AUTHENTICATED U.S. GOVERNMENT INFORMATION GPO

federal register

THURSDAY, MARCH 13, 1975

WASHINGTON, D.C.

Volume 40 ■ Number 50

Pages 11705–11858



PART I

HIGHLIGHTS OF THIS ISSUE

This listing does not affect the legal status of any document published in this issue. Detailed table of contents appears inside.

TAX RETURNS—FHLBB authorizes service corporations and multiple holding companies to offer preparation services (2 documents); effective 3–13–75 ..... 11711, 11712

INTERNATIONAL STUDIES PROGRAMS—HEW/OE issues criteria for application funding for fiscal year 1975 11795

OVER-THE-COUNTER DRUGS—HEW/FDA adopts general labeling requirements; effective 4–14–75 11717

CREWMEMBER INTERPHONE SYSTEMS—FAA proposal concerning turbojet-powered planes with visual or aural alerting system; comments by 4–28–75 11736

FREEDOM OF INFORMATION—USDA/FmHA and FEA issue regulations (2 documents); effective 3–13 and 2–19–75 11707

WORKER ADJUSTMENT ASSISTANCE—Labor proposal establishing program for those unemployed due to increased imports; comments by 3–26–75 11740

MEETINGS—
DOD: Defense Intelligence Agency Scientific Advisory Committee, 4–2–75 11758
Air Force: USAF Scientific Advisory Board Committee on Gas Turbine Technology, 4–14 and 4–15–75 11757
FEA: Environmental Advisory Committee, 4–1–75 11800
GSA: Regional Public Advisory Panel on Architectural and Engineering Services, 4–7–75 11819
Labor/OSHA: Federal Advisory Council on Occupational Safety and Health, 3–20–75 11823
National Advisory Committee on Oceans and Atmosphere, 4–14 and 4–15–75 11819
SBA: Portland District Advisory Council 4–17–75 11823
San Antonio District Advisory Council, 4–3–75 11822
State: Shipping Coordinating Committee Subcommittee on Safety of Life at Sea (2 documents), 4–3 and 4–4–75 11757
Interior/NPS: Bent's Old Fort Historic Site, Colo., 4–10–75 11767
Gateway National Recreation Area Advisory Commission, 4–10–75 11767
Park Advisory Commission, 4–3–75 11767
VA: Medical Research Service Merit Boards, 3–14 through 5–3–75 11823

(Continued inside)

PART II:

PROTECTION OF HUMAN SUBJECTS—HEW regulations on research, development, and related activities supported by HEW grants and contracts; effective 3–13–75 11853

March 13, 1975—Pages 11705–11858

reminders

(The items in this list were editorially compiled as an aid to FEDERAL REGISTER users. Inclusion or exclusion from this list has no legal significance. Since this list is intended as a reminder, it does not include effective dates that occur within 14 days of publication.)

Rules Going Into Effect Today

Interior/BLM—Arizona; partial revocation of withdrawal for Fort Whipple Military Reservation..... 6342; 2–11–75
—Wyoming; partial revocation of stock driveway withdrawal.. 6343; 2–11–75

HEW/FDA—Food additives permitted in food for human consumption; picloram. 6326; 2–11–75

EPA—Effluent limitation guidelines and standards..... 6432; 2–11–75

Daily List of Public Laws

NOTE: No acts approved by the President were received by the Office of the Federal Register for inclusion in today's LIST OF PUBLIC LAWS.

ATTENTION: Questions, corrections, or requests for information regarding the contents of this issue only may be made by dialing 202–523–5284. For information on obtaining extra copies, please call 202–523–5240. To obtain advance information from recorded highlights of selected documents to appear in the next issue, dial 202–523–5022.

federal register
Area Code 202 Phone 523–5240

Published daily, Monday through Friday (no publication on Saturdays, Sundays, or on official Federal holidays), by the Office of the Federal Register, National Archives and Records Service, General Services Administration, Washington, D.C. 20408, under the Federal Register Act (49 Stat. 500, as amended; 44 U.S.C., Ch. 15) and the regulations of the Administrative Committee of the Federal Register (1 CFR Ch. I). Distribution is made only by the Superintendent of Documents, U.S. Government Printing Office, Washington, D.C. 20402.

The FEDERAL REGISTER provides a uniform system for making available to the public regulations and legal notices issued by Federal agencies. These include Presidential proclamations and Executive orders and Federal agency documents having general applicability and legal effect, documents required to be published by Act of Congress and other Federal agency documents of public interest.

The FEDERAL REGISTER will be furnished by mail to subscribers, free of postage, for $5.00 per month or $45 per year, payable in advance. The charge for individual copies is 75 cents for each issue, or 75 cents for each group of pages as actually bound. Remit check or money order, made payable to the Superintendent of Documents, U.S. Government Printing Office, Washington, D.C. 20402.

There are no restrictions on the republication of material appearing in the FEDERAL REGISTER.

CANCELLED MEETINGS—
DOD: Defense Science Board Task Force on "New Technical Assessment," 4–1 and 4–2–75 11758

RESCHEDULED MEETINGS—
Interior/NPS: Sleeping Bear Dunes National Lakeshore Advisory Commission, 3–21–75 rescheduled to 4–4–75 11768

contents

AGRICULTURAL MARKETING SERVICE
Rules
Limitation of handling and shipments:
Oranges (navel) grown in Ariz. and Calif 11706
Proposed Rules
Grade, size and maturity standards:
Weighing requirements 11728
Limitations of handling and shipping:
Nectarines grown in Calif 11729
Pears, plums, and peaches (fresh) grown in Calif 11729
Notices
Warehouses and warehousemen; revised list 11769

AGRICULTURE DEPARTMENT
See Agricultural Marketing Service; Animal and Plant Health Inspection Service; Farmers Home Administration; Food and Nutrition Service; Forest Service; Soil Conservation Service.

AIR FORCE DEPARTMENT
Notices
Meetings:
Gas Turbine Technology, Committee on; USAF Scientific Advisory Board 11757

ALCOHOL, TOBACCO AND FIREARMS BUREAU
Rules
Advertising specialties; increased limitations to retailers 11719
Notices
Firearms; granting of relief 11757

ANIMAL AND PLANT HEALTH INSPECTION SERVICE
Rules
Quarantine areas:
Pink bollworm 11705

ARMY DEPARTMENT
Notices
Meetings:
Army Historical Advisory Committee; correction 11758

CIVIL SERVICE COMMISSION
Rules
Excepted service:
Federal Energy Administration 11705
Housing and Urban Development Department 11705

COMMERCE DEPARTMENT
See Domestic and International Business Administration; National Bureau of Standards; National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration.

COMMITTEE FOR THE IMPLEMENTATION OF TEXTILE AGREEMENTS
Notices
Cotton textiles:
Colombia, Pakistan, Republic of China, and Republic of Korea 11797
Italy 11797

COUNCIL ON ENVIRONMENTAL QUALITY
Notices
Environmental impact statements; availability 11798

DEFENSE DEPARTMENT
See also Air Force Department; Army Department.
Notices
Meetings:
Intelligence Agency Scientific Advisory Committee 11758
Science Board Task Force on Net Technical Assessment; cancellation 11758

DOMESTIC AND INTERNATIONAL BUSINESS ADMINISTRATION
Notices
Scientific articles; duty-free entry:
Massachusetts Institute of Technology and Interior Department 11791
University of Miami et al 11790
V.A. Hospital, San Diego 11792
V.A. Hospital, San Francisco 11792

EDUCATION OFFICE
Notices
Funding criteria for applications:
International studies programs, graduate and undergraduate 11795

ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY
Rules
Air quality implementation plans:
Florida (2 documents) 11723, 11724

FARMERS HOME ADMINISTRATION
Rules
Freedom of information; indices 11707
Notices
Disaster areas:
Michigan 11787
Mississippi 11787

FEDERAL AVIATION ADMINISTRATION
Rules
Airport, definition of 11713
Standard instrument approach procedures 11712
Transition area 11712
Proposed Rules
Crewmember interphone systems; turbojet-powered airplanes, large 11736
Flammability standards; flight attendant clothing 11737

FEDERAL DEPOSIT INSURANCE CORPORATION
Rules
Interest on deposits; temporary suspension of certain sections; North Dakota 11711

FEDERAL ENERGY ADMINISTRATION
Rules
Freedom of information 11707
Notices
Meetings:
Environmental Advisory Committee 11800

FEDERAL HIGHWAY ADMINISTRATION
Notices
New Jersey; proposed action plan 11796

FEDERAL HOME LOAN BANK BOARD
Rules
Federal Savings and Loan System; operations; preparation of tax returns by service corporations 11711
Savings and Loan Holding Companies; regulated activities; preparation o ftax returns by multiple holding companies 11712
Notices
Applications, etc.:
Golden West Financial Corp 11800

FEDERAL MARITIME COMMISSION
Notices
Agreements filed, etc.:
Far East Conference, et al 11801
North Europe—U.S. Pacific Freight Conference and Mediterranean North Pacific Coast Freight Conference 11801
Freight forwarders licenses:
Rizo, Emilio Eduardo 11802
Military sealift procurement system; first cycle uniform capacity utilization factor 11803
Oil pollution; certificates of financial responsibility (2 documents) 11801, 11804

FEDERAL POWER COMMISSION
Proposed Rules
Natural gas; national rates for jurisdictional sales of ... 11739
Notices
Land withdrawals; Oregon ... 11814
Hearings, etc.:
Amerada Hess Corp ... 11814
Anadarko Production Co ... 11814
Arizona Public Service Co ... 11813
Carolina Power & Light Co ... 11813
Cascade National Gas Corp ... 11813
Cities Service Oil Co ... 11812
El Paso Natural Gas Co. (3 documents) ... 11807, 11811, 11812
Gulf States Utilities Co. (2 documents) ... 11811
Indianapolis Power & Light Co ... 11810
Kerr-McGee Corp et al ... 11810
Lawrenceburg Gas Transmission Corp ... 11810
Mid Louisiana Gas Co ... 11809
Mid Louisiana Gas Co. and United Gas Pipe Line Co ... 11810
Mississippi River Transmission Corp ... 11809
Mobil Oil Corp ... 11804
Northern Natural Gas Co ... 11809
Northern Natural Gas Co. et al ... 11809
Northwest Pipeline Corp ... 11808
Pacific Power & Light Co ... 11808
Public Service Co. of New Hampshire ... 11808
Southern California Edison Co ... 11805
Southern Services, Inc ... 11805
Southwest Gas Corp ... 11805
Sun Oil Co ... 11806
Tennessee Gas Pipeline Co. (2 documents) ... 11806
Texas Eastern Transmission Corp ... 11807
Texas Gas Transmission Corp ... 11807
Texas Gas Transmission Corp. and Consolidated Gas Supply Corp ... 11815
Transwestern Pipeline Co ... 11815
United Gas Pipe Line Co. (2 documents) ... 11815, 11816
Upper Peninsula Power Co ... 11816
Utah Power & Light Co ... 11816

FEDERAL RESERVE SYSTEM
Rules
Bank holding companies; nonbanking activities ... 11710
Proposed Rules
State member banks; Securities, correction ... 11739
Notices
Applications, etc.:
Barnett Banks of Florida, Inc ... 11816
Capital City Bancshares, Inc ... 11818
First National Corp. of Oak Brook ... 11818
Mercantile Bancorporation, Inc ... 11818
Vici Bancorporation ... 11819
Westgate Bancshares, Inc ... 11819

FEDERAL TRADE COMMISSION
Rules
Radio and television industry; cabinet composition ... 11714

FISH AND WILDLIFE SERVICE
Rules
Fishing:
Mingo National Wildlife Refuge, Mo ... 11727
Notices
Endangered species permits; applications (4 documents) ... 11760–11764

FOOD AND DRUG ADMINISTRATION
Rules
Biologics; measles and rubella virus vaccines; standards ... 11719
Food products:
Low-acid foods; thermal processing; emergency permit control exemptions ... 11716
Human drugs:
Over-the-counter drugs; antacid and antiflatulent products ... 11718
Over-the-counter drugs; labeling ... 11717
Proposed Rules
Food labeling:
Individually wrapped pieces of confectionery; exemption from required label statements ... 11731
Notices
Human drugs:
Buclizine hydrochloride ... 11794
Reserpine and rescinnamine products ... 11794

FOOD AND NUTRITION SERVICE
Proposed Rules
School lunch program; nonfood assistance programs and state administrative expenses ... 11729

FOREST SERVICE
Notices
Environmental statements:
Six Rivers National Forest, Eightmile-Blue Creek Units ... 11787
Wallowa - Whitman National Forest, Lake Fork management unit ... 11787

GENERAL ACCOUNTING OFFICE
Notices
Regulatory reports review:
Receipt of proposals ... 11819

GENERAL SERVICES ADMINISTRATION
Notices
Meetings:
Architectural and Engineering Services, Regional Public Advisory Panel ... 11819

HEALTH, EDUCATION, AND WELFARE DEPARTMENT
See also Education Office; Food and Drug Administration; Public Health Service; Social and Rehabilitation Service.
Rules
Human subjects, protection of; technical amendments ... 11853

HEARINGS AND APPEALS OFFICE
Notices
Applications etc.:
Island Creek Coal Co ... 11765
Kentland-Elkhorn Coal Corp. (3 documents) ... 11765, 11766
Orange & Campbell Coal Co ... 11766

HOUSING AND URBAN DEVELOPMENT DEPARTMENT
Notices
Authority delegations:
Assistant Regional Administrator ... 11796
Carpet certification program ... 11796

INDIAN AFFAIRS BUREAU
Notices
Judgment funds; plan for use and distribution:
Red Lake Band of Chippewa Indians ... 11758

INTERIOR DEPARTMENT
See also Fish and Wildlife Service; Hearings and Appeals Office; Indian Affairs Bureau; Land Management Bureau; Mines Bureau; National Park Service.
Rules
Records and testimony; correction ... 11727
Notices
Advisory committees; renewal ... 11768
Environmental statements:
Bonneville Power Administration ... 11768

INTERSTATE COMMERCE COMMISSION
Notices
Fourth section application for relief ... 11825
Hearing assignments ... 11852
Motor carrier, broker, water carrier and freight forwarder applications ... 11826
Motor carriers:
Irregular-route property carriers; gateway eliminations ... 11842
Petition for declaratory order; transportation of property under incidental to aircraft exemption ... 11824
Temporary authority termination ... 11826
Transfer proceedings ... 11825
U.S. Railway Association preliminary system plan review; hearings; correction and addition ... 11852

LABOR DEPARTMENT
See also Occupational Safety and Health Administration.
Proposed Rules
Relations in Federal Service; regulatory changes to conform with Executive Order 11838 ... 11750
Worker adjustment assistance ... 11740

LAND MANAGEMENT BUREAU
Rules
Public Land Orders:
Alaska 11727
Notices
Applications, etc.:
Colorado 11758
Utah 11759
Wyoming (2 documents) 11759

MANAGEMENT AND BUDGET OFFICE
Notices
Clearance of reports; list of requests 11820

MINES BUREAU
Rules
Helium sales and rental of containers 11720

NATIONAL ADVISORY COMMITTEE ON OCEANS AND ATMOSPHERE
Notices
Meeting 11819

NATIONAL BUREAU OF STANDARDS
Notices
Commercial standards; proposed withdrawals:
Chip board 11793
Steel products 11793

NATIONAL HIGHWAY TRAFFIC SAFETY ADMINISTRATION
Rules
Consumer information regulations:
Special vehicles 11727
Proposed Rules
School buses; body joint strength 11738

NATIONAL OCEANIC AND ATMOSPHERIC ADMINISTRATION
Proposed Rules
Frozen minced fish blocks; interim standards for grades 11729
Notices
Environmental statements:
Georgia et al 11793
Oregon et al 11794

NATIONAL PARK SERVICE
Notices
Meetings:
Bent's Old Fort National Historic Site, Colo.; Master plan 11767
Gateway National Recreation Area 11767
Independence National Historical Park Advisory Commission 11767
Sleeping Bear Dunes National Lakeshore Advisory Commission; cancellation and rescheduling 11768
Snowmobile routes, designation of; Theodore Roosevelt National Memorial Park 11768

NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION
Notices
Applications, etc.:
Georgia Power Co., et al 11820
Iowa Electric Light & Power Co 11820

OCCUPATIONAL SAFETY AND HEALTH ADMINISTRATION
Notices
Meetings:
Occupational Safety and Health Federal Advisory Council 11823

POSTAL SERVICE
Rules
Organization and administration ..... 11722 ~~11784~~

PUBLIC HEALTH SERVICE
Proposed Rules
Special health career; opportunity grants 11733

SAINT LAWRENCE SEAWAY DEVELOPMENT CORPORATION
Rules
Seaway regulations; technical amendments 11721

SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION
Proposed Rules
Confidential treatment of information; request procedures 11739
Disciplinary proceedings involving professionals practicing before Commission 11739
Notices
Option plans:
Chicago Board Options Exchange, Inc 11821
Hearings, etc.:
American Stock Exchange, Inc 11821
Creative Logic Corp 11821
Equity Funding Corporation of America 11822
Industries International, Inc 11822
Westgate California Corp 11822
Zenith Development Corp 11822

SMALL BUSINESS ADMINISTRATION
Proposed Rules
Small business investment companies; changes in limits of annual cost of money 11740
Notices
Applications, etc.:
Massachusetts Capital Corp 11823
Orangeco Investment Co 11822
Disaster areas:
Georgia 11823
Meetings:
Portland District Advisory Council 11823
San Antonio District Advisory Council 11822

SOCIAL AND REHABILITATION SERVICE
Proposed Rules
Medical assistance programs; Medicaid eligibility quality control 11735

SOIL CONSERVATION SERVICE
Notices
Environmental statements:
Cottonwood-Walnut Creek Watershed Project, N. Mex 11788
Farmers Creek Watershed, Tex 11788
Happy Valley Flood Prevention Measure, Hawaii 11788
Mill Branch Watershed Project, Ga 11789
San Felipe Creek Watershed Project, Tex 11789
Thirty-Two Mile Creek Watershed Project, Nebr 11789
Timber Creek Watershed Project, Kans 11789
Zuni Pueblo Watershed Project, N. Mex 11790

STATE DEPARTMENT
Notices
Meetings:
Shipping Coordinating Committee (2 documents) 11757

TRANSPORTATION DEPARTMENT
See Federal Aviation Administration; Federal Highway Administration; National Highway Traffic Safety Administration; Saint Lawrence Seaway Development Corporation; Urban Mass Transportation Administration.

TREASURY DEPARTMENT
See Alcohol, Tobacco, and Firearms Bureau.

URBAN MASS TRANSPORTATION ADMINISTRATION
Notices
Authority delegations:
Administrator 11797
Director, Section Five Task Force 11797

VETERANS ADMINISTRATION
Notices
Meetings:
Medical Research Service Merit Review Boards 11823

list of cfr parts affected

The following numerical guide is a list of the parts of each title of the Code of Federal Regulations affected by documents published in today's issue. A cumulative list of parts affected, covering the current month to date, follows beginning with the second issue of the month.

A cumulative guide is published separately at the end of each month. The guide lists the parts and sections affected by documents published since January 1, 1974, and specifies how they are affected.

3 CFR

EO Dec. 9, 1920 (revoked in part by PLO 5491) 11727

5 CFR

213 (2 documents) 11705

7 CFR

301 11705
907 11706
1813 11707

PROPOSED RULES:

102 11728
220 11729
916 11729
917 11729

10 CFR

202 11707

12 CFR

225 11710
329 11711
545 11711
584 11712

PROPOSED RULES:

205 11739

13 CFR

PROPOSED RULES:

107 11740

14 CFR

71 11712
97 11712
139 11713

PROPOSED RULES:

121 (2 documents) 11736, 11737

16 CFR

142 11714

17 CFR

PROPOSED RULES:

200 11739
201 11739

18 CFR

PROPOSED RULES:

2 11739
154 11739
157 11739

21 CFR

90 11716
330 11717
331 11718
332 11718
630 11719

PROPOSED RULES:

1 11731

27 CFR

6 11719

29 CFR

PROPOSED RULES:

91 11740
92 11740
201 11750
202 11750
203 11750
205 11750
206 11750

30 CFR

601 11720

33 CFR

401 11721

39 CFR

221 11722
224 11722

40 CFR

52 (2 documents) 11723, 11724

42 CFR

PROPOSED RULES:

57 11733

43 CFR

2 11727
PLO 5491 11727

45 CFR

46 11854

PROPOSED RULES:

250 11735

49 CFR

575 11727

PROPOSED RULES:

571 11738

50 CFR

33 11727

PROPOSED RULES:

278 11729

CUMULATIVE LIST OF PARTS AFFECTED—MARCH

The following numerical guide is a list of parts of each title of the Code of Federal Regulations affected by documents published to date during March.

1 CFR

301 10441
302 10442
304 10442

3 CFR

PROCLAMATIONS:

3279 (Amended by Proc. 4355) 10437
4313 (Amended by Proc. 4353) 8931, 10433
4345 (Amended by Proc. 4353) 8931, 10433
4353 ..... 8931, 10433
4354 10435
4355 10437

EXECUTIVE ORDERS:

Dec. 9, 1920 (revoked in part PLO 5491) 11727
10973 (Amended by E.O. 11841) 8933
11803 (Amended by E.O. 11842) 8935
11837 (Amended by E.O. 11842) 8935
11841 8933
11842 8935

5 CFR

213 ..... 8937, 10655, 11705
2401 10951

7 CFR

20 11345
53 11535
68 10472
271 ..... 8937, 10165
272 8937
301 ..... 8763, 11705
401 ..... 8770, 8771
650 10951
905 11345
907 ..... 10474, 11706
908 8772
910 10655
944 11346
966 10953
971 10165
982 8773
1801 10953
1806 10953
1813 11707

PROPOSED RULES:

25 8824
25A 8824
29 10190
102 11728
210 10192
220 11729
271 10481
908 11587
916 11729
917 11729
959 10996
1464 10192
1701 ..... 10192, 11357

9 CFR

73 8938
78 8773
97 11346
91 10443
113 ..... 8774, 11587
304 11346

9 CFR—Continued

305 11346
317 ..... 11346, 11347
381 11347

PROPOSED RULES:

113 11587
317 10191
381 10191

10 CFR

Ch. I 8774
202 11707
211 ..... 10165, 10444
212 10444
661 10953
Ch. III 8794

RULINGS:

1975-2 10655

PROPOSED RULES:

2 8832
21 8832
31 8832
35 8832
40 8832
210 ..... 10195, 11363

12 CFR

Ch. II 10660
225 11710
270 10661
272 10661
309 11547
329 11711
545 ..... 8795, 11548, 11711
564 10449
584 11712
602 10450
701 8938
708 10167
720 10450

PROPOSED RULES:

11 10602
205 11739
206 10322
335 10376
531 11363
701 8967
745 8967

13 CFR

114 10661

PROPOSED RULES:

107 11740
121 10486

14 CFR

39 .. 8795, 8796, 8937, 10450, 10661, 10662, 10951, 11549, 11550
71 .. 8796, 8797, 10169–10172, 10662, 10663, 10951, 11550, 11551, 11712
73 ..... 8940, 10663
91 10451
97 ..... 10451, 11712
121 10173
139 11713
288 ..... 10174, 10663
302 10967
310 10663
311 10664

14 CFR—Continued

PROPOSED RULES:

21 10802
23 10802
25 10802
27 10802
29 10802
31 10802
33 10802
35 10802
37 11002
39 ..... 11003, 11596
71 .. 8830, 8958, 10193, 10194, 10692, 11003, 11597
73 11597
91 10802
121 .. 8830, 10802, 11004, 11736, 11737
127 10802
133 10802
135 10802
137 8831
Chapter II 11601
221 11602

15 CFR

4 11551

16 CFR

13 ..... 10452, 10453, 10665, 10993–10994
142 11714

17 CFR

1 11561
18 11562
19 11562
200 8797

PROPOSED RULES:

200 11739
201 11739
250 8968
270 ..... 11613, 11614
275 ..... 11613, 11614

18 CFR

3 8940
35 8946
141 ..... 8803, 11347
154 ..... 8946, 8947
260 8940
301 10668
701 10668

PROPOSED RULES:

2 11739
141 10196
154 11739
157 11739
260 10196

19 CFR

111 11562

PROPOSED RULES:

1 8955

20 CFR

PROPOSED RULES:

405 10687

21 CFR

90 11716
121 ..... 8804, 10454, 11351
122 11563
128d 11566

21 CFR—Continued
135 ..... 10455, 11348, 11570
135a 11570
135b 11570
135c 11570
135d 11348, 11349, 11571
135e 8804, 10455, 11570
146a 11571
149j 11348, 11349
330 11717
331 11718
332 11718
431 11350
436 11349
442 11350
630 ..... 8804, 11719
701 8924
740 ..... 8917, 8926
1002 10174
1308 10455
PROPOSED RULES:
1 11731

22 CFR
201 8947
503 8805

23 CFR
420 10951
712 8947
PROPOSED RULES:
658 10481
750 11361

24 CFR
200 8948
220 10177
207 ..... 10176, 10177
1914 ..... 10968–10970, 10177, 11571–11574
1915 ..... 8807, 8811, 10970, 11575

26 CFR
1 ..... 8948, 10668
PROPOSED RULES:
1 ..... 10187, 10476
54 10187

27 CFR
6 ..... 10456, 11719
PROPOSED RULES:
4 10476
5 10476
7 10476

28 CFR
2 10973
PROPOSED RULES:
2 10996

29 CFR
1601 ..... 8818, 10669
1602 8819
1903 11351
1952 ..... 8948, 11351, 11352
PROPOSED RULES:
29 11340
90 11357
91 11740
92 11740
94 10828
95 10828
96 10828
98 10828
201 11750
202 11750

29 CFR—Continued
PROPOSED RULES—Continued
203 11750
205 11750
206 11750
1910 10693

30 CFR
601 11720
PROPOSED RULES:
211 10481
216 10481

32 CFR
888c 10984
930 10984
1813 10457

33 CFR
117 10987
127 10987
207 8949
401 11721
PROPOSED RULES:
66 11598
117 8938
127 11598
183 ..... 10650, 10652
207 10187

36 CFR
PROPOSED RULES:
7 10996

38 CFR
2 8819
17 8819

39 CFR
111 8820
221 11722
224 11722
233 11579
243 8820

40 CFR
2 10460
52 ..... 10465, 10466, 10988–10992, 11723, 11724
171 11698
180 ..... 8820, 8821, 11352
PROPOSED RULES:
52 10997

41 CFR
1–7 11580
5A–2 8949
5A–7 8950
5A–16 8951
9–7 10466
9–16 10466
14–3 10467
14–30 10468
14–55 10468
14–63 10468
114–26 10468
114–43 10468

42 CFR
PROPOSED RULES:
51a 10318
53 10686
57 11733

43 CFR
2 ..... 10670, 11727
PUBLIC LAND ORDERS:
5491 11727

45 CFR
46 11854
153 11240
503 10178
1100 8321
1213 10670
PROPOSED RULES:
100c 11686
103 8955
116 11472
116a 11472
123 11590
134b 11686
134 11686
134a 11686
176 10686
249 8956
250 11735

46 CFR
PROPOSED RULES:
10 10692
12 10692

47 CFR
0 10180
15 10673
73 ..... 10180, 10469, 11353, 11354, 11581
87 8951
89 ..... 8951, 10470
91 8951
93 8952
PROPOSED RULES:
2 11612
73 ..... 10181, 10471, 11603, 11610, 11611
74 10999
76 ..... 8967, 11000, 11612
87 11091
91 11612
93 11612
95 11612
97 11612

49 CFR
7 10470
192 ..... 10181, 10471
195 10181
215 8952
390 10684
391 10684
392 10685
393 10685
394 10685
395 10685
396 10685
571 ..... 8953, 11004, 11355, 11584
575 11727
1033 ..... 8823, 10685
1300 11356
1303 11356
1304 11356
1306 11356
1307 11356
1308 11356
1309 11356
PROPOSED RULES:
179 11362
256 8953
571 ..... 8962, 10483, 11598, 11738
581 11598
609 10697

50 CFR
28 ..... 11356, 11585
33 ..... 8954, 11586, 11727
216 ..... 10182, 11586
280 10983
PROPOSED RULES:
216 10193
278 11729

FEDERAL REGISTER PAGES AND DATES—MARCH

Pages	*Date*
8764–8929	Mar. 3
8931–10163	4
10165–10432	5
10433–10654	6
10655–10950	7
10951–11344	10
11345–11534	11
11535–11704	12
11705–11858	13

rules and regulations

This section of the FEDERAL REGISTER contains regulatory documents having general applicability and legal effect most of which are keyed to and codified in the Code of Federal Regulations, which is published under 50 titles pursuant to 44 U.S.C. 1510.

The Code of Federal Regulations is sold by the Superintendent of Documents. Prices of new books are listed in the first FEDERAL REGISTER issue of each month.

Title 5—Administrative Personnel

CHAPTER I—CIVIL SERVICE COMMISSION

PART 213—EXCEPTED SERVICE

Department of Housing and Urban Development

Section 213.3384 is amended to show that one position of Assistant (special projects) to the Assistant Secretary for Housing Production and Mortgage Credit—Federal Housing Administration Commissioner is revoked. This section is further amended to show that one position of Special Assistant to the Assistant Secretary-Commissioner is excepted under Schedule C.

Effective March 13, 1975, §§ 213.3384 (b) (11) is revoked and (b) (16) is added as set out below.

§ 213.3384 Department of Housing and Urban Development.

* * * * *

(b) *Office of the Assistant Secretary for Housing Production and Mortgage Credit—Federal Housing Administration Commissioner.* * * *

(11) [Revoked].

* * * * *

(16) One Special Assistant to the Assistant Secretary for Housing Production and Mortgage Credit.

* * * * *

(5 U.S.C. secs. 3301, 3302; E.O. 10577, 3 CFR 1954–58 Comp. p. 218)

UNITED STATES CIVIL SERVICE COMMISSION,
[SEAL] JAMES C. SPRY,
Executive Assistant to the Commissioners.

[FR Doc.75-6795 Filed 3-12-75;8:45 am]

PART 213—EXCEPTED SERVICE

Federal Energy Administration

Section 213.3388 is amended to show that one position of Confidential Assistant for Legislation to the Assistant Administrator for Energy Resource Development is excepted under Schedule C.

Effective March 13, 1975, § 213.3388 (j) (2) is added as set out below.

§ 213.3388 Federal Energy Administration.

* * * * *

(j) *Office of the Assistant Administrator for Energy Resource Development.* * * *

(2) One Confidential Assistant for Legislation.

(5 U.S.C. secs 3301, 3302; E.O. 10577, 3 CFR 1954–58 Comp. p 218)

UNITED STATES CIVIL SERVICE COMMISSION,
[SEAL] JAMES C. SPRY,
Executive Assistant to the Commissioners.

[FR Doc.75-6794 Filed 3-12-75;8:45 am]

Title 7—Agriculture

CHAPTER III—ANIMAL AND PLANT HEALTH INSPECTION SERVICE, DEPARTMENT OF AGRICULTURE

PART 301—DOMESTIC QUARANTINE NOTICES

Subpart—Pink Bollworm

MISCELLANEOUS AMENDMENTS TO REGULATED AREAS

This document amends the supplemental regulation which lists regulated areas for purposes of the Federal Pink Bollworm Quarantine by removing Faulkner County, Arkansas, from the list of suppressive regulated areas and by adding all or parts of the following counties and parishes: Clay, Craighead, Crittenden, Greene, Independence, Lawrence, Lincoln, Lonoke, Mississippi, Poinsett, Pope, and Randolph Counties in Arkansas; and Bienville, Bossier, Catahoula, De Soto, Grant, Natchitoches, Red River, and Webster Parishes in Louisiana. It is further amended by extending the previously regulated area in Jefferson and Pulaski Counties in Arkansas.

In regard to areas removed from regulations, the provisions of the regulations with respect to the interstate movement of regulated articles from regulated areas in quarantined States will not apply to the interstate movement of such articles from the specified areas, but the provisions with respect to the interstate movement of regulated articles from nonregulated areas in the quarantined State will be applicable.

Pursuant to the provisions of sections 8 and 9 of the Plant Quarantine Act of August 20, 1912, as amended, and section 106 of the Federal Plant Pest Act (7 U.S.C. 161, 162, 150ee), and § 301.52–2 of the Pink Bollworm Quarantine regulations, 7 CFR 301.52–2, as amended, a supplemental regulation designating regulated areas, 7 CFR 301.52–2a, is hereby amended by revising the list of regulated areas to read as follows:

§ 301.52–2a Regulated areas; suppressive and generally infested areas.

* * * * *

ARIZONA

(1) *Generally infested area.* Entire State.
(2) *Suppressive area.* None.

ARKANSAS

(1) *Generally infested area.* None.
(2) *Suppressive area.*
Clay County. The entire county.
Conway County. The entire county.
Craighead County. The entire county.
Crittenden County. That portion of the county lying north of U.S. Highway 70, west of Interstate 55, and south of State Highway 42.
Franklin County. The entire county.
Greene County. The entire county.
Independence County. The entire county.
Jackson County. The entire county.
Jefferson County. The entire county.
Johnson County. The entire county.
Lafayette County. The entire county.
Lawrence County. The entire county.
Lincoln County. That portion of the county lying north of U.S. Highway 65.
Little River County. The entire county.
Logan County. The entire county.
Lonoke County. The entire county.
Miller County. The entire county.
Mississippi County. That portion of the county lying north of State Highway 14, east of Interstate Highway 55, and south of State Highway 140.
Poinsett County. That portion of the county lying west of the St. Francis River.
Pope County. The entire county.
Pulaski County. The entire county.
Randolph County. The entire county.
Woodruff County. The entire county.
Yell County. The entire county.

CALIFORNIA

(1) *Generally infested area.*
Imperial County. The entire county.
Inyo County. That portion of the county lying east of the east boundary of Range 4 East, SBBM.
Los Angeles County. That portion of the county lying east of the east boundary of Range 15 West and north of the north boundary of Township 4 North, SBBM.
Riverside County. The entire county.
San Bernardino County. That portion of the county lying east of the east boundary of Range 4 East, SBBM.
San Diego County. The entire county.
(2) *Suppressive area.*
Fresno County. The entire county.
Kern County. The entire county.
Kings County. The entire county.
Madera County. The entire county.
Merced County. The entire county.
San Benito County. The entire county.
Tulare County. The entire county.

LOUISIANA

(1) *Generally infested area.* None.
(2) *Suppressive area.*
Bienville Parish. The entire parish.

Bossier Parish. The entire parish.
Caddo Parish. The entire parish.
Catahoula Parish. The entire parish.
De Soto Parish. The entire parish.
Grant Parish. The entire parish.
Natchitoches Parish. The entire parish.
Rapides Parish. The entire parish.
Red River Parish. The entire parish.
Webster Parish. The entire parish.

NEVADA

(1) *Generally infested area.*
Clark County. The entire county.
Nye County. The entire county.
(2) *Suppressive area.* None.

NEW MEXICO

(1) *Generally infested area.* Entire State.
(2) *Suppressive area.* None.

OKLAHOMA

(1) *Generally infested area.* Entire State.
(2) *Suppressive area.* None.

TEXAS

(1) *Generally infested area.* Entire State.
(2) *Suppressive area.* None.

(Secs. 8, 9, 37 Stat. 318, as amended, sec. 106, 71 Stat. 33 (7 U.S.C. 161, 162, 150ee); 37 FR 28464, 28477; 38 FR 19140; 7 CFR 301.52-2, as amended)

The Deputy Administrator of the Plant Protection and Quarantine Programs has determined that the pink bollworm has been found or there is reason to believe it is present in the civil divisions and parts of civil divisions listed above as regulated areas or that it is necessary to regulate such areas because of their proximity to pink bollworm infested localities. Further, the Deputy Administrator has found that facts exist as to the pest risk involved in the areas removed from the list of regulated areas which make it safe to relieve the requirements of the quarantine as provided herein. He has also determined that the areas designated as suppressive and generally infested areas are eligible for such designation under § 301.52-1, as amended.

The Deputy Administrator has also determined that each of the quarantined States, wherein only portions of the State have been designated as regulated areas, has adopted and is enforcing a quarantine or regulation which imposes restrictions on intrastate movement of the regulated articles which are substantially the same as the restrictions on interstate movement of such articles imposed by the quarantine and regulations in this subpart, and that designation of less than the entire State as a regulated area will otherwise be adequate to prevent the interstate spread of the pink bollworm. Therefore, such civil divisions and parts of civil divisions listed above are designated as pink bollworm regulated areas.

This document imposes restrictions that are necessary in order to prevent the spread of the pink bollworm and should be made effective promptly to accomplish its purpose in the public interest. Accordingly, it is found upon good cause under the administrative procedure provisions of 5 U.S.C. 553, that further notice and other public procedure with respect to this revision are impracticable and contrary to the public interest, and good cause is found for making it effective less than 30 days after publication in the FEDERAL REGISTER.

Effective date. This amendment will become effective March 13, 1975.

Done at Washington, D.C., this 6th day of March 1975.

JAMES O. LEE, Jr.,
Acting Deputy Administrator, Plant Protection and Quarantine Programs.

[FR Doc.75-6645 Filed 3-12-75;8:45 am]

CHAPTER IX—AGRICULTURAL MARKETING SERVICE (MARKETING AGREEMENTS AND ORDERS; FRUITS, VEGETABLES, NUTS), DEPARTMENT OF AGRICULTURE

[Navel Orange Regulation 343]

PART 907—NAVEL ORANGES GROWN IN ARIZONA AND DESIGNATED PART OF CALIFORNIA

Limitation of Handling

This regulation fixes the quantity of California-Arizona Navel oranges that may be shipped to fresh market during the weekly regulation period March 14-20, 1975. It is issued pursuant to the Agricultural Marketing Agreement Act of 1937, as amended, and Marketing Order No. 907. The quantity of Navel oranges so fixed was arrived at after consideration of the total available supply of Navel oranges, the quantity currently available for market, the fresh market demand for Navel oranges, Navel orange prices, and the relationship of season average returns to the parity price for Navel oranges.

§ 907.643 Navel Orange Regulation 343.

(a) *Findings.* (1) Pursuant to the marketing agreement, as amended, and Order No. 907, as amended (7 CFR Part 907), regulating the handling of Navel oranges grown in Arizona and designated part of California, effective under the applicable provisions of the Agricultural Marketing Agreement Act of 1937, as amended (7 U.S.C. 601-674), and upon the basis of the recommendations and information submitted by the Navel Orange Administrative Committee, established under the said amended marketing agreement and order, and upon other available information, it is hereby found that the limitation of handling of such Navel oranges, as hereinafter provided, will tend to effectuate the declared policy of the act.

(2) The need for this regulation to limit the respective quantities of Navel oranges that may be marketed from District 1, District 2, and District 3 during the ensuing week stems from the production and marketing situation confronting the Navel orange industry.

(i) The committee has submitted its recommendation with respect to the quantities of Navel oranges that should be marketed during the next succeeding week. Such recommendation, designed to provide equity of marketing opportunity to handlers in all districts, resulted from consideration of the factors enumerated in the order. The committee further reports that the fresh market demand for Navel oranges shows signs of strengthening following a period of weakness. Prices f.o.b. averaged $3.66 per carton on a reported sales volume of 1,295 carlots last week, compared with an average f.o.b. price of $3.79 per carton and sales of 1,542 carlots a week earlier. Track and rolling supplies at 662 cars were down 39 cars from last week.

(ii) Having considered the recommendation and information submitted by the committee, and other available information, the Secretary finds that the respective quantities of Navel oranges which may be handled should be fixed as hereinafter set forth.

(3) It is hereby further found that it is impracticable and contrary to the public interest to give preliminary notice, engage in public rule-making procedure, and postpone the effective date of this regulation until 30 days after publication hereof in the FEDERAL REGISTER (5 U.S.C. 553) because the time intervening between the date when information upon which this regulation is based became available and the time this regulation must become effective in order to effectuate the declared policy of the act is insufficient, and a reasonable time is permitted, under the circumstances, for preparation for such effective time; and good cause exists for making the provisions hereof effective as hereinafter set forth. The committee held an open meeting during the current week, after giving due notice thereof, to consider supply and market conditions for Navel oranges and the need for regulation; interested persons were afforded an opportunity to submit information and views at this meeting; the recommendation and supporting information for regulation, including its effective time, are identical with the aforesaid recommendation of the committee, and information concerning such provisions and effective time has been disseminated among handlers of such Navel oranges; it is necessary, in order to effectuate the declared policy of the act, to make this regulation effective during the period herein specified; and compliance with this regulation will not require any special preparation on the part of persons subject hereto which cannot be completed on or before the effective date hereof. Such committee meeting was held on March 11, 1975.

(b) *Order.* (1) The respective quantities of Navel oranges grown in Arizona and designated part of California which may be handled during the period March 14, 1975, through March 20, 1975, are hereby fixed as follows:

(i) District 1: 1,275,000 cartons;

(ii) District 2: 225,000 cartons;

(iii) District 3: Unlimited movement."

(2) As used in this section, "handled," "District 1," "District 2," "District 3," and "carton" have the same meaning as when used in said amended marketing agreement and order.

(Secs. 1–19, 48 Stat. 31, as amended (7 U.S.C. 601–674))

Dated: March 12, 1975.

CHARLES R. BORDER,
Deputy Director, Fruit and Vegetable Division, Agricultural Marketing Service.

[FR Doc.75-6860 Filed 3-12-75;12:27 pm]

CHAPTER XVIII—FARMERS HOME ADMINISTRATION, DEPARTMENT OF AGRICULTURE

SUBCHAPTER A—GENERAL REGULATIONS

[FmHA Instruction 104.1]

PART 1813—PUBLIC INFORMATION, AVAILABILITY OF MATERIALS AND RECORDS

Indexes

The amendment of section 552 of Title 5, United States Code, known as the Freedom of Information Act by Pub. L. 93-502, enacted November 21, 1974, and effective February 19, 1975, provides for the availability for public inspection and copying current indexes of the information that section requires. The amendment adds a requirement that each Agency publish [these] indexes at least quarterly "unless it determines by order published in the FEDERAL REGISTER that the publication would be unnecessary and impracticable." * * *"

The Farmers Home Administration has under consideration the complete revision of its regulation Part 1813, "Public Information, Availability of Materials and Records," Chapter XVIII, Title 7, Code of Federal Regulations, published at 35 FR 11120; 35 FR 13571. Pending revision of the entire regulation, § 1813.4 of this Part is amended by adding paragraph (c) to comply with Pub. L. 93-502 as it amends 5 U.S.C. section 552(a)(2), pertaining to the requirement that each Agency publish and distribute an index or supplements thereto. Farmers Home Administration has determined that publication of an index is impracticable and unnecessary as authorized by U.S.C. 552(a)(2), as amended.

As amended, § 1813.4(c) as added, will read as follows:

§ 1813.4 Availability of staff manual items, forms, and related materials.

* * * * *

(c) *Indexes.* The indexes providing identifying information for the public as to matters issued, adopted, and promulgated by the FmHA are available for inspection and copying at the National Office and at each State and County Office. The Agency has determined that periodic publication and distribution is unnecessary and impracticable as permitted by the Freedom of Information Act.

* * * * *

(7 U.S.C. 1989; 42 U.S.C. 1480; 40 U.S.C. 442; 42 U.S.C. 2942; 5 U.S.C. 301, Section 10 of Pub. L. 93-357, 88 Stat. 392; delegation of authority by the Sec. of Agri., 7 CFR 2.23; delegation of authority by the Asst. Sec. for Rural Development, 7 CFR 2.70; delegations of authority by Dir., OEO, 29 FR 14764, 33 FR 9850.)

Effective date. March 13, 1975.

Date: March 6, 1975.

FRANK B. ELLIOTT,
Administrator, Farmers Home Administration.

[FR Doc.75-6598 Filed 3-12-75;8:45 am]

Title 10—Energy

CHAPTER II—FEDERAL ENERGY ADMINISTRATION

PART 202—PRODUCTION OR DISCLOSURE OF MATERIAL OR INFORMATION

Freedom of Information Act Regulations

On February 10, 1975, the Federal Energy Administration issued a notice of proposed rulemaking (40 FR 6684, February 13, 1975) to amend Chapter II of Title 10 of the Code of Federal Regulations, to revise Subpart A of Part 202 of that chapter, regarding production or disclosure of material or information in accordance with the Freedom of Information Act (5 U.S.C. 552, as amended). These revisions reflect the amendments to the Freedom of Information Act enacted as Pub. L. 93-502 (88 Stat. 1561). Written comments from interested persons were invited through February 24, 1975.

One written comment was received in response to the notice of proposed rulemaking, and was considered by FEA. The FEA has concluded that, with certain modifications which reflect that comment, the amended Subpart A of Part 202 should be adopted as proposed. These modifications merely clarify the regulations and do not reflect substantive changes.

Section 202.3(b) of the proposed regulations provides that persons making requests for records under the FOI Act relating to matters in pending litigation should identify the court and its location. In order to clarify that this requirement is intended merely to aid FEA in locating the documents, the FEA has added the phrase "to aid in locating the documents" at the end of the third sentence of § 202.3(b).

Section 202.9(b) of the proposed regulations relates to release of reasonably segregable portions of records, after deletion of exempt materials. That section has been revised, in response to public comment, to clarify that FEA will provide reasonably segregable portions of records upon request, and that materials which FEA is authorized to withhold from disclosure by 5 U.S.C. 552(b), will in fact only be withheld when such deletions are required by a statute, such as 18 U.S.C. 1905, or when the FEA determines that such deletions are in the public interest.

(Freedom of Information Act, 5 U.S.C. 552, as amended; Federal Energy Administration Act of 1974, Pub. L. 93-275; E.O. 11790, 39 FR 23185)

In consideration of the foregoing, Subpart A of Part 202, Chapter II of Title 10, Code of Federal Regulations is amended as set forth below, effective February 19, 1975.

Issued in Washington, D.C., March 7, 1975.

ROBERT E. MONTGOMERY, Jr.
General Counsel, Federal Energy Administration.

Chapter II of Title 10, Code of Federal Regulations is amended, by revising Subpart A of Part 202 to read as follows:

Subpart A—Production or Disclosure Under 5 U.S.C. 552

Sec.

202.1 Purpose and scope.
202.2 Public reference facilities.
202.3 Requests for reasonably described records and copies.
202.4 Time for response to request for records.
202.5 Responses by Information Access Officer: form and content.
202.6 Appeals to the Deputy Administrator from initial denials.
202.7 Maintenance of files.
202.8 Fees for provision of records.
202.9 Exemptions.
202.10 Computation of time.

AUTHORITY: 5 U.S.C. 552, as amended; Pub. L. 93-275; E.O. 11790, 39 FR 23185.

Subpart A—Production or Disclosure Under 5 U.S.C. 552

§ 202.1 Purpose and scope.

This subpart contains the regulations of the Federal Energy Administration (FEA) implementing 5 U.S.C. 552 (1970) as amended by Pub. L. 93-502, 88 Stat. 1561. The regulations of this subpart provide information concerning the procedures by which records may be obtained from all divisions within the FEA. Official records of the FEA made available pursuant to the requirements of 5 U.S.C. 552 shall be furnished to members of the public as prescribed by this subpart. Officers and employees of the FEA may furnish to the public, informally and without compliance with procedures prescribed herein, information and records of types which prior to enactment of 5 U.S.C. 552 were furnished customarily in the regular performance of their duties to the public by other agencies. Persons seeking information or records of the FEA may find it useful to consult with FEA's Office of Public Affairs before invoking the formal procedures set out below. To the extent permitted by other laws, the FEA will make available records which it is authorized to withhold under 5 U.S.C. 552 unless it determines that such disclosure is not in the public interest.

§ 202.2 Public reference facilities.

(a) The National Office, FEA and Regional Offices, FEA will maintain in a public reading room or public reading area, the materials relating to that office which are required by 5 U.S.C. 552(a)(2) and 552(a)(4) to be made available for public inspection and copying.

(b) Each of these public reference facilities will maintain and make available for public inspection and copying

current indexes of the materials available at that facility which are required to be indexed by 5 U.S.C. 552(a)(2), and the National Office, FEA, will maintain and make available for public inspection and copying copies of all such indexes. Energy Management: Federal Energy Guidelines, a commercially published loose leaf reporter system, indexes and publishes a number of the materials required to be made public under 5 U.S.C. 552(a)(2), and is available through private commercial subscription. The Guidelines are available for inspection and copying in the public reading rooms. In addition, the FEA National Energy Information Center will publish, on a quarterly basis, a listing of all energy data bases and publications as a guide to other types of information, not referred to by 5 U.S.C. 552(a)(2), collected or generated by the FEA.

§ 202.3 Requests for reasonably described records and copies.

(a) *Addressed to the Director of Public Affairs.* A request for a record of the FEA which is not customarily made available and which is not available in a public reference facility as described in § 202.2 shall be addressed to the Director of Public Affairs, Federal Energy Administration, Washington, D.C. 20461, and shall be clearly marked on the envelope "Attention: Information Access Officer". Except as provided in § 202.8(c), a request which is so addressed and marked will be considered to be received by the FEA for purposes of 5 U.S.C. 552(a)(6) when it has been delivered to the FEA National Office by the United States Postal Service if mailed, and upon delivery to the Information Access Office, Room 206, Old Post Office Building at 12th and Pennsylvania Avenue N.W., Washington, D.C., if hand-delivered. A request under 5 U.S.C. 552 which is not so addressed and marked shall be considered to be received upon actual receipt by the Information Access Officer. Documents delivered after regular business hours are deemed received on the next regular business day. Regular business hours for the FEA National Office are 8 a.m. to 4:30 p.m.

(b) *Request should be in writing and for reasonably described records.* A request for access to records should be submitted in writing and should reasonably describe the records requested to enable FEA personnel to locate them with a reasonable amount of effort. Where possible, specific information regarding dates, titles, file designations, and other information which may help identify the records should be supplied by the requester, including the names and titles of any FEA officers or employees who have been contacted regarding the request prior to filing of a written request. If the request relates to a matter in pending litigation, the court and its location should be identified to aid in locating the documents. If the records are known to be in a Regional Office of the FEA, the request should so state and should identify the Regional Office concerned.

(c) *Form may be requested.* Where the information supplied by the requester is not sufficient to permit location of the records by FEA personnel with a reasonable amount of effort, the requester may be sent and asked to fill out and return a form which is designed to elicit the necessary information.

(d) *Categorical requests*—(1) *Must meet reasonably described records requirement.* A request for all records falling within a reasonably specific category shall be regarded as conforming to the statutory requirement that records be reasonably described if it can reasonably be determined which particular records are sought in the requests, and the records can be searched for, collected, and produced without unreasonably burdening or interfering with FEA operations because of the staff time consumed or the resulting disruption of files.

(2) *Assistance in reformulating nonconforming requests.* If it is determined that a categorical request would unreasonably burden or interfere with the operations of the FEA under subparagraph (1) of this paragraph, the response denying the request on those grounds shall specify the reasons why and the extent to which compliance would burden or interfere with FEA operations, and shall extend to the requester an opportunity to confer with knowledgeable FEA personnel in an attempt to restate the request or reduce the request to manageable proportions by reformulation and by agreeing on an orderly procedure for the production of the records.

(e) *Requests for records of other agencies.* Some of the records in the files of the FEA have been obtained from other federal agencies. Where it is determined that the question of the availability of requested records is primarily the responsibility of another federal agency, the Information Access Officer will inquire of the originating agency as to whether it concurs in release of the records. If that agency does not concur within the time for FEA response to the request, the Information Access Officer will refer the request to the originating agency, and inform the requester of the appropriate official with whom to pursue his request. The FEA will accompany such referral with a recommendation, based on the interest of FEA in such records, concerning the disclosure of the requested records.

§ 202.4 Time for response to request for records.

(a) An Information Access Officer, appointed by the Director of Public Affairs, shall be responsible for processing written requests for records submitted pursuant to this part. Upon receiving such a request, the Information Access Officer shall ascertain which division or divisions of the FEA have primary responsibility for, custody of, or concern with the records requested and forward the request to such division or divisions, who shall promptly identify and review the records encompassed by the request. After reviewing the material, the division or divisions concerned shall forward to the Information Access Officer either the requested material, or a recommendation that the request be wholly or partially denied. Any recommendation that a request be denied shall set forth the policy considerations supporting such denial and shall be forwarded, with the information sought or a representative sample thereof, to the Information Access Officer, who shall provide such recommendation and materials to the General Counsel for his review and recommendation.

(b) On the basis of the recommendations of the division or divisions, the Information Access Officer shall either (1) grant the request, (2) deny the request, (3) grant it in part and/or deny it in part, or (4) reply with a response either stating that the request has been referred to another agency under § 202.3(e) of this part, or that additional information is needed from the requester to render the records reasonably described; such a response shall specify any further information needed by the FEA from the requester, the agency to whom the request has been referred, if any, and the name of the appropriate official of that agency with whom to pursue the matter. The Deputy Administrator or his delegate shall, in cases of denials of requests, determine the official or officials responsible for such denial.

(c) Action pursuant to paragraph (b) of this section shall be taken within 10 days of receipt of a request for FEA records ("receipt" is defined in § 202.3(a)) except that if unusual circumstances require an extension of time before a decision of a request can be reached, and the person requesting records is promptly informed in writing setting forth the reasons for such extension and the date on which a determination is expected to be dispatched, then the Information Access Officer may respond to the request within 15 days of the receipt of the request, or within 20 days of receipt of the request if the Director, Office of Exceptions and Appeals shall concur with such later response. If a response is given by FEA stating that additional information is needed from the requester to render records reasonably described, any reformulated request submitted by the requester shall be treated as an initial request for purposes of time for FEA response.

(d) For purposes of this section and § 202.6(b) the term "unusual circumstances" means:

(1) the need to search for and collect the requested records from field facilities or other establishments that are separate from the office processing the request;

(2) the need to search for, collect and appropriately examine a voluminous amount of separate and distinct records which are demanded in a single request; or

(3) the need for consultation, which shall be conducted with all practicable speed, with another agency having a substantial interest in the determination of the request or among two or more components of the agency having substantial subject-matter interest therein.

(e) If the Information Access Officer does not respond to a request for records

within the time limits provided in paragraph (c) of this section, the requester may petition the Deputy Administrator to take appropriate measures to assure prompt action on the request.

(f) For purposes of this section, the term "division" includes all administrative or operating units of the FEA.

§ 202.5 Responses by Information Access Officer: form and content.

(a) *Form of grant.* When a requested record has been identified and is to be made available, the Information Access Officer or other appropriate official of FEA shall notify the requester as to when the record is available, and shall promptly make the records available to the person making the request. The notification shall also advise the requester of any applicable fees under § 202.8.

(b) *Form of denial.* A reply denying a written request for a record shall be in writing signed by the Information Access Officer and shall include:

(1) *Reason for denial:* A statement of the reason for denial, containing, as applicable:

(i) *Exemption category.* A reference to the specific exemption under the Freedom of Information Act authorizing the withholding of the record, and to the extent consistent with the purposes of the exemption, a brief explanation of how the exemption applies to the record withheld, and, if the Information Access Officer considers it appropriate, a statement of why the exempt record is being withheld;

(ii) *Denial because record cannot be located or does not exist.* If a requested record is known to have been destroyed or otherwise disposed of, or if no such record was ever known to exist, the requester shall be so notified.

(2) *Persons responsible for denial.* A statement setting forth the names and the titles or positions of each person responsible for the denial of such request; and

(3) *Administrative appeal and judicial review.* A statement that the denial may be appealed within 30 days to the Deputy Administrator, and the judicial review will be thereafter available either in the district in which the requester resides or has a principal place of business or in which the agency records are situated or in the District of Columbia.

§ 202.6 Appeals to the Deputy Administrator from initial denials.

(a) *Appeal to Deputy Administrator.* When the Information Access Officer has denied a request for records in whole or in part, the requester may, within 30 days of its receipt, appeal the denial to the Deputy Administrator, FEA. The appeal shall be in writing and shall be addressed to the Deputy Administrator, Federal Energy Administration, Washington, D.C. 20461, and shall be clearly marked on the envelope "Appeal—Freedom of Information Act; Attention: Director, Office of Exceptions and Appeals." A request which is so addressed and marked will be considered to be received by the FEA for purposes of 5 U.S.C. 552(a)(6) when it has been delivered to the FEA National Office by the United States Postal Service if mailed, and upon delivery to the Office of Exceptions and Appeals, Room 8002, 2000 M Street NW., Washington, D.C., if hand-delivered. An appeal of the denial of a request which is not so addressed and marked shall be considered to be received upon actual receipt by the Director, Office of Exceptions and Appeals. Documents delivered after regular business hours are deemed received on the next regular business day. Regular business hours for the FEA National Office are 8 a.m. to 4:30 p.m.

(b) *Action within 20 days.* The Deputy Administrator shall act upon the appeal within 20 days of its receipt, and more rapidly if practicable, except that if unusual circumstances require an extension of time before a decision on a request can be reached, the Director, Office of Exceptions and Appeals, acting on behalf of the Deputy Administrator, may extend the time for final action for an additional 10 days less the number of days of any extension which may have been taken by the Information Access Officer during the period of initial determination, upon notifying the requester in writing of the reasons for the extended deadline and the date on which a final determination is expected to be dispatched.

(c) *Form of action on appeal.* The Deputy Administrator's action on an appeal shall be in writing, and shall set forth his name and title. A denial in whole or in part of a request on appeal shall set forth the exemption relied on, a brief explanation consistent with the purpose of the exemption of how the exemption applies to the records withheld, and the reasons for asserting it. It shall also contain a statement that judicial review will be available either in the district in which the requester resides or has a principal place of business or in which the agency records are situated or in the District of Columbia. Documents determined by the Deputy Administrator to be documents subject to release shall be made promptly available to the applicant.

§ 202.7 Maintenance of files.

(a) *Maintenance of file open to public.* The Information Access Officer shall maintain a file, open to the public, which shall contain copies of all grants or denials of all requests for information or appeals made under this subpart. The material shall be indexed by the exemption asserted by the FEA, if any, and, to the extent feasible, according to the type of records requested.

(b) *Protection of privacy.* Where the identity of a requester, or other identifying details related to a request, would constitute an invasion of a personal privacy if made generally available, the Information Access Officer shall delete identifying details from the copies of documents maintained in the public file established under paragraph (a) of this section.

§ 202.8 Fees for provision of records.

(a) *When charged.* User fees pursuant to 5 U.S.C. 552, as amended and 31 U.S.C. 483a, shall be charged according to the schedule contained in paragraph (b) of this section for services rendered in responding to requests for FEA records under this subpart unless the Information Access Officer determines, in conformity with the provisions of 5 U.S.C. 552, as amended, and 31 U.S.C. 483a, that waiver of payment of such charges or a portion thereof is in the public interest. Such a determination shall ordinarily not be made unless the service to be performed will be of benefit primarily to the public as opposed to the requester, or unless the requester is an indigent individual. Fees shall not be charged where they would amount, in the aggregate, for a request or series of related requests, to less than $3. Ordinarily, fees for search shall not be charged if the records requested are not found, or if all of the records located are withheld as exempt. However, if the time expended in processing the request is substantial, and if the requester has been notified of the estimated cost pursuant to paragraph (c) of this section and has been specifically advised that it cannot be determined in advance whether any records will be made available, fees for search may be charged.

(b) *Services charged for, and amount charged.* For the services listed below expended in locating or making available records or copies thereof, the following charges shall be assessed:

(1) *Copies.* For copies of documents (maximum of 5 copies will be supplied) $.10 per copy of each page.

(2) *Clerical searches.* For each one quarter hour spent by clerical personnel in excess of the first quarter hour in searching for and producing a requested record, $1.25.

(3) *Certification.* For certification of true copies, each, $1.

(4) *Nonroutine, nonclerical searches.* Where a search cannot be performed by clerical personnel, for example, where the task of determining which records fall within a request and collecting them requires the time of professional or managerial personnel, and where the amount of time that must be expended in the search and collection of the requested records by such higher level personnel is substantial, charges for the search may be made at a rate in excess of the clerical rate, namely for each one quarter hour spent in excess of the first quarter hour by such higher level personnel in searching for a requested record, $3.75.

(5) *Examination and related tasks in screening records.* No charge shall be made for time spent in resolving legal or policy issues affecting access to records of known contents. In addition, no charge shall be made for the time involved in examining records to determine whether they are exempt from mandatory disclosure and should be withheld as a matter of sound policy.

(6) *Computerized records.* Fees for services in processing requests maintained in whole or part in computerized

form shall be in accordance with this section so far as practicable. Services of personnel in the nature of a search will be charged for at rates prescribed in paragraph (b)(4) of this section unless the level of personnel involved permits rates in accordance with paragraph (b)(2) of this section. A charge may be made for the computer time involved, based upon the prevailing level of costs to governmental organizations and upon the particular types of computer and associated equipment and the amounts of time on such equipment that are utilized. A charge may also be made for any substantial amounts of special supplies or materials used to contain, present, or make available the output of computers, based upon prevailing levels of costs to governmental organizations and upon the type and amount of such supplies or materials that is used. Nothing in this paragraph shall be construed to entitle any person, as of right, to any services in connection with computerized records, other than services to which such person may be entitled under 5 U.S.C. 552 and under the provisions, not including this paragraph (b), of this subpart.

(c) *Notice of anticipated fees in excess of $25.* Unless the requester specifically states that he is willing to pay whatever fees are assessed by FEA for meeting the request or, alternatively, specifies an amount in excess of $25 which he is willing to pay and which in fact covers the anticipated fees for meeting the request, a request that is expected to involve assessed fees in excess of $25 will not be deemed to have been received until the requester is advised of the anticipated cost, agrees to bear it, and makes any advance deposit required. Such notification shall be made by the Information Access Officer promptly upon receipt of the request.

(d) *Form of payment.* Payment should be made by check or money order payable to the Treasury of the United States.

§ 202.9 Exemptions.

(a) 5 U.S.C. 552 exempts from all of its publication and disclosure requirements nine categories of records which are described in subsection (b) of that section. These categories include such matters as national defense and foreign policy information; investigatory files, internal procedures and communications; materials exempted from disclosure by other statutes; information given in confidence; and matters involving personal privacy. Specifically, the exemption in 5 U.S.C. 552(b) applies to matters that are—

(1)(i) Specifically authorized under criteria established by an Executive order to be kept secret in the interest of the national defense or foreign policy and (ii) are in fact properly classified pursuant to such Executive order;

(2) Related solely to the internal personnel rules and practices of an agency;

(3) Specifically exempted from disclosure by statute;

(4) Trade secrets and commercial or financial information obtained from a person and privileged or confidential;

(5) Inter-agency or intra-agency memorandums or letters which would not be available by law to a party other than an agency in litigation with the agency;

(6) Personnel and medical files and similar files the disclosure of which would constitute a clearly unwarranted invasion of personal privacy;

(7) Investigatory records compiled for law enforcement purposes, but only to the extent that the production of such records would (i) interfere with enforcement proceedings, (ii) deprive a person of a right to a fair trial or an impartial adjudication, (iii) constitute an unwarranted invasion of personal privacy, (iv) disclose the identity of a confidential source and, in the case of a record compiled by a criminal law enforcement authority in the course of a criminal investigation, or by an agency conducting a lawful national security intelligence investigation, confidential information furnished only by the confidential source, (v) disclose investigative techniques and procedures, or (vi) endanger the life or physical safety of law enforcement personnel;

(8) Contained in or related to examination, operating, or condition reports prepared by, on behalf of, or for the use of an agency responsible for the regulation or supervision of financial institutions; or

(9) Geological and geophysical information and data, including maps, concerning wells.

(b) Any reasonably segregable portion of a record will be provided to any person requesting such record. The FEA will delete portions which are exempt under the exceptions listed above only if it is determined that such deletions are required by law or are in the public interest.

(c) The scope of the exemption is discussed generally in the Attorney General's Memorandum on the Public Information section of the Administrative Procedure Act, which was published in June 1967 and the Attorney General's Memorandum on the 1974 amendments to the Freedom of Information Act, published in February 1975. These documents are available from the Superintendent of Documents and may be consulted in considering questions arising under 5 U.S.C. 552.

§ 202.10 Computation of time.

In computing any period of time prescribed or allowed by this subpart, the day of the event from which the designated period of time begins to run is not to be included; the last day of the period so computed is to be included; and Saturdays, Sundays and legal public holidays are excepted.

[FR Doc.75-6602 Filed 3-10-75;1:49 pm]

Title 12—Banks and Banking

CHAPTER II—FEDERAL RESERVE SYSTEM

SUBCHAPTER A—BOARD OF GOVERNORS OF THE FEDERAL RESERVE SYSTEM

[Reg. Y]

PART 225—BANK HOLDING COMPANIES

Nonbanking Activities of Bank Holding Companies

Subsection 4 is being added to § 225.123(g) to indicate the Board's view that computer output microfilm services by themselves are not permissible data processing within the meaning of § 225.4(a)(8) of the Board's Regulation Y (12 CFR 225.4(a)(8)). The Board views the offering of computer output microfilm services by a bank holding company or a subsidiary of a bank holding company to be a permissible activity within the confines of § 225.4(a)(8) when offered only as an output option for data otherwise being permissibly processed by the bank holding company or the subsidiary of the bank holding company and not as a separate line of endeavor.

As amended, § 225.123(g) is revised to read as follows:

§ 225.123 Activities closely related to banking.

* * * * *

(g) Data processing: The authority of holding companies under § 225.4(a) to engage in data processing activities is intended to permit holding companies to process, by means of a computer or otherwise, data for others of the kinds banks have processed, by one means or another, in conducting their internal operations and accommodating their customers. It is not intended to permit holding companies to engage in automated data processing activities by developing programs either upon their own initiative or upon request, unless the data involved are financially oriented. The Board regards as incidental activities necessary to carry on the permissible activities in this area the following: (1) making excess computer time available to anyone so long as the only involvement by the holding company system is furnishing the facility and necessary operating personnel; (2) selling a byproduct of the development of a program for a permissible data processing activity; and (3) furnishing any data processing service upon request of a customer if such data processing service is not otherwise reasonably available in the relevant market area; and (4) supplying formatting for computer output microfilm and supplying computer output microfilm only as an output option for data otherwise being permissibly processed by the holding company system.

By order of the Board of Governors, effective March 7, 1975.

[SEAL] THEODORE E. ALLISON,
Secretary of the Board.

[FR Doc.75-6558 Filed 3-12-75;8:45 am]

CHAPTER III—FEDERAL DEPOSIT INSURANCE CORPORATION

PART 329—INTEREST ON DEPOSITS

Order Granting Temporary Suspension of Certain Sections

North Dakota State insured nonmember banks, represented by the North Dakota Bankers Association, have petitioned the FDIC for a temporary suspension of §§ 329.4(e)(1) and 329.4(e)(2) of its regulations (12 CFR 329.4(e)(1) and 329.4(e)(2)). These sections define any amendment of a time deposit contract which results in an increase in the rate of interest paid thereon, or the conversion of an existing time deposit to a new deposit with a longer maturity and a higher rate of interest, as the payment of that time deposit before maturity. When a bank pays a time deposit prior to maturity, the depositor must forfeit three months' interest on the amount withdrawn and the rate of interest on the amount withdrawn is reduced to the rate paid on savings accounts (12 CFR 329.4(d)(1)).

We have been advised that until recently, North Dakota was the only State in the Nation to set interest rate ceilings for time deposits in its banks which were lower than those established by the FDIC and the Federal Reserve. On January 28, 1975 the Governor of the State of North Dakota signed a bill passed by the Legislative Assembly removing the statutory 6 percent interest rate limitation and authorizing the State Banking Board to establish new maximum interest rates at levels equal to those established by the FDIC and the Federal Reserve. The State Banking Board has issued a rule effective February 15, 1975 authorizing North Dakota banks to pay the same rates on time and savings deposits as FDIC insured nonmember banks and Federal Reserve member banks in other states.

Insured nonmember banks located in North Dakota have stated their intention to offer higher interest rates to new depositors and also to raise interest rates on existing time deposits to give their current customers the benefit of the statutory and regulatory change. In the latter case, however, the penalty required by virtue of §§ 329.4(e)(1) and 329.4(e)(2) when the interest rate is increased on an existing time deposit or the deposit converted to a new deposit with a longer maturity and a higher rate of interest, will effectively nullify any advantage which would be gained by increasing the interest rate on existing contracts. The North Dakota Bankers Association has asked the FDIC's Board of Directors to grant insured nonmember banks a period in which they may adjust the rates on existing time deposits without imposition of a penalty.

The amendments to § 329.4 which treat increases in interest rates and conversions to new deposits with longer maturities and higher interest rates as withdrawals prior to maturity, were proposed on July 24, 1973 and adopted by FDIC on August 14, 1973. The effective date was deferred to September 10, 1973. Thus, the comment period and delayed effective date provided in connection with that action, gave banks, in effect, a "grace period" within which to increase interest rates without penalty. Because of the 6 percent ceiling imposed by State law, banks in North Dakota were unable to take advantage of this penalty-free conversion period. The temporary suspension of §§ 329.4(e)(1) and 329.4(e)(2) for insured nonmember banks in North Dakota will provide those banks with the same opportunity that was available to other insured nonmember banks in 1973.

Section 18(g) of the Federal Deposit Insurance Act authorizes the FDIC to prescribe rules governing the payment of interest on deposits and to prescribe different rate limitations according to the nature or location of nonmember banks. Pursuant to that authority, the FDIC's Board of Directors has determined it to be in the public interest to grant the request to suspend §§ 329.4(e)(1) and 329.4(e)(2) in the State of North Dakota effective immediately to expire at 12 midnight, April 18, 1975.

The requirements of sections 553(b) and 553(d) of title 5 of the United States Code and §§ 302.1, 302.2 and 302.5 of the rules and regulations of the Federal Deposit Insurance Corporation with respect to notice, public participation, and deferred effective date were not followed in connection with the promulgation of this order because the order enlarges existing rights, is limited both in duration and with respect to the class of persons affected thereby, and because the Board of Directors found that the public interest would best be served by making the order effective immediately.

By order of the Board of Directors, effective March 10, 1975.

FEDERAL DEPOSIT INSURANCE CORPORATION,
[SEAL] ALAN R. MILLER,
Executive Secretary.

[FR Doc.75-6636 Filed 3-12-75;8:45 am]

CHAPTER V—FEDERAL HOME LOAN BANK BOARD

SUBCHAPTER C—FEDERAL SAVINGS AND LOAN SYSTEM

[No. 75-208]

PART 545—OPERATIONS

Amendment Relating to Preparation of Tax Returns by Service Corporations

MARCH 5, 1975.

The following outline regarding the amendment adopted by this Resolution is included for the reader's convenience and is subject to the full description in the preamble as well as the specific provisions in the regulations.

I. *Present situation.* Service corporations are not authorized to provide tax return preparation services to the public.

II. *Amended regulation.* Authorizes Federal association service corporations to prepare tax returns for noncorporate accountholders of, or borrowers from (including members of their immediate families), a savings and loan association which is a stockholder in such Federal association service corporation.

III. *Reason for amendment.* To expand the services which may be offered by service corporations to savings and loan association customers.

The Federal Home Loan Bank Board, by Resolution No. 74-1112, dated October 24, 1974, proposed an amendment to § 545.9-1(a)(4) of the Rules and Regulations for the Federal Savings and Loan System (12 CFR 545.9-1(a)(4)) for the purpose of permitting service corporations in which Federal savings and loan associations may invest under § 545.9-1 to prepare as a preapproved activity tax returns as described below. Notice of such proposed rulemaking was duly published in the FEDERAL REGISTER on November 13, 1974, (39 FR 40040-41), with an invitation for interested persons to submit written comments by December 16, 1974.

On the basis of its consideration of all relevant material presented by interested persons and otherwise available, the Board hereby amends § 545.9-1(a)(4) by adding a new subparagraph (xi) and by redesignating present subparagraphs (xi) and (xii) as subparagraphs (xii) and (xiii) thereof, with one change from the proposal, to read as set forth below, effective March 13, 1975.

By a companion resolution (Resolution No. 75-209; March 5, 1975) the Board also adopted a similar amendment to Part 584 of the Regulations for Savings and Loan Holding Companies (12 CFR Part 584) for multiple savings and loan holding companies.

Under this amendment, tax returns may be prepared for noncorporate accountholders of or borrowers from (including immediate family members of such accountholders or borrowers who were not included in the proposal) a savings and loan association which is a stockholder in a Federal association service corporation. The type tax returns to be prepared are not limited by the regulation and may include Federal and State income tax returns, estate and inheritance tax returns, gift tax returns, and sales and use tax returns.

§ 545.9-1 Service corporations.

(a) *General service corporations.*

* * * * *

(4) Substantially all of the activities of such service corporation, performed directly or through one or more wholly-owned subsidiaries or joint ventures, consist of one or more of the following:

* * * * *

(xi) Preparation of State and Federal tax returns for accountholders of or borrowers from (including immediate family members of such accountholders or borrowers but not including an accountholder or borrower which is a corporation operated for profit) a savings and loan association which holds stock in such service corporation;

(xii) Activities reasonably incidental to the activities described in the foregoing subdivision of this subparagraph (4); and

(xiii) Such other activities reasonably related to the activities of Federal savings and loan associations as the Board may approve on application therefor by any such service corporation or otherwise.

* * * * *

(Sec. 5, 48 Stat. 132, as amended; 12 U.S.C. 1464. Reorg. Plan No. 3 of 1947, 12 FR 4981, 3 CFR, 1943–48 Comp., p. 1071).

By the Federal Home Loan Bank Board.

[SEAL] GRENVILLE L. MILLARD, Jr.,
Assistant Secretary.

[FR Doc.75-6617 Filed 3-12-75;8:45 am]

[No. 75-209]

SUBCHAPTER F—REGULATIONS FOR SAVINGS AND LOAN HOLDING COMPANIES

PART 584—REGULATED ACTIVITIES

Preparation of Tax Returns by Multiple Holding Companies

MARCH 5, 1975.

The following outline regarding the amendment adopted by this Resolution is included for the reader's convenience and is subject to the full description in the preamble as well as the specific provisions in the regulations.

I. *Present Situation.* Multiple holding companies are not authorized to provide tax return preparation services.

II. *Amended Regulation.* Authorizes multiple holding companies, as a preapproved activity, to prepare tax returns for noncorporate accountholders of, or borrowers from (including members of their immediate families), a subsidiary insured institution of such multiple savings and loan holding company.

III. *Reason for Amendment.* To expand the services which may be offered through multiple holding companies to savings and loan association customers.

The Federal Home Loan Bank Board, by Resolution No. 74-1113, dated October 24, 1974, proposed an amendment to § 584.2-1(b) of the Regulations for Savings and Loan Holding Companies (12 CFR 584.2-1(b)) for the purpose of permitting multiple holding companies and their subsidiaries, which are neither insured institutions nor service corporations of a subsidiary insured institution, to prepare as a preapproved activity tax returns as described below. Notice of such proposed rulemaking was duly published in the FEDERAL REGISTER on November 13, 1974, (39 FR 40041), with an invitation for interested persons to submit written comments by December 16, 1974.

On the basis of its consideration of all relevant material presented by interested persons and otherwise available, the Board hereby amends § 584.2-1 by adding a new paragraph (b)(10) thereto, with one change from the proposal, to read as set forth below, effective March 13, 1975.

By a companion resolution (Resolution No. 75-208; March 5, 1975), the Board also adopted a similar amendment to Part 545 of the Rules and Regulations for the Federal Savings and Loan System (12 CFR Part 545) for Federal association service corporations.

Under this amendment, tax returns may be prepared for noncorporate accountholders of or borrowers from (including immediate family members of such accountholders or borrowers who were not included in the proposal) a subsidiary insured institution of a multiple savings and loan holding company. The type tax returns to be prepared are not limited by the regulation and may include Federal and State income tax returns, estate and inheritance tax returns, gift tax returns, and sales and use tax returns. Under § 584.2(c) service corporation subsidiaries of multiple (as well as unitary) holding company subsidiary insured institutions may engage in such tax return preparation services because that activity is now preapproved for Federal associations service corporations under § 545.9-1.

§ 584.21 Service and activities of multiple savings and loan holding companies.

* * * * *

(b) *Prescribed services and activities.* Subject to the provisions of paragraph (c), a multiple savings and loan holding company or a subsidiary thereof which is neither an insured institution nor a service corporation of a subsidiary insured institution may furnish or perform the following services and engage in the following activities to the extent that it has legal power to do so:

* * * * *

(8) Maintenance and management of improved real estate;

(9) Underwriting or reinsuring contract of credit life or credit health and accident insurance in connection with extensions of credit by the savings and loan holding company or any of its subsidiaries, or extensions of credit by any insured institution or service corporation subsidiary thereof, or any other multiple savings and loan holding company or subsidiary thereof; and

(10) Preparation of State and Federal tax returns for accountholders of or borrowers from (including immediate family members of such accountholders or borrowers but not including an accountholder or borrower which is a corporation operated for profit) an affiliated insured institution.

(Sec. 408, 48 Stat. 1256, as amended; sec. 408, 48 Stat. 1261, as added by 73 Stat. 691, as amended (12 U.S.C. 1725, 1730a); Reorg. Plan No. 3 of 1947, 12 F.R. 4981, 3 CFR, 1943–48 Comp., p. 1071)

By the Federal Home Loan Bank Board.

[SEAL] GRENVILLE L. MILLARD, Jr.,
Assistant Secretary.

[FR Doc.75-6118 Filed 3-12-75;8:45 am]

Title 14—Aeronautics and Space

CHAPTER I—FEDERAL AVIATION ADMINISTRATION, DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION

[Airspace Docket No. 74-SO-118]

PART 71—DESIGNATION OF FEDERAL AIRWAYS, AREA LOW ROUTES, CONTROLLED AIRSPACE, AND REPORTING POINTS

Alteration of Control Zone and Transition Area; Correction

On February 20, 1975, FR Doc No. 75-4495 was published in the FEDERAL REGISTER (40 FR 7435), amending Part 71 of the Federal Aviation Regulations by altering the Fort Myers, Fla., control zone and transition area.

In the amendment of the transition area, an extension was predicated on the 220° bearing from Tice RBN. Subsequent to publication of the rule, it was determined that this extension is presently contained in the unchanged portion of the description. It is necessary to amend the FEDERAL REGISTER document to eliminate the duplication. Since this amendment is editorial in nature, notice and public procedure hereon are unnecessary.

In consideration of the foregoing, effective immediately, FR Doc. No. 75-4495 is amended as follows:

Beginning in line 4 of the description change, all after "8.5-mile radius area" is deleted and "to 10 miles northeast of the VORTAC." is substituted therefor.

(Sec. 307(a), Federal Aviation Act of 1958 (49 U.S.C. 1348(a)); sec. 6(c), Department of Transportation Act (49 U.S.C. 1655(c)))

Issued in East Point, Ga., on March 5, 1975.

PHILLIP M. SWATEK,
Director, Southern Region.

[FR Doc.75-6491 Filed 3-12-75;8:45 am]

[Docket No. 14342; Amdt. No. 959]

PART 97—STANDARD INSTRUMENT APPROACH PROCEDURES

Recent Changes and Additions

This amendment to Part 97 of the Federal Aviation Regulations incorporates by reference therein changes and additions to the Standard Instrument Approach Procedures (SIAPs) that were recently adopted by the Administrator to promote safety at the airports concerned.

The complete SIAPs for the changes and additions covered by this amendment are described in FAA Forms 3139, 8260-3, 8260-4, or 8260-5 and made a part of the public rule making dockets of the FAA in accordance with the procedures set forth in Amendment No. 97-696 (35 FR 5609).

SIAPs are available for examination at the Rules Docket and at the National Flight Data Center, Federal Aviation Administration, 800 Independence Avenue SW., Washington, D.C. 20591. Copies of SIAPs adopted in a particular region are

also available for examination at the headquarters of that region. Individual copies of SIAPs may be purchased from the FAA Public Document Inspection Facility, HQ-405, 800 Independence Avenue SW., Washington, D.C. 20591 or from the applicable FAA regional office in accordance with the fee schedule prescribed in 49 CFR 7.85. This fee is payable in advance and may be paid by check, draft or postal money order payable to the Treasurer of the United States. A weekly transmittal of all SIAP changes and additions may be obtained by subscription at an annual rate of $150.00 per annum from the Superintendent of Documents, U.S. Government Printing Office, Washington, D.C. 20402. Additional copies mailed to the same address may be ordered for $30.00 each.

Since a situation exists that requires immediate adoption of this amendment, I find that further notice and public procedure hereon is impracticable and good cause exists for making it effective in less than 30 days.

In consideration of the foregoing, Part 97 of the Federal Aviation Regulations is amended as follows, effective on the dates specified:

1. Section 97.23 is amended by originating, amending, or canceling the following VOR-VOR/DME SIAPs, effective April 24, 1975:

Ames, Iowa—Ames Municipal Arpt., VOR Rwy 31, Amdt. 2.
Bemidji, Minn.—Bemidji Municipal Arpt., VOR Rwy 13, Amdt. 8.
Bemidji, Minn.—Bemidji Municipal Arpt., VORTAC Rwy 31, Amdt. 4.
Cornelia, Ga.—Habersham County Arpt., VOR/DME Rwy 6, Amdt. 1.
Elgin, Ill.—Elgin Arpt., VOR-A, Amdt. 5, cancelled.
Elgin, Ill.—Elgin Arpt., VOR Rwy 36, Orig.
Gallup, New Mexico—Senator Clarke Field, VOR Rwy 6, Amdt. 3.
Laramie, Wyo.—General Brees Field, VOR/DME Rwy 30, Orig.
Laramie, Wyo.—General Brees Field, VOR Rwy 12, Amdt. 1.
Ormond Beach, Fla.—Ormond Beach Municipal Arpt., VOR Rwy 8, Amdt. 5.
Sanford, N.C.—Sanford Municipal Arpt., VOR/DME Rwy 3, Amdt. 3.
Southern Pines, N.C.—Pinehurst-Southern Pines Arpt., VOR-A, Amdt. 9.

* * * effective February 27, 1975:

Greeley, Colo.—Weld County Municipal Arpt., VOR-A; Amdt. 3.

2. Section 97.25 is amended by originating, amending, or canceling the following SDF-LOC-LDA SIAPs, effective April 24, 1975:

Muskegon, Mich.—Muskegon County Arpt., LOC (BC) Rwy 14, Orig.

* * * effective March 20, 1975:

Huntsville, Ala.—Huntsville-Madison Co. Jetport-Carl T. Jones Field, LOC (BC) Rwy 36L, Amdt. 6, cancelled.
Huntsville, Ala.—Huntsville-Madison Co. Jetport-Carl T. Jones Field, LOC Rwy 36L, Orig.

3. Section 97.27 is amended by originating, amending, or canceling the following NDB/ADF SIAPs, effective April 24, 1975:

Clinton, Mo.—Clinton Memorial Arpt., NDB Rwy 22, Amdt. 1.
Hampton, Iowa—Hampton Municipal Arpt., NDB Rwy 17, Orig.
Michigan City, Ind.—Michigan, Arpt., NDB Rwy 20, Amdt. 8.
Michigan City, Ind.—Michigan City Municipal Arpt., NDB Rwy 23, Amdt. 2.
West Yellowstone, Mont.—Yellowstone Arpt., NDB Rwy 1, Amdt. 2.

4. Section 97.29 is amended by originating, amending, or canceling the following ILS SIAPs, effective April 24, 1975:

Lewiston, Idaho—Lewiston-Nez Perce County Arpt., ILS Rwy 26, Amdt. 2.

5. Section 97.31 is amended by originating, amending, or canceling the following RADAR SIAPs, effective April 24, 1975:

Austin, Tex.—Robert Mueller Municipal Arpt., RADAR-1, Amdt. 11.
Big Spring, Tex.—Howard County Arpt., RADAR-1, Orig.
Milwaukee, Wis.—General Mitchell Field, RADAR-1, Amdt. 18.

6. Section 97.33 is amended by originating, amending, or canceling the following RNAV SIAPs, effective April 24, 1975:

Dowagiac, Mich.—Cass County Memorial Arpt., RNAV Rwy 27, Orig.
Elgin, Ill.—Elgin Arpt., RNAV Rwy 18, Amdt. 1.
Elgin, Ill.—Elgin, Arpt., RNAV Rwy 36, Orig., cancelled.
Garden City, Kans.—Garden City Municipal Arpt., RNAV Rwy 35, Orig.
Ottumwa, Iowa—Ottumwa Industrial Arpt., RNAV Rwy 22, Orig.
Southern Pines, N.C.—Pinehurst-Southern Pines Arpt., RNAV Rwy 23, Amdt. 2.
Wichita, Kans.—Beech Factory Arpt., RNAV Rwy 18, Amdt. 1.
Wichita, Kans.—Beech Factory Arpt., RNAV Rwy 36, Amdt. 2.
Hays, Kans.—Hays Municipal Arpt., RNAV Rwy. 16, Orig.

(Secs. 307, 313, 601, 1110, Federal Aviation Act of 1948 (49 U.S.C. 1438, 1354, 1421, 1510); sec. 6(c), Department of Transportation Act, (49 U.S.C. 1655(c) and 5 U.S.C. 552(a)(1)))

NOTE: Incorporation by reference provisions in §§ 97.10 and 97.20 approved by the Director of the Federal Register on May 12, 1969 (35 FR 5610).

Issued in Washington, D.C., on March 6, 1975.

JAMES M. VINES,
Chief,
Aircraft Programs Division.

[FR Doc.75-6490 Filed 3-12-75;8:45 am]

[Docket No. 14194; Amdt. No. 1-24 and 139-9]

PART 1—DEFINITIONS AND ABBREVIATIONS

PART 139—CERTIFICATION AND OPERATIONS: LAND AIRPORTS SERVING CAB-CERTIFICATED AIR CARRIERS

Definition of "Airport"

The purpose of this amendment to Parts 1 and 139 of the Federal Aviation Regulations is to include in Part 139 the definition of the word "airport" which now appears in section 101(9) of the Federal Aviation Act of 1958 (49 U.S.C. 1301), and further, to define the term "regularly" which appears in that definition of "airport." In addition, since the definition of "airport" which will now be applicable to Part 139 differs somewhat from the definition set out in Part 1 (Definitions and Abbreviations) of the Federal Aviation Regulations and currently applicable to subchapters A through K of the Federal Aviation Regulations (Parts 1 through 189), an editorial amendment is made to § 1.1 of Part 1 to accommodate special definitions.

Interested persons have been afforded an opportunity to participate in the making of these amendments by a notice of proposed rulemaking issued as Notice No. 74-37, published in the FEDERAL REGISTER on December 12, 1974 (39 FR 43315), and due consideration has been given to all comments received in response to that notice.

Part 139 of the Federal Aviation Regulations provides for the issuance of airport operating certificates for land airports serving CAB-certificated air carriers. As originally adopted, Part 139 was applicable only to land airports serving "scheduled" air carriers operating large aircraft (other than helicopters). Amendment 139-1 (38 FR 9795) published in the FEDERAL REGISTER on April 20, 1973, amended Part 139, effective May 21, 1973, to make it applicable to all airports serving air carriers certificated by the Civil Aeronautics Board, and to provide for the issuance of provisional airport operating certificates for airports serving only unscheduled operations or operations with small aircraft. Amendment 139-6 (39 FR 29342; August 15, 1974) amended Part 139 effective August 15, 1974, to provide for the issuance of "limited" airport operating certificates and operations specifications for airports serving air carriers conducting only unscheduled operations or operations with small aircraft. Amendment 139-5 (39 FR 11974; April 1, 1974) provided for the expiration of all provisional airport operating certificates on December 15, 1974. Under Amendment 139-6, holders of provisional airport operating certificates issued under § 139.12 had the option of retaining that certificate until the termination date of December 15, 1974, and complying with the reporting requirements of § 139.12, or surrendering that provisional certificate and obtaining a "limited" airport operating certificate under § 139.12a.

It has become apparent to the FAA that a number of CAB-certificated air carriers operate, on an infrequent or intermittent basis, for the purpose of receiving or discharging passengers or cargo, into landing areas which are not held out to be or generally recognized by the public as "airports," but are included in the definition of "airport" in Part 1. Small aircraft operations into cleared areas for delivery of supplies to Forest Service fire towers, helicopter operations to fishing camps, farms or racetracks, and delivery of supplies, materials

or personnel at remote construction sites, are examples of such operations.

Section 101(9) of the Federal Aviation Act of 1958 defines "airport" as "* * * a landing area used regularly by aircraft for receiving or discharging passengers or cargo." The FAA believes that the landing areas described above, when used on an infrequent or intermittent basis, fall outside the definition of "airport" contained in the Act, and that certification of such landing areas and sites is both unnecessary and impracticable, at this time.

Accordingly, the FAA proposed in Notice 74-37 for the purposes of Part 139, to apply the definition of "airport" now contained in the Act, and to define "regularly" as meaning used, during the 12 calendar months preceding an aircraft operation (landing or takeoff), for either any air carrier service conducted pursuant to a published schedule, or an average of one or more aircraft operations (landing or takeoff) per day during any three consecutive calendar months. Notice 74-37 also proposed that Part 1 of the Federal Aviation Regulations be amended to distinguish or reconcile the two definitions of "airport."

Safety of air carrier operations at those landing areas which would not be certificated is provided for in § 121.590 of Part 121 and § 127.218 of Part 127. Those sections, which are applicable to air carriers, prohibit operations, unless otherwise authorized by the Administrator, into an "airport" unless that airport is certificated under Part 139. Part 1 of the Federal Aviation Regulations defines "airport" as meaning "* * * an area of land or water that is used or intended to be used for the landing and takeoff of aircraft, and includes its buildings and facilities, if any." The definition of "airport" contained in Part 1 of the Federal Aviation Regulations is applicable to §§ 121.590 and 127.218. Operators to whom those sections are applicable are required to obtain the authorization of the Administrator for operations into those landing areas or sites which are outside the definition of "airport" as applicable to Part 139, but come within the definition of "airport" as applicable to §§ 121.590 and 127.218.

In order to allow adequate time for receipt and consideration of comment in response to Notice 74-37, and to permit continued operations at that group of landing areas which were provisionally certificated, § 139.12 was amended (Amendment No. 139-8; December 12, 1974, 39 FR 43297) to extend, until March 15, 1975, the effective date of those provisional airport operating certificates held by operators of landing areas that are not used "regularly" as defined in Notice 74-37. Those provisions of § 139.12, which required the submission of a schedule for compliance and a compliance status report by October 15, 1974, and November 15, 1974, respectively, were deleted as no longer applicable.

Comments received in response to Notice 74-37 generally supported the proposal. A number of those comments renewed objections to broadening the applicability of Part 139, which was accomplished by Amendment 139-1. The FAA believes that matter was adequately addressed in Amendment 139-1 and is not further treated here.

Several comments raised a question regarding the method that would be appropriate for determining the operations average or frequency of operation under § 139.1(b)(5)(ii). The FAA recognizes that in some cases that determination might be made difficult by reason of the fact that the airport or landing area is unattended, or that accurate or long-term records are unavailable. In order that provision be made for resolution of the question in those cases, § 139.1(b)(5)(ii) provides that the method used in determining the operations average be acceptable to the Administrator. It is anticipated, however, that airport records, tower logs, air carrier records, and FAA Flight Service Station and General Aviation District Office records, and the like, will usually provide adequate data.

Since this amendment relieves an existing restriction and imposes no additional burden on any person, I find that good cause exists for making this amendment effective on less than 30 days' notice.

(Sec. 313(a), 609, 610(a), 612, Federal Aviation Act of 1958 (49 U.S.C. 1354(a), 1429, 1430(a), 1432); sec. 6(c), Department of Transportation Act (49 U.S.C. 1655(c)))

In consideration of the foregoing, Parts 1 and 139 of the Federal Aviation Regulations are amended, effective March 15, 1975, as follows:

1. By amending the introductory statement in § 1.1 of Part 1 to read as follows:

§ 1.1 General definitions.

As used in subchapters A through K of this chapter, unless the context requires otherwise:

* * * * *

2. By amending § 139.1 of Part 139 by adding new paragraphs (b)(4) and (b)(5) to read as follows:

§ 139.1 Applicability.

* * * * *

(b) As used in this Part—

* * * * *

(4) "Airport" means a landing area used regularly by aircraft for receiving or discharging passengers or cargo.

(5) "Regularly" as used in the definition of "airport" in this section means used, during the 12 calendar months preceding an aircraft operation (landing or takeoff), for either—

(i) any air carrier service conducted pursuant to a published schedule; or

(ii) an average of one or more aircraft operations (landing or takeoff) per day during any three consecutive calendar months, as determined by a method acceptable to the Administrator.

Issued in Washington, D.C., on March 6, 1975.

JAMES E. DOW,
Acting Administrator.

[FR Doc.75-6489 Filed 3-12-75;8:45 am]

Title 16—Commercial Practices

CHAPTER I—FEDERAL TRADE COMMISSION

PART 142—RADIO AND TELEVISION INDUSTRY

Misrepresentation of Cabinet Composition (Rule 6)

On April 26, 1974, a notice of proposed revision of § 142.6 *"Misrepresentation of cabinet composition"*, was published in the FEDERAL REGISTER (39 FR 14730). The Commission proposed revision of Subchapter B, Guides and Trade Practice Rules of 16 CFR Chapter 1 by replacing § 142.6 with three new sections; i.e., § 142.6-1 *Representations concerning cabinet composition—avoiding deception and making disclosures;* § 142.6-2 *Describing wood and wood imitations;* § 142.6-3 *Identity of woods.* The revision was proposed to bring § 142.6 into conformity with the Guides for the Household Furniture Industry, promulgated December 21, 1973 (38 FR 34992).

The notice extended to interested parties opportunity to present written views, suggestions, objections or pertinent information regarding the proposed revision, and set forth the text of § 142.6 and also its proposed revision consisting of three sections, §§ 142.6-1 to 142.6-3. The closing date for receipt of comments was June 28, 1974.

Comments were thereafter received from interested parties and placed on the Commission's public record. Having given careful consideration to comments received, and having made what the Commission considers only minor changes from the originally proposed revisions, the Commission is now promulgating in final form §§ 142.6-1 to 142.6-3 as set forth below:

The Commission wishes to note that its Trade Practice Rules are identical in operative effect to the Guides which it issues from time to time. The trade practice rule terminology has been retained in this case to maintain consistency between the text under revision and the Trade Practice Rules for the Radio and Television Industry, of which that text is only a small part.

Part 142 is amended as set forth below:

Sections 142.6-1, 142.6-2, and 142.6-3 are revised as follows:

Sec.

142.6-1 Representations concerning cabinet composition—avoiding deception and making disclosures.

142.6-2 Describing wood components and wood imitations.

142.6-3 Identity of woods.

(Secs. 6, 5, 38 Stat. 722, 719; 15 U.S.C. 46, 45.)

§ 142.6-1 Representations concerning cabinet composition—avoiding deception and making disclosures.

(a) *In general,* industry members should not sell, offer for sale, or distribute any industry product under any representation or circumstance, including failure to disclose material facts, that has the capacity and tendency or effect of misleading or deceiving purchasers or prospective purchasers with respect to

the construction, composition, durability, design, style, quality, model, origin, manufacture or grade of any cabinet or other enclosure.

(b) *Affirmative disclosures.* Material facts concerning merchandise, which if known to prospective purchasers would influence their decision of whether or not to purchase, should be disclosed. This includes situations where deception may result from the appearance alone which, in the absence of affirmative disclosures, could have the capacity and tendency or effect of misleading or deceiving. For example, veneered construction, use of plastic with simulated wood appearance, use of materials or products that simulate other materials or products used in the manufacture of cabinets, or use of simulated finish or grain design, are considered to be material facts and a failure to disclose such information may be an unfair trade practice or deceptive act or practice violative of section 5 of the Federal Trade Commission Act.

(NOTE: In the absence of statements which could be misleading if not qualified, disclosure will not be required of the fact that components such as knobs, handles, pulls, and similar parts attached to cabinets, have been plated or colored to simulate precious or semiprecious metals.)

(1) *Where disclosures should be made.* Unless otherwise provided, any affirmative disclosure which should be made under this part should be on the industry product, or on a tag or label prominently attached thereto, and should be of such permanency as to remain on or attached to the product until consummation of sale to the consumer. (See Note A below.) Also, affirmative disclosures should appear in all advertising relating to industry products, irrespective of the media used, whenever statements, representations or depictions are used which could create an impression that a cabinet or other enclosure is of a certain construction or composition and which, in the absence of such disclosures, could have the capacity to mislead prospective purchasers. (See Note B below.)

(NOTE A: For the purpose of this part, a sale is not considered consummated until the consumer has been given actual physical possession of the product (i.e., until final delivery of the product to the consumer).)

(NOTE B: In determining whether pictures of cabinets have the capacity to be misleading, the Commission will consider the accuracy and detail of each visual representation on a case-by-case (picture-by-picture) basis. For instance, when a cabinet has exposed plastic veneers or mouldings, and a picture thereof could convey the impression that the exposed surfaces are of wood (i.e., wood grain, carved effects, texture, color, etc., are pictured), then a disclosure should be made. However, an inaccurate artistic sketch in charcoal or an indistinct rendition in black and white will not be considered as capable of conveying any particular impression of composition. Advertisers are cautioned to make disclosures if they have any doubt as to their compliance.)

(2) *The manner of disclosure.* In all cases when disclosure is necessary, it should be made in close conjunction with the representation or depiction to be qualified and should be of sufficient clarity, conspicuousness, and audibility (when spoken) as to be noted by prospective purchasers. The number of times a disclosure should be made will depend entirely upon the format and context in which it appears.

(3) *The form of disclosure with respect to composition.* Whenever an affirmative disclosure regarding composition should be made under this part, it may be accomplished by either describing the true composition of the product or parts thereof (i.e., "plastic", "vinyl") or by stating that the material is not what it appears to be (i.e., "simulated wood", "imitation wood grain"). Terms such as "molded components", "walnut plastic", or "carved effect" will not suffice to disclose that exposed surfaces are plastic, or that they are not wood.

(4) *Trade names, coined names, trademarks, etc., suggestive of composition.* (i) Any trade name, coined name, trademark, depiction, symbol or other word or term which is susceptible of more than one interpretation, one or more of which could be misleading, should be immediately qualified to clearly and conspicuously remove the misleading implication(s).

(ii) Trade designations or other representations which cannot be qualified without the qualification amounting to a contradiction should not be used. A trade designation consisting in whole or in part of a word which denotes a kind or type of material of which the product is not in fact composed should not be used. For example, the word "wood" should not be used in a trade name of a product which does not contain wood.

(iii) Also, ambiguous or imprecise trade designations will not be sufficient to satisfy the disclosure provisions of this part. For example, the coined name "Hardiclad" used to describe molded plastic components having the appearance of wood, is not sufficient to disclose that such parts are plastic or that they are not wood.

(c) *Illustrative examples of affirmative disclosure of composition or appearance.* The following examples are among those which, if factually correct, will meet the provisions of this part with respect to affirmative disclosures: [1]

NOTE: For the purpose of this part "exposed surfaces" are defined as those parts and surfaces exposed to view when cabinets are placed in the generally accepted position for use.

(1) *Disclosure of veneered construction:* "Veneered construction", "pecan solids and veneers", "walnut veneered top, front and end panels", "maple veneered 5-ply construction with solid parts of birch", "maple veneers and other solid hardwoods", or "walnut veneers and selected hardwoods";

(2) *Disclosure of the use of plastics or other materials having the appearance of wood:* "high impact polystyrene", "panels of polystyrene", "legs of rigid polyurethane", "walnut grained plastic top", "parts of the exposed surfaces are of simulated wood" (to describe minor parts of the exposed surfaces of cabinets), "imitation wood", "carved effects of simulated wood", "simulated wood components", "wood grained vinyl veneer", "walnut grained hardboard", or "simulated wood effect on plastic";

(3) *Disclosure of simulated wood grain design:* "simulated wood grain design", "cherry grained maple", "simulated mahogany crotch on mahogany veneer", "Simulated Carpathian Elm burl", or "engraved cathedral walnut grain on hardboard";

(4) *Disclosure of simulated carvings:* "carved effect in plastic", "simulated wood carvings", or "molded polystyrene with carved look";

(5) *Hang tags or labels disclosing the use of veneers, plastic simulating wood, or simulated wood grain:* "Veneered construction, heat and stain resistant plastic top, and decorative parts of rigid polyurethane", or "This cabinet is made of selected hardwoods and veneers with matching plastic top and decorative carved effects of polystyrene in dark oak finish", or "This cabinet is constructed of selected walnut veneers and solid pecan, and has simulated wood panels", or "Walnut veneer end panels and top, polystyrene front, and selected solid hardwoods", or "This cabinet is constructed of selected hardwood solids and veneers, with certain veneered surfaces having simulated grain finish to enhance their appearance", or "Solid and veneered hardwoods with carved effects in simulated wood and simulated grain design on veneered top in matching pecan finish".

(d) *Removal of tags or labels.* Members of the industry should not:

(1) Remove, obliterate, deface, change, alter, conceal, or make illegible any information this part provides be disclosed on industry products, such as on tags or labels attached thereto, without replacing the same with a proper disclosure meeting the provisions of this part before offering for sale, sale, or distribution; or

(2) Sell, resell, distribute or offer for sale an industry product without it being marked, tagged or labeled and described in accordance with the provisions of this part.

§ 142.6–2 Describing wood components and wood imitations. [1]

(a) *Describing solid wood construction.* Industry members should not use unqualified wood names to describe cabinets or other enclosures unless all of the exposed surfaces are constructed of solid wood of the type named. If more than

[1] Cabinets may be described by the names of woods used in the exposed surfaces, provided that such wood names are clearly qualified so as not to have the capacity to mislead regarding the construction or composition of any exposed or unexposed components. It is not necessary to describe unexposed parts or inner components such as cores, frames, braces, etc., unless a representation is made which, directly or by implication, could have the capacity to be misleading regarding the construction or composition of such components.

one type of solid wood is used and one of the woods is named, then all of the principal woods should be indicated. In lieu of naming the specific woods, a general designation of the type of wood, such as "hardwood" or "softwood" may be used. For example, the following representations, if factually correct, will be acceptable: "solid maple", "solid African mahogany", "walnut and pecan", "solid oak fronts", "walnut", "maple and other selected hardwoods", "fine hardwoods", "selected hardwoods", or "mixed hardwoods".

(b) *Describing wood veneers.* (1) When the exposed surfaces of cabinets are of veneered and solid construction, and wood names are used to describe such cabinets, the wood names should be qualified to disclose the fact of veneered construction. For example, "walnut solids and veneers" or "mahogany veneered construction" may be used when all the exposed surfaces of cabinets are constructed of solid and veneered wood of the type named. When such terms as "walnut veneered construction" or "oak veneered construction" are used, it is understood that the exposed solid parts are composed of the same wood.

(2) When solid parts of cabinets are of woods other than those used in veneered surfaces, either the use of such other woods should be disclosed or the location of the veneers stated. Examples: "walnut veneers and pecan solids", "mahogany veneers and African mahogany solids", "walnut veneered top, front and end panels", "top of mahogany veneers", "cherry veneers and selected solid hardwoods", "pecan veneers and fine hardwoods", or "maple veneers and solid cabinet woods".

(c) *Describing wood products.* Wood names or names suggesting wood should used to refer to materials which, while not be used to refer to materials which, while produced from wood particles or fibers, do not possess a natural wood growth structure. Such materials, however, may be referred to by their generally accepted names, if otherwise nondeceptive, such as "hardboard", "particleboard", "chipcore", "fiberboard", or may be referred to as "wood products".

(d) *Describing color, grain design or finish.* When wood names are used merely to describe a color of a stain finish and/or grain design or other simulated finish applied to the exposed surfaces of cabinets that are composed of something other than solid wood of the types named, it must be made clear that the wood names are merely descriptive of the color and/or grain design or other simulated finish. Terms such as "walnut finish" or "fruitwood finish" will not suffice. However, the terms such as "walnut color", "fruitwood stain finish", "maple finish on birch solids and veneers", "walnut finish on walnut veneers and selected solid hardwoods", "cherry grained maple front", "walnut finish-plastic top", "pecan finish on mixed hardwoods", or "maple stained hardwoods" will be considered acceptable when factually correct and in contexts otherwise nondeceptive.

(e) *Describing materials simulating wood.* No wood names should be used to describe any materials simulating wood without disclosures making it clear that the wood names used are merely descriptive of the color and/or grain design or other simulated finish; nor should any trade names or coined names be employed which may suggest that such materials are some kind of wood.

§ 142.6–3 Identity of woods.

Industry members should not use any direct or indirect representation concerning the identity of the wood in industry products that is false or likely to mislead purchasers as to the actual wood composition.

(a) *Walnut.* The unqualified term "walnut" should not be used to describe wood other than geninue solid walnut (genus Juglans). The term "black walnut" should be applied only to the species Juglans nigra.

(b) *Mahogany.* (1) The unqualified term "mahogany" should not be used to describe wood other than genuine solid mahogany (genus Swietenia of the Meliaceae family). The woods of genus Swietenia may be described by the term "mahogany" with or without a prefix designating the country or region of its origin, such as "Honduras mahogany", "Costa Rican mahogany", "Brazilian mahogany", or "Mexican mahogany".

(2) The term "mahogany" may be used to describe wood of the genus Khaya of the Meliaceae family, but only when prefixed by the word "African" (e.g., "African mahogany").

(3) In naming or designating the seven non-mahogany Philippine woods Tanguile, Red Lauan, White Lauan, Tiaong, Almon, Mayapis, and Bagtikan, the term "mahogany" may be used but only when prefixed by the word "Philippine" (e.g., "Philippine mahogany"), due to the long standing usage of that term. Examples of improper use of the term "mahogany" include reference to Red Lauan as "Lauan mahogany" or to White Lauan as "Blond Lauan mahogany". Such woods, however, may be described as "Red Lauan" or "Lauan" or "White Lauan", respectively. The term "Philippine mahogany" will be accepted as a name or designation of the seven woods named above. Such term shall not be applied to any other wood, whether or not grown on the Philippine Islands.

(4) The term "mahogany", with or without qualifications, should not be used to describe any other wood except as provided above. This applies also to any of the woods belonging to the Meliaceae family, other than genera Swietenia and Khaya.

(c) *Maple.* The terms "hard maple", "rock maple", "bird's-eye maple", "Northern maple" or other terms of similar nature should not be used to describe woods other than those known under the lumber trade names of Black Maple (Acer nigrum) and Sugar Maple (Acer saccharum).

NOTE: Nothing in this part should be construed as prohibiting the nondeceptive use of wood names to describe the color, stain, simulated finish or appearance of industry products, provided that appropriate qualifications are made in accordance with provisions in § 142.6-2(d).

(Secs. 6, 5, 38 Stat. 722, 719; 15 U.S.C. 46, 45)

Issued: March 13, 1975.

Effective: June 11, 1975.

By direction of the Commission.

[SEAL] CHARLES A. TOBIN, *Secretary.*

[FR Doc.75-6496 Filed 3-12-75;8:45 am]

Title 21—Food and Drugs

CHAPTER I—FOOD AND DRUG ADMINISTRATION, DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH, EDUCATION, AND WELFARE

SUBCHAPTER B—FOOD AND FOOD PRODUCTS

PART 90—EMERGENCY PERMIT CONTROL

Manufacture and Processing of Thermally Processed Low-Acid Foods Packaged in Hermetically Sealed Containers; Clarification

In the FEDERAL REGISTER of May 14, 1973 (38 FR 12716), the Commissioner of Food and Drugs issued a new § 90.20 *Thermal processing of low-acid foods packaged in hermetically sealed containers* (21 CFR 90.20). Paragraph (j) of that new section provided that compliance with State regulations which specify at least the requirements of 21 CFR Part 128b would "constitute compliance with Part 128b."

As revised in the order ruling on objections to and confirming the effective date of § 90.20, published in the FEDERAL REGISTER of January 29, 1974 (39 FR 3750), paragraph (j) now states that compliance with State regulations which specify at least the requirements of Part 128b of this chapter would "constitute compliance with this section."

The Commissioner advises that the reference to "this section" was an editorial error. Thus, § 90.20(j) should be revised to substitute the original language "Part 128b" for "this section" and to reinstate an explanatory statement, deleted by the January 29, 1974 order, that, where compliance with State regulations constitutes compliance with Part 128b, the provisions of § 90.20 are still applicable to the commercial processing of low-acid foods.

In addition, the Commissioner is further clarifying § 90.20(j) by restating his finding that low-acid foods packed in hermetically sealed containers in accordance with California law satisfy the requirements of Part 128b. The restatement makes clear the Commissioner's intent that only processors who have registered their operations with the State of California and who have complied with that state's regulations shall be deemed to comply with the requirements of Part 128b.

Therefore, pursuant to provisions of the Federal Food, Drug, and Cosmetic Act (secs. 402, 404, 701; 52 Stat. 1046–1047 as amended, 1048, 1055–1056, as amended by 70 Stat. 919 and 72 Stat. 948; 21 U.S.C.

342, 344, 371) and under authority delegated to the Commissioner (21 CFR 2.120), § 90.20 of Subpart B is amended by revising paragraph (j) to read as follows:

§ 90.20 Thermal processing of low-acid foods packaged in hermetically sealed containers.

* * * * *

(j) Compliance with State regulations: (1) Wherever the Commissioner finds that any State regulates the commercial thermal processing of low-acid foods in accordance with effective regulations specifying at least the requirements of Part 128b of this chapter, he shall issue a notice stating that compliance with such State regulations shall constitute compliance with Part 128b. However, the provisions of this section shall remain applicable to the commercial processing of low-acid foods in any such State, except that, either the State through its regulatory agency or each processor of low-acid foods in such State shall file with the Bureau of Foods the registration information and the processing information prescribed in paragraph (c) of this section.

(2) The Commissioner finds that the regulations adopted by the State of California under the laws relating to cannery inspections governing thermal processing of low-acid foods packaged in hermetically sealed containers satisfy the requirements of Part 128b of this chapter.

Accordingly, processors, who under the laws relating to cannery inspections are licensed by the State of California and who comply with such state regulations, shall be deemed to comply with the requirements of Part 128b of this chapter.

* * * * *

As this amendment merely clarifies an existing regulation, notice and public procedure and a delayed effective date are not necessary prerequisites to the promulgation of this order.

Effective date. This order shall become effective on March 13, 1975.

(Secs. 402, 404, 701; 52 Stat. 1046-1047 as amended, 1048, 1055-1056 as amended by 70 Stat. 919 and 72 Stat. 948 (21 U.S.C. 342, 344, 371))

Dated: March 6, 1975.

SAM D. FINE,
Associate Commissioner for Compliance.

[FR Doc.75-6561 Filed 3-12-75;8:45 am]

SUBCHAPTER D—DRUGS FOR HUMAN USE

PART 330—OVER-THE-COUNTER (OTC) HUMAN DRUGS GENERALLY RECOGNIZED AS SAFE AND EFFECTIVE AND NOT MISBRANDED

General Labeling Conditions

The Commissioner of Food and Drugs issued a proposal to amend § 330.1 (21 CFR 330.1), published in the FEDERAL REGISTER of June 4, 1974 (39 FR 19880), by revising the general warning statement required in § 330.1(g) to state: "Keep this and all drugs out of reach of children. In case of accidental overdose, seek professional assistance or contact a poison control center immediately," and by revoking § 330.1(i), which contained the following drug interaction warning:

Warning: Do not take this product concurrently with a prescription drug except on the advice of a physician.

Interested persons were invited to submit written comments regarding the proposal on or before August 5, 1974.

A total of seventeen comments were received: one from a national pharmacy association, one from a state consumer assembly, two from pharmaceutical companies, and thirteen from private consumers. The significant comments submitted and the Commissioner's conclusion are as follows:

1. Although concurring in the proposed new form of the general warning statement, one comment requested that an additional statement be required on the labeling of all OTC drugs in the interest of maximizing the potential for safe and effective use of such drugs. The recommended statement was as follows: "If you do not understand any of the following warnings or directions seek professional assistance." The comment said the statement would result in OTC drug labeling that would assure the safe and effective use of such products.

The Commissioner concludes that such a statement should not be required to appear on the labeling of all OTC drugs. The Commissioner concludes, on the basis of the judgment and experience of the agency, that most individuals will seek such professional assistance, if needed, even in the absence of such a statement in the labeling. No evidence was submitted with the comment to support the need for such a statement. It is also recognized that if labeling contains too many required statements, especially general statements of common sense, the impact of all warning statements on the label will be reduced. In addition there is a space limitation on the number of statements that can appear on the labeling.

2. There was comment that the required statement was unnecessarily long especially since many OTC drugs are sold in small containers with limited space available for required statements. In place of the proposed statement, the following statement was suggested: "Keep out of the reach of children. If accidentally misused, seek professional help or contact Poison Control Center immediately." Another comment pointed out that the proposed wording was appropriate for systemically administered drug products, but not for topically applied medication such as lotion, creams, and ointments. For such products it was suggested that the phrase "In case of accidental ingestion" be substituted for "In case of accidental overdose." The comment went on to state that the inclusion of such a statement would be more feasible than requiring individual manufacturers of these products to petition for an exemption from or variation in the general warning.

The Commissioner concludes that the wording of the general warning in § 330.1(g) is proper and that all drugs must contain the statement "Keep this and all drugs out of reach of children." The Commissioner believes that the proposed phrase "In case of accidental ingestion" for topical products is appropriate and the regulation is so modified. The Commissioner further concludes that it is also proper, where appropriate, to grant an exemption from all or part of the following warnings: "In case of accidental overdose, seek professional assistance or contact a poison control center immediately" and "In case of accidental ingestion, seek professional assistance or contact a poison control center immediately." Such exemptions may be granted by category of drug, e.g., medicated soap and anti-caries toothpaste, or by individual products. Since this requirement will apply only when a monograph becomes effective, such exemptions can readily be handled as each monograph proceeds through the OTC drug review procedure. Exemptions will be placed in a permanent file in the Office of the Hearing Clerk, Food and Drug Administration.

3. There was comment that the phrase "contact a Poison Control Center" should be omitted from the required statement. One comment indicated that the reason it should not be included is that, according to their local sources, the poison control center responds better to doctors than to consumers seeking assistance. The other comment stated that poison control centers are not listed in most phone books. Several comments from individual consumers, as well as from a state consumer assembly representing over one million consumers, indicated their strong support for the proposed reference to the poison control center. Many of these comments stated that it was in the consumers' interest to be alerted to the fact that there is more than one source of assistance available in case of an emergency.

The Commissioner concludes that the phrase regarding poison control centers in the statement serves a valuable purpose and should remain in the required statement. As previously stated in the preamble of the proposal, the Commissioner concluded that it would be in the best interest of the consumer to have knowledge that there is more than one source of professional assistance available. The Commissioner recognizes that in a few isolated localities, poison control centers may prefer to respond to health professionals. However, such centers are the exception. Nationwide, approximately 70 percent of the calls responded to were made by non-health professionals.

Although some poison control centers may not be listed in the local telephone directory in some areas of the country, the Commissioner believes that such instances are the exception. This provides

no reason for deleting a general reference to the poison control center in the warning as an alternative source of assistance.

4. One comment was received from an individual consumer asking if lay individuals will know what constitutes an "accidental overdose."

The Commissioner believes that most individuals using OTC drugs will be able to determine what constitutes an "accidental overdose." The labeling contains adequate directions for use including the recommended dosage per time interval (e.g., every 4 hours) or time period (e.g., 4 times a day). Where applicable, the maximum daily dosage for the product will also be included. The consumer will therefore be able to determine what constitutes an accidental overdose. The Commissioner concludes that there is no need for changing the wording.

5. Several comments objected to the proposed revocation of § 330.1(i). These comments indicated that, since people using OTC drugs are not physicians, they would not be aware of possible drug interactions and therefore the general warning statement should be retained.

The Commissioner concludes that the basis for the objections is a misunderstanding of the intent of the proposal. The revocation of § 330.1(i) was proposed because the Commissioner was of the opinion that the proper way to handle possible drug interactions is to require that the labeling of OTC drugs include a separate section headed "Drug Interaction Precautions." Using this approach, in lieu of a general statement appearing in the labeling of all OTC drugs, a statement will be required in the labeling that is specific for the particular OTC drug. As one of the several comments in favor of this proposal indicated, a general warning often goes unheeded but a specific statement for a specific drug or class of drugs will be much more effective.

6. With respect to drug interactions, one comment stated that, although the preamble to the final antacid monograph published in the FEDERAL REGISTER of June 4, 1974 (39 FR 19862) set forth specific drug interaction statements for antacids containing charcoal and kaolin, such statements did not appear in the antacid monograph.

Antacids containing charcoal or kaolin as ingredients have been determined by the Commissioner to be products for which available data are insufficient to permit final classification. If such active ingredients become generally recognized as safe and effective antacids, the monograph will be amended to include charcoal and/or kaolin and shall specify the applicable specific drug interaction warnings. Marketing of antacids containing charcoal or kaolin as active ingredients may continue until June 4, 1976, provided the manufacturer or distributor of such products undertakes adequate testing to prove effectiveness; the product, if it claims to be antacid, meets the in vitro antacid effectiveness standard in the antacid monograph and the label contains the specified drug interaction precaution.

Therefore, pursuant to provisions of the Federal Food, Drug and Cosmetic Act (secs. 201, 502, 505, 701, 52 Stat. 1040–1042 as amended, 1055–1056 as amended by 70 Stat. 919 and 72 Stat. 948; 21 U.S.C. 321, 352, 355, 371); the Administrative Procedure Act (secs. 4, 5, 10, 60 Stat. 238 and 243 as amended; 5 U.S.C. 553, 554, 702, 703, 704) and under authority delegated to the Commissioner (21 CFR 2.210), 21 CFR Part 330 is amended in § 330.1 by revising paragraph (g) and by revoking and reserving paragraph (i) as follows:

§ 330.1 General conditions for general recognition as safe, effective, and not misbranded.

* * * * *

(g) The labeling for all drugs contains the general warning: "Keep this and all drugs out of the reach of children." The labeling of drugs used for oral administration shall also state: "In case of accidental overdose, seek professional assistance or contact a poison control center immediately." The labeling for drugs administered rectally or used topically shall state: "In case of accidental ingestion, seek professional assistance or contact a Poison Control Center immediately." The Food and Drug Administration will grant an exemption from these general warnings where appropriate upon petition, which shall be maintained in a permanent file for public review by the Office of the Hearing Clerk, Food and Drug Administration, Room 4–65, 5600 Fishers Lane, Rockville, MD 20852.

* * * * *

(i) [Reserved]

* * * * *

(The Federal Food, Drug, and Cosmetic Act secs. 201, 502, 505, 701, 52 Stat. 1040–1042 as amended, 1055–1056 as amended by 70 Stat. 919 and 72 Stat. 948; (21 U.S.C. 321, 352, 355, 371); the Administrative Procedure Act secs. 4, 5, 10, 60 Stat. 238 and 243 as amended (5 U.S.C. 553, 554, 702, 703, 704))

Effective date. This order shall be effective March 13, 1975.

Dated: March 5, 1975.

A. M. SCHMIDT,
Commissioner of Food and Drugs.

[FR Doc.75-6559 Filed 3-12-75;8:45 am]

PART 331—ANTACID PRODUCTS FOR OVER-THE-COUNTER (OTC) HUMAN USE

PART 332—ANTIFLATULENT PRODUCTS FOR OVER-THE-COUNTER (OTC) HUMAN USE

Amendments to Monographs for OTC Antacid and Antiflatulent Products

In the FEDERAL REGISTER of June 4, 1974 (39 FR 19862) the Commissioner of Food and Drugs promulgated a final order for Antacid and Antiflatulent OTC drug products generally recognized as safe and effective and not misbranded. Section 331.30(a) for the labeling indications for antacid products included "The labeling of the product represents or suggests the product as an "antacid" to alleviate the following symptoms: "Heartburn," "sour stomach," and/or "acid indigestion." Section 332.30(a) for the labeling indications for antiflatulent products included "The labeling of the product represents or suggests the product as an "antiflatulent" and/or "to alleviate or relieve the symptoms of gas."

The Commissioner believes that the consumer should have available the most reliable, helpful drug information. The purpose of OTC medication is to permit consumers to engage in self-medication without medical or other professional supervision. It has been brought to the Commissioner's attention that the terms "represents or suggests" in the labeling requirements for OTC antacid (331.30 (a)) and antiflatulent (332.30(a)) drug products raises the question whether analogous or similar terms may be used.

The Commissioner advises that paragraph 49 of the preamble to the tentative final order published in the FEDERAL REGISTER of November 12, 1973 (38 FR 31260) explicitly dealt with this matter. Some of the comments on the proposed monograph published in the FEDERAL REGISTER of April 5, 1973 (38 FR 8714) had contended that the four allowed terms, "heartburn," "sour stomach," "acid indigestion," and "antacid" lack meaning to the consumer and were too restrictive. The Commissioner concluded, however, that the terms recommended by the Panel fully meet the intent of the regulation, that allowing each manufacturer to select the words to be used would result in continued consumer confusion and deception, and therefore that the evidence presented does not justify expansion of the present number of permitted terms. Accordingly, no change was made in the tentative final order or in the final regulation published in the FEDERAL REGISTER of June 4, 1974 (39 FR 19862).

In order to make this point clearer, the Commissioner has concluded that §§ 331.30(a) and 332.30(a) should be amended to state that the labeling of the product shall "identify" the product with only the specified terms. This fully reflects the intent and purpose of the regulation, as previously published.

The Commissioner notes that the regulation was not intended to prevent the use of descriptive phrases or adjectives, e.g., "sparkling" antacid. In all instances, however, the products must be identified using the specified terms permitted by the regulation.

Because this notice in no way changes the regulation, but only confirms and clarifies its meaning as previously set forth, the Commissioner concludes that notice, public procedure, and delayed effective date are unnecessary and contrary to the public interest.

Therefore, pursuant to provisions of the Federal Food, Drug, and Cosmetic Act (secs. 201, 502, 505, 701, 52 Stat. 1040–1042 as amended, 1055–1056 as amended by 70 Stat. 919 and 72 Stat. 948; 21 U.S.C. 321, 352, 355, 371, the Administrative Procedure Act (secs. 4,

5, 10, 60 Stat. 238 and 243 as amended; 5 U.S.C. 553, 554, 702, 703, 704) and under authority delegated to the Commissioner (21 CFR 2.120), 21 CFR Parts 331 and 332 are amended as follows:

1. In Part 331 by revising § 331.30(a) to read as follows:

§ 331.30 Labeling of antacid products.

(a) *Indications.* The labeling of the product shall identify the product as an "antacid" to alleviate the following symptoms: "heartburn," "sour stomach," and/or "acid indigestion."

* * * * *

2. In Part 332 by revising § 332.30(a) to read as follows:

§ 332.30 Labeling of antiflatulent products.

(a) *Indications.* The labeling of the product shall identify the product as an "antiflatulent" and/or "to alleviate or relieve the symptoms of gas."

* * * * *

(The Federal Food, Drug, and Cosmetic Act secs. 201, 502, 505, 701, 52 Stat. 1040–1042 as amended, 1055–1056 as amended by 70 Stat. 919 and 72 Stat. 948; 21 U.S.C. 321, 352, 355, 371; the Administrative Procedure Act secs. 4, 5, 10, 60 Stat. 238 and 243 as amended (5 U.S.C. 553, 554, 702, 703, 704))

Effective date. This order shall become effective April 14, 1975.

Dated: March 5, 1975.

A. M. SCHMIDT,
Commissioner of Food and Drugs.

[FR Doc.75-6560 Filed 3-12-75;8:45 am]

SUBCHAPTER F—BIOLOGICS

PART 630—ADDITIONAL STANDARDS FOR VIRAL VACCINE

Measles Virus Vaccine, Live, Attenuated and Rubella Virus Vaccine, Live; Deletion of Canine Renal Tissue Cultures

The Food and Drug Administration is conducting a review of the existing regulations governing biological products to assure that the criteria of safety, purity, and potency established by such regulations are updated to remain consistent with the judgment of the scientific community. Incidental to the subject review, the Commissioner of Food and Drugs finds that the regulations governing Measles Virus Vaccine, Live, Attenuated (21 CFR 630.30 through 630.37) and Rubella Virus Vaccine, Live (21 CFR 630.60 through 630.67) should be amended to delete reference to canine renal tissue cultures. This order is effective March 13, 1975.

The procedures for the propagation of measles and rubella vaccines in canine renal tissue cultures were added to the additional standards for these products in response to license applications submitted in 1963 and 1969. These revisions to the standards for Measles Virus Vaccine, Live, Attenuated and Rubella Virus Vaccine, Live were published in the FEDERAL REGISTER of October 22, 1963 (28 FR 11268) and June 7, 1969 (34 FR 9072), respectively, codified in 42 CFR Part 73, transferred to 21 CFR Part 273 by publication in the FEDERAL REGISTER of August 9, 1972 (37 FR 15993), and subsequently recodified as 21 CFR Part 630 by publication in the FEDERAL REGISTER of November 20, 1973 (38 FR 32048).

In 1973 the subject licenses for the manufacture of these vaccines from viruses propagated in canine renal cell cultures were revoked in response to notices from the manufacturer, given pursuant to 21 CFR 601.4, indicating its intention to discontinue manufacture of the products. Since the subject vaccines prepared from viruses propagated in canine renal cell cultures are no longer being manufactured, nor are there indications that they will be manufactured in the foreseeable future, there is no need for maintaining requirements concerning canine renal cell cultures in the aforementioned regulations. Accordingly, the Commissioner concludes that the standards governing the manufacture of Measles Virus Vaccine, Live, Attenuated and Rubella Virus Vaccine, Live, should be amended by deleting reference to canine renal cell cultures.

Therefore, pursuant to provisions of the Public Health Service Act (sec. 351, 58 Stat. 702, as amended; 42 U.S.C. 262), and under authority delegated to the Commissioner (21 CFR 2.120), Part 630 is amended as follows:

1. In § 630.30 by revising paragraphs (b) and (c)(4) to read as follows:

§ 630.30 Measles virus vaccine, live, attenuated.

* * * * *

(b) *Criteria for acceptable strains of attenuated measles virus.* Strains of attenuated measles virus used in the manufacture of vaccine shall be identified by (1) historical records, including origin and manipulation during attenuation and (2) antigenic specificity as measles virus as demonstrated by tissue culture neutralization tests. Strains used for the manufacture of Measles Virus Vaccine, Live, Attenuated, shall have been shown to be safe and potent in man by field studies with experimental vaccines. The vaccine shall have been demonstrated as safe and potent in at least 10,000 susceptible persons. Susceptibility shall be shown by the absence of neutralizing or other antibodies against measles virus, or by other appropriate methods. Seed virus used for vaccine manufacture shall be free of all demonstrable extraneous viable microbial agents except for unavoidable bacteriophage.

(c) * * *

(4) *Need for additional neurovirulence safety testing.* A neurovirulence safety test as prescribed in this paragraph shall be performed on vaccine from five consecutive lots whenever a new production seed lot is introduced or whenever the source of cell culture substrate must be reestablished and recertified as prescribed in § 630.32 (a) and (b) of this Part.

2. In § 630.32 by revising paragraph (a) and deleting and reserving paragraph (c) as follows:

§ 630.32 Manufacture of live, attenuated, measles virus vaccine.

(a) *Virus cultures.* Virus shall be propagated in chick embryo tissue cultures.

* * * * *

(c) [Reserved]

* * * * *

§ 630.35 [Amended]

3. In § 630.35 *Test for safety* by deleting and reserving paragraph (b).

4. In § 630.60 by revising paragraph (e)(3) to read as follows:

§ 630.60 Rubella virus vaccine, live.

* * * * *

(e) * * *

(3) *Need for additional neurovirulence safety testing.* A neurovirulence safety test as prescribed in this paragraph shall be performed on vaccine from five consecutive lots whenever a new production seed lot is introduced or whenever the source of cell culture substrate must be reestablished and recertified as prescribed in § 630.62 (a), (b) and (d) of this Part.

5. In § 630.62 by revising paragraph (a) and deleting and reserving paragraph (c), as follows:

§ 630.62 Production.

(a) *Virus cultures.* Rubella virus shall be propagated in duck embryo cell cultures or rabbit renal cell cultures.

* * * * *

(c) [Reserved]

* * * * *

§ 630.65 [Amended]

6. In § 630.65 *Test for safety* by deleting and reserving paragraph (b).

Pursuant to the Administrative Procedure Act (5 U.S.C. 553(b) and (d)), the Commissioner concludes that notice, public procedure and delayed effective date are unnecessary for the promulgation of this order inasmuch as it does not impose a duty or burden on any person, but rather updates the regulations to delete requirements for licenses no longer in effect.

Effective date. This order shall be effective March 13, 1975.

(Sec. 351, 58 Stat. 702, as amended; 42 U.S.C. 262.)

Dated: March 7, 1975.

SAM D. FINE,
Associate Commissioner for Compliance.

[FR Doc.75-6564 Filed 3-12-75;8:45 am]

Title 27—Alcohol, Tobacco Products and Firearms

CHAPTER I—BUREAU OF ALCOHOL, TOBACCO AND FIREARMS, DEPARTMENT OF THE TREASURY

[T.D. ATF-15]

PART 6—INDUCEMENTS FURNISHED TO RETAILERS

Signs and Advertising Specialties Furnished to Retailers; Amended Limitations

The purpose of these amendments to 27 CFR Part 6, Inducements Furnished

to Retailers is to (1) increase the maximum value of advertising materials that may be given, rented, loaned, or sold to a retailer by an industry member engaged in business as a distiller, rectifier, blender, producer, importer, wholesaler, bottler, or warehouseman of distilled spirits and (2) increase the maximum value of retailer advertising specialties that may be furnished, given, or sold to a retailer by wine and distilled spirits industry members.

The advertising limitation for distilled spirits was established at $10, in 1936; and after public hearings held in 1953, two separate limitations were established for distilled spirits advertising material—one limitation for materials used in window displays and another for materials used in interior displays. These limits were set at $15 and $30, respectively. The limitation for retailer advertising specialties (e.g. trays, coasters, menu cards, etc.), however, has remained at $10 since it was established in 1936. The Distilled Spirits Council of the United States (DISCUS) petitioned the Bureau to increase the advertising limitations several months ago, and on that basis a notice of proposed rulemaking was published in the FEDERAL REGISTER on September 24, 1974, (39 FR 34294) proposing to (1) increase from $15 to $25 and from $30 to $50, respectively, the window and inside display limitations for distilled spirits advertising material, and (2) increase from $10 to $20 the retailer advertising specialties limitation. Interested persons were afforded an opportunity to comment on the proposed amendments and due consideration was given all comments received in response to the notice.

No comments were received on the proposal to amend 27 CFR 6.23a to increase the window and inside display limitations for distilled spirits advertising materials.

Comments supporting the amendment of § 6.28, to increase the limitation for retailer advertising specialties, were received from a major wine trade association and a trade association of manufacturers and distributors of specialty advertising products. The reason for the support was that material and labor costs have more than doubled since the $10 limitation was established; and an increase in the limitation would be realistic in view of these cost increases.

A comment from the United States Brewers Association (USBA) took issue with the retailer advertising specialties increase as it would apply to malt beverages. The letter indicated that the board of directors of the USBA had adopted a resolution opposing application of the increase of the specialties limitation to malt beverages. The basis for the USBA's objection was that the proposed amendment would have an inflationary impact upon the malt beverage industry and might result in too great an economic burden on many industry members.

CHANGES PURSUANT TO NOTICE

No adverse comments were received in connection with the proposal to increase the respective limitations on window and inside displays for distilled spirits advertising materials, and the Bureau is adopting the increases as initially proposed.

Due to both favorable comments and evidence that cost increases due to inflation have necessitated a higher limitation, the Bureau is also adopting regulations as proposed concerning the increase in the limitation from $10 to $20 for distilled spirits and wine retailer advertising specialties. However, in view of the request from the USBA that brewers be excluded from any increase in this limit, the Bureau is retaining the $10 limitation for malt beverage retailer advertising specialties.

On the basis of the foregoing, 27 CFR Part 6 is amended as follows.

Paragraph 1. Section 6.23a is amended by increasing the limitations. As revised, § 6.23a reads as follows:

§ 6.23a Inside signs: distilled spirits.

Signs, posters, placards, designs, devices, decorations or graphic displays, bearing advertising matter and for use in the windows or elsewhere in the interior of a retail establishment, may be given, rented, loaned, or sold to a retailer by an industry member engaged in business as a distiller, rectifier, blender, producer, importer, wholesaler, bottler, or warehouseman and bottler, of distilled spirits, (a) if they have no value to the retailer except as advertisements, (b) if the total value of all such materials furnished by any industry member and in use in any one retail establishment at any one time does not exceed $25 in the case of materials used in window displays, or does not exceed $50 in the case of materials used elsewhere than in the windows, and (c) if the cost of installation of such materials does not exceed that which is usual and customary in that locality: *Provided*, That the industry member shall not directly or indirectly pay or credit the retailer for displaying such materials or for any expense incidental to their operation.

Par. 2. Section 6.28 is amended to (1) increase the limitation for distilled spirits and wine to $20, and (2) make editorial changes. As revised, § 6.28 reads as follows:

§ 6.28 Retailer advertising specialties.

Retailer advertising specialties, such as trays, coasters, mats, menu cards, meal checks, paper napkins, foam scrapers, back bar mats, thermometers, clocks, and calendars, which bear advertising matter, and which are primarily valuable to the retailer as point of sale advertising media, may be furnished, given, or sold to a retailer of distilled spirits or wine if the aggregate cost to any industry member of such retailer advertising specialties furnished, given, or sold in connection with any one retail establishment in any one calendar year does not exceed $20; or to a retailer of malt beverages if the aggregate cost to any industry member of such retailer advertising specialties furnished, given, or sold in connection with any one retail establishment in any one calendar year does not exceed $10.

This Treasury decision shall become effective May 1, 1975.

(27 U.S.C. 205 (49 Stat. 981)).

Dated: February 18, 1975.

REX D. DAVIS,
Director, Bureau of Alcohol, Tobacco and Firearms.

Approved: March 3, 1975.

DAVID R. MACDONALD,
Assistant Secretary of the Treasury.

[FR Doc.75-6591 Filed 3-12-75;8:45 am]

Title 30—Mineral Resources

CHAPTER VI—BUREAU OF MINES, DEPARTMENT OF THE INTERIOR

PART 601—SALES OF HELIUM BY AND RENTAL OF CONTAINERS FROM BUREAU OF MINES

Revised Fee Schedule

On page 42918 of the FEDERAL REGISTER of December 9, 1974, there was published a notice of proposed amendment of Chapter VI, Subchapter A, Part 601 of Title 30 Code of Federal Regulations, to replace the existing schedule of prices and charges which became effective November 18, 1961. The new schedule of prices and charges reflects increases in costs since 1961. Interested persons were given 30 days in which to submit comments.

Only one comment was received. The commentor objected to the increases in the prices and charges in general and objected in particular to the new rental rates and filling charges for cylinders.

We believe that the proposed increases in prices and charges are fully justified by increases in the cost of labor and supplies since 1961 when the prices and charges were last changed. The objection of only one person does not provide sufficient grounds for revising the proposed schedule.

The last sentence of footnote number 2 to the proposed schedule of prices and charges which reads as follows: "All contracts becoming effective after October 31, 1974 will be charged the monthly rate of $0.70 per cylinde, [sic] beginning January 1, 1975.", has been changed to read as follows: "All contracts becoming effective after October 31, 1974, will be charged the monthly rate of $0.70 per cylinder, from the beginning of the first month following the effective date of these regulations."

In consideration of the foregoing, the proposed amendment of Chapter VI, Subchapter A, Part 601 of Title 30, Code of Federal Regulations, is hereby adopted as set forth below.

This Schedule shall become effective April 14, 1975.

Approved March 4, 1975.

JACK W. CARLSON,
Assistant Secretary of the Interior.

The Table of Contents is revised to read as follows:

SUBCHAPTER A—HELIUM AND COAL

Sec.
601.1 Definitions.
601.2 Purchase price of helium.
601.3 Service charges.
601.4 Settlements under existing contracts.
601.5 Applications.
601.6 Advances, deposits, bonds, insurance.
601.7 Initial advance for purchase of helium.
601.8 Initial advance and guarantee for containers.
601.9 Adjustments of accounts.
601.10 Shipping containers.
601.11 Repurchase rights of Government.
601.12 Reservations with respect to sales and deliveries.
601.13 Exportation of helium.
601.14 Power of inspection.
601.15 Notification to repurchasers.
601.16 Violations and penalties.
601.17 Cancellation and assignment of contracts.
601.18 Federal agencies not affected.
601.19 Forms.
601.20 Termination.

The Appendix to 30 CFR Part 601 is revised to read as follows:

APPENDIX

SCHEDULE OF PRICES AND CHARGES

Helium sale price:	
Each unit, f.o.b. helium plants	$35.00.
Minimum order each contract	20 units.
Initial cash advance:	
Contracts for less than 500 units.	Full purchase price.[1]
Contracts for 500 units or more	$17,500.00.
Filling charge:	
Standard-type cylinders	$2.50 each.
Tank cars	$50.00 each.
Semitrailers	$25.00 each.
Service charges:	
Furnish new cylinder caps	$2.30 each.
Furnish new cylinder valve and instal.	$3.55 each.
Hydrostatic test cylinder and indent new test date.	$3.10 each.
Indent serial numbers on cylinders.	$1.65 each.
Install customer valves	$0.55 each.
Move cylinders to or from plant storage.	$0.45 each
Paint cylinders	$3.00 each.
Paint cylinder caps	$0.30 each.
Remove caps wedged by loose port plug.	$3.35 each.
Remove rusted caps that require special handling.	$4.45 each.
Remove sheared valve, including retapping threads.	$12.30 each.
Replace safeties	$1.75 each.
Rework safeties	$0.25 each.
Reset cylinder valves	$1.05 each.
Rework cylinder valves	$1.70 each.
Reset loose cylinder collar	$1.35 each.
Replace cylinder collar	$8.90 each.
Rubber stamp special information on cylinders.	$0.25 each.
Stencil "Helium oil free" on cylinder.	$0.45 each.
Stencil special markings on cylinder:	
First cylinder	$16.55 each.
Additional cylinders in same lot with markings.	$0.55 each.
Wash and dry cylinders, includes reset valve.	$2.20 each.
Special cylinder tagging	$0.20 each.
Special tagging of cylinder and port plug installed in valve.	$0.65 each.
Remove labels and decals from cylinder.	$0.20 each.
Seal cylinder—wire with crimped lead shipping seal.	$0.05 each.
Use of tank cars:	
Round trip mileage between helium plant and destination, and	$0.11 a mile.
Time at destination	$25.00 each day.
Initial cash advance for use of each tank car:	
Contracts specifying a definite number of round trips.	$1,000.00 each round trip.
Contracts specifying an indefinite number of round trips.	$4,000.00.
Cash, bond, or insurance to guarantee return of containers:	
1 tank car	$100,000.00.
2 or more but less than 5 tank cars.	$200,000.00.
Each tank car in excess of 4	$20,000.00.
Use of semitrailers:	
Time in customer's service	$15.00 each day.
Initial cash advance for use of each semitrailer:	
Contracts specifying a definite number of round-trips.	$150.00 each round trip.
Contracts specifying an indefinite number of round trips.	$800.00.
Cash, bond, or insurance to guarantee return of containers:	
1 trailer	$40,000.00.
2 or more but less than 5 semitrailers.	$100,000.00.
Each trailer in excess of 4	$10,000.00.
Use of standard-type cylinders:	
Each cylinder	$0.70 a month.[2]
Minimum each contract	$25.00.
Initial cash advance for use of cylinders:	
Contracts for 100 cylinders or less	$75.00.
Contracts for more than 100 cylinders.	$0.75 each.
Cash, bond, or insurance to guarantee return of cylinders.	$40.00 each.
Additional charge for failing to return containers with minimum residual pressure of 15 pounds per square inch gage of uncontaminated grade-A helium:	
Standard-type cylinder, evacuating and purge.	$2.15 each.
Tube trailer, tube banks, or tubes manifolded:	
Individual tube capacity 1,800 cu. ft. or less	
Purge	$1.00 each tube.
Evacuate	$1.20 each tube.
Individual tube capacity greater than 1,800 cu. ft.	
Purge	$5.30 each tube.
Evacuate	$6.60 each tube.
Tube trailer, tube banks, or tubes not manifolded:	
Individual tube capacity, 1,800 cu. ft. or less	
Purge	$2.00 each tube.
Evacuate	$2.20 each tube.
Individual tube capacity greater than 1,800 cu. ft.	
Purge	$8.30 each tube.
Evacuate	$8.60 each tube.
Tank car	
Purge	$90.00 each tank car.
Evacuate	$110.00 each tank car.

[1] The advance shall also include the estimated amount for filing charges and the full amount of estimated charges for the services to be rendered.

[2] To allow time for orderly transition, contracts for lease of Bureau of Mines cylinders, in effect on October 31, 1974, will be charged the monthly rate of $0.25 per cylinder until April 1, 1975, at which time the new monthly rate of $0.70 per cylinder will become effective. All contracts becoming effective after October 31, 1974, will be charged the monthly rate of $0.70 per cylinder, from the beginning of the first month following the effective date of these regulations.

[FR Doc.75-6573 Filed 3-12-75;8:45 am]

Title 33—Navigation and Navigable Waters

CHAPTER IV—SAINT LAWRENCE SEAWAY DEVELOPMENT CORPORATION

PART 401—SEAWAY REGULATIONS

Miscellaneous Amendments

On pages 4158 and 4159 of the FEDERAL REGISTER of January 28, 1975, there was published a notice of proposed rulemaking by the Saint Lawrence Seaway Development Corporation to amend the Seaway Regulations.

In amending the regulations, pursuant to its enabling act (33 U.S.C. 981 et seq.), and pursuant to the authority vested in the Secretary of Transportation with respect to the St. Lawrence Seaway under the Ports and Waterways Safety Act of 1972 (Public Law 92-340, 86 Stat. 424), which authority was subsequently delegated to the Administrator of the Saint Lawrence Seaway Development Corporation in the FEDERAL REGISTER on October 17, 1972 (37 FR 21943), the Corporation is acting jointly with the St. Lawrence Seaway Authority of Canada.

The Seaway Regulations and Rules were published initially in the FEDERAL REGISTER on July 1, 1958 (23 FR 5011-5013), to give users of the waterway essential information and directions for transiting. The last major revision of the regulations and rules was published in the FEDERAL REGISTER on March 22, 1974 (39 FR 10899) when the regulations and rules were consolidated into one set of regulations to eliminate repetition of the regulations in the rules and vice versa, and for clarity.

With respect to the current proposed amendments, interested parties were invited to submit written comments for consideration. No comments were received; therefore, the proposed amendments are hereby adopted without change.

Because the amendments were developed jointly with the St. Lawrence Seaway Authority of Canada and will be adopted by that agency at the beginning of the 1975 navigation season, I find that good cause exists for making the amendments effective in less than 30 days.

The amendments are as follows:

§ 401.9 [Amended]

Section 401.9(b)(2) is amended to delete reference to the frequency 156.45 MHz.

§ 401.43 [Amended]

The mooring table following § 401.43 is amended by adding "Iroquois" as a separate heading over Iroquois Lock.

