federal register

MONDAY, DECEMBER 16, 1974

WASHINGTON, D.C.

Volume 39 ■ Number 242

Pages 43529–43609

HIGHLIGHTS OF THIS ISSUE

This listing does not affect the legal status of any document published in this issue. Detailed table of contents appears inside.

ABOLISHMENT OF THE NATIONAL COMMISSION FOR INDUSTRIAL PEACE—Executive Order 43529

CANNED GRAPEFRUIT AND ORANGE—USDA/AMS proposes revision of U.S. grade standards; comments by 1–31–75 43551

OUTER CONTINENTAL SHELF—Interior issues limitations on geological or geophysical explorations 43562

NEW ANIMAL DRUGS—HEW/FDA approves safe use of tylosin premixe in swine feed, effective 12–16–74 43536

REGIONAL EXCESS PROPERTY PROGRAM—Regional Acting Planning Commission issues rules, regulations and procedures for administration 43534

INTERNATIONAL MARITIME SATELLITE SYSTEM—FCC issues notice of conference 43583

TEACHERS CORPS PROJECTS 1975—HEW/OE issues deadline for applications and proposes criteria for selection (2 documents) 43566, 43568

MEETINGS—

DOD/Navy: Chief of Naval Operations Executive Panel Advisory Committee, 1–16 and 1–17–75 43561

CRC: State Advisory Committees (9 documents) 1–8–75 through 1–24–75 43575–43576

HEW/NIH: Advisory and other committees (13 documents) 1–8–75 through 2–27–75 43569–43571

OE: Advisory Council on Financial Aid to Students (2 documents) 1–24 through 2–28–75 43568

OMB: Advisory Committee on GNP Data Improvement, 1–2–75 43594

FCC: Radio Technical Commission for Marine Services, 1–10–75 43584

Commerce/DIBA: Industry Sector Advisory Committees for Multilateral Trade Negotiations, 1–16 through 1–30–75 43564

National Endowment for the Humanities: Fellowships Panel (2 documents) 12–23–74 through 1–10–75 43594

December 16, 1974—Pages 43529–43609

reminders

(The items in this list were editorially compiled as an aid to FEDERAL REGISTER users. Inclusion or exclusion from this list has no legal significance. Since this list is intended as a reminder, it does not include effective dates that occur within 14 days of publication.)

Rules Going Into Effect Today

NOTE: There are no items eligible for inclusion in the list of RULES GOING INTO EFFECT.

ATTENTION: Questions, corrections, or requests for information regarding the contents of this issue only may be made by dialing 202–523–5284. For information on obtaining extra copies, please call 202–523–5240. To obtain advance information from recorded highlights of selected documents to appear in the next issue, dial 202–523–5022.

federal register

Area Code 202 Phone 523–5240

Published daily, Monday through Friday (no publication on Saturdays, Sundays, or on official Federal holidays), by the Office of the Federal Register, National Archives and Records Service, General Services Administration, Washington, D.C. 20408, under the Federal Register Act (49 Stat. 500, as amended; 44 U.S.C., Ch. 15) and the regulations of the Administrative Committee of the Federal Register (1 CFR Ch. I). Distribution is made only by the Superintendent of Documents, U.S. Government Printing Office, Washington, D.C. 20402.

The FEDERAL REGISTER provides a uniform system for making available to the public regulations and legal notices issued by Federal agencies. These include Presidential proclamations and Executive orders and Federal agency documents having general applicability and legal effect, documents required to be published by Act of Congress and other Federal agency documents of public interest.

The FEDERAL REGISTER will be furnished by mail to subscribers, free of postage, for $5.00 per month or $45 per year, payable in advance. The charge for individual copies is 75 cents for each issue, or 75 cents for each group of pages as actually bound. Remit check or money order, made payable to the Superintendent of Documents, U.S. Government Printing Office, Washington, D.C. 20402.

There are no restrictions on the republication of material appearing in the FEDERAL REGISTER.

contents

(Continued on next page)

list of cfr parts affected

The following numerical guide is a list of the parts of each title of the Code of Federal Regulations affected by documents published in today's issue. A cumulative list of parts affected, covering the current month to date, follows beginning with the second issue of the month.

A cumulative guide is published separately at the end of each month. The guide lists the parts and sections affected by documents published since January 1, 1974, and specifies how they are affected.

3 CFR

EO 8786 June 14, 1941 (revoked by PLO 5456) 43549
EO 11710 April 4, 1973 (revoked by EO 11823) 43529
EO 11729 July 12, 1973 (revoked by EO 11823) 43529
EO 11823 43529

7 CFR

722 43531
907 43531
PROPOSED RULES:
52 43551
271 43554
1002 43554
1004 43554

10 CFR

31 43531
32 43531

13 CFR

570 43534

14 CFR

71 43535
PROPOSED RULES:
71 (5 documents) 43555–53557

16 CFR

13 43535
1500 43536
1512 43536

19 CFR

1 43536

21 CFR

135 43536
135e 43536

23 CFR

1204 43557

28 CFR

9a 43537

29 CFR

613 43537
617 43538
657 43539
661 43539
671 43542
672 43542
675 43540
677 43540
678 43541
683 43543
720 43543

33 CFR

135 43544

38 CFR

PROPOSED RULES:
3 43558

40 CFR

PROPOSED RULES:
120 43557

41 CFR

3–3 43544
3–7 43545
3–16 43545
9–3 43548

43 CFR

PUBLIC LAND ORDERS:
765 (revoked in part by PLO 5458) 43550
1087 (revoked in part by PLO 5456) 43549
1139 (revoked in part by PLO 5458) 43550
1444 (revoked in part by PLO 5458) 43550
1571 (revoked in part by PLO 5455) 43549
1771 (revoked in part by PLO 5457) 43549
1851 (revoked in part by PLO 5455) 43549
5176 (amended by PLO 5454) 43548
5191 (see PLO 5454) 43548
5242 (see PLO 5454) 43548
5393 (see PLO 5454) 43548
5454 43548
5455 43549
5456 43549
5457 43549
5458 43550

49 CFR

PROPOSED RULES:
1046 43559

CUMULATIVE LIST OF PARTS AFFECTED—DECEMBER

The following numerical guide is a list of parts of each title of the Code Federal Regulations affected by documents published to date during December.

3 CFR

PROCLAMATIONS:

4337 ... 42335
4338 ... 42671

EXECUTIVE ORDERS:

8786 June 14, 1941 (Revoked by PLO, 5456) ... 43549
11680 (superseded by E.O. 1182) ... 43275
11710 April 4, 1973 (Revoked by EO, 11823) ... 43529
11729 July 12, 1973 (Revoked by EO, 11823) ... 43529
11822 ... 43275
11823 ... 43529

5 CFR

213 ... 41719, 41823, 41824, 42337, 43055, 43195

7 CFR

68 ... 43405
102 ... 41824
106 ... 41824
301 ... 41719
401 ... 41719
402 ... 41726
403 ... 41726
404 ... 41726
406 ... 41726
408 ... 41726
409 ... 41726
410 ... 41727
413 ... 41726
711 ... 41727
722 ... 42673, 43531
725 ... 41825
873 ... 41826, 43406
950 ... 42899
907 ... 42337, 43293, 43531
910 ... 41727, 42673, 43406
912 ... 42673
916 ... 43313
967 ... 41829
1060 ... 42673
1065 ... 41728
1408 ... 41732
1464 ... 41830
1701 ... 43314
1823 ... 41829, 41830
1873 ... 41735, 41831

PROPOSED RULES:

26 ... 42226
52 ... 43551
271 ... 43554
916 ... 43313
928 ... 41728
959 ... 43090
971 ... 43229
1002 ... 43554
1004 ... 43554
1046 ... 41986
1063 ... 41987
1098 ... 41987
1121 ... 43000
1126 ... 43000
1127 ... 43000
1129 ... 43000
1130 ... 43000
1231 ... 42696
1701 ... 43314

8 CFR

103 ... 43055
108 ... 41832
242 ... 43055
245 ... 41832
299 ... 41832

PROPOSED RULES:

103 ... 43228

9 CFR

73 ... 41963
78 ... 41963
97 ... 43294
301 ... 43294
317 ... 42338
381 ... 42338, 42900

PROPOSED RULES:

92 ... 42375

10 CFR

2 ... 43195
31 ... 43531
32 ... 43531
211 ... 42246, 43389
212 ... 42246, 42368, 43389

12 CFR

1 ... 41832
23 ... 41735
204 ... 41964, 43056
213 ... 41964
217 ... 43056
329 ... 42339, 43295
526 ... 42694, 43195
544 ... 42340
545 ... 42340, 42694
563 ... 42695

PROPOSED RULES:

545 ... 42382
561 ... 42382
563 ... 42382

13 CFR

570 ... 43534

14 CFR

21 ... 41964
39 ... 41738, 41740, 41965, 42341, 42674, 42678, 43196, 43195, 43295, 43389
71 ... 41838, 41966, 42341, 42342, 42900, 42901, 43056, 43197, 43296, 43535
95 ... 42342
97 ... 41740, 42901, 43389
103 ... 42677
121 ... 42677
123 ... 42677
135 ... 42677
139 ... 43297
202 ... 41966
244 ... 41966
288 ... 42344

PROPOSED RULES:

39 ... 43090
71 ... 41751, 41855, 41994, 42376, 42696, 42697, 42920, 43091, 43230, 43315, 43555–43557
139 ... 43315
207 ... 41751, 41752, 41856
208 ... 41751, 41752, 41856
212 ... 41751, 41752, 41856
214 ... 41751, 41752, 41856
217 ... 41751, 41752, 41856
241 ... 41751, 41752, 41856
249 ... 41751, 41752, 41856
372a ... 41751, 41856, 41995
378 ... 41751, 41856
378a ... 41751, 41856
389 ... 41751, 41856

15 CFR

50 ... 41741
377 ... 41966
923 ... 42696

16 CFR

13 ... 41838, 41967–41973, 42345, 42347, 42902, 43535
14 ... 43297
1500 ... 42902, 43536
1512 ... 43536

17 CFR

200 ... 41705, 43298
210 ... 43197
250 ... 42678

PROPOSED RULES:

1 ... 43314
210 ... 41856
240 ... 41856

18 CFR

2 ... 41706, 42350, 43199
32 ... 42903
154 ... 43199
803 ... 41973

PROPOSED RULES:

2 ... 43093
154 ... 43093
157 ... 43093

19 CFR

1 ... 43536

20 CFR

410 ... 41976

21 CFR

2 ... 41706, 43390
18 ... 42351
121 ... 43057, 43217, 43298, 43390
135 ... 41840, 43536
135b ... 43217
135e ... 41840, 43536
440 ... 43218
1312 ... 43218

PROPOSED RULES:

1 ... 42375
121 ... 43408
122 ... 42738
436 ... 43409
442 ... 43409
1308 ... 42918, 43228, 43408

49 CFR—Continued

235 41747
236 41747
571 ..... 42367–42692, 43075
573 43075
1003 43076
1033 ..... 41853, 41854, 41985, 42367, 42917
1056 43076
1124 41985

PROPOSED RULES:

172 43091
571 ..... 41751, 42377
1046 43559
1054 41862
1062 ..... 41863, 43410
1201 41867

50 CFR

28 43293
33 ..... 43078, 43293

PROPOSED RULES:

33 43313

FEDERAL REGISTER PAGES AND DATES—DECEMBER

Pages	*Date*
41705–41821	Dec. 2
41823–41962	3
41963–42334	4
42335–42669	5
42671–42898	6
42899–43054	9
43055–43194	10
43195–43274	11
43275–43387	12
43389–43528	13
43529–43609	16

Title 3—The President

EXECUTIVE ORDER 11823

Abolishing the National Commission for Industrial Peace

By virtue of the authority vested in me as President of the United States of America by the Constitution and laws of the United States, including the Federal Advisory Committee Act (5 U.S.C. App. I), and section 301 of title 3 of the United States Code, it is hereby ordered as follows:

SECTION 1. The National Commission for Industrial Peace is hereby abolished and Executive Order No. 11710 of April 4, 1973, and Executive Order No. 11729 of July 12, 1973, are hereby revoked.

SEC. 2. The Secretary of the Treasury shall be responsible for closing the affairs of the Commission, and for the completion of any functions that remain to be performed under the Federal Advisory Committee Act with respect to the Commission and its report. In preparing the report required by section 6(b) of that Act, the Secretary shall consult with the Director of the Federal Mediation and Conciliation Service.

Gerald R. Ford

THE WHITE HOUSE,
December 12, 1974.

[FR Doc.74-29293 Filed 12-12-74;1:42 pm]

rules and regulations

Title 7—Agriculture

CHAPTER VII—AGRICULTURAL STABILIZATION AND CONSERVATION SERVICE (AGRICULTURAL ADJUSTMENT), DEPARTMENT OF AGRICULTURE

SUBCHAPTER B—FARM MARKETING QUOTAS AND ACREAGE ALLOTMENTS

PART 722—COTTON

Subpart—1975 Crop of Extra Long Staple Cotton; Acreage Allotments and Marketing Quotas

STATE RESERVES AND COUNTY ALLOTMENTS

Correction

In FR Doc. 74-27773 appearing at page 41354 in the issue for Wednesday, November 27, 1974, in the table for § 722.562, under "TEXAS, Ward County," the number under "*County allotment (acres)*" now reading "460.1" should read "460.5".

CHAPTER IX—AGRICULTURAL MARKETING SERVICE (MARKETING AGREEMENTS AND ORDERS; FRUITS, VEGETABLES, NUTS), DEPARTMENT OF AGRICULTURE

[Navel Orange Regulation 329, Amdt. 1]

PART 907—NAVEL ORANGES GROWN IN ARIZONA AND DESIGNATED PART OF CALIFORNIA

Limitation of Handling

This regulation increases the quantity of California-Arizona Navel oranges that may be shipped to fresh market during the weekly regulation period December 6-12, 1974. The quantity that may be shipped is increased due to improved market conditions for Navel oranges. The regulation and this amendment are issued pursuant to the Agricultural Marketing Agreement Act of 1937, as amended, and Marketing Order No. 907.

(a) *Findings.* (1) Pursuant to the marketing agreement, as amended, and Order No. 907, as amended (7 CFR Part 907), regulating the handling of Navel oranges grown in Arizona and designated part of California, effective under the applicable provisions of the Agricultural Marketing Agreement Act of 1937, as amended (7 U.S.C. 601-674), and upon the basis of the recommendations and information submitted by the Navel Orange Administrative Committee, established under the said amended marketing agreement and order, and upon other available information, it is hereby found that the limitation of handling of such Navel oranges, as hereinafter provided, will tend to effectuate the declared policy of the act.

(2) The need for an increase in the quantity of oranges available for handling during the current week results from changes that have taken place in the marketing situation since the issuance of Navel Orange Regulation 329 (39 FR 42337). The marketing picture now indicates that there is a greater demand for Navel oranges than existed when the regulation was made effective. Therefore, in order to provide an opportunity for handlers to handle a sufficient volume of Navel oranges to fill the current market demand thereby making a greater quantity of Navel oranges available to meet such increased demand, the regulation should be amended, as hereinafter set forth.

(3) It is hereby further found that it is impracticable and contrary to the public interest to give preliminary notice, engage in public rule-making procedure, and postpone the effective date of this amendment until 30 days after publication thereof in the FEDERAL REGISTER (5 U.S.C. 553) because the time intervening between the date when information upon which this amendment is based became available and the time when this amendment must become effective in order to effectuate the declared policy of the act is insufficient, and this amendment relieves restriction on the handling of Navel oranges grown in Arizona and designated part of California.

(b) *Order, as amended.* The provisions in paragraph (b)(1) (i) and (iii) of § 907.629 (Navel Orange Regulation 329) (39 FR 42337) are hereby amended to read as follows:

"(i) District 1: 1,581,000 cartons;

"(iii) District 3: 119,000 cartons."

(Secs. 1-19, 48 Stat. 31, as amended; (7 U.S.C. 601-674))

Dated: December 11, 1974.

CHARLES R. BRADER,
Deputy Director, Fruit and Vegetable Division, Agricultural Marketing Service.

[FR Doc.74-21977 Filed 12-13-74;8:45 am]

Title 10—Energy

CHAPTER I—ATOMIC ENERGY COMMISSION

PART 31—GENERAL LICENSES FOR BYPRODUCT MATERIAL

PART 32—SPECIFIC LICENSES TO MANUFACTURE, DISTRIBUTE, OR IMPORT CERTAIN ITEMS CONTAINING BY-PRODUCT MATERIAL

Revision of General License for Industrial Devices

The Atomic Energy Commission published in the FEDERAL REGISTER on February 5, 1974 (39 FR 4583) proposed amendments to its regulations pertaining to the use of byproduct material in certain gauges and similar devices. The proposed amendments, among other things, would have required the user to register with the Commission prior to receiving byproduct material for use under the general license in § 31.5 of the Commission's regulation, 10 CFR Part 31, "General Licenses for Byproduct Material." Related changes were proposed in the requirements imposed on persons specifically licensed under 10 CFR 32.51 to distribute byproduct material in devices to be used under the general license.

Interested persons were invited to submit written comments on the proposed amendments by March 18, 1974. That date was later extended to April 17, 1974, and on April 16, 1974, a public meeting on the proposed changes was held at the Atomic Energy Commission's offices in Bethesda, Maryland. After consideration of the written comments received, the record of the public meeting, and other factors involved, the Commission has adopted the proposed amendments with certain modifications. The more significant ones are described below.

(1) Under the proposed amendments, each user of the general license would have been identified to the Commission by the registration certificate which he filed prior to receipt of a device containing byproduct material. Under the amendments set out below, prior registration by the general licensee is not required. Persons receiving devices for use under 10 CFR 31.5 will be identified in quarterly reports submitted to the Commission by persons specifically licensed to distribute the devices. Persons specifically licensed under 10 CFR 32.51 also will be required to report to the appropriate Agreement State agency any transfers to general licensees regulated by the Agreement State. Implementation of similar reporting requirements by the Agreement States should result in a system whereby all transfers to persons using the general license in 10 CFR 31.5 or equivalent Agreement State regulations are reported to the responsible regulatory agency.

(2) The proposed amendments would have permitted transfer of devices between general licensees since both the transferor and the transferee would have registered with the Commission. Since prior registration by the general licensee has not been adopted, the proposed provision for transfer by the general licensee has been modified in order to achieve a workable system for identifying users under the general license. The amendments set out below permit the general

licensee to transfer a device (a) to a specific licensee, (b) to another general licensee if the device remains installed in the same location but the person possessing the device changes as may be the case when a plant or building is sold, and (c) to another general licensee when possession of a device which remains in its shipping container is changed as in the case when a distributor ships a device to a construction site where it may be temporarily possessed by the building contractor prior to possession by the ultimate user.

(3) The proposed amendments provided that under certain conditions the general licensee may be authorized to perform tests for leakage of radioactive material. Under the amendments set out below the general licensee would only be authorized to collect the test sample for the leakage test; this sample would then be analyzed by a specific licensee.

(4) The proposed amendments would have deleted an existing specific requirement that the general licensee have the device tested periodically for proper operation of the on-off mechanism and indicator. The intent of the proposed deletion was not to eliminate all tests on on-off mechanisms and indicators but rather to consider the need and procedures for the tests on a case-by-case basis. That consideration would have been reflected in the safety instructions and precautions which the user would be required to follow and those instructions could require that the tests be performed by a specific licensee. Comments on the proposed deletion of the specific test requirement, however, indicate that such action could result in misunderstanding and non-performance of important safety testing. Accordingly, the amendments set out below retain a specific requirement for testing of the on-off mechanism and indicator.

(5) Under the proposed amendments, the general license in § 31.5 would have been restricted to commercial and industrial firms, research, education and medical institutions, and governmental agencies. The amendments set out below also extend the general license to individuals using the devices in the course of their work, occupation, or profession. An example of such an individual is a consulting engineer who owns and uses a soil density gauge in his work on highway construction projects.

Pursuant to the Atomic Energy Act of 1954, as amended, and sections 552 and 553 of title 5 of the United States Code, the following amendments to 10 CFR Parts 31 and 32 are published as a document subject to codification.

1. Section 31.5 of 10 CFR Part 31 is revised to read as follows:

§ 31.5 Certain measuring, gauging or controlling devices.[1] [2]

(a) A general license is hereby issued to commercial and industrial firms and research, educational and medical institutions, individuals in the conduct of their business, and Federal, State or local government agencies to acquire, receive, possess, use or transfer, in accordance with the provisions of paragraphs (b), (c) and (d) of this section, byproduct material contained in devices designed and manufactured for the purpose of detecting, measuring, gauging or controlling thickness, density, level, interface location, radiation, leakage, or qualitative or quantitative chemical composition, or for producing light or an ionized atmosphere.

(b) The general license in paragraph (a) of this section applies only to byproduct material contained in devices which have been manufactured or imported and labeled in accordance with the specifications contained in a specific license issued by the Commission pursuant to § 32.51 of this chapter or in accordance with the specifications contained in a specific license issued by an Agreement State which authorizes distribution of the devices to persons generally licensed by the Agreement State.

(c) Any person who acquires, receives, possesses, uses or transfers byproduct material in a device pursuant to the general license in paragraph (a) of this section:

(1) Shall assure that all labels affixed to the device at the time of receipt and bearing a statement that removal of the label is prohibited are maintained thereon and shall comply with all instructions and precautions provided by such labels;

(2) Shall assure that the device is tested for leakage of radioactive material and proper operation of the on-off mechanism and indicator, if any, at no longer than six-month intervals or at such other intervals as are specified in the label; however;

(i) devices containing only krypton need not be tested for leakage of radioactive material, and

(ii) devices containing only tritium or not more than 100 microcuries of other beta and/or gamma emitting material or 10 microcuries of alpha emitting material and devices held in storage in the original shipping container prior to initial installation need not be tested for any purpose;

(3) Shall assure that the tests required by paragraph (c)(2) of this section and other testing, installation, servicing, and removal from installation involving the radioactive materials, its shielding or containment, are performed:

(i) in accordance with the instructions provided by the labels; or

(ii) by a person holding a specific license from the Commission or an Agreement State to perform such activities;

(4) Shall maintain records showing compliance with the requirements of paragraphs (c)(2) and (c)(3) of this section. The records shall show the results of tests. The records also shall show the dates of performance of, and the names of persons performing, testing, installation, servicing, and removal from installation concerning the radioactive material, its shielding or containment;

(5) Upon the occurrence of a failure of or damage to, or any indication of a possible failure of or damage to, the shielding of the radioactive material or the on-off mechanism or indicator, or upon the detection of 0.005 microcurie or more removable radioactive material, shall immediately suspend operation of the device until it has been repaired by the manufacturer or other person holding a specific license from the Commission or an Agreement State to repair such devices, or disposed of by transfer to a person authorized by a specific license to receive the byproduct material contained in the device and, within 30 days, furnish to the Director of the appropriate Atomic Energy Commission Regulatory Operations Regional Office listed in Appendix D of Part 20 of this chapter, a report containing a brief description of the event and the remedial action taken;

(6) Shall not abandon the device containing byproduct material;

(7) Shall not export the device containing byproduct material without a specific license from the Commission authorizing such export;

(8) Except as provided in paragraph (c)(9) of this section, shall transfer or dispose of the device containing byproduct material only by transfer to a specific licensee of the Commission or of an Agreement State whose specific license authorizes him to receive the device and within 30 days after transfer of a device to a specific licensee shall furnish to the Director of Licensing, U.S. Atomic Energy Commission, Washington, D.C. 20545 a report containing identification of the device by manufacturer's name and model number and the name and address of the person receiving the device. No report is required if the device is transferred to the specific licensee in order to obtain a replacement device;

(9) Shall transfer the device to another general licensee only:

(i) Where the device remains in use at a particular location. In such case the transferor shall give the transferee a

[1] Persons possessing byproduct material in devices under the general license in § 31.5 before Jan. 15, 1975 may continue to possess, use or transfer that material in accordance with the requirements of § 31.5 in effect on Jan. 14, 1975.

[2] The recordkeeping and reporting requirements for § 31.5 have been cleared by GAO under B180225 (R0068). This clearance expires on November 30, 1977.

copy of this section and any safety documents identified in the label of the device and within 30 days of the transfer, report to the Director of Licensing, U.S. Atomic Energy Commission, Washington, D.C. 20545, the manufacturer's name and model number of device transferred, the name and address of the transferee, and the name and/or position of an individual who may constitute a point of contact between the Commission and the transferee; or

(ii) Where the device is held in storage in the original shipping container at its intended location of use prior to initial use by a general licensee.

(10) Shall comply with the provisions of §§ 20.402 and 20.403 of this chapter for reporting radiation incidents, theft or loss of licensed material, but shall be exempt from the other requirements of Parts 19 and 20 of this chapter.

(d) The general license in paragraph (a) of this section does not authorize the manufacture or import of devices containing byproduct material.

§ 31.6 [Amended].

2. Paragraphs (a) and (d) of § 31.6 are deleted.

3. Section 32.51 is revised to read as follows:

§ 32.51 Byproduct material contained in devices for use under § 31.5; requirements for license to manufacture, import or distribute.[4]

(a) An application for a specific license to manufacture, import, or distribute devices containing byproduct material to persons generally licensed under § 31.5 of this chapter or equivalent regulations of an Agreement State will be approved if:

(1) The applicant satisfies the general requirements of § 30.33 of this chapter;

(2) The applicant submits sufficient information relating to the design, manufacture, prototype testing, quality control, labels, proposed uses, installation, servicing, leak testing, operating and safety instructions, and potential hazards of the device to provide reasonable assurance that:

(i) the device can be safely operated by persons not having training in radiological protection.

(ii) under ordinary conditions of handling, storage and use of the device, the byproduct material contained in the device will not be released or inadvertently removed from the device, and it is unlikely that any person will receive in any period of one calendar quarter a dose in excess of 10 percent of the limits specified in the table in § 20.101(a) of this chapter; and

(iii) under accident conditions (such as fire and explosion) associated with handling, storage and use of the device, it is unlikely that any person would receive an external radiation dose or dose commitment in excess of the dose to the appropriate organ as specified in Column IV of the table in § 32.24.

(3) Each device bears a durable, legible, clearly visible label or labels approved by the Commission which contain in a clearly identified and separate statement:

(i) instructions and precautions necessary to assure safe installation, operation, and servicing of the device (documents such as operating and service manuals may be identified in the label and used to provide this information);

(ii) the requirement, or lack of requirement, for leak testing, or for testing any on-off mechanism and indicator, including the maximum time interval for such testing, and the identification of radioactive material by isotope, quantity of radioactivity, and date of determination of the quantity; and

(iii) the information called for in the following statement in the same or substantially similar form:

> The receipt, possession, use, and transfer of this device Model ---------[1], Serial No. ---------[1], are subject to a general license or the equivalent and the regulations of the U.S. AEC or of a State with which the AEC has entered into an agreement for the exercise of regulatory authority. This label shall be maintained on the device in a legible condition. Removal of this label is prohibited.
>
> CAUTION—RADIOACTIVE MATERIAL
>
> ----------
> (Name of manufacturer, importer, or distributor)[1]

(b) In the event the applicant desires that the device be required to be tested at intervals longer than six months, either for proper operation of the on-off mechanism and indicator, if any, or for leakage of radioactive material or for both, he shall include in his application sufficient information to demonstrate that such longer interval is justified by performance characteristics of the device or similar devices, and by design features which have a significant bearing on the probability or consequences of leakage of radioactive material from the device or failure of the on-off mechanism and indicator. In determining the acceptable interval for the test for leakage of radioactive material, the Commission will consider information which includes, but is not limited to:

(1) Primary containment (source capsule);

(2) Protection of primary containment;

(3) Method of sealing containment;

(4) Containment construction materials;

(5) Form of contained radioactive material;

(6) Maximum temperature withstood during prototype tests;

(7) Maximum pressure withstood during prototype tests;

(8) Maximum quantity of contained radioactive material;

(9) Radiotoxicity of contained radioactive material; and

(10) Operating experience with identical devices or similarly designed and constructed devices.

(c) In the event the applicant desires that the general licensee under § 31.5 of this chapter, or under equivalent regulations of an Agreement State, be authorized to install the device, collect the sample to be analyzed by a specific licensee for leakage of radioactive material, service the device, test the on-off mechanism and indicator, or remove the device from installation, he shall include in his application written instructions to be followed by the general licensee, estimated calendar quarter doses associated with such activity or activities and the bases for such estimates. The submitted information shall demonstrate that performance of such activity or activities by an individual untrained in radiological protection, in addition to other handling, storage, and use of devices under the general license, is unlikely to cause that individual to receive a calendar quarter dose in excess of 10 percent of the limits specified in the table in § 20.101(a) of this chapter.

4. A new § 32.51a is added to read as follows:

§ 32.51a Same: conditions of licenses.

Each person licensed under § 32.51 shall:

(a) Furnish a copy of the general license contained in § 31.5 of this chapter to each person to whom he directly or through an intermediate person transfers byproduct material in a device for use pursuant to the general license contained in § 31.5 of this chapter.

(b) Furnish a copy of the general license contained in the Agreement State's regulation equivalent to § 31.5 of this chapter, or alternatively, furnish a copy of the general license contained in § 31.5 of this chapter, to each person to whom he directly or through an intermediate person transfers byproduct material in a device for use pursuant to the general license of an Agreement State. If a copy of the general license in § 31.5 of this chapter is furnished to such person, it shall be accompanied by a note explaining that use of the device is regulated by the Agreement State under requirements substantially the same as those in § 31.5 of this chapter.

5. Section 32.52 is revised to read as follows:

§ 32.52 Same: material transfer reports and records.

Each person licensed under § 32.51 to distribute devices to generally licensed persons shall:

(a) Report to the Director of Licensing, U.S. Atomic Energy Commission, Washington, D.C. 20545, all transfers of such devices to persons for use under the general license in § 31.5 of this chapter.

[4] The recordkeeping and reporting requirements of 10 CFR Part 32 have been cleared by GAO under B180225 (R0038). This clearance expires November 30, 1977.

[1] The model, serial number, and name of the manufacturer, importer, or distributor may be omitted from this label provided the information is elsewhere specified in labeling affixed to the device.

Such report shall identify each general licensee by name and address, an individual by name and/or position who may constitute a point of contact between the Commission and the general licensee, the type and model number of device transferred, and the quantity and type of byproduct material contained in the device. If one or more intermediate persons will temporarily possess the device at the intended place of use prior to its possession by the user, the report shall include identification of each intermediate person by name, address, contact, and relationship to the intended user. If no transfers have been made to persons generally licensed under § 31.5 of this chapter during the reporting period, the report shall so indicate. The report shall cover each calendar quarter and shall be filed within 30 days thereafter. The first report to be filed pursuant to this paragraph as revised and effective on January 15, 1975 shall cover the first calendar quarter in 1975. The report, if any, required for the fourth calendar quarter in 1974 shall be filed pursuant to the requirements of this paragraph in effect on January 14, 1975.

(b) Report to the responsible Agreement State agency all transfers of such devices to persons for use under a general license in an Agreement State's regulation equivalent to § 31.5 of this chapter. Such report shall identify each general licensee by name and address, an individual by name and/or position who may constitute a point of contact between the agency and the general licensee, the type and model number of device transferred, and the quantity and type of byproduct material contained in the device. If one or more intermediate persons will temporarily possess the device at the intended place of use prior to its possession by the user, the report shall include identification of each intermediate person by name, address, contact, and relationship to the intended user. The report shall be submitted within 30 days after the end of each calendar quarter in which such a device is transferred to the generally licensed person. If no transfers have been made to a particular Agreement State during the reporting period, this information shall be reported to the responsible Agreement State agency upon request of the agency. The first report, if any, to be filed pursuant to this paragraph as revised and effective on January 15, 1975 shall cover the first calendar quarter in 1975.

(c) Keep records showing the name, address, and a point of contact for each general licensee to whom he directly or through an intermediate person transfers byproduct material in devices for use pursuant to the general license provided in § 31.5 of this chapter or equivalent regulations of an Agreement State. The records shall show the date of each transfer, the isotope and quantity of radioactivity in each device transferred, the identity of any intermediate person, and compliance with the report requirements of this section.

Effective date. The foregoing amendments become effective on January 15, 1975.

(Secs. 81, 161, Pub. L. 83-703, 68 Stat. 935, 948 (42 U.S.C. 2111, 2201))

Dated at Germantown, Maryland this 7th day of December 1974.

For the Atomic Energy Commission.

PAUL C. BENDER,
Secretary of the Commission.

[FR Doc.74-29035 Filed 12-13-74;8:45 am]

Title 13—Business Credit and Assistance

CHAPTER V—REGIONAL ACTION PLANNING COMMISSIONS

PART 570—REGIONAL EXCESS PROPERTY PROGRAM

Administration Procedures

By virtue of the authority contained in section 514 of the Public Works and Economic Development Act of 1965, as amended (42 U.S.C. 3193), the Regional Action Planning Commissions hereby issue rules regulations and procedures for the administration of the regional excess property program.

In that the material contained herein is a matter relating to a program of economic assistance and because a delay in implementing these regulations would be contrary to the public interest, the relevant provisions of the Administrative Procedure Act (5 U.S.C. 553) requiring notice of proposed rulemaking, opportunity for public participation and delay in effective date are inapplicable. However, in accordance with the spirit of the public policy set forth in 5 U.S.C. 553 interested persons may submit written comments or suggestions to the Special Assistant for Regional Economic Coordination, Office of the Secretary, U.S. Department of Commerce, Room 2092, Washington, D.C. 20230, by January 16, 1975.

The purpose of these regulations is to implement the provisions of section 514 so as to authorize the Federal Cochairmen of the Regional Action Planning Commissions established pursuant to the Public Works and Economic Development Act of 1965, as amended, to acquire and dispose of excess Federal property for the purpose of economic development.

These regulations only apply to those States or parts of States included within economic development regions established pursuant to Title V of the Public Works and Economic Development Act.

Therefore, a new Chapter V, "Regional Action Planning Commissions," is added to Title 13, Code of Federal Regulations, consisting of the following Part 570:

Sec.

AUTHORITY: Pub. L. 93-423, sec. 11, 88 Stat. 1162 (42 U.S.C. 3193).

§ 570.1 Authority and purpose.

Pursuant to section 514 of the Public Works and Economic Development Act of 1965, as amended (hereafter, the Act), the Federal Cochairman of each regional commission may acquire excess property, without reimbursement, through the Administrator, GSA, and shall dispose of such property, without reimbursement, for the purpose of economic development, by lending to or vesting title in eligible recipients located wholly or partially within the economic development region of such Federal Cochairman.

§ 570.2 Definitions.

(a) The term "excess property" has the meaning given it by section 3(e) of the Federal Property and Administrative Services Act of 1949 (40 U.S.C. 472(e)), except that such term does not include real property.

(b) The term "care and handling" has the meaning given it by section 3(h) of the Federal Property and Administrative Services Act of 1949 (40 U.S.C. 472(h)).

§ 570.3 Recipients of excess property.

(a) The Federal Cochairman of each Regional Commission may lend or transfer title to excess property acquired in the continental United States for the purpose of supporting economic development to any of the following recipients:

(1) Any State or political subdivision thereof;

(2) Any tax-supported organization;

(3) Any Indian tribe, band, group, pueblo, or Alaskan village or Regional Corporation (as defined by the Alaska Native Land Claims Settlement Act of 1971) recognized by the Federal Government or any State, and any business owned by any tribe, band, group, pueblo, village, or Regional Corporation;

(4) Any tax-supported or nonprofit private hospital; and

(5) Any tax-supported or nonprofit private institution of higher education requiring a high school diploma, or equivalent, as a basis for admission.

(b) Such recipient may have, but need not have, received any other aid under the Act.

§ 570.4 Acquisition and disposal of property.

(a) Only property which will be immediately used by a recipient agency will be acquired by a Federal Cochairman. The request for property will bear the concurrence of the Governor of the State in which the applicant is located. The Federal Cochairman will not acquire property to be stockpiled by a recipient. In no event will real property be acquired or disposed of pursuant to this authority.

(b) The following classes of items will not be acquired or disposed of to a recipient:

(1) Subsistence items, including rations and perishable foods;

(2) Property dangerous to public health and safety;

(3) Property determined by competent authority to be classified for reasons of national security;
(4) Dangerous drugs;
(5) Passenger vehicles, except buses and ambulances;
(6) Firearms and ammunition;
(7) Aircraft;
(8) Clothing and shoes;
(9) Jewelry;

(c) The Federal Cochairman may transfer title to property to a recipient if:

(1) The property is to be used for the purpose requested for two or more years;
(2) The property will become an integral part of a project; or
(3) The property is expendable, i.e., will be consumed in the operation or development of the project or program, will be altered in use so as not to be reusable, or otherwise is intended by its nature to have a one-time use or function.

(d) Transfers of title to non-expendable property with an acquisition cost of $2500, or more, shall include a provision that the property shall in the discretion of the Federal Cochairman be returned as the Federal Cochairman directs at the expense of the recipient if, within a two year period after such transfer, the property is no longer being used for the purpose for which it was requested or if any other condition of the transfer is not met. In lieu of return of the property the Federal Cochairman in his discretion may require payment of the fair market value of the property. For good causes shown the Federal Cochairman may, with the approval of the Secretary, waive any of the conditions imposed pursuant to this section.

(e) In lending property acquired under the Act, the Federal Cochairman shall require the recipient to provide proper and adequate liability insurance for the operation and use of machinery, equipment and vehicles or any other item of property so disposed of under the Act and the recipient will be required to hold the Regional Commission, its members, officers and employees, harmless in the event of any claim arising from the injury, disease, or death to operators or users or any person as a result of the use of such property.

(f) The Federal Cochairman may dispose of property to be disassembled or "cannibalized", or approve such action, only in cases in which it is clear that the separated components have greater use value when separated from the original item than does the assembled item. The Federal Cochairman shall consider carefully the facts in each case with due regard to the Federal Government's interest in the property. Retroactive approval of a request for "cannibalization" will normally not be granted.

§ 570.5 Return of property.

Property made available to a recipient on a loan basis will be reported to the Federal Cochairman when the loan period terminates. In such cases, or if the conditions of the loan are not being met, or if the property is no longer needed by the recipient for economic development purposes, the Federal Cochairman may order the return of the property for disposition to other eligible recipients. Property not disposed of to other eligible recipients in the region shall be reported by the Federal Cochairman to GSA for disposal.

§ 570.6 Reporting.

Each Federal Cochairman shall submit to the Secretary an annual report on the property acquired and disposed of, and property acquired but not disposed of. Such report will be submitted within three months after the close of the fiscal year and shall provide data on the acquisition cost of the property and include information on the types of property acquired for each recipient. The report shall further identify that property provided through loan and by transfer of title.

§ 570.7 Records.

The Federal Cochairman will institute and maintain a record system which will permit ready identification of property acquired, its value, and its disposal.

§ 570.8 Procedures.

(a) Each Federal Cochairman is authorized to designate a member of his Federal staff to serve as regional commission property officer and to delegate to such designee authority to administer the regional excess property program within his region.

(b) Only the Federal Cochairman or the regional commission property officer will be authorized under this regulation to screen excess property for acquisition.

(c) Each Federal Cochairman will prepare a procedures handbook, to be approved by the Secretary, for the orderly acquisition, recording, and disposal of property within his region. The handbook will follow the constraints set forth in this part. Each Federal Cochairman will thereafter provide each recipient written instructions to insure the efficient and orderly conduct of the regional excess property program.

§ 570.9 Reimbursement by recipient.

(a) The recipient of any property disposed of by the Federal Cochairman pursuant to this authority shall pay to the Federal agency having custody of the property all costs of care and handling, including transportation costs, incurred in the acquisition and disposal of the property.

(b) The recipient shall also pay any other costs including costs of returning the property to the Federal Government under §§ 570.4(c)(1) or 570.5, which may be incurred with regard to property made available to it by loan or transfer of title, except that in the event property is returned to the Federal Government, the recipient shall not be responsible for costs incurred after such return.

(c) No Federal Cochairman shall be involved in the receiving or processing of any costs paid by a recipient under (a) or (b) of this section.

§ 570.10 Delegation of authority.

The authority of the Secretary of Commerce with respect to the regional excess property program established by Section 514 of the Act is delegated to the Special Assistant to the Secretary for Regional Economic Coordination.

Dated: December 6, 1974.

Approved and Issued,

FREDERICK B. DENT,
Secretary of Commerce.

[FR Doc.74-29215 Filed 12-13-74;8:45 am]

Title 14—Aeronautics and Space

CHAPTER I—FEDERAL AVIATION ADMINISTRATION, DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION

[Airspace Docket No. 74-GL-34]

PART 71—DESIGNATION OF FEDERAL AIRWAYS, AREA LOW ROUTES, CONTROLLED AIRSPACE, AND REPORTING POINTS

Alteration of Transition Area

On Page 36490 of the FEDERAL REGISTER dated October 10, 1974, the Federal Aviation Administration published a notice of proposed rulemaking which would amend § 71.181 of Part 71 of the Federal Aviation regulations so as to alter the transition area at Fostoria, Ohio.

Interested persons were given 30 days to submit written comments, suggestions or objections regarding the proposed amendment.

No objections have been received and the proposed amendment is hereby adopted without change and is set forth below.

This amendment shall be effective 0901 G.m.t., January 30, 1975.

(Sec. 307(a), Federal Aviation Act of 1958 (49 U.S.C. 1348); sec. 6(c), Department of Transportation Act (49 U.S.C. 1655(c)))

Issued in Des Plaines, Illinois on November 25, 1974.

R. O. ZIEGLER,
Acting Director,
Great Lakes Region.

In § 71.181 (40 FR 441), the following transition area is amended to read:

FOSTORIA, OHIO

That airspace extending upward from 700 feet above the surface within a 6.5 mile radius of the Fostoria Metropolitan Airport (Latitude 41°11'30" N., Longitude 83°23'50" W.); within three miles each side of the 084° bearing from the airport extending from the 6.5 mile radius area to 8.5 miles east of the airport; excluding that portion that overlies the Tiffin, Ohio transition area.

[FR Doc.74-29107 Filed 12-13-74;8:45 am]

Title 16—Commercial Practices

CHAPTER I—FEDERAL TRADE COMMISSION

[Docket No. C-2548]

PART 13—PROHIBITED TRADE PRACTICES

Forever Young Inc., et al

Correction

In FR Doc. 74-28262, appearing at page 41968, in the issue of Wednesday, December 4, 1974, in the first column of page 41969, item 14, lines 11 and 12 should be

transposed, so that they read as follows: "pare patients for chemical skin-peeling, and only such a professional person can".

CHAPTER II—CONSUMER PRODUCT SAFETY COMMISSION

SUBCHAPTER C—FEDERAL HAZARDOUS SUBSTANCES ACT REGULATIONS

PART 1500—HAZARDOUS SUBSTANCES AND ARTICLES; ADMINISTRATION AND ENFORCEMENT REGULATIONS

PART 1512—REQUIREMENTS FOR BICYCLES

Bicycles and Bicycle Safety Requirements; Suspension of Effective Date

The purpose of this document is to suspend, for reasons given below, the effective date of regulations banning hazardous bicycles and establishing bicycle safety regulations (16 CFR 1500.18(a)(12) and 16 CFR Part 1512).

In the FEDERAL REGISTER of July 16, 1974 (39 FR 26100), and with an effective date of January 1, 1975, the Commission promulgated 16 CFR Part 1512, a regulation prescribing safety requirements for bicycles, and 16 CFR 1500.18(a)(12), a regulation classifying any bicycle introduced into interstate commerce after January 1, 1975, that does not meet the requirements of 16 CFR Part 1512 as a banned toy or other article intended for use by children.

Thereafter, the Commission received more than 50 written communications concerning the regulations from consumers, an importer, manufacturers of bicycles and bicycle components, a retailer, and a trade association of bicycle and component manufacturers. Seventeen of these communications express the view that the effective date of January 1, 1975, will not allow adequate time for redesign, retooling, testing, production, and shipment of complying bicycles and components.

On September 9 and 10, 1974, Commission staff met with interested parties to receive oral presentations relevant to requests for an extension of the effective date and for changes in various provisions of the regulations.

After considering the written communications and oral presentations, the Commission concludes that the original effective date should be suspended and that a new effective date should be proposed for written comment by interested parties.

Accordingly, the Commission will, in the near future, propose a new effective date for the regulations and also propose amendments concerning the footbrake crank differential, chain guards, the handle bar stem-to-fork test, and instructions and labeling.

Therefore, the effective date of 16 CFR 1500.18(a)(12) and 16 CFR Part 1512 is hereby suspended until further notice.

(Secs. 2(f)(1)(D), (g)(1)(A), (s), 3(e)(1), 74 Stat. 372, 374, 375, as amended 80 Stat. 1304–05, 83 Stat. 187–89 (15 U.S.C. 1261, 1262))

Dated: December 10, 1974.

SHELDON D. BUTTS,
Acting Secretary, Consumer Product Safety Commission.

[FR Doc.74-29113 Filed 12-13-74;8:45 am]

Title 19—Customs Duties

CHAPTER I—UNITED STATES CUSTOMS SERVICE, DEPARTMENT OF THE TREASURY

[T.D. 74-304]

PART 1—GENERAL PROVISIONS

Customs Field Organization

On August 13, 1974, notice of a proposal to change the designation of Albuquerque, New Mexico, in the El Paso, Texas, Customs district (Region VI), from a Customs station to a Customs port of entry was published in the FEDERAL REGISTER (39 FR 28996). No comments were received regarding this proposal.

Accordingly, by virtue of the authority vested in the President by section 1 of the Act of August 1, 1914, 38 Stat. 623, as amended (19 U.S.C. 2), and delegated to the Secretary of the Treasury by Executive Order No. 10289, September 17, 1951 (3 CFR Ch. II), and pursuant to authority provided by Treasury Department Order No. 190, Rev. 9 (38 FR 17517), the designation of Albuquerque, New Mexico, as a Customs station in the El Paso, Texas, Customs district (Region VI) is hereby revoked and Albuquerque, New Mexico, is hereby designated a Customs port of entry in the El Paso, Texas, Customs district (Region VI).

The geographical limits of the port of entry will include all of the territory within the following boundaries:

Beginning at the northwest corner of the intersection of the northern boundary of Bernalillo County with the eastern boundary of Valencia County and proceeding in a southeasterly, then easterly, direction along the boundary between Bernalillo and Valencia counties to the intersection of such boundary with Interstate No. 25, then proceeding in a southerly direction along Interstate No. 25 in Valencia County to its intersection with the northern boundary of Socorro County, then proceeding in an easterly direction along the northern boundary of Socorro County to its intersection with New Mexico State Highway No. 47, then proceeding in a northerly direction along New Mexico State Highway No. 47 in Valencia County to its intersection with the southern boundary of Bernalillo County, then proceeding in an easterly, then southerly, then easterly, direction along the southern boundary of Bernalillo County to its intersection with New Mexico State Highway No. 14, then proceeding in a northerly direction along New Mexico State Highway No. 14 in Bernalillo County to its intersection with the New Mexico State Highway No. 44, then proceeding in a northwesterly direction along New Mexico State Highway No. 44 into Sandoval County to the intersection of Highway No. 44 with New Mexico State Highway No. 528, then proceeding in a southerly direction along New Mexico State Highway No. 528 to its intersection with Idalia Road, then proceeding in a westerly direction on Idalia Road to its intersection with Chayote Road, then proceeding in a northerly direction on Chayote Road to its intersection with Progress Boulevard, then proceeding in a westerly direction on Progress Boulevard to its intersection with Encino Boulevard, then proceeding in a southeasterly direction on Encino Boulevard to the northern boundary of Bernalillo County, then proceeding west along the northern boundary of Bernalillo County to the eastern boundary of Valencia County.

To reflect these changes, the table in § 1.3(d) of the Customs Regulations (19 CFR 1.3(d)) is amended by deleting "Albuquerque N. Mex." from the column headed "Customs stations" and "El Paso." from the column headed "Ports of entry having supervision" in the El Paso, Texas, district, and the table in § 1.2(c) of the Customs Regulations (19 CFR 1.2(c)) is amended by inserting "Albuquerque, New Mexico (including the territory described in T.D. 74-304)" directly below "EL PASO, TEX. (T.D. 54407)." in the column headed "Ports of entry" in the El Paso, Texas, Customs district (Region VI).

(Sec. 1, 37 Stat. 434, sec. 1, 38 Stat. 623, as amended (19 U.S.C. 1, 2))

It is desirable to make the benefits of this designation of Albuquerque, New Mexico, as a Customs port of entry available to the public as soon as possible. Therefore, good cause is found for dispensing with the delayed effective date provision of 5 U.S.C. 553(d).

Effective date. This amendment shall be effective December 16, 1974.

Dated: December 9, 1974.

[SEAL] DAVID R. MACDONALD,
Assistant Secretary of the Treasury.

[FR Doc.74-29211 Filed 12-13-74;8:45 am]

Title 21—Food and Drugs

CHAPTER I—FOOD AND DRUG ADMINISTRATION; DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH, EDUCATION AND WELFARE

SUBCHAPTER C—DRUGS

PART 135—NEW ANIMAL DRUGS

PART 135e—NEW ANIMAL DRUGS FOR USE IN ANIMAL FEEDS

Tylosin

The Commissioner of Food and Drugs has evaluated a new animal drug application (99-098V), filed by FS Services, Inc., Bloomington, IL 61701, proposing the safe and effective use of a tylosin premix in the manufacture of swine feed. The application is approved.

To facilitate referencing, the firm is being assigned a sponsor code number and placed in the list of firms in 21 CFR 135.501(c).

Therefore, pursuant to provisions of the Federal Food, Drug, and Cosmetic Act (sec. 512(i), 82 Stat. 347; 21 U.S.C. 360b(i)) and under authority delegated to the Commissioner (21 CFR 2.120), Parts 135 and 135e are amended as follows:

1. In § 135.501(c) by adding a new sponsor as follows:

§ 135.501 **Names, addresses, and code numbers of sponsors of approved applications.**

* * * * *

(c) * * *

Code No.:	*Firm name and address*
*	* * * *
105	FS Services, Inc., 1701 Towanda Ave., Bloomington, IL 61701.
*	* * * *

2. In § 135e.10(b) by adding an additional approval as follows:

§ 135e.10 **Tylosin.**

* * * * *

(b) * * *

(27) To 105: 40 grams per pound, item 4.

* * * * *

Effective date. This order shall be effective December 16, 1974.

(Sec. 512(i), 82 Stat. 347; (21 U.S.C. 360b (i))

Dated: December 9, 1974.

C. D. VAN HOUWELING,
Director, Bureau of Veterinary Medicine.

[FR Doc.74-29180 Filed 12-13-74;8:45 am]

Title 28—Judicial Administration

CHAPTER I—DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE

[Order No. 585-74]

PART 9a—CONFISCATION OF PROPERTY, INCLUDING MONEY, USED IN AN ILLEGAL GAMBLING BUSINESS

Remission or Mitigation of Forfeitures

This order makes a technical amendment to the regulations on remission or mitigation of forfeitures to reflect a change in assignment of functions to sections within the Criminal Division.

By virtue of the authority vested in me by 28 U.S.C. 509, 510, 5 U.S.C. 301, and 18 U.S.C. 1955(d), § 9a.7 of Part 9a of Chapter I of Title 28, Code of Federal Regulations, is amended by deleting the words "Narcotics and Dangerous Drug Section" each place where they appear and inserting in their place the words "Special Litigation Section."

Dated: December 6, 1974.

WILLIAM B. SAXBE,
Attorney General.

[FR Doc.74-29203 Filed 12-13-74;8:45 am]

Title 29—Labor

CHAPTER V—WAGE AND HOUR DIVISION, DEPARTMENT OF LABOR

PART 613—JEWELRY AND MISCELLANEOUS PRODUCTS MANUFACTURING INDUSTRY IN PUERTO RICO

Wage Order

Pursuant to sections 5, 6, and 8 of the Fair Labor Standards Act of 1938 (52 Stat. 1062, 1064), as amended (29 U.S.C. 205, 206, 208), including the Fair Labor Standards Amendments of 1974 (Pub. L. 93-259; 54 Stat. 35), and Reorganization Plan No. 6 of 1950 (3 CFR 1949-53 comp., p. 1004), and by means of Administrative Order No. 634 (39 FR 30941), the Secretary of Labor appointed and convened Industry Committee No. 119-B for the Jewelry and Miscellaneous Products Manufacturing Industry in Puerto Rico, referred to the Committee the question of the minimum rate or rates of wages to be paid under sections 6 (a), (b) and (c) of the Act to such employees, and gave notice of a hearing to be held by the Committee.

Subsequent to an investigation and a hearing conducted pursuant to the notice, the Committee has filed with the Administrator of the Wage and Hour Division of the Department of Labor a report containing its findings of fact and recommendations with respect to the matters referred to it.

Accordingly, as authorized and required by section 8 of the Fair Labor Standards Act of 1938, Reorganization Plan No. 6 of 1950, and 29 CFR 511.18, the recommendations of Industry Committee No. 119-B are hereby published, revising §§ 613.1 and 613.2 of Part 613, Title 29, Code of Federal Regulations. The increase in future wage rates prescribed by sections 6(a), (b), (c)(2)(B) and (c)(5) of the 1974 Fair Labor Standards Amendments are set forth in this wage order. The title to Part 613 is changed to conform to the description used by the Industry Committee.

1. As amended the title to Part 613 reads as set forth above.

2. As revised, §§ 613.1 and 613.2 read as follows:

§ 613.1 **Definition.**

(a) The Jewelry and Miscellaneous Products Manufacturing Industry in Puerto Rico is defined as the manufacture of jewelry and jewelry products including the processing of natural or synthetic stone for jewelry or industrial use; the manufacture of artificial flowers except those made of molded plastic, party favors and similar products, straw, hair and related products; and all other manufacturing activities which are not included in definitions of other manufacturing industries in Puerto Rico for which wage orders have been issued: *Provided, however,* That the industry shall not include any activity carried on by an establishment primarily engaged in another industry in Puerto Rico for its own use.

(b) This industry includes, but is not limited to, the manufacture of jewelry and jewelry findings, rosaries, beads, buttons, buckles, and hair ornaments and accessories; the processing of stones for jewelry or industrial use; the manufacture of flowers, buds, berries, foliage, leaves, fruits, plants, stems and branches which are commonly or commercially known as artificial; the manufacture of party favors and ornaments and decorations for holidays except those made of molded plastics or metal other than metallic chenille, foil or tinsel; and the manufacture of products made wholly or chiefly of straw, raffia, sisal, maguey, palm leaves, rushes, grasses, hair bristles, feathers and similar materials.

§ 613.2 **Wage rates.**

Wages at rates not less than those prescribed in this section shall be paid under subsection 6(c) of the Fair Labor Standards Act of 1938 by every employer to each of his employees in each of the classifications in the jewelry and miscellaneous products manufacturing industry in Puerto Rico who in any workweek is engaged in commerce or in the production of goods for commerce or is employed in an enterprise engaged in commerce or in the production of goods for commerce as those terms are defined in section 3 of the Act.

(a) *Jewelry, novelty, decoration, straw, hair and related products sector of the industry*—(1) *Pre-1961 coverage classification.* The classifications for pre-1961 coverage apply to all activities in this sector of the industry to which section 6 of the Fair Labor Standards Act applied prior to the Fair Labor Standards Amendments of 1961.

(i) *Gem stone, industrial jewel and precious jewelry classification.* (A) The minimum rate for this classification is $2 an hour for the period ending December 31, 1974. Since the mainland rate has been attained, the rates specified in section 6(a)(1) now apply, namely, $2.10 an hour during the year ending December 31, 1975, and $2.30 an hour thereafter (section 6(c)(5)).

(B) This classification is defined as the sawing, cutting, grinding, polishing, and other processing of gem diamonds, other precious and semi-precious stones, synthetic stones used for decorative purposes, and natural or synthetic jewels for industrial use, including, but without limitation, jewel bearings, industrial diamonds, and the processing of precious and synthetic stones as components of phonograph needles and the attachment of such jewels to metal phonograph needle components, but not including the production of such metal components; and the manufacture of jewelry and other personal ornaments from precious metals with or without precious stones.

(ii) *Metal expansion watch band classification.* (A) The minimum rate for this classification is $2 an hour for the period ending December 31, 1974. Since the mainland rate has been attained, the rates specified in section 6(a)(1) now apply, namely, $2.10 an hour during the year ending December 31, 1975, and $2.30 an hour thereafter (section 6(c)(5)).

(B) This classification is defined as the fabrication or partial fabrication of metal expansion bands or expansion bracelets for watches or other uses.

(iii) *Hair ornaments and accessories classification.* (A) The minimum rate for this classification is $2 an hour for the period ending December 31, 1974. Since the mainland rate has been attained, the rates specified in section 6(a)(1) now apply, namely, $2.10 an hour during the year ending December 31, 1975, and $2.30 an hour thereafter (section 6(c)(5)).

(B) This classification is defined as the manufacture of combs, barrettes,

bobby pins, hair clips, hair rollers, hair wavers, and other hair ornaments and accessories.

(iv) *Emblematic and military insignia classification.* (A) The minimum rate for this classification is $2 an hour for the period ending December 31, 1974. Since the mainland rate has been attained, the rates specified in section 6(a)(1) now apply, namely, $2.10 an hour during the year ending December 31, 1975, and $2.30 an hour thereafter (section 6(c)(5)).

(B) This classification is defined as the manufacture or partial manufacture of emblems and military insignia other than from precious metals.

(v) *Straw, hair and related products classification.* (A) The minimum wage rate for this classification is $1.54 an hour. Unless otherwise provided, the wage rates in this section are increased by $.15 an hour on May 1, 1975, and on May 1 of each subsequent year until the mainland rate is reached pursuant to section 6(c)(2) of the Act.

(B) This classification is defined as the manufacture of products made wholly or chiefly of straw, raffia, sisal, maguey, palm leaves, rushes, grasses, hair, bristles, feathers, and similar materials.

(vi) *Other related products and activities classification.* (A) The minimum wage rate for this classification is $1.75 an hour. Unless otherwise provided, the wage rates in this section are increased by $.15 an hour on May 1, 1975, and on May 1 of each subsequent year until the mainland rate is reached pursuant to section 6(c)(2) of the Act.

(B) This classification is defined as all products and activities not included in any other pre-1961 coverage classification in this sector of the industry, including but not limited to the manufacture or assembly of buttons and buckles, artificial flowers, decorations, party favors, rosaries and native and costume jewelry.

(2) *1961 coverage classification.* (i) The minimum rate for this classification is $2 an hour for the period ending December 31, 1974. Since the mainland rate has been attained, the rates specified in section 6(a)(1) now apply, namely, $2.10 an hour during the year ending December 31, 1975, and $2.30 an hour thereafter (section 6(c)(5)).

(ii) This classification is defined as all products and activities in this sector of the industry to which section 6 of the Act applies only by reason of the Fair Labor Standards Act Amendments of 1961.

(3) *1966 coverage classification.* (i) The minimum rate for this classification is $1.90 an hour for the period ending December 31, 1974. Since the mainland rate has been attained, the rates specified in section 6(b) now apply, namely $2 an hour during the year ending December 31, 1975; $2.20 an hour during the year ending December 31, 1976; and $2.30 an hour thereafter (section 6(c)(5)).

(ii) This classification is defined as all products and activities in this sector of the industry to which section 6 of the Act applies only by reason of the Fair Labor Standards Act Amendments of 1966.

(b) *Other miscellaneous products manufacturing sector of the industry—* (i) *Pre-1966 coverage classification.* (A) The minimum rate for this classification is $2 an hour for the period ending December 31, 1974. Since the mainland rate has been attained, the rates specified in section 6(a)(1) now apply, namely, $2.10 an hour during the year ending December 31, 1975, and $2.30 an hour thereafter (section 6(c)(5)).

(B) This classification is defined as all manufacturing activities not included within the classifications of the jewelry, novelty, decoration, straw, hair and related products sector of this industry or the definitions of other manufacturing industries in Puerto Rico for which wage orders have been issued, to which section 6 of the Act applied prior to the Fair Labor Standards Act Amendments of 1966.

(ii) *1966 coverage classification.* (A) The minimum wage rate for this classification is $1.75 an hour. Unless otherwise provided, the wage rates in this section are increased by $.15 an hour on May 1, 1975, and on May 1 of each subsequent year until the mainland rate is reached pursuant to section 6(c)(2) of the Act.

(B) This classification is defined as all manufacturing activities not included within the classifications of the jewelry, novelty, decoration, straw, hair and related products sector of this industry or the definitions of other manufacturing industries in Puerto Rico for which wage orders have been issued, to which section 6 of the Act applies solely by reason of the Fair Labor Standards Amendments of 1966.

(Secs. 5, 6, 8, 52 Stat. 1062, 1064, as amended; 29 U.S.C. 205, 206, 208)

Effective date: These amendments shall become effective December 31, 1974.

Signed at Washington, D.C., this 12th day of December, 1974.

BETTY SOUTHARD MURPHY,
Administrator,
Wage and Hour Division.

[FR Doc.74-29350 Filed 12-13-74;8:45 am]

PART 617—MILITARY HATS AND CAPS INDUSTRY IN PUERTO RICO

Wage Order

Pursuant to sections 5, 6, and 8 of the Fair Labor Standards Act of 1938 (52 Stat. 1062, 1064, as amended (29 U.S.C. 205, 206, 208)), including the Fair Labor Standards Amendments of 1974 (Pub. L. 93-259; 54 Stat. 35), and Reorganization Plan No. 6 of 1950 (3 CFR 1949-53 Comp., p. 1004), and by means of Administrative Order No. 635 (39 FR 37551), the Secretary of Labor appointed and convened Industry Committee No. 124-A for the Military Hats and Caps Industry in Puerto Rico, referred to the Committee the question of the minimum rate or rates of wages to be paid under sections 6(a), (b) and (c) of the Act to such employees, and gave notice of a hearing to be held by the Committee.

Subsequent to an investigation and a hearing conducted pursuant to the notice, the Committee has filed with the Administrator of the Wage and Hour Division of the Department of Labor a report containing its findings of fact and recommendations with respect to the matters referred to it.

Accordingly, as authorized and required by section 8 of the Fair Labor Standards Act of 1938, Reorganization Plan No. 6 of 1950, and 29 CFR 511.18, the recommendations of Industry Committee No. 124-A are hereby published. This industry, formerly included in a classification in Part 615, is now made a new Part 617 in Title 29, Code of Federal Regulations. The increases in future wage rates prescribed by sections 6(a), (b), (c)(2)(B) and (c)(5) of the 1974 Fair Labor Standards Amendments are set forth in this wage order.

The new Part 617 reads as follows:

Sec.
617.1 Definition.
617.2 Wage rates.
617.3 Notices.

AUTHORITY: Secs. 5, 6, 8, 52 Stat. 1062, 1064; 29 U.S.C. 205, 206, 208.

§ 617.2 Definition.

The military hats and caps industry in Puerto Rico is defined as the manufacture of military hats and caps.

§ 617.2 Wage rates.

Wages at rates not less than those prescribed in this section shall be paid under section 6(c) of the Fair Labor Standards Act of 1938 by every employer to each of his employees in each of the classifications in the industry who in any work week is engaged in commerce or in the production of goods for commerce or is employed in an enterprise engaged in commerce or in the production of goods for commerce as those terms are defined in section 3 of the Act.

(a) *Pre-1966 Coverage Classification:* (1) The minimum wage rate for this classification is $1.90 an hour. Pursuant to section 6(c)(2) of the Act, the wage rates in this section are increased by $.15 an hour on May 1, 1975, and on May 1 of each subsequent year until the mainland rate is reached.

(2) This classification is defined as all activities in the industry to which section 6 of the Act would have applied prior to the Fair Labor Standards Amendments of 1966.

(b) *1966 Coverage Classification:* (1) The minimum rate for this classification is $1.90 an hour for the period ending December 31, 1974. Since the mainland rate has been attained, the rates specified in section 6(b) now apply, namely, $2 an hour during the year ending December 31, 1975; $2.20 an hour during the year ending December 31, 1976; and $2.30 an hour thereafter (section 6(c)(5)).

(2) This classification is defined as all activities in the industry to which section

6 of the Act applies solely by reason of the Fair Labor Standards Amendments of 1966.

§ 617.3 Notices.

Every employer subject to the provisions of § 617.2 shall post in a conspicuous place in each department of his establishment where employees subject to the provisions of § 617.2 are working such notices of this part as shall be prescribed from time to time by the Administrator of the Wage and Hour Division of the United States Department of Labor and shall give such other notice as the Administrator may prescribe.

(Secs. 5, 6, 8, 52 Stat. 1062, 1064 as amended; 29 U.S.C. 205, 206, 208)

Effective date: This part shall become effective December 31, 1974.

Signed at Washington, D.C., this 12th day of December, 1974.

BETTY SOUTHARD MURPHY,
Administrator,
Wage & Hour Division.

[FR Doc.74-29353 Filed 12-13-74;8:45 am]

PART 657—TOBACCO MANUFACTURES INDUSTRY IN PUERTO RICO

Wage Order

Pursuant to sections 5, 6, and 8 of the Fair Labor Standards Act of 1938 (52 Stat. 1062, 1064, as amended (29 U.S.C. 205, 206, 208), including the Fair Labor Standards Amendments of 1974 (Pub. L. 93-259; 54 Stat. 35), and Reorganization Plan No. 6 of 1950 (3 CFR 1949-53 Comp., p. 1004), and by means of Administrative Order No. 634 (39 FR 30941), the Secretary of Labor appointed and convened Industry Committee No. 121 for the Tobacco Manufacturers Industry in Puerto Rico, referred to the Committee the question of the minimum rate or rates of wages to be paid under sections 6(a), (b) and (c) of the Act to such employees, and gave notice of a hearing to be held by the Committee.

Subsequent to an investigation and a hearing conducted pursuant to the notice, the Committee has filed with the Administrator of the Wage and Hour Division of the Department of Labor a report containing its findings of fact and recommendations with respect to the matters referred to it.

Accordingly, as authorized and required by section 8 of the Fair Labor Standards Act of 1938, Reorganization Plan No. 6 of 1950, and 29 CFR 511.18, the recommendations of Industry Committee No. 121 are hereby published, amending § 657.2 of Part 657, Title 29 Code of Federal Regulations. The increases in future wage rates prescribed by sections 6(a), (b), (c)(2)(B) and (c)(5) of the 1974 Fair Labor Standards Amendments are set forth in this wage order. The title to Part 657 is changed to conform to the description used by the Industry Committee. A minor editorial change is made in § 657.3.

1. As amended, the title to Part 657 reads as set forth above.

PART 657—TOBACCO MANUFACTURES INDUSTRY IN PUERTO RICO

2. As amended, §§ 657.2 and 657.3 read as follows:

§ 657.2 Wage rates.

* * * * *

(a) *Pre-1961 coverage classifications.*

* * *

(1) *Filler tobacco processing classification.* (i) The minimum wage for this classification is $1.29 an hour for the period ending April 30, 1975. Thereafter, on May 1, 1975, the wage rate, pursuant to section 6(c)(2) of the Act, is increased $.12 an hour to $1.41 and then, on May 1 of each subsequent year, $.15 an hour until the mainland rate is attained pursuant to section 6(c) of the Act.

* * * * *

(2) *Wrapper type tobacco processing classification.* (i) The minimum wage for this classification is $1.52 an hour for the period ending April 30, 1975. Unless otherwise provided, the wage rate is increased $.15 an hour on May 1, 1975, and on May 1 of each subsequent year until the mainland rate is attained pursuant to section 6(c)(2) of the Act.

* * * * *

(3) *Machine threshing, other operations classification.* (i) The minimum rate for this classification is $1.74 an hour for the period ending April 30, 1975. Unless otherwise provided, the wage rate is increased $.15 an hour May 1, 1975, and on May 1 of each subsequent year until the mainland rate is attained pursuant to section 6(c)(2) of the Act.

* * * * *

(4) *Cigarette classification.* (i) The minimum rate for this classification is $2.00 an hour for the period ending December 31, 1974. Since the mainland rate has been attained, the rates specified in section 6(a)(1) now apply, namely, $2.10 an hour during the year ending December 31, 1975, and $2.30 an hour thereafter (Section 6(c)(5)).

* * * * *

(5) *Other products and activities classification.* (i) The minimum rate for this classification is $1.81 an hour for the period ending April 30, 1975. Unless otherwise provided, the wage rate is increased $.15 an hour on May 1, 1975, and on May 1 of each subsequent year until the mainland rate is attained pursuant to section 6(c)(2) of the Act.

(ii) This classification is defined as the manufacture of cigars and all other products and activities in the industry except products and activities included in any other classification in this industry.

(b) *1961 coverage classification.* (1) The minimum rate for this classification is $2 an hour for the period ending December 31, 1974. Since the mainland rate has been attained, the rates specified in section 6(a)(1) now apply, namely, $2.10 an hour during the year ending December 31, 1975, and $2.30 an hour thereafter (Section 6(c)(5)).

* * * * *

(c) *1966 coverage classification.* (1) The minimum rate for this classification is $1.90 an hour for the period ending December 31, 1974. Since the mainland rate has been attained, the rates specified in section 6(b) now apply, namely, $2 an hour during the year ending December 31, 1975; $2.20 an hour during the year ending December 31, 1976; and $2.30 an hour thereafter (Section 6(c)(5)).

* * * * *

§ 657.3 Notices.

Every employer subject to the provisions of § 657.2 shall post in a conspicuous place in each department of his establishment where employees subject to the provisions of § 657.2 are working such notice of this part as shall be prescribed from time to time by the Administrator of the Wage and Hour Division of the United States Department of Labor and shall give such other notice as the Administrator may prescribe.

(Secs. 5, 6, 8, 52 Stat. 1062, 1064 as amended; 29 U.S.C. 205, 206, 208)

Effective date. These amendments are effective December 31, 1974.

Signed at Washington, D.C., this 12th day of December, 1974.

BETTY SOUTHARD MURPHY,
Administrator,
Wage and Hour Division.

[FR Doc.74-29345 Filed 12-13-74;8:45 am]

PART 661—BANKING, INSURANCE AND FINANCE INDUSTRY IN PUERTO RICO

Wage Order

Pursuant to sections 5, 6, and 8 of the Fair Labor Standards Act of 1938 (52 Stat. 1062, 1064, as amended (29 U.S.C. 205, 206, 208)), including the Fair Labor Standards Amendments of 1974 (Pub. L. 93-259; 54 Stat. 35), and Reorganization Plan No. 6 of 1950 (3 CFR 1949-53 Comp., p. 1004), and by means of Administrative Order No. 635 (39 FR 37551), the Secretary of Labor appointed and convened Industry Committee No. 124-B for the Banking, Insurance and Finance Industry in Puerto Rico, referred to the Committee the question of the minimum rate or rates of wages to be paid under sections 6(a), (b) and (c) of the Act to such employees, and gave notice of a hearing to be held by the Committee.

Subsequent to an investigation and a hearing conducted pursuant to the notice, the Committee has filed with the Administrator of the Wage and Hour Division of the Department of Labor a report containing its findings of fact and recommendations with respect to the matters referred to it.

Accordingly, as authorized and required by section 8 of the Fair Labor Standards Act of 1938, Reorganization Plan No. 6 of 1950, and 29 CFR 511.18,

the recommendations of Industry Committee No. 124–B are hereby published, amending paragraphs (a) and (b) of § 661.2 of Part 661, Title 29, Code of Federal Regulations. The increases in future wage rates prescribed by sections 6(a) and (b) of the 1974 Fair Labor Standards Amendments are set forth in this wage order.

1. As amended, § 661.2 reads as follows:

§ 661.2 Wage rates.

* * * * *

(a) *Pre-1966 coverage classification.* (1) The minimum rate for this classification is $2 an hour for the period ending December 31, 1974. Since the mainland rate has been attained, the rates specified in section 6(a)(1) now apply, namely, $2.10 an hour during the year ending December 31, 1975, and $2.30 an hour thereafter (section 6(c)(5)).

(2) This classification is defined as all activities in the industry to which Section 6 of the Act would have applied prior to the Fair Labor Standards Amendments of 1966.

