklahoma, Arkansas, Louisiana, Mississippi, Tennessee, Indiana, Minnesota, Texas, Colorado, Arizona, Illinois, Alaska, and Hawaii); and (2) *empty bags, and empty bags in mixed shipments of manufactured animal and poultry feeds and ingredients* (except in bulk), from Joplin, Mo., to Muscatine, Iowa.

NOTE.—If a hearing is deemed necessary, applicant requests it be held at Kansas City, Mo., or Tulsa, Okla.

No. MC 119641 (Sub-No. 128), filed March 19, 1975. Applicant: RINGLE EXPRESS, INC., 450 East 9th Street, Fowler, Ind. 47944. Applicant's representative: Robert C. Smith, P.O. Box 2278, Colee Station, Fort Lauderdale, Fla. 33303. Authority sought to operate as a *common carrier*, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: *Tractors* (except truck tractors), *agricultural implements, farm machinery and attachments* for the aforementioned commodities, from Tarboro, N.C., to points in Illinois, Indiana, Iowa, Minnesota, Missouri, Nebraska, Wisconsin, and Memphis, Tenn., restricted to shipments originating at the plant site and warehouse facilities of Long Manufacturing Co., Inc., at or near Tarboro, N.C.

NOTE.—If a hearing is deemed necessary, the applicant requests it be held at either Chicago, Ill., or Washington, D.C.

No. MC 119669 (Sub-No. 52), filed March 17, 1975. Applicant: TEMPCO TRANSPORTATION, INC., 546 South 31A, P.O. Box 886, Columbus, Ind. 47201. Applicant's representative: Jack H. Blanshan, 29 South LaSalle Street, Chicago, Ill. 60603. Authority sought to operate as a *common carrier*, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: *Meat, meat products, meat by-products, and articles distributed by meat packinghouses*, as described in Sections A and C of Appendix I to the report in *Descriptions in Motor Carrier Certificates*, 61 M.C.C. 209 and 766 (except hides and commodities in bulk), from the plantsite and storage facilities of or utilized by Farmland Foods, Inc., located at or near Crete, Nebr., to points in Alabama, Arkansas, Delaware, Florida, Georgia, Kansas, Kentucky, Louisiana, Maryland, Mississippi, North Carolina, Oklahoma, South Carolina, Tennessee, Texas, Virginia, West Virginia, and the District of Columbia, restricted to the transportation of traffic originating at the above origin, and destined to the above-named destinations.

NOTE.—If a hearing is deemed necessary, applicant requests it be held at Kansas City, Mo.

No. MC 119789 (Sub-No. 244), filed March 27, 1975. Applicant: CARAVAN REFRIGERATED CARGO, INC., P.O.

Box 6188, Dallas, Tex. 75222. Applicant's representative: James K. Newbold, Jr. (same address as applicant). Authority sought to operate as a *common carrier*, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: *Mechanical cooling and heating apparatus* (except commodities which because of size or weight require the use of special equipment), from Louisville, Ga., to points in California, Nevada, New Mexico, and Utah.

NOTE.—If a hearing is deemed necessary, the applicant requests it be held at Los Angeles, Calif., or Dallas, Tex.

No. MC 123407 (Sub-No. 228), filed March 21, 1975. Applicant: SAWYER TRANSPORT, INC., South Haven Square, U.S. Hwy. 6, Valparaiso, Ind. 46383. Applicant's representative: Robert W. Sawyer (same address as applicant). Authority sought to operate as a *common carrier*, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: *Glass, materials, equipment, and supplies* used or useful in the manufacture, production, and sale of glass (except commodities in bulk), between Upper Sandusky, Ohio, on the one hand, and, on the other, points in the United States, (except Alaska and Hawaii).

NOTE.—If a hearing is deemed necessary, the applicant requests it be held at either Columbus, Ohio, or Washington, D.C.

No. MC 124078 (Sub-No. 641), filed March 24, 1975. Applicant: SCHWERMAN TRUCKING CO., a corporation, 611 South 28th Street, Milwaukee, Wis. 53215. Applicant's representative: James R. Ziperski (same address as applicant). Authority sought to operate as a *common carrier*, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: (1) *Corn products, dry, in bulk*, from Danville, Ill., to points in the United States (except points in Alabama, Alaska, Florida, Georgia, Hawaii, Indiana, Kentucky, Michigan, Minnesota, Missouri, Nebraska, Ohio, Tennessee, and Wisconsin); (2) *soybean products, dry, in bulk*, from Danville, Ill., to points in the United States (except points in Alaska, Hawaii, Indiana, Michigan, Ohio, and Wisconsin); and (3) *soybean flour, dry, in bulk*, from Danville, Ill., to points in Indiana, Ohio, and Michigan.

NOTE.—Common control may be involved. Applicant holds contract carrier authority in MC 113832 Sub-No. 68, therefore dual operations may also be involved. If a hearing is deemed necessary, the applicant requests it be held at Chicago, Ill., or Milwaukee, Wis.

No. MC 124692 (Sub-No. 147), filed February 24, 1975. Applicant: SAMMONS TRUCKING, P.O. Box 4347, Missoula, Mont. 59801. Applicant's representative: Donald W. Smith, Suite 2465, One Indiana Square, Indianapolis, Ind. 46204. Authority sought to operate as a *common carrier*, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: *Aluminum articles and aluminum products*, including but not limited to, *tubing and couplers*, from points in Twin Falls County, Idaho, to points in Washington, Oregon, Colorado, Nebraska, Utah, Ne-

vada, Montana, Wyoming, California, Arizona, and New Mexico.

NOTE.—Common control may be involved. If a hearing is deemed necessary, the applicant does not specify a location.

No. MC 124692 (Sub-No. 150), filed March 24, 1975. Applicant: SAMMONS TRUCKING, P.O. Box 4347, Missoula, Mont. 59801. Applicant's representative: J. David Douglas (same address as applicant). Authority sought to operate as a *common carrier*, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: *Lumber, wood products, millwork, and molding*, from points in California to points in the United States (except Alaska and Hawaii).

NOTE.—Common control may be involved. If a hearing is deemed necessary, the applicant requests it be held at either San Francisco or Sacramento, Calif., or Portland, Oreg.

No. MC 124813 (Sub-No. 127), filed March 24, 1975. Applicant: UMHUN TRUCKING CO., a corporation, 910 South Jackson Street, Eagle Grove, Iowa 50533. Applicant's representative: William L. Fairbank, 1980 Financial Center, Des Moines, Iowa 50399. Authority sought to operate as a *common carrier*, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: *Feed, feed ingredients, premixes, feed supplements, minerals, animal health products, insecticides, disinfectants, livestock feeders, empty bags and containers, advertising materials and premiums, and portable scales* (except liquids, in bulk), between Cedar Rapids, Iowa, on the one hand, and, on the other, points in Illinois, Indiana, Kansas, Michigan, Minnesota, Missouri, Nebraska, Ohio, South Dakota, Tennessee, and Wisconsin.