§ 401.61 [Amended]

Section 401.61 is amended by deleting the words "156.45 MHz (Channel 9)—Working (Canadian Stations other than Lakes Ontario and Erie)" and by adding "Sector 3" between "Canadian Stations" and "Lake Ontario" in the description of frequency 156.55 MHz (Channel 11).

§ 401.63 [Amended]

Section 401.63 is amended by changing the three references to "Ch. 9" to read "Ch. 11" for Seaway Iroquois and the call in channel for Seaway Clayton and Seaway Sodus should be changed to "Ch. 13".

§ 401.81 [Amended]

Section 401.81 is amended by deleting the words "that might affect its ability to transit safely and expeditiously".

Schedule I is amended by deleting the reference to 156.45 MHz under Radiotelephone Equipment and by adding the word "Seaway" between "a" and "Transit" in the first line of the extract of paragraph 75(3) for clarity.

Schedule II is amended by changing the speed in Column III opposite "Junction of Canadian Middle Channel and Main Channel abreast of Ironsides Is." to read "11 (9.5 knots)".

Schedule III is amended by numbering each C.I.P. and Check Point from 1 through 52. Further, all references to "Ch. 9" are changed to read "Ch. 11" and all references to "Call Ch. 16 Work Ch. 13" are changed to read "Ch. 13". Finally, Schedule III is amended by deleting items 3, 4, and 5 of the Message Content for Downbound Vessels, C.I.P. 10—Entering Sector 2.

(68 Stat. 92-97, 33 U.S.C. 981-990, as amended, and sec. 104, Pub. L. 92-340, 86 Stat. 424, 49 CFR 1.50a (37 FR 21943))

Effective date: March 15, 1975.

ST. LAWRENCE SEAWAY DEVELOPMENT CORPORATION,
[SEAL] D. W. OBERLIN,
Administrator.

[FR Doc.75-6587 Filed 3-12-75;8:45 am]

Title 39—Postal Service

CHAPTER I—U.S. POSTAL SERVICE

SUBCHAPTER D—ORGANIZATION AND ADMINISTRATION

PART 221—GENERAL PRINCIPLES OF ORGANIZATION

PART 224—GROUPS AND DEPARTMENTS

Modification of Organizational and Reporting Requirements

This document amends 39 CFR Parts 221 and 224 so as to reflect certain changes in organization and reporting relationships with the Postal Service.

This revision is effective immediately.

1. The table of sections of Part 221 is amended by deleting § 221.5 Postal Service Advisory Council and § 221.9 Conversion of Terms and by redesignating sections 221.6 through 221.8 as sections 221.5 through 221.7, respectively.

§§ 221.5 and 221.9 [Deleted]

2. Section 221.5 Postal Service Advisory Council and section 221.9 Conversion of Terms are deleted.

§§ 221.6, 221.7 and 221.8 [Amended]

3. Sections 221.6 through 221.8 are redesignated as §§ 221.5 through 221.7, respectively.

§ 221.5 [Amended]

4. Paragraph (d) of redesignated § 221.5 is amended by inserting after the words "The Postmaster General," appearing at the beginning of the second sentence thereof the words "the Deputy Postmaster General,"; by deleting the word "and" after the word "Finance,"; and by inserting after the word "Operations," the words "and Manpower and Cost Control,".

5. The table of sections of Part 224 is amended by deleting § 224.9 Policy Matters; by redesignating §§224.5 through 224.8 as §§ 224.6 through 224.9; and by adding new § 224.5 Manpower and Cost Control Group.

§ 224.1 [Amended]

6. Paragraph (a) of § 224.1 is amended by deleting the matter ", research and engineering" and inserting in lieu thereof the words "and new development".

§ 224.1 [Amended]

7. Paragraph (c)(3) of § 224.1 is revised to read as follows:

* * * * *

(c) * * *

(3) *Planning and New Development Department.*

(i) The Planning and New Development Department is headed by the Assistant Postmaster General, Planning and New Development. It has overall responsibility (a) for business planning and strategic studies and (b) for research and development work done by the Postal Service.

(ii) The Planning and New Development Department's responsibilities include activities in the following areas:

(A) *Planning.* The Planning and New Development Department is responsible for business planning and strategic studies. It has the principal responsibility for insuring that comprehensive and effective planning procedures are developed, approved, and followed throughout the Service. This includes: assisting top management in the formulation of realistic goals and objectives; assuring that supporting plans are developed to comply with the approved objectives; and measuring progress in the attainment of the approved plans and objectives. It is also responsible for analyzing the long-range business outlook for the postal system, including the anticipated socio-economic environment and alternative business opportunities, and for conducting studies on which to base recommendations for new or modified policies.

(B) *New Development.* The Planning and New Development Department is responsible for research and development work done by the Postal Service. It is concerned with the development and application of new technology to mail handling problems. It is responsible for keeping abreast of and evaluating new concepts for application to Postal Service requirements and for maintaining relationships with top-level representatives of industry, education, appropriate Government agencies, and foreign postal services to obtain new concepts, ideas, and approaches related to postal research and development. It conducts original research to develop and promote new concepts and approaches to systems and mechanization for the collection, processing, transportation, and delivery of mail. It is also responsible for the design and development of new equipment and modifications to existing equipment based on new technologies. It operates the Postal Laboratory conducting research, test, and evaluation programs related to the above areas of responsibility.

8. Paragraph (c)(4) of § 224.1 is deleted.

9. Paragraph (c)(5) of § 224.1 is redesignated as paragraph (c)(4) and is further amended as follows:

a. Paragraph (c)(4)(ii)(B) is amended by deleting the matter "; establishes promotional budgets; and secures advertising for such programs as ZIP code and Christmas mail early" and the words "the National Postal Forum and"; by deleting after the words "It develops national retail merchandising" the words "and promotion"; and by deleting the words "Research and Engineering" and inserting in lieu thereof the words "Planning and New Development".

b. Paragraph (c)(4)(ii)(C) is amended by deleting the word "*Development*" and inserting in lieu thereof the word "*Management*".

c. Paragraph (c)(4)(ii)(D) is amended by deleting the words "product marketing plans, including the formulation of" and the words "and promotion".

d. Paragraph (c)(4)(ii)(G) is added as follows:

(c) * * *

(4) *Customer Services Department.*

* * * * *

(ii) * * *

(G) *Special Events.* The Customer Services Department is responsible for the National Postal Forum. It establishes and executes the participation of the Postal Service in trade shows and industrial conferences. It plans, executes, and coordinates the Bicentennial events of the Postal Service and the participation of the Postal Service in other Bicentennial celebrations or occasions.

10. Paragraph (c)(6) of § 224.1 is redesignated as paragraph (c)(5).

§ 224.3 [Amended]

11. Paragraph (a) of § 224.3 is amended by deleting the word "Three" and inserting in lieu thereof the word "Four".

12. Paragraph (b) of § 224.3 is amended as follows:

a. In the first sentence, the word "two" is deleted and the word "three" is inserted in lieu thereof.

b. In paragraph (b)(1), the words "Office of Rates and Classification" are deleted and the words "Economic Analysis Division" are inserted in lieu thereof; the sixth sentence is deleted; and the words "provides the basic processing services associated with the money order program and" are deleted.

c. Paragraphs (b)(2) and (b)(3) are redesignated paragraphs (b)(3) and (b)(4), respectively, and the following new paragraph (b)(2) is added:

(2) *Rates and Classification Department.* The Rates and Classification Department designs and maintains the Postal Service rate structure; develops and administers standards and procedures relating to mail classification, cost analysis and attribution, and related functions; and makes and defends recommendations to the Postal Rate Commission in conjunction with the Law Department.

d. Redesignated paragraph (b)(3) is amended as follows:

i. by inserting after the second sentence the following new sentence: "It provides the basic processing services associated with the money order program.";

ii. by inserting after the words "It specifies controls on" the words "the development,"; and

iii. by deleting the words "establishment of records retention schedules and has the authority to authorize the disposal of records by destruction or transfer" and inserting in lieu thereof the words "retention, security, and privacy of Postal Service records and has the authority to authorize the disclosure of records and their disposal by destruction or transfer".

e. Redesignated paragraph (b)(4) is amended by deleting the words "organization matters and" in the second sentence; the words "organization and" in the third sentence; and the words "is responsible for the development and operation of a servicewide management improvement program and" in the fifth sentence.

§ 224.4 [Amended]

13. Paragraph (c)(2) of § 224.4 is amended by deleting the words "Research and Engineering" and inserting in lieu thereof the words "Planning and New Development".

§ 224.9 [Deleted]

14. Section 224.9 *Policy matters* is deleted.

§§ 224.5, 224.6, 224.7 and 224.8 [Amended]

15. Sections 224.5 through 224.8 are redesignated sections 224.6 through 224.9, respectively.

16. New § 224.5 is added as follows:

§ 224.5 Manpower and Cost Control Group.

(a) The Manpower and Cost Control Group is headed by the Senior Assistant Postmaster General, Manpower and Cost Control, who reports to the Postmaster General. It has the principal responsibility for developing, implementing, and evaluating service-wide programs to contain and curtail costs for labor, transportation, supplies, and other services, without compromising established service standards. These programs are national in scope, with significant flexibility for implementation at the local level.

(b) The Manpower and Cost Control Group is divided into two offices and one division whose heads report to the Senior Assistant Postmaster General, Manpower and Cost Control:

(1) *Postal Engineering Systems Office.* The Postal Engineering Systems Office is headed by the Director, Postal Engineering Systems. It is responsible for providing engineering resources, technical direction, and priorities for the operating processes, mechanization and equipment required in the mail flow cycle to improve the consistency and reliability of service, and reduction of costs while maintaining a high quality of output.

(2) *Productivity Management Office.* The Productivity Management Office is headed by the Director, Productivity Management. It is responsible for recommending Postal Service policy on productivity measurement and improvement, issuing guidelines and procedures for the implementation and management of major service-wide cost reduction programs, and assessing the effectiveness of program implementation.

(3) *Administrative Management Division.* The Administrative Management Division is headed by the General Manager, Administrative Management. It is responsible for developing and maintaining a system for evaluating progress throughout the Postal Service toward established goals and objectives of cost reduction and productivity improvement.

In addition, the Senior Assistant Postmaster General for Manpower and Cost Control is responsible for the functional management of field engineering units assigned to Regions, Districts, Sectional Centers, and Associate Post Offices, except those receiving functional direction from the Real Estate and Buildings Department.

§ 224.10 [Amended]

17. Section 224.10 is amended by deleting the reference to "§ 221.6(d)" and inserting instead "§ 221.5(d)".

(39 U.S.C. 401)

ROGER P. CRAIG,
Deputy General Counsel.

[FR Doc.75-6615 Filed 3-12-75;8:45 am]

Title 40—Protection of Environment

[FRL 335-2]

CHAPTER I—ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY

SUBCHAPTER C—AIR PROGRAMS

PART 52—APPROVAL AND PROMULGATION OF IMPLEMENTATION PLANS

Florida: Approval of Compliance Schedules

On August 5, 1974 (39 FR 28164), the Administrator proposed the approval of a number of individual compliance schedules submitted by the State of Florida pursuant to the requirements of 40 CFR 51.15 pertaining to compliance schedules. These schedules had been adopted by the Florida Pollution Control Board after notice and public hearing before being submitted for the Agency's approval on February 26, 1974. Each establishes a date by which an individual air pollution source must attain compliance with the emission limitations of the State implementation plan. This date is indicated in the succeeding tables under the heading "Final Compliance Date." In many cases the schedules include incremental steps toward compliance, with specific dates set for achieving those steps. While the tables below do not list these interim dates, the actual compliance schedules do. The entry "Immediately" under the heading "Effective Date" means that the schedule becomes enforceable by the Federal government immediately upon its approval by the Administrator.

Copies of the proposed schedules were made available for public inspection at the Agency's Region IV office in Atlanta, Georgia and at the office of the Florida Department of Pollution Control in Tallahassee. Written comments were solicited from the public, but no response was received.

Copies of the schedules as well as the Florida plan itself are available for public inspection now at the Agency's Region IV Air Programs Office, 1421 Peachtree Street, NE, Atlanta, Georgia 30309; at the office of the Agency's Division of Stationary Source Enforcement, 401 M Street, SW, Washington, D.C. 20460; and at the office of the Florida Department of Pollution Control, 2562 Executive Center Circle East, Montgomery Building, Tallahassee, Florida 32301.

Moreover, an evaluation of any of the schedules can be had by consulting the staff of the Agency's Region IV Air Programs Office at the Atlanta address given above.

The Administrator has determined that all the schedules set forth here satisfy the requirements of 40 CFR Part 51 pertaining to compliance schedules, and that their approval will not hinder the attainment and maintenance of the national ambient air quality standards. Accordingly, they are hereby approved.

This action is effective immediately. The Administrator finds that good cause exists for making his action immediately effective since these schedules are already in effect under Florida law, and the Agency's action imposes no additional regulatory burden on affected facilities.

(Section 110(a) of the Clean Air Act (42 U.S.C. 1857c-5(a)))

Dated: March 6, 1975.

RUSSELL E. TRAIN,
Administrator.

Part 52 of Chapter I, Title 40, Code of Federal Regulations, is amended as follows:

Subpart K—Forida

§ 52.520 [Amended]

1. In § 52.520, paragraph (c) is amended by inserting in proper chronological order the date February 26, 1974.

2. Section 52.524 is amended by inserting new lines in the tables of paragraph (c) as follows:

§ 52.524 Compliance schedules.

* * * * *

(c) * * *

AIR QUALITY CONTROL REGION No. 049

Source	Location	Regulation involved	Date of adoption	Effective date	Final compliance date
DUVAL COUNTY					
Automotive Disposal Corp. A016-353	Jacksonville	17-2.04(2)	Nov. 20, 1973	Immediately	Mar. 6, 1974
C. L. Capps Co. A016-2148	do	17-2.04(2)	do	do	June 6, 1974
Colonial Stores Inc.:					
5451 Norwood Ave. S016-2064	do	17-2.04(6)(a)	do	do	Mar. 15, 1974
6310 103rd St. S016-2063	do	17-2.04(6)(a)	do	do	Do.
6538 Fort Carolina Rd. S016-2062	do	17-2.04(6)(a)	do	do	Do.
934 Dunn Ave. S016-2061	do	17-2.04(6)(a)	do	do	Do.
Arlington Expressway S016-2060	do	17-2.04(6)(a)	do	do	Do.
W. T. Grant Store S016-2065	do	17-2.04(6)(a)	do	do	Do.
Martin Coffee Co. A016-2145	do	17-2.04(2)	do	do	June 6, 1974
Memorial Hospital of Jacksonville. S016-2066	do	17-2.04(6)(a)	do	do	Mar. 15, 1974
Simplex Inc.:					
Incinerator No. 1 S016-400	do	17-2.04(6)(a)	do	do	Do.
Incinerator No. 2 S016-2067	do	17-2.04(6)(a)	do	do	Do.
Southern Wood Piedmont:					
Incinerator No. 1 S016-2069	Baldwin	17-2.04(6)(a)	do	do	Do.
Incinerator No. 2 S016-2070	do	17-2.04(6)(a)	do	do	Do.
Winn Dixie Stores, Inc.:					
Store No. 33 S016-134	Blanding Blvd., Jacksonville.	17-2.04(6)(a)	do	do	Do.
Store No. 5 S016-2072	Beach Blvd., Jacksonville.	17-2.04(6)(a)	do	do	Dec. 1, 1973
Store No. 102 S016-2073	Normandy Blvd.	17-2.04(6)(a)	do	do	Mar. 15, 1974
Store No. 61 S016-2074	Augustine Rd., Jacksonville.	17-2.04(6)(a)	do	do	Dec. 15, 1973
Store No. 93 S016-2075	University Blvd., Jacksonville.	17-2.04(6)(a)	do	do	Dec. 1, 1973
Store No. 18 S016-2071	Atlantic Blvd.	17-2.04(6)(a)	do	do	Do.
PUTNAM COUNTY					
L & W Wood Products Co. SO54-2076	Crescent City	17-2.04(6)(a)	Nov. 20, 1973	Immediately	Sept. 19, 1974
AIR QUALITY CONTROL REGION No. 5					
SANTA ROSA COUNTY					
Air Products & Chemical Co. Ammonium Nitrate Prill Plant AO57-2004.	Pace	17-2.04(2)	Nov 20, 1973	Immediately	July 1, 1975

[FR Doc.75-6485 Filed 3-12-75;8:45 am]

[FRL 335-1]

PART 52—APPROVAL AND PROMULGATION OF IMPLEMENTATION PLANS

Florida: Approval of Compliance Schedules

On February 14, 1974 (39 FR 5791), the Administrator proposed the approval of a number of individual compliance schedules submitted by the State of Florida pursuant to the requirements of 40 CFR 51.15 pertaining to compliance schedules. These schedules had been adopted by the Florida Pollution Control Board after notice and public hearing before being submitted for the Agency's approval on June 1 and August 6, 1973. (A large number of schedules also submitted on these dates were approved by the Administrator on September 7, 1973, at 38 FR 24333; the schedules themselves were reprinted in correct format on September 19, 1973, at 38 FR 26324.) Each establishes a date by which an individual air pollution source must attain compliance with the emission limitations of the State implementation plan. This date is indicated in the succeeding tables under the heading "Final Compliance Date." In many cases the schedules include incremental steps toward compliance, with specific dates set for achieving those steps. While the tables below do not list these interim dates, the actual compliance schedules do. The entry "Immediately" under the heading "Effective Date" means that the schedule becomes enforceable by the Federal government immediately upon its approval by the Administrator.

Copies of the proposed schedules were made available for public inspection at the Agency's Region IV office in Atlanta, Georgia and at the office of the Florida Department of Pollution Control in Tallahassee. Written comments were solicited from the public, but no response was received.

Copies of the schedules as well as the Florida plan itself are now available for public inspection at the Agency's Region IV Air Programs Office, 1421 Peachtree Street, NE, Atlanta, Georgia 30309; at the office of the Agency's Division of Stationary Source Enforcement, 401 M Street, SW, Washington, D.C. 20460; and at the office of the Florida Department of Pollution Control, 2562 Executive Center Circle East, Montgomery Building, Tallahassee, Florida 32301.

Moreover, an evaluation of any of the schedules can be had by consulting the staff of the Agency's Region IV Air Programs Office at the Atlanta address given above.

The Administrator has determined that all the schedules set forth here satisfy the requirements of 40 CFR Part 51 pertaining to compliance schedules, and that their approval will not hinder the attainment and maintenance of the national ambient air quality standards. Accordingly, they are hereby approved.

This action is effective immediately. The Administrator finds that good cause exists for making his action immediately effective since these schedules are already in effect under Florida law, and the Agency's action imposes no additional regulatory burden on affected facilities.

(Section 110(a) of the Clean Air Act (42 U.S.C. 1857c-5(a)))

Dated: March 6, 1975.

RUSSELL E. TRAIN,
Administrator.

Part 52 of Chapter I, Title 40, Code of Federal Regulations, is amended as follows:

Subpart K—Florida

Section 52.524 is amended by inserting new lines in the tables of paragraph (c) as follows:

§ 52.524 Compliance schedules.

* * * * *

(c) * * *

AIR QUALITY CONTROL REGION NO. 5

Source	Location	Regulation involved	Date of adoption	Effective date	Final compliance date
BAY COUNTY					
International Paper:					
Bark boiler No. 3 permit No. AO 03-603.	Panama City, Fla.	17-2.04(2)	May 15, 1973	Immediately	July 1, 1975
Bark boiler No. 4 permit No. AO 03-604.	do	17-2.04(2)	do	do	Do.
ESCAMBIA COUNTY					
St. Regis Paper Co.:					
No. 1 lime kiln permit No. AO 17-539.	Cantonment, Fla.	17-2.04(2)	May 15, 1973	Immediately	July 1, 1975
No. 2 lime kiln permit No. AO 17-540.	do	17-2.04(2)	do	do	Do.
No. 3 lime kiln permit No. AO 17-541.	do	17-2.04(2)	do	do	Do.
No. 1 dissolving tank, No. 1 mill, permit No. AO 17-619.	do	17-2.04(2)	do	do	Do.
No. 2 dissolving tank, No. 1 mill, permit No. AO 17-620.	do	17-2.04(2)	do	do	Do.
No. 1 dissolving tank, No. 2 mill, permit No. AO 17-622.	do	17-2.04(2)	do	do	Do.
No. 2 dissolving tank, No. 2 mill, permit No. AO 17-623.	do	17-2.04(2)	do	do	Do.
No. 3 dissolving tank, No. 2 mill, permit No. AO 17-627.	do	17-2.04(2)	do	do	Do.
No. 4 dissolving tank, No. 2 mill, permit No. AO 17-628.	do	17-2.04(2)	do	do	Do.
No. 1, No. 2 recovery boiler, No. 1 mill, permit No. AO 17-624.	do	17-2.04(6)(d)1	do	do	Do.
No. 1, No. 2 recovery boiler, No. 2 mill, permit No. AO 17-625.	do	17-2.04(6)(d)1	do	do	Do.
No. 3, No. 4 recovery boiler, No. 2 mill, permit No. AO 17-629.	do	17-2.04(6)(d)1	do	do	Do.
GULF COUNTY					
Basic Magnesia, Inc., periclase rotary kiln, permit No. AO 23-337.	Port St. Joe, Fla.	17-2.04(2)	May 15, 1973	Immediately	Jan. 1, 1975
St. Joe Paper Co.:					
Power boiler No. 1, permit No. AO 23-443.	do	17-2.04(2)	do	do	June 30, 1975
Power boiler No. 2, permit No. AO 23-444.	do	17-2.04(2)	do	do	Do.
Recovery boiler No. 4, smelt tank, permit No. AO 23-458.	do	17-2.04(6)(d)(1)	do	do	Do.
AIR QUALITY CONTROL REGION NO. 48					
ORANGE COUNTY					
City of Orlando incinerator, permit No. SO 48-507.	Orlando, Fla.	17-2.04(6)a	Apr. 17, 1973	Immediately	Jan. 1, 1975
AIR QUALITY CONTROL REGION NO. 49					
GADSDEN COUNTY					
Floridin Co. (Penn. Glass Sand Corp.):					
Stack No. 1 permit No. AO 20-2005.	Quincy, Fla.	17-2.04(2)	May 15, 1973	Immediately	Feb. 1, 1973
Stack No. 2 permit No. AO 20-2004.	do	17-2.04(2)	do	do	Do.
Stack No. 3 permit No. AO 20-2003.	do	17-2.04(2)	do	do	June 1, 1974
Stack No. 14 permit No. AO 20-2006.	do	17-2.04(2)	do	do	Mar. 1, 1973
Stack No. 15 permit No. AO 20-2012.	do	17-2.04(2)	do	do	June 1, 1973
Higdon Furniture Co. permit No. AO 20-2019.	do	17-2.04(2)	do	do	Nov. 1, 1974

TAYLOR COUNTY

Source	Location	Regulation involved	Date of adoption	Effective date	Final compliance date
Buckeye Cellulose Corp.:					
Recovery boiler No. 3 permit No. AO 62-2092.	Perry, Fla.	17-2.04(6)(d)1.	May 15, 1973	Immediately	July 1, 1975
Bark boiler permit No. AO 62-2093.	do.	17-2.04(2)	do.	do.	Do.
Recausticizing vent permit No. AO 62-2094.	do.	17-2.03(1)	do.	do.	June 1, 1975
Chlorine dioxide gen. exhaust permit No. AO 62-2095.	do.	17-2.03(1)	do.	do.	Do.
Bleaching vents permit No. AO 62-2096.	do.	17-2.03(1)	do.	do.	Do.
Digesting vents permit No. AO 62-2097.	do.	17-2.03(1)	do.	do.	Do.
Brown stock washing exhaust permit No. AO 62-2098.	do.	17-2.03(1)	do.	do.	Do.

AIR QUALITY CONTROL REGION NO. 52

HILLSBOROUGH COUNTY

Robbins Manufacturing Co., permit No. SO 29-2126.	Tampa, Fla.	17-2.04(6)a.	do.	do.	Mar. 1, 1974

PASCO COUNTY

Lykes Pasco Packing Co. (citrus processing plant):					
D-9 rotary dryer permit No. AO 51-2059.	Dade City, Fla.	17-2.04(2)	do.	do.	July 1, 1975
D-5 rotary dryer permit No. AO 51-2060.	do.	17-2.04(2)	do.	do.	Do.
D-6 rotary dryer permit No. AO 51-2061.	do.	17-2.04(2)	do.	do.	Do.
D-7 rotary dryer permit No. AO 51-2062.	do.	17-2.04(2)	do.	do.	Do.
D-8 rotary dryer permit No. AO 51-2063.	do.	17-2.04(2)	do.	do.	Do.
D-10 rotary dryer permit No. AO 51-2065.	do.	17-2.04(2)	do.	do.	Do.
D-11 rotary dryer permit No. AO 51-2066.	do.	17-2.04(2)	do.	do.	Do.
D-12 rotary dryer permit No. AO 51-2067.	do.	17-2.04(2)	do.	do.	Do.
D-13 rotary dryer permit No. AO 51-2068.	do.	17-2.04(2)	do.	do.	Do.
D-14 rotary dryer permit No. AO 51-2069.	do.	17-2.04(2)	do.	do.	Do.
D-15 rotary dryer permit No. AO 51-2070.	do.	17-2.04(2)	do.	do.	Do.
D-16 rotary dryer permit No. AO 51-2071.	do.	17-2.04(2)	do.	do.	Do.
D-17 rotary dryer permit No. AO 51-2072.	do.	17-2.04(2)	do.	do.	Do.
D-18 rotary dryer permit No. AO 51-2073.	do.	17-2.04(2)	do.	do.	Do.
Waste heat evaporator permit No. AO 51-2080.	do.	17-2.04(2)	do.	do.	Do.

PINELLAS COUNTY

Florida Power Corp., Higgins Plants Nos. 4, 5, 6, 7 permit No. AO 52-2036.	Oldsmar, Fla.	17-2.04(6)(e)2c.	do.	do.	Do.
B. P. Hood & Sons, Inc., citrus feed mill permit No. AO 52-2033.	Dunedin, Fla.	17-2.04(2)	do.	do.	Jan. 1, 1974
F. W. Woolworth:					
Store No. 6045 permit No. SO 52-2005.	St. Petersburg, Fla.	17-2.04(6)a.	do.	do.	July 1, 1973
Store No. 6146 permit No. SO 52-2004.	do.	17-2.04(6)a.	do.	do.	Do.

POLK COUNTY

Agrico Chemical Co. permit No. AO 53-2145.	South Pierce, Fla.	17-2.04(3), (6)(b)(1)	do.	do.	July 1, 1975
Citrus World, Inc., peel dryer permit No. AO 53-366.	Lake Wales, Fla.	17-2.04(2)	do.	do.	June 1, 1974
Keen Fruit Corp. permit No. AO 53-2162.	Frostproof, Fla.	17-2.04(6)(e).	do.	do.	July 1, 1975

[FR Doc.75-6484 Filed 3-12-75;8:45 am]

Title 43—Public Lands

SUBTITLE A—OFFICE OF THE SECRETARY OF THE INTERIOR

PART 2—RECORDS AND TESTIMONY

Correction

In FR Doc. 75-4505, appearing at page 7304 in the issue of Wednesday, February 19, 1975, make the following change:

In § 2.11, on page 7305, third column, a line reading "cedures set out below, persons seeking", should be inserted immediately after the first line of paragraph (b).

CHAPTER II—BUREAU OF LAND MANAGEMENT; DEPARTMENT OF THE INTERIOR

APPENDIX—PUBLIC LAND ORDERS

[Public Land Order 5491; AA-5630]

ALASKA

Powersite Restoration No. 684; Revocation of Powersite Reserve No. 753

By virtue of the authority vested in the President by section 1 of the Act of June 25, 1910, 43 U.S.C. 141 (1970), and pursuant to Executive Order No. 10355 of May 26, 1952 (17 FR 4831), and the determination of the Federal Power Commission in DA-106-Alaska, it is ordered as follows:

1. The Executive Order of December 9, 1920, creating Powersite Reserve No. 753, is hereby revoked so far as it affects the following described lands:

COPPER RIVER MERIDIAN

T. 75 S., R. 90 E.,
All lands within one-quarter mile of Carlanna Lake.
All lands within one-quarter mile of Charcoal Creek (now called Carlanna Creek) from the outlet of Carlanna Lake to the elevation of mean low tide.
T. 75 S., R. 91 E.,
All lands within one-quarter mile of Ketchikan Lakes.
All lands within one-quarter mile of Ketchikan Creek, from the outlet of Lower Ketchikan Lake to the boundary of Ketchikan Townsite.
Containing approximately 2,400 acres, partially within Ketchikan Townsite Elimination from Tongass National Forest, and partially within Tongass National Forest.

2. In DA-106-Alaska, the Federal Power Commission determined that there are no active plans to utilize Carlanna Lake for hydroelectric power and such use is considered unlikely because of the small power potential. The lands in the Ketchikan Lakes and Ketchikan Creek drainage that are in Powersite Reserve No. 753 are now adequately protected by Power Project No. 420 of May 15, 1928.

3. Until 10 a.m. on June 7, 1975, the State of Alaska shall have the preferred right to select the lands released by the revocation order, that are within the Ketchikan Townsite Elimination from the Tongass National Forest, as provided by section 6(g) of the Alaska Statehood Act of July 7, 1958 (72 Stat. 339), and the regulations in 43 CFR 2627.3.

4. At 10 a.m. on June 7, 1975, that portion of the lands within the Tongass National Forest shall be open to such forms of disposition as may by law be made within national forests.

JACK O. HORTON,
Assistant Secretary of the Interior.

MARCH 6, 1975.

[FR Doc.75-6566 Filed 3-12-75;8:45 am]

Title 49—Transportation

CHAPTER V—NATIONAL HIGHWAY TRAFFIC SAFETY ADMINISTRATION, DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION

[Docket No. 74-18; Notice 2]

PART 575—CONSUMER INFORMATION REGULATIONS

Special Vehicles

This notice amends Part 575, Consumer Information, so that the requirement that manufacturers have consumer information available in showrooms does not apply to special vehicles not available to the general public.

On April 26, 1974, the National Highway Traffic Safety Administration proposed to amend Part 575 to provide consumers with information for only those vehicles which they were eligible to purchase (39 FR 14728). The proposal, which was in response to a petition from Ford Motor Company, stated that information concerning special vehicles would continue to be made available to eligible purchasers. Comments concerning the proposal were received from American Motors Corporation, General Motors Corporation and Chrysler Corporation. All comments favored the proposal.

In consideration of the foregoing, 49 CFR 575.7 is revised as follows:

§ 575.7 Special vehicles.

A manufacturer who produces vehicles having a configuration not available for purchase by the general public need not make available to ineligible purchasers, pursuant to § 575.6(c), the information for those vehicles specified in Subpart B of this part, and shall identify those vehicles when furnishing the information required by § 575.6(d).

(Secs. 103, 112, 114, 203, Pub. L. 89-563, 80 Stat. 718 (15 U.S.C. 1392, 1401, 1407, 1423); delegation of authority at 49 CFR 1.51.)

Effective date: Because the amendment relieves a restriction, it is found for good cause shown that an effective date of March 13, 1975, is in the public interest.

Issued on March 7, 1975.

NOEL C. BUFE,
Acting Administrator.

[FR Doc.75-6604 Filed 3-12-75;8:45 am]

Title 50—Wildlife

CHAPTER I—BUREAU OF SPORT FISHERIES AND WILDLIFE, DEPARTMENT OF THE INTERIOR

PART 33—SPORT FISHING

Mingo National Wildlife Refuge, Mo.

The following special regulation is issued and is effective March 13, 1975.

§ 33.5 Special regulations; sport fishing for individual wildlife areas.

MISSOURI

MINGO NATIONAL WILDLIFE REFUGE

Sport fishing on the Mingo National Wildlife Refuge, Missouri is permitted in all waters as designated on the refuge during daylight hours only. The waters comprise about 4,300 acres. Maps and information are available at refuge headquarters and from the office of the Area Manager, Fish & Wildlife Service, 601 East 12th, Kansas City, Missouri 64106. Sport fishing shall be in accordance with all applicable State regulations subject to the following conditions:

(1) Open Season: January 1, 1975 through March 14, 1975 in designated waters.

(2) Open Season: March 15, through September 30 in all waters.

(3) Open Season: October 1, through December 31 in designated waters.

(4) Use of all motors is prohibited.

The provisions of this special regulation supplement the regulations which govern fishing on wildlife refuge areas generally which are set forth in Title 50, Code of Federal Regulations, Part 33, and are effective through December 31, 1975.

GERALD L. CLAWSON,
Refuge Manager, Mingo National Wildlife Refuge, Puxico, Missouri.

DECEMBER 31, 1974.

[FR Doc.75-6572 Filed 3-12-75;8:45 am]

proposed rules

This section of the FEDERAL REGISTER contains notices to the public of the proposed issuance of rules and regulations. The purpose of these notices is to give interested persons an opportunity to participate in the rule making prior to the adoption of the final rules.

DEPARTMENT OF AGRICULTURE

Agricultural Marketing Service

[7 CFR Part 102]

GRAIN WAREHOUSES

Weighing Requirements

Notice is hereby given in accordance with 5 U.S.C. 553, that the Agricultural Marketing Service, pursuant to the authority conferred by section 28 of the United States Warehouse Act (7 U.S.C. 268), is considering amending grain warehouse regulations appearing in Part 102 of Subchapter E, Chapter I under Title 7 of the Code of Federal Regulations with respect to requirements for weighing grain.

As a result of changes in the marketing system for grain, many grain warehouses licensed under the U.S. Warehouse Act are finding it difficult, or impossible, to comply with regulations which require that grain loaded out of the warehouse be weighed. In the past few years there has been an increased emphasis by government and industry to better utilize the nation's transportation equipment with special emphasis on better utilization of railcars. The railroads have responded by offering reduced rates on shipments, particularly multi-car shipments, where the shipper can load and bill the cars within a rigid timeframe, usually within 24 hours or less.

Warehousemen who otherwise operate in full compliance with the regulations are being forced to load grain out of the warehouse based on estimated weights in order to take advantage of the reduced rates. Warehousemen are also merchandisers and smaller warehousemen must be able to take advantage of the reduced rates to remain competitive with larger firms. To date, no serious problem has been encountered at licensed warehouses that have been forced to load grain on the basis of estimated weights.

Some warehousemen have revamped or built facilities which are equipped with rapid weighing equipment and adequate trackage to handle multi-car shipments. Many existing facilities do not lend themselves to installations of rapid weighing equipment and, at least some, are in locations which do not permit extending track sidings. Even where revamping and/or expansion is possible, many warehousemen find it economically unfeasible to do so.

The proposed change in the regulations would allow warehousemen to load grain out based on estimated weights if the grain were to be unloaded at another warehouse operated by the same warehouseman or unloaded at a warehouse where the weighing is under supervision of an independent weighing agency or if the destination weight is available within 24 hours. In most cases, the grain loaded out in this manner would be owned by the warehouseman. It is believed that the proposed change will not adversely affect depositors. In cases where estimated loadout weights are not reasonably close to destination weights, the warehouseman would be required to weigh all grain as in the past.

The proposed change in no way relieves the warehouseman of the responsibility to maintain accurate records of grain inventories and the responsibility to maintain quality and quantity of grain in the warehouse to cover all obligations. Also, a warehouseman must still maintain the capability to weigh grain out of the warehouse in the manner in which the depositors would ordinarily expect to take delivery from a particular warehouse.

A minor language change is also being made in the regulation concerning delivery of identity preserved grain. This change is not intended to change the intent of the regulation.

The proposed revised regulations are as follows:

1. Section 102.19 would be revised to read:

§ 102.19 Grain must be inspected and weighed.

(a) Except in case of identity-preserved grain, when the grading is omitted at request of depositor, all storage and nonstorage grain received into the warehouse shall be inspected, graded and weighed by a licensed inspector and/or weigher—and no receipt may be issued under the Act or the regulations in this part until the grain covered by such receipt has been so inspected, graded and weighed.

(b) When requested by the depositor of grain the identity of which is to be preserved, a receipt omitting statement of grade but not weight may be issued.

(c) Except as provided in § 102.27 all storage and nonstorage grain delivered out of a warehouse must be inspected, graded and weighed by a licensed inspector and/or weigher.

2. Section 102.27 would be revised to read as follows:

§ 102.27 Loading out without weighing.

(a) When the lawful owner of an entire lot of identity preserved grain or a mass of grain stored in a single bin requests the warehouseman to deliver said lot or mass without reweighing said grain, the warehouseman may make such delivery if there is an accurate record of the weight of such grain when received. Such deliveries shall be made only when the lawful owner agrees to assume all shortages and other risks incidental thereto, and after the warehouse receipts covering all of the grain in the container have been surrendered to the warehouseman and canceled. After the receipts covering such grain have been surrendered for cancellation no other grain shall be placed in the bin until the entire lot has been delivered.

(b)(1) When the lawful owner of fungible grain requests the warehouseman to deliver grain out of the warehouse without weighing, the warehouseman may, but is not compelled to, make such delivery provided the grain is to be moved into another warehouse in the United States where weights can be established. The weights established at the receiving warehouse must be supervised by an independent weighing agency unless the shipping warehouse and the receiving warehouse are operated by the same warehouseman, or unless destination weights are available within 24 hours of shipment. Whenever a warehouseman delivers fungible grain out of a warehouse without weighing, the weight of the grain unloaded at the receiving warehouse shall be the weight used to determine fulfillment of the shipping warehouseman's delivery obligations.

(2) When fungible grain is delivered out of the warehouse without weighing, the warehouseman shall estimate as accurately as possible the weight of the grain delivered out and shall promptly obtain destination weights from the receiving warehouse. Should the Administrator determine that such estimated weights are not reasonably accurate, or that destination weights are not promptly obtained, or that destination weights are not supervised by an independent weighing agency when required, he may thereafter require the warehouseman to weigh all fungible grain delivered out of the warehouse.

(3) Any weight certificate issued covering grain delivered out of the warehouse without being weighed must state in bold letters on the face of the certificate the fact that the weight is an estimated weight.

3. Section 102.44 would be revised to read:

§ 102.44 Grades and weights; bulk grain.

Except as provided in § 102.27 each warehouseman shall accept all storage and nonstorage grain and shall deliver out all storage and nonstorage bulk grain, other than specially binned grain, in accordance with the grades of such grain as determined by a person duly licensed to inspect and grade such grain

and to certificate the grade thereof and in accordance with the weights of such grain as determined by a person duly licensed to weigh such grain and to certificate the weight thereof, under the Act, and the regulations in this part; or if an appeal from the determination of an inspector has been taken, either under the Grain Standards Act and regulations thereunder or under §§ 102.81 through 102.95, such grain shall be accepted for and delivered out of storage in accordance with the grades as finally determined in such appeal.

4. Paragraph (g) of § 102.67 would be revised to read:

§ 102.67 Weight certificate.

* * * * *

(g) The net weight, including dockage, if any, of the grain except as provided in § 102.27(b).

* * * * *

All persons who desire to submit written data, views, or arguments on this proposal should file them in triplicate with the Hearing Clerk, U.S. Department of Agriculture, 14th and Independence Avenue SW., Washington, D.C. 20250. In order to be assured of consideration, such data, views or arguments should be filed not later than April 14, 1975. All written submissions made pursuant to this notice will be made available for public inspection at the office of the Hearing Clerk during regular business hours (7 CFR 1.27(b)).

Done at Washington, D.C., March 7, 1975.

JOHN C. BLUM,
Associate Administrator.

[FR Doc.75-6644 Filed 3-12-75;8:45 am]

[7 CFR Part 916]

NECTARINES GROWN IN CALIFORNIA

Findings and Determinations With Respect to the Continuation in Effect of the Marketing Order

Pursuant to the applicable provisions of the marketing agreement, as amended, and Order No. 916, as amended (7 CFR Part 916), and the applicable provisions of the Agricultural Marketing Agreement Act of 1937, as amended (7 U.S.C. 601-674), notice was given in the FEDERAL REGISTER on January 8, 1975 (40 FR 1515), that a referendum would be conducted among the growers who, during the current marketing season beginning on May 1, 1974 (which period was determined to be a representative period for the purpose of such referendum), had been engaged, in the State of California, in the production of nectarines for market to ascertain whether such growers favor the continuation of the said amended marketing order.

Upon the basis of the results of the aforesaid referendum, which was conducted during the period January 24 through February 9, 1975, it is hereby found and determined that the termination of the said amended marketing order, regulating the handling of nectarines grown in the State of California, is not favored by the requisite majority of such growers.

Dated: March 10, 1975.

RICHARD L. FELTNER,
Assistant Secretary.

[FR Doc.75-6642 Filed 3-12-75;8:45 am]

[7 CFR Part 917]

FRESH PEARS, PLUMS, AND PEACHES GROWN IN CALIFORNIA

Findings and Determinations With Respect to the Continuation of the Amended Marketing Order

Pursuant to the applicable provisions of the marketing agreement, as amended, and Order No. 917, as amended (7 CFR Part 917), and the applicable provisions of the Agricultural Marketing Agreement Act of 1937, as amended (7 U.S.C. 601-674), notice was given in the FEDERAL REGISTER on January 8, 1975, (40 FR 1516), that a referendum would be conducted among the growers who, during the period March 1, 1974, through December 31, 1974 (which period was determined to be a representative period for the purpose of such referendum), were engaged, in the State of California, in the production of any fruit covered by said amended marketing agreement and order (as the term "Fruit" is therein defined) for shipment in fresh form to ascertain whether continuation of said amended marketing order as to any such fruit is favored by the growers.

Upon the basis of the results of the aforesaid referendum, which was conducted during the period January 24 through February 9, 1975, it is hereby found and determined that the termination of the said marketing order, with respect to any of the fruits covered thereby, is not favored by the requisite majority of such growers.

Dated: March 10, 1975.

RICHARD L. FELTNER,
Assistant Secretary.

[FR Doc.75-6643 Filed 3-12-75;8:45 am]

Food and Nutrition Service

[7 CFR Part 220]

SCHOOL BREAKFAST AND NONFOOD ASSISTANCE PROGRAMS AND STATE ADMINISTRATIVE EXPENSES

Supplemental Notice of Proposed Rulemaking

In the January 15, 1975 issue of the FEDERAL REGISTER (40 FR 2697), the Food and Nutrition Service published a notice of proposed rulemaking which would amend the regulations governing the School Breakfast and Nonfood Assistance Programs and State Administrative Expenses. Among other changes, this proposed amendment specifies certain property management requirements for nonexpendable personal property purchased with Nonfood Assistance funds. It also specifies procurement standards for use by State Agencies in connection with supplies, equipment, and other services purchased by State agencies with Federal funds for use in public schools. These requirements and standards are included as items 13 and 17 of the proposed amendment.

This document supplements the notice of proposed rulemaking by notifying the public that the proposed property management requirements and procurement standards would also apply to supplies, equipment, and other services purchased by State agencies for their own use with State Administrative Expense Funds provided by the Federal Government.

Comments, suggestions, or objections are invited and in order to be sure of being considered should be delivered to William G. Boling, Director, Child Nutrition Division, Food and Nutrition Service, U.S. Department of Agriculture, Washington, D.C. 20250, or submitted by mail postmarked not later than March 25, 1975. The comment period is shorter than the 30 days normally provided because this matter is limited in scope and because a full 30 days was provided for public comment on the January 15 notice of proposed rulemaking. All comments, suggestions, or objections will be considered before the final amendments are published. All written submissions received pursuant to this notice will be made available for public inspection at the Office of the Director, Child Nutrition Division during the regular business hours (8:30 a.m. to 5 p.m.) (7 CFR 1.27(b)).

Dated: March 7, 1975.

RICHARD L. FELTNER,
Assistant Secretary.

[FR Doc.75-6487 Filed 3-12-75;8:45 am]

DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration

[50 CFR Part 278]

FROZEN MINCED FISH BLOCKS

Proposed Interim Grades Standards

During the last several years as a result of technological innovations, mechanical equipment has been developed to separate fish flesh from the bone and skin of fish. The fish flesh recovered from such mechanical equipment is a relatively formless mass of small pieces and particles which can then be processed into uniformly-shaped rectangular blocks.

Due to the interest expressed by various industry representatives regarding the potential utilization of the separated flesh in block form for further processing into traditional fish sticks and portions as well as new specialty products, the National Marine Fisheries Service, U.S. Department of Commerce, issued in the FEDERAL REGISTER for Saturday, June 10, 1972, 37 FR 11683, an invitation to interested parties for comments on standardization of fish blocks made from mechanically separated fish flesh. Subsequently, in the August 19, 1972, issue of the FEDERAL REGISTER, 37 FR 16808,

the National Marine Fisheries Service issued a "Statement of Findings and Intent" relative to the comments received from interested parties responding to the June 10, 1972, FEDERAL REGISTER notice.

In view of the interest expressed and the many comments received concerning the need for further information about the technology and production of mechanically separated fish flesh into fish blocks for use in further refined processed products, the National Marine Fisheries Service undertook the following actions:

1. Scheduled and held in cooperation with the National Fisheries Institute, two (2) open forum "Technical Seminars on the Mechanical Recovery and Utilization of Fish Flesh." The seminars were held in Oakbrook, Illinois in September of 1972, and in Boston, Massachusetts in June of 1974, respectively;

2. Conducted research studies for three years on the technical problems associated with the production and utilization of mechanically separated fish flesh to develop necesary scientific background information;

3. Conducted storage studies on production runs of minced fish blocks to assess overall quality of commercial products currently being produced.

As a result of the seminars and the research and quality studies completed to date, the NMFS believes sufficient information is now available on which to base Proposed Interim Standards for Grades of Frozen Minced Fish Blocks. Therefore, notice is hereby given that pursuant to the authority vested in the Secretary of Commerce by the Agricultural Marketing Act of 1946 (7 U.S.C. 1621–1630), and the Fish and Wildlife Act of 1956 (16 U.S.C. 742(e)), the Department of Commerce is now proposing to amend Title 50 of the Code of Federal Regulations by adding a new Part 278—Interim U.S. Standards for Grades of Frozen Minced Fish Blocks. The Interim U.S. Standards for Grades of Frozen Minced Fish Blocks will retain the interim status for approximately one year to allow for further industry coordination and trial use. At the end of the one-year period, the Department will propose to establish final U.S. Standards for Grades of Frozen Minced Fish Blocks.

Throughout the interim period, interested persons are requested to make their views known relative to this matter to the Director, National Marine Fisheries Service, National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration, U.S. Department of Commerce, Washington, D.C. 20235.

ROBERT M. WHITE,
Administrator.

It is proposed to amend Chapter II of Title 50 as set forth below:

Part 278 is added as follows:

Sec.
278.1 Scope and product description.
278.2 Product forms.
278.3 Grades—quality factors.
278.4 Determination of grade.
278.5 Additives.
278.6 Hygiene.

AUTHORITY: 7 U.S.C. 1621–1630; 16 U.S.C. 742(e).

§ 278.1 Scope and product description.

These standards shall apply to frozen minced fish blocks which are uniformly shaped masses of cohering minced fish flesh. A block may contain flesh from a single species or a mixture of species without or with food additives. The minced flesh consists entirely of mechanically separated fish flesh processed and maintained in accordance with good commercial practice.

§ 278.2 Product forms.

(a) *Types.* (1) Unmodified—no food additives used.
(i) Single species.
(ii) Mixed species.
(2) Modified—contains food additives (see § 278.5).
(i) Single species.
(ii) Mixed species.

(b) *Color classifications.* (1) White. (2) Light. (3) Dark. [Color standards will be developed and incorporated in the final regulations.]

(c) *Texture.* (1) Coarse—Flesh has a fibrous consistency and the length of the muscle fiber is not less than 5 mm.
(2) Fine—Flesh has a moderately fibrous consistency and the length of the muscle fiber is not less than 3 mm.
(3) Paste/Puree—Flesh has no fibrous consistency.

§ 278.3 Grades—quality factors.

(a) *U.S. Grade A.* Minced fish blocks shall:
(1) Possess good flavor and odor and
(2) Comply with the limits of defects for U.S. Grade A quality in accordance with 278.4.

(b) *U.S. Grade B.* Minced fish blocks shall:
(1) Possess reasonably good flavor and odor and
(2) Comply with the limits of defects for U.S. Grade B quality in accordance with 278.4.

(c) *U.S. Grade C.* Minced fish blocks shall:
(1) Possess minimally acceptable flavor and odor with no objectionable off-flavors or off-odors and
(2) Comply with the limits of defects for U.S. Grade C quality in accordance with 278.4.

§ 278.4 Determination of grade.

(a) *Procedures for grade determination.* The grade shall be determined by sampling in accordance with the sampling plan described in paragraph (B) of this section; evaluating odor and flavor in accordance with paragraph (C) of this section; examining for defects in accordance with paragraphs (D) and (E) of this section; and using the results to assign a grade as described in paragraph (F) of this section.

(b) *Sampling.* The sampling rate of specific lots for all inspections, other than for military procurement, shall be in accordance with the sampling plans contained in Part 260 of this chapter. For examination in the frozen state, an entire block shall be used as a sample unit. For examination in the thawed state, a subsample of at least 5 pounds weight shall be used. For military procurement, use MIL–STD–105.

(c) *Evaluation of flavor and odor.* Evaluation of flavor and odor shall take place after the sample has been cooked by any of the methods set below:
(1) Cut three or more 4-ounce portions from frozen block. Wrap the portions individually or together in a single layer in aluminum foil. Place the packaged portions on a wire rack suspended over boiling water in a covered container. Steam the packaged portions until the product is thoroughly heated but not overcooked, or
(2) Cut and package the portions or bulk fish as previously described. Place the packaged portions on a flat cookie sheet or a shallow, flat-bottom pan of sufficient size so that the packages can be spread evenly on the sheet or pan. Place the pan and packaged portions in a properly ventilated oven preheated to 400°F. Remove the packaged portions when they are thoroughly heated, to approximately 160°F, but not overcooked.

(d) *Examination for physical defects.* The sample unit will be examined for defects using the list of defect definitions 278.4.E., and the defects noted and categorized as minor, major, and serious, in accordance with Table 1 of this part.

(e) *Definitions of defects.*
(1) *Deteriorative color* refers to discoloration from the normal characteristics of the material used. Deterioration can be due to yellowing of fatty material, to browning of blood pigments, or other changes.
(i) Slight deteriorative discoloration—refers to a color defect that is slightly noticeable but does not seriously affect the appearance, desirability, or eating quality of the product.
(ii) Moderate deteriorative discoloration—refers to a color defect that is conspicuously noticeable but does not seriously affect the appearance, desirability, or eating quality of the product.
(iii) Excessive deteriorative discoloration—refers to a color defect that is conspicuously noticeable and that seriously affects the appearance, desirability, or eating quality of the product.
(2) *Dehydration* refers to a loss of moisture from the surfaces of the product during frozen storage.
(i) Slight dehydration—is surface color masking, affecting more than 5 percent of the area, which can be readily removed by scraping with a blunt instrument.
(ii) Moderate dehydration—is deep color masking penetrating the flesh, affecting more than 5 percent of the area, and requiring a knife or other sharp instrument to remove.
(3) *Uniformity of size* refers to the degree of conformity to the declared contracted dimensions. A deviation is considered to be any deviation from the contracted length, width, or thickness, or from the average dimensions, physically

determined, if no dimensions are contracted. Only one deviation from each dimension may be assessed. Two readings for length, three readings for width, and four readings for thickness will be measured.

(i) Slight—two or more deviations from declared or average length, width, and thickness up to ±⅛ inch.

(ii) Moderate—two or more deviations from declared or average length, width, and thickness from ±⅛ inch to ±⅜ inch.

(iii) Excessive—two or more deviations from declared or average length, width, and thickness over ±⅜ inch.

(4) *Uniformity of weight* refers to the degree of conformity to the declared weight. Only underweight deviations are assessed.

(i) Slight—any minus deviations of not more than 2 ounces.

(ii) Excessive—any minus deviation over 2 ounces.

(5) *Angles.* (1) An acceptable edge angle is an angle formed by two adjoining surfaces of the fish block whose apex is within ⅜ inch of a carpenter's square placed along the surfaces of the block. For each edge angle, three readings will be made and at least two readings must be acceptable for the whole edge angle to to be acceptable.

(2) An acceptable corner angle is an angle formed by 3 adjoining surfaces whose apex is within ⅜ inch of the apex of a carpenter's square placed on the edge surfaces.

(3) Any edge or corner angle which fails to meet these measurements is unacceptable.

(i) Slight—two unacceptable angles.

(ii) Moderate—three unacceptable angles.

(iii) Excessive—four or more unacceptable angles.

(6) *Improper fill* refers to surface and internal air or ice voids, ragged edges, or damage. Improper fill is measured as the number of 1-ounce units that would be adversely affected when the block is cut. For this purpose, the dimensions of a 1-ounce unit are 4 x 1 x ⅝ inch.

(i) Slight—not more than 3 units adversely affected.

(ii) Excessive—over 3 units adversely affected.

(7) *Blemishes* refer to pieces of skin, scales, blood spots, nape (belly) membranes (regardless of color), or other harmless extraneous material. One instance means that the area occupied by a blemish or blemishes is equal to a ¼ inch square. Instances are prorated on a per pound basis.

(a) Slight—less than 20 instances per pound.

(b) Moderate—between 20 and 40 instances per pound.

(c) Excessive—over 40 instances per pound.

(8) *Bones* refer to any objectionable bone or piece of bone that is ¼ inch or longer and is sharp and rigid. Perceptible bones shall also be checked by their grittiness during the normal evaluation of the texture of the cooked produce (10). Bones are prorated on a five pound sample unit basis.

(i) Slight—not more than 2 bones per five pound sample unit.

(ii) Moderate—3 to 4 bones per five pound sample unit.

(iii) Excessive—over 4 bones per five pound sample unit.

(9) *Flavor and odor* are evaluated organoleptically by smelling and tasting the product after it has been cooked in accordance with 287.4.C.

(i) Good flavor and odor (essential requirements for a Grade A product) means that the cooked product has the flavor and odor characteristic of the indicated species of fish and is free from staleness, bitterness, rancidity, and off-flavors and off-odors of any kind.

(ii) Reasonably good flavor and odor (minimum requirements of a Grade B product) means that the cooked product is moderately absent of flavor and odor characteristic of the indicated species. The product is free from rancidity, bitterness, staleness and off-flavors and off-odors of any kind.

(iii) Minimal acceptable flavor and odor (minimum requirements of a Grade C product) means that the cooked product has definite flavors and odors of bitterness, rancidity or staleness, that typically characterize poor quality, but is free from any objectionable off-flavors and off-odors that may be indicative of spoilage or decomposition.

(10) *Texture defects* are judged on a sample of the cooked fish.

(i) Slight—flesh is fairly firm, only slightly spongy or rubbery. It is not mushy. There is no grittiness due to bone fragments.

(ii) Moderate—flesh is mildly spongy or rubbery. Slight grittiness may be present due to bone fragments.

(iii) Excessive—flesh is definitely spongy, rubbery, very dry, or very mushy. Moderate grittiness may be present due to bone fragments.

(f) *Grade assignment.* The sample unit shall be assigned the grade into which it falls in accordance with the limits for defects, summarized as follows:

Flavor and odor		Maximum number of physical defects permitted		
		Minor	Major	Serious
Grade A	Good	3	0	0
Grade B	Reasonably good	5	1	0
Grade C	Minimal acceptable	7	3	1

Upon determination of the grade for each sample unit, a lot of minced blocks shall be assigned that grade in which the number of sample units in the next lower grade does not exceed the acceptance number for deviants prescribed in Part 260.61 of the sampling plan, Table II of Title 50, Code of Federal Regulations. Sampling for inspection for military procurement shall be in accordance with MIL-STD-105. Lot size shall be expressed in terms of pounds. The sample size shall be in accordance with Inspection Level S-3. Acceptable Quality Levels (AQL's) shall be expressed in terms of defects per hundred units. The AQL's shall be 6.5 for minor and 4.0 for major.

§ 278.5 Additives.

Minced fish blocks may be modified with food additives as necessary to stabilize product quality in accordance with the applicable requirements of the regulations contained in Part 121 of Title 21, Code of Federal Regulations. Examples of additives are: polyphosphates (not to exceed a maximum of 0.5%), antioxidants, colorings or flavorings, and texture enhancers.

§ 278.6 Hygiene.

The fish material shall be processed and maintained in accordance with the applicable requirements of the regulations contained in §§ 260.98 to 260.103 in Part 260 of Title 50, Code of Federal Regulations and the applicable requirements of the Good Manufacturing Practice regulations contained in Part 128 of Title 21, Code of Federal Regulations.

Table I

Physical defects			Categories		
Types		Degree	Minor	Major	Serious
Frozen state:					
Deteriorative color.		Slight	101		
		Moderate		201	
		Excessive			301
Dehydration		Slight	102		
		Moderate		202	
		Excessive			302
Uniformity of size.		Slight	103		
		Moderate		203	
		Excessive			303
Uniformity of weight.		Slight	104		
		Excessive			304
Unacceptable angles.		Slight	105		
		Moderate		204	
		Excessive			305
Improper fill		Slight	106		
		Excessive			306
Thawed state:					
Blemishes		Slight	107		
		Moderate		205	
		Excessive			307
Bones		Slight	108		
		Moderate		206	
		Excessive			308
Cooked state:					
Texture		Slight	109		
		Moderate		207	
		Excessive			309

NOTE.—The code numbers shown in the above table are for identification of defects for recording purposes only. They are keyed to the nature and severity of the defect. They are not scores.

[FR Doc.75-6510 Filed 3-12-75;8:45 am]

DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH, EDUCATION, AND WELFARE

Food and Drug Administration

[21 CFR PART 1]

INDIVIDUALLY WRAPPED PIECES OF CONFECTIONERY

Proposed Exemption From Required Label Statements

The Commissioner of Food and Drugs has received a petition from the National Confectioners Association (NCA), Washington, DC 20036, requesting that the words "'penny candy' and other" be deleted from 21 CFR 1.1c(a)(4) and proposing that an exemption from the net quantity of contents declaration required by § 1.8b for individually wrapped pieces of confectionery of not more than 2

ounces net weight be included in § 1.1c(a)(4).

NCA sets forth the following grounds in support of the proposal:

1. The proposal to eliminate "'penny candy' and other" is made solely for clarification purposes and is intended to have no legal or substantive significance beyond the attempt to avoid possible confusion. The term "penny candy" has for many years been used to describe a particular type of confection which, because of the economics of that period, also retailed for one cent. Today, the term has little significance and the phrase "confectionary of less than one-half ounce net weight" properly describes the intended product.

2. The U.S. Department of Commerce figures for the confectionery industry show three categories of merchandise which are affected by the petition: "penny" goods, bar goods, and 5- and 10-cent specialties. These are referred to in the trade as "count goods" and they comprise 47.2 percent of industry sales. The retail unit package weight for virtually all "count goods" is two ounces or less. The "count goods" category is contrasted to other confectionery products which are usually categorized as "package goods" and which are sold in multiple-serving packages, including bags and boxes, usually by the pound for at-home consumption.

3. The U.S. Department of Commerce reports that total manufacturer sales of confectionery for 1973 was $1,917,769,000 with the "count goods" portion amounting to $905,266,000. On the basis of recent surveys of various manufacturers, approximately $30,000,000 of costs are passed on to the consumers each year where compliance with the present requirements of net weight declaration for "count goods" under today's economic conditions necessitates equipment changes and the scrapping of packaging materials. Additional social costs may run as high as $76,599,000 per year because most manufacturers will, in periods of rising costs, be especially cautious about setting package weights at levels which future costs may not permit and particular caution is required in this matter because of the marginal profitability of the confectionery industry. If manufacturers had greater flexibility in establishing package weights, they would be less cautious and tend to establish weights more closely approximating current costs and thus the consumer would benefit from larger package sizes. Although precise estimates are difficult to achieve, greater flexibility would allow the manufacturer to provide, in such instances, as much as 11 percent greater value. It is especially important that manufacturers have flexibility where, as many economists are presently predicting for the coming months, prices of commodities are expected to decline and, therefore, manufacturers could pass along benefits to consumers.

The detailed calculations used in arriving at the above-mentioned estimates are included in the petition.

4. The economics of the times have brought about major new problems for manufacturers of confectionery items. In the past 2 years, the prices of practically all of the raw materials used by the confectionery industry have been highly volatile. For example, refined sugar, which sold for 15 cents a pound in January 1974 now is selling for over 40 cents a pound and soybean oil, which is the principle vegetable oil used by the industry, rose from 10 cents per pound in January 1973 to 40 cents per pound in July 1974. A price comparison table for the principle raw materials used by the industry over the last 2 years is included in the petition. Such dramatic price fluctuations for raw materials results in rapid and frequent adjustments in the size and/or price of confectionery items, particularly those weighing no more than 2 ounces.

Historically, the single-coined pricing of confectionery has been highly inflexible with efforts towards marketing items priced at "odd cents" typically failing. The conclusion has been that it is not practical to vary the price of such items in amounts other than multiples of 5 cents. As a result, the traditional method of reflecting manufacture cost changes for "count goods" has been for the manufacturer to increase or decrease the size or weight of his retail unit and hold the retail price constant until conditions force a change to a new multiple of 5 cents. For example, a one and one-quarter ounce item which is sold at retail for 10 cents may have to be changed to a one and one-half ounce item to retail at 15 cents.

5. Over the past 18 months, all "count goods" packages have had to be resized, several times for most such packages. The lead time necessary for obtaining packaging materials, especially the flexible materials used for confectionery labels, has increased substantially over the past year. Not only does todays' shortage of papers and plastics have an impact on lead time, but small unit labels must be produced in large quantities in order to achieve economic pricing and this also contributes to the necessary lead time. In some instances, manufacturers have resized a given package, ordered packaging materials for the new size, and upon delivery of the new package 3 or 4 months later have found that the new size is already obsolete by virtue of additional cost increases in the interim. Thus, in some instances, manufacturers have had to scrap second inventories of packaging materials and start the process all over again.

6. Consumers should be fully informed as to the net weight of each item being considered for purchase and this proposal would not result in less information to the consumer. For items weighing 2 ounces or less, the declaration of such information should be permitted on the container from which the items are sold or on immediately adjacent counter cards rather than on each individual item. The proposal applies only to the net quantity of contents declaration and items weighing at least one-half ounce but not more than 2 ounces would continue to be required to bear all other required information.

7. The potential for slack-fill problems remains essentially the same whether or not the net weight is stated on the package. Slack-fill questions arise where a manufacturer uses the same wrapper but lowers the weight of the product. It should be remembered, however, that slack-fill is a function of contents compared to package size and not contents compared to stated weight. A slack-fill problem could exist even where the net weight is understated on the label. Most candy wrappers are flexible and packaging equipment allows the wrapper to be drawn tighter or expanded based on size of contents. Because of the cost of packaging materials today, the manufacturer has a compelling incentive to minimize the amount of packaging material used for each package.

8. In summary, the confectionery industry is faced with the following problems:

a. Scrapping of millions of dollars of scarce packaging materials in the past year.

b. Forced discontinuance of many items.

c. Reductions in employment by virtue of dislocations caused by frequent discontinuity of product.

d. Limitations on the value derived by the consumer.

e. An extra, unneeded burden on the economy (all of the costs eventually get passed on).

The full petition submitted by NCA is on public display in the office of the Hearing Clerk, Food and Drug Administration, Room 4-65, 5600 Fishers Lane, Rockville, Md. 20852.

The Commissioner has considered the petition and has concluded that the petitioner has furnished reasonable grounds sufficient to warrant publication for comment. The Commissioner is especially interested in soliciting comments from the consuming public and industry concerning all ramifications of this proposal, including specifically, any assessments as to whether the potential savings to the consumer and industry outweigh any potential for consumer deception. Furthermore, the Commissioner invites comments from the retail food industry in particular regarding any difficulties that may be encountered in achieving suitable display methods that will ensure continued, meaningful opportunities for the consumer to make value comparisons.

Therefore, pursuant to provisions of the Federal Food, Drug, and Cosmetic Act (secs. 403, 701(a), 52 Stat. 1047-1048 as amended, 1055; 21 U.S.C. 343, 371(a)) and under authority delegated to him (21 CFR 2.120), the Commissioner issues NCA's proposal that Part 1 be amended in § 1.1c by revising paragraph (a)(4) to read as follows:

§ 1.1c Exemptions from required label statements.

* * * * *

(a) * * *

(4)(i) Individually wrapped pieces of confectionery of less than one-half ounce

net weight per individual piece shall be exempt from the labeling requirements of this part when the container in which such confectionery is shipped is in conformance with the labeling requirements of this part. Similarly, when such confectionery items are sold in bags or boxes, such items shall be exempt from the labeling requirements of this part, including the required declaration of net quantity of contents specified in this part when the declaration on the bag or box meets the requirements of this part.

(ii) Individually wrapped pieces of confectionery of not more than 2 ounces net weight per individual piece shall be exempt from the requirement for net quantity of contents declaration when the container in which such confectionery is shipped is in conformance with the labeling requirements of this part. Further, if such confectionery items, when offered for retail sale as individually wrapped pieces, are in open boxes or other open containers which prominently display the net quantity of contents of each item, or if there is a counter card or sign immediately adjacent to the items offered for sale which prominently states the net quantity of contents of each piece, the same exemption shall apply.

* * * * *

Interested persons may, on or before May 12, 1975, file with the Hearing Clerk, Food and Drug Administration, Rm. 4-65, 5600 Fishers Lane, Rockville, MD 20852, written comments (preferably in quintuplicate) regarding this proposal. Received comments may be seen in the above office during working hours, Monday through Friday.

Dated: March 7, 1975.

SAM D. FINE,
Associate Commissioner for Compliance.

[FR Doc.75-6565 Filed 3-12-75;8:45 am]

Public Health Service

[42 CFR Part 57]

SPECIAL HEALTH CAREER OPPORTUNITY GRANTS

Notice of Proposed Rulemaking

Notice is hereby given that the Assistant Secretary for Health, Department of Health, Education, and Welfare, with the approval of the Secretary of Health, Education, and Welfare, proposes to add a new Subpart S to Part 57 of Title 42, Code of Federal Regulations, as set forth in tentative form below, entitled "Special Health Career Opportunity Grants."

The purpose of this new subpart is to establish regulations implementing section 774(b) of the Public Health Service Act (42 U.S.C. 295f-4(b)), which authorizes the Secretary of Health, Education, and Welfare to award grants to public or nonprofit private health or educational entities to assist in meeting the costs of projects to (1) recruit into the health professions individuals whose background and interests make it reasonable to assume that they will engage in the practice of their health profession in rural or other areas having a severe shortage of health personnel; or (2) identify individuals with a potential for education or training in the health professions who due to socioeconomic factors are financially or otherwise disadvantaged and assist them in taking the steps necessary to enroll in a health professions school, or to undertake such education or training as may be required to qualify them to enroll in such school; or (3) facilitate the enrollment, pursuit and completion of such study by individuals referred to in (2); or (4) publicize existing sources of financial aid available to persons enrolled or undertaking training necessary to enroll in a health professions school.

Written comments concerning the proposed regulations are invited from interested persons. Inquiries may be addressed, and data, views and arguments relating to the proposed regulations may be presented in writing, preferably in triplicate, to the Director, Bureau of Health Resources Development, Health Resources Administration, 9000 Rockville Pike, Building 31, Room 5C02, Bethesda, Maryland 20014. All comments received in response to this notice will be available for public inspection at the Office of Grants Policy, Bureau of Health Resources Development, Health Resources Administration, 9000 Rockville Pike, Building 31, Room 4C07, Bethesda, Maryland 20014 on weekdays (Federal holidays excepted) between the hours of 8:30 a.m. and 5 p.m. All relevant material received not later than April 14, 1975, will be considered.

It is therefore proposed to add a new Subpart S to Part 57 as set forth below.

Dated: January 30, 1975.

CHARLES C. EDWARDS,
Assistant Secretary for Health.

Approved: March 10, 1975.

CASPAR W. WEINBERGER,
Secretary.

Amend Part 57 by adding thereto a new Subpart S as follows:

Subpart S—Special Health Career Opportunity Grants

Sec.

57.1801 Applicability.
57.1802 Definitions.
57.1803 Eligibility.
57.1804 Application.
57.1805 Evaluation and grant awards.
57.1806 Grant payments.
57.1807 Expenditure of grant funds.
57.1808 Nondiscrimination.
57.1809 Grantee accountability.
57.1810 Publications and copyright.
57.1811 Applicability of 45 CFR Part 74.
57.1812 Additional conditions.

AUTHORITY: Sec. 215, 58 Stat. 690, as amended (42 U.S.C. 216); sec. 774(b) 85 Stat. 446 (42 U.S.C. 295f-4(b)).

§ 57.1801 Applicability.

The regulations of this subpart are applicable to the award of special health career opportunity grants to public or nonprofit private health or educational entities under section 774(b) of the Public Health Service Act (42 U.S.C. 295f-4(b)).

§ 57.1802 Definitions.

As used in this subpart:

(a) "Act" means the Public Health Service Act, as amended.

(b) "Secretary" means the Secretary of Health, Education, and Welfare and any other officer or employee of the Department of Health, Education, and Welfare to whom the authority involved has been delegated.

(c) "Council" means the National Advisory Council on Health Professions Education (established by section 725 of the Act).

(d) "Health or educational entity" means an organization, agency, or combination thereof, which has the provision of health or educational programs as one of its major functions.

(e) "Nonprofit" as applied to any private entity means that no part of the net earnings of such entity inures or may lawfully inure to the benefit of any private shareholder or individual.

(f) "Project period" means the total time for which support for a project has been approved, as specified in the grant award document.

(g) "Budget period" means the interval of time into which the approved activity is divided for budgetary purposes, as specified in the grant award document.

(h) "Special health career opportunity grant" means a grant under this subpart to assist in meeting the costs of projects to:

(1) establish or operate projects designed to identify, and increase admissions to and enrollment in schools of medicine, dentistry, osteopathy, optometry, podiatry, pharmacy, veterinary medicine, public health, or other health training of, individuals whose background and interests make it reasonable to assume that they will engage in the practice of their health profession in rural or other areas having a severe shortage of personnel in such health professions; or

(2)(i) identify individuals with a potential for education or training in the health professions (including veterans of the Armed Forces of the United States with training or experience in the health field) who due to socioeconomic factors are financially or otherwise disadvantaged, and encourage and assist them (A) to enroll in a school of medicine, dentistry, osteopathy, pharmacy, optometry, podiatry, veterinary medicine, public health, or other health training; or (B) if they are not qualified to enroll in such a school, to undertake such postsecondary education or training as may be required to qualify them to enroll in such a school; or

(ii) publicize existing sources of financial aid available to persons enrolled in any such school or who are undertaking training necessary to qualify them to enroll in any such school; or

(iii) establish such programs as the Secretary determines will enhance and facilitate the enrollment, pursuit, and completion of study by individuals referred to in subsection (2)(i) in schools referred to in subsection (2)(i)(A).

§ 57.1803 Eligibility.

To be eligible for a grant under section 774(b) of the Act the applicant shall:

(1) Be a public or nonprofit private health or educational entity.

(2) Be located in any one of the several states of the United States, the District of Columbia, Puerto Rico, the Virgin Islands, the Canal Zone, Guam, American Samoa, or the Trust Territory of the Pacific Islands.

§ 57.1804 Application.

(a) Each eligible health or educational entity desiring a special health career opportunity grant shall submit an application in such form and at such time as the Secretary may prescribe.[1] The application shall contain a full and adequate description of the proposed project and of the manner in which the applicant intends to conduct the project and carry out the requirements of this subpart, a budget and justification of the amount of grant funds requested, and such other pertinent information as the Secretary may require.

(b) The application shall be executed by an individual authorized to act for the applicant and to assume on behalf of the applicant the obligations imposed by the terms and conditions of any award, including the regulations of this subpart.

§ 57.1805 Evaluation and grant awards.

(a) Within the limits of funds available for such purpose, the Secretary, after consultation with the Council, may award grants to those applicants whose projects will in his judgment best promote the purposes of section 774(b) of the Act, taking into consideration:

(1) The potential effectiveness of the proposed project in carrying out such purposes;

(2) The number and types of individuals who can be expected to benefit from the project;

(3) The administrative and managerial ability of the applicant to carry out the project successfully; and

(4) The soundness of the fiscal plan for assuring effective utilization of grant funds and the potential of the project to continue on a self-sustaining basis.

(b) The amount of any award under this subpart will be determined by the Secretary on the basis of his estimate of the sum necessary for all or a designated portion of the direct costs of the project plus an additional amount for indirect costs, if any, which will be calculated by the Secretary either (1) on the basis of his estimate of the actual indirect costs reasonably related to the project, or (2) on the basis of a percentage of all or a portion of, the estimated direct costs of the project when there are reasonable assurances that the use of such percentage will not exceed the approximate actual indirect costs. Such award may include an estimated provisional amount for indirect costs or for designated direct costs (such as fringe benefit rates) subject to upward (within the limits of available funds) as well as downward adjustments to actual costs when the amount properly expended by the grantee for provisional items has been determined by the Secretary.

(c) All grant awards shall be in writing, shall set forth the amount of funds granted and the period for which such funds will be available for obligation by the grantee.

(d) Neither the approval of any project nor the award of any grant shall commit or obligate the United States in any way to make any additional, supplemental, continuation, or other award with respect to any approved project or portion thereof. For continuation support, grantees must make separate application at such times and in such form as the Secretary may dictate.

§ 57.1806 Grant payments.

The Secretary shall from time to time make payments to a grantee of all or a portion of any grant award, either in advance or by way of reimbursement for expenses incurred or to be incurred in the performance of a project, to the extent he determines such payments necessary to promote prompt initiation and advancement of the approved project.

§ 57.1807 Expenditure of grant funds.

(a) Any funds granted pursuant to this subpart shall be expended solely for carrying out the approved project in accordance with section 774(b) of the Act, the regulations of this subpart, the terms and conditions of the award and the applicable cost principles prescribed by Subpart Q of 45 CFR Part 74.

(b) Any unobligated grant funds remaining in the grant account at the close of a budget period may be carried forward and be available for obligation during subsequent budget periods of the project period. The amount of a subsequent award will take into consideration the amount remaining in the grant account. At the end of the last budget period of the project period, any unobligated grant funds remaining in the grant account must be refunded to the Federal Government.

§ 57.1808 Nondiscrimination.

(a) Attention is called to the requirements of section 799A of the Act and 45 CFR Part 83 which together provide that the Secretary may not make a grant, loan guarantee, or interest subsidy payment under Title VII of the Act to, or for the benefit of, any entity unless he receives satisfactory assurances that the entity will not discriminate on the basis of sex in the admission of individuals to its training programs.

(b) Attention is called to the requirements of Title VI of the Civil Rights Act of 1964 (78 Stat. 252, 42 U.S.C. 2000d et seq.), and in particular to section 601 of such Act which provides that no person in the United States shall, on the grounds of race, color, or national origin, be excluded from participation in, be denied the benefits of, or be subjected to discrimination under any program or activity receiving Federal financial assistance. A regulation implementing such Title VI, which is applicable to grants made under this subpart, has been issued by the Secretary with the approval of the President (45 CFR Part 80).

(c) Attention is called to the requirements of Title IX of the Education Amendments of 1972 and in particular to section 901 of such Act which provides that no person in the United States shall, on the basis of sex, be excluded from participation in, be denied the benefits of, or be subjected to discrimination under any education program or activity receiving Federal financial assistance.

(d) Grant funds used for alteration or renovation shall be subject to the condition that the grantee shall comply with the requirements of Executive Order 11246, 30 FR 12319 (Sept. 24, 1965) as amended, and with the applicable rules, regulations, and procedures prescribed pursuant thereto.

§ 57.1809 Grantee accountability.

(a) *Accounting for grant award payments.* All payments made by the Secretary shall be recorded by the grantee in accounting records separate from the records of all other funds, including funds derived from other grant awards. With respect to each approved project the grantee shall account for the sum total of all amounts paid by presenting or otherwise making available evidence satisfactory to the Secretary of expenditures for costs meeting the requirements of this subpart: *Provided, however,* That when the amount awarded for indirect costs was based on a predetermined fixed percentage of estimated direct costs, the amount allowed for indirect costs shall be computed on the basis of such predetermined fixed-percentage rates applied to the total or selected elements of the reimbursable direct costs incurred.

(b) *Accounting for royalties.* Royalties received by grantees from copyrights on publications or other works developed under the grant, or from patents or inventions conceived or first actually reduced to practice in the course of or under such grant shall be accounted for as follows:

(1) *State and local governments.* Where the grantee is a State or local government as those terms are defined in 45 CFR 74.3, royalties shall be accounted for as provided in 45 CFR 74.44.

(2) *Grantees other than State and local governments.* Where the grantee is not a State or local government as so defined, royalties shall be accounted for as follows:

(i) Patent royalties, whether received during or after the grant period, shall be governed by agreements between the Assistant Secretary for Health, Department of Health, Education, and Welfare, and the grantee, pursuant to the Department's patent regulations (45 CFR Parts 6 and 8).

(ii) Copyright royalties, whether received during or after the grant period, shall first be used to reduce the Federal

[1] Applications and instructions may be obtained from the Regional Health Administrator of the Regional Office of the Department of Health, Education, and Welfare for the region in which the applicant is located.

share of the grant to cover the costs of publishing or producing the materials, and any royalties in excess of the costs of publishing or producing the materials shall be distributed in accordance with Chapter 1–420, Department of Health, Education, and Welfare Grants Administration Manual.[3]

(c) *Grant closeout.*—(1) *Date of final accounting.* A grantee shall render, with respect to each approved project, a full account, as provided herein, as of date of the termination of grant support. The Secretary may require other special and periodic accounting.

(2) *Final settlement.* There shall be payable to the Federal Government as final settlement with respect to each approved project the total sum of (i) any amount not accounted for pursuant to paragraphs (a) and (b) of this section; and (ii) any other amounts due pursuant to Subparts F, M, and O of 45 CFR Part 74. Such total sum shall constitute a debt owed by the grantee to the Federal Government and shall be recovered from the grantee or its successor or assigns by set-off or other action as provided by law.

§ 57.1810 Publications and copyright.

(a) *State and local governments.* Where the grantee is a State or local government as those terms are defined in 45 CFR 74.3, the Department of Health, Education, and Welfare copyright requirement set forth in 45 CFR 74.140 shall apply with respect to any book or other copyrightable materials developed or resulting from a project supported by a grant under this subpart.

(b) *Grantees other than State and local governments.* Where the grantee is not a State or local government, as so defined, except as may otherwise be provided under the terms and conditions of the award, the grantee may copyright without prior approval any publications, films, or similar materials developed or resulting from a project supported by a grant under this subpart, subject to a royalty-free, nonexclusive, and irrevocable license or right in the Government to reproduce, translate, publish, use, disseminate and dispose of such materials, and to authorize others to do so.

§ 57.1811 Applicability of 45 CFR Part 74.

The provisions of 45 CFR Part 74, establishing uniform administrative requirements and cost principles shall apply to all grants under this subpart to State and local governments as those terms are defined in Subpart A of Part 74. The relevant provisions of the following subparts of Part 74 shall also apply to all other grantee organizations under this subpart:

SUBPART

A General.
B Cash Depositories.
C Bonding and Insurance.
D Retention and Custodial Requirements for Records.
F Grant-Related Income.
K Grant Payment Requirements.
L Budget Revision Procedures.
M Grant Closeout, Suspension, and Termination.
O Property.
Q Cost Principles.

§ 57.1812 Additional conditions.

The Secretary may with respect to any grant award impose additional conditions prior to or at the time of any award when in his judgment such conditions are necessary to assure or protect advancement of the approved project, the interests of the public health or the conservation of grant funds.

[FR Doc.75-6622 Filed 3-12-75;8:45 am]

Social and Rehabilitation Service

[45 CFR Part 250]

ADMINISTRATION OF MEDICAL ASSISTANCE PROGRAMS

Proposed Medicaid Eligibility Quality Control

Notice is hereby given that the regulations set forth in tentative form below are proposed by the Administrator, Social and Rehabilitation Service, with the approval of the Secretary of Health, Education, and Welfare. The proposed regulation would amend Part 250 of Chapter II, Title 45 of the Code of Federal Regulations, to add § 250.25 for Medicaid eligibility quality control.

Prior to April, 1973 States were required to have in operation a title XIX quality control program. On April 6, 1973 the Medicaid quality control program was discontinued and States were informed that a revised system was under development. The proposed regulation would require that States implement a Medicaid quality control system on July 1, 1975.

There has been a substantial improvement in the administration of the Aid to Families with Dependent Children program during the past year which is attributable to the high priority given the quality control initiative and the commitment shown by States to improve management of their system. The Medicaid quality control program in operation prior to April, 1973 was relatively unsophisticated in terms of providing statistically reliable error and payment data and in identifying corrective action measures. Utilizing the experience gained from operating AFDC quality control, these successful Federal/State management initiatives can be extended to the Medicaid program. Preliminary indications are that the ineligibility rate for non-money payment recipients of Medicaid may be significantly higher than the rate of payment to ineligible recipients in AFDC.

The proposed regulation is substantially the same as the existing quality control regulation for the AFDC program contained in 45 CFR § 205.40, which would be amended to delete reference to title XIX. Major provisions unique to Medicaid quality control include the following:

1. States would be required to submit data concerning the number of ineligible recipients and payment data on all recipients included in the Medicaid sampling universe. This data would allow us to determine the amount of erroneous expenditures related to specific errors and identify the cause of errors, and aid in establishing priorities and planning corrective action.

2. An initial sampling period of July 1, 1975 to September 30, 1975 would be established for the Medicaid quality control program. Commencing October 1, 1975, sampling periods would be of 6 months duration. The 3 months initial sampling period is designed to stagger the reporting period for other Federal programs such as Food Stamps and AFDC quality control.

3. The States would be required to submit corrective action plans after the end of each Medicaid sampling period.

Consideration will be given to any comments, suggestions, or objections which are received in writing by the Administrator, Social and Rehabilitation Service, Department of Health, Education, and Welfare, Post Office Box 2366, Washington, D.C. 20013, on or before April 14, 1975. Comments received will be available for public inspection in Room 5326 of the Department's offices at 330 C St., SW., Washington, D.C. on Monday through Friday of each week from 8:30 a.m. to 5 p.m. (area code 202–245–0950).

(Sec. 1102, 49 Stat. 647 (42 U.C. 1302))

(Catalog of Federal Domestic Assistance Program No. 13.714, Medical Assistance Program)

Dated: February 7, 1975.

JAMES S. DWIGHT, Jr.,
Administrator.

Approved: March 5, 1975.

CASPAR W. WEINBERGER,
Secretary.

It is proposed to amend Parts 205 and 250 of Title 45 as set forth below:

1. Section 205.40 is revised to read as follows:

§ 205.40 Quality control system.

State plan requirements.—A State plan under title IV–A of the Social Security Act must provide for a system of quality control, which meets Federal specifications, for assuring that assistance is furnished in accordance with State plan provisions. Under this requirement:

* * * * *

2. Section 250.25 is added as follows:

§ 250.25 Medicaid eligibility quality control.

State plan requirements. A State plan for medical assistance under title XIX of the Social Security Act must provide for a system of eligibility quality control, which meets Federal specifications, for

[3] The Department of Health, Education, and Welfare Grants Administration Manual is available for public inspection and copying at the Department's and Regional Offices' information centers listed in 45 CFR 5.31 and may be purchased from the Superintendent of Documents, U.S. Government Printing Office, Washington, D.C. 20402.

assuring that medical assistance is furnished in accordance with State plan provisions. Under this requirement:

(a) The State agency, or at the option of the State, the agency responsible for determining eligibility for medical assistance, shall:

(1) Apply the sampling methods, schedules, and instructions prescribed by the Social and Rehabilitation Service (SRS);

(2) Conduct field investigations, including a personal interview with all recipients who fall within the sample;

(3) Take appropriate corrective action on improperly authorized medical assistance and system weaknesses;

(4) Report to the Federal Government as prescribed; and

(5) Assure access by Federal staff to State and local records, recipients, and third parties.

(b) The State agency shall submit to SRS in accordance with Federal instructions:

(1) A description of the State's sampling plan;

(2) Data concerning recipients under title XIX who are ineligible for medical assistance;

(3) Data concerning payments for medical assistance under title XIX on behalf of recipients subject to sampling under the medical assistance program; and

(4) A comprehensive plan for analysis of and corrective action on the findings of each sampling period provided for in paragraph (c) of this section, no later than 90 days after the end of each sampling period.

(c) There shall be a sampling period from July 1, 1975 to September 30, 1975, and sampling periods of 6 months each thereafter commencing October 1, 1975, to collect the data referred to in paragraph (b)(2) and (3) of this section. Such data shall be submitted to SRS no later than 90 days after the end of each sampling period.

[FR Doc.75-6450 Filed 3-12-75;8:45 am]

DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION

Federal Aviation Administration

[14 CFR Part 121]

[Docket No. 13485; Notice No. 75-14]

CREWMEMBER INTERPHONE SYSTEMS FOR LARGE TURBOJET-POWERED AIRPLANES

Proposed Operation of Aural or Visual Alerting System

The Federal Aviation Administration is considering amending Part 121 of the Federal Aviation Regulations to permit the operation of large turbojet-powered airplanes with either an aural or a visual alerting system for use by flight crewmembers to alert flight attendants, and for use by flight attendants to alert flight crewmembers. This proposal would also revoke the requirement for a two-way communication system between ground personnel and a flight attendant in the passenger cabin of those airplanes, and would clarify certain other provisions contained in Part 121.

Interested persons are invited to participate in the making of the proposed rule by submitting such written data, views, or arguments as they may desire. Communications should identify the regulatory docket or notice number and be submitted in duplicate to: Federal Aviation Administration, Office of the Chief Counsel, Attention: Rules Docket, AGC-24, 800 Independence Avenue, SW., Washington, D.C. 20591. All communications received on or before April 28, 1975, will be considered by the Administrator before taking action on the proposed rule. The proposal contained in this notice may be changed in the light of comments received. All comments submitted will be available, both before and after the closing date for comments, in the Rules Docket for examination by interested persons.

This notice is issued in response to a request for rule making made in a petition by the Air Transport Association of America (ATA) (Docket No. 13485; November 14, 1973).

Section 121.319(a) of the Federal Aviation Regulations requires that "after September 8, 1975, no person may operate an airplane with a seating capacity of more than 19 passengers unless the airplane is equipped with a crewmember interphone system." Subparagraph (b)(5)(ii) of that section provides, in pertinent part, that the interphone system for large turbojet airplanes "must have an alerting system incorporating both aural and visual signals for use by the flight crewmember to alert flight attendants and for use by flight attendants to alert flight crewmembers." The FAA adopted this dual signaling requirement to reduce the possibility of a complete system failure, to avoid delays in responding to an alert, and to provide greater assurance that the recipient of an emergency call will be alerted.

Section 121.319(b)(5)(iii) states that "the alerting system required by subparagraph (b)(5)(ii) of this section must have a means for the recipient of a call to determine whether it is a normal call or an emergency call."

Section 121.319(b)(5)(iv) requires, in pertinent part, that the interphone system for large turbojet-powered airplanes must provide a means of two-way communication between ground personnel and at least one flight attendant in the passenger cabin when the airplane is on the ground.

The ATA points to the requirement in Amendment 121-105 which states that the interphone system must have "a means that enables the recipient [of a call] to determine whether it is a normal or an emergency call." Petitioner contends, in essence, that this requirement, later incorporated in FAR §121.319(b)(5)(iii), may be satisfied by either aural or visual signals if the signaling method used is capable of distinguishing between normal and emergency calls. In this connection, the ATA asserts that a method of using different numbers of bells or gongs in an aural system to indicate priority is acceptable if procedures in the airline manual are clear. Consequently, it contends that the dual signaling requirement of FAR § 121.319(b)(5)(ii) does not provide greater assurance that the recipient of an emergency call will be alerted, since § 121.319(b)(5)(iii) requires only one means, which may be either aural or visual, for determining whether the interphone system is being used routinely or for an emergency call. Moreover, the ATA states that, if relief is not granted from the requirement of FAR § 121.319(b)(5)(ii), the airlines will have to unnecessarily spend a substantial sum of money to comply with that regulation.

The ATA also objects to the requirement of FAR § 121.319(b)(5)(iv) for a two-way communication system between ground personnel and a flight attendant in the passenger cabin of large turbojet-powered airplanes. It states that this system requirement, by permitting communications between a flight attendant and ground personnel without pilot-in-command knowledge, could cause serious safety problems during emergency situations. The ATA believes that the responsibility for an aircraft should remain with the pilot-in-command and should not be delegated during an emergency to a flight attendant in the passenger cabin.

On further consideration, the FAA believes the objective of FAR § 121.319(b)(5)(ii) and (iii) can be met with the use of either an aural or a visual alerting system which is capable of distinguishing between a normal and an emergency call, since there are other means available, such as the public address system, to relay calls in the event the alerting system does not operate. Moreover, we have reviewed the requirement of FAR § 121.319(b)(5)(iv) for a two-way communication system between ground personnel and a flight attendant in the passenger cabin. We believe recent experience has shown that the need for that requirement is not as great at the present time as before.

During recent discussions with ATA representatives and other interested members of the aviation community, a question arose as to when a power source is not considered to be common to the public address and interphone systems for the purpose of complying with FAR §§ 121.318(a)(1) and 121.319(a)(2). In addition, the need for § 121.319(a)(1) was questioned.

FAR §§ 121.318(a)(1) and 121.319(a)(2) state that except for handsets, headsets, microphones, selector switches, and signaling devices, the public address and interphone systems must be capable of operation independent of each other. With respect to these regulations, the FAA wishes to point out that the power source is not considered to be common to the public address and interphone systems when the two systems are served by separate audio amplifiers through separate circuit breakers which receive power from at least a priority bus.

Section 121.303(d)(2) requires, in pertinent part, that no person may take off any airplane unless the instruments and equipment specified in §§ 121.305 through 121.321 for all operations are in operable condition. At the same time, FAR § 121.319(a)(1) provides that the crewmember interphone system must be operational at takeoff. Since § 121.303(d)(2) specifies that the equipment required by § 121.319 must be operational at takeoff, we believe that § 121.319(a)(1) is redundant and may be revoked, as proposed herein.

It should be noted that this discussion and the amendments proposed herein deal with a subject for which proposals were received for inclusion in the 1974–1975 Airworthiness Review Program (Notice 74–5; 39 FR 5785). As indicated in that Notice and in Notice 74–5A (39 FR 18662), inviting comments on the proposals received, rule making procedures separate from the Airworthiness Review could result in removal of proposals from further consideration during the Airworthiness Review Program. Accordingly, the FAA has determined that the Aerospace Industries Association of America Proposal Nos. 527 and 528 (§§ 121.318 and 121.319), discussed as Agenda Items J–63 and J–64 in Committee V (Systems and Equipment) at the Airworthiness Review Conference, need not and will not be given further consideration during the 1974–1975 Airworthiness Review.

(Secs. 313(a), 601, 604, Federal Aviation Act of 1958 (49 U.S.C. 1354(a), 1421, 1424); sec. 6(c), Department of Transportation Act (49 U.S.C. 1655(c)))

In consideration of the foregoing, it is proposed to amend § 121.319 of the Federal Aviation regulations by revoking and reserving paragraph (a)(1), by revising paragraph (b)(5)(ii), and by revising paragraph (b)(5)(iv) as follows:

§ 121.319 Crewmember interphone system.

(a) * * *

(1) [Reserved]

* * * * *

(b) * * *

(5) * * *

(ii) It must have an alerting system incorporating aural or visual signals for use by flight crewmembers to alert flight attendants and for use by flight attendants to alert flight crewmembers;

* * * * *

(iv) When the airplane is on the ground, it must provide a means of two-way communication between ground personnel and either of at least two flight crewmembers in the pilot compartment. * * *

Issued in Washington, D.C., on March 6, 1975.

JAMES M. VINES,
Acting Director,
Flight Standards Service.

[FR Doc.75-6402 Filed 3-12-75;8:45 am]

[14 CFR Part 121]

[Docket No. 14451; Notice No. 75–13]

FLIGHT ATTENDANT CLOTHING

Flammability Standards; Advance Notice of Proposed Rule Making

The Federal Aviation Administration is considering the need to amend Part 121 of the Federal Aviation regulations to require that the clothing worn by the flight attendants required to be abroad passenger-carrying aircraft meet certain standards and specifications with respect to flammability.

This advance notice of proposed rule making is being issued pursuant to the FAA's policy for the early institution of public proceedings in actions related to rule making. An advance notice is issued to invite early public participation in the identification and selection of a course or alternate courses of action with respect to a particular rule making problem.

Interested persons are invited to participate in the making of the proposed rule by submitting such written data, views, or arguments as they may desire. Communications should identify the regulatory docket or notice number and be submitted in duplicate to: Federal Aviation Administration, Office of the Chief Counsel, Attention: Rules Docket, AGC–24, 800 Independence Avenue, SW, Washington, D.C. 20591. All communications received on or before May 12, 1975, will be considered by the Administrator before taking action on the proposed rule. The proposals contained in this notice may be changed in the light of comments received. All comments submitted will be available, both before and after the closing date for comments, in the Rules Docket for examination by interested persons.

Section 121.391 of the Federal Aviation regulations requires that each certificate holder provide one or more flight attendants on each passenger-carrying airplane, the number directly proportional to the seating capacity of the airplane. These flight attendants would be called upon to assist in an emergency evacuation should one become necessary. In addition to the training set forth in § 121.421 for flight attendants, each required crewmember must also receive the emergency training set forth in § 121.417 which includes instruction in the handling of emergency situations including fire in flight or on the surface, emergency evacuations, fire extinguishing and smoke control. It is apparent that flight attendant clothing constructed of conventional fabrics may be ignited under many of the emergency conditions that may result from unusual occurrences. Accordingly, the FAA is interested in obtaining comments from informed fabrics research and manufacturing sources in order that current technology may be included in the establishment of uniform flammability standards and specifications.

Flammability standards have not been established by the FAA with respect to the clothing of flight attendants; however, the FAA has become aware of the urgent requirement for such standards as a result of recent flammability tests in which flight attendant uniforms readily caught fire and, in some instances, did not afford sufficient coverage to provide protection from exposure to heat and flame. These tests indicated that uniforms made of polyester cotton blends, vinyl, and other synthetics, can be ignited by small fires, and will continue to burn independently after ignition.

The objective of this Advance Notice is the establishment of basic flammability specifications for flight attendant uniforms which will also take into consideration the practical aspects of cost, wearability, comfort, and cleanability while providing a reasonable degree of protection against heat and flame when used singly or in combination as parts of a uniform assembly.

The Secretary of Commerce has adopted certain Commercial Standards with respect to flammability of clothing textiles pursuant to the Flammable Fabrics Act (15 U.S.C. 1191; 67 Stat. 111; as amended, 81 Stat. 568). It is anticipated that fabrics and materials selected for improved flight attendant apparel will satisfy the flammability standards set forth in the rules and regulations under that Act that are set forth in Part 302 of Chapter I of Title 16, the regulations of the Federal Trade Commission.

Based on the foregoing, the FAA solicits the views of all interested persons concerning the establishment of standards of flammability for the materials used in the apparel of the flight attendants required by Part 121 to be aboard passenger-carrying aircraft. Views regarding the design or construction of clothing to withstand heat will also be welcome. In addition, the FAA is interested in information concerning the shrinkage, melting points and drip characteristics of any materials that may be used in flight attendant wearing apparel or accessories.

The FAA is particularly interested in receiving comments regarding the following questions:

1. Could the materials that are treated for fire retardation be constructed to be as durable as, or more durable than, fabrics that are currently being used for flight attendant apparel?

2. Will the materials that are treated for fire retardation be limited with respect to color?

3. Would the materials that are treated for fire retardation place limitations on the styling and tailoring of flight attendant apparel? If so, in what respects would such limitations be detrimental?

4. When could materials that are treated for fire retardation, especially polyester and cotton blend fabrics, be available commercially?

5. Is there available a means for chemical processing of fabrics, especially polyester and cotton blend fabrics, to increase or to rejuvenate their capacity to retard combustion?

6. Will flame retardant properties remain in the fabric after repeated cleaning or laundering?

7. Could summer weight fabrics be effectively treated for fire-retardation?

8. Can characteristics of shrinkage, low melting points, and dripping be reduced or eliminated from thermoplastic materials to be used for flight attendant apparel?

Comments are welcome on these areas of interest as well as any additional areas regarding the safety aspects relating to the apparel of flight attendants with respect to the hazards created by extreme heat and fire.

(Secs. 313(a), 601, 604, Federal Aviation Act of 1958 (49 U.S.C. 1354(a), 1421, and 1424); sec. 6(c), Department of Transportation Act (49 U.S.C. 1655(c)))

Issued in Washington, D.C., on March 6, 1975.

JAMES M. VINES,
Acting Director,
Flight Standards Service.

[FR Doc.75-6493 Filed 3-12-75;8:45 am]

National Highway Traffic Safety Administration

[49 CFR Part 571]

[Docket No. 73-34; Notice 2]

SCHOOL BUS BODY JOINT STRENGTH

Notice of Proposed Rulemaking

The purpose of this notice is to propose a motor vehicle safety standard that would establish a minimum performance level for school bus body panel joints.

On October 27, 1974, the Motor Vehicle and Schoolbus Safety Amendments of 1974, amending the National Traffic and Motor Vehicle Safety Act, were signed into law (Pub. L. 93-492, 88 Stat. 1470). Section 103(l)(1)(A)(v) of the Act, as amended, orders the promulgation of a safety standard establishing minimum requirements for school bus body and frame crashworthiness. The NHTSA initiated rulemaking in this area with the issuance of a notice of proposed rulemaking on January 22, 1974 (39 FR 2490) that proposed the creation of a standard to regulate school bus body joint strength. The recent amendments to the Traffic Safety Act support the establishment of such a standard.

One of the hazards in school bus accidents is the exposure of sharp metal resulting from a tearing apart of the body panels from the body components to which they are joined. These jagged edges can cause serious injury to the bus occupants. Another hazard is the potential for occupant ejection if separations in the school bus body occur as a result of an impact. The NHTSA has tentatively concluded that enforcement of a minimum level of body panel joint integrity will ameliorate these dangers. A joint tensile strength of 60 percent of the tensile strength of the weakest joined body panel has been determined to be a safe minimum strength level. Imposition of this requirement will probably result in the installation of more rivets than are currently used in school bus body joints. An increase in the number and closer spacing of rivets will upgrade the vehicle's crashworthiness by reducing the chances of bus body separation that would expose sharp metal edges and allow the ejection of passengers.

Comments submitted in response to the January 22, 1974, notice have been analyzed and serve as a basis for some of the changes which appear in this proposal. Regulation of all joints in the bus body has been tentatively determined to be impracticable. It appears that the dangers inherent in most crash situations can be adequately ameliorated by regulation of the structural integrity of joints that connect the body panels to other body components. The costs and testing complications that would be occasioned by extending the standard's coverage to all body joints do not appear justified; the crashworthiness of the school bus would probably not be measurably increased by such an extension.

Some commenters criticized the test requirement as unrealistic since it was not representative of actual crash situations that might be encountered on the road. It was pointed out that the prescribed testing procedure checks only the tensile strength of the joint and not its ability to withstand an impact perpendicular to the plane of the panels. Commenters argued that the proposed standard would therefore not assure the crashworthiness of school buses involved in accidents that subject the bus to a direct impact perpendicular to its exterior surfaces. The NHTSA does not accept these arguments. The tensile strength test, derived from the Vehicle Equipment Safety Commission's Regulation VESC-6, has been found to be the generally accepted method of ensuring adequate fastening of panels. It tends to set a minimum on the number of rivets or spot welds, for example, per unit length of a body joint. This in turn sets a minimum on the strength of a joint on a variety of stress modes.

Based on suggestions contained in some of the comments, paragraph S6.1.1 of the proposed standard has been revised to ensure that the 8-inch segment cut from the joint for testing does not bisect one or two fasteners. The revised test procedure provides that if a joint is not fastened continuously the randomly selected 8-inch segment should not bisect a spot weld or a discrete fastener.

The other aspects of the test procedure are essentially the same as proposed in the January 22, 1974, notice. The test specimen, including the joint and a specified amount of adjacent body material, would be placed in a tension testing machine and subjected to a force of 60% of the tensile strength of the weakest body panel being joined. Ford suggested in its comments that the load application be expressed in pounds per linear inch as opposed to pounds per square inch. Sheller-Globe asked that the load application rate be specified in terms of test machine head separation. These suggestions are found to have merit since they would simplify the test procedure. Therefore, the test procedure is revised to provide that the application of the tensile force to the test specimen be by separating the heads of the testing machine at any uniform rate not less than ⅛ inch and not more than ⅜ inch per minute until the specimen separates.

In consideration of the foregoing, it is proposed that 49 CFR Part 571 be amended as set forth below.

Interested persons are invited to submit comments on this proposal. Comments should refer to the docket number and be submitted to: Docket Section, National Highway Traffic Safety Administration, Room 5108, 400 Seventh Street SW., Washington, D.C. 20590. It is requested but not required that 10 copies be submitted.

All comments received before the close of business on the comment closing date indicated below will be considered, and will be available for examination in the docket at the above address both before and after that date. To the extent possible, comments filed after the closing date will also be considered. However, the rulemaking action may proceed at any time after that date, and comments received after the closing date and too late for consideration in regard to the action will be treated as suggestions for future rulemaking. The NHTSA will continue to file relevant material as it becomes available in the docket after the closing date, and it is recommended that interested persons continue to examine the docket for new material.

Comment closing date: April 14, 1975.

Proposed effective date: The standard would be effective March 1, 1976, in conformity with the schedule set forth in the amendments to the Traffic Safety Act.

(Sec. 103, 119, Pub. L. 89-563, 80 Stat. 718 (15 U.S.C. 1392, 1407); § 202, Pub. L. 93-492, 88 Stat. 1470 (15 U.S.C. 1392); delegations of authority at 49 CFR 1.51 and 49 CFR 501.8)

Issued on March 6, 1975.

ROBERT L. CARTER,
Associate Administrator,
Motor Vehicle Programs.

SCHOOL BUS BODY JOINT STRENGTH

S1. *Scope.* This standard establishes requirements for the strength of body panel joints in school bus bodies.

S2. *Purpose.* The purpose of this standard is to reduce deaths and injuries resulting from the structural collapse of school bus bodies during crashes.

S3. *Application.* This standard applies to school buses.

S4. *Definitions.*

"Body component" means a part of a bus body made from a single piece of homogeneous material or from a single piece of composite material such as plywood.

"Body panel" means a body component used on the exterior or interior surface to enclose the bus's occupant space.

"Body panel joint" means the area of contact or close proximity between the edges of a body panel and another body component, excluding spaces designed for ventilation or another functional purpose, and excluding doors, windows, and maintenance access panels.

"Bus body" means the portion of a bus that encloses the bus's occupant space, exclusive of the bumpers, the chassis frame, and any structure forward of the forwardmost point of the windshield mounting.

S5. *Requirement.* When tested in accordance with the procedure of S6, each body panel joint shall be capable of holding the body panel to the member to which it is joined when subjected to a force of 60% of the tensile strength of the weakest joined body panel determined pursuant to S6.2.

S6. *Procedure.*

S6.1 *Preparation of the test specimen.*

S6.1.1 If a body panel joint is 8 inches long or longer, cut a test specimen that consists of any randomly selected 8-inch segment of the joint, together with a portion of the bus body whose dimensions, to the extent permitted by the size of the joined parts, are those specified in Figure 1, so that the specimen's centerline is perpendicular to the joint at the midpoint of the joint segment. Where the body panel joint is not fastened continuously, select the segment so that it does not bisect a spot weld or a discrete fastener.

S6.12 If a joint is less than 8 inches long, cut a test specimen with enough of the adjacent material to permit it to be held in the tension testing machine specified in S6.3.

S6.1.3 Prepare the test specimen in accordance with the preparation procedures specified in the 1973 edition of the Annual Book of ASTM Standards, published by the American Society for Testing and Materials, 1916 Race Street, Philadelphia, Pennsylvania 19103.

S6.2 *Determination of minimum allowable strength.* For purposes of determining the minimum allowable joint strength, determine the tensile strengths of the joined body components as follows:

(a) If the mechanical properties of a material are specified by the American Society for Testing and Materials, the relative tensile strength for such a material is the minimum tensile strength specified for that material in the 1973 edition of the Annual Book of ASTM Standards.

(b) If the mechanical properties of a material are not specified by the American Society for Testing and Materials, determine its tensile strength by cutting a specimen from the bus body outside the area of the joint and by testing it in accordance with S6.3.

S6.3 *Strength test.*

S6.3.1 Grip the joint specimen on opposite sides of the joint in a tension testing machine calibrated in accordance with Method E4, Verification of Testing Machines, of the American Society for Testing and Materials (1973 Annual Book of ASTM Standards).

S6.3.2 Adjust the testing machine grips so that the joint, under load, will be in stress approximately perpendicular to the joint.

S6.3.3 Apply a tensile force to the specimen by separating the heads of the testing machine at any uniform rate not less than ⅛ inch and not more than ⅜ inch per minute until the specimen separates.

[FR Doc.75-6605 Filed 3-12-75;8:45 am]

FEDERAL POWER COMMISSION

[18 CFR Parts 2, 154, 157]

[Docket No. RM75-14]

NATURAL GAS DEDICATED TO INTERSTATE COMMERCE

National Rates for Jurisdictional Sales; Extension of Time

MARCH 4, 1975.

In the matter of national rates for jurisdictional sales of natural gas dedicated to interstate commerce on or after January 1, 1973, for the period January 1, 1975 to December 31, 1976.

On February 25, 1975, the United Distribution Companies group filed a motion to extend the time for filing comments fixed by order issued December 4, 1974, as modified by notice issued December 31, 1974, in the above-designated matter.

Notice is hereby given that the date for filing comments in the above matter is extended to and including May 16, 1975, and the date for filing reply comments is extended to and including June 16, 1975.

By direction of the Commission.

KENNETH F. PLUMB,
Secretary.

[FR Doc.75-6550 Filed 3-12-75;8:45 am]

FEDERAL RESERVE SYSTEM

[12 CFR Part 206]

[Reg. F]

SECURITIES OF STATE MEMBER BANKS

Notice of Proposed Rule Making; Correction

In FR Doc. 75-5136 appearing at page 10322 of the issue for March 5, 1975, § 206.7(c)(10)(iii) on page 10340 should end with the words "charges to expense". The following lines should be inserted before the sentence beginning with "(Types of timing * * *":

(iv) Income tax expense. (a) Disclosure shall be made, in the income statement or a note thereto, of the components of income tax expense, including: (1) taxes currently payable; (2) the net tax effects, as applicable, of (i) timing differences

Board of Governors of the Federal Reserve System, March 6, 1975.

[SEAL] THEODORE E. ALLISON,
Secretary of the Board.

[FR Doc.75-6557 Filed 3-12-75;8:45 am]

SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION

[17 CFR Part 200]

[(Release Nos. 33-5573, 34-11280, 35-18849, 39-386, IC-8708, IA-444); File No. S7-549]

CONFIDENTIAL TREATMENT OF INFORMATION; REQUEST PROCEDURES

Extension of Comment Period

On January 24, 1975 the Commission published for comment by interested persons a proposed amendment to its rules relating to requests for information and a proposed new rule establishing procedures for considering requests for confidential treatment of sensitive information contained in correspondence. Securities Act Release No. 5561; 40 FR 4944. The time for submitting such comments originally extended to February 28, 1975; however, the Commission has been requested by a number of persons to provide for additional time within which to submit comments on the proposal. Accordingly, the time for submitting such comments has been extended to and including March 31, 1975. To be considered, written statements of views and comments should be submitted to the Secretary, Securities and Exchange Commission, Washington, D.C. 20549. All communications will be available for public inspection.

By the Commission.

[SEAL] SHIRLEY E. HOLLIS,
Assistant Secretary.

MARCH 6, 1975.

[FR Doc.75-6613 Filed 3-12-75;8:45 am]

[17 CFR Part 201]

[Release Nos. 33-5572, 34-11274, 35-18840, 39-381, IC-8702, IA-441]

DISCIPLINARY PROCEEDINGS INVOLVING PROFESSIONALS PRACTICING BEFORE THE COMMISSION

Withdrawal of Proposal To Amend Rule

On April 5, 1974, the Commission published for comment by interested persons a proposed change in rule 2(e)(7) of its rules of practice, 17 CFR 201.2(e)(7).[1] The amended rule proposed at that time would have provided that proceedings brought pursuant to rule 2(e) against professionals appearing and practicing before the Commission be public unless the Commission, on its own motion or at the request of a respondent, directed otherwise.

The Commission, after consideration of all comments received in response to its proposal, has determined not to adopt the proposed amendment to the rule.

Although the Commission is withdrawing its proposal, it nevertheless wishes to apprise members of the professions who practice before it that the Commission is not suggesting that all

[1] Securities Act Release No. 5477, April 5, 1974 (39 FR 13288).

disciplinary proceedings under rule 2(e) will be conducted on a private basis in the future. The Commission believes that there are circumstances which warrant the institution of public proceedings in the interest of investors, and it believes that the provisions of existing rule 2(e)(7) provide it with ample discretion to institute public disciplinary proceedings under rule 2(e) when the Commission deems it to be in the public interest to do so.

In addition, hereafter in any disciplinary proceeding under rule 2(e) the Commission will publish any order of its administrative law judge finding a basis for the imposition of a sanction against a professional.

The Commission has instructed its staff that all disciplinary proceedings should be expeditiously conducted so that the issues of professional conduct involved can be resolved as promptly as possible under all the circumstances. If the Commission perceives that private proceedings are being delayed unreasonably, the Commission may determine that the public interest will be better served by public proceedings.

By the Commission.

[SEAL] GEORGE A. FITZSIMMONS, *Secretary.*

MARCH 4, 1975.

[FR Doc.75-6514 Filed 3-12-75;8:45 am]

SMALL BUSINESS ADMINISTRATION

[13 CFR Part 107]

SMALL BUSINESS INVESTMENT COMPANIES

Proposed Changes in Limits of Annual Cost of Money

Notice is hereby given that pursuant to authority contained in section 308 of the Small Business Investment Act of 1958, as amended, 15 U.S.C. 661 et seq., it is proposed to amend, as set forth below, section 301, Part 107 of Chapter I, Title 13 of the Code of Federal Regulations.

Prior to final adoption of such amendment, consideration will be given to any comments submitted in writing, in triplicate, to the Investment Division, Small Business Administration (SBA), Washington, D.C. 20416, on or before April 14, 1975.

Information. The amended section would assist Licensees with obtaining a fair return, during times of fluctuating interest rates, on financings made through loans and debentures by establishing cost of money limitations through a sliding interest rate scale on rates determined by the Federal Financing Bank. The financing rate limitation would be 10 percentage points above those rates as determined by the Federal Financing Bank.

For example, if the interest charges to the Licensees on debt sold to the Federal Financing Bank in January, February, and March of a given year average out to 8.0 percent, then the maximum cost of money to small business concerns borrowing from Licensees for April, May, and June of that year would be 18 percent.

This cost of money limitation would, of course, be subject to lower rates prescribed by local, State, or other Federal laws governing this subject.

It is proposed that Part 107 be amended by revising § 107.301(c) to read as follows.

§ 107.301 General.

* * * * *

(c) *Maximum annual cost of money.* Subject to lower rates prescribed by local, State, or Federal law, the maximum annual cost for Financing shall not exceed the interest figure as determined by the monthly interest rates charged on Licensees' debentures sold to the Federal Financing Bank averaged for a three month period plus ten percentage points. Such determinations shall be made quarterly by SBA and shall be published in the FEDERAL REGISTER. All Licensees shall be notified by mail of such determination. Cost shall include all interest, discount, and all fees, commissions, and similar charges imposed, directly or indirectly, by the Licensee on the Small Concern; only charges for Management Services pursuant to § 107.601 and charges pursuant to § 107.1004(d) shall not be included.

Dated: March 5, 1975.

THOMAS S. KLEPPE, *Administrator.*

[FR Doc.75-6582 Filed 3-12-75;8:45 am]

DEPARTMENT OF LABOR

Office of the Secretary

[29 CFR Parts 91 and 92]

WORKER ADJUSTMENT ASSISTANCE

Notice of Proposed Rulemaking

The Department of Labor is considering revision of 29 CFR Parts 91 and 92 due to enactment of Chapter 2, Title II, Trade Act of 1974, 88 Stat. 1978, 2019 (19 U.S.C. 2271–2322), establishing a new worker adjustment assistance program, effective April 3, 1975, for workers adversely affected by lack of work to which increased imports have contributed importantly.

Current 29 CFR Part 91 implements the worker adjustment assistance program established by Chapter 3, Title III, Trade Expansion Act of 1962, Pub. L. 87–794, 76 Stat. 872 (19 U.S.C. 1931–1978), which is repealed by the Trade Act of 1974 effective April 3, 1975. Current 29 CFR Part 92 pertains to adjustment assistance under the Automotive Products Trade Act of 1965, and would be deleted since that program has expired.

Proposed 29 CFR Part 91 states how adversely affected workers may receive trade readjustment allowances, job search allowances, relocation allowances, placement assistance and other employment services, and training and training allowances, including subsistence allowances and transportation payments, on application to cooperating State Employment Security Agencies, and also states administrative requirements applicable to such agencies and the Department of Labor.

Current 29 CFR Part 91 is revised to the extent required to implement the program changes made in the Trade Act of 1974, and in other respects affecting the manner in which the program is administered. Significant changes include the following:

(a) Trade readjustment allowances will be payable to qualified individuals in increased amounts for weeks on and after April 3, 1975. The amount of such allowance for a week, subject to adjustment, is increased to 70 percent of a qualified individual's average weekly wage or to the amount of the average weekly manufacturing wage, whichever is less, in contrast to 65 percent of the average weekly wage or 65 percent of the average weekly manufacturing wage, whichever is less, prescribed by the Trade Expansion Act of 1962. (Proposed 29 CFR 91.11.)

(b) Individuals referred to training will receive subsistence payments at not more than $15 a day in contrast to not more than $5 a day currently provided. (Proposed 29 CFR 91.22.)

(c) A new program of job search allowance is established to reimburse adversely affected workers for 80 percent (but not exceeding $500) of reasonable and necessary expenses in search for work after separation from adversely affected employment. (Proposed 29 CFR 91.40–91.47.)

(d) Qualifying requirements individuals must meet to receive adjustment assistance are eased. Under the Trade Expansion Act of 1962 an individual, to qualify, had to be employed for at least 26 weeks at $15 a week or more in adversely affected employment and also needed 78 weeks of employment in the past 156 weeks. Under the Trade Act of 1974 a worker will qualify on the basis of 26 weeks at $30 or more a week with a firm in adversely affected employment. The employment will not meet the qualifying requirement if contrary to Federal law. (Proposed 29 CFR 91.7, 91.8.)

(e) Workers may apply for adjustment assistance before, as well as after, a certification covering the group to which they belong has been issued. (Proposed 29 CFR 91.6, 91.29(a)(1), and 91.41(b).) This is intended to permit workers who cannot delay such actions as job search or relocation to await completion of the certification process to satisfy necessary legal formalities before undertaking such actions. Payment of adjustment assistance under such applications is required as promptly as possible after certification is completed.

(f) State agency hearing procedures in connection with adjustment assistance appeals will be identical to those used in connection with unemployment insurance appeals. (Proposed 29 CFR 91.52.)

(g) The greatest promptness administratively feasible is required in connection with determination and payment of adjustment assistance applications and

decision of adjustment assistance appeals. (Proposed 29 CFR 91.53.)

(h) Uniform interpretation and application of the worker adjustment assistance program in all States is required. (Proposed 29 CFR 91.54.)

(i) Adversely affected workers are given protection against discrimination for seeking or receiving adjustment assistance, exemption of adjustment assistance from levy or attachment by creditors, and assurance of confidentiality with respect to applications on a basis comparable to unemployment insurance claimants. (Proposed 29 CFR 91.59, 91.60, and 91.61.)

(j) Waiting week provisions of State unemployment insurance laws are declared inapplicable to applications for adjustment assistance. (Proposed 29 CFR 91.62(b).)

(k(Execution of agreements between the Secretary of Labor and cooperating State agencies for program administration is provided for. (Proposed 29 CFR 91.63.)

(l) Transitional procedures allowing individuals qualified for adjustment assistance under the Trade Expansion Act of 1962 to continue to receive adjustment assistance, in increased amounts, after April 3, 1975, are provided. (Proposed 29 CFR 91.65.)

Written data, views, and arguments as to the proposed revisions may be submitted to the Office of the Solicitor, United States Department of Labor, Room N2464, New Department of Labor Building, 200 Constitution Avenue NW., Washington, D.C. 20210, on or before March 26, 1975. All material received in response to this invitation will be available for public inspection during normal business hours at that address.

It is therefore proposed that Title 29 of the Code of Federal Regulations be amended as set forth below:

1. Part 91 is revised as follows:

PART 91—ADJUSTMENT ASSISTANCE FOR WORKERS AFTER CERTIFICATION

Subpart A—General

Sec.
91.1 Scope.
91.2 Purpose.
91.3 Definitions.

Subpart B—Trade Readjustment Allowances

91.6 Applications.
91.7 Qualifying requirements.
91.8 Evidence of qualification.
91.9 Availability for work.
91.10 Disqualifications.
91.11 Weekly amounts.
91.12 Duration.
91.13 Workers on vacation.
91.14 Applicable State law.

Subpart C—Training and Employability Services

91.17 Employment service functions.
91.18 Worker retraining plans.
91.19 Selection for training.
91.20 Referred training.
91.21 Approval of training.
91.22 Subsistence payments.
91.23 Transportation payments.
91.24 Refusal of training.

Subpart D—Relocation Allowances

91.28 General.
91.29 Eligibility.
Sec.
91.30 Time of relocation.
91.31 Findings required.
91.32 Amount.
91.33 Travel allowance.
91.34 Moving allowance.
91.35 Time and method of payment.
91.36 Overpayment of relocation allowance.

Subpart E—Job Search Allowances

91.40 General.
91.41 Applications.
91.42 Eligibility.
91.43 Findings required.
91.44 Amount.
91.45 Time and method of payment.
91.46 Worker evidence.
91.47 Overpayment of job search allowance.

Subpart F—Administration

91.51 Determinations.
91.52 Appeals.
91.53 Promptness.
91.54 Uniform interpretation.
91.55 Subpenas.
91.56 Reports.
91.57 State agency rulemaking.
91.58 Overpayments.
91.59 Waiver of rights void.
91.60 Exemption.
91.61 Disclosure of information.
91.62 Unemployment insurance.
91.63 Agreements with States.
91.64 Administration absent State agreement.
91.65 Transitional procedures.
91.66 Savings clause.
91.67 Effective date.
91.68 Termination date.

AUTHORITY: Sec. 248, Pub. Law 93-618, 88 Stat. 2029 (19 U.S.C. 2320).

Subpart A—General

§ 91.1 Scope.

The regulations in this Part 91 pertain to applications by individuals to State agencies for adjustment assistance, such as trade readjustment allowances, training and training allowances, relocation allowances, job search allowances, and administrative requirements applicable to States agencies. Regulations as to certifications of groups of workers as eligible to apply for adjustment assistance appear in Part 90 of this title.

§ 91.2 Purpose.

The purpose of this Part 91 is to provide for prompt payment of trade readjustment allowances and other adjustment assistance to individuals covered by certifications, to provide for prompt and effective assistance to such individuals in securing suitable employment, and to implement the provisions of the Act uniformly and effectively throughout the United States. The regulations in this Part 91 shall be interpreted and applied to achieve such purpose.

§ 91.3 Definitions.

(a) As used in this Part 91—

(1) "Act" means Chapter 2 of Title II of the Trade Act of 1974, Pub. L. 93-618, 88 Stat. 1978, 2019-2030 (19 U.S.C. 2271-2322).

(2) "Adjustment assistance" means trade readjustment allowances, training and training allowances, job search allowances, relocation allowances, employment services, and any other right or benefit provided for adversely affected workers by the Act.

(3) "Adversely affected employment" means employment in a firm or appropriate subdivision of a firm if workers of such firm or subdivision are certified under § 90.16 of this title as eligible to apply for adjustment assistance.

(4) "Adversely affected worker" means an individua. who, because of lack of work in adversely affected employment:

(i) has been totally or partially separated from such employment, or

(ii) has been totally separated from employment with the firm in a subdivision of which such adversely affected employment exists.

(5) "Appropriate week" means:

(i) As to a totally separated worker the week in which the individual's most recent total separation occurred; and

(ii) As to a partially separated worker the week for which the individual first receives a trade readjustment allowance following the individual's most recent partial separation.

(6) "Average weekly hours" means a figure obtained by dividing (i) total hours worked (excluding overtime) by an individual in adversely affected employment in the 52 calendar weeks (excluding weeks in such period during which the individual was sick or on vacation) preceding the week in which the individual claims to be partially separated by (ii) the number of weeks in such 52 calendar weeks (excluding weeks in such period during which the individual was sick or on vacation) in which the individual actually worked in adversely affected employment.

(7) "Average weekly manufacturing wage" means the national gross average weekly earnings of production workers in manufacturing industries for the latest calendar year as officially published by the Bureau of Labor Statistics, United States Department of Labor, most recently prior to a week for which adjustment assistance is payable to an individual.

(8) "Average weekly wage" means one-thirteenth of an individual's high quarter wages. The high quarter is the quarter in which the individual's total wages were highest among the first four of the last five completed calendar quarters preceding the individual's appropriate week.

(9) "Average weekly wage in adversely affected employment" means a figure obtained by dividing (i) total wages (excluding overtime wages) earned in adversely affected employment in the 52 calendar weeks (excluding weeks in such period during which the individual was sick or on vacation) preceding the week in which the individual claims to be partially separated by (ii) the number of weeks in such 52 calendar weeks (excluding weeks in such period during which the individual was sick or on vacation) in which the individual actually worked in adversely affected employment.

(10) "Benefit period" means a 2 year period commencing with and following an individual's appropriate week, except that for purposes of paying additional trade readjustment allowances under

§ 91.12(b) the benefit period shall be extended one year.

(11) "Certification" means a certification of eligibility to apply for adjustment assistance issued under § 90.16 of this title with respect to a group of workers.

(12) "Commuting area" means an area in commuting distance of an individual's place of residence.

(13) "Date of separation" means, as to a totally separated individual, the date on which the individual was laid off or otherwise totally separated from employment.

(14) "Employer" means any individual or type of organization, including the Federal government, a State government, or a political subdivision or instrumentality of either, which had one or more individuals performing service for it within the United States.

(15) "Employment" means any service performed for an employer by an individual for wages or by an officer of a corporation.

(16) "Family" means the following members of an individual's household whose principal place of abode is with the individual in a home the individual maintains or would maintain but for unemployment:

(i) A spouse;

(ii) An unmarried child, including a stepchild or adopted child, under age 21 or of any age if incapable of self-support because of mental or physical incapacity; and

(iii) Any other person for whom the individual would be entitled to a deduction for income tax under the Internal Revenue Code of 1954.

(17) "Good cause" means (except as used in an applicable State law) such reasons as would justify an individual's conduct when measured by conduct expected of a reasonable individual in like circumstances, including but not limited to reasons beyond the individual's control and reasons related to the individual's capability to make satisfactory progress in or continue training.

(18) "Head of family" means an individual who maintains a home for a family. An individual maintains a home if over half the cost of maintenance is furnished by the individual or would be furnished but for unemployment.

(19) "Impact date" means the impact date stated in a certification.

(20) "Last separation" means the total or partial separation from adversely affected employment most recently preceding an individual's application for trade readjustment allowances as to which it is determined that the individual qualifies under § 91.7.

(21) "Layoff" means a suspension from pay status for lack of work initiated by an employer and expected to be for a definite or indefinite period of not less than 7 consecutive days.

(22) "Partial separation" means that during a week beginning on or after the impact date stated in an applicable certification an adversely affected worker who has not been totally separated had:

(i) hours of work reduced to 80 percent or less of the individual's average weekly hours, and

(ii) wages reduced to 80 percent or less of the individual's average weekly wages in adversely affected employment.

(23) "Qualifying period" means the 52 calendar weeks preceding an individual's appropriate week.

(24) "Remuneration" means wages and net earnings derived from service performed as a self-employed individual.

(25) "Secretary" means the Secretary of Labor of the United States.

(26) "State" includes the District of Columbia and Commonwealth of Puerto Rico, and the term "United States" when used in a geographical sense includes such Commonwealth.

(27) "State agency" means an agency administering a State law.

(28) "State law" means the unemployment insurance law of a State approved under section 3304 of the Internal Revenue Code of 1954 (26 U.S.C. 3304).

(29) "Total separation" means a layoff or severance of an individual from employment with a firm in which, or in a subdivision of which, adversely affected employment exists.

(30) "Trade readjustment allowance" means a weekly allowance payable to an adversely affected worker under Subpart B of this Part 91.

(31) "Trainee" means an individual undergoing a planned and systematic sequence of instruction to which the individual is referred under § 91.20 or which is approved for the individual under § 91.21 given under competent supervision designed to impart skills, knowledge, or abilities as to a specific occupation and which may include, as necessary, instruction in basic subjects given specially in relation to such occupation.

(32) "Training allowance" means a weekly cash allowance payable to a trainee under any Federal law for the training of workers, but does not include a payment under §§ 91.22 or 91.23.

(33) "Unemployment insurance" means cash benefits payable to an individual with respect to the individual's unemployment under any State law or Federal unemployment insurance law.

(34) "Vacation or holiday pay" means wages paid in connection with leave for vacation or holiday purposes and not representing remuneration for services performed during a week for which application is made for a trade readjustment allowance.

(35) "Wages" means all compensation for employment for an employer including commissions, bonuses, and the cash value of all compensation in a medium other than cash.

(36) "Week" means a week as defined in an applicable State law.

(37) "Week of unemployment" means as to an individual a week in which the individual's remuneration for services performed in such week is less than 80 percent of the individual's average weekly wage and in which, because of lack of work:

(i) If totally separated, the individual worked less than the full-time week (excluding overtime) in his or her current occupation, or

(ii) If partially separated, the individual worked 80 percent or less of his or her average weekly hours.

Subpart B—Trade Readjustment Allowances

§ 91.6 Applications.

(a) An individual covered by a certification or petition for certification under § 90.16 of this title may apply at any time to a State agency for a trade readjustment allowance. Applications shall be in accordance with instructions and on forms approved by the Secretary which shall be furnished to the individual by the State agency. Determinations with respect to an application shall be made at any time to the extent necessary to establish or protect an individual's entitlement to a trade readjustment allowance or other adjustment assistance, but no payment of a trade readjustment allowance or other adjustment assistance may be made by a State agency until a certification is made under § 90.16 of this title and the State agency determines that the individual is covered thereby.

(b) The procedure for reporting and filing applications for trade readjustment allowances shall be consistent with this Part 91 and the Secretary's "Standard for Claim Filing, Claimant Reporting, Job Finding and Employment Services ("Employment Security Manual," Part V, Section 5000 et seq.).

§ 91.7 Qualifying requirements.

To qualify for a trade readjustment allowance an individual must meet each of the following requirements.

(a) *Certification.* The individual must be an adversely affected worker covered by a certification.

(b) *Separation.* The individual's last separation must occur:

(1) On or after the impact date;

(2) Before expiration of the 2 year period following the date of the certification;

(3) Before the termination date, if any, of the certification;

(4) Not more than one year before the date of the petition on which certification was granted; and

(5) Not before October 3, 1974.

(c) *Wages and employment.* In the 52 weeks preceding the individual's last separation the individual must have at least 26 weeks of employment at wages of $30 or more a week in adversely affected employment with a single firm or subdivision of a firm. Evidence that an individual meets this requirement shall be obtained as stated in § 91.8.

§ 91.8 Evidence of qualification.

(a) *State agency action.* When an individual applies for a trade readjustment

allowance the State agency having jurisdiction under § 91.51(a) shall obtain information necessary to establish:

(1) Whether the individual meets the requirements of § 91.7; and

(2) The individual's average weekly wage, and for an individual claiming to be partially separated the average weekly hours and average weekly wage in adversely affected employment.

(b) *Wages and employment.* Wages and employment creditable under § 91.7 (c) in determining whether an individual meets the requirements of § 91.7 shall not include employment or wages earned or paid for employment which is contrary to or prohibited by any Federal law.

(c) *Insufficient data.* If information specified in paragraph (a) of this section is not available from State agency records or from an employer, the State agency shall ask the individual to submit a statement setting forth, for an employer in the individual's qualifying period as to whom adequate information necessary to any determination is unavailable, such of the following information as it may request:

(1) Name and address of the employer.

(2) Beginning and ending dates of period of employment with such employer.

(3) Total wages earned from such employer.

(4) Number of weeks in the individual's qualifying period in each of which the individual earned $30 or more from a firm as to which a certification has been made under § 90.16 of this title.

(5) The individual's wages in each of the first 4 of the last 5 completed calendar quarters preceding the individual's appropriate week or, if partial separation is claimed, preceding the week in which the individual claims to be partially separated.

(6) If the individual claims to be partially separated:

(i) total hours (excluding overtime) worked by the individual in adversely affected employment; and

(ii) total wages (excluding overtime wages) earned by the individual in the period of 52 calendar weeks (excluding any week in such period during which the individual was sick, on vacation, or otherwise not working) preceding the week in which the individual claims to be partially separated.

(7) Any other pertinent information.

(d) *Verification.* A statement made under paragraph (c) of this section shall be certified by the individual to be true to the best of the individual's knowledge and belief and shall be supported by evidence such as Forms W–2, paycheck stubs, union records, income tax returns, or statements of fellow workers.

(e) *Determinations.* The State agency shall make the necessary determinations on the basis of information obtained pursuant to this section, except that if, after reviewing information obtained under paragraph (c) of this section against other available data, including agency records, it concludes that such information is not reasonably correct, it shall make appropriate adjustments and shall make the determination on the basis of the adjusted data.

§ 91.9 Availability for work.

An individual shall not be paid a trade readjustment allowance for a week of unemployment in which the individual is not able to work or is unavailable for work under an applicable State law. This section does not apply to an individual for a week in which the individual is undergoing training under Subpart C of this Part unless the individual is determined to be ineligible for such week under § 91.24.

§ 91.10 Disqualifications.

(a) *State law applies.* Except as stated in paragraphs (b) and (c) of this section, an individual shall not be paid a trade readjustment allowance for a week of unemployment for which the individual is or would be disqualified to receive unemployment insurance under an applicable State law.

(b) *Trainees.* A State law shall not be applied to disqualify an individual undergoing training under Subpart C of this Part for:

(1) undergoing training; or

(2) refusal to accept or continue or failure to make satisfactory progress in training, and in lieu of such State law § 91.24 shall apply to the individual; or

(3) refusal of work or referral to work if such work would require the individual to discontinue training or, if added to hours of training, would occupy the individual more than 8 hours a day or 40 hours a week, except that this subparagraph does not apply to an individual who has been given notice of a determination of ineligibility under § 91.24 unless and until the individual again makes satisfactory progress in training; or

(4) quitting work if the individual was underemployed and it reasonably was necessary for the individual to quit work to begin or continue training, except that this subparagraph does not apply to an individual who has been given notice of a determination of ineligibility under § 91.24 unless and until the individual again makes satisfactory progress in training. For purposes of this subparagraph an individual is underemployed if:

(i) working regularly below the individual's skill capacity, or

(ii) working regularly less than full time in the individual's occupation, or

(iii) the individual has received notice that he or she will be laid off within 60 days.

(c) *Cancellation of wage credits.* No State law requiring cancellation of wage credits or reduction of benefit amounts or duration shall be applied to reduce the amount or duration of a trade readjustment allowance or allowances payable to an individual. Such a State law shall be given effect as follows:

(1) If the State law requires, together with a reduction in either the number of weeks for which unemployment insurance may be paid or in the total amount of unemployment insurance payable, postponement of the payment of unemployment insurance for a specified number of weeks or for the duration of an individual's unemployment, payment of trade readjustment allowances to the individual shall be similarly postponed.

(2) If the State law does not require postponement but requires cancellation of all wage credits earned prior to the filing of a claim for unemployment insurance, payment of trade readjustment allowances to the individual shall be postponed for 20 weeks from the week in which the disqualifying act occurred. This subparagraph does not apply to an individual who has made a fraudulent application and paragraph (e) of this section shall apply in lieu thereof.

(d) *"Duration plus" disqualifications.* A State law disqualifying an individual for unemployment insurance until the individual has earned a specified amount in employment covered under the State law or an amount expressed as a multiple of the individual's weekly benefit amount shall be applied to an individual applying for a trade readjustment allowance by postponing payment of such allowance until the individual has earned the specified amount or amount expressed as a multiple of the weekly benefit amount (computed on the basis of the weekly benefit amount payable under the State law if the individual was claiming unemployment insurance, entitled thereto, and not disqualified) in any employment, whether or not covered under the State law.

(e) *Fraud.* If a State law disqualification provision for fraud requires denial of unemployment insurance for the remainder of an individual's benefit year and the individual has no current benefit year when determined to have filed a fraudulent application for adjustment assistance, the individual shall not receive trade readjustment allowances or other payments of adjustment assistance for 20 weeks from the week in which the determination of fraud was made. If a State law disqualification provision for fraud requires denial of unemployment insurance for the remainder of an individual's benefit year and one or more subsequent benefit years, the individual shall not receive trade readjustment allowances or other payments of adjustment assistance for 40 weeks from the week in which the determination of fraud was made, whether or not the individual has a current unemployment insurance benefit year when determined to have filed a fraudulent application.

§ 91.11 Weekly amounts.

(a) *Regular allowance.* The amount of trade readjustment allowance payable to an individual for a week of unemployment (including a week of training) occurring after the impact date shall be 70 percent of the individual's average weekly wage, except that if the amount payable exceeds the average weekly manufacturing wage the amount payable shall be the average weekly manufacturing wage. The amount determined under the preceding sentence shall be adjusted as provided in this section.

(b) *Increased allowance.* An individual in training under Subpart C of this Part 91 entitled for a week to a training allowance under any Federal law in a greater amount than the amount provided in paragraph (a) of this section (whether or not the individual filed a claim for such training allowance) shall receive the greater amount as a trade readjustment allowance. A payment under this paragraph shall be in lieu of any other training allowances to which the individual is entitled under such Federal law.

(c) *Reduction of amount.* An amount payable under paragraph (a) or (b) of this section shall be reduced by:

(1) 50 percent of the individual's remuneration for services performed in such week (including wages for on-the-job training), and

(2) the amount of unemployment insurance the individual receives or would receive for such week if a claim for unemployment insurance was filed, except that if the appropriate State or Federal agency finally determines that an individual was not entitled to unemployment insurance for such week no reduction shall be made under this paragraph, and

(3) the amount of a training allowance (other than a training allowance referred to in paragraph (b) of this section) under any Federal law which the individual receives or would receive if a claim were filed for such week, except that if it is finally determined that an individual was not entitled to a training allowance for such week no reduction shall be made under this subparagraph, and

(4) for each day of absence without good cause from training by an amount computed by dividing the trade readjustment allowance to which the individual otherwise would be entitled by the number of days of training normally scheduled in the week. Holidays which otherwise would be days of training shall be deemed days of training normally scheduled for purposes of this subparagraph.

(d) *General limitation.* The amount otherwise payable under paragraph (a) or (b) of this section after adjustment pursuant to paragraph (c) of this section shall be reduced by deducting therefrom a general limitation amount. A general limitation amount is the amount remaining after:

(1) determining the total amount payable to an individual for a week for which a trade readjustment allowance is sought as:

(i) remuneration for services performed in such week, and

(ii) unemployment insurance for such week, and

(iii) training allowance under any Federal law for such week, and

(iv) the amount otherwise payable under paragraph (a) or (b) of this section after adjustment under paragraph (c) of this section for such week, and

(2) deducting from the total amount determined under subparagraph (1) of this paragraph the lesser of:

(i) 80 percent of the individual's average weekly wage, or

(ii) 130 percent of the average weekly manufacturing wage.

(e) *Rounding.* An amount payable under this section which is not a multiple of a dollar shall be rounded to the next higher multiple of a dollar.

§ 91.12 Duration.

(a) *General rule.* Except as stated in paragraph (b) of this section an individual may not receive a trade readjustment allowance for—

(1) more than 52 weeks of unemployment beginning on or after an impact date, or

(2) a week of unemployment beginning more than 2 years after the beginning of the individual's appropriate week.

(b) *Additional weeks.* An individual ineligible to receive a trade readjustment allowance because of paragraph (a) of this section may receive trade readjustment allowances for not more than 26 additional weeks of unemployment in the following cases:

(1) *Trainees.* To assist the individual to complete training begun prior to ineligibility under paragraph (a) of this section in which the individual is making satisfactory progress and for which a bona fide application was made at least 180 days after the end of the appropriate week or date of the certification, whichever is later. In determining whether additional trade readjustment allowances shall be paid under this subparagraph, consideration shall be given to, when, and under what circumstances the training was initiated, the length of the normal course for similar training, the number of hours a day and days a week in which the individual is undergoing training, and any other pertinent factors.

(2) *Workers age 60.* If an individual became 60 years old on or before the individual's last separation. Weeks of unemployment for which the individual received a trade readjustment allowance under subparagraph (1) of this paragraph shall be deducted from the 26 additional weeks for which an individual aged 60 or more may receive trade readjustment allowances under this subparagraph.

(c) *Maximums.* In no case may an individual receive a trade readjustment allowance for more than 78 weeks beginning on or after an impact date or for a week of unemployment beginning more than 3 years after the beginning of the individual's appropriate week.

(d) *Computation.* If unemployment insurance or a training allowance is paid to an individual for any week of unemployment as to which the individual would be entitled, determined without regard to paragraphs (c) or (d) of § 91.11 or any disqualification under § 91.24, to a trade readjustment allowance if the individual applied for such trade readjustment allowance, each such week shall be deducted from the total number of weeks for which a trade readjustment allowance may be paid under this section when the individual applies for and is determined to be entitled to a trade readjustment allowance.

§ 91.13 Workers on vacation.

(a) *Ineligibility.* An individual is not unemployed because of lack of work for purposes of § 91.3(a)(37) in a week for which the individual applies for a trade readjustment allowance if the individual:

(1) was on leave for vacation or holiday purposes during all or part of such week, and

(2) received vacation or holiday pay as defined in § 91.3(a)(34) which, when allocated to all or part of such week under paragraph (b) of this section, equalled or exceeded the amount of a trade readjustment allowance which, but for this paragraph, would be payable for such week.

(b) *Allocation.* Vacation or holiday pay shall be allocated in equal amounts to each day on which an individual was on leave for vacation or holiday purposes.

(c) *Accrued leave.* Vacation or holiday pay which is paid in connection with a total separation (including a layoff without a definite date of return to work) shall be disregarded in determining whether an individual has experienced a week of unemployment, whether paid before or after separation.

§ 91.14 Applicable State law.

(a) *What law governs.* With respect to each last separation of an individual, the applicable State law for purposes of §§ 91.9 and 91.10 is:

(1) the State law under which the individual is entitled to unemployment insurance, regardless of whether the individual has claimed unemployment insurance; or

(2) if the individual is not entitled to unemployment insurance, the State law of the State in which the individual's last separation occurred.

(b) *Change of law.* (1) A State law determined under paragraph (a) of this section shall remain applicable to an individual until:

(i) the individual becomes entitled to unemployment insurance under another State law; or

(ii) if the individual does not become entitled to unemployment insurance, the individual has a total or partial separation in another State.

(2) If a State law ceases to apply to an individual, the applicable State law thereafter shall be the law of the State in which the individual became entitled to unemployment insurance, whether or not the individual has filed a claim for unemployment insurance.

(c) *UCFE–UCX claimants.* If an individual is entitled to unemployment insurance under title 5, chapter 85, United States Code, the applicable State law for purposes of paragraphs (a) and (b) of this section is the law of the State to which the individual's Federal service and wages were assigned under 20 CFR §§ 609.15 or 614.3.

Subpart C—Training and Employability Services

§ 91.17 Employment service functions.

To assure readjustment as promptly and effectively as possible, an adversely affected worker totally separated from employment shall be referred by the State agency to an appropriate office of the State employment service. Unless the worker submits a written statement of reasons why employment services are not desired and such statement is approved by the employment service, a worker so referred shall:

(a) register for work;

(b) be informed of potential entitlement to job search allowances under Subpart E of this Part 91 and to relocation allowances under Subpart D of this Part 91;

(c) be afforded, as appropriate, testing, counseling, job referral, and other placement services provided by any Federal law, including supportive and other services such as work orientation, basic education, communication skills, employment skills, and minor health services necessary to prepare the worker for full employment in accordance with the worker's capabilities and job opportunities; and

(d) if suitable employment is not otherwise available and the worker's employability would be improved thereby, the worker may be selected or referred to training as prescribed by §§ 91.18, 91.19, 91.20, or 91.21.

§ 91.18 Worker retraining plans.

(a) *Establishment.* To the extent practicable before referring adversely affected workers to training or approving training for such workers the Secretary, or at his request a State employment service, shall consult with such workers' firm and their certified or recognized union or other authorized representative for the purpose of developing a worker retraining plan to meet the manpower needs of such firm and to preserve or restore the employment relationship between the workers and such firm. The fact there is not otherwise a need in the area to train workers in a specific occupation shall not preclude development of a worker retraining plan as to such occupation for workers of the firm.

(b) *Methods.* Worker retraining plans may provide for either or a combination of the following methods of training:

(1) On-the-job training in the facilities of the firm or elsewhere pursuant to §§ 91.20 and 91.21, including training for which the firm pays the costs; and

(2) Vocational training other than on-the-job training pursuant to §§ 91.20 and 91.21.

(c) *Selection and referral.* To the extent consistent with this section, selection and referral of individuals designated in a worker retraining plan shall be in accordance with § 91.19.

(d) *On-the-job training.* When a worker retraining plan provides for on-the-job training described in paragraph (b)(1) of this section, 29 CFR § 95.33(d)(2) shall apply.

§ 91.19 Selection for training.

(a) Except as provided in § 91.18, selection and referral of an individual for training hereunder shall be according to:

(1) the requirements of the law under which the training is provided; and

(2) to the extent not already required by such law, the applicable provisions of Parts 94 through 98 of this title issued under the Comprehensive Employment and Training Act of 1973, as amended.

(b) *Applications.* Applications for selection for or referral to training shall be in accordance with instructions and on forms approved by the Secretary, which shall be furnished to an individual by a State agency or State employment service.

(c) *Determinations.* Selection or referral of an individual for training under this Subpart C, or a decision with respect to any specific training of nonselection or nonreferral for any reason shall be a determination to which §§ 91.51 through 91.54 apply.

§ 91.20 Referred training.

(a) *Suitable training.* A State employment service shall refer an adversely affected worker selected for training under § 91.19 to suitable training, including to the maximum extent feasible on-the-job training, under:

(1) The Comprehensive Employment and Training Act of 1973, as amended, as implemented by Parts 94 through 98 of this title, offered by a prime sponsor; or

(2) If suitable training under subparagraph (1) of this paragraph cannot be provided or is not available, under any other applicable law.

