GOVERNMENT PUBLICATION U.S. GOVERNMENT INFORMATION GPO

federal register

FRIDAY, DECEMBER 7, 1973

WASHINGTON, D.C.

Volume 38 ■ Number 235

Pages 33751–33958

PART I

HIGHLIGHTS OF THIS ISSUE

This listing does not affect the legal status of any document published in this issue. Detailed table of contents appears inside.

COTTON TEXTILES—CITA notice increasing import levels for certain products from Portugal 33795

DISTILLATE FUEL AND GASOLINE—CLC amends price rules for petroleum and petroleum products; effective 12–5–73 33758

VETERANS LOANS—VA increases direct loan maximum; effective 10–9–73 33771

PLASTIC-INSULATED WIRES AND CABLES—Rural Electrification Administration proposes specifications for telephone facilities; comments by 1–7–74 33774

SUGAR QUOTAS—USDA revises determination of requirements for 1973; effective 12–6–73 33759

GROSS AXLE WEIGHT—DOT proposes grouping of ratings on certification labels; comments by 1–7–74 33775

RURAL RENTAL HOUSING—Farmers Home Administration clarification of credit sale loans; effective 12–7–73 33763

BASE METAL—Tariff Commission injury determination on imports from Japan 33819

ENERGY—Federal Energy Office establishes advisory committees 33820

FEDERAL MANAGEMENT CIRCULARS AND NOTICES—
GSA establishes new CFR Title relating to functions transferred from OMB; effective 8–30–73 33769
GSA audit policy regulations for Executive departments; effective 9–27–73 33770

(Continued inside)

PART II:

EFFLUENT LIMITATIONS—EPA proposes guidelines and new source performance standards for sugar processing, fertilizer manufacturing and leather tanning and finishing (3 documents); comments by 1–7–74 ..... 33846, 33850, 33860

PART III:

EMERGENCY SCHOOL AID—HEW proposal concerning educational television programs and special reading projects; comments by 12–27–73 33873

PART IV:

WAGE DETERMINATION—Labor Department decisions for federal and federally assisted construction and index (2 documents) 33876, 33907

REMINDERS

(The items in this list were editorially compiled as an aid to FEDERAL REGISTER users. Inclusion or exclusion from this list has no legal significance. Since this list is intended as a reminder, it does not include effective dates that occur within 14 days of publication.)

Rules Going Into Effect Today

This list includes only rules that were published in the FEDERAL REGISTER after October 1, 1972.

page no. and date

DECEMBER 7

FCC—Frequency monitors and the maintenance of the operating frequency of stations.. 30265; 11–2–73

FCC—Special industrial radio services; allocation of frequencies..... 30741; 11–7–73

federal register

Area Code 202 Phone 523–5240

Published daily, Monday through Friday (no publication on Saturdays, Sundays, or on official Federal holidays), by the Office of the Federal Register, National Archives and Records Service, General Services Administration, Washington, D.C. 20408, under the Federal Register Act (49 Stat. 500, as amended; 44 U.S.C., Ch. 15) and the regulations of the Administrative Committee of the Federal Register (1 CFR Ch. I). Distribution is made only by the Superintendent of Documents, U.S. Government Printing Office, Washington, D.C. 20402.

The FEDERAL REGISTER provides a uniform system for making available to the public regulations and legal notices issued by the Executive Branch of the Federal Government. These include Presidential proclamations and Executive orders and Federal agency documents having general applicability and legal effect, documents required to be published by Act of Congress and other Federal agency documents of public interest.

The FEDERAL REGISTER will be furnished by mail to subscribers, free of postage, for $2.50 per month or $25 per year, payable in advance. The charge for individual copies is 20 cents for each issue, or 20 cents for each group of pages as actually bound. Remit check or money order, made payable to the Superintendent of Documents, U.S. Government Printing Office, Washington, D.C. 20402.

There are no restrictions on the republication of material appearing in the FEDERAL REGISTER.

MEETINGS—
NASA: Space Systems Committee, 12–17–73 33809
Research and Technology Advisory Council, Committee on Guidance, Control and Information Systems, 12–11 and 12–12–73 33810
EPA: Hazardous Materials Advisory Committee, 12–17 and 12–18–73 33796
USDA: Advisory Committee on Foot and Mouth Disease, 12–17–73 33783
COMMERCE DEPARTMENT: Telecommunications Equipment Technical Advisory Committee (2 documents), 12–12–73 33784
CLC: Labor-Management Advisory Committee, 12–11–73 33795

Contents

AGENCY FOR INTERNATIONAL DEVELOPMENT

Notices
A.I.D. financing; list of ineligible suppliers 33780
Coordinator, USAID, Bangladesh Office; redelegation of authority 33780
Housing Guaranty Program for State of Israel; information for investors 33780

AGRICULTURAL MARKETING SERVICE

Rules and Regulations
Expenses and rate of assessment:
Onions grown in South Texas ... 33763
Oranges, grapefruit, tangerines, and tangelos grown in Florida 33761
Lemons grown in California and Arizona; limitation of handling ... 33762
Navel oranges grown in Arizona and California; limitation of handling 33761
Tokay grapes grown in San Joaquin County, Calif.; increase in expenses for 1973–74 season ... 33762

AGRICULTURAL STABILIZATION AND CONSERVATION SERVICE

Rules and Regulations
Continental sugar; requirements, quotas, and quota deficits for 1973 33759

AGRICULTURE DEPARTMENT

See also Agricultural Marketing Service; Agricultural Stabilization and Conservation Service; Animal and Plant Health Inspection Service; Farmers Home Administration; Rural Electrification Administration.

Notices
Advisory committee on Foot-and-Mouth disease; meeting 33783

ALCOHOL, TOBACCO, AND FIREARMS BUREAU

Rules and Regulations
Procedure and Administration; correction 33767

Notices
Firearms, disabilities with respect thereto; granting of relief 33781

ANIMAL AND PLANT HEALTH INSPECTION SERVICE

Rules and Regulations
Commuted travel time allowances; administrative instructions ... 33763
Swine, pork and pork products; prohibitions and restrictions on importation; correction 33763
Viruses, serums, toxins, and analogous products; organisms and vectors; standard requirements; correction 33763

ATOMIC ENERGY COMMISSION

Notices
Availability of environmental reports, final environmental reports, applications for construction permits, etc.:
Duke Power Co 33788
Illinois Power Co. (2 documents) 33788, 33789
Philadelphia Electric Co 33789
Public Service Gas and Electric Co 33791
Meetings; Advisory Committee on Reactor Safeguards:
Subcommittee on the Edwin I. Hatch Nuclear Plant 33794
Subcommittee on Grand Gulf Nuclear Station 33793
Niagara Mohawk Power Corp.; order scheduling prehearing conference 33789
Regulatory guides; issuance and availability 33791

BUREAU OF THE MINT

Notices
Director of the Mint; delegation of authority under emergent conditions 33781

CIVIL AERONAUTICS BOARD

Notices
International Air Transport Association; agreement on passenger fares 33794

CIVIL SERVICE COMMISSION

Rules and Regulations
Excepted service:
Administrative Office of the U.S. Courts 33757
Army Department 33757
Emergency Preparedness Office ... 33758
Housing and Urban Development (2 documents) ... 33757, 33758
Labor Department 33757
Temporary Boards and Commissions 33757
Treasury Department 33757

Notices
Manpower shortage listings:
Commerce Department 33795
Smithsonian Institution 33794

COMMERCE DEPARTMENT

See Domestic and International Business Administration; National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration.

COMMITTEE FOR THE IMPLEMENTATION OF TEXTILE AGREEMENTS

Notices
Certain cotton textile products produced or manufactured in Portugal; entry or withdrawal from warehouse for consumption 33795

COST OF LIVING COUNCIL

Rules and Regulations
Petroleum and petroleum products; Phase IV regulations 33758

Notices
Deputy Administrator, Office of Health; delegation of authority ... 33795
Labor-Management Advisory Committee; closed meeting 33795

CUSTOMS SERVICE

Notices
Bonds; list of approved riders 33782

DOMESTIC AND INTERNATIONAL BUSINESS ADMINISTRATION

Notices
Telecommunications Equipment Technical Advisory Committee; meetings (2 documents) 33784

DRUG ENFORCEMENT ADMINISTRATION

Proposed Rules
Controlled substances; reporting of in-transit losses; comment period 33774

(Continued on next page)

EDUCATION OFFICE

Proposed Rules

Emergency school aid; special reading projects and educational television ... 33873

EMPLOYMENT STANDARDS ADMINISTRATION

Notices

Federal and federally assisted construction; general wage determinations and index (2 documents) ... 33876, 33907

ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY

Rules and Regulations

Small business set-aside for procurement of construction; increase ... 33772

Proposed Rules

Approval and promulgation of implementation plans:
- New Jersey Transportation Control Plan ... 33775
- Utah ... 33777

Effluent limitations guidelines:
- Fertilizer manufacturing ... 33850
- Leather tanning and finishing ... 33860
- Sugar processing ... 33846

Notices

Coyote control; request to use poisonous substances ... 33796
Hazardous Materials Advisory Committee; meeting ... 33796
Low-emission vehicle; determination ... 33796
Rodenticides; determination and order ... 33796
Shell Chemical Co.; establishment of temporary tolerances; correction ... 33797

FARMERS HOME ADMINISTRATION

Rules and Regulations

Rural Rental Housing (RRH) Loan; real estate security ... 33763

FEDERAL AVIATION ADMINISTRATION

Rules and Regulations

Airworthiness directives:
- Boeing ... 33764
- DeHavilland ... 33764
- Stewart Warner Aircraft Heaters ... 33765

Alteration:
- Area high route ... 33766
- Control zone (3documents) ... 33765, 33766
- Transition area ... 33766

Proposed Rules

Transition area; alteration ... 33774

FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS COMMISSION

Notices

Mexican broadcast stations; notification list ... 33822

FEDERAL ENERGY OFFICE

Notices

Advisory committees; establishment ... 33820

FEDERAL INSURANCE ADMINISTRATION

Rules and Regulations

National Flood Insurance Program; status of participating communities (3 documents) ... 33767, 33768

FEDERAL MANAGEMENT POLICY OFFICE

Rules and Regulations

Audit of Federal operations and programs by Executive branch agencies ... 33770
Federal management circulars and notices ... 33769

FEDERAL POWER COMMISSION

Rules and Regulations

Establishment of just and reasonable rates for natural gas produced from wells commenced before January 1, 1973; reconciliation ... 33766

Notices

Hearings, etc.:
- Algonquin Gas Transmission Co ... 33797
- Baca Gas Gathering System, Inc ... 33797
- Blair Vreeland ... 33804
- Columbia Gas Transmission Corp ... 33805
- Consolidated Gas Supply Corp ... 33797
- East Tennessee Natural Gas Co ... 33798
- Florida Gas Transmission Co ... 33806
- Illinois Power Co ... 33798
- Jersey Central Power and Light Co ... 33798
- Kansas Power and Light Co ... 33800
- Kansas-Nebraska Natural Gas Co ... 33806
- Mississippi River Transmission Corp ... 33800
- New England Power Co ... 33799
- New York State Electric and Gas Corp ... 33800
- Northern Natural Gas Co. (4 documents) ... 33802, 33803
- Public Service Co. of New Hampshire ... 33801
- South Carolina Electric & Gas Co ... 33804
- Southern Natural Gas Co. (2 documents) ... 33801, 33806
- Southern Services, Inc ... 33804
- Tennessee Natural Gas Lines ... 33801
- Texas Eastern Transmission Corp ... 33804
- Union Oil Co. of California ... 33807
- United Gas Pipe Line Co. (2 documents) ... 33802, 33808
- Wisconsin Power and Light Co ... 33808

FEDERAL TRADE COMMISSION

Rules and Regulations

Effective dates:
- Cooling-off period for door-to-door sales ... 33766
- Use of negative option plans by sellers in commerce ... 33766

FOOD AND DRUG ADMINISTRATION

Rules and Regulations

Potassium hetacillin for intramammary infusion veterinary; correction ... 33767

Proposed Rules

Antacid products; tentative final order; correction ... 33774

GENERAL SERVICES ADMINISTRATION

See Federal Management Policy Office.

HEALTH, EDUCATION, AND WELFARE DEPARTMENT

See also Education Office; Food and Drug Administration.

Notices

Office of Assistant Secretary for Health; statement of organization, function, and delegation of authority ... 33785

HEARINGS AND APPEALS OFFICE

Notices

Itmann Coal Co.; petition for modification of application of mandatory safety standard ... 33783

HOUSING AND URBAN DEVELOPMENT DEPARTMENT

See Federal Insurance Administration.

INTERIM COMPLIANCE PANEL (COAL MINE HEALTH AND SAFETY)

Notices

Applications for permits:
- B & H Coal Co ... 33809
- Smith Baker Coal Co., Inc. and United States Steel Corp ... 33809
- Wilson Coal Co., Inc., et al ... 33809

INTERIOR DEPARTMENT

See also Hearings and Appeals Office; Land Management Bureau; National Park Service.

Notices

Statement of changes in financial interests:
- Howard A. Beck ... 33783
- James S. Broaddus ... 33783
- John F. English ... 33783
- E. F. Timme ... 33783

INTERSTATE COMMERCE COMMISSION

Rules and Regulations

Motor carrier standards; evidencing lawfulness of interstate operation ... 33772

Notices

Assignment of hearings (2 documents) ... 33823, 33824
Grain and grain products; inspection in transit ... 33824
Lease and interchange of vehicles; motor carrier notice to all parties; correction ... 33823
Motor carrier temporary authority applications ... 33824

JUSTICE DEPARTMENT

See Drug Enforcement Administration.

LABOR DEPARTMENT

See Employment Standards Administration; Wage and Hour Division.

LAND MANAGEMENT BUREAU

Notices

Oil Shale Leases; notice of sale; correction 33782

NATIONAL AERONAUTICS AND SPACE ADMINISTRATION

Notices

Meetings:
Research and Technology Advisory Council, Committee on Guidance, Control and Information Systems 33810
Space Systems Committee 33809

NATIONAL HIGHWAY TRAFFIC SAFETY ADMINISTRATION

Proposed Rules

Gross axle weight ratings; certification 33775

Notices

Prime glazing material manufacturers; assignment of code numbers 33787

NATIONAL OCEANIC AND ATMOSPHERIC ADMINISTRATION

Notices

Importing of marine mammals or marine mammal products; policy regarding applications for permits 33784
Yellowfin tuna fishing; reversion of incidental catch rate 33785

NATIONAL PARK SERVICE

Notices

Hawaii Volcanoes National Park; wilderness hearings 33782

RURAL ELECTRIFICATION ADMINISTRATION

Proposed Rules

Specifications for plastic-insulated wires and cables; revisions 33774

SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION

Proposed Rules

Transactions by an issuer deemed not to involve any public offering; extension of comment period; correction 33779

Notices

Hearings:
Archer-Daniels-Midland Co., et al 33810
Axe-Houghton Fund A, Inc., et al 33810
BBI, Inc 33811
Canadian Javelin, Ltd 33811
Columbian Gas System, Inc., et al 33811
Commerce Fund, Inc., et al 33812
Diversified Mortgage Investors 33813
Eastern Utilities Associates, et al 33813
Equity Funding Corp. of America 33814
GPU Service Corp 33815
"Hot Issues" Securities Markets Distribution and Trading Phase; correction 33810
Industries International, Inc 33815
Metropolitan Edison Co 33815
New England Electric System 33816
New England Electric System, et al 33816
Patterson Corp 33817
Renaissance Fund, Inc 33817
Royal Properties, Inc 33817
Stanndco Developers, Inc 33817
Triex International Corp 33817
U.S. Financial Inc 33818
Westgate California Corp 33818
Yankee Atomic Electric Co 33818

STATE DEPARTMENT

See Agency for International Development.

TARIFF COMMISSION

Notices

Expanded metal of base metal from Japan; determination of injury 33819

TRANSPORTATION DEPARTMENT

See Federal Aviation Administration; National Highway Traffic Safety Administration.

TREASURY DEPARTMENT

See Alcohol, Tobacco, and Firearms Bureau; Bureau of the Mint; Customs Service.

VETERANS ADMINISTRATION

Rules and Regulations

Direct loan maximum; increase 33771

WAGE AND HOUR DIVISION

Notices

Learners and student workers; special minimum wage 33823

List of CFR Parts Affected

The following numerical guide is a list of the parts of each title of the Code of Federal Regulations affected by documents published in today's issue. A cumulative list of parts affected, covering the current month to date, appears following the Notices section of each issue beginning with the second issue of the month. In the last issue of the month the cumulative list will appear at the end of the issue.

A cumulative guide is published separately at the end of each month. The guide lists the parts and sections affected by documents published since January 1, 1973, and specifies how they are affected.

5 CFR
213 (8 documents) 33757–33758

6 CFR
150 33758

7 CFR
811 33759
905 33761
907 33761
910 33762
926 33762
959 33763
1872 33763
PROPOSED RULES:
1701 33774

9 CFR
94 33763
97 33763
113 33764

14 CFR
39 (3 documents) 33764, 33765
71 (4 documents) 33765, 33766
75 33766
PROPOSED RULES:
71 33774

16 CFR
425 33766
429 33766

17 CFR
PROPOSED RULES:
230 33779

18 CFR
2 33766
157 33766

21 CFR
135d 33767
149c 33767
PROPOSED RULES:
130 33774
1301 33774

24 CFR
1914 (3 documents) 33767, 33768

27 CFR
70 33767

34 CFR
200 33769
251 33770

38 CFR
36 33771

40 CFR
PROPOSED RULES:
52 (2 documents) 33775, 33777
409 33846
418 33850
425 33860

41 CFR
15–1 33772

45 CFR
PROPOSED RULES:
185 33874

49 CFR
1023 33772
PROPOSED RULES:
567 33775

Rules and Regulations

This section of the FEDERAL REGISTER contains regulatory documents having general applicability and legal effect most of which are keyed to and codified in the Code of Federal Regulations, which is published under 50 titles pursuant to 44 U.S.C. 1510.

The Code of Federal Regulations is sold by the Superintendent of Documents. Prices of new books are listed in the first FEDERAL REGISTER issue of each month.

Title 5—Administrative Personnel

CHAPTER I—CIVIL SERVICE COMMISSION

PART 213—EXCEPTED SERVICE

Department of the Army

Section 213.3107 is amended to show that the Schedule A authority covering position of Civilian Directors of Studies at the National War College is amended to permit extensions of appointments for not to exceed 2 additional years beyond the first year of employment with the prior approval of the Commission.

Effective on December 7, 1973, § 213.3107(e)(1) is amended as set forth below.

§ 213.3107 Department of the Army.

* * * * *

(e) *National War College, Washington, D. C.* (1) Civilian Directors of Studies for employment of not to exceed 1 year: *Provided*, That such employment may, with the prior approval of the Commission, be extended for not to exceed 2 additional years.

* * * * *

((5 U.S.C. secs. 3301, 3301) E.O. 10577, 3 CFR 1954–58 Comp. p. 218)

UNITED STATES CIVIL SERVICE COMMISSION,
[SEAL] JAMES C. SPRY,
Executive Assistant to the Commissioners.

[FR Doc.73-26054 Filed 12-6-73;8:45 am]

PART 213—EXCEPTED SERVICE

Temporary Boards and Commissions

Section 213.3199 is amended to show that the time limitation on the Schedule A authority covering 50 positions at grades GS–12 and above on the staff of the Cost of Living Council has been extended from November 30, 1973, until April 30, 1974.

Effective on December 7, 1973, § 213.3199(m)(1) is amended as set forth below.

§ 213.3199 Temporary Boards and Commissions.

* * * * *

(m) *Cost of Living Council and Related Organizations.* (1) Until April 30, 1974, not to exceed 50 positions in grades GS–12 and above when it is determined that existing registers are not appropriate or do not permit appointment expeditiously.

* * * * *

(5 U.S.C. secs. 3301, 3302 E.O. 10577, 3 CFR 1954–58 Comp. p. 218)

UNITED STATES CIVIL SERVICE COMMISSION,
[SEAL] JAMES C. SPRY,
Executive Assistant to the Commissioners.

[FR Doc.73-26050 Filed 12-6-73;8:45 am]

PART 213—EXCEPTED SERVICE

Treasury Department

Section 213.3305 is amended to reflect the following title change: From one Staff Assistant to the Deputy Under Secretary (Congressional Relations) to one Staff Assistant to the Deputy Under Secretary.

Effective on December 7, 1973, § 213.3305(a)(41) is amended as set forth below.

§ 213.3305 Treasury Department.

(a) *Office of the Secretary.* * * *

(41) One Staff Assistant to the Deputy Under Secretary.

* * * * *

(5 U.S.C. secs. 3301, 3302 E.O. 10577, 3 CFR 1954–58 Comp. p. 218)

UNITED STATES CIVIL SERVICE COMMISSION,
[SEAL] JAMES C. SPRY,
Executive Assistant to the Commissioners.

[FR Doc.73-26051 Filed 12-6-73;8:45 am]

PART 213—EXCEPTED SERVICE

Department of Labor

Section 213.3315 is amended to show that one position of Special Assistant to the Director of Public Affairs is excepted under Schedule C.

Effective on December 7, 1973, § 213.3315(a)(5) is added as set forth below.

§ 213.3315 Department of Labor.

(a) *Office of the Secretary.* * * *

(5) One Special Assistant to the Director of Public Affairs.

* * * * *

((5 U.S.C. secs. 3301, 3302) E.O. 10577, 3 CFR 1954–58 Comp. p. 218)

UNITED STATES CIVIL SERVICE COMMISSION,
[SEAL] JAMES C. SPRY,
Executive Assistant to the Commissioners.

[FR Doc.73-26049 Filed 12-6-73;8:45 am]

PART 213—EXCEPTED SERVICE

Department of Housing and Urban Development

Section 213.3384 is amended to show that one position of Staff Assistant to the Assistant Secretary for Housing Production and Mortgage Credit—Federal Housing Administration Commissioner is excepted under Schedule C.

Effective on December 7, 1973, § 213.3384(b)(6) is added as set forth below.

§ 213.3384 Department of Housing and Urban Development.

* * * * *

(b) *Office of the Assistant Secretary for Housing Production and Mortgage Credit—Federal Housing Administration Commissioner.* * * *

(6) One Staff Assistant to the Assistant Secretary.

* * * * *

((5 U.S.C. secs. 3301, 3302) E.O. 10577, 3 CFR 1954–58 Comp. p. 218)

UNITED STATES CIVIL SERVICE COMMISSION,
[SEAL] JAMES C. SPRY,
Executive Assistant to the Commissioners.

[FR Doc.73-26048 Filed 12-6-73;8:45 am]

PART 213—EXCEPTED SERVICE

Administrative Office of the U.S. Courts

Section 213.3172 is amended to show that the numerical limitation on the Schedule A authority covering positions of Federal Probation System Administrator has been increased from four to five.

Effective December 7, 1973, § 213.3172(a) is amended as set out below.

§ 213.3172 Administrative Office of the U.S. Courts.

(a) Not to exceed five positions of Federal Probation System Administrator in

the Division of Probation, when filled by Federal Probation Officers on active service in the U.S. Courts.

((5 U.S.C. secs. 3301, 3302); E.O. 10577, 3 CFR 1954–58 Comp. p. 218)

UNITED STATES CIVIL SERVICE COMMISSION,
[SEAL] JAMES C. SPRY,
Executive Assistant to the Commissioners.

[FR Doc.73-26045 Filed 12-6-73;8:45 am]

PART 213—EXCEPTED SERVICE

Department of Housing and Urban Development

Section 213.3384 is amended to show that one additional position of Special Assistant to the Assistant Secretary for Equal Opportunity is excepted under Schedule C.

Effective December 7, 1973, § 213.3384 (f) (3) is amended as set out below.

§ 213.3384 Department of Housing and Urban Development.

* * * * *

(f) Office of the Assistant Secretary for Equal Opportunity.

* * * * *

(3) Two Special Assistants to the Assistant Secretary.

* * * * *

((5 U.S.C. secs. 3301, 3302); E.O. 10577, 3 CFR 1954–58 Comp. p. 218)

UNITED STATES CIVIL SERVICE COMMISSION.
[SEAL] JAMES C. SPRY,
Executive Assistant to the Commissioners.

[FR Doc.73-26047 Filed 12-6-73;8:45 am]

PART 213—EXCEPTED SERVICE

Office of Emergency Preparedness

Section 213.3326 is amended to show that one position of Director, Field Operations Office is no longer excepted under Schedule C.

Effective December 7, 1973, § 213.3326 (p) (1) is revoked.

((5 U.S.C. secs. 3301, 3302), E.O. 10577; 3 CFR 1954–58 Comp. p. 218)

UNITED STATES CIVIL SERVICE COMMISSION.
[SEAL] JAMES C. SPRY,
Executive Assistant to the Commissioners.

[FR Doc.73-26046 Filed 12-6-73;8:45 am]

Title 6—Economic Stabilization

CHAPTER I—COST OF LIVING COUNCIL

PART 150—COST OF LIVING COUNCIL PHASE IV PRICE REGULATIONS

Amendment of Price Rules for Subpart L—Petroleum and Petroleum Products

The computation of base prices for certain petroleum products, § 150.355(g), is amended to allow petroleum refiners to adjust the relative prices of distillate fuel and gasoline in order to encourage increased production of middle distillates. Specifically, beginning with the month of January 1974, refiners may increase their May 15, 1973 selling price to each class of purchaser of Nos. 1 and 2 heating oil, Nos. 1–D, 2–D and 4–D diesel fuel, No. 4 fuel oil, kerosene and aviation turbine fuels by 2 cents. Simultaneously, refiners are required to reduce their May 15, 1973 selling prices to each class of purchaser of gasoline by an amount sufficient to prevent windfall profits. A formula for the computation of this decrease in the selling price of gasoline is set forth in the regulation.

Section 150.352 is amended to add definitions of No. 1 heating oil, Nos. 1–D and 4–D diesel fuel, No. 4 fuel oil, kerosene and aviation turbine fuels.

Subsequent amendments which will further increase incentive for production of more distillate consistent with the national energy goals will be forthcoming.

This amendment becomes effective immediately and will apply to base price computations for each month beginning with January 1974.

Because the purpose of these amendments is to provide immediate guidance and information with respect to the regulations of the Council, the Council finds that publication in accordance with normal rulemaking procedure is impracticable and that good cause exists for making these amendments effective in less than 30 days.

(Economic Stabilization Act of 1970, as amended, Pub. L. 92–210, 85 Stat. 743; Pub. L. 93–28, 87 Stat. 27; E. O. 11695, 38 FR 1473; E. O. 11730, 38 FR 19345; Cost of Living Council Order Number 14, 38 FR 1489.)

In consideration of the foregoing Part 150 of Title 6 of the Code of Federal Regulations is amended as follows, effective December 5, 1973.

Issued in Washington, D.C., December 5, 1973.

JOHN T. DUNLOP,
Director,
Cost of Living Council.

1. Section 150.352 is amended to add definitions of "aviation turbine fuels", "kerosene", "No. 1 heating oil", "No. 1–D diesel fuel", "No. 4 fuel oil" and "No. 4–D diesel fuel" in the appropriate alphabetical order as follows:

§ 150.352 Definitions.

* * * * *

"Aviation turbine fuels" means aviation fuels, Jet A, Jet A–1 and Jet B as defined in American Society for Testing and Materials (ASTM) D1655–71.

* * * * *

"Kerosene" means the lighting or burning grade of kerosene.

* * * * *

"No 1 heating oil" means heating oil grade No. 1 as defined in American Society for Testing and Materials (ASTM) D396–71.

* * * * *

No. 1–D diesel fuel" means diesel fuel grade No. 1 as defined in American Society for Testing and Materials (ASTM) D975–71.

* * * * *

"No. 4 fuel oil" means fuel oil grade No. 4 as defined in American Society for Testing and Materials (ASTM) D396–71.

* * * * *

"No. 4–D diesel fuel" means diesel fuel grade No. 4 as defined in American Society for Testing and Materials (ASTM) D975–71.

* * * * *

2. Paragraph (g) § 150.355 is amended to read as follows:

§ 150.355 Price rule: Refiners.

* * * * *

(g) *Base price.*

(1) *General rule.* (i) The base price for sales of an item by a refiner is the weighted average price at which the item was lawfully priced in transactions with the class of purchaser concerned on May 15, 1973, plus increased product costs incurred between the month of measurement and the month of May 1973 and meaured pursuant to the provisions of § 150.356. In computing the base price, a firm may not exclude any temporary special sale, deal or allowance in effect on May 15, 1973.

(ii) Notwithstanding the general rule in paragraph (g) (1) (i) of this section, in computing the base price for No. 1 heating oil, No. 4 fuel oil, Nos. 1–D and 4–D diesel fuel, kerosene and aviation turbine fuels, a refiner may, beginning with the month of January 1974, use adjusted May 15, 1973 selling prices. The adjusted May 15, 1973 price for No. 1 heating oil, No. 4 fuel oil, Nos. 1–D and 4–D diesel fuel, kerosene, and aviation turbine fuels, to each class of purchaser, is 2 cents plus the weighted average price at which the item was lawfully priced in transactions with the class of purchaser concerned on May 15, 1973.

(2) *Special products.* (i) Notwithstanding the general rule in paragraph (g) (1) of this section, in computing the base price for special products for every month beginning with January 1974, a refiner may use adjusted May 15, 1973 selling prices for No. 2 heating oil and No. 2–D diesel fuel, and must use adjusted May 15, 1973 selling prices for gasoline. In computing base prices, a refiner may not increase its May 15, 1973 selling price to each class of purchaser more than once in any calendar month to reflect the increased product costs allowable pursuant to the provisions of § 150.356, or the adjustment specified in paragraph g(2) (ii) of this section but may implement the increase on any day during that month.

(ii) The adjusted May 15, 1973 selling price for No. 2–D diesel fuel and No. 2 heating oil to each class of purchaser is 2 cents plus the weighted average price at which the item was lawfully priced in transactions with the class of purchaser concerned on May 15, 1973. The adjusted May 15, 1973 selling price for gasoline to each class of purchaser is the weighted average price at which the item was lawfully priced in transactions with the class of purchaser concerned on May 15, 1973 less the adjustment differential. The adjustment differential is

computed by use of the following formula:

$$x = \frac{\$.02y}{z}$$

Where:

x = The adjustment differential; that amount which must be deducted from the weighted average unit price at which each item was lawfully priced in transactions with the class of purchaser concerned on May 15, 1973.

y = The total number of units of Nos. 1 and 2 heating oil, No. 4 fuel oil, Nos. 1-D, 2-D, and 4-D diesel fuel, kerosene, and aviation turbine fuel domestically produced by the refiner in May 1973.

z = The total number of units of gasoline domestically produced by the refiner in May 1973.

(3) *Imputed prices.* If no transaction occurred with respect to a particular product on May 15, 1973, the most recent day preceding May 15, 1973 when a transaction occurred shall be used for purposes of computing the base price. If a refiner first offered an item for sale after May 15, 1973 and prior to the effective date of this paragraph, the first day when the item was offered for sale shall be used for purposes of computing the base price.

* * * * *

[FR Doc.73-26143 Filed 12-5-73;4:22 pm]

Title 7—Agriculture

CHAPTER VIII—AGRICULTURAL STABILIZATION AND CONSERVATION SERVICE (SUGAR), DEPARTMENT OF AGRICULTURE

SUBCHAPTER B—SUGAR REQUIREMENTS AND QUOTAS

[Sugar Regulation 811, Amdt. 10]

PART 811—CONTINENTAL SUGAR REQUIREMENTS AND AREA QUOTAS

Requirements, Quotas, and Quota Deficits for 1973

Basis and purpose and bases and considerations. This amendment is issued pursuant to the authority vested in the Secretary of Agriculture by the Sugar Act of 1948, as amended (61 Stat. 922, as amended; (7 U.S.C. 1101)), hereinafter referred to as the "Act." The purpose of this amendment to Sugar Regulation 811 is to revise the determination of sugar requirements for the calendar year 1973, establish quotas and prorations consistent with such requirements and to determine and prorate or allocate the deficits in quotas established pursuant to the Act.

Section 201(a) of the Act requires a determination of the amount of sugar needed to meet the requirements of consumers in the continental United States whenever necessary to attain the price objective set forth in section 201(b) of the Act.

Section 202(g)(3) of the Act, which sets forth the procedure to use in attaining such price objective, provides that whenever the simple average of prices of raw sugar for 7 consecutive market days ending after October 31 of any year and before March 1 of the following year is 3 percent or more above or below the average price objective for the preceding 2 calendar months, the determination of requirements of consumers shall be adjusted to the extent necessary to attain such price objective.

On November 1, 1973 the first day the three percent corridor was applicable the seven day average market price of raw sugar of 11.21 cents per pound was 3.8 percent above the price objective. Therefore, on November 2 the Department increased sugar requirements 100,000 short tons, hoping that the additional sugar would bring the price within the corridor. The raw price declined to 11.07 cents per pound or 3.17 percent above the price objective by November 8 and remained at that level through November 23. On November 26 the raw price increased to 11.10 cents per pound which was 3.45 percent above the price objective. Currently, the domestic sugar market tends to be strong with a good chance the raw sugar price might move to a higher level rather than to a lower level.

An increase in requirements of 100,000 short tons, raw value, is necessary to attain the price objective set forth in the Act. Accordingly, total sugar requirements for the calendar year 1973 are hereby increased by 100,000 short tons, raw value, to a total of 11.8 million short tons, raw value.

Section 204(a) of the Sugar Act of 1948, as amended, provides in part that "The Secretary shall * * * as the facts are ascertainable by him but in any event not less frequently than each 60 days after the beginning of each calendar year, determine whether, * * * any area or country will not market the quota for such area or country."

It was previously determined in Sugar Regulation 811 that the Domestic Beet Sugar Area would be unable to market in excess of 3,500,000 short tons, raw value, of sugar in 1973. Accordingly, deficits were determined in the quota for the Beet Area of 144,333 tons representing the amount its quota exceeded 3,500,000 tons. Since this amendment increases the quota for that area by 47,667 tons, the deficit previously determined in the 1973 quota for the Domestic Beet Sugar Area is increased by 47,667 short tons, raw value, to 192,000 tons. If production exceeds the present estimate for the Domestic Beet Area, the marketing opportunities for the area within the total quota for the area will not be limited as a result of the deficit determination and prorations provided herein.

It is hereby determined that quota deficits previously declared and those declared herein constitute all deficits ascertainable from information currently available to the Department.

On a comparable basis the average monthly prices of raw cane sugar were higher on the world market than the U.S. market from January through July of this year.

Some U.S. quota countries sold sugar to the world market during the period of higher world prices and now do not have adequate sugar to supply our needs on a prompt shipment basis. Therefore, pursuant to section 202(d)(2)(A) of the Act, it is hereby found that it is not practicable to obtain in a timely manner the quantity of sugar needed from foreign countries to meet the requirements of consumers under section 201 by apportionment of the foreign requirements increase to countries pursuant to section 202(b), (c) and (d)(1) of the Act. The Secretary has also found that foreign quota countries cannot fill in a timely manner all of the additional deficits if allocated and prorated to them pursuant to section 204(a) of the Act.

Therefore, to obtain additional sugar in a timely manner, the 35,000 short tons, raw value, increase in foreign requirements by this amendment and additional deficits of 47,667 short tons, raw value, will be permitted to be imported on a first-come, first-served basis from any sugar producing country other than Cuba and Southern Rhodesia subject to the exception in paragraph (d)(4) of § 811.23.

In view of the short time remaining to import the sugar permitted for importation by this amendment it is impractical to develop meaningful agreements with countries to purchase for dollars additional quantities of U.S. agricultural products.

By virtue of the authority vested in the Secretary of Agriculture by the Act, Part 811 of this chapter is hereby amended by amending §§ 811.20, 811.21, 811.22, and 811.23 as follows:

1. Section 811.20 is amended to read as follows:

§ 811.20 Sugar requirements, 1973.

The amount of sugar needed to meet the requirements of consumers in the continental United States for the calendar year 1973 is hereby determined to be 11,800,000 short tons, raw value.

2. Section 811.21 is amended by amending paragraph (a) to read as follows:

§ 811.21 Quotas for domestic areas.

(a)(1) For the calendar year 1973 domestic area quotas limiting the quantities of sugar which may be brought into or marketed for consumption in the continental United States are established, pursuant to section 202(a) of the Act, in column (1) and the amounts of such quotas for offshore areas that may be filled by direct-consumption sugar are established, pursuant to section 207 of the Act, in column (2) as follows:

Area	Quotas (1)	Direct-consumption limits (2)
	Short tons, raw value	
Domestic beet sugar	3,692,000	No limit
Mainland cane sugar	1,643,000	No limit
Texas cane area	20,000	No limit
Hawaii	1,185,000	40,356
Puerto Rico	856,000	169,000

(2) It is hereby determined pursuant to section 204(a) of the Act that for the calendar year 1973 the Domestic Beet Sugar Area, the Texas Cane Area, Hawaii and Puerto Rico will be unable by 192,000, 15,000, 42,000, and 765,000 short tons, raw value, respectively, to fill the quotas established for such areas in paragraph (a)(1) of this section. Pursuant to section 204(b) of the Act the determination of such deficits shall not affect the quotas established in paragraph (a)(1) of this section.

* * * * *

3. Section 811.22 is amended by amending paragraph (a) to read as follows:

§ 811.22 Proration and allocation of deficits in quotas.

(a) The total deficits determined in quotas established under section 202 of the Act in short tons, raw value, are as follows: Domestic Beet Sugar Area 192,000; the Texas Cane Area 15,000; Hawaii 42,000; Puerto Rico 765,000; the West Indies 79,907; Panama 3,137; Honduras 10,351; Venezuela 21,149; Haiti 11,446; and Australia 19,992. The deficits for the domestic areas, the West Indies, Panama, Venezuela, Haiti, Honduras and Australia totaling 1,159,982 short tons, raw value, are reallocated by (1) allocating 286,253 tons to the Republic of the Philippines; (2) prorating 665,280 tons to Western Hemisphere countries with quotas in effect in accordance with section 204(a) of the Act, except such proration to the West Indies, Panama, Venezuela, Haiti, Peru, and Paraguay are limited so that total quotas for each country will not exceed 40,207, 52,500, 31,902, 15,295, 426,245 and 7,155 tons, respectively; (3) assigning 62,773 tons to foreign countries on a first-come, first-served basis pursuant to paragraph (d)(2) of section 811.23 as set forth in Amendment 8 of this part; (4) assigning 87,657 tons to foreign countries on a first-come, first-served basis pursuant to paragraph (d)(3) of section 811.23 as set forth in Amendment 9 of this part; (5) assigning 47,667 tons to foreign countries on a first-come, first-served basis pursuant to paragraph (d)(4) of § 811.23 as set forth in this Amendment 10 of this part and (6) prorating the deficit for Honduras of 10,351 tons plus other deficits accruing to it to other Central American Common Market countries.

* * * * *

4. Section 811.23 is amended by revising paragraph (c), and adding a new paragraph (d)(4) to read as follows:

§ 811.23 Quotas for foreign countries.

(c) For the calendar year 1973, the prorations to individual foreign countries other than the Republic of the Philippines pursuant to section 202 of the Act and a quantity to be allocated on a first-come, first-served basis are shown in columns (1) and (2) of the following table. Deficit prorations and first-come, first-served allocations previously assigned in § 811.23 are shown in column (3). The deficit quantity of 47,667 tons to be allocated to foreign countries on a first-come, first-served basis as provided herein are shown in column (4). Total quotas, prorations and the quantity to be allotted as provided herein are shown in column (5).

Countries	Basic quotas (1)	Temporary quotas and prorations pursuant to Sec. 202(d) [1] (2)	Previous deficit prorations and allocations pursuant to Sec. 811.23(d) (2) and (3) (3)	New deficits (4)	Total quotas and prorations (5)
			Short tons, raw value		
Dominican Republic	405,584	146,484	201,508	0	753,576
Mexico	358,689	129,346	144,045	0	632,280
Brazil	349,817	126,342	158,698	0	634,857
Peru	250,322	90,408	85,515	0	426,245
West Indies	89,650	30,464	−79,907	0	40,207
Ecuador	51,649	18,655	23,431	0	93,735
Argentina	48,480	17,510	19,469	0	85,459
Costa Rica	43,727	15,793	41,129	0	100,649
Colombia	43,093	15,564	17,306	0	75,963
Panama	40,875	14,762	−3,137	0	52,500
Nicaragua	40,875	14,762	20,086	0	75,723
Venezuela	38,974	14,077	−21,149	0	31,902
Guatemala	37,390	13,504	18,373	0	69,267
El Salvador	27,250	9,842	14,883	0	51,975
Belize (British Honduras)	21,547	7,781	16,187	0	45,515
Haiti	19,645	7,096	−11,446	0	15,295
Honduras	7,605	2,746	−10,351	0	0
Bolivia	4,119	1,488	1,869	0	7,476
Paraguay	4,119	1,488	2,077	0	7,684
Australia	159,065	44,951	51,336	0	255,352
Republic of China	66,224	18,715	3,204	0	88,143
India	63,689	17,999	0	0	81,688
South Africa	44,994	12,715	17,281	0	74,990
Fiji Islands	34,855	9,850	0	0	44,705
Mauritius	23,448	6,626	14,544	0	44,618
Swaziland	23,448	6,626	1,346	0	31,420
Thailand	14,576	4,118	496	0	19,190
Malawi	11,724	3,313	676	0	15,713
Malagasy Republic	9,506	2,686	0	0	12,192
Ireland	5,351	0	0	0	5,351
To be allotted [2]	26,045	8,955		47,667	82,667
Total	2,366,335	814,866	727,469	47,667	3,956,337

[1] Proration of the quotas withheld from Cuba, Southern Rhodesia, Bahamas, the West Indies, and Uganda.
[2] Will be allotted to foreign countries pursuant to subparagraph (d)(4) of this section 811.23.

(d) * * *

(4) The quantity of sugar in column (5) of the table in paragraph (c) of this section designated as "To be allotted"/ amounting to 82,667 short tons, raw value, may be authorized only for importation on or before December 31, 1973, from sugar producing countries other than Cuba and Southern Rhodesia. All U.S. quota countries must have filled their respective 1973 quotas prior to the importation of such sugar. Authorizations for the importation of such sugar shall be made on the basis of applications for Sugar Quota Clearance on Form SU-3 or applications for Set-Aside of Quota on Form SU-8A in accordance with provisions of Part 817 of this chapter, except that (i) if two or more applications on Forms SU-3 or on SU-8A become eligible for authorization at the same time, first priority shall be given to the earliest arrival date and second priority to earliest departure date stated therein, (ii) each application for Set-Aside of quota must show the anticipated dates of departure and arrival of the sugar, with an indicated arrival date no later than December 26, 1973, (in lieu of a 3-month period as shown on the form) and show "5th" day and "5" days instead of "15th" day and "15" days respectively as shown on the application form, and (iii) in the case of countries with a U.S. quota each application on Form SU-3 or SU-8A must include a certification that the country has or will have filled its currently effective 1973 quota on or before the importation date of such additional sugar. Applications covering sugar authorized pursuant to this subparagraph shall become invalid for any portion of such sugar which has not been imported into the United States on or before December 31, 1973. Any such sugar, the authorized importation of which has been invalidated, may be authorized for entry within an applicable 1974 quota, be reexported or be authorized for entry for quota exempt purposes.

(Sec. 201, 202, 204, and 403; 61 Stat. 923, as amended, 924, as amended, 925, as amended, 932; and (7 U.S.C. 1111, 1112, 1114, and 1153).)

Effective date. This action increases quotas for the calendar year 1973 by 100,000 tons, determines additional deficits of 47,667 tons and makes available for importation on or before December 31, from foreign quota countries on a first-come, first-served basis the additional deficits of 47,667 tons plus the 35,000 tons foreign quota increase. In order to promote orderly marketings, it is essential that this amendment be effective immediately so that all persons selling and purchasing sugar for consumption in the continental United States can promptly plan and market under the changed marketing opportunities. Therefore, it is hereby determined and found that compliance with the notice, procedure, and effective date re-

quirements of 5 U.S.C. 553 is unnecessary, impracticable, and contrary to the public interest and this amendment shall be effective December 6, 1973.

Signed at Washington, D.C., on December 3, 1973.

GLENN A. WEIR,
Acting Administrator, Agricultural Stabilization and Conservation Service.

[FR Doc.73-26066 Filed 12-6-73;8:45 am]

CHAPTER IX—AGRICULTURAL MARKETING SERVICE (MARKETING AGREEMENTS AND ORDERS; FRUITS, VEGETABLES, NUTS), DEPARTMENT OF AGRICULTURE

PART 905—ORANGES, GRAPEFRUIT, TANGERINES, AND TANGELOS GROWN IN FLORIDA

Expenses and Rate of Assessment

This document authorizes expenses of $158,000 of the Growers Administrative Committee, under Marketing Order No. 905, for the 1973-74 fiscal period and fixes a rate of assessment of $0.005 per standard packed box of fruit handled in such period to be paid to the committee by each first handler as his prorata share of such expenses.

On November 16, 1973, notice of proposed rule making was published in the FEDERAL REGISTER (38 FR 31682), regarding proposed expenses and the related rate of assessment for the period August 1, 1973, through July 31, 1974, pursuant to the marketing agreement, as amended, and Order No. 905, as amended (7 CFR Part 905), regulating the handling of oranges, grapefruit, tangerines, and tangelos grown in Florida. This regulatory program is effective under the Agricultural Marketing Agreement Act of 1937, as amended (7 U.S.C. 601-674). The notice provided that all written data, views, or arguments in connection with said proposals be submitted by November 28, 1973. None were received. After consideration of all relevant matters presented, including the proposals set forth in such notice which were submitted by the Growers Administrative Committee (established pursuant to said amended marketing agreement and order), it is hereby found and determined that:

§ 905.212 Expenses and rate of assessment.

(a) *Expenses.* Expenses that are reasonable and likely to be incurred by the Growers Administrative Committee during the period August 1, 1973 through July 31, 1974, will amount to $158,000.

(b) *Rate of assessment.* The rate of assessment for said period, payable by each handler in accordance with § 905.41, is fixed at $0.005 per standard packed box of fruit.

(c) Terms used in the amended marketing agreement and order shall, when used herein, have the same meaning as is given to the respective term in said amended marketing agreement and order.

It is hereby further found that good cause exists for not postponing the effective date hereof until 30 days after publication in the FEDERAL REGISTER (5 U.S.C. 553) in that (1) shipments of fruit are now being made, (2) the relevant provisions of said amended marketing agreement and this part require that the rate of assessment fixed for a particular fiscal period shall be applicable to all assessable fruit handled from the beginning of such period, and (3) the current fiscal period began on August 1, 1973, and said rate of assessment will automatically apply to all assessable fruit beginning with such date.

(Secs. 1-19, 48 Stat. 31, as amended (7 U.S.C. 601-674))

Dated: December 3, 1973.

CHARLES R. BRADER,
Deputy Director, Fruit and Vegetable Division, Agricultural Marketing Service.

[FR Doc.73-25974 Filed 12-6-73;8:45 am]

[Navel Orange Reg. 302]

PART 907—NAVEL ORANGES GROWN IN ARIZONA AND DESIGNATED PART OF CALIFORNIA

Limitation of Handling

This regulation fixes the quantity of California-Arizona Navel oranges that may be shipped to fresh market during the weekly regulation period December 7-13, 1973. It is issued pursuant to the Agricultural Marketing Agreement Act of 1937, as amended, and Marketing Order No. 907. The quantity of Navel oranges so fixed was arrived at after consideration of the total available supply of Navel oranges, the quantity currently available for market, the fresh market demand for Navel oranges, Navel orange prices, and the relationship of season average returns to the parity price for Navel oranges.

§ 907.602 Navel Orange Regulation 302.

(a) *Findings.* (1) Pursuant to the marketing agreement, as amended, and Order No. 907, as amended (7 CFR Part 907), regulating the handling of Navel oranges grown in Arizona and designated part of California, effective under the applicable provisions of the Agricultural Marketing Agreement Act of 1937, as amended (7 U.S.C. 601-674), and upon the basis of the recommendations and information submitted by the Navel Orange Administrative Committee, established under the said amended marketing agreement and order, and upon other available information, it is hereby found that the limitation of handling of such Navel oranges, as hereinafter provided, will tend to effectuate the declared policy of the act.

(2) The need for this section to limit the respective quantities of Navel oranges that may be marketed from District 1, District 2, and District 3 during the ensuing week stems from the production and marketing situation confronting the Navel orange industry.

(i) The committee has submitted its recommendation with respect to the quantities of Navel oranges that should be marketed during the next succeeding week. Such recommendation, designed to provide equity of marketing opportunity to handlers in all districts, resulted from consideration of the factors enumerated in the order. The committee further reports that the fresh market demand for Navel oranges increased significantly during the past week, because of holiday purchases. Prices f.o.b. averaged $3.90 a carton on a reported sales volume of 792 carlots last week, compared with an average f.o.b. price $4.40 per carton and sales of 454 carlots a week earlier. Track and rolling supplies at 481 cars were up 77 cars from last week.

(ii) Having considered the recommendation and information submitted by the committee, and other available information, the Secretary finds that the respective quantities of Navel oranges which may be handled should be fixed as hereinafter set forth.

(3) It is hereby further found that it is impracticable and contrary to the public interest to give preliminary notice, engage in public rule-making procedure, and postpone the effective date of this regulation until 30 days after publication hereof in the FEDERAL REGISTER (5 U.S.C. 553) because the time intervening between the date when information upon which this regulation is based became available and the time this regulation must become effective in order to effectuate the declared policy of the act is insufficient, and a reasonable time is permitted, under the circumstances, for preparation for such effective time; and good cause exists for making the provisions hereof effective as hereinafter set forth. The committee held an open meeting during the current week, after giving due notice thereof, to consider supply and market conditions for Navel oranges and the need for regulation; interested persons were afforded an opportunity to submit information and views at this meeting; the recommendation and supporting information for regulation, including its effective time, are identical with the aforesaid recommendation of the committee, and information concerning such provisions and effective time has been disseminated among handlers of such Navel oranges; it is necessary, in order to effectuate the declared policy of the act, to make this section effective during the period herein specified; and compliance with this section will not require any special preparation on the part of persons subject hereto which cannot be completed on or before the effective date hereof. Such committee meeting was held on December 4, 1973.

(b) *Order.* (1) The respective quantities of Navel oranges grown in Arizona and designated part of California which may be handled during the period December 7, 1973, through December 13, 1973, are hereby fixed as follows:

(i) District 1: 1,150,000 cartons;

(ii) District 2: Unlimited movement;

(iii) District 3: 95,204 cartons."

(2) As used in this section, "handled," "District 1," "District 2," "District 3," and "carton" have the same meaning as when used in said amended marketing agreement and order.

(Secs. 1–19, 48 Stat. 31, as amended (7 U.S.C. 601–674)

Dated: December 5, 1973.

CHARLES R. BRADER,
Deputy Director, Fruit and Vegetable Division, Agricultural Marketing Service.

[FR Doc.73-26146 Filed 12-6-73;8:45 am]

[Lemon Reg. 616]

PART 910—LEMONS GROWN IN CALIFORNIA AND ARIZONA

Limitation of Handling

This regulation fixes the quantity of California-Arizona lemons that may be shipped to fresh market during the weekly regulation period Dec. 9–Dec. 15, 1973. It is issued pursuant to the Agricultural Marketing Agreement Act of 1937, as amended, and Marketing Order No. 910. The quantity of lemons so fixed was arrived at after consideration of the total available supply of lemons, the quantity of lemons currently available for market, the fresh market demand for lemons, lemon prices, and the relationship of season average returns to the parity price for lemons.

§ 910.916 Lemon Regulation 616.

(a) *Findings.* (1) Pursuant to the marketing agreement, as amended, and Order No. 910, as amended (7 CFR Part 910), regulating the handling of lemons grown in California and Arizona, effective under the applicable provisions of the Agricultural Marketing Agreement Act of 1937, as amended (7 U.S.C. 601–674), and upon the basis of the recommendations and information submitted by the Lemon Administrative Committee, established under the said amended marketing agreement and order, and upon other available information, it is hereby found that the limitation of handling of such lemons, as hereinafter provided, will tend to effectuate the declared policy of the act.

(2) The need for this section to limit the quantity of lemons that may be marketed during the ensuing week stems from the production and marketing situation confronting the lemon industry.

(i) The committee has submitted its recommendation with respect to the quantity of lemons it deems advisable to be handled during the ensuing week. Such recommendation resulted from consideration of the factors enumerated in the order. The committee further reports the demands for lemons has improved for first grade fruit and will probably continue at a good level through the week. Sales volume is expected to increase 5 percent. Average f.o.b. price was $6.01 per carton the week ended December 1, 1973 compared to $6.32 per carton the previous week. Track and rolling supplies at 141 cars were down 2 cars from last week.

(ii) Having considered the recommendation and information submitted by the committee, and other available information, the Secretary finds that the quantity of lemons which may be handled should be fixed as hereinafter set forth.

(3) It is hereby further found that it is impracticable and contrary to the public interest to give preliminary notice, engage in public rule-making procedure, and postpone the effective date of this regulation until 30 days after publication hereof in the FEDERAL REGISTER (5 U.S.C. 553) because the time intervening between the date when information upon which this section is based became available and the time when this section must become effective in order to effectuate the declared policy of the act is insufficient, and a reasonable time is permitted, under the circumstances, for preparation for such effective time; and good cause exists for making the provisions hereof effective as hereinafter set forth. The committee held an open meeting during the current week, after giving due notice thereof, to consider supply and market conditions for lemons and the need for regulation; interested persons were afforded an opportunity to submit information and views at this meeting; the recommendation for regulation together with its supporting information has been submitted by the committee, however, the Secretary has modified the recommendation to provide for the shipment of a greater quantity of lemons, retaining the same effective date, and such information is being disseminated among handlers of such lemons; it is necessary, in order to effectuate the declared policy of the act, to make this section effective during the period herein specified; and compliance with this section will not require any special preparation on the part of persons subject hereto which cannot be completed on or before the effective date hereof. Such committee meeting was held on December 4, 1973.

(b) *Order.* (1) The quantity of lemons grown in California and Arizona which may be handled during the period December 9, 1973, through December 15, 1973, is hereby fixed at 225,000 cartons.

(2) As used in this section, "handled", and 'carton(s)" have the same meaning as when used in the said amended marketing agreement and order.

(Secs. 1–19, 48 Stat. 31, as amended; (7 U.S.C. 601–674))

Dated: December 6, 1973.

CHARLES R. BRADER,
Deputy Director, Fruit and Vegetable Division, Agricultural Marketing Service.

[FR Doc.73-26207 Filed 12-6-73;12:45 pm]

PART 926—TOKAY GRAPES GROWN IN SAN JOAQUIN COUNTY, CALIFORNIA

Increase in Expenses for the 1973–74 Season

This document authorizes an increase of $14,834 in the Industry Committee expenses during the 1973–74 season (April 1, 1973, through March 31, 1974) under Marketing Order No. 926. Said increase changes the seasonal committee budget from $55,215 to $70,049 with no change in the related rate of assessment on handlers of Tokay grapes.

On November 15, 1973, notice of proposed rule making was published in the FEDERAL REGISTER (38 FR 31540) regarding proposed amendment of the committee expenses for the period April 1, 1973, through March 31, 1974, pursuant to the marketing agreement, as amended, and Order No. 926, as amended (7 CFR Part 926), regulating the handling of Tokay grapes grown in San Joaquin County, California, effective under the applicable provisions of the Agricultural Marketing Agreement Act of 1937, as amended (7 U.S.C. 601–674). The notice allowed interested persons 15 days to submit written data, views, or arguments pertaining to the proposal. None were submitted. After consideration of all relevant matter presented, including the proposal set forth in said notice which was submitted by the Industry Committee (established pursuant to said amended marketing agreement and order) *it is hereby ordered*, That the provisions of paragraph (a) of § 926.213 *Expenses and rate of assessment* (38 FR 21389) be amended to read as follows:

§ 926.213 Expenses and rate of assessment.

(a) *Expenses.* Expenses that are reasonable and likely to be incurred by the Industry Committee during the period April 1, 1973, through March 31, 1974, will amount to $70,049.

* * * * *

Terms used in the amended marketing agreement and this part shall, when used herein, have the same meaning as is given to the respective term in said amended marketing agreement and this part.

It is hereby found that good cause exists for not postponing the effective time hereof until 30 days after publication in the FEDERAL REGISTER (5 U.S.C. 553) in that (1) the Industry Committee has incurred expenses in excess of those previously thought likely to be incurred, (2) it is essential that the determination of expenses, as specified herein, be issued immediately so that said committee can meet its obligations and perform its duties and functions during the current fiscal period in accordance with the provisions of said marketing agreement and

order, and (3) the increase in expenses, as set forth herein, will not result in an increase in the rate of assessment for handlers as heretofore fixed by the Secretary (38 FR 21389).

(Secs. 1–19, 48 Stat. 31, as amended (7 U.S.C. 601–674))

Dated: December 4, 1973.

CHARLES R. BRADER,
Deputy Director, Fruit and Vegetable Division, Agricultural Marketing Service.

[FR Doc.73-26068 Filed 12-6-73;8:45 am]

PART 959—ONIONS GROWN IN SOUTH TEXAS

Expenses and Rate of Assessment

This document authorizes the South Texas Onion Committee to spend not more than $86,719 for its operations during the fiscal period ending July 31, 1974, and to collect $0.0125 per 50-pound container of assessable onions handled by first handlers.

The committee was established under Marketing Agreement No. 143 and Order No. 959, both as amended (7 CFR Part 959), regulating the handling of onions grown in South Texas. This program is effective under the Agricultural Marketing Agreement Act of 1937, as amended (7 U.S.C. 601 et seq.).

Notice was published in the November 16 FEDERAL REGISTER (38 FR 31682) regarding the proposals. It afforded interested persons an opportunity to file written comments not later than November 29, 1973. None was filed.

After consideration of all relevant matters, including the proposals set forth in the notice, it is found that the following budget and rate of assessment should be approved.

It is further found that good cause exists for not postponing the effective date of this section until 30 days after publication in the FEDERAL REGISTER (5 U.S.C. 553) because this part requires that the rate of assessment for a particular fiscal period shall apply to all assessable onions from the beginning of such period.

§ 959.214 Expenses and rate of assessment.

(a) The reasonable expenses that are likely to be incurred during the fiscal period ending July 31, 1974, by the South Texas Onion Committee for its maintenance and functioning, and for such purposes as the Secretary determines to be appropriate, will amount to $86,719.

(b) The rate of assessment to be paid by each handler in accordance with the Marketing Agreement and this part shall be one and one-quarter cents ($0.0125) per 50-pound container of onions, or equivalent quantity, handled by him as the first handler thereof during said fiscal period.

(c) Unexpended income in excess of expenses for the fiscal period ending July 31, 1974, may be carried over as a reserve.

(d) Terms used in this section have the same meaning as when used in the said marketing agreement and this part.

(Secs. 1–19, 48 Stat. 31, as amended (7 U.S.C. 601–674).)

Dated: December 3, 1973.

CHARLES R. BRADER,
Deputy Director, Fruit and Vegetable Division, Agricultural Marketing Service.

[FR Doc.73-25975 Filed 12-6-73;8:45 am]

CHAPTER XVIII—FARMERS HOME ADMINISTRATION, DEPARTMENT OF AGRICULTURE

SUBCHAPTER F—SECURITY SERVICING AND LIQUIDATION

[FHA Instruction 465.2]

PART 1872—REAL ESTATE SECURITY

Subpart C—Management and Sale of Acquired Real Estate

Section 1872.66(c)(4) of Subpart C of Part 1872, Title 7, Code of Federal Regulations (38 FR 19204) is amended to clarify that, in the case of a credit sale to an eligible applicant for a Rural Rental Housing (RRH) Loan, a loan can be made for 100 percent of the appraised value of the property, rather than for 95 percent of the value of the security property as stated in § 1822.86(a)(2) of this chapter.

In accordance with the spirit of the public policies set forth in (5 U.S.C. 553), interested persons may submit written comments, suggestions, data or arguments to the Office of the Deputy Administrator Comptroller, Farmers Home Administration, U.S. Department of Agriculture, Room 5007, South Building, Washington, D.C. 20250, on publication of the FEDERAL REGISTER. Material thus submitted will be evaluated and acted upon in the same manner as if this document were a proposal. However, this amendment shall remain effective until it is amended in order to permit the public business to proceed expeditiously.

Any delay in making this amendment effective would deprive the public of a benefit and would be contrary to public interest.

Section 1872.66(c)(4), as amended, reads as follows:

§ 1872.66 Sale of property that was security for rural housing loans only.

* * * * *

(c) *Property suitable for FHA programs.* * * *

(4) The policies, procedures, authorizations, limitations, terms and conditions applicable to making, approving and processing loans outlined in the FHA requirements pertaining to the type of loan involved, will be followed in making credit sales to eligible applicants. For RRH loans, however, § 1822.86(a)(2) of this chapter limiting the amount of the loan will not apply. All RRH credit sales may be made for up to 100 percent of the current market value of the security less any prior liens. The following provisions will apply in all credit sales to eligible applicants: * * *

((42 U.S.C. 1480); delegation of authority by the Sec. of Agri., 38 FR 14944, 14948, 7 CFR 2.23; delegation of authority by the Asst. Sec. for Rural Development, 38 FR 14944, 14952, 7 CFR 2.70.)

These amendments are effective December 7, 1973.

Dated: November 26, 1973.

FRANK B. ELLIOTT,
Administrator, Farmers Home Administration.

[FR Doc.73-25978 Filed 12-6-73;8:45 am]

Title 9—Animals and Animal Products

CHAPTER I—ANIMAL AND PLANT HEALTH INSPECTION SERVICE, DEPARTMENT OF AGRICULTURE

SUBCHAPTER D—EXPORTATION AND IMPORTATION OF ANIMALS (INCLUDING POULTRY) AND ANIMAL PRODUCTS

PART 94—RINDERPEST, FOOT-AND-MOUTH DISEASE, FOWL PEST (FOWL PLAGUE), NEWCASTLE DISEASE (AVIAN PNEUMOENCEPHALITIS), AFRICAN SWINE FEVER, AND HOG CHOLERA: PROHIBITED AND RESTRICTED IMPORTATIONS

Prohibitions and Restrictions on Importation of Swine, Pork and Pork Products

Correction

In FR Doc. 73-19561, appearing on page 25669 in the issue for Friday, September 14, 1973, in the 13th line of the first paragraph, the word "prices" should read "practices".

PART 97—OVERTIME SERVICES RELATING TO IMPORTS AND EXPORTS

Commuted Travel Time Allowances

The purpose of this amendment is to establish commuted travel time periods as nearly as may be practicable to cover the time necessarily spent in reporting to and returning from the place at which an employee of Veterinary Services performs overtime or holiday duty when such travel is performed solely on account of overtime or holiday duty. Such establishment depends upon facts within the knowledge of the Animal and Plant Health Inspection Service.

Therefore, pursuant to the authority conferred upon the Deputy Administrator, Veterinary Services, Animal and Plant Health Inspection Service by § 97.1 of the regulations concerning overtime services relating to imports and exports (9 CFR 97.1), administrative instructions 9 CFR 97.2 (1973 ed.), as amended January 26, 1973 (38 FR 2442) June 19, 1973 (38 FR 15953), and September 5, 1973 (38 FR 23940), prescribing the commuted travel time that shall be included in each period of overtime or holiday duty, are hereby amended by adding to or deleting from the respective lists therein as follows:

OUTSIDE METROPOLITAN AREA

TWO HOURS

Add: Blaine, Washington (when served from Mt. Vernon, Washington).

THREE HOURS

Add: Port of Brownsville, Texas (when served from Hidalgo, Texas, or vicinity).

FIVE HOURS

Add: Austin, Minnesota (when served from St. Paul, Minnesota).

(64 Stat. 561; (7 U.S.C. 2260).)

Effective date. The foregoing amendment shall become effective December 7, 1973.

It is to the benefit of the public that these instructions be made effective at the earliest practicable date. Accordingly, pursuant to 5 U.S.C. 553, it is found upon good cause that notice and public procedure on these instructions are impracticable, unnecessary, and contrary to the public interest, and good cause is found for making them effective less than 30 days after publication in the FEDERAL REGISTER.

Done at Washington, D.C., this 3d day of December 1973.

J. M. HEJL,
Acting Deputy Administrator, Veterinary Services, Animal and Plant Health Inspection Service.

[FR Doc.73-25977 Filed 12-6-73;8:45 am]

SUBCHAPTER E—VIRUSES, SERUMS, TOXINS, AND ANALOGOUS PRODUCTS: ORGANISMS AND VECTORS

PART 113—STANDARD REQUIREMENTS

Miscellaneous Amendments

Correction

In FR Doc. 73-25407 appearing at page 32917 in the issue of Thursday, November 29, 1973, make the following corrections:

1. In § 113.53(a)(1), the last line should read "§ 113.28."

2. In § 113.53(a)(2), the last line should read "§ 113.26."

Title 14—Aeronautics and Space

CHAPTER I—FEDERAL AVIATION ADMINISTRATION, DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION

[Docket No. 73-NW-14-AD; Amdt. 39-1753]

PART 39—AIRWORTHINESS DIRECTIVES

Boeing Model 707/720 Series Aircraft

There have been reports of excessive non-recoverable internal leakage of the rudder power control unit, basic P/N 10-60815. This internal leakage is due to seal deterioration and is attributed to excessive clearance between the tab lock stop piston and cylinder bore. This type leakage decreases hydraulic power available to the rudder power control unit and may result in inadequate directional control for asymmetrical flight conditions.

Since this condition is likely to exist or develop in other airplanes of the same type design, an airworthiness directive is being issued to require the inspection, rework, or replacement, as necessary, of the series yaw damper rudder power control unit.

Since a situation exists that requires immediate adoption of this regulation, it is found that notice and public procedure hereon are impracticable and good cause exists for making this amendment effective on the date of publication in the FEDERAL REGISTER.

In consideration of the foregoing, and pursuant to the authority delegated to me by the Administrator (31 FR 13697), § 39.13 of the Federal Aviation regulations is amended by adding the following new airworthiness directive:

BOEING: Applies to all Model 707 and 720 airplanes equipped with the series yaw damper rudder power control unit, basic P/N 10-60815, having 8,000 hrs., or more, time in service.

Compliance is required within the next 100 hours time in service after the effective date of this AD unless already accomplished.

To prevent excessive non-recoverable internal hydraulic leakage in the series yaw damper rudder power control unit and the possible loss of aircraft directional control at critical air speeds; inspect, replace, or rework, as necessary, the series yaw damper rudder power control unit (Boeing P/N 10-60815-1, 10-60815-2, 10-60815-3, 10-60815-4, or 10-60815-5) in accordance with the following:

(1) Inspect for evidence of internal leakage per the information contained in paragraph "A" of Boeing Service Letter 6-2720-2113, dated December 4, 1973, and Boeing Alert Service Bulletin 3147, dated November 26, 1973, or later FAA approved revision of Boeing Alert Service Bulletin. As necessary, inspect per step (2) below.

(2) Inspect for evidence of internal leakage per the information contained in paragraph "B" of Boeing Service Letter 6-2720-2113, dated December 4, 1973, and Boeing Alert Service Bulletin 3147, dated November 26, 1973, or later FAA approved revision of Boeing Alert Service Bulletin 3147 or by an equivalent method approved by the Chief, Engineering and Manufacturing Branch, FAA Northwest Region.

(3) Airplanes with Rudder Power Control Units having internal leakage equal to or greater than 1.0 GPM but less than 3.0 GPM may not be used in revenue service but may be ferried to a maintenance base for Power Control Unit replacement or rework.

(4) Airplanes with Rudder Power Control Units having 3.0 GPM, or more, internal leakage must have Power Control Unit (basic P/N 10-60815) replaced or overhauled per the instructions contained in the references of paragraph 7 of Boeing Alert Service Bulletin 3147, as necessary, prior to further flight.

This amendment becomes effective December 7, 1973.

The manufacturer's specifications and procedures identified and described in this Directive are incorporated herein and made a part hereof pursuant to 5 U.S.C. 552(a)(1). All persons affected by this directive who have not already received these documents from the manufacturer may obtain copies upon request to Boeing Commercial Airplane Company, P.O. Box 3707, Seattle, Washington 98124. These documents may be examined at FAA Northwest Region, 9010 East Marginal Way, Seattle, Washington 98108.

(Secs. 313(a), 601 and 603, Federal Aviation Act of 1958, (49 U.S.C. 1354(a), 1421, 1423, sec. 6(c), Department of Transportation Act, (49 U.S.C. 1655(c).)

Issued in Seattle, Washington on November 30, 1973.

C. B. WALK, JR.,
Director, Northwest Region.

[FR Doc.73-25927 Filed 12-6-73;8:45 am]

[Docket No. 73-EA-99; Amdt. 39-1750]

PART 39—AIRWORTHINESS DIRECTIVES

DeHavilland Aircraft

The Federal Aviation Administration is publishing an amendment to § 39.13 of Part 39 of the Federal Aviation regulations so as to issue an airworthiness directive applicable to deHavilland DHC-2 type airplanes.

There has been reports of fatigue failures of the vertical fin rear attachment bolts. Because of the seriousness of the deficency, an emergency airworthiness directive was dispatched to all known owners and operators of the subject aircraft. The emergency AD required repetitive inspections and, as the deficiency continues to exist or may develop in similar-type aircraft, the AD is being promulgated in the FEDERAL REGISTER. Since the same impact on air safety is evident, notice and public procedure hereon are impractical and the amendment may be made effective in less than 30 days.

In consideration of the foregoing and pursuant to the authority delegated to me by the Administrator, 14 CFR 11.89 [31 FR 13697] § 39.13 of Part 39 of the Federal Aviation Regulations is amended by adding the following new Airworthiness Directive:

DEHAVILLAND. Applies to DHC-2, MK I (L-20A (U-6A)) airplanes certificated in all categories.

To prevent hazards in flight associated with fin rear spar attachment bolts and fitting, accomplish the following:

1. This paragraph applies to aircraft that are using AN 7-13 bolts and are or have been operating as floatplanes or amphibians. Within the next 10 hours in service or prior to the next flight carrying loads (e.g. canoes, lumber, etc.) mounted on the float support structure, whichever occurs first, after receipt of this AD, unless accomplished within the last 290 hours in service, perform the following replacements or inspections and at intervals thereafter not to exceed 300 hours.

a. Replace each of the fin rear spar bolts AN 7-13 or remove the bolts and inspect for cracks using a dye penetrant inspection in conjunction with a 10-power glass, or an FAA-approved equivalent inspection. Replace cracked bolts prior to further flight. The repetitive inspection of the bolts may be discontinued upon installation of NAS 147-A26 bolts.

b. Visually inspect the fin rear spar fitting P/N C2TF13, for distortion, cracking, fretting or loose rivets. Replace cracked, distorted or fretted fittings and repair fittings which have loose rivets prior to further flight.

2. Inspect aircraft that are or have been operating as floatplanes or amphibians and are using NAS 147-A26 bolts within the next 10 hours in service or prior to the next flight carrying loads (canoes, lumber, etc.) mounted on the float support structure,

whichever occurs first, after receipt of this AD.

a. In accordance with the accomplishment instructions of deHavilland Service Bulletin 2/11 of 6/23/72, or an approved equivalent inspection, unless already accomplished, and

b. Inspect only the fitting in accordance with paragraph 1 above, including the repetitive inspections.

3. Inspect aircraft that have only been operated as landplanes or skiplanes, within the next 100 hours in service after receipt of this AD, unless already accomplished, in accordance with the accomplishment instructions of the service bulletin or an approved equivalent inspection.

4. Where the AD requires replacement or repairs before further flight, the airplane may be flown in accordance with FAR 21.197 to a base where the repair can be performed.

5. The compliance times may be increased by the Chief, Engineering and Manufacturing Branch, FAA, Eastern Region, upon receipt of substantiating data submitted through a local FAA maintenance inspector.

6. Equivalent inspections must be approved by the Chief, Engineering and Manufacturing Branch, FAA, Eastern Region.

This amendment is effective December 14, 1973, and was effective upon receipt by all recipients of the airmail dispatch dated September 26, 1973.

(Secs. 313(a), 601 and 603, Federal Aviation Act of 1958 (49 U.S.C. 1354(a), 1421 and 1423); sec. 6(c), Department of Transportation Act (49 U.S.C. 1655(c)).)

Issued in Jamaica, N.Y., on November 30, 1973.

ROBERT H. STANTON,
Director, Eastern Region.

[FR Doc.73-25928 Filed 12-6-73;8:45 am]

[Docket No. 73-EA-49; Amdt. 39-1749]

PART 39—AIRWORTHINESS DIRECTIVES

Stewart Warner Aircraft Heaters

The Federal Aviation Administration is amending § 39.13 of Part 39 of the Federal Aviation regulations so as to amend AD 69-13-3 applicable to Stewart Warner Aircraft Heaters Model 940 installed in Piper PA-23 and PA-30 type aircraft.

AD 69-13-3 prescribed periodic overhaul of the subject heaters, every 500 hours of operation. However, it has been determined that if the overhaul includes replacement of the heat exchanger unit, the time of overhaul can be extended to 1,000 hours. The amendment will reflect such extension.

Since the amendment merely provides an alternative method of compliance, notice and public procedure hereon are unnecessary and the amendment may be made effective in less than 30 days.

In consideration of the foregoing and pursuant to the authority delegated to me by the Administrator, 14 CFR 11.89 (31 FR 13697) § 39.13 of Part 39 of the Federal Aviation regulations is amended so as to amend AD 69-13-3 as follows:

1. Add a new paragraph (d) as follows:

(d) If the overhaul described in paragraphs (C)(1) and (C)(2) above includes replacement of heat exchanger P/N G488636 with a new unit, the time to next overhaul may be extended to 1,000 hours in lieu of 500 hours provided a new nameplate Stewart Warner P/N 704897 or an equivalent approved by the Chief, Engineering & Manufacturing Branch, FAA, Eastern Region, is attached to the heater adjacent to the existing nameplate, and inscribed with unit Model No., Serial No., Aircraft Registration No. and hours of service time at installation.

(Stewart-Warner Corp. South Wind Division Service Bulletin No. 73-2 covers this subject.)

This amendment is effective December 14, 1973.

(Secs. 313(a), 601 and 603, Federal Aviation Act of 1958 (49 U.S.C. 1354(a), 1421 and 1423); sec. 6(c), Department of Transportation Act (49 U.S.C. 1655(c)).)

Issued in Jamaica, N.Y., on November 29, 1973.

ROBERT H. STANTON,
Director, Eastern Region.

[FR Doc.73-25929 Filed 12-6-73;8:45 am]

[Airspace Docket No. 73-CE-30]

PART 71—DESIGNATION OF FEDERAL AIRWAYS, AREA LOW ROUTES, CONTROLLED AIRSPACE, AND REPORTING POINTS

Alteration of Control Zone

The purpose of this amendment to Part 71 of the Federal Aviation Regulations is to alter the Fort Leavenworth, Kansas, control zone.

The Fort Leavenworth, Kansas, control zone, serving the Sherman Army Airfield, is being changed from a continuous to a part-time operation due to the unavailability of necessary weather reports for the control zone during certain portions of the daily twenty-four (24) hour time period. Accordingly, it is necessary to alter the Fort Leavenworth control zone description to reflect the part-time status of the control zone. The new hours for the control zone will initially be published in advance by a Notice to Airmen. Thereafter, the effective date and time of the control zone and any changes thereto will continuously be published in the Airman's Information Manual.

Since this alteration is relaxatory in nature and is in the interest of safety, compliance with the notice and public procedure provisions of the Administrative Procedure Act is unnecessary.

In consideration of the foregoing, Part 71 of the Federal Aviation Regulations is amended effective 0901 G.m.t., January 31, 1974, as hereinafter set forth:

In § 71.171 (38 FR 351), the following control zone is amended to read:

FORT LEAVENWORTH, KANSAS

Within a 5-mile radius of Sherman AAF, Leavenworth, Kansas (latitude 39°22'00" N., longitude 94°54'45" W.). This control zone is effective during the specific dates and times established in advance by a Notice to Airmen. The effective date and time will thereafter be continuously published in the Airman's Information Manual.

(Sec. 307(a), Federal Aviation Act of 1958 (49 U.S.C. 1348); section 6(c) Department of Transportation Act (49 U.S.C. 1655(c).)

Issued in Kansas City, Missouri, on November 13, 1973.

JOHN R. WALLS,
Acting Director, Central Region.

[FR Doc. 73-25930 Filed 12-6-73;8:45 am]

[Airspace Docket No. 73-CE-29]

PART 71—DESIGNATION OF FEDERAL AIRWAYS, AREA LOW ROUTES, CONTROLLED AIRSPACE, AND REPORTING POINTS

Alteration of Control Zone

The purpose of this amendment to Part 71 of the Federal Aviation Regulations is to alter the Fort Riley, Kansas, control zone.

The Fort Riley, Kansas, control zone serving the Marshall Army Airfield, is being changed from a continuous to a part-time operation due to the unavailability of necessary weather reports for the control zone during certain portions of the daily twenty-four (24) hour time period. Accordingly, it is necessary to alter the Fort Riley control zone description to reflect the part-time status of the control zone. The new hours for the control zone will initially be published in advance by a Notice to Airmen. Thereafter, the effective date and time of the control zone and any changes thereto will continuously be published in the Airman's Information Manual.

Since this alteration is relaxatory in nature and is in the interest of safety, compliance with the notice and public procedure provisions of the Administrative Procedure Act is unnecessary.

In consideration of the foregoing, Part 71 of the Federal Aviation Regulations is amended effective 0901 G.m.t., January 31, 1974, as hereinafter set forth:

In § 71.171 (38 FR 351), the following control zone is amended to read:

FORT RILEY, KANSAS

Within a 5-mile radius of Marshall AAF, Fort Riley, Kansas (latitude 39°03'15" N., longitude 96°45'50" W.); within 2 miles each side of the Fort Riley VOR 042° radial extending from the 5-mile radius zone to the VOR; and within 2 miles each side of the 216° bearing from the Fort Riley RBN extending from the 5-mile radius zone to 8 miles SW of the RBN, excluding the portion within R-3602 and the portion bounded on the NE by the 318° bearing from the Fort Riley RBN and on the SE by a line 2 miles NW of and parallel to the Fort Riley VOR 042° radial. This control zone is effective during the specific date and time established in advance by a Notice to Airmen. The effective date and time will thereafter be continuously published in the Airman's Information Manual.

(Sec. 307(a), Federal Aviation Act of 1958 (49 U.S.C. 1348); sec. 6(c), Department of Transportation Act (49 U.S.C. 1655(c).)

Issued in Kansas City, Missouri, on November 13, 1973.

JOHN R. WALLS,
Acting Director, Central Region.

[FR Doc.73-25931 Filed 12-6-73;8:45 am]

[Airspace Docket No. 73-CE-17]

PART 71—DESIGNATION OF FEDERAL AIRWAYS, AREA LOW ROUTES, CONTROLLED AIRSPACE, AND REPORTING POINTS

Alteration of Control Zone

The purpose of this amendment to Part 71 of the Federal Aviation Regulations is to alter the Fort Leonard Wood, Missouri, control zone.

The Fort Leonard Wood, Missouri, control zone, serving the Forney Army Airfield is being changed from a continuous to a part-time operation due to the unavailability of necessary weather reports for the control zone during certain portions of the daily twenty-four (24) hour time period. Accordingly, it is necessary to alter the Fort Leonard Wood control zone description to reflect the part-time status of the control zone. The new hours for the control zone will initially be published in advance by a Notice to Airmen. Thereafter, the effective date and time of the control zone and any changes thereto will continuously be published in the Airman's Information Manual.

Since this alteration is relaxatory in nature and is in the interest of safety, compliance with the notice and public procedure provisions of the Administrative Procedure Act is unnecessary.

In consideration of the foregoing, Part 71 of the Federal Aviation Regulations is amended effective 0901 g.m.t., January 31, 1973, as hereinafter set forth:

In § 71.171 (38 FR 351), the following control zone is amended to read:

FORT LEONARD WOOD, MISSOURI

Within a 4-mile radius of Forney AAF (latitude 37°44'30" N., longitude 92°08'25" W.); within 3 miles each side of the Forney AAF VOR 323° radial extending from the 4-mile radius zone to 7½ miles northwest of the VOR; and within 3 miles each side of the 146° bearing from the Forney AAF RBN extending from the 4-mile radius zone to 7½ miles southeast of the Forney AAF RBN. This control zone is effective during the specific dates and times established in advance by a Notice to Airmen. The effective date and time will thereafter be continuously published in the Airman's Information Manual.

(Sec. 307(a), Federal Aviation Act of 1958 (49 U.S.C. 1348); sec. 6(c), Department of Transportation Act (49 U.S.C. 1655(c)).)

Issued in Kansas City, Missouri, on November 16, 1973.

A. L. COULTER,
Director, Central Region.

[FR Doc.73-25932 Filed 12-6-73;8:45 am]

[Airspace Docket No. 73-SO-77]

PART 71—DESIGNATION OF FEDERAL AIRWAYS, AREA LOW ROUTES, CONTROLLED AIRSPACE, AND REPORTING POINTS

Alteration of Transition Area

The purpose of this amendment to Part 71 of the Federal Aviation Regulations is to alter the Eglin AFB, Fla., transition area.

The Eglin AFB transition area is described in § 71.181 (38 FR 435). In the description, there is a proviso to exclude the portion within R-2909. Since R-2909 has been cancelled, this proviso is no longer required. It is necessary to alter the description to reflect this change. Since this amendment is less restrictive in nature, notice and public procedure hereon are unnecessary.

Gla'.Hfiedt

In consideration of the foregoing, Part 71 of the Federal Aviation Regulations is amended, effective immediately, as hereinafter set forth.

In § 71.181 (38 FR 435), the Eglin AFB, Fla., transition area is amended as follows:

"* * * R-2909 * * *" is deleted.

(Sec. 307(a), Federal Aviation Act of 1958 (49 U.S.C. 1348(a)), sec. 6(c), Department of Transportation Act (49 U.S.C. 1655(c).)

Issued in East Point, Ga., on November 21, 1973.

DUANE W. FREER,
Acting Director, Southern Region.

[FR Doc.73-25933 Filed 12-6-73;8:45 am]

[Airspace Docket No. 73-WE-10]

PART 75—ESTABLISHMENT OF JET ROUTES AND AREA HIGH ROUTES

Alteration of Area High Route

On September 28, 1973, a notice of proposed rulemaking (NPRM) was published in the FEDERAL REGISTER (38 FR 27081), stating that the Federal Aviation Administration was considering an amendment to Part 75 of the Federal Aviation Regulations that would realign a segment of J-938R from Reno, Nev., to Maple, Calif., via Napa, Calif., and Palisades, Calif. (Maple, Calif., name changed to LEAFS and Palisades, Calif., name changed to PALIS in Airspace Docket No. 73-WA-38).

Interested persons were afforded an opportunity to participate in the proposed rule making through the submission of comments. All comments received were favorable.

In consideration of the foregoing, Part 75 of the Federal Aviation regulations is amended, effective 0901 g.m.t., January 31, 1974, as hereinafter set forth.

Section 75.400 (38 FR 700, 12904, 24204) is amended as follows:

In J-938R (Chicago, Ill., to LEAFS) "SAWED (formerly Sawmill, Calif.) 38°37'27" N., 123°04'28" W. Ukiah, Calif." is deleted and "Napa, Calif., 38°10'46" N., 122°22'19" W. Ukiah, Calif., PALIS 37°36'00" N., 123°30'00" W. Ukiah, Calif., is substituted therefor.

(Sec. 307(a) and 1110, Federal Aviation Act of 1958 (49 U.S.C. 1348(a) and 1510) and Executive Order 10854 (24 FR 9565); sec. 6(c), Department of Transportation Act (49 U.S.C. 1655(c).)

Issued in Washington, D.C., on December 3, 1973.

CHARLES H. NEWPOL,
Acting Chief, Airspace and Air Traffic Rules Division.

[FR Doc.73-25934 Filed 12-6-73;8:45 am]

Title 16—Commercial Practices

CHAPTER I—FEDERAL TRADE COMMISSION

SUBCHAPTER D—TRADE REGULATION RULES

PART 425—USE OF NEGATIVE OPTION PLANS BY SELLERS IN COMMERCE

Effective Date

On February 15, 1973, the Commission promulgated the Trade Regulation Rule including a statement of its basis and purpose concerning Use of Negative Option Plans by Sellers in Commerce with a deferred announcement of its effective date (38 FR 4896).

The Commission has now determined that the effective date of this rule will be June 7, 1974.

Issued: December 7, 1973.

By the Federal Trade Commission.

[SEAL] CHARLES A. TOBIN,
Secretary.

[FR Doc.73-25959 Filed 12-6-73;8:45 am]

PART 429—COOLING-OFF PERIOD FOR DOOR-TO-DOOR SALES

Effective Date

On October 18, 1972, the Commission promulgated the Trade Regulation Rule including a statement of its basis and purpose concerning a Cooling-Off Period for Door-to-Door Sales with a deferred announcement of its effective date (37 FR 22934).

The Commission has now determined that the effective date of this rule will be June 7, 1974.

Issued: December 7, 1973.

By the Federal Trade Commission.

[SEAL] CHARLES A. TOBIN,
Secretary.

[FR Doc.73-25958 Filed 12-6-73;8:45 am]

Title 18—Conservation of Power and Water Resources

CHAPTER I—FEDERAL POWER COMMISSION

[Docket No. R-478]

PART 2—GENERAL POLICY AND INTERPRETATIONS

PART 157—APPLICATIONS FOR CERTIFICATES OF PUBLIC NECESSITY AND FOR ORDERS PERMITTING AND APPROVING ABANDONMENT UNDER SECTION 7 OF THE NATURAL GAS ACT

Reconciliation Regarding Establishment of Just and Reasonable Rates for Natural Gas

DECEMBER 3, 1973.

Notice is hereby given that members of the Staff of the Federal Power Commis-

sion will meet with Mr. Donald Auten of Arthur Young & Company, Tulsa, Oklahoma, on December 11, 1973, at 10:00 a.m. to reconcile the information contained in Schedules 1, 2, 3, 4, and 4–A of the data collection forms which the respondents to this proceeding were required to file with the Federal Power Commission.

The Staff members and Mr. Auten will conduct the reconciliation in Room 3002 of the Federal Power Commission, 825 North Capitol Street NE., Washington, D.C. 20426. Any party desiring to attend the reconciliation may do so.

KENNETH F. PLUMB,
Secretary.

[FR Doc.73-25991 Filed 12-6-73;8:45 am]

Title 21—Food and Drugs

CHAPTER I—FOOD AND DRUG ADMINISTRATION, DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH, EDUCATION, AND WELFARE

SUBCHAPTER C—DRUGS

PART 135d—NEW ANIMAL DRUGS FOR INTRAMAMMARY USE

PART 149c—HETACILLIN

Potassium Hetacillin for Intramammary Infusion Veterinary

Correction

In FR Doc. 73-24003 appearing on page 31172 in the issue of Monday, November 12, 1973, the effective date now reading "November 9, 1973", should read "November 12, 1973."

Title 27—Alcohol, Tobacco Products and Firearms

CHAPTER I—BUREAU OF ALCOHOL, TOBACCO AND FIREARMS, DEPARTMENT OF THE TREASURY

SUBCHAPTER F—PROCEDURES AND PRACTICES

[T.D. ATF-6]

PART 70—PROCEDURE AND ADMINISTRATION

Correction

In FR Doc. 73-24990 appearing at page 32445 in the issue of Monday, November 26, 1973, "§ 70.25 Enforcement of summonses.", should read "§ 70.24 Enforcement of summonses."

Title 24—Housing and Urban Development

CHAPTER X—FEDERAL INSURANCE ADMINISTRATION, DEPARTMENT OF HOUSING AND URBAN DEVELOPMENT

SUBCHAPTER B—NATIONAL FLOOD INSURANCE PROGRAM

[Docket No. FI-263]

PART 1914—AREAS ELIGIBLE FOR THE SALE OF INSURANCE

Status of Participating Communities

Section 1914.4 of Part 1914 of Subchapter B of Chapter X of Title 24 of the Code of Federal Regulations is amended by adding in alphabetical sequence a new entry to the table. In this entry, a complete chronology of effective dates appears for each listed community. Each date appearing in the last column of the table is followed by a designation which indicates whether the date signifies the effective date of the authorization of the sale of flood insurance in the area under the emergency or the regular flood insurance program. The entry reads as follows:

§ 1914.4 **Status of participating communities.**

* * * * * * *

State	County	Location	Map No.	State map repository	Local map repository	Effective date of authorization of sale of flood insurance for area
*	*	*	*	*	*	*
Illinois	Calhoun	Hamburg, Village of.				Dec. 6, 1973, Emergency.
Do	Cook	Richton Park, Village of.				Do.
Massachusetts	Middlesex	Chelmsford, Town of.				Do.
North Dakota	Cass	West Fargo, city of.				Do.
Pennsylvania	Montgomery	Ambler, Borough of.				Do.
Do	Lycoming	Anthony, Township of.				Do.
Do	Mercer	Farrell, City of				Do.
Do	Northumberland	Herndon, Borough of.				Do.
Do	Bucks	New Britain, Borough of.				Do.
Do	Luzerne	Rice, Township of.				Do.
South Carolina	Lexington	W. Columbia, City of.				Do.
Texas	Gregg	Longview, City of.				Do.
Virginia	Giles	Narrows, Town of.				Do.

(National Flood Insurance Act of 1968 (title XIII of the Housing and Urban Development Act of 1968), effective Jan. 28, 1969 (33 FR 17804, Nov. 28, 1968), as amended (secs. 408–410, Pub. L. 91–152, Dec. 24, 1969), 42 U.S.C. 4001–4127; and Secretary's delegation of authority to Federal Insurance Administrator, 34 FR 2680, Feb. 27, 1969.)

Issued: November 29, 1973.

GEORGE K. BERNSTEIN,
Federal Insurance Administrator.

[FR Doc.73-25882 Filed 12-6-73;8:45 am]

[Docket No. FI-264]

PART 1914—AREAS ELIGIBLE FOR THE SALE OF INSURANCE

Status of Participating Communities

Section 1914.4 of Part 1914 of Subchapter B of Chapter X of Title 24 of the Code of Federal Regulations is amended by adding in alphabetical sequence a new entry to the table. In this entry, a complete chronology of effective dates appears for each listed community. Each date appearing in the last column of the table is followed by a designation which indicates whether the date signifies the effective date of the authorization of the sale of flood insurance in the area under the emergency or the regular flood insurance program. The entry reads as follows:

§ 1914.4 **Status of participating communities.**

* * * * * * *

State	County	Location	Map No.	State map repository	Local map repository	Effective date of authorization of sale of flood insurance for area
*	*	*	*	*	*	*
Colorado	Clear Creek	Idaho Springs, City of.				Dec. 4, 1973. Emergency.
Massachusetts	Barnstable	Orleans, Town of				Do.
Pennsylvania	Carbon	Franklin, Township of.				Do.
Do.	Lancaster	Adamstown, Borough of.				Do.
South Carolina	Lexington	Springdale, Town of.				Do.

(National Flood Insurance Act of 1968 (title XIII of the Housing and Urban Development Act of 1968), effective Jan. 28, 1969 (33 FR 17804, Nov. 28, 1968), as amended (secs. 408–410, Pub. L. 91–152, Dec. 24, 1969), 42 U.S.C. 4001–4127; and Secretary's delegation of authority to Federal Insurance Administrator, 34 FR 2680, Feb. 27, 1969.)

Issued: November 27, 1973.

GEORGE K. BERNSTEIN,
Federal Insurance Administrator.

[FR Doc.73-25881 Filed 12-6-73;8:45 am]

[Docket No. FI-265]

PART 1914—AREAS ELIGIBLE FOR THE SALE OF INSURANCE

Status of Participating Communities

Section 1914.4 of Part 1914 of Subchapter B of Chapter X of Title 24 of the Code of Federal Regulations is amended by adding in alphabetical sequence a new entry to the table. In this entry, a complete chronology of effective dates appears for each listed community. Each date appearing in the last column of the table is followed by a designation which indicates whether the date signifies the effective date of the authorization of the sale of flood insurance in the area under the emergency or the regular flood insurance program. The entry reads as follows:

§ 1914.4 **Status of participating communities.**

* * * * * * *

State	County	Location	Map No.	State map repository	Local map repository	Effective date of authorization of sale of flood insurance for area
*	*	*	*	*	*	*
Georgia	Clarke	Athens, City of				Dec. 5, 1973. Emergency.
Virginia	Fairfax	Clifton, Town of				Do.

(National Flood Insurance Act of 1968 (title XIII of the Housing and Urban Development Act of 1968), effective Jan. 28, 1969 (33 FR 17804, Nov. 28, 1968), as amended (secs. 408–410, Pub. L. 91–152, Dec. 24, 1969), 42 U.S.C. 4001–4127; and Secretary's delegation of authority to Federal Insurance Administrator, 34 FR 2680, Feb. 27, 1969.)

Issued: November 29, 1973.

GEORGE K. BERNSTEIN,
Federal Insurance Administrator.

[FR Doc.73-25880 Filed 12-6-73;8:45 am]

Title 34—Government Management

CHAPTER II—OFFICE OF FEDERAL MANAGEMENT POLICY, GENERAL SERVICES ADMINISTRATION

SUBCHAPTER A—GENERAL

[FMC 73–1]

PART 200—FEDERAL MANAGEMENT CIRCULARS AND NOTICES

Federal Management Circulars and Notices

This document establishes a new Title 34 in the Code of Federal Regulations, consisting of Chapter II—Office of Federal Management Policy, General Services Administration.

FMC 73–1 dated August 30, 1973, established the General Services Administration series of Federal Management Circulars and Notices. Accordingly, the effective date of this regulation corresponds to that date.

The President transferred certain functions from the Office of Management and Budget to the General Services Administration by Executive Order 11717 (38 FR 12315, May 11, 1973).

The purpose of this document is to publish general regulations regarding GSA's issuance of Federal Management Circulars and Notices as Part 200 of Subchapter A—*General*. Future issuances will establish separate subchapters for circulars having to do with procurement management, property management, financial management, management systems, and automatic data processing management. Parts 201 through 299 of 34 CFR have been reserved for these issuances.

Accordingly, it is ordered:

1. A new Title 34 reading as set forth above is established in the Code of Federal Regulations.

2. Chapter II of Title 34 is added to the Code of Federal Regulations to read as set forth above.

3. Part 200—Federal Management Circulars and Notices, is added to read as set forth below.

Effective date. This regulation is effective August 30, 1973.

Dated: November 27, 1973.

ARTHUR F. SAMPSON,
Administrator of General Services.

Sec.
200.1 Purpose.
200.2 Background.
200.3 Policy intent.
200.4 Scope.
200.5 Definition.
200.6 Types of issuances.
200.7 Distribution.
200.8 Responsibilities.
200.9 Authority.
200.10 Other regulations.
200.11 Inquiries.

AUTHORITY: Executive Order 11717 (38 FR 12315, May 11, 1973).

§ 200.1 Purpose.

This part establishes the General Services Administration's series of Federal Management Circulars and Notices which are used to communicate Federal management policy and information to the executive departments and establishments.

§ 200.2 Background.

This part is prepared pursuant to Presidential Executive Order 11717 of May 9, 1973, subject: Transferring Certain Functions from the Office of Management and Budget to the General Services Administration and the Department of Commerce. The Order transferred to the Administrator of General Services, effective April 15, 1973, all functions being performed on April 13, 1973, by:

(a) The Financial Management Branch, the Procurement and Property Management Branch, and the Management Systems Branch of the Organization and Management Systems Division; and

(b) The Management Information and Computer Systems Division with respect to policy control over automatic data processing (except those functions relating to the establishment of Government-wide automatic data processing standards).

§ 200.3 Policy intent.

The parts in this chapter are intended to provide the media through which national policies with respect to the Government-wide management functions transferred to GSA by Executive Order 11717 will be transmitted to executive departments and establishments. These parts will address Government-wide policy and administration in the areas of general management systems, financial management, automated data processing management, property management, and procurement management. The Federal Management Circulars and Notices will also serve as successors to OMB Circulars and Notices in the transferred functional areas.

§ 200.4 Scope.

The provisions of any Federal Management Circular or Notice shall be observed by every department and establishment insofar as the subject matter pertains to the affairs of such department or establishment.

§ 200.5 Definition.

The term "departments and establishments" includes any executive department, independent commission, board, bureau, office, agency, Government-owned or -controlled corporation, or other establishment of the Government, including any regulatory commission or board and the municipal government of the District of Columbia but not the legislative or judicial branches of the Government.

§ 200.6 Types of issuances.

(a) Two types of material are issued in this series:

(1) *Federal Management Circulars (FMC).* Federal Management Circulars are used to issue permanent policy statements or procedures of continuing effect. They will be updated as required by page changes or complete reissues. Revisions will be promulgated as a part of or attached to transmittal letters. Federal Management Circulars are classified by type of document, year, and chronological order; for example, FMC 73–1 is the first Federal Management Circular issued in calendar year 1973.

(2) *Federal Management Notices (FMN).* Federal Management Notices are used to issue instructions requiring single or one-time action by departments and establishments or material of a transistory nature. They are canceled after the required action has been taken, or automatically at the end of 1 year. They will be updated as required by page changes or complete reissues. Federal Management Notices are classified by type of document, year, and chronological order; for example, FMN 74–5 is the fifth Federal Management Notice issued in calendar year 1974.

(b) Federal Management Circulars and Notices will be prepared in accordance with the format requirements of attachment A of this circular.

§ 200.7 Distribution.

Federal Management Circulars and Notices will be distributed only to the heads of executive departments and establishments and agency officials who have been authorized by the heads of the departments and establishments to receive them. Agency officials are responsible for adequate dissemination of Federal Management Circulars and Notices within their agencies.

§ 200.8 Responsibilities.

(a) The Associate Administrator for Federal Management Policy, General Services Administration, is responsible for the development, interagency coordination, and the subject matter of the Federal Management Circular and Notice series. The Assistant Administrator for Administration, General Services Administration is responsible for conformance of Federal Management Circulars and Notices to the standards and guidelines of the GSA External Issuance System.

(b) The heads of executive departments and establishments, including GSA, shall be responsible for prompt and effective compliance with Federal Management Circulars and Notices within their agencies. Each agency directive implementing a Federal Management Circular or Notice shall be clearly cross-referenced to, or accompanied by, the applicable Federal Management Circular or Notice.

§ 200.9 Authority.

Federal Management Circulars and Notices will be signed by the Administrator of General Services or his designee.

§ 200.10 Other regulations.

Attention is directed to the Federal Procurement Regulations (FPR) and the Federal Property Management Regulations (FPMR), also issued by the General Services Administration, which are promulgated independently of the

Federal Management Circular and Notice series.

§ 200.11 Inquiries.

Further information concerning this part may be obtained by contacting:

General Services Administration (AMM)
Washington, DC 20405
Telephone: IDS 183-6962
FTS 202-343-6962

GENERAL SERVICES ADMINISTRATION [1]

OFFICE OF FEDERAL MANAGEMENT POLICY

FEDERAL MANAGEMENT CIRCULAR

Number and Subject

TO: HEADS OF EXECUTIVE DEPARTMENTS AND ESTABLISHMENTS

(Date of approval)

1. *Purpose.* This circular/notice establishes policies and procedures. . . .
2. *Effective date.* (Use only if effective date is to be other than date of signature.)
3. *Cancellation/recission/supersession.* This circular/notice rescinds. . . .
4. *Background.* (State appropriate reference, including statutory provisions, authorities, or other directives.)
5. *Policy intent.* (State the policy objective of the circular or notice and the reasons therefor to the extent necessary to provide a basis against which the specific directives of the circular or notice are to be interpreted.)
6. *Applicability and scope.*
a. The provisions of the circular/notice . . . apply to
b. Its provisions do not apply to cover. . . .
7. *Definitions.* (State the meaning of a word or words.)
8. *Policies and procedures.*
a. (Appropriate headings.)
(1) (Highlight basic policy, guidance, and directional material in directive even though details are in attachments or exhibits.)
(2) . . .
9. *Responsibilities.*
a. Heads of executive departments and establishments are responsible for. . . .
b. The (position title), (organization), is responsible for. . . .
10. *Reporting requirement.* (List forms, reports, or records required or eliminated by the directive. Report shall include . . .; report is due. . . .)
11. *Attachments.* (List attachments or exhibits.)
12. *Inquiries.* Further information concerning this circular/notice may be obtained by contacting:
General Services Administration (Correspondence symbol)
Washington, DC 20405
Telephone: IDS (number)
FTS (number)

[FR Doc.73-25600 Filed 12-3-73;8:45 am]

SUBCHAPTER D—FINANCIAL MANAGEMENT

[FMC-73-2]

PART 251—AUDIT OF FEDERAL OPERATIONS AND PROGRAMS BY EXECUTIVE BRANCH AGENCIES

Audit of Federal Operations and Programs by Executive Branch Agencies

This document replaces OMB Circular A-73 in accordance with Executive Order 11717 and Office of Management and Budget Bulletin 74-4 which transferred certain Office of Management and Budget responsibilities to the General Services Administration.

FMC 73-2, dated September 27, 1973, sets forth an overall Federal audit policy for executive departments and establishments. Also, it (1) emphasizes the need for early audits of new or significantly changed programs; (2) provides for closer cooperation and coordination of the work of audit staffs at various levels of Government, including the work of their independent public accountants; and (3) incorporates by reference the *Standards for Audit* recently issued by the Comptroller General, and calls for tailoring the standards to meet particular program needs.

Part 251, Audit of Federal Operations and Programs by Executive Branch Agencies, is added to read as set forth below.

Sec.
251.1 Purpose.
251.2 Supersession.
251.3 Policy intent.
251.4 Applicability and scope.
251.5 Definitions.
251.6 Policies and procedures.
251.7 Responsibilities.
251.8 Reporting requirement.
251.9 Inquiries.

AUTHORITY: Executive Order 11717 (38 FR 12315, May 11, 1973).

§ 251.1 Purpose.

This part sets forth policies to be followed in the audit of Federal operations and programs by executive departments and establishments.

§ 251.2 Supersession.

This part supersedes Office of Management and Budget Circular No. A-73, dated August 4, 1965.

§ 251.3 Policy intent.

The primary objectives of this part are to promote improved audit practices, to achieve more efficient use of manpower, to improve coordination of audit efforts, and to emphasize the need for early audits of new or substantially changed programs.

§ 251.4 Applicability and scope.

The provisions of this part are applicable to all executive departments and establishments. The terms "agency" and "Federal agency" throughout this circular are synonymous with the term "departments and establishments" as defined in FMC 73-1.

§ 251.5 Definitions.

(a) The term "audit" as used in this part means a systematic review or appraisal to determine and report on whether:

(1) Financial operations are properly conducted;

(2) Financial reports are presented fairly;

(3) Applicable laws and regulations have been complied with;

(4) Resources are managed and used in an economical and efficient manner; and

(5) Desired results and objectives are being achieved in an effective manner.

The above elements of an audit are most commonly referred to as financial/compliance (items 1, 2, and 3), economy/efficiency (item 4), and program results (item 5). Collectively, they represent the full scope of an audit and provide the greatest benefit to all potential users of Government audits. In developing audit plans, however, the audit scope should be tailored to each specific program according to the circumstances relating to the program, the management needs to be met, and the capacity of the audit facilities.

(b) The term "audit standards" refers to those standards set forth in the Standards for Audit of Governmental Oranizations, Programs, Activities & Functions issued by the Comptroller General of the United States.

§ 251.6 Policies and procedures.

Agencies are responsible for providing adequate audit coverage of their programs as a constructive aid in determining whether funds have been applied efficiently, economically, effectively, and in a manner that is consistent with related laws, program objectives, and underlying agreements. The audit standards will be the basic criteria on which audit coverage and operations are based. Agencies administering Federal grant, contract, and loan programs will encourage the appropriate application of these standards by non-Federal audit staffs involved in the audit of organizations administering Federal programs. Each agency will implement the policies set forth in this part (circular) by issuing policies, plans, and procedures for the guidance of its auditors.

(a) *Organization and staffing.* Audit services in Government are an integral part of the management process. Audit services and reports must be responsive to management needs. However, it is important in order to obtain the maximum benefit from this function that agency audit organizations have a sufficient degree of independence in carrying out their responsibilities. To provide an appropriate degree of independence, the audit organization should ordinarily be located outside the program management structure, report to an agency management level sufficiently high to ensure proper consideration of and action on audit results, and be given reasonable latitude in selecting and carrying out assignments. Adequate and qualified staff should be assigned this important function. The audit of all programs under a single Federal department or agency must be coordinated, and where economies and a more effective audit service will result, especially in large and geographically dispersed programs, the audit operations within a department should be consolidated. It is also important to establish close coordination between audit and such other management review activities as may exist in an agency.

(b) *Determination of audit priorities.* Each agency will establish procedures requiring periodic review of its individual

[1] This format will be used for both circulars and notices with appropriate paragraphs used as required.

programs and operations to determine the coverage, frequency, and priority of audit required for each. The review will include consideration of the following factors:

(1) Newness, changed conditions, or sensitivity of the organization, program, activity, or function;

(2) Its dollar magnitude and duration;

(3) Extent of Federal participation either in terms of resources or regulatory authority;

(4) Management needs to be met, as developed in consultation with the responsible program officials;

(5) Prior audit experience, including the adequacy of the financial management system and controls;

(6) Timeliness, reliability, and coverage of audit reports prepared by others, such as State and local governments and independent public accountants;

(7) Results of other evaluations; e.g., inspections, program reviews, etc.;

(8) Mandatory requirements of legislation or other congressional recommendations; and

(9) Availability of audit resources.

(c) *Cross-servicing arrangements.* To conserve manpower, promote efficiency, and minimize the impact of audits on the operations of the organizations subject to audit, each Federal agency will give full consideration to establishing cross-servicing arrangements under which one Federal agency will conduct audits for another—whenever such arrangements are in the best interest of the Federal Government and the organization being audited. This is particularly applicable in the Federal grant-in-aid and contract programs where two or more Federal agencies are frequently responsible for programs in the same organization or in offices located within the same geographical area. Under such circumstances, it will be the primary responsibility of the Federal agency with the predominant financial interest to take the initiative in collaborating with the other appropriate Federal agencies to determine the feasibility of one of the agencies' conducting audits for the others, and to work out mutually agreeable arrangements for carrying out the required audits on the most efficient basis.

(d) *Reliance on non-Federal audits.* In developing audit plans, Federal agencies administering programs in partnership with organizations outside of the Federal Government will consider whether these organizations require periodic audits and whether the organizations have made or arranged for these audits. This consideration is especially necessary for those agencies that administer Federal grant-in-aid programs through State and local governments and which are subject to OMB Circular A-102, Attachment G. Attachment G provides standards for financial management systems of grant-supported activities of State and local governments and requires that such systems provide, at a minimum, for financial/compliance audits at least once every 2 years. Federal agencies will coordinate their audit requirements and approaches with these organizations to the maximum extent possible. The scope of individual Federal audits will give full recognition to the non-Federal audit effort. Reports prepared by non-Federal auditors will be used in lieu of Federal audits if the reports and supporting workpapers are available for review by the Federal agencies, if testing by Federal agencies indicates the audits are performed in accordance with generally accepted auditing standards (including the audit standards issued by the Comptroller General), and if the audits otherwise meet the requirements of the Federal agencies.

(e) *Audit plans.* Based on the considerations set forth in (b), (c), and (d), above, each agency will prepare an audit plan at least annually. At a minimum, such plans will reflect the:

(1) Audit universe (all programs and operations subject to audit);

(2) Programs and operations selected for audit, with priorities and specific reasons for selection;

(3) Audit organization that will conduct the audit;

(4) Audit cycle or frequency, the locations to be audited, and the reasons therefor;

(5) Scope of audit coverage to be provided and the reasons therefor; and

(6) Anticipated benefits to be obtained from the audits.

The plans should be adjusted as necessary to provide for audit coverage of unforeseen priorities.

(f) *Coordination of audit work.* Federal agencies will coordinate and cooperate with each other in developing and carrying out their individual audit plans. Such actions will include continuous liaison; the exchange of audit techniques, objectives, and plans; and the development of audit schedules to minimize the amount of audit effort required. Federal agencies will encourage similar coordination and cooperation among Federal and non-Federal audit staffs where there is a common interest in the programs subject to audit.

(g) *Reports.* Reporting standards are set forth in the Audit Standards for the guidance of Federal agencies. With respect to release of audit reports, each agency will establish policies regarding the release of audit reports outside the agency. Such policies will be in consonance with applicable laws, including the Freedom of Information Act, and, to the maximum extent possible, will provide for the dissemination of such reports in whole or in part to those interested in such information.

(h) *Agency action on audit reports.* Each agency will provide policies for acting on audit recommendations. Timely action on recommendations by responsible management officials is an integral part of the effectiveness of an agency's audit system and has a direct bearing on it. Policies will provide for designating officials responsible for following up on audit recommendations, maintaining a record of the action taken on recommendations and time schedules for responding to and acting on audit recommendations, and submitting periodic reports to agency management on recommendations and action taken.

§ 251.7 Responsibilities.

Federal agencies will review the policies and practices currently followed in the audit of their operations and programs, and will initiate such action as is necessary to comply with the policies set forth in this part. The head of each Federal agency will designate an official to serve as the agency representative on matters relating to the implementation of this part. The name of the agency representative should be sent to the General Services Administration (AM), Washington, DC 20405, within 30 days after the receipt of this part.

§ 251.8 Reporting requirement.

Each Federal agency will submit a report to the General Services Administration (AM), Washington, DC 20405, by December 31, 1973, on the action it has taken to implement the policies set forth in this part. Specifically, the report will include actions taken on the issuance of policies, plans, and procedures for the guidance of its auditors; determination of audit priorities; new cross-servicing arrangements made; additional reliance on non-Federal audits; development of audit plans; and coordination of audit work between Federal agencies and between Federal and non-Federal audit staffs. Reports will be submitted at 6-month intervals on the additional actions taken until the part is fully implemented. Copies of agency issuances on the implementation of this part will be submitted to the Office of Federal Management Policy, General Services Administration, upon request.

§ 251.9 Inquiries.

Further information concerning this part may be obtained by contacting:

General Services Administration (AMF)
Washington, DC 20405
Telephone: IDS 183-7747
FTS 202-343-7747

Effective Date: This regulation is effective September 27, 1973.

Dated: November 27, 1973.

ARTHUR F. SAMPSON,
Administrator of General Services.

[FR Doc.73-25601 Filed 12-3-73;8:45 am]

Title 38—Pensions, Bonuses and Veterans' Relief

CHAPTER I—VETERANS ADMINISTRATION

PART 36—LOAN GUARANTY

Increase in Direct Loan Maximum

Section 1811(d)(2)(A), (38 U.S.C.) provides the Administrator authority to increase the maximum direct loan amount to $25,000 where he finds that cost levels so require. Available evidence supported a finding that cost levels presently required increasing the direct loan

maximum to the statutory permissible amount of $25,000 and this has been done.

It is impracticable and unnecessary to give preliminary notice of these changes pursuant to § 1.12 of this chapter because they are only perfecting in nature.

Part 36 is amended as follows:

1. Section 36.4502 is revised to read as follows:

§ 36.4502 Use of guaranty entitlement.

The guaranty entitlement of the veteran obtaining a direct loan which is closed on or after May 7, 1968, shall be charged with an amount which bears the same ratio to $12,500 as the amount of the loan bears to $25,000. The charge against the entitlement of a veteran who obtained a direct loan which was closed prior to the aforesaid date, or the date on which an increased maximum is established pursuant to section 1811(d) for the area in which the loan security is located, shall be the amount which would have been charged had the loan been closed subsequent to such date.

2. In § 36.4503, paragraph (a) is amended to read as follows:

§ 36.4503 Amount and amortization.

(a) The original principal amount of any loan made on or after May 7, 1968, shall not exceed an amount which bears the same ratio to $25,000 as the amount of the guaranty to which the veteran is entitled under (38 U.S.C. 1810) at the time the loan is made bears to $12,500. This limitation shall not preclude the making of advances, otherwise proper, subsequent to the making of the loan pursuant to the provisions of § 36.4511. Loans made by the Veterans Administration shall bear interest at the rate of 8½ percent per annum, except where a commitment to make the loan at a lower rate was issued prior to August 25, 1973 in which case the rate of interest shall be that applicable on the date such commitment was issued.

* * * * *

3. In § 36.4509, paragraph (b) is amended to read as follows:

§ 36.4509 Joint loans.

* * * * *

(b) Notwithstanding that an applicant and spouse are both eligible veterans and will be jointly and severally liable as borrowers, the original principal amount of the loan may not exceed the maximum permissible under § 36.4503(a). In any event the loan may not exceed $25,000.

4. In § 36.4511, paragraph (a) is amended to read as follows:

§ 36.4511 Advances after loan closing.

(a) The Veterans Administration may at any time advance any sum or sums as are reasonably necessary and proper for the maintenance, repair, alteration, or improvement of the security for a loan or for the payment of taxes, assessments, ground or water rights, or casualty insurance thereon: *Provided*, That no advance shall be made for alterations or improvements which are not necessary for the maintenance or repair of the security if such advance will increase the indebtedness to an amount in excess of $25,000.

* * * * *

(72 Stat. 1114; (38 U.S.C. 210).)

These VA Regulations are effective October 9, 1973.

Approved: November 30, 1973.

By direction of the Administrator.

[SEAL] FRED B. RHODES,
Deputy Administrator.

[FR Doc.73-26031 Filed 12-6-73;8:45 am]

Title 41—Public Contracts and Property Management

CHAPTER 15—ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY

PART 15-1—GENERAL

Subpart 15-1.7—Small Business Concerns

Section 15-1.706-50.4, Small Business Set-Aside for Proposed Procurement, subpart 15-1.7, Part 15-1, is hereby revised to provide that the set-aside for procurement of construction be increased to $1,000,000.00.

Effective date: This regulation will become effective December 7, 1973.

Dated: December 3, 1973.

JOHN QUARLES,
Acting Administrator.

AUTHORITY: The provisions of this Subpart 15-1.7, issued under (40 U.S.C. 486(c)) sec. 205(c), 63 Stat. 377, as amended.

Section 15-1.706-50.4 is amended to read as follows:

§ 15-1.706-50.4 Small business set-aside for proposed procurement.

(a) Each proposed procurement for construction estimated to cost between $2,000.00 and $1,000,000.00 shall be set-aside for exclusive small business participation. Such set-asides shall be considered to be unilateral small business set-asides, and shall be withdrawn, in accordance with the procedure of § 1-1.705-3 and § 15-1.706-50.3, only if found not to serve the best interest of the Government.

(b) Small business set-aside preferences for construction procurement in excess of $1,000,000.00 shall be considered on a case-by-case basis.

[FR Doc.73-26043 Filed 12-6-73;8:45 am]

Title 49—Transportation

CHAPTER X—INTERSTATE COMMERCE COMMISSION

SUBCHAPTER A—GENERAL RULES AND REGULATIONS

PART 1023—STANDARDS FOR REGISTRATION OF CERTIFICATES AND PERMITS WITH STATES

Motor Carrier Standards; Evidencing Lawfulness of Interstate Operation

At a general session of the Interstate Commerce Commission, held at its office in Washington, D.C., on the 19th day of November, 1973. Pursuant to section 202(b) of the Interstate Commerce Act, the Commission promulgated standards for registering with the various States, certificates and permits issued by the Commission. These standards are contained in Part 1023 of Title 49 of the Code of Federal Regulations.

The National Association of Regulatory Utility Commissioners has certified to the Commission the following resolution amending these standards:

Whereas the Congress of the United States has amended Subsection (b) of Section 202 of the Interstate Commerce Act (49 U.S.C., Sec. 302(b)(2)) so as to authorize the "national organization of the State commissions" to determine and officially certify standards to the Interstate Commerce Commission evidencing the lawfulness of interstate operations of motor carriers, and to require the Interstate Commerce Commission to promulgate such standards into law; and

Whereas the National Association of Regulatory Utility Commissioners, hereinafter sometimes referred to as the "NARUC", constitutes the "national organization of the State commissions" within the meaning of the Interstate Commerce Act, as amended; and

Whereas the NARUC, assembled in its Seventy-eighth Annual Convention on November 17, 1966, determined such standards for evidencing the lawfulness of interstate operations of motor carriers (Convention Proceeding, p. 371; NARUC Bulletin No. 63-1966, p. 2), and the Interstate Commerce Commission, pursuant to NARUC certification, promulgated them into law by publication in the FEDERAL REGISTER of December 28, 1966, pages 16567-16575 (49 CFR Part 1023); and

Whereas the Congress, in so amending Subsection (b) of Section 202 of the Interstate Commerce Act, has authorized the NARUC to amend from time to time the standards determined by it to evidence the lawfulness of interstate operations of motor carriers; and

Whereas such standards have been so amended pursuant to certification of amendments by the NARUC and promulgation thereof into law by the Interstate Commerce Commission [80th NARUC annual Convention Proceedings, pp. 263-265 (1968), NARUC Bulletin No. 49-1968, pp. 9-10, FEDERAL REGISTER of December 25, 1968, p. 19250; 81st NARUC Annual Convention Proceedings, pp. 375-376 (1969), NARUC Bulletin No. 43-1969, pp. 12-13, FEDERAL REGISTER of February 4, 1970, p. 2524; 82nd NARUC Annual Convention Proceedings, pp. 396-401 (1970), NARUC Bulletin No. 48-1970, pp. 4-6, FEDERAL REGISTER of February 24, 1971, p. 3417]; and

Whereas the NARUC has determined that such standards should be further amended: to clarify that the expiration date for uniform identification cab cards for leased vehicles shall not exceed the expiration date of the lease; to revise requirements governing the use of and accounting for certain fees which may be collected by State Commissions for the issuance of identification stamps and the

assignment of identification numbers; to permit the transfer of a cab card from a discontinued vehicle (operated under a lease of thirty days' duration or more) to a newly acquired vehicle substituted therefor; to encourage a State collecting fees under these standards to use same for defraying the cost of the regulation of carriers by highway; and to clarify the right of a State to enter into reciprocal agreements with other States to reduce or eliminate the maximum fees prescribed in these standards; now, therefore, be it

RESOLVED that the National Association of Regulatory Utility Commissioners, assembled in its Eighty-fifth Annual Convention in Seattle, Washington, hereby amends the said standards for evidencing the lawfulness of interstate operations of motor carriers, adopted November 17, 1966, as amended, by:

(1) Striking the period at the end of Subsection (f) of Section 4.2, codified as 49 CFR 1023.32(f), and inserting in lieu of such period the following: ", and, in the case of a vehicle leased by the motor carrier, such expiration date shall not exceed the expiration date of the lease.";

(2) Revising the last sentence of Section 4.3, codified as 49 CFR 1023.33, to read as follows: "The prescription of the maximum fee of five dollars for the issuance of such identification stamp or number shall not preclude a State from imposing an additional fee in a reasonable amount to be paid to a State commission prior to the issuance of such stamp or number, if such additional fee shall be subject to exclusive use by the State commission and used by it solely for defraying the cost of the regulation of carriers by highway operating within the borders of such State and the enforcement of laws pertaining thereto. The State commission shall maintain adequate records to identify the receipt and disbursement of such funds collected pursuant to the provisions of this section."

(3) Inserting after the phrase "owned by such carrier", as it appears in the proviso of Subsection (b) of Section 4.10, codified as 49 CFR 1030.40(b), the following: ", or results from destruction or loss of a vehicle operated by such carrier under a lease of thirty consecutive days' duration or more,"; and

(4) Adding at the end of Chapter 9, codified as 49 CFR Part 1023, Subpart J, two new sections to read as follows:

"SECTION 9.5. *Use of Fees.*—Each State collecting fees pursuant to these Standards is urged to use such fees, or counterpart funds, for the purpose of defraying the cost of the regulation of carriers by highway operating within the borders of such State and the enforcement of laws pertaining thereto.

SEC. 9.6. *Reciprocity.*—Nothing in these Standards shall be construed to affect the right of a State to enter into reciprocal agreements or arrangements with other States for the purpose of reducing or eliminating the maximum fees prescribed in these Standards; and be it further

RESOLVED that the Administrative Director and General Counsel of the NARUC, is hereby directed to officially certify, for and on behalf and in the name of the NARUC, a copy of this resolution to the Interstate Commerce Commission.

In accordance with the provisions of section 202(b) of the Interstate Commerce Act (49 U.S.C. 302(b)), and upon consideration of the above resolution:

It is ordered, That Part 1023 of Chapter X of Title 49 of the Code of Federal Regulations be, and it is hereby, amended as follows:

1. Strike the period at the end of paragraph (f) of § 1023.32 and insert in lieu of such period the following:

§ 1023.32 Registration and identification.

* * * * *

(f) * * *, and, in the case of a vehicle leased by the motor carrier, such expiration date shall not exceed the expiration date of the lease.

* * * * *

2. Section 1023.33 is revised to read as follows:

§ 1023.33 Form and executio of application for identification stamps or number.

* * * The prescription of the maximum fee of five dollars for the issuance of such identification stamp or number shall not preclude a State from imposing an additional fee in a reasonable amount to be paid to a State commission prior to the issuance of such stamp or number, if such additional fee shall be subject to exclusive use by the State commission and used by it solely for defraying the cost of the regulation of carriers by highway operating within the borders of such State and the enforcement of laws pertaining thereto. The state commission shall maintain adequate records to identify the receipt and disbursement of such funds collected pursuant to the provisions of this section.

3. Section 1023.40(b) is revised to read as follows:

§ 1023.40 Destruction of cab cards; transfer.

* * * * *

(b) A motor carrier permanently discontinuing the use of a vehicle, for which a cab card has been prepared, shall nullify the cab card at the time of such discontinuance: Provided, however, That if such discontinuance results from destruction, loss or transfer of ownership of a vehicle owned by such carrier, or results from destruction or loss of a vehicle operated by such carrier under lease of thirty consecutive days' duration or more, and such carrier provides a newly acquired vehicle in substitution therefor within 30 days of the date of such discontinuance, each identification stamp and number placed on the cab card prepared for such discontinued vehicle, if such card is still in the possession of the carrier, may be transferred to the substitute vehicle by compliance with the following procedure:

(1) Such motor carrier shall duly complete and execute the form of certificate printed on the front of a new cab card, so as to identify itself and the substitute vehicle and shall enter the appropriate expiration date in the space provided below such certificate;

(2) Such motor carrier shall indicate the date it terminated use of the discontinued vehicle by entering same in the space provided for an early expiration date which appears below the certificate of the cab card prepared for such vehicle; and

(3) Such motor carrier shall affix the cab card prepared for the substitute vehicle to the front of the cab card prepared for the discontinued vehicle, by permanently attaching the upper left-hand corners of both cards together in such a manner as to permit inspection of the contents of both cards, and thereupon, each identification stamp or number appearing on the back of the card prepared for the discontinued vehicle shall be deemed to apply to the operation of the substitute vehicle.

4. Subpart J of Part 1023 of Title 49 of the Code of Federal Regulations is amended by the addition of the following new sections:

§ 1023.105 Use of fees.

Each State collecting fees pursuant to these Standards is urged to use such fees, or counterpart funds, for the purpose of defraying the cost of the regulation of carriers by highway operating within the borders of such State and the enforcement of laws pertaining thereto.

§ 1023.106 Reciprocity.

Nothing in these Standards shall be construed to affect the right of a State to enter into reciprocal agreements or arrangements with other States for the purpose of reducing or eliminating the maximum fees prescribed in these Standards.

(Sec. 1, 49 Stat. 543, as amended, 546, as amended (49 U.S.C. 302, 304).)

It is further ordered, That as these amendments do not materially affect the substance of the standards, they are hereby prescribed to become effective on December 27, 1973.

And it is further ordered, That notice of this order shall be given to the general public by depositing a copy thereof in the Office of the Secretary of the Commission at Washington, D.C., and by filing a copy with the Director, Office of the Federal Register. Notice shall also be served upon the Governors and Chairmen of the Public Utility Commissions of the several States.

By the Commission.

[SEAL] ROBERT L. OSWALD, *Secretary.*

[FR Doc. 73-26062 Filed 12-6-73;8:45 am]

Proposed Rules

This section of the FEDERAL REGISTER contains notices to the public of the proposed issuance of rules and regulations. The purpose of these notices is to give interested persons an opportunity to participate in the rulemaking prior to the adoption of the final rules.

DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE

Drug Enforcement Administration

[21 CFR Part 1301]

CONTROLLED SUBSTANCES

Reporting of In-Transit Losses; Notice of Comment Period

A notice was published in the FEDERAL REGISTER of November 19, 1973 (38 FR 31840) proposing new regulations governing reporting of in-transit losses.

All interested parties are invited to submit their comments and objections in writing regarding this proposal. Comments and objections should be submitted in quintuplicate to the Office of Chief Counsel, Attention: Hearing Clerk, Drug Enforcement Administration, 1405 I Street NW., Washington, D.C. 20537, and must be received by December 31, 1973.

Dated: December 3, 1973.

JOHN R. BARTELS, Jr.,
Administrator, Drug Enforcement Administration.

[FR Doc.73-25964 Filed 12-6-73;8:45 am]

DEPARTMENT OF AGRICULTURE

Rural Electrification Administration

[7 CFR Part 1701]

REA SPECIFICATIONS FOR RURAL TELEPHONE FACILITIES

Proposed Revisions in REA Specifications for Plastic-Insulated Wires and Cables

Notice is hereby given that, pursuant to the Rural Electrification Act, as amended (7 U.S.C. 901 et seq.), including the amendment thereto enacted by Pub. L. 93-32, REA proposes to issue Bulletins 345-13, -14, -20, -29, -67, and -48 to announce revisions in REA Specifications PE-22, -23, -28, -38, -39, and -50, respectively for plastic-insulated wires and cables. On issuance of these bulletins, Appendix A to Part 1701 will be modified accordingly.

Persons interested in these revisions may submit written data, views or comments to the Director, Telephone Operations and Standards Division, Rural Electrification Administration, Room 1355, South Building, U.S. Department of Agriculture, Washington, D.C. 20250, not later than January 7, 1974. All written submissions made pursuant to this notice will be made available for public inspection at the Office of the Director, Telephone Operations and Standards Division during regular business hours.

A copy of the revision of each of these specifications may be secured in person or by written request from the Director, Telephone Operations and Standards Division.

The text of REA Bulletin 345-13 announcing the revision of REA Specification PE-22 is as follows (the changes described will also apply to the other specifications mentioned herein):

REA BULLETIN 345-13

SUBJECT: REA Specification for Telephone Cable for Aerial and Underground Duct Applications.

REA Specification PE-22 has been modified relative to the melt flow rate requirements on the finished cable jacket material.

With the introduction on the market of newer resins for cable jacketing applications, it has been necessary to revise the melt flow rate requirement in the specification. The melt flow rate requirement in Paragraph 11.5 is hereby revised to read as follows:

Melt flow rate	Copolymer (appendix E)	
	Melt index	Percent increase
Percent increase from raw material, maximum.....	0.1700-0.2099	90
	0.2100-0.3099	85
	0.3100-0.5000	50

The revised requirements become effective immediately. Questions concerning this change may be referred to the Chief, Outside Plant Branch, Telephone Operations and Standards Division, Rural Electrification, U.S. Department of Agriculture, Washington, D.C. 20250, telephone number 202-447-3827.

Dated: December 3, 1973.

C. R. BALLARD,
Assistant Administrator.

[FR Doc.73-26067 Filed 12-6-73;8:45 am]

DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH, EDUCATION, AND WELFARE

Food and Drug Administration

[21 CFR Part 130]

OVER-THE-COUNTER DRUGS GENERALLY RECOGNIZED AS SAFE AND EFFECTIVE AND NOT MISBRANDED

Tentative Final Order for Antacid Products

Correction

In FR Doc. 73-23927 appearing at page 31260 in the issue of Monday, November 12, 1973, in the fourth paragraph in the first column, delete the third line.

DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION

Federal Aviation Administration

[14 CFR Part 71]

[Airspace Docket 73-RM-31]

TRANSITION AREA

Proposed Alteration

The Federal Aviation Administration is considering an amendment to Part 71 of the Federal Aviation Regulations which would alter the transition area at Kalispell, Mont.

Interested person may participate in the proposed rule making by submitting such written data, views, or arguments as they may desire. Communications should be submitted in triplicate to the Chief, Air Traffic Division, Federal Aviation Administration, Park Hill Station P.O. Box 7213, Denver, Colorado 80207. All communications received on or before January 2, 1974, will be considered before action is taken on the proposed amendment. No public hearing is contemplated at this time, but arrangements for informal conferences with Federal Aviation Administration officials may be made by contacting the Regional Air Traffic Division Chief. Any data, views, or arguments presented during such conferences must also be submitted in writing in accordance with this notice in order to become part of the record for consideration. The proposal contained in this notice may be changed in the light of comments received.

A public docket will be available for examination by interested persons in the office of the Regional Counsel, Federal Aviation Administration, 10455 E. 25th Avenue, Aurora, Colorado 80010.

On October 15, 1973, a rule was published in the FEDERAL REGISTER (38 FR 28555) which altered the transition area at Kalispell, Mont. The amendment was effective December 6, 1973. Flight Standards has now authorized transition routes to the Smith Lake NDB used in association with the ILS Rwy 1 approach procedure. Therefore, it is necessary to further amend the 1200-foot transition area to provide controlled airspace for approved transition routes.

In consideration of the foregoing, the FAA proposes the following airspace action:

In § 71.181 (38 FR 510) the description of the 1200-foot transition area at Kalispell, Mont., as designated by 38 FR 28555 is further amended to read:

That airspace extending upward from 1200 feet above the surface within 5.5 miles east and 15.5 miles west of the Kalispell VOR 166° radial extending from the 700-foot transition area to 18.5 miles south of the VOR; within 5.5 miles southeast and 9.5 miles southwest of the 035° and 215° bearings from the Smith Lake NDB extending from 7.5 miles northeast of the NDB to 18.5 miles southwest of the NDB excluding the 700-foot transition area.

This amendment is proposed under authority of section 307(a) of the Federal Aviation Act of 1958, as amended (49 U.S.C. 1348(a)), and of section 6(c) of the Department of Transportation Act (49 U.S.C. 1655(c)).

Issued in Aurora, Colo., on November 28, 1973.

I. H. HOOVER,
Acting Director,
Rocky Mountain Region.

[FR Doc.73-25935 Filed 12-6-73;8:45 am]

National Highway Traffic Safety Administration

[49 CFR Part 567]

[Docket No. 73-31; Notice 1]

GROSS AXLE WEIGHT RATINGS

Certification

This notice proposes to amend the NHTSA Certification regulations (49 CFR Part 567) to allow gross axle weight ratings to be combined on the certification label for consecutive axles that have identical weight ratings.

The proposal results from a suggestion of the Trailmobile Company. Trailmobile urged that a separate listing for the gross axle weight rating for each axle, as the regulation presently requires, can cause on multi-axled vehicles unnecessarily lengthy certification labels that are more difficult to understand than labels containing combined axle weight ratings. It suggested further that the use of combined ratings did not appear to be inconsistent with the purpose of the Certification regulations.

The NHTSA has tentatively decided that the additional clarity obtainable by a separate listing of each gross axle weight rating may not justify the added expense or inconvenience of large labels. This proposal would allow consecutive axles having identical gross axle weight ratings and the same tire size designation to be combined in a single listing on the certification label.

In light of the above, it is proposed that in 49 CFR Part 567, §§ 567.4 and 567.5 be amended as follows:

1. Section 567.4(g)(4) would be amended to read:

§ 567.4 Requirements for manufacturers of motor vehicles.