NOTE.—Common control may be involved. Applicant holds contract carrier authority in MC 118468 Sub-No. 16, and subs thereunder, therefore dual operations may be involved. If a hearing is deemed necessary, the applicant requests it be held at St. Paul, Minn., or Chicago, Ill.

No. MC 125254 (Sub-No. 32), filed March 21, 1975. Applicant: DONALD L. MORGAN, doing business as MORGAN TRUCKING CO., 1201 East 5th St., P.O. Box 714, Muscatine, Iowa 52761. Applicant's representative: Larry D. Knox, 900 Hubbell Bldg., Des Moines, Iowa 50309. Authority sought to operate as a *common carrier*, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: *Animal, poultry, fish, and pet food* (except in bulk), from Muscatine, Iowa, to points in North Carolina, South Carolina, Pennsylvania, Tennessee, Alabama, West Virginia, Virginia, Kentucky, Maryland, and Georgia.

NOTE.—Common control may be involved. If a hearing is deemed necessary, the applicant requests it be held at either Des Moines, Iowa, or Kansas City, Mo.

No. MC 125375 (Sub-No. 15), filed March 26, 1975. Applicant: F. B. GUEST, doing business as F. B. G. TRANSPORT, Route 5, Box 298, Covington, Ga. 30209. Applicant's representative: Bruce E. Mitchell, 3379 Peachtree Road NE., Suite

375, Atlanta, Ga. 30336. Authority sought to operate as a *contract carrier*, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: *Cottage cheese*, from Watertown, N.Y., to Charlotte and Raleigh, N.C., under contract with Borden, Inc.

NOTE.—If a hearing is deemed necessary, the applicant requests it be held at Atlanta, Ga.

No. MC 125950 (Sub-No. 9), filed March 24, 1975. Applicant: C. B. S. TRANSPORTATION, INC., 1207 Columbus Circle, Wilmington, N.C. 28401. Applicant's representative: Francis J. Orfman, 1100 17th Street NW., Suite 613, Washington, D.C. 20036. Authority sought to operate as a *common carrier*, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: *Fruit and vegetable containers*, from the plantsite of the Talley-Corbett Box Company, located at or near Adel, Ga., and Springfield, S.C., to points in Florida, Georgia, South Carolina, North Carolina, Virginia, Maryland, Delaware, Pennsylvania, New Jersey, and New York.

NOTE.—If a hearing is deemed necessary, the applicant requests it be held at Wilmington, N.C.

No. MC 126276 (Sub-No. 119), filed March 24, 1975. Applicant: FAST MOTOR SERVICE, INC., 9100 Plainfield Rd., Brookfield, Ill. 60513. Applicant's representative: Albert A. Andrin, 127 North Dearborn St., Chicago, Ill. 60602. Authority sought to operate as a *contract carrier*, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: *Containers and container ends*, from Perrysburg, Ohio, to points in Maryland, under a continuing contract with Owens-Illinois, Inc.

NOTE.—If a hearing is deemed necessary, the applicant requests it be held at Chicago, Ill.

No. MC 126459 (Sub-No. 3), filed March 26, 1975. Applicant: ALBERT S. HARMON AND NORMA HARMON, doing business as HARMON TRUCKING COMPANY, 126 N. State Street, Caro, Mich. 48723. Applicant's representative: William B. Elmer, 21635 E. Nine Mile Road, St. Clair Shores, Mich. 48080. Authority sought to operate as a *contract carrier*, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: (1) *Sugar, dextrose, and blends thereof*; and (2) *dried sugar beet pulp and sugar beet molasses*, from points in Genesee, Huron, Saginaw, Sanilac, Shiawassee, and Tuscola Counties, Mich., to points in Illinois, Indiana, New York, Pennsylvania, Ohio, and Wisconsin, under contract with Michigan Sugar Company.

NOTE.—If a hearing is deemed necessary, the applicant requests it be held at either Lansing or Detroit, Mich.

No. MC 126899 (Sub-No. 84), filed March 24, 1975. Applicant: USHER TRANSPORT, INC., 3925 Old Benton Road, Box 3051, Paducah, Ky. 42001. Applicant's representative: George M. Catlett, 703-706 McClure Building, Frankfort, Ky. 40601. Authority sought to operate as a *common carrier*, by motor

vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: *Fuel oils*, in bulk, in tank vehicles, from points in Missouri, to the plantsite of the West Virginia Pulp and Paper Company at or near Wickliffe, Ky.

NOTE.—If a hearing is deemed necessary, the applicant requests it be held at either Louisville or Frankfort, Ky.

No. MC 127042 (Sub-No. 154), (Clarification), filed February 14, 1975, published in the FEDERAL REGISTER issue of March 20, 1975, and republished as corrected this issue. Applicant: HAGEN, INC., 3232 Highway 74 North, P.O. Box 98, Leeds Station, Sioux City, Iowa 51108. Applicant's representative: Edward A. O'Donnell same address as applicant). Authority sought to operate as a *common carrier*, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: (1) *Toilet preparations, shampoo, cleaning, buffing and polishing compounds, shaving cream, and printed matter*, and (2) *foodstuffs moving in mixed shipments with articles named in (1) above (except commodities in bulk)*, from the warehouse facilities utilized by Alberto-Culver Co. at or near Sparks, Nev., to Los Angeles, Calif.; and (3) *toilet preparations, shampoo, cleaning, buffing and polishing compounds, shaving cream, and printed matter*, from the warehouse facility utilized by Shulton, Inc. at or near Sparks, Nev., to points in Arizona, California, Idaho, Oregon, and Washington, restricted to shipments tendered by shippers and loaded into the same vehicle and at the same location from which within the previous 24 hours delivery was made of an inbound interstate shipment of 30,000 pounds or more.

NOTE.—The purpose of this republication is to clarify applicant's requested service in a broadening manner. If a hearing is deemed necessary, applicant requests it be held at Chicago, Ill.