(b) *Reimbursement and eligibility requirements.* If the Secretary determines that placement of an adversely affected worker in suitable training under paragraph (a) of this section cannot otherwise be accomplished, the Secretary shall reimburse the agency or prime sponsor operating the training program for the cost of such training. The Secretary may make arrangements or agreements for such reimbursement, and such arrangements or agreements may include provision for placement of such workers in such training although such workers do not meet the generally applicable eligibility requirements for such programs. In the absence of an arrangement or agreement otherwise providing, the applicable standards, procedures, and requirements of the training program shall apply to a worker referred to training under this section.

(c) *Fees prohibited.* In no case shall a worker be referred to training under this section for which the worker is required to pay a fee or tuition.

§ 91.21 Approval of training.

A State employment service may approve for an adversely affected worker any training, including on-the-job training or training for which a fee or tuition is required, the individual may wish to undertake if:

(a) circumstances preclude referral to training under § 91.20;

(b) selection and referral requirements of § 91.19 are met; and

(c) the training (other than on-the-job training) has been approved and accredited by the State vocational education agency as meeting the standards of adequacy required by the applicable law.

§ 91.22 Subsistence payments.

(a) *Eligibility.* A trainee under this Subpart C may be afforded supplemental assistance necessary to pay costs of separate maintenance when the training facility is located outside the commuting area, but may not receive such supplemental assistance for any period for which the trainee receives such a payment under the Comprehensive Employment and Training Act of 1973, as amended, or any other law.

(b) *Amount.* Subsistence payments shall be not more than $15 a day, except that if a training facility furnishes or makes available lodging and meals to trainees at a rate of $12 or less a day the subsistence payment shall not exceed the amount charged for those accommodations plus $3 a day for incidentals. In determining the amount of a subsistence payment the exact days, including the days of departure and return, which elapse between the day the trainee departs for the training facility to the day the trainee returns shall be taken into account.

(c) *Applications.* Applications for payment of subsistence shall be in accordance with instructions and on forms approved by the Secretary and furnished to the trainee by a State agency or State employment service. Such payments shall be made on completion of a week of training, except that at the beginning of a training project a State agency may advance a payment for a week if it determines that such advance is necessary to enable a trainee to accept training. An adjustment shall be made if the amount of an advance exceeds the amount to which the trainee is entitled under paragraph (b) of this section. A determination as to an application made under this section shall be subject to §§ 91.51 through 91.54.

§ 91.23 Transportation payments.

(a) *Eligibility.* A trainee under this Subpart C shall be afforded supplemental assistance necessary to pay transportation expenses if the training is outside the commuting area, but may not receive such assistance if transportation is arranged for the trainee as part of a group and paid for by the State agency or to the extent the trainee receives a payment of transportation expenses under another Federal law.

(b) *Amount.* A transportation allowance shall not exceed 12 cents a mile for travel at the beginning and end of the training program by the least expensive means of transportation reasonably available between the trainee's home and the training facility.

(c) *Applications.* Applications for transportation payments shall be made in accordance with instructions and on forms approved by the Secretary and furnished to trainees by a State agency or

State employment service. Payment may be made in advance. A determination as to an application made under this section shall be subject to §§ 91.51 through 91.54.

§ 91.24 Refusal of training.

A trainee under this Subpart C who, without good cause, refuses to accept or continue or fails to make satisfactory progress in such training shall not be paid a trade readjustment allowance for any week of unemployment thereafter until the week in which the trainee enters, resumes, or makes satisfactory progress in such training. Any disqualification under §§ 91.10(b) (3) or (4) imposed on a trainee for or during a period of ineligibility under this section shall cease to apply as of the week in which the trainee enters, resumes, or makes satisfactory progress in such training.

Subpart D—Relocation Allowances

§ 91.28 General.

A relocation allowance shall be granted an adversely affected worker to assist the individual and the individual's family, if any, to relocate within the United States as stated in this Subpart D. A relocation allowance shall not be granted an individual more than once in a benefit period. A relocation allowance shall not be granted to more than one member of a family with respect to the same relocation. If applications for a relocation allowance are made by more than one member of a family as to the same relocation the allowance shall be paid to the head of the family if otherwise eligible.

§ 91.29 Eligibility.

(a) *Conditions.* To be eligible for a relocation allowance a worker must:

(1) apply for such allowance, before relocating and regardless of whether a certification covering the worker has been made under Part 90 of this title, in accordance with instructions and on forms approved by the Secretary which shall be furnished to the individual by the State agency;

(2) be entitled to a trade readjustment allowance for the week in which the application for such allowance is filed, unless the individual is not entitled to a trade readjustment allowance for such week solely because:

(i) the individual has obtained the employment referred to in subparagraph (7) of this paragraph, or

(ii) the amount of the trade readjustment allowance has been adjusted to zero under § 91.11, or

(iii) the individual is not yet covered by a certification;

(3) have been totally separated from adversely affected employment;

(4) not previously have received a relocation allowance during the applicable benefit period;

(5) intend to relocate within the United States;

(6) be found under § 91.31 to have no reasonable expectation of securing suitable employment in the commuting area;

(7) be found under § 91.31 to have obtained suitable employment or a bona fide offer of suitable employment in the area of intended relocation; and

(8) relocate within a reasonable period, as determined under § 91.30, after applying for the relocation allowance or, if undergoing training, after the conclusion of training.

(b) *Job search.* Applications for a relocation allowance and a job search allowance may not be approved concurrently, but payment of a job search allowance shall not otherwise prevent payment of a relocation allowance.

(c) *Relocation before application.* An application for a relocation allowance made after relocation takes place cannot be granted.

§ 91.30 Time of relocation.

(a) *Applicable considerations.* In determining an individual's eligibility under § 91.29(a)(8) a State agency, among other factors, shall consider whether:

(1) suitable housing is available in the area of relocation;

(2) the individual can dispose of the individual's residence;

(3) the individual or a family member is ill; and

(4) a member of the individual's family is attending school and when the member best can be transferred to a school in the area of relocation.

(b) *Time limits.* The reasonable period for relocation under § 91.29(a)(8) shall expire 6 months from the date of application for a relocation allowance or after the conclusion of training, as the case may be, unless the individual has good cause for extension of the period. In no event may such period extend beyond 12 months from the date of application or conclusion of training.

§ 91.31 Findings required.

The following findings must be made before a relocation allowance may be granted.

(a) *Intrastate relocations.* If the area of relocation is in the State where the individual resides, the Director of the employment service of the State must find that the individual is eligible under §§ 91.29(a) (6) and (7).

(b) *Interstate relocations.* If the area of relocation is not in the State where the individual resides:

(1) The Director of the employment service of the State where the individual resides must find that the worker is eligible under § 91.29(a)(6); and

(2) The Director of the employment service of the State of intended relocation must find that the individual is eligible under § 91.29(a)(7).

§ 91.32 Amount.

The amount payable as a relocation allowance shall be:

(a) 80 percent, computed under § 91.33, of reasonable and necessary travel expenses from the individual's place of residence to the intended area of relocation, plus

(b) 80 percent, computed under § 91.34, of the expense of moving household goods and personal effects, not to exceed 11,000 pounds net weight, between such locations, plus

(c) a lump sum, not exceeding $500, equal to 3 times the individual's average weekly wage.

§ 91.33 Travel allowance.

(a) *Computation.* The amount of travel allowance payable under § 91.32(a) shall be computed as follows.

(1) *Commercial carrier.* For travel by commercial carrier an individual shall receive 80 percent of the expenses of such travel for the individual and family, if any, by the most economical public transportation the individual and family reasonably can be expected to take from the individual's residence to the individual's new residence in the area of relocation.

(2) *Automobile.* For travel by private automobile an individual shall receive 9.6 cents a mile over the usually traveled route from the individual's residence to the worker's new residence in the area of relocation. No additional mileage shall be payable for family members traveling on the same trip in the same automobile. An individual claiming mileage under this subparagraph may not claim also a travel allowance under subparagraph (1) of this paragraph, either for the individual or a family member, except as stated in subparagraph (3) of this paragraph.

(3) *Separate travel.* If for good cause a member or members of an individual's family must travel separately to the individual's new residence the individual shall be paid:

(i) if travel is by private automobile, the lesser of 9.6 cents a mile for the usual route or 80 percent of the cost of transporting all such family members who travel in the same automobile by the most economical public transportation that reasonably can be taken; or

(ii) if travel is by commercial carrier, 80 percent of the cost of transportation by the most economical public transportation that reasonably can be taken.

(b) *Limitation.* In no case may the individual be paid a travel allowance for the individual or a member of the individual's family more than once in connection with a single relocation.

§ 91.34 Moving allowance.

(a) *Computation.* The amount of a moving allowance payable under § 91.32 (b) shall be computed as follows.

(1) *Commercial carrier.* For transportation of household goods and personal effects, not exceeding 11,000 pounds net weight, of an individual and family, if any, by commercial carrier from the individual's residence to the individual's new residence in the area of relocation, the individual shall be paid 80 percent of the cost of such transportation, including accessorial charges found reasonable and necessary by the State agency, by the most economical commercial carrier

the individual reasonably can be expected to use. Before undertaking such transportation the individual must submit to the State agency an estimate from a commercial carrier as to the cost thereof. Accessorial charges shall include the cost of insuring such goods and effects for their actual value or $10,000, whichever is least, against loss or damage in transit, if a bid from a licensed insurer is obtained by the individual and approved by the State agency before departure. If a State agency finds it is more economical to pay a carrier an extra charge to assume the responsibility of a common carrier for such goods and effects, 80 percent of such extra charge, but not exceeding $50, shall be paid in lieu of the cost of insurance.

(2) *Trailer.* If household goods and personal effects are moved by trailer or house trailer used as a home the individual shall be paid:

(i) 9.6 cents a mile if the trailer or house trailer is hauled by private automobile, or

(ii) 80 percent of the charge, not exceeding 20 cents a mile, if hauling is by commercial carrier; and

(iii) if the trailer is rented and of the type customarily used for transporting household goods and personal effects, 80 percent of the rental fee, not exceeding 20 cents a mile, for each day reasonably required to complete the transportation.

(b) *Travel.* Payments under this section shall be in addition to payments under § 91.32(a). Mileage computations under this section shall be made on the basis of the most usual route between points of departure and destination.

§ 91.35 Time and method of payment.

(a) *Determinations.* A State agency shall promptly make and record determinations necessary to assure an individual's entitlement to a relocation allowance at any time, whether before or after a certification covering the individual is made. No relocation allowance may be paid an individual until the State agency determines that the individual is covered by a certification. If delay in payment occurs under the preceding sentence a State agency shall make payment as promptly as possible upon determining that the individual is covered by a certification and otherwise eligible.

(b) *Travel and moving allowances.* Allowances computed under §§ 91.33 and 91.34 shall be paid as follows.

(1) *Travel.* Unless paragraph (a) of this section applies, the amount computed under § 91.33 shall be paid at the time an individual departs from the individual's residence to begin relocation or within 10 days prior thereto. An amount payable for a family member traveling separately may be paid to the individual at the time of such family member's departure or within 10 days prior thereto.

(2) *Moving.* Unless paragraph (a) of this section applies, the amount computed under § 91.34 shall be paid:

(i) *Auto with trailer.* If travel is by private automobile and trailer, at the time payment is made under subparagraph (1) of this paragraph.

(ii) *Rented trailer.* If travel is by private automobile and rented trailer:

(A) the individual shall submit an estimate of the rental cost from the rental agency, and

(B) 80 percent of such estimated rental cost, not exceeding 20 cents a mile, shall be advanced by check payable to the order of the rental agency at the time of departure or within 10 days prior thereto, and

(C) on completion of travel the individual shall submit promptly to the State agency a receipted bill itemizing and evidencing payment of 80 percent of the rental charges for the trailer and shall reimburse the State agency for the amount, if any, by which the advance made for trailer rental exceeded 80 percent of the rental charges approved by the State agency. If the amount of an advance was less than 80 percent of rental charges or less than 80 percent of mileage at 20 cents a mile, whichever is less, the individual shall be paid the difference.

(iii) *Commercial carrier.* (A) If household goods and personal effects are moved by commercial carrier, 80 percent of the amount of the estimate submitted by the individual under § 91.34 (a)(1) as approved by the State agency covering the cost of such transportation and 80 percent of insurance costs approved by the State agency under § 91.34(a)(1) shall be advanced by checks payable to the carrier and insurer delivered to the individual at the time of the scheduled shipment or within 10 days prior thereto. On completion of transportation the individual promptly shall submit to the State agency a copy of the bill of lading prepared by the carrier, including a receipt evidencing payment of transportation costs. The individual shall with such submittal reimburse the State agency the amount, if any, by which the advance made to him exceeds 80 percent of transportation costs. The individual shall be paid the difference, if any, if the amount advanced was less than 80 percent of the transportation costs approved by the State agency.

(B) If economy of administration will result a State agency may make direct arrangements for transportation and insurance of an individual's household goods and personal effects with a carrier and insurer selected by the individual and may make payment of 80 percent of transportation and insurance costs directly to the carrier and insurer. No such arrangement shall release a carrier from liability otherwise provided by law for loss or damage to an individual's goods and effects.

(iv) *Trailer commercially hauled.* If household goods and personal effects are transported by trailer hauled by a commercial carrier the individual shall submit to the State agency an estimate of the cost of the hauling from a commercial carrier. A check for 80 percent of the amount of the estimate, if approved, but not exceeding 20 cents a mile, payable to the carrier, may be delivered to the individual at the time of the scheduled transportation or within 10 days prior thereto.

(c) *Lump sum allowance.* The lump sum allowance provided in § 91.32(c) shall be paid when arrangements are completed for relocation of the individual and family, if any, but not more than 10 days before the anticipated date of shipment of the individual's household goods and personal effects.

§ 91.36 Overpayment of relocation allowance.

(a) *Repayment required.* If an individual fails without good cause to complete a relocation, any payment under § 91.32 constitutes an overpayment.

(b) *Relocation completed.* A relocation is completed when an individual and family, if any, and their household goods and personal effects arrive at the individual's home in the area of relocation. If no household goods are transported a relocation is completed when the individual and family, if any, actually move to the area of relocation and establish a new residence. Failure of a member of an individual's family to move does not mean a relocation was not completed if there was good cause for the failure, unless the member is the only member of the individual's family.

(c) *Collection.* An overpayment determined under this section shall be collected as stated in § 91.58.

Subpart E—Job Search Allowances

§ 91.40 General.

A job search allowance shall be granted an adversely affected worker to assist the individual in securing a job within the United States as stated in this Subpart E.

§ 91.41 Applications.

(a) *Forms.* An application for a job search allowance shall be made in accordance with instructions and on forms approved by the Secretary which shall be furnished to an individual by a State agency or State employment service.

(b) *Submittal.* An application may be submitted to a State agency at any time regardless of whether a certification covering the individual has been made.

(c) *Time limits.* Notwithstanding paragraph (b) of this section, an application for a job search allowance may not be granted if submitted more than one year after the date of an individual's last total separation or later than 6 months after completion of training under Subpart C of this Part 91.

§ 91.42 Eligibility.

(a) *Conditions.* To be eligible for a job search allowance an individual must:

(1) file a timely application;

(2) have been totally separated from adversely affected employment;

(3) be referred to the State employment service which shall furnish the individual such employment services as are appropriate as provided in § 91.17;

(4) have no reasonable expectancy of securing suitable employment in the commuting area;

(5) have received a bona fide referral to suitable employment outside the commuting area or have a reasonable expectancy of obtaining suitable employment of long term duration in the area where the job search will be conducted; and

(6) complete the job search within a reasonable period, not exceeding 30 days, after the day on which the job search began.

(b) *Completion of search.* A job search is deemed completed when the individual either secures employment or has contacted each employer to whom referred by the employment service in connection with a job search.

§ 91.43 Findings required.

Before an application under § 91.41 may be approved the following findings must be made.

(a) The State agency having jurisdiction under § 91.51(a) must find that the individual meets the requirements of § 91.42(a)(2).

(b) The Director of the employment service of the State where the individual resides must find that:

(1) the application was submitted within the time stated in § 91.41(c);

(2) the worker meets the requirements of § 90.42(a) (4) and (5), and such finding shall state the basis thereof;

(3) there is reasonable expectancy the individual can obtain the employment sought; and

(4) the beginning date and date on or before which the job search should be completed.

§ 91.44 Amount.

(a) *Computation.* The amount of a job search allowance shall be 80 percent of the total of the following items:

(1) *Commercial carrier.* If travel is by commercial carrier, the cost of such travel by the most economical public transportation the individual reasonably can be expected to take from the individual's residence to the area of job search.

(2) *Automobile.* If travel is by private automobile, 12 cents a mile for travel by the usual route from the individual's residence to the area of job search.

(3) *Lodging.* The individual's cost of lodging while engaged in job search, not exceeding $12 a day.

(4) *Meals.* The cost of the individual's meals while engaged in job search, not exceeding $5 a day.

(b) *Limit.* The total job search allowance paid an individual in a benefit period may not exceed $500.

§ 91.45 Time and method of payment.

(a) *Determinations.* A State agency promptly shall make determinations necessary to assure entitlement of an individual to a job search allowance at any time, whether before or after a certification covering the individual is made. No job search allowance may be paid or advanced to an individual until the State agency determines that the individual is covered by a certification. If delay in payment occurs under the preceding sentence a State agency shall make payment as promptly as possible on determining that the individual is covered by a certification and otherwise eligible.

(b) *Payment.* Unless paragraph (a) of this section applies, a job search allowance shall be paid promptly after an individual completes a job search and complies with § 91.46.

(c) *Advances.* A State agency may advance to an individual (except an individual not yet covered by a certification) within 5 days prior to commencement of a job search 60 percent of the estimated amount of the job search allowance payable on completion of job search, but not exceeding $300. Such advance shall be deducted from a payment under paragraph (b) of this section.

§ 91.46 Worker evidence.

On completing a job search an individual shall certify on forms furnished by the State agency as to employer contacts made and amounts expended daily for food and lodging.

§ 91.47 Overpayment of job search allowance.

If an individual fails without good cause to complete a job search any payment under § 91.45 constitutes an overpayment. Such overpayment shall be collected as stated in § 91.58.

Subpart F—Administration

§ 91.51 Determinations.

(a) *Jurisdiction.* The State agency whose State law is the applicable State law under § 91.14 shall determine an individual's entitlement to adjustment assistance under this Part 91, and make necessary payments, and may accept for such purpose information and findings supplied by another State agency under this Part 91.

(b) *Redeterminations.* A determination under paragraph (a) of this section may be reconsidered by a State agency under the same terms and conditions as a determination on a claim for unemployment insurance under the State law.

(c) *Use of State law.* In making determinations or redeterminations under this section, or in reviewing such determinations or redeterminations under § 91.52 a State agency, a hearing officer, or a court shall apply the regulations in this Part 91 and the substantive provisions of the Act. As to matters not otherwise specifically provided for in these regulations or the Act, a State agency, a hearing officer, or a court may apply the applicable State law and regulations thereunder, including procedural requirements of such State law or regulations, except so far as such State law or regulations are inconsistent with this Part 91 or the Act or the purposes of this Part 91 or the Act.

(d) *Agent of United States.* In making determinations, redeterminations, and in connection with proceedings for review thereof a State agency which has executed an agreement as provided in § 91.63 shall be an agent of the United States and shall carry out fully the purpose stated in § 91.2.

(e) *Notice.* The State agency shall notify the individual in writing of any determination or redetermination as to entitlement to adjustment assistance. Each determination or redetermination shall inform the individual of the right to reconsideration or appeal in the same manner as a determination under the State law.

(f) *Procedure.* Except where otherwise required by the Act or this Part 91, the procedures for making and furnishing determinations and written notices of determinations to individuals, shall be consistent with the Secretary's "Standard for Claim Determinations-Separation Information," ("Employment Security Manual," Part V, section 6010 et seq.)

§ 91.52 Appeals.

A determination or redetermination under this Part 91 shall be subject to review in the same manner and to the same extent as determinations under the applicable State law and only in that manner and to that extent. Proceedings for review of a determination or redetermination may be consolidated or joined with proceedings for review of a determination or redetermination under the State law where convenient or necessary. Procedures as to the right of appeal and opportunity for fair hearing shall be consistent with sections 303(a) (1) and (3) of the Social Security Act. (42 U.S.C. 503(a) (1) and (3)).

§ 91.53 Promptness.

A State agency shall make full payment of adjustment assistance when due with the greatest promptness administratively feasible. Appeals under § 91.52 shall be decided with a degree of promptness meeting 20 CFR Part 650. Any provision of an applicable State law for advancement or priority of unemployment insurance cases on judicial calendars, or otherwise intended to provide for prompt payment of unemployment insurance when due, shall apply to proceedings involving entitlement to adjustment assistance under this Part 91.

§ 91.54 Uniform interpretation.

To assure uniform interpretation and application of this Part 91 throughout the United States a State agency shall submit, not later than 10 days after issuance, to the Manpower Administration of the United States Department of Labor a copy of any judicial or administrative decision reviewing a determination or redetermination under this Part 91. The material submitted shall be certified as accurate by a responsible official, employee, or counsel of a State agency on a form prescribed by the Secretary.

If the Secretary believes a determination or decision inconsistent with this Part 91 or the Act, the Secretary may at any time inform the State agency that the United States Department of Labor

does not acquiesce therein. Thereafter the State agency shall appeal if possible, shall not follow such determination or decision as a precedent, and in any subsequent proceedings which involve such determination or decision, or wherein such determination or decision is cited as precedent or otherwise relied upon, the State agency shall inform the hearing officer or court of the reason for its nonacquiescence and shall make all reasonable efforts, including appeal or other proceedings before any court having jurisdiction, to obtain modification, limitation, or overruling of such precedent. A State agency may request reconsideration of a notice of nonacquiescence and shall be given opportunity to present views and argument if desired. Acquiescence of the United States Department of Labor in a determination or decision shall not be presumed from absence of comment.

If the highest State court having jurisdiction renders by a decision which becomes final a precedent in which the United States Department of Labor does not acquiesce, a decision shall be made by the Secretary as to whether § 91.63(e) shall be applied.

§ 91.55 Subpenas.

A State agency may issue subpenas for attendance of witnesses and production of records on the same terms and conditions as under the State law. Compliance may be enforced on the same terms and conditions as under the State law, or, if a State court declines to enforce a subpena issued under this section, the State agency may petition for an order requiring compliance with such subpena to the United States district court within the jurisdiction of which the relevant proceeding under this Part 91 is conducted.

§ 91.56 Reports.

A State agency shall furnish to the Secretary such information and reports and make such studies as the Secretary decides are necessary or appropriate for carrying out the purposes of the Act and this Part 91.

§ 91.57 State agency rulemaking.

A State agency may establish supplemental procedures not inconsistent with the Act or this Part 91 or procedures prescribed by the Secretary to further effective administration of this Part 91. The exact text of such supplemental procedure or procedures, certified as accurate by a responsible official, employee, or counsel of the State agency, shall be submitted to the Manpower Administration, United States Department of Labor, on a form supplied by the Secretary. No supplemental procedure shall be effective unless and until approved by the Secretary. Approval may be granted on a temporary basis, not to exceed 90 days, in cases of administrative necessity. On reasonable notice to a State agency approval of a supplemental procedure may be withdrawn at any time. If public notice and opportunity for hearing would be required under a State law for adoption of a similar or analogous procedure involving unemployment insurance, such public notice and opportunity for hearing shall be afforded by the State agency as to the supplemental procedure.

§ 91.58 Overpayments.

(a) *Fraud.* If a cooperating State agency or the Secretary, or a court of competent jurisdiction finds that any person—

(1) has made or caused to be made by another, a false statement or representation of a material fact knowing it to be false, or has knowingly failed or caused another to fail to disclose a material fact; and

(2) as a result of such action has received any payment under this Part 91 to which the person was not entitled, such person shall be liable to repay such amount to the State agency or the Secretary, as the case may be, or either may recover such amount by deductions from any sums payable to such person under this Part 91.

(b) *Absence of fraud.* Except as provided in §§ 91.36 and 91.47, if there has been an overpayment to any person but no finding by a State agency, the Secretary, or a court of competent jurisdiction has been made that there was an intent to defraud, the determinations specified below shall be made on the same basis as similar determinations as to overpayments of unemployment insurance are made under the applicable State law:

(1) Whether the person shall be liable to repay such overpayment in cash, or

(2) Whether the person shall be permitted to offset any future amounts payable to such person under the Act, or

(3) Whether a waiver of such overpayment may be permitted.

(c) *Deposit.* Any amount repaid to a State agency under this section shall be deposited into the fund from which payment was made. Any amount repaid to the Secretary under this paragraph shall be returned to the Secretary of the Treasury and credited to the Adjustment Assistance Trust Fund.

(d) *Procedures.* Procedures for determination of overpayment, and opportunity for hearing thereon, shall accord with procedures under the State law for determinations and hearings with respect to overpayments under the State law, and shall be consistent with sections 303(a) (1) and (3) of the Social Security Act (42 U.S.C. 503(a) (1) and (3)).

(e) *Detection.* Procedures of a State agency as to detection and prevention of fraudulent overpayments of adjustment assistance shall be consistent, at a minimum, with the procedures adopted by a State with respect to unemployment insurance which are consistent with the Secretary's "Standard for Fraud and Overpayment Detection," ("Employment Security Manual," Part V, section 7510 et seq.).

§ 91.59 Waiver of rights void.

An agreement by an individual to waive, release, or commute any right to adjustment assistance or other right under the Act or this Part 91 shall be void. No employer shall discriminate in regard to the hiring or tenure of work or any term or condition of work of an individual on account of an application for adjustment assistance under this Part 91, or in any manner obstruct or impede an application for adjustment assistance.

§ 91.60 Exemption.

Any assignment, pledge, or encumbrance of any right to adjustment assistance which is or may become due and payable under this Part 91 shall be void; and such right to adjustment assistance shall be exempt from levy, execution, attachment, garnishment, order for the payment of attorney fees, offset or recovery of overpayments under any law other than the Act, or any other remedy whatsoever provided for the collection of debt whether owed to the United States, a State, or a person; and adjustment assistance received by an individual shall be exempt from any remedy whatsoever for the collection of all debts, except necessaries furnished to such individual or the individual's family during the time when such individual was unemployed. Any waiver of the exemption provided for in this section shall be void.

§ 91.61 Disclosure of information.

Except where a public hearing has been held under § 91.52 with respect to such individual, the identity of an individual who has applied for adjustment assistance shall be held confidential by a State agency and determinations as to such individual shall not be disclosed or open to public inspection in any manner revealing the individual's identity. This section does not prohibit disclosure of information from the records of a State agency:

(a) to individuals applying for adjustment assistance and other interested parties to an appeal under § 91.52 to the extent necessary to adequately prepare for and participate in a hearing under § 91.52;

(b) to other State agencies in connection with the administration of State laws or this Part 91;

(c) to public assistance agencies for purposes not inconsistent with the purposes of the Act or this Part 91;

(d) to public officials (including law-enforcement officials) for purposes of proceedings under section 244 of the Act, 88 Stat. 2026 (19 U.S.C. 2316), or other purposes found by the Secretary to be not inconsistent with the purposes of the Act and this Part 91; or

(e) to the Secretary and the Manpower Administration of the United States Department of Labor as provided in §§ 91.54 and 91.56.

§ 91.62 Unemployment insurance.

(a) *No denial or reduction.* Unemployment insurance payable to an adversely affected worker shall not be denied or reduced for any week by reason of any right to payment of adjustment assistance under this Part 91.

(b) *No waiting week.* No provision of a State law requiring an individual to

serve a week of uncompensated unemployment (waiting week) as a condition to payment of unemployment insurance shall be applied to an adversely affected worker for a week which is a week of unemployment as defined in § 91.3(a)(37) so as to deny the individual a payment of adjustment assistance for such week.

§ 91.63 Agreements with State agencies.

(a) *Authority.* Before performing any function or exercising any jurisdiction under this Part 91 a State or a cooperating State agency shall execute an agreement with the Secretary meeting the requirements of the Act.

(b) *Execution.* An agreement under paragraph (a) of this section shall be signed on behalf of a State by an authorized official of the State and the signature shall be dated. The authority of the official shall be certified by the Attorney General of the State or counsel for the State agency. An agreement will be executed on behalf of the United States by the Secretary.

(c) *Public inspection.* An agreement with a State or cooperating State agency shall be made available by the State agency to any individual or organization wishing to inspect or copy the agreement. As to the United States Department of Labor 29 CFR Part 70 shall apply.

(d) *When effective.* An agreement under this section must be executed prior to July 1, 1975, by a State agency to meet the requirement of section 3302(c)(4)(A) of the Internal Revenue Code of 1954 (26 U.S.C. 3302(c)(4)(A)), as added by section 239(e) of the Act, 88 Stat. 2025 (19 U.S.C. 2311(e)), and when so executed shall be effective as of April 3, 1975.

(e) *Breach.* If the Secretary finds that a State or State agency has not executed an agreement under this section before July 1, 1975, or that a State or State agency has not fulfilled its commitments under such agreement, section 3302(c)(4) of the Internal Revenue Code of 1954, as added by section 239 of the Act, shall apply. A State agency or State shall receive reasonable notice and opportunity for hearing before a finding is made under this paragraph that it has not fulfilled its commitments under its agreement.

§ 91.64 Administration absent State agreement.

In any State in which no agreement under § 91.63 is in force the Secretary shall administer this Part 91 and pay adjustment assistance hereunder through appropriate arrangements made through the Manpower Administration of the United States Department of Labor. Such arrangements shall include provision for a fair hearing for any individual whose application for adjustment assistance is denied. A final determination by the Secretary under this section as to the entitlement to adjustment assistance of an individual shall be subject to review by the courts in the same manner and to the same extent as is provided by section 205(g) of the Social Security Act (42 U.S.C. 405(g)).

§ 91.65 Transitional procedures.

(a) *Previously eligible workers.* An individual covered by a certification issued under the Trade Expansion Act of 1962 who has not had an application for trade readjustment allowances denied under section 322 of that Act prior to April 3, 1975, may apply for adjustment assistance under this Part 91 on or after April 3, 1975, as if such certification was issued under the Act. An individual who was or could have been determined qualified for trade readjustment allowances prior to April 3, 1975, shall be deemed to meet the requirements of § 91.7.

(b) *Weeks before April 3, 1975.* An individual applying for trade readjustment allowances, regardless of whether the certification covering the individual was issued under the Trade Expansion Act of 1962 or the Act, shall receive, if otherwise eligible:

(1) For weeks of unemployment (as defined by section 338(14) of the Trade Expansion Act of 1962, 76 Stat. 899 (19 U.S.C. 1978(14)) beginning before April 3, 1975, if the individual met qualifying requirements in section 322 of the Trade Expansion Act of 1962, 76 Stat. 892 (19 U.S.C. 1941), for such weeks, trade readjustment allowances in the amounts and under the conditions prescribed by chapter 3, title III, of the Trade Expansion Act of 1962; and

(2) for weeks of unemployment as defined by § 91.3(a)(37) beginning on or after April 3, 1975, adjustment assistance as prescribed by this Part 91.

(c) *Tacking.* Weeks of unemployment described in paragraph (b)(1) of this section for which trade readjustment allowances are payable to an individual shall be deducted from the total weeks of unemployment for which the individual is eligible for trade readjustment allowances under § 91.12.

(d) *Other Adjustment Assistance.* Applications for adjustment assistance other than trade readjustment allowances shall be determined under the Trade Expansion Act of 1962 if the assistance for which application is made begins or is undertaken prior to April 3, 1975, and shall be determined under the Act if pertaining to a period or action which begins or is undertaken on or after April 3, 1975.

§ 91.66 Savings clause.

The repeal of sections 321 through 328 of the Trade Expansion Act of 1962 shall not abate or otherwise affect an application for adjustment assistance under such Act or any appeal which was pending on April 3, 1975, or alter any right or liability imposed by a determination which became final prior to April 3, 1975, or prevent any appeal from any determination thereunder which did not become final prior to April 3, 1975, if appeal or petition is filed within the time allowed for appeal or petition. Part 91 of Title 29 of the Code of Federal Regulations as in effect prior to April 3, 1975, shall continue to apply to payment of trade readjustment allowances for weeks of unemployment beginning before April 3, 1975, and to other benefits and services under the Trade Expansion Act of 1962.

§ 91.67 Effective date.

This Part 91 shall be effective on and after April 3, 1975.

§ 91.68 Termination date.

The regulations in this Part 91 shall cease to be effective on September 30, 1982.

2. Part 92 is deleted.

Signed at Washington, D.C. this 10th day of March, 1975.

PETER J. BRENNAN,
Secretary of Labor.

[FR Doc.75-6498 Filed 3-12-75;8:45 am]

[29 CFR Parts 201, 202, 203, 205 and 206]

REGULATORY CHANGES TO CONFORM WITH EXECUTIVE ORDER 11838; LABOR RELATIONS IN THE FEDERAL SERVICE

Notice of Proposed Rule-Making

On February 6, 1975, the President signed Executive Order 11838 which amended Executive Order 11491 governing labor relations in the Federal service. The new Executive Order amended existing Executive Order 11491 in several respects. It changed the definition of "supervisor" to eliminate the criterion concerning the evaluation of the performance of subordinates. It eliminated the distinction between guards and other Federal employees. The scope of the Assistant Secretary's authority was clarified in that it was provided that the Assistant Secretary has the authority to decide unfair labor practices, including those where an alleged unilateral act by one of the parties requires an initial negotiability determination. Section 10 was amended to facilitate the consolidation of existing units. Section 13 was changed to provide that the coverage and scope of a grievance procedure shall be negotiated by the parties with the only exception being that such procedure may not cover matters for which a statutory appeal procedure exists. Further, section 13 was amended to provide that questions that cannot be resolved by the parties as to whether or not a grievance is on a matter for which a statutory appeal procedure exists, shall be referred to the Assistant Secretary for decision. Other questions as to grievability or arbitrability may, however, by agreement of the parties, be submitted to arbitration or may be referred to the Assistant Secretary for decision. With respect to the approval of agreements, section 15 provides that an agreement shall be approved by an agency head or an official designated by him within 45 days from the date of its execution if it conforms

to applicable laws, the Order, existing published agency policies and regulations and regulations of other appropriate authorities. An agreement which has not been approved or disapproved within 45 days takes effect automatically. In addition, the Report and Recommendations of the Federal Labor Relations Council accompanying the amendment indicates that, with respect to the processing of unfair labor practice cases, the Assistant Secretary should exercise his authority to prescribe regulations which would permit him to conduct such independent investigation as he deems necessary.

Accordingly, it is proposed herewith to amend the Assistant Secretary's Regulations governing the administration of Executive Order 11491, as amended, to implement Executive Order 11838. Interested persons are accorded 30 days from publication of this notice in the FEDERAL REGISTER to offer data, views, or comments. Comments concerning the regulations should be addressed to the Assistant Secretary of Labor for Labor-Management Relations, United States Department of Labor, New Department of Labor Building, 200 Constitution Avenue NW., Washington, D.C. 20210. Comments received may be reviewed upon request addressed to the Office of Federal Labor-Management Relations.

Therefore, under the authority of Executive Order 11491, as amended by Executive Orders 11616, 11636, and 11838, and Secretary's Order No. 11-72, I propose to amend 29 CFR Chapter 2 as follows:

1. Paragraph (e) of § 201.1 is revised to read as follows:

§ 201.1 Purpose and scope.

* * * * *

(e) Deciding complaints of alleged unfair labor practices (including those where an alleged unilateral act by one of the parties requires an initial negotiability determination), and alleged violations of the standards of conduct for labor organizations;

* * * * *

2. Section 201.23 is revised to read as follows:

§ 201.23 Certification.

"Certification" means the determination by the Assistant Secretary, Assistant Regional Director, or Area Director of the results of an election or after the filing of a petition to consolidate existing exclusively recognized units under the order and the regulations in this chapter.

3. Section 201.24 is revised to read as follows:

§ 201.24 Appropriate unit.

"Appropriate unit" means that grouping of employees found to be appropriate for purposes of exclusive recognition consistent with the provisions of section 10(b) of the order.

4. Section 201.26 is revised to read as follows:

§ 201.26 Showing of interest.

"Showing of interest" means evidence of membership in a labor organization; employees' signed and dated authorization cards or petitions authorizing a labor organization to represent them for purposes of exclusive recognition; unaltered allotment of dues forms executed by an employee and the labor organization's authorized official; current dues records; an existing or recently expired agreement; current exclusive recognition or certification; employees' signed and dated petitions or cards indicating that they no longer desire to be represented for the purposes of exclusive recognition by the currently recognized or certified labor organization; employees' signed and dated petitions or cards indicating a desire that an election be held on a proposed consolidation of units; or other evidence approved by the Assistant Secretary.

5. Section 202.1 is revised to read as follows:

§ 202.1 Who may file petitions.

(a) A petition for exclusive recognition may be filed by a labor organization requesting an election to determine whether it should be recognized as the exclusive representative of employees of an agency in an appropriate unit or should replace another labor organization as the exclusive representative of employees in an appropriate unit.

(b) A petition for an election to determine if a labor organization should cease to be the exclusive representative because it does not represent a majority of employees in the existing unit may be filed by any employee or employees or an individual acting on his or their behalf.

(c) A petition for an election to determine if a labor organization should cease to be the exclusive representative may be filed by an agency or activity where the agency or activity has a good faith doubt that the currently recognized or certified labor organization represents a majority of the employees in the existing unit or that, because of a substantial change in the character and scope of the unit, it has a good faith doubt that such unit is now appropriate.

(d) A petition for clarification of an existing unit or for amendment of recognition or certification may be filed by an agency or activity or by a labor organization which is currently recognized by the agency or activity as an exclusive representative.

(e) A petition for determination of the eligibility of a labor organization for national consultation rights under criteria prescribed by the Council may be filed by a labor organization.

(f) A petition to consolidate existing exclusively recognized units may be filed by a labor organization, or by an agency or activity(ies), after a labor organization or two or more labor organizations jointly have requested that its or their existing exclusively recognized units within a single agency be consolidated.

6. Section 202.2 is revised to read as follows:

§ 202.2 Contents of petition; procedures for national consultation rights; filing and serving of petition; challenges to petition and intervention.

(a) * * *

(6) A statement that the petitioner has submitted or will submit to the activity a current roster of its officers and representatives, a copy of its constitution and bylaws, and a statement of its objectives; * * *

(b) Petition for an election to determine if a labor organization should cease to be the exclusive representative. (1) A petition by an agency or activity shall contain the information set forth in paragraph (a) of this section except paragraphs (a) (6) and (9) and a statement that the agency or activity has a good faith doubt that the currently recognized or certified labor organization represents a majority of the employees in the existing unit, or a statement that because of a substantial change in the character and scope of the unit, the agency or activity has a good faith doubt that such unit is now appropriate. Attached to the petition shall be a detailed explanation of the reasons supporting the good faith doubt;

* * * * *

(e) * * *

(4) Copies of the petition together with the attachments referred to in subparagraph (3) of this paragraph shall be served by the petitioner on all known interested parties, and a written statement of such service shall be filed with the Area Director: Provided, however, That the showing of interest submitted pursuant to § 202.2(a), (b)(2), or (h), shall not be furnished to any other party or person.

(f) * * *

(2) Any party challenging the validity of showing of interest of a petitioner, or of a cross-petitioner filing pursuant to § 202.5(b), or of an intervenor, must file its challenge with the Area Director, with respect to the petitioner or a cross-petitioner, within ten (10) days after the initial date of posting of the notice of petition as provided in § 202.4(a), and with respect to any intervenor, within ten (10) days of service of a copy of the request for intervention on the challenging party. The challenge shall be supported with evidence including signed statements of employees and any other written evidence. The Area Director shall investigate the challenge. Thereafter, the Assistant Regional Director shall take such action as he deems appropriate which shall be final and not subject to review by the Assistant Secretary unless the petition is dismissed or the intervention is denied on the basis of the challenge.

(g) *Challenge to status of a labor organization.* Any party challenging the status of a labor organization under the order must file its challenge with the Area Director and support the challenge with evidence. With respect to the petitioner or cross-petitioner filing pursuant to § 202.5(b), such a challenge must be filed within ten (10) days after the initial

date of posting of the notice of petition as provided in § 202.4(...), and with respect to an intervenor, within ten (10) days of service of a copy of the request for intervention on the challenging party. The Area Director shall investigate the challenge. Thereafter, the Assistant Regional Director shall take such action as he deems appropriate, which shall be subject to review by the Assistant Secretary.

(h) Petition and procedures for consolidation of existing exclusively recognized units.

(1) Action to be taken before filing a petition to consolidate existing exclusively recognized units:

(i) A request in writing must be filed by a labor organization, or by two or more labor organizations jointly, with the activity(ies) or agency requesting the consolidation of existing exclusively recognized units represented by the labor organization(s) within a single agency.

(ii) The request shall contain a clear and concise description of the existing exclusively recognized units sought to be consolidated and whether the labor organization(s) desire(s) the consolidation with or without an election.

(2) When and where a petition to consolidate existing exclusively recognized units may be filed:

(i) If the activity(ies) or agency reject(s) in writing or fails to respond to the requested consolidation of units within thirty (30) days after the service of the request, the labor organization(s) may file a petition to consolidate existing exclusively recognized units. The labor organization(s) shall file a petition with the Area Director for the area where the headquarters of the activity or agency of the proposed consolidate unit is located: Provided, however, That where a petition to consolidate existing exclusively recognized units involves two or more activities, such petition may be filed with the Area Director for the area where the headquarters of any of the activities involved is located.

(ii) If there is a bilateral agreement to consolidate existing exclusively recognized units, the activity(ies), agency, or requesting labor organization(s) may individually or jointly file a petition for an election in the proposed unit with the appropriate Area Director as set forth in paragraph (h)(2)(i) of this section.

(iii) If the activity(ies) or agency and requesting labor organization bilaterally agree to consolidate existing exclusively recognized units without an election, they shall file jointly a petition to consolidate such units without an election with the appropriate Area Director as set forth in paragraph (h)(2)(i) of this section.

(3) Petition to consolidate existing exclusively recognized units: A petition to consolidate existing exclusively recognized units shall contain the information required by paragraph (a) of this section, except paragraphs (a)(2), (3), (6), and (9) and shall set forth:

(i) A description of the proposed consolidated unit claimed to be appropriate for the purpose of exclusive representation by the labor organization(s). Such description shall indicate generally the geographic locations and the classifications of employees sought to be included and those sought to be excluded and the approximate number of employees in the consolidated unit claimed to be appropriate for the purpose of exclusive recognition;

(ii) A description of each existing exclusively recognized unit encompassed by the petition, the dates of recognition or certification, the name(s) and address(es) of the exclusively recognized labor organization(s) involved, and the approximate number of employees in each unit;

(iii) A statement that a request to consolidate existing exclusively recognized units has been made to the activity(ies) or agency involved and the date of the service of such request;

(iv) A statement as appropriate:

(a) That the activity(ies) or agency, and requesting labor organization(s) agree to consolidate existing exclusively recognized units without an election.

(b) That the activity(ies) or agency, and requesting labor organization(s) desire(s) the Assistant Secretary to hold an election on the issue of the proposed consolidation.

(c) That the activity(ies) or agency has rejected or has failed to respond to the request to consolidate together with the date of the service of the written rejection, if any.

(d) The name(s) of the labor organization(s), activity(ies) or agency that should appear on the certification of consolidation of units, if such a certification is issued.

(4) Notwithstanding any other regulations in this part, the following govern petitions filed under this paragraph:

(i) Upon the request of the Area Director, after the filing of a petition to consolidate existing exclusively recognized units, the activity(ies) or agency involved shall post copies of a notice to all employees in places where notices are normally posted affecting the employees in the exclusively recognized units involved in the proceeding.

(ii) Such notice shall set forth, as appropriate:

(a) The name(s) of petitioner(s),

(b) The description of the proposed consolidated unit,

(c) A statement that a petition for an election in the proposed unit has been filed, or a statement that if, within twenty (20) days from the date of posting of such notice, ten (10%) percent or more of the employees in the proposed consolidated unit have notified the Area Director in writing that they desire the Assistant Secretary to hold an election on the issue of the proposed consolidation, such an election will be supervised by the Area Director.

(5) The notice shall remain posted for a period of twenty (20) days. It shall be posted conspicuously and shall not be covered by other material, altered or defaced.

(6) The Area Director shall make such investigation as he deems necessary. Thereafter, the Assistant Regional Director shall issue and serve on the labor organization(s) and activity(ies) or agency involved a report and findings with respect to the petition to consolidate existing exclusively recognized units. An activity(ies) or agency or the requesting labor organization(s) or a labor organization granted intervention pursuant to § 202.5(e), may obtain a review of such report and findings pursuant to § 202.6(d): Provided, however, That where the Assistant Regional Director approves, or causes the Area Director to approve, a withdrawal request, or determines to supervise an election on the issue of the proposed consolidation, or to issue a notice of hearing, no such report and findings need be issued and such action shall not be subject to review by the Assistant Secretary. The Assistant Regional Director, if appropriate, may cause a notice of hearing to be issued where substantial factual issues exist warranting a hearing. Hearings shall be conducted by Hearing Officers in accordance with § 202.8 through § 202.16.

(7) Agreement for Consolidated Unit Election:

(i) Where an election is appropriate because the petitioner(s) or ten (10%) percent of the affected employees desire the Assistant Secretary to hold an election on the consolidation issue, the activity(ies) or agency and the labor organization(s) involved must sign an agreement providing for such an election on a form prescribed by the Assistant Secretary. The agreement shall be filed with the appropriate Area Director.

(ii) The activity(ies) or agency and the requesting labor organization(s) shall agree on the eligibility period for participation in the election, the date(s), hour(s), and place(s) of the election and other related election procedures. In the event that they cannot agree, the Area Director, acting on behalf of the Assistant Secretary, shall decide these matters.

(iii) If the Area Director approves the agreement, the election by secret ballot shall be conducted by the activity(ies) or agency, as appropriate, under the supervision of the Area Director, in accordance with §§ 202.17 (a), (b), and (f), 202.18, 202.19, and 202.20. There shall be no runoff elections.

(8) Upon the issuance of a certification on consolidation of units, the terms and conditions of existing agreements covering those units embodied in the consolidation shall remain in effect until a new agreement covering the consolidated unit becomes effective.

7. Section 202.3 is revised to read as follows:

§ 202.3 Timeliness of petition.

(a) When there is no certified exclusive representative of the employees, a petition will be considered timely filed provided the petition is not for the same unit or subdivision thereof, in which a valid election has been held within the preceding twelve (12) month period.

(b) When there is a certified exclusive representative of the employees, a petition will not be considered timely if filed within twelve (12) months after the certification as the exclusive representative of employees in an appropriate unit, unless a signed and dated agreement covering the claimed unit has been entered into in which case paragraph (c) of this section shall be applicable.

(c) When an agreement covering a claimed unit has been signed and dated by the activity and the incumbent exclusive representative, a petition for exclusive recognition or other election petition will be considered timely when filed as follows:

(1) Not more than ninety (90) days and not less than sixty (60) days prior to the terminal date of an agreement having a term of three (3) years or less from the date it was signed and dated by the activity and the incumbent exclusive representative; or

(2) Not more than ninety (90) days nor less than sixty (60) days prior to the expiration of the initial three (3) year period of an agreement having a term of more than three (3) years from the date it was signed and dated by the activity and the incumbent exclusive representative; or

(3) Any time when unusual circumstances exist which substantially affect the unit or the majority representation.

(d) When there is an agreement signed and dated by the activity and the incumbent exclusive representative having a term not exceeding three (3) years from the date it was signed, and a petition has been filed challenging the representation status of the incumbent exclusive representative and the petition is subsequently withdrawn or dismissed less than sixty (60) days prior to the terminal date of that agreement, or any time thereafter, the activity and incumbent exclusive representative shall be afforded a ninety (90) day period from the date the withdrawal is approved or the petition is dismissed free from rival claim within which to consummate an agreement: Provided, however, That the provisions of this paragraph shall not be applicable when any other petition is pending which has been filed pursuant to paragraph (c) of this section.

(e) When an extension of agreement has been signed more than sixty (60) days before its terminal date, such extension shall not serve as a basis for the denial of a petition submitted in accordance with the time limitations provided herein.

(f) When an election has been held to consolidate existing exclusively recognized units and no certification of consolidation of units has been issued, a petition to consolidate will be considered timely filed provided the petition is not for the same unit or subdivision thereof in which a valid consolidation election has been held within the preceding twelve (12) month period.

(g) When there is a certification of consolidation of units, a petition will not be considered timely if filed within twelve (12) months after the certification of consolidation of units has been issued: Provided, however, That once a signed agreement covering the claimed consolidated unit has been entered into, the provisions of paragraph (c) of this section shall apply.

(h) Agreements which go into effect automatically pursuant to section 15 of the order shall contain the date on which the agreement became effective.

(i) A petition filed pursuant to § 202.2 (a) and (b) of this Part seeking an election in any existing exclusively recognized unit covered by a pending petition to consolidate existing exclusively recognized units must be filed timely in accordance with the requirements set forth in this section.

(j) A petitioner who withdraws a petition after the issuance of a notice of hearing or after the approval of an agreement for an election, shall be barred from filing another petition for the same unit or any subdivision thereof for six (6) months, unless a withdrawal request has been received by the Assistant Regional Director not later than three (3) days before the date of the hearing.

(k) The time limits set forth in this section shall not apply to a petition for clarification of unit or for amendment of recognition or certification.

8. Subparagraph (b)(4) of § 202.4 is revised to read as follows:

§ 202.4 Investigation of petition and posting of notice of petition; action by Regional Administrator.

* * * * *

(b) * * *

(4) A statement that all interested parties are to advise the Arer Director in writing of their interest and position within ten (10) days from the date of posting of such notice. * * *

* * * * *

(f) Within thirty (30) days following the receipt of a copy of the petition unless an extension of time has been granted by the Area Director, and if there is no agreement for an election, the activity shall file a response thereto with the Area Director raising any matter which is relevant to the petition. A copy of such response shall be served on the parties and a statement of such service shall be filed with the Area Director.

* * * * *

(h) The Assistant Regional Director shall take action which may consist of the following, as appropriate:

(1) Approve, or cause the Area Director to approve, a withdrawal request;

(2) Dismiss the petition; or

(3) Issue a notice of hearing.

9. Section 202.5 is revised to read as follows:

§ 202.5 Intervention.

(a) No labor organization will be permitted to intervene in any proceeding involving a petition filed pursuant to § 202.2 (a) or (b) unless it has submitted a showing of interest of ten (10%) percent or more of the employees in the unit involved in the petition together with an alphabetical list of names constituting such showing, or has submitted a current or recently expired agreement with the activity covering any of the employees involved, or has submitted evidence that it is the currently recognized or certified exclusive representative of any of the employees involved: Provided, however, That an incumbent exclusive representative shall be deemed to be an intervenor in the proceeding unless it serves on the Area Director a written disclaimer of any representation interest for the employees in the unit sought. Provided, further, that any such incumbent exclusive representative that declines to sign an agreement for an election because of a disagreement on the matters contained in § 202.7(c) as decided by the Area Director, or fails to appear at a hearing held pursuant to § 202.9, shall be denied its status as an intervenor.

(b) A labor organization seeking exclusive recognition in a unit different from the unit initially petitioned for, and which includes any or all of the employees in that unit, must file a petition with the Area Director in accordance with § 202.2 (a) and (e) within ten (10) days after the date of posting of the notice of the initial petition as provided under § 202.4(a), unless good cause is shown for extending the period.

(c) No labor organization may participate to any extent in any representation proceeding unless it has notified the Area Director in writing, accompanied by its showing of interest as specified in paragraph (a) of this section, of its desire to intervene within ten (10) days after the initial date of posting of the notice of petition as provided in § 202.4(a), unless good cause is shown for extending the period. A copy of the request for intervention filed with the Area Director, excluding the showing of interest, shall be served on all known interested parties, and a written statement of such service should be filed with the Area Director: Provided, however, That an incumbent exclusive representative shall be deemed to be an intervenor in the proceeding in accordance with paragraph (a) of this section.

(d) Any labor organization intervening must supply a statement to the Area Director that it has submitted, or will submit, to the activity a current roster of its officers and representatives, a copy of its constitution and bylaws and a statement of its objectives.

(e) The Area Director may grant intervention to a labor organization in a proceeding involving a petition for clarification of unit or a petition for amendment of recognition or certification filed pursuant to § 202.2(c) or a petition to consolidate existing exclusively recognized units filed pursuant to § 202.2(h) based on a showing that the proposed

clarification, amendment or consolidation affects that labor organization's existing exclusively recognized units.

10. Subparagraph (b)(1) of § 203.2 is revised to read as follows:

§ 203.2 Action to be taken before filing a complaint with the Assistant Secretary; timeliness of complaint.

* * * * *

(b) Timeliness of a complaint. (1) If the parties are unable to dispose informally of the charge within thirty (30) days, the charging party may file a complaint, limited to those matters raised in the charge.

* * * * *

11. Paragraph (b) of § 203.3 is revised to read as follows:

§ 203.3 Contents of the complaint and supporting documents.

* * * * *

(b) When filing a complaint, the complainant shall submit to the Area Director any supporting documents, pursuant to § 203.2, including, among other things, the pre-complaint charge, a copy of all relevant correspondence, other written materials, signed statements of witnesses, summaries of meetings and discussions, offers of settlement by the respondent and settlement proposals advanced by the complainant.

12. Section 203.4 is revised to read as follows:

§ 203.4 Filing and service of copies.

(a) An original and four copies of the complaint and two copies of any supporting documents shall be filed with the Area Director for the area in which the alleged unfair labor practice occurred, or if it occurred in two or more areas, the complaint shall be filed with the Area Director in any of the areas in which the alleged unfair labor practice occurred.

(b) A copy of the complaint and any supporting documents shall be served by the complainant on the respondent(s) and all other parties and a written statement of such service shall be filed with the Area Director.

13. Section 203.5 is revised to read as follows:

§ 203.5 Response to a complaint; stipulation of facts.

(a) Upon the service of a copy of a complaint on the respondent(s), the respondent(s) shall file a response thereto with the Area Director raising any matter which is relevant to the complaint. A copy of such response shall be served on the parties and a statement of such service shall be filed with the Area Director. Unless an extension of time is granted by the Area Director, the timeliness of a response shall be as follows:

(1) A response to a complaint alleging a violation of section 19(b)(4) of the order shall be filed within twenty-four (24) hours after the service of a copy of the complaint.

(2) A response to a complaint alleging a violation of any other subsection of section 19 of the order shall be filed within fifteen (15) days of the service of a copy of the complaint.

(b) Subsequent to the filing of a complaint, the parties may submit to the Area Director a stipulation of facts and their request for a decision by the Assistant Secretary without a hearing. The stipulation shall be forwarded to the Assistant Regional Director by the Area Director.

14. A new § 203.5a is added to read as follows:

§203.5a Investigation of complaints; cooperation by agencies, activities and labor organizations; official time for witnesses; burden of proof; and availability of evidence.

The Area Director shall conduct such independent investigation of the complaint as he deems necessary.

(a) A party may request the Area Director to conduct an independent investigation upon a showing:

(1) That there is sufficient information to warrant further processing of the complaint; and

(2) that there are prospective individual witnesses from whom he has been unable to obtain a signed statement because of geographic dispersion of the witnesses or because of their reluctance to provide information to a party; the request must clearly identify any such witnesses and indicate the nature of their expected testimony; or

(3) that the requesting party lacks access to pertinent documents or data; the request should clearly identify such documents or data, establish their relevance, and indicate the reason why the requesting party has been unable to obtain them.

(b) At the conclusion of any independent investigation conducted at the request of a party, to the extent legally permissible the Assistant Regional Director shall:

(1) transmit to the requesting party any data or copies of any documents obtained as a result of such investigation, notifying all other parties so that they may request copies of the same;

(2) transmit to all parties copies of signed statements obtained from any witness interviewed;

(3) notify the requesting party of the names of all prospective witnesses identified by him who have been contacted and who have not signed statements.

(c) In connection with the independent investigation of complaints, agencies, activities and labor organizations are expected to cooperate fully in such investigations with the Area Director.

(d) When, during the course of an independent investigation by the Area Director, it is determined that a certain employee or certain employees should be interviewed, such employee or employees shall be granted official time for the period of such interview(s) only insofar as such interview(s) occur(s) during regular work hours and when the employee(s) would otherwise be in a work or paid leave status.

(e) The complainant shall bear the burden of proof at all stages of the proceeding regarding matters alleged in its complaint, except as otherwise provided in § 203.6(b).

(f) A complaint alleging a violation of section 19(b)(4) of the order shall receive the highest priority investigation.

(g) A complaint alleging a violation of section 19(a)(2) of the order shall be given priority over all other complaints under section 19 except those involving section 19(b)(4) of the order.

15. Section 203.6 is revised to read as follows:

§ 203.6 Action by the Assistant Regional Director for Labor-Management Services.

(a) * * *

(1) Approve, or cause the Area Director to approve, a withdrawal request;

(2) Dismiss the complaint;

(3) Approve a written settlement agreement made any time prior to the close of a hearing, if any;

(4) Transfer to the Assistant Secretary, after due consideration of its sufficiency, a stipulation of facts submitted pursuant to § 203.5(b); or

(5) Issue a notice of hearing.

* * * * *

(b) * * * *

(9) Where no notice of preliminary hearing has been issued by the Assistant Regional Director because the alleged violative conduct has ceased, the expedited procedure contained in this section shall be inapplicable and a hearing may be conducted by an Administrative Law Judge.

16. Section 203.7 is revised to read as follows:

§ 203.7 Withdrawal or dismissal of complaint; review of action by Assistant Regional Director.

(a) If the Assistant Regional Director determines that the complaint has not been timely filed, that a reasonable basis for the complaint has not been established, or for other appropriate reasons, he may request the complainant to withdraw the complaint, and in the absence of such withdrawal within a reasonable time, he may dismiss the complaint.

(b) If the Assistant Regional Director dismisses the complaint, he shall serve a written statement of the grounds for dismissal on the parties.

(c) The complainant may obtain a review of a dismissal of a complaint by filing a request for review with the Assistant Secretary within ten (10) days of service of such dismissal. The request for review shall be filed in accordance with the procedures set forth in § 202.6 (d) of this chapter.

(d) If the complainant and the respondent agree to enter into a settlement agreement to be approved by the Assistant Regional Director, upon approval by the Assistant Regional Director and compliance with the terms of the settlement agreement, no further action shall be taken in the case. In the event that the complainant fails or refuses to become a party to a settlement agreement offered by the respondent,

if the Assistant Regional Director in his discretion believes that the offered settlement will effectuate the policies of the order, the agreement shall be between the respondent and the Assistant Regional Director and the latter shall decline to issue a notice of hearing: Provided, however, That the complainant may obtain a review of the Assistant Regional Director's action by filing a request for review with the Assistant Secretary within ten (10) days of service on the complainant of the settlement agreement between the respondent and Assistant Regional Director. The request for review shall be filed in accordance with the procedures set forth in § 202.6 (d) of this chapter. If no request for review is filed or the Assistant Secretary sustains the Assistant Regional Director's action in the event of review, upon compliance with the terms of the settlement agreement, no further action shall be taken in the case.

17. Section 203.8 is revised to read as follows:

§ 203.8 Notice of hearing.

The Assistant Regional Director may cause a notice of hearing to be issued if he finds, based on the allegations and any evidence submitted by the parties, as well as the results of any additional independent investigation by the Area Director, that there is a reasonable basis for the complaint and that no written settlement agreement has been executed: Provided, however, That a determination by the Assistant Regional Director to issue a notice of hearing shall not be subject to review by the Assistant Secretary.

18. Section 203.9 is revised to read as follows:

§ 203.9 Contents of the notice of hearing; attachments.

(a) The notice of hearing shall include:

(1) A statement of the time and place of the hearing which shall be not less than ten (10) days after service of the notice of hearing, except in extraordinary circumstances;

(2) A statement of the nature of hearing;

(3) A statement of the authority and jurisdiction under which the hearing is to be held; and

(4) A reference to the particular sections of the order and regulations involved.

(b) Attached to the notice of hearing shall be a copy of the complaint.

(c) Any evidence submitted by the parties and the results of any additional independent investigation conducted by the Area Director, referred to in § 203.8 shall be furnished to the Administrative Law Judge; however, such materials will not be deemed as evidence, and any party wishing to rely upon anything contained therein must make an appropriate submission at the hearing.

19. Paragraph (a)(1) of § 203.18 is revised to read as follows:

§ 203.18 Motions.

(a) *Filing of motions.* (1) Motions made prior to a hearing and any response thereto shall be made in writing and filed with the Assistant Regional Director: *Provided, however,* That after the issuance of a notice of hearing by the Assistant Regional Director, any motion to postpone such hearing should be filed with the Chief Administrative Law Judge at least five (5) days prior to the opening of the scheduled hearing. Motions made after the hearing opens and prior to the transfer of the case to the Assistant Secretary shall be filed with the Administrative Law Judge. After the transfer of the case to the Assistant Secretary, motions and any responses thereto shall be made in writing to and filed with the Assistant Secretary: *Provided,* That a motion to correct the transcript shall be filed with the Administrative Law Judge; * * *

* * * * *

20. Section 205.1 is revised to read as follows:

§ 205.1 Who may file an application.

(a) An application for a decision by the Assistant Secretary concerning a question as to whether or not a grievance is on a matter for which a statutory appeal procedure exists may be filed by any party to an existing agreement or any employee or group of employees within the unit covered by that agreement.

(b) An application for a decision by the Assistant Secretary concerning other questions as to whether or not a grievance is on a matter subject to the grievance procedure in an existing agreement may be filed by any party to that agreement who has filed the grievance involved or any employee or group of employees within the unit covered by that agreement who has or have filed the grievance involved.

(c) Where a grievance does not concern questions as to the applicability of a statutory appeal procedure, an application for a decision as to whether the matter is subject to arbitration under an existing agreement may be filed only by the activity or agency which has filed the grievance or by the exclusive representative, which is party to the agreement, and which either has filed the grievance involved or is the exclusive representative of the unit employee grievant(s).

21. Section 205.2 is revised to read as follows:

§ 205.2 Action to be taken before filing an application.

(a) Where there is a dispute as to whether or not a grievance is on a matter for which a statutory appeal procedure exists, an application for a decision in this regard by the Assistant Secretary may be filed by any party to the agreement or any employee or group of employees within the unit covered by the agreement within sixty (60) days of a final rejection of the grievance on the grounds that a statutory appeal procedure exists: *Provided, however,* That such prescribed sixty (60) day period for filing an application shall not begin to run unless such rejection is expressly designated in writing as a final rejection.

(b) Where a grievance does not concern questions as to the applicability of a statutory appeal procedure, an application for a decision by the Assistant Secretary as to whether or not a grievance is on a matter subject to the grievance procedure in an existing agreement, or is subject to arbitration under that agreement, must be filed within sixty (60) days after service on the applicant of a written rejection of its grievance on the grounds that the matter is not subject to the grievance procedure in the existing agreement, or is not subject to arbitration under that agreement: *Provided, however,* That such prescribed sixty (60) day period for filing an application shall not begin to run unless such rejection is expressly designated in writing as a final rejection.

22. Subparagraph (a)(4) of § 205.3 is revised to read as follows:

§ 205.3 Contents of application and attachments.

(a) * * *

(4) A clear and concise statement of the unresolved question(s) as to whether or not a grievance is on a matter for which a statutory appeal procedure exits or whether or not a grievance is on a matter subject to the grievance procedure in an existing agreement, or is subject to arbitration under that agreement, including the applicable section(s) of the agreement, the alleged statutory appeal procedure involved, including all relevant sections of such appeal procedure, the date the grievance was filed and the date of service of the final written rejection of the grievance;

* * * * *

23. Subparagraph (a)(1) of § 205.6 is revised to read as follows:

§ 205.6 Action by Assistant Regional Director for Labor-Management Services; review of action.

(a) * * *

(1) Approve, or cause the Area Director to approve, a withdrawal request; * * *

* * * * *

24. Section 205.7 is revised to read as follows:

§ 205.7 Notice of hearing.

The Assistant Regional Director may cause a notice of hearing to be issued providing for a hearing before an Administrative Law Judge if he finds that relevant questions of fact exist concerning whether or not the grievance is on a matter subject to the grievance procedure in an existing agreement, or is subject to arbitration under that agreement: *Provided, however,* That a determination by the Assistant Regional Director to issue a notice of hearing shall not be subject to review by the Assistant Secretary.

25. Section 206.2 is revised to read as follows:

§ 206.2 Additional time after service by mail.

Whenever a party has the right or is required to do some act pursuant to these regulations within a prescribed period after service of a notice or other paper upon him and the notice of paper is served on him by mail, five (5) days shall be added to the prescribed period: *Provided, however*, That five (5) days shall not be added if any extension of time may have been granted.

26. Paragraph (a) of § 206.4 is revised to read as follows:

§ 206.4 Service of pleading and other papers under this chapter.

(a) *Method of service.* Notices of hearing, decisions, orders and papers may be served personally or by registered or certified mail or by telegraph. When service is by mail, the date of service shall be the day when the matter served is deposited in the United States mail.

* * * * *

27. A new section 206.7a is added to read as follows:

§ 206.7a Labor-Management Services Administration employees prohibited from producing files, records memoranda, etc.; prohibited from testifying in regard thereto.

No Assistant Regional Director, member of his staff, Area Director, Compliance Officer, or other employee of the Labor-Management Services Administration shall produce or present any files, documents, reports, memoranda, or records of the Labor-Management Services Administration or testify in behalf of any party to any cause pending under Executive Order 11491, as amended, with respect to any information, facts, or other matters coming to his knowledge in his official capacity or with respect to the contents of any files, documents, reports, memoranda, or records of the Labor-Management Services Administration without the written consent of the Assistant Secretary: *Provided, however*, That the above shall not be applicable to proceedings brought by the Director pursuant to Part 204 of these Regulations.

(Section 6, Executive Order 11491, 34 FR 17605, and Secretary's Order No. 11–72).

Signed at Washington, D.C. this 10th day of March 1975.

PAUL J. FASSER, Jr.,
Assistant Secretary of Labor for Labor-Management Relations.

[FR Doc.75-6596 Filed 3-12-75;8:45 am]

notices

This section of the FEDERAL REGISTER contains documents other than rules or proposed rules that are applicable to the public. Notices of hearings and investigations, committee meetings, agency decisions and rulings, delegations of authority, filing of petitions and applications and agency statements of organization and functions are examples of documents appearing in this section.

DEPARTMENT OF STATE

[CM-5/26]

SHIPPING COORDINATING COMMITTEE

Subcommittee on Safety of Life at Sea

The U.S. Subcommittee on Safety of Life at Sea working group on Ship Design and Equipment will conduct an open meeting at 10 a.m. on Thursday, April 3, 1975, in Room 8240 of the Department of Transportation, 400 Seventh Street, SW, Washington, D.C.

The purpose of this meeting is to discuss the agenda for the 14th Session of the Intergovernmental Maritime Consultative Organization (IMCO) Ship Design and Equipment Subcommittee relative to: (1) safety measures for special purpose ships, including offshore drilling units, training and research vessels and offshore supply vessels, (2) shipborne barges and barge carriers, (3) draft requirements for segregated ballast tankers under 150 meters in length, and (4) basic requirements for machinery installations.

Requests for further information on the meeting should be directed to Mr. Daniel F. Sheehan of the United States Coast Guard. He may be reached by telephone on (area code 202) 426-2205.

RICHARD K. BANK,
Chairman,
Shipping Coordinating Committee.

MARCH 5, 1975.

[FR Doc.75-6588 Filed 3-12-75;8:45 am]

[CM—5/27]

SHIPPING COORDINATING COMMITTEE

Subcommittee on Safety of Life at Sea

The U.S. Subcommittee on Safety of Life at Sea working group on Fire Protection will conduct an open meeting at 9:30 a.m. on Friday, April 4, 1975 in Room 8334 of the Department of Transportation, 400 Seventh Street, SW, Washington, D.C.

The purpose of the working group's meeting will be to discuss the agenda for the 17th Session of the Intergovernmental Maritime Consultative Organization (IMCO) Subcommittee on Fire Protection. Included in that agenda are: (1) fire test procedures, (2) fire protection requirements for special purpose ships, and (3) fire protection requirements for cargo vessels.

Requests for further information on the meeting should be directed to Mr. Daniel F. Sheehan of the United States Coast Guard. He may be reached by telephone on (area code 202) 426-2197.

RICHARD K. BANK,
Chairman,
Shipping Coordinating Committee.

MARCH 5, 1975.

[FR Doc.75-6589 Filed 3-12-75;8:45 am]

DEPARTMENT OF THE TREASURY

Bureau of Alcohol, Tobacco and Firearms

ACQUISITION, TRANSFER, RECEIPT, SHIPMENT OR POSSESSION OF FIREARMS

Notice of Granting of Relief

Notice is hereby given that pursuant to 18 U.S.C., section 925(c), the following named persons have been granted relief from disabilities imposed by Federal laws with respect to the acquisition, transfer, receipt, shipment, or possession of firearms incurred by reason of their convictions of crimes punishable by imprisonment for a term exceeding one year.

It has been established to my satisfaction that the circumstances regarding the convictions and each applicant's record and reputation are such that the applicants will not be likely to act in a manner dangerous to public safety, and that the granting of the relief will not be contrary to the public interest.

Batiste, Hilda L., 8436 Green Street, New Orleans, Louisiana, convicted on March 4, 1959, in the United States District Court, Eastern District, Louisiana.

Duke, George Coburn, 5109 A-3, New Hope Road, Raleigh, North Carolina, convicted on December 13, 1972, in the Wake County Superior Court, North Carolina.

Gieg, Gerald T., 28 Waters Avenue, Nashville, Tennessee, convicted on June 18, 1969, in the United States District Court, Middle District of Tennessee.

Hagood, Michael Stephen, 802 Brazos, Suite 1225, Austin, Texas, convicted on October 21, 1971, in the 167th Judicial District Court, Travis County, Texas.

Hammers, Cloid B., 2801 Clearwater Street, Los Angeles, California, convicted on February 17, 1934, in the District Court, Arapaho County, Colorado.

Lisk, Leard Evander, Jr., 915 Onslow Drive, Greensboro, North Carolina, convicted on July 1, 1936, in the Guilford County Superior Court, Greensboro, North Carolina; and on June 6, 1952, in the United States District Court, Middle District of North Carolina, Greensboro Division.

Lloyd, J. B. Sr., 10827 Ell Road, Houston, Texas, convicted on February 13, 1970, in the 182nd District Court of Harris County, Texas; and on October 15, 1971, in the 174th District Court of Harris County, Texas.

McLamb, Talbert Gerald, Route 2, Dunn, North Carolina, convicted on April 6, 1959, in the United States District Court, North Carolina.

Marshall, Edward, 527 W. Wabash, Eureka, California, convicted on October 25, 1954, in the Superior Court of Lake County, California; and on October 31, 1956, in the Circuit Court, Deschutes County, Oregon.

Mayfield, Bobby G., Route 1, Somerville, Tennessee, convicted on April 16, 1971, in the United States District Court, Western District of Tennessee.

Metzger, Richard B., 7901 Henry Avenue, Philadelphia, Pennsylvania, convicted on October 7, 1970, in the Montgomery County Court, Pennsylvania.

Milton, David L., 3184 Hostetter Road, San Jose, California, convicted on or about August 17, 1950, in the United States District Court, Northern District of California.

Pagano, Vincent R., 3333 South Alameda, 12-C, Corpus Christi, Texas, convicted on March 24, 1972, in the United States District Court for the Southern District of Texas, Corpus Christi, Division.

Peters, Orlando J., 814 Fulton Avenue, Vallejo, California, convicted on October 19, 1954, in the United States District Court, Northern District of California.

Pope, Newton Henry, Box 772, Eliasville, Texas, convicted on March 3, 1951, in the Stephens County District Court, Texas.

Scurlock, Harold E., 1320 Daphne, Broomfield, Colorado, convicted on June 18, 1969, in the District Court, Logan County, Colorado; on December 31, 1970, in the United States District Court for the District of Colorado; and on March 1, 1971, in the United States District Court for the District of Arizona.

Thompson, Donald F., Sr., 1545 Catherine Street, Williamsport, Pennsylvania, convicted on April 15, 1935, in the Lycoming County Court, Williamsport, Pennsylvania.

Willingham, Benny, 1253 South 27th Street, Philadelphia, Pennsylvania, convicted on June 7, 1948, and on June 30, 1950, in the United States District Court, Eastern District of Pennsylvania.

Woodall, Walter E., Jr., 615 Nolte Drive, Dallas, Texas, convicted on May 7, 1970, in the Criminal District Court of Dallas County, Texas.

Signed at Washington, D.C. this 3rd day of March 1975.

REX D. DAVIS,
Director, Bureau of Alcohol, Tobacco and Firearms.

[FR Doc.75-6510 Filed 3-12-75;8:45 am]

DEPARTMENT OF DEFENSE

Department of the Air Force

USAF SCIENTIFIC ADVISORY BOARD; COMMITTEE ON GAS TURBINE TECHNOLOGY

Closed Meeting

MARCH 6, 1975.

The Committee on Gas Turbine Technology of the USAF Scientific Advisory

Board will hold a closed meeting at Wright-Patterson Air Force Base, Ohio. The dates and times are as follows:

April 14, 1975, 8 a.m.–5 p.m.
April 15, 1975, 8 a.m.–12 p.m.

The meeting will be closed to the public. The agenda will consist of classified briefings on matters listed in 5 U.S.C. 552(b) (1) and (4) concerning the current and planned programs of the Air Force Aero Propulsion Laboratory.

For further information contact the Scientific Advisory Board Secretariat on 202–697–8845.

STANLEY L. ROBERTS,
Colonel, USAF Chief, Legislative Division, Office of The Judge Advocate General.

[FR Doc.75–6499 Filed 3–12–75;8:45 am]

Office of the Chief of Military History

ARMY HISTORICAL ADVISORY COMMITTEE

Notice of Meeting

Correction

In FR Doc. 75–6036, appearing on page 10698 in the issue for Friday, March 7, 1975, the heading should read as set forth above.

Office of the Secretary of Defense

DEFENSE SCIENCE BOARD TASK FORCE ON "NET TECHNICAL ASSESSMENT"

Notice of Cancelled Meeting

The meeting of the Defense Science Board Task Force Meeting that was scheduled for closed session on 1–2 April 1975 at the Defense Advanced Research Projects Agency, 1400 Wilson Boulevard, Arlington, Virginia 22209 has been cancelled because of conflicts of schedule among a number of the members.

MAURICE W. ROCHE,
Director, Correspondence and Directives, OASD (Comptroller).

MARCH 10, 1975.

[FR Doc.75–6599 Filed 3–12–75;8:45 am]

DEFENSE INTELLIGENCE AGENCY SCIENTIFIC ADVISORY COMMITTEE

Notice of Closed Meeting

Pursuant to the provisions of section 10 of Pub. L. 92–463, effective January 5, 1973, notice is herby given that a closed meeting of a Panel of the DIA Scientific Advisory Committee will be held at the Pentagon on: Wednesday, April 2, 1975.

The entire meeting commencing at 0900 hours is devoted to the discussion of classified information as defined in section 552(b)(1), Title 5 of the U.S. Code and therefore will be closed to the public. Subject matter is to continue work on a study of specialized intelligence data requirements and U.S. ability to meet these requirements.

MAURICE W. ROCHE,
Director, Correspondence and Directives OASD (Comptroller).

MARCH 10, 1975.

[FR Doc.75–6647 Filed 3–12–75;8:45 am]

DEPARTMENT OF THE INTERIOR

Bureau of Indian Affairs

RED LAKE BAND OF CHIPPEWA INDIANS

Plan for the Use and Distribution of Judgment Funds Awarded in Docket 189 Before the Indian Claims Commission

MARCH 6, 1975.

This notice is published in exercise of authority delegated by the Secretary of the Interior to the Commissioner of Indian Affairs by 230 DM 2.

The Act of October 19, 1973 (Pub. L. 93–134, 87 Stat. 466), requires that a plan be prepared and submitted to Congress for the use and distribution of funds appropriated to pay a judgment of the Indian Claims Commission or Court of Claims to any Indian tribe. Funds were appropriated by the Act of January 3, 1974 (87 Stat. 1071), in satisfaction of an award granted to the Red Lake Band of Chippewa Indians in Indian Claims Commission Docket 189. The plan for the use and distribution of the funds was submitted to the Congress with a letter dated September 10, 1974, and was received by the House of Representatives on September 16, 1974, and by the Senate on September 30, 1974. Neither House of Congress having adopted a resolution disapproving it, the plan was approved on February 2, 1975, to become effective on February 3, 1975, as provided by Section 5 of the 1973 act, supra.

The plan reads as follows:

The funds appropriated by the Act of January 3, 1974 (87 Stat. 1071), in satisfaction of an award to the Red Lake Band of Chippewa Indians in Docket 189 before the Indian Claims Commission, including all interest accrued, less attorney fees and litigation expenses, shall be used and distributed as herein provided:

The Secretary of the Interior (hereinafter "Secretary") shall make a per capita distribution of eighty (80) percent of the judgment fund principal, and its accrued interest, in a sum as equal as possible to each member of the Red Lake Band of Chippewas, and to any person found by the Secretary to be eligible for membership in the band, who was born on or prior to and is living on the approval date of this plan. The Secretary, in arranging for the per capita payments to be made, shall withhold sufficient shares for individuals whose entitlement to membership may be in question. These shares shall be held at interest in separate Individual Indian Money account pending determination of enrollment appeals. As soon as possible after the approval date of this plan, the Red Lake Band of Chippewas shall post or cause to be posted copies of the proposed tribal membership roll for a period of thirty (30) days, during which time any person may appeal the inclusion or omission of any name on or from the roll. Appeals shall be handled in accordance with procedures established by th Red Lake Tribal Council and approved by the Secretary. The amount of any shares determined not payable on the basis of enrollment appeals that are denied may be used for any purposes that are authorized by the tribal governing body and approved by the Secretary. Should any unclaimed or undeliverable per capita shares revert to the Red Lake Band of Chippewas pursuant to the Act of September 22, 1961 (75 Stat. 584), funds representing such shares shall be made available for general tribal purposes.

The portion per capita belonging to minors, legal incompetents, and deceased persons will be placed in custodial savings accounts or Individual Indian Money accounts, as determined appropriate by the Secretary, or disposed of in accordance with Departmental regulations governing estates (43 CFR 4.200–4.297), whichever is applicable.

The programing aspects of the plan for the Red Lake Band of Chippewas consist of utilizing twenty (20) percent of the judgment funds, together with interest thereon, as follows:

A sum equal to approximately 20.2 percent of the programed funds shall be used to augment an existing Tribal Scholarship/Incentive program to benefit all students who are enrolled members of the Band, regardless of where their schools are located.

A sum equal to approximately 28.9 percent of the programed funds shall be used to augment an existing Tribal Credit program.

A sum equal to approximately 28.9 percent of the programed funds shall be used to augment an existing Tribal Industrial Development program, and to provide not more than $20,000 to be used as required and authorized by the Tribal Council for emergency purposes as designated in an existing approved Tribal Emergency Disaster Plan, which includes forest fire; structural fire; civil disturbances; severe storms; environmental disaster; and domestic health and welfare crisis. As funds are needed for each program expenditure, they shall be budgeted in specific amounts for use by the Band.

A sum equal to approximately 14.5 percent of the programed funds shall be used to augment an existing Tribal Burial Allowance to provide not to exceed $150.00 for application on the funeral expenses for any decedent who was a tribal member. The Burial Allowance fund may also be used for the maintenance of local cemeteries and to provide matching funds for the construction of a mausoleum.

A sum equal to approximately 7.5 percent of the programed funds shall be used to augment existing tribal programs for juveniles and the elderly. Such fund shall be supplemented annually by the addition of the income earned by investment of other programed funds.

The funds to be set aside for programming purposes shall be deposited in separate accounts at interest.

MORRIS THOMPSON,
Commissioner of Indian Affairs.

[FR Doc.75–6571 Filed 3–12–75;8:45 am]

Bureau of Land Management

[Colorado 22390]

WESTERN SLOPE GAS CO.

Notice of Pipeline Application

MARCH 5, 1975.

Notice is hereby given that, pursuant to section 28 of the Mineral Leasing Act of 1920 (41 Stat. 449), as amended (30 U.S.C. 185), Western Slope Gas Company, P.O. Box 840, Denver, Colorado 80201, has applied for a right of way for five (5) 5-inch natural gas gathering

pipelines totaling approximately 20,000 feet in length across the following lands:

SIXTH PRINCIPAL MERIDIAN, COLORADO

T. 6 S., R. 103 W.,
Sec. 31;
T. 7 S., R. 103 W.,
Secs. 6, 8, 9;
T. 6 S., R. 104 W.,
Sec. 36;
T. 7 S., R. 104 W.,
Sec. 1 in Garfield County, Colo.

The facility will enable applicant to operate and maintain the five natural gas gathering pipelines to connect natural gas wells to applicant's Carbonera natural gas field gathering system. The primary purpose of the proposed pipelines is to enable applicant to convey natural gas to the West Douglas to Grand Junction transmission line. This will help applicant to meet the increasing demands for adequate supplies of natural gas in the Grand Junction, Colorado, market area.

The purposes of this notice are: To inform the public that the Bureau of Land Management will be proceeding with the preparation of environmental and other analyses necessary for determining whether the application should be approved and, if so, under what terms and conditions; to allow interested parties to comment on the application, and to allow any persons asserting a claim to the lands or having bona fide objections to the proposed natural gas gathering pipeline right of way to file their objections in this office. Any person asserting a claim to the lands or having bona fide objections must include evidence that a copy thereof has been served on the applicant.

Any comment, claim, or objections must be filed with the Chief, Branch of Land Operations, Bureau of Land Management, Colorado State Office, Room 700, Colorado State Bank Building, 1600 Broadway, Denver, Colorado 80202, as promptly as possible after publication of this notice.

EVERETT K. WEEDIN,
Chief, Branch of Land Operations.

[FR Doc.75-6567 Filed 3-12-75;8:45 am]

[Utah 23166]

UTAH

Proposed Withdrawal and Reservation of Lands

MARCH 3, 1975.

The Bureau of Land Management, U.S. Department of the Interior, has filed an application, serial No. Utah 23166, for the withdrawal of lands described below, from all forms of appropriation under the public land laws, including the mining laws, and from surface use and occupancy under the mineral leasing laws, including, seismographic exploration; but not from leasing under the mineral leasing laws with appropriate stringent protective stipulations, or the granting of other leases, licenses, or permits, the approval of which is discretionary, pursuant to authority of Executive Order 10355.

The applicant desires the land for the protection of recreational purposes of the Green River Corridor. The withdrawal will be to protect the natural beauty and outdoor recreation qualities from activities which could damage, impair or otherwise make the corridor unsuitable for general public enjoyment. The lands have high value for outdoor recreation, fisheries management and wildlife habitat, and possess unusual scenic beauty. Some of the activities include boating, fishing, hunting, hiking and sightseeing. The corridor would vary from a quarter mile to one mile in width. The proposed withdrawal borders a section of the Green River which is under interagency study for designation under the Wild and Scenic Rivers Act. An estimated 30,000 visitor days a year are spent along this stretch of the Green River.

On or before April 28, 1975, all persons who wish to submit comments, suggestions, or objections, in connection with the proposed withdrawal, may present their views in writing to the Utah State Director, Bureau of Land Management, Department of the Interior, Post Office Box 11505, Salt Lake City, Utah 84111.

If circumstances warrant, a public hearing will be held at a convenient time and place, which will be announced.

The determination of the Secretary on the application will be published in the FEDERAL REGISTER. A separate notice will be sent to each interested party of record.

The lands involved in the application are:

SALT LAKE MERIDIAN, UTAH

DAGGETT COUNTY

T. 2 N., R. 23 E.,
Sec. 13, lots 10 to 15 inclusive, N½SW¼;
Sec. 14, lots 9 to 12 inclusive, N½S½, SW¼SW¼;
Sec. 15, lots 10, 11, and 12, SE¼SE¼.
T. 2 N., R. 24 E.,
Sec. 13, lot 2;
Sec. 18, lots 16 and 17;
Sec. 19, lots 3, 4, 12, 14, 15, 18, 19, 22, 24, 25, 26;
Sec. 20, lots 1, 4, 6, 7, 8, 9, 10, NE¼SW¼, NW¼SE¼;
Sec. 21, lots 1 to 6 inclusive;
Sec. 22, lots 11 to 21 inclusive, NE¼NE¼, SE¼SW¼, NE¼SE¼;
Sec. 23, lots 10 to 18 inclusive, NW¼SW¼;
Sec. 24, lots 6, 19, 20, 21, 22, 24, 25, 26;
Sec. 25, lots 9, 10, 14, 15;
Sec. 28, lots 1 to 5 inclusive, NE¼NE¼, S½NW¼;
Sec. 29, lot 1, SE¼NE¼, NW¼NE¼, N½NW¼;
Sec. 30, N½NE¼.
T. 2 N., R. 25 E.,
Sec. 18, SW¼SW¼;
Sec. 19, lots 3 and 4, NW¼NW¼;
Sec. 30, lots 6 and 7, E½SE¼;
Sec. 31, lots 1, 3, 6, 8, 9, 10, 11, 12, 13, 14, NE¼NE¼, SE¼NW¼, SW¼SE¼.
T. 1 N., R. 25 E.,
Sec. 3, lots 13 to 17 inclusive, SE¼NE¼, SW¼SW¼;
Sec. 4, SW¼SW¼;
Sec. 5, lot 5, NW¼SW¼, SE¼SE¼;
Sec. 6, lots 1 to 12 inclusive, SW¼NE¼, W½SE¼;
Sec. 7, lots 1 and 2, NW¼NE¼, N½S½ NE¼;
Sec. 8, lots 1 to 8 inclusive, N½S½N½;
Sec. 9, lots 10 to 16 inclusive, N½S½NW¼; N½ of lots 17 and 18;
Sec. 10, lots 4 to 6 inclusive, N½ of lots 7 and 8;
Sec. 11, lots 10 to 14 inclusive, W½SE¼.

The areas described aggregate 4,999.62 acres.

PAUL L. HOWARD,
State Director.

[FR Doc.75-6568 Filed 3-12-75;8:45 am]

[Wyoming 49762]

WYOMING

Notice of Application

MARCH 5, 1975.

Notice is hereby given that, pursuant to section 28 of the Mineral Leasing Act of 1920, as amended (30 U.S.C. 185), Northern Utilities, Inc. has applied for a natural gas pipeline right-of-way across the following lands:

SIXTH PRINCIPAL MERIDIAN, WYOMING

T. 33 N., R. 93 W.,
Secs. 17 and 18.
T. 32 N., R. 94 W.,
Sec. 6.
T. 33 N., R. 94 W.,
Secs. 13, 23, 27, 28, 32, and 33.
T. 32 N., R. 95 W.,
Secs. 1, 2, 10, and 11.

The pipeline will convey natural gas from the Sand Draw Field to Casper, Wyoming in Natrona County.

The purpose of this notice is to inform the public that the Bureau will be proceeding with consideration of whether the application should be approved and, if so, under what terms and conditions.

Interested persons desiring to express their views should send their name and address to the District Manager, Bureau of Land Management, P.O. Box 670, Rawlins, WY 82301.

PHILIP C. HAMILTON,
Chief, Branch of Lands and Minerals Operations.

[FR Doc.75-6569 Filed 3-12-75;8:45 am]

[Wyoming 49766]

WYOMING

Notice of Application

MARCH 6, 1975.

Notice is hereby given that, pursuant to section 28 of the Mineral Leasing Act of 1920, as amended (30 U.S.C. 185), Colorado Interstate Corporation has applied for a right-of-way for cathodic protection facilities on the following land:

SIXTH PRINCIPAL MERIDIAN, WYOMING

T. 21 N., R. 84 W.,
Sec. 34, SE¼NW¼.

These facilities will be installed to minimize corrosion on the applicants R-S Wyo—92 and R-S Wyo II, Loop 12 natural gas pipelines in Carbon County, Wyoming.

The purpose of this notice is to inform the public that the Bureau will be proceeding with consideration of whether the application should be approved and, if so, under what terms and conditions.

Interested persons desiring to express their views should send their name and address to the District Manager, Bureau of Land Management, P.O. Box 670, Rawlins, WY 82301.

PHILIP C. HAMILTON,
Chief, Branch of Lands and Minerals Operations.

[FR Doc.75-6570 Filed 3-12-75;8:45 am]

Fish and Wildlife Service
ENDANGERED SPECIES PERMIT
Receipt of Application

Notice is hereby given that the following application for a permit is deemed to have been received under section 10 of the Endangered Species Act of 1973 (Pub. L. 93-205).

Applicant:
National Zoological Park
Smithsonian Institution
Washington, D.C. 20009
Dr. Theodore H. Reed, Director

OMB NO. 42-R1675

DEPARTMENT OF THE INTERIOR
U.S. FISH AND WILDLIFE SERVICE

FEDERAL FISH AND WILDLIFE LICENSE/PERMIT APPLICATION

1. APPLICATION FOR (Indicate only one)
☐ IMPORT OR EXPORT LICENSE ☒ PERMIT

2. BRIEF DESCRIPTION OF ACTIVITY FOR WHICH REQUESTED LICENSE OR PERMIT IS NEEDED.
Importation of two pairs (2.2) of Maned Wolves (endangered species) for captive propagation and research program in reproduction and social behavior.

3. APPLICANT. (Name, complete address and phone number of individual, business, agency, or institution for which permit is requested)
Dr. Theodore H. Reed, Director
National Zpological Park
Smithsonian Institution
Washington, D. C. 20009
(202) 381-7221

4. IF "APPLICANT" IS AN INDIVIDUAL, COMPLETE THE FOLLOWING:
☐ MR. ☐ MRS. ☐ MISS ☐ MS.
HEIGHT | WEIGHT
DATE OF BIRTH | COLOR HAIR | COLOR EYES
PHONE NUMBER WHERE EMPLOYED | SOCIAL SECURITY NUMBER
OCCUPATION

5. IF "APPLICANT" IS A BUSINESS, CORPORATION, PUBLIC AGENCY, OR INSTITUTION, COMPLETE THE FOLLOWING:
EXPLAIN TYPE OR KIND OF BUSINESS, AGENCY, OR INSTITUTION
The National Zoological Park, a bureau of the Smithsonian Institution is a Federal Agency created by Act of Congress for research, conservation, education and recreation purposes.

ANY BUSINESS, AGENCY, OR INSTITUTIONAL AFFILIATION HAVING TO DO WITH THE WILDLIFE TO BE COVERED BY THIS LICENSE/PERMIT

NAME, TITLE, AND PHONE NUMBER OF PRESIDENT, PRINCIPAL OFFICER, DIRECTOR, ETC.
Dr. Theodore H. Reed (202) 381-7221
IF "APPLICANT" IS A CORPORATION, INDICATE STATE IN WHICH INCORPORATED

6. LOCATION WHERE PROPOSED ACTIVITY IS TO BE CONDUCTED
Import one pair (1.1) of Maned Wolves from Ravensden Zoological Company, Ltd., Rushden, England, to Washington, D. C. (National Zoological Park).
See {new letter of 2/13/75 - attached -

7. DO YOU HOLD ANY CURRENTLY VALID FEDERAL FISH AND WILDLIFE LICENSE OR PERMIT? ☒ YES ☐ NO
(If yes, list license or permit numbers)
a) PRT-8-35-S; b) ES 429;
c) PRT-5-3-X; d) 5-SC-564.

8. IF REQUIRED BY ANY STATE OR FOREIGN GOVERNMENT, DO YOU HAVE THEIR APPROVAL TO CONDUCT THE ACTIVITY YOU PROPOSE? ☐ YES ☐ NO
(If yes, list jurisdictions and type of document(s))
Not required.

9. CERTIFIED CHECK OR MONEY ORDER (if applicable) PAYABLE TO THE U.S. FISH AND WILDLIFE SERVICE ENCLOSED IN AMOUNT OF
$ Not applicable.

10. DESIRED EFFECTIVE DATE
March 15, 1975

11. DURATION NEEDED
6 months

12. ATTACHMENTS. THE SPECIFIC INFORMATION REQUIRED FOR THE TYPE OF LICENSE/PERMIT REQUESTED (See 50 CFR 13.12(a)) MUST BE ATTACHED, IT CONSTITUTES AN INTEGRAL PART OF THIS APPLICATION. LIST SECTIONS OF 50 CFR UNDER WHICH ATTACHMENTS ARE PROVIDED.
50 CFR 17.23 (a) (1-7)

CERTIFICATION

I HEREBY CERTIFY THAT I HAVE READ AND AM FAMILIAR WITH THE REGULATIONS CONTAINED IN TITLE 50, PART 13, OF THE CODE OF FEDERAL REGULATIONS AND THE OTHER APPLICABLE PARTS IN SUBCHAPTER B OF CHAPTER I OF TITLE 50, AND I FURTHER CERTIFY THAT THE INFORMATION SUBMITTED IN THIS APPLICATION FOR A LICENSE/PERMIT IS COMPLETE AND ACCURATE TO THE BEST OF MY KNOWLEDGE AND BELIEF. I UNDERSTAND THAT ANY FALSE STATEMENT HEREIN MAY SUBJECT ME TO THE CRIMINAL PENALTIES OF 18 U.S.C. 1001.

SIGNATURE (In ink) T. H. Reed — DATE 5 Feb '75

3-200 (8-74)

T. H. Reed

Information required under 50 CFR 17, Endangered Wildlife; Subpart C, Endangered Wildlife Importation Permits; Paragraph 17.23, Zoological, educational, scientific and propagation permits, follows:

17.23(a)(1) *Species, number, age, and sex of animals.* Maned Wolf, *Chrysocyon brachyurus*, two males, two females, preferably young adults.

17.23(a)(2) *Contract.* See enclosure 17.23(a)(2).

17.23(a)(3) *Justification.* See enclosure 17.23(a)(3).

Enclosed is the full grant proposal to the Smithsonian Research Foundation, Washington, D.C., detailing the aims and methods of the research to be conducted using Maned Wolves, Bush Dogs (*Speothos venaticus*), and Crab-eating Foxes (*Cerdocyon thous*). The application has been funded. The budget for FY 1975 is $26,000, the majority of which is covering the cost of developing a facility for the research and breeding program at the Conservation and Research Center, National Zoological Park, Front Royal, Virginia. The National Zoological Park is providing the remaining funds for the development of the canid facility. The National Zoological Park intends to propagate Maned Wolves indefinitely at the completion of the 3-year research grant and to make surplus specimens available to other zoological, educational, and scientific institutions.

Maned Wolves have been maintained in numerous zoological gardens with relatively poor breeding success. They are an important canid species due to their unusual adaptations, including being one of the few solitary species of the family. They are in demand for exhibition and educational purposes in zoos, and the development of a long-term successful breeding program is urgently needed before specimens that are currently being maintained in captivity disappear. The proposed study will not only contribute valuable data on social tolerance, reproductive cycles, growth and parental care, but will also develop improved management procedures for the propagation of this species.

17.23(a)(4) The specimens will be housed at the Conservation and Research Center, National Zoological Park, Front Royal, Virginia. They will not be on public exhibition.

17.23(a)(5) The pair (1.1) currently available from Ravensden Zoological Company Ltd. have been removed from the wild (Paraguay). We are currently attempting to obtain the additional specimens from Zoological parks in Brazil.

17.23(a)(6) All Zoos which had bred or might have had surplus Maned Wolves were contacted for surplus specimens by phone or by correspondence. These include Lincoln Park Zoo, Chicago; Los Angeles Zoo; Oklahoma City Zoo; Prague Zoo; East Berlin Zoo; Köln Zoo; Frankfurt Zoo; West Berlin Zoo; Antwerp Zoo; and Krefeld Zoo (copies of the correspondence are available on request). No animals were available from these sources except for a single animal at Antwerp which was beyond breeding age. All Brazilian Zoos currently maintaining Maned Wolves have been contacted. As yet, there have been no replies concerning the availability of specimens. We are still attempting to obtain Maned Wolves from this source.

17.23(a)(7)(i) *Facilities Description.* Enclosure 17.23(a)(7)(i). Enclosed are the plans for the breeding and encounter facility at Front Royal, Virginia, which is currently under construction. Maned Wolf dens will be 11.6 x 14 feet with a concrete floor and shelf for resting. Outdoor enclosures measure a minimum of 28 x 150 feet per animal. Individuals will be visually isolated from each other both inside and outdoors and will be introduced on a regular schedule (see Enclosure 17.23(a)(3)). During breeding, pairs will have access to two full enclosures. Additional denning facilities are available in the Encounter Building.

17.23(a)(7)(ii) *Technical Expertise.* Drs. Devra Kleiman and John F. Eisenberg, the two principal investigators, both have extensive experience in comparative mammalian behavior and reproduction. Dr. Kleiman worked for more than three years with several species of wild canids, including Maned Wolves, Bush Dogs, Wolves,

Coyotes, and Bat-eared Foxes. She has also developed successful breeding programs for Bengal tigers, insectivorous and frugivorous, bats, Golden Lion Marmosets, and several species of rare caviomorph rodents.

Dr. Eisenberg has conducted both field and captive studies of behavior and reproduction in numerous mammalian groups, including several that are rare and/or endangered, e.g., the Asiatic elephant, Madagascan tenrecs, caviomorph rodents, Ceylon leopard, etc. Copies of Drs. Kleiman and Eisenberg's resumés are enclosed (Enclosure 17, 23(a)(7)(ii).

Dr. Christen Wemmer, Curator at the Conservation and Research Center, will collaborate on the project. He has considerable experience in the reproduction and behavior in captivity of numerous carnivores, including Polar Bears, genets, meercats, and bat-eared foxes.

Drs. Clinton Gray and R. Mitchell Bush both nationally-known zoo veterinarians will supervise the medical care for these animals, in the form of routine pathological checks and vaccinations. Where possible, they will determine the basic physiological norms for species. The Office of Health and Pathology has an equipped clinical staff and collaborates with several other institutions, including Johns Hopkins University and George Washington University.

17.23(a)(7)(iii) The National Zoological Park is willing to participate in any cooperative breeding program and to maintain or contribute data to the Maned Wolf Studbook.

17.23(a)(7)(iv) The Maned Wolves will be transported individually by commercial airline in wooden crates measuring 48" long x 30" high x 24" wide, lined with metal and with a guillotine door at one end and a barred door at the other. The crates are reinforced with metal bands and ventilated. Food, water, and bedding will be available.

Upon arrival in Washington, D.C., the specimens will be quarantined for 4 weeks in the quarantine facilities of the Office of Health and Pathology, National Zoological Park. Transfer to the facilities described in 17.23(a)(7)(i) will be carried out after the quarantine period.

NATIONAL ZOOLOGICAL PARK

Smithsonian Institution, Washington, D.C. 20009

FEBRUARY 13, 1975.

Mr. A. EUGENE HESTER,
Division of Law Enforcement,
Department of the Interior,
Fifth Floor, 1612 K Street NW.,
Washington, D.C.

DEAR MR. HESTER: Last week, the National Zoological Park submitted a request to import Maned Wolves for a scientific study and propagation at our Research and Conservation Center in Front Royal, Virginia. In that letter we stated that we were ordering animals from Revensden Farm, an animal dealer in England. The animals they have are from Paraguay. We also stated that we were hopeful of getting an additional pair of captive Maned Wolves from some Brazilian zoo.

Subsequent to the submission of our import application we have received communication from the Sao Paulo Zoo in Brazil that they have a captive pair of Maned Wolves which they will make available to the National Zoological Park for the purpose of behavioral studies and propagation. These animals were legally acquired under the laws of Brazil by the Sao Paulo Zoo.

We also have indications from the Brazilian officials of the IBDF that there should be no difficulty in obtaining a Brazilian export license for these animals.

They have indicated further that another municipally owned public Brazilian Zoo is willing to supply the National Zoological Park program with a backup pair of Maned Wolves if any problem develops with the acquisition of the first two mentioned pairs.

We are greatly encouraged by the cooperativeness of our Brazilian Zoo colleagues in establishing a meaningful behavioral and propagation study of these remarkable animals.

Please attach this letter to our original application.

Sincerely yours,

THEODORE H. REED, D.V.M.,
Director.

Documents and other information submitted in connection with this application are available for public inspection during normal business hours at the Service's office in Suite 600, 1612 K Street NW, Washington, D.C.

Interested persons may comment on this application by submitting written data, views, or arguments, preferably in triplicate, to the Director (FWS/LE), Fish and Wildlife Service, Post Office Box 19183, Washington, D.C. 20036. All relevant comments received on or before April 14, 1975 will be considered.

Dated: March 7, 1975.

MARSHALL L. STINNETT,
Acting Chief, Division of Law Enforcement, U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service.

[FR Doc.75-6392 Filed 3-12-75;8:45 am]

ENDANGERED SPECIES PERMIT

Receipt of Application

Notice is hereby given that the following application for a permit is deemed to have been received under section 10 of the Endangered Species Act of 1973 (Pub. L. 93-205).

Applicant:
Patuxent Wildlife Research Center
U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service
Laurel, Maryland 20811
Harry M. Ohlendorf, Acting Director

OMB NO. 42-R1470

DEPARTMENT OF THE INTERIOR
U.S. FISH AND WILDLIFE SERVICE

FEDERAL FISH AND WILDLIFE LICENSE/PERMIT APPLICATION

1. APPLICATION FOR (Indicate only one)
☐ IMPORT OR EXPORT LICENSE ☒ PERMIT

2. BRIEF DESCRIPTION OF ACTIVITY FOR WHICH REQUESTED LICENSE OR PERMIT IS NEEDED:
To receive and analyze blood samples only of up to 10 owl parrots =KAKAPO= (Strigops habroptilus; endangered species) to determine sex of the birds, as requested by the New Zealand Department of Internal Affairs (see attached letter).

3. APPLICANT: (Name, complete address and phone number of individual, business, agency, or institution for which permit is requested)
Patuxent Wildlife Research Center
U. S. Fish & Wildlife Service
Laurel, Maryland 20811

4. IF "APPLICANT" IS AN INDIVIDUAL, COMPLETE THE FOLLOWING:
☐ MR. ☐ MRS. ☐ MISS ☐ MS.
HEIGHT: WEIGHT:
DATE OF BIRTH: COLOR HAIR: COLOR EYES:
PHONE NUMBER WHERE EMPLOYED: SOCIAL SECURITY NUMBER:
OCCUPATION:

5. IF "APPLICANT" IS A BUSINESS, CORPORATION, PUBLIC AGENCY, OR INSTITUTION, COMPLETE THE FOLLOWING:
EXPLAIN TYPE OR KIND OF BUSINESS, AGENCY, OR INSTITUTION:
Wildlife research center of the U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service - studies of effects of environmental pollutants endangered species, and animal depredations control.

ANY BUSINESS, AGENCY, OR INSTITUTIONAL AFFILIATION HAVING TO DO WITH THE WILDLIFE TO BE COVERED BY THIS LICENSE/PERMIT:

NAME, TITLE, AND PHONE NUMBER OF PRESIDENT, PRINCIPAL OFFICER, DIRECTOR, ETC.: Lucille F. Stickel
Director, PWRC, 776-4880
IF "APPLICANT" IS A CORPORATION, INDICATE STATE IN WHICH INCORPORATED:

6. LOCATION WHERE PROPOSED ACTIVITY IS TO BE CONDUCTED:
Patuxent Wildlife Research Center
Laurel, Maryland, after shipment from New Zealand

7. DO YOU HOLD ANY CURRENTLY VALID FEDERAL FISH AND WILDLIFE LICENSE OR PERMIT? ☒ YES ☐ NO
(If yes, list license or permit numbers)
5-SC-590

8. IF REQUIRED BY ANY STATE OR FOREIGN GOVERNMENT, DO YOU HAVE THEIR APPROVAL TO CONDUCT THE ACTIVITY YOU PROPOSE? ☐ YES ☒ NO
(If yes, list jurisdictions and type of document): Insofar as I am aware, it appears that Dr. Merton's letter from the Secretary, Department of Internal Affairs, N.Z. constitutes authority.

9. CERTIFIED CHECK OR MONEY ORDER (if required) PAYABLE TO THE U.S. FISH AND WILDLIFE SERVICE ENCLOSED IN AMOUNT OF:
$ N/A

10. DESIRED EFFECTIVE DATE: Late February or ASAP

11. DURATION NEEDED: 1 year

12. ATTACHMENTS: THE SPECIFIC INFORMATION REQUIRED FOR THE TYPE OF LICENSE/PERMIT REQUESTED (See 50 CFR 13.12(b)) MUST BE ATTACHED. IT CONSTITUTES AN INTEGRAL PART OF THIS APPLICATION. LIST SECTIONS OF 50 CFR UNDER WHICH ATTACHMENTS ARE PROVIDED:
17.23

CERTIFICATION

I HEREBY CERTIFY THAT I HAVE READ AND AM FAMILIAR WITH THE REGULATIONS CONTAINED IN TITLE 50, PART 13, OF THE CODE OF FEDERAL REGULATIONS AND THE OTHER APPLICABLE PARTS IN SUBCHAPTER B OF CHAPTER I OF TITLE 50, AND I FURTHER CERTIFY THAT THE INFORMATION SUBMITTED IN THIS APPLICATION FOR A LICENSE/PERMIT IS COMPLETE AND ACCURATE TO THE BEST OF MY KNOWLEDGE AND BELIEF. I UNDERSTAND THAT ANY FALSE STATEMENT HEREIN MAY SUBJECT ME TO THE CRIMINAL PENALTIES OF 18 U.S.C. 1001.

SIGNATURE (In ink): Harry M. Ohlendorf, Acting Director
DATE: 2/7/75

Harry M. Ohlendorf

9-200 (8/74)

ATTACHMENT

(1) Common and scientific name: Owl parrot (*Strigops habroptilus*). Number: Blood samples only from up to 10 individuals. Age and Sex: Unknown.

(2) Copy of contract or agreement: Attached.

(3) Justification: Dr. Michael P. Dieter, a physiologist on our staff, has developed a technique for sex determination of birds on the basis of radioimmunoassay of steroid hormones. His assistance has been requested by the government of New Zealand so biologists of the Wildlife Service of New Zealand can determine the sex of several birds that they wish to relocate. The proposed relocation is considered essential by the New Zealand biologists to place the owl parrots in an environment more favorable for their survival. They currently are being adversely affected by introduced mammals, which do not occur on the island proposed as the release site. Further details are found in Dr. Merton's letter (attached). Removal of blood samples needed for this analysis are not of a sufficiently large volume (5 ml.) that they would constitute a threat to the health of the birds, which normally weigh 1500 grams. There is not anticipated to be any adverse effect on the wild population of parrots. Blood samples of similar volume have routinely been taken from birds much smaller than the owl parrot. The purpose for which the permit is being requested would likely reduce the severity of the threat of extinction facing the subject species because the New Zealand biologists would be able to relocate birds of known sex to a more favorable environment.

DEPARTMENT OF INTERNAL AFFAIRS,
January 15, 1975.

MICHAEL P. DIETER,
Patuxent Wildlife Research Centre,
Bureau of Sport, Fisheries & Wildlife,
Fish and Wildlife Service,
United States Department of the Interior,
Laurel, Maryland 20810,
U.S.A.

DEAR DR. DIETER: New Zealand's flightless kakapo (sometimes referred to as the night parrot or owl parrot) is on the verge of extinction. The kakapo, the very odd, sole survivor of an endemic subfamily was widespread in the South Island of New Zealand seventy years ago but its numbers declined rapidly.

A very few specimens—probably less than 12—still exist and their apparent sole remaining habitat is the isolated Milford watershed in the Fiordland National Park.

Possums, deer and chamois, whose influence appears to be intolerable to kakapo, are now colonising even this final remnant of their original habitat and the species is declining there so that it is in imminent danger of extinction. The Department is making a last ditch effort to preserve the species by capturing three pairs (the maximum number which the Fiordland National Park Board will allow to be removed from the park) and relocating them on an island where most alien influences can be excluded. So far two birds (sex not yet determined) have been transferred to this island. In December 1974 five more kakapo were located in Fiordland during a "search and find" expedition. These birds are still at liberty pending determination of their sex. When sexed sufficient birds will be transferred to the Marlborough Sounds island selected for the purpose to make up three pairs on the island.

The saving of the kakapo has been accorded highest among wildlife projects by the New Zealand Government. The project is being carried out by the Wildlife Service of New Zealand, a Government Agency.

It is imperative that we are able to differentiate between sexes so that the birds to be transferred are in fact pairs. Kakapo are not sexually dimorphic and we are unable to sex the birds with any degree of certainty. We are therefore dependent on you, who has developed a technique of sexing such birds by steroid hormones in a centrifugal column, to perform a vital part of the operation, that is, to differentiate between the sexes so that pairs may be translocated.

The kakapo will not be killed or harmed in any way, indeed our object is to keep them alive at all costs.

I would stress that the kakapo is desperately near extinction, that when opossums and deer move into the survivors' sole Fiordland habitat, as they will despite our efforts to prevent them, these few birds would die if not transferred as their established trackways, an essential part of their life pattern, will be broken up and the opposum in particular will compete successfully for their food. The almost flightless, ground nesting kakapo is extremely vulnerable to these influences. I cannot emphasize too strongly that the sexes of the survivors located must be determined and that, not having the expertise or technical know-how in New Zealand to do this, the success of the rescue operation is greatly dependent upon your assistance in the field of sex determination.

Yours sincerely,

D. V. MERTON,
for Secretary for Internal Affairs.

FEBRUARY 7, 1975.

To: Director, U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service.
From: Acting Director, Patuxent Wildlife Research Center.
Subject: Endangered Species Permit.
Attention: Division of Law Enforcement.

Dr. Donald V. Merton of the New Zealand Department of Internal Affairs has requested our assistance in determining the sex of several owl parrots (*Strigops habroptilus*) that his Department wishes to relocate. The relocation project appears to be a valid means to enhance the status of this endangered species.

Determination of sex would be based on radioimmunoassay of steroid hormones in blood taken from the birds. Our request is that we be permitted to receive the blood specimens and perform the analyses as a service to the government of New Zealand. Blood specimens would be collected by Dr. Merton and his staff. They would be shipped by air express to the Patuxent Wildlife Research Center. Dr. Merton has indicated that they wish to move the birds to another more remote island as soon as feasible.

We have contacted the USDA Animal and Plant Health Inspection Service (Dr. Hook; 436-8017) to inquire about restrictions on importation of parrot blood. Dr. Hook indicated that no difficulties were anticipated because New Zealand is not currently a Newcastle Disease problem area.

If an endangered species permit is required for the importation of these blood samples, it appears that expeditious handling of the application would enhance the chance for survival of this endangered species.

HARRY M. OHLENDORF.

Documents and other information submitted in connection with this application are available for public inspection during normal business hours at the Service's office in Suite 600, 1612 K Street, N.W., Washington, D.C.

Interested persons may comment on this application by submitting written data, views, or arguments, preferably in

triplicate, to the Director (FWS/LE), Fish and Wildlife Service, Post Office Box 19183, Washington, D.C. 20036. All relevant comments received on or before April 14, 1975 will be considered.

Dated: March 7, 1975.

MARSHALL L. STINNETT,
Acting Chief, Division of Law Enforcement, U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service.

[FR Doc.75-6393 Filed 3-12-75;8:45 am]

ENDANGERED SPECIES PERMIT

Receipt of Application

Notice is hereby given that the following application for a permit is deemed to have been received under section 10 of the Endangered Species Act of 1973 (Pub. L. 93-205).

Applicant:
Margaret M. Holter
Holter's Movieland Animals
10940 Riverside Avenue
Bloomington, California 92316

OMB NO. 42-R1870

DEPARTMENT OF THE INTERIOR
U.S. FISH AND WILDLIFE SERVICE

FEDERAL FISH AND WILDLIFE LICENSE/PERMIT APPLICATION

1. APPLICATION FOR (Indicate only one)
☐ IMPORT OR EXPORT LICENSE ☒ PERMIT

2. BRIEF DESCRIPTION OF ACTIVITY FOR WHICH REQUESTED LICENSE OR PERMIT IS NEEDED.
Ship threetigers in interstate commerce for show purposes.

3. APPLICANT. (Name, complete address and phone number of individual, business, agency, or institution for which permit is requested)
Holters Movieland Animals
Margaret M. Holter
10940 Riverside Ave.
Bloomington, Calif. 92316
714-877-2827

4. IF "APPLICANT" IS AN INDIVIDUAL, COMPLETE THE FOLLOWING:
☐ MR. ☐ MRS. ☐ MISS ☐ MS.
HEIGHT: WEIGHT:
DATE OF BIRTH: COLOR HAIR: COLOR EYES:
PHONE NUMBER WHERE EMPLOYED: SOCIAL SECURITY NUMBER:
OCCUPATION:

5. IF "APPLICANT" IS A BUSINESS, CORPORATION, PUBLIC AGENCY, OR INSTITUTION, COMPLETE THE FOLLOWING:
EXPLAIN TYPE OF KIND OF BUSINESS, AGENCY, OR INSTITUTION
Holters Movieland Animals business for entertainment and amusement of audiences.

ANY BUSINESS, AGENCY, OR INSTITUTIONAL AFFILIATION HAVING TO DO WITH THE WILDLIFE TO BE COVERED BY THIS LICENSE/PERMIT:

NAME, TITLE, AND PHONE NUMBER OF PRESIDENT, PRINCIPAL OFFICER, DIRECTOR, ETC.
Margaret Holter, owner 877-2827

IF "APPLICANT" IS A CORPORATION, INDICATE STATE IN WHICH INCORPORATED
---

6. LOCATION WHERE PROPOSED ACTIVITY IS TO BE CONDUCTED
Gulfstream Park
Hallandale, Florida

7. DO YOU HOLD ANY CURRENTLY VALID FEDERAL FISH AND WILDLIFE LICENSE OR PERMIT? ☒ YES ☐ NO
(If yes, list license or permit numbers)
93C-25

8. IF REQUIRED BY ANY STATE OR FOREIGN GOVERNMENT, DO YOU HAVE THEIR APPROVAL TO CONDUCT THE ACTIVITY YOU PROPOSE? ☐ YES ☐ NO
(If yes, list jurisdictions and type of documents)

9. CERTIFIED CHECK OR MONEY ORDER (If applicable) PAYABLE TO THE U.S. FISH AND WILDLIFE SERVICE ENCLOSED IN AMOUNT OF
$ 50.00

10. DESIRED EFFECTIVE DATE: 3/26/75

11. DURATION NEEDED: 30days

12. ATTACHMENTS. THE SPECIFIC INFORMATION REQUIRED FOR THE TYPE OF LICENSE/PERMIT REQUESTED (See 50 CFR 13.12(a)) MUST BE ATTACHED. IT CONSTITUTES AN INTEGRAL PART OF THIS APPLICATION. LIST SECTIONS OF 50 CFR UNDER WHICH ATTACHMENTS ARE PROVIDED.

CERTIFICATION

I HEREBY CERTIFY THAT I HAVE READ AND AM FAMILIAR WITH THE REGULATIONS CONTAINED IN TITLE 50, PART 13, OF THE CODE OF FEDERAL REGULATIONS AND THE OTHER APPLICABLE PARTS IN SUBCHAPTER B OF CHAPTER I OF TITLE 50, AND I FURTHER CERTIFY THAT THE INFORMATION SUBMITTED IN THIS APPLICATION FOR A LICENSE/PERMIT IS COMPLETE AND ACCURATE TO THE BEST OF MY KNOWLEDGE AND BELIEF. I UNDERSTAND THAT ANY FALSE STATEMENT HEREIN MAY SUBJECT ME TO THE CRIMINAL PENALTIES OF 18 U.S.C. 1001.

SIGNATURE (In ink): Margaret M. Holter
DATE: 2/4/75

3-200
(6/74)

Margaret M. Holter

Movieland Animals
Margaret Holter
10940 Riverside Ave.
Bloomington, Calif. 92316
714-877-2827
Born Dec. 29, 1926
Height 5'5''
Weight 155
Brown eyes
Female

Owner of Bengal tigers
Business name same as above.
Gulf Stream Park, Hallandale, Florida
Tigers transported for entertainment of audiences.
I hereby certify that I have read and am familiar with the regulations contained in Title 50, Part B of the Code of Federal Regulations and the other applicable parts in Subchapter B of Chapter I of Title 50, and I further certify that the information submitted in this application for a permit is complete and accurate to the best of my knowledge and belief. I understand that any false statement hereon may subject me to the criminal penalties of 18 U.S.C. 1001.
Desired effective date March 26, 1975 for 30 days.
February 4, 1975
Margaret Holter
Three Siberian tigers for show purposes.

HOLTER ENTERPRISES,
Bloomington, Calif., December 16, 1974.
DIRECTOR,
U.S. Fish & Wildlife Service,
U.S. Department of the Interior,
Washington, D.C.

DEAR SIRS: I, Margaret Holter; 10940 Riverside Ave.; Bloomington, California 92316 Phone 714-877-2827; Born 12/29/26, weight 150; Height 5'5''; brown hair and eyes; female; doing business as Holters Movieland Animals wish to apply for a permit to transport three bengal tigers to Gulfstream Racing Park, Hallandale, Florida on March 27th or 28th. They will be transported Via Air Lift to Miami where we would be met and taken to Hallandale. We would then leave Miami on the 30th and return Via Air Lift to Bloomington, California.

The tigers would be shipped in aluminum shipping crates that are large enough to allow the cats to stand up, turn around and lie down. They would be fed the night before departure in Los Angeles and then again upon arrival in Miami which would be normal feeding time. The cats would be watered before take off and then again checked and watered in Houston where there is a lay over. I will have two men traveling with the cats. Upon arrival at the race track there will be suitable stables to house the cats.

The cats will be checked by a qualified veterinarian before departure.

I hereby certify that I have read and am familiar with the regulations contained in Title 50, Part 13, of the Code of Federal Regulations and the other applicable parts in Subchapter B of Chapter I of Title 50, and I further certify that the information submitted in this application for a permit is complete and accurate to the best of my knowledge and belief. I understand that any false statement hereon may subject me to the criminal penalties of 18 U.S.C. 1001.

Desire permit for March 27 through March 30th, 1975.

MARGARET HOLTER,
December 16, 1974.

The cats will perform at the Gulfstream Racing Park on March 29, 1975.

Documents and other information submitted in connection with this application are available for public inspection during normal business hours at the Service's office in Suite 600, 1612 K Street NW., Washington, D.C.

Interested persons may comment on this application by submitting written data, views, or arguments, preferably in triplicate, to the Director (FWS/LE), Fish and Wildlife Service, Post Office Box 19183, Washington, D.C. 20036. All relevant comments received on or before April 14, 1975 will be considered.

Dated: February 28, 1975.

LOREN K. PARCHER,
Acting Chief, Division of Law Enforcement, U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service.

[FR Doc.75-6394 Filed 3-12-75;8:45 am]

ENDANGERED SPECIES PERMIT
Receipt of Application

Notice is hereby given that the following application for a permit is deemed to have been received under section 10 of the Endangered Species Act of 1973 (Pub. L. 93-205).

Applicant:
Inyo National Forest
2957 Birch Street
Bishop, California 93514
Everett Leonard Towle, Forest Supervisor
David P. Garber, Wildlife Biologist, Project Leader

OMB NO. 43-R1295

DEPARTMENT OF THE INTERIOR
U.S. FISH AND WILDLIFE SERVICE
FEDERAL FISH AND WILDLIFE LICENSE/PERMIT APPLICATION

1. APPLICATION FOR (Indicate only one)
☐ IMPORT OR EXPORT LICENSE ☒ PERMIT

2. BRIEF DESCRIPTION OF ACTIVITY FOR WHICH REQUESTED LICENSE OR PERMIT IS NEEDED.
Capture, take blood samples from, and translocate Paiute Cutthroat Trout, an endangered species, to enhance its survival

3. APPLICANT. (Name, complete address and phone number of individual, business, agency, or institution for which permit is requested)
Inyo National Forest
2957 Birch Street
Bishop, CA. 93514

4. IF "APPLICANT" IS AN INDIVIDUAL, COMPLETE THE FOLLOWING:
☐ MR. ☐ MRS. ☐ MISS ☐ MS. | HEIGHT | WEIGHT
DATE OF BIRTH | COLOR HAIR | COLOR EYES
PHONE NUMBER WHERE EMPLOYED | SOCIAL SECURITY NUMBER
OCCUPATION

5. IF "APPLICANT" IS A BUSINESS, CORPORATION, PUBLIC AGENCY, OR INSTITUTION, COMPLETE THE FOLLOWING:
EXPLAIN TYPE OR KIND OF BUSINESS, AGENCY, OR INSTITUTION
U.S. Department of Agriculture
Forest Service
Inyo National Forest
2957 Birch Street
Bishop, CA. 93514

ANY BUSINESS, AGENCY, OR INSTITUTIONAL AFFILIATION HAVING TO DO WITH THE WILDLIFE TO BE COVERED BY THIS LICENSE/PERMIT

NAME, TITLE, AND PHONE NUMBER OF PRESIDENT, PRINCIPAL OFFICER, DIRECTOR, ETC. (714) 873-5841
Everett Leonard Towle, Forest Supervisor
IF "APPLICANT" IS A CORPORATION, INDICATE STATE IN WHICH INCORPORATED

6. LOCATION WHERE PROPOSED ACTIVITY IS TO BE CONDUCTED
Capturing and translocating in the White Mountains, Mono County, California

7. DO YOU HOLD ANY CURRENTLY VALID FEDERAL FISH AND WILDLIFE LICENSE OR PERMIT? ☐ YES ☒ NO
(If yes, list license or permit number)

8. IF REQUIRED BY ANY STATE OR FOREIGN GOVERNMENT, DO YOU HAVE THEIR APPROVAL TO CONDUCT THE ACTIVITY YOU PROPOSE? ☐ YES ☐ NO
(If yes, list jurisdictions and type of documents)
Not Required

9. CERTIFIED CHECK OR MONEY ORDER (if applicable) PAYABLE TO THE U.S. FISH AND WILDLIFE SERVICE ENCLOSED IN AMOUNT OF
$

10. DESIRED EFFECTIVE DATE
May 1, 1975

11. DURATION NEEDED
2 calendar years

12. ATTACHMENTS. THE SPECIFIC INFORMATION REQUIRED FOR THE TYPE OF LICENSE/PERMIT REQUESTED (See 50 CFR 13.12(a)) MUST BE ATTACHED. IT CONSTITUTES AN INTEGRAL PART OF THIS APPLICATION. LIST SECTIONS OF 50 CFR UNDER WHICH ATTACHMENTS ARE PROVIDED.
17.23(a)(1), 17.23(a)(3)

CERTIFICATION

I HEREBY CERTIFY THAT I HAVE READ AND AM FAMILIAR WITH THE REGULATIONS CONTAINED IN TITLE 50, PART 13, OF THE CODE OF FEDERAL REGULATIONS AND THE OTHER APPLICABLE PARTS IN SUBCHAPTER B OF CHAPTER I OF TITLE 50, AND I FURTHER CERTIFY THAT THE INFORMATION SUBMITTED IN THIS APPLICATION FOR A LICENSE/PERMIT IS COMPLETE AND ACCURATE TO THE BEST OF MY KNOWLEDGE AND BELIEF. I UNDERSTAND THAT ANY FALSE STATEMENT HEREIN MAY SUBJECT ME TO THE CRIMINAL PENALTIES OF 18 U.S.C. 1001.

SIGNATURE (In ink) Everett Towle — DATE 1-6-75

3-200 (6/74) Everett Towle

CONTINUATION OF FORM 3-200

17.23(a)(1) Species. Trout, Paiute Cutthroat; *Salmo clarki seleniris*. Number. Not to exceed 100 per year. Age. Greater than one year of age. Sex. Both male and female, indiscriminately.

17.23(a)(3) Two disjunct populations of *Salmo clarki seleniris* occur on the Inyo National Forest in the White Mountain range of Mono County, California; one in the North Fork of Cottonwood Creek and the other in Cabin Creek. The Cottonwood Creek population is derived from 401 individuals introduced from Silver King Creek drainage in Alpine County, California in 1946, prior to contamination of genetic stock in the native waters of Silver King Creek by rainbow trout. The Cabin Creek population is derived from 68 fish relocated from Cottonwood Creek in 1968. The Cottonwood Creek-Cabin Creek stock is the most numerous and stable group of *Salmo clarki seleniris* outside its native waters, and may prove to be the most pure form now surviving when genetic testing is performed.

Since shortly after its release until present time the Cottonwood population has maintained only approximately its original numbers. Recent studies by the California Department of Fish and Game and the Inyo National Forest provide an estimate of 500 individuals for the larger North Fork population; however, recruitment is limited by in adequate emigration. Investigation has shown that translocating fish from crowded habitats to unoccupied habitats in the North Fork causes disperson and can be expected to encourage recruitment to the population. It is probable that the population in Cabin Creek is likewise limited and translocation would enhance its survival. Present management schemes include plans for appropriate translocation within the present ranges (defined by downstream barriers) of these two populations.

It is expected that appropriate plans for full recovery of *Salmo clarki seleniris* will require comparisons of the purity of existing gene pools in order to appropriately restock native waters. Removal of blood samples from individuals using non-sacrificial techniques (e.g., caudal puncture) will allow for gathering testing materials during translocation activities. Procedures will involve use of anesthetics to reduce stress during manipulation.

U.S. DEPARTMENT OF AGRICULTURE,
FOREST SERVICE,
INYO NATIONAL FOREST,
Bishop, CA., February 4, 1975.

Reply to: 2600 Wildlife.

Subject: Permit to Manipulate *Salmo clarki seleniris* Populations on the Inyo National Forest Supplemental Information.

DIRECTOR (FWS/LE),
U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service,
U.S. Department of Interior,
Washington, D.C.

The following statements are in response to your request for additional information.

1. Fish will be captured by electro-shocking and/or netting.

2. Transportation of fish will be by backpack in rubberized fish containers.

3. Transportation of fish will not exceed one mile in distance and one hour in duration; hence care will consist only of precautionary measures to prevent accidental loss.

4. Release will be within the existing range of the populations, as defined by downstream barriers.

Additionally, in reference to our telephone conversation, the permit should stipulate me, David P. Garber, as project leader and in the event of my absence, Kathleen E. Ayres, as alternate project leader. Other persons involved in operation will include: Arthur J. Leys, Brian C. Miller, and Douglas P. Reid.

Your attention is appreciated.

DAVID P. GARBER,
Wildlife Biologist.

Documents and other information submitted in connection with this application are available for public inspection during normal business hours at the Service's office in Suite 600, 1612 K Street NW., Washington, D.C.

Interested persons may comment on this application by submitting written data, views, or arguments, preferably in triplicate, to the Director (FWS/LE), Fish and Wildlife Service, Post Office Box 19183, Washington, D.C. 20036. All relevant comments received on or before April 14, 1975 will be considered.

Dated: March 7, 1975.

MARSHALL L. STINNETT,
Acting Chief, Division of Law Enforcement, U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service.

[FR Doc.75-6395 Filed 3-12-75;8:45 am]

Office of Hearings and Appeals

[Docket No. M 74-126]

ISLAND CREEK COAL CO.

Amendment to Petition for Modification of Application of Mandatory Safety Standard [1]

Notice is hereby given that in accordance with the provisions of section 301 (c) of the Federal Coal Mine Health and Safety Act of 1969, 30 U.S.C. 861(c) (1970), Island Creek Coal Company has filed an amended petition to modify the application of 30 CFR 75.1405 to its Bird No. 2 Mine, Conemaugh Township, Somerset County, Pennsylvania.

30 CFR 75.1405 provides:

All haulage equipment acquired by an operator of a coal mine on or after March 30, 1971, shall be equipped with automatic couplers which couple by impact and uncouple without the necessity of persons going between the ends of such equipment. All haulage equipment without automatic couplers in use in a mine on March 30, 1970, shall also be so equipped within 4 years after March 30, 1970.

1. Petitioner requests approval of a coupling system whereby mine cars are regularly coupled and uncoupled into unit trips. These cars, comprising a unit trip of fifteen (15) cars, are not regularly coupled and uncoupled. A locomotive is attached at each end of trips while hauling coal out of a mine.

2. All trips will originate at loading points in underground workings and be taken to the outside and dumped by way of the drop bottom opening on the cars. All cars remain in the trip as one unit during dumping.

3. Each car attached to a locomotive will have a lever attached permanently to coupling pin which will be operated from the side of the car.

4. A link aligner will be permanently attached to the car to control the position of the coupling link for coupling cars. This will provide a safer operation of coupling in that there will be no necessity for employees to be in a position between the ends of the cars while coupling. The link aligner will maneuver the link to any position and the attached rod will extend to the side of the car. The hand link aligner will only be used on the link end of the locomotive.

5. The cars which are the subject of this petition have been in operation since 1962, with a minimum of operating difficulties. These cars would to have to be completely rebuilt if automatic couplers are required.

6. Supply cars used only for supply maintenance will be coupled and uncoupled by above described method.

7. The above plan was initiated and instituted by pilot plan on one car in order to determine its efficacy. Results of this test show that outstanding protection is afforded employees. This is indicated conclusively by the fact that no employee is required to place any part of his body between any cars when coupling or uncoupling.

8. Attached is a schematic drawing [2] or plan of the proposed modification.

9. All personnel operating cars which are the subject of this proposed modification are provided with training and safety orientation.

10. Due to the limited life of the mine, replacing of the equipment would not be feasible.

Persons interested in this petition may request a hearing on the petition or furnish comments on or before April 14, 1975. Such requests or comments must be filed with the Office of Hearings and Appeals, Hearings Division, U.S. Department of the Interior, 4015 Wilson Boulevard, Arlington, Virginia 22203. Copies of the petition are available for inspection at that address.

JAMES R. RICHARDS,
Director, Office of Hearings and Appeals.

MARCH 6, 1975.

[FR Doc.75-6578 Filed 3-12-75;8:45 am]

[1] The original petition was published in 39 FR 18307 on May 24, 1974.

[2] The schematic diagram will be available for inspection at the address mentioned in the last paragraph of the notice.

[Docket No. M 75-18]

KENTLAND-ELKHORN COAL CORP.

Amendment to Petition for Modification of Application of Mandatory Safety Standard [1]

Notice is hereby given that in accordance with the provisions of section 301(c) of the Federal Coal Mine Health and Safety Act of 1969, 30 U.S.C. 861(c) (1970), Kentland-Elkhorn Coal Corporation has filed an amended petition to modify the application of 30 CFR 75.1405 to its Kentland No. 3 Mine, Pike County, Kentucky.

30 CFR 75.1405 provides:

All haulage equipment acquired by an operator of a coal mine on or after March 30, 1971, shall be equipped with automatic couplers which couple by impact and uncouple without the necessity of persons going between the ends of such equipment. All haulage equipment without automatic couplers in use in a mine on March 30, 1970, shall also be so equipped within 4 years after March 30, 1970.

Petitioner amends its original Petition for Modification in the following manner:

Under *III—Alternate Method*, paragraph A shall be deleted and the following substituted in its place:

A. All cars in use at the captioned mine for transporting supplies will be fitted with a coupling lever designed so as to permit an employee to lift or drop the pin through the car bumper to secure or release a link that has been inserted from another haulage unit and to do this without the necessity of positioning himself between the units being coupled or uncoupled. If it becomes necessary in the coupling operation to position the link, this also will be done without the employee positioning himself between the units, he will effectuate this alignment by using a specially designed Hand Link Aligner Tool fastened to the end opposite the coupler on each car. (Amended Attachment A [3] contains the detailed specifications for the Coupling Lever on mine cars and the Hand Link Aligners).

Under *III—Alternate Method*, paragraph B, subparagraph (3) shall be deleted and the following substituted in its place:

This instruction of all employees will again be repeated at annual intervals in compliance with the 1974 National Bituminous Coal Wage Agreement. Employees absent from work will be reinstructed after they return to work.

Attachment A shall be deleted in its entirety and Amended Attachment A shall be substituted in its place.

Persons interested in this petition may request a hearing on the petition or furnish comments on or by April 14, 1975. Such requests or comments must be filed with the Office of Hearings and Appeals, Hearings Division, U.S. Department of the Interior, 4015 Wilson Boulevard, Arlington, Virginia 22203. Copies of the petition are available for inspection at that address.

JAMES R. RICHARDS,
Director, Office of Hearings and Appeals.

MARCH 6, 1975.

[FR Doc.75-6575 Filed 3-12-75;8:45 am]

[3] The amended attachment will be available for inspection at the address mentioned in the last paragraph of the notice.

[1] The original petition appeared in 39 FR 35391 on October 1, 1974.

[Docket No. M 75-17]

KENTLAND-ELKHORN COAL CORP.

Amendment to Petition for Modification of Application of Mandatory Safety Standard [1]

Notice is hereby given that in accordance with the provisions of section 301 (c) of the Federal Coal Mine Health and Safety Act of 1969, 30 U.S.C. 861(c) (1970), Kentland-Elkhorn Coal Corporation has filed an amended petition to modify the application of 30 CFR 75.1405 to its Kentland No. 2 Mine, Pike County, Kentucky.

30 CFR 75.1405 provides:

All haulage equipment acquired by an operator of a coal mine on or after March 30, 1971, shall be equipped with automatic couplers which couple by impact and uncouple without the necessity of persons going between the ends of such equipment. All haulage equipment without automatic couplers in use in a mine on March 30, 1970, shall also be so equipped within 4 years after March 30, 1970.

Petitioner amends its original Petition for Modification in the following manner:

Under *III—Alternate Method*, paragraph A shall be deleted and the following substituted in its place:

A. *All* cars in use at the captioned mine for transporting supplies will be fitted with a coupling level designed so as to permit an employee to lift or drop the

pin through the car bumper to secure or release a link that has been inserted from another haulage unit and to do this without the necessity coupled. If it becomes necessary in the coupling operation to position the link, this also will be done without the employee positioning himself between the units, he will effectuate this alignment by using a specially designed Hand Link Aligner Tool fastened to the end opposite the coupler on each car. (Amended Attachment A[2] contains the detailed specifications for the Coupling Lever on mine cars and the Hand Link Aligners).

Under *III—Alternate Method*, paragraph B, subparagraph (3) shall be deleted and the following substituted in its place:

This instruction of all employees will again be repeated at annual intervals in compliance with the 1974 National Bituminous Coal Wage Agreement. Employees absent from work will be reinstructed after they return to work.

Attachment A shall be deleted in its entirety and Amended Attachment A shall be substituted in its place.

Persons interested in this petition may request a hearing on the petition or furnish comments on or before April 14, 1975. Such requests or comments must be filed with the Office of Hearings and Appeals, Hearings Division, U.S. Department of the Interior, 4015 Wilson Boulevard, Arlington, Virginia 22203. Copies of the petition are available for inspection at that address.

JAMES R. RICHARDS,
Director, Office of Hearings and Appeals.

MARCH 6, 1975.

[FR Doc.75-6576 Filed 3-12-75; 8:45 am]

[Docket No. M 75-14]

KENTLAND-ELKHORN COAL CORP.

Amendment to Petition for Modification of Application of Mandatory Safety Standard[1]

Notice is hereby given that in accordance with the provisions of section 301 (c) of the Federal Coal Mine Health and Safety Act of 1969, 30 U.S.C. 861(c) (1970), Kentland-Elkhorn Coal Corporation has filed an amended petition to modify the application of 30 CFR 75.1405 to its Feds Creek No. 1 Mine, Pike County, Kentucky.

30 CFR 75.1405 provides:

All haulage equipment acquired by an operator of a coal mine on or after March 30, 1971, shall be equipped with automatic couplers which couple by impact and uncouple without the necessity of persons going between the ends of such equipment. All haulage equipment without automatic couplers in use in a mine on March 30, 1970, shall also be so equipped within 4 years after March 30, 1970.

Petitioner amends its original Petition for Modification in the following manner:

Under *III—Alternate Method*, paragraph A shall be deleted and the following substituted in its place:

A. *All* cars in use at the captioned mine for transporting supplies will be fitted with a coupling lever designed so as to permit an employee to lift or drop the pin through the car bumper to secure or release a link that has been inserted from another haulage unit and to do this without the necessity of positioning himself between the units being coupled or uncoupled. If it becomes necessary in the coupling operation to position the link, this also will be done without the employee positioning himself between the units, he will effectuate this alignment by using a specially designed Hand Link Aligner Tool fastened to the end opposite the coupler on each car. (Amended Attachment A[2] contains the detailed specifications for the Coupling Lever on mine cars and the Hand Link Aligners).

Under *III—Alternate Method*, paragraph B, subparagraph (3) shall be deleted and the following substituted in its place:

This instruction of all employees will again be repeated at annual intervals in compliance with the 1974 National Bituminous Coal Wage Agreement. Employees absent from work will be reinstructed after they return to work.

Attachment A shall be deleted in its entirety and Amended Attachment A shall be substituted in its place.

Persons interested in this petition may request a hearing on the petition or furnish comments on or before April 14, 1975. Such requests or comments must be filed with the Office of Hearings and Appeals, Hearings Division, U.S. Department of the Interior, 4015 Wilson Boulevard, Arlington, Virginia 22203. Copies of the petition are available for inspection at that address.

JAMES R. RICHARDS,
Director, Office of Hearings and Appeals.

MARCH 6, 1975.

[FR Doc.75-6577 Filed 3-12-75;8:45 am]

[Docket No. M 75-90]

ORANGE & CAMPBELL COAL CO.

Petition for Modification of Application of Mandatory Safety Standard

Notice is hereby given that in accordance with the provisions of section 301(c) of the Federal Coal Mine Health and Safety Act of 1969, 30 U.S.C. 861(c) (1970), Orange & Campbell Coal Company has filed a petition to modify the application of 30 CFR 75.1405 to its No. 2 Mine, Tracy City, Tennessee.

30 CFR 75.1405 provides:

All haulage equipment acquired by an operator of a coal mine on or after March 30, 1971, shall be equipped with automatic couplers which couple by impact and uncouple without the necessity of persons going between the ends of such equipment. All haulage equipment without automatic couplers in use in a mine on March 30, 1970, shall also be so equipped within 4 years after March 30, 1970.

In support of its petition, Petitioner states:

(1) In its mines Petitioner uses one-ton capacity wood-rail cars which are four feet wide, seven feet long and twenty-four inches high. These cars are powered by a battery-powered three-ton locomotive. The cars are used on a day-to-day basis to transport coal from the Petitioner's mines to the surface. The amount of coal that is extracted from these mines per day averages thirty tons, and the Petitioner employs four persons in the operation of these mines.

(2) The area in which these cars travel and in which the employees work ranges in height from a minimum of three and one-half (3½) feet to a maximum of six (6) feet, and all places where the cars operate are at least fourteen (14) feet wide.

(3) The terrain where the rail track is laid is characterized by undulations. Attempts to devise an automatic coupler for the cars operating in such terrain have proved impractical since the automatic coupler would not allow a great deal of vertical play. The problem arises when a car goes over a rise then down a sharp descent while the car following remains at a level position on the top of a rise. Therefore, some means of allowing the coupling to adjust to the great variance of vertical levels is required.

(4) Petitioner has found it practical to devise a coupler whereby one car is equipped with a protruding hook device, and the adjoining car is equipped with a chain link and a large ring which is placed over the hook. On such sharp declines, the chain link and ring allows the declining car to maintain its course while the trailing car is able to maintain a level position before also descending. This method allows enough play in the coupler to permit both cars to remain on the tracks.

(5) The use of an automatic coupler in the foregoing situation would not necessarily insure safety to the employees who are working with these cars. As stated above, there is enough room in the passage ways for an employee to stand beside the rails and effect the coupling of these cars without actually standing between the two cars. Also, all cars are in a stationary position during coupling.

(6) Petitioner feels that an alternative method of effecting this coupling without the use of an automatic coupling device can be accomplished by a simple device known as a "hand link aligner," as shown in Exhibit "A",[1] attached herebar, thirty-seven inches in length, which to. The hand aligner is simply a metal bar, thirty seven inches in length, which on one end has a loop-type handle and on the other end has a type of a hook which could be used to effect the coupling. Since the couplers on the cars are located at the center of each car, and are two feet from the outside edges of the cars, the thirty-seven-inch aligner

[1] The original petition appeared in 39 FR 35390 on October 1, 1974.

[2] The amended attachment will be available for inspection at the address mentioned in the last paragraph of the notice.

[1] Exhibit "A" will be available for inspection at the address mentioned in the last paragraph of the notice.

would provide more than one foot of clearance for the employee to maneuver the coupling device. To couple the cars, the employee would merely stand beside the adjoining cars and with the hand aligner, reach in and place the metal ring over the hook on the adjoining car. The employee would at no time be endangered, since he would at all times be standing to the side or on the outside of the cars and the rail.

(7) Petitioner feels that the alternate method stated above will at all times guarantee no less than the same measure of protection afforded the miners by the mandatory standard heretofore required.

Persons interested in this petition may request a hearing on the petition or furnish comments on or before April 14, 1975. Such requests or comments must be filed with the Office of Hearings and Appeals, Hearings Division, U.S. Department of the Interior, 4015 Wilson Boulevard, Arlington, Virginia 22203. Copies of the petition are available for inspection at that address.

JAMES R. RICHARDS,
Director, Office of Hearings and Appeals.

MARCH 6, 1975.

[FR Doc.75-6574 Filed 3-12-75;8:45 am]

National Park Service

BENT'S OLD FORT NATIONAL HISTORIC SITE, COLO.

Public Meeting

Notice is hereby given that a public meeting will be held beginning at 7:30 p.m. on April 10, 1975, in the Student Center Lounge of Otero Junior College in La Junta, Colorado, for the purpose of receiving comments and suggestions on the Master Plan/Interpretive Prospectus/Development Concept Plan and the Environmental Assessment for planning and implementation of management and development policies for Bent's Old Fort National Historic Site.

A master plan identifies and evaluates objectives of management and relates these to basic concepts for the management and development of the park for visitor use and interpretation. The development concept plan reflects in schematic form the allocation of spaces and development patterns and relationships of the various types of facilities. The interpretive prospectus describes the various methods for accomplishing interpretation and visitor orientation in the master plan.

The environmental assessment discusses overall management and development alternatives taking into consideration social, economic, historic, cultural and other resource values at Bent's Old Fort.

A copy of the Master Plan/Interpretive Prospectus/Development Concept Plan and the Environmental Assessment may be obtained or is available for review at Bent's Old Fort National Historic Site, Post Office Box 581, La Junta, Colorado 81050, or at the Rocky Mountain Regional Office, National Park Service, 655 Parfet Street, Post Office Box 25287, Denver, Colorado 80225.

Interested individuals, representatives of organizations and public officials are invited to express their views in person at the aforementioned public meeting. They should notify the Superintendent, Bent's Old Fort National Historic Site by April 9 of their desire to appear. Those not wishing to appear in person may submit written statements on the plans and assessment to the Superintendent for inclusion in the official record, which will be held open until April 28, 1975.

Time limitations may make it necessary to limit the length of oral presentations and to restrict to one person the presentation made in behalf of an organization. An oral statement may, however, by supplemented by a more complete written statement submitted to the Superintendent at the time of the oral statement.

Written statements presented in person at the meeting will be considered for inclusion in the meeting record. To the extent that time is available after presentation of oral statements by those who have given the required advance notice, the Superintendent will give others present an opportunity to be heard.