* * * * *

(g) * * *

(4) "Gross Axle Weight Rating" or "GAWR," followed by the appropriate value in pounds, for each axle, identified in order from front to rear (e.g., front, first intermediate, second intermediate, rear). The ratings for any consecutive axles having identical gross axle weight ratings when equipped with tires having the same tire size designation may be stated as a single value, with the label indicating to which axles the ratings apply.

EXAMPLES

GAWR:

(a) All axles—4080 with 7.00 x 15 LT(D) tires.

(b) Front—12,000 with 10.00 x 20(G) tires. First intermediate to rear—15,000 with 12.00 x 20(H) tires.

* * * * *

2. Section 567.5(a)(6) would be amended to read:

§ 567.5 Requirements for manufacturers of vehicles manufactured in two or more stages.

(a) * * *

(6) "Gross Axle Weight Rating" or "GAWR" followed by the appropriate value in pounds for each axle, identified in order from front to rear (e.g., front, first intermediate, second intermediate, rear). The ratings for any consecutive axles having identical gross axle weight ratings when equipped with tires having the same tire size designation may be stated as a single value, with the label indicating to which axles the ratings apply.

EXAMPLES

GAWR:

(a) All axles—4080 with 7.00 x 15 LT(D) tires.

(b) Front—12,000 with 10.00 x 20(G) tires. First intermediate to rear—15,000 with 12.00 x 20(H) tires.

* * * * *

Interested persons are invited to submit comments on the proposal. Comments should refer to the docket number and be submitted to: Docket Section, National Highway Traffic Safety Administration, Room 5221, 400 Seventh Street, SW., Washington, D.C. 20590. It is requested but not required that 10 copies be submitted.

All comments received before the close of business on the comment closing date indicated below will be considered, and will be available for examination in the docket at the above address both before and after that date. To the extent possible, comments filed after the closing date will also be considered. However, the rulemaking action may proceed at any time after that date, and comments received after the closing date and too late for consideration in regard to the action will be treated as suggestions for future rulemaking. The NHTSA will continue to file relevant material, as it becomes available in the docket after the closing date, and it is recommended that interested persons continue to examine the docket for new material.

Comment closing date: January 7, 1974.

Proposed effective date: Date of publication of the rule.

(Secs. 103, 112, 114, 119, Pub. L. 89-563, 80 Stat. 718, (15 U.S.C. 1392, 1401, 1403, 1407) delegations of authority at 49 CFR 1.51 and 49 CFR 501.8.)

Issued on December 3, 1973.

ROBERT L. CARTER,
Associate Administrator,
Motor Vehicle Programs.

[FR Doc.73-25981 Filed 12-6-73;8:45 am]

ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY

[40 CFR Part 52]

NEW JERSEY

Approval and Promulgation of Implementation Plans; Revision

This Notice of Proposed Rulemaking is issued for reconsideration and amendment of two regulations contained in the New Jersey transportation control plan promulgated by EPA on November 13, 1973 (38 FR 31388).

One regulation, 40 CFR 52.1590, would, among other provisions, have required all employers who provide their employees with 70 or more parking spaces to charge those who drove to work alone a price most likely exceeding $5.00 a day for parking and would have required free parking for vehicles with three or more passengers. In addition, employers would have been compelled to pay a major fraction of the travel expenses of employees who came to work by mass transit. Another provision of the regulation would allow any employer to substitute a plan of his own if it had equivalent stringency.

The other regulation, 40 CFR 52.1588, would require EPA approval for the construction or modification of parking facilities with an increased capacity of 50 or more cars. On the basis of comments received, it is evident that the attempt to regulate parking facilities of less than 250 vehicles would be impractical from an administrative and enforcement standpoint.

Public reaction since the New Jersey plan was announced has been highly unfavorable to the car-pooling measure and to the 50 car parking lot review measure. Both have been criticized as unreasonable, unenforceable, or inequitable.

EPA proposes to rescind the current provisions in §§ 52.1588 and 52.1590 and to replace them with measures reading as set forth below. If approved, the new regulations will be promulgated simultaneously with the revocation of the present regulations.

The first stage of the new regulation for § 52.1590 would apply to employers who provide 700 or more employee parking spaces at any of their facilities. It would take effect on July 1, 1974. The proposed revision of § 52.1590 reads as follows:

§ 52.1590 Employer's provision for mass transit priority incentives.

(a) Definitions:

(1) "Carpool" means a vehicle containing two or more persons.

(2) "Employer" means any person or entity that employs 1000 or more persons.

(3) "Employee parking space" means any parking space reserved or provided by any employer for the exclusive use of his employees.

(b) This section is applicable in the New Jersey portions of the New Jersey-New York-Connecticut and Metropolitan Philadelphia Interstate AQCRs (the "Regions").

(c) Each employer in the Regions who maintains more than 700 employee parking spaces at any of his facilities shall submit to the Administrator on or before May 1, 1974, an adequate transit incentive program designed to encourage the use of mass transit and carpooling by his employees. The employer's program should contain the mix of incentive or disincentive provisions most likely to obtain maximum use of car-

pooling and mass transit so as to reduce vehicle miles traveled (VMT). Some incentive examples are: Subsidies to employees using mass transit, preferential parking or other benefits for those who travel in carpools, provision of special charter or employer buses to and from mass transit stops and formal information systems so that employees can select optimum carpool arrangements. Some examples of disincentive provisions are: Reduction in employee parking spaces, surcharges on use of parking spaces for single passenger drivers and non-preferential parking for single passenger drivers

By July 1, 1974, the Administrator shall approve such program for each employer if he finds it to be adequate, and shall disapprove it if he finds it not to be adequate. Notice of such approval or disapproval will be published in Part 52 to Title 40, Code of Federal Regulations.

(d) In order to be approvable by the Administrator, such program shall contain procedures whereby the employer will supply the Administrator with semi-annual certified reports which shall show, at a minimum, the following information:

(1) The number of employees at each of the employer's facilities within the Regions on April 1, 1974, and as of the date of the report.

(2) The number of employees regularly commuting to and from work by (i) single passenger automobile, (ii) carpool, and (iii) mass transit at each such employment facility on April 1, 1974, and as of the date of the report.

(3) An estimate of employee vehicle miles traveled per day as of April 1, 1974, and the last typical workday preceding the date of preparation of the report.

(4) Such other information as the Administrator may prescribe.

(e) If, after the Administrator has approved a transit incentive program, the employer fails to submit any reports in full compliance with paragraph (d) of this section, or if the Administrator finds that any such report has been intentionally falsified, or if the Administrator determines that the program is not in operation or is not providing adequate incentives or disincentives for employee use of carpools and mass transit, the Administrator may revoke the approval of such plan.

(f) By July 1, 1974, the Administrator shall prescribe a transit incentive program for each employer to whom paragraph (c) of this section is applicable if such employer has not submitted a program or has submitted a program found to be inadequate. Within two months after any revocation pursuant to paragraph (e) of this section, the Administrator shall prescribe a transit incentive program for the affected employer. Any program prescribed by the Administrator shall be published in this Part 52 of Title 40, Code of Federal Regulations.

The second stage, which may take effect in July 1975, and which may apply to employers who provide some number less than 700 parking spaces, will be promulgated at a later date. No regulatory language for it is included in this proposal; however, EPA currently intends to model it very closely on the requirements for larger employers set forth above.

The proposed revision of § 52.1588 would apply to the construction or modification of any parking facility with a capacity of 250 or more motor vehicles. The proposed revision reads as follows:

§ 52,1588 Management of parking supply.

(a) Definitions:

(1) All terms used in this section but not specifically defined below have the meaning given them in Parts 51 and 52 of this chapter.

(2) "Parking facility" (also called "facility") means a lot, garage, building or structure, or combination or portion thereof, in or on which motor vehicles are temporarily parked.

(3) "Vehicle trip" means a single movement by a motor vehicle that originates or terminates at a parking facility.

(4) "Construction" means fabrication, erection, or installation of a parking facility, or any conversion of land, buildings, structures or any portion thereof, for use as a facility.

(5) "Modification" means any change to a parking facility that increases or may increase the motor vehicle capacity of, or the motor vehicle activity associated with, such parking facility.

(6) "Commence" means to undertake a continuous program of on-site construction or modification.

(b) This regulation is applicable in the New Jersey portions of the New Jersey-New York-Connecticut and Metropolitan Philadelphia AQCRs.

(c) The requirements of this section are applicable to the following parking facilities in the areas specified in paragraph (b) of this section the construction or modification of which is commenced after August 15, 1973.

(1) Any new parking facility with parking capacity for 250 or more motor vehicles;

(2) Any parking facility that will be modified to increase parking capacity by 250 or more motor vehicles; and

(3) Any parking facility constructed or modified in increments which individually are not subject to review under this section, but which, when all such increments occurring since August 15, 1973, are added together as a total, would subject the facility to review under this section.

(d) No person shall commence construction or modification of any facility subject to this section without first obtaining written approval from the Administrator or an agency designated by him; *Provided*, That this paragraph shall not apply to any proposed parking facility for which a general construction contract was finally executed by all appropriate parties on or before August 15, 1973.

(e) No approval to construct or modify a facility shall be granted unless the applicant shows to the satisfaction of the Administrator or agency approved by him that:

(1) The design or operation of the facility will not cause a violation of the control strategy which is part of the applicable implementation plan, and will be consistent with the plan's VMT reduction goals.

(2) The emissions resulting from the design or operation of the facility will not prevent or interfere with the attainment or maintenance of any national ambient air quality standard at any time within 10 years from the date of application.

(f) All applications for approval under this section shall include the following information:

(1) Name and address of the applicant.

(2) Location and description of the parking facility.

(3) A proposed construction schedule.

(4) The normal hours of operation of the facility and the enterprises and activities that it serves.

(5) The total motor vehicle capacity before and after the construction or modification of the facility.

(6) The number of people using or engaging in any enterprises or activities that the facility will serve on a daily basis and a peak hour basis.

(7) A projection of the geographic areas in the community from which people and motor vehicle will be drawn to the facility. Such projection shall include data concerning the availability of mass transit from such areas.

(8) An estimate of the average and peak hour vehicle trip generation rates, before and after construction or modification of the facility.

(9) An estimate of the effect of the facility on traffic pattern and flow.

(10) An estimate of the effect of the facility on total VMT for the air quality control region.

(11) An analysis of the effect of the facility on site and regional air quality, including a showing that the facility will be compatible with the applicable implementation plan, and that the facility will not cause any national air quality standard to be exceeded within 10 years from date of application. The Administrator may prescribe a standardized screening technique to be used in analyzing the effect of the facility on ambient air quality.

(12) Additional information, plans, specifications, or documents required by the Administrator.

(13) The information required by paragraphs (f) (6) through (11) of this section may be waived by the Administrator.

(g) Each application shall be signed by the owner or operator of the facility, whose signature shall constitute an agreement that the facility shall be operated in accordance with applicable rules, regulations, permit conditions, and the design submitted in the application.

(h) Within 90 days after recipt of an application, the Administrator or agency

approved by him shall notify the public, by prominent advertisement in the Region affected, of the receipt of the application and the proposed action on it (whether approval, conditional approval, or denial), and shall invite public comment.

(1) The application, all submitted information, and the terms of the proposed action shall be made available to the public in a readily accessible place within the affected air quality control region.

(2) Public comments submitted within 30 days of the date such information is made available shall be considered in making the final decision on the application.

(3) The Administrator or agency approved by him shall take final action (approval, conditional approval, or denial) on an application within 30 days after close of the public comment period.

To encourage public comment on these proposals, and to assist EPA both in framing new regulations and in evaluating the programs to be submitted under § 52.1588 and § 52.1590 as amended, an explanation of the reasons why EPA is promulgating employer incentive regulations, and a list of questions concerning them are set out below.

In promulgating employer incentive regulations, EPA has been guided by the following considerations:

1. The Clean Air Act requires all measures that are "reasonably available" to be put into effect to achieve air quality standards before 1977. "Transportation controls" are specifically mentioned in both the Act and in its legislative history. Though a measure that would lead to a major economic or social dislocation cannot be considered "reasonably available", the intent of the Clean Air Act is unmistakably to require reasonable changes in habits and travel patterns as an acceptable means of achieving the standards.

2. Since most people's commuting patterns are predictably repetitive from day to day, commuting travel is the segment of VMT that can most easily be shifted to mass transit and carpools, and should, therefore, be a particular object of VMT reducing regulations.

3. Employers who provide parking spaces for their employees, particularly those who provide free parking spaces, encourage the use of single-passenger automobiles by commuters as against the use of less-polluting forms of transportation. Such employers are also the persons best equipped to encourage shifts in the pattern of commuter travel, since for them the data and the administrative machinery necessary to an effective program are to a considerable extent already in existence or readily available. Though additional expense to employers might result, that expense is expected to be minimal as compared to other operating expenses and certainly a reasonable burden.

4. In all the hearings EPA has conducted on transportation control plans, a recurring theme has been that VMT reductions will only be acceptable if mass transit is improved at the same time. A system of surcharges in some employer incentive programs in question would produce revenues that would help to finance satellite mass transit to and from public mass transit terminals.

5. Studies have repeatedly indicated that shifts away from single-passenger automobiles and towards carpools and mass transit are unlikely to occur without both significant disincentives to the use of the former and significant incentives to the use of the latter. To avoid violating existing labor-management agreements, the mix of incentive/disincentive elements offered by employers could include monetary or convenience factors.

Additional discussion of the regulations promulgated for transportation controls may be found in the General Preamble to the transportation control plans which was published in the FEDERAL REGISTER, November 6, 1973 (38 FR 30626).

In the course of this rulemaking, EPA will wish to have other factors which may not have been adequately considered brought to its attention. Comment is particularly invited on the following points:

1. Should a system of surcharges on employee parking be retained? Is it administratively practicable? If it is not, how can it be modified to be made administratively practicable? In general, is the use of fees on parking to reduce VMT a good idea? If it is not, what other measures of approximately the same stringency are available to meet the requirements of the Clean Air Act? If fees are abandoned, how will the expansion in mass transit that the Clean Air Act requires be financed? Is the schedule of fees contained in § 52.1590 published November 13, 1973, (38 FR 3196) too steep? Specifically, would such a schedule if implemented cause either (a) unacceptable expense to individuals, or (b) unworkably stringent VMT reduction? If undue expense might result in some cases, how could that be mitigated? If the surcharge levels are to be relaxed, should the relaxation (a) reduce the maximum surcharge level, (b) allow more time for its implementation, or (c) exempt smaller employers from some or all of the requirements imposed on larger ones?

2. Is a requirement that employee use of mass transit be subsidized administratively practicable? If not, how can it be amended so as to become practicable? Would such a subsidy program be too expensive either (a) in itself, or (b) if financed in part out of revenues from surcharges on employee parking? How does any expense of such a program compare with the expense of maintaining employee parking facilities? With other pollution abatement expenses imposed on industry?

3. What other measures by employers should be suggested or required? Should greater emphasis be placed on measures of the employer's own choosing? If a greater degree of freedom were allowed, what enforceable assurance would there be the employers subject to this regulation would do their part in meeting the requirements of the Clean Air Act?

Public comments solicited. The Administrator desires to obtain the comments and suggestions of the public on the problems of achieving the ambient air quality standards in the affected areas of New Jersey. Comments are particularly invited pertaining to measures that may be taken by Federal, State, or local authorities in support of or to supplement the proposed air pollution control measures for the Regions, means of implementing these measures, and the comparative social and economic effects of alternative pollution control measures.

Public hearings will be held on this and alternative proposals on the following dates:

January 10 at 9 a.m., Rutgers University Law School, Room 207, 5th and Penn Street, Camden.

January 11 at 9 a.m., New Jersey State Museum Auditorium, 205 West State Street, Trenton.

January 12 at 9 a.m., Newark College of Engineering, Student Center Ballroom, 323 High Street, Newark.

The Administrator's final promulgation of transportation control for New Jersey will be greatly influenced by the comments and testimony he receives as well as by the approvable strategies submitted by the State as part of the State plan. These influences, and the additional analysis of alternative strategies that can be made in the time between this proposal and final promulgation, may lead the Administrator to adopt final regulations that differ in important ways from this proposal.

Submittal of written comments. Interested persons may participate in this rulemaking by submitting written comments, preferably in triplicate, to the Regional Administrator, EPA Region II, 26 Federal Plaza, New York, New York 10007. All relevant comments received before February 11, 1974, will be considered. Receipt of comments will be acknowledged, but substantive responses to individual comments will not be provided. Comments received will be available for public inspection during normal business hours at the EPA Region II Office, and at locations to be announced in the New Jersey area. This notice of proposed rulemaking is issued under the authority of section 110(c) and 301(a) of the Clean Air Act (42 U.S.C. 1857 et seq.).

Dated: November 30, 1973.

JOHN QUARLES,
Acting Administrator.

[FR Doc.73-26038 Filed 12-6-73;8:45 am]

[40 CFR Part 52]

UTAH

Approval and Promulgation of Implementation Plan

On May 31, 1972 (37 FR 10842), pursuant to section 110 of the Clean Air Act and 40 CFR Part 51, the Administrator approved, with specific exceptions, a plan

for implementation of the national ambient air quality standards submitted by Utah. On July 27, 1972 (37 FR 15094), the Administrator proposed regulations to correct deficiencies in the regulatory provisions of the Utah plan. On March 23, 1973 (38 FR 7584), the Administrator set forth a regulation limiting sulfur dioxide emissions from the Huntington Canyon power plant located in the Utah portion of the Four Corners Interstate Region. The Administrator had determined that an extension of the date for attainment of the national standards for sulfur oxides pursuant to section 110(e) of the Act was justified and therefore granted such an extension to March 15, 1976. The Huntington Canyon power plant was not yet operating, but was under construction and, therefore, was not subject to the new source review procedures required by 40 CFR 51.18.

Because of the absence of measured air quality data which reflect the impact of the source under construction, a diffusion model was used to make estimates of the effect of the power plant emissions on air quality. In using such estimates, the Administrator recognized that available diffusion models, when applied in rugged terrain situations such as exist at Huntington Canyon, are less reliable in their ability to predict ground level concentrations than when applied in areas with level terrain. It was the Administrator's judgment, however, that the diffusion model employed by the National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration (NOAA) for purposes of the Southwest Energy Study was based on reasonable assumptions regarding meteorological and topographical factors and on valid computational techniques. The NOAA model results indicated that the first unit of the Huntington Canyon power plant would violate the primary and secondary short-term national ambient air quality standards for sulfur dioxide. Consequently, the Administrator promulgated a regulation which provided for 70 percent control of sulfur dioxide emissions from the first unit of the Huntington Canyon power plant.

At public hearings held by the Environmental Protection Agency in Salt Lake City on September 14, 1972 and November 9, 1972, Utah Power and Light Company, owners of the Huntington Canyon power plant, presented considerable testimony challenging the validity of the NOAA model estimates for the Huntington Canyon power plant. The primary objection centered on the behavior of plumes in complicated terrain situations, the wind regime at stack elevation, and the frequency and duration of the meteorological conditions producing high estimated short-term concentrations.

However, no conclusive evidence was presented which would invalidate the NOAA model results. After a thorough review of the calculations and assumptions used in the diffusion model and the testimony at the public hearings, the Administrator determined that although the estimated frequency of occurrence of the predicted high short-term concentrations was relatively low, such concentrations would probably occur in the absence of the proposed sulfur dioxide controls and cause violations of the national standards.

In an attempt to resolve the uncertainties regarding the NOAA model, Utah Power and Light Company retained North American Weather Consultants (NAWC) to conduct a series of smoke and fluorescent particle tracer studies at the Huntington Canyon site. The results of these studies were submitted to the Environmental Protection Agency in a summary report too late to be considered prior to the promulgation of the regulation. Although NAWC concluded from their studies that certain of the concentration estimates used by EPA were high, their studies did not conclusively invalidate the NOAA estimates adopted by EPA because: (1) The tracer experiments were all conducted during daylight hours with meteorological conditions different from those assumed in the model; (2) there were uncertainties as to whether the monitors were located at the plume centerline; and (3) there were probable inaccuracies in the data gathering procedures.

An additional smoke and tracer study was conducted at the Huntington Canyon site by Air Resources Laboratory, NOAA. This study, which has been thoroughly evaluated by the Environmental Protection Agency, indicated that under thermally stable atmospheric conditions the plume centerline may impact on the steep canyon walls and that the dispersion rate for unstable conditions was similar to that used in the model. However, the stable conditions the dispersion rate averaged 15 times greater than the rate used in the model. Experience during the study indicated that up-canyon flow during stable atmospheric conditions, when the power plant would be most likely to violate the short-term national standards for SO_2, occurred less frequently than was suggested by the data available for the original modeling analysis. In addition, NOAA tentatively determined that a correction factor may be appropriate in some circumstances to compensate for the initial dilution of the plume before it reaches the effective stack height. At a distance of 3 kilometers from the stack where the high concentrations were estimated on the high terrain, a factor of 2 reduction in concentration was considered an appropriate correction under stable conditions. This correction factor would only be significant in cases where the point of estimated maximum concentration occurred reasonably near the source. Applying these considerations to the original NOAA estimates for the Huntington Canyon facility results in estimated sulfur dioxide concentrations which are below the primary 24-hour and the secondary 3-hour standards for sulfur dioxide. NOAA has emphasized that the dispersion rates estimated from the tracer experiments are valid only for Huntington Canyon and would not be applicable to other power plant sites.

Copies of the NAWC and NOAA reports are available from the Region VIII Office, Environmental Protection Agency, Suite 900, 1860 Lincoln Street, Denver, Colorado 80203, and the Freedom of Information Center, EPA, 401 M Street, SW., Washington, D.C. 20460.

On the basis of the foregoing considerations, the Administrator proposes to withdraw the promulgated sulfur dioxide control regulation for the first operating unit of the Huntington Canyon power plant, revoke the extension provided for the attainment of the primary standards for sulfur oxides, and amend the Federal compliance schedule to exclude sulfur oxides control for the power plant. In order to insure that the national standards are not exceeded at Huntington Canyon, the Administrator intends to utilize his authority under section 114 of the Act to require Utah Power and Light Company to perform ambient air quality monitoring. Should such monitoring indicate that some degree of control is necessary for the power plant to achieve the national standards, the Administrator will propose the required regulations.

Interested persons may participate in this rulemaking by submitting written comments, preferably in triplicate, to the Regional Administrator, EPA Region VIII, Suite 900, 1860 Lincoln Street, Denver, Colorado 80203. All relevant comments received on or before January 7, 1974, will be considered. Receipt of comments will be acknowledged, but substantive responses to individual comments will not be provided. Comments received will be available for public inspection during normal business hours at the EPA Region VIII Office and at the Freedom of Information Center, EPA, 401 M Street, SW., Washington, D.C. 20460. The changes proposed by this notice with appropriate modification will be effective as noted.

This notice of proposed rulemaking is issued under the authority of section 110 (c) and 301(a) of the Clean Air Act, 42 U.S.C. 1857 et seq.

Dated: November 29, 1973.

JOHN QUARLES,
Acting Administrator.

It is proposed to amend Part 52 of Chapter I, Title 40 of the Code of Federal regulations as follows:

Subpart TT—Utah

§ 52.2322 [Amended]

1. In § 52.2322, paragraph (d) is revoked.

§ 52.2325 [Amended]

2. In § 52.2325, paragraph (c) is revoked.

3. In § 52.2327, paragraph (b) is revised to read as follows:

§ 52.2327 Compliance schedules.

* * * * *

(b) *Federal compliance schedule.* (1) Except as provided in paragraph (b)(2) of this section, the owner or operator of any stationary source subject to § 52.2330(c) shall comply with such regulation on or before January 31, 1974. The

owner or operator of the source subject to § 52.2330(b) shall comply with such regulation at initial startup of such source unless a compliance schedule has been submitted pursuant to paragraph (b)(2) of this section.

(i) Any owner or operator in compliance with § 52.2330(c) on the effective date of such section shall certify compliance to the Administrator no later than 120 days following the effective date of § 52.2330(c).

(ii) Any owner or operator who achieves compliance with § 52.2330(b) or (c) after the effective date of this regulation shall certify such compliance to the Administrator within 5 days of the date compliance is achieved.

(2) Any owner or operator of a stationary source subject to subparagraph (1) of this paragraph may, no later than 120 days following the effective date of § 52.2330 (b) or (c), submit to the Administrator for approval a proposed compliance schedule that demonstrates compliance with § 52.2330 (b) or (c) as expeditiously as practicable but no later than July 31, 1975.

(3) The compliance schedule shall provide for periodic increments of progress toward compliance. The dates for achievement of such increments shall be specified. Increments of progress shall include, but not be limited to: Submittal of the final control plan to the Administrator; letting of necessary contracts for construction or process change, or issuance of orders for the purchase of component parts to accomplish emission control or process modification; initiation of on-site construction or installation of emission control equipment or process modification; and final compliance.

(4) Any owner or operator who submits a compliance schedule pursuant to this paragraph shall, within 5 days after the deadline for each increment of progress, certify to the Administrator whether or not the required increment of the approved compliance schedule has been met.

§ 52.2331 [Amended]

4. In § 52.2331, the attainment date table is amended by replacing the date "March 1976" for attainment of the primary and secondary standards for sulfur oxides in the Four Corners Interstate Region with the letter "c".

[FR Doc.73-26044 Filed 12-6-73;8:45 am]

SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION

[17 CFR Part 230]

[Release 33-5438]

TRANSACTIONS BY AN ISSUER DEEMED NOT TO INVOLVE ANY PUBLIC OFFERING

Extension of Comment Period

Correction

In FR Doc. 73-25160, appearing on page 32824 in the issue of Wednesday, November 28, 1973, immediately below the signature insert the date "November 14, 1973".

Notices

This section of the FEDERAL REGISTER contains documents other than rules or proposed rules that are applicable to the public. Notices of hearings and investigations, committee meetings, agency decisions and rulings, delegations of authority, filing of petitions and applications and agency statements of organization and functions are examples of documents appearing in this section.

DEPARTMENT OF STATE

Agency for International Development

COORDINATOR, USAID, BANGLADESH OFFICE

Redelegation of Authority Regarding Contracting Functions

Redelegation of authority regarding contracting functions No. 99.1.19, effective October 1, 1973, and published in the FEDERAL REGISTER on October 9, 1973, at 38 FR 27848, is hereby changed to restate the name of the office to whom authority is redelegated, wherever it appears, from "Coordinator, USAID Relief and Rehabilitation Office, Bangladesh" to "Coordinator, USAID, Bangladesh Office."

Dated: November 26, 1973.

JOHN F. OWENS,
Director,
Office of Contract Management.

[FR Doc.73-25987 Filed 12-6-73;8:45 am]

HOUSING GUARANTY PROGRAM FOR STATE OF ISRAEL

Information for Investors

The Agency for International Development (AID) has advised The State of Israel (the Borrower) that upon execution by an eligible U.S. investor acceptable to AID of an agreement to loan the Borrower an amount not to exceed $25 million, and subject to the satisfaction of certain further terms and conditions by the Borrower, AID will guaranty repayment to the investor of the principal and interest on such loan. The guaranty will be backed by the full faith and credit of the United States of America and will be issued pursuant to authority, contained in section 221 of the Foreign Assistance Act of 1961, as amended (the Act). Proceeds of the loan will be used to construct public housing projects in the State of Israel.

Eligible investors interested in extending a guaranteed loan to the Borrower should communicate promptly with:

Office of the Israeli Economic Minister, 850 3rd Avenue, New York, New York 10022

Investors eligible to receive a guaranty are those specified in section 238(c) of the Act. They are: (1) U.S. citizens; (2) domestic corporations, partnerships, or associations substantially beneficially owned by U.S. citizens; (3) foreign corporations whose share capital is at least 95 percent owned by U.S. citizens; and (4) foreign partnerships or associations wholly owned by U.S. citizens.

It is estimated that the loan will be fully disbursed by March 31, 1974. However, this estimate may be changed as a result of the negotiations between the parties.

To be eligible for a guaranty, the loan must be repayable in full no later than 25 years from the first disbursement of the principal amount thereof and the interest rate may be no higher than the maximum rate to be established by AID. AID will charge a guaranty fee equal to one-half of 1 percent per annum on the outstanding guaranteed principal amount of the loan.

Information as to eligibility of investors and other aspects of the AID housing guaranty program can be obtained from:

Director of Housing, Agency for International Development, Room 300E, SA-2, Washington, D.C. 20523

This notice is not an offer by AID or by the Borrower. The Borrower and not AID will select a lender and negotiate the terms of the proposed loan.

PETER M. KIMM,
Director of Housing, Agency for International Development.

NOVEMBER 29, 1973.

[FR Doc.73-25985 Filed 12-6-73;8:45 am]

List of Ineligible Suppliers

COMMODITIES AND COMMODITY RELATED SERVICES

The following "List of Ineligible Suppliers" under A.I.D. Regulation 8 is currently in effect. All persons who anticipate A.I.D. financing for a transaction involving any person whose name appears on this list should take special notice of its contents.

SECTION 1. *Purpose of the list.* The List of Ineligible Suppliers implements the provisions of A.I.D. Regulation 8, "Suppliers of Commodities and Commodity-Related Services Ineligible for A.I.D. Financing" (22 CFR Part 208). Subject to the conditions described below A.I.D. will not make funds available to finance the cost of commodities or commodity-related services furnished by any supplier whose name appears on the list. A debarred supplier whose name appears in section 4 of a printed or published list has been placed thereon for the causes specified in § 208.5 of Regulation 8; a suspended supplier whose name appears in section 4 of a printed or published list has been placed thereon for the causes specified in § 208.7 of Regulation 8. A.I.D. has taken such action in accordance with the procedures described in Subpart D of Regulation 8.

With respect to the interest of any U.S. bank which holds an A.I.D. Letter of Commitment special attention is called to the fact that the list as periodically modified by A.I.D. constitutes a special amendment to every Letter of Commitment to the effect that A.I.D. will not provide reimbursement to a bank for payment to any supplier whose name appears on the List, excepting only (a) a payment made to a supplier on or before the initial date of suspension indicated for that supplier under an A.I.D. Letter of Commitment issued prior to that date, and (b) a payment made to a supplier under an irrevocable Letter of Credit opened or confirmed on or before the initial date of suspension indicated for that supplier under an A.I.D. Letter of Commitment issued prior to that date. A bank which receives copies of the List and the periodic modifications thereto shall be held in its relationship with A.I.D. to the standard of care described in § 201.73(f) of Regulation 1 (22 CFR 201.73(f)) with respect to every transaction governed by an A.I.D. Letter of Commitment issued to that bank.

SEC. 2. *Contents of the list.* The List of Ineligible Suppliers consists of all suppliers and affiliates who have been debarred or suspended by A.I.D. Additions to or deletions from the List are communicated directly to every U.S. bank holding an A.I.D. Letter of Commitment as they occur. A.I.D. endeavors to keep printed and published lists as current as possible by superseding or supplementary issuance. No prejudice whatsoever shall attach to a supplier whose name has been removed from this list.

SEC. 3. *Suppliers Debarred from A.I.D. financing.*

NAME, ADDRESS, INITIAL DATE OF SUSPENSION, AND PERIOD OF DEBARMENT

Liao, Mr. J. Y. (aka Liao, Chi Yo), President, Summid Corp., 7-2 Alley 13, Lane 1032, Chung Cheng Road, Taipei, Taiwan, April 7, 1970, 5/7/71-5/7/74.

Summid Corp., 7-2 Alley 13, Lane 1032, Chung Cheng Road, Taipei, Taiwan, April 7, 1970, 5/7/71-5/7/74.

SEC. 4. *Suppliers Suspended from A.I.D. financing.* The following suppliers have been suspended from A.I.D. financing until further notice pending completion of an A.I.D. investigation of facts which may lead to the eventual debarment of such suppliers:

NAME, ADDRESS, AND INITIAL DATE OF SUSPENSION

Archifar Phamaceutical Products, Inc., 20 Exchange Place, New York, N.Y. 10005, November 9, 1966.

Associated Chemo-Pharm Industries, Inc., 20 Exchange Place, New York, N.Y. 10005, November 9, 1966.

Colony Steel Co., 122 East 42nd St., New York, N.Y., March 26, 1968.
Concepcion, Mr. Segismundo, 160 Broadway, New York, N.Y. 10038, April 22, 1969.
Domestic Export Corp., 288 New York Avenue, Huntington, New York, February 14, 1972.
Eastar Trading Co., 1830 W. Olympic Blvd., Los Angeles, Calif. 90006, May 20, 1970.
Gubbay, Mr. Clement, 20 Exchange Place, New York, N.Y. 10005, November 9, 1966.
Higgins, Thomas Edison, Enterprises, Inc., 660 Capri Blvd., Treasure Island, Fla. 33706, April 5, 1967.
Higgins, Mrs. Mabel, 660 Capri Blvd., Treasure Island, Fla. 33706, April 5, 1967.
Higgins, Mr. Thomas Edison, 660 Capri Blvd., Treasure Island, Fla. 33706, April 5, 1967.
International Enterprises, Inc., 160 Broadway, New York, N.Y. 10038, April 22, 1969.
Kim, Mr. Peter, Eastar Trading Co., 1830 W. Olympic Blvd., Los Angeles, Calif. 90006, May 20, 1970.
LeVita Industries, 35 LaPatera Lane, Golete, Calif. 93016, November 2, 1971.
LeVita, Mr. Frank O., North American Steel Co., Pontiac State Bank Building, Pontiac, Michigan 48058, November 2, 1971.
Lowens, Mr. Ernest, 20 Exchange Place, New York, N.Y. 10005, November 9, 1966.
Marclem, S.A., c/o Buffete Tapia, Calle 31, 3-80 Panama City, Republic of Panama, October 25, 1967.
Meoni, Mr. A., 20 Exchange Place, New York, N.Y. 10005, November 9, 1966.
Navarro, Mr. Ben, 20 Exchange Place, New York, N.Y. 10005, November 9, 1966.
North American Steel Co., Pontiac State Bank Bldg., Pontiac, Michigan 48058, November 2, 1971.
Pharma Scienta, 156 Rue de Damas, Imm. Homis, Beirut, Lebanon, December 19, 1966.
R & Z Co., Inc., 2041-47 Pitkin Ave., Brooklyn, N.Y. 11207, October 23, 1969.
Richter, Gedeon, Pharmaceutical Products, Inc., 20 Exchange Place, New York, N.Y. 10005, November 9, 1966.
Rogers, Mr. Henry, 2041-47 Pitkin Ave., Brooklyn, N.Y. 11207, October 23, 1969.
Rolquin, Mr. E. R., President, Domestic Export Corp., 288 New York Avenue, Huntington, N.Y., February 14, 1972.
Scheinis, Mr. Samuel, 122 East 42nd St., New York, N.Y. 10017, March 25, 1971.
Shalom, Mr. Raleigh, 20 Exchange Place, New York, N.Y. 10005, November 9, 1966.
Societe des Laboratories Reunis (SOLAR), 156 Rue de Damas, Imm. Homsi, Beirut, Lebanon, December 19, 1966.
Spe-D-Magic Co., 660 Capri Blvd., Treasure Island, Fla. 33706, April 5, 1967.
Tricon International, Inc., 160 Broadway, New York, N.Y. 10038, April 22, 1969.
United Pharmacal Laboratories, P.O. Box 1718, Lot 28, Foreign Trade Zone, Mayaguez, Puerto Rico, December 19, 1966.
White Magic Co., 660 Capri Blvd., Treasure Island, Fla. 33706, April 5, 1967.
Wolff, Mr. Tom G., 787 Tucker Road, North Dartmouth, Mass., October 23, 1969.
Zubof, Mr. Samuel, 2041-47 Pitkin Ave., Brooklyn, N.Y. 11207, October 23, 1969.

Dated: November 28, 1973.

JAMES F. CAMPBELL,
Assistant Administrator for Program and Management Services.

[FR Doc.73-25986 Filed 12-6-73;8:45 am]

DEPARTMENT OF THE TREASURY

Bureau of Alcohol, Tobacco and Firearms

NOTICE OF GRANTING OF RELIEF

Notice is hereby given that pursuant to (18 U.S.C., sec. 925(c)) the following named persons have been granted relief from disabilities imposed by Federal laws with respect to the acquisition, transfer, receipt, shipment, or possession of firearms incurred by reason of their convictions of crimes punishable by imprisonment for a term exceeding one year.

It has been established to my satisfaction that the circumstances regarding the convictions and each applicant's record and reputation are such that the applicants will not be likely to act in a manner dangerous to public safety, and that the granting of the relief will not be contrary to the public interest.

Cacciapaglia, Patrick G., 1702 S. Fifth Street, Rockford, Illinois, convicted on January 21, 1963, in the Winnebago County Circuit Court, Rockford, Illinois.
Canfield, John R., 4141 Bacon, Berkley, Michigan, convicted on April 5, 1949, in the Oakland County Circuit Court, Michigan.
Cox, Jimmy D., 6620—168th Street West, Rosemount, Minnesota, convicted on February 13, 1961, in the Second Judicial District Court, Ramsey County, Minnesota.
Durian, Duane Thomas, Golden Arrow Trailer Court, Route 6, Lot 25, Ottumwa, Iowa, convicted on April 22, 1963, in the District Court of Iowa in and for Mahaska County, Iowa.
Hanks, Raymond C., 1002 Glenn Street, Anderson, South Carolina, convicted on February 15, 1973, in the Court of General Sessions, Anderson County, South Carolina.
Harden, Ronald W., U. S. Highway 299, Altunas, California, convicted on March 15, 1956, in the Superior Court, Modoc County, California.
Justko, Michael P., 2403 Sorrell Street, Pittsburgh, Pennsylvania, convicted on September 13, 1929, and August 24, 1933, in the Court of Quarter Sessions, Allegheny County, Pennsylvania, and on May 3, 1938, in the United States District Court for Southern District of Ohio, Eastern Division.
Kelley, Charles F., 1233 Scotland Avenue, Chambersburg, Pennsylvania, convicted on December 18, 1959, in the Franklin County Court, Pennsylvania.
Kennedy, David J., 1211 N. Apache Street, Amarillo, Texas, convicted on August 26, 1960, in the District Court of Donley County, Texas.
Merritt, William A., 811 South "J" Street, Pensacola, Florida, convicted on December 11, 1951, in the Court of Record, Escambia County, Florida.
Morosky, Alexander D., 824 Shenango Stop Road, New Castle, Pennsylvania, convicted on March 2, 1959, in the Laurence County Court, New Castle, Pennsylvania.
Osborn, James M., 4505 11th Street "A", Moline, Illinois, convicted on August 19, 1958, in the Muscatine County Court, Iowa.
Pritchett, Timothy E., 2533 King Street, Bellingham, Washington, convicted on February 4, 1966, in the Superior Court of Whatcom County, Washington.
Sampson, Durwood B., 11773 Hartel Street, Livonia, Michigan, convicted on July 27, 1950, in the Court of Quarter Sessions of the Peace for Delaware County, Pennsylvania, and on or about February 12, 1951, by United States Army General Court Martial, Fort Hamilton, New York.
Thacker, Donna J., P.O. Box 247, Pell Lake, Wisconsin, convicted on June 16, 1972, in the Circuit Court for Milwaukee County, Wisconsin.
Wallace, John R., 600 Arch Avenue, Waynesboro, Virginia, convicted on May 27, 1969, in the Circuit Court, City of Waynesboro, Virginia.

Signed at Washington, D.C. this 28th day of November, 1973.

[SEAL] REX D. DAVIS,
Director, Bureau of Alcohol, Tobacco and Firearms.

[FR Doc.73-25972 Filed 12-6-73;8:45 am]

Bureau of the Mint

DEPUTY DIRECTOR OF THE MINT, ET AL.

Delegation of Authority Under Emergent Conditions

1. By virtue of the authority vested in me by the Treasury Department Order No. 129, Revision No. 2, dated April 22, 1955 (20 FR 2875, published April 28, 1955), the following officials of the Bureau of the Mint, in the order of succession enumerated herein, are hereby authorized and directed to act as Director of the Mint, and to perform all of the functions of that office during the absence or disability of the Director of the Mint, or when there is a vacancy in that office:

Deputy Director of the Mint
Assistant Director for Administration
Assistant Director for Public Services
Assistant Director for Production
Assistant Director for Technology
Superintendent, United States Mint, Philadelphia, Pa.
Superintendent, United States Mint, Denver, Colorado
Officer in Charge, United States Assay Office, San Francisco, California

2. By virtue of the above authority, and in the event of an enemy attack on the continental United States, the following officials of the Bureau of the Mint (or, in the absence of any of them, such officer as is authorized to act in his (or her) absence) are hereby authorized and directed to make such provisions as he (or she) deems necessary to insure continuous performance of all functions of the Bureau of the Mint now or hereafter assigned to such official:

Deputy Director of the Mint
Assistant Director for Administration
Assistant Director for Public Services
Assistant Director for Production
Assistant Director for Technology
Superintendent, United States Mint, Philadelphia, Pa.
Superintendent, United States Mint, Denver, Colorado
Officer in Charge, United States Assay Office, San Francisco, California
Superintendent, United States Assay Office, New York, New York
Officer in Charge, United States Bullion Depository, Fort Knox, Kentucky
Administrative Officer, United States Bullion Depository, West Point, New York
Officer in Charge, Old Mint, San Francisco, California.

This authority, in the event of an enemy attack on the continental United States, will authorize each of the above named officials (or in the absence of any such official, the officer authorized to act for him or her) to take any action with respect to the functions performed in his (or her) office which the Secretary of the Treasury, the Director of the

Mint, or any of their subordinates would be authorized to take.

This Order supersedes the Order of Succession of Officials to Act as Director, dated January 27, 1970 (35 FR 2537, published February 4, 1970).

Effective date. The effective date of this Order is December 7, 1973.

Dated: November 30, 1973.

[SEAL] MARY BROOKS,
Director of the Mint.

[FR Doc.73-25973 Filed 12-6-73;8:45 am]

Customs Service

[T.D. 73-329]

AUTOMATED BOND INFORMATION SYSTEM

List of Approved Riders

NOVEMBER 30, 1973.

T.Ds. 73-198 and 73-284 contained a list of riders approved for use in connection with the Automated Bond Information System. A new rider, set forth below in the form to be used with its alphabetical designation, is hereby approved for use in the Automated Bond Information System. (BON-2)

[SEAL] VERNON D. ACREE,
Commissioner of Customs.

N—DEPOSIT OF MERCHANDISE IN A BONDED WAREHOUSE PRIOR TO THE FILING OF A WAREHOUSE ENTRY THEREFOR—TO BE ADDED TO CUSTOMS FORM 7553.

In lieu of condition 2 appearing in the bond dated _____, in the amount of _____, executed by _____ as principal, and _____, as surety, to which this stipulation relates, it is hereby expressly agreed by the principal and surety thereon that the following condition shall apply:

(2) If, in cases where the merchandise has been released prior to entry pursuant to section 448(b) of the tariff act, the above-bounden principal within the time prescribed in § 142.11, Customs Regulations, as amended, after the release of the articles described in the application for a special permit, shall make entry for such articles and deposit the duties and taxes imposed upon or by reason of importation estimated to be due thereon, or in case the merchandise is to be entered for warehouse, file the usual warehouse entry bond; or if, in the event of failure to make entry or to deposit such duties and taxes, or file the usual warehouse entry bond, he shall pay to the district director of Customs as liquidated damages an amount equal to the value of the merchandise plus the duties and taxes thereon (it being understood and agreed that the amount to be collected shall be based upon the quantity and value of such merchandise as determined by the district director of Customs, and that the decision of the district director of Customs as to the status of such merchandise, whether free or dutiable, together with the rate and amount of duties and taxes, also shall be binding on all parties to this obligation);

Witness our hands and seals this _____ day of _____ 19__.

_____ (Seal)
Principal

_____ (Seal)
Surety

[FR Doc.73-25971 Filed 12-6-73;8:45 am]

DEPARTMENT OF THE INTERIOR

Bureau of Land Management

OIL SHALE LEASES

Notice of Sale; Corrections

In 38 FR, 33187, column 3, paragraph 5, Payment of bonus and advance rental: Change line 19 from "draft, moneyo rder, or cash for the first", to "draft money order, or cash for the first".

In 38 FR 33187, column 3, paragraph 6, Evidence of qualifications: Paragraph (a), page 33188, column 1, change line 36 from: "tary of the corporatiton", to "tary of the corporation", change line 40 from: 'which such articles and authority", to "which such articles and authority".

In 38 FR, 33188, column 2, paragraph 10, Withdrawal of additional lands: Change line 15" from "with the disposal of spend shale", to "with the disposal of spent shale".

In 38 FR, 33189, column 2, section 2, Grant to lessee. Change line 13 from "reasonable convenient for the mining", to "reasonably convenient for the mining".

In 38 FR, 33190, section 7, Royalties (C), change line 8 from "the Leased Lands for processing or sale of", to "the "Leased Lands for processing or sale by."

In 38 FR 33192, section 16, Reports, maps, etc. (a), change line 19 from "some other agency having personal knowl-", to "some other agent having personal knowl-".

In 38 FR 33197, column 1, section 7, Oil and Hazardous Materials, (C) Reporting of Spills and Discharges. Change line 1 from: "The Lessee shall give immedaite notice of", to "The Lessee shall give immediate notice of".

ED HASTEY,
Acting Director,
Bureau of Land Management.

Approved: December 5, 1973.

JACK O. HORTON,
Assistant Secretary of the Interior.

[FR Doc.73-26107 Filed 12-6-73;8:45 am]

National Park Service

HAWAII VOLCANOES NATIONAL PARK, HAWAII

Notice of Public Hearings Regarding Wilderness Proposal

Notice is hereby given in accordance with the provisions of the Act of September 3, 1964 (78 Stat. 890, 892; (16 U.S.C. 1131, 1132)), and in accordance with Departmental procedures as identified in 43 CFR 19.5 that public hearings will be held beginning at 1:00 p.m. on February 20, 1974, in the Aloha Room, Hilo Lagoon Hotel, 101 Aupuni Street, Hilo, Hawaii, and on February 23, 1974, at 9:00 a.m. in the Yano Memorial Hall, Captain Cook, Kona, Hawaii, and on February 26, 1974, at 1:00 p.m. in the Conference Room, Second Floor, Gold Bond Building, 677 Ala Moana Boulevard, Honolulu, Hawaii, for the purpose of receiving comments and suggestions as to the appropriateness of a proposal for the establishment of wilderness within the Hawaii Volcanoes National Park, Hawaii.

The wilderness proposal for Hawaii Volcanoes National Park includes about 123,100 acres. Hawaii Volcanoes National Park is located on the Island of Hawaii, at the southern end of the Hawaiian Archipelago.

Packets containing draft master plans, maps depicting the preliminary boundaries of the proposed wilderness areas, and draft environmental impact statements for the proposals may be obtained from the Superintendent, Hawaii Volcanoes National Park in care of Hawaii Group, National Park Service, Pacific International Building, 677 Ala Moana Boulevard, Suite 512, Honolulu, Hawaii 96813, or from the Regional Director, Western Region, National Park Service, 450 Golden Gate Avenue, Box 36036, San Francisco, California 94102.

Descriptions of the preliminary boundaries and maps of the areas proposed for establishment as wilderness are available for review in the above offices and in Room 1210 of the Interior Building at 18th and C Streets NW., Washington, D.C.

Interested individuals, representatives of organizations, and public officials are invited to express their views in person at the aforementioned public hearings. In order to be included on the hearing program, notify the Hearing Officer in care of the Superintendent, Hawaii Volcanoes National Park in care of Hawaii Group, National Park Service, Pacific International Building, 677 Ala Moana Boulevard, Suite 512, Honolulu, Hawaii 96813, by February 13, 1974.

Time limitations may make it necessary to limit the length of oral presentations and to restrict to one person the presentation made in behalf of an organization. An oral statement may, however, be supplemented by a more complete written statement which may be submitted to the Hearing Officer at the time of presentation of the oral statement. Written statements presented in person at the hearings will be considered for inclusion in the transcribed hearing records. However, all materials so presented at the hearings shall be subject to determinations that they are appropriate for inclusion in the transcribed hearing record. To the extent that time is available after presentation of oral statements by those who have given the required advance notice, the Hearing Officer will give others present an opportunity to be heard.

After an explanation of the proposal by a representative of the National Park Service, the Hearing Officer, insofar as possible, will adhere to the following order in calling for the presentation of oral statements:

(1) Governor of the State or his representative.
(2) Members of Congress.
(3) Members of the State Legislature.
(4) Official representative of the counties in which the proposed wilderness is located.
(5) Officials of other Federal agencies or public bodies.

(6) Organizations in alphabetical order.
(7) Individuals in alphabetical order.
(8) Others not giving advance notice, to the extent there is remaining time.

Dated: November 28, 1973.

STANLEY W. HULETT,
Associate Director,
National Park Service.

[FR Doc.73-25663 Filed 12-6-73;8:45 am]

Office of Hearings and Appeals

[Docket No. M 74-33]

ITMANN COAL CO.

Petition for Modification of Application of Mandatory Safety Standard

Notice is hereby given that in accordance with the provisions of section 301(c) of the Federal Coal Mine Health and Safety Act of 1969, 30 U.S.C. 861(c) (1970), Itmann Coal Company has filed a petition to modify the application of section 303(y) of the Act to its Itmann No. 3 Mine located at Wyoming County, West Virginia.

The applicable part of section 303(y) reads as follows:

(1) In any coal mine opened after the operative date of this title, the entries used as intake and return aircourses shall be separated from belt haulage entries, and each operator of such mine shall limit the velocity of the air coursed through belt haulage entries to the amount necessary to provide an adequate supply of oxygen in such entries, and to insure that the air therein shall contain less than 1.0 volume per centum of methane, and such air shall not be used to ventilate active working places. Whenever an authorized representative of the Secretary finds, in the case of any coal mine opened on or prior to the operative date of this title which has been developed with more than two entries, that the conditions in the entries other than belt haulage entries, are such as to permit adequately the coursing of intake or return air through such entries, (1) the belt haulage entries shall not be used to ventilate, unless such entries are necessary to ventilate, active working places, and (2) when the belt haulage entries are not necessary to ventilate the active working places, the operator of such mine shall limit the velocity of the air coursed through the belt haulage entries to the amount necessary to provide an adequate supply of oxygen in such entries, and to insure that the air therein shall contain less than 1.0 volume per centum of methane.

Petitioner requests modification of the portions of section 303(y) which state that entries used as intake and return aircourses shall be separated from belt haulage entries and that the air coursed through belt haulage ways shall not be used to ventilate active working places. As an alternative method, petitioner would eliminate the separation of the belt entry from the intake entries and would allow the air intaking along the belt to be used at the active working places. Petitioner states that all belt drives in this mine are equipped with deluge sprays which activate automatically in case of fire, and in case of fire, there will still be a return and an intake escapeway. All belts are patrolled at least once each shift in search of potential trouble or fire hazards. Also, all belts are provided with waterlines, fire hoses and excessible outlets and are constructed of fire resistant material. Petitioner states that all belts in the mine will be equipped with a fire sensing device in the near future.

Petitioner contends that the alternative method will at all times guarantee no less than the same measure of protection afforded the miners at the affected mine by the application of the mandatory safety standard. Petitioner contends that three sections are now being operated at this mine without belt isolation and their compliance with the dust standards is equal to or better than those sections which do have isolation. Petitioner avers that because of the high ignition temperature of the coal and dust of the Pocahontas field there is no history of serious belt fires. Dead areas and crosscuts where methane can accumulate due to a lack of pressure differentials will be eliminated and the elimination of the stoppings, overcasts and seals necessary for isolation will enable the air being delivered to the faces to be more positively regulated. The elimination of the resistance created by stoppings, overcasts, and seals used in isolation will enable more air to be delivered to the working faces and the supervision and inspection of belt lines will be more accessible and more positive without isolation.

Persons interested in this petition may request a hearing on the petition or furnish comments on or before January 7, 1973. Such requests or comments must be filed with the Office of Hearings and Appeals, Hearings Division, U.S. Department of the Interior, 4015 Wilson Boulevard, Arlington, Virginia 22203. Copies of the petition are available for inspection at that address.

GILBERT O. LOCKWOOD,
Acting Director,
Office of Hearings and Appeals.

NOVEMBER 29, 1973.

[FR Doc. 73-25938 Filed 12-6-73;8:45 am]

Office of the Secretary

HOWARD A. BECK

Statement of Changes in Financial Interests

In accordance with the requirements of section 710(b)(6) of the Defense Production Act of 1950, as amended, and Executive Order 10647 of November 28, 1955, the following changes have taken place in my financial interests during the past six months:

(1) No Change.
(2) No Change.
(3) No Change.
(4) No Change.

This statement is made as of October 3, 1973.

Dated: October 3, 1973.

HOWARD A. BECK.

[FR Doc.73-26028 Filed 12-6-73;8:45 am]

JOHN F. ENGLISH

Statement of Changes in Financial Interests

In accordance with the requirements of section 710(b)(6) of the Defense Production Act of 1950, as amended, and Executive Order 10647 of November 28, 1955, the following changes have taken place in my financial interests during the past six months:

(1) None.
(2) None.
(3) None.
(4) None.

This statement is made as of October 18, 1973.

Dated: October 18, 1973.

JOHN F. ENGLISH.

[FR Doc.73-26027 Filed 12-6-73;8:45 am]

JAMES S. BROADDUS

Statement of Changes in Financial Interests

In accordance with the requirements of section 710(b)(6) of the Defense Production Act of 1950, as amended, and Executive Order 10647 of November 28, 1955, the following changes have taken place in my financial interests during the past six months:

(1) No Change.
(2) No Change.
(3) No Change.
(4) No Change.

This statement is made as of October 3, 1973.

Dated: October 3, 1973.

JAMES S. BROADDUS.

[FR Doc.73-26029 Filed 12-6-73;8:45 am]

E. F. TIMME

Statement of Changes in Financial Interests

In accordance with the requirements of section 710(b)(6) of the Defense Production Act of 1950, as amended, and Executive Order 10647 of November 28, 1955, the following changes have taken place in my financial interests during the past six months:

(1) No change.
(2) No change.
(3) No change.
(4) No change.

This statement is made as of October 8, 1973.

Dated: October 8, 1973.

E. F. TIMME.

[FR Doc.73-26030 Filed 12-6-73;8:45 am]

DEPARTMENT OF AGRICULTURE

Office of the Secretary

ADVISORY COMMITTEE ON FOOT-AND-MOUTH DISEASE

Notice of Meeting

A meeting of the Advisory Committee on Foot-and-Mouth Disease will be held at 9:00 a.m., on December 17, 1973, in Room 3109 South Building, U.S. Department of Agriculture, Washington, D.C.

The purpose of the committee is to advise and counsel the Secretary of Agriculture regarding program operations or measures to prevent, suppress, control, or eradicate an outbreak of foot-and-mouth disease in this country.

Matters to be discussed include a review of present criteria for determining if an area which is a territory of a foot-and-mouth disease infected country, but which is geographically removed, can be considered as having a disease status different than the parent country.

The meeting is open to the public, but space and facilities are limited. Comments of interested persons may be filed with the committee before or after the meeting.

Dated: December 3, 1973.

F. J. MULHERN,
Vice Chairman.

[FR Doc.73-25976 Filed 12-6-73;8:45 am]

DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

Domestic and International Business Administration

TELECOMMUNICATIONS EQUIPMENT TECHNICAL ADVISORY COMMITTEE

Notice of Meeting

Pursuant to the provisions of the Federal Advisory Committee Act (Pub. L. 92-463), notice is hereby given that a meeting of the PCM Transmission Equipment Subgroup of the Telecommunications Equipment Technical Advisory Committee will be held December 12, 1973, at 10:00 a.m. in Conference Room B of the Main Commerce Building, 14th and Constitution Avenue, NW., Washington, D.C.

Members advise the Office of Export Control, Bureau of East-West Trade, with respect to questions involving technical matters, worldwide availability and actual utilization of production and technology and licensing procedures which may affect the level of export controls applicable to telecommunications equipment, including technical data related thereto, and including those whose export is subject to multilateral (COCOM) controls.

Agenda items are as follows:

1. Opening remarks and review of the purpose of the Subgroup by Edwin Leetsch, Chairman.
2. Presentation of papers or comments by the public.
3. Review of draft report on foreign availability and technical strategic characteristics of PCM Transmission equipment.
4. Executive session:
   a. Continuation of review of draft report.
   b. Preparation of report from Subgroup to the Committee.
5. Adjournment.

The public will be permitted to attend the discussion of agenda items 1-3, and a limited number of seats will be available to the public for these agenda items. To the extent time permits, members of the public may present oral statements to the committee. Interested persons are also invited to file written statements with the committee.

With respect to agenda item (4), "Executive session," the Assistant Secretary of Commerce for Administration, on November 28, 1973, determined, pursuant to section 10(d) of Pub. L. 92-463, that this agenda item should be exempt from the provision of sections 10(a)(1) and (a)(3), relating to open meetings and public participation therein, because the meeting will be concerned with matters listed in 5 USC 552(b)(1).

Further information may be obtained from Edwin Leetsch, Chairman of the Subgroup, Stromberg-Carlson Corp., 100 Carlson Road, Rochester, New York 14603 (A/C 716-482-2200).

Minutes of those portions of the meeting which are open to the public will be available 30 days from the date of the meeting upon written request addressed to: Central Reference and Records Inspection Facility, U.S. Department of Commerce, Washington, D.C. 20230.

Dated: December 4, 1973.

STEVEN LAZARUS,
Deputy Assistant Secretary for East-West Trade.

[FR Doc.73-26058 Filed 12-6-73;8:45 am]

TELECOMMUNICATIONS EQUIPMENT TECHNICAL ADVISORY COMMITTEE

Notice of Meeting

Pursuant to the provisions of the Federal Advisory Committee Act (Pub. L. 92-463), notice is hereby given that a meeting of the Processors for Electronic Switching Subgroup of the Telecommunications Equipment Technical Advisory Committee will be held December 12, 1973, at 1:00 p.m. in Conference Room B of the Main Commerce Building, 14th and Constitution Avenue NW., Washington, D.C.

Members advise the Office of Export Control, Bureau of East-West Trade, with respect to questions involving technical matters, worldwide availability and actual utilization of production and technology, and licensing procedures which may affect the level of export controls applicable to telecommunications equipment, including technical data related thereto, and including those whose export is subject to multilateral (COCOM) controls.

Agenda items are as follows:

1. Opening remarks and review of the purpose of the Subgroup by John A. McDavid, Chairman.
2. Presentation of papers or comments by the public.
3. Review of draft report on foreign availability and technical strategic characteristics of processors for electronic switching.
4. Executive session:
   a. Continuation of review of draft report.
   b. Preparation of report from Subgroup to the Committee.
5. Adjournment.

The public will be permitted to attend the discussion of agenda items 1-3, and a limited number of seats will be available to the public for these agenda items. To the extent time permits, members of the public may present oral statements to the committee. Interested persons are also invited to file written statements with the committee.

With respect to agenda item (4), "Executive session," the Assistant Secretary of Commerce for Administration, on November 28, 1973, determined, pursuant to section 10(d) of Pub. L. 92-463, that this agenda item should be exempt from the provision of sections 10(a)(1) and (a)(3), relating to open meetings and public participation therein, because the meeting will be concerned with matters listed in 5 USC 552(b)(1).

Further information may be obtained from John A. McDavid, Chairman of the Subgroup, North Electric Company, PO Box 683, Galion, Ohio 44833 (A/C 419-468-8100).

Minutes of those portions of the meeting which are open to the public will be available 30 days from the date of the meeting upon written request addressed to: Central Reference and Records Inspection Facility, U.S. Department of Commerce, Washington, D.C. 20230.

Dated: December 4, 1973.

STEVEN LAZARUS,
Deputy Assistant Secretary for East-West Trade.

[FR Doc.73-26057 Filed 12-6-73;8:45 am]

National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration

PERMITS TO TAKE MARINE MAMMALS OR PRODUCTS

Policy Regarding Hearings on Applications

The Marine Mammal Protection Act of 1972 (16 U.S.C. 1361, et seq.) authorizes the Secretary of Commerce to issue a permit for the taking or importing of marine mammals or marine mammal products for purposes of scientific research or public display. The Secretary's authority in this regard has been duly delegated to the Director, National Marine Fisheries Service, National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration (38 FR 8610, April 4, 1973).

Notice is hereby given that the National Marine Fisheries Service proposes to adopt the following policy with regard to holding of public hearings on applications for permits for the taking or importing of marine mammals or marine mammal products for the purposes of scientific research or public display.

PROPOSED POLICY

The Director, National Marine Fisheries Service, may, in his discretion, hold public hearings on an application to take or import a marine mammal or marine mammal product for the purpose of either scientific research or public display. In determining whether to hold a public hearing, the Director may consider such factors as (a) whether any interested person has requested a public hearing on such application during the time period set for public comment on the application, (b) the views of the Marine Mammal Commission on the desirability of holding a public hearing, (c) the nature of the application and the number of animals and the species involved, and (d) the extent to which a public hearing would facilitate the processing of the application. Generally, the hearing will be scheduled within 60 days of the original publication of notice

of receipt of the application in the FEDERAL REGISTER. Such hearing may be held in Washington, D.C. or the Regional Headquarters of the National Marine Fisheries Service of the Region in which the applicant resides, or in which the permitted activity is to take place. The Director may in his discretion, hold such a hearing in a different location, or he may continue a hearing in a different location, or locations, from the one in which the hearing initially began whenever, in the Director's view, considerations of public participation in the hearing indicate either, or both, to be desirable.

Written comments, views or objections to this proposed policy may be submitted to the Director, National Marine Fisheries Service, Washington, D.C. 20235, by the close of business on January 7, 1974.

Issued at Washington, D.C., and dated November 30, 1973.

ROBERT W. SCHONING,
Director,
National Marine Fisheries Service.

[FR Doc.73-25980 Filed 12-6-73;8:45 am]

YELLOWFIN TUNA

Fishing in Eastern Pacific Ocean by Bait and Jig Boats Reversion of Incidental Catch Rate

Notice of reversion is hereby given pursuant to § 280.7(b)(3), Title 50, Code of Federal Regulations, as follows:

At 0800 hours, local time, at port of departure on December 8, 1973, the incidental catch rate of yellowfin tuna for bait and jig boats will revert to fifteen percent (15 percent). On the basis of the catch and present catch rate, as of that date, the amount of yellowfin tuna caught during the closed season by bait and jig boats will have reached 2,300 short tons as provided in § 280.7(b)(3), Title 50, Code of Federal Regulations.

Issued at Washington, D.C., and dated December 4, 1973.

ROBERT W. SCHONING,
Director,
National Marine Fisheries Service.

[FR Doc.73-25984 Filed 12-6-73;8:45 am]

DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH, EDUCATION, AND WELFARE

Office of the Secretary

OFFICE OF THE ASSISTANT SECRETARY FOR HEALTH

Statement of Organization, Functions, and Delegations of Authority

Part 1 in the Statement of Organization, Functions, and Delegations of Authority of the Department, Chapter 1N, entitled Office of the Assistant Secretary for Health (38 FR 18571, July 12, 1973) is amended.

SECTION 1N.20 *Functions.* Subsection 1N.20B1a is hereby amended to include division functional statements for each of the four components within the Office of Administrative Management as follows:

a. *Office of Administrative Management (1N19).* The Director of the Office of Administrative Management advises and assists the Assistant Secretary for Health and the Executive Officer on internal management priorities and policies.

Develops Public Health Service policy and provides leadership and coordination of health agency activities in the areas of financial management, contracts and grants, personnel management, organization, management systems and studies, operational planning, administrative services, ADP management and facilities management.

Participates in program and legislative planning and analyzes program operations, in collaboration with the Assistant Secretary for Health staff and health agencies, to identify management implications and to ensure responsible administrative planning.

Provides leadership and review of agency administrative management activities for the purpose of assuring compliance with laws, regulations, Departmental and Public Health Service management policies, procedures, goals and plans.

Furnishes selected supporting and staff services in fiscal management, personnel management, management analysis, and administrative services.

Provides selected centralized common services, including service provided on a fee-for-service basis as authorized by law.

Serves as the principal liaison between the Office of the Secretary, the health agencies, and other government agencies on administrative management activities and as appropriate represents the Assistant Secretary for Health.

(1) *Administrative Services Center (1N1903).* The Director of the Administrative Services Center plans, coordinates, and provides a combination of administrative and technical services designed to serve Public Health Service activities nationwide and those agencies and Office of the Assistant Secretary for Health staff components located in and around the Parklawn Building.

Provides administrative operations, such as general services, procurement, buildings and facilities services, and mail and messenger services for employees and organizations located in the Parklawn Building and surrounding area.

Develops Public Health Service policy and procedures for printing and duplicating. Provides Public Health Service claims, distribution, and library services. Serves as liaison with other components of the Department, GSA, and GPO.

(a) *Division of Administrative Operations (1N19033).* The Director of the Division of Administrative Operations plans, coordinates, and provides a variety of administrative support services for the Office of the Assistant Secretary for Health and the health agencies housed in and around the Parklawn Building. These services are: printing and reproduction management, including operation of printing plant and copy centers; forms and manual material distribution; telecommunications management, including operation of a communications center; space management; mail and messenger services; operation of a motor pool; provision of airline teleticketing services; building security and safety program, including facility emergency plan; building alteration, repair and maintenance program; support services for conference room and training facilities, including audio/visual recording capability; parking management, information/locator services; and other administrative support programs. Develops, recommends, and implements procedures essential to provision of the foregoing functions. Develops Public Health Service policies and procedures for printing and duplicating.

(b) *Parklawn Health Library (1N19035).* The Director of the Parklawn Health Library provides mission-related library services to personnel of the Public Health Service, other portions of the Department, and to appropriate libraries, educational institutions, research agencies and organizations, and individuals. Collects and organizes pertinent record literature to meet research, educational, informational, and other program requirements. Provides ready access to bibliographic collections, and assists users in locating required material or information. Also furnishes reference services, literature search, and bibliographic work utilizing the library's own collections and those of other libraries and information centers. Develops, recommends, and implements procedures necessary to the provision of the foregoing services.

(c) *Division of Materiel Management (1N19037).* The Director of the Division of Materiel Management provides centralized procurement and related services for all Office of the Assistant Secretary for Health activities and for occupant agencies located in and around the Parklawn Building. Directs and coordinates a centralized procurement program for the purchase of all supplies, equipment, and services from mandatory sources (Federal Supply Schedules and other Government agencies), open market, or by contract, either formally advertised or negotiated (other than research and development). Develops procedures for administration of the procurement program and works with the many Federal organizations for whom services are provided to see that their needs are met. Provides a shipping and receiving and laboring service, and operates a property management and surplus property utilization and disposal system.

(2) *Office of Organization and Management Systems (1N1905).* The Director of the Office of Organization and Management Systems serves as the principal advisor on all Public Health Service organization and management activities.

Develops and disseminates Public Health Service policies for organization, computer, and management systems.

Evaluates or directs the review of agency management systems, procedures, and activities.

Serves as the focal point for coordinating agency management activities with the Office of the Secretary and other Federal agencies and offices.

Provides leadership and direction for the development and implementation of: Agency cross-servicing arrangements; compatible organization, computer, and management systems; and procedures designed to improve efficiency and economy of operations in the Public Health Service.

Fosters the efficient management of Public Health Service manpower through a manpower utilization program.

(a) *Division of Management Policy and Analysis (1N19053)*. The Director of the Division of Management Policy and Analysis provides staff support in the areas of management and organization policy affecting general and program administration and contingency planning for management action required by impending or possible legislation. Conducts or participates in studies related to the management effectiveness of Public Health Service programs. Participates in the development of organizational structures, functional alignments, and delegations of authority. Develops, coordinates, and administers issuances, forms, records, reports management, and employee suggestion programs.

(b) *Division of Management Sciences and Systems (1N19055)*. The director of the Division of Management Sciences and Systems provides policy, guidance, and leadership to the Public Health Service in the area of advanced management techniques. Conducts technical studies using management science techniques. Develops, plans, and implements a management information system for use by the Office of the Assistant Secretary for Health, and coordinates a network of management information systems involving the health agencies. Coordinates the integration of program and management data needs and automatic data processing system activities across functional and agency lines. Reviews and assesses systems activities, plans, proposals, and budgets. Maintains liaison with the Office of the Secretary and other Federal agencies in the areas of management systems and ADP.

(3) *Office of Personnel Management (1N1907)*. The Director of the Office of Personnel Management is the principal advisor for personnel management and training activities.

Develops personnel management objectives for Public Health Service activities and the policies and standards necessary to advance these objectives. Provides leadership and direction for coordinated personnel management programs embracing the Commissioned Corps and Civil Service personnel in Public Health Service activities.

Plans, develops, and administers the Commissioned Corps personnel system; provides centralized operating personnel services for the Civil Service employees of the Department located at Parklawn; and conducts evaluation studies of all Public Health Service personnel activities to assure performance in accordance with standards and policies established by the Public Health Service, the Department, and the Civil Service Commission.

Coordinates the personnel management activities of the Public Health Service with the Department, the Civil Service Commission, the Office of Management and Budget, the Department of Defense, the Veterans Administration, and the Congress on matters of common concern.

(a) *Division of Commissioned Personnel Operations (1N19073)*. The Director of the Division of Commissioned Personnel Operations administers a comprehensive personnel management program for the Public Health Service Commissioned Corps. Performs all operating functions associated with the Commissioned Corps personnel system. Provides advice and counsel concerning rights and benefits to members of the Corps. Provides guidance and assistance to the Public Health Service concerning personnel management of the Commissioned Corps. Serves as the central repository for all records reflecting the service and status of members of the Corps.

(b) *Division of Personnel Programs Management (1N19075)*. The Director of the Division of Personnel Programs Management plans, develops, and coordinates the personnel programs under which personnel management is carried out in the Public Health Service.

Develops policies and procedures in training, manpower development, staffing, classification, position management and employee-labor relations for the Public Health Service.

Coordinates internal Civil Service and Commissioned Corps personnel policies and programs in these areas with the policies established by the Department, the Civil Service Commission, and the Office of Management and Budget.

(c) *Division of Personnel Policy and Evaluation (1N19077)*. The Director of the Division of Personnel Policy and Evaluation is responsible for the evaluation of personnel program management and for developing plans to facilitate the implementation of personnel policies throughout the Public Health Service.

Establishes and maintains a program of policy issuances, develops personnel management objectives, and publishes the policies necessary to advance these objectives.

Coordinates the efforts of all personnel components to assure that Equal Employment Opportunity and Social Action goals are carried out.

(d) *Parklawn Personnel Division (1N19079)*. The Director of the Parklawn Personnel Division is responsible for providing personnel management services: To all Department employees located in and around the Parklawn Building; to the Office of the Assistant Secretary for Health; and to certain field activities reporting to health agencies located in and around the Parklawn Building.

Provides expert guidance and assistance to Public Health Service operating officials in all areas of personnel management, including position classification, recruitment and staffing (operation of merit promotion program), special employment programs, personnel security, employee relations, and training.

(4) *Office of Resource Management (1N1909)*. The Director of the Office of Resource Management serves as principal resource for all phases of financial management inherent in the operation of the Public Health Service; plans, directs, coordinates, and evaluates financial management activities for the Public Health Service to assure effective utilization and control of management resources; formulates policies for, and makes the official fiscal allocation of, resources for Public Health Service activities in accordance with priorities based on program goals and objectives; directs an integrated operational planning process for Public Health Service activities; serves as the focal point for overall policy and fiscal management of contracts and grants activities; provides leadership and direction to the Public Health Service for the development of resource management systems; monitors financial, operational planning, and contracts and grants programs of Public Health Service activities to assure a coordinated effort toward achieving the goals and objectives established by the Assistant Secretary for Health; serves as principal resource within the Public Health Service on all phases of facility management; furnishes consultant services to program officials on health facility needs; and maintains liaison with the Office of the Secretary on resource management activities.

(a) *Division of Operational Planning (1N19093)*. The Director of the Division of Operational Planning directs an integrated operational planning process for the Public Health Service; provides guidance to the Public Health Service in the development of objectives to be monitored by the Assistant Secretary for Health and the Office of the Secretary; monitors implementation of critical Public Health Service program objectives to assure the achievement of overall health goals and Public Health Service budget and legislative commitments. Coordinates health agency presentations and reviews of major program objectives for the Assistant Secretary for Health; reviews proposed Public Health Service objectives and plans to assure conformance with the Operational Planning System and the priorities and goals established by the Assistant Secretary for Health; assists in the development of the Public Health Service budget and five-year plan by providing information on current year performance of the Public Health Service; and maintains liaison between and with the Operational Planning Staff, Office of the Assistant Secretary for Administration and Management, and the health agencies.

(b) *Division of Financial Management (1N19095)*. The Director of the Division

of Financial Management serves as the principal advisor in the area of financial management activities and provides leadership for the direction and improvement of financial management functions; develops policies, financial management procedures, and systems for use throughout the Public Health Service and coordinates the preparation and presentation of budget estimates; participates in the development of the five-year program and financial plan in cooperation with the Office of Policy Development and Planning; directs and manages a system of budgetary, expenditure, and position controls; provides assistance and technical guidance to financial management staffs throughout the Public Health Service and to the Division of Operational Planning within the Office of the Assistant Secretary for Health; assures that the Public Health Service prepares and conducts analyses of financial reports; and maintains liaison with the Office of the Secretary and the Office of Management and Budget.

(c) *Division of Grants and Contracts (1N19097).* In the area of grants and contracts management, cost evaluation, and procurement and material management the Director of the Division of Grants and Contracts: Serves as the principal advisor for developing policies, guidelines, and procedures; provides leadership and direction for the optimum utilization of contracts and grants; reviews and evaluates the effectiveness of established policy and procedures and recommends improvements; provides liaison for the Public Health Service with the Office of the Secretary, other Government agencies and the private sector; coordinates headquarters communications with the Public Health Service Regional Offices; prepares reports as required.

(d) *Division of Health Facilities Planning (1N19099).* The Director of the Division of Health Facilities Planning serves as the principal advisor for facilities planning, acquisition, and utilization; establishes Public Health Service policies for the acquisition, utilization, and disposition of facilities to respond to program requirements; assures the development of long-range plans and the annual review of Public Health Service plans for the acquisition, construction, utilization, repair, improvement, and disposal of real property; monitors the utilization of facilities funds to assure their utilization on a priority basis consistent with established goals; develops safety management and telecommunication policies for the Public Health Service; assures that the determination of health facility needs and their development include consideration of the requirements contained in the National Environmental Policy Act of 1969 (Pub. L. 91-190); provides staff advice in developing criteria for facility requirements; and provides liaison with the Office of Facilities Engineering and Property Management within the Office of the Secretary, and to Public Health Service components, in programmatic areas, dealing with the organizations outside the Department, the liaison with which is not assigned to the Office of Facilities Engineering and Property Management.

Dated: November 29, 1973.

ROBERT H. MARIK,
Assistant Secretary for Administration and Management.

[FR Doc.73-25966 Filed 12-6-73;8:45 am]

DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION

National Highway Traffic Safety Administration

PRIME GLAZING MATERIAL MANUFACTURERS

Assignment of Code Numbers

This notice revises the list published January 11, 1973 (38 FR 1300), of code numbers assigned by NHTSA to prime glazing material manufacturers.

Prime glazing material manufacturers are required to certify glazing material as conforming to Federal Motor Vehicle Safety Standard No. 205 (49 CFR 571.105) by affixing the symbol DOT to the material, in accordance with paragraph S6.2 of Standard No. 205, followed by a code number assigned by NHTSA. Code numbers are assigned to prime glazing material manufacturers on their written request to the Associate Administrator, Motor Vehicle Programs, National Highway Traffic Safety Administration, 400 Seventh Street SW., Washington, D.C. 20590.

(Secs. 103, 112, 114, 119, Pub. L. 89-563, 80 Stat. 718 (15 U.S.C. 1392, 1401, 1403, 1407) delegations of authority at 49 CFR 1.51 and 49 CFR 501.8.)

Issued on December 3, 1973.

ROBERT L. CARTER,
Associate Administrator, Motor Vehicle Programs.

CODE NUMBERS ASSIGNED TO PRIME GLAZING MATERIAL MANUFACTURERS

1. Not assigned
2. Not assigned
3. Not assigned
4. Not assigned
5. Not assigned
6. Not assigned
7. Not assigned
8. Not assigned
9. Not assigned
10. Not assigned
11. Not assigned
12. Not assigned
13. Not assigned
14. Safetee Glass Co., Inc., Philadelphia, Pennsylvania
15. Libbey-Owens-Ford Co., Toledo, Ohio
16. Hayes-Albion Corp., Jackson, Michigan
17. Triplex Safety Glass Co., Ltd., London, England
18. P. P. G. Industries, Pittsburgh, Pennsylvania
19. Duplate Canada. Ltd., Toronto, Ontario, Canada
20. Asahi Glass Co., Ltd., Tokyo, Japan
21. Chrysler Corp., Detroit, Michigan
22. Guardian Industries Corp., Detroit, Michigan
23. Nippon Sheet Glass Co., Ltd., Osaka, Japan
24. Splintex Belge S. A., Gilly, Belgium
25. Flachglas AG Delog Detag, Furth/Bayern, West Germany
26. Corning Glass Works, Corning, New York
27. Vereinigte Glaswerke, Herzogenrath, West Germany
28. Spiegelglaswerke Germania, Porz, West Germany
29. Withdrawn
30. Sudglas Klumpp & Arretz Gmbh, Bietigheim/Wurtt, West Germany
31. Glas-und Spiegelmanufaktur N. Kinon Gmbh, Aachen, West Germany
32. Glaceries Reunies, S. A., Belgium
33. Laminated Glass Corp., Detroit, Michigan
34. Withdrawn
35. Hordis Brothers, Inc., Pennsauken, New Jersey
36. Societa Italiana Vetro, S. p. A., San Salvo (Chieti), Italy
37. Fabbrica Pisana DiSpecchi E Lastre Colate, Milan, Italy
38. N V Glasfabriek "SAS VAN GENT," Sas van Gent, Netherlands
39. Compagnie De Saint-Gobain, Neuilly, France
40. Dearborn Glass Co., Bedford Park (Argo P. O.), Illinois
41. Scanex Sakerhetsglas Aktiebolag, Landskrona, Sweden
42. Societe Industrielle TRIPLEX, Longjumeau, France
43. Boussois - Souchon - Neuvesel, Paris, France
44. Central Glass Co., Ltd., Tokyo, Japan
45. Splintex, Ltd., London, England
46. Cristales Inastillables de Mexico, S. A., Xalostoc Edo. de Mexico
47. Nordiamex Safety Glass OY, Helsinki, Finland
48. Rohm and Haas Co., Philadelphia, Pennsylvania
49. Lasipaino Ky, Tampere, Finland
50. Armourplate Safety Glass Ltd., Port Elizabeth, South Africa
51. Vetreria di Vernante, S.p.A., Cuneo, Italy
52. Shatterproof Glass Corp., Detroit, Michigan
53. Hsinchu Glass Works, Inc., Taipai, Taiwan, Republic of China
54. Sunex Sakerhetsglas AB, Lysekil, Sweden
55. Globe Glass Mfg. Co., Elk Grove Village, Illinois
56. Armour Glass Co., Santa Fe Springs, California
57. Aktiebolaget Trempex, Eslov, Sweden
58. Shatterproof de Mexico, S.A., Col. Industrial Vallejo, Mexico 15, D.F.
59. Industrias Venezolanas Automotrices C.A., Caracas, Venezuela
60. Mutotolasi OT, Rauma, Finland
61. Taylor Products Inc., Payne, Ohio
62. Glass Arts of California, Inc., Los Angeles, California
63. Union Carbide Corporation, Ottawa, Illinois
64. Tyneside Safety Glass Co., Ltd., Gateshead-on-Tyne, England
65. Royal Industries, Wichita, Kansas
66. Swedlow, Inc., Garden Grove, California
67. Sierracin Corporation, Sylmar, California
68. Vetrobel, S.p.A., Torino, Italy
69. Fujiwara Kogyo Co., Ltd., Osaka, Japan
70. AUTOLASI, Lappi T.L., Finland
71. P. M. Tabor Co. Inc., Costa Mesa, California
72. Mitsubishi Rayon Co., Ltd., Los Angeles, California
73. V. E. Lipponen OY, Oulu, Finland
74. Beclawat (Canada) Ltd., Pointe Claire, Quebec, Canada
75. Ford Motor Company, Dearborn, Michigan
76. The Tudor Safety Glass Co., Ltd., Sheppey, Kent, England
77. Dongsung Glass Co. Ltd. of Korea, Seoul, Korea

78. American Cyanamid Co., Sanford, Maine
79. Triclover Safety Glass Ltd., Waterford, Ireland
80. E. I. du Pont de Nemours & Co. Inc., Wilmington, Delaware
81. Tamglass oy, Tampere, Finland
82. Donnelly Mirrors, Inc., Holland, Michigan
83. Vidrierias De Llodio, S.A., Llodio, Spain
84. Lahtis Glasbruk, Lahti, Finland
85. K.S.H. Incorporated, St. Louis, Missouri
86. Eastman Chemical Products, Inc., Kingsport, Tennessee
87. Glaverbel s.a.—Brussels, Belgium
88. Chromalloy Corporation, Philadelphia, Pennsylvania
89. Goodyear Tire & Rubber Company, Akron, Ohio
90. Soliver, Beiligbeidsglas, Groenenherderstraat 18, Belgium
91. Sietex Safety Glass AB, Uppsala, Sweden
92. Toughened Glass Ltd., Liverpool L36 6BL, England
93. Day Specialties Company Limited, Midland, Ontario, Canada
94. General Electric Company, Pittsfield, Massachusetts
95. Glaverbel Glass Manitoba Ltd., Winnipeg, Manitoba, Canada
96. Taiwan Glass Corporation, Lake Oswego, Oregon
97. AB Emmaboda Glasverk, Sweden
98. Plaskolite Inc., Columbus, Ohio
99. Ohio Plate Glass Company, Paul Manufacturing, Lewisburg, Ohio
100. Mills Appliance Products, Ltd., Bramalea, Ontario, Canada
101. Polycast Technology Corporation, Stamford, Connecticut
102. Glass Develop AB, LUND, Sweden
103. Artistic Glass Products Co., Quakertown, Pennsylvania
104. Sheffield Poly-Glaz, Inc., Sheffield, Massachusetts
105. ASG Industries Inc., Kingsport, Tennessee
106. Bakelite Xylonite Inc., Brantham, Manningtree, Essex, England
107. N. V. Hardmaas, Panovenweg 20, Holland
108. Tenneco Chemical Inc., Newton Upper Falls, Massachusetts
109. S. A. Glaceries de Saint Roch, Auvelais, Belgium
110. Windor Industries Inc., Dallas, Texas
111. Gebr. Happich Gmbh., Wuppertal, West Germany
112. Roehm Gmbh., Darmstadt, West Germany
113. Southern Plastics Co., Columbia, South Carolina
114. Paulding Glass Products Inc., Paulding, Ohio
115. Hamilton of Indiana Inc., Vincennes, Indiana
116. Surelite Inc., Conway, Arkansas
117. Autoglass Peruana S.A., Lima, Peru
118. Toho Kasei Co. Ltd., Yokohama, Kanagawa, Japan
119. Sumitomo Chemical Co. Ltd., Higashi-Ku, Osaka, Japan
120. Vidrios Securit S.A., Barranquilla, Columbia
121. Cadillac Plastic & Chemical Co., Detroit, Michigan
122. Shatterpruff Safety Glass Ltd., Port Elizabeth, South Africa
123. B & S Plastics Inc., Jacksonville, Florida
124. XCEL Corp., Newark, New Jersey
125. Sun Valley Tempered Glass Co., Oxnard, California
126. J. W. Carroll & Sons, Wilmington, California
127. Garibaldi Temper Glass Ltd., North Vancouver, British Columbia, Canada
128. Industrija Stakla Pancevo, Pancevo, Yugoslavia
129. Viracon Inc., Owatonna, Minnesota
130. Vidrio Plano De Mexico S.A., Mexico 14, D.F., Mexico
131. Acryltech Inc., St. Paul, Minnesota

[FR Doc.73-25982 Filed 12-6-73;8:45 am]

ATOMIC ENERGY COMMISSION

[Docket Nos. 50-413, 50-414]

DUKE POWER CO.

Notice of Availability of Final Environmental Statement

Pursuant to the National Environmental Policy Act of 1969 and the United States Atomic Energy Commission's regulations in Appendix D to 10 CFR Part 50, notice is hereby given that the Final Environmental Statement prepared by the Commission's Directorate of Licensing, related to the proposed Catawba Nuclear Station, Units 1 and 2, to be constructed by Duke Power Company in York County, South Carolina is available for inspection by the public in the Commission's Public Document Room at 1717 H Street, NW., Washington, D.C. and in the York County Library, 325 Oakland Avenue, Rock Hill, South Carolina 29730. The Final Environmental Statement is also being made available at the State Clearinghouse, Office of the Governor, Division of Administration, 1205 Pendleton Street, 4th Floor, Columbia, South Carolina 29201.

The notice of availability of the Draft Environmental Statement for the Catawba Nuclear Station, Units 1 and 2, and requests for comments from interested persons was published in the FEDERAL REGISTER on April 24, 1973 (38 FR 10127). The comments received from Federal, State, local and interested members of the public have been included as appendices to the Final Environmental Statement.

Single copies of the Final Environmental Statement may be obtained by writing the U.S. Atomic Energy Commission, Washington, D.C. 20545, Attention: Deputy Director for Reactor Projects, Directorate of Licensing.

Dated at Bethesda, Md., this 3d day of December 1973.

For the Atomic Energy Commission.

Wm. H. REGAN, Jr.,
Chief, Environmental Projects Branch # 4, Directorate of Licensing.

[FR Doc.73-25960 Filed 12-6-73;8:45 am]

[Docket Nos. 50-461, 50-462]

ILLINOIS POWER CO.

Notice of Receipt of Application for Construction Permits and Facility Licenses and Availability of Applicant's Environmental Report; Time for Submission of Views on Antitrust Matters

Illinois Power Company (the applicant), pursuant to section 103 of the Atomic Energy Act of 1954, as amended, has filed an application, which was docketed October 30, 1973 for authorization to construct and operate two generating units utilizing two boiling water reactors. The application was tendered on July 17, 1973. Following a preliminary review for completeness, the application was rejected on August 17, 1973 for lack of sufficient information. The applicant submitted additional information on September 14 and 28, 1973, and the application was found to be acceptable for docketing. Docket Nos. 50-461 and 50-462 have been assigned to the application, which should be referenced in any correspondence relating to the application.

The proposed nuclear facilities, designated by the applicant as the Clinton Power Station, Units 1 and 2 are to be located in Harp Township, DeWitt County, Illinois. Each unit is designed for initial operation at approximately 2894 megawatts (thermal), with a net electrical output of approximately 955 megawatts.

A Notice of Hearing with opportunity for public participation is being published separately.

Any person who wishes to have his views on the antitrust matters of the application presented to the Attorney General for consideration should submit such views to the U.S. Atomic Energy Commission, Regulation, Washington, D.C. 20545, Attention: Chief, Office of Antitrust and Indemnity, Directorate of Licensing, on or before February 5, 1974. The request should be filed in connection with Docket Nos. 50-461-A and 50-462-A.

A copy of the application is available for public inspection at the Commission's Public Document Room, 1717 H Street, NW., Washington, D.C. 20545, and at the Vespasian Warner Public Library, 120 West Johnson Street, Clinton, Illinois 61727.

The applicant has also filed, pursuant to the National Environmental Policy Act of 1969 and the regulations of the Commission in Appendix D to 10 CFR Part 50, an Environmental Report dated October 26, 1973. The report, which discusses environmental considerations related to the construction and operation of the proposed facilities is being made available for public inspection at the aforementioned locations and at the Office of Planning and Analysis, 216 East Monroe Street, Third Floor, Springfield, Illinois 62706.

After the Environmental Report has been analyzed by the Commission's Director of Regulation or his designee, a draft environmental statement will be prepared by the Commission's Regulatory staff. Upon preparation of the draft environmental statement, the Commission will, among other things, cause to be published in the FEDERAL REGISTER a summary notice of availability of the draft statement, with a request for comments from interested persons on the draft statement. The summary notice will also contain a statement to the effect that comments of Federal agencies and State and local officials will be made available when received. Upon consideration of comments submitted with respect to the draft environmental statement,

the Regulatory staff will prepare a final environmental statement, the availability of which will be published in the FEDERAL REGISTER.

Dated at Bethesda, Maryland this 26th day of November 1973.

For the Atomic Energy Commission.

JOHN F. STOLZ,
Chief, Boiling Water Reactors Branch No. 2, Directorate of Licensing.

[FR Doc.73-25962 Filed 12-6-73;8:45 am]

[Docket No. 50-410]

NIAGARA MOHAWK POWER CORP.

Notice and Order Scheduling Prehearing Conference

In the matter of Niagara Mohawk Power Corporation (Nine Mile Point, Unit No. 2), Docket No. 50-410.

Notice is hereby given that, pursuant to the Commission's Memorandum and Order dated November 6, 1973 in this proceeding, RAI-73-11, a prehearing conference will be held before the Atomic Safety and Licensing Board (the Board) on December 18, 1973 in the County Courthouse, Jefferson and State Streets, Syracuse, New York 13202 at 10:00 a.m. This prehearing conference will cover the following:

1. The contentions regarding energy conservation alternatives earlier framed by Intervenors Suzanne Weber and Ecology Action.

2. The Environmental Defense Fund Petition for Leave to Intervene dated November 30, 1973.

The Intervenors Suzanne Weber and Ecology Action at this prehearing conference will identify their witnesses who will address the energy conservation alternatives, specifying the substance of the testimony to be offered.

In connection with the Board's consideration of the Environmental Defense Fund Petition for Leave to Intervene, the Board will hear oral argument by the parties and the petitioner on: (1) Good cause for the late filing of the petition, (2) the petitioner's interest, and (3) the petitioner's contentions.

In addition, the Board will at this prehearing conference set a schedule for further action in this proceeding, including the time for presentation of the evidence on the energy conservation alternatives.

Members of the public are entitled to attend this prehearing conference as well as later sessions of the evidentiary hearing which will be scheduled at this prehearing conference.

Dated this 3rd day of December 1973 at Washington, D.C.

By order of the Atomic Safety and Licensing Board.

DANIEL M. HEAD,
Chairman.

[FR Doc.73-25961 Filed 12-6-73;8:45 am]

[Docket Nos. 50-463, 50-464]

PHILADELPHIA ELECTRIC CO.

Notice of Receipt of Application for Construction Permits and Facility Licenses and Availability of Applicant's Environmental Report: Time for Submission of Views on Antitrust Matters

Philadelphia Electric Company (the applicant), 2301 Market Street, Philadelphia, Pennsylvania 19101, pursuant to section 103 of the Atomic Energy Act of 1954, as amended, has filed an application, which was docketed on November 16, 1973, for authorization to construct and operate two generating units utilizing two high temperature gas-cooled reactors. The application was tendered on July 3, 1973. Following a preliminary review for completeness, the application was rejected on August 15, 1973, for lack of sufficient information. The applicant submitted additional information on October 18, 1973, and the application was found to be acceptable for docketing. Docket Nos. 50-463 and 50-464 have been assigned to the application and they should be referenced in any correspondence relating to the application.

The proposed nuclear facilities, designated by the applicant as the Fulton Generating Station, Units 1 and 2, will be located on the east bank of Conowingo Pond in Fulton Township, Lancaster County, Pennsylvania, approximately 17 miles south of Lancaster, Pennsylvania, 59 miles west-southwest of Philadelphia, and 36 miles northeast of Baltimore, Maryland. Each reactor will be designed for initial operation at approximately 3000 megawatts (thermal), with a net electrical output of approximately 1160 megawatts.

A Notice of Hearing with opportunity for public participation is being published separately.

Any person who wishes to have his views on the antitrust matters of the application presented to the Attorney General for consideration shall submit such views to the U.S. Atomic Energy Commission, Washington, D.C. 20545, Attention: Chief, Office of Antitrust and Indemnity, Directorate of Licensing, on or before February 5, 1974. The request should be filed in connection with Docket Nos. 50-463-A and 50-464-A.

A copy of the application is available for public inspection at the Commission's Public Document Room, 1717 H Street NW., Washington, D.C. 20545 and at the Lancaster County Library, 125 North Duke Street, Lancaster, Pennsylvania 17602.

The applicant has also filed, pursuant to the National Environmental Policy Act of 1969 and the regulations of the Commission in Appendix D to 10 CFR Part 50, an Environmental Report dated November 14, 1973. The report, which discusses environmental considerations related to the construction and operation of the proposed facilities, is being made available for public inspection at the aforementioned locations, at the Office of Radiological Health, Department of Environmental Resources, P.O. Box 2063, Harrisburg, Pennsylvania 17105 and at the Lancaster County Planning Commission, 900 East King Street Lancaster, Pennsylvania 17602.

After the Environmental Report has been analyzed by the Commission's Director of Regulation or his designee, a draft environmental statement will be prepared by the Commission's Regulatory staff. Upon preparation of the draft environmental statement, the Commission will, among other things, cause to be published in the FEDERAL REGISTER a summary notice of availability of the draft statement, with a request for comments from interested persons on the draft statement. The summary notice will also contain a statement to the effect that comments of Federal agencies and State and local officials will be made available when received. Upon consideration of comments submitted with respect to the draft environmental statements, the Regulatory staff will prepare a final environmental statement, the availability of which will be published in the FEDERAL REGISTER.

Dated at Bethesda, Md., this 28th day of November 1973.

For the Atomic Energy Commission.

ROBERT A. CLARK,
Chief, Gas Cooled Reactors Branch, Directorate of Licensing.

[FR Doc.73-25963 Filed 12-6-73;8:45 am]

[Docket Nos. 50-461, 50-462]

ILLINOIS POWER CO.

Notice of Hearing on Application for Construction Permits

Pursuant to the Atomic Energy Act of 1954, as amended (the Act), and the regulations in Title 10, Code of Federal Regulations, Part 50, Licensing of Production and Utilization Facilities, and Part 2, rules of practice, notice is hereby given that a hearing will be held by an Atomic Safety and Licensing Board (Board), to consider the application filed under the Act by the Illinois Power Company (the applicant), for construction permits for two boiling water nuclear reactors designated as the Clinton Power Station, Units 1 and 2 (the facilities) each of which will be designed for operation at approximately 2894 thermal megawatts with a net electrical output of approximately 955 megawatts. The proposed facilities are to be located in Harp Township, DeWitt County, Illinois.

The hearing, which will be scheduled to begin in the vicinity of the site of the proposed facilities, will be conducted by an Atomic Safety and Licensing Board (Board) which has been designated by the Chairman of the Atomic Safety and Licensing Board Panel, consisting of Mr. Lester Kornblith, Jr., Dr. William E. Martin, and Mr. Robert M. Lazo, Esq., Chairman.

Pursuant to 10 CFR 2.785, an Atomic Safety and Licensing Appeal Board will exercise the authority and the review function which would otherwise be exercised and performed by the Commission. Notice as to the membership of the Appeal Board will be published in the FEDERAL REGISTER at a later date.

Upon completion by the Commission's regulatory staff of a favorable safety evaluation of the application and an environmental review and upon receipt of a report by the Advisory Committee on Reactor Safeguards, the Director of Regulation will consider making affirmative findings on Items 1–3, a negative finding on Item 4, and an affirmative finding on Item 5 specified below as a basis for the issuance of construction permits to the applicant:

ISSUES PURSUANT TO THE ATOMIC ENERGY ACT OF 1954, AS AMENDED

1. Whether in accordance with the provisions of 10 CFR 50.35(a):

(a) The applicant has described the proposed design of the facilities including, but not limited to, the principal architectural and engineering criteria for the design, and has identified the major features or components incorporated therein for the protection of the health and safety of the public;

(b) Such further technical or design information as may be required to complete the safety analysis and which can reasonably be left for later consideration, will be supplied in the final safety analysis report;

(c) Safety features or components, if any, which require research and development have been described by the applicant and the applicant has identified, and there will be conducted a research and development program reasonably designed to resolve any safety questions associated with such features or components; and

(d) On the basis of the foregoing, there is reasonable assurance that (i) such safety questions will be satisfactorily resolved at or before the latest date stated in the application for completion of construction of the proposed facilities, and (ii) taking into consideration the site criteria contained in 10 CFR Part 100, the proposed facilities can be constructed and operated at the proposed location without undue risk to the health and safety of the public.

2. Whether the applicant is technically qualified to design and construct the proposed facilities;

3. Whether the applicant is financially qualified to design and construct the proposed facilities; and

4. Whether the issuance of permits for construction of the facilities will be inimical to the common defense and security or to the health and safety of the public.

ISSUED PURSUANT TO NATIONAL ENVIRONMENTAL POLICY ACT OF 1969 (NEPA)

5. Whether, in accordance with the requirements of Appendix D of 10 CFR Part 50, the construction permits should be issued as proposed.

In the event that this proceeding is not a contested proceeding, as defined by 10 CFR 2.4(n), the Board will determine: (1) Without conducting a de novo evaluation of the application, whether the application and the record of the proceeding contain sufficient information, the review of the application by the Commission's regulatory staff has been adequate to support the proposed findings to be made by the Director of Regulation on Items 1–4 above, and to support, insofar as the Commission's licensing requirements under the Act are concerned, the issuance of the construction permits proposed by the Director of Regulation; and (2) whether the review conducted by the Commission pursuant to NEPA has been adequate.

In the event that this proceeding becomes a contested proceeding, the Board will consider and initially decide, as issues in this proceeding, Items 1–5 above as a basis for determining whether the construction permits should be issued to the applicant.

With respect to the Commission's responsibilities under NEPA, and regardless of whether the proceeding is contested or uncontested, the Board will, in accordance with section A.11 of Appendix D of 10 CFR Part 50: (1) Determine whether the requirements of section 102 (2)(C) and (D) of NEPA and Appendix D of 10 CFR Part 50 have been complied with in this proceeding; (2) independently consider the final balance among conflicting factors contained in the record of the proceeding with a view to determining the appropriate action to be taken; and (3) determine whether the construction permits should be issued, denied, or appropriately conditioned to protect environmental values.

The Board will convene a special prehearing conference of the parties, to the proceeding and persons who have filed petitions for leave to intervene, or their counsel, to be held within sixty (60) days after the notice of hearing is published or at such other time as the Board deems appropriate, for the purpose of dealing with the matters specified in 10 CFR 2.751a.

The Board will convene a prehearing conference of the parties, or their counsel, to be held subsequent to any required special prehearing conference, and within sixty (60) days after discovery has been completed or at such other time as the Board may specify, for the purpose of dealing with the matters specified in 10 CFR 2.752.

The Board will set the time and place for any special prehearing conference, prehearing conference and evidentiary hearing and the respective notices will be published in the FEDERAL REGISTER.

Any person who does not wish, or is not qualified, to become a party to this proceeding may request permission to make a limited appearance pursuant to the provisions of 10 CFR 2.715. A person making a limited appearance may make an oral or written statement on the record. He does not become a party, but may state his position and raise questions which he would like to have answered to the extent that the questions are within the scope of Items 1–5 above. Limited appearances will be permitted at the time of the hearing at the discretion of the Board, within such limits and on such conditions as may be fixed by the Board. Persons desiring to make a limited appearance are requested to inform the Secretary of the Commission and others in the manner specified below.

Any person whose interest may be affected by the proceeding, who wishes to participate, as a party in the proceeding must file a written petition under oath or affirmation for leave to intervene in accordance with the provisions of 10 CFR 2.714. A petition for leave to intervene shall set forth the interest of the petitioner in the proceeding, how that interest may be affected by the results of the proceeding, and any other contentions of the petitioner including the facts and reasons why he should be permitted to intervene, with particular reference to the following factors: (1) The nature of the petitioner's right under the Act to be made a party to the proceeding; (2) the nature and extent of the petitioner's property, financial, or other interest in the proceeding; and (3) the possible effect of any order which may be entered in the proceeding on the petitioner's interest. Any such petition shall be accompanied by a supporting affidavit identifying the specific aspect or aspects of the subject matter of the proceeding as to which the petitioner wishes to intervene and setting forth with particularity both the facts pertaining to his interest and the basis for his contentions with regard to each aspect on which he desires to intervene. A petition that sets forth contentions relating only to matters outside the jurisdiction of the Commission will be denied.

Those permitted to intervene become parties to the proceeding, subject to any limitations in the order granting leave to intervene, and have all the rights of the applicant to participate fully in the conduct of the hearing, such as the examination and cross-examination of witnesses, with respect to their contentions related to the matters at issue in the proceeding.

A petition for leave to intervene must be filed with the Secretary of the Commission and others as specified below by January 7, 1974. A petition for leave to intervene which is not timely will not be granted unless the Board determines that the petitioner has made a substantial showing of good cause for failure to file on time and after the Board has considered those factors specified in 10 CFR 2.714(a)(1)–(4) and 2.714(d). An answer to this notice, pursuant to the provisions of 10 CFR 2.705, must be filed by the applicant by December 27, 1973.

Papers required to be filed in this proceeding shall be filed by mail or telegram addressed to the Secretary of the Commission, United States Atomic Energy Commission, Washington, D.C. 20545, Attention: Chief, Public Proceedings Staff, or may be filed by delivery to the Commission's Public Document

Room, 1717 H Street, NW., Washington, D.C. Pending further order of the Board, parties are required to file, pursuant to the provisions of 10 CFR 2.708, an original and twenty (20) conformed copies of each such paper with the Commission. A copy of the petition or request for limited appearance should also be sent to the Chief Hearing Counsel, Office of the General Counsel, Regulation, U.S. Atomic Energy Commission, Washington, D.C. 20545 and to Mr. Peter V. Fazio, Jr., Schiff, Hardin and Waite, 231 South LaSalle Street, Chicago, Illinois 60604, attorney for the applicant.

For further details, see the application for construction permits dated October 26, 1973, and amendments thereto, and the applicant's environmental report dated October 26, 1973, which are available for public inspection at the Commission's Public Document Room, 1717 H Street, NW., Washington, D.C., between the hours of 8:30 a.m. and 5:00 p.m. on weekdays. Copies of those documents are also available at the Vespasian Warner Public Library, 120 West Johnson Street, Clinton, Illinois 61727 for inspection by members of the public between the hours of 10:00 a.m. to 6:00 p.m. on Monday and Tuesday; and from 10:00 a.m. to 8:00 p.m. on Wednesday, Friday and Saturday. As they become available, a copy of the safety evaluation report by the Commission's Directorate of Licensing, the Commission's draft and final environmental statements, the report of the Advisory Committee on Reactor Safeguards (ACRS), the proposed construction permits, the transcripts of the prehearing conferences and of the hearing, and other relevant documents, will also be available at the above locations. Copies of the Directorate of Licensing's safety evaluation report and the Commission's final environmental statement, the proposed construction permits, and the ACRS report may be obtained, when available, by request to the Deputy Director for Reactor Projects, Directorate of Licensing, United States Atomic Energy Commission, Regulation, Washington, D.C. 20545.

Dated at Germantown, Md., this 28th day of November 1973.

UNITED STATES ATOMIC ENERGY COMMISSION,
PAUL C. BENDER,
Secretary of the Commission.

[FR Doc.73-26032 Filed 12-6-73;8:45 am]

REGULATORY GUIDES

Notice of Issuance and Availability

The Atomic Energy Commission has issued a new guide in its Regulatory Guide series. This series has been developed to describe and make available to the public methods acceptable to the AEC Regulatory staff of implementing specific parts of the Commission's regulations and, in some cases, to delineate techniques used by the staff in evaluating specific problems or postulated accidents and to provide guidance to applicants concerning certain of the information needed by the staff in its review of applications for permits and licenses.

The new guide is in Division 2, "Research and Test Reactor Guides." Regulatory Guide 2.2, "Development of Technical Specifications for Experiments in Research Reactors," describes information that the AEC Regulatory staff feels should be included in technical specifications for experiments in research reactors. It identifies considerations that should be addressed in the evaluation of experimental programs as well as considerations that should be addressed to define limits and other requirements to be included in the technical specifications.

Comments and suggestions in connection with improvements in Regulatory Guides are encouraged and should be sent to the Secretary of the Commission, U.S. Atomic Energy Commission, Washington, D.C. 20545, Attention: Chief, Public Proceedings Staff. Requests for single copies of issued guides or for placement on an automatic distribution list for single copies of future guides should be made in writing to the Director of Regulatory Standards, U.S. Atomic Energy Commission, Washington, D.C. 20545. Telephone requests cannot be accommodated. Regulatory Guides are not copyrighted and Commission approval is not required to reproduce them.

Other Division 2 Regulatory Guides currently being developed include the following:

Development of Technical Specifications for Research Reactors
Operation of Fast Pulse Reactors
Performance of Critical Experiments

(5 U.S.C. 522(a))

Dated at Bethesda, Md., this 30th day of November 1973.

For the Atomic Energy Commission.
LESTER ROGERS,
Director of Regulatory Standards.

[FR Doc.73-26033 Filed 12-6-73;8:45 am]

[Docket Nos. 50-354, 50-355]

PUBLIC SERVICE ELECTRIC AND GAS CO.

Notice of Receipt of Amended Application for Construction Permits and Facility Licenses and Hearing on Amended Application for Construction Permits

By an application dated February 26, 1970, Public Service Electric and Gas Company, 80 Park Place, Newark, New Jersey, applied for construction permits for two boiling water nuclear reactors, designated Newbold Island Nuclear Generating Station Units 1 and 2, each of which was designed for initial operation at approximately 3,293 megawatts (thermal) with a net electrical output of approximately 1,088 megawatts per unit. The proposed facilities were to be located at the applicants' site on Newbold Island in the Delaware River, Bordentown Township, Burlington County, New Jersey. Hearings on such application have been convened pursuant to a Notice of Hearing, published in the FEDERAL REGISTER on December 7, 1971 (36 FR 23266) and a Supplementary Notice of Hearing, published on March 30, 1973 (38 FR 8294). On November 1, 1973, Public Service Electric and Gas Company submitted Amendment 13, to the application which relocates the proposed nuclear facilities to Lower Alloways Creek Township, Salem County, New Jersey, and changes the name of the station from Newbold Island Nuclear Generating Station to Hope Creek Generating Station. The proposed facilities, designated Hope Creek Generating Station Units 1 and 2, which remain boiling water reactors designed for initial operation at approximately 3,293 megawatts thermal and approximately 1,088 net electrical megawatts, will be located on the applicant's site on the east bank of the Delaware River approximately eight miles southwest of Salem, New Jersey, in Lower Alloways Creek Township, Salem, New Jersey. They will be adjacent to the Salem Nuclear Generating Station now under construction (U.S. AEC Docket Nos. 50-272 and 50-311).

Pursuant to the Atomic Energy Act of 1954, as amended (the Act), and the regulations in Title 10, Code of Federal Regulations, Part 50, "Licensing of Production and Utilization Facilities," and Part 2, rules of practice, notice is hereby given that a hearing will be held at a time and place to be set by the Atomic Safety and Licensing Board (Board) previously designated to preside over this proceeding, to consider the application, as amended. The hearing on the amended application will be scheduled to begin in the vicinity of the site of the proposed Hope Creek Generating Station.

Upon completion by the Commission's regulatory staff of a favorable safety evaluation of the application as amended and an environmental review of the project, the Director of Regulation will consider making affirmative findings on Items 1-3, a negative finding on Item 4, and an affirmative finding on Item 5 specified below as a basis for the issuance of construction permits to the applicant:

ISSUES PURSUANT TO THE ATOMIC ENERGY ACT OF 1954, AS AMENDED

1. Whether in accordance with the provisions of 10 CFR 50.35(a):

(a) The applicant has described the proposed design of the facility including, but not limited to, the principal architectural and engineering criteria for the design, and has identified the major features or components incorporated therein for the protection of the health and safety of the public;

(b) Such further technical or design information as may be required to complete the safety analysis and which can reasonably be left for later consideration, will be supplied in the final safety analysis report;

(c) Safety features or components, if any, which require research and development have been described by the applicant and the applicant has identified, and there will be conducted a research and development program reasonably designed to resolve any safety questions associated with such features or components; and

(d) On the basis of the foregoing, there is reasonable assurance that (i) such safety questions will be satisfactorily resolved at or before the latest date stated in the application for completion of construction of the proposed facility, and (ii) taking into consideration the site criteria contained in 10 CFR Part 100, the proposed facility can be constructed and operated at the proposed location without undue risk to the health and safety of the public.

2. Whether the applicant is technically qualified to design and construct the proposed facility;

3. Whether the applicant is financially qualified to design and construct the proposed facility; and

4. Whether the issuance of a permit for construction of the facility will be inimical to the common defense and security or to the health and safety of the public.

ISSUE PURSUANT TO NATIONAL ENVIRONMENTAL POLICY ACT OF 1969 (NEPA)

5. Whether, in accordance with the requirements of Appendix D of 10 CFR Part 50, the construction permit should be issued as proposed.

In the event that this proceeding is not a contested proceeding, as defined by 10 CFR 2.4(n), the Board will determine: (1) Without conducting a *de novo* evaluation of the application, whether the application and the record of the proceeding contain sufficient information, the review of the application by the Commission's regulatory staff has been adequate to support the proposed findings to be made by the Director of Regulation on Items 1–4 above, and to support, insofar as the Commission's licensing requirements under the Act are concerned, the issuance of the construction permit proposed by the Director of Regulation; and (2) whether the review conducted by the Commission pursuant to NEPA has been adequate.

In the event that this proceeding becomes a contested proceeding, the Board will consider Items 1–5 above as a basis for determining whether a construction permit should be issued to the applicant.

With respect to the Commission's responsibilities under NEPA, and regardless of whether the proceeding is contested or uncontested, the Board will, in accordance with section A.11 of Appendix D of 10 CFR Part 50: (1) Determine whether the requirements of section 102 (2)(C) and (D) of NEPA and Appendix D of 10 CFR Part 50 have been complied with in this proceeding; (2) independently consider the final balance among conflicting factors contained in the record of the proceeding with a view to determining the appropriate action to be taken; and (3) determine whether construction permits should be issued, denied, or appropriately conditioned to protect environmental values.

The Board will convene a special prehearing conference of the parties to the proceeding and persons who have filed petitions for leave to intervene, or their counsel, to be held within sixty (60) days after the notice of hearing is published or at such other time as the Board deems appropriate, for the purpose of dealing with the matters specified in 10 CFR 2.751a.

The Board will set the time and place for any special prehearing conference, prehearing conference and evidentiary hearing, and the respective notices will be published in the FEDERAL REGISTER.

Any person who does not wish, or is not qualified, to become a party to this proceeding may request permission to make a limited appearance pursuant to the provisions of 10 CFR 2.715. A person making a limited appearance may make an oral or written statement on the record. He does not become a party, but may state his position and raise questions which he would like to have answered to the extent that the questions are within the scope of Items 1–5 above. Limited appearances will be permitted at the time of the hearing at the discretion of the Board, within such limits and on such conditions as may be fixed by the Board. Persons desiring to make a limited appearance are requested to inform the Secretary of the Commission, and others in the manner specified below.

Any person whose interest may be affected by the proceeding, who wishes to participate as a party in the proceeding must file a written petition under oath or affirmation for leave to intervene in accordance with the provisions of 10 CFR 2.714. A petition for leave to intervene shall set forth the interest of the petitioner in the proceeding, how that interest may be affected by the results of the proceeding, and any other contentions of the petitioner including the facts and reasons why he should be permitted to intervene, with particular reference to the following factors: (1) The nature of the petitioner's right under the Act to be made a party to the proceeding; (2) the nature and extent of the petitioner's property, financial or other interest in the proceeding; and (3) the possible effect of any order which may be entered in the proceeding on the petitioner's interest. Any such petition shall be accompanied by a supporting affidavit identifying the specific aspect or aspects of the subject matter of the proceeding as to which the petitioner wishes to intervene and setting forth with particularity both the facts pertaining to his interest and the basis for his contentions with regard to each aspect on which he desires to intervene. A petition that sets forth contentions relating only to matters outside the jurisdiction of the Commission will be denied.

Those permitted to intervene become parties to the proceedings, subject to any limitations in the order granting leave to intervene, and have all rights of the applicant to participate fully in the conduct of the hearing, such as the examination and cross-examination of witnesses, with respect to their contentions related to the matters at issue in the proceeding.

A petition for leave to intervene must be filed with the Secretary of the Commission and others as specified below by January 7, 1974. A petition for leave to intervene which is not timely will not be granted unless the Board determines that the petitioner has made a substantial showing of good cause for failure to file on time and after the Board has considered those factors specified in 10 CFR 2.714(a)(1)–(4) and 2.714(d).

The presiding Board has previously admitted certain parties as intervenors in this proceeding. The applicant has entered into settlement agreements with certain of these parties in accordance with 10 CFR 2.759 of the Commission's regulations, as a result of which the following parties have withdrawn from the proceeding on the basis of the relocation of the facilities from Newbold Island to Hope Creek: Congressman Joshua Eilberg, Congressman Edwin Forsythe; the Environmental Defense Fund; the Commonwealth of Pennsylvania.

The only parties previously admitted who have not agreed to such withdrawal are members of the group composed of the Delaware Valley Committee for Protection of the Environment; the Environmental Coalition on Nuclear Power, Falls Township, Pennsylvania and Bucks County, Pennsylvania; all of whom were jointly represented in the proceeding. With respect to the application, as amended, for construction permits for the Hope Creek Generating Station, Units 1 and 2, all persons previously admitted as intervenors in this proceeding who wish to further participate with respect to the amended application, shall file with the presiding Board a statement containing, with respect to the amended application, the information called for in supporting affidavits required for petitions for leave to intervene filed pursuant to 10 CFR 2.714 of the Commission's rules of practice. Such statement shall be filed within the time period for the filing of a petition for leave to intervene.

An answer to this notice, pursuant to the provisions of 10 CFR 2.705, must be filed by the applicant by December 28, 1973.

Papers required to be filed in this proceeding shall be filed by mail or telegram addressed to the Secretary of the Commission, United States Atomic Energy Commission, Washington, D.C. 20545, Attention: Chief, Public Proceedings Staff, or may be filed by delivery to the Commission's Public Document Room, 1717 H Street, NW., Washington, D.C. Pending further order of the Board, parties are required to file, pursuant to the provisions of 10 CFR 2.708, an original and twenty (20) conformed copies of each such paper with the Commission. A copy of any petition for intervention or request for limited appearance should also be sent to the Chief Hearing Counsel, Office of the General Counsel, Regulation, U.S. Atomic Energy Commission, Washington, D.C. 20545 and to Troy B. Conner, Jr., Esq., Conner, Hadlock & Knotts, 1747 Pennsylvania Avenue, NW., Washington, D.C. 20006, attorney for the applicant.

For further details, see the application for a construction permit dated

February 27, 1970, and amendments thereto, and the applicant's environmental report dated January 28, 1971, which are available for public inspection at the Commission's Public Document Room, 1717 H Street, NW., Washington, D.C., between the hours of 8:30 a.m. and 5:00 p.m. on weekdays. Copies of those documents are also available at the Salem Free Public Library, 112 West Broadway, Salem, New Jersey 08079 for inspection by members of the public between the hours of 10:00 a.m. to 9:00 p.m. Mondays and Thursdays and 1:00 pm. to 9:00 p.m. Tuesdays, Wednesdays & Fridays and 10:00 a.m. to 6:00 p.m. on Saturdays.

As they become available, a copy of the Safety Evaluation Report by the Commission's Directorate of Licensing, the Commission's Draft and Final Environmental Statements, the report of the Advisory Committee on Reactor Safeguards (ACRS), the proposed construction permit, the transcripts of the prehearing conferences and of the hearing, and other relevant documents, will also be available at the above locations. Copies of the Directorate of Licensing's Safety Evaluation Report, the Commission's Final Environmental Statement, the proposed construction permits, and the ACRS report, may be obtained, when available, by request to the Deputy Director for Reactor Projects, Directorate of Licensing, United States Atomic Energy Commission, Washington, D.C. 20545.

Dated at Washington, D.C., this 5th day of December 1973.

UNITED STATES ATOMIC ENERGY COMMISSION,
GORDON M. GRANT,
Assistant Secretary of the Commission.

[FR Doc.73-26122 Filed 12-6-73;8:45 am]

[Docket Nos. 50-416 and 50-417]

ADVISORY COMMITTEE ON REACTOR SAFEGUARDS, SUBCOMMITTEE ON GRAND GULF NUCLEAR STATION, UNITS 1 AND 2

Notice of Meeting

DECEMBER 4, 1973.

In accordance with the purposes of sections 29 and 182b. of the Atomic Energy Act (42 U.S.C. 2039, 2232b.), the Advisory Committee on Reactor Safeguards' Subcommittee on the Grand Gulf Nuclear Station, Units 1 and 2, will hold a meeting on December 21 and 22, 1973, in the Circuit Courtroom, Hines County Courthouse, President and Pascagoula Streets, Jackson, Mississippi 39205. The purpose of the meeting will be to review the application of the Mississippi Power and Light Company for a permit to construct Units 1 and 2, which are located in Claiborne County, Mississippi, about 25 miles south of Vicksburg, Mississippi.

The following constitutes that portion of the Subcommittee's agenda for the above meeting which will be open to the public:

Friday, December 21, 1973, 1:30 p.m.–7:00 p.m. and Saturday, December 22, 1973, 8:30 a.m.–12:00 noon

Review of the application for a construction permit (presentations by the AEC Regulatory Staff and the Mississippi Power and Light Company and its consultants, and discussions with these groups).

In connection with the above agenda item, the Subcommittee will hold an executive session at 1:00 p.m. on December 21, 1973 which will involve a discussion of its preliminary views, and an executive session at the close of the meeting on December 22, 1973, consisting of an exchange of opinions of the Subcommittee members and internal deliberations and formulation of recommendations to the ACRS. In addition, the Subcommittee may hold a closed session with the Regulatory Staff and Applicant to discuss privileged information concerning the results the results of exploratory drilling.

I have determined, in accordance with section 10(d) of Pub. L. 92-463, that the executive sessions at the beginning and end of the meeting will consist of an exchange of opinions and formulation of recommendations, the discussion of which, if written, would fall within exemption (5) of 5 U.S.C. 552(b) and that a closed session may be held, if necessary, to discuss certain documents and information which are privileged and fall within exemption (4) of 5 U.S.C. 552(b). It is essential to close such portions of the meeting to protect such privileged information and protect the free interchange of internal views and to avoid undue interference with agency or Committee operation.

Practical considerations may dictate alterations in the above agenda or schedule.

The Chairman of the Subcommittee is empowered to conduct the meeting in a manner that in his judgment will facilitate the orderly conduct of business.

With respect to public participation in the open portion of the meeting, the following requirements shall apply:

(a) Persons wishing to submit written statements regarding the agenda item may do so by mailing 25 copies thereof, postmarked no later than December 14, 1973, to the Executive Secretary, Advisory Committee on Reactor Safeguards, U.S. Atomic Energy Commission, Washington, D.C. 20545. Such comments shall be based upon the application for a construction permit and related documents which are on file and available for public inspection at the Atomic Energy Commission's Public Document Room, 1717 H Street, NW., Washington, D.C. 20545, and the Harriet Person Memorial Library, Municipal Building, Port Gibson, Mississippi 39150.

(b) Those persons submitting a written statement in accordance with paragraph (a) above may request an opportunity to make oral statements concerning the written statement. Such requests shall accompany the written statement and shall set forth reasons justifying the need for such oral statement and its usefulness to the Subcommittee. To the extent that the time available for the meeting permits, the Subcommittee will receive oral statements during a period of no more than 30 minutes at an appropriate time, chosen by the Chairman of the Subcommittee, between the hours of 9:30 a.m. and 11:30 a.m. on Saturday, December 22, 1973.

(c) Requests for the opportunity to make oral statements shall be ruled on by the Chairman of the Subcommittee who is empowered to apportion the time available among those selected by him to make oral statements.

(d) Information as to whether the meeting has been cancelled or rescheduled and in regard to the Chairman's ruling on requests for the opportunity to present oral statements, and the time allotted, can be obtained by a prepaid telephone call on December 19, 1973, to the Office of the Executive Secretary of the Committee (telephone 301-973-5651) between 8:30 a.m. and 5:15 p.m., e.s.t.

(e) Questions may be propounded only by members of the Subcommittee and its consultants.

(f) Seating for the public will be available on a first-come, first-served basis.

(g) The use of still, motion picture, and television cameras, the physical installation and presence of which will not interfere with the conduct of the meeting, will be permitted both before and after the meeting and during any recess. The use of such equipment will not, however, be allowed while the meeting is in session.

(h) A copy of the transcript of the open portions of the meeting will be available for inspection on or after December 26, 1973, at the Atomic Energy Commission's Public Document Room, 1717 H Street, NW., Washington, D.C. 20545 and within approximately nine days at the Harriet Person Memorial Library, Municipal Building, Port Gibson, Mississippi 39150. On request, copies of the minutes of the meeting will be made available for inspection at the Atomic Energy Commission's Public Document Room, 1717 H Street NW., Washington, D.C. 20545 on or after February 21, 1974. Copies may be obtained upon payment of appropriate charges.

JOHN C. RYAN,
Advisory Committee Management Officer.

[FR Doc.73-26071 Filed 12-6-73;8:45 am]

ADVISORY COMMITTEE ON REACTOR SAFEGUARDS SUBCOMMITTEE ON THE EDWIN I. HATCH NUCLEAR PLANT, UNIT 1

Notice of Meeting

DECEMBER 4, 1973.

In accordance with the purposes of sections 29 and 182 b. of the Atomic Energy Act (42 U.S.C. 2039, 2232 b.), the Advisory Committee on Reactor Safeguards Subcommittee on the Edwin I. Hatch Nuclear Plant, Unit 1, will hold a meeting on December 20, 1973, in Room 1046, 1717 H Street, NW., Washington, D.C. The purpose of this meeting will be to review the application of the Georgia Power Company for a license to operate Unit 1, which is located in Appling and Toombs Counties, Georgia, about 75 miles west of Savannah, Georgia.

The following constitutes that portion of the Subcommittee's agenda for the above meeting which will be open to the public:

Thursday, December 20, 1973,
9:00 a.m.–3:30 p.m.

Review of the application for an operating license (presentations by the AEC Regulatory Staff and the Georgia Power Company and its consultants, and discussions with these groups).

In connection with the above agenda item, the Subcommittee will hold an executive session at 8:30 a.m. which will involve a discussion of its preliminary views, and an executive session at the end of the day, consisting of an exchange of opinions of the Subcommittee members and internal deliberations and formulation of recommendations to the ACRS. In addition, prior to the executive session at the end of the day, the Subcommittee may hold a closed session with the Regulatory Staff and Applicant to discuss privileged information relating to plant security and nuclear fuel design, if necessary.

I have determined, in accordance with section 10(d) of Pub. L. 92–463, that the executive sessions at the beginning and end of the meeting will consist of an exchange of opinions and formulation of recommendations, the discussion of which, if written, would fall within exemption (5) of 5 U.S.C. 552(b); and that a closed session may be held, if necessary, to discuss certain documents which are privileged, and fall within exemption (4) of 5 U.S.C. 552(b). It is essential to close such portions of the meeting to protect such privileged information and to protect the free interchange of internal views and to avoid undue interference with agency or Committee operation.

Practical considerations may dictate alterations in the above agenda or schedule.

The Chairman of the Subcommittee is empowered to conduct the meeting in a manner that, in his judgment, will facilitate the orderly conduct of business.

With respect to public participation in the open portion of the meeting, the following requirements shall apply:

(a) Persons wishing to submit written statements regarding the agenda item may do so by mailing 25 copies thereof, postmarked no later than December 13, 1973, to the Executive Secretary, Advisory Committee on Reactor Safeguards, U.S. Atomic Energy Commission, Washington, D.C. 20545. Such comments shall be based upon the application for an operating license and related documents which are on file and available for public inspection at the Atomic Energy Commission's Public Document Room, 1717 H Street, NW., Washington, D.C. 20545, and the Appling County Public Library, Parker Street, Baxley, Georgia 31513.

(b) Those persons submitting a written statement in accordance with paragraph (a) above may request an opportunity to make oral statements concerning the written statement. Such requests shall accompany the written statement and shall set forth reasons justifying the need for such oral statement and its usefulness to the Subcommittee. To the extent that the time available for the meeting permits, the Subcommittee will receive oral statements during a period of no more than 30 minutes at an appropriate time, chosen by the Chairman of the Subcommittee, between the hours of 1:00 p.m. and 3:00 p.m. on the day of the meeting, December 20, 1973.

(c) Requests for the opportunity to make oral statements shall be ruled on by the Chairman of the Subcommittee who is empowered to apportion the time available among those selected by him to make oral statements.

(d) Information as to whether the meeting has been cancelled or rescheduled and in regard to the Chairman's ruling on requests for the opportunity to present oral statements, and the time allotted, can be obtained by a prepaid telephone call on December 18, 1973, to the Office of the Executive Secretary of the Committee (telephone 301–973–5651) between 8:30 a.m. and 5:15 p.m., Eastern Standard Time.

(e) Questions may be propounded only by members of the Subcommittee and its consultants.

(f) Seating for the public will be available on a first-come, first-serve basis.

(g) The use of still, motion picture, and television cameras, the physical installation and presence of which will not interfere with the conduct of the meeting, will be permitted both before and after the meeting and during any recess. The use of such equipment will not, however, be allowed while the meeting is in session.

(h) A copy of the transcript of the open portions of the meeting will be available for inspection during the following workday at the Atomic Energy Commission's Public Document Room, 1717 H Street, NW., Washington, D.C. 20545 and within approximately nine days at the Appling County Public Library, Parker Street, Baxley, Georgia 31513.

On request, copies of the minutes of the meeting will be made available for inspection at the Atomic Energy Commission's Public Document Room, 1717 H Street, NW., Washington, D.C. 20545 on or after February 20, 1974. Copies may be obtained upon payment of appropriate charges.

JOHN C. RYAN,
Advisory Committee Management Officer.

[FR Doc.73-26072 Filed 12-5-73;8:45 am]

CIVIL AERONAUTICS BOARD

[Docket No. 24488; Order 73-11-137]

INTERNATIONAL AIR TRANSPORT ASSOCIATION

Order Regarding Passenger Fare Matters

Issued under delegated authority November 29, 1973.

An agreement has been filed with the Board pursuant to section 412(a) of the Federal Aviation Act of 1958 (the Act) and Part 261 of the Board's Economic regulations between various air carriers, foreign air carriers and other carriers embodied in the resolutions of the Joint Traffic Conferences of the International Air Transport Association (IATA). The agreement has been assigned the above-designated C.A.B. agreement number, and was adopted by mail vote.

The agreement would redefine the area of combinability for South Pacific 28-day excursion fares, and 35-day individual inclusive tour fares, to include any points within the Southwest Pacific.

Pursuant to authority duly delegated by the Board in the Board's regulations, 14 CFR 385.14, it is not found that Resolutions JT31(Mail 248)070b, and JT31 (Mail 248)080m, which are incorporated in Agreement C.A.B. 24053, R–1 and R–2, respectively, are adverse to the public interest or in violation of the Act.

Accordingly, it is ordered, That:

Agreement C.A.B. 24053, R–1 and R–2, be and hereby is approved.

Persons entitled to petition the Board for review of this order pursuant to the Board's regulations, 14 CFR 385.50, may file such petitions within ten days after the date of service of this order.

This order shall be effective and become the action of the Civil Aeronautics Board upon expiration of the above period, unless within such period a petition for review thereof is filed or the Board gives notice that it will review this order on its own motion.

This order will be published in the FEDERAL REGISTER.

[SEAL] EDWIN Z. HOLLAND,
Secretary.

[FR Doc.73-26060 Filed 12-6-73;8:45 am]

CIVIL SERVICE COMMISSION

MANPOWER SHORTAGE

Notice of Listing

Under the provisions of (5 U.S.C. 5723), the Civil Service Commission has found effective November 16, 1973, that there is a manpower shortage for the single position of Museum Director, National Museum of History and Technology, Assistant Secretary for History and Art, Smithsonian Institution. The appointee

may be paid for the expense of travel and transportation to his first post of duty.

UNITED STATES CIVIL SERVICE COMMISSION,
[SEAL] JAMES C. SPRY,
Executive Assistant to the Commissioners.

[FR Doc.73-26052 Filed 12-6-73;8:45 am]

MANPOWER SHORTAGE

Notice of Listing

Under the provisions of (5 U.S.C. 5723), the Civil Service Commission has found effective November 9, 1973, that there is a manpower shortage for the single position of Assistant for International Fisheries to the Associate Administrator for Marine Resources, Department of Commerce. The appointee may be paid for the expense of travel and transportation to his first post of duty.

UNITED STATES CIVIL SERVICE COMMISSION,
[SEAL] JAMES C. SPRY,
Executive Assistant to the Commissioners.

[FR Doc.73-26053 Filed 12-6-73;8:45 am]

COMMITTEE FOR THE IMPLEMENTATION OF TEXTILE AGREEMENTS

CERTAIN COTTON TEXTILE PRODUCTS PRODUCED OR MANUFACTURED IN PORTUGAL

Entry or Withdrawal From Warehouse for Consumption

DECEMBER 5, 1973.

On January 4, 1973, there was published in the FEDERAL REGISTER (38 FR 815) a letter dated December 29, 1972 from the Chairman, Committee for the Implementation of Textile Agreements, to the Commissioner of Customs establishing levels of restraint applicable to certain specified categories of cotton textiles and cotton textile products produced or manufactured in Portugal and exported to the United States during the twelve-month period beginning January 1, 1973. As set forth in that letter, the levels of restraint are subject to adjustment.

Pursuant to paragraphs 5 and 10 of the Bilateral Cotton Textile Agreement of November 17, 1970, as amended, between the Governments of the United States and Portugal, the level of restraint applicable to Category 46 was previously increased by directive of November 19, 1973 (38 FR 32316). In accordance with an administrative arrangement concluded pursuant to paragraph 16 of the bilateral agreement referred to above, the level of restraint applicable to Category 46 is being further increased by 4500 dozen. The Government of Portugal has requested that this amount be charged to the level of restraint applicable to Category 46 in the agreement year beginning January 1, 1974.

There is published below a letter of December 5, 1973, from the Chairman of the Committee for the Implementation of Textile Agreements to the Commissioner of Customs directing that the level of restraint applicable to Category 46, produced or manufactured in Portugal and exported to the United States during the twelve-month period which began on January 1, 1973 be further increased by 4500 dozen.

ALAN POLANSKY,
Acting Chairman, Committee for the Implementation of Textile Agreements, and Acting Deputy Assistant Secretary for Resources and Trade Assistance.

COMMITTEE FOR THE IMPLEMENTATION OF TEXTILE AGREEMENTS

Commissioner of Customs
Department of the Treasury
Washington, D.C. 20229

DECEMBER 5, 1973.

Dear Mr. Commissioner:

On December 29, 1972, the Chairman, Committee for the Implementation of Textile Agreements, directed you to prohibit entry during the twelve-month period beginning January 1, 1973 of cotton textiles and cotton textile products in certain specified categories produced or manufactured in Portugal in excess of designated levels of restraint. The Chairman further advised you that the levels of restraint are subject to adjustment.[1] Such adjustment was previously requested in Category 46 by directive of November 19, 1973.

Under the terms of the Long-Term Arrangement Regarding International Trade in Cotton Textiles done at Geneva on February 9, 1962, pursuant to paragraph 16 of the Bilateral Cotton Textile Agreement of November 17, 1970, as amended, between the Government of the United States and Portugal, and in accordance with the procedures of Executive Order 11651 of March 3, 1972, you are directed further to amend, effective as soon as possible, the level of restraint established in the aforesaid directive of November 19, 1973 for cotton textile products in Category 46 to 66,139 dozens.[2]

The actions taken with respect to the Government of Portugal and with respect to imports of cotton textiles and cotton textile products from Portugal have been determined by the Committee for the Implementation of Textile Agreements to involve foreign affairs functions of the United States. Therefore, the directions to the Commissioner of Customs, being necessary to the implementation of such actions fall within the foreign affairs exception to the rule-making provisions of 5 U.S.C. 553. This letter will be published in the FEDERAL REGISTER.