No. MC 128273 (Sub-No. 172) (Correction), filed February 11, 1975, published in the FEDERAL REGISTER issue, March 13, 1975, and republished as corrected, this issue. Applicant: MIDWESTERN DISTRIBUTION, INC., P.O. Box 189, Fort Scott, Kans. 66701. Applicant's representative: Harry Ross, 1403 S. Horton Street, Fort Scott, Kans. 66701. Authority sought to operate as a *common carrier*, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: (1) *Rubber, rubber products and such other commodities as are manufactured and/or dealt in by rubber manufacturers from Los Angeles and Salinas, Calif., to points in Florida, Montana, Georgia, South Carolina, North Carolina, Virginia, Kentucky, Indiana, Illinois, Tennessee, Alabama, Mississippi, Louisiana, Arkansas, Missouri, Iowa, Nebraska, Kansas, Oklahoma, Texas, New Mexico, Colorado, Wyoming, Idaho, Utah, Arizona, Nevada, Oregon, and Washington*; and (2) *equipment, materials and supplies used in manufacture and distribution of rubber, rubber products, and such other commodities as are manufactured, processed and/or dealt in by rubber manufacturers; and tires from points in Florida, Georgia, South Carolina, North Carolina, Virginia, Kentucky,*

Indiana, Illinois, Tennessee, Alabama, Mississippi, Louisiana, Arkansas, Missouri, Iowa, Nebraska, Kansas, Oklahoma, Texas, New Mexico, Colorado, Wyoming, Utah, Arizona, Montana, Idaho, Nevada, Oregon, and Washington to points in Salinas and Los Angeles, Calif.

NOTE.—The purpose of this republication is to clarify the destination points in part (2) of this proceeding. If a hearing is deemed necessary, the applicant requests it be held at Los Angeles, Calif.

No. MC 128273 (Sub-No. 181), filed March 24, 1975. Applicant: MIDWESTERN DISTRIBUTION, INC., P.O. BOX 189, Fort Scott, Kans. 66701. Applicant's representative: Harry Ross, 1403 South Horton Street, Fort Scott, Kans. 66701. Authority sought to operate as a *common carrier*, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: (1) *Machinery as is dealt in by wholesale and retail recreational, lawn and garden equipment supply stores and dealers*; and (2) *parts, accessories and attachments of and for the commodities described in (1) above, from Evansville, Ind., to points in Washington, Oregon, Nevada, South Dakota, Nebraska, Kansas, Oklahoma, Arkansas, Wisconsin, Mississippi, Alabama, Georgia, North Carolina, South Carolina, Virginia, and Ohio.*

NOTE.—If a hearing is deemed necessary, the applicant requests it be held at Washington, D.C.

No. MC 128527 (Sub-No. 52), filed March 14, 1975. Applicant: MAY TRUCKING COMPANY, a corporation, P.O. Box 398, Payette, Idaho 83661. Applicant's representative: C. Marvin May (same address as applicant). Authority sought to operate as a *common carrier*, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: *Such merchandise as is dealt in by wholesale, retail, and chain grocery and food business houses, and equipment, materials, and supplies used in the conduct of such business, from points in California, to points in Malheur, Baker, Union, and Umatilla Counties, Oreg.*

NOTE.—If a hearing is deemed necessary, the applicant requests it be held at Boise, Idaho.

No. MC 128988 (Sub-No. 59), filed March 26, 1975. Applicant: JO/KEL, INC., 159 South Seventh Avenue, P.O. Box 1249, City of Industry, Calif. 91749. Applicant's representative: Patrick E. Quinn, 605 South 14th St., P.O. Box 82028, Lincoln, Nebr. 68501. Authority sought to operate as a *contract carrier*, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: *General commodities (except those of unusual value, Classes A and B explosives, household goods as defined by the Commission, and commodities in bulk)*, from the facilities of Westinghouse Electric Corporation, at or near High Point, N.C., to points in the United States on and west of a line beginning at the mouth of the Mississippi River, and extending along the Mississippi River to its junction with the western boundary of Itasca County, Minn.,

thence northward along the western boundaries of Itasca and Koochiching Counties, Minn., to the International Boundary line between the United States and Canada, under a contract with Westinghouse Electric Corporation, restricted against the transportation of commodities which by reason of size or weight require the use of special equipment, and further restricted to a transportation service to be performed under a continuing contract or contracts with Westinghouse Electric Corporation, at Pittsburgh, Pa.

NOTE.—If a hearing is deemed necessary, the applicant requests it be held at Washington, D.C., or Los Angeles, Calif.

No. MC 129282 (Sub-No. 24), filed March 11, 1975. Applicant: BERRY TRANSPORTATION, INC., P.O. Box 2147, Longview, Tex. 75601. Applicant's representative: Fred S. Berry (same address as applicant). Authority sought to operate as a *common carrier*, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: *Alcoholic beverages, containers, and materials and supplies used in the distribution and sale thereof (restricted against commodities transported in bulk)*, between New Orleans, La., and points in Arkansas, Louisiana, and Texas.

NOTE.—If a hearing is deemed necessary, the applicant requests it be held at either Shreveport, or Monroe, La.

No. MC 129350 (Sub-No. 51), filed March 17, 1975. Applicant: CHARLES E. WOLFE, doing business as EVERGREEN EXPRESS, 15 South 21st Street, Box 212, Billings, Mont. 59103. Applicant's representative: Clayton Brown, Box 212, Billings, Mont. 59103. Authority sought to operate as a *common carrier*, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: *Feed mill equipment and supplies (except commodities in bulk, in tank vehicles)*, from Houghton, Iowa, Bluffton, Ind., Zeeland, Mich., Greenville, Miss., Columbus, Nebr., and Springfield, Ohio, to Billings, Mont.

NOTE.—If a hearing is deemed necessary, the applicant requests it be held at Billings, Mont.

No. MC 133330 (Sub-No. 9), filed March 14, 1975. Applicant: HALVOR LINES, INC., 4609 West 1st, Duluth, Minn. 55806. Applicant's representative: Andrew R. Clark, 1000 First National Bank Bldg., Minneapolis, Minn. 55402. Authority sought to operate as a *contract carrier*, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: *Boats*, from ports of entry along the International Boundary line between the United States and Canada, and Duluth, Minn., and Idaho Falls, Idaho, to points in the United States (except Alaska and Hawaii), under a continuing contract or contracts with Bombardier Corporation and Bombardier Limited.

NOTE.—If a hearing is deemed necessary, applicant requests it be held at Minneapolis, Minn.

No. MC 133627 (Sub-No. 6), filed March 24, 1975. Applicant: COMMON MARKET DISTRIBUTING CORPORA-

TION, 335 West Elwood Road, Phoenix, Ariz. 85030. Applicant's representative: Donald E. Fernaays, Suite 320, 4040 East McDowell Road, Phoenix, Ariz. 85008. Authority sought to operate as a *contract carrier*, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: *Clay pipe*, from Pueblo, Colo., to Calexico, Calif., under contract with T. R. Rutifa Company, Inc.

NOTE.—Applicant holds common carrier authority in MC 136503, therefore dual operations may be involved. If a hearing is deemed necessary, applicant requests it be held at Phoenix, Ariz.