After an explanation of the proposal by a representative of the National Park Service, the Superintendent, insofar as possible, will adhere to the following order in calling for the presentation of oral statements:

(1) Governor of the State or his representative.
(2) Members of Congress.
(3) Members of the State Legislature.
(4) Official representative of the counties in which the area is located.
(5) Officials of other Federal Agencies or public bodies.
(6) Organizations in alphabetical order.
(7) Individuals in alphabetical order.
(8) Others not giving advance notice, to the extent there is remaining time.

Dated: February 28, 1975.

LYNN H. THOMPSON,
Regional Director, Rocky Mountain Region.

[FR Doc.75-6628 Filed 3-12-75;8:45 am]

GATEWAY NATIONAL RECREATION AREA ADVISORY COMMISSION

Meeting

Notice is hereby given in accordance with the Federal Advisory Committee Act, that a meeting of the Gateway National Recreation Area Advisory Commission will be held at 10:00 a.m., e.s.t. on April 10, 1975 at Federal Hall N.M., 26 Wall Street, New York, New York.

The Commission was established by Pub. L. 92-592 to meet and consult with the Secretary of the Interior on general policies and specific matters relating to the development of Gateway National Recreation Area.

The purpose of the Commission is to provide for the free exchange of ideas between the National Park Service and the public, and to facilitate the solicitation of advice or other counsel from members of the public on problems and programs pertinent to the Gateway National Recreation Area.

The members of the Commission are as follows:

Honorable Alfred E. Driscoll, Chairman
Haddonfield, New Jersey
Mr. Alexander Aldrich
South Mall, Albany
Mr. Chester Apy
Little Silver, New Jersey
Mr. Donald H. Elliott
Brooklyn, New York
Mrs. Marian S. Heiskell, Co-Chairman
New York, New York
Mr. Gustav Heinburg
Newark, New Jersey
Mr. Ernest W. Lass
Interlaken, New Jersey
Mr. Edward H. Tuck
New York, New York
Reverend Horace Tyler
Brooklyn, New York
Mr. Nathaniel Washington
Newark, New Jersey
Honorable Joseph B. Williams
Brooklyn, New York

The purpose of this meeting is as follows: (1) to discuss the Commission meeting with New York, New Jersey Congressional delegation; (2) the Superintendent will outline plans for the forthcoming summer season, and (3) the Superintendent will give a progress report covering current problems and items of interest, which will be reviewed and discussed.

The meeting will be open to the public. However, facilities and space for accommodating members of the public are limited, and persons will be accommodated on a first come, first served basis. Any member of the public may file with the Commission a written statement concerning the matters to be discussed.

Persons wishing further information concerning this meeting, or who wish to submit written statements, may contact Robert F. Mahoney, Public Affairs Officer, Gateway National Recreation Area, Floyd Bennett Field, Brooklyn, New York 11234, at area code (212) 264-4454.

Minutes of the meeting will be available for public inspection four weeks after the meeting at the Gateway National Recreation Area Headquarters Building, Floyd Bennett Field, Brooklyn, New York 11234.

Dated: February 21, 1975.

JERRY D. WAGERS,
Regional Director, North Atlantic Region.

[FR Doc.75-6627 Filed 3-12-75;8:45 am]

INDEPENDENCE NATIONAL HISTORICAL PARK ADVISORY COMMISSION

Meeting

Notice is hereby given in accordance with the Federal Advisory Committee Act that a meeting of the Independence National Historical Park Advisory Commission will be held at 10:30 a.m. on April 3, 1975, at 313 Walnut Street, Philadelphia, Pennsylvania.

The Commission was established by Pub. L. 80-795 to render advice on such matters relating to the park as may from time to time be referred to them for consideration.

The members of the Commission are as follows:

Mr. Arthur C. Kaufmann (Chairman)
Mr. John P. Bracken
Hon. Michael J. Bradley
Hon. James A. Byrne
Mr. Filindo B. Masino
Mr. Frank C. P. McGlinn
Mr. John B. O'Hara
Mr. Howard D. Rosengarten
Mr. Charles R. Tyson

The matters to be considered at this meeting include:

1. Closing of Chestnut Street.
2. Discussion of Operating Deficiencies.
3. Superintendent's Progress Report.

The meeting will be open to the public. Any person may file with the Commission an oral or written statement concerning the matters to be discussed. Persons desiring further information concerning this meeting, or who wish to submit statements, may contact Hobart G. Cawood, Superintendent, Independence National Historical Park, Philadelphia, Pennsylvania, at Area Code 215, 597-7120.

Minutes of the meeting shall be available for inspection two weeks after the meeting at the office of the Independence National Historical Park, 313 Walnut Street, Philadelphia, Pennsylvania.

Dated: March 3, 1975.

CHESTER L. BROOKS,
Regional Director, Mid-Atlantic Region, National Park Service.

[FR Doc.75-6625 Filed 3-12-75;8:45 am]

SLEEPING BEAR DUNES NATIONAL LAKESHORE ADVISORY COMMISSION

Cancellation and Rescheduling of Meeting

The meeting of the Sleeping Bear Dunes National Lakeshore Advisory Commission originally scheduled for March 21, the notice of which was previously published on page 8973 in the FEDERAL REGISTER on Tuesday, March 4, 1975 (40 F.R. Doc. 8973) has been cancelled, and rescheduled for Friday, April 4, at 1 p.m.

Dated: March 6, 1975.

RICHARD C. CURRY,
Associate Director, Legislation.

[FR Doc.75-6626 Filed 3-12-75;8:45 am]

THEODORE ROOSEVELT NATIONAL MEMORIAL PARK

Designation of Snowmobile Routes

In accordance with the requirements of paragraph (c) of § 2.34 of Title 36 of the Code of Federal Regulations, notice is hereby given of routes that are to be open to snowmobiles in Theodore Roosevelt National Memorial Park.

In arriving at the designations of the snowmobile routes, we have been guided by the criteria contained in sections 3 and 4 of Executive Order 11644 (37 FR 2877) and also have considered factors such as other visitor uses, safety, wildlife management, noise, erosion, geography, weather, vegetation, resource protection, and other management considerations.

An environmental assessment has been prepared on the designation of the snowmobile routes and is available for public review in the office of the Park Superintendent and the North Unit District Ranger's office.

In order to properly designate the snowmobile routes, it is considered necessary to define the portions of the routes where snowmobile use is to be permitted.

Available Routes: Snowmobiles will be permitted only within the banks of the natural channel of the Little Missouri River. The operation of a snowmobile on the vegetated river banks or up the tributaries of the river is prohibited. Overland access to the routes is not authorized within the park. Snowmobile access is limited to the four points where the Little Missouri River crosses the park boundary.

Designated Routes:

A. Theodore Roosevelt National Memorial Park (South Unit) The approximately eight mile section of the Little Missouri River lying within the boundary of the South unit of the park.

B. Theodore Roosevelt National Memorial Park (North Unit) The approximately ten mile section of the Little Missouri River lying within the boundary of the North unit of the park.

A map detailing the routes described above is available at the office of the Superintendent and the North Unit District Ranger's office.

It is the policy of the Department of Interior, whenever practicable, to afford the public an opportunity to participate in the decision making process. As required by 36 CFR 2.34(c), prior to making a final decision on these designated routes, the public shall be provided a 30-day period to comment.

Accordingly, interested persons may submit written comments, suggestions, and objections on the proposed areas and routes to the Superintendent, Theodore Roosevelt National Memorial Park, Medora, North Dakota 58645, on or before April 14, 1975.

JOHN O. LANCASTER,
Superintendent, Theodore Roosevelt National Memorial Park.

[FR Doc.75-6629 Filed 3-12-75;8:45 am]

Office of the Secretary

ADVISORY COMMITTEES

Renewal

This notice is published in accordance with the provisions of section 7(a) of Office of Management and Budget Circular Number A-63, which was published in the FEDERAL REGISTER on April 5, 1974 (39 FR 12389). Pursuant to the authority contained in Section 14(a) of the Federal Advisory Committee Act (Public Law 92-463), the Acting Secretary of the Interior has determined that renewal of the advisory committees listed below is necessary and in the public interest. The Office of Management and Budget has been consulted regarding the renewal of:

Emergency Advisory Committee for Natural Gas
Foreign Petroleum Supply Committee
OECD Petroleum Advisory Committee
Emergency Petroleum Supply Committee

Further information regarding these renewals may be obtained from Mr. Ben Tafoya, Office of the Assistant Secretary—Energy and Minerals, U.S. Department of the Interior, Washington, D.C. 20240, telephone: 202-343-7976.

Dated: March 4, 1975.

JACK W. CARLSON,
Assistant Secretary of the Interior.

[FR Doc.75-6580 Filed 3-12-75;8:45 am]

[INT DES 75-11]

ASHE-PEBBLE SPRINGS

Availability of Draft Supplement to Environmental Statement

Pursuant to section 102(2)(C) of the National Environmental Policy Act of 1969, the Bonneville Power Administration has prepared a draft facility location supplement to its Fiscal Year 1976 Environmental Statement. This supplement covers the proposal for the Ashe-Pebble Springs 500-kV transmission line.

The proposed facilities involve construction of an approximately 80-mile long, 500-kV single-circuit transmission line from Ashe Substation in the northeastern portion of the AEC Hanford Reservation to a proposed Pebble Springs Substation near Arlington, Oregon. This proposal will involve the States of Oregon and Washington with localized impacts on Benton and Klickitat Counties, Washington; and Gilliam, Morrow, and Umatilla Counties, Oregon. The eastern sector of the proposed facility will require from 49 to 58 miles of new right-of-way depending on the final route chosen and approximately 15 miles of new access road. Land uses which could be affected by the proposed facility include 12 to 26 miles of wheatland, 4 to 10 miles of irrigated cropland, and 22 to 34 miles of rangeland crossed. The western sector would require approximately 25 miles of new right-of-way and 9 to 16 miles of new access road.

Copies of the draft supplement are available for inspection in the library of the Headquarters Office of BPA, 1002 NE. Holladay Street, Portland, Oregon 97232; the Washington, D.C., Office in the Interior Building, Room 5600; and the Walla Walla Area Office, West 101 Poplar, Walla Walla, Washington 99362.

A limited number of copies are also available and may be obtained by writing to the Environmental Office, Bonneville Power Administration, P.O. Box 3621, Portland, Oregon 97208 or to the Walla Walla Area Office at the above address. Comments on the supplement should be sent to the Environmental Office by April 29, 1975.

STANLEY D. DOREMUS,
Deputy Assistant Secretary of the Interior.

MARCH 7, 1975.

[FR Doc.75-6579 3-12-75;8:45 am]

DEPARTMENT OF AGRICULTURE

Agricultural Marketing Service

REVISED LIST OF WAREHOUSES AND WAREHOUSEMEN LICENSED UNDER U.S. WAREHOUSE ACT

Pursuant to section 26 of the United States Warehouse Act (7 U.S.C. 266), notice is hereby given as follows: As of December 31, 1974, the following warehouses and warehousemen were licensed and bonded under the United States Warehouse Act. This list of warehouses and warehousemen licensed and bonded under the United States Warehouse Act (7 U.S.C. 241 et seq.) supersedes the list published in the FEDERAL REGISTER of February 26, 1974 (39 FR 7499).

Cotton

A. For the storage of cotton:

ALABAMA

Town, Warehouse, and Warehouseman

Atmore; Farmers and Merchants Warehouse; Dan A. Currie, Jack A. Currie and J. Floyd Currie, copartners trading as Atmore Milling and Elevator Company.

Attalla; North Alabama Warehouse; North Alabama Warehouse Company.

Belle Mina; South Limestone Cooperative Warehouse; South Limestone Cooperative.

Birmingham; Gulf Atlantic Warehouse; Gulf Atlantic Distribution Services, (division of Anderson, Clayton & Co.).

Decatur; State Bonded Warehouse; State Bonded Warehouse & Storage Company.

Decatur: Union Compress Warehouse; Union Service Industries, Inc.

Geraldine; Geraldine Warehouse; Geraldine Warehouse and Storage Company, Inc.

Guntersville; Guntersville Warehouse & Storage Co.; J. H. Alford, an individual, trading as Alford Cotton Company.

Haleyville; Haleyville Cotton Warehouse; Haleyville Mill and Gin Company.

Huntsville; Cummings Bonded Warehouse; Cummings Bonded Warehouse, Inc.

Huntsville; Huntsville Warehouse; Huntsville Warehouse Company.

Huntsville; Madison Bonded Warehouse; Madison Bonded Warehouse, Inc.

Huntsville; Planters Warehouse; Planters Warehouse and Storage Company.

McCullough; McCullough Bonded Warehouse; Frank P. Currie.

Mobile; Alabama State Docks Bonded Warehouse; Alabama State Docks Department.

Montgomery; Gulf Atlantic Warehouse; Gulf Atlantic Distribution Services, (division of Anderson, Clayton & Co.).

Panola; Panola Bonded Warehouse; E. A. Parker, and Merle Walker Parker and W. O. Parker, Jr., Executrix and Executor of the Trust Estate of W. O. Parker, Deceased, trading as Panola Bonded Warehouse.

Scottsboro; Gladish Bonded Warehouse; W. L. Gladish, Jr.

Selma; Dallas Bonded Warehouse; Dallas Compress Company.

Selma; Selma Compress Warehouse; Selma Compress Company.

Sylacauga; Sylacauga Bonded Warehouse; Parker Fertilizer Company, Incorporated.

ARIZONA

Phoenix; Federal Compress Warehouse; Federal Compress & Warehouse Company.

Picacho; Federal Compress Warehouse; Federal Compress & Warehouse Company.

Yuma; Federal Compress Warehouse; Federal Compress & Warehouse Company.

ARKANSAS

Blytheville; Blytheville Compress Warehouse; Blytheville Compress Company.

Blytheville; Federal Compress Warehouse; Federal Compress & Warehouse Company.

Bradley; Bradley Bonded Warehouse; Bradley Warehouse, Inc.

Brinkley; Southern Compress Warehouse; Southern Compress Company.

Clarendon; Clarendon Warehouse; Southern Compress Company.

Cotton Plant; Cotton Plant Warehouse; Cotton Plant Warehouse Company.

Dardanelle; Dardanelle Compress Warehouse; Planters Compress Company.

Dell; Dell Compress Warehouse; Dell Compress Company of Dell, Arkansas.

Dumas; Federal Compress Warehouse; Federal Compress & Warehouse Company.

Earle; Federal Compress Warehouse; Federal Compress & Warehouse Company.

England; Federal Compress Warehouse; Federal Compress & Warehouse Company.

Eudora; Federal Compress Warehouse; Federal Compress & Warehouse Company.

Evadale (P.O. Wilson); Wilson Compress Warehouse; Memphis Compress & Storage Company.

Forrest City; Federal Compress Warehouse; Federal Compress & Warehouse Company.

Helena; Federal Compress Warehouse; Federal Compress & Warehouse Company.

Helena; Helena Compress Warehouse; Helena Compress Company.

Hughes; Federal Compress Warehouse; Federal Compress & Warehouse Company.

Jonesboro; Jonesboro Compress Company's Warehouse; B. C. Land Company.

Leachville; Arkansas Compress Warehouse; Arkansas Compress Company, Inc.

Lonoke; Lonoke Bonded Warehouse; Southern Compress Company.

Marianna; Federal Compress Warehouse; Federal Compress & Warehouse Company.

Marked Tree; Federal Compress Warehouse; Federal Compress & Warehouse Company.

Marked Tree; Rittco Cotton Warehouse; Rittco Cotton, A Division of E. Ritter & Company.

Marvell; Federal Compress Warehouse; Federal Compress & Warehouse Company.

McCrory; Federal Compress Warehouse; Federal Compress & Warehouse Company.

McGehee; Federal Compress Warehouse; Federal Compress & Warehouse Company.

Newport; Federal Compress Warehouse; Federal Compress & Warehouse Co.

North Little Rock; Southern Compress Warehouse; Southern Warehouse Co.

Osceola; Federal Compress Warehouse; Federal Compress & Warehouse Company.

Pine Bluff; Federal Compress Warehouse; Federal Compress & Warehouse Company.

Portland; Federal Compress Warehouse; Federal Compress & Warehouse Company.

Sparkman; P. H. Taylor Cotton Warehouse; Benton Taylor.

Trumann; Federal Compress Warehouse; Federal Compress & Warehouse Company.

Walnut Ridge; Federal Compress Warehouse; Federal Compress & Warehouse Company.

West Memphis; Planters Compress Warehouse; Planters Compress Company, Inc.

Wynne; Federal Compress Warehouse; Federal Compress & Warehouse Company.

CALIFORNIA

Fresno; Fresno Warehouse; Bayside Warehouse Company (California Compress Division).

GEORGIA

Arlington; Ward's Bonded Warehouse; Mrs. Carol Clementa Ward.

Atlanta; Gulf Atlantic Warehouse; Gulf Atlantic Distribution Services, (division of Anderson, Clayton & Co.).

Augusta; Georgia-Carolina Warehouse; Georgia-Carolina Warehouse & Compress Company.

Augusta; S. M. Whitney Warehouse; S. M. Whitney Company, Incorporated.

Bartow; Bryant's Bonded Warehouse; Bryant's Incorporated.

Blakely; Farmers Warehouse; The Maddox Corporation.

Camilla; Camilla Cotton Oil Company Bonded Warehouse; Camilla Cotton Oil Company.

Camilla; Walker Gin Bonded Warehouse; Walkers, Inc.

Cochran; Cochran Bonded Warehouse; William Carlton Lawson.

Columbus; Bay Street Bonded Warehouse; Fielderest Mills, Inc.

Columbus; W. C. Bradley Co. Warehouse; W. C. Bradley Co.

Cordele; Harris and McCutchen Bonded Warehouse; Harris and McCutchen, Inc.

Cordele; McCay Bonded Warehouse; McCay Gin and Warehouse Company, Inc.

Cordele; Nesbitt Bonded Warehouse; Nesbitt Bonded Warehouse, Inc.

Cuthbert; Walker & Daniel Bonded Warehouse; N. M. Walker and G. W. Daniel, copartners, trading as Walker & Daniel.

Dawson; Dawson Compress Bonded Warehouse; Dawson Compress and Storage Company.

Dawson; Terrell County Bonded Warehouse; Stevens Industries, Inc.

DeSoto; DeSoto Bonded Warehouse; DeSoto Gin and Peanut Co.

Doerun; Taylor's Bonded Cotton Warehouse; Floyd M. Taylor, Jr.; T. Elkin Taylor and Anna T. Brewer, copartners, trading as Taylor Gin and Warehouse.

Dublin; Lovett and Brinson Bonded Warehouse; Lovett and Brinson, Incorporated.

Dudley; Farmers Warehouse; Mrs. Effie B. Chappell, Roy James Chappell and, John Warthen Chappell, Executors of the Last Will and Testament of Warthen T. Chappell, deceased, and The First National Bank and Trust Company in Macon, and Gladys Combs Hogan, as Executors of the Last Will and Testament of Rubert L. Hogan deceased, partners, d/b/a Chappell & Hogan.

Fitzgerald; Ben Hill Bonded Warehouse; Fitzgerald Oil & Fertilizer Company.

Fitzgerald; Planters Warehouse and Loan Company's Warehouse; Planters Warehouse and Loan Company.

Gay; Gay Bonded Warehouse; A. G. Estes, Inc.

Hawkinsville; Blount's Warehouse; Blount's Warehouse And Gin, Inc.

Hawkinsville; Cochran Bonded Warehouse; William Carlton Lawson.

Kingston (P.O. Rome); Kingston Gin and Bonded Warehouse; Fun City Enterprises, Inc.

Leslie; Sumter-Lee Warehouse; Leslie Peanut & Gin Co., Inc.

Louisville; Planters Bonded Warehouse; Hardeman Seed Co., Inc.

Madison; Mason Bonded Warehouse; Mason Gin and Fertilizer Company.

McDonough; The Planters Warehouse; The Planters Warehouse and Lumber Company.

Meigs; Camilla Cotton Oil Bonded Warehouse; Camilla Cotton Oil Company.

Meigs; Meigs Bonded Warehouse; B & J Company, Inc.

Metter; Farmers Union Warehouse; Farmers Union Warehouse of Metter.

Midville; Midville Bonded Warehouse; Midville Cotton Warehouse Company.

Monroe; Launius Bonded Warehouse; J. William Dickinson and Dan M. Briscoe, copartners, trading as Launius Bonded Warehouse Co.

Moultrie; Taylor's Bonded Cotton Warehouse; Floyd M. Taylor, Jr., T. Elkin Taylor and Anna T. Brewer, copartners trading as Taylor Gin and Warehouse.

Parrott; W. M. Dunn's Warehouse; W. G. Dunn.
Pitts; Shell's Bonded Warehouse; A. C. Shell, Jr.
Plains; Carter's Bonded Warehouse; James Earl Carter, Jr., William A. Carter, II and Lillian G. Carter, d/b/a Carter's Warehouse.
Portal; Planters Bonded Warehouse; Planters Cotton Warehouse Company.
Rome; Commercial Bonded Warehouse; Commercial Bonded Warehouse, Inc.
Rome; Georgia and Alabama Warehouse; Georgia and Alabama Warehouse Company.
Rome; Floyd County Bonded Warehouse; Floyd County Bonded Warehouse, Inc.
Rome; Rome Warehouse; Ledbetter Trucks, Inc.
Rutledge; Hollis Bonded Warehouse; J. W. Hollis.
Sandersville; Tarbutton Bonded Warehouse; Ben J. Tarbutton, Jr. and Hugh M. Tarbutton, trading as Tarbutton Bonded Warehouse.
Social Circle; Malcom's Bonded Warehouse; D. J. Chandler.
Social Circle; Social Circle Bonded Warehouse; Duval and Co.
Soperton; Stephens Gin & Bonded Warehouse; Raymond J. Stephens.
Statesboro; Planters Cotton Warehouse; Renfrow Cotton Company, Inc.
Sylvania; Farmers Bonded Warehouse; David W. Reed d/b/a David W. Reed Company.
Sylvester; Houston Bonded Warehouse; Houston Gin & Warehouse Co.
Tennille; Planters Bonded Warehouse; W. B. Smith.
Tennille; Tennille Bonded Warehouse; Washington Ginning Company.
Vienna; Dooly Bonded Warehouse; John Hill Harris.
Vienna; J. A. Whitehead & Co. Bonded Warehouse; J. A. Whitehead.
Waynesboro; Burke County Bonded Warehouse; Burke County Gin & Fertilizer Company.
Waynesboro; Neely Bonded Cotton Warehouse; Neely Bonded Cotton Warehouse, Inc.
Waynesboro; Planters Warehouse; Planters Warehouse Company of Waynesboro.
Winder; Smith Bonded Warehouse; Smith Bonded Warehouse, Inc.
Wrightsville; Lovett's Bonded Warehouse; Lovett & Company, Incorporated.
Wrightsville; Rowland's Bonded Warehouse; Rowland's Gin and Bonded Warehouse of Wrightsville, Georgia, Inc.

LOUISIANA

Alexandria; American Compress Warehouse; Frost-Whited Company, Inc.
Bernice; Lindsey Bonded Warehouse; James D. Lindsey, Mrs. Rosalind Lindsey Albritton, et al., copartners, trading as Lindsey Bonded Warehouse Company.
Delhi; Union Compress Warehouse; Union Service Industries, Inc.
Ferriday; Union Compress Warehouse; Union Service Industries, Inc.
Lake Providence; Federal Compress Warehouse; Federal Compress & Warehouse Company.
Lake Providence; H. & W. Warehouse; H. & W. Warehouse, Inc.
Lake Providence; Hollybrook Warehouse; Hollybrook Warehouse, Inc.
Mansfield; Mansfield Bonded Warehouse, Inc.; Mansfield Bonded Warehouse, Inc.
Mer Rouge; Louisiana Cotton Warehouses; Louisiana Cotton Warehouses Company, Inc.
Monroe; Federal Compress Warehouse; Federal Compress & Warehouse Company.
Natchitoches; American Compress Warehouse; Frost-Whited Company, Inc.
New Orleans; Shippers Compress Warehouse; Meta Davis Atkinson, Clifford Atkinson, Jr., and Eugene Atkinson, Jr., trading as Atkinson & Company.
Newellton; Federal Compress Warehouse; Federal Compress & Warehouse Company.
Oak Grove; Union Compress Warehouse; Union Service Industries, Inc.
Opelousas; American Compress Warehouse; Frost-Whited Company, Inc.
Plain Dealing; Farmers-Merchants Warehouse & Storage Company, Inc.; Farmers-Merchants Warehouse & Storage Company, Inc.
Rayville; Union Compress Warehouse; Union Service Industries, Inc.
Shreveport; American Compress Warehouse; Frost-Whited Company, Inc.
Tallulah; Federal Compress Warehouse; Federal Compress & Warehouse Company.
Winnsboro; Union Compress Warehouse; Union Service Industries, Inc.

MISSISSIPPI

Aberdeen; Federal Compress Warehouse; Federal Compress & Warehouse Company.
Batesville; Federal Compress Warehouse; Federal Compress & Warehouse Company.
Belzoni; Federal Compress Warehouse; Federal Compress & Warehouse Company.
Booneville; Federal Compress Warehouse; Federal Compress & Warehouse Company.
Brookhaven; Brookhaven Compress Warehouse; MFC Services (A.A.L.).
Canton; Federal Compress Warehouse; Federal Compress & Warehouse Compnay.
Carthage; Federal Compress Warehouse; Federal Compress & Warehouse Company.
Clarksdale; Federal Compress Warehouse; Federal Compress & Warehouse Company.
Clarksdale; North Delta Compress Warehouse; North Delta Compress & Warehouse Co.
Cleveland; Federal Compress Warehouse; Federal Compress & Warehouse Company.
Como; Federal Compress Warehouse; Federal Compress & Warehouse Company.
Corinth; Federal Compress Warehouse; Federal Compress & Warehouse Company.
Drew; Federal Compress Warehouse; Federal Compress & Warehouse Company.
Drew; National Compress Warehouse; MFC Services (A.A.L.).
Flora (Kearney Park); Flora Compress Warehouse; Flora Compress and Warehouse Company.
Greenville; Delta Cooperative Compress Warehouse; Delta Cooperative Compress.
Greenville; Greenville Compress Warehouse; Greenville Compress Company.
Greenwood; Federal Compress Warehouse; Federal Compress & Warehouse Company.
Greenwood; Staplservice Compress Warehouse; Staple Cotton Services Association (A.A.L.).
Grenada; Federal Compress Warehouse; Federal Compress & Warehouse Company.
Gulfport; Mississippi Gulfport Warehouses; Mississippi-Gulfport Compress & Warehouses, Inc.
Hollandale; Deer Creek Compress Warehouse; Deer Creek Compress Company.
Holly Springs; Federal Compress Warehouse; Federal Compress & Warehouse Company.
Houston; Houston Compress Warehouse; Houston Compress Co., Inc.
Indianola; Planters Gin Co., Inc., Warehouse; Planters Gin Company, Incorporated of Indianola.
Indianola; Sunflower Compress Warehouse; The Sunflower Compress Company.
Inverness; Federal Compress Warehouse; Federal Compress & Warehouse Company.
Itta Bena; Itta Bena Cooperative Warehouse; Itta Bena Cooperative Compress Company.
Kosciusko; United Warehouse; United Warehouses, Inc.
Leland; Leland Compress Warehouse; Leland Compress Company.
Marks; Federal Compress Warehouse; Federal Compress & Warehouse Company.
New Albany; Federal Compress Warehouse; Federal Compress & Warehouse Company.
Paynes; Paynes Cotton Warehouse; Paynes Warehouse, Inc.
Pontotoc; Pontotoc Compress Warehouse; Pontotoc Warehouse Company.
Prentiss; Prentiss Bonded Warehouse; MFC Services (A.A.L.).
Quitman; Quitman Bonded Warehouse; Daniel Marston Bonney.
Ripley; Federal Compress Warehouse; Federal Compress & Warehouse Company.
Rolling Fork; Rolling Fork Compress Warehouse; Deer Creek Compress Company.
Rosedale; Rosedale Compress Warehouse; Rosedale Compress Company.
Ruleville; Federal Compress Warehouse; Federal Compress & Warehouse Company.
Shaw; Federal Compress Warehouse; Federal Compress & Warehouse Company.
Shelby; Federal Compress Warehouse; Federal Compress & Warehouse Company.
Shuqualak; Shuqualak Bonded Warehouse; A. T. Evans, Executor of the Estate of Harrison Evans.
Sledge; Federal Compress Warehouse; Federal Compress & Warehouse Company.
Tunica; Federal Compress Warehouse; Federal Compress & Warehouse Company.
Tutwiler; Federal Compress Warehouse; Federal Compress & Warehouse Company.
Union; Union Bonded Warehouse; Compress of Union.
Vicksburg; Union Compress Warehouse; Union Service Industries, Inc.
Yazoo City; Federal Compress Warehouse; Federal Compress & Warehouse Company.

MISSOURI

Arbyrd; Arbyrd Compress Warehouse; John G. Hoyt, Jr.
Caruthersville; Federal Compress Warehouse; Federal Compress & Warehouse Company.
Charleston; National Compress Warehouse; Dunklin County Compress and Warehouse Company.
Gideon; Gideon Compress Warehouse; Memphis Compress & Storage Company.
Hayti; Federal Compress Warehouse; Federal Compress & Warehouse Company.
Kennett; Dunklin County Compress Warehouse; Dunklin County Compress and Warehouse Company.
Lilbourn; Federal Compress Warehouse; Federal Compress & Warehouse Company.
Malden; Dunklin County Compress Warehouse; Dunklin County Compress and Warehouse Company.
Portageville; Federal Compress Warehouse; Federal Compress & Warehouse Company.
Portageville; Missouri Cotton Warehouse; Missouri Grain & Warehouse, Inc.
Sikeston; Federal Compress Warehouse; Federal Compress & Warehouse Company.

NEW MEXICO

Artesia; Artesia Compress Warehouse; Alma Sanders Francis, Leslie Paul Francis, William Kavanaugh Francis and Christine Francis Jones, copartners, trading as Artesia Compress Company.

NORTH CAROLINA

Battleboro; Braswell Bonded Warehouse; Warehouse Superintendent of the State of North Carolina.
Charlotte; Gulf Atlantic Warehouse; Gulf Atlantic Distribution Services (division of Anderson, Clayton & Co.).
Charlotte; Merchants Bonded Warehouse; Merchants Bonded Warehouse Company.
Cherryville; Gaston Bonded Warehouse; Mauney Cotton Company, Inc.

Conway; Conway Bonded Warehouse; Warehouse Superintendent of the State of North Carolina.
Dunn; General Utility Company's Warehouse; General Utility Company.
Edenton; Edenton Bonded Warehouse; Leary Bros. Storage Company.
Elizabeth City; Elizabeth City Bonded Warehouse; Robinson Manufacturing Company.
Enfield; Enfield Bonded Warehouse; Whitaker Warehouse, Incorporated.
Fayetteville; Cotton Growers Warehouse; Warehouse Superintendent of the State of North Carolina.
Gastonia; Avon Bonded Warehouse; Avon Bonded Warehouse, Incorporated.
Gastonia; Broad Street Bonded Warehouse; Broad Street Bonded Warehouse, Inc.
Gastonia; Central Bonded Warehouse Division of Bayside Warehouse Company; Bayside Warehouse Company.
Gastonia; Peoples Bonded Warehouse; Peoples Bonded Warehouse, Incorporated.
Gibson; Gibson Bonded Warehouse; Z. V. Pate, Incorporated.
Jackson; Northampton Warehouse; Warehouse Superintendent of the State of North Carolina.
Laurinburg; Dickson Bonded Warehouse; McNair Investment Company.
Lewiston; Lewiston Bonded Warehouse; Warehouse Superintendent of the State of North Carolina.
Lincolnton; Lincoln Bonded Warehouse; Warehouse Superintendent of the State of North Carolina.
Lumberton; Cotton Growers Warehouse; Warehouse Superintendent of the State of North Carolina.
Mooresville; Iredell Bonded Warehouse; Warehouse Superintendent of the State of North Carolina.
Morven; Cotton Growers Warehouse; Warehouse Superintendent of the State of North Carolina.
Murfreesboro; Revelle Bonded Warehouse; Chas. L. Revelle & Sons, Inc.
Nashville; Cotton Growers Warehouse; Warehouse Superintendent of the State of North Carolina.
Parkton; Parkton Bonded Warehouse; J. Q. Parnell, Inc.
Pembroke; Pembroke Bonded Warehouse; Maxton Cotton Company, Incorporated.
Raeford; Hoke Cotton Warehouse and Storage Company's Warehouse; Hoke Cotton Warehouse and Storage Company, Inc.
Red Springs; Red Springs Bonded Warehouse; Maxton Cotton Company, Incorporated.
Rich Square; Rich Square Bonded Warehouse; Warehouse Superintendent of the State of North Carolina.
Roanoke Rapids; Farmers Warehouse of Roanoke Rapids; Warehouse Superintendent of the State of North Carolina.
Roanoke Rapids; Rosemary Bonded Warehouse; William O. Dean, t/a Rosemary Bonded Warehouse Co.
Rowland; Barrow Warehouse; Jenkins and Company, Inc.
Salisbury; Salisbury Bonded Warehouse; Rowan Cotton Mills Company.
Scotland Neck; Cotton Growers Warehouse; Warehouse Superintendent of the State of North Carolina.
Scotland Neck; Edwards Bonded Warehouse; Warehouse Superintendent of the State of North Carolina.
Seaboard; Seaboard Bonded Warehouse; Warehouse Superintendent of the State of North Carolina.
Shelby; Planters and Merchants Warehouse; Planters and Merchants Warehouse Company.
Shelby; Shelby Bonded Warehouse; Warehouse Superintendent of the State of North Carolina.
Smithfield; Cotton Growers Warehouse; Warehouse Superintendent of the State of North Carolina.
St. Pauls; McColl Cotton Warehouses; Warehouse Superintendent of the State of North Carolina.
Tarboro; Edgecombe Bonded Warehouse; Warehouse Superintendent of the State of North Carolina.
Wagram; Farmers Bonded Warehouse; Johnston Brothers, Inc.
Wake Forest; Wake Bonded Warehouse; Warehouse Superintendent of the State of North Carolina.
Weldon; Cotton Growers Warehouse; Warehouse Superintendent of the State of North Carolina.
Wilson; Wilson Bonded Warehouse, Warehouse Superintendent of the State of North Carolina.
Woodland; Cotton Growers Warehouse; Warehouse Superintendent of the State of North Carolina.

SOUTH CAROLINA

Anderson; Appleton Warehouse; The Black Hawk Corporation.
Anderson; The Standard Warehouse; Standard Corporation.
Bennettsville; Marlboro Warehouses; Marlboro Warehouse Company.
Bishopville; Cotton Growers Warehouses; Cotton Growers Warehouses, Inc.
Bishopville; Farmers Bonded Warehouse; Wiley B. King.
Bishopville; King and Jordan Bonded Warehouse; W. Brent King and B. P. Jordan, copartners trading as King and Jordan Bonded Warehouse.
Clio; Clio Bonded Warehouse; B. H. Martin.
Columbia; Palmetto Compress Warehouse; Palmetto Compress and Warehouse Company.
Columbia; The Standard Warehouse; Standard Corporation.
Denmark; Denmark Bonded Warehouse; J. W. Williamson, Jr., H. M. Williamson, J. A. Williamson and J. S. Williamson, copartners trading as J. W. Williamson Co.
Edgefield; Hart Bonded Warehouse; John Rainsford, Jr.
Greenville; Black Hawk Warehouse; The Black Hawk Corporation.
Greenville; Commodity Warehouse; Commodity Warehouse Company, Inc.
Greenville; Gantt Warehouse; Gantt Warehouse Corp.
Greenville; Gulf Atlantic Warehouse; Gulf Atlantic Distribution Services (division of Anderson, Clayton & Co.).
Greenville; Industrial Storage Corporation Warehouse; Industrial Storage Corporation.
Greenwood; Textile Bonded Storage; Textile Bonded Storage, Inc.
Manning; United Bonded Warehouse; United Bonded Warehouse, Inc.
McColl; Marie Warehouse; The Black Hawk Corporation.
Newberry; Farmers Bonded Warehouse; Evelyn M. Brooks, d/b/a Farmers Bonded Warehouse.
Norway; Norway Bonded Warehouse; J. W. Williamson, Jr., H. M. Williamson, J. A. Williamson and J. S. Williamson, copartners trading as J. W. Williamson Co.
Orangeburg; The Standard Warehouse; Standard Corporation.
Spartanburg; Spartanburg Bonded Warehouses; Spartanburg Bonded Warehouses, Incorporated.
Summerton; Sumter Bonded Warehouse No. 2; Sumter Storage Company, Inc.
Sumter; Rowland Warehouse; Rowland Warehouse Company.
Turbeville; East Clarendon Bonded Warehouse; East Clarendon Storage Company.
Union; Union Bonded Warehouse; Jack B. Sanders.

TENNESSEE

Brownsville; Federal Compress Warehouse; Federal Compress & Warehouse Company.
Covington; Federal Compress Warehouse; Federal Compress & Warehouse Company.
Covington; Tennessee Warehouse; Tennessee Warehouses, Inc.
Dyersburg; Federal Compress Warehouse; Federal Compress & Warehouse Company.
Five Points; Hammond Bonded Warehouse; Laura Mae Hammond.
Henderson; Henderson Compress Warehouse; Henderson Compress Company, Inc.
Jackson; Federal Compress Warehouse; Federal Compress & Warehouse Company.
Kingsport; Borden Warehouse; The Black Hawk Corporation.
Lawrenceburg; Gladish Bonded Warehouse; Martha E. Gladish.
Memphis; Federal Compress Warehouse (South Memphis Plant); Federal Compress & Warehouse Company.
Memphis; Gulf Atlantic Warehouse; Gulf Atlantic Distribution Services (division of Anderson, Clayton & Co.).
Memphis; Memphis Compress Warehouse; Memphis Compress & Storage Company.
Memphis; Memphis Compress Warehouse (Dunavant Plant); Memphis Compress & Storage Company.
Milan; Milan Compress Warehouse; Milan Compress Company.
Ripley; Federal Compress Warehouse; Federal Compress & Warehouse Company.
Tiptonville; Federal Compress Warehouse; Federal Compress & Warehouse Company.

TEXAS

Abilene; Abilene Cotton Warehouse; National-Western Compress & Warehouse Co.
Ballinger; Ballinger Compress Warehouse; National Diversified Co. T/A Ballinger Compress & Warehouse Co.
Brownsville; Gulfside Warehouse; Bayside Warehouse Company.
Bryan; Bryan Compress Warehouse; Hearne Cotton Compress Company, Inc.
Cameron; Cameron Compress Warehouse; Central Texas Compress Company.
Corsicana; Corsicana Compress Warehouse; Exporters & Traders Compress & Warehouse Company.
Ennis; Ennis Compress & Warehouse Co.'s Warehouse; Ennis Compress & Warehouse Co.
Fort Stockton; Comanche Warehouse; Comanche Warehouse, Inc.
Galveston; Bayside Warehouse Division; Bayside Warehouse Company.
Hamlin; Hamlin Compress Warehouse; Hamlin Farmers Compress Co.
Hearne; Hearne Cotton Warehouse; Hearne Cotton Compress Company, Inc.
Hillsboro; Exporters & Traders Compress & Warehouse Company's Warehouse; Exporters & Traders Compress & Warehouse Company.
Hubbard; Hubbard Compress Warehouse; Exporters & Traders Compress & Warehouse Company.
Marlin; Exporters & Traders Compress & Warehouse Company's Warehouse; Exporters & Traders Compress & Warehouse Company.
Mexia; Mexia Cotton Warehouse, Exporters & Traders Compress & Warehouse Company.
Rosebud; Rosebud Cotton Warehouse; Central Texas Compress Company.
Rule; Rule Compress Warehouse; Farmers Compress Company.
San Angelo; Angelo Compress Warehouse; National Diversified Co. T/A Ballinger Compress & Warehouse Co.
Sweetwater; Sweetwater Compress Warehouse; National-Western Compress & Warehouse Co.
Temple; Temple Compress Warehouse; Temple Compress Warehouse Co.
Texarkana; Federal Compress Warehouse; Federal Compress & Warehouse Company.

Waco; Exporters & Traders Compress & Warehouse Company's Warehouse; Exporters & Traders Compress & Warehouse Company.
Waxahachie; Waxahachie Compress Warehouse; Waxahachie Compress Warehouse Co.

Grain

B. For the storage of grain:

ALABAMA

Town, Warehouse, and Warehouseman

Decatur; AFC Grain Elevator; AFC Marketing Service, Inc.
Decatur; Gold Kist Soy Plant; Gold Kist, Inc.
Guntersville; Cargill Guntersville Elevator; Cargill, Incorporated.

ARKANSAS

Altheimer; Altheimer Grain Warehouse; The Arkansas Rice Growers Cooperative Association.
Augusta; Lockhart-Thompson Elevator; Murray L. Lockhart, d/b/a Murray L. Lockhart Warehouse Co.
Blackwell (P.O. Morrilton); Blackwell Grain Warehouse; Riceland Foods, Inc.
Blytheville; Farmers Grain Elevator; Farmers Soybean Corporation.
Bradford; White County Grain Warehouse; Riceland Foods, Inc.
Brinkley; Brinkley Warehouse; Riviana Foods, Inc.
Carlisle; Carlisle Warehouse; Riviana Foods, Inc.
Corning; Corning Rice Warehouse; The Arkansas Rice Growers Cooperative Association.
Dardanelle; Keenan Grain Elevator; Robert Keenan, d/b/a Keenan Grain Elevator.
Delaplaine; Delaplaine Grain Warehouse; The Arkansas Rice Growers Cooperative Association.
Dermott; Lephiew Seed Elevator; Lephiew Seed Company, Inc.
Des Arc; Des Arc Rice Warehouse; The Arkansas Rice Growers Cooperative Association.
DeWitt; C & L Rice Mill Warehouse; C & L Rice Mill, Inc.
DeWitt; Cormier Rice Mill Warehouse; Cormier Rice Milling Co., Inc.
DeWitt; Farmers Coop. Elevator; The Farmers Co-operative Elevator Company.
DeWitt; Growers Elevator; Growers Elevators, Inc.
DeWitt; Pioneer DeWitt Elevator; Pioneer Food Industries, Inc.
DeWitt; Rollison Seed Elevator; Rollison Seed, Inc.
DeWitt; Troy Mitchell Elevator; Troy Mitchell, d/b/a Troy Mitchell Elevator.
Dumas; Dumas Rice Warehouse; The Arkansas Rice Growers Cooperative Association.
Elaine; Elaine Grain Warehouse; The Arkansas Rice Growers Cooperative Association.
England; Federal Drier; Federal Drier and Storage Company.
Eudora; Eudora Grain Warehouse; The Arkansas Rice Growers Cooperative Association.
Eudora; Pioneer Eudora Elevator; Pioneer Food Industries, Inc.
Evadale (P.O. Wilson); Delta Products Warehouse; Delta Products Company.
Fair Oaks; Fair Oaks Rice Warehouse; The Arkansas Rice Growers Cooperative Association.
Fair Oaks; Pioneer Fair Oaks Elevator; Pioneer Food Industries, Inc.
Gibson Switch (P.O. Jonesboro); Craighead Rice Milling Company's Warehouse; Crain Company.
Gillett; Gillett Grain Warehouse; The Arkansas Rice Growers Cooperative Association.
Hazen; Bogard Seed Company Elevator; Bogard Seed Company.
Hazen; Hazen Rice Warehouse; The Arkansas Rice Growers Cooperative Association.
Helena; Helena Cotton Oil Company's Warehouse; Helena Cotton Oil Company.
Helena; Helena Grain Warehouse; Riceland Foods, Inc.
Helena; Targca Rice Warehouse; The Arkansas Rice Growers Cooperative Association.
Hickory Ridge; Hickory Ridge Rice Warehouse; The Arkansas Rice Growers Cooperative Association.
Holly Grove; Holly Grove Grain Warehouse; The Arkansas Rice Growers Cooperative Association.
Indiana Switch (P.O. DeWitt); Dixie Dryer Elevator; Pioneer Food Industries, Inc.
Jonesboro; Jonesboro Rice Warehouse; The Arkansas Rice Growers Cooperative Association.
Jonesboro; Nettleton Gin and Elevator; Nettleton Gin and Elevator Company.
Lonoke; Lonoke Rice Warehouse; The Arkansas Rice Growers Cooperative Association.
Marianna; Lee County Grain Warehouse; Riceland Foods, Inc.
Marked Tree; St. Francis Valley Grain Warehouse; St. Francis Valley Seed Company.
Marvell; Marvell Grain Warehouse; The Arkansas Rice Growers Cooperative Association.
McGehee; McGehee Rice Warehouse; The Arkansas Rice Growers Cooperative Association.
Mellwood; Mellwood Grain Warehouse; The Arkansas Rice Growers Cooperative Association.
Morrilton; Stallings Brothers Elevator; Joe H. Stallings and Alan E. Stallings, copartners trading as Stallings Brothers Feed Mills.
Needham (P.O. Jonesboro); Klech-Crafton Elevator; Klech-Crafton Elevator Company.
Osceola; Osceola Products Warehouse; Osceola Products Company.
Parkin; East Arkansas Rice Warehouse; The Arkansas Rice Growers Cooperative Association.
Patterson; MAC Warehouse Company; G. L. Morris, trading as MAC Warehouse Company.
Penjur (P.O. Hughes); Hughes Granary Elevator; Hughes Grain Corporation.
Pine Bluff; Pioneer Pine Bluff Elevator; Pioneer Food Industries, Inc.
Proctor; Proctor Elevator; Criteo Grain Corporation.
Rector; Graves-Parmenter Elevator; Graves-Parmenter, Inc.
Stuttgart; Acme Warehouse; Riviana Foods, Inc.
Stuttgart; Bogard Elevator; Bogard Grain and Seed Company, Inc.
Stuttgart; Hartz Elevators; Jacob Hartz Seed Co., Inc.
Stuttgart; Producers Warehouse; Producers Rice Mill, Inc.
Stuttgart; Stuttgart Grain Warehouse, Riceland Foods, Inc.
Stuttgart; Stuttgart Rice Warehouse; The Arkansas Rice Growers Cooperative Association.
Tichnor; Tichnor Drier; Tichnor Drier and Storage, Inc.
Tuckerman; Tuckerman Rice Warehouse; The Arkansas Rice Growers Cooperative Association.
Van Buren; Van Buren Soybean Processing Plant; Farmland Industries, Inc.
Waldenburg; Waldenburg Warehouse; Riviana Foods, Inc.
Weiner; Weiner Rice Warehouse; The Arkansas Rice Growers Cooperative Association.
Wheatley; Wheatley Rice Warehouse; The Arkansas Rice Growers Cooperative Association.
Wilmot; Pioneer Wilmot Elevator; Pioneer Food Industries, Inc.
Wynne; Gibbs-Harris Rice Dryer, Division of Producers Rice Mill, Inc.; Producers Rice Mill, Inc.

CALIFORNIA

Arbuckle; Farmers Grain Elevator; Thomas Mezger, d/b/a Farmers Grain Elevator.
Arbuckle; Strain Ranches Warehouse; Strain Ranches, Inc.
Berenda; Valley Grain Drier Warehouse; Valley Grain Drier, Inc.
Biggs; Rice Growers Association Warehouse; Rice-Growers Association of California.
Codora Station; Glenn Growers Warehouse; Glenn Growers.
Colton; Producers Elevator; Producers Grain Corporation.
Colusa; Terhel Farms Warehouse; Terhel Farms Drier & Storage Co.
Corcoran; Salyer Grain & Milling Company; Salyer Grain and Milling Company.
East Los Angeles; Pillsbury-Globe Elevator; The Pillsbury Company.
French Camp; Continental Elevator; Continental Grain Company.
Gridley; Gridley Warehouse; Gridley Warehouses.
Grimes; Sacramento River Warehouse Co.; Delta Lines, Inc.
Hershey Station; County Line Warehouse; Robert D. Youngmark, D/B/A County Line Warehouse.
Imperial; Southwest Marketing Corporation Warehouse; Southwest Marketing Corporation.
Knights Landing; Sutter Basin Growers' Cooperative Warehouse; Sutter Basin Growers' Cooperative.
Knights Landing; Tyndall Mound Warehouse; Tyndall Warehouse Company, Inc.
Lemoore; Continental Elevator; Continental Grain Company.
Long Beach; Koppel Bulk Terminal; Koppel, Inc.
Maxwell; Colusa-Glenn Drier Company Warehouse; Colusa-Glenn Drier Company.
Ritz Station (P.O. Willows); Rice Growers Association Warehouse; Rice-Growers Association of California.
Saco Siding (P.O. Bakersfield); Continental Elevator; Continental Grain Company.
San Francisco; Port of San Francisco Grain Terminal; Stockton Elevators.
South Dos Palos; Farmers' Rice Cooperative Warehouse; Farmers' Rice Cooperative.
Stegeman Station; Farmers' Rice Cooperative Warehouse; Farmers' Rice Cooperative.
Stockton; Stockton Elevators; Stockton Elevators.
Sutter; Hi and Dry Warehouse; Hi and Dry Warehouse, Inc.
West Sacramento; California Dehydrating Co. Warehouse; California Dehydrating Co.
West Sacramento; Farmers' Rice Cooperative Warehouse; Farmers' Rice Cooperative.
West Sacramento; Port of West Sacramento Grain Terminal; Cargill of California, Inc.
West Sacramento; Rice Growers Association Warehouse; Rice-Growers Association of California.
Williams; De Pue Warehouse; De Pue Warehouse Company.
Willows; Willows Rice Drier & Storage Company Warehouse; Pacific International Rice Mills, Inc.
Woodland; C. B. C. Warehouse; Philip R. Collins, Kenneth E. Brown and Allen D. Collins, copartners doing business as C. B. C. Warehouse Co.
Woodland; Hayrico, Inc. Warehouse; Hayrico, Inc.
Woodland; Sunset Rice Dryer Warehouse; Pacific International Rice Mills, Inc.
Woodland; Woodland Warehouses; Kenneth E. Brown, doing business as Woodland Warehouses.

COLORADO

Akron; Farmers Elevator; The Yuma Farmers Milling-Mercantile Co-operative Company of Yuma, Colorado.
Amherst; Farmers Elevator; Amherst Co-operative Elevator, Inc.
Bristol; Bristol Elevator; South Eastern Colorado Coop.
Burlington; Equity Elevator; Equity Co-operative Exchange.

Byers; Farmers Marketing Elevator; Farmers Marketing Association.
Campo; Stafford Elevator; Van Stafford.
Commerce City; Par-Mar-Co Denver Elevator; Par-Mar-Co., Inc.
Denver; Cargill Denver Elevator; Cargill, Incorporated.
Denver; Farmers Marketing Association Elevator; Farmers Marketing Association.
Flagler; Flagler Equity Elevator; The Flagler Equity Co-Operative Company.
Holly; Southeastern Colorado Co-op Elevator; South Eastern Colorado Coop.
Holyoke; Holyoke Cooperative Elevator; Holyoke Cooperative Association.
Hyde (P.O. Otis); Farmers Elevator; The Yuma Farmers Milling-Mercantile Co-Operative Co. of Yuma, Colorado.
Lamar; Southeastern Colorado Co-op Elevator; South Eastern Colorado Coop.
Otis; Washington County Grain Company, Division Elevator; Rickel, Inc.
Peetz; Farmers Co-op. Elevators; The Peetz Farmers Co-operative Company.
Roggen; Roggen Farmer's Elevator; Roggen Farmer's Elevator Association.
Seibert; Co-op Elevator; The Seibert Equity Cooperative Association.
Springfield; Co-Op Elevator; The Springfield Cooperative Sales Company.
Stratton; Co-op Elevator; The Stratton Equity Cooperative Company.
Vilas; Vilas Elevator; Vilas Grain Company.
Watkins; Watkins Elevator; Watkins Elevator, Inc.
Wray; Farmers Union Elevator; The Farmers Union Cooperative Elevator Company.
Yellow Jacket; Yellow Jacket Coop; Southwest Colorado Bean Producers, Inc.
Yuma; Farmers Elevator; The Yuma Farmers Milling-Mercantile Co-Operative Company of Yuma, Colorado.

FLORIDA

Live Oak; Gold Kist Grain Elevator; Gold Kist, Inc.

GEORGIA

Gainesville; Cargill Gainesville Elevator; Cargill, Incorporated.
Macon; Central Cotton Oil; Central Cotton Oil Company.

IDAHO

American Falls; Power County Grain Growers Warehouse; Power County Grain Growers, Inc.
Bancroft; Grain Growers Warehouse; Bancroft Grain Growers, Inc.
Cottonwood; Lewiston Grain Growers Warehouse; Lewiston Grain Growers, Inc.
Craigmont; Lewiston Grain Growers Warehouse; Lewiston Grain Growers, Inc.
Downey; Downey Grain Growers Warehouse; Downey Grain Growers, Inc.
Drummond; Yellowstone Grain Growers Warehouse; Yellowstone Grain Growers, Inc.
Fairfield; Grain Growers Warehouse; Camas Prairie Grain Growers, Inc.
Grace; Gem Valley Grain Growers Warehouse; Gem Valley Grain Growers, Inc.
Grangeville; Union Warehouse & Supply Company's Warehouse; Union Warehouse & Supply Co.
Greer; Nezperce Rochdale Warehouse; Nezperce Rochdale Company.
Jerome; Marshall Warehouse; Marshall Warehouses, Inc.
Kendrick; Lewiston Grain Growers Warehouse; Lewiston Grain Growers, Inc.
Kennedy Ford; Latah County Grain Growers Warehouse; Latah County Grain Growers, Inc.
Lewiston; Lewiston Grain Growers Warehouse; Lewiston Grain Growers, Inc.
McCammon; Grain Growers Warehouse; Farmers Grain Cooperative.
Malad; Grain Growers Warehouse; Oneida County Grain Growers, Inc.
Moreland; Shields of Blackfoot Warehouse; Shields of Blackfoot, Inc.
Moscow; Dumas Seed Company Warehouse; Dumas Seed Company.
Moscow; Latah County Grain Growers Warehouse; Latah County Grain Growers, Inc.
Nezperce; Nezperce Rochdale Warehouse; Nezperce Rochdale Company.
Nezperce; Nezperce Storage Co.; Nezperce Storage Co.
Ririe; Grain Growers Warehouse; Ririe Grain and Feed Cooperative, Inc.
Soda Springs; Grain Growers Warehouse; Farmers Grain Cooperative.
Soda Springs; Soda Springs Elevator; Soda Springs Elevator, Inc.
Tetonia; Grain Growers Warehouse; Farmers Grain Cooperative.
Weston; Weston Grain Cooperative Warehouse; Weston Grain Cooperative, Inc.
Worley; Rockford Grain Growers Warehouse; Rockford Grain Growers, Inc.

ILLINOIS

Adrian; Adrian Elevator; Hancock Grain Company.
Agnew (RR 4, Sterling); Kobbeman Grain; Henry J. Kobbeman and Mary B. Kobbeman, Copartners, trading as Kobbeman Grain Company.
Albany; Bunge Corporation Albany Grain Terminal; Bunge Corporation.
Allerton; Allerton Elevator; Homer Grain Company.
Alton; Terminal Operations; Peavey Company.
Alvin; Alvin Elevator; Jack Conard, trading as Conard Grain Company.
Amboy; Amboy Elevators; Lee FS Inc.
Anchor; Anchor Elevator; Anchor Grain Company.
Andres (P.O. Peotone); Andres Elevator; Andres & Wilton Farmers Grain & Supply Co.
Arenzville; Arenzville-Hagener Elevators; Arenzville-Hagener Farmers Grain Co.
Armington; Hittle Elevator; Atkinson Grain & Fertilizer, Inc.
Ashland; Ashland Elevator; Ashland Farmers Elevator Co.
Ashton; M. L. Ewing Grain Co.; M. L. Ewing, trading as M. L. Ewing Grain Co.
Assumption; Assumption Elevators; Assumption Cooperative Grain Company.
Atkinson; Atkinson Elevator; Atkinson Grain & Fertilizer, Inc.
Atwood; Atwood Elevator; Atwood Grain and Supply Co.
Barr Station (P.O. Athens); Amac Barr Elevator; Amac, Inc.
Beardstown; Farmers Terminal Elevator; Garnac Grain Co., Inc.
Bellflower; Bellflower Elevator; Foosland Grain Co.
Belvidere; Central Grain Co. Elevator; Central Commodities, Ltd.
Bement; Farmers Elevator; Bement Grain Company.
Bethany; The Bethany Grain Company Elevator; The Bethany Grain Company.
Blandinsville; King Feed Company Elevator; King Feed Company.
Bloomington; Hasenwinkle Elevator; Hasenwinkle Grain Co.
Bourbon; Ulrich Grain Co. Elevator; Harvey C. Ulrich, trading as Ulrich Grain Co.
Broadwell; W. W. Hill Broadwell Elevator; W. W. Hill Feed & Grain Co.
Brocton; Brocton Elevator; Agre Grain Company.
Broughton; L. S. Harper Grain Co. Elevator; B. C. Christopher & Company, a limited partnership with Hearne Christopher, John H. Collett, Lawrence P. Hogan, Edward G. Mader, Ludwell G. Gaines III, Norman Supper, Robert F. Wilson, William L. Evans, Jr., Donald F. George, Kenneth G. Neff, John J. Sullivan, Sam L. Willoughby, Gary T. Whittaker, Edward A. Connelly and Larry G. McCully.
Bushnell; Bushnell O.K. Elevator; O.K. Grain Company.
Bushnell; McDonough FS Elevators; McDonough FS, Inc.
Cadwell (P.O. Arthur); Cadwell Elevator; Moultrie Grain Association.
Cairo; Mikco Grain Co. Elevator; Bunge Corporation trading as Mikco Grain Co.
Campus; Hamilton Elevator; Hamilton Elevator Company.
Carthage; Cargill Carthage Elevator; Cargill, Incorporated.
Cayuga (R.R. No. 3, Pontiac); Cayuga Elevator; Jacobson Grain Co.
Chandlerville; Chandlerville Elevator; Chandlerville Grain Co., Inc.
Chatsworth; Chatsworth and Stoddard Siding Warehouses; The Livingston of Chatsworth, Inc.
Chebanse; Hansen Bros. Grain Elevator; Arthur L. Hansen, Orval Hansen, Louie V. Hansen, Vincent Hansen, Laverne Hansen, and Virgil Hansen, Copartners, trading as Clifton Grain Co. at Clifton, Illinois, and Hansen Bros. Grain Elevator at Chebanse, Illinois.
Chestnut; Chestnut Elevator; The Farmers Grain Company of Chestnut.
Chicago; The Cargill Elevator; Cargill, Incorporated.
Chicago; Continental Elevator C; Continental Grain Company.
Chicago; Continental Elevators; Continental Grain Company.
Chicago; Garvey Rock Island Elevator; Garvey International, Inc.
Chicago; Gateway Elevator; Indiana Farm Bureau Cooperative Association, Inc.
Chicago; Rialto Elevator; General Mills, Inc.
Chicago; Santa Fe Elevator; Garvey International, Inc.
Chrisman; B. C. Christopher & Co. Elevator; B. C. Christopher & Company, a limited partnership with Hearne Christopher, John H. Collett, Lawrence P. Hogan, Edward G. Mader, Ludwell G. Gaines III, Norman Supper, Robert F. Wilson, William L. Evans, Jr., Donald F. George, Kenneth G. Neff, John J. Sullivan, Sam L. Willoughby, Gary T. Whittaker, Edward A. Connelly and Larry G. McCully.
Cisco; Cisco Grain Elevator; Cisco Cooperative Grain Co.
Clarence (P.O. Rankin); Carson Grain Co. Elevator; J. Kemp Carson and John M. Carson, copartners, trading as Carson Grain Co.
Clifton; Clifton Grain Elevator; Arthur L. Hansen, Orval Hansen, Louie V. Hansen, Vincent Hansen, Laverne Hansen, and Virgil Hansen, copartners, trading as Clifton Grain Co. at Clifton, Illinois, and Hansen Bros. Grain Elevator at Chebanse, Illinois.
Crescent City; A-Way Grain Co. Elevator; Jerry D. Ash, trading as A-Way Grain Co.
Creve Coeur; Illinois Grain Corporation, Creve Coeur Elevator; Illinois Grain Corporation.
Cruger (R.R. 1, Eureka); Farmers Elevators; Farmers Grain Cooperative of Eureka.
Culver Station (P.O. Athens); Culver Elevator; Culver-Fancy Prairie Cooperative Co.
Dalton City; Farmers Co-op Grain Co. Elevator; Farmers Co-operative Grain Company of Dalton City.
Danville; Lauhoff Elevator; Lauhoff Grain Company.
Darrow (P.O. Sheldon); Darrow Elevator; Woodland-Darrow Farmers Co-operative, Inc.
Deer Creek (RFD 1); Bell Elevator; Bell Enterprises, Inc.
Deer Grove; Cady Elevator; Cady Grain Co., Inc.
DeLand; DeLand Farmer's Elevators; DeLand Farmer's Cooperative Grain Company.
Delavan; Delavan Elevator; Delavan Cooperative Elevator Co.

Dorans (P.O. Mattoon); Dorans Elevator; Farmers Grain Company of Dorana.

Downs; Hasenwinkle Elevator; Hasenwinkle Grain Co.

Dwight; Jacobson Elevator; John E. Jacobson, trading as John Jacobson Grain.

Dwight Township (P.O. Dwight); Jacobson Terminal; Jacobson Seaway Grain Terminal Company.

Earlville; Earlville Farmers' Co-operative Elevator; Earlville Farmers' Co-operative Elevator Company.

East Hannibal (P.O. Hannibal, Missouri); Bunge Corporation East Hannibal Grain Terminal; Bunge Corporation.

East Peoria; East Peoria Elevator, Tabor & Co.; Tabor & Co.

East St. Louis; Continental Elevator; Continental Grain Company.

Edwardsville; Dippold Elevator; H. B. Stubbs, trading as Dippold Bros.

Edwardsville; Edwardsville Elevator; Madison Service Company.

Effingham; Effingham Equity Elevator; Effingham Equity.

Elburn; Elburn Co-op; Elburn Cooperative Company.

Eldorado; W. J. Meyer Elevator—Eldorado; B. C. Christopher & Company, a limited partnership with Hearne Christopher, John H. Collett, Lawrence P. Hogan, Edward G. Mader, Ludwell G. Gaines III, Norman Supper, Robert F. Wilson, William L. Evans, Jr., Donald F. George, Kenneth G. Neff, John J. Sullivan, Sam L. Willoughby, Gary T. Whittaker, Edward A. Connelly and Larry G. McCully.

Elliott; Elliott Farmers Grain Company Elevator; Elliott Farmers Grain Company.

El Paso; El Paso Elevator; El Paso Grain & Equipment Inc.

Erie; Erie Elevator; Whiteside PS, Inc.

Esmond; Esmond Elevator; Farmers' Grain Company of Esmond.

Fairbury; Farmers Grain Elevator; Farmers Grain Co. of Fairbury.

Fancy Prairie; Fancy Prairie Elevator; Culver-Fancy Prairie Cooperative Co.

Farmer City; Mitsui Elevator; Pacific Grain Co.

Fisher; Fisher Elevator; Fisher Farmers Grain and Coal Company.

Fithian; Fithian Elevator; Kenneth W. Stotler, Howard A. Stotler and Ronald B. Izard, Copartners trading as Fithian Grain Company.

Foosland; Foosland Elevator; Foosland Grain Co.

Forreston (RR1); Vet-Way Feeds; Turner-Hollewell Corporation.

Franklin Grove; Herbst Grain Co. Elevator; Herbst Grain Company.

Galesburg; Consumers; S K S Development Corporation.

Galton (RR 3 Arcola); Tabor & Co. Galton Elevator; Tabor & Co.

Galva; Galva Elevator; Galva Co-operative Grain and Supply Company.

Georgetown; B. C. Christopher & Co. Elevator; B. C. Christopher & Company, a limited partnership with Hearne Christopher, John H. Collett, Lawrence P. Hogan, Edward G. Mader, Ludwell G. Gaines III, Norman Supper, Robert F. Wilson, William L. Evans, Jr., Donald F. George, Kenneth G. Neff, John J. Sullivan, Sam L. Willoughby, Gary T. Whittaker, Edward A. Connelly and Larry G. McCully.

Gibson City; Farmers Elevator; The Farmers Grain Co. of Gibson City.

Gilman; Continental Elevator; Continental Grain Company.

Girard; Girard Elevator; Girard Elevator, Inc.

Gladstone; Gladstone Grain Co. Elevator; Charles McChesney, trading as Gladstone Grain Co.

Goodwine; Goodwine Co-operative Grain Co. Elevator; Goodwine Co-operative Grain Company.

Grant Park; Grant Park Elevator; Grant Park Co-operative Grain Co.

Gridley; Gridley Elevator; Carvey International, Inc.

Hampshire; Hampshire Elevator; Gerstenberg and Tucker, Inc.

Harmon; Albrecht Elevator; Albrecht Grain Company.

Harpster (P.O. Foosland); Harpster Elevator; Harpster Grain Co.

Harris (P.O. Farmers City); Tabor & Co. Harris Station; Tabor & Co.

Heaton (R.R. #1, Rossville); Heaton Grain Company Elevator; Heaton Grain Company, Inc.

Henkel (P.O. Mendota); Henkel Grain Co.; Henkel Grain Co., Inc.

Heyworth; Hasenwinkle Elevator; Hasenwinkle Grain Co.

Homer; Homer Elevators; Homer Grain Company.

Honegger (P.O. Fairbury); Fairbury Elevator; Honeggers' & Co., Inc.

Hudson; Hudson Elevator; Hudson Grain Company.

Hull; M.F.A. Elevator; Missouri Farmers Association, Inc.

Illiopolis; Illiopolis Grain Co. Elevator; Illiopolis Grain Co.

Iroquois; Iroquois Farmers Elevator; Iroquois Farmers Elevator.

Ivesdale; Ivesdale Elevator; Ivesdale Co-op Grain Company.

Jamaica (R.R. 1, Fairmount); Farmers Elevator; Farmers' Elevator Company of Jamaica, Illinois.

Jerseyville; Jerseyville Elevators; Jersey County Grain Company.

Kaneville; Kaneville Elevator; Kaneville Grain and Supply Company.

Kerrick (R.F.D. 1 Normal); Kerrick Elevator; Kerrick Grain, Inc.

Ladd; Ladd Elevator; The Ladd Elevator Company.

Leroy; Hasenwinkle Elevator; Hasenwinkle Grain Co.

Lexington; Kemp Elevator; Kemp Grain Co.

Lisbon Center (P.O. Newark); Lisbon Center Elevator; Farmers Cooperative Grain & Supply Co. of Lisbon Center.

Loami; Loami Elevator; Loami Grain Company, Inc.

Lostant; Tabor Elevator; Tabor & Co.

Lovington; Lovington Elevator; Moultrie Grain Association.

Ludlow; Ludlow Elevators; Ludlow Cooperative Elevator Company.

Macon; Macon Elevator; Tabor & Co.

Mahomet; James F. Parker Co. Elevator; James F. Parker Co.

Mansfield; Mansfield Grain Co. Elevator; Joseph W. Walsh, trading as Mansfield Grain Co.

Manteno; Farmers Elevator; Farmers Elevator Company of Manteno.

Maple Park (RFD 1); Troxel Plant; Hintzsche Feed and Grain, Inc.

Maroa; Maroa Farmers Coop. Elevator; Maroa Farmers Cooperative Elevator Company.

McNabb; McNabb Elevator; McNabb Grain Company.

Meadows (Route 1, Chenoa); Meadows Elevators; Meadows Cooperative Company.

Mechanicsburg; Mechanicsburg Elevator; Mechanicsburg Farmers Grain Co.

Mendota; Pasco Elevator; Pasco Mills Company.

Meriden (P.O. Mendota); Meriden Elevator; Henkel Grain Co., Inc.

Metcalf; Metcalf Elevator; Metcalf Grain, Inc.

Milmine; Milmine Farmers Elevator; Milmine Grain Company.

Minier; Minier Cooperative Elevator; Minier Cooperative Grain Company.

Minooka; Minooka Elevator; The Minooka Grain, Lumber and Supply Company.

Monticello; Monticello Elevator; Monticello Grain Company.

Morrisonville; Morrisonville-Harvel Farmers Elevator; The Morrisonville Farmers Co-operative Co.

Moweaqua; Moweaqua Farmers Elevator; Moweaqua Farmers Cooperative Grain Company.

Mt. Auburn; Tabor & Co.; Mt. Auburn Elevator; Tabor & Co.

Mt. Carroll; Johnston Feed Service; Johnston Feed Service, Inc.

Myra Station (RR 3 Urbana); B. C. Christopher & Co. Elevator; B. C. Christopher & Company, a limited partnership with Hearne Christopher, John H. Collett, Lawrence P. Hogan, Edward G. Mader, Ludwell G. Gaines III, Norman Supper, Robert F. Wilson, William L. Evans, Jr., Donald F. George, Kenneth G. Neff, John J. Sullivan, Sam L. Willoughby, Gary T. Whittaker, Edward A. Connelly and Larry G. McCully.

Newman; Miller Grain Division Elevator; Tabor & Co.

Niantic; Niantic Farmers Elevators; Niantic Farmers Grain Company.

Oakland; Miller Grain Division Elevator; Tabor & Co.

Ogden; Wilson-Richter Elevator; Wilson-Richter, Inc.

Old Shawneetown (R.R. 1, Shawneetown); Bunge Corporation Shawneetown Grain Terminal; Bunge Corporation.

Olive Branch; B. C. Christopher & Co. Elevator; B. C. Christopher & Company, a limited partnership with Hearne Christopher, John H. Collett, Lawrence P. Hogan, Edward G. Mader, Ludwell G. Gaines III, Norman Supper, Robert F. Wilson, William L. Evans, Jr., Donald F. George, Kenneth G. Neff, John J. Sullivan, Sam L. Willoughby, Gary T. Whittaker, Edward A. Connelly and Larry G. McCully.

Orleans (RR 1, Alexander); Orleans Farmers Elevators; Garnac Grain Co., Inc.

Owaneco; Owaneco-Millersville Elevators; Mid-Illinois Farmers Co-Operative.

Pana; Pana Elevator; Mid-Illinois Farmers Co-Operative.

Paris; Adams Elevator; Agre Grain Company.

Paris; Paris Elevator; Illinois Cereal Mills, Inc.

Parnell (R.R. 2, Farmer City); Walsh Grain Elevator; Walsh Grain Elevator, Inc.

Peoria; Riverside Elevator; Riverside Elevator Co.

Perdueville (P.O. Paxton); Perdueville Elevator; Ludlow Cooperative Elevator Company.

Pesotum; Pesotum Elevator; Janet Horton Boyer, Fred G. Boyer and Mary Martha Messmore copartners trading as Pesotum Grain Company.

Petersburg; Amac Petersburg Elevator; Amac, Inc.

Pittsfield; King Elevator; M. D. King Milling Company.

Pittwood (RR #4, Watseka); Gillespie Grain Company; Gillespie Grain Company.

Pleasant Plains; Pleasant Plains Elevator; P.P. Farmers' Elevator Co.

Polo; Olsen Elevator; Edward G. Olsen, trading as Olsen's Elevator and Feeds.

Pontiac; Pontiac Elevator; Jacobson Grain Co.

Poplar Grove; McLay Elevator; McLay Grain Company.

Princeton; Hopkins Grain Co. Elevator; Hopkins Lumber Company, Incorporated.

Ransom; Ransom Elevator; The Farmers Elevator Co. of Ransom, Illinois.

Redmon; English Elevator; Edward English, trading as English Grain Company.

Ridge Farm; B. C. Christopher & Co. Elevator; B. C. Christopher & Company, a limited partnership with Hearne Christopher, John H. Collett, Lawrence P. Hogn, Edward G. Mader, Ludwell G. Gaines III, Norman Supper, Robert F. Wilson, William L. Evans, Jr., Donald F. George, Kennetch G. Neff, John J. Sullivan, Sam L. Willoughby, Gary T. Whittaker, Edward A. Connelly and Larry G. McCully.

Roberts; Hicks Grain Terminals; Hicks Grain Terminals, Inc.

Rochelle (RR 1); Maplehurst Farms Elevator; Maplehurst Farms, Inc.

Rossville; Rossville Grain Company Elevator; Rossville Co., Inc.

Rowe (R.R. No. 3, Pontiac); Rowe-Cornell Elevators; Jacobson Grain Co.

Royal; Busboom Grain Co. Elevator; Busboom Grain Co., Inc.

Rushville; Schuyler-Brown FS Elevator; Schuyler-Brown FS.

Sadorus; Sadorus Co-op Elevators; Sadorus Co-operative Elevator Co.

Secor; Secor Elevator; The Secor Elevator Company.

Serena; Serena Elevator; La Salle County Farm Supply Company.

St. Jacob; St. Jacob Elevator; Toberman Grain Company.

St. Louis and East St. Louis; St. Louis Grain Corporation Elevator; St. Louis Grain Corporation.

Shawneetown; T. Y. Williams Grain & Seed Co. Elevator; B. C. Christopher & Company, a limited partnership with Hearne Christopher, John H. Collett, Lawrence P. Hogan, Edward G. Mader, Ludwell G. Gaines III, Norman Supper, Robert F. Wilson, William L. Evans, Jr., Donald F. George, Kenneth G. Neff, John J. Sullivan, Sam L. Willoughby, Gary T. Whittaker, Edward A. Connelly and Larry G. McCully.

Sheldon; Sheldon Elevator; The Early and Daniel Company.

Shipman; Shipman Elevator; Shipman Elevator Company.

Shirley; Shirley Elevator; McLean County Service Company.

Sibley; Sibley Grain Company Elevator; The Sibley Grain Company.

Sidell; B. C. Christopher & Co. Elevator; B. C. Christopher & Company, a limited partnership with Hearne Christopher, John H. Collett, Lawrence P. Hogan, Edward G. Mader, Ludwell G. Gaines III, Norman Supper, Robert F. Wilson, William L. Evans, Jr., Donald F. George, Kenneth G. Neff, John J. Sullivan, Sam L. Willoughby, Gary T. Whittaker, Edward A. Connelly and Larry G. McCully.

Smithshire; Twomey Company; Twomey Company.

South Beloit; Elevator B; Beloit Grain Company.

Speer; Allen Grain Inc. Elevator; Allen Grain Inc.

Springfield; W. W. Hill Springfield Elevator; W. W. Hill Feed & Grain Co.

State Line;[1] State Line Elevator; State Line Elevator, Inc.

Sterling; Sterling-Galt Elevators; Whiteside FS, Inc.

Steward; Steward Elevators; Lee FS Inc.

Stockland; Stockland Elevator; Stockland Grain Company, Inc.

Stonington; Stonington Cooperative Grain Company Elevator; Stonington Cooperative Grain Company.

Strawn; Strawn Warehouses; Honeggers' & Co. Inc.

Sullivan; Sullivan Elevator; Tabor & Co.

Sweetwater (RR #1 Greenview); Sweetwater Elevator; Sweetwater Grain Cooperative Association.

Symerton (P.O. Wilmington); Symerton Elevator; Will-DuPage Service Company.

Tallula; Tabor & Co.; Tallula Elevator; Tabor & Co.

Taylorville; Continental Grain Co. Taylorville Elevator; Continental Grain Company.

Taylorville; Wayne Feed Supply Co. Elevator; Allied Mills, Inc.

Thomasboro; Thomasboro Grain Co. Elevator; Thomasboro Grain Co.

Tolono, R.R. 2; Apex Terminal Warehouses; Apex Terminal Warehouses Inc.

Tolono; Tolono Elevator; Savoy Grain Company.

Trenton; Trenton Farmers Elevator; Trenton Cooperative Equity Exchange.

Urbana; National Protein Corporation Elevator; National Protein Corporation.

Ursa; Ursa Elevator; Ursa Farmers Co-operative Company.

Villa Grove; Villa Grove Farmers Elevator, Villa Grove Farmers Elevator Company.

Walton (R.R. 2, Dixon); Walton Elevator; Walton Elevator Company.

Wapella; Hasenwinkle Elevator; Hasenwinkle Grain Co.

Warsaw; Warsaw Elevator; Hancock Grain Company.

Watkins (P.O. Farmer City); Watkins Elevator; Weedman Grain and Coal Company.

Weedman (R.R. 1, Farmer City); Weedman Elevator; Weedman Grain and Coal Company.

Weldon; Weldon Grain Co. Elevator; Weldon Co-operative Grain Company.

Wenona (RR); Moon Grain-Wenona Elevator; Moon Grain and Agri-Services, Inc.

Wenona; Tabor & Co.—Wenona; Tabor & Co.

West Brooklyn; West Brooklyn Elevator; West Brooklyn Farmers Co-operative Co.

Williamsville; W. W. Hill Williamsville Elevator; W. W. Hill Feed & Grain Co.

Wilton (P.O. Manhattan); Wilton Elevator; Andres & Wilton Farmers Grain & Supply Co.

Windsor; Neal-Cooper Grain Co. Elevator; Neal-Cooper Grain Co.

Winnebago; W. T. Berg Elevator; Beloit Grain Company.

Wyanet; Wyanet Elevator; Carl Lavern Barker, trading as Barker Milling and Grain Co.

Yuton (R.R. 4, Bloomington); McLean County Service Co., Elevator; McLean County Service Company.

INDIANA

Amboy; Amboy Elevator; Amboy Grain Co., Inc.

Bourbon; Bourbon Elevator Co. Elevator; Central States Grain Co., Inc.

Brookston; Brookston Grain Co. Elevators; Demeter, Inc.

Burlington; Star Elevator; Star Roller Mills Corporation.

Camden; Camden Elevator; Allison, Steinhart & Zook, Inc.

Camden (R.R. No. 1); Triangle Feeds, Inc. Elevator; Triangle Feeds, Inc.

Carlisle; Sprinkle Elevator; Sprinkle Elevators, Inc.

Dunn (RR 2, Fowler); Dunn-Raub Grain Elevators; Demeter, Inc.

Earl Park; York-Richland Grain Elevators; York-Richland Grain Elevators, Inc.

East Chicago (Indiana Harbor); The New York Central Elevator; Farmers Grain Dealers Association of Iowa (Cooperative).

Edinburg (R.R. No. 1); Durham Road Elevator; Community Grain, Inc.

Emporia (RR #1, Markleville); Emporia Elevator; Emporia Elevator, Inc.

Falmouth; Falmouth Elevator; Falmouth Farm Supply, Inc.

Flora; Flora Elevator; Allison, Steinhaft & Zook, Inc.

Fowler (R.R. 1); Lochiel-Goodland Elevators; Demeter, Inc.

Franklin; R.R. 2; Norton Grain Elevator; Crystal Springs Grain Corporation.

Free (R.R. 2, Fowler); Free Grain Elevator; Watland Farms, Inc., trading as Free Grain Company.

Indianapolis; Acme-Evans Elevator; General Grain, Inc.

Indianapolis; Beech Grove Elevator; The Early and Daniel Company.

Kempton; Kempton Elevator; Kempton Grain & Supply Corp.

Kirklin; Moore-Costlow Elevator; Moore-Costlow, Inc.

Klondike; Tippecanoe Grain Co. Elevator; Central States Grain Co., Inc.

Kokomo; Kokomo Elevator; Kokomo Grain and Feed Co. Inc.

Laotto; Laotto Elevator Co. Elevator; Central States Grain Co., Inc.

Ligonier; Lyon and Greenleaf Elevator; Lyon and Greenleaf Company, Incorporated.

Lyons; Sprinkle Elevator; Sprinkle Elevator, Inc.

Manilla; Manilla Grain Co. Elevator; Manilla Grain Co., Inc.

Marshfield; Marshfield Elevator; Jack Conard, trading as Conard Grain Company.

Maxwell; Maxwell Grain Company Elevator; Maxwell Grain Company, Inc.

Monterey; Buckeye Feed and Supply Elevator; The Buckeye Feed and Supply, Inc.

Morocco; Golden Rule Grain Morocco Elevator; George L. Sterrenberg, Dorothy H. Sterrenberg, Lester E. Whaley, Elizabeth J. Whaley, Wendell Whaley and Jo Ellen Whaley, co-partners trading as Golden Rule Grain.

Morristown; Morristown Elevator; Morristown Elevator Co., Inc.

Mount Ayr; Grow Elevator; Grow Farms Grain Corporation.

New Haven; Allen County Grain & Storage; Central States Grain Co., Inc.

New Market; Layne & Myers Elevator; Priscilla Opal Layne, Leland Eugene Layne, David L. Myers, and Lorinda Jane Myers, copartners, trading as Layne & Myers Grain Co.

New Paris; Martin's Grain Elevator; Martin's Feed Mills, Inc.

Noblesville; Noblesville Elevator; Hamilton County Farm Bureau Co-Operative Association, Inc.

Peru; Canal Elevator; Allison, Steinhart & Zook, Inc.

Pinola (R.R. #1 La Porte); Pinola Elevator; Pinola Elevator Co., Inc.

Portland; Haynes Milling Co., Inc. Elevator; Haynes Milling Co., Inc.

Reynolds; Pillsbury Reynolds Elevator; The Pillsbury Company.

Romney (R.R. #1); Tippecanoe Grain Co. Elevator; Central States Grain Co., Inc.

Rushville; Allied Mills Rushville Elevator; Allied Mills, Inc.

Sandborn; Sprinkle Elevator; Sprinkle Elevators, Inc.

Shideler (R.R. #1, Eaton); Shideler Grain Co. Elevator; Shideler Grain Co., Inc.

Shirley; Shirley Feed Mill; Shirley Feed Mill Inc.

State Line;[1] State Line Elevator; State Line Elevators, Inc.

Sullivan; Johnson Mill & Elevator; Johnson Experimental Farm, Inc.

Swanington; Central States Grain Storage; Central States Grain Co., Inc.

Thorntown; Sugar Creek Elevator; Allison, Steinhart & Zook, Inc.

Vincennes; Baltic Mills, Inc. Elevator; Baltic Mills, Inc.

Wakarusa; Allied Mills Wakarusa Elevator; Allied Mills, Inc.

[1] In Illinois and Indiana.

[1] In Illinois and Indiana.

IOWA

Adair; Adair Elevator; Adair Feed and Grain Co.

Akron; Akron Feed and Grain Co. Elevator; Robert B. Scroggs, and Frank D. Scroggs, copartners, tradings as Akron Feed and Grain Co.

Albert City; Farmers Elevators; Farmers Cooperative Elevator Company.

Albion; Albion Elevator; Haverhill Elevator, Inc.

Algona; Cargill Algona Elevator; Cargill, Incorporated.

Allendorf; Farmers Elevator; Farmers Cooperative Elevator Company.

Alta; Agland Elevators; Agland Cooperatives.

Alta; Cargill Alta Elevator; Cargill, Incorporated.

Alton; Farmers Cooperative Elevator; Farmers Mutual Cooperative Company.

Altoona; Farmers Elevator; Farmers Elevator Company.

Anita; Anita Elevator; Anita Feed Service, Inc.

Archer; Archer Elevator; Archer Cooperative Grain Company.

Armstrong; Cargill Elevator; Cargill, Incorporated.

Auburn; Pick Elevator; Pick Grain Company, Inc.

Aurelia; Farmers Elevator; Farmers Cooperative Company.

Avon Lake (PO Carlisle); General Mills Elevator; General Mills, Inc.

Barnum; Barnum Elevator; Wieston Grain Company, Incorporated.

Beaver; Cargill Beaver Elevator; Cargill, Incorporated.

Blanchard; Farmers Coop Elevator; Farmers Cooperative Elevator Company.

Blencoe; Farmers Elevators; Blencoe Cooperative Company.

Blockton; MFA Exchange Elevator; Missouri Farmers Association, Inc.

Bondurant; Farmers Elevator "B"; Farmers Elevator Company.

Booneville; Booneville Coop.; Booneville Cooperative Elevator Co.

Boyden; Farmers Elevator; Farmers Cooperative Association.

Bradgate; Farmers Elevators; Farmers Cooperative Company.

Burlington; Burlington & Mississippi Elevator; ADM Grain Co.

California Junction (P.O. Missouri Valley); Loveland Elevator; B. C. Christopher & Company, a limited partnership with Hearne Christopher, John H. Collett, Lawrence P. Hogan, Edward G. Mader, Ludwell G. Gaines III, Norman Supper, Robert F. Wilson, William L. Evans, Jr., Donald P. George, Kenneth G. Neff, John J. Sullivan, Sam L. Willoughby, Gary T. Whittaker, Edward A. Connelly and Larry G. McCully.

Carnes; Farmers Cooperative Elevator; Farmers Mutual Cooperative Company.

Carpenter; Northwood Co-op Elevator; Northwood Cooperative Elevator.

Cedar Rapids; Cargill Cedar Rapids Elevator; Cargill, Incorporated.

Cedar Rapids; Cargill Cedar Rapids East Elevator; Cargill, Incorporated.

Chariton; Chariton Feed and Grain Elevator; Chariton Feed and Grain, Inc.

Chariton; Farmers Elevator; Farmers Cooperative Association.

Cherokee; Farmers Elevator; Farmers Cooperative Company, of Cleghorn, Iowa.

Clarion; Farmers Elevators; Clarion Farmers Elevator Cooperative.

Clarksville; Voss Milling Company; Paul F. Voss, trading as Voss Milling Company.

Clearfield; MFA Exchange Elevator; Missouri Farmers Association, Inc.

Cleghorn; Farmers Elevators; Farmers Cooperative Company, of Cleghorn, Iowa.

Coburg; Johnson Bros. Elevator, Johnson Bros. Mills, Inc.

Conroy; Farmers Coop Elevator; Farmers Cooperative Grain and Lumber Company.

Cooper; Milligan Elevators; Milligan Bros. Grain Co.

Council Bluffs; Bartlett Elevator; Bartlett and Company Grain.

Council Bluffs; Cargill Council Bluffs Elevator; Cargill, Incorporated.

Council Bluffs; Omaha Elevator A; Hawkeye Elevator Company.

Council Bluffs; Pillsbury Company Elevator; The Pillsbury Company.

Council Bluffs; Scoular-Welsh Council Bluffs Elevator; Scoular-Welsh Grain Co.

Cresco; Huntting Elevator; Huntting Elevator Company.

Creston; Farmers Coop Elevator; Farmers Cooperative Company.

Cushing; Crawford Elevator; Crawford Elevator Co.

Danville; Farm Service Elevator; Des Moines County Farm Service Company.

Davenport; International Multifoods Davenport Elevator; International Multifoods Corporation.

Dedham; Farmers Elevators; Dedham Cooperative Association.

Des Moines; Cargill Des Moines Elevator; Cargill, Incorporated.

Des Moines; F–G–D–A Des Moines Terminals; Farmers Grain Dealers Association of Iowa (Cooperative).

Dike; Farmers Cooperative Elevator; Farmers Cooperative Company.

Donnellson; Farm Service Elevator; Des Moines County Farm Service Company.

Emmetsburg; Cargill Elevator; Cargill, Incorporated.

Essex; Essex Elevator; Essex Elevator, Inc.

Everly; Farmers Elevator; Farmers Co-Operative Elevator Company of Everly, Iowa.

Farragut; Farmers Coop Elevators; Fremont County Cooperative.

Farragut; Farragut Elevator; Farragut Elevator Co.

Fonda; Cargill Elevator; Cargill, Incorporated.

Fontanelle; Farmers Coop Co. Elevator; Farmers Cooperative Company.

Fort Dodge; Big 4 Elevator; Land O'Lakes, Inc.

Fort Dodge; Fort Dodge Elevator; Wieston Grain Company, Incorporated.

Gillett Grove; Cargill Elevator; Cargill Incorporated.

Gilman; Farmers Coop Warehouse; Farmers Cooperative.

Glidden; Farmers Elevator; Farmers Cooperative Company.

Gowrie; Consolidated Elevators; Consolidated Cooperative, Inc.

Granville; Bunkers Elevator; Bunkers Feed & Supply, Inc.

Granville; Granville Farmers Elevators; Farmers Cooperative Company.

Greenfield; Farmers Elevator; Farmers Cooperative Company.

Greenfield; Feeders Service Warehouse; Feeders Service, Inc.

Greenville; Farmers Elevator; Farmers Cooperative Elevator Company.

Grinnell; Farmers Exchange Elevator; Farmers Exchange, Inc.

Grinnell; Grinnell Feed & Grain Elevator; Grinnell Feed and Grain, Inc.

Grundy Center; Farmers Elevator; Farmers Cooperative Elevator Company.

Hamburg; Reid Elevator; Reid Grain Co., Inc.

Harlan; Squealer Grain Elevator; Squealer Grain Company.

Hartley; Huntting Elevator; Huntting Elevator Company.

Haverhill; Haverhill Elevator; Haverhill Elevator, Inc.

Hawarden; Scroggs Elevator; Scroggs Feed and Grain Co.

Highview (P.O. Webster City); United Coop Elevators; United Cooperative.

Hillsboro; Hillsboro Elevator; Hillsboro Elevator, Inc.

Hinton; Farmers Elevators; Farmers Cooperative Company.

Hospers; Bosma Elevator; Joe's Feed Service, Inc.

Hospers; Van Iperen Elevator; Van Iperen Feed & Grain Co.

Houghton; Houghton Elevator; Houghton Elevator, Inc.

Imogene; Imogene Elevator; Imogene Grain, Inc.

Ireton; Farmers Elevator; Farmers Cooperative Society.

Ireton; Ireton Elevator; Jack's Feed Store, Inc.

Jefferson; Milligan Elevators; Milligan Bros. Grain Co.

Keota; Wayne Feed Supply Co. Elevator; Allied Mills, Inc.

Kingsley; Farmers Elevators; The Farmers Elevator Company.

Lakota; Wayne Feed Supply Co. Elevator; Allied Mills, Inc.

Lamoni; Farmers Co-op Grain & Seed Elevator; Farmers Cooperative Grain & Seed Company.

Lanesboro; Farmers Elevator; Farmers Cooperative Company.

Langdon; Farmers Elevator; Farmers Cooperative Elevator Company.

Larrabee; Farmers Cooperative Elevator; Farmers Cooperative Elevator Company of Larrabee.

Laurel; Farmers Coop Warehouse; Farmers Cooperative.

Le Mars; Good Morning Elevators; Meis Seed & Feed Co.

Le Mars; Le Mars Elevator; Le Mars Hatchery and Feed, Incorporated.

Le Mars; West Le Mars Elevator; West Le Mars Feed and Grain.

Lenox; Country Boys Elevator; A. J. Ettleman and Mildred P. Ettleman, Copartners, trading as Country Boys' Lumber and Concrete Company and the Country Boys' Elevator Company.

Lidderdale; Farmers Elevator; Farmers Cooperative Company.

Lidderdale; Wenck Warehouse; Wenck Feeds, Incorporated.

Lynnville; Tice Feed & Grain; Roger L. Tice, trading as Tice Feed & Grain.

Lytton; Lytton Elevator; Lytton Cooperative Elevator Company.

Malcolm; Malcolm Farmers Cooperative Elevator; Malcolm Farmers Cooperative Elevator.

Manning; Manning Agricultural Center; Orland D. Fara, trading as Manning Agricultural Center.

Manson; Farmers Co-Op Elevator; Farmers Cooperative Company.

Manson; Manson Elevator; Wieston Grain Company, Incorporated.

Marcus; Farmers Elevators; Farmers Cooperative Elevator.

Mason City; F–G–D–A Mason City Elevator; Farmers Grain Dealers Association of Iowa (Cooperative).

Massena; Massena Elevator; Massena Cooperative Company.

Matlock; Farmers Elevator; Farmers Cooperative Elevator Association of Sheldon, Iowa.

Mediapolis; Farm Service Elevator; Des Moines County Farm Service Company.

McGregor; Mississippi River Terminal No. 2; Farmers Grain Dealers Association of Iowa (Cooperative).

McPaul (P. O. Thurman); Lincoln Grain Elevator; Lincoln Grain, Inc.

Meekers Landing (Rt. 2, Burlington); Mississippi River Terminal; Farmers Grain Dealers Association of Iowa (Cooperative).

Melvin; Farmers Elevator; Sanborn Cooperative Grain Company.

Missouri Valley; Loveland Elevator; B. C. Christopher & Company, a limited partnership with Hearne Christopher, John H. Collett, Lawrence P. Hogan, Edward G. Mader, Ludwell G. Gaines III, Norman Supper, Robert F. Wilson, William L. Evans, Jr., Donald F. George, Kenneth G. Neff, John H. Sullivan, Sam L. Willoughby, Gary T. Whittaker, Edward A. Connelly and Larry G. McCully.

Modale; Farmers Elevators; Modale Cooperative Association.

Modale; Loveland Elevator; B. C. Christopher & Company, A limited partnership with Hearne Christopher, John H. Collett, Lawrence P. Hogan, Edward G. Mader, Ludwell G. Gaines III, Norman Supper, Robert F. Wilson, William L. Evans, Jr., Donald F. George, Kenneth G. Neff, John J. Sullivan, Sam L. Willoughby, Gary T. Whittaker, Edward A. Connelly and Larry G. McCully.

Mondamin; Farmers Elevators; Farmers Co-operative Co.

Montezuma; Montezuma Feed and Grain; Montezuma Feed and Grain, Inc.

Moorhead; Moorhead Elevator; Moorhead Cooperative.

Morrison; Morrison Elevator; Morrison Cooperative Association.

Moulton; Moulton Elevator; Kenneth E. Haas, trading as Moulton Elevator Co.

Mount Union; Mount Union Coop.; Mount Union Cooperative Elevator Co.

Muscatine; Mississippi River Terminal No. 3; Farmers Grain Dealers Association of Iowa (Cooperative).

Newburg; Farmers Coop Warehouse; Farmers Cooperative.

New Hartford; Farmers Cooperative Elevator; Farmers Cooperative Company.

New London; Farmers Coop Elevator; New London Farmers Cooperative.

Nodaway; Nodaway Elevator; Nodaway Elevator, Inc.

Nora Springs; Nora Springs Elevator; Nora Springs Cooperative Company.

Northwood; Northwood Co-Op Elevator; Northwood Cooperative Elevator.

Oakville; Oakville Elevator; Oakville Feed & Grain, Inc.

Ocheyedan; Ocheyedan Elevator; Cooperative Elevator Association.

Odebolt; Odebolt Cooperative Elevator; Odebolt Cooperative Elevator Company.

Onawa; Farmers Coop Elevator; Farmers Cooperative Elevator Company.

Onawa; Langren Elevator; Langren Grain Company, Incorporated.

Pacific Junction; Lincoln Grain Elevator; Lincoln Grain, Inc.

Palmer; Farmers Elevator; Farmers Cooperative Company.

Paullina; Paullina Farmers Elevators; Farmers Cooperative Company.

Pella; Farmers Co-operative Exchange Elevator; Farmers' Co-operative Exchange.

Percival; Percival Grain Elevators; Percival Grain, Inc.

Peterson; Peterson Elevator; Peterson Cooperative Elevator Company.

Pierson; Farmers Elevators; Farmers Cooperative Elevator Company.

Pocahontas; Farmers Cooperative Elevators; Farmers Cooperative Company.

Polk City; Polk City Elevator; Polk City Grain Co.

Portsmouth; G & R Elevator; G & R Feed and Grain Co., Inc.

Primghar; Nicholson & Edwards Elevator; William R. Nicholson, William A. Edwards and R. S. Nicholson, Copartners, trading as Nicholson & Edwards Grain Co.

Radcliffe; Farmers Cooperative Elevator; Farmers Cooperative Elevator Company.

Ralston; Farmers Elevators; Farmers Cooperative Association.

Redfield; Cargill Redfield Elevator; Cargill, Incorporated.

Red Oak; Farmers Mercantile Elevator; Farmers Mercantile Company, A Cooperative.

Reinbeck; Reinbeck Elevator; Morrison Cooperative Association.

Rembrandt; Cargill Elevator, Cargill, Incorporated.

Remsen; Farmers Cooperative Elevator; Farmers Cooperative Company.

Remsen; Remsen Roller Mill; Remsen Roller Mill, Inc.

Riceville; Riceville Elevator; R. A. Nauman, Carl H. Smith and Keith K. Eastman, copartners, trading as Farmers Feed & Grain Company.

River Sioux; Farmers Elevator; Farmers Co-operative Co.

Rock Rapids; Cargill Elevator, Cargill, Incorporated.

Royal; Cargill Elevator; Cargill, Incorporated.

Rudd; Rudd Coop. Elev.; Farmers Cooperative Company.

Salem; Salem Elevator; Salem Elevator, Inc.

Sanborn; Farmers Elevator; Sanborn Cooperative Grain Company.

Sexton; Cargill Sexton Elevator; Cargill, Incorporated.

Shelby; Farmers Elevator; Shelby Farmers Elevator, Inc.

Sheldon; Big 4 Elevator; Land O'Lakes, Inc.

Sheldon; Farmers Elevators; Farmers Cooperative Elevator Association of Sheldon, Iowa.

Shenandoah; Farmers Elevators; Farmers' Cooperative Exchange.

Shenandoah; Johnson Bros. Elevators; Johnson Bros. Mills, Inc.

Shenandoah; Van Buskirk Elevator; The Nishna Valley Grain Company.

Sherman (P.O. Hubbard); Farmers Cooperative Elevator; Farmers Cooperative Elevator Company.

Sherwood (P.O. Rockwell City); Sherwood Elevator; George Reko, trading as Sherwood Grain.

Sibley; Farmers Elevator; Farmers Co-Op Elevator Co.

Sigourney; Wayne Feed Supply Co. Elevator; Allied Mills, Inc.

Sioux Center; Farmers Elevator; Farmers Cooperative Society.

Sioux Center; Sioux Feed Elevator; Sioux Feed Company, Inc.

Sioux City; Bartlett Elevator: Bartlett and Company Grain.

Sioux City; Cargill Sioux City Elevator "A"; Cargill, Incorporated.

Sioux City; Elevator "B"; Harley G. Hall, trading as Hall Grain Company.

Sioux City; Farmers Union Elevator; Farmers Union Grain Terminal Association.

Sioux City; Terminal Grain Corporation Elevator; Terminal Grain Corporation.

Sloan; Cargill Elevator; Cargill, Incorporated.

Sloan; Farmers Elevator; Farmers Cereal Company (Cooperative).

Spencer; Farmers Elevator; Farmers Cooperative Elevator Company.

Stanhope; Cargill Elevator; Cargill, Incorporated.

Superior; Superior Cooperative Elevator; Superior Cooperative Elevator Company.

Sutherland; Sutherland Elevator; Sutherland Farmers Cooperative Company.

Swea City; Cargill Elevator; Cargill, Incorporated.

Tabor; Tabor Feed Plant; Tabor Feed Plant, Inc.

Tama; Werner Grain & Feed Elevator; Werner's Inc.

Templeton; Farmers Elevator; Farmers Cooperative Company.

Treynor; Treynor Elevator; Treynor Feed & Grain, Inc.

Ute; Gregerson Elevator; James Gregerson, trading as Gregerson Elevator.

Villisca; Villisca Elevator; Villisca Elevator, Inc.

Vincent; Co-Op Elevators; New Cooperative, Inc.

Vinton; Farmers Grain; Clare O. Donels, trading as Farmers Grain and Cooperative Company.

Ware (P.O. Havelock); Cargill Elevator; Cargill, Incorporated.

Washington; Cargill Washington Elevator; Cargill, Incorporated.

Washta; Cargill Elevator; Cargill, Incorporated.

Webb; Webb Elevator; Scoular-Bishop Grain Company.

Westfield; Westfield Feed and Grain Co.; Westfield Feed and Grain Co.

Wieston (P.O. Manson); Wieston Elevator; Wieston Grain Company, Incorporated.

Wightman (P.O. Lohrville); Wightman Elevator; Joseph B. Kavanaugh, trading as Wightman Feed and Grain.

Williams; Farmers Cooperative Elevator; Farmers Cooperative Elevator Company.

Winfield; Farmers Coop Elevator; Farmers Cooperative Company.

KANSAS

Abbyville; Abbyville Coop Elevator; The Farmers Cooperative Grain Company.

Abilene; ADM Elevator; ADM Milling Co.

Alamota; Alamota Farmers Elevator; The Farmers Cooperative Elevator and Mercantile Association.

Albert; Pawnee Elevator; The Pawnee County Cooperative Association.

Amy; Amy Farmers Elevator; The Farmers Cooperative Elevator and Mercantile Association.

Andale; Farmers Elevator; The Andale Farmers Cooperative Company.

Anthony; Farmers Cooperative Elevator; Anthony Farmer's Cooperative Elevator Co.

Argonia; Danville Coop Elevator; Danville Cooperative Association.

Arkansas City; Ark City Elevator; Dixie Portland Flour Mills, Inc.

Arkansas City; New Era Mill; The New Era Milling Company.

Arlington; Coop Elevator; The Co-operative Exchange.

Atchison; Lincoln Grain, Inc. Elevator; Lincoln Grain, Inc.

Atlanta; Atlanta Co-op Elevator; The Atlanta Cooperative Association.

Atwood; Equity Elevator; The Atwood Equity Co-Operative Exchange.

Baileyville; Coop Elevator; The Nemaha County Co-operative Association.

Bavaria; Farmers Elevator; The Farmers Elevator Cooperative Company.

Bazine; Co-op Elevator; The Co-operative Grain & Supply Company.

Beaver; Beaver Grain Elevator; Beaver Grain Corporation, Inc.

Beeler; Beeler Coop; The Beeler Cooperative Exchange.

Bosse Siding (P.O. Jetmore); Bosse Elevator; Bosse Grains, Inc.

Brenham (P.O. Haviland); Farmers Grain and Supply Elevator; The Farmers Grain and Supply Co. of Kiowa Co., Kans.

Brewster; Reid Elevator; Reid Grain of Brewster, Inc.

Brewster; Co-op Elevator; Farmers Co-operative Association.

Bucklin; The Bucklin Co-op Exchange Elevator; The Bucklin Cooperative Exchange.

Bucklin; Bucklin Grain Co.; Wright-Lorenz Grain Co., Inc.

Carlton; Carlton Elevator; Farm Co-op Association.

Castleton; Farmers Grain Co. Castleton Elevator; The Farmers Cooperative Grain Company.

Charleston (P.O. Ingalls); Farmers Elevators; The Garden City Co-Operative Equity Exchange.

Chase; Chase Co-operative Elevator; The Chase Co-operative Elevator, Mill and Mercantile Union.

Cheney; Cheney Co-op Elevator; The Cheney Co-operative Elevator Ass'n.

Cimarron; The Cimarron Co-operative Elevators; The Cimarron Co-operative Equity Exchange.

Cimarron; Irsik and Doll Elevator; Irsik & Doll Feed Services, Inc.

Claflin; Coop Elevator; The Claflin Cooperative Association.

Claudell; Kensington Coop Elevators; The Kensington Cooperative Association.

Clearwater; Clearwater Coop Elevator; Clearwater Cooperative Association.

Coffeyville; Coop Elevator; Farmland Industries, Inc.

Colby; Cooper Terminal; Cooper Grain, Inc.

Colby; Hi-Plains Co-op Elevator; The Hi-Plains Co-operative Association.

Colwich; Farmers Elevator; The Andale Farmers Cooperative Company.

Conway Springs; Conway Springs Elevator; Charles P. Garretson, trading as Garretson Grain Company.

Conway Springs; The Farmers Cooperative Grain Association Elevator; The Farmers Co-operative Grain Association.

Coolidge; Coolidge Co-op. Elevator; South Eastern Colorado Coop.

Coolidge; Sullivan, Inc. Elevator; Sullivan, Inc.

Corning; Coop Elevator; The Nemaha County Co-operative Association.

Corwin; Farmers Co-operative Elevators; The Farmers Co-operative Business Association.

Cullison (P.O. Pratt); Farmers Grain Elevator; Cullison Cooperative Association.

Danville; Danville Coop Elevator; Danville Cooperative Association.

Deerfield; Farmers Elevators; The Garden City Co-operative Equity Exchange.

Delphos; Delphos Coop Elevator; The Delphos Cooperative Association.

Dighton; Farmers Elevator; The Farmers Cooperative Elevator and Mercantile Association.

Dillon (P.O. Hope); Dillon Elevator; Farm Co-op Association.

Dillwyn (P.O. Macksville); Coop Elevator; The Dillwyn Grain and Supply Company.

Dodge City; Grain Products Terminal Elevator; Grain Products, Inc.

Douglass; Douglass Grain Co. Elevator; James L. Taylor, trading as Douglass Grain Company.

Edgerton; Coop Elevator in Edgerton; The Farmers Cooperative Association.

El Dorado; Taylor Elevators; James L. Taylor and Robert D. Haaga, copartners, trading as Taylor Grain Company.

Ellsworth; Salina Terminal Elevators; The Salina Terminal Elevator Company.

Emporia; Cook Industries Processing and Refinning Division Elevator; Cook Industries, Inc.

Feterita (P.O. Hugoton); Feterita Co-op Elevator; The Farmers Co-Operative Grain and Supply Company.

Florence; Coop Elevator; The Burns Farmers Co-operative Union.

Fowler; Fowler Equity Elevator "B"; The Fowler Equity Exchange.

Fredonia; ADM Elevator; Archer-Daniels-Midland Company.

Galva; Galva Grain Elevator; Western Grain, Inc.

Garden City; Farmers Elevators: The Garden City Co-operative Equity Exchange.

Garden Plain; Farmers Cooperative Elevator; The Farmers Cooperative Elevator Company.

Garfield; Garfield Co-operative Elevator; The Garfield Co-operative Company.

Garnett; Garnett Elevator; Western Grain, Inc.

Goodland; Monfort Elevator; Monfort of Colorado, Inc.

Goodland; Reid Elevator; Reid Grain of Goodland, Inc.

Great Bend; Great Bend Elevators; The Great Bend Cooperative Association.

Green; Lippert Elevator; Maxine Friederich, trading as Lippert Grain Co.

Greensburg; Farmers Grain and Supply Elevator; The Farmers Grain and Supply Co. of Kiowa Co., Kans.

Gypsum; Morrison Grain Company, Inc. Elevator; Morrison Grain Company, Inc.

Hamlin; Lincoln Grain, Inc., Elevator; Lincoln Grain, Inc.

Harper; Farmers Cooperative Elevator; Anthony Farmer's Cooperative Elevator Co.

Haven; Farmers Grain Co.; The Farmers Co-operative Grain Company.

Hazelton; Farmers Co-operative Elevators; The Farmers Co-operative Business Association.

Herington; Western Grain Elevator; Western Grain, Inc.

Hickok (P.O. Ulysses); Co-op Elevator; The Ulysses Co-operative Oil and Supply Company.

Hickok (P.O. Ulysses); Sullivan, Inc., Elevator; Sullivan, Inc.

Hoxie; Cooper Terminal; Cooper Grain Inc.

Hugoton; Hugoton Co-op Elevator; The Farmers Co-operative Grain and Supply Company.

Hugoton; Parker Elevator; Earl Bryan, trading as Parker Grain Co.

Hutchinson; Continental Elevator; Continental Grain Company.

Hutchinson; Grain Belt Elevator; The Salina Terminal Elevator Company.

Ingalls; Ingalls Grain Elevator; Ingalls Cooperative.

Inman; Chase Elevator; The Chase Grain Co., Inc.

Iuka; Iuka Coop; Iuka Cooperative Exchange.

Joy; Farmers Grain and Supply Elevator; The Farmers Grain and Supply Co. of Kiowa Co., Kans.

Junction City; Mid-Continent Elevator; Western Grain, Inc.

Kalvesta; Bosse Elevator; Bosse Grains, Inc.

Kanorado; Kanorado Co-op Elevator; The Kanorado Co-operative Association.

Kanorado; Reid Elevator; Reid Grain of Kanorado, Inc.

Kansas City; Bunge Elevator; Bunge Corporation.

Kansas City; Far-Mar-Co Fairfax Elevator; Far-Mar-Co., Inc.

Kansas City; River-Rail Elevator; Bartlett and Company Grain.

Kansas City; Turnpike Elevator; Seaboard Allied Milling Corporation.

Kellogg (Route 2, Winfield); Kellogg Coop Elevator; Kellogg Farmers Union Cooperative Association.

Kensington; Kensington Coop Elevators; The Kensington Cooperative Association.

Kiowa; O. K. Elevators; The O. K. Cooperative Grain & Mercantile Company.

Kismet; Equity Elevator; The Plains Equity Exchange and Co-operative Union.

LaCygne; Farmers Coop Elevator; The Linn County Farmers Cooperative Association.

Larned; Pawnee Elevators; The Pawnee County Cooperative Association.

Lawrence; Farmers Coop Elevator; The Farmers Cooperative Association.

Liberal; Perryton Equity Elevator; Perryton Equity Exchange.

Lowe (P.O. Holcomb); Farmers Elevators, The Garden City Co-operative Equity Exchange.

Lyons; Central Kansas Elevator; The Salina Terminal Elevator Company.

Lyons; Lyons Co-op Elevator; Lyons Co-operative Association.

Macksville; English Bros. Elevator; Robert H. English and William T. English, copartners, trading as English Grain Company.

Maize; Maize Mills Elevator; Maize Mills, Inc.

Marienthal; West Plains Elevator; West Plains Grain, Inc.

Mayfield; Farmers' Co-op Elevator; Farmers' Cooperative Grain Association of Wellington, Kansas.

McPherson; Chase Elevator; The Chase Grain Co., Inc.

Meade; The Co-operative Elevators; The Co-Operative Elevator and Supply Company.

Milepost (P.O. Ulysses); Co-Op Elevator; The Ulysses Co-Operative Oil and Supply Company.

Moscow; Brollier's C & D Elevator; C & D Grain, Inc.

Moscow; Moscow Elevator; Moscow Elevator Company; E. L. Gaskill, Inc.

Moscow; Moscow Co-op Elevator; The Farmers Co-operative Grain and Supply Company.

Moscow; Thurow Elevator; Carl M. Thurow, trading as Carl G. Thurow & Sons.

Mount Hope; Farmers Co-Op Elevator; The Farmers Cooperative Elevator Co.

Mullinville; Equity Exchange Elevator; The Equity Grain and General Merchandise Exchange.

Mulvane; Mulvane Co-op Elevator; The Mulvane Cooperative Union.

Nashville; Farmers Co-op Elevator; The Zenda Grain and Supply Company.

Neodesha; Neodesha Co-op Elevator; The Neodesha Cooperative Association.

Ness City; Co-op Elevator; The Right Co-operative Association.

Newton; Ross Elevator; Ross Industries, Inc.

Oberlin; Decatur Co-op Elevator; The Decatur Cooperative Association.

Ottawa; Ottawa Co-op Elevator; The Ottawa Cooperative Association.

Overbrook; Overbrook Farmers Co-op Elevator; The Overbrook Farmer's Union Co-Operative Association.

Oxford; Farmers' Co-op Elevator; Farmers' Cooperative Grain Association of Wellington, Kansas.

Patterson (P.O. Burton); Farmers Co-Op Elevator; The Farmers Cooperative Elevator Co.

Pierceville; Christensen Elevator; Christensen Grain, Inc.

Pierceville; Farmers Elevators; The Garden City Co-Operative Equity Exchange.

Plains; Equity Elevator; The Plains Equity Exchange and Co-operative Union.

Preston; Farmers Elevator; The Preston Cooperative Grain & Mercantile Company.

Protection; Farmers Elevator; The Protection Cooperative Supply Company.

Reserve; Reserve Elevator; The White Cloud Grain Company, Inc.

Rock; Rock Elevator; Quentin F. Waples, d.b.a. The Rock Grain Co.

Rome (P.O. Wellington); Rome Elevator; McDaniel-Waples, Inc.

Roxbury; Morrison Grain Company, Inc. Elevator; Morrison Grain Company, Inc.

Russell; Russell Elevator; Agco, Inc.

Salina; C-G-F Salina Elevator; C-G-F Grain Company, Inc.

Salina; Koppel Elevator; Koppel, Inc.

Satanta; Satanta Coop Elevator; The Satanta Cooperative Grain Company.

Scott City; Co-op Elevator; The Scott Co-operative Association.

Scott City; Scott City Elevator; The Scott City Grain Company, Inc.

Sedgwick; Farmers Elevator; The Andale Farmers Cooperative Company.

Sedgwick; The Sedgwick Alfalfa Mills; Sedgwick Alfalfa Mills, Inc.

Seneca; Coop Elevator; The Nemaha County Co-operative Association.
Sharon; Farmers Co-operative Elevators; The Farmers Co-operative Business Association.
Shields; Shields Farmers Elevator; The Farmers Cooperative Elevator and Mercantile Association.
Shook (P.O. Anthony); Farmers Cooperative Elevator; Anthony Farmer's Cooperative Elevator Co.
St. Francis; Equity Elevator; The St. Francis Mercantile Equity Exchange.
St. John; Co-op Elevator; The Dillwyn Grain and Supply Company.
Stafford; Stafford Coop; Stafford Coop.
Sterling; Farmers Elevator; The Farmers Cooperative Union.
Sublette; Haskell County Elevator; Haskell County Grain Company, Inc.
Sublette; Sublette Coop Elevator; Sublette Cooperative, Inc.
Syracuse; Irsik & Doll Elevator; Irsik & Doll Feed Services, Inc.
Tennis (P.O. Friend); Farmers Elevators; The Garden City Co-Operative Equity Exchange.
Timken; Timken Coop Elevator; The Timken Cooperative Association.
Topeka; Far-Mar-Co Topeka Elevator; Far-Mar-Co., Inc.
Tribune; Farmco Tribune Elevator; Farmco, Inc.
Turon; Farmers Elevator; The Preston Cooperative Grain & Mercantile Company.
Ulysses; Co-Op Elevator; The Ulysses Co-Operative Oil and Supply Company.
Ulysses; Sullivan Inc. Elevator; Sullivan, Inc.
Valley Center; Valley Center Farmers Elevator, Inc.; Valley Center Farmers Elevator, Inc.
Wellington; Farmers' Co-op Elevator; Farmers' Cooperative Grain Association of Wellington, Kansas.
Wellington; Hunter Elevators; Ross Industries, Inc.
White City; Mor-Kan Elevator; Western Grain, Inc.
White Cloud; White Cloud Elevator; The White Cloud Grain Company, Inc.
Wichita; Checkerboard Elevator; Ralston Purina Company, trading as Checkerboard Grain Company.
Wichita; Western Grain Elevator; Western Grain, Inc.
Wilroads; Co-op Elevator; The Right Cooperative Association.
Wilson; Kyner Elevator; Kyner Elevators, Inc.
Wilson; Soukup Elevator; Arthur C. Soukup, trading as Soukup Grain Company.
Wolf (P.O. Deerfield); Farmers Elevators; The Garden City Co-Operative Equity Exchange.
Wright; Co-op Elevators; The Right Cooperative Association.
Zenda; Farmers Co-op Elevator; The Zenda Grain and Supply Company.
Zenith; Farmers Elevator; Zenith Cooperative Grain Company.

KENTUCKY

Clay; B. C. Christopher & Co. Elevator; B. C. Christopher & Company, a limited partnership with Hearne Christopher, John H. Collett, Lawrence P. Hogan, Edward C. Mader, Ludwell G. Gaines III, Norman Supper, Robert F. Wilson, William L. Evans, Jr., Donald F. George, Kenneth G. Neff, John J. Sullivan, Sam L. Willoughby, Gary T. Whittaker, Edward A. Connelly and Larry G. McCully.
Fulton;[1] Browder Grain, Inc. Warehouse; Browder Grain, Inc.

[1] In Kentucky and Tennessee.

Hickman; Fulton County Grain Company Elevator; B. C. Christopher & Company, a limited partnership with Hearne Christopher, John H. Collett, Lawrence P. Hogan, Edward G. Mader, Ludwell G. Gaines III, Norman Supper, Robert F. Wilson, William L. Evans, Jr., Donald F. George, Kenneth G. Neff, John J. Sullivan, Sam L. Willoughby, Gary T. Whittaker, Edward A. Connelly and Larry G. McCully.
Livermore; Bunge Corporation Livermore Grain Terminal; Bunge Corporation.
Louisville; Kentucky Public Elevator; The Early and Daniel Company.
Mayfield; Mayfield Milling Co. Elevator; B. C. Christopher & Company, a limited partnership with Hearne Christopher, John H. Collett, Lawrence P. Hogan, Edward G. Mader, Ludwell G. Gaines III, Norman Supper, Robert F. Wilson, William L. Evans, Jr., Donald F. George, Kenneth G. Neff, John J. Sullivan, Sam L. Willoughby, Gary T. Whittaker, Edward A. Connelly and Larry G. McCully.
Princeton; Princeton Grain & Supply Elevator; B. C. Christopher & Company, a limited partnership with Hearne Christopher, John H. Collett, Lawrence P. Hogan, Edward G. Mader, Ludwell G. Gaines III, Norman Supper, Robert F. Wilson, William L. Evans, Jr., Donald F. George, Kenneth G. Neff, John J. Sullivan, Sam L. Willoughby, Gary T. Whittaker, Edward A. Connelly and Larry G. McCully.
Sebree; Sebree Feed & Grain Elevator; B. C. Christopher & Company, a limited partnership with Hearne Christopher, John H. Collett, Lawrence P. Hogan, Edward G. Mader, Ludwell G. Gaines III, Norman Supper, Robert F. Wilson, William L. Evans, Jr., Donald F. George, Kenneth G. Neff, John J. Sullivan, Sam L. Willoughby, Gary T. Whittaker, Edward A. Connelly and Larry G. McCully.

LOUISIANA

Abbeville; Planters Warehouse; Riviana Foods Inc.
Ama; Farmers Export Elevator; Farmers Export Co.
Book (P.O. Jonesville); Louisiana Delta Elevator; Louisiana Delta Plantation, a joint venture of Morrison-Quirk Grain Corporation, a Nebraska corporation, and Morrison Grain Company, Inc., a Kansas corporation.
Crowley; Acadia Warehouse; Riviana Foods Inc.
Crowley; Farmers' Warehouse; MFC Services, (A.A.L.).
Delhi; Terrick Elevator; Lake Providence Port Elevator, Inc.
Destrehan; Bunge Corporation Elevator; Bunge Corporation.
Destrehan; St. Charles Grain Elevator; The St. Charles Grain Elevator Company, a joint venture of Archer-Daniels-Midland Company, a Delaware Corporation, and Garnac Grain Co., Inc., a New York Corporation.
Egan; Egan Warehouse; Riviana Foods Inc.
Gueydan; Gueydan Warehouse; Riviana Foods Inc.
Jennings; Northern Warehouse; Riviana Foods Inc.
Kaplan; Agnes Warehouse; Riviana Foods Inc.
Krotz Springs; Illa Grain Warehouse; Illa Grain Corporation.
Lake Charles; Lake Charles Warehouse; Riviana Foods Inc.
Lake Providence; Lake Providence Port Elevator; Lake Providence Port Elevator, Inc.
Myrtle Grove (P.O. Belle Chasse); Mississippi River Grain Elevator; Mississippi River Grain Elevator, Inc.
New Orleans; Public Grain Elevator of New Orleans; Public Grain Elevator of New Orleans, Inc.
Port Allen; Port of Baton Rouge Grain Elevator; Cargill, Incorporated.
Rayne; Rayne Warehouse; Riviana Foods Inc.
Reserve; Bayside Elevator Co., a division of Bayside Warehouse Company; Bayside Warehouse Company.
St. Joseph; Tensas Port Elevator; Tensas Port Elevator Company, Inc.
Tallulah; Tallulah Port Elevator; Lake Providence Port Elevator, Inc.
Westwego; Continental Grain Elevator, Port of New Orleans; Continental Grain Company.

MARYLAND

Williamsburg; Whiteley Elevator; W. O. Whiteley & Son, Inc.

MICHIGAN

Adrian; Adrian Elevator; Adrian Grain Company.
Augusta; Knappen Elevator; Knappen Milling Company.
Dowagiac; Mennel-Michigan Elevator; The Mennel Milling Company of Michigan.
Hillsdale; Stock Elevator; DCA Food Industries Inc.
Lowell; King Milling Company Elevator; King Milling Company.

MINNESOTA

Alpha; Cargill Alpha Elevator; Cargill Incorporated.
Blooming Prairie; Prairie Farm Service Elevator; Prairie Farm Service, Inc.
Breckenridge; Cargill Elevator; Cargill, Incorporated.
Buffalo Lake; Farmers Co-op Elev. Co.; Farmers' Cooperative Elevator Company of Buffalo Lake, Minnesota.
Byron; Byron Elevator Co.; Byron Elevator Company.
Cannon Falls; Dill Company Elevator; Dill Company.
Cannon Falls; Searle Grain Elevator; Searle Grain Company.
Claremont; Huntting Elevator; Huntting Elevator Company.
Columbia Heights; Cargill Minneapolis Flax Plant; Cargill, Incorporated.
Crookston; Cargill Elevator; Cargill, Incorporated.
Duluth; Capitol Elevator; International Multifoods Corporation.
Duluth; Cargill Duluth Elevator; Cargill, Incorporated.
Duluth; Elevator A; General Mills, Inc.
Elgin; Farmers Elevator Co.; Farmers Elevator Company of Stewartville, Incorporated.
Eyota; Farmers Elevator Co.; Farmers Elevator Company of Stewartville Incorporated.
Freeborn; Huntting Elevator; Huntting Elevator Company.
Glencoe; Independent Elevator; Independent Elevator Co.
Grandmeadow; Huntting Elevator; Huntting Elevator Company.
Janesville; Dill Company Elevator; Dill Company.
Lake City; Independent Grain & Feed Elevator; Independent Grain & Feed Company.
Lansing; Huntting Elevator; Huntting Elevator Company.
Lyle; Huntting Elevator; Huntting Elevator Company.
Marshall; Cargill Elevator; Cargill, Incorporated.
Miesville (P. O. Hastings); Kimmes Elevator; Kimmes Incorporated.
Minneapolis; Calumet Elevator; North Star Barge & Warehouse Corporation.
Minneapolis; Checkerboard Elevator; Ralston Purina Company trading as Checkerboard Grain Company.

Minneapolis; Consolidated A; North Star Barge & Warehouse Corporation.
Minneapolis; The Continental Elevator; Continental Grain Company.
Minneapolis; Electric Steel Elevator; Peavey Company.
Minneapolis; Elevator K; ADM Grain Co.
Minneapolis; Elevator "R"; Victoria Elevator Company of Minneapolis.
Minneapolis; Great Northern Elevator; Farmers Union Grain Terminal Association.
Minneapolis; Pillsbury "A" Elevator; The Pillsbury Company.
Minneapolis; Pioneer Steel Elevator; Peavey Company.
Minneapolis; Shoreham Elevator; The McMillan Company.
Minneapolis; Soo Elevator; ADM Grain Co.
Minneapolis; St. Anthony Elevator; Peavey Company.
Minneapolis; Washburn Elevator; General Mills, Inc.
New Richland; Huntting Elevator; Huntting Elevator Company.
New Ulm; Burdick Elevator; Burdick Grain Company.
Pemberton; Huntting Elevator; Huntting Elevator Company.
Pine Island; Pine Island Farmers Elevator Co.; Pine Island Farmers Elevator Company.
Port Cargill (P.O. Savage); Port Cargill Elevator C; Cargill, Incorporated.
Red Wing; Central Elevator; Central Soya of Minnesota, Inc.
Rose Creek; Huntting Elevator; Huntting Elevator Company.
Savage; Port Bunge: Bunge Corporation.
Savage; Port Cargill Elevator "A"; Cargill, Incorporated.
Savage; Port Continental Elevator; Continental Grain Company.
Shakopee; Peavey River Concrete Terminal; Peavey Company.
Sleepy Eye; Cargill Elevator; Cargill, Incorporated.
St. Louis Park; Belco Elevators; Burdick Grain Company.
St. Paul; Capital B Elevator; International Multifoods Corporation.
St. Paul; Elevator D; ADM Grain Co.
St. Paul; Farmers Union Elevator; Farmers Union Grain Terminal Association.
St. Paul; Searle River Terminal; Searle Grain Company.
Stewartville; Farmers Elevator Co.; Farmers Elevator Company of Stewartville, Incorporated.
Thief River Falls; The McMillan Elevator at Thief River Falls; The McMillan Company.
Wabasha; Dill Company Elevator; Dill Company.
Wesota (P.O. Gluck); Cargill Elevator; Cargill, Incorporated.
West Concord; Stone Feed Co.; B. N. Stone Feed Company.
Winona; Winona River Terminal Elevator; Victoria Elevator Company of Minneapolis.

MISSISSIPPI

Clarksdale; Delta Rice Warehouse; The Arkansas Rice Growers Cooperative Association.
Cleveland; Mississippi Delta Rice Warehouse; Mississippi Delta Rice, Inc.
Greenville; Farmers Grain Warehouse; Farmers Grain Terminal, Inc.
Greenville; Greenville Warehouse; Riviana Foods Inc.
Hollandale; Stapiservice Hollandale Elevator; Staple Cotton Services Association (A.A.L.).
Marks; Cook Industries, Inc., Processing and Refining Division; Cook Industries, Inc.
Natchez; Cargill Natchez Elevator; Cargill, Incorporated.
Pascagoula; Jackson County Terminal Elevator; Louis Dreyfus Corporation.
Webb; Staplservice Webb Elevator; Staple Cotton Services Association (A.A.L.).

MISSOURI

Advance; MFA Exchange Elevator; Missouri Farmers Association, Inc.
Albany, MFA Exchange Elevator; Missouri Farmers Association, Inc.
Armstrong; Coop Elevator; Mid-Missouri Farmers Cooperative.
Bernie; MFA Exchange Elevator, Missouri Farmers Association, Inc.
Bethany; MFA Exchange Elevator; Missouri Farmers Association, Inc.
Bigelow; Morris Elevator; Donald E. Morris, trading as Morris Grain Co.
Boonville; MFA Exchange Elevator; Missouri Farmers Association, Inc.
Brookfield; MFA Exchange Elevator; Missouri Farmers Association, Inc.
Brunswick; MFA Exchange Elevator; Missouri Farmers Association, Inc.
Butler; MFA Exchange Elevator; Missouri Farmers Association, Inc.
Callao; MFA Exchange Elevator; Missouri Farmers Association, Inc.
Carrollton; Ray-Carroll Elevator; Ray-Carroll County Grain Growers, Inc.
Caruthersville; MFA Elevator; Missouri Farmers Association, Inc.
Centralia; MFA Exchange Elevator; Missouri Farmers Association, Inc.
Charleston; Cook Grain of Missouri, Division of Cook Industries, Inc.; Cook Industries, Inc.
Chillicothe; MFA Exchange Elevator; Missouri Farmers Association, Inc.
Chillicothe; Reed Elevator; Reeda Seeds, Inc.
Clarence; MFA Exchange Elevator; Missouri Farmers Association, Inc.
Clinton; Larabee Elevator; Archer-Daniels-Midland Company.
Columbia; MFA Exchange Elevator; Missouri Farmers Association, Inc.
Conception Junction; M.F.A. Elevator; Missouri Farmers Association, Inc.
Craig; Rickel, Inc. Elevator; Rickel, Inc.
Dalton; Dalton Elevator; B. C. Christopher & Company, a limited partnership with Hearne Christopher, John H. Collett, Lawrence P. Hogan, Edward G. Mader, Ludwell G. Gaines III, Norman Supper, Robert F. Wilson, William L. Evans, Jr., Donald F. George, Kenneth G. Neff, John J. Sullivan, Sam L. Willoughby, Gary T. Whittaker, Edward A. Connelly and Larry G. McCully.
Dearborn; Halferty Bros. Elevator; Halferty Bros., Inc.
Dudley; Dudley Grain Warehouse; The Arkansas Rice Growers Cooperative Association, trading as The Arkansas Rice Growers Cooperative Association, Inc., in the State of Missouri.
Elmo; M.F.A. Elevator; Missouri Farmers Association, Inc.
Elsberry; MFA Exchange Elevator; Missouri Farmers Association, Inc.
Essex; Farmers Storage Warehouse; Farmers Storage, Inc.
Fayette; Coop Elevator; Mid-Missouri Farmers Cooperative.
Forest City; Cargill Elevator; Cargill, Incorporated.
Fortescue; Fortescue Elevator; The White Cloud Grain Company, Inc.
Gallatin; MFA Exchange Elevator; Missouri Farmers Association, Inc.
Grant City; MFA Exchange Elevator; Missouri Farmers Association, Inc.
Gregory Landing (P.O. Canton); Gregory Elevator; Gabe Logsdon & Sons, Inc.
Hamilton; MFA Exchange Elevator; Missouri Farmers Association, Inc.
Hannibal; Hannibal Terminal Elevator; Hannibal Grain Terminal, Inc.
Hardin; Ray-Carroll Elevator; Ray-Carroll County Grain Growers, Inc.
Henrietta; MFA Exchange Elevator; Missouri Farmers Association, Inc.
Higginsville; M.F.A. Cooperative Elevator; Missouri Farmers Association, Inc.
Kansas City; Boulevard Elevator; Seaboard Allied Milling Corporation.
Kansas City; Cargill Milwaukee Elevator; Cargill, Incorporated.
Kansas City; General Mills Elevator; General Mills, Inc.
Kansas City; Chouteau Elevator; Simonds-Shields-Theis Grain Co.
Kansas City; K.C.T. Elevator; Kansas City Terminal Elevator Company.
Kansas City; Missouri Pacific Elevator "B"; Bartlett and Company Grain.
Kansas City; Purina Soybean Elevator; Ralston Purina Company.
Kennett; Kennett Soybean Elevator; Kennett Soybean, Inc.
La Belle; MFA Exchange Elevator; Missouri Farmers Association, Inc.
Laddonia; MFA Exchange Elevator; Missouri Farmers Association, Inc.
Laddonia; Slater & Fowles Laddonia Elevator; Slater and Fowles, Incorporated.
Lamar; M.F.A. Cooperative Elevator; Missouri Farmers Association.
Lexington; MFA Exchange Elevator; Missouri Farmers Association, Inc.
Linneus; MFA Exchange Elevator; Missouri Farmers Association, Inc.
Lock Springs; M F A Exchange Elevator; Missouri Farmers Association, Inc.
Louisiana; M.F.A. Cooperative Elevator; Missouri Farmers Association, Inc.
Macon; MFA Exchange Elevator; Missouri Farmers Association, Inc.
Maitland; Rother Grain and Feed Co. Elevator; Irvin Rother and Helen Bammer, copartners, trading as Rother Grain and Feed Co.
Malta Bend; Fletcher Elevator; Fletcher Grain Company, Inc.
Marshall; Fletcher Elevator; Fletcher Grain Company, Inc.
Marshall; MFA Exchange Elevator; Missouri Farmers Association, Inc.
Marston; E. B. Gee Cotton & Grain Co. Warehouse; E. B. Gee Cotton & Grain Co., Inc.
Marthasville; MFA Exchange Elevator; Missouri Farmers Assoication, Inc.
Martinsburg; Slater & Fowles Martinsburg Elevator; Slater and Fowles, Incorporated.
Maryville; M.F.A. Cooperative Elevator; Missouri Farmers Association, Inc.
Mexico; M.F.A. Cooperative Elevator; Missouri Farmers Association, Inc.
Mexico; M-F-A Exchange Elevator; Missouri Farmers Association, Inc.
Moberly; MFA Exchange Elevator; Missouri Farmers Association, Inc.
Napton; MFA Exchange Elevator; Missouri Farmers Association, Inc.
Nelson; Nelson Elevator; Nelson Elevator, Inc.
New Franklin; MFA Exchange Elevator; Missouri Farmers Association, Inc.
Norborne; MFA Exchange Elevator; Missouri Farmers Association, Inc.
Norborne; Ray-Carroll Elevator; Ray-Carroll County Grain Growers, Inc.
North Kansas City; Monarch Elevator; ADM Milling Co.
North Kansas City; Checkerboard Elevator; Ralston Purina Company, trading as Checkerboard Grain Company.
North Kansas City; International Elevator; International Multifoods Corporation.
North Kansas City; Tabor Milling Co./ Elevator; Tabor Milling Co.
Odessa; MFA Exchange Elevator; Missouri Farmers Association, Inc.
Orrick; Arnold Bros. Produce Warehouse; Paul Arnold and Wilbur Arnold, copartners, trading as Arnold Bros. Produce.

Orrick; Orrick Farm Service Elevator; Orrick Farm Service, Inc.

Palmyra; Farmers Coop Elevator; Farmers Cooperative Services, Inc. of Palmyra, Missouri.

Pattonsburg; MFA Exchange Elevator; Missouri Farmers Association, Inc.

Perry; MFA Exchange Elevator; Missouri Farmers Association, Inc.

Phelps City (P.O. Rock Port); Stanton Elevator; Stanton Grain Co.

Poplar Bluff; Butler County Grain Warehouse; The Arkansas Rice Growers Cooperative Association, trading as The Arkansas Rice Growers Cooperative Association, Inc., in the State of Missouri.

Ravenwood; MFA Exchange Elevator; Missouri Farmers Association, Inc.

Rea; Rea Elevator; Rea Grain & Feed Co.

Richmond; Ray-Carroll Elevator; Ray-Carroll County Grain Growers, Inc.

Ristine (P.O. New Madrid); Checkerboard Elevator; Ralston Purina Company, trading as Checkerboard Grain Company.

Salisbury; MFA Exchange Elevator; Missouri Farmers Association, Inc.

Sedalia; MFA Exchange Elevator; Missouri Farmers Association, Inc.

Senath; Senath Grain Elevator; B. C. Christopher & Company, a limited partnership with Hearne Christopher, John H. Collett, Lawrence P. Hogan, Edward G. Mader, Ludwell G. Gaines III, Norman Supper, Robert F. Wilson, William L. Evans, Jr., Donald F. George, Kenneth G. Neff, John H. Sullivan, Sam L. Willoughby, Gary T. Whittaker, Edward A. Connelly and Larry G. McCully.

Shelbina; MFA Exchange Elevator; Missouri Farmers Association, Inc.

Sheridan; MFA Exchange Elevator; Missouri Farmers Association, Inc.

St. Joseph; Bartlett Elevator; Bartlett and Company Grain.

St. Joseph; Burlington Elevator; The Pillsbury Company.

St. Joseph; B & E Elevator; The B & E Grain Company.

St. Joseph; Far-Mar-Co. St. Joseph Elevator; Far-Mar-Co., Inc.

St. Joseph; Krause St. Joseph Elevator; Krause Milling Company.

St. Joseph; Mo-Kan Elevator; Mo-Kan Grain, Inc.

St. Louis; Missouri Pacific Elevator; Fowles Grain Co.

St. Louis; Pillsbury St. Louis Elevator; The Pillsbury Company.

St. Louis and East St. Louis;[1] St. Louis Grain Corporation Elevator; St. Louis Grain Corporation.

St. Marys; MFA Exchange Elevator; Missouri Farmers Association, Inc.

Stanberry; Alldredge Grain & Storage Elevator; Alldredge Grain & Storage, Inc.

Sumner; Ray-Carroll Elevator; Ray-Carroll County Grain Growers, Inc.

Tebbetts; Rootes Elevator; W. A. Rootes and Company.

Trenton; Hoffman & Reed Elevator; Hoffman and Reed, Inc.

Trenton; MFA Exchange Elevator; Missouri Farmers Association, Inc.

Triplett; Ray-Carroll Elevator; Ray-Carroll County Grain Growers, Inc.

Truesdail; MFA Exchange Elevator; Missouri Farmers Association, Inc.

Vandalia; MFA Exchange Elevator; Missouri Farmers Association, Inc.

Wakenda; Ray-Carroll Elevator; Ray-Carroll County Grain Growers, Inc.

Watson; Stanton Elevator; Stanton Grain Co.

Wayland; Logsdon's Elevator; Gabe Logsdon & Sons, Inc.

[1] In Missouri and Illinois.

NEBRASKA

Ashland; Kuhl-Reece Company's Elevator; Kuhl-Reece Company.

Bancroft; Holmquist Elevator; The Holmquist Grain and Lumber Company.

Beatrice; Farmers Cooperative Elevator; Farmers Cooperative Elevator Company.

Beaver Crossing; Farmers Elevators; Farmers Cooperative Company.

Bellwood; Farmers Elevator; Farmers Cooperative Grair. Company.

Benedict; Farmers Grain Association Elevator; Farmers Co-Operative Grain Association of Benedict, Nebraska.

Benkelman; Benkelman Elevators; Independent Elevators, Inc.

Berea (P.O. Alliance); Deaver Elevator; Deaver Grain Co., Inc.

Bertrand; Bertrand Elevator; B. C. Christopher & Company, a limited partnership with Hearne Christopher, John H. Collett, Lawrence P. Hogan, Edward G. Mader, Ludwell G. Gaines III, Norman Supper, Robert F. Wilson, William L. Evans, Jr., Donald F. George, Kenneth G. Neff, John J. Sullivan, Sam L. Willoughby, Gary T. Whittaker, Edward A. Connelly and Larry G. McCully.

Bixby; Bixby Cooperative Elevator; Bixby Cooperative Company.

Blair; Holmquist Elevator; The Holmquist Grain and Lumber Company.

Brownville; Continental Elevator; Continental Grain Company.

Cambridge; Uriing Elevator; Miller Grain Company, Inc.

Central City; Cargill Central City Elevator; Cargill, Incorporated.

Chappell; Dudden Elevator; Dudden Elevator, Inc.

Chappell; Farmers Elevators; Farmers Elevator Company, A co-operative.

Coleridge; Holmquist Elevator; The Holmquist Grain and Lumber Company.

Cornlea; Continental Elevator; Continental Grain Company.

Craig; Farmers Union Elevator; Farmers Union Co-Operative Association.

Crete; Crete Mills Division Elevator; Lauhoff Grain Company.

Curtis; Garvey Elevators; Garvey Elevators, Inc.

Doane; Doane Elevators; Independent Elevators, Inc.

Dorchester; Farmers' Elevators; The Dorchester Farmers Co-operative Grain and Livestock Company.

Edgar; Mid-States Elevator; Scoular-Bishop Grain Company.

Elmwood; Farmers Elevator; Farmers Co-operative Association of Elmwood, Nebraska.

Elsie; Kellogg Elevator; O. M. Kellogg Grain Company.

Enders; Farmers Elevator; Farmers Co-operative Exchange.

Fairbury; Farmers Union Co-op Elevator; Farmers Union Co-operative Association of Fairbury, Nebraska.

Farwell; Loup Valley Elevators; Scoular-Bishop Grain Company.

Fremont; Conagra Elevator; Conagra, Inc.

Fremont; Far-Mar-Co., Fremont Elevator; Far-Mar-Co., Inc.

Fremont; Fremont Cake & Meal Elevator; Archer-Daniels-Midland Company.

Fremont; Lincoln Grain, Inc., Elevator; Lincoln Grain, Inc.

Friend; Friend Elevator; B. C. Christopher & Company, a limited partnership with Hearne Christopher, John H. Collett, Lawrence P. Hogan, Edward G. Mader, Ludwell G. Gaines III, Norman Supper, Robert F. Wilson, William L. Evans, Jr., Donald F. George, Kenneth G. Neff, John J. Sullivan, Sam L. Willoughby, Gary T. Whittaker, Edward A. Connelly and Larry G. McCully.

Geneva; B H & L Elevator; B, H and L Grain Company, Inc.

Gibbon; Fox Elevator; Scoular-Bishop Grain Company.

Grand Island; Conagra Elevator; Conagra, Inc.

Grant; Co-Operative Elevator; The Grant Co-Operative Exchange.

Grant; Perkins County Elevator; Scoular-Bishop Grain Company.

Hartington; Hartington Elevator; Hartington Elevator Company.

Hartington; Holmquist Elevator; The Holmquist Grain and Lumber Company.

Harvard; Farmers Elevators; The Farmers Union Cooperative Elevator Company.

Hastings; Garvey Elevator; Garvey Elevators, Inc.

Hemingford; Farmers Co-Operative Elevator; Farmers Co-operative Elevator Company.

Herman; Holmquist Elevator, The Holmquist Grain and Lumber Company.

Imperial; D & D Bean Warehouse; D & D Bean Co.

Imperial; Farmers Elevator; Frenchman Valley Farmers Cooperative, Inc.

Imperial; Imperial Grain's Elevator; Scoular-Bishop Grain Company.

Indianola; Urling Elevator; Miller Grain Company, Inc.

Jacinto (P.O. Dix); The Wright-Lorenz Grain Co. Elevator; The Wright-Lorenz Grain Co., Inc.

Laurel; Holmquist Elevator; The Holmquist Grain and Lumber Company.

Lebanon; Garvey Elevators; Garvey Elevators, Inc.

Lincoln; ADM Elevator; Archer-Daniels-Midland Company.

Lincoln; Far-Mar-Co Lincoln Elevator; Far-Mar-Co., Inc.

Lincoln; Gooch Mill Elevators; ADM Milling Co.

Lincoln; Lincoln Grain, Inc. Elevator; Lincoln Grain, Inc.

Lyons; Holmquist Elevator; The Holmquist Grain and Lumber Company.

Madison; Madison Soya Elevator; Madison Soya Products, Inc.

Madrid; Burge Elevators; Scoular-Bishop Grain Company.

Max; Max Elevators; Independent Elevators, Inc.

Maywood; Farmers Elevators; Maywood Cooperative Association.

Motala Siding (P.O. Minden); Continental Elevator; Continental Grain Company.

Nebraska City; Bartlett Elevator; Bartlett and Company Grain.

North Bend; North Bend Elevator; North Bend Grain Company, Inc.

Oakland; Holmquist Elevator; The Holmquist Grain and Lumber Company.

Ogallala; Farmers Coop Elevator; Farmers Cooperative Association.

Omaha; Conagra Elevators; Conagra, Inc.

Omaha; Far-Mar-Co Omaha Elevator; Far-Mar-Co., Inc.

Omaha; Illinois Central Elevator; ADM Grain Co.

Omaha; Scoular-Welsh Omaha Elevator; Scoular-Welsh Grain Co.

O'Neill; Dowd Elevator; Dowd Grain Company, Inc.

Osceola; Farmers Grain Elevator; Farmers Co-operative Grain Co.

Osceola; Smith Elevator; Smith Grain Company.

Parks; Parks Elevator; Independent Elevators, Inc.

Potter; Farmers Elevators; Potter Cooperative Grain Company.

Potter; The Wright-Lorenz Grain Co. Elevator; The Wright-Lorenz Grain Co., Inc.

Ranch Spur (P.O. Herman); Ranch Spur Elevator; H. C. Fankhouser and V. R. Fankhouser, copartners trading as Fankhouser Bros.