Sincerely,

ALAN POLANSKY,
Acting Chairman, Committee for the Implementation of Textile Agreements, and Acting Deputy Assistant Secretary for Resources and Trade Assistance.

[FR Doc. 73-26109 Filed 12-6-73;8:45 am]

[1] The term "adjustment" refers to those provisions of the Bilateral Cotton Textile Agreement of November 17, 1970, as amended, between the Governments of the United States and Portugal which provide, in part, that within the aggregate and applicable group limits, limits on certain categories may be exceeded by not more than 5 percent; for the limited carryover of shortfalls in certain categories to the next agreement year; and for administrative arrangements.

[2] This level has not been adjusted to reflect any entries made on or after January 1, 1973.

COST OF LIVING COUNCIL

LABOR-MANAGEMENT ADVISORY COMMITTEE

Notice of Closed Meeting

Pursuant to the provisions of the Federal Advisory Committee Act (Pub. L. 92-463, 86 Stat. 770) notice is hereby given that the Labor-Management Advisory Committee, established by section 8 of Executive Order 11695, will meet at 10:00 a.m., December 11, 1973, in the Secretary's Conference Room, Main Treasury Building, Washington, D.C.

The agenda will consist of discussions led by Secretary Shultz of the implications for labor-management relations of a specific document which I have determined falls within exemption (5) of 5 U.S.C. 552(b). The document is a staff paper containing opinions and views on the economic and fiscal outlook for calendar year 1974 including estimates as to the Federal budget for fiscal year 1975.

Since this meeting will consist of discussion of a document which falls within exemption (5) of 5 U.S.C. 552(b), pursuant to authority granted me by Cost of Living Council Order 25, I have determined that the meeting itself falls within exemption (5) of 5 U.S.C. 552(b) and that it is essential to close the meeting to protect the free exchange of internal views and to avoid interference with the operation of the Committee.

Issued in Washington, D.C., on December 5, 1973.

HENRY H. PERRITT, Jr.,
Executive Secretary, Cost of Living Council.

[FR Doc.73-26103 Filed 12-5-73;12:47 pm]

[Cost of Living Council Order No. 46]

DEPUTY ADMINISTRATOR, OFFICE OF HEALTH

Delegation of Authority

Pursuant to the authority vested in me as Administrator, Office of Health, Cost of Living Council by Cost of Living Council Order No. 35, it is hereby ordered as follows:

1. There is delegated to the Deputy Administrator, Operations, Office of Health, authority to:

(a) Make decisions and issue orders with respect to requests by providers of health services for exceptions from the regulations and orders governing price matters;

(b) Consider and decide appeals from decisions of the Internal Revenue Service denying or partially denying exception requests from providers of health services;

(c) Monitor price adjustments disclosed as a result of filings or reports submitted by providers of health services, issue challenges or notices of probable violations as appropriate, and remedial orders to providers of health services, monitor remedial activities and approve compliance actions with respect thereto;

(d) Direct the Internal Revenue Service to conduct investigations to deter-

mine whether persons are in compliance with the regulations and orders of the Cost of Living Council pertaining to providers of health services and supervise those investigations; and

(e) Request information and conduct hearings with respect to functions delegated in this paragraph.

2. In exercising the authority delegated by this Order or redelegated pursuant thereto, officials of the Office of Health shall be governed by the regulations and rulings of the Cost of Living Council and by the policies, procedures, and controls prescribed by the Director of the Cost of Living Council, or his delegate, and by the Administrator, Office of Health.

3. Actions taken by the Deputy Administrator, Operations, Office of Health, under paragraph 1(b) are administratively final. Review of these actions may be sought in the appropriate Federal district court pursuant to section 211 of the Economic Stabilization Act of 1970, as amended (Pub. L. 92-210, 85 Stat. 744).

4. This Order is effective Monday, December 3, 1973.

JOHN D. TWINAME,
Administrator, Office of Health, Cost of Living Council.

[FR Doc.73-26280 Filed 12-6-73;11:35 pm]

ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY

ELECTROBUS DIVISION OF TORK-LINK CORP.

Low-Emission Vehicle Notice of Determination

On June 29, 1973, the Administrator received an application from the Electrobus division of the Tork-Link Corporation to have a battery-powered bus certified for Federal procurement as a low-emission vehicle under section 212 of the Clean Air Act. The Administrator has transmitted the application to the Low-Emission Vehicle Certification Board.

Under the certification scheme provided in section 212, the Administrator is required to determine whether the vehicle is a low-emission vehicle. The basis for this determination is that the vehicle meet the emission standards and other criteria set forth in 40 CFR 85.1602. In making this determination, the Administrator has considered only the amounts of emissions from the vehicle itself, since section 212 does not authorize him to consider pollutant emissions from power plants resulting from the generation of electricity needed to power this vehicle.

Because this vehicle is powered by a propulsion system which does not require exhaust emission devices external to the engine, the Administrator recommends to the Low-Emission Vehicle Certification Board that the vehicle be considered an "inherently low-polluting vehicle" as defined in 40 CFR 85.1601(a)(2). The Board must determine, within 180 days, whether this vehicle is suitable for purchase by the Federal Government in accordance with the criteria specified in section 212(d)(1) of the Clean Air Act.

A copy of the application for certification is available for inspection in the "Public Docket" at the Office of Public Affairs, Room 329, Environmental Protection Agency, 401 M Street, SW., Washington, D.C. 20460. Interested persons who wish to comment on the determination of suitability for purchase of the vehicle by the Federal Government may submit comments (in quadruplicate) to the Administrator, Environmental Protection Agency, attention: Office of Mobile Source Air Pollution Control, Office of Air and Water Programs, 401 M Street, SW., Washington, D.C. 20460. All relevant comments received on or before February 5, 1974, will be considered by the Low-Emission Vehicle Certification Board.

This notice is issued pursuant to section 212(d)(3)(G) of the Clean Air Act, as amended (42 U.S.C. 1857f-6e(d)(3)(G)).

Dated: December 4, 1973.

RUSSELL E. TRAIN,
Administrator.

[FR Doc.73-26042 Filed 12-6-73;8:45 am]

HAZARDOUS MATERIALS ADVISORY COMMITTEE

Notice of Meeting

Pursuant to Pub. L. 92-463, notice is hereby given that a meeting of the Hazardous Materials Advisory Committee will be held at 8:30 a.m., December 17-18, 1973, in Room 3307, Waterside Mall, 401 M Street SW., Washington, D.C.

This is a regularly scheduled meeting of the Committee. The agenda includes Staff Director's Report, review of marine research programs in ocean dumping, oil spills and heavy metals, discussion of the technology transfer program, review of a strategy document, discussion of the health effects of oxidation catalysts including platinum, asbestos studies, progress on the hexachlorobenzene study, member items of interest and comments by program liaison representatives.

The meeting is open to the public. Any member of the public wishing to attend or participate or to present a paper should contact Dr. Winfred F. Malone, Acting Staff Director, Hazardous Materials Advisory Committee, (703) 557-7720.

A. C. TRAKOWSKI,
Acting Assistant Administrator for Research and Development.

DECEMBER 2, 1973.

[FR Doc.73-26039 Filed 12-6-73;8:45 am]

WYOMING

Notice of Request To Use Sodium Cyanide (M-44), Sodium Monofluoroacetate and Strychnine for Controlling Coyotes

The State of Wyoming has requested an exemption under section 18 of the Federal Insecticide, Fungicide, and Rodenticide Act of 1947, as amended by the Federal Environmental Pesticide Control Act (Pub. L. 92-516) to use products containing sodium cyanide, sodium monofluoroacetate and strychnine for a coyote control program to reduce sheep and cattle losses.

Any Federal agency or other interested party may comment in writing with respect to this request. This notice does not indicate a decision by this Agency on the request. Please address comments to the Director, Registration Division, Office of Pesticide Programs, EPA, Washington, D.C. 20460, and refer to predator control program.

CHARLES L. ELKINS,
Acting Assistant Administrator for Hazardous Materials Control.

DECEMBER 3, 1973.

[FR Doc.73-26041 Filed 12-6-73;8:45 am]

[FIFRA Docket No. 294]

USE OF CERTAIN RODENTICIDES

Determination and Order

On June 19, 1973, the Acting Administrator issued a Notice of Intent to hold a hearing, together with a Statement of Issues, pursuant to section 6(b)(2) of the Federal Insecticide, Fungicide and Rodenticide Act, as amended (86 Stat. 973; "the Act"), to determine "whether or not the use of products containing calcium cyanide, strychnine, sodium monofluoroacetate (1080) and sodium cyanide as rodenticides in field, home or urban areas, should be cancelled or amended." At the time this notice was issued, it was thought that sufficient valid scientific and economic data existed for the Administrative Law Judge, and ultimately, the Administrator, to render an informed decision regarding the questions posed in the Statement of Issues. On August 28, 1973, in order to facilitate the gathering of this data, informal hearings were announced under section 21(b) of the Act and subsequently held in September and October, 1973, at Washington, D.C., Denver, Colorado, Dallas, Texas and Sacramento, California. All interested parties were invited to produce relevant evidence. Many persons responded to these hearings and presented whatever facts and opinions they had regarding the issues in question. However, a review of the testimony has made it apparent that hard scientific data needed to respond to the Statement of Issues does not now exist.

This Agency has also conducted an intensive review to assess the adequacy of existing evidence to support an informed decision regarding either the continued use or the cancellation of the above compounds as rodenticides. Based upon both the informal hearings and the Agency review, EPA has concluded that sufficient valid scientific and economic data do not exist at this time to justify the continuation of the procedures begun by the June 19, 1973 notice.

Accordingly, I hereby withdraw the Notice of Intent to hold a hearing and thereby withdraw the proceedings initiated by that Notice. In order to develop the data needed to address the issues, EPA will undertake field studies on the use of these compounds as rodenticides.

Further information concerning the content and schedule of the studies will be obtainable shortly from the Public Affairs Office, Environmental Protection Agency, 401 M Street, Southwest, Washington, D.C.

Since the Administrator is presently ineligible under Chapter 5, Title 5 of the United States Code, to discuss this proceeding with the Agency staff, this action is taken over my signature.

I hereby order the Hearing Clerk to notify all parties to these proceedings of this action.

Dated: December 3, 1973.

JOHN QUARLES,
Deputy Administrator.

[FR Doc.73-26040 Filed 12-6-73;8:45 am]

SHELL CHEMICAL CO.

Notice of Establishment of Temporary Tolerances

Correction

In FR Doc. 73-23970 appearing on page 31203 in the issue for Monday, November 12, 1973, the ninth line in the second column now reading "These temporary tolerances expire", should read "These temporary tolerances expire November 6, 1974."

FEDERAL POWER COMMISSION

[Docket No. RP73-98]

ALGONQUIN GAS TRANSMISSION CO.

Order Permitting Late Intervention

NOVEMBER 30, 1973.

On October 19, 1973, Texas Eastern Transmission Corporation (Texas Eastern) filed an untimely petition to intervene in the above-captioned docket.

In support of its petition, Texas Eastern states that it has entered into an agreement to purchase synthetic natural gas from Algonquin Gas Transmission Company (Algonquin) and Algonquin in Docket No. CP74-5 has requested authorization to make such sale. Therefore, Texas Eastern submits it has a direct and substantial interest in this proceeding and intervention by Texas Eastern will not delay the orderly disposition of this proceeding.

Because of the potential effect this proceeding may have on Texas Eastern, we shall allow Texas Eastern to intervene out of time.

The Commission finds. The participation of Texas Eastern may be in the public interest.

The Commission orders. (A) Texas Eastern is permitted to intervene in this proceeding subject to the rules and regulations of the Commission and the hearing procedures set forth in the Commission order of October 12, 1973: *Provided, however,* That participation of said intervenor shall be limited to matters affecting asserted rights and interests specifically set forth in its petition to intervene: *And provided, further,* The admission of such intervenor shall not be construed as recognition by the Commission that it might be aggrieved by any order or orders entered in this proceeding.

(B) The Secretary shall cause prompt publicaton of this order in the FEDERAL REGISTER.

By the Commission.

[SEAL] KENNETH F. PLUMB,
Secretary.

[FR Doc.73-25947 Filed 12-6-73;8:45 am]

[Docket No. RP74-33]

BACA GAS GATHERING SYSTEM, INC.

Order Rejecting Tariff Sheets

NOVEMBER 30, 1973.

On November 5, 1973, Baca Gas Gathering System, Inc. (Baca) tendered for filing amendments to Original Volumes 1 and 2 of its FPC Gas Tariff.[1] The revised tariff sheets would reflect an increase in jurisdictional revenues of $131,000 annually. Baca requested waiver of the notice requirements of § 154.51 of the Commission's regulations to allow the proposed increase to become effective on December 1, 1973.

In support of the proposed increase in rates, Baca states that it presently pays its suppliers the minimum rate allowed in the Rocky Mountain Area as established by the Commission in Opinion No. 658 in Docket No. R-425.[2] Baca now desires to increase the price it pays for natural gas to the maximum allowed by that decision. Such an increase, the company contends, will encourage producers to rework old wells and drill additional wells on land dedicated under old contracts. Baca states that these new supplies are necessary in light of the existing conditions of diminishing natural gas supplies. The new price to the producers would not be effective until at least half of the first year's anticipated increase in income to those producers has been expended on reworking old wells and/or drilling new wells.

Baca submitted letters from its suppliers with its application indicating willingness on the part of the producers to rework old wells and begin new wells once the price increase became effective. Our review of Baca's proposal and the material and data in support thereof reveals, however, that none of these producers-suppliers has applied to this Commission to increase their price of wellhead gas, as is their right under Opinion No. 658 discussed above. At such time as the producer-suppliers seek Commission approval to raise their prices, Baca may file concurrently to increase its rates. At that time, the Commission will determine the appropriate action to be taken on both proposals. We are therefore rejecting Baca's filing at this time, without prejudice to Baca making a rate increase filing at the appropriate time as discussed above. Since we are rejecting the proposed tariff sheets, no action is required on Baca's request of waiver of § 154.51 of our regulations.

The Commission finds. (1) The proposed revised tariff sheets of Baca are not appropriate for filing at this time as no price increase has been granted the affected producer-suppliers. The proposed tariff sheets should therefore be rejected.

(2) Good cause does not exist to waive § 154.51 of the Commission's regulations.

The Commission orders. (A) The proposed tariff sheets, as described above, filed by Baca on November 5, 1973 are not accepted for filing and are rejected.

(B) Baca's request for waiver of § 154.51 of the Commission's regulations is denied.

(C) The Secretary shall cause prompt publication of this order in the FEDERAL REGISTER.

By the Commission.

[SEAL] KENNETH F. PLUMB,
Secretary.

[FR Doc.73-25948 Filed 12-6-73;8:45 am]

[Docket No. RP74-38]

CONSOLIDATED GAS SUPPLY CORP.

Notice of Change in Rates

NOVEMBER 30, 1973.

Take notice that on November 7, 1973, Consolidated Gas Supply Corporation (Consolidated) tendered for filing the following Tariff Sheets to its FPC Gas Tariff, Original Volume No. 3:

Tariff sheets transmitted:	*Rate schedules involved*
Second Revised Sheets Nos. 80 through 83	F-8
Third Revised Sheets Nos. 143 through 146	F-10
Second Revised Sheets Nos. 228 through 231	F-12
Second Revised Sheets Nos. 440 and 441, and Third Revised Sheets Nos. 442 and 443	F-17

Consolidated states that the tendered sheets embody changes to the above-listed Rate Schedules which increase the rates to be charged thereunder by the one-half cent (0.5¢) per Mcf upward adjustment in the base area rates expressly provided for gas sold under contracts dated prior to October 1, 1968, such higher rates being permitted by the contract provisions of the said Schedules.

Furthermore, Consolidated submits that the higher rates be permitted to become effective as of October 1, 1973, in accordance with the Commission's July 17, 1971, Southern Louisiana Area rate decision, notwithstanding the submission of the tariff sheets involved subsequent to their proposed effective date.

Any person desiring to be heard or to protest said filing should file a petition to intervene or protest with the Federal

[1] Third Revised Sheet No. 3A, Volume I.
Fourth Revised Sheet No. 22, Volume I.
Second Revised Sheet No. 22-A, Volume I.
Second Revised Sheet No. 3A, Volume II.
First Revised Sheet No. 29, Volume II.
First Revised Sheet No. 30, Volume II.

[2] Issued April 11, 1973.

Power Commission, 825 North Capitol Street, NE., Washington, D.C. 20426, in accordance with §§ 1.8 and 1.10 of the Commission's rules of practice and procedure (18 CFR 1.8, 1.10). All such petitions or protests should be filed on or before December 17, 1973. Protests will be considered by the Commission in determining the appropriate action to be taken, but will not serve to make protestants parties to the proceeding. Any person wishing to become a party must file a petition to intervene. Copies of this filing are on file with the Commission and are available for public inspection.

KENNETH F. PLUMB,
Secretary.

[FR Doc.73-25951 Filed 12-6-73;8:45 am]

[Docket No. CP73-336]

EAST TENNESSEE NATURAL GAS CO.

Notice of Proposed Changes in FPC Gas Tariff

NOVEMBER 30, 1973.

Take notice that East Tennessee Natural Gas Company (East Tennessee), on November 12, 1973, tendered for filing proposed changes in its FPC Gas Tariff, Sixth Revised Volume No. 1, to be effective November 12, 1973.

The proposed changes comprise an SWS Rate Schedule, a Supplemental Winter Service which East Tennessee will provide to its existing customers, and changes to the General Terms and Conditions necessitated by such rate schedule. The Commission, by its order dated October 31, 1973, in Docket No. CP73-336, authorized, pursuant to a temporary certificate, the rendition of the aforementioned Supplemental Winter Service as proposed by East Tennessee at that docket.

A copy of the filing was served upon all jurisdictional customers and interested State Commissions.

Any person desiring to be heard or to protest said filing should file a petition to intervene or protest with the Federal Power Commission, 825 North Capitol Street, NE., Washington, D.C. 20426, in accordance with §§ 1.8 and 1.10 of the Commission's rules of practice and procedure (18 CFR 1.8, 1.10). All such petitions or protests should be filed on or before December 18, 1973. Protests will be considered by the Commission in determining the appropriate action to be taken, but will not serve to make protestants parties to the proceeding. Any person wishing to become a party must file a petition to intervene. Copies of this filing are on file with the Commission and are available for public inspection.

KENNETH F. PLUMB,
Secretary.

[FR Doc.73-25954 Filed 12-6-73;8:45 am]

[Docket No. E-8153]

ILLINOIS POWER CO.

Notice of Postponement of Prehearing Conference

NOVEMBER 30, 1973.

On November 30, 1973, Staff Counsel filed a motion for postponement of the prehearing conference scheduled for December 3, 1973, by notice issued October 31, 1973. The motion states that all parties concur in the request.

Upon consideration, notice is hereby given that the prehearing conference is postponed to January 14, 1973, at 10:00 a.m. (e.s.t.) in a Hearing Room of the Federal Power Commission, 825 North Capitol Street NE., Washington, D.C.

MARY B. KIDD,
Acting Secretary.

[FR Doc.73-25955 Filed 12-6-73;8:45 am]

[Docket No. E-8424]

JERSEY CENTRAL POWER AND LIGHT CO.

Order Accepting for Filing and Suspending Proposed Electric Rate Tariff, Providing for Revision of Fuel Adjustment Clause, Permitting Interventions, Providing for Hearing and Establishing Procedures

NOVEMBER 30, 1973.

On September 3, 1973, as completed on October 31, 1973, Jersey Central Power and Light Company (Jersey Central) tendered for filing a proposed tariff for all-requirements wholesale service. The proposed tariff would supersede the presently effective rate schedules for such service by Jersey Central to five municipals [1] and a rate schedule under which New Jersey Power and Light Company, now merged into Jersey Central, served a cooperative.[2]

Jersey Central stated that the purpose of the proposed rate filing is to raise the present wholesale rates, which became effective as a rate decrease in 1966, to a level more nearly reflecting cost increases experienced by Jersey Central in recent years. Jersey Central proposed an increase in wholesale revenue of $1,244,142 (58 percent) on the basis of a 1972 test year, as adjusted. Jersey Central stated that this increase will still provide revenues below its actual cost of service, and requested an effective date of November 28, 1973.

Notice of the tendered filing was issued on October 19, 1973, with protests, notices of intervention, and petitions to intervene due on or before November 2, 1973. One joint petition to intervene, complaint and request for suspension was received on November 2, 1973, from the five Municipals listed in footnote 1. The Municipals request that the effective date for the proposed rates be suspended for five months stating that the Municipals have had insufficient time to establish new rates for their customers, a procedure which they stated would require at least two months. In addition, the Municipals complain of the proposed rate of return, certain proposed provisions for deferred taxes, the proposed provisions for state revenue taxes and proposed allocation of costs to classes of customers by the use of procedures which the Municipals state have been repudiated by this Commission.[3]

Our review of Jersey Central's proposed filing discloses an applicability clause for the proposed Rate RP which may not be in the public interest. The clause reads in relevant part: "This schedule shall be applicable to electric service purchased from (Jersey Central) by other electric light and power systems taking their entire requirements from (Jersey Central) for resale to ultimate consumers served from their respective distribution systems, * * * ". On March 12, 1973, this Commission issued an order in *Carolina Power and Light Company*, Docket No. E-7918, in which we ordered an investigation under section 206 of the Federal Power Act into the reasonableness of the applicability clause contained in that settlement agreement. The clause in that case contained language similar to that contained in Jersey Central's proposed Rate RP; accordingly, the proposed clause in the instant filing shall be considered in the formal hearing hereinbelow ordered.

We also note that the proposed tariff provides for a discount equal to the actual tax saving to Jersey Central for those customers who effect a tax saving to Jersey Central by virtue of being exempt from the gross receipt and franchise tax on their sales. This tax factor is also present in Jersey Central's new fuel adjustment clause hereinbelow discussed. In order to maintain the currently effective rates on file in the Commission records, Jersey Central shall be required to advise this Commission of any change in the tax factor.

Finally, we note that Jersey Central has tendered in its proposed tariff a fuel adjustment clause providing for an adjustment in the energy charge equivalent to changes from a specified base, in the cost of nuclear and fossil fuels, associated with Jersey Central's own generation and net interchanges. Our review of this proposed clause indicates that it is inconsistent with § 35.14 of the Commission's regulations under the Federal Power Act because nuclear fuel costs are included. Accordingly, we shall require Jersey Central to file a new fuel adjustment clause which properly complies with § 35.14 and excludes nuclear costs.

Our review of Jersey Central's proposed rate tariff indicates that there are certain issues raised which may require development in an evidentiary hearing. The proposed increases in rates and charges have not been shown to be just and reasonable and may be unjust, unreasonable, unduly discriminatory or preferential or otherwise unlawful. Accordingly, the proposed tariff shall be accepted for filing, suspended for a period of one day, subject to refund, and set for hearing.

The Commission finds. (1) It is necessary and proper in the public interest and to aid in the enforcement of the provisions of the Federal Power Act that the Commission enter upon a hearing concerning the lawfulness of the rates

[1] The Boroughs of Butler (FPC No. 25), Lavallette (FPC No. 26), Madison (FPC No. 27), Pemberton (FPC No. 28), and Seaside Heights (FPC No. 29), hereinafter Municipals.

[2] Sussex Rural Electric Cooperative (FPC No. 21), hereinafter, Cooperative.

[3] Municipals here cite *Union Electric Company*, Docket No. E-7525, Opinion No. 609, 47 FPC 144 (January 24, 1972).

and charges contained in Jersey Central's proposed FPC Electric Tariff Original Volume No. 1.

(2) The participation of the above-named petitioners may be in the public interest.

The Commission orders. (A) Pursuant to authority of the Federal Power Act, particularly sections 205 and 206 thereof, and the Commission's rules and regulations (18 CFR Ch. I), a hearing shall be held on February 12, 1974, at 10 a.m., e.s.t., in a hearing room of the Federal Power Commission, 825 North Capitol Street, NE., Washington, D.C. 20426 for the purpose of cross-examination concerning the lawfulness and reasonableness of the rates and charges in Jersey Central's FPC Electric Tariff Original Volume No. 1.

(B) On or before January 4, 1974, the Commission Staff shall serve its prepared testimony and exhibits. Any intervenor evidence shall be filed on or before January 18, 1974. Any rebuttal evidence by Jersey Central shall be served on or before February 1, 1974.

(C) A Presiding Administrative Law Judge to be designated by the Chief Administrative Law Judge for that purpose (see Delegation of Authority, 18 CFR 3.5(d)), shall preside at the hearing in this proceeding, shall prescribe relevant procedural matters not herein provided, and shall control the proceeding in accordance with the policies expressed in the Commission's rules of practice and procedure.

(D) Pending hearing and a decision thereon, Jersey Central's proposed FPC Electric Tariff No. 1 tendered on September 28, 1973, as completed on October 31, 1973, is hereby accepted for filing, suspended for one day, and the use thereof deferred until December 2, 1973.

(E) Jersey Central shall advise this Commission of any change in billing due to a change in gross receipt and franchise taxes, and the surtax thereon.

(F) Within 20 days of the issuance of this order, Jersey Central shall file revised tariff sheets reflecting a revised fuel adjustment clause excluding the nuclear fuel costs as prescribed by § 35.14 of the Commission's regulations under the Federal Power Act.

(G) The above-named petitioners are hereby permitted to intervene in this proceeding, subject to the rules and regulations of the Commission: *Provided, however,* That the participation of such intervenors shall be limited to matters affecting rights and interests specifically set forth in the respective petitions to intervene, and *Provided, further,* That the admission of such intervenors shall not be construed as recognition by the Commission that they, or any of them, might be aggrieved because of any order or orders issued by the Commission in the proceeding.

(H) The Secretary of the Commission shall cause prompt publication of this order to be made in the FEDERAL REGISTER.

By the Commission.

[SEAL] KENNETH F. PLUMB, *Secretary.*

[FR Doc.73-25877 Filed 12-6-73;8:45 am]

[Docket Nos. E-7700, et al.]

NEW ENGLAND POWER CO.

Order Approving Rate Settlement

NOVEMBER 30, 1973.

On April 19, 1973, the Presiding Administrative Law Judge certified to the Commission a proposed settlement in the above entitled proceedings together with the record relating thereto. With one exception, hereinafter discussed, the settlement would resolve all issues in these consolidated proceedings, and would result in a reduction of approximately $1,000,000 in NEPCO's presently effective rates.

Docket No. E-7700 involves a general wholesale rate increase proposal filed by NEPCO on January 14, 1972. By order issued on March 7, 1972, NEPCO's proposed increased rates were set for hearing and pending decision thereon were permitted to become effective on April 6, thirty days after the date of issuance of the order.

Docket No. E-7729 involves the filing by NEPCO on February 11, 1972, of rate schedules applicable to service rendered by NEPCO to certain of its Massachusetts Municipal customers. These rate schedules provide for the final transmission by NEPCO of the municipals' power entitlements from the New Brunswick Power Commission. Concurrently, NEPCO filed amended primary resale service contracts to reflect partial requirements service to supplement the New Brunswick entitlements as well as the customers' own generation. By order issued May 19, 1972, the proposed new rate schedules and amendments were permitted to become effective as of August 1, 1971, the effective date of the customers' New Brunswick entitlements, and Docket No. E-7729 was consolidated with Docket No. E-7700 for purposes of hearing and decision.

Docket No. E-7800 involves the filing by NEPCO on November 1, 1972, of additional subtransmission contracts and amendments to primary resale service contracts as required to provide for the subtransmission by NEPCO of power from the Maine and Vermont Yankee nuclear power projects as to which the Massachusetts municipal customers have entitlements. The contract amendments relate to the additional primary service to be rendered by NEPCO supplementing the various entitlements of its customers to power from other sources. These subtransmission contracts and resale contract amendments were accepted for filing and permitted to become effective by order of the Commission issued on March 27, 1973.[1] Said order also consolidated Docket No. E-7800 with Docket Nos. E-7700 and E-7729 for purposes of hearing and decision.

Subsequent to the service of evidence by the principal parties to this proceeding (including NEPCO, the Massachusetts customers, the staff, and the Rhode Island Consumers' Council and Division of Public Utilities) and prior to the convening of formal rate hearings, the parties entered into discussions resulting in the settlement agreement now before us. The settlement agreement was received in the record by the Presiding Judge on March 23, 1973, together with comments of the parties with respect thereto. The settlement and complete record relating thereto was formally certified by the Presiding Judge on April 19, 1973. Notice of the proposed settlement agreement was issued on May 30, 1973. No additional comments have been received pursuant to the notice.

Article I of the proposed settlement agreement provides for resolution of those issues relating to NEPCO's general rate increase application in Docket No. E-7700, and in addition provides for certain modifications in existing terms and conditions of service including high voltage discount, subtransmission charge, adjustment for losses, and minimum demands.

The settlement provides for separate rates for the period April 6, 1972, through December 31, 1972, and from and after January 1, 1973. Such rates are predicted upon a settlement cost of service of $177,692,000 for year 1972, and $180,643,000 for year 1973. These costs include a return on net investment applicable to 1972 of 7.61 percent, and a return of 7.83 percent for 1973. The equity allowance in both cases is 11.75 percent.

By agreement of the parties, the settlement rates to be in effect during 1972 are fully compensatory in relation to the 1972 cost-of-service, while the rates to be in effect during 1973 yield approximately $400,000 less than the 1973 cost-of-service. The settlement costs-of-service, capitalizations, and returns are set forth in Appendix A hereto. We find the settlement costs, returns, and resulting rates to be reasonable, and they are accordingly approved.

Article I further states that the proposed settlement rates do not reflect the reduction in federal income taxes resulting from the deduction for tax purposes of actual interest charges allocated to NEPCO's investment in construction work in progress. The settlement does not resolve the issue of the proper accounting and rate treatment for interest related to construction, and his issue remains for decision by the Commission at a later date. The settlement provides that the parties shall have the opportunity to submit briefs on this issue within 30 days from the date of any settlement order issued, and that reply briefs may be filed within 21 days thereafter. The settlement includes as Appendix C, a stipulation of facts concerning the reserved issue. The stipulation specifies the potential reduction in federal income taxes to be $2.7 million, and provides for refund of this amount with interest in the event of a Commission ruling adverse to the company.

Article II of the settlement agreement provides for various modifications in the

[1] The November 1, 1972 filing contained two sets of subtransmission contracts and resale contract amendments. The first set became effective without refund obligation on November 30, 1972, the date on which the Vermont Yankee plant became operational. The second set superseded the first set and became effective, subject to refund, on April 1, 1973.

existing contractual arrangements between NEPCO and its customers to reflect changes in circumstances by which the customers have acquired part of their power requirements from sources other than NEPCO. As previously noted, a number of the Massachusetts Municipal customers have obtained entitlements from the New Brunswick (Canada) Electric Power Commission, and a number of the customers also have entitlements from the Vermont and Maine Yankee nuclear power projects. These customers have therefore become partial rather than full requirements customers of NEPCO. The amendments to the pre-existing contracts provide for the new partial requirements service by NEPCO to the Massachusetts Municipal customers and also for the transmission by NEPCO of the customers outside power entitlements. Contracts covering the partial requirements and subtransmission services to be provided by NEPCO have been heretofore filed in Docket Nos. E-7729 and E-7800. The settlement amends in certain specific and detailed respects the partial requirements and subtransmission contracts presently on file in Docket Nos. E-7729 and E-7800. The settlement provides that the Article II revisions shall become effective on December 1, 1972, and that any resulting refunds shall be made from that date. A review of the pertinent settlement provisions (sections 2.01 through 2.13) indicates that the settlement terms and provisions contained in Article II are reasonable and should be approved.

Article III of the settlement agreement provides for the incorporation of NEPCO's primary service and transmission rate schedules into tariff form. Article IV specifies certain conditions and disclaimers by the parties giving effect to the resolution of these consolidated proceedings through settlement rather than by means of formal litigation.

In addition to the above enumerated provisions, the settlement also provides for the modification of NEPCO's fuel cost adjustment clause to conform with the requirements of Commission Order No. 633, New England Power Company, Docket No. E-7541.

Based on our review of the record in these proceedings, including the settlement agreement itself, the filings, documents and pleadings submitted by the parties, and the transcript of the conferences which have been held in the proceedings, we conclude that the settlement agreement represents a reasonable resolution of the issues in the proceedings in the public interest, and that accordingly the settlement should be approved.

The Commission finds. The settlement agreement certified by the Presiding Judge to the Commission in these dockets on April 19, 1973, should be approved and made effective, as hereinafter ordered.

The Commission orders. (A) The settlement agreement certified by the Presiding Judge to the Commission in these dockets on April 19, 1973, is hereby approved and made effective.

(B) Within 30 days from the date of this order, NEPCO shall file with the Commission revised tariff sheets in conformity with the terms of the settlement agreement as herein approved.

(C) Initial Briefs on the reserved issue shall be filed within 30 days from the date of this order. Reply briefs shall be filed within 51 days from the date of this order.

(D) Nothing contained in this order shall relieve NEPCO of any responsibility imposed by the Economic Stabilization Act of 1970 (Pub. L. 91-379, 84 Stat. 799, as amended by Pub. L. 92-15, 85 Stat. 38), or by any Executive Order or rules and regulations promulgated pursuant to such Act.

(E) This order is without prejudice to any findings or orders which have been made or which will hereafter be made by the Commission, and is without prejudice to any claims or contentions which may be made by the Commission, its staff, or any party or person affected by this order, in any proceeding now pending or hereinafter instituted by or against NEPCO or any person or party.

(F) In order to verify NEPCO's proposed fuel adjustment clause for the Commission's files and records, NEPCO shall submit, within 60 days from the date of this order, a statement setting forth in detail the manner of implementation of its fuel adjustment clause.

(G) The Secretary shall cause prompt publication of this order in the FEDERAL REGISTER.

By the Commission.

[SEAL] KENNETH F. PLUMB,
Secretary.

SUMMARY COST OF SERVICE APPLICABLE TO NEW ENGLAND POWER COMPANY PRIMARY SALES FOR RESALE

(Dollars in thousands)

Cost item	1972	1973
Operation and maintenance	$49,602	$49,602
Purchased power	32,291	32,291
Integrated G&T	31,196	31,196
Depreciation	9,439	9,439
R & D	596	895
Taxes other than income	15,836	15,836
Subtransmission	186	186
Return	[1] 27,774	[2] 28,595
F. I. T	9,455	11,074
Franchise tax	1,317	1,529
Cost of service	177,692	180,643

[1] 7.61 percent.
[2] 7.83 percent.

NEW ENGLAND POWER COMPANY CAPITALIZATION AND RATES OF RETURN

	Millions	Percent	Cost	Weighted cost
1972				
Long term debt	245.0	50.81	5.56	2.83
Preferred stock	71.0	14.73	6.34	.93
Deferred taxes	8.0	1.66	0.00	
Equity	158.2	32.80	11.75	3.85
Total				7.61
1973				
Long term debt	245.0	46.48	5.56	2.58
Preferred stock	86.0	16.32	6.50	1.06
Deferred taxes	8.0	1.51	0.00	
Equity	188.2	35.69	11.75	4.19
Total				7.83

[FR Doc.73-25876 Filed 12-6-73;8:45 am]

[Docket No. E-8125]

KANSAS POWER AND LIGHT CO.

Notice of Compliance Filing

NOVEMBER 30, 1973.

Take notice that on October 9, 1973, the Kansas Power and Light Company (KPL) filed with the Commission executed contracts covering sales of electric power and energy to seventeen cooperative customers in purported compliance with the Commission's order of September 19, 1973, in this docket.

Any person desiring to be heard regarding said filing should file comments with the Federal Power Commission, 825 North Capitol Street, Washington, D.C. 20426, in accordance with §§ 1.8 and 1.10 of the Commission's rules of practice and procedure (18 CFR 1.8, 1.10). All such comments should be filed on or before December 14, 1973. Copies of this filing are on file with the Commission and are available for public inspection.

KENNETH F. PLUMB,
Secretary.

[FR Doc.73-25953 Filed 12-6-73;8:45 am]

[Docket No. RP73-6]

MISSISSIPPI RIVER TRANSMISSION CORP.

Notice Postponing Procedural Dates; Correction

NOVEMBER 27, 1973.

In the notice postponing procedural dates, issued November 21, 1973, and published in the FEDERAL REGISTER November 30, 1973, 38 FR 33125, in the heading, change: "Rates" to "Dates".

KENNETH F. PLUMB,
Secretary.

[FR Doc.73-25956 Filed 12-6-73;8:45 am]

[Docket Nos. E-8465, etc.]

NEW YORK STATE ELECTRIC AND GAS CORP.

Order Accepting for Filing and Suspending Proposed Rate Schedule Change, Consolidating Proceedings, Providing for Hearing and Establishing Procedures

NOVEMBER 30, 1973.

On October 29, 1973, New York State Electric and Gas Corporation (NYSEG) tendered for filing an agreement dated October 19, 1973, providing for the sale of 50 MW of power and associated energy to Central Hudson Gas and Electric Corporation (Hudson) during the period October 28, 1973, to April 27, 1974.[1]

The new agreement is a successor to Supplement No. 3 to New York State Electric and Gas Corporation, F.P.C. No. 55, which was suspended for one day and set for hearing by our Order issued October 10, 1973, in Docket No. E-8389. That filing differs from the current filing in that it provided for 23 MW for one month and included an energy charge of 6.6 mills as opposed to the current proposal of 7.6 mills. As to all other items, the two agreements are identical. As such, the new agreement, as did its pre-

[1] Designated as New York Electric and Gas Corporation, Rate Schedule F.P.C. No. 57.

decessor, represents a change in service within the meaning of section 205 of the Federal Power Act. On November 8, 1973, NYSEG tendered for filing in Docket No. E-8482 a Notice of Cancellation of Rate Schedule F.P.C. No. 55 to be effective as of September 30, 1973.

NYSEG requests waiver of the Commission's applicable regulations to permit the new agreement to become effective on October 28, 1973.

In this filing, as in the last, the proposed charges include an overall rate of return on investment of 8.3 percent and a return on common equity of 13 percent. As we stated in our previous order, these returns appear excessive and a hearing will be necessary to determine the just and reasonable rate of return to be utilized. We shall grant NYSEG's request for waiver of the 30 day filing requirement in § 35.3 of the Commission's regulations. We shall also accept the agreement for filing, and suspend it for one day until October 29, 1973, subject to refund. Moreover, the fact that certain issues of law and fact in this proceeding are substantially the same in Docket No. E-8389 makes it appropriate that these proceedings be consolidated with those set forth in our order issued October 10, 1973, in Docket No. E-8389.

The Commission finds. (1) It is necessary and proper in the public interest and in carrying out the provisions of the Federal Power Act that the Commission enter upon a hearing concerning the lawfulness of the rates and charges of NYSEG's proposed rate schedule, and that such schedule be suspended, and the use thereof deferred as hereinafter ordered.

(2) Good cause exists to consolidate New York State Electric and Gas Corporation Docket Nos. E-8465 and E-8389.

The Commission orders. (A) The proceedings in New York State Electric and Gas Corporation Docket Nos. E-8389 and E-8465 are hereby consolidated for purposes of hearing and decision and are to follow the procedures and procedural dates set forth in our order in Docket No. E-8389 issued October 10, 1973, or as subsequently amended upon motion of the parties or otherwise.

(B) Pending such hearing and decision thereon, NYSEG's proposed rate schedule in Docket No. E-8465 is hereby accepted for filing, suspended for one day, and its use deferred until October 29, 1973.

(C) The request by NYSEG for waiver of the Commission's filing requirements is granted.

(D) The Notice of Cancellation filed on November 8, 1973 in Docket No. E-8482 of NYSEG Rate Schedule F.P.C. No. 55 and the supplements thereto is accepted for filing effective September 30, 1973.

(E) The Secretary shall cause prompt publication of this order in the FEDERAL REGISTER.

By the Commission.

[SEAL] KENNETH F. PLUMB, *Secretary.*

[FR Doc.73-25950 Filed 12-6-73;8:45 am]

[Docket No. E-7742]

PUBLIC SERVICE CO. OF NEW HAMPSHIRE

Notice of Postponement of Hearing

NOVEMBER 30, 1973.

On October 25, 1973 an order was issued fixing a hearing in the above-designated matter for December 4, 1973.

Notice is hereby given that due to the illness of Administrative Law Judge Eisenberg, the hearing is postponed to December 18, 1973, at 10:00 a.m. (e.s.t.) in a Hearing Room of the Federal Power Commission at 825 North Capitol Street, NE., Washington, D.C.

KENNETH F. PLUMB, *Secretary.*

[FR Doc.73-25949 Filed 12-6-73;8:45 am]

[Docket No. RP74-6, etc.]

SOUTHERN NATURAL GAS CO.

Order Setting New Procedural Dates

NOVEMBER 30, 1973.

On November 9, 1973, Southern Natural Gas Company (Southern) filed a motion to defer the procedural dates fixed by a Commission order issued October 31, 1973 in Docket No. RP74-6, et al. The motion further requested that a prehearing conference be held on December 4, 1973 at which time the assigned Administrative Law Judge would establish new procedural dates. The motion to defer procedural dates was justified by the assertion that progress was being made among the parties to arrive at a mutually satisfactory settlement agreement, at least with regards to some issues.

On November 21, 1973, Columbia Nitrogen Corporation and Nipro, Inc. filed a response to the above motion of Southern opposing the deferring of procedural dates. Their stated reason for such opposition was that they will allegedly continue to suffer irreparable injury unless and until Southern implements a curtailment plan in accordance with Commission Order No. 467-B. Consequently, they oppose anything that would delay that implementation.

On November 23, 1973, a notice issued from the Commission Secretary's office fixing a prehearing conference and deferring procedural dates.

The Commission finds. Good cause exists to defer procedural dates in the expectation of concrete results of settlement negotiations, however new procedural dates must be fixed to assure that there will be no unreasonable delays in these proceedings.

The Commission orders. (A) On or before December 13, 1973, each party shall file and serve on all other parties a statement identifying those issues, and the appropriate transcript page numbers where they may be found, that will not be fully presented by that party because the record in Docket No. RP72-74 contains evidence which will be relied upon to present its position on those issues. On or before December 21, 1973, Southern shall file, to the extent not covered by the Docket No. RP72-74 record, its testimony and exhibits comprising its case-in-chief in support of its position on all issues involved in this proceeding including, but not limited to, the provision to eliminate the demand charge adjustment, the provision to eliminate grouping of delivery points for deliveries during the summer period, the provision that the requirements reflected in Index of Requirements for priority-of-service in categories (1) through (5) shall never exceed a purchaser's applicable contract demand, and the propriety of its filed end-use data and Index of Requirements. Also, on or before December 21, 1973, all parties sponsoring variances or opposition to the curtailment plan filed by Southern in Docket No. RP74-6 shall file and serve upon all other parties their testimony and exhibits in support of their position.

(B) Pursuant to the authority of the Natural Gas Act, particularly sections 4 and 15 thereof, the Commission's rules of practice and procedure, and the regulations under the Natural Gas Act—18 CFR, Ch. I—a public hearing shall be held commencing January 2, 1974 at 10:00 a.m. e.s.t. in a hearing room of the Federal Power Commission, 825 North Capitol Street, NE. Washington, D.C. 20426 concerning the lawfulness of the proposed changes in the General Terms and Conditions of Southern's FPC Gas Tariff, Sixth Revised Volume, No. 1.

(C) The prehearing conference scheduled for December 4, 1973 in this docket is rendered unnecessary by this order and is therefore cancelled.

By the Commission.

[SEAL] KENNETH F. PLUMB, *Secretary.*

[FR Doc.73-25946 Filed 12-6-73;8:45 am]

[Docket No. RP71-11 etc.]

TENNESSEE NATURAL GAS LINES

Notice of Proposed Changes in FPC Gas Tariff

NOVEMBER 30, 1973.

Take notice that Tennessee Natural Gas Lines, Inc. (Tennessee Natural), on November 15, 1973, tendered for filing, Sixth Revised Sheet No. PGA-1 and First Revised Sheet No. PGA-2 of the FPC Gas Tariff, First Revised Volume No. 1. Tennessee Natural states that the revised tariff sheets are to be effective January 1, 1974.

According to Tennessee Natural, the tariff sheets reflect an increase of two cents per Mcf in the Demand rate of the G-1 Rate Schedule and an increase of eight and thirty-two one-hundredths cents (8.32¢) per Mcf in the Commodity rate of the G-1 and SWS-1 Rate Schedules to be effective January 1, 1974, pursuant to § 3.2 (the PGA clause) of Rate Schedule G-1 and the corresponding section 3 of the SWS-1 Rate Schedule.

Any person desiring to be heard or to protest said filing should file a petition to intervene or protest with the Federal Power Commission, 825 North Capitol Street, NE, Washington, D.C. 20426, in accordance with §§ 1.8 and 1.10 of the Commission's rules of practice and pro-

cedure (18 CFR 1.8, 1.10). All such petitions or protests should be filed on or before December 18, 1973. Protests will be considered by the Commission in determining the appropriate action to be taken, but will not serve to make protestants parties to the proceeding. Any person wishing to become a party must file a petition to intervene. Copies of this filing are on file with the Commission and are available for public inspection.

KENNETH F. PLUMB,
Secretary.

[FR Doc.73-25952 Filed 12-6-73;8:45 am]

[Docket No. CP74-94]

UNITED GAS PIPE LINE CO., ET AL.

Show Cause Order

NOVEMBER 27, 1973.

On October 9, 1973, United Gas Pipe Line Company (Complainant) filed in Docket No. CP74-94 a complaint against Billy J. McCombs, R. James Stillings, d/b/a Gastill Company, David A. Onsguard, Basin Petroleum Corporation (McCombs Group), Louis H. Haring, Jr. (Haring), National Exploration Company (NEC) and E. I. du Pont d'Nemours & Company (du Pont), hereinafter collectively referred to as Respondents, alleging that said Respondents have failed to comply with the requirements of section 7 of the Natural Gas Act as implemented by the Commission's regulations thereunder and have failed to comply and refused to comply with the terms of a certificate of public convenience and necessity issued in Docket No. G-12694 authorizing the sale of natural gas from certain lands and leaseholds in Goliad and Karnes Counties, Texas, to Complainant, all as more fully set forth in the complaint which is on file with the Commission and open to public inspection.

Complainant states that it has the right to purchase natural gas produced from all wells drilled on certain acreage in Goliad and Karnes Counties, Texas, pursuant to a contract dated April 29, 1953, as amended February 7, 1961,[1] H. A. Pagenkopf, Trustee, was authorized pursuant to this contract to sell gas from this acreage to Complainant in Docket No. G-12694 by order issued June 19, 1963. Complainant indicates that in 1966 the dedicated acreage was assigned to Haring, that in 1971 and 1972, Haring transferred his working rights in certain deep reserves in this acreage to NEC and the McCombs Group, and that the McCombs Group contracted with du Pont in 1972 to sell gas from this acreage in the intrastate market for industrial consumption. Complainant believes that du Pont is permitting Lo-Vaca Gathering Company, an intrastate gas company, to take this gas for its own use, with the understanding that Lo-Vaca will make up such deliveries at a later time. Complainant asserts NEC has refused to deliver volumes of gas to it from the subject acreage and that the McCombs Group has continued to make gas available to Lo-Vaca under the contract with duPont, even though Complainant has informed both producers that deliveries to anyone else constitutes a violation of the contractual arrangement with Complainant. The McCombs Group has filed suit in the U.S. District Court for the Western District of Texas, McCombs v. United Gas Pipe Line Company, No. SA-73-CA210, for a declaratory judgment that they are not contractually bound to deliver gas to Complainant.

Complainant alleges that because Respondents are violating the Natural Gas Act by failing to sell gas to it and because it is presently curtailing nearly 430 million Mcf of natural gas, or more than 27 percent of its firm requirements, it is suffering irreparable injury and requests that the Commission issue an order requiring Respondents to show cause why they are not in violation and to sell all future production of natural gas from the dedicated acreage to Complainant.

Respondents were served with a copy of the complaint on October 24, 1973. While the period for answers has not elapsed, we believe that the complaint sets forth substantial issues which, because of Complainant's relative need for gas, require expeditious resolution.

Pursuant to notice of the instant complaint, Franklin T. Peabody and his wife, Elizabeth Hunter Peabody, filed a petion to intervene.

The Commission finds. (1) Good cause exists to order Respondents pursuant to § 1.6 of the Commission's rules of practice and procedure to show cause why they should not be held in violation of section 7 of the Natural Gas Act.

(2) It may be in the public interest to permit the above-named petitioner, who filed a timely petition, to intervene in this proceeding.

The Commission orders. (A) Respondents are herein ordered to appear at a public hearing to show cause as to:

(1) Why they should not be required to file an application for a certificate of public convenience and necessity as successor in interest,

(2) Why they should not be required to cease the sale currently being made to the intrastate market,

(3) Why they should not be required to deliver to United in compliance with contract provisions volumes equivalent to those withheld from the interstate market, and

(4) Why they should not be held in violation of section 7 of the Natural Gas Act.

(B) Pursuant to the authority of the Natural Gas Act as amended, particularly sections 7 and 15, a hearing shall convene at 10:00 a.m. on January 10, 1974, in a hearing room of the Federal Power Commission, 825 North Capitol Street NE, Washington, D.C. before a Presiding Administrative Law Judge.

(C) The above-named petitioner is hereby permitted to be an intervenor, subject to the rules and regulations of the Commission; *Provided, however,* That participation of such intervenor shall be limited to matters affecting asserted rights and interests as specifically set forth in the petition to intervene; *And, provided, further,* That the admission of such intervenor shall not be construed as recognition by the Commission that it might be aggrieved because of any order of the Commission entered in these proceedings.

(D) On or before December 17, 1973, Respondents, Complainant, and intervenors shall file with the Commission and serve upon all parties, including Commission Staff, their testimony and exhibits in support of their respective positions.

(E) An Administrative Law Judge to be designated by the Chief Administrative Law Judge—See Delegation of Authority, 18 CFR 3.5(d)—shall preside at, and control this proceeding in accordance with the policies expressed in the Commission's rules of practice and procedure and the purposes expressed in this order.

By the Commission.

[SEAL] KENNETH F. PLUMB,
Secretary.

[FR Doc.73-25957 Filed 12-6-73;8:45 am]

[Docket Nos. RP71-107 etc]

NORTHERN NATURAL GAS CO.

Notice of Purchased Gas Cost Adjustment Rate Change

DECEMBER 3, 1973.

Take notice that on October 25, 1973, Northern Natural Gas Company (Northern) tendered for filing, as part of Northern's FPC Gas Tariff, Original Volume No. 2, a tariff sheet entitled "Original PGA-1, Notice of Purchased Gas Cost Adjustment Rate Change", and identified as Substitute Original Sheet No. 1c. Northern states this sheet provides for a net decrease of seventeen hundredths of one cent (.17¢) per Mcf in the commodity portion of all jurisdictional Base Tariff Rates which will result in a decrease in annual jurisdictional revenues of approximately $10,000.

Northern states that this filing is being made pursuant to three "tracking authorizations" which Northern has on file with the Commission and which are pending Commission approval. These "tracking authorizations" include first, section V, "Annual Rate Adjustment to Reflect Changes in Costs of Gas Acquisition Payments" and section VI, "Annual Rate Adjustment to Reflect Changes in Costs of Research and Development Expenditure" of the Stipulation and Agreement filed on January 18, 1973 in Docket Nos RP71-107 (Phase II) and RP72-127, as amended on May 8, 1973; and second, the "Annual Rate Adjustment Tracker to Reflect Changes in Cost of Development" of Dallas Center Underground Storage Project, filed on August 6, 1973 in Docket No. RP74-9.

Northern states that the net decrease in rates of .17¢ per Mcf results from: (a)

[1] This amendment extends the term of the contract until 1981.

A decrease of .22¢ per Mcf resulting from the tracker for Gas Acquisition Payments, (b) an increase of .02¢ per Mcf resulting from the tracker for Research and Development Expenditures (c) an increase of .03¢ resulting from the tracker for Dallas Center Development Expenditures.

Northern states that the proposed effective date of Substitute Original Sheet No. 1c is December 27, 1973. Northern also tendered for filing on October 25, 1973, to the effective December 27, 1973, a tariff sheet entitled "Original PGA–1, Notice of Purchased Gas Cost Adjustment Rate Change", identified as Original Sheet No. 1c. Northern states that if the Commission approves all pending tracking authorizations so as to allow the effectuation of the rate change by December 27, 1973, then Substitute Original Sheet No. 1c will be withdrawn. However, if any of the tracking authorizations are not approved by the Commission so as to allow the effectuation of the rate changes by December 27, 1973. Northern states that it will defer the effective date of the applicable portion of such rate change until such approval is received. Furthermore, Northern states that if the rate increase applicable to the Dallas Center Project is deferred beyond December 27, 1973, Northern will continue to capitalize an "allowance for funds used during construction" on this project to the date that such rate increase becomes effective.

Any person desiring to be heard or to protest said filing should file a petition to intervene or protest with the Federal Power Commission, 825 North Capitol Street, NE., Washington, D.C. 20426, in accordance with §§ 1.8 and 1.10 of the Commission's rules of practice and procedure (18 CFR 1.8, 1.10). All such petitions or protests should be filed on or before December 12, 1973. Protests will be considered by the Commission in determining the appropriate action to be taken, but will not serve to make protestants parties to the proceeding. Any person wishing to become a party must file a petition to intervene. Copies of this filing are on file with the Commission and are available for public inspection.

KENNETH F. PLUMB,
Secretary.

[FR Doc.73-25992 Filed 12-6-73;8:45 am]

[Docket Nos. RP71-107 etc.]

NORTHERN NATURAL GAS CO.

Notice of Purchased Gas Cost Adjustment Rate Change

DECEMBER 3, 1973.

Take notice that on October 25, 1973, Northern Natural Gas Company (Northern) tendered for filing, as part of Northern's FPC Gas Tariff, Third Revised Volume No. 1, a tariff sheet entitled "Original PGA–1, Notice of Purchased Gas Cost Adjustment Rate Change"; and identified as Substitute Third Revised Sheet No. 4a. Northern states this sheet provides for net decrease of seventeen hundredths of one cent (.17¢) per Mcf in the commodity portion of all jurisdictional Base Tariff Rates which will result in a decrease in annual jurisdictional revenues of approximately $1,100,000.

Northern states that this filing is being made pursuant to three "tracking authorizations" which Northern has on file with the Commission and which are pending Commission approval. These "tracking authorizations" include first, Section V, "Annual Rate Adjustment to Reflect Changes in Costs of Gas Acquisition Payments" and Section VI, "Annual Rate Adjustment to Reflect Changes in Costs of Research and Development Expenditure" of the Stipulation and Agreement filed on January 18, 1973 in Docket Nos. RP71–107 (Phase II0 and RP72–127, as amended on May 8, 1973; and second, the "Annual Rate Adjustment Tracker to Reflect Changes in Cost of Development of Dallas Center Underground Storage Project," filed on August 6, 1973 in Docket No. RP74–9.

Northern states that the net decrease in rates of .17¢ per Mcf results from: (a) A decrease of .22¢ per Mcf resulting from the tracker for Gas Acquisition Payments, (b) an increase of .02¢ per Mcf resulting from the tracker for Research and Development Expenditures (c) an increase of .03¢ resulting from the tracker for Dallas Center Development Expenditures.

Northern states that the proposed effective date of Substitute Third Revised Sheet No. 4a is December 27, 1973. Northern also tendered for filing on October 25, 1973, to be effective December 27, 1973, a tariff sheet entitled "Original PGA–1, Notice of Purchased Gas Cost Adjustment Rate Change", identified as Third Revised Sheet No. 4a. Third Revised Sheet No. 4a provides for an Annual Rate Adjustment to reflect changes in purchased gas costs. Northern states that the commodity rates set forth in Substitute Third Revised Sheet No. 4a are the same as the commodity rate in Third Revised Sheet No. 4a adjusted for the above described .17¢ per Mcf net decrease. Northern states that if the Commission approves all pending tracking authorizations so as to allow the effectuation of the rate change by December 27, 1973, then Substitute Third Revised Sheet No. 4a will be effectuated and Third Revised Sheet No. 4a will be withdrawn. However, if any of the tracking authorizations are not approved by the Commission so as to allow the effectuation of the rate changes by December 27, 1973. Northern states that it will defer the effective date of the applicable portion of such rate change until such approval is received. Furthermore, Northern states that if the rate increase applicable to the Dallas Center project is deferred beyond December 27, 1973, Northern will continue to capitalize an "allowance for funds used during construction" on this project to the date that such rate increase becomes effective.

Any person desiring to be heard or to protest said application should file a petition to intervene or protest with the Federal Power Commission, 825 North Capitol Street, NE., Washington, D.C. 20426, in accordance with §§ 1.8 and 1.10 of the Commission's rules of practice and procedure (18 CFR 1.8, 1.10). All such petitions or protests should be filed on or before December 12, 1973. Protests will be considered by the Commission in determining the appropriate action to be taken, but will not serve to make protestants parties to the proceeding. Any person wishing to become a party must file a petition to intervene. Copies of this application are on file with the Commission and are available for public inspection.

KENNETH F. PLUMB,
Secretary.

[FR Doc.73-25993 Filed 12-6-73;8:45 am]

NORTHERN NATURAL GAS CO.

Notice of Filing of Tariff Revision

DECEMBER 3, 1973.

Take notice that on November 12, 1973 Northern Natural Gas Company (Northern) tendered for filing First Revised Sheet No. 502 to Rate Schedule X–34 to become part of its F.P.C. Gas Tariff, Original Volume No. 2.

This sheet is being filed pursuant to the provisions of Article X of the contract which provides that the rate shall be adjusted concurrently with and in an amount equal to the amount by which the commodity rates in Northern's FPC Gas Tariff, Original Volume No. 1 are adjusted by operation of Northern's Gas Purchase Adjustment Clause.

In accordance with the provision of the Purchased Gas Adjustment Clause of Volume 1 of its Tariff, Northern has filed a rate adjustment to become effective December 27, 1973, in an amount of 6.64¢ per Mcf. Therefore, First Revised Sheet No. 502 reflects a rate for volumes delivered to El Paso of 25.51¢ which has been increased 6.64¢ over the rate of 18.87¢ as shown on Original Sheet No. 502.

December 27, 1973 is the proposed effective date of this tariff sheet.

Any person desiring to be heard or to protest said filing should file a petition to intervene or protest with the Federal Power Commission, 825 North Capitol Street, NE., Washington, D.C. 20426, in accordance with §§ 1.8 and 1.10 of the Commission's rules of practice and procedure (18 CFR 1.8, 1.10). All such petitions or protests should be filed on or before December 14, 1973. Protests will be considered by the Commission in determining the appropriate action to be taken, but will not serve to make protestants parties to the proceeding. Any person wishing to become a party must file a petition to intervene. Copies of this filing are on file with the Commission and are available for public inspection.

KENNETH F. PLUMB,
Secretary.

[FR Doc.73-25994 Filed 12-6-73;8:45 am]

NORTHERN NATURAL GAS CO.

Notice of Emergency Purchase

DECEMBER 3, 1973.

Take notice that on November 7, 1973, Northern Natural Gas Company (North-

ern) filed with the Commission a statement concerning purchase of natural gas by Northern from Forest Oil Corporation from the American National Insurance Company well located in Ward County, Texas.

Any person desiring to be heard or to protest said filing should file a petition to intervene or protest with the Federal Power Commission, 825 North Capitol Street, NE., Washington, D.C. 20426, in accordance with §§ 1.8 and 1.10 of the Commission's rules of practice and procedure (18 CFR 1.8, 1.10). All such petitions or protests should be filed on or before December 14, 1973. Protests will be considered by the Commission in determining the appropriate action to be taken, but will not serve to make protestants parties to the proceeding. Any person wishing to become a party must file a petition to intervene. Copies of this filing are on file with the Commission and are available for public inspection.

KENNETH F. PLUMB,
Secretary.

[FR Doc.73-25995 Filed 12-6-73;8:45 am]

[Docket No. E-8052]

SOUTH CAROLINA ELECTRIC & GAS CO.

Notice of Compliance Filing

DECEMBER 3, 1973.

Take notice that South Carolina Electric & Gas Company on November 20, 1973, tendered for filing an executed Service Agreement and Exhibit A, Delivery Point and Service Specifications, for wholesale electric power service from the Company to South Carolina Public Service Authority. This filing will be substituted for the unexecuted Service Agreement and Exhibit A filed in the above-referenced docket on April 17, 1973, in response to a letter from the Secretary of the Commission.

Any person desiring to be heard or to protest said filing should file a petition to intervene or protest with the Federal Power Commission, 825 North Capitol Street, NE., Washington, D.C. 20426, in accordance with §§ 1.8 and 1.10 of the Commission's rules of practice and procedure (18 CFR 1.8, 1.10). All such petitions or protests should be filed on or before December 19, 1973. Protests will be considered by the Commission in determining the appropriate action to be taken, but will not serve to make protestants parties to the proceeding. Any person wishing to become a party must file a petition to intervene. Copies of this filing are on file with the Commission and are available for public inspection.

KENNETH F. PLUMB,
Secretary.

[FR Doc.73-25996 Filed 12-6-73;8:45 am]

[Docket No. E-8514]

SOUTHERN SERVICES, INC.

Notice of Filing of Amendment to Interconnection Agreement

DECEMBER 3, 1973.

Take notice that on November 23, 1973, Southern Services, Inc. (Southern) on behalf of Alabama Power Company, Georgia Power Company, Gulf Power Company, and Mississippi Power Company tendered for filing an amendment to their interchange agreement which amendment provides for the continuing exchange of power and equitable participation in the benefits arising from integrated operation. According to Southern copies of the agreement were mailed to Alabama Power Company, Georgia Power Company, Gulf Power Company, and Mississippi Power Company. Southern requests that the proposed contract changes be made effective as of January 1974.

Any person desiring to be heard or to protest said filing should file a petition to intervene or protest with the Federal Power Commission, 825 North Capitol Street, NE., Washington, D.C. 20426, in accordance with §§ 1.8 and 1.10 of the Commission's rules of practice and procedure (18 CFR 1.8, 1.10). All such petitions or protests should be filed on or before December 19, 1973. Protests will be considered by the Commission in determining the appropriate action to be taken, but will not serve to make protestants parties to the proceeding. Any person wishing to become a party must file a petition to intervene. Copies of this filing are on file with the Commission for public inspection.

KENNETH F. PLUMB,
Secretary.

[FR Doc.73-25997 Filed 12-6-73;8:45 am]

TEXAS EASTERN TRANSMISSION CORP.

Notice of Proposed Changes in FPC Gas Tariff

[Docket No. RP72-98]

DECEMBER 3, 1973.

Take notice that Texas Eastern Transmission Corporation (Texas Eastern) on November 16, 1973, tendered for filing as part of its FPC Gas Tariff, Third Revised Volume No. 1, the following sheets:

Fourth Revised Sheet No. 13
Fourth Revised Sheet No. 13A
Fourth Revised Sheet No. 13B
Fourth Revised Sheet No. 13C
Fourth Revised Sheet No. 13D

Texas Eastern states that these sheets are issued pursuant to the purchased gas cost adjustment provision contained in Appendix E of Texas Eastern's Stipulation and Agreement in Docket No. RP72-98 dated July 25, 1973. Since the Commission approved the proposed agreement on November 26, 1973, Texas Eastern asks that this provision be incorporated as section 23 of Texas Eastern's FPC Gas Tariff, Third Revised Volume No. 1. The change in Texas Eastern's rates proposed by this filing reflects a cost of gas adjustment and an adjustment to clear the balance in the Gas Cost Adjustment Account according to the Company. The proposed effective of the above tariff sheets is January 1, 1974.

Any person desiring to be heard or to protest said filing should file a petition to intervene or protest with the Federal Power Commission, 825 North Capitol Street, NE., Washington, D. C. 20426, in accordance with §§ 1.8 and 1.10 of the Commission's Rules of Practice and Procedure (18 CFR 1.8, 1.10).

All such petitions or protests should be filed on or before December 13, 1973. Protests will be considered by the Commission in determining the appropriate action to be taken, but will not serve to make protestants parties to the proceeding. Any person wishing to become a party must file a petition to intervene. Copies of this filing are on file with the Commission and are available for public inspection.

KENNETH F. PLUMB,
Secretary.

[FR Doc. 73-25998 Filed 12-6-73;8:45 am]

[Docket No. CI74-331]

BLAIR VREELAND

Order To Show Cause

NOVEMBER 30, 1973.

On August 6, 1973, Exxon Company, U.S.A. (Exxon) submitted as proposed Supplement Nos. 51 and 52 to its Rate Schedule No. 11 and proposed Supplement Nos. 4 and 5 to its Rate Schedule No. 498, notices of partial rate schedule cancellation. The filings were in compliance with § 154.97(b) of the Commission's regulations whereas Exxon had assigned certain acreage in the Piedre de Lumbre Field, Duval County, Texas R. R. District No. 4 to John B. Vreeland d/b/a Blair-Vreeland (Vreeland). The acreage assigned to Vreeland by assignments date June 29, 1973, and July 23, 1973, is dedicated to Tennessee Gas Pipeline Company, a Division of Tenneco Inc. (Tennessee) under contracts dated August 1, 1952, and September 1, 1971, and certificates of public convenience and necessity issued to Exxon in Docket Nos. G-3072 and CI72-344.

On October 9, 1973, Tennessee filed a petition to intervene in Exxon Company, U.S.A., Docket Nos. G-3072 and CI72-344 alleging that Tennessee, as the interstate pipeline purchaser under the gas

contracts with Exxon and certificates of public convenience and necessity above-described, has been denied gas production dedicated to it and the interstate market in violation of section 7(b) of the Natural Gas Act. Tennessee in its petition states that prior to the execution of the assignments, Vreeland drilled and achieved natural gas production from the leases and that it has unsuccessfully attempted to have the gas production connected to its pipeline facilities in the Piedre de Lumbre Field.

Under these circumstances, especially since proceedings in Docket Nos. G-3072 and CI72-344 have concluded and that Exxon has assigned its relevant interest in the subject leases to Vreeland, it appears that a show cause order should issue against Vreeland to demonstrate why it should not be held in violation of section 7 of the Natural Gas Act, in which proceeding Tennessee is granted intervention.

The Commission finds. (1) Good cause exists to order Vreeland pursuant to § 1.6 of the Commission's rules of practice and procedure to show cause why it should not be held in violation of section 7 of the Natural Gas Act.

(2) It may be in the public interest to permit Tennessee to intervene in this proceeding.

The Commission orders. (A) Vreeland is herein ordered to appear at a public hearing to show cause as to why it should not be required to file an application under section 7(c) of the Natural Gas Act as successor in interest for authority to sell gas to Tennessee produced from the leases acquired from Exxon, which leases are subject to the certificates of public convenience and necessity issued to Exxon in Docket Nos. G-3072 and CI72-344; or, in the alternative, an application to abandon service from leases now dedicated to and authorized to be made in interstate commerce.

(B) Pursuant to the authority of the Natural Gas Act as amended, particularly sections 7 and 15, a hearing shall convene at 10:00 a.m. on January 16, 1974, in a hearing room of the Federal Power Commission, 825 North Capitol Street, NE., Washington, D.C. before a Presiding Administrative Law Judge.

(C) The above-named petitioner is hereby permitted to be an intervenor, subject to the rules and regulations of the Commission: *Provided, however,* That participation of such intervenor shall be limited to matters affecting asserted rights and interests as specifically set forth in the petition to intervene: *And, provided, further,* That the admission of such intervenor shall not be construed as recognition by the Commission that it might be aggrieved because of any order of the Commission entered in these proceedings.

(D) On or before December 28, 1973, Respondents and intervenors shall file with the Commission and serve upon all parties, including Commission Staff, their testimony and exhibits in support of their respective positions.

(E) Other petitions to intervene in the proceeding herein ordered should be filed with the Secretary of the Federal Power Commission on or before thirty (30) days from the date of issuance of this order.

(F) An Administrative Law Judge to be designated by the Chief Administrative Law Judge—See Delegation of Authority, 18 CFR 3.5(d)—shall preside at, and control this proceeding in accordance with the policies expressed in the Commission's rules of practice and procedure and the purposes expressed in this order.

By the Commission.

[SEAL] KENNETH F. PLUMB, *Secretary.*

[FR Doc.73-25999 Filed 12-6-73;8:45 am]

[Dockets Nos. CP74-4, CP73-223]

COLUMBIA GAS TRANSMISSION CORP.

Order Granting in Part Motion To Expedite, Granting Interventions, and Issuing Limited Term Authorization for the Exchange of Gas and Construction of Facilities

NOVEMBER 30, 1973.

On July 5, 1973, Columbia Gas Transmission Corporation (Columbia Gas) filed in Docket No. CP74-4 an application pursuant to section 7(c) of the Natural Gas Act for a certificate authorizing the exchange of synthetic gas mixed with natural gas, and the construction and operation of related facilities. Columbia Gas in this application proposes to deliver to Columbia LNG Corporation (Columbia LNG) at the latter's Green Springs, reforming plant, approximately 300,000 Mcf of gas in daily increments of up to 10,000 Mcf, beginning September 1, 1973. Columbia LNG, which allegedly needs the gas to prepare for commencement of deliveries of synthetic gas from its reforming plant, pursuant to the terms of the exchange service proposed in Docket No. CP73-223, would redeliver equivalent volumes to Columbia Gas prior to January 1, 1974. Columbia Gas notified the Commission by telegram and letter that it had commenced such deliveries for commissioning of the reforming plant, citing provisions of § 157.22 of the Commission's regulations.

Columbia Gas' application in Docket No. CP74-4 also requests authorization to construct and operate necessary pipeline facilities, at an estimated cost of $66,000, to enable it to carry out the exchange agreements proposed in the instant docket and in Docket No. CP73-223.

Interventions were filed in Docket No. CP74-4 by UGI Corporation, by Dayton Power and Light Company, jointly by Peoples Natural Gas Company and West Ohio Gas Company, by Washington Gas Light Company, by Columbia LNG Corporation, and jointly by Cincinnati Gas & Electric Company and The Union Light, Heat & Power Company. No petitioner requests a formal hearing in this docket.

Having reviewed the above-named petitions to intervene, we are convinced that the petitioners all have sufficient interest in these proceedings to warrant intervention. Accordingly, we shall grant intervention in these proceedings to all those who have petitioned to intervene.

On November 21, 1973, Columbia Gas filed a Motion for Expedited Procedure in Docket Nos. CP73-223 and CP74-4. In its motion, Columbia Gas requests the Commission to issue an order directing a prehearing conference to be convened on or before December 3, 1973, authorizing the Presiding Administrative Law Judge to incorporate the record of CP72-8 in the proceedings to the extent applicable, and directing the Presiding Administrative Law Judge to proceed in accordance with the shortened procedure provided for in § 1.32 of the rules. Columbia Gas' motion cites the current energy crisis as the primary reason for the need for expedition. In addition, the motion notes that Columbia LNG will incur take-or-pay obligations commencing January 1, 1974.

In order that Columbia Gas and Columbia LNG might test their Green Springs facility and ascertain its availability for possible future use as contemplated in Docket No. CP73-223, should appropriate authorizations issue in that docket, we shall hereby grant the authorizations requested by Columbia Gas in Docket No. CP74-4. We shall defer action in Docket No. CP73-223 and related dockets to a subsequent order.

The Commission finds. (1) It is desirable and in the public interest to allow the aforementioned persons who have formally petitioned to intervene in the above docket to so intervene in order that they may establish the facts and the law from which the nature and validity of their alleged rights and interests may be determined.

(2) It is desirable in the public interest to allow the exchange of gas for which authorization is requested in Docket No. CP74-4, and to allow the construction of the facilities necessary to implement the exchange.

The Commission orders. (A) The above-named petitioners, who have petitioned to intervene in the proceedings consolidated by Ordering Paragraph (A) herein, are permitted to intervene in such consolidated proceeding subject to the rules and regulations of the Commission: *Provided, however,* That the participation of such intervenors shall be limited to matters affecting asserted rights and interests as specifically set forth in said petitions for leave to intervene: *And provided, further,* That the admission of such intervenors shall not be construed as recognition by the Commission that they or any of them might be aggrieved because of any order or orders of the Commission entered in this proceeding.

(B) Columbia Gas Transmission Corporation is hereby authorized to implement the exchange of gas for the limited term and volumes specified in the application in Docket No. CP74-4, and to construct the necessary facilities.

(C) No portion of the cost of the facilities, construction of which is hereby authorized, shall be included in Columbia Gas Transmission Corporation's

rate base until and unless further authorizations which would allow utilization of such facilities shall issue in Docket No. CP73-223 or other appropriate proceeding.

(D) Columbia Gas Transmission Corporation's Motion for Expedited Procedure in this docket is hereby granted to the extent of the authorizations contained herein.

By the Commission.

[SEAL] KENNETH F. PLUMB, *Secretary.*

[FR Doc.73-26000 Filed 12-6-73;8:45 am]

[Docket No. RP72-136]

FLORIDA GAS TRANSMISSION CO.

Notice of Proposed Changes in Rates and Charges

DECEMBER 3, 1973.

Take notice that on November 19, 1973, Florida Gas Transmission Company (Florida Gas) tendered for filing Fourth Revised Sheet 3-A to its FPC Gas Tariff, Original Volume No. 1, containing changes in rates in its Rate Schedules G and I for effectiveness on January 1, 1974. Florida Gas states that the changes in rates result from the application of the purchased gas cost adjustment provision in section 15, General Terms and Conditions of the tariff, which was approved by the Commission in Docket No. RP72-136.

Furthermore, Florida Gas states that a comparison between the currently effective rates and those to be made effective on January 1, 1974 under this filing is as follows:

	Cents/Therm	
	Currently effective	To become effective January 1, 1974
	Cents	*Cents*
Rate schedule G	6.906	6.977
Rate schedule I	5.516	5.587

The annual effect on the proposed changes is $536,000 based on sales for the twelve months ended September 30, 1973.

Florida Gas states that a copy of its filing has been served upon all customers purchasing gas under its FPC Gas Tariff, Original Volume No. 1 and the Florida Public Service Commission.

Any person desiring to be heard or to protest said application should file a petition to intervene or protest with the Federal Power Commission, Washington, D.C. 20426, in accordance with §§ 1.8 and 1.10 of the Commission's rules of practice and procedure (18 CFR 1.8, 1.10). All such petitions or protests should be filed on or before December 17, 1973. Protests will be considered by the Commission in determining the appropriate action to be taken, but will not serve to make protestants parties to the proceeding. Any person wishing to become a party must file a petition to intervene. Copies of this application are on file with the Commission and are available for public inspection.

KENNETH F. PLUMB, *Secretary.*

[FR Doc.73-25989 Filed 12-6-73;8:45 am]

[Docket No. RP72-32]

KANSAS-NEBRASKA NATURAL GAS CO.

Notice of Substitute PGA Clause

DECEMBER 3, 1973.

Take notice that on November 9, 1973, Kansas-Nebraska Natural Gas Company (Kansas-Nebraska) tendered for filing a tariff sheet entitled Substitute First Revised Sheet No. PGA-1.

Kansas-Nebraska states that on October 1, 1973, it filed with the Commission Substitute First Revised Sheet No. PGA-1 to its FPC Gas Tariff, Second Revised Volume No. 1 to reflect 1.1¢ per Mcf purchased gas cost adjustment to the commodity charges contained in Rate Schedules CP-1, WPS-1, IOR-1, CP-2, WPS-2 and IOR-2. On November 2, 1973, the Commission requested Kansas-Nebraska to eliminate from the purchased gas cost adjustment certain estimated purchased gas costs.

Kansas-Nebraska states that the filing of November 9, 1973 should be substituted for the filing of October 1, 1973. Kansas-Nebraska also states that the corrected tariff sheet reflect the corrections requested by the Commission and the purchased gas cost increases which the company failed to take into consideration in the filing of October 1, 1973.

Any person desiring to be heard or to protest said filing should file a petition to intervene or protest with the Federal Power Commission, 825 North Capitol Street, NE., Washington, D.C. 20426, in accordance with §§ 1.8 and 1.10 of the Commission's rules of practice and procedure (18 CFR 1.8, 1.10). All such petitions or protests should be filed on or before December 14, 1973. Protests will be considered by the Commission in determining the appropriate action to be taken, but will not serve to make protestants parties to the proceeding. Any person wishing to become a party must file a petition to intervene. Copies of this filing are on file with the Commission and are available for public inspection.

KENNETH F. PLUMB, *Secretary.*

[FR Doc.73-25990 Filed 12-6-73;8:45 am]

[Docket No. CP70-7 (Phase II)]

SOUTHERN NATURAL GAS CO.

Order Providing for Hearing and Granting Intervention

DECEMBER 3, 1973.

On August 23, 1973, and August 29, 1973, Southern Natural Gas Company (Southern), filed in Docket No. CP70-7 (Phase II), two separate petitions to amend the Commission's Order issued October 29, 1969, in the subject docket pursuant to section 7(c) of the Natural Gas Act by authorizing certain changes and reallocations in the contract quantities of natural gas to be delivered among individual points to Alabama Gas Corporation (Alagasco) and Atlanta Gas Light Company (Atlanta), respectively, all as more fully set forth in the petition which is on file with the Commission and open to public inspection.

The Commission's Order of October 29, 1969, authorized Southern inter alia, to sell and deliver at certain specified delivery points 737,500 Mcf of gas per day to Atlanta, and 408,725 Mcf of gas per day to Alagasco. Alagasco's contract demand was subsequently reduced to 408,475 Mcf per day by Commission order issued October 20, 1971. On December 1, 1972, and December 8, 1972, Southern tendered for filing Revised Exhibit A's to the then effective service agreements which were designed to reallocate Alagasco's contract demand among several delivery points and to integrate several delivery points. On November 30, 1972, Southern tendered for filing a Revised Exhibit A reallocating Atlanta's contract demand among several delivery points. By Commission Order issued March 20, 1973, Docket No. RP73-73, these filings were rejected as being inconsistent with Southern's outstanding certificate authorization.

Southern requests that the Commission Order issued in the subject docket on October 29, 1969, and amended on October 20, 1971, be further amended to authorize the proposed revisions in the Exhibit A's to the presently effective service agreements between Southern and Alagasco and Atlanta. Two Revised Exhibit A's are proposed for Alagasco, one reallocating contract demand among delivery points and one integrating the delivery points at Fairfax and Shawmut and those at Harbison-Walker and Birmingham. One Revised Exhibit A reallocating contract demands among the delivery points of Atlanta is proposed.

Notice of Southern's petition to make changes and reallocations in the contract quantities delivered to Alagasco was issued September 11, 1973, fixing October 5, 1973, as the final date for the filing of protests or petitions to intervene. Notice of Southern's petition to make changes and reallocations in the contract quantities delivered to Atlanta was issued September 19, 1973, fixing October 9, 1973, as the final date for the filing of protests or petitions to intervene.

Timely petitions to intervene have been filed by the following parties:

Georgia Industrial Group on September 26, 1973 [1]

Atlanta Gas Light Company on October 9, 1973 [1]

Columbia Nitrogen Corporation and Nipco, Inc., on October 9, 1973 [1]

Transcontinental Gas Pipe Line Corporation on October 9, 1973 [1]

Carolina Pipeline Company on October 9, 1973 [1] [2]

---

[1] All petitions were filed in response to Southern's proposed changes in deliveries to Atlanta.

[2] Carolina Pipeline Company's petition of October 9, 1973, also contained a protest and request for a hearing on Southern's petition.

Having reviewed the above petitions to intervene, we believe that the petitioners have sufficient interest in these proceedings to warrant intervention. The Commission believes that the significant and novel questions presented by these applications require a public hearing at which time all issues bearing upon the public interest can be fully developed on the evidentiary record.

The Commission finds. (1) It is desirable and in the public interest to allow the above-named petitioners to intervene in the proceedings in order that they may establish the facts and the law from which the nature and validity of their alleged rights and interests may be determined and show what further action may be appropriate under the circumstances in the administration of the Natural Gas Act.

(2) It is necessary and appropriate that the proceedings in Docket No. CP70–7 (Phase II) be set for hearing and decision.

The Commission orders. (A) Pursuant to the authority of the Natural Gas Act, the Commission's rules of practice and procedure, and the regulations under the Natural Gas Act, a public hearing shall be held commencing on January 29, 1974, at 10:00 a.m. in a hearing room of the Federal Power Commission, 825 North Capitol Street, NE., Washington, D.C. 20002, concerning the matters involved in and the issues presented by this application.

(B) The direct case of Southern Natural and that of any supporting intervenors shall be filed and served on all parties, the Presiding Administrative Law Judge, and the Commission Staff on or before January 11, 1974. In this regard Southern and its supporting intervenors, Atlanta, Alagasco, and others shall have the burden of showing, inter alia, that the subject reallocations of contract demands will not serve to increase low priority industrial sales.

(C) A Presiding Administrative Law Judge to be designated by the Chief Administrative Law Judge for this purpose (see Delegation of Authority, 18 CFR 3.5 (d)) shall preside at the hearing in these proceedings pursuant to the Commission's rules of practice and procedure.

(D) The above-named petitioners are hereby permitted to intervene in these proceedings subject to the rules and regulations of the Commission: *Provided, however,* That the participation of such intervenors shall be limited to matters affecting asserted rights and interests as specifically set forth in said petition for leave to intervene: *And provided, further,* That the admission of such intervenors shall not be construed as recognition by the Commission that they might be aggrieved because of any order or orders of the Commission entered in this proceeding.

By the Commission.

[SEAL] KENNETH F. PLUMB, *Secretary.*

[FR Doc.73-26001 Filed 12-6-73;8:45 am]

[Docket No. RI73-268]

UNION OIL COMPANY OF CALIFORNIA

Order Establishing Date for Prehearing Conference and Granting Petition To Intervene

DECEMBER 3, 1973.

On April 17, 1973, Union Oil Company of California (Petitioner), filed a petition for special relief pursuant to section 4 of the Natural Gas Act, § 1.7(b) of the Commission's rules of practice and procedure and Commission Order No. 481 issued April 12, 1973. Petitioner requested the Commission to waive the January 1, 1976 moratorium date for filing for rate increases in excess of the applicable area rate prescribed in Opinion No. 595, "Area Rate Proceeding, et al." (Texas "Gulf Coast Area"), Docket Nos. AR64–2, et al., 45 FPC 674 (1971).

Union requested the Commission to issue an order authorizing it to collect, if contractually authorized, 2.5 cents per Mcf in excess of the rate authorized in Opinion No. 595 for sales of gas from three wells in the O'Brien Ranch Field, Goliad County, Texas. At the present time, Union is selling the subject gas to the purchaser herein, Trunkline Gas Company (Trunkline), at the applicable "flowing gas" rate of 20 cents per Mcf from one of the three subject wells, and at the "new gas" rate of 25 cents per Mcf from the remaining two wells.

In justification of the proposed increased rates, Union, in its April 17, 1973, petition, stated that additional compression facilities are needed to maintain adequate productivity from the subject three wells. Petitioner claimed that without additional compression facilities only one billion of the estimated 3.2 billion cubic feet of natural gas can be recovered economically. Petitioner's request is based on installation of one compressor placed in operation in March of 1973, plus one additional compressor to be installed if the petition herein is granted.

In its petition for special relief Union cited the proceeding in Docket No. R–458, wherein on April 12, 1973, we issued Order No. 481, Order Promulgating Policy With Respect to Sales Where Reduced Pressures, Need for Reconditioning, Deeper Drilling, or Other Factors Make Further Production Uneconomical At Existing Prices. By that order we amended § 2.76 of the Commission's general rules of practice and procedures to read as follows:

> It will be the general policy of the Commission in order to promote the optimum recovery of gas reserves to accept for consideration applications by independent producers seeking special relief in the form of contractually authorized rate increases or rate increases where the contract term has expired in excess of the applicable area rate.

It is our intention to examine independently each petition for special relief based on § 2.76 to determine whether the petitioner has proffered sufficient economic justification for increased rates in excess of the applicable area rate.

In this case Union submits that the three wells involved are experiencing rapid declination in flowing pressure. A May 31, 1973, Union letter to the Commission avers that the wells are being depleted at a faster rate than anticipated and that an abandonment pressure of 200 psig should be reached by October 1974. Union estimates the cost of gas compression will be $19,345 per year per compressor, or a total annual cost of $38,690 for the two compressors. This estimated cost includes the cost of rental and maintenance, operating and overhead expenses for the program.

A petition for leave to intervene was filed by Associated Gas Distributors (AGD) on July 16, 1973.

The Commission finds. (1) It is necessary and in the public interest that this proceeding be set for hearing and that a prehearing conference be held in connection with the issues presented herein.

(2) It is desirable in the public interest to allow the above-mentioned petitioner to intervene in this proceeding.

The Commission orders. (A) Pursuant to the authority of the Natural Gas Act, a public hearing shall be held concerning the issues presented herein.

(B) Within 20 days of the date of this order, Union shall file its direct testimony and evidence in support of its petition. All testimony and evidence filed herein shall be served upon the Presiding Administrative Law Judge, Commission Staff, and all other parties to the proceeding.

(C) On January 16, 1974, a prehearing conference shall be held in accordance with § 1.18 of the rules of practice and procedure to resolve the issues herein in a hearing room of the Federal Power Commission, Washington, D.C. at 10:00 a.m., e.s.t.

(D) A Presiding Administrative Law Judge to be designated by the Chief Administrative Law Judge for that purpose shall convene the prehearing conference in the proceeding.

(E) The Administrative Law Judge may in his discretion grant recesses from time to time if he deems a settlement or submission of the issues upon stipulated facts to be possible. If no stipulation or settlement can be reached by the parties hereto after reasonable time and provision has been made for the same, the Presiding Administrative Law Judge shall establish the time for the commencement of hearing.

(F) AGD is hereby permitted to intervene in this proceeding subject to the rules and regulations of the Commission: *Provided, however,* That participation of such intervenor shall be limited to matters affecting asserted rights and interests: *And provided, further,* That the admission of such intervenors shall not be construed as recognition by the Commission that it might be aggrieved because of any order of the Commission entered in this proceeding.

By the Commission.

[SEAL] KENNETH F. PLUMB, *Secretary.*

[FR Doc.73-26003 Filed 12-6-73;8:45 am]

[Docket Nos. RP71-29, RP71-120]

UNITED GAS PIPE LINE CO.

Order on Clarification

NOVEMBER 30, 1973.

On November 2, 1973, we issued an Order Accepting Tariff Filing in Docket Nos. RP71-29, RP71-120 which directed United Gas Pipe Line Company (United) to implement the five-priority curtailment program filed in compliance with Opinion Nos. 647, et seq.[1] On November 14, 1973, we issued a telegram in these dockets directing United to preserve the status quo and continue curtailing deliveries under the three-category plan until further order of the Commission. Because of the large number of responses to our November 2nd order,[2] most of which contained allegations of serious and irreparable injury should the five-priority program be implemented, and because of our subsequent telegraphic order, we deem it necessary to further clarify guidelines for emergency relief.

In Opinion No. 647 we directed United to reflect in its curtailment plan sufficient flexibility to permit immediate response where supplemental deliveries are required to forestall irreparable injury to life or property. United was directed to define and delimit emergency procedures in revised tariff provisions. See Opinion 647, finding paragraph (C). United's tariff sheets implementing the three-category interim plan contain such provisions and therefore are available, at this juncture, should the circumstances so dictate.

Likewise, the exemption from curtailments for electric utilities to avoid shedding firm electric load, is available, upon proper showing, under the three-category plan now in effect. See Opinion No. 647, finding paragraph (D). In Opinion No. 647-A we determined that such exemptions are available to electric utilities who have "no alternative capability", meaning present capability, and that the exempt deliveries would be placed in category 2.

While these directed provisions were intended to provide a vehicle for immediate response by interstate pipeline suppliers to emergency situations, they were not intended to be limited to a short term duration, i.e., the immediate emergency period. Where the emergency can be documented to be of a longer term nature, i.e., a winter heating season, and the applicant has exercised all prudent measures for obtaining alternate capabilities, exemptions or emergency relief may be given for a period not to exceed the duration of the winter heating season.

Any customer seeking exemption or emergency relief from the pipeline and under the provision of its curtailment program should detail: the location of the facility(s); the base requirements for the facility(s) for the duration of the emergency period; projected minimum requirements needed for continued operation; estimated loss in terms of dollars and production, etc. lost should curtailments continue; alternative capability (including availability of alternate fuels) or lack thereof. The requests should be attested to and three copies of each filed with the Commission. When the request comes from a distribution customer, that customer, in addition to the above must demonstrate and attest that it has exhausted all reasonably available flexibility on its system and that emergency relief cannot be granted by the distributor without impairing service to customers having requirements of the same and higher priorities, as a condition to receiving the requested relief.

If the pipeline grants emergency relief, it shall so report to the Commission. We envision no further Commission action with respect to such emergency deliveries, unless a complaint under section 5(a) of the Natural Gas Act is lodged.

Where the exemption or emergency relief is denied by the pipeline, or where the relief sought is for a period longer than a particular season, the Commission will, upon proper showing, entertain petitions for extraordinary relief from curtailments.[3] We here state our intention to grant, where we deem the request justified, immediate temporary relief from curtailments to avoid irreparable injury. The request for extraordinary relief would remain subject to administrative processes and upon proper showing, after hearing,[4] the relief may be made permanent for the period in question, or if the record so demonstrates the relief may be denied, with or without gas pay back obligations.

We have, this day, issued an order in "United Gas Pipe Line Company," Docket No. RP74-37, wherein we have directed those seeking extraordinary relief to participate therein. We also note that the curtailment proceeding, currently pending in these dockets, is going forward on a schedule directed by the Presiding Administrative Law Judge. The earliest date on which all the market data, that we called for in Opinion Nos. 647, et seq., could be filed would be December 21, 1973. This is a substantial delay in the submission of the data, which was to have been provided by November 5, 1973, as we noted in our November 2, 1973, order in these dockets. In that order we directed the implementation of the five-priority curtailment program based on the expectation and requirement that all market data be filed no later than November 5, 1973.

We, therefore, direct United to file with the Commission an impact study, similar to that filed on October 15, 1973, which incorporates all market data made available to United on December 21, 1973. United will use the best data available to it to construct markets upon which actual data was not provided on December 21, 1973. United shall file this study with the Commission on or before February 1, 1973, the date which it stated, at the hearing, would be the earliest it would be able to do so, and we will thereafter, upon consideration of the record then available, reach a prompt determination as to whether further delay of the five-priority plan is justified.

We note that United's three-priority plan now in effect is an end-use plan. We can, therefore, permit its use temporarily without impairment of our decision to require, nationally, curtailment to be based on end-use considerations. Our action here should not, therefore, be confused with those situations where we have refused to allow a continuation of ratable curtailment plans not based on end-use considerations.

By the Commission.

[SEAL] KENNETH F. PLUMB, *Secretary.*

[FR Doc.73-26002 Filed 12-6-73;8:45 am]

[1] United Gas Pipe Line Company, Opinion Nos. 647, 647-A, Docket Nos. RP71-29, RP71-120, issued January 12, May 30, 1973, respectively; Order on Reconsideration of Opinion No. 647-A, Docket Nos. RP71-29, RP71-120, issued July 20, 1973.

[2] American Sugar Cane League of the U.S.A., Inc., *Vicksburg Chemical Company*; City of Opelousa, Louisiana*; Sewerage and Water Board of New Orleans, Louisiana*; Colonial Pipe Line Company*; City of Rayne, Louisiana*; City of Franklin, Louisiana*; City of Monroe, Louisiana; State of Louisiana, et al., United Gas Pipe Line Company; The Utilities Group; Shell Oil Company; Continental Coin Company, Inc.; United Gas, Inc.; Attorney General of the State of Mississippi (*Indicates those who have or do seek special relief; Sewerage and Water Board of New Orleans, Louisiana states that it will do so in the near future; United Gas, Inc., has filed on behalf of the Cities of Franklin and Rayne, Louisiana).

[3] For purpose of proper standing we refer potential petitioners to the following language in our Order Denying Petition for Extraordinary Relief, Panhandle Eastern Pipe Line Company (Northrup, King & Co.), Docket No. RP71-119, issued November 21, 1973:

In its ruling on DeKalb AgResearch's petition, the Commission recognizing the existence of a state-federal dichotomy in natural gas regulation denied DeKalb's petition stating "that the issues posted by DeKalb and the relief sought are matters of primarily local concern and should properly be resolved by the Public Service Commission of the State of Indiana, which agency can more appropriately assess local needs". Petitions for relief may be filed by direct customers of the affected pipeline, the pipeline, or the appropriate State regulatory authority. Such petitions will be granted only upon a showing that the extraordinary circumstances giving rise to the request cannot be alleviated through allocation of existing supplies by the distribution company or state regulatory authority. (Mimeo p. 3).

[4] Where no protests are lodged as per our Order issued today in United Gas Pipe Line Company, Docket No. RP74-37, we will determine the need for permanent relief based on the merits contained in the pleadings and showings of the petitioners.

[Docket No. E-8504]

WISCONSIN POWER AND LIGHT CO.

Notice of Proposed New Electric Service Agreement

DECEMBER 3, 1973.

Take notice that Wisconsin Power and Light Company on October 29, 1973,

tendered for filing a proposed Wholesale Power Agreement with Columbus Rural Electric Cooperative. The service agreement is in executed form and is dated October 29, 1973.

Any person desiring to be heard or to protest said filing should file a petition to interevene or protest with the Federal Power Commission, 825 North Capitol Street NE., Washington, D.C. 20426, in accordance with §§ 1.8 and 1.10 of the Commission's rules of practice and procedure (18 CFR 1.8 and 1.10). All such petitions or protests should be filed on or before December 19, 1973. Protests will be considered by the Commission in determining the appropriate action to be taken, but will not serve to make protestants parties to the proceeding. Any person wishing to become a party must file a petition to intervene. Copies of this filing are on file with the Commission and are available for public inspection.

KENNETH F. PLUMB,
Secretary.

[FR Doc.73-25988 Filed 12-6-73;8:45 am]

INTERIM COMPLIANCE PANEL (COAL MINE HEALTH AND SAFETY)

B & H COAL CO.

Applications for Initial Permits; Notice of Opportunity for Public Hearing

Applications for Initial Permits for Noncompliance with the Electric Face Equipment Standard have been received for the items of equipment listed below.

ICP Docket No. 4007-000, B & H Coal Company, Hazard #4 Mine, Mine ID No. 15 05329 0, Isom, Kentucky.
ICP Docket No. 4007-001 (Home Made Machine Carrier).
ICP Docket No. 4007-002 (Home Made Porter Electric Drill).
ICP Docket No. 4007-003 (Home made Drag Cable Buggies).
ICP Docket No. 4007-004 (Joy Loader).
ICP Docket No. 4007-005 (Royal Bolt Machine).

In accordance with the provisions of section 305(a)(2) (30 U.S.C. 865(a)(2)) of the Federal Coal Mine Health and Safety Act of 1969 (83 Stat. 742, et seq., Pub. L. 91-173), notice is hereby given that requests for public hearing as to an application for an initial permit may be filed on or before December 24, 1973. Requests for public hearing must be filed in accordance with 30 CFR Part 505 (35 FR 11296, July 15, 1970), as amended, copies of which may be obtained from the Panel upon request.

A copy of the application is available for inspection and requests for public hearing may be filed in the Office of the Correspondence Control Officer, Interim Compliance Panel, Room 800, 1730 K Street, NW., Washington, D.C. 20006.

GEORGE A. HORNBECK,
Chairman,
Interim Compliance Panel.

DECEMBER 3, 1973.

[FR Doc.73-25941 Filed 12-6-73;8:45 am]

SMITH BAKER COAL COMPANY, INC., AND UNITED STATES STEEL CORP.

Applications for Renewal Permits; Notice of Opportunity for Public Hearing

Applications for Renewal Permits for Noncompliance with the Interim Mandatory Dust Standard (2.0 mg/m³) have been received as follows:

(1) ICP Docket No. 20355, Smith Baker Coal Company, Inc., No. 11 Mine, Mine ID No. 44 00947 0, Hurley, Virginia, Section ID No. 001 (2 Left off 1 Main).
(2) ICP Docket No. 20573, United States Steel Corporation, Gary District—Mine No. 14-3, Mine ID No. 46 01417 0, Gary, West Virginia,
Section ID No. 009 (18 Left),
Section ID No. 013 (6 Right—4 Left),
Section ID No. 016 (3 Right Stable Heading),
Section ID No. 020 (6 Left—4 Right),
Section ID No. 022 (7 Right—4 Left),
Section ID No. 025 (2 Left Returns).

In accordance with the provisions of section 202(b)(4) (30 U.S.C. 842(b)(4)) of the Federal Coal Mine Health and Safety Act of 1969 (83 Stat. 742, et seq., Pub. L. 91-173), notice is hereby given that requests for public hearing as to an application for renewal may be filed on or before December 24, 1973. Requests for public hearing must be filed in accordance wth 30 CFR Part 505 (35 FR 11296, July 15, 1970), as amended, copies of which may be obtained from the Panel on request.

A copy of the application is available for inspection and requests for public hearing may be filed in the Office of the Correspondence Control Officer, Interim Compliance Panel, Room 800, 1730 K Street, NW., Washington, D.C. 20006.

GEORGE A. HORNBECK,
Chairman,
Interim Compliance Panel.

DECEMBER 3, 1973.

[FR Doc.73-25940 Filed 12-6-73;8:45 am]

WILSON COAL CO., INC. AND GAY COAL CO., INC.

Applications for Initial Permits; Notice of Opportunity for Public Hearing

Applications for Initial Permits for Noncompliance with the Electric Face Equipment Standard have been received for the items of equipment listed below.

(1) ICP Docket No. 4012-000, Wilson Coal Company Inc., Curley Maple No. 1 Mine, Mine ID No. 40 00354 0, Wilder, Tennessee,
ICP Docket No. 4012-001 (S&S Mach. Sales, Inc. Mine Tractor Battery, Ser. No. 100-329),
ICP Docket No. 4012-002 (Joy Mfg. Co. 14BU7AE Conventional Loader, Ser. No. 6194),
ICP Docket No. 4012-003 (Joy Mfg. Co. 11B Sullivan Cutting Machine, Ser. No. 15339),
ICP Docket No. 4012-004 (Joy Mfg. Co. Cat Trucks, Ser. No. 177-1141).
(2) ICP Docket No. 4015-000, Gay Coal Company, Inc., Grays Gap No. 2 Hine, Mine ID No. 40 00518 0, Oliver Springs, Tennessee,
ICP Docket No. 4015-001 (Long Airdox Co. 188C Loader, Ser. No. T-010),
ICP Docket No. 4015-002 (Long Airdox Co. 88C Loader, Ser. No. T-010).
(3) ICP Docket No. 4016-000, Gay Coal Company, Inc. Mine No. 5, Mine ID No. 40 00549 0, Oliver Springs, Tennessee,
ICP Docket No. 4016-001 (Joy Mfg. Co. 14BU-11AE Loader, Ser. No. 8831),
ICP Docket No. 4016-002 (Joy Mfg. Co. 11RU Cutter, Ser. No. 15784),
ICP Docket No. 4016-003 (Kersey BJD Mine Tractor, Ser. No. 64114),
ICP Docket No. 4016-004 (Kersey BJD Mine Tractor, Ser. No. 6564).

In accordance with the provisions of section 305(a)(2) (30 U.S.C. 865(a)(2)) of the Federal Coal Mine Health and Safety Act of 1969 (83 Stat. 742, et seq., Pub. L. 91-173), notice is hereby given that requests for public hearing as to an application for an initial permit may be filed on or before December 24, 1973. Requests for public hearing must be filed in accordance with 30 CFR Part 505 (35 FR 11296, July 15, 1970, as amended, copies of which may be obtained from the Panel upon request.

A copy of the application is available for inspection and requests for public hearing may be filed in the Office of the Correspondence Control Officer, Interim Compliance Panel, Room 800, 1730 K Street NW., Washington, D.C. 20006.

GEORGE A. HORNBECK,
Chairman,
Interim Compliance Panel.

DECEMBER 4, 1973.

[FR Doc.73-25939 Filed 12-6-73;8:45 am]

NATIONAL AERONAUTICS AND SPACE ADMINISTRATION

[Notice (73-88)]

NASA SPACE SYSTEMS COMMITTEE

Notice of Meeting

The NASA Space Systems Committee will meet on December 17, 1973, at the Headquarters of the National Aeronautics and Space Administration, Washington, D.C. 20546. The meeting will be held in Room 425 of Federal Office Building 10B, 600 Independence Avenue, SW., Washington, D.C. 20546. The meeting will be a special session to consider the terms of the agreement now being negotiated between NASA and the Department of Defense on the Shuttle Upper Stage. Discussion of the provisions of this agreement will involve frequent references to Fiscal Year 1975 and future fiscal year budget requirements, and assessments of potential contractor requirements and contractor capabilities. Since these matters should not be disclosed prematurely, the entire meeting will be conducted in Executive Session.

The NASA Space Systems Committee serves in an advisory capacity only. It

is concerned with the development and utilization of space transportation systems and multidisciplinary space-based systems including consideration of the man-machine interface. The group also considers the interrelationships between such systems and their payloads in support of space flight missions. The current Chairman is Professor Courtland D. Perkins. There are presently 12 members.

For further information, please contact Mr. Robert C. Littlefield, area code 202/755-2453.

DAVID WILLIAMSON, JR.,
Acting Associate Administrator, National Aeronautics and Space Administration.

DECEMBER 3, 1973.

[FR Doc.73-25936 Filed 12-6-73;8:45 am]

[Notice 73-89]

NASA RESEARCH AND TECHNOLOGY ADVISORY COUNCIL, ET AL.

Notice of Meeting

The NASA Research and Technology Advisory Council, Committee on Guidance, Control and Information Systems, will meet on December 11-12, 1973, at the Jet Propulsion Laboratory, 4800 Oak Grove Drive, Pasadena, CA. The meeting will be held in Room 101, Building 180, at the Laboratory. Members of the public will be admitted to the open meeting, beginning at 9:00 a.m. on December 11, on a first-come, first-served basis up to the seating capacity of the room which is about 50 persons.

The NASA Research and Technology Advisory Council, Committee on Guidance, Control and Information Systems, serves in an advisory capacity only. In this capacity, the Committee is concerned with electronic, electro-optic and electro-mechanical sensors, components and systems, and their use in the guidance, control and processing of information in aerospace vehicles. The current Chairman is Dr. Barry W. Boehm. There are 16 members. The following list sets forth the approved agenda and schedule for the December 11-12, 1973, meeting of the Guidance, Control and Information Systems Committee. For further information, please contact Mr. Frank J. Sullivan, Area Code 202-755-2385.

DECEMBER 11, 1973

Time	*Topic*
9:00 a.m.	Chairman and Executive Secretary's Reports. (Purpose: To review results of Council meetings, and examine the features and outlook of the Guidance, Control and Information Systems program plans.)
9:30 a.m.	General Aviation Avionics. (Purpose: To review plans for an expanded program in low-cost general aviation avionics with the objective of obtaining Committee comments on this program.)
10:30 a.m.	Break.
10:45 a.m.	Short Takeoff Landing (STOL) Active Controls Aircraft. (Purpose: To review planned applications of active controls technology to STOL-type aircraft with the objective of obtaining comments for NASA's consideration.)
12:00 Noon	Lunch.
1:15 p.m.	Highly Reliable Aircraft Computers. (Purpose: To review programs aimed at developing highly reliable computer technology for commercial aircraft applications with the objective of obtaining recommendations for program direction and emphasis.)
2:30 p.m.	Break.
2:45 p.m.	Robotics/Machine Intelligence Status Report. (Purpose: To brief Committee on the status of the "robot" rover demonstration program and obtain their comments and recommendations.)

DECEMBER 12, 1973

8:30 a.m.	Microminiature Transponder Development. (Purpose: To describe a specific example of focused research and development activities and obtain Committee comments.)
9:30 a.m.	Optical Communications. (Purpose: To review current planning activities in experimental optical communication programs with the objective of obtaining comments for NASA's consideration.)
10:30 a.m.	Break.
10:45 a.m.	Committee Deliberations and Recommendations. (Purpose: To review discussions and deliberations on previously listed agenda items, identify and discuss additional items which the Committee considers important or of significant interest to NASA, and develop Committee comments and recommendations for submission to NASA.)
12:00 Noon	Adjournment.

DAVID WILLIAMSON, JR.,
Acting Associate Administrator, National Aeronautics and Space Administration.

DECEMBER 4, 1973.

[FR Doc.73-25979 Filed 12-6-73;8:45 am]

SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION

[Release No. 33-5437, 34-10496]

"HOT ISSUES" SECURITIES MARKETS DISTRIBUTION AND TRADING PHASE

Notice of Hearing

Correction

In FR Doc. 73-25161, appearing on page 32854 in the issue of Wednesday, November 28, 1973, immediately below the signature insert the date "November 14, 1973".

[File No. 7-4519-7-4525]

ARCHER-DANIELS-MIDLAND CO., ET AL.

Notice of Applications for Unlisted Trading Privileges and of Opportunity for Hearing

NOVEMBER 29, 1973.

In the matter of applications of the Boston Stock Exchange for unlisted trading privileges in certain securities.

The above named national securities exchange has filed applications with the Securities and Exchange Commission pursuant to section 12(f)(1)(B) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 and Rule 12f-1 thereunder, for unlisted trading privileges in the common stocks of the following companies, which securities are listed and registered on one or more other national securities exchanges:

	File No.
Archer-Daniels-Midland Co.	7-4519
Cavanagh Communities Corp.	7-4520
GENESCO, Inc.	7-4522
Lehman Corp.	7-4523
Technicolor, Inc.	7-4524
U.S. Industries, Inc.	7-4525

Upon receipt of a request, on or before December 15, 1973, from any interested person, the Commission will determine whether the application with respect to any of the companies named shall be set down for hearing. Any such request should state briefly the title of the security in which he is interested, the nature of the interest of the person making the request, and the position he proposes to take at the hearing, if ordered. In addition, any interested person may submit his views or any additional facts bearing on any of the said applications by means of a letter addressed to the Secretary, Securities and Exchange Commission, Washington, D.C. 20549 not later than the date specified. If no one requests a hearing with respect to any particular application, such application will be determined by order of the Commission on the basis of the facts stated therein and other information contained in the official files of the Commission pertaining thereto.

For the Commission, by the Division of Market Regulation pursuant to delegated authority.

[SEAL] GEORGE A. FITZSIMMONS,
Secretary.

[FR Doc.73-26005 Filed 12-6-73;8:45 am]

[812-3316]

AXE-HOUGHTON FUND A, INC., ET AL.

Filing of Application

NOVEMBER 30, 1973.

In the matter of Axe-Houghton Fund A, Inc., Axe-Houghton Fund B, Inc., Axe-Houghton Stock Fund, Inc., Axe Science

Corp., Axe Securities Corp., 400 Benedict Avenue, Tarrytown, New York 10591.

Notice is hereby given that Axe-Houghton Fund A, Inc., Axe-Houghton Fund B, Inc., Axe-Houghton Stock Fund, Inc. and Axe Science Corporation (collectively "Funds"), open-end management investment companies registered under the Investment Company Act of 1940 ("Act"), and Axe Securities Corporation ("Underwriter"), principal underwriter for the Funds, have filed an application pursuant to section 6(c) of the Act for an order of the Commission exempting the transactions described below from section 22(d) of the Act. All interested persons are referred to the application, as amended, on file with the Commission for a statement of the representations made therein, which are summarized below.

Section 22(d) of the Act provides, in pertinent part, that no registered investment company or principal underwriter thereof shall sell any redeemable security issued by such company to any person except at a current offering price described in the prospectus.

Shares of each of the Funds are offered to the public at net asset value plus varying sales charges depending on the amount purchased. Applicants propose to offer to persons who redeem shares of any of the Fund a one-time privilege to reinstate their accounts by repurchasing shares of either the Fund whose shares were redeemed or shares of the other Funds at their respective net asset values without a sales charge. Reinvestment without additional sales charges would be limited to the amount of the proceeds of a redemption. Eligible persons would be given notice of the privilege in writing or by telephone as part of the processing of redemption requests. To be effective, notice of the exercise of the privilege would have to be received by the Underwriter or be postmarked within 15 days after the redemption request had been received.

Reinstatement would be made at the applicable net asset value determined as of the day notice of the exercise of the privilege is received. No sales commission would be payable with respect to any purchases made pursuant to the reinvestment privilege.

Applicants contend that investors whose original decisions to redeem or terminate were either ill considered or resulted from misunderstandings as to their rights or as to the characteristics of their Fund shares would be enabled by the privilege to reestablish their investments without paying additional sales charges for doing so. Applicants further submit that because the privilege may only be exercised once and then only within a limited time after redemption it does not afford a method of speculation

Section 6(c) of the Act provides that the Commission may conditionally exempt any person or transaction from any provisions of the Act if such exemption is necessary or appropriate in the public interest and consistent with the protection of investors and the purpose fairly intended by the policy and provisions of the Act.

Notice is further given that any interested person may, not later than December 26, 1973, at 5:30 p.m., submit to the Commission in writing a request for a hearing on this matter accompanied by a statement as to the nature of his interest, the reason for such request and the issues of fact or law proposed to be controverted, or he may request that he be notified if the Commission shall order a hearing thereon. Any such communication should be addressed: Secretary, Securities and Exchange Commission, Washington, D.C. 20549 A copy of such request shall be served personally or by mail (air mail if the person being served is located more than 500 miles from the point of mailing) upon Applicants at the address stated above. Proof of such service (by affidavit, or in case of an attorney-at-law, by certificate) shall be filed contemporaneously with the request. As provided by Rule 0-5 of the rules and regulations promulgated under the Act, an order disposing of the application herein will be issued by the Commission as of course following December 26, 1973, unless the Commission thereafter orders a hearing upon request or upon the Commission's own motion. Persons who request a hearing or advice as to whether a hearing is ordered will receive notice of further developments in this matter, including the date of the hearign (if ordered) and any postponements thereof.

For the Commission, by the Division of Investment Management Regulation, pursuant to delegated authority.

[SEAL] GEORGE A. FITZSIMMONS, *Secretary.*

[FR Doc.73-26017 Filed 12-6-73;8:45 am]

[File No. 500-1]

BBI, INC.

Notice of Suspension of Trading

NOVEMBER 29, 1973.

The common stock of BBI, Inc., being traded on the American Stock Exchange and the Philadelphia-Baltimore-Washington Stock Exchange pursuant to provisions of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 and all other securities of BBI, Inc. being traded otherwise than on a national securities exchange; and

It appearing to the Securities and Exchange Commission that the summary suspension of trading in such securities on such exchanges and otherwise than on a national securities exchange is required in the public interest and for the protection of investors;

Therefore, pursuant to sections 19(a)(4) and 15(c)(5) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, trading in such securities on the above mentioned exchange and otherwise than on a national securities exchange is suspended, for the period from November 30 through December 9, 1973.

By the Commission.

[SEAL] GEORGE A. FITZSIMMONS, *Secretary.*

[FR Doc.73-26006 Filed 12-6-73;8:45 am]

[File No. 500-1]

CANADIAN JAVELIN, LTD.

Notice of Suspension of Trading

NOVEMBER 29, 1973.

The common stock of Canadian Javelin, Ltd. being traded on the American Stock Exchange pursuant to provisions of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 and all other securities of Canadian Javelin, Ltd. being traded otherwise than on a national securities exchange; and

It appearing to the Securities and Exchange Commission that the summary suspension of trading in such securities on such exchange and otherwise than on a national securities exchange is required in the public interest and for the protection of investors;

Therefore, pursuant to sections 19(a)(4) and 15(c)(5) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, trading in such securities on the above mentioned exchange and otherwise than on a national securities exchange is suspended, for the period from 3:15 p.m., e.s.t. November 29, 1973 through midnight (e.s.t.) December 8, 1973.

By the Commission.

[SEAL] GEORGE A. FITZSIMMONS, *Secretary.*

[FR Doc.73-26008 Filed 12-6-73;8:45 am]

[70-5427]

COLUMBIA GAS SYSTEM, INC., ET AL.

Proposed Open Account Advances to Subsidiary Companies by Parent Company in Connection With Intrasystem Prepayment of Promissory Notes and Related Transactions

NOVEMBER 30, 1973.

In the matter of the Columbia Gas System, Inc., Columbia Lng Corp., 20 Montchanin Road, Wilmington, Delaware 19807; Columbia Gas Transmission Corp., 1700 MacCorkle Avenue SE., Charleston, West Virginia 25314; The Ohio Valley Gas Co., Columbia Gas of Ohio, Inc., Columbia Gas of Kentucky, Inc., Columbia Gas of Virginia, Inc., Columbia Gas of West Virginia, Inc., Columbia Gas of Pennsylvania, Inc., Columbia Gas of New York, Inc., Columbia Gas of Maryland, Inc., 99 North Front Street, Columbus, Ohio 43215; Columbia Gulf Transmission Co., 3805 West Alabama Avenue, Houston, Texas 77027; Columbia Hydrocarbon Corp., The Inland Gas Co., Inc., 340 17th Street, Ashland, Kentucky 41101.

Notice is hereby given that The Columbia Gas System, Inc. ("Columbia"), a registered holding company, and its wholly-owned subsidiary companies listed above, have filed an application-

declaration with this Commission pursuant to the Public Utility Holding Company Act of 1935 ("Act"), designating sections 6(a), 6(b), 9, 10, and 12(b) of the Act and rules 42(b)(2), 45, and 50(a)(3) promulgated thereunder as applicable to the proposed transactions. All interested persons are referred to the application-declaration, which is summarized below, for a complete statement of the proposed transactions.

It is stated that during the winter heating season Columbia's distribution subsidiary companies generate substantial amounts of cash in excess of current requirements. During the same period, however, the transmission subsidiary companies generate lesser amounts of cash and have generally larger construction expenditures, requiring Columbia to advance funds to such subsidiary companies. In recent years, however, the Commission has authorized open account advances by Columbia to subsidiary companies and certain related transactions which are designed to alleviate this situation. The present filing requests authorization to continue such transactions during the calendar year 1974.

It is proposed that the subsidiary companies listed below will prepay from time to time prior to the end of 1974, with excess cash in aggregate amounts not to exceed the amounts set forth below, a portion of their outstanding installment promissory notes ("Notes") held by Columbia. The following amounts represent the estimated aggregate maximum excess funds that such companies are expected to accumulate at any one time during the year 1974:

Columbia Gas Transmission Corp	$100,000,000
Columbia Gas of Pennsylvania, Inc	20,000,000
Columbia Gas of New York, Inc	5,000,000
Columbia Gas of Maryland, Inc	2,000,000
Columbia Gas of Kentucky, Inc	4,000,000
Columbia Gas of Virginia, Inc	2,000,000
Columbia Gas of West Virginia, Inc	7,000,000
Columbia Gas of Ohio, Inc	50,000,000
The Ohio Valley Gas Co	5,000,000
Columbia Gulf Transmission Co	30,000,000
Columbia Hydrocarbon Corp	2,500,000
The Inland Gas Co., Inc	1,500,000
Columbia LNG Corp	30,000,000
Total	259,000,000

The Notes ("Indebtedness") prepaid by the individual companies will be those bearing the highest interest rate or rates outstanding at the time of each prepayment. Interest on such Indebtedness will cease upon prepayment and recommence upon reissuance. As any of such companies require funds for construction and other corporate purposes after prepayment, it is proposed that advances be made to them on open account by Columbia, provided that at no time will the amount of such advances to any subsidiary exceed the amount of Indebtedness theretofore prepaid by it, less any current maturities applicable to prepaid Notes which would have matured subsequent to the date of prepayment.

The open account advances to any subsidiary company will bear interest commencing on the date of the advance, at the same rate or rates as borne by the equivalent principal amounts of Indebtedness previously prepaid by it during 1974, but in reverse order to that of the prepayments, i.e., beginning from the lowest rate payable on the Indebtedness previously prepaid to the highest rate. It is further proposed that advances on open account to individual subsidiary companies will be increased or decreased from time to time in accordance with variations in the cash flow of the individual subsidiary companies. The proposed advances will not be in excess of the Indebtedness prepaid theretofore. At such time as the advances to any subsidiary company equal the aggregate amount of the Indebtedness prepaid by it, or in any event not later than December 31, 1974, such prepaid Indebtedness will be reinstated in repayment of the outstanding open account advances.

Financing of construction or gas storage programs of any operating subsidiary company pursuant to Commission authorization will not be consummated until such time as advances have been made in amount equal to the amount of Indebtedness prepaid. Any subsidiary company which during 1974 has borrowed on open account from Columbia an amount smaller than the amount of Indebtedness theretofore prepaid by it, will, on December 31, 1974, reinstate its Indebtedness to Columbia in an amount sufficient to discharge its open account borrowings, and the balance of its prepaid Indebtedness will be considered to have been permanently prepaid. Such permanent prepayment would be applied against Indebtedness bearing the highest interest rates and would be consummated only with respect to Indebtedness bearing interest at a rate equal to or in excess of the rate applicable to borrowings by subsidiary companies from Columbia as at December 31, 1974. In the event that a permanent prepayment by any subsidiary company would be indicated with respect to Notes bearing an interest rate less than the rate applicable to debt purchased by Columbia from subsidiary companies at December 31, 1974, such Notes will be reissued by the subsidiary company at or before the end of 1974.

It is stated that the proposed transactions are designed to achieve the following: (1) Flexibility to prepay at the earliest possible date inventory loans with commercial banks and other short-term borrowings, (2) defer outside financing until aggregate system funds approach a minimum balance, (3) facilitate the internal financing of emergency requirements, and (4) allow operating subsidiaries, during any period in which they have excess cash, to temporarily prepay Notes owed Columbia, thereby decreasing their own net corporate interest expense.

Expenses to be incurred by Columbia and its subsidiary companies in connection with the proposed transactions are estimated at $4,500, including $2,000 for services provided by Columbia Gas System Service Corporation.

It is stated that the Public Service Commission of West Virginia has authorized the prepayment and reissuance of prepaid Notes by Columbia Gas of West Virginia, Inc., that the Public Service Commission of New York has authorized the reissuance of prepaid Notes by Columbia Gas of New York, Inc., and that the Public Service Commission of Kentucky and the State Corporation Commission of Virginia have authorized the issuance of prepaid Notes by Columbia Gas of Kentucky, Inc., and Columbia Gas of Virginia, Inc., respectively. No other state commission or Federal commission, other than this Commission, has jurisdiction over the proposed transactions.

Notice is further given that any interested person may, not later than December 27, 1973, request in writing that a hearing be held on such matter, stating the fact or law raised by the application-declaration which he desires to controvert; or he may request that he be notified if the Commission should order a hearing in respect thereof. Any such request should be addressed: Secretary, Securities and Exchange Commission, Washington, D.C. 20549. A copy of such request should be served personally or by mail (air mail if the person being served is located more than 500 miles from the point of mailing) upon the applicants-declarants at the above-stated address, and proof of service (by affidavit or, in case of an attorney-at-law, by certificate) should be filed with the request. At any time after said date, the application-declaration, as filed or as it may be amended, may be granted and permitted to become effective as provided in rule 23 of the general rules and regulations promulgated under the Act, or the Commission may grant exemption from such rules as provided in rules 20(a) and 100 thereof or take such other action as it may deem appropriate. Persons who request a hearing as to whether a hearing is ordered will receive notice of further developments in this matter, including the date of the hearing (if ordered) and any postponements thereof.

For the Commission, by the Division of Corporate Regulation, pursuant to delegated authority.

[SEAL] GEORGE A. FITZSIMMONS, *Secretary.*

[FR Doc.73-26018 Filed 12-6-73;8:45 am]

[812-3485]

COMMERCE FUND, INC., ET AL.

Notice of Application

NOVEMBER 30, 1973.

In the matter of Commerce Fund, Inc., Impact Fund, Inc., Industries Trend Fund, Inc., Pilot Fund, Inc., Funds, Inc., 711 Polk Street, Houston, Texas 77002.

Notice is hereby given that Commerce Fund, Inc., Impact Fund, Inc., Indus-

tries Trend Fund, Inc., and Pilot Fund, Inc. (collectively "Funds"), open-end diversified management investment companies registered under the Investment Company Act of 1940 ("Act"), and Funds, Inc. ("Underwriter"), the principal underwriter for the Funds (collectively "Applicants"), have filed an application pursuant to section 6(c) of the Act for an order of the Commission exempting the transactions described below from section 22(d) of the Act. All interested persons are referred to the application, as amended, on file with the Commission for a statement of the representations made therein, which are summarized below.

Section 22(d) of the Act provides, in pertinent part, that no registered investment company or principal underwriter thereof shall sell any redeemable security issued by such company to any person except at a current offering price described in the prospectus.

Securities of the Funds are sold at prices which, as described in the prospectuses of such Funds, include sales charges. Applicants propose to offer to persons who have caused all or a portion of their shares of any of the Funds to be redeemed, the privilege of either reinstating their accounts without any sales charges or purchasing shares of any of the other Funds through the exercise of an exchange privilege available to the shareholders of each of the Funds. Such privilege could be exercised only once. Reinvestment without sales charges would be limited to the amount of the proceeds of a redemption. Shareholders electing to exercise the exchange privilege would be charged a service charge, currently $5.00, and would otherwise have to be eligible for such privilege. A written order for reinstatement or exchange accompanied by payment for the shares involved and the service charge, if applicable, would have to be postmarked, or received by the Underwriter or the Funds, within fifteen days after redemption and would be processed at the net asset value next determined following receipt of such written request and payment. No sales commissions would be received by the Underwriter or any sales representatives on such reinvestment purchases.

It is contemplated that the Underwriter, at its expense, would include a copy of the current prospectus of the Fund and a letter containing information pertinent to redemption and the privilege of reinvestment or exchange with the redemption check mailed to a redeeming shareholder. Telephone calls to redeeming shareholders by the Underwriters are also planned.

Applicants contend that the proposed privilege will enable investors to be reminded of features of their investment which they may have overlooked or misunderstood at the time they redeemed and would permit an investor to rectify a mistake without paying additional sales charges. Applicants further submit that since the privilege may only be exercised once by any shareholder and only for a limited period, the possibility of speculation on short term declines in net asset values is minimized.

Section 6(c) of the Act provides that the Commission may by order upon application conditionally or unconditionally exempt any person, securities or transaction from any provisions of the Act if and to the extent that such exemption is necessary or appropriate in the public interest and consistent with the protection of investors and the purposes fairly intended by the policy and provisions of the Act.

Notice is further given, that any interested person may, no later than December 26, 1973, at 5:30 p.m., submit to the Commission in writing a request for a hearing on the matter accompanied by a statement as to the nature of his interest, the reason for such request and the issues of fact or law proposed to be controverted, or he may request that he be notified if the Commission shall order a hearing thereon. Any such communication should be addressed: Secretary, Securities and Exchange Commission, Washington, D.C. 20549. A copy of such request shall be served personally or by mail (air mail if the person being served is located more than 500 miles from the point of mailing) upon the Applicants at the addresses stated above. Proof of such service (by affidavit or in case of an attorney-at-law by certificate) shall be filed contemporaneously with the request. As provided by rule 0-5 of the rules and regulations promulgated under the Act, an order disposing of the application will be issued as of course following December 26, 1973, unless the Commission thereafter orders a hearing upon request or upon the Commission's own motion. Persons who request a hearing or advice as to whether a hearing is ordered, will receive notice of further developments in the matter, including the date of the hearing (if ordered) and any postponements thereof.

For the Commission, by the Division of Investment Management Regulation, pursuant to delegated authority.

[SEAL] GEORGE A. FITZSIMMONS,
Secretary.

[FR Doc.73-26019 Filed 12-6-73;8:45 am]

[File No. 74-4521]

DIVERSIFIED MORTGAGE INVESTORS

Notice of Application for Unlisted Trading Privileges and of Opportunity for Hearing

NOVEMBER 29, 1973.

In the matter of application of the Boston Stock Exchange for unlisted trading privileges in a certain security.

The above named national securities exchange has filed an application with the Securities and Exchange Commission pursuant to section 12(f)(1)(B) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 and Rule 12f-1 thereunder, for unlisted trading privileges in the shares of beneficial interest of the following company, which security is listed and registered on one or more other national securities exchanges:

Diversified Mortgage Investors, Shares of Beneficial Interest NPV, File No. 7-4521.

Upon receipt of a request, on or before December 15, 1973 from any interested person, the Commission will determine whether the application shall be set down for hearing. Any such request should state briefly the nature of the interest of the person making the request and the position he proposes to take at the hearing, if ordered. In addition, any interested person may submit his views or any additional facts bearing on the said application by means of a letter addressed to the Secretary, Securities and Exchange Commission, Washington, D.C. 20549 not later than the date specified. If no one requests a hearing, this application will be determined by order of the Commission on the basis of the facts stated therein and other information contained in the official files of the Commission pertaining thereto.

For the Commission by the Division of Market Regulation, pursuant to delegated authority.

GEORGE A. FITZSIMMONS,
Secretary.

[FR Doc.73-26007 Filed 12-6-73;8:45 am]

[70-5430]

EASTERN UTILITIES ASSOCIATES, ET AL.

Proposed Issue and Sale of Notes and Open Account Advances

NOVEMBER 30, 1973.

In the matter of Eastern Utilities Associates, P.O. Box 2333, Boston, Massachusetts 02107; Blackstone Valley Electric Co., P.O. Box 1111, Lincoln, Rhode Island 02865; Brockton Edison Co., 36 Main Street, Brockton, Massachusetts 02403; Fall River Electric Light Co., 85 North Main Street, Fall River, Massachusetts 02722; Montaup Electric Co., P.O. Box 391, Fall River, Massachusetts 02722.

Notice is hereby given that Eastern Utilities Associates ("EUA"), a registered holding company, and its four electric utility subsidiary companies, Blackstone Valley Electric Company ("Blackstone"), Brockton Edison Company ("Brockton"), Fall River Electric Light Company ("Fall River"), and Montaup Electric Company ("Montaup"), have filed a declaration with this Commission pursuant to the Public Utility Holding Company Act of 1935 ("Act"), designating sections 6(a), 7, 12(b), 12(c), and 12(f) of the Act and rule 45(a) promulgated thereunder as applicable to the proposed transactions. All interested persons are referred to the declaration, which is summarized below, for a complete statement of the proposed transactions.

During the period ending December 31, 1974, EUA, Blackstone, Brockton, Fall River, and Montaup propose to issue and sell short-term, unsecured promissory notes to banks, and in the cases of Blackstone, Brockton, and Fall River to also receive open-account advances from EUA, in the maximum aggregate amounts to be outstanding at any one time as shown below:

(Thousands of Dollars)

	EUA	Blackstone	Brockton	Fall River	Montaup
The Chase Manhattan Bank (N.A.), New York, N.Y.	$20,000	$1,000	$1,500	$2,000	$5,000
Industrial National Bank of Rhode Island, Providence, R.I.	----	1,200	----	----	----
Rhode Island Hospital Trust National Bank, Providence, R.I.	----	1,200	----	----	----
The First National Bank of Boston, Mass.	10,300	----	1,500	1,500	18,600
State Street Bank and Trust Company, Boston, Mass.	----	----	2,000	----	5,000
The National Shawmut Bank of Boston, Mass.	----	----	2,150	----	7,000
Plymouth-Home National Bank, Brockton, Mass.	----	----	750	----	----
First County National Bank, Brockton, Mass.	----	----	400	----	----
B.M.C. Durfee Trust Company, Fall River, Mass.	----	----	----	700	----
Fall River Trust Company, Fall River, Mass.	----	----	----	700	----
Fall River National Bank, Fall River, Mass.	----	----	----	350	----
Total from banks	30,300	3,400	8,300	5,550	35,600
From EUA	----	26,100	5,300	1,700	----
Maximum amount of aggregate short-term borrowings from banks and advances from EUA to be outstanding at any one time	30,300	29,500	13,600	7,250	35,600

It is proposed that the borrowings of any company from any particular bank may at times be increased to twice the amount shown above in respect of that bank, provided that the aggregate bank borrowings of that company shall at no time exceed its total amount of bank borrowings set forth above.

The notes to banks will be dated as of the date of issuance, will mature no later than December 31, 1974, and will be prepayable in whole or in part without penalty. It is represented that some of the lending banks will require compensating balances and that others will not. With respect to notes for which compensating balances are required, the notes will bear interest at not in excess of the prime rate (or, in some cases, not in excess of the lowest "floating" rate) charged by the lending bank on the date of issuance. Assuming a 15% compensating balance and a prime rate of 9½% per annum, the effective interest cost on such notes would be 11.176% per annum. Notes as to which no compensating balances are required will bear interest at the lending bank's prime rate plus an adjustment equivalent to an imputed 15% compensating balance requirement. Such adjusted interest rate would be 11.176% per annum, assuming a prime or "floating" rate of 9½%.

The advances by EUA to Blackstone and Brockton will be subordinated to the rights of the preferred stockholders of Blackstone and Brockton, respectively, to receive dividends and liquidation payments if, and so long as, (a) preferred stock dividends are in arrears (or in the event of liquidation, the liquidation rights of preferred stockholders have not been satisfied) and (b) the sum of the advances from EUA, the notes payable to banks and all other securities representing unsecured debt, maturing in less than 10 years, exceeds 10% of the company's secured debt, capital stocks, premium, and surplus. The advances will bear interest payable on April 1, 1974, July 1, 1974, October 1, 1974, and December 31, 1974, at the prime or lowest "floating" rate in effect at The First National Bank of Boston or The Chase Manhattan Bank, N.A. ("Chase") (depending on which bank loaned EUA the funds being advanced) on those respective dates. To the extent advances have been made hereunder from the proceeds of issuance by EUA of its five-year unsecured promissory notes to Chase (Holding Company Act Release No. 17085), such advances shall bear interest payable at the rate incurred by EUA on the five-year note. Since Blackstone, Brockton and Fall River are to maintain a 15% compensating balance with Chase with regard to EUA's borrowings under said note, the effective interest cost for the open account advances from the note proceeds would be 12.353% per annum, assuming a prime or "floating" rate of 9½%.

It is stated that the proceeds from the proposed notes and advances will be used to meet cash requirements for construction, to provide funds for compensating balances with lending banks through December 31, 1974, and to pay outstanding short-term loans. On January 2, 1974, Blackstone, Brockton, Fall River and Montaup expect to have outstanding short-term loans of $26,100,000 (including $22,700,000 advance from EUA to Blackstone), $9,000,000 (including $2,700,000 advance from EUA to Brockton), $5,950,000 (including $1,700,000 advance from EUA to Fall River) and $9,100,000, respectively.

Blackstone, Brockton, or Fall River may prepay its notes to banks, in whole or in part, by the use of an advance from EUA, or may repay an advance from EUA with the proceeds of notes issued to banks. If the interest rate on a note issued to a bank for the purpose of obtaining funds to repay an advance from EUA shall exceed the rate on the advance being repaid, EUA shall reimburse or credit Blackstone, Brockton, or Fall River, as the case may be, for the added interest required for the term of the note so issued.

In the event of any permanent financing by any of the borrowing companies (with the exception of permanent financing by EUA the proceeds of which are applied to the payment or prepayment of its five-year note), the net cash proceeds therefrom will be applied to the payment of its short-term note indebtedness or advances from EUA then outstanding, and the maximum amount of short-term note indebtedness and advances to be outstanding at any one time, as proposed herein, will be reduced by the amount of the proceeds of such permanent financing. The declaration states that no state commission and no federal commission, other than this Commission, has jurisdiction over the proposed transactions. The fees and expenses to be incurred in connection with the proposed transactions are to be supplied by amendment.