No. MC 134022 (Sub-No. 13), filed March 17, 1975. Applicant: RICHARD A. ZIMA, doing business as ZIPCO, P.O. Box 115, West Bend, Wis. 53095. Applicant's representative: Nancy J. Johnson, 4506 Regent Street, Suite 100, Madison, Wis. 53705. Authority sought to operate as a *common carrier*, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: (1) *Cheese, cheese foods, and related specialty food items*, (2) *materials, supplies and equipment* used in the manufacture of these items, between the facilities of Kaukauna Dairy Products, located at Kaukauna, Town of Vinland, and Little Chute, Wis., on the one hand, and, on the other, points in Washington, Oregon, Idaho, Montana, Utah, Nevada, California, Arizona, New Mexico, Colorado, and Wyoming.

NOTE.—If a hearing is deemed necessary, the applicant requests it be held at either Milwaukee, Wis., or Chicago, Ill.

No. MC 134404 (Sub-No. 20), filed March 17, 1975. Applicant: AMERICAN TRANS-FREIGHT, INC., P.O. Box 499, So. Bound Brook, N.J. 08880. Applicant's representative: Bert Collins, Suite 6193, 5 World Trade Center, New York, N.Y. 10048. Authority sought to operate as a *contract carrier*, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: (1) *Paper and paper products*, (a) from Eighty Four, Pa., to points in Ohio, West Virginia, Virginia, Maryland, New Jersey, and New York; and (b) from Solon, Ohio, to points in Pennsylvania, Maryland, Delaware, Virginia, West Virginia, New York, and New Jersey; and (2) *materials, equipment and supplies*, used in the production and distribution or sale of paper and paper products (except in bulk), (a) from points in Ohio, West Virginia, Virginia, Maryland, New Jersey, and New York, to Eighty Four, Pa.; and (b) from points in Pennsylvania, Maryland, Delaware, Virginia, West Virginia, New York, and New Jersey, to Solon, Ohio, under contract with Union Camp Corporation, at Wayne, N.J.

NOTE.—If a hearing is deemed necessary, applicant requests it be held at New York, N.Y.

No. MC 135231 (Sub-No. 6), filed March 20, 1975. Applicant: NORTH STAR TRANSPORT, INC., Rt. 1 Highway 1 and 59 west, Thief River Falls, Minn. 56701. Applicant's representative: Robert P. Sack, P.O. Box 6010, West St. Paul, Minn. 55118. Authority sought to operate as a *common carrier*, by motor

vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: *Such merchandise* as is dealt in by mail order houses, from points in New York, New Jersey, South Carolina, North Carolina, Connecticut, Massachusetts, Pennsylvania, Ohio, Michigan, Indiana, Illinois, Wisconsin, Missouri, Rhode Island, Virginia, West Virginia, and Maine, to St. Cloud, Minn., restricted to traffic destined to the facilities of Fingerhut Manufacturing Co., located at or near St. Cloud, Minn.

NOTE.—Applicant holds contract carrier authority in MC 134145 Sub 1 and others, therefore dual operations may be involved. If a hearing is deemed necessary, the applicant requests it be held at Minneapolis, Minn.

No. MC 135684 (Sub-No. 10), filed March 10, 1975. Applicant: BASS TRANSPORTATION CO., INC., P.O. Box 391, Flemington, N.J. 08822. Applicant's representative: Bert Collins, Suite 6193, 5 World Trade Center, New York, N.Y. 10048. Authority sought to operate as a *common carrier*, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: *Such commodities* as are used in or by hospitals, clinics, laboratories, nursing homes or other medical institutions or offices; *materials, supplies, and equipment* as used in the manufacture, distribution, or sale of the aforescribed commodities (except in bulk), (1) between Billerica, Mass., Murray Hill, N.J., Covington, Ga., Fitzwilliam, N.H., Itasca, Ill., Dallas, Tex., Chicago, Ill., Lawrence, Kans., Los Angeles and Uplands, Calif.; and (2) between Providence, R.I., and Murray Hill, N.J.

NOTE.—Applicant holds contract carrier authority in MC 87720 and subs thereunder, therefore dual operations may be involved. Common control may be involved. If a hearing is deemed necessary, the applicant requests it be held at Washington, D.C.

No. MC 135797 (Sub-No. 37), filed March 24, 1975. Applicant: J. B. HUNT TRANSPORT, INC., P.D. Box 200, Lowell, Ark. 72745. Applicant's representative: L. C. Cypert, 108 Terrace Drive, Lowell, Ark. 72745. Authority sought to operate as a *common carrier*, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: *Wood shavings, wood chips and wood waste*, from Smithton, Mo., to points in Arkansas, Illinois, Indiana, Iowa, Kansas, Kentucky, Louisiana, Minnesota, Mississippi, Nebraska, North Dakota, Oklahoma, South Dakota, Tennessee, Texas, and Wisconsin.

NOTE.—If a hearing is deemed necessary, applicant requests it be held at either Kansas City, or Jefferson City, Mo.

No. MC 135982 (Sub-No. 8), filed March 31, 1975. Applicant: S. L. Harris, doing business as P.B.I., P.O. Box 7130, Longview, Tex. 75601. Applicant's representative: Bernard H. English, 6270 Firth Road, Fort Worth, Tex. 76116. Authority sought to operate as a *common carrier*, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: (1) *Malt beverages, and related advertising materials*, from Fort Worth, Tex., to points in Louisiana; and (2) *materials, supplies and equipment*,

used in the manufacture, sale, and distribution of malt beverages, including *return of empty malt beverage containers*, from points in Louisiana, to Fort Worth, Tex.

NOTE.—If a hearing is deemed necessary, applicant requests it be held at either Dallas, or Fort Worth, Tex.

No. MC 136270 (Sub-No. 3), filed March 3, 1975. Applicant: DONALD E. HIRTLE TRANSPORT, LIMITED, P.O. Box 88, Blockhouse, Lunenburg Co., Nova Scotia, Canada. Applicant's representative: Francis E. Barrett, Jr., 10 Industrial Park Road, Hingham, Mass. 02043. Authority sought to operate as a *common carrier*, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: (1) *Fish and fish products*, from ports of entry on the International Boundary line between the United States and Canada located at Calais and Houlton, Maine; and from Bar Harbor and Portland, Maine; and Gloucester, Mass., to points in Alabama, Connecticut, Delaware, Florida, Georgia, Illinois, Indiana, Kentucky, Louisiana, Maryland, Massachusetts, Michigan, Mississippi, New Hampshire, New Jersey, New York, North Carolina, Ohio, Pennsylvania, Rhode Island, South Carolina, Tennessee, Vermont, Virginia, West Virginia, and the District of Columbia; and (2) *materials, supplies and equipment* used or useful for fishing boats and fish processing plants, from points in Massachusetts, to ports of entry on the International Boundary line between the United States and Canada located at Calais and Houlton, Maine, and to Bar Harbor and Portland, Maine and Gloucester, Mass.

NOTE.—If a hearing is deemed necessary, applicant requests it be held at Boston, Mass.