Red Willow (P.O. McCook); Uriing Elevator; Miller Grain Company, Inc.
Riverdale; Riverdale Elevator; Scoular-Bishop Grain Company.
Rock Bluff (P.O. Plattsmouth); Far-Mar-Co Rock Bluff Elevator; Far-Mar-Co., Inc.
Rosalie; Holmquist Elevator; The Holmquist Grain and Lumber Company.
Roscoe; Roscoe Elevator; John L. Gordon and Jeanette D. Gordon, copartners d/b/a Roscoe Grain Company.
Schuyler; Golden West Grain Company's Elevator; Golden West Grain Company.
Scribner; Farmers Elevator; Farmers Co-operative Mercantile Company, Non-Stock.
Scribner; Scribner Elevator; Scribner Grain & Lumber Company.
Shickley; Alfs Grain Elevator; Scoular-Bishop Grain Company.
Silver Creek; Farmers Grain Elevators; Farmers Co-operative Grain Company.
St. Paul; Loup Valley Elevators; Scoular-Bishop Grain Company.
Stella; Stella Elevator; C-G-F Grain Company, Inc.
Strang; Strang Elevator; Scoular-Bishop Grain Company.
Stromsburg; Farmers Elevators; Farmers Cooperative Grain Association of Stromsburg.
Superior; Scoular-Bishop Elevator; Scoular-Bishop Grain Company.
Tekamah; Farmers Elevator; Farmers Non-Stock Cooperative Grain Association.
Tekamah; Holmquist Elevator; The Holmquist Grain and Lumber Co.
Thurston; Merry Elevator; Darrel Merry, trading as Merry Grain & Lumber Co.
Ulysses; Farmers Cooperative Elevators; Farmers Cooperative Grain & Supply Co.
Utica; Utica Co-operative Grain Company's Elevators; Utica Co-operative Grain Company.
Venango; Dudden Elevator; Dudden Elevator, Inc.
Venango; Farmers' Elevators; Farmers Union Cooperative Grain Company of Venango, Nebraska.
Verdel; Allied Mills Elevator; Allied Mills, Inc.
Wallace; Kellogg Elevator; O. M. Kellogg Grain Company.
Walthill; Holmquist Elevator; The Holmquist Grain and Lumber Company.
Wauneta; Farmers Elevator; Farmers Co-operative Exchange.
Wausa; Allied Mills Elevator; Allied Mills, Inc.
Wilcox; Continental Elevator; Continental Grain Company.
Wilsonville; Garvey Elevators; Garvey Elevators, Inc.
Winnebago; Holmquist Elevator; The Holmquist Grain and Lumber Company.
Winslow; Farmers Elevator; Farmers Co-operative Mercantile Company, Non-stock.

NEW MEXICO

Clovis; El Rancho Elevator; El Rancho Milling Co. (no stockholders' liability).
Clovis; Farmers Cooperative Elevators; Farmers Cooperative Elevators, Inc.
Clovis; New Mexico Mill Elevator; New Mexico Mill & Elevator Co. (no stockholders' liability).
Clovis; Worley Mills Elevator; Worley Mills, Inc. (no stockholder's liability).
Grier; Farmers Cooperative Elevators; Farmers Cooperative Elevators, Inc.
Melrose; Farmers Cooperative Elevators; Farmers Cooperative Elevators, Inc.
Melrose; Melrose Elevator; Melrose Grain & Elevator Co., Inc.
Portales; Worley Mills Elevator; Worley Mills, Inc. (no stockholder's liability).
Texico; New Mexico Mill Elevator; New Mexico Mill & Elevator Co. (no stockholder's liability).
Texico; Sherley-Anderson Texico Elevator; Sherley-Anderson-Pitman, Inc.
Tucumcari; Worley Mills Elevator; Worley Mills, Inc. (no stockholder's liability).

NEW YORK

Albany; Port of Albany Elevator No. 1; Cargill, Incorporated.
Buffalo; Standard Elevator; Standard Milling Company, d/b/a Standard Milling Company, Inc., in New York State.

NORTH CAROLINA

Battleboro; E-B Grain Co., Inc.; E-B Grain Company, Inc.
Camden; Wood Bonded Warehouse; F. P. Wood and Son, Inc.
Fayetteville; Cargill Fayetteville Elevator; Cargill, Incorporated.
Monroe; Producers Cooperative Feed Mill Warehouse; Producers Cooperative Feed Mill Inc.
Selma; Gurley's Inc. Elevator; Gurley's Inc.
Washington; Cargill Washington, N.C. Elevator; Cargill, Incorporated.
Williamson; Eastern Grain Company Elevator; Eastern Farms Corporation.
Wilson; Cargill Elevator; Cargill, Incorporated.

NORTH DAKOTA

Grand Forks; Garvey Elevator; Garvey Elevators, Inc.
Jamestown; Garvey Elevator; Garvey Elevators, Inc.

OHIO

Bucyrus; Zeigler Milling Co. Elevators; The Zeigler Milling Company.
Chillicothe; Standard Elevator; The Standard Elevator and Supply Company.
Cincinnati; Fairmount and Riverside Elevators; The Early and Daniel Company.
Columbus; Continental Elevator; Continental Grain Company.
Columbus; Eshelman Grain Company Elevator; International Multifoods Corporation.
Columbus; Landmark Grain Terminal; Landmark, Inc.
Coshocton; Coshocton Elevator; Coshocton Grain Co.
Dayton; Cargill Dayton Elevator; Cargill, Incorporated.
Elgin; Elgin Elevator; Elgin Grain Company.
Fletcher; Fletcher Elevator; Shepard Grain Company, Inc.
Fostoria; Fostoria Elevator; The Ohio Farmers' Grain Corporation.
Fostoria; Mennel Elevator; The Mennel Milling Company.
Glandorf; Glandorf Elevator; Glandorf Feed Company.
Green Camp; Green Camp Co-operative Elevator; The Green Camp Co-operative Elevator Company.
Harrison (Route 4); J. A. Cornelius Grain Elevator; J. A. Cornelius.
Hume (RR #4 Lima); Hume Elevator; The Farm Service Center of Hume, Ohio, Inc.
Kileville (P.O. R.R. No. 3, Plain City); Kileville Elevator; The Ohio Grain Company.
Lima; Cargill Lima Elevator; Cargill, Incorporated.
Mansfield; Mansfield Elevator; The Early and Daniel Company.
Marysville; Marysville Elevator; The Ohio Grain Company.
Maumee; Cargill Toledo Elevator; Cargill, Incorporated.
Mechanicsburg; Mechanicsburg Elevator; The Ohio Grain Company.
Pitsburg; Pitsburg Grain Elevator; Pitsburg Feed and Grain, Inc.
Shelby; Shelby Equity Elevator; The Shelby Equity Exchange Company.
Spencerville; Farmers Union Company Elevator; The Spencerville Farmers Union Company.
Thackery; Thackery Elevator; Shepard Grain Company, Inc.
Toledo; Cargill East Side Elevator; Cargill, Incorporated.
Troy; Troy Elevator; The Early and Daniel Company.
Van Wert; Welker Elevator; Welker Grain Inc.

OKLAHOMA

Afton; Afton Co-op Elevator; Afton Co-operative Association.
Apache; Apache Farmers Co-operative; Apache Farmers Co-operative.
Beaver; Perryton Equity Elevator; Perryton Equity Exchange.
Bison; Farmers Elevator; Bison Cooperative Association.
Blackwell; Blackwell Co-op Elevator; Blackwell Co-operative Elevator Association.
Boise City; Consumers Elevator; Boise City Farmers Cooperative.
Broken Arrow; Farmers Co-op Elevator; Farmers Cooperative.
Buffalo; Buffalo Farmers Elevator; The Buffalo Farmers' Co-operative Elevator Company.
Canton; Wheeler Brothers Grain Co.; Wheeler Brothers Grain Company, Incorporated.
Cashion; Farmers Exchange Elevator; Farmers Exchange of Cashion.
Cherokee; Farmers Elevator; Farmers Co-operative Elevator Association.
Clinton; Farmers Elevator; Farmers Co-operative Association.
Clyde; Clyde Elevator; Clyde Co-operative Association.
Cordell; Farmers Elevator; Farmers Co-operative Association.
Crescent; Crescent Cooperative Elevator; Crescent Cooperative Association.
Custer City; Farmers Elevator; Custer City Farmers Cooperative Exchange.
Deer Creek; Deer Creek Elevator; Clyde Co-operative Association.
Douglas; Farmers Elevators; Farmers Co-Operative Elevator Company of Douglas.
Enid; Continental Elevator; Continental Grain Company.
Enid; Enid Terminal Elevators; Interstate Grain Corporation.
Enid; General Mills Elevator; General Mills, Inc.
Enid; Johnston Terminal Elevator; Johnston Terminal Elevator (a joint venture of Johnston Seed Company, Inc. an Oklahoma corporation and W. B. Johnston Grain Company, an Oklahoma corporation).
Enid; Union Equity Co-operative Exchange Elevator; Union Equity Co-operative Exchange.
Fairview; Sooner Co-op Elevator; Sooner Cooperative, Incorporated.
Fargo; Farmers Elevator; Farmers Co-operative Association.
Garber; Cooperative Elevator; Garber Co-operative Association.
Goodwell; Farmers Elevator; Farmers Elevator of Goodwell, Oklahoma, Inc.
Grandfield; Grandfield Coop Elevator; Coop Services, Inc.
Guymon; Knutson Elevator; Knutson Elevators, Inc.
Hardesty; Perryton Equity Elevator; Perryton Equity Exchange.
Helena; Farmers Elevator; Farmers Cooperative Association.
Hennessey; Farmers Co-operative Elevator; Farmers Elevator and Co-operative Association.
Hooker; Equity Exchange Elevator; The Hooker Equity Exchange.
Hooker; Tex-Co Grain Company Elevator; Tex-Co Grain Company.
Hough (P.O. Guymon); Hough Elevator; Knutson Elevator, Inc.
Hunter; Hunter Farmers Elevator; Farmers Grain Company.

Hydro; Farmers Elevator; Hydro Cooperative Association.
Imo; Imo Farmers Elevators; Farmers Cooperative Elevator Company.
Keyes; Perryton Equity Elevator; Perryton Equity Exchange.
Kingfisher; Kingfisher Cooperative Elevator; Kingfisher Cooperative Elevator Association.
Knowles; Perryton Equity Elevator; Perryton Equity Exchange.
Kremlin; Farmers Elevator; Farmers Grain Company.
Lamont; Lamont Elevator; Clyde Cooperative Association.
Lawton; Cooperative Elevator A; Coop Services, Inc.
Marshall; United Co-op Elevator; United Cooperative, Inc.
May; May Elevator; Woodward Cooperative Elevator Association.
Medford; Medford Elevator; Clyde Co-operative Association.
Miami; Miami Co-op Elevator; The Miami Cooperative Association.
Midway (P.O. Hooker); Midway Elevator; Knutson Elevators, Inc.
Mooreland; Farmers Co-Op Elevator; Farmers Co-operative Trading Company.
Nardin; Cooperative Elevator; Clyde Co-operative Association.
Okeene; Sooner Co-op Elevator; Sooner Cooperative, Incorporated.
Perry; Farmers Cooperative Elevator; Farmers Cooperative Exchange.
Pond Creek; Farmers Elevator; Farmers Grain Company.
Port of Catoosa; Garvey International Elevator; Garvey International, Inc.
Ranch Drive (P.O. Ponca City); Ranch Drive Elevator; Farmers Cooperative Association.
Red Rock; Farmers Co-Op. Elevator; Red Rock Farmers Co-Operative.
Reeding; General Mills Elevator; General Mills, Inc.
Renfrow; Renfrow Elevator; Clyde Cooperative Association.
Shawnee; Shawnee Elevator; Shawnee Milling Company.
Tonkawa; Tonkawa Elevator; Farmers Co-operative Association.
Tuttle; MFC Elevator; Mid-Continent Farmers Co-op.
Tyrone; Compton Elevator; Knutson Elevators, Inc.
Vici; Farmer's Co-op. Ass'n Elevator; Farmers Cooperative Association of Vici.
Wakita; Farmers Co-operative Elevators; Farmers Co-operative Elevator Company of Wakita.
Watonga; Wheeler Brothers Grain Co.; Wheeler Brothers Grain Company, Incorporated.
Weatherford; Co-Op. Elevator; Farmers Co-operative Exchange.
Woodward; Woodward Elevator; Woodward Cooperative Elevator Association.
Yukon; MFC Elevator; Mid-Continent Farmers Co-op.

OREGON

Athena; Pendleton Grain Growers Warehouse; Pendleton Grain Growers, Inc.
Biggs (P.O. Wasco); Sherman Co-operative Grain Growers Warehouse; Sherman Co-operative Grain Growers.
Condon; Condon Grain Growers Warehouse; Condon Grain Growers, Inc.
Dufur; Dufur Elevator; Dufur Elevator Company.
Eakin's Siding; Eakin Elevator; Eakin Co-operative Grain Growers.
Echo; Pendleton Grain Growers Warehouse; Pendleton Grain Growers, Inc.
Enterprise; Wallowa County Grain Growers Warehouse; Wallowa County Grain Growers.
Haines; Haines Elevator; Haines Grain and Feed Company, Inc.
Helix; Pendleton Grain Growers Warehouse; Pendleton Grain Growers, Inc.
Heppner; Morrow County Grain Growers Warehouse; Morrow County Grain Growers, Inc.
Holdman; Pendleton Grain Growers Warehouse; Pendleton Grain Growers, Inc.
Ione; Morrow County Grain Growers Warehouse; Morrow County Grain Growers, Inc.
Jordan; Jordan Elevator Company's Warehouse; Jordan Elevator Company.
Lakeview; Lakeview Ag Center Elevator; Lakeview Ag Center, Inc.
Lexington; Morrow County Grain Growers Warehouse; Morrow County Grain Growers, Inc.
Milton-Freewater; Pendleton Grain Growers Warehouse; Pendleton Grain Growers, Inc.
Morgan; Morgan Elevator; John Eubanks.
Moro; Mid Columbia Grain Growers Warehouse; Mid Columbia Grain Growers, Inc.
North Powder; North Powder Milling and Mercantile Company's Warehouse; North Powder Milling and Mercantile Company.
Pendleton; Pendleton Grain Growers Warehouse No. 2; Pendleton Grain Growers, Inc.
Umatilla; Pendleton Grain Growers Warehouse; Pendleton Grain Growers, Inc.

PENNSYLVANIA

Erie; Continental Erie Elevator; Continental Grain Company.
High Spire; Highspire Flour Mills Elevator; Standard Milling Company.
Philadelphia; Girard Point Elevator; Tidewater Grain Company.
Pittsburgh; Expanded Grain Products, Inc., Pittsburgh Grain Elevator; Expanded Grain Products, Inc.

SOUTH DAKOTA

Aberdeen; Cargill Elevator; Cargill Incorporated.
Avon; Cargill Avon Elevator; Cargill, Incorporated.
Beardsley; Terminal Grain Elevator; Terminal Grain Corporation.
Centerville; Centerville Grain Elevator; McMaster Grain Company.
Colome; Colome Elevator—Dallas Branch; Farmers Co-operative Association of Dallas, South Dakota.
Dallas; Farmers Elevators; Farmers Co-operative Association of Dallas, South Dakota.
Gettysburg; Potter County Grain Co-operative Elevator; Potter County Grain Co-operative.
Kennebec; Farmers Coop Elevator; Farmers Union Cooperative Elevator of Kennebec, S. Dak.
Makto; Farmers Coop Elevator; Farmers Cooperative Association of McLaughlin.
Marion; Terminal Grain Elevator; Terminal Grain Corporation.
McLaughlin; Farmers Coop Elevator; Farmers Cooperative Association of McLaughlin.
Milbank; Cargill Elevator; Cargill, Incorporated.
Monroe; Terminal Grain Elevator; Terminal Grain Corporation.
Onida; Oahe Elevator; Oahe Grain Corporation.
Parker; Terminal Grain Elevator; Terminal Grain Corporation.
Philip; Farmers Coop Ass'n; Farmer's Co-operative Association of Philip, S. Dak.
Pierre; Pierre Farmers Elevator; Pierre Farmers Elevator Association.
Roscoe; Roscoe Grain and Feed Company Elevator; Roscoe Grain and Feed Company, Inc.
Scotland; Cargill Scotland Elevator; Cargill Incorporated.
Trent; Cargill Elevator; Cargill Incorporated.
Vermillion; Terminal Farm Service Elevator; Terminal Grain Corporation.
Wagner; Terminal Grain Elevator; Terminal Grain Corporation.
Winner; Deaver-Meyer Elevator; Deaver-Meyer Grain Company.
Yankton; Cargill Yankton Elevator; Cargill Incorporated.

TENNESSEE

Alamo; Gold Kist Soy Elevator; Gold Kist Inc.
Brownsville; Gold Kist Soy Elevator; Gold Kist Inc.
Chattanooga; Cargill Chattanooga Elevator; Cargill, Incorporated.
Decherd; Gold Kist Soy Elevator; Gold Kist Inc.
Huntingdon; Gold Kist Soy Elevator; Gold Kist Inc.
Kenton; Gold Kist Soy Elevator; Gold Kist Inc.
Manchester; Gold Kist Soy Elevator; Gold Kist Inc.
Memphis; ADM Elevator; ADM Export Company.
Memphis; Allied Mills, Inc. Memphis Plant; Allied Mills, Inc.
Memphis; Cargill President Island Oil Plant; Cargill, Incorporated.
Memphis; Continental Memphis Elevator; Continental Grain Company.
Memphis; Port of Memphis Grain Elevator; Cargill, Incorporated.
Savannah; Gold Kist Soy Elevator; Gold Kist Inc.
Somerville; Gold Kist Soy Elevator; Gold Kist Inc.
South Fulton;[1] Browder Grain, Inc. Warehouse; Browder Grain, Inc.
Springfield; Gold Kist Soy Elevator; Gold Kist Inc.
Trenton; Boyd Price Grain Co., Warehouse; Boyd Price, trading as Boyd Price Grain Co.
Trenton; Gold Kist Soy Elevator; Gold Kist Inc.
Tullahoma; Gold Kist Soy Elevator; Gold Kist Inc.
Union City; Farmers Grain Elevator; Farmers Grain & Fertilizer Company, Inc.
Union City; Warterfield Elevator; Warterfield Grain Company.

TEXAS

Adrian; Wheat Growers Elevator; Adrian Wheat Growers, Inc.
Amarillo; Garvey Elevators, Inc. Elevator; Garvey Elevators, Inc.
Amarillo; Producers Elevator; Producers Grain Corporation.
Anna; Sherley Elevator; Norman E. Jones, trading as N. E. Jones Grain.
Bay City; Rice Belt Warehouse; Rice Belt Warehouse, Inc.
Beaumont; Beaumont Elevator; Continental Grain Company.
Black; Black Grain Co. Elevator; Friona Industries, Inc.
Black; Tri-County Elevator; Tri-County Elevator Company, Inc.
Blessing; Rice Belt Warehouse; Rice Belt Warehouse, Inc.
Booker; Booker Equity Elevator; Booker Equity Union Exchange.
Bovina; Sherley Elevator; Sherley Grain Company.
Bovina; Wheat Growers Elevator; Bovina Wheat Growers Inc.
Brownfield; Goodpasture, Inc.-Brownfield Elevator; Goodpasture, Inc.
Canadian; Co-op Elevator; Canadian Grain Co-op.

[1] In Kentucky and Tennessee.

Capps Switch (P.O. Sunray); Continental Elevator; Continental Grain Company.
Channelview; Cargill Houston Elevator; Cargill, Incorporated.
Conlen; Conlen Grain & Mercantile Warehouse; Conlen Grain & Mercantile Co.
Conway; Coop Elevator; Conway Wheat Growers Inc.
Dalhart; Consumers Elevator; Dalhart Consumers Fuel Association, Inc.
Dalhart; Welch Elevator; T. I. Welch and Thompson Irwin Welch, copartners, trading as Welch Grain Company.
Darrouzett; Farmers Elevators; Darrouzett Co-operative Association.
Dawn; Dawn Co-op Elevator; Dawn Co-op.
Deer Park; Union Equity Export Elevator; Union Equity Co-operative Exchange.
Dimmitt; Farmers Elevator; Dimmitt Agri-Industries, Inc.
Dumas; Co-op Elevator; Dumas Co-op.
El Campo; Rice Belt Warehouse; Rice Belt Warehouse, Inc.
Etter (P.O. Dumas); Continental Elevator; Continental Grain Company.
Etter (P.O. Dumas); Etter Grain Company Elevator; Etter Grain Company, Inc.
Farnsworth; Batman Elevator; Batman Grain, Inc.
Farnsworth; Perryton Equity Elevator; Perryton Equity Exchange.
Farwell; Sherley-Anderson-Pitman Elevator; Sherley-Anderson-Pitman, Inc.
Farwell; Worley Mills Elevator; Worley Mills, Inc. (No Stockholder's Liability).
Follett; Farmers Grain & Supply Co. Elevator; Farmers Grain and Supply Company of Follett.
Fort Worth; Katy Elevator; Bunge Corporation.
Fort Worth; Producers Elevator Section B; Producers Grain Corporation.
Friona; Farmers Cooperative Elevator; Friona Wheat Growners, Inc.
Galena Park; Goodpasture Elevator; Goodpasture, Inc.
Galveston; Galveston "B" Elevator; Bunge Corporation.
Ganado; Rice Belt Warehouse; Rice Belt Warehouse, Inc.
Groom; Wheat Growers Elevator; Groom Wheat Growers, Inc.
Groom; Wheeler-Evans Elevator; Wheeler-Evans Elevator Company.
Gruver; Continental Elevator; Continental Grain Company.
Hale Center; Lawrence Systems, Inc.-G & P Grain Co., Inc.; Lawrence Systems, Inc.
Hamlin; Moore Elevator; Moore Elevator Inc.
Hart; Farmers Grain Elevators; The Farmers Grain Company of Hart, Texas.
Hartley; Farmers Supply Company Elevators; Farmers Supply Company of Hartley, Texas.
Hereford; Farmers Co-op Elevator; Hereford Grain Corp.
Hereford; Hereford Elevator; Continental Grain Company.
Higgins; Wheat Growers Elevator; Higgins Wheat Growers, Inc.
Huntoon; Perryton Equity Elevator; Perryton Equity Exchange.
Kress; Kress Farmers Elevator; Kress Farmers Elevator Co. of Kress, Texas.
Lariat; Sherley-Anderson Elevator; Sherley-Anderson Grain Company.
Littlefield; Goodpasture, Inc.-Littlefield Elevator; Goodpasture, Inc.
Lockney; Lockney Co-op Elevator; Lockney Cooperative Gin.
Lockney; Patterson Elevator; Patterson Grain Company, Inc.
Lubbock; Goodpasture, Inc.-Lubbock Elevator; Goodpasture, Inc.
Lubbock; Producers Elevator; Producers Grain Corporation.
Mathis; Mathis Elevator; Mathis Grain & Elevator Corp.
McKibben (P.O. Spearman); Perryton Equity Elevator; Perryton Equity Exchange.
Morse; Perryton Equity Elevator; Perryton Equity Exchange.
Muleshoe; Farmers Cooperative Elevator; Farmers Cooperative Elevator of Muleshoe, Texas.
O'Donnell; Farmers Co-op Elevator; Farmers Co-operative Association of O'Donnell, Texas.
Pampa; Wheeler-Evans Elevator; Wheeler-Evans Elevator Company.
Perryton; Perryton Equity Elevators; Perryton Equity Exchange.
Plainview; Harvest Queen Elevator; Harvest Queen Mill & Elevator Company.
Plainview; Plainsman Elevator; Plainsman Elevators, Inc.
Plainview; Producers Elevator; Producers Grain Corporation.
Plainview; Southwestern Grain Elevator; Southwestern Grain, Inc.
Port Arthur; Cargill Port Arthur Elevator; Cargill, Incorporated.
Pringle; Perryton Equity Elevator; Perryton Equity Exchange.
Rosenberg; Ansel Grain; Ansel Grain, Inc.
Saginaw; Continental Elevator; Continental Grain Company.
Saginaw; Cook Industries, Inc., Grain Division; Cook Industries, Inc.
Saginaw; Union Equity Ft. Worth Elevator; Union Equity Co-operative Exchange.
Spearman; Perryton Equity Elevator; Perryton Equity Exchange.
Sudan; Feeders Elevator; Feeders Grain, Inc.
Sunray; Continental Elevator; Continental Grain Company.
Sunray; Sunray Co-Op Elevator; Sunray Co-Op.
Texarkana; Pioneer of Texarkana Elevator; Pioneer Food Industries, Inc.
Texhoma; Wheat Growers Elevator; Texhoma Wheat Growers, Inc.
Tulia; Hipp, Inc.—Star Grain Division; Lawrence Systems, Inc.
Tulia; Prairie Elevator; Prairie Cattle and Grain Co.
Tulia; Wheat Growers Elevator; Tulia Wheat Growers, Inc.
Twitchell; Perryton Equity Elevator; Perryton Equity Exchange.
Vega; Wheat Growers Elevator; Vega Wheat Growers, Inc.
Waka; Perryton Equity Elevator; Perryton Equity Exchange.
White Deer; Wheeler-Evans Elevator; Wheeler-Evans Elevator Company.
Wichita Falls; Berend Bros. Elevator; Berend Brothers Feed Stores, Incorporated.
Wildorado; Wildorado Producers Elevator; Wildorado Producers Ass'n.

UTAH

Cache Junction; West Cache Growers Warehouse; West Cache Growers, Inc.
Ogden; Evans Elevator; Evans Elevator Corporation.
Richmond; Gilt Edge Flour Mills Warehouse; Gilt Edge Flour Mills, Inc.

VIRGINIA

Chesapeake; Cargill Norfolk Elevator; Cargill, Incorporated.
Norfolk; N. & W. Grain Elevator; Continental Grain Company.
Roanoke; City Mills Elevator; Roanoke City Mills, Incorporated.

WASHINGTON

Asotin; Lewiston Grain Growers Warehouse; Lewiston Grain Growers, Inc.
Centerville; Grain Growers Warehouse; Klickitat Valley Grain Growers, Inc.
Connell; Connell Grain Growers Warehouse; Connell Grain Growers, Inc.
Dayton; Columbia County Grain Growers Warehouse; Columbia County Grain Growers, Inc.
Endicott; Wheat Growers of Endicott Warehouse; Wheat Growers of Endicott, Inc.
Goldendale; Grain Growers Warehouse; Klickitat Valley Grain Growers, Inc.
Huntsville; Columbia County Grain Growers Warehouse; Columbia County Grain Growers, Inc.
Johnson (P.O. Star Route, Pullman); Johnson Union Warehouse; Johnson Union Warehouse Company.
Kahlotus; Kahlotus Cooperative Elevator; Kahlotus Cooperative Elevator Company.
McKay; The Touchet Valley Grain Growers Warehouse; The Touchet Valley Grain Growers, Inc.
Oakesdale; Oakesdale Grain Growers Warehouse; Oakesdale Grain Growers, Inc.
Pomeroy; Pomeroy Grain Growers Warehouse; Pomeroy Grain Growers, Inc.
Prescott; The Touchet Valley Grain Growers Warehouse; The Touchet Valley Grain Growers, Inc.
Pullman; Dumas Seed Company Warehouse; Dumas Seed Company.
Rockford; Rockford Grain Growers Warehouse; Rockford Grain Growers, Inc.
Roosevelt; Farmers Warehouse & Commission Co.; Farmers Warehouse and Commission Company.
Starbuck; Columbia County Grain Growers Warehouse; Columbia County Grain Growers, Inc.
Uniontown; Uniontown Co-Operative Warehouse; Uniontown Co-Operative Association.
Waitsburg; The Touchet Valley Grain Growers Warehouse; The Touchet Valley Grain Growers, Inc.

WISCONSIN

Green Bay; Strid Grain Company Elevator; T. A. Strid and Roland G. Strid, copartners trading as Strid Grain Company.
La Crosse; Cargill La Crosse Elevator; Cargill, Incorporated.
Superior; Great Northern Elevators S–X; ADM Grain Co.
Superior; Continental Elevator, Superior; Continental Grain Company.
Superior; Farmers Union Elevator; Farmers Union Grain Terminal Association.
Superior; Globe Elevator; Peavey Company.
Superior; M & O Elevators; M & O Elevators, Inc.

Beans

C. For the storage of beans:

Town, Warehouse, and Warehouseman

CALIFORNIA

Knights Landing; Sutter Basin Growers' Cooperative Warehouse; Sutter Basin Growers' Cooperative.
Sutter; Hi and Dry Warehouse; Hi and Dry Warehouse, Inc.

COLORADO

Eaton; Co-Op Bean Warehouse; Agland Incorporated.
Fowler; Fowler Warehouse; Fowler Cooperative Association.
Holyoke; Holyoke Bean & Seed Co. Warehouse; Grant Bean & Seed, Inc.
Olathe; Co-op Warehouse; The Olathe Potato Growers' Cooperative Association.
Roggen; Roggen Farmers Bean Warehouse; Roggen Farmer's Elevator Association.
Stratton; Co-op Elevator; The Stratton Equity Cooperative Company.
Yellow Jacket; Yellow Jacket Coop; Southwest Colorado Bean Producers, Inc.

IDAHO

Hansen; L. W. Moore Warehouse; L. W. Moore.

Jerome; Marshall Warehouse; Marshall Warehouses, Inc.
Kendrick; Lewiston Grain Growers Warehouse; Lewiston Grain Growers, Inc.
Twin Falls; Idaho Bean and Elevator Warehouse; Idaho Bean & Elevator Co. of Twin Falls.

KANSAS

Leoti; Western Seed & Supply Warehouse; Charles R. Whitham, trading as Western Seed & Supply.
Ruleton (P.O. Goodland); Western Seed & Supply Warehouse; Charles R. Whitham, trading as Western Seed & Supply.

NEBRASKA

Grant; Grant Bean & Seed Co. Warehouse; Grant Bean & Seed, Inc.
Imperial; D & D Bean Warehouse; D & D Bean Co.

Sirup

D. For the storage of sirup:

CALIFORNIA

Town, Warehouse, and Warehouseman

Anaheim; Anaheim Warehouse; Sioux Honey Association, Cooperative.
Stockton; Valley Honey Warehouse; Valley Honey Cooperative.

FLORIDA

Umatilla; Umatilla Warehouse; Sioux Honey Association, Cooperative.

GEORGIA

Waycross; Waycross Warehouse; Sioux Honey Association, Cooperative.

IDAHO

Wendell; Sioux Honey Association Warehouse; Sioux Honey Association, Cooperative.

IOWA

Sioux City; Sioux Honey Association Warehouse; Sioux Honey Association, Cooperative.

TEXAS

Temple; Temple Honey Warehouse; Sioux Honey Association, Cooperative.

Wool

E. For the storage of wool:

Town, Warehouse, and Warehouseman

CALIFORNIA

Stockton; Cal-Wool Marketing Association Warehouse; Cal-Wool Marketing Association.

KANSAS

South Hutchinson; Midwest Wool Warehouse; Midwest Wool Marketing Cooperative.

OHIO

Columbus; Ohio Wool Warehouse; The Ohio Wool Growers Cooperative Association;

SOUTH CAROLINA

Greenville; Black Hawk Warehouse; The Black Hawk Corporation.

UTAH

Salt Lake City; Utah Wool Marketing Association Warehouse; Utah Wool Marketing Association.

VIRGINIA

Clarksville; Burlington Worsteds Combing Warehouse; Burlington Industries, Inc.

Cottonseed

F. For the storage of cottonseed:

Town, Warehouse, and Warehouseman

ARKANSAS

Evadale (P.O. Wilson); Delta Products Warehouse; Delta Products Company.
Forrest City; Forrest City Cotton Oil Mill Warehouse; Forrest City Cotton Oil Mill, Inc.
Helena; Helena Cotton Oil Company's Warehouse; Helena Cotton Oil Company, Inc.
Osceola; Osceola Products Warehouse; Osceola Products Company.
Pine Bluff; Cook Industries, Inc., Processing and Refining Division; Cook Industries, Inc.
West Memphis; Ginners Oil Mill Warehouse; Ginners Oil Mill, Inc.

GEORGIA

Macon; Central Cotton Oil; Central Cotton Oil Company.

LOUISIANA

Bossier City; Riverland Oil Mill Warehouse; Riverland Oil Mill, Inc.
West Monroe; Union Oil Mill Warehouse; The Union Oil Mill, Inc.

MISSISSIPPI

Crenshaw; Cook Industries, Inc., Processing and Refining Division; Cook Industries, Inc.
Marks; Cook Industries, Inc., Processing and Refining Division; Cook Industries, Inc.

Nuts

G. For the storage of nuts:

NORTH CAROLINA

Town, Warehouse, and Warehouseman

Lewiston; Lewiston Bonded Warehouse; Warehouse Superintendent of the State of North Carolina.
Murfreesboro; Revelle Bonded Warehouse; Chas. L. Revelle & Sons, Inc.
Tarboro; Edgecombe Bonded Warehouse; Warehouse Superintendent of the State of North Carolina.

List of Warehouses Cancelled or Terminated Since December 31, 1973

Cotton

A. For the storage of cotton:

ALABAMA

Centre; Floyd County Bonded Warehouse; Floyd County Bonded Warehouse, Inc. Gave up lease.
Greenbrier; Elliott Bonded Warehouse; J. K. Elliott and George R. Elliott, copartners trading as J. D. Elliott and Son. Death of partner.

ARKANSAS

Lepanto; Federal Compress Warehouse; Federal Compress & Warehouse Company. Closing of warehouse.

GEORGIA

Carrollton; Martin Bonded Warehouse; J. E. Martin & Son. Warehouseman's request.
Davisboro; Taylor Bonded Warehouse; Taylor Bonded Warehouse, Inc. Liquidating business.
Gay; Gay Bonded Warehouse; Arthur G. Estes, Jr. Death of licensee.
Hawkinsville; Blount's Warehouse; L. H. Blount, Inc. New corporation formed.
Lyons; Stanley and Pughsley Bonded Warehouse; Stanley & Pughsley Gin and Warehouse Company, Incorporated. Warehouseman's request.
Senoia; The Brick Bonded Warehouse; Paul R. McKnight, Sr. and Paul R. McKnight, Jr., copartners, trading as P. R. McKnight & Son. Failure to renew bond.
Social Circle; Malcom's Bonded Warehouse; B. A. Malcom. Death of licensee.
Wrightsville; Union Warehouse; J. F. Jordan. Death of owner.
Wrightsville; Union Warehouse; Bernard DeRoller, Executor of the last will and testament of J. Frank Jordan, deceased. Gave up lease.
Youth; Byrd Bonded Warehouse; J. T. Byrd. Warehouse destroyed by windstorm.

MISSISSIPPI

Greenville; Paxton Bonded Warehouse; Paxton Bonded Warehouse, Inc. Bond not renewed.
Jackson; Federal Compress Warehouse; Federal Compress & Warehouse Company. Warehouseman's request.
Macon; Federal Compress Warehouse; Federal Compress & Warehouse Company. Closing warehouse.

MISSOURI

Charleston; National Compress Warehouse; National Compress & Warehouse Company. Lost control of warehouse.

NORTH CAROLINA

Charlotte; Standard Bonded Warehouse; Standard Bonded Warehouse Company. Failure to furnish renewal bond.

VIRGINIA

Brodnax; Dugger and Dugger Cotton Storage; Richmond H. Dugger, Jr., trading as Dugger and Dugger Cotton Storage. Failure to furnish renewal bond.

Grain

B. For the storage of grain:

ALABAMA

Guntersville; Guntersville Plant; Allied Mills, Inc. Bond expired.

ARKANSAS

Proctor; Craft Elevator; Robert Craft & Son, Inc. Warehouse sold.

COLORADO

Dove Creek; Dove Creek Bean & Elevator Co. Warehouse; Dove Creek Bean & Elevator Co. Failed to renew bond.

GEORGIA

Macon; Central Cotton Oil; Southern Soya Corporation. Transferred operations to wholly owned subsidiary.

IDAHO

Michaud; Power County Grain Growers Warehouse; Power County Grain Growers, Inc. Relicensed as part of Power County Grain Growers, Inc., American Falls, Idaho.

ILLINOIS

Alhambra; Alhambra & Marine Elevators; Madison Service Company. Relicensed as part of Madison Service Company, Edwardsville, Illinois.
Atlanta; Atlanta Elevator; F. L. Douglas & Co. Bond expired.
Auburn; W. E. Shutt Elevator; Girard Elevator, Inc. Relicensed as part of Girard Elevator, Inc., Girard, Illinois.
Bartonville; Allied Mills Peoria Elevator; Allied Mills, Inc. Discontinued operations.
Bismark; Bismark Grain Co. Elevator; Bismark Grain Co., Inc. Failed to furnish renewal bond.
Camargo; Villa Grove Farmers Elevator; Villa Grove Farmers Elevator Company. Relicensed as part of Villa Grove Farmers Elevator Company, Villa Grove, Illinois.
Centerville Township; Cargill East St. Louis Elevator "R"; Cargill Incorporated. Gave up lease.
Chicago; Belt Elevator; Carey Grain Corporation. Gave up lease.
Chicago; Calumet Elevator; Dixie Portland Flour Mills, Inc. Failed to furnish renewal bond.
Compton; Torri Grain Company Elevator; A. J. Torri, Joseph A. Torri, and Q. J. Torri, copartners, trading as Torri Grain Company. Death of a partner.
Deer Grove (RR #1); Hahnaman Station Elevator; Hahnaman Elevator, Inc. Change in operating entity.
Edinburg; Rink & Scheib Elevator; Rinks & Scheib, Inc. Warehouse sold.

Emery (P.O. Maroa); B. C. Christopher & Co. Dewein Elevator; B. C. Christopher & Company, a limited partnership with Hearne Christopher, John H. Collett, Edward G. Mader, Lawrence P. Hogan, Lowell H. Listrom, Norman Supper, Ludwell G. Gaines III, Robert F. Wilson, Philipp Kuhn, William L. Evans, Jr., Donald F. George, and Edward A. Connelly. Lost lease.

Galesburg; Consumers; W. J. Krupps, John M. Suter, and George M. Sutor, copartners, trading as Consumers Grain and Supply Company. Corporation formed.

Kenney; Kenney Elevator; F. L. Douglas & Co. Warehouse sold.

Marengo; Central Grain Co. Elevator; Central Commodities, Ltd. Warehouse closed.

Mason City; Tabor & Co. Mason City Elevator; Tabor & Co. Lease canceled.

Pittwood (RR #4 Watseka); Gillespie Grain Co.; Clyde W. Gillespie, trading as Gillespie Grain Co. Corporation formed.

Rochelle (RR #1); Maplehurst Farms Elevator; L. D. Carmichael, trading as Maplehurst Farms. Corporation formed.

Sibley; Sibley Complete Feed & Grain Service Elevator; The Sibley Farms Service Corporation. Failed to furnish renewal bond.

Stillman Valley; Griffith Lumber Co. Stillman Valley Elevator; Stanwood C. Griffith, trading as Griffith Lumber Co. Failed to furnish renewal bond.

Taylorville; Allied Mills Taylorville Elevator; Allied Mills, Inc. Failed to furnish renewal bond.

Taylorville; Wayne Feed Supply Co. Elevator; Allied Mills, Inc. Failed to furnish renewal bond.

Thomasville (P.O. Farmersville); Thomasville Elevator; Girard Elevator, Inc. Relicensed as part of Girard Elevator, Inc., Girard, Illinois.

Union (P.O. Emden); Union Elevator; F. L. Douglas & Co. Bond expired.

Waggoner; Waggoner Elevator; Girard Elevator, Inc. Relicensed as part of Girard Elevator, Inc., Girard, Illinois.

Woodford (P.O. Minonk); Woodford Elevator; Garvey Grain, Inc. Warehouse sold.

INDIANA

Carlisle; Sprinkle Elevator; Ralph Sprinkle trading as Sprinkle Elevator. Corporation formed.

Hedrick; Hedrick Elevator; Jack Conard, trading as Conard Grain Company. Relicensed as part of Jack Conard, trading as Conard Grain Company, Marshfield, Indiana.

Lyons; Sprinkle Elevator; Ralph Sprinkle, trading as Sprinkle Elevator. Corporation formed.

Schneider; Indiana Grain Exporters; Mid-West Land and Cattle Corporation. Lost lease.

Sullivan; Johnson Mill & Elevator; Sherell W. Johnson, Sr. and Sherell W. Johnson, Jr., copartners, trading as Johnson Feed & Supply Company. Corporation formed.

IOWA

Alta; Alta Cooperative Elevator; Alta Cooperative Elevator. Corporate merger.

Granville; Bunkers Elevator; Dale Bunkers, trading as Bunkers Feed & Supply. Corporation formed.

Hartley; Farmers Elevator; Farmers Cooperative Elevator Company of Everly, Iowa. Relicensed as part of Farmers Co-operative Elevator Company of Everly, Iowa, Everly, Iowa.

Jefferson; Farmers Elevator; Farmers Cooperative Association. Relicensed as part of Farmers Co-operative Association, Ralston, Iowa.

Lidderdale; Wenek Warehouse; Oliver L. Wenek, trading as Wenek Feeds. Corporation formed.

Nodaway; Nodaway Elevator; Gail L. Hample, trading as Nodaway Elevator Co. Corporation formed.

KANSAS

Cambridge; Holt Grain Company Elevator; E. H. Holt, d/b/a Holt Grain Company. Elevator closed.

Dorrance; Dorrance Elevator; Agco, Inc. Relicensed as part of Agco, Inc., Russell, Kansas.

Emporia; Kansas Soya Products Division; Ross Industries, Inc. Warehouse sold.

Gypsum; Moore Elevator; Kenneth Moore and Lorene Moore, copartners, trading as Moore Grain and Feed Co. Warehouse sold.

Hutchinson; Kelley Elevator; The William Kelly Milling Company. Failed to furnish renewal bond.

Lovewell (P.O. Formosa); Lovewell Elevator; Scoular-Bishop Grain Company. Destroyed by fire.

Morrowville; Continental Elevator; Continental Grain Company. Warehouse sold.

Roxbury; Moore Elevator; Kenneth Moore and Lorene Moore, copartners, trading as Moore Grain and Feed Co. Warehouse sold.

Salina; International Elevator; International Multifoods Corporation. Warehouse sold.

Selkirk; Farmco Selkirk Elevator; Farmco, Inc. Warehouse inoperative.

South Haven; The Howell Elevator; Ray E. Howell, d/b/a Howell Grain & Insurance. Warehouse sold.

Whitewater; Whitewater Elevator; The Whitewater Flour Mills Company. Warehouse sold.

Wichita; Public Terminal Elevator; Sam P. Wallingford, Inc. Failed to furnish bond.

LOUISIANA

Tallulah; Madison Grain Company; Russell G. Petersen, trading as Madison Grain Company. Warehouse sold.

MINNESOTA

Minneapolis; Republic Elevator; Victoria Elevator Company of Minneapolis. Destroyed by fire.

Minneapolis; Searle Elevator; Searle Grain Company. Warehouse abandoned.

MISSISSIPPI

Indianola; Grain Storage Company, Division of Archer Daniels Midland Company; Archer Daniels Midland Company. Elevator closed.

Inverness; Stapiservice Inverness Elevator; Staple Cotton Services Association (AAL). Gave up lease.

Bigelow; Morris Elevator; Donald E. Morris, trading as Morris Grain Co. Failed to furnish bond. (License reissued 4/25/74)

Corning; Corning Elevator; Rickel, Inc. Relicensed as part of Rickel, Inc., Craig, Missouri.

Gallatin; Froman Elevator; K. C. Froman, trading as Farmers Grain and Fertilizer. Warehouse sold.

Hayti; MFA Elevator; Missouri Farmers Association, Inc. Relicensed as part of Missouri Farmers Association, Inc., Caruthersville, Missouri.

Higginsville; MFA Exchange Elevator; Missouri Farmers Association, Inc. Included in 3-5366—Missouri Farmers Association, Inc., Higginsville, Missouri.

North Kansas City; NCM Elevator; ConAgra, Inc. Warehouse sold.

St. Louis; Missouri Pacific Elevator; Jerry W. Fowles, trading as Fowles Grain Company. Corporation formed.

NEBRASKA

Aurora; Dowd Elevator; Dowd Grain Company, Inc. Warehouse sold.

Bloomfield; Holmquist Elevator; The Holmquist Grain and Lumber Company. Warehouse sold.

Durant (P.O. Stromsburg); Richters Elevator; John W. Lamoreaux and Marc Lamoreaux, copartners trading as Durant Grain Company. Failed to furnish bond.

Omaha; Allied Mills Elevator; Allied Mills, Inc. Discontinued operations.

Rogers; Golden West Grain Company's Rogers Elevator; Golden West Grain Company. Relicensed as part of Golden West Grain Company, Schuyler, Nebraska.

Verdel; Allied Mills Elevator; Allied Mills, Inc. Change in operating entity.

Wausa; Allied Mills Elevator; Allied Mills, Inc. Change in operating entity.

NORTH CAROLINA

Greenville; Fred Webb Elevator; James Fred Webb. Corporation formed.

OHIO

Arcanum; Allied Mills Arcanum Elevator; Allied Mills, Inc. Bond expired.

OKLAHOMA

Grandfield; Union Equity Elevator; Union Equity Co-operative Exchange. Warehouse sold.

Oklahoma City; Garrison Elevator; Garrison Milling Company, Inc. Failed to furnish bond.

OREGON

Elgin; The Elgin Flouring Mill Warehouse; The Elgin Flouring Mill Co. Warehouse sold.

Helix; Farmers Mutual Warehouse Co-op; Farmers Mutual Warehouse Cooperative. Failed to furnish bond.

Imbler; Grande Ronde Grain Warehouse; Grande Ronde Grain Co. Warehouse sold.

Island City; Pioneer Flouring Mill Warehouse; Pioneer Flouring Mill Co. Warehouse sold.

LaGrande; LaGrande Milling Warehouse; LaGrande Milling Company. Warehouse sold.

Union; The Union Flouring Mill Warehouse; The Union Flouring Mill Company. Warehouse sold.

TEXAS

Plainview; Harvest Queen Elevator; L. R. Stringer. Failed to furnish bond.

Saginaw; Garvey Elevator, Inc. Elevator; Garvey Elevator, Inc. Warehouse sold.

UTAH

Murray; Brookfield Elevator; Brookfield Products, Inc. Ceased operations as a public warehouse.

Beans

C. For the storage of beans:

COLORADO

Dove Creek; Dove Creek Bean & Elevator Co. Warehouse; Dove Creek Bean & Elevator Co. Failed to renew bond.

KANSAS

Marienthal; Webster Warehouse; Webster Seed and Supply Inc. Failed to renew bond.

Wool

E. For the storage of wool:

MISSOURI

North Kansas City; Midwest Wool Warehouse; Midwest Wool Marketing Cooperative. Warehouse closed.

Cottonseed

F. For the storage of cottonseed:

GEORGIA

Macon; Central Cotton Oil; Southern Soya Corporation. Transferred operations to wholly owned subsidiary.

Done at Washington, D.C., March 7, 1975.

JOHN C. BLUM,
Associate Administrator.

[FR Doc.75-6597 Filed 3-12-75;8:45 am]

Farmers Home Administration

[Notice of Designation Number A161]

MICHIGAN

Designation of Emergency Areas

The Secretary of Agriculture has found that a general need for agricultural credit exists in 11 counties in Michigan as a result of various adverse weather conditions. The following chart shows the counties, natural disasters, and dates on which the disasters occurred:

MICHIGAN—11 counties, 1974

County	Excessive rainfall (spring)	Excessive rainfall (harvest)	Drought	Frost and/or freeze	Hailstorms
Ionia	May 3 to June 21		July 5 to Aug. 10	Sept. 23	
Lenawee	do		do	Sept. 22 and 23	
Livingston	do		do	do	
Mackinac		Aug. 11 to Oct. 22		Sept. 2 and 14	
Mecosta	May 3 to June 21		July 5 to Aug. 10	Sept. 22 and 23	
Menominee		Aug. 11 to Oct. 22		Sept. 2 and 14	July 26 and Aug. 18.
Midland	May 3 to June 21			Sept. 22 and 23	
Montcalm	do		July 5 to Aug. 10	Sept. 22 and 23 and Oct. 2.	July 29.
Shiawassee	do		do	Sept. 22 and 23	
St. Clair	do		do	do	
Washtenaw	do		do		

Therefore, the Secretary has designated these areas as eligible for Emergency loans, pursuant to the provisions of the Consolidated Farm and Rural Development Act, as amended by Pub. L. 93–237, and the provisions of 7 CFR 1832.3(b) including the recommendation of Governor William G. Milliken that such designation be made.

Applications for Emergency loans must be received by this Department no later than April 28, 1975, for physical losses and November 28, 1975, for production losses, except that qualified borrowers who receive initial loans pursuant to this designation may be eligible for subsequent loans. The urgency of the need for loans in the designated areas makes it impracticable and contrary to the public posed rule making and invite public interest to give advance notice of proparticipation.

Done at Washington, D.C., this 5th day of March, 1975.

FRANK B. ELLIOTT,
Administrator,
Farmers Home Administration.

[FR Doc.75–6463 Filed 3–12–75;8:45 am]

[Notice of Designation Number A162]

MISSISSIPPI

Designation of Emergency Areas

The Secretary of Agriculture has found that a general need for agricultural credit exists in seven counties in Mississippi as a result of various adverse weather conditions. The following chart shows the counties, natural disasters, and dates on which the disasters occurred:

MISSISSIPPI—7 COUNTIES, 1974

County	Excessive rainfall	Drought	Below normal temperatures
Carroll	Jan. 1 to Feb. 8, Apr. 1 to June 15, Sept. 5 to Nov. 30.		
Grenada	May 20 to June 20		
Humphreys	Mar. 12 to June 30, Aug. 1 to 31		Sept. 3 to Oct. 20.
Madison	May 1 to July 1	July 15 to Sept. 15	
Oktibbeha	Mar. 2 to June 1		
Webster	Mar. 2 to May 28		
Yalobusha	Jan. 1 to June 20		

Therefore, the Secretary has designated these areas as eligible for Emergency loans, pursuant to the provisions of the Consolidated Farm and Rural Development Act, as amended by Pub. L. 93–237, and the provisions of 7 CFR 1832.3(b) including the recommendation of Governor William L. Waller that such designation be made.

Applications for Emergency loans must be received by this Department no later than April 28, 1975, for physical losses and November 28, 1975, for production losses, except that qualified borrowers who receive initial loans pursuant to this designation may be eligible for subsequent loans. The urgency of the need for loans in the designated areas makes it impracticable and contrary to the public interest to give advance notice of proposed rule making and invite public participation.

Done at Washington, D.C., this 5th day of March, 1975.

FRANK B. ELLIOTT,
Administrator,
Farmers Home Administration.

[FR Doc.75–6464 Filed 3–12–75;8:45 am]

Forest Service

LAKE FORK MANAGEMENT UNIT, WALLOWA-WHITMAN NATIONAL FOREST

Availability of Final Environmental Statement

Pursuant to section 102(2)(C) of the National Environmental Policy Act of 1969, the Forest Service, Department of Agriculture, has prepared a final environmental statement for the Lake Fork Management Unit, Wallowa-Whitman National Forest, Oregon. USDA–FS–R6–ES–(Adm)–75–05.

The environmental statement concerns proposed management direction for the Lake Fork Management Unit, Wallowa-Whitman National Forest in Baker and Wallowa Counties, State of Oregon.

This final environmental statement was transmitted to CEQ on March 6, 1975.

Copies are available for inspection during regular working hours at the following locations:

USDA, Forest Service, South Agriculture Bldg., Room 3231, 12th St. & Independence Ave., S.W., Washington, D.C. 20250.

USDA, Forest Service, Pacific Northwest Region, 319 S.W. Pine Street, Portland, Oregon 97204.

Wallowa-Whitman National Forest, Federal Building, Baker, Oregon 97814.

A limited number of single copies are available upon request to Forest Supervisor John L. Rogers, Wallowa-Whitman National Forest, Baker, Oregon 97814.

Copies of the environmental statement have been sent to various Federal, state, and local agencies as outlined in the CEQ guidelines.

CURTIS L. SWANSON,
Regional Environmental Coordinator, Region 6.

MARCH 6, 1975.

[FR Doc.75–6500 Filed 3–12–75;8:45 am]

EIGHTMILE-BLUE CREEK UNITS, SIX RIVERS NATIONAL FOREST; LAND USE PLAN

Availability of Supplement to Draft Environmental Statement

Pursuant to section 102(2)(C) of the National Environmental Policy Act of 1969, the Forest Service, Department of Agriculture, has prepared a supplement to the draft environmental statement for the Land Use Plans, Eightmile-Blue Creek Units, Six Rivers National Forest, California, USDA–FS–R5–DES(Adm)–75–5(S).

The environmental statement concerns a proposed land use management plan for the 94,000 acres of National Forest lands known as the Eightmile-Blue Creek Units of the Six Rivers National Forest, in Del Norte and Humboldt Counties, California. Fifty-nine thousand eight hundred acres within these Units have been inventoried as "roadless."

The supplement develops an additional land management alternative and also identifies and considers variations of the

alternative discussed in the draft environmental statement. The consideration of socioeconomic effects has been expanded for all alternatives.

The draft environmental statement was transmitted to the Council on Environmental Quality (CEQ) on November 12, 1974. The supplement to the draft environmental statement was transmitted to the Council on Environmental Quality (CEQ) on March 7, 1975.

Copies are available for inspection during regular working hours at the following locations:

USDA, Forest Service, South Agriculture Bldg., Rm 3230, 12th St. & Independence Ave., SW., Washington, D.C. 20250.

Forest Supervisor, Six Rivers National Forest, 710 "E" Street, Eureka, California 95501.

Forest Service, District Ranger, Orleans, California 95556.

Regional Forester, U.S. Forest Service, Rm 529, 630 Sansome Street, San Francisco, California 94111.

Forest Service, District Ranger, Gasquet, California 95543.

A limited number of single copies are available, upon request, from Forest Supervisor George Roether, Six Rivers National Forest, 710 "E" Street, Eureka, California 95501.

Copies of the environmental statement and the supplement have been sent to various Federal, State, and local agencies as outlined in the CEQ guidelines.

Comments are invited from the public, and from State and local agencies which are authorized to develop and enforce environmental standards, and from Federal agencies having jurisdiction by law or special expertise with respect to any environmental effects for which comments have not been specifically requested.

Comments concerning the proposed action, and requests for additional information should be addressed to Forest Supervisor George A. Roether, Six Rivers National Forest, 710 "E" Street, Eureka, California 95501. Comments must be received within 60 days after transmittal to CEQ in order to be considered in the preparation of the final environmental statement.

DOUGLAS LEISZ,
Regional Forester.

MARCH 7, 1975.

[FR Doc.75-6509 Filed 3-12-75;8:45 am]

Soil Conservation Service

COTTONWOOD-WALNUT CREEK WATERSHED PROJECT, N.M.

Availability of Draft Environmental Impact Statement

Pursuant to section 102(2)(C) of the National Environmental Policy Act of 1969; Part 1500 of the Council on Environmental Quality Guidelines (38 FR 20550, August 1, 1973); and Part 650 of the Soil Conservation Service Guidelines (39 FR 19650, June 3, 1974); the Soil Conservation Service, U.S. Department of Agriculture, has prepared a draft environmental impact statement for the Cottonwood-Walnut Creek Watershed Project, Chaves and Eddy Counties, New Mexico, USDA-SCS-EIS-WS-(ADM)-74-2-(D)-NM.

The environmental impact statement concerns a plan for watershed protection, flood prevention, and recreation. The planned works of improvement include conservation land treatment and structural measures. The structural measures include eleven floodwater retarding structures, one multi-purpose structure with flood detention capacity and recreation storage, five floodwater diversions and about 9.7 miles of channel work. The channel work provides flood protection for high valued, irrigated cropland. It involves construction of about 4.8 miles of new channel and about 4.9 miles of channel enlargement on the lower end of Cottonwood Creek, an existing channel with intermittent flow. The recreation development will provide for about 63,970 visitor-days of use annually.

A limited supply of copies is available at the following location to fill single copy requests:

Soil Conservation Service, USDA, 517 Gold Avenue, SW, Albuquerque, New Mexico 87103.

Copies of the draft environmental impact statement have been sent for comment to various federal, state, and local agencies as outlined in the Council on Environmental Quality Guidelines. Comments are also invited from others having knowledge of or special expertise on environmental impacts.

Comments concerning the proposed action or requests for additional information should be addressed to Marion E. Strong, State Conservationist, Soil Conservation Service, P.O. Box 2007, Albuquerque, New Mexico 87103.

Comments must be received on or before April 28, 1975, in order to be considered in the preparation of the final environmental impact statement.

(Catalog of Federal Domestic Assistance Program No. 10.904, National Archives Reference Services.)

Dated: February 28, 1975.

WILLIAM B. DAVEY,
Deputy Administrator for Water Resources, Soil Conservation Service.

[FR Doc.75-6504 Filed 3-12-75;8:45 am]

FARMERS CREEK WATERSHED, TEX.

Notice of Negative Declaration

Pursuant to section 102(2)(C) of the National Environmental Policy Act of 1969; part 1500.6(e) of the Council of Environmental Quality Guidelines (38 FR 20550) August 1, 1973; and part 650.8(b)(3) of the Soil Conservation Service Guidelines (39 FR 19651) June 3, 1974; the Soil Conservation Service, U.S. Department of Agriculture, gives notice that an environmental impact statement is not being prepared for the floodwater retarding structures nos. 1, 5, 6, 7, 8, and 10; debris basins nos. 109, 111, 112, 113, 114, 115, 116, 117, 118, 119, 120, 121; and the remaining land treatment in the Farmers Creek Watershed in Montague County, Texas.

The environmental assessment of this federal action indicates that the project will not create significant adverse local, regional, or national impacts on the environment and that no significant controversy is associated with the project. As a result of these findings, Mr. Edward E. Thomas, State Conservationist, Soil Conservation Service, USDA, First National Bank Building, Temple, Texas 76501, has determined that the preparation and review of an environmental impact statement is not needed for this project.

The project concerns a plan for watershed protection and flood prevention. The six floodwater retarding structures, twelve debris basins, and the land treatment as described in the negative declaration comprise a portion of the remaining planned works of improvement.

The environmental assessment file is available for inspection during regular working hours at the following location:

Soil Conservation Service, USDA, First National Bank Building, Temple, Texas 76501.

Requests for the negative declaration should be sent to the above address.

No administrative action on implementation of the proposal will be taken until March 28, 1975.

(Catalog of Federal Domestic Assistance Program No. 10.904, National Archives Reference Services).

JOSEPH W. HAAS,
Acting Deputy Administrator for Water Resources, Soil Conservation Service.

MARCH 3, 1975.

[FR Doc.75-6502 Filed 3-12-75;8:45 am]

HAPPY VALLEY FLOOD PREVENTION MEASURE, HI., TRI-ISLE RESOURCE CONSERVATION AND DEVELOPMENT PROJECT

Notice of Negative Declaration

Pursuant to section 102(2)(C) of the National Environmental Policy Act of 1969; part 1500.6(e) of the Council on Environmental Quality Guidelines (38 FR 20550) August 1, 1973; and § 650.8(b)(3) of the Soil Conservation Service Guidelines (39 FR 19651) June 3, 1974; the Soil Conservation Service, U.S. Department of Agriculture, gives notice that an environmental impact statement is not being prepared for the Happy Valley Flood Prevention Measure, Maui County, Hawaii, Tri-Isle Resource Conservation and Development Project.

The environmental assessment of this federal action indicates that the project will not create significant adverse local, regional, or national impacts on the environment and that no significant controversy is associated with the project. As a result of these findings, Mr. Francis C. H. Lum, State Conservationist, Soil Conservation Service, USDA, 440 Alexander Young Building, Honolulu, Hawaii, 96813, has determined that the preparation and review of an environmental impact statement is not needed for this project.

The project concerns a plan for watershed protection and flood prevention. The planned works of improvement include conservation land treatment supplemented by five large floodwater diversions and a concrete-lined floodwater channel with a debris basin, energy dissipator and grassed waterway.

The environmental assessment file is available for inspection during regular working hours at the following location:

Soil Conservation Service, USDA, 440 Alexander Young Building, Honolulu, Hawaii 96813.

No administrative action on implementation of the proposal will be taken until March 28, 1975.

(Catalog of Federal Domestic Assistance Program No. 10.901 National Archives Reference Services.)

ROBERT E. WILLIAMS,
Acting Deputy Administrator for Field Services, Soil Conservation Service.

MARCH 7, 1975.

[FR Doc.75-6507 Filed 3-12-75;8:45 am]

MILL BRANCH WATERSHED PROJECT, GA.

Availability of Draft Environmental Impact Statement

Pursuant to section 102(2)(C) of the National Environmental Policy Act of 1969; Part 1500 of the Council on Environmental Quality Guidelines (38 FR 20550, August 1, 1973); and Part 650 of the Soil Conservation Service Guidelines (39 FR 19650, June 3, 1974); the Soil Conservation Service, U.S. Department of Agricultural, has prepared a draft environmental impact statement for the Mill Branch Watershed Project, Bacon County, Georgia, USDA-SCS-EIS-WS-(ADM)-75-2-(D)-GA.

The environmental impact statement concerns a plan for watershed protection, flood prevention and drainage. The planned works of improvement include conservation land treatment supplemented by channel work. The channel work will involve approximately 44.2 miles of new channel construction, and enlargement by excavation of approximately 0.6 miles of natural channel and 2.5 miles of manmade channels to provide improved water management in a flatland watershed that is used primarily for production of agricultral crops and pine forest products. With the exception of approximately 0.6 miles of natural channel and 2.5 miles of manmade channels, channel work is proposed where none or practically no defined channels exist. Of the approximately 47.3 miles of channels proposed on or adjacent to drainageways, 11.9 miles will involve those with intermittent flow and 35.4 miles with only ephemeral flow.

A limited supply of copies is available at the following location to fill single copy requests:

Soil Conservation Service, USDA, 355 East Hancock Avenue, P.O. Box 832, Athens, Georgia 30601.

Copies of the draft environmental impact statement have been sent for comment to various federal, state, and local agencies as outlined in the Council on Environmental Quality Guidelines. Comments are also invited from others having knowledge of or special expertise on environmental impacts.

Comments concerning the proposed action or requests for additional information should be addressed to Charles W. Bartlett, State Conservationist, Soil Conservation Service, 355 East Hancock Avenue, P.O. Box 832, Athens, Georgia 30601.

Comments must be received on or before April 30, 1975, in order to be considered in the preparation of the final environmental impact statement.

(Catalog of Federal Domestic Assistance Program No. 10.904, National Archives Reference Services.)

WILLIAM B. DAVEY,
Deputy Administrator for Water Resources, Soil Conservation Service.

FEBRUARY 28, 1975.

[FR Doc.75-6505 Filed 3-12-75;8:45 am]

SAN FELIPE CREEK WATERSHED PROJECT, TEX.

Notice of Availability of Final Environmental Impact Statement

Pursuant to section 102(2)(C) of the National Environmental Policy Act of 1969; Part 1500 of the Council on Environmental Quality Guidelines (38 FR 20550, August 1, 1973); and Part 650 of the Soil Conservation Service Guidelines (39 FR 19650, June 3, 1974); the Soil Conservation Service, U.S. Department of Agriculture, has prepared a final environmental impact statement (EIS) for the San Felipe Creek Watershed Project, Val Verde County, Texas, USDA-SCS-EIS-WS-(ADM)-74-12(F).

The EIS concerns a plan for watershed protection and flood prevention. The planned works of improvement provide for conservation land treatment and one floodwater retarding structure.

The final EIS has been filed with the Council on Environmental Quality.

A limited supply is available at the following location to fill single copy requests:

Soil Conservation Service, USDA, First National Bank Building, Temple, Texas 76501.

(Catalog of Federal Domestic Assistance Program No. 10.904, National Archives Reference Services.)

WILLIAM B. DAVEY,
Deputy Administrator for Water Resources, Soil Conservation Service.

FEBRUARY 28, 1975.

[FR Doc.75-6501 Filed 3-12-75;8:45 am]

THIRTY-TWO MILE CREEK WATERSHED PROJECT, NEBR.

Notice of Negative Declaration

Pursuant to section 102(2)(C) of the National Environmental Policy Act of 1969; part 1500.6(e) of the Council of Environmental Quality Guidelines (38 FR 20550) August 1, 1973; and part 650.8 (b)(3) of the Soil Conservation Service Guidelines (39 FR 19651) June 3, 1974; the Soil Conservation Service, U.S. Department of Agriculture, gives notice that an environmental impact statement is not being prepared for the Thirty-Two Mile Creek Watershed Project, Adams County, Nebraska.

The environmental assessment of this federal action indicated that the project will not create significant adverse local, regional, or national impacts on the environment and that no significant controversy is associated with the project. As a result of these findings, Mr. Wilson J. Parker, State Conservationist, Soil Conservation Service, USDA, 134 South 12th Street, Room 604, Lincoln, Nebraska 68508, has determined that the preparation and review of an environmental impact statement is not needed for this project.

The project concerns a plan for watershed protection and flood prevention. The remaining planned works of improvement include conservation land treatment supplemented by two single purpose floodwater retarding structures.

The environmental assessment file is available for inspection during regular working hours at the following location:

Soil Conservation Service, 134 South 12th Street, Room 604, Lincoln, Nebraska 68508.

Requests for the negative declaration should be sent to the above address.

No administrative action of implementation of the proposal will be taken until 15 days after the date of this publication.

(Catalog of Federal Domestic Assistance Program No. 10.904, National Archives Reference Services.)

WILLIAM B. DAVEY,
Deputy Administrator for Water Resources, Soil Conservation Service.

FEBRUARY 28, 1975.

[FR Doc.75-6503 Filed 3-12-75;8:45 am]

TIMBER CREEK WATERSHED PROJECT, KANS.

Notice of Negative Declaration

Pursuant to section 102(2)(C) of the National Environmental Policy Act of 1969; part 1500.6(e) of the Council of Environmental Quality Guidelines (38 FR 20550) August 1, 1973; and part 650.8 (b)(3) of the Soil Conservation Service Guidelines (39 FR 19651) June 3, 1974; the Soil Conservation Service, U.S. Department of Agriculture, gives notice that an environmental impact statement is not being prepared for the Timber

Creek Watershed Project, Cowley and Butler Counties, Kansas.

The environmental assessment of this federal action indicates that the project will not create significant adverse local, regional, or national impacts on the environment and that no significant controversy is associated with the project. As a result of these findings, Mr. Robert K. Griffin, State Conservationist, Soil Conservation Service, USDA, 760 S. Broadway, Salina, Kansas 67401, has determined that the preparation and review of an environmental statement is not needed for this project.

The project concerns a plan for watershed protection and flood prevention. The planned works of improvement remaining to be built include conservation land treatment supplemented by eight floodwater retarding structures.

The environmental assessment file is available for inspection during regular working hours at the following location:

Soil Conservation Service, USDA, 760 S. Broadway, Salina, Kansas, 67401.

Requests for the negative declaration should be sent to the above address.

No administrative action on implementation of the proposal will be taken until March 28, 1975.

(Catalog of Federal Domestic Assistance Program No. 10.904, National Archives Reference Services.)

JOSEPH W. HAAS,
Acting Deputy Administrator for Water Resources, Soil Conservation Service.

MARCH 6, 1975.

[FR Doc.75-6508 Filed 3-12-75;8:45 am]

ZUNI PUEBLO WATERSHED PROJECT, N. MEX.

Availability of Draft Environmental Impact Statement

Pursuant to section 102(2)(C) of the National Environmental Policy Act of 1969; Part 1500 of the Council on Environmental Quality Guidelines (38 FR 20550, August 1, 1973); and Part 650 of the Soil Conservation Service, U.S. Department of Agriculture, has prepared a draft environmental impact statement for the Zuni Pueblo Watershed Project, McKinley County, New Mexico, USDA-SCS-EIS-WS-(ADM)-74-1-(D)-NM.

The environmental impact statement concerns a plan for watershed protection and flood prevention. The planned works of improvement include conservation land treatment, supplemented by one water control structure. The water control structure is a single-purpose floodwater retarding structure with associated outlet works. The outlet works consist of a 30-inch diameter principal spillway through the embankment and about 8,000 linear feet of 36-inch diameter pipeline.

A limited supply of copies is available at the following location to fill single copy requests:

Soil Conservation Service, USDA, 517 Gold Avenue SW., Albuquerque, New Mexico 87103.

Copies of the draft environmental impact statement have been sent for comment to various federal, state, and local agencies as outlined in the Council on Environmental Quality Guidelines.

Comments are also invited from others having knowledge of or special expertise on environmental impacts.

Comments concerning the proposed action or requests for additional information should be addressed to Marion Strong, State Conservationist, Soil Conservation Service, Box 2007, Albuquerque, New Mexico 87103.

Comments must be received on or before May 2, 1975, in order to be considered in the preparation of the final environmental impact statement.

(Catalog of Federal Domestic Assistance Program No. 10.904, National Archives Reference Services.)

WILLIAM B. DAVEY,
Deputy Administrator for Water Resources, Soil Conservation Service.

FEBRUARY 28, 1975.

[FR Doc.75-6506 Filed 3-12-75;8:45 am]

DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

Domestic and International Business Administration

UNIVERSITY OF MIAMI ET AL.

Applications for Duty-Free Entry of Scientific Articles

The following are notices of the receipt of applications for duty-free entry of scientific articles pursuant to section 6(c) of the Educational, Scientific, and Cultural Materials Importation Act of 1966 (Pub. L. 89-651; 80 Stat. 897). Interested persons may present their views with respect to the question of whether an instrument or apparatus of equivalent scientific value for the purposes for which the article is intended to be used is being manufactured in the United States. Such comments must be filed in triplicate with the Director, Special Imports Programs Division, Office of Import Programs, Washington, D.C. 20230, on or before April 2, 1975.

Amended regulations issued under cited Act, as published in the February 24, 1972 issue of the FEDERAL REGISTER, prescribe the requirements applicable to comments.

A copy of each application is on file, and may be examined during ordinary Commerce Department business hours at the Special Import Programs Division, Department of Commerce, Washington, D.C. 20230.

Docket number: 75-00370-00-07500. Applicant: University of Miami, Rosenstiel School of Marine and Atmospheric Science, 10 Rickenbacker Causeway, Miami, Florida 33124. Article: Temperature Controller. Manufacturer: Techneurop Inc., Canada. Intended use of article: The article is an integral part of a Picker Dynamique Flow Microcalorimeter used to control temperature. Application received by Commissioner of Customs: February 12, 1975.

Docket number: 75-00371-33-46070. Applicant: Northwestern University Medical School, Northwestern Memorial Hospital, Superior Street and Fairbanks Court, Chicago, Ill. 60611. Article: Scanning Electron Microscope, Model PSEM-500. Manufacturer: Philips Electronic Instruments NVD, The Netherlands. Intended use of article: The article is intended to be used for analysis of normal and pathological structures of the liver. Human liver biopsies from cases of acute and chronic alcoholic hepatitis will be studied. Early structural changes along the hepatocyte-collagen interface of interlobular septums in experimental cirrhosis will be examined and compared with similar areas in human cirrhosis. Relationships of fibroblasts to collagen in fibrotic areas will be documented and compared to similar events in cirrhosis. Hepatocyte changes preceding involvement with fibrous tissue entering the parenchyma are other parameters to be examined. Structural forms of collagen in experimental cirrhosis and cirrhosis in human alcoholics will also be analyzed and compared. Application received by Commissioner of Customs: February 12, 1975.

Docket number: 75-00372-33-90000. Applicant: Baptist Hospital, 1000 West Moreno Street, Pensacola, Florida 32501. Article: EMI Scanner System with Magnetic Tape Storage. Manufacturer: EMI Limited, United Kingdom. Intended use of article: The article is intended to be used to detect abnormalities in the brain and adjacent structures. In addition, the article will be used to further complement the education program known as the Pensacola Educational Program. Application received by Commissioner of Customs: February 12, 1975.

Docket number: 75-00373-33-90000. Applicant: University of New Mexico School of Medicine, Division of Neurosurgery, 915 Stanford Drive, NE., Albuquerque, New Mexico 87131. Article: EMI Scanner System with Magnetic Tape Storage. Manufacturer: EMI Limited, United Kingdom. Intended use of article: The article is intended to be an integral part of the investigation of brain pathology in the following research projects:

(1) The investigation of a pi meason radiation on primary and secondary tumors of the brain.

(2) The investigation of congenital abnormalities of the brain in an attempt to predict the prognosis of a case in relationship to the anatomy of the brain as seen on EMI scan and the influence of hydrocepralus on that outcome.

(3) The investigation of cases of closed head injury with emphasis on the prognostic value of the EMI-Scan in regard to the long term neurological status of the patients.

(4) Investigation of the blood-brain-barrier systems in regard to contrast materials and modification of the barrier by various drugs.

In addition, the article will be used to train residents in radiology and neurology in advanced techniques of neuroradiology. Application received by Commissioner of Customs: February 12, 1975.

Docket number: 75-00374-33-46040. Applicant: University of Kentucky, College of Agriculture, Department of Veterinary Science, Lexington, Kentucky 40506. Article: Electron Microscope, Model EM 201C. Manufacturer: Philips Electronic Instruments NVD, The Netherlands. Intended use of article: The article is intended to be used in the following studies:

(1) Virus studies: Thin section studies of virus-infected equine tissues and virus-infected tissue culture cells. Negatively stained virus particles and virion components, shadowed and stained nucleic acid (both RNA and DNA) molecules from virus particles and virus-infected cells, ^{125}I and ^{3}H labelled antibody to virus and virus components (thin section autoradiography) and ferritin labelled antibody to virus and virus components. (2) Pathology: Thin sections of equine tumors and other pathological specimens from the horse. Ferritin labelled antibody to bacterial and viral agents applied to thin sections from pathological lesions of the horse. Application received by Commissioner of Customs: February 12, 1975.

Docket number: 75-00376-33-90000. Applicant: Southwest Texas Methodist Hospital, 7700 Floyd Curl Drive, San Antonio, Texas 78229. Article: EMI Scanner System with Magnetic Tape Storage System. Manufacturer: EMI Limited, United Kingdom. Intended use of article: The article is intended to be used to serve the staff and area physicians of several hospitals, the Regional Cancer Therapy and Research Center and the University of Texas Medical School in their daily practice of medicine, research and teaching of physicians, residents, interns and medical students. Application received by Commissioner of Customs: February 12, 1975.

Docket number: 75-00377-33-46040. Applicant: Children's Hospital Medical Center, 300 Longwood Avenue, Boston, Massachusetts 02108. Article: Electron Microscope, Model JEM 100C/SEG and accessories. Manufacturer: JEOL Ltd., Japan. Intended use of article: The article is intended to be used for studies of animal (including human) calcifying tissues. Cells and subcellular components, as well as extracellular matrix and matrix components will be investigated. The work will include studies of the following: (1) changes in cell structure and subcellular components of the different types of bone cells under the influence of hormones and drugs, (2) structure of bone cells, subcellular components in bone diseases, (3) changes in cellular and subcellular structure and matrix components of articular cartilage in experimental models and in arthritis, (4) the nature of the initial mineral deposits in bone matrix and their subsequent maturation, and (5) the relationship between these mineral deposits and cellular components. In addition, the article will be used in advanced training in research at the level of postdoctoral fellows and residents in orthopaedic surgery. Application received by Commissioner of Customs: February 12, 1975.

Docket number: 75-00378-98-16295. Applicant: University of Rochester, Rochester, N.Y. 14627. Article: D-CDA Crystal, Manufacturer: Quantum Technology Ltd., Canada. Intended use of article: The article is intended to be used in the study of Dirac-Kapitza scattering to determine the dependence of the scattering probability on the intensity of electromagnetic field. Application received by Commissioner of Customs: February 12, 1975.

Docket number: 75-00379-99-25600. Applicant: Washington State University, Pullman, Washington 99163. Article: Solid State Overcurrent-time Relay Type ISX 149. Manufacturer: Brown Boveri and Co. Ltd., Switzerland. Intended use of article: The article is intended to be used in the electrical power engineering course, Protection of Power Systems, EE511, to familiarize graduate level students with the protective relaying theory, components and application. Application received by Commissioner of Customs: February 12, 1975.

Docket number: 75-00380-33-46040. Applicant: University of Pennsylvania, School of Medicine, Department of Physiology, A-201 Richards Bldg. G4, 37th & Hamilton Walk, Philadelphia, Pennsylvania 19174. Article: Electron Microscope, Model EM 9S-2. Manufacturer: Carl Zeiss, West Germany. Intend use of article: The article is intended to be used for studies of amphibian and mammalian hearts including tissues from frog, toad, rat, guinea pig and human pathological material. The properties to be studied include the ultrastructure of the contractile proteins of the cells, the organization of the contractile filaments in the muscle cells, the connections between heart cells, the calcium content of the various intracellular organelles, the amount of orientation of connective tissue within the heart, the response of the connective tissue to various stresses, and the interaction of collagen and elastin fibers in the heart.

Experiments to be conducted involve measurement of the dimensions of the contractile filament within various heart muscles with the tissues in different mechanical states, examination of the substructure of the contractile filaments by viewing their transverse appearance, localization of the calcium content of various organelles of the cell, study of morphology of M line bridges, study of the junctions between cells to evaluate the type of mechanical coupling between the cells and study of the amount and direction of connective tissue fibers in heart muscle to evaluate the forces they generate.

The article will also be used in teaching Physiology 501 General Principles of Physiology, Physiology 510 Cell Physiology and Physiology 683 Structure Function Correlations in Muscle Contraction to introduce students to the technique of electron microscopy with progressing intensity. Application received by Commissioner of Customs: February 12, 1975.

(Catalog of Federal Domestic Assistance Program No. 11.105, Importation of Duty-Free Educational and Scientific Materials.)

A. H. STUART,
Director, Special Import Programs Division.

[FR Doc.75-6639 Filed 3-12-75;8:45 am]

MASSACHUSETTS INSTITUTE OF TECHNOLOGY AND THE DEPARTMENT OF THE INTERIOR

Consolidated Decision on Applications for Duty-Free Entry of Recording Current Meters

The following is a consolidated decision on applications for duty-free entry of Recording Current Meters, pursuant to Section 6(c) of the Educational, Scientific, and Cultural Materials Importation Act of 1966 (Public Law 89-651, 80 Stat. 897) and the regulations issued thereunder as amended (37 FR 3892 et seq.). (See especially § 701.11(e).)

A copy of the record pertaining to each of the applications in this consolidated decision is available for public review during ordinary business hours of the Department of Commerce, at the Special Import Programs Division, Office of Import Programs, Department of Commerce, Washington, D.C. 20230.

Docket number: 75-00023-56-17500. Applicant: Massachusetts Institute of Technology, 77 Massachusetts Avenue, Cambridge, Mass. 02139. Article: 4 Recording Current Meters and Tape Reader. Manufacturer: Ivar Aanderaa, Norway. Intended use of article: The article is intended to be used to make periodic measurements of properties in Massachusetts Bay. Application received by Commissioner of Customs: July 17, 1974. Advice from the National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration on: February 12, 1975.

Docket number: 75-00242-56-17500. Applicant: Department of Interior-U.S. Geological Survey, Water Resources Division, National Center #430, Reston, Virginia 22092. Article: Five (5) Recording Current Meters, Model 4. Manufacturer: Ivar Aanderaa, Norway. Intended use of article: The articles are intended to be used together with similar RCM-4 units to measure and record in situ, precisely-timed, long-term, flow-velocities, water-temperatures, and salinity (conductivity) values in the shallow waters of lakes, waterways, estuaries, and coastal embayments. Application received by Commissioner of Customs: November 26, 1974. Advice from the National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration on: February 12, 1975.

Comments: No comments have been received with respect to any of the foregoing applications. Decision: Applications approved. No instrument or apparatus of equivalent scientific value to the foreign articles, for such purposes as these articles are intended to be used, is being manufactured in the United

States. Reasons: Each foreign article is a self-contained instrument which provides the capabilities for recording current speed, direction, water temperature and conductivity on magnetic tape. The National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration (NOAA) advises in the respectively cited memoranda that the capabilities described above are pertinent to the purposes for which each of the foreign articles cited above is intended to be used. NOAA also advises that it knows of no domestically manufactured instrument which provides measurement of conductivity for salinity computation and accordingly, which is scientifically equivalent to any of the foreign articles to which the foregoing applications relate for such purposes as these articles are intended to be used.

The Department of Commerce knows of no other instrument or apparatus of equivalent scientific value to any of the foreign articles to which the foregoing applications relate, for such purposes as these articles are intended to be used, which is being manufactured in the United States.

(Catalog of Federal Domestic Assistance Program No. 11.105, Importation of Duty-Free Educational and Scientific Materials.)

A. H. STUART,
Director, Special Import Programs Division.

[FR Doc.75-6640 Filed 3-12-75;8:45 am]

V.A. HOSPITAL, SAN FRANCISCO

Decision on Application for Duty-Free Entry of Scientific Article

The following is a decision on an application for duty-free entry of a scientific article pursuant to section 6(c) of the Educational, Scientific, and Cultural Materials Importation Act of 1966 (Pub. L. 89-651, 80 Stat. 897) and the regulations issued thereunder as amended (37 F.R. 3892 et seq.).

A copy of the record pertaining to this decision is available for public review during ordinary business hours of the Department of Commerce, at the Office of Import Programs, Department of Commerce, Washington, D.C. 20230.

Docket number: 75-00136-33-46040. Applicant: Veterans Administration Hospital, 42nd Avenue and Clement Street, San Francisco, California 94121. Article: Electron Microscope, Model EM 201C. Manufacturer: Philips Electronic Instruments NVD, The Netherlands. Intended use of article: The article is intended to be used for the following investigations:

(1) Hepatic lipid metabolism: effects of lipid-lowering drugs.

(2) Structure and growth requirements of human breast dysplasias and tumors.

(3) Hormonal control of hepatocyte membrane development and maintenance.

The article will also be used to train students from the graduate, dental and medical schools of the University of California Medical Center as well as technicians, staff, research fellows and other personnel at the VA Hospital.

Comments: No comments have been received with respect to this application.

Decision: Application denied. An instrument or apparatus of equivalent scientific value to the foreign article, for such purposes as this article is intended to be used, is being manufactured in the United States.

Reasons: The applicant in response to Question 8 alleges that the foreign article provides the following pertinent features:

1. Resolution: Demonstrated 3.5Å resolving capacity. The objective lens of the article has a focal length of 1.6mm and therefore has smaller aberration constants than other microscopes.

2. Magnification Range: A wider magnification range than the domestically-manufactured EMU-4C. The article provides both low and high magnification pictures without losing view of the specimen, without the necessity to break the vacuum and change the pole piece when the operator switches from high to low (or vice versa) magnification pictures.

3. Goniometer Stage: A eucentric goniometer stage.

4. Illumination System: An electron gun which provides a virtual electron source which is approximately three times smaller and brighter than that of the EMU-4C. While spot size is a measure of gun performance and the brightness of the image at high magnification, the coherency of the electron source is a more significant factor in a high resolution electron microscope and the coherency of the article's illumination system represents an improvement by approximately a factor of 3 over the domestic system. This coherency is important if one is to obtain high resolution phase contrast imaging.

5. Photographic Facilities: Provision for simultaneously equipping and loading with a 3¼ x 4'' plate camera, a 70mm camera holding over 50 exposures and a 35mm camera. Any camera may be selected for a particular photograph. It is thus possible to obtain a large number of survey photographs as well as very high quality large format photographs for high resolution work from the same specimen. This feature is also significant when two or more operators are utilizing the instrument for different types of research. The Department of Health, Education, and Welfare (HEW) advises in its memorandum dated January 28, 1975, that the Model EMU-4C electron microscope, which is manufactured by the Adam David Company, is the most closely comparable domestic instrument. HEW further advises that the applicant provides no pertinent specification within the meaning of § 701.2(n) of the regulations upon which duty-free entry could be based. As to the specific allegations of the applicant in reply to Question 8, in the order listed above, the following is noted:

1. The article guarantees 4 Angstroms point to point. The EMU-4C guarantees 5 Angstroms point to point. HEW advises that this is not a scientifically significant difference when the article and EMU-4C resolution capabilities are compared. Thus the EMU-4C is scientifically equivalent to the article with respect to resolution for the purposes for which the article is intended to be used.

2. The EMU-4C provides magnifications of 1400 to 240,000X with 400 or less for scanning. The article provides a magnification r ange of 1500X to 200,000X with 200X for scanning. No pole-piece change is necessary for either instrument. HEW advises that the magnification range of the EMU-4C is equivalent to that of the article.

3. The eucentric goniometer stage was not ordered with the article, and, therefore, in accordance with §§ 701.2(d) and 701.6(a)(3) of the regulations, it cannot be considered in the determination.

4. The EMU-4C provides a high intensity grid cap for greater illumination and contrast at high resolution, plus equivalent guaranteed resolution (as indicated in item 1 above). HEW advises that the EMU-4C provides equivalent illumination to that of the article.

5. The EMU-4C provides a choice of multiple camera use, such as plate, 35 and 70mm camera use. The applicant purchased only the plate camera with the article. The 35 and 70mm cameras are to be purchased at a later date. Future purchases cannot be considered in the determination of scientific equivalency according to §§701.2(d) and 701.6(a)(3) of the regulations. Moreover, HEW advises that the EMU-4C provides equivalent photographic facilities. For the foregoing reasons, we find that the Model EMU-4C electron microscope is of equivalent scientific value to the foreign article for such purposes as this article is intended to be used.

(Catalog of Federal Domestic Assistance Program No. 11.105, Importation of Duty-Free Educational and Scientific Materials.)

A. H. STUART,
Director, Special Import Programs Division.

[FR Doc.75-6638 Filed 3-12-75;8:45 am]

V.A. HOSP., SAN DIEGO

Decision on Application for Duty-Free Entry of Scientific Article

The following is a decision on an application for duty-free entry of a scientific article pursuant to section 6(c) of the Educational, Scientific, and Cultural Materials Importation Act of 1966 (Pub. L. 89-651, 80 Stat. 897) and the regulations issued thereunder as amended (37 F.R. 3892 et seq).

A copy of the record pertaining to this decision is available for public review during ordinary business hours of the Department of Commerce, at the Office of Import Programs, Department of Commerce, Washington, DC. 20230.

Docket Number: 75-00175-33-46040. Applicant: Veterans Administration Hospital, Research and Education Service, 3350 La Jolla Village Drive, San

Diego, California 92161. Article: Electron Microscope, Model EM 10. Manufacturer: Carl Zeiss, West Germany. Intended use of article: The article is intended to be used in an ongoing study of the etiology of primary open angle glaucoma, an aging disease of the human eye. In addition, the article will be used for training purposes as follows:

(1) To train residents and technical support personnel in techniques of transmission electron microscopy with emphasis on obtaining the maximum amount of information possible on a high resolution electron microscope;

(2) To instruct trainees in current methods of tissue preservation and cell structure visualization;

(3) To follow students through the complete experiment starting with the initial experimental design and finishing with a published manuscript;

(4) To convey a sense of understanding about electron microscope function to the trainees that will allow them to go on to such techniques as the examination of freeze-fracture replicas, the use of X-ray spectrophotometery to localize element distribution, or the use of electron diffraction patterns to identify subtle differences in organelles.

Comments: No comments have been received with respect to this application. Decision: Application approved. No instrument or apparatus of equivalent scientific value to the foreign article, for such purposes as this article is intended to be used, is being manufactured in the United States. Reasons: The foreign article provides distortion free micrograms over a magnification range 100 to 200,000x without a pole-piece change. The most closely comparable domestic instrument available is the Model EMU-4C electron microscope currently supplied by the Adam David Company (Adam David). When the article was ordered the Model EMU-4C with its standard pole-piece, had a specified range from 1,400 to 240,000 magnifications. For survey and scanning, the lower end of this range could be reduced to 200 magnifications or less. But the continued reduction of magnification induced an increasingly greater distortion. The domestic manufacturer suggests in its literature on the Model EMU-4C that for highest quality, low magnification electron micrographs, an optional low magnification pole-piece providing 500–70,000x should be used. It is noted that changing the pole-piece on the Model EMU-4C requires a break in the vacuum of the column that induces the danger of contamination which would very likely lead to the failure of the experiment. The Department of Health, Education, and Welfare (HEW) advises in its memorandum dated February 12, 1975, that distortion free micrographs at low magnifications (700X) immediately followed by high magnification examinations at 100,000x is pertinent to the applicant's purposes. HEW also advises that the magnification range without pole-piece change of the domestic Model EMU-4C is not scientifically equivalent to that of the foreign article for the applicant's intended use.

We, therefore, find that the Model EMU-4C is not of equivalent scientific value to the foreign article, for such purposes as this article is intended to be used.

The Department of Commerce knows of no other instrument or apparatus of equivalent scientific value to the foreign article, for such purposes as this article is intended to be used, which is being manufactured in the United States.

(Catalog of Federal Domestic Assistance Program No. 11.105, Importation of Duty-Free Educational and Scientific Materials.)

A. H. STUART,
Director, Special Import Programs Division.

[FR Doc.75-6637 Filed 3-12-75;8:45 am]

National Bureau of Standards

CHIP BOARD

Commercial Standard; Action on Proposed Withdrawal

In accordance with § 10.12 of the Department's "Procedures for the Development of Voluntary Product Standards" (15 CFR Part 10, as revised; 35 FR 8349 dated May 28, 1970), notice is hereby given of the withdrawal of Commercial Standard CS 49-34, "Chip Board, Laminated Chip Board, and Miscellaneous Boards for Bookbinding Purposes."

It has been determined that this standard is technically inadequate, no longer used by the industry and that revision would serve no useful purpose. This action is taken in furtherance of the Department's announced intentions as set forth in the public notice appearing in the FEDERAL REGISTER of January 3, 1975 (40 FR 817), to withdraw this standard.

The effective date for the withdrawal of this standard will be May 12, 1975. This withdrawal action terminates the authority to refer to this standard as a voluntary standard developed under the Department of Commerce procedures.

RICHARD W. ROBERTS,
Director.

MARCH 10, 1975.

[FR Doc.75-6649 Filed 3-12-75;8:45 am]

STEEL PRODUCTS

Simplified Practice Recommendation; Action on Proposed Withdrawal

In accordance with § 10.12 of the Department's "Procedures for the Development of Voluntary Product Standards" (15 CFR Part 10, as revised; 35 FR 8349 dated May 28, 1970), notice is hereby given of the withdrawal of Simplified Practice Recommendation R 247-62, "Packaging, Marking and Loading Methods for Steel Products for Domestic Shipment."

It has been determined that this standard is no longer technically adequate and no longer used by the industry, and in view of the existence of an up-to-date standard identified as American Society for Testing and Materials A700-74, "Standard Recommended Practices for Packaging, Marking, and Loading Methods for Steel Products for Domestic Shipment," revision of this Simplified Practice Recommendation would serve no useful purpose. This action is taken in furtherance of the Department's announced intentions as set forth in the public notice appearing in the FEDERAL REGISTER of January 3, 1975 (40 FR 817), to withdraw this standard.

The effective date for the withdrawal of this standard will be May 12, 1975. This withdrawal action terminates the authority to refer to this standard as a voluntary standard developed under the Department of Commerce procedures.

RICHARD W. ROBERTS,
Director.

MARCH 10, 1975.

[FR Doc.75-6650 Filed 3-12-75;8:45 am]

National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration

COASTAL ZONE MANAGEMENT PROGRAM

Intent To File Environmental Impact Statement

The Office of coastal Zone Management (OCZM), National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration (NOAA), which has received and is reviewing several proposals for grants to establish estuarine sanctuaries pursuant to section 312 of the Coastal Zone Management Act of 1972 (Pub. L. 92-583), has determined that the grants awards and the subsequent creation of estuarine sanctuaries have the potential for causing a significant impact on the environment, and that, therefore, environmental impact statements for each proposed grant award should be prepared pursuant to the National Environmental Policy Act (42 U.S.C. 4321) and its implementing regulations (40 CFR Part 6).

Three separate grant proposals are being considered for approval. These are:

(a) Sapelo Island, McIntosh County, Georgia (approximately 5,800 acres of marsh and high ground along the Duplin River and on the southern end of Sapelo Island; estimated Federal cost $1,000,000; to acquire, develop, and operate this site as an estuarine sanctuary in order that scientists and students may be provided the opportunity to examine over a period of time the ecological relationships within the area).

(b) Portions of Grindstone Island, St. Lawrence River, Jefferson County, New York (1,195 acres of marsh and high ground along two bays on Grindstone Island; estimated Federal cost $365,000; to acquire, develop, and operate this site as an estuarine sanctuary in order that scientists and students may be provided the opportunity to examine over a period of time the ecological relationships within the area).

(c) Old Woman's Creek, Erie County, Ohio (930 acres of marsh and high ground along Old Woman's Creek, a tributary of Lake Erie; estimated Federal cost $875,000; to acquire, develop, and operate this site as an estuarine sanctuary in order that scientists and students may be provided the opportunity to examine over a period of time the ecological relationships within the area).

Interested parties who wish to submit suggestions, comments, or substantive information concerning the scope or

content of these environmental impact statements should do so as soon as possible. Comments may be submitted in writing or telephone to:

Edward T. LaRoe (301/496-8896) or Trevor O'Neill (301/496-8821), Office of Coastal Zone Management, NOAA, Department of Commerce, Rockville, Maryland 20852.

R. L. CARNAHAN,
Acting Assistant Administrator for Administration.

[FR Doc.75-6664 Filed 3-12-75;8:45 am]

COASTAL ZONE MANAGEMENT PROGRAM APPROVAL AND ADMINISTRATIVE GRANTS

Intent To File Environmental Impact Statement

The Office of Coastal Zone Management (OCZM), National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration (NOAA) is preparing to receive and review applications for approval of state coastal zone management programs and for financial assistance to implement and administer these programs, pursuant to section 306 of the Coastal Zone Management Act of 1972. Although no applications have as yet been received, it is anticipated that applications will be received in the near future from one or more of the following states:

Oregon
Washington
Maine (segmented approval—Mid-Coast region)
California (segmented approval—San Francisco Bay Conservation and Development Commission)

Guidelines for approval of state programs and for administrative grants, were published in the FEDERAL REGISTER on January 9, 1975 (15 CFR Part 923, FR, Vol. 40, No. 6, p. 1683); copies are available if desired from OCZM.

OCZM, NOAA, has determined that the state coastal zone management program approval and grant awards for implementation of the program have the potential for causing a significant impact on the environment, and, therefore, environmental impact statements for each proposed grant award will be prepared pursuant to the National Environmental Policy Act (42 U.S.C. 4321) and its implementing regulations (40 CFR Part 6).

Interested parties who wish to submit suggestions, comments, or substantive information concerning the scope or content of these environmental impact statements should do so as soon as possible. Comments may be submitted in writing or telephone to:

Edward T. LaRoe (301/496-8896), Office of Coastal Zone Management, NOAA, Department of Commerce, Rockville, Maryland.

R. L. CARNAHAN,
Acting Assistant Administrator for Administration.

[FR Doc.75-6665 Filed 3-12-75;8:45 am]

DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH, EDUCATION, AND WELFARE

Food and Drug Administration

[DESI 9295]

BUCLIZINE HYDROCHLORIDE FOR ORAL ADMINISTRATION

Drug Efficacy Study Implementation Amendment

A notice (DESI 9295) was published in the FEDERAL REGISTER of March 9, 1971 (36 FR 4558) pursuant to the evaluation of reports received from the National Academy of Sciences—National Research Council, Drug Efficacy Study Group, in which the Commissioner of Food and Drugs announced his conclusion that buclizine hydrochloride is effective for the prevention of motion sickness, and less-than-effective (possibly effective and lacking substantial evidence of effectiveness) for other labeled indications. That notice is now amended to state that the drug is also less-than-effective (possibly effective) for vertigo (dizziness). The notice is also amended to include the following drug which was reformulated as set forth in the FEDERAL REGISTER notice (DESI 10911) of October 11, 1974 (39 FR 36626):

NDA 10-911; Bucladin-S Tablets containing buclizine hydrochloride; Stuart Pharmaceuticals, Division of ICI America, Inc., Wilmington, Delaware 19899.

All identical, related, or similar products, not the subject of an approved new drug application, are covered by the new drug application(s) reviewed and are subject to this notice (21 CFR 310.6). Any person who wishes to determine whether a specific product is covered by this notice should write to the Food and Drug Administration, Bureau of Drugs, Division of Drug Labeling Compliance (HFD-310), 5600 Fishers Lane, Rockville, MD 20852.

The notice of March 9, 1971 stating the conditions of approval and marketing of buclizine hydrochloride as a single entity drug is hereby amended to delete bioavailability requirements for all of the products; to reword the effective indication to read as follows: Management of the nausea, vomiting and dizziness associated with motion sickness; and to include the additional less-than-effective (possibly effective) indication of vertigo which may be used in labeling pending final resolution of its status.

Any data submitted in response to this notice to support the effectiveness of the drug in vertigo must be previously unsubmitted and include data from adequate and well-controlled clinical investigations (identified for ready review) and described in 21 CFR 314.111(a)(5). Carefully conducted and documented clinical studies obtained under uncontrolled or partially controlled situations are not acceptable as a sole basis for approval of claims of effectiveness, but such studies may be considered on their merits for corroborative support of efficacy and evidence of safety.

This notice is issued pursuant to provisions of the Federal Food, Drug, and Cosmetic Act (secs. 502, 505, 52 Stat. 1050-1053, as amended; 21 U.S.C. 352, 355) and under the authority delegated to the Director of the Bureau of Drugs (21 CFR 2.121).

Dated: February 24, 1975.

J. RICHARD CROUT,
Director, Bureau of Drugs.

[FR Doc.75-6562 Filed 3-12-75;8:45 am]

[DESI 8867; Docket No. FDC-D-256; NDA 10-067 etc.]

RESERPINE AND RESCINNAMINE PRODUCTS IN CONTROLLED RELEASE DOSAGE FORMS

Notice of Withdrawal of Approval of New Drug Applications and Opportunity for Hearing

The National Academy of Sciences-National Research Council, Drug Efficacy Study Group evaluated the effectiveness of the drug products described below, found the drugs to be less than effective, and submitted its reports to the Commissioner of Food and Drugs. Copies of those reports have previously been made publicly available and are on display at the office of the Food and Drug Administration's Hearing Clerk. After reviewing the Academy's report and the available data and information, the Commissioner concluded that the drugs were less than effective and published his conclusions in the FEDERAL REGISTER of April 28, 1971 (35 FR 7984) that the drugs are probably effective, possibly effective and lacking substantial evidence of effectiveness for their claimed indications. These products have been used in the treatment of hypertension. No evidence to establish the effectiveness of these controlled release forms has been submitted and these products are now regarded as lacking substantial evidence for all their labeled indications. This notice announces that conclusion, withdraws approval of two new drug applications, and gives the opportunity for manufacturers of identical, related, or similar drugs to request a hearing on the legal status of their drugs.

1. NDA 10-067; Eskaserp Spansules (two-strengths) containing reserpine; previously marketed by Smith Kline & French Laboratories, 1500 Spring Garden Street, Philadelphia, PA 19101.

2. NDA 11-053; Rescinnamine Nyscaps (two-strengths) containing rescinnamine; previously marketed by USV Pharmaceutical Corporation, 1 Scarsdale Road, Tuckahoe, NY 10707.

3. NDA 10-038; Reserpine Prolongsules containing reserpine; previously marketed by Richlyn Laboratories Inc., 3725 Castor Ave., Philadelphia, PA 19124.

4. NDA 10-282; Reserpine Nyscaps (three-strengths) containing reserpine; previously marketed by USV Pharmaceutical Corp.

Other drugs included in the notice of April 28, 1971 are not affected by this notice.

No data concerning effectiveness was submitted. On the basis of all of the data and information available to him, the Director of the Bureau of Drugs is unaware of any adequate and well-controlled clinical investigation, conducted by experts qualified by scientific training and experience, meeting the requirements of section 505 of the Federal Food, Drug, and Cosmetic Act (21 U.S.C. 355) and 21 CFR 314.111(a)(5), demonstrating the effectiveness of the drugs.

In orders published in the FEDERAL REGISTER on October 14, 1971 (36 FR 19996), and July 18, 1973 (38 FR 19147), respectively, approval of NDA 10-038 for Reserpine Prolongsules and NDA 10-282 for Reserpine Nyscaps was withdrawn on the ground of failure of the sponsors to submit required reports under section 505(j) of the Federal Food, Drug, and Cosmetic Act (21 U.S.C. 355(j)). At the time those notices were published, no final conclusions concerning the effectiveness of reserpine in controlled release dosage form had been reached.

On August 21, 1973, Smith Kline & French Laboratories, holder of NDA 10-067 for Eskaserp Spansules, and on January 16, 1973, USV Pharmaceutical Corporation, holder of NDA 11-053 for Rescinnamine Nyscaps, stated that those products are no longer marketed and waived their opportunity for a hearing.

Therefore notice is given to all interested persons that the Director of the Bureau of Drugs finds that on the basis of new information before him with respect to the above drug products, evaluated together with the evidence available to him when the applications were approved, there is a lack of substantial evidence that the products will have the effect they purport or are represented to have under the conditions of use prescribed, recommended, or suggested in the labeling.

Therefore, pursuant to the foregoing finding and the aforementioned waiver of the opportunity for a hearing, approval of NDA Nos. 10-067 (Eskaserp Spansules) and 11-053 (Rescinnamine Nyscaps) and all amendments and supplements applying thereto is withdrawn effective on March 24, 1975.

This notice of opportunity for hearing applies to all persons who manufacture or distribute a drug product which is identical, related, or similar to any drug product named above, as defined in 21 CFR 310.6. It is the responsibility of every drug manufacturer or distributor to review this notice of opportunity for hearing to determine whether it covers any drug product the manufactures or distributes. Any person may request an opinion of the applicability of this notice to a specific drug product he manufactures or distributes that may be identical, related, or similar to a drug product named in this notice by writing to the Food and Drug Administration, Bureau of Drugs, Division of Drug Labeling Compliance (HFD-310), 5600 Fishers Lane, Rockville, MD 20852.

This notice of opportunity for hearing encompasses all issues relating to the legal status of the drug products named above and all identical, related, or similar drug products as defined in § 310.6; e.g., any contention that any such product is not a new drug because it is generally recognized as safe and effective within the meaning of section 201(p) of the act or because it is exempt from part or all of the new drug provisions of the act pursuant to the exemption for products marketed prior to June 25, 1938, contained in section 201(p) of the act, or pursuant to section 107(c) of the Drug Amendments of 1962; or for any other reason.

In accordance with the provisions of section 505 of the Act (21 U.S.C. 355) and the regulations promulgated thereunder (21 CFR 310, 314), all persons subject to this notice pursuant to 21 CFR 310.6 are hereby given an opportunity for a hearing to raise, for administrative determination, all issues relating to the legal status of a drug product named above and of all identical, related, or similar drug products.

If any person subject to this notice pursuant to 21 CFR 310.6 elects to avail himself of the opportunity for a hearing, he shall file (1) on or before April 14, 1975, a written notice of appearance and request for hearing, and (2) on or before May 12, 1975, the data, information, and analyses on which he relies to justify a hearing, as specified in 21 CFR 314.200. Any other interested person may also submit comments on this notice. The procedures and requirements governing this notice of opportunity for hearing, a submission of data, information, and analyses to justify a hearing, other comments, and a grant or denial of hearing, are contained in 21 CFR 130.14 as published and discussed in detail in the FEDERAL REGISTER of March 13, 1974 (39 FR 9750), recodified as 21 CFR 314.200 on March 29, 1974 (39 FR 11680).

The failure of any person subject to this notice pursuant to 21 CFR 310.6 to file timely written appearance and request for hearing as required by 21 CFR 314.200 constitutes an election by such person not to avail himself of the opportunity for a hearing and a waiver of any contentions concerning the legal status of any such drug product. Any such drug product may not thereafter lawfully be marketed, and the Food and Drug Administration will initiate appropriate regulatory action to remove such drug products from the market. Any new drug product marketed without an approved NDA is subject to regulatory action at any time.

A request for a hearing may not rest upon mere allegations or denials, but must set forth specific facts showing that there is a genuine and substantial issue of fact that requires a hearing. If it conclusively appears from the face of the data, information, and factual analyses in the request for the hearing that there is no genuine and substantial issue of fact which requires a hearing with respect to a determination of the legal status of any of the drug products named above and of all identical, related or similar drug products or when a request for hearing is not made in the required format or with the required analysis, the Commissioner will enter summary judgment against the person(s) who requests the hearing, making findings and conclusions, denying a hearing.

All submissions pursuant to this notice shall be filed in quintuplicate with the Hearing Clerk, Food and Drug Administration (HFC-20), Room 4-65, 5600 Fishers Lane, Rockville, MD 20852.

All submissions pursuant to this notice, except for data and information prohibited from public disclosure pursuant to 21 U.S.C. 331(j) or 18 U.S.C. 1905, may be seen in the office of the Hearing Clerk during regular business hours, Monday through Friday.

This notice is issued pursuant to provisions of the Federal Food, Drug, and Cosmetic Act (secs. 505, 52 Stat. 1052-1053, as amended; 21 U.S.C. 355), and under authority delegated to the Director of the Bureau of Drugs (21 CFR 2.121).

Dated: March 3, 1975.

J. RICHARD CROUT,
Director, Bureau of Drugs.

[FR Doc.75-6563 Filed 3-12-75;8:45 am]

Office of Education

GRADUATE AND UNDERGRADUATE INTERNATIONAL STUDIES PROGRAMS

Criteria for Funding Applications for Fiscal Year 1975

On pages 40807 and 40808 of the FEDERAL REGISTER of November 20, 1974, there was published a notice of proposed rulemaking which set forth criteria for funding applications for Fiscal Year 1975 for financial assistance under section 601(a) of the National Defense Education Act of 1958, as amended (20 U.S.C. 511(a)). Interested persons were given 30 days in which to submit written comments, suggestions, or objectives regarding the proposed regulations.

No objections have been received and the proposed criteria are hereby adopted without change and are set forth below.

(Catalog of Federal Domestic Assistance Program: 13.435 Foreign Language and Area Studies—Centers)

Effective date. The notice of proposed rulemaking was transmitted to Congress on November 14, 1974 pursuant to section 431(d) of the General Education Provisions Act. (20 U.S.C. 1232(d)). The time period set forth therein for congressional action has expired without such action having been taken. Therefore these criteria shall become effective March 13, 1975.

Dated: February 24, 1975.

T. H. BELL,
U.S. Commissioner of Education.

Approved: March 10, 1975.

CASPAR W. WEINBERGER,
Secretary of Health, Education, and Welfare.

The criteria read as follows:

In addition to evaluation on the basis of criteria found in the Office of Education General Provisions at 45 CFR 100a.26(b) (38 FR 30654, 30664, November 6, 1973) the Commissioner will further evaluate applications for Federal support to graduate and undergraduate international studies programs in accordance with the following criteria.

1. *Graduate Programs.* (a) The international nature, contemporary relevance, and interdisciplinary and comparative dimensions of the program;

(b) The extent to which provision is made for evaluation of the effect of the program on the students receiving training, the campus, the community, local teachers, and neighboring institutions of higher education;

(c) The institution's capability to provide foreign language study as a part of each student's international studies experience;

(d) The commitment of the institution toward the establishment and operation of the programs as evidenced by the thoroughness of preparation of the program, maximum use of available resources including institutional financial support, and the overall quality of the program;

(e) The probability that a clearly improved educational experience will be available at the institution for prospective students within two years and that the program will be continued after Federal support is withdrawn.

(20 U.S.C. 511(a))

2. *Undergraduate Programs.* (a) The extent to which provisions are made for evaluation of the effect of the program on students receiving training, the campus, the community, local teachers, and neighboring institutions of higher education.

(b) The commitment of the institution toward the establishment and operation of the program as evidenced by the thoroughness of preparation of the program, maximum use of available resources including institutional financial support and the overall quality of the program.

(c) The institution's capability to provide foreign language study as a part of each student's international studies experience.

(d) The probability that a clearly improved educational experience will be available at the institution for prospective students within two years and that the program will be continued after Federal support is withdrawn.

(20 U.S.C. 511(a))

[FR Doc.75-6623 Filed 3-12-75;8:45 am]

DEPARTMENT OF HOUSING AND URBAN DEVELOPMENT

Office of the Secretary

[Docket No. D-75-310]

ASSISTANT REGIONAL ADMINISTRATOR FOR HOUSING PRODUCTION AND MORTGAGE CREDIT

Delegation of Authority

The officials appointed to the following positions in Region I (Boston) are designated to serve as Acting Regional Administrator, Region I, Boston, during the absence of the Acting Regional Administrator, with all the powers, functions, and duties redelegated or assigned to the Acting Regional Administrator: *Provided*, That no official is authorized to serve as Acting Regional Administrator unless all other officials whose titles precede his in this designation are unable to act by reason of absence:

1. Assistant Regional Administrator for Housing Production and Mortgage Credit.
2. Assistant Regional Administrator for Administration.
3. Regional Counsel.
4. Assistant Regional Administrator for Housing Management.
5. Assistant Regional Administrator for Community Planning and Development.
6. Assistant Regional Administrator for Equal Opportunity.

This designation supersedes the designation effective August 22, 1971 (37 FR 2854, Feb. 8, 1972).

Effective date. This designation is effective as of January 2, 1975.

HAROLD G. THOMPSON,
Acting Regional Administrator, Region I.

[FR Doc.75-6601 Filed 3-12-75;8:45 am]

[Docket No. N-75-266]

CARPET CERTIFICATION PROGRAM

Spot-Checks and Labels or Imprints

Notice is hereby given that the Department of Housing and Urban Development (HUD) is making two substantive changes in its carpet certification program, which became effective on March 1, 1975. These changes are reflected in revisions of the Carpet Certification Program Procedural Guide, copies of which are available at all HUD offices. Those revisions will be effective as of March 13, 1975.

The first change relates to the number of "spot-checks," by Administrators, of carpet qualities which are certified pursuant to tests performed in the manufacturers' own laboratories under Option B. In order to minimize the expense of the certification program to manufacturers, while at the same time assuring compliance with HUD's carpet standard UM-44c, the Department is reducing the number of spot-checks required with respect to carpet qualities under Option B from four to three. This number may be adjusted again if compliance experience shows that an adjustment is desirable.

The other change in the carpet certification program involves the use, by program participants, of a label or imprint on certified carpet or in sales or promotional materials in connection with such carpet which contains a reference to HUD or any of its subdivisions. The carpet certification program is designed to assure compliance with UM-44c, not to give a Government "seal of approval" to any particular product to be exploited in the retail market. It is for this reason that the Department is adding a requirement that any participating manufacturer which makes reference to the Department of Housing and Urban Development (HUD) or any of its subdivisions, including the Federal Housing Administration (FHA), in its labels or imprints affixed to certified carpet (including samples thereof) or in its sale and promotional materials in connection with such carpet, must include in the label, imprint, or material in close proximity to that reference the following sentence:

This certification does not constitute an endorsement of this carpet by any agency of the U.S. Government.

The Department has determined that it is unnecessary and contrary to public interest to invite comments from interested persons on these changes, because HUD has already received numerous comments on the carpet certification program notices published on December 12, 1972, and October 8, 1974, which directly relate to the subject matter of the changes.

Finally, the Department is continuing to consider the feasibility of modifying the carpet certification program to add a third option which would provide for self-certification and the production of carpet without the prior approval of an independent Administrator. However, any such option would include more rigorous safeguards for assuring prompt discovery of noncompliance and stricter sanctions than those applicable to the existing options. If the Department determines that such an alternative might be feasible, a public hearing will be held on the matter.

Issued at Washington, D.C. March 7, 1975.

DAVID M. DEWILDE,
Acting Assistant Secretary for Housing Production and Mortgage Credit.

[FR Doc.75-6600 Filed 3-12-75;8:45 am]

DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION

Federal Highway Administration

ENVIRONMENTAL EFFECTS OF HIGHWAY PROJECTS

New Jersey's Proposed Action Plan

The New Jersey Department of Transportation has submitted to the Federal Highway Administration of the U.S. Department of Transportation a proposed Action Plan as required by 23 CFR Part 795 (39 FR 41819, Dec. 2, 1974). The Action Plan outlines the organizational relationships, the assignments of responsibility, and the procedures to be used by the State to assure that economic, social, and environmental effects are fully considered in developing highway projects and that final decisions on highway projects are made in the best overall interests, taking into consideration: (1) needs for fast, safe, and efficient transportation; (2) public services; and (3) costs of eliminating or minimizing adverse effects.

The proposed Action Plan is available for public review at the following locations:

1. New Jersey Department of Transportation, Room 2100, 1035 Parkway Avenue, Trenton, New Jersey 08628.
2. Federal Highway Administration—Division Office, Suburban Square Building, 25 Scotch Road, Trenton, New Jersey 08628.
3. Federal Highway Administration—Regional Office, 4 Normanskill Boulevard, Delmar, New York 12054.
4. Federal Highway Administration, Environmental Programs Division, Room 3246, 400 Seventh Street SW., Washington, D.C. 20590.

Comments from interested groups and the public on the proposed Action Plan are invited. Comments should be sent to the FHWA Regional Office shown above before April 7, 1975.

Issued on: March 10, 1975.

J. R. COUPAL, Jr.,
Deputy Administrator.

[FR Doc.75-6620 Filed 3-12-75;8:45 am]

Urban Mass Transportation Administration

URBAN MASS TRANSPORTATION PROGRAMS

Interim Redelegation of Authority

The purpose of the following notice is to effect an interim redelegation within the Urban Mass Transportation Administration of certain authority and functions under Section 5 of the Urban Mass Transportation Act of 1964 as amended (49 U.S.C. § 1604) which were delegated to the Urban Mass Transportation Administrator by the Secretary of Transportation (49 CFR § 1.50).

Since this redelegation is solely a matter of departmental management, procedures and practices, notice and public comment thereon is unnecessary, and it may be made effective in less than thirty days after publication in the FEDERAL REGISTER.

Issued at Washington, D.C. March 7, 1975.

FRANK C. HERRINGER,
Urban Mass Transportation Administrator.

[FR Doc.75-6592 Filed 3-12-75;8:45 am]

DIRECTOR, SECTION FIVE TASK FORCE

Interim Redelegation of Authority

Pursuant to the authority delegated to me by §§ 1.45(b) and 1.50 of the Regulations of the Office of the Secretary of Transportation (49 CFR 1.45(b) and 1.50), Stephen G. McConahey, Director of a Task Force established within the Urban Mass Transportation Administration to develop guidelines and procedures for the implementation of the program of formula grants for capital improvement and operating subsidy projects authorized by section 5 of the Urban Mass Transportation Act of 1964 as amended (49 U.S.C. 1604) ("the Act"), and to process and review grant applications for such projects, is hereby delegated interim authority:

(1) to concur in the selection by Governors, responsible local officials, and publicly owned operators of mass transportation services, of designated recipients to receive and dispense funds attributable to urbanized areas of two hundred thousand or more population, pursuant to section 5(b)(2) of the Act, provided, however, that in any case where the Governor, the responsible local officials and the publicly owned operators of mass transportation services are unable to agree in the selection of a designated recipient to receive and dispense such funds, authority to select such designated recipient is reserved to the Administrator; and

(2) to approve any program of projects for the utilization of authorized funds developed by a Governor or a designated recipient and approved by the official metropolitan planning organization of the area involved, pursuant to section 5(g)(2) of the Act; and

(3) to execute grant contracts and amendments thereto for projects under section 5 of the Act which have been approved by the Administrator.

This redelegation becomes effective immediately.

Issued at Washington, D.C. March 7, 1975.

FRANK C. HERRINGER,
Urban Mass Transportation Administrator.

[FR Doc.75-6593 Filed 3-12-75;8:45 am]

COMMITTEE FOR THE IMPLEMENTATION OF TEXTILE AGREEMENTS

CERTAIN COTTON, WOOL AND MAN-MADE FIBER TEXTILE PRODUCTS

Exemptions of Hand-Loomed and Folklore Products

MARCH 7, 1975.

Effective on January 1, 1975, Headnote 1(b), Schedule 3, Tariff Schedules of the United States Annotated (TSUSA), was amended to provide for the statistical reporting of certain "Certified hand-loomed and folklore products" designated in TSUSA Schedules 3 and 7 to exempt them from import restraints established pursuant to the Arrangement Regarding International Trade in Textiles, done at Geneva on December 20, 1973.

The United States has in effect at the present time procedures to exempt certain hand-loomed and folklore products from coverage within the levels of restraint established in bilateral agreements with Colombia (39 FR 29606), Pakistan (38 FR 14184), the Republic of China (38 FR 10132), and the Republic of Korea (38 FR 21961).

To provide henceforth that certified exempt items will be properly accounted for in U.S. import statistics, there is published below a letter of March 7, 1975, from the Chairman of the Committee for the Implementation of Textile Agreements to the Commissioner of Customs indicating those countries with which the United States has negotiated certification procedures for certain hand-loomed and folklore products. Customs will be notified as certification procedures are established for hand-loomed and folklore products from additional countries.

ALAN POLANSKY,
Acting Chairman, Committee for the Implementation of Textile Agreements, and Acting Deputy Assistant Secretary for Resources and Trade Assistance.

U.S. DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE,
THE ASSISTANT SECRETARY FOR DOMESTIC AND INTERNATIONAL BUSINESS,
Washington, D.C., March 7, 1975.

COMMISSIONER OF CUSTOMS,
Department of the Treasury,
Washington, D.C.

DEAR MR. COMMISSIONER: Effective January 1, 1975, Headnote 1(b), Schedule 3, Tariff Schedules of the United States Annotated, was amended to provide for the statistical reporting of certain "Certified hand-loomed and folklore products" designated in Schedules 3 and 7, TSUSA, to exempt them from import restraints under the Arrangement Regarding International Trade in Textiles.

To insure implementation of this provision and correct reporting of items certified exempt thereunder, the Committee for the Implementation of Textile Agreements will furnish Customs with names of those countries with which the United States has negotiated certification arrangements.

Currently, directives in effect on the dates indicated were sent to you establishing exempt item certification procedures for textile imports from the following countries: Republic of China, April 19, 1973; Pakistan, May 16, 1973; and Republic of Korea, August 22, 1973. In addition, certification procedures for exempt hand-loomed wall hangings from Colombia were published in the Federal Register on August 16, 1974. Although the United States/Japan bilateral agreement exempts certain items from coverage thereunder, provision was not made for the certification thereof; consequently, such items are not to be reported under those suffixes designated for "Certified hand-loomed and folklore products."

Certification arrangements are pending with several other countries. The names of these countries will be furnished to you when these arrangements are completed.

Sincerely,

ALAN POLANSKY,
Acting Chairman, Committee for the Implementation of Textile Agreements, and Acting Deputy Assistant Secretary for Resources and Trade Assistance.

[FR Doc.75-6494 Filed 3-12-75;8:45 am]

CERTAIN COTTON TEXTILE PRODUCTS PRODUCED OR MANUFACTURED IN ITALY

Termination of Visa Requirement

MARCH 10, 1975.

On December 12, 1964, there was published in the FEDERAL REGISTER (29 FR 17056) a letter dated December 8, 1964 from the Chairman, President's Cabinet Textile Advisory Committee, to the Commissioner of Customs, establishing a visa

requirement for cotton textile products in Category 7 (velveteen), produced or manufactured in Italy and exported to the United States. The purpose of this notice is to advise that, inasmuch as the Bilateral Cotton Textile Agreement of December 30, 1970, as extended, between the Governments of the United States and Italy, expired on September 30, 1974, the visa requirement established pursuant to that agreement is also being terminated.

Accordingly, there is published below a letter of March 10, 1975, from the Chairman of the Committee for the Implementation of Textile Agreements to the Commissioner of Customs cancelling the directive of December 8, 1964.

ALAN POLANSKY,
Acting Chairman, Committee for the Implementation of Textile Agreements, and Acting Deputy Assistant Secretary for Resources and Trade Assistance, U.S. Department of Commerce.

U.S. DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE, THE ASSISTANT SECRETARY FOR DOMESTIC AND INTERNATIONAL BUSINESS
Washington, D.C., March 10, 1975.

COMMISSIONER OF CUSTOMS,
Department of the Treasury,
Washington, D.C.

DEAR MR. COMMISSIONER: This directive cancels and supersedes, effective on March 14, 1975, the directive of December 8, 1964, from the Chairman, President's Cabinet Textile Advisory Committee, which directed you to prohibit, effective on January 11, 1965, and until further notice, entry into the United States for consumption and withdrawal from warehouse for consumption of cotton textile products in Category 7, produced or manufactured in Italy, for which the Italian Cotton Association, a trade association acting as the agent for the Government of Italy, had not issued an appropriate export visa.

The actions taken with respect to the Government of Italy and with respect to imports of cotton textile products from Italy have been determined by the Committee for the Implementation of Textile Agreements to involve foreign affairs functions of the United States. Therefore, the directions to the Commissioner of Customs, being necessary to the implementation of such actions, fall within the foreign affairs exception to the rule-making provisions of 5 U.S.C. 553. This letter will be published in the FEDERAL REGISTER.

Sincerely,

ALAN POLANSKY,
Acting Chairman, Committee for the Implementation of Textile Agreements, and Acting Deputy Assistant Secretary for Resources and Trade Assistance, U.S. Department of Commerce.

[FR Doc.75-6641 Filed 3-12-75;8:45 am]

COUNCIL ON ENVIRONMENTAL QUALITY

ENVIRONMENTAL IMPACT STATEMENTS

Availability

Environmental impact statements received by the Council on Environmental Quality from March 3 through March 7, 1975. The date of receipt for each statement is noted in the statement summary. Under Council Guidelines the minimum period for public review and comment on draft environmental impact statements is forty-five (45) days from this FEDERAL REGISTER notice of availability. (April 28, 1975.) The thirty (30) day period for each final statement begins on the day the statement is made available for review from the originating agency. Back copies will also be available at cost, from the Environmental Law Institute, 1346 Connecticut Avenue, Washington, D.C. 20036.

DEPARTMENT OF AGRICULTURE

Contact: David Ward, Acting Coordinator, Environmental Quality Activities, Office of the Secretary, U.S. Department of Agriculture, Room 331-E, Administration Building, Washington, D.C. 20250, 202-447-3965.

FOREST SERVICE

Draft

North Evangeline Unit, Kisatchie National Forest, Rapides County, La., March 6: The statement concerns the 10-year management plan for the 34,837-acre Evangeline Unit, Kisatchie National Forest. The plan includes wildlife improvements, concentration of recreationists around Valentine and Kincaid Lakes, intensive range management and timber management. Timber harvests will result in degradation of scenery and road construction. (ELR Order No. 50322.)

Final

Timber Management Plan, Shasta-Trinity National Forest, several Counties, California, March 6: Proposed is the implementation of the Shasta-Trinity National Forest management plan. The plan calls for a potential yield of 3,319 million board feet and an allowable harvest of 2,291 million board feet based on a 140 year rotation during the 10-year period from July 1, 1974 to July 1, 1984. There will be adverse impact from slash disposal and soil disturbance (115 pages). Comments made by: AHP, USDA, DOI, DOC, EPA, State and local agencies, organizations, and concerned citizens. (ELR Order No. 50318.)

SOIL CONSERVATION SERVICE

Draft

Mill Branch Watershed Project, Bacon County, Ga., March 3: Proposed is a project for watershed protection, flood prevention, and agricultural and forestry water management in Bacon County, Georgia. Approximately 12 acres of cropland will be replaced by project channels, and 202 acres of forest will be cleared for right-of-way. Temporary air pollution will result from the burning of trees. (ELR Order No. 50294.)

Wailuku-Alenaio Watershed Project, Hawaii County, Hawaii, March 3: The statement concerns a project for watershed protection and flood prevention in Hawaii County. The plan includes 2.1 miles of floodwater diversions, .66 mile of channel work, a .19 mile masonry wall, and land treatment. The project would eliminate 12.2 acres of agricultural and forestry production. (ELR Order No. 50299.)

Zuni Pueblo Watershed Project, McKinley County, N. Mex., March 3: The statement concerns a project for watershed protection and flood prevention for 11,700 acres of the Zuni Pueblo Watershed. About 652 acres of land would be needed for construction of 60' x 7,000' earth dam, spillway, an emergency spillway, and operation of the system. Eleven archeological sites would be committed to mitigation salvage and excavation. (ELR Order No. 50300.)

San Felipe Creek Watershed Project, Val Verde County, Tex., March 3: The statement refers to a proposed watershed protection and flood prevention project on San Felipe Creek Watershed. Project measures will include the construction of one single-purpose flood-retarding structure, and the use of land treatment on 2,520 acres. Recreational facilities and wildlife habitat will benefit from the project. Forty acres of range and wildlife habitat will be committed to project measures; an additional 52 acres of range and wildlife habitat will be cleared or affected by borrow operations. (ELR Order No. 50296.)

Final

Newman Lake Watershed, Spokane County, Wash., March 3: Proposed is a watershed protection, flood prevention, and fish and wildlife project in Spokane County. Project measure will include land treatment, 3.8 miles of channel work, a gated outlet structure with fish screens, and a water level control structure and stream gage. Flooding will be reduced on 1,030 acres of cropland and 50 acres of shoreline property. About 460 acres of Type I wetlands will be eliminated; 25.5 acres of land will be committed to project structures. Comments made by: COE, EPA, DOI, DOT, AHP, and State agencies. (ELR Order No. 50301.)

DEPARTMENT OF DEFENSE

ARMY CORPS

Contavt: Mr. Francis X. Kelly, Director, Office of Public Affairs, Attn: DAEN-PAP, Office of the Chief of Engineers, U.S. Army Corps of Engineers, 1000 Independence Avenue SW., Washington, D.C. 20314, 202-693-7168.

Draft

Aquatic Plant Control Program, Mobile District, March 4: The statement concerns the control and progressive eradication of the water hyacinth, alligatorweed, and other noxious aquatic plant growths from the navigable waters, tributary streams, connecting channels and other allied waters in the Mobile District of the Corps of Engineers. The principal chemical that will be used is 2, 4-D (2, 4-dichlorophenoxyacetic acid), and the major mechanical control will be through use of a sawboat. Adverse impacts include temporary degradation of water quality and possible damage to non-target species. (Mobile District.) (ELR Order No. 50306.)

Agana Small Boat Harbor (Supplement), March 4: The statement is a supplement for a final environmental impact statement filed with CEQ May 18, 1973. Proposed is the construction of a small boat harbor in Agana Bay to meet both recreational and subsistence-type fishing needs in the area. The project will consist of a revetted mole, two breakwaters, a wave absorber, four circulation channels, and navigation channels. Adverse impacts include the loss of about 40 acres of reef flat habitat to harbor use, conflicts of use among boaters, surfers, and fishermen, and intrusion of breakwaters on a portion of surfboard recovery area, with increased hazard to surfers. (Honolulu District.) (19 pages.) (ELR Order No. 50311.)

Root River Basin Flood Control, several Counties, Minnesota, March 3: The statement concerns the construction of 3.1 miles of levees and 0.2 miles of road raises, floodplain regulation, and flood insurance for purposes of flood protection for 1,660 square miles in Houston, Fillmore, Mower, Winona, Olmsted and Dodge Counties. Construction disruption and altered land use would result. (St. Paul District.) (ELR Order No. 50298.)

Los Esteros Dam and Lake Project, DeBaca and Guadalupe Counties, N. Mex., March 6: Proposed is the construction and operation

of the Los Esteros Dam Project for purposes of flood control, sediment retention, and irrigation water storage for 100 years. Los Esteros Lake will be operated in conjunction with Sumner Lake for optimum flood protection. The project will inundate from 6,550 acres to 10,000 acres due to periodic flooding and will convert 14 miles of free-flowing stream to slack water. (Albuquerque District.) (ELR Order No. 50323.)

Hammond Small-Boat Basin, Clatsop County, Oreg., March 6: Proposed is the repair of the existing breakwater and construction of a rubblemound east breakwater and groin, and dredging and maintenance of an entrance access channel of Hammond Small-Boat Basin. The project will result in disturbance of the aquatic community, turbidity, and elimination of some habitat for small animals at the site of dredge disposal. (Portland District.) (ELR Order No. 50325.)

Central Vermont Public Service Corp., Generating Plant, Franklin County, Vt., March 4: The proposed action is the issuance of a construction permit to Central Vermont Public Service Corporation to dredge and construct a submerged water intake and pipeline in Arrowhead Mountain Lake in Georgia, Vermont. Construction of the distillate-oil burning plant would require 101 acres of land and would result in substantial losses in the aquatic biological communities, as well as changes in the contours of the lake and lakeshore. (New York District.) (2 volumes.) (ELR Order No. 50304.)

Wishart Point, Maintenance Dredging, Virginia, March 6: The statement concerns the maintenance dredging of a channel 60 feet wide and 6 feet deep from the Delaware Bay-Chesapeake Bay Waterway at Four Mouths through Balcast Narrows and across Powell's Bay and Bogues Bay to Wishart Point, a distance of about 2 miles. Adverse impacts include removal of organisms at the site of dredging and disturbance of disposal site. (Norfolk District.) (17 pages.) (ELR Order No. 50321.)

Mississippi River Outlets, Venice, La.: The proposed project provides for the enlargement and maintenance of existing channels of Baptiste Collete Bayou for purposes of providing a shorter navigation route between the east and west Gulf waters in the vicinity of Venice, Louisiana. Adverse impacts include temporary water pollution and disturbance of 5,320 acres of marsh and bay bottoms due to dredging. (New Orleans District.) (ELR Order No. 50316.)

Final

Winter Harbor Small Boat Navigation Project, Hancock County, Maine, March 5: The statement refers to the navigation improvement in inner Winter Harbor, Hancock County, consisting of dredging 6.5 acres of anchorage to a depth of 8 feet mean low water and disposal of 25,000 cu. yds. of spoil material. It is proposed to deposit the spoil in Winter Harbor Sound. Adverse impacts are those normally associated with dredging and spoil disposal. (Waltham District.) Comments made by: DOI, DOC, EPA, USCG, and State and local agencies. (ELR Order No. 50313.)

NAVY

Contact: Mr. Peter M. McDavitt, Special Assistant to the Assistant, Secretary of the Navy (Installations and Logistics), Washington, D.C. 20350, 202-697-0892.

Final

Farallon de Medinilla Bombardment Range, Mariana I, March 3. Proposed is the continued use of Farallon de Medinilla, the smallest of the fourteen islands within the Mariana District of the U.S. Trust Territories of the Pacific Islands, as a Navy and Air Force bombardment range. The entire 224 acre island is used for air-to-ground weapons delivery and shore bombardment. Impact results primarily from explosion and ordnance fragmentation, and includes water pollution, air pollution, and the destruction of vegetation and wildlife. Comments made by: EPA, DOI, DOC, and AHP. (ELR Order No. 50292.)

GENERAL SERVICES ADMINISTRATION

Contact: Mr. Andrew E. Kauders, Executive Director of Environmental Affairs, General Services Administration, 18th and F Streets NW., Washington, D.C. 20405, 202-343-4161.

Draft

IRS—Midwest Service Center, Jackson County, Mo., March 3: Proposed is the construction of a six-building complex to house the Internal Revenue Service-Midwest Service Center. Since the site has not yet been selected nor the complex designed, the number of families and businesses to be dislocated cannot be determined. Construction disruption will result (117 pages). (ELR Order No. 50290.)

Federal Office Building, Huron, S. Dak., March 6:

Federal Office Building, Huron, Beadle County, S. Dak., March 6: Proposed is the construction of a 5-story Federal Office Building containing 68,000 occupiable square feet of space. The total project will cost $6,819,000. Construction disruption will result (53 pages). (ELR Order No. 50319.)

Final

Naval Supply Center, Seattle, Disposal, King County, Wash., March 4: The statement concerns the sale of 185.81 acres of land and improvements to the Port of Seattle. The Port is currently operating the facility under permit issued by the Navy and will continue its present usage of the property. Comments made by: EPA, HUD, DOC, DOI, DOT, State and local agencies, and concerned citizens. (ELR Order No. 50312.)

DEPARTMENT OF HUD

Contact: Mr. Richard H. Brown, Acting Director, Office of Environmental Quality, Room 7206, 451 7th Street SW., Washington, D.C. 20410, 202-755-6295.

Draft

Sterling Park, Seminole County, Fla., March 6: The statement refers to the development of Sterling Park, a 948-acre tract of land in Seminole County, 9 miles northeast of Orlando, Florida. The plan includes a land use proposal for construction of single family dwellings, townhouses, condominiums, patio homes, common areas, green belts, recreation areas, commercial areas, schools, and parks. Traffic congestion and excess demand on facilities will result. (ELR Order No. 50317.)

Draft

Santa Monica Community Development, Los Angeles County, Calif., March 4: The statement concerns a Community Development Block Grant Proposal for Santa Monica, California to renovate the Santa Monica Pier, prepare a site for future senior citizen housing, provide an elevator for City Hall and five public restrooms in the city's three parks and develop administrative and planning capacities for the city's newly created Housing Authority. Adverse impacts include dislocation of residents from the site of the planned Senior Citizens' Center and Construction disruption. (ELR Order No. 50309.)

Culver City Community Development Plan, Los Angeles County, Calif., March 4: The statement concerns a HUD "Community Development Block Grant Program" to renovate and rehabilitate a 4.8 square mile area of Culver City, California to provide increased space for activities of the City's Senior Citizens' Center and to correct sewer system deficiencies. Adverse impacts include construction disruption. (ELR Order No. 50310.)

DEPARTMENT OF INTERIOR

Contact: Mr. Bruce Blanchard, Director, Environmental Project Review, Room 7260, Department of the Interior, Washington, D.C. 20240, 202-343-3891.

BUREAU OF LAND MANAGEMENT

Draft

Timber Management Program, March 5: The statement concerns the current 10-year timber management program carried out under the principles of sustained-yield and multiple use on 23 million acres of forest land in the western United States. Timber harvests will result in road construction and degradation of scenery from clearcutting and heavy partial cutting. (ELR Order No. 50314.)

BUREAU OF OUTDOOR RECREATION

Final

Potomac Heritage National Scenic Trail, March 3: The statement refers to a recommendation to Congress that the 874-mile long Potomac Heritage Trail be designated as a National Scenic Trail in the National Trails System. The proposed route generally follows the shores of the Potomac River through the District of Columbia and the states of Maryland, Pennsylvania, Virginia, and West Virginia. The project would require terrain and vegetation modification. Comments made by: DOI, EPA, COE, DOT, USDA, HUD, AHP, and State and local agencies. (ELR Order No. 50293.)

NATIONAL PARK SERVICE

Final

Master Plan, Mount Rainier National Park, Pierce and Lewis Counties, Wash., March 4: The statement refers to a proposed conceptual master plan which will establish development patterns and provide management guidelines. Visitor facilities will be designed to accommodate increased use with the least impact upon the environment. Adverse impact will include littering and trampling of vegetation (142 pages). Comments made by: AHP, USDA, COE, DOC, HUD, DOI, DOT, FPC, and one local agency. (ELR Order No. 50305.)

DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION

Contact: Mr. Martin Convisser, Director, Office of Environmental Affairs, 400 7th Street SW., Washington, D.C. 20590, 202-426-4357.

FEDERAL AVIATION ADMINISTRATION

Draft

Concorde Supersonic Transport, New York and Virginia, March 3: The proposed action is an amendment of British Airways and Air France operations specifications to permit these carriers to conduct limited commercial service with the Concorde, a civil supersonic transport aircraft, to John F. Kennedy International Airport in New York and Dulles International Airport in Virginia. The proposal would permit both British Airways and Air France to conduct two flights daily to JFK and one flight daily to Dulles commencing in early 1976. Increases in noise and emission levels would result from introduction of Concorde service (131 pages). (ELR Order No. 50291.)

Final

Raleigh County Airport, Raleigh County, W. Va., March 7: Proposed is the construction of a new asphalt runway (6,700' x 150'), a connector taxiway, aprons, vehicle parking, a rescue building, and related facilities at the Raleigh County Airport, Beckley, West Virginia. Adverse impact will include the clearing of 375 acres of wildlife habitat. An increase in the local noise level will place 15 dwellings and one church within the 100 CNR Zone. Comments made by: DOT, EPA, COE, HEW, DOT, FPC, USDA, and State and local agencies. (ELR Order No. 50327.)

FEDERAL HIGHWAY ADMINISTRATION

Draft

Street improvements (U.S. 122, U.S. 7) Chicago, Cook County, Ill., March 6: The statement concerns the following roadway improvements in Chicago, Illinois: a 4,600 ft. segment of Columbus Drive; a 3000 ft. segment of Randolph Street between N. Michigan Avenue and N. Lake Shore Drive; a 5,220 ft. segment of North Lake Shore Drive (U.S. 122); and Wacker Drive Extension (U.S. 7). The project would result in increased air, water, and noise pollution, and the taking of portions of Grant Park, Navy Pier Park, the Lakefront Promenade, and the Monroe Street Yachting Harbor. A 4(f) statement is included (2 volumes). (ELR Order No. 50324.)

U.S. 171, DeRidder—Fort Polk Highway, Vernon County, La., March 4: Proposed is the improvement of a 16.2 mile section of U.S. 171, DeRidder—Fort Polk Highway from a 2-lane to a 4–5-lane facility parallel to the existing roadway. The project includes construction of two bridges. Adverse impacts include displacement of 56 families, 12 businesses, and one church, and construction disruption. (ELR Order No. 50307.)

U.S. 13 Salisbury By-pass, Wicomico County, Md., March 3: The statement concerns the construction of the final segment of the Salisbury By-pass project to relocate U.S. 13 east of the city limits. The 4-lane roadway would be approximately 4.6 miles in length and would include several bridges at major intersections. The most likely alternative would require acquisition of 82 acres of land and would displace 12 dwellings and one business. (ELR Order No. 50295.)

I–95, Russell Street to Hanover Street (Supplement), Baltimore, County, Md., March 4: The statement is a supplement to a final environmental impact statement filed with CEQ 17 April 1974, and is in anticipation of the design and construction of a one-mile segment of an 8-lane controlled access expressway crossing over Middle Branch of the Patapsco River of the Baltimore Harbor. Dredging and spoil disposal will be necessary, and an unspecified number of families and businesses will be displaced. (ELR Order No. 50308.)

U.S. 77, Dakota County (Supplement), Dakota County, Nebr., March 6: The statement is a supplement to a final EIS filed with CEQ 1 February 1973. The plan includes design revisions that will eliminate the separations and interchanges between the U.S. 77 crossing and the full cloverleaf I–129 interchange. A reduction in right-of-way, embankment material required for fills, borrow required, and project cost will occur as a result of the revisions. The project will displace 3 houses and one farmstead (6 pages). (ELR Order No. 50326.)

S.T.H. 35 and 54, Buffalo County, Wis., March 3: Proposed is the reconstruction of approximately 5 miles of STH 35 and 54 in Buffalo County, Wisconsin. The 4-lane roadway will require 40 acres of new right-of-way and 15 acres of easement from the Chicago and Northwestern Transportation Company. The project will displace 6 private homes and 5 businesses, 3 of which are apartments. Construction of the facility will encourage the conversion of farmland to residential and business area (73 pages). (ELR Order No. 50297.)

East Belt Freeway, Little Rock, Pulaski County, Ark.: The statement concerns the construction of a multi-lane, interstate-type facility connecting I–40 and I–30, a distance of 10 miles, through eastern Little Rock and eastern North Little Rock. An undetermined number of businesses and families will be displaced and the project will also alter 600 to 800 acres of wetlands, residential, agricultural, commercial and industrial lands to highway use. (ELR Order No. 50315.)

Final

Steese Highway, Farmers Loop to Fox, Alaska, March 3: The project includes relocation, grading, drainage, and surfacing of the Steese Highway between the farmers Loop Road intersection and Fox. Several different locations are discussed in the statement. Adverse impacts are the displacement of people, and increased air and water pollution. Comments made by: EPA, DOI, USDA, HUD, and State and local agencies. (ELR Order No. 50302.)

Highway N 2, Lincoln Urban Arterial, Lancaster County, Nebr., March 6: The project involves the reconstruction of 4.3 miles of high No. 2 in south Lincoln to a four lane facility. Also included is a proposal to extend 13th Street southward for 0.4 mile to the intersection of Pioneers Boulevard and N 2. Adverse impact will include the loss of fifty trees and some wildlife habitat, and increases in air and noise levels. Comments made by: EPA, DOI, COE, USDA, DOT, and State agencies. (ELR Order No. 50320.)

Route 433, South Suburban Freeway, Will and Cook Counties, Ill.: The Council received notice from the Department of Transportation February 28, 1975, that a Final EIS on Route 433, South Suburban Freeway, Will and Cook Counties, Illinois, will not be issued. When studies of general location alternatives are undertaken for the South Suburban Freeway, a new Draft EIS will be prepared in accordance with procedures in effect at that time.

U.S. COAST GUARD

Draft

LORAN–C Chain, West Coast/Gulf of Alaska, March 3: The proposed action provides for the establishment of a new LORAN–C radio-navigation chain along the U.S. West Coast and in the Gulf of Alaska. Stations will be located in Searchlight and Fallon, Nevada; Middletown, California; Fort Lewis, Washington; Ketchikan (Shoal Cove), Tok, Sitkinak, Alaska, and at an as-yet undefined location in southwestern Canada. This EIS concerns only the non-Alaska stations. There will be some visual impact at all stations due to the height of the towers; adverse impact may occur in especially scenic areas, such as near Mt. Ranier (Ft. Lewis site). (ELR Order No. 50303.)

GARY L. WIDMAN,
General Counsel.

[FR Doc.75–6624 Filed 3–12–75;8:45 am]

FEDERAL ENERGY ADMINISTRATION

ENVIRONMENTAL ADVISORY COMMITTEE

Meeting

Pursuant to the provisions of the Federal Advisory Committee Act (Pub. L. 92–463, 86 Stat. 770), notice is hereby given that the Environmental Advisory Committee will meet Tuesday, April 1, 1975 at 9 a.m., Conference Room B, Departmental Auditorium, Constitution Avenue between 12th & 14th Streets, NW, Washington, D.C.

The Committee was established to provide advice and information to the Federal Energy Administration concerning environmental aspects of Federal Energy Administration policies and programs.

The agenda for the meeting is as follows:

1. Discussion of FEA Draft Environmental Impact Statement on the Administration's Proposed Energy Independence Act.
2. Discussion of Reports from Working Groups Designated at Previous Meeting—
   a. OCS Development—Coastal Zone Management and Energy Facility Siting.
   b. Coal Leasing & Mining.
   c. Automobile Emission Standards & Fuel Economy.
   d. Conversion of Oil & Gas-Fired Power Plants to Coal and Amendment of Clean Air Act.
   e. Tax and Regulatory Approaches to Energy Conservation.

The meeting is open to the public. The Chairman of the Committee is empowered to conduct the meeting in a fashion that will, in his judgment, facilitate the orderly conduct of business. Any member of the public who wishes to file a written statement with the Committee will be permitted to do so, either before or after the meeting. Members of the public who wish to make oral statements should inform Lois Weeks, Advisory Committee Management Officer, (202) 961–7022 at least 5 days before the meeting and reasonable provision will be made for their appearance on the agenda.

Further information concerning this meeting may be obtained from the Advisory Committee Management Office.

Minutes of the meeting will be made available for public inspection at the Federal Energy Administration, Washington, D.C.

Issued at Washington, D.C. on March 10, 1975.

ROBERT E. MONTGOMERY, Jr.,
General Counsel.

[FR Doc.75–6595 Filed 3–10–75;12:33 pm]

FEDERAL HOME LOAN BANK BOARD

[H.C. #186]

GOLDEN WEST FINANCIAL CORP.

Receipt of Application for Permission To Acquire Control

MARCH 10, 1975.