Notice is further given that any interested person may, not later than December 26, 1973, request in writing that a hearing be held in respect of such matter, stating the nature of his interest, the reasons for such request, and the issues of fact or law raised by the declaration which he desires to controvert; or he may request that he be notified should the Commission order a hearing in respect thereof. Any such request should be addressed: Secretary, Securities and Exchange Commission, Washington, D.C. 20549. A copy of such request should be served personally or by mail (air mail if the person being served is located more than 500 miles from the point of mailing) upon the declarants at the above-stated addresses, and proof or service (by affidavit, or, in case of an attorney at law, by certificate) should be filed with the request. At any time after said date, the declaration, as it may be amended, may be granted and permitted to become effective as provided in rule 23 of the general rules and regulations promulgated under the Act, or the Commission may grant exemption from such rules as provided in rules 20(a) and 100 thereof or take such other action as it may deem appropriate. Persons who request a hearing or advice as to whether a hearing is ordered will receive notice of further developments in this matter, including the date of the hearing (if ordered) and any postponements thereof.

For the Commission, by the Division of Corporate Regulation, pursuant to delegated authority.

[SEAL] GEORGE A. FITZSIMMONS,
Secretary.

[FR Doc.73-26020 Filed 12-6-73;8:45 am]

[File No. 500-1]

EQUITY FUNDING CORPORATION OF AMERICA

Notice of Suspension of Trading

NOVEMBER 30, 1973.

The common stock of Equity Funding Corporation of America being traded on the New York Stock Exchange, the Midwest Stock Exchange, the Pacific-Coast Stock Exchange, the Philadelphia-Baltimore-Washington Stock Exchange, the Boston Stock Exchange; warrants to

purchase the common stock being traded on the American Stock Exchange and the Philadelphia-Baltimore-Washington Stock Exchange; 9½ percent debentures due 1990 being traded on the New York Stock Exchange; and 5½ percent convertible subordinated debentures due 1991 being traded on the New York Stock Exchange pursuant to provisions of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 and all other securities of Equity Funding Corporation of America being traded otherwise than on a national securities exchange; and

It appearing to the Securities and Exchange Commission that the summary suspension of trading in such securities on such exchanges and otherwise than on a national securities exchange is required in the public interest and for the protection of investors;

Therefore, pursuant to sections 19(a)(4) and 15(c)(5) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, trading in such securities on the above-mentioned exchanges and otherwise than on a national securities exchange is suspended, for the period from December 3, 1973 through December 12, 1973.

By the Commission.

GEORGE A. FITZSIMMONS,
Secretary.

[FR Doc.73-26009 Filed 12-6-73;8:45 am]

[70-5432]

GPU SERVICE CORP.

Proposed Issue and Sale of Notes to Banks by Subsidiary Service Company and Guaranty by Holding Company

NOVEMBER 30, 1973.

Notice is hereby given that General Public Utilities Corporation ("GPU"), 80 Pine Street, New York, New York 10005, a registered holding company, and its subsidiary service company, GPU Service Corporation, 80 Pine Street, New York, New York 10005 ("Service Company"), have filed a declaration with this Commission pursuant to the Public Utility Holding Company Act of 1935 ("Act"), designating sections 6, 7(a), and 12 of the Act as applicable to the proposed transactions. All interested persons are referred to the declaration, which is summarized below, for a complete statement of the proposed transactions.

It is proposed that Service Company issue its unsecured promissory notes to The Fidelity Bank, Philadelphia, Pennsylvania (the "Bank") pursuant to a written Loan Agreement with the Bank to evidence a borrowing of $6,000,000 and for GPU to guarantee the payment of principal and interest on such borrowing. Such borrowing is to replace construction loans previously issued to finance the construction and partial equipping of its Reading, Pennsylvania headquarters and currently outstanding, which loans mature on December 31, 1973. This borrowing will be payable during a five (5) year term in nineteen (19) consecutive quarterly installments in the amount of $50,000 each, commencing on March 31, 1974, and continuing quarterly thereafter on the last business day of each June, September, December and March with a final installment in the amount of the entire unpaid balance of $5,050,000 payable on December 31, 1978. The borrowing will bear interest on the unpaid principal balance at a rate at all times equal to one hundred twenty-five percent (125%) of the large business prime rate of interest in effect at the Bank from time to time, payable on the principal payment dates. Service Company will have the right to prepay the indebtedness without premium at any time in whole or in part, and will not be required to maintain any compensating balances in respect of the borrowing.

The estimated expenses of Service Company and GPU in connection with these transactions are $7,000. No state commission and no federal commission, other than this Commission, has jurisdiction over the proposed transactions.

Notice is further given that any interested person may, not later than December 26, 1973, request in writing that a hearing be held on such matter, stating the nature of his interest, the reasons for such request, and the issues of fact or law raised by said declaration which he desires to controvert; or he may request that he be notified if the Commission should order a hearing thereon. Any such request should be addressed: Secretary, Securities and Exchange Commission, Washington, D.C. 20549. A copy of such request should be served personally or by mail (air mail if the person being served is located more than 500 miles from the point of mailing) upon the declarant at the above-stated address, and proof of service (by affidavit or, in the case of an attorney at law, by certificate) should be filed with the request. At any time after said date, the declaration, as filed or as it may be amended, may be permitted to become effective as provided in rule 23 of the general rules and regulations promulgated under the Act, or the Commission may grant exemption from such rules as provided in rules 20(a) and 100 thereof or take such other action as it may deem appropriate. Persons who request a hearing or advice as to whether a hearing is ordered will receive notice of further developments in this matter, including the date of the hearing (if ordered) and any postponements thereof.

For the Commission, by the Division of Corporate Regulation, pursuant to delegated authority.

[SEAL] GEORGE A. FITZSIMMONS,
Secretary.

[FR Doc.73-26021 Filed 12-6-73;8:45 am]

[File No. 500-1]

INDUSTRIES INTERNATIONAL, INC.

Suspension of Trading

NOVEMBER 30, 1973.

It appearing to the Securities and Exchange Commission that the summary suspension of trading in the common stock of Industries International, Inc. being traded otherwise than on a national securities exchange is required in the public interest and for the protection of investors;

Therefore, pursuant to section 15(c)(5) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, trading in such securities otherwise than on a national securities exchange is suspended, for the period from December 3, 1973 through December 12, 1973.

By the Commission.

[SEAL] GEORGE A. FITZSIMMONS,
Secretary.

[FR Doc.73-26010 Filed 12-6-73;8:45 am]

METROPOLITAN EDISON CO.

Proposed Issue and Sale of Short-Term Notes to Banks

NOVEMBER 30, 1973.

Notice is hereby given that Metropolitan Edison Company ("Met-Ed"), 2800 Pottsville Pike, Muhlenberg Township, Berks County, Pennsylvania 19605, an electric utility subsidiary company of General Public Utilities Corporation, a registered holding company, has filed an application with this Commission pursuant to the Public Utility Holding Company Act of 1935 ("Act"), designating section 6(b) thereof as applicable to the proposed transactions. All interested persons are referred to the application, which is summarized below, for a complete statement of the proposed transactions.

Met-Ed requests that, for the period commencing on January 1, 1974, and ending December 31, 1974, the exemption from the provisions of Section 6(a) of the Act afforded to it by the first sentence of Section 6(b) thereof relating to the issue and sale of short-term notes be increased above the 5% limitation to permit Met-Ed to issue and sell to banks up to $65,000,000 of short-term notes to be outstanding at any one time. As of September 30, 1973, such amount of short-term debt would have represented 8.9% of the principal amount and par value of the other securities of Met-Ed then outstanding. It is stated that Met-Ed had $29,850,000 principal amount of its short-term notes outstanding at November 15, 1973.

The new notes will bear interest at the prime rate in effect for commercial borrowings at the lending banks, will mature not later than nine months from the date of issue, and will be prepayable at any time without premium. Although no commitments or agreements for such borrowings have been made, if this application is granted by the Commission, Met-Ed expects that, as and to the extent that its cash needs require, borrowings will be effected from among a group of thirty-six banks, the names of which and the maximum amounts to be borrowed from each are listed in the filing. It is stated that Met-Ed will be required to maintain compensating balances with each of the banks of approximately 10% of the line of credit or 20% of the borrowings, whichever is higher. Assuming a 9½% prime rate and a 20% compensat-

ing balance, the effective interest cost for such loans would be 11.88%.

It is stated that Met-Ed proposes to utilize the proceeds of the proposed borrowings to provide funds for its short-term working capital requirements, including repayment of other short-term borrowings, and to provide, in the gaps between permanent financings, a temporary source of funds for construction expenditures.

The application states that Met-Ed's expenses incident to the proposed issuance of notes will be approximately $7,500, including legal fees of $5,000, and that no state commission or federal commission, other than this Commission, has jurisdiction over the proposed transactions.

Notice is further given that any interested person may, not later than December 27, 1973, request in writing that a hearing be held on such matter, stating the nature of his interest, the reasons for such request, and the issues of fact or law raised by said application which he desires to controvert; or he may request that he be notified if the Commission should order a hearing thereon. Any such request should be addressed: Secretary, Securities and Exchange Commission, Washington, D.C. 20549. A copy of such request should be served personally or by mail (air mail if the person being served is located more than 500 miles from the point of mailing) upon the applicant at the above-stated address, and proof of service (by affidavit or, in case of an attorney at law, by certificate) should be filed with the request. At any time after said date, the application, as filed or as it may be amended, may be granted as provided in rule 23 of the general rules and regulations promulgated under the Act or the Commission may grant exemption from such rules as provided in rules 20(a) and 100 thereof or take such other action as it may deem appropriate. Persons who request a hearing or advice as to whether a hearing is ordered will receive notice of further developments in this matter, including the date of the hearing (if ordered) and any postponements thereof.

For the Commission, by the Division of Corporate Regulation, pursuant to delegated authority.

[SEAL] GEORGE A. FITZSIMMONS,
Secretary.

[FR Doc.73-26022 Filed 12-6-73;8:45 am]

[70-5253]

NEW ENGLAND ELECTRIC SYSTEM

Post-Effective Amendment Proposing Extension of Time Within Which Holding Company May Issue and Sell Short-Term Notes

NOVEMBER 30, 1973.

Notice is hereby given that New England Electric System ("NEES"), 20 Turnpike Rd., Westborough, Mass. 01581, a registered holding company, has filed with this Commission a post-effective amendment to the amended application-declaration heretofore filed in this proceeding pursuant to sections 6(a) and 7 of the Public Utility Holding Company Act of 1935 ("Act") and rule 50(a)(5) promulgated thereunder. All interested persons are referred to the application-declaration, as heretofore amended and it is now further amended, summarized below, for a complete statement of the proposed transactions.

By order dated November 14, 1972 (Holding Company Act Release No. 17760), the Commission authorized NEES to issue and sell, from time to time prior to December 31, 1973, short-term notes in the form of commercial paper and notes to banks, in an aggregate amount not exceeding $30,000,000 at any one time outstanding. NEES intends to repay said notes using the proceeds from the sale of its remaining subsidiary gas utility companies, the sales of which were authorized by orders of the Commission in Holding Company Act Release Nos. 18133 (October 25, 1973) and 18149 (October 31, 1973). NEES represents that the consummation of the sale of its remaining three gas companies may not take place prior to December 31, 1973. Consequently, NEES requests that the authorization to issue and sell short-term promissory notes be extended through June 30, 1974. NEES states that in all other respects the transactions will remain unchanged. There will be no additional fees or expenses incurred.

Notice is further given that any interested person may, not later than December 27, 1973, request in writing that a hearing be held on such matter, stating the nature of his interest, the reasons for such request, and the issues of fact or law raised by said post-effective amendment to the application-declaration which he desires to controvert; or he may request that he be notified if the Commission should order a hearing thereon. Any such request should be addressed: Secretary, Securities and Exchange Commission, Washington, D.C. 20549. A copy of such request should be served personally or by mail (air mail if the person being served is located more than 500 miles from the point of mailing) upon the applicant-declarant at the above-stated address, and proof of service (by affidavit or, in case of an attorney-at-law, by certificate) should be filed with the request. At any time after said date, the application-declaration, as amended by the post-effective amendment, may be granted and permitted to become effective in the matter provided by rule 23 of the general rules and regulations promulgated under the Act, or the Commission may grant exemption from such rules as provided in rules 20(a) and 100 thereof or take such action as it may deem appropriate. Persons who request a hearing or advice as to whether a hearing is ordered will receive notice of further developments in this matter, including the date of the hearing (if ordered) and any postponements thereof.

For the Commission, by the Division of Corporate Regulation, pursuant to delegated authority.

[SEAL] GEORGE A. FITZSIMMONS,
Secretary.

[FR Doc.73-26023 Filed 12-6-73;8:45 am]

[70-5281]

NEW ENGLAND ELECTRIC SYSTEM, ET AL.

Third Post-effective Amendment Proposing To Extend the Time in Which To Make Short-term Borrowings and To Increase Short-term Borrowing Limits

NOVEMBER 10, 1973.

In the matter of New England Electric System, Granite State Electric Co., Lynn Gas Co., Massachusetts Electric Co., Mystic Valley Gas Co., The Narragansett Electric Co., New England Power Co., North Shore Gas Co., 20 Turnpike Road, Westborough, Massachusetts 01581.

Notice is hereby given that New England Electric System ("NEES"), a registered holding company, and the above-named subsidiary companies, have filed with this Commission a third post-effective amendment to the amended application-declaration heretofore filed in this proceeding pursuant to sections 6(a), 7, 9(a), 10 and 12(c) of the Public Utility Holding Company Act of 1935 ("Act") and rule 42 promulgated thereunder. All interested persons are referred to the application-declaration, as heretofore amended and as it is now further amended, summarized below, for a complete statement of the proposed transactions.

By orders dated January 3, September 13 and November 28, 1973 (Holding Company Act Release Nos. 17836, 18094 and 18192), the Commission authorized the above-named NEES subsidiary companies to issue from time to time through December 31, 1973, unsecured short-term promissory notes to banks, to dealers in commercial paper and to NEES.

Applicants now request that the Commission's above-described orders be further amended to permit the applicant NEES subsidiary companies, so listed to extend their short-term borrowing limits from December 31, 1973, through March 31, 1974. It is also requested that the borrowing authority for Mystic Valley Gas Company ("Mystic Valley"), be increased from $19,000,000 to $24,500,000. Mystic Valley has $5,500,000 of its first mortgage bonds due on February 1, 1974, which it intends to refinance with short-term borrowings.

In all other respects the transactions remain unchanged. The applicants represent that there will be no additional fees or expenses incurred as a result of the proposed transactions.

Notice is further given that any interested person may, not later than December 27, 1973, request in writing that a hearing be held on such matter, stating the nature of his interest, the reasons for such request, and the issues of fact or

law raised by said post-effective amendment to the application-declaration which he desires to controvert; or he may request that he be notified if the Commisson should order a hearing thereon. Any such request should be addressed: Secretary, Securities and Exchange Commission, Washington, D.C. 20549. A copy of such request should be served personally or by mail (air mail if the person being served is located more than 500 miles from the point of mailing) upon the applicants-declarants at the above-stated address, and proof of service (by affidavit or, in case of an attorney-at-law, by certificate) should be filed with the request. At any time after said date, the application-declaration, as amended by the post-effective amendment, may be granted and permitted to become effective in the manner provided by rule 23 of the general rules and regulations promulgated under the Act, or the Commission should order a hearing thereon. rules as provided in rules 20(a) and 100 thereof or take such other action as it may deem appropriate. Persons who request or advice as to whether a hearing is ordered will receive notice of further developments in this matter, including the date of the hearing (if ordered) and any postponements thereof.

For the Commission, by the Division of Corporate Regulation, pursuant to delegated authority.

[SEAL] GEORGE A. FITZSIMMONS,
Secretary.

[FR Doc.73-26024 Filed 12-6-73;8:45 am]

[File No. 500-1]

PATTERSON CORP.

Suspension of Trading

NOVEMBER 30, 1973.

It appearing to the Securities and Exchange Commission that the summary suspension of trading in the common stock of Patterson Corporation being traded otherwise than on a national securities exchange is required in the public interest and for the protection of investors;

Therefore, pursuant to section 15(c)(5), of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, trading in such securities otherwise than on a national securities exchange is suspended, for the period from December 1, 1973 through December 10, 1973.

By the Commission.

[SEAL] GEORGE A. FITZSIMMONS,
Secretary.

[FR Doc.73-26011 Filed 12-6-73;8:45 am]

[811-2003]

RENAISSANCE FUND, INC.

Proposal To Terminate Registration

DECEMBER 3, 1973.

Notice is hereby given that the Commission proposes, pursuant to section 8(f) of the Investment Company Act of 1940 ("Act"), to declare by order upon its own motion that Renaissance Fund, Inc. ("Fund"), c/o Eric S. Emory, President, 31 Taunton Road, Scarsdale, New York 10583, registered under the Act as a closed-end, diversified management investment company, has ceased to be an investment company as defined in the Act.

Fund was organized as a New York corporation on October 2, 1969, and registered under the Act by filing a Notification of Registration on Form N-8A with the Commission on January 16, 1970, and a Registration Statement on Form N-8b-1 on January 28, 1970.

The Commission's records indicate that since the sale of Fund shares during its initial selling period did not meet the minimum required under the terms of its registration all monies were returned to prospective purchasers, and Fund never began operations. Fund has no assets or shareholders and no present intention of making another offering of its shares.

Section 8(f) of the Act provides, in pertinent part, that when the Commission, on its own motion or upon application, finds a registered investment company has ceased to be an investment company, it shall so declare by order, and, upon the effectiveness of such order, the registration of such company shall cease to be in effect.

Notice is further given that any interested person may, not later than December 28, 1973 at 5:30 p.m., submit to the Commission in writing a request for a hearing on the matter accompanied by a statement as to the nature of his interest, the reason for such request and the issues of fact or law proposed to be controverted, or he may request that he be notified if the Commission should order a hearing thereon. Any such communication should be addressed: Secretary, Securities and Exchange Commission, Washington, D.C. 20549. A copy of such request shall be served personally or by mail (air mail if the person being served is located more than 500 miles from the point of mailing) upon the Fund at the address set forth above. Proof of such service (by affidavit, or in case of an attorney-at-law, by certificate) shall be filed contemporaneously with the request. As provided by rule 0-5 of the rules and regulations promulgated under the Act, an order disposing of the matter will be issued as of course following said date unless the Commission thereafter orders a hearing upon request or upon the Commission's own motion. Persons who request a hearing, or advice as to whether a hearing is ordered, will receive notice of further developments in this matter, including the date of the hearing (if ordered) and any postponements thereof.

For the Commission, by the Division of Investment Management Regulation, pursuant to delegated authority.

[SEAL] GEORGE A. FITZSIMMONS,
Secretary.

[FR Doc.73-26025 Filed 12-6-73;8:45 am]

[File No. 500-1]

ROYAL PROPERTIES INC.

Suspension of Trading

NOVEMBER 29, 1973.

It appearing to the Securities and Exchange Commission that the summary suspension of trading in the common stock of Royal Properties Incorporated being traded otherwise than on a national securities exchange is required in the public interest and for the protection of investors;

Therefore, pursuant to section 15(c)(5) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, trading in such securities otherwise than on a national securities exchange is suspended, for the period from November 29, 1973 through December 8, 1973.

By the Commission.

[SEAL] GEORGE A. FITZSIMMONS,
Secretary.

[FR Doc.73-26012 Filed 12-6-73;8:45 am]

[File No. 500-1]

STANNDCO DEVELOPERS, INC.

Suspension of Trading

NOVEMBER 30, 1973.

It appearing to the Securities and Exchange Commission that the summary suspension of trading in the common stock of Stanndco Developers, Inc. being traded otherwise than on a national securities exchange is required in the public interest and for the protection of investors;

Therefore, pursuant to section 15(c)(5) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, trading in such securities otherwise than on a national securities exchange is suspended, for the period from December 3, 1973 through December 12, 1973.

By the Commission.

[SEAL] GEORGE A. FITZSIMMONS,
Secretary.

[FR Doc.73-26013 Filed 12-6-73;8:45 am]

[File No. 500-1]

TRIEX INTERNATIONAL CORP.

Suspension of Trading

NOVEMBER 29, 1973.

It appearing to the Securities and Exchange Commission that the summary suspension of trading in the common stock of Triex International Corporation being traded otherwise than on a national securities exchange is required in the public interest and for the protection of investors;

Therefore, pursuant to section 15(c)(5) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, trading in such securities otherwise than on a national securities exchange is suspended, for the period from

November 30, 1973 through December 9, 1973.

By the Commission.

[SEAL] GEORGE A. FITZSIMMONS, *Secretary.*

[FR Doc.73-26014 Filed 12-6-73;8:45 am]

[File No. 500-1]

U.S. FINANCIAL INC.

Suspension of Trading

NOVEMBER 29, 1973.

The common stock of U.S. Financial Incorporated being traded on the New York Stock Exchange pursuant to provisions of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 and all other securities of U.S. Financial Incorporated being traded otherwise than on a national securities exchange; and

It appearing to the Securities and Exchange Commission that the summary suspension of trading in such securities on such exchange and otherwise than on a national securities exchange is required in the public interest and for the protection of investors;

Therefore, pursuant to sections 19(a)(4) and 15(c)(5) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, trading in such securities on the above mentioned exchange and otherwise than on a national securities exchange is suspended, for the period from November 30, 1973 through December 9, 1973.

By the Commission.

[SEAL] GEORGE A. FITZSIMMONS, *Secretary.*

[FR Doc.73-26015 Filed 12-6-73;8:45 am]

[File No. 500-1]

WESTGATE CALIFORNIA CORP.

Suspension of Trading

NOVEMBER 30, 1973.

It appearing to the Securities and Exchange Commission that the summary suspension of trading in the common stock (class A and B), the cumulative preferred stock (5% and 6%), the 6% subordinated debentures due 1979 and the 6½% convertible subordinated debentures due 1987 being traded otherwise than on a national securities exchange is required in the public interest and for the protection of investors;

Therefore, pursuant to section 15(c)(5) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, trading in such securities otherwise than on a national securities exchange is suspended, for the period from December 1, 1973 through December 10, 1973.

By the Commission.

[SEAL] GEORGE A. FITZSIMMONS, *Secretary.*

[FR Doc.73-26016 Filed 12-6-73;8:45 am]

[70-5429]

YANKEE ATOMIC ELECTRIC CO.

Proposed Issue and Sale of Short-Term Notes to Bank and to Dealer in Commercial Paper and Exception From Competitive Bidding Requirements of Rule 50

DECEMBER 3, 1973.

Notice is hereby given that Yankee Atomic Electric Company ("Yankee Atomic"), 20 Turnpike Rd., Westborough, Mass. 01581, an electric utility company and a subsidiary company of both Northeast Utilities and New England Electric System, registered holding companies, has filed a declaration with this Commission pursuant to the Public Utility Holding Company Act of 1935 ("Act"), designating sections 6(a) and 7 of the Act and rule 50 promulgated thereunder as applicable to the proposed transactions. All interested persons are referred to said declaration, which is summarized below, for a complete statement of the proposed transactions.

Yankee Atomic, whose entire capital stock is owned by eleven electric utility companies operating in New England (See Holding Company Act Release Nos. 13048, 13900), intends, during 1974, to spend approximately $4,000,000 for nuclear fuel and to make capital expenditures of approximately $500,000 for plant improvements. Yankee Atomic presently has $10,000,000 of outstanding short-term debt.

Yankee Atomic proposes to issue and sell from time to time, but not later than December 31, 1974, its short-term promissory notes, to finance its nuclear fuel requirements and for other corporate purposes. The notes are expected to be sold to The First National Bank of Boston, Massachusetts ("First National") and/or to a dealer in commercial paper up to a maximum aggregate principal amount of $14,500,000 to be outstanding at any one time. Funds borrowed for other corporate purposes will not exceed $3,000,000 of the total maximum proposed borrowing. The notes will be paid in part from internally generated funds and the balance will be repaid either through additional short-term borrowing or permanent financing. It is represented that if any permanent financing is made by Yankee Atomic prior to the maturity of the notes authorized by the Commission, such authorization shall be reduced by the amount of such financing.

The proposed notes to the bank will mature in less than one year from the date of issue and in any event on or prior to March 31, 1975, and will provide for prior payment in whole or in part without premium. The notes will bear interest at not in excess of the prime rate in effect at the time borrowings are made. It is stated that First National is Yankee Atomic's principal disbursing bank, that Yankee Atomic regularly maintains funds in that bank, and that if balances were maintained solely to fulfill prevailing compensating balance requirements of 15% to 20%, the effective interest cost to the company would be about 11½% to 12¼% per annum based on a prime rate of 9¾%.

The proposed commercial paper will be in the form of promissory notes with varying maturities not to exceed 270 days and in no event later than March 31, 1975, will be issued in denominations of not less than $50,000 and not more than $1,000,000, and will not be prepayable prior to maturity. The commercial paper will be sold by Yankee Atomic directly to a dealer at a discount which will not be in excess of the discount rate per annum prevailing at the date of issuance for prime commercial paper of comparable quality of the particular maturity sold by issuers thereof to commercial paper dealers, provided, however, that no commercial paper notes will be issued having a maturity of more than 90 days at an effective interest cost which exceeds the effective interest cost at which Yankee Atomic could borrow from First National. No commission or fee will be payable in connection with the issuance and sale of commercial paper. The dealer, as principal, will reoffer the commercial paper at a discount rate not more than ⅛% of 1% per annum less than the prevailing discount rate to Yankee Atomic to not more than 200 customers of the dealer identified and designated in a nonpublic list prepared in advance by the dealer. No additions will be made to such list of customers. It is expected that such commercial paper will be held to maturity by the purchasers, but, if any such purchaser wishes to resell prior to maturity, the dealer, pursuant to an oral repurchase agreement, will repurchase the paper for resale to others on said list of customers. Yankee Atomic requests exception from the competitive bidding requirements of rule 50 for the proposed issue and sale of its commercial paper. Yankee Atomic states that the proposed commercial paper notes will have a maturity of nine months or less, that it is not practical to invite competitive bids for commercial paper, that current rates for commercial paper for such prime borrowers as Yankee Atomic are published daily in financial publications, and that generally the effective interest cost will not exceed the effective interest for borrowings from First National. Yankee Atomic also requests authority to file certificates under rule 24 with respect to the issue and sale of notes hereafter consummated pursuant to this proceeding on a quarterly basis.

The declaration states that no State regulatory commission or Federal commission, other than this Commission, has jurisdiction over the proposed transactions. The fees and expenses incurred, or to be incurred, in connection with the proposed transactions are estimated not to exceed $3,000.

Notice is further given that any interested person may, not later than December 27, 1973, request in writing that a hearing be held on such matter, stating the nature of his interest, the reasons for such request, and the issues of fact or law raised by said declaration which he desires to controvert; or he may request that he be notified if the Commission should order a hearing thereon. Any such request should be addressed: Secretary, Securities and Exchange Commission, Washington, D.C. 20549. A copy of such request should be served personally or by mail (air mail if the person being served is located more than 500 miles from the point of mailing) upon the declarant at the above-stated address, and proof of service (by affidavit or, in case of an attorney at law, by certificate) should be filed with the request. At any time after said date, the declaration, as filed or as it may be amended, may be permitted to become effective as provided in rule 23 of the general rules and regulations promulgated under the Act, or the Commission may grant exemption from such rules as provided in rules 20(a) and 100 thereof or take such other action as it may deem appropriate. Persons who request a hearing or advice as to whether a hearing is ordered will receive notice of further developments in this matter, including the date of the hearing (if ordered) and any postponements thereof.

For the Commission, by the Division of Corporate Regulation, pursuant to delegated authority.

[SEAL] GEORGE A. FITZSIMMONS,
Secretary.

[FR Doc.73-26026 Filed 12-6-73;8:45 am]

TARIFF COMMISSION

[AA1921-130]

EXPANDED METAL, OF BASE METAL, FROM JAPAN

Determination of Injury

NOVEMBER 30, 1973.

The Treasury Department advised the Tariff Commission on August 31, 1973, that expanded metal of base metal from Japan is being, or is likely to be, sold at less than fair value within the meaning of the Antidumping Act, 1921, as amended. In accordance with the requirements of section 201(a) of the Antidumping Act, 1921, as amended (19 U.S.C. 160(a)), the Tariff Commission instituted investigation No. AA1921-130 to determine whether an industry in the United States is being or is likely to be injured, or is prevented from being established, by reason of the importation of such merchandise into the United States.

Notice of the institution of the investigation and of a hearing to be held in connection therewith was published in the FEDERAL REGISTER of September 17, 1973 (38 FR 26031). A public hearing was held on October 24, 1973.

In arriving at its determination, the Commission gave due consideration to all written submissions from interested parties, evidence adduced at the hearing, and all factual information obtained by the Commission's staff from questionnaires, personal interviews, and other sources.

On the basis of its investigation, the Commission[1] has determined, by a vote of 2 to 2,[2] that an industry in the United States is being injured by reason of the importation of expanded metal of base metal from Japan that is being sold at less than fair value (LTFV) within the meaning of the Antidumping Act of 1921, as amended.

Statement of Reasons for Affirmative Determination of Commissioners Leonard and Moore. In our opinion, an industry in the United States is being injured by reason of the importation of expanded metal of base metal from Japan that is being sold at less than fair value (LTFV) within the meaning of the Antidumping Act, 1921, as amended.

The imported product. The term "expanded metal of base metal," as used in this determination, includes expanded metal produced from all metals and metal alloys other than gold, silver, and the platinum group. It does not include metal lath, which is similar in appearance to expanded metal but which is produced by a different technique, has different mechanical properties, is used for different applications, and is less costly to produce.

The injured industry. In making this determination, the injured industry is considered to consist of all facilities in the United States used in producing expanded metal of base metal. Eight firms which operate production facilities in seven States (Alabama, California, Illinois, Ohio, Oklahoma, Texas, and West Virginia) account for the great bulk of total U.S. output of this material. Although the domestic industry as a whole has been injured as a result of the LTFV imports, the brunt of the injury has been borne in the market consisting of the seven Western States and certain port cities outside of those States where most of the LTFV imports have been sold.

Requirements of the statute. In order to make an affirmative determination under the Antidumping Act, it must be found that an industry in the United States is being injured or is likely to be injured, or is prevented from being established, and that such injury or likelihood of injury or prevention of establishment must be "by reason of" the importation into the United States of the class or kind of foreign merchandise the Secretary of Treasury has advised is being, or is likely to be, sold at less than fair value. In the instant investigation, there is the requisite injury, and an identifiable cause of that injury is the importation of expanded metal of base metal from Japan sold at LTFV. A discussion of the manifestations of that injury and the role played by the LTFV imports follows.

Market penetration. The Treasury Department's investigation showed that, in terms of value, about 85 percent of the expanded metal exported from Japan to the United States, for which fair-value comparisons were made, was sold at LTFV. The price advantage afforded by such sales enabled Japanese exporters to increase their share of the U.S. market. Both the quantity and value of U.S. imports of expanded metal from Japan during the 6-month period in which Treasury found LTFV sales (September 1972–February 1973) were greater than they were in the preceding 12-month period. The ratio of the value of imports from Japan to apparent U.S. consumption of expanded metal increased from 2.9 percent in 1971 to 4.3 percent in 1972; during the same period, imports from Japan as a share of apparent consumption in the seven Western States increased from 9 to 22 percent.

Although apparent consumption of expanded metal in the seven Western States increased by approximately 20 percent in 1972 as compared with consumption in the preceding year, U.S. producers' aggregate shipments to that marketing area remained at the same level in both years. Thus the Japanese suppliers were able to obtain all of the additional business represented by the growth in the Western States market in 1972, there being no other imports of significance in that market. Thus, in these circumstances, the market penetration of the Japanese imports, in part attributable to LTFV sales, is an index of injury to the domestic industry.

Moreover, it should be noted that the data presented above are based on the foreign value of the imported expanded metal. If the duty-paid delivered value of the imports in the U.S. market (i.e., a value comparable to that reported for domestic producers' shipments) were to be used in the foregoing computations, significantly higher penetration percentages would result.

Price depression. U.S. producers' prices for expanded metal of carbon steel, the material specifically found by Treasury to have been sold at LTFV, were relatively stable throughout 1970 and 1971. However, the net prices realized by U.S. producers declined sharply in the first half of 1972 in response to a 15-percent reduction in the published prices of major U.S. producers. This price reduction was attributable to widespread price discounting and loss of sales, particularly in the west coast market and in port cities where LTFV imports were to be entered. The fact that the price reduction and discounting preceded the large influx of LTFV imports is not unusual when it is recognized that the importations of this type of merchandise are made some time after the sales are made. Despite the decline in U.S. producers' prices, the availability of LTFV imports at prices which ranged from 5 to 35 percent below domestic producers' prices for certain representative items enabled Japanese

[1] Chairman Bedell and Commissioner Young did not participate in the decision.

[2] Commissioner Leonard and Moore determined in the affirmative; Vice Chairman Parker and Commissioner Ablondi determined in the negative. Pursuant to section 201(a) of the Antidumping Act of 1921, as amended, the Commission is deemed to have made an affirmative decision when the Commissioners voting are equally divided.

importers to increase their share of the U.S. market for expanded metal in 1972.

Although U.S. producers' published prices recovered to the 1970 and 1971 levels in December 1972, the price depression that was experienced in 1972 denied U.S. producers an opportunity to offset increasing production costs and led to the reduction in profits which is discussed below.

Profit-and-loss experience of domestic producers. The ratio of net operating profits to net sales for seven U.S. producers that provided the Commission with financial data on their expanded metal operations declined from 10.2 percent in 1969 to 6.1 percent in 1970, increased to 7.5 percent in 1971, and then declined again to 6.0 percent in 1972, a year in which Treasury found significant LTFV imports and a year in which the same seven producers experienced a 22-percent increase in the aggregate value of their shipments of expanded metal.

Conclusion. In summary, the LTFV imports have permitted the Japanese suppliers to obtain an increasing share of the U.S. market for expanded metal, particularly in the seven Western States and in certain port cities. The dumping margins undergirded substantial margins of underselling of the imported expanded metal, triggering a sharp decline in U.S. producer prices for this material in 1972. This depression of prices resulted in a decline in the ratio of net operating profits to net sales of U.S. producers in 1972. Accordingly, we have determined that an industry in the United States is being injured by reason of the importation of expanded metal of base metal from Japan that is being sold at LTFV.

Statement of reasons of Vice Chairman Parker and Commissioner Ablondi

In our opinion, no basis exists for making an affirmative determination in this investigation. In making this determination, we have considered the relevant industry to consist of all facilities in the United States used in producing expanded metal of base metal.

In 1972, Japanese LTFV imports of expanded metal of base metal represented slightly more than 3.5 percent of apparent U.S. consumption, the highest percent of the 5-year period 1968–72. Moreover, data available for 1973 indicates an even lower percent. In our judgment, such a level of penetration does not provide justification for a determination of injury to this industry. In arriving at this conclusion, we have looked into the alleged existence of a separate market area consisting of the seven Western States. We do not find a factual basis for treating these states as a market area for the purposes of the Antidumping Act. Significant shipments of expanded metal are marketed in these states by producers located elsewhere in the United States; also, shipments of West Coast producers are marketed outside these Western States. Another factor involved is the absence of compelling evidence that the marketing of Japanese LTFV imports was concentrated in the Western States. No evidence was obtained showing sales lost to LTFV Japanese imports.

Production facilities for expanded metal in the seven Western States were operated by a single domestic producer prior to 1970. Other domestic producers who marketed their output in that region had the competitive disadvantage of higher freight costs. In 1970, a second domestic producer began production of expanded metal within the region thereby increasing competition in the market. The rapid increase in market share acquired by this producer was a new competitive factor which undoubtedly resulted in a loss of sales by other domestic producers marketing in that area. In addition, the second producer began production in the face of known Japanese competition of expanded metal sold at prices lower than those of domestic producers.

Prices of several of the major producers for expanded metal declined sharply throughout the United States in the first half of 1972 as a result of a 15-percent price reduction by a large U.S. producer. This action—which does not appear to have been a specific response to Japanese competition—compelled other domestic producers to follow with similar price reductions. In the latter half of 1972, prices returned to their previous level by a 10-percent price increase in June and another increase of 5 percent in December. Thus, the evidence indicates that the price depression that occurred was the result of efforts by a U.S. producer to increase its market share and not the result of imports found by Treasury to have been sold at LTFV.

The price differential between domestic and Japanese expanded metal narrowed significantly during January 1970–September 1973, indicating that Japanese imports have become less competitive and that Japanese imports were not the causative factor for the price depression that occurred in the first half of 1972.

Although unit profits of seven U.S. producers of expanded metal declined in 1972 as compared with 1971, total profits of these producers were virtually the same in both years.

The average number of production and related workers engaged in producing expanded metal at domestic establishments increased from 288 workers in 1971 to 311 in 1972 and to 335 during January-September 1973. Thus, the level of employment was not adversely affected by Japanese sales at LTFV.

In view of the strong demand for steel in Japan and throughout the world and the currency revaluations, Japanese suppliers have sharply reduced their production for the United States market. Total U.S. demand has continued strong and domestic shipments in the first nine months of 1973 have increased 18 percent over those in the same period of 1972.

In our opinion, an industry in the United States is not being injured, or is not likely to be injured, by reason of the importation of expanded metal from Japan determined by the Treasury Department to be sold, or likely to be sold at less than fair value within the meaning of the Antidumping Act. Moreover, there is no evidence that an industry is prevented from being established.

By order of the Commission.

[SEAL] KENNETH R. MASON,
Secretary.

[FR Doc.73-26004 Filed 12-6-73;8:45 am]

FEDERAL ENERGY OFFICE

ADVISORY COMMITTEES

Notice of Establishment

This notice is published in accordance with the provisions of section 9(a)(2) of the Federal Advisory Committee Act (Pub. L. 92-463). Following consultation with the Office of Management and Budget, notice is hereby given that it is in the public interest to establish the following advisory committees. A description of the nature and purpose of these committees is contained in their charters which are published below.

Dated: December 5, 1973.

W. E. SIMON,
Administrator.

CHARTER

PETROLEUM INDUSTRY ADVISORY COMMITTEE (INDEPENDENT SECTOR)

1. *Objectives and scope of activities.* The objectives of the Petroleum Industry Advisory Committee (Independent Sector) are to advise the Administrator, Federal Energy Office (FEO) with respect to general petroleum aspects of interests and problems related to the policy and implementation of programs to meet the current national energy crisis.

2. *Committee tenure.* In view of the goals and purposes of the Committee, it will be expected to continue beyond the foreseeable future. However, its continuation will be subject to biennial review and renewed as required by section 14 of Pub. L. 92-463.

3. *Official to whom committee reports.* The Committee will report to the Administrator, Federal Energy Office.

4. *Support services.* Necessary support for the Committee will be furnished by the Federal Energy Office.

5. *Committee duties.* The duties of the Committee are solely advisory and are stated in paragraph 1 above.

6. *Estimated annual cost.* The estimated annual operating costs for the Committee are $20,000 and involve approximately one-half man-years of staff support.

7. *Meetings.* The Committee will meet approximately four times a year.

8. *Termination date.* The Committee will terminate two years from date of this Charter, unless prior to that date renewal action is taken by the Administrator, FEO, as described in paragraph 2 above.

9. *Determination.* Establishment of this Committee is determined to be in the public interest in connection with the performance of duties imposed on the Federal Energy Office by Executive Order No. 11748, dated December 4, 1973, which delegated to the Administrator, FEO, authority vested in the President by the Emergency Petroleum Allocation Act of 1973 (Pub. L. 93-159); section 203(a)(3) of the Economic Stabilization Act of 1970 (Pub. L. 91-379) as amended; and specified authorities under the Defense Production Act of 1950 (50 U.S.C. App. 2061 et seq), as amended.

CHARTER

AGRICULTURE ADVISORY COMMITTEE

1. *Objectives and scope of activities.* The objectives of the Agriculture Advisory Committee are to advise the Ad-

ministrator, Federal Energy Office (FEO) with respect to general agricultural aspects of interests and problems related to the policy and implementation of programs to meet the current national energy crisis.

2. *Committee tenure.* In view of the goals and purposes of the Committee, it will be expected to continue beyond the foreseeable future. However, its continuation will be subject to biennial review and renewed as required by section 14 of Pub. L. 92–463.

3. *Official to whom Committee reports.* The Committee will report to the Administrator, Federal Energy Office.

4. *Support services.* Necessary support for the Committee will be furnished by the Department of Agriculture.

5. *Committee duties.* The duties of the Committee are solely advisory and are stated in paragraph 1 above.

6. *Estimated annual cost.* The estimated annual operating costs for the Committee are $20,000 and involve approximately one-half man-years of staff support.

7. *Meetings.* The Committee will meet approximately four times a year.

8. *Termination date.* The Committee will terminate two years from date of this Charter, unless prior to that date renewal action is taken by the Administrator, FEO, as described in paragraph 2 above.

9. *Determination.* Establishment of this Committee is determined to be in the public interest in connection with the performance of duties imposed on the Federal Energy Office by Executive Order No. 11748, dated December 4, 1973, which delegated to the Administrator, FEO, authority vested in the President by the Emergency Petroleum Allocation Act of 1973 (Pub. L. 93–159); section 203(a)(3) of the Economic Stabilization Act of 1970 (Pub. L. 91–379) as amended; and specified authorities under the Defense Production Act of 1950 (50 U.S.C. App. 2061 et seq), as amended.

CHARTER

BUSINESS ADVISORY COMMITTEE

1. *Objectives and scope of activities.* The objectives of the Business Advisory Committee are to advise the Administrator, Federal Energy Office (FEO) with respect to general business aspects of interests and problems related to the policy and implementation of programs to meet the current national energy crisis.

2. *Committee tenure.* In view of the goals and purposes of the Committee, it will be expected to continue beyond the foreseeable future. However, its continuation will be subject to biennial review and renewed as required by section 14 of Pub. L. 92–463.

3. *Official to whom Committee reports.* The Committee will report to the Administrator, Federal Energy Office.

4. *Support services.* Necessary support for the Committee will be furnished by the Department of Commerce and the Small Business Administration.

5. *Committee duties.* The duties of the Committee are solely advisory and are stated in paragraph 1 above.

6. *Estimated annual cost.* The estimated annual operating costs for the Committee are $20,000 and involve approximately one-half man-years of staff support.

7. *Meetings.* The Committee will meet approximately four times a year.

8. *Termination date.* The Committee will terminate two years from date of this Charter, unless prior to that date renewal action is taken by the Administrator, FEO, as described in paragraph 2 above.

9. *Determination.* Establishment of this Committee is determined to be in the public interest in connection with the performance of duties imposed on the Federal Energy Office by Executive Order No. 11748, dated December 4, 1973, which delegated to the Administrator, FEO, authority vested in the President by the Emergency Petroleum Allocation Act of 1973 (Pub. L. 93–159); section 203(a)(3) of the Economic Stabilization Act of 1970 (Pub. L. 91–379) as amended; and specified authorities under the Defense Production Act of 1950 (50 U.S.C. App. 2061 et seq), as amended.

CHARTER

CONSUMER ADVISORY COMMITTEE

1. *Objectives and scope of activities.* The objectives of the Consumer Advisory Committee are to advise the Administrator, Federal Energy Office (FEO) with respect to general consumer aspects of interests and problems related to the policy and implementation of programs to meet the current national energy crisis.

2. *Committee Tenure.* In view of the goals and purposes of the Committee, it will be expected to continue beyond the foreseeable future. However, its continuation will be subject to biennial review and renewed as required by section 14 of Pub. L. 92–463.

3. *Official to whom committee reports.* The Committee will report to the Administrator, Federal Energy Office.

4. *Support services.* Necessary support for the Committee will be furnished by the Department of Health Education and Welfare.

5. *Committee duties.* The duties of the Committee are solely advisory and are stated in paragraph 1 above.

6. *Estimated annual cost.* The estimated annual operating costs for the Committee are $20,000 and involve approximately one-half man-years of staff support.

7. *Meetings.* The Committee will meet approximately four times a year.

8. *Termination date.* The Committee will terminate two years from date of this Charter, unless prior to that date renewal action is taken by the Administrator, FEO, as described in paragraph 2 above.

9. *Determination.* Establishment of this Committee is determined to be in the public interest in connection with the performance of duties imposed on the Federal Energy Office by Executive Order No. 11748, dated December 4, 1973, which delegated to the Administrator, FEO, authority vested in the President by the Emergency Petroleum Allocation Act of 1973 (Pub. L. 93–159); section 203(a)(3) of the Economic Stabilization Act of 1970 (Pub. L. 91–379) as amended; and specified authorities under the Defense Production Act of 1950 (50 U.S.C. App. 2061 et seq.), as amended.

CHARTER

ENVIRONMENTAL ADVISORY COMMITTEE

1. *Objectives and scope of activities.* The objectives of the Environmental Advisory Committee are to advise the Administrator, Federal Energy Office (FEO) with respect to general environmental aspects of interests and problems related to the policy and implementation of programs to meet the current national energy crisis.

2. *Committee tenure.* In view of the goals and purposes of the Committee, it will be expected to continue beyond the foreseeable future. However, its continuation will be subject to biennial review and renewed as required by section 14 of Pub. L. 92–463.

3. *Official to whom committee reports.* The Committee will report to the Administrator, Federal Energy Office.

4. *Support services.* Necessary support for the Committee will be furnished by the Environmental Protection Agency and the Council on Environmental Quality.

5. *Committee duties.* The duties of the Committee are solely advisory and are stated in paragraph 1 above.

6. *Estimated annual cost.* The estimated annual operating costs for the Committee are $20,000 and involve approximately one-half man-years of staff support.

7. *Meetings.* The Committee will meet approximately four times a year.

8. *Termination date.* The Committee will terminate two years from date of this Charter, unless prior to that date renewal action is taken by the Administrator, FEO, as described in paragraph 2 above.

9. *Determination.* Establishment of this Committee is determined to be in the public interest in connection with the performance of duties imposed on the Federal Energy Office by Executive Order No. 11748, dated December 4, 1973, which delegated to the Administrator, FEO, authority vested in the President by the Emergency Petroleum Allocation Act of 1973 (Pub. L. 93–159); section 203(a)(3) of the Economic Stabilization Act of 1970 (Pub. L. 91–379) as amended; and specified authorities under the Defense Production Act of 1950 (50 U.S.C. App. 2061 et seq.), as amended.

[FR Doc.73-26168 Filed 12-6-73;10:24 am]

FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS COMMISSIONS

MEXICO

Notification List

List of new stations, proposed changes in existing stations, deletions, and corrections in assignments of Mexican standard broadcast stations modifying the assignments of Mexican broadcast stations contained in the Appendix to the Recommendations of the North American Regional Broadcasting Agreement Engineering Meeting, January 30, 1941.

NOVEMBER 1, 1973.

Call letters	Location	Power watts	Antenna radiation millivolt per meter per kilowatt	Schedule	Class	Antenna height (feet)	Ground system		Proposed date of change or commencement of operation
							Number radials	Length (feet)	
		600 kHz							
XEGTO (change in call letters, previously XEWZ).	Guanajuato, Gto., N. 21°02'23", W. 101°11'05".	250	ND-176	U	III	345	120	345	
		700 kHz							
XEDKR (change in call letters, previously XEAR).	Zapopan, Jal., N. 20°43'32", W. 103°23'09".	1000	ND-175	D	II	333	120	303	
		760 kHz							
XERA	San Cristobal las Casas, Chis.	5000	ND-190	D	II	324	120	324	3-1-74 (probable).
		950 kHz							
XERN (PO 1000 W, ND, D).	Montemorelos, N.L., N. 25°11' 24", W. 99°51'15".	5000-D *185-N*	ND-175	U	III	246	90	303	1-1-74 (probable).
		990 kHz							
XETG (correction of an omission—in operation daytime only with 10,000 W since 3-19-73).	Tuxtla Gutierrez, Chis., *N. 16°45'10", W. 93°09'00".*	10000	DA-N ND-190	U	III	*240*	*180*	*240*	
		1130 kHz							
XEEF (in operation since 8-1-73).	Compostela, Nay., N. 21°14'00", W. 104°54'00".	1000	ND-175	D	II	184	126	184	8-1-73.
		1150 kHz							
XERRF	Merida, Yuc., N. 21°00'00.7", W. 89°36'36".	1000-D/350-N	ND-177	U	III	197	90	197	11-1-73 (probable).
		1260 kHz							
XEZT (PN 500 W, ND-D. PO 250 W, D).	Puebla, Pue., N. 19°02'30", W. 98°11'52".	500-D/*100-N*	ND-175	U	III	177	90	177	1-1-74 (probable).
		1370 kHz							
XENX	Maratlan, Sin., *N. 23°16'57", W. 106°25'01".*	5000-*D*/250-N	ND-175	U	III	175	90	175	1-1-74 (probable).
		1340 kHz							
XERLK (in operation since 9-1-73).	Atlacomulco, Mex., *N. 19°47'48" W. 99°53'18".*	500	ND-*184*	D	III	*184*	*90*	*184*	9-1-73.
		1410 kHz							
(New)	Cordoba, Ver.	500	ND-175	D	III	157	90	157	3-1-74 (probable).
		1470 kHz							
XECAV (change in call letters, previously XEND).	Durango, Dgo., N. 24°02'52", W. 104°39'20".	350	ND-175	D	III	148	100	151	
		1530 kHz							
XEACH (PN 5000 W, DA-N, U).	Monterrey, N.L., N. 25°40'57.5", W. 100°12'08.96".	5000-D/1000-N	DA-N ND-190	U	III	*154*	*180*	*154*	3-1-74 (Probable).
		1600 kHz							
XEZA (In operation since 9-6-73).	Topolobampo, Sin. N. 25°37'18", W. 109°03'10".	1000-D/200-N	ND-*175*	U	III	138	90	138	9-6-73.

[SEAL]

FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS COMMISSION,
WALLACE E. JOHNSON,
Chief, Broadcast Bureau.

[FR Doc.73-26056 Filed 12-6-73;8:45 am]

DEPARTMENT OF LABOR

Wage and Hour Division

LEARNERS AND STUDENT WORKERS

Certificates Authorizing Employment at Special Minimum Wages

Notice is hereby given that pursuant to section 14 of the Fair Labor Standards Act of 1938 (52 Stat. 1060, as amended (29 U.S.C. 201 et seq.)) and Administrative Order No. 621 (36 FR 12819) the firms listed in this notice have been issued special certificates authorizing the employment of learners at hourly wage rates lower than the minimum wage rates otherwise applicable under section 6 of the Act. For each certificate, the effective and expiration dates, number or proportion of learners and the principal product manufactured by the establishment are as indicated. Conditions on occupations, wage rates, and learning periods which are provided in certificates issued under the supplemental industry regulations cited in the captions below are as established in those regulations; such conditions in certificates not issued under the supplemental industry regulations are as listed. See the apparel industry learner regulations, particularly 29 CFR 522.1 to 522.9, as amended and 29 CFR 522.20 to 522.25, as amended.

The following normal labor turnover certificates authorize 10 percent of the total number of factory production workers except as otherwise indicated.

Arizona Slack Corp., Yuma, AZ; 10–22–73 to 10–21–74. (Men's pants)

Auburntown Industries, Auburntown, TN; 10–31–73 to 10–30–74. (Men's and boys' shirts)

Bob Evans of Kentucky, Inc., Burkesville, KY; 10–23–73 to 10–22–74. (Washable service apparel)

Boonville Mfg. Corp., Boonville, ID; 11–1–73 to 10–31–74. (Men's pajamas and shirts)

Caledonia Mfg. Co., Inc., Caledonia, MS; 9–26–73 to 9–25–74. (Men's and boys' pants)

Carthage Shirt Corp., Carthage, TN; 11–3–73 to 11–2–74. (Men's shirts and women's blouses)

Eatonton Mfg. Co., Inc., Eatonton, GA; 10–29–73 to 10–28–74. (Men's pants)

Elder Mfg. Co., Webb City, MO; 10–31–73 to 10–30–74. (Men's, boys' and juveniles' shirts)

Michael Berkowitz Co., Inc., Uniontown, PA; 10–1–73 to 9–30–74. (Men's and women's sleepwear and surgical garments)

Oshkosh B'Gosh, Inc., Celina, TN; 10–8–73 to 10–7–74. (Men's pants and shirts)

Rector Sportswear Corp., Rector, AR; 10–28–73 to 10–27–74. (Men's pants)

Relda Apparel Mfg. Co., Inc., Hughesville, PA; 10–11–73 to 10–10–74; 10 learners. (Women's dresses)

Ronco Mfg. Co., Ronco, PA; 10–30–73 to 10–29–74; 10 learners. (Men's outerwear jackets)

J. H. Rutter Rex Mfg. Co., Inc., New Orleans, LA; 10–12–73 to 10–11–74. (Men's and boys' work clothing)

Salant & Salant, Lexington, TN; 11–8–73 to 11–7–74. (Men's and boys' pants)

Stapleton Garment Co., Stapleton, GA; 9–23–73 to 9–22–74. (Men's and boys' pants)

Sullcraft Mfg. Co., Inc., Dushore, PA; 10–4–73 to 10–3–74; 10 learners. (Men's and boys' pajamas)

Toll Gate Garment Co., Hamilton, AL; 10–1–73 to 9–30–74. (Men's shirts)

Tracy City Mfg. Co., Tracy City, TN; 10–17–73 to 10–16–74. (Men's and boys' shirts)

The following plant expansion certificates were issued authorizing the number of learners indicated.

Jarratt Sportswear Co., Inc., Jarratt, VA; 10–22–73 to 4–21–74; 30 learners. (Children's dresses, pants and body suits)

McGehee Industries, McGehee, AR; 10–2–73 to 4–1–74; 7 learners. (Washable service apparel)

Glove Industry Learner Regulations (29 CFR 522.1 to 522.9, as amended and 29 CFR 522.60 to 522.65, as amended)

Burnham-Edina Mfg. Co., Edina, MO; 10–4–73 to 10–3–74; 5 learners for normal labor turnover purposes. (Work gloves)

Co-Op Glove Mfg., Inc., Shuqualak, MS; 10–22–73 to 10–21–74; 10 learners for normal labor turnover purposes. (Work gloves)

Co-Op Glove Mfg., Inc., Shuqualak, MS; 11–6–73 to 5–5–74; 20 learners for plant expansion purposes. (Work gloves)

Good Luck Glove Co., Metropolis, IL; 10–11–73 to 4–10–74; 10 learners for plant expansion purposes. (Work gloves)

Good Luck Glove Co., Rosiclare, IL; 11–8–73 to 11–7–74; 10 learners for normal labor turnover purposes. (Work gloves)

St. Johnsbury Glovers, St. Johnsbury, VT; 10–4–73 to 10–3–74; 10 learners for normal labor turnover purposes. (Women's knit gloves)

Knitted Wear Industry Learner Regulations (29 CFR 522.1 to 522.9, as amended and 29 CFR 522.30 to 522.35 as amended)

Barad & Co., Salem, MO; 9–28–73 to 9–27–74; 5 percent of the total number of factory production workers for normal labor turnover purposes. (Women's sleepwear)

Barad & Co., Salem, MO; 10–1–73 to 4–30–74; 20 learners for plant expansion purposes. (Women's sleepwear)

Boonville Mfg. Corp., Boonville, IN; 11–1–73 to 10–31–74; 5 learners for normal labor turnover purposes in the manufacture of men's woven underwear. (Men's underwear)

Dothan Mfg. Co., Dothan, AL; 9–30–73 to 9–29–74; 5 percent of the total number of factory production workers for normal labor turnover purposes. (Men's pajamas and shorts)

Junior Form Lingerie Corp., Boswell, PA; 9–25–73 to 9–24–74; 5 percent of the total number of factory production workers for normal labor turnover purposes. (Women's underwear and sleepwear)

The following learner certificate was issued in Puerto Rico to the company hereinafter named. The effective and expiration dates, learner rates, occupations, learning periods, and the number of learners authorized to be employed, are indicated.

Bayuk Caribe, Inc., Ciales, PR; 10–4–73 to 10–3–74; 14 learners for normal labor turnover purposes in the occupations of cigar making and packing, each for a learning period of 320 hours at the rates of $1.38 an hour for the first 160 hours and $1.48 an hour for the remaining 160 hours. (Cigars)

The following student-worker certificate was issued pursuant to the regulations applicable to the employment of student-workers (29 CFR 527.1 to 527.9). The effective and expiration dates, occupations, wage rates, number of student-workers, and learning periods for the certificate issued under Part 527 are as indicated below.

New Castle Business College, New Castle, PA; 10–15–73 to 8–31–74; authorizing the employment of 35 student-workers in the clerical industry in the occupations of secretaries, stenographers and general clerks, for a learning period of 1000 hours at the rates of $1.20 an hour for the first 500 hours, and $1.30 an hour for the remaining 500 hours.

The student-worker certificate was issued upon the applicant's representations and supporting materials fulfilling the statutory requirements for the issuance of such certificates, as interpreted and applied by Part 527.

Each learner certificate has been issued upon the representations of the employer which, among other things, were that employment of learners at special minimum rates is necessary in order to prevent curtailment of opportunities for employment, and that experienced workers for the learner occupations are not available. The certificate may be annulled or withdrawn, as indicated therein, in the manner provided in 29 CFR Part 528. Any person aggrieved by the issuance of any of these certificates may seek a review or reconsideration thereof on or before December 22, 1973.

Signed at Washington, D.C., this 20th of November 1973.

DONALD T. CRUMBACK,
Authorized Representative of the Administrator.

[FR Doc.73-25967 Filed 12-6-73;8:45 am]

INTERSTATE COMMERCE COMMISSION

[No. Ex Parte MC 43 (Sub-No. 1)]

LEASE AND INTERCHANGE OF VEHICLES

Motor Contract Carrier Notice to All Parties

Correction

In FR Doc. 73-23732 appearing at page 30750 in the issue of Wednesday, November 7, 1973, the headings should read as set forth above.

[Notice No. 402]

ASSIGNMENT OF HEARINGS

DECEMBER 4, 1973.

Cases assigned for hearing, postponement, cancellation or oral argument appear below and will be published only once. This list contains prospective assignments only and does not include cases previously assigned hearing dates. The hearings will be on the issues as presently reflected in the Official Docket of the Commission. An attempt will be made to publish notices of cancellation of hearings as promptly as possible, but interested parties should take appropriate steps to insure that they are notified of cancellation or postponements of hearings in which they are interested. No

amendments will be entertained after the date of this publication.

CORRECTION

MC 33919 Sub 7, Fairchild General Freight, Inc., now being assigned hearing February 4, 1974 (2 days), at Portland, Oreg., in a hearing room to be later designated, instead of February 7, 1974.

[SEAL] ROBERT L. OSWALD, *Secretary.*

[FR Doc.73-26063 Filed 12-6-73;8:45 am]

[Notice No. 403]

ASSIGNMENT OF HEARINGS

DECEMBER 4, 1973.

Cases assigned for hearing, postponement, cancellation or oral argument appear below and will be published only once. This list contains prospective assignments only and does not include cases previously assigned hearing dates. The hearings will be on the issues as presently reflected in the Official Docket of the Commission. An attempt will be made to publish notices of cancellation of hearings as promptly as possible, but interested parties should take appropriate steps to insure that they are notified of cancellation or postponements of hearings in which they are interested. No amendments will be entertained after the date of this publication.

MC 135306 Sub 2, Dan's Transit, Inc., now being assigned January 21, 1974, at New York, N.Y., in a hearing room to be later designated.

MC 138947, C. P. Transpo, Inc., now being assigned hearing January 15, 1974 (2 days), at Boston, Mass., in a hearing room to be later designated.

MC 127669 Sub 5, Cherry Hill Transit, continued to January 21, 1974 (4 days), in Room 407, N.J. Public Utility Commission, 28 West State St., Trenton, N.J.

No. 35265, Anglo-Canadian Pulp and Paper Mills, Limited, Et Al.-V-Aberdeen and Rockfish Railroad Company, Et al, continued to March 12, 1974, at the Offices of the Interstate Commerce Commission, Washington, D.C.

MC 110525 Sub 1024, Chemical Leaman Tank Lines, Inc., Extension—Chattanooga, Tenn., MC 116459 Sub 42, Russ Transport, Inc., Extension—Chattanooga, Tenn., MC 124078 Sub 503, Schwerman Trucking Co., Extension—Chattanooga, Tenn., and MC 136903 Sub 7, Intermodal Transport, Inc., now assigned January 14, 1974, MC 108676 Sub 53, A. J. Metler Hauling & Rigging, Inc., now assigned January 16, 1974, MC 106644 Sub 147, Superior Trucking Company, Inc., now assigned January 21, 1974, MC 103051 Sub 276, Fleet Transport Co., Inc., and MC 136903 Sub 4, Intermodal Transport, Inc., now assigned January 23, 1974, at Atlanta, Ga., will be held in Room 305, 1252 West Peachtree St., N.W.

MC 136384 Sub 2, Palmer Motor Express, Inc., now assigned January 14, 1974, at Savannah, Ga., will be held in Room 108 Federal Building & U.S. Courthouse, 125 Bull Street.

MC 133194, Woodline, Inc.—Common Carrier Application, now assigned January 29, 1974, at Little Rock, Ark., will be held in Room 2503 Federal Office Bldg., 700 W. Capitol Street.

No. 35886, Texas Motor Coaches, Inc., Anthony Tour Service, Inc., and Traveling Texans Tours, Inc.—Investigation of Operations and Practices, now being assigned January 17, 1974, at Dallas, Tex., in a hearing room to be later designated.

MC-F-11827, Joe Hodges Transportation Corporation—Purchase—Toddman Transport Co.; MC 120634 Sub-19, Joe Hodges Transportation Corporation, now being assigned January 18, 1974, at Dallas, Tex., in a hearing room to be later designated.

MC 118341 Sub 2, Valley Trucking Co., Inc., now being assigned hearing January 21, 1974, at Dallas, Tex., in a hearing room to be later designated.

[SEAL] ROBERT L. OSWALD, *Secretary.*

[FR Doc. 73-26064 Filed 12-6-73;8:45 am]

[Docket No. 8548]

INSPECTION IN TRANSIT, GRAIN AND GRAIN PRODUCTS

Order; Investigation and Suspension

At a general session of the Interstate Commerce Commission, held at its office in Washington, D.C., on this 26th day of October, 1973.

Upon consideration of the record in the above-entitled proceeding, and of the decision of the United States Supreme Court in *Atchison, T. & S. F. Ry. Co., et al.* v. *Wichita Board of Trade, et al.* Nos. 72-214 and 72-433 (June 18, 1973), and good cause appearing therefor:

It is ordered, That the above-entitled proceeding be, and it is hereby, reopened for reconsideration on the present record.

It is further ordered, That the parties hereto, on or before the sixtieth day after the date of service of this order, shall file briefs directed to the question of the proper course of action for the Commission now to undertake in this proceeding.

It is further ordered, That such briefs shall treat with, but not be limited to, the legal sufficiency and practical workability of the following alternatives:

1. Finding the application of the in-transit inspection charge not shown to be just and reasonable and ordering applicable tariffs cancelled, on the ground that respondents have failed to show that applicable line-haul rates are just and reasonable or that applicable line-haul rates plus the inspection charge are just and reasonable;

2. Finding, on other grounds than those stated in the Commission's earlier reports, that the intransit inspection charge is just and reasonable, and that the facts of this proceeding distinguish it from those situations where a separate charge must be evaluated in connection with the justness and reasonableness of applicable line-haul rates, either with or without the charge.

3. Finding that the in-transit inspection charge is just and reasonable, and that the Commission should repudiate the applicability of any rule requiring consideration of the level of line-haul rates in the circumstances of this case.

4. Finding the separate in-transit inspection charge not shown to be just and reasonable as presently proposed, but permitting the filing of new tariffs by respondents which would impose a separate in-transit inspection charge but at the same time reduce the level of applicable line-haul rates by the just and reasonable level of the separate charge.

5. Entering a further order reopening the proceeding for further hearing, for the purpose of adducing evidence as to the level of applicable line-haul rates maintained by respondents.

It is further ordered, That briefs in reply to the aforementioned initial briefs shall be filed on or before the forty-fifth day after the filing date for the initial briefs.

By the Commission.

[SEAL] ROBERT L. OSWALD, *Secretary.*

[FR Doc.73-26061 Filed 12-6-73;8:45 am]

[Notice No. 165]

MOTOR CARRIER TEMPORARY AUTHORITY APPLICATIONS

DECEMBER 3, 1973.

The following are notices of filing of application, except as otherwise specifically noted, each applicant states that there will be no significant effect on the quality of the human environment resulting from approval of its application, for temporary authority under section 210a(a) of the Interstate Commerce Act provided for under the new rules of Ex Parte No. MC-67, (49 CFR Part 1131) published in the FEDERAL REGISTER, issue of April 27, 1965, effective July 1, 1965. These rules provide that protests to the granting of an application must be filed with the field official named in the FEDERAL REGISTER publication, within 15 calendar days after the date of notice of the filing of the application is published in the FEDERAL REGISTER. One copy of such protests must be served on the applicant, or its authorized representative, if any, and the protests must certify that such service has been made. The protests must be specific as to the service which such protestant can and will offer, and must consist of a signed original and six (6) copies.

A copy of the application is on file, and can be examined at the Office of the Secretary, Interstate Commerce Commission, Washington, D.C., and also in field office to which protests are to be transmitted.

MOTOR CARRIERS OF PROPERTY

No. MC 60465 (Sub-No. 9 TA), filed November 20, 1973. Applicant: SPERRY TRANSPORTATION COMPANY, 907 F Street, P.O. Box 468, Charles City, Iowa 50616. Applicant's representative: Thomas E. Leahy, Jr., 900 Hubbell Building, Des Moines, Iowa 50309. Authority sought to operate as a *contract carrier*, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: *Equipment, material, and supplies* used by farm equipment and parts manufacturers, restricted to loads of a maximum weight of 3,000 pounds, between Charles City, Iowa, on the one hand, and, on the other, points in Indiana, Ohio, Michigan, Minnesota, Missouri, Nebraska, and Wisconsin, for 180 days. SUPPORTING SHIPPER: White Farm Equipment Company, 300

Lawler, Charles City, Iowa 50616. SEND PROTESTS TO: Herbert W. Allen, Transportation Specialist, Interstate Commerce Commission, Bureau of Operations, 875 Federal Building, Des Moines, Iowa 50309.

No. MC 71074 (Sub-No. 6 TA), filed November 20, 1973. Applicant: WAREHOUSE TRANSPORT, INC., 211 Plainfield Street, Springfield, Mass. 01107. Applicant's representative: David M. Marshall, 135 State Street, Springfield, Mass. 01103. Authority sought to operate as a *contract carrier*, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: *Such merchandise* as is dealt in by wholesale, retail and chain grocery and food business houses and in connection therewith *equipment, materials and supplies* used in the conduct of such business (except commodities in bulk), between Springfield and Chicopee, Mass.; East Hartford, Conn.; and Cranston, R.I., on the one hand, and, on the other, points in Vermont on and west of Vermont Highway 100, and points in New York on and east of a line beginning at Clayton, N.Y., thence proceeding southerly along New York Highway 12, to the junction of New York Highway 8, thence proceeding southerly along New York Highway 8 to the junction of New York Highway 17, thence proceeding easterly along New York Highway 17 to the junction of Interstate Highway 84, and on and north of Interstate Highway 84, under a continuing contract or contracts with the Great Atlantic & Pacific Tea Co., Inc., for 180 days. SUPPORTING SHIPPER: The Great Atlantic & Pacific Tea Co., Inc., 950 Stuyvesant Avenue, Union, N.J. 07083. SEND PROTESTS TO: District Supervisor Joseph W. Balin, Bureau of Operations, Interstate Commerce Commission, 338 Federal Bldg. & U.S. Courthouse, 436 Dwight Street, Springfield, Mass. 01103.

No. MC 83217 (Sub-No. 62 TA), filed November 23, 1973. Applicant: DAKOTA EXPRESS, INC., P.O. Box 1252, 1614 North Cliff, Sioux Falls, S. Dak. 57104. Applicant's representative: Henry Schuette (same address as above). Authority sought to operate as a *common carrier*, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: *Meats, meat products, meat by-products and articles distributed by meat packinghouses* as described in Sections A and C of Appendix I to the report in *Description in Motor Carrier Certificates*, 61 M.C.C. 209 and 766, from Sioux Falls, S. Dak., to points in Iowa, Kansas, Missouri, and Wisconsin, for 180 days. SUPPORTING SHIPPER: Meilman Food Industries, 209 South Ruth, Sioux Falls, S. Dak. 57101. SEND PROTESTS TO: J. L. Hammond, District Supervisor, Interstate Commerce Commission, Bureau of Operations, Room 369, Federal Building, Pierre, S. Dak. 57501.

No. MC 100449 (Sub-No. 38 TA), filed November 21, 1973. Applicant: MALLINGER TRUCK LINE, INC., Route 4, Fort Dodge, Iowa 50501. Applicant's representative: William L. Fairbank, 900 Hubbell Building, Des Moines, Iowa 50309. Authority sought to operate as a *common carrier*, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: *Foodstuffs*, from the facilities of Kold Storage, Inc. near Fort Dodge, Iowa, to points in Missouri, for 180 days. SUPPORTING SHIPPER: Kold Storage, Inc., P.O. Box 1181, R.R. 2, Fort Dodge, Iowa 50501. SEND PROTESTS TO: Herbert W. Allen, Transportation Specialist, Interstate Commerce Commission, Bureau of Operations, 875 Federal Building, Des Moines, Iowa 50309.

No. MC 110525 (Sub-No. 1076 TA), filed November 13, 1973. Applicant: CHEMICAL LEAMAN TANK LINES, INC., 530 E. Lancaster Avenue, P.O. Box 200, Downingtown, Pa. 19335. Applicant's representative: Thomas J. O'Brien (same address as applicant). Authority sought to operate as a *common carrier*, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: *Liquid resins*, in bulk, in tank vehicles, from Owens-Corning Fiberglas Corporation plantsite near Valparaiso, Ind., to points in Alabama, Florida, Georgia, Illinois, Indiana, Iowa, Kentucky, Michigan, Minnesota, Nebraska, North Carolina, Ohio, Oklahoma, South Carolina, Pennsylvania, South Dakota, Tennessee, Texas, Virginia, and Wisconsin, for 180 days. SUPPORTING SHIPPER: Owens-Corning Fiberglas Corporation, Fiberglas Tower, Toledo, Ohio 43659. SEND PROTESTS TO: Peter R. Guman, District Supervisor, Bureau of Operations, Interstate Commerce Commission, Federal Building, Room 3238, 600 Arch Street, Philadelphia, Pa. 19106.

No. MC 112520 (Sub-No. 278 TA), filed November 26, 1973. Applicant: McKENZIE TANK LINES, INC., New Quincy Road, P.O. Box 1200, Tallahassee, Fla. 32302. Applicant's representative: Sol H. Proctor, 2501 Gulf Life Tower, Jacksonville, Fla. 32207. Authority sought to operate as a *common carrier*, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: *Caustic soda solution*, in bulk, in tank vehicles, from Clyattville, Ga., to the facilities of Union Camp Corporation in Autauga County, Ala., for 180 days. SUPPORTING SHIPPER: Union Camp Corporation, 1600 Valley Road, Wayne, N.J. 07470. SEND PROTESTS TO: District Supervisor G. H. Fauss, Jr., Interstate Commerce Commission, Bureau of Operations, Box 35008, 400 W. Bay St., Jacksonville, Fla. 32202.

No. MC 113410 (Sub-No. 77 TA), filed November 21, 1973. Applicant: DAHLEN TRANSPORT, INC., 1680 4th Avenue, Newport, Minn. 55055. Applicant's representative: Joseph A. Eschenbacher, Jr. (same address as applicant). Authority sought to operate as a *common carrier*, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: *Liquified petroleum gas*, in bulk, in tank vehicles, from Rosemount, Minn., to points in Minnesota, Wisconsin, Iowa, North Dakota, South Dakota, and Upper Peninsula of Michigan, for 180 days. SUPPORTING SHIPPER: Northern Propane Gas Company, 4820 Excelsior Blvd., Minneapolis, Minn. 55416. SEND PROTESTS TO: District Supervisor Raymond T. Jones, Interstate Commerce Commission, Bureau of Operations, 448 Federal Bldg. & U.S. Court House, 110 S. 4th Street, Minneapolis, Minn. 55401.

No. MC 113410 (Sub-No. 78 TA), filed November 21, 1973. Applicant: DAHLEN TRANSPORT, INC., 1680 4th Avenue, Newport, Minn. 55055. Applicant's representative: Joseph A. Eschenbacher, Jr. (same address as applicant). Authority sought to operate as a *common carrier*, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: *Liquid animal feed and liquid animal feed supplements*, in bulk, in tank vehicles, from Owatonna, Minn., to points in Iowa, for 180 days. SUPPORTING SHIPPER: Land O'Lakes, Inc., 2756 22nd Ave. S., Fort Dodge, Iowa. SEND PROTESTS TO: District Supervisor Raymond T. Jones, Interstate Commerce Commission, Bureau of Operations, 448 Federal Bldg. & U.S. Court House, 110 S. 4th Street, Minneapolis, Minn. 55401.

No. MC 113908 (Sub-No. 293 TA), filed November 23, 1973. Applicant: ERICKSON TRANSPORT CORPORATION, 2105 East Dale Street, P.O. Box 3180, Glenstone Station, Springfield, Mo. 65804. Applicant's representative: B. B. Whitehead (same address as applicant). Authority sought to operate as a *common carrier*, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: *Liquid animal poultry feed ingredients*, in bulk, from Cabool, Mo., to Wolcott, Kans. and Omaha, Nebr., for 180 days. SUPPORTING SHIPPER: National Molasses Company, Willow Grove, Pa. 19090. SEND PROTESTS TO: John V. Barry, District Supervisor, Interstate Commerce Commission, Bureau of Operations, 600 Federal Office Building, 911 Walnut Street, Kansas City, Mo. 64106.

No. MC 114457 (Sub-No. 174 TA), filed November 23, 1973. Applicant: DART TRANSIT COMPANY, 780 N. Prior Avenue, St. Paul, Minn. 55104. Applicant's representative: Michael P. Zell (same address as above). Authority sought to operate as a *common carrier*, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: *Meats and meat products*, from Albert Lea, Minn., to Detroit, Mich., for 180 days. SUPPORTING SHIPPER: Bell Boy, 7841 Wayzata Blvd., St. Louis Park, Minn. 55426. SEND PROTESTS TO: Raymond T. Jones, District Supervisor, Interstate Commerce Commission, Bureau of Operations, 448 Federal Building & U.S. Court House, 110 S. 4th Street, Minneapolis, Minn. 55401.

No. MC 114457 (Sub-No. 175 TA), filed November 23, 1973. Applicant: DART TRANSIT COMPANY, 780 N. Prior Avenue, St. Paul, Minn. 55104. Applicant's representative: Michael P. Zell (same address as above). Authority sought to operate as a *common carrier*, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: *Prepared animal food* (ex-

cept in bulk), from Delavan, Wis., to points in Iowa, Kansas, Michigan, Minnesota, Missouri, Nebraska, Ohio, Oklahoma, and Texas, for 180 days. SUPPORTING SHIPPER: Landon Company, Inc., DeLavan, Wis. 53115. SEND PROTESTS TO: Raymond T. Jones, District Supervisor, Interstate Commerce Commission, Bureau of Operations, 448 Federal Building & U.S. Court House, 110 S. 4th Street, Minneapolis, Minn. 55401.

No. MC 114457 (Sub-No. 176 TA), filed November 23, 1973. Applicant: DART TRANSIT COMPANY, 780 N. Prior Avenue, St. Paul, Minn. 55104. Applicant's representative: Michael P. Zell (same address as above). Authority sought to operate as a *common carrier*, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: *Frozen potatoes and frozen potato products*, from Grand Forks, N. Dak., to points in Illinois, Indiana, Michigan, and Ohio, for 180 days. RESTRICTION: Restricted to traffic originating at the plant-site and storage facilities of Western Potato Service, Inc. at Grand Forks, N. Dak. and destined to points in the named states. SUPPORTING SHIPPER: Western Potato Service, Inc., P.O. Box 518, Highway 2 West, Grand Forks, N. Dak. 58201. SEND PROTESTS TO: Raymond T. Jones, District Supervisor, Interstate Commerce Commission, Bureau of Operations, 448 Federal Building and U.S. Court House, 110 S. 4th Street, Minneapolis, Minn. 55401.

No. MC 115379 (Sub-No. 45 TA), filed November 26, 1973. Applicant: JOHN D. BOHR, INC., P.O. Box 217, Annville, Pa. 17003. Applicant's representative: Christian V. Graf, 407 N. Front Street, Harrisburg, Pa. 17101. Authority sought to operate as a *common carrier*, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: *Meat scrap, poultry by-product meal, and feather meal*, in bulk, from points in Maryland and Virginia, to Marion, Ohio, for 90 days. SUPPORTING SHIPPER: The Quaker Oats Company, 345 Merchandise Mart, Chicago, Ill. 60654. SEND PROTESTS TO: Robert P. Amerine, District Supervisor, Interstate Commerce Commission, Bureau of Operations, 278 Federal Building, P.O. Box 869, Harrisburg, Pa. 17108.

No. MC 115921 (Sub-No. 5 TA), filed November 21, 1973. Applicant: CHEMICAL SALT SERVICE, INC., 64 Waltham Avenue, Springfield, Mass. 01109. Applicant's representative: Arthur A. Wentzell, P.O. Box 764, Worcester, Mass. 01613. Authority sought to operate as a *contract carrier*, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: *Rock Salt*, in bulk, in dump vehicles, from Deerfield, Mass., to points in Cheshire, Hillsboro, and Sullivan Counties, N.H. and Windham and Windsor Counties, Vt., for 180 days. SUPPORTING SHIPPER: The Chemical Corporation, 54 Waltham Avenue, Springfield, Mass. 01109. SEND PROTESTS TO: District Supervisor Joseph W. Balin, Interstate Commerce Commission, Bureau of Operations, 338 Federal Building & U.S. Courthouse, 436 Dwight St., Springfield, Mass. 01103.

No. MC 121631 (Sub-No. 2 TA), filed November 19, 1973. Applicant: MARSHFIELD DRAYAGE COMPANY, 302 West 2nd Street, Marshfield, Mo. 65706. Applicant's representative: Turner White, 910 Plaza Towers, Springfield, Mo. 65804. Authority sought to operate as a *common carrier*, by motor vehicle, over regular routes, transporting: *General commodities*, (except explosives, articles of unusual value, household goods, commodities in bulk, commodities requiring special equipment, and articles injurious and contaminating to other freight), serving the plant site of The Niangua Corporation approximately ten (10) miles northwest of Conway, Mo., as an off-route point in connection with applicant's existing regular route authority under Docket No. MC 121631, for 180 days.

NOTE: Applicant presently holds registered authority under Docket No. MC 121631 as issued by the Interstate Commerce Commission. It performs as reflected on the map a regular route service between Springfield, Mo. and Lebanon, Mo. and seeks by this application to serve only the plant site mentioned as an off-route point in connection with its existing regular route operations. It is therefore believed that no tacking is involved. Applicant, however, regularly interlines freight with other carriers at both Springfield, Mo. and Lebanon, Mo., under its existing authority.

SUPPORTING SHIPPER: The Niangua Corporation, Conway, Mo. 65632. SEND PROTESTS TO: John V. Barry, District Supervisor, Bureau of Operations, Interstate Commerce Commission, 600 Federal Office Building, 911 Walnut Street, Kansas City, Mo. 64106.

No. MC 123685 (Sub-No. 19 TA), filed November 23, 1973. Applicant: PEOPLES CARTAGE, INC., 8045 Navarre Road, S.W., Massillon, Ohio 44646. Applicant's representative: James W. Muldoon, 50 West Broad Street, Suite 1022, Columbus, Ohio 43215. Authority sought to operate as a *common carrier*, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: *Salt*, in packages, from St. Clair, Mich., to points in Pennsylvania, for 180 days. SUPPORTING SHIPPER: Diamond Crystal Salt Company, Saint Clair, Mich. 48079. SEND PROTESTS TO: Frank L. Calvary, District Supervisor, Interstate Comerce Commission, Bureau of Operations, 255 Federal Building & U.S. Courthouse, 85 Marconi Boulevard, Columbus, Ohio 43215.

No. MC 125254 (Sub-No. 21 TA), filed November 20, 1973. Applicant: DONALD L. MORGAN, doing business as MORGAN TRUCKING CO., 1201 E. 5th Street, P.O. Box 714, Muscatine, Iowa 52761. Applicant's representative: Larry D. Knox, 9th Floor, Hubbell Building, Des Moines, Iowa 50309. Authority sought to operate as a *common carrier*, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: *Malt beverages*, from Milwaukee, Wis. and St. Paul, Minn., to Muscatine, Iowa, for 180 days. SUPPORTING SHIPPERS: White Distributing Company, P.O. Box 755, Muscatine, Iowa 52761 and Manjoine Distributing, Inc., Highway 22 East, R.R. No. 3, Muscatine, Iowa 52761. SEND PROTESTS TO: Herbert W. Allen, Transportation Specialist, Interstate Commerce Commission, Bureau of Operations, 875 Federal Building, Des Moines, Iowa 50309.

No. MC 128905 (Sub-No. 2 TA), filed November 23, 1973. Applicant: GARY E. CHAPMAN, doing business as CHAPMAN TRUCKING CO., 4 Pinecrest Drive, Huntington, W. Va. 25705, and Mail: 1603 Dunbar Avenue, Dunbar, W. Va. 25064. Applicant's representative: John M. Friedman, 2930 Putnam Avenue, Hurricane, W. Va. 25526. Authority sought to operate as a *contract carrier*, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: *New furniture*, set up, from plant sites of Mason Furniture Corporation at Huntington and Point Pleasant, W. Va., to points in Ohio, for 180 days. SUPPORTING SHIPPER: Mason Furniture Corporation, P.O. Box 432, Point Pleasant, W. Va. 25550. SEND PROTESTS TO: H. R. White, District Supervisor, Interstate Commerce Commission, Bureau of Operations, 3108 Federal Office Bldg., 500 Quarrier Street, Charleston, W. Va. 25301.

No. MC 136786 (Sub-No. 36 TA), filed November 23, 1973. Applicant: ROBCO TRANSPORTATION, INC., 3033 Excelsior Boulevard, Room 205, Minneapolis, Minn. 55416. Applicant's representative: Virgil H. Smith, 1587 Phoenix Boulevard, Suite 12, Atlanta, Ga. 30349. Authority sought to operate as a *common carrier*, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: *Such commodities* as are used by growers of horticultural products and commodities, the transportation of which are partially exempt under Provisions of Section 203(b)(6) of the Interstate Commerce Act, when moving in the same vehicle at the same time with such commodities as are used by growers of horticultural products, (1) between Atlanta, Ga.; Allentown, Pa.; Bayonne, N.J.; Baltimore, Md.; Chicago, Ill.; Cleveland, Ohio; Dallas, Tex.; Mobile, Ala.; Belleville, Mich.; Minneapolis, Minn.; and Houston, Tex., on the one hand, and, on the other, points in the United States on and east of U.S. Highway 85 and (2) between Charleston and Travelers Rest, S.C.; Troup, Tex.; and Oklahoma City, Okla.; Leominster, Mass.; Florence, Ky.; and points in Michigan, Minnesota, and New Jersey, on the one hand, and, on the other, Atlanta, Ga.; Allentown, Pa.; Bayonne, N.J.; Baltimore, Md.; Chicago, Ill.; Cleveland, Ohio; Dallas, Tex.; Mobile, Ala.; Belleville, Mich., Minneapolis, Minn.; and Houston, Tex., for 180 days. SUPPORTING SHIPPER: Geo. J. Ball, Inc., P.O. Box 335, West Chicago, Ill. 60185. SEND PROTESTS TO: A. N. Spath, District Supervisor, Interstate Commerce Commission, Bureau of Operations, 448 Federal Building & U.S. Court House, 110 S. 4th Street, Minneapolis, Minn. 55401.

No. MC 138000 (Sub-No. 10 TA), filed November 20, 1973. Applicant: ARTHUR H. FULTON, Post Office Box 86, Stephens City, Va. 22655. Applicant's representative: Charles E. Creager, P.O. Box

1417, Hagerstown, Md. 21740. Authority sought to operate as a *common carrier*, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: (1) *Malt beverages*, from Philadelphia, Pa. and Oranges, N.J., to Winchester, Va. and (2) *Wine*, from New York City, Brooklyn, Hammondsport, and Westfield, N.Y., to Winchester, Va., for 180 days. SUPPORTING SHIPPER: Shenandoah Valley Distributing Co., Inc., T/A S & F Distributing Co., P.O. Box 863, Winchester, Va. 22601. SEND PROTESTS TO: Robert D. Caldwell, District Supervisor, Bureau of Operations, Interstate Commerce Commission, 12th Street & Constitution Avenue NW., Washington, D.C. 20423.

No. MC 138572 (Sub-No. 2 TA), filed November 23, 1973. Applicant: BRUNSWICK PETROLEUM TRANSPORT LTD., Ashburn Lake Road, St. John, New Brunswick, Canada. Applicant's representative: J. P. Vermette, 7887 Second Avenue, Ville d'Anjou, Quebec, Canada. Authority sought to operate as a *common carrier*, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: *Sodium chlorate*, in bulk, in tank vehicles, from the port of entry on the International Boundary line between the United States and Canada located at or near Calais, Maine, to Woodland, Maine, restricted to traffic having an immediate prior movement in foreign commerce, for 90 days. SUPPORTING SHIPPER: Canso Chemicals Limited, P.O. Box 10, 630 Dorchester Blvd. West, Montreal 101, Quebec, Canada. SEND PROTESTS TO: Donald G. Weiler, District Supervisor, Bureau of Operations, Rm. 307, 76 Pearl Street, P.O. Box 167, Downtown Station, Portland, Maine 04112.

No. MC 139192 (Sub-No. 1 TA) (CORRECTION), filed October 25, 1973, published in the FEDERAL REGISTER issue of November 12, 1973, and republished as corrected this issue. Applicant: JOHN PERRY, doing business as JOHN PERRY TRUCKING, 1535 Industrial Avenue, San Jose, Calif. 95112. Applicant's representative: Marvin Handler, 100 Pine Street, Suite 2550, San Francisco, Calif. 94111.

NOTE: The purpose of this partial republication is to add the state of Texas as a destination point, which was omitted in previous publication. The rest of the application will remain the same.

No. MC 139228 (Sub No. 1 TA), filed November 20, 1973. Applicant: GARY McDONALD TRUCKING, INC., Route 2, Scranton, Iowa 51462. Applicant's representative: Robert R. Rydell, 900 Savings and Loan Bldg., Des Moines, Iowa 50309. Authority sought to operate as a *contract carrier*, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: *Castings and forgings*, from Charlotte, N.C., to Jefferson, Iowa, for 180 days. SUPPORTING SHIPPER: Franklin Manufacturing Co., 600 Stockdale Street, Webster City, Iowa 50575. SEND PROTESTS TO: Herbert W. Allen, Transportation Specialist, Interstate Commerce Commission, Bureau of Operations, 875 Federal Building, Des Moines, Iowa 50309.

No. MC 139280 (Sub-No. 1 TA), filed November 23, 1973. Applicant: BUTANE GAS & ELECTRIC CO., INC., 313 North Wood Street, Gilmer, Tex. 75644. Applicant's representative: Billy R. Reid, 6108 Sharon Road, Fort Worth, Tex. 76116. Authority sought to operate as a *common carrier*, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: *Liquefied petroleum gas*, in tank vehicles, between Carthage, Gilmer, Ore City, Hawkins, and Tyler, Tex., on the one hand, and, on the other, Gibsland, La. for 180 days. SUPPORTING SHIPPERS: Hercules Petroleum Co., Inc., P.O. Box 87, Choudrant, La. 71227 and DeBerry Butane Gas Company, General Delivery, Ore City, Tex. SEND PROTESTS TO: Transportation Specialist Gerald T. Holland, Interstate Commerce Commission, Bureau of Operations, 1100 Commerce Street, Room 13C12, Dallas, Tex. 75202.

No. MC 139286 TA, filed November 23, 1973. Applicant: DON McINTYRE, doing business as McINTYRE TRUCKING, 935 Starlite Place, Grants Pass, Oreg. 97526. Applicant's representative: Don McIntyre (same address as above). Authority sought to operate as a *contract carrier*, by motor vehicles, over irregular routes, transporting: *Forest products, lumber and veneer*, from the plant of Sun Studs, Inc., near Roseburg, Oreg., to Sacramento, Marysville, San Francisco, and Los Angeles, Calif.; Reno and Las Vegas, Nev.; and Phoenix and Tucson, Ariz., for 180 days. SUPPORTING SHIPPER: Sun Studs, Inc., Old Highway 99S, Roseburg, Oreg. 97470. SEND PROTESTS TO: A. E. Odoms, District Supervisor, Interstate Commerce Commission, Bureau of Operations, 450 Multnomah Building, 319 S. W. Pine Street, Portland, Oreg. 97204.

No. MC 139287 TA, filed November 23, 1973. Applicant: DALE R. TINKHAM, doing business as TINKHAM TRUCKING, 903 Lindy Lane, Yakima, Wash. 98901. Applicant's representative: George R. LaBissoniere, 130 Andover Park East, Suite 101, Seattle, Wash. 98188. Authority sought to operate as a *contract carrier*, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: *Molded pulp fillers, flats, partitions and cartons*, from Berkeley, Calif., to points in Multnomah, Clackamas, Washington, and Hood River Counties, Oreg. and points in King, Yakima, Chelan, and Okanogan Counties, Wash., for 180 days. SUPPORTING SHIPPER: Packaging Company of California, 2900 San Pablo Avenue, Berkeley, Calif. 94702. SEND PROTESTS TO: District Supervisor W. J. Huetig, Interstate Commerce Commission, Bureau of Operations, 450 Multnomah Building, 319 S.W. Pine, Portland, Oreg. 97204.

By the Commission.

[SEAL] ROBERT L. OSWALD, *Secretary.*

[FR Doc.73-26065 Filed 12-6-73;8:45 am]

CUMULATIVE LIST OF PARTS AFFECTED—DECEMBER

The following numerical guide is a list of parts of each title of the Code of Federal Regulations affected by documents published to date during December.

3 CFR Page

EXECUTIVE ORDERS:

10480 (Suspended in part by E.O. 11748) ... 33575
11726 (Superseded in part by E.O. 11748) ... 33575
11748 ... 33575

5 CFR

213 ... 33487, 33577, 33757, 33758

PROPOSED RULES:

731 ... 33315

6 CFR

150 ... 33487, 33577, 33758
152 ... 33581
155 ... 33488, 33489
Rulings ... 33489, 33582

7 CFR

811 ... 33759
871 ... 33273
873 ... 33582
905 ... 33761
907 ... 33761
910 ... 33762
926 ... 33762
959 ... 33763
1030 ... 33455
1464 ... 33276
1872 ... 33763
1873 ... 33586

PROPOSED RULES:

818 ... 33400
909 ... 33400
928 ... 33400, 33491
1701 ... 33774

9 CFR

76 ... 33455
94 ... 33763
97 ... 33763
113 ... 33764

PROPOSED RULES:

317 ... 33308
381 ... 33308

12 CFR

204 ... 33456
544 ... 33456
563 ... 33457
563c ... 33457

13 CFR

111 ... 33588

14 CFR

39 ... 33391, 33764, 33765
71 ... 33277, 33391–33394, 33464, 33588, 33765, 33766
73 ... 33394
75 ... 33394, 33766
95 ... 33589
97 ... 33589

PROPOSED RULES:

71 ... 33404, 33501, 33603, 33774

15 CFR

6 ... 33482
376 ... 33590
377 ... 33592
1000 ... 33486

16 CFR Page

13 ... 33277, 33279
425 ... 33766
429 ... 33766
1508 ... 33593
1700 ... 33280

PROPOSED RULES:

1 ... 33618
1508 ... 33405

17 CFR

210 ... 33282

PROPOSED RULES:

230 ... 33779

18 CFR

2 ... 33766
157 ... 33766

19 CFR

1 ... 33284
153 ... 33593

20 CFR

410 ... 33464

21 CFR

1 ... 33284, 33465
2 ... 33593
135d ... 33767
149c ... 33767
1401 ... 33744

PROPOSED RULES:

1 ... 33492
130 ... 33774
1000 ... 33313
1301 ... 33774

22 CFR

PROPOSED RULES:

41 ... 33603

23 CFR

1 ... 33465

24 CFR

1914 ... 33465, 33466, 33767, 33768
1915 ... 33467

25 CFR

PROPOSED RULES:

60 ... 33401
153 ... 33402

26 CFR

1 ... 33290, 33395
601 ... 33300

PROPOSED RULES:

1 ... 33490
31 ... 33490
301 ... 33490

27 CFR

5 ... 33470
70 ... 33767

28 CFR

0 ... 33471

29 CFR

1910 ... 33397
1926 ... 33397

30 CFR Page

75 ... 33397

32A CFR

Ch. VI:

BDC Notice 3 ... 33472

33 CFR

62 ... 33472
66 ... 33473
74 ... 33472
110 ... 33473, 33474
117 ... 33593

34 CFR

200 ... 33769
251 ... 33770

36 CFR

212 ... 33474

38 CFR

21 ... 33303
36 ... 33771

40 CFR

52 ... 33368, 33556, 33702
80 ... 33734
166 ... 33303
180 ... 33398

PROPOSED RULES:

52 ... 33563, 33775, 33777
180 ... 33604
406 ... 33438
409 ... 33846
418 ... 33850
425 ... 33860

41 CFR

1–1 ... 33594
1–4 ... 33594
1–18 ... 33596
15–1 ... 33772
101–25 ... 33596

43 CFR

PUBLIC LAND ORDERS:

5362 ... 33597

45 CFR

206 ... 33380
248 ... 33380
249 ... 33383

PROPOSED RULES:

103 ... 33565
185 ... 33874

46 CFR

77 ... 33474
96 ... 33474
195 ... 33474

PROPOSED RULES:

30 ... 33494
64 ... 33494
90 ... 33498
98 ... 33498
528 ... 33501

47 CFR — Page

0 — 33597
1 — 33302, 33475
64 — 33475
73 — 33598
76 — 33398
95 — 33302

PROPOSED RULES:

2 — 33604, 33617
73 — 33405, 33406

47 CFR—Continued — Page

PROPOSED RULES—Continued

81 — 33604
87 — 33604, 33618
89 — 33604, 33617
91 — 33604
93 — 33604
94 — 33604

49 CFR — Page

1023 — 33772
1033 — 33302, 33399, 33482

PROPOSED RULES:

567 — 33404, 33775
571 — 33501

50 CFR

280 — 33492

FEDERAL REGISTER PAGES AND DATES—DECEMBER

33267–33383	Dec. 3
33385–33445	4
33447–33567	5
33569–33749	6
33751–33958	7

federal register

FRIDAY, DECEMBER 7, 1973
WASHINGTON, D.C.

Volume 38 ■ Number 235

PART II

ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY

■

EFFLUENT LIMITATIONS GUIDELINES AND NEW SOURCE PERFORMANCE STANDARDS

Fertilizer Industry
Leather Tanning and Finishing Industry
Sugar Processing Industry

ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY

[40 CFR Part 409]

CRYSTALLINE CANE SUGAR AND LIQUID CANE SUGAR REFINING SUBCATEGORIES

Proposed Effluent Limitations Guidelines

Notice is hereby given that effluent limitations guidelines for existing sources and standards of performance and pretreatment standards for new sources set forth in tentative form below are proposed by the Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) for the crystalline cane sugar refining subcategory (Subpart B) and the liquid cane sugar refining subcategory (Subpart C) of the sugar processing category of point sources pursuant to sections 301, 304 (b) and (c), 306(b) and 307(c) of the Federal Water Pollution Control Act, as amended (33 U.S.C. 1251, 1311, 1314 (b) and (c), 1316(b), and 1317(c); 86 Stat. 816 et seq.: Pub. L. 92-500) (the "Act").

(a) *Legal authority*—(1) *Existing point sources.* Section 301(b) of the Act requires the achievement by not later than July 1, 1977, of effluent limitations for point sources, other than publicly owned treatment works, which require the application of the best practicable control technology currently available as defined by the Administrator pursuant to section 304(b) of the Act. Section 301(b) also requires the achievement by not later than July 1, 1983, of effluent limitations for point sources, other than publicly owned treatment works, which require the application of best available technology economically achievable which will result in reasonable further progress toward the national goal of eliminating the discharge of all pollutants, as determined in accordance with regulations issued by the Administrator pursuant to section 304(b) of the Act.

(2) Section 304(b) of the Act requires the Administrator to publish regulations providing guidelines for effluent limitations setting forth the degree of effluent reduction attainable through the application of the best practicable control technology currently available and the degree of effluent reduction attainable through the application of the best control measures and practices achievable including, treatment techniques, process and procedure innovations, operating methods and other alternatives. The regulations proposed herein set forth effluent limitations guidelines, pursuant to section 304(b) of the Act, for the crystalline cane sugar refining subcategory (Subpart B) and the liquid cane sugar refining subcategory (Subpart C), of the sugar processing category.

(3) *New sources.* Section 306 of the Act requires the achievement by new sources of a Federal standard of performance providing for the control of the discharge of pollutants which reflects the greatest degree of effluent reduction which the Administrator determines to be achievable through application of the best available demonstrated control technology, processes, operating methods, or other alternatives, including, where practicable, a standard permitting no discharge of pollutants.

Section 306(b)(1)(B) of the Act requires the Administrator to propose regulations establishing Federal standards of performances for categories of new sources included in a list published pursuant to section 306(b)(1)(A) of the Act. The Administrator published in the FEDERAL REGISTER of January 16, 1973, (38 FR 1624) a list of 27 source categories, including the sugar processing category. The regulations proposed herein set forth the standards of performance applicable to new sources for the crystalline cane sugar refining subcategory (Subpart B) and the liquid cane sugar refining subcategory (Subpart C), of the sugar processing category.

Section 307(c) of the Act requires the Administrator to promulgate pretreatment standards for new sources at the same time that standards of performance for new sources are promulgated pursuant to section 306. Sections 409.25 and 409.35 proposed below provide pretreatment standards for new sources within the crystalline cane sugar refining subcategory (Subpart B) and the liquid cane sugar refining subcategory (Subpart C), of the sugar processing category of point sources.

Section 304(c) of the Act requires the Administrator to issue to the States and appropriate water pollution control agencies information on the processes, procedures or operating methods which result in the elimination or reduction of the discharge of pollutants to implement standards of performance under section 306 of the Act. The report or Development Document referred to below provides, pursuant to section 304(c) of the Act, information on such processes, procedures, or operating methods.

(b) *Summary and basis of proposed effluent limitations guidelines for existing sources and standards of performance and pretreatment standards for new sources*—(1) *General methodology.* The effluent limitations guidelines and standards of performance proposed herein were developed in the following manner. General information was obtained on all plants and detailed information was collected for 28 (97 percent) of the 29 domestic cane sugar refineries identified as currently in operation (see Table 1 below). The sources and types of information consisted of:

Applications to the Corps of Engineers for permits to discharge under the Refuse Act Permit Program (RAPP) which were obtained for 24 refineries provided data on the characteristics of intake and effluent waters, water usages, waste water treatment and control practices employed, daily production, and raw materials used.

A questionnaire previously submitted to 17 refineries by the United States Cane Sugar Refineries Association.

On-site inspections of 19 refineries provided information on process diagrams and related water usage, water management practices, and control and treatment practices.

Four refineries were sampled to verify the accumulated data.

Other sources of information included: Personal and telephone interviews and meetings with regional EPA personnel, industry personnel, and consultants; State permit applications; internal data supplied by industry; and a review and evaluation of the available literature.

TABLE 1—SOURCES OF DATA

Refinery	Type [1]	Location	Size-kkg/day (average melt)	Data source [2]
Amstar	C	MD	2,350	1, 2, 4
Do.	C	MA	900	1, 2, 3, 5
Do.	C	NY	1,900	1, 2, 3, 4, 4
Do.	C	LA	2,800	1, 2, 4
Do.	C	PA	1,900	1, 2, 4
J. Aron & Co.	C	LA	680	1, 4
California & Hawaiian	C	HA	170	1, 4
Do.	C	CA	3,175	1, 3, 4
Colonial (Borden)	C	LA	1,350	1, 3
Evercane (Savannah Foods)	C	FL	360	3
Glades County	C	FL	420	3
Godchaux	C	LA	1,540	
Guanica	C	PR	200	1, 3
Igualdad	C	PR	630	1
Imperial	C	TX	1,350	1, 2, 3, 4
Mercedita	C	PR	545	1, 3
National	C	PA	1,900	1, 3, 4
Revere	C	MA	1,000	3
Roig	C	PR	360	1, 3
Savannah Foods	C	GA	1,700	1, 2, 3, 4, 5
South Coast	C	LA	635	1, 4
Southdown	C	LA	635	1, 2, 3, 4
CPC	C-L	NY	1,650	1, 2, 3, 4
SuCrest	C-L	NY	750	1, 2, 3
Florida Sugar (Borden)	L	FL	350	1, 3, 4
Industrial (Borden)	L	MO	275	1, 3, 4
Pepsico	L	NY	725	1, 3, 5
Ponce Candy	L	PR	55	1, 3
SuCrest	L	IL	775	3, 5

[1] C—Crystalline refinery.
C-L—Combination crystalline-liquid refinery.
L—Liquid refinery.

[2] 1 Corps of engineers applications (RAPP).
2 Prior analyses.
3 Interview of plant personnel.
4 Questionnaire.
5 Verification sampling.

The reviews, analyses, and evaluations were coordinated and applied to the following:

An identification of distinguishing features that could potentially provide a basis for subcategorization of the in-

dustry segment. These features included raw material quality, age and size of the refinery, nature of water supply, process employed, and product produced.

A determination of the water usage and waste water characteristics for each subcategory, including the volume of water used, the sources of pollution in the plant, and the type and quantity of constituents in the waste waters.

An identification of those constituents which are characteristic of the industry and determined to be pollutants subject to effluent limitations guidelines and standards of performance.

An identification of the control and treatment technologies presently employed or capable of being employed by the refining industry, including the effluent level attainable and associated treatment efficiency related to each technology.

An evaluation of the cost associated with the application of each control and treatment technology.

The results of this analysis indicated that three refineries are currently achieving no discharge of pollutants to navigable waters by means of land retention, two refineries discharge all process wastes to municipal treatment systems, and ten additional refineries discharge all wastes except barometric condenser cooling water to municipal systems. The majority of the remaining fourteen plants partially treat waste waters.

The pretreatment standards proposed herein are intended to be complementary to the pretreatment standard proposed for existing sources under 40 CFR Part 128. The basis for such standards are set forth in the FEDERAL REGISTER of July 19, 1973, 38 FR 19236. The provisions of Part 128, except for § 128.133, are equally applicable to sources which would constitute "new sources" under section 306 of the Act if they were to discharge pollutants directly to navigable waters. Section 128.133 provides a pretreatment standard for "incompatible pollutants" which requires application of the "best practicable control technology currently available," subject to an adjustment for quantities of pollutants removed by the publicly owned treatment system. Since the pretreatment standards proposed herein apply to new sources, §§ 409.25 and 409.35 below amend § 128.133 to require application of the standard of performance for new sources rather than the "best practicable" standard applicable to existing sources under sections 301 and 304(b) of the Act.

(2) Summary of conclusions with respect to the crystalline cane sugar refining subcategory (Subpart B) and the liquid cane sugar refining subcategory (Subpart C), of the sugar processing category of point sources.

(i) *Categorization.* For the purpose of establishing effluent limitations guidelines and standards of performance, the cane sugar refining segment of the sugar processing category has been divided into two subcategories:

(1) *Subpart B.* Crystalline Cane Sugar Refining Subcategory: This subcategory includes those refineries which process raw sugar into a crystalline refined sugar product.

(2) *Subpart C.* Liquid Cane Sugar Refining Subcategory: This subcategory includes those refineries which process raw sugar into a liquid refined sugar product.

Factors such as age and size of plant, raw material quality, nature of water supply, and process employed as affecting waste water constituents and waste control and treatment technologies substantiate this determination.

(ii) *Waste characteristics.* The known significant pollutant properties or constituents of waste waters resulting from cane sugar refining include biochemical oxygen demand, suspended solids, and pH. Other parameters considered to be of less significance are chemical oxygen demand, temperature, total dissolved solids, and nutrients.

(iii) Origin of waste water pollutants in the cane sugar refining segment of the sugar processing category.

Major inplant water uses resulting in waste water streams are barometric condenser cooling water, filter cake slurry, char wash water, floor wash water, carbon slurries, truck and car wash, and ion-exchange regeneration water. The filter cake stream may be handled separately in either a dry or slurry form. These waste water streams are referred to as "process waste water". The filter cake slurry, char wash water, floor wash water, carbon slurries, truck and car wash waters, ion-exchange regeneration water, and other miscellaneous waste water streams are called "process water". The "process water", together with the barometric condenser cooling water, constitute the "process waste water".

(iv) *Control and treatment technology.* The control and treatment technologies which are available include in-plant control techniques and end-of-process treatment technologies. Inplant control measures include the minimization of intake water by maximum reuse of waste waters in the process (by such means as sweet water recovery and condensate utilization) and entrainment prevention in barometric condenser cooling water. The principal end-of-process treatment methods include filtration techniques to remove solid material, disposal of excess waste water in holding ponds and waste stabilization lagoons, discharge of process waste waters to municipal treatment systems, and treatment of process waste waters by activated sludge or other equivalent biological treatment technique.

The three major sources of waste resulting from cane sugar refining are filter cake, process waters, and barometric condenser cooling water. Total waste loadings for: Crystalline cane sugar refineries are—1.54 kg BOD5 per kkg (3.08 lb BOD5 per ton) of melt and 1.86 kg TSS per kkg (3.72 lb TSS per ton) of melt; liquid cane sugar refineries are—3.43 kg BOD5 per kkg (6.86 lb BOD5 per ton) of melt and 1.56 kg TSS per kkg (3.12 lb TSS per ton) of melt. Table 2 is a summary of waste loadings resulting from the application of certain treatment alternatives, using no treatment (Alternative A) as a baseline. Filter cake, resulting from the clarification of melt liquor, can be disposed of without discharge to navigable waters by controlled impoundage of the filter slurry or dry handling of the filter cake (Alternative B). Alternative C involves the addition of demisters and external separators to reduce entrainment of sucrose into barometric condenser cooling water. Alternative D involves, in addition to Alternative C, an activated sludge system to treat process waters. Alternative E involves, in addition to Alternative D, the recycle of barometric condenser cooling water through a cooling device with biological treatment of the assumed two percent blowdown and incorporates sand filtration of the effluent from the activated sludge system to further effect solids removal. Alternative F, in addition to Alternative C, allows for no discharge of process water by total impoundage of this waste stream. Alternative G involves, in addition to Alternative F, a recycling of barometric condenser cooling water through a cooling device and total retention of the assumed two percent blowdown.

TABLE 2—SUMMARY OF WASTE LOADS[1] FROM TREATMENT ALTERNATIVES APPLIED TO A CRYSTALLINE CANE SUGAR REFINERY AND A LIQUID CANE SUGAR REFINERY

CRYSTALLINE REFINING

Alternative	BOD5	TSS
A (base line)	1.54	1.86
B	1.36	1.30
C	1.16	1.30
D	.33	.06
E	.04	.03
F	.34	0
G	0	0

LIQUID REFINING

Alternative	BOD5	TSS
A (base line)	3.43	1.56
B	2.25	1.00
C	2.90	1.00
D	.24	.10
E	.06	.03
F	.15	0
G	0	0

[1] Waste loadings expressed as kg/kkg of melt.

(v) *Control and treatment technology within subcategories.* Waste water control and treatment technologies have been studied for each subcategory of the cane sugar refining segment to determine what is (i) the best practicable control technology currently available (BPCTCA), (ii) the best available technology economically achievable (BATEA), and (iii) the best available demonstrated control technology, processes, operating methods or other alternatives (NSPS). Because of the similarity in waste water streams resulting from cane sugar refining, the technologies of treatment and control are the same for both subcategories.

Specific features of the recommended best practicable control technology currently available (BPCTCA) for the two subcategories are:

Containment of filter mud slurry or dry handling of filter cake with land disposal.

Prevention of spillage during raw sugar handling, unloading, and storage.

Entrainment prevention in evaporators and pans through baffling, centrifugal separators, demisters, and utilization of the proper height of the vapor belt.

Maximum reuse of all general waste streams i.e. floor and equipment wastes, filter screen washes (at present some refineries recycle essentially all floor and equipment washes back to the process).

Biological treatment of process waters by activated sludge or equivalent biological treatment system. These features are the equivalent of Alternative D as presented in Table 2 above.

Specific features of the recommended best available treatment economically achievable (BATEA) for the two subcategories are:

Those features considered to be best practicable control technology currently available.

Recycle of barometric condenser cooling water for condenser or other in-plant uses, with recycle of the blowdown stream to biological treatment. Cooling devices (canals, ponds, or towers) are an integral part of a barometric condenser cooling water recycle system.

The addition of sand filtration of the effluent from the activated sludge or equivalent biological treatment system.

These features are the equivalent of Alternative E as presented in Table 2 above.

Specific features of the recommended best available demonstrated control technology, processes, operating methods or other alternatives (NSPS) are:

Those features considered to be best available technology economically achievable.

These features are the equivalent of Alternative E as presented in Table 2 above.

Effluent limitations guidelines and new source performance standards for the two subcategories, crystalline cane sugar refining and liquid cane sugar refining, which reflect (i) the best practicable control technology currently available (BPCTCA), (ii) the best available technology economically achievable (BATEA), and (iii) the best available demonstrated control technology, processes, operating methods or other alternatives (NSPS) are given in Table 3 below:

TABLE 3.—RECOMMENDED EFFLUENT LIMITATIONS AND STANDARDS OF PERFORMANCE

Subcategory	LIMITATIONS—kg/kg of MELT					
	BPCTCA		BATEA		NSPS	
	BOD5	TSS	BOD5	TSS	BOD5	TSS
MONTHLY AVERAGES						
Liquid cane sugar refining	0.24	0.10	0.06	0.03	0.06	0.03
Crystalline cane sugar refining	.38	.06	.04	.03	.04	.03
DAILY AVERAGES						
Liquid cane sugar refining	0.85	0.45	0.21	0.14	0.21	0.14
Crystalline cane sugar refining	1.14	.24	.12	.12	.12	.12

pH for both subcategories shall be within the range of 6.0-9.0.

(vi) Cost estimates for control of waste water pollutants.

The following Tables 4a and 4b set forth the total estimated capital and yearly costs associated with the application of the aforementioned control and treatment alternatives. These costs are based on actual design estimates for crystalline cane sugar refineries with capacities of 545 kkg (600 tons) and 1900 kkg (2100 tons) of melt per day, and also for a liquid cane sugar refinery with a capacity of 508 kkg (560 tons) of melt per day.

TABLE 4A—CUMULATIVE ESTIMATED CAPITAL AND TOTAL YEARLY COSTS ASSOCIATED WITH THE APPLICATION OF VARIOUS TREATMENT ALTERNATIVES TO CRYSTALLINE CANE SUGAR REFINING WASTES

Alt	Reduction		Costs in K $			
	BOD5 percent	TSS percent	545 kkg		1900 kkg	
			Capital	Yearly	Capital	Yearly
A	0	0	0	0	0	0
B	11.7	30.5	61	45	61	71
C	24.7	30.5	113	62	134	75
D	75.3	96.8	368	205	796	296
E	97.5	98.4	714	283	1,510	470
F	78.0	100	1,530	211	5,000	891
G	100	100	2,530	352	7,620	950

TABLE 4B—CUMULATIVE ESTIMATED CAPITAL AND TOTAL YEARLY COSTS ASSOCIATED WITH THE APPLICATION OF VARIOUS TREATMENT ALTERNATIVES TO LIQUID CANE SUGAR REFINING WASTES

Alt	Reduction		Costs in K $	
	BOD5 percent	TSS percent	508 kkg	
			Capital	Yearly
A	0	0	0	0
B	5.3	35.9	61	45
C	15.4	35.9	115	62
D	93.0	93.6	452	230
E	98.3	98.1	626	265
F	95.6	100	1,570	217
G	100	100	2,040	280

The cost figures presented above were derived from actual cost data on existing plants and other cost estimates for equipment, facilities, piping, excavation, land, and other related items associated with pollution control measures. The following features were assumed with regard to operation and pollution reduction practices of the average-sized small (545 kkg) and large (1900 kkg) crystalline cane sugar refineries and average-sized liquid (508 kkg) cane sugar refinery, and applied in arriving at the above cost figures: (i) Discharge of diatomaceous earth filter slurries; (ii) sufficient entrainment controls to result in an entrainment level of 16 mg/l of BOD5 in barometric condenser cooling water for crystalline refineries and 33 mg/l of BOD5 in barometric condenser cooling water for liquid refineries; (iii) no recycle of condenser cooling water; and (iv) discharge of process water. Percent reduction of BOD5 and suspended solids (TSS) indicates the cumulative percent of waste load reduction relative to the total potential BOD5 and suspended solids loadings associated with cane sugar refining.

The cost of attaining the recommended treatment levels are:

(1) Crystalline Cane Sugar Refining—The total estimated capital cost to industry to achieve the recommended (i) best practicable control technology currently available (Alternative D) is $4.7 million and (ii) best available technology economically achievable (Alternative E) is $14.2 million.

(2) Liquid Cane Sugar Refining—The total estimated capital cost to industry to achieve the recommended (i) best practicable control technology currently available (Alternative D) is $0.32 million

and (ii) best available technology economically achievable (Alternative E) is $0.8 million.

(vii) Establishing daily average limitations. Based on engineering judgment and an evaluation of what can be achieved by the application of activated sludge for the treatment of cane sugar refining waste waters, daily average limitations have been established. These were determined to be three and three and one-half times the monthly average limitations for BOD5, and four and four and one-half times the monthly average limitations for total suspended solids for the crystalline cane sugar refining subcategory and the liquid cane sugar refining subcategory, respectively.

(viii) *Non-water quality aspects of pollution control.* Principal non-water quality aspects associated with the proposed water-related pollution control technologies recommended herein are (i) the additional energy requirements to effect this control, (ii) solid waste removal, and (iii) air quality relating to the use of cooling towers.

Added energy required for the operation of treatment facilities to achieve the recommended best practicable control technology currently available amounts to:

0.84 percent of the total subcategory energy requirement for crystalline cane sugar refining, and

0.6 percent of the total subcategory energy requirement for liquid cane sugar refining.

Additional energy required to achieve the recommended best available technology economically achievable amounts to:

6.1 percnt of the total subcategory energy requirement for crystalline cane sugar refining, and

1.9 percent of the total subcategory energy requirement for liquid cane sugar refining.

Both the removal of solid material from incoming raw cane sugar (in the form of filter cake) and the biological treatment of refining wastes generate solid wastes which must be disposed of at the plant site or to land-fill areas. It should be noted that these are not hazardous materials and that technology exists for the land disposal of these solid wastes.

Spray drift from cooling towers and spray ponds can present problems, particularly in urban areas. This problem can be reduced by proper control and design, and probably can be eliminated for most wind conditions.

(ix) *Economic impact analysis.* The estimated investment costs for 1977 range between 0.9 and 1.9 percent of current fixed investment depending on the type of plant, size, and location. Annual costs for the 1977 standards vary from 0.08 to 0.5 percent of 1972 sales. For the 1983 standards, the required investment ranges from 1.5 to 1.9 percent of fixed investment, and annual costs are between 0.12 and 0.65 percent of 1972 sales.

These costs do not appear to seriously threaten the economic viability of the industry. Although the proposed limitations will have a negligible effect on prices, the 1977 standards could threaten from three to six plants in the industry. These plants represent between six and twelve percent of current industry production. However, looking at the entire sugar processing industry, it is felt that the long-term supply of sugar would not be greatly affected. These potential plant closures should not result in any significant employment or community effects. For 1983 it has been estimated that no additional closures would occur. Furthermore, neither the 1977 nor the 1983 standards are expected to have any noticeable effects on industry growth or the balance of trade.

A report entitled "Development Document for Proposed Effluent Limitations Guidelines and New Source Performance Standards for the CANE SUGAR REFINING Segment of the Sugar Processing Point Source Category" which further describes the analysis undertaken in support of the regulations being proposed herein is available for inspection in the EPA Information Center, Room 227, West Tower, Waterside Mall, Washington, D.C., at all EPA regional offices, and at State water pollution control offices. A supplementary analysis prepared for EPA of the possible economic effects of the proposed regulations is also available for inspection at these locations. Copies of both of these documents are being sent to persons or institutions affected by the proposed regulations, or who have placed themselves on a mailing list for this purpose (see EPA's Advance Notice of Public Review Procedures, 38 FR 21202, August 6, 1973). An additional limited number of copies of both reports are available. Persons wishing to obtain a copy may write the EPA Information Center, Environmental Protection Agency, Washington, D.C. 20460, Attention: Mr. Philip B. Wisman.

(c) *Summary of public participation.* Prior to this publication, the agencies and groups listed below were consulted and given an opportunity to participate in the development of effluent limitations guidelines and standards proposed for the cane sugar refining segment of the sugar processing category. All participating agencies have been informed of project developments. An initial draft of the Development Document was sent to all participants and comments were solicited on that report. The following are the principal agencies and groups consulted: (1) Effluent Standards and Water Quality Information Advisory Committee (established under Section 515 of the Act); (2) all State and U.S. Territory Pollution Control Agencies; (3) U.S. Department of Health, Education, and Welfare; (4) U.S. Department of Commerce; (5) U.S. Department of Agriculture; (6) U.S. Department of the Interior; (7) U.S. Department of the Treasury; (8) Water Resources Council; (9) United States Cane Sugar Refineries Association; (10) USCSRA Task Force for EPA Effluent Guidelines; (11) Hawaiian Sugar Planters Association; (12) American-Florida Sugar Cane League; (13) Puerto Rico Land Administration; (14) Amstar Corporation; (15) Savannah Foods & Industries, Inc.; (16) California & Hawaiian Sugar Co.; (17) North American Sugar Industries Inc.; (18) Imperial Sugar Co.; (19) SuCrest Corporation; (20) Southdown, Inc.; (21) Ohio River Valley Sanitation Commission; (22) New England Interstate Water Pollution Control Commission; (23) Delaware River Basin Commission; (24) Hudson River Sloop Restoration, Inc.; (25) The Conservation Foundation; (26) Environmental Defense Fund, Inc.; (27) Natural Resources Defense Council; (28) Water Pollution Control Federation; (29) National Wildlife Federation; (30) The American Society of Civil Engineers; (31) The American Society of Mechanical Engineers.

The following organizations responded with comments: California Water Resources Control Board; Texas Water Quality Board; State of Pennsylvania, Division of Industrial Wastes and Erosion Control; State of Florida, Department of Pollution Control; Delaware River Basin Commission; U.S. Department of Agriculture; U.S. Department of Health, Education, and Welfare; U.S. Department of the Interior; and the United States Cane Sugar Refineries Association.

The comments were highly variable; the primary issues raised in the development of the proposed effluent limitations guidelines and standards of performance and the treatment of these issues herein are as follows:

(1) A common criticism was that while the report includes a good summary of existing conditions and reaches logical and practical conclusions, the zero discharge of polluted waters to navigable waters is unrealistic for the refining industry as a whole. In particular, those refineries not having available land to totally retain waste waters would be technologically unable to attain the zero discharge limitation. The practicable and available technologies and treatment alternatives were reconsidered and effluent limitations developed which reflect levels of technology which can be achieved by the entire industry. These are based on biological treatment of the waste water streams, the bases being presented in the Development Document. For the purposes of establishing uniform national standards, effluent limitations guidelines have been established based on this information.

(2) The comment was made that further subcategorization of the segment into crystalline versus liquid final product was necessary. This subcategorization was considered and determined to be desirable. The Development Document now reflects the separate subcategorization of these two processing methods. Separate effluent limitations guidelines have been established for each subcategory: Crystalline cane sugar refining and liquid cane sugar refining.

(3) A number of commenters expressed the opinion that because of the variability of processing, waste treatment efficiencies, and sampling results, a necessity exists for the effluent limitations

guidelines to reflect this variability. This has been accomplished by the inclusion in the guidelines of a monthly average and a maximum daily average allowable discharge.

(4) The comment was made that the quality of the raw sugar (raw material) varies with the source, season of the year, cane variety, and agronomic practices. The opinion was expressed that these variations in quality affect the nature of the waste discharged. The available data on raw waste loadings shows no indication that any significant difference exists as a result of any difference in raw material quality.

(5) The statement was made that biological treatment of sugar wastes alone is not a demonstrated treatment technology. The nature of refinery waste is that it is suitable to biological treatment. Sucrose is well known to be highly biodegradable, and substantial BOD5 reductions have been observed in combined factory-refinery impoundage lagoons. The applicability of biological treatment to refinery waste waters has also been well demonstrated by the twelve refineries that discharge process wastes to municipal biological treatment systems, while no refineries currently employ biological treatment in the form of activated sludge or aerated lagoons, these systems are considered to be currently available technology. With proper engineering design and with nutrient addition to the nutrient deficient wastes, these systems can achieve 90 to 95 percent and higher treatment efficiencies for highly organic wastes such as process waste water from cane sugar refineries.

(6) The comment was made that cost information presented in the Development Document was low in terms of dollar values. This information was reviewed and revised. In addition, a model was developed for an average-sized small crystalline refinery to supplement the existing cost information for an average-sized liquid refinery and an average-sized large crystalline refinery. The cost data were derived from actual cost information for existing plants and other cost estimates for equipment, facilities, piping, and other related items associated with pollution control measures.

Interested persons may participate in this rule-making by submitting written comments in triplicate to the EPA Information Center, Environmental Protection Agency, Washington, D.C. 20460, Attention: Mr. Philip B. Wisman. Comments on all aspects of the proposed regulations are solicited. In the event comments are in the nature of criticisms as to the adequacy of data which is available, or which may be relied upon by the Agency, comments should identify and, if possible, provide any additional data which may be available and should indicate why such data is essential to the development of the regulations. In the event comments address the approach taken by the agency in establishing an effluent limitation guideline or standard of performance, EPA solicits suggestions as to what alternative approach should be taken and why and how this alternative better satisfies the detailed requirements of Sections 301, 304(b), 306 and 307 of the Act.

A copy of all public comments will be available for inspection and copying at the EPA Information Center, Room 227, West Tower, Waterside Mall, 401 M Street, SW., Washington D.C. A copy of preliminary draft contractor reports, the Development Document and economic study referred to above and certain supplementary materials supporting the study of the industry concerned will also be maintained at this location for public review and copying. The EPA information regulation, 40 CFR Part 2, provides that a reasonable fee may be charged for copying.

All comments received on or before January 7, 1974, will be considered. Steps previously taken by the Environmental Protection Agency to facilitate public response within this time period are outlined in the advance notice concerning public review procedures published on August 6, 1973 (38 FR 21202).

Dated November 23, 1973.

JOHN QUARLES,
Acting Administrator.

PART 409—EFFLUENT LIMITATIONS GUIDELINES FOR EXISTING SOURCES AND STANDARDS OF PERFORMANCE AND PRETREATMENT STANDARDS FOR NEW SOURCES FOR THE SUGAR PROCESSING POINT SOURCE CATEGORY

Subpart B—Crystalline Cane Sugar Refining Subcategory

Sec.

409.20 Applicability; description of crystalline cane sugar refining subcategory.
409.21 Specialized definitions.
409.22 Effluent limitations guidelines representing the degree of effluent reduction attainable by the application of the best practicable control technology currently available.
409.23 Effluent limitations guidelines representing the degree of effluent reduction attainable by the application of the best available technology economically achievable.
409.24 Standards of performance for new sources.
409.25 Pretreatment standards for new sources.

Subpart C—Liquid Cane Sugar Refining Subcategory

409.30 Applicability; description of liquid cane sugar refining subcategory.
409.31 Specialized definitions.
409.32 Effluent limitations guidelines representing the degree of effluent reduction attainable by the application of the best practicable control technology currently available.
409.33 Effluent limitations guidelines representing the degree of effluent reduction attainable by the application of the best available technology economically achievable.
409.34 Standards of performance for new sources.
409.35 Pretreatment standards for new sources.

AUTHORITY: Secs. 301, 304(b) and (c), 306 (b) and 307(c), Federal Water Pollution Control Act, as amended (33 U.S.C. 1251, 1311, 1314 (b) and (c), 1316(b) and 1317(c); 86 Stat. 816 et seq.; Pub. L. 92-500) (the "Act").

Subpart B—Crystalline Cane Sugar Refining Subcategory

§ 409.20 Applicability; description of crystalline cane sugar refining subcategory.

The provisions of this subpart are applicable to discharges resulting from the processing of raw sugar into a crystalline refined sugar product.

§ 409.21 Specialized definitions.

For the purpose of this subpart:

(a) The following abbreviations shall have the following meanings: (1) "TSS" shall mean total suspended nonfilterable solids; (2) "kg" shall mean kilogram(s); (3) "kkg" shall mean 1000 kg; (4) "kg/kkg" shall mean kilograms per 1000 kilograms; (5) "BOD5" shall mean five days biochemical oxygen demand; and (6) "lb" shall mean pound(s).

§ 409.22 Effluent limitations guidelines representing the degree of effluent reduction attainable by the application of the best practicable control technology currently available.

(a) The following limitations constitute the quantity or quality of pollutants or pollutant properties which may be discharged after application of best practicable control technology currently available by a point source subject to the provisions of this subpart:

Effluent characteristic	*Effluent limitation*
BOD5	Maximum daily average 1.14 kg/kkg of melt (2.28 lb/ton of melt). Maximum average of daily averages for any period of thirty consecutive days 0.38 kg/kkg of melt (0.76 lb/ton of melt).
TSS	Maximum daily average 0.24 kg/kkg of melt (0.48 lb/ton of melt). Maximum average of daily averages for any period of thirty consecutive days 0.06 kg/kkg of melt (0.12 lb/ton of melt).
pH	Within the range of 6.0 to 9.0.

§ 409.23 Effluent limitations guidelines representing the degree of effluent reduction attainable by the application of the best available technology economically achievable.

The following limitations constitute the quantity or quality of pollutants or pollutant properties which may be discharged after application of the best available technology economically achievable by a point source subject to the provisions of this subpart:

Effluent characteristic	*Effluent limitation*
BOD5	Maximum daily average 0.12 kg/kkg of melt (0.24 lb/ton of melt). Maximum average of daily averages for any period of thirty consecutive days 0.04 kg/kgg of melt (0.08 lb/ton of melt).

Effluent characteristic	*Effluent limitation*
TSS	Maximum daily average 0.12 kg/kkg of melt (0.24 lb/ton of melt). Maximum average of daily averages for any period of thirty consecutive days 0.03 kg/kkg of melt (0.06 lb/ton of melt).
pH	Within the range of 6.0 to 9.0.

§ 409.24 Standards of performance for new sources.

The following limitations constitute the quantity or quality of pollutants or pollutant properties which may be discharged reflecting the greatest degree of effluent reduction achievable through application of the best available demonstrated control technology, processes, operating methods, or other alternatives, including, where practicable, a standard permitting no discharge of pollutants by a new point source subject to the provisions of this subpart:

Effluent characteristic	*Effluent limitation*
BOD5	Maximum daily average 0.12 kg/kgg of melt (0.24 lb/ton of melt). Maximum average of daily averages for any period of thirty consecutive days 0.04 kg/kgg of melt (0.08 lb/ton of melt).
TSS	Maximum daily average 0.12 kg/kkg of melt (0.24 lb/ton of melt). Maximum average of daily averages for any period of thirty consecutive days 0.03 kg/kkg of melt (0.06 lb/ton of melt).
pH	Within the range of 6.0 to 9.0.

§ 409.25 Pretreatment standards for new sources.

The pretreatment standards under section 307(c) of the Act, for a source within the crystalline cane sugar refining subcategory which is an industrial user of a publicly owned treatment works (and which would be a new source subject to section 306 of the Act, if it were to discharge pollutants to navigable waters); shall be the standard set forth in Part 128 of this chapter, except that for the purposes of this section, § 128.133 of this chapter shall be amended to read as follows: "In addition to the prohibitions set forth in § 128.131 of this chapter, the pretreatment standard for incompatible pollutants introduced into a publicly owned treatment works by a major contributing industry shall be the standard of performance for new sources specified in § 409.24: *Provided*, That, if the publicly owned treatment works which receives the pollutants is committed, in its NPDES permit, to remove a specified percentage of any incompatible pollutant, the pretreatment standard applicable to users of such treatment works shall be correspondingly reduced for that pollutant."

Subpart C—Liquid Cane Sugar Refining Subcategory

§ 409.30 Applicability; description of liquid cane sugar refining subcategory.

The provisions of this subpart are applicable to discharges resulting from the processing of raw sugar into a liquid refined sugar product.

§ 409.31 Specialized definitions.

For the purpose of this subpart:

(a) The following abbreviations shall have the following meanings: (1) "TSS" shall mean total suspended nonfilterable solids; (2) "kg" shall mean kilogram(s); (3) "kkg" shall mean 1000 kg; (4) "kg/kkg" shall mean kilograms per 1000 kilograms; (5) "BOD5" shall mean five day biochemical oxygen demand; and (6) "lb" shall mean pound(s).

§ 409.32 Effluent limitations guidelines representing the degree of effluent reduction attainable by the application of the best practicable control technology currently available.

(a) The following limitations constitute the quantity or quality of pollutants or pollutant properties which may be discharged after application of best practicable control technology currently available by a point source subject to the provisions of this subpart:

Effluent characteristic	*Effluent limitation*
BOD5	Maximum daily average 0.85 kg/kkg of melt (1.70 lb/ton of melt). Maximum average of daily averages for any period of thirty consecutive days 0.24 kg/kkg of melt (0.48 lb/ton of melt).
TSS	Maximum daily average 0.45 kg/kkg of melt (0.90 lb/ton of melt). Maximum average of daily averages for any period of thirty consecutive days 0.10 kg/kkg of melt (0.20 lb/ton of melt).
pH	Within the range of 6.0 to 9.0.

§ 409.33 Effluent limitations guidelines representing the degree of effluent reduction attainable by the application of the best available technology economically achievable.

The following limitations constitute the quantity or quality of pollutants or pollutant properties which may be discharged after application of the best available technology economically achievable by a point source subject to the provisions of this subpart:

Effluent characteristic	*Effluent limitation*
BOD5	Maximum daily average 0.21 kg/kkg of melt (0.42 lb/ton of melt). Maximum average of daily averages for any period of thirty consecutive days 0.06 kg/kkg of melt (0.12 lb/ton of melt).
TSS	Maximum daily average 0.14 kg/kkg of melt (0.28 lb/ton of melt). Maximum average of daily averages for any period of thirty consecutive days 0.03 kg/kkg of melt (0.06 lb/ton of melt).
pH	Within the range of 6.0 to 9.0.

§ 409.34 Standards of performance for new sources.

The following limitations constitute the quantity or quality of pollutants or pollutant properties which may be discharged reflecting the greatest degree of effluent reduction achievable through application of the best available demonstrated control technology, processes, operating methods, or other alternatives, including, where practicable, a standard permitting no discharge of pollutants by a new point source subject to the provisions of this subpart:

Effluent characteristic	*Effluent limitation*
BOD5	Maximum daily average 0.21 kg/kkg of melt (0.42 lb/ton of melt). Maximum average of daily averages for any period of thirty consecutive days 0.06 kg/kkg of melt (0.12 lb/ton of melt).
TSS	Maximum daily average 0.14 kg/kkg of melt (0.28 lb/ton of melt). Maximum average of daily averages for any period of thirty consecutive days 0.03 kg/kkg of melt (0.06 lb/ton of melt).
pH	Within the range of 6.0 to 9.0.

§ 409.35 Pretreatment standards for new sources.