(b) *1966 coverage classification.* (1) The minimum rate for this classification is $1.90 an hour for the period ending December 31, 1974. Since the mainland rate has been attained, the rates specified in section 6(b) now apply, namely, $2 an hour during the year ending December 31, 1975; $2.20 an hour during the year ending December 31, 1976; and $2.30 an hour thereafter (section 6(c)(5)).

(2) This classification is defined as all activities in the industry to which Section 6 of the Act applies solely by reason of the Fair Labor Standards Amendments of 1966.

(Secs. 5, 6, 8, 52 Stat. 1062, 1064, as amended; 29 U.S.C. 205, 206, 208)

Effective date. This amendment shall become effective December 31, 1974.

Signed at Washington, D.C., this 12th day of December, 1974.

BETTY SOUTHARD MURPHY,
Administrator,
Wage and Hour Division.

[FR Doc.74-29344 Filed 12-13-74;8:45 am]

PART 675—FURNITURE AND FIXTURES AND LUMBER AND WOOD PRODUCTS INDUSTRY IN PUERTO RICO

Wage Order

Pursuant to sections 5, 6, and 8 of the Fair Labor Standards Act of 1938 (52 Stat. 1062, 1064, as amended (29 U.S.C. 205, 206, 208)), including the Fair Labor Standards Amendments of 1974 (Pub. L. 93–259; 54 Stat. 35), and Reorganization Plan No. 6 of 1950 (3 CFR 1949–53 Comp., p. 1004), and by means of Administrative Order No. 634 (39 FR 30941), the Secretary of Labor appointed and convened Industry Committee No. 120–A for the Furniture and Fixtures and Lumber and Wood Products Industry in Puerto Rico, referred to the Committee the question of the minimum rate or rates of wages to be paid under sections 6(a), (b) and (c) of the Act to such employees, and gave notice of a hearing to be held by the Committee.

Subsequent to an investigation and a hearing conducted pursuant to the notice, the Committee has filed with the Administrator of the Wage and Hour Division of the Department of Labor a report containing its findings of fact and recommendations with respect to the matters referred to it.

Accordingly, as authorized and required by section 8 of the Fair Labor Standards Act of 1938, Reorganization Plan No. 6 of 1950, and 29 CFR 511.18, the recommendations of Industry Committee No. 120–A are hereby published, revising §§ 675.1 and 675.2 of Part 675, Title 29, Code of Federal Regulations. The increases in future wage rates prescribed by sections 6(a), (b), (c)(2)(B) and (c)(5) of the 1974 Fair Labor Standards Amendments are set forth in this wage order. The title to Part 675 is changed to conform to the description used by the Industry Committee. A minor editorial change is made in § 675.3.

1. As amended, the title to Part 675 reads as set forth above.

2. As revised, § 675.1, paragraphs (a) and (b) of § 675.2 and § 675.3 read as follows:

§ 675.1 Definition.

(a) The furniture and fixtures and lumber and wood products industry is defined as the manufacture of household, office, public building, and restaurant furniture, and office and store fixtures; and the manufacture of products made from lumber, wood and related materials; and logging and wood preserving: *Provided, however,* That the industry shall not include any product or activity in the metal, machinery, transportation equipment, and allied products industry; the jewelry and miscellaneous products manufacturing industry; the construction industry; or the paper, paper products, printing and publishing industry.

(b) The industry includes, without limitation, furniture, office and store fixtures, mattresses and bedsprings, boxes and containers, cooperage, window and door screens and blinds, caskets and coffins, matches sawmill products planing and plywood mill products, charcoal; trays, bowls, and other woodenware; excelsior cork bamboo rattan and willowware articles.

§ 675.2 Wage rates.

* * * * *

(a) *Pre-1966 coverage classification.* (1) The minimum wage rate for this classification is $1.80 an hour. Unless otherwise provided the wage rates in this section are increased by .15 an hour on May 1, 1975, and on May 1 of each subsequent year until the mainland rate is reached pursuant to section 6(c)(2) of the Act.

(2) This classification is defined as all activities in the industry to which section 6 of the Act would have applied prior to the Fair Labor Standards Amendments of 1966.

(b) *1966 coverage classification.* (1) The minimum rate for this classification is $1.80 an hour. Unless otherwise provided, the wage rates in this section are increased by .15 an hour on May 1, 1975, and on May 1 of each subsequent year until the mainland rate is reached pursuant to section 6(c)(2) of the Act.

§ 675.3 Notices.

Every employer subject to the provisions of § 675.2 shall post in a conspicuous place in each department of his establishment where employees subject to the provisions of § 675.2 are working such notices of this part as shall be prescribed from time to time by the Administrator of the Wage and Hour Division of the United States Department of Labor and shall give such other notice as the Administrator may prescribe.

(Secs. 5, 6, 8, 52 Stat. 1062, 1064 as amended; 29 U.S.C. 205, 206, 208)

Effective date. This revision is effective December 31, 1974.

Signed at Washington, D.C., this 12th day of December, 1974.

BETTY SOUTHARD MURPHY,
Administrator,
Wage and Hour Division.

[FR Doc.74-29343 Filed 12-13-74;8:45 am]

PART 677—PAPER, PAPER PRODUCTS, PRINTING AND PUBLISHING INDUSTRY IN PUERTO RICO

Wage Order

Pursuant to sections 5, 6, and 8 of the Fair Labor Standards Act of 1938 (52 Stat. 1062, 1064, as amended (29 U.S.C. 205, 206, 208)), including the Fair Labor Standards Amendments of 1974 (Pub. L. 93–259; 54 Stat. 35), and Reorganization Plan No. 6 of 1950 (3 CFR 1949–53 Comp., p. 1004), and by means of Administrative Order No. 635 (39 FR 37551), the Secretary of Labor appointed and convened Industry Committee No. 124–B for the Paper, Paper Products, Printing and Publishing Industry in Puerto Rico, referred to the Committee the question of the minimum rate or rates of wages to be paid under sections 6(a), (b) and (c) of the Act to such employees, and gave notice of a hearing to be held by the Committee.

Subsequent to an investigation and a hearing conducted pursuant to the notice, the Committee has filed with the Administrator of the Wage and Hour Division of the Department of Labor a report containing its findings of fact and recommendations with respect to the matters referred to it.

Accordingly, as authorized and required by section 8 of the Fair Labor Standards Act of 1938, Reorganization Plan No. 6 of 1950, and 29 CFR 511.18, the recommendations of Industry Committee No. 124–B are hereby published, revising paragraphs (a) and (b) of § 677.2 of Part 677, Title 29, Code of Federal Regulations. The revision has the effect of combining paragraphs (a) and (b) and changing (c) to (b), thus eliminating paragraph (c). The increases in future wage rates prescribed by sections 6(a) and (b) of the 1974 Fair Labor

Standards Amendments are set forth in this wage order.

As revised, paragraphs (a) and (b) of § 677.2 read as follows:

§ 677.2 Wage rates.

* * * * *

(a) *Pre-1966 coverage classification.* (1) The minimum rate for this classification is $2.00 an hour for the period ending December 31, 1974. Since the mainland rate has been attained, the rates specified in section 6(a)(1) now apply, namely, $2.10 an hour during the year ending December 31, 1975, and $2.30 an hour thereafter (section 6(c)(5)).

(2) This classification is defined as all activities in the industry to which section 6 of the Act would have applied prior to the Fair Labor Standards Amendments of 1966.

(b) *1966 coverage classification.* (1) The minimum rate for this classification is $1.90 an hour for the period ending December 31, 1974. Since the mainland rate has been attained, the rates specified in section 6(b) now apply, namely, $2.00 an hour during the year ending December 31, 1975; $2.20 an hour during the year ending December 31, 1976; and $2.30 an hour thereafter (section 6(c)(5)).

(2) This classification is defined as all activities in the industry to which section 6 of the Act applies solely by reason of the Fair Labor Standards Amendments of 1966.

(Secs. 5, 6, 8, 52 Stat. 1062, 1064, as amended; 29 U.S.C. 205, 206, 208)

Effective date. These amendments shall become effective December 31, 1974.

Signed at Washington, D.C., this 12th day of December 1974.

BETTY SOUTHARD MURPHY,
Administrator,
Wage and Hour Division.

[FR Doc.74-29349 Filed 12-13-74;8:45 am]

PART 678—STONE, CLAY AND GLASS PRODUCTS AND NONMETALLIC MINING INDUSTRY IN PUERTO RICO

Wage Order

Pursuant to sections 5, 6, and 8 of the Fair Labor Standards Act of 1938 (52 Stat. 1062, 1064, as amended (29 U.S.C. 205, 206, 208), including the Fair Labor Standards Amendments of 1974 (Pub. L. 93-259; 54 Stat. 35), and Reorganization Plan No. 6 of 1950 (3 CFR 1949-53 Comp., p. 1004), and by means of Administrative Order No. 634 (39 FR 3u941), the Secretary of Labor appointed and convened Industry Committee No. 120-B for the Stone, Clay and Glass Products and Nonmetalic Mining Industry in Puerto Rico, referred to the Committee the question of the minimum rate or rates of wages to be paid under sections 6 (a), (b) and (c) of the Act to such employees, and gave notice of a hearing to be held by the Committee.

Subsequent to an investigation and a hearing conducted pursuant to the notice, the Committee has filed with the Administrator of the Wage and Hour Division of the Department of Labor a report containing its findings of fact and recommendations with respect to the matters referred to it.

Accordingly, as authorized and required by section 8 of the Fair Labor Standards Act of 1938, Reorganization Plan No. 6 of 1950, and 29 CFR 511.18, the recommendations of Industry Committee No. 120-B are hereby published, revising §§ 678.1 and 678.2 of Part 678, Title 29, Code of Federal Regulations. The increases in future wage rates prescribed by sections 6(a), (b), (c)(2) and (c)(5) of the 1974 Fair Labor Standards Amendments are set forth in this wage order. The title to Part 678 is changed to conform to the description used by the Industry Committee. A minor editorial change is made in § 678.3.

1. As amended, the title to Part 678 reads as set forth above.

2. As revised, § 678.1, paragraphs (a), (b) and (c) of § 678.2 and § 678.3 read as follows:

§ 678.1 Definition.

The stone, clay and glass products and nonmetallic mining industry in Puerto Rico is defined as the manufacture from nonmetallic mineral products such as, but not limited to, structural clay products, china, pottery, tile and other ceramic products and refractories; glass and glass products (except lenses); dimension and cut stone; crushed stone; sand and gravel; hydraulic cement; abrasives, lime, concrete, gypsum, mica, plaster and asbestos; and the mining, quarrying, or other extraction and further processing of nonmetallic minerals, except chemical and fertilizer minerals and fossil fuels: *Provided, however,* That the industry shall not include any product or activity included in the jewelry and miscellaneous products manufacturing industry; the construction industry; the metal, machinery, transportation equipment and allied products industry; or the chemical, petroleum and related products industry.

§ 678.2 Wage rates.

(a) *Pre-1961 coverage classifications.* The classifications for pre-1961 coverage apply to all activities in the industry to which section 6 of the Act would have applied prior to the Fair Labor Standards Amendments of 1961.

(1) *Vitreous and semivitreous china food utensils classification.* (i) The minimum wage for this classification is $1.83 an hour. Pursuant to section 6(c)(2) of the Act, the wage rate is increased by $.15 an hour on May 1, 1975, and on May 1 of each subsequent year until the mainland rate is attained.

(ii) This classification is defined as the manufacture of vitreous and semivitreous china table and kitchen articles for use in households and hotels, restaurants and other commercial institutions for preparing, serving or storing food or drink, except that this classification does not include products in the art pottery classification.

(2) *Art pottery classification.* (i) The minimum wage for this classification is $1.37 an hour for the period ending April 30, 1975; $1.52 an hour beginning May 1, 1975. Thereafter, on May 1 of each subsequent year the wage rate is increased $.15 an hour until the mainland rate is attained pursuant to section 6(c)(2) of the Act.

(ii) This classification is defined as the manufacture of hand-decorated pottery.

(3) *Other products and activities classification.* (i) The minimum rate for this classification is $2.00 an hour for the period ending December 31, 1974. Since the mainland rate has been attained, the rates specified in section 6(a)(1) now apply, namely, $2.10 an hour during the year ending December 31, 1975, and $2.30 an hour thereafter (section 6(c)(5)).

(b) *1961 coverage classification.* (1) The minimum rate for this classification is $2.00 an hour for the period ending December 31, 1974. Since the mainland rate has been attained, the rates specified in section 6(a)(1) now apply, namely, $2.10 an hour during the year ending December 31, 1975, and $2.30 an hour thereafter (section 6(c)(5)).

(2) This classification is defined as all activities in the industry to which section 6 of the Act applies solely by reason of the Fair Labor Standards Amendments of 1961.

(c) *1966 coverage classification.* (1) The minimum rate for this classification is $1.90 an hour for the period ending December 31, 1974. Since the mainland rate has been attained, the rates specified in section 6(b) now apply, namely $2.00 an hour during the year ending December 31, 1975; $2.20 an hour during the year ending December 31, 1976; and $2.30 an hour thereafter (section 6(c)(5)).

(2) This classification is defined as all activities in the industry to which section 6 of the Act applies solely by reason of the Fair Labor Standards Amendments of 1966.

§ 678.3 Notices.

Every employer subject to the provisions of § 678.2 shall post in a conspicuous place in each department of his establishment where employees subject to the provisions of § 678.2 are working such notices of this part as shall be prescribed from time to time by the Administrator of the Wage and Hour Division of the United States Department of Labor and shall give such other notice as the Administrator may prescribe.

(Secs. 5, 6, 8 52 Stat. 1062, 1064 as amended; 29 U.S.C. 205, 106, 108.)

Effective date. These amendments are effective December 31, 1974.

Signed at Washington, D.C., this 12th day of December, 1974.

BETTY SOUTHARD MURPHY,
Administrator,
Wage and Hour Division.

[FR Doc.74-29346 Filed 12-13-74;8:45 am]

PART 671—COMMUNICATIONS, UTILITIES AND TRANSPORTATION INDUSTRY IN PUERTO RICO

Wage Order

Pursuant to sections 5, 6, and 8 of the Fair Labor Standards Act of 1938 (52 Stat. 1062, 1064, as amended (29 U.S.C. 205, 206, 208)), including the Fair Labor Standards Amendments of 1974 (Pub. L. 93–259; 54 Stat. 35), and Reorganization Plan No. 6 of 1950 (3 CFR 1949–53 Comp., p. 1004), and by means of Administrative Order No. 635 (39 FR 87551), the Secretary of Labor appointed and convened Industry Committee No. 124–B for the Communications, Utilities and Transportation Industry in Puerto Rico, referred to the Committee the question of the minimum rate or rates to be paid under sections 6(a), (b) and (c) of the Act to such employees, and gave notice of a hearing to be held by the Committee.

Subsequent to an investigation and a hearing conducted pursuant to the notice, the Committee has filed with the Administrator of the Wage and Hour Division of the Department of Labor a report containing its findings of fact and recommendations with respect to the matters referred to it.

Accordingly, as authorized and required by section 8 of the Fair Labor Standards Act of 1938, Reorganization Plan No. 6 of 1950, and 29 CFR 511.18, the recommendations of Industry Committee No. 124–B are hereby published, revising § 671.2 of Part 671, Title 29, Code of Federal Regulations. The increases in future wage rates prescribed by sections 6(a), (b), (c)(2)(B) and (c)(5) of the 1974 Fair Labor Standards Amendments are set forth in this wage order.

As amended, § 671.2 reads as follows:

§ 671.2 Wage rates.

* * * * *

(a) *Pre-1966 coverage classifications.* The classifications for pre-1966 coverage apply to all activities in the industry to which Section 6 of Fair Labor Standards Act applied prior to the Fair Labor Standards Amendments of 1966.

(1) *Radio broadcasting classifications.* (i) The minimum wage rate for this classification is $1.95 an hour. Pursuant to section 6(c)(2) of the Act, the wage rate in this section is increased by $.15 an hour on May 1, 1975 when the mainland rate is reached. Beginning January 1, 1976, the rate is increased to $2.30 an hour (section 6(c)(5)).

(ii) This classification is defined as all activities involving the dissemination by radio to the public of aural programs.

(b) *Other activities classifications.* (1) The minimum rate for this classification is $2 an hour for the period ending December 31, 1974. Since the mainland rate has been attained, the rates specified in section 6(a)(1) now apply, namely, $2.10 an hour during the year ending December 31, 1975, and $2.30 an hour thereafter (section 6(c)(5)).

(2) This classification is defined as all activities that are not specifically included in the other pre-1966 coverage classification of the industry.

(c) *1966 Coverage Classification.* (1) The minimum rate for this classification is $1.90 an hour for the period ending December 31, 1974. Since the mainland rate has been attained, the rates specified in section 6(b) now apply, namely, $2.00 an hour during the year ending December 31, 1975; $2.20 an hour during the year ending December 31, 1976; and $2.30 an hour thereafter (Section 6(c)(5)).

(2) This classification is defined as all activities in the industry to which Section 6 of the Act applies solely by reason of the Fair Labor Standards Amendments of 1966.

(Secs. 5, 6, 8, 52 Stat. 1062, 1064, as amended; 29 U.S.C. 205, 206, 208)

Effective date: This amendment shall become effective December 31, 1974.

Signed at Washington, D.C., this 12th day of December, 1974.

BETTY SOUTHARD MURPHY,
Administrator,
Wage and Hour Division.

[FR Doc.74–29351 Filed 12–13–74;8:45 am]

PART 672—BUSINESS, PROFESSIONAL, AND MISCELLANEOUS SERVICES INDUSTRY IN PUERTO RICO

Wage Order

Pursuant to sections 5, 6, and 8 of the Fair Labor Standards Act of 1938 (52 Stat. 1062, 1064, as amended (29 U.S.C. 205, 206, 208)), including the Fair Labor Standards Amendments of 1974 (Pub. L. 93–259; 54 Stat. 35), and Reorganization Plan No. 6 of 1950 (3 CFR 1949–53 Comp., p. 1004), and by means of Administrative Order No. 635 (39 FR 37551), the Secretary of Labor appointed and convened Industry Committee No. 124–B for the Business, Professional and Miscellaneous Services Industry in Puerto Rico, referred to the Committee the question of the minimum rate or rates of wages to be paid under sections 6(a), (b) and (c) of the Act to such employees, and gave notice of a hearing to be held by the Committee.

Subsequent to an investigation and a hearing conducted pursuant to the notice, the Committee has filed with the Administrator of the Wage and Hour Division of the Department of Labor a report containing its findings of fact and recommendations with respect to the matters referred to it.

Accordingly, as authorized and required by section 8 of the Fair Labor Standards Act of 1938, Reorganization Plan No. 6 of 1950, and 29 CFR 511.18, the recommendations of Industry Committee No. 124–B are hereby published, revising §§ 672.1 and 672.2 of Part 672, Title 29, Code of Federal Regulations. The increases in future wage rates prescribed by sections 6(a), (b), (c)(2)(B) and (c)(5) of the 1974 Fair Labor Standards Amendments are set forth in this wage order. The title to Part 672 is changed to conform to the description used by the Industry Committee:

1. As amended, the title to Part 672 reads as set forth above.

2. As revised, §§ 672.1 and 672.2 read as follows:

§ 672.1 Definition.

The Business, Professional, and Miscellaneous Services Industry in Puerto Rico is defined as the activity carried on by any business or nonprofit enterprise performing real estate, professional, advertising, education, or research activities, or engaged in the furnishing of other facilities or services to industrial or commercial establishments or to the consumer; and the production of photographs and blueprints, the production and distribution of motion pictures and all activities incidental thereto; and all nonmanufacturing activities which are not included in the definitions of other industries in Puerto Rico for which wage orders have been issued: *Provided, however,* That the industry shall not include any activity carried on by an establishment primarily engaged in another industry in Puerto Rico for its own use.

§ 672.2 Wage rates.

Wages at rates not less than those prescribed in this section shall be paid under section 6(c) of the Fair Labor Standards Act of 1938 by every employer to each of his employees in each of the classifications in the industry who in any workweek is engaged in commerce or in the production of goods for commerce or is employed in an enterprise engaged in commerce or the production of goods for commerce as those terms are defined in section 3 of the Act.

(a) *Pre-1966 coverage classifications.* The clasifications for pre-1966 coverage apply to all activities in the industry to which section 6 of the Fair Labor Standards Act applied prior to the Fair Labor Standards Amendments of 1966.

(1) *Watching, protective and janitorial services classification.* (i) The minimum wage rate for this classification is $1.85 an hour. Pursuant to section 6(c)(2) of the Act, the wage rate in this section is increased by $.15 an hour on May 1, 1975, and on May 1 of each subsequent year until the mainland rate is reached.

(ii) This classification is defined as all activities of establishments providing personnel for detective, investigative, patrolling, watching or personnel protection services, including the maintenance and monitoring of mechanical protective devices; and all activities of establishments engaged in furnishing cleaning and maintenance services such as janitorial floor waxing and office cleaning.

(2) *Other activities classification.* (i) The minimum rate for this classification

is $2 an hour for the period ending December 31, 1974. Since the mainland rate has been attained, the rates specified in section 6(a)(1) now apply, namely, $2.10 an hour during the year ending December 31, 1975, and $2.30 an hour thereafter (section 6(c)(5)).

(ii) This classification is defined as all activities that are not specifically included in the other pre-1966 coverage classification of the industry.

(b) *1966 Coverage Classifications:* The classifications for 1966 coverage apply to all activities in the industry to which section 6 of the Fair Labor Standards Act applies solely by reason of the Fair Labor Standards Amendments of 1966.

(1) *Watching, protective and janitorial services classification.* (i) The minimum wage rate for this classification is $1.85 an hour. Pursuant to section 6(c)(2) of the Act, the wage rate in this section is increased by $.15 an hour on May 1, 1975, when the mainland rate is attained. Beginning January 1, 1976, pursuant to section 6(b) of the Act, the rate is increased to $2.20 an hour, and beginning January 1, 1977, the rate increases to $2.30 an hour.

(ii) This classification is defined as all activities of establishments providing personnel for detective, investigative, patrolling, watching or personnel protection services, including the maintenance and monitoring of mechanical protective devices; and all activities of establishments engaged in furnishing cleaning and maintenance services such as janitorial, floor waxing and office cleaning.

(2) *Other activities classification.* (i) The minimum rate for this classification is $1.90 an hour for the period ending December 31, 1974. Since the mainland rate has been attained, the rates specified in section 6(b) now apply, namely, $2 an hour during the year ending December 31, 1975; $2.20 an hour during the year ending December 31, 1976; and $2.30 an hour thereafter (Section 6(c)(5)).

(ii) This classification is defined as all activities that are not specifically included in the other 1966 coverage classification of the industry.

(Secs. 5, 6, 8, 52 Stat. 1062, 1064, as amended; 29 U.S.C. 205, 206, 208)

Effective date: These amendments shall become effective December 31, 1974.

Signed at Washington, D.C., this 12th day of December, 1974.

BETTY SOUTHARD MURPHY,
Administrator,
Wage and Hour Division.

[FR Doc.74-29362 Filed 12-13-74;8:45 am]

PART 683—WHOLESALING AND WAREHOUSING INDUSTRY IN PUERTO RICO

Wage Order

Pursuant to sections 5, 6, and 8 of the Fair Labor Standards Act of 1938 (52 Stat. 1062, 1064, as amended (29 U.S.C. 205, 206, 208), including the Fair Labor Standards Amendments of 1974 (Pub. L. 93-259; 54 Stat. 35), and Reorganization Plan No. 6 of 1950 (3 CFR 1949-53 Comp., p. 1004), and by means of Administrative Order No. 635 (39 FR 37551), the Secretary of Labor appointed and convened Industry Committee No. 124-B for the Wholesaling and Warehousing Industry in Puerto Rico, referred to the Committee the question of the minimum rate or rates of wages to be paid under sections 6(a), (b) and (c) of the Act to such employees, and gave notice of a hearing to be held by the Committee.

Subsequent to an investigation and a hearing conducted pursuant to the notice, the Committee has filed with the Administrator of the Wage and Hour Division of the Department of Labor a report containing its findings of fact and recommendations with respect to the matters referred to it.

Accordingly, as authorized and required by section 8 of the Fair Labor Standards Act of 1938, Reorganization Plan No. 6 of 1950, and 29 CFR 511.18, the recommendations of Industry Committee No. 124-B are hereby published, amending paragraphs (a) and (b) of § 683.2 of Part 683, Title 29, Code of Federal Regulations. The increases in future wage rates prescribed by sections 6(a) and (b), of the 1974 Fair Labor Standards Amendments are set forth in this wage order.

As amended, paragraphs (a) and (b) of § 683.2 read as follows:

§ 683.2 Wage rates.

* * * * *

(a) *Pre-1966 coverage classification.* (1) The minimum rate for this classification is $2.00 an hour for the period ending December 31, 1974. Since the mainland rate has been attained, the rates specified in section 6(a)(1) now apply, namely, $2.10 an hour during the year ending December 31, 1975, and $2.30 an hour thereafter (section 6(c)(5)).

(2) This classification is defined as all activities in the industry to which Section 6 of the Act would have applied prior to the Fair Labor Standards Amendments of 1966.

(b) *1966 coverage classification.* (1) The minimum rate for this classification is $1.90 an hour for the period ending December 31, 1974. Since the mainland rate has been attained, the rates specified in section 6(b) now apply, namely, $2.00 an hour during the year ending December 31, 1975; $2.20 an hour during the year ending December 31, 1976; and $2.30 an hour thereafter (section 6(c)(5)).

(2) This classification is defined as all activities in the industry to which Section 6 of the Act applies solely by reason of the Fair Labor Standards Amendments of 1966.

(Secs. 5, 6, 8, 52 Stat. 1062, 1064, as amended; 29 U.S.C. 205, 206, 208)

Effective date. This amendment shall become effective December 31, 1974.

Signed at Washington, D.C., this 12th of December, 1974.

BETTY SOUTHARD MURPHY,
Administrator,
Wage and Hour Division.

[FR Doc.74-29347 Filed 12-13-74;8:45 am]

PART 720—RUBBER AND MISCELLANEOUS PLASTICS PRODUCTS INDUSTRY IN PUERTO RICO

Wage Order

Pursuant to sections 5, 6, and 8 of the Fair Labor Standards Act of 1938 (52 Stat. 1062, 1064), as amended (29 U.S.C. 205, 206, 208), including the Fair Labor Standards Amendments of 1974 (Pub. L. 93-259; 54 Stat. 35), and Reorganization Plan No. 6 of 1950 (3 CFR 1949-53 Comp., p. 1004), and by means of Administrative Order No. 634 (39 FR 30941), the Secretary of Labor appointed and convened Industry Committee No. 119-A for the Rubber and Miscellaneous Plastics Products Industry in Puerto Rico, referred to the Committee the question of the minimum rate or rates of wages to be paid under sections 6(a), (b) and (c) of the Act to such employees, and gave notice of a hearing to be held by the Committee.

Subsequent to an investigation and a hearing conducted pursuant to the notice, the Committee has filed with the Administrator of the Wage and Hour Division o fthe Department of Labor a report containing its findings of fact and recommendations with respect to the matters referred to it.

Accordingly, as authorized and required by section 8 of the Fair Labor Standards Act of 1938, Reorganization Plan No. 6 of 1950, and 29 CFR 511.18, the recommendations of Industry Committee No. 119-A are hereby published, revising §§ 720.1 and 720.2 of Part 720, Title 29, Code of Federal Regulations. The increases in future wage rates prescribed by sections 6(a), (b), (c)(1)(B) and (c)(5) of the 1974 Fair Labor Standards Amendments are set forth in this wage order. The title to Part 720 is changed to conform to the description used by the Industry Committee.

1. As amended, the title to Part 720 reads as set forth above.

2. As revised, § 720.1 and paragraphs (a) and (b) of § 720.2 read as follows:

§ 720.1 Definition.

The Rubber and Miscellaneous Plastics Products Industry in Puerto Rico is defined as the manufacture from natural, synthetic, or reclaimed rubber or latex rubber, products such as, but not limited to, tires and tubes, reclaimed rubber, rubber and plastics hose and belting, industrial and mechanical rubber goods, rubberized fabrics, miscellaneous rubber specialties and sundries, recapped and retreaded tires, rubber and plastics cut stock and findings for footwear, and miscellaneous fabricated plastics products: *Provided, however,* That th industry shall not include any activity in the rubber and plastics footwear industry; the

chemical, petroleum and related products industry; the leather, leather goods and related products industry; the gloves and mittens industry; the men's and boys' clothing and related products industry; the corsets, brassieres and allied garments industry; the women's outerwear, needlework and miscellaneous fabricated textile products industry; the textile mill products industry; and the jewelry and miscellaneous products manufacturing industry.

§ 720.2 Wage rates.

* * * * *

(a) *Pre-1966 coverage classifications.* The classifications for pre-1966 coverage apply to all activities in the industry to which Section 6 of the Fair Labor Standards Act applied prior to the Fair Labor Standards Amendments of 1966.

(1) *Rubber products classification.* (i) The minimum rate for this classification is $2.00 an hour for the period ending December 31, 1974. Since the mainland rate has been attained, the rates specified in section 6(a)(1) now apply, namely, $2.10 an hour during the year ending December 31, 1975, and $2.30 an hour thereafter (section 6(c)(5)).

(ii) This classification is defined as the manufacture of all rubber products including the recapping and retreading of tires and tubes by a vulcanizing process.

(2) *Plastic dinnerware, sprayer, vaporizer, phonograph record and artificial flower classification.* (i) The minimum wage rate for this classification is $1.75 an hour. Unless otherwise provided, the wage rates in this section are increased by $.15 an hour on May 1, 1975, and on May 1 of each subsequent year until the mainland rate is reached pursuant to section 6(c)(2) of the Act.

(ii) This classification is defined as the manufacture of plastic dinnerware, sprayers, vaporizers, atomizers, phonograph records and artificial flowers of molded plastic.

(3) *Plastic pipe and fittings classification:* (i) The minimum wage rate for this classification is $1.65 an hour. Unless otherwise provided, the wage rates in this section are increased by $.15 an hour on May 1, 1975, and on May 1 of each subsequent year until the mainland rate is reached pursuant to section 6(c)(2) of the Act.

(ii) This classification is defined as the manufacture or assembly of plastic pipes and plastic pipe fittings.

(4) *Other plastics products classification.* (i) The minimum wage rate for this classification is $1.60 an hour. Unless otherwise provided, the wage rates in this section are increased by $.15 an hour on May 1, 1975, and on May 1 of each subsequent year until the mainland rate is reached pursuant to section 6(c)(2) of the Act.

(ii) This classification is defined as the manufacture of all plastics products not included in other pre-1966 coverage classifications in the industry.

(b) *1966 Coverage classifications.* These classifications for 1966 coverage apply to all activities in the industry to which Section 6 of the Fair Labor Standards Act applies solely by reason of the Fair Labor Standards Amendments of 1966.

(1) *Rubber products classification.* (i) The minimum rate for this classification is $1.90 an hour for the period ending December 31, 1974. Since the mainland rate has been attained, the rates specified in section 6(b) now apply, namely $2.00 an hour during the year ending December 31, 1975; $2.20 an hour during the year ending December 31, 1976; and $2.30 an hour thereafter (Section 6(c)(5)).

(ii) This classification is defined as the manufacture of all rubber products, including the recapping and retreading of tires and tubes by a vulcanizing process.

(2) *Plastics products classification.* (i) The minimum wage rate for this classification is $1.75 an hour. Unless otherwise provided, the wage rates in this section are increased by $.15 an hour on May 1, 1975, and on May 1 of each subsequent year until the mainland rate is reached pursuant to section 6(c)(2) of the Act.

(ii) This classification is defined as the manufacture of all plastic products.

(Secs. 5, 6, 8, 52 Stat. 1062, 1064, as amended; 29 U.S.C. 205, 206, 208)

Effective date. These amendments shall become effective December 31, 1974.

Signed at Washington, D.C., this 12th of December, 1974.

BETTY SOUTHARD MURPHY,
Administrator,
Wage and Hour Division.

[FR Doc.74-29348 Filed 12-13-74;8:45 am]

Title 33—Navigation and Navigable Waters

CHAPTER I—COAST GUARD, DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION

[CGD 74-81]

PART 135—LIGHTS FOR COAST GUARD VESSELS OF SPECIAL CONSTRUCTION

Correction

In FR Doc. 74-27746 appearing at page 41362 in the issue for Wednesday, November 27, 1974, as corrected on page 43057 in the issue for Tuesday, December 10, 1974, the headings should read as set forth above.

Title 41—Public Contracts and Property Management

CHAPTER 3—DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH, EDUCATION, AND WELFARE

PROCUREMENT AND CONTRACTS

Miscellaneous Amendments

On August 12, 1974, a notice of proposed rulemaking was published in the FEDERAL REGISTER (39 FR 28900) stating that the Department of Health, Education, and Welfare is considering an amendment to 41 CFR, Chapter 3, by adding a new § 3-3.410-1, Basic Agreements, to Subpart 3-3.4, Types of Contracts, and adding a new Subpart 3-7.51, Clauses for use with basic agreements. A minor modification is also being made to Part 3-16, Procurement Forms. The amendment prescribes policies and procedures relative to the negotiation and use of basic agreements.

Interested persons were invited to submit written data, views, and comments within 30 days after publication. Written comments were received, and after consideration of the views presented, the amendment is hereby adopted, subject to the following changes:

PART 3-3—PROCUREMENT BY NEGOTIATION

§ 3-3.410-1 [Amended]

1. Paragraphs (b) (1) and (2) of § 3-3.410-1 are deleted and the following substituted in lieu thereof:

* * * * *

(b) *Applicability.* (1) Basic agreements are appropriate for use when (i) past experience and future plans indicate that a substantial number of separate contracts may be entered into with a contractor during the life of the basic agreement; (ii) peculiar recurring problems exist with a particular contractor regarding the standard general provisions or other terms and conditions of contracts and (iii) where it is beneficial for the contractor and the Government to do so.

(2) Except where particular and unique circumstances exist regarding an individual contract, a basic agreement shall be modified only by a modification of the basic agreement itself and shall not be modified or superseded by individual contracts entered into under and subject to the terms of such basic agreement. Any provision of a contract which conflicts with the terms of a basic agreement must be approved by the Office of Grants and Procurement Management (OGPM). Basic agreements may be modified at any time; however, it is generally desirable to modify them as infrequently as possible. Changes to clauses or new clauses resulting from statutes or Executive Orders should be incorporated as soon after issuance as feasible. Clauses pertaining to subjects not covered in a basic agreement but applicable to the contract or modifications to the contracts being negotiated, and clauses required by statute or Executive Order, if any, which have become effective after the last modification to the basic agreement shall be included in the contract and modifications as if no basic agreement existed. Other changes should be incorporated on an annual basis unless one of the parties specifically requests earlier consideration. As a minimum, basic agreements should be reviewed annually before the anniversary of their effective date and revised to conform with the current requirements of this section. Unless otherwise designated by OGPM, the agency negotiating the basic agreement will be responsible for negotiating all modifications thereto.

2. Paragraph (c) (3), of § 3–3.410–1 is deleted, and the following is substituted in lieu thereof:

(c) * * *

(3) Basic agreements shall be utilized only in connection with negotiated contracts.

3. The phrase "as form" in the paragraph beginning with NOW, THEREFORE, in paragraph (d) of § 3–3.410–1 is deleted.

4. That part of (e) (1) of § 3–3.410–1 reading "When an operating agency decides it is necessary to negotiate * * *" is changed to read "When an operating agency decides to negotiate * * *"

PART 3–7—CONTRACT CLAUSES

§ 3–7.5103–2 [Amended]

5. That part of § 3–7.5103–2 reading "* * * action by the Contracting Officer shall be required" is changed to read "* * * action by the Contracting Officer shall not be required."

§§ 3–7.5103–1—3–7.5103–2 [Amended]

6. That part of the table of contents of Subpart 3–7.51, Clauses for Basic Agreements reading:

3–7.5103–1 Domestic travel.
3–7.5103–2 Advance understandings.

is changed to read:

3–7.5103–1 Advance understandings.
3–7.5103–2 Domestic travel.

PART 3–16—PROCUREMENT FORMS

§ 3–16.5001 [Amended]

7. The following is added to the text of the regulation: That part of paragraph (f) reading "Your proposal must be prepared in accordance with the attached 'Contract Provisions' and 'Instructions to Offerors'" is hereby changed to read: "Your proposal must be prepared in accordance with the attached 'Contract Provisions' and 'Instructions to Offerors'." However, if you have a basic agreement with the Department, and it is applicable to the type of contract to be awarded, the resultant contract will incorporate the basic agreement by reference.

(5 U.S.C. 301; 40 U.S.C. 486(c))

Effective date: These regulations shall become effective December 16, 1974.

Dated: December 9, 1974.

THOMAS S. MCFEE,
Acting Assistant Secretary for Administration and Management.

PART 3–3—PROCUREMENT BY NEGOTIATION

1. The new §§ 3–3.410 and 3–3.410–1 reads as follows:

Sec.
3–3.410 Other types of agreements.
3–3.410–1 Basic agreement.

§ 3–3.410 Other types of agreements.

§ 3–3.410–1 Basic agreement.

(a) *Description.* A basic agreement is not a contract. It is a written instrument of understanding executed between DHEW and a contractor which sets forth the negotiated contract clauses which shall be applicable to future procurements entered into between the parties while the basic agreement is in effect. A basic agreement will apply to a particular procurement by the execution of a formal contractual document which will provide for the scope of work, price, delivery, and additional matters peculiar to the requirements of the specific procurement involved, and shall incorporate by reference or append the contract clauses agreed upon in the basic agreement as required or applicable. Basic agreements may be used with fixed-price or cost-reimbursement type contracts and need not be limited to specific types of services and supplies or categories of procurements.

(b) *Applicability.* (1) Basic agreements are appropriate for use when (i) past experience and future plans indicate that a substantial number of separate contracts may be entered into with a contractor during the life of the basic agreement; (ii) Peculiar recurring problems exist with a particular contractor regarding the standard general provisions or other terms and conditions of contracts and (iii) where it is beneficial for the contractor and the Government to do so.

(2) Except where particular and unique circumstances exist regarding an individual contract, a basic agreement shall be modified only by a modification of the basic agreement itself and shall not be modified or superseded by individual contracts entered into under and subject to the terms of such basic agreement. Any provision of a contract which conflicts with the terms of a basic agreement must be approved by the Office of Grants and Procurement Management (OGPM). Basic agreements may be modified at any time, however, it is generally desirable to modify them as infrequently as possible. Changes to clauses or new clauses resulting from statutes or Executive Orders should be incorporated as soon after issuance as feasible. Clauses pertaining to subjects not covered in a basic agreement but applicable to the contract or modifications to the contracts being negotiated, and clauses required by statute or Executive Order, if any, which have become effective after the last modification to the basic agreement shall be included in the contract and modifications as if no basic agreement existed. Other changes should be incorporated on an annual basis unless one of the parties specifically requests earlier consideration. As a minimum, basic agreements should be reviewed annually before the anniversary of their effective date and revised to conform with the current requirements of this section. Unless otherwise designated by OGPM, the agency negotiating the basic agreement will be responsible for negotiating all modifications thereto.

(3) Basic agreements shall continue in effect until terminated or superseded and shall provide for termination upon 30 days written notice by either party. Termination of a basic agreement will not affect any individual contract referencing or appending the basic agreement entered into prior to the effective date of termination.

(4) A basic agreement shall be used to cover all subsequent procurements which fall within its scope. Provisions of the basic agreement, including supplements thereto, shall be incorporated into a formal contractual document covering a particular procurement by referring therein to the number of the basic agreement and each of its supplements. When an existing contract is amended to effect new procurement, the contract modification shall incorporate the most recent basic agreement, including supplements thereto, to apply only to the work added by the contract modification.

(5) Contract modifications negotiated pursuant to the terms of an existing contract and not involving new procurement may, by mutual agreement of the parties and if determined to be in the interest of the Government, amend the existing contract to conform to a subsequently executed or supplemented basic agreement.

(6) If a clause, which properly deviates from a prescribed clause, must be replaced the replacement clause may deviate to the same extent as the original clause, if the revision is not related to the deviation, and if the deviation has not expired or been rescinded.

(7) Basic agreements may include negotiated overhead rates for cost-reimbursement type contracts. Where negotiated overhead rates are included the bases to which the rates apply and the period of applicability must also be stated. All pertinent provisions such as final rates for past periods, provisional rates for current or future periods, ceilings, and any specific items to be treated as indirect costs shall also be included as appropriate.

(8) Normally a basic agreement will continue in effect until terminated or superseded. Basic agreements for a specified term are not, however, precluded.

(c) *Limitations.* (1) Basic agreements shall neither cite appropriations to be charged nor be used alone for the purpose of obligating funds.

(2) Basic agreements shall not in any manner provide for or imply any agreement on the part of the Government to place future orders or contracts with the contractor involved, nor shall they be used in any manner to restrict competition.

(3) Basic agreements shall be utilized only in connection with negotiated contracts.

(d) *Content and format.* A basic agreement shall consist of: (a) an execution page, (b) contents page (Form HEW–556) may be used for this purpose, and (c) special provisions which are illustrated in Subpart 3–7.51. The following is illustrative of an execution page:

Basic Agreement Number
Page 1 of ____ pages

BASIC AGREEMENT

BETWEEN THE UNITED STATES OF AMERICA, AS REPRESENTED BY THE DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH, EDUCATION, AND WELFARE, and (Name of Contractor) THIS AGREEMENT, effective (Insert Date) by and between the UNITED STATES OF AMERICA, hereinafter called the "Government," as represented by the DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH, EDUCATION, AND WELFARE, and (Name of Contractor), a corporation organized and existing under the laws of the (State/Commonwealth) of _____ with its principal office in (City), (State), hereinafter called the "Contractor":

WITNESSETH THAT

WHEREAS, the Government and the Contractor desire to enter into a single basic agreement for use only in connection with negotiated (insert type of contract and categories of effort that the basic agreement will cover) entered into on or after the effective date of this Agreement, and prior to its termination; and

WHEREAS, the parties understand that this Agreement shall not in any manner provide for or imply any agreement on the part of the Government to place future orders or contracts with the Contractor.

NOW THEREFORE, the Government and the Contractor agree that the provisions and clauses of the Special Provisions, herein set forth, and the General Provisions (specify form number of applicable general provisions) attached hereto and as modified herein, shall be incorporated in and constitute the terms and conditions applicable to all negotiated (insert type of contract and categories of effort that the basic agreement will cover) entered into on or after the effective date of this agreement, and prior to its termination.

IN WITNESS WHEREOF, the parties hereto have executed this Agreement as of the day and year first above written:

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA

_____
Name of Contractor

_____
Signature of Contracting Officer

By_____
Signature of Authorized Official

_____
Typed Name

_____
Typed Name

(e) *Procedures.* (1) Negotiation of basic agreements may be undertaken by operating agencies of DHEW in behalf of the Department. When an operating agency decides to negotiate a basic agreement with an organization, prior authorization must be requested, in writing, from the Director, Division of Procurement Policy and Regulations Development (DPPRD), OGPM. When the Director, DPPRD, gives written authorization to the agency designated to conduct negotiations on behalf of the Department, all other agencies will be notified of this designation. If another agency elects, it may attend the negotiation or furnish special terms and conditions or provisions for inclusion in the proposed basic agreement by advising the designated negotiating agency in writing within ten (10) days from the date of the authorization. After review and resolution of all requests for inclusion of special terms and conditions or provisions, the designated negotiating agency will invite those operating agencies who expressed an interest in attending the negotiations and make the necessary arrangements for the negotiation of the basic agreement.

(2) Prior to the conclusion of negotiations, the designated negotiating agency shall furnish the other operating agencies a draft copy of the proposed basic agreement, together with:

(i) A resume of all salient features of the basic agreement which will facilitate review;

(ii) Any of the operating agency's guides or procedures which are being considered for incorporation into the basic agreement by reference;

(iii) A listing of nonstandard clauses used, the genesis of such clauses, and the reasons for such clauses in the basic agreement; and

(iv) The contractor's comments, including the basis for his requesting any deviation from the DHEW Procurement Regulations and the designated negotiating agency's position with respect thereto.

(3) The other operating agencies shall have fifteen (15) days from the date of the memorandum transmitting the aforesaid information to submit comments on the draft copy of the basic agreement. After receipt, analysis and resolution of the comments of the other operating agencies, the designated negotiating agency will proceed to conclude the negotiation of the basic agreement.

(4) After conclusion of the negotiation but prior to execution of the basic agreement, a copy of the basic agreement, together with the information specified in paragraph (e)(2) above, and the comments of the other operating agencies with the designated negotiating agency's analysis thereof and the basis for the action taken will be furnished to the Director, DPPRD, OGPM, for review by DPPRD, and the Office of General Counsel. Approval by the Deputy Assistant Secretary for Grants and Procurement Management or his designee must be given prior to the execution of the basic agreement. After approval and execution of the basic agreement, the designated negotiating agency will distribute the executed document to the other operating agencies, Office of General Counsel, and the Office of Grants and Procurement Management, OASAM. The basic agreement is mandatory for use by all agencies of the Department for all procurements falling within the scope of the basic agreement.

(f) *Listing of basic agreements.* Appendix A to this chapter lists all of the approved basic agreements. The appendix will be updated on a quarterly basis.

PART 3–7—CONTRACT CLAUSES

2. As proposed, the new Subpart 3–7.51 will read as follows:

Subpart 3–7.51—Clauses for Basic Agreements

Sec.

§ 3–7.5100 Scope of subpart.

This subpart prescribes clauses to be used in basic agreements. The clauses set forth in § 3–7.5101 shall be included in all basic agreements and the clauses set forth in § 3–7.5102 will be mandatory whenever contracts written pursuant to the terms of a basic agreement will cover the specific subject matter or the type of institution is covered by such a provision. Optional clauses are listed as examples and are not all inclusive.

§ 3–7.5101 Mandatory clauses—general applicability.

§ 3–7.5101–1 Duration of basic agreement.

DURATION OF BASIC AGREEMENT

This Agreement shall continue in effect until terminated. The Agreement may be terminated in its entirety by either party upon thirty (30) days written notice to the other party; *Provided, however,* That no such termination shall affect any contracts theretofore entered into between the parties in which this agreement has been incorporated by reference.

§ 3–7.5101–2 Amendment of basic agreement.

AMENDMENT OF BASIC AGREEMENT

The Agreement may be amended at any time by mutual agreement of the parties. In addition, this Agreement shall be reviewed at least annually prior to the anniversary of its effective date to assure conformance with all requirements of law and pertinent regulations and shall be revised, if necessary, to conform thereto. Any amendment or revision shall be evidenced by an agreement modifying this Basic Agreement or by the issuance of a superseding Basic Agreement. No amendment, modification, or revision of this Basic Agreement shall affect any contracts theretofore entered into between the parties in which this Agreement has been incorporated by reference, except as may be expressly provided in such amendment, modification, or revision hereto; provided, however, that no such amendment shall have retroactive effect.

§ 3–7.5101–3 Separate contracts.

SEPARATE CONTRACTS

(a) The parties may from time to time enter into separate contracts, entered into under and subject to the terms of this Basic Agreement. Separate contracts shall be executed by both parties and shall set forth the scope of work to be performed, estimated cost, period of performance, and any additional terms or conditions peculiar to the requirements of the specific procurement involved. (Note: The language relative to estimated cost can be changed if cost plus-fixed-fee or fixed-price type contracts could

be awarded under the terms of the Basic Agreement.)

(b) This Agreement shall not be modified or superseded, in whole or in part, by any individual contract entered into under and subject to the terms of this Agreement.

(c) The term "contract" as used throughout this Basic Agreement shall include and apply to this Basic Agreement and to each separate contract entered into hereunder and subject to the terms hereof to the extent applicable. The term "Agreement" as used herein shall apply only to this Basic Agreement.

§ 3–7.5101–4 Work to be performed.

WORK TO BE PERFORMED

(a) Independently and not as an agent of the Government, the Contractor shall perform the work described in each separate contract. When the work under any separate contract is to be performed on other than a completion basis, a level-of-effort to be provided by the Contractor will be specified in such contract.

(b) The Contractor shall deliver to the Government such reports and such other items, at such times and in such quantities, as specified in each separate contract. The Contractor shall also make such reports to the Government as called for by the terms of this Basic Agreement in accordance with the formal instructions of the Contracting Officer.

(c) None of the research effort under any separate contract shall be subcontracted or transferred to another organization without the specific prior written approval of the Contracting Officer.

§ 3–7.5101–5 Estimated cost.

ESTIMATED COST

The estimated cost of separate contracts under this agreement shall be separately specified in each such contract. (Note: The language can be modified if fixed-price or cost-plus-fixed fee contracts are to be awarded under the terms of the basic agreement.)

§ 3–7.5101–6 Order of precedence.

ORDER OF PRECEDENCE

In the event of an inconsistency in this contract, the inconsistency shall be resolved by giving precedence in the following order: (a) the separate contract; (b) the Special Provisions of this Agreement; and (c) the General Provisions of this Agreement.

§ 3–7.5102 Mandatory clauses—limited purpose.

§ 3–7.5102–1 Protection of human subjects.

If the contracts to be negotiated pursuant to the terms of the Basic Agreement involve human subjects, include the following clause in the Basic Agreement.

PROTECTION OF HUMAN SUBJECTS

(a) The Contractor agrees that the rights and welfare of human subjects will be protected in accordance with procedures specified in its current Institutional Assurance on file with the Department. The Contractor further agrees to provide certification at least annually that an appropriate institutional committee has reviewed and approved the procedures which involve human subjects in accordance with the applicable Institutional Assurance accepted by the Department.

(b) The Contractor shall bear full responsibility for the proper and safe performance of all work and services involving the use of human subjects under this contract. The parties hereto agree that the Contractor retains the right to control and direct the performance of all work under this contract. No provision of this contract shall be deemed to constitute the Contractor or any subcontractor, agent or employee of the Contractor or any other person, organization, institution, or group of any kind whatsoever, as the agent or employee of the Government. The Contractor agrees that it has entered into this contract and will discharge its obligations, duties, and undertakings and the work pursuant thereto whether requiring professional judgment or otherwise as an independent contractor and without liability on the part of the Government.

§ 3–7.5102–2 Care of laboratory animals.

If the contracts to be negotiated pursuant to the terms of the Basic Agreement involve the use of animals, include the following clause:

CARE OF LABORATORY ANIMALS

(a) Before undertaking performance of any contract involving experimentation using laboratory animals, the Contractor shall register with the Secretary of Agriculture of the United States in accordance with Section 6 of the Laboratory Animal Welfare Act of 1966, Pub. L. 89–544, as amended by the Animal Welfare Act of 1970, Pub. L. 91–579 (7 U.S.C. 2131 et seq.). The Contractor shall furnish evidence of such registration to the Contracting Officer.

(b) The Contractor shall acquire animals to be used in research under this contract from dealers licensed by the Secretary of Agriculture, or from sources exempted in accordance with the Public Laws enumerated in (a) above, or from such sources as may be specified by the terms of any separate contract: *Provided, however,* That the requirement for obtaining animals from licensed dealers shall not apply to those animals excluded from the regulations cited in (c) below.

(c) In the care of any experimental warm-blooded animals used or intended for use in the performance of this contract, the Contractor shall comply with: The Public Laws enumerated in (a) above; regulations issued thereunder (Title 9, Code of Federal Regulations, Parts 1, 2, and 3, entitled "Laboratory Animal Welfare"); the principles enunciated in the "Guide for the Care and Use of Laboratory Animals" prepared by the Institute of Laboratory Animal Resources, National Academy of Sciences—National Research Council (HEW Publication (NIH) 73–23); and the terms of the pertinent contract. In the event that any conflict among the standards prescribed by the foregoing is not clearly resolved by the express terms of the pertinent contract, the higher standard shall be used.

§ 3–7.5102–3 Sex discrimination.

If the contracts to be negotiated pursuant to the terms of the Basic Agreement are to be funded with funds made available under Titles VII or VIII of the Public Health Service Act as amended by the Comprehensive Health Manpower Training and Nurse Training Acts of 1971, include the following clause:

SEX DISCRIMINATION

(a) *Contractor's assurance.* The Contractor agrees that it will comply with the provisions of its Assurance (HEW–590 (3/72)) which was executed on _____, and submitted to the Office of Civil Rights, Department of Health, Education, and Welfare as required by Public Health Service Act sections 799A and 845 and by pertinent portions of the regulations issued under section 215(b) of the Act and which states that the Contractor will not discriminate on the basis of sex in admissions to its training programs.

(b) *Alternate dispute and supplemental termination procedures clause.* Prior to termination of a contract whether for default or for convenience, based upon breach of the assurance, the Contractor shall be entitled to a hearing. The standard disputes clause is hereby made inapplicable to decisions concerning the Contractor's compliance with the assurance and this clause shall apply exclusively.

(1) If, during the performance of this contract, the Government has reason to believe that the Contractor is failing to fulfill its obligations under the assurance, the Contractor shall be notified of its non-compliance by the Director, Office of Civil Rights, or his authorized representative, who, for purposes of this notification, is hereby designated the Contracting Officer's representative, and informed that termination of its contract is being considered.

(2) Such notice shall be mailed to the Contractor by registered mail with return receipt requested and shall inform the Contractor of its non-compliance. The Contractor may, within thirty (30) days from receipt of the notification, request a hearing.

(3) The request for a hearing shall be mailed or otherwise delivered to the Director, who is hereby designated as the Contracting Officer's representative for the purpose of determining, as hereinafter provided, whether the Contractor is in breach of the assurance and for terminating the contract on that ground. The Contractor shall send the Contracting Officer a copy of that request. If the Contractor does not request a hearing within the thirty (30) days, the Director shall issue a decision of non-compliance which shall constitute the final Departmental decision. The Director shall notify the Contracting Officer of the Contractor's violation of its assurance. If the Contractor requests a hearing, it shall be afforded a prompt opportunity to be heard and to offer evidence to show that it is in compliance.

(4) The Reviewing Authority (Civil Rights), HEW, which has been delegated the authority by the Director to review decisions of cases arising under §§ 799A and 845 of the Public Health Service Act, shall designate a hearing officer who shall conduct the hearing and shall decide, after the hearing, based on the record as a whole, whether the Contractor has failed to fulfill its obligations under the assurance. The initial decision of the hearing officer, which shall include Findings of Fact and Conclusions of Law, shall be reduced to writing and an authenticated copy thereof will be issued to the Contractor by registered mail, return receipt requested. Exceptions to the initial decision of the hearing officer may be filed with the Reviewing Authority but not later than twenty (20) days after the initial decision has been received by the Contractor.

(5) In the event the Contractor does not file exceptions to the initial decision of the hearing officer, the Reviewing Authority shall either certify the initial decisions as the final Departmental decision or review it. In the event the Contractor files exceptions to the initial decision, the Reviewing Authority shall review the initial decision. Upon review, whether upon its own motion or upon filing exceptions, the Reviewing Authority shall affirm, modify, or reverse the initial decision, in whole or in part, based on the record as a whole, and state the reasons therefor. This decision of the Reviewing Authority shall become the final Departmental decision.

(6) An authenticated copy of the Reviewing Authority's final Departmental decision shall be forwarded to the Contractor and the Contracting Officer.

(7) Any final Departmental Decision shall be final and conclusive unless determined by a court of competent jurisdiction to have been fraudulent or capricious, or arbitrary, or so grossly erroneous as necessarily to imply bad faith, or not supported by substantial evidence. This clause does not preclude consideration of questions of law in connection with decisions provided for above, provided that nothing in this contract shall be construed as making final the decision of any administrative official, representative, or board, on a question of law.

(8) If the final Departmental decision is that the Contractor has failed to fulfill its obligations under the assurance and is, therefore, in non-compliance, the contract shall be terminated by the Director, acting for the Contracting Officer. If the contract contains only a "Termination for Convenience" clause, the contract shall be terminated for the convenience of the Government. If the contract contains both "Termination for Convenience" and "Termination for Default" clauses, the Director shall terminate the contract under the "Termination for Default" clause. Any additional decisions required by the clause under which this contract is terminated shall be made by the Contracting Officer.

(9) To the extent that this clause is inconsistent with the applicable "Termination" clause, this clause shall take precedence. In all other respects, termination shall be subject to the applicable "Termination" clause.

§ 3–7.5102–4 General provisions, modifications thereto.

If modifications are to be made to the General Provisions include the following provision in the Basic Agreement:

GENERAL PROVISIONS, MODIFICATIONS THERETO

(a) *Modifications to General Provisions applicable to individual contracts.* List any additional clauses that may be applicable to some contracts negotiated pursuant to the terms of the Basic Agreement. Each separate contract must reference the applicable clause. For example, if the work under any separate contract negotiated pursuant to the terms of the Basic Agreement involves the production of motion pictures, scripts, musical compositions, sound tracks, computer programs, translations or similar materials, and such contract so specifies, the "Rights in Data" clause set forth in the General Provisions may be deleted and the alternate "Rights in Data" clause set forth in item (8) of paragraph (a) of § 3–16.5003 may be substituted therefor.

(b) *Applicable overhead and payment provision.* Specify any overhead and payment provisions which would be applicable.

(c) *Modifications applicable to all contracts hereunder.* List any modification that would be applicable to all contracts negotiated pursuant to the terms of the Basic Agreement.

§ 3–7.5103 Optional clauses.

§ 3–7.5103–1 Advance understandings.

ADVANCE UNDERSTANDINGS

(a) The parties may agree in advance regarding the approval of any item or activity under any separate contract the cost of which is not either expressly allowable or unallowable pursuant to any other clause of this Agreement or which otherwise requires the specific approval of the Contracting Officer. Each such advance understanding shall be set forth in the contract to which the understanding pertains and shall describe with particularity the items or activities covered by the understanding. Further administrative action by the Contracting Officer shall not be required with respect to any item or activity subject to an advance understanding; and such advance understanding shall not, unless otherwise provided therein, constitute a determination of the allowability of the cost involved.

(b) Unless otherwise specified in a separate contract, or modification thereto, the costs of the following items or activities shall be unallowable as direct items or cost under this contract:

(1) Acquisition, by purchase or lease, of any interest in real property.

(2) Special rearrangement or alteration of facilities.

(3) Purchase or lease of any item of general purpose office furniture or office equipment.

§ 3–7.5103–2 Domestic travel.

DOMESTIC TRAVEL

(a) Total expenditures for domestic travel under any separate contract shall not exceed (insert amount), or (insert percentage) of the amount, if any, specified in such contract as being allotted for such travel, whichever is greater, without the written approval of the Contracting Officer.

(b) The cost of travel by privately-owned automobile shall be reimbursed at the mileage rate prescribed by the Contractor's established, generally applicable travel policy in lieu of actual costs: *Provided, however,* That such reimbursement shall not exceed the otherwise allowable comparative cost of travel by common carrier.

(c) Reasonable actual costs of lodging and subsistence, or of per diem in lieu of actual costs, shall be allowable to the extent that such actual costs or per diem amounts do not exceed the amounts or per diem rates prescribed by the Contractor's established, generally applicable travel policy.

(d) Any revision to the Contractor's established, generally applicable travel policy submitted to the cognizant audit agency during the period of performance of this contract shall be effective, without formal modification to this contract, upon delivery to the procurement official of the agency who negotiated the basic agreement, of a copy of such revised policy together with evidence of submission to the cognizant audit agency.

The following is added to the text of the regulation: That part of paragraph (f) of Section 3–16.5001 reading "Your proposal must be prepared in accordance with the attached "Contract Provisions" and "Instructions to Offerors" is hereby changed to read: "Your proposal must be prepared in accordance with the attached "Contract Provisions" and "Instructions to Offerors." However, if you have a basic agreement with the Department, and it is applicable to the type of contract to be awarded, the resultant contract will incorporate the basic agreement by reference."

[FR Doc.74–29214 Filed 12–13–74;8:45 am]

CHAPTER 9—ATOMIC ENERGY COMMISSION

PART 9–3 PROCUREMENT BY NEGOTIATION

Subpart 9–3.3 Determinations, Findings, and Authorities

MISCELLANEOUS AMENDMENT

This revision to AECPR 9–3.301 is being made in order to incorporate therein material previously found in AECPR Temporary Regulation No. 4, dated March 8, 1974, dealing with Determinations, Findings and Authorities. Since the provisions of AECPR Temporary Regulation No. 4 will be incorporated into a permanent AECPR 9–3.301, this temporary regulation is hereby canceled.

Section 9–3.301 is revised as follows:

§ 9–3.301 General.

Except as otherwise provided in § 9–3.302, the determinations and findings required by FPR Subpart 1–3.3 shall be made. Except as otherwise provided in § 9–3.303, the determinations and findings required by FPR Subpart 1–3.3 may be made and executed by contracting officers, or by Directors of AEC Headquarters Divisions that have been delegated authority to select contractors.

(Sec. 161 of the Atomic Energy Act of 1954, as amended, 68 Stat. 948, (42 U.S.C. 2201); sec. 205 of the Federal Property and Administrative Services Act of 1949, as amended, 63 Stat. 390, (40 U.S.C. 486))

Effective date: This amendment is effective December 16, 1974.

Dated at Germantown, Maryland, this 9th day of December 1974.

For the U.S. Atomic Energy Commission.

JOSEPH L. SMITH,
Director, Division of Contracts.

[FR Doc.74–29106 Filed 12–13–74;8:45 am]

Title 43—Public Lands: Interior

CHAPTER II—BUREAU OF LAND MANAGEMENT, DEPARTMENT OF THE INTERIOR

APPENDIX—PUBLIC LAND ORDERS

[Public Land Order 5454]

ALASKA

Amendment of Public Land Order No. 5176

By virtue of the authority vested in the Secretary of the Interior by section 11 (a) (3) of the Alaska Native Claims Settlement Act of December 18, 1971, 43 U.S.C. 1610(a) (3), and pursuant to Executive Order No. 10355 of May 26, 1952 (17 FR 4831), it is ordered as follows:

1. Subparagraphs b and c of paragraph 1 of Public Land Order No. 5176 of March 9, 1972, as amended by Public Land Order No. 5191 of March 17, 1972, Public Land Order No. 5252 of September 12, 1972, and Public Land Order No. 5393 of September 14, 1973, withdrawing, reserving, and designating lands for selection by the village corporations of Tatitlek and Eyak, respectively, is hereby amended to delete the specific designation of lands for the villages of Tatitlek and Eyak and to make all of the lands so withdrawn available to the village corporation of Tatitlek and the possible selection by the village corporation of Eyak, if it is determined to be an eligible village.

2. The lands withdrawn by Public Land Order No. 5176, as amended, remain subject to all of the terms and conditions contained therein.

JACK O. HORTON,
Assistant Secretary, of the Interior.

DECEMBER 10, 1974.

[FR Doc.74-29204 Filed 12-13-74;8:45 am]

[Public Land Order 5455]

[Fbks-012720, 012723]

ALASKA

Partial Revocation of Public Land Orders No. 1571 and No. 1851

By virtue of the authority vested in the President and pursuant to Executive Order No. 10355 of May 26, 1952 (17 F.R. 4831), it is ordered as follows:

1. Public Land Order No. 1571 of December 26, 1957, as amended by Public Land Order No. 1851 of May 14, 1959, which withdrew lands for the Department of the Air Force for military purposes, is hereby revoked so far as it affects the following described lands:

(F-012720)

Two parcels of land located at Wainwright DEW Station (LIZ-3) in the Second Judicial District, State of Alaska; said parcels being more particularly described as follows:

PARCEL 1

Commencing at U.S.C.&G.S. Triangulation Station "ALOAK" thence S. 32°00'00" W., a distance of 6,650.0 feet, more or less; thence N. 69°00'00" E., a distance of 5,000.0 feet, more or less, to the true point of beginning; thence continuing N. 69°00'00" E., a distance of 3,900.0 feet, more or less; thence N. 21°-00'00" W., a distance of 1,900.0 feet, more or less; thence S. 69°00'00" W., a distance of 3,900.0 feet more or less; thence S. 21°00'00" E., a distance of 1,900.0 feet, more or less, to the point of beginning.

Containing 170.11 acres, more or less.

PARCEL 2

Commencing at U.S.C. & G.S. Triangulation Station "WAINWRIGHT"; thence S. 66°00' 00" W., a distance of 1,150.0 feet, more or less; thence S. 76°00'00" E., a distance of 1,100.0 feet, more or less, to the true point of beginning; thence continuing S. 76°00'00" E., a distance of 5,050.0 feet, more or less; thence S. 24°00'00" E., a distance of 10,240.0 feet, more or less, to a point called "A" for further reference hereinbelow; thence return to said point of beginning N. 18°00'00" E., a distance of 1,200.0 feet more or less; thence N. 84°00'00" E., a distance of 7,500.0 feet, more or less; thence S. 49°30'00" E., a distance of 12,950.0 feet, more or less; thence S. 69°00'00" W., a distance of 5,280.0 feet, more or less; thence S. 21°00'00" E., a distance of 4,500.0 feet, more or less; thence S. 69°00'00" W., a distance of 5,000.0 feet, more or less, to the MHW Line of Wainwright Lagoon; thence northwesterly along said MHW Line, a distance of 4,700.0 feet, more or less, to point "A" described hereinabove.

There is excepted therefrom a 30-foot right-of-way being 15.00 feet on each side of the following described centerline:

Commencing at U.S.C.&.G.S. Triangulation Station "WAINWRIGHT"; thence S. 66°00'00" W., a distance of 1,150.0 feet, more or less; thence S. 76°00'00" E., a distance of 1,100.0 feet, more or less; thence N. 18°00' 00" E., a distance of 500.0 feet, more or less, to the true point of beginning; thence S. 84°00'00" E., a distance of 5,200.0 feet, more or less; thence S. 35°00'00" E., a distance of 15,300.0 feet, more or less, to the southerly boundary of Parcel 2 described above.

Right-of-way contains 14.12 acres, more or less.

Parcels 1 and 2 comprise 2,539.0 acres, more or less, excluding 14.12 acres described above.

(F-012723)

A parcel of land located at Point Lay DEW Station (LIZ-2) in the Second Judicial District, State of Alaska; said parcel being more particularly described as follows:

Commencing at U.S.C. & G.S. Triangulation Station "BETH"; thence by metes and bounds N. 59°00' E., a distance of 13,800.0 feet, more or less; thence east, a distance of 12,300.0 feet, more or less; thence north, a distance of 2,730.0 feet, more or less, to the true point of beginning; thence west a distance of 6,000.0 feet, more or less; thence north, a distance of 2,200.0 feet, more or less; thence west, a distance of 8,300.0 feet, more or less, to a point on the MHW Line of KASEGALUK LAGOON, said point being called point "A" for further reference hereinbelow; thence returning to said point of beginning, north, a distance of 5,830.0 feet, more or less; thence west, a distance of 13,770.0 feet, more or less, to a point on the MHW Line of KASEGALUK LAGOON; thence southerly along said MHW Line, a distance of 3,630.0 feet, more or less, to point "A" described hereinabove, and the terminus of this description.

Contains 1,450.0 acres, more or less.

2. The lands described in paragraph 1 are withdrawn under section 11(a)(1) of the Alaska Native Claims Settlement Act of December 18, 1971 (85 Stat. 688, 696), for selection by the villages of Wainwright and Point Lay and the Arctic Slope Regional Corporation.

3. Prior to any conveyance of the lands described in paragraph 1, the lands shall be subject to administration by the Secretary of the Interior under the applicable laws and regulations, and his authority to make contracts and to grant leases, permits, rights-of-way, or easements shall not be impaired by this order. Applications for leases under the Mineral Leasing Act, as amended, 30 U.S.C. 181-287 (1970), will be rejected until this order is modified or the lands are appropriately classified to permit mineral leasing.

JACK O. HORTON,
Assistant Secretary of the Interior.

DECEMBER 11, 1974.

[FR Doc.74-29240 Filed 12-12-74;10:10 am]

[Public Land Order 5456]

[AA-239]

ALASKA

Revocation of Executive Order No. 8786; Partial Revocation of Public Land Order No. 1087

By virtue of the authority vested in the President and pursuant to Executive Order No. 10355 of May 26, 1952 (17 FR 4831), it is ordered as follows:

1. Executive Order No. 8786 of June 14, 1941, which withdrew approximately 1,930 acres of land on Amaknak Island for the use of the Department of the Navy for naval aviation purposes is hereby revoked. A portion of this island is subject to the withdrawal made by Executive Order No. 1733 of March 3, 1913, as amended by Executive Order No. 5243 of December 19, 1929, which remains in full force and effect.

2. Public Land Order No. 1087 of March 8, 1955, which reserved lands on Hog Island in Unalaska Bay and lands on Unalaska Island for use of the Department of the Navy for military purposes its partially revoked so far as it affects the following described lands:

a. All of Hog Island, in Unalaska Bay, near Unalaska Island, as shown on U.S. Coast and Geodetic Survey Chart No. 9007.

The area described aggregates 110 acres.

b. Beginning at a point identified as C & G.S. triangulation Station "Ober," latitude 53°51'25.038" N., longitude 166°33'41.314" W., located on the south shore of Captains Bay, Unalaska Island, thence South, along said longitude to intersection with latitude 53°48'00" N.; East, along said latitude to intersection with longitude 166°31'35" W.; North, along said longitude to intersection with latitude 53°50'48" W.; West, along said latitude to intersection with longitude 166°32'20" W.; North, along said longitude to intersection with southwest boundary of Unalaska Townsite Reservation by Executive Order No. 8573 of October 21, 1940; Northwesterly, along south boundary of the Townsite Reservation to a point on the south shore of Captains Bay; Southwesterly, along shore of Captains Bay to point of beginning.

The tract described contains approximately 3,500 acres.

3. The village corporation of Unalaska at Dutch Harbor, has been found to be eligible for land grants under the Alaska Native Claims Settlement Act of December 18, 1971 (85 Stat. 688), and pursuant to section 12(d) of the act (85 Stat. 688, 702), has timely identified the lands described in paragraph 2 of this order for selection.

JACK O. HORTON,
Assistant Secretary of the Interior.

DECEMBER 11, 1974.

[FR Doc.74-29241 Filed 12-12-74;10:10 am]

[Public Land Order 5457]

[F-012026 (Anch.)]

ALASKA

Partial Revocation of Public Land Order No. 1771

By virtue of the authority vested in the President and pursuant to Executive Order No. 10355 of May 26, 1952 (17 FR 4831), it is ordered as follows:

1. Public Land Order No. 1771 of December 24, 1958, which withdrew lands for the Department of the Air Force for military purposes, is hereby revoked so far as it relates to the following described lands:

UNALAKLEET AREA

Parcel 1: Beginning at a point in latitude 63°55'30" N., longitude 160°46' W., which bears N. 77°20'38" E., 2,992.17 feet from U.S.C. & G.S. Station "Traeger", thence by metes and bounds:

East 4,024.35 feet; south 6,095.72 feet; west 4,026.81 feet; north 6,095.72 feet to the point of beginning.

The tract described contains 563.32 acres.

Parcel 2: Beginning at a point which bears N. 22°51'17" W., 350 feet; and N. 73°15'00" E., 315 feet more or less from Corner No. 2 of Air Navigation Site Withdrawal No. 185; thence by metes and bounds:

West 250 feet, more or less, to a point on the line of mean high tide of Norton Sound; N. 14°00' W., 2,200 feet more or less in a meandering line along said mean high tide line; east 1,650 feet, more or less; south 2,000 feet, more or less; west 720 feet, more or less to the point of beginning; excepting therefrom the following described parcel which contains 8.44 acres:

Commencing at meander Corner No. 2 of ANS 185 proceed N. 73°15' E., 315 feet more or less to a point; thence N. 22°51'16" W., 743 feet more or less to a point; thence N. 17°22'17" W., 1716.12 feet more or less to the point of beginning of this description; thence East 660 feet to a point; thence South 660 feet to a point; thence West 453.53 feet to a point; thence N. 17°22'17" W., 691.54 feet to the point of beginning.