No. MC 136270 (Sub-No. 4), filed March 3, 1975. Applicant: DONALD E. HIRTLE TRANSPORT LIMITED, P.O. Box 88, Blockhouse, Lunenburg Co., Nova Scotia, Canada. Applicant's representative: Francis E. Barrett, Jr., 10 Industrial Park Road, Hingham, Mass. 02043. Authority sought to operate as a *common carrier*, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: *Bananas*, when moving in the same vehicle with commodities declared to be exempt under the provisions of section 203(b)(6) of the Interstate Commerce Act, from Chelsea, Mass., to ports of entry on the International Boundary line between the United States and Canada located at or near Calais and Houlton, Maine, and to Bar Harbor and Portland, Maine; and Gloucester, Mass.

NOTE.—If a hearing is deemed necessary, applicant requests it be held at Boston, Mass.

No. MC 136408 (Sub-No. 22), filed March 20, 1975. Applicant: CARGO CONTRACT CARRIER CORP., P.O. Box 206, U.S. Highway 20, Sioux City, Iowa 51102. Applicant's representative: William J. Hanlon, 60 Park Place, Newark, N.J. 07102. Authority sought to operate as a *contract carrier*, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: *Chemicals* (except in bulk in tank vehicles),

from Wallingford, Conn., and Linden, N.J., to points in Ohio, Michigan, Indiana, and Illinois, under a continuing contract or contracts with American Cyanamid Co.

NOTE.—If a hearing is deemed necessary, the applicant requests it be held at New York, N.Y. or Newark, N.J.

No. MC 136408 (Sub-No. 23), filed March 21, 1975. Applicant: CARGO CONTRACT CARRIER CORP., P.O. Box 206, U.S. Highway 20, Sioux City, Iowa 51102. Applicant's representative: William J. Hanlon, 60 Park Place, Newark, N.J. 07102. Authority sought to operate as a *contract carrier*, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: (1) *Cleaning, polishing, and defoaming soaps and compounds, oils, paints, rust and paint removing compounds, and disinfectant and water treating compounds*, (except in bulk in tank vehicles), from Metuchen, N.J., to points in Arkansas, California, Colorado, Illinois, Indiana, Iowa, Kansas, Kentucky, Louisiana, Michigan, Minnesota, Mississippi, Missouri, Nebraska, Ohio, Oklahoma, Tennessee, Texas, and Wisconsin, and; (2) *materials, supplies and equipment* used in the conduct of business by cleaning compound manufacturers (except commodities in bulk, in tank vehicles), from points in Arkansas, California, Colorado, Illinois, Indiana, Iowa, Kansas, Kentucky, Louisiana, Michigan, Minnesota, Mississippi, Missouri, Nebraska, Ohio, Oklahoma, Tennessee, Texas, and Wisconsin to Metuchen, N.J., under a continuing contract or contracts with Oakite Products, Inc. of Berkeley Heights, N.J.

NOTE.—If a hearing is deemed necessary, the applicant requests it be held at either New York, N.Y., or Newark, N.J.

No. MC 136786 (Sub-No. 70), filed March 24, 1975. Applicant: ROBCO TRANSPORTATION, INC., 3033 Excelsior Blvd., Minneapolis, Minn. 55416. Applicant's representative: Stanley C. Olsen, Jr., 1000, 1st National Bank Bldg., Minneapolis, Minn. 55402. Authority sought to operate as a *common carrier*, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: *Foodstuffs* (except commodities in bulk), from Hudson, Iowa, to points in Connecticut, Delaware, Maine, Maryland, Massachusetts, New Hampshire, New Jersey, New York, Pennsylvania, Rhode Island, Vermont, Virginia, West Virginia, and the District of Columbia, restricted to traffic originating at the plantsites and storage facilities of Land O'Lakes, Inc., located at or near Hudson, Iowa, and destined to the above-named destinations.

NOTE.—If a hearing is deemed necessary, the applicant requests it be held at Minneapolis, Minn.

No. MC 138635 (Sub-No. 14), filed March 27, 1975. Applicant: CAROLINA WESTERN EXPRESS, INC., 650 Eastwood Drive, P.O. Box 3961, Gastonia, N.C. 28050. Applicant's representative: Eric Meierhoefer, 915 Pennsylvania Bldg., 425 13th St. NW., Washington, D.C. 20004. Authority sought to operate as a *common carrier*, by motor vehicle, over ir-

regular routes, transporting: *General commodities* (except those of unusual value, Classes A and B explosives, household goods as defined by the Commission, commodities in bulk, and those requiring special equipment), from the warehouse and consolidation facilities utilized by Charlotte Freight Association, at Los Angeles, Calif.; to Atlanta, Ga.; Charlotte, and Greensboro, N.C.; and Greenville, and Columbia, S.C.

NOTE.—Applicant holds contract carrier authority in MC 136464 and subs thereunder, therefore dual operations may be involved. If a hearing is deemed necessary, the applicant requests it be held at Charlotte, N.C., or Washington, D.C.

No. MC 139123 (Sub-No. 5) (Amendment), filed February 25, 1975, published in the FEDERAL REGISTER issue of March 27, 1975, and republished as amended, this issue. Applicant: GLOUCESTER DISPATCH, INC., Kelly Road, Box 127, Plaistow, N.H. 03865. Applicant's representative: Ignatius C. Goode (same address as applicant). Authority sought to operate as a *common carrier*, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: *Frozen foods*, in boxes, cases, cartons (except in bulk), from the plantsite and warehouses of the Kitchens of Sara Lee, located at or near Chicago and Deerfield, Ill., to points in Connecticut, Maine, New Hampshire, Massachusetts, New Jersey, New York, Rhode Island, and Vermont.

NOTE.—The purpose of this republication is to include New Hampshire as a destination point. If a hearing is deemed necessary, the applicant requests it be held at Chicago, Ill.; Boston, Mass.; or Concord, N.H.

No. MC 139134 (Sub-No. 5), filed March 24, 1975. Applicant: KENNEDY MOTORS, INC., 1305 S. Mountain Avenue, Monrovia, Calif. 91016. Applicant's representative: Frederick J. Coffman, 521 South 14th Street, P.O. Box 81849, Lincoln, Nebr. 68501. Authority sought to operate as a *common carrier*, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: *Bananas*, from Long Beach and Wilmington, Calif. and their Commercial Zones, to points in Idaho, Montana, Oregon, and Washington.

NOTE.—Applicant holds contract carrier authority in MC 134528 Sub-No. 1, therefore dual operations may be involved. If a hearing is deemed necessary, the applicant requests it be held at either Los Angeles, Calif. or Seattle, Wash.