The pretreatment standards under section 307(c) of the Act, for a source within the liquid cane sugar refining subcategory which is an industrial user of a publicly owned treatment works (and which would be a new source subject to section 306 of the Act, if it were to discharge pollutants to navigable waters); shall be the standard set forth in Part 128 of this chapter, except that for the purposes of this section, § 128.133 of this chapter shall be amended to read as follows: "In addition to the prohibitions set forth in § 128.131 of this chapter, the pretreatment standard for incompatible pollutants introduced into a publicly owned treatment works by a major contributing industry shall be the standard of performance for new sources specified in § 409.34; *provided*, That if, the publicly owned treatment works which receives the pollutants is committed, in its NPDES permit, to remove a specified percentage of any incompatible pollutant, the pretreatment standard applicable to users of such treatment works shall

be correspondingly reduced for that pollutant.

[FR Doc.73-25339 Filed 12-6-73;8:45 am]

[40 CFR Part 418]

FERTILIZER MANUFACTURING POINT SOURCE CATEGORY

Proposed Effluent Limitations Guidelines

Notice is hereby given that effluent limitations guidelines for existing sources and standards of performance and pretreatment standards for new sources set forth in tentative form below are proposed by the Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) for the phosphate subcategory (Subpart A), the ammonia subcategory (Subpart B), the urea subcategory (Subpart C), the ammonium nitrate subcategory (Subpart D) and the nitric acid subcategory (Subpart E) of the fertilizer manufacturing category of point sources pursuant to sections 301, 304 (b) and (c), 306(b) and 307(c) of the Federal Water Pollution Control Act, as amended (33 U.S.C. 1251, 1311, 1314 (b) and (c), 1316(b) and 1317(c); 86 Stat. 816 et seq; Pub. L. 92-500) (the "Act").

(a) *Legal authority.*—(1) *Existing point sources.* Section 301(b) of the Act requires the achievement by not later than July 1, 1977, of effluent limitations for point sources, other than publicly owned treatment works, which require the application of the best practicable control technology currently available as defined by the Administrator pursuant to section 304(b) of the Act. Section 301(b) also requires the achievement by not later than July 1, 1983, of effluent limitations for point sources, other than publicly owned treatment works, which require the application of the best available technology economically achievable which will result in reasonable further progress toward the national goal of eliminating the discharge of all pollutants, as determined in accordance with regulations issued by the Administrator pursuant to section 304(b) of the Act.

Section 304(b) of the Act requires the Administrator to publish regulations providing guidelines for effluent limitations setting forth the degree of effluent reduction attainable through the application of the best practicable control technology currently available and the degree of effluent reduction attainable through the application of the best control measures and practices achievable including treatment techniques, process and procedure innovations, operating methods and other alternatives.

The regulations proposed herein set forth effluent limitations guidelines, pursuant to section 304(b) of the Act, for the phosphate subcategory (Subpart A), the ammonia subcategory (Subpart B), the urea subcategory (Subpart C), the ammonium nitrate subcategory (Subpart D), and the nitric acid subcategory (Subpart E) of the fertilizer manufacturing category.

(2) *New sources.* Section 306 of the Act requires the achievement by new sources of a Federal standard of performance providing for the control of the discharge of pollutants which reflects the greatest degree of effluent reduction which the Administrator determines to be achievable through application of the best available demonstrated control technology, processes, operating methods, or other alternatives, including, where practicable, a standard permitting no discharge of pollutants.

Section 306(b)(1)(B) of the Act requires the Administrator to propose regulations establishing Federal standards of performance for categories of new sources included in a list published pursuant to section 306(b)(1)(A) of the Act. The Administrator published in the FEDERAL REGISTER of January 16, 1973, (38 FR 1624) a list of 27 source categories including the fertilizer manufacturing category. The regulations proposed herein set forth the standards of performance applicable to new sources for the phosphate subcategory (Subpart A), the ammonia subcategory (Subpart B), the urea subcategory (Subpart C), the ammonium nitrate subcategory (Subpart D), and the nitric acid subcategory (Subpart E) of the fertilizer manufacturing category.

Section 307(c) of the Act requires the Administrator to promulgate pretreatment standards for new sources at the same time that standards of performance for new sources are promulgated pursuant to section 306. Sections 418.15, 418.25, 418.35, 418.45 and 418.55, proposed below, provide pretreatment standards for new sources within the phosphate subcategory (Subpart A), the ammonia subcategory (Subpart B), the urea subcategory (Subpart C), the ammonium nitrate subcategory (Subpart D) and the nitric acid subcategory (Subpart E) of the fertilizer manufacturing category.

Section 304(c) of the Act requires the Administrator to issue to the States and appropriate water pollution control agencies information on the processes, procedures or operating methods which result in the elimination or reduction of the discharge of pollutants to implement standards of performance under section 306 of the Act. The report or Development Document referred to below provides, pursuant to section 304(c) of the Act, information on such processes, procedures or operating methods.

(b) Summary and basis of proposed effluent limitations guidelines for existing sources and standards of performance and pretreatment standards for new sources.

(1) *General methodology.* The effluent limitations guidelines and standards of performance proposed herein were developed in the following manner. The point source category was first studied for the purpose of determining whether separate limitations and standards are appropriate for different segments within the category. This analysis included a determination of whether differences in raw material used, product produced, manufacturing process employed, age, size, waste water constituents and other factors require development of separate limitations and standards for different segments of the point source category. The raw waste characteristics for each such segment were then identified. This included an analysis of (1) the source, flow and volume of water used in the process employed and the sources of waste and waste waters in the operation; and (2) the constituents of all waste water. The constituents of the waste waters which should be subject to effluent limitations guidelines and standards of performance were identified.

The control and treatment technologies existing within each segment were identified. This included an identification of each distinct control and treatment technology, including both in-plant and end-of-process technologies, which are existent or capable of being designed for each segment. It also included an identification of, in terms of the amounts of constituents and the chemical, physical, and biological characteristics of pollutants, the effluent level resulting from the application of each of the technologies. The problems, limitations and reliability of each treatment and control technology were also identified. In addition, the nonwater quality environmental impact, such as the effects of the application of such technologies upon other pollution problems, including air, solid waste, noise and radiation were identified. The energy requirements of each control and treatment technology were determined as well as the cost of the application of such technologies.

The information, as outlined above, was then evaluated in order to determine what levels of technology constitute the "best practicable control technology currently available," "the best available technology economically achievable" and the "best available demonstrated control technology, processes, operating methods, or other alternatives." In identifying such technologies, various factors were considered. These included the total cost of application of technology in relation to the effluent application, the age of equipment and facilities involved, the process employed, the engineering aspects of the application of various types of control techniques, process changes, nonwater quality environmental impact (including energy requirements) and other factors.

The data upon which the above analysis was performed included EPA permit applications, EPA sampling and inspections, consultant reports, and industry submissions.

The pretreatment standards proposed herein are intended to be complementary to the pretreatment standards proposed for existing sources under 40 CFR Part 128. The basis for such standards is set forth in the FEDERAL REGISTER of July 19, 1973, 38 FR 19236. The provisions of Part 128 are equally applicable to sources which would constitute "new sources," under section 306 if they were to discharge pollutants directly to navigable waters, except for § 128.133. That section provides a pretreatment standard for "incompatible pollutants" which requires application of the "best practicable control technology currently available," subject to an adjustment for

amounts of pollutants removed by the publicly owned treatment works. Since the pretreatment standards proposed herein apply to new sources, §§ 418.15, 418.25, 418.35, 418.45 and 418.55 below amend § 128.133 to require application of the standard of performance for new sources rather than the "best practicable" standard applicable to existing sources under sections 301 and 304(b) of the Act.

(2) Summary of conclusions with respect to the phosphate subcategory (Subpart A), the ammonia subcategory (Subpart B), the urea subcategory (Subpart C), the ammonium nitrate subcategory (Subpart D) and the nitric acid subcategory (Subpart E) of the fertilizer manufacturing category.

(i) *Categorization.* For the purpose of studying waste treatment and effluent limitations, the basic fertilizer chemicals segment of the fertilizer manufacturing category was divided into five discrete subcategories which coincide with the waste water treatment technologies used for each subcategory as outlined in the Development Document for the fertilizer manufacturing category.

(a) *Subpart A—Phosphate Subcategory.* This part of the industry includes the manufacture of sulfuric acid by sulfur burning, wet process phosphoric acid, normal superphosphate, triple superphosphate and ammonium phosphate. The manufacture of phosphoric acid includes phosphate rock grinding, acid attack of phosphate rock, phosphoric acid concentration and phosphoric acid clarification. All of these operations usually occur in a single complex separate from nitrogen fertilizer products. Treatment of the joint waste streams by neutralization and settling in general can be adequately accomplished without separation of individual process waste streams.

(b) *Subpart B—Ammonia Subcategory.* Ammonia is made by high temperature and high pressure gaseous reactions. Adequate treatment of the primary waste constituent ammonia can only be accomplished by treatment separate from other operations in a nitrogen fertilizer complex (i.e. ammonia stripping). Hence production of ammonia should stand by itself as a subcategory.

(c) *Subpart C—Urea Subcategory.* The synthesis of urea is also characterized by high pressure gaseous reactions. The waste water contains large quantities of ammonia and urea. Treatment of these wastes involves urea hydrolysis, which necessitates separation of urea waste streams from the waste streams of other products at a nitrogen fertilizer complex. Operations utilizing prill towers have an increased raw waste load of ammonia and urea.

(d) *Subpart D—Ammonium Nitrate Subcategory.* The production of ammonium nitrate is accomplished by mixing liquid ammonia and nitric acid in a low pressure vessel. Flash vaporization of water from the dilute nitric acid is the source of the process waste water. The treatment of ammonia and nitrates poses special waste water treatment problems which require consideration as a separate subcategory.

(e) *Subpart E—Nitric Acid Subcategory.* Nitric acid is produced by the oxidation of ammonia at elevated temperatures. There is no process waste water. Hence there is a need for nitric acid manufacturing to be considered separately from other nitrogen fertilizer operations.

(ii) *Waste characteristics.* The pollutants or pollutant characteristics contained in raw waste waters resulting from the phosphate subcategory (Subpart A) of the fertilizer manufacturing category include low pH, phosphorus, fluorides, cadmium, arsenic, vanadium and uranium. Nitrogen in the form of ammonium will result from the synthesis of ammonium phosphate. Low and high pH and nitrogen occur in the raw wastes from the ammonia, urea, ammonium nitrate and nitric acid subcategories. Nitrogen will occur in different forms in each of these four subcategories (Subparts B, C, D and E). In the ammonia subcategory, nitrogen will be present as ammonia, in the urea subcategory as ammonia and organic nitrogen, in the ammonium nitrate subcategory as ammonia and nitrate, and in the nitric acid subcategory as nitrate. Oil and grease will be present in the raw wastes of the ammonia subcategory.

Closed loop cooling tower blowdown, manufacturing waste water, process condensate, spills and leaks, and run-off waters constitute the principal waste waters in fertilizer manufacturing.

Of the metals found in the raw waste waters of the phosphate subcategory, only cadmium is found in an appreciable quantity. Cadmium and all cadmium compounds were listed as a toxic pollutant in the FEDERAL REGISTER of July 6, 1973, 38 FR 18044. The effluent limitation for cadmium will be the same as that effluent standard established for this constitutent as a toxic pollutant. Effluent standards were not set for the remaining metals, arsenic, vanadium and uranium, because of the lack of data. What data that does exist shows that only trace amounts of these constituents are present. In addition, the proposed treatment technologies will adequately remove these constituents along with those constituents for which effluent standards are proposed.

Bacteria and rust inhibitors, such as chromium and zinc, are sometimes added to recirculated noncontact cooling waters. Effluent limitations guidelines for these components and the thermal components of noncontact cooling water will be proposed at a later date. However, ammonia emissions at nitrogen fertilizer complexes can be absorbed by noncontact cooling water at cooling towers, and this may constitute a substantial portion of the total raw waste load. Therefore, the proposed limitations for ammonia apply to both process waste water and noncontact cooling water that has accumulated this pollutant from the same manufacturing process by absorption of ammonia from the air.

Raw waste load data have been collected on these streams for each subcategory of the industry, and information has been assembled on the treatment procedures required for each waste water stream.

(iii) Origin of waste water pollutants in the fertilizer manufacturing category.

(a) *Phosphate Subcategory.* Sulfuric acid is made by oxidizing molten sulfur at high temperatures. The process requires a large quantity of cooling water which usually flows through cooling towers and is recycled. Leaks in the heat exchange equipment will introduce sulfuric acid to the cooling water.

Phosphoric acid used for fertilizers is produced by applying a strong acid, usually sulfuric acid, to phosphate ore. This ore is not pure and appreciable amounts of fluorides and possibly trace amounts of cadmium, arsenic, vanadium and uranium will be leached by the acid. Fluorine is volatilized in the process and is collected in water scrubbers. The large amount of by-product gypsum that is formed by the reaction is sluiced to large gypsum ponds by previously polluted water. The waste water from the scrubbers is typically sent to this same pond. In concentrating phosphoric acid, impurities (principally fluorine) will be volatilized and be collected in the barometric condenser water.

Escaping gases from the production of superphosphates and ammonium phosphates are treated by wet scrubbers. Phosphorus and fluorides will also be present in these waste waters. Ammonia is found in ammonium phosphate scrubber water.

(b) *Ammonia subcategory.* The principal source of process waste water is condensation of excess steam used in the primary reformer. Ammonia in this condensate may originate from recycle of purge gas, from feed air containing ammonia and from ammonia inadvertently formed in the shift converter. Since cryogenic equipment is used in the process, condensate about the pipes and equipment may adsorb ammonia from leaks in seals. Another source of ammonia is absorption in cooling towers of ammonia emissions from the ammonia plant. Oil and grease occur as the result of drippings from pump and high pressure compressors.

(c) *Urea subcategory.* Following the urea forming reactions, the pressure is reduced to allow ammonia, carbon dioxide and ammonium carbamate to flash from the urea product. Water scrubbing of these flashed gases along with the condensation of water vapor from the urea concentration step results in a waste stream containing urea, ammonium carbamate, ammonia and carbon dioxide. Fine dust from prill towers or urea pan granulators may also enter water collection systems via rain water or wash water.

(d) *Ammonium nitrate subcategory.* The nitric acid-ammonia reaction is highly exothermic, and a large amount of water containing ammonia, nitric acid, nitrate and some nitrogen dioxide is evaporated. Air scrubbing of these contaminants will result in their presence in the waste waters. As is the case for urea, prilling of the product will result in a fine dust which can enter water col-

lection systems via rain water or wash water.

(e) *Nitric acid subcategory.* Leaks and spills are the only sources of pollution from the manufacturing process.

(iv) *Treatment and control technology.* In-plant procedures to control pollution include good housekeeping, control of spills, immediate correction of leaks, reduction and control of start-up and shutdown operations, reuse of waste water, salvage of by-products for sale, and control of runoff and seepage from lagoons.

"End-of-pipe" waste water treatment processes include sedimentation, flocculation, precipitation, filtration, neutralization, holding basins, lagoons, cooling towers, condensers, disposal of solids to landfill areas, continuous monitoring of cooling water, evaporation, steam or air stripping of ammonia, urea hydrolysis, ion exchange and recycle.

Air pollution control processes include precipitation, filtration, demisting, stack washing, use of separator towers, cyclone separation, and diversion of components originating in air pollution control processes to waste water treatment systems, to raw materials, and to products. Wet scrubbing of stacks leads to heavy contamination of waste water.

Solid waste control must be considered. The processes in the phosphate subcategory of the fertilizer industry generate a considerable amount of solid wastes, notably gypsum. Best practicable control technology and best available control technology, as they are known today, require solid waste disposal of these quantities. In most cases these are nonhazardous substances, requiring only minimal custodial care. However, some constituents may be hazardous and may require special consideration. In order to ensure long term protection of the environment from these hazardous or harmful constituents, special consideration of disposal sites must be made. All landfill sites where such hazardous wastes are disposed should be selected so as to prevent horizontal and vertical migration of these contaminants to ground or surface waters. In cases where geologic conditions may not reasonably ensure this, adequate legal and mechanical precautions (e.g. impervious liners) should be taken to ensure long term protection to the environment from hazardous materials. Where appropriate the location of solid hazardous materials disposal sites should be permanently recorded in the appropriate office of legal jurisdiction.

(v) *Treatment and control technology within subcategories.* Waste water treatment and control technologies have been studied for each subcategory of the industry to determine what is (a) the best practicable control technology currently available, (b) the best available technology economically achievable; and (c) the best available demonstrated control technology, processes, operating methods or other alternatives.

(a) *Treatment in the phosphate subcategory.* The application of the best practicable control technology currently available will result in no discharge of the waste components of process waste water from plants of the phosphate subcategory.

The need to treat phosphate fertilizer process contaminated water is almost entirely dependent upon the local rainfall to evaporation ratio. This means that barring poor water management and concentrated periods of heavy rainfall, the fresh water use and the pond water evaporation are essentially in balance. Therefore, any means of making an in-process change to utilize the contaminated water instead of fresh water will create a negative water balance. In turn, this will eliminate the need for treatment of contaminated water and effect a "no discharge" condition, except during exceptional periods of heavy rainfall.

Contaminated gypsum pond water can be treated effectively for control of pH, phosphorus and fluorides. Treatment consists of a "double-liming" or two-stage lime neutralization. After settlement the clear neutralized water will contain 15–30 mg/l of fluoride and 10–40 mg/l of phosphorus. Additional liming to a pH of 8.5 or greater will maximize fluoride and phosphorus removal.

The phosphoric acid process may be modified to permit use of the contaminated gypsum pond water for dilution of sulfuric acid in place of fresh water. This will create a negative water balance in the gypsum pond. These modifications can be added to existing plants, or included in the design of new facilities.

A monitoring and emergency containment system can be installed at sulfuric acid installations in the event that noncontact cooling water becomes polluted as the result of leaks.

(b) *Treatment in the ammonia subcategory.* Best practicable control technology currently available can be achieved by ammonia stripping by air and/or steam. Ammonia levels of 0.125 kg/kkg (0.125 lb/1000 lb) of product have been achieved. Alternate treatment technologies include biological nitrification and denitrification or selective ion exchange for ammonia subsequent to ammonia stripping. Advanced ammonia stripping units are currently under development that are expected to attain the proposed limitations for best available technology economically achievable.

Oil and grease can be controlled at the source by drip pans under pumps and compressors. Otherwise oil and grease removal from waste streams can be accomplished by gravity type API separators.

(c) *Treatment in the urea subcategory.* Best practicable control technology currently available can be achieved by hydrolysis of urea to ammonia and carbon dioxide. These gases can then either be returned to the urea manufacturing process or stripped to the atmosphere. The resultant effluent can achieve ammonia and organic nitrogen levels of 0.075 kg/kkg (0.075 lb/1000 lb) of product and 0.0375 kg/kkg (0.0375 lb/1000 lb) of product respectively. Alternate urea hydrolysis units are currently under development that are expected to further reduce the ammonia and organic nitrogen levels to the proposed limitations for best available technology economically achievable.

(d) *Treatment in the ammonium nitrate subcategory.* Best practicable control technology currently available can be achieved by ion exchange removal of ammonium and nitrate ions. Ammonia and nitrate levels of 0.1 kg/kkg (0.1 lb/1000 lb) of product and 0.125 kg/kkg (0.125 lb/1000 lb) of product respectively can be achieved. The treated water may be reused within the plant as make-up boiler feed water, or as cooling tower make-up water, or may be recycled back to the raw water treatment unit. The regeneration of the ion exchange resins creates a concentrated ammonium nitrate waste which may be further concentrated and sold.

Alternate treatment technologies capable of attaining the proposed limitations for best available control technology economically achievable are currently being developed. These include biological nitrification and denitrification, advanced ion exchange and recycle as nitric acid plant feed.

(e) *Treatment in the nitric acid subcategory.* There is no discharge of process waste water from the nitric acid manufacturing process. Best practicable control technology currently available therefore involves detection and containment of leaks and prevention of spills.

(vi) Cost estimates for control of waste water pollutants in the phosphate manufacturing category.

Cost estimates follow for processes that can achieve the various levels of treatment required for the five subcategories.

(a) *The phosphate subcategory.* The economic analysis for the phosphate subcategory centered about two end products, ammonium phosphate and triple superphosphate. Best practicable control technology currently available can be achieved at costs of $3.40 and $1.20 per ton of product, respectively for these two products. Best available technology economically achievable can be achieved at costs of $0.14 and $0.10 per ton of product, respectively for these two products.

(b) *The ammonia subcategory.* Best practicable control technology currently available can be achieved at a cost of $1.11 per ton of product. Best available technology economically achievable can be achieved at a cost of $0.33 per ton of product.

(c) *The urea subcategory.* Best practicable control technology currently available can be achieved at a cost of $1.70 per ton of product. Best available technology economically achievable can be achieved at a cost of $0.60 per ton of product.

(d) *The ammonium nitrate subcategory.* Best practicable control technology currently available can be achieved at a cost of $3.70 per ton of product. Best available technology economically achievable can be achieved at a cost of $2.20 per ton of product.

(e) *The nitric acid subcategory.* Nitric acid is an intermediate product used for the manufacture of phosphoric acid and

ammonium nitrate. Increased costs due to the costs of installing water pollution control equipment are computed into the end-products, rather than presenting them separately.

(vii) *Establishing daily maximum limitations.* Similar treatment by other industrial categories of the waste water parameters for the fertilizer manufacturing category has demonstrated that a maximum daily deviation by a factor of 1.5 times the long term average value is a reasonable limitation to ensure control of the treatment systems. Since in this case insufficient data exists to form an exact statistical basis to establish maximum daily effluent limitations, the factor was relaxed to the extent that the maximum daily value may not exceed twice the long term average value.

The parameter of pH is readily controllable to within the range of 6.0 and 9.0 because of the development of continuous monitoring and automatic control devices. Therefore, pH must be maintained within the range of 6.0 and 9.0 at all times.

(viii) *Nonwater quality aspects.* Air or steam stripping of ammonia will result in ammonia emissions to the atmosphere. Experience with these treatment systerms in other industries and at publicly owned treatment works has shown no detrimental environmental effects. No deleterious noise or radiation problems are associated with the proposed waste water treatment methods for the fertilizer manufacturing category. Solid wastes disposed in the manner previously discussed will not have an environmental impact.

(ix) *Economic impact analysis.* The economic analysis has focused on both internal and external costs associated with the proposed levels of water pollution abatement.

The total investment and annual costs required for all subcategories of this segment of the industry to achieve the proposed effluent limitations guidelines representing the best practicable control technology currently available are estimated at $100 million and $67 million, respectively. Additional total investment and annual costs of $51 million and $25 million, respectively, are estimated to achieve the proposed effluent limitations guidelines representing the best available technology economically achievable.

The total cost of water pollution abatement, both operating and raw material costs, for each product has been related to the average product selling price to determine the magnitude of either price increases, if these costs can be passed on, or decreases in pre-tax profitability. These percentages range from 2.2 percent for triple superphosphate to 8.4 percent for ammonium nitrate after the application of best practicable control technology currently available. The application of best available technology economically achievable will result in additional increases that range from 0.2 percent for triple superphosphate and diammonium phosphate to 5.0 percent for ammonium nitrate.

External cost deals basically with the assessment of economic impact of the internal costs discussed above in terms of price increases, production curtailments or plant closures, resultant employment, community and regional impacts, international trade, and future industry growth. It should be noted that a precise study of economic impact is difficult due to numerous other economic forces at work within an industry, and because of the great variability experienced from plant-to-plant in such factors as pollution control costs, profitability, and return on investment. In an economic study such as this, it is not possible to deal with these factors on an individual plant basis.

The manufacture of only two chemicals, ammonium phosphate and ammonium nitrate, are expected to receive any significant economic impact by application of the proposed guidelines for 1977, 1983, or new sources. For ammonium phosphate, constraints on pricing due to a projected over supply situation in the mid-decade, may threaten between 3 to 16 of the smallest plants which represent 7 to 39 percent of the ammonium phosphate production capacity. This over supply situation is due to the planned building of several large plants to take advantage of the economies of scale. If this over expansion were not to occur, either very few or no plant closings would be predicted. For ammonium nitrate, higher pollution costs coupled with constraints on price increases may threaten between 16 to 29 of the smallest plants which represent 16 to 33 percent of the ammonium nitrate production capacity. Of these plants, all but 10 are part of a complex which produces other fertilizer finished products. At the worst, the impact of any shutdown of a single process within a complex will be somewhat offset by the increased production of other fertilizer products.

The affected fertilizer plants are located throughout the United States, and no single area is expected to be greatly impacted. It is expected that there will be no long term effects to the U.S. balance of trade.

A report entitled "Development Document for Proposed Effluent Limitations Guidelines and New Source Performance Standards for the BASIC FERTILIZER CHEMICALS Segment of the Fertilizer Manufacturing Point Source Category" details the analysis undertaken in support of the regulations being proposed herein and is available for inspection in the EPA Information Center, Room 227, West Tower, Waterside Mall, Washington, D.C., at all EPA regional offices, and at State water pollution control offices. A supplementary analysis prepared for the EPA of the possible economic effects of the proposed regulations is also available for inspection at these locations. Copies of both of these documents are being sent to persons or institutions affected by the proposed regulations, or who have placed themselves on a mailing list for this purpose (see EPA's Advance Notice of Public Review Procedures, 38 FR 21202, August 6, 1973). An additional limited number of copies of both reports are available. Persons wishing to obtain a copy may write the EPA Information Center, Environmental Protection Agency, Washington, D.C. 20460, Attention: Mr. Philip B. Wisman.

(c) *Summary of public participation.* Prior to this publication, the agencies and groups listed below were consulted and given an opportunity to participate in the development of the effluent limitations guidelines and standards proposed for the fertilizer manufacturing category. All participating agencies have been informed of project developments. An initial draft of the Development Document was sent to all participants and comments were solicited on that report. The following are the principal agencies and groups consulted: (1) Effluent Standards and Water Quality Information Advisory Committee (established under section 515 of the Act); (2) all State and U.S. Territory Pollution Control Agencies except those who specifically requested to be omitted (North Dakota, Montana, Utah, Wyoming, Michigan and West Virginia); (3) the Fertilizer Institute; (4) Manufacturing Chemists Association; (5) Puerto Rico Land Association; (6) The American Society of Mechanic Engineers; (7) Hudson River Sloop Restoration, Inc.; (8) The Conservation Foundation; (9) Environmental Defense Fund, Inc.; (10) Natural Resources Defense Council; (11) The American Society of Civil Defense Council; (12) The American Society of Civil Engineers; (13) Water Pollution Control Federation; (14) National Wildlife Federation; (15) the Isaac Walton League of America; (16) Western Montana Scientists Committee for Public Information; (17) U.S. Department of Commerce; (18) U.S. Department of the Interior; (19) U.S. Department of Agriculture; and (20) U.S. Water Resources Council.

The following organizations responded with comments: The Fertilizer Institute; E. I. du Pont de Nemours and Company; Allied Chemical Corporation; Tennessee Valley Authority; Natural Resources Defense Council; U.S. Water Resources Council; Western Montana Scientists Committee for Public Information; Effluent Standards and Water Quality Information Advisory Committee; U.S. Department of Commerce; U.S. Department of the Interior; U.S. Department of Agriculture; Iowa State University; State of Florida, Department of Pollution Control; New York State Department of Environmental Conservation; State of California, Water Resources Control Board; Illinois Environmental Protection Agency; State of North Carolina, Department of Natural and Economic Resources; State of Alaska, Department of Environmental Conservation; Arizona State Department of Health; State of Nebraska, Department of Environmental Control; and State of Pennsylvania.

The primary issues raised in the development of the proposed limitations guidelines and standards of performance and the treatment of these issues herein are as follows:

(1) The objection was raised that water quality factors were not taken into account in establishing effluent guidelines. The Act differentiates between

effluent limitations that are based upon existing and achievable technology and effluent limitations to be applied if the technology based limitations are not sufficient to meet the water quality standards. The intent of the Act clearly is to apply technology based standards broadly and then to require additional pollutant reductions whenever needed to meet water quality standards. These regulations propose technology based standards in compliance with sections 301, 304 (b) and (c), 306(b) and 307(c) of the Act.

(2) A pH limitation of ±1 unit of the receiving water was originally proposed. This standard was objected to as being based on water quality criterion alone. The proposed pH limitations were changed to read, pH shall be within the range of 6.0 to 9.0. This is consistent with the other proposed effluent limitations.

(3) The remark was made that the standards of performance for new sources should be as stringent as best available technology economically achievable. The latter effluent limitations can be based upon technology that currently is being developed. However, standards of performance are to be applied to "any source, the construction of which is commenced after the publication of proposed regulations * * * if such standard is thereafter promulgated." Since technology, that has yet to be perfected, cannot be applied to such new sources, less stringent limitations must be applied that are based upon existing, proven technology.

(4) A 48 and 96 hour, two-fold deviation from the limitation average was deemed too great. These variability factors were changed to allow the maximum for any one day to be twice the maximum average of daily values for specified periods of consecutive days.

(5) It was suggested that cadmium, vanadium, arsenic, selenium and radioactive materials be considered. These contaminants were reviewed and only cadmium is present in measurable quantities in the raw waste waters of the phosphate subcategory. However, since cadmium is listed in the FEDERAL REGISTER of July 6, 1973, 38 FR 18044, the effluent standard to be established for cadmium as a toxic pollutant will also apply to this category.

(6) The chromium and zinc limitations were objected to as being too lenient. These contaminants will be present only if they are added to recirculated cooling water as bacteria and rust inhibitors. The presence of these constituents in cooling water will be studied at a later time, and limitations governing such have accordingly been deleted for this category.

(7) Objection was raised to a single standard for urea plants. Urea plants that prill their product will have an increased raw waste load due to air emissions consisting of dust particles that can eventually find their way to waste water collection systems via rainfall and other methods. An exception was therefore allowed for urea plants that prill.

(8) It was argued that installation and operating costs are too low. The contractor is especially well qualified in estimating equipment costs. However, there will be special cases where installation costs will be higher, due to peculiar local problems. These costs can neither be anticipated nor estimated except on a single plant study.

(9) Commentators have suggested that the proposed effluent guidelines may result in significant impacts, particularly in the cases of ammonium nitrate and diammonium phosphate. In addition, in the light of current and prospective shortages of fertilizer additional information is requested, particularly on capital expenditures and on the amount of pollution control in place, to enable a more incisive analysis of prospective production curtailment and plant shutdowns.

Interested persons may participate in this rulemaking by submitting written comments in triplicate to the EPA Information Center, Environmental Protection Agency, Washington, D.C. 20460, Attention: Mr. Philip B. Wisman. Comments on all aspects of the proposed regulations are solicited. In the event comments are in the nature of criticisms as to the adequacy of data which is available, or which may be relied upon by the Agency, comments should identify and, if possible, provide any additional data which may be available and should indicate why such data is essential to the development of the regulations. In the event comments address the approach taken by the agency in establishing an effluent limitation guideline or standard of performance, EPA solicits suggestions as to what alternative approach should be taken and why and how this alternative better satisfies the detailed requirements of sections 301, 304(b), 306 and 307 of the Act.

A copy of all public comments will be available for inspection and copying at the EPA Information Center, Room 227, West Tower, Waterside Mall, 401 M Street SW., Washington, D.C. A copy of preliminary draft contractor reports, the Development Document and the economic study referred to above and certain supplementary materials supporting the study of the industry concerned will also be maintained at this location for public review and copying. The EPA information regulation, 40 CFR Part 2, provides that a reasonable fee may be charged for copying.

All comments received on or before January 9, 1974, will be considered. Steps previously taken by the Environmental Protection Agency to facilitate public response within this time period are outlined in the advance notice concerning public review procedures published on August 6, 1973 (38 FR 21202).

Dated: November 23, 1973.

JOHN QUARLES,
Acting Administrator.

PART 418—EFFLUENT LIMITATIONS GUIDELINES FOR EXISTING SOURCES AND STANDARDS OF PERFORMANCE AND PRETREATMENT STANDARDS FOR NEW SOURCES FOR THE FERTILIZER MANUFACTURING POINT SOURCE CATEGORY

Subpart A—Phosphate Subcategory

Sec.

418.10 Applicability; description of phosphate subcategory.
418.11 Specialized definitions.
418.12 Effluent limitations guidelines representing the degree of effluent reduction attainable by the application of the best practicable control technology currently available.
418.13 Effluent limitations guidelines representing the degree of effluent reduction attainable by the application of the best available technology economically achievable.
418.14 Standards of performance for new sources.
418.15 Pretreatment standards for new sources.

Subpart B—Ammonia Subcategory

418.20 Applicability; description of ammonia subcategory.
418.21 Specialized definitions.
418.22 Effluent limitations guidelines representing the degree of effluent reduction attainable by the application of the best practicable control technology currently available.
418.23 Effluent limitations guidelines representing the degree of effluent reduction attainable by the application of the best available technology economically achievable.
418.24 Standards of performance for new sources.
418.25 Pretreatment standards for new sources.

Subpart C—Urea Subcategory

418.30 Applicability; description of urea subcategory.
418.31 Specialized definitions.
418.32 Effluent limitations guidelines representing the degree of effluent reduction attainable by the application of the best practicable control technology currently available.
418.33 Effluent limitations guidelines representing the degree of effluent reduction attainable by application of the best available technology economically achievable.
418.34 Standards of performance for new sources.
418.35 Pretreatment standards for new sources.

Subpart D—Ammonium Nitrate Subcategory

418.40 Applicability; description of ammonium nitrate subcategory.
418.41 Specialized definitions.
418.42 Effluent limitations guidelines representing the degree of effluent reduction attainable by the application of the best practicable control technology currently available.
418.43 Effluent limitations guidelines representing the degree of effluent reduction attainable by the application of the best available technology economically achievable.
418.44 Standards of performance for new sources.
418.45 Pretreatment standards for new sources.

Subpart E—Nitric Acid Subcategory

Sec.
418.50 Applicability; description of nitric acid subcategory.
418.51 Specialized definitions.
418.52 Effluent limitations guidelines representing the degree of effluent reduction attainable by the application of the best practicable control technology currently available.
418.53 Effluent limitations guidelines representing the degree of effluent reduction attainable by the application of the best available technology economically achievable.
418.54 Standards of performance for new sources.
418.55 Pretreatment standards for new sources.

AUTHORITY: Secs. 301, 304 (b) and (c), and 307(c) of the Federal Water Pollution Control Act, as amended (33 U.S.C. 1251, 1311, 1314 (b) and (c), 1316(b) and 1317(c); 86 Stat. 816 et seq. Pub. L. 92-500 (the "Act").

Subpart A—Phosphate Subcategory

§ 418.10 Applicability; description of phosphate subcategory.

The provisions of this subpart are applicable to the discharges resulting from the manufacture of sulfuric acid by sulfur burning, wet process phosphoric acid, normal superphosphate, triple superphosphate and ammonium phosphate.

§ 418.11 Specialized definitions.

For the purposes of this subpart:

(a) the term "process waste water" shall mean any water which during the manufacturing process comes into direct contact with any raw material, intermediate product, by-product, waste product or finished product.

(b) the term "process waste water pollutants" shall mean pollutants contained in process waste waters.

(c) the term "impoundment," for the purposes of calculating excess water discharged, shall be the water surface area at maximum impoundment capacity.

(d) the following abbreviations shall have the following meanings: (1) "mg/l" shall mean milligrams per liter and (2) "TSS" shall mean total suspended nonfilterable solids.

§ 418.12 Effluent limitations guidelines representing the degree of effluent reduction attainable by the application of the best practicable control technology currently available.

The following limitations constitute the quantity or quality of pollutants or pollutant properties which may be discharged after application of the best available technology economically achievable by a point source subject to the provisions of this subpart:

(a) Subject to the provisions of paragraphs (b), (c), and (d) of this section, there shall be no discharge of process waste water pollutants into navigable waters.

(b) A process waste water impoundment which is designed, constructed and operated so as to contain the precipitation from the 10 year, 24 hour rainfall event as established by the National Climatic Center, National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration for the area in which such impoundment is located may discharge that volume of process waste water which is equivalent to the volume of precipitation that falls within the impoundment in excess of that attributable to the 10 year, 24 hour rainfall event, when such event occurs.

(c) During any calendar month there may be discharged from a process waste water impoundment either a volume of process waste water equal to the difference between the precipitation for that month that falls within the impoundment and the evaporation for that month, or, if greater, a volume of process waste water equal to the difference between the mean precipitation for that month that falls within the impoundment and the mean evaporation for that month as established by the National Climatic Center, National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration for the area in which such impoundment is located (or as otherwise determined if no monthly data have been established by the National Climatic Center).

(d) Any process waste water discharged pursuant to paragraph (c) of this section shall comply with each of the following requirements:

Effluent characteristic	*Effluent limitations*
Total phosphorus.	Maximum for any one day 20 mg/l. Maximum average of daily values for periods of discharge covering 10 or more consecutive days 10 mg/l.
Fluoride	Maximum for any one day 30 mg/l. Maximum average of daily values for periods of discharge covering 10 or more consecutive days 15 mg/l.
Ammonia nitrogen.	Maximum for any one day 10 mg/l. Maximum average of daily values for periods of discharge covering 10 or more consecutive days 5 mg/l.
TSS	Maximum for any one day 30 mg/l. Maximum average of daily values for periods of discharge covering 10 or more consecutive days 10 mg/l.
pH	Within the range of 6.0 to 9.0.

§ 418.13 Effluent limitations guidelines representing the degree of effluent reduction attainable by the application of the best available technology economically achievable.

The following limitations constitute the quantity or quality of pollutants or pollutant properties which may be discharged after the application of the best available technology economically achievable by a point source subject to the provisions of this subpart:

(a) Subject to the provisions of paragraph (b) of this section, there shall be no discharge of process waste water pollutants into navigable waters.

(b) A process waste water impoundment which is designed, constructed, and operated so as to contain the precipitation from the 25 year, 24 hour rainfall event as established by the National Climatic Center, National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration for the area in which such impoundment is located may discharge that volume of process waste water which is equivalent to the volume of precipitation that falls within the impoundment in excess of that attributable to the 25 year, 24 hour rainfall event, when such event occurs.

§ 418.14 Standards of performance for new sources.

The following limitations constitute the quantity or quality of pollutants or pollutant properties which may be discharged after the application of standards of performance for new sources by a point source subject to the provisions of this subpart:

(a) Subject to the provisions of paragraph (b) of this section, there shall be no discharge of process waste water pollutants into navigable waters.

(b) A process waste water impoundment which is designed, constructed, and operated so as to contain the precipitation from the 25 year, 24 hour rainfall event as established by the National Climatic Center, National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration for the area in which such impoundment is located may discharge that volume of process waste water which is equivalent to the volume of precipitation that falls within the impoundment in excess of that attributable to the 25 year, 24 hour rainfall event, when such event occurs.

§ 418.15 Pretreatment standards for new sources.

The pretreatment standards under section 307(c) of the Act, for a source within the phosphate subcategory which is an industrial user of a publicly owned treatment works (and which would be a new source subject to section 306 of the Act, if it were to discharge pollutants to navigable waters), shall be the standard set forth in Part 128 of this chapter, except that for the purposes of this section, § 128.133 of this chapter, shall be amended to read as follows: "In addition to the prohibitions set forth in § 128.131 of this chapter, the pretreatment standard for incompatible pollutants introduced into a publicly owned treatment works by a major contributing industry shall be the standard of performance for new sources specified in § 418.14: *Provided*, That, if the publicly owned treatment works which receives the pollutants is committed, in its NPDES permit, to remove a specified percentage of any incompatible pollutant, the pretreatment standard applicable to users of such treatment works shall be correspondingly reduced for that pollutant."

Subpart B—Ammonia Subcategory

§ 418.20 Applicability; description of ammonia subcategory.

The provisions of this subpart are applicable to discharges resulting from the manufacture of ammonia.

§ 418.21 Specialized definitions.

For the purposes of this subpart:

(a) the term "oil and grease" shall mean those components of waste water

amenable to measurement by the method described in "Methods for Chemical Analysis of Water and Wastes", 1971, Environmental Protection Agency, Analytical Quality Control Laboratory, Cincinnati, Ohio.

(b) the term "product" shall mean the anhydrous ammonia content of the compound manufactured.

(c) the following abbreviations shall have the following meanings: (1) "kg" shall mean kilograms, (2) "kkg" shall mean 1000 kilograms, and (3) "lb" shall mean pounds.

§ 418.22 Effluent limitations guidelines representing the degree of effluent reduction attainable by the application of the best practicable control technology currently available.

The following limitations constitute the quantity or quality of pollutants or pollutant properties which may be discharged after application of the best practicable control technology currently available by a point source subject to the provisions of this subpart:

Effluent characteristic	*Effluent limitation*
Ammonia Nitrogen.	Maximum for any one day 0.125 kg/kkg of product (0.125 lb/1000 lb). Maximum average of daily values for any period of thirty consecutive days 0.0625 kg/kkg of product (0.0625 lb/1000 lb).
Oil and grease.	Maximum for any one day 0.025 kg/kkg of product (0.025 lb/1000 lb). Maximum average of daily values for any period of thirty consecutive days 0.0125 kg/kkg of product (0.0125 lb/1000 lb).
pH	Within the range of 6.0 to 9.0.

§ 418.23 Effluent limitations guidelines representing the degree of effluent reduction attainable by the application of the best available technology economically achievable.

The following limitations constitute the quantity or quality of pollutants or pollutant properties which may be discharged after application of the best available technology economically achievable by a point source subject to the provisions of this subpart:

Effluent characteristic	*Effluent limitation*
Ammonia Nitrogen.	Maximum for any one day 0.025 kg/kkg of product (0.05 lb/1000 lb). Maximum average of daily values for any period of thirty consecutive days 0.025 kg/kkg of product (0.025 lb/1000 lb).
Oil and grease.	Maximum for any one day 0.05 kg/kkg of product (0.025 lb/1000 lb). Maximum average of daily values for any period of thirty consecutive days 0.0125 kg/kkg of product (0.0125 lb/1000 lb).
pH	Within the range of 6.0 to 9.0.

§ 418.24 Standards of performance for new sources.

The following limitations constitute the quantity or quality of pollutants or pollutant properties which may be discharged after application of standards of performance for new sources by a point source subject to the provisions of this subpart:

Effluent characteristic	*Effluent limitations*
Ammonia Nitrogen	Maximum for any one day (0.11 lb/1000 lb). Maximum average of daily values for any period of thirty consecutive days 0.055 kg/kkg of product (0.055 lb/1000 lb).
Oil and grease	Maximum for any one day 0.025 kg/kkg of product (0.025 lb/1000 lb). Maximum average of daily values for any period of thirty consecutive days 0.0125 kg/kkg of product (0.0125 lb/1000 lb).
pH	Within the range of 6.0 to 9.0.

§ 418.25 Pretreatment standards for new sources.

The pretreatment standards under section 307(c) of the Act, for a source within the ammonia subcategory which is an industrial user of a publicly owned treatment works (and which would be a new source subject to section 306 of the Act, if it were to discharge pollutants to navigable waters), shall be the standard set forth in Part 128 of this chapter, except that for the purposes of this section, § 128.133 of this chapter, shall be amended to read as follows: "In addition to the prohibitions set forth in § 128.131 of this chapter, the pretreatment standard for incompatible pollutants introduced into a publicly owned treatment works by a major contributing industry shall be the standard of performance for new sources specified in § 418.24; *Provided*, That, if the publicly owned treatment works which receives the pollutants is committed, in its NPDES permit, to remove a specified percentage of any incompatible pollutant, the pretreatment standard applicable to users of such treatment works shall be correspondingly reduced for that pollutant."

Subpart C—Urea Subcategory

§ 418.30 Applicability; description of urea subcategory.

The provisions of this subpart are applicable to discharges resulting from the manufacture of urea.

§ 418.31 Specialized definitions.

For the purpose of this subpart:

(a) The term "organic nitrogen" shall mean those components of waste water amenable to measurement by the method described in "Standard Methods for the Examination of Water and Wastewater," 13th edition, 1971, page 429, method 215.

(b) The term "product" shall mean the urea content of the compound manufactured.

(c) The following abbreviations shall have the following meanings: (1) "kg" shall mean kilograms, (2) "kkg" shall mean 1000 kilograms, and (3) "lb" shall mean pounds.

§ 418.32 Effluent limitations guidelines representing the degree of effluent reduction attainable by the application of the best practicable control technology currently available.

The following limitations constitute the quantity or quality of pollutants or pollutant properties which may be discharged after application of best practicable control technology currently available by a point source subject to the provisions of this subpart:

(a) The following limitations constitute the maximum permissible discharge on the basis of production for urea manufacturing operations in which urea is not prilled:

Effluent characteristic	*Effluent limitations*
Ammonia nitrogen.	Maximum for any one day 0.075 kg/kkg of product (0.075 lb/1000 lb). Maximum average of daily values for any period of thirty consecutive days 0.0375 kg/kkg of product (0.0375 lb/1000 lb).
Organic nitrogen.	Maximum for any one day 0.125 kg/kkg of product (0.125 lb/1000 lb). Maximum average of daily values for any period of thirty consecutive days 0.0625 kg/kkg of product (0.0625 lb/1000 lb).
pH	Within the range of 6.0 to 9.0.

(b) The following limitations constitute the maximum permissible discharge on the basis of production for urea manufacturing operations in which urea is prilled.

Effluent characteristic	*Effluent limitations*
Ammonia nitrogen.	Maximum for any one day 0.1 kg/kkg of product (0.1 lb/1000 lb). Maximum average of daily values for any period of thirty consecutive days 0.05 kg/kkg of product (0.05 lb/1000 lb).
Organic nitrogen.	Maximum for any one day 0.25 kg/kkg of product (0.25 lb/1000 lb). Maximum average of daily values for any period of thirty consecutive days 0.125 kg/kkg of product (0.125 lb/1000 lb).
pH	Within the range of 6.0 to 9.0.

§ 418.33 Effluent limitations guidelines representing the degree of effluent reduction attainable by the application of the best available technology economically achievable.

The following limitations constitute the quantity or quality of pollutants or pollutant properties which may be discharged after application of the best available technology economically achievable by a point source subject to the provisions of this subpart:

(a) The following limitations constitute the maximum permissible discharge on the basis of production for urea manufacturing operations in which urea is not prilled:

Effluent characteristic	*Effluent limitations*
Ammonia nitrogen.	Maximum for any one day 0.03 kg/kkg of product (0.03 lb/1000 lb). Maximum average of daily values for any period of thirty consecutive days 0.015 kg/kkg of product (0.015 lb/1000 lb).
Organic nitrogen.	Maximum for any one day 0.05 kg/kkg of product (0.05 lb/1000 lb). Maximum average of daily values for any period of thirty consecutive days 0.025 kg/kkg of product (0.025 lb/1000 lb).
pH	Within the range of 6.0 to 9.0.

(b) The following limitations constitute the maximum permissible discharge on the basis of production for urea manufacturing operations in which urea is prilled:

Effluent characteristic	*Effluent limitations*
Ammonia nitrogen.	Maximum for any one day 0.03 kg/kkg of product (0.03 lb/1000 lb). Maximum average of daily values for any period of thirty consecutive days 0.015 kg/kkg of product (0.015 lb/1000 lb).
Organic nitrogen.	Maximum for any one day 0.075 kg/kkg of product (0.075 lb/1000 lb). Maximum average of daily values for any period of thirty consecutive days 0.0375 kg/kkg of product (0.0375 lb/1000 lb).
pH	Within the range of 6.0 to 9.0.

§ 418.34 Standards of performance for new sources.

The following limitations constitute the quantity or quality of pollutants or pollutant properties which may be discharged after application of standards of performance for new sources by a point source subject to the provisions of this subpart:

(a) The following limitations constitute the maximum permissible discharge on the basis of production for urea manufacturing operations in which urea is not prilled.

Effluent characteristic	*Effluent limitations*
Ammonia nitrogen.	Maximum for any one day 0.065 kg/kkg of product (0.065 lb/1000 lb). Maximum average of daily values for any period of thirty consecutive days 0.0325 kg/kkg of product (0.0325 lb/1000 lb).
Organic nitrogen.	Maximum for any one day 0.075 kg/kkg of product (0.075 lb/1000 lb). Maximum average of daily values for any period of thirty consecutive days 0.0375 kg/kkg of product (0.0375 lb/1000 lb).
pH	Within the range of 6.0 to 9.0.

(b) The following limitations constitute the maximum permissible discharge on the basis of production for urea manufacturing operations in which urea is prilled.

Effluent characteristic	*Effluent limitations*
Ammonia nitrogen.	Maximum for any one day 0.065 kg/kkg of product (0.065 lb/1000 lb). Maximum average of daily values for any period of thirty consecutive days 0.0325 kg/kkg of product (0.0325 lb/1000 lb).
Organic nitrogen.	Maximum for any one day 0.125 kg/kkg of product (0.125 lb/1000 lb). Maximum average of daily values for any period of thirty consecutive days 0.0625 kg/kkg of product (0.0625 lb/1000 lb).
pH	Within the range of 6.0 to 9.0.

§ 418.35 Pretreatment standards for new sources.

The pretreatment standards under section 307(c) of the Act, for a source within the urea subcategory which is an industrial user of a publicly owned treatment works (and which would be a new source subject to section 306 of the Act, if it were to discharge pollutants to navigable waters), shall be the standard set forth in Part 128 of this chapter, except that for the purposes of this section, § 128.133 of this chapter, shall be amended to read as follows: "In addition to the prohibitions set forth in § 128.131 of this chapter, the pretreatment standard for incompatible pollutants introduced into a publicly owned treatment works by a major contributing industry shall be the standard of performance for new sources specified in § 418.34: *Provided*, That, if the publicly owned treatment works which receives the pollutants is committed, in its NPDES permit, to remove a specified percentage of any incompatible pollutant, the pretreatment standard applicable to users of such treatment works shall be correspondingly reduced for that pollutant."

Subpart D—Ammonium Nitrate Subcategory

§ 418.40 Applicability; description of ammonium nitrate subcategory.

The provisions of this subpart are applicable to discharges resulting from the manufacture of ammonium nitrate.

§ 418.41 Specialized definitions.

For the purposes of this subpart:

(a) The term "product" shall mean the anhydrous ammonium nitrate content of the compound(s) manufactured.

(b) The following abbreviations shall have the following meanings: (1) "Kg" shall mean kilograms (2) "kkg" shall mean 1000 kilograms and (3) "lb" shall mean pounds.

§ 418.42 Effluent limitations guidelines representing the degree of effluent reduction attainable by the application of the best practicable control technology currently available.

The following limitations constitute the quantity or quality of pollutants or pollutant properties which may be discharged after application of the best practicable control technology currently available by a point source subject to the provisions of this subpart:

Effluent characteristic	*Effluent limitations*
Ammonia nitrogen.	Maximum for any one day 0.1 kg/kkg of product (0.1 lb/1000 lb). Maximum average of daily values for any period of thirty consecutive days 0.05 kg/kkg of product (0.05 lb/1000 lb).
Nitrate nitrogen.	Maximum for any one day 0.125 kg/kkg of product 0.125 kg/kkg of product Maximum average of daily values for any period of thirty consecutive days 0.0625 kg/kkg of product (0.0625 lb/1000 lb).
pH	Within the range of 6.0 to 9.0.

§ 418.43 Effluent limitations guidelines representing the degree of effluent reduction attainable by the application of the best available technology economically achievable.

The following limitations constitute the quantity or quality of pollutants or pollutant properties which may be discharged after application of the best available technology economically achievable by a point source subject to the provisions of this subpart:

Effluent characteristic	*Effluent limitations*
Ammonia nitrogen.	Maximum for any one day 0.015 kg/kkg of product (0.015 lb/1000 lb). Maximum average of daily values for any period of thirty consecutive days 0.0075 kg/kkg of product (0.0075 lb/1000 lb).
Nitrate nitrogen.	Maximum for any one day 0.025 kg/kkg of product (0.025 lb/1000 lb). Maximum average of daily values for any period of thirty consecutive days 0.125 kg/kkg of product (0.0125 lb/1000 lb).
pH	Within the range of 6.0 to 9.0.

§ 418.44 Standards of performance for new sources.

The following limitations constitute the quantity or quality of pollutants or pollutant properties which may be discharged after application of standards of performance for new sources by a point source subject to the provisions of this subpart:

Effluent characteristic	*Effluent limitations*
Ammonia nitrogen.	Maximum for any one day 0.1 kg/kkg of product (0.1 lb/1000 lb). Maximum average of daily values for any period of thirty consecutive days 0.05 kg/kkg of product (0.05 lb/1000 lb).
Nitrate nitrogen.	Maximum for any one day 0.05 kg/kkg of product (0.05 lb/1000 lb). Maximum average of daily values for any period of thirty consecutive days 0.025 kg/kkg of product (0.025 lb/1000 lb).
pH	Within the range of 6.0 to 9.0.

§ 418.45 Pretreatment standards for new sources.

The pretreatment standards under section 307(c) of the Act, for a source within the ammonium nitrate subcategory which is an industrial user of a publicly owned treatment works (and which would be a new source subject to section 306 of the Act, if it were to discharge pollutants to navigable waters), shall be the standard set forth in Part 128 of this chapter, except that for the purposes of this section, § 128.133 of this chapter, shall be amended to read as follows: "In addition to the prohibitions set forth in § 128.131 of this chapter, the pretreatment standard for incompatible pollutants introduced into a publicly owned treatment works by a major contributing industry shall be the standard of performance for new sources specified in § 418.44: *Provided*, That, if the publicly owned treatment works which receives the pollutants is committed, in its NPDES permit, to remove a specified percentage of any incompatible pollutant, the pretreatment standard applicable to users of such treatment works shall be correspondingly reduced for that pollutant."

Subpart E—Nitric Acid Subcategory

§ 418.50 Applicability; description of nitric acid subcategory.

The provisions of this subpart are applicable to discharges resulting from the manufacture of nitric acid used as an intermediate product for the manufacture of fertilizer products or other intermediate products.

§ 418.51 Specialized definitions.

For the purposes of this subpart:

(a) The term "process waste water" shall mean any water which during the manufacturing process comes into direct contact with any raw material, intermediate product, by-product, waste product or finished product.

(b) The term "process waste water pollutants" shall mean pollutants contained in process waste waters.

§ 418.52 Effluent limitations guidelines representing the degree of effluent reduction attainable by the application of the best practicable control technology currently available.

The following limitations constitute the quantity or quality of pollutants or pollutant properties which may be discharged after application of the best practicable control technology currently available by a point source subject to the provisions of this subpart: There shall be no discharge of process waste water pollutants into navigable waters.

§ 418.53 Effluent limitations guidelines representing the degree of effluent reduction attainable by application of the best available technology economically achievable.

The following limitations constitute the quantity or quality of pollutants or pollutant properties which may be discharged after application of the best available technology economically achievable by a point source subject to the provisions of this subpart: There shall be no discharge of process waste water pollutants into navigable waters.

§ 418.54 Standards of performance for new sources.

The following limitations constitute the quantity or quality of pollutants or pollutant properties which may be discharged after application of standards of performance for new sources by a point source subject to the provisions of this subpart: There shall be no discharge of process waste water pollutants into navigable waters.

§ 418.55 Pretreatment standards for new sources.

The pretreatment standards under section 307(c) of the Act, for a source within the nitric acid subcategory which is an industrial user of a publicly owned treatment works (and which would be a new source subject to section 306 of the Act, if it were to discharge pollutants to navigable waters), shall be the standard set forth in Part 128 of this chapter, except that for the purpose of this section, § 128.133 of this chapter, shall be amended to read as follows: "In addition to the prohibitions set forth in § 128.131 of this chapter, the pretreatment standard for incompatible pollutants introduced into a publicly owned treatment works by a major contributing industry shall be the standard of performance for new sources specified in § 418.54: *Provided*, That, if the publicly owned treatment works which receives the pollutants is committed, in its NPDES permit, to remove a specified percentage of any incompatible pollutant, the pretreatment standard applicable to users of such treatment works shall be correspondingly reduced for that pollutant."

[FR Doc.73-25338 Filed 12-6-73;8:45 am]

[40 CFR Part 425]

LEATHER TANNING AND FINISHING INDUSTRY POINT SOURCE CATEGORY

Proposed Effluent Limitations Guidelines

Notice is hereby given that effluent limitations guidelines for existing sources and standards of performance and pretreatment standards for new sources set forth in tentative form below are proposed by the Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) for the leather tanning and finishing category of point sources pursuant to sections 301, 304 (b) and (c), 306(b) and 307(c) of the Federal Water Pollution Control Act, as amended (33 U.S.C. 1251, 1311, 1314 (b) and (c), 1316(b) and 1317(c); 86 Stat. 816 et seq.; Pub. L. 92-500) (the Act).

(a) *Legal authority*—(1) *Existing point sources.* Section 301(b) of the Act requires the achievement by not later than July 1, 1977, of effluent limitations for point sources, other than publicly owned treatment works, which require the application of the best practicable control technology currently available as defined by the Administrator pursuant to section 304(b) of the Act. Section 301(b) also requires the achievement by not later than July 1, 1983, of effluent limitations for point sources, other than publicly owned treatment works, which require the application of best available technology economically achievable which will result in reasonable further progress toward the national goal of eliminating the discharge of all pollutants, as determined in accordance with regulations issued by the Administrator pursuant to section 304(b) to the Act.

Section 304(b) of the Act requires the Administrator to publish regulations providing guidelines for effluent limitations setting forth the degree of effluent reduction attainable through the application of the best practicable control technology currently available and the degree of effluent reduction attainable through the application of the best control measures and practices achievable including treatment techniques, process and procedure innovations, operating methods and other alternatives. The regulations proposed herein set forth effluent limitations guidelines, pursuant to section 304(b) of the Act, for the leather tanning and finishing category.

(2) *New sources.* Section 306 of the Act requires the achievement by new sources of a Federal standard of performance providing for the control of the discharge of pollutants which reflects the greatest degree of effluent reduction which the Administrator determines to be achievable through application of the best available demonstrated control technology, processes, operating methods, or other alternatives, including, where practicable, a standard permitting no discharge of pollutants.

Section 306(b)(1)(B) of the Act requires the Administrator to propose regulations establishing Federal standards of performance for categories of new sources included in a list published pursuant to section 306(b)(1)(A) of the Act. The Administrator published in the FEDERAL REGISTER of January 16, 1973 (38 FR 1624), a list of 27 source categories, including the leather tanning and finishing category. The regulations proposed herein set forth the standards of performance applicable to new sources for the leather tanning and finishing category.

Section 307(c) of the Act requires the Administrator to promulgate pretreatment standards for new sources at the same time that standards of performance for new sources are promulgated pursuant to section 306. §§ 425.05, 425.15, 425.25, 425.35, 425.45, and 425.55, proposed below provide pretreatment standards for new sources within the leather tanning and finishing industry category.

Section 304(c) of the Act requires the Administrator to issue to the States and appropriate water pollution control agencies information on the processes, procedures or operating methods which result in the elimination or reduction of the discharge of pollutants to implement standards of performance under section 306 of the Act. The Development Document referred to below provides, pursuant to section 304(c) of the Act, information on such processes, procedures or operating methods.

(b) Summary and Basis of Proposed Effluent Limitations Guidelines for Existing Sources and Standards of Performance and Pretreatment Standards for New Sources.

(1) *General methodology.* The effluent limitations guidelines and standards of performance proposed herein were developed in the following manner. The point source category was first studied for the purpose of determining whether separate limitations and standards are appropriate for different segments within the category. This analysis included a determination of whether differences in raw material used, product produced, manufacturing process employed, age, size, waste water constituents and other factors require development of separate limitations and standards for different segments of the point source category. The raw waste characteristics for each such segment were then identified. This included an analysis of (1) the source, flow and volume of water used in the process employed and the sources of waste and waste waters in the operation and (2) the constituents of all waste water. The constituents of the waste waters which should be subject to effluent limitations guidelines and standards of performance were identified.

The control and treatment technologies existing within each segment were identified. This included an identification of each distinct control and treatment technology, including both in-plant and end-of-process technologies, which are existent or capable of being designed for each segment. It also included an identification of, in terms of the amount of constituents and the chemical, physical, and biological characteristics of pollutants, the effluent level resulting from the application of each of the technologies. The problems, limitations and reliability of each treatment and control technology were also identified. In addition, the non-water quality environmental impact, such as the effects of the application of such technologies upon other pollution problems, including air, solid waste, noise and radiation were identified. The energy requirements of each control and treatment technology were determined as well as the cost of the application of such technologies.

The information, as outlined above, was then evaluated in order to determine what levels of technology constitute the "best practicable control technology currently available," the "best available technology economically achievable" and the "best available demonstrated control technology, processes, operating methods, or other alternatives." In identifying such technologies, various factors were considered. These included the total cost of application of technology in relation to the effluent reduction benefits to be achieved from such application, the age of equipment and facilities involved, the process employed, the engineering aspects of the application of various types of control techniques, process changes, non-water quality environmental impact (including energy requirements) and other factors.

The data upon which the above analysis was performed included EPA permit applications, EPA sampling and inspections, consultant reports, and industry submissions.

The pretreatment standards proposed herein are intended to be complementary to the pretreatment standards proposed for existing sources under 40 CFR Part 128. The basis for such standards are set forth in the FEDERAL REGISTER of July 19, 1973, 38 FR 19236. The provisions of Part 128 are equally applicable to sources which would constitute "new sources," under section 306 if they were to discharge pollutants directly to navigable waters, except for § 128.133. That section provides a pretreatment standard for "incompatible pollutants" which requires application of the "best practicable control technology currently available," subject to an adjustment for amounts of pollutants removed by the publicly owned treatment works. Since the pretreatment standards proposed herein apply to new sources, §§ 425.05, 425.15, 425.25, 425.35, 425.45, and 425.55 below amend § 128.133 to require application of the standard of performance for new sources rather than the "best practicable" standards applicable to existing sources under sections 301 and 304 (b) of the Act.

(2) Summary of conclusions with respect to the leather tanning and finishing industry category of point sources.

(i) *Categorization.* For the purpose of studying waste treatment and effluent limitations, the leather tanning and finishing category was divided into discrete subcategories which coincide with a breakdown of the category according to processes and waste characteristics as outlined in the Development Document for the leather tanning and finishing category.

The leather tanning and finishing industry has been divided into six subcategories for the purpose of establishing effluent limitations guidelines and standards of performance. Generic names or descriptions for several of the subcategories are not commonly employed in the industry. Simple descriptive labels have been developed which generally describe the most common processes found in each subcategory. The labels are not a rigorous definition of the subcategory since some tannery types will not strictly conform to the label. Variations in waste water characteristics are influenced by several variables such as the types of hides or skins tanned and unit processes employed in tanning. The three tannery operations, (1) hair removal (either hair pulp or hair save processes), (2) tanning (vegetable, alum, chrome), and (3) finishing are the principal sources of process waste variations. Each subcategory is comprised of tanneries which have been determined to have sufficiently similar plant processes and waste characteristics to justify their inclusion within a separate subcategory.

(1) *Subpart A.* Hair pulp unhairing with chrome tanning and finishing subcategory: This subcategory includes the following tannery types: (1) One which chrome tans and finishes cattle hides after removing the hair by the hair pulping technique; (2) one which chrome tans and finishes cattle hides after removing the hair by both the hair pulp and hair save techniques (the latter hair removal operations are independent processes within the same tannery), (3) one which both chrome tans and vegetable tans and finishes cattle hides after removing the hair by both the hair save and hair pulp technique; and (4) one which chrome tans sheep skins after removing the wool.

(2) *Subpart B.* Hair save unhairing with chrome tanning and finishing subcategory: This subcategory includes the following tannery types: (1) One which chrome tans and finishes cattle hides after removing the hair by the hair save technique; and, (2) one which chrome tans deer skins after removing the hair.

(3) *Subpart C.* Unhairing with vegetable or alum tanning and finishing subcategory: This subcategory includes the following tannery types: (1) One which vegetable tans cattle hides after removing the hair by either the hair save or hair pulp technique; and, (2) one which alum tans cattle hides after removing the hair by either the hair save or hair pulp technique.

(4) *Subpart D.* Finishing of tanned hides subcategory: This subcategory includes the following tannery types: (1) One which finishes previously tanned cattle hides; (2) one which finishes previously tanned sheep skins; (3) one which finishes previously tanned deer skins; and, (4) one which finishes previously tanned cattle splits.

(5) *Subpart E.* Vegetable or chrome tanning of unhaired hides subcategory: This subcategory includes the following tannery types: (1) One which chrome tans and finishes cattle splits; (2) one which chrome tans and finishes cattle hides which have had hair previously removed; (3) one which vegetable tans and finishes cattle hides which have had hair previously removed; (4) one which

vegetable tans and finishes cattle splits; (5) one which chrome tans and finishes pig skins; (6) one which chrome tans and finishes sheep skins which have had wool previously removed; (7) one which vegetable tans and finishes sheep skins which have had wool previously removed; (8) one which both chrome tans and vegetable tans sheep skins which have had wool previously removed; (9) one which chrome tans and finishes sheep skin with the wool retained; and, (10) one which vegetable tans and finishes sheep skin with the wool retained.

(6) *Subpart F*, Unhairing with chrome tanning and no finishing subcategory: This subcategory includes the following tannery types: (1) One which chrome tans but does not finish cattle hides after removing the hair by the hair pulp technique; (2) one which chrome tans but does not finish cattle hides after removing the hair by the hair save technique; (3) one which removes hair from cattle hides by the hair pulp technique; and, (4) one which removes the hair from cattle hides by the hair save technique.

(ii) *Waste characteristics.* The known significant pollutants or pollutant characteristics of waste waters resulting from the leather tanning and finishing industry include: Biochemical oxygen demand, total nonfilterable suspended solids, total chromium, sulfide, oil and grease, total kjeldahl nitrogen, fecal coliforms and pH.

Effluent limitation guidelines and standards of performance are established below to control each of the above pollutants or pollutant characteristics. No limitations have been established for chemical oxygen demand, total dissolved solids, ammonia nitrogen, or color because available data has indicated these pollutants are normally removed when significant pollutants are removed or they occur in insignificant quantities.

(iii) *Treatment and control technology.* The best practicable control technology currently available for the six subcategories will reduce biochemical oxygen demand, total nonfilterable suspended solids, total chromium, and oil and grease by about 95 percent.

Historically, the leather tanning and finishing industry has not applied the best practicable control technology for waste treatment. In fact, the waste treatment currently used by the industry was found to be uniformly inadequate.

The most commonly employed biological treatment presently used by the tanning industry is lagoons. The current practice has not resulted in consistently good effluent quality for a variety of reasons: Poor design, poor operation, and poor control capabilities. Although currently not attaining effluent levels consistent with the best practicable control technology, with some improvements in design, operation and control, lagoons may prove to be a viable waste treatment alternative for attaining this level. Biological systems employing various combinations of anaerobic lagoons, aerobic lagoons, aerated lagoons, anaerobic-aerobic lagoons, and polishing lagoons have attained 99 percent reduction in major pollutants for the meat packing and food processing industries.

The use of activated sludge permits control of the biological system and provides a high degree of confidence in maintaining required effluent quality.

The best available technology economically achievable will further reduce biochemical oxygen demand, and total suspended solids as well as reduce sulfides and total kjeldahl nitrogen. A treatment model for achieving this reduction includes the use of biological systems which are adaptable to the treatment model for best practicable control technology.

The New Source Performance Standards are the same as the effluent guidelines for best practicable technology and the same model treatment scheme applies.

(iv) *Cost and energy requirements.* The lack of adequate waste treatment in the leather tanning and finishing industry required the design of a model treatment system to determine the cost and energy requirements. The model treatment scheme is a conservative design; therefore, the cost and energy estimates are conservative—i.e., higher than may occurs in practice for much of the industry.

The estimated total capital cost for tanneries discharging to receiving waters in order to achieve the best practicable control technology currently available is $28 million (August, 1971, price levels). This cost figure is based on the assumption that tanners have no treatment at present and would require treatment construction. The treatment scheme determined to be best practicable control technology currently available includes screening, equalization, primary clarification, activated sludge and final clarification. The total cost for water pollution control (including capital and operating costs) will increase the finished product costs between 1.1 and 3.5 percent.

Additional capital investment for tanneries discharging to receiving waters to achieve the best available technology level is estimated at $14.5 million. This cost figure is based on the addition of biological nitrification and denitrification facilities, sulfide oxidation facilities and multimedia filtration units to the best practicable control technology. Total annual costs of achieving this level are estimated to increase the present finished product prices between 0.5 and 2.6 percent over the cost of achieving the best practicable control technology limitations, i.e. a total increase of between 1.6 and 6.1 percent.

The estimated increase in energy consumption by the tanning industry for water pollution control is 3.6 percent for the 1977 level and 7.2 percent for the 1983 level and new source performance standards.

(v) *Non-water quality environmental impact.* The non-water quality environmental impact was considered. No air pollution will result from the implementation of best practicable control technology. However, the solid wastes generated in the treatment of tannery waste, generally in the form of a sludge, will contain chromium.

Chromium may be hazardous and may require special consideration. In order to ensure long term protection of the environment from this hazardous or harmful constituent, special consideration of disposal sites must be made. All landfill sites where such hazardous waste are disposed should be selected so as to prevent horizontal and vertical migration of contaminants to ground or surface waters. In cases where geological conditions may not reasonably ensure this, adequate legal and mechanical precautions (e.g. impervious liners) should be taken to ensure long term protection to the environment from hazardous materials. Where appropriate the location of solid hazardous materials disposal sites should be permanently recorded in the appropriate office of legal jurisdiction.

In some localities there is concern that chromium-containing sludges will not be permitted in land fills. However, a properly designed and operated sanitary land fill should adequately handle the sludge. The removal and reuse of chromium from the waste stream is highly recommended and will either eliminate or minimize its content in the sludge.

(vi) *Economic impact.* The economic impact analysis revealed that less than ten percent of all plants would be impacted by the proposed regulations. These plants are generally small, however, and would be exempt from meeting the pretreatment requirements. A very few additional plants may be impacted. The total of all those tanneries impacted represents less than 5 percent of the total leather production.

The report entitled "Development Document for Proposed Effluent Limitations Guidelines and New Source Performance Standards for the LEATHER TANNING AND FINISHING Industry Point Source Category" details the analysis undertaken in support of the regulations being proposed herein and is available for inspection in the EPA Information Center, Room 227, West Tower, Waterside Mall, Washington, D.C., at all EPA regional offices, and at State water pollution control offices. A supplementary analysis prepared for EPA of the possible economic effects of the proposed regulations is also available for inspection at these locations. Copies of both of these documents are being sent to persons or institutions affected by the proposed regulations, or who have placed themselves on a mailing list for this purpose (see EPA's Advance Notice of Public Review Procedures, 38 FR 21202, August 6, 1973). An additional limited number of copies of both reports are available. Persons wishing to obtain a copy may write the EPA Information Center, Environmental Protection Agency, Washington, D.C. 20460, Attention: Mr. Philip B. Wisman.

(c) *Summary of public participation.* Prior to this publication, the agencies and groups listed below were consulted and given an opportunity to participate

in the development of effluent limitations guidelines and standards proposed for the leather tanning and finishing category. All participating agencies have been informed of project developments. An initial draft of the Development Document was sent to all participants and comments were solicited on that report. The following are the principal agencies and groups consulted: (1) Effluent Standards and Water Quality Information Advisory Committee (established under section 515 of the Act); (2) Tanners' Council of America; (3) The American Society of Mechanical Engineers; (4) The American Society of Civil Engineers; (5) Hudson River Sloop Restoration, Inc.; (6) The Conservation Foundation; (7) Environmental Defense Fund, Inc.; (8) Natural Resources Defense Council; (9) Water Pollution Control Federation; (10) National Wildlife Federation; (11) Department of the Interior; (12) Department of Commerce; (13) Water Resources Council; (14) Department of the Treasury; (15) Department of Agriculture; (16) New England Interstate Water Pollution Control Commission; (17) Ohio River Valley Sanitation Commission; (18) Delaware River Basin Commission; (19) Government of Guam; (20) Trust Territory of the Pacific Island; (21) Puerto Rico; the State pollution control agencies of the following States: (22) Arizona; (23) Arkansas; (24) California; (25) Connecticut; (26) Delaware; (27) Florida; (28) Georgia; (29) Illinois; (30) Kentucky; (31) Louisiana; (32) Maine; (33) Maryland; (34) Massachusetts; (35) Michigan; (36) Minnesota; (37) Mississippi; (38) Montana; (39) Nebraska; (40) New Hampshire; (41) New Jersey; (42) New Mexico; (43) New York; (44) Ohio; (45) Oklahoma; (46) Oregon; (47) Pennsylvania; (48) Rhode Island; (49) Tennessee; (50) Texas; (51) Vermont; (52) Virginia; (53) West Virginia; (54) Wisconsin; and (55) Wyoming.

The following organizations responded: Department of Commerce, Department of the Interior, Department of Agriculture, Department of the Treasury; Water Resources Council; also the States of Illinois, Michigan, Colorado, California, Maine, Hawaii, Nebraska, Arizona, Florida, Texas, Kentucky, Georgia, Delaware, Connecticut, and Massachusetts; Natural Resources Defense Council, National Hide Association, Tanners' Council of America, Water Pollution Control Federation, Effluent Standards and Water Quality Information Advisory Committee, A. C. Lawrence Leather Co., Armour Leather Co., Fred Rueping Leather Co., Edward C. Jordan Co. Inc. and Kleinschmidt and Dutting.

The primary issues raised during the development of these proposed effluent limitations guidelines and standards of performance and the treatment of these issues are as follows:

(1) Several commentors considered the cost of achieving the effluent limitations and standards of performance to be excessive.

The cost figures presented are based on a conservative design of a waste water treatment facility which will attain the pollutant removal levels required in the effluent guidelines and standards. The actual cost to many individual tanners may be somewhat less when particular treatment needs have been determined. However, based on the lack of exemplary waste treatment plants and related costs, the conservative treatment design was employed to generate costs.

Many have misunderstood the range of finished product cost increases. For the best practicable control technology estimated increase of final product cost ranges from 1.1 to 3.5 percent for various subcategories. For only one subcategory is the increase in excess of 2.6 percent.

For the best available control technology economically achievable, the estimated increase of final product cost ranges from 0.5 to 2.6 percent for various subcategories and only one subcategory is in excess of 1.3 percent. The overall cost of both best practicable and best available control technology is estimated to increase final product costs from 1.6 to 6.1 percent for various subcategories and only one subcategory is in excess of 3.8 percent.

(2) Some commentors considered the effluent limitations for many of the pollutants too stringent, and others questioned whether the technology is "currently available." These comments are based on the fact that no tanners are currently attaining the effluent guideline levels.

That the tanning industry has not previously been required to employ good water pollution control practices does not form an adequate basis for permitting the industry to continue the discharge of inadequately treated wastes. Other comparable industries, that have recognized their responsibilities through employment of currently available technology, are obtaining reductions equal to or greater than those recommended for the tanning industry.

(3) The opinion was expressed that the possibility of "zero discharge" was not given sufficient consideration for best available technology economically achievable and new source performance standards.

No discharge of process waste water pollutants would require use of desalinization techniques which, at this point in time, are not deemed to be economically achievable for this industry category and also would require an energy consumption increase of approximately 100 percent.

(4) Comments have been received to the effect that the economic impact of the effluent guidelines for the leather tanning and finishing industry may be severe for small plants in the industry and for the communities in which they are located.

In light of this possibility, additional information is requested, especially in regard to small plants, concerning the following factors: (a) Plant size, (b) production characteristics, (c) financial characteristics, especially income and rate of return, (d) investment expenditures, and (e) operating costs. Information is also requested on internal production alternatives that may be required to reduce or change the composition of waste flows and the cost of such production alternatives.

Interested persons may participate in this rulemaking by submitting written comments in triplicate to the EPA Information Center, Environmental Protection Agency, Washington, D.C. 20460, Attention: Mr. Philip B. Wisman. Comments on all aspects of the proposed regulations are solicited. In the event comments are in the nature of criticisms as to the adequacy of data which is available, or which may be relied upon by the Agency, comments should identify and, if possible, provide any additional data which may be available and should indicate why such data is essential to the development of the regulations. In the event comments address the approach taken by the agency in establishing an effluent limitation guideline or standard of performance, EPA solicits suggestions as to what alternative approach should be taken and why and how this alternative better satisfies the detailed requirements of sections 301, 304(b), 306 and 307 of the Act.

A copy of all public comments will be available for inspection and copying at the EPA Information Center, Room 227, West Tower, Waterside Mall, 401 M Street SW., Washington, D.C. A copy of preliminary draft contractor reports, the Development Document and economic study referred to above and certain supplementary materials supporting the study of the industry concerned will also be maintained at this location for public review and copying. The EPA information regulation, 40 CFR Part 2, provides that a reasonable fee may be charged for copying.

All comments received on or before January 7, 1974, will be considered. Steps previously taken by the Environmental Protection Agency to facilitate public response within this time period are outlined in the advance notice concerning public review procedures published on August 6, 1973 (38 FR 21202).

Dated: November 23, 1973.

JOHN QUARLES,
Acting Administrator.

PART 425—EFFLUENT LIMITATIONS GUIDELINES FOR EXISTING SOURCES AND STANDARDS OF PERFORMANCE AND PRETREATMENT STANDARDS FOR NEW SOURCES FOR THE LEATHER TANNING AND FINISHING INDUSTRY POINT SOURCE CATEGORY

Subpart A—Hair Pulp Unhairing With Chrome Tanning and Finishing Subcategory

Sec.

425.00 Applicability; description of hair pulp unhairing with chrome tanning and finishing subcategory.
425.01 Specialized definitions.
425.02 Effluent limitations guidelines representing the degree of effluent reduction attainable by the application of the best practicable control technology currently available.
425.03 Effluent limitations guidelines representing the degree of effluent reduction attainable by application of the best available technology economically achievable.

Sec.
425.04 Standards of performance for new sources.
425.05 Pretreatment standards for new sources.

Subpart B—Hair Save Unhairing With Chrome Tanning and Finishing Subcategory

425.10 Applicability; description of hair save unhairing with chrome tanning and finishing subcategory.
425.11 Specialized definitions.
425.12 Effluent limitations guidelines representing the degree of effluent reduction attainable by the application of the best practicable control technology currently available.
425.13 Effluent limitations guidelines representing the degree of effluent reduction attainable by the application of the best available technology economically achievable.
425.14 Standards of performance for new sources.
425.15 Pretreatment standards for new sources.

Subpart C—Unhairing With Vegetable or Alum Tanning and Finishing Subcategory

425.20 Applicability; description of unhairing with vegetable or alum tanning and finishing subcategory.
425.21 Specialized definitions.
425.22 Effluent limitations guidelines representing the degree of effluent reduction attainable by the application of the best practicable control technology currently available.
425.23 Effluent limitations guidelines representing the degree of effluent reduction attainable by the application of the best available technology economically achievable.
425.24 Standards of performance for new sources.
425.25 Pretreatment standards for new sources.

Subpart D—Finishing of Tanned Hides Subcategory

425.30 Applicability; description of finishing of tanned hides subcategory.
425.31 Specialized definitions.
425.32 Effluent liimtations guidelines representing the degree of effluent reduction attainable by the application of the best practicable control technology currently available.
425.33 Effluent limitations guidelines representing the degree of effluent reduction attainable by application of the best available technology economically achievable.
425.34 Standards of performance for new sources.
425.35 Pretreatment standards for new sources.

Subpart E—Vegetable or Chrome Tanning of Unhaired Hides Subcategory

425.40 Applicability; description of vegetable or chrome tanning of unhaired hides subcategory.
425.41 Specialized definitions.
425.42 Effluent limitations guidelines representing the degree of effluent reduction attainable by the application of the best practicable control technology currently available.
425.43 Effluent limitations guidelines representing the degree of effluent reduction attainable by the application of the best available technology economically achievable.
425.44 Standards of performance for new sources.
425.54 Pretreatment standards for new sources.

Subpart F—Unhairing With Chrome Tanning and No Finishing Subcategory

Sec.
425.50 Applicability; description of unhairing with chrome tanning and no finishing subcategory.
425.51 Specialized definitions.
425.52 Effluent limitations guidelines representing the degree of effluent reduction attainable by the application of the best practicable control technology currently available.
425.53 Effluent limitations guidelines representing the degree of effluent reduction attainable by the application of the best available technology economically achievable.
425.54 Standards of performance from new sources.
425.54 Standards of performance for new sources.

Subpart A—Hair Pulp Unhairing With Chrome Tanning and Finishing Subcategory

§ 425.00 Applicability; description of hair pulp unhairing with chrome tanning and finishing subcategory.

The provisions of this subpart are applicable to discharges resulting from tanneries which either exclusively or in addition to other unhairing and tanning operations, chrome tan and finish cattle hide after hair pulp unhairing. This subcategory includes the following tannery types: (a) one which chrome tans and finishes cattle hides after removing the hair by the hair pulp technique, (b) one which chrome tans and finishes cattle hides after removing the hair by both the hair pulp and hair save techniques (the latter hair removal operations are independent processes within the same tannery), (c) one which both chrome tans and vegetable tans and finishes cattle hides after removing the hair by both the hair save and hair pulp technique, and (d) one which chrome tans sheep skins after removing the wool.

§ 425.01 Specialized definitions.

AUTHORITY: Secs. 301, 304 (b) and (c), 306(b), and 307(c), Federal Water Pollution Control Act, as amended (33 U.S.C. 1251, 1311, 1314 (b) and (c), 1316(b), and 1317(c); 86 Stat. 816 et seq.; Pub. L. 92-500) (the Act).

For the purposes of this subpart:

(a) The term "hide" shall mean any animal pelt or skin as received by a tannery as raw material to be processed.

(b) The term "skin" shall mean hide.

(c) The term "finish" shall mean the final processing steps performed on a tanned hide including but not limited to the following wet processes: Retan, bleach, color, and fatliquor.

(d) The term "hair pulp" shall mean the removal of hair by means of chemical dissolution.

(e) The term "hair save" shall mean the physical or mechanical removal of hair which has not been chemically dissolved.

(f) The term "chrome tan" shall mean the process of converting hide into leather using a form of chromium.

(g) The term "vegetable tan" shall mean the process of converting hide into leather using chemicals either derived from vegetable matter or synthesized to produce effects similar to those of chemicals so derived.

(h) The term "process waste water" shall mean any water which during the leather tanning and finishing processes comes into direct contact with any raw material, intermediate material, by-product or final product used in or resulting from tanning and finishing of hides.

(i) The term "process waste water pollutants" shall mean pollutants found in process waste water.

(j) The term "sulfide" shall be measured by the procedure presented in "Standard Methods for the Examination of Water and Wastewater," 13th Edition, 1971.

(k) The term "oil and grease" shall mean those components of waste water amenable to measurement by the method described in "Methods for Chemical Analysis of Water and Wastes," 1971, Environmental Protection Agency, Analytical Quality Control Laboratory, page 217.

(l) The term "fecal coliform" shall mean those components of waste water amenable to measurement by the method described in "Standard Methods for the Examination of Water and Wastewater," 13th Edition, 1971, page 669.

(m) The following abbreviations shall have the following meanings: (1) "Kg" shall mean kilograms; (2) "kkg" shall mean 1,000 kilograms; (3) "lb" shall mean pounds; (4) "ml" shall mean milliliter; (5) "TSS" shall mean total suspended nonfilterable solids; and (6) "BOD5" shall mean the five day biochemical oxygen demand.

§ 425.02 Effluent limitations guidelines representing the degree of effluent reduction obtainable by the application of the best practicable control technology currently available.

(a) The following limitations constitute the quantity or quality of pollutants or pollutant properties which may be discharged after application of best practicable control technology currently available by a point source subject to the provisions of this subpart:

Effluent characteristic	*Effluent limitation*
BOD5	Maximum for any one day 5.4 kg/kkg hide (5.4 lb/1000 lb hide). Maximum average of daily values for any period of thirty consecutive days 2.7 kg/kkg hide (2.7 lb/1000 lb hide).
Chromium	Maximum for any one day 0.10 kg/kkg hide (0.10 lb/1000 lb hide). Maximum average of daily values for any period of thirty consecutive days 0.05 kg/kkg hide (0.05 lb/1000 lb hide).

Effluent characteristic	*Effluent limitations*
Oil and grease.	Maximum for any one day 1.06 kg/kkg hide (1.06 lb/1000 lb hide). Maximum average of daily values for any period of thirty consecutive days 0.53 kg/kkg hide (0.53 lb/1000 lb hide).
TSS	Maximum for any one day 6.0 kg/kkg hide (6.0 lb/1000 lb hide). Maximum average of daily values for any period of thirty consecutive days 3.0 kg/kkg hide (3.0 lb/1000 lb hide).
Fecal coliforms.	Most Probable Number (MPN) shall not exceed 400 counts per 100 ml.
pH	Within the range of 6.0 to 9.0.

§ 425.03 Effluent limitations guidelines representing the degree of effluent reduction attainable by the application of the best available technology economically achievable.

The following limitations constitute the quantity or quality of pollutants or pollutant properties which may be discharged after application of the best available technology economically achievable by a point source subject to the provisions of this subpart:

Effluent characteristic	*Effluent limitations*
BOD5	Maximum for any one day 2.7 kg/kkg hide (2.7 lb/1000 lb hide). Maximum average of daily values for any period of thirty consecutive days 1.35 kg/kkg hide (1.35 lb/1000 lb hide).
Chromium	Maximum for any one day 0.10 kg/kkg hide (0.10 lb/1000 lb hide). Maximum average of daily values for any period of thirty consecutive days 0.05 kg/kkg hide (0.05 lb/1000 lb hide).
Oil and grease.	Maximum for any one day 1.06 kg/kkg hide (1.06 lb/1000 lb hide). Maximum average of daily values for any period of thirty consecutive days 0.53 kg/kkg hide (0.53 lb/1000 lb hide).
Sulfide	Maximum for any one day 0.01 kg/kkg hide (0.01 lb/1000 lb hide). Maximum average of daily values for any period of thirty consecutive days 0.005 kg/kkg hide (0.005 lb/1000 lb hide).
TSS	Maximum for any one day 3.0 kg/kkg hide (3.0 lb/1000 lb hide). Maximum average of daily values for any period of thirty consecutive days 1.5 kg/kkg hide (1.5 lb/1000 lb hide).
Total Kjeldahl nitrogen.	Maximum for any one day 0.54 kg/kkg hide (0.54 lb/1000 lb hide). Maximum average of daily values for any period of thirty consecutive days 0.27 kg/kkg hide (0.27 lb/1000 lb hide).
Fecal coliforms.	Most Probable Number (MPN) shall not exceed 400 counts per 100 ml.
pH	Within the range of 6.0 to 9.0.

§ 425.04 Standards of performance for new sources.

The following limitations constitute the quantity or quality of pollutants or pollutant properties which may be discharged reflecting the greatest degree of effluent reduction achievable through application of the best available demonstrated control technology, processes, operating methods, or other alternatives, including, where practicable, a standard permitting no discharge of pollutants by a new point source subject to the provisions of this subpart:

Effluent characteristic	*Effluent limitations*
BOD5	Maximum for any one day 5.4 kg/kkg hide (5.4 lb/1000 lb hide). Maximum average of daily values for any period of thirty consecutive days 2.7 kg/kkg hide (2.7 lb/1000 lb hide).
Chromium	Maximum for any one day 0.10 kg/kkg hide (0.10 lb/1000 lb hide). Maximum average of daily values for any period of thirty consecutive days 0.05 kg/kkg hide (0.05 lb/1000 lb hide).
Oil and grease.	Maximum for any one day 1.06 kg/kkg hide (1.06 lb/1000 lb hide). Maximum average of daily values for any period of thirty consecutive days 0.53 kg/kkg hide (0.53 lb/1000 lb hide).
TSS	Maximum for any one day 6.0 kg/kkg hide (6.0 lb/1000 lb hide). Maximum average of daily values for any period of thirty consecutive days 3.0 kg/kkg hide (3.0 lb/1000 lb hide).
Fecal coliforms.	Most Probable Number (MPN) shall not exceed 400 counts per 100 ml.
pH	Within the range of 6.0 to 9.0.

§ 425.05 Pretreatment standards for new sources.

The pretreatment standards under section 307(c) of the Act, for a source within the hair pulp unhairing with chrome tanning and finishing subcategory which is an industrial user of a publicly owned treatment works (and which would be a new source subject to section 306 of the Act, if it were to discharge pollutants to navigable waters), shall be the standard set forth in Part 128 of this chapter, except that for the purposes of this section § 128.133 of this chapter, shall be amended to read as follows: "In addition to the prohibitions set forth in § 128.131 of this chapter, the pretreatment standard for incompatible pollutants introduced into a publicly owned treatment works by a major contributing industry shall be the standard of performance for new sources specified in § 425.04: *Provided*, That if the publicly owned treatment works which receives the pollutants is committed, in its NPDES permit, to remove a specified percentage of any incompatible pollutant, the pretreatment standard applicable to users of such treatment works shall be correspondingly reduced for that pollutant."

Subpart B—Hair Save Unhairing With Chrome Tanning and Finishing Subcategory

§ 425.10 Applicability; description of hair save unhairing with chrome tanning and finishing subcategory.

The provisions of this subpart are applicable to discharges resulting from tanneries which chrome tan and finish cattle hides or deer skin after hair save unhairing. This subcategory includes the following tannery types: (a) one which chrome tans and finishes cattle hides after removing the hair by the hair save technique, and (b) one which chrome tans deer skins after removing the hair.

§ 425.11 Specialized definitions.

For the purpose of this subpart:

(a) The term "hide" shall mean any animal pelt or skin as received by a tannery as raw material to be processed.

(b) The term "skin" shall mean hide.

(c) The term "finish" shall mean the final processing steps performed on a tanned hide including but not limited to the following wet processes: retan, bleach, color and fatliquor.

(d) The term "hair save" shall mean the physical or mechanical removal of hair which has not been chemically dissolved.

(e) The term "chrome tan" shall mean the process of converting hide into leather using a form of chromium.

(f) The term "process waste water" shall mean any water which during the leather tanning and finishing processes comes into direct contact with any raw material, intermediate material, by-product or final product used in or resulting from tanning and finishing of hides.

(g) The term "process waste water pollutants" shall mean pollutants found in process waste water.

(h) the term "sulfide" shall mean those components of a waste water amenable to measurement by the method described in "Standard Methods for the Examination of Water and Wastewater," 13th Edition, 1971, page 551.

(i) The term "oil and grease" shall mean those components of a waste water amenable to measurement by the method described in "Methods for Chemical Analysis of Water and Wastes," 1971, Environmental Protection Agency, Analytical Quality Control Laboratory, page 217.

(j) The term "fecal coliform" shall mean those components of a waste water amenable to measurement by the method described in "Standard Methods for the Examination of Water and Wastewater," 13th Edition, 1971, page 669.

(k) The following abbreviations shall have the following meanings: (1) "kg"

shall mean kilogram; (2) "kkg" shall mean 1000 kilograms; (3) "lb" shall mean pounds; (4) "ml" shall mean milliliter; (5) "TSS" shall mean total suspended nonfilterable solids; and (6) "BOD5" shall mean the five day biochemical oxygen demand.

§ 425.12 Effluent limitations guidelines representing the degree of effluent reduction attainable by the application of the best practicable control technology currently available.

The following limitations constitute the quantity or quality of pollutants or pollutant properties which may be discharged after application of best practicable control technology currently available by a point source subject to the provisions of this subpart:

Effluent characteristic	*Effluent limitations*
BOD5	Maximum for any one day 6.4 kg/kkg hide (6.4 lb/1000 lb hide). Maximum average of daily values for any period of thirty consecutive days 3.2 kg/kkg hide (3.2 lb/1000 lb hide).
Chromium	Maximum for any one day 0.12 kg/kkg hide (0.12 lb/1000 lb hide). Maximum average of daily values for any period of thirty consecutive days 0.06 kg/kkg hide (0.06 lb/1000 lb hide).
Oil and grease.	Maximum for any one day 1.26 kg/kkg hide (1.26 lb/1000 lb hide). Maximum average of daily values for any period of thirty consecutive days 0.63 kg/kkg hide (0.63 lb/1000 lb hide).
TSS	Maximum for any one day 7.0 kg/kkg hide (7.0 lb/1000 lb hide). Maximum average of daily values for any period of thirty consecutive days 3.5 kg/kkg hide (3.5 lb/1000 lb hide).
Fecal coliforms.	Most Probable Number (MPN) shall not exceed 400 counts per 100 ml.
pH	Within the range of 6.0 to 9.0.

§ 425.13 Effluent limitations guidelines representing the degree of effluent reduction attainable by the application of the best available technology economically achievable.

The following limitations constitute the quantity or quality of pollutants or pollutant properties which may be discharged after application of the best available technology economically achievable by a point source subject to the provisions of this subpart:

Effluent characteristic	*Effluent limitations*
BOD5	Maximum for any one day 3.2 kg/kkg hide (3.2 lb/1000 lb hide). Maximum average of daily values for any period of thirty consecutive days 1.6 kg/kkg hide (1.6 lb/1000 lb hide).
Chromium	Maximum for any one day 0.12 kg/kkg hide (0.12 lb/1000 lb hide). Maximum average of daily values for any period of thirty consecutive days 0.06 kg/kkg hide (0.06 lb/1000 lb hide).
Oil and grease.	Maximum for any one day 1.26 kg/kkg hide (1.26 lb/1000 lb hide). Maximum average of daily values for any period of thirty consecutive days 0.63 kg/kkg hide (0.63 lb/1000 lb hide).
Sulfide	Maximum for any one day 0.012 kg/kkg hide (0.012 lb/1000 lb hide). Maximum average of daily values for any period of thirty consecutive days 0.006 kg/kkg hide (0.006 lb/1000 lb hide).
TSS	Maximum for any one day 3.6 kg/kkg hide (3.6 lb/1000 lb hide). Maximum average of daily values for any period of thirty consecutive days 1.8 kg/kkg hide (1.8 lb/1000 lb hide).
Total Kjeldahl nitrogen.	Maximum for any one day 0.64 kg/kkg hide (0.64 lb/1000 lb hide). Maximum average of daily values for any period of thirty consecutive days 0.32 kg/kkg hide (0.32 lb/1000 lb hide).
Fecal coliforms.	Most Probable Number (MPN) shall not exceed 400 counts per 100 ml.
pH	Within the range of 6.0 to 9.0.

§ 425.14 Standards of performance for new sources.

The following limitations constitute the quantity or quality of pollutants or pollutant properties which may be discharged reflecting the greatest degree of effluent reduction achievable through application of the best available demonstrated control technology, processes, operating methods, or other alternatives, including, where practicable, a standard permitting no discharge of pollutants by a new point source subject to the provisions of this subpart:

Effluent characteristic	*Effluent limitations*
BOD5	Maximum for any one day 6.4 kg/kkg hide (6.4 lb/1000 lb hide). Maximum average of daily values for any period of thirty consecutive days 3.2 kg/kkg hide (3.2 lb/1000 lb hide).
Chromium	Maximum for any one day 0.12 kg/kkg hide (0.12 lb/1000 lb hide). Maximum average of daily values for any period of thirty consecutive days 0.06 kg/kkg hide (0.06 lb/1000 lb hide).
Oil and grease.	Maximum for any one day 1.26 kg/kkg hide (1.26 lb/1000 lb hide). Maximum average of daily values for any period of thirty consecutive days 0.63 kg/kkg hide (0.63 lb/1000 lb hide).
TSS	Maximum for any one day 7.0 kg/kkg hide (7.0 lb/1000 lb hide). Maximum average of daily values for any period of thirty consecutive days 3.5 kg/kkg hide (3.5 lb/1000 lb hide).
Fecal coliforms.	Most Probable Number (MPN) shall not exceed 400 counts per 100 ml.
pH	Within the range of 6.0 to 9.0.

§ 425.15 Pretreatment standards for new sources.

The pretreatment standards under section 307(c) of the Act, for a source within the hair save unhairing with chrome tanning and finishing subcategory which is an industrial user of a publicly owned treatment works (and which would be a new source subject to section 306 of the Act, if it were to discharge pollutants to navigable waters), shall be the standard set forth in Part 128 of this chapter, except that for the purposes of this section, § 128.133 of this chapter, shall be amended to read as follows: "In addition to the prohibitions set forth in § 128.131 of this chapter, the pretreatment standard for incompatible pollutants introduced into a publicly owned treatment works by a major contributing industry shall be the standard of performance for new sources specified in § 425.14: *Provided*, That, if the publicly owned treatment works which receives the pollutants is committed, in its NPDES permit, to remove a specified percentage of any incompatible pollutant, the pretreatment standard applicable to users of such treatment works shall be correspondingly reduced for that pollutant."

Subpart C—Unhairing With Vegetable or Alum Tanning and Finishing Subcategory

§ 425.20 Applicability; description of unhairing with vegetable or alum tanning and finishing subcategory.

The provisions of this subpart are applicable to discharges resulting from tanneries which vegetable or alum tan and finish cattle hides after hair pulp or hair save unhairing. This subcategory includes the following tannery types: (a) one which vegetable tans cattle hides after removing the hair by either the hair save or hair pulp technique, and (b) one which alum tans cattle hides after removing the hair by either the hair save or hair pulp technique.

§ 425.21 Specialized definitions.

For the purpose of this subpart:

(a) The term "finish" shall mean the final processing steps performed on a tanned hide including but not limited to

the following wet processes: retan, bleach, color and fatliquor.

(b) The term "hair pulp" shall mean the removal of hair by means of chemical dissolution.

(c) The term "hair save" shall mean the physical or mechanical removal of hair which has not been chemically dissolved.

(d) The term "vegetable tan" shall mean the process of converting hide into leather using chemicals either derived from vegetable matter or synthesized to produce effects similar to those of chemicals so derived.

(e) The term "alum tan" shall mean the process of converting animal skin into leather using a form of aluminum.

(f) The term "hide" shall mean any animal pelt or skin as received by a tannery as raw material to be processed.

(g) The term "sulfide" shall mean those components of a waste water amenable to measurement by the method described in "Standard Methods for the Examination of Water and Wastewater", 13th Edition, 1971, page 551.

(h) The term "oil and grease" shall mean those components of a waste water amenable to measurement by the method described in "Methods for Chemical Analysis of Water and Wastes", 1971, Environmental Protection Agency, Analytical Quality Control Laboratory, page 217.

(i) The term "fecal coliform" shall mean those components of a waste water amenable to measurement by the method described in "Standard Methods for the Examination of Water and Wastewater", 13th Edition, 1971, page 669.

(j) The following abbreviations shall have the following meanings: (1) "kg" shall mean kilograms; (2) "kkg" shall mean 1,000 kilograms; (3) "lb" shall mean pounds; (4) "ml" shall mean milliliter; (5) "TSS" shall mean total suspended nonfilterable solids; and (6) "BOD5" shall mean the five day biochemical oxygen demand.

§ 425.22 Effluent limitations guidelines representing the degree of effluent reduction attainable by the application of the best practicable control technology currently available.

The following limitations constitute the quantity or quality of pollutants or pollutant properties which may be discharged after application of best practicable control technology currently available by a point source subject to the provisions of this subpart:

Effluent characteristic	*Effluent limitation*
BOD5	Maximum for any one day 5.0 kg/kkg hide (5.0 lb/1000 lb hide). Maximum average of daily values for any period of thirty consecutive days 2.5 kg/kkg hide (2.5 lb/1000 lb hide).
Chromium	Maximum for any one day 0.10 kg/kkg hide (0.10 lb/1000 lb hide). Maximum average of daily values for any period of thirty consecutive days 0.05 kg/kkg hide (0.05 lb/1000 lb hide).
Oil and grease	Maximum for any one day 1.0 kg/kkg hide (1.0 lb/1000 lb hide). Maximum average of daily values for any period of thirty consecutive days 0.5 kg/kkg hide (0.5 lb/1000 lb hide).
TSS	Maximum for any one day 5.6 kg/kkg hide (5.6 lb/1000 lb hide). Maximum average of daily values for any period of thirty consecutive days 2.8 kg/kkg hide (2.8 lb/1000 lb hide).
Fecal coliforms	Most Probable Number (MPN) shall not exceed 400 counts per 100 ml.
pH	Within the range of 6.0 to 9.0.

§ 425.23 Effluent limitations guidelines representing the degree of effluent reduction attainable by the application of the best available technology economically achievable.

The following limitations constitute the quantity or quality of pollutants or pollutant properties which may be discharged after application of the best available technology economically achievable by a point source subject to the provisions of this subpart:

Effluent characteristic	*Effluent limitation*
BOD5	Maximum for any one day 2.5 kg/kkg hide (2.5 lb/1000 lb hide). Maximum average of daily values for any period of thirty consecutive days 1.25 kg/kkg hide (1.25 lb/1000 lb hide).
Chromium	Maximum for any one day 0.1 kg/kkg hide (0.1 lb/1000 lb hide). Maximum average of daily values for any period of thirty consecutive days 0.05 kg/kkg hide (0.05 lb/1000 lb hide).
Oil and grease	Maximum for any one day 1.0 kg/kkg hide (1.0 lb/1000 lb hide). Maximum average of daily values for any period of thirty consecutive days 0.5 kg/kkg hide (0.5 lb/1000 lb hide).
Sulfide	Maximum for any one day 0.01 kg/kkg hide (0.01 lb/1000 lb hide). Maximum average of daily values for any period of thirty consecutive days 0.005 kg/kkg hide (0.005 lb/1000 lb hide).
TSS	Maximum for any one day 2.8 kg/kkg hide (2.8 lb/1000 lb hide). Maximum average of daily values for any period of thirty consecutive days 1.4 kg/kkg hide (1.4 lb/1000 lb hide).
Total Kjeldahl nitrogen	Maximum for any one day 0.5 kg/kkg hide (0.5 lb/1000 lb hide). Maximum average of daily values for any period of thirty consecutive days 0.25 kg/kkg hide (0.25 lb/1000 lb hide).
Fecal coliforms	Most Probable Number (MPN) shall not exceed 400 counts per 100 ml.
pH	Within the range of 6.0 to 9.0.

§ 425.24 Standards of performance for new sources.

The following limitations constitute the quantity or quality of pollutants or pollutant properties which may be discharged reflecting the greatest degree of effluent reduction achievable through application of the best available demonstrated control technology, processes, operating methods, or other alternatives, including, where practicable, a standard permitting no discharge of pollutants by a new point source subject to the provisions of this subpart:

Effluent characteristic	*Effluent limitations*
BOD5	Maximum for any one day 5.0 kg/kkg hide (5.0 lb/1000 lb hide). Maximum average of daily values for any period of thirty consecutive days 2.5 kg/kkg hide (2.5 lb/1000 lb hide).
Chromium	Maximum for any one day 0.10 kg/kkg hide (0.10 lb/1000 lb hide). Maximum average of daily values for any period of thirty consecutive days 0.05 kg/kkg hide (0.05 lb/1000 lb hide).
Oil and grease	Maximum for any one day 1.0 kg/kkg hide (1.0 lb/1000 lb hide). Maximum average of daily values for any period of thirty consecutive days 0.5 kg/kkg hide (0.5 lb/1000 lb hide).
TSS	Maximum for any one day 5.6 kg/kkg hide (5.6 lb/1000 lb hide). Maximum average of daily values for any period of thirty consecutive days 2.8 kg/kkg hide (2.8 lb/1000 lb hide).
Fecal coliforms	Most Probable Number (MPN) shall not exceed 400 counts per 100 ml.
pH	Within the range of 6.0 to 9.0.

§ 425.25 Pretreatment standards for new sources.

The pretreatment standards under section 307(c) of the Act, for a source within the unhairing with vegetable or alum tanning and finishing subcategory which is an industrial user of a publicly owned treatment works (and which would be a new source subject to section 306 of the Act, if it were to discharge pollutants to navigable waters), shall be the standard set forth in Part 128 of this chapter, except that for the purposes of this section, § 128.133 of this chapter, shall be amended to read as follows: "In addition to the prohibitions set forth in § 128.131 of this chapter, the pretreatment standard for incompatible pollutants introduced into a publicly owned treatment works by a major contributing industry shall be the standard of performance for new sources specified in § 425.24: *Provided*, That, if the publicly owned treat-

ment works which receives the pollutants is committed, in its NPDES permit, to remove a specified percentage of any incompatible pollutant, the pretreatment standard applicable to users of such treatment works shall be correspondingly reduced for that pollutant."

Subpart D—Finishing of Tanned Hides Subcategory

§ 425.30 Applicability; description of finishing of tanned hides subcategory.

The provisions of this subpart are applicable to discharges resulting from tanneries which finish cattle hide, sheep skin or deer skin that have had the hair removed or wool removed and tanned prior to arrival at the tannery. The most common tanneries which fall into this category are described as follows: (a) one which finishes previously tanned cattle hides, (b) one which finishes previously tanned sheep skins, (c) one which finishes previously tanned deer skins, and (d) one which finishes previously tanned cattle splits.

§ 425.31 Specialized definitions.

For the purpose of this subpart:

(a) The term "finish" shall mean the final processing steps performed on a tanned hide including but not limited to the following wet processes: retan, bleach, color and fatliquor.

(b) The term "hide" shall mean any animal pelt or skin as received by a tannery as raw material to be processed.

(c) The term "skin" shall mean hide.

(d) The term "split" shall mean the nongrain part of a hide which results from a cut parallel to its surface.

(e) The term "sulfide" shall mean those components of a waste water amenable to measurement by the method described in "Standard Methods for the Examination of Water and Wastewater," 13th Edition, 1971, page 551.

(f) The term "oil and grease" shall mean those components of a waste water amenable to measurement by the method described in "Methods for Chemical Analysis of Water and Wastes," 1971, Environmental Protection Agency, Analytical Quality Control Laboratory, page 217.

(g) The term "fecal coliform" shall mean those components of a waste water amenable to measurement by the method described in "Standard Methods for the Examination of Water and Wastewater," 13th Edition, 1971, page 669.

(h) The following abbreviations shall have the following meanings: (1) "Kg" shall mean kilograms; (2) "kkg" shall mean 1000 kilograms; (3) "lb" shall mean pounds; (4) "ml" shall mean milliliter; (5) "TSS" shall mean total suspended nonfilterable solids; and (6) "BOD5" shall mean the five day biochemical oxygen demand.

§ 425.32 Effluent limitations guidelines representing the degree of effluent reduction attainable by the application of the best practicable control technology currently available.

The following limitations constitute the quantity or quality of pollutants or pollutant properties which may be discharged after application of best practicable control technology currently available by a point source subject to the provisions of this subpart:

Effluent characteristic	*Effluent limitation*
BOD5	Maximum for any one day 2.0 kg/kkg hide (2.0 lb/1000 lb hide). Maximum average of daily values for any period of thirty consecutive days 1.0 kg/kkg hide (1.0 lb/1000 lb hide).
Chromium	Maximum for any one day 0.04 kg/kkg hide (0.04 lb/1000 lb hide). Maximum average of daily values for any period of thirty consecutive days 0.02 kg/kkg hide (0.02 lb/1000 lb hide).
Oil and grease.	Maximum for any one day 0.48 kg/kkg hide (0.48 lb/1000 lb hide). Maximum average of daily values for any period of thirty consecutive days 0.24 kg/kkg hide (0.24 lb/1000 lb hide).
TSS	Maximum for any one day 2.2 kg/kkg hide (2.2 lb/1000 lb hide). Maximum average of daily values for any period of thirty consecutive days 1.1 kg/kkg hide (1.1 lb/1000 lb hide).
Fecal coliforms.	Most Probable Number (MPN) shall not exceed 400 counts per 100 ml.
pH	Within the range of 6.0 to 9.0.

§ 425.33 Effluent limitations guidelines representing the degree of effluent reduction attainable by the application of the best available technology economically achievable.

The following limitations constitute the quantity or quality of pollutants or pollutant properties which may be discharged after application of the best available technology economically achievable by a point source subject to the provisions of this subpart:

Effluent characteristic	*Effluent limitations*
BOD5	Maximum for any one day 1.0 kg/kkg hide (1.0 lb/1000 lb hide). Maximum average of daily values for any period of thirty consecutive days 0.5 kg/kkg hide (0.5 lb/1000 lb hide).
Chromium	Maximum for any one day 0.04 kg/kkg hide (0.04 lb/1000 lb hide). Maximum average of daily values for any period of thirty consecutive days 0.02 kg/kkg hide (0.02 lb/1000 lb hide).
Oil and grease.	Maximum for any one day 0.48 kg/kkg hide (0.48 lb/1000 lb hide). Maximum average of daily values for any period of thirty consecutive days 0.24 kg/kkg hide (0.24 lb/1000 lb hide).
Sulfide	Maximum for any one day 0.004 kg/kkg hide (0.004 lb/1000 lb hide). Maximum average of daily values for any period of thirty consecutive days 0.002 kg/kkg hide (0.002 lb/1000 lb hide).
TSS	Maximum for any one day 1.2 kg/kkg hide (1.2 lb/1000 lb hide). Maximum average of daily values for any period of thirty consecutive days 0.6 kg/kkg hide (0.6 lb/1000 lb hide).
Total Kjeldahl nitrogen.	Maximum for any one day 0.2 kg/kkg hide (0.2 lb/1000 lb hide). Maximum average of daily values for any period of thirty consecutive days 0.1 kg/kkg hide (0.1 lb/1000 lb hide).
Fecal coliforms.	Most Probable Number (MPN) shall not exceed 400 counts per 100 ml.
pH	Within the range of 6.0 to 9.0.

§ 425.34 Standards of performance for new sources.

The following limitations constitute the quantity or quality of pollutants or pollutant properties which may be discharged reflecting the greatest degree of effluent reduction achievable through application of the best available demonstrated control technology, processes, operating methods, or other alternatives, including, where practicable, a standard permitting no discharge of pollutants by a new point source subject to the provisions of this subpart:

Effluent characteristic	*Effluent limitations*
BOD5	Maximum for any one day 2.0 kg/kkg hide (2.0 lb/1000 lb hide). Maximum average of daily values for any period of thirty consecutive days 1.0 kg/kkg hide (1.0 lb/1000 lb hide).
Chromium	Maximum for any one day 0.04 kg/kkg hide (0.04 lb/1000 lb hide). Maximum average of daily values for any period of thirty consecutive days 0.02 kg/kkg hide (0.02 lb/1000 lb hide).
Oil and grease.	Maximum for any one day 0.48 kg/kkg hide (0.48 lb/1000 lb hide). Maximum average of daily values for any period of thirty consecutive days 0.24 kg/kkg hide (0.24 lb/1000 lb hide).
TSS	Maximum for any one day 2.2 kg/kkg hide (2.2 lb/1000 lb hide). Maximum average of daily values for any period of thirty consecutive days 1.1 kg/kkg hide (1.1 lb/1000 lb hide).
Fecal coliforms.	Most Probable Number (MPN) shall not exceed 400 counts per 100 ml.
pH	Within the range of 6.0 to 9.0.

§ 425.35 Pretreatment standards for new sources.

The pretreatment standards under section 307(c) of the Act, for a source within finishing of tanned hides subcategory which is an industrial user of a publicly owned treatment works (and which would be a new source subject to section 306 of the Act, if it were to discharge pollutants to navigable waters), shall be the standard set forth in Part 128 of this chapter, except that for the purposes of this section, § 128.133 of this chapter, shall be amended to read as follows: "In addition to the prohibitions set forth in § 128.131 of this chapter, the pretreatment standard for incompatible pollutants introduced into a publicly owned treatment works by a major contributing industry shall be the standard of performance for new sources specified in section § 425.34: *Provided*, That, if the publicly owned treatment work which receives the pollutants is committed, in its NPDES permit, to remove a specified percentage of any incompatible pollutant, the pretreatment standard applicable to users of such treatment works shall be correspondingly reduced for that pollutant."

Subpart E—Vegetable or Chrome Tanning of Unhaired Hides Subcategory

§ 425.40 Applicability; description of vegetable or chrome tanning of unhaired hides subcategory.

The provisions of this subpart are applicable to discharges resulting from tanneries which chrome or vegetable tan and finish cattle hide, sheep skin or pig skin that have the hair or wool retained, or hair or wool removed prior to arrival at the tannery. The most common tanneries which fall into this category are described as follows: (a) One which chrome tans and finished cattle splits; (b) one which chrome tans and finishes cattle hides which have had hair previously removed; (c) one which vegetable tans and finishes cattle hides which have had hair previously removed; (d) one which vegetable tans and finishes cattle splits; (e) one which chrome tans and finishes pig skins; (f) one which chrome tans and finishes sheep skins which have had wool previously removed; (g) one which vegetable tans and finishes sheep skins which have had wool previously removed; (h) one which both chrome tans and vegetable tans sheep skins which have had wool previously removed; (i) one which chrome tans and finishes sheep skins with the wool retained; and, (j) one which vegetable tans and finishes sheep skins with the wool retained.

§ 425.41 Specialized definitions.

For the purpose of this subpart:

(a) The term "hide" shall mean any animal pelt or skin as received by a tannery as raw material to be processed.

(b) The term "skin" shall mean hide.

(c) The term "split" shall mean the nongrain part of a hide which results from a cut parallel to its surface.

(d) The term "finish" shall mean the final processing steps performed on a tanned hide including but not limited to the following wet processes: retan, bleach, color and fatliquor.

(e) The term "chrome tan" shall mean the process of converting hide into leather using a form of chromium.

(f) The term "vegetable tan" shall mean the process of converting hide into leather.

(g) The term "sulfide" shall mean those components of a waste water amenable to measurement by the method described in "Standard Methods for the Examination of Water and Wastewater", 13th Edition, 1971, page 551.

(h) The term "oil and grease" shall mean those components of a waste water amenable to measurement by the method described in "Methods for Chemical Analysis of Water and Wastes", 1971, Environmental Protection Agency, Analytical Quality Control Laboratory, page 217.

(i) The term "fecal coliform" shall mean those components of a waste water amenable to measurement by the method described in "Standard Methods for the Examination of Water and Wastewater", 13th Edition, 1971, page 669.

(j) The following abbreviations shall have the following meanings: (1) "Kg" shall mean kilograms; (2) "kkg" shall mean 1,000 kilograms; (3) "lb" shall mean pounds; (4) "ml" shall mean milliliter; (5) "TSS" shall mean total suspended nonfilterable solids; and (6) "BOD5" shall mean the five day biochemical oxygen demand.

§ 425.42 Effluent limitations guidelines representing the degree of effluent reduction attainable by the application of the best practicable control technology currently available.

The following limitations constitute the quantity or quality of pollutants or pollutant properties which may be discharged after application of best practicable control technology currently available by a point source subject to the provisions of this subpart:

Effluent characteristic	*Effluent limitations*
BOD5	Maximum for any one day 6.4 kg/kkg hide (6.4 lb/1000 lb hide). Maximum average of daily values for any period of thirty consecutive days 3.2 kg/kkg hide (3.2 lb/1000 lb hide).
Chromium	Maximum for any one day 0.12 kg/kkg hide (0.12 lb/1000 lb hide). Maximum average of daily values for any period of thirty consecutive days 0.06 kg/kkg hide (0.06 lb/1000 lb hide).
Oil and grease.	Maximum for any one day 1.26 kg/kkg hide (1.26 lb/1000 lb hide). Maximum average of daily values for any period of thirty consecutive days 0.63 kg/kkg hide (0.63 lb/1000 lb hide).
TSS	Maximum for any one day 7.0 kg/kkg hide (7.0 lb/1000 lb hide). Maximum average of daily values for any period of thirty consecutive days 3.5 kg/kkg hide (3.5 lb/1000 lb hide).
Fecal coliforms.	Most Probable Number (MPN) shall not exceed 400 counts per 100 ml.
pH	Within the range of 6.0 to 9.0.

§ 425.43 Effluent limitations guidelines representing the degree of effluent reduction attainable by the application of the best available technology economically achievable.

The following limitations constitute the quantity or quality of pollutants or pollutant properties which may be discharged after application of the best available technology economically achievable by a point source subject to the provisions of this subpart:

Effluent characteristic	*Effluent limitations*
BOD5	Maximum for any one day 3.2 kg/kkg hide (3.2 lb/1000 lb hide). Maximum average of daily values for any period of thirty consecutive days 1.6 kg/kkg hide (1.6 lb/1000 lb hide).
Chromium	Maximum for any one day 0.12 kg/kkg hide (0.12 lb/1000 lb hide). Maximum average of daily values for any period of thirty consecutive days 0.06 kg/kkg hide (0.06 lb/1000 lb hide).
Oil and grease.	Maximum for any one day 1.26 kg/kkg hide (1.26 lb/1000 lb hide). Maximum average of daily values for any period of thirty consecutive days 0.63 kg/kkg hide (0.63 lb/1000 lb hide).
Sulfide	Maximum for any one day 0.012 kg/kkg hide (0.012 lb/1000 lb hide). Maximum average of daily values for any period of thirty consecutive days 0.006 kg/kkg hide (0.006 lb/1000 lb hide).
TSS	Maximum for any one day 3.6 kg/kkg hide (3.6 lb/1000 lb hide). Maximum average of daily values for any period of thirty consecutive days 1.8 kg/kkg hide (1.8 lb/1000 lb hide).
Total Kjeldahl nitrogen.	Maximum for any one day 0.62 kg/kkg hide (0.62 lb/1000 lb hide). Maximum average of daily values for any period of thirty consecutive days 0.31 kg/kkg hide (0.31 lb/1000 lb hide).
Fecal coliforms.	Most Probable Number (MPN) shall not exceed 400 counts per 100 ml.
pH	Within the range of 6.0 to 9.0.

§ 425.44 Standards of performance for new sources.

The following limitations constitute the quantity or quality of pollutants or

pollutant properties which may be discharged reflecting the greatest degree of effluent reduction achievable through application of the best available demonstrated control technology, processes, operating methods, or other alternatives, including, where practicable, a standard permitting no discharge of pollutants by a new point source subject to the provisions of this subpart:

Effluent characteristic	*Effluent limitation*
BOD5	Maximum for any one day 6.4 kg/kkg hide (6.4 lb/1000 lb hide). Maximum average of daily values for any period of thirty consecutive days 3.2 kg/kkg hide (3.2 lb/1000 lb hide).
Chromium	Maximum for any one day 0.12 kg/kkg hide (0.12 lb/1000 lb hide). Maximum average of daily values for any period of thirty consecutive days 0.06 kg/kkg hide (0.06 lb/1000 lb hide).
Oil and grease.	Maximum for any one day 1.26 kg/kkg hide (1.26 lb/1000 lb hide). Maximum average of daily values for any period of thirty consecutive days 0.63 kg/kkg hide (0.63 lb/1000 lb hide).
TSS	Maximum for any one day 7.0 kg/kkg hide (7.0 lb/1000 lb hide). Maximum average of daily values for any period of thirty consecutive days 3.5 kg/kkg hide (3.5 lb/1000 lb hide).
Fecal coliforms.	Most Probable Number (MPN) shall not exceed 400 counts per 100 ml.
pH	Within the range of 6.0 to 9.0.

§ 425.45 Pretreatment standards for new sources.

The pretreatment standards under section 307(c) of the Act, for a source within the vegetable or chrome tanning of unhaired hides subcategory which is an industrial user of a publicly owned treatment works (and which would be a new source subject to section 306 of the Act, if it were to discharge pollutants to navigable waters), shall be the standard set forth in Part 128 of this chapter, except that for the purposes of this section, § 128.133 of this chapter shall be amended to read as follows: "In addition to the prohibitions set forth in § 128.131 of this chapter, the pretreatment standard for incompatible pollutants introduced into a publicly owned treatment works by a major contributing industry shall be the standard of performance for new sources specified in § 425.44: *Provided*, That, if the publicly owned treatment works which receives the pollutants is committed, in its NPDES permit, to remove a specified percentage of any incompatible pollutant, the pretreatment standard applicable to users of such treatment works shall be correspondingly reduced for that pollutant."

Subpart F—Unhairing With Chrome Tanning and No Finishing Subcategory

§ 425.50 Applicability; description of unhairing with chrome tanning and no finishing subcategory.

The provisions of this subpart are applicable to discharges resulting from tanneries which chrome tan after either hair pulp or hair save unhairing, but do not finish. The most common tanneries which fall into this category are described as follows: (a) One which chrome tans but does not finish cattle hides after removing the hair by the hair pulp technique: (b) one which chrome tans but does not finish cattle hides after removing the hair by the hair save technique; (c) one which removes hair from cattle hides by the hair pulp technique; and (d) one which removes hair from cattle hides by the hair save technique.

§ 425.51 Specialized definitions.

For the purpose of this subpart:

(a) The term "hide" shall mean any animal pelt or skin as received by a tannery as raw material to be processed.

(b) The term "finish" shall mean the final processing steps performed on a tanned hide including but not limited to the following wet processes: Retan, bleach, color and fatliquor.

(c) The term "hair pulp" shall mean the removal of hair by means of chemical dissolution.

(d) The term "hair save" shall mean the physical or mechanical removal of hair which has not been chemically dissolved.

(e) The term "chrome tan" shall mean the process of converting hide into leather using a form of chromium.

(f) The term "sulfide" shall mean those components of a waste water amenable to measurement by the method described in "Standard Methods for the Examination of Water and Wastewater", 13th Edition, 1971, page 551.

(g) The term "oil and grease" shall mean those components of a waste water amenable to measurement by the method described in "Methods for Chemical Analysis of Water and Wastes," 1971, Environmental Protection Agency, Analytical Quality Control Laboratory, page 217.

(h) The term "fecal coliform" shall mean those components of a waste water amenable to measurement by the method described in "Standard Methods for the Examination of Water and Wastewater," 13th Edition, 1971, page 669.

(i) The following abbreviations shall have the following meanings: (1) "Kg" shall mean kilograms; (2) "kkg" shall mean 1,000 kilograms; (3) "lb" shall mean pounds; (4) "ml" shall mean milliliter; (5) "TSS" shall mean total suspended nonfilterable solids; and (6) "BOD5" shall mean the five day biochemical oxygen demand.

§ 425.52 Effluent limitations guidelines representing the degree of effluent reduction attainable by the application of the best practicable control technology currently available.

The following limitations constitute the quantity or quality of pollutants or pollutant properties which may be discharged after application of best practicable control technology currently available by a point source subject to the provisions of this subpart:

Effluent characteristic	*Effluent limitation*
BOD5	Maximum for any one day 2.8 kg/kkg hide (2.8 lb/1000 lb hide). Maximum average of daily values for any period of thirty consecutive days 1.4 kg/kkg hide (1.4 lb/1000 lb hide).
Chromium	Maximum for any one day 0.06 kg/kkg hide (0.06 lb/1000 lb hide). Maximum average of daily values for any period of thirty consecutive days 0.03 kg/kkg hide (0.03 lb/1000 lb hide).
Oil and grease.	Maximum for any one day 0.68 kg/kkg hide (0.68 lb/1000 lb hide). Maximum average of daily values for any period of thirty consecutive days 0.34 kg/kkg hide (0.34 lb/1000 lb hide).
TSS	Maximum for any one day 3.0 kg/kkg hide (3.0 lb/1000 lb hide). Maximum average of daily values for any period of thirty consecutive days 1.5 kg/kkg hide (1.5 lb/1000 lb hide).
Fecal coliforms.	Most Probable Number (MPN) shall not exceed 400 counts per 100 ml.
pH	Within the range of 6.0 to 9.0.

§ 425.53 Effluent limitations guidelines representing the degree of effluent reduction attainable by the application of the best available technology economically achievable.

The following limitations constitute the quantity or quality of pollutants or pollutant properties which may be discharged after application of the best available technology economically achievable by a point source subject to the provisions of this subpart:

Effluent characteristic	*Effluent limitations*
BOD5	Maximum for any one day 1.4 kg/kkg hide (1.4 lb/1000 lb hide). Maximum average of daily values for any period of thirty consecutive days 0.7 kg/kkg hide (0.7 lb/1000 lb hide).
Chromium	Maximum for any one day 0.06 kg/kkg hide (0.06 lb/1000 lb hide). Maximum average of daily values for any period of thirty consecutive days 0.03 kg/kkg hide (0.03 lb/1000 lb hide).

Effluent characteristic	*Effluent limitations*
Oil and grease.	Maximum for any one day 0.68 kg/kkg hide (0.68 lb/1000 lb hide). Maximum average of daily values for any period of thirty consecutive days 0.34 kg/kkg hide (0.34 lb/1000 lb hide).
Sulfide	Maximum for any one day 0.006 kg/kkg hide (0.006 lb/1000 lb hide). Maximum average of daily values for any period of thirty consecutive days 0.003 kg/kkg hide (0.003 lb/1000 lb hide).
TSS	Maximum for any one day 1.6 kg/kkg hide (1.6 lb/1000 lb hide). Maximum average of daily values for any period of thirty consecutive days 0.8 kg/kkg hide (0.8 lb/1000 lb hide).
Total Kjeldahl nitrogen.	Maximum for any one day 0.28 kg/kkg hide (0.28 lb/1000 lb hide). Maximum average of daily values for any period of thirty consecutive days 0.14 kg/kkg hide (0.14 lb/1000 lb hide).
Fecal coliforms.	Most Probable Number (MPN) shall not exceed 400 counts per 100 ml.
pH	Within the range of 6.0 to 9.0.

§ 425.54 Standards of performance for new sources.

The following limitations constitute the quantity or quality of pollutants or pollutant properties which may be discharged reflecting the greatest degree of effluent reduction achievable through application of the best available demonstrated control technology, processes, operating methods, or other alternatives, including, where practicable, a standard permitting no discharge of pollutants by a new point source subject to the provisions of this subpart:

Effluent characteristic	*Effluent limitations*
BOD5	Maximum for any one day 2.8 kg/kkg hide (2.8 lb/1000 lb hide). Maximum average of daily values for any period of thirty consecutive days 1.4 kg/kkg hide (1.4 lb/1000 lb hide).
Chromium	Maximum for any one day 0.06 kg/kkg hide (0.06 lb/1000 lb hide). Maximum average of daily values for any period of thirty consecutive days 0.03 kg/kkg hide (0.03 lb/1000 lb hide).
Oil and grease.	Maximum for any one day 0.68 kg/kkg hide (0.68 lb/1000 lb hide). Maximum average of daily values for any period of thirty consecutive days 0.34 kg/kkg hide (0.34 lb/1000 lb hide).
TSS	Maximum for any one day 3.0 kg/kkg hide (3.0 lb/1000 lb hide). Maximum average of daily values for any period of thirty consecutive days 1.5 kg/kkg hide (1.5 lb/1000 lb hide).
Fecal coliforms.	Most Probable Number (MPN) shall not exceed 400 counts per 100 ml.
pH	Within the range of 6.0 to 9.0.

§ 425.55 Pretreatment standards for new sources.

The pretreatment standards under section 307(c) of the Act, for a source within the unhairing with chrome tanning and no finishing subcategory which is an industrial user of a publicly owned treatment works (and which would be a new source subject to section 306 of the Act, if it were to discharge pollutants to navigable waters), shall be the standard set forth in Part 128 of this chapter, except that for the purposes of this section, § 128.133 of this chapter, shall be amended to read as follows: "In addition to the prohibitions set forth in § 128.131 of this chapter, the pretreatment standard for incompatible pollutants introduced into a publicly owned treatment works by a major contributing industry shall be the standard of performance for new sources specified in § 425.54: *Provided*, That, if the publicly owned treatment works which receives the pollutants is committed, in its NPDES permit, to remove a specified percentage of any incompatible pollutant, the pretreatment standard applicable to users of such treatment works shall be correspondingly reduced for that pollutant."

[FR Doc.73-25337 Filed 12-6-73;8:45 am]

federal register

FRIDAY, DECEMBER 7, 1973
WASHINGTON, D.C.

Volume 38 ■ Number 235

PART III

DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH, EDUCATION, AND WELFARE

Office of Education

■

EMERGENCY SCHOOL AID

Special Reading Projects and Educational Television

DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH, EDUCATION, AND WELFARE

Office of Education

[45 CFR Part 185]

EMERGENCY SCHOOL AID

Proposed Amendments Concerning Special Reading Projects and Educational Television

Experience in the administration of the Emergency School Aid Act has suggested the need to make changes in the program regulations (1) to provide for the continuation of programs funded under subpart J, special reading projects, and (2) to avoid duplication of programming supported under subpart H, educational television.

Accomplishment of the goal of improving reading skills in an integrated environment requires the utilization of educational resources and fiscal effort beyond the level of assistance made available through grant awards for special reading projects in fiscal year 1973. In order to provide adequate financial assistance to accomplish this goal, it is proposed to amend the eligibility provision for such projects so as to limit the award of funds in fiscal year 1974 to those districts which have substantially implemented special reading projects for which assistance was awarded under the Act prior to July 1, 1973.

The existing regulations for subpart H, relating to educational television, contain a list of "areas of concern" for which grantees might produce television programming. It is believed that assistance made available in fiscal year 1973 has satisfied the need reflected in those areas of concern. In order to avoid duplication of efforts in the use of fiscal year 1974 funds, it is proposed to amend the list of authorized activities to provide a new list of areas of concern.

In addition, a change is proposed to §§ 185.95 (b)(1) and (3) relating to reservation of funds in order to increase the amount of funds presently available for subpart H, educational television. The amount reserved for subpart J, special projects, is correspondingly reduced. This change would restore the reservations of funds for subpart H and subpart J to the levels which existed for fiscal year 1973 funding. Such reservations had been amended in August 1973 in anticipation of a need for an increase in the amount of special projects funding. Such need did not occur; therefore, it is proposed to restore the reservations to the original levels.

Part 185 of Title 45 of the Code of Federal Regulations is hereby proposed to be amended by revising §§ 185.72, 185.74(a), 185.91(a), 185.92(b), and 185.95 (b) (1) and (3) to read as follows:

§ 185.72 Authorized activities.

(a) Funds made available under this subpart shall be used to pay the normal and necessary expenses of researching, planning, writing, editing, staging, directing, performing, producing, reproducing, and distributing integrated children's television programs where such activities would not otherwise be funded and are designed to carry out the purposes described in § 185.01. Such programs shall be a standard-length series addressing one of the following areas of concern:

(1) Improvement of written and oral expression at the secondary level;

(2) Enrichment in the understanding of children for the cultural attainments of their own and other racial or ethnic groups;

(3) Reduction of inter-racial and inter-ethnic conflict and tensions;

(4) Meeting the special needs of subgroups of minority groups as defined in § 185.02(f) which may be unique to a particular geographic region through a program series intended for less than nationwide utilization; and

(5) Initial reading attack experiences focused upon children entering the first grade level of elementary school.

(20 U.S.C. 1610(b)(1))

(b)(1) No more than one grant for a standard length series shall be awarded for any one of the areas of concern described in paragraphs (a) (1), (2), (3), and (5) of this section in any fiscal year, and no more than three grants shall be awarded for "regional" programming as described in paragraph (a)(4) of this section in any fiscal year, unless the Assistant Secretary determines that the applications pending before him for additional grants for programming directed to the same area of concern are of exceptional merit or promise.

* * * * *

(3) Grants awarded for "regional" programming as described in paragraph (a)(4) of this section shall not exceed $250,000 in amount.

(20 U.S.C. 1610(b)(1))

* * * * *

§ 185.74 Criteria for assistance.

In approving applications for assistance under this subpart the Assistant Secretary shall apply the following criteria:

(a) *Needs assessment (10 points).* The extent to which the applicant has undertaken a comprehensive assessment, on the basis of test data, audience surveys, and other objective evidence, of the educational and other needs of the target population, and the magnitude of the needs so assessed.

(20 U.S.C. 1610(b)(1))

* * * * *

§ 185.91 Eligibility for assistance.

(a) *Special reading projects.* (1) Any local educational agency which is implementing a plan described in § 185.11 (a) or (b) and which has substantially implemented a project for which assistance was awarded under this subpart prior to July 1, 1973, may apply for assistance by grant or contract from funds reserved pursuant to § 185.95(b)(1) for special reading projects to improve the reading performance of minority and nonminority group children in a school affected by such a plan in which the proportion of minority group children enrolled is greater than 20 percent and no more than 50 percent.

(2) No more than $2,500,000 from funds reserved pursuant to § 185.95(b) (1) shall be awarded for grants or contracts pursuant to this paragraph during the fiscal year ending June 30, 1974.