The tract described contains 51.09 acres.

The above described two parcels less the exception, contain a total of 614.41 acres, more or less.

2. The lands described in paragraph 1 of this order, excluding those excepted from Parcel No. 2, are withdrawn under section 11(a)(1) of the Alaska Native Claims Settlement Act of December 18, 1971 (85 Stat. 688, 696), for selection by the Native village of Unalakleet.

3. Prior to any conveyance of the lands described in paragraph 1, the lands shall be subject to administration by the Secretary of the Interior under the applicable laws and regulations, and his authority to make contracts and to grant leases, permits, rights-of-way, or easements shall not be impaired by this order. Applications for leases under the Mineral Leasing Act, as amended, 30 U.S.C. 181, 287 (1970), will be rejected until this order is modified or the lands, are appropriately classified to permit mineral leasing.

JACK O. HORTON,
Assistant Secretary of the Interior.

DECEMBER 11, 1974.

[FR Doc.74-29242 Filed 12-12-74;10:10 am]

[Public Land Order 5458]

[F-010113, 013010]

ALASKA

Partial Revocation of Public Land Orders Nos. 765, 1139, 1444

By virtue of the authority vested in the President and pursuant to Executive Order No. 10355 of May 26, 1952 (17 FR 4831), it is ordered as follows:

1. Public Land Order No. 765 of November 23, 1951, and Public Land Order No. 1139 of April 28, 1955, which withdrew lands for the Department of the Army for military purposes, are hereby revoked as to the following lands, now described by U.S. Survey:

NORTHWAY

U.S. SURVEY NO. 4375

Lot 2, containing 2.34 acres.
Lot 3, containing 7.02 acres.

2. Public Land Order No. 1444 of July 12, 1957, which withdrew lands for the Department of the Air Force as the Northway TACAN Site (F-013010) is hereby revoked as to the following described lands:

Commencing at Corner No. 5 of Federal Aviation Administration existing withdrawal (U.S. Survey No. 2630), proceed S. 30°00' W., 1,569.19 feet to the true point of beginning of this description; thence proceed S. 60°00' E., 1,786.63 feet; thence S. 30°00' E., 948.74 feet; thence N. 60°00' W., 1,786.63 feet; thence N. 30°00' W., 948.74 feet to the point of beginning, containing 38.91 acres more or less.

3. The lands described in paragraphs 1 and 2 are withdrawn under section 11(a)(1) of the Alaska Native Claims Settlement Act of December 18, 1971 (85 Stat. 688, 696), for selection by the village of Northway and the Doyon Ltd. regional corporation.

4. Prior to any conveyance of the lands described in paragraphs 1 and 2, the lands shall be subject to administration by the Secretary of the Interior under the applicable laws and regulations, and his authority to make contracts and to grant leases, permits, rights-of-way, or easements shall not be impaired by this order. Applications for leases under the Mineral Leasing Act, as amended, 30 U.S.C. 181-287 (1970), will be rejected until this order is modified or the lands are appropriately classified to permit mineral leasing.

JACK O. HORTON,
Assistant Secretary of the Interior.

DECEMBER 11, 1974.

[FR Doc.74-29243 Filed 12-12-74;10:11 am]

proposed rules

This section of the FEDERAL REGISTER contains notices to the public of the proposed issuance of rules and regulations. The purpose of these notices is to give interested persons an opportunity to participate in the rule making prior to the adoption of the final rules.

DEPARTMENT OF AGRICULTURE

Agricultural Marketing Service

[7 CFR Part 52]

CANNED GRAPEFRUIT AND ORANGE FOR SALAD

Proposed Grade Standards

Notice is hereby given that the United States Department of Agriculture is considering a revision of the United States Standards for Grades of Canned Grapefruit and Orange for Salad (7 CFR 52.1251–52.1264). These grade standards are issued under the authority of the Agricultural Marketing Act of 1946 (Sec. 205, 60 Stat. 1090, as amended; 7 U.S.C. 1624) which provides for the issuance of official U.S. grades to designate different marketing levels of quality for the voluntary use by producers, buyers, and consumers. Official grading services are also provided under this act upon request and upon payment of a fee to cover cost of such services.

All persons who desire to submit written views, data, or arguments for consideration in connection with the proposed revision should file the same in duplicate, not later than December 31, 1974, with the Hearing Clerk, U.S. Department of Agriculture, Room 112, Administration Building, Washington, D.C. 20250. All written submissions made under this notice will be available for public inspection at the office of the Hearing Clerk during regular business hours (7 CFR 1.27 (b)).

NOTE.—Compliance with the provisions of these standards shall not excuse failure to comply with the provisions of the Federal Food, Drug, and Cosmetic Act, or with applicable State laws and regulations.

Statement of consideration leading to the proposed revision. The Florida Canners Association representing all of the processors of canned grapefruit and orange for salad has requested the USDA to revise the current U.S. Standards for Grades of Canned Grapefruit and Orange for Salad to conform, as nearly as practicable, to the U.S. Standards for Grades of Canned Grapefruit.

The U.S. Standards for Grades of Canned Grapefruit were revised effective October 25, 1973, to overcome problems associated with mechanized segmenting and filling operations. Based upon the applicability of the revised canned grapefruit standards, and the similarity of canned grapefruit to canned grapefruit and orange for salad, the Florida Canners Association has requested the USDA to bring the current U.S. Standards for Grades of Canned Grapefruit and Orange for Salad in line with the current industry problems and operations.

Changes proposed are as follows:

(1) Elimination of drained weight as a scoring factor of quality and adoption of new acceptance criteria for these weights. This is consistent with other U.S. Standards since it is variable from container to container and is not necessarily related to quality.

(2) Lowering of the recommended minimum drained weight from 56.25 percent of the water capacity of the container to 53 percent. This is 3 percent above the FDA minimum fill of container (50 percent) for canned grapefruit and is equal to the U.S. Standards for Grades of Canned Grapefruit (53 percent).

(3) Lower the minimum percent of practically whole segments in grade A from 75 percent to 65 percent of the weight of each fruit. These changes are to compensate for unavoidable increased breakage due to mechanized segmenting and filling operations.

(4) Changes the criteria for the factor of character to relax the restriction on loose floating cells in grade A. This will conform with the current U.S. Standards for Grades of Canned Grapefruit.

(5) Provides for the addition of orange juice as a liquid packing medium.

Other changes proposed to be consistent with current practice in the U.S. standards are as follows:

(1) Provides for Brix determination on comminuted blended slurry of entire contents of container within 15 days of canning as an alternative to Brix determination on the packing media 15 days or more after canning.

(2) Realignment of score points to 25 points for each factor that is scored.

(3) Presents "Allowances for Defects" in a tabular form. This will conform with the current U.S. Standards for Grades of Canned Grapefruit.

The proposed revision is as follows:

Subpart—United States Standards for Grades of Canned Grapefruit and Orange for Salad

Sec.

AUTHORITY: Agricultural Marketing Act of 1946; sec. 205, 60 Stat. 1090, as amended; (7 U.S.C. 1624).

Subpart—United States Standards for Grades of Canned Grapefruit and Orange for Salad

§ 52.1251 Product description.

Canned grapefruit and orange for salad, commonly known as canned citrus salad, is prepared from sound, mature grapefruit (Citrus paradisi Macfadyen) and sound, mature oranges of the orange group (Citrus sinensis). The fruit ingredients have been properly washed, segmented, and cored; and seeds and major portions of membrane have been removed. The product is packed with or without the addition of water, juice, orange juice, nutritive carbohydrate sweeteners, artificial sweeteners, or any other safe and suitable ingredients permissible under the Federal Food, Drug, and Cosmetic Act. The product is sufficiently processed by heat to assure preservation in hermetically sealed containers.

§ 52.1252 Grades.

(a) "U.S. Grade A" (or "U.S. Fancy") is the quality of canned grapefruit and orange for salad that:

(1) Has a drained weight of orange fruit that is not less than 37½ percent nor more than 60 percent of the drained weight of the product;

(2) Has an average drained weight of not less than 53 percent of the water capacity of the container, of which not less than 65 percent by weight of each fruit ingredient consists of practically whole segments;

(3) Has a good color;

(4) Is practically free from defects;

(5) Has a good character;

(6) Has a good flavor and odor; and

(7) Scores not less than 90 points when scored in accordance with the scoring system outlined in this subpart.

(b) "U.S. Grade B" (or "U.S. Choice") is the quality of canned grapefruit and orange for salad that:

(1) Has a drained weight of orange fruit that is not less than 32½ percent nor more than 60 percent of the drained weight of the product;

(2) Has an average drained weight of not less than 53 percent of the water capacity of the container, of which not less than 50 percent by weight of each fruit ingredient consists of practically whole segments;

(3) Has a reasonably good color;

(4) Is reasonably free from defects;

(5) Has a reasonably good character;

(6) Has a reasonably good flavor and odor; and

(7) Scores not less than 80 points when scored in accordance with the scoring system outlined in this subpart.

(c) "U.S. Broken" is the quality of canned grapefruit and orange for salad that:

(1) Has a drained weight of orange fruit that is not less than 32½ percent nor more than 60 percent of the drained weight of the product;

(2) Has an average drained weight of not less than 53 percent of the water capacity of the container, of which less than 50 percent of the drained weight of either fruit ingredient consists of practically whole segments;

(3) Has a reasonably good color;

(4) Is reasonably free from defects;

(5) Has a reasonably good character;

(6) Has a reasonably good flavor and odor; and

(7) Scores not less than 70 points when scored in accordance with the scoring system outlined in this subpart.

(d) "Substandard" is the quality of canned grapefruit and orange for salad that fails to meet the requirements of U.S. Grade B and U.S. Broken.

§ 52.1253 Liquid media and Brix measurements.

(a) Brix requirements for liquid media in canned grapefruit and orange for salad are not incorporated in the grades of the finished product since sirup or any other liquid medium, as such, is not a factor of quality for the purposes of these grades. It is recommended that the product, when packed in sirup, meet the following Brix measurements, as applicable, for the respective designation:

Designations:	*Brix measurement*
Heavy sirup	18° or more.
Light sirup	16° or more, but less than 18°.
Slightly sweetened water	12° or more, but less than 16°.

(b) These recommendations are not applicable to the canned product packed in water, orange juice, fruit juice, or with artificial sweeteners.

(c) The densities of the packing media, as listed in this section, are measured on the refractometer, expressed as percent by weight sucrose (degrees Brix) with correction for temperature to the equivalent at 20° C. (68° F.), but without correction for invert sugars or other substances. The degrees Brix of the packing media may be determined by any other method which gives equivalent results.

(d) Brix determination is made on the packing media 15 days or more after the grapefruit and orange for salad is canned or on the blended homogenized slurry of the comminuted entire contents of the container if canned for less than 15 days.

§ 52.1254 Fill of container.

(a) The recommended fill of container is not incorporated in the grades of the finished product since fill of container, as such, is not a factor of quality for the purposes of these grades. It is recommended that each container be filled as full as practicable with grapefruit and orange for salad without impairment of quality and that the product and packing media occupy not less than 90 percent of the total capacity of the container.

(b) Total capacity of the container means the maximum weight of distilled water, at 20 degrees C. (68 degrees F.) which the sealed container will hold.

§ 52.1255 Minimum drained weights.

(a) *General.* The minimum drained weight requirements for the various container sizes are listed in Table I. The drained weight of the grapefruit and orange for salad is not less than 53 percent of the water capacity of the container.

(b) *Definitions.* (1) Sample average—the average of all the drained weights of the sample containers representing a lot.

(2) $\overline{X}_d$—a specified minimum sample average drained weight.

(3) LL—lower limit for individual container drained weights.

(c) *Method for determining drained weight.* The drained weight of canned grapefruit and orange for salad is determined by emptying the contents of the container upon a U.S. Standard No. 8 circular sieve of proper diameter containing 8 meshes to the inch (0.0937 inch (2.38 mm), ±3 percent square openings) so as to distribute the product evenly over the sieve. Without shifting the product, incline the sieve at an angle of approximately 17° to 20° to facilitate drainage and allow to drain for 2 minutes. The weight of drained grapefruit and orange for salad is the weight of the sieve and product less the weight of the dry sieve. A sieve 8 inches in diameter is used if the contents of the container is less than 3 pounds and a sieve 12 inches in diameter is used if the contents of the container is 3 pounds or more.

(d) *Compliance with drained weight requirements.* A lot of canned grapefruit and orange for salad is considered as meeting the minimum drained weight requirements when the following criteria are met:

(1) The sample average meets the specified minimum sample average drained weight (designated as "$\overline{X}_d$" in Table I); and

(2) The number of containers which fail to meet the minimum drained weight for individual containers (designated as "LL" in Table I) does not exceed the applicable acceptance number specified in Table II.

TABLE I.—*Minimum drained weights*

Container designation	$\overline{X}_d$	LL
	Ounces	*Ounces*
8Z (211×304)	4.60	4.25
No. 303 (303×406)	8.95	8.50
No. 3 cylinder (404×700)	27.40	26.30

TABLE II.—*Single sampling plans and acceptance numbers*

Sample size (number of sample containers)	3	6	13	21	29	38	48	60
Acceptance numbers	0	1	2	3	4	5	6	7

§ 52.1256 Sample unit size.

Compliance with requirements for factors of quality is based on a sample unit comprised of the entire contents of one container, irrespective of container size.

§ 52.1257 Determining the grade of a sample unit.

(a) *General.* The grade of a sample unit of canned grapefruit and orange for salad is determined by considering the factor of flavor and odor which is not scored; the ratings for the factors of wholeness, color, defects, and character which are scored; the total score; and the limiting rules which may be applicable.

(b) *Factors rated by score points.* The relative importance of each factor which is scored is expressed numerically on the scale of 100. The maximum number of points that may be given each factor are:

Factors:	*Points*
Wholeness	25
Color	25
Defects	25
Character	25
Total	100

(c) *Definitions.* (1) "Percentage of orange fruit" means the drained weight of the orange ingredient multiplied by 100 and divided by the drained weight of the total fruit ingredients. Compliance with this requirement shall be based on the sample average (average of all sample units representing a lot): Provided, that the weight of drained orange fruit in no single container is less than 25 percent or more than 75 percent of the drained fruit in such container. It is recommended that the number of orange units be not less than the number of grapefruit units.

(2) "Good flavor and odor" means that the product has a distinct and normal flavor and odor typical of canned grapefruit and orange for salad and is free from objectionable flavors and objectionable odors of any kind.

(3) "Reasonably good flavor and odor" means that the product may be lacking in good flavor and odor but is free from objectionable flavors and objectionable odors of any kind.

§ 52.1258 Determining the rating for the factors which are scored.

The essential variations within each factor which is scored are so described that the value may be determined for each factor and expressed numerically. The numerical range within each factor which is scored is inclusive (for example, "23 to 25 points" means 23, 24, or 25 points).

§ 52.1259 Wholeness.

(a) *General.* A "practically whole segment" means:

(1) A fruit segment that is substantially intact and retains its apparent original conformation; or

(2) A portion of a segment that is not less than 75 percent of its apparent original size.

(b) *(A) classification.* Sample units of canned grapefruit and orange for salad that consist of not less than 65 percent by weight of each drained fruit ingredient in practically whole segments may be given a score of 21 to 25 points.

(c) *(B) classification.* Sample units of canned grapefruit and orange for salad that consist of less than 65 percent but not less than 40 percent by weight of either or both of the drained fruit ingredients in practically whole segments may be given a score of 17 to 20 points. In addition the sample average (average of all sample units representing a lot) shall not be less than 50 percent by weight in practically whole segments. Sample units of canned grapefruit and orange for salad that fall into this classification shall not be graded above U.S. Grade B, regardless of the total score for the product. (This is a limiting rule.)

(d) *(Broken) Classification.* Sample units of canned grapefruit and orange for salad that individually consist of less than 40 percent by weight of either or both of the drained fruit ingredients in practically whole segments, or average (average of all sample units) less than 50 percent by weight of either or both of the drained fruit ingredients in practically whole segments may be given a score of 0 to 16 points. Sample units of canned grapefruit and orange for salad that fall into this classification shall not be graded above U.S. Broken, regardless of the total score for the product. (This is a limiting rule.)

§ 52.1260 Color.

(a) *(A) classification.* Canned grapefruit and orange for salad that has a good color may be given a score of 23 to 25 points. "Good color" means that:

(1) With respect to the grapefruit, a practically uniform, bright, typical color free from any noticeable tinge of amber; and

(2) With respect to the orange fruit, a practically uniform, bright, typical orange color.

(b) *(B) classification.* Canned grapefruit and orange for salad that has a reasonably good color may be given a score of 21 or 22 points. Canned grapefruit and orange for salad that falls into this classification shall not be graded above U.S. Grade B, regardless of the total score for the product. (This is a limiting rule.) "Reasonably good color" means that:

(1) With respect to the grapefruit, a fairly bright color which may be variable but is not off-color for any reason; and

(2) With respect to the orange fruit, at least a fairly bright, typical orange color which may be variable.

(c) *(SStd.) classification.* Canned grapefruit and orange for salad that fails to meet the requirements of paragraph (b) of this section may be given a score of 0 to 20 points and shall not be graded above Substandard, regardless of the total score for the product. (This is a limiting rule.)

§ 52.1261 Defects.

(a) *General.* The factor of defects refers to the degree of freedom from extraneous vegetable material, from seeds, from portions of albedo, from portions of tough membrane, from damaged units, and from other similar defects.

(1) "Extraneous vegetable material" means, portions of leaves, small pieces of peel, and other similar material that is harmless.

(2) "Seed" means any seed or any portion thereof, whether or not fully developed, that measures more than 3/16 inch in any dimension.

(3) "Large seed" means any seed or any portion thereof, whether or not fully developed, that measures more than 3/8 inch in any dimension.

(4) "Damaged unit" means any grapefruit or orange segment or portion thereof that is damaged by lye peeling, by discoloration, or by similar injury or that is otherwise damaged to such an extent that the appearance or eating quality of the unit is materially affected.

(b) *(A) classification.* Canned grapefruit and orange for salad that is practically free from defects may be given a score of 23 to 25 points. "Practically free from defects" means that:

(1) All defects present, whether or not specifically defined or listed in this section, do not more than slightly affect the appearance or edibility of the product; and

(2) The defects that may be present in a sample unit and in the entire sample do not exceed the allowances specified in Table III.

(c) *(B) classification.* Canned grapefruit and orange for salad that is reasonably free from defects may be given a score of 21 or 22 points. Canned grapefruit and orange for salad that falls into this classification shall not be graded above U.S. Grade B, regardless of the total score for the product. (This is a limiting rule.) "Reasonably free from defects" means that:

(1) All defects present, whether or not specifically defined or listed in this section, may materially, but not seriously, affect the appearance or edibility of the product; and

(2) The defects that may be present in a sample unit and in the entire sample do not exceed the allowances specified in Table IV.

(d) *(SStd.) classification.* Canned grapefruit and orange for salad that fails to meet the requirements of paragraph (c) of this section may be given a score of 0 to 20 points and shall not be graded above Substandard, regardless of the total score for the product. (This is a limiting rule.)

TABLE III.—*Allowances for defects in canned grapefruit and orange for salad, U.S. Grade A*

Defects	8Z (211×304)		No. 303 (303×406)	
	Sample unit maximum	Sample average maximum	Sample unit maximum	Sample average maximum
Extraneous vegetable material	1 piece	0.25 piece	1 piece	0.50 piece.
Seeds and large seeds	Total of 3 seeds including not more than 1 large seed.	1.6 seeds including not more than 0.4 large seed.	Total of 4 seeds including not more than 2 large seeds.	3.2 seeds including not more than 0.8 large seed.
Albedo and tough membrane	1 in²	½ in²	2 in²	1 in².
Damaged units	¼ oz		½ oz	
Total [1]	(2)	(2)	(2)	(2).

Defects	No. 3 cylinder (404×700)	
	Sample unit (maximum)	Sample average (maximum)
Extraneous vegetable material	1 piece	0.75 piece
Seeds and large seeds	Total of 10 seeds including not more than 3 large seeds.	
Albedo and tough membrane	5 in²	4 in²
Damaged units	1½ oz	
Total [1]	(2)	(2)

[1] All defects specified above and/or any other defects that may be present.
[2] Cumulative effect—does not more than slightly affect the appearance or eating quality of the product.

TABLE IV.—*Allowances for defects in canned grapefruit and orange for salad, U.S. Grade B*

Defects	8Z (211 × 304)		No. 303 (303 × 406)	
	Sample unit (maximum)	Sample average (maximum)	Sample unit (maximum)	Sample average (maximum)
Extraneous vegetable material	2 pieces	0.50 piece	2 pieces	0.75 piece.
Seeds and large seeds	Total of 6 seeds including not more than 2 large seeds.	4.8 seeds including not more than 1.2 large seeds.	Total of 12 seeds including not more than 3 large seeds.	9.6 seeds including not more than 2.4 large seeds.
Albedo and tough membrane	1½ in²	¾ in²	3 in²	2 in².
Damaged units	¾ oz		1½ oz	
Total [1]	(2)	(2)	(2)	(2)

	No. 3 Cylinder (404 × 700)	
	Sample unit (maximum)	Sample average (maximum)
Extraneous vegetable material	2 pieces	1 piece
Seeds and large seeds	Total of 20 seeds including not more than 5 large seeds.	
Albedo and tough membrane	7½ in²	6 in²
Damaged units	4 oz	
Total [1]	(2)	(2)

[1] All defects specified above and/or any other defects that may be present.
[2] Cumulative effect—may materially, but not seriously, affect the appearance or eating quality of the product.

§ 52.1262 Character.

(a) *General.* The factor of character refers to the structure and condition of the cells of the grapefruit and orange and reflects the maturity of the fruits.

(b) *(A) classification.* Canned grapefruit and orange for salad that has a good character may be given a score of 23 to 25 points. "Good character" means that the grapefruit and orange segments are moderately firm and fleshy; that the segments or portions thereof have a juicy, cellular structure free from dry cells, or "ricey" cells, or fibrous cells that materially affects the appearance or eating quality of the product.

(c) *(B) classification.* Canned grapefruit and orange for salad that has a reasonably good character may be given a score of 21 or 22 points. Canned grapefruit and orange for salad that falls into this classification shall not be graded above U.S. Grade B, regardless of the total score for the product. (This is a limiting rule.) "Reasonably good character" means that the grapefruit and orange segments may be affected, but not seriously so, by dry cells, "ricey" cells or fibrous cells that detract from the appearance or eating quality of the product.

(d) *(SStd.) classification.* Canned grapefruit and orange for salad that fails to meet the requirements of paragraph (c) of this section may be given a score of 0 to 20 points and shall not be graded above Substandard, regardless of the total score for the product. (This is a limiting rule.)

§ 52.1263 Determining the grade of a lot.

The grade of a lot of canned grapefruit and orange for salad covered by these standards is determined by the procedures set forth in the Regulations Governing Inspection and Certification of Processed Fruits and Vegetables, Processed Products Thereof, and Certain Other Processed Food Products (§§ 52.1 through 52.83).

§ 52.1264 Score sheet for canned grapefruit and orange for salad.

Size and kind of container			----
Container mark or identification			----
Label			----
Net weight (ounces)			----
Vacuum (inches)			----
Drained weight (ounces)			----
Brix measurements			----
Syrup designation			----
Count			----
Grapefruit			----
Orange			----
Factors	**Score points**		
Wholeness	25	(A)	21–25
		(B)	[1] 17–20
		(Broken)	[1] 0–16
Color	25	(A)	23–25
		(B)	[1] 21–22
		(Broken)	[1] 21–25
		(SStd.)	[1] 0–20
Defects	25	(A)	23–25
		(B)	[1] 21–22
		(Broken)	[1] 21–25
		(SStd.)	[1] 0–20
Character	25	(A)	23–25
		(B)	[1] 21–22
		(Broken)	[1] 21–25
		(SStd.)	[1] 0–20
Total score	100		
Flavor and odor			----
Good; Reasonably Good			----
Grade			----

[1] Indicates limiting rule.

Dated: December 9, 1974.

E. L. PETERSON,
Administrator,
Agricultural Marketing Service.

[FR Doc.74-29068 Filed 12-13-74;8:45 am]

[7 CFR Parts 1002, 1004]

[Docket Nos. A0-160-A50, A0-71-A67]

MILK IN THE MIDDLE ATLANTIC AND NEW YORK-NEW JERSEY MARKETING AREAS

Extension of Time for Filing Exceptions to the Recommended Decision on Proposed Amendments to Tentative Marketing Agreements and to Orders

Notice is hereby given that the time for filing exceptions to the recommended decision with respect to the proposed amendments to the tentative marketing agreements and to the orders regulating the handling of milk in the Middle Atlantic and New York-New Jersey marketing areas, which was issued October 15, 1974 (39 FR 37926), extended to December 13, 1974 (39 FR 39885), is hereby further extended to December 30, 1974. The extention of time was requested by James A. McHale, Secretary, Pennsylvania Department of Agriculture.

This notice is issued pursuant to the provisions of the Agricultural Marketing Agreement Act of 1937, as amended (7 U.S.C. 601 et seq.), and the applicable rules of practice and procedure governing the formulation of marketing agreements and marketing orders (7 CFR Part 900).

Signed at Washington, D.C., on: December 10, 1974.

JOHN C. BLUM,
Associate Administrator.

[FR Doc.74-29188 Filed 12-13-74;8:45 am]

Food and Nutrition Service

[7 CFR Part 271]

[Amdt. 35]

FOOD STAMP PROGRAM

Extension of Comment Period

A proposed amendment to the Food Stamp Regulations was published in the FEDERAL REGISTER on September 30, 1974. This proposal was to: (1) Provide restoration of certain lost benefits to food stamp recipients; (2) offset nonfraud recipient claims against refunds due to households for overcollection of cash and/or for any retroactive benefits to which that household is entitled; (3) strengthen the accounting and control over the sale of food coupons; and (4) make State agencies which have failed to cooperate with USDA liable to FNS for the bonus value of coupons issued

pursuant to court decisions which are inconsistent with federally prescribed program provisions. The comment period expired October 30, 1974.

In an effort to stimulate additional feedback on these important issues, the Department has decided to extend the comment period until January 15, 1975.

(78 Stat. 703, as amended (7 U.S.C. 2011–2026))

(Catalog of Federal Domestic Assistance Programs No. 10.551, National Archives Reference Services)

Dated: December 11, 1974.

RICHARD L. FELTNER,
Assistant Secretary.

[FR Doc.74-29231 Filed 12-13-74;8:45 am]

DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION

Federal Aviation Administration

[14 CFR Part 71]

[Airspace Docket No. 74-EA-80]

CONTROL ZONE AND TRANSITION AREA

Proposed Alteration, Designation, and Revocation

The Federal Aviation Administration is considering amending §§ 71.171 and 71.181 of Part 71 of the Federal Aviation regulations so as to alter the Camp Springs, Md. (39 FR 365), Fort Belvoir, Va. (39 FR 380) Control Zones; and Washington, D.C. Transition Area (39 FR 608); and designate a Chantilly, Va. Transition Area; and revoke the Leesburg, Va. Transition Area (39 FR 528).

The Washington, D.C. terminal area requires changes in the controlled airspace to meet control requirements. The present Washington, D.C. Transition Area includes the transition area airspace for Washington National Airport, Washington, D.C., Andrews AFB, Camp Springs, Md., Dulles International Airport, Chantilly, Va., Davison, AAF, Fort Belvoir, Va., and Manassas Municipal Airport, (Harry P. Davis Field) Manassas, Va., The Leesburg, Va. Transition Area presently provides a separate designation for Leesburg Municipal Airport (Godfrey Field).

It is proposed to incorporate the 700-foot floor transition area for these airports into two transition areas as follows: The Washington, D.C. Transition Area as amended will include the transition area requirements for Washington National Airport, Andrews AFB and Davison AAF. The designation of a Chantilly, Va. Transition Area will include the transition area requirements for Dulles International Airport, Leesburg Municipal Airport (Godfrey Field), and Manassas Municipal (Harry P. Davis Field). The present Leesburg, Va. Transition Area will be revoked since the transition area requirements will be included in the Chantilly, Va. Transition Area.

Interested parties may submit such written data or views as they may desire. Communications should be submitted in triplicate to the Director, Eastern Region, Attn: Chief, Air Traffic Division, Department of Transportation, Federal Aviation Administration, Federal Building, John F. Kennedy International Airport, Jamaica, New York 11430. All communications received on or before January 15, 1975 will be considered before action is taken on the proposed amendment. No hearing is contemplated at this time, but arrangements may be made for informal conferences with Federal Aviation Administration officials by contacting the Chief, Airspace and Procedures Branch, Eastern Region.

Any data or views presented during such conferences must also be submitted in writing in accordance with this notice in order to become part of the record for consideration. The proposal contained in this notice may be changed in the light of comments received.

The official docket will be available for examination by interested parties at the Office of Regional Counsel, Federal Aviation Administration, Federal Building, John F. Kennedy International Airport, Jamaica, New York.

The Federal Aviation Administration, having completed a review of the airspace requirements for the terminal area of Washington, D.C. proposes the airspace action hereinafter set forth:

§ 71.171 [Amended]

1. Amend § 71.171 of Part 71 of the Federal Aviation regulations by deleting the description of the Camp Spring, Md. Control Zone and by substituting the following in lieu thereof:

Within a 5-mile radius of the center, 38°48'39" N., 76°52'02" W. of Andrews AFB, Camp Spring, Md.; within 2.5 miles each side of the Andrews VORTAC 360° radial, extending from the VORTAC to 7.5 miles north of the VORTAC; within 2.5 miles each side of the Andrews VORTAC 180° radial, extending from the VORTAC to 7 miles south of the VORTAC, excluding the portion within a 1-mile radius of the center 38°44'58" N., 76°55'58" W. of Hyde Field, Clinton, Md., excluding the west portion subtended by a chord drawn between the points of intersection of the 5-mile radius zone with the Washington, D.C. Control Zone.

2. Amend § 71.171 of Part 71 of the Federal Aviation Regulations by deleting the description of the Fort Belvoir, Va. Control Zone and by substituting the following in lieu thereof:

Within a 5-mile radius of the center, 38°42'55" N., 77°10'55" W., of Davison AAF, Fort Belvoir, Va.; within 1 mile each side of the Davison AAF localizer southeast course, extending from the 5-mile radius zone to the OM; within 2 miles each side of the extended centerline of Runway 32, extending from the northwest end of Runway 32 to 5 miles northwest, excluding the portion within P-73.

§ 171.181 [Amended]

3. Amend § 71.181 of Part 71 of the Federal Aviation regulations by deleting the description of the Washington, D.C. Transition Area and by substituting the following in lieu thereof:

That airspace extending upward from 700 feet above the surface within a 10-mile radius of the center 38°51'07" N., 77°02'23" W., of Washington National Airport, Washington, D.C.; within an 11-mile radius of the center of Washington National Airport, extending clockwise from a 022° bearing to a 165° bearing from the airport; within an 11.5-mile radius of the center of Washington National Airport, extending clockwise from a 210° bearing to a 270° bearing from the airport; within a 12.5-mile radius of the center of Washington National Airport, extending clockwise from a 270° bearing to a 310° bearing from the airport; within an 11.5-mile radius of the center of Washington National Airport, extending clockwise from a 310° bearing to a 022° bearing from the airport; within 4.5 miles each side of a 317° bearing from the Georgetown, D.C. RBN, extending from the RBN to 5.5 miles northwest; within an 8.5-mile radius of the center, 38°48'39" N., 76°52'02" W., of Andrews AFB, Camp Springs, Md.; within 2.5 miles each side of the Andrews VORTAC 360° radial, extending from the VORTAC to 9.5 miles north of the VORTAC; within a 5-mile radius of the center, 38°42'55" N., 77°10'55" W., of Davison AAF, Fort Belvoir, Va.; within 5 miles each side of a 180° bearing from a point 38°39'41" N., 77°06'37" W., extending from said point to 9.5 miles south; within 5 miles each side of a 081° bearing from a point 38°39'41" N., 77°06'37" W., extending from said point to 20 miles east; within 3.5 miles each side of the extended centerline of Davison AAF Runway 32, extending from the northwest end of Runway 32 to 9 miles northwest; within 6.5 miles southwest and 4.5 miles northeast of a 134° bearing and a 314° bearing from a point 38°39'41" N., 77°06'37" W., extending from 5.5 miles northwest to 11.5 miles southeast of said point; excluding the portion within P-56 and P-73.

4. Amend Section 71.181 of Part 71 of the Federal Aviation Regulations by designating a Chantilly, Va. Transition Area as follows:

CHANTILLY, VA.

That airspace extending upward from 700 feet above the surface within a 10-mile radius of the center, 38°56'40" N., 77°27'24" W., of Dulles International Airport, within 3 miles each side of the Armel, Va. VORTAC 292° radial, extending from the VORTAC to 20 miles west; within 5 miles west and 6.5 miles east of the Dulles International Airport Runway 19R ILS localizer course, extending from the OM to 13 miles north; within 6.5 miles east and 4.5 miles west of the Dulles International Airport Runway 19L ILS localizer course extending from 5.5 miles south of the OM to 11.5 miles north of the OM; within 5 miles each side of the Martinsburg, W. Va. VORTAC 176° radial, extending from 15 miles south of the VORTAC to 28.5 miles south of the VORTAC; within 6.5 miles west and 4.5 miles east of the Dulles International Airport Runway 1R localizer course, extending from the OM to 11.5 miles south; within an 8-mile radius of the center of Leesburg Municipal Airport (Godfrey Field), Leesburg, Va. 39°04'37" N., 77°33'25" W.; within a 6.5-mile radius of the center 38°43'30" N., 77°31'00" W., of Manassas Municipal Airport (Harry P. Davis Field), Manassas, Va. within 2 miles each side of a 330° bearing from a point 38°43'36" N., 77°31'18" W., extending from said point to 9.5 miles northwest.

5. Amend § 71.181 of Part 71 of the Federal Aviation Regulations by revoking the Leesburg, Va. Transition Area.

(Sec. 307(a), Federal Aviation Act of 1958 (72 Stat. 749; 49 U.S.C. 1348); sec. 6(c), De-

partment of Transportation Act (49 U.S.C. 1655(c)))

Issued in Jamaica, N.Y., on November 29, 1974.

JAMES BISPO,
Deputy Director,
Eastern Region.

[FR Doc.74-29109 Filed 12-13-74;8:45 am]

[14 CFR Part 71]

[Airspace Docket No. 74-RM-20]

TRANSITION AREA

Proposed Designation

The Federal Aviation Administration is considering an amendment to Part 71 of the Federal Aviation regulations so as to designate a transition area at Steamboat Springs, Colo.

Interested persons may participate in the proposed rulemaking by submitting such written data, views, or arguments as they may desire. Communications should be submitted in triplicate to the Chief, Air Traffic Division, Federal Aviation Administration, Park Hill Station, P.O. Box 7213, Denver, Colorado 80207. All communications received on or before January 9, 1975. will be considered before action is taken on the proposed amendment. No public hearing is contemplated at this time, but arrangements for informal conferences with Federal Aviation Administration officials may be made by contacting the Regional Air Traffic Division Chief. Any data, views, or arguments presented during such conferences must also be submitted in writing in accordance with this notice in order to become part of the record for consideration. The proposal contained in this notice may be changed in the light of comments received.

A public docket will be available for examination by interested persons in the office of the Regional Counsel, Federal Aviation Administration, 10455 E. 25th Avenue, Aurora, Colorado 80010.

Rocky Mountain Airways, an air taxi commercial operator, is regularly serving the Steamboat Springs Municipal Airport on a scheduled basis. A private-use instrument approach procedure has been developed for Rocky Mountain Airways utilizing privately-owned NDB and MLS navigational aids. Communications and weather reporting services are available. The proposed transition areas will provide controlled airspace for arrival/departure procedures, as well as holding and transition routes from Victor Airway 200 and 220. Consideration was given to terrain, chart legibility, and ease of charting in arriving at the proposed floor of 1,200' AGL northwest of the Kremmling, Colorado VORTAC.

In consideration of the foregoing, the FAA proposes the following airspace action:

In § 71.181 (39 FR 440) designate a transition area for Steamboat Springs, Colorado to read:

STEAMBOAT SPRINGS, COLO.

That airspace extending upward from 700 feet above the surface within 5 miles east and 7.5 miles west of the 153° and 333° bearings from the Rocky Mountain Airways NDB (latitude 40°28'13" N., longitude 106°49'46" W.) extending from 6.5 miles northwest of the NDB to 12.5 miles southeast of the NDB; that airspace extending upward from 1,200 feet above the surface within an area bounded by a line beginning at latitude 40°04'30" N., longitude 106°30'00" W.; to latitude 40°05'40" N., longitude 107°00'00" W.; to latitude 40°35'00" N., longitude 107° 00'00" W.; to latitude 40°35'00" N., longitude 106°30'00" W.; to point of beginning.

That airspace extending upward from 13,300' MSL within an area bounded by a line beginning at latitude 40°04'15" N., longitude 106°30'00" W.; to latitude 40°25'00" N., longitude 106°30'00" W.; to latitude 40°08' 00" N.; longitude 105°52'00" W.; thence along the north edge of V220 to the point of beginning.

(Sec. 307(a), Federal Aviation Act of 1958, as amended, (49 U.S.C. 1348(a)); Sec. 6(c), Department of Transportation Act (49 U.S.C. 1655(c)))

Issued in Aurora, Colorado, on December 5, 1974.

M. M. MARTIN,
Director,
Rocky Mountain Region.

[FR Doc.74-29108 Filed 12-13-74;8:45 am

[14 CFR Part 71]

[Airspace Docket No. 74-GL-41]

TRANSITION AREA

Proposed Designation

The Federal Aviation Administration is considering amending Part 71 of the Federal Aviation regulations so as to designate a transition area at Medford, Wisconsin.

Interested persons may participate in the proposed rulemaking by submitting such written data, views or arguments as they may desire. Communications should be submitted in triplicate to the Director, Great Lakes Region, Attention: Chief, Air Traffic Division, Federal Aviation Administration, 2300 East Devon Avenue, Des Plaines, Illinois 60018. All communications received on or before January 15, 1975 will be considered before action is taken on the proposed amendment. No public hearing is contemplated at this time, but arrangements for informal conferences with Federal Aviation Administration officials may be made by contacting the Regional Air Traffic Division Chief. Any data, views or arguments presented during such conferences must also be submitted in writing in accordance with this notice in order to become part of the record for consideration. The proposal contained in this notice may be changed in the light of comments received.

A public docket will be available for examination by interested persons in the Office of the Regional Counsel, Federal Aviation Administration, 2300 East Devon Avenue, Des Plaines, Illinois 60018.

A standard instrument approach procedure has been developed for the Taylor County Airport. Consequently, it is necessary to provide controlled airspace protection for aircraft executing this approach procedure by designating a transition area at Medford, Wisconsin.

In consideration of the foregoing, the Federal Aviation Administration proposes to amend Part 71 of the Federal Aviation regulations as hereinafter set forth:

In § 71.181 (39 FR 440), the following transition area is added:

MEDFORD, WISCONSIN

That airspace extending upward from 700 feet above the surface within a 5.5 mile radius of the Taylor County Airport (Latitude 45°06'02" N., Longitude 90°18'18" W.); within 3 miles each side of the 162° bearing from the airport extending from the 5.5 mile radius area to 8 miles Southeast of the airport.

(Sec. 307(a), Federal Aviation Act of 1958 (49 U.S.C. 1348); sec. 6(c) of the Department of Transportation Act (49 U.S.C. 1655(c)))

Issued in Des Plaines, Illinois on November 25, 1974.

R. O. ZIEGLER,
Acting Director,
Great Lakes Region.

[FR Doc.74-29110 Filed 12-13-74;8:45 am]

[14 CFR Part 71]

[Airspace Docket No. 74-GL-44]

TRANSITION AREA

Proposed Designation

The Federal Aviation Administration is considering amending Part 71 of the Federal Aviation regulations so as to designate a transition area at Cadiz Ohio.

Interested persons may participate in the proposed rule making by submitting such written data, views or arguments as they may desire. Communications should be submitted in triplicate to the Director, Great Lakes Region, Attention: Chief, Air Traffic Division, Federal Aviation Administration, 2300 East Devon Avenue, Des Plaines, Illinois 60018. All communications received on or before January 15, 1975 will be considered before action is taken on the proposed amendment. No public hearing is contemplated at this time, but arrangements for informal conferences with Federal Aviation Administration officials may be made by contacting the Regional Air Traffic Division Chief. Any data, views or arguments presented during such conferences must also be submitted in writing in accordance with this notice in order to become part of the record for consideration. The proposal contained in this notice may be changed in the light of comments received.

A public docket will be available for examination by interested persons in the Office of the Regional Counsel, Federal Aviation Administration, 2300 East Devon, Des Plaines, Illinois 60018.

A new instrument approach procedure has been developed for the Harrison County Airport. Consequently, it is necessary to provide controlled airspace protection for aircraft executing this approach procedure by designating a transition area at Cadiz, Ohio.

In consideration of the foregoing, the Federal Aviation Administration proposes to amend Part 71 of the Federal Aviation regulations as hereinafter set forth:

In § 71.181 (39 FR 440), the following transition area is added:

CADIZ, OHIO

That airspace extending upward from 700 feet above the surface within a 7-mile radius of the Harrison County Airport (Latitude 40°14'18" N., Longitude 81°00'45" W.); within 3 miles each side of the 311° bearing from the airport, extending from the 7-mile radius area to 8 miles northwest of the airport.

(Sec. 307(a), Federal Aviation Act of 1958 (49 U.S.C. 1348); sec. 6(c), Department of Transportation Act (49 U.S.C. 1655(c)))

Issued in Des Plaines, Illinois, on November 25, 1974.

R. O. ZIEGLER,
Acting Director,
Great Lakes Region.

[FR Doc.74-29111 Filed 12-13-74;8:45 am]

[14 CFR Part 71]

[Airspace Docket No. 74-GL-45]

TRANSITION AREA

Proposed Designation

The Federal Aviation Administration is considering amending Part 71 of the Federal Aviation regulations so as to designate a transition area at Antigo, Wisconsin.

Interested persons may participate in the proposed rule making by submitting such written data, views or arguments as they may desire. Communications should be submitted in triplicate to the Director, Great Lakes Region, Attention: Chief, Air Traffic Division, Federal Aviation Administration, 2300 East Devon Avenue, Des Plaines, Illinois 60018. All communications received on or before January 15, 1975 will be considered before action is taken on the proposed amendment. No public hearing is contemplated at this time, but arrangements for informal conferences with Federal Aviation Administration officials may be made by contacting the Regional Air Traffic Division Chief. Any data, views or arguments presented during such conferences must also be submitted in writing in accordance with this notice in order to become part of the record for consideration. The proposal contained in this notice may be changed in light of comments received.

A public docket will be available for examination by interested persons in the Office of the Regional Counsel, Federal Aviation Administration, 2300 East Devon Avenue, Des Plaines, Illinois 60018.

A new standard instrument approach procedure has been developed for the Langlade County Airport. Consequently, it is necessary to provide controlled airspace protection for aircraft executing this new approach procedure by designating a transition area at Antigo, Wisconsin.

In consideration of the foregoing, the Federal Aviation Administration proposes to amend Part 71 of the Federal Aviation regulations as hereinafter set forth:

In § 71.181 (39 FR 440), the following transition area is added:

ANTIGO, WISCONSIN

That airspace extending upward from 700 feet above the surface within a 5-mile radius of the Langlade County Airport (Latitude 45°09'20" N., Longitude 89°06'33" W.); within 3 miles each side of the 358° bearing from the airport, extending from the 5-mile radius area to 8 miles north of the airport.

(Sec. 307(a), Federal Aviation Act of 1958 (49 U.S.C. 1348); sec. 6(c), Department of Transportation Act (49 U.S.C. 1655(c)))

Issued in Des Plaines, Illinois on November 25, 1974.

R. O. ZIEGLER,
Acting Director,
Great Lakes Region.

[FR Doc.74-29112 Filed 12-13-74;8:45 am]

National Highway Traffic Safety Administration

[23 CFR Part 1204]

[Docket No. 74-35; Notice 2]

HIGHWAY SAFETY PROGRAM STANDARDS; BICYCLIST SAFETY

Extension of Comment Period

This notice extends the comment closing date for the advance notice of proposed rulemaking concerning Bicyclist Safety.

On October 15, 1974, the National Highway Traffic Safety Administration issued an Advance notice of proposed rulemaking relating to bicyclist safety (39 FR 36864). While the closing date for comments on the notice was December 16, 1974, petitions to extend the comment period 3 to 6 months were received from Congressman Sidney Yates of Illinois and such organizations as the American Youth Hostels and the League of American Wheelman. It was the belief of these organizations that their members would not be informed of the proposed standard in sufficient time to respond.

When it began rulemaking in this area, the agency was aware of the need for substantial information from many sources, including bicyclists themselves. For that reason, it embarked on a three-step process in establishing the final standard. The first step was the issuance of the advance notice of proposed rulemaking which raised rather than resolved the major issues in this area. The second step, anticipated for early spring, will be to issue a notice of proposed rulemaking (NPRM), based on responses received to the advance notice. An additional period for comment will follow the NPRM. It is only after reviewing comments in response to the NPRM that NHTSA will take the third step of transmitting a final rule to the Congress pursuant to the Highway Safety Act of 1973.

It is the intent of NHTSA that comments be considered from the bicycling public at each step of the standard-making process. Further, comments received after the comment closing date are not excluded from consideration, but rather are considered to the extent practicable during the drafting of the standard. The comment closing date is meant to indicate when NHTSA will begin to draft the next stage of the standard, and to guarantee the commenter that comments received before that date will be considered pursuant to the Administrative Procedures Act. The NHTSA concludes, therefore, that the public will have ample opportunity to comment on the proposed bicyclist standard at its various stages of development, and that an extension of 3 to 6 months is not necessary.

The NHTSA is also aware, however, that many bicyclists who did not learn of the advance notice when issued feel they have much to contribute to the initial stage of NHTSA's standard-making process. Further, the National Highway Safety Advisory Committee has recommended that NHTSA solicit the views of school superintendents concerning bicyclist safety. Consequently, the comment period is hereby extended to January 16, 1975.

(Sec. 101, Pub. L. 89-564, 80 Stat. 731 (23 U.S.C. 402); delegations of authority at 49 CFR 1.51 and 49 CFR 501.8)

Issued on December 13, 1974.

WILLARD Y. HOWELL,
Acting Associate Administrator,
Traffic Safety Programs.

[FR Doc.74-29394 Filed 12-13-74;11:37 am]

ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY

[40 CFR Part 120]

[FRL 277-8]

WATER QUALITY STANDARDS; OREGON

Withdrawal of Proposed Rulemaking

The purpose of this notice is to withdraw the notice of proposed rulemaking published in the FEDERAL REGISTER (February 4, 1974 (39 FR 4486)), in which the Environmental Protection Agency proposed to amend 40 CFR Part 120 to set out water quality standards for total dissolved gas for the State of Oregon.

The February 4, 1974 notice of proposed rulemaking was issued pursuant to section 303 of the Federal Water Pollution Control Act, as amended (Pub. L. 92-500, 86 Stat. 816, 33 U.S.C. 1251 et seq., the "Act"), which provides for Federal promulgation of water quality standards where a State fails to adopt water quality standards meeting the requirements of the Act. The EPA is required to promulgate standards within 190 days of the proposal, unless by such time the State shall have adopted water quality standards which are found to be in accordance with the requirements of the Act.

The notice proposed a revision of the total dissolved gas water quality standard for the State of Oregon. Excessive total dissolved gas pressure (supersaturation) is a relatively new aspect of water quality. Gas bubble disease in

aquatic organisms can result when the uncompensated total gas pressure is greater in the water than in the air. This increase in pressure occurs at dam spillways, in thermal discharges or as a result of eutrophication. The main cause of excessive total dissolved gas pressure in the Pacific Northwest is supersaturated waters from the spillways of dams. As the water passes over the top of the spillway, air is forced into solution and supersaturation (gas pressure that results in over 100 percent saturation) can result. Modified gas pressures present a widespread potential for adversely affecting fish and aquatic invertebrates.

As the uncompensated pressure increases, fish that are acclimated to a lower pressure can suffer from gas bubble disease when they enter the area of higher pressure. Bubbles form on the external surfaces of the fish and within their bodies. Death results if the internal gas bubbles become sufficiently large to block major blood vessels. Sublethal effects reduce the health of the fish making them increasingly susceptible to other diseases. These effects can occur as gas pressures increase above 110 percent of saturation.

A water quality standard setting total dissolved gas criteria decreases in stringency as it exceeds 100 percent saturation. In considering the technical aspects of this FEDERAL REGISTER notice, therefore, one should keep in mind that an allowable increase in percent of saturation of total dissolved gas from, for example, 105 percent to 110 percent represents a less stringent criteria because the total amount of dissolved gas increases.

EPA after partially approving Oregon's water quality standards requested that Oregon change its water quality standard for total dissolved gas from 105 percent to 110 percent saturation to complete the standards. The reasoning for the proposed action was that adoption of a criterion of 105 percent was not compatible with the neighboring States of Washington and Idaho which have a standard for total dissolved gas of 110 percent. EPA also believed that 105 percent saturation for total dissolved gas was unreasonably stringent and unachievable. Oregon, however, believes that the 105 percent standard should apply and declined to adopt the proposal. EPA then proposed promulgation of the 110 percent total dissolved gas standard which was published in the FEDERAL REGISTER on February 4, 1974.

Section 510 of the Act, however, provides for the right of a State to adopt and enforce State standards that are more stringent than Federal standards. Subsequent to publication of the proposed Oregon standard, and a further review of the application of section 510 to this action, the Agency has determined that the Oregon total dissolved gas standard would not be preempted by promulgation of the less stringent Federal standard of 110 percent. For this reason, EPA has decided to withdraw the notice of proposed rulemaking, while expressing following reservations:

(1) EPA believes that the Oregon standard is unrealistic. Approval of the "fail safe" 105 percent standard is not considered necessary to protect aquatic life on the basis of available scientific information;

(2) The neighboring States of Idaho and Washington have total dissolved gas limits of 110 percent and Oregon should adopt consistent standards for interstate waters;

(3) Over the long term, it is believed that attainment of the 105 percent standard would be accomplished at substantially increased cost over attainment of 110 percent; and

(4) There is doubt as to whether 105 percent can ever be achieved, over the long term, even under the most favorable conditions.

In view of these concerns, EPA will continue to urge the State of Oregon to adopt the total dissolved gas standard of 110 percent of saturation.

In consideration of the foregoing, the notice of proposed rulemaking published in the FEDERAL REGISTER, February 4, 1974 (39 FR 4486), entitled "State of Oregon, Navigable Water Quality Standards" is hereby withdrawn.

A copy of the standards may be found at EPA Headquarters, Room 807–East Tower, 401 M Street, SW., Washington, D.C. 20460 and at Region X, EPA, 1200 6th Avenue–Park Place, Seattle, Washington 98101.

This withdrawal is issued under the authority of Section 303 of the Federal Water Pollution Control Act, as amended (Pub. L. 92–500, 86 Stat. 816, 33 U.S.C. 1251 et seq.).

Dated: December 10, 1974.

RUSSELL E. TRAIN,
Administrator.

[FR Doc.74–29099 Filed 12–13–74;8:45 am]

VETERANS ADMINISTRATION

[38 CFR Part 3]

VETERANS BENEFITS

Effective Date of Increased Benefits

The Administrator of Veterans' Affairs proposes an amendment to § 3.660(c) of Title 38, Code of Federal Regulations, to conform with provisions in title 38, United States Code.

Section 3010(n) of title 38, United States Code provides that the effective date of an award of increased benefits by reason of marriage or birth or adoption of a child shall be the date of the event if evidence of the event is received by the Veterans Administration within 1 year from such date. This provision was added by Public Law 91–584 (84 Stat. 1575). Currently § 3.660(c) of Title 38, Code of Federal Regulations, provides that the effective date of increased benefits due to a change in marital status or status of dependents will be the date of receipt of notice of such change. It is proposed to amend § 3.660(c) to provide that when an increase in rates is due to marriage, or birth or adoption of a child, which would permit payment at a higher rate, the increased rate will be effective the date of the event if evidence of the event is received within 1 year from such date.

In addition minor editorial changes are made in §§ 3.660(a), 3.662 and 3.666 to reflect these provisions apply equally to male and female beneficiaries. No substantive change affecting benefits is involved.

Interested persons are invited to submit written comments, suggestions, or objections regarding the proposal to the Administrator of Veterans' Affairs (27A1), Veterans Administration, 810 Vermont Avenue, NW., Washington, DC 20420. All relevant material received before January 15, 1975, will be considered. All written comments received will be available for public inspection at the above address only between the hours of 8 a.m. and 4:30 p.m. Monday through Friday (except holidays), during the mentioned 30-day period and for 10 days thereafter. Any person visiting Central Office for the purpose of inspecting any such comments will be received by the Central Office Veterans Assistance Unit in room 132. Such visitors to any field station will be informed that the records are available for inspection only in Central Office and furnished the address and the above room number.

Notice is given that the change to § 3.660(c) would be effective December 24, 1970, the date of enactment of Public Law 91–584.

1. In § 3.660, paragraph (a) (1) and (c) are revised to read as follows:

§ 3.660 Dependency, income and estate.

(a) *Reduction or discontinuance*—(1) *General.* A veteran, widow, widower or child who is receiving pension, or a parent who is receiving compensation or dependency and indemnity compensation must notify the Veterans Administration of any material change or expected change in or her income or other circumstances which would affect his or her entitlement to receive, or the rate of, the benefit being paid. Such notice must be furnished when the veteran acquires knowledge that he or she will begin to reveive additional income at a rate which if continued will cause the income to exceed the income limitation or increment applicable to the rate of the benefit being paid or when his or her marital or dependency status changes. In pension claims subject to § 3.252(b) and in compensation claims subject to § 3.250(a) (2), notice must be furnished of any material increase in corpus of the estate or net worth.

* * * * *

(c) *Increases; change in status.* Where there is change in the payee's marital status or status of dependents which would permit payment at a higher rate and the change in status is by reason of the claimant's marriage or birth or adoption of a child, the effective date of the increase will be the date of the event if the

required evidence is received within 1 year of the event. Where there is a change in dependency status for any reason other than marriage, or the birth or adoption of a child, which would permit payment at a higher rate, the increased rate will be effective the date of receipt of notice constituting an informal claim if the required evidence is received within 1 year of the Veterans Administration request. The rate payable for each period will be determined, as provided in § 3.260 (f), on the basis of income for the full calendar year. (See § 3.651 as to increase due to termination of payments to another payee. Also see § 3.667 as to increase based on school attendance.)

2. Section 3.662 is revised to read as follows:

§ 3.662 Children; no widow or widower entitled.

(a) When an award of death pension to a widow or widower with a child or children has been discontinued for the reason that his or her annual income is in excess of the statutory limitation, payments to the child or children whose annual income, determined separately, does not exceed the statutory limitation will commence effective the day following the date of last payment to the widow or widower. In those cases in which an award of death pension to a widow or widower is discontinued retroactively in accordance with the provisions of § 3.660 and an additional amount in behalf of a child or children was included in the award, an adjustment will be made in the award to the widow or widower. The monthly rate payable to the widow or widower for the period from the eective date of discontinuance to the date of last payment will be the amount to which such child or children would have been entitled during that period.

(b) When payments are being made to a child or children and evidence is received showing that the annual income of the widow or widower, which was in excess of the statutory limitation, has been reduced to an amount not in excess of the statutory limitation, payments to the child or children will be discontinued effective date of last payment. For the period commencing the date from which the widow or widower is shown to be entitled to the date of last payment to the child or children, the rate for the widow or widower will be the difference between the amount paid to the child or children and the amount which would have been payable for the widow or widower and child or children. The full rate will be payable thereafter.

3. In § 3.666, the introductory text preceding paragraph (a) and the introductory text of paragraph (a) preceding paragraph (a) (1) are revised to read as follows:

§ 3.666 Penal institutions.

Where any individual to or for whom pension is being paid under a public or private law administered by the Veterans Administration is imprisoned in a Federal, State or local penal institution as the result of conviction of a felony or misdemeanor, such pension payments will be discontinued effective on the 61st day of imprisonment following conviction. The payee will be informed of his or her rights and the rights of dependents to payments while he or she is imprisoned as well as the conditions under which payments to him or to her may be resumed on his or her release from imprisonment. Payments of pension authorized under this section will continue until notice is received in the Veterans Administration that the imprisonment has terminated.

(a) *Disability pension.* Payment may be made to the wife, husband, child or children of a veteran disqualified under this section:

* * * * *

Approved: December 9, 1974.

By direction of the Administrator.

[SEAL] ODELL W. VAUGHN,
Deputy Administrator.

[FR Doc.74-29200 Filed 12-13-74;8:45 am]

INTERSTATE COMMERCE COMMISSION

[49 CFR Part 1046]

[Ex Parte No .MC-93]

OPERATIONS AND PRACTICES OF PASSENGER BROKERS AFFILIATED WITH MOTOR CARRIERS

Notice of Proposed Rulemaking

At a General Session of the Interstate Commerce Commission, held at its office in Washington, D.C., on the 20th day of November 1974.

It appearing that for the reasons noted in Greyhound Lines, Inc., Extension—Special Operations, 120 M.C.C. 629, it is in the public interest to institute an investigation proceeding to determine whether it is necessary to adopt the regulations set forth in the appendix to this notice of proposed rulemaking or other appropriate regultaions regarding the nature of operations conducted by passenger brokers affiliated with motor comom ncarriers of passengers; and that such a proceeding is not anticipated to have any adverse effect upon the environment.

It is ordered, That a proceeding be, and it is hereby, instituted under the authority of parts I and II of the Interstate Commerce Act (49 U.S.C. 1 and 301 *et seq.*) and particularly sections 204 (a)(4) and 211(c) thereof, and sections 553 and 559 of the Administrative Procedure Act (5 U.S.C. 553 and 559) to investigate the possibility of adopting the regulations set forth in the appendix to this order or other apropriate regulations regarding the nature of operations conducted by passenger brokers affiliated with motor common carriers of passengers, and to take such other and further action as the facts and circumstances may justify or require.

It is further ordered, That all motor common carriers of passengers [1] and all passenger brokers subject to the Interstate Commerce Act, be, and they are hereby, made respondents in this proceeding.

It is further ordered, That no oral hearing be scheduled for the receiving of testimony in this proceeding unless a need therefor should later appear, but that the respondents or other interested persons may participate in this proceeding by submitting for consideration written statements of facts, views, and arguments on the subject of this notice and order.

It is further ordered, That any person intending to participate in this proceeding by submitting initial or reply statements, or otherwise, shall notify this Commission, by filing with the Secretary, Interstate Commerce Commission, Washington D.C. 20423, on or before January 25, 1975, the original and one copy of a statement of his intention to participate. Inasmuch as the Commission desires whatever possible (a) to conserve time, (b) to avoid unnecessary expense to the public, and (c) the service of pleadings by parties in proceedings of this type only upon those who intend to take an active part in the proceeding, the statement of intention to participate shall include a detailed specification of the extent of such person's interest, including (1) whether such interest extends merely to receiving Commission releases in this proceeding. (2) whether he genuinely wishes to participate by receiving or filing initial and/or reply statements, (3) if he so desires to participate as described in (2), whether he will consolidate or is capable of consolidating his interests with those of other interested parties by filing joint statements in order to limit the number of copies of pleadings that need be served, such consolidation of interests being strongly urged by the Commission, and (4) any other pertinent information which will aid in limiting the service list to be issued in this proceeding; that this Commission shall then prepare and make available to all such persons a list containing the names and addresses of all parties desiring to participate in this proceeding and upon whom copies of all statements must be filed; and that at the time of service of this service list the Commission will fix the time within which initial statements and replies must be filed.

It is further ordered, That while this proceedings does not currently appear to be a major Federal action significantly affecting the quality of the human environment within the meaning of the National Environmental Policy Act of 1969.

[1] Although all motor common carriers of passengers are made respondents herein to facilitate their participation in the proceeding if they so desire, it is not anticipated that the regulations proposed will have significant direct effects on any carriers but those affiliated with passenger brokers and which also hold authority to conduct tour services in special operations.

initial and reply statements filed by parties participating herein shall indicate the presence or absence of any effect of the recommendations made therein, to this Commission on the quality of the human environment. Cf. Implementation—Natl. Environmental Policy Act, 1969, 340 I.C.C. 431 (1972).

And it is further ordered, That a copy of this order be posted in the Office of the Secretary, Interstate Commerce Commission, for public inspection and that a copy be delivered to the Director, Division of the Federal Register for publication in the Federal Register as notice for all interested persons. Written material or suggestions submitted will be available for public inspection at the offices of the Interstate Commerce Commission, 12th and Constitution Ave., Washington, D.C., during regular business hours.

By the Commission.

[SEAL] ROBERT L. OSWALD,
Secretary.

APPENDIX

It is proposed to amend part 1046 by adding the following sections:

Sec.
1046.301 Definition of affiliation between passenger broker and motor common carrier of passengers.
1046.302 Registration of affiliation.
1046.303 Prohibition of duplicative operations.
1046.304 Effectiveness of prohibition.

§ 1046.301 Definition of affiliation between passenger broker and motor common carrier of passengers.

A passenger broker shall be deemed to be "affiliated" with a motor common carrier of passengers if said broker controls, is controlled by, or is under common control with such carrier; if said broker and carrier are the same person; or if said broker and carrier hold out service to the public under a common trademark or other mutual identification.

§ 1046.302 Registration of affiliation.

(a) Every passenger broker subject to section 211 of the Interstate Commerce Act which is affiliated with any motor common carrier of passengers subject to part II of the Interstate Commerce Act shall file with the Commission, within 30 days after said broker becomes subject to the provisions of this subsection or after a change occurs in the information previously filed, the original and one copy of a registration statement, signed and verified under oath, which statement shall specify:

(1) the name, address, and license number of the said broker;

(2) the name, address, and certificate number of every motor common carrier of passengers subject to part II of the Interstate Commerce Act with which the said broker is affiliated;

(3) the exact nature of the affiliation which exists, including (in those instances where common control is involved) the names and addresses of all common officers, directors, and major stockholders, if any, and the percentage distribution of substantial or controlling interests in each broker and carrier involved, whether by ownership of stock, partnership, sole proprietorship, or otherwise; and

(4) any operating authority held by any such passenger carrier (including specification of certificate and Sub-No., and date of issuance of each certificate in which such authority is contained) which allows said carrier to transport passengers over irregular routes, in special operations, in all-expense sightseeing and pleasure tours (whether or not specifically so limited).

(b) Upon the filing of any such registration statement, the Commission will issue a Notice of Registration, reciting the information filed concerning the names, addresses, and certificate or license numbers of each carrier and broker asserted to be affiliated, indicating the general nature of the affiliation disclosed, and specifying whether or not, and the extent to which, each carrier involved holds authority to transport passengers over irregular routes, in special operations, in all-expense sightseeing and pleasure tours.

(c) Said Notice of Registration shall be served on the broker which filed the registration statement and shall be deemed to attach to, and become a part of, the license held by such broker; and copies of the said notice shall be:

(1) Served on each carrier named therein;

(2) Filed in the lead docket for each broker and carrier named therein;

(3) Placed in an appropriate file open to public inspection at the offices of the Commission;

(4) Furnished to each of the Regional Directors of the Bureau of Operations for those regions in which are located the headquarters of the brokers and carriers named therein; and

(5) Delivered to the Board, Commission, or Official (or to the Governor where there is no Board, Commission, or Official) having authority to regulate the business of transportation by motor vehicle in each State in which the named brokers and carriers operate.

(d) The filing of a registration statement under this section shall not require approval by the Commission of any affiliation disclosed therein, except as provided in section 5 of the Interstate Commerce Act and the Commission's rules and regulations thereunder, and except as provided in the regulations promulgated by the Commission concerning transfers of passenger brokers' licenses (49 CFR Part 1133); nor shall the issuance of a Notice of Registration by the Commission be construed to imply approval of any acquisition of control subject to section 5 of the Interstate Commerce Act or of any transfer or change in control of a corporation subject to Part 1133 of the Commission's rules and regulations (49 CFR Part 1133).

§ 1046.303 Prohibition of duplicative operations.

No passenger broker subject to section 211 of the Interstate Commerce Act which, during the time that this section is effective, is or has been affiliated with any motor common carrier of passengers subject to part II of the Interstate Commerce Act holding authority from this Commission to transport passengers over irregular routes, in special operations, in all-expense sightseeing and pleasure tours (whether or not specifically so limited) shall, except as provided in § 1046.304, arrange for motor carrier transportation of passengers, in tour service, for individual passengers formed into a bona fide charter group by said broker and using the charter service of any interstate motor common carrier of passengers (in the manner described in *Tauck Tours, Inc., Extension—New York, N.Y.*, 54 M.C.C. 291, 300–303 (1952)), beginning and ending at, and extending to, points all or substantially all of which are within the territory in which such an affiliated passenger carrier may conduct such irregular-route special operations, in all-expense sightseeing and pleasure tours. This prohibition shall not be construed to limit:

(a) The location at which such broker may lawfully conduct brokerage operations;

(b) The arranging for passenger transportation (1) of individual passengers in special operations conducted by authorized motor passenger carriers, (2) of preformed groups of passengers in special or charter operations conducted by authorized motor carriers, or (3) of individuals or groups of passengers in regular-route operations; or

(c) The arranging for passenger transportation extending substantially beyond the territorial scope of the said special operations authorities held by all such passenger carriers affiliated with said broker.

§ 1046.304 Effectiveness of prohibition.

(a) A Notice of Registration issued pursuant to § 1046.302 above shall remain effective, notwithstanding the issuance of a subsequent notice reflecting a change or termination in the affiliation or other circumstances involved, for the purpose of defining the scope of prohibited duplicative operations under § 1046.303 above, unless and until such time as the Commission shall approve the removal (in whole or pertinent part) of the limitation prohibiting duplicative operations in the manner provided in paragraph (b) of this section.

(b) The prohibition against duplicative operations, insofar as it may have arisen from an affiliation which no longer exists or from operating authority no longer held by the affiliated motor carrier, may be removed as to a particular broker's license only upon approval by the Commission pursuant to a petition filed by the said broker and proof that the resumption of the prohibited operations (or pertinent part thereof) will be consistent with the public interest and the national transportation policy. Such determination and approval shall be made upon a record involving the participation of any interested parties after publication of a notice of the petition in the FEDERAL REGISTER.

(49 U.S.C. 1 and 301 (5 U.S.C. 553, 559))

[FR Doc.74-29218 Filed 12-13-74;8:45 am]

notices

This section of the FEDERAL REGISTER contains documents other than rules or proposed rules that are applicable to the public. Notices of hearings and investigations, committee meetings, agency decisions and rulings, delegations of authority, filing of petitions and applications and agency statements of organization and functions are examples of documents appearing in this section.

DEPARTMENT OF THE TREASURY

Office of the Secretary

WELT WORK SHOES FROM ROMANIA

Antidumping; Withholding of Appraisement Notice

Information was received on February 5, 1974, that welt work shoes from Romania were being sold at less than fair value within the meaning of the Antidumping Act, 1921, as amended (19 U.S.C. 160 et seq.) (referred to in this notice as "the Act"). This information was the subject of an "Antidumping Proceeding Notice" which was published in the FEDERAL REGISTER of March 15, 1974 on page 10002. The "Antidumping Proceeding Notice" indicated that there was evidence on record concerning injury to or likelihood of injury to or prevention of establishment of an industry in the United States.

Pursuant to section 201(b) of the Act (19 U.S.C. 160(b)), notice is hereby given that there are reasonable grounds to believe or suspect that the purchase price (section 203 of the Act; 19 U.S.C. 162) of welt work shoes from Romania is less, or is likely to be less, than the constructed value (section 206 of the Act; 19 U.S.C. 165).

STATEMENT OF REASONS

The information currently before the U.S. Customs Service tends to indicate that the probable basis of comparison for fair value purposes will be between purchase price and constructed value.

Purchase price will probably be based on c.i.f. and c&f prices, packed, with deductions for ocean freight, inland freight and insurance, where applicable.

Since the merchandise under consideration was produced in a state-controlled-economy country, constructed value will probably be based on the price at which such or similar merchandise is sold for export to the United States by a non-state-controlled-economy country. The country chosen for this purpose will probably be the Republic of Korea.

The price for export to the United States by the Republic of Korea will probably be based on the f.o.b. price with deductions for inland freight, loading and clearance, with adjustments for differences in packing and differences in merchandise.

Using the above criteria, there are reasonable grounds to believe or suspect that purchase price will be lower than the constructed value.

Customs officers are being directed to withhold appraisement of welt work shoes from Romania in accordance with § 153.48, Customs Regulations (19 CFR 153.48).

In accordance with §§ 153.32(b) and 153.37, Customs Regulations (19 CFR 153.32(b), 153.37), interested persons may present written views or arguments, or request in writing that the Secretary of the Treasury afford an opportunity to present oral views.

Any requests that the Secretary of the Treasury afford an opportunity to present oral views should be addressed to the Commissioner of Customs, 2100 K Street NW., Washington, D.C. 20229, in time to be received by his office on or before December 27, 1974. Such requests must be accompanied by a statement outlining the issues wished to be discussed.

Any written views or arguments should likewise be addressed to the Commissioner of Customs in time to be received by his office on or before January 15, 1975.

This notice, which is published pursuant to § 153.34(b), Customs Regulations (19 CFR 153.34(b)), shall become effective December 16, 1974. It shall cease to be effective at the expiration of 6 months from the date of this publication, unless previously revoked.

[SEAL] DAVID R. MACDONALD,
Assistant Secretary of the Treasury.

DECEMBER 13, 1974.

[FR Doc.74-29389 Filed 12-13-74;10:23 am]

DEPARTMENT OF DEFENSE

Department of the Navy

CHIEF OF NAVAL OPERATIONS EXECUTIVE PANEL ADVISORY COMMITTEE

Meeting

Pursuant to the provisions of the Federal Advisory Committee Act (5 U.S.C. App. I), notice is given that the Chief of Naval Operations Executive Panel Advisory Committee will hold a closed meeting on January 16 and 17, 1975, at the Pentagon, Washington, D.C. The sessions will commence at 9 a.m. and terminate at 5:30 p.m.

The agenda will consist of matters which are classified in the interest of national security, including intelligence systems and applications, weapons and ship design, and long-range Navy plans and policy. The Secretary of the Navy has determined in writing that the meeting of the Chief of Naval Operations Executive Panel Advisory Committee should be closed to the public because it will be concerned with matters listed in section 552(b) of title 5, U.S.C.

Dated: December 10, 1974.

H. B. ROBERTSON, Jr.,
Rear Admiral, JAGC, U.S. Navy,
Acting Judge Advocate General.

[FR Doc.74-29115 Filed 12-13-74;8:45 am]

DEPARTMENT OF THE INTERIOR

Bureau of Land Management

NATIONAL ADVISORY BOARD COUNCIL

Committee Meeting

Notice is hereby given that an ad hoc committee of members of the National Advisory Board Council will meet at the State Office of the Bureau of Land Management, Colorado State Bank Building, 1600 Broadway, Denver, Colorado, January 3, 1975, beginning at 8:30 a.m. The purpose of the meeting will be to consider a time, place, and agenda items for a spring meeting of the full Council.

The committee meeting will be open to the public. Time will be made available for a limited number of brief statements by members of the public. Those wishing to make an oral statement should inform the Public Affairs Officer, Bureau of Land Management, Colorado State Bank Building, 1600 Broadway, Denver, Colorado 80202, by close of business January 2, 1975. Any interested person may file a written statement with the committee for its consideration. Such statement may be submitted at the meeting or mailed to the Director (230), Bureau of Land Management, Washington, D.C. 20240. Further information concerning the meeting may be obtained from the Public Affairs Officer, Bureau of Land Management, Colorado State Bank Building, 1600 Broadway, Denver, Colorado 80202. His phone is (303) 837-4481.

GEORGE L. TURCOTT,
Associate Director.

DECEMBER 9, 1974.