Notice is hereby given that the Federal Savings and Loan Insurance Corporation has received an application from Golden West Financial Corporation, Oakland, California, a unitary savings and loan holding company, for approval of acquisition of control of the Westland Savings and Loan Association, Ventura, California, an insured institution, under the provisions of section 408 (e) of the National Housing Act, as amended (12 U.S.C. 1730a(e)), and § 584.4 of the Regulations for Savings

and Loan Holding Companies, said acquisition to be effected by a purchase of substantially all of the association's capital stock for cash and promissory notes of the applicant. Comments on the proposed acquisition should be submitted to the Director, Holding Companies Section, Office of Examinations and Supervision, Federal Home Loan Bank Board, Washington, D.C. 20552, on or before April 14, 1975.

[SEAL] GRENVILLE L. MILLARD, Jr.,
Assistant Secretary,
Federal Home Loan Bank Board.

[FR Doc.75-6619 Filed 3-12-75;8:45 am]

FEDERAL MARITIME COMMISSION

NORTH EUROPE-U.S. PACIFIC FREIGHT CONFERENCE AND MEDITERRANEAN NORTH PACIFIC COAST FREIGHT CONFERENCE

Agreement Filed

Notice is hereby given that the following agreement has been filed with the Commission for approval pursuant to section 15 of the Shipping Act, 1916, as amended (39 Stat. 733, 75 Stat. 763, 46 U.S.C. 814).

Interested parties may inspect and obtain a copy of the agreement at the Washington office of the Federal Maritime Commission, 1100 L Street, NW., Room 10126; or may inspect the agreement at the Field Offices located at New York, N.Y., New Orleans, Louisiana, San Francisco, California and Old San Juan, Puerto Rico. Comments on such agreements, including requests for hearing, may be submitted to the Secretary, Federal Maritime Commission, Washington, D.C. 20573, on or before April 2, 1975. Any person desiring a hearing on the proposed agreement shall provide a clear and concise statement of the matters upon which they desire to adduce evidence. An allegation of discrimination or unfairness shall be accompanied by a statement describing the discrimination or unfairness with particularity. If a violation of the Act or detriment to the commerce of the United States is alleged, the statement shall set forth with particularity the acts and circumstances said to constitute such violation or detriment to commerce.

A copy of any such statement should also be forwarded to the party filing the agreement (as indicated hereinafter) and the statement should indicate that this has been done.

Notice of Agreement Filed by:

Howard A. Levy, Esquire, 17 Battery Place, Suite 727, New York, New York 10004.

Agreement No. 10154 establishes a discussion agreement between the above-named conferences to be entitled North Europe and Mediterranean U.S. Pacific Discussion Agreement ("EUROPAC"). Under the agreement the signatory conferences agree to meet from time to time to discuss maters of mutual interest, including, but not limited to self-policing; cargo inspection and enforcement; cargo movements and routing; modes and frequency of service required by the shipping public; costs of service; rates, rules and tariffs; practices relating to the receiving, handling, storing and delivery of cargo; interchange with connecting land cariers; positioning, detention and other intermodal aspects of their respective operations; and general economic conditions affecting the trade.

The term of approval sought is three years with the right to seek extensions.

The signatories retain the right of independent action. No substantive agreement deriving from the discussions conducted is to be implemented unless filed with and approved by the Federal Maritime Commission.

By Order of the Federal Maritime Commission.

Dated: March 10, 1975.

FRANCIS C. HURNEY,
Secretary.

[FR Doc.75-6634 Filed 3-12-75;8:45 am]

FAR EAST CONFERENCE ET AL.

Agreement Filed

Notice is hereby given that the following agreement has been filed with the Commission for approval pursuant to section 15 of the Shipping Act, 1916, as amended (39 Stat. 733, 75 Stat. 763, 46 U.S.C. 814).

Interested parties may inspect and obtain a copy of the agreement at the Washington office of the Federal Maritime Commission, 1100 L Street, NW., Room 10126; or may inspect the agreement at the Field Offices located at New York, N.Y., New Orleans, Louisiana, San Francisco, California and Old San Juan, Puerto Rico. Comments on such agreements, including requests for hearing, may be submitted to the Secretary, Federal Maritime Commission, Washington, D.C., 20573, on or before March 24, 1975. Any person desiring a hearing on the proposed agreement shall provide a clear and concise statement of the matters upon which they desire to adduce evidence. An allegation of discrimination or unfairness shall be accompanied by a statement describing the discrimination or unfairness with particularity. If a violation of the Act or detriment to the commerce of the United States is alleged, the statement shall set forth with particularity the acts and circumstances said to constitute such violation or detriment to commerce.

A copy of any such statement should also be forwarded to the party filing the agreement (as indicated hereinafter) and the statement should indicate that this has been done.

Notice of Agreement Filed by:

Charles F. Warren, Esq., 1100 Connecticut Avenue NW., Washington, D.C. 20036.

Agreement No. 10110-1 is an application on behalf of the member lines of the above four named conferences to extend the terms and conditions of the presently approved agreement through September 30, 1975. The current expiration date is March 26, 1975. The terms and conditions of the arrangement remain unchanged and provide that the conference lines may cooperate and coordinate actions for the voluntary disposition of interrelated matters concerning the conferences at issue in Docket Nos. 73-28 and 73-29, involving alleged rate disparities in the trades between Japan and the Pacific Coast, and the Atlantic and Gulf Coasts, respectively, of the United States.

By Order of the Federal Maritime Commission.

Dated: March 10, 1975.

FRANCIS C. HURNEY,
Secretary.

[FR Doc.75-6633 Filed 3-12-75;8:45 am]

CERTIFICATES OF FINANCIAL RESPONSIBILITY (OIL POLLUTION)

Certificates Issued

Notice is hereby given that the following vessel owners and/or operators have established evidence of financial responsibility, with respect to the vessels indicated, as required by section 311(p)(1) of the Federal Water Pollution Control Act, and have been issued Federal Maritime Commission Certificates of Financial Responsibility (Oil Pollution) pursuant to Part 542 of Title 46 CFR.

Certificate No.	*Owner/operator and vessels*
01118	Hvalfangerselskapet "Polaris" A/S: *Polartank.*
01123	Hemisphere Transportation Corp.: *Alaska Getty, George F. Getty II, Maryland Getty, Massachusetts Getty, Pennsylvania Getty, Sarah C. Getty, Veedol, Virginia Getty.*
01180	T.S. Bendixon A/S: *Sea Breeze.*
01233	Buries Markes Ltd.: *Tsuru Arrow.*
01360	Midland Enterprises Inc.: *OH 2780.*
01428	Ocean Transport & Trading Ltd.: *Charon.*
01447	Scotstoun Shipping Co. Ltd.: *Loch Long.*
01453	Alden Shipping Co. Ltd.: *Venetia.*
01455	Klondyke Shipping Co., Ltd.: *Martindyke.*
01504	Yngvar Hvistendahl: *Intisar.*
01613	Reardon Smith Line Ltd.: *Cardiff City.*
01755	Hugo Stinnes Zweigniederlassung Hamburg: *Cap Sidero.*
01883	S.A.S.D.A. Societa Anonina Sarda D'Armamento: *Chikuma.*
02194	Compagnie Generale Transatlantique: *Louisiane.*
02242	Dal Deutsche Afrika-Linien G.M.B.H. & Co.: *Woermann Sankuru.*
02243	Astramar Cia Argentina de Navegacion S.A. Comercial: *Astramariner.*
02451	Magellan Strait Development Corp.: *Fedsteel.*
02551	Ellerman Lines Ltd.: *City of Exeter.*
02716	Aktieselskabet Det Dansk-Franske Dampskibsselskab: *Scotland.*
02831	Ednasa Co. Ltd. *Lissa.*
02835	Hongkong Shipping Agencies Ltd.: *Yancey, Katharina, Betsy Cummins, Marsha, Natasha.*

Certificate No.	Owner/operator and vessels
02902	Alamo Chemical Transportation Co.: *Reichhold 1001, Reichhold 1002, Molly Ann, Alamo 2300, Alamo 2301, Alamo 2302, Alamo 2303.*
02976	Arthur-Smith Corp.: *C. & H. 107.*
03389	Shell Tankers B.V.: *Felania.*
03422	Daiwa Kaiun Kabushiki Kaisha: *Ellice Maru.*
03492	Sawayama Kisen K.K.: *Tama Maru.*
03555	Interessentskapet Saga Sword: *Osco Sword.*
03623	Smith-Rice Derrick Barges, Inc.: *Barge 17, Barge 16, Barge 5, Barge 7.*
03640	Pan Ocean Bulk Carriers, Ltd.: *Bum Sin.*
03690	The Harbor Tug & Barge Co.: *Isla Grande.*
03718	Kaiser Aluminum & Chemical Corp.: *N.M.S. 1601.*
03735	Penrod Drilling Co.: *Penrod 71.*
03752	Kingcome Navigation Co., Ltd.: *Haida Monarch.*
03878	Ingram Barge Co.: *IB 2001L, IB 2301B, IB 1101B, IB 2002T, IB 2701L, IB 3001B, IB 1501B, IB 2702T, Edwin L. Kennedy.*
03971	Korea Shipping Corp.: *Ulsan.*
04004	Koninklijke Java-China-Paketvaart Lijnen N.V.: *Straat Clement.*
04276	Rivtow Straits Ltd.: *Straits Traveller, Straits Water Skidder.*
04283	Gulf of Georgia Towing Co. Ltd.: *Gulf Hathi.*
M-04401	Todd Shipyards Corp.: Vessels not over 50,000 gross tons.
04640	McAllister Lighterage Line, Inc.: *C and D 1.*
04793	Snam S.P.A.: *Agip Gela.*
04826	Ithaca Star Shipping Ltd.: *Regal.*
04866	Transportes de Petroleos, S.A.: *Maria de los Dolores.*
05089	H.F. Eimskipafelag Islands: *Bakkafoss.*
05472	National Shipping Corp.: *Warsak.*
05537	Empresa Navegacion Mambisa: *Nate, Sula, XIII Congreso.*
05648	Alafouzos Special Shipping Co. S.A.: *Capetan Giannis.*
05704	Murmansk Shipping Co.: *Valya Kotik.*
05984	Sakhalin Shipping Co.: *Pioner Kholmska, Khasan.*
06130	Northern Shipping Co.: *Vasiliy Musinskiy.*
06248	Commercial Corp. "Sovrybflot": *Vnushitelfnyi.*
06282	Avondale Shipyards, Inc.: *Asi Hull 2483* (gas-free plant No. 3).
06510	Compagnie Nationale Algerienne de Navigation C.N.A.N.: *Gassi Touil, Arzew.*
06906	Directia Navigatiei Maritime Navrom: *Crisana, Banat.*
06934	Chevron Navigation Corp.: *Chevron Burnaby.*
06995	Novorossiisk Shipping Co.: *Krym.*
07080	Intermare Transport Ltd.: *Dora Papalios, Aegis Diligence.*
07145	Dal-Ho Industrial Co., Ltd.: *Sunlight No. 23, Sunlight No. 25.*
07276	Anglo Pacific Line, Ltd.: *Palau.*
07280	Angelbros Compania Naviera S.A.: *Georgios A.*
07772	Great Eastern Maritime Co. Ltd.: *Mercury.*
07862	Eastern Seaboard Pile Driving Co., Inc.: *Draga de Arena, Donna Marie.*
08119	Mediteranska Plovidba: *Voce.*
08353	"Hellas" Schffahrts - Agentur GmbH: *Hydra Glory, Panarrange.*
08430	Hercules Shipping Co. Inc.: *Lucy.*
08473	Tokyo Marine Co., Ltd.: *Fujitsuki Maru.*
08593	Karies Shipping S.A.: *Marilor.*
08693	Far East Shipping Co. Ltd.: *Pacific Viking.*
08789	S. Bartz-Johannessen A/S: *Bravur.*
08833	General Metals of Tacoma Inc.: *Knudson.*
09069	Spanier Towing Inc.: *SMC 3001, SMC 3002.*
09252	Ocean Victory Ltd.: *Ocean Explotar.*
09258	North Arctic Shipping Co., Ltd.: *Atlantic Baron.*
09389	Triangle Shifting and Fleeting Service, Inc.: *Rebel 101, Rebel 102, Rebel 103, ST-120, ST-121, ST-122, ST-123.*
09403	East & West Steamship Co. (1961): *OHRMAZD.*
09468	Puerto Rico Maritime Shipping Authority: *Brooklyn, New Orleans, Transhawaii, Transidaho, Transoregon, Trenton, Arecibo, Chicago, Puerto Rico.*
09496	Mar Pacifico S.A.: *Pais Del Este No. 59.*
09510	Paducah Diesel Service, Inc.: *OR 947.*
09520	Pedro A. Villalon: *Bernice M.*
09545	Maytide Line Co., Ltd.: *Yue Tai.*
09554	Kef Management Co. S.A. Panama: *Kef Hawk, Kef George, Kef Eagle.*
09575	Coutavo Shipping Co.: *Kefalonia Spirit.*
09580	Il Woo Fisheries Co., Ltd.: *Il Woo No. 51.*
09592	Compania Diamond Navigation S.A.: *Diamond Peace.*
09638	Benton and Co., Inc.: *Benton II.*
09661	Chieh Sheng Maritime S.A.: *Chieh Hwang, Chieh Shun, Chieh Sheng, Eurytehli, Victory Gleam, Victory Glory, Victory Glamor, Eurychili, Euryshunli.*
09665	Claudia Maritima Co., Ltd.: *Valle de Picadura.*
09671	I/S 422: *Jorgen J. Lorentzen.*
09680	Timat Scheepvaart Maatschappij N.V.: *Korissa.*
09700	Gretna Machine & Iron Works, Inc.: *DXE 1501.*
09722	Riffe Marine Corp.: *RR 101, RR 102.*
09735	Alaska Lumber & Pulp Co. Inc.: *ZB 26, ZB 27, ZB 201, ZB 202, ZB 203, ZB 206, ZB 207, ZB 181, ZB 182, ZB 183, ZB 208.*
09760	Amoco Transport Co.: *Amoco Cairo, Amoco Europa, Amoco Savannah, Amoco Texas City, Amoco Yorktown, Amoco Baltimore, Amoco Cremona, Amoco Brisbane, Conqueror, Amoco Trinidad.*
09772	Tae Chang Fisheries Co. Ltd.: *Parto No. 83.*
09776	Sunlease Co., Inc.: *Sun Flower.*
09797	Bohol Shipping S.A.: *Iligan.*
09807	Wolfkill Fred & Fertilizer Corp.: *Panagia M.*
09810	Partrederiet Bech XII: *Anna Marie Bech.*
09820	Rederiet for T/T Sea Scape: *Sea Scape.*
09822	Atlantic Fisheries Development Co. Ltd.: *Erin Fisher.*
09829	10th January Global Marine Enterprises S.A. Panama: *Nicholas G. Paplios.*
09830	Zea Marine Corp. S.A.: *Aegis Topic.*
09841	Mersey Navigation Co. Inc., Panama: *Maritime King.*
09844	Jesus Vicent: *Linita.*
09849	International Steamship Corp.: *Prima.*
09853	Trossen Ltd.: *Trossen.*
09858	K/S Bewa XIII: *Lykke Bewa.*
09872	Jourdain Navigation Ltd.: *George Crosbie.*
09873	Associated Tanker Transport Inc.: *CYS Crown.*
09874	Gulf Caribbean Navigation Co., Ltd.: *Kathy.*
09875	Skukuza Shipping Corp.: *Skukuza.*
09876	Amazon Navigation Co., Inc.: *Vanguard.*
09877	Hector Marine Inc., Panama R.P.: *Regal Sword.*
09878	Marama Navegacion S.A.: *Waimea.*
09879	Ganta Shipping Corp.: *Sun Bow.*
09880	Onward Shipping Co. (Panama) S.A.: *Onward Elite, Ever Harmony, Royal Fortune.*
09881	(Navang) Companhia de Navegacao Angolana, Sarl: *N'Gola.*
09883	Limnos Shipping Co. S.A. Panama: *Nopal Neva.*
09885	IMRO Maritima S.A.: *IBNU.*
09887	Hyndae Enterprise Co. Ltd.: *Sobrino.*
09888	Marmistico Armadora S.A. Panama: *Aristaios.*
09889	Jinyo Kaiun K.K.: *Jinyo Maru.*
09890	Sureness Navigation S.A.: *Atlantic Trader.*
09891	Reidar Rods Rederi A/S: *Belita.*
09892	Multimare Shipping Co.: *Kenai Multina.*
09893	Pesca y Congelacion de Mariscos Salmedina S.A.: *El Quemado, El Diamante.*
09895	Sunda Strait Shipping Inc.: *Fedgrain.*
09896	Oyama Kaiun K.K.: *Myoken Maru.*
09897	Usui Kaikun K.K.: *Miyagi Maru.*
09899	Minorca Shipping Co. Ltd.: *Atlantic Queen.*
09900	Majorca Shipping Co., Ltd.: *Atlantic King.*
09901	Tacarigua Marina C.A.: *Tacamar I.*
09902	Tacamar Panamena S.A.: *Tacamar II.*
09905	Flotation Services, Inc.: *BB-12, BB-15, BB-22.*
09906	Ab Borga Sjotransport Oy: *Grim.*
09907	A/S Langenuen: *Langenuen.*
09908	Freight Chartering Co., Ltd.: *Julia II, Carmela I, Wodan, Dominion Pine.*
09916	Ybarra & Compania, S.A.: *Cabo San Vicente, Cabo San Roque.*
09925	Forest Maritime, Inc.: *Aretussa.*
09926	Hanil Shipping Corp.: *Karimata Radja.*
09930	Wisteria Shipping Corp., Inc.: *Unique Wisteria.*
09931	Georgian Bay Shipping Co., Ltd.: *Al-Hilal.*
09932	The Adolph Diefenthal Insurance Trust: *Jasend.*
09939	Barconoya S.A.: *Conbaroya III.*

By the Commission.

FRANCIS C. HURNEY,
Secretary.

[FR Doc.75-6632 Filed 3-12-75;8:45 am]

[Independent Ocean Freight Forwarder License No. 1427]

EMILIO EDUARDO RIZO

Order of Revocation

Emilio Eduardo Rizo, 3811 S.W. 124 Court, Miami, Florida 33175 voluntarily

surrendered his Independent Ocean Freight Forwarder License No. 1427 for revocation.

By virtue of authority vested in me by the Federal Maritime Commission as set forth in Manual of Orders, Commission Order No. 1 (revised) Section 7.04(f) (dated 9/15/73);

It is ordered, That Independent Ocean Freight Forwarder License No. 1427 be and is hereby revoked effective March 3, 1975, without prejudice to reapply for a license at a later date.

It is further ordered, That a copy of this Order be published in the FEDERAL REGISTER and served upon Emilio Eduardo Rizo.

ROBERT S. HOPE,
Managing Director.

[FR Doc.75-6631 Filed 3-12-75;8:45 am]

[General Order 29]

MILITARY SEALIFT PROCUREMENT SYSTEM

RFP–1000, First Cycle Uniform Capacity Utilization Factor

General Order 29, § 549.5(b)(1), states that "at least 30 days prior to the bidding date for any future request for proposal (RFP) cycle, . . . the Commission will establish a uniform capacity utilization factor for each MSC trade route. Carriers will determine cargo unit cost on the basis of such factor or of the actual number of cargo units carried, whichever is greater." The bidding date for RFP–1000, First Cycle is April 2, 1975.

Prior to RFP–900, First Cycle, the Commission decided to use actual utilization and did not determine UCUFs. The first UCUF promulgated by the Commission was to be used in bidding for RFP–900, First Cycle. However, its implementation was stayed by the U.S. Court of Appeals for the D.C. Circuit.[1] The UCUF for RFP–900, Second Cycle was adopted by the Commission on August 28, 1974. However, it was not implemented due to the prior court action.

The UCUFs which are to be established for RFP–1000, First Cycle were computed from cargo statistics obtained from the carriers involved in the Military Sealift Procurement System. This data was based on the carriers' historical[2] performance within each MSC trade route.[3]

Separate utilization factors were computed for containerized and breakbulk cargo. Container data was reported in 20-foot equivalent units (1,280 cu. ft.). Breakbulk utilization was requested in stowed measurement tons.

Where only one RFP carrier had an active U.S. flag service on a particular trade route, the staff believes that it is improper to issue a UCUF on that trade route as it would specifically reveal significant operating data to possible competitors. For these routes, the notation "Use actual utilization" will replace a UCUF number. There were also a number of trade routes where no RFP carriers offered active U.S. flag service and where no RFP cargo was carried. These trade routes are indicated as such in the appendixes.

All percentages computed for purposes of establishing the UCUF have been rounded to the nearest five (5) percent.

Notice is hereby given that pursuant to 46 CFR 549.5(b)(1), the Commission has adopted for RFP–1000, first cycle the UCUFs contained in Appendixes A and B of this notice.

By the Commission March 4, 1975.

FRANCIS C. HURNEY,
Secretary.

APPENDIX A

UNIFORM CAPACITY UTILIZATION FACTOR BY MSC ROUTE INDEX AND ZONE CONTAINER CARRIERS

Trade route/zone:	UCUF Percent
01 A U.S. West Coast to Mid-Pacific Is., Korea, Okinawa, Hong Kong and Taiwan, and Philippines	85
01 B U.S. West Coast to Republic of Vietnam	90
01 C U.S. West Coast to Thailand	90
01 D U.S. West Coast to Pacific Straits and Indonesia	90
01 E U.S. West Coast to Japan	80
04 U.S. East Coast to United Kingdom and Eire	85
05 U.S. East Coast to Continental Europe	85
06 A U.S. East Coast to Western Mediterranean	85
06 B U.S. East Coast to Eastern Mediterranean	85
08 A U.S. East Coast to Mid-Pacific Is., Korea, Okinawa, Hong Kong and Taiwan, and Philippines	90
08 B U.S. East Coast to Republic of Vietnam	90
08 C U.S. East Coast to Thailand	95
08 D U.S. East Coast to Pacific Straits and Indonesia	90
08 E U.S. East Coast to Japan	90
10 A U.S. Gulf Coast to United Kingdom and Eire	85
11 A U.S. Gulf Coast to Continental Europe	85
12 A U.S. Gulf Coast to Western Mediterranean	95
12 B U.S. Gulf Coast to Eastern Mediterranean	(1)
14 A U.S. Gulf Coast to Mid-Pacific Is., Korea, Okinawa, Hong Kong and Taiwan, and Philippines	90
14 B U.S. Gulf Coast to Republic of Vietnam	90
14 C U.S. Gulf Coast to Thailand	90
14 D U.S. Gulf Coast to Pacific Straits and Indonesia	90
14 E U.S. Gulf Coast to Japan	90
23 U.S. West Coast to Continental Europe	85
24 U.S. West Coast to United Kingdom and Eire	85
25 A U.S. West Coast to Western Mediterarnean	(1)
25 B U.S. West Coast to Eastern Mediterranean	(1)
28 A U.S. West Coast to Canal Zone	80
37 U.S. East Coast to Dominican Republic	65
39 A U.S. East Coast to Balboa, Canal Zone	75
39 B U.S. East Coast to Cristobal, Canal Zone	(1)
42 U.S. Gulf Coast to Dominican Republic	(2)
43 A U.S. Gulf Coast to Balboa, Canal Zone	80
43 B U.S. Gulf Coast to Cristobal, Canal Zone	(1)

[1] Use actual utilization.
[2] No active RFP service.

APPENDIX B

UNIFORM CAPACITY UTILIZATION FACTOR BY MSC ROUTE INDEX AND ZONE BREAKBULK CARRIERS

Trade route/zone:	UCUF Percent
01 A U.S. West Coast to Hong Kong, Korea, Mid-Pacific Islands, Philippines, Okinawa, and Taiwan	70
01 B U.S. West Coast to Republic of Vietnam	75
01 C U.S. West Coast to Thailand and Cambodia	(1)
01 D U.S. West Coast to Pacific Straits and Indonesia	(1)
01 E U.S. West Coast to Japan	75
04 U.S. East Coast to United Kingdom and Eire	(2)
05 U.S. East Coast to Continental Europe	(2)
06 A U.S. East Coast to Western Mediterranean	45
06 B U.S. East Coast to Eastern Mediterranean	45
07 A U.S. East Coast to Aqaba, Red Sea, Arabian Gulf Range	70
07 B U.S. East Coast to Pakistan, India, Burma Range	65
08 A U.S. East Coast to Hong Kong, Korea, Mid-Pacific Islands, Philippines, Okinawa, Taiwan	75
08 B U.S. East Coast to Republic of Vietnam	(1)
08 C U.S. East Coast to Thailand and Cambodia	(1)
08 D U.S. East Coast to Pacific Straits and Indonesia	(2)
08 E U.S. East Coast to Japan	75
10 A U.S. Gulf Coast to United Kingdom and Eire	(1)
11 A U.S. Gulf Coast to Continental Europe	85
12 A U.S. Gulf Coast to Western Mediterranean	(1)
12 B U.S. Gulf Coast to Eastern Mediterranean	(1)
13 A U.S. Gulf Coast to Aqaba, Red Sea, Arabian Gulf Range	(1)
13 B U.S. Gulf Coast to Pakistan, India, Burma Range	70
14 A U.S. Gulf Coast to Hong Kong, Korea, Mid-Pacific Islands, Philippines, Okinawa, Taiwan	90
14 B U.S. Gulf Coast to Republic of Vietnam	90
14 C U.S. Gulf Coast to Thailand and Cambodia	95
14 D U.S. Gulf Coast to Pacific Straits and Indonesia	(1)
14 E U.S. Gulf Coast to Japan	90
25 A U.S. West Coast to Western Mediterranean	(2)
25 B U.S. West Coast to Eastern Mediterranean	(2)
28 A U.S. West Coast to Balboa, Canal Zone	(1)
37 U.S. East Coast to Dominican Republic	(1)
39 A U.S. East Coast to Balboa, Canal Zone	(1)
39 B U.S. East Coast to Cristobal, Canal Zone	(1)

[1] Sea-Land Service, Inc. vs. Federal Maritime Commission and the United States of America (D.C. Cir. Docket No. 73-2014).
[2] January 1, 1974 to December 31, 1974.
[3] Exclusive of Interport Routes (e.g., Hawaii to Japan).

Trade route/zone:	UCUF Percent
42 U.S. Gulf Coast to Dominican Republic	(1)
43 A U.S. Gulf Coast to Balboa, Canal Zone	(1)
43 B U.S. Gulf Coast to Cristobal, Canal Zone	(1)
47 A U.S. West Coast to Aqaba, Red Sea, Arabian Gulf Range	(2)
47 B U.S. West Coast to Pakistan, India, Burma Range	(2)

[1] Use actual utilization.
[2] No active RFP service.

[FR Doc.75-6438 Filed 3-12-75;8:45 am]

CERTIFICATES OF FINANCIAL RESPONSIBILITY (OIL POLLUTION)

Notice of Certificates Revoked

Notice of voluntary revocation is hereby given with respect to Certificates of Financial Responsibility (Oil Pollution) which had been issued by the Federal Maritime Commission, covering the below indicated vessels, pursuant to 46 CFR Part 542 and section 311(p)(1) of the Federal Water Pollution Control Act, as amended.

Certificate No.	Owner/operator and vessels
01015	A/S Rederiet Odfjell: *Liana.*
01062	Mayfair Tankers Ltd.: *Mayfair Splendour.*
01120	Transoceanic Shipping Corp.: *Massachusetts Getty, Maryland Getty, George F. Getty, Pennsylvania Getty, Virginia Getty.*
01121	Getty Tankers Ltd.: *Veedol.*
01124	Gettymar Corporation: *Alaska Getty, Sarah Getty.*
01151	Overseas Tankship Corp.: *Chevron Antwerp.*
01271	Scheepvaart Maatschappij "Trans Ocean" B.V.: *Katsedyk.*
01428	Ocean Transport & Trading Ltd.: *Degema, Dixcove, Fian, Ebani, Dunkwa, Dalia, Bellerophon, Autolycus.*
01435	Chapman & Willian Ltd.: *Carlton.*
01466	Common Brothers (Management) Ltd.: *Doris.*
01501	Orient Bulk Carriers Ltd.: *Verdala.*
01557	Knut Knutsen O.A.S.: *Astrid Bakke.*
01605	D'Amico Societa di Navigazione S.P.A.: *Giovannella D'Amico Chemist.*
01641	The Bank Line Ltd.: *Dartbank.*
01660	Arias Compania S.A.: *Aristeides.*
01753	Oceanica Central Navegacion S.A. Panama: *Aristofilos.*
01761	Union Steam Ship Co. of New Zealand Ltd.: *Waimea.*
01839	Keystone Tankship Corp.: *Catawba Ford.*
01861	BP Tankers Co., Ltd.: *British Ambassador.*
01905	Ben Line Steamers Ltd.: *Benhiant.*
02127	Societe D'Armement et de Navegation Charles Schiaffino et cie.: *Notre Dame D'Afrique.*
02162	Dwyer Oil Transport Co. Inc.: *Russel 104.*
02167	Sartori & Berger: *Cap Sidero.*
02256	Sigurd Haavik A/S: *Bonzo.*
02260	Garibaldi Soc. Cooperativa di Navigazione a Responsabilita Limitata: *Spica.*
02285	Atlan Lines, S.A.: *Atlan Diamante, Atlan Esmeralda.*
02297	Navitank, S.A.: *Playa de Riazor.*
02330	Oriental Shipping Corp.: *Golden Light.*
02332	Lykes Bros. S/S Co., Inc.: *Elizabeth Lykes.*
02458	The China Navigation Co. Ltd.: *Wanlin.*
02551	Ellerman Lines Ltd.: *Rapallo.*
02783	Cardinal Shipping Co., S.A.: *Melodic.*
02846	Heritage Navigation Co., Ltd.: *Yasaka.*
02963	Nippon Kisen Kabushiki Kaisha: *Shun-Etsu Maru.*
02975	Venture Shipping (Managers) Ltd.: *M. O. Logger.*
03058	Amoco Oil Co.: *Amoco 6, Amoco 5, Amoco 3.*
03139	Offshore Marine Ltd.: *Tropic Shore.*
03314	Gulf Oil Corp.: *Paratex.*
03413	Baba-Daiko Shosen K.K.: *Bengal Maru, Akashisan Maru.*
03469	Nihon Kaisho Kabushiki Kaisha: *Awajisan Maru.*
03482	Ryutsu Kaiun Kabushiki Kaisha: *Ryuscimaru.*
03501	Osaka Shosen Mitsui Senpaku K.K.: *Seattle Maru, Fujisan Maru.*
03514	Terukuni Kaiun K.K.: *Ise Maru.*
03544	Hernesa Shipping Co. A/S: *Nordic Rover.*
03603	Tank Barge 32, Inc.: *Barge Homer.*
03623	Smith-Rice Derrick Barges, Inc.: *Barge No. 16, Smith-Rice No. 5, Derrick Barge No. 17.*
03631	Seatrain Lines, Inc.: *Seatrain Louisiana.*
03714	Pennzoil Co.: *Duval 3, Duval 1, Duval 2.*
03733	Great Lakes Dredge & Dock Co.: *Chicago.*
03736	Bethlehem Steel Corp.: *Seamar.*
03917	Mobil Shipping Co. Ltd.: *Mobil Pinnacle, Satucket.*
03971	Korea Shipping Corp., Ltd.: *Che-Ju.*
03972	Chimo Shipping Ltd.: *George Crosbie.*
04019	Nord-Transport Strandheim & Stensaker: *Hansa.*
04037	C. F. Bean Inc.: *Bean No. 19, Bean No. 24, Jim Bean, Buster Bean, Lenel Bean, OB 653, Tide Mar XXI, Bean No. 18, C. F. Bean, C. W. Bean, Bean No. 4, Bean No. 5.*
04178	Canada Steamship Lines Ltd.: *French River.*
04280	Berwind Lines Inc.: *St. Croix, Crown Bay.*
04283	Gulf of Georgia Towing Co. Ltd.: *Pulpwood II.*
04564	Yamashita-Shinnihon Kisen Kaisha: *Igaharu Maru.*
04623	Seaspan International Ltd.: *Seaspan 910.*
04634	T. Smith & Son, Inc.: *Sharon, Terence, Mickey, Patricia, Mammoth, William, Penny, Bob, SCB 3.*
04635	Crescent Towing & Salvage Co., Inc.: *Humrick.*
04826	Ithaca Star Shipping, Ltd.: *Sakura.*
04943	Academy Tankers, Inc.: *Thomas A.*
05611	Marine Drilling Co.: *Cee Bee 16.*
05754	A. E. Sorensen A/S: *Charlotte S.*
05916	Messrs. "Catalana Maritima S.A.": *Tintore.*
06964	TMT Trailer Ferry, Inc.: *Isla Grande.*
06129	Azov Shipping Co.: *Urgentsh, Ugleuralsk, Ustilug, Usolye, Uryupinsk, Urshum, Uriak, Ustyushna.*
06173	Alaska Barite Co.: *S/B Bolivar SI.*
06429	Ta Cheng Marine Co. Ltd.: *Fu Jen.*
06596	Iseei Kisen K.K.: *Toyo Maru, Yutai Maru.*
06682	Wah Mow Shipping Agencies Ltd.: *Hop Chong, Sun Chong, Hing Chong.*
06685	Poly Shipping Co.: *Polydora.*
06853	Shipping Co. Knud I. Larsen: *Hans Sif, Dorrit Lea, Gudrun Kansas, Margrethe Sandsco.*
06877	Societe Francaise de Transports Maritimes Paris: *Penchateau Pengall.*
06948	Berard Brothers, Inc.: *BB-22, BB-12, BB-15.*
07019	Allied Shipping International Corp.: *Golden Robin.*
07276	Anglo-Pacific Line Ltd.: *Blak.*
07528	Ocean Spirit Navigation, Inc.: *Theobill.*
07778	Sea Drilling Corp.: *Spirit of Webb.*
07817	Yick Fung Shipping and Enterprises Co. Ltd.: *Atlantic Ocean, Weddell Sea.*
07884	P/R Pepnautica V: *Pep Marine.*
07955	Sadao Miyamoto: *Seishomaru No. 7.*
08064	Santa Fe-Pomeroy Marine Services Co.: *Mohawk.*
08068	Capella Navigation Corp.: *Warren.*
08148	Domara Shipping Co., Liberia: *Stamoleon.*
08580	Astro Radiante Armadora S.A. Panama: *Aristalos.*
08624	Edgewater Shipping, Inc.: *Marine Hope.*
08778	Hercules Inc.: *Herpro I, Herpro II.*
09001	Nitto Senpaku Kabushiki Kaisha: *Koa Maru.*
09080	Surrey Shipping Co., Ltd.: *Star Kestrel.*
09099	Mundial Transmares S.A. Panama: *Aristotelis.*
09211	Crowley Maritime Corp.: *408, 409, 410, 411.*
09275	Odfjell (Overseas) Liberia: *Sulfo.*
09293	A/S Britannia: *Britannia.*
09332	Sea Trader, Ltd.: *Sea Trader.*
09361	OBC Lines Ltd.: *Shaan-E-Raza.*
09547	J.A.R. Barge Lines: *MRBL-88, MRBL-24, MRT-110.*
09671	I/S 422: *Stove Caledonia.*
09705	Transmar S.A.: *Stratus.*

By The Commission:

FRANCIS C. HURNEY,
Secretary.

[FR Doc.75-6446 Filed 3-12-75;8:45 am]

FEDERAL POWER COMMISSION

[Docket No. RI75-40]

MOBIL OIL CORP.

Order Setting Date for Hearing

FEBRUARY 28, 1975.

On September 30, 1974, Mobil Oil Corporation (Mobil) filed a petition for special relief, pursuant to § 2.76[1] of the Commission's General Policy and Interpretations, from the applicable area rate ceiling set in Opinion No. 586, Area Rate Proceeding, et al., Hugoton-Anadarko Area, Docket No. AR64-1, et al. Specifically, Mobil requests relief in the form of an increase in rate from 13.5 cents per Mcf at 14.65 psia to 35 cents per Mcf at 14.65 psia with an annual 1 cent per Mcf escalation for sales of natural gas produced from the Livingston Gas Unit and Texaco-Kincheloe Unit, Bradshaw Field,

Hamilton County, Kansas to the purchaser Kansas-Nebraska Natural Gas Company, Inc. (Kansas-Nebraska).

Mobil owns a 12.23 percent working interest in the Livingston Unit which is operated by LVO Corporation (LVO) and a 12.49065 percent working interest in the Texaco-Kincheloe Unit which is operated by Texaco Inc. Mobil avers that the rate increase is necessary in order to provide it with sufficient income to cover the expenses and capital improvements required for the continued and future operations of those properties. These sales are to be made pursuant to a July 31, 1974, contract amendment to Mobil's April 1, 1963, base contract with Kansas-Nebraska (FPC Gas Rate Schedule No. 340, Supplement No. 8).

Notice of Mobil's petition for special relief was issued October 18, 1974 and published in the FEDERAL REGISTER on October 24, 1974 (39 FR 37818). No petitions to intervene or protests were filed.

The producer applicant under section 2.76 is required to establish the "economic justification" for its request which includes not only opinion evidence on the cost of the project and gas supply issues but also sufficient underlying data so that the reasonableness and credibility of the opinion evidence can be weighed by application of traditional evidentiary standards.

In addition to certain project cost and gas supply data submitted by Mobil, it has incorporated as further support for its petition direct testimony and evidence filed by LVO Corporation in Docket No. CI74-19 pertaining to production operations and economic factors relating to the sale of gas by LVO in the Bradshaw Field.

An examination of the petition and the data in support thereof raises a question of whether there is sufficient basis for this Commission to find that the proposed rate is just and reasonable. Therefore, we deem it necessary that a hearing be held in this matter to determine what relief, if any, should be granted.

The Commission finds. It is necessary and in the public interest that the above-docketed proceeding be set for hearing.

The Commission orders. (A) Pursuant to the authority of the Natural Gas Act, particularly sections 4, 5, 14, and 16 thereof, the Commission's rules of practice and procedure, and the regulations under the Natural Gas Act (18 CFR, Chapter I), Docket No. RI75-40 is set for the purpose of hearing and disposition.

(B) A public hearing on the issues presented by the application herein shall be held commencing on April 10, 1975 at 10 a.m. (e.d.t.) in a hearing room of the Federal Power Commission, 825 North Capitol Street NE., Washington, D.C. 20426.

(C) A Presiding Administrative Law Judge to be designated by the Chief Administrative Law Judge for that purpose (See Delegation of Authority, 18 CFR (3.5(d)), shall preside at the hearing in this proceeding pursuant to the Commission's rules of practice and procedure.

(D) Mobil Oil Corporation shall file their direct testimony and evidence on or before March 14, 1975. All testimony and evidence shall be served upon the Presiding Administrative Law Judge, the Commission Staff, and all parties to this proceeding.

(E) The Commission Staff, shall file their direct testimony and evidence on or before March 28, 1975. All testimony and evidence shall be served upon the Presiding Administrative Law Judge, and all other parties to this proceeding.

(F) All rebuttal testimony and evidence shall be served on or before April 4, 1975. All parties submitting rebuttal testimony and evidence shall serve such testimony upon the Presiding Administrative Law Judge, the Commission Staff, and all other parties to the proceeding.

By the Commission.

[SEAL] KENNETH F. PLUMB, *Secretary.*

[FR Doc.75-6529 Filed 3-12-75;8:45 am]

[Docket No. E-8514]

SOUTHERN SERVICES, INC.

Notice of Further Extension of Time

MARCH 6, 1975.

On February 26, 1975, the Power Section of the Georgia Municipal Association and the Cities of Acworth, et al., and the Water, Light and Sinking Fund Commission of the City of Dalton, Georgia jointly filed a motion to extend the procedural dates fixed by order issued May 8, 1974, as most recently modified by notice issued February 7, 1975, in the above-designated matter. The motion states that the parties have been notified and have no objection.

Upon consideration, notice is hereby given that the procedural dates in the above matter are modified as follows:

Service of Intervenor's Testimony, May 2, 1975.
Service of Staff's Testimony, May 23, 1975.
Service of Company Rebuttal, May 30, 1975.
Hearing, June 10, 1975 (10 a.m. e.d.t.).

By direction of the Commission.

KENNETH F. PLUMB, *Secretary.*

[FR Doc.75-6535 Filed 3-12-75;8:45 am]

[Project No. 382]

SOUTHERN CALIFORNIA EDISON CO.

Issuance of Annual License

MARCH 6, 1975.

On February 4, 1972, Southern California Edison Company, Licensee for Borel Project No. 382, located on the North Fork of the Kern River, Kern County, California, filed an application for a new license under section 15 of the Federal Power Act and Commission Regulations thereunder (§§ 16.1-16.6).

The license for Project No. 382 was issued effective February 28, 1925 for a period ending February 27, 1975. In order to authorize the continued operation and maintenance of the Project pursuant to section 15 of the act, pending Commission action on Licensee's application, it is appropriate and in the public interest to issue an annual license to Southern California Edison Company for continued operation and maintenance of Borel Project No. 382.

Take notice that an annual license is issued to Southern California Edison Company (Licensee) under section 15 of the Federal Power Act for the period February 28, 1975 to February 27, 1976, or until Federal takeover, or the issuance of a new license for the project, whichever comes first, for the continued operation and maintenance of Borel Project No. 382 subject to the terms and conditions of its present license.

KENNETH F. PLUMB, *Secretary.*

[FR Doc.75-6537 Filed 3-12-75;8:45 am]

[Docket No. RP72-121; PGA 75-5A]

SOUTHWEST GAS CORP.

Notice of Filing of Tariff Sheet

MARCH 5, 1975.

Take notice that on February 20, 1975, Southwest Gas Corporation (Southwest) tendered for filing Ninth Revised Sheet No. 3A constituting Original PGA-1, to be substituted for Ninth Revised Sheet No. 3A, included under Tab C of the filing by letter dated February 12, 1975.

Southwest states that it discovered that the current advancement to rates to become effective April 1, 1975, had inadvertently been overstated by .013 cents per therm. The allocation to the FPC Jurisdictional volumes was done omitting the Northern California deliveries and that the Current Adjustment to rates should be 2.231 cents per therm in place of 2.244 cents per therm as originally filed.

Southwest requests that the Commission permit the correction noted therein and allow the substitute tariff sheet to become effective on April 1, 1975.

Southwest states that the tariff sheet and transmittal letter are being posted in accordance with Section 154.16 of the Commission's Rules and Regulations.

Any person desiring to be heard or to protest said filing should file a petition to intervene or protest with the Federal Power Commission, 825 North Capitol Street, NE, Washington D.C. 20426, in accordance with §§ 1.8 and 1.10 of the Commission's rules of practice and procedure (18 CFR 1.8, 1.10). All such petitions or protests should be filed on or before March 19, 1975. Protests will be considered by the Commission in determining the appropriate action to be taken, but will not serve to make protestants parties to the proceeding. Any person wishing to become a party must file

[1] Order promulgating policy with respect to sales where reduced pressures, need for reconditioning, deeper drilling, or other factors make further production uneconomical at existing prices, Order No. 481, Docket No. R-458, 49 FPC 992 (issued April 12, 1973), 18 CFR 2.76.

a petition to intervene. Copies of this filing are on file with the Commission and are available for public inspection.

KENNETH F. PLUMB,
Secretary.

[FR Doc.75-6538 Filed 3-12-75;8:45 am]

[Docket No. RI75-6]

SUN OIL CO.

Order Setting Date for Hearing

FEBRUARY 28, 1975.

On July 2, 1974, Sun Oil Company (Sun) filed a petition for special relief pursuant to § 2.76 of the Commission's General policy and interpretations [1] from the applicable area rate ceiling set in Opinion No. 586.[2] Specifically, Sun requests relief in the form of a rate increase from 13.5 cents per Mcf at 14.65 psia to 35.0 cents per Mcf at 14.65 psia with an annual 1 cent per Mcf escalation for sales of natural gas produced from eight wells in the Bradshaw Field, Hamilton County, Kansas, to Kansas-Nebraska Natural Gas Company, Inc. (Kansas-Nebraska), under Sun's FPC Gas Rate Schedule No. 419. Sun avers that the rate increase is necessary in order to provide it with sufficient income to cover the expenses and capital improvements required for the continued and future operation of those properties.

Notice of Sun's petition for special relief was issued July 22, 1974, and published in the FEDERAL REGISTER on July 29, 1974, (39 FR 27513). No petitions to intervene or protests were filed.

Sun owns an interest in one of the above-mentioned eight wells designated Kincheloe B, in common with an interest of Texaco Inc. It owns its interest in the other seven wells in common with interests of various other parties. LVO Corporation (LVO) owns approximately a 52 percent average interest in these seven wells as against Sun's approximate 35 percent average interest.

The producer applicant under § 2.76 is required to establish the "economic justification" for its request which includes not only opinion evidence on the cost of the project and gas supply issues but also sufficient underlying data so that the reasonableness and credibility of the opinion evidence can be weighed by application of traditional evidentiary standards.

Petitioner filed no evidence in support of its petition relating to its interest in the well designated Kincheloe B, as required by § 2.76. However, with regard to its interest in the seven Sun-LVO wells, Sun relies in part on a showing made in a previous proceeding on a petition for (abandonment or, in the alternative) special relief filed by LVO in Docket No. CI74-19 concerning LVO's interest in a group of wells in the Bradshaw Field, 80 in number, which included the seven Sun-LVO wells.

The Commission's Staff requested and received of Sun additional data so that its petition could be judged separately on its own economics. An examination of the petition and the data in support thereof raises a question of whether there is sufficient basis for this Commission to find that the proposed rate is just and reasonable. Therefore, we deem it necessary that a hearing be held in this matter to determine what relief with regard to Sun's petition, if any, should be granted.

The Commission finds: It is necessary and in the public interest that this proceeding be set for hearing.

The Commission orders: (A) Pursuant to the authority of the Natural Gas Act, particularly Sections 4, 5, 14, and 16 thereof, the Commission's Rules of Practice and Procedure, and the regulations under the Natural Gas Act (18 CFR Chapter I), Docket No. RI75-6 is set for the purpose of hearing and disposition insofar as it relates to Sun's interest in the wells included in the petition.

(B) A public hearing on the issues presented by the petition shall be held commencing on April 22, 1975, at 10 a.m. (e.d.t.) in a hearing room of the Federal Power Commission, 825 North Capitol Street, NE, Washington, D.C. 20426.

(C) A Presiding Administrative Law Judge to be designated by the Chief Administrative Law Judge for that purpose (See Delegation of Authority, 18 CFR 3.5(d)), shall preside at the hearing in this proceeding pursuant to the Commission's rules of practice and procedure.

(D) Sun Oil Company shall file its direct testimony and evidence on or before March 25, 1975. All testimony and evidence shall be served upon the Presiding Administrative Law Judge, the Commission Staff, and all parties to this proceeding.

(E) The Commission Staff shall file their direct testimony and evidence on or before April 9, 1975. All testimony and evidence shall be served upon the Presiding Administrative Law Judge, and all other parties to this proceeding.

(F) All rebuttal testimony and evidence shall be served on or before April 16, 1975. All parties submitting rebuttal testimony and evidence shall serve such testimony and evidence upon the Presiding Administrative Law Judge, the Commission Staff, and all other parties to the proceeding.

By the Commission.

[SEAL] KENNETH F. PLUMB,
Secretary.

[FR Doc.75-6535 Filed 3-12-75;8:45 am]

---

[1] Policy with respect to sales where reduced pressures, need for reconditioning, deeper drilling, or other factors make further production uneconomical at existing prices, Order No. 461, Docket No. R-458, 49 FPC 992 (issued April 12, 1973), as amended, 18 CFR 2.76.

[2] Opinion and order determining just and reasonable rates for natural gas produced in the Hugoton-Anadarko area, Docket No. AR64-1, et al., issued September 18, 1970.

[Docket No. RP75-13]

TENNESSEE GAS PIPELINE CO., A DIVISION OF TENNECO, INC.

Revision to Rate Filing

MARCH 5, 1975.

Take notice that on February 28, 1975, Tennessee Gas Pipeline Company, a Division of Tenneco, Inc. (Tennessee) tendered for filing revised tariff sheets to Ninth Revised Volume No. 1 of its FPC Gas Tariff to be effective March 15, 1975, consisting of the following:

Second Substitute Seventh Revised Sheet Nos. 12A and 12B and Alternate Second Substitute Seventh Revised Sheet Nos. 12A and 12B.

Tennessee states that these tariff sheets replace similar sheets included in its February 12, 1975, filing in this docket. According to Tennessee, the sole purpose of these tariff sheets is to incorporate in that filing the current cost of gas and the Surcharge for Amortizing the Unrecovered Purchased Gas Cost Account which were shown in Tennessee's special PGA filing made pursuant to Opinion Nos. 699-G and 699-H on February 28, 1975. In all other respects, Tennessee states, the February 12, 1975, filing in this docket remains unchanged.

Tennessee states that copies of the filing have been mailed to all of its jurisdictional customers and affected states regulatory commissions.

Any person desiring to be heard or to protest said filing should file a petition to intervene or protest with the Federal Power Commission, 825 North Capitol Street, NE, Washington, D.C. 20426, in accordance with §§ 1.8 and 1.10 of the Commission's rules of practice and procedure (18 CFR 1.8, 1.10). All such petitions or protests should be filed on or before March 20, 1975. Protests will be considered by the Commission in determining the appropriate action to be taken, but will not serve to make protestants parties to the proceeding. Any person wishing to become a party must file a petition to intervene. Copies of this filing are on file with the Commission and are available for public inspection.

KENNETH F. PLUMB,
Secretary.

[FR Doc.75-6539 Filed 3-12-75;8:45 am]

[Docket No. RP73-114]

TENNESSEE GAS PIPELINE CO., A DIVISION OF TENNECO, INC.

Notice of Proposed PGA Filing Pursuant to Opinion Nos. 699-G and 699-H

MARCH 5, 1975.

Take notice that on February 28, 1975, Tennessee Gas Pipeline Company, a Division of Tenneco, Inc. (Tennessee), tendered for filing Substitute Seventh Revised Sheet Nos. 12A and 12B to Ninth Revised Volume No. 1 of its FPC Gas Tariff to be effective on March 1, 1975.

Tennessee states that the sole purpose of these revised tariff sheets is to reflect an increase in its rates of 13.82 cents per Mcf pursuant to Opinion Nos. 699–G and 699–H. Tennessee states that this increase is based solely on increases in its purchased gas cost resulting from producer increases pursuant to Opinion No. 699–H which were filed on or before January 31, 1975.

Tennessee states that copies of the filing have been mailed to all of its jurisdictional customers and affected state regulatory commissions.

Any person desiring to be heard or to protest said filing should file a petition to intervene or protest with the Federal Power Commission, 825 North Capitol Street NE., Washington, D.C. 20426, in accordance with §§ 1.8 and 1.10 of the Commission's Rules of Practice and Procedure (18 CFR 1.8, 1.10). All such petitions or protests should be filed on or before March 20, 1975. Protests will be considered by the Commission in determining the appropriate action to be taken, but will not serve to make protestants parties to the proceeding. Any person wishing to become a party must file a petition to intervene. Copies of this application are on file with the Commission and are available for public inspection.

KENNETH F. PLUMB,
Secretary.

[FR Doc.75-6540 Filed 3-12-75;8:45 am]

[Docket No. RP74-41]

TEXAS EASTERN TRANSMISSION CORP.

Certification of Settlement

MARCH 5, 1975.

Take notice that on January 24, 1975, Presiding Administrative Law Judge Kaplan certified to the Commission a proposed settlement with initial comments thereon in the above-captioned proceeding. The certification states that after the public notice of the proposed settlement is issued, the parties request an opportunity to file reply comments.

Any person desiring to be heard or to protest said filing should file a petition to intervene or protest with the Federal Power Commission, 825 North Capitol Street, NE, Washington, D.C. 20426, in accordance with §§ 1.8 and 1.10 of the Commission's Rules of Practice and Procedure (18 CFR 1.8, 1.10). All such petitions or protests, including reply comments should be filed on or before March 17, 1975. Protests will be considered by the Commission in determining the appropriate action to be taken, but will not serve to make protestants parties to the proceeding. Any person wishing to become a party must file a petition to intervene. Copies of this filing are on file with the Commission and are available for public inspection.

KENNETH F. PLUMB,
Secretary.

[FR Doc.75-6541 Filed 3-12-75;8:45 am]

[Docket No. CP75-228]

TEXAS GAS TRANSMISSION CORP.

Notice of Application

MARCH 4, 1975.

Take notice that on February 10, 1975, Texas Gas Transmission Corporation (Applicant), P.O. Box 1160, Owensboro, Kentucky 42301, filed in Docket No. CP75-228 an application pursuant to section 7(c) of the Natural Gas Act, as implemented by § 157.7(b) of the Regulations thereunder (18 CFR 157.7(b)), for a certificate of public convenience and necessity authorizing the construction during the 12-month period commencing May 30, 1975, and operation of certain natural gas purchase facilities, all as more fully set forth in the application which is on file with the Commission and open to public inspection.

The purpose of this budget-type application is to augment Applicant's ability to act with reasonable dispatch in contracting for supplies of gas from various producing areas and connecting such gas to its pipeline system or to the system of another natural gas company authorized to transport for or exchange with Applicant such gas.

Applicant states that the total cost of the proposed facilities will not exceed $10,000,000, with no single onshore project to exceed $1,500,000 and no single offshore project to exceed $2,500,000. Applicant states that the proposed facilities will be financed from funds on hand.

Any person desiring to be heard or to make any protest with reference to said application should on or before March 20, 1975, file with the Federal Power Commission, Washington, D.C. 20426, a petition to intervene or a protest in accordance with the requirements of the Commission's rules of practice and procedure (18 CFR 1.8 or 1.10) and the Regulations under the Natural Gas Act (18 CFR 157.10). All protests filed with the Commission will be considered by it in determining the appropriate action to be taken but will not serve to make the protestants parties to the proceeding. Any person wishing to become a party to a proceeding or to participate as a party in any hearing therein must file a petition to intervene in accordance with the Commission's Rules.

Take further notice that, pursuant to the authority contained in and subject to the jurisdiction conferred upon the Federal Power Commission by Sections 7 and 15 of the Natural Gas Act and the Commission's Rules of Practice and Procedure, a hearing will be held without further notice before the Commission on this application if no petition to intervene is filed within the time required herein, if the Commission on its own review of the matter finds that a grant of the certificate is required by the public convenience and necessity. If a petition for leave to intervene is timely filed, or if the Commission on its own motion believes that a formal hearing is required, further notice of such hearing will be duly given.

Under the procedure herein provided for, unless otherwise advised, it will be unnecessary for Applicant to appear or be represented at the hearing.

KENNETH F. PLUMB,
Secretary.

[FR Doc.75-6542 Filed 3-12-75;8:45 am]

[Docket Nos. RP73-104, RP74-22, RP74-23, RP74-57, and CP74-314]

EL PASO NATURAL GAS CO.

Tariff Change

MARCH 6, 1975.

Take notice that on February 28, 1975, El Paso Natural Gas Company ("El Paso") filed, pursuant to Part 154 of the Commission's Regulations Under the Natural Gas Act, the following revised tariff sheets, to become effective April 2, 1975:

Original Volume No. 1. Second Substitute Fourteenth Revised Sheet No. 3–B.

Third Revised Volume No. 2. Second Substitute Fourth Revised Sheet No. 1–D.

Original Volume No. 2A. Second Substitute Sixth Revised Sheet No. 1–C.

El Paso states that the rates set forth on the tendered tariff sheets provide for an amortization charge of 1.39¢ per Mcf, to become effective, following a one (1) day suspension, on April 2, 1975, attributable to the increased cost of special overriding royalty payments actually incurred by El Paso during the period July 10, 1974, through November 30, 1974.

By Order On Motion for Authorization to Collect Amortization Charge issued February 24, 1975, in the captioned proceedings, the Commission granted, in part, the motion filed by El Paso on October 30, 1974, respecting the collection of an amortization charge during the period April 1, 1975, through September 30, 1975, necessary to recover the increased cost of special overriding royalties actually incurred during the period June 1, 1974, through November 30, 1974. The subject order, among other things, specified that the approved amortization charge be determined on the basis of the increased special overriding royalty costs actually incurred during the period July 10, 1974, through November 30, 1974.

El Paso states that the computations of the amortization charge have been made on the basis of the increased cost for special overriding royalty payments actually incurred by El Paso during the period July 10, 1974, through November 30, 1974, as directed by the Commission's order issued February 24, 1975, and that said increased cost aggregates $7,882,545. When applied to El Paso's estimated interstate system sales volumes for the six (6) month period ending September 30, 1975, El Paso states that said $7,882,545 in special overriding royalty increased costs results in an amortization charge of 1.39¢ per Mcf. As a part of

the instant tender El Paso furnished detailed computations in support of the proposed amortization charge.

Any person desiring to be heard or to make any protest with reference to said filing should, on or before March 20, 1975, file with the Federal Power Commission, Washington, DC 20426, a petition to intervene or a protest in accordance with the requirements of the Commission's rules of practice and procedure (18 CFR 1.8 or 1.10) and the regulations under the Natural Gas Act (18 CFR 157.10). All protests filed with the Commission will be considered by it in determining the appropriate action to be taken but will not serve to make the protestants parties to the proceeding. Any person wishing to become a party to a proceeding or to participate as a party in any hearing therein must file a petition to intervene in accordance with the Commission's rules. Copies of this filing are on file with the Commission and are available for public inspection.

KENNETH F. PLUMB,
Secretary.

[FR Doc.75-6520 Filed 3-12-75;8:45 am]

[Docket No. E-9290]

PUBLIC SERVICE CO.

Revision of Wholesale Rates

MARCH 4, 1975.

Take notice that on February 26, 1975, Public Service Company of New Hampshire ("Public Service") tendered for filing increased rates to all of its firm wholesale for resale customers: the Towns of Ashland and Wolfeboro, New Hampshire; The New Hampton (New Hampshire) Village Precinct; Exeter & Hampton Electric Company; Concord Electric Company; and New Hampshire Electric Cooperative, Inc.

Public Service states that, based on a 1973 test period, the proposed rates involve an increase of $992,840, or 8.61 percent, above presently effective rates. Public Service states that the proposed rates represent the first step of a two step increase. According to Public Service the proposed rates would produce an overall return of 7.706 percent and a return on equity of 8.94 percent. Public Service requests that the increase be allowed to become effective on March 29, 1975.

Public Service states that the proposed rates are unchanged in basic structure and design from the rates presently in effect. The proposed rates, according to Public Service, involve the following changes in the present level of charges and present fuel adjustment clause:

1. An increase in the demand charge from $2.95 to $3.22 per kilovolt-ampere of billing demand;

2. An increase in the energy charge of 0.73 to 0.91 cents per kilowatt hour;

3. A revision of the fuel adjustment clause to conform with section 35.14 of the Commission's Regulations Under the Federal Power Act as effective January 1, 1975; and

4. An increase in the minimum charge. Public Service states that effective minimum charge is equal to the billing demand charge but not less than $200. The proposed minimum charge is equal to the billing demand but not less than $300. Public Service states that this increase in minimum charge is proposed to bring the charge up to the level presently applicable to the Company's industrial customers served under retail rates and that no resale customers would be affected by the change in the minimum charge.

Any person desiring to be heard or to protest said filing should file a petition to intervene or protest with the Federal Power Commission, 825 North Capitol Street NE., Washington, D.C. 20426, in accordance with §§ 1.8 and 1.10 of the Commission's rules of practice and procedure (18 CFR 1.8, 1.10). All such petitions or protests should be filed on or before March 20, 1975. Protests will be considered by the Commission in determining the appropriate action to be taken, but will not serve to make protestants parties to the proceeding. Any person wishing to become a party must file a petition to intervene. Copies of this filing are on file with the Commission and are available for public inspection.

KENNETH F. PLUMB,
Secretary.

[FR Doc.75-6534 Filed 3-12-75;8:45 am]

[Docket No. E-9279]

PACIFIC POWER AND LIGHT CO.

Application

MARCH 4, 1975.

Take notice that on February 20, 1975, Pacific Power and Light Company (Applicant), filed an application pursuant to Section 204 of the Federal Power Act and Commission Regulations thereunder seeking authority to negotiate with underwriters regarding the proposed issuance and sale of $60 million principal amount of First Mortgage Bonds via negotiated underwriting. Applicant seeks permission to negotiate with underwriters regarding the terms upon which the Securities might be issued in order to determine whether applications for exemption from the competitive bidding requirements of § 34.1a (a), (b), (c) of Commission Regulations under the Federal Power Act should be filed.

Applicant is incorporated under the laws of the State of Maine and is engaged primarily in generating, purchasing, transmitting of electric energy in the State of Oregon, Wyoming, Washington, California, Montana, and Idaho.

Any person desiring to be heard or to make any protest with reference to said application, should on or before March 24, 1975, file petitions or protests with the Federal Power Commission, Washington, D.C. 20426, in accordance with the Commission's rules of practice and procedure (18 CFR 1.8 or 1.10). All protests filed with the Commission will be considered by it in determining the appropriate action to be taken but will not serve to make the protestants parties to the proceeding. Persons wishing to become parties to a proceeding or to participate as a party in any hearing therein must file petitions to intervene in accordance with the Commission's rules. The application is on file with the Commission and available for public inspection.

KENNETH F. PLUMB,
Secretary.

[FR Doc.75-6533 Filed 3-12-75;8:45 am]

[Docket No. CP75-232]

NORTHWEST PIPELINE CORP.

Application

MARCH 4, 1975.

Take notice that on February 12, 1975, Northwest Pipeline Corporation (Applicant), P.O. Box 1526, Salt Lake City, Utah 84110, filed in Docket No. CP75-232 an application pursuant to section 7(c) of the Natural Gas Act for a certificate of public convenience and necessity authorizing the transportation of natural gas for RMNG Gathering Co. (RMNG) and the construction and operation of metering facilities for the delivery and sale of natural gas by RMNG to Applicant, all as more fully set forth in the application, which is on file with the Commission and open to public inspection.

The application states that RMNG, a wholly-owned subsidiary of Rocky Mountain Natural Gas Company (Rocky Mountain), either owns, or has contracted to purchase, or otherwise has available to it a supply of natural gas and that Applicant and RMNG have entered into two agreements each dated November 26, 1974, as amended December 3, 1974, which provide that RMNG will deliver to Applicant volumes of natural gas to be produced from the Bar-X and South Canyon Fields, Mesa and Garfield Counties, Colorado, and that Applicant will receive for transportation 75 percent of the gas and will purchase 25 percent of the gas so delivered by RMNG. The redelivery to RMNG for Rocky Mountain's account will be to Cascade Natural Gas Company (Cascade) at an existing point of interconnection between Applicant's and Cascade's transmission facilities in Rio Blanco County, Colorado.

The volumes of gas to be transported are estimated to be 4,500 Mcf per day and may not exceed the physical capability of Applicant's facilities at the redelivery point after first giving consideration to Applicant's obligation to deliver exchange gas to Cascade. Applicant states that it has the capability of delivering approximately 16,000 Mcf per day through its facilities at the interconnection with Cascade, after giving effect to the maximum volume of 9,000 Mcf per day it is obligated to deliver to Cascade, and that the 4,500 Mcf per day RMNG anticipates having available for transportation represent the 75 percent of projected deliverability from the subject acreage. The additional 2,500 Mcf per day will be available for Applicant to buy.

The application further states that the volumes of natural gas proposed to be transported by Applicant for RMNG is for the ultimate sale by RMNG to Rocky

Mountain for distribution and resale by Rocky Mountain in central Colorado.

Applicant proposes to charge five cents per Mcf for the transportation service and has agreed to purchase the gas from RMNG at 55 cents per Mcf at 14.73 psia until January 1, 1976, plus a 1.0-cent per Mcf gathering charge. The price is subject to Btu adjustment upward and downward from a base of 1,000 Btu per cubic foot and reimbursement for all present taxes and any new taxes or increase in the present production, severance, or similar taxes.

The application requests authority to construct and operate certain measuring facilities in Mesa County, Colorado, for the receipt of volumes of gas by Applicant to be transported for and purchased from RMNG at an estimated cost of $21,592, which will be financed from funds on hand.

Applicant states that the authorization requested in the instant application will provide additional volumes of natural gas which would not otherwise be available to twenty communities served by Rocky Mountain and also will provide additional volumes of natural gas to Applicant for use in meeting its system requirements.

Applicant further states that RMNG has filed an application for a small producer certificate of public convenience and necessity in order to sell the subject gas to Applicant.

Any person desiring to be heard or to make any protest with reference to said application, should on or before March 18, 1975, file with the Federal Power Commission, Washington, D.C. 20426, a petition to intervene or a protest in accordance with the requirements of the Commission's rules of practice and procedure (18 CFR 1.8 or 1.10), and the regulations under the Natural Gas Act (18 CFR 157.10). All protests filed with the Commission will be considered by it in determining the appropriate action to be taken but will not serve to make the protestants parties to the proceeding. Any person wishing to become a party to a proceeding or to participate as a party in any hearing therein must file a petition to intervene in accordance with the Commission's rules.

Take further notice that, pursuant to the authority contained in and subject to the jurisdiction conferred upon the Federal Power Commission by sections 7 and 15 of the Natural Gas Act and the Commission's rules of practice and procedure, a hearing will be held without further notice before the Commission on this application if no petition to intervene is filed within the time required herein, if the Commission on its own review of the matter finds that a grant of the certificate is required by the public convenience and necessity. If a petition for leave to intervene is timely filed, or if the Commission on its own motion believes that a formal hearing is required, further notice of such hearing will be duly given.

Under the procedure herein provided for, unless otherwise advised, it will be unnecessary for applicant to appear or be represented at the hearing.

KENNETH F. PLUMB,
Secretary.

[FR Doc.75-6532 Filed 3-12-75;8:45 am]

[Docket Nos. CP75-111; CP75-112]

NORTHERN NATURAL GAS CO. ET AL.

Withdrawal and Cancellation of Hearing

MARCH 6, 1975.

In the matter of Northern Natural Gas Company; Village of Circle Pines, Minnesota, and Hutchinson Utilities Commission, Applicants (Docket No. CP75-111) v. Northern Natural Gas Company, Respondent (Docket No. CP75-112).

On February 20, 1975, Northern Natural Gas Company filed a withdrawal of its application in the above-designated matter which was set for hearing by order issued January 31, 1975.

Notice is hereby given that pursuant to Section 1.11(d) of the Commission's rules of practice and procedure, the withdrawal of the above application shall become effective March 24, 1975. The hearing schedule for March 11, 1975, in Docket No. CP75-111 is cancelled. The hearing scheduled in Docket No. CP75-112 by order issued January 20, 1975, shall convene as scheduled on March 11, 1975.

KENNETH F. PLUMB,
Secretary.

[FR Doc.75-6531 Filed 3-12-75;8:45 am]

[Docket No. CP68-193, *et al.*]

NORTHERN NATURAL GAS CO.

Filing of Report of Refunds

MARCH 3, 1975.

Take notice that on May 13, 1974, Northern Natural Gas Company (Northern) tendered for filing its report indicating that it had refunded $31,032 to its customers thereby discharging the obligations established by its approved refund plan in this docket.

It further states that the customers had accepted such refunds as satisfying Northern's refund obligations.

Any person desiring to be heard or to protest said filing should file a petition to intervene or protest with the Federal Power Commission, 825 North Capitol Street, NE, Washington, D.C. 20426, in accordance with §§ 1.8 and 1.10 of the Commission's rules of practice and procedure (18 CFR 1.8, 1.10). All such petitions or protests should be filed on or before March 21, 1975. Protests will be considered by the Commission in determining the appropriate action to be taken, but will not serve to make protestants parties to the proceeding. Any person wishing to become a party must file a petition to intervene. Copies of this filing are on file with the Commission and are available for public inspection.

KENNETH F. PLUMB,
Secretary.

[FR Doc.75-6530 Filed 3-12-75;8:45 am]

[Docket No. RP72-132]

MISSISSIPPI RIVER TRANSMISSION CORP.

Report on Commitment To Spend Funds on Exploration and Development

MARCH 4, 1975.

Take notice that on February 24, 1975, Mississippi River Transmission Corporation (MRT) tendered for filing a report on a commitment which MRT made in a previous docket as to funds expended for exploration and development of new gas reserves. The February 24, 1975, filing states that in the Settlement Agreement in Docket No. RP72-132, MRT agreed to expend $1,600,000 during the period January 1, 1973 through December 31, 1976 for exploration and development of new gas reserves.

The February 24, 1975, filing states that of the $3,117,085 spent by MRT in 1974 for exploration and development of new gas reserves, the amount of $419,059 will apply toward MRT's commitment. Furthermore, MRT states that counting such amount together with the amount applied toward MRT's commitment in 1973, the total amount applied toward MRT's commitment as of December 31, 1974, is $1,091,535.

Any person desiring to be heard or to protest said filing should file a petition to intervene or protest with the Federal Power Commission, 825 North Capitol Street, NE, Washington, D.C. 20426, in accordance with §§ 1.8 and 1.10 of the Commission's rules of practice and procedure (18 CFR 1.8, 1.10). All such petitions or protests should be filed on or before March 21, 1975. Protests will be considered by the Commission in determining the appropriate action to be taken, but will not serve to make protestants parties to the proceeding. Any person wishing to become a party must file a petition to intervene. Copies of this filing are on file with the Commission and are available for public inspection.

KENNETH F. PLUMB,
Secretary.

[FR Doc.75-6528 Filed 3-12-75;8:45 am]

[Docket No. RP75-68]

MID LOUISIANA GAS CO.

Proposed Change in FPC Gas Tariff

MARCH 6, 1975.

Take notice that Mid Louisiana Gas Company (Mid Louisiana), on February 26, 1975, tendered for filing as a part of First Revised Volume No. 1 of its FPC Gas Tariff, Fourteenth Revised Sheet No. 3a and First Revised Sheets Nos. 26a, 26b, 26c and 26d.

Mid Louisiana states that the purpose of the filing is to reflect an increase in rates to be effective April 15, 1975. The proposed changes would increase Rate Schedules G-1, SG-1 and I-1 from 48.26 cents per Mcf to 51.71 cents per Mcf based on operations for the twelve month period ended October 31, 1974, as adjusted.

Mid Louisiana states that the principal reasons for the proposed increase are (1) the lower sales resulting from the company's curtailment which became effective September 9, 1974, (2) the cost increases arising from the connection of new sources of supply, at higher costs, to replace declining volumes from existing sources, and (3) increases in employee payroll and benefit program expenses. Mid Louisiana further states that the filing includes an "Agreement as to Rates" containing provisions for rate adjustments if the corporate income tax rate is increased or decreased and a moratorium on general changes in the company's rates until April 1, 1976. According to Mid Louisiana there is also a provision to protect the company from the higher costs it expects if it is successful in attaching new offshore gas supplies.

Copies of the filing have been served on interested customers and state commissions.

Any person desiring to be heard or to protest said application should file a petition to intervene or protest with the Federal Power Commission, 825 North Capitol Street, N.E., Washington, DC. 20426, in accordance with §§ 1.8 and 1.10 of the Commission's rules of practice and procedure (18 CFR 1.8, 1.10). All such petitions or protests should be filed on or before March 18, 1975. Protests will be considered by the Commission in determining the appropriate action to be taken, but will not serve to make protestants parties to the proceeding. Any person wishing to become a party must file a petition to intervene. Copies of this application are on file with the Commission and are available for public inspection.

KENNETH F. PLUMB,
Secretary.

[FR Doc.75-6527 Filed 3-12-75;8:45 am]

[Docket No. CP75-244]

MID LOUISIANA GAS CO. AND UNITED GAS PIPE LINE CO.

Application

MARCH 4, 1975.

Take notice that on February 27, 1975, Mid Louisiana Gas Company (Mid Louisiana), Twenty-first Floor, Lykes Center, 300 Poydras Street, New Orleans, Louisiana 70130, and United Gas Pipe Line Company (United), 1500 Southwest Tower, Houston, Texas 77002, [hereinafter referred to jointly as Applicants] filed in Docket No. CP75-24 an application pursuant to section 7(c) of the Natural Gas Act for a certificate of public convenience and necessity authorizing Applicants to exchange gas at certain additional exchange points pursuant to a letter agreement between them dated February 13, 1975, which further amends the Exchange Agreement between them dated March 26, 1968, all as more fully described in the application, which is on file with the Commission and open to public inspection.

Applicants seek authorization to add new exchange points (i) on the existing field line of United in the Palmetto Bayou Field Area, Terrebonne Parish, Louisiana; (ii) on the existing field line of United in the Biscuit Bayou Field Area, Terrebonne Parish, Louisiana; (iii) on the existing field line of Mid Louisiana in the Holly Ridge Field Area, Tensas Parish, Louisiana; and (iv) at the outlet of the existing Cameron Meadows Plant of Mobil Oil Corporation in Cameron Parish, Louisiana. Applicants do not ask for authority to construct any new facilities.

Applicants state that the new exchange points will permit each company to purchase gas in fields remote from their systems and that issuance of the permanent certificate is required because of each company's emergency need for additional gas supplies.

Any person desiring to be heard or to make any protest with reference to said application should on or before March 27, 1975, file with the Federal Power Commission, Washington, D.C. 20426, a petition to intervene or a protest in accordance with the requirements of the Commission's rules of practice and procedure (18 CFR 1.8 or 1.10) and the Regulations under the Natural Gas Act (18 CFR 157.10). All protests filed with the Commission will be considered by it in determining the appropriate action to be taken but will not serve to make the protestants parties to the proceeding. Any person wishing to become a party to a proceeding or to participate as a party in any hearing therein must file a petition to intervene in accordance with the Commission's rules.

Take further notice that, pursuant to the authority contained in and subject to the jurisdiction conferred upon the Federal Power Commission by sections 7 and 15 of the Natural Gas Act and the Commission's rules of practice and procedure, a hearing will be held without further notice before the Commission on this application if no petition to intervene is filed within the time required herein, if the Commission on its own review of the matter finds that a grant of the certificate is required by the public convenience and necessity. If a petition for leave to intervene is timely filed, or if the Commission on its own motion believes that a formal hearing is required, further notice of such hearing will be duly given.

Under the procedure herein provided for, unless otherwise advised, it will be unnecessary for applicants to appear or be represented at the hearing .

KENNETH F. PLUMB,
Secretary.

[FR Doc.75-6526 Filed 3-12-75;8:45 am]

[Docket No. RP73-23; PGA75-3]

LAWRENCEBURG GAS TRANSMISSION CORP.

Filing of Tariff Sheets

MARCH 4, 1975.

Take notice that on February 27, 1975, Lawrenceburg Gas Transmission Corporation (Lawrenceburg) tendered for filing Ninth Revised Sheet No. 3-A and Ninth Revised Sheet No. 18-B to its FPC Gas Tariff, Original Volume No. 1.

Lawrenceburg states that these sheets are being filed to reflect a change in its cost of gas purchased from Texas Gas Transmission Corporation pursuant to Lawrenceburg's Purchased Gas Adjustment (PGA) Clause in its FPC Gas Tariff, Original Volume No. 1. Lawrenceburg requests an effective date of March 1, 1975, for this filing and requests waiver of the Commission's Regulations to enable this filing to become effective on that date.

Lawrenceburg states that copies of this filing have been mailed to its two wholesale customers and to the interested state commissions.

Any person desiring to be heard or to protest said filing should file a petition to intervene or protest with the Federal Power Commission, 825 North Capitol Street, NE., Washington, DC 20426, in accordance with §§ 1.8 and 1.10 of the Commission's rules of practice and procedure (18 CFR 1.8, 1.10). All such petitions or protests should be filed on or before March 19, 1975. Protests will be considered by the Commission in determining the appropriate action to be taken, but will not serve to make protestants parties to the proceeding. Any person wishing to become a party must file a petition to intervene. Copies of this filing are on file with the Commission and are available for public inspection.

KENNETH F. PLUMB,
Secretary.

[FR Doc.75-6525 Filed 3-12-75;8:45 am]

[Docket No. CI67-1594, etc.]

KERR-McGEE CORPORATION, ET AL.

Further Extension of Time

FEBRUARY 28, 1975.

On February 26, 1975, Kerr-McGee Corporation and Phillips Petroleum Company filed motions to extend the time within which to elect to transfer sums under the overriding royalty provision of the Commission's order issued October 29, 1974, as most recently modified by notice issued January 28, 1975, in the above-designated matter.

Upon consideration, notice is hereby given that the time in which to take the above action is extended to and including March 28, 1975.

KENNETH F. PLUMB,
Secretary.

[FR Doc.75-6524 Filed 3-12-75;8:45 am]

[E-9059]

INDIANAPOLIS POWER & LIGHT CO.

Correction in Fuel Clause

MARCH 6, 1975.

Take notice that on January 16, 1975, Indianapolis Power & Light Company (Company) tendered for filing a correction in its fuel clause which had been filed with the Commission in a letter

dated December 12, 1974. The December 12, 1974 letter was filed in compliance with a Commission letter order dated November 29, 1974, which ordered the Company to file a fuel clause conforming with either Commission Opinion No. 633 or Commission Order No. 517. In the January 16, 1975 tendered filing, the Company also withdraws its request that it be permitted to change from contract rates to a tariff rate. The Company's affected customers are the Morgan County EMC and the Boone County EMC. The new clauses are to be designated:

Supplement No. 1 to Supplement No. 2 to Rate Schedule FPC No. 10 (Morgan County); and Supplement No. 1 to Supplement No. 2 to Rate Schedule FPC No. 11 (Boone County).

Any person desiring to be heard or to protest said filing should file a petition to intervene or protest with the Federal Power Commission, 825 North Capitol Street, NE., Washington, D.C. 20426, in accordance with §§ 1.8 and 1.10 of the Commission's rules of practice and procedure (18 CFR 1.8, 1.10). All such petitions or protests should be filed on or before March 25, 1975. Protests will be considered by the Commission in determining the appropriate action to be taken, but will not serve to make protestants parties to the proceeding. Any person wishing to become a party must file a petition to intervene. Copies of this filing are on file with the Commission and are available for public inspection.

KENNETH F. PLUMB,
Secretary.

[FR Doc.75-6523 Filed 3-12-75;8:45 am]

[Docket No. E-8121]

GULF STATES UTILITIES CO.

Termination

MARCH 4, 1975.

Take notice that Gulf States Utilities Company (GSUC) on January 13, 1975 tendered for filing, which GSUC states is pursuant to and in compliance with paragraph (G) and (H) of FPC Order issued June 14, 1973 in Docket E-8121, a notice of termination of FPC Rate Schedule No. 107 (Robertson Electric Cooperative, Inc.), termination to take effect April 1, 1975.

GSUC states that notice of the proposed cancellation was served upon Robertson Electric Cooperative, Inc.

Any person desiring to be heard or to protest said application should file a petition to intervene or protest with the Federal Power Commission, 825 North Capitol Street NE, Washington, DC 20426, in accordance with §§ 1.8 and 1.10 of the Commission's rules of practice and procedure (18 CFR 1.8, 1.10). All such petitions or protests should be filed on or before March 18, 1975. Protests will be considered by the Commission in determining the appropriate action to be taken, but will not serve to make protestants parties to the proceeding. Any person wishing to become a party must file a petition to intervene. Copies of this application are on file with the Commission and are available for public inspection.

KENNETH F. PLUMB,
Secretary.

[FR Doc.75-6522 Filed 3-12-75;8:45 am]

[Docket No. E-8121]

GULF STATES UTILITIES CO.

Termination

MARCH 4, 1975.

Take notice that Gulf States Utilities Company (GSUC) on January 13, 1975 tendered for filing, which GSUC states is pursuant to and in compliance with paragraphs (G) and (H) of FPC Order issued June 14, 1973 in Docket E-8121, a notice of termination of FPC rate Schedule No. 76 (Mid-South Electric Cooperative, Assn.), termination to take effect on April 1, 1975.

GSUC states that notice of the proposed cancellation was served upon Mid-South Electric Cooperative, Assn.

Any person desiring to be heard or to protest said application should file a petition to intervene or protest with the Federal Power Commission, 825 North Capitol Street, NE., Washington, D.C. 20426, in accordance with §§ 1.8 and 1.10 of the Commission's rules of practice and procedure (18 CFR 1.8, 1.10). All such petitions or protests should be filed on or before March 18, 1975. Protests will be considered by the Commission in determining the appropriate action to be taken, but will not serve to make protestants parties to the proceeding. Any person wishing to become a party must file a petition to intervene. Copies of this application are on file with the Commission and are available for public inspection.

KENNETH F. PLUMB,
Secretary.

[FR Doc.75-6521 Filed 3-12-75;8:45 am]

[Docket Nos. RP72-155, RP74-22, and RP74-57 PGA75-2]

EL PASO NATURAL GAS CO.

Proposed Change in Rate Pursuant to Purchased Gas Cost Adjustments

MARCH 5, 1975.

Take notice that El Paso Natural Gas Co. ("El Paso") on February 24, 1975, tendered for filing a notice of a change in rates for jurisdictional gas service rendered to customers served by its interstate gas system. Such service is rendered under rate schedules affected by and subject to Article 19, Purchased Gas Cost Adjustment Provision ("PGAC"), contained in the General Terms and Conditions applicable to El Paso's FPC Gas Tariff, Original Volume No. 1, Third Revised Volume No. 2 and Original Volume No. 2A, and under rate schedules affected by and subject to the PGAC—Clean High Pressure Gas Provision ("PGAC-CHPG") contained in El Paso's FPC Gas Tariff, Original Volume No. 2A.

El Paso also proposes to modify its PGAC provision to make clear that adjustments made thereunder will reflect increased purchased gas costs associated with change in El Paso's gas purchase patterns as well as changes occurring under its pricing provisions of its purchase gas contracts. El Paso states that such modification is consistent with the Commission's order issued February 6, 1975, at Docket Nos. RP72-155, RP73-104 and RP74-57.

El Paso states the instant notice of change in rates is occasioned solely by, and will compensate El Paso only for, increases in the cost of purchased gas (including gas produced from leases acquired after October 7, 1969) which will become effective on or before March 31, 1975, applied to volumes purchased for the twelve (12) month period ending December 31, 1974.

The annualized increase in purchased gas costs as to the PGAC adjustments applicable to all rate schedules contained in El Paso's Original Volume No. 1 tariff and those special rate schedules contained in El Paso's Third Revised Volume No. 2 and Original Volume No. 2A[1] is $69,214,370 based upon adjusted purchased gas volumes for the twelve (12) month period ending December 31, 1974. When applied to El Paso's interstate system total volumes for the same period, the purchased gas cost increase equates to 5.38¢ per Mcf.

In addition, El Paso has accrued in Account 191, Unrecovered Purchased Gas Cost, $31,520,960 applicable to increases in purchased gas cost through December 31, 1974. Such costs, when applied to El Paso jurisdictional sales volumes for the same period, produce an additional adjustment in rates of 8.87¢ per Mcf to be applied as a surcharge to all rate schedules affected by such PGAC.

El Paso states the current adjustment applicable to those Original Volume No. 2A special rate schedules affected by the PGAC-CHPG is an increase of 8.2325¢ per Mcf. Such current adjustment is comprised of an increase in the weighted average purchased cost of clean, high-pressure gas equating to 6.0903¢ per Mcf and a surcharge adjustment of 2.1422¢ per Mcf resulting from the deferred purchased gas cost balance contained in Account 191 for the period through December 31, 1974, applicable under the PGAC-CHPG. The rate schedules subject to PGAC-CHPG are Rate Schedules FS-3, FS-6, FS-7, FS-10, FS-12, FS-31 and FS-32 contained in El Paso's Original Volume No. 2A tariff and based upon sales volumes under such special rate schedules for the twelve months ended December 31, 1974, said increase of 6.0903¢ per Mcf will produce additional revenues of $93,672 and based upon the gas sales volumes under the special rate schedules

[1] The special rate schedules subject as to this PGAC adjustment are Rate Schedules X-7, X-14, X-25, X-30, and X-32 of El Paso's FPC Gas Tariff, Third Revised Volume No. 2, and Rate Schedules FS-25, FS26, FS27, FS-28, FS-35 and FS45 of El Paso's FPC Gas Tariff, Original Volume No. 2A.

subject to the PGAC–CHPG for the six months period ending December 31, 1974, the surcharge adjustment of 2.1422¢ per Mcf will produce revenues of $14,415 during the six month period subsequent to April 1, 1975.

El Paso also tendered for filing certain alternate tariff sheets providing a further increase of 1.74¢ per Mcf in El Paso's PGAC rate increase as an amortization charge necessary to recoup special overriding royalty costs of some $10,000,000 actually experienced by El Paso during the period June 1, 1974, through December 1, 1974. El Paso proposes that these alternate tariff sheets be made effective on April 1, 1975, in lieu of the respective counterpart sheets, if El Paso's pending motion of October 30, 1974, is timely granted. Such amortization charge is proposed to be effective during the period April 1, 1975, through September 30, 1975.

El Paso has requested waiver of all applicable rules and regulations of the Commission as may be necessary to permit the tendered tariff sheets to become effective on April 1, 1975.

El Paso states copies of the filing and attachments have been served upon all parties of record in Docket Nos. RP72–155, RP74–22 and RP74–57 and, otherwise, upon all affected customers and interested state regulatory commissions.

Any person desiring to be heard or to make any protest with reference to said tariff filing should, on or before March 14, 1975, file with the Federal Power Commission, Washington, D.C. 20426, a petition to intervene or a protest in accordance with the requirements of the Commission's rules of practice and procedure (18 CFR 1.8 or 1.10) and the Regulations Under the Natural Gas Act (18 CFR 157.10). All protests filed with the Commission will be considered by it in determining the appropriate action to be taken but will not serve to make the protestants parties to the proceeding. Any person wishing to become a party to a proceeding or to participate as a party in any hearing therein must file a petition to intervene in accordance with the Commission's rules. Copies of this filing are on file with the Commission and are available for public inspection.

KENNETH F. PLUMB,
Secretary.

[FR Doc.75-6519 Filed 3-12-75;8:45 am]

[Docket No. CP75-239]

EL PASO NATURAL GAS CO.

Motion for Reconsideration, or in the Alternative, Application for a Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity

MARCH 4, 1975.

Take notice that on February 19, 1975, El Paso Natural Gas Company (Applicant), P.O. Box 1492, El Paso, Texas 79978, filed a motion for reconsideration of a Commission order, or in the alternative, an application for a certificate of public convenience and necessity pursuant to section 7(c) of the Natural Gas Act, to permit Applicant to include the Goldsmith Plant delivery point in Ector County, Texas, as part of the authorized transportation arrangement as provided by Rate Schedule FS–29 to Applicant's FPC Gas Tariff, Original Volume No. 2A, all as more fully set forth in Applicant's filing, which is on file with the Commission and open to public inspection.

The motion states that the Commission, by letter order dated September 24, 1974, rejected Applicant's filing of August 19, 1974, of revised tariff sheets stating that the filing provided for a new delivery point, for which certificate authorization had not been granted. It is Applicant's contention that certificate authorization is unnecessary in that the tariff filing was designed to add an additional delivery point to an interstate arrangement authorized in Docket No. CP69–239 which would permit the utilization of intrastate gas volumes as fuel gas in order to facilitate the correction of an unintentional imbalance in gas deliveries between Applicant and Pioneer Natural Gas Company (Pioneer).

Applicant states that the gas will be delivered to Applicant by West Texas Gathering Company (West Texas) for Pioneer's account at an existing fuel line and consumed in its entirety as fuel gas in Applicant's Goldsmith Plant. Applicant further states that the subject intrastate gas supply will be metered through Applicant's fuel meter located within the Goldsmith Plant yard and will not be commingled with interstate gas at any time.

Applicant requests that, if reconsideration of the letter order dated September 24, 1974, as described in the motion is denied, in the alternative, the Commission issue a certificate of public convenience and necessity pursuant to Section 7(c) of the Natural Gas Act to permit Applicant to include the Goldsmith Plant delivery point under its special Rate Schedule FS–29, FPC Gas Tariff, Original Volume No. 2A. No new facilities or services are proposed.

Any person desiring to be heard or to make any protest with reference to said motion or application should on or before March 17, 1975, file with the Federal Power Commission, Washington, D.C. 20426, a petition to intervene or a protest in accordance with the requirements of the Commission's rules of practice and procedure (18 CFR 1.8 or 1.10) and the regulations under the Natural Gas Act (18 CFR 157.10). All protests filed with the Commission will be considered by it in determining the appropriate action to be taken but will not serve to make the protestants parties to the proceeding. Any person wishing to become a party to a proceeding or to participate as a party in any hearing therein must file a petition to intervene in accordance with the Commission's Rules.

Take further notice that, pursuant to the authority contained in and subject to the jurisdiction conferred upon the Federal Power Commission by sections 7 and 15 of the Natural Gas Act and the Commission's rules of practice and procedure, a hearing will be held without further notice before the Commission on this application if no petition to intervene is filed within the time required herein, if the Commission on its own review of the matter finds that a grant of the certificate is required by the public convenience and necessity. If a petition for leave to intervene is timely filed, or if the Commission on its own motion believes that a formal hearing is required, further notice of such hearing will be duly given.

Under the procedure herein provided for, unless otherwise advised, it will be unnecessary for Applicant to appear or be represented at the hearing.

KENNETH F. PLUMB,
Secretary.

[FR Doc.75-6518 Filed 3-12-75;8:45 am]

[Docket No. CI75-489]

CITIES SERVICE OIL CO.

Application

MARCH 6, 1975.

Take notice that on February 14, 1975, Cities Service Oil Company, P.O. Box 300, Tulsa, Oklahoma 74102, filed in Docket No. CI75–489 an application pursuant to section 7(c) of the Natural Gas Act for a certificate of public convenience and necessity authorizing the sale for resale and delivery of natural gas in interstate commerce to Tennessee Gas Pipeline Company, a Division of Tenneco Inc. (Tennessee), from Block 135, Block 110 Field, West Cameron Area, offshore Louisiana, all as more fully set forth in the application, which is on file with the Commission and open to public inspection.

Applicant states that it entered into a gas purchase and sales agreement dated June 21, 1971, with Tennessee covering the sale and purchase of one-half of Applicant's interest in the reserves in Block 135 and also entered into a gas transportation agreement of like date wherein Tennessee agreed to transport the remaining one-half of Applicant's reserves to an onshore point for Applicant's account.

Applicant further states that all reserves committed to the gas purchase and sales agreement have been delivered and all gas deliveries were suspended. Applicant submitted an abandonment application in Docket No. CI75–191. On November 27, 1974, and on January 3, 1975, the Commission issued orders requiring that gas deliveries be resumed. Applicant states that, in view of the foregoing, it has entered into a contract with Tennessee for the continued sale and purchase of the Block 135 gas.

Applicant states that the new contract, dated February 10, 1975, provides for delivery of the one-half of Applicant's reserves in Block 135 that were the subject of the gas transportation agreement of June 21, 1971. The contract states that the Commission has not approved the application of Tennessee in Docket No. CP72–6 pertaining to said transportation

agreement. The contract states further that, if the Commission were to approve the application in Docket No. CP72-6, the reserves dedicated under the February 10, 1975, contract will be considered to be subject to the June 21, 1971, transportation contract.

Applicant proposes to sell approximately 375,000 Mcf per month of gas at 15.025 psia at the national rate prescribed in § 2.56a of the Commission's General Policy and Interpretations (18 CFR 2.576a). Applicant further proposes to charge an offshore platform delivery allowance of 0.51 cent per Mcf, apparently pursuant to § 2.56a(e).

Any person desiring to be heard or to make any protest with reference to said application should on or before March 21, 1975, file with the Federal Power Commission, Washington, D.C. 20426, a petition to intervene or a protest in accordance with the requirements of the Commission's rules of practice and procedure (18 CFR 1.8 or 1.10). All protests filed with the Commission will be considered by it in determining the appropriate action to be taken but will not serve to make the protestants parties to the proceeding. Any person wishing to become a party to a proceeding or to participate as a party in any hearing therein must file a petition to intervene in accordance with the Commission's rules.

Take further notice that, pursuant to the authority contained in and subject to the jurisdiction conferred upon the Federal Power Commission by Sections 7 and 15 of the Natural Gas Act and the Commission's rules of practice and procedure, a hearing will be held without further notice before the Commission on this application if no petition to intervene is filed within the time required herein, if the Commission on its own review of the matter finds that a grant of the certificate is required by the public convenience and necessity. If a petition for leave to intervene is timely filed, or if the Commission on its own motion believes that a formal hearing is required, further notice of such hearing will be duly given.

Under the procedure herein provided for, unless otherwise advised, it will be unnecessary for Applicant to appear or be represented at the hearing.

KENNETH F. PLUMB,
Secretary.

[FR Doc.75-6517 Filed 3-12-75;8:45 am]

[Docket No. CP74-142]

CASCADE NATURAL GAS CORP.

Petition To Amend

MARCH 4, 1975.

Take notice that on February 20, 1975, Cascade Natural Gas Corporation (Petitioner), P.O. Box 24464, Seattle, Washington 98124, filed in Docket No. CP74-142 a petition to amend the order issued in said docket pursuant to section 7(c) of the Natural Gas Act so as to provide for a change in one point of receipt at which Petitioner receives gas from Rocky Mountain Natural Gas Company (Rocky Mountain) for transportation and delivery to Rocky Mountain, all as more fully described in the petition to amend, which is on file with the Commission and open to public inspection.

Petitioner states that the certificate of public convenience and necessity issued by the Commission on April 4, 1974, in the subject docket authorized Petitioner to transport for Rocky Mountain under Petitioner's Rate Schedule T-1 a maximum daily quantity of 9,000 Mcf of gas on a firm basis. This gas was to be received from Rocky Mountain at three points in Rio Blanco County, Colorado, and redelivered to Rocky Mountain at an existing delivery point in Mesa County, Colorado. By the instant petition Petitioner requests the Commission to rescind authorization for one point of receipt in Rio Blanco County, and to grant authorization for one point of receipt in Garfield County, Colorado.

Any person desiring to be heard or to make any protest with reference to said petition to amend should on or before March 19, 1975, file with the Federal Power Commission, Washington, D.C. 20426, a petition to intervene or a protest in accordance with the requirements of the Commission's rules of practice and procedure (18 CFR 1.8 or 1.10) and the regulations under the Natural Gas Act (18 CFR 157.10). All protests filed with the Commission will be considered by it in determining the appropriate action to be taken but will not serve to make the protestants parties to the proceeding. Any person wishing to become a party to a proceeding or to participate as a party in any hearing therein must file a petition to intervene in accordance with the Commission's rules.

KENNETH F. PLUMB,
Secretary.

[FR Doc.75-6516 Filed 3-12-75;8:45 am]

[Docket No. E-8884]

CAROLINA POWER & LIGHT CO.

Filing of Service Agreement

MARCH 4, 1975.

Take notice that Carolina Power & Light Company, (CP & L), on February 24, 1975, tendered for filing a new electric service contract, dated June 1, 1973, establishing a new point of delivery with Pinehurst, Inc., Pinehurst, North Carolina.

The new Service Agreement provides for a temporary point of delivery from Company's 12 KV distribution facilities and a permanent point of delivery from Company's Aberdeen-West East 115 KV transmission line.

In its filing, Carolina Power & Light Company requested that the Service Agreement be made effective as of April 23, 1974, which is the date on which the temporary point of delivery was energized. The permanent point of delivery will be energized in February, 1975.

Copies of the filing were served upon Pinehurst, Inc., Pinehurst, North Carolina.

CP & L also filed a revised Exhibit A for Service Agreements with the Jones-Onslow Electric Membership Corporation and The Pee Dee Electric Membership Corporation.

Any person desiring to be heard or to protest said application should file a petition to intervene or protest with the Federal Power Commission, 825 North Capitol Street, NE., Washington, DC. 20426, in accordance with §§ 1.8 and 1.10 of the Commission's rules of practice and procedure (18 CFR 1.8, 1.10). All such petitions or protests should be filed on or before March 17, 1975. Protests will be considered by the Commission in determining the appropriate action to be taken, but will not serve to make protestants parties to the proceeding. Any person wishing to become a party must file a petition to intervene. Copies of this application are on file with the Commission and are available for public inspection.

KENNETH F. PLUMB,
Secretary.

[FR Doc.75-6515 Filed 3-12-75;8:45 am]

[Docket Nos. E-9280, E-9281, E-9282, E-9283 [1]]

ARIZONA PUBLIC SERVICE CO.

Changes in Rates and Charges

MARCH 6, 1975.

Arizona Public Service Company (APS) on February 21, 1975, tendered for filing increases in rates and charges in the form of billing adjustments based upon provisions of APS' FPC Rate Schedules, as supplemented, as listed below:

[1] These docketed proceedings have not as yet been consolidated for hearing and decision.

Docket No.	Customer	FPC rate schedule	Billing period (1974)	Annual increase
E-9280	Tucson Gas & Electric Co	32	January to June	$2,285
			July to December	24,460
E-9281, E-9283	Citizens Utilities Co	50	January to June	26,713
			July to December	61,405
E-9282	Salt River Project	3	January to June	1,130
			July to December	4,188

Arizona requests that the notice requirement of § 35.11 of the Commission's regulations be waived for this filing and that the current escalations be permitted to become effective at the beginning of each billing month. Arizona states that the reasons for these requests are the impossibility of anticipating an escalation prior to the end of a month and the elimination of multiplicity of monthly filings. APS agrees that the adjusted increases in charges resulting from these

rate change filings shall be subject to refund pending final disposition in Docket Nos. E–8621, et al.

Any person desiring to be heard or to protest said application should file a petition to intervene or protest with the Federal Power Commission, 825 North Capitol Street, NE., Washington, D.C. 20426, in accordance with §§ 1.8 and 1.10 of the Commission's rules of practice and procedure (18 CFR 1.8, 1.10). All such petitions or protests should be filed on or before March 25, 1975. Protests will be considered by the Commission in determining the appropriate action to be taken, but will not serve to make protestants parties to the proceeding. Any person wishing to become a party must file a petition to intervene. Copies of this application are on file with the Commission and are available for public inspection.

KENNETH F. PLUMB,
Secretary.

[FR Doc.75-6514 Filed 3-12-75;8:45 am]

[Docket No. CI75-503]

ANADARKO PRODUCTION CO.

Application

MARCH 6, 1975.

Take notice that on February 20, 1975, Anadarko Production Company (Applicant), P.O. Box 1330, Houston, Texas 77001, filed in Docket No. CI75-503 an application pursuant to section 7(c) of the Natural Gas Act for a certificate of public convenience and necessity authorizing the sale for resale and delivery of natural gas in interstate commerce to Panhandle Eastern Pipe Line Company (Panhandle) from two wells in Morton County, and one well in Stevens County, Kansas, all as more fully set forth in the application which is on file with the Commission and open to public inspection.

Applicant requests authority to sell to Panhandle volumes of gas available from the Low "C" Nos. 4 and 5 Gas Units in Morton County and the Taylor "B" No. 1 Gas Unit in Stevens County for one year at a price of 50.72301 cents per Mcf, adjusted for heat value, within the contemplation of § 2.70 of the Commission's General Policy and Interpretations (18 CFR 2.70). Applicant states that it proposes the subject sale to meet Panhandle's emergency need for gas supplies.

Applicant further states that it will bear the cost of all facilities necessary for the subject sale except gas metering facilities, although Applicant claims to be able to make the subject gas sale to Panhandle without the need for substantial new facilities.

Any person desiring to be heard or to make any protest with reference to said application should on or before March 26, 1975, file with the Federal Power Commission, Washington, D.C. 20426, a petition to intervene or a protest in accordance with the requirements of the Commission's rules of practice and procedure (18 CFR 1.8 or 1.10). All protests filed with the Commission will be considered by it in determining the appropriate action to be taken but will not serve to make the protestants parties to the proceeding. Any person wishing to become a party to a proceeding or to participate as a party in any hearing therein must file a petition to intervene in accordance with the Commission's rules.

Take further notice that, pursuant to the authority contained in and subject to the jurisdiction conferred upon the Federal Power Commission by sections 7 and 15 of the Natural Gas Act and the Commission's rules of practice and procedure, a hearing will be held without further notice before the Commission on this application if no petition to intervene is filed within the time required herein, if the Commission on its own review of the matter finds that a grant of the certificate is required by the public convenience and necessity. If a petition for leave to intervene is timely filed, or if the Commission on its own motion believes that a formal hearing is required, further notice of such hearing will be duly given.

Under the procedure herein provided for, unless otherwise advised, it will be unnecessary for applicant to appear or be represented at the hearing.

KENNETH F. PLUMB,
Secretary.

[FR Doc.75-6513 Filed 3-12-75;8:45 am]

[Docket No. CI75-511]

AMERADA HESS CORP.

Application

MARCH 5, 1975.

Take notice that on February 21, 1975, Amerada Hess Corporation (Applicant), 1200 Milam, 6th Floor, Houston, Texas 77002, filed in Docket No. CI75-511 an application pursuant to section 7(b) of the Natural Gas Act for permission and approval to abandon the sale of natural gas in interstate commerce in the Eunice-Monument Field, Lea County, New Mexico, to Warren Petroleum Company (Warren), all as more fully set forth in the application, which is on file with the Commission and open to public inspection.

Applicant proposes to abandon the percentage-type sale of gas to Warren from one well on the subject acreage because the New Mexico Oil Conservation Commission has reclassified said well as a gas well. Applicant states that as an oil well the casinghead gas therefrom is dedicated to Warren, and as a gas well that gas-well gas is dedicated to Northern Natural Gas Company under Applicant's FPC Gas Rate Schedule No. 30.

Any person desiring to be heard or to make any protest with reference to said application should on or before March 27, 1975, file with the Federal Power Commission, Washington, D.C. 20426, a petition to intervene or a protest in accordance with the requirements of the Commission's rules of practice and procedure (18 CFR 1.8 or 1.10). All protests filed with the Commission will be considered by it in determining the appropriate action to be taken but will not serve to make the protestants parties to the proceeding. Any person wishing to become a party to a proceeding or to participate as a party in any hearing therein must file a petition to intervene in accordance with the Commission's rules.

Take further notice that, pursuant to the authority contained in and subject to the jurisdiction conferred upon the Federal Power Commission by sections 7 and 15 of the Natural Gas Act and the Commission's rules of practice and procedure, a hearing will be held without further notice before the Commission on this application if no petition to intervene is filed within the time required herein, if the Commission on its own review of the matter finds that permission and approval for the proposed abandonment are required by the public convenience and necessity. If a petition for leave to intervene is timely filed, or if the Commission on its own motion believes that a formal hearing is required, further notice of such hearing will be duly given.

Under the procedure herein provided for, unless otherwise advised, it will be unnecessary for Applicant to appear or be represented at the hearing.

KENNETH F. PLUMB,
Secretary.

[FR Doc.75-6512 Filed 3-12-75;8:45 am]

LAND WITHDRAWALS IN OREGON

Order Vacating Land in Project Nos. 683 and 942

MARCH 6, 1975.

The Forest Service, United States Department of Agriculture, has requested that the land withdrawals for Project Nos. 683 and 942 be vacated in their entirety, thereby requiring Commission consideration under section 24 of the Federal Power Act.

The following described lands are withdrawn pursuant to the filing by the Prairie Power Company, on December 12, 1925, of an application for preliminary permit for Project No. 683 for which the Commission gave notice of land withdrawal to the General Land Office (now Bureau of Land Management) by letter dated March 8, 1926:

WILLAMETTE MERIDIAN, OREGON

T. 14 S., R. 34 E.,
Sec. 19, SE¼SE¼;
Sec. 20, N½SW¼, and SW¼SW¼;
Sec. 29, NW¼NW¼;
Sec. 30, N½NE¼, SW¼NE¼, SE¼NW¼, SE¼SW¼, and W½SE¼;
Sec. 31, lots 2, 3, and 4, NW¼NE¼, and E½NW¼.

(Approximately 729 acres.)

The lands lie within the Malheur National Forest and are located along Strawberry Creek, a tributary of the John Day River, near Prairie City, in Grant County, Oregon.

Project No. 683 contemplated development of a small diversion conduit project on Strawberry Creek. A two-year preliminary permit for the project expired on

September 14, 1928, and an application for license was not filed.

On December 1, 1928, Peoples West Coast Hydro-Electric Corporation filed an application for preliminary permit for Project No. 942 which contemplated development of the same site proposed in Project No. 683. Proceedings for Project No. 942 also ended without the filing of an application for license. A notice of land withdrawal was not issued for project No. 942 as the Federal lands involved were included in the notice for Project No. 683.

The drainage area of Strawberry Creek above the formerly proposed powerhouse site is less than 7 square miles. Stream gaging records compiled by the U.S. Geological Survey since October 1930 show that the average runoff of this drainage area is less than 2 cfs per square mile. Development of the site is no longer considered feasible because of the small amount of water available.

The Commission finds. That the subject lands have no significant power value and the withdrawals for Project Nos. 683 and 942 should be vacated in their entirety.

The Commission orders. The withdrawals of the subject lands pursuant to applications for Projects Nos. 683 and 942 are hereby vacated in their entirety.

By the Commission.

[SEAL] KENNETH F. PLUMB, *Secretary.*

[FR Doc.75-6549 Filed 3-12-75;8:45 am]

[Docket No. CP75-233]

TEXAS GAS TRANSMISSION CORP. AND CONSOLIDATED GAS SUPPLY CORP.

Notice of Application

MARCH 4, 1975.

Take notice that on February 14, 1975, Texas Gas Transmission Corporation (Texas Gas), 3800 Frederica Street, Owensboro, Kentucky 42301, and Consolidated Gas Supply Corporation (Consolidated), 445 West Main Street, Clarksburg, West Virginia 26301, filed in Docket No. CP75-233 a joint application pursuant to section 7(c) of the Natural Gas Act for a certificate of public convenience and necessity authorizing the exchange of natural gas, all as more fully set forth in the application, which is on file with the Commission and open to public inspection.

Pursuant to an exchange agreement between the two applicants dated November 6, 1974, Texas Gas will receive up to 5,000 Mcf per day of gas produced by an affiliate of Consolidated from the Choudrant Field, Lincoln Parish, Louisiana, at an existing delivery point at the tailgate of Kerr-McGee Corporation's Calhoun Plant in Ouachita Parish, Louisiana. Equivalent volumes of gas will be redelivered to Consolidated at an existing interconnection between Consolidated's Eagan Gap pipeline and Transcontinental Gas Pipe Line Corporation's Acadia Plant lateral in Acadia Parish, Louisiana. The agreement provides that applicants will use their best efforts to correct annually imbalances in deliveries.

The application states that the gas to be delivered pursuant to the subject agreement will be produced from wells which Consolidated's affiliate, CNG Producing Company (CNG), has recently completed. Thus, the subject exchange gas represents a new supply for Consolidated. The application states that CNG must file an application for authorization to sell the gas to Consolidated.

The application further states that Consolidated requires the gas to alleviate its curtailment problems and that Texas Gas represents that the proposed exchange will not affect service to its customers.

Any person desiring to be heard or to make any protest with reference to said application should on or before March 18, 1975, file with the Federal Power Commission, Washington, D.C. 20426, a petition to intervene or a protest in accordance with the requirements of the Commission's rules of practice and procedure (18 CFR 1.8 or 1.10) and the regulations under the Natural Gas Act (18 CFR 157.10). All protests filed with the Commission will be considered by it in determining the appropriate action to be taken but will not serve to make the protestants parties to the proceedings. Any person wishing to become a party to a proceeding or to participate as a party in any hearing therein must file a petition to intervene in accordance with the Commission's rules.

Take further notice that, pursuant to the authority contained in and subject to the jurisdiction conferred upon the Federal Power Commission by sections 7 and 15 of the Natural Gas Act and the Commission's rules of practice and procedure, a hearing will be held without further notice before the Commission on this application if no petition to intervene is filed within the time required herein, if the Commission on its own review of the matter finds that a grant of the certificate is required by the public convenience and necessity. If a petition for leave to intervene is timely filed, or if the Commission on its own motion believes that a formal hearing is required, further notice of such hearing will be duly given.

Under the procedure herein provided for, unless otherwise advised, it will be unnecessary for Applicants to appear or be represented at the hearing.

KENNETH F. PLUMB, *Secretary.*

[FR Doc.75-6543 Filed 3-12-75;8:45 am]

[Docket No. RP74-52]

TRANSWESTERN PIPELINE CO.

Proposed Changes in FPC Gas Tariff

MARCH 6, 1975.

Take notice that Transwestern Pipeline Company (Transwestern) on February 14, 1975, tendered for filing as part of its FPC Gas Tariff, First Revised Volume No. 1 the following sheets:

Second Revised Sheet No. 3-A.
Second Revised Sheet No. 3-B.
Revised Second Revised Sheet No. 3-A.
Revised Second Revised Sheet No. 3-B.

These sheets are issued pursuant to Transwestern's Purchased Gas Cost Adjustment provision as set forth in section 19 of the General Terms and Conditions of its FPC Gas Tariff, First Revised Volume No. 1. This change in Transwestern's rates reflects a cost of gas adjustment to track increased purchased gas costs and a surcharge adjustment to clear the balance of the Gas Cost Adjustment Account.

Transwestern requests that the Commission accept tariff sheets Revised Second Revised Sheet Nos. 3-A and 3-B to be effective April 1, 1975. However, should the Commission suspend the effectiveness of these sheets one day, Transwestern requests that the Commission accept Second Revised Sheet Nos. 3-A and 3-B to be effective April 1, 1975.

Copies of the filing were served upon the company's jurisdictional customers and the interested state commissions.

Any person desiring to be heard or to protest said filing should file a petition to intervene or protest with the Federal Power Commission, 825 North Capitol Street, NE, Washington, D.C. 20426, in accordance with §§ 1.8 and 1.10 of the Commission's rules of practice and procedure (18 CFR 1.8, 1.10). All such petitions or protests should be filed on or before March 19, 1975. Protests will be considered by the Commission in determining the appropriate action to be taken, but will not serve to make protestants parties to the proceeding. Any person wishing to become a party must file a petition to intervene. Copies of this filing are on file with the Commission and are available for public inspection.

KENNETH F. PLUMB, *Secretary.*

[FR Doc.75-6544 Filed 3-12-75;8:45 am]

[Docket Nos. G-9547 etc.; RP61-18, etc.]

UNITED GAS PIPE LINE CO.

Notice of Filing of Refund Report

MARCH 5, 1975.

Take notice that on November 29, 1974, United Gas Pipe Line Company (United) tendered for filing a report covering accumulated supplier refunds received during the period July 1, 1966 through October 31, 1974. United states that such reports are being filed pursuant to an order issued March 12, 1962, in Docket Nos. G-9547, et al., and pursuant to an order issued December 23, 1964, in Docket Nos. RP61-18, et al. United further states that although those orders require flow-through of the jurisdictional portion of such refunds once accumulations have reached certain levels, the jurisdictional accumulations have not yet reached levels at which United would be required to make refunds.

Any person desiring to be heard or to protest said filing should file a petition to intervene or protest with the Federal Power Commission, 825 North Capitol

Street, NE, Washington, D.C. 20426, in accordance with §§ 1.8 and 1.10 of the Commission's rules of practice and procedure (18 CFR 1.8, 1.10). All such petitions or protests should be filed on or before March 18, 1975. Protests will be considered by the Commission in determining the appropriate action to be taken, but will not serve to make protestants parties to the proceeding. Any person wishing to become a party must file a petition to intervene. Copies of this filing are on file with the Commission and are available for public inspection.

KENNETH F. PLUMB,
Secretary.

[FR Doc.75-6545 Filed 3-12-75;8:45 am]

[Docket No. RP75-30]

UNITED GAS PIPE LINE CO.

Order Granting Late Intervention

MARCH 6, 1975.

On November 4, 1974, the United Gas Pipe Line Company (United) tendered for filing proposed changes in its FPC Gas Tariff, First Revised Volume No. 1 and Original Volume No. 2. United's filing was noticed by the Commission on November 8, 1974, with protests and petitions to intervene due on or before November 25, 1974.

An untimely Notice of Intervention was filed by the Mississippi Public Service Commission.

Having reviewed the above Notice of Intervention, we believe that the petitioner has sufficient interest in the proceedings to warrant intervention.

The Commission finds. It is desirable and in the public interest to allow the above-named petitioner to intervene.

The Commission orders. (A) The above-named petitioner is hereby permitted to intervene in these proceedings subject to the rules and regulations of the Commission; *Provided, however,* That participation of such intervenor shall be limited to matters affecting asserted rights and interests as specifically set forth in the Notice of intervention; and *Provided, further,* That the admission of such intervenor shall not be construed as recognition by the Commission that he might be aggrieved because of any order or orders of the Commission entered in this proceeding.

(B) The intervention granted herein shall not be the basis for delaying or deferring any procedural schedules heretofore established for the orderly and expeditious disposition of this proceeding.

(C) The Secretary shall cause prompt publication of this order in the FEDERAL REGISTER.

By the Commission.

[SEAL] KENNETH F. PLUMB,
Secretary.

[FR Doc.75-6546 Filed 3-12-75;8:45 am]

[Docket No. E-9200]

UPPER PENINSULA POWER CO.

Notice of Filing of Substitute Fuel Clause Adjustment and Request for Lifting of Suspension of Fuel Clause

MARCH 4, 1975.

Take notice that Upper Peninsula Power Company (UPPC), on February 19, 1975, tendered for filing a substitute Fuel Clause Adjustment, which UPPC states was filed in accordance with § 35.14 of the Commission's regulations and pursuant to ordering paragraph (E) of the Commission's order issued January 30, 1975, in the above docket. UPPC states that the substitute Fuel Clause Adjustment provides for losses of a wholesale basis rather than a system basis to conform with Order No. 517.

UPPC states that in accordance with said ordering paragraph (E), UPPC requests that the Commission lift the suspension of the effectiveness of the fuel clause and make it effective on March 2, 1975, without further refund obligation. UPPC states that since the proposed increase in rates has been suspended until March 2, 1975, there have been no increased charges under the proposed new rates or proposed fuel clause adjustment.

UPPC states that copies of this letter and enclosure are being mailed to all of the purchasers on the List of Addressees accompanying the Company's rate increase filing in Docket No. E-9200 and to the Michigan Public Service Commission.

Any person desiring to be heard or to protest said application should file a petition to intervene or protest with the Federal Power Commission, 825 North Capitol Street NE., Washington, D.C. 20426, in accordance with §§ 1.8 and 1.10 of the Commission's rules of practice and procedure (18 CFR 1.8, 1.10). All such petitions or protests should be filed on or before March 14, 1975. Protests will be considered by the Commission in determining the appropriate action to be taken, but will not serve to make protestants parties to the proceeding. Any person wishing to become a party must file a petition to intervene. Copies of this application are on file with the Commission and are available for public inspection.

KENNETH F. PLUMB,
Secretary.

[FR Doc.75-6547 Filed 3-12-75;8:45 am]

[Docket No. E-9288]

UTAH POWER & LIGHT CO.

Notice of Application

MARCH 6, 1975.

Take notice that on February 25, 1975, Utah Power & Light Company (Applicant) filed an application with the Federal Power Commission seeking an order pursuant to section 204 of the Federal Power Act authorizing the issuance and sale of an additional 200,000 shares of Applicant's previously authorized but unissued common stock, par value $12.80 per share.

The new common stock will be issued pursuant to the terms of the Shareholders' Dividend Reinvestment and Stock Purchase Plan (Plan) of Applicant. Under the Plan dividends on common stock payable to participating shareholders will be applied to the purchase of common stock at a price based on the New York Stock Exchange closing price of Applicant's common stock on the dividend payment date.

The proceeds from the sale of the new common stock will be applied to Applicant's construction program.

Any person desiring to be heard or to make any protest with reference to said application should on or before March 21, 1975, file with the Federal Power Commission, Washington, D.C. 20426, petitions to intervene or protests in accordance with the requirements of the Commission's rules of practice and procedure (18 CFR 1.8 or 1.10). All protests filed with the Commission will be considered by it in determining the appropriate action to be taken but will not serve to make the protestants parties to the proceeding. Persons wishing to become parties to a proceeding or to participate as a party in any hearing therein must file petitions to intervene in accordance with the Commission's rules. The application is on file with the Commission and is available for public inspection.

KENNETH F. PLUMB,
Secretary.

[FR Doc.75-6548 Filed 3-12-75;8:45 am]

FEDERAL RESERVE SYSTEM

BARNETT BANKS OF FLORIDA, INC.

Order Approving Acquisition of Bank

Barnett Banks of Florida, Inc., Jacksonville, Florida, a bank holding company within the meaning of the Bank Holding Company Act, has applied for the Board's approval under Section 3(a)(3) of the Act (12 U.S.C. 1842(a)(3)) to acquire 90 percent or more of the voting shares of First Marine Bank of Fort Lauderdale, Fort Lauderdale, Florida ("Bank"), a proposed new bank.

Notice of the application, affording opportunity for interested persons to submit comments and views, has been given in accordance with Section 3(b) of the Act. The time for filing comments and views has expired, and the Board has considered the application and all comments received including those of Southport American National Bank of Fort Lauderdale, Landmark Banking Corporation of Florida, and Southeast Everglades Bank of Fort Lauderdale, all located in Fort Lauderdale, Florida (hereinafter collectively referred to as "Protestants"), in the light of the factors set

forth in Section 3(c) of the Act (12 U.S.C. 1842(c)).

Applicant, the second largest banking organization and bank holding company in Florida, controls 57 banks with aggregate deposits of $1.9 billion, which represent 8.3 percent of total deposits in commercial banks in the State.[1] Since Bank is a proposed new bank, its acquisition by Applicant would not immediately increase Applicant's share of commercial bank deposits in the State.

Bank is to be located in the southeastern portion of the city of Fort Lauderdale, but is separated from the downtown area by the New River. Applicant presently controls four banking subsidiaries in the North Broward County banking market (the relevant market), and ranks as the seventh largest banking organization in the market with 5.5 percent of the deposits.[2] There are 42 other banks competing in the market, 33 of which are subsidiaries of the 11 multibank holding companies in the market. The two largest banking organizations in the market (each of which is a multibank holding company) control approximately 22.6 and 20.8 percent, respectively, of the market's commercial bank deposits; the five largest in the market control about 75 percent of the market's deposits. From the facts of record, it does not appear that Applicant is a dominant competitive factor in the North Broward banking market.

Applicant's closest bank subsidiary, Barnett Bank of Fort Lauderdale ($33.2 million in deposits), is located approximately 2 miles north of the proposed site of Bank, but on the opposite side of the New River. Applicant's three remaining bank subsidiaries in the relevant market are situated between 3.5 and 10.5 miles west of Bank's proposed location. Since Bank is a proposed new bank, consummation of Applicant's proposal would not have any immediate effect on Applicant's share of commercial bank deposits in the relevant banking market, nor does it appear from the record that it would have adverse effects on existing or potential competition in that market. Applicant does not occupy a dominant position in the market, and the Board is of the view that the present proposal would not raise significant barriers to entry for other organizations not presently represented in the market.

As noted above, three banks, each of which is in the proximity of the proposed site of Bank, have objected to the approval of Applicant's proposal. Southport American National Bank ($4.4 million in deposits) is only one-tenth of a mile east of Bank; Southeast Everglades Bank of Fort Lauderdale ($46.6 million in deposits) in seven-tenth of a mile west of Bank; and Ocean First National Bank ($19.8 million in deposits) is 2.6 miles southeast of Bank. The fourth bank in the immediate vicinity of Bank's proposed location is Citizens National Bank, which is located 1.8 miles southwest of Bank. Each of the aforementioned banks is affiliated with one of the nine largest bank holding companies in the State.

In its analysis of this application the Board has considered the objections received from the protesting parties. Generally speaking, Protestants claim that consummation of the proposed transaction would (1) amount to preemption of a market site by Applicant since the economic facts do not justify the establishment of another bank in the area at this time; (2) the viability of Southport American National Bank, the most recently established bank in the service area, would be threatened; and (3) Bank's service area would overlap with the service areas of two of Applicant's existing bank subsidiaries.

Turning to the first contention of the Protestants, the Board notes that Broward County has experienced significant population and economic growth since 1950. Between 1950 and 1970, Broward County was one of the fastest growing counties in the country, the population growing 10.5 percent annually. During the period from 1970 to 1973, the population of Broward County grew an additional 24 percent, an increase that was almost twice that of the State as a whole. While the growth in population is not expected to continue at its previous rate, Broward County's population may exceed 900,000 by 1980. Further, the population per banking office for the market is estimated at 15,200, substantially above the Statewide figure of 12,000. In addition, the record indicates that the Fort Lauderdale area has experienced economic growth in the past. For the period 1968 to 1973, deposits in commercial banks in Broward County increased by 120 percent, while the deposits in the immediate area to be served by Bank grew by 202 percent. While the general economic growth in the Fort Lauderdale area may have slowed recently, it appears that this area as well as Bank's service area will continue to experience strong growth in future years. Accordingly, it is the Board's view that acquisition of Bank is not an attempt by Applicant to preempt a favorable location but reflects a desire to establish a banking outlet capable of serving a developing portion of the Fort Lauderdale area.

With respect to Protestants' second contention, i.e., that the viability of Southport American Bank would be threatened by the establishment of Bank, the Board notes that Southport American Bank has nearly doubled its deposits to $8.4 million (as of June 30, 1974), and its operations are now profitable. The facts of record also indicate that the area's population can support an additional bank. Thus, while Bank will compete with Southport American Bank, it does not appear Bank will significantly hinder the ability of Southport American Bank, which is a subsidiary of a large bank holding company, to grow as a competitive factor in the market.

Turning to Protestants' final contention, there is clearly no overlap in service areas between two of Applicant's subsidiary banks and the service area of Bank. With respect to the other two banking subsidiaries of Applicant in the relevant banking market (Barnett Bank of Fort Lauderdale and Barnett Bank of Riverland) these banks are located on the opposite side of New River to Bank and, in addition, there are several intervening banking alternatives. Thus, it appears unlikely that these banks would derive additional business from Bank's service area. In this case, de novo expansion by an organization not dominant in the market would provide increased competition and result in additional services being provided at a more convenient location.

It is the Board's judgment, after having considered the submissions of Protestants and all other facts of record, that consummation of the proposed acquisition would have no significant adverse effects on existing competition, nor would it foreclose the development of future competition.

The financial condition, management, and prospects of Applicant and its subsidiary banks are regarded as satisfactory. Bank has no operating financial history; however, it will be opened with adequate capital and its prospects appear favorable. Accordingly, considerations relating to the banking factors are consistent with approval. Considerations relating to the convenience and needs of the community to be served lend some weight toward approval since Bank will be capable of offering a full complement of banking services to its customers. It is the Board's judgment that consummation of the proposed acquisition would be in the public interest and that the application should be approved.

On the basis of the record, the application is approved for the reasons summarized above. The transaction shall not be made (a) before the thirtieth calendar day following the effective date of this Order or (b) later than three months after that date, and (c) First Marine Bank of Fort Lauderdale, Fort Lauderdale, Florida, shall be opened for business not later than six months after the effective date of this Order. Each of the periods described in (b) and (c) may be extended for good cause by the Board, or by the Federal Reserve Bank of Atlanta pursuant to delegated authority.

By order of the Board of Governors,[3] effective March 5, 1975.

[SEAL] THEODORE E. ALLISON,
Secretary of the Board.

[FR Doc.75-6551 Filed 3-12-75;8:45 am]

[1] All banking data, unless otherwise indicated, are as of December 31, 1973, and reflect bank holding company formations and acquisitions approved as of January 31, 1975.

[2] All market data are as of June 30, 1973.

[3] Voting for this action: Chairman Burns and Governors Mitchell, Bucher, Holland, Wallich and Coldwell. Absent and not voting: Governor Sheehan.

CAPITAL CITY BANCSHARES, INC.

Order Approving Formation of Bank Holding Company

Capital City Bancshares, Inc., Prairie Village, Kansas ("Applicant"), has applied for the Board's approval under section 3(a)(1) of the Bank Holding Company Act (12 U.S.C. 1842(a)(1)) of formation of a bank holding company through the acquisition of 93 percent or more of the voting shares of Capital City State Bank & Trust Company, Topeka, Kansas ("Bank").

Notice of the application, affording an opportunity for interested persons to submit comments and views, has been given in accordance with section 3(b) of the Act. The time for filing comments has expired, and the application and all comments received have been considered in light of the factors set forth in section 3(c) of the Act (12 U.S.C. 1842(c)).

Applicant, a nonoperating corporation with no subsidiaries, was organized for the purpose of becoming a bank holding company through the acquisition of Bank. Bank (deposits of $11.8 million) is the ninth largest bank of fifteen banks in Shawnee County, the relevant banking market.[1] Upon acquisition of Bank, Applicant would control the 175th largest bank in Kansas, holding an insignificant proportion of total deposits in commercial banks in the State.

The purpose of the transaction is to effect a transfer of the ownership from individuals to a corporation owned by the same individuals. The principals of Applicant also have ownership interests in a one-bank holding company in Kansas. The subsidiary bank of this holding company is located in another banking market and does not compete significantly with Bank. It has been concluded that consummation of the proposal would not have any adverse effect on existing or potential competition, nor would it increase the concentration of banking resources or have an adverse effect on other banks in the relevant market. Thus, competitive considerations are consistent with approval of the application.

The financial and managerial resources and future prospects of Applicant, which are dependent upon those of Bank, are considered satisfactory and consistent with approval of the application. Applicant proposes to service the debt incurred over a 9-year period through dividends of Bank. In light of the recent earnings of Bank and its anticipated growth, the projected earnings of Bank appear to provide Applicant with the necessary financial flexibility to meet its annual debt servicing requirements and to maintain an adequate capital position for Bank. Therefore, considerations relating to banking factors are consistent with approval of the application.

Although consummation of the proposal would effect no changes in the banking services offered by Bank, the considerations relating to the convenience and needs of the community to be served are consistent with approval. It has been determined that the proposed transaction would be in the public interest and that the application should be approved.

The application is hereby approved on this date, provided that the transaction shall not be made (a) before the thirtieth calendar day following this date or (b) later than three months after this date, unless such period is extended for good cause by the Board of Governors or by the Federal Reserve Bank of Kansas City, pursuant to delegated authority.

By order of the Secretary of the Board, acting pursuant to delegated authority from the Board of Governors, effective March 5, 1975.

[SEAL] THEODORE E. ALLISON,
Secretary of the Board.

[FR Doc.75-6552 Filed 3-12-75;8:45 am]

[1] All banking data are as of June 28, 1974.

FIRST NATIONAL CORPORATION OF OAK BROOK

Formation of Bank Holding Company

First National Corporation of Oak Brook, Oak Brook, Illinois, has applied for the Board's approval under section 3(a)(1) of the Bank Holding Company Act (12 U.S.C. 1842(a)(1)) to become a bank holding company through acquisition of 100 percent less directors' qualifying shares of the voting shares of the successor by merger to First National Bank and Trust Company of Oak Brook, Oak Brook, Illinois. The factors that are considered in acting on the application are set forth in section 3(c) of the Act (12 U.S.C. 1842(c)).

The application may be inspected at the office of the Board of Governors or at the Federal Reserve Bank of Chicago. Any person wishing to comment on the application should submit views in writing to the Secretary, Board of Governors of the Federal Reserve System, Washington, D.C. 20551 to be received not later than April 3, 1975.

Board of Governors of the Federal Reserve System, March 3, 1975.

[SEAL] THEODORE E. ALLISON,
Secretary of the Board.

[FR Doc.75-6553 Filed 3-12-75;8:45 am]

MERCANTILE BANCORPORATION, INC.

Order Approving Acquisition of Bank

Mercantile Bancorporation, Inc., St. Louis, Missouri, a bank holding company within the meaning of the Bank Holding Company Act, has applied for the Board's approval under section 3(a)(3) of the Act (12 U.S.C. 1842(a)(3)) to acquire 90 percent or more of the voting shares of Bank of Eldon, Eldon, Missouri ("Bank").

Notice of the application, affording opportunity for interested persons to submit comments and views, has been given in accordance with section 3(b) of the Act. The time for filing comments and views has expired, and the Board has considered the application and all comments received, including those by First National Bank of Linn Creek and by Bank of Lake of the Ozarks, in light of the factors set forth in section 3(c) of the Act (12 U.S.C. 1842(c)).

Applicant, the largest banking organization and bank holding company in Missouri, controls 22 banks[1] with aggregate deposits of about $1.6 billion, representing approximately 10.6 percent of total commercial bank deposits in the State.[2] Acquisition of Bank, with deposits of about $15.7 million, would increase Applicant's share of commercial bank deposits by approximately 0.1 percent and would not result in a significant increase in the concentration of banking resources in Missouri.

Bank is the largest of three banks in the relevant banking market,[3] and controls approximately 48.6 percent of market deposits. The other two banks in the relevant banking market control, respectively, approximately 37 and 14 percent of market deposits. Applicant's nearest subsidiary is located approximately 60 road miles northwest of Eldon. No significant competition exists between Bank and any of Applicant's banks or nonbanking subsidiaries. The relevant banking market does not appear to be particularly attractive for de novo entry due to the low population per banking office ratio. Moreover, Applicant is not dominant in any of the markets surrounding the relevant market. In addition, consummation of the subject proposal would still leave independent the other two banks in the market. On the basis of the record, it appears that consummation of the subject proposal would not have significantly adverse effects upon existing or potential competition.

The financial and managerial resources and future prospects of Applicant, its subsidiaries and Bank are regarded as satisfactory. Thus, banking factors considerations are consistent with approval of the application. Applicant proposes to expand Bank's loans for residential real estate construction. Applicant also intends to increase Bank's investment advisory and computer services and to provide trust services on a referral basis. These considerations relating to the convenience and needs of the community to be served are consistent with, and lend some weight toward, approval of the application. It is the Board's judgment that consummation of the proposed transaction would be in the public interest and that the application should be approved.

On the basis of the record, the application is approved for the reason summarized above. The transactions shall

[1] Including four approved acquisitions not yet consummated but not including an approved de novo bank which has not yet begun operations.

[2] All banking data are as of June 30, 1974, adjusted to reflect holding company acquisitions and formations approved by the Board through December 9, 1974.

[3] The relevant banking market is approximated by the western half of Miller County less a small section of the county surrounding the town of Lake Ozark.

not be made (a) before the thirtieth calendar day following the effective date of this Order or (b) later than three months after the effective date of this Order, unless such period is extended for good cause by the Board, or by the Federal Reserve Bank of St. Louis pursuant to delegated authority.

By order of the Board of Governors,[4] effective March 6, 1975.

[SEAL] THEODORE E. ALLISON,
Secretary of the Board.

[FR Doc.75-6554 Filed 3-12-75;8:45 am]

VICI BANCORPORATION

Formation of Bank Holding Company

Vici Bancorporation, Vici, Oklahoma, has applied for the Board's approval under section 3(a)(1) of the Bank Holding Company Act (12 U.S.C. 1842(a)(1)) to become a bank holding company through acquisition of more than 80 percent of the voting shares of Bank of Vici, Vici, Oklahoma. The factors that are considered in acting on the application are set forth in section 3(c) of the Act (12 U.S.C. 1842(c)).

The application may be inspected at the office of the Board of Governors or at the Federal Reserve Bank of Kansas City. Any person wishing to comment on the application should submit views in writing to the Secretary, Board of Governors of the Federal Reserve System, Washington, D.C. 20551 to be received not later than April 4, 1975.

Board of Governors of the Federal Reserve System, March 4, 1975.

[SEAL] THEODORE E. ALLISON,
Secretary of the Board.

[FR Doc.75-6555 Filed 3-12-75;8:45 am]

WESTGATE BANCSHARES, INC.

Formation of Bank Holding Company

Westgate Bancshares, Inc., Kansas City, Kansas, has applied for the Board's approval under section 3(a)(1) of the Bank Holding Company Act (12 U.S.C. 1842(a)(1)) to become a bank holding company through acquisition of 80 per cent or more of the voting shares of Westgate State Bank, Wyandotte County, Kansas. The factors that are considered in acting on the application are set forth in section 3(c) of the Act (12 U.S.C. 1842(c)).

Westgate Bancshares, Inc. has also applied, pursuant to section 4(c)(8) of the Bank Holding Company Act (12 U.S.C. 1843(c)(8)) and § 225.4(b)(2) of the Board's Regulation Y, for permission to engage de novo in certain credit related insurance agency activities. Notice of the application was published on November 27, 1974 in The Kansas City Kansan, a newspaper circulated in Kansas City, Kansas.

Applicant states that it proposes to engage in the following activities: the sale of credit life and credit accident and health and other credit insurance directly related to extension of credit by Westgate State Bank. Such activities have been specified by the Board in § 225.4(a) of Regulation Y as permissible for bank holding companies, subject to Board approval of individual proposals in accordance with the procedures of § 225.4(b).

Interested persons may express their views on the question whether consummation of the proposal can "reasonably be expected to produce benefits to the public, such as greater convenience, increased competition, or gains in efficiency, that outweigh possible adverse effects, such as undue concentration of resources, decreased or unfair competition, conflicts of interests, or unsound banking practices." Any request for a hearing on this question should be accompanied by a statement summarizing the evidence the person requesting the hearing proposes to submit or to elicit at the hearing and a statement of the reasons why this matter should not be resolved without a hearing.

The application may be inspected at the offices of the Board of Governors or at the Federal Reserve Bank of Kansas City.

Any views or requests for hearing should be submitted in writing and received by the Secretary, Board of Governors of the Federal Reserve System, Washington, D.C. 20551, not later than April 8, 1975.

Board of Governors of the Federal Reserve System, March 6, 1975.

[SEAL] GRIFFITH L. GARWOOD,
Assistant Secretary of the Board.

[FR Doc.75-6556 Filed 3-12-75;8:45 am]

GENERAL ACCOUNTING OFFICE

REGULATORY REPORTS REVIEW

Receipt of Report Proposals

The following request for clearance of a report intended for use in collecting information from the public was received by the Regulatory Reports Review Staff, GAO, on March 6, 1975. See 44 U.S.C. 3512 (c) and (d). The purpose of publishing this notice in the FEDERAL REGISTER is to inform the public of such receipt.

The notice includes the title of the request received, the name of the agency sponsoring the proposed collection of information, the agency form designation, and the frequency with which the information is proposed to be collected.

Written comments on the proposed ICC form are invited from all interested persons, organizations, public interest groups, and affected businesses. Because of the limited amount of time GAO has to review the proposed form, comments must be received on or before March 31, 1975, and should be addressed to Mr. Monte Canfield, Jr., Director, Office of Special Programs, United States General Accounting Office, 425 I Street NW., Washington, D.C. 20548.

INTERSTATE COMMERCE COMMISSION

Request for clearance of revised Annual Report—Carriers by Pipe Line, Form P, required to be filed by some 101 carriers by pipeline, pursuant to Section 20 of the Interstate Commerce Act. Data are used for economic regulatory purposes. Revisions made in this annual report form resulted from changes in the Uniform System of Accounts (49 CFR 1204) adopted through rulemaking proceedings. Reporting burden for carriers is estimated to average 188 hours per report. Reports are mandatory and available for use by the public.

NORMAN F. HEYL,
Regulatory Reports, Review Officer.

[FR Doc.75-6635 Filed 3-12-75;8:45 am]

GENERAL SERVICES ADMINISTRATION

REGIONAL PUBLIC ADVISORY PANEL ON ARCHITECTURAL AND ENGINEERING SERVICES

Meeting

Pursuant to Pub. L. 92-463, notice is hereby given of a meeting of the Regional Public Advisory Panel on Architectural and Engineering Services, Region 9, from 1 p.m. to 4 p.m., April 7, California Room, 28th floor, 525 Market Street, San Francisco, California. The meeting will be devoted to the initial step of the procedures for screening and evaluating the qualifications of architect-engineers. These professionals are under consideration for selection to furnish professional services for the proposed exterior renovation of the Appraisers Building, 630 Sansome Street, San Francisco, California. Frank and open discussion of the professional qualifications of the firms being considered is essential to insure selection of the best qualified firms. Accordingly, pursuant to a determination that it will be concerned with a matter listed in 5 U.S.C. 552(b)(5) the meeting will not be open to the public.

T. E. HANNON,
Regional Administrator.

[FR Doc.75-6511 Filed 3-12-75;8:45 am]

NATIONAL ADVISORY COMMITTEE ON OCEANS AND ATMOSPHERE

OPEN MEETING

MARCH 10, 1975.

The National Advisory Committee on Oceans and Atmosphere will hold a meeting Monday and Tuesday, April 14 and 15, 1975. Both sessions will be open to the public and will be held in room 6802 of the U.S. Department of Commerce Building, 15th and Constitution Avenue, NW., Washington, D.C., beginning at 9 a.m.

The Committee, consisting of 25 non-Federal members appointed by the President from State and local governments,

[4] Voting for this action: Chairman Burns and Governors Mitchell, Bucher, Holland, Wallich and Coldwell. Absent and not voting: Governor Sheehan.

industry, science, and other appropriate areas, was established by Congress by Public Law 92-125, on August 16, 1971. Its duties are to: (1) undertake a continuing review of the progress of the marine and atmospheric science and service programs of the United States, (2) submit a comprehensive annual report to the President and to the Congress setting forth an overall assessment of the status of the Nation's marine and atmospheric activities on or before June 30 of each year, and (3) advise the Secretary of Commerce with respect to the carrying out of the purposes of the National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration.

The agenda will consist of briefings and discussion organized around the following topics:

MONDAY, APRIL 14

Morning—Briefings on Federal activity in areas where NACOA has made recommendations:

Adequacy of capital investment plans in support of oceanographic and atmospheric research by the Interagency Committee on Marine Science and Engineering and the Interdepartmental Committee on Atmospheric Science.

Short-term climatic variations and food production by the Department of Agriculture and the National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration.

Committee discussion of topics for NACOA's next annual report.

Afternoon—continuation of discussion on next annual report.

TUESDAY, APRIL 15

Morning—Briefing on the Global Atmospheric Research Project (GARP) with emphasis on the GARP Atlantic Tropical Experiment (GATE) of last summer.

Continuation of discussion on next annual report.

Afternoon (until adjournment at approximately 3 p.m.)—Continuation of discussion on next annual report.

The public is welcome and will be admitted to the limit of the seating available. Persons wishing to make formal statements should notify the Chairman in advance of the meeting. The Chairman retains the prerogative to place limits on the duration of oral statements and discussion. Written statements may be submitted at any time.

Additional information concerning this meeting may be obtained through the Committee's Executive Director, Dr. Douglas L. Brooks whose mailing address is: National Advisory Committee on Oceans and Atmosphere, Department of Commerce Building, Room 5225, Washington, D.C. 20230. Telephone: (202) 967-3343.

DOUGLAS L. BROOKS,
Executive Director.

[FR Doc.75-6648 Filed 3-12-75;8:45 am]

NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION

[Docket No. 50-321]

GEORGIA POWER CO. AND OGLETHORPE ELECTRIC MEMBERSHIP CORP.

Issuance of Amendment to Facility Operating License

Notice is hereby given that the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission (the Commission) has issued Amendment No. 9 to Facility Operating License No. DPR-57 issued to Georgia Power Company & Oglethorpe Electric Membership Corporation (the licensees) which revised Technical Specifications for operation of the Edwin I. Hatch Nuclear Plant, Unit 1, located in Appling County, Georgia. The amendment is effective as of its date of issuance.

The amendment permits revision to the Technical Specifications relating to the surveillance requirement for testing of the personnel air lock to the reactor containment.

The application for the amendment complies with the standards and requirements of the Atomic Energy Act of 1954, as amended (the Act), and the Commission's rules and regulations. The Commission has made appropriate findings as required by the Act and the Commission's rules and regulations in 10 CFR Chapter I, which are set forth in the license amendment. Prior public notice of this amendment is not required since the amendment does not involve a significant hazards consideration.

For further details with respect to this action, see (1) the application for amendment dated December 2, 1974, (2) Amendment No. 9 to License No. DPR-57, with Change No. 8, and (3) the Commission's related Safety Evaluation. All of these items are available for public inspection at the Commission's Public Document Room, 1717 H Street NW., Washington, D.C. 20555, Attention: Director, Division of Reactor Licensing.

Dated at Bethesda, Maryland, this 5th day of March 1975.

For the Nuclear Regulatory Commission.

GEORGE LEAR,
Chief, Operating Reactors Branch No. 3, Division of Reactor Licensing.

[FR Doc.75-6495 Filed 3-12-75;8:45 am]

[Docket No. 50-331]

IOWA ELECTRIC LIGHT & POWER CO.

Notice of Issuance of Amendment to Facility Operating License

Notice is hereby given that the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission (the Commission) has issued Amendment No. 6 to Facility Operating License No. DPR-49 issued to Iowa Electric Light & Power Company (the licensee) which revised Technical Specifications for operation of the Duane Arnold Nuclear Center, located in Linn County, Iowa. The amendment is effective as of February 25, 1975.

The amendment authorizes a temporary change in the Technical Specifications to permit power operation of the reactor for an additional 48 hours without meeting the minimum volume of nitrogen required to be in the containment atmosphere dilution system.

The application for the amendment complies with the standards and requirements of the Atomic Energy Act of 1954, as amended (the Act), and the Commission's rules and regulations. The Commission has made appropriate findings as required by the Act and the Commission's rules and regulations in 10 CFR Chapter I, which are set forth in the license amendment. Prior public notice of this amendment is not required since the amendment does not involve a significant hazards consideration.

For further details with respect to this action, see (1) the application for amendment dated February 24, 1975, (2) Amendment No. 6 to License No. DPR-49, with Change No. 7, and (3) the Commission's related Safety Evaluation. All of these items are available for public inspection at the Commission's Public Document Room, 1717 H Street, NW, Washington, D.C., and at the Reference Service, Cedar Rapids Public Library, 426 Third Avenue, S.E., Cedar Rapids, Iowa 52401.

A copy of items (2) and (3) may be obtained upon request addressed to the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission, Washington, D.C. 20555, Attention: Director, Division of Reactor Licensing.

Dated at Bethesda, Maryland, this March 5, 1975.

For the Nuclear Regulatory Commission.

GEORGE LEAR,
Chief, Operating Reactors Branch No. 3, Division of Reactor Licensing.

[FR Doc.75-6244 Filed 3-12-75;8:45 am]

OFFICE OF MANAGEMENT AND BUDGET

CLEARANCE OF REPORTS

List of Requests

The following is a list of requests for clearance of reports intended for use in collecting information from the public received by the Office of Management and Budget on March 10, 1975 (44 U.S.C. 3509). The purpose of publishing this list in the FEDERAL REGISTER is to inform the public.

The list includes the title of each request received: the name of the agency sponsoring the proposed collection of information; the agency form number(s), if applicable; the frequency with which the information is proposed to be

collected; the name of the reviewer or reviewing division within OMB, and an indication of who will be the respondents to the proposed collection.

The Symbol (X) identifies proposals which appear to raise no significant issues, and are to be approved after brief notice through this release.

Further information about the items on this daily list may be obtained from the Clearance Office, Office of Management and Budget, Washington, D.C. 20503 (202-395-4529), or from the reviewer listed.

NEW FORMS

U.S. CIVIL SERVICE COMMISSION

Application & Qualifications Statement, CSC 1178, on occasion, employees applying for merit promotion program, Caywood, D. P., 395-3443.

DEPARTMENT OF AGRICULTURE

Statistical Reporting Service:
Texas Pecan Tree Survey—1974, single-time, pecan growers, Lowry, R. L., 395-3772.
Michigan Agricultural Packaging Survey, single-time, packers and processors, Lowry, R. L., 395-3772.

DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

National Fire Prevention and Control Administration, Survey of Current Education & Training Efforts, single-time, local fire departments, Eliett, C. A., 395-6172.
National Bureau of Standards, LESL Impact: Manufacturer Questionnaire, NBS-1029, single-time, manufacturers of law enforcement equipment, Caywood, D. P., 395-3443.
Bureau of the Census, Bilingual Supplement—July 1975 CPS, CPS-1, single-time, 50,000 households in CPS sample, Planchon, P., 395-3898.

DEPARTMENT OF DEFENSE

Departmental and other, Application for Enlistment—Armed Forces of the United States, DD 1966, on occasion, male and female, 17-26 years, nationwide, Lowry, R. L., 395-3772.

DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH, EDUCATION, AND WELFARE

Public Health Service, Pretest of 1975 Survey of Manpower in Hospitals, single-time, hospital administrators, Collins, L., 395-3756.
Office of Education:
Clarification Survey for Reading/Language Priorities, OE-9043, single-time, supervisors, education program for deaf, Planchon, P., 395-3898.
Requestor's Technical Assistance Evaluation Form, OE-9044, on occasion, LEA's, SEA's, LRC's, Human Resources Division, 395-3532.

DEPARTMENT OF LABOR

Employment Standards Administration, Drug & Oxygen Therapy Reimbursement Form, CM-915, monthly, miners receiving medical treatment benefits, Lowry, R. L., 395-3772.

REVISIONS

DEPARTMENT OF AGRICULTURE

Food and Nutrition Service, City School Feeding Report, FNS-24, annually, school food authorities in cities of 100,000 or more, Lowry, R. L., 395-3772.

DEPARTMENT OF LABOR

Bureau of Labor Statistics, Retail Prices—Outlet Information, 2901A, monthly, Strasser, A., 395-3880.

EXTENSIONS

DEPARTMENT OF AGRICULTURE

Agricultural Marketing Service, Weekly Report of Avocado & Lime Shipments by Handlers, FV-116, weekly, Evinger, S. K., 395-3648.

DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

Bureau of the Census, Current Service Trade Report (of Firms or Establishments Performing Services), BUS 80, monthly, Evinger, S. K., 395-3648.
Bureau of International Commerce:
Exhibition Interest Return Post Card (Fairs and Trade Missions), DIB 415P, weekly, Evinger, S. K., 395-3648.
Participation Agreement, DIB 4008P, weekly, Evinger, S. K., 395-3648.
Product Characteristics, DIB 426P, on occasion, Evinger, S. K., 395-3648.

PHILLIP D. LARSEN,
Budget and Management Officer.

[FR Doc.75-6790 Filed 3-12-75;8:45 am]

SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION

AMERICAN STOCK EXCHANGE, INC.

Non-disapproval of Amendments to American Stock Exchange, Inc. Option Plan

Notice is hereby given that on March 6, 1975, the Commission considered and did not disapprove proposed amendments to Rule 462(d)(2) of the American Stock Exchange, Inc. ("Amex") pursuant to rule 9b-1 under the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 (17 CFR 240.9b-1).

The Amex amendment requires margin on uncovered writer transactions in options traded over-the-counter of 50 percent of the market value of the underlying security, increased by any loss or decreased by the amount the option is out of the money with a minimum requirement of $250 per option contract as well as several related provisions.

The Commission for good cause has found that prior notice and public procedure thereon would be unnecessary since the Commission has previously considered identical amendments to the Chicago Board Options Exchange's margin maintenance requirements for which notice and opportunity for submission by interested persons of written data, views or arguments was given.

[SEAL] SHIRLEY E. HOLLIS,
Assistant Secretary.

[FR Doc.75-6611 Filed 3-12-75;8:45 am]

CHICAGO BOARD OPTIONS EXCHANGE, INC.

Delaying Effectiveness of Proposed Amendment to Option Plan

Notice is hereby given that the Chicago Board Options Exchange, Inc. (CBOE) has filed an amendment to proposed changes in its option plan filed pursuant to rule 9b-1 (17 CFR 240.9b-1) delaying their effectiveness until the Commission allows them to become effective or disapproves the changes in whole or in part as being inconsistent with the public interest or the protection of investors.

These changes were noticed on February 10, 1975, at 40 FR 6244. The proposed amendments to Rule 14.5 would eliminate fixed minimum commissions on orders executed on the floor of the Exchange by floor brokers and would also continue Exchange regulation of the commission rates charged by Board Brokers. Proposed rule 6.25 would proscribe certain kinds of pooling arrangements concerning the handling of floor brokerage.

All interested persons are invited to submit their views and comments on the proposed amendments to CBOE's plan either before or after they have become effective. Written statements of views and comments should be addressed to the Secretary, Securities and Exchange Commission, 500 North Capitol Street NW., Washington, D.C. 20549. Reference should be made to file number 10-54. The proposed amendments are, and all such comments will be, available for public inspection at the Public Reference Room of the Securities and Exchange Commission at 1100 L Street, NW., Washington, D.C.

[SEAL] GEORGE A. FITZSIMMONS,
Secretary.

MARCH 6, 1975.

[FR Doc.75-6612 Filed 3-12-75;8:45 am]

[File Nos 81-170 and 3-4602]

CREATIVE LOGIC CORP.

Notice of Application and Opportunity for Hearing

MARCH 5, 1975.

Notice is hereby given that Creative Logic Corporation ("Applicant") has filed an application pursuant to section 12(h) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended (the "1934 Act"), that applicant be granted an exemption from the provisions of section 15(d) and be exempted from filing Form 10-K for the fiscal year ended December 31, 1974.

Section 15(d) of the 1934 Act provides that each issuer who has filed a registration statement which has become effective pursuant to the Securities Act of 1933, as amended, shall file with the Commission, in accordance with such rules and regulations as the Commission may prescribe as necessary or appropriate in the public interest or for the protection of investors, such supplementary and periodic information, documents, and reports as may be required pursuant to section 13 of the 1934 Act in respect of a security registered pursuant to section 12 of the 1934 Act.

Section 12(h) of the 1934 Act empowers the Commission to exempt, in whole or in part, any issuer or class of issuers from the provisions of section 15(d), if the Commission finds, by reason of the number of public investors, amount of trading interest in the securities, and nature and extent of the activities of the issuer, income or assets of the issuer or otherwise, that such exemption is not inconsistent with the public interest or the protection of investors.

The applicant states, in part:

(1) Applicant, a Delaware corporation formed in June, 1969, sells certain computer system services to an affiliate.

(2) During 1971, Applicant issued 65,000 shares of common stock, pursuant to an effective registration statement (File No. 2-37781)

In the absence of an exemption, Applicant is required to file certain periodic reports with the Commission pursuant to section 15(d) of the 1934 Act.

Applicant argues that the exemption order requested is appropriate in view of the fact that the Applicant has less than 300 shareholders, has limited business activities, and has no trading interest in its stock.

For a more detailed statement of the information presented, all persons are referred to the application which is on file in the offices of the Commission at 500 North Capitol Street NW., Washington, D.C. 20549.

Notice is further given that any interested person not later than March 31, 1975 may submit to the Commission in writing his views on any substantial facts bearing on the application or the desirability of a hearing thereon. Any such communication or request should be addressed to: Secretary, Securities and Exchange Commission, 500 North Capitol Street NW., Washington, D.C. 20549 and should state briefly the nature of the hearing, the reason for such request, and the issues of fact and law raised by the application he desires to controvert. Persons who request a hearing or advice as to whether a hearing is ordered will receive any notices and orders issued in this matter, including the date of the hearing (if ordered) and any postponements thereof. At any time after said date, an order granting the application may be issued upon request or upon the Commission's own motion.

By the Commission.

[SEAL] GEORGE A. FITZSIMMONS, *Secretary.*

[FR Doc.75-6610 Filed 3-12-75;8:45 am]

[File No. 500-1]

EQUITY FUNDING CORPORATION OF AMERICA

Suspension of Trading

MARCH 7, 1975.

It appearing to the Securities and Exchange Commission that the summary suspension of trading in the common stock, warrants to purchase the stock, 9½ percent debentures due 1990, 5½ percent convertible subordinated debentures due 1991, and all other securities of Equity Funding Corporation of America being traded otherwise than on a national securities exchange is required in the public interest and for the protection of investors;

Therefore, pursuant to section 15(c)(5) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, trading in such securities otherwise than on a national securities exchange is suspended, for the period from March 8, 1975, through March 17, 1975.

By the Commission.

[SEAL] SHIRLEY E. HOLLIS, *Assistant Secretary.*

[FR Doc.75-6606 Filed 3-12-75;8:45 am]

[File No. 500-1]

INDUSTRIES INTERNATIONAL, INC.

Suspension of Trading

It appearing to the Securities and Exchange Commission that the summary suspension of trading in the common stock of Industries International, Inc. being traded otherwise than on a national securities exchange is required in the public interest and for the protection of investors;

Therefore, pursuant to section 15(c)(5) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, trading in such securities otherwise than on a national securities exchange is suspended, for the period from March 8, 1975 through March 17, 1975.

By the Commission.

[SEAL] SHIRLEY E. HOLLIS, *Assistant Secretary.*

[FR Doc.75-6607 Filed 3-12-75;8:45 am]

[File No. 500-1]

WESTGATE CALIFORNIA CORP.

Suspension of Trading

MARCH 7, 1975.

It appearing to the Securities and Exchange Commission that the summary suspension of trading in the common stock (class A and B), the cumulative preferred stock (5 percent and 6 percent), the 6 percent subordinated debentures due 1979 and the 6½ percent convertible subordinated debentures due 1987 being traded otherwise than on a national securities exchange is required in the public interest and for the protection of investors;

Therefore, pursuant to section 15(c)(5) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, trading in such securities otherwise than on a national securities exchange is suspended, for the period from March 8, 1975 through March 17, 1975.

By the Commission.

[SEAL] GEORGE A. FITZSIMMONS, *Secretary.*

[FR Doc.75-6608 Filed 3-12-75;8:45 am]

[File No. 500-1]

ZENITH DEVELOPMENT CORP.

Notice of Suspension of Trading

MARCH 7, 1975.

It appearing to the Securities and Exchange Commission that the summary suspension of trading in the common stock of Zenith Development Corporation being traded otherwise than on a national securities exchange is required in the public interest and for the protection of investors;

Therefore, pursuant to section 15(c)(5) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, trading in such securities otherwise than on a national securities exchange is suspended, for the period from March 8, 1975 through March 17, 1975.

By the Commission.

[SEAL] SHIRLEY E. HOLLIS, *Assistant Secretary.*

[FR Doc.75-6609 Filed 3-12-75;8:45 am]

SMALL BUSINESS ADMINISTRATION

[License No. 09/09-5178]

ORANGECO INVESTMENT CO.

Issuance of License To Operate as Small Business Investment Company

On January 20, 1975, a notice was published in the FEDERAL REGISTER (40 FR 3261) stating that Orangeco Investment Company, located at 501 Golden Circle Drive, Suite 206, Santa Ana, California 92705, had filed an application with the Small Business Administration, pursuant to 13 CFR 107.102 (1974) for a license to operate as a small business investment company under the provisions of section 301(d) of the Small Business Investment Act of 1958, as amended.

The period for comment ended February 4, 1975.

Notice is hereby given that, having considered the application and other pertinent information, SBA has issued License No. 09/09-5178 to Orangeco Investment Company.

Dated: March 5, 1975.

JAMES THOMAS PHELAN, *Deputy Associate Administrator for Investment.*

[FR Doc.75-6581 Filed 3-12-75;8:45 am]

SAN ANTONIO DISTRICT ADVISORY COUNCIL

Public Meeting

The Small Business Administration San Antonio District Advisory Council will meet at 9 a.m. (c.d.t.), Thursday, April 3, 1975, at the Small Business Administration. Conference Room, 301 Broadway, San Antonio, Texas 78205, to discuss such business as may be presented by members, the staff of the Small Business Administration, and others attending. For further information, call or write James S. Reed, at the above address (512) 225-4966.

Dated: March 5, 1975.

JOHN JAMESON, *Director, Office of Advisory Councils, Small Business Administration.*

[FR Doc.75-6583 Filed 3-12-75;8:45 am]

[Declaration of Disaster Loan Area 1117]

GEORGIA

Declaration of Disaster Loan Area

Whereas, it has been reported that during the month of February, because of the effects of a certain disaster, damage resulted to property located in the State of Georgia;

Whereas, the Small Business Administration has investigated and received reports of other investigations of conditions in the area affected;

Whereas, after reading and evaluating reports of such conditions, I find that the conditions in such area constitute a catastrophe within the purview of the Small Business Act, as amended:

Now, therefore, as Administrator of the Small Business Administration, I hereby determine that:

1. Applications for disaster loans under the provisions of Section 7(b)(1) of the Small Business Act, as amended, may be received and considered by the office below indicated from persons or firms whose property situated in Peach County and adjacent affected areas, suffered damage or destruction resulting from a tornado and high winds which occurred on February 18, 1975. Adjacent areas include only counties within the state for which the declaration is made and do not extend beyond state lines.

Office: Small Business Administration, District Office, 1401 Peachtree Street NE., Atlanta, Georgia 30309.

2. Applications for disaster loans under the authority of this declaration will not be accepted subsequent to May 1, 1975. EIDL applications will not be accepted subsequent to November 28, 1975.

Dated: February 28, 1975.

THOMAS S. KLEPPE,
Administrator.

[FR Doc.75-6584 Filed 3-12-75;8:45 am]

[License No. 01/01-0018]

MASSACHUSETTS CAPITAL CORP.

Approval for Transfer of Control of Small Business Investment Company

On January 28, 1975, a notice of application for transfer of control was published in the FEDERAL REGISTER (40 FR 4196) stating that an application had been filed with the Small Business Administration (SBA), pursuant to § 107.701 of the regulations governing small business investment companies (13 CFR 107.701 (1974)), for the transfer of control of Massachusetts Capital Corporation, 111 Devonshire Street, Boston, Massachusetts 02018, a Federal Licensee under the Small Business Investment Act of 1958, as amended (Act).

Control is being transferred to Loews Corporation (Loews) whose principal executive office is 666 Fifth Avenue, New York, New York, as a result of a series of transactions whereby Loews now owns approximately 57 percent of the outstanding voting securities of CNA Financial Corporation which, in turn, owns 100 percent of the capital stock of Kane Financial Corporation, the owner of 80 percent of Massachusetts Capital Corporation's outstanding common stock.

Interested persons were given ten days to submit written comments to SBA and no unfavorable comments were received.

SBA, having considered the application and all other pertinent information with regard thereto, hereby approves the application for transfer of control.

Dated: March 5, 1975.

JAMES THOMAS PHELAN,
Deputy Associate Administrator for Investment.

[FR Doc.75-6585 Filed 3-12-75;8:45 am]

PORTLAND DISTRICT ADVISORY COUNCIL

Public Meeting

The Small Business Administration Portland District Advisory Council will meet at 9:30 a.m. (P.d.t.), Thursday, April 17, 1975, at the United States National Bank in Portland, Oregon, to discuss such business as may be presented by members, the staff of the Small Business Administration, and others attending. For further information, call or write A. E. Lofstrand, Small Business Administration, 700 Pittock Block, 921 Southwest Washington Street, Portland, Oregon 97205, (503) 221-3461.

Dated: March 6, 1975.

ANTHONY S. STASIO,
Chief Counsel for Advocacy, Small Business Administration.

[FR Doc.75-6586 Filed 3-12-75;8:45 am]

VETERANS ADMINISTRATION

MEDICAL RESEARCH SERVICE MERIT REVIEW BOARDS

Notice of Meetings; Amendment

The following is an amendment to the Notice of Merit Review Board meetings which was published in the FEDERAL REGISTER, Volume 40, Number 35, Page 7509, Thursday, February 20, 1975.

In accordance with the provision set forth in section 552(b)(5), title 5, United States Code, all of the Merit Review Board meetings will be closed to the public after approximately one-half hour from the start, for the review, discussion, and evaluation of individual initial pending and renewal research projects.

The closed portion of the meetings involve: discussion, examination, reference to, and oral review of site visits, staff and consultant critiques of research protocols, and similar documents which are exempt from disclosure under the intra-agency memoranda exemption (exemption (5)) to section 552(b) of title 5, United States Code. The portion of the meeting which necessitates examination of these documents will be closed to prevent inadvertent disclosure of these exempt records.

Dated: March 10, 1975.

[SEAL] R. L. ROUDEBUSH,
Administrator.

[FR Doc.75-6616 Filed 3-12-75;8:45 am]

DEPARTMENT OF LABOR

Occupational Safety and Health Administration

FEDERAL ADVISORY COUNCIL ON OCCUPATIONAL SAFETY AND HEALTH

Meeting

Notice is hereby given that the Federal Advisory Council on Occupational Safety and Health, established under section 4(a) of Executive Order 11807 of 1974, Occupational Safety and Health Programs for Federal Employees, will meet on March 20 starting at 9:30 a.m. in Room 216 ABCD, Main Labor Building, 14th and Constitution Avenue NW., Washington, D.C. The meeting will be open to the public.

The agenda provides for reports by the Standing and Ad Hoc Committees on:

Ad Hoc Committee on Federal Safety and Health Regulations/Guidelines.
Standing Committee on Field Federal Safety and Health Councils.
Standing Committee on Accident Records and Reports.
Standing Committee on National Programs.
Report on expansion of OSHA Regional support personnel to provide safety and health consultation to Federal departments and agencies, Field Federal Safety and Health Councils, Federal Executive Boards and Associations.
Status reports on: Revision of OMB Circular A-11 and OSHA training courses.

The Council welcomes written data, views or comments concerning safety and health programs for Federal employees, including comments on the agenda items. Such data may be filed, together with 20 copies thereof, with the Office of Federal Agency Safety Programs by the close of business on March 18. Any such submissions will be provided to members of the Council and included in the record of the meeting.

The Council will consider oral presentations related to agenda items. Persons wishing to orally address the Council at the meeting, should submit a written request to be heard, together with 20 copies thereof, by the close of business March 18. The request must include the name and address of the person wishing to appear, the capacity in which he will appear, a short summary of the intended presentation and an estimate of the amount of time needed.

Communications should be addressed to:

Gerard P. Scannell, Director, Office of Federal Agency Safety Programs, Room 410, Department of Labor, OSHA, 1726 M Street, NW., Washington, D.C. 20210, Telephone (202) 961-3130.

NOTE.—It was impossible to meet the requirement for 15 days' advance notice of the above meeting due to unforeseen difficulties in setting the agenda for such meeting.

Signed at Washington, D.C., this 5th day of March 1975.

JOHN STENDER,
Assistant Secretary of Labor.

[FR Doc.75-6738 Filed 3-12-75;8:45 am]

INTERSTATE COMMERCE COMMISSION

[MC-C-8598]

BIG BEAR CARTAGE, INC.

Filing of Petition

MARCH 7, 1975.

Petitioner: BIG BEAR CARTAGE, INC., Rosemont, Ill. Petitioner's representatives: Abraham A. Diamond, 29 South La Salle Street, Chicago, Ill. 60603; Herbert Alan Dubin, 1819 "H" Street NW., Washington, D.C. 20006.

By petition filed February 14, 1975, petitioner seeks an investigation and a ruling, either jointly or separately by the Interstate Commerce Commission and the Civil Aeronautics Board, as to the lawfulness of certain of its motor carrier operations purportedly conducted under the "incidental to air" exemption of section 203(b)(7a) of the Interstate Commerce Act and under the "service in connection with air transportation" provision of section 403(a) of the Federal Aviation Act of 1958.

Petitioner states that it provides pick-up and delivery services for air freight forwarders (indirect air carriers) and for scheduled and uncheduled air lines (direct air carriers) under these carriers tariffs filed with the Civil Aeronautics Board. Shipments transported by petitioner move under the waybills of these indirect and direct air carriers, and petitioner bills these carriers directly for its transportation service charges. Petitioner states that it is a competitor of other "local cartage carriers" among which are three so-called "Air Cargo, Inc., contract carriers" which have been selected by Air Cargo, Inc., to pick up and deliver freight for each of the 23 member scheduled air lines which is a shareholder of Air Cargo, Inc. Petitioner publishes a schedule of rates and charges which is equivalent to that published by direct air carriers in their Official Air Freight Pick-up and Delivery Tariff No. PUD-1. Air Cargo, Inc., petitioner notes, is not indicated among the air carriers participating in said tariff. Under this PUD-1 tariff the participating direct air carriers hold themselves out to provide certain described pickup and delivery services, subject to various limitations and restrictions. While neither Air Cargo, Inc., nor its designated "cartage carriers" are named in tariff PUD-1, rule 30 of said tariff designates the "city terminals" of the participating air carriers, which "city terminals" petitioner asserts are those of the "cartage carriers" selected by Air Cargo, Inc.

Petitioner states that recent inquiries into its operations conducted by field personnel of the Interstate Commerce Commission resulted in it being advised on one occasion by a field supervisor that petitioner's operations appear to be contrary to the principles enunciated by this Commission in Colorado Cartage Company, Inc. v. William Murphy, 100 M.C.C. 745 (1966). Petitioner further alleges that field supervisors of the Interstate Commerce Commission have advised shippers utilizing petitioner's services that certain operations of petitioner may be unlawful, and that the resulting reluctance of certain shippers to utilize petitioner's services has been to petitioner's financial detriment. Petitioner believes that there is ambiguity, confusion, and contradiction on the subject of whether an operation such as that conducted by petitioner is "incidental to air transportation", under section 203(b)(7a) of the Interstate Commerce Act, or whether it is a "service in connection with air transportation" within the meaning of section 403(a) of the Federal Aviation Act of 1958. Petitioner is of the opinion that the operations which it conducts are exempt from economic regulation under both the Interstate Commerce Act and the Federal Aviation Act of 1958, and it requests affirmative decisions from this Commission and the Civil Aeronautics Board to confirm the lawfulness of its operations. If its present operations are found to be unlawful, petitioner views its alternative courses of action as: (1) application to the Civil Aeronautics Board for authorization to operate as an indirect air carrier (i.e., air freight forwarder), (2) seeking designation for Air Cargo, Inc., as one of its approved "contract carriers", (3) application to the Interstate Commerce Commission for an appropriate certificate of public convenience and necessity, and (4) cessation of present business activities. Petitioner rejects each of the above alternatives as unsatisfactory for various reasons related to its particular circumstances.

In order to remove the uncertainties which it has concerning the lawfulness of its operations petitioner requests a declaratory order which will provide definitive answers to the following questions: (a) is the service performed by petitioner "incidental to air transportation" under section 203(b)(7a) of the Interstate Commerce Act when undertaken for direct air carriers licensed by the Civil Aeronautics Board?, (b) is the service performed by petitioner "incidental to air transportation" under section 203(b)(7a) of the Interstate Commerce Act when undertaken for indirect air carriers (i.e., air freight forwarders) licensed by the Civil Aeronautics Board?, (c) is the service performed by petitioner a "service in connection with air transportation" under section 403(a) of the Federal Aviation Act of 1958 when such service is undertaken on behalf of direct air carriers licensed by the Civil Aeronautics Board?, (d) is the service performed by petitioner a "service in connection with air transportation" under section 403(a) of the Federal Aviation Act of 1958 when such service is undertaken on behalf of indirect air carriers licensed by the Civil Aeronautics Board?, (e) is the service performed by petitioner within the statutory exemption provided in section 203(b)(7a) under the 25-mile "rule of thumb" pickup and delivery terminal area?, (f) is the service performed by petitioner within the air terminal pickup and delivery limits of the Official Air Line Pickup and Delivery Tariff, PUD-1?, (g) are the actions of Air Cargo, Inc., in selecting motor carriers to perform pickup and delivery services in the transportation of air freight on behalf of its 23 direct air carrier stockholders lawful?, (h) under the Interstate Commerce Act, what is the legal responsibility of direct and indirect air carriers which designate, approve, and use or accept the use of cartage services provided by petitioner and other similarly situated cartage companies?, (i) what is the legal responsibility of direct air carriers under section 412 of the Federal Aviation Act of 1958, 49 U.S.C. § 1382, with respect to the combination of such air carriers, and their agreement to limit the use of cartage carriers in the terminal areas of airports of the United States; is such agreement, and the practices relating thereto, on file with the Civil Aeronautics Board; and have such agreement and practices been approved by that Board, in order to "immunize" such practices from the effect of the antitrust laws pursuant to section 414 of the Federal Aviation Act of 1958, 49 U.S.C. § 1384?, and (j) what is the jurisdiction and position of the Interstate Commerce Commission relative to the "restraint in trade and competition" in the motor carrier industry caused by the operation of Air Cargo, Inc., and its practice of selecting certain "cartage carriers" to transport freight for its air carrier stockholders?

Petitioner argues that the position regarding its operations taken by this Commission ignores the fact that the operation of a motor carrier holding a certificate of registration from the Illinois Commerce Commission to perform exempt interstate transportation under sections 203(b)(7a) and 203(b)(8) of the Interstate Commerce Act may, by the simple act of being appointed by Air Cargo, Inc., to handle the freight of its stockholder member direct air carriers, be rendered exempt from regulation, whereas the identical operation of a carrier not so chosen by Air Cargo, Inc., to be one of its "contract carriers" is not exempt. Petitioner believes that there is an apparent conflict between the decisions of this Commission and the courts as to the lawfulness of operations similar to those conducted by petitioner. In Colorado Cartage Company, Inc. v. William Murphy, supra, the Commission framed the issue as "whether the operation is performed on a through air bill of lading covering, in addition to the line-haul movement by air, the collection service performed by defendant" and concluded that because the air bill was not executed until the goods were delivered to the air carrier airport for continuing movement in air transportation (from a point within 25 miles of the airport) by motor vehicle was not within the "incidental to the air transportation" exemption, despite the fact, petitioner argues, that receipt of the goods was made on an air carrier's air bill and all charges for the questioned motor transportation service were collected by the air carrier which subsequently paid the motor carrier for its service. Petitioner relies on Sky Freight Delivery Service, Inc., Common Carrier Application, 47 M.C.C. 241 (1947) in which the term "incidental" was defined by this Commission as such term relates to section 203(b)(7a) of the Interstate Commerce

Act, and argues that in said decision no reference was made as to how or when air bills must be executed for a particular movement to be considered "incidental to air transportation." Petitioner contends that the Commission erred in concluding in the Colorado Cartage case that because the air carrier signed the air bill of lading at the airport, no air bill of lading was "in effect" at the time the goods were picked up by the motor carrier. Petitioner asserts that the lack of execution of a bill of lading when the pickup of freight is made by the motor carrier does not prevent the motor carrier from taking advantage of the air carrier's limitation of liability with respect to loss or damage, and argues that by analogy the time and place of execution of an air bill of lading should not be determinative of whether a movement of freight is within the "incidental to air" exemption of section 203(b)(7a) of the Interstate Commerce Act.

In conclusion petitioner argues that through the combination of the effects of certain decisions of the Interstate Commerce Commission and the "restrictive policy" of Air Cargo, Inc., it is undergoing "harassment" by this Commission and is experiencing a loss of business to the "contract carriers" of Air Cargo, Inc. Petitioner requests formal rulings of this Commission and the Civil Aeronautics Board, either jointly or separately, that petitioner's operations are exempt from regulation under the Interstate Commerce Act and the Federal Aviation Act of 1958.

As noted, this petition has been filed both with this Commission and with the Civil Aeronautics Board. In our disposition of this proceeding, we will respond to all issues raised which are within our regulatory jurisdiction but, of course, not those within the sole jurisdiction of the Civil Aeronautics Board.

No oral hearing is contemplated at this time, but any person (including petitioner) interested in making representations in favor of, or against, the relief sought in the petition may do so by the submission of written data, views, or arguments. An original and fifteen (15) copies of such data, views, or arguments shall be filed with this Commission on or before May 1, 1975. A copy of each representation should be served upon petitioner's representatives. Written material or suggestions submitted will be available for public inspection at the Offices of the Interstate Commerce Commission, 12th and Constitution, Washington, D.C., during regular business hours. Notice to the general public of the matters herein under consideration will be given by depositing a copy of this notice in the Office of the Secretary of the Commission for public inspection and by filing a copy thereof with the Director, Office of the FEDERAL REGISTER.

By the Commission.

[SEAL] ROBERT L. OSWALD,
Secretary.

[FR Doc.75-6657 Filed 3-12-75;8:45 am]

FOURTH SECTION APPLICATION FOR RELIEF

MARCH 10, 1975.

An application, as summarized below, has been filed requesting relief from the requirements of section 4 of the Interstate Commerce Act to permit common carriers named or described in the application to maintain higher rates and charges at intermediate points than those sought to be established at more distant points.

Protests to the granting of an application must be prepared in accordance with Rule 40 of the general rules of or before March 28, 1975. FSA No. practice (49 CFR 1100.40) and filed on 42949—*Joint Rail-Water Container Rates—United States Lines, Inc.* Filed by United States Lines, Inc. (No. 6), for itself and interested rail carriers. Rates on general commodities, between ports in the United Kingdom, Republic of Ireland, Baltic and Continental Europe, and rail terminals at U.S. Gulf Seaports.

Grounds for relief—Water competition.

Tariffs—United States Lines, Inc., tariffs Nos. 15 and 16, I.C.C. Nos. 15 and 16, F.M.C. Nos. 73 and 74, respectively. Rates are published to become effective on April 6, 1975.

By the Commission.

[SEAL] ROBERT L. OSWALD,
Secretary.

[FR Doc.75-6652 Filed 3-12-75;8:45 am]

Notice No.

MOTOR CARRIER BOARD TRANSFER PROCEEDINGS

MARCH 13, 1975.

Synopses of orders entered by the Motor Carrier Board of the Commission pursuant to sections 212(b), 206(a), 211, 312(b), and 410(g) of the Interstate Commerce Act, and rules and regulations prescribed thereunder (49 CFR Part 1132), appear below:

Each application (except as otherwise specically noted) filed after March 27, 1972, contains a statement by applicants that there will be no significant effect on the quality of the human environment resulting from approval of the application. As provided in the Commission's special rules of practice any interested person may file a petition seeking reconsideration of the following numbered proceedings on or before April 2, 1975. Pursuant to section 17(8) of the Interstate Commerce Act, the filing of such a petition will postpone the effective date of the order in that proceeding pending its disposition. The matters relied upon by petitioners must be specified in their petitions with particularity.

No. MC-FC-75337. By order of March 5, 1975, the Motor Carrier Board on reconsideration approved the transfer to Larry Tropper and Marlene Tropper, a partnership, doing business as M & L Delivery Co., Brooklyn, N.Y., of the operating rights in Permit No. MC 124626 issued August 23, 1963, to Smulofsky Bros., Inc., Brooklyn, N.Y., authorizing the transportation of bags, brooms, aluminum foil and plates, plastic and wooden forks, knives, and spoons, paper, paper goods, and incandescent and flash bulbs, from New York, N.Y., to points in Fairfield County, Conn., and Bergen, Essex, Hudson, Union, Morris, Monmouth, Passaic, Somerset, and Middlesex Counties, N.J., restricted to a transportation service to be performed under a continuing contract with Scheck Bros., Inc. Herbert Kramer, 111 Broadway, New York, N.Y. 10006, Attorney for applicants.

No. MC-FC-75669. By order entered March 12, 1975, the Motor Carrier Board approved the transfer to R & P Wrecker Sales and Service, Inc., Hampton, N.H., of the operating rights set forth in Certificate No. MC 124766, issued June 14, 1963, to Edward F. Mills, doing business as Mills' Shell Station, Hampton, N.H., authorizing the transportation of wrecked, disabled, repossessed or stolen vehicles, with the use of wrecker equipment, between points in New Hampshire, on the one hand, and, on the other, points in Massachusetts, Maine, and Vermont. Gary W. Holmes, 36 High St., Hampton, N.H. 03842, attorney for applicants.

No. MC FC 75684. By order entered March 12, 1975, the Motor Carrier Board approved the transfer to Crawford Trucking, Inc., Houston, Tex., of the operating rights set forth in Certificate No. MC 52727 and Certificate of Registration No. MC 52727 (Sub-No. 2), both issued by the Commission November 13, 1974, to Jack Bars Corporation, Alvin, Tex., authorizing the transportation of machinery, materials, supplies and equipment, incidental to, or used in, the construction, development, operations, and maintenance of facilities for the discovery, development and production of natural gas and petroleum, between points in Texas; and various specified commodities, to and from all points in Texas within a 150 mile radius of Bay City, Tex. Joe G. Fender, 802 Houston First Savings Bldg., Houston, Tex. 77002, attorney for applicants.

No. MC FC 75686. By order of March 4, 1975, the Motor Carrier Board approved the transfer to Delmar E. Webb, Fairfield, Ill., of the operating rights in Permit No. MC 138221 (Sub-No. 1), issued January 23, 1974, to Orbit Stull, Fairfield, Ill., authorizing the transportation of unfinished lumber from points in Edwards, Hamilton, Wabash, Wayne, and White Counties, Ill. to points in Jasper, Lake and Vigo Counties, Ind. Robert F. Lawlet, 300 Reisch Bldg., Springfield, Ill., 62701, attorney for applicants.

No. MC FC 75700. By order entered March 4, 1975, the Motor Carrier Board, approved the transfer to Richard W. Rousell, doing business as Essex Transportation Co., Plymouth, Mass., of Certificate of Registration No. MC 98830 (Sub-No. 1), issued January 16, 1964, to Richard Essex, doing business as Essex Transportation Co., Dedham, Mass., evidencing a right to engage in transportation in interstate or foreign commerce,

of general commodities within a 60 mile radius of City Hall, Worcester, Mass. Richard Essex, 63 Boulevard Rd., Dedham, Mass. 02026, transferee and Richard W. Rousell, 35 Filmore St., Plymouth, Mass. 02360, transferor.

[SEAL] ROBERT L. OSWALD,
Secretary.

[FR Doc.75-6655 Filed 3-12-75;8:45 am]

[Notice No. 30]

TEMPORARY AUTHORITY TERMINATION

The temporary authorities granted in the dockets listed below have expired as a result of final action either granting or denying the issuance of a Certificate or Permit in a corresponding application for permanent authority, on the date indicated below:

Temporary authority application	Final action or certificate or permit	Date of action
The Blue Diamond Co., MC-113106 Sub-38	MC-113106 Sub-37	May 14, 1974
Curtis, Inc., MC-113678 Sub-485	MC-113678 Sub-491	May 9, 1974
Curtis, Inc., MC-113678 Sub-515	MC-113678 Sub-479	Do.
General Carage Co., Inc., MC-114829 Sub-9	MC-114829 Sub-8	May 22, 1974
Colonial Fast Freight Lines, MC-115840 Sub-88	MC-115840 Sub-90	May 7, 1974
Jim Tiona, Jr., MC-118535 Sub-54	MC-118535 Sub-56	May 8, 1974
Uintah Freightways, MC-120008 Sub-20	MC-120008 Sub-21	May 13, 1974
Provost Cartage, Inc., MC-123233 Sub-44	MC-123233 Sub-49	May 16, 1974
Wisconsin Coach Lines, Inc., MC-123432 Sub-9	MC-123432 Sub-10	May 2, 1974

[SEAL] ROBERT L. OSWALD,
Secretary.

[FR Doc.75-6651 Filed 3-12-75;8:45 am]

[Notice No. 20]

MOTOR CARRIER, BROKER, WATER CARRIER AND FREIGHT FORWARDER APPLICATIONS

MARCH 7, 1975.

The following applications (except as otherwise specifically noted, each applicant (on applications filed after March 27, 1972) states that there will be no significant effect on the quality of the human environment resulting from approval of its application), are governed by Special Rule 1100.247[1] of the Commission's general rules of practice (49 CFR, as amended), published in the FEDERAL REGISTER issue of April 20, 1966, effective May 20, 1966. These rules provide, among other things, that a protest to the granting of an application must be filed with the Commission within 30 days after date of notice of filing of the application is published in the FEDERAL REGISTER. Failure seasonably to file a protest will be construed as a waiver of opposition and participation in the proceeding. A protest under these rules should comply with section 247(d)(3) of the rules of practice which requires that it set forth specifically the grounds upon which it is made, contain a detailed statement of protestant's interest in the proceeding (including a copy of the specific portions of its authority which protestant believes to be in conflict with that sought in the application, and describing in detail the method—whether by joinder, interline, or other means—by which protestant would use such authority to provide all or part of the service proposed), and shall specify with particularity the facts, matters, and things relied upon, but shall not include issues or allegations phrased generally. Protests not in reasonable compliance with the requirements of the rules may be rejected. The original and one (1) copy of the protest shall be filed with the Commission, and a copy shall be served concurrently upon applicant's representative, or applicant if no representative is named. If the protest includes a request for oral hearing, such requests shall meet the requirements of section 247(d)(4) of the special rules, and shall include the certification required therein.

Section 247(f) of the Commission's rules of practice further provides that each applicant shall, if protests to its application have been filed, and within 60 days of the date of this publication, notify the Commission in writing (1) that it is ready to proceed and prosecute the application, or (2) that it wishes to withdraw the application, failure in which the application will be dismissed by the Commission.

Further processing steps (whether modified procedure, oral hearing, or other procedures) will be determined generally in accordance with the Commission's general policy statement concerning motor carrier licensing procedures, published in the FEDERAL REGISTER issue of May 3, 1966. This assignment will be by Commission order which will be served on each party of record. Broadening amendments will not be accepted after March 13, 1975, except for good cause shown, and restrictive amendments will not be entertained following publication in the FEDERAL REGISTER of a notice that the proceeding has been assigned for oral hearing.

No. MC 730 (Sub-No. 376), filed February 14, 1975. Applicant: PACIFIC INTERMOUNTAIN EXPRESS CO., 1417 Clay Street, P.O. Box 958, Oakland, Calif. 94604. Applicant's representative: Alfred G. Krebs (same address as applicant). Authority sought to operate as a *common carrier*, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: *Meats, meat products, meat byproducts and articles distributed by meat packinghouses*, as described in Sections A and C of Appendix I to the report in *Descriptions in Motor Carrier Certificates*, 61 M.C.C. 209 and 766 (except hides and commodities in bulk), from the plantsite and storage facilities of or utilized by Farmland Foods, Inc., located at or near Crete, Nebr., to points in Arizona, California, Colorado, Idaho, Montana, Nevada, New Mexico, Oregon, Utah, Washington, and Wyoming, restricted to the transportation of traffic originating at the above origin and destined to the above-named destinations.

NOTE.—Common control may be involved. If a hearing is deemed necessary, the applicant requests it be held at either Omaha, or Lincoln, Nebr.

No. MC 2202 (Sub-No. 478), filed February 10, 1975. Applicant: ROADWAY EXPRESS, INC., 1077 Gorge Blvd., P.O. Box 471, Akron, Ohio 44309. Applicant's representative: William O. Turney, 2001 Massachusetts Ave. NW., Washington, D.C. 20036. Authority sought to operate as a *common carrier*, by motor vehicle, over regular routes, transporting: *General commodities* (except those of unusual value, Classes A and B explosives, household goods as defined by the Commission, commodities in bulk, and those requiring special equipment): (1) Between the junction of U.S. Highway 61 and the Missouri-Iowa State Boundary line and Dubuque, Iowa: From the junction of U.S. Highway 61 and the Missouri-Iowa State Boundary line over U.S. Highway 61 to Dubuque, and return over the same route, serving all intermediate points; (2) Between Davenport, Iowa and Dubuque, Iowa: From Davenport over U.S. Highway 67 to junction U.S. Highway 52, thence over U.S. Highway 52 to Dubuque, and return over the same route, serving all intermediate points; (3) Between the junction of the Missouri-Iowa State Boundary line and Iowa Highway 40 and the junction of Iowa Highway 2 and U.S. Highway 61: From the junction of the Missouri-Iowa State Boundary line and Iowa Highway 40 over Iowa Highway 40 to junction Iowa Highway 2, thence over Iowa Highway 2 to junction U.S. Highway 61, and return over the same route, serving all intermediate points; (4) Between Corydon, Iowa and Dubuque, Iowa: From Corydon over Iowa Highway 14 to junction U.S. Highway 20, thence over U.S. Highway 20 to Dubuque, and return over the same route, serving all intermediate points.

(5) Between the junction of the Missouri-Iowa State Boundary line and U.S. Highway 63 and Waterloo, Iowa: From the junction of the Missouri-Iowa State Boundary line and U.S. Highway 63 over U.S. Highway 63 to Waterloo, and return over the same route, serving all intermediate points; (6) Between Chariton, Iowa and Burlington, Iowa: From Chariton over U.S. Highway 34 to Burlington, and return over the same route, serving all intermediate points; (7) Between Knoxville, Iowa and the junction of Iowa Highway 92 and U.S. Highway 61: From Knoxville over Iowa Highway 92 to junction U.S. Highway 61, and return over the same route, serving all intermediate points; (8) Between Monroe, Iowa and Oskaloosa, Iowa: From Monroe over Iowa

[1] Copies of Special Rule 247 (as amended) can be obtained by writing to the Secretary, Interstate Commerce Commission, Washington, D.C. 20423.

Highway 163 to Oskaloosa, and return over the same route, serving all intermediate points; (9) Between Marshalltown, Iowa and Clinton, Iowa: From Marshalltown over U.S. Highway 30 to Clinton, and return over the same route, serving all intermediate points; (10) Between Newton, Iowa and Davenport, Iowa: From Newton over U.S. Highway 6 to Davenport, and return over the same route, serving all intermediate points; (11) Between Cedar Rapids, Iowa and the junction of Iowa Highway 149 and U.S. Highway 63: From Cedar Rapids over Iowa Highway 149 to junction U.S. Highway 63, and return over the same route, serving all intermediate points; (12) Between Newport, Iowa and the junction of Iowa Highway 78 and Iowa Highway 149: From Newport over Iowa Highway 78 to junction Iowa Highway 149, and return over the same route, serving all intermediate points; (13) Between the junction of Iowa Highway 1 and Iowa Highway 2 and Fairview, Iowa: From the junction of Iowa Highway 1 and Iowa Highway 2 over Iowa Highway 1 to Fairview, and return over the same route, serving all intermediate points; (14) Between Keokuk, Iowa and Waterloo, Iowa: From Keokuk over U.S. Highway 218 to Waterloo, and return over the same route, serving all intermediate points;

(15) Between Cedar Rapids, Iowa and Independence, Iowa: From Cedar Rapids over Iowa Highway 150 to Independence, and return over the same route, serving all intermediate points; (16) Between Cedar Rapids, Iowa and the junction of U.S. Highway 151 and U.S. Highway 61: From Cedar Rapids over U.S. Highway 151 to junction U.S. Highway 61, and return over the same route, serving all intermediate points; (17) Between Anamosa, Iowa and the junction of Iowa Highway 64 and U.S. Highway 67: From Anamosa over Iowa Highway 64 to junction U.S. Highway 67, and return over the same route, serving all intermediate points; (18) Between the junction of U.S. Highway 151 and Iowa Highway 13 and Manchester, Iowa: From the junction of U.S. Highway 151 and Iowa Highway 13 over Iowa Highway 13 to Manchester, and return over the same route, serving all intermediate points; and serving points in Lee, Van Buren, Davis, Appanoose, Wayne, Lucas, Monroe, Wapello, Jefferson, Henry, Des Moines, Louisa, Washington, Keokuk, Mahasha, Marion, Jasper, Poweshiek, Iowa, Johnson, Muscatine, Scott, Cedar, Clinton, Jackson, Jones, Linn, Benton, Tama, Marshall, Grundy, Black Hawk, Buchanan, Delaware, and Dubuque Counties, Iowa, as off-route points in connection with (1) through (18) above, restricted in (1) through (18) above against the transportation of traffic originating at or destined to points in Nebraska, Kansas, Missouri, Chicago, Ill., Minnesota and Wisconsin; and (19) Between Omaha, Nebr. and Lincoln, Nebr.: From Omaha over Interstate Highway 80 to Lincoln, and return over the same route, serving no intermediate points, restricted in (19) against the transportation of traffic originating at or destined to points in Iowa, Kansas, Missouri, Chicago, Ill., Minnesota and Wisconsin.

NOTE.—Common control may be involved. If a hearing is deemed necessary, applicant requests it be held at Des Moines and/or Davenport, Iowa.

No. MC 2202 (Sub-No. 479), filed February 10, 1975. Applicant: ROADWAY EXPRESS, INC., 1077 Gorge Blvd., P.O. Box 471, Akron, Ohio 44309. Applicant's representative: William O. Turney, 2001 Massachusetts Ave. NW., Washington, D.C. 20036. Authority sought to operate as a *common carrier*, by motor vehicle, over regular routes, transporting: *General commodities* (except those of unusual value, Classes A and B explosives, livestock, household goods as defined by the Commission, commodities in bulk, and those requiring special equipment), serving McDonough, Ga., as an off-route point in connection with applicant's present regular route operations.

NOTE.—Common control may be involved. If a hearing is deemed necessary, the applicant requests it be held at Atlanta, Ga., or Washington, D.C.

No. MC 4405 (Sub-No. 520), filed February 18, 1975. Applicant: DEALERS TRANSIT, INC., 2200 E. 170th Street, P.O. Box 361, Lansing, Ill. 60438. Applicant's representative: Robert E. Joyner, 2008 Clark Tower, 5100 Poplar Avenue, Memphis, Tenn. 38137. Authority sought to operate as a *common carrier*, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: *Sewage pumping stations, sewage treatment plants and parts thereof*, from the plantsite of Davis Water & Waste Industries, Inc., at Thomasville, Ga., to points in the United States (except Alaska and Hawaii).

NOTE.—Common control may be involved. If a hearing is deemed necessary, the applicant requests it be held at either Tallahassee or Jacksonville, Fla., or Atlanta, Ga.

No. MC 15975 (Sub-No. 9), filed February 14, 1975. Applicant: BUSKE LINES, INC., 123 W. Tyler Ave., Litchfield, Ill. 62056. Applicant's representative: Harold Buske (same address as applicant). Authority sought to operate as a *common carrier*, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: (A) *Self-unloading spreader bodies and spreader truck bodies* set up, *parts and attachments* therefor, and *tube type auger loaders, unloaders* and/or *blenders;* and (B) *materials, equipment and supplies* used or useful in the manufacture and distribution of spreaders bodies and spreader truck bodies, tube type auger loaders, unloaders and/or blenders, between Jerseyville, Ill., on the one hand, and, on the other, points in the United States in and east of Idaho, Utah and Arizona, restricted to traffic originating at or destined to the plantsite and facilities of Kraus Manufacturing Company at or near Jerseyville, Ill.

NOTE.—Applicant holds contract carrier authority in MC 43246 and Subs thereunder, therefore dual operations may be involved. If a hearing is deemed necessary, applicant requests it be held at either Springfield, Ill., or St. Louis, Mo.

No. MC 17002 (Sub-No. 47), filed January 31, 1975. Applicant: CASE DRIVEWAY, INC., 100 22d Street, Huntington, W. Va. 25714. Applicant's representative: John M. Friedman, 2930 Putnam Avenue, Hurricane, W. Va. 25526. Authority sought to operate as a *common carrier*, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: *Iron and steel articles* as described in Appendix V to the report in *Descriptions in Motor Carrier Certificates*, 61 M.C.C. 209, from Huntington, W. Va., to points in Alabama, Alaska, Arizona, Arkansas, California, Colorado, Delaware, Florida, Georgia, Illinois, Indiana, Iowa, Kansas, Louisiana, Maine, Maryland, Michigan, Minnesota, Mississippi, Missouri, Montana, Nebraska, Nevada, New Hampshire, New Jersey, New Mexico, New York, North Carolina, Ohio, Oklahoma, Oregon, Pennsylvania, South Dakota, Texas, Vermont, Virginia, Wisconsin, Wyoming, and the District of Columbia.

NOTE.—If a hearing is deemed necessary, applicant requests it be held at Charleston, W. Va., Columbus, Ohio, or Washington, D.C.

No. MC 17002 (Sub-No. 48), filed February 3, 1975. Applicant: CASE DRIVEWAY, INC., 100 22d Street, Huntington, W. Va. 25714. Applicant's representative: John M. Friedman, 2930 Putnam Avenue, Hurricane, W. Va. 25526. Authority sought to operate as a *common carrier*, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: (1) *Iron and steel articles*, from points in Pennsylvania west of U.S. Highway 15; Ohio, West Virginia, Virginia, and Kentucky, to points in Kentucky, Arkansas, Oklahoma, Missouri (except St. Louis and points within its Commercial Zone), Iowa, Kansas, South Dakota, Wisconsin, Maine, New Hampshire, Vermont, ports of entry on the International Boundary line between the United States and Canada at or near Sweetgrass, Mont., International Falls, Minn., Port Huron and Detroit, Mich., Niagara Falls, N.Y.; Arizona, California, Colorado, Minnesota, Montana, Nevada, New Mexico, Oregon, and Wyoming; and (2) *mine and pit cars*, from points in Ohio, those in Pennsylvania west of U.S. Highway 15; West Virginia, Kentucky, and Virginia, to points in Alabama, Kentucky, and Virginia.

NOTE.—The purpose of this application is to eliminate gateways at Huntington, W. Va. and Cabell County, W. Va. If a hearing is deemed necessary, applicant requests it be held at either Charleston, W. Va., Columbus, Ohio, or Washington, D.C.

No. MC 20992 (Sub-No. 33), filed February 10, 1975. Applicant: DOTSETH TRUCK LINE, INC., Knapp, Wis. 54749. Applicant's representative: Patrick E. Quinn, 605 South 14th Street, P.O. Box 82028, Lincoln, Nebr. 68501. Authority sought to operate as a common carrier, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: (1) *Such commodities* as are dealt in, or used by agricultural equipment, industrial equipment, and lawn and leisure product dealers (except commodities in bulk), from the facilities of Deere & Company in Dodge County, Wis., to points in Kansas, Minnesota, Missouri, Nebraska, North Dakota, South

Dakota, and Wisconsin; and (2) *returned shipments* of the above-named commodities, from the destination states named in (1) above to the facilities of Deere & Company in Dodge County, Wis., restricted in (1) above: (a) to traffic originating at the named facilities of Deere & Company, and (b) to traffic destined to the points named (except that the restriction in (b) above shall not apply to traffic moving in foreign commerce), and restricted in (2) above to traffic destined to the named facilities of Deere & Company.

NOTE.—Common control may be involved. If a hearing is deemed necessary, applicant requests it be held at Washington, D.C., or Chicago, Ill.

No. MC 25798 (Sub-No. 269), filed February 10, 1975. Applicant: CLAY HYDER TRUCKING LINES, INC., Post Office Box 1186, Auburndale, Fla. 33823. Applicant's representative: Tony G. Russell (same address as applicant). Authority sought to operate as a *common carrier*, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: *Plastic film*, in vehicles equipped with mechanical refrigeration, from the plantsite of Reynolds Metals Co., at or near Grottoes, Va., to points in Arkansas.

NOTE.—Common control may be involved. If a hearing is deemed necessary, applicant requests it be held at Richmond, Va., or Washington, D.C.

No. MC 26739 (Sub-No. 83), filed February 10, 1975. Applicant: CROUCH FREIGHT SYSTEMS INC., P.O. Box 1059, St. Joseph, Mo. 64502. Applicant's representative: Roland Rice, 1111 E Street NW., Suite 618, Washington, D.C. 20004. Authority sought to operate as a *common carrier*, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: *Such commodities* as are dealt in, or used by, agricultural equipment, industrial equipment, and lawn and leisure product dealers (except commodities in bulk), from the facilities of Deere & Company in Rock Island County, Ill., and Black Hawk, Dubuque, Polk, Scott and Wapello Counties, Iowa, to points in Kansas, Missouri, and Oklahoma; and (2) *returned shipments* of the above-named commodities, from the destination states named in (1) above, to the facilities of Deere & Company named in (1) above, restricted in (1) above: (a) to traffic originating at the named facilities of Deere & Company, and (b) to traffic destined to the points named (except that the restriction in (b) above shall not apply to traffic moving in foreign commerce) and restricted in (2) above to traffic destined to the named facilities of Deere & Company.

NOTE.—Common control may be involved. If a hearing is deemed necessary, applicant requests it be held at Washington, D.C., or Chicago, Ill.

No. MC 29910 (Sub-No. 156), filed Feb. 17, 1975. Applicant: ARKANSAS-BEST FREIGHT SYSTEM, INC., 301 South 11th Street, Fort Smith, Ark. 72901. Applicant's representative: Thomas Harper, P.O. Box 43, Kelley Building, Fort Smith, Ark. 72901. Authority sought to operate as a *common carrier*, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: (1) *Automobile parts, and materials*, used in the production of automobile parts; and (2) *machinery and machines, and machine parts*, between the plantsites of Arvin Industries, Inc., at or near Franklin and Greenwood, Ind., on the one hand, and, on the other, the plantsite of Arvin Industries, Inc., at or near Monticello, Ark., restricted to traffic originating at, or destined to the above-named points.

NOTE.—Common control may be involved. If a hearing is deemed necessary, applicant requests it be held at Indianapolis, Ind., or Memphis, Tenn.

No. MC 29910 (Sub-No. 157), filed Feb. 19, 1975. Applicant: ARKANSAS-BEST FREIGHT SYSTEM, INC., 301 South 11th Street, Fort Smith, Ark. 72901. Applicant's representative: Thomas Harper, P.O. Box 43, Kelley Building, Fort Smith, Ark. 72901. Authority sought to operate as a *common carrier*, by motor vehicle, over regular routes, transporting: *General commodities* (except those of unusual value, Classes A and B explosives, household goods as defined by the Commission, commodities in bulk, and those requiring special equipment): Serving all points in Union County, Ark., as off-route points in connection with carrier's authorized regular route operation, from and to El Dorado, Ark.

NOTE.—Common control may be involved. If a hearing is deemed necessary, applicant requests it be held at Shreveport, La., or Little Rock, Ark.

No. MC 30487 (Sub-No. 5), filed February 10, 1975. Applicant: DEARMAN MOVING AND STORAGE CO., a corporation, P.O. Box 1, Ontario, Ohio 44862. Applicant's representative: Robert L. Baker, 618 Hamilton Bank Building, Nashville, Tenn. 37219. Authority sought to operate as a *common carrier*, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: (1) *Equipment, materials and supplies* used in the manufacture and installation of telecommunications equipment and parts, from points in the United States (except Alaska and Hawaii), to points in Washington County, Tenn., and Ohio; and (2) *household goods*, as defined by the Commission: (a) between points in Washington County, Tenn., on the one hand, and, on the other, points in North Carolina, Georgia, California, Washington, Oregon, Alabama, Texas, Nebraska, Kansas, Colorado, Delaware, Florida, Illinois, Indiana, Delaware, Florida, Illinois, Indiana, Iowa, Kentucky, Connecticut, Maryland, Massachusetts, Michigan, Minnesota, Missouri, New York, New Jersey, Pennsylvania, Rhode Island, South Carolina, Virginia, West Virginia, Wisconsin, and District of Columbia; and (b) between points in Ohio, on the one hand, and, on the other, points in North Carolina, Georgia, California, Washington, Oregon, Alabama, Texas, Nebraska, and Kansas.

NOTE.—Common control may be involved. If a hearing is deemed necessary, applicant requests it be held at Washington, D.C., or Johnson City, Tenn.

No. MC 30844 (Sub-No. 529), filed February 5, 1975. Applicant: KROBLIN REFRIGERATED XPRESS, INC., 2125 Commercial Street, Waterloo, Iowa 50702. Applicant's representative: Larry Strickler (same address as applicant). Authority sought to operate as a *common carrier*, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: *Air cleaner filter paper*, from West Groton, Mass., to Dixon, Ill., Cresco, Iowa, Minneapolis, Minn., and Kirksville, Mo.

NOTE.—Common control may be involved. If a hearing is deemed necessary, applicant requests it be held at either Minneapolis, Minn. or Washington, D.C.

No. MC 31389 (Sub-No. 195), filed February 18, 1975. Applicant: McCLEAN TRUCKING COMPANY, a Corporation, 617 Waughtown Street, P.O. Box 213, Winston-Salem, N.C. 27102. Applicant's representative: David F. Eshelman (same address as applicant). Authority sought to operate as a *common carrier*, by motor vehicle, over regular routes, transporting: *General commodities* (except those of unusual value, Classes A and B explosives, household goods as defined by the Commission, commodities in bulk, and those requiring special equipment): Serving the plant site and warehouse facilities of Virginia Fibre Corp., located at Riverville, Va., in conjunction with applicant's regular route operations, to and from Lynchburg, Va.

NOTE.—Common control may be involved. If a hearing is deemed necessary, applicant requests it be held at Richmond, Va., or Washington, D.C.

No. MC 35358 (Sub-No. 37), filed January 23, 1975. Applicant: BERGER TRANSFER AND STORAGE, INC., 3720 Macalaster Drive NE., Minneapolis, Minn. 55421. Applicant's representative: Andrew R. Clark, 1000 First National Bank Building, Minneapolis, Minn. 55402. Authority sought to operate as a *common carrier*, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: *Furniture, fixtures and furnishings*, from points in Michigan, to points in Iowa, North Dakota, South Dakota, Nebraska, Texas, Oklahoma, Florida, and Wisconsin.

NOTE.—If a hearing is deemed necessary, the applicant requests it be held at Chicago, Ill.

No. MC 41406 (Sub-No. 49), filed February 12, 1975. Applicant: ARTIM TRANSPORTATION SYSTEM, INC., 7105 Kennedy Ave., P.O. Box 2176, Hammond, Ind. 46323. Applicant's representative: William J. Walsh (same address as applicant). Authority sought to operate as a *common carrier*, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: *Iron and steel articles*, from Gerald, Mo., to points in Indiana, Illinois, Iowa, Wisconsin, Kentucky, Ohio, Michigan, New York, Pennsylvania, and West Virginia.

NOTE.—Common control may be involved. If a hearing is deemed necessary, the applicant requests it be held at either St. Louis, or Chicago, Ill., or Washington, D.C.

No. MC 42011 (Sub-No. 15), filed February 13, 1975. Applicant: D. Q. WISE &

CO., INC., P.O. Box 15125, Tulsa, Okla. 74115. Applicant's representative: James W. Hightower, 136 Wynnewood Professional Building, Dallas, Tex. 75224. Authority sought to operate as a *common carrier*, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: *Recycled non-ferrous metal scrap, slabs and ingots, aluminum dross, aluminum slag, silicone, metallic silicate*, and *non-ferrous metal ingots and slabs*, between Checotah, Okla., on the one hand, and, on the other, points in Arkansas, Illinois, Indiana, Iowa, Kansas, Kentucky, Louisiana, Michigan, Minnesota, Missouri, Nebraska, Oklahoma, Tennessee, Texas, and Wisconsin, restricted to shipments originating at or destined to the facilities Apex International Alloys, Inc. at Checotah, Okla.

NOTE.—If a hearing is deemed necessary, applicant requests it be held at Chicago, Ill.

No. MC 42261 (Sub-No. 120), filed February 10, 1975. Applicant: LANGER TRANSPORT CORP., Box 305, Jersey City, N.J. 07303. Applicant's representative: W. C. Mitchell, 370 Lexington Avenue, New York, N.Y. 10017. Authority sought to operate as a *common carrier*, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: *Containers, container ends, caps and closures and accessories, materials, equipment and supplies* used in the manufacture, sale and distribution thereof, from points in Middlesex and Somerset Counties, N.J., to points in Connecticut, Delaware, the District of Columbia, Maine, Maryland, Massachusetts, New Hampshire, New Jersey, New York, Pennsylvania, Rhode Island, Vermont and West Virginia.

NOTE.—If a hearing is deemed necessary, applicant requests it be held at Newark, N.J., New York, N.Y., or Washington, D.C.

No. MC 42261 (Sub-No. 121), filed February 18, 1975. Applicant: LANGER TRANSPORT CORP., Box 305, Jersey City, N.J. 07303. Applicant's representative: W. C. Mitchell, 370 Lexington Avenue, New York, N.Y. 10017. Authority sought to operate as a *common carrier*, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: *Resins*, in bulk, in tank vehicles, from Tewkesbury, Mass., to Glen Falls, Greenwich, Newburgh and Tonawanda, N.Y., and Valley Forge, Oaks, and Kennett Square, Pa.

NOTE.—If a hearing is deemed necessary, the applicant requests it be held at Boston, Mass.; New York, N.Y., or Washington, D.C.

No. MC 42537 (Sub-No. 52), filed February 14, 1975. Applicant: CASSENS TRANSPORT COMPANY, a Corporation, P.O. Box 468, Edwardsville, Ill. 62025. Applicant's representative: Donald W. Smith, Suite 2465, One Indiana Square, Indianapolis, Ind. 46204. Authority sought to operate as a *common carrier*, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: *Trucks*, in initial movements in truckaway and driveaway service, from the plant sites and storage facilities of Chrysler Corporation in St. Louis County, Mo., to points in Minnesota.

NOTE.—Common control may be involved. If a hearing is deemed necessary, applicant requests it be held at either Detroit, Mich., or St. Louis, Mo.

No. MC 45532 (Sub-No. 1), filed February 3, 1975. Applicant: A. SALAVITCH AND SONS COMPANY, a Corporation, 1803 North Milwaukee Avenue, Chicago, Ill. 60647. Applicant's representative: Themis N. Anastos, 120 West Madison Street, Chicago, Ill. 60602. Authority sought to operate as a *contract carrier*, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: *Nuts and bolts*, from points in the Chicago, Ill. Commercial Zone as defined by the Commission, to the plantsite of the Heads & Threads Co. (Division of MSI Industries), at Northbrook, Ill., under contract with Heads & Threads Co.

NOTE.—If a hearing is deemed necessary, the applicant requests it be held at Chicago, Ill.

No. MC 59367 (Sub-No. 95), filed February 10, 1975. Applicant: DECKER TRUCK LINE, INC., P.O. Box 915, Fort Dodge, Iowa 50501. Applicant's representative: William L. Fairbank, 1980 Financial Center, Des Moines, Iowa 50309. Authority sought to operate as a *common carrier*, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: *Meats, meat products, meat by-products and articles distributed by meat packinghouses*, as described in Sections A and C of Appendix I to the report in *Descriptions in Motor Carrier Certificates*, 61 M.C.C. 209 and 766 (except hides and commodities in bulk), from the plantsite and storage facilities of or utilized by Farmland Foods, Inc., located at or near Crete, Nebr., to points in Illinois, Indiana, Iowa, Kansas, Michigan, Minnesota, Missouri, North Dakota, Ohio, South Dakota, and Wisconsin, restricted to the transportation of traffic originating at the above specified origin, and destined to the named destinations.

NOTE.—If a hearing is deemed necessary, applicant requests it be held at Kansas City, Mo.

No. MC 61396 (Sub-No. 279), filed February 10, 1975. Applicant: HERMAN BROS. INC., 2656 St. Marys Ave., P.O. Box 189, Omaha, Nebr. 68101. Applicant's representative: John E. Smith, II (same address as applicant). Authority sought to operate as a *common carrier*, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: *Argon, helium, hydrogen, nitrogen, and oxygen*, in bulk, in tank vehicles, (1) from East Alton, Ill., to points in Alabama, Colorado, Michigan, and Ohio; (2) from Mt. Vernon, Ind., to points in Alabama, Colorado, Iowa, Illinois, Kansas, Kentucky, Michigan, Missouri, Ohio, Pennsylvania, Tennessee, and West Virginia; (3) from Chester, W. Va., to points in Alabama, Colorado, Iowa, Illinois, Indiana, Kansas, Kentucky, Michigan, Missouri, Pennsylvania, Ohio, and Tennessee; (4) from Albion, Mich., to points in Alabama, Colorado, Iowa, Illinois, Indiana, Kansas, Kentucky, Missouri, Ohio, Tennessee, West Virginia, and Wisconsin; (5) from Chicago, Morris and Granite City, Ill., to points in Alabama, Colorado, Iowa, Indiana, Kansas, Kentucky, Michigan, Missouri, Ohio, Tennessee, West Virginia, and Wisconsin; (6) from Kansas City and Neosho, Mo., to points in Alabama, Colorado, Iowa, Illinois, Indiana, Kansas, Kentucky, Michigan, Ohio, Tennessee, and West Virginia; (7) from Ashland, Ky., to points in Alabama, Colorado, Iowa, Illinois, Indiana, Kansas, Michigan, Missouri, Ohio, Tennessee, Pennsylvania, and West Virginia; (8) from Middletown, Ohio, to points in Alabama, Colorado, Iowa, Illinois, Indiana, Kansas, Kentucky, Michigan, Missouri, Tennessee, Pennsylvania, and West Virginia; and (9) from Warren, Ohio, to points in Alabama, Colorado, Michigan, Pennsylvania, and West Virginia.

NOTE.—If a hearing is deemed necessary, the applicant requests it be held at either Omaha, Nebr., or Washington, D.C.

No. MC 61396 (Sub-No. 280), filed February 10, 1975. Applicant: HERMAN BROS. INC., 2565 St. Marys Ave., P.O. Box 189, Omaha, Nebr. 68101. Applicant's representative: John E. Smith, II (same address as applicant). Authority sought to operate as a *common carrier*, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: *Liquid animal feed and liquid animal feed supplements*, in bulk, in tank vehicles, from the site of Land O'Lakes, Inc., at or near Clarence, Iowa, to points in Illinois, Minnesota, Nebraska, North Dakota, South Dakota, and Wisconsin.

NOTE.—If a hearing is deemed necessary, the applicant requests it be held at either Omaha, Nebr., or Des Moines, Iowa.

No. MC 61403 (Sub-No. 230), filed February 6, 1975. Applicant: THE MASON AND DIXON TANK LINES, INC., Highway 11-W, P.O. Box 969, Kingsport, Tenn. 37662. Applicant's representative: W. C. Mitchell, 370 Lexington Ave., Suite 1201, New York, New York 10017. Authority sought to operate as a *common carrier*, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: *Plastic pellets and dry chemicals*, in bulk, from Selkirk, N.Y., to points in the United States (except Alaska and Hawaii).

NOTE.—Common control may be involved. If a hearing is deemed necessary, the applicant requests it be held at Washington, D.C.

No. MC 61592 (Sub-No. 340), filed February 10, 1975. Applicant: JENKINS TRUCK LINE, INC., P.O. Box 697, R.R. 3, Jeffersonville, Ind. 47130. Applicant's representative: E. A. DeVine, P.O. Box 737, 101 First Ave., Moline, Ill. 61265. Authority sought to operate as a *common carrier*, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: (1) *Such commodities* as are dealt in, or used by, agricultural equipment, industrial equipment, and lawn and leisure product dealers (except commodities in bulk), (a) from the facilities of Deere and Company in Rock Island County, Ill., and Scott County, Iowa, to points in Arkansas, Illinois, Iowa, Louisiana, Minnesota, Wisconsin, and those in the upper peninsula of Michigan; (b) from the facilities of Deere and Company in Black Hawk and Dubuque Counties, Iowa to points in Arkansas, Louisiana, and Wisconsin; (c) from the facilities of Deere and Company

in Polk and Wapello Counties, Iowa, to points in Wisconsin and those in the upper peninsula of Michigan; (d) from the facilities of Deere and Company in Dodge County, Wis., to points in Illinois and Iowa; (e) from the facilities of Deere and Company in Dubuque County, Iowa, to points in Iowa; and (2) *Returned shipments* of the above-named commodities, from the destination states named in (1) above, to the facilities of Deere & Company named in (1) above. Restrictions: (A) The operations authorized in (1) above are restricted (a) to traffic originating at th enamed facilities of Deere & Company, and (b) to traffic destined to the points named, except that the restriction in (b) above shall not apply to traffic moving in foreign commerce; and (B) the operations authorized in (2) above are restricted to traffic destined to the named facilities of Deere & Company.

NOTE.—Common control may be involved. If a hearing is deemed necessary, the applicant requests it be held at Washington, D.C., or Chicago, Ill.

No. MC 69116 (Sub-No. 172), filed February 18, 1975. Applicant: SPECTOR FREIGHT SYSTEM, INC., 205 West Wacker Drive, Chicago, Ill. 60606. Applicant's representative: Leonard R. Kofkin, 39 South LaSalle Street, Chicago, Ill. 60603. Authority sought to operate as a *common carrier*, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: *Air coolers, heaters, humidifiers, blowers and fans, and parts and accessories thereof*, from Stuttgart, Ark., to points in Wisconsin, Illinois, Kentucky, Tennessee, Mississippi, Indiana, Michigan, Ohio, Pennsylvania, New York, Maryland, Delaware, New Jersey, Connecticut, Rhode Island, Massachusetts, New Hampshire, Vermont, Maine, Louisiana, Missouri, Iowa, and Minnesota.

NOTE.—If a hearing is deemed necessary, applicant requests it be held at Chicago, Ill.

No. MC 78118 (Sub-No. 26), filed February 13, 1975. Applicant: W. H. JOHNS, INC., 35 Witmer Road, Lancaster, Pa. 17602. Applicant's representative: Christian V. Graf, 407 North Front St., Harrisburg, Pa. 17101. Authority sought to operate as a *common carrier*, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: *General commodities* (except commodities in bulk, Classes A and B explosives, household goods as defined by the Commission, and commodities requiring special equipment), between the plantsite of PPG Industries, Inc., located at or near Cheswold, Del., on the one hand, and, on the other, points in New Jersey, Pennsylvania, Maryland, Ohio, and the District of Columbia.

NOTE.—If a hearing is deemed necessary, the applicant requests it be held at Washington, D.C., or Harrisburg, Pa.

No. MC 82492 (Sub-No. 117), filed February 13, 1975. Applicant: MICHIGAN & NEBRASKA TRANSIT CO., INC., P.O. Box 2853, 2109 Olmstead Road, Kalamazoo, Mich. 49003. Applicant's representative: William C. Harris (same address as applicant). Authority sought to operate as a *common carrier*, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: *Such commodities, as are dealt in by lawn and garden care centers, and materials, equipment and supplies*, used in the conduct of such business (except commodities in bulk), from points in Union County, Ohio, to points in Iowa, Michigan, Minnesota, North Dakota, South Dakota, and Wisconsin.

NOTE.—If a hearing is deemed necessary, applicant requests it be held at Columbus, Ohio; Chicago, Ill., or Washington, D.C.

No. MC 82841 (Sub-No. 153), filed February 10, 1975. Applicant: HUNT TRANSPORTATION, INC., 10770 "I" Street, Omaha, Nebr. 68127. Applicant's representative: Donald L. Stern, 530 Univac Building, 7100 West Center Road, Omaha, Nebr. 68106. Authority sought to operate as a *common carrier*, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: (1) *Such commodities* as are dealt in, or used by, agricultural equipment, industrial equipment, and lawn and leisure product dealers (except commodities in bulk), (a) from the facilities of Deere & Company in Rock Island County, Ill., and Black Hawk, Dubuque, Polk, Scott, and Wapello Counties, Iowa, to points in Colorado, Nebraska, South Dakota, and Wyoming; and (b) from the facilities of Deere & Company in Rock Island County, Ill., and Scott County, Iowa, to points in Kansas and Missouri, restricted (a) to traffic originating at the named facilities of Deere & Company; and (b) to traffic destined to the points named (except that the restriction in (b) above shall not apply to traffic moving in foreign commerce); and (2) *returned shipments* of the above named commodities, from the destination states named in (1) above, to the facilities of Deere & Company named in (1) above, restricted to traffic destined to the named facilities of Deere & Company.

NOTE.—If a hearing is deemed necessary, applicant requests it be held at either Washington, D.C., or Chicago, Ill.

No. MC 87909 (Sub-No. 19), filed January 27, 1975. Applicant: ARROW MOTOR FREIGHT LINE, INC., 2707 Territorial Rd., St. Paul, Minn. 55114. Applicant's representative: Paul Rhodes (same address as applicant). Authority sought to operate as a *common carrier*, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: *General commodities* (except those of unusual value, classes A and B explosives, household goods, as defined by the Commission, commodities in bulk, commodities requiring special equipment) serving the plantsite of Tennant Company located at Maple Grove, Minn.

NOTE.—Applicant states that the requested authority can be joindered at Minneapolis-St. Paul, Minn. to serve Waterloo, Iowa; points in Iowa on and east of Highway 169 and on the north of U.S. Highway 6. Common control may be involved. If a hearing is deemed necessary, the applicant requests it be held at either Minneapolis, Minn. or Washington, D.C.

No. MC 94201 (Sub-No. 130), filed February 18, 1975. Applicant: BOWMAN TRANSPORTATION, INC., P.O. Box 17744, Atlanta, Ga. 30316. Applicant's representative: Maurice F. Bishop, 603 Frank Nelson Building, Birmingham, Ala. 35203. Authority sought to operate as a *common carrier*, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: (1) *Iron or steel wire*, from the plantsites, storage and warehouse facilities of Ovalstrapping, Inc., located at or near Fort Payne in De Kalb County, Ala., to points in Georgia, Florida, South Carolina, North Carolina, Tennessee, Kentucky, Virginia, Arkansas, Mississippi, Louisiana, Texas, and New Hampshire; and (2) *empty spools*, from New Hampshire, Texas, Louisiana, Mississippi, Arkansas, Virginia, Kentucky, Tennessee, North Carolina, South Carolina, Florida, and Georgia, to the plantsites, storage and warehouse facilities of Ovalstrapping, Inc., located at or near Fort Payne in De Kalb County, Ala.

NOTE.—If a hearing is deemed necessary, the applicant requests it be held at Birmingham, Ala., Atlanta, Ga., or Washington, D.C.

No. MC 95540 (Sub-No. 923), filed February 13, 1975. Applicant: WATKINS MOTOR LINES, INC., 1940 Monroe Drive, P.O. Box 1636, Atlanta, Ga. 30301. Applicant's representative: Jerome F. Marks (same address as applicant). Authority sought to operate as a *common carrier*, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: *Meats, meat products, meat by-products, and articles distributed by meat packinghouses*, as described in Sections A and C of Appendix I to the report in *Descriptions in Motor Carrier Certificates*, 61 M.C.C. 209 and 766 (except hides and commodities in bulk), from the plantsites and storage facilities of MBPXL Corporation located at or near Wichita, Kans., to points in Tennessee, Louisiana, and Florida, restricted to the transportation of traffic originating at the above named origins and destined to the named destinations.

NOTE.—Common control may be involved. If a hearing is deemed necessary, the applicant requests it be held at Washington, D.C.

No. MC 97793 (Sub-No. 3), filed February 6, 1975. Applicant: JESUS J. RIOS, doing business as RIOS WOOD & COAL YARD, 324 Camino del Monte Sol, Santa Fe., N. Mex. 87501. Applicant's representative: Jesus Rios (same address as applicant). Authority sought to operate as a *common carrier*, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: *Building materials*, from Santa Fe, N. Mex., to points in Alamosa, Archuleta, Conejos, Costilla, La Plata, and Rio Grande Counties, Colo.

NOTE.—Applicant intends to tack the requested authority, with his pending lead authority at Santa Fe, N. Mex., to provide a through service from points in New Mexico to points in the above-specified counties in Colorado. If a hearing is deemed necessary, the applicant requests it be held at Santa Fe, N. Mex.

No. MC 99780 (Sub-No. 52), filed February 7, 1975. Applicant: CHIPPER CARTAGE COMPANY, INC., 1327 NE. Bond street, Peoria, Ill. 61603. Applicant's representative: John R. Zang, PO Box 1345, Peoria, Ill. 61601. Authority sought to operate as a *common carrier*, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: *Such merchandise* as is usually dealt in by wholesale, retail, and chain grocery food business (except commodities in bulk), from the plant sites, storage and/or warehouse facilities of the Kroger Co. in St. Louis, and Hazelwood, Mo., to the stores and warehouses of the Kroger Co. at points in Illinois, restricted to traffic originating and destined to the above named points.

NOTE.—If a hearing is deemed necessary, applicant requests it be held at Chicago, Ill.

No. MC 100666 (Sub-No. 292), filed February 7, 1975. Applicant: MELTON TRUCK LINES, INC., P.O. Box 7666, Shreveport, La. 71107. Applicant's representative: Wilburn L. Williamson, 280 National Foundation Life Bldg., 3535 NW. 58th, Oklahoma City, Okla. 73112. Authority sought to operate as a *common carrier*, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: (1) *Such commodities* as are dealt in, or used by, agricultural equipment, industrial equipment, and lawn and leisure product dealers (except commodities in bulk), (a) from the facilities of Deere and Company in Rock Island County, Ill., and Polk, Scott, and Wapello Counties, Iowa, to points in Arkansas, Louisiana, Mississippi, and Texas, (b) from the facilities of Deere and Company in Dodge County, Wis., and Black Hawk and Dubuque Counties, Iowa, to points in Arkansas, Louisiana, and Texas, (c) from the facilities of Deere and Company in Rock Island County, Ill., Scott County, Iowa, and Dodge County, Wis., to points in Oklahoma, (d) from the facilities of Deere and Company in Rock Island County, Ill., and Polk and Wapello Counties, Iowa, to points in Tennessee; and (2) *returned shipments* of the above-named commodities, from the destination states named in (1) above, to the facilities of Deere and Company named in (1) above, (A) the operations authorized in (1) above are restricted (a) to traffic originating at the named facilities of Deere & Company, and (b) to traffic destined to the points named, except that the restriction in (b) above shall not apply to traffic moving in foreign commerce; and (B) (2) above are restricted to traffic destined to the named facilities of Deere & Company.

NOTE.—If a hearing is deemed necessary, the applicant requests it be held at either Washington, D.C., or Chicago, Ill.

No. MC 102616 (Sub-No. 910), filed February 10, 1975. Applicant: COASTAL TANK LINES, INC., 215 East Waterloo Road, Akron, Ohio 44319. Applicant's representative: David F. McAllister (same address as applicant). Authority sought to operate as a *common carrier*, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: *Dry resins*, in bulk, in tank vehicles, from Lima, Ohio, to points in the United States (except Alaska and Hawaii).

NOTE.—Common control may be involved. If a hearing is deemed necessary, applicant requests it be held at Chicago, Ill., or Washington, D.C.

No. MC 103051 (Sub-No. 338), filed February 12, 1975. Applicant: FLEET TRANSPORT COMPANY, INC., 934 44th Ave. North, Nashville, Tenn. 37209. Applicant's representative: Russell E. Stone (same address as applicant). Authority sought to operate as a *common carrier*, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: (1) *Arsenic Acid*, in bulk, in tank vehicles, from Bryan, Tex., to Conley, Ga.; and (2) *Liquid Wood Preservatives*, in bulk, in tank vehicles, from Conley, Ga., to points in Arkansas, Louisiana, and Texas.

NOTE.—Common control may be involved. If a hearing is deemed necessary, the applicant requests it be held at either Nashville, Tenn., or Atlanta, Ga.

No. MC 107010 (Sub-No. 54), filed February 14, 1975. Applicant: BULK CARRIERS, INC., P.O. Box 423, Auburn, Nebr. 68305. Applicant's representative: Patrick E. Quinn, 605 South 14th Street, P.O. Box 82028, Lincoln, Nebr. 68501. Authority sought to operate as a *common carrier*, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: *Animal feed*, bagged from points in Richardson County, Nebr., to points in Iowa, Texas, Kansas, Missouri, Illinois, Tennessee, Arkansas, Colorado, North Dakota, Minnesota, Wisconsin, and Oklahoma.

NOTE.—If a hearing is deemed necessary, applicant requests it be held at either Lincoln or Omaha, Nebr.

No. MC 109397 (Sub-No. 311), filed February 13, 1975. Applicant: TRI-STATE MOTOR TRANSIT CO., P.O. Box 113 (Bus. Rte. I-44 east), Joplin, Mo. 64801. Applicant's representative: A. N. Jacobs (same address as applicant). Authority sought to operate as a *common carrier*, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: *Self-propelled paving machines, and trailers and parts therefor*, from Gwinnett County, Ga., to points in the United States (except Alaska and Hawaii).

NOTE.—Common control may be involved. If a hearing is deemed necessary, the applicant requests it be held at either Atlanta, Ga., or Washington, D.C.

No. MC 111401 (Sub-No. 443), filed February 24, 1975. Applicant: GROENDYKE TRANSPORT, INC., 2510 Rock Island Blvd., P.O. Box 632, Enid, Okla. 73701. Applicant's representative: Mr. Alvin J. Meiklejohn, Jr., Suite 1600 Lincoln Center, 1660 Lincoln Street, Denver, Colo. 80203. Authority sought to operate as a *common carrier*, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: *Liquid chemicals*, in bulk, in tank vehicles, from Baton Rouge, La., to points in the United States (except Alaska and Hawaii).

NOTE.—If a hearing is deemed necessary, the applicant requests it be held at New Orleans, La., or Houston, Tex.

No. MC 111545 (Sub-No. 210), filed February 6, 1975. Applicant: HOME TRANSPORTATION COMPANY, INC., 1425 Franklin Rd., Marietta, Ga. 30062. Applicant's represenative: Robert E. Born, P.O. Box 6426, Station A, Marietta, Ga. 30062. Authority sought to operate as a *common carrier*, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: (1) *Lift trucks and material handling equipment;* and (2) *parts, attachments, and accessories* for the commodities in (1) above, from points in McLennan County, Tex., to points in the United States (except Alaska and Hawaii).

NOTE.—If a hearing is deemed necessary, the applicant requests it be held at either Dallas, or Houston, Texas.

No. MC 111729 (Sub-No. 502), filed January 13, 1975. Applicant: PUROLATOR COURIER CORP., 2 Nevada Drive, Lake Success, N.Y. 11040. Applicant's representative: Peter A. Greene, 1625 K Street NW., Washington, D.C. 20006. Authority sought to operate as a *common carrier*, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: *General commodities* (except household goods, commodities in bulk, explosives, articles of unusual value, and commodities which because of their size and weight require special equipment), (A) between Spokane, Wash., on the one hand, and, on the other, points in Kootenai and Shoshone Counties, Idaho; and (B) between Portland, Oreg., on the one hand, and, on the other, points in Clark, Cowlitz, and Lewis Counties, Wash. Transportation in (A) and (B) above to be performed in courier type service, with pickups and deliveries to be effected within a specified period on a timely basis, restricted against the transportation of packages or articles weighing in excess of 150 pounds from one consignor to one consignee on any one day.

NOTE.—Applicant states that it intends to tack the requested authority with its existing authority at Spokane, Wash., and Portland, Oreg., to provide a through service between points in Washington and Oregon and between points in Washington and Idaho on movements not exceeding 300 miles from origin to destination. Common control and dual operations may be involved. If a hearing is deemed necessary, the applicant requests it be held at either Portland, Oreg., or Seattle, Wash.

No. MC 111729 (Sub-No. 511), filed February 3, 1975. Applicant: PUROLATOR COURIER CORP., 2 Nevada Drive, Lake Success, N.Y. 11040. Applicant's representative: Russell S. Bernhard, 1625 K Street NW., Washington, D.C. 20006. Authority sought to operate as a *common carrier*, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: (1) *Exposed and processed film and prints, complimentary replacement film, incidental dealer handling supplies*, and *advertising literature* (except motion picture film used primarily for commercial theatre and television exhibition), between Chicago, Ill., on the one hand, and, on the other, Algoma and Sturgeon Bay, Wis.; and (2) *radiopharmaceuticals, radioactive drugs, medical isotopes*, and *related*

supplies and accessories, between Arlington Heights, Ill., on the one hand, and, on the other, points in Kansas, Minnesota, Nebraska, North Dakota, and South Dakota.

NOTE.—Common control and dual operations may be involved. If a hearing is deemed necessary, applicant requests it be held at Washington, D.C.

No. MC 112184 (Sub-No. 46), filed February 20, 1975. Applicant: THE MANFREDI MOTOR TRANSIT COMPANY, a corporation, 11250 Kinsman Road, Newbury, Ohio 44065. Applicant's representative: John P. McMahon, 100 East Broad Street, Columbus, Ohio 43215. Authority sought to operate as a *contract carrier*, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: *Hydrofluoric acid*, in bulk in shipper-owned tank vehicles, from Cleveland, Ohio, to points in Massachusetts and Connecticut, under a continuing contract or contracts with Harshaw Chemical Company, Division of Kewanee Oil Company.

NOTE.—Applicant holds a common carrier authority in MC 128302 and Subs thereunder, therefore dual operations may be involved. If a hearing is deemed necessary, applicant requests it be held at either Columbus, Ohio, or Washington, D.C.

No. MC 112304 (Sub-No. 95), filed February 18, 1975. Applicant: ACE DORAN HAULING & RIGGING CO., a corporation, 1601 Blue Rock Street, Cincinnati, Ohio 45223. Applicant's representative: John D. Herbert (same address as applicant). Authority sought to operate as a *common carrier*, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: *Iron and steel articles*, between the plantsite of Triple "S" Steel Corporation, at Waukesha, Wis., on the one hand, and, on the other, points in Minnesota, Iowa, Nebraska, Missouri, Illinois, Indiana, and Michigan.

NOTE.—Common control may be involved. If a hearing is deemed necessary, applicant requests it be held at Washington, D.C., or Chicago, Ill.

No. MC 112520 (Sub-No. 300), filed February 13, 1975. Applicant: McKENZIE TANK LINES, INC., P.O. Box 1200, Tallahassee, Fla. 32302. Applicant's representative: Thomas F. Panebianco (same address as applicant). Authority sought to operate as a *common carrier*, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: *Natural gas liquids*, in bulk, in tank vehicles, from points in Escambia County, Ala., and Santa Rosa County, Fla., to points in Alabama, Florida, Georgia, and Mississippi.

NOTE.—Common control may be involved. If a hearing is deemed necessary, the applicant requests it be held at Pensacola, Fla., or Atlanta, Ga.

No. MC 112801 (Sub-No. 169), filed February 13, 1975. Applicant: TRANSPORT SERVICE CO., a corporation, 2 Salt Creek Lane, Hinsdale, Ill. 60521. Applicant's representative: Gene Smith (same address as applicant). Authority sought to operate as a *common carrier*, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: *Cryogenically liquefied oxygen, nitrogen and argon*, in bulk, in cryogenic tank trailers: (1) from Chicago, Ill., to points in the United States on and east of U.S. Highway 85 (except points in Indiana, Michigan, Minnesota, Missouri, Ohio, Iowa, and Wisconsin); and (2) from Mt. Vernon, Ind., to points in the United States on and east of U.S. Highway 85.

NOTE.—If a hearing is deemed necessary, applicant requests it be held at Chicago, Ill.

No. MC 112822 (Sub-No. 363), filed February 7, 1975. Applicant: BRAY LINES INCORPORATED, 1401 N. Little Street, P.O. Box 1191, Cushing, Okla. 74023. Applicant's representative: Charles D. Midkiff (same address as applicant). Authority sought to operate as a *common carrier*, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: (a) *Aquariums and aquarium supplies*; and (b) *materials and supplies*, used in the manufacture of aquariums, between Canton, Ga., on the one hand, and, on the other, points in the United States in and east of Montana, Wyoming, Colorado, and New Mexico.

NOTE.—If a hearing is deemed necessary, the applicant requests it be held at either Chicago, Ill., or St. Louis, Mo.

No. MC 113267 (Sub-No. 317), filed February 10, 1975. Applicant: CENTRAL & SOUTHERN TRUCK LINES, INC., 3215 Tulane Road, P.O. Box 30130 AMF, Memphis, Tenn. 38130. Applicant's representative: Lawrence A. Fischer (same address as applicant). Authority sought to operate as a *common carrier*, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: *Meat, meat products, meat by-products and articles distributed by meat packinghouses as* described in Sections A and C of Appendix I to the report in *Descriptions in Motor Carrier Certificates*, 61 M.C.C. 209 and 766 (except hides and commodities in bulk), from the plantsite and storage facilities of or utilized by Farmland Foods, Inc., located at or near Crete, Nebr., to points in Alabama, Florida, Georgia, Kentucky, Mississippi, North Carolina, South Carolina, and Tennessee, restricted to the transportation of traffic originating at the above origin and destined to the above-named destinations.

NOTE.—If a hearing is deemed necessary, the applicant requests it be held at either Kansas City, Mo., or Washington, D.C.

No. MC 113651 (Sub-No. 181), filed February 6, 1975. Applicant: INDIANA REFRIGERATOR LINES, INC., 2404 North Broadway, Muncie, Ind. 47303. Applicant's representative: Daniel C. Sullivan, 327 South LaSalle Street, Suite 1000, Chicago, Ill. 60604. Authority sought to operate as a *common carrier*, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: *Meats, meat products, and meat by-products*, and *articles distributed by meat packinghouses*, as described in Sections A and C of Appendix I to the report in *Descriptions in Motor Carrier Certificates*, 61 M.C.C. 209 and 766 (except hides and commodities in bulk), from points in Kenosha County, Wis., to points in Alabama, Connecticut, Delaware, Florida, Georgia, Illinois, Indiana, Kentucky, Louisiana, Maine, Maryland, Massachusetts, Michigan, Minnesota, Mississippi, New Hampshire, New Jersey, New York, North Carolina, Ohio, Pennsylvania, Rhode Island, South Carolina, Tennessee, Vermont, Virginia, West Virginia, and the District of Columbia.

NOTE.—If a hearing is deemed necessary, the applicant requests it be held at Chicago, Ill., or Milwaukee, Wis.

No. MC 113843 (Sub-No. 217), filed February 13, 1975. Applicant: REFRIGERATED FOOD EXPRESS, INC., 316 Summer Street, Boston, Mass. 02210. Applicant's representative: William J. Boyd, 600 Enterprise Drive, Suite 222, Oak Brook, Ill. 60521. Authority sought to operate as a *common carrier*, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: *Meat, meat products, meat by-products and articles distributed by meat packinghouses* as described in Sections A and C of Appendix I to the report in *Descriptions in Motor Carrier Certificates*, 61 M.C.C. 209 and 766 (except hides and commodities in bulk), from the plantsite and storage facilities of or utilized by Farmland Foods, Inc., located at or near Crete, Nebr., to points in Connecticut, Delaware, Maine, Maryland, Massachusetts, New Hampshire, New Jersey, New York, Pennsylvania, Rhode Island, Vermont, Virginia, West Virginia, and the District of Columbia, restricted to the transportation of traffic originating at the above origin and destined to the above-named destinations.

NOTE.—Common control may be involved. If a hearing is deemed necessary, the applicant requests it be held at Kansas City, Mo.

No. MC 113855 (Sub-No. 312), filed February 10, 1975. Applicant: INTERNATIONAL TRANSPORT, INC., 2450 Marion Road SE, Rochester, Minn. 55901. Applicant's representative: Alan Foss, 502 First National Bank Bldg., Fargo, N. Dak. 58102. Authority sought to operate as a *common carrier*, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: (1) *Such commodities as are dealt in, or used by, agricultural equipment, industrial equipment, and lawn and leisure product dealers* (except commodities in bulk); (a) from the facilities of Deere & Company, in Black Hawk, Dubuque, Polk, Scott, and Wapello Counties, Iowa, Rock Island County, Ill., and Dodge County, Wis., to points in Arizona, California, Idaho, Minnesota, Montana, Nevada, New Mexico, North Dakota, Oregon, South Dakota, Utah, Washington, and Wyoming; (b) from the facilities of Deere & Company, in Dubuque, Polk, Scott and Wapello Counties, Iowa, Rock Island County, Ill., and Dodge County, Wis., to points in Delaware, Maryland, New Jersey, Pennsylvania, Virginia, and the District of Columbia; (c) from the facilities of Deere & Company, in Dubuque, Polk, and Wapello Counties, Iowa, and Dodge County, Wis., to points in Connecticut, New York, New Hampshire, Maine, Massachusetts, Rhode Island, and Vermont; (d) from the facilities of Deere & Company, in Dubuque County, Iowa, to points in West Virginia; and (e)

from the facilities of Deere & Company, in Dodge County, Wis., to points in Colorado and Nebraska; and (2) *returned shipments of the above-named commodities*, from the destination states named in (1) above, to the facilities of Deere & Company, named in (1) above.

NOTE.—If a hearing is deemed necessary, applicant requests it be held at Washington, D.C., or Chicago, Ill.

No. MC 114211 (Sub-No. 243), filed February 13, 1975. Applicant: WARREN TRANSPORT, INC., 324 Manhard Street, P.O. Box 420, Waterloo, Iowa 50704. Applicant's representative: Charles Singer, 327 South La Salle, Chicago, Ill. 60604. Authority sought to operate as a *common carrier*, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: (1) (a) *Agricultural machinery and equipment;* (b) *equipment* designed for use in conjunction with self-propelled vehicles; (c) *parts, attachments, and accessories*, from Great Bend, Kans., to points in the United States (except Alaska and Hawaii); and (2) *materials, equipment and supplies* (except commodities in bulk) used or useful in the manufacture or distribution of the above named commodities, from points in the United States (except Alaska and Hawaii), to Great Bend, Kans.

NOTE.—If a hearing is deemed necessary, the applicant requests it be held at Kansas City or Washington, D.C.

No. MC 114274 (Sub-No. 31), filed February 14, 1975. Applicant: VITALIS TRUCK LINES, INC., 137 NE. 48th Street Place, Des Moines, Iowa 50306. Applicant's representative: William H. Towle, 127 N. Dearborn Street, Suite 1133, Chicago, Ill. 60602. Authority sought to operate as a *common carrier*, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: *Meats, meat products, and meat by-products, and articles distributed by meat packinghouses*, as described in Sections A and C of Appendix I to the report in *Descriptions in Motor Carrier Certificates*, 61 M.C.C. 209 and 766 (except hides and commodities in bulk), from the plantsites and storage facilities of or utilized by Farmland Foods, Inc., located at or near Crete, Nebr., to points in Connecticut, Delaware, the District of Columbia, Maine, Maryland, Massachusetts, New Hampshire, New Jersey, New York, Ohio, Pennsylvania, Rhode Island, Vermont, Virginia, and West Virginia, restricted to the transportation of traffic originating at the above specified origin and destined to the named destinations.

NOTE.—If a hearing is deemed necessary, the applicant requests it be held at Chicago, Ill.

No. MC 114457 (Sub-No. 223), filed February 13, 1975. Applicant: DART TRANSIT COMPANY, a corporation, 780 N. Prior Avenue, St. Paul, Minn. 55104. Applicant's representative: Michael P. Zell (same address as applicant). Authority sought to operate as a *common carrier*, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: *New furniture*, from the plantsite and storage facilities of Simmons & Co. at Kansas City, Kans., to points in North Dakota (except Fargo and Grand Forks), South Dakota (except Sioux Falls), Nebraska and Colorado, and points in Wisconsin in and north of Buffalo, Trempealeau, Jackson, Wood, and Portage Counties, restricted to traffic originating at and destined to the named origin and destinations.

NOTE.—If a hearing is deemed necessary, applicant requests it be held at either Minneapolis-St. Paul, Minn., or Chicago, Ill.

No. MC 114569 (Sub-No. 114), filed February 18, 1975. Applicant: SHAFFER TRUCKING, INC., P.O. Box 418, New Kingstown, Pa. 17072. Applicant's representative: Stanley C. Geist (same address as applicant). Authority sought to operate as a *common carrier*, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: *Meat, meat products, meat by-products and articles distributed by meat packinghouses* as described in Sections A and C of Appendix I to the report in *Descriptions in Motor Carrier Certificates*, 61 M.C.C. 209 and 766 (except hides, animal feed, animal feed ingredients, and commodities in bulk), from the plantsite and storage facilities of or utilized by Farmland Foods, Inc., located at or near Crete, Nebr., to points in California, Connecticut, Delaware, Florida, Georgia, Idaho, Illinois, Maryland, Michigan, Minnesota, New Jersey, New York, North Carolina, Ohio, Oregon, Pennsylvania, South Carolina, Tennessee, Virginia, Washington, West Virginia, Wisconsin, and the District of Columbia, restricted to the transportation of traffic originating at the above origin and destined to the above-named destinations.

NOTE.—Common control may be involved. If a hearing is deemed necessary, the applicant requests it be held at Kansas City, Mo.

No. MC 114632 (Sub-No. 82), filed February 13, 1975. Applicant: APPLE LINES, INC., 212 SW. Second, Madison, S. Dak. 57042. Applicant's representative: Robert Gisvold, 1000 First National Bank Bldg., Minneapolis, Minn. 55402. Authority sought to operate as a *common carrier*, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: *Meat, meat products, meat by-products*, and *articles distributed by meat packinghouses*, as described in Sections A and C of Appendix I to the report in *Descriptions in Motor Carrier Certificates*, 61 M.C.C. 209 and 766 (except hides and commodities in bulk), from the plantsite and storage facilities of or utilized by Farmland Foods, Inc., located at or near Crete, Nebr., to points in Iowa, Kansas, Minnesota, North Dakota, Oklahoma, South Dakota, Texas, and Wisconsin, restricted to the transportation of traffic originating at the above origins, and destined to the above destinations.

NOTE.—Applicant holds motor contract carrier authority in No. MC 129706, therefore dual operations may be involved. If a hearing is deemed necessary, applicant requests it be held at Kansas City, Mo.

No. MC 115162 (Sub-No. 304), filed February 10, 1975. Applicant: POOLE TRUCK LINE, INC., P.O. Drawer 500, Evergreen, Ala. 36401. Applicant's representative: Robert E. Tate (same address as applicant). Authority sought to operate as a *common carrier*, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: (1) *Such commodities* as are dealt in, or used by, agricultural equipment, industrial equipment, and lawn and leisure product dealers (except commodities in bulk): (a) from the facilities of Deere & Company in Black Hawk, Polk, and Wapello Counties, Iowa, to points in Alabama and Georgia; (b) from the facilities of Deere & Company in Rock Island County, Ill., and Dubuque and Scott Counties, Iowa, to points in Alabama, Florida, and Georgia; and (c) from the facilities of Deere & Company in Dodge County, Wis., to points in Alabama, Arkansas, Florida, Georgia, Louisiana, Mississippi, North Carolina, South Carolina and Tennessee; and (2) *returned shipments* of the above-named commodities, from the destination states named in (1) above, to the facilities of Deere & Company named in (1) above, restricted in (1) above: (a) to traffic originating at the named facilities of Deere & Company, and (b) to traffic destined to the points named (except that the restriction in (b) above shall not apply to traffic moving in foreign commerce), and restricted in (2) above to traffic destined to the named facilities of Deere & Company.

NOTE.—If a hearing is deemed necessary, applicant requests it be held at Washington, D.C., or Chicago, Ill.

No. MC 115523 (Sub-No. 173), filed February 18, 1975. Applicant: CLARK TANK LINES COMPANY, a corporation, 1450 North Beck Street, Salt Lake City, Utah 84116. Applicant's representative: F. Robert Reeder, P.O. Box 11898, 79 South State St., Salt Lake City, Utah 84111. Authority sought to operate as a *common carrier*, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: *Topped and reduced crude oil and crude oil*, from points in Uintah and Duchesne Counties, Utah, to points in Cheyenne County, Nebr.

NOTE.—If a hearing is deemed necessary, applicant requests it be held at Salt Lake City, Utah, or Denver, Colo.

No. MC 115841 (Sub-No. 496), filed February 10, 1975. Applicant: COLONIAL REFRIGERATED TRANSPORTATION, INC., Suite 200, 105 Vulcan Road, P.O. Box 10327, Birmingham, Ala. 35202. Applicant's representative: Roger M. Shaner (same address as applicant). Authority sought to operate as a *common carrier*, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: *Foodstuffs* (except commodities in bulk), in vehicles equipped with mechanical refrigeration, from Schaumburg, Ill., to points in the United States (except Alaska and Hawaii), restricted to traffic originating at and destined to the named points.

NOTE.—Common control may be involved. If a hearing is deemed necessary, the applicant requests it be held at Chicago, Ill.

No. MC 115841 (Sub-No. 498), filed February 10, 1975. Applicant: COLONIAL REFRIGERATED TRANSPORTATION, INC., Suite 200, 105 Vulcan Road, P.O. Box 10327, Birmingham, Ala. 35202. Applicant's representative: Roger M. Shaner (same address as applicant).

Authority sought to operate as a *common carrier*, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: *Candy, confectionery products, and chewing gum* (except commodities in bulk), in vehicles equipped with mechanical refrigeration, from Bryan, Ohio, to points in Alabama, Florida, Georgia, Louisiana, Mississippi, North Carolina, South Carolina, and Tennessee.

NOTE.—Common control may be involved. If a hearing is deemed necessary, the applicant requests it be held at Detroit, Mich.

No. MC 115841 (Sub-No. 499), filed February 10, 1975. Applicant: COLONIAL REFRIGERATED TRANSPORTATION, INC., Suite 200, Vulcan Life Bldg., 105 Vulcan Road, P.O. Box 10327, Birmingham, Ala. 35202. Applicant's representative: Roger M. Shaner (same address as applicant). Authority sought to operate as a *common carrier*, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: *Candy, confectionery products, and chewing gum* (except commodities in bulk), in vehicles equipped with mechanical refrigeration, from Bryan, Ohio, to points in Arizona, California, Colorado, Idaho, Montana, Nevada, New Mexico, Oregon, Texas, Utah, Washington, and Wyoming.

NOTE.—Common control may be involved. If a hearing is deemed necessary, the applicant requests it be held at Detroit, Mich.

No. MC 116459 (Sub-No. 53), filed February 10, 1975. Applicant: RUSS TRANSPORT, INC., P.O. Box 4022, Chattanooga, Tenn. 37405. Applicant's representative: Leonard A. Jaskiewicz, Suite 501, 1730 M Street NW., Washington, D.C. 20036. Authority sought to operate as a *common carrier*, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: *Residual fuel oil*, in bulk, in tank vehicles, from Chattanooga, Tenn., to points in Alabama on and north of a line beginning at the Georgia-Alabama State Boundary line and extending along U.S. Highway 80 to Montgomery, Ala., thence along U.S. Highway 82 to intersection Mississippi-Alabama State Boundary line.

NOTE.—Common control may be involved. If a hearing is deemed necessary, applicant requests it be held at Nashville, Tenn. or Atlanta, Ga.

No. MC 116519 (Sub-No. 28), filed February 14, 1975. Applicant: FREDERICK TRANSPORT LIMITED, a Corporation, R.R. 6, Chatham Ontario, Canada. Applicant's representative: S. Harrison Kahn, Suite 733, Investment Building, Washington, D.C. 20005. Authority sought to operate as a *common carrier*, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: *Flat glass*, from Toledo, Ohio, and Laurinburg and Clinton, N.C., to the ports of entry on the International Boundary line between the United States and Canada located in Michigan and New York, restricted to shipments moving in foreign commerce.

NOTE.—If a hearing is deemed necessary, the applicant requests it be held at Washington, D.C. or Detroit, Mich.

No. MC 116763 (Sub-No. 302), filed February 11, 1975. Applicant: CARL SUBLER TRUCKING, INC., North West Street, Versailles, Ohio. 45380. Applicant's representative: H. M. Richters (same address as applicant). Authority sought to operate as a *common carrier*, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: *Magnetic tape, tape dispensers, computer parts and accessories, word processor units, and copy machines and materials and supplies used therewith*, from Nashua and Merrimack, N.H., to points in Alabama, Arizona, Arkansas, California, Colorado, Delaware, Florida, Georgia, Illinois, Indiana, Iowa, Kansas, Kentucky, Louisiana, Maryland, Michigan, Minnesota, Mississippi, Missouri, Nevada, New Mexico, North Carolina, Ohio, Oklahoma, South Carolina, Tennessee, Texas, Utah, Virginia, West Virginia, Wisconsin, the District of Columbia, and Harrisburg, Pa., and points in that part of Pennsylvania on and west of U.S. Highway 15.

NOTE.—If a hearing is deemed necessary, the applicant requests it be held at Boston, Mass.

No. MC 117068 (Sub-No. 41), filed February 3, 1975. Applicant: MIDWEST SPECIALIZED TRANSPORTATION, INC., P.O. Box 6418, North Highway 63, Rochester, Minn. 55901. Applicant's representative: Paul F. Sullivan, 711 Washington Bldg., Washington, D.C. 20005. Authority sought to operate as a *common carrier*, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: (1) *Storage systems, smokestacks*, and *parts* of such commodities when moving therewith; and (2) *equipment, materials and supplies* used or useful in the installation of the above commodities, between the plant and other facilities of Brown-Minneapolis Tank and Fabricating Co. at St. Paul, Minn., on the one hand, and, on the other, points in the United States (except Alaska and Hawaii).

NOTE.—If a hearing is deemed necessary, applicant requests it be held at Minneapolis, Minn.

No. MC 117574 (Sub-No. 261), filed February 7, 1975. Applicant: DAILY EXPRESS, INC., P.O. Box 39, 1076 Harrisburg Pike, Carlisle, Pa. 17013. Applicant's representative: James W. Hagar, P.O. Box 1166, 100 Pine Street, Harrisburg, Pa. 17108. Authority sought to operate as a *common carrier*, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: *Rotary cylinder kilns, coolers, preheaters, ball mills, crushers, rotary compressors, fans, blowers, conveyors*, and *parts and materials* used in the manufacture of the above commodities, from Houston, Tex., to points in and east of North Dakota, South Dakota, Nebraska, Kansas, Oklahoma, Arkansas and Louisiana, restricted to traffic originating at and destined to points in the above named destination area.

NOTE.—Common control may be involved. If a hearing is deemed necessary, applicant requests it be held at Washington, D.C.

No. MC 117815 (Sub-No. 240), filed February 7, 1975. Applicant: PULLEY FREIGHT LINES, INC., 405 S.E. 20th Street, Des Moines, Iowa 50317. Applicant's representative: Larry D. Knox, 900 Hubbell Bldg., Des Moines, Iowa 50309. Authority sought to operate as a *common carrier*, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: *Meats, meat products, meat by-products and articles distributed by meat packinghouses*, as defined in Sections A and C of Appendix I to the report in *Descriptions in Motor Carrier Certificates*, 61 M.C.C. 209 and 766 (except hides and commodities in bulk), from the plant site and storage facilities of or utilized by Farmland Foods, Inc., at or near Crete, Nebr., to points in Missouri, Kansas, Louisiana, Illinois, Indiana, Kentucky, Ohio, Michigan, Wisconsin, and Minnesota, restricted to shipments originating at the named origin and destined to points in the named destination states.

NOTE.—Common control may be involved. If a hearing is deemed necessary, the applicant requests it be held at either Kansas City, Mo., or Omaha, Nebr.

No. MC 119627 (Sub-No. 4), filed February 10, 1975. Applicant: CENTRAL STATES EXPRESS, INC., 820 Dalbey, Ankeny, Iowa 50021. Applicant's representative: William L. Fairbank, 1980 Financial Center, Des Moines, Iowa 50309. Authority sought to operate as a *common carrier*, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: (1) *Such commodities* as are dealt in, or used by, agricultural equipment, industrial equipment, and lawn and leisure product dealers (except commodities in bulk), from the facilities of Deere & Company in Polk and Wapello Counties, Iowa, to points in Illinois, Indiana, Kentucky, Michigan, Ohio, Pennsylvania and West Virginia; and (2) *returned shipments* of the above named commodities, from the destination states named in (1) above, to the facilities of Deere & Company named in (1) above, restricted in (1) above: (a) to traffic originating at the named facilities of Deere & Company, and (b) to traffic destined to the points named (except that the restriction in (b) above shall not apply to traffic moving in foreign commerce), and restricted in (2) above to traffic destined to the named facilities of Deere & Company.

NOTE.—If a hearing is deemed necessary, applicant requests it be held at Washington, D.C. or Chicago, Ill.

No. MC 119774 (Sub-No. 82), filed February 13, 1975. Applicant: EAGLE TRUCKING COMPANY, a Corporation, P.O. Box 471, Kilgore, Tex. 75662. Applicant's representative: Bernard H. English, 6270 Firth Road, Fort Worth, Tex. 76116. Authority sought to operate as a *common carrier*, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: *Iron and steel articles*, fabricated and unfabricated, from points in Liberty County, Tex., to points in Alabama, Louisiana, Mississippi, Oklahoma and Texas.

NOTE.—If a hearing is deemed necessary, the applicant requests it be held at Birmingham, Ala., or Dallas, Tex.

No. MC 119789 (Sub-No. 238), filed February 13, 1975. Applicant: CARAVAN REFRIGERATED CARGO, INC., P.O. Box 6188, Dallas, Tex. 75222. Applicant's representative: James K. Newbold, Jr. (same address as applicant). Authority sought to operate as a *common carrier*, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: *Plastic film*, in vehicles, equipped with mechanical refrigeration, from Grottoes, Va., to points in California, Oregon, and Washington.

NOTE.—If a hearing is deemed necessary, applicant requests it be held at either Richmond, Va. or Washington, D.C.

No. MC 119974 (Sub-No. 43), filed February 14, 1975. Applicant: L. C. L. TRANSIT COMPANY, a Corporation, 949 Advance Street, Green Bay, Wis. 54304. Applicant's representative: L. F. Abel, P.O. Box 949, Green Bay, Wis. 54305. Authority sought to operate as a *common carrier*, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: *Apple juice, apple cider and vinegar* (except commodities in bulk), from the plantsite and storage facilities of Speas Company at or near Fremont, Mich., to points in Illinois, Iowa, Minnesota, and Wisconsin, restricted to traffic originating at the named origin and destined to the named states.

NOTE.—If a hearing is deemed necessary, applicant requests it be held at either Kansas City, Mo. or Washington, D.C.

No. MC 121142 (Sub-No. 13), filed January 15, 1975. Applicant: J & G EXPRESS, INC., 489 Julienne Street, P.O. Box 1637, Jackson, Miss. 39205. Applicant's representative: Jerry H. Blount, Suite L120 Capital Towers, 125 South Congress Street, Jackson, Miss. 39201. Authority sought to operate as a *common carrier*, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: *General commodities* (except those of unusual value, Classes A and B explosives, livestock, household goods as defined by the Commission, commodities in bulk, and commodities requiring special equipment), serving (1) the new Clinton Industrial Park at or near Clinton, Miss.; and (2) Raymond and Canton, Miss. as off-route points in connection with applicant's present authorized routes.

NOTE.—If a hearing is deemed necessary, applicant requests it be held at Jackson, Miss.

No. MC 123048 (Sub-No. 320), filed February 10, 1975. Applicant: DIAMOND TRANSPORTATION SYSTEMS, INC., 5021—21st Street, Racine, Wis. 53406. Applicant's representative: Paul C. Gartzke, 121 West Doty Street, Madison, Wis. 53703. Authority sought to operate as a *common carrier*, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: (1) *Such commodities* as are dealt in, or used by, agricultural equipment, industrial equipment, and lawn and leisure product dealers (except commodities in bulk), from the facilities of Deere & Company in Rock Island County, Ill. and Scott County, Iowa to points in Indiana, Kentucky, Ohio, West Virginia, and the lower peninsula of Michigan, restricted (a) to traffic originating at the named facilities of Deere & Company; and (b) to traffic destined to the points named (except that the restriction in (b) above shall not apply to traffic moving in foreign commerce); and (2) *returned shipments* of the above-named commodities, from the destination states named in (1) above, to the facilities of Deere & Company in (1) above, restricted to traffic destined to the named facilities of Deere & Company.

NOTE.—If a hearing is deemed necessary, applicant requests it be held at either Washington, D.C. or Chicago, Ill.

No. MC 123389 (Sub-No. 21), filed February 13, 1975. Applicant: CROUSE CARTAGE COMPANY, a Corporation, P.O. Box 586 Hwy. 30 W, Carroll, Iowa 51401. Applicant's representative: James E. Ballenthin, 630 Osborn Bldg., St. Paul, Minn. 55102. Authority sought to operate as a *common carrier*, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: *Meats, meat products, meat by-products and articles distributed by meat packinghouses* as described in Sections A and C of Appendix I to the report in *Descriptions in Motor Carrier Certificates*, 61 M.C.C. 209 and 766 (except hides and commodities in bulk), from the plant site and storage facilities of or utilized by Farmland Foods, Inc., located at or near Crete, Nebr., to points in Illinois, Indiana, Iowa, Kansas, Kentucky, Michigan, Minnesota, Missouri, Ohio, Pennsylvania, and Wisconsin, restricted to the transportation of traffic originating at the above origin and destined to the above-named destinations.

NOTE.—If a hearing is deemed necessary, the applicant requests it be held at Des Moines, Iowa.

No. MC 124211 (Sub-No. 256), filed February 5, 1975. Applicant: HILT TRUCK LINE, INC., P.O. Box 988, D.T.S., Omaha, Nebr. 68101. Applicant's representative: Thomas L. Hilt (same address as applicant). Authority sought to operate as a *common carrier*, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: *Meats, meat products, meat by-products, and articles distributed by meat packinghouses*, as described in Sections A and C of Appendix I to the report in *Descriptions in Motor Carrier Certificates*, 61 M.C.C. 209 and 766 (except hides and commodities in bulk), from the plantsite and storage facilities of or utilized by Farmland Foods, Inc., located at or near Crete, Nebr., to points in Arizona, Colorado, California, Idaho, Montana, Nevada, New Mexico, Oregon, Utah, Washington, and Wyoming, restricted to the transportation of traffic originating at the above origin and destined to the above-named destinations.

NOTE.—Common control may be involved. If a hearing is deemed necessary, the applicant requests it be held at either Kansas City, Mo., or Omaha, Nebr.

No. MC 124353 (Sub-No. 6), filed February 7, 1975. Applicant: B and S HAULERS INCORPORATED, P.O. Box 724, Route 6, Franklin, N.C. 28734. Applicant's representative: James N. Golding, 5 S. Pack Square, Asheville, N.C. 28807. Authority sought to operate as a *common carrier*, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: *Agricultural limestone*, from Luttrell, Tenn., to points in Georgia, North Carolina and South Carolina.

NOTE.—Applicant holds contract carrier authority in MC 134608 Sub-No. 1, therefore dual operations may be involved. If a hearing is deemed necessary, applicant requests it be held at Asheville, Charlotte, or Raleigh, N.C.

No. MC 124679 (Sub-No. 64), filed January 16, 1975. Applicant: C. R. ENGLAND & SONS, INC., 975 West 2100 South, Salt Lake City, Utah 84119. Applicant's representative: Daniel E. England, 716 Newhouse Building, Salt Lake City, Utah 84111. Authority sought to operate as a *common carrier*, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: *Foodstuffs* (except in bulk), in vehicles equipped with mechanical refrigeration, from Milton, Pa., to points in Arizona, California, Colorado, Idaho, Montana, Nevada, New Mexico, Oregon, Utah, Washington, and Wyoming, restricted to traffic originating at points in the District of Columbia, Connecticut, Delaware, Maine, Maryland, Massachusetts, New Hampshire, New Jersey, New York, Pennsylvania (except Milton, Pa.), Rhode Island, and Vermont, and destined to points in the above named destination states, and further restricted to traffic moving in interline service only.

NOTE.—Applicant presently serves the above destination states by originating and interlining at Newburgh, N.Y. The purpose of this application is to provide an alternate interline point. Applicant holds motor contract carrier authority in MC 128813 (Sub-No. 2 and other subs), therefore dual operations may be involved. If a hearing is deemed necessary, applicant requests it be held at Philadelphia, Pa. or Washington, D.C.

No. MC 124679 (Sub-No. 65), filed February 10, 1975. Applicant: C. R. ENGLAND & SONS, INC., 975 West 2100 South, Salt Lake City, Utah 84119. Applicant's representative: Daniel E. England, 500 Kennecott Building, Salt Lake City, Utah 84133. Authority sought to operate as a *common carrier*, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: *Beer*, from San Francisco, Los Angeles, Azusa, and Van Nuys, Calif., to Rock Springs, Wyo., Tooele and Logan, Utah.

NOTE.—Applicant holds contract carrier authority in MC 128813 Sub No. 2, therefore dual operations may be involved. If a hearing is deemed necessary, applicant requests it be held at Salt Lake City, Utah.

No. MC 124947 (Sub-No. 37), filed February 12, 1975. Applicant: MACHINERY TRANSPORTS, INC., P.O. Box 417, Stroud, Okla. 74079. Applicant's representative: T. M. Brown, 223 Ciudad Bldg., Oklahoma City, Okla. 73112. Authority sought to operate as a *common carrier*, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: *Locomotives, and parts and accessories therefor*, between Clarks Summit, Pa., Mt. Vernon, Ill., and Waco, Tex., on the one hand, and, on the other, points in the United States (including Alaska, but excluding Hawaii).

NOTE.—If a hearing is deemed necessary, the applicant requests it be held at St. Louis, Mo., or Chicago, Ill.

No. MC 125433 (Sub-No. 56), filed January 14, 1975. Applicant: F-B TRUCK LINE COMPANY, a corporation, 1891 West 2100 South, Salt Lake City, Utah 84119. Applicant's representative: David J. Lister (same address as applicant). Authority sought to operate as a *common carrier*, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: (1) *Agricultural machinery, implements and parts* as described in Appendix XII (61 M.C.C. 296); and (2) *self-propelled vehicles* used in agricultural and farming operations, restricted against the transportation of automobiles, trucks and buses as described in Appendix XII (61 M.C.C. 292), (a) between points in California, on the one hand, and, on the other, points in Idaho, Montana, and Utah, (b) between points in Oregon and Washington, on the one hand, and, on the other, points in Utah, (c) between points in Marion, Polk, Benton, Lincoln, and Linn Counties, Oreg., on the one hand, and, on the other, points in Modoc, Siskiyou, Del Norte, Humbolt, Trinity, Shasta, and Lassen Counties, Calif. (but excluding service to or from points in Siskiyou and Shasta Counties, Calif., located on U.S. Highway 99), (d) between points in Washington, that part of Oregon on and north of the 44th parallel, that part of Montana on and west of a direct north and south line extending from the northwest corner of Wyoming to the boundary of the United States and Canada, and those in Boundary, Bonner, Kootenai, Benewah, Shoshone, Latah, Nez Perce, Clearwater, Lewis, Idaho, Adams, Washington, Valley, Payette, Gem, Boise, Custer, Ada, Canyon, and Elmore Counties, Idaho.

(e) Between points in Modoc, Siskiyou, Del Norte, Humbolt, Trinity, Shasta, and Lassen Counties, California (but excluding service to or from points in Siskiyou and Shasta Counties, Calif., located on U.S. Highway 99) on the one hand, and, on the other, between points in Washington, that part of Oregon north of the 44th parallel, that part of Montana on and west of a direct north and south line extending from the northwest corner of Wyoming to the boundary of the United States and Canada and those in Boundary, Bonner Kootenai, Benewah, Shoshone, Latah, Nez Perce, Clearwater, Lewis, Idaho, Adams, Washington, Valley, Payette, Gem, Boise, Custer, Ada, Canyon, and Elmore Counties, Idaho, (f) between points in Utah, Wyoming, Idaho, Montana, Arizona, and those in Nevada other than Mineral County, (g) between points in Utah, on the one hand, and, on the other, points in New Mexico; and (h) between points in Washington, that part of Oregon on and north of the 44th parallel, Montana, Idaho, Utah, Wyoming, Arizona, New Mexico, and those in Nevada other than Mineral County.

NOTE.—Common control may be involved. If a hearing is deemed necessary, the applicant requests it be held at either Salt Lake City, Utah, or San Francisco, Calif.

No. MC 125522 (Sub-No. 7), filed February 13, 1975. Applicant: SUNBURY TRANSPORT LIMITED, Hoyt, New Brunswick, Canada. Applicant's representative: Francis E. Barrett, Jr., 10 Industrial Park Road, Hingham, Mass. 02043. Authority sought to operate as a *common carrier*, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: (a) *Fencing*, from the ports of entry on the International Boundary line between the United States and Canada located at or near Houlton, Vanceboro, and Calais, Maine to points in Florida, Georgia, Illinois, Indiana, Kentucky, Michigan, Missouri, North Carolina, Ohio, South Carolina, Tennessee, and Wisconsin; and (b) *Composition board*, from ports of entry on the International Boundary line between the United States and Canada located at or near Houlton, Vanceboro, Calais, Madawaska, Fort Kent, and Jackman, Maine; Beecher Falls, Norton Derby Line, Richford, and Swanton, Vt.; and Rouses Point, N.Y., to points in Connecticut, Maine, Massachusetts, New Hampshire, New Jersey, New York, Pennsylvania, Rhode Island, Vermont, Delaware, Maryland, Virginia, West Virginia, North Carolina, South Carolina, Georgia, Michigan, Indiana, Ohio, and the District of Columbia.

NOTE.—If a hearing is deemed necessary, the applicant requests it be held at either Portland, Maine, or Boston, Mass.

No. MC 125527 (Sub-No. 3), filed February 18, 1975. Applicant: BUFORD C. OWENS AND JERRY C. OWENS, a partnership, doing business as OWENS BROS. TRUCKING & LIME CO., Bernie, Mo. 63822. Applicant's representative: Thomas P. Rose, Jefferson Bldg., P.O. Box 205, Jefferson City, Mo. 65101. Authority sought to operate as a *common carrier*, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: *Dry fertilizer, and dry fertilizer materials*, in bulk, from the storage facilities of Cargill, Incorporated, at or near New Madrid, Mo., to points in Arkansas, Illinois, Iowa, Kentucky, and Tennessee.

NOTE.—If a hearing is deemed necessary, applicant requests it be held at either St. Louis or Jefferson City, Mo.

No. MC 125777 (Sub-No. 152), filed February 10, 1975. Applicant: JACK GRAY TRANSPORT, INC., 4600 East 15th Avenue, Gary, Ind. 46403. Applicant's representative: Carl L. Steiner, 39 South La Salle Street, Chicago, Ill. 60603. Authority sought to operate as a *common carrier*, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: *Coal*, in bulk, in dump vehicles, between points in Wisconsin, Illinois, Indiana, Michigan, Kentucky, Tennessee, Mississippi, Alabama, Georgia, Florida, Ohio, South Carolina, North Carolina, Virginia, West Virginia, Maryland, Pennsylvania, Delaware, New York, Connecticut, Rhode Island, Massachusetts, Vermont, New Hampshire, Maine, and New Jersey.

NOTE.—If a hearing is deemed necessary, applicant requests it be held at Chicago, Ill.

No. MC 127096 (Sub-No. 2) (Amendment), filed September 20, 1974, published in the FEDERAL REGISTER issue of October 24, 1974, and republished as amended this issue. Applicant: HENNES TRUCKING CO., a corporation, 338 South 17th Street, Milwaukee, Wis. 53233. Applicant's representative: Paul F. Beery, 8 East Broad Street, Ninth Floor, Columbus, Ohio 43215. Authority sought to operate as a *common carrier*, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: (1) *Cement*, portland and mortar, in bags or in bulk, from points in Newton Township, Muskingum County, Ohio, to points in that portion of West Virginia on and west of a line beginning at the Pennsylvania-West Virginia State Boundary line and extending southwardly along U.S. Highway 119 to junction U.S. Highway 19, and thence along U.S. Highway 19 to the West Virginia-Virginia State Boundary line, (2) *cement*, portland and mortar, in bulk, and in bags, from the plant site of Columbia Cement Corporation, Hamilton County, Ohio, to points in Indiana and Kentucky, (3) *cement*, dry, in bulk, in tank or hopper type vehicles, from the plant site of Dundee Cement Company, Butler County, Ohio, to points in Indiana and Kentucky, (4) *cement*, portland and mortar, in bulk, from the plant site of Columbia Cement Corporation, Nitro, W.Va., to points in Ohio and Kentucky, (5) *cement*, in bulk, between points in Illinois, Indiana, Kentucky, Ohio, and Wisconsin, restricted to shipments having an immediately prior or subsequent movement by rail that originate at or are destined to the plantsite of Dundee Cement Company, at or near Dundee, Michigan, and the plantsite of Louisville Cement Company at or near Speed, Ind., (6) *cement*, in bulk, from the plant site of the Louisville Cement Company, Cincinnati, Ohio, to points in Indiana and Kentucky.

(7) *Cement*, from Boardman, Ohio, to points in Pennsylvania and West Virginia, (8) *cement*, portland and mortar, from the plant site of Columbia Cement Corporation at or near Newton Township, Muskingum County, Ohio, to points in Greene, Washington, Allegheny, Westmoreland, Armstrong, Butler, Beaver, Fayette, Lawrence, Mercer, and Venango Counties, Pa., (9) *cement*, from the plant or distribution terminal sites of Dundee Cement Company, located at or near Clarksville, Mo., to points in Arkansas, Illinois, Indiana, Iowa, Kansas, Kentucky, Michigan, Missouri, Ohio, Oklahoma, and Tennessee, (10) *cement*, in bulk, from the plant or distribution terminal sites of Dundee Cement Company located at or near St. Louis, Mo., and Rock Island, Ill., to points in Arkansas, Illinois, Indiana, Iowa, Kansas, Kentucky, Michigan, Missouri, Ohio, Oklahoma, and Tennessee, (11) *cement*, between points in West Virginia, restricted to shipments having a prior or subsequent movement by rail from PPG Industries, Inc., Barberton, Ohio, and Columbia Cement Corporation at East Fultonham, Ohio, and (12) *cement and mortar*, from the plant site of Columbia Cement Corporation at or near Newton

Township, Muskingum County, Ohio, to points in Kentucky.

NOTE.—The purpose of this amendment is to restrict only part (5) of the requested authority and further restrict this service to the plantsites of the shippers. By the instant application, applicant seeks to convert its contract carrier permits in MC 111862 Sub-Nos. 2, 3, 4, 5, 10, 13, 14, 15, 18, 19, 21, and 22 to Certificates of Public Convenience and Necessity. If a hearing is deemed necessary, the applicant requests it be held at Columbus, Ohio.

No. MC 128273 (Sub-No. 172), filed February 11, 1975. Applicant: MIDWESTERN DISTRIBUTION, INC., P.O. Box 189, Fort Scott, Kans. 66701. Applicant's representative: Harry Ross, 1403 S. Horton Street, Fort Scott, Kans. 66701. Authority sought to operate as a *common carrier*, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: (1) *Rubber, rubber products and such other commodities* as are manufactured and/or dealt in by rubber manufacturers from Los Angeles and Salinas, Calif., to points in Florida, Montana, Georgia, South Carolina, North Carolina, Virginia, Kentucky, Indiana, Illinois, Tennessee, Alabama, Mississippi, Louisiana, Arkansas, Missouri, Iowa, Nebraska, Kansas, Oklahoma, Texas, New Mexico, Colorado, Wyoming, Idaho, Utah, Arizona, Nevada, Oregon, and Washington; and (2) *equipment, materials and supplies* used in manufacture and distribution of rubber, rubber products and such other commodities as are manufactured, processed and/or dealt in by rubber manufacturers; and tires from points in Florida, Georgia, South Carolina, North Carolina, Virginia, Kentucky, Indiana, Illinois, Tennessee, Alabama, Mississippi, Louisiana, Arkansas, Missouri, Iowa, Nebraska, Kansas, Oklahoma, Texas, New Mexico, Colorado, Wyoming, Utah, Arizona, Montana, Idaho, Nevada, Oregon, and Washington.

NOTE.—If a hearing is deemed necessary, the applicant requests it be held at Los Angeles, Calif.

No. MC 128527 (Sub-No. 51), filed February 11, 1975. Applicant: MAY TRUCKING COMPANY, P.O. Box 398, Payette, Idaho 83661. Applicant's representative: C. Marvin May (same address as applicant). Authority sought to operate as a *common carrier*, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: *Sugar*, bulk dry granulated, from Nyssa, Oreg., and Nampa, Idaho, to Seattle, Yakima, and Kennewick, Wash.

NOTE.—If a hearing is deemed necessary, the applicant requests it be held at Boise, Idaho.

No. MC 129923 (Sub-No. 10), filed January 13, 1975. Applicant: SHIPPERS TRANSPORTS, INC., 5005 Commerce Street, West Memphis, Ark. 72301. Applicant's representative: Edward G. Grogan, 2020 First National Bank Building, Memphis, Tenn. 38103. Authority sought to operate as a *common carrier*, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: *Prepared and preserved foodstuffs*, from St. Martinville, La., to points in Alabama, Arkansas, District of Columbia, Florida, Georgia, Illinois, Kentucky, Mississippi, Missouri, North Carolina, South Carolina, Virginia, and Tennessee.

NOTE.—Common control may be involved. If a hearing is deemed necessary, applicant requests it be held at Memphis, Tenn., or Shreveport, La.

No. MC 133534 (Sub-No. 10), filed February 10, 1975. Applicant: ROBERT V. MARKT, P.O. Box 85, Station A, St. Joseph, Mo. 64503. Applicant's representative: Lucy Kennard Bell, Suite 910 Fairfax Building, 101 West Eleventh Street, Kansas City, Mo. 64105. Authority sought to operate as a *common carrier*, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: *Meat, meat products, meat by-products and articles distributed by meat packinghouses* as described in Sections A and C of Appendix I to the report in *Descriptions in Motor Carrier Certificates*, 61 M.C.C. 209 and 766 (except hides and commodities in bulk), from the plantsite and storage facilities of or utilized by Farmland Foods, Inc., located at or near Crete, Nebr., to points in Arkansas, Illinois, Iowa, Kansas, Louisiana, Minnesota, Missouri, New Mexico, Oklahoma, South Dakota, Texas, and Wisconsin, restricted to the transportation of traffic originating at the above origin and destined to the above-named destinations.

NOTE.—If a hearing is deemed necessary, the applicant requests it be held at Kansas City, Mo.

No. MC 133591 (Sub-No. 15), filed Feb. 3, 1975. Applicant: WAYNE DANIEL TRUCK, INC., P.O. Box 303, Mount Vernon, Mo. 65712. Applicant's representative: Harry Ross, 1403 S. Horton Street, Fort Scott, Kans. 66701. Authority sought to operate as a *common carrier*, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: *Electric motors, grinders, buffers, cast iron pedestals and parts and accessories*, of the foregoing commodities, from the plantsites and storage facilities of Baldor Electric Company, at or near Fort Smith, Ark., St. Louis, Mo., Westville, Okla., and Columbus, Miss., to points in Washington, Oregon, Idaho, Utah, Colorado, New Mexico, Arizona, California, and Nevada.

NOTE.—Applicant holds contract carrier authority in MC 134494 and subs thereunder, therefore dual operations may be involved. If a hearing is deemed necessary, applicant requests it be held at Kansas City, Mo., or Little Rock, Ark.

No. MC 134131 (Sub-No. 4), filed February 12, 1975. Applicant: R & S TRANSIT, INC., P.O. Box 1254, Sedalia, Mo. 65301. Applicant's representative: Lucy Kennard Bell, Suite 910 Fairfax Bldg., 101 West Eleventh Street, Kansas City, Mo. 64105. Authority sought to operate as a *common carrier*, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: *Drugs, medicines, toilet preparations, cotton balls and cotton swabs*, from Jefferson City, Mo., to Union City, Calif.

NOTE.—Common control may be involved. If a hearing is deemed necessary, the applicant requests it be held at Kansas City, Mo.; or Jefferson City, Mo.

No. MC 134755 (Sub-No. 52), filed February 12, 1975. Applicant: CHARTER EXPRESS, INC., 1959 E. Turner Street, P.O. Box 3772, Springfield, Mo. 65804. Applicant's representative: Larry D. Knox, 900 Hubbell Bldg., Des Moines, Iowa 50309. Authority sought to operate as a *common carrier*, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: *Meat, meat products and meat by-products and articles distributed by meat packinghouses*, as described in Sections A and C of Appendix I of the report in *Descriptions in Motor Carrier Certificates*, 61 M.C.C. 209 and 766 (except hides and commodities in bulk), (1) from points in Missouri, Iowa, and Nebraska, to the facilities of Dold Packing Co., at Wichita, Kans.; and (2) from the facilities of Dold Packing Co., at Wichita, Kans., to points in Alabama, Florida, Georgia, Louisiana, Iowa, Mississippi, Nebraska, North Carolina, Ohio, South Carolina, Texas, Utah, and Washington, (1) and (2) restricted to traffic originating at the named origins and destined to the destinations points.

NOTE.—Dual operations may be involved. If a hearing is deemed necessary, the applicant requests it be held at either Wichita, Kans., or Kansas City, Mo.

No. MC 135148 (Sub-No. 3), filed February 10, 1975. Applicant: MARTIN R. NEUMANN, doing business as SWANSON FUEL, 157 South Vista Way, Kelso, Wash. 98626. Applicant's representative: Martin R. Neumann (same address as applicant). Authority sought to operate as a *contract carrier*, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: *Wood residuals*, from portable wood chipping machines, between points in Oregon and Washington, under a continuing contract or contracts with Stan Witty Land, Inc.

NOTE.—If a hearing is deemed necessary, the applicant requests it be held at Portland, Oreg.

No. MC 135340 (Sub-No. 3), filed December 30, 1974. Applicant: C. A. WALKER TRUCK LINES, INC., 1518 North Santa Fe Avenue, Chillicothe, Ill. 61523. Applicant's representative: Michael F. Sheehan, Jr., One East Wacker Drive, Suite 2530, Chicago, Ill. 60601. Authority sought to operate as a *common carrier*, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: *Sulphuric and phosphoric acid*, in bulk, from DePue, Ill., to Peru and Hennepin, Ill.

NOTE.—If a hearing is deemed necessary, the applicant requests it be held at Chicago, Ill.

No. MC 135542 (Sub-No. 7), filed February 10, 1975. Applicant: TIMOTHY D. SHAW, R.D. #1, Sweet Valley, Pa. 18656. Applicant's representative: Kenneth R. Davis, 999 Union Street, Taylor, Pa. 18517. Authority sought to operate as a *common carrier*, by motor vehicle over irregular routes, transporting: (a) *Aluminum bars, rods, tubing and shapers*, (1) from Mountaintop, Pa., and Dayton, N.J., to points in Bronx, Nassau, New York, Queens, Richmond, and Suffolk Counties, N.Y., Baltimore Md., Fairfield, Hartford, Litchfield, Middlesex, New Haven, New London, Tolland, and Windham Counties, Conn., Middlesex, Norfolk, Suffolk, and Worcester Counties, Mass.; (2) from

Mountaintop, Pa., to points in Bergen, Camden, Essex, Hudson, Hunterdon, Mercer, Middlesex, Passaic, Somerset, Sussex, and Union Counties, N.J.; and (3) from Dayton, N.J., to points in Bucks, Carbon, Cumberland, Dauphin, Delaware, Lackawanna, Luzerne, Lycoming, Montgomery, Northampton, Perry, Philadelphia, Wyoming, and York Counties, Pa.; and (b) *scrap aluminum*, from Scranton, Pa., to Dayton, N.J.

NOTE.—If a hearing is deemed necessary, applicant requests it be held at Philadelphia, Pa.

No. MC 135611 (Sub-No. 6), filed February 10, 1975. Applicant: WALKER & WHITTED TRANSPORTATION CO., INC., 320 North 8th Street, P.O. Box 217, Brawley, Calif. 92227. Applicant's representative: Carl H. Fritze, 1545 Wilshire Boulevard, Los Angeles, Calif. 90017. Authority sought to operate as a *common carrier*, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: *Liquid animal feed supplements*, in bulk, from points in Imperial County, Calif., to points in that part of Nevada on and south of U.S. Highway 6.

NOTE.—Common control may be involved. If a hearing is deemed necessary, the applicant requests it be held at Los Angeles, Calif.

No. MC 135684 (Sub-No. 9), filed February 10, 1975. Applicant: BASS TRANSPORTATION CO., INC., P.O. Box 391, Flemington, N.J. 08822. Applicant's representative: Bert Collins, Suite 6193, 5 World Trade Center, New York, N.Y. 10048. Authority sought to operate as a *common carrier*, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: *Fresh and frozen meat*, from the plantsite of Country Pride, Inc. at or near Creston, Ohio, to points in that portion of the New York, N.Y. Commercial Zone, as defined in *Commercial Zones and Terminal Areas*, 53 M.C.C. 451, within which local operations may be conducted pursuant to the partial exemption of Section 204(b)(8) of the Interstate Commerce Act.

NOTE.—Applicant holds motor contract carrier authority in MC 87720 (Sub-No. 2 and other subs), therefore dual operations may be involved. If a hearing is deemed necessary, applicant requests it be held at Washington, D.C.

No. MC 136273 (Sub-No. 2), filed February 3, 1975. Applicant: KENNETH G. MAY AND ORVILLE L. HOWARD, doing business as CORONADO TRUCKING CO., 307 Old County Road, Edgewater, Fla. 32032. Applicant's representative: William J. Monheim, P.O. Box 1756, Whittier, Calif. 90609. Authority sought to operate as a *contract carrier*, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: *Metals, metal products*, and *materials, equipment and supplies* used in the manufacture, sale, or distribution of the above commodities (except in bulk, in tank vehicles, and except those commodities which because of size or weight require the use of special equipment): (1) between Philadelphia, Pa., and points in New Jersey and Montgomery County, Pa., on the one hand, and, on the other, points in California; (2) from Perris and City of Industry, Calif., to Union, Ill.; and (3) from Dunkirk, N.Y., Newport News, Va., and Huntington, W. Va., to Perris and City of Industry, Calif., under a continuing contract or contracts with Techalloy Company, Inc., and its subsidiaries.

NOTE.—If a hearing is deemed necessary, applicant requests it be held at Washington, D.C.

No. MC 136553 (Sub-No. 31), filed February 13, 1975. Applicant: ART PAPE TRANSFER, INC., 1080 East 12th Street, Dubuque, Iowa 52001. Applicant's representative: William L. Fairbank, 1980 Financial Center, Des Moines, Iowa 50309. Authority sought to operate as a *common carrier*, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: (1) *Dry fertilizer and dry fertilizer materials*, from Tolono, Ill., to points in Iowa; and (2) *dry urea*, from the plantsite of Apple River Chemical Co., located at or near East Dubuque, Ill., to points in Illinois, Indiana, Iowa, Michigan, Minnesota, Missouri, Nebraska, and Wisconsin.

NOTE.—If a hearing is deemed necessary, the applicant requests it be held at Chicago, Ill.

No. MC 138018 (Sub-No. 19), filed February 6, 1975. Applicant: REFRIGERATED FOODS, INC., 1420 33rd Street, Denver, Colo. 80205. Applicant's representative: Donna F. Rose (same address as applicant). Authority sought to operate as a *common carrier*, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: *Meat, meat products, meat by-products* and *articles distributed by meat packinghouses*, as described in Sections A and C of Appendix I to the report in *Descriptions in Motor Carrier Certificates*, 61 M.C.C. 209 and 766 (except hides and commodities in bulk), from the plantsite and storage facilities of or utilized by Farmland Foods, Inc. located at or near Crete, Nebr., to points in Arizona, California, Colorado, Idaho, Montana, Nevada, New Mexico, Oregon, Utah, Washington, and Wyoming, restricted to the transportation of traffic originating at the above origin and destined to the above destinations.

NOTE.—Common control and dual operations may be involved. If a hearing is deemed necessary, applicant requests it be held at Denver, Colo., or Kansas City, Mo.

No. MC 138054 (Sub-No. 7), filed February 10, 1975. Applicant: CONDOR CONTRACT CARRIERS, INC., P.O. Box 1354, Garden Grove, Calif. 92642. Applicant's representative: Patrick E. Quinn, 605 South 14th Street, P.O. Box 82028, Lincoln, Nebr. 68501. Authority sought to operate as a contract carrier, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: *Ornamental iron, plastic articles, vents, ventilators, ceiling grids, shutters, louvers*, and *parts and accessories* used in the manufacture, sale and installation of the above commodities (except commodities in bulk and those which because of size or weight require the use of special equipment), from the plantsite of Leslie-Locke, Division of Questor, at Franklin Park, Ill., to points in the United States in and east of Montana, Wyoming, Colorado, and New Mexico, under a continuing contract or contracts with Leslie-Locke, Division of Questor.

NOTE.—If a hearing is deemed necessary, applicant requests it be held at Columbus or Cleveland, Ohio.

No. MC 138274 (Sub-No. 16), filed February 13, 1975. Applicant: SHIPPERS BEST EXPRESS, INC., 2151 N. Redwood Road, Salt Lake City, Utah 84116. Applicant's representative: Chester A. Zyblut, 1522 K St. NW., Washington, D.C. 20005. Authority sought to operate as a *common carrier*, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: *Meats, meat products, meat by-products, and articles distributed by meat packinghouses*, as described in Sections A and C of Appendix I to the report in *Descriptions in Motor Carrier Certificates*, 61 M.C.C. 209 and 766, from West Fargo, N. Dak., to points in Montana, Washington, Oregon, California, Utah, and Colorado.

NOTE.—Applicant holds contract carrier authority in MC 138056 Sub 1, therefore dual operations may be involved. If a hearing is deemed necessary, applicant requests it be held at Salt Lake City, Utah, or Minneapolis, Minn.

No. MC 139495 (Sub-No. 36), filed February 6, 1975. Applicant: NATIONAL CARRIERS, INC., 1501 East 8th St., P.O. Box 1358, Liberal, Kans. 67901. Applicant's representative: Herbert Alan Dubin, 1819 H Street NW., Washington, D.C. 20006. Authority sought to operate as a *common carrier*, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: *Wines and related advertising matter*, (except in bulk, in tank vehicles), from Elkhart, Ind., to points in Tennessee, Kentucky, Illinois, Wisconsin, Missouri, Arkansas, Louisiana, Kansas, Oklahoma, and Texas.

NOTE.—Applicant holds contract carrier authority in MC 133106 and subs thereunder, therefore dual operations may be involved. If a hearing is deemed necessary, the applicant requests it be held at Washington, D.C.

No. MC 139495 (Sub-No. 37), filed February 14, 1975. Applicant: NATIONAL CARRIERS, INC., 1501 East 8th St., P.O. Box 1358, Liberal, Kans. 67901. Applicant's representative: Herbert Alan Dubin, 1819 H Street NW., Washington, D.C. 20006. Authority sought to operate as a *common carrier*, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: *Pretzels*, in vehicles equipped with mechanical refrigeration, (1) from Reading, Pa., to points in Ohio, Indiana, Michigan, Illinois, Iowa, and Missouri; and (2) from Bluffton, Ind., to points in Iowa, Nebraska, Colorado, Kansas, Missouri, Oklahoma, Arkansas, and Texas.

NOTE.—Applicant holds contract carrier authority in MC 133106 and subs thereunder, therefor, dual operations may be involved. If a hearing is deemed necessary, the applicant requests it be held at Washington, D.C.

No. MC 139495 (Sub-No. 38), filed February 14, 1975. Applicant: NATIONAL CARRIER, INC., 1501 East 8th Street, P.O. Box 1358, Liberal, Kans. 67901. Applicant's representative: Herbert Alan Dubin, 1819 H Street NW., Washington,

D.C. 20006. Authority sought to operate as a *common carrier*, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: *Sauces, dressings, juices, juice concentrates, and cocktail mixes*, (except in bulk), (1) from Avery Island, La., to points in Arizona, California, Colorado, Illinois, Kansas, Massachusetts, Michigan, Montana, New York, Maryland, Pennsylvania, Missouri, North Carolina, and Tennessee; (2) from Collinsville, Ill., to points in Arizona, California, Colorado, Georgia, Louisiana, Maryland, Massachusetts, Michigan, New York, Ohio, Texas, Utah, Missouri, Pennsylvania, Florida, Michigan, Tennessee, Oklahoma, Minnesota, and North Carolina; and (3) from Oakland and Thronton, Calif. to points in Arizona, Colorado, Illinois, Montana, Oregon, Utah, Washington, and Louisiana.

NOTE.—Applicant holds contract carrier authority in MC 133106 and Subs thereunder, therefore dual operations may be involved. If a hearing is deemed necessary, applicant requests it be held at Washington, D.C.