(3) For purposes of this paragraph a project for which assistance was awarded prior to July 1, 1973, shall be considered substantially implemented if it has prior to December 1, 1973:

(i) hired at least 75 percentum of the staff members proposed in the application for assistance;

(ii) implemented the instructional program proposed in such application in at least 75 percentum of the classrooms proposed to participate by such application or in a lesser percentage of such classrooms containing 75 percentum of the children proposed to be involved by such application; and

(iii) purchased or ordered all materials required for such projects for the first semester of the project year.

* * * * *

(20 U.S.C. 1607(a))

* * * * *

§ 185.92 Applications.

* * * * *

(b) * * *

(4) Evidence that the project for which assistance was awarded prior to July 1, 1973, has been substantially implemented as defined in § 185.91(a)(3),

* * * * *

§ 185.95 Reservations of funds.

* * * * *

(b) The Assistant Secretary hereby reserves:

(1) An amount equal to 4 percent of the sums appropriated under the Act for any fiscal year for the purposes of special projects under subpart J of this part;

* * * * *

(3) An amount equal to 4 percent of the sums so appropriated for the purposes of educational television projects under subpart H of this part; and

* * * * *

Interested persons are invited to submit written comments, suggestions or objections regarding the proposed amendments to Dr. Herman R. Goldberg, Associate Commissioner, Bureau of Equal Educational Opportunity, Room 2029, 400 Maryland Avenue, SW., Washington, D.C. 20202, on or before December 27, 1973. Comments received in response to this notice will be available for public inspection at Room 2029, 400 Maryland Avenue, SW., Washington, D.C. between 8 a.m. and 4:30 p.m., Monday through Friday.

(Catalog of Federal Education Assistance Program Nos. 13.530, Emergency School Aid—Educational Television and 13.532, Emergency School Aid—Special Projects)

Dated: November 9, 1973.

CHARLES B. SAUNDERS, Jr.,
Acting Assistant Secretary for Education.

Approved: December 3, 1973.

CASPAR W. WEINBERGER,
Secretary for Health, Education and Welfare.

[FR Doc.73-25001 Filed 12-6-73;8:45 am]

federal register

FRIDAY, DECEMBER 7, 1973
WASHINGTON, D.C.

Volume 38 ■ Number 235

PART IV

DEPARTMENT OF LABOR

Employment Standards Administration

■

MINIMUM WAGES FOR FEDERAL AND FEDERALLY ASSISTED CONSTRUCTION

Modifications and Supersedeas Decisions to General Wage Determination Decisions and Index

DEPARTMENT OF LABOR

Employment Standards Administration

MINIMUM WAGES FOR FEDERAL AND FEDERALLY ASSISTED CONSTRUCTION

Modifications and Supersedeas Decisions to General Wage Determination Decisions

General wage determination decisions. General Wage Determination Decisions of the Secretary of Labor specify, in accordance with applicable law and on the basis of information available to the Department of Labor from its study of local wage conditions and from other sources, the basic hourly wage rates and fringe benefit payments which are determined to be prevailing for the described classes of laborers and mechanics employed in construction activity of the character and in the localities specified therein.

The determinations in these decisions of such prevailing rates and fringe benefits have been made by authority of the Secretary of Labor pursuant to the provisions of the Davis-Bacon Act of March 3, 1931, as amended (46 Stat. 1494, as amended, 40 U.S.C. 276a) and of other Federal statutes referred to in 29 CFR 1.1 (including the statutes listed at 36 FR 306 following Secretary of Labor's Order No. 24–70) containing provisions for the payment of wages which are dependent upon determinations by the Secretary of Labor under the Davis-Bacon Act; and pursuant to the provisions of Part 1 of Subtitle A of Title 29 of Code of Federal Regulations, Procedure for Predetermination of Wage Rates (37 FR 21138) and of Secretary of Labor's Orders 12–71 and 15–71 (36 FR 8755, 8756). The prevailing rates and fringe benefits determined in these decisions shall, in accordance with the provisions of the foregoing statutes, constitute the minimum wages payable on Federal and federally assisted construction projects to laborers and mechanics of the specified classes engaged on contract work of the character and in the localities described therein.

Good cause is hereby found for not utilizing notice and public procedure thereon prior to the issuance of these determinations as prescribed in 5 U.S.C. 553 and not providing for delay in effective date as prescribed in that section, because the necessity to issue construction industry wage determinations frequently and in large volume causes these procedures to be impractical and contrary to the public interest.

General Wage Determination Decisions are effective from their date of publication in the FEDERAL REGISTER without limitation as to time and are to be used in accordance with the provisions of 29 CFR Parts 1 and 5. Accordingly, the applicable decision together with any modifications issued subsequent to its publication date shall be made a part of every contract for performance of the described work within the geographic area indicated as required by an applicable Federal prevailing wage law and 29 CFR Part 5. The wage rates contained therein shall be the minimum paid under such contract by contractors and subcontractors on the work.

Modifications and supersedeas decisions to general wage determination decisions. Modifications and Supersedeas Decisions to General Wage Determination Decisions are based upon information obtained concerning changes in prevailing hourly wage rates and fringe benefit payments since the decisions were issued.

The determinations of prevailing rates and fringe benefits made in the Modifications and Supersedeas Decisions have been made by authority of the Secretary of Labor pursuant to the provisions of the Davis-Bacon Act of March 3, 1931, as amended (46 Stat. 1494, as amended, 40 U.S.C. 276a) and of other Federal statutes referred to in 29 CFR 1.1 (including the statutes listed at 36 FR 306 following Secretary of Labor's Order No. 24–70) containing provisions for the payment of wages whi are dependent upon determination by the Secretary of Labor under the Davis-Bacon Act; and pursuant to the provisions of Part 1 of Subtitle A of Title 29 of Code of Federal Regulations, Procedure for Predetermination of Wage Rates (37 FR 21138) and of Secretary of Labor's Orders 13–71 and 15–71 (36 FR 8755, 8756). The prevailing rates and fringe benefits determined in foregoing General Wage Determination Decisions, as hereby modified, and/or superseded shall, in accordance with the provisions of the foregoing statutes, constitute the minimum wages payable on Federal and federally assisted construction projects to laborers and mechanics of the specified classes engaged in contract work of the character and in the localities described therein.

Modifications and Supersedeas Decisions are effective from their date of publication in the FEDERAL REGISTER without limitation as to time and are to be used in accordance with the provisions of 29 CFR Parts 1 and 5.

Any person, organization, or governmental agency having an interest in the wages determined as prevailing is encouraged to submit wage rate information for consideration by the Department. Further information and self-explanatory forms for the purpose of submitting this data may be obtained by writing to the U.S. Department of Labor, Employment Standards Administration, Office of Special Wage Standards, Division of Wage Determinations, Washington, D.C. 20210. The cause for not utilizing the rulemaking procedures prescribed in 5 U.S.C. 553 has been set forth in the original General Wage Determination Decision.

Modifications to general wage determination decisions. Modifications to General Wage Determination Decisions for the following States (the numbers of the decisions being modified and their dates of publication in the FEDERAL REGISTER are listed with each State):

Alabama:	
AQ–4034	Nov. 23, 1973
Florida:	
AQ–4035	Do.
Idaho:	
AQ–1054	Nov. 9, 1973
Kentucky:	
AQ–4036	Nov. 23, 1973
Nebraska:	
AQ–25	Sept. 7, 1973
New Mexico:	
AQ–35	Oct. 12, 1973
North Dakota:	
AQ–1000	July 13, 1973
Pennsylvania:	
AQ–2014	Aug. 17, 1973

Supersedeas decisions to general wage determination decisions. Supersedeas Decisions to General Wage Determination Decisions for the following States (the numbers of the decisions being superseded and their dates of publication in the FEDERAL REGISTER are listed with each State; Supersedeas Decision numbers are in parentheses following the number of the decisions being superseded):

Colorado:	
AP–904 (AQ–1062)	May 18, 1973
AQ–1056 (AQ–1063)	Nov. 19, 1973
Nebraska:	
AQ–26 (AQ–63)	Sept. 7, 1973
North Dakota:	
AQ–1011 (AQ–1066)	Aug. 10, 1973
Oklahoma:	
AQ–23 (AQ–64)	Aug 31, 1973

Signed at Washington, D.C., this 30th day of November 1973.

RAY J. DOLAN,
Assistant Administrator,
Wage and Hour Division.

DECISION #AQ-4034 - Mod. # 1
(38 FR 32344 - November 23, 1973)
Jefferson County, Alabama

Change:
Description of Work issued in original decision to read:
Building Construction, (excluding single family homes and garden type apartments up to and including 4 stories), Highway Construction excluding Airport Construction.

Alabama Zone 3 #1 M (1-2)

HIGHWAY CONSTRUCTION, EXCLUDING AIRPORT CONSTRUCTION	Basic Hourly Rates	Fringe Benefits Payments: H & W	Pensions	Vacation	App. Tr.	Others
Bricklayers	$3.65					
Carpenters	4.15					
" helpers	3.00					
Concrete finishers	3.80					
Concrete saw operators	2.45					
Electricians	6.50					
Ironworkers:						
Structural	5.79					
Reinforcing	4.20					
Laborers:						
Air tool operators	2.75					
Asphalt rakers	3.30					
Concrete laborers	2.80					
Pipe layers	3.45					
Powdermen and blasters	3.05					
Saw operators	3.00					
Side rail or form setters	3.50					
Unskilled	2.40					
Wagon drill operators	2.55					
Painters	5.75					
Piledrivermen	4.55					
Truck drivers:						
Under 1-½ ton	2.50					
Single rear axle	2.75					
Multi-rear axle, or heavy duty, off road, single axle	3.00					
Winch truck and A-frame	3.15					
Welders - Receive rate prescribed for craft performing operation to which welding is incidental.						

Alabama Zone 3 #1 M (2-2)

	Basic Hourly Rates	Fringe Benefits Payments: H & W	Pensions	Vacation	App. Tr.	Others
Power Equipment Operators:						
Aggregate spreader operator	$3.45					
Air compressors	2.85					
Air distributors and asphalt spreaders	3.85					
Asphalt mixers and paving mills and batch plants	3.30					
Asphalt paving machines	3.85					
Asphalt plant driers	3.30					
Bulldozers	4.10					
Bull floats	3.15					
Concrete mixers (3-bags and under)	2.70					
Concrete mixers (over 3-bags)	3.30					
Concrete paving machines	3.30					
Concrete paving finishing machines	3.30					
Concrete paving spreaders	3.30					
Cranes, clamshells, backhoes, derricks, draglines or shovels	4.40					
Conveyors	2.70					
Crushing and screening plants	3.30					
Drilling machines	3.60					
Elevating graders, Gradalls or trenching machines	4.35					
Firemen	2.70					
Form graders	2.70					
Hoists (2-drums or 2-cages or more)	3.30					
Hoists (1-drum)	3.15					
Mechanics	4.00					
Motor patrols	4.45					
Oilers or greasemen	3.45					
Paving subgraders	3.15					
Piledrivers	3.66					
Pumps	2.70					
Pumpcretes	3.15					
Rollers, self-propelled	3.35					
Rollers, self-propelled (on asphalt bases and pavements)	4.00					
Scale operators	2.70					
Scalemen	2.70					
Scrapers	4.10					
Seeding and mulching machines	2.70					
Striping machines (paint)	3.40					
Tractors and loaders (farm rubber tired)	3.10					
Tractors and loaders (80 H.P. or less) (drawbar capacity)	3.10					
Tractors and loaders (over 80 H.P.)	4.00					

DECISION #AO-4035 - Mod. #1
(38 FR 32347 - November 23, 1973)
Brevard and Volusia Counties, Florida (Cape Kennedy, Kennedy Space Flight Center and Patrick Air Force Base only and including Melabar Radar Site).

Add:

	Basic Hourly Rates	Fringe Benefits Payments: H & W	Pensions	Vacation	App. Tr.	Others
Truck Drivers: Buses, jeeps, station wagons, pilot or escort cars, trucks up to 6 cu. yds. or 7-ton capacity, water trucks (single axle), dumpmen & tire repairmen	$4.40					
Dump trucks 6 cu. yds. to 13 cu. yds. capacity, transit mix trucks (single axle), 3 axle trucks	4.50					
Dump trucks 13 cu. yds. capacity, truck mechanic helper	4.60					
Asphalt distributor trucks, lumber carriers & similar type equipment, lift gate trucks, transit mix trucks (tandem axle), dump trucks 16 cu. yds. or more, fuel & grease trucks, and 4-axle trucks	4.65					
A-frame trucks, boom trucks, gin pole trucks, winch trucks (when used for hauling material & equipment), trucks with 5-axles or more, fork lift trucks	5.00					
Euclids, euclid water truck, caterpillars, or similar off highway earth moving equipment (not self-loaded), truck mechanic	5.10					

DECISION #AO-1054 - Mod. #1
(38 FR 31119 - November 9, 1973)
Statewide, Idaho

Change:

	Basic Hourly Rates	Fringe Benefits Payments: H & W	Pensions	Vacation	App. Tr.	Others
Bricklayers; Stonemasons: Blaine, Camas, Cassia, Gooding, Jerome, Lincoln, Minnidoka, Twin Falls Counties	$7.40	.25				
Ada, Adams, Boise, Canyon, Elmore, Gem Owyhee, Payette, Valley, Washington Counties	6.80	.40	.30			
Carpenters: Remainder of Counties and Idaho Co. (South of 46th parallel) Carpenters; Floor layer; Shingler Drywall applicator and installer	6.95	.32	.30		.10	
Saw filer; Piledriverman, Bridgeman and wharf builders	7.13	.32	.30		.10	
Millwrights; Machine erector; Piledriverman's boom man	7.25	.32	.30		.10	
Cement Masons: Benewah, Bonner, Boundary, Clearwater, Idaho (North of 46th parallel), Kootenai, Latah, Lewis, Nez Perce, Shoshone Counties Cement masons	7.00	.40	.40		.02	
Gunnite; Power machine; Power tools; Power troweling; Troweling magnesite or other materials with oxichloride base	7.15	.40	.40		.02	
Remainder of Counties and Idaho Co. (South of the 46th parallel) Cement masons	6.53	.32	.20	.20	.10	
Power trowel; Power grinder; Gunnite and composition floor layer	6.81	.32	.20	.20	.10	
Ironworkers (Ornamental-Structural-Reinforcing) Remaining Counties and Adams, Lemhi, Valley, Washington Counties South of the Weiser-Cibbonsville line	7.34	.50	.65		.01	

DECISION #AQ-1054 (cont'd)	Basic Hourly Rates	Fringe Benefits Payments: H & W	Pensions	Vacation	App. Tr.	Others
Roofers: Ada, Adams, Boise, Canyon, Custer, Elmore, Gem, Idaho (South of 46th Parallel), Lemhi, Owyhee, Payette, Valley and Washington Counties						
Roofers; Kettlemen	$6.40	.23	.15			
Roofers working with coal tar and pitch products	7.90	.23	.15			
Benewah, Bonner, Boundary, Kootenai, Shoshone Counties						
Roofers	6.60	.30	.15			
Roofers working with pitch products	7.60	.30	.15			
DECISION #AQ-4036 - Mod. #1 (38 FR 32349 - November 23, 1973) Boone, Campbell, Kenton, & Pendleton Counties, Kentucky - Area 4						
Change: Heavy and Highway Construction Electricians	$9.25	.60	1%+.30		½ of 1%	

DECISION #AQ-25 - Mod. #2 (38 FR 24540 - September 7, 1973) Douglas and Sarpy Counties, Nebraska	Basic Hourly Rates	Fringe Benefits Payments: H & W	Pensions	Vacation	App. Tr.	Others
Change:						
Cement masons	7.54	.25	.25			
Ironworkers:						
Structural, Ornamental and Reinforcing	7.35	.25	.25	.30		
Laborers:						
Common laborer	5.62	.25	.25		.02	
Buggymobile operators; mortar mixers	5.765	.25	.25		.02	
Mason tenders	5.765	.25	.25		.02	
Painters:						
Brush	7.30		.20			
Paperhangers	7.55		.20			
Soft floor layers	7.55			a		
Add: PAID HOLIDAYS: A-New Year's Day; B-Memorial Day; C-Independence Day; D-Labor Day; E-Thanksgiving Day; F-Christmas Day; G-Christmas Eve; H-Day after Thanksgiving						
FOOTNOTES: a. Eight paid Holidays, A thru H; also employees with one year's continuous service with the same employer, but less than 4 years shall receive one week's paid vacation; after 4 year's continuous service shall receive two weeks paid vacation.						

MODIFICATIONS P. 7

	Basic Hourly Rates	Fringe Benefits Payments				
		H & W	Pensions	Vacation	App. Tr.	Others
Decision #AQ-35 - Mod #5 (38 FR 28529 - October 12, 1973) Statewide, New Mexico						
Change: Bricklayers (Union, Harding, Santa Fe, Valencia, Torrence, Taos, Socorro, Sierra, Mora, McKinley, Colfax, Catron, San Miguel, San Juan, Sandoval, Rio Arriba, Bernalillo and Las Alamos Counties:						
From basing point of Albuquerque Main Post Office:						
Zone (1) - 0 to 25 road miles	$7.52	.38	.40		.02	
Zone (2) - 25 to 50 road miles	8.02	.38	.40		.02	
Zone (3) - Over 50 road miles	8.52	.38	.40		.02	
Add: Building Construction Power Equipment Operators:						
Shaft and Tunnel work - 15c per hour above regular rate						

DECISION #AQ-1000 - Mod. #1
(38 FR 18849 - July 13, 1973
Statewide, North Dakota

1-ND-DAK-TD-2-3-1 (1-1)

	Basic Hourly Rates	Fringe Benefits Payments				
		H & W	Pensions	Vacation	App. Tr.	Others
Change:						
TRUCK DRIVERS						
Single axle	$4.57	.35	.07			
Tandem	4.67	.35	.07			
Agitator dumpcrete	4.92	.35	.07			
Lowboy; Off road heavy duty end dumps, 20 yds. and under; Tandom semi	4.92	.35	.07			
Euclid, over 20 yds.	5.55	.35	.07			

Decision #AQ-2,014 - Mod. #2
(38 FR 22350 - August 17, 1973)
Delaware County, Pennsylvania

MODIFICATIONS P. 8

	Basic Hourly Rates	Fringe Benefits Payments				
		H & W	Pensions	Vacation	App. Tr.	Others
Change: Electricians	$10.30	.45	1% + .17		½ of 1%	

SUPERSEDEAS DECISION

STATE: Colorado

COUNTIES: Garfield, Gunnison, Mesa, Montrose, and Pitkin

DATE: Date of Publication

DECISION NUMBER: AQ-1062
Supersedes Decision No. AP-904 dated May 18, 1973, in 38 FR 13159.
DESCRIPTION OF WORK: Building Construction (excluding single family homes and garden type apartments up to and including 4 stories), heavy and highway construction.

BUILDING CONSTRUCTION

	Basic Hourly Rates	Fringe Benefits Payments				
		H & W	Pensions	Vacation	App. Tr.	Others
ASBESTOS WORKERS	$8.47	.33	.72			
BOILERMAKERS	8.25	.30	1.00		.02	
BRICKLAYERS; Stonemasons:						
Mesa, Montrose, Garfield, Gunnison Cos.	7.45	.45	.60	.25	.05	
Pitkin County	7.45	.45	.60	.25	.05	
CARPENTERS:						
P. O. basing points in the Cities of Leadville, Fort Collins, Glenwood Springs, Grand Junction, Gunnison and Montrose						
Zone I (0-30 miles from nearest basing point)	6.87	.45	.45.	.30	.04	
Zone II (30-60 miles from nearest basing point)	7.12	.45	.45	.30	.04	
Zone III (60 miles anf over from nearest basing point)	7.37	.45	.45	.30	.04	
CEMENT MASONS:						
Cement masons	6.80	.30	.50	.60	.06	
Working with composition materials and color; Working on scaffold, swing stage or temporary platform over 25'; Power troweling and floor grinding machine	7.05	.30	.50	.60	.06	
ELECTRICIANS:						
Electricians	8.15	.32	1%		3/10%	
Cable splicers	8.40	.32	1%		3/10%	
ELEVATOR CONSTRUCTORS	7.76	.345	.23	2%+a		
ELEVATOR CONSTRUCTORS' HELPERS	70%JR	.345	.23	2%+a		
ELEVATOR CONSTRUCTORS' HELPERS (PROB.)	50%JR					
GLAZIERS	6.43					
IRONWORKERS:						
Structural; Ornamental and Reinforcing	7.75	.55	.60		.06	
MILLWRIGHTS	7.24	.45	.40	.40	.04	
PAINTERS (Gunnison County)						
Brush and rollers; Tapers; Textures	$8.35	.40	.20		.02	
Paperhangers; Spray; Steel	6.85	.40	.20		.02	
PAINTERS: (Remaining Counties)						
Brush and roller	7.41	.40	.15		.01	
Drywall finisher; Paperhangers	7.61	.40	.15		.01	
Spray; Swing stage	7.89	.40	.15		.01	
PLUMBERS	7.55	.50	.45	1.00	.10	
ROOFERS	7.58					
SHEET METAL WORKERS	8.47	.30	.65		.02	
SOFT FLOOR LAYERS	7.10	.35	.45	.30	.05	
SPRINKLER FITTERS	8.85	.40	.60		.05	

FOOTNOTE:
a. Employer contributes 4% of basic hourly rate for over 5 years' service and 2% of basic hourly rate for 6 months to 5 years' service as Vacation Pay Credit.
6 Paid Holidays: A through F.

PAID HOLIDAYS:
A-New Year's Day; B-Memorial Day; C-Independence Day; D-Labor Day; E-Thanksgiving Day; F-Christmas Day.

BUILDING CONSTRUCTION:

	Basic Hourly Rates	Fringe Benefits Payments				
		H & W	Pensions	Vacation	App. Tr.	Others
LABORERS:						
General laborers	$4.75	.37	.40		.05	
Underpinning and shoring 0' to 8' below working surface	4.75	.37	.40		.05	
Underpinning and shoring 8' below working surface to any depth below working surface; Power tool operators or all mechanical, air, gas and electrical tools, incl. self-propelled buggies and cement finisher tenders; Pipelayers; Gunnite nozzlemen and sandblasters	5.03	.37	.40		.05	
Laborers, preparing and placing of stone or any other aggregate in a sand bed to be used as exposed face of tiltup panels	5.05	.37	.40		.05	
Jackhammer operator; Underpinning and shoring over 12' below working surface; Bellars and stemmers on caisson work	5.30	.37	.40		.05	
Mason tenders, brick and plaster	5.35	.37	.40		.05	

HEAVY AND HIGHWAY CONSTRUCTION

Carpenters	$6.57	.45	.45	.30	.03	
Underground Carpenters	6.72	.45	.45	.30	.03	
Working on creosoted material; High work 40' above ground or floor on exposed scaffold or boatswains chair; Piledriving; Sawmen continously assigned to 1½ HP saws at jobsite	6.82	.45	.45	.30	.03	
Cement Masons Construction (Outside Denver Metropolitan Area)	5.98	.37	.80	.30	.06	
Cement Masons Construction (Denver Metropolitan Area)	6.13	.37	.80	.30	.06	

HEAVY AND HIGHWAY CONSTRUCTION CO- -LAB-2-3-b

	Basic Hourly Rates	Fringe Benefits Payments				
		H & W	Pensions	Vacation	App. Tr.	Others
LABORERS:						
GROUP I Minimum laborer, including caissons to 8', carrying reinforcing rods; Work on cross culverts, connections and side drains in connection with highway work, whether corrugated metal or concrete pipe; Fence erectors; Metal Mesh; Dowel bars; Tie bars and chairs in concrete paving; Nursery man incl. seeding, mulching and planting of trees, shrubs and flowers; Stake chaser; Gabion baskets and Reno mattresses	$4.55	.37	.40		.05	
GROUP II Chuck tenders; Nippers, core and diamond drill helpers; Powderman helpers	4.60	.37	.40		.05	
GROUP III Hot asphalt laborer; Rakers; Box-tenders; Asphalt curb machines; Potmen (not mechanical)	4.68	.37	.40		.05	
GROUP IV Multi-plate culvert pipe; Air, gas and electric tools operators; Barco hammers; Spaders; Electric hammers; Air tampers; Cutting torches on demolition work; Caissons 8' to 12'; Cofferdams; Power operated concrete buggies; Operators of concrete saws on pavement (other than gang saws); Timber and chain saws; Stresser or stretcherman on post tension or prestressed concrete on or off jobsite; Tool room man and checkers; Cement finisher helper; Sandblaster helper; Concrete processing material monitor; Spotters; Signalmen; Dumpmen; Transverse concrete conveyor operator, mechanical grouters; Boring machines (air hydraulic); Automatic concrete power curbing machine; Jackhammers; Vibrators; Paving breakers; Frostproofing	4.70	.37	.40		.05	

CO-2-LAB-2-3-b

LABORERS (cont'd)	Basic Hourly Rates	Fringe Benefits Payments				
		H & W	Pensions	Vacation	App. Tr.	Others
GROUP V Any laborers performing bridge work over 40' above the ground or above a floor and working from a bos'n chair, swinging stage, life belt or block and tackle	$4.72	.37	.40		.05	
GROUP VI Gunniting and shotcrete helpers; Caissons over 12'; Cofferdams; Timbermen; Underpinning and shoring; Formsetters and/or stringman on roads, highways, streets and airport runways; Distributor; Placing and hooking of landing mats; Bull float (hand operated) and center expansion machines; Sandblasters; Grade checkers if required by employer	4.83	.37	.40		.05	
GROUP VII Powdermen and blasters; Gunnite nozzlemen; Shotcrete operator	4.93	.37	.40		.05	
GROUP VIII Pipelayer on truck pipe lines in connection with highway work	5.00	.37	.40		.05	
GROUP IX Wagon drills and air tracks; Jackhammer operators in caissons over 12'; Bellers and stemmen; Licensed powdermen; Diamond and core drills powered by air	5.13	.37	.40		.05	
GROUP X Any work, other than on bridges, performed by laborers working from a bos'n chair, swinging stage, life belt or block and tackle as a safety requirement	5.18	.37	.40		.05	

CO-2-LAB-2-3-b

LABORERS (cont'd)	Basic Hourly Rates	Fringe Benefits Payments				
		H & W	Pensions	Vacation	App. Tr.	Others
(Pipelines) All mainline sewers; Water mains; Gas, oil or any product pipelines; Penstocks; Siphons or drainage lines; Pipe plants and yards not in connection with highway construction.						
GROUP I Pipe plants and yards; Stringing of pipe or skids; Handling and signaling on line work	$4.55	.37	.40		.05	
GROUP II Potman (not mechanical); Pipewrapper, Dopers, Jeep Holiday Detector Men, Bandage makers, Powdermen helpers	4.60	.37	.40		.05	
GROUP III Laborers working in trenches on all pipelines; Sewer, water, gas, oil, telephone conduit, pen stock, siphons, drainage lines, caulkers, yarners, fine graders, air, gas, electric and hydraulic tools, boring machines, hydraulic jacks, drills, tampers, etc.	4.77	.37	.40		.05	
GROUP IV Sandblasters, powdermen and blasters, wiping of joint concrete pipe, inside and out; Labor, applicable to pipe coating or wrapping, plants andyards; Enamelers of pipe, inside and out	4.79	.37	.40		.05	
GROUP V (Relining Pipe) Relining pipe	4.88	.37	.40		.05	
Mixer man	4.93	.37	.40		.05	
GROUP VI Pipelayer	5.00	.37	.40		.05	

AQ-1062 P. 7

CO-3-LAB-2-3-1b (1-2)

LABORERS (TUNNELS):	Basic Hourly Rates	Fringe Benefits Payments				
		H & W	Pensions	Vacation	App. Tr.	Others
Outside laborers	$4.55	.37	.40		.05	
GROUP I Minimum tunnel labor, dry house man	5.15	.37	.40		.05	
GROUP II Cable or hose tenders, chuck tenders, concrete laborers, dumpman, whirley pumps operators	5.25	.37	.40		.05	
GROUP III Helpers on shotcrete, gunniting and sandblasting; Helpers, core and diamond drills; Pot tender	5.33	.37	.40		.05	
GROUP IV Cement finisher helper, applying of concrete processing materials	5.40	.37	.40		.05	
GROUP V Collapsible form movers and setters, miners, machinemen and bit grinders, nippers, powdermen and blasters, reinforcing steel setters, timbermen (steel or wood tunnel support, incl. the placement of sheeting when required) and all cutting and welding that is incidental to the miner's work; Tunnel liner plate setters; Vibrator men, internal and external; Unloading, stopping and starting of Moran Agitator Cars; Diamond and core drills; Cement finisher (underground); Shotcrete operator; Gunnite nozzlemen; Sandblaster; Pump concrete placement men	5.55	.37	.40		.05	
(SHAFTS, RAISES, MISSILE SILOS AND ALL UNDERGROUND WORK OTHER THAN TUNNELS)						
GROUP I Laborers, Topmen, Bottommen, and Cagers	5.25	.37	.40		.05	
GROUP II Chucktenders, Concrete laborers, Whirley pumps operators	5.40	.37	.40		.05	

AQ-1062 P. 8

CO-3-LAB-2-3-1b (2-2)

LABORERS (TUNNEL) (CONT'D)	Basic Hourly Rates	Fringe Benefits Payments				
		H & W	Pensions	Vacation	App. Tr.	Others
GROUP III Helpers on shotcrete, gunniting and sandblasting; Helpers on core and diamond drills; Pot tenders; Cement finisher helpers; Applying of concrete processing material	$5.50	.37	.40		.05	
GROUP IV Collapsible form movers and setters, miners, machinemen and bit grinders, nippers, powdermen and blasters, reinforcing steel setters, timbermen (steel or wood tunnel support, incl. the placement of sheeting when required); All cutting and welding that is incidental to the miner's work; Liner plate setters; Vibrator men, internal and external	5.68	.37	.40		.05	
GROUP V Diamond and core drill; Cement finisher (underground); Gunnite nozzlemen; Shotcrete operators; Sandblasters and pump concrete placement men	5.78	.37	.40		.05	
GROUP VI Any employee performing work under ground from a bos'n chair, swinging stage, life belt ot block and tackle	5.83	.37	.40		.05	

Colo. 1-PRD-1-2-1-d (1-1)

	Basic Hourly Rates	Fringe Benefits Payments				
		H & W	Pensions	Vacation	App. Tr.	Others
POWER EQUIPMENT OPERATORS: (For work in Tunnels, Shafts, and Raises)						
BRAKEMAN	$5.75	.37	.45	.20	.03	
MOTORMAN	6.10	.37	.45	.20	.03	
COMPRESSOR ($00 CFM & OVER), Serving tunnels, shafts and raises	6.20	.37	.45	.20	.03	
AIR TRACTORS; Grout machine; Gunnite machine; Jumbo form; Mechanic; Welder	6.45	.37	.45	.20	.03	
CONCRETE PLACEMENT PUMPS 8" & OVER DISCHARGE; Mechanic-Welder (heavy duty); Mucking machine and frontend loaders underground; Slusher	6.60	.37	.45	.20	.03	
MOLE	7.00	.37	.45	.20	.03	
LINE CONSTRUCTION:						
Cable splicers	8.44	.25	1%		3/4%	
Lineman	7.87	.25	1%		3/4%	
Equipment operator; Line equipment maintenance man	6.71	.25	1%		3/4%	
Groundman	5.55	.25	1%		3/4%	

Co 1 - PRD-1-2-3-d (1-2)

	Basic Hourly Rates	Fringe Benefits Payments				
		H & W	Pensions	Vacation	App. Tr.	Others
POWER EQUIPMENT OPERATORS (Other than for work in Tunnels, Shafts and Raises)						
GROUP I						
Asphalt screed; Brakeman; Drill operator, smaller than William MF and similar; Helper to heavy duty mechanic and/or Welder; Tractor operator (under 70 HP), with or without attachments; Oiler	$5.60	.37	.45	.20	.03	
GROUP II						
Air compressor; Ditch witch trenching machine and similar; Equipment lubricating and service engineer; Fork lift; Haulage motorman; Operators of five or more light plants, welding machines, compressors 360 C.F.M. or less, pumps, generators; Pugmill operator; Pugmill; Pumps; Portable screening plant with or without a spray bar; Screening plants-with classifier; Self-propelled rollers - 5 tons & under; Vacuum well point system	5.95	.37	.45	.20	.03	
GROUP III						
Asphalt plant; Backfiller; Bituminous spreader or laydown machine; Cableway signalman; Caissons drill; (William MF, similar and larger; C.M.I. and similar; Concrete finish machine; Concrete gang saws on concrete paving; Concrete mixer (less than 1 yd.); Concrete placement pumps (under 8 in.); Conveyor (handling building materials); Distributors, bituminous surfaces; Drill, (diamond or core); Drills rigs (rotary, churn or cable tool); Elevating graders; Engineer fireman; Fireman or tank heater, Road; Grout machine; Gunnite machine; Hoists (1 drum); Loader (Barber Greene, etc.); Loader (up to and including 6 cu. yds.); Machine doctor mechanic; Motor grader (blade); Road stabilization machine; Roller-self-propelled-all types over 5 tons; Sand-blasting machine; Single unit portable crusher-with or without washer; Tile tamper, Wheel mounted; Tractor (70 h.p. & over)(with or without attachments); Trenching machine; Welder; Winch op. on truck; Concrete batching plants	6.30	.37	.45	.20	.03	

AQ-1062 P. 11

Colo 1 PEO -1-2-3-d (2-2)

	Basic Hourly Rates	Fringe Benefits Payments				
		H & W	Pensions	Vacation	App. Tr.	Others
POWER EQUIPMENT OPERATORS (cont'd)						
GROUP IV						
Concrete mixer (over 1 cu. yd.); Concrete paver 34 E or similar; Concrete placement pumps (8 in. and over); Crane (50 tons and under); Hoists (2 drums); Loader - over 6 cu. yds.; Mechanic-Welder (heavy duty); Mixer-mobile; Multiple unit portable crusher-with or without washer; Pile driver; Fireman; Cable-operated crane, truck mounted, 25 tons and over; Cable - operated power shovels, dragline; Clamshell, and backhoes (5 cu. yds. and under); Hydraulic backhoes, 1½ cu. yds. and over); Special utility operator; Self-propelled hydrocrane; Tractor with side boom; Truck mounted hydro-crane; Scraper-single bowl under 40 cu. yds.	$6.45	.37	.45	.20	.03	
GROUP V						
Crane operator - over 50 tons; Derrick; Electric rail type tower crane; Hoist (3 drum or more); Cable-operated power shovels, draglines, clamshells and backhoes (over 5 cu. yds.); Quad nine and similar push unit; Scraper-all tanden bowls; Scraper-single bowl including pups 40 cu. yd. and over	6.60	.37	.45	.20	.03	
GROUP VI						
Cableway; Crawler or truck mounted tower crane; Wheel excavator; Climbing tower crane	6.75	.37	.45	.20	.03	

AQ-1062 P. 12

CO-1-TD-1-2-3-d (1-2)

	Basic Hourly Rates	Fringe Benefits Payments				
		H & W	Pensions	Vacation	App. Tr.	Others
TRUCK DRIVERS						
PICKUPS; Helpers; Scalemen; Checkers; Spotters; Dumpmen	$5.10	.30	.20	.10		
DUMP TRUCKS, TO AND INCL. 6 CU. YDS.; Sweeper; Flatrack, single axle; Liquid and bulk tankers, single axle; Warehousemen; Washers; Greasemen; Servicemen; Ambulance drivers, if used	5.20	.30	.20	.10		
DUMP TRUCKS, OVER 6 CU. YDS. TO AND INCL. 12 CU. YDS.; Flatrack tanden axle; Battery men; Mechanic helpers; Material checkers; Cardex men; Expeditors; Man haul shuttle truck or bus	5.30	.30	.20	.10		
STRADDLE TRUCK; Lumber carrier; Liquid and bulk tankers, tandom axle	5.35	.30	.20	.10		
FORK LIFT; Fuel truck; Grease truck; Combination fuel and grease; Tiremen	5.40	.30	.20	.10		
DUMP TRUCKS, OVER 12 CU. YDS., TO AND INCL. 19 CU. YDS.; Distributor; Cement mixer; Agitator truck to and incl. 10 cu. yds.; Liquid and bulk tankers, semi or combination	5.45	.30	.20	.10		
MULTI-PURPOSE TRUCK - Specialty and Hoisting	5.50	.30	.20	.10		
HIGH BOY; Lowboy; Floats; Semi; Cab operated distributor-semi; Liquid and bulk tankers, euclid, electric or similar; Dumptor, Scoopbuggy, Jumbo and similar type equipment	5.55	.30	.20	.10		
MECHANICS	5.60	.30	.20	.10		
DUMP TRUCKS, OVER 19 CU. YDS. TO AND INCL. 29 CU. YDS. ; Truck driver snow plow	5.65	.30	.20	.10		

OD-1-TD-1-2-3-d (2-2)

TRUCK DRIVERS (cont'd)	Basic Hourly Rates	Fringe Benefits Payments				
		H & W	Pensions	Vacation	App. Tr.	Others
CEMENT MIXER, Agitator over 10 cu. yds. to and incl. 15 cu. yds.	$5.70	.30	.20	.10		
DUMP TRUCKS, OVER 29 CU. YDS. TO AND INCL. 39 CU. YDS.; Heavy duty diesel mechanics; Body men; Welders or combination men	5.80	.30	.20	.10		
CEMENT MIXER, Agitator over 15 cu. yds.	5.95	.30	.20	.10		
DUMP TRUCKS, OVER 39 CU. YDS. TO AND INCL. 54 CU. YDS.	6.00	.30	.20	.10		

SUPERSEDEAS DECISION

AQ-1063 P. 2

STATE: Colorado
DECISION NUMBER: AQ-1063
COUNTIES: Las Animas and Pueblo
DATE: Date of Publication
Supersedes Decision No. AQ-1056 dated November 9, 1973, in 38 FR 31112
DESCRIPTION OF WORK: Building Construction (excluding single family homes and garden type apartments up to and including 4 stories), heavy and highway construction

BUILDING CONSTRUCTION	Basic Hourly Rates	Fringe Benefits Payments				
		H & W	Pensions	Vacation	App. Tr.	Others
ASBESTOS WORKERS	8.47	.33	.72			
BOILERMAKERS	8.25	.30	1.00		.02	
BRICKLAYERS	7.72	.30	.50		.04	
CARPENTERS	6.87	.45	.45	.30	.04	
CEMENT MASONS	6.80	.30	.50	.60	.06	
ELECTRICIANS:						
Zone I (0-12 miles from Post Office)						
Electricians	8.50	.32	15%.25		1/10%	
Cable splicers	9.35	.32	15%.25		1/10%	
Zone II (12-20 miles from Post Office)						
Electricians	8.90	.32	15%.25		1/10%	
Cable splicers	9.75	.32	15%.25		1/10%	
Zone III (20-40 miles from Post Office)						
Electricians	9.25	.32	15%.25		1/10%	
Cable splicers	10.10	.32	15%.25		1/10%	
Zone IV (Over 40 miles from Post Office)						
Electricians	10.00	.32	15%.25		1/10%	
Cable splicers	10.85	.32	15%.25		1/10%	
Electrical contracts less than $5,000 in Zones III and IV	6.16	.32	15%.25		1/10%	
ELEVATOR CONSTRUCTORS	7.76	.345	.23	2%+a		
ELEVATOR CONSTRUCTORS' HELPERS	70%JR	.345	.23	2%+a		
ELEVATOR CONSTRUCTORS' HELPERS (PROB.)	50%JR					
GLAZIERS	6.43					
IRONWORKERS:						
Structural; Ornamental and Reinforcing	7.75	.55	.60		.06	
MARBLE & TILE SETTERS, Terrazzo Workers	7.60	.53	.40	.25		
PAINTERS:						
Brush	5.38	.20			.02	
Structural steel; Spray	5.88	.20			.02	
Spray steel	6.38	.20			.02	
PILEDRIVERMEN	5.97	.35	.35	.25	.015	
PLASTERERS	8.19			.50	.01	
PLUMBERS:						
Zone I (0-15 miles from Post Office)	8.50	.50	.50		.05	
Zone II (15-20 miles from Post Office)	9.07	.50	.50		.05	
Zone III (20-40 miles from Post Office)	9.25	.50	.50		.05	
Zone IV (Over 40 miles from Post Office)	9.875	.50	.50		.05	
ROOFERS	$7.58					
SHEET METAL WORKERS	8.47	.30	.65		.02	
SOFT FLOOR LAYERS (Las Animas County)	7.10	.35	.45	.30	.05	
SPRINKLER FITTERS	8.85	.40	.60		.05	
SOFT FLOOR LAYERS (Pueblo County)	6.40		.30			

FOOTNOTE:
a. Employer contributes 4% of basic hourly rate for over 5 years' service and 2% of basic hourly rate for 6 months to 5 years' service as Vacation Pay Credit.
6 Paid Holidays:

PAID HOLIDAYS:
A-New Year's Day; B-Memorial Day; C-Independence Day; D-Labor Day; E-Thanksgiving Day; F-Christmas Day.

HEAVY AND HIGHWAY CONSTRUCTION	Basic Hourly Rates	Fringe Benefits Payments				
		H & W	Pensions	Vacation	App. Tr.	Others
Carpenters	$6.57	.45	.45	.30	.03	
Underground Carpenters	6.72	.45	.45	.30	.03	
Working on creosoted material; High work 40' above ground or floor on exposed scaffold or boatswains chair; Piledriving; Sawmen continously assigned to 1½ HP saws at jobsite	6.82	.45	.45	.30	.03	
Cement Masons: Construction (outside Denver Metropolitan Area)	5.98	.37	.80	.30	.06	
Cement Masons: Construction (Denver Metropolitan Area)	6.13	.37	.80	.30	.06	
Line Construction - Colorado						
Cable splicers	8.44	.25	1%		3/4%	
Lineman	7.87	.25	1%		3/4%	
Equipment operator	6.71	.25	1%		3/4%	
Line equipment maintenance man	6.71	.25	1%		3/4%	
Groundman	5.55	.25	1%		3/4%	

20-LAB-1 (1-1)

BUILDING CONSTRUCTION:	Basic Hourly Rates	Fringe Benefits Payments				
		H & W	Pensions	Vacation	App. Tr.	Other
LABORERS:						
General laborers	$4.75	.37	.40		.05	
Underpinning and shoring 0' to 8' below working surface	4.75	.37	.40		.05	
Underpinning and shoring 8' below working surface to any depth below working surface; Power tool operators of all mechanical, air, gas and electrical tools, including self-propelled buggies and cement finisher tenders; Pipelayers; Gunnite nozzlemen and sandblasters	5.03	.37	.40		.05	
Laborers, preparing and placing of stone or any other aggregate in a sand bed to be used as exposed face of tiltup panels	5.05	.37	.40		.05	
Jackhammer operator; Underpinning and shoring over 12' below working surface						
Bellers and stemmers on caisson work	5.30	.37	.40		.05	
Mason tenders, brick and plaster	5.35	.37	.40		.05	

HEAVY AND HIGHWAY CONSTRUCTION

CO-2-LAB-2-3-5

LABORERS:	Basic Hourly Rates	Fringe Benefits Payments				
		H & W	Pensions	Vacation	App. Tr.	Others
GROUP I						
Minimum laborer, including caissons to 8', carrying reinforcing rods; Work on cross culverts, connections and side drains in connection with highway work, whether corrugated metal or concrete pipe; Fence erectors; Metal Mesh; Dowel bars; Tie bars and chairs in concrete paving; Nursery man incl. seeding, mulching and planting of trees, shrubs and flowers; Stake chaser; Gabion baskets and Reno mattresses	$4.55	.37	.40		.05	
GROUP II						
Chuck tenders; Nippers, core and diamond drill helpers; Powderman helpers	4.60	.37	.40		.05	
GROUP III						
Hot asphalt laborer; Rakers; Box-tenders; Asphalt curb machines; Potmen (not mechanical)	4.68	.37	.40		.05	
GROUP IV						
Multi-plate culvert pipe; Air, gas and electric tools operators; Barco hammers; Spaders; Electric hammers; Air tampers; Cutting torches on demolition work; Caissons 8' to 12'; Cofferdams; Power operated concrete buggies; Operators of concrete saws on pavement (other than gang saws); Timber and chain saws; Stresser or stretcherman on post tension or prestressed concrete on or off jobsite; Tool room man and checkers; Cement finisher helper; Sandblaster helper; Concrete processing material monitor; Spotters; Signalmen; Dumpmen; Transverse concrete conveyor operator, mechanical grouters; Boring machines (air hydraulic); Automatic concrete power curbing machine; Jackhammers; Vibrators; Paving breakers; Frostproofing	4.70	.37	.40		.05	

CO-2-LAB-2-3-b

LABORERS (cont'd)	Basic Hourly Rates	Fringe Benefits Payments				
		H & W	Pensions	Vacation	App. Tr.	Others
GROUP V Any laborers performing bridge work over 40' above the ground or above a floor and working from a bos'n chair, swinging stage, life belt or block and tackle	$4.72	.37	.40		.05	
GROUP VI Gunniting and shotcrete helpers; Caissons over 12'; Cofferdams; Timbermen; Underpinning and shoring; Formsetters and/or stringman on roads, highways, streets and airport runways; Distributor; Placing and hooking of landing mats; Bull float (hand operated) and center expansion machines; Sandblasters; Grade checkers if required by employer	4.83	.37	.40		.05	
GROUP VII Powdermen and blasters; Gunnite nozzlemen; Shotcrete operator	4.93	.37	.40		.05	
GROUP VIII Pipelayer on truck pipe lines in connection with highway work	5.00	.37	.40		.05	
GROUP IX Wagon drills and air tracks; Jackhammer operators in caissons over 12'; Bellers and stemmen; Licensed powdermen; Diamond and core drills powered by air	5.13	.37	.40		.05	
GROUP X Any work, other than on bridges, performed by laborers working from a bos'n chair, swinging stage, life belt or block and tackle as a safety requirement	5.18	.37	.40		.05	

CO-[illegible] LAB-2-3-b

LABORERS (cont'd)	Basic Hourly Rates	Fringe Benefits Payments				
		H & W	Pensions	Vacation	App. Tr.	Others
(Pipelines) All mainline sewers; Water mains; Gas, oil or any product pipelines; Penstocks; Siphons or drainage lines; Pipe plants and yards not in connection with highway construction.						
GROUP I Pipe plants and yards; Stringing of pipe or skids; Handling and signaling on line work	$4.55	.37	.40		.05	
GROUP II Potman (not mechanical); Pipewrapper, Dopers, Jeep Holiday Detector Men, Bandage makers, Powdermen helpers	4.60	.37	.40		.05	
GROUP III Laborers working in trenches on all pipelines; Sewer, water, gas, oil, telephone conduit, pen stock, siphons, drainage lines, caulkers, yarners, fine graders, air, gas, electric and hydraulic tools, boring machines, hydraulic jacks, drills, tampers, etc.	4.77	.37	.40		.05	
GROUP IV Sandblasters, powdermen and blasters, wiping of joint concrete pipe, inside and out; Labor, applicable to pipe coating or wrapping, plants andyards; Enamelers of pipe, inside and out	4.79	.37	.40		.05	
GROUP V (Relining Pipe) Relining pipe	4.88	.37	.40		.05	
Mixer man	4.93	.37	.40		.05	
GROUP VI Pipelayer	5.00	.37	.40		.05	

LABORERS (TUNNELS):	Basic Hourly Rates	Fringe Benefits Payments: H & W	Pensions	Vacation	App. Tr.	Others
Outside laborers	$4.55	.37	.40		.05	
GROUP I Minimum tunnel labor, dry house man	5.15	.37	.40		.05	
GROUP II Cable or hose tenders, chuck tenders, concrete laborers, dumpman, whirley pumps operators	5.25	.37	.40		.05	
GROUP III Helpers on shotcrete, gunniting and sandblasting; Helpers, core and diamond drills; Pot tender	5.33	.37	.40		.05	
GROUP IV Cement finisher helper, applying of concrete processing materials	5.40	.37	.40		.05	
GROUP V Collapsible form movers and setters, miners, machinemen and bit grinders, nippers, powdermen and blasters, reinforcing steel setters, timbermen (steel or wood tunnel support, incl. the placement of sheeting when required) and all cutting and welding that is incidental to the miner's work; Tunnel liner plate setters; Vibrator men, internal and external; Unloading, stopping and starting of Moran Agitator Cars; Diamond and core drills; Cement finisher (underground); Shotcrete operator; Gunnite nozzlemen; Sandblaster; Pump concrete placement men	5.55	.37	.40		.05	
(SHAFTS, RAISES, MISSILE SILOS AND ALL UNDERGROUND WORK OTHER THAN TUNNELS)						
GROUP I Laborers, Topmen, Bottommen, and Cagers	5.25	.37	.40		.05	
GROUP II Chucktenders, Concrete laborers, Whirley pumps operators	5.40	.37	.40		.05	

LABORERS (TUNNEL) (CONT'D)	Basic Hourly Rates	Fringe Benefits Payments: H & W	Pensions	Vacation	App. Tr.	Others
GROUP III Helpers on shotcrete, gunniting and sandblasting; Helpers on core and diamond drills; Pot tenders; Cement-finisher helpers; Applying of concrete processing material	$5.50	.37	.40		.05	
GROUP IV Collapsible form movers and setters, miners, machinemen and bit grinders, nippers, powdermen and blasters, reinforcing steel setters, timbermen (steel or wood tunnel support, incl. the placement of sheeting when required); All cutting and welding that is incidental to the miner's work; Liner plate setters; Vibrator men, internal and external	5.68	.37	.40		.05	
GROUP V Diamond and core drill; Cement finisher (underground); Gunnite nozzlemen; Shotcrete operators; Sandblasters and pump concrete placement men	5.76	.37	.40		.05	
GROUP VI Any employee performing work under ground from a bos'n chair, swinging stage, life belt or block and tackle	5.83	.37	.40		.05	

Colo. 2-PEO-1-2-3-d (1-1)

	Basic Hourly Rates	Fringe Benefits Payments				
		H & W	Pensions	Vacation	App. Tr.	Others
POWER EQUIPMENT OPERATORS: (For work in Tunnels, Shafts, and Raises)						
BRAKEMAN	$5.75	.37	.45	.20	.03	
MOTORMAN	6.10	.37	.45	.20	.03	
COMPRESSOR (900 CFM & OVER), Serving tunnels, shafts and raises	6.20	.37	.45	.20	.03	
AIR TRACTORS; Grout machine; Gunnite machine; Jumbo form; Mechanic; Welder	6.45	.37	.45	.20	.03	
CONCRETE PLACEMENT PUMPS 8" & OVER DISCHARGE; Mechanic-Welder (heavy duty); Mucking machine and frontend loaders underground; Slusher	6.60	.37	.45	.20	.03	
MOLE	7.00	.37	.45	.20	.03	
LINE CONSTRUCTION:						
Cable splicers	8.44	.25	1%		3/4%	
Lineman	7.87	.25	1%		3/4%	
Equipment operator; Line equipment maintenance man	6.71	.25	1%		3/4%	
Groundman	5.55	.25	1%		3/4%	

Co 1 - PEO-1-2-3-d (1-2)

	Basic Hourly Rates	Fringe Benefits Payments				
		H & W	Pensions	Vacation	App. Tr.	Others
POWER EQUIPMENT OPERATORS (Other than for work in Tunnels, Shafts and Raises)						
GROUP I						
Asphalt screed; Brakeman; Drill operator, smaller than William MF and similar; Helper to heavy duty mechanic and/or Welder; Tractor operator (under 70 HP), with or without attachments; Oiler	$5.60	.37	.45	.20	.03	
GROUP II						
Air compressor; Ditch witch trenching machine and similar; Equipment lubricating and service engineer; Fork lift; Haulage motorman; Operators of five or more light plants, welding machines, compressors 360 C.F.M. or less, pumps, generators; Pugmill operator; Pugmill; Pumps; Portable screening plant with or without a spray bar; Screening plants-with classifier; Self-propelled rollers - 5 tons & under; Vacuum well point system	5.95	.37	.45	.20	.03	
GROUP III						
Asphalt plant; Backfiller; Bituminous spreader or laydown machine; Cableway signalman; Caissons drill; (William MF, similar and larger; C.M.I. and similar; Concrete finish machine; Concrete gang saws on concrete paving; Concrete mixer (less than 1 yd.); Concrete placement pumps (under 8 in.); Conveyor (handling building materials); Distributors, bituminous surfaces; Drill, (diamond or core); Drills rigs (rotary, churn or cable tool); Elevating graders; Engineer fireman; Fireman or tank heater, Road; Grout machine; Gunnite machine; Hoists (1 drum); Loader (Barber Greene, etc.); Loader (up to and including 6 cu. yds.); Machine doctor mechanic; Motor grader (blade); Road stabilization machine; Roller-self-propelled-all types over 5 tons; Sand-blasting machine; Single unit portable crusher-with or without washer; Tile tamper, Wheel mounted; Tractor (70 h.p. & over)(with or without attachments); Trenching machine; Welder; Winch op. on truck; Concrete batching plants	6.30	.37	.45	.20	.03	

POWER EQUIPMENT OPERATORS (cont'd)	Basic Hourly Rates	Fringe Benefits Payments				
		H & W	Pensions	Vacation	App. Tr.	Others
GROUP IV						
Concrete mixer (over 1 cu. yd.); Concrete paver 34 E or similar; Concrete placement pumps (8 in. and over); Crane (50 tons and under); Hoists (2 drums); Loader - over 6 cu. yds.; Mechanic-Welder (heavy duty); Mixer-mobile; Multiple unit portable crusher-with or without washer; Pile driver; Fireman; Cable-operated crane, truck mounted, 25 tons and over; Cable - operated power shovels, dragline; Clamshell, and backhoes (5 cu. yds. and under); Hydraulic backhoes, 1½ cu. yds. and over); Special utility operator; Self-propelled hydrocrane; Tractor with side boom; Truck mounted hydro-crane; Scraper-single bowl under 40 cu. yds.	$6.45	.37	.45	.20	.03	
GROUP V						
Crane operator - over 50 tons; Derrick; Electric rail type tower crane; Hoist (3 drum or more); Cable-operated power shovels, draglines, clamshells and backhoes (over 5 cu. yds.); Quad nine and similar push unit; Scraper-all tandem bowls; Scraper-single bowl including pups 40 cu. yd. and over	6.60	.37	.45	.20	.03	
GROUP VI						
Cableway; Crawler or truck mounted tower crane; Wheel excavator; Climbing tower crane	6.75	.37	.45	.20	.03	

TRUCK DRIVERS	Basic Hourly Rates	Fringe Benefits Payments				
		H & W	Pensions	Vacation	App. Tr.	Others
PICKUPS; Helpers; Scalemen; Checkers; Spotters; Dumpmen	$5.10	.30	.20	.10		
DUMP TRUCKS, TO AND INCL. 6 CU. YDS.; Sweeper; Flatrack, single axle; Liquid and bulk tankers, single axle; Warehousemen; Washers; Greasemen; Servicemen; Ambulance drivers, if used	5.20	.30	.20	.10		
DUMP TRUCKS, OVER 6 CU. YDS. TO AND INCL. 12 CU. YDS.; Flatrack tandem axle; Battery men; Mechanic helpers; Material checkers; Cardex men; Expeditors; Man haul shuttle truck or bus	5.30	.30	.20	.10		
STRADDLE TRUCK; Lumber carrier; Liquid and bulk tankers, tandem axle	5.35	.30	.20	.10		
FORK LIFT; Fuel truck; Grease truck; Combination fuel and grease; Tiremen	5.40	.30	.20	.10		
DUMP TRUCKS, OVER 12 CU. YDS., TO AND INCL. 19 CU. YDS.; Distributor; Cement mixer; Agitator truck to and incl. 10 cu. yds.; Liquid and bulk tankers, semi or combination	5.45	.30	.20	.10		
MULTI-PURPOSE TRUCK - Specialty and Hoisting	5.50	.30	.20	.10		
HIGH BOY; Lowboy; Floats; Semi; Cab operated distributor-semi; Liquid and bulk tankers, euclid, electric or similar; Dumptor, Toungbuggy, Jumbo and similar type equipment	5.55	.30	.20	.10		
MECHANICS	5.60	.30	.20	.10		
DUMP TRUCKS, OVER 19 CU. YDS. TO AND INCL. 29 CU. YDS. ; Truck driver snow plow	5.65	.30	.20	.10		

AQ-1063 P. 13

GO-[illegible]-TD-1-2-3-d (2-2)

TRUCK DRIVERS (cont'd)	Basic Hourly Rates	Fringe Benefits Payments				
		H & W	Pensions	Vacation	App. Tr.	Others
CEMENT MIXER, Agitator over 10 cu. yds. to and incl. 15 cu. yds.	$5.70	.30	.20	.10		
DUMP TRUCKS, OVER 29 CU. YDS. TO AND INCL. 39 CU. YDS.; Heavy duty diesel mechanics; Body men; Welders or combination men	5.80	.30	.20	.10		
CEMENT MIXER, Agitator over 15 cu. yds.	5.95	.30	.20	.10		
DUMP TRUCKS, OVER 39 CU. YDS. TO AND INCL. 54 CU. YDS.	6.00	.30	.20	.10		

STATE: Nebraska COUNTIES: Douglas and Sarpy
DECISION NO.: AQ-63 DATE: Date of Publication
Supersedes Decision No. AQ-26 dated September 7, 1973 in 38 FR 33099
DESCRIPTION OF WORK: Building Construction (excluding single family homes and garden type apartments up to and including 4 stories), Heavy and Highway Construction.

BUILDING CONSTRUCTION	Basic Hourly Rates	Fringe Benefits Payments				
		H & W	Pensions	Vacation	App. Tr.	Others
ASBESTOS WORKERS	$9.11	.40	.40		.03	
BOILERMAKERS	7.80	.30	.85		.02	
BOILERMAKERS' HELPERS	7.55	.30	.85		.02	
BRICKLAYERS, Stonemasons	7.82	.25	.25	.355		
CARPENTERS:						
Carpenters	7.31	.25	.25	.20	.02	
Piledrivermen	7.435	.25	.25	.20	.02	
Millwrights	7.56	.25	.25	.20	.02	
CEMENT MASONS	7.54	.25	.25			
ELECTRICIANS	8.68	.40	1% + .40		1/4%	
ELEVATOR CONSTRUCTORS	6.93	.185	.20	2% + a		
ELEVATOR CONSTRUCTORS' HELPERS	70%JR	.185	.20	2% + a		
ELEVATOR CONSTRUCTORS' HELPERS (PROB.)	50%JR					
GLAZIERS	6.75	.35	.20			
IRONWORKERS:						
Ornamental, Reinforcing, Structural	7.35	.25	.25	.30		
LABORERS:						
Common laborers	5.62	.25	.25		.02	
Buggymobile operators; mortar mixers	5.765	.25	.25		.02	
Mason tenders	5.765	.25	.25		.02	
LATHERS	7.15	.25	.25	.40		
MARBLE SETTERS	6.05	b	.10			
PAINTERS:						
Brush	7.30		.20			
Structural steel & paperhangers	7.55		.20			
Spray; swing stage; hazardous and sandblasting	7.80		.20			
PLASTERERS	7.55	.25	.25			
Plasterer tenders	5.935	.25	.25		.02	
PLUMBERS; Steamfitters	8.57	.30	.45	.54	.05	
ROOFERS:						
Composition	6.58	.15	.20	.20	.01	
Slate; tile	6.88	.15	.20	.20	.01	
SHEET METAL WORKERS	7.85	.15				
SOFT FLOOR LAYERS	7.55			c		
SPRINKLER FITTERS	8.85	.40	.60		.07	
TILE SETTERS; Terrazzo workers	7.30	b	.25			

BUILDING CONSTRUCTION	Basic Hourly Rates	Fringe Benefits Payments				
		H & W	Pensions	Vacation	App. Tr.	Others
MARBLE, TILE AND TERRAZZO WORKERS' HELPERS	$5.20					
TRUCK DRIVERS:						
Single axle	5.875	.25	.20			
Tandem axle	5.95	.25	.20			
Lowboy; trailer	6.075	.25	.20			
Lumber carriers	6.25	.25	.20			
DRYWALL:						
Tapers & finishers	7.04	.35		.40		
Spray	7.29	.35		.40		
Welders - Receive rate prescribed for craft performing operation to which welding is incidental.						

PAID HOLIDAYS:
A-New Year's Day; B-Memorial Day; C-Independence Day; D-Labor Day; E-Thanksgiving Day; F-Christmas Day; G-Christmas Eve; H-Day after Thanksgiving

FOOTNOTES:

a. Employer contributes 4% basic hourly rate for over 5 years' service and 2% basic hourly rate for 6 months to 5 years' service as Vacation Pay Credit.

b. Employer agrees to provide one-half cost of a Health & Welfare Plan (one-half cost to be paid by employee) which will provide benefits at least equal to the Omaha Construction Industry Health and Welfare Plan shall pay $.20 per hour in cash.

c. Eight paid Holidays, A thru H; Also employees with one year's continuous service with the same employer, but less than 4 years shall receive 1 week's paid vacation; after 4 year's continuous service with the same employer shall receive 2 weeks paid vacation.

AQ-63 - P. 3

	Basic Hourly Rates	Fringe Benefits Payments				
		H & W	Pensions	Vacation	App. Tr.	Others
BUILDING CONSTRUCTION						
POWER EQUIPMENT OPERATORS:						
Oilers, greasers, mechanics helpers	$ 6.38	.25	.25			
Oiler drivers (motor truck crane)	6.34	.25	.25			
Spread oiler	6.95	.25	.25			
Conveyors and heaters; tractors 35 HP or under, air compressors, pumps and welding machine operators	6.68	.25	.25			
Bulldozers and fork lifts	7.27	.25	.25			
Blades, end loaders, self-propelled scrapers	7.37	.25	.25			
Concrete pumps and tractors over 35 HP; one drum hoist; straddle truck	7.27	.25	.25			
Two drum hoist; trenching machines, pile drivers, dredges, heavy duty mechanics; shovels, draglines, clamshells, orange peel; derricks, cranes; backhoe, winch truck and side boom or cat boom; locomotives; firemen used on high pressure boilers in construction work; economobile; and electric hammers and extractors	7.62	.25	.25			

AQ-63 - P. 4

	Basic Hourly Rates	Fringe Benefits Payments				
		H & W	Pensions	Vacation	App. Tr.	Others
LINE CONSTRUCTION:						
Linemen	$ 7.35	.35	1%		1/2%	
Cable Splicers	7.75	.35	1%		1/2%	
Truck Driver	5.33	.35	1%		1/2%	
Equipment Operator	6.92	.35	1%		1/2%	
Groundmen:						
(Inexperienced) 1st 6 months	2.50	.35	1%		1/2%	
(Inexperienced) 2nd 6 months	3.65	.35	1%		1/2%	
Thereafter	4.83	.35	1%		1/2%	

HEAVY & HIGHWAY CONSTRUCTION	Basic Hourly Rates	Fringe Benefits Payments				
		H & W	Pensions	Vacation	App. Tr.	Others
CARPENTERS:						
Carpenters	$7.01	.25	.25	.20	.02	
Piledrivermen	7.135	.25	.25	.20	.02	
CEMENT FINISHER	7.03	.25	.25			
IRONWORKERS: Reinforcing; Structural; & Ornamental	7.35	.25	.25	.30		
LABORERS:						
Common Laborer	5.25	.25	.25	.10	.02	
Towboat & Dredge Deckhands	5.35	.25	.25	.10	.02	
Form Setter Helpers	5.45	.25	.25	.10	.02	
Rakers & Screedmen on Asphalt Work; Mortar Mixers; Chain Saw Operator	5.43	.25	.25	.10	.02	
Pipelayers; Concrete Saw Operator	5.50	.25	.25	.10	.02	
Form Setters & Precast Manhole Setters Inlet Builders & Manhole Setters	5.88	.25	.25	.10	.02	
POWER EQUIPMENT OPERATORS:						
Oiler; Greaser; Mechanic's Helper; Spread Oiler (less than one year's experience)	5.18	.25	.20			
Oiler Driver	5.23	.25	.20			
Tractor under 35 HP; Welding Machine; Spray Machine; Form Trencher; Belt Machine; Air Compressors; Pumps	5.88	.25	.20			
Spread Oiler (after one year's experience in classification)	5.88	.25	.20			
Concrete Mixer; Concrete Pump; Hydro-Hammer	5.78	.25	.20			
Concrete Spreader; Concrete Finishing Machine; Bulldozer; Roller; Trax-cavator; Fork Lift; Winch Truck; One Drum Hoist; Oil Distributor; Asphalt Roller	6.08	.25	.20			
Blade (Patrol); Scraper over 35 HP; Tournapull over 35 HP; DW-21 over 35 HP; DW-10	6.23	.25	.20			
Two Drum Hoist; Trenching Machine; Paving Mixer; Pile Driver; Heavy Duty Mechanic & Welder; Shovel; Dragline; Clamshell; Orange Peel; Backhoe; Derrick; Crane; Locomotive; Fireman (on boilers); Laydown Machine; Two-Drum Winch Truck; Side Boom Cat; Pug Mill Operator on Asphalt Plant; Leverman on Dredge; Tugboat Operator; Gradall Operator; Rotary Well Drilling Operator; Hydrocrane Operator; Cleveland-type back Fillet Operator; Self-propelled Spreader Vibrator Operator; Slip Form Power Operator; Engineer on Dredge	6.38	.25	.20			

HEAVY & HIGHWAY CONSTRUCTION	Basic Hourly Rates	Fringe Benefits Payments				
		H & W	Pensions	Vacation	App. Tr.	Others
TRUCK DRIVERS:						
Single Axle Trucks	$5.43	.25	.20			
Tandem Axle Trucks; Power Lift Form Trucks; Euclid Trucks & Spreader Trucks	5.49	.25	.20			
Lowboys & Tractor-Trailers	5.62	.25	.20			
Lumber Carrier	5.79	.25	.20			

AQ-463 - P. 7

	Basic Hourly Rates	Fringe Benefits Payments				
		H & W	Pensions	Vacation	App. Tr.	Others
CHANNEL STABILIZATION WORK INCLUDING DREDGING & ROCKFILLED PILE DIKES AND REVETMENTS:						
LABORERS:						
Laborers & deckhands unloading barge; piledriver towboat	$ 3.55	.125	.10			
Piledriver deckhand and piledriver leadsman	3.75	.125	.10			
POWER EQUIPMENT OPERATORS:						
Boiler (or fireman); oiler	3.80	.125	.10			
Winch or boom truck; winch (power operated)	3.90	.125	.10			
Dozer; front end loader or high lift; scoop or similar equipment	4.15	.125	.10			
Engineer on dredge (12" up to 18"); mechanic or welder	4.20	.125	.10			
Piledriver (or engine man)	4.45	.125	.10			
Derrick; dragline, clam bucket, orange peel, or crane; dredge operator or leverman; engineer on dredge (18" and over); side boom; towboat operator	4.50	.125	.10			

STATE: North Dakota

COUNTIES: Burleigh, Cass, Grand Forks, Morton, Richland, Steele, Walsh and Ward

DECISION NUMBER: AQ-1066

DATE: Date of Publication

Supersedes Decision Number AQ-1011 dated August 10, 1973, in 38 FR 21716

DESCRIPTION OF WORK: Building Construction (excluding single family homes and garden type apartments up to and including 4 stories).

	Basic Hourly Rates	Fringe Benefits Payments				
		H & W	Pensions	Vacation	App. Tr.	Others
ASBESTOS WORKERS (except Walsh County)	$7.34	.25	.50			
BOILERMAKERS (except Walsh County)	8.25	.30	1.00		.02	
BRICKLAYERS; Stonemasons:						
Burleigh and Morton Counties	7.13		.15			
Grand Forks, Steele and Walsh Counties	8.00		.15			
Cass and Richland Counties	7.65	.40	.15	.30		
Ward County	7.23		.15		.02	
CARPENTERS:						
Burleigh and Morton Counties						
Carpenters	6.05				.02	
Piledrivermen	6.175				.02	
Grand Forks, Steele (Northern half), and Walsh Counties						
Carpenters	6.70				.02	
Piledrivermen	6.93				.02	
Millwrights	7.08				.02	
Cass, Richland, and Steele (Southern half) Counties						
Carpenters	6.56		.25			
Ward County						
Carpenters	6.28				.02	
Piledrivermen	6.76				.02	
Millwrights	6.15				.02	
CEMENT MASONS:						
Grand Forks and Steele Counties	5.65		.15			
Cass and Richland Counties	6.80					
Ward County	5.00					
ELECTRICIANS:						
Cass, Grand Forks, Richland, and Steele Counties (Grand Forks, Fargo, West Fargo and Valley City):						
Zone A (0-15 miles from all cities - 0-5 miles from Grafton)	7.45	.30	1%	6%	1½%	
Zone B (15-30 miles)	8.07	.30	1%	6%	1½%	
Zone C (Over 30 miles from Grand Forks, Fargo, West Fargo and Valley City)	8.45	.30	1%	6%	1½%	
Burleigh and Morton Counties (From Main P. O. in Bismark, Mandan)						
Electricians (0-12 miles)	7.30	.30	1%	6%	1½%	
Cable splicers (0-12 miles)	7.70	.30	1%	6%	1½%	
Electricians (over 12 miles)	8.30	.30	1%	6%	1½%	
Cable splicers (over 12 miles)	8.70	.30	1%	6%	1½%	
ELECTRICIANS: (cont'd)						
Ward County						
Electricians (Within 12 miles radius of Minot Post Office)	$7.30	.30	1%	6%	1½%	
Electricians (over 12 miles radius beyond Minot Post Office)	8.30	.30	1%	6%	1½%	
Cable splicers (within 12 miles radius of Minot Post Office)	7.70	.30	1%	6%	1½%	
Cable splicers (over 12 miles radius beyond Minot Post Office)	8.70	.30	1%	6%	1½%	
ELEVATOR CONSTRUCTORS (except Walsh Co.)	8.17	.345	.23	2%+a		
ELEVATOR CONSTRUCTORS' HELPERS	70%JR	.345	.23	2%+a		
ELEVATOR CONSTRUCTORS' HELPERS (PROB.)	50%JR					
IRONWORKERS:						
Ornamental; Structural; Reinforcing	7.80	.40	.28		.02	
PAINTERS:						
Cass, Grand Forks, Richland and Steele Counties						
Brush; Roller; Paperhangers	6.45				.01	
Sandblasting; Structural	6.70				.01	
Spray	6.95				.01	
Burleigh and Morton Counties						
Brush	5.15					
Spray	5.45					
PLASTERERS:						
Grand Forks and Steele Counties	6.20		.15			
Ward County	5.50					
Cass and Richland Counties	7.90					
PLUMBERS:						
Cass, Grand Forks, Richland, Steele and Walsh Counties	7.75	.38	.20		.005	
Burleigh and Morton Counties	7.40		.25		.01	
Ward County	7.45	.35	.25		.02	
ROOFERS:						
Cass and Richland Counties	5.30					
SHEET METAL WORKERS:						
Burleigh, Grand Forks, Morton, Steele and Ward Counties	8.00					
Cass, and Richland Counties	7.25	.40	.10		.01	
SOFT FLOOR LAYERS:						
Cass and Richland Counties	5.75	.20				
SPRINKLER FITTERS (except Walsh County)	7.20	.30	.50		.05	

FOOTNOTE:

a. Employer credits 2% basic hourly rate for employee with 6 months to 5 years' service 4% basic hourly rate with over 5 years' service as Vacation Credit Plan.

Six Paid Holidays: A through F.

PAID HOLIDAYS:

A-New Year's Day; B-Memorial Day; C-Independence Day; D-Labor Day; E-Thanksgiving Day; F-Christmas Day.

BUILDING CONSTRUCTION

LABORERS

	Basic Hourly Rates	Fringe Benefits Payments				
		H & W	Pensions	Vacation	App. Tr.	Others
Grand Forks and Steele Counties:						
Laborers; Concrete dumpman	$5.12					
All power tool operators (under the laborers' jurisdiction); Brick and plaster tenders; Cutting torch for demolition	5.27					
Hod Carriers; Non-metallic pipelayers; Gas line wrapping or taping (distribution only)	5.47					
Burleigh and Morton Counties:						
Common laborers; Concrete bucket man; Brick and plaster tenders; All power tools (under the laborers' jurisdiction); Mortar mixers; Hod carriers; Non-metallic pipelayers; Gas line wrapping or taping	4.40					
Cass and Richland Counties:						
Laborers; Concrete dumpman	4.64					
Jackhammer; Mortar mixer; Plasterers and brick tenders; Power tool operators	4.79					
Ward County:						
Laborers; Concrete bucket dumpman	4.64					
Mortar mixers; Plaster tenders; Cutting torch for demolition; All power tool operators (under laborers' jurisdiction)	4.74					
Non-metallic pipelayers; Gas line wrapping or taping (distribution only)	4.89					
Walsh County	2.75					

Site Preparation Excavation and Incidental Paving (Except Walsh Co.)

	Basic Hourly Rates	Fringe Benefits Payments				
		H & W	Pensions	Vacation	App. Tr.	Others
Change:						
Laborers:						
Group I						
General Construction Laborer; Reinforced steel setter; Sack shaker (cement and mineral filler); Pipe handler; Drill runner helper; Carpenter tender; Salamander heater and blower tender	$2.95					
GROUP II						
Semi Skilled Laborer; Bulk cement handler; Conduit layer, telephone or electrical; Form setter (pavement); Gas, Electric or pneumatic tool operator (chipping hammer, grinders and paving brakers (tamper (dirt)(concrete vibrator operator); Chain saw operator; Concrete saw operator; Concrete curing man (not water); Bituminous worker (shoveler, dumper, raker and floater); Kettleman (Bituminous or lead); Concrete bucket signalman; Power buggy operator; Brick and mason tender; Multiplate pipe layer; Culvert pipe layer	3.05					
GROUP III						
Caisson Work; Bottom man, (sanitary sewer, storm sewer, water, and gas lines); Concrete mixer operator (one bag capacity); Motor mixer	3.15					
GROUP IV						
Pipe Layers (Sanitary Sewer, Storm Sewer, Water and Gas Lines); Drill runner (incl. wagon churn or air track); Powderman, gunite and sandblast, nozzleman	3.25					

1-NO-DAK-PEO-1

BUILDING CONSTRUCTION	Basic Hourly Rates	Fringe Benefits Payments: H & W	Pensions	Vacation	App. Tr.	Others
POWER EQUIPMENT OPERATORS						
GROUP I HOIST; Greaser; Concrete mixer operator; Boom truck; Firemen; Tractor, 75 HP and under; Frontend loader, 1½ cu. yds. and under; Air compressor, 300 and under; Forklift	$4.85	.35	.25			
GROUP II BRAKEMEN; Any air compressed operations over 300; Well points; Frontend loader over 1½ cu. yd.; Power plant engineer; Straddle carrier; Oiler; Mechanic and welder; Batch plant drill rig; Tractor, over 75 HP	5.85	.35	.25			
GROUP III CRANES, tower and overhead; Derricks; Cherry picker	6.45	.35	.25			

Site Preparation, Excavation and Incidental Paving (Except Walsh County)

2-NO. DAK. -PEO-2-3- n (1-3)

POWER EQUIPMENT OPERATORS	Basic Hourly Rates	Fringe Benefits Payments: H & W	Pensions	Vacation	App. Tr.	C-
CABLEWAY OPERATOR; Crane Operator with over 135' boom; Derrick (Guy & Stiff Leg), (Power), (Skids & Stationary); Front End Loader over 10 cu. yds.; Gantry Crane Operator; Mole Operator, including Power Supply or Tunnel Mucking Machine; Power Shovel and/or other Equipment with Shovel Type Controls 3 - 1/2 cu. yd.	$6.45	.35	.25			
CONCRETE MIXER STATIONARY PLANT OPERATOR over 34E; Dredge Operator or Engineer, Dredge Operator (Power) and Engineer; Elevator Grader Operator; Locomotive, Crane Operator; Master Mechanic; Mixer (Paving) Concrete Paving Operator, road; Power Shovels and/or other Equipment with shovels and/or other Equipment with shovel type controls up to 3 - 1/2 cu. yds.; Scraper Tandem; Tandem Pusher Quad. 9 or similar; Tractor Operator (Pipeline Side Boom; Truck Crane Operator	6.30	.35	.25			
DOPE MACHINE OPERATOR (Pipeline); Drill Rigs, Heavy Duty Rotary or Churn or Cable Drill; Front End Loader Operator, 6 cu. yds. & over; Locomotive, all types; Pipeline Wrapping, Cleaning & Bending Machine Operator; Power Actuated Horizontal Boring Machine over 6" Op. (Pipeline); Pumpcrete Operator; Refrigeration Plant Engineer; Slip Form Op. (Power Driven) (Paving); Tandem Scraper-Twin Engine, 50 cu. yds. Struck & over	6.15	.35	.25			
ASPHALT PAVING MACHINE OPERATOR; Asphalt Plant Operator and Console Board Op.; CMI Grading Operator; Crushing Plant Operator (Gravel & Stone or Gravel Washing, Crushing and Screening Plant Operator); Front End Loader Operator, 1 cu. yd. up to 6 cu. yds.; Grader or Motor Patrol, Finishing Earth Work and Bituminous; Mechanic or Welder (Heavy Duty); Rubber Tired Dozer Napco or similar & over; Rubber Tired Industrial Tractor with Backhoe						

AQ-1066 P. 7

2-NO. DAK.-PEO-2-3-n (2-3)

POWER EQUIPMENT OPERATORS (CONT'D)	Basic Hourly Rates	Fringe Benefits Payments				
		H & W	Pensions	Vacation	App. Tr.	O-
Attachment (Water Main Sanitary Sewer & Storm Sewer, Trunk Line Construction); Scraper Op.; Tractor Type Dozer D-6 & over; Trenching Machine Operator, Sewer & Water, (Except Ditch Witch or Similar use oiler rate); Turnapull Operator, (or similar type)	$6.10	.35	.25			
BITUMINOUS SPREADER & BITUMINOUS FINISHING OPERATOR (Power); Concrete Distributor and Spreader Operator, Finishing Machine Longitudinal Float Operator, Ft. Machine Operator & Spray Operator; Concrete Mixer Operator on Job Site 16S or Over; Paving Breaker or Tamping Machine Op., including Machine with Power Shovel Attachments (Power Driven); Power Actuated Augers & Boring Machine Operator; Power Actuated Jacks Operator; Power Plant Engineer, 100 K.W.H. & over; Push Tractor; Self-Propelled Traveling Soil Stabilizer; Soil Cement Stabilizer	5.85	.35	.25			
CONCRETE SAW OPERATOR (MULTIPLE BLADE) (Power Operated); Fine Grade Operator; Roller, Steel, and Self-Propelled Rubber, on Hot Mix Asphalt Paving; Rubber Tired Dozer under 35hp or similar; Tractor Type Dozer under D-6; Truck Mechanic	5.62	.35	.25			
BRAKEMAN OR SWITCHMAN; Concrete Batch Plant Operator (Cement, Rock and Sand) electronic; Concrete Mixer Operator on Job Site under 16S; Crane Truck Oiler; Distributor Operator; Grader Operator (Motor Patrol) (Haul Road); Gravel Screening Plant Operator (Portable not Crushing or Washing); Greaser (Truck or Tractor); Gunnite Operator Gunall; Hoist Engineer (Power); Hydro Crane Operator Launchman (Tankerman or Pilot License); Pick-up Sweeper, 1 yd. and over Hopper Capacity; Shouldering Machine Operator (Power) (Apsco or similar type) including Self-Propelled Sand Chip Spreader Flaherty or Similar	4.69	.35	.25			

AQ-1066 P. 8

2-NO. DAK.-PEO-2-3- n (3-3)

POWER EQUIPMENT OPERATORS (CONT'D)	Basic Hourly Rates	Fringe Benefits Payments				
		H & W	Pensions	Vacation	App. Tr.	Ot
CRAWLER TYPE TRACTOR PULLING COMPACTION OR AREATING EQUIPMENT; Farm Type Rubber Tired Tractor with Backhoe Attachment; Self-Propelled Vibrating Packer Op. Pad Type (35 H.P. & Over); Sheepsfoot Roller or Compactor (Self-Propelled); Off road Self-Propelled Watering Equipment	$4.54	.35	.25			
BITUMINOUS SPREADER & BITUMINOUS FINISHING OPERATOR (Helper) (Power); Boom Truck Operator; Concrete Batch Operator (Cement, Rock & Sand) (Manual); Fireman or Tank Car Heater Op.; Form Trench Digger (Power); Front End Loader Op., up to 1 cu. yd.; Hyster Carrier; Leverman; Loader Operator (Barber Greene or Similar Type); Mechanics' Helper; Oiler (Power Shovel, Crane, Dragline); Pugmill Operator; Pump Operator (Well Points); Roller, Steel & Self-Propelled Rubber, on other than Hot Mix Asphalt Paving; Self-Propelled Broom	4.44	.35	.25			
CONVEYOR OPERATOR: Curb Machine Operator; Dredge Deck Hand; Farm Tractors, Rubber Tired for Compacting & Areating; Front End Loader Operator (Farm Type Rubber Tired Tractor); Stump Chipper Operator; Tie Tamper and Ballast Machine Operator	4.10	.35	.25			

Site Preparation Excavation and Incidental Paving(except Walsh County)

1-ND-BAS-TD-2-3-1 (1-1)

	Basic Hourly Rates	Fringe Benefits Payments				
		H & W	Pensions	Vacation	App. Tr.	Others
TRUCK DRIVERS						
Single axle	$4.57	.35	.07			
Tandem	4.67	.35	.07			
Agitator dumpcrete	4.92	.35	.07			
Lowboy; Off road heavy duty end dumps, 20 yds. and under; Tandem semi	4.92	.35	.07			
Euclid, over 20 yds.	5.55	.35	.07			

SUPERSEDEAS DECISION

AQ-6h - P. 2

STATE: Oklahoma COUNTY: Tulsa
DECISION NO.: AQ-6h DATE: Date of Publication
Supersedes Decision AQ-23, dated August 31, 1973 in 38 FR 23738
DESCRIPTION OF WORK: Building Construction, (excluding single family homes and garden type apartments up to and including 4 stories)

	Basic Hourly Rates	Fringe Benefits Payments				
		H & W	Pensions	Vacation	App. Tr.	Others
Asbestos workers	$8.20		.35		.015	
Boilermakers	7.00	.30	.76		.02	
Bricklayers; Stonemasons	7.38	.30	.40	.33	.04	
Carpenters	6.91	.25	.25		.03	
Cement Masons:						
Cement Masons	6.07			.70	.06	
Power tool operator	6.32			.70	.06	
Electricians	6.85	.36	1%+.15	.30	.07	
Cable splicers	7.10	.36	1%+.15	.30	.07	
Elevator constructors	6.23	.175	.20	2%+a+b		
Elevator constructors' helpers	70%JR	.175	.20	2%+a+b		
Elevator constructors' helpers (prob. 6 mos.)	50%JR					
Glaziers	6.125	.25	.30		.01	
Ironworkers:						
Structural; Ornamental; Reinforcing	7.74	.30	.35		.05	
Laborers:						
Laborers	5.15	.20	.15			
Air tool op. (jackhammer, vibrator); Mason tender; Mortar mixers; Pipelayers (concrete & clay); Plasterers tenders	5.35	.20	.15			
Wagon drill operator	5.55	.20	.15			
Powderman or Blaster	6.00	.20	.15			
Lathers	6.50		.10		.01	
Marble setters	4.95	.25	.10	.25	.05	
Millwrights	7.21	.25	.25		.03	
Painters:						
Brush	6.50		.25	.20	.02	
High work & stage	6.90		.25	.20	.02	
Spray and sandblasting	7.15		.25	.20	.02	
Hot or Bituminous	7.80		.25	.20	.02	
Sheetrock handtools	6.50		.25	.20	.02	
Sheetrock Power tools	6.85		.25	.20	.02	
Hazardous work	8.70		.25	.20	.02	
Piledrivermen	7.21	.25	.25		.03	
Pipefitters	7.51	.35	.40		.05	
Plasterers	6.45				.01	
Plumbers	7.51	.35	.40		.05	
Roofers	$6.75		.25		.04	
Sheet Metal workers	7.36	.30	.40		.06	
Soft floor layers	7.15		.15	.18	.03	
Sprinkler fitters	8.50	.40	.60		.07	
Terrazzo workers	6.65					
Terrazzo workers' & tile layers; helper	5.30					
Terrazzo workers' helpers floor machine operator	5.40					
Terrazzo workers' helpers base machine operator	5.60					
Tile layers	7.15					
Truck drivers:						
Truck drivers, including pick-up 1½ tons or 2½ yds. up to but not including 3 tons or 4 yds. such as dump trucks, flat beds, stake body or bus driver	5.58					
3 tons or 4 yds. up to but not including 4 tons or 6 yards	5.63					
5 tons or 6 yds. and over, including heavy equipment	5.73					
Truck drivers' helpers	5.53					
Tractor-trailer and similar equipment	5.73					

FOOTNOTES:

a. 1st 6 mos. - none; 6 mos. to 5 yrs. - 2%; over 5 years. - 4% of basic hourly rate

b. Paid Holidays - A through F

PAID HOLIDAYS:
A-New Years' Day; B-Memorial Day; C-Independence Day; D-Labor Day; E-Thanksgiving Day; F-Christmas Day.

POWER EQUIPMENT OPERATORS	Basic Hourly Rates	Fringe Benefits Payments: H & W	Pensions	Vacation	App. Tr.	Others
All Crane Type equipment with 100' of boom or over (including jib), all Tower Cranes and all Cranes type equipment of 3 cu. yd. or more	$7.65	.35	.25		.07	
Heavy Duty Mechanic; Welder; Crane-Hook & Overhead Monorail; Whirley; Derrick; Piledriver Engineer; Dragline; Shovel; Clamshell; Backhoe; Sideboom; Gradall; Hydro Crane; Hoists while operating 2 or more drums; Cherry picker	7.40	.35	.25		.07	
Motor patrol (blade); Dozer (Engine HP 65 or more); Scraper type equipment; Tourmapull; DW 10, 15, 16, 20, 21 & similar rubber-tired equipment; Euclid TS-24 and similar; Loader Operator or Hi-Lift (Engine HP 65 or over); Asphalt Lay Machine; Tail Boom; Conveyor-Multiple, Panel Board Control; Power Driver Hole Digger; Trenching Machine; Fordson Tractor or like equipment with hoe or loader equipment or ditcher	7.15	.35	.25		.07	
Locomotive Engineer; Boring Machine; Tug Boat; Mixer, 18 cu. ft. and over; Sand Barge; Dredging Machine; Tugger; Hoist-when operating one drum; Welding machine, 3 to 6; Air Compressor, 3 to 6, 500 cu. ft. and under; Air Compressor, over 500 cu. ft. (1); Pumps, Battery, 3 to 6; Forklift and similar equipment; Generator Plant Engineers, Diesel Elec; Winch Truck with A-Frame; Pumps, Battery, 3 to 6; Batch Plant Operator (Portable); Roller, all types; Elevator, building type or personnel hoist; Concrete Buster or Tamper; Heaters under jurisdiction of Op. Engrs.; Fireman; Boiler Operator; Crushing Plants; Oiler Distributor; Pulvinixer; Farm Tractor - with or without attachments; Conveyor Operator dual, continous or Belt-Bulk Handling; Screed Operator; Concrete Pump; Form Grader; Screening Plant; Well Point Pump Operator; Signal Man on Large whirleys when & if required	6.90	.35	.25		.07	

POWER EQUIPMENT OPERATORS (CONT'D)	Basic Hourly Rates	Fringe Benefits Payments: H & W	Pensions	Vacation	App. Tr.	Others
Operator for rotary drilling machines when operated from console or machine	$6.90	.35	.25		.07	
Concrete mixer, with hopper less than 13 cu. ft.; Air compressor, 500 cu. ft. & under (1 or 2); Welding Machine (1 or 2); Pump (1 or 2); Fuelman; Conveyor Operator - Single - Continous Belt Bulk Handling	6.40	.35	.25		.07	
Greaser; Tilt Top Trailer Operator	6.50	.35	.25		.07	
Asphalt Lay Machine Back End Man; Helpers	6.20	.35	.25		.07	
Track crane oiler or Truck crane driver	6.10	.35	.25		.07	
Engineers for machine not listed under the above classifications shall receive the scale comparable to these classifications.						
Engineers of all classifications when working in caverns or tunnels shall receive 25¢ per hour above all the above listed rates.						

AG-6L - P. 5

	Basic Hourly Rates	Fringe Benefits Payments				
		H & W	Pensions	Vacation	App. Tr.	Others
LINE CONSTRUCTION						
Lineman	$7.25		1%		1/2%	
Cable splicers	7.65		1%		1/2%	
Hole digger operator	6.58		1%		1/2%	
Heavy equipment operator (or pole cats equivalent)	6.58		1%		1/2%	
Line truck driver (winch op.)	5.95		1%		1/2%	
Jackhammer man	5.43		1%		1/2%	
Powderman	6.58		1%		1/2%	
Truck driver (flat bed, ton and half and under)	5.12		1%		1/2%	
Groundmen	4.84		1%		1/2%	

[FR Doc.73-25719 Filed 12-6-73;8:45 am]

INDEX TO GENERAL WAGE DETERMINATION DECISIONS AND MODIFICATIONS AS OF NOVEMBER 2, 1973

There is set forth below an index to general wage determination decisions and modifications as published in the FEDERAL REGISTER pursuant to the Davis-Bacon and related Acts. The index lists general wage determination decisions and modifications by State and county. An updated index is published on the first Friday of each month.

The index is published for the convenience of the public and the Department of Labor will endeavor to keep it accurate and up to date. In the event the data in the index and published general decisions do not coincide, the published general decisions shall control.

ABBREVIATIONS

(B)—Building Construction
(D)—Dredging Construction
(F)—Flood Control Construction
(H)—Heavy Construction
(Hw)—Highway Construction
(R)—Residential Construction
Mod.—Modification

Signed at Washington, D.C., this 30th day of November 1973.

RAY J. DOLAN,
Assistant Administrator,
Wage and Hour Division.

ALABAMA

STATEWIDE
Decision #AQ-4002 (D)
38 FR 21037 - 8/3/73
AUTAUGA COUNTY
Decision #AP-119 (Hw)
37 FR 16733 - 8/18/72
Mod. #1 - 37 FR 24507 - 11/17/72
(D) - See Statewide
BALDWIN COUNTY
Decision #AM-554 (R)
36 FR 16363 - 8/20/71
Decision #AP-118 (Hw)
37 FR 18836 - 9/15/72
Mod. #1 - 37 FR 24507 - 11/17/72
(D) - See Statewide
BARBOUR COUNTY
(D) - See Statewide
(Hw) - See Autauga County
BIBB COUNTY
(D) - See Statewide
(Hw) - See Autauga County
BLOUNT COUNTY
Decision #AM-448 (R)
36 FR 16359 - 8/20/71
(D) - See Statewide
(Hw) - See Autauga County
BULLOCK COUNTY
(D) - See Statewide
(Hw) - See Autauga County
BUTLER COUNTY
(D) - See Statewide
(Hw) - See Autauga County
CALHOUN COUNTY
Decision #AP-117 (Hw)
37 FR 16732 - 8/18/72
Mod. #1 - 37 FR 24507 - 11/17/72
(D) - See Statewide
CHAMBERS COUNTY
(D) - See Statewide
(Hw) - See Autauga County
CHEROKEE COUNTY
(D) - See Statewide
(Hw) - See Autauga County
CHILTON COUNTY
(D) - See Statewide
(Hw) - See Autauga County
CHOCTAW COUNTY
(D) - See Statewide
(Hw) - See Autauga County

ALABAMA (Cont'd)

CLARKE COUNTY
(D) - See Statewide
(Hw) - See Autauga County
CLAY COUNTY
(D) - See Statewide
(Hw) - See Autauga County
CLEBURNE COUNTY
(D) - See Statewide
(Hw) - See Autauga County
COFFEE COUNTY
(D) - See Statewide
(Hw) - See Autauga County
COLBERT COUNTY
Decision #AP-116 (Hw)
37 FR 18835 - 9/15/72
Mod. #1 - 37 FR 24507 - 11/17/72
(D) - See Statewide
CONECUH COUNTY
(D) - See Statewide
(Hw) - See Autauga County
(R) - See Baldwin County
COOSA COUNTY
(D) - See Statewide
(Hw) - See Autauga County
COVINGTON COUNTY
(D) - See Statewide
(Hw) - See Autauga County
CRENSHAW COUNTY
(D) - See Statewide
(Hw) - See Autauga County
CULLMAN COUNTY
(D) - See Statewide
(Hw) - See Autauga County
DALE COUNTY
(D) - See Statewide
(Hw) - See Autauga County
DALLAS COUNTY
(D) - See Statewide
(Hw) - See Autauga County
DE KALB COUNTY
(D) - See Statewide
(Hw) - See Autauga County
ELMORE COUNTY
(D) - See Statewide
(Hw) - See Autauga County
ESCAMBIA COUNTY
(D) - See Statewide
(Hw) - See Autauga County

ALABAMA (Cont'd)

ETOWAH COUNTY
(D) - See Statewide
(Hw) - See Calhoun County
FAYETTE COUNTY
(D) - See Statewide
(Hw) - See Autauga County
FRANKLIN COUNTY
(D) - See Statewide
(Hw) - See Autauga County
GENEVA COUNTY
(D) - See Statewide
(Hw) - See Autauga County
GREENE COUNTY
(D) - See Statewide
(Hw) - See Autauga County
HALE COUNTY
(D) - See Statewide
(Hw) - See Autauga County
HENRY COUNTY
(D) - See Statewide
(Hw) - See Autauga County
HOUSTON COUNTY
(D) - See Statewide
(Hw) - See Autauga County
JACKSON COUNTY
(D) - See Statewide
(Hw) - See Autauga County
JEFFERSON COUNTY
Decision #AQ-4028 (B,Hw)
38 FR 29159 - 10/19/73
(D) - See Statewide
(R) - See Blount County
LAMAR COUNTY
(D) - See Statewide
(Hw) - See Autauga County
LAUDERDALE COUNTY
(D) - See Statewide
LAWRENCE COUNTY
(D) - See Statewide
(Hw) - See Autauga County
LEE COUNTY
(D) - See Statewide
(Hw) - See Autauga County

ALABAMA (cont'd)

LIMESTONE COUNTY
(D) - See Statewide
(Hw) - See Autauga County
LOWNDES COUNTY
(D) - See Statewide
(Hw) - See Autauga County
MACON COUNTY
(D) - See Statewide
(Hw) - See Autauga County
MADISON COUNTY
(D) - See Statewide
(Hw) - See Colbert County
MARENGO COUNTY
(D) - See Statewide
(Hw) - See Autauga County
MARION COUNTY
(D) - See Statewide
(Hw) - See Autauga County
MARSHALL COUNTY
(D) - See Statewide
(Hw) - See Autauga County
MOBILE COUNTY
Decision #AQ-4027 (B,Hw)
38 FR 29155 - 10/19/73
(D) - See Statewide
(R) - See Baldwin County
MONROE COUNTY
(D) - See Statewide
(Hw) - See Autauga County
MONTGOMERY COUNTY
Decision #AP-184 (B)
38 FR 11244 - 5/4/73
Mod. # 1 - 38 FR 13103 - 5/18/73
(D) - See Statewide
(Hw) - See Baldwin County
MORGAN COUNTY
(D) - See Statewide
(Hw) - See Colbert County
PERRY COUNTY
(D) - See Statewide
(Hw) - See Autauga County
PICKENS COUNTY
(D) - See Statewide
(Hw) - See Autauga County

ALABAMA (Cont'd)

PIKE COUNTY
(D) - See Statewide
(Hw) - See Autauga County
RANDOLPH COUNTY
(D) - See Statewide
(Hw) - See Autauga County
RUSSELL COUNTY
(D) - See Statewide
(Hw) - See Autauga County
SAINT CLAIR COUNTY
(D) - See Statewide
(Hw) - See Calhoun County
(R) - See Blount County
SHELBY COUNTY
(Hw) - See Calhoun County
(R) - See Blount County
SUMTER COUNTY
(D) - See Statewide
(Hw) - See Autauga County
TALLADEGA COUNTY
(D) - See Statewide
(Hw) - See Calhoun County
TALLAPOOSA COUNTY
(D) - See Statewide
(Hw) - See Autauga County
TUSCALOOSA COUNTY
(D) - See Statewide
(Hw) - See Calhoun County
WALKER COUNTY
(D) - See Statewide
(Hw) - See Calhoun County
WASHINGTON COUNTY
(D) - See Statewide
(Hw) - See Autauga County
(R) - See Baldwin County
WILCOX COUNTY
(D) - See Statewide
(Hw) - See Autauga County
WINSTON COUNTY
(D) - See Statewide
(Hw) - See Autauga County

ALASKA

STATEWIDE
Decision #AP-902 (B, Hw, H, D)
38 FR 13127 - 5/18/73
Mod. #1 - 38 FR 24487 - 9/7/73
Mod. #2 - 38 FR 27704 - 10/5/73
Decision #AP-903 (R)
38 FR 13133 - 5/18/73
Mod. #1 - 38 FR 24487 - 9/7/73
Mod. #2 - 38 FR 27704 - 10/5/73

ARIZONA

STATEWIDE
Decision #AQ-1004 (H,Hw)
38 FR 20187 - 7/27/73
Mod. #1 - 38 FR 24488 - 9/7/73
Mod. #2 - 38 FR 27148 - 9/28/73
Mod. #3 - 38 FR 27704 - 10/5/73
Mod. #4 - 38 FR 28454 - 10/12/73
Mod. #5 - 38 FR 29730 - 10/26/73
APACHE COUNTY
(H,Hw) - See Statewide
COCHISE COUNTY
(H,Hw) - See Statewide
Decision #AQ-1026 (B)
38 FR 25856 - 9/14/73
Mod. #1 - 38 FR 27155 - 9/28/73
Mod. #2 - 38 FR 27705 - 10/5/73
Mod. #3 - 38 FR 28464 - 10/12/73
Mod. #4 - 38 FR 29730 - 10/26/73
COCONINO COUNTY
Decision #AQ-1027 (B)
38 FR 25864 - 9/14/73
Mod. #1 - 38 FR 27158 - 9/28/73
Mod. #2 - 38 FR 27705 - 10/5/73
Mod. #3 - 38 FR 28466 - 10/12/73
Mod. #4 - 38 FR 29731 - 10/26/73
(H,Hw) - See Statewide
GILA COUNTY
(H,Hw) - See Statewide
GRAHAM COUNTY
(B) - See Cochise County
(H,Hw) - See Statewide
GREENLEE COUNTY
Decision #AQ-1050 (B)
38 FR 29743 - 10/26/73
MARICOPA COUNTY
(H,Hw) - See Statewide
(B) - See Greenlee County
Decision #AQ-1005 (R)
38 FR 19617 (R)
Mod. #1 - 38 FR 21005 - 8/3/73
Mod. #2 - 38 FR 24488 - 9/7/73
Mod. #3 - 38 FR 27148 - 9/28/73
Mod. #4 - 38 FR 27704 - 10/5/73
Mod. #5 - 38 FR 28458 - 10/12/73
MOHAVE COUNTY
(H,Hw) - See Statewide
(B) - See Greenlee County
NAVAJO COUNTY
(H,Hw) - See Statewide
PIMA COUNTY
(H,Hw) - See Statewide
(B) - See Cochise County
Decision #AQ-1025 (R)
38 FR 24493 - 9/7/73
Mod. #1 - 38 FR 26543 - 9/21/73
Mod. #2 - 38 FR 27153 - 9/28/73

ARIZONA (Cont'd)

PIMA COUNTY (CONT'D)
Decision #AQ-1025 (R)
38 FR 24493 - 9/7/73
Mod. #3 - 38 FR 27705 - 10/5/73
Mod. #4 - 38 FR 28462 - 10/12/73
Mod. #5 - 38 FR 29730 - 10/26/73
PINAL COUNTY
(B) - See Cochise County
(H,Hw) - See Statewide
SANTA CRUZ COUNTY
(H,Hw) - See Statewide
(B) - See Cochise County
YAVAPAI COUNTY
(H,Hw) - See Statewide
YUMA COUNTY
(H,Hw) - See Statewide

ARKANSAS

STATEWIDE
Decision #AP-701 (Hw)
38 FR 4087 - 2/9/73
Mod. #1 - 38 FR 23648 - 8/31/73
Decision #AQ-4002 (D)
38 FR 21037 - 8/3/73
ARKANSAS COUNTY
(Hw) - See Statewide
(D) - See Statewide
Decision #AP-1107 (F)
38 FR 17358 - 6/29/73
ASHLEY COUNTY
(Hw) - See Statewide
(D) - See Statewide
(F) - See Arkansas County
BAXTER COUNTY
(Hw) - See Statewide
(D) - See Statewide
(F) - See Arkansas County
BENTON COUNTY
(Hw) - See Statewide
(D) - See Statewide
(F) - See Arkansas County
BOONE COUNTY
(Hw) - See Statewide
(D) - See Statewide
(F) - See Arkansas County
BRADLEY COUNTY
(Hw) - See Statewide
(D) - See Statewide
(F) - See Arkansas County
CALHOUN COUNTY
(Hw) - See Statewide
(D) - See Statewide
(F) - See Arkansas County
CARROLL COUNTY
(Hw) - See Statewide
(D) - See Statewide
(F) - See Arkansas County
CHICOT COUNTY
(Hw) - See Statewide
(D) - See Statewide
(F) - See Arkansas County

ARKANSAS (Cont'd)

CLARK COUNTY
(Hw) (D) - See Statewide
(F) - See Arkansas County
CLAY COUNTY
(Hw) - See Statewide
(D) - See Statewide
(F) - See Arkansas County
CLEBURNE COUNTY
(Hw) - See Statewide
(D)- See Statewide
(F) - See Arkansas County
CLEVELAND COUNTY
(Hw) - See Statewide
(D) - See Statewide
(F) - See Arkansas County
COLUMBIA COUNTY
(Hw) - See Statewide
(D) - See Statewide
(F) - See Arkansas County
CONWAY COUNTY
(Hw) - See Statewide
(D) - See Statewide
(F) - See Arkansas County
CRAIGHEAD COUNTY
(Hw) - See Statewide
(D) - See Statewide
(F) - See Arkansas County
CRAWFORD COUNTY
(Hw) - See Statewide
(D) - See Statewide
(F) - See Arkansas County
CRITTENDEN COUNTY
(Hw) - See Statewide
(D) - See Statewide
(F) - See Arkansas County
CROSS COUNTY
(Hw) - See Statewide
(D) - See Statewide
(F) - See Arkansas County
DALLAS COUNTY
(Hw) - See Statewide
(D) - See Statewide
(F) - See Arkansas County
DESHA COUNTY
(Hw) - See Statewide
(D) - See Statewide
(F) - See Arkansas County

ARKANSAS (Cont'd)

DREW COUNTY
(Hw) - See Statewide
(D) - See Statewide
(F) - See Arkansas County
FAULKNER COUNTY
(Hw) - See Statewide
(D) - See Statewide
(F) - See Arkansas County
FRANKLIN COUNTY
(Hw) - See Statewide
(D) - See Statewide
(F) - See Arkansas County
FULTON COUNTY
(Hw) - See Statewide
(D) - See Statewide
(F) - See Arkansas County
GARLAND COUNTY
(Hw) - See Statewide
(D) - See Statewide
(F) - See Arkansas County
GRANT COUNTY
(Hw) - See Statewide
(D) - See Statewide
(F) - See Arkansas County
GREENE COUNTY
(Hw) - See Statewide
(D) - See Statewide
(F) - See Arkansas County
HEMPSTEAD COUNTY
(Hw) - See Statewide
(D) - See Statewide
(F(- See Arkansas County
HOT SPRING COUNTY
(Hw) - See Statewide
(D) - See Statewide
(F) - See Arkansas County
HOWARD COUNTY
(Hw) - See Statewide
(D) - See Statewide
(F) - See Arkansas County
INDEPENDENCE COUNTY
(Hw) (D) - See Statewide
(F) - See Arkansas County
IZARD COUNTY
(Hw) (D) - See Statewide
(F) - See Arkansas County

ARKANSAS (Cont'd)

JACKSON COUNTY
(Hw) - See Statewide
(D) - See Statewide
(F) - See Arkansas County
JEFFERSON COUNTY
(Hw) - See Statewide
(D) - See Statewide
JOHNSON COUNTY
(Hw) - See Statewide
(D) - See Statewide
(F) - See Arkansas County
LAFAYETTE COUNTY
(Hw) - See Statewide
(D) - See Statewide
(F) - See Arkansas County
LAWRENCE COUNTY
(Hw) - See Statewide
(D) - See Statewide
(F) - See Arkansas County
LEE COUNTY
(Hw) - See Statewide
(D) - See Statewide
(F) - See Arkansas County
LINCOLN COUNTY
(Hw) - See Statewide
(D) - See Statewide
(F) - See Arkansas County
LITTLE RIVER COUNTY
(Hw) - See Statewide
(D) - See Statewide
(F) - See Arkansas County
LOGAN COUNTY
(Hw) - See Statewide
(D) - See Statewide
(F) - See Arkansas County
LONOKE COUNTY
(Hw) - See Statewide
(D) - See Statewide
(F) - See Arkansas County
MADISON COUNTY
(Hw) - See Statewide
(D) - See Statewide
(F) - See Arkansas County
MARION COUNTY
(Hw) - See Statewide
(D) - See Statewide
(F) - See Arkansas County

ARKANSAS (Cont'd)

MILLER COUNTY
(Hw) - See Statewide
(D) - see Statewide
(F) - See Arkansas County
MISSISSIPPI COUNTY
(Hw) - See Statewide
(D) - See Statewide
(F) - See Arkansas County
MONROE COUNTY
(hw) - See Statewide
(D) - See Statewide
(F) - See Arkansas County
MONTGOMERY COUNTY
(Hw) - See Statewide
(D) - See Statewide
(F) - See Arkansas County
NEVADA COUNTY
(Hw) - See Statewide
(D) - See Statewide
(F) - See Arkansas County
NEWTON COUNTY
(Hw) - See Statewide
(D) - See Statewide
(F) - Arkansas County
OUACHITA COUNTY
(Hw) - See Statewide
(D) - See Statewide
(F) - See Arkansas County
PERRY COUNTY
(Hw) - See Statewide
(D) - See Statewide
(F) - See Arkansas County
PHILLIPS COUNTY
(Hw) - See Statewide
(D) - See Statewide
(F) - See Arkansas County
PIKE COUNTY
(Hw) - See Statewide
(D) - See Statewide
(F) - See Arkansas County
POINSETT COUNTY
(Hw) - See Statewide
(D) - See Statewide
(F) - See Arkansas County
POLK COUNTY
(Hw) - See Statewide
(D) - See Statewide
(F) - See Arkansas County

ARKANSAS (CONT'D)

POPE COUNTY
(Hw) - See Statewide
(D) - See Statewide
(F) - See Arkansas County
PRAIRIE COUNTY
(Hw) - See Statewide
(D) - See Statewide
(F) - See Arkansas County
PULASKI COUNTY
(Hw) - See Statewide
(D) - See Statewide
Decision #AQ-7 (B)
38 FR 19631 - 7/20/73
Mod. #1 - 38 FR 29132 - 10/19/73
Decision #AP-356 (R)
37 FR 23489 - 11/3/72
RANDOLPH COUNTY
(Hw) - See Statewide
(D) - See Statewide
(F) - See Arkansas County
ST. FRANCIS COUNTY
(Hw) - See Statewide
(D) - See Statewide
(F) - See Arkansas County
SALINE COUNTY
(Hw) - See Statewide
(D) - See Statewide
(F) - See Arkansas County
SCOTT COUNTY
(Hw) - See Statewide
(D) - See Statewide
(F) - See Arkansas County
SEARCY COUNTY
(Hw) - See Statewide
(D) - See Statewide
(F) - See Arkansas County
SEBASTIAN COUNTY
(Hw) - See Statewide
(D) - See Statewide
SEVIER COUNTY
(Hw) - See Statewide
(D) - See Statewide
(F) - See Arkansas County

ARKANSAS (CONT'D)

SHARP COUNTY
(Hw) - See Statewide
(D) - See Statewide
(F) - See Arkansas County
STONE COUNTY
(Hw) - See Statewide
(D) - See Statewide
(F) - See Arkansas County
UNION COUNTY
(Hw) - See Statewide
(D) - See Statewide
(F) - See Arkansas County
Decision #AQ-2 (B)
38 FR 18831 - 7/13/73
Mod. #1 - 38 FR 23648 - 8/31/73
Mod. #2 - 38 FR 29132 - 10/19/73
VAN BUREN COUNTY
(Hw) - See Statewide
(D) - See Statewide
(F) - See Arkansas County
WASHINGTON COUNTY
(Hw) - See Statewide
(D) - See Statewide
(F) - See Arkansas County
WHITE COUNTY
(Hw) - See Statewide
(D) - See Statewide
(F) - See Arkansas County
WOODRUFF COUNTY
(Hw) - See Statewide
(D) - See Statewide
(F) - See Arkansas County
YELL COUNTY
(Hw) - See Statewide
(D) - See Statewide
(F) - See Arkansas County

CALIFORNIA

ALAMEDA COUNTY
Decision #AQ-1015 (B,H,Hw,D)
38 FR 23661 - 8/31/73
Mod. #1 - 38 FR 27706 - 10/5/73
Mod. #2 - 38 FR 29138 - 10/19/73
Decision #AQ-1016 (R)
38 FR 23675 - 8/31/73
Mod. #1 - 38 FR 27706 - 10/5/73
Mod. #2 - 38 FR 29138 - 10/19/73
ALPINE COUNTY
(B,H,Hw,D) - See Alameda County
AMADOR COUNTY
(B,H,Hw,H) - See Alameda County
(R) - See Alameda County
BUTTE COUNTY
(B,H,Hw,D) - See Alameda County
CALVARAS COUNTY
(B,H,Hw,D) - See Alameda County
(R) - See Alameda County
COLUSA COUNTY
(B,H,Hw,D) - See Alameda County
CONTRA COSTA COUNTY
(B,H,Hw,D) - See Alameda County
(R) - See Alameda County
DELNORTE COUNTY
(B,H,Hw,D) - See Alameda County
(R) - See Alameda County
ELDORADO COUNTY
(B,H,Hw,D) - See Alameda County
(R) - See Alameda County
FRESNO COUNTY
(B,H,Hw,D) - See Alameda County
(R) - See Alameda County

CALIFORNIA (Cont'd)

GLENN COUNTY
(B,H,Hw,D) - See Alameda County
HUMBOLDT COUNTY
(B,H,Hw,D) - See Alameda County
(R) - See Alameda County
IMPERIAL COUNTY
Decision #AQ-1007 (B,H,Hw,D)
38 FR 21038 - 8/3/73
Mod. #1 - 38 FR 23648 - 8/31/73
Mod. #2 - 38 FR 25853 - 9/14/73
Mod. #3 - 38 FR 29137 - 10/19/73
Decision #AQ-1008 (R)
38 FR 21047 - 8/3/73
Mod. #1 - 38 FR 23649 - 8/31/73
Mod. #2 - 38 FR 25853 - 9/14/73
Mod. #3 - 38 FR 29137 - 10/19/73
INYO COUNTY
(B,H,Hw,D) - See Imperial County
KERN COUNTY
(B,H,Hw,D) - See Imperial County
(R) - See Imperial County
KING COUNTY
(B,H,Hw,D) - See Alameda County
LAKE COUNTY
(B,H,Hw,D) - See Alameda County
LASSEN COUNTY
(B,H,Hw,D) - See Alameda County
LOS ANGELES COUNTY
(B,H,Hw,D) - See Imperial County
(R) - See Imperial County
MADERA COUNTY
(B,H,Hw,D) - See Alameda County
MARIN COUNTY
(B,H,Hw,D) - See Alameda County
(R) - See Alameda County

CALIFORNIA (Cont'd)

MARIPOSA COUNTY
(B,H,Hw,D) - See Alameda County
(R) - See Alameda County
MENDOCINO COUNTY
(B,H,Hw, D) - See Alameda County
MERCED COUNTY
(B,H,Hw,D) - See Alameda County
(R) - See Alameda County
MODOC COUNTY
(B,H,Hw,D) - See Alameda County
MONO COUNTY
(B,H,Hw,D) - See Imperial County
MONTEREY COUNTY
(B,H,Hw,D) - See Alameda County
(R) - See Alameda County
NAPA COUNTY
(B,H,Hw,D) - See Alameda County
(R) - See Alameda County
NEVADA COUNTY
(B,H,Hw,D) - See Alameda County
(R) - See Alameda County
ORANGE COUNTY
(B,H,Hw,D) - See Imperial County
(R) - See Imperial County
PLACER COUNTY
(B,H,Hw,D) - See Alameda County
(R) - See Alameda County
PLUMAS COUNTY
(B,H,Hw,D) - See Alameda County
RIVERSIDE COUNTY
(B,H,Hw,D) - See Imperial County
(R) - See Imperial County
SACRAMENTO COUNTY
(B,H,Hw,D) - See Alameda County
(R) - See Alameda County
SAN BENITO COUNTY
(B,H,Hw,D) - See Alameda County
(R) - See Alameda County
SAN BERNARDINO COUNTY
(B,H,Hw,D) - See Imperial County
(R) - See Imperial County
SAN DIEGO COUNTY
Decision #AQ-1002 - (B,H,Hw,D)
38 FR 18832 - 7/13/73
Mod. #1 - 38 FR 21006 - 8/3/73
Mod. #2 - 38 FR 27705 - 10/5/73
Mod. #3 - 38 FR 29132 - 10/19/73

CALIFORNIA (Cont'd)

SAN DIEGO COUNTY (Cont'd)
Decision #AQ-1003 (R)
38 FR 18839 - 7/13/73
Mod. #1 - 38 FR 21008 - 8/3/73
Mod. #2 - 38 FR 27705 - 10/5/73
Mod. #3 - 38 FR 29135 - 10/19/73
SAN FRANCISCO COUNTY
(B,H,Hw,D) - See Alameda County
(R) - See Alameda County
SAN JOAQUIN COUNTY
(B,H,Hw,D) - See Alameda County
(R) - See Alameda County
SAN LUIS OBISPO COUNTY
(B,H,Hw,D) - See Imperial County
SAN MATEO COUNTY
(B,H,Hw,D) - See Alameda County
(R) - See Alameda County
SANTA BARBARA COUNTY
(B,H,Hw,D) - See Imperial County
(R) - See Imperial County
SANTA CLARA COUNTY
(B,H,Hw,D) - See Alameda County
(R) - See Alameda County
SANTA CRUZ COUNTY
(B,H,Hw,D) - See Alameda County
(R) - See Alameda County
SHASTA COUNTY
(B,H,Hw,D) - See Alameda County
(R) - See Alameda County
SIERRA COUNTY
(B,H,Hw,D) - See Alameda County
SISKIYOU COUNTY
(B,H,Hw,D) - See Alameda County
SOLANO COUNTY
(B,H,Hw,D) - See Alameda County
(R) - See Alameda County
SONOMA COUNTY
(B,H,Hw,D) - See Alameda County
(R) - See Alameda County
STANISLAUS COUNTY
(B,H,Hw,D) - See Alameda County
SUTTER COUNTY
(B,H,Hw,D) - See Alameda County
(R) - See Alameda County
TEHAMA COUNTY
(B,H,Hw,D) - See Alameda County
(R) - See Alameda County
TRINITY COUNTY
(B,H,Hw,D) - See Alameda County

CALIFORNIA (Cont'd)

TULARE COUNTY
(B,H,Hw,D) - See Alamada County
TUOLUMNE COUNTY
(B,H,Hw,D) - See Alameda County
(R) - See Alameda County
VENTURA COUNTY
(B,H,Hw,D) - See Imperial County
(R) - See Imperial County
YOLO COUNTY
(B,H,Hw,D) - See Alameda County
(R) - See Alameda County
YUBA COUNTY
(B,H,Hw,D) - See Alameda County
(R) - See ALAMEDA County

COLORADO

STATEWIDE
Decision #AQ-1013 (Hw)
38 FR 22328 - 8/17/73
ADAMS COUNTY
(Hw) - See Statewide
Decision #AP-911 (B,H,Hw)
38 FR 16604 - 6/22/73
Mod. #1 - 38 FR 18113 - 7/6/73
Mod. #2 - 38 FR 21009 - 8/3/73
Mod. #3 - 38 FR 22303 - 8/17/73
Mod. #4 - 38 FR 24489 - 9/7/73
Decision #AM-6,723 (R)
37 FR 7921 - 4-21-72
ALAMOSA COUNTY
(Hw) - See Statewide
ARAPHOE COUNTY
(Hw) - See Statewide
(B,H,Hw) - See Adams County
(R) - See Adams County
ARCHULETA COUNTY
(Hw) - See Statewide
BACA COUNTY
(Hw) - See Statewide
BENT COUNTY
(Hw) - See Statewide
BOULDER COUNTY
(Hw) - See Statewide
(B,H,Hw) - See Adams County
(R) - See Adams County
CHAFFEE COUNTY
(Hw) - See Statewide
CHYENNE COUNTY
(Hw) - See Statewide

COLORADO (cont'd)

CLEAR CREEK
(B,H,Hw) - See Adams County
(Hw) - See Statewide
(R) - See Adams County
CONEJOS COUNTY
(Hw) - See Statewide
COSTILLA COUNTY
(Hw) - See Statewide
CROWLEY COUNTY
(Hw) - See Statewide
CUSTER COUNTY
(Hw) - See Statewide
DELTA COUNTY
(Hw) - See Statewide
DENVER COUNTY
(Hw) - See Statewide
(B,H,Hw) - See Adams County
(R) - See Adams County
DOLORES COUNTY
(Hw) - See Statewide
DOUGLAS COUNTY
(Hw) - See Statewide
(B,H,Hw) - See Adams County
(R) - See Adams County
EAGLE COUNTY
(Hw) - See Statewide
ELBERT COUNTY
(Hw) - See Statewide
(B,H,Hw) - See Adams County
(R) - See Adams County
EL PASO COUNTY
(Hw) - See Statewide
Decision #AQ-1012 (B,H,Hw)
38 FR 22322 - 8/17/73
FREMONT COUNTY
(Hw) - See Statewide
GARFIELD COUNTY
Decision #AP-904 (B,H,Hw)
38 FR 13159 - 5/11/73
Mod. #1 - 38 FR 18111 - 7/6/73
(Hw) - See Statewide
GILPIN COUNTY
(B,H,Hw) - See Adams County
(Hw) - See Statewide
(R) - See Adams County
GRAND COUNTY
(Hw) - See Statewide
GUNNISON COUNTY
(B,H,Hw) - See Garfield County
(Hw) - See Statewide
HINDSDALE COUNTY
(Hw) - See Statewide
HEURFANO COUNTY
(Hw) - See Statewide

COLORADO (Cont'd)

JACKSON COUNTY
(Hw) - See Statewide
JEFFERSON COUNTY
(Hw) - See Statewide
(B,H,Hw) - See Adams County
(R) - See Adams County
KIOWA COUNTY
(Hw) - See Statewide
CARSON COUNTY
(Hw) - See Statewide
LAKE COUNTY
(Hw) - See Statewide
LAPLATA COUNTY
(Hw) - See Statewide
LARIMER COUNTY
(B,H,Hw) - See Adams County
(Hw) - See Statewide
LAS ANIMAS COUNTY
(Hw) - See Statewide
LINCOLN COUNTY
(Hw) - See Statewide
LOGAN COUNTY
(Hw) - See Statewide
MESA COUNTY
(B,H,Hw) - See Garfield County
(Hw) - See Statewide
MINERAL COUNTY
(Hw) - See Statewide
MOFFAT COUNTY
(Hw) - See Statewide
MONTEZUMA COUNTY
(Hw) - See Statewide
MONTROSE COUNTY
(B,H,Hw) - See Garfield County
(Hw) - See Statewide
MORGAN COUNTY
(Hw) - See Statewide
OTERO COUNTY
(Hw) - See Statewide
OURAY COUNTY
(Hw) - See Statewide

COLORADO (Cont'd)

PARK COUNTY
(Hw) - See Statewide
PHILLIPS COUNTY
(Hw) - See Statewide
PITKIN COUNTY
(B,H,Hw) - See Garfield County
(Hw) - See Statewide
PROWERS COUNTY
(Hw) - See Statewide
PUEBLO COUNTY
(Hw) - See Statewide
Decision #AQ-1014 (B,H,Hw)
38 FR 22334 - 8/17/73
RIO BLANCO COUNTY
(Hw) - See Statewide
RIO GRANDE COUNTY
(Hw) - See Statewide
ROUTT COUNTY
(Hw) - See Statewide
SAGUACHE COUNTY
(Hw) - See Statewide
SAN JUAN COUNTY
(Hw) - See Statewide
SAN MIGUEL COUNTY
(Hw) - See Statewide
SEDGWICK COUNTY
(Hw) - See Statewide
SUMMIT COUNTY
(B,H,Hw) - See Adams County
(Hw) - See Statewide
TELLER COUNTY
(Hw) - See Statewide
WASHINGTON COUNTY
(Hw) - See Statewide
WELD COUNTY
(Hw) - See Statewide
(B,H,Hw) - See Adams County
YUMA COUNTY
(Hw) - See Statewide

CONNECTICUT

FAIRFIELD COUNTY
Decision #AQ-3014 (B,H,Hw)
38 FR 28475 - 10/12/73
Decision #AP-803 (D)
38 FR 10580 - 4/27/73
HARTFORD COUNTY
Decision #AQ-3015 (B,H,Hw)
38 FR 28480 - 10/12/73
Decision #AQ-3009 (R)
38 FR 24479 - 9/7/73
(D) - See Fairfield County
LITCHFIELD COUNTY
Decision #AQ-3016 (B,H,Hw)
38 FR 28485 - 10/12/73
MIDDLESEX COUNTY
Decision #AQ-3017 (B,H,Hw)
38 FR 28490 - 10/12/73
(D) - See Fairfield County
NEW HAVEN COUNTY
Decision #AQ-3018 (B,H,Hw)
38 FR 28494 - 10/12/73
(D) - See Fairfield County
NEW LONDON COUNTY
Decision #AQ-3019 - (B,H,Hw)
38 FR 28499 - 10/12/73
(D) - See Fairfield County
TOLLAND COUNTY
Decision #AQ-3020 (B,H,Hw)
38 FR 28503 - 10/12/73
WINDHAM COUNTY
Decision #AQ-3021 - (B,H,Hw)
38 FR 28507 - 10/12/73

DELAWARE

STATEWIDE
Decision #AP-803 (D)
38 FR 10580 - 4/27/73
Decision #AQ-2002 (B,H,Hw)
38 FR 20200 - 7/27/73
Mod. #1 - 38 FR 21010 - 8/3/73
Mod. #2 - 38 FR 22303 - 8/17/73
Mod. #3 - 38 FR 27163 - 9/28/73
Mod. #4 - 38 FR 29138 - 10/19/73
KENT COUNTY
(B,H,Hw,D) - See Statewide
NEW CASTLE COUNTY
(D) - See Statewide
(B,H,Hw) - See Statewide
SUSSEX COUNTY
(D) - See Statewide
(B,H,Hw) - See Statewide

FLORIDA

ALACHUA COUNTY
Decision #AQ-4007 (B)
38 FR 24499 - 9/7/73
BAKER COUNTY
Decision #AQ-4004 (Hw)
38 FR 22841 - 8/24/73
BAY COUNTY
Decision #AP-196 (B)
38 FR 15229 - 6/8/73
Mod. #1 - 38 FR 27163 - 9/28/73
Mod. #2 - 38 FR 27707 - 10/5/73
Decision #AQ-4002 (D)
38 FR 21037 - 8/3/73
Decision #AQ-4030 (Hw)
38 FR 29727 - 10/26/73
BRADFORD COUNTY
None
BREVARD COUNTY (Cape Kennedy
Kennedy Space Flight Center &
Patrick AFB only)
Decision #AP-197 (B)
38 FR 15231 - 6/8/73
Mod. #1 - 38 FR 18810 - 7/13/73
Mod. #2 - 38 FR 27707 - 10/5/73
Decision #AM-8590 (D)
37 FR 5655 - 3/17/72
Mod. #1-37 FR 24506 - 11/17/72
Decision #AQ-4006 (Hw)
38 FR 22842 - 8/24/73
BROWARD COUNTY
Decision #AQ-4003 (Hw)
38 FR 22841 - 8/24/73
(D) - See Brevard County
CALHOUN COUNTY
(Hw) - See Bay County
CHARLOTTE COUNTY
Decision #AQ-4019 (Hw)
38 FR 27703 - 10/5/73
(D) - See Brevard County
CITRUS COUNTY
(D) - See Brevard County
CLAY COUNTY
(Hw) - See Baker County
COLLIER COUNTY
(D) - See Brevard County
(Hw) - See Charlotte County
COLUMBIA COUNTY
None
DADE COUNTY
Decision #AP-198 (B)
38 FR 15233 - 6/8/73
Mod. #1 - 38 FR 18810 - 7/13/73
Mod. #2 - 38 FR 23650 - 8/31/73
Mod. #3 - 38 FR 27707 - 10/5/73
(D) - See Brevard County
(Hw) - See Broward County
DESOTO COUNTY
Decision #AQ-4017 (Hw)
38 FR 27718 - 10/5/73

FLORIDA (cont'd)

DIXIE COUNTY
(D) - See Brevard County
DUVAL COUNTY
Decision #AQ-4008 (B)
38 FR 24501 - 9/7/73
Mod. #1 - 38 FR 27707 - 10/5/73
(D) - See Brevard County
(Hw) - See Baker County
ESCAMBIA COUNTY
Decision #AP-1100 (B)
38 FR 15237 - 6/8/73
Mod. #1 - 38 FR 16579 - 6/22/73
Mod. #2 - 38 FR 18114 - 7/6/73
Decision #AP-178 (R)
38 FR 11259 - 5/4/73
(D) - See Bay County
Decision #AQ-4005 (Hw)
38 FR 22842 - 8/24/73
FLAGLER COUNTY
(D) - See Brevard County
(Hw) - See Baker County
FRANKLIN COUNTY
(D) - See Bay County
GADSDEN COUNTY
Decision #AP-179 (R)
38 FR 11260 - 5/4/73
(Hw) - See Bay County
GILCHRIST COUNTY
None
GLADES COUNTY
(Hw) - See Charlotte County
GULF COUNTY
(D) - See Bay County
(Hw) - See Bay County
HAMILTON COUNTY
None
HARDEE COUNTY
(Hw) - See De Soto County
HENDRY COUNTY
(Hw) - See Charlotte County
HERNANDO COUNTY
(D)-See Brevard County
HIGHLANDS COUNTY
(Hw) - See De Soto County
HILLSBOROUGH COUNTY
Decision #AP-1101 (B)
38 FR 15239 - 6/8/73
Mod. #1 - 38 FR 18811 - 7/13/73
Mod. #2 - 38 FR 23650 - 8/31/73
Mod. #3 - 38 FR 27707 - 10/5/73
Decision #AQ-4018 (Hw)
38 FR 27703 - 10/5/73
(D) - See Brevard County
(Hw) - See DeSoto County
HOLMES COUNTY
(Hw) - See Bay County
INDIAN RIVER COUNTY
(D) - See Brevard County
(Hw) - See Brevard County

FLORIDA (cont'd)

JACKSON COUNTY
(Hw) - See Bay County
JEFFERSON COUNTY
(D) - See Bay County
(R) - See Gadsden County
(Hw) - See Bay County
LAFAYETTE COUNTY
None
LAKE COUNTY
Decision #AP-181 (R)
38 FR 11261 - 5/4/73
(Hw) - See Brevard County
LEE COUNTY
(D) - See Brevard County
(Hw) - See Charlotte County
LEON COUNTY
Decision #AQ-4009 (B)
38 FR 24503 - 9/7/73
Mod. #1 - 38 FR 27707 - 10/5/73
(Hw) - See Bay County
(R) - See Gadsden County
LEVY COUNTY
(D) - See Brevard County
LIBERTY COUNTY
(Hw) - See Bay County
MADISON COUNTY
(R) - See Gadsden County
MANATEE COUNTY
(D) - See Brevard County
(Hw) - See Hillsborough County
MARION COUNTY
None
MARTIN COUNTY
(D) - See Brevard County
(Hw) - See Broward County
MONROE COUNTY
(D) - See Brevard County
(Hw) - See Broward County
NASSAU COUNTY
(D) - See Brevard County
(Hw) - See Baker County
OKALOOSA COUNTY
(B) - See Escambia County
(D) - See Bay County
(Hw) - See Escambia County
Decision #AP-180 (R)
38 FR 11260 - 5/4/73
OKEECHOBEE COUNTY
(Hw) - See DeSoto County
ORANGE COUNTY
Decision #AQ-4010 (B)
38 FR 24505 - 9/7/73
Mod. #1 - 38 FR 27707 - 10/5/73
(Hw) - See Brevard County
(R) - See Lake County
OSCEOLA COUNTY
(Hw) - See Brevard County
(R) - See Lake County

FLORIDA (cont'd)

PALM BEACH COUNTY
(D) - See Brevard County
(Hw) - See Broward County
PASCO COUNTY
(D) - See Brevard County
(Hw) - See Hillsborough County
PINELLAS COUNTY
Decision #AP-1104 (B)
38 FR 15245 - 6/8/73
Mod. #1 - 38 FR 23651 - 8/31/73
Mod. #2 - 38 FR 27707 - 10/5/73
Decision #AP-182 (R)
38 FR 11261 - 5/4/73
(D) - See Brevard County
(Hw) - See Hillsborough County
POLK COUNTY
(Hw) - See DeSoto County
PUTNAM COUNTY
(Hw) - See Baker County
ST. JOHNS COUNTY
(D) - See Brevard County
(Hw) - See Baker County
ST. LUCIE COUNTY
(D) - See Brevard County
(Hw) - See Broward County
SANTA ROSA COUNTY
(B) - See Escambia County;
(D) - See Bay County
(Hw) - See Escambia County
(R) - See Okaloosa County
SARASOTA COUNTY
(D) - See Brevard County
(Hw) - See Hillsborough County
SEMINOLE COUNTY
(Hw) - See Brevard County
(R) - See Lake County
SUMTER COUNTY
None
SUWANNEE COUNTY
None
TAYLOR COUNTY
(D) - See Brevard County
(R) - See Gadsden County
UNION COUNTY
None
VOLUSIA COUNTY (Cape Kennedy, Kennedy Space Flight Center & Patrick Air Force Base only)
(B) - See Brevard County
(D) - See Brevard County
(Hw) - See Brevard County
WAKULLA COUNTY
(D) - See Bay County
(Hw) - See Bay County

FLORIDA (Cont'd)

WALTON COUNTY
(B) - See Escambia County
(D) - See Bay County
(Hw) - See Bay County
(R) - See Okaloosa County
WASHINGTON COUNTY
(Hw) - See Bay County

GEORGIA

APPLING COUNTY
Decision #AM-473 (Hw)
36 FR 16421 - 8/20/71
ATKINSON COUNTY
(Hw) - See Appling County
BACON COUNTY
(Hw) - See Appling County
BAKER COUNTY
(Hw) - See Appling County
BALDWIN COUNTY
Decision #AM-472 (Hw)
36 FR 16420 - 8/20/71
BANKS COUNTY
Decision #AM-471 (Hw)
36 FR 16419 - 8/20/71
BARROW COUNTY
(Hw) - See Banks County
BARTOW COUNTY
(Hw) - See Banks County
BEN HILL COUNTY
(Hw) - See Appling County
BERRIEN COUNTY
(Hw) - See Appling County
BIBB COUNTY
(Hw) - See Baldwin County
BLECKLEY COUNTY
(Hw) - See Appling County
BRANTLEY COUNTY
(Hw) - See Appling County
BROOKS COUNTY
(Hw) - See Appling County
BRYAN COUNTY
Decision #AM-475 (Hw)
36 FR 16424 - 8/20/71
Decision #AP-847 (D)
38 FR 15797 - 6/15/73
BULLOCH COUNTY
(Hw) - See Appling County
BURKE COUNTY
(Hw) - See Baldwin County
BUTTS COUNTY
(Hw) - See Baldwin County
CALHOUN COUNTY
(Hw) - See Appling County
CAMDEN COUNTY
(D) - See Bryan County
(Hw) - See Appling County
CANDLER COUNTY
(Hw) - See Appling County
CARROLL COUNTY
(Hw) - See Baldwin County
CATOOSA COUNTY
(Hw) - See Banks County
CHARLTON COUNTY
(Hw) - See Appling County
CHATHAM COUNTY
Decision #AQ-4001 (B,R,Hw)