[FR Doc.74-29199 Filed 12-13-74;8:45 am]

Bureau of Reclamation

[INT DES 74-107]

WESTERN GASIFICATION CO.

Coal Gasification Project; Availability of Draft Environmental Statement

Pursuant to section 102(2)(c) of the National Environmental Policy Act of 1969, the Department of the Interior has prepared a draft environmental

statement on a proposed coal gasification project which will ultimately produce 1 billion cubic feet of synthetic natural gas each day. Written comments may be submitted to the Regional Director (address below) on or before January 30, 1975.

Copies are available for inspection at the following locations:

Office of Communications, Room 7220, Department of the Interior, Washington, D.C. 20240. Telephone (202) 343-9247.

Office of Assistant to the Commissioner—Ecology, Room 7620, Bureau of Reclamation, Department of the Interior, Washington, D.C. 20240. Telephone (202) 343-4991.

Division of Engineering Support, Technical Services and Publications Branch, E&R Center, Denver Federal Center, Denver, Colorado 80225. Telephone (303) 234-3006.

Office of the Regional Director, Bureau of Reclamaion, Federal Building, 125 South State Street, Salt Lake City, Utah 84111. Telephone (801) 524-5592.

Project Construction Engineer, P.O. Box 28, 1006 Municipal Drive, Farmington, New Mexico 87401. Telephone (505) 325-1794.

Single copies of the draft statements may be obtained on request to the Commissioner of Reclamation or the Regional Director. Please refer to the statement number above.

Dated: December 11, 1974.

STANLEY D. DOREMUS,
Deputy Assistant Secretary of the Interior.

[FR Doc.74-29114 Filed 12-13-74;8:45 am]

Office of the Secretary

OUTER CONTINENTAL SHELF

Geological and Geophysical Exploration

1. Pursuant to the authority contained in Section 11 of the Outer Continental Shelf Lands Act, 43 U.S.C. § 1340, 67 Stat. 469, notice is hereby given that no geological or geophysical explorations shall be conducted on any part of the Outer Continental Shelf of the United States unless (a) such explorations are conducted by a person or persons as defined in section 2(d) of said Act, 43 U.S.C. 1331 (d), and (b), a permit therefor is first obtained by such person or persons from the appropriate Area Oil and Gas Supervisor of the United States Geological Survey.

2. All such permits issued after the date of this notice shall include the following provisions:

(1) If upon approval of this application conditions are added by the Supervisor and set forth upon or attached to the approved application which is returned to the applicant, the applicant will, upon commencement of operations covered by the permit, be deemed to have agreed to such conditions and they will become conditions of the permit.

(2) Exploration operations shall be conducted in compliance with the terms and conditions of this permit, applicable OCS orders and other orders of the Supervisor, the regulations in 30 CFR Part 251 from and after such time as they are adopted and as modified thereafter except that if any provision of such regulations is in conflict with the express terms of this permit, the terms of this permit shall control, and other applicable regulations, and with applicable laws.

(3) Upon request of the Supervisor, the data acquired under this permit and the processed information derived therefrom after it has been processed for the permittee's own use or for delivery to any third party shall be submitted to the Supervisor within 30 days after request. Processed information is data in analog or digital format, the form of which has, in order to facilitate interpretation, been changed through processing operations including, but not limited to, the application of corrections for known perturbing causes, the rearrangement of the data, filtration to remove erroneous signals and interference, and the combination and transformation of data elements. The intent of this provision is to obtain for the United States without cost the information which the permittee processes for his own use or supplies to third parties. It is not intended to require the permittee to supply interpreted, as distinguished from processed, information.

The United States will not disclose for ten years or for such longer period as may be established by regulations promulgated by the Secretary of the Interior in the future (1) all trade secrets and commercial or financial information which are privileged or confidential and which are received by the Department of the Interior pursuant to this permit and (2) all geological and geophysical information and data, including maps, concerning wells, received by the Department of the Interior pursuant to this permit.

(4) If the exploration is to be conducted in a leased area, necessary precautions will be taken to avoid interference with operations on the lease and damage of existing structures and facilities. The lessee (or operator) of the leased area will be notified by letter prior to entering or commencing operations and a copy of the letter sent to the official approving this application.

3. The Area Oil and Gas Supervisor may add to any future permit for geological and geophysical exploration on the Outer Continental Shelf such other terms and provisions, not inconsistent herewith, as he may deem appropriate.

4. Any permit issued on or after November 1, 1974, which contains a provision requiring disclosure of geological and geophysical data and processed information upon request of the Department shall be amended by the substitution of the provisions set forth in paragraph 2 of this notice for the provision requiring disclosure, if the person holding the permit shall on or before December 31, 1974, request the appropriate Area Oil and Gas Supervisor in writing to make the amendment.

5. All prior notices and orders issued under the authority of the Secretary of the Interior, including, without limitation, those notices enumerated below; are hereby amended and modified to the extent necessary to conform to the terms of this notice:

Notice dated March 7, 1964 of the Secretary of the Interior.
Notice dated July 28, 1961 of the Secretary of the Interior
Notice of September 6, 1960 of the Acting Secretary of the Interior
Notice dated August 5, 1960 of the Acting Secretary of the Interior
Notice dated March 27, 1956 of the Secretary of the Interior
Notice dated March 31, 1955 of the Secretary of the Interior
Notice dated March 23, 1954 of the Secretary of the Interior
Notice dated September 17, 1953 of the Acting Secretary of the Interior

6. Nothing in this notice shall apply to geological and geophysical explorations on the Outer Continental Shelf of the United States conducted by any agency of the United States.

Dated: December 11, 1974.

JOHN C. WHITAKER,
Secretary of the Interior.

[FR Doc.74-29190 Filed 12-13-74;8:45 am]

DEPARTMENT OF AGRICULTURE

Agricultural Marketing Service

FRESH PEACHES GROWN IN GEORGIA

Order Directing That a Referendum Be Conducted; Designation of Referendum Agents and Determination of Representative Period

Pursuant to the applicable provisions of Marketing Agreement No. 99, as amended, and Order No. 918, as amended (7 CFR Part 918), and the applicable provisions of the Agricultural Marketing Agreement Act of 1937, as amended (48 Stat. 31, as amended; 7 U.S.C. 601-674), it is hereby directed that a referendum be conducted among the growers who, during the period January 1, 1974, through October 31, 1974 (which period is hereby determined to be a representative period for the purpose of such referendum), were engaged, in Georgia, in the production of peaches for market to determine whether such growers favor the termination of the said amended marketing agreement and order. William C. Knope and John R. Toth of the Fruit and Vegetable Division, Agricultural Marketing Service, United States Department of Agriculture, P.O. Box 9, Lakeland, Florida 33802, are designated as the referendum agents to conduct said referendum severally or jointly.

The procedure applicable to the referendum shall be the "Procedure for the Conduct of Referenda in Connection with Marketing Orders for Fruits, Vegetables, and Nuts Pursuant to the Agricultural Marketing Agreement Act of 1937, as Amended" (7 CFR 900.400 et seq.).

Copies of the text of the aforesaid marketing order may be examined in the office of the referendum agents or of the Director, Fruit and Vegetable Division,

Agricultural Marketing Service, U.S. Department of Agriculture, Washington, D.C. 20250.

Ballots to be cast in the referendum may be obtained from the referendum agents and any appointee hereunder.

Dated: December 11, 1974.

RICHARD L. FELTNER,
Assistant Secretary.

[FR Doc.74-29187 Filed 12-13-74;8:45 am]

Soil Conservation Service

EAST FRANKLIN WATERSHED PROJECT, LOUISIANA

Availability of Draft Environmental Impact Statement

Pursuant to section 102(2)(C) of the National Environmental Policy Act of 1969; Part 1500 of the Council on Environmental Quality Guidelines (38 FR 20550, August 1, 1973); and Part 650 of the Soil Conservation Service Guidelines (39 FR 19650, June 3, 1974); the Soil Conservation Service, U.S. Department of Agriculture, has prepared a draft environmental impact statement (EIS) for the East Franklin Watershed Project, Catahoula, Franklin, and Richland Parishes, Louisiana, USDA-SCS-EIS-WS-(ADM)-75-2-(D)-LA.

The EIS concerns a plan for watershed protection, flood prevention, and drainage. The planned works of improvement include conservation land treatment supplemented by channel work. The channel work will include clearing and debris removal on 15 miles of existing channels, 6 miles of new channel construction, and 165 miles of enlargement by excavation to provide improved water management in a flatland watershed that is 74 percent agricultural crop land and grassland. Of the 186 miles of work proposed on existing streams or channels, 160 miles will involve those with only ephemeral flow, and 17 miles with intermittent flow. The balance involves existing ponded or flowing water or completely new channels where none existed before.

A limited supply of the draft EIS is available at the following location to fill single copy requests:

Soil Conservation Service, USDA
3737 Government Street
Alexandria, Louisiana 71301

Copies of the draft EIS have been sent for comment to various Federal, State, and local agencies as outlined in the Council on Environmental Quality Guidelines. Comments are also invited from others having knowledge of or special expertise on environmental impacts.

Comments concerning the proposed action or requests for additional information should be addressed to Alton Mangum, State Conservationist, Soil Conservation Service, Post Office Box 1630, Alexandria, Louisiana 71301.

Comments must be received on or before February 11, 1975 in order to be considered in the preparation of the final environmental impact statement.

(Catalog of Federal Domestic Assistance Program No. 10.904, National Archives Reference Services)

Dated: December 6, 1974.

J. W. HAAS,
Deputy Administrator for Water Resources, Soil Conservation Service.

[FR. Doc.74-29193 Filed 12-13-74;8:45 am]

DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

Domestic and International Business Administration

LITTLE COMPANY OF MARY HOSPITAL AND CASE WESTERN RESERVE UNIVERSITY ET AL.

Applications for Duty-Free Entry of Scientific Articles

The following are notices of the receipt of applications for duty-free entry of scientific articles pursuant to section 6(c) of the Educational, Scientific, and Cultural Materials Importation Act of 1966 (Pub. L. 89-651; 80 Stat. 897). Interested persons may present their views with respect to the question of whether an instrument or apparatus of equivalent scientific value for the purposes for which the article is intended to be used is being manufactured in the United States. Such comments must be filed in triplicate with the Director, Special Import Programs Division, Office of Import Programs, Washington, D.C. 20230, on or before January 6, 1975.

Amended regulations issued under cited Act, as published in the February 24, 1972 issue of the FEDERAL REGISTER, prescribe the requirements applicable to comments.

A copy of each application is on file, and may be examined during ordinary Commerce Department business hours at the Special Import Programs Division, Department of Commerce, Washington, D.C. 20230.

Docket number: 75-00222-99-90000. Applicant: Little Company of Mary Hospital, 4101 Torrance Boulevard, Torrance, California 90503. Article: EMI Scanner System. Manufacturer: EMI Limited, United Kingdom. Intended use of article: The article is intended to be used in the course Applied Technology of CAT to train registered Radiologic Technologists to perform the CAT examination and to have practical in-depth knowledge of the operation of the different components of the equipment. In addition the article will be used in a program on the Clinical Application of CAT to provide interested physicians with first hand experience in the use of the CAT. Application received by Commissioner of Customs: November 20, 1974.

Docket number: 75-00223-65-46040. Applicant: Case Western Reserve University, Dept. of Metallurgy & Molecular Science, Cleveland, Ohio 44106. Article: Electron Microscope, Model Elmiskop 102. Manufacturer: Siemens AG, West Germany. Intended use of article: The article is intended to be used in the following research projects in the Department of Metallurgy and Materials Science:

1. Electron Irradiation Damage—Studies of the defect clusters induced by radiation damage, such as dislocation loops, voids, transformation products, etc. in various metals, alloys and ceramic oxides.

2. Dislocation-Solute Atom Interactions in Alloys—Studies of dislocation and precipitate substructure in refractory metals as related to mechanical properties.

3. Dispersion-Hardened Alloys—Analysis of the distribution of particles, dislocations and grain boundaries.

4. Structure of Martensites—Analysis of the structure resulting from transformations.

5. Strengthening Mechanisms in Ceramic Oxides—Studies of dislocations, precipitate structures and ordering reactions in spinel, sapphire, garnets and other oxides.

6. Processing Studies in Non-oxide Ceramics—Studies of the configurations of grain boundaries, stacking faults, etc. as a function of processing variables in silicon nitride.

7. Electron Microscopy of Opaque Minerals—Studies of the structure and identification of phases in minerals—particularly hematite, ilminite and magnetite.

The article will also be used to teach undergraduates and graduate students the use, theory, and applications of electron microscopy to metallurgy and materials science.

Application received by Commissioner of Customs: November 20, 1974.

(Catalog of Federal Domestic Assistance Program No. 11.105, Importation of Duty-Free Educational and Scientific Materials)

RICHARD M. SEPPA,
Acting Director, Special Import Programs Division.

[FR Doc.74-29159 Filed 12-13-74;8:45 am]

UNIVERSITY OF NEBRASKA MEDICAL CENTER

Decision on Application for Duty-Free Entry of Scientific Article

The following is a decision on an application for duty-free entry of a scientific article pursuant to section 6(c) of the Educational, Scientific, and Cultural Materials Importation Act of 1966 (Pub. L. 89-651, 80 Stat. 897) and the regulations issued thereunder as amended (37 FR 3892 et seq.).

A copy of the record pertaining to this decision is available for public review during ordinary business hours of the Department of Commerce, at the Office of Import Programs, Department of Commerce, Washington, D.C. 20230.

Docket Number: 74-00392-33-46040. Applicant: University of Nebraska Medical Center, 42nd and Dewey Avenue, Omaha, NE 68105. Article: Electron Microscope, Model EM 201. Manufacturer: Philips Electronic Instruments NVD, The Netherlands. Intended use of article: The article is intended to be used for

studies of human and lower animal cells and tissues, in both normal and pathological states. Included are investigations of:

(1) The alteration of fine structure of Sertoli and Leydig cells in animal and human testis after vasectomy.

(2) The ultrastructure of connective tissue cells involved in collagen formation during wound healing.

(3) The ultrastructural manifestations of hormone synthesis in the pituitary gland.

The article will also be used to teach graduate students, medical students, and medical residents the use of electron microscopy in courses *Fundamentals of Electron Microscopy* and *Selected Problems in Electron Microscopy*. In addition the article will be used by graduate students in research for the courses *Masters Thesis* and *Doctoral Dissertation*.

Comments: No comments have been received with respect to this application.

Decision: Application Denied. An instrument or apparatus of equivalent scientific value to the foreign article, for such purposes as this article is intended to be used, is being manufactured in the United States.

Reasons: This application is a resubmission of Docket Numbers 73-00493-33-46040 and 74-00124-33-46040 which were denied without prejudice to resubmission on July 27, 1973 and January 3, 1974, respectively, for information deficiencies. In the reply to Question 8 in the present submission the applicant alleged that the foreign article provided the following pertinent characteristics:

1. Ease of column alignment.
2. Magnifications of from 200–200,000 diameters, and
3. Guaranteed resolution of 5 Angstroms.

At the time the foreign article was ordered two domestically manufactured electron microscopes were available, the Model ETEM–101, a relatively simple, low resolution instrument manufactured by Elektros Incorporated, and the Model EMU–4C supplied by the Adam David Company.

The Department of Health, Education, and Welfare (HEW) reviewed this application and found the Model EMU–4C to be the most closely comparable domestic instrument, because the ETEM–101 might be considered to have inadequate resolution and magnification for some of the intended ultrastructural studies.

HEW advises in its memorandum dated June 14, 1974 that the Model EMU–4C provides equal guaranteed resolution, equal magnification range and equivalence with respect to other features. HEW also advises that the alleged superior ease of alignment, or basic simplicity, for the foreign article is neither established or pertinent within the meaning of § 701.2(n) of the regulations.

Accordingly, HEW recommends that the research and teaching purposes intended by the applicant do not establish a pertinent characteristic for the article that justifies duty-free entry. Therefore, we find that the Model EMU–4C is of equivalent scientific value to the foreign article for such purposes as the article is intended to be used.

(Catalog of Federal Domestic Assistance Program No. 11.105, Importation of Duty-Free Educational and Scientific Materials.)

RICHARD M. SEPPA,
Acting Director, Special Import Programs Division.

[FR Doc.74-29160 Filed 12-13-74;8:45 am]

INDUSTRY SECTOR ADVISORY COMMITTEES FOR MULTILATERAL TRADE NEGOTIATIONS

Notice of Meetings

A meeting of each of the 26 Industry Sector Advisory Committees for Multilateral Trade Negotiations will be held at the U.S. Department of Commerce, 14th and E Streets, N.W., Washington, D.C., beginning at 10:00 a.m., on the following days:

	ISAC	
Thursday, Jan. 16, 1975	1	Food and kindred products.
	2	Textiles and apparel.
	3	Lumber and wood products.
	9	Leather and products.
	21	Photographic equipment and supplies.
	26	Miscellaneous manufacturers, toys, musical instruments, furniture, etc.
Tuesday, Jan. 21, 1975	4	Paper and products.
	5	Industrial chemicals.
	6	Drugs, soaps, cleaners, and toilet preparations.
	7	Paints, gums, wood chemicals, and miscellaneous chemical products.
	20	Scientific and controlling instruments.
Thursday, Jan. 23, 1975	8	Rubber and plastics materials.
	10	Stone, clay, and glass products.
	16	Office and computing equipment.
	17	Machine tools, other metalworking equipment, and other nonelectrical machinery.
	22	Communication equipment and non-consumer electronic equipment.
Tuesday, Jan. 28, 1975	11	Ferrous metals and products.
	14	Other fabricated metal products.
	15	Construction, mining, agricultural, and oil field machinery and equipment.
	19	Consumer electronic products and household appliances.
	23	Railroad equipment and miscellaneous transportation equipment.
Thursday, Jan. 30, 1975	12	Nonferrous metals and products.
	13	Hand tools, cutlery, and tableware.
	18	Electrical machinery, power boilers, nuclear reactors, and engines and turbines.
	24	Aerospace equipment.
	25	Automotive equipment.

The Committees have been established to provide the Secretary of Commerce and the Special Representative for Trade Negotiations with detailed views and information regarding trade barriers affecting individual products in each respective Committee's sector of U.S. industry for use during the multilateral trade negotiations to be undertaken by the United States.

Agenda items for all meetings are as follows:

I. *General Session* (Department of Commerce Auditorium 10:00 a.m.—12:00 p.m.).

A. Introduction.

B. Summary of Final TRA Provisions and GATT MTN Status/Outlook.

Presentation Followed by Discussion Period for ISAC Members.

C. Outline of ISAC Functions and Work Program.

D. Legal and Operational Considerations.

E. Discussion Period for ISAC Members re General ISACs' Topics/Concerns.

F. Recess.

II. *Individual Afternoon Sessions* (1:30–3:30 p.m., Rooms to be Announced on Day of Meeting).

A. Introduction and Discussion of Individual ISAC Topics.

B. Election of ISAC Chairman and Vice Chairman.

C. Discussion re Possible Steering Group, Election of Members, If Necessary.

D. Summary of Immediate ISAC Work/Scheduling.

E. Final Discussion Period.

F. Adjournment.

The meetings will be open to public attendance, and a limited number of seats will be available. Any member of the public who wishes to file a written statement with any of the Committees may do so before or after the meeting.

In order to facilitate preparations, persons who wish to attend any of these meetings are requested to contact Ms. Clare Soponis, Room 3026, U.S. Department of Commerce, Washington, D.C. 20230, telephone (AC 202) 967-3268, by

close of business 5 days prior to the specific meeting date concerned. Any questions regarding the meetings should also be directed to Ms. Soponis.

LAWRENCE A. FOX,
Deputy Assistant Secretary for International Economic Policy and Research.

DECEMBER 9, 1974.

[FR Doc.74-29167 Filed 12-13-74;8:45 am]

Maritime Administration

CONTAINER AND UNITIZED CARGO SHIPS

Reconstruction To Increase Container Capacity; Computation of Foreign Cost

Notice is hereby given of the intent of the Maritime Subsidy Board to compute the estimated foreign cost for the reconstruction of four (4) single screw container and unitized cargo ships to increase their refrigerated cargo carrying capacity by installation of a 144 foot midbody, modification and strengthening of the main deck and related structure and provision and installment of additional auxiliary machinery and other equipment required for the added cargo carrying capacity, pursuant to the provisions of section 502(b) of the Merchant Marine Act, 1936, as amended.

Any person, firm or corporation having any interest (within the meaning of section 502(b)) in such computations may file written statements by the close of business on January 8, 1975 with the Secretary, Maritime Subsidy Board, Maritime Administration, Room 3099B, Department of Commerce Building, 14th & E Streets, NW., Washington, D.C. 20230.

Dated: December 11, 1974.

By Order of the Maritime Subsidy Board Maritime Administration.

JAMES S. DAWSON, JR.,
Secretary.

[FR Doc.74-29233 Filed 12-13-74;8:45 am]

[Department Organization Order 30-2B; Amdt. 1]

NATIONAL BUREAU OF STANDARDS

Functions and Organization

This order, effective November 29, 1974, amends the material appearing at 38 FR 27427 of October 3, 1973.

Department Organization Order 30-2B, dated September 11, 1973, is hereby amended as follows:

1. SEC. 12.

Institute for Applied Technology. a. Paragraph .06 The *Product Evaluation Technology Division* is deleted. Subsequent paragraphs .07 through .11 are renumbered .06 through .10, respectively.

b. The present paragraph .09, The *Measurement Engineering Division,* is deleted, and a new renumbered paragraph .08 is inserted to read as follows:

.08 The *Center for Consumer Product Technology* shall, in cooperation with the appropriate organizations outside NBS, coordinate and conduct research and the design of measurement and test methods to evaluate the safety, energy efficiency, and other performance characteristics of consumer products and law enforcement equipment.

a. The *Director* shall report to the Director, Institute for Applied Technology and shall direct the development, execution, and evaluation of the programs of the Center. The Deputy Director shall assist in the direction of the Center and perform the functions of the Director in his absence.

b. The *organizational units* of the Center for Consumer Product Technology shall be:

Office of Consumer Product Safety
Law Enforcement Standards Laboratory
Product Engineering Division
Product Systems Analysis Division.

c. In subparagraph .11b., the *organizational units* of the Center for Building Technology, item 5, Structures, Materials, and Life Safety Division is amended to read, "Structures, Materials, and Safety Division."

2. The organization chart attached to this amendment supersedes the chart attached to Department Organization Order 30-2B of September 11, 1973. A copy of the organization chart is attached to the original of this document on file in the Office of the Federal Register.

RICHARD W. ROBERTS,
Director,
National Bureau of Standards.
BETSY ANCKER-JOHNSON,
Assistant Secretary for Science and Technology.

Approved:

GUY W. CHAMBERLIN, JR.,
Acting Assistant Secretary for Administration.

[FR Doc.74-29113 Filed 12-13-74;8:45 am]

[Department Organization Order 25-5B; Amdt. 2]

NATIONAL OCEANIC AND ATMOSPHERIC ADMINISTRATION

Functions and Organization

This order, effective November 14, 1974, further amends the material appearing at 39 FR 17786 of May 20, 1974; and 39 FR 26766 of July 23, 1974.

Department Organization Order 25-5B dated April 25, 1974 is hereby further amended as follows:

1. SEC. 3.

Office of the Administrator. a. Paragraph .02 is revised to read as follows:

.02 The *Deputy Administrator* assists the Administrator in formulating policies and in managing NOAA.

b. The following new paragraph .05 is added:

.05 The *Naval Deputy to the Administrator* shall insure necessary coordination and joint planning with the Navy on oceanographic programs of mutual organizational interest.

2. SEC. 7. Assistant Administrator for Administration. a. The first sentence of paragraph .02 *Office of Management and Computer Systems* is revised by inserting the phrase "coordinate the Federal planning program for environmental telecommunications systems;" after the phrase ending with the words "telecommunications requirements and systems;".

b. Paragraph .06, the *Northwest Administrative Service Office,* is revised by inserting the word "finance," after the words "personnel administration,".

3. SEC. 15. Environmental Data Service. The following new paragraph .07 is added:

.07 The *Center for Climatic and Environmental Assessment* shall assess the impact of climate and weather changes and other natural phenomena on national and international socioeconomic events through development of assessment programs, statistical models and new applications of historical data bases; track and verify global climatic changes and weather pattern fluctuations; and determine the probable relationships between climatic patterns and man-made accidents.

4. The organization chart attached as Exhibit 1 to this amendment supersedes the organization chart dated July 1, 1974. A copy of the organization chart is attached to the original of this document on file in the Office of the Federal Register.

Effective on November 14, 1974.

ROBERT M. WHITE,
Administrator, National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration.

Approved:

GUY W. CHAMBERLIN, JR.,
Acting Assistant Secretary for Administration.

[FR Doc.74-29162 Filed 12-13-74;8:45 am]

[Department Organization Order 20-6; Amdt. 1]

OFFICE OF INVESTIGATIONS AND SECURITY

Functions and Organization

This order, effective November 15, 1974, amends the material appearing at 38 FR 34134 of December 11, 1973.

Department Organization Order 20-6, dated November 26, 1973, is hereby amended as follows:

SEC. 3

a. Paragraphs .02 through . 07 are hereby renumbered as .03 through .08.

b. A new paragraph .02 is added to read as follows:

.02 *Safeguards Review.* The Office shall independently initiate and conduct a program to review the effectiveness of the clearance procedures and documentary safeguards established for contractors with, and applicants, recipients and beneficiaries of financial assistance provided by, the Department. The program includes such matters as the scope and veracity of certifications and representations submitted by those persons, agency procedures relating thereto, and selective investigations as may be necessary.

a. The results of such reviews and investigations, including evidence or allegations regarding possible unlawful or improper activity, shall be reported to the Secretary through the Assistant Secretary for Administration and the Under Secretary.

b. This responsibility is intended to be supplementary to, and not in substitution for or in duplication of, present assignments

of responsibility to other Departmental organizations for project administration, compliance monitoring, audit, and related activities.

Effective: November 15, 1974.

GUY W. CHAMBERLIN, Jr.,
Acting Assistant Secretary for Administration.

[FR Doc.74-29161 Filed 12-13-74;8:45 am]

DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH, EDUCATION, AND WELFARE

Center for Disease Control

VENEREAL DISEASE CONTROL ADVISORY COMMITTEE

Meeting

Pursuant to the Federal Advisory Committee Act (Public Law 92-463), the Director, Center for Disease Control, announces the meeting date and other required information for the following National Advisory body which is scheduled to assemble during the month of January 1975.

Committee name	Date/time/place	Type of meeting and/or contact person
Venereal Disease Control Advisory Committee.	Jan. 13, 1975, 9 a.m., El Tropicano Downtown Motor Hotel, 110 Lexington St., San Antonio, Tex. 78205.	Open—contact Mr. Joe H. Miller, Building B, room 320, Center for Disease Control, Atlanta, Ga. 30333, code 404-633-3311, extension 3937.

Purpose: The Committee is charged with advising on means and methods of implementing venereal disease control programs, reviewing current and proposed program operations and suggesting new areas of control emphasis.

Agenda: Items will include discussion of Committee recommendations from the August 7, 1974, Committee meeting; program progress; and evaluation methods for venereal disease information materials.

Agenda items are subject to change as priorities dictate.

The meeting is open to the public for observation and participation. A roster of members and other relevant information regarding the meeting may be obtained from the contact person listed above.

Dated: December 6, 1974.

WILLIAM C. WATSON, Jr.,
Director, Center for Disease Control.

[FR Doc.74-29194 Filed 12-13-74;8:45 am]

Office of Education

TEACHER CORPS PROJECTS

Proposed Criteria for Selection of Applications

Pursuant to the authority contained in Part B-1 of the Education Professions Development Act of 1965, as amended (79 Stat. 1255-1258 as amended, 20 U.S.C. 1101-1107a), notice is hereby given that the Commissioner of Education, with the approval of the Secretary of Health, Education, and Welfare, proposes to issue the criteria set forth below as the basis on which applications submitted for Teacher Corps projects for Fiscal Year 1975 will be judged.

Because of the recent amendments to the Teacher Corps legislation made by section 835 of the Education Amendments of 1974, Pub. L. 93-380, projects are now authorized which, in addition to providing support for broadening programs of teacher preparation, also provide support for demonstration projects for retraining of experienced teachers and teacher aides, so as to strengthen the educational opportunities available to children in school attendance areas having concentrations of low-income families. Assistance under this program will be subject to Part 100a of Title 45 of the Code of Federal Regulations.

Interested persons are invited to submit written comments, suggestions, or objections regarding the proposed criteria to the Teacher Corps, U.S. Office of Education, 400 Maryland Avenue, SW, Washington, D.C. 20202. Comments received in response to this notice will be available for public inspection Mondays through Fridays between 8:30 a.m. and 4:30 p.m. at the Teacher Corps office, Room 4031 of Federal Office Building Number Six, 400 Maryland Avenue, SW, Washington, D.C.

All comments, suggestions, or objections to be considered must be received not later than January 15, 1975 unless such 30th day is a Saturday, Sunday, or Federal holiday, in which case such material must be received by the next following business day.

(Catalog of Federal Domestic Assistance Program No. 13.489 Teacher Corps—Operations and Training)

Dated: November 13, 1974.

T. H. BELL,
U.S. Commissioner of Education.

Approved: December 10, 1974.

CASPAR W. WEINBERGER,
Secretary of Health, Education, and Welfare

I. CRITERIA FOR THE SELECTION OF TEACHER CORPS PROJECT APPLICATIONS

In addition to the criteria set forth in 45 CFR 100a.26(b), the following criteria will also be used as a basis for approving applications for Teacher Corps projects and in determining the amount of the award under approved applications:

(A) *Instructional program.* The extent to which it is shown that the proposed instructional program (i) provides training options including training designed to enable Teacher Corps interns, teacher aides, and experienced teachers to provide individualized instruction for pupils in classrooms at the local school level, (ii) provides adequate means for identifying and evaluating teacher interns', teacher aides' and experienced teachers' competencies and (iii) makes provision for the training necessary to prepare them to serve in schools in areas having concentrations of low income families (as determined in accordance with section 103 of Title I of the Elementary and Secondary Education Act of 1965 as amended), including training necessary to identify children with learning and behavioral problems, diagnose their special needs, and prescribe learning activities to meet such needs of these children in the regular classrooms of such schools. (See paragraph F.)

(20 U.S.C. 1101, 1103)

(B) *Community based education.* The extent to which the application (i) delineates specific opportunities for consultation with and involvement of parents of children to be served by the proposed project for mutual, deliberate, collaborative decision making in the planning, development, implementation, and evaluation of such project; (ii) includes evidence that such participation has been encouraged and has in fact occurred in the development of the application; (iii) provides for developing the capabilities of such parents, residents in the community, and secondary education and college students to serve as part-time tutors or full-time instructional assistants in the project (including, where appropriate, provision for university courses) and (iv) sets forth policies and procedures for adequate dissemination of program plans and evaluation to such parents and the public.

(20 U.S.C. 1101, 1103, 1231d)

(C) *Institutional adoption.* The extent to which the proposed project is designed in such a manner as to facilitate adaptation or adoption of successful elements of the project by the applicant institution or agency into its overall instructional program.

(20 U.S.C. 1101, 1103)

(D) *Needs analysis.* Whether the application specifies that the retraining of experienced teachers and teacher aides, along with the training of teacher interns, will be based on an analysis of the critical nature of the need for special skills and/or personnel to meet the special learning needs of children from low income families, including improving opportunities for children with learning and behavioral problems.

(20 U.S.C. 1101, 1103)

(E) *Multi-cultural consideration.* The extent to which the application indicates special provisions for meeting the diverse cultures represented by the proposed project's target populations, both in terms of the training program for experienced teachers, teacher interns, teacher aides, and community volunteers, and of the specific needs of the communities and students to be served by the Teacher Corps project. In proposals for programs in areas where the target population has limited cultural diversity, there must be an indication of the extent to which teacher interns, experienced teachers and teacher aides are prepared to understand and to use multicultural concepts in their work with children.

(20 U.S.C. 1101, 1103)

(F) *Diagnostic-prescriptive teaching.* The extent to which the application indicates special provisions for training in the techniques of diagnostic-prescriptive teaching, including skills in the identification of special learning problems, skills in diagnosing particular learning needs, and skills in prescribing programs to meet such needs. The application must show how these skills can be applied by participants to improve educational opportunities for all children to be served by the project, but especially for improving the educational opportunities in the regular classroom for children with learning and behavioral problems. Development of diagnostic-prescriptive teaching skills must be an ongoing, sequentially organized part of the program design, regardless of the major teaching area, subject, or grade levels with which the Teacher Corps application is concerned.

(20 U.S.C. 1101, 1103)

(G) *School-staff focus.* The extent to which the proposed retraining of experienced teachers and teacher aides will be available to the entire educational staff of each individual school involved in the project.

(20 U.S.C. 1101)

(H) *Field based instruction.* The extent to which instruction for experienced teachers, teacher aides, teacher interns, or community volunteers will be delivered at or near their teaching stations, in those instances when instruction will be enhanced by the close proximity to such teaching stations, rather than on the campus of the participating college or university.

(20 U.S.C. 1101, 1103)

(I) *Collaborative decision-making.* The extent to which the application indicates wide participation in collaborative decision-making by a school-community council for each participating school. Activities of the council must be planned so as to assure active and continuing involvement by, and responsiveness to the needs and desires of, as many as possible of the following groups or institutions: (i) The respective Teacher Corps role groups (including teacher interns, team leaders, project management staff, and coordinators); (ii) community(ies), institution(s) of higher education, and local education agenc(ies) involved in the project; (iii) professional associations of teachers and/or other educators; (iv) the state education department (teacher education certification offices); (v) officials of other federally supported education projects; (vi) representatives of social service (public and private) agencies assigned responsibiliites within the communities served by the Teacher Corps project; (vii) parent, community, social, welfare, or educational organizations; and (viii) other organizations which may impinge upon the success of the project or the Teacher Corps role groups described in (i) above.

(20 U.S.C. 1101, 1103)

(J) *Teaching teams.* The extent to which the application sets forth a teaching team organization which will be able to operate effectively in carrying out the purposes of the project. (A teaching team is defined as not less than 4 nor more than 10 teacher interns led by an experienced teacher. Each project must contain 1 or 2 teams, but shall not exceed a maximum of 10 teacher interns for that project.)

(20 U.S.C. 1103)

(K) *Special characteristics.* Within the context of the proposed strategy, the extent to which the application indicates special characteristics of the project which will make it adaptable to the specific conditions of the sites and communities served by the project (such as population density, housing patterns, etc.).

(20 U.S.C. 1101, 1103)

(L) *Equitable geographical distribution.* The extent to which approval of the project will further the equitable geographical distribution of projects, including proportional representation among urban and rural areas.

(20 U.S.C. 1101, 1103)

(M) *Management and evaluation plans.* The extent to which the application sets forth specific plans for program organization, management, and evaluation of both process and outcome. Specific data collection procedures must be described, based on the careful specification of program goals, performance criteria, and regular program review, so as to assure a record of the project's demonstration aspects and its achievements in terms of Teacher Corps purposes.

(20 U.S.C. 1101, 1103)

II. Demonstration Requirements

Each application for a Teacher Corps project must combine (1) the meeting of local educational needs and concerns with (2) a demonstration project for the training of teacher interns and the retraining of experienced teachers and teacher aides. The demonstration project must adopt one of the following broadly defined strategies:

(A) *The Training complex.* A training complex is an organization designed to provide preservice and inservice education for potential and practicing educational personnel at a site located within or near the schools where Teacher Corps interns are serving and where retraining of experienced teachers and teacher aides is being initiated. Applications may show wide diversity in the features of a training complex, but it is essential that the application describe plans for (i) the direct involvement of training personnel from the institution of higher education involved in the Teacher Corps project, Teacher Corps interns, experienced teachers, teacher aides, and community volunteers in decision-making; (ii) the assuring that instructional experiences for teacher interns, experienced teachers and teacher aides will be administered through the training complex, and (iii) a wide use of community and local educational agency resources for the development and delivery of both training and retraining.

(20 U.S.C. 1101, 1103)

(B) *Competency-based teacher education.* Competency-based teacher education is marked by the specification of objectives for learning based on observation of teacher skills and behaviors which positively influence children's learning. Instructional programs for experienced teachers, teacher interns, teacher aides, and community volunteers are delivered through sequential programs based on various levels of professional activities and competencies. Applications must describe how the proposed project will (i) demonstrate objective-based programs of training and retraining for educational staff which are designed to improve learning opportunities for low-income children through careful analyses of training and retraining needs; (ii) develop systematic management processes so that persons in training or retraining can evaluate their own learning needs and achievements; (iii) provide alternative modes of instruction based on the achievement of pre-determined competencies for teachers or other educational personnel in relation to the improvement of pupil learning; and (iv) direct the use of university and other program resources towards meeting the needs of teacher interns, experienced teachers, teacher aides, and community volunteers within the school districts served by the project.

(20 U.S.C. 1101, 1103)

(C) *Training for implementing alternative school designs.* School districts which have planned a major organizational or structural educational innovation may choose to demonstrate, through a Teacher Corps project, a program of training teacher interns and retraining experienced teachers and teacher aides which helps meet the special needs for implementing such an innovation. Applications must (i) contain assurances that the school district is already committed to this innovation and that the institution of higher education involved in the Teacher Corps project will be able to demonstrate appropriate training and retraining activities; (ii) contain evidence that other aspects of the innovation have been planned and provided for separately from those supported under the Teacher Corps program and described in the application (which is limited to training and retraining activities); (iii) indicate directions for the improvement of training of Teacher Corps interns and retraining of experienced teachers and teacher aides through relating these activities to institutional change; and (iv) demonstrate a viable strategy for improving the education of low income children by improving both the skills of educational personnel and the conditions under which education takes place.

(20 U.S.C. 1101, 1103)

(D) *Interdisciplinary training approaches.* This strategy provides for training of teacher interns and the retraining of experienced teachers and teacher aides through the demonstration of programs which emerge from the participation in teacher education by representatives from various academic disciplines (such as the liberal and fine arts, physical and natural sciences, social sciences, and humanities), and which are aimed at increasing the educational opportunities of low income children by reorganizing the base of knowledge and experience which the educational staff can use as a basis for planning and managing learning activities. Applications must emphasize: (i) The collaborative planning by representatives of diverse disciplines for developing programs of training and retraining geared specifically to populations of learners from low income families; (ii) the relationship of multidisciplinary training and retraining programs to the specific cultural and life styles of the pupils to be served so as to reinforce extant community values; (iii) the development of professional educational staffs which are flexible in their organization and use of knowledge in relation to growth and development of Children as members of specific cultural and social groups; and (iv) the improvement of interrelationships among educational agencies and institutions (such as universities and local school districts) and other community institutions.

(20 U.S.C. 1101, 1103)

(E) *Training for the systematic adaption of research findings.* There is presently available, in immediately usable form, a substantial body of results from research concerning learning and educational processes. Such research results can be incorporated into the design of programs for the training of teacher interns and the retraining of experienced teachers and teacher aides. This research can be drawn upon to identify training objectives, select approaches to training and evaluation, create instructional materials and activities or adapt existing materials to new situations, and organize training or retraining programs. This research evidence represents a vast potential resource which, as yet, has not been organized into systemic or overall program demonstrations. Applications selecting this strategy must emphasize (i) the systemic organization of validated research findings into demonstration programs of teacher intern training and the retaining of experienced teachers and teacher aides; (ii) the utilization of data based solutions to persistent educational problems addressed by the application; (iii) the relating of research activities and findings to the everyday life and activities of practicing educational personnel; and (iv) the broadscale, public demonstration of programs based on research findings and involving collaboration among school districts and educational groups.

(20 U.S.C. 1101, 1103)

[FR Doc.74-29212 Filed 12-13-74;8:45 am]

TEACHER CORPS PROJECTS

Closing Date for Receipt of Applications

Notice is hereby given that pursuant to the authority contained in Part B-1 of the Education Professions Development Act of 1965, as amended (20 U.S.C. 1101-1107a), applications are being accepted from institutions of higher education and local education agencies for Teacher Corps project grants.

Applications must be received by the U.S. Office of Education Application Control Center on or before January 20, 1975.

A. *Application sent by mail.* An application sent by mail should be addressed as follows: U.S. Office of Education, Application Control Center, 400 Maryland Avenue, SW., Washington, D.C. 20202, Attention: 13.489. An application sent by mail will be considered to be received on time by the Application Control Center if:

(1) The application was sent by registered or certified mail not later than the fifth calendar day prior to the closing date (or if such fifth calendar day is a Saturday, Sunday, or Federal holiday, not later than the next following business day), as evidenced by the U.S. Postal Service postmark on the wrapper or envelope, or on the original receipt from the U.S. Postal Service; or

(2) The application is received on or before the closing date by either the Department of Health, Education, and Welfare, or the U.S. Office of Education mail rooms in Washington, D.C. (In establishing the date of receipt, the Commissioner will rely on the time-date stamp of such mail roms or other documentary evidence of receipt maintained by the Department of Health, Education, and Welfare, or the U.S. Office of Education.)

B. *Hand delivered applications.* An application to be hand delivered must be taken to the U.S. Office of Education Application Control Center, Room 5673, Regional Office Building Three, 7th and D Streets, SW., Washington, D.C. Hand delivered applications will be accepted daily between the hours of 8:00 a.m. and 4:00 p.m. Washington, D.C. time except Saturdays, Sundays, or Federal holidays. Applications will not be accepted after 4:00 p.m. on the closing date.

C. *Program information and forms.* Information and application forms may be obtained from the Teacher Corps, Office of Education, Room 4031, 400 Maryland Avenue, SW, Washington, D.C. 20202.

D. *Applicable regulations.* The regulations applicable to this program include the Office of Education General Provisions Regulations (45 CFR Part 100a), published in the FEDERAL REGISTER on November 6, 1973 at 38 FR 30654 and amended on May 31, 1974 at 39 FR 19211, and the proposed Funding Criteria for Teacher Corps projects published in this issue of the FEDERAL REGISTER.

(Catalog of Federal Domestic Assistance Number 13.489; Teacher Corps—Operations and Training)

Dated: December 9, 1974.

DUANE J. MATTHEIS,
Acting U.S. Commissioner of Education.

[FR Doc.74-29213 Filed 12-13-74;8:45 am]

Office of Education

ADVISORY COUNCIL ON FINANCIAL AID TO STUDENTS

Public Meeting

Notice is hereby given, pursuant to section 10(a)(2) of the Federal Advisory Committee Act (Pub. L. 92-463), that the next meeting of the Advisory Council on Financial Aid to Students will be held on January 23 and 24, 1975, at 9 a.m.-4:30 p.m., in Room 4173 (Commissioners Conference Room), Federal Office Building #6, 400 Maryland Avenue SW., Washington, D.C. 20202.

The Advisory Council on Financial Aid to Students is established under Pub. L. 92-318, section 499(a). The Committee is established to advise the Commissioner on matters of general policy relating to Financial Aid to Students.

The meeting of the Committee shall be open to the public. The proposed agenda includes:

1. The role of work in financing student costs of Postsecondary Education.
2. Which grant programs should be encouraged.
3. How should the total package of student aid—loans, grants, work—fit together?
4. What is the most cost effective way to finance student loans?

Records shall be kept of all Committee proceedings and shall be available for public inspection at the Office of Warren T. Troutman located in Room 4525, Regional Office Building #3, 7th and D Streets, SW., Washington, D.C. 20202.

Signed at Washington, D.C., on December 11, 1974.

WARREN T. TROUTMAN,
Delegate.

[FR Doc.74-29191 Filed 12-13-74;8:45 am]

ADVISORY COUNCIL ON FINANCIAL AID TO STUDENTS

Public Meeting

Notice is hereby given, pursuant to section 10(a)(2) of the Federal Advisory Committee Act (Pub. L. 92-463), that the next meeting of the Advisory Council on Financial Aid to Students will be held on February 27 and 28, 1975, at 9 a.m.-4:30 p.m., in Room 3000 (Large Conference Area), Federal Office Building #6, 400 Maryland Avenue, SW, Washington, D.C. 20202.

The Advisory Council on Financial Aid to Students is established under Pub. L. 92-318, section 499(a). The Committee is established to advise the Commissioner on matters of general policy relating to Financial Aid to Students.

The meeting of the Committee shall be open to the public. The proposed agenda includes:

1. The role of work in financing student costs of Postsecondary Education.
2. Which grant programs should be encouraged.
3. How should the total package of student aid—loans, grants, work—fit together?
4. What is the most cost effective way to finance student loans?

Records shall be kept of all Committee proceedings and shall be available for public inspection at the Office of Warren T. Troutman located in Room 4525, Regional Office Building #3, 7th and D Streets, SW, Washington, D.C. 20202.

Signed at Washington, D.C., on December 11, 1974.

WARREN T. TROUTMAN,
Delegate.

[FR Doc.74-29192 Filed 12-13-74;8:45 am]

National Institutes of Health

AD HOC REVIEW COMMITTEE FOR FREDERICK CANCER RESEARCH CENTER

Meeting

Pursuant to Pub. L. 92-463, notice is hereby given of the meeting of the Ad Hoc Review Committee for Frederick Cancer Research Center Basic Research Program, National Cancer Institute, January 8, 1975, National Institutes of Health, Building 31-B, Conference Room 5.

This meeting will be open to the public on January 8, 1975 from 9 a.m. to 12:30 p.m., to discuss the proposed basic research program to be established at the Frederick Cancer Research Center. Attendance by the public will be limited to space available. In accordance with the provisions set forth in section 552(b)(4) and 552(b)(6), Title 5, U.S. Code and section 10(d) of P.L. 92-463, the meeting will be closed to the public on January 8, 1975 from 1:30 p.m. to adjournment for the review, discussion and evaluation of this contract proposal. This proposal contains information of a proprietary or confidential nature, including technical information; financial data such as salaries; and, personal information concerning individuals associated with the proposal.

Mrs. Marjorie Early, Committee Management Officer, NCI, Building 31, Room 3A16, National Institutes of Health, Bethesda, Maryland 20014 (301/496-5708) will furnish a summary of the meeting and rosters of committee members.

Dr. William W. Payne, Executive Secretary, Building 560, Room 11-82, NCI Frederick Cancer Research Center, Fort Detrick, Frederick, Maryland 21701 (301/663-7305) will furnish substantive program information.

(Catalog of Federal Domestic Assistance Program No. 13.825, National Institutes of Health)

Dated: December 9 1974.

SUZANNE L. FREMEAU,
Committee Management Officer, National Institutes of Health.

[FR Doc.74-29146 Filed 12-13-74;8:45 am]

ANIMAL RESOURCES ADVISORY COMMITTEE

Meeting

Pursuant to Pub. L. 92-463, notice is hereby given of the meeting of the Animal Resources Advisory Committee, Division of Research Resources, February 3-4, 1975, National Institutes of Health, Building 31, Conference Room 2.

This meeting will be open to the public on February 3 from 9 a.m. to 10:30 a.m., during which time there will be a brief staff presentation on the current status of the Animal Resources Program. The Committee will select future meeting dates. In accordance with the provisions set forth in sections 552(b)(4) and 552(b)(6), Title 5, U.S. Code, and section 10(d) of Pub. L. 92-463, the meeting will be closed to the public from 10:30 a.m. to 5 p.m. on February 3 and from 9 a.m. to 5 p.m. on February 4 for the review, discussion, and evaluation of individual grant applications. The applications contain information of a proprietary or confidential nature, including detailed research protocols, designs, and other technical information; financial data, such as salaries; and personal information concerning individuals associated with the applications.

Mr. James Augustine, Information Officer, Division of Research Resources, Building 31, Room 5B39, Bethesda, Maryland 20014, 301/496-5545, will provide summaries of the meeting and rosters of Committee members. Dr. John E. Holman, Executive Secretary, Animal Resources Advisory Committee, Building 31, Room 5B35, Bethesda, Maryland 20014, 301/496-5507, will furnish substantive program information.

(Catalog of Federal Domestic Assistance Program No. 13.306, National Institutes of Health)

Dated: December 9, 1974.

SUZANNE L. FREMEAU,
Committee Management Officer, National Institutes of Health.

[FR Doc.74-29156 Filed 12-13-74;8:45 am]

ARTIFICIAL KIDNEY-CHRONIC UREMIA ADVISORY COMMITTEE

Meeting

Pursuant to Pub. L. 92-463, notice is hereby given of the meeting of the Artificial Kidney-Chronic Uremia Advisory Committee, National Institute of Arthritis, Metabolism, and Digestive Diseases, February 26-27, 1975, Building 31, Conference Room 2, National Institutes of Health.

This meeting will be open to the public on February 26 from 9 a.m. to 10 a.m. to discuss administrative reports. Attendance by the public will be limited to space available. In accordance with the provisions set forth in sections 552(b)(4) and 552(b)(6), Title 5, U.S. Code and section 10(d) of P.L. 92-463, the meeting will be closed to the public on February 26, 1975, from 10 a.m. to 5 p.m. and on February 27, 1975, from 9 a.m. to adjournment for the review, discussion and evaluation of individual contract proposals. The proposals contain information of a proprietary or confidential nature, including detailed research protocols, designs, and other technical information; financial data, such as salaries; and personal information concerning individuals associated with the proposals.

The Information Officer, NIAMDD, NIH, Mr. Victor Wartofsky, Building 31, Room 9A04, Bethesda, Maryland 20014 (301) 496-3583 will provide summaries of the meeting, rosters of committee members, and substantive program information.

(Catalog of Federal Domestic Assistance Program No. 13-828, National Institutes of Health)

Dated: December 9, 1974.

SUZANNE L. FREMEAU,
Committee Management Officer, National Institutes of Health.

[FR Doc.74-29158 Filed 12-13-74;8:45 am]

CANCER CONTROL INTERVENTION PROGRAMS REVIEW COMMITTEE

Meeting

Pursuant to Pub. L. 92-463, notice is hereby given of the meeting of the Cancer Control Intervention Programs Review Committee, National Cancer Institute, January 23-24, 1975, Holiday Inn, Bethesda, Maryland.

The meeting will be open to the public on January 23, 1975, from 9 a.m. to 11 a.m., for an orientation to the organizational structure and program activities of the Division of Cancer Control and Rehabilitation. Attendance by the public will be limited to space available. In accordance with the provisions set forth in sections 552(b)(4) and 552(b)(6), Title 5, U.S. Code and section 10(d) of P.L. 92-463, the meeting will be closed to the public on January 23, 1975, from 11 a.m. to 5 p.m. and on January 24 from 9 a.m. until adjournment for the review, discussion and evaluation of individual contract proposals. The proposals contain information of a proprietary or confidential nature, including detailed research protocols, designs, and other technical information; financial data, such as salaries; and personal information concerning individuals associated with the proposals.

Mrs. Marjorie F. Early, Committee Management Officer, NCI, Building 31, Room 3A16, National Institutes of Health, Bethesda, Maryland 20014 (301/496-5708) will furnish summaries of meetings and rosters of committee members.

Dr. Veronica L. Conley, Executive Secretary, Blair Building, Room 7A01, National Institutes of Health, Bethesda, Maryland 20014 (301/427-7943) will furnish substantive program information.

Catalog of Federal Domestic Assistance Program No. 13.825, National Institutes of Health.

Dated: December 9, 1974.

SUZANNE L. FREMEAU,
Committee Management Officer, National Institutes of Health.

[FR Doc.74-29151 Filed 12-13-74;8:45 am]

CANCER CONTROL SUPPORTIVE SERVICE REVIEW COMMITTEE

Meeting

Pursuant to Pub. L. 92–463, notice is hereby given of the meeting of the Cancer Control Supportive Services Review Committee, National Cancer Institute, January 16–17, 1975, National Institutes of Health, Building 31, Conference Room 9.

This meeting will be open to the public on January 16, 1975, from 9 a.m. to 11 a.m., for an orientation to the organizational structure and program activities of the Division of Cancer Control and Rehabilitation. Attendance by the public will be limited to space available. In accordance with the provisions set forth in sections 552(b)(4) and 552(b)(6), Title 5, U.S. Code and section 10(d) of P.L. 92–463, the meeting will be closed to the public on January 16, 1975, from 11 a.m. to 5 p.m. and on January 17 from 9 a.m. until adjournment for the review, discussion and evaluation of individual contract proposals. The proposals contain information of a proprietary or confidential nature, including detailed research protocols, designs, and other technical information; financial data, such as salaries; and personal information concerning individuals associated with the proposals.

Mrs. Marjorie F. Early, Committee Management Officer, NCI, Building 31, Room 3A16, National Institutes of Health, Bethesda, Maryland 20014 (301/496–5708) will furnish summaries of meetings and rosters of committee members.

Dr. Veronica L. Conley, Executive Secretary, Blair Building, Room 7A01, National Institutes of Health, Bethesda, Maryland 20014 (301/427–7943) will furnish substantive program information.

Catalog of Federal Domestic Assistance Program No. 13.825, National Institutes of Health.

Dated: December 9, 1974.

SUZANNE L. FREMEAU,
Committee Management Officer,
National Institutes of Health.

[FR Doc.74–29152 Filed 12–13–74;8:45 am]

CANCER SPECIAL PROGRAM ADVISORY COMMITTEE

Meeting

Pursuant to Pub. L. 92–463, notice is hereby given of the meeting of the Cancer Special Program Advisory Committee, National Cancer Institute, February 6–7, 1975, National Institutes of Health, Building 31, Conference Room 4.

This meeting will be open to the public on February 6, 1975, from 9 a.m. to 10 a.m. to discuss procedures to be followed in review of applications, assignment of applications to the Cancer Special Program Advisory Committee and to the Cancer Research Center Review Committee. Attendance by the public will be limited to space available. In accordance with the provisions set forth in sections 552(b)(4) and 552(b)(6), Title 5, U.S. Code and section 10(d) of Pub. L. 92–463, the meeting will be closed to the public on February 6, 1975, from 10 a.m. to 5 p.m., and on February 7, 1975, from 9 a.m. to adjournment, for the review, discussion and evaluation, of individual grant applications. The applications contain information of a proprietary or confidential nature, including detailed research protocols, designs, and other technical information; financial data, such as salaries; and personal information concering individuals associated with the applications.

Mrs. Marjorie F. Early, Committee Management Officer, NCI, Building 31, Room 3A16, National Institutes of Health, Bethesda, Maryland 20014, (301/496–5708) will furnish summaries of the meetings and rosters of committee members.

K. C. Potter, D.D.S., Executive Secretary, Westwood Building, Room 805, National Institutes of Health, Bethesda, Maryland 20014, (301/496–7565) will furnish substantive program information.

(Catalog of Federal Domestic Assistance Program No. 13.312, National Institutes of Health.)

Dated: December 9, 1974.

SUZANNE L. FREMEAU,
Committee Management Officer,
National Institutes of Health.

[FR Doc.74–29155 Filed 12–13–74;8:45 am]

CLINICAL CANCER EDUCATION COMMITTEE

Meeting

Pursuant to Pub. L. 92–463, notice is hereby given of the meeting of the Clinical Cancer Education Committee, National Cancer Institute, February 6 and 7, 1975, National Institutes of Health, Building 31, C–Wing, Conference Room 6.

This meeting will be open to the public on February 6, 1975, from 8:30 a.m. to 10:30 a.m., for welcome and introductions, remarks by the Director or Associate Director, National Cancer Institute, program orientation, announcements and future meeting dates. Attendance by the public will be limited to space available. In accordance with the provisions set forth in sections 552(b)(4) and 552(b)(6), Title 5, U.S. Code and section 10(d) of Pub. L. 92–463, the meeting will be closed to the public on February 6, 1975 from 10:30 a.m. to 5:00 p.m. and February 7, 1975 from 8:30 a.m. to adjournment for the review, discussion, evaluation and recommendations pertaining to individual grant applications. The applications contain information of a proprietary or confidential nature, including detailed research protocols, designs ,and other technical information; financial data, such as salaries; and personal information concerning individuals associated with the applications in the field of cancer education.

Mrs. Marjorie F. Early, Committee Management Officer, NCI, Building 31, Room 3A16, National Institutes of Health, Bethesda, Maryland 20014, (301/496–5708) will furnish summaries of meetings and rosters of committee members.

Dr. Margaret H. Edwards, Executive Secretary, Westwood Building, Room 10A07, National Institutes of Health, Bethesda, Maryland 20014, (301/496–7761) will furnish substantive program information.

(Catalog of Federal Domestic Assistance Program No. 13.314, National Institutes of Health)

Dated: December 9, 1974.

SUZANNE L. FREMEAU,
Committee Management Officer,
National Institutes of Health.

[FR Doc.74–29157 Filed 12–13–74;8:45 am]

CLINICAL TRIALS REVIEW COMMITTEE

Meeting

Pursuant to Pub. L. 92–463, notice is hereby given of the meeting of the Clinical Trials Review Committee, National Heart and Lung Institute, January 28–29, 1975, National Institutes of Health, Building 31, Conference Room 8.

This meeting will be open to the public from 8:30 a.m. to 9:30 a.m. on January 28, 1975, to discuss the minutes of the September 23, 1974 meeting and subsequent Council actions on the Committee recommendations, an administrative report, and an interim report. Attendance by the public will be limited to space available. In accordance with the provisions set forth in sections 552(b)(4) and 552(b)(6), Title 5, U.S. Code and section 10(d) of Pub. L. 92–463, the meeting will be closed to the public on January 28, 1975 from 9:30 a.m. to adjournment, for the review, discussion and evaluation, of an individual grant application and individual contract proposals. The application/proposals contain information of a proprietary or confidential nature, including detailed research protocols, designs, and other technical information; financial data, such as salaries; and personal information concerning individuals associated with the application/proposals.

Mr. York Onnen, Chief, Public Inquiries and Reports Branch, National Heart and Lung Institute, Building 31, Room 5A21, phone (301) 496–4236, will provide summaries of the meeting and rosters of the committee members. Dr. Samuel M. Schwartz, Associate Director for Review, Division of Extramural Affairs, NHLI, Westwood Building, Room 655A, phone (301) 496–7351 will furnish substantive program information.

(Catalog of Federal Domestic Assistance Program No. 13.837, National Institutes of Health.)

Dated: December 9, 1974.

SUZANNE L. FREMEAU,
Committee Management Officer,
National Institutes of Health.

[FR Doc.74–29149 Filed 12–13–74;8:45 am]

COMMITTEE ON CYTOLOGY AUTOMATION

Meeting

Pursuant to Pub. L. 92–463, notice is hereby given of the meeting of the Committee on Cytology Automation, National Cancer Institute, January 23, 1975 and January 24, 1975, National Institutes of Health, Landow Building, Conference Room C–418.

This meeting will be open to the public on January 23, 1975 from 9 a.m. to 5 p.m., for the presentation of current progress in the area of Cytology Automation by contractors, and on January 24, 1975 from 9 a.m. to 10 a.m., to discuss Cytology Automation Contract Program, other new projects, and Contractors' Progress. Attendance by the public will be limited to space available. In accordance with the provisions set forth in sections 552(b)(4) and 552(b)(6), Title 5, U.S. Code and section 10(d) of Pub. L. 92–463, the meeting will be closed to the public on January 24, 1975 from 10 a.m. to 5 p.m. for the review, discussion and evaluation, of individual contract proposals. The proposals contain information of a proprietary or confidential nature, including detailed research protocols, designs, and other technical information; financial data, such as salaries; and personal information concerning individuals associated with the proposals.

Mrs. Marjorie F. Early, Committee Management Officer, NCI, Building 31, Room 3A16, National Institutes of Health, Bethesda, Maryland 20014 (301/496–5708) will furnish summaries of meetings and rosters of committee members.

Dr. Bill Bunnag, Executive Secretary, NCI, Building 10, Room 1A21, National Institutes of Health, Bethesda, Maryland 20014 (301/496–5282) will furnish substantive program information.

(Catalog of Federal Domestic Assistance Program No. 13.825, National Institutes of Health.)

Dated: December 9, 1974.

SUZANNE L. FREMEAU,
Committee Management Officer,
National Institutes of Health.

[FR Doc.74–29153 Filed 12–13–74;8:45 am]

NATIONAL CANCER ADVISORY BOARD

Meeting

Pursuant to Pub. L. 92–463, notice is hereby given of the National Cancer Advisory Board subcommittee meeting on environmental carcinogenesis, National Cancer Institute, January 20–21, 1975, 9:30 a.m. to adjournment, National Institutes of Health, Building 31, Conference Room 8. The entire meeting will be open to the public from 9:30 a.m. to adjournment on January 20 and from 9:30 a.m. to adjournment on January 21 for a discussion of the adequacy of currently used test methods for assessment of carcinogenicity, discussion of methods and potential for implementing proposals of the National Cancer Advisory Board for coordination of experimental findings of carcinogenicity with epidemiologic studies and a discussion of methods for identifying potential problems in environmental carcinogenesis. Attendance by the public will be limited to space available.

Mrs. Marjorie F. Early, Committee Management Officer, NCI, Building 31, Room 3A16, National Institutes of Health, Bethesda, Maryland 20014 (301/496–5708) will furnish summaries of the open meeting and roster of committee members.

Dr. Gary Flamm, Executive Secretary, Building 31, Room 11A05, National Institutes of Health, Bethesda, Maryland 20014 (301/496–5946) will provide substantive program information.

Dated: December 9, 1974.

SUZANNE L. FREMEAU,
Committee Management Officer,
National Institutes of Health.

[FR Doc.74–29147 Filed 12–13–74;8:45 am]

PHARMACOLOGY-TOXICOLOGY PROGRAM COMMITTEE

Meeting

Pursuant to Pub. L. 92–463, notice is hereby given of the meeting of the Pharmacology-Toxicology Program Committee, National Institute of General Medical Sciences, January 23–24, 1975, National Institutes of Health, Building 31C, Conference Room 6.

This meeting will be open to the public on January 23 from 9 a.m. to 10:30 a.m. for opening remarks and discussion of objectives and accomplishments of the program and revision of program guidelines. Attendance by the public will be limited to space available. In accordance with the provisions set forth in sections 552(b)(4) and 552(b)(6), Title 5, U.S. Code and section 10(d) of Pub. L. 92–463, the meeting will be closed to the public on January 23 from 10:30 a.m. to 5 p.m. and on January 24 from 9 a.m. to 5 p.m. for the review, discussion and evaluation of grant applications. The applications contain information of a proprietary or confidential nature, including detailed research protocols, designs, and other technical information; financial data, such as salaries; and personal information concerning individuals associated with the applications.

Mr. Paul Deming, Research Reports Officer, NIGMS, Building 31, Room 4A46, Bethesda, Maryland 20014, Telephone: 301 496–5676, will provide a summary of the meeting and a roster of committee members.

Dr. Raymond Bahor, Executive Secretary, Pharmacology-Toxicology Program Committee, Westwood Building, Room 9A03, Bethesda, Maryland 20016, Telephone: 301 496–7707, will furnish substantive program information.

(Catalog of Federal Domestic Assistance Program No. 13–859 National Institute of General Medical Sciences, National Institutes of Health)

Dated: December 9, 1974.

SUZANNE L. FREMEAU,
Committee Management Officer,
National Institute of Health.

[FR Doc.74–29150 Filed 12–13–74;8:45 am]

PRESIDENT'S CANCER PANEL

Meeting; Change in Time

Notice is hereby given of a change in the meeting time of the President's Cancer Panel, National Cancer Institute, which was published in the FEDERAL REGISTER on Friday, November 22, 1974, Vol. 39, No. 227, pages 40967–40968.

This meeting was to have been an all open meeting but has been changed to include a closed portion on January 6, 1975, from 1:30 p.m. to adjournment for the review and discussion of the proposed fiscal year 1976 budget in accordance with the provisions set forth in section 552(b)(5) of Title 5 U.S. Code and 10(d) of Pub. L. 92–463.

The meeting will be open to the public from 9:30 a.m. to 12 noon.

Dated: December 9, 1974.

SUZANNE L. FREMEAU,
Committee Management Officer,
NIH.

[FR Doc.74–29145 Filed 12–13–74;8:45 am]

SICKLE CELL DISEASE ADVISORY COMMITTEE

Meeting

Pursuant to Pub. L. 92–463, notice is hereby given of the meeting of the Sickle Cell Disease Advisory Committee, National Heart and Lung Institute, January 23 and 24, 1975, National Institutes of Health, Building 31, Conference Room 3, A Wing. The entire meeting will be open to the public from 8:30 a.m. to 5 p.m. on January 23 and 24, 1975, to discuss recommendations on the implementation and evaluation of the Sickle Cell Disease Program. Attendance by the public will be limited to space available.

Mr. York Onnen, Chief, Public Inquiries and Reports Branch, NHLI, Building 31, Room 5A21, (301) 496–4236, will provide summaries of the meeting and rosters of the committee members.

Mr. Howard F. Manly, Executive Secretary, Sickle Cell Disease Advisory Committee, NHLI, Building 31, Room 5AO3, (301) 496–6931, will furnish substantive program information.

Date: December 9, 1974.

SUZANNE L. FREMEAU,
Committee Management Officer,
National Institutes of Health.

[FR Doc.74–29148 Filed 12–13–74;8:45 am]

VISION RESEARCH PROGRAM COMMITTEE

Meeting

Pursuant to Pub. L. 92–463, notice is hereby given of the meeting of the Vision Research Program Committee, National Eye Institute on January 17, 1975, at the National Institutes of Health in Bethesda, Maryland in Building 31, conference room 2.

This meeting will be open to the public from 9 a.m. to 12 Noon on January 17, for reports on activities of the Vision Research Program Planning Committee of the National Advisory Eye

Council, and the status of proposed vitrectomy studies. There will also be discussion on guidelines for the Academic Investigator Award and individual and institutional awards under the National Research Service Award Act of 1974. Attendance by the public will be limited to space available.

In accordance with the provisions set forth in sections 552(b)(4) and 552(b)(6), Title 5, U.S. Code, and section 10(d) of Pub. L. 92-463, the meeting will be closed to the public on January 17 from 1:30 p.m. to adjournment, for the review, discussion, and evaluation of individual grant applications. The applications contain information of a proprietary or confidential nature, including detailed research protocols, designs, and other technical information; financial data, such as salaries; and personal information concerning individuals associated with the applications.

Mr. Julian Morris, Information Officer, National Eye Institute, National Institutes of Health, Bethesda, Maryland 20014, Building 31, room 6A27, telephone (301) 496-5248, will furnish summaries of the meeting and rosters of committee members.

Substantive program information may also be obtained from Dr. Wilford L. Nusser, Chief, Scientific Programs Branch, Extramural and Collaborative Programs, National Eye Institute, National Institutes of Health, Bethesda, Maryland 20014, Building 31, room 6A52, telephone (301) 496-5301.

(Catalog of Federal Domestic Assistance Program No. 13.331, National Institutes of Health.)

Dated December 9, 1974.

SUZANNE L. FREMEAU,
Committee Management Officer,
National Institutes of Health.

[FR Doc.74-29154 Filed 12-13-74;8:45 am]

DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION

Coast Guard

[CGD 74 271]

EQUIPMENT, CONSTRUCTION, AND MATERIALS

Approval Notice

Correction

In FR Doc. 74-27749 appearing at page 41395 in the issue for Wednesday, November 27, 1974, the agency heading should read as set forth above.

Federal Railroad Administration

[FRA Waiver Petition No. RSFC-74-6]

NORFOLK AND WESTERN RAILWAY CO.

Cancellation of Public Hearing

Notice is hereby given of the cancellation of the hearing scheduled for 10 a.m. on December 19, 1974, in Room 10330, Nassif Building, Washington, D.C. Notice of this hearing was published in the October 22, 1974 issue of the FEDERAL REGISTER (39 FR 37526).

Since the request for public hearing has been withdrawn, the hearing will not be rescheduled.

Issued in Washington, D.C., on December 12, 1974.

DONALD W. BENNETT,
Chief Counsel.

[FR Doc.74-29403 Filed 12-13-74;11:58 am]

CIVIL AERONAUTICS BOARD

[Docket No. 25771, etc.; Order No. 74-12-26]

DELTA AIR LINES, INC. ET AL.

Order Instituting Investigation Regarding Certificates of Public Convenience and Necessity and Exemptions

Adopted by the Civil Aeronautics Board at its office in Washington, D.C. on the 6th day of December, 1974.

In the matter of applications of Delta Air Lines, Inc., Docket No. 25771, 25785; Eastern Air Lines, Inc., 25760, 25775; National Airlines, Inc., 25715, 25767; Northwest Airlines, Inc., 25745, 25752; Southern Airways, Inc., 25746, 25747; United Air Lines, Inc., 25721, 25722; for amendment of certificates of public convenience and necessity, and for exemptions pursuant to section 416(b) of the Federal Aviation Act; the Fort Myers-Atlanta Case, Docket No. 27237.

Six carriers have filed applications for amendments to their respective certificates to permit them to offer new or improved authority to Fort Myers, Florida. Although the authority requested differs from carrier to carrier, depending on the nature of the existing authority of each, the focus of the applications is on the Fort Myers-Atlanta market in which each carrier seeks to provide first single-plane service.

National Airlines, currently the only carrier certificated to serve Fort Myers, provides the city with direct and connecting services to points in the East, South and West, including single-plane service to Baltimore, Boston, Miami, New York, Tampa and Washington, D.C. Although the carrier is also certificated at Atlanta, it has no effective authority in the Fort Myers-Atlanta market,[1] and requests that the Board authorize it to provide such service over a new Atlanta-Fort Myers segment. Delta Air Lines, Eastern Air Lines, Northwest Airlines, Southern Airways and United Air Lines each serve Atlanta and a number of points in Florida.[2] With the exception of Southern, which has applied for a new Fort Myers-Atlanta segment, each carrier desires to add Fort Myers to existing route segments. Delta would add Fort Myers as a coterminal point with Orlando on its route 24 and as an intermediate point on routes 27 and 54. Eastern proposes to add Fort Myers to routes 6 and 10 as an intermediate point between Sarasota, on the one hand, and Orlando and Fort Lauderdale, on the other. Northwest desires to add Fort Myers to segment 5 of route 3 as an intermediate point between Tampa and the coterminal points Fort Lauderdale and Miami. Finally, United seeks to add Fort Myers to segment 4 of route 51 as an intermediate point between Tampa and West Palm Beach.

On June 21, 1974, the Fort Myers parties filed a motion, pursuant to § 399.60, for expedited hearing on the issue of the need for first single-plane service between Fort Myers and Atlanta. In support of their motion, the Fort Myers parties emphasize that the requested hearing would entail only the consideration of new service since no carrier is presently authorized to operate single-plane service between Fort Myers and Atlanta, and that the award of such authority could result in Fort Myers' first direct service to the mid-continent region including such cities as Chicago, Detroit, Denver, Minneapolis, Milwaukee, Cleveland, Pittsburgh, St. Louis and Kansas City. Secondly, the Fort Myers parties assert that the city is a proven generator of traffic since the O&D survey shows that its traffic has doubled every three years over the past decade, with a substantial volume of traffic (estimated by the city at 300 daily passengers) moving between Fort Myers and the mid-continent region of the country. The city asserts that this preexisting traffic pool insures a profitable operation from the outset. Lastly, Fort Myers notes that the needs of the Fort Myers-Atlanta market have not been examined for over ten years and that because of the limited nature of the authority sought by Fort Myers, only a minimum amount of Board resources would be required for a hearing.

Answers in support of the Fort Myers motion were filed by Delta, Eastern, Northwest, Southern and United. An answer in opposition was filed by Florida Airlines asserting that Fort Myers has ample service available to Tampa where the Fort Myers' passenger can connect with single-plane services to a number of cities throughout the country; that Tampa is a preferable point for connecting purposes since Atlanta is congested; and that certification of a Fort Myers-Atlanta service will result in substantial diversion from Florida Airlines' services and seriously impair its ability to offer the number of flights it presently operates between Fort Myers and Tampa, thus, in effect, downgrading the quality of Fort Myers connecting service at Tampa.