No. MC 139495 (Sub-No. 39), filed February 12, 1975. Applicant: NATIONAL CARRIERS, INC., 1501 East 8th Street, P.O. Box 1358, Liberal, Kans. 67901. Applicant's representative: Herbert Alan Dubin, 1819 H St. NW., Washington, D.C. 20006. Authority sought to operate as a *common carrier*, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: *Foodstuffs*, from St. Martinville, La., to points in Alabama, Florida, Georgia, Illinois, Kansas, Missouri, Oklahoma, Texas, Arkansas, Mississippi, South Carolina, Tennessee, Virginia, and Kentucky.

NOTE.—Applicant holds contract carrier authority in MC 133106 and subs thereunder, therefore dual operations may be involved. If a hearing is deemed necessary, the applicant requests it be held at Washington, D.C.

No. MC 139908 (Sub-No. 2), filed February 13, 1975. Applicant: CLETUS D. CRIMMINS, doing business as, CRIMMINS TRANSFER COMPANY, P.O. Box 519B, RR No. 3, 5020 Eighth Avenue, East Moline, Ill. 61244. Applicant's representative: F. G. Milder, 412 East Seventh Street, Muscatine, Iowa 52761. Authority sought to operate as a *contract carrier*, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: *Household goods and unaccompanied baggage*, restricted to the transportation of shipments having a prior or subsequent movement, in containers, beyond the points authorized, and further restricted to the performance of pickup and delivery service in connection with the packing, crating, and containerization of such shipments, between points in Carroll, Henderson, Henry, Knox, Jo Daviess, Mercer, Rock Island, Stephenson, Warren and Whiteside Counties, Ill., and points in Allamakee, Appanoose, Benton, Blackhawk, Bremer, Buchanan, Butler, Cedar, Cerro Gordo, Chickasaw, Clayton, Clinton, Davis, Delaware, Des Moines, Dubuque, Fayette, Floyd, Franklin, Grundy, Hardin, Henry, Howard, Iowa, Jackson, Jasper, Jefferson, Johnson, Jones, Keokuk, Lee, Linn, Louisa, Lucas, Mahaska, Marion, Marshall, Mitchell, Monroe, Muscatine, Poweshiek, Scott, Tama, Van Buren, Wapello, Washington, Wayne, Winneshiek, and Worth Counties, Iowa, under a continuing contract or contracts with the U.S. Government, Department of Defense.

NOTE.—Common control may be involved. If a hearing is deemed necessary, the applicant requests it be held at Chicago, Ill.

No. MC 140024 (Sub-No. 52), filed February 18, 1975. Applicant: J. B. MONTGOMERY, INC., 5565 East 42nd Avenue, P.O. Box 16279, Denver, Colo. 80216. Applicant's representative: John F. DeCock (same address as applicant). Authority sought to operate as a *common carrier*, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: *Meats, meat products, meat by-products and articles distributed by meat packinghouses*, as described in Sections A and C of Appendix I to the report in *Descriptions in Motor Carrier Certificates*, 61 M.C.C. 209 and 766 (except hides and commodities in bulk), from the plantsite and storage facilities of or utilized by Farmland Foods, Inc., located at or near Crete, Nebr., to points in Colorado, Illinois, Indiana, Iowa, Kansas, Michigan, Minnesota, Missouri, North Dakota, Ohio, South Dakota, and Wisconsin, restricted to the transportation of traffic originating at the above origin, and destined to the above-named destinations.

NOTE.—Common control may be involved. If a hearing is deemed necessary, applicant requests it be held at Kansas City, Mo., or Omaha, Nebr.

No. MC 140178 (Sub-No. 2), filed January 28, 1975. Applicant: BRAY DELIVERY, INC., 6856 Knoll, St. Louis, Mo. 63134. Applicant's representative: Hettie O. Bray (same address as applicant). Authority sought to operate as a *contract carrier*, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: *Ladies ready to wear clothing, dresses, coats and suits, furs, sports wear, costume jewelry, cosmetics, lingerie and display equipment* for windows, from St. Louis, Mo., to Fairview Heights, Ill., under a continuing contract with Thos. W. Garland, Inc.

NOTE.—If a hearing is deemed necessary, the applicant requests it be held at St. Louis, Mo.

No. MC 140304 (Sub-No. 2), filed February 12, 1975. Applicant: MAROTTA AIR SERVICE, INC., Boonton Avenue, Boonton, N.J. 07005. Applicant's representative: J. Aiden Connors, 145 East 49th Street, New York, N.Y. 10017. Authority sought to operate as a *contract carrier*, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: *Games or toys and children's furniture*, between Parsippany, N.J., on the one hand, and, on the other, points in Rockland and Westchester Counties, N.Y., and New York, N.Y., under a continuing contract or contracts with F. A. O. Schwarz.

NOTE.—If a hearing is deemed necessary, the applicant does not specify a location.

No. MC 140412, filed November 13, 1974. Applicant: HOWARD DISTRIBUTORS, a Partnership, 1502 Sassafras Street, Erie, Pa. 16501. Applicant's representative: William H. Higgins, 512 Masonic Building, Erie, Pa. 16501. Authority sought to operate as a *contract carrier*, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: *Emergency Production Hold-up Materials* (except commodities in bulk, in tank and hopper-type vehicles, commodities which because of size or weight require special equipment, those exceeding 3,000 lbs. or having a total shipment weight of 11,000 lbs., tractor trailers, office machines and office furniture), from the facilities of the General Electric Co., Lawrence Park Township, Erie County, Pa. (except Erie, Pa.) to points in Ohio, New York, New Jersey, and Connecticut, and return, under a continuing contract or contracts with General Electric Company.

NOTE.—If a hearing is deemed necessary, applicant requests it be held at either Erie or Pittsburgh, Pa.

No. MC 140432 (Amendment), filed November 25, 1974, published in the FEDERAL REGISTER issue of January 16, and republished, as amended, this issue. Applicant: CHIPPEWA TRANSPORTATION, INC., 4250 Broadway, Denver, Colo. 80216. Applicant's representative: Douglas John Traeger, Suite 450, 1515 Cleveland Place, Denver, Colo. 80202. Authority sought to operate as a *contract carrier*, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: (1) *Building materials*, as defined in Appendix VI to the report in *Descriptions in Motor Carrier Certificates*, 61 M.C.C. 209, and *plate glass and mirrors*, and (2) *plastic film and cellulose*, on holes, (a) between points in California and Texas on the one hand, and, on the other, points in Denver, Colo. Commercial Zone; (b) between points in Cook County, Ill. on the one hand, and, on the other, points in the Denver, Colo. Commercial Zone, (c) from points in California, Texas; Lane and Multnomah Counties, Oreg.; King and Spokane Counties, Wash.; Winnebago County, Wis.; Saranac, Grand Rapids, Detroit, Roseville, Holland, Walled Lake, West Branch, Troy and Quincy, Mich.; Florence and Mobile, Ala.; Norcross, Ga.; Mt. Zion, Ill.; Niles, Mount Vernon, and Ashville, Ohio, Reading, Lancaster and Carlisle, Pa.; Bellevue, Ky.; Fredericksburg, Va.; Huntington, Ind.; Henryetta, Okla.; St. Louis and Joseph, Mo.; New Haven and Berlin, Conn.; South Pittsburg, Tenn.; Everett and Seattle, Wash.; and Taylorsville, Miss. and points in their respective commercial zones, to points in Arkansas, Arizona, California, Colorado, Idaho, Iowa, Kansas, Louisiana, Minnesota, Missouri, Montana, Nebraska, New Mexico, Nevada, North Dakota, Oklahoma, Oregon, South Dakota, Texas, Utah, Washington, and Wyoming restricted in (c) above to traffic destined to construction job sites (except traffic destined to points in the Denver, Colo. Commercial Zone); (d) from points in the Denver, Colo. Commercial Zone, to points in the destination territory described in (c) above, restricted in (d) above to traffic destined to construction job sites; and (e) from points in the Denver, Colo. Commercial Zone, to East St. Louis, Ill.; Dyersberg and Memphis,

Tenn.; Phoenix and Tucson, Ariz. and Salt Lake City, Utah and points in their respective commercial zones, under a continuing contract or contracts with Ray Carson Company, Inc.

NOTE.—The purpose of this republication is to indicate applicant seeks restrictions to the requested territorial authority in (c) and (d) above in lieu of (b) and (c) above as originally published. If a hearing is deemed necessary, applicant requests it be held at Denver, Colo.

No. MC 140440, filed November 25, 1974. Applicant: DAVIS TRUCK SERVICE, INC., Route 2, Box 43, Jeanerette, La. 70544. Applicant's representative: Leroy Hallman, 4555 1st Nat'l. Bank Bldg., Dallas, Tex. 75202. Authority sought to operate as a *common carrier*, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: (1) *Packaged carbon black* in steamship, railroad or carrier containers and/or trailers, between the Port of New Orleans, La., on the one hand, and, on the other, the plant sites of Cities Service Company, Columbian Division, at or near Eola (near Bunkie), and Franklin, La.; (2) *empty* railroad or carrier owned *containers and/or trailers*, from the trailer on flat car railroad ramps at Meridian, Miss., to the plant sites of Cities Service Company, Columbian Division, at or near Eola (near Bunkie), and Franklin, La.; (3) packaged *carbon black* in railroad or carrier owned containers and/or trailers, from the plant sites of Cities Service Company, Columbian Division, at or near Eola (near Bunkie), and Franklin, La., to the trailer on flat car ramps at Meridian, Miss.; (4) packaged *carbon black* in steamship, railroad or carrier containers and/or trailers, between the Port of New Orleans, La., on the one hand, and, on the other, the plant sites of Cabot Corporation at or near Tatecove (near Ville Platte), and Cabot (near Franklin), La.; (5) *empty* railroad or carrier owned containers and/or trailers, from the trailer on flat car railroad ramps at New Orleans, La., to the plant sites of Cabot Corporation at Tatecove (near Ville Platte), and Cabot (near Franklin), La.; and (6) packaged *carbon black* in railroad or carrier owned containers and/or trailers, from the plant sites of Cabot Corporation at or near Tatecove (near Ville Platte), and Cabot (near Franklin), La., to the trailer on flat car railroad ramps at New Orleans, La.

NOTE.—If a hearing is deemed necessary, applicant requests it be held at Baton Rouge or New Orleans, La.

No. MC 140494 (Sub-No. 1), filed January 31, 1975. Applicant: JIMMY D. LAWSON, doing business as, J L TRUCKING, 912 North Oak, Ponca City, Okla. 74601. Applicant's representative: Jimmy D. Lawson (same address as applicant). Authority sought to operate as a *common carrier*, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: *Trailers* having a prior or subsequent movement by rail, between Ponca City and Perry, Okla., on the one hand, and, on the other, points in Kay, Noble, Osage, Pawnee, and Payne Counties, Okla.

NOTE.—If a hearing is deemed necessary, applicant requests it be held at Ponca City, Perry, or Oklahoma City, Okla.

No. MC 140567 (Sub-No. 2), filed February 10, 1975. Applicant: EDWARD L. NORTHINGTON, doing business as, ED NORTHINGTON TRUCKING, P.O. Box 51, Gattman, Miss. 38844. Applicant's representative: John A. Crawford, 700 Petroleum Building, P.O. Box 22567, Jackson, Miss. 39205. Authority sought to operate as a *common carrier*, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: *Stone, crushed stone, and riprap stone*, in dump trucks or trailers, from points in Colbert, Franklin, Fayette, Shelby, Bibb and Jefferson Counties, Ala., to points in Mississippi.

NOTE.—If a hearing is deemed necessary, applicant requests it be held at Jackson, Miss.

No. MC 140579 (Sub-No. 2), filed February 11, 1975. Applicant: JIM D. JIMISON, doing business as, JIM JIMISON TRUCKING, P.O. Box 153C, Sidney, Mont. 59270. Applicant's representative: John R. Davidson, Room 805, Midland Bank Bldg., Billings, Mont. 59101. Authority sought to operate as a *contract carrier*, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: *Scrap metal* (except car bodies), from points in Valley, Daniels, Sheridan, Roosevelt, Richland, McCone, Garfield, Dawson, Prairie, Custer and Fallon Counties Mont., to ports of entry on the International Boundary Line between the United States and Canada located at or near Raymond, Mont., on Montana Highway 265.

NOTE.—If a hearing is deemed necessary, the applicant requests it be held at either Sidney, or Billings, Mont.

No. MC 140584 (Sub-No. 2), filed February 19, 1975. Applicant: D.M.C. TRUCKING, INC., 7262 Walton-Nicholson Rd., Independence, Ky. 41051. Applicant's representative: Timothy J. Brandt, 400 Covington Trust Bldg., 6th and Madison Ave., Covington, Ky. 41011. Authority sought to operate as a *common carrier*, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: *Coal*, in bulk, from points in Perry, Breathitt and Wolfe Counties, Ky., to Cincinnati, Cleves and Dayton, Ohio.

NOTE.—If a hearing is deemed necessary, the applicant requests it be held at Cincinnati, Ohio, or Lexington, Ky.

No. MC 140587 (Sub-No. 1) (Correction), filed January 27, 1975, and published in the FEDERAL REGISTER issue of February 27, 1975, and republished as corrected this issue. Applicant: CECIL CLAXTON, East Elm Street, Wrightsville, Ga. 31096. Applicant's representative: William Addams, Suite 212, 5299 Roswell Rd. NE., Atlanta, Ga. 30342. Authority sought to operate as a *common carrier*, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: *Malt beverages*, in containers, from Baltimore, Md., to points in Georgia and Alabama.

NOTE.—The purpose of this republication is to indicate the correct docket number assigned to this proceeding as MC 140587 (Sub-No. 1) in lieu of MC 140588 as previously published. Applicant holds contract carrier authority in MC 133492 Sub 1 and subs thereunder, therefore dual operations may be involved. If a hearing is deemed necessary, the applicant requests it be held at Atlanta, Ga.

No. MC 140596, filed January 27, 1975. Applicant: NEWPORT AIR FREIGHT, INC., Airport Road, Newport, Vt. 05855. Applicant's representative: V. Michael Straus, Suite 704, 1001 Connecticut Ave. NW., Washington, D.C. 20036. Authority sought to operate as a *contract carrier*, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: *Hockey sticks, paper products* used as insulation in power transformers, *aircraft parts* made of plastic, *weighing scales and parts thereof, special finished metal cutting tools and repair parts* for equipment used in the manufacture thereof, *finished parts* related to snowmobiles, and *finished cutting tools* related to manufacturing, between the Newport State Airport located in Newport, Vt.; Caledonia County Airport located in Lyndonville, Vt.; the facilities of: Newport Plastics Corporation in Newport, Vt.; Fairbanks Morse, Inc., St. Johnsbury, Vt.; EHV Weidmann, St. Johnsbury, Vt.; Butterfield Division Litton Industries, Derby Line, Vt.; Vermont Tap and Die Company, Lyndonville, Vt., on the one hand, and, on the other, Logan International Airport, located in East Boston, Mass., restricted to traffic having a prior or subsequent movement by air, under a continuing contract or contracts with Newport Plastics Corporation, at Newport, Vt.; Fairbanks Morse, Inc., at St. Johnsbury, Vt.; EHV Weidmann Industries, Inc., at St. Johnsbury, Vt.; Butterfield Division Litton Industries, Derby Line, Vt.; Bombardier Ltee/Ltd., Valcourt, Quebec, Canada; The Sherwood-Drolet Corp. Ltd. Division of ATO, Inc., at Sherbrooke, Quebec, Canada; and Vermont Tap and Die Company, Division of Vermont American Corporation, at Lyndonville, Vt.

NOTE.—If a hearing is deemed necessary, the applicant requests it be held at Burlington or Montpelier, Vt. or Boston, Mass.

No. MC 140613 (Sub-No. 2), filed February 4, 1975. Applicant: HAROLD ABBAS, doing business as, ABBAS TRUCKING, P.O. Box 98A, Dakota City, Iowa 50529. Applicant's representative: Bradford E. Kistler, P.O. Box 82028, Lincoln, Nebr. 68501. Authority sought to operate as a *contract carrier*, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: *Crushed and scrapped vehicles, implements, machinery, and parts* thereof, from points in Iowa (except Woodbury County, Iowa), to South Beloit and Fond du Lac, Wis.; Minneapolis and St. Paul, Minn.; Chicago and Alton, Ill.; and St. Louis and Kansas City, Mo., under a continuing contract or contracts with Dennis Steib d/b/a Tri-Pak Auto Crushers.

NOTE.—If a hearing is deemed necessary, the applicant requests it be held at Des Moines, Iowa.

No. MC 140618, filed February 5, 1975. Applicant: GERVASE MURPHY, P.O. Box 213, Orwigsburg, Pa. 17901. Applicant's representative: Kenneth R. Davis,

999 Union Street, Taylor, Pa. 18517. Authority sought to operate as a *contract carrier*, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: *Petroleum motor oil, lubricating oil, greases, undercoating and oil additives* (except in bulk), from Congo, W. Va., to points in Bucks, Montgomery, Delaware, Philadelphia, Cumberland, Dauphin, Lancaster, Perry, and Schuylkill Counties, Pa., under a continuing contract or contracts with Penn Harris Oil Co.; Shellenberger Oil Co.; and Loos & Dilworth, Inc.

NOTE.—Applicant holds temporary and pending motor common carrier authority in MC 140285 and Sub-No. 1, therefore dual operations may be involved. If a hearing is deemed necessary, applicant requests it be held at Harrisburg, Pa.

No. MC 140620, filed February 3, 1975. Applicant: D & K TRANSPORT, INC., doing business as TRANSPORT, 19245 Northeast 159th St., Woodinville, Wash. 98072. Applicant's representative: James T. Johnson, 1610 IBM Bldg., Seattle, Wash. 98101. Authority sought to operate as a *contract carrier*, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: *Cabinets, cabinet components, cabinet parts and millwork, hardboard, particleboard, lumber and lumber products, plywood, vinyl, doors, building hardware, and speakers and speaker parts*, between points in Washington, Oregon, California, Idaho, Montana, Wyoming, Colorado, Nevada, Minnesota, Wisconsin, Missouri, Illinois, New York, Pennsylvania, and New Jersey, under contract with Brillware Mfg. Co., at Kirkland, Wash.

NOTE.—If a hearing is deemed necessary, applicant requests it be held at Seattle, Wash.

No. MC 140645, filed February 10, 1975. Applicant: UNITED TRUCKING, INC., 100 Stoffel Drive, Tallapoosa, Ga. 30176. Applicant's representative: Clyde W. Carver, Suite 212, 5299 Roswell Road NE., Atlanta, Ga. 30342. Authority sought to operate as a *contract carrier*, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: *Metal containers, metal container ends and machinery, materials and supplies* used in the manufacture and distribution of meat containers, between Tallapoosa, Ga., on the one hand, and, on the other, points in Alabama, Arkansas, Connecticut, Delaware, the District of Columbia, Florida, Illinois, Indiana, Iowa, Kansas, Kentucky, Louisiana, Maryland, Massachusetts, Michigan, Minnesota, Mississippi, Missouri, Nebraska, New Jersey, New York, North Carolina, Ohio, Oklahoma, Pennsylvania, South Carolina, Tennessee, Texas, Virginia, West Virginia, and Wisconsin, restricted to a transportation service to be performed under a continuing contract or contracts with Southern Can Company of Tallapoosa, Ga.

NOTE.—If a hearing is deemed necessary, the applicant requests it be held at Atlanta, Ga. or Washington, D.C.

No. MC 140650, filed February 12, 1975. Applicant: PENINSULAR MEAT CO., INC., 4401 North Westshore Blvd., Tampa, Fla. 33614. Applicant's representative: Rudy Yessin, 314 Wilkinson Street, Frankfort, Ky. 40601. Authority sought to operate as a *contract carrier*, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: *Meats, meat products, meat by-products, and articles distributed by meat packinghouses* as described in Sections A and C of Appendix I to the report in *Descriptions in Motor Carrier Certificates*, 61 M.C.C. 209 and 766 (except commodities in bulk, in tank vehicles), from the plantsite of The Mid-American Meats, Inc., located in Omaha, Nebr., to points in Jacksonville, Tampa and Miami, Fla., under a continuing contract with Mid-American Meats, Inc.

NOTE.—If a hearing is deemed necessary, the applicant requests it be held at either Miami, Tampa or Jacksonville, Fla.

No. MC 140651, filed February 10, 1975. Applicant: ROCKY MOUNTAIN TROUT, INC., Route 4, Box 301, Buhl, Idaho 83316. Applicant's representative: Irene Warr, 430 Judge Building, Salt Lake City, Utah 84111. Authority sought to operate as a *common carrier*, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: (1) *Animal and fish feed ingredients* in straight or mixed loads with exempt commodities, from points in California, Arizona, Colorado, Utah, Montana, Oregon, Washington, Wyoming, Nevada, and New Mexico, to points in Idaho; (2) *fertilizer* in straight or mixed loads with exempt commodities, (A) from points in Washington, Oregon, Montana, Wyoming, Utah, and California, to points in Idaho; (B) from points in California, to points in Nevada; and (C) from points in Idaho, to points in California, Washington, Oregon, Montana, Utah, and California; (3) *chemicals* in straight or mixed loads with exempt commodities, from points in Oregon and California, to point in Idaho; (4) *animal and fish feed* in straight or mixed loads with exempt commodities, from points in Idaho, to points in Oregon, Utah, New Mexico, California, Nevada, Colorado, Montana, Wyoming, Washington, and Arizona; (5) *seed* in straight or mixed loads with exempt commodities, (A) from points in Idaho, to points in Nevada; and (B) from points in Oregon, and Utah, to points in Idaho; (6) *bags* in straight or mixed loads with exempt commodities, from points in California, Washington, and Oregon, to points in Idaho; and (7) *twine* in straight or mixed loads with exempt commodities, from points in California and Washington, to points in Idaho.

NOTE.—If a hearing is deemed necessary, applicant requests it be held at either Salt Lake City, Utah or Boise, Idaho.

No. MC 140652, filed February 10, 1975. Applicant: S. C. HUTCHINSON, CO., INC., 428 Gervais Street, Columbia, S.C. 29201. Applicant's representative: Frank A. Graham, Jr., 707 Security Federal Bldg., Columbia, S.C. 29201. Authority sought to operate as a *contract carrier*, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: (1) *Furnaces, filters and replacement parts* to maintain furnaces, from Blackville, S.C., to points in Delaware, Illinois, Indiana, New Jersey, Ohio, Pennsylvania, Tennessee, and Virginia; and (2) *materials and supplies* used in the manufacture and to assemble furnaces, from points in Arkansas, Georgia, Illinois, Indiana, Kentucky, Maryland, Michigan, Missouri, New Jersey, New York, North Carolina, Ohio, Pennsylvania, and Tennessee, to Blackville, S.C., under a continuing contract or contracts with Ducane Heating Corporation.

NOTE.—If a hearing is deemed necessary, applicant requests it be held at Columbia or Blackville, S.C. or Charlotte, N.C.

No. MC 140653, filed February 10, 1975. Applicant: LONNIE P. STANCIL, ROY A. STANCIL, and WALTER T. STANCIL, a Partnership, doing business as, DAIRY LEASING SERVICE, 803 Herring Avenue, Wilson, N.C. 27893. Applicant's representative: Harry J. Jordan, 1000 16th Street NW., Washington, D.C. 20036. Authority sought to operate as a *contract carrier*, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: (a) *Dairy products and ice cream*, (1) from Winston-Salem and Wilson, N.C., and Chambersburg, Pa., to Miami, Fla.; and (2) from Chambersburg, Pa., to Winston-Salem, N.C.; and (b) *Orange juice*, from Lakeland and Bradenton, Fla., to Wilson, Winston-Salem and Raleigh, N.C., and Chambersburg, Pa., under a continuing contract or contracts with Sealtest Foods Division, Kraftco Corporation.

NOTE.—If a hearing is deemed necessary, the applicant requests it be held at Wilson or Winston-Salem, N.C.

No. MC 140665 (Sub-No. 1), filed February 19, 1975. Applicant: PRIME, INC., Box 115B, Urbana, Mo. 65767. Applicant's representative: Arnold L. Burke, 127 North Dearborn St., Chicago, Ill. 60602. Authority sought to operate as a *common carrier*, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: *Frozen pizza and pizza products*, from the plant site of Fox De Luxe Foods, Inc., located at Carthage, Mo., to points in Arizona, California, Colorado, Florida (excluding Jacksonville), Illinois, Kansas, South Dakota, Oregon, West Virginia, Virginia, Washington, North Carolina, South Carolina, New Mexico, and Idaho, restricted to traffic originating at and destined to the above-named points.

NOTE.—If a hearing is deemed necessary, the applicant requests it be held at Kansas City, Mo.

PASSENGER APPLICATIONS

No. MC 228 (Sub-No. 75) (amendment), filed January 7, 1975, published in the FEDERAL REGISTER issue of February 6, 1975, and republished as amended this issue. Applicant: HUDSON TRANSIT LINES, INC., 17 Franklin Turnpike, Mahwah, N.J. 07340. Applicant's representative: Samuel B. Zinder, 98 Cutter Mill Road, Great Neck, N.Y. 11021. Authority sought to operate as a *common carrier*, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: *Passengers and their baggage* in charter operations, from Dutchess County, N.Y., to points in the United States including Alaska and Hawaii, and return.

NOTE.—The purpose of this republication is to amend the territorial description. Common control may be involved. If a hearing is deemed necessary, applicant requests it be held at either New York City, N.Y. or Poughkeepsie, N.Y.

No. MC 61599 (Sub-No. 142), filed February 10, 1975. Applicant: CONTINENTAL SOUTHEASTERN LINES, INC., 417 West Fifth Street, Charlotte, N.C. 28201. Applicant's representative: Lawrence E. Lindeman, 425 13th St. NW., Suite 1032, Washington, D.C. 20004. Authority sought to operate as a *common carrier*, by motor vehicle, over regular routes, transporting: *Passengers and their baggage, and express and newspapers*, in the same vehicle with passengers, Between Knoxville, Tenn., and junction Interstate Highway 40, and Wilton Springs Road (Cocke County Road No. 2484), near Hartford, Tenn., as follows: From Knoxville, over Interstate Highway 40, to junction Interstate Highway 40 and Wilton Springs Road (Cocke County Road No. 2484), near Hartford, Tenn., and return over the same route, serving no intermediate points.

NOTE.—Common control may be involved. If a hearing is deemed necessary, applicant does not specify a location.

No. MC 111422 (Sub-No. 7) (Amendment), filed January 29, 1975, published in the FEDERAL REGISTER issue of February 27, 1975, and republished as amended this issue. Applicant: O. D. ANDERSON, INC., R.D. No. 3, Conneaut Lake Road, Greenville, Pa. 16125. Applicant's representative: S. Harrison Kahn, Suite 733, Investment Building, Washington, D.C. 20005. Authority sought to operate as a *common carrier*, by motor vehicle, over regular and irregular routes, transporting: (2) *Passengers and their baggage, and express and newspapers* in the same vehicle with passengers, (A) regular routes: Between Youngstown, Ohio and Erie, Pa., serving all intermediate points: From Youngstown, Ohio, over U.S. Highway 422 to its intersection with Ohio Highway 616; thence over Ohio Highway 616 to Hubbard, Ohio, thence from Hubbard, Ohio, over Ohio Highway 7, to its intersection with Ohio Highway 82, thence over Ohio Highway 82 to its intersection with U.S. Highway 62, thence over U.S. Highway 62 to its intersection with Pennsylvania Highway 18, thence over Pennsylvania Highway 18 to its intersection with U.S. Highway 90, thence over U.S. Highway 90 to its intersection with Pennsylvania Highway 90 to its intersection with Pennsylvania Highway 79, thence along Pennsylvania Highway 79 to Erie, Pa., and return over the same route. (B) *Passengers and their baggage* in the same vehicle with passengers in special operations in round trip sightseeing and pleasure tours, beginning and ending at points in Erie County, Pa. and extending to points in the United States, including Alaska, but excluding Hawaii; and (2) passengers and their baggage in the same vehicle with passengers in charter operations, beginning and ending at points on the regular route described in part (A) above and the territory served thereby to points in the United States, including Alaska, but excluding Hawaii.

NOTE.—The purpose of this republication is to amend Section A. Common control may be involved. If a hearing is deemed necessary, the applicant request it be held at Youngstown, Ohio.

No. MC 134361 (Sub-No. 6), filed February 10, 1975. Applicant: WILDERNESS BOUND, LTD., a Corporation, R.D. 1, Box 365, Highland, N.Y. 12528. Applicant's representative: W. Norman Charles, 80 Bay Street, Glens Falls, N.Y. 12801. Authority sought to operate as a *common carrier*, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: *Passengers and their baggage*, in special operations, in all-expense round trip camping tours, restricted to passengers having a prior or subsequent movement by air, and further restricted to the transportation of passengers between 12 and 19 years of age inclusive, in vehicles with a seating capacity not exceeding 15 passengers, including the driver, beginning and ending at Phoenix, Ariz., San Francisco, Calif., Denver, Colo., Duluth, Minn., Salt Lake City, Utah, and Seattle, Wash., and extending to points in Arizona, California, Colorado, Idaho, Iowa, Kansas, Michigan, Minnesota, Montana, Nebraska, Nevada, New Mexico, North Dakota, Oregon, South Dakota, Texas, Utah, Washington, Wisconsin, and Wyoming.

NOTE.—If a hearing is deemed necessary, the applicant requests it be held at Albany or Poughkeepsie, N.Y.

No. MC 138666 (Sub-No. 3), filed February 13, 1975. Applicant: TREKAMERICA, INC., 215 S. Broad St., Philadelphia, Pa. 19102. Applicant's representative: Francis P. Desmond, 115 East Fifth Street, Chester, Pa. 19103. Authority sought to operate as a *common carrier*, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: *Passengers and their baggage, and outdoor equipment*, in special operations, in round-trip personally conducted all-expense camping tours, in vehicles limited to 14 passengers (not including driver and escort), beginning and ending at Los Angeles, Calif.; Denver, Colo.; Phoenix, Ariz.; and extending to points in the United States (except Hawaii).

NOTE.—If a hearing is deemed necessary, the applicant requests it be held at Philadelphia, Pa.

No. MC 140669, filed February 10, 1975. Applicant: ONEONTA BUS LINES INCORPORATED, 46 Orchard Street, Oneonta, N.Y. 13820. Applicant's representative: S. Harrison Kahn, Suite 733 Investment Bldg., Washington, D.C. 20005. Authority sought to operate as a *common carrier*, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: (1) *Passengers and their baggage*, in round trip charter and special operations, beginning and ending at points in Chenango, Cortland, and Otsego Counties, N.Y., and extending to points in the United States, including Alaska, but excluding Hawaii; and (2) *passengers and their baggage*, in round trip charter operations, beginning and ending at points in Delaware County, N.Y., and extending to points in the United States, including Alaska but excluding Hawaii.

NOTE.—Common control may be involved. If a hearing is deemed necessary, the applicant requests it be held at Oneonta or Albany, N.Y.

BROKER APPLICATION

No. MC 130295, filed February 4, 1975. Applicant: REX BOROUGH, 717 Central Avenue NW., P.O. Box 693, Albuquerque, N. Mex. 87103. Applicant's representative: Rex Borough (same address as applicant). Authority sought to engage in operation, in interstate or foreign commerce, as a *broker* at Albuquerque, N. Mex., to sell or offer to sell the transportation of *individual passengers and groups of passengers and their baggage*, in special and charter operations, in sightseeing and pleasure tours, by motor, air, water and rail carriers, beginning and ending at Albuquerque, N. Mex., and extending to points in the United States (except Alaska and Hawaii).

NOTE.—If a hearing is deemed necessary, the applicant requests it be held at Albuquerque, N. Mex.; Denver, Colo.; Phoenix, Ariz.; San Francisco or Los Angeles, Calif.; or Washington, D.C.

WATER CARRIER APPLICATION

No. W 414 (Sub-No. 9), filed February 11, 1975. Applicant: THE OHIO RIVER COMPANY, a corporation, 1400 Provident Tower, Cincinnati, Ohio 45202. Applicant's representative: Richard A. Zellner, 800 National City-E, 6th Building, Cleveland, Ohio 44114. Authority sought to engage in operation, in interstate or foreign commerce as a *common carrier by water* in the transportation by non-self propelled vessels with the use of separate towing vessels in the transportation of *commodities generally*, and by towing vessels in the performance of *general towage*, (a) between ports and points along the Kaskaskia River and (b) between ports and points specified in (a) above, on the one hand, and, on the other, all ports and points which Applicant is presently authorized to serve pursuant to its Sixth Amended Certificate and Order No. W-414 served January 25, 1974.

By the Commission.

[SEAL] ROBERT L. OSWALD, *Secretary.*

[FR Doc.75-6467 Filed 3-12-75;8:45 am]

Office of Proceedings

IRREGULAR-ROUTE MOTOR COMMON CARRIERS OF PROPERTY—ELIMINATION OF GATEWAY LETTER NOTICES

MARCH 10, 1975.

The following letter-notices of proposals to eliminate gateways for the purpose of reducing highway congestion, alleviating air and noise pollution, minimizing safety hazards, and conserving fuel have been filed with the Interstate Commerce Commission under the Commission's Gateway Elimination Rules (49 CFR 1065(a)), and notice thereof to all

interested persons is hereby given as provided in such rules.

An original and two copies of protests against the proposed elimination of any gateway herein described may be filed with the Interstate Commerce Commission on or before March 24, 1975. A copy must also be served upon applicant or its representative. Protests against the elimination of a gateway will not operate to stay commencement of the proposed operation.

Successively filed letter-notices of the same carrier under these rules will be numbered consecutively for convenience in identification. Protests, if any, must refer to such letter-notices by number.

No. MC 21170 (Sub-No. E50), filed June 4, 1974. Applicant: BOS LINES, INC., P.O. Box 68, Cedar Rapids, Iowa 52406. Applicant's representative: Gene R. Prohushi (same as above). Authority sought to operate as a *common carrier*, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: *Malt beverages*, from Milwaukee, Wis., to points in that part of Minnesota south and east of a line beginning at the Minnesota-South Dakota State line and extending along Minnesota Highway 269 through Jasper to junction of unnumbered highway, thence along unnumbered highway, including Hardwick, to Kenneth, thence to Magnolia, thence to and including Adrian, to junction U.S. Highway 90, thence along U.S. Highway 90, seven miles to junction of unnumbered highway, thence six miles to junction of unnumbered highway thence to U.S. Highway 59, thence along U.S. Highway 59 two miles to junction of unnumbered highway, thence to Round Lake, thence along unnumbered highway to the Minnesota-Iowa State line. The purpose of this filing is to eliminate the gateway of Marshalltown, Iowa.

No. MC 21170 (Sub-No. E63), filed June 4, 1974. Applicant: BOS LINES, INC., P.O. Box 68, Cedar Rapids, Iowa 52406. Applicant's representative: Gene R. Prohushi (same as above). Authority sought to operate as a *common carrier*, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: *Candy, confectionery*, and confectionery products (except commodities in bulk, in tank vehicles), and advertising matter, premiums, prizes, and display material, when shipped in the same vehicle with candy, confectionery, and confectionery products, from the facilities of Topps Chewing Gum, Inc., at or near Duryea, Pa., to Fargo, N. Dak., restricted to traffic originating at the facilities of Topps Chewing Gum, Inc., at or near Duryea, Pa. The purpose of this filing is to eliminate the gateway of Marshalltown, Iowa.

No. MC 29886 (Sub-No. E16), filed May 23, 1974. Applicant: DALLAS & MAVIS FORWARDING CO., INC., 4000 West Sample Street, South Bend, Ind. 46627. Applicant's representative: Charles Pieroni (same as above). Authority sought to operate as a *common carrier*, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: *Wheeled tractors* (other than truck tractors), with or without attachments, and *crawler tractors*, set up, with loading and grading attachments, (1) from those points in the Lower Peninsula of Michigan to points in Oregon, California, Nevada, Utah, Arizona, New Mexico, Colorado, Kansas, Oklahoma, Texas, Arkansas, and Louisiana; (2) from those points in the Upper Peninsula of Michigan on and east of U.S. Highway 41 to points in California; (3) from those points in the Upper Peninsula of Michigan to points in Louisiana, Mississippi, Alabama, Georgia, Florida, North Carolina, South Carolina, those in Tennessee on and east of a line beginning at the Kentucky-Tennessee State line and extending along U.S. Highway 641 to junction U.S. Highway 79, thence along U.S. Highway 79 to junction Interstate Highway 40, thence along Interstate Highway 40 to the Tennessee-Arkansas State line, and those in Arkansas on and south of a line beginning at the Arkansas-Tennessee State line and extending along U.S. Highway 79 to junction Arkansas Highway 4, thence along Arkansas Highway 4 to junction U.S. Highway 67, thence along U.S. Highway 67 to the Arkansas-Texas State line; and (4) from those points in the Lower Peninsula of Michigan to points in Oregon, California, Nevada, Utah, Arizona, New Mexico, Colorado, Kansas, Oklahoma, Texas, Arkansas, Louisiana, Tennessee, Mississippi, Alabama, Georgia, South Carolina, Florida, to those points in North Carolina on and west of a line beginning at the North Carolina-Virginia State line and extending along U.S. Highway 13 to junction U.S. Highway 17, thence along U.S. Highway 17 to junction U.S. Highway 70, thence along U.S. Highway 70 to the Atlantic Ocean, and those points in Kentucky on and west of U.S. Highway 421. The purpose of this filing is to eliminate the gateway of Churubusco, Inc.

No. MC 29886 (Sub-No. E17), filed May 23, 1974. Applicant: DALLAS & MAVIS FORWARDING CO., INC., 4000 West Sample Street, South Bend, Ind. 46627. Applicant's representative: Charles Pieroni (same as above). Authority sought to operate as a *common carrier*, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: *Wheeled tractors* (other than truck tractors), with or without attachments, and *crawler tractors*, set up, with loading and grading attachments, (1) from points in Wisconsin to points in North Carolina, South Carolina, Georgia, Florida, those in Kentucky on and east of Kentucky Highway 61, those in Tennessee on and east of U.S. Highway 127, and those in Alabama on and east of a line beginning at the Alabama-Georgia State line and extending along Interstate Highway 59 to junction Alabama Highway 5, thence along Alabama Highway 5 to junction Alabama Highway 41, thence along Alabama Highway 41 to junction Alabama Highway 21, thence along Alabama Highway 21 to the Alabama-Florida State line, and (2) from those points in Iowa on and north of Interstate Highway 80 to points in North Carolina and South Carolina. The purpose of this filing is to eliminate the gateways of (1) those points in Michigan on and south of a line extending along the northern boundaries of Allegan, Barry, and Eaton Counties, Mich., thence along Business Route Interstate Highway 96 to junction U.S. Highway 127, thence along U.S. Highway 127 to junction unnumbered highway near Somerset Center, Mich. thence along unnumbered highway to junction U.S. Highway 12 thence along U.S. Highway 12 to junction U.S. Highway 127 thence along U.S. Highway 127 to the Michigan-Ohio State line, and (2) Churubusco, Ind.

No. MC 102298 (Sub-No. E23), filed May 30, 1974. Applicant: STAR VAN LINES, INC. P.O. Box 669, Pacific Grove, Calif. 93950. Applicant's representative: K. A. Smith (same as above). Authority sought to operate as a *common carrier*, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: *Household goods*, as defined by the Commission, between points in North Carolina, on the one hand, and, on the other, points in Maine. The purpose of this filing is to eliminate the gateway of New York, N.Y.

No. MC 102298 (Sub-No. E24), filed May 30, 1974. Applicant: STAR VAN LINES, INC., P.O. Box 669, Pacific Grove, Calif. 93950. Applicant's representative: K. A. Smith (same as above). Authority sought to operate as a *common carrier*, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: *Household goods*, as defined by the Commission, between points in North Carolina, on the one hand, and, on the other, points in Vermont. The purpose of this filing is to eliminate the gateway of New York, N.Y.

No. MC 102298 (Sub-No. E25), filed May 30, 1974. Applicant: STAR VAN LINES, INC., P.O. Box 669, Pacific Grove, Calif. 93950. Applicant's representative: K. A. Smith (same as above). Authority sought to operate as a *common carrier*, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: *Household goods*, as defined by the Commission, between points in North Carolina, on the one hand, and, on the other, points in New Hampshire. The purpose of this filing is to eliminate the gateway of New York, N.Y.

No. MC 102298 (Sub-No. E26), filed May 30, 1974. Applicant: STAR VAN LINES, INC., P.O. Box 669, Pacific Grove, Calif. 93950. Applicant's representative: K. A. Smith (same as above). Authority sought to operate as a *common carrier*, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: *Household goods*, as defined by the Commission, between points in North Carolina, on the one hand, and, on the other, points in that part of New York on and east of a line beginning at Hale Eddy, N.Y., thence along New York Highway 8 to Utica, N.Y., thence along New York Highway 12 to Lowville, N.Y., thence along New York Highway 26 to Antwerp, N.Y., thence along New York Highway 185 to the St. Lawrence River. The purpose of this filing is to eliminate the gateway of New York, N.Y.

No. MC 102298 (Sub-No. E27), filed May 30, 1974. Applicant: STAR VAN LINES, INC., P.O. Box 669, Pacific Grove, Calif. 93950. Applicant's representative: K. A. Smith (same as above). Authority sought to operate as a *common carrier*, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: *Household goods*, as defined by the Commission, between points in South Carolina on the one hand, and, on the other, points in Massachusetts. The purpose of this filing is to eliminate the gateways of (1) Indian Head, Md., and (2) New York, N.Y.

No. MC 102298 (Sub-No. E28), filed May 30, 1974. Applicant: STAR VAN LINES, INC., P.O. Box 669, Pacific Grove, Calif. 93950. Applicant's representative: K. A. Smith (same as above). Authority sought to operate as a *common carrier*, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: *Household goods*, as defined by the Commission, between points in South Carolina on the one hand, and, on the other, points in Connecticut. The purpose of this filing is to eliminate the gateways of (1) Indian Head, Md., and (2) New York, N.Y.

No. MC 102298 (Sub-No. E29), filed May 30, 1974. Applicant: STAR VAN LINES, INC., P.O. Box 669, Pacific Grove, Calif. 93950. Applicant's representative: K. A. Smith (same as above). Authority sought to operate as a *common carrier*, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: *Household goods*, as defined by the Commission, between points in South Carolina, on the one hand, and, on the other, points in Rhode Island. The purpose of this filing is to eliminate the gateways of (1) Indian Head, Md., and (2) New York, N.Y.

No. MC 102298 (Sub-No. E30), filed May 30, 1974. Applicant: STAR VAN LINES, INC., P.O. Box 669, Pacific Grove, Calif. 93950. Applicant's representative: K. A. Smith (same as above). Authority sought to operate as a *common carrier*, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: *Household goods*, as defined by the Commission, between points in South Carolina, on the one hand, and, on the other, points in Maine. The purpose of this filing is to eliminate the gateways of (1) Indian Head, Md., and (2) New York, N.Y.

No. MC 102298 (Sub-No. E31), filed May 30, 1974. Applicant: STAR VAN LINES, INC., P.O. Box 669, Pacific Grove, Calif. 93950. Applicant's representative: K. A. Smith (same as above). Authority sought to operate as a *common carrier*, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: *Household goods*, as defined by the Commission, between points in South Carolina, on the one hand, and, on the other, points in Vermont. The purpose of this filing is to eliminate the gateways of (1) Indian Head, Md., and (2) New York, N.Y.

No. MC 102298 (Sub-No. E32), filed May 30, 1974. Applicant: STAR VAN LINES, INC., P.O. Box 669, Pacific Grove, Calif. 93950. Applicant's representative: K. A. Smith (same as above). Authority sought to operate as a *common carrier*, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: *Household goods*, as defined by the Commission, between points in South Carolina, on the one hand, and, on the other, points in New Hampshire. The purpose of this filing is to eliminate the gateways of (1) Indian Head, Md., and (2) New York, N.Y.

No. MC 102298 (Sub-No. E33), filed May 30, 1974. Applicant: STAR VAN LINES, INC., P.O. Box 669, Pacific Grove, Calif. 93950. Applicant's representative: K. A. Smith (same as above). Authority sought to operate as a *common carrier*, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: *Household goods*, as defined by the Commission, between points in Virginia, on the one hand, and, on the other, points in Maine. The purpose of this filing is to eliminate the gateways of (1) Indian Head, Md., and (2) New York, N.Y.

No. MC 102298 (Sub-No. E34), filed May 30, 1974. Applicant: STAR VAN LINES, INC., P.O. Box 669, Pacific Grove, Calif. 93950. Applicant's representative: K. A. Smith (same as above). Authority sought to operate as a *common carrier*, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: *Household goods*, as defined by the Commission, between points in Virginia, on the one hand, and, on the other, points in New Hampshire. The purpose of this filing is to eliminate the gateways of (1) Indian Head, Md., and (2) New York, N.Y.

No. MC 102298 (Sub-No. E35), filed May 30, 1974. Applicant: STAR VAN LINES, INC., P.O. Box 669, Pacific Grove, Calif. 93950. Applicant's representative: K. A. Smith (same as above). Authority sought to operate as a *common carrier*, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: *Household goods*, as defined by the Commission, between points in Virginia, on the one hand, and, on the other, points in Vermont. The purpose of this filing is to eliminate the gateways of (1) Indian Head, Md., and (2) New York, N.Y.

No. MC 102298 (Sub-No. E36), filed May 30, 1974. Applicant: STAR VAN LINES, INC., P.O. Box 669, Pacific Grove, Calif. 93950. Applicant's representative: K. A. Smith (same as above). Authority sought to operate as a *common carrier*, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: *Household goods*, as defined by the Commission, between points in Virginia, on the one hand, and, on the other, points in Massachusetts. The purpose of this filing is to eliminate the gateways of (1) Indian Head, Md., and (2) New York, N.Y.

No. MC 102298 (Sub-No. E37), filed May 30, 1974. Applicant: STAR VAN LINES, INC., P.O. Box 669, Pacific Grove, Calif. 93950. Applicant's representative: K. A. Smith (same as above). Authority sought to operate as a *common carrier*, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: *Household goods*, as defined by the Commission, between points in Virginia, on the one hand, and, on the other, points in Connecticut. The purpose of this filing is to eliminate the gateways of (1) Indian Head, Md., and (2) New York, N.Y.

No. MC 102298 (Sub-No. E38), filed May 30, 1974. Applicant: STAR VAN LINES, INC., P.O. Box 669, Pacific Grove, Calif. 93950. Applicant's representative: K. A. Smith (same as above). Authority sought to operate as a *common carrier*, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: *Household goods*, as defined by the Commission, between points in Virginia, on the one hand, and, on the other, points in Rhode Island. The purpose of this filing is to eliminate the gateways of (1) Indian Head, Md., and (2) New York, N.Y.

No. MC 102298 (Sub-No. E39), filed May 30, 1974. Applicant: STAR VAN LINES, INC., P.O. Box 669, Pacific Grove, Calif. 93950. Applicant's representative: K. A. Smith (same as above). Authority sought to operate as a *common carrier*, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: *Household goods*, as defined by the Commission, between points in Maryland, on the one hand, and, on the other, points in Maine. The purpose of this filing is to eliminate the gateway of New York, N.Y.

No. MC 102298 (Sub-No. E40), filed May 30, 1974. Applicant: STAR VAN LINES, INC., P.O. Box 669, Pacific Grove, Calif. 93950. Applicant's representative: K. A. Smith (same as above). Authority sought to operate as a *common carrier*, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: *Household goods*, as defined by the Commission, between points in Maryland, on the one hand, and, on the other, points in New Hampshire. The purpose of this filing is to eliminate the gateway of New York, N.Y.

No. MC 102298 (Sub-No. E41), filed May 30, 1974. Applicant: STAR VAN LINES, INC., P.O. Box 669, Pacific Grove, Calif. 93950. Applicant's representative: K. A. Smith (same as above). Authority sought to operate as a *common carrier*, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: *Household goods*, as defined by the Commission, between points in Maryland, on the one hand, and, on the other, points in Vermont. The purpose of this filing is to eliminate the gateway of New York, N.Y.

No. MC 102298 (Sub-No. E42), filed May 30, 1974. Applicant: STAR VAN LINES, INC., P.O. Box 669, Pacific Grove, Calif. 93950. Applicant's representative: K. A. Smith (same as above). Authority sought to operate as a *common carrier*, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: *Household goods*, as defined by the Commission, between points in Maryland, on the one hand, and, on the other, points in Massachusetts. The purpose of this filing is to eliminate the gateway of New York, N.Y.

No. MC 102298 (Sub-No. E43), filed May 30, 1974. Applicant: STAR VAN LINES, INC., P.O. Box 669, Pacific Grove, Calif. 93950. Applicant's representative: K. A. Smith (same as above). Authority sought to operate as a *common carrier*,

by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: *Household goods*, as defined by the Commission, between points in Maryland, on the one hand, and, on the other, points in Connecticut. The purpose of this filing is to eliminate the gateway of New York.

No. MC 102298 (Sub-No. E44), filed May 30, 1974. Applicant: STAR VAN LINES, INC., P.O. Box 669, Pacific Grove, Calif. 93950. Applicant's representative: K. A. Smith (same as above). Authority sought to operate as a *common carrier*, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: *Household goods*, as defined by the Commission, between points in Maryland, on the one hand, and, on the other, points in Rhode Island. The purpose of this filing is to eliminate the gateway of New York, N.Y.

No. MC 102298 (Sub-No. E45), filed May 30, 1974. Applicant: STAR VAN LINES, INC., P.O. Box 669, Pacific Grove, Calif. 93950. Applicant's representative: K. A. Smith (same as above). Authority sought to operate as a *common carrier*, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: *Household goods*, as defined by the Commission, between points in West Virginia, on the one hand, and, on the other, points in Maine. The purpose of this filing is to eliminate the gateways of (1) Indian Head, Md., and (2) New York, N.Y.

No. MC 102298 (Sub-No. E46), filed May 30, 1974. Applicant: STAR VAN LINES, INC., P.O. Box 669, Pacific Grove, Calif. 93950. Applicant's representative: K. A. Smith (same as above). Authority sought to operate as a *common carrier*, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: *Household goods*, as defined by the Commission, between points in New Hampshire, on the one hand, and, on the other, points in that part of West Virginia, on and south of a line beginning at the West Virginia-Ohio State line, thence along U.S. Highway 50 to the West Virginia-Maryland State line. The purpose of this filing is to eliminate the gateways of (1) Indian Head, Md., and (2) New York, N.Y.

No. MC 102298 (Sub-No. E47), filed May 30, 1974. Applicant: STAR VAN LINES, INC., P.O. Box 669, Pacific Grove, Calif. 93950. Applicant's representative: K. A. Smith (same as above). Authority sought to operate as a *common carrier*, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: *Household goods*, as defined by the Commission, between points in Vermont, on the one hand, and, on the other, points in that part of West Virginia on and south of a line beginning at the West Virginia-Kentucky State line, thence along U.S. Highway 60 to junction West Virginia Highway 16, thence along West Virginia Highway 16 to junction West Virginia Highway 39, thence along West Virginia Highway 39 to the West Virginia-Virginia State line. The purpose of this filing is to eliminate the gateways of (1) Indian Head, Md., and (2) New York, N.Y.

No. MC 102298 (Sub-No. E48), filed May 30, 1974. Applicant: STAR VAN LINES, INC., P.O. Box 669, Pacific Grove, Calif. 93950. Applicant's representative: K. A. Smith (same as above). Authority sought to operate as a *common carrier*, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: *Household goods*, as defined by the Commission, between points in New Jersey, on the one hand, and, on the other, points in Maine. The purpose of this filing is to eliminate the gateway of New York, N.Y.

No. MC 102298 (Sub-No. E49), filed May 30, 1974. Applicant: STAR VAN LINES, INC., P.O. Box 669, Pacific Grove, Calif. 93950. Applicant's representative: K. A. Smith (same as above). Authority sought to operate as a *common carrier*, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: *Household goods*, as defined by the Commission, between points in New Jersey, on the one hand, and, on the other, points in New Hampshire. The purpose of this filing is to eliminate the gateway of New York, N.Y.

No. MC 102298 (Sub-No. E50), filed May 30, 1974. Applicant: STAR VAN LINES, INC., P.O. Box 669, Pacific Grove, Calif. 93950. Applicant's representative: K. A. Smith (same as above). Authority sought to operate as a *common carrier*, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: *Household goods*, as defined by the Commission, between points in New Jersey, on the one hand, and, on the other, points in Vermont. The purpose of this filing is to eliminate the gateway of New York, N.Y.

No. MC 102298 (Sub-No. E51), filed May 30, 1974. Applicant: STAR VAN LINES, INC., P.O. Box 669, Pacific Grove, Calif. 93950. Applicant's representative: K. A. Smith (same as above). Authority sought to operate as a *common carrier*, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: *Household goods*, as defined by the Commission, between points in New Jersey, on the one hand, and, on the other, points in Massachusetts. The purpose of this filing is to eliminate the gateway of New York, N.Y.

No. MC 102298 (Sub-No. E52), filed May 30, 1974. Applicant: STAR VAN LINES, INC., P.O. Box 669, Pacific Grove, Calif. 93950. Applicant's representative: K. A. Smith (same as above). Authority sought to operate as a *common carrier*, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: *Household goods*, as defined by the Commission, between points in New Jersey, on the one hand, and, on the other, points in Rhode Island. The purpose of this filing is to eliminate the gateway of New York, N.Y.

No. MC 102298 (Sub-No. E53), filed May 30, 1974. Applicant: STAR VAN LINES, INC., P.O. Box 669, Pacific Grove, Calif. 93950. Applicant's representative: K. A. Smith (same as above). Authority sought to operate as a *common carrier*, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: *Household goods*, as defined by the Commission, between points in New Jersey, on the one hand, and, on the other, points in Connecticut. The purpose of this filing is to eliminate the gateway of New York, N.Y.

No. MC 102298 (Sub-No. E54), filed May 30, 1974. Applicant: STAR VAN LINES, INC., P.O. Box 669, Pacific Grove, Calif. 93950. Applicant's representative: K. A. Smith (same as above). Authority sought to operate as a *common carrier*, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: *Household goods*, as defined by the Commission, between points in Delaware, on the one hand, and, on the other, points in Maine. The purpose of this filing is to eliminate the gateway of New York, N.Y.

No. MC 102298 (Sub-No. E55), filed May 30, 1974. Applicant: STAR VAN LINES, INC., P.O. Box 669, Pacific Grove, Calif. 93950. Applicant's representative: K. A. Smith (same as above). Authority sought to operate as a *common carrier*, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: *Household goods*, as defined by the Commission, between points in Delaware, on the one hand, and, on the other, points in New Hampshire. The purpose of this filing is to eliminate the gateway of New York, N.Y.

No. MC 102298 (Sub-No. E56), filed May 30, 1974. Applicant: STAR VAN LINES, INC., P.O. Box 669, Pacific Grove, Calif. 93950. Applicant's representative: K. A. Smith (same as above). Authority sought to operate as a *common carrier*, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: *Household goods*, as defined by the Commission, between points in Delaware, on the one hand, and, on the other, points in Vermont. The purpose of this filing is to eliminate the gateway of New York, N.Y.

No. MC 102298 (Sub-No. E57), filed May 30, 1974. Applicant: STAR VAN LINES, INC., P.O. Box 669, Pacific Grove, Calif. 93950. Applicant's representative: K. A. Smith (same as above). Authority sought to operate as a *common carrier*, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: *Household goods*, as defined by the Commission, between points in Delaware, on the one hand, and, on the other, points in Massachusetts. The purpose of this filing is to eliminate the gateway of New York, N.Y.

No. MC 102298 (Sub-No. E58), filed May 30, 1974. Applicant: STAR VAN LINES, INC., P.O. Box 669, Pacific Grove, Calif. 93950. Applicant's representative: K. A. Smith (same as above). Authority sought to operate as a *common carrier*, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: *Household goods*, as defined by the Commission, between points in Delaware, on the one hand, and, on the other, points in Rhode Island. The purpose of this filing is to eliminate the gateway of New York, N.Y.

No. MC 102998 (Sub-No. E59), filed May 30, 1974. Applicant: STAR VAN LINES, INC., P.O. Box 669, Pacific Grove, Calif. 93950. Applicants representative: K. A. Smith (same as above). Authority sought to operate as a *common carrier*, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: *Household goods*, as defined by the Commission, between points in Delaware, on the one

hand, and, on the other, points in Connecticut. The purpose of this filing is to eliminate the gateway of New York, N.Y.

No. MC 102298 (Sub-No. E60), filed May 30, 1974. Applicant: STAR VAN LINES, INC., P.O. Box 669, Pacific Grove, Calif. 93950. Applicant's representative: K. A. Smith (same as above). Authority sought to operate as a *common carrier*, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: *Household goods*, as defined by the Commission, between points in Pennsylvania, on the one hand, and, on the other, points in Maine. The purpose of this filing is to eliminate the gateway of New York, N.Y.

No. MC 102298 (Sub-No. E61), filed May 30, 1974. Applicant: STAR VAN LINES, INC., P.O. Box 669, Pacific Grove, Calif. 93950. Applicant's representative: K. A. Smith (same as above). Authority sought to operate as a *common carrier*, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: *Household goods*, as defined by the Commission, between points in New Hampshire, on the one hand, and, on the other, points in that part of Pennsylvania, on and south of a line beginning at the Pennsylvania-Ohio State line, thence along Interstate Highway 80 to the Pennsylvania-New Jersey State line. The purpose of this filing is to eliminate the gateway of New York, N.Y.

No. MC 102298 (Sub-No. E62), filed May 30, 1974. Applicant: STAR VAN LINES, INC., P.O. Box 669, Pacific Grove, Calif. 93950. Applicant's representative: K. A. Smith (same as above). Authority sought to operate as a *common carrier*, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: *Household goods*, as defined by the Commission, between points in Vermont, on the one hand, and, on the other, points in that part of Pennsylvania on and south of a line beginning at the Pennsylvania-Ohio State line, thence along U.S. Highway 22 to the Pennsylvania-New Jersey State line. The purpose of this filing is to eliminate the gateway of New York, N.Y.

No. MC 102298 (Sub-No. E63), filed May 30, 1974. Applicant: STAR VAN LINES, INC., P.O. Box 669, Pacific Grove, Calif. 93950. Applicant's representative: K. A. Smith (same as above). Authority sought to operate as a *common carrier*, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: *Household goods*, as defined by the Commission, between points in Massachusetts, on the one hand, and, on the other, points in that part of Pennsylvania on and south of a line beginning at the Pennsylvania-Ohio State line, thence along U.S. Highway 22 to the Pennsylvania-New Jersey State line. The purpose of this filing is to eliminate the gateway of New York, N.Y.

No. MC 102298 (Sub-No. E64), filed May 30, 1974. Applicant: STAR VAN LINES, INC., P.O. Box 669, Pacific Grove, Calif. 93950. Applicant's representative: K. A. Smith (same as above). Authority sought to operate as a *common carrier*, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: *Household goods*, as defined by the Commission, between points in Connecticut, on the one hand, and, on the other, points in that part of Pennsylvania on and south of a line beginning at the Pennsylvania-Ohio State line, thence along U.S. Highway 22 to the Pennsylvania-New Jersey State line. The purpose of this filing is to eliminate the gateway of New York, N.Y.

No. MC 102298 (Sub-No. E65), filed May 30, 1974. Applicant: STAR VAN LINES, INC., P.O. Box 669, Pacific Grove, Calif. 93950. Applicant's representative: K. A. Smith (same as above). Authority sought to operate as a *common carrier*, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: *Household goods*, as defined by the Commission, between points in Rhode Island, on the one hand, and, on the other, points in that part of Pennsylvania, on and south of a line beginning at the Pennsylvania-Ohio State line, thence along U.S. Highway 22 to the Pennsylvania-New Jersey State line. The purpose of this filing is to eliminate the gateway of New York, N.Y.

No. MC 102298 (Sub-No. E66), filed May 30, 1974. Applicant: STAR VAN LINES, INC., P.O. Box 669, Pacific Grove, Calif. 93950. Applicant's representative: K. A. Smith (same as above). Authority sought to operate as a *common carrier*, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: *Household goods*, as defined by the Commission, between points in Ohio, on the one hand, and, on the other, points in Maine. The purpose of this filing is to eliminate the gateways of New York, N.Y., and Boston, Mass.

No. MC 102298 (Sub-No. E67), filed May 30, 1974. Applicant: STAR VAN LINES, INC., P.O. Box 669, Pacific Grove, Calif. 93950. Applicant's representative: K. A. Smith (same as above). Authority sought to operate as a *common carrier*, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: *Household goods*, as defined by the Commission, between points in New Hampshire, on the one hand, and, on the other, points in that part of Ohio on and south of a line beginning at the Ohio-Indiana State line, thence along U.S. Highway 30 to the Ohio-West Virginia State line. The purpose of this filing is to eliminate the gateways of (1) New York, N.Y., and (2) Boston, Mass.

No. MC 102298 (Sub-No. E68), filed May 30, 1974. Applicant: STAR VAN LINES, INC., P.O. Box 669, Pacific Grove, Calif. 93950. Applicant's representative: K. A. Smith (same as above). Authority sought to operate as a *common carrier*, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: *Household goods*, as defined by the Commission, between points in Vermont, on the one hand, and, on the other, points in that part of Ohio on and south of a line beginning at the Ohio-West Virginia State line, thence along U.S. Highway 22 to Cambridge, Ohio, thence along U.S. Highway 40 to the Ohio-Indiana State line. The purpose of this filing is to eliminate the gateway of New York, N.Y.

No. MC 102298 (Sub-No. E69), filed May 30, 1974. Applicant: STAR VAN LINES, INC., P.O. Box 669, Pacific Grove, Calif. 93950. Applicant's representative: K. A. Smith (same as above). Authority sought to operate as a *common carrier*, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: *Household goods*, as defined by the Commission, between points in Massachusetts, on the one hand, and, on the other, points in that part of Ohio, on and south of a line beginning at the Ohio-Pennsylvania State line, thence along Ohio Highway 82 to junction Ohio Highway 303, thence along Ohio Highway 303 to junction U.S. Highway 20, thence along U.S. Highway 20 to the Ohio-Indiana State line. The purpose of this filing is to eliminate the gateway of New York, N.Y.

No. MC 102298 (Sub-No. E70), filed May 30, 1974. Applicant: STAR VAN LINES, INC., P.O. Box 669, Pacific Grove, Calif. 93950. Applicant's representative: K. A. Smith (same as above). Authority sought to operate as a *common carrier*, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: *Household goods*, as defined by the Commission, between points in Ohio, on the one hand, and, on the other, points in Rhode Island. The purpose of this filing is to eliminate the gateway of New York, N.Y.

No. MC 102298 (Sub-No. E71), filed May 30, 1974. Applicant: STAR VAN LINES, INC., P.O. Box 669, Pacific Grove, Calif. 93950. Applicant's representative: K. A. Smith (same as above). Authority sought to operate as a *common carrier*, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: *Household goods*, as defined by the Commission, between points in Ohio, on the one hand, and, on the other, points in Connecticut. The purpose of this filing is to eliminate the gateway of New York, N.Y.

No. MC 102298 (Sub-No. E72), filed May 30, 1974. Applicant: STAR VAN LINES, INC., P.O. Box 669, Pacific Grove, Calif. 93950. Applicant's representative: K. A. Smith (same as above). Authority sought to operate as a *common carrier*, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: *Household goods*, as defined by the Commission, between points in Indiana, on the one hand, and, on the other, points in Massachusetts. The purpose of this filing is to eliminate the gateway of New York, N.Y.

No. MC 102298 (Sub-No. E73), filed May 30, 1974. Applicant: STAR VAN LINES, INC., P.O. Box 669, Pacific Grove, Calif. 93950. Applicant's representative: K. A. Smith (same as above). Authority sought to operate as a *common carrier*, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: *Household goods*, as defined by the Commission, between points in Indiana, on the one hand, and, on the other, points in Rhode Island. The purpose of this filing is to eliminate the gateway of New York, N.Y.

No. MC 102298 (Sub-No. E74), filed May 30, 1974. Applicant: STAR VAN LINES, INC., P.O. Box 669, Pacific Grove, Calif. 93950. Applicant's representative: K. A. Smith (same as above). Authority sought to operate as a *common carrier*, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes,

transporting: *Household goods,* as defined by the Commission, between points in Indiana, on the one hand, and, on the other, points in Connecticut. The purpose of this filing is to eliminate the gateway of New York, N.Y.

No. MC 102298 (Sub-No. E75), filed May 30, 1974. Applicant: STAR VAN LINES, INC., P.O. Box 669, Pacific Grove, Calif. 93950. Applicant's representative: K. A. Smith (same as above). Authority sought to operate as a *common carrier,* by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: *Household goods,* as defined by the Commission, between points in Illinois, on the one hand, and, on the other, points in Vermont. The purpose of this filing is to eliminate the gateway of New York, N.Y.

No. MC 102298 (Sub-No. E76), filed May 30, 1974. Applicant: STAR VAN LINES, INC., P.O. Box 669, Pacific Grove, Calif. 93950. Applicant's representative: K. A. Smith (same as above). Authority sought to operate as a *common carrier,* by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: *Household goods,* as defined by the Commission, between points in Illinois, on the one hand, and, on the other, points in Massachusetts. The purpose of this filing is to eliminate the gateway of New York, N.Y.

No. MC 102298 (Sub-No. E77), filed May 30, 1974. Applicant: STAR VAN LINES, INC., P.O. Box 669, Pacific Grove, Calif. 93950. Applicant's representative: K. A. Smith (same as above). Authority sought to operate as a *common carrier,* by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: *Household goods,* as defined by the Commission, between points in Illinois, on the one hand, and, on the other, points in Rhode Island. The purpose of this filing is to eliminate the gateway of New York, N.Y.

No. MC 102298 (Sub-No. E78), filed May 30, 1974. Applicant: STAR VAN LINES, INC., P.O. Box 669, Pacific Grove, Calif. 93950. Applicant's representative: K. A. Smith (same as above). Authority sought to operate as a *common carrier,* by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: *Household goods,* as defined by the Commission, between points in Illinois, on the one hand, and, on the other, points in Connecticut. The purpose of this filing is to eliminate the gateway of New York, N.Y.

No. MC 102298 (Sub-No. E79), filed May 30, 1974. Applicant: STAR VAN LINES, INC., P.O. Box 669, Pacific Grove, Calif. 93950. Applicant's representative: K. A. Smith (same as above). Authority sought to operate as a *common carrier,* by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: *Household goods,* as defined by the Commission, between points in Indiana, on the one hand, and, on the other, points in Maine. The purpose of this filing is to eliminate the gateways of (1) New York, N.Y., and (2) Boston, Mass.

No. MC 102298 (Sub-No. E80), filed May 30, 1974. Applicant: STAR VAN LINES, INC., P.O. Box 669, Pacific Grove, Calif. 93950. Applicant's representative: K. A. Smith (same as above). Authority sought to operate as a *common carrier,* by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: *Household goods,* as defined by the Commission, between points in Indiana, on the one hand, and, on the other, points in New Hampshire. The purpose of this filing is to eliminate the gateways of (1) New York, N.Y., and (2) Boston, Mass.

No. MC 102298 (Sub-No. E81), filed May 30, 1974. Applicant: STAR VAN LINES, INC., P.O. Box 669, Pacific Grove, Calif. 93950. Applicant's representative: K. A. Smith (same as above). Authority sought to operate as a *common carrier,* by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: *Household goods,* as defined by the Commission, between points in Illinois, on the one hand, and, on the other, points in Maine. The purpose of this filing is to eliminate the gateways of (1) New York, N.Y., and (2) Boston, Mass.

No. MC 102298 (Sub-No. E82), filed May 30, 1974. Applicant: STAR VAN LINES, INC., P.O. Box 669, Pacific Grove, Calif. 93950. Applicant's representative: K. A. Smith (same as above). Authority sought to operate as a *common carrier,* by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: *Household goods,* as defined by the Commission, between points in Illinois, on the one hand, and, on the other, points in New Hampshire. The purpose of this filing is to eliminate the gateways of (1) New York, N.Y., and (2) Boston, Mass.

No. MC 102298 (Sub-No. E83), filed May 30, 1974. Applicant: STAR VAN LINES, INC., P.O. Box 669, Pacific Grove, Calif. 93950. Applicant's representative: K. A. Smith (same as above). Authority sought to operate as a *common carrier,* by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: *Household goods,* as defined by the Commission, between points in Kentucky, on the one hand, and, on the other, points in Maine. The purpose of this filing is to eliminate the gateways of (1) New York, N.Y., and (2) Boston, Mass.

No. MC 102298 (Sub-No. E84), filed May 30, 1974. Applicant: STAR VAN LINES, INC., P.O. Box 669, Pacific Grove, Calif. 93950. Applicant's representative: K. A. Smith (same as above). Authority sought to operate as a *common carrier,* by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: *Household goods,* as defined by the Commission, between points in Kentucky, on the one hand, and, on the other, points in New Hampshire. The purposes of this filing is to eliminate the gateways of (1) New York, N.Y., and (2) Boston, Mass.

No. MC 102298 (Sub-No. E85), filed May 30, 1974. Applicant: STAR VAN LINES, INC., P.O. Box 669, Pacific Grove, Calif. 93950. Applicant's representative: K. A. Smith (same as above). Authority sought to operate as a *common carrier,* by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: *Household goods,* as defined by the Commission, between points in Kentucky, on the one hand, and, on the other, points in Vermont. The purpose of this filing is to eliminate the gateway of New York, N.Y.

No. MC 102298 (Sub-No. E86), filed May 30, 1974. Applicant: STAR VAN LINES, INC., P.O. Box 669, Pacific Grove, Calif. 93950. Applicant's representative: K. A. Smith (same as above). Authority sought to operate as a *common carrier,* by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: *Household goods,* as defined by the Commission, between points in Kentucky, on the one hand, and, on the other, points in Massachusetts. The purpose of this filing is to eliminate the gateway of New York, N.Y.

No. MC 102298 (Sub-No. E87), filed May 30, 1974. Applicant: STAR VAN LINES, INC., P.O. Box 669, Pacific Grove, Calif. 93950. Applicant's representative: K. A. Smith (same as above). Authority sought to operate as a *common carrier,* by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: *Household goods,* as defined by the Commission, between points in Kentucky, on the one hand, and, on the other, points in Rhode Island. The purpose of this filing is to eliminate the gateway of New York, N.Y.

No. MC 102298 (Sub-No. E88), filed May 30, 1974. Applicant: STAR VAN LINES, INC., P.O. Box 669, Pacific Grove, Calif. 93950. Applicant's representative: K. A. Smith (same as above). Authority sought to operate as a *common carrier,* by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: *Household goods,* as defined by the Commission, between points in Kentucky, on the one hand, and, on the other, points in Connecticut. The purpose of this filing is to eliminate the gateway of New York, N.Y.

No. MC 107295 (Sub-No. E23), filed May 14, 1974. Applicant: PRE-FAB TRANSIT CO., P.O. Box 146, Farmer City, Ill. 61842. Applicant's representative: Dale L. Cox (same as above). Authority sought to operate as a *common carrier,* by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: *Prefabricated and precut buildings or houses,* complete, knocked down or in sections; (1) from points in New Jersey to points in Arizona, California, and Oregon; (2) from points in New Jersey, to points in Colorado, Idaho, Montana, Nevada, New Mexico, North Dakota, South Dakota, and Utah; (3) from points in New Jersey to points in Kansas and Oklahoma; (4) from points in New Jersey to points in Louisiana and Mississippi; (5) from points in New Jersey to points in Michigan; (6) from points in New Jersey to points in Minnesota; (7) from points in New Jersey to points in Nebraska; (8) from points in New Jersey to points in Texas; and (9) from points in New Jersey to points in Wyoming. The purpose of this filing is to eliminate the gateways of (1) points in Ohio and Pine Bluff, Ark.; (2) Washington Court House, Ohio; (3) points in Ohio and Illinois; (4) Washington Court House, Ohio; (5) points in Ohio; (6) points in Ohio and Illinois; (7) points in Ohio and Illinois; (8) points in Ohio and Illinois; and (9) points in Ohio and Wapello County, Iowa.

No. MC 107295 (Sub-No. E25), filed May 14, 1974. Applicant: PRE-FAB TRANSIT CO., P.O. Box 146, Farmer City, Ill. 61842. Applicant's representative: Dale L. Cox (same as above). Authority sought to operate as a *common carrier*, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: *Prefabricated buildings*, complete, knocked down, or in sections; (1) from points in that part of Texas located in and east of Lamar, Hopkins, Wood, Van Zandt, Henderson, Anderson, Leon, Madison, Grimes, Waller, Ft. Bend, and Brazoria Counties to points in Idaho; (2) from points in that part of Texas located in and west of El Paso, Hudspeth, Culberson, Reeves, Ward, Winkler, Andrews, Dawson, Lynn, Lubbock, Hale, Swisher, Randall, Potter, Moore, and Sherman Counties, to points in that part of Louisiana located in and east of Morehouse, Ouachita, Caldwell, Catahoula, Avoyelles, Pointe Coupee, West Baton Rouge, Ascension, Assumption, and Terrebonne Parishes; (3) from points in that part of Texas located in and east of Montague, Wise, Parker, Hood, Somervell, Bosque, Coryell, Lampasas, Burnet, Blanco, Kendall, Bandera, Medina, Frio, La Salle, and Webb Counties to points in that part of Mississippi located in and north of Coahoma, Quitman, Panola, Lafayette, Pontotoc, Lee, and Itawamba Counties; (4) from points in that part of Texas located in and east of Lamar, Hopkins, Wood, Smith, Cherokee, Houston, Walker, Montgomery, Harris, Ft. Bend, and Matagorda Counties to points in Montana; (5) from points in that part of Texas in and east of Red River, Titus, Camp, Upshur, Gregg, Rusk, Nacogdoches, Angelina, Polk, Liberty, and Chambers Counties, to points in Oregon and Washington; (6) from points in Texas to points in Indiana, Kentucky, Ohio, and Tennessee; (7) from points in Texas to points in Maine, Maryland, Massachusetts, and New Hampshire; (8) from points in Texas to points in Michigan; (9) from points in Texas to points in New Jersey, New York, and Pennsylvania; and (10) from points in Texas to points in North Carolina, Virginia, and West Virginia. The purpose of this filing is to eliminate the gateways of (1) Pine Bluff, Ark.; (2) Pine Bluff, Ark.; (3) Pine Bluff, Ark.; (4) Pine Bluff, Ark.; (5) Pine Bluff, Ark.; (6) points in Arkansas, (7) Pine Bluff, Ark.; (8) points in Illinois; (9) points in Illinois and Ohio; and (10) points in Arkansas and Tennessee.

No. MC 107295 (Sub-No. E26), filed May 14, 1974. Applicant: PRE-FAB TRANSIT CO., P.O. Box 146, Farmer City, Ill. 61842. Applicant's representative: Dale L. Cox (same as above). Authority sought to operate as a *common carrier*, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: *Prefabricated buildings*, complete, knocked down, or in sections, including all *component parts* thereof and *equipment* and *materials* incidental to the erection and completion of such buildings; (1) from points in Louisiana to points in California, Colorado, Connecticut, Idaho, Maine, Massachusetts, Minnesota, Montana, Nebraska, Nevada, New Hampshire, New York, North Dakota, Oregon, Rhode Island, South Dakota, Utah, Vermont, Washington, and Wyoming; (2) from points in that part of Louisiana located in and east of St. Helena, Livingston, St. John the Baptist, St. Charles, and Plaquemines Parishes, to points in New Mexico; (3) from points in that part of Louisiana located in and west of Cameron, Jefferson Davis, Allen, Rapides, La Salle, Caldwell, Richland, and West Carroll Parishes, to points in that part of Alabama located in and north of Franklin, Lawrence, Morgan, Marshall, De Kalb, and Cherokee Counties, and to points in that part of Georgia in and north of Walker, Dade, Catoosa, Whitfield, Murray, Gilmer, Fannin, Clinton, Towns, Rabun, Habersham, White, and Lumpkin Counties, and to points in that part of North Carolina west of Wilkes, Alexander, Iredell, Rowan, Montgomery, and Richmond Counties, and to points in that part of Tennessee in Cocke, Sevier, Blount, Monroe, London, Rhea, Meigs, McMinn, Polk, Bradley, Hamilton, Sequatchie, Marion, Franklin, and Grundy Counties, and to points in South Carolina.

(4) From points in that part of Louisiana in and west of Pointe Coupee, St. Landry, Lafayette, and Iberia Parishes, to points in that part of North Carolina located in and east of Wilkes, Alexander, Iredell, Rowan, Montgomery, and Richmond Counties, and to points in that part of Mississippi in and north of Tunica, Tate, Marshall, Benton, Tippah, Prentiss, and Tishomingo Counties; (5) from points in that part of Louisiana located in and east of Union, Lincoln, Jackson, Winn, Grant, Rapides, Evangeline, Acadia, Lafayette, and Iberia Parishes, to points in Oklahoma; (6) from points in that part of Louisiana located in and west of Claiborne, Webster, Bossier, and De Soto Parishes, to points in that part of Georgia located in and east of Polk, Paulding, De Kalb, Rockdale, Newton, Morgan, Putnam, Hancock, Washington, Johnson, Treutlen, Toombs, Appling, Wayne, and Glynn Counties, except points in Walker, Dade, Catoosa, Whitfield, Murray, Gilmer, Fannin, Union, Towns, Rabun, Habersham, White, and Lumpkin Counties, and to points in that part of Alabama in and north of Pickens, Tuscaloosa, Bibb, Chilton, Autauga, Montgomery, Bullock, and Barbour Counties, and to points in that part of Mississippi in and north of Bolivar, Coahoma, Tallahatchie, Yalobusha, Calhoun, Chickasaw, and Monroe Counties; (7) from points in that part of Louisiana in and east of Terrebonne, Assumption, Ascension, West Baton Rouge, West Feliciana, Tensas, Franklin, Richland, Ouachita, and Union Parishes, to points in that part of Texas in and north of Collingsworth, Donley, Armstrong, Randall, and Deafsmith Counties.

(8) From points in that part of Louisiana in and east of East Feliciana, East Baton Rouge, Ascension, St. James, and Lafourche Parishes, to points in that part of Texas in and north of Montague, Archer, Baylor, Haskell, Stonewall, Kent, Garza, Lynn, Lawson, Andrews, Winkler, Loving Reeves, Culberson, Hudspeth, and El Paso Counties; (9) from points in that part of Louisiana in and west of East Feliciana, East Baton Rouge, Ascension, St. James, and Lafourche Parishes, to points in Delaware, Maryland, New Jersey, the District of Columbia, and to points in that part of Tennessee located on and east of U.S. Highway 231, except Coche, Sevier, Blount, Monroe, London, Rhea, Meigs, McMinn, Polk, Bradley, Hamilton, Sequatchie, Marion, Franklin, and Grundy Counties; (10) from points in Louisiana to points in Pennsylvania; (11) from points in Louisiana to points in Iowa and Missouri; (12) from points in Louisiana to points in Indiana, Kentucky, Michigan, Ohio, and to points in that part of Tennessee located on and west of U.S. Highway 231; (13) from points in Louisiana to points in Virginia and West Virginia; (14) from points in that part of Louisiana in and east of East Feliciana, East Baton Rouge, Ascension, St. James, and Lafourche Parishes to points in Delaware, Maryland, New Jersey, and the District of Columbia. The purpose of this filing is to eliminate the gateways of (1) Pine Bluff, Ark.; (2) Pine Bluff, Ark.; (3) Pine Bluff, Ark.; (4) Pine Bluff, Ark.; (5) Pine Bluff, Ark.; (6) Pine Bluff, Ark.; (7) Pine Bluff, Ark.; (8) Pine Bluff, Ark.; (9) Pine Bluff, Ark.; (10) points in Arkansas and Ohio; (11) points in Arkansas; (12) points in Arkansas; (13) points in Arkansas and Tennessee; and (14) points in Arkansas and Tennessee.

No. MC 107295 (Sub-No. E64), filed May 14, 1974. Applicant: PRE-FAB TRANSIT CO., P.O. Box 146, Farmer City, Ill. 61842. Applicant's representative: Dale L. Cox (same as above). Authority sought to operate as a *common carrier*, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: *Prefabricated buildings*, complete, knocked down, or in sections, and when transported in connection with the transportation of such buildings, *component parts* thereof and *equipment and materials* incidental to the erection and completion of such buildings, (1) from points in Minnesota to points in Connecticut, Maine, Massachusetts, New Hampshire, Rhode Island, and Vermont; (2) from points in Minnesota to points in Delaware, Maryland, New Jersey, New York, Pennsylvania, and the District of Columbia; (3) from points in that part of Minnesota located in and east of Koochiching, Itasca, Aitkin, Mille Lacs, Sherburne, Wright, Carver, Scott, Le Sueur, Waseca, and Freeborn Counties, to points in New Mexico; (4) from points in Minnesota to points in North Carolina; (5) from points in Minnesota to points in South Carolina; and (6) from points in Minnesota to points in Virginia and West Virginia. The purpose of this filing is to eliminate the gateways of (1) points in Illinois and Terre Haute, Ind., (2) points in Illinois and Ohio, (3) points in Illinois and Wapello County, Iowa, (4) points in Illinois and Ohio, (5) points in Illinois and Ohio and Lumberton, N.C., and (6) points in Illinois and Ohio.

No. MC 107295 (Sub-No. E69), filed May 14, 1974. Applicant: PRE-FAB TRANSIT CO., P.O. Box 146, Farmer City, Ill. 61842. Applicant's representative: Dale L. Cox (same as above). Authority sought to operate as a *common carrier*, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: *Buildings*, complete, knocked down, or in sections, including all component parts, materials, supplies, and fixtures, and when shipped with such buildings, *accessories* used in the erection, construction, and completion thereof, (1) from points in Indiana to points in Colorado, Idaho, Montana, Nevada, North Dakota, South Dakota, Utah, and Wyoming; (2) from points in Indiana to points in Connecticut, Maine, Massachusetts, New Hampshire, Rhode Island, and Vermont; (3) from points in Indiana to points in Delaware, Maryland, Pennsylvania, and the District of Columbia; (4) from points in Indiana to points in Kansas and Oklahoma; (5) from points in Indiana to points in Louisiana; (6) from points in Indiana to points in Minnesota; (7) from points in Indiana to points in Nebraska; (8) from points in Indiana to points in New Jersey and New York; (9) from points in that part of Indiana located in, east and north of Bigo, Clay, Greene, Lawrence, Washington, and Clark Counties to points in that part of South Carolina located in and east of Barnwell, Orangeburg, Calhoun, Sumter, Lee, Darlington, and Marlboro Counties; and (10) from points in Indiana to points in Texas. The purpose of this filing is to eliminate the gateways of (1) points in Wapello County, Iowa, (2) Washington Court House, Ohio, (3) points in Ohio, (4) points in Illinois, (5) points in Arkansas, (6) points in Illinois, (7) points in Illinois, (8) points in Ohio, (9) points in Ohio and Lumberton, N.C., and (10) points in Illinois.

No. MC 107295 (Sub-No. E92), filed May 14, 1974. Applicant: PRE-FAB TRANSIT CO., P.O. Box 146, Farmer City, Ill. 61842. Applicant's representative: Dale L. Cox (same as above). Authority sought to operate as a *common carrier*, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: *Prefabricated buildings*, complete, knocked down, or in sections, (1) from points in that part of Alabama located in and north of Colbert, Lawrence, Morgan, Marshall, and DeKalb Counties to points in that part of Louisiana located in, east and north of Cameron, Calcasieu, Allen, Rapides, Grant, Winn, Caldwell, Quachita, and Morehouse Counties; (2) from points in Alabama to points in Missouri; (3) from points in Alabama to points in Montana, North Dakota, and South Dakota; (4) from points in that part of Alabama located in and west of Lauderdale, Colbert, Franklin, Winston, Walker, Tuscaloosa, Bibb, Perry, Dallas, Wilcox, Monroe, and Baldwin Counties to points in that part of West Virginia located in and north of Preston, Taylor, Harrison, Dodridge, Ritchie, and Wood Counties. The purpose of this filing is to eliminate the gateways of (1) Pine Bluff, Ark., (2) points in Illinois, (3) points in Illinois and Wapello County, Iowa, and (4) points in Illinois and Ohio.

No. MC 107403 (Sub-No. E516), filed May 29, 1974. Applicant: MATLACK, INC., 10 W. Baltimore Ave., Lansdowne, Pa. 19050. Applicant's representative: John Nelson (same as above). Authority sought to operate as a *common carrier*, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: *Liquid chemicals* (except those sold for use as fertilizers), in bulk, in tank vehicles, from the plant site of Union Carbide Corporation and the plant site of Hooker Chemical Corporation at or near Taft, La., to points in Delaware, Maryland, New Jersey, New York, Pennsylvania, and Virginia (except the counties of Buchanan, Dickenson, Russell, Scott, Smyth, Washington, and Wise). The purpose of this filing is to eliminate the gateway of Greensboro, N.C.

No. MC 107403 (Sub-No. E530), filed May 29, 1974. Applicant: MATLACK, INC., 10 W. Baltimore Ave., Lansdowne, Pa. 19050. Applicant's representative: John Nelson (same as above). Authority sought to operate as a *common carrier*, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: *Phosphatic fertilizer solution*, in bulk, in tank vehicles, from the facilities of National Phosphate Corp., at or near Hahnville, La., to points in Delaware, Maryland, New York, New Jersey, Pennsylvania, and Virginia (except the counties of Buchanan, Dickenson, Russell, Scott, Smyth, Washington, and Wise, Va.). The purpose of this filing is to eliminate the gateways of Greensboro, N.C., and the facilities of American Cyanamid at Avondale, La.

No. MC 114019 (Sub-No. E304), filed May 13, 1974. Applicant: MIDWEST EMERY FREIGHT SYSTEM, INC., 7000 S. Pulaski Rd., Chicago, Ill. 60629. Applicant's representative: Arthur J. Sibik (same as above). Authority sought to operate as a *common carrier*, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: *Asbestos scrap, asphalt, automobile, body panels, asphalt flooring blocks, fibreboard*, and *pulpboard* (impregnated with asphalt), *asbestos wall boards, bituminized burlap, tin roofing caps, carpet lining, cement* (in packages), *metal clamps, metal clips, cotton cloth* (saturated with asbestos), *roof coating* (with asbestos, pitch tar, or rosin base), *conduits, creosote*, in packages, *eave filler strips, roofing felt, asphalt composition flashing blocks, asbestos or felt paper insulating material, asbestos millboard, mineral wool, high temperature bonding mortar* or *cement* (in packages), *nails, asbestos packing, asphaltum, coal tar, asbestos*, and *coal tar paint, roofing paper, paving joints, cement pipe* containing asbestos fiber, *roofing pitch, asphalt paving planks, asbestos ridge rolls, roofing, asbestos, sheathing, shingles, sheathings, shorts, asbestos and asphalt siding, concrete slabs, tin straps, roofing tar, asphalt floor tile*, and *wood preservatives*, restricted against the transportation of the above-named commodities in bulk, from North Judson, Ind., to Sparrows Point and Baltimore, Md., New York, N.Y., and points within 30 miles thereof, points in that part of New Jersey, Delaware, and Maryland, which are within 30 miles of Philadelphia, Pa., points in that part of New York on and west of a line beginning at Lake Ontario and extending along U.S. Highway 15 to junction New York Highway 245, thence along New York Highway 245 to junction New York Highway 36, thence along New York Highway 36 to junction New York Highway 21, thence along New York Highway 21 to junction New York Highway 17, thence along New York Highway 17 to the New York-Pennsylvania State line, and points in Pennsylvania and West Virginia, and *used skids, pallets, and other materials* used in the packing and transportation of the commodities specified immediately above, from the destination points and territories specified immediately above to North Judson, Ind. The purpose of this filing is to eliminate the gateway of points in Ohio.

No. MC 114019 (Sub-No. E305), filed May 22, 1974. Applicant: MIDWEST EMERY FREIGHT SYSTEM, INC., 7000 S. Pulaski Rd., Chicago, Ill. 60629. Applicant's representative: Arthur J. Sibik (same as above). Authority sought to operate as a *common carrier*, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: *Asbestos scrap, asphalt, automobile, body panels, asphalt flooring blocks, fibreboard and pulpboard* (impregnated with asphalt), *asbestos wall boards, bituminized burlap, tin roofing caps, carpet lining, cement* (in packages), *metal clamps, metal clips, cotton cloth* (saturated with asbestos), *roof coating* (with asbestos, pitch tar, or rosin base), *conduits, creosote* in packages, *eave filler strips, roofing felt, asphalt composition flashing blocks, asbestos* or *felt paper insulating material, asbestos millboard, mineral wool, high temperature bonding mortar* or *cement* (in packages), *nails, asbestos packing, asphaltum, coal tar, asbestos*, and *coal tar paint, roofing paper, paving joints, cement pipe containing asbestos fiber, roofing pitch, asphalt paving planks, asbestos ridge rolls, roofing, asbestos sheathing, shingles, sheathings, shorts, asbestos* and *asphalt siding, concrete slabs, tin straps, roofing tar, asphalt floor tile*, and *wood preservatives*, restricted against the transportation of the above-named commodities in bulk, from Toledo, Ohio, and those points in Ohio south of U.S. Highway 20, and on and north of U.S. Highway 40 to points in North Dakota, South Dakota, Minnesota, Nebraska, Colorado, and Kansas. The purpose of this filing is to eliminate the gateways of Whiting and North Judson, Ind.

No. MC 114019 (Sub-No. E306), filed May 25, 1974. Applicant: MIDWEST EMERY FREIGHT SYSTEM, INC., 7000 S. Pulaski Rd., Chicago, Ill. 60629. Applicant's representative: Arthur J. Sibik (same as above). Authority sought to operate as a *common carrier*, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: *Such merchandise*, as is dealt in by

retail food and household supply and furnishing business houses, and *equipment, materials,* and *supplies* used in the conduct of such business, from Chicago, Ill., to points in Berkshire, Franklin, Hampden, and Hampshire Counties, Massachusetts, points in Cheshire County, and points in Bennington, Rutland, and Windham Counties, Vt. Restricted to shipments moving from, to, or between warehouses or other facilities of retail food and household supply and furnishing business houses, in peddle service. The purpose of this filing is to eliminate the gateway of Schenectady, N.Y.

No. MC 114019 (Sub-No. E307), filed May 24, 1974. Applicant: MIDWEST EMERY FREIGHT SYSTEM, INC., 7000 S. Pulaski Rd., Chicago, Ill. 60629. Applicant's representative: Arthur J. Sibik (same as above). Authority sought to operate as a *common carrier,* by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: *Meats, meat products, and meat by-products and articles distributed by meat packinghouses* as described in Sections A and C of Appendix I to the report in *Descriptions in Motor Carrier Certificates,* 61 M.C.C. 209 and 766 (except commodities in bulk and hides), from the facilities of Packerland Packing Co., Inc., at Green Bay, Wis., to points in Connecticut, Massachusetts, Maryland, New Jersey, New York, Pennsylvania, Rhode Island, Delaware, Maine, New Hampshire, Vermont, points in Virginia on and east of a line beginning at the West Virginia-Virginia State line and extending along Virginia Highway 311 to its junction with Virginia Highway 42, thence along Virginia Highway 42 to junction U.S. Highway 460, thence along U.S. Highway 460 to junction U.S. Highway 11, thence along U.S. Highway 11 to junction U.S. Highway 52, thence along U.S. Highway 52 to the Virginia-North Carolina State line. The purpose of this filing is to eliminate the gateways of West Richfield, Ohio, and Pittsburgh, Pa.

No. MC 114019 (Sub-No. E308), filed May 25, 1974. Applicant: MIDWEST EMERY FREIGHT SYSTEM, INC., 7000 S. Pulaski Rd., Chicago, Ill. 60629. Applicant's representative: Arthur J. Sibik (same as above). Authority sought to operate as a *common carrier,* by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: *Printing paper,* from Glens Falls, N.Y., to points in Bath, Breathitt, Boyd, Carter, Elliott, Fleming, Floyd, Greenup, Johnson, Knott, Lawrence, Letcher, Lewis, Magoffin, Martin, Mason, Menifee, Morgan, Perry, Pike, Rowan, and Wolfe Counties, Ky. Restriction: Restricted to shipments moving from, to or between warehouses or other facilities of retail food and household supply and furnishings business houses, in peddle service. The purpose of this filing is to eliminate the gateway of Chesapeake, Ohio.

No. MC 114019 (Sub-No. E309), filed May 25, 1974. Applicant: MIDWEST EMERY FREIGHT SYSTEM, INC., 7000 S. Pulaski Rd., Chicago, Ill. 60629. Applicant's representative: Arthur J. Sibik (same as above). Authority sought to operate as a *common carrier,* by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: *Meats, meat products, and meat by-products and articles distributed by meat packinghouses* as described in Sections A and C of Appendix I to the report in *Descriptions in Motor Carrier Certificates,* 61 M.C.C. 209 and 766 (except liquid commodities, in bulk, in tank vehicles, and hides), from the facilities of Platte Valley Packing Company, in Dawson County, Nebr., to points in Bath, Breathitt, Boyd, Carter, Elliott, Fleming, Floyd, Greenup, Johnson, Knott, Lawrence, Letcher, Lewis, Magoffin, Martin, Mason, Menifee, Morgan, Perry, Pike, Rowan, and Wolfe Counties, Ky., restricted to traffic originating at the facilities of Platte Valley Packing Company in Dawson County, Nebr., and restricted to shipments moving from, to, or between warehouses or other facilities of retail food and household supply and furnishings business houses, in peddle service. The purpose of this filing is to eliminate the gateway of Huntington, W. Va.

No. MC 114019 (Sub-No. E310), filed May 25, 1974. Applicant MIDWEST EMERY FREIGHT SYSTEM, INC., 7000 S. Pulaski Rd., Chicago, Ill. 60629. Applicant's representative: Arthur J. Sibik (same as above). Authority sought to operate as a *common carrier,* by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: *Frozen meats and meats and meat products not frozen,* when transported in the same vehicle with frozen foods in vehicles equipped with mechanical refrigeration, from Ft. Atkinson, Wis., to points in Claiborne, East Carroll, Madison, Morehouse, Ouachita, Richland, Union, and West Carroll Parishes, La., Alcorn, Attala, Benton, Bolivar, Calhoun, Carroll, Chickasaw, Choctaw, Clay, Coahoma, DeSoto, Grenada, Hinds, Holmes, Humphreys, Issaquena, Kemper, LaFayette, Lauderdale, Leake, Lee, Leflore, Lowndes, Madison, Marshall, Monroe, Montgomery, Neshoba, Newton, Noxubee, Oktibbeha, Panola, Pontotoc, Prentiss, Quitman, Rankin, Scott, Sharkey, Sunflower, Tallahatchie, Tate, Tippah, Tishomingo, Tunica, Union, Warren, Washington, Webster, Winston, Yalobusha, and Yazoo Counties, Miss. Restriction: Restricted to shipments moving from, to or between ware houses or other facilities of retail food and household supply and furnishings business houses, in peddle service. The purpose of this filing is to eliminate the gateway of West Memphis, Ark.

No. MC 114019 (Sub-No. E311), filed May 25, 1974. Applicant: MIDWEST EMERY FREIGHT SYSTEM, INC., 7000 S. Pulaski Rd., Chicago, Ill. 60629. Applicant's representative: Arthur J. Sibik (same as above). Authority sought to operate as a *common carrier,* by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: *Printing paper* such as is dealt in or used by retail food and household supply and furnishing business houses, from Glens Falls, N.Y., to points in Washington County, Md., and those points in Maryland and on and north of U.S. Highway 40 and on and east of U.S. Highway 522 and points in Adams, Cumberland, Franklin, and Perry Counties, Pa. Restriction: Restricted to shipments moving from, to or between warehouses or other facilities of retail food and household supply and furnishings business houses, in peddle service. The purpose of this filing is to eliminate the gateway of Harrisburg, Pa.

No. MC 114019 (Sub-No. E312), filed May 25, 1974. Applicant: MIDWEST EMERY FREIGHT SYSTEM, INC., 7000 S. Pulaski Rd., Chicago, Ill. 60629. Applicant's representative: Arthur J. Sibik (same as above). Authority sought to operate as a *common carrier,* by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: The *commodities* classified as (1) *meats, meat products, and meat by-products and* (2) *articles distributed by meat packinghouses* in the appendix to the report in *Modification of Permits of Motor Contract Carriers of Packing House Products,* 46 M.C.C. 23, from Massachusetts, Connecticut, Rhode Island, New York, and points in New Jersey on and north of Alternate U.S. Highway 22 from the New Jersey-Pennsylvania State line to its junction with Interstate Highway 287, thence along Interstate Highway 287 to Perth Amboy, N.J., to points in Bath, Breathitt, Boyd, Carter, Elliott, Fleming, Floyd, Greenup, Johnson, Knott, Lawrence, Letcher, Lewis, Magoffin, Martin, Mason, Menifee, Morgan, Perry, Pike, Rowan, and Wolfe Counties, Ky. Restriction: Restricted to shipments moving from, to or between warehouses or other facilities of retail food and household supply and furnishings business houses, in peddle service. The purpose of this filing is to eliminate the gateways of Youngstown, Ohio, and Huntington, W. Va.

No. MC 114019 (Sub-No. E313), filed May 15, 1974. Applicant: MIDWEST EMERY FREIGHT SYSTEM, INC., 7000 S. Pulaski Rd., Chicago, Ill. 60629. Applicant's representative: Arthur J. Sibik (same as above). Authority sought to operate as a *common carrier,* by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: *Frozen foods,* from Macon, Marshall, Moberly, and Milan, Mo., to points in Alcorn, Attala, Benton, Bolivar, Calhoun, Carroll, Chickasaw, Choctaw, Clay, Coahoma, DeSoto, Gtenada, Hinds, Holmes, Humphreys, Issaquena, Itawamba, Kemper, LaFayette, Lauderdale, Leake, Lee, Leflore, Lowndes, Madison, Marshall, Monroe, Montgomery, Neshoba, Newton, Moxubee, Oktibbeha, Panola, Pnototoc, Prentiss, Quitman, Rankin, Scott, Sharkey, Sunflower, Tallahatchie, Tate, Tippah, Tishomingo, Tunica, Union, Warren, Washington, Webster, Winson, Yalobusha, and Yazoo Counties, Miss., to points in Ashley, Bradley, Calhoun, Chicot, Clark, Cleburne, Cleveland, Columbia, Conway, Craighead, Crittenden, Cross, Dallas, Desha, Drew, Faulkner, Fulton, Garland, Grant, Greene, Hot Springs, Independence, Izard, Jackson, Jefferson, Lawrence, Lee, Lincoln, Lonoke, Monroe, Nevada, Ouachita, Perry, Phillips, Poinsett, Prarie, Pulaski, Ran-

dolph, St. Francis, Saline, Sharp, Union, Van Buren, White and Woodruff Counties, Ark., Claiborne, East Carroll, Madison, Morehouse, Ouachita, Richland, Union, and West Carroll Parishes, La. Restrictions: Restricted to shipments moving from, to or between facilities of retail food and household supply and furnishings business houses, in peddle service. The purpose of this filing is to eliminate the gateway of Memphis, Tenn.

No. MC 114019 (Sub-No. E315), filed May 25, 1974. Applicant: MIDWEST EMERY FREIGHT SYSTEM, INC., 7000 S. Pulaski Rd., Chicago, Ill. 60629. Applicant's representative: Arthur J. Sibik (same as above). Authority sought to operate as a *common carrier*, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: *Printing paper*, from Glen Falls, N.Y., to points in Warren, Crawford, Mercer, and Venango Counties, Pa., restricted to the transportation of shipments from, to, or between facilities of retail food and household supply and furnishings business houses, in peddle service. The purpose of this filing is to eliminate the gateway of Erie, Pa.

No. MC 114019 (Sub-No. E316), filed May 24, 1974. Applicant: MIDWEST EMERY FREIGHT SYSTEM, INC., 7000 S. Pulaski Rd., Chicago, Ill. 60629. Applicant's representative: Arthur J. Sibik (same as above). Authority sought to operate as a *common carrier*, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: *Paper and paper products*, from Monroe, Mich., to those points in Anderson, Boyle, Bourbon, Bullitt, Carroll, Casey, Clark, Estill, Fayette, Franklin, Gallatin, Garrard, Grant, Hardin Harrison, Henry, Jefferson, Jessamine, Larue, Lincoln, Madison, Marion, Meade, Mercer, Montgomery, Nelson, Nicholas, Oldham, Owen, Powell, Pulaski, Scott, Shelby, Spencer, Taylor, Trimble, Washington, and Woodford Counties, Ky., which are within 134 miles of Louisville, with no transportation for compensation on return except as otherwise authorized. Restriction: Restricted to shipment moving from, to, or between facilities of retail food and household supply and furnishings business houses, in peddle service. The purpose of this filing is to eliminate the gateway of Jeffersonville, Ind.

No. MC 114019 (Sub-No. E317), filed May 24, 1974. Applicant: MIDWEST EMERY FREIGHT SYSTEM, INC., 7000 S. Pulaski Rd., Chicago, Ill. 60629. Applicant's representative: Arthur J. Sibik (same as above). Authority sought to operate as a *common carrier*, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: *Asbestos scrap, asphalt, automobile, body panels, asphalt flooring blocks, fibreboard and pulpboard* (impregnated with asphalt), *asbestos wall boards, bituminized burlap, tin roofing caps, carpet lining, cement* (in packages), *metal clamps, metal clips, cotton cloth* (saturated with asbestos), *roof coating* (with asbestos, pitch tar, or resin base), *conduits, creosote* in packages, *eave filler strips, roofing felt, asphalt composition flashing blocks, asbestos* or *felt paper insulating material, asbestos millboard, mineral wool, high temperature bonding mortar* or *cement* (in packages), *nails, asbestos packing, asphaltum, coal tar, asbestos joints, cement pipe containing asbestos fiber, roofing pitch, asphalt paving planks, asbestos ridge rolls, roofing, asbestos sheathing, shingles, sheathings, shorts, asbestos and asphalt siding, concrete slabs, tin straps, roofing tar, asphalt floor tile, wood preservatives* (restricted against the transportation of the above named commodities in bulk), from Erie, Pa., to points in Wisconsin, Minnesota, Iowa, Missouri, North Dakota, South Dakota, Nebraska, Kansas, Colorado, West Virginia, points in that part of Kentucky and Tennessee, on and west of a line beginning at the Indiana-Kentucky State line and extending along Kentucky Highway 69 to junction U.S. Highway 431, thence along U.S. Highway 431 to junction U.S. Highway 31, thence along U.S. Highway 31 to the Tennessee-Alabama State line. The purpose of this filing is to eliminate the gateway of North Judson, Ind.

No. MC 114019 (Sub-No. E318), filed May 19, 1974. Applicant: MIDWEST EMERY FREIGHT SYSTEM, INC., 7000 S. Pulaski Rd., Chicago, Ill. 60629. Applicant's representative: Arthur J. Sibik (same as above). Authority sought to operate as a *common carrier*, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: *Dairy products*, as described in Section B of Appendix I to the report in *Descriptions in Motor Carrier Certificates*, 61 M.C.C. 209, from Omaha, Nebr., and Sioux City, Iowa, to points in West Virginia, Pennsylvania (except Philadelphia, and those points on and south of a line beginning at the Ohio-Pennsylvania State line and extending along U.S. Highway 22 to junction U.S. Highway 219, thence along U.S. Highway 219 to the Pennsylvania-Maryland State line, points in New Jersey within 30 miles of Philadelphia, Pa., and points in Ohio on and north of a line beginning at the Ohio-Indiana State line to junction Ohio Highway 120, thence along the Ohio Highway 120 to Toledo and south of U.S. Highway 40 plus Columbus, Mansfield, Massillon, Akron, Canton, Wooster, Ashland, Galion, Lima, Findlay, Toledo, Sandusky, Lorain, Elyria, Avon, Cleveland, Marion, and Bryan. The purpose of this filing is to eliminate the gateway of Defiance, Ohio.

No. MC 114019 (Sub-No. E319), filed May 19, 1974. Applicant: MIDWEST EMERY FREIGHT SYSTEM, INC., 7000 S. Pulaski Rd., Chicago, Ill. 60629. Applicant's representative: Arthur J. Sibik (same as above). Authority sought to operate as a *common carrier*, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: *Roofing, roofing cement, building, roofing and sheathing paper, building* and *roofing felt, nails, fasteners, asphalt, pitch, tar, shingles* and *siding*, from points in West Virginia to points in Wisconsin and points in the Upper Peninsula of Michigan. The purpose of this filing is to eliminate the gateways of points in Ohio and East Chicago, Ind.

No. MC 114019 (Sub-No. E320), filed May 19, 1974. Applicant: MIDWEST EMERY FREIGHT SYSTEM, INC., 7000 S. Pulaski Rd., Chicago, Ill. 60629. Applicant's representative: Arthur J. Sibik (same as above). Authority sought to operate as a *common carrier*, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: *Frozen meat pies and frozen chicken a la king*, from Omaha, Nebr., to points in Virginia (except points in Lee, Wise, Scott, Dickinson, Russell, and Buchanan Counties), West Virginia, Maryland, Delaware, New Jersey, New York, Pennsylvania, Connecticut, Massachusetts, Rhode Island, New Hampshire, Vermont, Maine, and the District of Columbia. The purpose of this filing is to eliminate the gateway of Cleveland, Ohio.

No. MC 114019 (Sub-No. E321), filed May 19, 1974. Applicant: MIDWEST EMERY FREIGHT SYSTEM, INC., 7000 S. Pulaski Rd., Chicago, Ill. 60629. Applicant's representative: Arthur J. Sibik (same as above). Authority sought to operate as a *common carrier*, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: *Meat, meat products* and meat byproducts, and articles distributed by meat packinghouses, as described in Sections A and C of Appendix I to the report in Descriptions in Motor Carrier Certificates, 61 M.C.C. 209 and 766 (except hides and commodities in bulk), from Davenport, Iowa, to points in West Virginia on and east of a line beginning at the Ohio-West Virginia State line and extending along West Virginia Highway 18 to junction U.S. Highway 33, thence along U.S. Highway 33 to junction U.S. Highway 19, thence along U.S. Highway 19 to junction U.S. Highway 60, thence along U.S. Highway 60 to junction U.S. Highway 219, thence along U.S. Highway 219 to the West Virginia-Virginia State line, and those points in Virginia on and east of a line beginning at the West Virginia-Virginia State line and extending along U.S. Highway 460 to its junction with U.S. Highway 11, thence along U.S. Highway 11 to junction U.S. Highway 52, thence along U.S. Highway 52 to the Virginia-North Carolina State line. The purpose of this filing is to eliminate the gateway of Pittsburgh, Pa.

No. MC 114019 (Sub-No. E322), filed May 19, 1974. Applicant: MIDWEST EMERY FREIGHT SYSTEM, INC., 7000 S. Pulaski Rd., Chicago, Ill. 60629. Applicant's representative: Arthur J. Sibik (same as above). Authority sought to operate as a *common carrier*, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: *Frozen fish, frozen seafood and other frozen foods*, from points in New Jersey, Connecticut, Rhode Island, and Massachusetts to points in North Dakota, South Dakota, Nebraska, Minnesota, Iowa, Wisconsin, and points in Missouri on and west of a line beginning at the Illinois-Missouri State line and extending along U.S. Highway 54 to junction U.S. Highway 63, thence along U.S. Highway 63 to the Missouri-Arkansas State line. The purpose of this filing is to eliminate the gateways of Chicago, Ill., and Muscatine, Iowa.

No. MC 114019 (Sub-No. E323), filed May 19, 1974. Applicant: MIDWEST EMERY FREIGHT SYSTEM, INC., 7000 S. Pulaski Rd., Chicago, Ill. 60629. Applicant's representative: Arthur J. Sibik (same as above). Authority sought to operate as a *common carrier*, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: *Unfrozen, unprepared foods*, from Dover, Del., to Nashville, Tenn., points in Wisconsin and Iowa, those in that part of Nebraska on and east and south of a line beginning at the Kansas-Nebraska State line and extending along U.S. Highway 83 to junction U.S. Highway 30, thence along U.S. Highway 30 to the Missouri River, points in that part of Kansas on and east of U.S. Highway 281, and points in West Virginia on and west of a line beginning at the Ohio-West Virginia State line, and extending along Interstate Highway 77 to junction U.S. Highway 21, thence along U.S. Highway 21 to junction U.S. Highway 119, thence along U.S. Highway 119 to the Kentucky-West Virginia State line. The purpose of this filing is to eliminate the gateways of Chicago, Ill., Bowling Green, Ky., and Martins Ferry, Ohio.

No. MC 114019 (Sub-No. E324), filed May 19, 1974. Applicant: MIDWEST EMERY FREIGHT SYSTEM, INC., 7000 S. Pulaski Rd., Chicago, Ill. 60629. Applicant's representative: Arthur J. Sibik (same as above). Authority sought to operate as a *common carrier*, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: *Asbestos scrap, asphalt, automobile body panels, asphalt flooring blocks, fibreboard and pulpboard* (impregnated with asphalt), *asbestos wall boards, bituminized burlap, tin roofing caps, carpet lining, cement* (in packages), *metal clamps, metal clips, cotton cloth* (saturated with asbestos), *roof coating* (with asbestos, pitch tar, or rosin base), *conduits, creosote*, in packages, *eave filler strips, roofing felt, asphalt composition flashing blocks, asbestos or felt paper insulating material, asbestos millboard, mineral wool, high temperature bonding mortar* or *cement* (in packages), *nails, asbestos packing, asphaltum, coal tar, asbestos*, and *coal tar paint, roofing paper, paving joints, cement pipe* containing asbestos fiber, *roofing pitch, asphalt paving planks, asbestos ridge rolls, roofing, asbestos sheathing, shingles, sheathings, shorts, asbestos* and *asphalt siding, concrete slabs, tin slabs, roofing tar, asphalt floor tile*, and *wood preservatives*, restricted against the transportation of the above-named commodities, in bulk, from Philadelphia, Pa., and points within 20 miles thereof, Erie and North East, Pa., points in New York and Connecticut, and points in Bergen, Monmouth, Morris, and Somerset Counties, N.J., which are within 20 miles of New York City to points in North Dakota, South Dakota, Minnesota, Wisconsin, Nebraska, Kansas, and Colorado. The purpose of this filing is to eliminate the gateways of Gary and North Judson, Ind.

By the Commission.

[SEAL] ROBERT L. OSWALD,
Secretary.

[FR Doc.75-6651 Filed 3-12-75;8:45 am]

[Ex Parte No. 293 (Sub-No. 5)]

REVIEW OF UNITED STATES RAILWAY ASSOCIATION'S PRELIMINARY SYSTEM PLAN

Hearings; Correction and Addition

On February 21, 1975, a listing of hearings to be conducted by the Rail Services Planning Office on the preliminary system plan of the United States Railway Association pursuant to section 207(a)(2) of the Regional Rail Reorganization Act of 1973 was published in the FEDERAL REGISTER at 40 FR 7725-6. To that notice is the following correction and addition.

Correction. The following is the correct contact telephone number for the Montpelier, Vermont hearing: 802 223-6001.

Addition. One (1) additional location to the 26 public hearing sites previously announced has been selected:

It is therefore ordered, That:

(1) The following dates and hearing site is established together with the local contact coordinator who will receive requested appearance times at the respective hearings:

Monday and Tuesday, March 24 and 25, 1975

New York, New York—Hearing Room 1, Building 2, 24th Floor, New York World Trade Center, New York, New York.

Contact: W. H. Allen Smith, c/o ICC Office, 26 Federal Plaza, Room 1807, New York, New York 10007, Phone 212 264-1072.

(2) The availability of free legal assistance and the rules and procedures applicable to the previously announced hearings, given in sections (2) and (3) of the February 21, 1975, notice at pp 7725-6 apply equally to persons testifying at these additional hearing sites.

ROBERT L. OSWALD,
Secretary.

[FR Doc.75-6654 Filed 3-12-75;8:45 am]

[Notice No. 719]

ASSIGNMENT OF HEARINGS

MARCH 10, 1975.

Cases assigned for hearing, postponement, cancellation or oral argument appear below and will be published only once. This list contains prospective assignments only and does not include cases previously assigned hearing dates. The hearings will be on the issues as presently reflected in the Official Docket of the Commission. An attempt will be made to publish notices of cancellation of hearings as promptly as possible, but interested parties should take appropriate steps to insure that they are notified of cancellation or postponements of hearings in which they are interested.

I & S No. 9019, Prepayment of Freight Charges to Points in Mass. and R.I., now assigned March 24, 1975, at Boston, Mass., is cancelled.

I & S No. 9023, Transit Charges on Soybeans at Points in the South, now being assigned April 29, 1975, at the Offices of the Interstate Commerce Commission, Washington, D.C.

No. 36132, Increased Fares, Lakeland Bus Lines, Inc., now being assigned April 21, 1975 (3 days), at New York, N.Y., in a hearing room to be later designated.

MC-C 8434, Diggins and Rose, Inc.—Investigation and Revocation of Certificate, now assigned May 12, 1975, at Boston, Mass., is postponed to May 15, 1975 (2 days) at Boston, Mass.

MC 118696 Sub 5, Ferree Moving & Storage, Inc. now assigned May 14, 1975 at Boston, Mass. is cancelled and advanced to May 12, 1975 (3 days), at Boston, Mass. in Room 501, 150 Causeway Street.

MC 138900 Sub 2, Reid J. Cavanaugh, now assigned April 14, 1975, at Washington, D.C., is postponed indefinitely.

MC-C 8554, Dixie Lee Leasing, Inc., M. L. Wilkerson, Dixie Driving Service, Inc., and Michael George Oliver—Investigation of Operations, now being assigned June 3, 1975 (1 day), at Atlanta, Georgia, in a hearing room to be designated later.

MC 94201 Sub 127, Bowman Transportation, Inc., now being assigned June 4, 1975 (3 days), at Atlanta, Georgia, in a hearing room to be designated later.

MC 138741 Sub 11, E. K. Motor Service, Inc., now being assigned June 9, 1975 (1 week), at Atlanta, Georgia, in a hearing room to be designated later.

MC 82492 Sub 108, Michigan and Nebraska Transit Co., Inc., now being assigned June 3, 1975 (4 days), at St. Louis, Missouri, in a hearing room to be designated later.

MC 106920 Sub 56, Riggs Food Express, Inc., and MC 110563 Sub 142, Coldway Food Express, Inc., now being assigned June 9, 1975 (1 day), at St. Louis, Missouri, in a hearing room to be designated later.

MC 83835 Sub 118, Wales Transportation, Inc., now being assigned June 10, 1975 (2 days) at St. Louis, Missouri; in a hearing room to be later designated.

MC 107295 Sub 720, Pre-Fab Transit Co., now being assigned June 12, 1975 (2 days) at St. Louis, Missouri; in a hearing room to be later designated.

MC 115667 Sub 8, Arrow Transfer Co., Ltd., now assigned April 8, 1975, at Olympia, Washington, is postponed indefinitely.

MC 113784 Sub 55, Laidlaw Transport Limited, now assigned April 28, 1975 at Washington, D.C., is postponed indefinitely.

[SEAL] ROBERT L. OSWALD,
Secretary.

[FR Doc.75-6653 Filed 3-12-75;8:45 am]

federal register

THURSDAY, MARCH 13, 1975

WASHINGTON, D.C.

Volume 40 ■ Number 50

PART II

DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH, EDUCATION, AND WELFARE

Office of the Secretary

■

PROTECTION OF HUMAN SUBJECTS

Technical Amendments

Title 45—Public Welfare

SUBTITLE A—DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH, EDUCATION, AND WELFARE, GENERAL ADMINISTRATION

PART 46—PROTECTION OF HUMAN SUBJECTS

Technical Amendments

On May 30, 1974, final regulations were published in the FEDERAL REGISTER (39 FR 18914) relating to protection of human subjects in research, development, and related activities supported by Department of Health, Education, and Welfare grants and contracts. Shortly thereafter, on July 12, 1974, the National Research Act, Public Law 93-348, was enacted. Although the Conference Report on the bill (H.R. 7724) which later became Pub. L. 93-348 expressed satisfaction with the regulations (H. Rep. No. 93-1148, at p. 26), section 212(a) of said Law added a new section 474(a) to the Public Health Service Act, which provides as follows:

> The Secretary shall by regulation require that each entity which applies for a grant or contract under this Act for any project or program which involves the conduct of biomedical or behavioral research involving human subjects submit in or with its application for such grant or contract assurances satisfactory to the Secretary that it has established (in accordance with regulations which the Secretary shall prescribe) a board (to be known as an 'Institutional Review Board') to review biomedical and behavioral research involving human subjects conducted at or sponsored by such entity in order to protect the rights of human subjects of such research.

Section 212(b) of Pub. L. 93-348 further stated that the regulations required to carry out section 474(a) shall apply with respect to applications for grants and contracts under the Public Health Service Act submitted after promulgation of such regulations.

The regulations published on May 30, 1974, codified at 45 CFR Part 46, would with minor, technical changes fully implement section 474(a). This would be accomplished by: (1) amending the citation of AUTHORITY to refer to section 474(a), (2) substituting references to "institutions" and "Institutional Review Boards" for existing references to "organizations" and "committees" and making related changes, and (3) revising 45 CFR 46.7, 46.11(a), and 46.12 to take account of the requirement in section 474 (a) that an assurance concerning establishment of a Board must in all cases be submitted in or with the application. Since Part 46 was published initially as a notice of proposed rulemaking (38 FR 27882), and since the aforesaid changes would be minor and technical in nature, it is unnecessary to publish such changes as a notice of proposed rulemaking. The Department therefore finds that good cause exists for dispensing with this step.

Accordingly, the regulations published in the FEDERAL REGISTER on May 30, 1974 and codified at 45 CFR Part 46, as so amended, are hereby adopted as final regulations implementing section 474(a) of the Public Health Service Act, effective March 13, 1975.

Dated: February 14, 1975.

THEODORE COOPER,
Acting Assistant Secretary for Health.

Approved: March 7, 1975.

CASPAR W. WEINBERGER,
Secretary.

Therefore, Subtitle A of Title 45 of the Code of Federal Regulations is amended by revising Part 46 to read as follows:

Sec.

46.1 Applicability.
46.2 Policy.
46.3 Definitions.
46.4 Submission of assurances.
46.5 Types of assurances.
46.6 Minimum requirements for general assurances.
46.7 Minimum requirements for special assurances.
46.8 Evaluation and disposition of assurances.
46.9 Obligation to obtain informed consent; prohibition of exculpatory clauses.
46.10 Documentation of informed consent.
46.11 Submission and certification of applications and proposals, general assurances.
46.12 Submission and certification of applications and proposals, special assurances.
46.13 Applications and proposals lacking definite plans for involvement of human subjects.
46.14 Applications and proposals submitted with the intent of not involving human subjects.
46.15 Evaluation and disposition of applications and proposals.
46.16 Cooperative activities.
46.17 Investigational new drug 30-day delay requirement.
46.18 Institution's executive responsibility.
46.19 Institution's records; confidentiality.
46.20 Reports.
46.21 Early termination of awards; evaluation of subsequent applications and proposals.
46.22 Conditions.

AUTHORITY: 5 U.S.C. 301; sec. 474(a), 88 Stat. 352 (42 U.S.C. 2891-3(a)).

§ 46.1 Applicability.

(a) The regulations in this part are applicable to all Department of Health, Education, and Welfare grants and contracts supporting research, development, and related activities in which human subjects are involved.

(b) The Secretary may, from time to time, determine in advance whether specific programs, methods, or procedures to which this part is applicable place subjects at risk, as defined in § 46.3(b). Such determinations will be published as notices in the FEDERAL REGISTER and will be included in an appendix to this part.

§ 46.2 Policy.

(a) Safeguarding the rights and welfare of subjects at risk in activities supported under grants and contracts from DHEW is primarily the responsibility of the institution which receives or is accountable to DHEW for the funds awarded for the support of the activity. In order to provide for the adequate discharge of this institutional responsibility, it is the policy of DHEW that no activity involving human subjects to be supported by DHEW grants or contracts shall be undertaken unless an Institutional Review Board has reviewed and approved such activity, and the institution has submitted to DHEW a certification of such review and approval, in accordance with the requirements of this part.

(b) This review shall determine whether these subjects will be placed at risk, and, if risk is involved, whether:

(1) The risks to the subject are so outweighed by the sum of the benefit to the subject and the importance of the knowledge to be gained as to warrant a decision to allow the subject to accept these risks;

(2) the rights and welfare of any such subjects will be adequately protected;

(3) legally effective informed consent will be obtained by adequate and appropriate methods in accordance with the provisions of this part; and

(4) the conduct of the activity will be reviewed at timely intervals.

(c) No grant or contract involving human subjects at risk shall be made to an individual unless he is affiliated with or sponsored by an institution which can and does assume responsibility for the subjects involved.

§ 46.3 Definitions.

(a) "Institution" means any public or private institution or agency (including Federal, State, and local government agencies).

(b) "Subject at risk" means any individual who may be exposed to the possibility of injury, including physical, psychological, or social injury, as a consequence of participation as a subject in any research, development, or related activity which departs from the application of those established and accepted methods necessary to meet his needs, or which increases the ordinary risks of daily life, including the recognized risks inherent in a chosen occupation or field of service.

(c) "Informed consent" means the knowing consent of an individual or his legally authorized representative, so situated as to be able to exercise free power of choice without undue inducement or any element of force, fraud, deceit, duress, or other form of constraint or coercion. The basic elements of information necessary to such consent include:

(1) A fair explanation of the procedures to be followed, and their purposes, including identification of any procedures which are experimental;

(2) a description of any attendant discomforts and risks reasonably to be expected;

(3) a description of any benefits reasonably to be expected;

(4) a disclosure of any appropriate alternative procedures that might be advantageous for the subject;

(5) an offer to answer any inquiries concerning the procedures; and

(6) an instruction that the person is free to withdraw his consent and to discontinue participation in the project or activity at any time without prejudice to the subject.

(d) "Secretary" means the Secretary of Health, Education, and Welfare or any other officer or employee of the Department of Health, Education, and Welfare to whom authority has been delegated.

(e) "DHEW" means the Department of Health, Education, and Welfare.

(f) "Approved assurance" means a document that fulfills the requirements of this part and is approved by the Secretary.

(g) "Certification" means the official institutional notification to DHEW in accordance with the requirements of this part that a project or activity involving human subjects at risk has been reviewed and approved by the institution in accordance with the "approved assurance" on file at DHEW.

(h) "Legally authorized representative" means an individual or judicial or other body authorized under applicable law to consent on behalf of a prospective subject to such subject's participation in the particular activity or procedure.

§ 46.4 Submission of assurances.

(a) Recipients or prospective recipients of DHEW support under a grant or contract involving subjects at risk shall provide written assurance acceptable to DHEW that they will comply with DHEW policy as set forth in this part. Each assurance shall embody a statement of compliance with DHEW requirements for initial and continuing Institutional Review Board review of the supported activities; a set of implementing guidelines, including identification of the Board and a description of its review procedures; or, in the case of special assurances concerned with single activities or projects, a report of initial findings of the Board and of its proposed continuing review procedures.

(b) Such assurance shall be executed by an individual authorized to act for the institution and to assume on behalf of the institution the obligations imposed by this part, and shall be filed in such form and manner as the Secretary may require.

§ 46.5 Types of assurances.

(a) *General assurances.* A general assurance describes the review and implementation procedures applicable to all DHEW-supported activities conducted by an institution regardless of the number, location, or types of its components or field activities. General assurances will be required from institutions having a significant number of concurrent DHEW-supported projects or activities involving human subjects.

(b) *Special assurances.* A special assurance will, as a rule, describe those review and implementation procedures applicable to a single activity or project. A special assurance will not be solicited or accepted from an institution which has on file with DHEW an approved general assurance.

§ 46.6 Minimum requirements for general assurances.

General assurances shall be submitted in such form and manner as the Secretary may require. The institution must include, as part of its general assurance, implementing guidelines that specifically provide for:

(a) A statement of principles which will govern the institution in the discharge of its responsibilities for protecting the rights and welfare of subjects. This may include appropriate existing codes or declarations, or statements formulated by the institution itself. It is to be understood that no such principles supersede DHEW policy or applicable law.

(b) An Institutional Review Board or Board structure which will conduct initial and continuing reviews in accordance with the policy outlined in § 46.2. Such Board structure or Board shall meet the following requirements:

(1) The Board must be composed of not less than five persons with varying backgrounds to assure complete and adequate review of activities commonly conducted by the institution. The Board must be sufficiently qualified through the maturity, experience, and expertise of its members and diversity of its membership to insure respect for its advice and counsel for safeguarding the rights and welfare of human subjects. In addition to possessing the professional competence necessary to review specific activities, the Board must be able to ascertain the acceptability of applications and proposals in terms of institutional commitments and regulations, applicable law, standards of professional conduct and practice, and community attitudes. The Board must therefore include persons whose concerns are in these areas.

(2) The Board members shall be identified to DHEW by name; earned degrees, if any; position or occupation; representative capacity; and by other pertinent indications of experience such as board certification, licenses, etc., sufficient to describe each member's chief anticipated contributions to Board deliberations. Any employment or other relationship between each member and the institution shall be identified, i.e., full-time employee, part-time employee, member of governing panel or board, paid consultant, unpaid consultant. Changes in Board membership shall be reported to DHEW in such form and at such times as the Secretary may require.

(3) No member of a Board shall be involved in either the initial or continuing review of an activity in which he has a conflicting interest, except to provide information requested by the Board.

(4) No Board shall consist entirely of persons who are officers, employees, or agents, of, or are otherwise associated with the institution, apart from their membership on the Board.

(5) No Board shall consist entirely of members of a single professional group.

(6) The quorum of the Board shall be defined, but may in no event be less than a majority of the total membership duly convened to carry out the Board's responsibilities under the terms of the assurance.

(c) Procedures which the institution will follow in its initial and continuing review of applications, proposals, and activities.

(d) Procedures which the Board will follow (1) to provide advice and counsel to activity directors and investigators with regard to the Board's actions, (2) to insure prompt reporting to the Board of proposed changes in an activity and of unanticipated problems involving risk to subjects or others, and (3) to insure that any such problems, including adverse reactions to biologicals, drugs, radioisotope labelled drugs, or to medical devices, are promptly reported to DHEW.

(e) Procedures which the institution will follow to maintain an active and effective Board and to implement its recommendations.

§ 46.7 Minimum requirements for special assurances.

Special assurances shall be submitted in such form and manner as the Secretary may require. An acceptable special assurance shall:

(a) Identify the specific grant or contract involved by its full title; and by the name of the activity or project director, principal investigator, fellow, or other person immediately responsible for the conduct of the activity.

(b) Include a statement, executed by an appropriate institutional official, indicating that the institution has established an Institutional Review Board satisfying the requirements of § 46.6(b).

(c) Describe the makeup of the Board and the training, experience, and background of its members, as required by § 46.6(b)(2).

(d) Describe in general terms the risks to subjects that the Board recognizes as inherent in the activity, and justify its decision that these risks are so outweighed by the sum of the benefit to the subject and the importance of the knowledge to be gained as to warrant the Board's decision to permit the subject to accept these risks.

(e) Describe the informed consent procedures to be used and attach documentation as required by § 46.10.

(f) Describe procedures which the Board will follow to insure prompt reporting to the Board of proposed changes in the activity and of any unanticipated problems, involving risks to subjects or others and to insure that any such problems, including adverse reactions to biologicals, drugs, radioisotope labelled drugs, or to medical devices are promptly reported to DHEW.

(g) Indicate at what time intervals the Board will meet to provide for continuing review. Such review must occur no less than annually.

(h) Be signed by the individual members of the Board and be endorsed by an appropriate institutional official.

§ 46.8 Evaluation and disposition of assurances.

(a) All assurances submitted in accordance with §§ 46.6 and 46.7 shall be

evaluated by the Secretary through such officers and employees of DHEW and such experts or consultants engaged for this purpose as he determines to be appropriate. The Secretary's evaluation shall take into consideration, among other pertinent factors, the adequacy of the proposed Institutional Review Board in the light of the anticipated scope of the applicant institution's activities and the types of subject populations likely to be involved, the appropriateness of the proposed initial and continuing review procedures in the light of the probable risks, and the size and complexity of the institution.

(b) On the basis of his evaluation of an assurance pursuant to paragraph (a) of this section, the Secretary shall (1) approve, (2) enter into negotiations to develop a more satisfactory assurance, or (3) disapprove. With respect to approved assurances, the Secretary may determine the period during which any particular assurance or class of assurances shall remain effective or otherwise condition or restrict his approval. With respect to negotiations, the Secretary may, pending completion of negotiations for a general assurance, require an institution otherwise eligible for such an assurance, to submit special assurances.

§ 46.9 Obligation to obtain informed consent; prohibition of exculpatory clauses.

Any institution proposing to place any subject at risk is obligated to obtain and document legally effective informed consent. No such informed consent, oral or written, obtained under an assurance provided pursuant to this part shall include any exculpatory language through which the subject is made to waive, or to appear to waive, any of his legal rights, including any release of the institution or its agents from liability for negligence.

§ 46.10 Documentation of informed consent.

The actual procedure utilized in obtaining legally effective informed consent and the basis for Institutional Review Board determinations that the procedures are adequate and appropriate shall be fully documented. The documentation of consent will employ one of the following three forms:

(a) Provision of a written consent document embodying all of the basic elements of informed consent. This may be read to the subject or to his legally authorized representative, but in any event he or his legally authorized representative must be given adequate opportunity to read it. This document is to be signed by the subject or his legally authorized representative. Sample copies of the consent form as approved by the Board are to be retained in its records.

(b) Provision of a "short form" written consent document indicating that the basic elements of informed consent have been presented orally to the subject or his legally authorized representative. Written summaries of what is to be said to the patient are to be approved by the Board. The short form is to be signed by the subject or his legally authorized representative and by an auditor witness to the oral presentation and to the subject's signature. A copy of the approved summary, annotated to show any additions, is to be signed by the persons officially obtaining the consent and by the auditor witness. Sample copies of the consent form and of the summaries as approved by the Board are to be retained in its records.

(c) Modification of either of the primary procedures outlined in paragraphs (a) and (b) of this section. Granting of permission to use modified procedures imposes additional responsibility upon the Board and the institution to establish: (1) that the risk to any subject is minimal, (2) that use of either of the primary procedures for obtaining informed consent would surely invalidate objectives of considerable immediate importance, and (3) that any reasonable alternative means for attaining these objectives would be less advantageous to the subjects. The Board's reasons for permitting the use of modified procedures must be individually and specifically documented in the minutes and in reports of Board actions to the files of the institution. All such modifications should be regularly reconsidered as a function of continuing review and as required for annual review, with documentation of reaffirmation, revision, or discontinuation, as appropriate.

§ 46.11 Submission and certification of applications and proposals, general assurances.

(a) *Timely review.* Any institution having an approved general assurance shall indicate in each application or proposal for support of activities covered by this part (or in a separate document submitted with such application or proposal) that it has on file with DHEW such an assurance. In addition, unless the Secretary otherwise provides, each such application or proposal must be given review and, when found to involve subjects at risk, approval, prior to submission to DHEW. In the event the Secretary provides for the performance of institutional review of an application or proposal after its submission to DHEW, processing of such application or proposal by DHEW will under no circumstances be completed until such institutional review and approval has been certified. Except where the institution determines that human subjects are not involved, the application or proposal should be appropriately certified in the spaces provided on forms, or one of the following certifications, as appropriate, should be typed on the lower or right hand margin of the page bearing the name of an official authorized to sign or execute applications or proposals for the institution.

Human Subjects: Reviewed, Not at Risk.

(Date)

Human Subjects: Reviewed, At Risk, Approved

(Date)

(b) *Applications and proposals not certified.* Applications and proposals not properly certified, or submitted as not involving human subjects and found by the operating agency to involve human subjects, will be returned to the institution concerned.

§ 46.12 Submission and certification of applications and proposals, special assurances.

(a) Except as provided in paragraph (b) of this section, institutions not having an approved general assurance shall submit in or with each application or proposal for support of activities covered by this part a separate special assurance and certification of its review and approval.

(b) If the Secretary so provides, the assurance which must be submitted in or with the application or proposal under paragraph (a) of this section need satisfy only the requirements of § 46.7(a) and § 46.7(b) of this part. Under such circumstances, processing of such application or proposal by DHEW will not be completed until a further assurance satisfying the remaining requirements of § 46.7 has been submitted to DHEW.

(c) An assurance and certification prepared in accordance with this part and approved by DHEW shall be considered to have met the requirement for certification for the initial grant or contract period concerned. If the terms of the grant or contract recommend additional support periods, each application or proposal for continuation or renewal of support must satisfy the requirements of this section or § 46.11 whichever is applicable at the time of its submission.

§ 46.13 Applications and proposals lacking definite plans for involvement of human subjects.

Certain types of applications or proposals are submitted with the knowledge that subjects are to be involved within the support period, but definite plans for this involvement would not normally be set forth in the application or proposal. These include such activities as (a) institutional type grants where selection of projects is the responsibility of the institution, (b) training grants where training projects remain to be selected, and (c) research, pilot, or developmental studies in which involvement depends upon such things as the completion of instruments, or of prior animal studies, or upon the purification of compounds. Such applications or proposals shall be reviewed and certified in the same manner as more definitive applications or proposals. The initial certification indicates institutional approval of the applications or proposals as submitted, and commits the institution to later review of the plans when completed. Such later review and certification to DHEW should be completed prior to the beginning of the budget period during which actual involvement of human subjects is to begin. Review and certification to DHEW must in any event be completed prior to involvement of human subjects.

§ 46.14 Applications and proposals submitted with the intent of not involving human subjects.

If an application or proposal does not anticipate involving or intend to involve human subjects, no certification should be included with the initial submission of the application or proposal. In those instances, however, when later it becomes appropriate to use all or part of awarded funds for one or more activities which will involve subjects, each such activity shall be reviewed and approved in accordance with the assurance of the institution prior to the involvement of subjects. In addition, no such activity shall be undertaken until the institution has submitted to DHEW: (a) a certification that the activity has been reviewed and approved in accordance with this part, and (b) a detailed description of the proposed activity (including any protocol or similar document). Also, where support is provided by project grants or contracts, subjects shall not be involved prior to certification and institutional receipt of DHEW approval and, in the case of contracts, prior to negotiation and approval of an amended contract description of work.

§ 46.15 Evaluation and disposition of applications and proposals.

(a) Notwithstanding any prior review, approval, and certification by the institution all applications or proposals involving human subjects at risk submitted to DHEW shall be evaluated by the Secretary for compliance with this part through such officers and employees of the Department and such experts or consultants engaged for this purpose as he determines to be appropriate. This evaluation may take into account, among other pertinent factors, the apparent risks to the subjects, the adequacy of protection against these risks, the potential benefits of the activity to the subjects and to others, and the importance of the knowledge to be gained.

(b) Disposition. On the basis of his evaluation of an application or proposal pursuant to paragraph (a) of this section and subject to such approval or recommendation by or consultation with appropriate councils, committees, or other bodies as may be required by law, the Secretary shall (1) approve, (2) defer for further evaluation, or (3) disapprove support of the proposed activity in whole or in part. With respect to any approved grant or contract, the Secretary may impose conditions, including restrictions on the use of certain procedures, or certain subject groups, or requiring use of specified safeguards or informed consent procedures when in his judgment such conditions are necessary for the protection of human subjects.

§ 46.16 Cooperative activities.

Cooperative activities are those which involve institutions in addition to the grantee or prime contractor (such as a contractor under a grantee or a subcontractor under a prime contractor). If, in such instances, the grantee or prime contractor obtains access to all or some of the subjects involved through one or more cooperating institutions, the basic DHEW policy applies and the grantee or prime contractor remains responsible for safeguarding the rights and welfare of the subjects.

(a) *Institution with approved general assurance.* Initial and continuing review by the institution may be carried out by one or a combination of procedures:

(1) Cooperating institution with approved general assurance. When the cooperating institution has on file with DHEW an approved general assurance, the grantee or contractor may, in addition to its own review, request the cooperating institution to conduct an independent review and to report its recommendations on those aspects of the activity that concern individuals for whom the cooperating institution has responsibility under its own assurance to the grantee's or contractor's Institutional Review Board. The grantee or contractor may, at its discretion, concur with or further restrict the recommendations of the cooperating institution. It is the responsibility of the grantee or contractor to maintain communication with the Boards of the cooperating institution. However, the cooperating institution shall promptly notify the grantee or contracting institution whenever the cooperating institution finds the conduct of the project or activity within its purview unsatisfactory.

(2) Cooperating institution with no approved general assurance. When the cooperating institution does not have an approved general assurance on file with DHEW, the DHEW may require the submission of a general or special assurance which, if approved, will permit the grantee or contractor to follow the procedure outlined in the preceding subparagraph.

(3) Interinstitutional joint review. The grantee or contracting institution may wish to develop an agreement with cooperating institutions to provide for an Institutional Review Board with representatives from cooperating institutions. Representatives of cooperating institutions may be appointed as ad hoc members of the grantee or contracting institution's existing Institutional Review Board or, if cooperation is on a frequent or continuing basis as between a medical school and a group of affiliated hospitals, appointments for extended periods may be made. All such cooperative arrangements must be approved by DHEW as part of a general assurance, or as an amendment to a general assurance.

(b) *Institutions with special assurances.* While responsibility for initial and continuing review necessarily lies with the grantee or contracting institution, DHEW may also require approved assurances from those cooperating institutions having immediate responsibility for subjects.

If the cooperating institution has on file with DHEW an approved general assurance, the grantee or contractor shall request the cooperating institution to conduct its own independent review of those aspects of the project or activity which will involve human subjects for which it has responsibility. Such a request shall be in writing and should provide for direct notification of the grantee's or contractor's Institutional Review Board in the event that the cooperating institution's Board finds the conduct of the activity to be unsatisfactory. If the cooperating institution does not have an approved general assurance on file with DHEW, it must submit to DHEW a general or special assurance which is determined by DHEW to comply with the provisions of this part.

§ 46.17 Investigational new drug 30-day delay requirement.

Where an institution is required to prepare or to submit a certification under §§ 46.11, 46.12, 46.13, or 46.14 and the application or proposal involves an investigational new drug within the meaning of The Food, Drug, and Cosmetic Act, the drug shall be identified in the certification together with a statement that the 30-day delay required by 21 CFR 312.1(a)(2) has elapsed and the Food and Drug Administration has not, prior to expiration of such 30-day interval, requested that the sponsor continue to withhold or to restrict use of the drug in human subjects; or that the Food and Drug Administration has waived the 30-day delay requirement; provided, however, that in those cases in which the 30-day delay interval has neither expired nor been waived, a statement shall be forwarded to DHEW upon such expiration or upon receipt of a waiver. No certification shall be considered acceptable until such statement has been received.

§ 46.18 Institution's executive responsibility.

Specific executive functions to be conducted by the institution include policy development and promulgation and continuing indoctrination of personnel. Appropriate administrative assistance and support shall be provided for the Board's functions. Implementation of the Board's recommendations through appropriate administrative action and followup is a condition of DHEW approval of an assurance. Board approvals, favorable actions, and recommendations are subject to review and to disapproval or further restriction by the institution officials. Board disapprovals, restrictions, or conditions cannot be rescinded or removed except by action of a Board described in the assurance approved by DHEW.

§ 46.19 Institution's records; confidentiality.

(a) Copies of all documents presented or required for initial and continuing review by the Institutional Review Board, such as Board minutes, records of subject's consent, transmittals on actions, instructions, and conditions resulting from Board deliberations addressed to the activity director, are to be retained by the institution, subject to the terms and conditions of grant and contract awards.

(b) Except as otherwise provided by law information in the records or possession of an institution acquired in con-

nection with an activity covered by this part, which information refers to or can be identified with a particular subject, may not be disclosed except:

(1) with the consent of the subject or his legally authorized representative; or

(2) as may be necessary for the Secretary to carry out his responsibilities under this part.

§ 46.20 Reports.

Each institution with an approved assurance shall provide the Secretary with such reports and other information as the Secretary may from time to time prescribe.

§ 46.21 Early termination of awards; evaluation of subsequent applications and proposals.

(a) If, in the judgment of the Secretary an institution has failed materially to comply with the terms of this policy with respect to a particular DHEW grant or contract, he may require that said grant or contract be terminated or suspended in the manner prescribed in applicable grant or procurement regulations.

(b) In evaluating applications or proposals for support of activities covered by this part, the Secretary may take into account, in addition to all other eligibility requirements and program criteria, such factors as: (1) whether the applicant or offeror has been subject to a termination or suspension under paragraph (a) of this section, (2) whether the applicant or offeror or the person who would direct the scientific and technical aspects of an activity has in the judgment of the Secretary failed materially to discharge his, her, or its responsibility for the protection of the rights and welfare of subjects in his, her, or its care (whether or not DHEW funds were involved), and (3) whether, where past deficiencies have existed in discharging such responsibility, adequate steps have in the judgment of the Secretary been taken to eliminate these deficiencies.

§ 46.22 Conditions.

The Secretary may with respect to any grant or contract or any class of grants or contracts impose additional conditions prior to or at the time of any award when in his judgment such conditions are necessary for the protection of human subjects.

[FR Doc.75-6621 Filed 3-12-75;8:45 am]

NOTICE TO FEDERAL REGISTER READERS

As part of its continuing program to improve the quality of the daily FEDERAL REGISTER and CODE OF FEDERAL REGULATIONS, the Office of the Federal Register is soliciting the views of interested persons on the effectiveness of individual Federal Register documents and on regulations contained in the CODE OF FEDERAL REGULATIONS.

Our goal is twofold:

First—to make each document published in the FEDERAL REGISTER easily understandable, thus making compliance easier, more efficient, and less costly; and

Second—to identify and correct any existing Federal regulations which are obsolete, unnecessarily wordy, or unclearly stated.

We believe this effort is consistent with the objectives stated by President Ford in his October 8th speech on the economy in which he announced "a joint effort by the Congress, the executive branch and the private sector to identify and eliminate existing Federal rules and regulations that increase costs to the consumer without any good reason in today's economic climate."

The Office of the Federal Register welcomes your comments and suggestions. The survey blank below is provided for that purpose. All comments received will be maintained in a public docket and will be available for inspection in the Office of the Federal Register to any interested persons or agencies. Comments which point out the need for substantive changes in existing regulations also will be forwarded to the responsible agency.

- -

I. For the following reasons I found it difficult to understand the document from _____ (agency) in column _____, page _____ of the _____ (date) issue of the FEDERAL REGISTER:

☐ only technical language was used; ☐ document contained long and difficult sentences; ☐ preamble did not contain a clear and concise explanation of the document's purpose; ☐ other (explain) _____

_____

_____

II. I believe that the requirement(s) contained in:

A. The document from _____ (agency) in column _____, page _____ of the _____ (date) issue of the FEDERAL REGISTER, or

B. Section(s) _____ of Title _____ of the CODE OF FEDERAL REGULATIONS impose(s) an: ☐ unnecessary; ☐ unreasonable; ☐ impractical; or ☐ obsolete requirement on those persons subject to that regulation.

My reasons are: _____

_____

_____

III. (Optional) I suggest that the provision(s) mentioned above be rewritten as follows:

_____

_____

_____

Please mail to:

Office of the Federal Register
National Archives and Records Service
General Services Administration
Washington, D.C. 20408

Name and address (optional)

_____

_____

_____