No. MC 139850 (Sub-No. 2), filed March 21, 1975. Applicant: FOUR STAR TRANSPORTATION, INC., 301-12 Park Bldg., Council Bluffs, Iowa 51501. Applicant's representative: Jack H. Blanshan, 29 South La Salle Street, Chicago, Ill. 60603. Authority sought to operate as a *common carrier*, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: *Meat, meat products, meat by-products and articles distributed by meat packinghouses* as described in Sections A and C of Appendix I to the report in *Descriptions in Motor Carrier Certificates*, 61 M.C.C. 209 and 766 (except hides and commodities in bulk), from the plantsite and storage facilities of or utilized

by Farmland Foods, Inc., located at or near Crete, Nebr., to points in Alabama, Arizona, Arkansas, California, Colorado, Florida, Georgia, Idaho, Kansas, Louisiana, Mississippi, Missouri, Montana, New Mexico, Nevada, North Carolina, Oklahoma, Oregon, South Carolina, Tennessee, Texas, Utah, Washington, and Wyoming, restricted to the transportation of traffic originating at the above origin and destined to the above-named destinations.

NOTE.—If a hearing is deemed necessary, the applicant requests it be held at Kansas City, Mo.

No. MC 139999 (Sub-No. 9), filed March 26, 1975. Applicant: RED-FEATHER FAST FREIGHT, INC., 8998 "L" Street, Omaha, Nebr. 68127. Applicant's representative: Donald L. Stern, Suite 530 Univac Bldg., 7100 West Center Road, Omaha, Nebr. 68106. Authority sought to operate as a *common carrier*, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: *Meat, meat products, meat by-products and articles distributed by meat packinghouses*, as described in Sections A and C of Appendix I to the Report in *Descriptions in Motor Carrier Certificates*, 61 M.C.C. 209 and 766 (except hides and commodities in bulk), from the plantsite and storage facilities of Hawarden of Iowa, Inc., at or near Hawarden, Iowa, to points in Minnesota, Wisconsin, Iowa, Illinois, Indiana, Ohio, Michigan, Missouri, Nebraska, and Kansas.

NOTE.—If a hearing is deemed necessary, the applicant requests it be held at Omaha, Nebr.

No. MC 139999 (Sub-No. 10), filed March 26, 1975. Applicant: RED-FEATHER FAST FREIGHT, INC., 8998 "L" Street, Omaha, Nebr. 68127. Applicant's representative: Donald L. Stern, Suite 530 Univac Bldg., 7100 West Center Road, Omaha, Nebr. 68106. Authority sought to operate as a *common carrier*, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: *Meat, meat products, meat by-products and articles distributed by meat packinghouse*, as described in Sections A and C of Appendix I to the report in *Descriptions in Motor Carrier Certificates*, 61 M.C.C. 209 and 766 (except hides and commodities in bulk), from the plantsite and storage facilities of Dubuque Packing Co., at or near LeMars, Iowa, to points in Minnesota, Wisconsin, Iowa, Illinois, Indiana, Ohio, Michigan, Missouri, Nebraska, and Kansas.

NOTE.—If a hearing is deemed necessary, the applicant requests it be held at Omaha, Nebr.

No. MC 140125 (Sub-No. 2), filed March 20, 1975. Applicant: SCHUSTER GRAIN COMPANY, INC., 416-8th Avenue S.W., P.O. Box 606, LeMars, Iowa 51031. Applicant's representative: Michael J. Ogborn, 605 South 14th Street, P.O. Box 82028, Lincoln, Nebr. 68501. Authority sought to operate as a *common carrier*, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: *Soybean meal, soybean mill run and soybean hulls*, dry, in bags or bulk, from the plantsite

of Farmland Industries, Inc., located at or near Sergeant Bluff; Iowa, to points in Colorado.

NOTE.—Applicant holds contract carrier authority in MC 128201 and subs thereunder, therefore dual operations may be involved. If a hearing is deemed necessary, the applicant requests it be held at Omaha, Nebr., or Kansas City, Mo.

No. MC 140465 (Sub-No. 2), filed March 19, 1975. Applicant: DAHL-NEGA EQUIPMENT & SUPPLY CO., INC., P.O. Box 68, Murrayville, Ga. 30564. Applicant's representative: J. Douglas Stewart, P.O. Box 430, Gainesville, Ga. 30501. Authority sought to operate as a contract carrier, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: *Molded wood pulp egg case and egg carrier filler flats, in drop frame moving van type trailers, from Macon, Ga., to Griffith, Ind., under a continuing contract or contracts with Packaging Corporation of America.*

NOTE.—If a hearing is deemed necessary, the applicant requests it be held at either Atlanta, Macon, Ga. or Griffith, Ind.

No. MC 140629 (Sub-No. 1), filed March 13, 1975. Applicant: REYNOLDS COAL CO., INC., 1541 North 1st, Box 4335, Pocatello, Idaho 83201. Applicant's representative: Garth S. Pincock, P.O. Box 4986, Pocatello, Idaho 83201. Authority sought to operate as a common carrier, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: *Coal, from points in Emery and Carbon Counties, Utah, and the LDS Deseret Coal Terminal at Orangeville, Utah, to Pocatello, Idaho.*

NOTE.—If a hearing is deemed necessary, applicant requests it be held at Pocatello or Boise, Idaho.

No. MC 140714, filed February 14, 1975. Applicant: DENNY WILLIAMSON, 1327, 105 Avenue SW., Calgary, Alberta, Canada. Applicant's representative: Danny Williamson (same address as applicant). Authority sought to operate as a contract carrier, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: *Plywood, lumber and particle board, (1) between Missoula, Mont. and the port of entry on the International Boundary line between the United States and Canada at Sweetgrass, Mont.; (2) between Kalspell and Libby, Mont., and the port of entry on the International Boundary line between the United States and Canada at Roosevelt, Mont.; (3) between St. Merrie and Lewiston, Idaho, and the port of entry on the International Boundary line between the United States and Canada at Eastport, Idaho; and (4) between Spokane, Wash., and the port of entry on the International Boundary line between the United States and Canada at Eastport, Idaho, under a continuing contract or contracts with McLean Lumber Sales LTD.*

NOTE.—If a hearing is deemed necessary, the applicant requests it be held at Spokane, Wash.

No. MC 140743 (Sub-No. 2), filed February 28, 1975. Applicant: GORSKI BULK TRANSPORT, INC., Rural Route

No. 4, Harrow, Ontario, Canada. Applicant's representative: William B. Elmer, 21635 East Nine Mile Road, St. Clair Shores, Mich. 48080. Authority sought to operate as a common carrier, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: *Alcoholic beverages and materials and supplies used in connection with manufacture, sale, and distribution of alcoholic beverages, (1) between the plant sites of The Fleischman Distilling Corporation located at or near Dayton, N.J.; Plainfield, Ill.; and Owensboro, Ky.; and (2) between the points named in (1) above on the one hand, and, on the other, Clinton, Iowa; ports of entry located at New Orleans, La.; Norfolk, Va.; Baltimore, Md.; and New York, N.Y. and points on the International Boundary line between the United States and Canada located in Michigan, New York, Vermont, New Hampshire, and Maine.*

NOTE.—Common control and dual operations may be involved. If a hearing is deemed necessary, the applicant requests it be held at either New York, N.Y., or Washington, D.C.