In addition to their applications for certificate amendments, each carrier has filed an application for exemption authority which would permit the operation

[1] Atlanta is a terminal point on segment 2 of route 39, and Fort Myers is an intermediate point on route 31. The only common junction point between segment 2 of route 39 and route 31 is San Francisco, California. Consequently, any single-plane service operated by National between Atlanta and Fort Myers would have to be operated via San Francisco.

[2] Delta serves Jacksonville, Orlando, Tampa, West Palm Beach, Fort Lauderdale and Miami; Eastern serves Tallahassee, Jacksonville, Daytona Beach, Gainesville, Tampa, Sarasota, Orlando, Melbourne, West Palm Beach, Fort Lauderdale and Miami; Northwest serves Tampa, Fort Lauderdale and Miami; Southern serves Jacksonville, Tallahassee, Orlando, and Miami-Fort Lauderdale; and United serves Jacksonville, Tampa, West Palm Beach, Fort Lauderdale and Miami.

of Fort Myers-Atlanta service pending the completion of certificate proceedings.[3] In support of these applications the carriers stress Fort Myers need for first single-carrier, single-plane service to points in the mid-continent region and allege that such operations will be economic and will provide valuable service for the traveling public. Each carrier has filed an answer in opposition to the exemption applications of the others (except that Southern did not oppose Delta's exemption request) arguing primarily the comparative merits of its own case, and each carrier has replied to the answers. In addition, the Fort Myers parties have filed answers in support of the exemption applications, and Allegheny County, Pennsylvania, has filed an answer supporting United's exemption application as well as United's application to amend its certificate.

Upon consideration of the foregoing and other pertinent matters, we have determined to institute an investigation for the single purpose of considering the need for first single-plane service between Fort Myers and Atlanta.

Under § 399.60(b) of its policy statements, the Board has declined to afford priority treatment to route applications which contemplate the introduction of competitive service which might have a net deleterious effect on the overall health of the air transportation system.[4] At the same time, the Board is equally sensitive to the need of the traveling public for efficient, expeditious service in markets which can economically support improved service and in which there exists serious service deficiencies. The Fort Myers-Atlanta market appears to present an unusual situation, considering the relatively developed state of the air transportation system, where there currently is no single-plane or even single-carrier service authorized but there is a demonstrated traffic base which can support economic operations from the very outset, with a minimal competitive impact on the certificated system. Thus, the proceeding instituted herein involves considerations similar to those concerned in the Detroit-Nashville Nonstop Investigation, Order 74-9-90, September 25, 1974, in which we recently found that first direct air service between Nashville and Detroit should be certificated. On the other hand, this proceeding is clearly distinguishable from other recent decisions[5] in which we determined not to authorize new or additional competitive service in markets where it appeared that diversion from existing carriers and/or the added consumption of scarce fuel would outweigh any anticipated benefit to the traveling public. In view of these circumstances and the potential significant public benefits which could result from the authorization of first single-plane service in the Fort Myers-Atlanta market, we believe that a consideration of the needs of this market should be given a priority status on our hearing docket.[6]

Further, to focus this proceeding on those Fort Myers markets in which no carrier is currently authorized to provide single-plane service and to guard against any potential competitive impact on National's Fort Myers-East Coast services, we will specifically place in issue the possible imposition of restrictions (1) which would prohibit the operation of new single-plane services between Fort Myers, on the one hand, and Norfolk, Newport News, Washington, D.C., Baltimore, Philadelphia, New York/Newark, Providence, and Boston, on the other hand, and (2) which would prevent a new Fort Myers' carrier from publishing local or joint point-to-point fares in the above markets.[7]

To the extent they conform to the scope of the proceeding instituted herein, we are consolidating for hearing the applications of Delta (Docket 25771), Eastern (Docket 25760), National (Docket 25715), Northwest (Docket 25745), Southern (Docket 25746) and United (Docket 25721).

Finally, we have decided to deny the various exemption applications. In our view, the arguments advanced by the carriers in support of their applications for exemption fall short of establishing that an exemption should be granted, particularly in circumstances where there are competing applicants for the same authority. Even if all of the assertions of the carriers are accepted as true, they amount to no more than strong support for the grant of each carrier's respective certificate application; they do not persuade us of the desirability for the grant of an exemption pending disposition of those applications. In the circumstances, we are unable to find that enforcement of the Act would not be in the public interest.

Accordingly, *It is ordered*, That:

1. The motion of the Fort Myers parties, be and it hereby is granted;

2. A proceeding to be known as The Fort Myers-Atlanta Case, Docket 27237, be and it hereby is instituted and shall be set down for hearing before an Administrative Law Judge of the Board at a time and place hereafter designated;

3. The proceeding instituted by paragraph 2 above, shall include consideration of the following issues:

(a) Do the public convenience and necessity require the certification of an air carrier or air carriers to engage in nonstop air transportation between Fort Myers, Florida, and Atlanta, Georgia?

(b) If the answer to (a) is in the affirmative, which air carrier(s) should be authorized to engage in such service?

(c) What conditions, if any, should be placed on the operations of such carrier(s), including but not limited to:

(1) A restriction against the operation of new single-plane service between Fort Myers, on the one hand, and Norfolk, Newport News, Washington, D.C., Baltimore, Philadelphia, New York, Newark, Providence and Boston, on the other hand, and

(2) A restriction prohibiting any new Fort Myers carrier from publishing local or joint point-to-point fares between Fort Myers and the points listed in (1) above?

4. Authority awarded in this proceeding shall be in the form of a separate segment and shall be granted without eligibility for subsidy;

5. Insofar as they conform to the scope of the proceeding set forth in paragraph 3 above, the applications of Delta Air Lines in Docket 25771, Eastern Air Lines in Docket 25760, National Airlines in Docket 25715, Northwest Airlines in Docket 25745, Southern Airways in Docket 25746, and United Air Lines in Docket 25721, be and they hereby are consolidated with the proceeding instituted by paragraph 2 above; to the extent not consolidated, the foregoing applications be and they hereby are dismissed without prejudice;

6. The applications of Delta Air Lines in Docket 25785, Eastern Air Lines in Docket 25775, National Airlines in Docket 25767, Northwest Airlines in Docket 25752, Southern Airways in Docket 25747, and United Air Lines in Docket 25722, be and they hereby are denied; and

7. Applications, motions to consolidate and petitions for reconsideration of this order shall be filed no later than twenty (20) days after the date of service of this order, and answers thereto shall be filed no later than ten (10) days thereafter.

This order shall be published in the FEDERAL REGISTER.

By the Civil Aeronautics Board.[8]

[SEAL] EDWIN Z. HOLLAND,
Secretary.

[FR Doc.74-29230 Filed 12-13-74;8:45 am]

[Dockets Nos. 27061 and 27062; Order No. 74-12-39]

EASTERN AIR LINES, INC.

Order Regarding Suspension/Deletion of Service

Adopted by the Civil Aeronautics Board at its office in Washington, D.C. on the 11th day of December, 1974.

---

[3] Delta, National, and Southern have applied for exemption authority to serve only the Fort Myers-Atlanta market. The exemption applications of Eastern, Northwest and United parallel their requests for certificate authority.

[4] See, *e.g.*, Orders 73-7-78, July 16, 1973, and 73-8-24, August 3, 1973.

[5] Additional Service to San Diego Case (San Diego-Denver), Order 74-5-17, May 3, 1974, and Remanded Atlanta-Detroit/Cleveland/Cincinnati Investigation, Order 74-5-18, May 3, 1974.

[6] Nothing raised by Florida Airlines would lead us to a contrary result. However, the question before us now is simply whether to set the pending Fort Myers-Atlanta applications for hearing, and our conclusions herein are not intended to be a comment on the substantive merit of Florida Airlines' contentions.

[7] Without the ability to publish local or joint point-to-point fares, carriers would be required to charge fares based on the maximum fare formulas set forth in Phases 4 and 9 of the Domestic Passenger-Fare Investigation, Docket 21866.

[8] Minetti and West, members, statement concurring in the result filed as part of the original document.

Application of Eastern Air Lines, Inc., for continuation of temporary suspension of service at Mayaguez, P.R.—Docket 27062.

Application of Eastern Air Lines, Inc., for amendment of its certificate of public convenience and necessity for route 59 so as to delete Mayaguez, P.R.—Docket 27061.

By order 74–3–57, March 12, 1974, the board authorized Eastern to suspend service temporarily at Mayaguez, P.R., until December 31, 1974, and dismissed Eastern's application in docket 25877 for deletion of Mayaguez.[1]

On September 27, 1974 Eastern Air Lines filed an application for continuation of the temporary suspension of service at Mayaguez, to be in effect until 90 days after final decision on its concurrently filed application in docket 27061 for deletion of Mayaguez from its certificate for route 59.[2]

In support of its requests, Eastern states that continuation of its suspension at Mayaguez pending decision on its deletion application is clearly in the public interest because (a) conditions persist at the Mayaguez airport making it unsuitable for turbojet aircraft operations, (b) even a minimum pattern of service with such aircraft would be uneconomic, (c) the resumption of flight operations in the interim would result in needless expenditures and substantial losses, and (d) no inconvenience to the traveling public will result since there is ample alternative air service provided by Prinair between Mayaguez and San Juan.[3]

The Commonwealth of Puerto Rico has filed an answer to Eastern's requests. The Commonwealth contends that there are no valid grounds for Eastern's suspension or deletion at Mayaguez, and that it objects to the suspension if the carrier's deletion application in docket 27061 is not promptly set for expedited hearing.

The Commonwealth argues that Mayaguez is a large city, with a population of over 100,000; that the point generates over 200,000 O&D passengers per year; that the Mayaguez airport is fully capable of handling any amount of operations Eastern would be likely to schedule at Mayaguez, with any aircraft type the carrier would be likely to use, since the runway lengthening which was in progress in 1973 has been completed and the control tower is now in operation; that the fuel situation is not so critical as to require the complete elimination of certificated service from Mayaguez; and that the point is sufficiently isolated so as to require air transportation from its own facility.

Eastern filed a reply to the Commonwealth's answer, contending that expedited hearing procedures on its deletion application are unwarranted.

Upon consideration of the pleadings and all relevant facts, we have determined to set Eastern's application in docket 27061 for the deletion of Mayaguez, P.R., for hearing. The proceeding instituted herein shall receive priority consideration in being set for hearing. We do not mean to imply, however, that any procedures apart from those normally utilized in Board proceedings shall be followed here.[4]

We have also determined to grant Eastern's request for continued suspension authority at Mayaguez pending final board decision in the deletion case. We believe that the public interest would best be served by permitting Eastern to continue its present suspension of service at Mayaguez, pending an investigation into the future air service needs of the point in a formal proceeding. We are not persuaded that the carrier should be required to institute service in the interim, especially in view of the fact that Eastern has never served Mayaguez and that substantial start-up costs would be required which might prove ultimately needless, depending upon the outcome of the hearing. A continuation of Eastern's suspension will not deprive the traveling public and shippers of any service which they now enjoy, and there is ample air taxi service available between Mayaguez and San Juan.[5]

Finally, Board action in this proceeding may possibly have adverse impact upon the environment, to the extent that one eventual result could be the reinstitution of certificated service at Mayaguez. We will direct the Director, Bureau of Operating Rights, to determine prior to the hearing whether such action would constitute a "major Federal Action significantly affecting the quality of the human environment" within the meaning of section 102(2)(C) of the National Environmental Policy Act of 1969 (NEPA), and to prepare an NEPA environmental impact statement, if required.

The Bureau's draft environmental impact statement, or a statement with reasons that no impact statement is required ("environmental negative declaration"), will be circulated to the parties, other environmentally concerned Federal agencies, and other interested persons for their consideration and comment. The Bureau will thereafter prepare a final environmental impact statement, or a final negative declaration, dealing with the comments received, if any. The draft and final impact statements or negative declarations shall be placed in the record of the proceeding. A hearing may be held on the environmental impact statements, if any, if requested by any party and deemed necessary by the Administrative Law Judge assigned to the proceeding.

The Director is hereby authorized to make such requests for data and other material of the parties, and others, as he deems necessary for the Bureau's analysis. The parties, under direction of the Administrative Law Judge assigned to the proceeding, shall comply fully with such requests and any procedural dates established in connection therewith.

Accordingly, *It is ordered, That:*

1. The application of Eastern Air Lines, Inc., in docket 27061 for deletion of Mayaguez, P.R., from its certificate of public convenience and necessity for route 59 be and it hereby is set for hearing at a time and place to be hereafter designated;

2. The hearing shall consider the following issues: (1) Whether the public convenience and necessity require that Eastern's certificate be altered, amended, or modified so as to suspend or delete Mayaguez, P.R.; (2) as an alternative to amending Eastern's certificate, whether the public interest requires continued temporary suspension of service by Eastern, with or without conditions; and (3) the environmental impact of final Board action in the event that Eastern should be required to institute service as a result of this proceeding;

3. Eastern Air Lines, Inc., be and it hereby is authorized to suspend service temporarily at Mayaguez, P.R., until 90 days after final decision on its application in docket 27061 for deletion of Mayaguez from its certificate; and

4. This order shall be served on Eastern Air Lines, Inc.; Air Line Pilots Association, International; Mayor, City of Mayaguez; Governor, Commonwealth of Puerto Rico, and the Puerto Rico Department of Health; Airport Manager, Mayaguez Airport; the Postmaster General; the Department of Commerce; Department of Health, Education, and Welfare; Department of Transportation; the Environmental Protection Agency; the Council on Environmental Quality; and the National Aeronautics and Space Administration.

[1] By order 73–10–96, Oct. 26, 1973 the Board set for hearing Eastern's application in docket 25877 for deletion of Mayaguez from its certificate. Subsequently, in light of the fuel crisis which the carrier believed would make assessment of the service needs of Mayaguez unduly difficult, Eastern determined to withdraw its deletion application and to request suspension of service until Dec. 31, 1974, which the Board permitted by order 74–3–57. The carrier advised the Board that depending on circumstances prevailing when that authority expired, it anticipated the refiling of an application for deletion and a request for renewal of suspension authority.

[2] Eastern has invoked the automatic extension provisions of sec. 9(b) of the Administrative Procedure Act, 5 U.S.C. 558(c).

[3] Eastern also indicates that since its resumption of service to Ponce, Mayaguez travelers have convenient one-carrier service to the mainland, and that the carrier plans to inaugurate direct service between Ponce and New York in the spring of 1975.

[4] We do not interpret the Commonwealth's answer (requesting "that the Board promptly set down the deletion case for expedited hearing") to request the use of extraordinary procedures or timing in the conduct of the case. In any event, we believe that the several controverted issues of fact emerging from the pleadings dictate an orderly processing of the case, and that the present availability of ample air taxi services at Mayaguez renders the shortcutting of established procedures unnecessary.

[5] Prinair presently provides 27 daily nonstop round trips in the Mayaguez-San Juan market (OAG, Oct. 15, 1974).

This order shall be published in the FEDERAL REGISTER.

By the Civil Aeronautics Board.

[SEAL] EDWIN Z. HOLLAND,
Secretary.

[FR Doc.74-29228 Filed 12-13-74;8:45 am]

[Docket 25875; Order No. 74-12-40]

MINIMUM CHARTER RATE LEVEL

Order Regarding Statement of General Policy

Adopted by the Civil Aeronautics Board at its office in Washington, D.C., on the 11th day of December, 1974.

On November 11, 1974, the Department of Justice (Justice) filed a document described as a motion for stay and petition for reconsideration of the Board's Statement of General Policy, Minimum Charter Rate Levels, Regulation PS-57, adopted and effective October 18, 1974 (Statement). That Statement sets forth guidelines which the Board stated it will consider in evaluating tariffs for North Atlantic charters pursuant to section 1002(j) of the Federal Aviation Act (Act).[1] Since the Board's rules do not provide for petitions for reconsideration of final rules and statements of policy, Justice's request will be considered as a petition for rulemaking. Rule 38(d) of the rules of practice.

Justice alleges that the Board was incorrect in concluding that the statement of guidelines does not constitute ratemaking; that the provisions of section 1002(j)(5) of the Act may permit the Board to review and disapprove rates that do not meet "incremental" costs but that does not mean the Board can suspend rates merely upon a showing they are below fully allocated industry costs; and that the guidelines impose "great" economic pressures on carriers to file tariffs in accordance with the Statement which will deny the U.S. Government and the general public charter travel at "compensatory, competitively set rates." Justice implies the Board's action in issuing PS-57 violates Moss v. CAB, 430 F. 2d 891 (D.C. Circuit 1970). Answers supporting Justice's filing have been filed by Capitol International Airways, Inc., and Airline Charter Tour Association.

The Board, upon careful review of the matters raised, concludes that the relief sought should be denied. Justice's argument makes more of the Policy Statement than its plain language suggests. The guideline rate levels, adopted after full rulemaking procedures, affording ample opportunity for the comments of all interested persons, are clearly what they purport to be—specific guidelines for the purpose of evaluating existing and proposed charter rates. Stated differently, by establishing guidelines, the Board has merely made it known that it does not intend to review rates which are filed above that level. Conversely, rates filed below that level will be scrutinized both against industry data and that provided in the particular carrier's justification. It is, of course, patent that the Board has authority to suspend and investigate any North Atlantic charter rate it deems unreasonable under the usual statutory and evidentiary criteria, whether Policy Statement guidelines exist or not.

No rights of any person are affected by the promulgation of the Policy Statement and, as its terms indicate, the guideline rates are not in any sense "legally prescribed" so as to require the procedural steps incidental to Board "prescribed" rates for domestic air transportation service. The provisions of section 1002(j) clearly do not grant the Board prescription power over the reasonableness of rates in foreign air transportation, and the Board has not sought to exercise such power in promulgating the Statement.

Insofar as Justice questions the propriety of the Board's adoption of guideline rates based on charter industry average costs in the North Atlantic market, the Board has historically based its rate judgments on industry average costs for each ratemaking entity, and such decisions have been judicially sanctioned. The concept of rate regulation based on incremental or "out of pocket" costs ignores the carriers' need to charge prices sufficient to cover system and ratemaking entity costs.[2] Finally, it should be noted that the Policy Statement does not suggest that every tariff rate below the guideline minimum will be suspended.

Justice's final point that the Policy Statement will have the effect of forcing carriers to file higher level rate tariffs conforming to the guideline rates again overlooks the Statement's provisions that contemplate lower rates if adequately justified. In any event, the premise for the Board's action in adopting guidelines for a temporary one year period is the historical pattern of carrier losses in North Atlantic charter service—losses so severe as to seriously weaken the carriers' continued ability to provide the charter service which the Board has purposely fostered in recent years in order to provide the public with low-cost transportation.

In the interests of developing charter service, the Board has historically limited its intervention in the rate regulation area so the public could be served at prices settled in the competitive marketplace. With the very sharp recent increases in operating costs, due in part to fuel and other inflationary cost forces, this approach is no longer viable and a more detailed regulatory environment appears warranted, at least for a temporary period. Concerns that charter services be operated profitably have been expressed internationally as demonstrated by the action of major European aeronautical authorities in considering establishment of minimum charter rates. The Board could not and has not gone that far. But clearly present circumstances warrant more careful attention to charter rate levels, and that is what the Board has sought to achieve in its adoption of the Policy Statement.

Accordingly, *It is ordered, That:*

1. The motion for stay filed by the Department of Justice on November 11, 1974, is denied.

2. The petition filed by the Department of Justice on November 11, 1974, is dismissed.

This order will be published in the Federal Register.

By the Civil Aeronautics Board:

[SEAL] EDWIN Z. HOLLAND,
Secretary.

[FR Doc.74-29229 Filed 12-13-74;8:45 am]

---

[1] The minimum rates are based on season of the year and aircraft type and range between 3.1¢ and 4.1¢ per seat-mile for aircraft with less than 230 seats and between 2.4¢ and 3.4¢ per seat-mile for aircraft with more than 229 seats.

[2] Domestic Passenger-Fare Investigation, Phase 7—Fare Level, Orders 71-4-59 and 71-4-60, decided April 9, 1971, p. 70ff; General Passenger-Fare Investigation, 32 CAB 291 (1960).

COMMISSION ON CIVIL RIGHTS

ARIZONA STATE ADVISORY COMMITTEE

Agenda and Notice of Open Meeting

Notice is hereby given, pursuant to the provisions of the rules and regulations of the U.S. Commission on Civil Rights, that a planning meeting of the Arizona State Advisory on January 9, 1975, in Phoenix, Arizona.

Persons wishing to attend this meeting should contact the Committee Chairman, or the Mountain States Regional Office of the Commission, Room 216, 1726 Champa Street, Denver, Colorado 80282.

The purpose of this meeting is for Press conference to release SAC report on Adult Corrections in Arizona.

This meeting will be conducted pursuant to the rules and regulations of the Commission.

Dated at Washington, D.C., December 9, 1974.

ISAIAH T. CRESWELL, Jr.,
Advisory Committee Management Officer.

[FR Doc.74-29176 Filed 12-13-74;8:45 am]

ARIZONA STATE ADVISORY COMMITTEE

Agenda and Notice of Open Meeting

Notice is hereby given, pursuant to the provisions of the rules and regulations of the U.S. Commission on Civil Rights, that a planning meeting of the Arizona State Advisory Committee (SAC) to this Commission will convene at 7 p.m., January 8, 1975, at the Hunter Inn, 124 S. 24th Street (Sonora Room), Phoenix, Arizona 85034.

Persons wishing to attend this meeting should contact the Committee Chairman, or the Mountain States Regional Office of the Commission, Room 216, 1726 Champa Street, Denver, Colorado 80282.

The purpose of this meeting is a planning session—Mexican-American Education Study follow-up January 8 press conference: to release Adult Corrections

in Arizona other SAC activities/projects.

This meeting will be conducted pursuant to the rules and regulations of the Commission.

Dated at Washington, D.C., December 9, 1974.

ISAIAH T. CRESWELL, Jr.,
Advisory Committee Management Officer.

[FR Doc.74-29175 Filed 12-13-74;8:45 am]

CALIFORNIA STATE ADVISORY COMMITTEE

Agenda and Notice of Open Meeting

Notice is hereby given, pursuant to the provisions of the rules and regulations of the U.S. Commission on Civil Rights, that a planning meeting of the California State Advisory Committee (SAC) to this Commission will convene at 10:30 a.m. on January 11, 1975, at the International Hotel, 6225 West Century Boulevard, Room 548, Los Angeles, California 90045.

Persons wishing to attend this meeting should contact the Committee Chairman, or the Western Regional Office of the Commission, Room 1015, 312 North Spring Street, Los Angeles, California 90012.

The purpose of this meeting shall be to discuss current political and social concerns surrounding the Immigration and Naturalization Service (INS) and resident aliens.

This meeting will be conducted pursuant to the rules and regulations of the Commission.

Dated at Washington, D.C., December 9, 1974.

ISAIAH T. CRESWELL, Jr.,
Advisory Committee Management Officer.

[FR Doc.74-29168 Filed 12-13-74;8:45 am]

CALIFORNIA STATE ADVISORY COMMITTEE

Agenda and Notice of Open Meeting

Notice is hereby given, pursuant to the provisions of the rules and regulations of the U.S. Commission on Civil Rights, that a planning meeting of the California State Advisory Committee (SAC) to this Commission will convene at 7:30 p.m. on January 24, 1975, at the Hilton Inn, San Francisco International Airport, Room: Bay Shore #6, San Francisco, California 94128.

Persons wishing to attend this meeting should contact the Committee Chairman, or the Western Regional Office of the Commission, Room 1015; 312 North Spring Street, Los Angeles, California 90012.

The purpose of this meeting shall be to discuss the preliminary open meeting to be held in Salinas, California as well as potential and tentative witnesses for open meeting.

This meeting will be conducted pursuant to the rules and regulations of the Commission.

Dated at Washington, D.C., December 9, 1974.

ISAIAH T. CRESWELL, Jr.,
Advisory Committee Management Officer.

[FR Doc.74-29169 Filed 12-13-74;8:45 am]

HAWAII STATE ADVISORY COMMITTEE

Agenda and Notice of Open Meeting

Notice is hereby given, pursuant to the provisions of the rules and regulations of the U.S. Commission on Civil Rights, that a planning meeting of the Hawaii State Advisory Committee (SAC) to this Commission will convene at 7:30 p.m. on January 24, 1975, at the Ala Moana Hotel, 410 Atkinson Drive, Board Room, Honolulu, Hawaii 96814.

Persons wishing to attend this meeting should contact the Committee Chairman, or the Western Regional Office of the Commission, Room 1015, 312 North Spring Street, Los Angeles, California 90012.

The purpose of this meeting is to meet with the new Advisory Committee members.

This meeting will be conducted pursuant to the rules and regulations of the Commission.

Dated at Washington, D.C., December 10, 1974.

ISAIAH T. CRESWELL, JR.,
Advisory Committee Management Officer.

[FR Doc.74-29173 Filed 12-13-74;8:45 am]

INDIANA STATE ADVISORY COMMITTEE

Agenda and Notice of Open Meeting

Notice is hereby given, pursuant to the provisions of the rules and regulations of the U.S. Commission on Civil Rights, that a planning meeting of the Indiana State Advisory Committee (SAC) to this Commission will convene at 10 a.m. on January 8, 1975, at the Inter Religious Commission on Human Equality, 1100 W. 42nd.

Persons wishing to attend this meeting should contact the Committee Chairman or the Midwestern Regional Office of the Commission, Room 1428, 219 South Dearborn Street, Chicago, Illinois 60604.

The purpose of this meeting shall be a Sub-Committee follow-up planning on Migrant Project.

This meeting will be conducted pursuant to the rules and regulations of the Commission.

Dated at Washington, D.C., December 9, 1974.

ISAIAH T. CRESWELL, Jr.,
Advisory Committee Management Office.

[FR Doc.74-29170 Filed 12-13-74;8:45 am]

INDIANA STATE ADVISORY COMMITTEE

Agenda and Notice of Open Meeting

Notice is hereby given, pursuant to the provisions of the rules and regulations of the U.S. Commission on Civil Rights, that a factfinding meeting of the Indiana State Advisory Committee (SAC) to this Commission will convene at 12 noon on January 8, 1975, at 1354 Neil Evans Drive, Ohio 44313.

Person wishing to attend this meeting should contact the Committee Chairman or the Midwestern Regional Office of the Commission, Room 1428, 219 South Dearborn Street, Chicago, Illinois 60604.

The purpose of this meeting shall be that the Education Sub-Committee will review materials gathered and determine scope of education project and what other information is necessary.

This meeting will be conducted pursuant to the rules and regulations of the Commission.

Dated at Washington, D.C., December 9, 1974.

ISAIAH T. CRESWELL, Jr.,
Advisory Committee Management Office.

[FR Doc.74-29171 Filed 12-13-74;8:45 am]

MONTANA STATE ADVISORY COMMITTEE

Agenda and Notice of Open Meeting

Notice is hereby given, pursuant to the provisions of the rules and regulations of the U.S. Commission on Civil Rights, that a planning meeting of the Colorado State Advisory Committee (SAC) to this Commission will convene at 2:30 p.m. on January 11, 1975, at 202 Second St., North, YWCA—Great Falls, Montana.

Persons wishing to attend this meeting should contact the Committee Chairman, or the Mountain States Regional Office of the Commission, Room 216, 1726 Champa Street, Denver, Colorado 80202.

The purpose of this meeting is for Media Conference.

This meeting will be conducted pursuant to the rules and regulations of the Commission.

Dated at Washington, D.C., December 9, 1974.

ISAIAH T. CRESWELL, Jr.,
Advisory Committee Management Officer.

[FR Doc.74-29174 Filed 12-13-74;8:45 am]

WASHINGTON STATE ADVISORY COMMITTEE

Agenda and Notice of Open Meeting

Notice is hereby given, pursuant to the provisions of the rules and regulations of the U.S. Commission on Civil Rights, that a planning meeting of the Washington State Advisory Committee (SAC) to this Commission will convene at 1 p.m. on January 11, 1975, at the Olympic Hotel, Fourth and Seneca Streets, Room 351, Seattle, Washington 98111.

Persons wishing to attend this meeting should contact the Committee Chairman, or the Western Regional Office of the Commission, Room 1015, 312 North Spring Street, Los Angeles, California 90012.

The purpose of this meeting is to brief new State Advisory Committee members and to plan program for calendar year 1975.

This meeting will be conducted pursuant to the rules and regulations of the Commission.

Dated at Washington, D.C., December 10, 1974.

ISAIAH T. CRESWELL, Jr.,
Advisory Committee Management Officer.

[FR Doc.74-29172 Filed 12-13-74;8:45 am]

COMMITTEE FOR THE IMPLEMENTATION OF TEXTILE AGREEMENTS

CERTAIN COTTON TEXTILES AND CCTTON TEXTILE PRODUCTS PRODUCED OR MANUFACTURED IN THE REPUBLIC OF THE PHILIPPINES

DECEMBER 11, 1974.

On September 21, 1967, the United States Government concluded a comprehensive bilateral cotton textile agreement with the Government of the Republic of the Philippines concerning exports of cotton textiles and cotton textile products from the Philippines to the United States. On December 26, 1967, the two Governments exchanged notes amending the bilateral agreement. On November 17, 1970, the two Governments exchanged notes further amending and extending the bilateral agreement. By exchange of notes dated September 27 and October 9, 1973, the two Governments again extended the bilateral agreement, as previously amended. Among the provisions of the agreement, as amended and extended, are those establishing specific limits on Categories 9, 22, 26, 32, 39, 42, 43, 45, 46, 50, 51, 60, 61, and part of 63 for the agreement year beginning January 1, 1975.

Accordingly, there is published below a letter of December 11, 1974, from the Chairman of the Committee for the Implementation of Textile Agreements to the Commissioner of Customs, directing that the amounts of cotton textiles and cotton textile products in the above categories, produced or manufactured in the Philippines, which may be entered or withdrawn from warehouse for consumption in the United States for the period beginning January 1, 1975 and extending through December 31, 1975, be limited to the designated levels. The letter published below and the actions pursuant thereto are not designed to implement all of the provisions of the bilateral agreement, as amended and extended, but are designed to assist only in the implementation of certain of its provisions.

ALAN POLANSKY,
Acting Chairman, Committee for the Implementation of Textile Agreements, and Acting Deputy Assistant Secretary for Resources and Trade Assistance.

COMMITTEE FOR THE IMPLEMENTATION OF TEXTILE AGREEMENTS

COMMISSIONER OF CUSTOMS
Department of the Treasury,
Washington, D.C. 20229

DECEMBER 11, 1974.

DEAR MR. COMMISSIONER: Pursuant to the Bilateral Cotton Textile Agreement of September 21, 1967, as amended and extended, between the Governments of the United States and the Republic of the Philippines, and in accordance with the provisions of Executive Order 11651 of March 3, 1972, you are directed to prohibit, effective January 1, 1975 and for the twelve-month period extending through December 31, 1975, entry into the United States for consumption and withdrawal from warehouse for consumption of cotton textiles and cotton textile products in Categories 9, 22, 26, 32, 39, 42, 43, 45, 46, 50, 51, 60, 61, and part of 63 produced or manufactured in the Philippines, in excess of the following levels of restraint:

Category	*12-month level of restraint*
9	1,758,876 square yards.
22	2,110,651 square yards.
26	1,758,876 square yards (of which not more than 422,130 square yards may be in duck fabric).[1]
32	4,221,301 dozens.
39	386,952 dozen pairs.
42	42,212 dozens.
43	84,427 dozens.
45	42,212 dozens.
46	14,071 dozens.
50	14,071 dozens.
51	14,071 dozens.
60	11,961 dozens.
61	2,181,006 dozens.
Part of 63 (T.S.U.S.A. Nos. 380.3980 and 382.3380 only)	167,861 pounds.

[1] Only T.S.U.S.A. Nos:
320.__01 through 04, 06, 08
321.__01 through 04, 06, 08
322.__01 through 04, 06, 08
326.__01 through 04, 06, 08
327.__01 through 04, 06, 08
328.__01 through 04, 06, 08

In carrying out this directive, entries of cotton textiles and cotton textile products in the above categories, produced or manufactured in the Philippines, which have been exported to the United States from the Philippines prior to January 1, 1975, shall, to the extent of any unfilled balances, be charged against the levels of restraint established for such goods for the twelve-month period beginning January 1, 1974 and extending through December 31, 1974. In the event that the levels of restraint for the twelve-month period have been exhausted by previous entries, such goods shall be subject to the levels set forth in this letter.

The levels of restraint set forth above are subject to adjustment pursuant to the provisions of the bilateral agreement of September 21, 1967, as amended and extended, between the Governments of the United States and the Republic of the Philippines which provide, in part, that within the aggregate and applicable group limits, limits on certain categories may be exceeded by not more than five percent; for the limited carryover of shortfalls in certain categories to the next agreement year; and for administrative arrangements. Any appropriate adjustments pursuant to the provisions of the bilateral agreement referred to above, will be made to you by letter.

A detailed description of the categories in terms of T.S.U.S.A. numbers was published in the Federal Register on January 25, 1974 (39 F.R. 3430).

In carrying out the above directions, entry into the United States for consumption shall be construed to include entry for consumption into the Commonwealth of Puerto Rico.

The actions taken with respect to the Government o fthe Republic of the Philippines and with respect to the imports of cotton textiles and cotton textile products from the Philippines have been determined by the Committee for the Implementation of Textile Agreements to involve foreign affairs functions of the United States. Therefore, the directions to the Commissioner of Customs, being necessary to the implementation of such actions, fall within the foreign affairs exception to the rulemaking provisions of 5 U.S.C. 553. This letter will be published in the Federal Register.

Sincerely,

ALAN POLANSKY,
Acting Chairman, Committee for the Implementation of Textile Agreements, and Acting Deputy Assistant Secretary for Resources and Trade Assistance.

[FR Doc. 74-29197 Filed 12-13-74;8:45 am]

CERTAIN COTTON TEXTILES AND COTTON TEXTILE PRODUCTS PRODUCED OR MANUFACTURED IN THE SOCIALIST REPUBLIC OF ROMANIA

DECEMBER 11, 1974.

On December 31, 1970, the United States Government concluded a comprehensive bilateral cotton textile agreement with the Government of the Socialist Republic of Romania concerning exports of cotton textiles and cotton textile products from Romania to the United States over a five-year period beginning on January 1, 1971 and extending through December 31, 1975. Among the provisions of the agreement are those establishing an aggregate limit for the 64 categories, and within the aggregate limit, specific limits on Categories 19, 26, 47, 49, 55, 60, and 63 for the fifth agreement year beginning January 1, 1975.

Accordingly, there is published below a letter of December 11, 1974, from the Chairman of the Committee for the Implementation of Textile Agreements to the Commissioner of Customs, directing that the amounts of cotton textile products in the above categories, produced or manufactured in Romania, which may be entered or withdrawn from warehouse for consumption in the United States for the period beginning January 1, 1975, and extending through December 31, 1975, be limited to the designated levels. The letter published below and the actions pursuant thereto are not designed to implement all of the provisions of the bilateral agreement, but are designed to assist only in the implementation of certain of its provisions.

ALAN POLANSKY,
Acting Chairman, Committee for the Implementation of Textile Agreements, and Acting Deputy Assistant Secretary for Resources and Trade Assistance.

COMMITTEE FOR THE IMPLEMENTATION OF TEXTILE AGREEMENTS

Commissioner of Customs
Department of the Treasury
Washington, D.C. 20229

DECEMBER 11, 1974.

DEAR MR. COMMISSIONER: Pursuant to the Bilateral Cotton Textile Agreement of December 31, 1970, between the Governments of the United States and the Socialist Republic of Romania, and in accordance with the provisions of Executive Order 11651 of March 3, 1972, you are directed to prohibit, effective January 1, 1975 and for the twelve-month period extending through December 31, 1975, entry into the United States for consumption and withdrawal from warehouse for consumption of cotton textile products in Categories 19, 26, 47, 49, 55, 60, and 63, produced or manufactured in Romania, in excess of the following twelve-month levels of restraint:

Category	12-month level of restraint
19	1,337,057 square yards.
26	2,674,114 square yards (of which not more than 607,754 square yards may be in duck fabric [1]).
47	49,308 dozen.
49	26,180 dozen.
55	16,683 dozen.
60	23,393 dozen.
63	422,785 pounds.

[1] The T.S.U.S.A. Nos. for duck fabric are:
320...01 through 04, 06, 08
321...01 through 04, 06, 08
322...01 through 04, 06, 08
326...01 through 04, 06, 08
327...01 through 04, 06, 08
328...01 through 04, 06, 08

In carrying out this directive, entries of cotton textile products in Categories 19, 26, 47, 49, 55, 60, and 63, produced or manufactured in Romania, which have been exported to the United States from Romania prior to January 1, 1975, shall, to the extent of any unfilled balances, be charged against the levels of restraint established for such goods for the twelve-month period beginning January 1, 1974, and extending through December 31, 1974, have been exhausted by previous entries, such goods shall be subject to the levels set forth in this letter.

The levels of restraint set forth above are subject to adjustment pursuant to the provisions of the bilateral agreement of December 31, 1970, between the Governments of the United States and the Socialist Republic of Romania which provide, in part, that within the aggregate limit, limits on certain categories may be exceeded by not more than 5 percent; for the limited carryover of shortfalls in certain categories to the next agreement year; and for administrative arrangements. Any appropriate adjustments pursuant to the provisions of the bilateral agreement referred to above, will be made to you by letter.

A detailed description of the categories in terms of T.S.U.S.A. numbers was published in the Federal Register on January 25, 1974 (39 F.R. 3430).

In carrying out the above directions, entry into the United States for consumption shall be contrued to include entry for consumption into the Commonwealth of Puerto Rico.

The actions taken with respect to the Government of the Socialist Republic of Romania and with respect to imports of cotton textiles and cotton textile products from Romania have been determined by the Committee for the Implementation of Textile Agreements to involve foreign affairs functions of the United States. Therefore, the directions to the Commissioner of Customs, being necessary to the implementation of such action., fall within the foreign affairs exception to the rule-making provisions of 5 U.S.C. 553. This letter will be published in the Federal Register.

Sincerely,

ALAN POLANSKY,
Acting Chairman, Committee for the Implementation of Textile Agreements, and Acting Deputy Assistant Secretary for Resources and Trade Assistance.

[FR Doc.74-29198 Filed 12-13-74;8:45 am]

CERTAIN COTTON TEXTILES AND COTTON TEXTILE PRODUCTS PRODUCED OR MANUFACTURED IN THE SOCIALIST FEDERAL REPUBLIC OF YUGOSLAVIA

DECEMBER 11, 1974.

On December 31, 1970, the United States Government concluded a comprehensive bilateral agreement with the Government of the Socialist Federal Republic of Yugoslavia concerning exports of cotton textiles and cotton textile products from Yugoslavia to the United States over a five-year period beginning January 1, 1971 and extending through December 31, 1975. Among the provisions of the agreement are those establishing an aggregate limit, and within the aggregate limit, specific limits on Categories 9, 18/19, 22, 26, 48 and 49, for the agreement year beginning January 1, 1975.

There is published below a letter of December 11, 1974, from the Chairman of the Committee for the Implementation of Textile Agreements to the Commissioner of Customs, directing that the amounts of cotton textiles and cotton textile products in the above categories, produced or manufactured in Yugoslavia, which may be entered or withdrawn from warehouse for consumption in the United States for the twelve-month period beginning on January 1, 1975, and extending through December 31, 1975, be limited to certain designated levels. This letter and the actions pursuant thereto are not designed to implement all of the provisions of the bilateral agreement but are designed to assist only in the implementation of certain of its provisions.

ALAN POLANSKY,
Acting Chairman, Committee for the Implementation of Textile Agreements, and Acting Deputy Assistant Secretary for Resources and Trade Assistance.

COMMITTEE FOR THE IMPLEMENTATION OF TEXTILE AGREEMENTS

COMMISSIONER OF CUSTOMS,
Department of the Treasury,
Washington, D.C. 20229.

DECEMBER 11, 1974.

DEAR MR. COMMISSIONER: Pursuant to the Bilateral Cotton Textile Agreement of December 31, 1970 between the Governments of the United States and the Socialist Federal Republic of Yugoslavia, and in accordance with the provisions of Executive Order 11651 of March 3, 1972, you are directed to prohibit, effective January 1, 1975, and for the twelve-month period extending through December 31, 1975, entry into the United States for consumption and withdrawal from warehouse for consumption, of cotton textiles and cotton textile products in Categories 9, 18/19, 22, 26 (duck fabric), 26 (other than duck fabric), 48, and 49, produced or manufactured in Yugoslavia, in excess of the following twelve-month levels of restraint:

Category	12-month level of restraint
9	square yards... 12,155,063
18/19	do... 607,754
22	do... 4,862,025
26 (duck fabric)[1]	do... 2,431,013
26 (other than duck fabric)	do... 2,247,717
48	dozen... 17,017
49	do... 55,973

[1] The T.S.U.S.A. Nos. for duck fabric are:
320...01 through 04, 06, 08
321...01 through 04, 06, 08
322...01 through 04, 06, 08
326...01 through 04, 06, 08
327...01 through 04, 06, 08
328...01 through 04, 06, 08

In carrying out this directive, entries of cotton textiles and cotton textile products in Categories 9, 18/19, 22, 26 (duck fabric), 26 (other than duck fabric), 48, and 49, produced or manufactured in Yugoslavia and which have been exported to the United States from Yugoslavia prior to January 1, 1975, shall, to the extent of any unfilled balances, be charged against the levels of restraint established for such goods during the period January 1, 1974, through December 31, 1974. In the event that the levels of restraint established for such goods for that period have been exhausted by previous entries, such goods shall be subject to the levels set forth in this letter.

The levels of restraint set forth above are subject to adjustment pursuant to the provisions of the bilateral agreement of December 31, 1970, between the Governments of the United States and the Socialist Federal Republic of Yugoslavia which provide in part that within the aggregate and applicable group limits, limits on specific categories may be exceeded by not more than 5 percent; for the limited carryover of shortfalls in certain categories to the next agreement year; and for administrative arrangements. Any appropriate adjustments pursuant to the provisions of the bilateral agreement referred to above, will be made to you by letter.

A detailed description of the categories in terms of T.S.U.S.A. numbers was published in the Federal Register on January 25, 1974 (39 F.R. 3430).

In carrying out the above directions, entry into the United States for consumption shall be construed to include entry for consumption into the Commonwealth of Puerto Rico.

The actions taken with respect to the Government of the Socialist Federal Republic of Yugoslavia and with respect to imports of cotton textiles and cotton textile products from Yugoslavia have been determined by the Committee for the Implementation of Textile Agreements to involve foreign affairs functions of the United States. Therefore, the directions to the Commissioner of Customs, being necessary to the implementation of such actions, fall within the foreign affairs exception to the rule-making provisions of

5 U.S.C. 553. This letter will be published in the Federal Register.

Sincerely yours,

ALAN POLANSKY,
Acting Chairman, Committee for the Implementation of Textile Agreements, and Acting Deputy Assistant Secretary for Resources and Trade Assistance.

[FR Doc.74-29196 Filed 12-13-74;8:45 am]

ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY

[FRL 295-4]

AMERICAN CYANAMID CO.

Filing of Petition Regarding Pesticide Chemical

Correction

In FR Doc. 26730, appearing at page 40325 in the issue of Friday, November 15, 1974, the following changes should be made:

1. The last word in the 12th line of the first paragraph should read "amino".

2. The second word in the third line of the second paragraph should read "compounds".

[FRL 295-7]

CHEMAGRO DIVISION OF MOBAY CHEMICAL CORP.

Notice of Filing of Petition Regarding Pesticide Chemical

Correction

In FR Doc. 26733, appearing on page 40326 in the issue for Friday, November 15, 1974, the signature now reading "Martin H. Ragoff", should read "Martin H. Rogoff".

[OPP-32000/153; FRL 306-7]

NOTICE OF RECEIPT OF APPLICATIONS FOR PESTICIDE REGISTRATION

Data To Be Considered in Support of Applications

On November 19, 1973, the Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) published in the FEDERAL REGISTER (38 FR 31862) its interim policy with respect to the administration of section 3(c)(1)(D) of the Federal Insecticide, Fungicide, and Rodenticide Act (FIFRA), as amended. This policy provides that EPA will, upon receipt of every application for registration, publish in the FEDERAL REGISTER a notice containing the information shown below. The labeling furnished by the applicant will be available for examination at the Environmental Protection Agency, Room EB-31, East Tower, 401 M Street, SW, Washington, D.C. 20460.

On or before February 14, 1975, any person who (a) is or has been an applicant, (b) believes that data he developed and submitted to EPA on or after October 21, 1972, is being used to support an application described in this notice, (c) desires to assert a claim for compensation under section 3(c)(1)(D) for such use of his data, and (d) wishes to preserve his right to have the Administrator determine the amount of reasonable compensation to which he is entitled for such use of the data, must notify the Administrator and the applicant named in the notice in the FEDERAL REGISTER of his claim by certified mail. Notification to the Administrator should be addressed to the Information Coordination Section, Technical Services Division (WH-569), Office of Pesticide Programs, 401 M Street, SW, Washington, D.C. 20460. Every such claimant must include, at a minimum, the information listed in the interim policy of November 19, 1973.

Applications submitted under 2(a) or 2(b) of the interim policy will be processed to completion in accordance with existing procedures. Applications submitted under 2(c) of the interim policy cannot be made final until the 60 day period has expired. If no claims are received within the 60 day period, the 2(c) application will be processed according to normal procedure. However, if claims are received within the 60 day period, the applicants against whom the claims are asserted will be advised of the alternatives available under the Act. No claims will be accepted for possible EPA adjudication which are received after February 14, 1975.

APPLICATIONS RECEIVED

EPA File Symbol 4169-A. Aborn Chemical Industries, Inc., 168 "A" St., South Boston MA 02210. ARK SAN 200. Active Ingredients: n-Alkyl (60% C14, 30% C16, 5% C12, 5% C18) dimethyl benzyl ammonium chlorides 0.01%; n-Alkyl (68% C12, 32% C14) dimethyl ethylbenzyl ammonium chlorides 0.01%. Method of Support: Application proceeds under 2(b) of interim policy.

EPA File Symbol 8590-ULO. Agway, Inc., Fertilizer-Chemical Div., Box 1333, Syracuse NY 13201. AGWAY DODINE 2D. Active Ingredients: Dodine (n-dodecylguanidine acetate) 2.0%. Method of Support: Application proceeds under 2(c) of interim policy.

EPA File Symbol 1029-RER. Aidex Corp., 1024 N. 17th St., Omaha NE 68102. AIDEX CHLORDANE 25 GRANULAR. Active Ingredients: Technical Chlordane 25%. Method of Support: Application proceeds under 2(c) of interim policy.

EPA File Symbol 12016-I. Anderson-Stolz Corp., 1727-33 Walnut St., Kansas City MO 64108. SOL-VET 421 SP NO2. Active Ingredients: Poly[oxyethylene(dimethyliminio) ethylene(dimethyliminio)ethylene dichloride] 6.0%. Method of Support: Application proceeds under 2(b) of interim policy.

EPA File Symbol 12016-O. Anderson-Stolz Corp. SOL-VET 426 XM. Active Ingredients: Disodium cyanodithioimidocarbonate 7.35%; Potassium N-methyldithiocarbamate 10.15%. Method of Support: Application proceeds under 2(b) of interim policy.

EPA File Symbol 12016-RN. Anderson-Stolz Corp. SOL-VET 426 M. Active Ingredients: Disodium cyanodithioimidocarbonate 3.68%; Potassium N-methyldithiocarbamate 5.07%. Method of Support: Application proceeds under 2(b) of interim policy.

EPA File Symbol 12466-L. Aqua Laboratories, Inc., 36 High St., Amesbury MA 01913. AQUACIDE-400. Active Ingredients: Disodium cyanodithioimidocarbonate 4.90%; Potassium N-methyldithiocarbamate 6.76%. Method of Support: Application proceeds under 2(b) of interim policy.

EPA File Symbol 10336-RE. Aquaphase Laboratories, Inc., 1425 E. Michigan St., Adrian MI 49221. S-TEC 11. Active Ingredients: Disodium cyanodithioimidocarbonate 3.68%; Potassium N-methyldithiocarbamate 5.07%. Method of Support: Application proceeds under 2(b) of interim policy.

EPA File Symbol 10336XRR. Aquaphase Laboratories, Inc., 1425 E. Michigan St., Adrian MI 49221. S-TEC 11A. Active Ingredients: Disodium cyanodithioimidocarbonate 14.7%; Potassium N-methyldithiocarbamate 20.3%. Method of Support: Application proceeds under 2(b) of interim policy.

EPA File Symbol 35378-E. Aqua/Process Chemicals, 2408 Yorktown #178, Houston TX 77027. S-71. Active Ingredients: Disodium cyanodithioimidocarbonate 14.7%; Potassium N-methyldithiocarbamate 20.3%. Method of Support: Application proceeds under 2(b) of interim policy.

EPA File Symbol 35378-G. Aqua/Process Chemicals, 2408 Yorktown #178, Houston TX 77027. S-70. Active Ingridents: Poly-[oxyethylene (dimethyliminio) ethylene (dimethyliminio) ethylene dichloride] 30.0%. Method of Support: Application proceeds under 2(b) of interim policy.

EPA File Symbol 12468-U. Aquatherm, Inc., 2233 W. Second St., Santa Ana CA 92703. MICROBIOCIDE 298. Active Ingredients: Disodium cyanodithioimidocarbonate 7.35%; Potassium N-methyldithiocarbamate 10.15%. Method of Support: Application proceeds under 2(b) of interim policy.

EPA File Symbol 960-ROI. Balcom Chemicals, Inc., PO Box 667, Greeley CO 80631. CLEAN CROP MANEB 8% POTATO SEED TREATER. Active Ingredients: Maneb (Manganous ethylene bisdithiocarbamate) 8.0%. Method of Support: Application proceeds under 2(c) of interim policy.

EPA File Symbol 960-ROO. Balcom Chemicals, Inc., PO Box 667, Greeley CO 80631. CLEAN CROP CAPTAN 5% POTATO SEED TREATER. Active Ingredients: Captan (N-trichloromethylthio-4-cyclohexene-1, 2-dicarboximide) 5.0%. Method of Support: Application proceeds under 2(c) of interim policy.

EPA File Symbol 1191-GGI. Carolina Chemicals, Inc., PO Box 118, West Columbia SC 29169. PEACH SPRAY CONTAINING GUTHION NUZINC AND WETTABLE SULPHUR 5/8-3-6. Active Ingredients: O,O-Dimethyl S-[4-oxo-1,2,3-benzotriazin-3(4H)-ylmethyl] phosphorodithioate 2.35%; Zinc Oxysulphate 22.56%; Sulphur 40.60%. Method of Support: Application proceeds under 2(c) of interim policy.

EPA File Symbol 1191-GGO. Carolina Chemicals, Inc., PO Box 118, West Columbia SC 29169. PEACH SPRAY CONTAINING GUTHION AND WETTABLE SULPHUR 5/8-6. Active Ingredients: O,O-Dimethyl S-[4-oxo-1,2,3-benzotriazin-3(4H)-ylmethyl] phosphorodithioate 3.03%; Sulphur 52.42%. Method of Support: Application proceeds under 2(c) of interim policy.

EPA File Symbol 5719-AR. Chacon Chemical Corp., 5245 Chakemco St., South Gate CA 90280. CHACON NEMATODE CONTROL. Active Ingredients: 1,2-Dibromo-3-Chloropropane 19.10%; Other Halogenated C3 Compounds 1.00%. Method of Support: Application proceeds under 2(c) of interim policy.

EPA File Symbol 34224-A. Chemrite Corp., 12600 S. Daphne Ave., Hawthorne CA 90250. CHEMRITE CR-173 DEDRITE. Active Ingredients: Pyrethrins 0.050%; Piperonyl butoxide, technical 0.100%; N-octyl bicycloheptene dicarboximide 0.166%; O, O-dimethyl O-2,4,5-trichlorophenyl phosphorothioate 1.000%; Petroleum distillate 98.192%. Method of Support: Application proceeds under 2(c) of interim policy.

EPA File Symbol 34224–L. Chemrite Corp., 12600 S. Daphne Ave., Hawthorne CA 90250. CHEMRITE CR–174 VAPORITE. Active Ingredients: Pyrethrins 0.30%; Piperonyl butoxide, technical 0.60%; N-octyl bicycloheptene dicarboximide 1.00%; Petroleum distillate 98.10%. Method of Support: Application proceeds under 2(c) of interim policy.

EPA Reg. No. 192–45. Dexol Industries, 1450 W. 228th St., Torrance CA 90501. TENDER LEAF HOUSE PLANT SPRAY. Active Ingredients: Petroleum Hydrocarbons 0.65%; Nicotine, as alkaloid 0.07%; Triethanolamine 0.043%. Method of Support: Application proceeds under 2(c) of interim policy.

EPA File Symbol 11525–EA. Peterson/Puritan, Inc., Hegeler Lane, Danville IL 61832. P/P RESIDUAL HOUSE & GARDEN INSECTICIDE. Active Ingredients: (5-Benzyl-3-furyl) methyl 2,2-dimethyl-3-(2-methylpropenyl) cyclopropanecarboxylate 0.350%; Related compounds 0.048%; Aromatic petroleum hydrocarbons 0.464%. Method of Support: Application proceeds under 2(c) of interim policy.

EPA File Symbol 11525–EI. Peterson/Puritan, Inc. P/P WASP & HORNET SPRAY NO. 2. Active Ingredients: (5-Benzyl-3-furyl) methyl 2,2-dimethyl-3-(2-methylpropenyl) cyclopropanecarboxylate 0.250%; Related compounds 0.034%; Aromatic petroleum hydrocarbons 0.331%; Petroleum distillate 26.375%. Method of Support: Application proceeds under 2(c) of interim policy.

EPA File Symbol 11525–ET. Peterson/Puritan, Inc. P/P OUTDOOR FOGGER WITH REPELLENT. Active Ingredients: (5-Benzyl-3-furyl) methyl 2,2-dimethyl-3-(2-methylpropenyl) cyclopropanecarboxylate 0.250%; Related compounds 0.034%; 2-Hydroxyethyl n-octyl sulfide 0.950%; Related Compounds 0.050%; Aromatic Petroleum Solvent 0.332%. Method of Support: Application proceeds under 2(c) of interim policy.

EPA File Symbol 3743–GUL. Southern Agricultural Chemicals, Inc., PO Drawer 527, Kingstree SC 29556. BIG 'D' GRANULES. Active Ingredients: 0,0-Diethyl-0-(p-(methylsulfinyl)phenyl) phosphorothioate 10.0%; 0,0-Diethyl S-(2-(ethylthio)ethyl) phosphorodithioate 5.0%. Method of Support: Application proceeds under 2(c) of interim policy.

EPA File Symbol 35222–R. Southern Chemicals, Inc., 204 N. Elm Ave., PO 1480, Sanford FL 32771. SOUTHERN'S M–E 44 AN AGRICULTURAL INSECTICIDE EMSULSIFIABLE LIQUID. Active Ingredients: Parathion (0,0-Diethyl 0-p-Nitrophenyl Phosphorothioate) 39.1%; 0,0-Dimethyl 0-p-Nitrophenyl Phosphorothioate 39.1%. Method of Support: Application proceeds under 2(c) of interim policy.

EPA File Symbol 6720–EUR. Southern Mill Creek Products Co., Inc., PO Box 1096, Tampa FL 33601. SMCP HEPTACHLOR LAWN SPRAY INSECTICIDE. Active Ingredients: Heptachlor (Heptachlorotetrahydro-4,7-methanoindene) 23.3% Related Compounds 8.2%; Xylene Range Aromatic Solvent 62.5%. Method of Support: Application proceeds under 2(c) of interim policy.

EPA File Symbol 5978–I. Syncro-Mist Controls, Inc., PO Box 402, Lewiston NY 14092. B.V.T. KLOBBER. Active Ingredients: Pyrethrins 0.9%; Piperonyl butoxide, technical 9.0%; Petroleum distillate 9.9%. Method of Support: Application proceeds under 2(c) of interim policy.

EPA File Symbol 148–RROO. Thompson-Hayward Chemical Co., PO Box 2383, Kansas City KS 66110. CASORO W–85. Active Ingredients: Dichlobenil (2,6-dichlorobenzonitrile) 85.0%. Method of Support: Application proceeds under 2(c) of interim policy.

EPA Reg. No. 2935–151. Wilbur-Ellis Co., PO Box 1286, Fresno CA 93715. RED-TOP CHLORDANE 8 SPRAY. Active Ingredients: Technical Chlordane 74%; Petroleum Hydrocarbons 16%. Method of Support: Application proceeds under 2(c) of interim policy.

REPUBLISHED ITEM

The following item represents a change in the list of Applications Received published in the FEDERAL REGISTER of November 11, 1974 (39 FR 39768).

EPA File Symbol 1839–TE. Onyx Chemical Co., Div. of Millmaster Onyx Corp., 190 Warren St., Jersey City NJ 07302. BTC–40 CONCENTRATED GERMICIDE. Originally published as 1839–TG.

Dated: December 6, 1974.

JOHN B. RITCH, Jr.,
Director, Registration Division.

[FR Doc.74–29095 Filed 12–13–74;8:45 am]

FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS COMMISSION

[Report No. 731]

COMMON CARRIER SERVICES INFORMATION [1]

Domestic Public Radio Services Applications Accepted for Filing [2]

DECEMBER 9, 1974.

Pursuant to §§ 1.227(b)(3) and 21.30(b) of the Commission's rules, an application, in order to be considered with any domestic public radio services application appearing on the attached list, must be substantially complete and tendered for filing by whichever date is earlier: (a) The close of business one business day preceding the day on which the Commission takes action on the previously filed application; or (b) within 60 days after the date of the public notice listing the first prior filed application (with which subsequent applications are in conflict) as having been accepted for filing. An application which is subsequently amended by a major change will be considered to be a newly filed application. It is to be noted that the cut-off dates are set forth in the alternative—applications will be entitled to consideration with those listed in the appendix if filed by the end of the 60 day period, only if the Commission has not acted upon the application by that time pursuant to the first alternative earlier date. The mutual exclusivity rights of a new application are governed by the earliest action with respect to any one of the earlier filed conflicting applications.

[1] All applications listed in the appendix are subject to further consideration and review and may be returned and/or dismissed if not found to be in accordance with the Commission's Rules, regulations and other requirements.

[2] The above alternative cut-off rules apply to those applications listed in the appendix as having been accepted in Domestic Public Land Mobile Radio, Rural Radio, Point-to-Point Microwave and Local Television Transmission Services (Part 21 of the Rules).

The attention of any party in interest desiring to file pleadings pursuant to section 309 of the Communications Act of 1934, as amended, concerning any domestic public radio services application accepted for filing, is directed to §§ 21.27 of the Commission's rules for provisions governing the time for filing and other requirements relating to such pleadings.

FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS COMMISSION,
[SEAL] VINCENT J. MULLINS,
Secretary.

APPLICATIONS ACCEPTED FOR FILING
DOMESTIC PUBLIC LAND MOBILE RADIO SERVICE

20827–CD–TC–(2)–75, J & S Communication Company. Consent to Transfer of Control from J & S Communication Company, Transferor, to Withers Broadcasting Company, Transferee. Stations: KUC843, Cape Girardeau, Missouri, and KRS635, St. Louis, Missouri.

20828–CD–AL–(2)–75, L. J. Galentin, d/b/a Contact of New Mexico. Consent to Assignment of License from L. J. Galentin d/b/a Contact of New Mexico, Assignor, to Contact Communications, Inc., Assignee. Stations: KLB668, Cabollo Mountain, South of Truth or Consequences, New Mexico; KUC940, Comanche Peak, El Paso, Texas.

20829–CD–AL–(2)–75, L. J. Galentin, d/b/a Contact of Texas. Consent to Assignment of License from L. J. Galentin, d/b/a Contact of Texas, Assignor, to Contact Communications, Inc., Assignee. Stations: KKD284, KRS639, Comanche Peak, El Paso, Texas.

20830–CD–TC–75, Byrnes Message Bureau, Inc. Consent to Transfer of Control from Byrnes Message Bureau, Inc., Transferor, to Jeanne H. Byrnes, Transferee. Station: KEJ884, Poughkeepsie, New York.

20831–CD–P–75, Chawilla, Incorporated (KWH313). C.P. to relocate facilities operating on 152.12 MHz located 3.2 miles SW. of Palatka, Florida.

20832–CD–P–75, Radio Dispatch Co. (new). C.P. for a new one-way station to operate on 158.70 MHz to be located 2.2 miles NW. of Manahawkin, New Jersey.

20833–CD–P–75, Radio Telephone Service, Inc. (new). C.P. for a new one-way station to operate on 158.70 MHz to be located 0.5 mi. NE of Rays Branch, Kentucky.

20834–CD–P–75, Comex, Inc. (KCC797). C.P. to add antenna location #4 to operate on 152.12 MHz to be located at Lagrange & Grandview Avenue, Manchester, New Hampshire.

20835–CD–P–75, Peoples Telephone Company, Inc. (new). C.P. for new one-way station to operate on 158.10 MHz to be located near Alabama Highway #68, 2 miles NW. of Leesburg, Alabama.

20836–CD–P–75, Amelia Telephone Corporation (new). C.P. for new one way station to operate on 152.84 MHz. to be located at Intersection of Routes 661 & 630, 2.5 miles Northeast of Amelia, Virginia.

20837–CD–P–75, Telanswer Radiophone Service (KQA739). C.P. to replace transmitter operating on 454.10 MHz. located at 1310 State Street, Boise, Idaho.

20838–CD–P–75, North Shore Communications, Inc. (KSV956). C.P. to add location #3 operating on 152.24 MHz. to be located at Nobscott Hill, 5 miles North of Framingham, Massachusetts.

20839–CD–P–75, Walnut Hill Telephone Company (KLB682). C.P. to reinstate expired license operating on 152.72 MHz. located at Corner of Fort and 7th Street, Lewisville, Arkansas.

20840–CD–P–75, Redi-Call Corporation (new). C.P. for a new station to operate on 152.06 MHz. to be located at County Road 412, 2.5 mi. SW. of Millsboro, Delaware.

20841–CD–P–75, Redi-Call Corporation (new). C.P. for a new one-way station to operate on 58.70 MHz. to be located at County Road 412, 2.5 miles SW. of Millsboro, Delaware.

20842–CD–P–75, Digital Paging Systems of Toledo, Inc. (KUO559). C.P. to relocate facilities operating on 152.24 MHz. located at Hillcrest Hotel, Madison & Sixteenth Streets, Toledo, Ohio.

20843–CD–P–(6)–75, Chalfont Communications (KMD995). C.P. to change antenna system, replace transmitter, and change frequency to 75.50, 75.58, 75.68 MHz., Repeater at Loc. #1: 5 mi. NE. from Palm Springs, Edom Hill, California; Relocate facilities, change antenna system, replace transmitter, and change frequency to 72.28, 72.36, & 72.46 MHz., Control, at Loc. #2: 73–680 Highway 111, Palm Desert, California.

20844–CD–P–(4)–75, All City Telephone Answering Service, Inc. (KSC373). C.P. for additional facilities to operate on 43.22 & 43.58 at Loc. #1: 606 West Wisconsin Avenue, Milwaukee, Wisconsin; and same facilities listed above at Loc. #2: 20695 W. National Avenue, New Berlin, Wisconsin.

20845–CD–P–75, George E. Kitchen, d/b/a Total Communications (KLF562). C.P. to relocate facilities, change antenna system, and replace transmitter operating on 152.09 MHz. located at 5607 Capital Avenue SW., Battle Creek, Michigan.

20846–CD–P–75, Mobilfone Service, Inc. (KKA402). C.P. to relocate facilities, change antenna system, and replace transmitter operating on 454.25 MHz. at Loc. #4: 1.3 miles SSW. of Jct. U.S. Highway 271 and State Highway 9, South Fort Smith, Arkansas.

20847–CD–P–(2)–75, Samuel W. Waldenberg (new). C.P. for a new station to operate on 152.18 MHz. and repeater facilities to operate on 459.100 MHz. to be located at Blacktail Mtn., 12 mi. S. of Kalispell, Montana.

20848–CD–P–75, The Swayzee Telephone Company, Inc. (new), C.P. for a new station to operate on 454.625 MHz. to be located at 103 W. Mark Street, Swayzee, Indiana.

20849–CD–AL–75, Air Call of Kingston. Consent to Assignment of License from Air Call of Kingston, Assignor, to Mrs. Loretta J. Davis, Representative of Estate of Harry E. Coale d/b/a Air Call of Kingston, Assignee. Station: KEJ887, Kingston, New York.

20850–CD–P–75, Samuel W. Waldenberg (new). C.P. for new control facilities to operate on 454.100 MHz. to be located at 425 La Salle Road, Kalispell, Montana.

Major amendment

20606–CD–P–75 (new), Asotin Telephone Company, Asotin, Washington. Change base frequency to 158.10 MHz. All other particulars are to remain as reported on PN #726, dated November 11, 1974.

CORRECTIONS

Public Notice dated December 2, 1974, should show Report No. 730 instead of Report No. 729. All other particulars to remain the same.

20752–CD–P–(2)–75, South Central Bell Tel. Co. (KIG287). Correct PN #729 dated 11/25/74 to read: CP for additional facilities to operate on 152.72 & 152.78 MHz, and change antenna system located at 100 N. Franklin Street, Mobile, Alabama.

20758–CD–P–(2)–75, Hawaiian Telephone Co. (KUA216). Correct PN #729 dated 11/25/74 to read: CP to replace transmitter and change antenna system operating on 152.51, 152.63, 152.69, & 152.81 MHz at Loc. #1: 2.9 miles NE. of Honolulu, Mt. Tantalus, Hawaii, and change antenna system operating on 152.51, 152.63, 152.69, & 152.81 MHz at Loc. #3: Puu Papaa Ridge, 2 miles NW. of Kaulua, Puu Papaa, Hawaii.

20742–CD–MP–75, William R. Miears, d/b/a Southwestern Communications Service (KUC855). Entry on PN #728 dated 11/18/74 should have been an amendment to application 20276–CD–P–75 on PN #717 dated 9/3/74.

RURAL RADIO

61207–CR–AL–75, L. J. Galentin, d/b/a Contact of New Mexico. Consent to Assignment of License from L. J. Galentin d/b/a Contact of New Mexico, Assignor, to Contact Communications, Inc., Assignee. Station: KSW21, Temporary fixed.

61208–CR–AL–75, L. J. Galentin, d/b/a Contact of Texas. Consent to Assignment of License from L. J. Galentin d/b/a Contact of Texas, Assignor, to Contact Communications, Inc., Assignee. Station: KSW24, Temp-fixed.

POINT-TO-POINT MICROWAVE SERVICE:

1682–CF–P/ML–75, American Telephone and Telegraph Company (KSA43), 1.2 Miles of Mishawaka, Indiana. Lat. 41°38'00" N., Long. 86°11'52" W. C.P. to add 3830H MHz toward Jones, Michigan, on azimuth 45 degrees/57 minutes.

1683–CF–P/ML–75, Same (KQD78). 1.5 Miles North of Jones, Michigan. Lat. 41°55'18" N., Long. 85°47'52" W. C.P. to add 3850V MHz toward Kalamazoo, Michigan, on azimuth 60 degrees/42 minutes.

1684–CF–P/ML–75, Same (KQD79), 2.5 Miles NW. of Kalamazoo, Michigan. Lat. 42°-20'40" N., Long. 85°37'41" W. C.P. to add 3810H MHz toward Cutlerville (KQD81), Michigan, on azimuth 357 degrees/43 minutes.

1685–CF–P/ML–75, Michigan Bell Telephone Company (WAS429), Cutlerville, 3.8 Miles SE. of Byron Center, Michigan. Lat. 42°-47'48" N., Long. 85°39'14" W. C.P. for a new station on 6390.0V MHz toward Allendale (WGVC–TV), Michigan, on azimuth 314 degrees/11 minutes.

1686–CF–P/ML–75, Southwestern Bell Telephone Company (KYJ66), Houston, Texas. Lat. 29°44'55" N., Long. 95°41'56" W. C.P. to add 5960.2V MHz toward League City (Apollo RS), Texas, on azimuth 133 degrees/08 minutes.

1687–CF–P/ML–75, Same (WPG66), League City (Apollo RS), Texas. Lat. 29°33'46" N., Long. 95°08'20" W. C.P. (A) to add 6390.0V MHz toward Liverpool, Texas, on azimuth 187 degrees/26 minutes, and (b) to add 6390.0H MHz toward Houston, Texas, on azimuth 313 degrees/15 minutes.

1688–CF–P/ML–75, Same (WCZ52), 6.2 Miles ESE. of Liverpool, Texas. Lat. 29°15'36" N., Long. 95°11'02" W. C.P. (a) to add 5960.2H MHz toward League City (Apollo RS), Texas, on azimuth 7 degrees/24 minutes, and (b) to add 5960.2V MHz toward Freeport, Texas, on azimuth 206 degrees/40 minutes.

1689–CF–P/ML–75, Same (WPG67), 1021 West Broad Street, Freeport, Texas. Lat. 28°-57'08" N., Long. 95°21'34" W. C.P. (a) to add 6390.0H MHz toward Liverpool, Texas, on azimuth 26 degrees/35 minutes, and (b) to add 11035V MHz toward Freeport (Dow Chemical Company), Texas on azimuth 329 degrees/13 minutes.

1690–CF–P/ML–75, Same (WAS480), Dow Chemical Company, Freeport, Texas. Lat. 28°59'10" N., Long. 95°22'56" W. C.P. for a new on 11565.5H MHz toward Freeport (WPG67), Texas, on azimuth 149 degrees/12 minutes.

1373–CF–P–75, Yankee Microwave Microwave Corporation, Inc. (KYZ85), Mt. Washington, New Hampshire. Lat 44°16'13" N., Long. 71°18'13" W. C.P. (a) to change the polarity of 5960.0V MHz to 5960.0H MHz toward Moose Hill, Maine, and (b) to add 6078.6H MHz and 6212.0H MHz toward Moose Hill, Maine, on azimuth 75 degrees/21 minutes.

1374–CF–P–75, Yankee Microwave Corporation, Inc. (KYZ86), Moose Hill, Maine. Lat. 44°29'00" N., Long. 70°07'59" W. C.P. (a) to change polarity of 6019.3H MHz to 6019.-3V MHz toward Blinn Hill, Maine, and (b) to add 6108.3V MHz and 6167.6V MHz toward Blinn Hill, Maine, on azimuth 137 degrees/54 minutes.

1375–CF–P–75, Same (WQQ54), Blinn Hill, Maine. Lat. 44°07'23" N., Long. 69°40'58" W. C.P. to (a) to add 6271.4V MHz, via power split, toward Topsham (Mt. Ararat), Maine, on azimuth 227 degrees/42 minutes, and (b) to add 6212.0V MHz and 6330.7V MHz toward Topsham (Mt. Ararat), Maine, on azimuth 227 degrees/42 minutes. (NOTE: a Waiver of 21.701(l) is requested by Yankee Microwave).

1691–CF–P–75, Tower Communication Systems Corporation (KQA33), 1.25 Miles South of Portsmouth, Ohio. Lat. 38°42'59" N., Long. 82°59'54" W. C.P. to add 10895H MHz, via power split, toward Portsmouth, Ohio, on azimuth 36 degrees/10 minutes.

1692–CF–P–75, West Texas Microwave Company (KTQ80), 2.0 Miles East of Sweetwater, Texas. Lat. 32°29'08" N., Long. 100°-21'58" W. C.P. to add 11425H MHz toward Colorado City, Texas, on azimuth 260 degrees/3 minutes.

1693–CF–P–75, Eastern Microwave, Inc. (KEM59), Sentinel Heights, New York. Lat. 42°56'40" N., Long. 76°07'08" W. C.P. to add 6049.0V MHz, via power split, toward North Syracuse, New York, on azimuth 356 degrees/48 minutes.

1694–CF–P–75, Eastern Microwave, Inc. (KYZ74), 1.55 Miles WSW. of Highland Lakes, New Jersey. Lat. 41°10'01" N., Long. 74°30'12" W. C.P. to add 6241.7V MHz, via power split, toward new point of communication at Sussex, New Jersey, Lat. 41°15'40" N., Long. 74°40'41" W., on azimuth 305 degrees/34 minutes.