GEORGIA (Cont'd)

CHATHAM COUNTY CONT'D.
38 FR 19634 - 7/20/73
Mod. #1 - 38 FR 22303 - 8/17/73
Mod. #2 - 38 FR 27163 - 9/28/73
Mod. #3 - 38 FR 28470 - 10/12/73
Decision #AP-151 (R)
38 FR 4088 - 2/9/73
(D) - See Bryan County
CHATTAHOOCHEE COUNTY
(Hw) - See Baldwin County
CHATTOOGA COUNTY
(Hw) - See Banks County
CHEROKEE COUNTY
(Hw) - See Banks County
CLARKE COUNTY
(Hw) - See Baldwin County
CLAY COUNTY
(Hw) - See Appling County
CLAYTON COUNTY
Decision #AM-474 (Hw)
36 FR 16423 - 8/20/71
CLINCH COUNTY
(Hw) - See Appling County
COBB COUNTY
(B,H,Hw) - See Fulton County
(R) - See Fulton County
COFFEE COUNTY
(Hw) - See Appling County
COLQUITT COUNTY
(Hw) - See Appling County
COLUMBIA COUNTY
(Hw) - See Baldwin County
COOK COUNTY
(Hw) - See Appling County
COWETA COUNTY
(Hw) - See Baldwin County
CRAWFORD COUNTY
(Hw) - See Baldwin County
CRISP COUNTY
(Hw) - See Baldwin County
DADE COUNTY
(Hw) - See Banks County
DAWSON COUNTY
(Hw) - See Banks County
DECATUR COUNTY
(Hw) - See Appling County
DEKALB COUNTY
(B,H,Hw) - See Fulton County
(R) - See Fulton County

GEORGIA (Cont'd)

DODGE COUNTY
(Hw) - See Appling County
DOOLY COUNTY
(Hw) - See Baldwin County
DOUGHERTY COUNTY
(Hw) - See Appling County
DOUGLAS COUNTY
(Hw) - See Banks County
EARLY COUNTY
(Hw) - See Appling County
ECHOLS COUNTY
(Hw) - See Appling County
EFFINGHAM COUNTY
(Hw) - See Appling County
ELBERT COUNTY
(Hw) - See Banks County
EMANUEL COUNTY
(Hw) - See Baldwin County
EVANS COUNTY
(Hw) - See Appling County
FANNIN COUNTY
(Hw) - See Banks County
FAYETTE COUNTY
(Hw) - See Baldwin County
FLOYD COUNTY
(Hw) - See Banks County
FORSYTH COUNTY
(Hw) - See Banks County
FRANKLIN COUNTY
(Hw) - See Banks County
FULTON COUNTY
Decision #AQ-4000 (B,H,Hw)
38 FR 19637 - 7/20/73
Mod. #1 - 38 FR 25853 - 9/14/73
Mod. #2 - 38 FR 27163 - 9/28/73
Mod. #3 - 38 FR 28470 - 10/12/73
Decision #AP-150 (R)
38 FR 3254 - 2/2/73
Mod. #1 - 38 FR 5088 - 2/23/73
GILMER COUNTY
(Hw) - See Banks County
GLASCOCK COUNTY
(Hw) - See Baldwin County
GLYNN COUNTY
(D) - See Bryan County
(Hw) - See Appling County
GORDON COUNTY
(Hw) - See Banks County
GRADY COUNTY
(Hw) - See Appling COunty
GREENE COUNTY
(Hw) - See Appling County

GEORGIA (Cont'd)

GWINNETT COUNTY
(Hw) - See Banks County
HABERSHAM COUNTY
(Hw) - See Banks County
HALL COUNTY
(Hw) - See Banks County
HANCOCK COUNTY
(Hw) - See Baldwin County
HARALSON COUNTY
(Hw) - See Banks County
HARRIS COUNTY
(Hw) - See Baldwin County
HART COUNTY
(Hw) - See Banks County
HEARD COUNTY
(Hw) - See Baldwin County
HENRY COUNTY
(Hw) - See Clayton County
HOUSTON COUNTY
(Hw)-See Baldwin County
IRWIN COUNTY
(Hw) - See Appling County
JACKSON COUNTY
(Hw) - See Banks County
JASPER COUNTY
(Hw) - See Baldwin County
JEFF DAVIS COUNTY
(Hw) - See Appling County
JEFFERSON COUNTY
(Hw) - See Baldwin County
JENKINS COUNTY
(Hw) - See Baldwin County
JOHNSON COUNTY
(Hw) - See Baldwin County
JONES OCUNTY
(Hw) - See Baldwin County
LAMAR COUNTY
(Hw) - See Baldwin County
LANIER COUNTY
(Hw) - See Appling County
LAURENS COUNTY
(Hw) - See Appling County
LEE COUNTY
(Hw) - See Appling County
LIBERTY COUNTY
(D) - See Bryan County
(Hw) - See Bryan County
LINCOLN COUNTY
(Hw) - See Baldwin County

GEORGIA (Cont'd)

LONG COUNTY
(Hw) - See Appling County
LOWNDES COUNTY
(Hw) - See Appling County
LUMPKIN COUNTY
(Hw) - See Banks County
McDUFFIE COUNTY
(Hw) - See Baldwin County
McINTOSH COUNTY
(D) - See Bryan County
(Hw) - See Appling County
MACON COUNTY
(Hw) - See Baldwin County
MADISON COUNTY
(Hw) - See Banks County
MARION COUNTY
(Hw) - See Baldwin County
MERIWETHER COUNTY
(Hw) - See Baldwin County
MILLER COUNTY
(Hw) - See Appling County
MITCHELL COUNTY
(Hw) - See Appling County
MONROE COUNTY
(Hw) - See Baldwin County
MONTGOMERY COUNTY
(Hw) - See Appling County
MORGAN COUNTY
(Hw) - See Baldwin County
MURRAY COUNTY
(Hw) - See Banks County
MUSCOGEE COUNTY
(Hw) - See Baldwin County
NEWTON COUNTY
(Hw) - See Baldwin County
OCONEE COUNTY
(Hw) - See Baldwin County
OGLETHORPE COUNTY
(Hw) - See Baldwin County
PAULDING COUNTY
(Hw) - See Banks County
PEACH COUNTY
(Hw) - See Baldwin County
PICKENS COUNTY
(Hw) - See Banks County
PIERCE COUNTY
(Hw) - See Appling County
PIKE COUNTY
(Hw) - See Baldwin County

GEORGIA (Cont'd)

POLK COUNTY
(Hw) - See Banks County
PULASKI COUNTY
(Hw) - See Baldwin County
PUTNAM COUNTY
(Hw) - See Baldwin County
QUITMAN COUNTY
(Hw) - See Appling County
RABUN COUNTY
(Hw) - See Banks County
RANDOLPH COUNTY
(Hw) - See Appling County
RICHMOND COUNTY
(Hw) - See Baldwin County
ROCKDALE COUNTY
(Hw) - See Baldwin County
SCHLEY COUNTY
(Hw) - See Baldwin County
SCREVEN COUNTY
(Hw) - See Baldwin County
SEMINOLE COUNTY
(Hw) - See Appling County
SPALDING COUNTY
(Hw) - See Baldwin County
STEPHENS COUNTY
(Hw) - See Banks County
STEWART COUNTY
(Hw) - See Baldwin County
SUMTER COUNTY
(Hw) - See Baldwin County
TALBOT COUNTY
(Hw) - See Baldwin County
TALIAFERRO COUNTY
(Hw) - See Baldwin County
TATTNALL COUNTY
(Hw) - See Appling County
TAYLOR COUNTY
(Hw) - See Baldwin County
TELFAIR COUNTY
(Hw) - See Appling County
TERRELL COUNTY
(Hw) - See Appling County
THOMAS COUNTY
(Hw) - See Appling County
TIFT COUNTY
(Hw) - See Appling County
TOOMBS COUNTY
(Hw) - See Appling County

GEORGIA (Cont'd)

TOWNS COUNTY
(Hw) - See Banks County
TREUTLEN COUNTY
(Hw) - See Appling County
TROUP COUNTY
(Hw) - See Baldwin County
TURNER COUNTY
(Hw) - See Appling County
TWIGGS COUNTY
(Hw) - See Baldwin County
UNION COUNTY
(Hw) - See Banks County
UPSON COUNTY
(Hw) - See Baldwin County
WALKER COUNTY
(Hw) - See Banks County
WALTON COUNTY
(Hw) - See Baldwin County
WARE COUNTY
Decision #AP-155 (B)
38 FR 4073 - 2/9/73
Mod. #1 - 38 FR 5088 - 2/23/73
Decision #AP-160 (R)
38 FR 5083 - 2/23/73
Mod. #1 - 38 FR 10573 4/27/73
(Hw) - See Appling County
WARREN COUNTY
(Hw) - See Baldwin County
WASHINGTON COUNTY
(Hw) - See Baldwin County
WAYNE COUNTY
(Hw) - See Appling County
WEBSTER COUNTY
(Hw) - See Baldwin County
WHEELER COUNTY
(Hw) - See Appling County
WHITE COUNTY
(Hw) - See Banks county
WHITFIELD COUNTY
(Hw) - See Banks County
WILCOX COUNTY
(Hw) - See Baldwin County
WILKES COUNTY
(Hw) - See Baldwin County
WILKINSON COUNTY
(Hw) - See Baldwin County
WORTH COUNTY
(Hw) - See Appling County

GUAM

GUAM
Decision #AQ-1034 (B,R,H,Hw)
38 FR 25848 - 9/14/73

HAWAII

STATEWIDE
Decision #AQ-1040 (R)
38 FR 26571 - 9/21/73
Decision #AQ-1039 (B,Hw,H)
38 FR 26565 - 9/21/73
HAWAII COUNTY
(B,H,Hw) - See Statewide
(R) - See Statewide
HONOLULU COUNTY
(B,H,Hw) - See Statewide
(R) - See Statewide
KAUAI COUNTY
(B,H,Hw) - See Statewide
(R) - See Statewide
MAUI COUNTY
(B,H,Hw) - See Statewide
(R) - See Statewide

IDAHO

Ada County
Decision #AQ-1049 (B,H,Hw)
38 FR 29162 - 10/19/73
Decision AQ-1029 (R)
38 FR 24513 - 9/7/73
Mod. #1 - 38 FR 26543 - 9/21/73
ADAMS COUNTY
(B,H,Hw) - See Ada County
BANNOCK COUNTY
Decision #AQ-1030 (B,H,Hw)
38 FR 24517 - 9/7/73
Mod. #1 - 38 FR 26544 - 9/21/73
BEAR LAKE COUNTY
(B,H,Hw) - See Bannock County
BENEWAH COUNTY
Decision #AQ-1031 (B,H,Hw)
38 FR 24522 - 9/7/73
Mod. #1 - 38 FR 26544 - 9/21/73
Mod. #2 - 38 FR 27708 - 10/5/73
BINGHAM COUNTY
(B,H,Hw) - See Bannock County
BLAINE COUNTY
(B,H,Hw) - See Ada County
BOISE COUNTY
(B,H,Hw) - See Ada County
BONNER COUNTY
(B,H,Hw) - See Benewah County
BONNEVILLE COUNTY
(B,H,Hw) - See Bannock County
BOUNDARY COUNTY
(B,H,Hw) - See Benewah County
BUTTE COUNTY
(B,H,Hw) - See Ada County
CAMAS COUNTY
(B,H,Hw) - See Ada County
CANYON COUNTY
(B,H,Hw) - See Ada County
CARIBOU COUNTY
(B,H,Hw) - See Bannock County
CASSIA COUNTY
(B,H,Hw) - See Ada County
CLARK COUNTY
(B,H,Hw) - See Bannock County
CLEARWATER COUNTY
(B,H,Hw) - See Benewah County
CUSTER COUNTY
(B,H,Hw) - See Ada County
ELMORE COUNTY
(B,H,Hw) - See Ada County
FRANKLIN COUNTY
(B,H,Hw) - See Bannock County

IDAHO (cont'd)

FREMONT COUNTY
(B,H,Hw,) - See Bannock County
GEM COUNTY
(B,H,Hw) - See Ada County
GOODLING COUNTY
(B,H,Hw) - See Ada County
IDAHO COUNTY
(B,H,Hw) - See Benewah County
JEFFERSON COUNTY
(B,H,Hw) - See Bannock County
JEROME COUNTY
(B,H,Hw) - See Ada County
KOOTENAI COUNTY
(B,H,Hw) - See Benewah County
LATAH COUNTY
(B,H,Hw) - See Benewah County
LEMHI COUNTY
(B,H,Hw) - See Ada County
LEWIS COUNTY
(B,H,Hw) - See Benewah County
LINCOLN COUNTY
(B,H,Hw) - See Ada County
MADISON COUNTY
(B,H,Hw) - See Bannock County
MINIDOKA COUNTY
(B,H,Hw) - See Ada County
NEZ PERCE COUNTY
(B,H,Hw) - See Benewah County
ONEIDA COUNTY
(B,H,Hw) - See Bannock County
OWYHEE COUNTY
(B,H,Hw) - See Ada County
PAYETTE COUNTY
(B,H,Hw) - See Ada County
POWER COUNTY
(B,H,Hw) - See Bannock County
SHOSHONE COUNTY
(B,H,Hw) - See Benewah County
TETON COUNTY
(B,H,Hw) - See Bannock County
TWIN FALLS COUNTY
(B,H,Hw) - See Ada County
VALLEY COUNTY
(B,H,Hw) - See Ada County
WASHINGTON COUNTY
(B,H,Hw) - See Ada County

ILLINOIS

ADAMS COUNTY
Decision #AP-1016 (Hw)(H)
38 FR 14573 - 6/1/73
Mod. #1 - 38 FR 18813 - 7/13/73
Mod. #2 - 38 FR 22312 - 8/17/73
ALEXANDER COUNTY
Decision #AP-1019 (H)(Hw)
38 FR 14589 - 6/1/73
Decision #AP-602 (D)
38 FR 1433 - 1/12/73
BOND COUNTY
Decision #AP-1018 (Hw)(H)
38 FR 14583 - 6/1/73
BOONE COUNTY
Decision #AP-1012 (H)(Hw)
38 FR 14542 - 6/1/73
Mod. #1 - 38 FR 18812 - 7/13/73
BROWN COUNTY
(H)(Hw) - See Adams County
(D) - See Alexander County
BUREAU COUNTY
Decision #AP-1013 (Hw)(H)
38 FR 14552 - 6/1/73
Mod. #1 - 38 FR 17330 - 6/29/73
Mod. #2 - 38 FR 18812 - 7/13/73
Mod. #3 - 38 FR 22312 - 8/17/73
CALHOUN COUNTY
(H)(Hw) - See Bond County
(D) - See Alexander County
CARROLL COUNTY
(H)(Hw) - See Bureau County
CASS COUNTY
(H)(Hw) - See Adams County
(D) - See Alexander County
CHAMPAIGN COUNTY
Decision #AP-689 (B)
38 FR 15247 - 6/8/73
Mod. #1 - 38 FR 18811 - 7/13/73
Mod. #2 - 38 FR 22304 - 8/17/73
Mod. #3 - 38 FR 29139 - 10/19/73
Decision #AP-1015 (H)(Hw)
38 FR 14569 - 6/1/73
CHRISTIAN COUNTY
(H)(Hw) - See Adams County
CLARK COUNTY
(H) (Hw) - See Champaign County
CLAY COUNTY
Decision #AP-1017 (H)(Hw)
38 FR 14578 - 6/1/73
Mod. #1 - 38 FR 21011 - 8/3/73
CLINTON COUNTY
(H)(Hw) - See Bond County
COLES COUNTY
(H)(Hw) - See Champaign County

ILLINOIS

COOK COUNTY
Decision #AP-690 (B,H,Hw)
38 FR 15250 - 6/8/73
Mod. #1 - 38 FR 20162 - 7/27/73
Mod. #2 - 38 FR 22304 - 8/17/73
Mod. #3 - 38 FR 29139 - 10/19/73
Decision #AP-1003 (R)
38 FR 15302 - 6/8/73
Mod. #1 - 38 FR 20162 - 7/13/73
Mod. #2 - 38 FR 22308 - 8/17/73
Mod. #3 - 38 FR 29142 - 10/19/73
Decision #AP-4 (D)
37 FR 14570 - 7/21/72
CRAWFORD COUNTY
(H)(Hw) - See Clay County
CUMBERLAND COUNTY
(H)(Hw) - See Champaign County
DEKALB COUNTY
(H)(Hw) - See Boone County
DEWITT COUNTY
(H)(Hw) - See Champaign County
DOUGLAS COUNTY
(H) (Hw) - See Champaign County
DU PAGE COUNTY
Decision #AP-691 (B)
38 FR 15256 - 6/8/73
Mod. #1 - 38 FR 20162 - 7/27/73
Mod. #2 - 38 FR 22305 - 8/17/73
Mod. #3 - 38 FR 29139 - 10/19/73
Decision #AP-1004 (R)
38 FR 15305 - 6/8/73
Mod. #1 - 38 FR 20162 - 7/27/73
Mod. #2 - 38 FR 22309 - 8/17/73
Mod. #3 - 38 FR 29142 - 10/19/73
(H) (Hw) - See Boone County
EDGAR COUNTY
(H)(Hw) - See Champaign County
EDWARDS COUNTY
(H)(Hw) - See Clay County
EFFINGHAM COUNTY
(H)(Hw) - See Clay County
FAYETTE COUNTY
(H)(Hw) - See Clay County
FORD COUNTY
None
FRANKLIN COUNTY
(H)(Hw) - See Alexander County
FULTON COUNTY
Decision #AP-104 (H,Hw)
38 FR 14563 - 6/1/73
GALLATIN COUNTY
(H)(Hw) - See Alexander County
(D) - See Alexander County
GREENE COUNTY
(H)(Hw) - See Bond County
(D) - See Alexander County
GRUNDY COUNTY
None
HAMILTON COUNTY
(H)(Hw) - See Clay County

ILLINOIS (Cont'd)

HARDIN COUNTY
(H,Hw) - See Alexander County
(D) - See Alexander County
HENDERSON COUNTY
(H,Hw) - See Fulton County
HENRY COUNTY
(H)(Hw) - See Bureau County
IROQUOIS COUNTY
None
JACKSON COUNTY
(H)(Hw) - See Alexander County
(D) - See Alexander
JASPER COUNTY
(H,Hw) - See Clay County
JEFFERSON COUNTY
(H,Hw) - See Clay County
JERSEY COUNTY
(H,Hw) - See Bond County
(D) - See Alexander
JO DAVIESS COUNTY
(H)(Hw) - See Bureau County
JOHNSON COUNTY
(H,Hw) - See Alexander County
KANE COUNTY
Decision #AP-692 (B)
38 FR 15260 - 6/8/73
Mod. #1 - 38 FR 18811 - 7/13/73
Mod. #2 - 38 FR 22305 - 8/17/73
Mod. #3 - 38 FR 29139 - 10/19/73
Decision #AP-1005 (R)
38 FR 15309 - 6/8/73
Mod. #1 - 38 FR 18812 - 7/13/73
Mod. #2 - 38 FR 22309 - 8/17/73
Mod. #3 - 38 FR 29143 - 10/19/73
(H,Hw) - See Boone County
KANKAKEE COUNTY
None
KENDALL COUNTY
(H,Hw) - See Boone County
KNOX COUNTY
(H,Hw) - See Fulton County
LAKE COUNTY
Decision #AP-693 (B)
38 FR 15263 - 6/8/73
Mod. #1 - 38 FR 22305 - 8/17/73
Mod. #2 - 38 FR 29140 - 10/19/73
Decision #AP-1006 - (R)
38 FR 15263 - 6/18/73
Mod. #1 - 38 FR 22309 - 8/17/73
Mod. #2 - 38 FR 29143 - 10/19/73
(D) - See Cook County
(H,Hw) - See Boone County
LA SALLE COUNTY
None
LAWRENCE COUNTY
(H,Hw) - See Clay County
LEE COUNTY
(H,Hw) - See Bureau County

ILLINOIS (Cont'd)

LIVINGSTON COUNTY
None
LOGAN COUNTY
(H,Hw) - See Adams County
MCDONOUGH COUNTY
(Hw) - See Boone County
MCHENRY COUNTY
(H,Hw) - See Boone County
McCLEAN COUNTY
None
MACON COUNTY
(Hw) - See Champaign County
MADCOPIN COUNTY
(H,Hw) - See Bond County
MADISON COUNTY
Decision #AP-694 (B)
38 FR 15266 - 6/18/73
Mod. #1 - 38 FR 29140 - 10/19/73
Decision #AP-1007 (R)
38 FR 15315 - 6/8/73
Mod. #1 - 38 FR 29143 - 10/19/73
(H,Hw) - See Bond County
MARION COUNTY
(H,Hw) - See Clay County
MARSHALL COUNTY
None
MASON COUNTY
(H,Hw) - See Adams County
MASSAC COUNTY
(H,Hw) - See Alexander County
MENARD COUNTY
(H,Hw) - See Adams County
MERCER COUNTY
(H,Hw) - See Fulton County
MONROE COUNTY
(H,Hw) - See Bond County
(D) - See Alexander County
MONTGOMERY COUNTY
(H,Hw) - See Bond County
MORGAN COUNTY
(H,Hw) - See Adams County
(D) - See Alexander County
MOULTRIE COUNTY
(H,Hw) - See Champaign County
OGLE COUNTY
(H,Hw) - See Bureau County
PEORIA COUNTY
Decision #AP-695 (B) (D)
38 FR 15270 - 6/8/73
Mod. #1 - 38 FR 18811 - 7/13/73
Mod. #2 - 38 FR 29140 - 10/19/73
Decision #AP-1008 (R)
38 FR 15319 - 6/8/73
Mod. #1 - 38 FR 18812 - 7/13/73
Mod. #2 - 38 FR 29143 - 10/19/73
(H,Hw) - See Fulton County
PERRY COUNTY
(H,Hw) - See Alexander County
PIATT COUNTY
(H,Hw) - See Champaign County

ILLINOIS (Cont'd)

PIKE COUNTY
(H,Hw) - See Adams County
(D) - See Alexander County
POPE COUNTY
(H,Hw,D) - See Alexander County
PULASKI COUNTY
(H,Hw,D) - See Alexander County
PUTNAM COUNTY
None
RANDOLPH COUNTY
(H,Hw,D) - See Alexander County
RICHLAND COUNTY
(H,Hw) - See Clay County
ROCK ISLAND COUNTY
Decision #AP-696 (B)
38 FR 17276 - 6/8/73
Mod. #1 - 38 FR 29140 - 10/19/73
(H,Hw) - See Bureau County
SAINT CLAIR COUNTY
Decision #AP-697 (B)
38 FR 15279 - 6/8/73
Mod. #1 - 38 FR 21011 - 8/3/73
Mod. #2 - 38 FR 29141 - 10/19/73
Decision #AP-1009 (R)
38 FR 15324 - 6/8/73
Mod. #1 - 38 FR 29143 - 10/19/73
(H,Hw) - See Bond County
(D) - See Alexander County
SALINE COUNTY
(H,Hw) - See Alexander County
SANGAMON COUNTY
Decision #AP-698 (B)
38 FR 15284 - 6/8/73
Mod. #1 - 38 FR 18812 - 7/13/73
Mod. #2 - 38 FR 29141 - 10/19/73
Decision #AP-1010 (R)
38 FR 15203 - 6/18/73
Mod. #1 - 38 FR 18812 - 7/13/73
Mod. #2 - 38 FR 29144 - 10/19/73
(H,Hw) - See Adams County
SCHUYLER COUNTY
(H,Hw) - See Adams County
SCOTT COUNTY
(H,Hw) - See Adams County
(D) - See Alexander County
SHELBY COUNTY
(H,Hw) - See Champaign County
STARK COUNTY
(H,Hw) - See Fulton County
STEPHENSON COUNTY
(H,Hw) - See Bureau County
TAZEWELL COUNTY
(B)(R) - See Peoria County
(H,Hw) - See Fulton County
UNION COUNTY
(H,Hw,D) - See Alexander County
VERMILLION COUNTY
Decision #AP-699 (B)
38 FR 15287 - 6/18/73

ILLINOIS (Cont'd)

VERMILLION COUNTY (CONT'D)
Mod. #1 - 38 FR 29141 - 10/19/73
(H,Hw) - See Champaign County
WABASH COUNTY
(H,Hw) - See Clay County
WARREN COUNTY
(H,Hw) - See Fulton County
WASHINGTON COUNTY
(H,Hw) - See Bond County
WAYNE COUNTY
(H,Hw) - See Clay County
WHITE COUNTY
(H,Hw) - See Clay County
WHITESIDE COUNTY
(H,Hw) - See Bureau County
WILL COUNTY
Decision #AP-1001 (B)
38 FR 15294 - 6/8/73
Mod. #1 - 38 FR 22306 - 8/17/73
Mod. #2 - 38 FR 29141 - 10/19/73
Decision #AP-1011 (R)
38 FR 15329 - 6/8/73
Mod. #1 - 38 FR 22310 - 8/17/73
Mod. #2 - 38 FR 29144 - 10/19/73
(H,Hw) - See Boone County
WILLIAMSON COUNTY
Decision #AP-1000 (B)
38 FR 15291 - 6/8/73
Mod. #1 - 38 FR 29141 - 10/19/73
(H,Hw) - See Alexander County
WINNEBAGO COUNTY
Decision #AP-1002 (B)
38 FR 15298 - 6/8/73
Mod. #1 - 38 FR 22308 - 8/17/73
Mod. #2 - 38 FR 29142 - 10/19/73
(H,Hw) - See Bureau County
WOODFORD COUNTY
None

INDIANA

ADAMS COUNTY
Decision #AP-662 (H)(Hw)
38 FR 13214 - 5/18/73
Mod. #1 - 38 FR 17332 - 6/29/73
Mod. #2 - 38 FR 18816 - 7/13/73
ALLEN COUNTY
Decision #AP-648 (B)
38 FR 13165 - 5/18/73
Mod. #1 - 38 FR 18814 - 7/13/73
Mod. #2 - 38 FR 30313 - 11/2/73
Decision #AQ-3000 (R)
38 FR 22341 - 8/17/73
(H)(Hw) - See Adams County
BARTHOLOMEW COUNTY
Decision #AP-649 (B)
38 FR 13168 - 5/18/73
Mod. #1 - 38 FR 15787 - 6/15/73
Mod. #2 - 38 FR 18814 - 7/13/73
Mod. #3 - 38 FR 30314 - 11/2/73
Decision #AP-666 (H)(Hw)
38 FR 13237 - 5/18/73
Mod. #1 - 38 FR 17333 - 6/29/73
Mod. #2 - 38 FR 18817 - 7/13/73
BENTON COUNTY
Decision #AP-650 (B)
38 FR 13171 - 5/18/73
Mod. #1 - 38 FR 18814 - 7/13/73
Mod. #2 - 38 FR 30316 - 11/2/73
Decision #AP-663 (H)(Hw)
37 FR 13221 - 5/18/73
Mod. #1 - 38 FR 15787 - 6/15/73
Mod. #2 - 38 FR 17332 - 6/29/73
Mod. #3 - 38 FR 18816 - 7/13/73
BLACKFORD COUNTY
Decision #AP-664 (H)(Hw)
38 FR 13227 - 5/18/73
Mod. #1 - 38 FR 17332 - 6/29/73
Mod. #2 - 38 FR 18817 - 7/13/73
BOONE COUNTY
Decision #AM-668 (R)
38 FR 13247 - 5/18/73
Decision #AP-665 (Hw)(H)
38 FR 13232 - 5/18/73
Mod. #1 - 38 FR 17332 - 6/29/73
Mod. #2 - 38 FR 18817 - 7/13/73
BROWN COUNTY
(H)(Hw) - See Bartholomew County
CARROLL COUNTY
(H)(Hw) - See Benton County
CASS COUNTY
(H)(Hw) - See Benton County
CLARK COUNTY
Decision #AP-602 (D)
38 FR 1433 - 1/12/73
(H)(Hw) - See Bartholomew County
CLAY COUNTY
(H)(Hw) - See Boone County
CLINTON COUNTY
(H)(Hw) - See Benton County

INDIANA (cont'd)

CRAWFORD COUNTY
Decision #AP-667 (E,Hw)
38 FR 13244 - 5/18/73
Mod. #1 - 38 FR 17333 - 6/29/73
Mod. #2 - 38 FR 18817 - 7/13/73
(D) - See Clark County
DAVIESS COUNTY
(E,HW) - See Boone County
DEARBORN COUNTY
Decision #AP-651 (B)
38 FR 13174 - 6/18/73
Mod. #1 - 38 FR 18814 - 7/13/73
Mod. #2 - 38 FR 30317 - 11/2/73
(D) - See Clark County
(H,Hw) - See Bartholomew County
DECATUR COUNTY
(H,Hw) - See Bartholomew County
DEKALB COUNTY
(H,Hw) - See Adams County
DELAWARE COUNTY
Decision #AP-652 (B)
38 FR 13177 - 5/18/73
Mod. #1 - 38 FR 15787 - 6/15/73
Mod. #2 - 38 FR 18814 - 7/13/73
Mod. #3 - 38 FR 30318 - 11/2/73
(H,Hw) - See Blackford County
DUBOIS COUNTY
(H,Hw) - See Crawford County
ELKHART COUNTY
(H,Hw) - See Adams County
FAYETTE COUNTY
(H,Hw) - See Blackford County
FLOYD COUNTY
(D) - See Clark County
(H,Hw) - See Bartholomew County
FOUNTAIN COUNTY
(H,Hw) - See Boone County
FRANKLIN COUNTY
(H,Hw) - See Bartholomew County
FULTON COUNTY
(H,Hw) - See Benton County
GIBSON COUNTY
(H,Hw) - See Crawford County

INDIANA (Cont'd)

GRANT COUNTY
Decision #AP-653 (B)
38 FR 13180 - 5/18/73
Mod. #1 - 38 FR 15787 - 6/15/73
Mod. #2 - 38 FR 18814 - 7/13/73
Mod. #3 - 38 FR 30318 - 11/2/73
(H,Hw) - See Blackford County
GREENE COUNTY
(H,Hw) - See Boone County
HAMILTON COUNTY
(H,Hw) - See Blackford County
(R) - See Boone County
HANCOCK COUNTY
(H,Hw) - See Blackford County
(R) - See Boone County
HARRISON COUNTY
(D) - See Clark County
(H,Hw) - See Bartholomew County
HENDRICKS COUNTY
(H,Hw,) - See Boone County
(R) - See Boone County
HENRY COUNTY
(H,Hw) - See Blackford County
HOWARD COUNTY
(H,Hw) - See Benton County
HUNTINGTON COUNTY
(H,Hw) - See Adams County
JACKSON COUNTY
(H,Hw) - See Bartholomew County
JASPER COUNTY
(H,Hw) - See Benton County
JAY COUNTY
(H,Hw) - See Blackford County
JEFFERSON COUNTY
(D) - See Clark County
(H,Hw) - See Bartholomew County
JENNINGS COUNTY
(H,Hw) - See Bartholomew County
JOHNSON COUNTY
(H,Hw) - See Blackford County
(R) - See Boone County
KNOX COUNTY
(H,Hw) - See Boone County
KOSCIUSKO COUNTY
(H,Hw) - See Adams County

INDIANA (Cont'd)

LAGRANGE COUNTY
(H,Hw) - See Adams County
LAKE COUNTY
Decision #AP-654 (B,H,Hw)
38 FR 13182 - 5/18/73
Mod. #1 - 38 FR 18815 - 7/13/73
Mod. #2 - 38 FR 30319 - 11/2/73
Decision #AP-4 (D)
37 FR 14670 - 7/21/72
LAPORTE COUNTY
Decision #AP-655 (B,H,Hw)
38 FR 13188 - 5/18/73
Mod. #1 - 38 FR 18815 - 7/13/73
Mod. #2 - 38 FR 30320 - 11/2/73
(D) - See Lake County
LAWRENCE COUNTY
(H,Hw) - See Bartholomew County
MADISON COUNTY
(H,Hw) - See Blackford County
MARION COUNTY
Decision #AP-656 (B)
38 FR 13193 - 5/18/73
Mod. #1 - 38 FR 15787 - 6/15/73
Mod. #2 - 38 FR 18815 - 7/13/73
Mod. #3 - 38 FR 30321 - 11/2/73
(R) - See Boone County
(H,Hw) - See Blackford County
MARSHALL COUNTY
(H,Hw) - See Adams County
MARTIN COUNTY
(H,Hw) - See Bartholomew County
MIAMI COUNTY
(H,Hw) - See Benton County
MONROE COUNTY
Decision #AP-657 (B)
38 FR 13196 - 5/18/73
Mod. #1 - 38 FR 18815 - 7/13/73
Mod. #2 - 38 FR 30321 - 11/2/73
(H,Hw) - See Bartholomew County
MONTGOMERY COUNTY
(H,Hw) - See Boone County
MORGAN COUNTY
(R) - See Boone County
(H,Hw) - See Boone County
NEWTON COUNTY
(H,Hw) - See Benton County
NOBLE COUNTY
(H,Hw) - See Adams County

INDIANA (Cont'd)

OHIO COUNTY
(D) - See Clark County
(H,Hw) - See Bartholomew County
ORANGE COUNTY
(H,Hw) - See Bartholomew County
OWEN COUNTY
(H,Hw) - See Boone County
PARKE COUNTY
(H,Hw) - See Boone County
PERRY COUNTY
(D) - See Clark County
(H,Hw) - See Crawford County
PIKE COUNTY
(H,Hw) - See Crawford County
PORTER COUNTY
Decision #AP-658 (B,H,Hw)
38 FR 13199 - 5/18/73
Mod. #1 - 38 FR 18815 - 7/13/73
Mod. #2 - 38 FR 30322 - 11/2/73
(D) - See Lake County
POSEY COUNTY
(D) - See Clark County
(H,Hw) - See Crawford County
PULASKI COUNTY
(H,Hw) - See Benton County
PUTNAM COUNTY
(H,Hw) - See Boone County
RANDOLPH COUNTY
(H,Hw) - See Blackford County
RIPLEY COUNTY
(H,Hw) - See Bartholomew County
RUSH COUNTY
(H,Hw) - See Blackford County
SAINT JOSEPH COUNTY
Decision #AP-659 (B,H,Hw)
38 FR 13203 - 5/18/73
Mod. #1 - 38 FR 18816 - 7/13/73
Mod. #2 - 38 FR 30323 - 11/2/73
SCOTT COUNTY
(H,Hw) - See Bartholomew County
SHELBY COUNTY
(R) - See Boone County
(H,Hw) - See Blackford County
SPENCER COUNTY
(D) - See Clark County
(H,Hw) See Crawford County

INDIANA (Cont'd)

STARKE COUNTY
(H,Hw) - See Adams County
STEUBEN COUNTY
(H,Hw) - See Adams County
SULLIVAN COUNTY
(H,Hw) - See Boone County
SWITZERLAND COUNTY
(D) - See Clark County
(H,Hw) - See Bartholomew County
TIPPECANOE COUNTY
(B,H,Hw) - See Benton County
TIPTON COUNTY
(H,Hw) - See Benton County
UNION COUNTY
(H,Hw) - See Blackford County
VANDERBURGH COUNTY
Decision #AP-660 (B)
38 FR 13208 - 5/18/73
Mod. #1 - 38 FR 18816 - 7/13/73
Mod. #2 - 38 FR 30324 - 11/2/73
(D) - See Clark County
(H,Hw) - See Crawford County
VERMILLION COUNTY
(H,Hw) - See Boone County
VIGO COUNTY
Decision #AP-661 (B)
38 FR 13211 - 5/18/73
Mod. #1 - 38 FR 15787 - 6/15/73
Mod. #2 - 38 FR 17332 - 6/29/73
Mod. #3 - 38 FR 18816 - 7/13/73
Mod. #4 - 38 FR 30325 - 11/2/73
(H,Hw) - See Boone County
WABASH COUNTY
(H,Hw) - See Benton County
WARREN COUNTY
(H,Hw) - See Boone County
WARRICK COUNTY
(D) - See Clark County
(H,Hw) - See Crawford County
WASHINGTON COUNTY
(H,Hw) - See Bartholomew County
WAYNE COUNTY
(H,Hw) - See Blackford County
WELLS COUNTY
(H,Hw) - See Adams County
WHITE COUNTY
(H,Hw) - See Benton County
WHITLEY COUNTY
(H,Hw) - See Adams County

IOWA

ADAIR COUNTY
None
ADAMS COUNTY
None
ALLAMAKEE COUNTY
None
APPANOOSE COUNTY
None
AUDUBON COUNTY
None
BENTON COUNTY
None
BLACK HAWK COUNTY
Decision #AQ-17 (B,H,Hw) (City of Waterloo & abutting Municipalities
38 FR 21078 - 8/3/73
Mod. #1 - 38 FR 22849 - 8/24/73
Mod. #2 - 38 FR 26545 - 9/21/73
Mod. #3 - 38 FR 29145 - 10/19/73
BOONE COUNTY
None
BREMER COUNTY
None
BUCHANAN COUNTY
None
BUENA VISTA COUNTY
None
BUTLER COUNTY
None
CALHOUN COUNTY
None
CARROLL COUNTY
Decision #AM-6,712 (H,Hw)
37 FR 7429 - 4-14-72
CASS COUNTY
(H-Hw) - See Carroll County
CEDAR COUNTY
None
CERRO GORDO COUNTY (MASON CITY)
Decision #AM-2,448 (B,H,Hw)
36 FR 16796 - 8-25-71
Mod. #1-36 FR 18985 - 9-24-71
Mod. #2-37 FR 4465 - 3-3-72
Mod. #3-38 FR 16579 - 6/22/73
Mod. #4-38 FR 18115 - 7/6/73
Mod. #5-38 FR 20163 - 7/27/73
Mod. #6 - 38 FR 22843 - 8/24/73
CHEROKEE COUNTY
None
CHICKASAW COUNTY
None
CLARKE COUNTY
None

IOWA (Cont'd)

CLAY COUNTY
(H,Hw) - See Carroll County
CLAYTON COUNTY
None
CLINTON COUNTY (City of Clinton and abbutting municipalities)
Decision #AQ-12 (B,H,Hw)
38 FR 21059 - 8/3/73
Mod. #1 - 38 FR 22846 - 8/24/73
Mod. #2 - 38 FR 26544 - 9/21/73
Mod. #3 - 38 FR 29144 - 10/19/73
Mod. #4 - 38 FR 30313 - 11/2/73
CRAWFORD COUNTY
(H,Hw) - See Carroll County
DALLAS COUNTY
None
DAVIS COUNTY
None
DECATUR COUNTY
None
DELAWARE COUNTY
None
DES MOINES COUNTY (City of Burlington and Abutting Municipalities; and Burlington Ordnance Plant)
Decision #AQ-16 (B,H,Hw)
38 FR 21074 - 8/3/73
Mod. #1 - 38 FR 22849 - 8/24/73
Mod. #2 - 38 FR 26545 - 9/21/73
Mod. #3 - 38 FR 29145 - 10/19/73
DICKINSON COUNTY
None
DUBUQUE COUNTY (City of Dubuque and abutting municipalities)
Decision #AQ-13 (B,H,Hw)
38 FR 21062 - 8/3/73
Mod. #1 - 38 FR 22846 - 8/24/73
Mod. #2 - 38 FR 26544 - 9/21/73
Mod. #3 - 38 FR 29144 - 10/19/73
EMMET COUNTY
None
FAYETTE COUNTY
None
FLOYD COUNTY
None
FRANKLIN COUNTY
None
FREMONT COUNTY
None
GREENE COUNTY
None
GRUNDY COUNTY
None

IOWA (Cont'd)

GUTHRIE COUNTY
None
HAMILTON COUNTY
None
HANCOCK COUNTY
None
HARDIN COUNTY
None
HARRISON COUNTY
None
HENRY COUNTY
None
HOWARD COUNTY
(H,Hw) - See Carroll County
HUMBOLDT COUNTY
None
IDA COUNTY
None
IOWA COUNTY
None
JACKSON COUNTY
None
JASPER COUNTY
None
JEFFERSON COUNTY
None
JOHNSON COUNTY (City of Iowa City and abutting municipalities)
Decision #AQ-20 (B,H,Hw)
38 FR 22861 - 8/24/73
Mod. #1 - 38 FR 26545 - 9/21/73
Mod. #2 - 38 FR 29145 - 10/19/73
JONES COUNTY
None
KEOKUK COUNTY
None
KOSSUTH COUNTY
None
LEE COUNTY
None
LINN COUNTY (City of Cedar Rapids and Abutting Municipalities)
Decision #AQ-19 (B,H,Hw)
38 FR 22857 - 8/24/73
Mod. #1 - 38 FR 26545 - 9/21/73
Mod. #2 - 38 FR 29145 - 10/19/73
LINN COUNTY (Except City of Cedar Rapids and Abutting Municipalities)
Decision #AP-536 (H,Hw)
38 FR 18139 - 7/6/73

IOWA (Cont'd)

LOUISA COUNTY
None
LUCAS COUNTY
None
LYON COUNTY
None
MADISON COUNTY
None
MAHASKA COUNTY
None
MARION COUNTY
None
MARSHALL COUNTY
None
MILLS COUNTY
None
MITCHELL COUNTY
None
MONONA COUNTY
(H,Hw) - See Carroll County
MONROE COUNTY
None
MONTGOMERY COUNTY
None
MUSCATINE COUNTY
None
O'BRIEN COUNTY
(H,Hw) - See Carroll County
OSCEOLA COUNTY
(H,Hw) - See Carroll County
PAGE COUNTY
None
PALO ALTO COUNTY
None
PLYMOUTH COUNTY
None
POCAHONTAS COUNTY
None
POLK COUNTY (City of Des Moines and Abutting Municipalities)
Decision #AQ-15 (B,H,Hw)
38 FR 21070 - 8/3/73
Mod. #1 - 38 FR 22848 - 8/24/73
Mod. #2 - 38 FR 26545 - 9/21/73
Mod. #3 - 38 FR 29145 - 10/19/73
Mod. #4 - 38 FR 30313 - 11/2/73
POLK COUNTY (Except City of Des Moines and Abutting Municipalities)
Decision #AP-215 (H,Hw)
37 FR 16266 - 8-11-72

IOWA (Cont'd)

POTTAWATTAMIE COUNTY (City of Council Bluffs and the area within 3 miles from the City limits)
Decision #AM-2,455 (B,H,Hw)
36 FR 16820 - 8-25-71
Mod. #1-37 FR 4466 - 3-3-72
POWESHIEK COUNTY
None
RINGGOLD COUNTY
(H,Hw) - See Carroll County
SAC COUNTY
None
SCOTT COUNTY
Decision #AQ-3 (B,H,Hw)
38 FR 19641 - 7/20/73
Mod. #1 - 38 FR 26544 - 9/21/73
Mod. #2 - 38 FR 29144 - 10/19/73
Mod. #3 - 38 FR 30313 - 11/2/73
SHELBY COUNTY
None
SIOUX COUNTY
None
STORY COUNTY (City of Ames and abutting municipalities)
Decision #AQ-14 (B,H,Hw)
38 FR 21066 - 8/3/73
Mod. #1 - 38 FR 22847 - 8/24/73
Mod. #2 - 38 FR 26545 - 9/21/73
Mod. #3 - 38 FR 29145 - 10/19/73
Mod. #4 - 38 FR 30313 - 11/2/73
TAMA COUNTY
None
TAYLOR COUNTY
(H,Hw) - See Carroll County
UNION COUNTY
None
VAN BUREN COUNTY
(H,Hw) - See Carroll County
WAPELLO COUNTY
None
WARREN COUNTY
None
WASHINGTON COUNTY
None
WAYNE COUNTY
None
WEBSTER COUNTY (FORT DODGE)
Decision #AM-2,458 (B,H,Hw)
36 FR 16830 - 8-25-71
Mod. #1-37 FR 4466 - 3-3-72
Mod. #2-37 FR 11117 - 6/2/72
Mod. #3 - 38 FR 15787 - 6/15/73
Mod. #4 - 38 FR 17337 - 6/29/73
Mod. #5 - 38 FR 18115 - 7/6/73
Mod. #6 - 38 FR 18817 - 7/13/73
Mod. #7 - 38 FR 22844 - 8/24/73

IOWA (Cont'd)

WINNEBAGO COUNTY
None
WINNESHIEK COUNTY
None
WOODBURY COUNTY (City of Sioux City and abutting municipalities)
Decision #AQ-11 (B,H,Hw)
38 FR 21055 - 8/3/73
Mod. #1 - 38 FR 22845 - 8/24/73
Mod. #2 - 38 FR 23653 - 8/31/73
Mod. #3 - 38 FR 26544 - 9/21/73
Mod. #4 - 38 FR 29144 - 10/19/73
WORTH COUNTY
None
WRIGHT COUNTY
None

KANSAS

ALLEN COUNTY
Decision #AP-519 (Hw)
38 FR 7732 - 3/23/73
Mod. #1 - 38 FR 22313 - 8/17/73
ANDERSON COUNTY
(Hw) - See Allen County
ATCHISON COUNTY
Decision #AQ-21 (D)
38 FR 23647 - 8/31/73
(Hw) - See Allen County
BARBER COUNTY
Decision #AP-521 (Hw)
38 FR 7734 - 3/23/73
Mod. #1 - 38 FR 22313 - 8/17/73
BARTON COUNTY
(Hw) - See Barber County
BOURBON COUNTY
(Hw) - See Allen County
BROWN COUNTY
(Hw) - See Allen County
BUTLER COUNTY
(Hw) - See Allen County
CHASE COUNTY
(Hw) - See Allen County
CHAUTAUQUA COUNTY
(Hw) - See Allen County
CHEROKEE COUNTY
(Hw) - See Allen County
CHEYENNE COUNTY
(Hw) - See Barber County
CLARK COUNTY
(Hw) - See Barber County

KANSAS (Cont'd)

CLAY COUNTY
(Hw) - See Allen County
CLOUD COUNTY
(Hw) - See Allen County
COFFEY COUNTY
(Hw) - See Allen County
COMANCHE COUNTY
(Hw) - See Barber County
COWLEY COUNTY
(Hw) - See Allen County
CRAWFORD COUNTY
(Hw) - See Allen County
DECATUR COUNTY
(Hw) - See Barber County
DICKINSON COUNTY
(Hw) - See Allen County
DONIPHAN COUNTY
(D) - See Atchison County
(Hw) - See Allen County
DOUGLAS COUNTY
Decision #AP-503 (Hw)
37 FR 17349 - 8-25-72
Mod. #1-37 FR 20389 - 9-29-72
Mod. #2-38 FR 6523 - 3/9/73
Mod. #3-38 FR 9389 - 4/13/73
Mod. #4-38 FR 20164 - 7/27/73
Mod. #5 - 38 FR 22850 - 8/24/73
EDWARDS COUNTY
(Hw) - See Barber County
ELK COUNTY
(Hw) - See Allen County
ELLIS COUNTY
(Hw) - See Barber County
ELLSWORTH COUNTY
(Hw) - See Barber County
FINNEY COUNTY
(Hw) - See Barber County
FORD COUNTY
(Hw) - See Barber County
FRANKLIN COUNTY
(Hw) - See Allen County
GEARY COUNTY
(Hw) - See Allen County
GOVE COUNTY
(Hw) - See Barber County
GRAHAM COUNTY
(Hw) - See Barber County
GRANT COUNTY
(Hw) - See Barber County

KANSAS (Cont'd)

GRAY COUNTY
(Hw) - See Barber County
GREELEY COUNTY
(Hw) - See Barber County
GREENWOOD COUNTY
(Hw) - See Allen County
HAMILTON COUNTY
(Hw) - See Barber County
HARPER COUNTY
(Hw) - See Allen County
HARVEY COUNTY
(Hw) - See Allen County
HASKELL COUNTY
(Hw) - See Barber County
HODGEMAN COUNTY
(Hw) - See Barber County
JACKSON COUNTY
(Hw) - See Allen County
JEFFERSON COUNTY
(Hw) - See Douglas County
JEWELL COUNTY
(Hw) - See Barber County
JOHNSON COUNTY
Decision #AP-531 (B,H,Hw)
38 FR 13929 - 5/25/73
Mod. #1 - 38 FR 15210 - 6/8/73
Mod. #2 - 38 FR 16584 - 6/22/73
Mod. #3 - 38 FR 18117 - 7/6/73
Mod. #4 - 38 FR 20165 - 7/27/73
Mod. #5 - 38 FR 22850 - 8/24/73
Decision #AP-516 (R)
37 FR 26206 - 12/8/72
Mod. #1 - 38 FR 1435 - 1/12/73
Mod. #2 - 38 FR 4078 - 2/9/73
Mod. #3 - 38 FR 12511 - 5/11/73
Mod. #4 - 38 FR 15210 - 6/8/73
Mod. #5 - 38 FR 22850 - 8/24/73
KEARNY COUNTY
(Hw) - See Barber County
KINGMAN COUNTY
(Hw) - See Allen County
KIOWA COUNTY
(Hw) - See Barber County
LABETTE COUNTY
(Hw) - See Allen County
LANE COUNTY
(Hw) - See Barber County
LEAVENWORTH COUNTY
Decision #AQ-36 (B)
38 FR 28511 - 10/12/73
(Hw) - See Douglas County
(D) - See Atchison County

KANSAS (Cont'd)

LINCOLN COUNTY
(Hw) - See Barber County
LINN COUNTY
(Hw) - See Allen County
LOGAN COUNTY
(Hw) - See Barber County
LYON COUNTY
(Hw) - See Allen County
McPHERSON COUNTY
(Hw) - See Allen County
MARION COUNTY
(Hw) - See Allen County
MARSHALL COUNTY
(Hw) - See Allen County
MEADE COUNTY
(Hw) - See Barber County
MIAMI COUNTY
(Hw) - See Douglas County
MITCHELL COUNTY
(Hw) - See Barber County
MONTGOMERY COUNTY
(Hw) - See Allen County
MORRIS COUNTY
(Hw) - See Allen County
MORTON COUNTY
(Hw) - See Barber County
NEMAHA COUNTY
(Hw) - See Allen County
NEOSHO COUNTY
(Hw) - See Allen County
NESS COUNTY
(Hw) - See Barber County
NORTON COUNTY
(Hw) - See Barber County
OSAGE COUNTY
(Hw) - See Allen County
OSBORNE COUNTY
(Hw) - See Barber County
OTTAWA COUNTY
(Hw) - See Allen County
PAWNEE COUNTY
(Hw) - See Barber County
PHILLIPS COUNTY
(Hw) - See Barber County
POTTAWATOMIE COUNTY
(Hw) - See Allen County
PRATT COUNTY
(Hw) - See Barber County
RAWLINS COUNTY
(Hw) - See Barber County

KANSAS (Cont'd)

RENO COUNTY
(Hw) - See Allen County
REPUBLIC COUNTY
(Hw) - See Allen County
RICE COUNTY
(Hw) - See Barber County
RILEY COUNTY
(Hw) - See Allen County
ROOKS COUNTY
(Hw) - See Barber County
RUSH COUNTY
(Hw) - See Barber County
RUSSELL COUNTY
(Hw) - See Barber County
SALINE COUNTY
(Hw) - See Allen County
SCOTT COUNTY
(Hw) - See Barber County
SEDGWICK COUNTY
Decision #AP-533 (R)
38 FR 16573 - 6/22/73
Decision #AP-532 (B)
38 FR 13922 - 5/25/73
Mod. #1 - 38 FR 20166 - 7/27/73
Mod. #2 - 38 FR 27163 - 9/28/73
Decision #AP-520 (Hw)
38 FR 7733 - 3/23/73
Mod. #1 - 38 FR 22313 - 8/17/73
SEWARD COUNTY
(Hw) - See Barber County
SHAWNEE COUNTY
Decision #AQ-32 (B)
38 FR 27169 - 9/28/73
(Hw) - See Douglas County
Decision #AQ-33 (R)
38 FR 27172 - 9/28/73

KANSAS (Cont'd)

SHERIDAN COUNTY
(Hw) - See Barber County
SHERMAN COUNTY
(Hw) - See Barber County
SMITH COUNTY
(Hw) - See Barber County
STAFFORD COUNTY
(Hw) - See Barber County
STANTON COUNTY
(Hw) - See Barber County
STEVENS COUNTY
(Hw) - See Barber County
SUMNER COUNTY
(Hw) - See Allen County
THOMAS COUNTY
(Hw) - See Barber County
TREGO COUNTY
(Hw) - See Barber County
WABAUNSEE COUNTY
(Hw) - See Allen County
WALLACE COUNTY
(Hw) - See Barber County
WASHINGTON COUNTY
(Hw) - See Allen County
WICHITA COUNTY
(Hw) - See Barber County
WILSON COUNTY
(Hw) - See Allen County
WOODSON COUNTY
(Hw) - See Allen County
WYANDOTTE COUNTY
(B,H,Hw) - See Johnson County
(R) - See Johnson County
(D) - See Atchison County

KENTUCKY

ADAIR COUNTY
Decision #AQ-4025 (H,Hw)
38 FR 29175 - 10/19/73
Mod. #1 - 38 FR 30325 - 11/2/73
ALLEN COUNTY
Decision #AP-145 (H,Hw)
38 FR 2040 - 1/19/73
Mod. #1-38 FR 14513 - 6/1/73
Mod. #2-38 FR 22313 - 8/17/73
ANDERSON COUNTY
Decision #AP-146 (H,Hw)
38 FR 2044 - 1/19/73
Mod. #1-38 FR 14514 - 6/1/73
Mod. #2-38 FR 22314 - 8/17/73
BALLARD COUNTY
Decision #AQ-4002 (D)
38 FR 21037 - 8/3/73
(H,Hw) - See Allen County
BARREN COUNTY
(H,Hw) - See Adair County
BATH COUNTY
(H,Hw) - See Anderson County
Decision #AM-487 (R)
36 FR 16459 - 8/20/71
BELL COUNTY
(H,Hw) - See Adair County
BOONE COUNTY
Decision #AQ-4013 (B)
38 FR 27175 - 9/28/73
Decision #AP-141 (H,Hw)
37 FR 25690 - 12/1/72
Mod. #1-37 FR 26778 - 12/15/72
Mod. #2-38 FR 2008 - 1/19/73
Mod. #3-38 FR 20169 - 7/27/73
Mod. #4 - 38 FR 27163 - 9/28/73
Decision #AP-602 (D)
38 FR 1433 - 1/12/73
BOURBON COUNTY
(Hw,H) - See Anderson County
(R) - See Bath County
BOYD COUNTY
Decision #AQ-4020 (B)
38 FR 28516 - 10/12/73
(H,Hw) - See Anderson County
(D) - See Boone County
BOYLE COUNTY
(H,Hw) - See Anderson County
BRACKEN COUNTY
(H,Hw) - See Anderson County
(D) - See Boone County
BREATHITT COUNTY
Decision #AQ-4026 (H,Hw)
38 FR 29179 - 10/19/73
Mod. #1 - 38 FR 30325 - 11/2/73

KENTUCKY (Cont'd)

BRECKINRIDGE COUNTY
Decision #AP-183 (R)
38 FR 11278 - 5/4/73
(H,Hw) - See Anderson County
(D) - See Boone County
BULLITT COUNTY
(D) - See Boone County
(H,Hw) - See Anderson County
(R) - See Breckinridge County
BUTLER COUNTY
(H,Hw) - See Allen County
CALDWELL COUNTY
(H,Hw) - See Allen County
CALLOWAY COUNTY
(H,Hw) - See Allen County
CAMPBELL COUNTY
(B,H,D,Hw) - See Boone County
CARLISLE COUNTY
(D) - See Ballard County
(H,Hw) - See Allen County
CARROLL COUNTY
(H,Hw) - See Anderson County
(D) - See Boone County
CARTER COUNTY
(H,Hw) - See Anderson County
CASEY COUNTY
(H,Hw) - See Adair County
CHRISTIAN COUNTY
(H,Hw) - See Allen County
CLARK COUNTY
(H,Hw) - See Anderson County
(R) - See Bath County
CLAY COUNTY
(H,Hw) - See Adair County
CLINTON COUNTY
(H,Hw) - See Adair County
CRITTENDEN COUNTY
(H,Hw) - See Allen County
(D) - See Boone County
CUMBERLAND COUNTY
(H,Hw) - See Adair County
DAVIESS COUNTY
(H,Hw) - See Allen County
(D) - See Boone County
EDMONSON COUNTY
(H,Hw) - See Allen County

KENTUCKY (cont'd)

ELLIOTT COUNTY
(H,Hw) - See Anderson County
ESTILL COUNTY
(H,Hw) - See Adair County
FAYETTE COUNTY
Decision #AQ-4021 (B)
38 FR 28519 - 10/12/73
(R) - See Bath County
FLEMING COUNTY
(H,Hw) - See Anderson County
FLOYD COUNTY
(H,Hw) - See Breathitt County
FRANKLIN COUNTY
(H,Hw) - See Anderson County
FULTON COUNTY
(D) - See Ballard County
(H,Hw) - See Allen County
GALLATIN COUNTY
(H,Hw) - See Anderson County
(D) - See Boone COunty
GARRARD COUNTY
(H,Hw) - See Adair County
GRANT COUNTY
(H,Hw) - See Anderson County
GRAVES COUNTY
(H,Hw) - See Allen County
GRAYSON COUNTY
(H,Hw) - See Anderson County
GREEN COUNTY
(H,Hw) - See Adair County
GREENUP COUNTY
(H,Hw) - See Anderson County
(D) - See Boone County

KENTUCKY (Cont'd)

HANCOCK COUNTY
(H,Hw) - See Allen County
(D) - See Boone County
HARDIN COUNTY
(H,Hw) - See Anderson County
(R) - See Breckinridge County
(D) - See Boone County
HARLAN COUNTY
(H,Hw) - See Adair County
HARRISON COUNTY
(H,Hw) - See Anderson County
(R) - See Bath County
HART COUNTY
(H,Hw) - See Adair County
HENDERSON COUNTY
(H,Hw) - See Allen County
(D) - See Boone County
HENRY COUNTY
(H,Hw) - See Anderson County
HICKMAN COUNTY
(D) - See Ballard County
(H,Hw) - See Allen County
HOPKINS COUNTY
(H,Hw) - See Allen County
JACKSON COUNTY
(H,Hw) - See Adair County
JEFFERSON COUNTY
Decision #AQ-4022 (B)
38 FR 28522 - 10/12/73
(D) - See Boone County
(R) - See Breckinridge County
(H,Hw) - See Anderson County

KENTUCKY (Cont'd)

JESSAMINE COUNTY
(H,Hw) - See Anderson County
(R) - See Bath County
JOHNSON COUNTY
Decision #AP-147 (H,Hw)
38 FR 2048 - 1/19/73
Mod. #1-38 FR 14515 - 6/1/73
Mod. #2-38 FR 22314 - 8/17/73
KENTON COUNTY
(B,H,Hw,D) - See Boone County
KNOTT COUNTY
(H,Hw) - See Breathitt County
KNOX COUNTY
(H,Hw) - See Adair County
LARUE COUNTY
(H,Hw) - See Anderson County
LAUREL COUNTY
(H,Hw) - See Adair County
LAWRENCE COUNTY
(H,Hw) - See Johnson County
LEE COUNTY
(H,Hw) - See Adair cOunty
LESLIE COUNTY
(H,Hw) - See Adair County
LETCHER COUNTY
(H,Hw) - See Breathitt County
LEWIS COUNTY
(H,Hw) - See Anderson County
(D) - See Boone County
LINCOLN COUNTY
(H,Hw) - See Adair county
LIVINGSTON COUNTY
(H,Hw) - See Allen County
(D) - See Boone County
LOGAN COUNTY
(H,Hw) - See Allen County
LYON COUNTY
(H,Hw) - See Allen County

KENTUCKY (Cont'd)

McCRACKEN COUNTY
Decision #AQ-4023 (B)
38 FR 28525 - 10/12/73
(D) - See Boone County
(H,Hw) - See Allen County
McCREARY COUNTY
(H,Hw) - See Adair County
McLEAN COUNTY
(H,Hw) - See Allen County
MADISON COUNTY
(H,Hw) - See Anderson County
(R) - See Bath County
MAGOFFIN COUNTY
(H,Hw) - See Breathitt County
MARION COUNTY
(H,Hw) - See Anderson County
(R) - See Breckinridge County
MARSHALL COUNTY
(H,Hw) - See Allen County
MARTIN COUNTY
(H,Hw) - See Breathitt County
MASON COUNTY
(H,Hw) - See Anderson County
(D) - See Boone County
MEADE COUNTY
(H,Hw) - See Anderson County
(R) - See Breckinridge County
(D) - See Boone County
MENIFEE COUNTY
(H,Hw) - See Adair County

KENTUCKY (Cont'd)

MERCER COUNTY
(H,Hw) - See Anderson County
METCALFE COUNTY
(H,Hw) - See Adair County
MONROE COUNTY
(H,Hw) - See Adair County
MONTGOMERY COUNTY
(H,Hw) - See Anderson County
(R) - See Bath County
MORGAN COUNTY
(H,Hw) - See Anderson County
MUHLENBERG COUNTY
(H,Hw) - See Allen County
NELSON COUNTY
(H,Hw) - See Anderson County
(R) - See Breckinridge County
NICHOLAS COUNTY
(H,Hw) - See Anderson County
OHIO COUNTY
(H,Hw) - See Allen County
OLDHAM COUNTY
(H,Hw) - See Anderson County
(R) - See Breckinridge County
(D) - See Boone County
OWEN COUNTY
(H,Hw) - See Anderson County
OWSLEY COUNTY
(H,Hw) - See Adair County
PENDLETON COUNTY
(H,Hw) - See Anderson County
(D) - See Boone County
PERRY COUNTY
(H,Hw) - See Breathitt County
PIKE COUNTY
(H,Hw) - See Breathitt County
POWELL COUNTY
(H,Hw) - See Adair County
PULASKI COUNTY
(H,Hw) - See Adair County
ROBERTSON COUNTY
(H,Hw) - See Anderson County
ROCKCASTLE COUNTY
(H,Hw) - See Adair County
ROWAN COUNTY
(H,Hw) - See Anderson County

KENTUCKY (Cont'd)

RUSSELL COUNTY
(Hw,H) - See Adair County
SCOTT COUNTY
(H,Hw) - See Anderson County
(R) - See Bath County
SHELBY COUNTY
(H,Hw) - See Anderson County
(R) - See Breckinridge County
SIMPSON COUNTY
(H,Hw) - See Allen County
SPENCER COUNTY
(H,Hw) - See Anderson County
(R) - See Breckinridge County
TAYLOR COUNTY
(H,Hw) - See Allen County
TODD COUNTY
(H,Hw) - See Allen County
TRIGG COUNTY
(H,Hw) - See Allen County
TRIMBLE COUNTY
(H,Hw) - See Anderson County
(D) - See Boone County
UNION COUNTY
(H,Hw) - See Allen County
(D) - See Boone County
WARREN COUNTY
Decision #AQ-4024 (B,H,Hw)
38 FR 28527 - 10/12/73
WASHINGTON COUNTY
(H,Hw) - See Anderson County
(R) - See Breckinridge County
WAYNE COUNTY
(H,Hw) - See Adair County
WEBSTER COUNTY
(H,Hw) - See Allen County
WHITLEY COUNTY
(H,Hw) - See Adair County
WOLFE COUNTY
(H,Hw) - See Adair County
WOODFORD COUNTY
(H,Hw) - See Anderson County
(R) - See Bath County

LOUISIANA

STATEWIDE
Decision #AQ-4002 (D)
38 FR 21037 - 8/3/73
ACADIA PARISH
(D) - See Statewide
Decision #AP-1107 (F)
38 FR 17358 - 6/29/73
Decision #AQ-42 (B)
38 FR 30342 - 11/2/73
ALLEN PARISH
(D) - See Statewide
(B,F) - See Acadia Parish
ASCENSION PARISH
(D) - See Statewide
(B,F) - See Acadia Parish
ASSUMPTION PARISH
(D) - See Statewide
(B,F) - See Acadia Parish
AVOYELLES PARISH
(D) - See Statewide
(F) - See Acadia Parish
BEAUREGARD PARISH
(D) - See Statewide
(B,F) - See Acadia Parish
BIENVILLE PARISH
(D) - See Statewide
(F) - See Acadia Parish
BOSSIER PARISH
(D) - See Statewide
(F) - See Acadia Parish
Decision #AQ-5 (B)
38 FR 19647 - 7/20/73
Mod. #1 - 38 FR 23655 - 8/31/73
Mod. #2 - 38 FR 29146 - 10/19/73
Mod. #3 - 38 FR 29731 - 10/26/73
Decision #AQ-6 (R)
38 FR 19649 - 7/20/73
Mod. #1 - 38 FR 23655 - 8/31/73
Mod. #2 - 38 FR 29146 - 10/19/73
Mod. #3 - 38 FR 29731 - 10/26/73
CADDO PARISH
(D) - See Statewide
(B,R) - See Bossier Parish
CALCASIEU PARISH
(D) - See Statewide
(B) - See Acadia Parish
(F) - See Acadia Parish

LOUISIANA (Cont'd)

CALCASIEU PARISH (cont'd)
Decision #AQ-4 (R)
38 FR 19645 - 7/20/73
Mod. #1 - 38 FR 23655 - 8/31/73
Mod. #2 - 38 FR 27164 - 9/28/73
Mod. #3 - 38 FR 27708 - 10/5/73
Mod. #4 - 38 FR 29146 - 10/19/73
Mod. #5 - 38 FR 30325 - 11/2/73
CALDWELL PARISH
(D) - See Statewide
(F) - See Acadia Parish
CAMERON PARISH
(D) - See Statewide
(B,F) - See Acadia Parish
CATAHOULA PARISH
(D) - See Statewide
(F) - See Acadia Parish
CLAIBORNE PARISH
(D) - See Statewide
(F) - See Acadia Parish
CONCORDIA PARISH
(D) - See Statewide
(F) - See Acadia Parish
DE SOTO PARISH
(D) - See Statewide
(F) - See Acadia Parish
EAST BATON ROUGE PARISH
(D) - See Statewide
(B) - See Acadia Parish
EAST CARROLL PARISH
(D) - See Statewide
(F) - See Acadia Parish
EAST FELICIANA PARISH
(D) - See Statewide
(B,F) - See Acadia Parish
EVANGELINE PARISH
(D) - See Statewide
(B,F) - See Acadia Parish
FRANKLIN PARISH
(D) - See Statewide
(F) - See Acadia Parish

LOUISIANA (Cont'd)

GRANT PARISH
(D) - See Statewide
(F) - See Acadia Parish
IBERIA PARISH
(D) - See Statewide
(B,F) - See Acadia Parish
IBERVILLE PARISH
(D) - See Statewide
(B,F) - See Acadia Parish
JACKSON PARISH
(D) - See Statewide
(F) - See Acadia Parish
JEFFERSON PARISH
(D) - See Statewide
(B,F) - See Acadia Parish
JEFFERSON DAVIS PARISH
(D) - See Statewide
(B,F) - See Acadia Parish
LAFAYETTE PARISH
(D) - See Statewide
(B,F) - See Acadia Parish
LAFOURCHE PARISH
(D) - See Statewide
(B,F) - See Acadia Parish
LA SALLE PARISH
(D) - See Statewide
(F) - See Acadia Parish
LINCOLN PARISH
(D) - See Statewide
(F) - See Acadia Parish
LIVINGSTON PARISH
(D) - See Statewide
(B,F) - See Acadia Parish
MADISON PARISH
(D) - See Statewide
(F) - See Acadia Parish
MOREHOUSE PARISH
(D) - See Statewide
(F) - See Acadia Parish
NATCHITOCHES PARISH
(D) - See Statewide
(F) - See Acadia Parish
ORLEANS PARISH
(D) - See Statewide
(B) - See Acadia Parish

LOUISIANA (Cont'd)

OUACHITA PARISH
(D) - See Statewide
Decision #AP-317 (R)
37 FR 17305-8/25/72
PLAQUEMINES PARISH
(D) - See Statewide
(B,F) - See Acadia Parish
POINTE COUPEE PARISH
(D) - See Statewide
(B,F) - See Acadia Parish
RAPIDES PARISH
(D) - See Statewide
Decision #AQ-39 (B)
38 FR 29752 - 10/26/73
RED RIVER PARISH
(D) - See Statewide
(F) - See Acadia Parish
RICHLAND PARISH
(D) - See Statewide
(F) - See Acadia Parish
SABINE PARISH
(D) - See Statewide
(F) - See Acadia Parish
ST. BERNARD PARISH
(D) - See Statewide
(B,F) - See Acadia Parish
ST. CHARLES PARISH
(D) - See Statewide
(B,F) - See Acadia Parish
ST. HELENA PARISH
(D) - See Statewide
(B,F) - See Acadia Parish
ST. JAMES PARISH
(D) - See Statewide
(B,F) - See Acadia Parish
ST. JOHN THE BAPTIST PARISH
(D) - See Statewide
(B,F) - See Acadia Parish
ST. LANDRY PARISH
(D) - See Statewide
(B,F) - See Acadia Parish
ST. MARTIN PARISH
(D) - See Statewide
(B,F) - See Acadia Parish

LOUISIANA (Cont'd)

ST. MARY PARISH
(D) - See Statewide
(B,F) - See Acadia Parish
ST. TAMMANY PARISH
(D) - See Statewide
(B,F) - See Acadia Parish
TANGIPAHOA PARISH
(D) - See Statewide
(B,F) - See Acadia Parish
TENSAS PARISH
(D) - See Statewide
(F) - See Acadia Parish
TERREBONNE PARISH
(D) - See Statewide
(B,F) - See Acadia Parish
UNION PARISH
(D) - See Statewide
(F) - See Acadia Parish
VERMILION PARISH
(D) - See Statewide
(B,F) - See Acadia Parish
VERNON PARISH
(D) - See Statewide
(F) - See Acadia Parish
WASHINGTON PARISH
(D) - See Statewide
(B,F) - See Acadia Parish
WEBSTER PARISH
(D) - See Statewide
(F) - See Acadia Parish
WEST BATON ROUGE PARISH
(D) - See Statewide
(B,F) - See Acadia Parish
WEST CARROLL PARISH
(D) - See Statewide
(F) - See Acadia Parish
WEST FELICIANA PARISH
(D) - See Statewide
(B,F) - See Acadia Parish
WINN PARISH
(D) - See Statewide
(F) - See Acadia Parish

MAINE

ANDROSCOGGIN COUNTY
None
AROOSTOOK COUNTY
None
CUMBERLAND COUNTY
Decision #AP-803 (D)
38 FR 10580 - 4/27/73
FRANKLIN COUNTY
None
HANCOCK COUNTY
(D) - See Cumberland County
KENNEBEC COUNTY
None
KNOX COUNTY
(D) - See Cumberland County
LINCOLN COUNTY
(D) - See Cumberland County
OXFORD COUNTY
None
PENOBSCOT COUNTY
None
PISCATAQUIS COUNTY
None
SAGADAHOC COUNTY
(D) - See Cumberland County
SOMERSET COUNTY
None
WALDO COUNTY
(D) - See Cumberland County
WASHINGTON COUNTY
(D) - See Cumberland County
YORK COUNTY
(D) - See Cumberland County

MARYLAND

ALLEGANY COUNTY
None
ANNE ARUNDEL COUNTY
Decision #AP-847 (D)
38 FR 15797 - 6/15/73
Decision #AQ-2004 (I,H,Hw)
38 FR 21711 - 8/10/73
Mod. #1 - 38 FR 22851 - 8/24/73
Mod. #2 - 38 FR 29146 - 10/19/73
Mod. #3 - 38 FR 29731 - 10/26/73
BALTIMORE CITY & BALTIMORE COUNTY
Decision #AQ-2022 (B,H,Hw)
38 FR 29182 - 10/19/73
Decision #AM-1,846 (R)
36 FR 16249 - 8/20/71
Decision #AP-803 (D)
38 FR 10580 - 4/27/73
CALVERT COUNTY
(D) - See Anne Arundel County
CAROLINE COUNTY
None
CARROLL COUNTY
None
CECIL COUNTY
(R) - See Baltimore County
CHARLES COUNTY
(D) - See Anne Arundel County
DORSCHESTER COUNTY
(D) - See Anne Arundel County
FREDERICK COUNTY
None
GARRETT COUNTY
None
HARFORD COUNTY
(R) - See Baltimore County
(D) - See Anne Arundel County
HOWARD COUNTY
(R) - See Baltimore County
KENT COUNTY
(D) - See Anne Arundel County

MARYLAND (cont'd)

MONTGOMERY COUNTY
Decision #AP-858 (B)
38 FR 17390 - 6/29/73
Mod. #1 - 38 FR 20187 - 7/27/73
Mod. #2 - 38 FR 20710 - 8/10/73
Mod. #3 - 38 FR 22857 - 8/24/73
Decision #AP-450 (R)
37 FR 25117 - 11/25/72
PRINCE GEORGES COUNTY
(B)-See Montgomery County
(R) - See Montgomery County
(D) - See Anne Arundel County
QUEEN ANNES COUNTY
(D) - See Anne Arundel County
ST. MARYS COUNTY
(D) - See Anne Arundel County
SOMERSET COUNTY
(D) - See Anne Arundel County
TALBOT COUNTY
(D) - See Anne Arundel County
WASHINGTON COUNTY
None
WICOMICO COUNTY
(D) - See Anne Arundel County
WORCESTER COUNTY
(D) - See Baltimore County

MASSACHUSETTS

BARNSTABLE COUNTY
Decision #AQ-3002 (B,H,Hw, & Marine)
38 FR 23687 - 8/31/73
Mod. #1 - 38 FR 27709 - 10/5/73
Mod. #2 - 38 FR 28471 - 10/12/73
Decision #AP-803 - (D)
38 FR 10580 - 4/27/73
BERKSHIRE COUNTY
None
BRISTOL COUNTY
Decision #AQ-3010 (B,H,Hw, & Marine)
38 FR 24482 - 9/7/73
Mod. #1 - 38 FR 27711 - 10/5/73
Mod. #2 - 38 FR 28471 - 10/12/73
Mod. #3 - 38 FR 29731 - 10/26/73
(D) - See Barnstable County
DUKES COUNTY
(D) - See Barnstable County
ESSEX COUNTY
Decision #AQ-3003 (B,H,Hw & Marine)
38 FR 23691 - 8/31/73
Mod. #1 - 38 FR 27709 - 10/5/73
Mod. #2 - 38 FR 28471 - 10/12/73
Mod. #3 - 38 FR 29731 - 10/26/73
(D) - See Barnstable County
FRANKLIN COUNTY
None
HAMPDEN COUNTY
Decision #AQ-3031 (B,H,Hw)
38 FR 30357 - 11/2/73
Decision #AQ-3032 (R)
38 FR 30361 - 11/2/73
HAMPSHIRE COUNTY
None
MIDDLESEX COUNTY
Decision #AQ-3004 (B,H,Hw & Marine)
38 FR 23696 - 8/31/73
Mod. #1 - 38 FR 27710 - 10/5/73
Mod. #2 - 38 FR 28471 - 10/12/73
Mod. #3 - 38 FR 29731 - 10/26/73
(D) - See Barnstable County
Decision #AQ-3005 (R)
38 FR 23702 - 8/31/73
Mod. #1 - 38 FR 27710 - 10/5/73
Mod. #2 - 38 FR 28471 - 10/12/73
Mod. #3 - 38 FR 29731 - 10/26/73

MASSACHUSETTS (CONT'D)

NANTUCKET COUNTY
(D) - See Barnstable County
NORFOLK COUNTY
Decision #AQ-3012 (B,H,Hw)
38 FR 26536 - 9/21/73
Mod. #1 - 38 FR 28472 - 10/12/73
Mod. #2 - 38 FR 29732 - 10/26/73
Decision #AQ-3013 (R)
38 FR 26540 - 9/21/73
Mod. #1 - 38 FR 28472 - 10/12/73
Mod. #2 - 38 FR 29732 - 10/26/73
(D) - See Barnstable County
PLYMOUTH COUNTY
Decision #AQ-3026 (B,H,Hw)
38 FR 29125 - 10/19/73
Decision #AQ-3027 (R)
38 FR 29129 - 10/19/73
(D) - See Barnstable County
SUFFOLK COUNTY
Decision #AQ-3006 (B,H,Hw,Marine & D)
38 FR 23705 - 8/31/73
Mod. #1 - 38 FR 27710 - 10/5/73
Mod. #2 - 38 FR 28471 - 10/12/73
Mod. #3 - 38 FR 29732 - 10/26/73
Decision #AQ-3008 (R)
38 FR 24480 - 9/7/73
Mod. #1 - 38 FR 27711 - 10/5/73
Mod. #2 - 38 FR 29732 - 10/26/73
WORCESTER COUNTY
Decision #AQ-3007 (B,H,Hw)
38 FR 23710 - 8/31/73
Mod. #1 - 38 FR 27710 - 10/5/73
Mod. #2 - 38 FR 28471 - 10/12/73

MICHIGAN

ALCONA COUNTY
Decision #AP-4 (D)
37 FR 14670 - 7/21/72
Decision #AP-79 (Highway, Bridge, Airport & Sewer Cons, excl. of Bldgs.)
37 FR 28377 - 12/22/72
Mod. #1 - 38 FR 936 - 1/5/73
Mod. #2 - 38 FR 4629 - 2/16/73
ALGER COUNTY
(Hw) (D) - See Alcona County
ALLEGAN COUNTY
Decision #AP-80 (B,H)
37 FR 28822 - 12/29/72
Mod. #1 - 38 FR 5089 - 2/23/73
Mod. #2 - 38 FR 20169 - 7/27/73
Decision #AM-398 (R)
36 FR 15891 - 8/18/71
Decision #AP-77 (Highway, Bridge, Airport & Sewer Cons, excl. of Bldg.)
37 FR 28374 - 12/22/72
Mod. #1 - 38 FR 4629 - 2/16/73
Mod. #2 - 38 FR 21012 - 8/3/73
(D) - See Alcona County
ALPENA COUNTY
Decision #AP-81 (B,H)
37 FR 28825 - 12/29/72
Mod. #1 - 38 FR 5089 - 2/23/73
Mod. #2 - 38 FR 20169 - 7/27/73
(Hw,D) - See Alcona County
ANTRIM COUNTY
(Hw,D) - See Alcona County
ARENAC COUNTY
(Hw,D) - See Alcona County
BARAGA COUNTY
Decision #AP-82 (B,H)
37 FR 28850 - 12/29/72
Mod. #1 - 38 FR 5090 - 2/23/73
Mod. #2 - 38 FR 20169 - 7/27/73
Mod. #3 - 38 FR 25854 - 9/14/73
(Hw,D) - See Alcona County
BARRY COUNTY
(Hw) - See Allegan County
BAY COUNTY
(D) - See Alcona County
(Hw) - See Allegan County

MICHIGAN (Cont'd)

BENZIE COUNTY
(D) - See Alcona County
(Hw) - See Alcona County
BERRIEN COUNTY
Decision # AP-83 (B,H)
37 FR 28828 - 12/12/72
Mod. #1 - 38 FR 5090 - 2/23/73
Mod. #2 - 38 FR 20170 - 7/27/73
Decision #AM-399 (R)
36 FR 15892 - 8/18/71
(D) - See Alcona County
(Hw) - See Allegan County
BRANCH COUNTY
Decision #AM-401 (R)
36 FR 15894 - 8/18/71
(Hw) - See Allegan County
CALHOUN COUNTY
Decision #AP-84 (B,H)
37 FR 28831 - 12/29/72
Mod. #1 - 38 FR 5090 - 2/23/73
Mod. #2 - 38 FR 20170 - 7/27/73
Decision #AM-400 (R)
36 FR 15892 - 8/18/71
(Hw) - See Allegan County
CASS COUNTY
(Hw) - See Allegan County
(R) - See Branch County
CHARLEVOIX COUNTY
Decision #AP-85 (B,H)
37 FR 28834 - 12/29/72
Mod. #1 - 38 FR 5091 - 2/23/73
Mod. #2 - 38 FR 20170 - 7/27/73
(Hw,D) - See Alcona County
CHEBOYGAN COUNTY
(Hw,D) - See Alcona County
CHIPPEWA COUNTY
Decision #AP-86 (B,H)
37 FR 28837 - 12/29/72
Mod. #1 - 38 FR 5091 - 2/23/73
Mod. #2 - 38 FR 20170 - 7/27/73
(Hw,D) - See Alcona County
CLARE COUNTY
(Hw) - See Alcona County
CLINTON COUNTY
(Hw) - See Allegan County

MICHIGAN (cont'd)

CRAWFORD COUNTY
(Hw) - See Alcona County
DELTA COUNTY
(Hw,D) - See Alcona County
DICKINSON COUNTY
(Hw) - See Alcona County
EATON COUNTY
Decision #AM-8041 (R)
36 FR 24027 - 12/17/71
(Hw) - See Allegan County
EMMET COUNTY
Decision #AP-87 (B,H)
37 FR 28839 - 12/29/72
Mod. #1 - 38 FR 5091 - 2/23/73
Mod. #2 - 38 FR 20170 - 7/27/73
(Hw,D) - See Alcona County
GENESEE COUNTY
Decision #AP-88 (B,H)
37 FR 28870 - 12/29/72
Mod. #1 - 38 FR 5092 - 2/23/73
Mod. #2 - 38 FR 20171 - 7/27/73
Decision AP-76 (Highway, Bridge, Airport & Sewer Cons, excl. of Bldgs.)
37 FR 28372 - 12/22/72
Mod. #1 - 38 FR 4628 - 2/16/73
GLADWIN COUNTY
(Hw) - See Alcona County
GOGEBIC COUNTY
Decision #AP-89 (B,E)
37 FR 28844 - 12/29/72
Mod. #1 - 38 FR 5092 - 2/23/73
Mod. #2 - 38 FR 20171 - 7/27/73
(Hw,D) - See Alcona County
GRAND TRAVERSE COUNTY
Decision #AP-90 (B,H)
37 FR 28873 - 12/29/72
Mod. #1 - 38 FR 5092 - 2/23/73
Mod. #2 - 38 FR 20171 - 7/27/73
(Hw,D) - See Alcona County
GRATIOT COUNTY
(Hw) - See Allegan County
HILLSDALE COUNTY
(Hw) - See Allegan County
HOUGHTON COUNTY
(B,H) - See Baraga County
(Hw,D) - See Alcona County
HURON COUNTY
Decision #AP-91 (B,H)
37 FR 28879 - 12/29/72
Mod. #1 - 38 FR 5093 - 2/23/73
Mod. #2 - 38 FR 20171 - 7/27/73
(D) - See Alcona County
(Hw) - See Allegan County
INGHAM COUNTY
Decision #AP-92 (B,H)
37 FR 28844 - 12/29/72
Mod. #1 - 38 FR 5093 - 2/23/73
Mod. #2 - 38 FR 20171 - 7/27/73
(Hw) - See Allegan County
(R) - See Eaton County

MICHIGAN (CONT'D)

IONIA COUNTY
(Hw) - See Allegan County
IOSCO COUNTY
(D,Hw) - See Alcona County
IRON COUNTY
(Hw) - See Alcona County
ISABELLA COUNTY
(Hw) - See Alcona County
JACKSON COUNTY
(Hw) - See Allegan County
KALAMAZOO COUNTY
Decision #AP-93 (B,H)
37 FR 28847 - 12/29/72
Mod. #1 - 38 FR 5093 - 2/23/73
Mod. #2 - 38 FR 20171 - 7/27/73
(Hw) - See Allegan County
(R) - See Branch County
KALKASKA COUNTY
(Hw) - See Alcona County
KENT COUNTY
Decision #AP-23 (B,H)
37 FR 20443 - 9/29/72
Mod. #1 - 38 FR 22704 - 10/20/72
Decision #AM-402 (R)
36 FR 15895 - 8/18/71
KEWEENAW COUNTY
(B,H) - See Baraga County
(Hw) - See Alcona County
LAKE COUNTY
(Hw) - See Alcona County
LAPEER COUNTY
(Hw) - See Allegan County
LEELANAU COUNTY
(B,H) - See Grand Traverse County
(Hw) - See Alcona County
LENAWEE COUNTY
(Hw) - See Allegan County
LIVINGSTON COUNTY
(Hw) - See Allegan County
LUCE COUNTY
(Hw,D) - See Alcona County
MACKINAC COUNTY
(B,H) - See Chippewa County
(Hw) - See Alcona County
MACOMB COUNTY
Decision #AP-600 (B,H)
37 FR 28866 - 12/29/72
Mod. #1 - 38 FR 5096 - 2/23/73
Mod. #2 - 38 FR 20172 - 7/27/73
(D) - See Alcona County
(Hw) - See Genessee County
Decision #AP-601 (R)
37 FR 28797 - 12/29/72
Mod. #1 - 38 FR 5096 - 2/23/73
Mod. #2 - 38 FR 20173 - 7/27/73

MICHIGAN (CONT'D)

MANISTEE COUNTY
(Hw,D) - See Alcona County
MARQUETTE COUNTY
Decision #AP-94 (B,H)
37 FR 28852 - 12/29/72
Mod. #1 - 38 FR 5094 - 2/23/73
Mod. #2 - 38 FR 20171 - 7/27/73
(Hw,D) - See Alcona County
MASON COUNTY
Decision #AP-95 (B,H)
37 FR 28854 - 12/29/72
Mod. #1 - 38 FR 5094 - 2/23/73
Mod. #2 - 38 FR 20172 - 7/27/73
(Hw,D) - See Alcona County
MECOSTA COUNTY
(Hw) - See Alcona County
MENOMINEE COUNTY
(D) - See Alcona County
(Hw) - See Alcona County
MIDLAND COUNTY
(Hw) - See Allegan County
MISSAUKEE COUNTY
(Hw) - See Alcona County
MONROE COUNTY
(D) - See Alcona County
(Hw) - See Genessee County
MONTCALM COUNTY
(Hw) - See Allegan County
(R) - See Kent County
MONTMORENCY COUNTY
(Hw) - See Alcona County
MUSKEGON COUNTY
Decision #AP-97 (B,H)
37 FR 28857 - 12/29/72
Mod. #1 - 38 FR 5094 - 2/23/73
Mod. #2 - 38 FR 20172 - 7/27/73
Decision #AM-403 (R)
36 FR 15896 - 8/18/71
(D) - See Alcona County
(Hw) - See Allegan County
NEWAYGO COUNTY
(Hw) - See Alcona County
OAKLAND COUNTY
(B,H,R) - See Macomb County
(D) - See Alcona County
(Hw) - See Genessee County
OCEANA COUNTY
(B,E,R) - See Muskegon County
(D) - See Alcona County
(Hw) - See Allegan County
OGEMAW COUNTY
(Hw) - See Alcona County
ONTONAGON COUNTY
(B,H) - See Baraga County
(Hw,D) - See Alcona County
OSCEOLA COUNTY
(Hw) - See Alcona County

MICHIGAN (Cont'd)

OSCODA COUNTY
(Hw) - See Alcona County
OTSEGO COUNTY
(Hw) - See Alcona County
OTTAWA COUNTY
(D) - See Alcona County
(Hw) - See Allegan County
(R) - See Allegan County
PRESQUE COUNTY
(D) - See Alcona County
(Hw) - See Alcona County
ROSCOMMON COUNTY
(Hw) - See Alcona County
SAGINAW COUNTY
Decision #AP-96 (B,H)
37 FR 28876 - 12/29/73
Mod. #1 - 38 FR 5095 - 2/23/73
Mod. #2 - 38 FR 20172 - 7/27/73
(Hw) - See Allegan County
SAINT CLAIR COUNTY
Decision #AP-98 (B,H)
Mod. #1 - 38 FR 5095 - 2/23/73
Mod. #2 - 38 FR 20172 - 7/27/73
(D) - See Alcona County
(Hw) - See Allegan County
SAINT JOSEPH COUNTY
(Hw) - See Allegan County
(R) - See Branch County
SANILAC COUNTY
(D) - See Alcona County
(Hw) - See Allegan County
SCHOOLCRAFT COUNTY
(D) - See Alcona County
(Hw) - See Alcona County
SHIAWASSEE COUNTY
(Hw) - See Allegan County
TUSCOLA COUNTY
(D) - See Alcona County
(Hw) - See Allegan County
VAN BUREN COUNTY
(D) - See Alcona County
(Hw) - See Allegan County
WASHTENAW COUNTY
Decision #AP-99 (B,H)
37 FR 28863 - 12/29/72
Mod. #1 - 38 FR 5095 - 2/23/73
Mod. #2 - 38 FR 20172 - 7/27/73
(Hw) - See Genessee County
WAYNE COUNTY
(B,h,R) - See Macomb County
(D) - See Alcona County
(Hw) - See Genesee County
WEXFORD COUNTY
(Hw) - See Alcona County

MINNESOTA

AITKIN COUNTY
None
ANOKA COUNTY
Decision #AP-638 (B)
38 FR 6540 - 3/9/73
Mod. #1 - 38 FR 11213 - 5/4/73
Mod. #2 - 38 FR 30325 - 11/2/73
Decision #AP-642 (H,Hw)
38 FR 6556 - 3/9/73
Mod. #1 - 38 FR 11225 - 5/4/73
Mod. #2 - 38 FR 30327 - 11/2/73
Decision #AP-644 (R)
38 FR 6562 - 3/9/73
Mod. #1 - 38 FR 11227 - 5/4/73
Mod. #2 - 38 FR 30328 - 11/2/73
BECKER COUNTY
Decision #AP-49 (Hw)
37 FR 25097 - 11/25/72
BELTRAMI COUNTY
None
BENTON COUNTY
None
BIG STONE COUNTY
Decision #AP-50 (Hw)
37 FR 25098 - 11/25/72
BLUE EARTH COUNTY
None
BROWN COUNTY
None
CARLTON COUNTY
None
CARVER COUNTY
Decision #AP-639 (B)
38 FR 6544 - 3/9/73
Mod. #1 - 38 FR 11216 - 5/4/73
Mod. #2 - 38 FR 30326 - 11/2/73
Decision #AP-645 (R)
38 FR 6566 - 3/9/73
Mod. #1 - 38 FR 11230 - 5/4/73
Mod. #2 - 38 FR 30329 - 11/2/73
(H,Hw) - See Anoka County

MINNESOTA (cont'd)

CASS COUNTY
(Hw) - See Becker County
CHIPPEWA COUNTY
(Hw) - See Big Stone County
CHISAGO COUNTY
None
CLAY COUNTY
(Hw) - See Becker County
CLEAR WATER COUNTY
None
COOK COUNTY
Decision #AP-643 (H,Hw)
38 FR 6559 - 3/9/73
Mod. #1 - 38 FR 11226 - 5/4/73
Mod. #2 - 38 FR 30328 - 11/2/73
Decision #AP-4 - (D)
37 FR 14670 - 7/21/72
COTTONWOOD COUNTY
None
CROW WING COUNTY
None
DAKOTA COUNTY
Decision #AP-640 (B)
38 FR 6548 - 3/9/73
Mod. #1 - 38 FR 11219 - 5/4/73
Mod. #2 - 38 FR 30326 - 11/2/73
Decision #AP-646 (R)
38 FR 6570 - 3/9/73
Mod. #1 - 38 FR 11233 - 5/4/73
Mod. #2 - 38 FR 30329 - 11/2/73
(H,Hw) - See Anoka County

MINNESOTA (Cont'd)

DODGE COUNTY
None
DOUGLAS COUNTY
(Hw) - See Big Stone County
FAIRBAULT COUNTY
None
FILLMORE COUNTY
None
FREEBORN COUNTY
None
GOODHUE COUNTY
None
GRANT COUNTY
(Hw) - See Big Stone County
HENNEPIN COUNTY
(B,R) - See Carver County
(H,Hw) - See Anoka County
HOUSTON COUNTY
None
HUBBARD COUNTY
(Hw) - See Becker County
ISANTI COUNTY
None
ITASKA COUNTY
None
JACKSON COUNTY
None
KANABEC COUNTY
None
KANDIYOHI COUNTY
(Hw) - See Big Stone County
KITTSON COUNTY
None
KOOCHICHING COUNTY
None
LAC QUI PARLE COUNTY
(Hw) - See Big Stone County
LAKE COUNTY
(H,Hw) - See Cook County
(D) - See Cook County
LAKE OF THE WOODS COUNTY
None
LE SUEUR COUNTY
None
LINCOLN COUNTY
None

MINNESOTA (cont'd)

LYON COUNTY
None
MCLEOD COUNTY
None
MAHNOMEN COUNTY
None
MARSHALL COUNTY
None
MARTIN COUNTY
None
MEEKER COUNTY
None
MILLE LACS COUNTY
Non
MORRISON COUNTY
None
MOWER COUNTY
None
MURRAY COUNTY
None
NICOLLET COUNTY
None
NOBLES COUNTY
None
NORMAN COUNTY
None
OLMSTEAD COUNTY
None
OTTER TAIL COUNTY
(Hw) - See Becker County
PENNINGTON COUNTY
None
PINE COUNTY
None
PIPESTONE COUNTY
None
POLK COUNTY
None
POPE COUNTY
(Hw) - See Big Stone County
RAMSEY COUNTY
(H,B) - See Dakota County
(H,Hw) - See Anoka County

MINNESOTA (Cont'd)

RED LAKE COUNTY
None
REDWOOD COUNTY
None
RENVILLE COUNTY
None
RICE COUNTY
None
ROCK COUNTY
None
ROSEAU COUNTY
None
SAINT LOUIS COUNTY
(D) - See Cook County
(H,Hw) - See Cook County
CITY OF DULUTH
Decision #AP-641 (B)
38 FR 6552 - 3/9/73
Mod. #1-38 FR 11222 - 5/4/73
Mod. #2-38 FR 13916 - 5/25/73
Mod. #3-38 FR 14516 - 6/1/73
Mod. #4-38 FR 15790 - 6/15/73
Mod. #5-38 FR 30327 - 11/2/73
Decision #AP-647 (R)
38 FR 6574 - 3/9/73
Mod. #1-38 FR 11236 - 5/4/73
Mod. #2-38 FR 13916 - 5/25/73
Mod. #3-38 FR 14516 - 6/1/73
Mod. #4-38 FR 15790 - 6/15/73
Mod. #5-38 FR 30330 - 11/2/73
SCOTT COUNTY
(H)(Hw)-See Anoka County
(B) - See Carver County
SHERBURNE COUNTY
None
SIBLEY COUNTY
None

MINNESOTA (cont'd)

STEARNS COUNTY
None
STEELE COUNTY
None
STEVENS COUNTY
(Hw) - See Big Stone County
SWIFT COUNTY
(Hw) - See Big Stone County
TODD COUNTY
(Hw) - See Becker County
TRAVERSE COUNTY
(Hw) - See Big Stone County
WABASHA COUNTY
None
WADENA COUNTY
(Hw) - See Becker County
WASECA COUNTY
None
WASHINGTON COUNTY
(B) - See Dakota County
(H,Hw) - See Anoka County
WATONWAN COUNTY
None
WILKIN COUNTY
(Hw) - See Becker County
WINONA COUNTY
None
WRIGHT COUNTY
None
YELLOW MEDICINE COUNTY
None

MISSISSIPPI

STATEWIDE
Decision #AQ-4002 (D)
38 FR 21037 - 8/3/73
Decision #AP-1107 (F)
38 FR 17358 - 6/29/73
ADAMS COUNTY
Decision #AP-166 (Hw)
38 FR 6582 - 3/9/73
(D) - See Statewide
(F) - See Statewide
ALCORN COUNTY
Decision #AP-162 (Hw)
38 FR 6578 - 3/9/73
(D) - See Statewide
(F) - See Statewide
AMITE COUNTY
(D) - See Statewide
(F) - See Statewide
(Hw) - See Adams County
ATTALA COUNTY
Decision #AP-165 (Hw)
38 FR 6581 - 3/9/73
Mod. #1 - 38 FR 16586 - 6/22/73
(D) - See Statewide
(F) - See Statewide
BENTON COUNTY
(D) - See Statewide
(F) - See Statewide
(Hw) - See Alcorn County
BOLIVAR COUNTY
Decision #AP-163 (Hw)
38 FR 6579 - 3/9/73
(D) - See Statewide
(F) - See Statewide
CALHOUN COUNTY
(D) - See Statewide
(F) - See Statewide
(Hw) - See Alcorn County
CARROLL COUNTY
(D) - See Statewide
(F) - See Statewide
(Hw) - See Bolivar County
CHICKASAW COUNTY
(D) - See Statewide
(F) - See Statewide
(Hw) - See Alcorn County
CHOCTAW COUNTY
(D) - See Statewide
(F) - See Statewide
(Hw) - See Alcorn County

MISSISSIPPI (cont'd)

CLAIBORNE COUNTY
Decision #AP-164 (Hw)
38 FR 6580 - 3/9/73
(D) - See Statewide
(F) - See Statewide
CLARKE COUNTY
(D) - See Statewide
(F) - See Statewide
(Hw) - See Attala County
CLAY COUNTY
(D) - See Statewide
(F) - See Statewide
(Hw) - See Alcorn County
COAHOMA COUNTY
(D) - See Statewide
(F) - See Statewide
(Hw) - See Bolivar County
COPIAH COUNTY
(D) - See Statewide
(F) - See Statewide
(Hw) - See Claiborne County
(R) - See Hinds County
COVINGTON COUNTY
(D) - See Statewide
(F) - See Statewide
(Hw) - See Adams County
DE SOTO COUNTY
(D) - See Statewide
(F) - See Statewide
(Hw) - See Bolivar County
FORREST COUNTY
Decision #AM-8264 (E)
37 FR 13017 - 6/30/72
(D) - See Statewide
(F) - See Statewide
(Hw) - See Adams County
FRANKLIN COUNTY
(D) - See Statewide
(F) - See Statewide
(Hw) - See Adams County
GEORGE COUNTY
Decision #AP-167 (Hw)
38 FR 6583 - 3/9/73
(D) - See Statewide
(F) - See Statewide
(E) - See Harrison County
GREENE COUNTY
(D) - See Statewide
(F) - See Statewide
(Hw) - See Adams County

MISSISSIPPI (cont'd)

GRENADA COUNTY
(D) - See Statewide
(F) - See Statewide
(Hw) - See Bolivar County
HANCOCK COUNTY
(D) - See Statewide
(F) - See Statewide
(Hw) - See George County
(R) - See Harrison County
HARRISON COUNTY
Decision #AP-159 (B,H,Water & Sewer)
38 FR 4638 - 2/16/73
Mod. #1 - 38 FR 7196 - 3/16/73
Mod. #2 - 38 FR 16586 - 6/22/73
Mod. #3 - 38 FR 27164 - 9/28/73
Decision #AP-174 (R)
38 FR 10584 - 4/27/73
(D,F) - See Statewide
(Hw) - See George County
Decision #AP-498 (R)
36 FR 16473 - 8/20/71
(D,F) - See Statewide
(Hw) - See Claiborne
HINDS COUNTY
Decision #AP-158 (B, H,)
38 FR 4636 - 2/16/73
Mod. #1 - 38 FR 7169 - 3/16/73
Mod. #2 - 38 FR 18818 - 7/13/73
Mod. #3 - 38 FR 27164 - 9/28/73
HOLMES COUNTY
(D) - See Statewide
(F) - See Statewide
(Hw) - See Claiborne County
HUMPHREYS COUNTY
(D) - See Statewide
(F) - See Statewide
(Hw) - See Claiborne County
ISSAQUENA COUNTY
(D) - See Statewide
(F) - See Statewide
(Hw) - See Claiborne County
ITAWAMBA COUNTY
(D) - See Statewide
(F) - See Statewide
(Hw) - See Alcorn County
JACKSON COUNTY
(D) - See Statewide
(F) - See Statewide
(Hw) - See George County
(R) - See Harrison County
JASPER COUNTY
(D) - See Statewide
(F) - See Statewide
(Hw) - See Attala County

MISSISSIPPI (cont'd)

JEFFERSON COUNTY
(D) - See Statewide
(F) - See Statewide
(Hw) - See Adams County
JEFFERSON DAVIS COUNTY
(D) - See Statewide
(F) - See Statewide
(Hw) - See Adams County
JONES COUNTY
(D) - See Statewide
(F) - See Statewide
(Hw) - See Adams County
(R) - See Forest County
KEMPER COUNTY
(D) - See Statewide
(F) - See Statewide
(Hw) - See Attala County
LAFAYETTE COUNTY
(D) - See Statewide
(F) - See Statewide
(Hw) - See Alcorn County
LAMAR COUNTY
(D) - See Statewide
(F) - See Statewide
(Hw) - See Adams County
LAUDERDALE COUNTY
(D) - See Statewide
(F) - See Statewide
(Hw) - See Attala County
LAWRENCE COUNTY
(D) - See Statewide
(F) - See Statewide
(Hw) - See Adams County
LEAKE COUNTY
(D) - See Statewide
(F) - See Statewide
(Hw) - See Attala County
LEE COUNTY
(D) - See Statewide
(F) - See Statewide
(Hw) - See Alcorn County
LEFLORE COUNTY
(D) - See Statewide
(F) - See Statewide
(Hw) - See Bolivar County
LINCOLN COUNTY
(D) - See Statewide
(F) - See Statewide
(Hw) - See Adams County
LOWNDES COUNTY
(D) - See Statewide
(F) - See Statewide
(Hw) - See Alcorn County

MISSISSIPPI (Cont'd)

MADISON COUNTY
(D) - See Statewide
(F) - See Statewide
(Hw) - See Claiborne County
(R) - See Hinds County
MARION COUNTY
(D) - See Statewide
(F) - See Statewide
(Hw) - See Adams County
MARSHALL COUNTY
(D) - See Statewide
(F) - See Statewide
(Hw) - See Alcorn County
MONROE COUNTY
(D) - See Statewide
(F) - See Statewide
(Hw) - See Alcorn County
MONTGOMERY COUNTY
(D) - See Statewide
(F) - See Statewide
(Hw) - See Bolivar County
NESHOBA COUNTY
(D) - See Statewide
(F) - See Statewide
(Hw) - See Attala County
NEWTON COUNTY
(D) - See Statewide
(F) - See Statewide
(Hw) - See Attala County
NOXUBEE COUNTY
(D) - See Statewide
(F) - See Statewide
(Hw) - See Attala County
OKTIBBEHA COUNTY
(D) - See Statewide
(F) - See Statewide
(Hw) - See Alcorn County
PANOLA COUNTY
(D) - See Statewide
(F) - See Statewide
(Hw) - See Bolivar County
PEARL RIVER COUNTY
(B,H) - See Harrison County
(D) - See Statewide
(F) - See Statewide
(Hw) - See Statewide
(R) - See Harrison County
PERRY COUNTY
(D) - See Statewide
(F) - See Statewide
(Hw) - See Adams County
PIKE COUNTY
(D) - See Statewide
(F) - See Statewide
(Hw) - See Adams County

MISSISSIPPI (Cont'd)

PONTOTOC COUNTY
(D) - See Statewide
(F) - See Statewide
(Hw) - See Alcorn County
PRENTISS COUNTY
(D) - See Statewide
(F) - See Statewide
(Hw) - See Alcorn County
QUITMAN COUNTY
(D) - See Statewide
(F) - See Statewide
(Hw) - See Bolivar County
RANKIN COUNTY
(D) - See Statewide
(F) - See Statewide
(Hw) - See Claiborne County
(R) - See Hinds County
SCOTT COUNTY
(D) - See Statewide
(F) - See Statewide
(Hw) - See Attala County
SHARKEY COUNTY
(D) - See Statewide
(F) - See Statewide
(Hw) - See Claiborne County
SIMPSON COUNTY
(D) - See Statewide
(F) - See Statewide
(Hw) - See Claiborne County
(R) - See Hinds County
SMITH COUNTY
(D) - See Statewide
(F) - See Statewide
(Hw) - See Attala County
STONE COUNTY
(D) - See Statewide
(F) - See Statewide
(Hw) - See Georgia County
(R) - See Harrison County
SUNFLOWER COUNTY
(D) - See Statewide
(F) - See Statewide
(Hw) - See Bolivar County
TALLAHATCHIE COUNTY
(D) - See Statewide
(F) - See Statewide
(Hw) - See Bolivar County

MISSISSIPPI (Cont'd)

TATE COUNTY
(D,F)-See Statewide
(Hw)-See Bolivar County
TIPPAH COUNTY
(D,F)-See Statewide
(Hw)-See Alcorn County
TISHOMINGO COUNTY
(D,F)-See Statewide
(Hw)-See Alcorn County
TUNICA COUNTY
(D,F)-See Statewide
(Hw)-See Bolivar County
UNION COUNTY
(D,F,)-See Statewide
(Hw)-See Alcorn County
WALTHALL COUNTY
(D,F)-See Statewide
(Hw)-See Adams County
WARREN COUNTY
(D,F)-See Statewide
(Hw)-See Claiborne County
WASHINGTON COUNTY
(D,F)-See Statewide
(Hw)-See Bolivar County
WAYNE COUNTY
(D,F)-See Statewide
(Hw)-See Adams
WEBSTER COUNTY
(D,F)-See Statewide
(Hw)-See Alcorn County
WILKINSON COUNTY
(D,F)-See Statewide
(Hw)-See Adams County
WINSTON COUNTY
(D,F)-See Statewide
(Hw)-See Attalo County
YALOBUSHA COUNTY
(D,F)-See Statewide
(Hw)-See Bolivar County
YAZOO COUNTY
(D,F)-See Statewide
(Hw)-See Claiborne County
(R)-See Hinds County

MISSOURI

ADAIR COUNTY
Decision #AQ-1 (Hw)
38 FR 18788 - 7/13/73
Mod. #1 - 38 FR 22315 - 8/17/73
ANDREW COUNTY
Decision #AQ-21 (D)
38 FR 23647 - 8/31/73
(Hw) - See Adair County
ATCHISON COUNTY
(D) - See Andrew County
(Hw) - See Adair County
AUDRAIN COUNTY
(Hw) - See Adair County
BARRY COUNTY
(Hw) - See Adair County
BARTON COUNTY
Decision #AP-509 (B,Hw)
37 FR 21783 - 10/13/72
Mod. #1 - 38 FR 20177 - 7/27/73
(Hw) - See Adair County
BATES COUNTY
(Hw) - See Adair County
BENTON COUNTY
(Hw) - See Adair County
BOLLINGER COUNTY
(hw) - See Adair County
BOONE COUNTY
(D) - See Andrew County
(Hw) - See Adair County
BUCHANAN COUNTY
(D) - See Andrew County
(Hw) - See Adair County
BUTLER COUNTY
(Hw) - See Adair County
CALDWELL COUNTY
(Hw) - See Adair County
CALLAWAY COUNTY
(D) - See Andrew County
(Hw) - See Adair County
CAMDEN COUNTY
(Hw) - See Adair County
CAPE GIRARDEAU COUNTY
Decision #AP-602 (D)
38 FR 1433 - 1/12/73
(Hw) - See Adair County
CARROLL COUNTY
(D) - See Andrew County
(Hw) - See Adair County
CARTER COUNTY
(Hw) - See Adair County

MISSOURI (Cont'd)

CASS COUNTY
Decision #AP-531 (B,H,Hw)
38 FR 13929 - 5/25/73
Mod. #1 - 38 FR 15210 - 6/8/73
Mod. #2 - 38 FR 16584 - 6/22/73
Mod. #3 - 38 FR 18117 - 7/6/73
Mod. #4 - 38 FR 20165 - 7/27/73
Mod. #5 - 38 FR 22850 - 8/24/73
Decision #AP-516 (R)
37 FR 26206 - 12/8/72
Mod. #1 - 38 FR 1435 - 1/12/73
Mod. #2 - 38 FR 4078 - 2/9/73
Mod. #3 - 38 FR 12511 - 5/11/73
Mod. #4 - 38 FR 15210 - 6/8/73
Mod. #5 - 38 FR 22850 - 8/24/73
CEDAR COUNTY
(Hw) - See Adair County
CHARITON COUNTY
(D) - See Andrew County
(Hw) - See Adair County
CHRISTIAN COUNTY
(Hw) - See Adair County
CLARK COUNTY
(Hw) - See Adair County
CLAY COUNTY
(B,H,Hw) - See Cass County
(D) - See Andrew County
(R) - See Cass County
CLINTON COUNTY
(Hw) - See Adair County
COLE COUNTY
(D) - See Andrew County
(Hw) - See Adair County
COOPER COUNTY
(D) - See Andrew County
(Hw) - See Adair County
CRAWFORD COUNTY
(Hw) - See Adair County
DADE COUNTY
(Hw) - See Adair County
DALLAS COUNTY
(Hw) - See Adair County
DAVIESS COUNTY
(Hw) - See Adair County
DE KALB COUNTY
(Hw) - See Adair County
DENT COUNTY
(Hw) - See Adair County
DOUGLAS COUNTY
(Hw) - See Adair County
DUNKLIN COUNTY
(Hw) - See Adair County
FRANKLIN COUNTY
(D) - See Andrew County
(Hw) - See Adair County
GASCONADE COUNTY
(D) - See Andrew County
(Hw) - See Adair County
GENTRY COUNTY
(Hw) - See Adair County

MISSOURI (Cont'd)

GREENE COUNTY
(Hw) - See Adair County
HICKORY COUNTY
(Hw) - See Adair County
HOLT COUNTY
Decision #AP-506 (H,Hw)
37 FR 21774 - 10/13/72
Mod. #1 - 38 FR 20176 - 7/27/73
(D) - See Andrew County
(Hw) - See Adair County
HOWARD COUNTY
(D) - See Andrew County
(Hw) - See Adair County
HOWELL COUNTY
(Hw) - See Adair County
IRON COUNTY
(Hw) - See Adair County
JACKSON COUNTY
(B,H,Hw) - See Cass County
(D) - See Andrew County
(R) - See Cass County
JASPER COUNTY
None
JEFFERSON COUNTY
(D) - See Cape Girdeau County
(Hw) - See Adair County
JOHNSON COUNTY
(Hw) - See Adair County
KNOX COUNTY
(Hw) - See Adair County
LACLEDE COUNTY
(H,Hw) - See Barton County
(Hw) - See Adair County
LAFAYETTE COUNTY
(D) - See Andrew County
(Hw) - See Adair County
LAWRENCE COUNTY
(H,Hw) - See Barton County
(Hw) - See Adair County
LEWIS COUNTY
(Hw) - See Adair County
LINCOLN COUNTY
(Hw) - See Adair County
LINN COUNTY
Decision #AP-508 (H,Hw)
37 FR 21779 - 10/13/72
Mod. #1 - 37 FR 23057 - 10/27/72
Mod. #2 - 38 FR 20177 - 7/27/73
(Hw) - See Adair County
LIVINGSTON COUNTY
(Hw) - See Adair County
MC DONALD COUNTY
None
MACON COUNTY
(Hw) - See Adair County
MADISON COUNTY
(Hw) - See Adair County
MARIES COUNTY
(Hw) - See Adair County

MISSOURI (Cont'd)

MARION COUNTY
(Hw) - See Adair County
MERCER COUNTY
(Hw) - See Adair County
MILLER COUNTY
(Hw) - See Adair County
MISSISSIPPI COUNTY
(D) - See Cape Girardeau County
(Hw) - See Adair County
MONITEAU COUNTY
(D) - See Andrew County
(Hw) - See Adair County
MONROE COUNTY
(Hw) - See Adair County
MONTGOMERY COUNTY
(D) - See Andrew County
(Hw) - See Adair county
MORGAN COUNTY
(Hw) - See Adair County
NEW MADRID COUNTY
(Hw) - See Adair County
NEWTON COUNTY
(Hw) - See Adair County
NODAWAY COUNTY
(Hw) - See Adair County
OREGON COUNTY
(Hw) - See Adair County
OSAGE COUNTY
(D) - See Andrew County
(Hw) - See Adair County
OZARK COUNTY
(hw) - See Adair County
PEMISCOT COUNTY
(Hw) - See Adair County
PERRY COUNTY
(D) - See Cape Girardeau County
(Hw) - See Adair County
PETTIS COUNTY
(Hw, H) - See Linn County
(Hw) - See Adair County
PHELPS COUNTY
(Hw) - See Adair county
PIKE COUNTY
(Hw) - See Adair county
PLATTE COUNTY
(B,H,Hw) - See Cass County
(D) - See Andrew County
R) - See Cass County
POLK COUNTY
(Hw) - See Adair County
PULASKI COUNTY
(Hw) - See Adair County
PUTNAM COUNTY
(Hw) - See Adair County
RALLS COUNTY
(Hw) - See Adair County
RANDOLPH COUNTY
(Hw) - See Adair County

MISSOURI (Cont'd)

RAY COUNTY
(B,H,Hw,R) - See Cass County
(D) - See Andrew County
REYNOLDS COUNTY
(Hw) - See Adair County
RIPLEY COUNTY
(Hw) - See Adair County
ST. CHARLES COUNTY
Decision #AP-534 (B,H,Hw)
38 FR 18141 - 7/6/73
Mod. #1 - 38 FR 20177 - 7/27/73
Mod. #2 - 38 FR 28473 - 10/12/73
(D) - See Andrew County
Decision #AP-504 (R)
37 FR 17881 - 9/1/72
Mod. #1 - 38 FR 4078 - 2/9/73
Mod. #2 - 38 FR 6524 - 3/9/73
Mod. #3 - 38 FR 13917 - 5/25/73
Mod. #4 - 38 FR 18124 - 7/6/73
Mod. #5 - 38 FR 20173 - 7/27/73
Mod. #6 - 38 FR 28473 - 10/12/73
(D) - See Cape Girardeau County
ST. CLAIR COUNTY
(Hw) - See Adair County
ST. FRANCOIS COUNTY
(Hw) - See Adair County
ST. LOUIS COUNTY
(B,H,Hw,R) - See St. Charles County
(D) - See Cape Girardeau County
ST. LOUIS COUNTY
(B,H,Hw,R) - See St. Charles County
(D) - See Andrew County
STE. GENEVIEVE COUNTY
(D) - See Cape Girardeau County
(Hw) - See Adair County
SALINE COUNTY
(D) - See Andrew County
(Hw) - See Adair County
SCHUYLER COUNTY
(Hw) - See Adair County
SCOTLAND COUNTY
(Hw) - See Adair County
SCOTT COUNTY
Decision #AP-507 (H,Hw)
37 FR 21776 - 10/13/72
Mod. #1 - 37 FR 25109 - 11/25/72
Mod. #2 - 38 FR 20176 - 7/27/73
(D) - See Cape Girardeau County
(Hw) - See Adair County
SHANNON COUNTY
(Hw) - See Adair County
SHELBY COUNTY
(Hw) - See Adair County
STODDARD COUNTY
(Hw) - See Adair County
STONE COUNTY
(Hw) - See Adair County

MISSOURI (Cont'd)

SULLIVAN COUNTY
(Hw) - See Adair County
TANEY COUNTY
(Hw) - See Adair County
TEXAS COUNTY
(Hw) - See Adair County
VERNON COUNTY
(Hw) - See Adair County
WARREN COUNTY
(D) - See Andrew County
(Hw) - See Adair County
WASHINGTON COUNTY
(Hw) - See Adair County
WAYNE COUNTY
(Hw) - See Adair County
WEBSTER COUNTY
(Hw) - See Adair County
WORTH COUNTY
(Hw) - See Adair County
WRIGHT COUNTY
(Hw) - See Adair County

MONTANA

STATEWIDE
Decision #AQ-1042 (H,Hw)
38 FR 27178 - 9/28/73
BEAVERHEAD COUNTY
Decision #AQ-1038 (B)
38 FR 26574 - 9/21/73
(Hw) - See Statewide
BIG HORN COUNTY
Decision #AQ-1041 (B)
38 FR 26583 - 9/21/73
Mod. #1 - 38 FR 29732 - 10/26/73
BLAINE COUNTY
Decision #AQ-1035 (B)
38 FR 25880 - 9/14/73
(Hw) - See Statewide
BROADWATER COUNTY
(H,Hw) - See Statewide
(B) - See Blaine County
CARBON COUNTY
(H,Hw) - See Statewide
CARTER COUNTY
(Hw) - See Statewide
CASCADE COUNTY
(B) - See Blaine County
(H,Hw) - See Statewide
(R) - See Flathead County
CHOUTEAU COUNTY
(H,Hw) - See Statewide
(B) - See Blaine County
CUSTER COUNTY
(H,Hw) - See Statewide
(B) - See Big Horn County
DANIELS COUNTY
(H,Hw) - See Statewide
DAWSON COUNTY
(H,Hw) - See Statewide
(B) - See Big Horn County
DEERLODGE COUNTY
(B) - See Beaverhead County
(H,Hw) - See Statewide
FALLON COUNTY
(H,Hw) - See Statewide
FERGUS COUNTY
(H,Hw) - See Statewide
(B) - See Blaine County
FLATHEAD COUNTY
(H,Hw) - See Statewide
Decision #AQ-1032 (B)
38 FR 25875 - 9/14/73
Mod. #1 - 38 FR 27711 - 10/5/73
Decision #AQ-1044 (R)
38 FR 27718 - 10/5/73

MONTANA (Cont'd)

GALLATIN COUNTY
(B) - See Beaverhead County
(H,Hw) - See Statewide
GARFIELD COUNTY
(H,Hw) - See Blaine County
GLACIER COUNTY
(H,Hw) - See Statewide
(B) - See Blaine County
GOLDEN VALLEY COUNTY
(H,Hw) - See Statewide
GRANITE COUNTY
(H,Hw) - See Statewide
HILL COUNTY
(H,Hw) - See Statewide
(B) - See Blaine County
(R) - See Flathead County
JEFFERSON COUNTY
(H,Hw) - See Statewide
JUDITH BASIN COUNTY
(H,Hw) - See Statewide
(B) - See Blaine County
LAKE COUNTY
(H,Hw) - See Statewide
(B) - See Flathead County
LEWIS & CLARK COUNTY
(H,Hw) - See Statewide
(B) - See Blaine County
LIBERTY COUNTY
(H,Hw) - See Statewide
(B) - See Blaine County
LINCOLN COUNTY
(h,Hw) - See Statewide
(B) - See Flathead County
MC CONE COUNTY
(H,Hw) - See Statewide
MADISON COUNTY
(H,Hw) - See Statewide
MEAGHER COUNTY
(H,Hw) - See Statewide
(B) - See Blaine County
MINERAL COUNTY
(H,Hw) - See Statewide
MISSOURLA COUNTY
(H,Hw) - See Statewide
(B) - See Flathead County
MUSSELSHELL COUNTY
(H,Hw) - See Beaverhead County
PARK COUNTY
(H,Hw) - See Statewide
PETROLEUM COUNTY
(H,Hw) - See Statewide
PHILLIPS COUNTY
(H,Hw) - See Statewide
(B) - See Blaine County

MONTANA (Cont'd)

PONDERA COUNTY
(H,Hw) - See Statewide
(B) - See Blaine County
POWDER RIVER COUNTY
(H,Hw) - See Statewide
POWELL COUNTY
(H,Hw) - See Statewide
PRAIRIE COUNTY
(H,Hw) - See Statewide
RAVALLI COUNTY
(H,Hw) - See Statewide
RICHLAND COUNTY
(H,Hw) - See Statewide
(B) - See Big Horn County
ROOSEVELT COUNTY
(H,Hw) - See Statewide
(B) - See Big Horn County
ROSEBUD COUNTY
(H,Hw) - See Statewide
(B) - See Big Horn County
SANDERS COUNTY
(H,Hw) - See Statewide
(R) - See Flathead County
SHERIDAN COUNTY
(H,Hw) - See Blaine County
SILVERBOW COUNTY
(B) - See Beaverhead County
Decision #AQ-1020 (R)
38 FR 23729 - 8/31/73
(H,Hw) - See Statewide
STILLWATER COUNTY
(H,Hw) - See Statewide
SWEETGRASS COUNTY
(H,Hw) - See Statewide
TETON COUNTY
(H,Hw) - See Statewide
(B) - See Blaine County
TOOLE COUNTY
(H,Hw) - See Statewide
(B) - See Blaine County
TREASURE COUNTY
(Hw,H) - See Statewide
VALLEY COUNTY
(B) - See Blaine County
(H,Hw) - See Statewide
(R) - See Flathead County
WHEATLAND COUNTY
(H,Hw) - See Statewide
(B) - See Blaine County
WIBAUX COUNTY
(H,Hw) - See Statewide
YELLOW STONE COUNTY
(H,Hw) - See Statewide
(B) - See Big Horn County

NEBRASKA

ADAMS COUNTY
Decision #AP-525 (Hw)
38 FR 9413 - 4/13/73
Mod. #1 - 38 FR 13116 - 5/18/73
Mod. #2 - 38 FR 13917 - 5/25/73
Mod. #3 - 38 FR 18126 - 7/6/73
Mod. #4 - 38 FR 23658 - 8/31/73
Mod. #5 - 38 FR 29146 - 10/19/73
ANTELOPE COUNTY
(Hw) - See Adams County
ARTHUR COUNTY
(Hw) - See Adams County
BANNER COUNTY
(Hw) - See Adams County
BLAINE COUNTY
(Hw) - See Adams County
BOONE COUNTY
(Hw) - See Adams County
BOX BUTTE COUNTY
(Hw) - See Adams County
BOYD COUNTY
(Hw) - See Adams County
BROWN COUNTY
(Hw) - See Adams County
BUFFALO COUNTY
(Hw) - See Adams County
BURT COUNTY
(Hw) - See Adams County
BUTLER COUNTY
(Hw) - See Adams County
CASS COUNTY
(Hw) - See Adams County
CEDAR COUNTY
(Hw) - See Adams County
CHASE COUNTY
(Hw) - See Adams County
CHERRY COUNTY
(Hw) - See Adams County
CHEYENNE COUNTY
(Hw) - See Adams County
CLAY COUNTY
(Hw) - See Adams County
COLFAX COUNTY
(Hw) - See Adams County
CUMING COUNTY
(Hw) - See Adams County
CUSTER COUNTY
(Hw) - See Adams County
DAKOTA COUNTY
(Hw) - See Adams County

NEBRASKA (Cont'd)

DAWES COUNTY
(Hw) - See Adams County
DAWSON COUNTY
(Hw) - See Adams County
DEUEL COUNTY
(Hw) - See Adams County
DIXON COUNTY
(Hw) - See Adams County
DODGE COUNTY
(Hw) - See Adams County
DOUGLAS COUNTY
Decision #AQ-26 (B,H,Hw)
38 FR 24541 - 9/7/73
Mod. #1 - 38 FR 26548 - 9/21/73
Mod. #2 - 38 FR 27165 - 9/28/73
Mod. #3 - 38 FR 27711 - 10/5/73
Mod. #4 - 38 FR 29146 - 10/19/73
Decision #AQ-25 (R)
38 FR 24540 - 9/7/73
DUNDY COUNTY
(Hw) - See Adams County
FILLMORE COUNTY
(Hw) - See Adams County
FRANKLIN COUNTY
(Hw) - See Adams County
FRONTIER COUNTY
(Hw) - See Adams County
FURNAS COUNTY
(Hw) - See Adams County
GAGE COUNTY
(Hw) - See Adams County
GARDEN COUNTY
(Hw) - See Adams County
GARFIELD COUNTY
(Hw) - See Adams County
GOSPER COUNTY
(Hw) - See Adams County
GRANT COUNTY
(Hw) - See Adams County

NEBRASKA (Cont'd)

GREELEY COUNTY
(Hw) - See Adams County
HALL COUNTY
Decision #AQ-24 - (B)
38 FR 24486 - 9/7/73
Mod. #1 - 38 FR 30330 - 11/2/73
(Hw) - See Adams County
HAMILTON COUNTY
(Hw) - See Adams County
HARLAN COUNTY
(Hw) - See Adams County
HAYES COUNTY
(Hw) - See Adams County
HITCHCOCK COUNTY
(Hw) - See Adams County
HOLT COUNTY
(Hw) - See Adams County
HOOKER COUNTY
(Hw) - See Adams County
HOWARD COUNTY
(Hw) - See Adams County
JEFFERSON COUNTY
(Hw) - See Adams County
JOHNSON COUNTY
(Hw) - See Adams County
KEARNEY COUNTY
(Hw) - See Adams County
KEITH COUNTY
(Hw) - See Adams County
KEYAPAHA COUNTY
(Hw) - See Adams County
KIMBALL COUNTY
(Hw) - See Adams County
KNOX COUNTY
(Hw) - See Adams County
LANCASTER COUNTY
Decision #AP-522 (B)
38 FR 8391 - 3/30/73
Mod. #1 - 38 FR 14534 - 6/1/73
Mod. #2 - 38 FR 16588 - 6/22/73
Mod. #3 - 38 FR 27711 - 10/5/73
Mod. #4 - 38 FR 29146 - 10/19/73
Decision #AM-6,140 (H)
36 FR 21736 - 11/12/71
LINCOLN COUNTY
(Hw) - See Adams County
LOGAN COUNTY
(Hw) - See Adams County
LOUP COUNTY
(Hw) - See Adams County
MC PHERSON COUNTY
(Hw) - See Adams County
MADISON COUNTY
(Hw) - See Adams County

NEBRASKA (Cont'd)

MERRICK COUNTY
(Hw) - See Adams County
MORRILL COUNTY
(Hw) - See Adams County
NANCE COUNTY
(Hw) - See Adams County
NEMAHA COUNTY
Decision #AQ-21 (D)
38 FR 23647 - 8/31/73
(Hw) - See Adams County
NUCKOLLS COUNTY
(Hw) - See Adams County
OTOE COUNTY
(Hw) - See Adams County
PAWNEE COUNTY
(Hw) - See Adams County
PERKINS COUNTY
(Hw) - See Adams County
PHELPS COUNTY
(Hw) - See Adams County
PIERCE COUNTY
(Hw) - See Adams County
PLATTE COUNTY
(Hw) - See Adams County
POLK COUNTY
(Hw) - See Adams County
RED WILLOW COUNTY
(Hw) - See Adams County
RICHARDSON COUNTY
(D) - See Neemaha County
(Hw) - See Adams County
ROCK COUNTY
(Hw) - See Adams County
SALINE COUNTY
(Hw) - See Adams County
SARPY COUNTY
(B-H-Hw) - See Douglas County
SAUNDERS COUNTY
(Hw) - See Adams County
SCOTTS BLUFF COUNTY
(Hw) - See Adams County
SEWARD COUNTY
(Hw) - See Adams County
SHERIDAN COUNTY
(Hw) - See Adams County
SHERMAN COUNTY
(Hw) - See Adams County
SIOUX COUNTY
(Hw) - See Adams County

NEBRASKA (Cont'd)

STANTON COUNTY
(Hw) - See Adams County
THAYER COUNTY
(Hw) - See Adams County
THOMAS COUNTY
(Hw) - See Adams County
THURSTON COUNTY
(Hw) - See Adams County
VALLEY COUNTY
(Hw) - See Adams County
WASHINGTON COUNTY
(Hw) - See Adams County
WAYNE COUNTY
(Hw) - See Adams County
WEBSTER COUNTY
(Hw) - See Adams County
WHEELER COUNTY
(Hw) - See Adams County
YORK COUNTY

NEVADA

STATEWIDE (Excluding the Nevada Test Site & Tonopah Test Range)
Decision #AP-264 (H,Hw)
38 FR 6584 - 3/9/73
Mod. #1 - 38 FR 15212 - 6/8/73
Mod. #2- 38 FR 17351 - 6/29/73
Mod. #3 - 38 FR 26548 - 9/21/73
Mod. #4 - 38 FR 29733 - 10/26/73
CHURCHILL COUNTY
(H,Hw) - See Statewide
Decision #AQ-1036 (B)
38 FR 25888 - 9/14/73
CLARK COUNTY
Decision #AP-290 (R) (Excluding the Nevada Test Site)
38 FR 8914 - 4/6/73
Mod. #1 - 38 FR 17352 - 6/29/73
Mod. #2 - 38 FR 26549 - 9/21/73
Mod. #3 - 38 FR 29737 - 10/26/73
Decision #AP-266 (B) Excluding the Nevada Test Site)
38 FR 8395 - 3/30/73
Mod. #1 - 38 FR 17351 - 6/29/73
Mod. #2 - 38 FR 26548 - 9/21/73
Mod. #3 - 38 FR 29735 - 10/26/73
(H,Hw) - See Statewide
Decision #AP-912 (B,H,Hw) (Nevada Test Site including the Tonopah Test Range
38 FR 17421 - 6/29/73
Mod. #1 - 38 FR 20181 - 7/27/73
Mod. #2 - 38 FR 29739 - 10/26/73
DOUGLAS COUNTY
(H,Hw) - See Statewide
(B) - See Churchill County
ELKO COUNTY
(H,Hw) - See Statewide
(B) - See Churchill County
ESMERALDA COUNTY
(H,Hw) - See Statewide
EUREKA COUNTY
(H,Hw) - See Statewide

NEVADA (Cont'd)

HUMBOLDT COUNTY
(H,Hw) - See Statewide
LANDER COUNTY
(H,Hw) - See Statewide
LINCOLN COUNTY
(B) - See Clark County (AP-266)
(H,Hw) - See Statewide
LYON COUNTY
(H,Hw) - See Statewide
(B) - See Churchill County
MINERAL COUNTY
(H,Hw) - See Statewide
(B) - See Churchill County
NYE COUNTY
(B) - See Clark County (#AP-266)
(B,H,Hw) - See Clark County (#AP-26
(H,Hw) - See Statewide
ORMSBY COUNTY
(B) - See Churchill County
(H,Hw) - See Statewide
PERSHING COUNTY
(H,Hw) - See Statewide
STOREY COUNTY
(H,Hw) - See Statewide
WASHOE COUNTY
Decision #AP-269 (R)
38 FR 7190 - 3/16/73
Mod. #1 - 38 FR 15216 - 6/8/73
Mod. #2 - 38 FR 17351 - 6/29/73
Mod. #3 - 38 FR 26549 - 9/21/73
(H,Hw) - See Statewide
(B) - See Churchill County
WHITE PINE COUNTY
(H,Hw) - See Statewide

NEW HAMPSHIRE

BELKNAP COUNTY
None
CARROLL COUNTY
None
CHESHIRE COUNTY
None
COOS COUNTY
None
GRAFTON COUNTY
None
HILLSBORO COUNTY
Decision #AQ-3029 (B)
38 FR 30362 - 11/2/73
Decision #AQ-3001 (R)
38 FR 23733 - 8/31/73
MERRIMACK COUNTY
None
ROCKINGHAM COUNTY
Decision #AP-803 (D)
38 FR 10580 - 4/27/73
Decision #AQ-3030 (B)
38 FR 30365 - 11/2/73
Decision #AQ-3011 (R)
38 FR 28451 - 10/12/73
STRAFFORD COUNTY
None
SULLIVAN COUNTY
None