No. MC 140778, filed March 19, 1975. Applicant: PHIL JACOBSON, 111 Marquez Pl. #310, Pacific Palisades, Calif. 90272. Applicant's representative: Phil Jacobson (same address as applicant). Authority sought to operate as a contract carrier, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: *Newsprint paper, between points in California on, south, and west of a line commencing at Morro Bay, thence northeast along California Highway 41 to its intersection with California Highway 58, thence east along California Highway 58 through Bakersfield to Barstow, thence south over U.S. Highway 66 to Victorville, thence over California Highway 18 to its intersection with an unnumbered highway near Forest Baldwin Lake, thence over the unnumbered highway to Yucca Valley, thence southwesterly over California Highway 62 to its intersection with Interstate Highway 10, thence southeast along Interstate Highway 10 to Indio, thence south along California Highway 111 through El Centro to the United States-Mexico International Boundary line, thence west along the International Boundary line to the Pacific Ocean, under continuing contract or contracts with Powell River Alberni Sales Corp.*

NOTE.—If a hearing is deemed necessary, the applicant requests it be held at Los Angeles, Calif. or Washington, D.C.

No. MC 140781, filed March 18, 1975. Applicant: ROGERS MOTOR LINES, INC., Route 46, P.O. Box 175, Great Meadows, N.J. 07838. Applicant's representative: Bert Collins, Suite 6193, 5 World Trade Center, New York, N.Y. 10048. Authority sought to operate as a contract carrier, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: *Such commodities as are dealt in by, or used, in the operations of retail department stores, and in connection therewith, and equipment, materials and supplies used in the conduct of such business (except commodities in bulk), between New York, N.Y. and North Ber-*

gen, N.J., on the one hand, and, on the other, Tampa, Clearwater, Fort Myers, Gainesville, Lakeland, St. Petersburg, Sarasota, Bradenton, Naples, Miami, Melbourne, Ft. Lauderdale, Merritt Island, Pompano, West Palm Beach, Hollywood, Orlando, and Daytona Beach, Fla., restricted to traffic under a continuing contract or contracts with Allied Stores Marketing Corp.

NOTE.—If a hearing is deemed necessary, the applicant requests it be held at New York, N.Y.

No. MC 140784, filed March 18, 1975. Applicant: SPECIALTY HAULING CO., INC., P.O. Box 542, Chatham, N.J. 07923. Applicant's representative: Joseph R. Siegelbaum, 744 Broad Street, Newark, N.J. 07102. Authority sought to operate as a contract carrier, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: (1) *Asphalt heating units, from the plant site of Burke Heating Systems Co., Inc. at or near Dover, N.J., to points in the United States (except Alaska and Hawaii); and (2) material, equipment and supplies used in the manufacture and shipping of asphalt heating units, from points in the United States (except Alaska and Hawaii), to the plant site of Burke Heating Systems Co., Inc., at or near Dover, N.J., under a continuing contract or contracts with Burke Heating Systems Co., Inc.*

NOTE.—If a hearing is deemed necessary, applicant requests it be held at New York, N.Y.

No. MC 140786 (Sub-No. 1), filed March 26, 1975. Applicant: THE UNITED STATES CARGO AND COURIER SERVICE INCORPORATED, 715 Harmon Plaza, Columbus, Ohio 43223. Applicant's representative: Boyd B. Ferris, 50 West Broad Street, Columbus, Ohio 43215. Authority sought to operate as a contract carrier, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: *Mining machinery parts, finished or unfinished, and equipment and supplies, used in the manufacture or repair of mining machinery, in express service, in straight trucks or vehicles not exceeding two axles, between Columbus, Ohio, and points in Colorado, Illinois, Indiana, Kentucky, Michigan, North Dakota, Pennsylvania, Utah, Virginia, West Virginia, and Wyoming, under contract with Jeffrey Mining Machinery Company, a division of Dresser Industries, Inc.*

NOTE.—If a hearing is deemed necessary, applicant requests it be held at Columbus, Ohio.

No. MC 140789, filed March 24, 1975. Applicant: P.D.Q. INC. OF MIAMI, 3395 Northwest, 170th Street, Miami, Fla. 33167. Applicant's representative: John P. Bond, 2766 Douglas Rd., Miami, Fla. 33133. Authority sought to operate as a common carrier, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: *General commodities (except Classes A and B explosives; household goods; commodities in bulk; commodities requiring refrigeration and commodities requiring special handling and special equipment), between points in Dade and Broward*

Counties, Fla., restricted to all shipments having a prior or subsequent movement by rail.

NOTE.—If a hearing is deemed necessary, the applicant requests it be held at Miami, Fla.

No. MC 140798, filed March 24, 1975. Applicant: DIRECT PARCEL, INC., 1617 John Calvin Ave., College Park, Ga. 30337. Applicant's representative: Guy H. Postell, Suite 713, 3384 Peachtree Rd. NE., Atlanta, Ga. 30326. Authority sought to operate as a *contract carrier*, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: *Merchandise, equipment and supplies*, sold, used, or distributed by a manufacturer of cosmetics, from Atlanta, Ga., to Chattanooga, Tenn., under a continuing contract or contracts with Avon Products, Inc.

NOTE.—If a hearing is deemed necessary, the applicant requests it be held at Atlanta, Ga.

No. MC 140802, filed March 24, 1975. Applicant: JOHN J. GILLAN, doing business as GILLAN TRUCKING CO., 1028 Sinnock Ave., Moberly, Mo. 65270. Applicant's representative: Richard Southern, 101 East High Street, Jefferson City, Mo. 65101. Authority sought to operate as a *common carrier*, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: *Coal*, in bulk, in dump trucks and dump trailers, between points in Missouri, Iowa, Oklahoma, Nebraska, Kansas, Arkansas, Tennessee, Kentucky, and Illinois.

NOTE.—If a hearing is deemed necessary, the applicant requests it be held at either Moberly, Columbia, Jefferson City, or Kansas City, Mo.

PASSENGER APPLICATIONS

No. MC 1934 (Sub-No. 37), filed March 20, 1975. Applicant: THE ARROW LINE, INC., 105 Cherry Street, East Hartford, Conn. 06108. Applicant's representative: Frank Daniels, 15 Court Square, Boston, Mass. 02108. Authority sought to operate as a *common carrier*, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: *Passengers and their baggage* in the same vehicle with passengers, in round-trip special operations, during the racing seasons, beginning and ending at Bridgeport, New Haven, and New London, Conn., and extending to the site of the Rockingham Race Track at Salem, N.H., and to the site of Suffolk Downs Race Track at Boston, Mass.

NOTE.—If a hearing is deemed necessary, the applicant requests it be held at Providence, R.I.