1695–CF–P–75, MidKansas, Inc. (KZA43), 0.6 Mile East of Lyons, Kansas. Lat. 38°20'48" N., Long. 98°10'23" W. C.P. to add 6212.2H MHz, via power split, toward Hutchinson, Kansas, on azimuth 150 degrees/17 minutes.

1722–CF–P–75, Southwestern Bell Telephone Company (KYJ47), 715 Louisiana Street, Little Rock, Arkansas. Lat. 34°44'30" N., Long. 92°16'20" W. C.P. to add 6256.5V MHz towards Belfast, Arkansas, on azimuth 204°13'.

1723–CF–P–75, Same (KYJ50), 720 Beech Street, Pine Bluff, Arkansas. Lat. 34°13'19" N., Long. 92°00'35" W. C.P. to add 5974.8V and 6152.8V MHz towards Kearney, Arkansas, on azimuth 324°28'.

1724–CF–P–75, Same (WOE85), Kearney, 2 miles SSE. of Redfield, Arkansas. Lat. 34°24'55" N., Long. 92°10'35" W. C.P. to add 6226.9H and 6404.8H MHz toward Pine Bluff, Arkansas, on azimuth 144°23'; 6226.9V and 6404.8V MHz towards Belfast, Arkansas, on azimuth 277°33'.

1725–CF–P–75, Same (WOE26), 2.5 miles NE. of Belfast, Arkansas. Lat. 34°26'36" N., Long. 92°26'03" W. C.P. to add 6004.5H MHz towards Little Rock, Arkansas, on azimuth 24°08'; 5794.8H and 6152.8H MHz towards Kearney, Arkansas, on azimuth 97°25'.

1730–CF–P–75, The Mountain States Telephone and Telegraph Company (KPR60), Cooper Mountain, 16 miles SE. of Thermopolis, Wyoming. Lat. 43°26'50" N., Long. 108°01'56" W. C.P. to add 6160.2V MHz towards Worland, Wyoming, on azimuth 05°27'; change polarity from V to H on 11405 and 11645 MHz towards Worland, Wyoming, on azimuth 185°30'.

1731–CF–P–75, Same (KPR62), 130 South 9th Street, Worland, Wyoming. Lat. 44°-00'58" N., Long. 107°57'25" W. C.P. to add 6367.7V MHz and change polarity from V to H on 10955 MHz towards Cooper Mountain, Wyoming, on azimuth 185°30'.

1732–CF–P–75, Same (KXR39), Little Creek Mountain, 7.8 Miles SE. of Hurricane, Utah. Lat. 37°05'47" N., Long. 113°11'11" W. C.P. to add 2162.0V MHz towards a new point of communication at Springdale, Utah, via passive reflector.

1733–CF–P–75, Same (new), Main Street, Springdale, Utah. Lat. 37°11'18" N., Long. 112°59'59" W. C.P. for a new station on 2112.0V MHz towards Little Creek, Utah, via passive reflector.

1734–CF–P–75, American Telephone and Telegraph Company (KIK64), 521 W. Chestnut Street, Louisville, Kentucky. Lat. 38°14'58" N., Long. 85°45'39" W. C.P. to add 4050V MHz towards Lanesville, Indiana, on azimuth 250°13'.

1735–CF–P–75, Same (KIM60), 0.7 mile NW. of Payneville, Kentucky. Lat. 38°00'06" N., Long. 86°19'19" W. C.P. to add 3810V MHz towards Madrid, Kentucky, on azimuth 179°26'; 3890V MHz towards Lanesville, Indiana, on azimuth 56°58'.

1736–CF–P–75, Same (KIM66), 1.8 miles NE. of Madrid, Kentucky. Lat. 37°37'37" N., Long. 86°19'20" W. C.P. to add 3850V MHz towards Payneville, Kentucky, on azimuth 359°26'; 3850V MHz towards Brownsville, Kentucky, on azimuth 178°34'.

1737–CF–P–75, American Telephone and Telegraph Company (KIM71), 3.5 miles NNW. of Brownsville, Kentucky. Lat. 37°-13'09" N., Long. 86°18'16" W. C.P. to add 3810V MHz towards Madrid, Kentucky, on azimuth 358°34'; 3810H MHz towards Game, Kentucky, on azimuth 145°23'.

1738–CF–P–75, Same (KIM72), 0.6 mile North of Game, Kentucky. Lat. 36°56'47" N., Long. 86°04'12" W. C.P. to add 3850H MHz towards Brownsville, Kentucky, on azimuth 325°31'; 3850 MHz towards Flippen, Kentucky, on azimuth 138°06'.

1739–CF–P–75, Same (KIM78), 4 miles East of Flippen, Kentucky. Lat. 36°43'06" N., Long. 85°48'58" W. C.P. to add 3810H MHz towards Game, Kentucky, on azimuth 318°-15'; 3810H MHz towards Gainesboro, Tennessee, on azimuth 159°52'.

1740–CF–P–75, Same (KIM86), 3.6 miles SSE. of Gainesboro, Tennessee. Lat. 36°18'42" N., Long. 85°37'55" W. C.P. to add 3850H MHz towards Flippen, Kentucky, on azimuth 339°58'; 3850H MHz towards Smithville, Tennessee, on azimuth 200°12'.

1741–CF–P–75, Same (KIM90), 3.4 miles NE. of Smithville, Tennessee. Lat 35°59'04" N., Long. 85°46'48" W. C.P. to add 3810H MHz towards Gainesboro, Tennessee, on azimuth 20°06'; 3810V MHz towards Spencer, Tennessee, on azimuth 141°21'.

1742–CF–P–75, Same (KIM91), 7.2 miles South of Spencer, Tennessee. Lat. 35°39'35" N. Long. 85°27'44" W. C.P. to add 3850V MHz towards Smithville, Tennessee, on azimuth 321°32'; 3850H MHz towards Coalmont, Tennessee, on azimuth 212°47'.

1743–CF–P–75, Same (KIN20), 1 mile WNW. of Coalmont, Tennessee, Lat. 35°21'00" N., Long. 85°42'20" W. C.P. to add 3810H MHz towards Orme, Tennessee, on azimuth 189°48'.

1744–CF–P–75, Same (KIN23), 3 miles North of Orme, Tennessee. Lat. 35°01'57" N., Long. 85°46'20" W. C.P. to add 3850V MHz towards Coalmont, Tennessee, on azimuth 09°46'; 3850V MHz towards Rosalie, Alabama, on azimuth 183°31'.

1745–CF–P–75, Same (KIN28), 1.8 miles WSW. of Rosalie, Alabama. Lat. 34°41'19" N., Long. 85°47'52" W. C.P. to add 3810V MHz towards Orme, Tennessee, on azimuth 03°30'; 3810V MHz towards Collbran, Alabama, on azimuth 176°44'.

1746–CF–P–75, Same (KIN34), 1.6 miles South of Collbran, Alabama. Lat. 34°20'56" N., Long. 85°46'28" W. C.P. to add 3850V MHz towards Rosalie, Alabama, on azimuth 356°45'; 3850V MHz towards Haney, Georgia, on azimuth 131°56'.

1747–CF–P–75, Same (KIN39), 6 miles ENE. of Buchanan, Georgia, on Lat. 33°49'59" N., Long. 85°07'01" W. C.P. to add 3850V MHz towards Haney, Georgia, on azimuth 314°56; 3850H MHz towards Villa Rica, Georgia, on azimuth 118°21'.

1748–CF–P–75, Same (KIN35), 1 mile SSE. of Haney, Georgia. Lat. 34°04'31" N., Long. 85°24'33" W. C.P. to add 3810V MHz towards Collbran, Alabama, on azimuth 312°08'; 3810V MHz towards Buchanan, Georgia, on azimuth 134°46'.

1749–CF–P–75, American Telephone and Telegraph Company (KTT28), 1.8 miles East of Villa Rica, Georgia. Lat. 33°43'43" N., Long. 84°53'09" W. C.P. to add 3810H MHz towards Buchanan, Georgia, on azimuth 298°29'; 3810H MHz towards Mableton, Georgia, on azimuth 60°43'.

1750–CF–P–75, Same (KRS98), 2.2 miles NE. of Jersey, Georgia. Lat. 33°43'51" N., Long. 83°46'00" W. C.P. to add 3970H MHz and 4050H MHz towards Tucker, Georgia, on azimuth 286°03'; 3970H MHz and 4050H MHz towards Monticello, Georgia, on azimuth 179°58'.

1751–CF–P–75, Same (KSJ47), 3.8 miles SE. of Lanesville, Indiana. Lat. 38°12'01" N., Long. 85°56'01" W. C.P. to add 4090V MHz towards Payneville, Kentucky, on azimuth 237°12'; 3930V MHz towards Louisville, Kentucky, on azimuth 70°07'.

1752–CF–P–75, Same (WGI23), 3 miles North of Mableton, Georgia. Lat. 33°51'54" N., Long. 84°35'37" W. C.P. to add 3850H MHz towards Villa Rica, Georgia, on azimuth 240°52'; 3850H MHz towards Smyrna, Georgia, on azimuth 82°36'.

1753–CF–P–75, Same (WGI24), 3.3 miles E. of Smyrna, Georgia. Lat. 33°52'47" N., Long. 84°27'25" W. C.P. to add 3810H MHz towards Mableton, Georgia, on azimuth 262°-41'; 3970H and 4050H MHz towards Tucker, Georgia, on azimuth 102°05'.

1754–CF–P–75, Same (WGI25), 2 miles SE. of Tucker, Georgia. Lat. 33°49'54" N., Long. 84°11'23" W. C.P. to add 4010H and 4090H MHz towards Smyrna, Georgia, on azimuth 282°14'; change azimuth on 4010H and 4090H MHz towards Jersey, Georgia, to 105°49'.

1755–CF–P–75, Same (WGI26), 5 miles NW. of Monticello, Georgia, Lat. 33°20'16" N., Long. 83°45'59" W. C.P. to add 4010H and 4090H MHz towards Jersey, Georgia, on azimuth 359°58'.

1756–CF–P–75, General Telephone Company of the Northwest, Inc. (KPV29), Jct. U.S. 101, County Road 3, Gold Beach, Oregon. Lat. 42°25'24" N., Long. 124°24'42" W. C.P. to change power and replace transmitter on 6115.7H MHz towards Soldiers Camp Mountain, Oregon, via passive reflector.

1758–CF–P–75, Same (KPV30), Cross County Road From School, Agness, Oregon. Lat. 42°33'18" N., Long. 124°03'58" W. C.P. to replace transmitter and change power on 6412.2H MHz towards Soldiers Camp Mtn., Oregon, via passive reflector.

1759–CF–P–75, Northwestern Telephone Systems, Inc. (KPM60), 60 West Grant Street, Lebanon, Oregon. Lat. 44°32'18.1" N., Long. 122°54'25.5" W. C.P. to replace transmitter and change power on 6415V MHz towards Cedar Butte, Oregon, on azimuth 162°37'.

1760–CF–P–75, Same (KPM61), 10th and L Streets, Sweet Home, Oregon. Lat. 44°23'-49.1" N., Long. 122°43'52.9" W. C.P. to replace transmitter and change power on 6355V MHz towards Cedar Butte, via passive reflector.

1761–CF–P–75, Northwestern Telephone Systems, Inc. (KPM62), Cedar Butte, near Sodaville, Oregon. Lat. 44°26'27.6" N., Long. 122°51'42" W. C.P. to replace transmitter and change power on 6295V and 6235V MHz towards Lebanon, via passive reflector.

1762–CF–P–75, American Telephone and Telegraph Company (KIN43), 325 Gardenia Street, Palm Beach, Florida. Lat. 26°42'34" N., Long. 80°03'11" W. C.P. to add 4070V MHz towards Boynton Beach, Florida, on azimuth 198°00'.

1763–CF–P–75, Same (KJJ68), 3.5 miles NW. of Ojus, Florida. Lat. 25°58'19" N., Long. 80°11'39" W. C.P. to add 3790V MHz towards Margate, Florida, on azimuth 359°10'.

1764–CF–P–75, Same (KJJ69), 4 miles WSW. of Boynton Beach, Florida. Lat. 26°30'45" N., Long. 80°07'27" W. C.P. to add 3790V MHz towards West Palm Beach, Florida, on azimuth 17°58'; 4030V MHz towards Margate, Florida, on azimuth 194°17'.

1765–CF–P–75, Same (KJJ70), 0.5 mile NE. of Hammondville, Florida. Lat. 26°14'56" N., Long. 80°11'55" W. C.P. to add 3830V MHz towards Boynton Beach, Florida, on azimuth 14°15'; 4070V MHz towards Ojus, Florida, on azimuth 179°10'.

1679–CF–MP–75, Western Tele-Communications, Inc. (WOI61), San Francisco, California. Mod. of C.P. to relocate facilities to Lat. 37°46'38" N., Long. 122°24'58" W. for frequency 6093.5H MHZ on azimuth 20°40' toward Mt. Vaca.

1680–CF–MP–75, Same (WOI75), Scappoose, Oregon. Mod. of C.P. to change frequency 6271.4H to 10795V MHz and add frequency 10875V MHz on azimuth 139°13' toward Portland TOC, Oreg.

1681–CF–MP–75, Same (WOI76), Portland TOC, Oregon. Mod. of C.P. to change frequency 11445V to 11365V and add 11605V MHz on azimuth 319°26' toward Scappoose.

Major amendments

Informative: Western Tele-Communications, Inc., hereby amends a portion of its authorized San Francisco to Seattle specialized common carrier message system. These changes include the relocation of the San Francisco, Bird Valley, Maxwell and Weed, California stations.

5969–C1–P–73, Western Tele-Communications, Inc. (new), Bird Valley. C.P. to relocate facilities to Lat. 38°49'41" N., Long. 121°59'13" W. for frequency 6123.1H MHz on azimuth 193°12' towards Mt. Vaca and frequency 6123.1H MHz on azimuth 343°24' towards Maxwell.

5970–C1–P–73, Same (new), Maxwell. C.P. to relocate facilities to Lat. 39°20'53" N., Long. 122°11'12" W. on frequency 6315.9V MHz on azimuth 193°12' toward Bird Valley and frequency 6315.9H MHz on azimuth 282°37' towards St. John Mtn.

5971-C1-MP-73, Same (WOI66), St. John Mtn., California. Mod. of C.P. to change azimuth towards Maxwell to 102°18' on frequency 6123.1H MHz.

5975-C1-MP-73, Same (WOI68), Weed, California. Mod. of C.P. to relocate facilities to Lat. 41°22'41" N., Long. 122°23'25" W. for frequency 6093.5V MHz on azimuth 138°04' towards Hatchet Mtn., and frequency 6123.1V MHz on azimuth 01°01' towards Little Chinquapin Mtn.

[FR Doc.74-29206 Filed 12-13-74;8:45 am]

[Docket Nos. 20232, 20233; File Nos. 33-A-L-94, 108-A-RL-94]

CLOVERLEAF AVIATION, INC., AND GUNNELL AVIATION, INC.

Order Designating Applications for Consolidated Hearing on Stated Issues

1. Gunnell Aviation, Inc. (hereinafter called Gunnell) has filed an application for renewal of its license for aeronautical advisory station WAJ 7 [1] at the Santa Monica Airport, Santa Monica, California, and Cloverleaf Aviation, Inc. (hereinafter called Cloverleaf) has filed an application for new aeronautical advisory facilities at the same airport. Section 87.251(a) of the Commission's rules provides that only one aeronautical advisory station may be authorized to operate at a landing area and, therefore, the above-captioned applications are mutually exclusive. Accordingly, it is necessary to designate the applications for a comparative hearing in order to determine which application should be granted. Except for the issues specified herein, each applicant is otherwise qualified.

2. By letter, dated August 30, 1974, Cloverleaf has, in effect, alleged that Gunnell has not provided aeronautical advisory service in conformity with the scope of service for such stations as set forth in Section 87.257.

3. In view of the foregoing, *it is ordered*, That, pursuant to the provisions of § 309(e) of the Communications Act of 1934, as amended, and § 0.331(b)(21) of the Commission's rules, the above-captioned applications are hereby designated for hearing in a consolidated proceeding at a time and place to be specified in a subsequent order on the following issues:

(a) To determine which applicant would provide the public with better aeronautical advisory service based on the following considerations:

(1) Location of the fixed-base operation and proposed radio station in relation to the landing area and traffic patterns;

(2) Hours of operation;

(3) Personnel available to provide advisory service;

(4) Experience of applicant and employees in aviation and aviation communications;

(5) Ability to provide information pertaining to primary and secondary communications as specified in § 87.257 of the Commission's rules;

(6) Proposed radio system including control and dispatch points; and

(7) The availability of the radio facilities to other fixed-base operators;

(b) To determine the manner in which Gunnel has operated aeronautical advisory station WAJ 7 and whether its operation was consistent with the Commission's rules, § 87.257; and

(c) To determine in light of the evidence adduced on the foregoing issues which, if either, of the applications should be granted.

4. *It is further ordered*, That the burden of proof and the burden of proceeding with the introduction of evidence on issue (b) is on Gunnell and on all other issues, the burdens are on each applicant with respect to its application except issue (c) which is conclusory.

5. *It is further ordered*, That to avail themselves of an opportunity to be heard, Gunnell and Cloverleaf, pursuant to § 1.221(c) of the Commission's rules, in person or by attorney, shall within 20 days of the mailing of this order file with the Commission, in triplicate, a written appearance stating an intention to appear on the date set for hearing and present evidence on the issues specified in this Order. Failure to file a written appearance within the time specified may result in dismissal of the application with prejudice.

Adopted: November 22, 1974.

Released: December 10, 1974.

[SEAL] CHARLES A. HIGGINBOTHAM,
Chief, Safety and Special Radio Services Bureau.

[FR Doc.74-29210 Filed 12-13-74;8:45 am]

[Docket Nos. 20262, 20263; File Nos. 6970-C2-P-(2)-70, 1915-C2-P-70; FCC 74-1291]

HOUSTON RADIOPHONE SERVICE AND SOUTHWESTERN BELL TELEPHONE CO.

Order Designating Applications for Consolidated Hearing on Stated Issues

1. The Commission has before it for consideration the above-captioned applications to establish new air-ground radiotelephone service facilities to operate on 454.675, 454.825 and 454.950 MHz in the Domestic Public Land Mobile Radio Service (DPLMRS) near Houston, Texas.

2. It appears from the application of Roy M. Teel (d/b/a Houston Radiophone Service) (hereinafter "Radiophone") that he is an individual proprietor whose address is P.O. Box 4910, Tulsa, Oklahoma, 74104. Southwestern Bell, on the other hand, is a corporation with regional offices, located at 3100 Main Street, Room 1119, Houston, Texas, 77002. Southwestern is a Bell System operating company whose stock is owned entirely by the American Telephone and Telegraph Company.

3. The applications are mutually exclusive, because the grant of both to operate on the same radio channel and in the same locality would result in mutually harmful electrical interference. Since both applicants appear to be legally, financially, and otherwise qualified to construct and operate the proposed facilities, the applications must be designated for comparative hearing to determine which applicant is better qualified to operate the proposed facilities in the public interest. Ashbacker Radio Corp. v. FCC, 326 U.S. 327 (1945).

4. In view of the foregoing, *it is ordered*, That pursuant to § 309(d) and (e) of the Communications Act of 1934, as amended (47 U.S.C. 309(d) and (e)) that the captioned applications of Roy M. Teel, d/b/a Houston Radiophone Service, and Southwestern Bell Telephone Company are designated for hearing in a consolidated proceeding upon the following issues:

1. To determine on a comparative basis the nature and extent of services proposed by each applicant.

2. To determine, in light of the evidence adduced pursuant to the foregoing issues, which, if either, of the above-captioned applicants would better serve the public interest, convenience and necessity.

5. *It is further ordered*, That the hearing shall be held at the Commission offices in Washington, D.C., at a time and place, and before an Administrative Law Judge, to be specified in a subsequent order.

6. *It is further ordered*, That applicants may avail themselves of an opportunity to be heard by filing with the Commission pursuant to § 1.221(c) of the Commission's rules within twenty (20) days of the release date hereof, a written notice stating an intention to appear on the date set for the hearing and present evidence on the issues specified in this Memorandum Opinion and Order.

Adopted: November 27, 1974.

Released: December 6, 1974.

FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS COMMISSION.
[SEAL] VINCENT J. MULLINS,
Secretary.

[FR Doc.74-29209 Filed 12-13-74;8:45 am]

[FCC 74-1335; Docket No. 20281]

INTERGOVERNMENTAL MARITIME CONSULTATIVE ORGANIZATION

Preparation for Meeting of Governments on Establishment of International Maritime Satellite System; Notice of Inquiry

1. An intergovernmental conference will be held in London, England from April 23 to May 9, 1975, to decide on the principle of establishing an International Maritime Satellite System. The Intergovernmental Maritime Consultative Organization (IMCO) is the convenor of the conference of governments which will consider, *inter alia*, the Report of a Panel of Experts on Maritime Satellites [1] in arriving at a determination of whether to establish an International Maritime Satellite System and,

[1] Gunnell's radio station license, WAJ 7, expired April 16, 1974, and application for renewal was not received by the Commission until September 10, 1974. Accordingly, a timely renewal was not filed, and the application for renewal is essentially a new application seeking reinstatement of its previously held authorization.

[1] Many members of the industry who have participated in the work of the Panel of Experts have copies of this extensive Report. Copies of the Report are available for inspection at the Commission's Office of Public Information and a limited number of copies are available upon request for those who expect to file comments in this proceeding.

if so, the arrangements to be made for its organization and operation.

2. The Panel of Experts, comprised of representatives of the maritime nations, has met six times over the past 2 years and has considered the operational, technical, economic and organizational factors attendent to establishment of an international maritime satellite system. At the final meeting of the Panel of Experts, held in London during September, 1974, the United States reserved endorsement of the Panel's final Report on the basis that sufficient analysis of all possible alternative institutional arrangements, as well as of the closely related economic and technical factors has not been made.[2]

3. It is anticipated that the United States will participate in the forthcoming conference and pursuant to its statutory duties and responsibilities the Commission intends to submit recommendations to the Department of State concerning the position the United States should take at the conference. At the present time, no determination has been made to establish an international maritime satellite system nor, of course, has any decision been made as to whether the U.S. will participate in any system that is established. However, it is anticipated that a private U.S. communications entity authorized by the Commission will be the U.S. participant in any such system that the U.S. may join, and further, the Commission has certain responsibilities for assuring a regulatory framework for the provision of adequate maritime communications. Accordingly, the Commission wishes to have available for timely consideration the views of carriers, users, and others interested in maritime satellite communications on the matters discussed in the paragraphs below. It is anticipated that there will be a Further Notice of Inquiry at an appropriate time to solicit additional comments on other aspects of the international maritime satellite communications program.

4. The Panel's Report looks toward the establishment of a new international organization to be responsible for the provision of maritime satellite communications. What specific, practical alternatives to a new international organization might be considered? Even if a new international organization is formed, are there interim measures that might be taken to speed the introduction of maritime satellite communication service (e.g., what role might the MARISAT system play?)[3]

5. If it is determined that a new international organization is to be established, a determination will, of course, have to be made as to whether the United States will join. That determination will in turn depend on the precise organization that is proposed to be established, its structure, powers, membership requirements, voting procedures, financial arrangements, operating principles, procurement practices and a number of other provisions. In this regard, your attention is called to Appendix I to Section VII of the Report of the Panel of Experts, which is a "Draft Convention" on the International Organization for a Maritime Satellite System (INMARSAT)." Comments are requested on this draft Convention which will be considered at the Conference, with particular attention called to those sections for which alternative texts are set forth.

6. As indicated above, if the U.S. does join any new organization, it is anticipated that a U.S. private communication entity authorized by the Commission will be the U.S. participant. It is our expectation that the participant in the organization will be a single U.S. entity, regardless of the number of communications entities that may be authorized by the Commission to provide maritime communication service to the public using the satellite system. Should the participating entity be one of the existing carriers now authorized to provide maritime service? A new and separate entity (corporation) owned by such carriers? How should ownership in a consortium or new corporation be apportioned? Should the participating entity operate solely or partially as a "carriers' carrier"?

7. The Commission also wishes to obtain as concrete an indication as possible concerning the willingness of existing maritime carriers to invest in an international system. Recognizing that there are many uncertainties and contingencies, what are the factors that would determine whether and to what degree you would be willing to invest?

8. The questions raised in paragraphs 4–7 are not intended to limit the scope of comments submitted by interested parties. Comments may be submitted on any part of the Report or on any other aspect of the entire matter which interested parties believe will be helpful to the Commission in formulating its recommendations concerning the forthcoming Conference.

9. In view of the necessity for formulating a U.S. position well in advance of the forthcoming Conference, it is necessary that comments in response to this Notice be filed as soon as possible, but in no event later than January 6, 1975. Comments should be filed pursuant to Section 1.419(b) of the Commission's Rules and Regulations which requires, among other things, an original and 14 copies of all filings. All relevant and timely comments will be considered by the Commission. The Commission may also take into account other pertinent information before it in addition to specific comments elicited by the Notice in this proceeding.

Adopted: December 4, 1974.

Released: December 5, 1974.

FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS COMMISSION,
[SEAL] VINCENT J. MULLINS,
Secretary.

[FR Doc.74-29205 Filed 12-13-74;8:45 am]

[2] Final Report of the Panel of Experts to the Maritime Safety Committee, p. 2, paragraph 7.

[3] MARISAT is the maritime mobile satellite communication system under development by COMSAT General Corp., ITT World Communications Inc., RCA Global Communications, Inc. and Western Union International, Inc. Atlantic and Pacific Ocean service is scheduled to begin in mid-1975. Approximately 80 percent of the satellite capacity will initially be used by the U.S. Navy, with the remainder available for commercial maritime service.

RADIO TECHNICAL COMMISSION FOR MARINE SERVICES

Notice of Meetings

In accordance with Pub. L. 92-463, "Federal Advisory Committee Act," Radio Technical Commission for Marine Services (RTCM) meetings scheduled for the future are as follows:

FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS COMMISSION,
[SEAL] VINCENT J. MULLINS,
Secretary.

To comply with the advance meeting notice requirements of Pub. L. 92-463, a comparatively long interval of time occurs between publication of this notice and the actual meeting. Consequently, there is no absolute certainty that the listed meeting room will be available on the day of the meeting. Those planning to attend the listed meeting should report to the room number given in the notice. If a room substitution has been made, the new meeting room location will be posted at the room listed in this notice.

Special Committee No. 68
"Marine Radiotelephone Operator Education"
Notice of 3rd Meeting
Friday, January 10, 1975—9:30 a.m.
Netherland Room (Room No. 407)
Coliseum, Second Mezzanine
Columbus Circle
New York City

AGENDA

1. Call to order; Chairman's report.
2. Adoption of Agenda.
3. Acceptance of Summary Records.
4. Reports on Work Assignments.
5. Approval of submitted papers.
6. Discussion of problem areas.
7. Solicitation of Work Assignments.
8. Other business.
9. Establishment of next meeting date.

A. Newell Garden, Chairman, SC-68
Raytheon Company
141 Spring Street
Lexington, Massachusetts 02173
Phone: (617) 862-6600 (Ext. 414)

Since its establishment in 1947, the RTCM has acted as a coordinator for maritime telecommunications. Problems are studied by RTCM Special Committees, and the final reports are approved by the RTCM Executive Committee.

Agendas, working papers, and other appropriate documentation for this committee meeting will be available at the meeting. Those desiring more specific information may contact either the Committee Chairman or the RTCM Secretariat.

All RTCM meetings are open to the public. For this particular meeting, however, entrance to the meeting building (New York Coliseum) is limited to those with satisfactory identification. For attendees of this meeting, the SC-68 agenda printed on green paper as distributed from the RTCM Secretariat will be accepted as identification. To

avoid delay in entering the Coliseum, please be certain to bring your agenda. If you do not regularly receive the standard green-paper agenda, please make written request to the RTCM Secretariat, allowing adequate transit time through the U.S. Postal Service. Requests for agendas should be addressed to:

RTCM SECRETARIAT
c/o Federal Communications Commission
Washington, D.C. 20554

[FR Doc.74-29208 Filed 12-13-74;8:45 am]

[FCC 74-1332]

SURVEY OF POLITICAL BROADCASTING AND CABLECASTING

Discontinuance

DECEMBER 6, 1974.

The Commission announced that it is discontinuing the biennial Survey of Political Broadcasting/Cablecasting. Effective immediately, broadcast licensees and cable television systems will no longer be required to submit political broadcasting/cablecasting reports to the Federal Communications Commission on Form 322. The Commission has taken this action because the Federal Election Campaign Act of 1971 and the Federal Election Campaign Act Amendment of 1974 assign the task of collecting information on campaign spending for Federal office to other branches of the Federal Government.

The Federal Election Commission which will begin operation on January 1, 1975, may seek similar information about political broadcasting or may request the aid of the FCC in gathering such information. Broadcasters that had some political broadcasting in 1974 and cable television system that origiated some political broadcasting in 1974 are asked to retain the relevant records until September 30, 1975.

Action by the Commission December 3, 1974. Commissioners Wiley (Chairman), Lee, Reid, Washburn and Robinson.

FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS COMMISSION,
[SEAL] VINCENT J. MULLINS,
Secretary.

[FR Doc.74-29207 Filed 12-13-74;8:45 am]

FEDERAL MARITIME COMMISSION

CERTIFICATES OF FINANCIAL RESPONSIBILITY (OIL POLLUTION)

Notice of Certificates Issued

Notice is hereby given that the following vessel owners and/or operators have established evidence of financial responsibility, with respect to the vessels indicated, as required by section 311(p)(1) of the Federal Water Pollution Control Act, and have been issued Federal Maritime Commission Certificates of Financial Responsibility (Oil Pollution) pursuant to Part 542 of Title 46 CFR.

Certificate No.	*Owner/operator and vessels*
01015---	A/S Rederiet Odfjell: *Bow Ek.*
01077---	H. M. Wrangell & Co. A/S: *Hoegh Fram.*
01113---	A/S J. Ludwig Mowinckels Rederi: *Grena.*
01453---	Aiden Shipping Company Limited: *Vimeira.*
01574---	Fearnley & Eger: *Fernbay.*
02198---	Peninsular & Oriental Steam Navigation Company: *Strathnewton, Strathnaver, Strathtay, Strathnairn, Strathnevis, Strathteviot, Strathtruim.*
02338---	Central Gulf Lines, Inc.: *Green Harbour, Green Island.*
02367---	Canadian Pacific (Bermuda) Ltd.: *Fort Steele.*
02385---	Kristiansands Tankrederi A/S, A/S Kristiansands Tankrederi II, A/S Kristiansands Tankrederi III, Aksjeselskapet Avant, Aksjeselskapet Skjoldheim: *Polybritannia.*
02522---	Sugar Line Limited: *Sugar Trader.*
02551---	Ellerman Lines Ltd.: *City of Valetta.*
02911---	Sig. Bergesen D.Y. & Co.: *Berge Septimus.*
03484---	Sanko Kisen K.K.: *World Canada.*
03614---	A/S Kristian Jebsens Rederi: *Birknes.*
03690---	The Harbor Tug & Barge Company: *Deck Barge 412.*
03720---	Global Marine Inc.: *Glomar Coral Sea.*
04080---	Port Arthur Towing Company: *Patco 500, Patco 501, Patco 502, Patco 503, Patco 504, Patco 505, Patco 506, Patco 507.*
04218---	Zidell Inc. Zidell Dismantling Inc. and Zidell Explorations, Inc.: *Peter W.*
04283---	Gulf of Georgia Towing Co., Ltd.: *Linden, Pulpwood II.*
04601---	American Tunaboat Association: *Evelyn Da Rosa.*
04767---	Texaco Inc.: *Cote Blanche Bay.*
04939---	Panocean Shipping & Terminals Limited: *Post Enterprise.*
05046---	Magnolia Marine Transport Co.: *MM-20, MM-21, MM-22, MM-23, M-24, M-25.*
05500---	Petroleos Mexicanos: *Mariano Moc*
05500---	Petroleos Mexicanos: *Mariano Moctezuma, Independencia, Reforma.*
05670---	Vasco Madrilena de Navegacion S.A.: *Valle de Lujua.*
06130---	Northern Shipping Co.: *Ekaterina Belashova.*
06300---	Delaware River & Bay Authority: *New Jersey.*
06305---	E. T. Barber dba Neches Shell Co., Inc.: *NS 31.*
06478---	Korea Marine Industry Development Corporation: *No. 31 Soogong.*
06704---	Yuugen Kaisha Marukyo Boshi Suisan: *Marukyoboshibmaru No. 58.*
06903---	Sun Shipbuilding & Dry Dock Co.: *Puerto Rico Yard Hull No. 670.*
06906---	Directia Navigatiei Maritime Navrom: *Oltenia, Arges, Prahova, Resitza, Bucegi, Lupeni, Carpatzi, Dunarea, Oltul, Maramures, Hunedoara, Bumbesti, Anina, Cugir, Vulcan, Rovinari, Uricani, Petroseni, Sinaia, Predeal, Dobrogea, Bucuresti, Vrancea, Salaj, Nasaud, Dolj, Gorj, Calimanesti, Bihor, Radautzi, Satu Mare, Tirgu Jiu, Slatina, Slobozia, Calarasi, Azuga, Rimnicu Vilcea, Odorhei.*
06934---	Chevron Navigation Corp.: *Chevron Freeport.*
07262---	Pacific Union Lines Ltd.: *Hong Kong Success.*
07290---	Hollywood Terminals, Inc.: *W143, W144, Ellis 2121, Ellis 2123, Hollywood 2002.*
07669---	Marine Leasing Corp.: *Steel Floating Drydock.*
07868---	Dolphin Maritime Corporation: *Athenais, Aspis, Isabelita.*
08034---	Asia Africa Shipping Co. Ltd.: *Uniafrica.*
08045---	Nagan (Panama) S.A.: *Athol.*
08064---	Santa Fe-Pomeroy Marine Services Co.: *Pima, Tonkawa.*
08071---	Anglo Nordic Bulkships (Management) Limited: *Nordic Regent.*
08089---	Sonata Compania de Navegacion S.A.: *Hubert.*
08317---	South East Asia Shipping Company Private Limited: *Jag Vijay.*
08387---	Sure Hope Towing Co., Inc.: *MMS-103, MMS-104, HTCO-29, MMS-106.*
08436---	International Shipping and Trading Corp.: *Pine Queen.*
08555---	Universal Towing Company-D. J. Marine Service Inc.: *Dredge Flat, B-26 Rigging Flat, McClellan.*
08617---	Fairmont Enterprises Limited: *Septa.*
08652---	Scorpion Shipping Inc.: *Sea Royal.*
08658---	Il Woo Marine Co. Ltd.: *Ocean Blue.*
09162---	Birdsall Shipping S.A.: *Tropic Gale.*
09479---	Societa D'Armamento per L'Esercizio: *Montone.*
09480---	North Pacific Navigation Corporation: *Ulrica.*
09505---	Sung Yang Fisheries Co. Ltd.: *No. 1 Sung Yang, No. 2 Sung Yang.*
09516---	Filadelfos Cia de Navegaceon S.A.: *Filadelfos.*
09523---	Brownarrow Shipping Inc.: *Asia Beauty.*
09532---	Anangel Wisdom Compania Naviera S.A. Panama: *Anangel Wisdom.*
09533---	Leo Star Shipping S.A.: *Leo Star.*
09534---	Leo Soling Shipping S.A.: *Leo Soling.*
09535---	Panama Overseas Transport S/A: *Golden Hill.*
09536---	Doros Shipping Company: *Doros.*
09537---	Achaios Shipping Company: *Achaios.*
09538---	Interessentskapet Banya: *Banya.*
09542---	Purly Shipping Co. Ltd.: *Stella.*
09544---	B.I.P. Shipping Co. S.A.: *Seibunmaru No. 3.*
09545---	Maytide Line Co., Ltd.: *Tropical Mariner, Southern Mariner.*
09546---	Roverts Shipping Company Limited: *Ana Patricia.*
09547---	J.A.R. Barge Lines: *MRBL-88, MRT-110, MRBL-24.*
09550---	Maconda Shipping Company Limited: *Ruby.*
09551---	Martimaris Cuarto Maritime Corp.: *Eurochemie.*
09553---	Marine Sales Limited: *Panky.*
09555---	Pesquera Granada S.A.: *Granada.*
09556---	Association M. V. "E.R. Wallonia": *E. R. Wallonia.*
09557---	Rederiet Junior VI: *Junior Lone.*
09558---	Oriental Tanker Services Inc.: *Energy Production.*
09559---	Auxiliar de Transportes Maritimos S.A.: *Guardo, Manjoya.*
09560---	Demeter Shipping Company Limited: *Dona Angela.*
09561---	Ben Line Ship Management Ltd.: *Grey Hunter, Vianna.*
09564---	Clare Island Shipping Company Ltd.: *Bouboulina Faith.*
09565---	Cement Carriers Ltd.: *Atlantico I.*
09566---	Houshin Kaiun K.K.: *Houmei Maru.*

Certificate No.	Owner/operator and vessels
09567---	Dong Soo Ltd.: *Dong Won No. 16, Don Soo No. 110.*
09571---	Immingham Shipping Company Limited: *Barry.*
09572---	Argostoli Shipping Company Limited: *Avon.*
09573---	Operation and Transport Corporation Limited: *Apollo.*
09574---	Meridian Line, S.A.: *Tara Sea.*
09576---	Branco Shipping Co., Ltd.: *Atlantic Empress.*
09579---	St. Lawrence Shipping Company Limited: *Tamy.*
09580---	Tianna Shipping Co., Ltd.: *Inguza.*
09581---	Eastgate Shipping Company Limited: *Armadora.*
09583---	Central Island Navigation Corporation: *Carina 1.*
09586---	Orinoco Shipping Co., Ltd.: *Atlantic Emperor.*
09587---	East Amazon Shipping Co., Ltd.: *Eugenie Livanos.*
09588---	Parana Shipping Co., Ltd.: *Arietta Livanos.*
09589---	Il Woo Fisheries Co., Ltd.: *Il Woo No. 3, Il Woo No. 7.*
09590---	Likmar Maritime Company Limited: *Irenes Pride.*
09591---	Finance and Investment Company Limited: *Althea.*
09592---	Diamond Navigation S.A.: *Diamond Peace.*
09593---	Yellowstar Shipping & Trading Corp.: *Yellowstar 1.*
09595---	Ming Ren Navigation Co. Ltd. S.A.: *Mingren Investment.*
09596---	Okura Gyogyo K.K.: *Tokiwa Maru No. 58.*
09597---	Federacion Nacional de Cafeteros de Colombia: *Mitu, Guainia.*
09598---	Greek Atlantic Cod Fishing Company: *Saronis.*
09599---	Kaiser Corporation Ltd.: *Bredal.*
09602---	Partrederiet Bravo V: *Kirsten Bravo.*
09603---	Partrederiet Bravo IV: *Louise Bravo.*
09604---	Partrederiet Bravo III: *Henriette Bravo.*
09605---	Partrederiet Bech XI: *Leila Bech.*
09606---	Partrederiet Bech X: *Ulla Bech.*
09608---	Fukuichi Gyogyo Kabushiki Kaisha: *Fukuichi Maru.*
09609---	Micmar Motorship Corporation: *Mister Michael.*
09610---	Seaward Carriers Corporation Ltd.: *Forestal I.*
09611---	Bruce Bay Shipping Company Limited: *Bruce Bay.*
09615---	Second United Shipping Corporation: *Northern Lion.*
09617---	Transworld No. 5 Tanker Services Inc.: *Cys Brilliance.*
09618---	Ernest C. Schindler: *T–104, B–15, B–16, L.C.T. No. 54, L.C.T. No. 55.*
09619---	Sea Commerce Corporation: *Mediterranean Sprinter.*
09620---	Atlantic Coast Chemical Company: *Marmot.*
09622---	Man Cheung Yuen Services Limited: *Everray.*
09623---	Sea Goblin, Inc.: *Sea Goblin.*
09624---	Luna Navigation Co. Ltd.: *Bona Friendship.*
09625---	Scheepvaartagentuur Orionbel N.V.: *Sarandi.*
09626---	Cia Celta Maritima, S.A.: *Irus.*
09627---	Hariz Tankers Corporation: *Pecan.*
09629---	Taichee Shipping Inc.: *Taichee.*
09632---	Trans-Asiatic Oil Ltd., S.A.: *Riva I, Eugenia II, Viac, Margaret Simone.*
09639---	Associated Petroleum Carriers Inc.: *Energy Mobility.*
09640---	Rasheed Shipping Corporation: *Al Rasheed.*
09643---	Rederiaktiebolaget Ramatora: *Margaret Onstad.*
09644---	Marinstinto Armadora S.A.: *Mia.*

Dated: December 11, 1974.

By the Commission.

FRANCIS C. HURNEY,
Secretary.

[FR Doc.74-29217 Filed 12-13-74;8:45 am]

CERTIFICATES OF FINANCIAL RESPONSIBILITY (OIL POLLUTION)

Notice of Certificates Revoked

Notice of voluntary revocation is hereby given with respect to Certificates of Financial Responsibility (Oil Pollution) which had been issued by the Federal Maritime Commission, covering the below indicated vessels, pursuant to Part 542 of Title 46 CFR and section 311(p)(1) of the Federal Water Pollution Control Act, as amended.

Certificate No.	Owner/Operator and vessels
01002---	Skibsaktieselskapte Arnstein: *Harald Stange.*
01010---	Dampskibsaktieselskabet Produce (the Steamship Company Produce Ltd.): *Produce.*
01107---	N.V. Stoomvaart-Maatschappij "Oostzee" (Curacao): *Farmsum.*
01221---	Skibs A/S Nordhav, Skibs A/S Sydhav, Skibs A/S Osthav: *Vesthav.*
01223---	Buries Markes Limited: *La Colina.*
01499---	Standard Oil Company of British Columbia Ltd.: *B.C. Standard.*
01560---	Det Nordenfjeldske Dampskibsselskab, Trondheim Norway: *Roald Jarl.*
01750---	Astro Marino Navegacion S.A. Panama: *Aristoklis.*
01835---	John Hagemaes: *Westbulk.*
01904---	Waterman Steamship Corporation: *La Salle, Jeff Davis, Mobilian.*
01986---	Aktiebolaget Transmarin: *Irene.*
02167---	Sartori & Berger: *Cap Serrat.*
02198---	Peninsular & Oriental Steam Navigation Company: *Nurmahal, Nurjehan, Trebartha, Kohinur, Jumna, Trefusis.*
02199---	Atlantic Richfield Co.: *Atlantic Heritage.*
02330---	Oriental Shipping Corp.: *World Supreme.*
02345---	The Armenistis Shipping Corp.: *Agioi Victores.*
02346---	The Salamis Shipping Corp.: *Ioannis N. Pateras.*
02428---	The Kinsman Marine Transit Company: *James D. Ferris.*
02475---	Houston Barge Line, Inc.: *GTC 2503, GTC 2504.*
02501---	Standard Oil Co. of California: *F. S. Bryant.*
02522---	Sugar Line Limited: *Crystal Sapphire.*
02589---	Astro Protector Compania Naviera S.A.: *Capetan Elias.*
02736---	Reichhold Chemicals, Inc.: *Reichhold 1001, Reichhold 1002.*
02960---	Taiyo Kaiun Kabushiki Kaisha: *Taikyu Maru.*
03066---	Aremar C.I.F.S.A.: *Aremar.*
03092---	Zui Kong Steamship Company Ltd. Taipei: *I Yung.*
03104---	Thai Hwa Navigation Corp.: *Pacific Alliance.*
03214---	Salenrederierna Aktiebolag: *Sea Swallow.*
03301---	Prudential Lines, Inc.: *Santa Rosa, Santa Paula.*
03415---	Chiyoda Kisen K.K.: *Sagami Maru.*
03468---	Nihonkai Kisen Kabushiki Kaisha: *Daioh Maru.*
03496---	OSG International, Inc., Liberia: *Mersey Ore.*
03518---	Tokyo Senpaku K.K.: *Surabaya Maru.*
03544---	Herness Shipping Company A/S: *Nordic Regent.*
03558---	Reidar Rods Rederi A/S: *Columbia.*
03675---	Pressos Compania Naviera S.A.: *Myson.*
04004---	Koninklijke Java-China-Paketvaart-Lijnen N.V.: *Asian Explorer.*
04398---	Hapag-Lloyd: *Marburg.*
04413---	Leif Hoegh & Co. A/S: *Hoegh Merit, Hoegh Merchant, Hoegh Mallard, Hoegh Musketeer.*
04533---	Mr. Choemon Kikuchi: *Nittomaru No. 5.*
04518---	Tokusui Kabushiki Kaisha: *Kakimaru No. 2.*
04564---	Yamashita-Shinnihon Kisen Kaisha: *Energy Mobility.*
04625---	American Commercial Lines Inc.: *Chem 408, Chem 407, Chem 406, Chem 307, Chem 501.*
04914---	Platte Transport Inc.: *Platte.*
049714--	Chemical Tanker I/S: *Stainless Carrier.*
05061---	Achilles Halcoussis Esq.: *Agis Asteriadis.*
05329---	Gem Shipping Co., S.A.: *Fontevivo.*
05442---	Michalinos & Company, Ltd.: *Riverdore.*
05597---	Cunningham Navigation Co., Ltd.: *Caribbean Tamanaco.*
05875---	Casey Line Inc.: *Bay Belle.*
06114---	Masahei Yamamoto: *Seishu Maru No. 28.*
06155---	Elmini Look Inc.: *American Main.*
06399---	Tokumaru Kaiun K.K.: *Daitoku Maru No. 28, Daitoku Maru No. 3.*
06486---	Marlineas Progresivas S.A. Panama: *Eleftheria.*
06611---	Partenreederei MS "Ursula Jacob": *Ursula Jacob.*
07374---	Ocean Tramping Company Limited: *Shengli.*
07507---	Jun Navigation Inc., S.A.: *Buntai.*
07524---	Partrederiet Merc Groenlandia: *Merc Groenlandia.*
07525---	Partrederiet Merc Europa: *Merc Europa.*
07681---	Lidoriki Maritime Corporation Panama: *Elias.*
07682---	Sembawang Shipping Company Limited: *Silverland.*
07696---	Patrederiet of 29.4.70.: *Merc Asia.*
07698---	Partrederiet Merc Australia: *Merc Australia.*
07699---	Partrederiet Merc America: *Merc America.*
07700---	Partrederiet Merc Africa: *Merc Africa.*
07718---	Tokyo Teion Senpaku K.K.: *Ecuador Maru.*
08232---	Etablissement Pour Culture et Aris Vaduz: *Patignies.*
08288---	Sumande Shipping Corporation (Liberia): *Sumande.*
08424---	Saipan Shipping Company, Inc.: *Normar.*
08499---	Central Soya Company, Inc.: *CC 207.*
08512---	Montania Shipping Corporation: *Constantia.*

Certificate No.	Owner/operator and vessels
08620---	Banzai Navigation S.A.: *Asia Mariner.*
08802---	Overseas Shipping Private (Hong Kong) Ltd.: *HWA GEK.*
08810---	Partenreederei M/T "Multitank Westfalia: *Multitank Westfalia.*
08946---	C. Avramides Maritime Enterprises S.A. Panama: *Maritoula.*
09022---	Stemil Inc. & Channel Terminal Corp. D/B/A Intercontinental Terminals Co. & Stemial Inc.: *LRL 200.*
09065---	Wilsons (N.Z.) Portlant Cement Ltd.: *Cement King.*
09137---	Arne Teigens Rederi A/S: *Rytter.*
09395---	Interoceangas Tankers Management S.A.: *Zenon.*
09417---	Negros Navigation Company, Inc.: *Dona Montserrat.*

Dated: December 11, 1974.

By the Commission.

FRANCIS C. HURNEY,
Secretary.

[FR Doc.74-29216 Filed 12-13-74;8:45 am]

FEDERAL POWER COMMISSION

[Docket No. E-8810]

BOSTON EDISON CO.

Extension of Procedural Dates

DECEMBER 6, 1974.

On December 4, 1974, Boston Edison Co. filed a motion to extend the procedural dates fixed by order issued June 21, 1974, as most recently modified by notice issued November 18, 1974, in the above-designated matter. The motion states that the parties have been notified and have no objection.

Upon consideration, notice is hereby given that the procedural dates in the above matter are modified as follows:

Service of Company Rebuttal, January 7, 1975.
Hearing, January 14, 1975 (10 a.m. e.s.t.).

MARY B. KIDD,
Acting Secretary.

[FR Doc.74-29116 Filed 12-13-74;8:45 am]

[Docket No. E-9040]

CENTRAL VERMONT PUBLIC SERVICE CORP.

Order Accepting for Filing and Suspending in Part Proposed Rate Increase Denying Waiver of Notice Requirements and Establishing Procedures

DECEMBER 5, 1974.

On September 27, 1974, as completed on November 5, 1974, Central Vermont Public Service Corp. (Central Vermont) tendered for filing its proposed Rate R-3, consisting of a partial requirements Rate R-3P and a full requirements Rate R-3F. Central Vermont has asked for a waiver of the Commission's notice requirements and an effective date of October 31, 1974.

The affected partial requirements wholesale customers are four municipalities (Hyde Park, Johnson, Lyndonville, and Ludlow, Vermont), one cooperative (Vermont Electric Cooperative, Inc.), and three investor-owned utility customers (Allied Power and Light Co., Rochester Electric Light and Power Company, Inc., and Vermont Marble Co.). The sole full requirements customer is Central Vermont's wholly owned New Hampshire subsidiary, Connecticut Valley Electric Company, Inc.

Central Vermont stated that the proposed Rate R-3 would produce an increase in wholesale revenues over the currently effective Rate R-2 of $1,946,618 on the 1974 test year basis, an increase of approximately 60 percent. Central Vermont further stated that the proposed Rate R-3 filing involved three significant rate design changes: (1) The proposed rate is designed to track as closely as possible the customers, demand, and energy component of the cost of service; (2) the demand ratchet in the partial requirements rate is increased from 50 percent to 90 percent; and (3) a fuel clause is introduced.

Notice of the filing was issued on October 9, 1974, with protests or petitions to intervene due on or before October 18, 1974. By virtue of a deficiency letter issued by the Secretary in this docket, additional information was filed by Central Vermont on October 30, 1974 (noticed November 6, 1974) and on November 5, 1974 (noticed November 18, 1974). Accordingly, the date for filing protests and/or petitions to intervene has been extended to November 26, 1974.

On October 16, 1974, the Vermont Public Service Board (VPSB) petitioned for intervention and requested a five month suspension on the basis that the proposed rates may grant undue preference or advantage to some customers and subject others to undue prejudice or disadvantage. VPSB indicated that under Vermont law the purchased power cost cannot be flowed through by wholesale customers without compliance with rate making statutes that may require prolonged investigations, thus placing a significant burden upon those customers.

Senators George D. Aiken (on October 21, 1974) and Robert T. Stafford (on October 22, 1974) each protested that the proposed rate increase would place an undue economic hardship on Vermont customers, and requested a five month suspension.

A timely joint petition to intervene was also filed by the four municipals, Allied Power & Light Co., Rochester Electric Light & Power Co., and the Vermont Marble Co., on October 18, 1974. The petitioners requested a five month suspension, citing the large amount of the proposed increase for the twelve months ending October, 1974, which ranges from 216 percent for Lyndonville, to 24 percent for the Vermont Marble Co. Petitioners further stated that the proposed tariff provides for a disproportionate increase to the wholesale customers, an excessive return on equity (14.5 percent), and an improper ratchet provision (90 percent).

The Vermont Electric Cooperative (Coop) filed a timely petition to intervene on October 18, 1974, requesting a five month suspension. Coop noted that an increase in Central Vermont's rates effective July 1, 1973, raised its rate by 65.2 percent and that the instant filing would add on another 144 percent, resulting in an increase of over 200 percent within 16 months. Coop also pointed out that, as a partial requirements customer, it only demands intermediate or peaking power from Central Vermont. The demand charge for this capacity, Coop stated, is improper.

Central Vermont, by answer filed October 23, 1974, requested a suspension of no more than one day, and alleged that the aforementioned pleadings were of no substance, citing present rates that yield an overall negative return of 1.9 percent. In rebuttal to VPSB's argument, Central Vermont stated that it (VPSB) has the ability to authorize an immediate pass through of rate increases to wholesale customers. Central Vermont further stated that, as to Coop, the increase would affect only 32 percent of the kw and 22 percent of the kwh delivered to Coop. In reference to the questions of the design of the proposed rate, Central Vermont stated that the partial requirements rate is available to cover all types of partial requirements service and is offered as a supplement to a wholesale customers' purchase of power from any other source, regardless of the status of the purchaser—base load, peaking or cycling.

Accompanying Central Vermont's supplemental data filings of October 30, 1974 and November 5, 1974 were petitions for waiver of the Commission's notice requirements and requests that the originaly proposed effective date of October 1974 be utilized. In support of this request, Central Vermont alleged that its September 27, 1974, filing was in substantial compliance with the Commission's regulations, and that none of its customers would be adversely affected thereby. Protests to this request were filed by Coop and VPSB on November 19, 1974, both stating that good cause had not been shown for such a waiver.

Our review of the instant filing and the issues raised therein shows that the full requirements Rate R-3F is just and reasonable and will therefore be accepted. The partial requirements Rate R-3P, however, has not been shown to be just and reasonable and may be unjust, unreasonable, unduly discriminatory, preferential or otherwise unlawful. Accordingly, we shall suspend the proposed R-3P rate increase for thirty days and establish hearing procedures to determine the justness and reasonableness of Central Vermont's proposed rates. Furthermore, we find that good cause does not in fact exist to warrant a waiver of section 205(d) of the Commission's regulations, and we will reject Central Vermont's petition for such waiver.

The Commission finds:

(1) It is necessary and proper in the public interest and to aid in the enforcement of the provisions of the Federal Power Act that the Commission enter upon a hearing concerning the lawfulness of the proposed rates and charges

contained in Central Vermont's revised tariff sheets and that said tariff sheets be suspended as hereinafter ordered.

(2) Good cause exists to suspend Central Vermont's proposed partial requirements Rate R–3P change for thirty days to become effective on January 6, 1975.

(3) Good cause exists to accept Central Vermont's proposed full requirements Rate R–3F.

(4) The participation in this proceeding of the above-named intervenors may be in the public interest.

(5) The disposition of this proceeding should be expedited in accordance with the procedure set forth below.

(6) Good cause does not exist to justify a waiver of the thirty day notice requirements.

The Commission orders:

(A) Pursuant to the authority of the Federal Power Act, particularly sections 205 and 206 thereof, the Commission's rules of practice and procedure, and the regulations under the Federal Power Act (18 CFR Ch. I), a public hearing shall be held on April 15, 1975, at 10 a.m., in a hearing room of the Federal Power Commission, 825 North Capitol Street NE., Washington, D.C. 20426, concerning the lawfulness of the revised tariff sheets proposed herein.

(B) Pending hearing and a final decision thereon, Central Vermont's proposed tariff sheet designated as partial requirements Rate R–3P is hereby suspended for thirty days and the use thereof deferred until January 6, 1975.

(C) On or before March 4, 1975, the Commission Staff shall serve its prepared testimony and exhibits. The prepared testimony and exhibits of any or all the intervenors shall be served on or before March 18, 1975. Any rebuttal evidence by the Company shall be served on or before April 1, 1975.

(D) A Presiding Administrative Law Judge to be designated by the Chief Administrative Law Judge for that purpose (See Deelgation of Authority, 18 CFR 3.5(d)), shall preside at the hearing in this proceeding, shall prescribe relevant procedural matters not herein provided, and shall control this proceeding in accordance with the policies expressed in the Commission's rules of practice and procedure.

(E) Central Vermont's full requirements Rate R–3F, tendered as part of its filing in this docket, is hereby accepted for filing and permitted to become effective December 6, 1974.

(F) Central Vermont's petition for waiver of the thirty day notice requirements of section 205(d) of the Commission's regulations is hereby denied.

(G) Nothing contained herein should be construed as limiting the rights of the parties to this proceeding regarding the convening of conferences of offers of settlement pursuant to § 1.8 of the Commission's rules of practice and procedure.

(H) The above-named petitioners to intervene are hereby permitted to intervene in this proceeding, subject to the rules and regulations of the Commission: *Provided, however,* That the participation of such intervenors shall be limited to matters affecting the rights and interests specifically set forth in their petitions to intervene.

(I) The Secretary shall cause prompt publication of this order in the FEDERAL REGISTER.

By the Commission.

[SEAL] MARY B. KIDD,
Acting Secretary.

[FR Doc.74–29120 Filed 12–13–74;8:45 am]

[Docket No. RP72–122; PGA 75–1–A; PGA 75–2]

COLORADO INTERSTATE GAS CO.

Order Granting Interventions

DECEMBER 6, 1974.

On October 10, 1974 Colorado Interstate Gas Co. (CIG) tendered for filing proposed changes in its FPC Gas Tariff, Second Revised Volume No. 1 pursuant to ordering paragraph (D) of the Commission's Order, issued on September 26, 1974, in the docket designated as RP72–122, PGA 75–1–A. On October 23, 1974 CIG tendered for filing further changes in its FPC Gas Tariff, Second Revised Volume No. 1, designated as RP72–122, PGA 72–2.

CIG's filing of October 10, 1974 was noticed on October 24, 1974, with all protests or petitions to intervene due on or before November 11, 1974. The filing of October 23, 1974 was noticed on November 5, 1974, with all protests or petitions to intervene due on or before November 13, 1974.

Timely petitions to intervene in both dockets were filed by the Public Utilities Commission of the State of Colorado and the City and County of Denver, on November 11, 1974. Having reviewed the above petitions to intervene, we believe that the petitioners have sufficient interest in the proceedings to warrant intervention.

The Commission finds:

It is desirable and in the public interest to allow the above-named petitioners to intervene.

The Commission orders:

(A) The above-named petitioners are hereby permitted to intervene in these proceedings subject to the rules and regulations of the Commission; *Provided, however,* That participation of such intervenors shall be limited to matters affecting asserted rights and interests as specifically set forth in the petition to intervene; and *Provided, further,* That the admission of such intervenors shall not be construed as recognition by the Commission that they might be aggrieved because of any order or orders of the Commission entered in this proceeding.

(B) The Secretary shall cause prompt publication of this order in the FEDERAL REGISTER.

By the Commission.

[SEAL] MARY B. KIDD,
Acting Secretary.

[FR Doc.74–29135 Filed 12–13–74;8:45 am]

[Docket No. E–9132]

CONNECTICUT LIGHT AND POWER CO.

Transmission Agreement

DECEMBER 5, 1974.

Take notice that on November 27, 1974 The Connecticut Light and Power Co. (CL&P) tendered for filing a proposed initial rate schedule pertaining to a Transmission Agreement between The Connecticut Light and Power Co. and The United Illuminating Co. (UI) dated as of November 1, 1973.

CL&P states that the Transmission Agreement provides for the joint use of specified CL&P transmission facilities. CL&P is providing for the use of UI certain transmission facilities for transmitting energy on one of CL&P's 115 kV lines between Trumbull Junction and the Norwalk Substation in Norwalk, Connecticut to two tap points on such line, namely, the Hawthorne tap and the Old Town tap. CL&P has previously provided similar services to UI's Old Town tap under F.P.C. Rate Schedule No. 18 (the Old Town Substation Transmission Agreement between CL&P and UI dated as of January 1, 1961, as amended). Although the Transmission Agreement filed herewith supersedes F.P.C. Rate Schedule No. 18, it is filed as an initial rate schedule under § 35.12 of the Commission's regulations because of the additional new services being provided by CL&P to UI's Hawthorne tap.

CL&P states that although service commenced November 14, 1973, the parties did not reach final agreement on the details of the rate schedule filed until recently. This delay in development of the detailed language of the rate schedule prevented the filing of such rate schedule until this date. CL&P therefore requests that, in order to permit CL&P to receive payment in accordance with the Agreement between the parties for service rendered after November 14, 1973, the Commission, pursuant to § 35.11 of its regulations, waive the customary notice period and permit the rate filed to take effect as of November 14, 1973.

CL&P states that the charges provided for in the rate schedule were arrived at through negotiations.

CL&P states that a copy of this rate schedule has been mailed or delivered to UI, New Haven, Connecticut.

CL&P further states that the filing is in accordance with Part 35 of the Commission's regulations.

Any person desiring to be heard or to protest said application should file a petition to intervene or protest with the Federal Power Commission, 825 North Capitol Street, NE., Washington, D.C. 20426, in accordance with §§ 1.8 and 1.10 of the Commission's rules of practice and procedures (18 CFR 1.8, 1.10). All such petitions or protests should be filed on or before December 20, 1974. Protests will be considered by the Commission in determining the appropriate action to be taken, but will not serve to make protestants parties to the proceedings. Any person wishing to become a party must file a petition to intervene. Copies of this application are on file with the

Commission and are available for public inspection.

MARY B. KIDD,
Acting Secretary.

[FR Doc.74-29124 Filed 12-13-74;8:45 am]

[Docket No. E-9070]

CONSUMERS POWER CO.

Filing of Amendment to Interconnection Agreement

DECEMBER 6, 1974.

Take notice that on October 18, 1974, Consumers Power Co. (Applicant) tendered for filing with the Federal Power Commission an amendment (denoted Supplemental Agreement No. 1) to an existing Interconnection Agreement between Applicant and Northern Michigan Electric Cooperative, Inc., Wolverine Electric Cooperative, Inc., the City of Grand Haven, Michigan, and the City of Traverse City, Michigan. The Interconnection Agreement is denoted Consumers Power Company Rate Schedule FPC No. 34, and became effective on November 1, 1973.

Supplemental Agreement No. 1 provides for the establishment of a temporary second interconnection point between the electric systems of Applicant and the other parties, and is dated November 27, 1973. Construction of the facilities needed to effect the interconnection was completed on March 8, 1974.

Supplemental Agreement No. 1 does not affect the rates charged for electric power and energy interchanged under the terms of the Interconnection Agreement. It does provide, however, for a capital carrying charge to be paid to Consumers Power Co. by Wolverine Electric Cooperative, Inc., to allow recovery of the capital cost of certain metering equipment provided by Consumers Power Co.

Any person desiring to be heard or to protest said application should file a petition to intervene or protest with the Federal Power Commission, 825 North Capitol Street, NE., Washington, D.C. 20426, in accordance with §§ 1.8 and 1.10 of the Commission's rules of practice and procedure (18 CFR 1.8 or 1.10). All such petitions or protests should be filed on or before December 30, 1974. Protests will be considered by the Commission in determining the appropriate action to be taken, but will not serve to make protestants parties to the proceeding. Any person wishing to become a party must file a petition to intervene. The application is on file with the Commission and is available for public inspection.

MARY B. KIDD,
Acting Secretary.

[FR Doc.74-29131 Filed 12-13-74;8:45 am]

[Docket No. CP70-138]

EL PASO NATURAL GAS CO. AND NORTHWEST PIPELINE CORP.

Order Granting Withdrawal of Application and Terminating Proceedings

DECEMBER 5, 1974.

On November 13, 1974, Northwest Pipeline Corp. (Northwest), in Docket No. CP70-138, filed a notice of withdrawal of the petition filed in this proceeding on March 27, 1973, as supplemented on August 16, 1973, and requested further that the proceeding be terminated.

On March 27, 1973, El Paso Natural Gas Co. (El Paso), in Docket No. CP70-138, filed a petition to amend our order of May 12, 1970, in the same docket (43 FPC 723). By the aforementioned order, as amended by order issued on February 4, 1973, we had authorized El Paso under section 3 of the Natural Gas Act to import increased quantities of natural gas from Canada purchased from Westcoast Transmission Co., Limited (Westcoast), El Paso and Westcoast amended the purchase gas contract dated October 10, 1969, herein referred to as the Fourth Service Agreement, by the Third Amending Agreement (TAA) dated March 1, 1973, and Letter Agreements dated August 14, 1973, and August 28, 1973, to become effective upon requisite regulatory approval.

By orders issued in Northwest Pipeline Corporation et al., Docket Nos. CP73-331, et al.,[1] we issued to Northwest the requisite certificate authority to acquire and operate the Northwest Division System properties required to be divested by El Paso. Northwest also was issued the related import authority and Presidential Permit necessary to continue importation of natural gas which became effective February 1, 1974. On January 7, 1974, Northwest filed a motion requesting that it be substituted as a party applicant which was granted on March 22, 1974, in El Paso Natural Gas Company, Docket Nos. G-8934, et al.

On November 6, 1973, Northwest served notice on Westcoast, pursuant to Article V of the Third Amending Agreement to the Fourth Service Agreement and pursuant to Article V(b) of the Appendix A to that Third Amending Agreement, of its intent to cancel the Third Amending Agreement and Appendix A thereto, effective November 16, 1974, inasmuch as the necessary regulatory authorizations had not been received by Northwest, on or before September 1, 1973. Pursuant to § 1.11(d) of the Commission's rules of practice and procedure, the Commission hereby notices the withdrawal of the application and grants the request to terminate any further proceedings in this matter.

The Commission orders:

The application dated March 27, 1973, as supplemented on August 16, 1973, has been withdrawn and the request to terminate the proceeding in the aforementioned docket is granted.

By the Commission.

[SEAL] MARY B. KIDD,
Acting Secretary.

[1] September 21, 1973, November 23, 1973, and January 22, 1974.

[FR Doc.74-29136 Filed 12-13-74;8:45 am]

[Docket No. ID-1398]

GEORGE V. PATTERSON

Supplemental Application

DECEMBER 5, 1974.

Take notice that on November 19, 1974, George V. Patterson (Applicant) filed a supplemental application with the Federal Power Commission. Pursuant to section 305(b) of the Federal Power Act, Applicant seeks authority to hold the following position:

Vice President & Director, Ohio Electric Company, Public Utility.

Ohio Electric Co., whose principal office is located at 301 Cleveland Avenue, SW., Canton, Ohio 44702, owns and operates the General James M. Gavin Plant at Gallipolis, Ohio which, when completed, will have two 1300 megawatt generating units. All of Ohio Electric Co.'s available electrical energy is sold to Ohio Power Co., of which it is a wholly owned subsidiary.

Any person desiring to be heard or to make any protest with reference to said application should on or before December 30, 1974, file with the Federal Power Commission, Washington, D.C. 20426, petitions to intervene or protests in accordance with the Commission's rules of practice and procedure (18 CFR 1.8 or 1.10). All protests filed with the Commission will be considered by it in determining the appropriate action to be taken but will not serve to make the protestants parties to the proceeding. Persons wishing to become parties to a proceeding or to participate as a party in any hearing therein must file petitions to intervene in accordance with the Commission's rules. The application is on file with the Commission and available for public inspection.

MARY B. KIDD,
Acting Secretary.

[FR Doc.74-29122 Filed 12-13-74;8:45 am]

[Docket No. ID-1528]

JOHN TILLINGHAST

Supplemental Application

DECEMBER 6, 1974.

Take notice that on November 19, 1974, John Tillinghast (Applicant) filed a supplemental application with the Federal Power Commission. Pursuant to section 305(b) of the Federal Power Act, Applicant seeks authority to hold the following position:

Vice President & Director, Ohio Electric Company, Public Utility.

Ohio Electric Co., whose principal office is located at 301 Cleveland Avenue, SW., Canton, Ohio 44702, owns and operates the General James M. Gavin Plant at Gallipolis, Ohio which, when completed, will have two 1300 megawatt generating units. All of Ohio Electric Co.'s available electrical energy is sold to Ohio Power Co. of which it is a wholly owned subsidiary.

Any person desiring to be heard or to make any protest with reference to said application should on or before December 30, 1974, file with the Federal Power Commission, Washington, D.C. 20426, petitions to intervene or protests in accordance with the Commission's rules of practice and procedure (18 CFR 1.8 or 1.10). All protests filed with the Commission will be considered by it in determining the appropriate action to be taken but will not serve to make the protestants parties to the proceeding. Persons wishing to become parties to a proceeding or to participate as a party in any hearing therein must file petitions to intervene in accordance with the Commission's rules. The application is on file with the Commission and available for public inspection.

MARY B. KIDD,
Acting Secretary.

[FR Doc.74-29130 Filed 12-13-74;8:45 am]

[Docket No. E-9135]

MISSISSIPPI POWER CO.

Tariff Change

DECEMBER 5, 1974.

Take notice that Mississippi Power Co. on November 29, 1974, tendered for filing proposed changes in its FPC Electric Tariff, Original Volume No. 1. The proposed changes would increase revenues from jurisdictional sales and service by $3,380,418 based on the 12-month period ending December 31, 1975. Other than increasing the rates and charges, the Fuel Cost Adjustment clause is revised and Environmental Protection Cost Adjustment and Income Tax Adjustment clauses are added. The Co. proposes an effective date of January 1, 1975.

The Co. estimates its rate of return on its properties devoted to serving the Cooperative Electric Power Associations to be 4.96 percent from revenues which it would receive under its existing tariff during the year ending December 31, 1975. The Co. states that such return is less than the Co.'s embedded cost of debt, and is inadequate to attract capital required by the Co. to pay for necessary expansions of its electric plant.

The Company states that posting of the filing has been accomplished with the public utility's jurisdictional customers and Mississippi Public Service Commission.

Any person desiring to be heard or to protest said application should file a petition to intervene or protest with the Federal Power Commission, 825 North Capitol Street NE., Washington, D.C. 20426, in accordance with §§ 1.8 and 1.10 of the Commission's rules of practice and procedure (18 CFR 1.8, 1.10). All such petitions or protests should be filed on or before December 20, 1974. Protests will be considered by the Commission in determining the appropriate action to be taken, but will not serve to make protestants parties to the proceeding. Any person wishing to become a party must file a petition to intervene. Copies of this application are on file with the Commission and are available for public inspection.

MARY B. KIDD,
Acting Secretary.

[FR Doc.74-29129 Filed 12-13-74;8:45 am]

[Docket No. E-9140]

NEW ENGLAND POWER CO.

Tariff Change

DECEMBER 5, 1974.

Take notice that New England Power Co. (NEPCO), on November 29, 1974, tendered for filing proposed changes in its FPC Electric Tariff, Original Volume Number 1, and requests that these changes be made effective on January 1, 1975.

NEPCO asserts that its new Rate R-9, which increases the Demand Charge from $3.18/KW/Mo. to $7.69/KW/Mo., decreases the Energy Charge from 9.8 mills per kilowatt-hour to 2.1 mills per kilowatt-hour and decreases the credit for High Tension Delivery from 30¢/KW/Mo. to 15¢/KW/Mo., will increase revenues from its primary service for resale customers by $25,297,000 based on the 12 month test period ended December 31, 1975. In addition, the General Terms and Conditions (Schedule I) and Terms and Conditions governing specified types of service (Schedule III) also have been amended to increase various notice provisions from two to seven years, increase the interest accruing on unpaid bills from 7 percent per annum to 1½ percent per month, and decrease from sixty to thirty days the time allowed for payment of bills.

NEPCO states that since December, 1973 it has been unable to issue long term debt or preferred stock due to inadequate earnings. NEPCO further states that immediate implementation of the R-9 rate is vital if it is to resume normal financing needed to meet increased operating expenses and be in a position to continue to fulfill its public utility responsibilites.

Any person desiring to be heard and to make any protest with reference to said filing should file a petition to intervene or protest with the Federal Power Commission, 825 North Capitol Street, NE., Washington, D.C. 20426, in accordance with the requirements of the Commission's rules of practice and procedure (18 CFR 1.8 or 1.10). All such petitions or protests should be filed on or before December 23, 1974. Protests will be considered by the Commission in determining the appropriate action to be taken, but will not serve to make the protestants parties to the proceeding. Any person wishing to become a party must file a petition to intervene. The above filing is on file with the Commission and available for public inspection.

MARY B. KIDD,
Acting Secretary.

[FR Doc.74-29121 Filed 12-13-74;8:45 am]

[Docket No. E-8888]

OHIO ELECTRIC CO.