No. MC 48501 (Sub-No. 18), filed March 17, 1975. Applicant: INDIANA MOTOR BUS COMPANY, a corporation, 715 South Michigan Street, South Bend, Ind. 46624. Applicant's representative: Harry J. Harman, 8130 South Meridian Street, Indianapolis, Ind. 46217. Authority sought to operate as a *common carrier*, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: *Passengers and*

their baggage, in the same vehicle with passengers, in special and charter operations, in round trip, sight-seeing and pleasure tours, beginning and ending at points in St. Joseph, Marshall, Fulton, Cass, Miami, Howard, Tipton, Hamilton, Marion, Boone, Clinton, Tippecanoe, Carroll, White, Jasper, Starke, La Porte, Lake, Porter, Elkhart, Kosciusko, Witley, Huntington, Wabash, Grant, Delaware, and Allen Counties, Ind., points in Cook County, Ill., and points in Berrien, Cass, St. Joseph, Kalamazoo, and Van Buren Counties, Mich. and extending to points in the United States, including Alaska but excluding Hawaii.

NOTE.—If a hearing is deemed necessary, the applicant requests it be held at either South Bend or Indianapolis, Ind.

No. MC 139888 (Sub-No. 1), filed March 5, 1975. Applicant: ROYAL COACH LINES, INC., 798 Nepperhan Avenue, Yonkers, N.Y. 10705. Applicant's representative: Sidney J. Leshin, 575 Madison Avenue, New York, N.Y. 10022. Authority sought to operate as a *common carrier*, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: *Passengers and their baggage*, in round trip special operations, beginning and ending at Yonkers, N.Y. and points in Bronx County, N.Y., and extending to Philadelphia, Pa.

NOTE.—Common control may be involved. If a hearing is deemed necessary, the applicant requests it be held at Yonkers or New York, N.Y.

No. MC 140444 (Sub-No. 1), filed March 24, 1975. Applicant: HIGHLAND TOURS, INC., 2002 Clémson Rd., Jacksonville, Fla. 32217. Applicant's representative: Sol H. Proctor, 1107 Blackstone Bldg., Jacksonville, Fla. 32202. Authority sought to operate as a *common carrier*, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: *Passengers and their baggage*, in the same vehicle with passengers in round trip special and charter operations, between points in Florida, on the one hand, and, on the other, points in and east of Texas, Oklahoma, Kansas, Nebraska, South Dakota, and North Dakota.

NOTE.—If a hearing is deemed necessary, the applicant requests it be held at Jacksonville, Fla.

No. MC 140747, filed March 20, 1975. Applicant: RANOCAS VALLEY BUS SERVICE, INC., P.O. Box 394, Moorestown, N.J. 08057. Applicant's representative: Leonard A. Jaskiewicz, 1730 M St. NW., Suite 501, Washington, D.C. 20036. Authority sought to operate as a *common carrier*, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: (1) *Passengers*, between Cherry Hill and Haddon Township (Camden County), N.J., and Maple Shade Township (Burlington County), N.J., on the one hand, and, on the other, Philadelphia, Pa.; and (2) *passengers and their baggage*, in round-trip charter operations, beginning and ending at

Philadelphia, Pa., and extending to points in New Jersey.

NOTE.—If a hearing is deemed necessary, applicant requests it be held at Philadelphia, Pa.

No. MC 140782, filed March 5, 1975. Applicant: HENRY JOHNSON, 260 Bunnell Street, Bridgeport, Conn. 06607. Applicant's representative: Henry Johnson (same address as applicant). Authority sought to operate as a *common carrier*, by motor vehicle, over regular routes, transporting: *Passengers and their baggage*, in special and charter operations, by motor carrier, between Bridgeport, Conn., and Bennettsville, S.C.: From Bridgeport, Conn. over Interstate Highway 95 to Bennettsville, S.C., and return over the same route, serving all intermediate points.

NOTE.—If a hearing is deemed necessary, the applicant requests it be held at Hartford, Conn.

BROKER APPLICATION

No. MC 130308, filed March 10, 1975. Applicant: RALPH HALVORSON, 3509 W. Highway 13, Burnsville, Minn. 55337. Applicant's representative: Samuel Rubenstein, 301 North Fifth Street, Minneapolis, Minn. 55403. Authority sought to engage in operation, in interstate or foreign commerce, as a *broker* at Burnsville, Minn., to sell or offer to sell the transportation of *General commodities* (except those of unusual value, Classes A and B explosives, household goods as defined by the Commission, and bulk commodities, in tank vehicles), between points in Minnesota, North Dakota, South Dakota, Iowa, and Wisconsin, on the one hand, and, on the other, points in the United States (except Alaska and Hawaii).

NOTE.—If a hearing is deemed necessary, the applicant requests it be held at either Minneapolis or St. Paul, Minn.

WATER CARRIER APPLICATIONS

No. W-114 (Sub-No. 4), filed March 19, 1975. Applicant: ARROW TRANSPORTATION COMPANY, a corporation, 221 N. Columbia Avenue, Sheffield, Ala. 35660. Applicant's representative: Thomas M. Auchincloss, Jr., 918-16th Street NW., Washington, D.C. 20006. Authority sought to engage in operation, in interstate or foreign commerce as a *common carrier by water* in the transportation of *Commodities generally*, by non-self propelled vessels with the use of separate towing vessels, and by towing vessels in the performance of general towage, between ports and points along the Tennessee River and its tributaries on the one hand, and, on the other, ports and points along the Kaskaskia River, and its tributaries.

NOTE.—Common control may be involved. If a hearing is deemed necessary, the applicant requests it be held at Birmingham, Ala., or St. Louis, Mo.

No. W-1286-EX (Correction), filed December 19, 1974, published in the Fed-

ERAL REGISTER issues of February 13, 1975, and March 20, 1975, and republished, as corrected, this issue. Applicant: B. F. DIAMOND CONSTRUCTION CO., INC., 645 Indian Street, P.O. Box 727, Savannah, Ga. 31402. Applicant's representative: William P. Jackson, 919 Eighteenth Street NW., Washington, D.C. 20006. Applicant seeks authority by order under the provisions of Section 302(e) of the Interstate Commerce Act exempting the

furnishing for compensation, under a charter, lease or other agreement, of *tugs and barges* to Diamond Manufacturing Company, Inc., to be used by that company in the transportation of its own equipment, materials and supplies, between ports and points along the Atlantic coastline, the Gulf of Mexico coastline, and their inland tributary waterways, nonradially.

NOTE.—The purpose of this republication is to correct the authority requested, previously published in error. If a hearing is deemed necessary, applicant requests it be held at Savannah, Ga.

By the Commission.

[SEAL]

ROBERT L. OSWALD,
Secretary.

[FR Doc.75-9927 Filed 4-16-75;8:45 am]