Extension of Time

DECEMBER 6, 1974.

On November 25, 1974, Ohio Electric Co. filed a motion to extend the date for filing direct testimony fixed by order issued September 16, 1974, as modified by notice issued November 1, 1974, in the above-designated matter. The motion states that there is no objection to the change.

Upon consideration, notice is hereby given that the date for filing direct testimony in the above matter was extended to and including December 13, 1974.

MARY B. KIDD,
Acting Secretary.

[FR Doc.74-29117 Filed 12-13-74;8:45 am]

[Docket Nos. CI75-343, CI75-344, CI75-345]

O. B. MOBLEY

Applications for Certificates of Public Convenience

DECEMBER 6, 1974.

Take notice that on November 25, 1974, O. B. Mobley (Applicant), P.O. Box 1091, Shreveport, Louisiana 71163, filed in Docket Nos. CI75-343, CI75-344 and CI75-345 applications pursuant to section 7(c) of the Natural Gas Act for certificates of public convenience and necessity authorizing the sales for resale and delivery of natural gas in interstate commerce to United Gas Pipe Line Co. (United) from the Elm Grove Field Bossier Parish, Louisiana, all as more fully set forth in the applications which are on file with the Commission and open to public inspection.

Applicant states that he commenced the sales of natural gas from each of three wells, subjects of the applications in above captioned dockets, on November 13, 1974, within the contemplation of § 157.29 of the regulations under the Natural Gas Act (18 CFR 157.29) and proposes to continue each of said sales for a period of one year from the end of the emergency periods within the contemplation of § 2.70 of the Commission's general policy and interpretations (18 CFR 270). Applicant proposes to sell to United approximately 135,000 Mcf of gas per month from three wells all located in the Elm Grove Field[1] at 80

[1] Applicant proposes in Docket No. CI75-343 to sell to United approximately 60,000 Mcf of gas per month from the Elm Grove Plantation No. 1-A Well, in Docket No. CI75-344 to sell to United approximately 15,000 Mcf of gas per month from the H. L. Tompkins No. 1 Well, and in Docket No. CI75-345 to sell to United approximately 60,000 Mcf of gas per month from the Grigsby No. 1 Well.

cents per Mcf at 15.025 psia plus 100 percent of all taxes attributable to such gas.

Applicant indicates that he is currently engaged in an extensive and expensive development program in the Elm Grove Field which he intends to complete prior to making any commitment of his gas reserves other than on an emergency basis or for sale under limited-term certificates with pregranted abandonment.

Any person desiring to be heard or to make any protest with reference to said applications should on or before December 30, 1974, file with the Federal Power Commission, Washington, D.C. 20426, a petition to intervene or a protest in accordance with the requirements of the Commission's rules of practice and procedure (18 CFR 1.8 or 1.10). All protests filed with the Commission will be considered by it in determining the appropriate action to be taken but will not serve to make the protestants parties to the proceeding. Any person wishing to become a party to a proceeding or to participate as a party in any hearing therein must file a petition to intervene in accordance with the Commission's rules.

Take further notice that, pursuant to the authority contained in and subject to the jurisdiction conferred upon the Federal Power Commission by sections 7 and 15 of the Natural Gas Act and the Commission's rules of practice and procedure, hearings will be held without further notice before the Commission on these applications if no petitions to intervene are filed within the time required herein, if the Commission on its own review of the matters finds that grants of the certificates are required by the public convenience and necessity. If a petition for leave to intervene is timely filed, or if the Commission on its own motion believes that formal hearings are required, further notice of such hearings will be duly given.

Under the procedure herein provided for, unless otherwise advised, it will be unnecessary for Applicant to appear or be represented at the hearings.

MARY B. KIDD,
Acting Secretary.

[FR Doc.74-29134 Filed 12-13-74;8:45 am]

[Docket No. E-9095]

PACIFIC POWER & LIGHT CO.

Application

DECEMBER 5, 1974.

Take notice that on November 25, 1974, Pacific Power & Light Co. (Applicant), a Maine corporation, qualified to transact business in the States of Oregon, Wyoming, Washington, California, Montana and Idaho, with its principal business office at Portland, Oregon, filed an application with the Federal Power Commission, pursuant to section 204 of the Federal Power Act, seeking an order authorizing the issuance of not exceeding 3,500,000 shares of Common Stock of the par value of $3.25 per share (Additional Common Stock), and exempting the sale and issuance thereof from the competitive bidding requirements of § 34.1a of the Commission's regulations.

If such exemption is granted, Applicant proposes to sell the Additional Common Stock to one or more underwriters upon terms and conditions, including the underwriting compensation, to be arrived at subject to Commission approval. If the application for exemption is denied, Applicant proposes to sell the Additional Common Stock at competitive bidding in accordance with the applicable requirements of § 34.1a of the Commission's regulations.

Proceeds (estimated at $56,000,000 from the issuance and sale of shares of the Additional Common Stock will be used to repay short-term notes prior to or as they mature.

Any person desiring to be heard or to make any protest with reference to said application should on or before December 30, 1974, file with the Federal Power Commission, Washington, D.C. 20426, petitions to intervene or protests in accordance with the requirements of the Commission's rules of practice and procedure (18 CFR 1.8 or 1.10). All protests filed with the Commission will be considered by it in determining the appropriate action to be taken but will not serve to make the protestants parties to the proceeding. Persons wishing to become parties to a proceeding or to participate as a party in any hearing therein must file petitions to intervene in accordance with the Commission's rules. The application is on file with the Commission and is available for public inspection.

MARY B. KIDD,
Acting Secretary.

[FR Doc.74-29119 Filed 12-13-74;8:45 am]

[Docket No. E-9141]

PUBLIC SERVICE COMPANY OF OKLAHOMA

Filing of Revised Fuel Clause

DECEMBER 5, 1974.

Take notice that on November 29, 1974, the Public Service Company of Oklahoma (PSCO) tendered for filing a revised fuel adjustment clause pursuant to § 35.14 of the Commission's regulations as amended by Order No. 517, issued November 13, 1974. The revised fuel clause would replace the current fuel clause provision contained in Rate Schedule FPC No. 162. PSCO requests that the requirement of the submission of full cost of service data be waived as provided in Ordering Paragraph A(9)(b) of Order No. 517, since PSCO's existing fuel clause is being modified to conform to the Commission's regulations as amended by Order No. 517. PSCO requests an effective date of January 1, 1975, in relation to the rates established in January of 1975 for all sales under Rate Schedule FPC No. 162 for and after the month of February, 1975.

Any person desiring to be heard or to protest said filing should file a petition to intervene or protest with the Federal Power Commission, 825 North Capitol Street, NE., Washington, D.C. 20426, in accordance with §§ 1.8 and 1.10 of the Commission's rules of practice and procedure (18 CFR 1.8, 1.10). All such petitions or protests should be filed on or before December 23, 1974. Protests will be considered by the Commission in determining the appropriate action to be taken, but will not serve to make protestants parties to the proceeding. Any person wishing to become a party must file a petition to intervene. Copies of this filing are on file with the Commission and are available for public inspection.

MARY B. KIDD,
Acting Secretary.

[FR Doc.74-29128 Filed 12-13-74;8:45 am]

[Docket No. ID-1240]

ROBERT O. WHITMAN

Supplemental Application

DECEMBER 5, 1974.

Take notice that on November 19, 1974, Robert O. Whitman (Applicant) filed a supplemental application with the Federal Power Commission. Pursuant to section 305(b) of the Federal Power Act, Applicant seeks authority to hold the following position:

Treasurer & Director, Ohio Electric Co., Public Utility.

Ohio Electric Co., whose principal office is located at 301 Cleveland Avenue, SW., Canton, Ohio 44702, owns and operates the General James M. Gavin Plant at Gallipolis, Ohio which when completed, will have two 1300 megawatt generating units. All of Ohio Electric Company's available electrical energy is sold to Ohio Power Co., of which it is a wholly owned subsidiary.

Any person desiring to be heard or to make any protest with reference to said application should on or before December 30, 1974, file with the Federal Power Commission, Washington, D.C., 20426, petitions to intervene or protests in accordance with the Commission's rules of practice and procedure (18 CFR 1.8 or 1.10). All protests filed with the Commission will be considered by it in determining the appropriate action to be taken but will not serve to make the protestants parties to the proceeding. Persons wishing to become parties to a proceeding or to participate as a party in any hearing therein must file petitions to intervene in accordance with the Commission's Rules. The application is on file with the Commission and available for public inspection.

MARY B. KIDD,
Acting Secretary.

[FR Doc.74-29123 Filed 12-13-74;8:45 am]

[Project No. 120]

SOUTHERN CALIFORNIA EDISON CO.

Application for Amendment to Application for New License (Major)

NOVEMBER 25, 1974.

Public notice is hereby given that application was filed on October 9, 1974,

under the Federal Power Act (16 USC 791a–825r) by Southern California Edison Co., Applicant (correspondence to: Mr. Robert P. O'Brien, Vice President, Southern California Edison Co., P.O. Box 351, Los Angeles, California, 90053; and Mr. John R. Bury, Assistant General Counsel, Southern California Edison Co., P.O. Box 800, Rosemead, California, 91770), for amendment to the application for new major license for its constructed Big Creek No. 3 Project No. 120. The project is located on the San Joaquin River in Fresno, Madera, Tulare, Kern and Los Angeles Counties in the vicinity of Madera, Fresno, Visalia, Bakersfield and Los Angeles, California and affects lands of the United States, among them lands within Sierra, Sequoia and Angeles National Forests and Indian tribal lands.

The Big Creek No. 3 Project presently consists of: (1) Dam No. 6: a 495-foot long 155-foot maximum height dam with a 308-foot long concrete spillway structure with crest elevation at 2,230 feet (U.S.G.S.); (2) Dam No. 6 Reservoir with gross storage capacity of 1,065 acre-feet and with a surface area of 23.2 acres at 2,230 feet (U.S.G.S.) elevation; (3) a 21-foot wide and 21-foot high, 28,191-foot long unlined diversion tunnel to (4) four 1,386-foot long steel penstocks to (5) Big Creek Powerhouse No. 3 containing 6 generating units, three of 25,000 kw, one of 31,500 kw capacity, and two of 600 kw capacity operating under a gross head of 827 feet; (6) two transformer banks, one containing three 23,000 kva 12,100/230,000 V. single-phase units; the other, four 25,000 kva 13,100/230,000 V. single-phase units, one of which is a spare; (7) Vincent Transmission Line, which is a three-phase, 220 kv, 225-mile long line from Big Creek Powerhouse No. 3 to Gould Substation; and (8) all other facilities and interests appurtenant to operation of the project.

The proposed amendment would include a fifth generating unit with approximately 35,000 kw capacity at the Big Creek Powerhouse No. 3 site. The present tunnel capacity of approximately 2,600 cfs would be increased to approximately 3,350 cfs by lining the tunnel invert, and a fifth penstock would be installed parallel to the existing penstocks. The intake structure at Dam No. 6 forebay will be modified to permit additional water to be diverted into the tunnel with no modification to the existing dam.

The additions to the present Big Creek No. 3 Project would consist of: (1) Tunnel No. 3 invert lined to increase its capacity from 2,600 cfs to 3,350 cfs; (2) a fifth penstock constructed of welded steel pipe varying in diameter from 84 to 72 inches and consisting of 50-foot sections connected by couplings, and equipped with an 84-inch butterfly valve at the manifold at the head of the penstock and a 66-by-57-inch butterfly control valve at the lower end of the penstock; (3) a 40-by-60-foot open deck construction powerhouse addition to the east end of the existing powerhouse; (4) Unit No. 5 consisting of a 36,800 kva, 35,000 kw, 3-phase 60 Hz generator direct-connected to a 47,000 hp, 450 rpm turbine which would operate at a maximum static head of 827 feet; and (5) a 40,000 kva, 13,800/230,000 V., 3-phase, 60 Hz transformer connected to the existing Transformer Bank Number Two.

Applicant estimates that the cost of the proposed addition will be about $11,670,000.

The capacity and energy provided by the proposed additions are needed to meet the growing requirements of Applicant's customers in the Applicant's service area in central and southern California. Because of increasing fuel prices and limited fuel supplies, the proposed addition would provide an efficient and economical method of meeting those needs.

Any person desiring to be heard or to make protest with reference to said application should on or before January 17, 1975, file with the Federal Power Commission, Washington, D.C. 20426, petitions to intervene or protests in accordance with the requirements of the Commission's rules of practice and procedure (18 CFR 1.8 or 1.10). All protests filed with the Commission will be considered by it in determining the appropriate action to be taken but will not serve to make the protestants parties to a proceeding. Persons wishing to become parties to a proceeding or to participate as a party in any hearing therein must file petitions to intervene in accordance with the Commission's rules. The application is on file with the Commission and available for public inspection.

MARY B. KIDD,
Acting Secretary.

[FR Doc.74–29118 Filed 12–13–74;8:45 am]

[Rate Schedule Nos. 295, etc.]

SUN OIL CO., ET AL.

Rate Change Filings

DECEMBER 6, 1974.

Take notice that the producers listed in the Appendix attached hereto have filed proposed increased rates to the applicable area new gas or national ceiling based on the interpretation of vintaging concepts set forth by the Commission in its Opinion No. 639, issued December 12, 1972, and followed in Opinion No. 699, issued June 21, 1974.

The information relevant to each of these sales is listed in the Appendix.

Any person desiring to be heard or to make any protest with reference to said filing should on or before December 20, 1974, file with the Federal Power Commission, Washington, D.C. 20426, a petition to intervene or a protest in accordance with the requirements of the Commission's rules of practice and procedure (18 CFR 1.8 or 1.10). All protests filed with the Commission will be considered by it in determining the appropriate action to be taken but will not serve to make the protestants parties to the proceeding. Any party wishing to become a party to a proceeding or to participate as a party in any hearing therein must file a petition to intervene in accordance with the Commission's rules.

MARY B. KIDD,
Acting Secretary.

APPENDIX

Filing date	Producer	Rate schedule No.	Buyer	Area
Nov. 18, 1974	Sun Oil Co., Southland Center, P.O. Box 2880, Dallas, Tex. 75221.	295	Michigan Wisconsin Pipe Line Co.	Hugoton Anadarko.
Nov. 20, 1974	Clinton Oil Co., P.O. Box 1201, Wichita, Kans. 67201.	62	Tennessee Gas Pipeline Co.	Texas Gulf Coast.
Do	do	16	do	Do.
Nov. 21, 1974	do	46	do	South Louisiana.
Do	do	106	do	Texas Gulf Coast.
Nov. 25, 1974	Marathon Oil Co., Findlay, Ohio 45840.	67	Natural Gas Pipeline Co. of America.	Do.
Do	do	68	do	Do.

[FR Doc.74–29132 Filed 12–13–74;8:45 am]

[Docket No. E–9134]

TOLEDO EDISON CO., ET AL.

Notice of Cancellation of Power Agreement

DECEMBER 5, 1974.

Take notice that on November 22, 1974, Toledo Edison Co., Cleveland Electric Illuminating Co., Duquesne Light Co., Ohio Edison Co. and Pennsylvania Power Co. (Companies) filed an agreement to cancel a Power Agreement among said companies. The rate schedule cancelled by this agreement has been designated as follows:

The Toledo Edison Co., F.P.C. No. 23.
Ohio Edison Co., F.P.C. No. 97.

The Companies state that there are no affected purchasers to serve other than those named above.

Any person desiring to be heard or to protest said filing should file a petition to intervene or protest with the Federal Power Commission, 825 North Capitol Street, NE., Washington, D.C. 20426, in accordance with §§ 1.8 and 1.10 of the Commission's rules of practice and procedure (18 CFR 1.8, 1.10). All such petitions or protests should be filed on or before December 18, 1974. Protests will be considered by the Commission in determining the appropriate action to be taken, but will not serve to make protestants parties to the proceeding. Any person wishing to become a party must file a petition to intervene. Copies of this filing are on file with the Commission and are available for public inspection.

MARY B. KIDD,
Acting Secretary.

[FR Doc.74–29137 Filed 12–13–74;8:45 am]

[Docket No. E-9145]

UTAH POWER AND LIGHT CO.

Filing of Tariff Change

DECEMBER 5, 1974.

Take notice that on November 29, 1974 Utah Power and Light Co. (Utah) tendered for filing the following revisions to its FPC Electric Tariff, Original Volume No. 1, Resale Service Rates:

(1) Thirteenth Revised Sheet No. 1, Utah Power and Light Co. FPC Electric Tariff, Original Volume No. 1, Resale Service Rates, which when effective will cancel and supersede Twelfth Revised Sheet No. 1, Utah Power and Light Co. FPC Electric Tariff, Original Volume No. 1, Resale Service Rates.

(2) Second Revised Sheet No. 4, Utah Power and Light Co. FPC Electric Tariff, Original Volume No. 1, Resale Service Rates, which when effective will cancel and supersede First Revised Sheet No. 4, Utah Power and Light Co. FPC Electric Tariff, Original Volume No. 1, Resale Service Rates.

(3) Second Revised Sheet No. 5, Utah Power and Light Co. FPC Electric Tariff, Original Volume No. 1, Resale Service Rates, which when effective will cancel and supersede First Revised Sheet No. 5, Utah Power and Light Co. FPC Electric Tariff, Original Volume No. 1, Resale Service Rates.

(4) First Revised Sheet No. 5A, Utah Power and Light Co. FPC Electric Tariff, Original Volume No. 1, Resale Service Rates, which when effective will cancel and supersede Original Sheet No. 5A, Utah Power and Light Co. FPC Electric Tariff, Original Volume No. 1, Resale Service Rates.

Utah alleges that the increased rates are necessary to offset steeply rising costs in all categories, particularly in the cost of fuel, labor, materials, and capital. Utah further alleges that the proposed rates are designed to recoup only fuel cost increases already encountered, adding that the proposed fuel clause is expected to recover future fuel increases.

Utah states that copies of the filing have been served upon its FPC tariff customers as well as the regulatory commissions of the states of Utah, Idaho, and Wyoming.

Any person desiring to be heard or to protest said filing should file a petition to intervene or protest with the Federal Power Commission, 825 North Capitol Street, NE., Washington, D.C. 20426, in accordance with §§ 1.8 and 1.10 of the Commission's rules of practice and procedure (18 CFR 1.8, 1.10). All such petitions or protests should be filed on or before December 20, 1974. Protests will be considered by the Commission in determining the appropriate action to be taken, but will not serve to make protestants parties to the proceeding. Any person wishing to become a party must file a petition to intervene. Copies of this filing are on file with the Commission and are available for public inspection.

MARY B. KIDD,
Acting Secretary.

[FR Doc.74-29126 Filed 12-13-74;8:45 am]

[Docket No. E-9147]

VIRGINIA ELECTRIC AND POWER CO.

Proposed Changes in Rates and Charges and Filing of Initial Rate Schedule and Contract Supplement for Excess Facilities Service

DECEMBER 5, 1974.

Take notice that Virginia Electric and Power Co. (Vepco), on December 2, 1974, tendered for filing proposed changes in its electric resale rate schedules applicable to REA Cooperatives and its FPC Electric Service Tariff, Original Volumes Nos. 1 and 2, which applies to Resale Municipalities and Private Utilities. Also, on the same date, Vepco tendered for filing (1) an initial rate schedule for REA Cooperatives providing for Excess Facilities Service, (2) a revision of Article 15, Excess Facilities, in its FPC Electric Tariff, Original Volume No. 1 and (3) a contract supplement for Prince William Electric Cooperative to provide service to that Cooperative under the terms of the proposed Excess Facilities Schedule. Based on the test period (calendar year 1975) conditions, Vepco estimates that the proposed change in resale rates will increase annual revenues from Cooperatives by $12.6 million, or 30.02 percent, and from Municipal customers by $8.1 million, or 27.23 percent. The proposed effective date for the increased rates is January 1, 1975.

Vepco states that the resale rate increases are needed to compensate the Company for substantially increased costs of labor, material, fuel and especially capital.

The proposed Excess Facilities Schedule for Cooperatives and the revision of Article 15 applicable to Municipals specifies two separate monthly charges: (1) A monthly charge of 1.79 percent of the estimated installed cost of excess distribution (rates below 69 KV) facilities and (2) a monthly charge of 1.60 percent of the estimated installed cost of excess transmission (rates at or above 69 KV) facilities. The contract supplement for Prince William Electric Cooperative provides for relay service under the proposed Excess Facilities Schedule for Cooperatives. Vepco also requests that these rate schedules be effective January 1, 1975.

Copies of the filing were served upon representatives of all of Vepco's jurisdictional customers, the Virginia State Corporation Commission and the North Carolina Utilities Commission.

Any person desiring to be heard or to protest said applicant should file a petition to intervene or protest with the Federal Power Commission, 825 North Capitol Street, NE, Washington, D.C. 20426, in accordance with §§ 1.8 and 1.10 of the Commission's rules of practice and procedure (18 CFR 1.8, 1.10). All such petitions or protests should be filed on or before December 23, 1974. Protests will be considered by the Commission in determining the appropriate action to be taken, but will not serve to make protestants parties to the proceeding. Any person wishing to become a party must file a petition to intervene. Copies of this application are on file with the Commission and are available for public inspection.

MARY B. KIDD,
Acting Secretary.

[FR Doc. 74-29125 Filed 12-13-74;8:45 am]

[Docket No. E-9143]

WEST TEXAS UTILITIES CO.

Changes in Rates and Charges

DECEMBER 5, 1974.

Take notice that West Texas Utilities Co. (West Texas) on November 29, 1974, tendered for filing proposed changes to supersede its FPC Rate Schedule No. 11, the rate schedule applicable for service to Gate City Electric Cooperative, Inc. (Gate City). The proposed changes would increase revenues from jurisdictional sales and service by $14,413 annually, based on the 12-month period ending June 30, 1974.

West Texas states that this rate adjustment was necessitated by the inflation experienced in all aspects of the Company's business in the last few years, the increased cost of borrowed money, and added environmental costs built into all new plant construction. West Texas states further that this filing follows the company's first rate increase in its fifty year history.

According to West Texas, the proposed rate schedule has been furnished to Gate City at Post Office Box 69, Childress, Texas 79200.

West Texas has requested an effective date of January 1, 1975, for the proposed rate schedule.

Any person desiring to be heard or to protest said application should file a petition to intervene or protest with the Federal Power Commission, 825 North Capitol Street, NE., Washington, D.C. 20426, in accordance with §§ 1.8 and 1.10 of the Commission's rules of practice and procedure (18 CFR 1.8, 1.10). All such petitions or protests should be filed on or before December 17, 1974. Protests will be considered by the Commission in determining the appropriate action to be taken, but will not serve to make protestants parties to the proceeding. Any person wishing to become a party must file a petition to intervene. Copies of this application are on file with the Commission and are available for public inspection.

MARY B. KIDD,
Acting Secretary.

[FR Doc.74-29127 Filed 12-13-74;8:45 am]

[Project No. 2522]

WISCONSIN PUBLIC SERVICE CORP.

Application for Amendment of License

DECEMBER 6, 1974.

Public notice is hereby given that application has been filed on May 1, 1972 under the Federal Power Act (16 U.S.C. 791a-825r) by the Wisconsin Public Service Corporation, P.O. Box 700, Green Bay, Wisconsin 54305 (correspondence

to: C. A. McKenna, Secretary, Wisconsin Public Service Corp., 1029 North Marshall Street, Milwaukee, Wisconsin 53201) for Amendment of License (Exhibits J, K and R) for constructed Project No. 2522, known as the Johnson Falls Hydroelectric Project, located on the Peshtige River, in the town of Stephenson, vicinity of Crivitz, Marinette County, Wisconsin. The Project affects interstate commerce.

The applicant seeks approval of revised Exhibits J, K and R (text and map). The steepness of the shoreline has prevented the normal development and utilization of project lands and waters for recreational purposes. In cooperation with the Wisconsin Department of Natural Resources, a "Fly Fishing Only" strip would be developed south of the plant.

The revised exhibits reflect the following additional changes:

(1) "Undeveloped" boat landing site and two public fishing areas have been redesignated "Public Hunting Area", (2) adjustment to the alignment of the access road to the project; and (3) deletion of reference to public hunting areas on non-project lands (now a part of Licensed Project No. 2546—Sandstone Rapids).

Any person desiring to be heard or to make protest with reference to said application should on or before January 20, 1975, file with the Federal Power Commission, Washington, D.C. 20426, petitions to intervene or protests in accordance with the requirements of the Commission's rules of practice and procedure (18 CFR 1.8 or 1.10). All protests filed with the Commission will be considered by it in determining the appropriate action to be taken but will not serve to make the protestants parties to a proceeding. Persons wishing to become parties to a proceeding or to participate as a party in any hearing therein must file petitions to intervene in accordance with the Commission's Rules. The application is on file with the Commission and available for public inspection.

MARY B. KIDD,
Acting Secretary.

[FR Doc.74-29133 Filed 12-13-74;8:45 am]

GENERAL ACCOUNTING OFFICE

REGULATORY REPORTS REVIEW

Receipt of Report Proposals

The following requests for clearance of reports intended for use in collecting information from the public were received by the Regulatory Reports Review Staff, GAO, on December 9, 1974. (See 44 U.S.C. 3512 (c) and (d).) The purpose of publishing this list in the FEDERAL REGISTER is to inform the public of such receipt.

The list includes the title of each request received; the name of the agency sponsoring the proposed collection of information; the agency form number, if applicable; and the frequency with which the information is proposed to be collected.

Further information about the items on this list may be obtained from the Regulatory Reports Review Officer, 202-376-5425.

FEDERAL ENERGY ADMINISTRATION

Request for clearance of monthly FEA Form P103-M-0 entitled Old Oil Entitlements Program, Entitlements Transaction Report. This new report is to be filed by approximately 211 refiners and importers eligible to receive old oil entitlements. Respondent burden is estimated to be 6 man-hours per monthly report.

NORMAN F. HEYL,
Regulatory Reports Review Officer.

[FR Doc.74-29227 Filed 12-13-74;8:45 am]

NATIONAL ENDOWMENT FOR THE HUMANITIES

ADVISORY COMMITTEE FELLOWSHIPS PANEL

Meetings

DECEMBER 11, 1974.

Pursuant to the provisions of the Federal Advisory Committee Act (Pub. L. 92-463) notice is hereby given that meetings of the Fellowships Panel will be held at Washington, D.C. on December 28, 1974. The short notice for this panel meeting is due to the fact that we have learned that a meeting of the American Philosophical Association is scheduled for the week of December 23, and we plan to make our meeting coincide with that of the A.P.A.

The purpose of the meetings is to evaluate prospective seminar directors for the program of Professions Seminars to be supported by the National Endowment for the Humanities in 1975.

Because the panels will discuss sensitive, personal data regarding persons nominated as seminar directors, pursuant to authority granted me by the Chairman's Delegation of Authority to Close Advisory Committee Meetings, dated August 13, 1973, I have determined that the meetings would fall within exemptions (4) and (6) of 5 U.S.C. 552(b) and that it is essential to close the meetings to protect the free exchange of internal views and to avoid interference with operation of the Committee.

It is suggested that those desiring more specific information contact the Advisory Committee Management Officer, Mr. John W. Jordan, 806 15th Street, NW., Washington, D.C., 20506, or call area code 202-382-2031.

JOHN W. JORDAN,
Advisory Committee Management Officer.

[FR Doc.74-29234 Filed 12-13-74;8:45 am]

ADVISORY COMMITTEE FELLOWSHIPS PANEL

Meetings

DECEMBER 10, 1974.

Pursuant to the provisions of the Federal Advisory Committee Act (Pub. L. 92-463) notice is hereby given that meetings of the Fellowships Panel will be held at Washington, D.C. on January 6, 8, and 10, 1975.

The purpose of the meetings is to evaluate prospective seminar directors for the program of Professions Seminars to be supported by the National Endowment for the Humanities in 1975.

Because the panels will discuss sensitive, personal data regarding persons nominated as seminar directors, pursuant to authority granted me by the Chairman's Delegation of Authority to Close Advisory Committee Meetings, dated August 13, 1973, I have determined that the meetings would fall within exemptions (4) and (6) of 5 U.S.C. 552(b) and that it is essential to close the meetings to protect the free exchange of internal views and to avoid interference with operation of the Committee.

It is suggested that those desiring more specific information contact the Advisory Committee Management Officer, Mr. John W. Jordan, 806 15th Street, NW., Washington, D.C. 20506, or call area code 202-382-2031.

JOHN W. JORDAN,
Advisory Committee Management Officer.

[FR Doc.74-29235 Filed 12-13-74;8:45 am]

OFFICE OF MANAGEMENT AND BUDGET

ADVISORY COMMITTEE ON GNP DATA IMPROVEMENT

Notice of Public Meeting

Pursuant to Pub. L. 92-463, notice is hereby given of a meeting of the Advisory Committee on GNP Data Improvement to be held in Room 10103, New Executive Office Building, 726 Jackson Place, NW, Washington, D.C. on Thursday, January 2, 1975 at 9:45 a.m.

The purpose of the meeting is to review progress and to discuss improvements of annual and quarterly estimates of inventories.

The meeting will be open to public observation and participation. Anyone wishing to participate should contact the GNP Data Improvement Project, Statistical Policy Division, Room 10222, New Executive Office Building, Washington, D.C. 20503, telephone (202) 395-3793.

VELMA N. BALDWIN,
Assistant to the Director for Administration.

[FR Doc.74-29165 Filed 12-13-74;8:45 am]

CLEARANCE OF REPORTS

List of Requests

The following is a list of requests for clearance of reports intended for use in collecting information from the public received by the Office of Management and Budget on 12/11/74 (44 USC 3509). The purpose of publishing this list in the FEDERAL REGISTER is to inform the public.

The list includes the title of each request received; the name of the agency

sponsoring the proposed collection of information; the agency form number(s), if applicable; the frequency with which the information is proposed to be collected; the name of the reviewer or reviewing division within OMB, and an indication of who will be the respondents to the proposed collection.

The symbol (X) identifies proposals which appear to raise no significant issues, and are to be approved after brief notice thru this release.

Further information about the items on this daily list may be obtained from the Clearance Office, Office of Management and Budget, Washington, D.C. 20503 (202–395–4529), or from the reviewer listed.

NEW FORMS

AGENCY FOR INTERNATIONAL DEVELOPMENT

Contract Participant's Biographical Data, AID1380–2B, on occasion, contractors, Lowry, R. L., 395–3772.

VETERANS ADMINISTRATION

Survey of Employment Following Training in Vocational Courses, A, B, C, annually, students, State agencies, Strasser, A., 395–3880.

DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH, EDUCATION AND WELFARE

National Center for Education Statistics: NCES/SSA, Nonpublic School Match Project, OE 2377–1, 2377–2, 2377–3, 2377–4, single-time, nonpublic schools, Planchon, P., 395–3898.

DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE

Departmental and Other Subgrant Data for LEAA Management Information System, LEAA4310/1, on occasion, State criminal justice planning agencies, Caywood, D. P., 395–3443.

EXECUTIVE OFFICE OF THE PRESIDENT

Office of Management and Budget: Survey of Small Business Reporting to Federal, State and Local Governments, none, single-time, small businessmen, Lowry, R. L., 395–3772.

EXTENSIONS

SMITHSONIAN INSTITUTION

Museum Questionnaire; Visitor Questionnaire, SI 2976, on occasion, museum visitors, Evinger, S. K., 395–3648.

PHILLIP D. LARSEN,
Budget and Management Officer.

[FR Doc.74–29324 Filed 12–13–74;8:45 am]

SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION

AMERICAN STOCK EXCHANGE, INC., AND CHICAGO BOARD OPTIONS EXCHANGE, INC.

Proposed Participant Exchange Agreement for a Common Clearing Corporation

Notice is hereby given that the American Stock Exchange, Inc. (Amex) and the Chicago Board Options Exchange, Inc. (CBOE) have each filed pursuant to Rule 9b–1 under the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 (17 CFR 240.9b–1) a proposed participant exchange agreement in the Options Clearing Corp. (OCC).

Agreement among the Amex, the CBOE, and other options exchanges which may become stockholders in the OCC provides, among other things, that OCC will have the obligation to register options under the Securities Act of 1933 and under state "blue sky" laws and to bear the cost of such registrations. The agreement provides also that (i) subject to specified conditions, the exchanges will select the underlying securities to be the subject of options trading and (ii) the exchanges will maintain public reference files containing financial information and price information concerning underlying securities. In addition, the agreement provides that OCC will accept all matched options transactions for which it has received premiums due and, upon such acceptance, OCC will assume the obligations set forth in the OCC by-laws and rules.

The proposed participant exchange agreement will become effective upon the 30th day after this notice appears in the FEDERAL REGISTER, or upon such earlier date as the Commission may allow unless the Commission shall disapprove the changes in whole or in part as being inconsistent with the public interest or the protection of investors.

All interested persons are invited to submit their views and comments on the proposed participant exchange agreement either before or after it has become effective. Written statements of views and comments should be addressed to the Secretary, Securities and Exchange Commission, 500 North Capitol Street, Washington, D.C. 20549. Reference should be made to file number 10–54. All amendments that have been made to the Amex's plans have been placed in their respective option files under file number S7–505. The proposed agreement is, and all such comments will be, available for public inspection at the Public Reference Room of the Securities and Exchange Commission at 1100 L Street, NW., Washington, D.C.

[SEAL] GEORGE A. FITZSIMMONS,
Secretary.

DECEMBER 6, 1974.

[FR Doc.74–29144 Filed 12–13–74;8:45 am]

[File No. 500–1]

BIO-MEDICAL SCIENCES, INC.

Suspension of Trading

DECEMBER 9, 1974.

It appearing to the Securities and Exchange Commission that the summary suspension of trading in the common stock of Bio-Medical Sciences, Inc. being traded otherwise than on a national securities exchange is required in the public interest and for the protection of investors;

Therefore, pursuant to section 15(c)(5) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, trading in such securities otherwise than on a national securities exchange is suspended, for the period from December 10, 1974 through December 19, 1974.

By the Commission.

[SEAL] GEORGE A. FITZSIMMONS,
Secretary.

[FR Doc.74–29138 Filed 12–13–74;8:45 am]

[File No. 500–1]

CONTINENTAL VENDING MACHINE CORP.

Suspension of Trading

DECEMBER 10, 1974.

It appearing to the Securities and Exchange Commission that the summary suspension of trading in the common stock of Continental Vending Machine Corporation being traded otherwise than on a national securities exchange is required in the public interest and for the protection of investors;

Therefore, pursuant to section 15(c)(5) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, trading in such securities otherwise than on a national securities exchange is suspended, for the period from December 11, 1974 through December 20, 1974.

By the Commission.

[SEAL] GEORGE A. FITZSIMMONS,
Secretary.

[FR Doc.74–29139 Filed 12–13–74;8:45 am]

[Rel. No. 18699; 70–5584]

EASTERN UTILITIES ASSOCIATES, ET AL.

Proposed Issue and Sale of Notes by Holding Company and Subsidiary Companies to Banks; Loans and Open Account Advances by Holding Company to Subsidiary Companies; Loans Between Subsidiary Companies

DECEMBER 6, 1974.

In the matter of Eastern Utilities Associates, P.O. Box 2333, Boston, Massachusetts, 02107; Blackstone Valley Electric Co., P.O. Box 1111, Lincoln, Rhode Island, 02865; Brockton Edison Co., 36 Main Street, Brockton, Massachusetts, 02403; Fall River Electric Light Co., 85 North Main Street, Fall River, Massachusetts, 02722; Montaup Electric Co., P.O. Box 391, Fall River, Massachusetts, 02722.

Notice is hereby given that Eastern Utilities Associates ("EUA"), a registered holding company, and its four electric utility subsidiary companies, Blackstone Valley Electric Company ("Blackstone"), Brockton Edison Company ("Brockton"), Fall River Electric Light Company ("Fall River") and Montaup Electric Company ("Montaup") have filed a declaration with this Commission pursuant to the Public Utility Holding Company Act of 1935 ("Act"), designating sections 6(a), 7, 12(b), 12(c), and 12(f) of the Act and Rule 45(a) promulgated thereunder as applicable to the proposed transactions. All interested persons are referred to the declaration, which is summarized below, for a complete statement of the proposed transactions.

During the period ending December 30, 1975, EUA, Blackstone, Brockton, Fall River, and Montaup propose to issue and sell short-term, unsecured promissory notes to banks, and in the cases of Blackstone, Brockton, and Fall River to also receive short-term loans from EUA and open-account advances from Brockton, in the maximum aggregate amounts to be outstanding at any one time as shown below:

Company	From banks	Inter-company	Aggregate banks and inter-company
EUA	$70,700,000		$70,700,000
Blackstone	3,400,000	$27,250,000	30,650,000
Brockton	7,575,000	38,650,000	46,225,000
Fall River	5,650,000	2,300,000	7,950,000
Montaup	54,400,000	7,700,000	62,100,000

The notes to banks will be dated as of the date of issuance, will mature no later than December 30, 1975, and will be prepayable in whole or in part without penalty. It is represented that some of the lending banks will require compensating balances and that others will not. With respect to notes for which compensating balances are required, the notes will bear interest at not in excess of the prime or base rate charged by the lending bank on the date of issuance plus ¼ of 1 percent multiplied by 107 percent. Assuming a 15 percent compensating balance and a prime or base rate of 10 percent per annum, the maximum effective interest cost on such notes would be 12.96 percent per annum. Notes as to which no compensating balances are required will bear interest not in excess of the bank's prime or base rate plus ¼ of 1 percent together with an assumed compensating balance requirement of 20 percent. The effective interest rate applicable to such notes would be 12.81 percent, assuming a prime or base rate of 10 percent.

The advances by EUA to Blackstone and Brockton will be subordinated to the rights of the preferred stockholders of Blackstone and Brockton, respectively, to receive dividends and liquidation payments if, and so long as, (a) preferred stock dividends are in arrears (or in the event of liquidation, the liquidation rights of preferred stockholders have not been satisfied) and (b) the sum of the advances from EUA, the notes payable to banks and all other securities representing unsecured debt, maturing in less than 10 years, exceeds 10 percent of the company's secured debt, capital stocks, premium, and surplus. The advances by EUA and the advance by Brockton to Montaup will bear interest payable on April 1, 1975, July 1, 1975, October 1, 1975 and December 30, 1975, at the prime or base rate in effect at The First National Bank of Boston or The Chase Manhattan Bank, N.A. ("Chase") (depending on the source of the funds being advanced) on those respective dates. To the extent advances have been made hereunder from the proceeds of issuance by EUA of its five-year unsecured promissory notes to Chase (Holding Company Act Release No. 17085), such advances shall bear interest payable at the rate incurred by EUA on the five-year note. Since Blackstone, Brockton, and Fall River are to maintain a 15 percent compensating balance with Chase with regard to EUA's borrowings under said note, the effective interest cost for the open account advances from the note proceeds would be 12.941 percent per annum, assuming a prime or base rate of 10 percent.

It is stated that the proceeds from the proposed notes and advances will be used to meet cash requirements for construction, to provide funds for investment in subsidiaries and for compensating balances with lending banks through December 30, 1975, and to pay outstanding short-term loans. On December 31, 1974, Blackstone, Brockton, Fall River and Montaup expect to have outstanding short-term loans of $30,000,000 (including $26,600,000 advance from EUA to Blackstone), $14,875,000 (including $7,300,000 advance from EUA to Brockton), $7,850,000 (including $2,300,000 advance from EUA to Fall River) and $39,250,000 (including $6,350,000 loan from EUA), respectively. On December 31, 1974, EUA expects to have outstanding short-term loans of $44,800,000.

Blackstone, Brockton, Fall River or Montaup may prepay its notes to banks, in whole or in part, by the use of an advance or loan from EUA, or may repay an advance or loan from EUA with the proceeds of notes issued to banks. If the interest rate on a note issued to a bank for the purpose of obtaining funds to repay an advance or loan from EUA shall exceed the rate on the advance or loan being repaid, EUA shall reimburse or credit Blackstone, Brockton, Fall River or Montaup, as the case may be, for the added interest required for the term of the note so issued.

In the event of any permanent financing by any of the borrowing companies (with the exception of permanent financing by EUA the proceeds of which are applied to the payment or prepayment of its five-year note), the net cash proceeds therefrom will be applied to the reduction or payment of its short-term note indebtedness or advances from EUA then outstanding, and the maximum amount of short-term note indebtedness and advances to be outstanding at any one time, as proposed herein, will within not more than two months thereafter be reduced by the amount of the net cash proceeds of such permanent financing.

The declaration states that no state commission and no federal commission, other than this Commission, has jurisdiction over the proposed transactions. The fees and expenses to be incurred in connection with the proposed transactions are to be supplied by amendment.

Notice is further given that any interested person may, not later than December 30, 1974, request in writing that a hearing be held on such matter, stating the nature of his interest, the reasons for such request, and the issues of fact or law raised by said declaration which he desires to controvert; or he may request that he be notified if the Commission should order a hearing thereon. Any such request should be addressed: Secretary, Securities and Exchange Commission, Washington, D.C. 20549. A copy of such request should be served personally or by mail (air mail if the person being served is located more than 500 miles from the point of mailing) upon the declarants at the above-stated addresses, and proof of service (by affidavit or, in case of an attorney-at-law, by certificate) should be filed with the request. At any time after said date, the declaration, as filed or as it may be amended, may be granted as provided in Rule 23 of the general rules and regulations promulgated under the Act or the Commission may grant exemption from such rules as provided in rules 20(a) and 100 thereof or take such other action as it may deem appropriate. Persons who request a hearing or advice as to whether a hearing is ordered will receive any notices and orders issued in this matter, including the date of the hearing (if ordered) and any postponements thereof.

For the Commission, by the Division of Corporate Regulation, pursuant to delegated authority.

[SEAL] GEORGE A. FITZSIMMONS,
Secretary.

[FR Doc.74-29140 Filed 12-13-74;8:45 am]

[File No. 500-1]

OSTERLOH & DURHAM INSURANCE BROKERS OF NORTH AMERICA, INC.

Suspension of Trading

DECEMBER 10, 1974.

It appearing to the Securities and Exchange Commission that the summary suspension of trading in the common stock of Osterloh & Durham Insurance Brokers of North America, Inc. being traded otherwise than on a national securities exchange is required in the public interest and for the protection of investors;

Therefore, pursuant to section 15(c)(5) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, trading in such securities otherwise than on a national securities exchange is suspended, for the period from 9:30 a.m. (e.s.t.) on December 10, 1974 through midnight (e.s.t.) on December 19, 1974.

By the Commission.

GEORGE A. FITZSIMMONS,
Secretary.

[FR Doc.74-29111 Filed 12-13-74;8:45 am]

[Rel. No. 8610; 811-1780]

SUMMIT CAPITAL FUND, INC.

Filing of Application for an Order Declaring That Company Has Ceased To Be an Investment Company

DECEMBER 6, 1974.

Notice is hereby given that Summit Capital Fund, Inc., 1010 Fidelity Union Tower, Dallas, Texas, 75201 ("Applicant"), registered under the Investment Company Act of 1940 ("Act") as a diversified, open-end management investment company, has filed an application pursuant to section 8(f) of the Act for an order of the Commission declaring that applicant has ceased to be an investment company. All interested persons are referred to the application on file with the Commission for a statement

of the representations contained therein, which are summarized below.

Applicant, a Delaware corporation, registered under the Act by filing its Notification of Registration on Form N–8A under the Act on June 17, 1968. Applicant and Selected Special Shares, Inc. ("Special"), also a registered open-end investment company under the Act, entered into an agreement and Plan of Reorganization (the "Agreement") providing for the acquisition of substantially all of the net assets of applicant by Special and the liquidation and subsequent dissolution of applicant. On August 21, 1974, the shareholders of applicant approved the agreement by a majority vote of the outstanding voting securities and the transactions contemplated by the agreement were subsequently consumated on September 19, 1974. Pursuant to the agreement, Special acquired substantially all the assets of applicant in exchange for shares of Special which have been distributed to applicant's shareholders. Applicant presently has no assets other than cash in the amount of approximately $10,000, which has been retained to pay all remaining obligations and expenses of liquidation and dissolution.

Applicant states that it ahs no shareholders and is not engaged in any investment company activities. It is asserted that, subject to final settlement of its affairs pursuant to Delaware law, applicant will dissolve and terminate its existence.

Section 8(f) of the Act provides, in pertinent part, that when the Commission, upon application, finds that a registered investment company, has ceased to be an investment company, it shall so declare by order, and upon the taking effect of such order the registration of such company shall cease to be in effect.

Notice is further given that any interested person may, not later than December 31, 1974, at 5:30 p.m., submit to the Commission in writing a request for a hearing on the matter accompanied by a statement as to the nature of his interest, the reason for such request, and the issues, if any, of fact or law proposed to be controverted, or he may reqeust that he be notified if the Commission shall order a hearing thereon. Any such communication should be addressed: Secretary, Securities and Exchange Commission, Washington, D.C. 20549. A copy o fsuch request shall be served personally or by mail (air mail if the person being served is located more than 500 miles from the point of mailing) upon applicant at the address stated above. Proof of such service (by affidavit, or in case of an attorney-at-law, by certificate) shal be filed contemporaneously with the rerules and regulations promulgated under quest. As provided by Rule 0–5 of the the Act, an order disposing of the application will be issued as of course following December 31, 1974, unless the Commission thereafter orders a hearing upon request or upon the Commission's own motion. Persons who request a hearing, or advice as to whether a hearing is ordered, will receive any notices and orders issued in this matter, including the date of the hearing (if ordered) and any postponements thereof.

For the Commission, by the Division of Investment Management Regulation, pursuant to delegated authority.

GEORGE A. FITZSIMMONS,
Secretary.

[FR Doc.74–29142 Filed 12–13–74;8:45 am]

TARIFF COMMISSION

[337–L–79]

OVERLAPPING DIGITAL MOVEMENTS

Notice of Complaint Received

The United States Tariff Commission hereby gives notice of the receipt on November 14, 1974, of a complaint under section 337 of the Tariff Act of 1930 (19 U.S.C. 1337), filed by General Time Corporation, Mesa, Arizona, alleging unfair acts in the importation and sale of overlapping digital movements and clocks and clock radios incorporating such movements by reason of their being embraced within the claims of U.S. Letters Patent No. 3,200,396. The complainant names Sankyo-Seiki (America), Inc., of 149 Fifth Avenue, New York, New York 10010 and 1300 South Athens Way, Los Angeles, California 90061, as importing and offering for sale the subject products.

In accordance with the provisions of § 203.3 of its rules of practice and procedure (19 CFR 203.3), the Commission has initiated a preliminary inquiry into the issues raised in the complaint for the purpose of determining whether there is good and sufficient reason for a full investigation, and, if so, whether the Commission should recommend to the President the issuance of a temporary exclusion from entry under section 337(f) of the Tariff Act.

A copy of the complaint is available for public inspection at the Office of the Secretary, United States Tariff Commission, 8th and E Streets, NW, Washington, D.C. 20436, and at the New York Office of the Tariff Commission located at 6 World Trade Center.

Information submitted by interested persons which is pertinent to the aforementioned preliminary inquiry will be considered by the Commission if it is received not later than January 27, 1975. Extensions of time for submitting information will not be granted unless good and sufficient cause is shown thereon. Such information should be sent to the Secretary, United States Tariff Commission, 8th and E Streets, NW, Washington, D.C. 20436. A signed original and nineteen (19) true copies of each document must be filed.

By order of the Commission.

Issued: December 11, 1974.

[SEAL] KENNETH R. MASON,
Secretary.

[FR Doc.74–29202 Filed 12–13–74;8:45 am]

VETERANS ADMINISTRATION

NEW CLINICAL SUPPORT FACILITY FOR SEISMIC CORRECTIONS FOR VARIOUS BUILDINGS

Availability of Draft Environmental Impact Statement

Notice is hereby given that a document entitled "Draft Environmental Impact Statement for a New Clinical Support Facility and Seismic Corrections for tration Hospital, Boise, Idaho," dated Various Buildings, Veterans Adminis- October 1974, has been prepared as required by the National Environmental Policy Act of 1969.

The new seismically designed Clinical Support Famility will have three floors and will provide approximately 35,000 net square fet of space. This new facility will provide space for Surgical Suite, Radiology, Pharmacy, Outpatient, Dental, Laboratory and other clinical activities.

The seismic corrections of various buildings will rehabilitate seven eixsting buildings which were found, through an engineering study, to be non-conforming with the "Zone 2 Structural Design Standards of the Earthquake Regulations of the 1970 Uniform Building Code as modified." This corrective work will vary from building to building but will include the strengthening of foundations, floors, frames, roofs and will stabilize interior partitions.

This study also determined the most feasible methods in which this work could be accomplished and retain existing architectural features as requested by the Idaho Historical Society.

This draft statement discusses the environmental impact of the New Clinical Support Facility and Seismic Corrections for Various Buildings. The document is being placed for public examination in the Veterans Administration office in Washington, D.C. Persons wishing to examine a copy of the document may do so at the following office:

Mr. Arthur W. Farmer, Assistant Chief Medical Director for Administration (13), Room 600, Veterans Administration, 810 Vermont Avenue, NW, Washington, D.C. 20420.

Single copies of the draft statement may be obtained on request to the above office.

Dated: December 9, 1974.

[SEAL] R. L. ROUDEBUSH,
Administrator.

[FR Doc.74–29201 Filed 12–13–74;8:45 am]

INTERSTATE COMMERCE COMMISSION

[Notice No. 654]

ASSIGNMENT OF HEARINGS

DECEMBER 11, 1974.

Cases assigned for hearing, postponement, cancellation or oral argument appear below and will be published only once. This list contains prospective assignments only and does not include

cases previously assigned hearing dates. The hearings will be on the issues as presently reflected in the Official Docket of the Commission. An attempt will be made to publish notices of cancellation of hearings as promptly as possible, but interested parties should take appropriate steps to insure that they are notified of cancellation or postponements of hearings in which they are interested. No amendments will be entertained after the date of this publication.

MC 32882 Sub 67, Mitchell Bros. Truck Line, MC 95876 Sub 140, Anderson Trucking Service, Inc., MC 107456 Sub 20, Harry L. Young and Sons, Inc., MC 108119 Sub 40, E. L. Murphy Trucking Co., MC 113855 Sub 258, International Transport, Inc., MC 123407 Sub 134, Sawyer Transport, Inc., MC 123681 Sub 24, Widing Transportation, Inc., MC 125433 Sub 36, F-B Truck Line Company and MC 127242 Sub 3, Houston Truck Lines, Inc., now assigned January 20, 1975, at San Francisco, Calif., will be held in Room 503, U.S. Customs House, 555 Battery St. MC-F-12249, Briggs Transportation Co.—Purchase—Burlington Chicago Cartage Inc., now assigned January 14, 1975, at Chicago, Ill. will be held at the LaSalle Hotel, 10 North LaSalle St.

MC 115601 Sub 23 Brooks Armored Car Service Inc., now being assigned January 27, 1975 (1 day), in Room 3240 William J. Greene, Jr., Federal Bldg., 600 Arch St., Philadelphia, Pa.

MC-12234, Century Express Ltd.—Purchase—Lansdale Transportation Co., Inc., now being assigned January 29, 1975 (3 days), in Room 3240 William J. Greene, Jr. Federal Bldg., 600 Arch St., Philadelphia, Pa.

MC-C-8448, Main Line Hauling Co., Inc.—Purchase—Investigation and Revocation of Certificates, now assigned January 14, 1975 at St. Louis, Mo. will be held in Courtroom No. 2, 5th Floor, U.S. Courthouse, 1114 Market St.

MC 119738 Sub 3, Haggard Hauling, Inc., now assigned January 15, 1975 at St. Louis, Mo. will be held in Courtroom No. 2, 5th Floor, U.S. Courthouse, 114 Market St.

MC 41406 Sub 38, Artim Transportation System, Inc., now assigned January 16, 1975 at St. Louis, Mo. will be held in Courtroom No. 2, 5th Floor, U.S. Courthouse, 1114 Market St.

MC-F-12149, Glennon Transports Inc.—Purchase—J. W. Transfer Inc., now assigned January 20, 1975, at St. Louis, Mo. will be held in Courtroom No. 2, 5th Floor, 1114 Market St.

MC-C-8450, Peninsular Meat Co., Inc., C. W. Mitchell, C. W. Mitchell, Inc., and Benefield Brothers, Inc.—Investigation of Operations and Practices, now being assigned February 4, 1975 (2 days) at Jacksonville, Fla., in a hearing room to be later designated.

MC 106644 Sub 177, Superior Trucking Company, Inc., now being assigned February 6, 1975 (2 days) at Jacksonville, Fla., in a hearing room to be later designated.

MC-F-12246, Ryder Truck Lines. Inc.—Purchase (Portion)—Alterman Transport Lines, Inc., now being assigned February 10, 1975 (1 week) at Jacksonville, Fla., in a hearing room to be later designated.

[SEAL] JOSEPH M. HARRINGTON,
Acting Secretary.

[FR Doc.74-29225 Filed 12-13-74;8:45 am]

[5th Rev. SO No. 1043; Modification 1; Amdt. 1]

THE CHICAGO, ROCK ISLAND AND PACIFIC RAILROAD CO.

Car Service Exemption

It appearing, That the movement of sugar beets from stations on the lines of the Chicago, Rock Island and Pacific Railroad Company (RI) in the state of Colorado is diminishing; that the RI is now able to furnish sufficient system cars from its own fleet to transport the remaining portion of the beet crop; that The Denver and Rio Grande Western Railroad Company (DRGW) has regular shipments of coal from stations on its lines to destinations east of the Missouri River, including Omaha, Nebraska, and Kansas City, Kansas; that use of these cars to transport such shipments of coal will result in their return to owners with a minimum of empty car mileage and loss of utilization.

It is ordered, That, pursuant to the authority vested in me by section (b) of Fifth Revised Service Order No. 1043, the RI be, and it is hereby authorized to deliver empty to the DRGW upon receipt of orders from that line, and the DRGW is authorized to accept and use for loading with coal to destinations east of the Missouri River, including Omaha, Nebraska, and Kansas City, Kansas, any or all of the hoppers which it was authorized to use for the transportation of sugar beets under the provisions of this exemption; and,

It is further ordered, That, when empty such cars shall be returned to the owner empty in the manner prescribed by Car Service Rules 1 or 2.

Effective 12:01 a.m., December 3, 1974.
Expires 11:59 p.m., December 31, 1974.

Issued at Washington, D.C., December 3, 1974.

[SEAL] R. D. PFAHLER,
Director, Bureau of Operations.

[FR Doc.74-29223 Filed 12-13-74;8:45 am]

[Rev. S.O. No. 1171; Modification 1; Amdt. 1]

THE CHICAGO, ROCK ISLAND AND PACIFIC RAILROAD CO.

Car Service Exemption

It appearing, That the movement of sugar beets from stations on the lines of the Chicago, Rock Island and Pacific Railroad Company (RI) in the State of Colorado is diminishing; that the RI is now able to furnish sufficient system cars from its own fleet to transport the remaining portion of the beet crop; that The Denver and Rio Grande Western Railroad Company (DRGW) has regular shipments of coal from stations on its lines to destinations east of the Missouri River, including Omaha, Nebraska and Kansas City, Kansas; that use of these cars to transport such shipments of coal will result in their return to owners with a minimum of empty car mileage and loss of utilization.

It is ordered, That, pursuant to the authority vested in me by Section (b) of Revised Service Order No. 1171, the RI be, and it is hereby authorized to deliver empty to the DRGW upon receipt of orders from that line, and the DRGW is authorized to accept and use for loading with coal to destinations east of the Missouri River, including Omaha, Nebraska, and Kansas City, Kansas, any or all of the hoppers which it was authorized to use for the transportation of sugar beets under the provisions of this exemption; and,

It is further ordered, That, when empty such cars shall be returned to the owner empty in the manner prescribed by Car Service Rules 1 or 2.

Effective 12:01 a.m., December 3, 1974.
Expires 11:59 p.m., December 31, 1974.

Issued at Washington, D.C., December 3, 1974.

[SEAL] R. D. PFAHLER,
Director, Bureau of Operations.

[FR Doc.74-29224 Filed 12-13-74;8:45 am]

FOURTH SECTION APPLICATION FOR RELIEF

DECEMBER 11, 1974.

An application, as summarized below, has been filed requesting relief from the requirements of Section 4 of the Interstate Commerce Act to permit common carriers named or described in the application to maintain higher rates and charges at intermediate points than those sought to be established at more distant points.

Protests to the granting of an application must be prepared in accordance with Rule 40 of the General Rules of Practice (49 CFR 1100.40) and filed on or before December 31, 1974.

FSA No. 42915—*Grain and Grain Products Within the Western District.* Filed by North Pacific Coast Freight Bureau, Agent, (No. 74-1), for and on behalf of carriers parties to schedule listed below. Rates on barley and oats, feed grade, in carloads, as described in the application, from points in Montana, to Bend and Prineville, Oregon.

Grounds for relief—Carrier competition.

Tariff—Supplement 34 to North Pacific Coast Freight Bureau, Agent, tariff 13-H, ICC 1199. Rates are published to become effective on January 13, 1975.

By the Commission.

[SEAL] JOSEPH M. HARRINGTON,
Acting Secretary.

[FR Doc.74-29219 Filed 12-13-74;8:45 am]

FOURTH SECTION APPLICATION FOR RELIEF

DECEMBER 11, 1974.

An application, as summarized below, has been filed requesting relief from the

requirements of Section 4 of the Interstate Commerce Act to permit common carriers named or described in the application to maintain higher rates and charges at intermediate points than those sought to be established at more distant points.

Protests to the granting of an application must be prepared in accordance with Rule 40 of the general rules of practice (49 CFR 1100.40) and filed on or before December 31, 1974.

FSA No. 42914—*Joint Water-Rail Container Rates—American President Lines, Ltd.* Filed by American President Lines, Ltd., (No. 15), for and on behalf of itself and carriers parties to its tariffs I.C.C. Nos. 2, 3, 4, 5, 6, and 7. Rates on general commodities, between ports in Hong Kong, Indonesia, Japan, Korea, Malaysia, The Philippines, Singapore, Taiwan, Thailand, and Vietnam, on the one hand, and rail terminals located at Freeport, Texas, and Providence, Rhode Island, on the other.

Grounds for relief—Water competition.

By the Commission.

[SEAL] JOSEPH M. HARRINGTON,
Acting Secretary.

[FR Doc.74-29220 Filed 12-13-74;8:45 am]

FOURTH SECTION APPLICATION FOR RELIEF

DECEMBER 11, 1974.

An application, as summarized below, has been filed requesting relief from the requirements of Section 4 of the Interstate Commerce Act to permit common carriers named or described in the application to maintain higher rates and charges at intermediate points than those sought to be established at more distant points.

Protests to the granting of an application must be prepared in accordance with Rule 40 of the general rules of practice (49 CFR 1100.40) and filed on or before December 31, 1974.

AGGREGATE-OF-INTERMEDIATES

FSA No. 42913—*Class and Commodity Rates Between Points in Texas.* Filed by Southwestern Freight Bureau, Agent, (No. B-501), for and on behalf of carriers parties to Uniform Classification Committee, Agent, tariff I.C.C. 7. Rates on liquid caustic soda, in tank-carloads, as described in the application, from Port Neches, Texas, to Benbrook, Texas.

Grounds for relief—Maintenance of depressed rates published to meet intrastate competition without use of such rates as factors in constructing combination rates.

Tariff—Supplement 59 to Southwestern Freight Bureau, Agent, tariff 87-J, ICC 1159 (TLFB Series). Rates are published to become effective January 13, 1975.

By the Commission.

[SEAL] JOSEPH M. HARRINGTON,
Acting Secretary.

[FR Doc.74-29221 Filed 12-13-74;8:45 am]

[No. MC-C-8506]

INTERCORPORATE PARENT-SUBSIDIARY TRANSPORTATION

Petition for Declaratory Order

DECEMBER 11, 1974.

At the request of Rex Eames, representative for several motor carriers, the time for filing representations in the above-entitled proceeding has been extended from December 13, 1974 to January 13, 1975.

[SEAL] JOSEPH M. HARRINGTON,
Acting Secretary.

[FR Doc.74-29222 Filed 12-13-74;8:45 am]

TEXAS EASTERN TRANSMISSION CORP., LITTLE BIG INCH DIVISION

Tentative Valuation

Notice is hereby given that a tentative valuation for the year 1972, Valuation Docket No. 1408, is under consideration for a common carrier by pipeline, the Texas Eastern Transmission Corporation, Little Big Inch Division, P.O. Box 2521, Houston, Texas 77001.

On or before January 10, 1974, persons other than those specifically designated in Section 19a(h) of the Interstate Commerce Act having an interest in the valuation of the carrier named above may, pursuant to rule 72 of the Commission's general rules of practice (49 CFR 1100.72), file an original and three copies of a petition for leave to intervene and, if granted, thus to come within the category of "additional parties as the Commission may prescribe" under section 19a(h) of the Act, thereby enabling the party to file a protest. It is also required that a copy of the petition to intervene be served at the address shown above upon the carrier and that an appropriate certificate of service be attached to the petition. Persons specifically designated in section 19a(h) of the Act need not file a petition; they are entitled to file protest as a matter of right under the statute.

[SEAL] ROBERT L. OSWALD,
Secretary.

[FR Doc.74-29054 Filed 12-13-74;8:45 am]

IRREGULAR-ROUTE MOTOR COMMON CARRIERS OF PROPERTY

Elimination of Gateway Letter Notices

DECEMBER 11, 1974.

The following letter-notices of proposals to eliminate gateways for the purpose of reducing highway congestion, alleviating air and noise pollution, minimizing safety hazards, and conserving fuel have been filed with the Interstate Commerce Commission under the Commission's Gateway Elimination Rules (49 CFR 1065 (a)), and notice thereof to all interested persons is hereby given as provided in such rules.

An original and two copies of protests against the proposed elimination of any gateway herein described may be filed with the Interstate Commerce Commission on or before December 26, 1974. A copy must also be served upon applicant or its representative. Protests against the elimination of a gateway will not operate to stay commencement of the proposed operation.

Successively filed letter-notices of the same carrier under these rules will be numbered consecutively for convenience in identification. Protests, if any, must refer to such letter-notices by number.

No. MC 29572 (Sub-No. E1), filed June 4, 1974. Applicant: McCLAIN MOVING COMPANY, Highway 73, Palmyra, N.J. Applicant's representative: George D. McClain, Jr. (same as above). Authority sought to operate as a *common carrier*, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: *Household goods*, as defined by the Commission, between points in Maryland, on the one hand, and, on the other, points in New Jersey. The purpose of this filing is to eliminate the gateway of points in Philadelphia County, Pa.

No. MC 37203 (Sub-No. E2), filed May, 31, 1974. Applicant: MILLSTEAD VAN LINES, INC., P.O. Drawer 878, Bartlesville, Okla. 74003. Applicant's representative: Thomas F. Sedberry, Suite 1102 Perry-Brooks Bldg., Austin, Tex. 78701. Authority sought to operate as a common carrier, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: *Household goods*, as defined by the Commission, (1) between points in Arkansas, on the one hand, and, on the other, points in Kansas on and west of U.S. Highway 75 (points in Oklahoma within 150 miles of Shawnee, Okla.)*; (2) between Miller, Lafayette, Little River, Howard, Hempstead, Sevier, Pike, Polk, Montgomery, Scott, Sebastian, Logan, Crawford, Franklin, Johnson, Washington, Madison, Benton, and Carroll Counties, Arkansas, on the one hand, and, on the other, points in Pennsylvania, New Jersey, New York, Massachusetts, Rhode Island, Maine, and Michigan (points in Oklahoma within 150 miles of Shawnee, Okla., and Mclean County, Ill.)*; and (3) between points in Oklahoma, on the one hand, and, on the other, points in Michigan, Pennsylvania, New York, New Jersey, Massachusetts, Rhode Island, and Maine (Coffeyville, Kans., and points within 25 miles thereof).* The purpose of this filing is to eliminate the gateways marked by asterisks above.

No. MC 37203 (Sub-No. E3), filed May 31, 1974. Applicant: MILLSTEAD VAN LINES, INC., P.O. Drawer 878, Bartlesville, Okla. 74003. Applicant's representative: Thomas F. Sedberry, Suite 1102, Perry-Brooks Bldg., Austin, Tex. 78701. Authority sought to operate as a common carrier, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: *Household goods*, as defined by the Commission, (1) between points in Montana, on the one hand, and, on the other, points in Texas on and east of U.S. Highway 283 from the Texas-Oklahoma border to its intersection with U.S. Highway 67, thence along U.S. Highway 67 to its intersection with U.S. Highway 277, thence along U.S. Highway 277 to Del Rio, Tex., on

the United States-Mexico border, (2) between points in Wyoming, on the one hand, and, on the other, points in Missouri on and south of a line beginning at the Kansas-Missouri State line, thence along U.S. Highway 160 to Springfield, Missouri, thence along U.S. Highway 60 to its intersection with Missouri Highway 34, thence along Missouri Highway 34 to Jackson, Mo., thence along U.S. Highway 61 to Cape Girardeau, Missouri, on the Missouri-Illinois border, (3) between points in Montana, on the one hand, and, on the other, points in Missouri on and south of a line beginning at the Missouri-Kansas State line on U.S. Highway 66 to Joplin, thence along Interstate Highway 44-U.S. Highway 66 to St. Louis, Missouri, on the Missouri-Illinois border, and (4) between points in Kansas, on the one hand, and, on the other, points in Texas. The purpose of this filing is to eliminate the gateway of points in Oklahoma.

No. MC 37203 (Sub-No. E4), filed May 31, 1974. Applicant: MILLSTEAD VAN LINES, INC., P.O. Drawer 878, Bartlesville, Okla. 74003. Applicant's representative: Thomas F. Sedberry, Suite 1102 Perry-Brooks Bldg., Austin, Tex. 78701. Authority sought to operate as a *common carrier*, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: *Household goods*, as defined by the Commission, (1) between points in Kansas on and south of a line beginning at the Kansas-Colorado State line on Kansas Highway 96 to its intersection with U.S. Highway 183, thence along U.S. Highway 183 to its intersection with U.S. Highway 50, thence along U.S. Highway 50 to its intersection with U.S. Highway 77, thence along U.S. Highway 77 to the Kansas-Oklahoma State line, on the one hand, and, on the other, points in Illinois, Indiana, and Kentucky (Coffeyville, Kans., and points within 25 miles thereof, and Shawnee, Okla., and points in that part of Oklahoma, Arkansas, Kansas, and Texas within 150 miles of Shawnee)*, (2) between points in Kansas on and south of a line beginning at the Kansas-Colorado State line on Kansas Highway 96 to its intersection with U.S. Highway 183, thence along U.S. Highway 183 to its intersection with U.S. Highway 50, thence along U.S. Highway 50 to its intersection with U.S. Highway 77, thence along U.S. Highway 77 to the Kansas-Oklahoma State line, on the one hand, and, on the other, points in Michigan, Ohio, West Virginia, Pennsylvania, New York, New Jersey, Rhode Island, Massachusetts, and Maine (Coffeyville, Kans., and points within 25 miles thereof, McLean County, Ill., and Shawnee, Okla., and points in that part of Oklahoma, Arkansas, Kansas, and Texas within 150 miles of Shawnee)*, (3) between points in Kentucky, on the one hand, and, on the other, points in Texas on and west of a line beginning at the Texas-Oklahoma State line on U.S. Highway 75 to Dallas, Tex., thence along Interstate Highway 45 to Houston, Tex., thence along Interstate Highway 10-U.S. Highway 81 to San Antonio, Tex., thence along Interstate Highway 35-U.S. Highway 81 to Laredo, on the U.S.-Mexico International Boundary line (points in Oklahoma)*, (4) between points in Colorado, on the one hand, and, on the other, points in Texas on and east of a line beginning at the Texas-Oklahoma State line on U.S. Highway 283 to its intersection with U.S. Highway 87, thence along U.S. Highway 87 to Brady, Tex., thence along U.S. Highway 377 to Junction, Tex., thence along U.S. Highway 83 to the United States-Mexico International Boundary line (points in Oklahoma)*, and (5) between points in Ohio, on the one hand, and, on the other, points in Texas on and west of a line beginning at the Texas-Oklahoma State line on U.S. Highway 75 to Dallas, Tex., thence along Interstate Highway 35-U.S. Highway 81 to Laredo, Tex., on the United States-Mexico International Boundary line (points in Oklahoma and McLean County, Ill.)*. The purpose of this filing is to eliminate the gateways indicated by asterisks above.

No. MC 37203 (Sub-No. E5), filed May 31, 1974. Applicant: MILLSTEAD VAN LINES, INC., P.O. Drawer 878, Bartlesville, Okla. 74003. Applicant's representative: Thomas F. Sedberry, Suite 1102 Perry-Brooks Bldg., Austin, Tex. 78701. Authority sought to operate as a *common carrier*, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: *Household goods*, as defined by the Commission, (1) between points in Texas, on the one hand, and, on the other, points in Pennsylvania, New Jersey, New York, Maine, Massachusetts, and Rhode Island (points in Oklahoma and McLean County, Ill.)*, (2) between points in Nebraska and Iowa, on the one hand, and, on the other, points in Texas on and east of a line beginning at the Texas-Oklahoma State line on U.S. Highway 283 to its intersection with U.S. Highway 180, thence along U.S. Highway 180 to its intersection with Oklahoma Highway 208, thence along Oklahoma Highway 208 to its intersection with Oklahoma Highway 163, thence along Oklahoma Highway 163 to its intersection with U.S. Highway 90, thence along U.S. Highway 90 to Del Rio on the U.S.-Mexico International Boundary line (points in Oklahoma)*, (3) between points in Texas, on the one hand, and, on the other, points in Michigan (points in Oklahoma, and McLean County, Ill.)*, (4) between points in Texas, on the one hand, and, on the other, points in Illinois and Indiana (points in Oklahoma)*, and (5) between points in Texas on and west of a line beginning at the Texas-Oklahoma State line on Interstate Highway 35 to Fort Worth, Tex., thence along Interstate Highway 35-U.S. Highway 81 to Laredo, Tex., on the one hand, and, on the other, points in Arkansas on and north of Interstate Highway 40 (points in Oklahoma)*. The purpose of this filing is to eliminate the gateways indicated by asterisks above.

No. MC 83539 (Sub-No. E16) (Correction), filed June 2, 1974, published in the FEDERAL REGISTER December 3, 1974. Applicant: C & H TRANSPORTATION CO., INC., P.O. Box 5976, Dallas, Tex. 75222. Applicant's representative: Thomas E. James (same as above). Authority sought to operate as a *common carrier*, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: *Prefabricated iron and steel products* and *iron and steel pipe*, from Corpus Christi, Galveston, and Houston, Tex., to points in Arizona. The purpose of this filing is to eliminate the gateway of points in New Mexico. The purpose of this correction is to reflect the correct docket number—previously published as MC 82539.

No. MC 83745 (Sub-No. E2), filed June 4, 1974. Applicant: BOND TRANSPORT, INC., 4620 Rolling Road, Pittsburgh, Pa. 15236. Applicant's representative: William J. Lavelle, 2310 Grant Bldg., Pittsburgh, Pa. 15219. Authority sought to operate as a *common carrier*, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: *Machinery and such commodities* generally requiring rigging, special equipment, or specialized handling (except articles requiring special vehicular equipment for over-the-road movement), between points in Butler County, Pa., within 25 miles of Pittsburgh, Pa., and points in Ohio on and west of a line beginning at the Ohio-Michigan State line extending south along Interstate Highway 75 to junction Ohio Highway 68, thence along Ohio Highway 68 to junction Ohio Highway 31, thence along Ohio Highway 31 to junction Ohio Highway 736, thence along Ohio Highway 736 to junction Ohio Highway 161, thence along Ohio Highway 161 to junction U.S. Highway 33, thence along U.S. Highway 33 to junction Interstate Highway 70, thence along Interstate Highway 70 to junction Ohio Highway 60, thence along Ohio Highway 60 to the Ohio-West Virginia State line. The purpose of this filing is to eliminate the gateway of Pittsburgh, Pa.

No. MC 83835 (Sub-No. E16), filed May 14, 1974. Applicant: WALES TRANSPORTATION, INC., P.O. Box 6186, Dallas, Tex. 75222. Applicant's representative: William A. Cunningham (Same as above). Authority sought to operate as a common carrier, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: *Contractors' machinery and equipment and supplies* moving in connection therewith (except commodities in bulk), (1) between points in Wisconsin, on the one hand, and, on the other, points in Indiana, Ohio, and Pennsylvania, (2) between points in that part of Michigan on and west of U.S. Highway 41, on the one hand, and, on the other, points in Indiana, Ohio, and Pennsylvania, (3) between points in Michigan, on the one hand, and, on the other, points in Indiana on and west of U.S. Highway 41, restricted against the transportation of iron and steel and iron and steel articles, but not mining and contractors' machinery and equipment, originating at points in Indiana which are within the Chicago, Ill., Commercial Zone, as defined by the Commission. The

purpose of this filing is to eliminate the gateways of points in Illinois.

No. MC 95084 (Sub-No. E14) (Correction), filed June 3, 1974, published in the FEDERAL REGISTER December 2, 1974. Applicant: HOVE TRUCK LINE, Stanhope, Iowa 50246. Applicant's representative: Kenneth F. Dudley, P.O. Box 279, Ottumwa, Iowa 52501. Authority sought to operate as a *common carrier*, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: *Portable elevators and fertilizer spreaders*, knocked down, and *parts* thereof, from Bloomington, Ill., to points in Colorado, North Dakota, South Dakota, Wyoming, points in Kansas on and west of a line from the Kansas-Nebraska State line along U.S. Highway 83 to the junction of Kansas Highway 25, thence along Kansas Highway 25 to the Kansas-Oklahoma State line, points in Minnesota on and west of a line from the Iowa-Minnesota State line along Interstate Highway 35 to Minneapolis, thence along U.S. Highway 169 to the United States-Canadian International Boundary line, and points in Nebraska on and west of Nebraska Highway 15 from the Nebraska-Kansas State line to the junction of U.S. Highway 30 and on and north of U.S. Highway 30. The purpose of this filing is to eliminate the gateway of Fort Dodge, Iowa, and points within 1 mile thereof. The purpose of this correction is to correct the "E" number, previously published as E16.

No. MC 95540 (Sub-No. E755), filed November 14, 1974. Applicant: WATKINS MOTOR LINES, INC., P.O. Box 1636, Atlanta, Ga. 30301. Applicant's representative: Jerome F. Marks (same as above). Authority sought to operate as a *common carrier*, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: *Frozen vegetables, frozen fruits, and frozen berries*, from those points in Arkansas, on and west of a line beginning at the Oklahoma-Arkansas State line and extending along U.S. Highway 64 to its junction with U.S. Highway 71, thence along U.S. Highway 71 to its junction with U.S. Highway 62, thence along U.S. Highway 62 to the Arkansas-Missouri State line to those points in Delaware on and north of a line beginning at the Delaware-Maryland State line, and extending along Delaware Highway 300 to its junction with Delaware Highway 44, thence along Delaware Highway 44 to its junction with Delaware Highway 8, thence along Delaware Highway 8 to the Delaware Bay. The purpose of this filing is to eliminate the gateway of Newburgh, N.Y.

No. MC 95540 (Sub-No. E756), filed November 14, 1974. Applicant: WATKINS MOTOR LINES, INC., P.O. Box 1636, Atlanta, Ga. 30301. Applicant's representative: Jerome F. Marks (same as above). Authority sought to operate as a *common carrier*, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: *Frozen vegetables, frozen fruits, and frozen berries*, from points in Arkansas to points in New Hampshire, Vermont, Massachusetts, Connecticut, Maine, and Rhode Island. The purpose of this filing is to eliminate the gateway of Newburgh, N.Y.

No. MC 95540 (Sub-No. E757), filed November 15, 1974. Applicant: WATKINS MOTOR LINES, INC., P.O. Box 1636, Atlanta, Ga. 30301. Applicant's representative: Jerome F. Marks (same as above). Authority sought to operate as a *common carrier*, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: *Frozen foods*, from Newburgh, N.Y., to those points in Montana on and south of a line beginning at the Wyoming-Montana State line, and extending along U.S. Highway 89 to its intersection with Interstate Highway 90, thence along Interstate Highway 90 to its junction with U.S. Highway 287, thence along U.S. Highway 287 to its intersection with U.S. Highway 12 to its intersection with U.S. Highway 93, thence along U.S. Highway 93 to the U.S.-Canada International Boundary line. The purpose of this filing is to eliminate the gateway of Dyersburg, Tenn.

No. MC 95540 (Sub-No. E758), filed November 15, 1974. Applicant: WATKINS MOTOR LINES, INC., P.O. Box 1636, Atlanta, Ga. 30301. Applicant's representative: Jerome F. Marks (same as above). Authority sought to operate as a *common carrier*, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: *Frozen foods*, from Newburgh, N.Y., to those points in Wyoming on and north of a line beginning at the Nebraska-Wyoming State line, thence along Interstate Highway 80 to its intersection with U.S. Highway 287 to the Idaho-Wyoming State line. The purpose of this filing is to eliminate the gateway of Dyersburg, Tenn.

No. MC 95540 (Sub-No. E759), filed November 15, 1974. Applicant: WATKINS MOTOR LINES, INC., P.O. Box 1636, Atlanta, Ga. 30301. Applicant's representative: Jerome F. Marks (same as above). Authority sought to operate as a *common carrier*, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: *Frozen foods*, from Newburgh, N.Y., to those points in Kansas on and south of a line beginning at the Kansas-Missouri State line and extending along U.S. Highway 54 to its junction with Interstate Highway 35, thence along Interstate Highway 35 to its junction with Kansas Highway 96, thence along Kansas Highway 96 to its junction with U.S. Highway 183, thence along U.S. Highway 183 to its junction with Interstate Highway 70, thence along Interstate Highway 70 to the Kansas-Colorado State line. The purpose of this filing is to eliminate the gateway of Florence, Ala.

No. MC 95540 (Sub-No. E760), filed November 15, 1974. Applicant: WATKINS MOTOR LINES, INC., P.O. Box 1636, Atlanta, Ga. 30301. Applicant's representative: Jerome F. Marks (same as above). Authority sought to operate as a *common carrier*, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: *Frozen foods, and meats, meat products, and meat by-products*, as described in Section A of Appendix I to the report in *Descriptions in Motor Carrier Certificates*, 61 M.C.C. 209 and 766 (except commodities in bulk, in tank vehicles), from Newburgh, N.Y., to points in Louisiana. The purpose of this filing is to eliminate the gateway of Tifton, Ga.

No. MC 95540 (Sub-No. E761), filed November 15, 1974. Applicant: WATKINS MOTOR LINES, INC., P.O. Box 1636, Atlanta, Ga. 30301. Applicant's representative: Jerome F. Marks (same as above). Authority sought to operate as a *common carrier*, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: *Frozen foods*, from Newburgh, N.Y., to points in Kentucky and Louisiana. The purpose of this filing is to eliminate the gateway of Milton, Pa.

No. MC 95540 (Sub-No. E762), filed November 15, 1974. Applicant: WATKINS MOTOR LINES, INC., P.O. Box 1636, Atlanta, Ga. 30301. Applicant's representative: Jerome F. Marks (same as above). Authority sought to operate as a *common carrier*, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: *Meats, meat products, and meat by-products*, as described in Section A of Appendix I to the report in *Descriptions in Motor Carrier Certificates*, 61 M.C.C. 209 and 766, from Newburgh, N.Y., to points in New Mexico, Arizona, and California. The purpose of this filing is to eliminate the gateways of points in Georgia.

No. MC 95540 (Sub-No. E763), filed November 15, 1974. Applicant: WATKINS MOTOR LINES, INC., P.O. Box 1636, Atlanta, Ga. 30301. Applicant's representative: Jerome F. Marks (same as above). Authority sought to operate as a *common carrier*, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: *Frozen foods and fresh dressed poultry*, from Newburgh, N.Y., to points in California, Arizona, and New Mexico. The purpose of this filing is to eliminate the gateways of points in Georgia.

No. MC 95540 (Sub-No. E764), filed November 24, 1974. Applicant: WATKINS MOTOR LINES, INC., P.O. Box 1636, Atlanta, Ga. 30301. Applicant's representative: Jerome F. Marks (same as above). Authority sought to operate as a *common carrier*, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: *Frozen baked stuffed potatoes*, from the facilities of Penobscot Frozen Foods at Belfast, Maine, to points in Arkansas, New Mexico, Arizona, and California. The purpose of this filing is to eliminate the gateways of points in New York.

No. MC 95540 (Sub-No. E765), filed November 15, 1974. Applicant: WATKINS MOTOR LINES, INC., P.O. Box 1636, Atlanta, Ga. 30301. Applicant's representative: Jerome F. Marks (same as above). Authority sought to operate as a *common carrier*, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: *Frozen potatoes and frozen potato products*, from Crookston, Duluth, Minneapolis, Albert Lea, and Mankato, Minn.,

Fargo, N. Dak., and Sioux City, Iowa, to points in Maine, Vermont, and New Hampshire. The purpose of this filing is to eliminate the gateway of Newburgh, N.Y.

No. MC 95540 (Sub-No. E766), filed November 15, 1974. Applicant: WATKINS MOTOR LINES, INC., P.O. Box 1636, Atlanta, Ga. 30301. Applicant's representative: Jerome F. Marks (same as above). Authority sought to operate as a *common carrier*, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: *Frozen meats*, from the facilities of Armour and Company at or near Worthington and Mankato, Minn., to points in Maine, Vermont, and New Hampshire. The purpose of this filing is to eliminate the gateway of Newburgh, N.Y.

No. MC 95540 (Sub-No. E767), filed November 15, 1974. Applicant: WATKINS MOTOR LINES, INC., P.O. Box 1636, Atlanta, Ga. 30301. Applicant's representative: Jerome F. Marks (same as above). Authority sought to operate as a *common carrier*, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: *Meats, meat products, and meat by-products, and articles distributed by meat packinghouses*, as described in Sections A and C of Appendix I to the report in *Descriptions in Motor Carrier Certificates*, 61 M.C.C. 209 and 766 (except hides and commodities in bulk, in tank vehicles), from the facilities of Armour and Co., at or near Worthington, Minn., to points in Maine, New Hampshire, and Vermont. The purpose of this filing is to eliminate the gateway of Newburgh, N.Y.

No. MC 95540 (Sub-No. E768), filed November 15, 1974. Applicant: WATKINS MOTOR LINES, INC., P.O. Box 1636, Atlanta, Ga. 30301. Applicant's representative: Jerome F. Marks (same as above). Authority sought to operate as a *common carrier*, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: *Frozen foods*, from Newburgh, N.Y., to points in Arkansas, Oklahoma, and Texas. The purpose of this filing is to eliminate the gateway of Richmond, Va.

No. MC 95540 (Sub-No. E769), filed November 15, 1974. Applicant: WATKINS MOTOR LINES, INC., P.O. Box 1636, Atlanta, Ga. 30301. Applicant's representative: Jerome F. Marks (same as above). Authority sought to operate as a *common carrier*, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: *Frozen foods*, from Newburgh, N.Y., to points in Arkansas and Oklahoma. The purpose of this filing is to eliminate the gateway of Florence, Ala.

No. MC 95540 (Sub-No. E770), filed November 15, 1974. Applicant: WATKINS MOTOR LINES, INC., P.O. Box 1636, Atlanta, Ga. 30301. Applicant's representative: Jerome F. Marks (same as above). Authority sought to operate as a *common carrier*, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: *Meats, meat products and meat by-products, and articles distributed by meat packinghouses*, as described in Sections A and C of Appendix I to the report in *Descriptions in Motor Carrier Certificates*, 61 M.C.C. 209 and 766 except comodities in bulk, in tank vehicles, and hides), from York, Nebraska, and the facilities of Platte Valley Packing Co. near Cozad, Nebr., to points in Maine, New Hampshire, and Vermont, The purpose of this filing is to eliminate the gateway of Newburgh, N.Y.

No. MC 95540 (Sub-No. E771), filed November 15, 1974. Applicant: WATKINS MOTOR LINES, INC., P.O. Box 1636, Atlanta, Ga. 30301. Applicant's representative: Jerome F. Marks (same as above). Authority sought to operate as a *common carrier*, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: *Meats, meat products, and meat by-products* as described in Section A of Appendix I to the report in *Descriptions in Motor Carrier Certificates*, 61 M.C.C. 209 and 766 (except commodities in bulk, in tank vehicles), from Lexington, Nebr., to points in Maine, New Hampshire, and Vermont. The purpose of this filing is to eliminate the gateway of Newburgh, N.Y.

No. MC 95540 (Sub-No. E772), filed November 17, 1974. Applicant: WATKINS MOTOR LINES, INC., P.O. Box 1636, Atlanta, Ga. 30301. Applicant's representative: Jerome F. Marks (same as above). Authority sought to operate as a *common carrier*, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: *Frozen foods* (except in bulk), from Newburgh, N.Y., to points in Colorado, Nevada, Idaho, Oregon, Washington, and Utah. The purpose of this filing is to eliminate the gateway of Dyersburg, Tenn.

No. MC 95540 (Sub-No. E773), filed November 17, 1974. Applicant: WATKINS MOTOR LINES, INC., P.O. Box 1636, Atlanta, Ga. 30301. Applicant's representative: Jerome F. Marks (same as above). Authority sought to operate as a *common carrier*, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: *Meats, meat products and meat by-products, dairy products, and articles distributed by meat packinghouses*, as described in Appendix I to the report in *Descriptions in Motor Carrier Certificates*, 61 M.C.C. 209 and 766 from Orangeburg, S.C., to points in Connecticut, Rhode Island, Massachusetts, Maine, Vermont, and New Hampshire. The purpose of this filing is to eliminate the gateway of Newburgh, N.Y.

No. MC 95540 (Sub-No. E774), filed November 17, 1974. Applicant: WATKINS MOTOR LINES, INC., P.O. Box 1636, Atlanta, Ga. 30301. Applicant's representative: Jerome F. Marks (same as above). Authority sought to operate as a *common carrier*, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: *Meats, meat products and meat by-products, and articles distributed by meat packinghouses* (except hides and commodities in bulk, in tank vehicles), as described in Sections A and C of Appendix I to the report in *Descriptions in Motor Carrier Certificates*, 61 M.C.C. 209 and 766, from the facilities of Armour and Company near Sterling, Ill., to points in Maine, New Hampshire, and Vermont. The purpose of this filing is to eliminate the gateway of Newburgh, N.Y.

No. MC 95540 (Sub-No. E775), filed November 18, 1974. Applicant: WATKINS MOTOR LINES, INC., P.O. Box 1636, Atlanta, Ga. 30301. Applicant's representative: Jerome F. Marks (same as above). Authority sought to operate as a *common carrier*, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: *Frozen foods* (except in bulk), from Gainesville, Ga., and Florence, Ala., to points in Connecticut, Massachusetts, Maine, New Hampshire, Vermont, Rhode Island, New York City, and Nassau and Westchester Counties, N.Y. The purpose of this filing is to eliminate the gateway of Newburgh, N.Y.

No. MC 95540 (Sub-No. E776), filed November 17, 1974. Applicant: WATKINS MOTOR LINES, INC., P.O. Box 1636, Atlanta, Ga. 30301. Applicant's representative: Jerome F. Marks (same as above). Authority sought to operate as a *common carrier*, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: *Meats, meat products and meat by-products, and articles distributed by meat packinghouses*, as described in Sections A and C of Appendix I to the report in *Descriptions in Motor Carrier Certificates*, 61 M.C.C. 209 and 766 (except commodities in bulk, in tank vehicles), from Emporia, Kans., to points in Maine, New Hampshire, Vermont, and Virginia. The purpose of this filing is to eliminate the gateway of Newburgh, N.Y.

No. MC 95540 (Sub-No. E777), filed November 17, 1974. Applicant: WATKINS MOTOR LINES, INC., P.O. Box 1636, Atlanta, Ga. 30301. Applicant's representative: Jerome F. Marks (same as above). Authority sought to operate as a *common carrier*, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: *Meats, meat products, and meat by-products, and articles distributed by meat packinghouses*, as described in Sections A and C of Appendix I to the report in *Descriptions in Motor Carrier Certificates*, 61 M.C.C. 209 and 766, from Lacrosse, Marshfield, and Whitehall, Wis., to points in Delaware, Maine, New Hampshire, Vermont, and Rhode Island. The purpose of this filing is to eliminate the gateway of Newburgh, N.Y.

No. MC 95540 (Sub-No. E778), filed November 18, 1974. Applicant: WATKINS MOTOR LINES, INC., P.O. Box 1636, Atlanta, Ga. 30301. Applicant's representative: Jerome F. Marks (same as above). Authority sought to operate as a *common carrier*, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: *Frozen fruits, frozen berries, and frozen vegetables* (except in bulk), from points in North Carolina and Tennessee to points in Maine, Connecticut, Massachusetts,

Rhode Island, Vermont, and New Hampshire. The purpose of this filing is to eliminate the gateway of Newburgh, N.Y.

No. MC 95540 (Sub-No. E779), filed November 18, 1974. Applicant: WATKINS MOTOR LINES, INC., P.O. Box 1636, Atlanta, Ga. 30301. Applicant's representative: Jerome F. Marks (same as above). Authority sought to operate as a common carrier, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: *Frozen fruits, frozen berries, and frozen vegetables*, from points in Florida, to points in Maine, New Hampshire, Rhode Island, and Vermont. The purpose of this filing is to eliminate the gateway of Newburgh, N.Y.

No. MC 95540 (Sub-No. E780), filed November 18, 1974. Applicant: WATKINS MOTOR LINES, INC., P.O. Box 1636, Atlanta, Ga. 30301. Applicant's representative: Jerome F. Marks (same as above). Authority sought to operate as a common carrier, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: *Meats, meat products, and meat by-products, and articles distributed by meat packinghouses*, as described in Sections A and C of Appendix I to the report in *Descriptions in Motor Carrier Certificates*, 61 M.C.C. 209 and 766 (except hides and liquid commodities in bulk in tank vehicles), from the facilities of or used by American Beef Packers, Inc., in Pottawattamie County, Iowa, to points in Maine, New Hampshire, and Vermont. The purpose of this filing is to eliminate the gateway of Newburgh, N.Y.

No. MC 95540 (Sub-No. E782), filed November 17, 1974. Applicant: WATKINS MOTOR LINES, INC., P.O. Box 1636, Atlanta, Ga. 30301. Applicant's representative: Jerome F. Marks (same as above). Authority sought to operate as a common carrier, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: *Frozen potato products and frozen corned beef hash* (except in bulk), from Columbus, Hastings, Omaha, and York, Nebr., to points in Maine, New Hampshire, and Vermont. The purpose of this filing is to eliminate the gateway of Newburgh, N.Y.

No. MC 95540 (Sub-No. E783), filed November 17, 1974. Applicant: WATKINS MOTOR LINES, INC., P.O. Box 1636, Atlanta, Ga. 30301. Applicant's representative: Jerome F. Marks (same as above). Authority sought to operate as a *common carrier*, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: *Frozen foods, meats, meat products, and meat by-products* as described in Section A of Appendix I to the report in *Descriptions in Motor Carrier Certificates*, 61 M.C.C. 209 and 766 (except commodities in bulk, in tank vehicles), from Sylvester and Tifton, Ga., to points in Maine, New Hampshire, and Vermont. The purpose of this filing is to eliminate the gateway of Newburgh, N.Y.

No. MC 95540 (Sub-No. E784), filed November 18, 1973. Applicant: WATKINS MOTOR LINES, INC., P.O. Box 1636, Atlanta, Ga. 30301. Applicant's representative: Jerome F. Marks (same as above). Authority sought to operate as a *common carrier*, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: *Confectionary products*, from Newburgh, N. Y., to points in Washington, Oregon, Utah, Idaho, Montana, Colorado, and Nevada. The purpose of this filing is to eliminate the gateway of Reading, Pa.

No. MC 95540 (Sub-No. E785), filed November 18, 1974. Applicant: WALKINS MOTOR LINES, INC., P.O. Box 1636, Atlanta, Ga. 30301. Applicant's representative: Jerome F. Marks (same as above). Authority sought to operate as a *common carrier*, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: *Frozen prepared foodstuffs*, from Bridgeton, N. J., to those points in Wyoming on and south of a line beginning at the Wyoming-Colorado State line and extending along Interstate Highway 25 to its junction with U.S. Highway 20, thence along U.S. Highway 20 to its junction with Wyoming Highway 120, thence along Wyoming Highway 120 to the Wyoming-Montana State line. The purpose of this filing is to eliminate the gateways of Newburgh, N. Y., and Union City, Tenn.

No. MC 95540 (Sub-No. E786), filed November 18, 1974. Applicant: WATKINS MOTOR LINES, INC., P.O. Box 1636, Atlanta, Ga. 30301. Applicant's representative: Jerome F. Marks (same as above). Authority sought to operate as a *common carrier*, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: *Food products*, other than frozen, in vehicles equipped with mechanical refrigeration, from Newburgh, N.Y., to points in Arkansas and Texas and those points in Oklahoma on and south of a line beginning at the Kansas-Oklahoma State line and extending along Interstate Highway 35, and extending along Interstate Highway 35 to its junction with Oklahoma Highway 119, thence along Oklahoma Highway 119 to its junction with U. S. Highway 170 to its junction with U. S. Highway 60, thence along U. S. Highway 60 to its junction with Oklahoma Highway 18 to its junction with Oklahoma Highway 20, thence along Oklahoma Highway 20 to its junction with Oklahoma Highway 99 to its junction with U. S. Highway 64, thence along U. S. Highway 64 to the Muskogee Turnpike, thence along the Muskogee Turnpike to its junction with Oklahoma Highway 51, thence along Oklahoma Highway 51 to its junction with U.S. Highway 62, thence along U. S. Highway 62 to the Oklahoma-Arkansas State line. The purpose of this filing is to eliminate the gateway of Doraville, Ga.

No. MC 95540 (Sub-No. E787), filed November 18, 1974. Applicant: WATKINS MOTOR LINES, INC., P.O. Box 1636, Atlanta, Ga. 30301. Applicant's representative: Jerome F. Marks (same as above). Authority sought to operate as a *common carrier*, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: *Frozen potatoes, frozen potato products, frozen onions, and frozen carrots*, from Corinna, Easton, Portland, Presque Isle, and Washburn, Maine, to points in Louisiana, Texas, New Mexico, Arizona, and California. The purpose of this filing is to eliminate the gateway of Albany, N.Y.

No. MC 95540 (Sub-No. E788), filed November 25, 1974. Applicant: WATKINS MOTOR LINES, INC., P.O. Box 1636, Atlanta, Ga. 30301. Applicant's representative: Jerome F. Marks (same as above). Authority sought to operate as a *common carrier*, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: *Frozen fruits, frozen berries, and frozen vegetables*, from those points in North Carolina on and east of a line beginning at the Virginia-North Carolina State line and extending along Interstate Highway 85 to its intersection with U.S. Highway 70, thence along U.S. Highway 70 to its intersection with U.S. Highway 117, thence along U.S. Highway 117 to the Atlantic Ocean. The purpose of this filing is to eliminate the gateway of Washington, Pa.

No. MC 107515 (Sub-No. E154) (Correction), filed May 29, 1974, published in the FEDERAL REGISTER November 18, 1974. Applicant: REFRIGERATED TRANSPORT CO., INC., P.O. Box 308, Forest Park, Ga. 33050. Applicant's representative: Bruce E. Mitchell, 3379 Peachtree Rd. NE., Atlanta, Ga. 30326. Authority sought to operate as a *common carrier*, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: *Fresh and cured meats* and *dairy products* as described in the Appendix to the report in *Modification of Permits-Packing House Products*, 48 M.C.C. 628, from Quincy, Fla., and points in that part of Florida on and east of U.S. Highway 319, to points in that part of Alabama on and north of U.S. Highway 80. The purpose of this filing is to eliminate the gateway of any point that is within 5 miles of Montezuma, Ga., and within the commercial zone of Montezuma (except Montezuma). The purpose of this correction is to reflect the correct "E" number—previously published as E134.

No. MC 107515 (Sub-No. E155) (Correction), filed May 29, 1974, published in the FEDERAL REGISTER November 18, 1974. Applicant: REFRIGERATED TRANSPORT CO., INC., P.O. Box 308, Forest Park, Ga. 33050. Applicant's representative: R. M. Tettlebaum, Suite 375, 3379 Peachtree Rd. NE., Atlanta, Ga. 30326. Authority sought to operate as a *common carrier*, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: *Fresh and cured meats, and such commodities as are classified as dairy products*, in the Appendix to the report in *Modification of Permits-Packing House Products*, 48 M.C.C. 628, in vehicles equipped with mechanical refrigeration; (2) from points in Florida to points in that part of Virginia on and west of a line beginning at the Virginia-North Carolina State line, thence along U.S. Highway 258 to Newport News, thence along U.S. Highway 17 to junction Virginia Highway 171, thence along Virginia Highway 171 to the Chesapeake Bay. The purpose of this filing is to eliminate the gateways of (1) any point that is both within 5 miles of Macon, Ga., and within the commercial zone of Macon

(except Macon), and (2) the plant sites of Family Foods, Inc., or Ambrosia Chocolate Co., Division of W. R. Grace and Company at Charlotte, N.C. The purpose of this partial correction is to clarify the destination routes. The remainder of this letter-notice remains as previously published.

No. MC 107515 (Sub-No. E496), filed June 4, 1974. Applicant: REFRIGERATED TRANSPORT CO., INC., P.O. Box 308, Forest Park, Ga. 33050. Applicant's representative: R. M. Tettlebaum, Suite 375, 3379 Peachtree Rd. NE., Atlanta, Ga. 30326. Authority sought to operate as a common carrier, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: *Frozen foods*, in vehicles equipped with mechanical refrigeration, from points in that part of Ohio on and north of a line beginning at Cleveland on Lake Erie, thence along Interstate Highway 90 to the Ohio-Pennsylvania State line, to points in Texas, Louisiana, that part of Oklahoma on and east of a line beginning at the Arkansas-Oklahoma State line, thence along Oklahoma Highway 4 to junction U.S. Highway 259, thence along U.S. Highway 259 to junction Oklahoma Highway 1, thence along Oklahoma Highway 1 to junction U.S. Highway 270, thence along U.S. Highway 270 to junction Indian Nation Turnpike, thence along Indian Nation Turnpike to junction U.S. Highway 75, thence along U.S. Highway 75 to junction Alternate U.S. Highway 75, thence along Alternate U.S. Highway 75 to junction Oklahoma Highway 97, thence along Oklahoma Highway 97 to junction U.S. Highway 64, thence along U.S. Highway 64 to junction Oklahoma Highway 99, thence along Oklahoma Highway 99 to the Oklahoma-Kansas State line, points in that part of Arkansas on and south of a line beginning at the Arkansas-Louisiana State line, thence along U.S. Highway 65 to junction Arkansas Highway 4.

Thence along Arkansas Highway 4 to the Arkansas-Oklahoma State line, points in that part of Mississippi on and south of a line beginning at the Mississippi-Louisiana State line, thence along Interstate Highway 20 to junction U.S. Highway 49, thence along U.S. Highway 49 to junction U.S. Highway 98, thence along U.S. Highway 98 to the Mississippi-Alabama State line, points in that part of Alabama on and south of a line beginning at the Alabama-Mississippi, thence along U.S. Highway 98 to junction Interstate Highway 10, thence along Interstate Highway 10 to the Alabama-Florida State line, points in that part of Florida on and south of a line beginning at the Florida-Alabama State line thence along U.S. Highway 98 to junction Alternate U.S. Highway 27, thence along Alternate Highway 27 to junction U.S. Highway 19, thence along U.S. Highway 19 to junction Florida Highway 484, thence along Florida Highway 484 to junction U.S. Highway 441, thence along U.S. Highway 441 to junction Florida Highway 46, thence along Florida Highway 46 to junction U.S. Highway 1, thence along U.S. Highway 1 to junction Florida Highway 528, thence along Florida Highway 528 to the Atlantic Ocean. The purpose of this filing is to eliminate the gateways of (1) Detroit, Mich., and (2) Columbus, Ohio.

No. MC 107515 (Sub-No. E497), filed June 4, 1974. Applicant: REFRIGERATED TRANSPORT CO., INC., P.O. Box 308, Forest Park, Ga. 33050. Applicant's representative: R. M. Tettlebaum, Suite 375, 3379 Peachtree Rd. NE., Atlanta, Ga. 30326. Authority sought to operate as a common carrier, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: *Bananas, cocoanuts, and pineapples*, from West Palm Beach, Port of Palm Beach, Port of Everglades, and Tampa, Fla., to points in Minnesota, Ohio, and that part of Missouri on and north of a line beginning at the Illinois-Missouri State line, thence along Missouri Highway 74 to junction Missouri Highway 25, thence along Missouri Highway 25 to junction Missouri Highway 91, thence along Missouri Highway 91 to junction Missouri Highway 21, thence along Missouri Highway 21 to junction Missouri Highway 106, thence along Missouri Highway 106 to junction Missouri Highway 17, thence along Missouri Highway 17 to junction Missouri Highway 38, thence along Missouri Highway 38 to junction Missouri Highway 66, thence along Missouri Highway 66 to junction U.S. Highway 65, thence along U.S. Highway 65 to junction U.S. Highway 60, thence along U.S. Highway 60 to the Missouri-Oklahoma State line. The purpose of this filing to to eliminate the gateway of the plant site of Food Specialties of Kentucky, in Jefferson County, Ky.

No. MC 111545 (Sub-No. E64), filed May 23, 1974. Applicant: HOME TRANSPORTATION COMPANY, INC., P.O. Box 6426, Station A, Marietta, Ga. 30062. Applicant's representative: Robert E. Born (same as above). Authority sought to operate as a common carrier, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: *Commodities*, the transportation of which, because of size or weight, requires the use of special equipment, (1) between points in Tennessee, on the one hand, and, on the other, points in that part of Illinois on, west, and north of a line beginning at the Illinois-Missouri State line, thence along U.S. Highway 24 to Quincy, thence along Illinois Highway 96 to junction Illinois Highway 61, thence along Illinois Highway 61 to junction U.S. Highway 136, thence along U.S. Highway 136 to junction Illinois Highway 41 to Galesburg, thence along U.S. Highway 34 to junction Illinois Highway 88, thence along Illinois Highway 88 to Sterling, thence along Illinois Highway 2 to the Illinois-Wisconsin State line (points in Iowa);* (2) between points in that part of Tennessee within 175 miles of Chattanooga, Tenn., and on and south of a line beginning at the Tennessee-Georgia State line, thence along U.S. Highway 11 to Tennessee Highway 30, thence along Tennessee Highway 30 to junction Tennesse Highway 39, thence along Tennessee Highway 39 to Englewood, thence along U.S. Highway 411 to Maryville, thence along U.S. Highway 129 to Knoxville, thence along U.S. Highway 11E to Johnson City, thence along U.S. Highway 321 to the Tennessee-North Carolina State line, on the one hand, and, on the other, points in that part of Illinois on, north, and west of a line beginning at the Illinois-Missouri State line, thence along Illinois Highway 140 to junction U.S. Highway 40, thence along U.S. Highway 40 to Effingham, thence along U.S. Highway 45 to Champaign, thence along U.S. 150 to Bloomington, thence along U.S. Highway 51 to the Illinois-Wisconsin State line (points in that part of North Carolina, that part of South Carolina, or that part of Georgia within 175 miles of Chattanooga, Tenn.).* The purpose of this filing is to eliminate the gateways indicated by asterisks above.

No. MC 111545 (Sub-No. E339), filed June 4, 1974. Applicant: HOME TRANSPORTATION CO., INC., P.O. Box 6426, Station A, Marietta, Ga. 30062. Applicant's representative: Robert E. Born (same as above). Authority sought to operate as a *common carrier*, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: *Cast iron pipe* (except pipe as described in *Mercer Extension—Oilfield Commodities*, 74 M.C.C. 459, and pipe originating at or destined to pipeline rights-of-way), from points in that part of Alabama within 175 miles of Chattanooga, Tenn., and on and east of a line beginning at the Alabama-Tennessee State line thence along U.S. Highway 231 to Arab, thence along Alabama Highway 69 to Cullman, thence along U.S. Highway 31 to Birmingham, thence along U.S. Highway 11 to the Alabama-Mississippi State line, to points in Arizona, Nevada, Oregon, and Washington, restricted to the transportation of commodities which, because of size or weight, require the use of special equipment, where such transportation is performed on ordinary vehicular equipment, and special equipment is provided for loading and unloading is performed by the consignor or consignee, or both. The purpose of this filing is to eliminate the gateways of (1) points in that part of Alabama within 50 miles of Cartersville, Ga., and within 175 miles of Chattanooga, Tenn.; (2) points in Oklahoma; and (3) the plant site and warehouse facilities of Western Foundary Company at or near Tyler, Tex.

No. MC 111545 (Sub-No. E466), filed May 30, 1974. Applicant: HOME TRANSPORTATION CO., INC., P.O. Box 6426, Station A, Marietta, Ga. 30062. Applicant's representative: Robert E. Born (same as above). Authority sought to operate as a *common carrier*, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: (1) *Heat exchangers or equalizers* for air, gas or liquids, (2) *machinery and equipment* for heating, cooling, conditioning, humidifying, dehumidifying,

and moving of air, gas, or liquids, and (3) *parts, attachments, and accessories* for use in the installation and operation of (1) and (2) above, from points in Connecticut, Delaware, Maine, Maryland, Vermont, Massachusetts, New Hampshire, New Jersey, Rhode Island, and the District of Columbia, to points in Louisiana, restricted in (1), (2), and (3) above to the transportation of commodities which, because of size or weight, requires the use of special equipment. The purpose of this filing is to eliminate the gateways of (1) points in that part of North Carolina, South Carolina, or Georgia within 175 miles of Chattanooga, Tenn.; and (2) the plant and warehouse facilities of the Trane Company at Clarksville, Tenn.

No. MC 111545 (Sub-No. E610), filed June 3, 1974. Applicant: HOME TRANSPORTATION CO., INC., P.O. Box 6426, Station A, Marietta, Ga. 30062. Applicant's representative: Robert E. Born (same as above). Authority sought to operate as a *common carrier*, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: *Commodities*, the transportation of which, because of size or weight, requires the use of special equipment, (1) between Newport News, Hampton, Norfolk, Virginia Beach, Portsmouth, and Chesapeake, Va., on the one hand, and, on the other, points in Connecticut, Maine, Massachusetts, New Hampshire, New Jersey, New York, Pennsylvania, Rhode Island, Vermont, and the District of Columbia; and (2) between Newport News, Hampton, Norfolk, Virginia Beach, Portsmouth, and Chesapeake, Va., on the one hand, and, on the other, points in that part of Maryland on and north of a line beginning at the Maryland-District of Columbia State line, thence along U.S. Highway 50 to junction U.S. Highway 301, thence along U.S. Highway 301 to junction Maryland Highway 300, thence along Maryland Highway 300 to the Maryland-Delaware State line, and that part of Delaware on and north of a line beginning at the Delaware-Maryland State line, thence along Delaware Highway 300 to junction Delaware Highway 44, thence along Delaware Highway 44 to Pearson, thence along Delaware Highway 8 to Deep Water Point. The purpose of this filing is to eliminate the gateway of points in North Carolina.

No. MC 111545 (Sub-No. E615), filed June 3, 1974. Applicant: HOME TRANSPORTATION COMPANY, INC., P.O. Box 6426, Station A, Marietta, Ga. 30062. Applicant's representative: Robert E. Born (Same as above). Authority sought to operate as a common carrier, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: *Buildings*, in sections, (1) from points in Florida, Georgia, and South Carolina to points in New Jersey and New York; and (2) from points in Mississippi to points in New Jersey and that part of New York on and east of a line beginning at Sea Breeze, thence along New York Highway 18 to Rochester, thence along Interstate Highway 490 to junction Interstate Highway 90, thence along Interstate Highway 90 to West Junius, thence along New York Highway 14 to the New York-Pennsylvania State line. The purpose of this filing is to eliminate the gateway of the plant site of Continental Homes, Inc., at or near Rocky Mount or Boones Mill, Va.

No. MC 111545 (Sub-No. E616), filed June 3, 1974. Applicant. HOME TRANSPORTATION COMPANY, INC., P.O. Box 6426, Station A, Marietta, Ga. 30062. Applicant's representative: Robert E. Born (Same as above). Authority sought to operate as a common carrier, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: *Buildings*, in sections, from points in that part of Florida on and south of a line beginning at Jacksonville Beach, thence along U.S. Highway 90 to Lake City, thence along Florida Highway 247 to Branford, thence along U.S. Highway 129 to Chiefland, thence along Florida Highway 345 to junction Florida Highway 24, thence along Florida Highway 24 to Cedar Key, to points in that part of Ohio on and east of a line beginning at the Ohio-Kentucky State line, thence along Ohio Highway 73 to Belfast, thence along Ohio Highway 785 to Sugar Tree Ridge, thence along Ohio Highway 136 to junction U.S. Highway 62, thence along U.S. Highway 62 to Washington Court House, thence along U.S. Highway 35 to Dayton, thence along U.S. Highway 25 to Lima, thence along U.S. Highway 30S to junction U.S. Highway 30 to Delphos, thence along Ohio Highway 66 to Defiance, thence along Ohio Highway 15 to the Ohio-Michigan State line. The purpose of this filing is to eliminate the gateway of the plant site of Continental Homes, Inc., at or near Rocky Mount, Va.

No. MC 111545 (Sub-No. E622), filed June 3, 1974. Applicant: HOME TRANSPORTATION COMPANY, INC., P.O. Box 6426, Station A, Marietta, Ga. 30062. Applicant's representative: Robert E. Born (same as above). Authority sought to operate as a common carrier, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: *Commodities* (except knitting machines), the transportation of which, because of size or weight, requires the use of special equipment, (1) from points in Rhode Island and Vermont to points in New Mexico; and (2) from points in that part of Pennsylvania on, south, and east of a line beginning at the Pennsylvania-Maryland State line, thence along U.S. Highway 219 to junction Interstate Highway 80, thence along Interstate Highway 80 to junction U.S. Highway 220, thence along U.S. Highway 220 to Williamsport, thence along U.S. Highway 15 to Trout Run, thence along Pennsylvania Highway 14 to the Pennsylvania-New York State line, to points in New Mexico; ((1) points in that part of Georgia, that part of North Carolina, or that part of South Carolina within 175 miles of Chattanooga, Tenn.; (2) points in that part of Georgia or that part of South Carolina within 50 miles of Atlanta, Cartersville, or Marietta, Ga., or Columbia, S.C., and within 175 miles of Chattanooga, Tenn.; and (3) points in Oklahoma);* (3) from points in North Carolina to points in New Mexico; ((1) points in that part of North Carolina or that part of South Carolina within 175 miles of Chattanooga, Tenn.; (2) points in that part of Georgia or that part of South Carolina within 50 miles of Atlanta, Cartersville, or Marietta, Ga., or Columbia, S.C., and within 175 miles of Chattanooga, Tenn.; and (3) points in Oklahoma);* (4) from points in Virginia and that part of West Virginia on, south, and east of a line beginning at the West Virginia-Kentucky State line, thence along U.S. Highway 119 to Weston, thence along U.S. Highway 33 to Elkins, thence along U.S. Highway 219 to the West Virginia-Maryland State line, to points in that part of New Mexico; ((1) points in North Carolina within 175 miles of Chattanooga, Tenn.; (2) points in that part of Georgia or that part of South Carolina within 50 miles of Atlanta, Cartersville, or Marietta, Ga., or Columbia, S.C., and within 175 miles of Chattanooga, Tenn.; and (3) points in Oklahoma);* (5) from points in South Carolina to points in New Mexico (points in Oklahoma).* The purpose of this filing is to eliminate the gateways indicated by asterisks above.

No. MC 111545 (Sub-No. E623), filed June 3, 1974. Applicant: HOME TRANSPORTATION COMPANY, INC., P.O. Box 6426, Station A, Marietta, Ga. 30062. Applicant's representative: Robert E. Born (Same as above). Authority sought to operate as a common carrier, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: *Commodities*, the transportation of which, because of size or weight, requires the use of special equipment, between points in Kentucky, on the one hand, and, on the other, points in that part of Wisconsin on and west of a line beginning at the Wisconsin-Iowa State line, thence along U.S. Highway 151 to junction Wisconsin Highway 23, thence along Wisconsin Highway 23 to Wisconsin Dells, thence along Wisconsin Highway 13 to Wisconsin Rapids, thence along Wisconsin Highway 54 to Plover, thence along U.S. Highway 51 to the Wisconsin-Michigan State line. The purpose of this filing is to eliminate the gateway of points in Iowa.

No. MC 111545 (Sub-No. E625), filed June 3, 1974. Applicant: HOME TRANSPORTATION COMPANY, INC., P.O. Box 6426, Station A, Marietta, Ga. 30062. Applicant's representative: Robert E. Born (Same as above). Authority sought to operate as a common carrier, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: *Trailers*, designed to be drawn by passenger automobiles, in initial movements, from points in Georgia and that part of Alabama on and north of U.S. Highway 78, to points in that part of Virginia on, east, and south of a line beginning at the Virginia-North Carolina State line, thence along U.S. Highway 220 to junction U.S. Highway 11, thence along U.S. Highway 11 to the Virginia-West Virginia State line. The purpose of this filing is to eliminate the gateway of the plant site of Broadmore Homes of North Carolina, Inc., near Reidsville, N.C.

Born (Same as above). Authority sought Applicant's representative: Robert E.

No. MC 111545 (Sub-No. E628), filed May 19, 1974. Applicant: HOME TRANSPORTATION COMPANY, INC., P.O. Box 6426, Station A, Marietta, Ga. 30062. to operate as a common carrier, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: *Commodities*, the transportation of which, because of size or weight, requires the use of special equipment, between points in the Lower Peninsula of Michigan, on the one hand, and, on the other, points in Wyoming. The purpose of this filing is to eliminate the gateways of points in Iowa and Illinois.

No. MC 111545 (Sub-No. E636), filed May 19, 1974. Applicant: HOME TRANSPORTATION COMPANY, INC., P.O. Box 6426, Station A, Marietta, Ga. 30062. Applicant's representative: Robert E. Born (Same as above). Authority sought to operate as a common carrier, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: *Commodities*, the transportation of which, because of size or weight, requires the use of special equipment, between points in Maryland, on the one hand, and, on the other, points in that part of Tennessee within 175 miles of Chattanooga, Tenn., and on, south, and west of a line beginning at the Tennessee-Kentucky State line, thence along Alternate U.S. Highway 41 to Nashville, thence along U.S. Highway 70 to Lebanon, thence along U.S. Highway 70N to Crossville, thence along U.S. Highway 70 to Knoxville, thence along U.S. Highway 11E to Morristown, thence along U.S. Highway 25E to junction U.S. Highway 25, thence along U.S. Highway 25 to the Tennessee-North Carolina State line. The purpose of this filing is to eliminate the gateway of points in North Carolina or Georgia.

No. MC 111545 (Sub-No. E637), filed May 19, 1974. Applicant: HOME TRANSPORTATION COMPANY, INC., P.O. Box 6426, Station A, Marietta, Ga. 30062. Applicant's representative: Robert E. Born (Same as above). Authority sought to operate as a common carrier, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: *Commodities*, the transportation of which, because of size or weight, requires the use of special equipment, (1) from points in the Lower Peninsula of Michigan, to points in Colorado; and (2) from points in Kentucky and that part of Tennessee on, north, and east of a line beginning at the Tennessee-Missouri State line, thence along Tennessee Highway 20 to Jackson, thence along U.S. Highway 45 to the Tennessee-Mississippi State line, to points in that part of Colorado on, north, and west of a line beginning at the Colorado-Kansas State line, thence along U.S. Highway 24 to Colorado Springs, thence along U.S. Highway 85 to Pueblo, thence along U.S. Highway 50 to junction U.S. Highway 285, thence along U.S. Highway 285 to the Colorado-New Mexico State line. The purpose of this filing is to eliminate the gateways of points in Iowa and Missouri.

No. MC 111545 (Sub-No. E638), filed May 19, 1974. Applicant: HOME TRANSPORTATION CO., INC., P.O. Box 6426, Station A, Marietta, Ga. 30062. Applicant's representative: Robert E. Born (same as above). Authority sought to operate as a *common carrier*, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: *Commodities* (except knitting machines), the transportation of which, because of size or weight, requires the use of special equipment, from points in Rhode Island to points in that part of Arkansas on and west of a line beginning at the Arkansas-Oklahoma State line, thence along Arkansas Highway 16 to Lurton, thence along Arkansas Highway 7 to the Arkansas-Louisiana State line. The purpose of this filing is to eliminate the gateways of (1) points in that part of Georgia within 50 miles of Atlanta, Cartersville, or Marietta, Ga.; and (2) points in Oklahoma.

No. MC 11545 (Sub-No. E642), filed June 2, 1974. Applicant: HOME TRANSPORTATION CO., INC., P.O. Box 6426, Station A, Marietta, Ga. 30062. Applicant's representative: Robert E. Born (same as above). Authority sought to operate as a *common carrier*, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: *Such incinerators and refuse-treatment equipment, and parts, attachments, and accessories*, for incinerators and refuse-treatment equipment, as are machinery and machine tools, the transportation of which, because of size or weight requires the use of special equipment, (1) from points in Connecticut to points in Arizona, California, New Mexico, and Oregon, (2) from points in Delaware and the District of Columbia to points in Arizona, California, Idaho, Nevada, New Mexico, Oregon, Utah, and Washington, (3) from points in Maine to points in Arizona, California, Nevada, New Mexico, and Oregon, (4) from points in Maryland to points in Arizona, California, New Mexico, and that part of Oregon on, south, and west of a line beginning at the Oregon-Idaho State line, thence along Interstate Highway 80N to Portland, thence along Interstate Highway 5 to the Oregon-Washington State line, (5) from points in Massachusetts, New Hampshire, New Jersey, and Vermont to points in Arizona, California, and New Mexico, and (6) from points in Rhode Island to points in Arizona, California, Nevada, New Mexico, Oregon, and Utah. The purpose of this filing is to eliminate the gateways of (1) the site of the Bell Bomer Plant near Marietta, Ga.; and (2) Springfield, Mo.

No. MC 111545 (Sub-No. E643), filed May 19, 1974. Applicant: HOME TRANSPORTATION CO., INC., P.O. Box 6426, Station A, Marietta, Ga. 30062. Applicant's representative: Robert E. Born (same as above). Authority sought to operate as a *common carrier*, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: *Commodities*, the transportation of which, because of size or weight, requires the use of special equipment, between points in Kansas within 300 miles of Ames, Iowa, on the one hand, and, on the other, points in that part of Indiana on and north of a line beginning at the Indiana-Illinois State line, thence along U.S. Highway 136 to Indianapolis, thence along U.S. Highway 40 to the Indiana-Ohio State line. The purpose of this filing is to eliminate the gateway of points in Iowa.

No. MC 112822 (Sub-No. E207) (Correction), filed June 5, 1974, published in the FEDERAL REGISTER November 18, 1974. Applicant: BRAY LINES INCORPORATED, P.O. Box 1191, Cushing, Okla. 74023. Applicant's representative: Robert A. Stone (same as above). Authority sought to operate as a *common carrier*, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: *Cheese and cheese products*, in vehicles equipped with mechanical refrigeration, from points in Oklahoma east and north of a line beginning at the Oklahoma-Kansas State line and extending along U.S. Highway 81 to junction Interstate Highway 40, thence along Interstate Highway 40 to the Oklahoma-Arkansas State line to points in California in and north of Santa Cruz, Santa Clara, Merced, Madera and Mono Counties. The purpose of this filing is to eliminate the gateway of Logan, Utah. The purpose of this correction is to indicate the destination.

No. MC 112822 (Sub-No. E216) (Correction), filed June 4, 1974, published in the FEDERAL REGISTER November 18, 1974. Applicant: BRAY LINES, INC., P.O. Box 1191, Cushing, Okla. 74023. Applicant's representative: Robert A. Stone (same as above). Authority sought to operate as a *common carrier*, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: *Frozen foods*, from points in California on and north of a line beginning at San Francisco and extending along Interstate Highway 80 to the California-Nevada State line to points in Louisiana. The purpose of this filing is to eliminate the gateway of points in Idaho. The purpose of this correction is to indicate the destination.

No. MC 114211 (Sub-No. E160), filed June 4, 1974. Applicant: WARREN TRANSPORT, INC., P.O. Box 420, Dallas, Tex. 75222. Applicant's representative: Kenneth R. Nelson (Same as above). Authority sought to operate as a common carrier, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: *Farm tractors* (except commodities which because of size or weight require the use of special equipment, and commodities described in *Mercer Extension—Oil Field Commodities*, 74 M.C.C. 459), from points in that part of Iowa on, south, and east of a line beginning at the Minnesota-Iowa State line, thence along Iowa Highway 4 to junction U.S. Highway 18, thence along U.S. Highway 18 to junction U.S. Highway 71, thence along U.S. Highway 71 to junction Interstate Highway 80, thence along Interstate Highway 80 to the Iowa-Nebraska State line, to points in that part of California on and south of a line beginning at the Pacific Ocean, thence along

California Highway 1 to junction California Highway 152, thence along California Highway 152 to junction California Highway 99, thence along California Highway 99 to junction California Highway 58, thence along California Highway 58 to junction Interstate Highway 15, thence along Interstate Highway 15 to the California-Nevada State line, that part of Nevada on and south of a line beginning at the Nevada-California State line, thence along Interstate Highway 15 to junction U.S. Highway 93, thence along U.S. Highway 93 to the Nevada-Arizona State line, that part of Arizona on and south of a line beginning at the Nevada-Arizona State line, thence along U.S. Highway 93 to junction U.S. Highway 66, thence along U.S. Highway 66 to junction Interstate Highway 40.

Thence along Interstate Highway 40 to the Arizona-New Mexico State line, that part of New Mexico on and south of a line beginning at the New Mexico-Arizona State line, thence along Interstate Highway 40 to junction U.S. Highway 285, thence along U.S. Highway 285 to junction U.S. Highway 60, thence along U.S. Highway 60 to the New Mexico-Texas State line, that part of Oklahoma on and south of a line beginning at the Texas-Oklahoma State line, thence along U.S. Highway 66 to junction Interstate Highway 35, thence along Interstate Highway 35 to junction Oklahoma Highway 51, thence along Oklahoma Highway 51 to junction U.S. Highway 75, thence along U.S. Highway 75 to junction Oklahoma Highway 3, thence along Oklahoma Highway 3 to junction U.S. Highway 70, thence along U.S. Highway 70 to the Oklahoma-Arkansas State line, that part of Arkansas on and south of a line beginning at the Oklahoma-Arkansas State line, thence along U.S. Highway 70 to junction U.S. Highway 71, thence along U.S. Highway 71 to the Arkansas-Louisiana State line, and that part of Louisiana on and south of a line beginning at the Arkansas-Louisiana State line, thence along U.S. Highway 71 to junction U.S. Highway 171, thence along U.S. Highway 171 to junction Louisiana Highway 10, thence along Louisiana Highway 10 to junction U.S. Highway 167, thence along U.S. Highway 167 to junction Louisiana Highway 82, thence along Louisiana Highway 82 to the Gulf of Mexico. The purpose of this filing is to eliminate the gateways of points in Kansas, and Claremore, Okla.

No. MC 114211 (Sub-No. E161), filed June 4, 1974. Applicant: WARREN TRANSPORT, INC., P.O. Box 420, Dallas, Tex. 75222. Applicant's representative: Kenneth R. Nelson (Same as above). Authority sought to operate as a common carrier, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: (1) *Tractors*, and (2) *Road making machinery, and contractors' equipment and supplies* which are designed for use in conjunction with tractors, from points in that part of South Dakota on and east of a line beginning at the North Dakota-South Dakota State line, thence along South Dakota Highway 25 to junction U.S. Highway 212, thence along U.S. Highway 212 to junction U.S. Highway 81, thence along U.S. Highway 81 to junction South Dakota Highway 38, thence along South Dakota Highway 38 to junction South Dakota Highway 37, thence along South Dakota Highway 37 to junction U.S. Highway 18, thence along U.S. Highway 18 to junction U.S. Highway 81, thence along U.S. Highway 81 to the South Dakota-Nebraska State line, to points in Washington, that part of California on, north, and west of a line beginning at Point Arena, thence along California Highway 253 to junction California Highway 101, thence along California Highway 101 to junction California Highway 20, thence along California Highway 20 to junction Interstate Highway 5, thence along Interstate Highway 5 to junction U.S. Highway 97, thence along U.S. Highway 97 to the California-Oregon State line, that part of Oregon on, north, and west of a line beginning at the California-Oregon State line.

* * * * *

Thence along U.S. Highway 97 to junction Oregon Highway 140, thence along Oregon Highway 140 to junction U.S. Highway 395, thence along U.S. Highway 395 to junction Interstate Highway 80, thence along Interstate Highway 80 to junction Oregon Highway 82, thence along Oregon Highway 82 to junction Oregon Highway 3, thence along Oregon Highway 3 to the Oregon-Washington State line, that part of Idaho on and north of U.S. Highway 12, that part of Montana on and north of a line beginning at the Idaho-Montana State line, thence along U.S. Highway 12 to junction Montana Highway 200, thence along Montana Highway 200 to junction U.S. Highway 89, thence along U.S. Highway 89 to junction U.S. Highway 87, thence along U.S. Highway 87 to junction U.S. Highway 2, thence along U.S. Highway 2 to the Montana-North Dakota State line, that part of North Dakota on, north, and west of a line beginning at the Montana-North Dakota State line, thence along U.S. Highway 2 to junction U.S. Highway 85, thence along U.S. Highway 85 to junction U.S. Highway 5, thence along U.S. Highway 5 to junction North Dakota Highway 42, thence along North Dakota Highway 42 to the International Boundary line between the United States and Canada. The purpose of this filing is to eliminate the gateway of points in that part of Minnesota located in the Fargo, N. Dak., Commercial Zone.

No. MC 114211 (Sub-No. E162), filed June 4, 1974. Applicant: WARREN TRANSPORT, INC., P.O. Box 420, Dallas, Tex. 75222. Applicant's representative: Kenneth R. Nelson (Same as above). Authority sought to operate as a common carrier, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: *Tractors, road making machinery, and contractors' equipment and supplies*, from Waverly, Iowa, to points in Washington, Oregon, Idaho, Montana, Utah, California, Nevada, Arizona, North Dakota, that part of Wyoming on and west of a line beginning at the South Dakota-Wyoming State line, thence along U.S. Highway 16 to junction U.S. Highway 18, thence along U.S. Highway 18 to junction Interstate Highway 25, thence along Interstate Highway 25 to junction Wyoming Highway 220, thence along Wyoming Highway 220 to junction U.S. Highway 287, thence along U.S. Highway 287 to junction U.S. Highway 30, thence along U.S. Highway 30 to junction Wyoming Highway 430, thence along Wyoming Highway 430 to the Wyoming-Colorado State line, and that part of New Mexico on, south, and west of a line beginning at the Colorado-New Mexico State line, thence along New Mexico Highway 3 to junction U.S. Highway 64, thence along U.S. Highway 64 to junction U.S. Highway 285, thence along U.S. Highway 285 to junction U.S. Highway 82, thence along U.S. Highway 82 to junction New Mexico Highway 18, thence along New Mexico Highway 18 to junction U.S. Highway 62, thence along U.S. Highway 62 to the Texas-New Mexico State line. The purpose of this filing is to eliminate the gateway of Minneapolis, Minn.

No. MC 114211 (Sub-No. E164), filed June 4, 1974. Applicant: WARREN TRANSPORT, INC., P.O. Box 420, Dallas, Tex. 75222. Applicant's representative: Kenneth R. Nelson (Same as above). Authority sought to operate as a common carrier, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: (1) *Tractors*, and (2) *Road making machinery, and contractors' equipment and supplies*, designed for use in conjunction with tractors, from points in that part of Minnesota on and north of a line beginning at the South Dakota-Minnesota State line, thence along U.S. Highway 12 to junction Interstate Highway 94, thence along Interstate Highway 94 to the Minnesota-Wisconsin State line, to points in Washington, Oregon, Idaho, that part of Montana on and west of a line beginning at the International Boundary line between the United States and Canada, thence along Interstate Highway 15 to junction U.S. Highway 87, thence along U.S. Highway 87 to junction Interstate Highway 90, thence along Interstate Highway 90 to junction U.S. Highway 310, thence along U.S. Highway 310 to the Wyoming-Montana State line, and that part of Wyoming on and west of a line beginning at the Montana-Wyoming State line, thence along Wyoming Highway 120 to junction Wyoming Highway 789, thence along Wyoming Highway 789 to junction U.S. Highway 287, thence along U.S. Highway 287 to junction U.S. Highway 30, thence along U.S. Highway 30 to junction Wyoming Highway 789, thence along Wyoming Highway 789 to the Wyoming-Colorado State line. The purpose of this filing is to eliminate the gateways of points in that part of Minnestota located in the Fargo, N. Dak. Commercial Zone.

No. MC 114211 (Sub-No. E170), filed June 4, 1974. Applicant: WARREN TRANSPORT, INC., P.O. Box 420, Dallas, Tex. 75222. Applicant's representative: Kenneth R. Nelson (Same as above). Authority sought to operate as a common carrier, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: *Farm machinery and parts thereof*, from points in that part of Iowa on and east of a line beginning at the Minnesota-Iowa State line, thence along U.S. Highway 71 to junction Iowa Highway 4, thence along Iowa Highway 4 to junction U.S. Highway 18, thence along U.S. Highway 18 to junction U.S. Highway 169, thence along U.S. Highway 169 to junction U.S. Highway 20, thence along U.S. Highway 20 to junction Interstate Highway 35, thence along Interstate Highway 35 to junction U.S. Highway 30, thence along U.S. Highway 30 to junction Iowa Highway 212, thence along Iowa Highway 212 to junction U.S. Highway 6, thence along U.S. Highway 6 to the Iowa-Illinois State line, to points in that part of Colorado on and west of Interstate Highway 75. The purpose of this filing is to eliminate the gateways of Ft. Dodge, Iowa, and Beatrice, Nebr.

No. MC 126372 (Sub-No. E1), filed June 4, 1974. Applicant: SUREFINE TRANSPORTATION CO., 1925 East Vernon Ave., Los Angeles, Cal. 90058. Applicant's representative: Arthur J. Piken, Suite 1515, One Lefrak City Plaza, Flushing, N.Y. 11368. Authority sought to operate as a *common carrier*, by motor vehicle over irregular routes, transporting: (I) *New furniture*, uncrated, as described in appendix II to the report in *Descriptions in Motor Carrier Certificates*, 61 M.C.C. 209, and *New store and office fixtures* uncrated, as described in the report in *Descriptions in Motor Carrier Certificates*, 61 M.C.C. 209, from points in California to points in Arkansas, Kansas, and Oklahoma (points in New Mexico)*; (II) *Such new kitchen equipment*, uncrated as described in appendix IV to the report in *Descriptions in Motor Carrier Certificates*, 61 M.C.C. 209, as is new furniture or new commercial or institutional fixtures, uncrated, from San Diego, Calif., and points in Los Angeles and Orange Counties, Calif., to points in Arkansas, Kansas, and Oklahoma (points in New Mexico)*; (III) *New furniture*, uncreated as described in appendix II to the report in *Descriptions in Motor Carrier Certificates*, 61 M.C.C. 209, and *new store and office fixtures*, uncrated as described in appendix III to the report in *Descriptions in Motor Carrier Certificates*, 61 M.C.C. 209, (1) from points in that part of Idaho on and south of U.S. Highway 30 to points in that part of Oklahoma on and east of a line beginning at the Oklahoma-Kansas State line, thence along Oklahoma Highway 34 to junction Interstate Highway 40, thence along Interstate Highway 40 to Sayre, thence along U.S. Highway 283 to the Oklahoma-Texas State line.

(2) From points in Idaho to points in that part of the New Mexico south of a line beginning at Biklabito, thence along New Mexico Highway 504 to Farmington, thence along New Mexico Highway 17 to Bloomfield, thence along New Mexico Highway 64 to Cuba, thence along New Mexico Highway 126 to junction New Mexico Highway 4, thence along New Mexico Highway 4 to Pojeague, thence along U.S. Highway 285 to junction Interstate Highway 25, thence along Interstate Highway 25 to Las Vegas, thence along New Mexico Highway 104 to Tucumcari, thence along U.S. Highway 66 to the New Mexico-Texas State line; (3) from points in that part of Idaho on and north of a line beginning at the Idaho-Oregon State line, thence along Interstate Highway 80N to junction Interstate Highway 15W, thence along Interstate Highway 15W to Pocotello, thence along Interstate Highway 15 to Idaho Falls, thence along U.S. Highway 26 to the Idaho-Wyoming State line, to points in Arkansas; and (4) from Boise, Idaho, to points in that part of Kansas north of a line beginning at the Kansas-Oklahoma State line, thence along U.S. Highway 54 to Minneola, thence along U.S. Highway 283 to Dodge City, thence along U.S. Highway 50 to Newton, thence along Interstate Highway 35W to Sedgwick, thence along Kansas Highway 196 to Eldorado, thence along U.S. Highway 54 to the Kansas-Missouri State line (points in Arizona and New Mexico)*; (5) from points in Idaho to points in Texas (points in Arizona)*.

(IV) (1) *New furniture*, (2) *new institutional fixtures*, uncrated, and (3) *new commercial fixtures*, uncrated, when moving in mixed loads and on the same bill of lading with the commodities in (1) and (2) above, (1) from points in that part of Utah west of a line beginning at the Utah-Idaho State line, thence along U.S. Highway 91 to Brigham City, thence along Interstate Highway 15 to Provo, thence along U.S. Highway 89 to the Utah-Arizona State line, to points in Arkansas; (2) from points in that part of Utah north and west of a line beginning at the Utah-Nevada State line, thence along the Box Elder-Tooele County line and the Weber-Davis County line, to junction Interstate 15, thence along Interstate 15 to Provo, thence along U.S. Highway 91 to Levan, thence along Utah Highway 28 to Gunnison, thence along U.S. Highway 89 to Utah-Arizona State line, to points in that part of Kansas south of a line beginning at the Kansas-Oklahoma State line, thence along U.S. Highway 54 to Liberal, thence along U.S. Highway 83 to Garden City, thence along U.S. Highway 156 to Larned, thence along U.S. Highway 56 to junction U.S. Highway 156, thence along U.S. Highway 156 to junction Interstate Highway 70, thence along Interstate Highway 70 to Kansas City; and (3) from points in that part of Utah west of a line beginning at the Utah-Idaho State line, thence along U.S. Highway 19 to Brigham City, thence along Interstate Highway 15 to Santaguin, thence along U.S. Highway 91 to Levan, thence along Utah Highway 28 to Gunnison, thence along U.S. Highway 89 to the Utah-Arizona State line, to points in Oklahoma (points in New Mexico)*.

(V) *New furniture*, uncrated, as described in appendix II to the report in *Descriptions in Motor Carrier Certificates*, 61 M.C.C. 209, and *new store and office fixtures*, uncrated, as described in appendix III to the report in *Descriptions in Motor Carrier Certificates*, 61 M.C.C. 209, (1) from points in that part of Arizona south of Interstate Highway 40, to points in Arkansas and Kansas; (2) from points in that part of Arizona east of a line beginning at Nogales, thence along U.S. Highway 89 to Tucson, thence along Interstate Highway 10 to Phoenix, thence along U.S. Highway 60 to the Arizona-New Mexico Stateline, north of a line beginning at Nogales, thence along Arizona Highway 82 to junction Arizona Highway 90, thence along Arizona Highway 90 to junction U.S. Highway 80, thence along U.S. Highway 80 to Douglas, and west of U.S. Highway 666, to points in Idaho and Montana; (3) from points in that part of Arizona south of Interstate Highway 40 to points in Colorado and Oklahoma; and (4) from points in that part of Arizona south of Interstate Highway 40, to points in that part of Montana east of a line beginning at the Wyoming-Montana State line, thence along U.S. Highway 87 to Sheridan, thence along Interstate Highway 25 to Casper, thence along Wyoming Highway 220 to Muddy Gap, thence along U.S. Highway 287 to Rawlins, thence along Interstate Highway 80 to the Wyoming-Nebraska State line (points in New Mexico)*; (VI) *New furniture*, uncrated, as described in appendix II to the report in *Descriptions in Motor Carrier Certificates*, 61 M.C.C. 209 and *new store and office fixtures*, uncrated, as described in appendix III to the report in *Descriptions in Motor Carrier Certificates*, 61 M.C.C. 209, from points in Washington, Oregon, and Idaho, to points in New Mexico and Texas (points in Arizona or California)*.

(VIII). *New furniture*, uncrated, as described in appendix II to the report in *Descriptions in Motor Carrier Certificates*, 61 M.C.C. 209, and *new store and office fixtures*, uncrated as described in appendix III to the report in *Descriptions in Motor Carrier Certificates*, 61 M.C.C. 209, (1) from points in that part of Washington on and west of a line beginning at Seattle, thence along Interstate Highway 5 to Vancouver, to points in that part of Colorado on, south, and east of a line beginning at the Colorado-Nebraska State line, thence along U.S. Highway 34 to Brush, thence along Colorado Highway 71 to Lost Chance, thence along U.S. Highway 36 to Denver, thence along Interstate Highway 25 to the Colorado-New Mexico State line; (2) from points in that part of Oregon on and west of a line beginning at Astoria, thence along U.S. Highway

30 to Portland, thence along U.S. Highway 26 to junction U.S. Highway 97, thence along U.S. Highway 97 to the Oregon-California State line, to points in Colorado and New Mexico; (3) from points in that part of Oregon west of a line beginning at Astoria, thence along U.S. Highway 30 to Portland, thence along Interstate 80N to junction U.S. Highway 197, thence along U.S. Highway 197 to junction U.S. Highway 97, thence along U.S. Highway 97 to Bend, thence along U.S. Highway 20 to Riley.

Thence along U.S. Highway 295 to New Pine Creek, to points in Texas; (4) from points in that part of Oregon west of Interstate Highway 5, to Laramie and Cheyenne, Wyo.; (5) from Klamath Falls, Oreg., to Lander, Rock Springs, Casper, Cheyenne, and Laramie, Wyo.; (6) from Portland, Oreg., to Cheyenne and Laramie, Wyo.; (7) from Grants Pass, Oreg., to Lander, Rock Springs, Casper, Cheyenne, and Laramie, Wyo.; and (8) from Eugene, Oreg., to Lander, Rock Springs, Casper, Cheyenne, and Laramie, Wyo. (points in California)*; (9) from points in that part of Oregon on and west of a line beginning at Astoria, thence along U.S. Highway 30 to Portland, thence along U.S. Highway 26 to junction U.S. Highway 97, thence along U.S. Highway 97 to Bend, thence along U.S. Highway 20 to Riley, thence along U.S. Highway 395 to New Pine Creek, to points in Oklahoma and that part of Kansas south of a line beginning at the Kansas-Colorado State line, thence along Kansas Highway 96 to junction U.S. Highway 156, thence along U.S. Highway 156 to junction U.S. Highway 40, thence along U.S. Highway 40 to Junction City, thence along Kansas Highway 18 to Manhattan, thence along U.S. Highway 24 to junction Kansas Highway 4, thence along Kansas Highway 4 to junction U.S. Highway 59, thence along U.S. Highway 59 to Atchison; and (10) from points in that part of Oregon west of a line beginning at Astoria, thence along U.S. Highway 30 to Portland, thence along Interstate Highway 80N to junction U.S. Highway 197, thence along U.S. Highway 197 to junction U.S. Highway 97, thence along U.S. Highway 97 to Bend, thence along U.S. Highway 20 to Riley, thence along U.S. Highway 395 to New Pine Creek, to points in Arkansas (points in California and New Mexico)*; (11) from points in Washington to points in Texas, Oklahoma, and Arkansas; (12) from points in that part of Washington west of a line beginning at the International Boundary line between the United States and Canada, thence along Washington Highway 31 to Newport, thence along U.S. Highway 2 to Spokane, thence along Interstate Highway 90 to Vantage.

Thence along Washington Highway 243 to junction Washington Highway 24, thence along Washington Highway 24 to junction Washington Highway 241, thence along Washington Highway 241 to Roosevelt; and (12) from points in that part of Washington west of a line beginning at Blaine, thence along Interstate Highway 5 to Everett, thence along U.S. Highway 2 to Monroe, thence along U.S. Highway 522 to Bothell, thence along Washington Highway 202 to Fall City, thence along Washington Highway 203 to North Bend, thence along Interstate Highway 90 to junction Washington Highway 18, thence along Washington Highway 18 to junction Interstate Highway 5, thence along Interstate Highway 5 to Vancouver, to points in that part of Kansas south of a line beginning at the Kansas-Colorado State line, thence along U.S. Highway 40 to Oakley, thence along Interstate Highway 70 to Junction City, thence along Kansas Highway 18 to Manhattan, thence along U.S. Highway 24 to junction Kansas Highway 4, thence along Kansas Highway 4 to junction U.S. Highway 59, thence along U.S. Highway 59 to Atchison (points in Arizona and New Mexico)*. (VIII). *New furniture*, uncrated, as described in appendix II to the report in *Descriptions in Motor Carrier Certificates*, 61 M.C.C. 209, from points in that part of Montana west of a line beginning at Sweetgrass, thence along Interstate Highway 15 to Helena, thence along U.S. Highway 287 to junction U.S. Highway 10, thence along U.S. Highway 10 to Bozeman, thence along U.S. Highway 191 to the Montana-Wyoming State line, to points in that part of Texas south of U.S. Highway 82 (points in Arizona)*.

(IX). *New furniture*, uncrated, as described in appendix II to the report in *Descriptions in Motor Carrier Certificates*, 61 M.C.C. 209, and *new store and office fixtures*, uncrated, as described in appendix III to the report in *Descriptions in Motor Carrier Certificates*, 61 M.C.C. 209, (1) from points in that part of Montana west of a line beginning at Sweetgrass, thence along Interstate Highway 15 to Helena, thence along U.S. Highway 287 to junction U.S. Highway 10, thence along U.S. Highway 10 to Bozeman, thence along U.S. Highway 191 to the Montana-Wyoming State line, to points in that part of New Mexico on, south, and west of a line beginning at Biklabito, thence along New Mexico Highway 504 to Shiprock, thence along U.S. Highway 550 to Farmington, thence along U.S. Highway 64 to Bloomfield, thence along New Mexico Highway 44 to Cuba, thence along New Mexico Highway 126 to junction New Mexico Highway 4, thence along New Mexico Highway 4 to Poioague, thence along U.S. Highway 285 to Santa Fe, thence along U.S. Highway 85 to Las Vegas, thence along U.S. Highway 84 to junction Interstate Highway 40, thence along Interstate Highway 40 to the New Mexico-Texas State line (points in Arizona)*; (2) from Butte, Mont., to points in Oklahoma and that part of Arkansas south of a line beginning at Ft. Smith, thence along Interstate Highway 40 to Little Rock, thence along U.S. Highway 70 to junction U.S. Highway 49, thence along U.S. Highway 49 to junction U.S. Highway 79, thence along U.S. Highway 79 to junction Interstate Highway 40, thence along Interstate Highway 40 to West Memphis; and (3) from points in that part of Montana west of a line beginning at Sweetgrass, thence along Interstate Highway 15 to Helena, thence along U.S. Highway 287 to junction U.S. Highway 10, thence along U.S. Highway 10 to Bozeman, thence along U.S. Highway 191 to the Montana-Idaho State line, to points in that part of Arkansas south of U.S. Highway 82 (points in Arizona and New Mexico)*. The purpose of this filing is to eliminate the gateways indicated by asterisks above.

By the Commission.

[SEAL] JOSEPH M. HARRINGTON,

Acting Secretary.

[FR Doc.74-29226 Filed 12-13-74;8:45 am]