NEW JERSEY

ATLANTIC COUNTY
Decision #AP-809 (B,H,Hw)
38 FR 12561 - 5/11/73
Mod. #1 - 38 FR 21705 - 8/10/73
Mod. #2 -38 FR 22853 - 8/24/73
Decision #AP-803 (D)
38 FR 10580 - 4/27/73
BERGEN COUNTY
Decision #AP-810 (B,H,Hw)
38 FR 12567 - 5/11/73
Mod. #1 - 38 FR 21705 - 8/10/73
(D) - See Atlantic County
BURLINGTON COUNTY
Decision #AP-829 (B,H,Hw)
38 FR 13272 - 5/18/73
Mod. #1 - 38 FR 21707 - 8/10/73
Mod. #2 - 38 FR 29740 - 10/26/73
(D) - See Atlantic County
CAMDEN COUNTY
Decision #AP-836 (B,H,Hw)
38 FR 13951 - 5/25/73
Mod. #1 - 38 FR 21014 - 8/3/73
(D) - See Atlantic County
CAPE MAY COUNTY
(B,H,Hw,D) - See Atlantic County
CUMBERLAND COUNTY
Decision #AP-837 (B,H,Hw)
38 FR 13957 - 5/25/73
(D) - See Atlantic County
ESSEX COUNTY
Decision #AP-826 (B,H,Hw)
38 FR 13252 - 5/18/73
Mod. #1 - 38 FR 21705 - 8/10/73
GLOUCESTER COUNTY
(B,H,Hw) - See Camden County
(D) - See Atlantic County
HUDSON COUNTY
Decision #AP-828 (B,H,Hw)
38 FR 13265 - 5/18/73
Mod. #1 - 38 FR 18126 - 7/6/73
(D) - See Atlantic County
HUNTERDON COUNTY
None
MERCER COUNTY
Decision #AP-827 (B,H,Hw)
38 FR 13258 - 5/18/73
Mod. #1 - 38 FR 21706 - 8/10/73
(D) - See Atlantic County

NEW JERSEY (Cont'd)

MIDDLESEX COUNTY
Decision #AP-838 (B,H,Hw)
38 FR 13963 - 5/25/73
(D) - See Atlantic County
MONMOUTH COUNTY
Decision #AP-839 (B,H,Hw)
38 FR 13971 - 5/25/73
(D) - See Atlantic County
MORRIS COUNTY
Decision #AP-840 (B,H,Hw)
38 FR 13978 - 5/25/73
(D) - See Atlantic County
OCEAN COUNTY
Decision #AP-841 (B,H,Hw)
38 FR 13985 - 5/25/73
(D) - See Atlantic County
PASSAIC COUNTY
Decision #AM-1,718 (B,H,Hw)
36 FR 14885 - 8/11/71
Mod. #1-36 FR 20080 - 10/15/71
Mod. #2-37 FR 971 - 1/21/72
Mod. #3 - 37 FR 5662 - 3/17/72
Mod. #4 - 37 FR 6137 - 3/24/72
(D) - See Atlantic County
SALEM COUNTY
(D) - See Atlantic County
SOMERSET COUNTY
Decision #AM-1,719 (B,H,Hw)
36 FR 14892 - 8/11/71
Mod. #1 - 36 FR 19285 - 10/1/71
Mod. #2 - 36 FR 20080 - 10/15/71
Mod. #3 - 37 FR 972 - 1/21/72
Mod. #4 - 37 FR 6137 - 3/24/72
(D) - See Atlantic County
SUSSEX COUNTY
None
UNION COUNTY
Decision #AP-846 (B,Hw,H)
38 FR 15333 - 6/8/73
(D) - See Atlantic County
WARREN COUNTY
None

NEW MEXICO

STATEWIDE
Decision #AQ-18 (Streets, Highways, Utilities and Light Engineering Construction)
38 FR 21714 - 8/10/73
Mod. #1 - 38 FR 22853 - 8/24/73
Decision #AQ-35 (Building & Heavy Engineering Construction
38 FR 28529 - 10/12/73
Mod. #1 - 38 FR 29740 - 10/26/73
Mod. #2 - 38 FR 30330 - 11/2/73
BERNALILLO COUNTY
(B,HE,S,Hw,U,LE) - See Statewide
CATRON COUNTY
(B,HE,S,Hw,U,LE) - See Statewide
CHAVES COUNTY
(B,HE,S,Hw,U,LE) - See Statewide
COLFAX COUNTY
(B,HE,S,Hw,U,LE) - See Statewide
CURRY COUNTY
(B,HE,S,Hw,U,LE) - See Statewide
DONA ANA COUNTY
(B,HE,S,Hw,U,LE) - See Statewide
Decision #AP-340 (R)
37 FR 19869 - 9/22/72
EDDY COUNTY
(B,HE,S,Hw,U,LE) - See Statewide
GRANT COUNTY
(B,HE,S,Hw,U,LE) - See Statewide
GUADALUPE COUNTY
(B,HE,S,Hw,U,LE) - See Statewide
HARDING COUNTY
(B,HE,S,Hw,U,LE) - See Statewide
HIDALGO COUNTY
(B,HE,S,Hw,U,LE) - See Statewide
LEA COUNTY
(B,HE,S,Hw,U,LE) - See Statewide
LINCOLN COUNTY
(B,HE,S,Hw,U,LE) - See Statewide
LOS ALAMOS COUNTY
(B,HE,S,Hw,U,LE) - See Statewide
LUNA COUNTY
(B,HE,S,Hw,U,LE) - See Statewide
McKINLEY COUNTY
(B,HE,S,Hw,U,LE) - See STatewide
MORA COUNTY
(B,HE,S,Hw,U,LE) - See Statewide

NEW MEXICO (Cont'd)

OTERO COUNTY
(B,HE,S,Hw,U,LE) - See Statewide
QUAY COUNTY
(B,HE,S,Hw,U,LE) - See Statewide
RIO ARRIBA COUNTY
(B,HE,S,Hw,U,LE) - See Statewide
ROOSEVELT COUNTY
(B,HE,S,Hw,U,LE) - See Statewide
SANDOVAL COUNTY
(B,HE,S,Hw,U,LE) - See Statewide
SAN JUAN COUNTY
(B,HE,S,Hw,U,LE) - See Statewide
SAN MIGUEL COUNTY
(B,HE,S,Hw,U,LE) - See Statewide
SANTA FE COUNTY
(B,HE,S,Hw,U,LE)-See Statewide
SIERRA COUNTY
(B,HE,S,Hw,U,LE)-See Statewide
SOCORRO COUNTY
(B,HE,S,Hw,U,LE)-See Statewide
TAOS COUNTY
(B,HE,S,Hw,U,LE)-See Statewide
TORRANCE COUNTY
(B,HE,S,Hw,U,LE)-See Statewide
UNION COUNTY
(B,HE,S,Hw,U,LE) - See Statewide
VALENCIA COUNTY
(B,HE,S,Hw,U,LE) - See Statewide

NEW YORK

ALBANY COUNTY
Decision #AP-452 (H,B,Hw)
37 FR 26782 - 12/15/72
Mod. #1 - 38 FR 9397 - 4/13/73
Mod. #2 - 38 FR 18819 - 7/13/73
Mod. #3 - 38 FR 21016 - 8/3/73
Mod. #4 - 38 FR 26549 - 9/21/73
ALLEGANY COUNTY
None
BRONX COUNTY
Decision #AP-487 (B,H,Hw)
38 FR 6608 - 3/9/73
Mod. #1 - 38 FR 8872 - 4/6/73
Mod. #2 - 38 FR 12514 - 5/11/73
Mod. #3 - 38 FR 22854 - 8/24/73
Decision #AP-400 (R)
37 FR 13913 - 7/14/72
Mod. #1 - 37 FR 23510 - 11/3/72
Mod. #2 - 38 FR 5097 - 2/23/73
Mod. #3 - 38 FR 12514 - 5/11/73
Mod. #4 - 38 FR 22854 - 8/24/73
Decision #AP-803 (D)
38 FR 10580 - 4/27/73
BROOME COUNTY
Decision #AP-469 (B,H,Hw)
38 FR 4169 - 2/9/73
Mod. #1 - 38 FR 9397 - 4/13/73
Mod. #2 - 38 FR 18126 - 7/6/73
Mod. #3 - 38 FR 21022 - 8/3/73
Mod. #4 - 38 FR 22854 - 8/24/73
Mod. #5 - 38 FR 24491 - 9/7/73
CATTARAUGUS COUNTY
None
CAYUGA COUNTY
Decision #AP-4 (D)
37 FR 14670 - 7/21/72
CHAUTAUQUA COUNTY
(D) - See Cayuga County
CHEMUNG COUNTY
None
CHENANGO COUNTY
None

NEW YORK (Cont'd)

CLINTON COUNTY
None
COLUMBIA COUNTY
None
CORTLAND COUNTY
None
DELAWARE COUNTY
None
DUTCHESS COUNTY
Decision #AM-1,724 (B,H,Hw)
36 FR 14921 - 8/11/71
Mod. #1-36 FR 19650 - 10/8/71
Mod. #2-37 FR 973 - 1/21/72
Mod. #3-37 FR 7029 - 4/7/72
(D) - See Bronx County
ERIE COUNTY
Decision #AP-800 (B,H,Hw)
38 FR 8404 - 3/30/73
Mod. #1 - 38 FR 9398 - 4/13/73
Mod. #2 - 38 FR 18820 - 7/13/73
Mod. #3 - 38 FR 22854 - 8/24/73
(D) - See Cayuga County
ESSEX COUNTY
None
FRANKLIN COUNTY
(D) - See Cayuga County
FULTON COUNTY
None
GENESEE COUNTY
None
GREENE COUNTY
None
HAMILTON COUNTY
None
HERKIMER COUNTY
None
JEFFERSON COUNTY
Decision #AP-807 (B,H,Hw)
38 FR 12582 - 5/11/73
Mod. #1 - 38 FR 18820 - 7/13/73
Mod. #2 - 38 FR 21023 - 8/3/73
(D) - See Cayuga County

NEW YORK (Cont'd)

KINGS COUNTY
(B,H,Hw,R,D) - See Bronx County
LEWIS COUNTY
None
LIVINGSTON COUNTY
None
MADISON COUNTY
None
MONROE COUNTY
Decision #AP-453 (B,H,Hw)
37 FR 28380-12/22/72
Mod. #1 - 38 FR 18820 - 7/13/73
Mod. #2 - 38 FR 21018 - 8/3/73
Mod. #3 - 38 FR 29147 - 10/19/73
(D) - See Cayuga County
MONTGOMERY COUNTY
None
NASSAU COUNTY
Decision #AQ-2001 (B,H,Hw)
38 FR 18845 - 7/13/73
Mod. #1 - 38 FR 24491 - 9/7/73
(D) - See Bronx County
NEW YORK COUNTY
(B,H,Hw,R,D) - See Bronx County
NIAGARA COUNTY
Decision #AM-1,729 (B,H,Hw)
36 FR 14950 - 8/11/71
Mod. #1-36 FR 19651 - 10/8/71
Mod. #2-36 FR 20462 - 10/22/71
Mod. #3-37 FR 973 - 1/21/72
Mod. #4-37 FR 6612 - 3/31/72
(D) - See Cayuga County

NEW YORK (Cont'd)

ONEIDA COUNTY
Decision #AP-844 (B,H,Hw) (Cont'd)
38 FR 15341 - 6/8/73
Mod. #1 - 38 FR 18822 - 7/13/73
Mod. #2 - 38 FR 21026 - 8/3/73
Mod. #3 - 38 FR 29149 - 10/19/73
ONONDAGA COUNTY
Decision #AP-808 (B,H,Hw)
38 FR 12587 - 5/11/73
Mod. #1 - 38 FR 18821 - 7/13/73
Mod. #2 - 38 FR 21025 - 8/3/73
Mod. #3 - 38 FR 29148 - 10/19/73
ONTARIO COUNTY
None
ORANGE COUNTY
Decision #AP-845 (B,H,Hw)
38 FR 15346 - 6/8/73
Mod. #1 - 38 FR 18820 - 7/13/73
(D) - See Bronx County
ORLEANS COUNTY
(D) - See Cayuga County
OSWEGO COUNTY
(D) - See Cayuga County
OTSEGA COUNTY
None
PUTNAM COUNTY
None
QUEENS COUNTY
(B,H,Hw,R,D) - See Bronx County
RENSSELAER COUNTY
Decision #AP-860 (B,H,Hw)
38 FR 18160 - 7/6/73
Mod. #1 - 38 FR 21030 - 8/3/73
Mod. #2 - 38 FR 22854 - 8/24/73
RICHMOND COUNTY
(B,H,Hw,R,D) - See Bronx County
ROCKLAND COUNTY
(D) - See Albany County

NEW YORK (cont'd)

ST LAWRENCE COUNTY
(D) - See Cayuga County
SARATOGA COUNTY
Decision #AP-451 (B,H,Hw)
37 FR 25099 - 11/25/72
Mod. #1 - 38 FR 21014 - 8/3/73
SCHENECTADY COUNTY
Decision #AP-859 (B,H,Hw)
38 FR 18156 - 7/6/73
Mod. #1 - 38 FR 21028 - 8/3/73
SCHOHARIE COUNTY
None
SCHUYLER COUNTY
None
SENECA COUNTY
None
STEUBEN COUNTY
Decision #AP-486 (B,H,Hw)
38 FR 6516 - 3/9/73
Mod. #1 - 38 FR 20184 - 7/27/73
SUFFOLK COUNTY
Decision #AM-1,735 (B,H,Hw)
36 FR 14890 - 8/11/71
Mod. #1 - 36 FR 18988 - 9/24/71
Mod. #2 - 37 FR 4021 - 2/2 /72
Mod. #3 - 37 FR 22706 - 10/20/72
Mod. #4 - 37 FR 23973 - 11/10/72
(D) - See Bronx County
SULLIVAN COUNTY
None
TIOGA COUNTY
None
TOMPKINS COUNTY
None
ULSTER COUNTY
None
WARREN COUNTY
None
WASHINGTON COUNTY
None
WAYNE COUNTY
(D) - See Cayuga County
WESTCHESTER COUNTY
Decision #AM-1,736 (B,H,Hw)
36 FR 14985 - 8/11/71
Mod. #1-36 FR 19652 - 8/11/71
Mod. #2-36 FR 20463 - 10/22/71
Mod. #3-37 FR 974 - 1/21/72
Mod. #4-37 FR 6613 - 3/31/72
(D) - See Bronx County
WYOMING COUNTY
None
YATES COUNTY
None

NORTH CAROLINA

STATEWIDE
Decision #AM-1847 (Hw)
36 FR 16474 - 8/20/71
Decision #AP-156 (Sewer & Water)
38 FR 4618 - 2/16/73
ALAMANCE COUNTY
(Sewer & Water, Hw) - See Statewide
ALEXANDER COUNTY
(Sewer & Water, Hw) - See Statewide
ALLEGHANY COUNTY
(Sewer & Water,Hw) - See Statewide
ANSON COUNTY
(Sewer & Water,Hw) - See Statewide
ASHE COUNTY
(Sewer & Water,Hw) - See Statewide
AVERY COUNTY
(Sewer & Water,Hw) - See Statewide
BEAUFORT COUNTY
Decision #AP-847 (D)
38 FR 15797 - 6/15/73
(Sewer & Water,Hw) - See Statewide
BERTIE COUNTY
(D) - See Beaufort County
(Sewer & Water,Hw) - See Statewide
BLADEN COUNTY
(Sewer & Water,Hw) - See Statewide
BRUNSWICK COUNTY
(Sewer & Water,Hw) - See Statewide
(D) - See Beaufort County
BUNCOMBE COUNTY
(Sewer & Water,Hw) - See Statewide
BURKE COUNTY
(Sewer & Water,Hw) - See Statewide
CABARRUS COUNTY
(Sewer & Water,Hw) - See Statewide
CALDWELL COUNTY
(Sewer & Water,Hw) - See Statewide
CAMDEN COUNTY
(Sewer & Water,Hw) - See Statewide
CARTERET COUNTY
(D) - See Beaufort County
(Sewer & Water,Hw) - See Statewide
CASWELL COUNTY
(Sewer & Water,Hw) - See Statewide
CATAWBA COUNTY
(Sewer & Water,Hw) - See Statewide
CHATHAM COUNTY
(Sewer & Water,Hw) - See Statewide

NORTH CAROLINA (Cont'd)

CHEROKEE COUNTY
(Sewer & Water,Hw) - See Statewide
CHOWAN COUNTY
(Sewer & Water) - See Statewide
(D) - See Beaufort County
(Hw) - See Statewide
CLAY COUNTY
(Sewer & Water,Hw) - See Statewide
CLEVELAND COUNTY
(Sewer & Water,Hw) - See Statewide
COLUMBUS COUNTY
(Sewer & Water,Hw) - See Statewide
CRAVEN COUNTY
(Sewer & Water,Hw) - See Statewide
(D) - See Beaufort County
CUMBERLAND COUNTY
(Sewer & Water,Hw) - See Statewide
CURRITUCK COUNTY
(Sewer & Water,Hw) - See Statewide
(D) - See Beaufort County
DARE COUNTY
(Sewer & Water,Hw) - See Statewide
(D) - See Beaufort County
DAVIDSON COUNTY
(Sewer & Water,Hw) - See Statewide
DAVIE COUNTY
(Sewer & Water,Hw) - See Statewide
DUPLIN COUNTY
(Sewer & Water,Hw) - See Statewide
DURHAM COUNTY
(Sewer & Water,Hw) - See Statewide
EDGECOMBE COUNTY
(Sewer & Water,Hw) - See Statewide
FORSYTH COUNTY
(Sewer & Water,Hw) - See Statewide
FRANKLIN COUNTY
(Sewer & Water,Hw) - See Statewide
GASTON COUNTY
(Sewer & Water,Hw) - See Statewide
GATES COUNTY
(Sewer & Water,Hw) - See Statewide
(D) - See Beaufort County
GRAHAM COUNTY
(Sewer & Water,Hw) - See Statewide
GRANVILLE COUNTY
(Sewer & Water,Hw) - See Statewide
GREENE COUNTY
(Sewer & Water,Hw) - See Statewide
GUILFORD COUNTY
(Sewer & Water,Hw) - See Statewide

NORTH CAROLINA (Cont'd)

HALIFAX COUNTY
(Sewer & Water,dw) - See Statewide
HARNETT COUNTY
(Sewer & Water,Hw) - See Statewide
HAYWOOD COUNTY
(Sewer & Water,Hw) - See Statewide
HENDERSON COUNTY
(Sewer & Water,Hw) - See Statewide
HERTFORD COUNTY
(Sewer & Water,Hw) - See Statewide
(D) - See Beaufort County
HOKE COUNTY
(Sewer & Water,Hw) - See Statewide
HYDE COUNTY
(Sewer & Water,Hw) - See Statewide
(D) - See Beaufort County
IREDELL COUNTY
(Sewer & Water,Hw) - See Statewide
JACKSON COUNTY
(Sewer & Water,Hw) - See Statewide
JOHNSTON COUNTY
(Sewer & Water,Hw) - See Statewide
JONES COUNTY
(Sewer & Water,Hw) - See Statewide
LEE COUNTY
(Sewer & Water,Hw) - See Statewide
LENOIR COUNTY
(Sewer & Water,Hw) - See Statewide
LINCOLN COUNTY
(Sewer & Water,Hw) - See Statewide
MCDOWELL COUNTY
(Sewer & Water,Hw) - See Statewide
MACON COUNTY
(Sewer & Water,Hw) - See Statewide
MADISON COUNTY
(Sewer & Water,Hw) - See Statewide
MARTIN COUNTY
(Sewer & Water,Hw) - See Statewide
MECKLENBURG COUNTY
Decision #AP-161 (B)
38 FR 5753 - 3/2/73
Mod. #1 - 38 FR 7166 - 3/16/73
(Sewer & Water,Hw) - See Statewide
MITCHELL COUNTY
(Sewer & Water,Hw) - See Statewide
MONTGOMERY COUNTY
(Sewer & Water,Hw) - See Statewide
MOORE COUNTY
(Sewer & Water,Hw) - See Statewide
NASH COUNTY
(Sewer & Water,Hw) - See Statewide

NORTH CAROLINA (Cont'd)

NEW HANOVER COUNTY
(D) - See Beaufort County
(Sewer & Water,Hw) - See Statewide
NORTHAMPTON COUNTY
(Sewer & Water, Hw) - See Statewide
ONSLOW COUNTY
(D) - See Beaufort County
(Sewer & Water, Hw) - See Statewide
ORANGE COUNTY
(Sewer & Water, Hw) - See Statewide
PAMLICO COUNTY
(D) - See Beaufort County
(Sewer & Water, Hw) - See Statewide
PASQUOTANK COUNTY
(D) - See Beaufort County
(Sewer & Water, Hw) - See Statewide
PENDER COUNTY
(D) - See Beaufort County
(Sewer & Water, Hw) - See Statewide
PERQUIMANS COUNTY
(D) - See Beaufort County
(Sewer & Water, Hw) - See Statewide
PERSON COUNTY
(Sewer & Water, Hw) - See Statewide
PITT COUNTY
(Sewer & Water, Hw) - See Statewide
POLK COUNTY
(Sewer & Water, Hw) - See Statewide
RANDOLPH COUNTY
(Sewer & Water, Hw) - See Statewide
RICHMOND COUNTY
(Sewer & Water, Hw) - See Statewide
ROBESON COUNTY
(Sewer & Water, Hw) - See Statewide
ROCKINGHAM COUNTY
(Sewer & Water, Hw) - See Statewide
ROWAN COUNTY
(Sewer & Water, Hw) - See Statewide
RUTHERFORD COUNTY
(Sewer & Water, Hw) - See Statewide
SAMPSON COUNTY
(Sewer & Water, Hw) - See Statewide
SCOTLAND COUNTY
(Sewer & Water, Hw) - See Statewide
STANLY COUNTY
(Sewer & Water, Hw) - See Statewide

NORTH CAROLINA (Cont'd)

STOKES COUNTY
(Sewer & Water,Hw) - See Statewide
SURRY COUNTY
(Sewer & Water,Hw) - See Statewide
SWAIN COUNTY
(Sewer & Water,Hw) - See Statewide
TRANSYLVANIA COUNTY
(Sewer & Water,Hw) - See Statewide
TYRRELL COUNTY
(D) - See Beaufort County
(Sewer & Water,Hw) - See Statewide
UNION COUNTY
(Sewer & Water,Hw) - See Statewide
VANCE COUNTY
(Sewer & Water,Hw) - See Statewide
WAKE COUNTY
(Sewer & Water,Hw) - See Statewide
WARREN COUNTY
(Sewer & Water,Hw) - See Statewide
WASHINGTON COUNTY
(D) - See Beaufort County
(Sewer & Water,Hw) - See Statewide
WATAUGA COUNTY
(Sewer & Water,Hw) - See Statewide
WAYNE COUNTY
(Sewer & Water,Hw) - See Statewide
WILKES COUNTY
(Sewer & Water,Hw) - See Statewide
WILSON COUNTY
(Sewer & Water,Hw) - See Statewide
YADKIN COUNTY
(Sewer & Water,Hw) - See Statewide
YANCEY COUNTY
(Sewer & Water,Hw) - See Statewide

NORTH DAKOTA

STATEWIDE
Decision #AQ-1000 (Hw)
38 FR 16849 - 7/13/73
ADAMS COUNTY
(Hw) - See Statewide
BARNES COUNTY
(Hw) - See Statewide
BENSON COUNTY
(Hw) - See Statewide
BILLINGS COUNTY
(Hw) - See Statewide
BOTTINEAU COUNTY
(Hw) - See Statewide
BOWMAN COUNTY
(Hw) - See Statewide
BURKE COUNTY
(Hw) - See Statewide
BURLEIGH COUNTY
(Hw) - See Statewide
Decision #AQ-1011 (B)
38 FR 21716 - 8/10/73
Mod. #1 - 38 FR 25855 - 9/14/73
Mod. #2 - 38 FR 27165 - 9/28/73
Mod. #3 - 38 FR 28473 - 10/12/73
CASS COUNTY
(Hw) - See Statewide
(B) - See Burleigh County
CAVALIER COUNTY
(Hw) - See Statewide
DICKEY COUNTY
(Hw) - See Statewide
DIVIDE COUNTY
(Hw) - See Statewide
DUNN COUNTY
(Hw) - See Statewide
EDDY COUNTY
(Hw) - See Statewide
EMMONS COUNTY
(Hw) - See Statewide
FOSTER COUNTY
(Hw) - See Statewide
GOLDEN VALLEY COUNTY
(Hw) - See Statewide

NORTH DAKOTA (Cont'd)

GRAND FORKS COUNTY
(Hw) - See Statewide
(B) - See Burleigh County
GRANT COUNTY
(Hw) - See Statewide
GRIGGS COUNTY
(Hw) - See Statewide
HETTINGER COUNTY
(Hw) - See Statewide
KIDDER COUNTY
(Hw) - See Statewide
LAMOURE COUNTY
(Hw) - See Statewide
LOGAN COUNTY
(Hw) - See Statewide
McHENRY COUNTY
(Hw) - See Statewide
McINTOSH COUNTY
(Hw) - See Statewide
McKENZIE COUNTY
(Hw) - See Statewide
McLEAN COUNTY
(Hw) - See Statewide
MERCER COUNTY
(Hw) - See Statewide
MORTON COUNTY
(Hw) - See Statewide
(B) - See Burleigh County
MOUNTRAIL COUNTY
(Hw) - See Statewide
NELSON COUNTY
(Hw) - See Statewide
OLIVER COUNTY
(Hw) - See Statewide
PEMBINA COUNTY
(Hw) - See Statewide

NORTH DAKOTA (Cont'd)

RANSOM COUNTY
(Hw) - See Statewide
RENVILLE COUNTY
(Hw) - See Statewide
RICHLAND COUNTY
(Hw) - See Statewide
(B) - See Burleigh County
ROLETTE COUNTY
(Hw) - See Statewide
SARGENT COUNTY
(Hw) - See Statewide
SHERIDAN COUNTY
(Hw) - See Statewide
SIOUX COUNTY
(Hw) - See Statewide
SLOPE COUNTY
(Hw) - See Statewide
STARK COUNTY
(Hw) - See Statewide
STEELE COUNTY
(Hw) - See Statewide
(B) - See Burleigh County
STUTSMAN COUNTY
(Hw) - See Statewide
TOWNER COUNTY
(Hw) - See Statewide
TRAILL COUNTY
(Hw) - See Statewide
WALSH COUNTY
(Hw) - See Statewide
(B) - See Burleigh County
WARD COUNTY
(Hw) - See Statewide
(B) - See Burleigh County
WELLS COUNTY
(Hw) - See Statewide
WILLIAMS COUNTY
(Hw) - See Statewide

OHIO

ADAMS COUNTY
Decision #AP-402 (D)
38 FR 1433-1/12/73
ALLEN COUNTY
None
ASHLAND COUNTY
None
ASHTABULA COUNTY
Decision #AP-4 (D)
37 FR 14670 - 7/21/72
ATHENS COUNTY
(D) - See Adams County
AUGLAIZE COUNTY
None
BELMONT COUNTY
None
BROWN COUNTY
(D) - See Adams County
BUTLER COUNTY
Decision #AP-669 (B)(H,Hw)
38 FR 13991 - 5/25/73
Mod. #1 - 38 FR 14535 - 6/1/73
Mod. #2 - 38 FR 22316 - 8/17/73
Mod. #3 - 38 FR 27712 - 10/5/73
Mod. #4 - 38 FR 29741 - 10/26/73
Mod. #5 - 38 FR 30334 - 11/2/73
Decision #AP-683 (R)
38 FR 14049 - 5/25/73
CARROLL COUNTY
None
CHAMPAIGN COUNTY
None
CLARK COUNTY
Decision #AP-670 (B,H,Hw)
38 FR 13996 - 5/25/73
Mod. #1 - 38 FR 14535 - 6/1/73
Mod. #2 - 38 FR 22316 - 8/17/73
Mod. #3 - 38 FR 27712 - 10/5/73
Mod. #4 - 38 FR 29741 - 10/26/73
Mod. #5 - 38 FR 30334 - 11/2/73
Decision #AP-684 (R)
38 FR 14049 - 5/25/73
CLERMONT COUNTY
Decision #AM-665 (R)
38 FR 14050 - 5/25/73
(D) - See Adams County
CLINTON COUNTY
None
COLUMBIANA COUNTY
None
COSHOCTON COUNTY
None
CRAWFORD COUNTY
None
CUYAHOGA COUNTY
Decision #AP-671 (B,H,Hw)
38 FR 14000 - 5/25/73
Mod. #1 - 38 FR 14535 - 6/1/73
Mod. #2 - 38 FR 22317 - 8/17/73
Mod. #3 - 38 FR 27713 - 10/5/73

OHIO (Cont'd)

CUYAHOGA COUNTY (CONT'D)
Decision #AP-671 (B,H,Hw)
38 FR 14000 - 5/25/73
Mod. #4 - 38 FR 29741 - 10/26/73
Mod. #5 - 38 FR 30335 - 11/2/73
Decision #AP-686 (R)
38 FR 14050 - 5/25/73
Mod. #1 - 38 FR 14537 - 6/1/73
Mod. #2 - 38 FR 22319 - 8/17/73
Mod. #3 - 38 FR 27716 - 10/5/73
DARKE COUNTY
None
DEFIANCE COUNTY
None
DELAWARE COUNTY
Decision #AM-420 (R)
36 FR 15963 - 8/18/71
Mod. #1 - 38 FR 4630 - 2/16/73
ERIE COUNTY
(D) - See Ashtabula County
FAIRFIELD COUNTY
(R) - See Delaware County
FAYETTE COUNTY
None
FRANKLIN COUNTY
Decision #AP-672 (B,H,Hw)
38 FR 14004 - 5/25/73
Mod. #1 - 38 FR 14535 - 6/1/73
Mod. #2 - 38 FR 22317 - 8/17/73
Mod. #3 - 38 FR 27713 - 10/5/73
Mod. #4 - 38 FR 29741 - 10/26/73
Mod. #5 - 38 FR 30335 - 11/2/73
(D) - See Delaware County
FULTON COUNTY
None
GALLIA COUNTY
(D)-See Adams County
GEAUGA COUNTY
None
GREENE COUNTY
Decision #AP-673 (B,H,Hw)
38 FR 14008 - 5/25/73
Mod. #1 - 38 FR 14535 - 6/1/73
Mod. #2 - 38 FR 22317 - 8/17/73
Mod. #3 - 38 FR 27713 - 10/5/73
Mod. #4 - 38 FR 29741 - 10/26/73
Mod. #5 - 38 FR 30336 - 11/2/73
Decision #AM-422 (R)
36 FR 15965 - 8/18/71
Mod. #1-37 FR 8619 - 4/28/72
GUERNSEY COUNTY
None
HAMILTON COUNTY
Decision #AQ-3022 (B,H,Hw)
38 FR 27726 - 10/5/73
Mod. #1 - 38 FR 30340 - 11/2/73
(D) - See Adams County
(R) - See Clermont County
HANCOCK COUNTY
None

OHIO (Cont'd)

HARDIN COUNTY
None
HARRISON COUNTY
None
HENRY COUNTY
None
HIGHLAND COUNTY
None
HOCKING COUNTY
None
HOLMES COUNTY
None
HURON COUNTY
None
JACKSON COUNTY
None
JEFFERSON COUNTY
None
KNOX COUNTY
None
LAKE COUNTY
(D) - See Ashtabula County
LAWRENCE COUNTY
(D) - See Adams County
LICKING COUNTY
Decision #AP-675 (B,H,Hw)
38 FR 14017 - 5/25/73
Mod. #1-38 FR 14536 - 6/1/73
Mod. #2-38 FR 22317 - 8/17/73
Mod. #3-38 FR 27713 - 10/5/73
Mod. #4-38 FR 30336 - 11/2/73
(R) - See Delaware County
LOGAN COUNTY
None
LORAIN COUNTY
(D) - See Ashtabula County
LUCAS COUNTY
Decision #AP-676 - (B,H,Hw)
38 FR 14021 - 5/25/73
Mod. #1 - 38 FR 14536 - 6/1/73
Mod. #2 - 38 FR 22317 - 8/17/73
Mod. #3 - 38 FR 27713 - 10/5/73
Mod. #4 - 38 FR 30337 - 11/2/73
(D) - See Ashtabula County
MADISON COUNTY
(R) - See Delaware County
MAHONING COUNTY
Decision #AP-687 (R)
38 FR 13903 - 5/25/73
Mod. #1 - 38 FR 22319 - 8/17/73
Mod. #2 - 38 FR 27716 - 10/5/73
Decision #AP-677 (B,H,Hw)
38 FR 14026 - 5/25/73
Mod. #1- 38 FR 15792 - 6/15/73
Mod. #2 - 38 FR 22318 - 8/17/73
Mod. #3 - 38 FR 27714 - 10/5/73
Mod. #4 - 38 FR 30337 - 11/2/73
MARION COUNTY
None

OHIO (Cont'd)

MEDINA COUNTY
None
MEIGS COUNTY
(D) - See Adams County
MERCER COUNTY
None
MIAMI COUNTY
(R) - See Greene County
MONROE COUNTY
(D) - See Adams County
MONTGOMERY COUNTY
(B,H,Hw) - See Greene County
(R) - See Greene County
MORGAN COUNTY
None
MORROW COUNTY
None
MUSKINGUM COUNTY
Decision #AP-678 (B,H,Hw)
38 FR 14029 - 5/25/73
Mod. #1 - 38 FR 14536 - 6/1/73
Mod. #2 - 38 FR 22318 - 8/17/73
Mod. #3 - 38 FR 27714 - 10/5/73
Mod. #4 - 38 FR 30338 - 11/2/73
NOBLE COUNTY
None
OTTAWA COUNTY
(D) - See Ashtabula County
PAULDING COUNTY
None
PERRY COUNTY
None
PICKAWAY COUNTY
(B,H,Hw) - See Franklin County
(R) - See Delaware County
PIKE COUNTY
None
PORTAGE COUNTY
Decision #AP-679 (B,H,Hw)
38 FR 14033 - 5/25/73
Mod. #1 - 38 FR 14536 - 6/1/73
Mod. #2 - 38 FR 22318 - 8/17/73
Mod. #3 - 38 FR 27714 - 10/5/73
Mod. #4 - 38 FR 29741 - 10/26/73
Mod. #5 - 38 FR 30338 - 11/2/73
PREBLE COUNTY
(R) - See Greene County
PUTNAM COUNTY
None

OHIO (Cont'd)

RICHLAND COUNTY
None
ROSS COUNTY
None
SANDUSKY COUNTY
(D) - See Ashtabula County
SCIOTO COUNTY
(D) - See Adams County
SENECA COUNTY
NOne
SHELBY COUNTY
None
STARKE COUNTY
Decision #AP-680 (B,H,Hw)
38 FR 14038 - 5/25/73
Mod. #1 - 38 FR 14536 - 6/1/73
Mod. #2 - 38 FR 22318 - 8/17/73
Mod. #3 - 38 FR 27714 - 10/5/73
Mod. #4 - 38 FR 29741 - 10/26/73
Mod. #5 - 38 FR 30339 - 11/2/73
SUMMITT COUNTY
Decision #AP-681 (B,H,Hw)
38 FR 14042 - 5/25/73
Mod. #1 - 38 FR 14536 - 6/1/73
Mod. #2 - 38 FR 22318 - 8/17/73
Mod. #3 - 38 FR 27715 - 10/5/73
Mod. #4 - 38 FR 29742 - 10/26/73
Mod. #5 - 38 FR 30339 - 11/2/73
TRUMBULL COUNTY
Decision #AP-688 (R(
38 FR 13905 - 5/25/73
Mod. #1 - 38 FR 22319 - 8/17/73
Mod. #2 - 38 FR 27716 - 10/5/73
Decision #AP-682 (B,H,Hw)
38 FR 14047 - 5/25/73
Mod. #1 - 38 FR 15792 - 6/15/73
Mod. #2 - 38 FR 22318 - 8/17/73
Mod. #3 - 38 FR 27715 - 10/5/73
Mod. #4 - 38 FR 30340 - 11/2/73
TUSCARAWAS COUNTY
None
UNION COUNTY
None
VAN WERT COUNTY
None
VINTON COUNTY
None
WARREN COUNTY
(R) - See Butler County
WASHINGTON COUNTY
(D) - See Adams County
WAYNE COUNTY
None
WILLIAMS COUNTY
None
WOOD COUNTY
None
WYANDOT COUNTY
None

OKLAHOMA

ADAIR COUNTY
Decision #AM-3603 (Hw)
36 FR 16761 - 8/25/71
ALFALFA COUNTY
DEcision #AM-3608 (Hw)
36 FR 16766 - 8/25/71
ATOKA COUNTY
Decision #AM-3604 (Hw)
36 FR 16763 - 8/25/71
BEAVER COUNTY
(Hw)-See Alfalfa County
BECKHAM COUNTY
DECISION #AM-3606 (Hw)
36 FR 16764 - 8/25/71
BLAINE COUNTY
(Hw)-See Beckham County
BRYAN COUNTY
(Hw)-See Atoka County
Decision #AP-341 (R)
37 FR 19870 - 9/22/72
CADDO COUNTY
Decision #AM- 3609 (hw)
36 FR 16767 - 8/25/71
CANADIAN COUNTY
Decision #AM-3607 (Hw)
36 FR 16765 - 8/25/71
(B) - See Oklahoma County
(R)-See Oklahoma County
CARTER COUNTY
(Hw)-See Caddo County
CHEROKEE COUNTY
(Hw)-See Adair County
CHOCTAW COUNTY
(hw)-See Atoka County
CIMARRON COUNTY
(Hw)-See Alfalfa County
CLEVELAND COUNTY
Decision #AM-3605 (Hw)
36 FR 16762 - 8/25/71
(R)-See Oklahoma County
(B) - See Oklahoma County
COAL COUNTY
(Hw)-See Cleveland County
COMANCHE COUNTY
(Hw)-See Caddo County
Decision #AP-741 (R)
COTTON COUNTY
(Hw)-See Caddo County
CRAIG COUNTY
Decision #AM-3610 (Hw)
36 FR 16768 - 8/25/72
CREEK COUNTY
(Hw)-See Canadian County
CUSTER COUNTY
(Hw)-See Beckham County

OKLAHOMA (cont'd)

DELAWARE COUNTY
(Hw) - See Craig County
DEWEY COUNTY
(Hw)-See Beckham County
ELLIS COUNTY
(Hw)-See Alfalfa County
GARFIELD COUNTY
(Hw)-See Canadian County
GARVIN COUNTY
(Hw)-See Cleveland County
GRADY COUNTY
(Hw)-See Caddo County
GRANT COUNTY
(Hw)-See Canadian County
GREER COUNTY
(Hw)-See Beckham County
HARMON COUNTY
(Hw)-See Beckham County
HARPER COUNTY
(Hw)-See Alfalfa County
HASKELL COUNTY
(Hw)-See Adair County
HUGHES COUNTY
(Hw)-See Cleveland County
JACKSON COUNTY
(Hw)-See Beckham County
JEFFERSON COUNTY
(Hw)-See Caddo County
JOHNSTON COUNTY
(Hw)-See Cleveland County
KAY COUNTY
(Hw)-See Canadian County
KINGFISHER COUNTY
(Hw)-See Canadian County
KIOWA COUNTY
(Hw)-See Beckham County
LATIMER COUNTY
(Hw)-See Atoka County
LEFLORE COUNTY
(Hw)-See Atoka County
LINCOLN COUNTY
(Hw)-See Canadian County)
(R)-See Oklahoma County
(B) - See Oklahoma County
LOGAN COUNTY
(Hw)-See Canadian County
LOVE COUNTY
(hw)-See Caddo County
MCCLAIN COUNTY
(Hw)-See Cleveland County
MCCURTAIN COUNTY
(Hw)-See Atoka County

OKLAHOMA (cont'd)

MCINTOSH COUNTY
(Hw) - See Adair County
MAJOR COUNTY
(Hw) - See Alfalfa County
MARSHALL COUNTY
(Hw) - See Atoka County
MAYES COUNTY
(Hw) - See Craig County
MURRAY COUNTY
(Hw) - See Cleveland County
MUSKOGEE COUNTY
(Hw) - See Adair County
NOBLE COUNTY
(Hw) - See Canadian County
NOWATA COUNTY
(Hw) - See Craig County
OKFUSKEE COUNTY
(Hw) - See Cleveland County
OKLAHOMA COUNTY
Decision #AQ-22 (B)
38 FR 23735 - 8/31/73
Mod. #1 - 38 FR 277166 - 9/28/73
Mod. #2 - 38 FR 29150 - 10/19/73
Decision #AM-3,612 (Hw)
36 FR 16770 - 8/25/71
Mod. #1 - 37 FR 1435 - 1/28/72
Decision #AP-304 (R)
37 FR 15789 - 8/4/72
Mod. #1-37 FR 28799-12/29/72
Mod. #2-38 FR 4078-2/9/73
OKMULGEE COUNTY
(Hw) - See Adair County
OSAGE COUNTY
(Hw) - See Craig County
(R) - See Tulsa County
OTTAWA COUNTY
(Hw) - See Craig County
PAWNEE COUNTY
(Hw) - See Craig County
PAYNE COUNTY
(Hw) - See Canadian County
PITTSBURG COUNTY
(Hw) - See Adair County

OKLAHOMA (Cont'd)

PONTOTOC COUNTY
(Hw) - See Cleveland County
POTTAWATOMIE COUNTY
(Hw) - See Cleveland County
(R) - See Oklahoma County
(B) - See Oklahoma County
PUSHMATAHA COUNTY
(Hw) - See Atoka County
ROGER MILLS COUNTY
(Hw) - See Beckham County
ROGERS COUNTY
(Hw) - See Craig County
SEMINOLE COUNTY
(Hw) - See Cleveland County
SEQUOYAH COUNTY
(Hw) - See Adair County
STEPHENS COUNTY
(Hw) - See Caddo County
TEXAS COUNTY
(Hw) - See Alfalfa County
TILLMAN COUNTY
(Hw) - See Beckham County
TULSA COUNTY
Decision #AQ-23 (B)
38 FR 23738 - 8/31/73
Mod. #1 - 38 FR 25855 - 9/14/73
Mod. #2 - 38 FR 27166 - 9/28/73
Mod. #3 - 38 FR 27716 - 10/5/73
Mod. #4 - 38 FR 29150 - 10/19/73
Decision #AM-3611 (Hw)
36 FR 16769 - 8/25/71
Mod. #1-37 FR 1435 - 1/28/72
Decision #AP-320 (R)
37 FR 17899 - 9/1/72
Mod. #1 - 38 FR 5099 - 2/23/73
WAGONER COUNTY
(Hw) - See Adair County
WASHINGTON COUNTY
(Hw) - See Craig County
WASHITA COUNTY
(Hw) - See Beckham County
WOODS COUNTY
(Hw) - See Alfalfa County
WOODWARD COUNTY
(Hw) - See Alfalfa County

OREGON

STATEWIDE
Décision #AQ-1022 (B,H,Hw,D)
38 FR 24522 - 9/7/73
Mod. #1 - 38 FR 26550 - 9/21/73
Mod. #2 - 38 FR 27716 - 10/5/73
Mod. #3 - 38 FR 29150 - 10/19/73
BAKER COUNTY
(B,H,Hw,D) - See Statewide
BENTON COUNTY
(B,H,Hw,D) - See Statewide
CLACKAMAS COUNTY
(B,H,Hw,D) - See Statewide
CLATSOP COUNTY
(B,H,Hw,D) - See Statewide
COLUMBIA COUNTY
(B,H,Hw,D) - See Statewide
COOS COUNTY
(B,H,Hw,D) - See Statewide
CROOK COUNTY
(B,H,Hw,D) - See Statewide
CURRY COUNTY
(B,H,Hw,D) - See Statewide
DESCHUTES COUNTY
(B,H,Hw,D) - See Statewide
DOUGLAS COUNTY
(B,H,Hw,D) - See Statewide
GILLIAM COUNTY
(B,H,Hw,D) - See Statewide
GRANT COUNTY
(H,Hw,B,D) - See Statewide
HARNEY COUNTY
(B,H,Hw,D) - See Statewide
HOOD COUNTY
(Hw,H,B,D) - See Statewide
JACKSON COUNTY
(H,Hw,B,D) - See Statewide
JEFFERSON COUNTY
(B,H,Hw,D) - See Statewide
JOSEPHINE COUNTY
(B,H,Hw,D) - See Statewide
KLAMATH COUNTY
(B,H,Hw,D) - See Statewide
LAKE COUNTY
(B,H,Hw,D) - See Statewide
LANE COUNTY
(B,H,Hw,D) - See Statewide
LINCOLN COUNTY
(B,H,Hw,D) - See Statewide
LINN COUNTY
(B,H,Hw,D) - See Statewide

OREGON (cont'd)

MALHEUR COUNTY
(B,H,Hw,D) - See Statewide
MARION COUNTY
(B,H,Hw,D) - See Statewide
MORROW COUNTY
(B,H,Hw,D) - See Statewide
MULTNOMAH COUNTY
Decision #AQ-1023 (R)
38 FR 24539 - 9/7/73
(B,H,Hw,D) - See Statewide
POLK COUNTY
(B,H,Hw,D) - See Statewide
SHERMAN COUNTY
(B,H,Hw,D) - See Statewide
TILLAMOOK COUNTY
(B,H,Hw,D) - See Statewide
UMATILLA COUNTY
(B,H,Hw,D) - See Statewide
UNION COUNTY
(B,H,Hw,D) - See Statewide
WALLOWA COUNTY
(B,H,Hw,D) - See Statewide
WASCO COUNTY
(B,H,Hw,D) - See Statewide
WASHINGTON COUNTY
(B,H,Hw,D) - See Statewide
WHEELER COUNTY
(B,H,Hw,D) - See Statewide
YAMHILL COUNTY
(B,H,Hw,D) - See Statewide

PENNSYLVANIA

ADAMS COUNTY
Decision #AP-489 (H,Hw)
38 FR 6622 - 3/9/73
Mod. #1 - 38 FR 18826 - 7/13/73
ALLEGHENY COUNTY
Decision #AQ-2026 (B,H,Hw)
38 FR 29754 - 10/26/73
ARMSTRONG COUNTY
Decision #AP-831 (H,Hw)
38 FR 14055 - 5/25/73
Mod. #1 - 38 FR 15793 - 6/15/73
Mod. #2 - 38 FR 22856 - 8/24/73
BEAVER COUNTY
Decision #AQ-2017 (H,Hw)
38 FR 22864 - 8/24/73
BEDFORD COUNTY
Decision #AP-832 (H,Hw)
38 FR 14058 - 5/25/73
Mod. #1 - 38 FR 22856 - 8/24/73
BERKS COUNTY
Decision #AQ-2025 (B)
38 FR 29184 - 10/19/73
(Hw) - See Adams County
BLAIR COUNTY
(H,Hw) - See Armstrong County
BRADFORD COUNTY
(H,Hw) - See Adams County
BUCKS COUNTY
Decision #AQ-2015 (R)
38 FR 22353 - 8/17/73
Mod. #1 - 38 FR 27167 - 9/28/73
Decision #AQ-2011 (H,Hw)
38 FR 22341 - 8/17/73
Decision #AP-830 (H,Hw)
38 FR 14053 - 5/25/73
Mod. #1 - 38 FR 15793 - 6/15/73
Mod. #2 - 38 FR 22856 - 8/24/73
CAMBRIA COUNTY
(H,Hw) - See Butler County
CAMERON COUNTY
(H,Hw) - See Bedford County
CARBON COUNTY
(H,Hw) - See Adams County
CENTRE COUNTY
Decision #AQ-2018 (H,Hw)
38 FR 22867 - 8/24/73

PENNSYLVANIA (Cont'd)

CHESTER COUNTY
(H,Hw) - See Bucks County
CLARION COUNTY
(H,Hw) - See Bedford County
CLEARFIELD COUNTY
(H,Hw) - See Centre County
CLINTON COUNTY
(H,Hw) - See Bedford County
COLUMBIA COUNTY
(H,Hw) - See Adams County
CRAWFORD COUNTY
(H,Hw) - See Armstrong County
CUMBERLAND COUNTY
Decision #AP-825 (B)
38 FR 13301 - 5/18/73
Mod. #1 - 38 FR 18825 - 7/13/73
Mod. #2 - 38 FR 22321 - 8/17/73
Mod. #3 - 38 FR 27717 - 10/5/73
Mod. #4 - 38 FR 29742 - 10/26/73
(Hw) - See Adams County
DAUPHIN COUNTY
Decision #AP-824 (B)
38 FR 13299 - 5/18/73
Mod. #1 - 38 FR 18825 - 7/13/73
Mod. #2 - 38 FR 22320 - 8/17/73
Mod. #3 - 38 FR 27717 - 10/5/73
Mod. #4 - 38 FR 29742 - 10/26/73
(Hw) - See Adams County
DELAWARE COUNTY
Decision #AQ-2014 (B,H,Hw)
38 FR 22350 - 8/17/73
Mod. #1 - 38 FR 27167 - 9/28/73
(B,H,Hw) - See Bucks County
ELK COUNTY
(H,Hw) - See Bedford County
ERIE COUNTY
Decision #AP-834 (H,Hw,B)
38 FR 14060 - 5/25/73
Mod. #1 - 38 FR 15794 - 6/15/73
Mod. #2 - 38 FR 26550 - 9/21/73
FAYETTE COUNTY
(H,Hw) - See Butler County
FOREST COUNTY
(H,Hw) - See Bedford County
FRANKLIN COUNTY
Decision #AP-843 (B,H,Hw)
38 FR 15352 - 6/5/73
Mod. #1 - 38 FR 22856 - 8/24/73
FULTON COUNTY
(H,Hw) - See Bedford County
GREENE COUNTY
(H,Hw) - See Centre County
HUNTINGDON COUNTY
(H,Hw) - See Bedford County

PENNSYLVANIA (Cont'd)

INDIANA COUNTY
(H,Hw) - See Armstrong County
JEFFERSON COUNTY
(H,Hw) - See Centre County
JUNIATA COUNTY
(H,Hw) - See Adams County
LACKAWANNA COUNTY
Decision #AP-488 (B)
38 FR 6620 - 3/9/73
Mod. #1 - 38 FR 18825 - 7/13/73
Mod. #2 - 38 FR 21032 - 8/3/73
Mod. #3 - 38 FR 22320 - 8/17/73
LANCASTER COUNTY
(Hw) - See Adams County
LAWRENCE COUNTY
Decision #AQ-2027 (B,H,Hw)
38 FR 29760 - 10/26/73
LEBANON COUNTY
(Hw) - See Adams County
LEHIGH COUNTY
Decision #AP-835 (B)
38 FR 14066 - 5/25/73
Mod. #1 - 38 FR 18128 - 7/6/73
Mod. #2 - 38 FR 19611 - 7/20/73
Mod. #3 - 38 FR 22321 - 8/17/73
(H,Hw) - See Adams County
LUZERNE COUNTY
Decision #AP-818 (B)
38 FR 13283 - 5/18/73
Mod. #1 - 38 FR 18127 - 7/6/73
Mod. #2 - 38 FR 19611 - 7/20/73
Mod. #3 - 38 FR 21034 - 8/3/73
Mod. #4 - 38 FR 22320 - 8/17/73
Mod. #5 - 38 FR 29150 - 10/19/73
(H,Hw) - See Adams County
LYCOMING COUNTY
(Hw) - See Adams County
MC KEAN COUNTY
(Hw) - See Adams County
MERCER COUNTY
Decision #AP-479 (B,H,Hw)
38 FR 5765 - 3/2/73
Mod. #1 - 38 FR 7172 - 3/16/73
Mod. #2 - 38 FR 8872 - 4/6/73
Mod. #3 - 38 FR 13117 - 5/18/73
Mod. #4 - 38 FR 15794 - 6/15/73
Mod. #5 - 38 FR 22855 - 8/24/73
MIFFLIN COUNTY
(H,Hw) - See Adams County
MONROE COUNTY
(Hw, H) - See Adams County
MONTGOMERY COUNTY
Decision #AQ-2013 - (B)
38 FR 22347 - 8/17/73
Mod. #1 - 38 FR 27166 - 9/28/73
(H,Hw) - See Bucks County
MONTOUR COUNTY
(H,Hw) - See Adams County

PENNSYLVANIA (Cont'd)

NORTHAMPTON COUNTY
Decision #AQ-2016 (B)
38 FR 22356 - 8/17/73
(H,Hw) - See Adams County
NORTHUMBERLAND COUNTY
(H,Hw) - See Adams County
PERRY COUNTY
(Hw) - See Adams County
PHILADELPHIA COUNTY
Decision #AQ-2012 (B)
38 FR 22344 - 8/17/73
Mod. #1 - 38 FR 27166 - 9/28/73
Decision #AP-803 (D)
38 FR 10580 - 4/27/72
(H,Hw) - See Bucks County
PIKE COUNTY
(H,Hw) - See Adams County
POTTER COUNTY
(H,Hw) - See Bedford County
SCHUYKILL COUNTY
(H,Hw) - See Adams County
SNYDER COUNTY
(H,Hw) - See Adams County
SOMERSET COUNTY
(H,Hw) - See Butler County
SULLIVAN COUNTY
(H,Hw) - See Adams County
SUSQUEHANNA COUNTY
(H,Hw) - See Adams County
TIOGA COUNTY
(H,Hw) - See Adams County
UNION COUNTY
(H,Hw) - See Adams County
VENANGO COUNTY
(H,Hw) - See Armstrong County
WARREN COUNTY
(H,Hw) - See Armstrong County
WASHINGTON COUNTY
Decision #AP-481 (B,H,Hw)
38 FR 7757 - 3/23/73
Mod. #1 - 38 FR 10578 - 4/27/73
Mod. #2 - 38 FR 12518 - 5/11/73
Mod. #3 - 38 FR 13117 - 5/18/73
Mod. #4 - 38 FR 15794 - 6/15/73
Mod. #5 - 38 FR 22855 - 8/24/73
WAYNE COUNTY
(H,Hw) - See Adams County
WESTMORELAND COUNTY
Decision #AP-482 (B,H,Hw)
38 FR 7764 - 3/23/73
Mod. #1 - 38 FR 10578 - 4/27/73
Mod. #2 - 38 FR 12518 - 5/11/73
Mod. #3 - 38 FR 13117 - 5/18/73
Mod. #4 - 38 FR 15794 - 6/15/73
Mod. #5 - 38 FR 22855 - 8/24/73
WYOMING COUNTY
(H,Hw) - See Adams County
YORK COUNTY
(H,Hw) - See Adams County

PUERTO RICO

PUERTO RICO
Decision #AP-412 (B,H,Hw)
37 FR 16778 - 8/18/72
Mod. #1 - 38 FR 26553 - 9/21/73
Decision #AQ-2019 (H,Hw)
38 FR 24847 - 9/14/73

RHODE ISLAND

STATEWIDE
Decision #AP-803 (D)
38 FR 10580 - 4/23/73
BRISTOL COUNTY
(D) - See Statewide
Decision #AQ-3023 (B)
38 FR 29767 - 10/26/73
KENT COUNTY
(B,H,Hw,Marine) - See Bristol Co.
(D) - See Statewide
NEWPORT COUNTY
Decision #AQ-3024 (B,H,Hw,Marine)
38 FR 29771 - 10/26/73
Decision #AQ-3028 (R)
38 FR 29728 - 10/26/73
(D) - See Statewide
PROVIDENCE COUNTY
Decision #AQ-2009 (R)
38 FR 22874 - 8/24/73
Mod. #1 - 38 FR 30341 - 11/2/73
(B,H,Hw,Marine) - See Bristol Cty.
(D) - See Statewide
WASHINGTON COUNTY
Decision #AQ-2010 - (R)
38 FR 22875 - 8/24/73
Mod. #1 - 38 FR 30341 - 11/2/73
Decision #AQ-3025 (B,H,Hw,Marine)
38 FR 29775 - 10/26/73
(D) - See Statewide

SOUTH CAROLINA

STATEWIDE
Decision #AM-1867 (Hw)
36 FR 16475 - 8/20/71
Decision #AP-157 (Sewer & Water)
38 FR 4619 - 2/16/73
ABBEVILLE COUNTY
(Sewer & Water,Hw) - See Statewide
AIKEN COUNTY
(Sewer & Water,Hw) - See Statewide
ALLENDALE COUNTY
(Sewer & Water,Hw) - See Statewide
ANDERSON COUNTY
(Sewer & Water,Hw) - See Statewide
BAMBERG COUNTY
(Sewer & Water,Hw) - See Statewide
BARNWELL COUNTY
(Sewer & Water,Hw) - See Statewide
BEAUFORT COUNTY
Decision #AP-847 (D)
38 FR 15797 - 6/15/73
(Sewer & Water,Hw) - See Statewide
BERKELEY COUNTY
(D) - See Beaufort County
(Sewer & Water,Hw) - See Statewide
Decision #AP-114 (R)
37 FR 15794 - 8/4/72
CALHOUN COUNTY
(Sewer & Water,Hw) - See Statewide
CHARLESTON COUNTY
(D) - See Beaufort County
(Sewer & Water,Hw) - See Statewide
(R) - See Berkeley County
CHEROKEE COUNTY
(Sewer & Water,Hw) - See Statewide
CHESTER COUNTY
(Sewer & Water,Hw) - See Statewide
CHESTERFIELD COUNTY
(Sewer & Water,Hw) - See Statewide
CLARENDON COUNTY
(Sewer & Water,Hw) - See Statewide
COLLETON COUNTY
(D) - See Beaufort County
(Sewer & Water,Hw) - See Statewide
DARLINGTON COUNTY
(Sewer & Water,Hw) - See Statewide
DILLON COUNTY
(Sewer & Water,Hw) - See Statewide
DORCHESTER COUNTY
(Sewer & Water,Hw) - See Statewide
EDGEFIELD COUNTY
(Sewer & Water,Hw) - See Statewide
FAIRFIELD COUNTY
(Sewer & Water,Hw) - See Statewide
FLORENCE COUNTY
(Sewer & Water,Hw) - See Statewide

SOUTH CAROLINA (Cont'd)

GEORGETOWN COUNTY
(D) - See Beaufort
(Sewer & Water,Hw) - See Statewide
GREENVILLE COUNTY
(Sewer & Water,Hw) - See Statewide
GREENWOOD COUNTY
(Sewer & Water,Hw) - See Statewide
HAMPTON COUNTY
(Sewer & Water,Hw) - See Statewide
HORRY COUNTY
(D) - See Beaufort County
(Sewer & Water,Hw) - See Statewide
JASPER COUNTY
(D) - See Beaufort County
(Sewer & Water,Hw) - See Statewide
KERSHAW COUNTY
(Sewer & Water,Hw) - See Statewide
LANCASTER COUNTY
(Sewer & Water,Hw) - See Statewide
LAURENS COUNTY
(Sewer & Water,Hw) - See Statewide
LEE COUNTY
(Sewer & Water,Hw) - See statewide
LEXINGTON COUNTY
(Sewer & Water,Hw) - See Statewide
McCORMICK COUNTY
(Sewer & Water,Hw) - See Statewide
MARION COUNTY
(Sewer & Water,Hw) - See Statewide
MARLBORO COUNTY
(Sewer & Water,Hw) - See Statewide
NEWBERRY COUNTY
(Sewer & Water,Hw) - See Statewide
OCONEE COUNTY
(Sewer & Water,Hw) - See Statewide
ORANGEBURG COUNTY
(Sewer & Water,Hw) - See Statewide
PICKENS COUNTY
(Sewer & Water,Hw) - See Statewide
RICHLAND COUNTY
(Sewer & Water,Hw) - See Statewide
SALUDA COUNTY
(Sewer & Water,Hw) - See Statewide
SPARTANBURG COUNTY
(Sewer & Water,Hw) - See Statewide
SUMTER COUNTY
(Sewer & Water,Hw) - See Statewide
UNION COUNTY
(Sewer & Water,Hw) - See Statewide
WILLIAMSBURG COUNTY
(Sewer & Water,Hw) - See Statewide
YORK COUNTY
(Sewer & Water,Hw) - See Statewide

SOUTH DAKOTA

STATEWIDE
Decision #AP-908 (Hw)
38 FR 15807 - 6/15/73
AURORA COUNTY
(Hw) - See Statewide
BEADLE COUNTY
(Hw) - See Statewide
BENNETT COUNTY
(Hw) - See Statewide
BON HOMME COUNTY
(Hw) - See Statewide
BROOKINGS COUNTY
(Hw) - See Statewide
BROWN COUNTY
(Hw) - See Statewide
BRULE COUNTY
(Hw) - See Statewide
BUFFALO COUNTY
(Hw) - See Statewide
BUTTE COUNTY
(Hw) - See Statewide
CAMPBELL COUNTY
(Hw) - See Statewide
CHARLES COUNTY
(Hw) - See Statewide
CLARK COUNTY
(Hw) - See Statewide
CODINGTON COUNTY
(Hw) - See Statewide
CORSON COUNTY
(Hw) - See Statewide
CUSTER COUNTY
(Hw) - See Statewide
DAVISON COUNTY
(Hw) - See Statewide
DAY COUNTY
(Hw) - See Statewide
DEUEL COUNTY
(Hw) - See Statewide
DEWEY COUNTY
(Hw) - See Statewide
DOUGLAS COUNTY
(Hw) - See Statewide

SOUTH DAKOTA (Cont'd)

EDMUNDS COUNTY
(Hw) - See Statewide
FALL RIVER COUNTY
(Hw) - See Statewide
FAULK COUNTY
(Hw) - See Statewide
GRANT COUNTY
(Hw) - See Statewide
GREGORY COUNTY
(Hw) - See Statewide
HAAKON COUNTY
(Hw) - See Statewide
HAMLIN COUNTY
(Hw) - See Statewide
HAND COUNTY
(Hw) - See Statewide
HANSON COUNTY
(Hw) - See Statewide
HARDING COUNTY
(Hw) - See Statewide
HUGHES COUNTY
(Hw) - See Statewide
HUTCHINSON COUNTY
(Hw) - See Statewide
HYDE COUNTY
(Hw) - See Statewide
JACKSON COUNTY
(Hw) - See Statewide
JERAULD COUNTY
(Hw) - See Statewide
JONES COUNTY
(Hw) - See Statewide
KINGSBURY COUNTY
(Hw) - See Statewide
LAKE COUNTY
(Hw) - See Statewide
LAWRENCE COUNTY
(Hw) - See Statewide
LINCOLN COUNTY
(Hw) - See Statewide
LYMAN COUNTY
(Hw) - See Statewide
MC COOK COUNTY
(Hw) - See Statewide

SOUTH DAKOTA (Cont'd)

MC PHERSON COUNTY
(Hw) - See Statewide
MARSHALL COUNTY
(Hw) - See Statewide
MEADE COUNTY
Decision #AQ-1046 (B)
38 FR 28540 - 10/12/73
MELLETTE COUNTY
(Hw) - See Statewide
MINER COUNTY
(Hw) - See Statewide
MINNEHAHA COUNTY
Decision #AM-6141 (R)
36 FR 21736 - 11/12/71
Decision #AQ-1048 (B)
38 FR 28542 - 10/12/73
(Hw) - See Statewide
MOODY COUNTY
(Hw) - See Statewide
PENNINGTON COUNTY
(B) - See Meade County
(Hw) - See Statewide
PERKINS COUNTY
(Hw) - See Statewide
POTTER COUNTY
(Hw) - See Statewide
ROBERTS COUNTY
(Hw) - See Statewide

SOUTH DAKOTA (Cont'd)

SANBORN COUNTY
(Hw) - See Statewide
SHANNON COUNTY
(Hw) - See Statewide
SPINK COUNTY
(Hw) - See Statewide
STANLEY COUNTY
(Hw) - See Statewide
SULLY COUNTY
(Hw) - See Statewide
TODD COUNTY
(Hw) - See Statewide
TRIPP COUNTY
(Hw) - See Statewide
TURNER COUNTY
(Hw) - See Statewide
UNION COUNTY
(Hw) - See Statewide
WALWORTH COUNTY
(Hw) - See Statewide
WASHABOUGH COUNTY
(Hw) - See Statewide
YANKTON COUNTY
Decision #AQ-1051 (H,Hw)
38 FR 29779 - 10/26/73
(Hw) - See Statewide
ZIEBACH COUNTY
(Hw) - See Statewide

TENNESSEE

STATEWIDE
Decision #AP-1107 (F)
38 FR 17358 - 6/29/73
Decision #AM-504 (Hw)
36 FR 16493 - 8/20/71
Mod. #1-37 FR 246 - 1/7/72
Mod. #2-38 FR 939-1/5/73
ANDERSON COUNTY
Decision #AQ-4011 (B)
38 FR 26589 - 9/21/73
(Hw) - See Statewide
(F)-See Statewide
BEDFORD COUNTY
(F) - See Statewide
(Hw) - See Statewide
BENTON COUNTY
(F) - See Statewide
(Hw) - See Statewide
BLEDSOE COUNTY
(F) - See Statewide
(Hw) - See Statewide
BLOUNT COUNTY
(F) - See Statewide
(Hw) - See Statewide
BRADLEY COUNTY
(F)-See Statewide
(Hw)-See Statewide
CAMPBELL COUNTY
(F)-See Statewide
(Hw)-See Statewide
CANNON COUNTY
(F)-See Statewide
(Hw)-See Statewide
CARROLL COUNTY
Decision #AQ-4002 (D)
38 FR 21037 - 8/3/73
(F)-See Statewide
(Hw)-See Statewide
CARTER COUNTY
Decision #AP-104 (B)
37 FR 13915 - 7/14/72
(F)-See Statewide
(Hw)-See Statewide
CHEATHAM COUNTY
(F)-See Statewide
(Hw)-See Statewide
CHESTER COUNTY
(F)-See Statewide
(Hw)-See Statewide
CLAIBORNE COUNTY
(F)-See Statewide
(Hw)-See Statewide
CLAY COUNTY
(F)-See Statewide
(Hw)-See Statewide

TENNESSEE (Cont'd)

COCKE COUNTY
(F)-See Statewide
(Hw)-See Statewide
COFFEE COUNTY
(F)-See Statewide
(Hw)-See Statewide
CROCKETT COUNTY
(D)-See Carroll County
(F)-See Statewide
(Hw)-See Statewide
CUMBERLAND COUNTY
(F)-See Statewide
(Hw)-See Statewide
DAVIDSON COUNTY
Decision #AP-169 (B)
38 FR 7782 - 3/23/73
Decision #AM-505 (R)
36 FR 16494 - 8/20/72
Mod. #1-37 FR 21714 - 10/13/72
(F) - See Statewide
(Hw) - See Statewide
DECATUR COUNTY
(F) - See Statewide
(Hw) - See Statewide
DEKALB COUNTY
(F) - See Statewide
(Hw) - See Statewide
DICKSON COUNTY
(F) - See Statewide
(Hw) - See Statewide
(R) - See Davidson County
DYER COUNTY
(D) - See Carroll County
(F) - See Statewide
(Hw) - See Statewide
FAYETTE COUNTY
(D) - See Carroll County
(F) - See Statewide
(Hw) - See Statewide
FENTRESS COUNTY
(F) - See Statewide
(Hw) - See Statewide
FRANKLIN COUNTY
(F) - See Statewide
(Hw) - See Statewide
GIBSON COUNTY
(D) - See Carroll County
(F) - See Statewide
(Hw) - See Statewide
GILES COUNTY
(F) - See Statewide
(Hw) - See Statewide
GRAINGER COUNTY
(F) - See Statewide
(Hw) - See Statewide

TENNESSEE (Cont'd)

GREENE COUNTY
(F) - See Statewide
(Hw) - See Statewide
GRUNDY COUNTY
(F) - See Statewide
(Hw) - See Statewide
HAMBLEN COUNTY
(F) - See Statewide
(Hw) - See Statewide
HAMILTON COUNTY
Decision #AQ-4015 (B,H)
38 FR 27734 - 10/5/73
(F) - See Statewide
(Hw) - See Statewide
HANCOCK COUNTY
(F)-See Statewide
(Hw)-See Statewide
HARDEMAN COUNTY
(D)-See Carroll County
(Hw)-See Statewide
(F)-See Statewide
HARDIN COUNTY
(F)-See Statewide
(Hw)-See Statewide
HAWKINS COUNTY
(F)-See Statewide
(Hw)-See Statewide
HAYWOOD COUNTY
(D)-See Carroll County
(F)-See Statewide
(Hw)-See Statewide
HENDERSON COUNTY
(F)-See Statewide
(Hw)-See Statewide
HENRY COUNTY
(D)-See Carroll County
(F)-See Statewide
(Hw)-See Statewide
HICKMAN COUNTY
(F)-See Statewide
(Hw)-See Statewide
HOUSTON COUNTY
(F)-See Statewide
(Hw)-See Statewide
HUMPHREYS COUNTY
(F)-See Statewide
(Hw)-See Statewide
JACKSON COUNTY
(F)-See Statewide
(Hw)-See Statewide

TENNESSEE (cont'd)

JEFFERSON COUNTY
(F)-See Statewide
(Hw)-See Statewide
JOHNSON COUNTY
(F)-See Statewide
(Hw)-See Statewide
KNOX COUNTY
Decision #AQ-4014 (B)
38 FR 27731 - 10/5/73
(F)-See Statewide
(Hw)-See Statewide
LAKE COUNTY
(F)-See Statewide
(Hw)-See Statewide
LAUDERDALE COUNTY
(D)-See Carroll County
(F)-See Statewide
(Hw)-See Statewide
LAWRENCE COUNTY
(F)-See Statewide
(Hw)-See Statewide
(R)-See Davidson County
LEWIS COUNTY
(F)-See Statewide
(Hw)-See Statewide
LINCOLN COUNTY
(F)-See Statewide
(Hw)-See Statewide
LOUDON COUNTY
(F)-See Statewide
(Hw)-See Statewide
MC MINN COUNTY
(F)-See Statewide
(Hw)-See Statewide
MC NAIRY COUNTY
(F)-See Statewide
(Hw)-See Statewide
MACON COUNTY
(F)-See Statewide
(Hw)-See Statewide
MADISON COUNTY
(D)-See Carroll county
(F)-See Statewide
(Hw)-See Statewide
MARION COUNTY
(D) - See Statewide
(F) - See Statewide
(Hw) - See Statewide
MARSHALL COUNTY
(F)-See Statewide
(Hw)-See Statewide
(R)-See Davidson County

TENNESSEE (cont'd)

MAURY COUNTY
(F)-See Statewide
(Hw)-See Statewide
(R)-See Davidson County
MEIGS COUNTY
(F)-See Statewide
(Hw)-See Statewide
MONROE COUNTY
(F)-See Statewide
(Hw)-See Statewide
MONTGOMERY COUNTY
(F)-See Statewide
(Hw)-See Statewide
MOORE COUNTY
(F)-See Statewide
(Hw)-See Statewide
MORGAN COUNTY
(F)-See Statewide
(Hw)-See Statewide
OBION COUNTY
(D)-See Carroll County
(F)-See Statewide
(Hw)-See Statewide
OVERTON COUNTY
(F)-See Statewide
(Hw)-See Statewide
PERRY COUNTY
(F)-See Statewide
(Hw)-See Statewide
PICKETT COUNTY
(F)-See Statewide
(Hw)-See Statewide
POLK COUNTY
(F)-See Statewide
(Hw)-See Statewide
PUTNAM COUNTY
(F)-See Statewide
(Hw)-See Statewide
RHEA COUNTY
(F)-See Statewide
(Hw)-See Statewide
ROANE COUNTY
(B)-See Anderson County
(F)-See Statewide
(Hw)-See Statewide

TENNESSEE (Cont'd)

ROBERTSON COUNTY
(F) - See Statewide
(Hw) - See Statewide
(R) - See Davidson County
RUTHERFORD COUNTY
(F) - See Statewide
(Hw) - See Statewide
(R) - See Davidson County
SCOTT COUNTY
(F) - See Statewide
(Hw) - See Statewide
SEQUATCHIE COUNTY
(F) - See Statewide
(Hw) - See Statewide
SEVIER COUNTY
(F) - See Statewide
(Hw) - See Statewide
SHELBY COUNTY
Decision #AQ-4016 (B,H,Utility)
38 FR 27737 - 10/5/73
Decision #AP-152 (R)
38 FR 4176 - 2/9/73
(D) - See Carroll County
(Hw) - See Statewide
SMITH COUNTY
(F) - See Statewide
(Hw) - See Statewide
STEWART COUNTY
(F) - See Statewide
(Hw) - See Statewide
SULLIVAN COUNTY
Decision #AM-8623 (B)
37 FR 12013 - 6/16/72
Mod. #1 - 37 FR 23065 - 10/27/72
Decision #AP-137 (R)
37 FR 23051 - 10/27/72
(F) - See Statewide
(Hw) - See Statewide
SUMNER COUNTY
(F) - See Statewide
(Hw) - See Statewide
(R) - See Davidson County

TENNESSEE (cont'd)

TIPTON COUNTY
(D)-See Carroll County
(F)-See Statewide
(Hw)-See Statewide
TROUSDALE COUNTY
(F)-See Statewide
(Hw)-See Statewide
(R)-See Davidson County
UNICOI COUNTY
(F)-See Statewide
(Hw)-See Statewide
UNION COUNTY
(F)-See Statewide
(Hw)-See Statewide
VAN BUREN COUNTY
(F)-See Statewide
(Hw)-See Statewide
WARREN COUNTY
(F)-See Statewide
(Hw)-See Statewide
WASHINGTON COUNTY
Decision #AP-100 (B)
37 FR 13419 - 7/7/72
Mod. #1 - 37 FR 17316 - 8/25/72
Mod. #2 - 37 FR 22708 - 10/20/72
Decision #AP-136 (H)
37 FR 22702 - 10/20/72
(F)-See Statewide
(Hw)-See Statewide
WAYNE COUNTY
(F)-See Statewide
(Hw)-See Statewide
WEAKLEY COUNTY
(D)-See Carroll County
(F)-See Statewide
WHITE COUNTY
(F)-See Statewide
(Hw)-See Statewide
WILLIAMSON COUNTY
(F)-See Statewide
(Hw)-See Statewide
(R)-See Davidson County
WILSON COUNTY
(F)-See Statewide
(Hw)-See Statewide
(R)-See Davidson County

TEXAS

ANDERSON COUNTY
Decision #AP-717 (Streets, Highways, Runways & Incidental Constr.)
38 FR 9952 - 4/20/73
Mod. #1 - 38 FR 29152 - 10/19/73
ANDREWS COUNTY
Decision #AP-707 (Streets, Highways, Runways, & Incidental Constr.)
38 FR 9942 - 4/20/73
Mod. #1 - 38 FR 29151 - 10/19/73
ANGELINA COUNTY
(S,Hw,R,I) - See Anderson County
ARANSAS COUNTY
Decision #AP-711 (Streets, Highways, Runways & Incidental Constr.)
38 FR 9946 - 4/20/73
Mod. #1 - 38 FR 29151 - 10/19/73
Decision #AQ-4002 (D)
38 FR 21037 - 8/3/73
ARCHER COUNTY
Decision #AP-705 (Streets, Highways, Runways & Incidental Constr.)
38 FR 9940 - 4/20/73
Mod. #1 - 38 FR 29150 - 10/19/73
ARMSTRONG COUNTY
Decision #AQ-38 (B)
38 FR 29780 - 10/26/73
(S,Hw,R,I) - See Archer County
Decision #AP-346 (R)
37 FR 20497 - 9/29/72
Mod. #1 - 38 FR 4080 - 2/9/73
Mod. #2 - 38 FR 17354 - 6/29/73
Mod. #3 - 38 FR 18129 - 7/6/73
Mod. #4 - 38 FR 19612 - 7/20/73
ATASCOSA COUNTY
Decision #AP-709 (Streets, Highways, Runways, Water, Sewer, & Incidental Construction)
38 FR 9944 - 4/20/73
Mod. #1 - 38 FR 29151 - 10/19/73
AUSTIN COUNTY
Decision #AP-712 (Streets, Highways, Runways, Water, Sewer & Incidental Construction)
38 FR 9947 - 4/20/73
Mod. #1 - 38 FR 29151 - 10/19/73
BAILEY COUNTY
Decision #AP-706 (Streets, Highways, Runways & Incidental Constr.)
38 FR 9941 - 4/20/73
Mod. #1 - 38 FR 29150 - 10/19/73
Decision #AP-352 (R)
37 FR 21786 - 10/13/72
BANDERA COUNTY
(S,Hw,R,W,S,I) - See Atascosa County
BASTROP COUNTY
(S,Hw,R,W,S,I) - See Austin County
Decision #AR-7705 (R)
36 FR 22117 - 11/19/71

TEXAS (Cont'd)

BAYLOR COUNTY
(S,Hw,R,I) - See Archer County
BEE COUNTY
(S,Hw,R,I) - See Aransas County
BELL COUNTY
Decision #AP-713 (Streets, Highways, Runways & Incidental Constr.)
38 FR 9948 - 4/20/73
Mod. #1 - 38 FR 11242 - 5/4/73
Mod. #2 - 38 FR 29152 - 10/19/73
BEXAR COUNTY
Decision #AQ-34 (B)
38 FR 27741 - 10/5/73
Mod. #1 - 38 FR 29154 - 10/19/73
(S,Hw,R,I,W,S) - See Atascosa County
Decision #AQ-27 (R)
38 FR 26592 - 9/21/73
BLANCO COUNTY
(S,Hw,R,I,W,S) - See Austin County
(R) - See Bastrop County
BORDEN COUNTY
(S,Hw,R,I) - See Bailey County
BOSQUE COUNTY
(S,Hw,R,I) - See Bell County
BOWIE COUNTY
Decision #AP-716 (Streets, Highways, Runways & Incidental Constr.)
38 FR 9951 - 4/20/73
Mod. #1 - 38 FR 29152 - 10/19/73
BRAZORIA COUNTY
Decision #AP-719 (Streets, Highways, Runways, Water, Sewer & Incidental Construction)
38 FR 9954 - 4/20/73
Mod. #1 - 38 FR 29152 - 10/19/73
Decision #AM-7488 (R)
36 FR 21737 - 11/12/71
Mod. #1 - 37 FR 10695 - 5/26/72
(D) - See Aransas County
BRAZOS COUNTY
Decision #AP-718 (Streets, Highways, Runways & Incidental Constr.)
38 FR 9953 - 4/20/73
Mod. #1 - 38 FR 29152 - 10/19/73
BREWSTER COUNTY
Decision #AP-708 (Streets, Highways, Runways, & Incidental Constr.)
38 FR 9943 - 4/20/73
Mod. #1 - 38 FR 29151 - 10/19/73
BRISCOE COUNTY
(S,Hw,R,I) - See Archer County
BROOKS COUNTY
Decision #AP-710 (Streets, Highways, Runways & Incidental Constr.)
38 FR 9945 - 4/20/73
Mod. #1 - 38 FR 29151 - 10/19/73
BROWN COUNTY
(S,Hw,R,I) - See Andrews County

TEXAS (Cont'd)

BURLESON COUNTY
(S,Hw,R,I) - See Brazos County
BURNETT COUNTY
(S,Hw,R,I,W,S) - See Austin County
CALDWELL COUNTY
(S,Hw,R,I,W,S) - See Austin County
(R) - See Bastrop County
CALHOUN COUNTY
(S,Hw,R,I) - See Aransas County
(D) - See Aransas County
CALLAHAN COUNTY
(S,Hw,R,I) - See Andrews County
CAMERON COUNTY
Decision #AP-395 (B)
38 FR 2646 - 1/26/73
Mod. #1 - 38 FR 16595 - 6/22/73
Mod. #2 - 38 FR 21036 - 8/3/73
Mod. #3 - 38 FR 26554 - 9/21/73
Mod. #4 - 38 FR 29150 - 10/19/73
(D) - See Aransas County
(S,Hw,R,I) - See Brooks County
Decision #AP-369 (R)
37 FR 26775 - 12/15/72
Mod. #1 - 38 FR 26554 - 9/21/73
CAMP COUNTY
(S,Hw,R,I) - See Bowie County
CARSON COUNTY
(B) - See Armstrong County
(S,Hw,R,I) - See Archer County
(R) - See Armstrong County
CASS COUNTY
(S,Hw,R,I) - See Bowie County
CASTRO COUNTY
(B) - See Armstrong County
(S,Hw,R,I) - See Archer County
(R) - See Armstrong County
CHAMBERS COUNTY
Decision #AP-720 (Streets, Highways, Runways & Incidental Cons.)
38 FR 9955 - 4/20/73
(D) - See Aransas County
CHEROKEE COUNTY
(S, Hw, R, I) - See Anderson County
CHILDRESS COUNTY
(B) - See Armstrong County
(S,Hw,R,I) - See Archer County
(R) - See Armstrong County
CLAY COUNTY
(S,Hw,R,I) - See Archer County
COCHRAN COUNTY
(S,Hw,R,I) - See Bailey County
(R) - See Bailey County

TEXAS (Cont'd)

COKE COUNTY
(S,Hw,R,I) - See Andrews County
COLEMAN COUNTY
(S,Hw,R,I) - See Andrews County
COLLIN COUNTY
Decision #AQ-37 (B-excluding Dallas-Fort Worth Regional Airport)
38 FR 29187 - 10/19/73
Decision #AP-715 (Streets,Highways, Runways, Water,Sewer, & Incidental Cons.) (excl. the Dallas-Fort Worth Regional Airport)
38 FR 9950 - 4/20/73
Mod. #1 - 38 FR 13918 - 5/25/73
Mod. #2 - 38 FR 18129 - 7/6/73
Decision #AM-7,487 (R)
36 FR 21736 - 11/12/71
COLLINGSWORTH COUNTY
(B) - See Armstrong County
(S,Hw,R,I) - See Archer County
(R) - See Armstrong County
COLORADO COUNTY
(S,Hw,R,W,S,I) - See Austin County
COMAL COUNTY
(S,Hw,R,W,S,I) - See Atascosa County
COMANCHE COUNTY
(S,Hw,R,I) - See Andrews County
CONCHO COUNTY
(S,Hw,R,I) - See Andrews County
COOKE COUNTY
Decision #AP-714 (Streets,Highways, Runways, & Incidental Construction) (excl. the Dallas-Fort Worth Region Airport)
38 FR 9949 - 4/20/73
Mod. #1 - 38 FR 29152 - 10/19/73
CORYELL COUNTY
(S,Hw,R,I) - See Bell County
COTTLE COUNTY
(S,Hw,R,I) - See Bailey County
CRANE COUNTY
(S,Hw,R,I) - See Andrews County
Decision #AP-347 (R)
37 FR 20499 - 9/29/72
CROCKETT COUNTY
(S,Hw,R,I) - See Andrews County

TEXAS (Cont'd)

CROSBY COUNTY
(R) (S,Hw,R,I) - See Bailey County
CULBERSON COUNTY
(S,Hw,R,I) - See Brewster County
DALLAM COUNTY
(R)(B) - See Armstrong County
(S,Hw,R,I) - See Archer County
DALLAS COUNTY
(B&R) (S,Hw,R,I,W&S) - See Collin County
DAWSON COUNTY
(S,Hw,R,I) - See Bailey County
DEAF SMITH COUNTY
(R) (B) - See Armstrong County
(S,Hw,R,I) - See Archer County
DELTA COUNTY
(S,Hw,R,I) - See Bowie County
DENTON COUNTY
(R) (B) - See Collin County
(S,Hw,R,I) - See Cooke County
DE WITT COUNTY
(S,Hw,R,I) - See Aransas County
DICKENS COUNTY
(S,Hw,R,I) - See Bailey County
DIMMIT COUNTY
(S,Hw,R,I,W,S) - See Atascosa County
DONLEY COUNTY
(R) (B) - See Armstrong County
(S,Hw,R,I) - See Archer County
DUVAL COUNTY
(S,Hw,R,I) - See Brooks County
EASTLAND COUNTY
(S,Hw,R,I)- See Andrews County
ECTOR COUNTY
(S,Hw,R,I) - See Andrews County
(R) - See Crane County

TEXAS (Cont'd)

EDWARDS COUNTY
(S,Hw,R,I,W,S) - See Atascosa County
ELLIS COUNTY
(B&R) (S,Hw,R,I,W,S) - See Collin County
EL PASO COUNTY
Decision #AP-727 (B)
38 FR 10603 - 4/27/73
Mod. #1 - 38 FR 13126 - 5/18/73
Mod. #2 - 38 FR 16595 - 6/22/73
Mod. #3 - 38 FR 29153 - 10/19/73
(S,Hw,R,I) - See Brewster County
ERATH COUNTY
(S,Hw,R,I) - See Andrews County
FALLS COUNTY
(S,Hw,R,I) - See Bell County
FANNIN COUNTY
(S,Hw,R,I) - See Bowie County
FAYETTE COUNTY
(S,Hw,R,I,W,S) - See Austin County
(R) - See Bastrop County
FISHER COUNTY
(S,Hw,R,I) - See Bailey County
FLOYD COUNTY
(r) (S,Hw,R,I) - See Bailey County
FOARD COUNTY
(S,Hw,R,I) - See Bailey County
FORT BEND COUNTY
(R) (S,Hw,R,I,W,S) - See Brazoria County
FRANKLIN COUNTY
(S,Hw,R,I) - See Bowie County
FREESTONE COUNTY
(S,Hw,R,I) - See Bell County
FRIO COUNTY
(S,Hw,R,I,W,S) - See Atascosa County
GAINES COUNTY
(S,Hw,R,I) - See Bailey County

TEXAS (Cont'd)

GALVESTON COUNTY
Decision #AQ-28 (B)
38 FR 27185 - 9/28/73
Mod. #1 - 38 FR 29153 - 10/19/73
(S,Hw,R,I,W,S) - See Brazoria County
Decision #AP-348 (R)
37 FR 20501 - 9/29/72
(D) - See Aransas County
GARZA COUNTY
(R) (S,Hw,R,I) - See Bailey County
GILLESPIE COUNTY
(S,Hw,R,I,W,S) - See Austin County
GLASSCOCK COUNTY
(S,Hw,R,I) - See Andrews County
GOLIAD COUNTY
(S,Hw,R,I) - See Aransas County
GONZALES COUNTY
(S,Hw,R,I,W,S) - See Austin County
GRAY COUNTY
(R) (B) - See Armstrong County
(S,Hw,R,I) - See Archer County
GRAYSON COUNTY
(B)(S,Hw,R,I,W,S) - See Collin County
GREGG COUNTY
(S,Hw,R,I) - See Bowie County
GRIMES COUNTY
(S,Hw,R,I) - See Brazos County
GUADALUPE COUNTY
(S,Hw,R,I,W,S) - See Atascosa County
HALE COUNTY
(R)(S,Hw,R,I) - See Bailey County
HALL COUNTY
(S,Hw,R,I) - See Archer County
HAMILTON COUNTY
(S,Hw,R,I) - See Bell County
HANSFORD COUNTY
(R)(B) - See Armstrong County
(S,Hw,R,I) - See Archer County

TEXAS (Cont'd)

HARDEMAN COUNTY
(S,Hw,R,I) - See Archer County
HARDIN COUNTY
(S,Hw,R,I) - See Chambers County
HARRIS COUNTY
Decision #AQ-29 (B)
38 FR 27188 - 9/28/73
Mod. #1 - 38 FR 29153 - 10/19/73
Mod. #2 - 38 FR 29742 - 10/26/73
Mod. #3 - 38 FR 30341 - 11/2/73
(R)(S,Hw,R,I,W,S) - See Brazoria County
(D) - See Aransas County
HARRISON COUNTY
(S,Hw,R,I) - See Bowie County
HARTLEY COUNTY
(R)(B) - See Armstrong County
(S,Hw,R,I) - See Archer County
HASKELL COUNTY
(S,Hw,R,I) - See Bailey County
HAYS COUNTY
(S,Hw,R,I,W,S) - See Austin County
(R) - See Bastrop County
HEMPHILL COUNTY
(R) (B) - See Armstrong County
(S,Hw,R,I,W,S) See Archer county
HENDERSON COUNTY
(S,Hw,R,I) - See Anderson County
HIDALGO COUNTY
(R)(B) - See Cameron County
(S,Hw,R,I) - See Brooks County
HILL COUNTY
(S,Hw,R,I) - See Bell County
HOCKLEY COUNTY
(R)(S,Hw,R,I) - See Bailey County
HOOD COUNTY
(B) - See Collin County
(S,Hw,R,I) - See Cooke County
Decision #AP-301 (R)
37 FR 15232 - 7/28/72
Mod. #1-37 FR 20395 - 9/29/72

TEXAS (Cont'd)

HOPKINS COUNTY
(S,Hw,R,I) - See Bowie County
HOUSTON COUNTY
(S,Hw,R,I) - See Anderson County
HOWARD COUNTY
(S,Hw,R,I) - See Andrews County
HUDSPETH COUNTY
(S,Hw,R,I) - See Brewster County
HUNT COUNTY
(R)(B) - See Collin County
(S,Hw,R,I) - See Bowie County
HUTCHINSON COUNTY
(R)(B) - See Armstrong County
(S,Hw,R,I) - See Archer County
IRION COUNTY
(S,Hw,R,I) - See Andrews County
JACK COUNTY
(S,Hw,R,I) - See Cooke County
JACKSON COUNTY
(D) (S,Hw,R,I) - See Aransas County
JASPER COUNTY
(S,Hw,R,I) - See Anderson County
JEFF DAVIS COUNTY
(S,Hw,R,I) - See Brewster County
JEFFERSON COUNTY
Decision #AQ-41 (B)
38 FR 29786 - 10/26/73
(S,Hw,R,I) - See Chambers County
Decision #AQ-40 (R)
38 FR 29764 - 10/26/73
(D) - See Aransas County
JIM HOGG COUNTY
(S,Hw,R,I) - See Brooks County
JIM WELLS COUNTY
(S,Hw,R,I) - See Aransas County
JOHNSON COUNTY
(B) - See Collin County
(S,Hw,R,I) - See Cooke County
(R) - See Hood County

TEXAS (Cont'd)

JONES COUNTY
(S,Hw,R,I) - See Bailey County
KARNES COUNTY
(S,Hw,R,I) - See Aransas County
KAUFMAN COUNTY
(R)(B) - See Collin County
(S,Hw,R,I) - See Bowie County
KENDALL COUNTY
(S,Hw,R,I,W,S) - See Atascosa County
KENEDY COUNTY
(S,Hw,R,I) - See Brooks County
(D) - See Aransas County
KENT COUNTY
(S,Hw,R,I) - See Bailey County
KERR COUNTY
(S,Hw,R,I,W,S) - See Atascosa County
KIMBLE COUNTY
(S,Hw,R,I) - See Andrews County
KING COUNTY
(S,Hw,R,I) - See Bailey County
KINNEY COUNTY
(S,Hw,R,I,W,S) - See Atascosa County
KLEBERG COUNTY
(D) (S,Hw,R,I) - See Aransas County
KNOX COUNTY
(S,Hw,R,I) - See Bailey County
LAMAR COUNTY
(S,Hw,R,I) - See Bowie County
LAMB COUNTY
(R) (S,Hw,R,I) - See Bailey County
LAMPASAS COUNTY
(S,Hw,R,I) - See Bell County
LA SALLE COUNTY
(S,Hw,R,I,W,S) - See Atascosa County
LAVACA COUNTY
(S,Hw,R,I) - See Aransas County

TEXAS (Cont'd)

LEE COUNTY
(S,Hw,R,I,W,S) - See Austin County
(R) - See Bastrop County
LEON COUNTY
(S,Hw,R,I) - See Brazos County
LIBERTY COUNTY
(S,Hw,R,I) - See Chambers County
LIMESTONE COUNTY
(S,Hw,R,I) - See Bell County
LIPSCOMB COUNTY
(S,Hw,R,I) - See Archer County
(B)(R) - See Armstrong County
LIVE OAK COUNTY
(S,Hw,R,I) - See Aransas County
LLANO COUNTY
(S,Hw,R,I,W,S) - See Austin County
LOVING COUNTY
(S,Hw,R,I) - See Andrews County
(R) - See Crane County
LUBBOCK COUNTY
Decision #AQ-30 (B)
38 FR 27191 - 9/28/73
Mod. #1 - 38 FR 29154 - 10/19/73
Mod. #2 - 38 FR 29742 - 10/26/73
(R)(S,Hw,R,I) - See Bailey County
LYNN COUNTY
(R)(S,Hw,R,I) - See Bailey County
MCCULLOCH COUNTY
(S,Hw,R,I) - See Andrews County
MCLENNAN COUNTY
(S,Hw,R,I) - See Bell County
MCMULLEN COUNTY
(S,Hw,R,I,W,S) - See Atascosa County
MADISON COUNTY
(S,Hw,R,I) - See Brazos County
MARION COUNTY
(S,Hw,R,I) - See Bowie County
MARTIN COUNTY
(S,Hw,R,I) - See Andrews County

TEXAS (Cont'd)

MASON COUNTY
(S,Hw,R,I,W,S) - See Austin County
MATAGORDA COUNTY
(R) (S,Hw,R,I,W,S) - See Brazoria County
(R,S,Hw,R,I,W,S) - See Brazoria County
(D) - See Arnasas County
MAVERICK COUNTY
(S,Hw,R,I,W,S) - See Atascosa County
MEDINA COUNTY
(S,Hw,R,I,W,S) - See Atascosa County
MENARD COUNTY
(S,Hw,R,I) - See Andrews County
MIDLAND COUNTY
(S,Hw,R,I) - See Andrews County
(R) - See Crane County
MILAM COUNTY
(S,Hw,R,I) - See Brazos County
MILLS COUNTY
(S,Hw,R,I) - See Andrews County
MITCHELL COUNTY
(S,Hw,R,I) - See Andrews County
MONTAGUE COUNTY
(S,Hw,R,I) - See Archer County
MONTGOMERY COUNTY
(R) (S,Hw,R,I,W,S) - See Brazoria County
MOORE COUNTY
(R) (B) - See Armstrong County
(S,Hw,R,I) - See Archer County
MORRIS COUNTY
(S,Hw,R,I) - See Bowie County
MOTLEY COUNTY
(S,Hw,R,I) - See Bailey County
NACOGDOCHES COUNTY
(S,Hw,R,I) - See Anderson County
NAVARRO COUNTY
(S,Hw,R,I) - See Bell County
NEWTON COUNTY
(S,Hw,R,I) - See Anderson County
NOLAN COUNTY
(S,Hw,R,I) - See Andrews County

TEXAS (Cont'd)

NUECES COUNTY
Decision #AQ-31 (B)
38 FR 27194 - 9/28/73
Mod. #1 - 38 FR 29154 - 10/19/73
(D) (S,Hw,R,I) - See Aransas County
OCHILTREE COUNTY
(R) (B) - See Armstrong County
(S,Hw,R,I) - See Archer County
OLDHAM COUNTY
(R)(B) - See Armstrong County
(S,Hw,R,I) - See Archer County
ORANGE COUNTY
(R)(B) - See Jefferson County
(S,Hw,R,I) - See Chambers County
(D) - See Aransas County
PALO PINTO COUNTY
(B) - See Collin County
(S,Hw,R,I) - See Cooke County
(R) - See Hood County
PANOLA COUNTY
(S,Hw,R,I) - See Anderson County
PARKER COUNTY
(B) - See Collin County
(S,Hw,R,I) - See Cooke County
(R) - See Hood County
PARMER COUNTY
(S,Hw,R,I) - See Archer County
PECOS COUNTY
(S,Hw,R,I) - See Brewster County
(R) - See Crane County
POLK COUNTY
(S,Hw,R,I) - See Anderson County
POTTER COUNTY
(S,Hw,R,I) - See Archer County
(B) (R) - See Armstrong County

TEXAS (Cont'd)

PRESIDIO COUNTY
(S,Hw,R,I) - See Brewster County
RAINS COUNTY
(S,Hw,R,I) - See Bowie County
RANDALL COUNTY
(S,Hw,R,I) - See Archer County
(B) (R) - See Armstrong County
REAGAN COUNTY
(S,Hw,R,I) - See Andrews County
REAL COUNTY
(S,Hw,R,I,W,S) - See Atascosa County
RED RIVER COUNTY
(S,Hw,R,I) - See Bowie County
REEVES COUNTY
(S,Hw,R,I) - See Brewster County
(R) - See Crane County
REFUGIO COUNTY
(D) (S,Hw,R,I) - See Aransas County
ROBERTS COUNTY
(S,Hw,R,I) - See Archer County
(B) (R) - See Armstrong County
ROBERTSON COUNTY
(S,Hw,R,I) - See Brazos county
ROCKWALL COUNTY
(S,Hw,R,I,W,S) (B) (R) - See Collin County
RUNNELS COUNTY
(S,Hw,R,I) - See Andrews County
RUSK COUNTY
(S,Hw,R,I) - See Bowie County
SABINE COUNTY
(S,Hw,R,I) - See Anderson County
SAN AUGUSTINE COUNTY
(S,Hw,R,I) - See Anderson County
SAN JACINTO COUNTY
(S,Hw,R,I) - See Anderson County
SAN PATRICIO COUNTY
(S,Hw,R,I) (D) - See Aransas County
SAN SABA COUNTY
(S,Hw,R,I) - See Andrews County
SCHLEICHER COUNTY
(S,Hw,R,I) - See Andrews County

TEXAS (Cont'd)

SCURRY COUNTY
(S,Hw,R,I) - See Bailey County
SHACKELFORD COUNTY
(S,Hw,R,I) - See Bailey County
SHELBY COUNTY
(S,Hw,R,I) - See Anderson county
SHERMAN COUNTY
(S,Hw,R,I) - See Archer County
(B)(R) - See Armstrong County
SMITH COUNTY
(S,Hw,R,I) - See Bowie County
SOMERVELL COUNTY
(S,Hw,R,I) - See Cooke County
STARR COUNTY
(S,Hw,R,I) - See Brooks County
(B) (R) - See Cameron County
STEPHENS COUNTY
(S,Hw,R,I) - See Bailey County
STERLING COUNTY
(S,Hw,R,I) - See Andrews County
STONEWALL COUNTY
(S,Hw,R,I) - See Bailey County
SUTTON COUNTY
(S,Hw,R,I) - See Andrews County
SWISHER COUNTY
(S,Hw,R,I) - See Archer County
(B)(R) - See Armstrong County
TARRANT COUNTY
(B) - See Collin County
(S,Hw,R,I) - See Cooke County
Decision #AR-11423 (R)
37 FR 11617 - 6/9/72
Mod. #1 - 37 FR 20394 - 9/29/72
TAYLOR COUNTY
(S,Hw,R,I) - See Andrews County
TERRELL COUNTY
(S,Hw,R,I) - See Brewster County
TERRY COUNTY
(S,Hw,R,I) - See Bailey County
(R) - See Bailey County
THROCKMORTON COUNTY
(S,Hw,R,I) - See Bailey County
TITUS COUNTY
(S,Hw,R,I) - See Bowie County
TOM GREEN COUNTY
(S,Hw,R,I) - See Andrews County

TEXAS (Cont'd)

TRAVIS COUNTY
Decision #AQ-10 (B)
38 FR 21082 - 8/3/73
Mod. #1 - 38 FR 26555 - 9/21/73
Mod. #2 - 38 FR 27167 - 9/28/73
Mod. #3 - 38 FR 27717 - 10/5/73
Mod. #4 - 38 FR 29153 - 10/19/73
Mod. #5 - 38 FR 29742 - 10/26/73
(R) - See Bastrop County
(S,Hw,R,I,W,S) - See Austin County
TRINITY COUNTY
(S,Hw,R,I) - See Anderson County
TYLER COUNTY
(S,Hw,R,I) - See Anderson County
UPSHUR COUNTY
(S,Hw,R,I) - See Bowie County
UPTON COUNTY
(S,Hw,R,I) - See Andrews County
(R) - See Crane County
UVALDE COUNTY
(S,Hw,R,I,W,S) - See Atascosa County
VAL VERDE COUNTY
(S,Hw,R,I,W,S) - See Atascosa County
VAN ZANDT COUNTY
(S,Hw,R,I) - See Bowie County
VICTORIA COUNTY
(S,Hw,R,I) - See Aransas County
(D) - See Aransas County
WALKER COUNTY
(S,Hw,R,I) - See Brazos County
(R) - See Brazoria County
WALLER COUNTY
(S,Hw,R,I,W,S) - See Brazoria County
WARD COUNTY
(S,Hw,R,I) - See Andrews County
(R) - See Crane County
WASHINGTON COUNTY
(S,Hw,R,I) - See Brazos County
WEBB COUNTY
(S,Hw,R,I) - See Brooks County
WHARTON COUNTY
(S,Hw,R,I,W,S) - See Brazoria County

TEXAS (Cont'd)

WHEELER COUNTY
(R)(B) - See Armstrong County
(S,Hw,R,I) - See Archer County
WICHITA COUNTY
(S,Hw,R,I) - See Archer County
WILBARGER COUNTY
(S,Hw,R,I) - See Archer County
WILLACY COUNTY
(R)(B) - See Cameron County
(S,Hw,R,I) - See Brooks County
(D) - See Aransas County
WILLIAMSON COUNTY
(S,Hw,R,I,W,S) - See Austin County
(R) - See Bastrop County
WILSON COUNTY
(S,Hw,R,I,W,S) - See Atascosa County
WINKLER COUNTY
(S,Hw,R,I) - See Andrews County
(R) - See Crane County
WISE COUNTY
(B) - See Collin County
(S,Hw,R,I) - See Cooke County
(R) - See Hood County
WOOD COUNTY
(S,Hw,R,I) - See Bowie County
YOAKUM COUNTY
(S,Hw,R,I)(R) - See Bailey County
YOUNG COUNTY
(S,Hw,R,I) - See Bailey County
ZAPATA COUNTY
(S,Hw,R,I) - See Brooks County
ZAVALA COUNTY
(S,Hw,R,I,W,S) - See Atascosa County

UTAH

STATEWIDE
Decision #AQ-1017 (B,H,Hw)
38 FR 23745 - 8/31/73
Mod. #1 - 38 FR 26555 - 9/21/73
BEAVER COUNTY
(B,H,Hw) - See Statewide
BOX ELDER COUNTY
(B,H,Hw) - See Statewide
CACHE COUNTY
(B,H,Hw) - See Statewide
CARBON COUNTY
(B,H,Hw) - See Statewide
DAGGETT COUNTY
(B,H,Hw) - See Statewide
DAVIS COUNTY
(B,H,Hw) - See Statewide
DUCHESNE COUNTY
(B,H,Hw) - See Statewide
EMERY COUNTY
(B,H,Hw) - See Statewide
GARFIELD COUNTY
(B,H,Hw) - See Statewide
GRAND COUNTY
(B,H,Hw) - See Statewide
IRON COUNTY
(B,H,Hw) - See Statewide
JUAB COUNTY
(B,H,Hw) - See Statewide
KANE COUNTY
(B,H,Hw) - See Statewide
MILLARD COUNTY
(B,H,Hw) - See Statewide
MORGAN COUNTY
(B,H,Hw) - See Statewide
PIUTE COUNTY
(B,H,Hw) - See Statewide
RICH COUNTY
(B,H,Hw) - See Statewide
SALT LAKE COUNTY
(B,H,Hw) - See Statewide
SAN JUAN COUNTY
(B,H,Hw) - See Statewide
SANPETE COUNTY
(B,H,Hw) - See Statewide
SEVIER COUNTY
(B,H,Hw) - See Statewide
SUMMIT COUNTY
(B,H,Hw) - See Statewide
TOOELE COUNTY
(B,H,Hw) - See Statewide
UNITAH COUNTY
(B,H,Hw) - See Statewide
UTAH COUNTY
(B,H,Hw) - See Statewide
WASATCH COUNTY
(B,H,Hw) - See Statewide
WASHINGTON COUNTY
(B,H,Hw) - See Statewide

UTAH (CONT'D)

WAYNE COUNTY
(B,H,Hw) - See Statewide
WEBER COUNTY
(B,H,Hw) - See Statewide

VERMONT

STATEWIDE (Except Rutland County)
Decision #AP-857 (Hw)
38 FR 16623 - 6/22/73
Mod. #1 - 38 FR 28473 - 10/12/73
ADDISON COUNTY
(Hw) - See Statewide
BENNINGTON COUNTY
(Hw) - See Statewide
CALEDONIA COUNTY
(Hw) - See Statewide
CHITTENDEN COUNTY
(Hw) - See Statewide
ESSEX COUNTY
(Hw) - See Statewide
FRANKLIN COUNTY
(Hw) - See Statewide
GRAND ISLE COUNTY
(Hw) - See Statewide
LAMOILLE COUNTY
(Hw) - See Statewide
ORANGE COUNTY
(Hw) - See Statewide
ORLEANS COUNTY
(Hw) - See Statewide
RUTLAND COUNTY
None
WASHINGTON COUNTY
(Hw) - See Statewide
WINDHAM COUNTY
(Hw) - See Statewide
WINDSOR COUNTY
(Hw) - See Statewide

VIRGINIA

ACCOMACK COUNTY
Decision #AP-805 (Hw)
38 FR 11279 - 5/4/73
Mod. #1 - 38 FR 13127 - 5/18/73
Decision #AP-847 (D)
38 FR 15797 - 6/15/73
ALBEMARLE COUNTY
Decision #AM-1874 (Hw)
36 FR 16342 - 8/20/71
ALEXANDRIA CITY
Decision #AP-858 (B)
38 FR 17390 - 6/29/73
Mod. #1 - 38 FR 20187 - 7/27/73
Mod. #2 - 38 FR 21710 - 8/10/73
Mod. #3 - 38 FR 22857 - 8/24/73
Mod. #4 - 38 FR 29154 - 10/19/73
Decision #AP-450 (R)
37 FR 25117 - 11/25/72
(D) - See Accomack County
ALLEGHENY COUNTY
Decision #AM-1875 (Hw)
36 FR 16343 - 8/20/71
Mod. #1 - 37 FR 5169 - 3/10/72
AMELIA COUNTY
Decision #AM-1871 (Hw)
36 FR 16339 - 8/20/71
AMHERST COUNTY
Decision #AM-1870 (Hw)
36 FR 16338 - 8/20/71
APPOMATTOX COUNTY
(Hw) - See Amherst County
ARLINGTON COUNTY
(B) - See Alexandria City
(R) - See Alexandria City
(D) - See Accomack County
AUGUSTA COUNTY
Decision #AM-9320 (R)
37 FR 10267 - 5/19/72
(Hw) - See Allegheny County
BATH COUNTY
(Hw) - See Allegheny County
BEDFORD COUNTY
Decision #AQ-2021 (Hw)
38 FR 27744 - 10/5/73
BLAND COUNTY
Decision #AQ-2020 (Hw)
38 FR 27744 - 10/5/73

VIRGINIA (Cont'd)

BOTECOURT COUNTY
(Hw) - See Bedford County
BRISTOL COUNTY
(Hw) - See Bland County
BRUNSWICK COUNTY
(Hw) - See Amelia County
BUCHANAN COUNTY
(Hw) - See Bland County
BUCKINGHAM COUNTY
(Hw) - See Amherst County
BUENA VISTA CITY
(Hw) - See Allegheny County
CAMPBELL COUNTY
(Hw) - See Amherst County
CAROLINE COUNTY
Decision #AM-1873 (Hw)
36 FR 16341 - 8/20/71
Mod. #1 - 37 FR 15238 - 7/28/72
CARROLL COUNTY
(Hw) - See Bedford County
CHARLES CITY COUNTY
(Hw) - See Amelia County
CHARLOTTE COUNTY
(Hw) - See Amherst County
CHARLOTTESVILLE CITY
(Hw) - See Albemarle County
CHESAPEAKE CITY
Decision #AQ-2023 (B)
38 FR 29193 - 10/19/73
Decision #AP-494 (Hw)
38 FR 7693 - 3/23/73
(D) - See Accomack County
CHESTERFIELD COUNTY
(Hw) - See Amelia County
CLARKE COUNTY
Decision #AP-406 (R)
37 FR 15234 - 7/28/72
(Hw) - See Allegheny County
CLIFTON FORGE CITY
(Hw) - See Allegheny County
COLONIAL HEIGHTS CITY
(Hw) - See Amelia County
COVINGTON CITY
(Hw) - See Allegheny County
CRAIG COUNTY
(Hw) - See Bedford County

VIRGINIA (cont'd)

CULPEPER COUNTY
(Hw) - See Albemarle County
CUMBERLAND COUNTY
(Hw) - See Amherst County
DANVILLE CITY
(Hw) - See Amherst County
DICKENSON COUNTY
(Hw) - See Bland County
DINWIDDIE COUNTY
(Hw) - See Amelia County
ESSEX COUNTY
(Hw) - See Caroline County
(D) - See Accomack County
FAIRFAX COUNTY
(B) - See Alexandria City
(H) - See Alexandria City
(D) - See Accomack County
FAIRFAX CITY
(B) - See Alexandria City
(H) - See Alexandria City
FALLS CHURCH CITY
(B) - See Alexandria City
(H) - See Alexandria City
FAUQUIER COUNTY
(Hw) - See Albemarle County
FLOYD COUNTY
(Hw) - See Bedford County
FLUVANNA COUNTY
(Hw) - See Albemarle County
FORT MONROE CITY
(Hw) - See Accomack County
FRANKLIN CITY
(Hw) - See Accomack County
FRANKLIN COUNTY
(Hw) - See Bedford County

VIRGINIA (cont'd)

FREDERICK COUNTY
(H) - See Clarke County
(Hw) - See Allegheny County
FREDERICKSBURG CITY
(Hw) - See Caroline County
GALAX CITY
(Hw) - See Bland County
GILES COUNTY
(Hw) - See Bedford County
GLOUCESTER COUNTY
(Hw) - See Caroline County
(D) - See Accomack County
GOOCHLAND COUNTY
(Hw) - See Amelia County
GRAYSON COUNTY
(Hw) - See Bland County
GREENE COUNTY
(Hw) - See Albemarle County
GREENSVILLE COUNTY
(Hw) - See Accomack County
HALIFAX COUNTY
(Hw) - See Amherst County
HAMPTON CITY
(Hw) - See Chesapeake City
(D) - See Accomack County
HANOVER COUNTY
(Hw) - See Amelia County
HARRISONBURG CITY
(Hw) - See Allegheny County
HENRICO COUNTY
Decision #AQ-2024 (E)
38 FR 29195 - 10/19/73
(Hw) - See Amelia County

VIRGINIA (cont'd)

HENRY COUNTY
(Hw) - See Bedford County
HIGHLAND COUNTY
(Hw) - See Alleghany County
HOPEWELL CITY
(Hw) - See Amelia County
ISLE OF WIGHT COUNTY
(Hw) - See Accomack County
(D) - See Accomack County
JAMES CITY COUNTY
Decision #AP-407 (E)
37 FR 15235 - 7/28/72
(Hw) - See Accomack County
(D) - See Accomack County
KING AND QUEEN COUNTY
(Hw) - See Caroline County
KING GEORGE COUNTY
(Hw) - See Caroline County
(D) - See Accomack County
KING WILLIAM COUNTY
(Hw) - See Caroline County
LANCASTER COUNTY
(Hw) - See Caroline County
(D) - See Accomack County
LEE COUNTY
(Hw) - See Bland County
LOUDOUN COUNTY
(Hw) - See Albemarle County
LOUISA COUNTY
(Hw) - See Albemarle County
LUNENBURG COUNTY
(Hw) - See Amelia County
LYNCHBURG CITY
(Hw) - See Amherst County

VIRGINIA (cont'd)

MADISON COUNTY
(Hw) - See Albemarle County
MARTINSVILLE CITY
(Hw) - See Bedford County
MATHEWS COUNTY
(Hw) - See Caroline County
(D) - See Accomack County
MECKLENBURG COUNTY
(Hw) - See Amelia County
MIDDLESEX COUNTY
(Hw) - See Caroline County
(D) - See Accomack County
MONTGOMERY COUNTY
(Hw) - See Bedford County
NANSEMOND COUNTY
(Hw) - See Accomack County
(D) - See Accomack County
NELSON COUNTY
(Hw) - See Amherst County
NEW KENT COUNTY
(Hw) - See Amelia County
NEWPORT NEWS CITY
(Hw) - See Chesapeake City
(D) - See Accomack County
NORFOLK CITY
(Hw,B) - See Chesapeake City
(D) - See Accomack County
NORTHAMPTON COUNTY
(Hw) - See Accomack County
(D) - See Accomack County
NORTON CITY
(Hw) - See Bland County

VIRGINIA (cont'd)

NORTHUMBERLAND COUNTY
(Hw) - See Caroline County
(D) - See Accomack County
NOTTOWAY COUNTY
(Hw) - See Amelia County
ORANGE COUNTY
(Hw) - See Albermarle County
PAGE COUNTY
(Hw) - See Allegheny County
PATRICK COUNTY
(Hw) - See Bedford County
PETERSBURG COUNTY
(Hw) - See Amelia County
PITTSYLVANIA COUNTY
(Hw) - See Amherst County
PORTSMOUTH CITY
(Hw,B) - See Chesapeake City
(D) - See Accomack County
POWHATAN COUNTY
(Hw) - See Amelia County
PRINCE EDWARD COUNTY
(Hw) - See Amherst County
PRINCE GEORGE COUNTY
(Hw) - See Amelia County
PRINCE WILLIAM COUNTY
(Hw) - See Albermarle County
(D) - See Accomack County
PULASKI COUNTY
(Hw) - See Bedford County
RADFORD CITY
(Hw) - See Bedford County
RAPAHANNOCK COUNTY
(Hw) - See Albermarle County
RICHMOND CITY
(B) - See Henrico County
(Hw) - See Amelia County
RICHMOND COUNTY
(Hw) - See Caroline County
ROANOKE CITY
(Hw) - See Bedford County
ROANOKE COUNTY
(Hw) - See Bedford County
ROCKBRIDGE COUNTY
(Hw) - See Allegheny County

VIRGINIA (Cont'd)

ROCKINGHAM COUNTY
(R) - See Clarke County
(Hw) - See Alleghany County
RUSSELL COUNTY
(Hw) - See Bland County
SCOTT COUNTY
(Hw) - See Bland County
SHENANDOAH COUNTY
(Hw) - See Alleghany County
(R) - See Clarke County
SMYTH COUNTY
(Hw) - See Bland County
SOUTHAMPTON COUNTY
(Hw) - See Accomack County
SOUTH BOSTON CITY
(Hw) - See Amherst County
SPOTSYLVANIA COUNTY
(Hw) - See Caroline County
STAUNTON CITY
(Hw) - See Alleghany County
STAFFORD COUNTY
(Hw) - See Caroline County
(D) - See Accomack County
SUFFOLK CITY
(Hw) - See Accomack County
SURRY COUNTY
(Hw) - See Accomack County
(D) - See Accomack County
SUSSEX COUNTY
(Hw) - See Accomack County
TAZEWELL COUNTY
(Hw) - See Bland County
VIRGINIA BEACH CITY
Decision #AM-9321 (R)
37 FR 10266 - 5/19/72
(Hw) - See Chesapeake City
(D) - See Accomack County
WARREN COUNTY
(R) - See Clarke County
(Hw) - See Alleghany County
WASHINGTON COUNTY
(Hw) - See Bland County
WAYNESBORO CITY
(Hw) - See Alleghany County
WESTMORELAND COUNTY
(Hw) - See Caroline County
(D) - See Accomack County

VIRGINIA (Cont'd)

WILLIAMSBURG CITY
(Hw) - See Accomack County
WINCHESTER CITY
(Hw) - See Alleghany County
WISE COUNTY
(Hw) - See Bland County
WYTHE COUNTY
(Hw) - See Bland County
YORK COUNTY
(R) - See James County
(Hw) - See Accomack County
(D) - See Accomack County

WASHINGTON

STATEWIDE
Decision #AQ-1021 (B,H,Hw,D)
38 FR 24549 - 9/7/73
Mod. #1 - 38 FR 26560 - 9/21/73
Mod. #2 - 38 FR 27717 - 10/5/73
Mod. #3 - 38 FR 29154 - 10/19/73
ADAMS COUNTY
(B,H,Hw,D) - See Statewide
ASOTIN COUNTY
(B,H,Hw,D) - See Statewide
BENTON COUNTY
(B,H,Hw,D) - See Statewide
CHELAN COUNTY
(B,H,Hw,D) - See Statewide
CLALLAM COUNTY
(B,H,Hw,D) - See Statewide
CLARK COUNTY
(B,H,Hw,D) - See Statewide
COLUMBIA COUNTY
(B,H,Hw,D) - See Statewide
COWLITZ COUNTY
(B,H,Hw,D) - See Statewide
DOUGLAS COUNTY
(B,H,Hw,D) - See Statewide
FERRY COUNTY
(B,H,Hw,D) - See Statewide
FRANKLIN COUNTY
(B,H,Hw,D) - See Statewide
GARFIELD COUNTY
(B,H,Hw,D) - See Statewide
GRANT COUNTY
(B,H,Hw,D) - See Statewide
GRAYS HARBOR COUNTY
(B,H,Hw,D) - See Statewide
ISLAND COUNTY
Decision #AQ-1024 (R)
38 FR 24570 - 9/7/73
Mod. #1 - 38 FR 26564 - 9/21/73
(B,H,Hw,D) - See Statewide
JEFFERSON COUNTY
(B,H,Hw,D) - See Statewide

WASHINGTON (Cont'd)

KING COUNTY
(R) - See Island County
(B,H,Hw,D)-See Statewide
KITSAP COUNTY
(B,H,Hw,D)-See Statewide
KITTITAS COUNTY
(B,H,Hw,D)-See Statewide
KLICKITAT COUNTY
(B,H,Hw,D)-See Statewide
LEWIS COUNTY
(B,H,Hw,D)-See Statewide
LINCOLN COUNTY
(B,H,Hw,D)-See Statewide
MASON COUNTY
(B,H,Hw,D)-See Statewide
OKANOGAN COUNTY
(B,H,Hw,D)-See Statewide
PACIFIC COUNTY
(B,H,Hw,D)-See Statewide
PEND OREILLE COUNTY
(B,H,Hw,D)-See Statewide
PIERCE COUNTY
(R) - See Island County
(B,H,Hw,D)-See Statewide
SAN JUAN COUNTY
(B,H,Hw,D)-See Statewide
SKAGIT COUTNY
(B,H,Hw,D)-See Statewide
SKAMANIA COUNTY
(B,H,Hw,D)-See Statewide
SNOHOMISH COUNTY
(R) - See Island County
(B,H,Hw,D)-See Statewide
SPOKANE COUNTY
(B,H,Hw,D)-See Statewide
STEVENS COUNTY
(B,H,Hw,D)-See Statewide
THURSTON COUNTY
(B,H,Hw,D)-See Statewide
WAHKIAKUM COUNTY
(B,H,Hw,D)-See Statewide
WALLA WALLA COUNTY
(B,H,Hw,D)-See Statewide
WHATCOM COUNTY
(B,H,Hw,D)-See Statewide
WHITMAN COUNTY
(B,H,Hw,D)-See Statewide
YAKIMA COUNTY
(B,H,Hw,D)-See Statewide

WASHINGTON, D. C.

WASHINGTON, D. C.
Decision #AQ-2005 (B,Hw,Sewer & Water)
38 FR 21721 - 8/10/73
Mod. #1 - 38 FR 22857 - 8/24/73
Mod. #2 - 38 FR 29154 - 10/19/73
Decision #AP-450 (R)
37 FR 25117 - 11/25/72
Decision #AP-847 (D)
38 FR 15797 - 6/15/73

WEST VIRGINIA

STATEWIDE
Decision #AP-801 (H,Hw)
38 FR 9415 - 4/13/73
BARBOUR COUNTY
(H,Hw) - See Statewide
BERKELEY COUNTY
(H,Hw) - See Statewide
BOONE COUNTY
(H,Hw) - See Statewide
BRAXTON COUNTY
(H,Hw) - See Statewide
BROOKE COUNTY
(H,Hw) - See Statewide
CABELL COUNTY
Decision #AP-403 (R)
37 FR 14661 - 7/21/72
Mod. #1-37 FR 16722 - 8/18/72
Mod. #2-37 FR 21720 - 10/13/72
Mod. #3 - 38 FR 15226 - 6/8/73
Mod. #4 - 38 FR 26564 - 9/21/73
Mod. #5 - 38 FR 28474 - 10/12/73
Decision #AP-602 (D)
38 FR 1433 - 1/12/73
(H,Hw) - See Statewide
CALHOUN COUNTY
(H,Hw) - See Statewide
CLAY COUNTY
(H,Hw) - See Statewide
DODDRIDGE COUNTY
(H,Hw) - See Statewide
FAYETTE COUNTY
(H,Hw) - See Statewide
GILMER COUNTY
(H,Hw) - See Statewide
GRANT COUNTY
(H,Hw) - See Statewide
GREENBRIER COUNTY
(H,Hw) - See Statewide

WEST VIRGINIA (Cont'd)

HAMPSHIRE COUNTY
(H,Hw) - See Statewide
HANCOCK COUNTY
(H,Hw) - See Statewide
HARDY COUNTY
(H,Hw) - See Statewide
HARRISON COUNTY
(H,Hw) - See Statewide
JACKSON COUNTY
(D) - See Cabell County
(H,Hw) - See Statewide
JEFFERSON COUNTY
(H,Hw) - See Statewide
KANAWHA COUNTY
Decision #AP-402 (R)
37 FR 14659 - 7/21/72
Mod. #1 - 37 FR 20401 - 9/29/72
Mod. #2 - 37 FR 21720 - 10/13/72
Mod. #3 - 38 FR 4082 - 2/9/73
Mod. #4 - 38 FR 15226 - 6/8/73
Mod. #5 - 38 FR 28474 - 10/12/73
Decision #AP-405 (R)
37 FR 15236 - 7/28/72
Mod. #1 - 37 FR 20401 - 9/29/72
Mod. #2 - 37 FR 21720 - 10/13/72
Mod. #3 - 38 FR 4082 - 2/9/73
Mod. #4 - 38 FR 15226 - 6/8/73
Mod. #5 - 38 FR 28474 - 10/12/73
LEWIS COUNTY
(H,Hw) - See Statewide
LINCOLN COUNTY
(H,Hw) - See Statewide
LOGAN COUNTY
(H,Hw) - See Statewide
MC DOWELL COUNTY
(H,Hw) - See Statewide
MARION COUNTY
(H,Hw) - See Statewide
MARSHALL COUNTY
(H,Hw) - See Statewide
MASON COUNTY
(D) - See Cabell County
(H,Hw) - See Statewide
MERCER COUNTY
(H,Hw) - See Statewide
MINERAL COUNTY
(H,Hw) - See Statewide
MINGO COUNTY
(H,Hw) - See Statewide
MONOGALIA COUNTY
(H,Hw) - See Statewide

WEST VIRGINIA (Cont'd)

MONROE COUNTY
(H,Hw) - See Statewide
MORGAN COUNTY
(H,Hw) - See Statewide
NICHOLAS COUNTY
(H,Hw) - See Statewide
OHIO COUNTY
(H,Hw) - See Statewide
PENDLETON COUNTY
(H,Hw) - See Statewide
PLEASANT COUNTY
(D) - See Cabell County
(H,Hw) - See Statewide
PRESTON COUNTY
(H,Hw) - See Statewide
PUTNAM COUNTY
(H,Hw) - See Statewide
RALEIGH COUNTY
(H,Hw) - See Statewide
RANDOLPH COUNTY
(H,Hw) - See Statewide
RITCHIE COUNTY
(H,Hw) - See Statewide
ROANE COUNTY
(H,Hw) - See Statewide
SUMMERS COUNTY
(H,Hw) - See Statewide
TAYLOR COUNTY
(H,Hw) - See Statewide
TUCKER COUNTY
(H,Hw) - See Statewide
TYLER COUNTY
(H,Hw) - See Statewide
UPSHUR COUNTY
(H,Hw) - See Statewide
WAYNE COUNTY
(H,Hw) - See Statewide
WEBSTER COUNTY
(H,Hw) - See Statewide
WETZEL COUNTY
(D) - See Cabell County
(H,Hw) - See Statewide
WIRT COUNTY
(H,Hw) - See Statewide
WOOD COUNTY
(D) - See Cabell County
(H,Hw) - See Statewide
WYOMING COUNTY
(H,Hw) - See Statewide

WISCONSIN

ADAMS COUNTY
Decision #AP-72 (Hw)
37 FR 26273 - 12/8/72
Mod. #1-38 FR 2019 - 1/9/73
Mod. #2-38 FR 12524 - 5/11/73
ASHLAND COUNTY
Decision #AP-4 (D)
37 FR 14670 - 7/21/72
Decision #AP-70 (Hw)
37 FR 26269 - 12/8/72
Mod. #1-38 FR 2018 - 1/19/73
Mod. #2-38 FR 12523 - 5/11/73
BARRON COUNTY
Decision #AP-71 (Hw)
37 FR 26271 - 12/8/72
Mod. #1-38 FR 2018-1/19/73
Mod. #2-38 FR 12524 - 5/11/73
BAYFIELD COUNTY
(D) - See Ashland County
(Hw) - See Ashland County
BROWN COUNTY
Decision #AP-53 (B,H,Hw)
37 FR 25643 - 12/1/72
Mod. #1-37 FR 28357-12/22/72
Mod. #2-38 FR 2015-1/19/73
Mod. #3-38 FR 5111-2/23/72
Mod. #4-38 FR 12523 - 5/11/73
(D) - See Ashland County
BUFFALO COUNTY
(Hw) - See Barron County
BURNETT COUNTY
(Hw) - See Ashland County
CALUMET COUNTY
Decision #AP-74 (Hw)
37 FR 26277 - 12/8/72
Mod. #1-38 FR 2019-1/19/73
Mod. #2-38 FR 12524 - 5/11/73
CHIPPEWA COUNTY
(Hw) - See Barron County
CLARK COUNTY
(Hw) - See Barron County
COLUMBIA COUNTY
Decision #AP-73 (Hw)
37 FR 26275 - 12/8/72
Mod. #1-38 FR 2019-1/19/73
Mod. #2-38 FR 12524 - 5/11/73
CRAWFORD COUNTY
(Hw) - See Columbia County
DANE COUNTY
Decision #AP-54 (B,H,Hw)
37 FR 25647 - 12/1/72
Mod. #1-37 FR 28357-12/22/72
Mod. #2-38 FR 2015-1/19/73
Mod. #3-38 FR 5111-2/23/73
Mod. #4-38 FR 12520 - 5/11/73

WISCONSIN (Cont'd)

DANE COUNTY (cont'd)
Decision #AP-65 (H)
37 FR 25606 - 12/1/72
Mod. #1 - 38 FR 28358 - 12/22/72
Mod. #2 - 38 FR 2018 - 1/19/73
Mod. #3 - 38 FR 5112 - 2/23/73
DODGE COUNTY
(Hw) - See Columbia County
DOOR COUNTY
(D) - See Ashland County
(Hw) - See Calumet County
DOUGLAS COUNTY
(D) - See Ashland County
(Hw) - See Ashland County
DUNN COUNTY
(Hw) - See Barron County
EAU CLAIRE COUNTY
Decision #AP-55 (B,H,Hw)
37 FR 25650 - 12/1/72
Mod. #1-38 FR 2016-1/19/73
Mod. #2-38 FR 5111-2/23/73
Mod. #3-38 FR 12521 - 5/11/73
FLORENCE COUNTY
(Hw) - See Calumet County
FOND DU LAC COUNTY
Decision #AP-75 (Hw)
37 FR 26279 - 12/8/72
Mod. #1-38 FR 2019-1/19/73
Mod. #2-38 FR 12524 - 5/11/73
FOREST COUNTY
(Hw) - See Ashland County
GRANT COUNTY
(Hw) - See Columbia County
GREEN COUNTY
(Hw) - See Columbia County
GREEN LAKE COUNTY
(Hw) - See Adams County
IOWA COUNTY
(Hw) - See Columbia County
IRON COUNTY
(D) - See Ashland County
(Hw) - See Ashland County
JACKSON COUNTY
(Hw) - See Columbia County
JEFFERSON COUNTY
(Hw) - See Columbia County
JUNEAU COUNTY
Decision #AP-56 (B,H,Hw)
37 FR 25654 - 12/1/72
Mod. #1-37 FR 28357-12/22/72
Mod. #2-38 FR 2016-1/19/73
Mod. #3-38 FR 5111-2/23/73
Mod. #4-38 FR 12521 - 5/11/73

WISCONSIN (Cont'd)

KENOSHA COUNTY
Decision #AP-57 (B,H,Hw)
37 FR 25658 - 12/1/72
Mod. #1-37 FR 28357 - 12/22/72
Mod. #2-38 FR 2016 - 1/19/73
Mod. #3 - 38 FR 12521 - 5/11/73
KEWAUNEE COUNTY
(D) - See Ashland County
(Hw) - See Calumet County
LA CROSSE COUNTY
Decision #AP-58 (B,H,Hw)
37 FR 25662 - 12/1/72
Mod. #1-37 FR 28357 - 12/22/72
Mod. #2-38 FR 2016 - 1/19/73
Mod. #3-38 FR 5112 - 2/23/73
Mod. #4-38 FR 12521 - 5/11/73
Decision #AP-66 (R)
37 FR 25609 - 12/1/72
Mod. #1 - 37 FR 28358 - 12/22/72
Mod. #2 - 38 FR 2018 - 1/19/73
Mod. #3 - 38 FR 5112 - 2/23/73
Mod. #4 - 38 FR 12523 - 5/11/73
LAFAYETTE COUNTY
(Hw) - See Columbia County
LANGLADE COUNTY
(Hw) - See Adams County
LINCOLN COUNTY
(Hw) - See Ashland County
MANITOWOC COUNTY
(D) - See Ashland County
(Hw) - See Calumet County
MARATHON COUNTY
Decision #AP-59 (B,H,Hw)
37 FR 25666 - 12/1/72
Mod. #1-38 FR 2017-1/19/73
Mod. #2-38 FR 5111-2/23/73
Mod. #3-38 FR 12522 - 5/11/73
MARINETTE COUNTY
(D) - See Ashland County
(Hw) - See Calumet County
MARQUETTE COUNTY
(Hw) - See Adams County
MENOMINEE COUNTY
(Hw) - See Adams County
MILWAUKEE COUNTY
Decision #AP-60 (B,H,Hw)
37 FR 25669 - 12/1/72
Mod. #1-37 FR 28357-12/22/72
Mod. #2-38 FR 2017-1/19/73
Mod. #3-38 FR 5111-2/23/73
Mod. #4-38 FR 12522 - 5/11/73
(D) - See Ashland County
Decision #AP-67 (R)
37 FR 25612 - 12/1/72
Mod. #1 - 37 FR 28358 - 12/22/72
Mod. #2 - 38 FR 5112 - 2/23/73

WISCONSIN (Cont'd)

MONROE COUNTY
(Hw) - See Columbia County
OCONTO COUNTY
(D) - See Ashland County
(Hw) - See Calumet County
ONEIDA COUNTY
(Hw) - See Ashland County
OUTAGAMIE COUNTY
(Hw) - See Calumet County
OZAUKEE COUNTY
(B,H,Hw) - See Milwaukee County
(D) - See Ashland County
(Hw) - See Fond du Lac County
(R) - See Milwaukee County
PEPIN COUNTY
(Hw) - See Barron County
PIERCE COUNTY
(Hw) - See Barron County
POLK COUNTY
Decision #AP-61 (B) (H) (Hw)
37 FR 25674 - 12/1/72
Mod. #1-38 FR 2017 - 1/9/72
Mod. #2- 38 FR 12522 - 5/11/73
PORTAGE COUNTY
(Hw) - See Adams County
PRICE COUNTY
(Hw) - See Ashland County
RACINE COUNTY
Decision #AP-62 (B,H,Hw)
37 FR 25678 - 12/1/72
Mod. #1-37 FR 28357 - 12/22/72
Mod. #2-38 FR 2017 - 1/19/73
Mod. #3-38 FR 12522 - 5/11/73
(D) - See Ashland County
RICHLAND COUNTY
(Hw) - See Columbia County
ROCK COUNTY
Decision #AP-63 (B,H,Hw)
37 FR 25682 - 12/1/72
Mod. #1-37 FR 28358 - 12/22/72
Mod. #2-38 FR 2018 - 1/19/73
Mod. #3-38 FR 5111 - 2/23/73
Mod. #4-38 FR 12523 - 5/11/73
RUSK COUNTY
(Hw) - See Ashland County
SAINT CROIX COUNTY
(Hw) - See Barron County
SAUK COUNTY
(Hw) - See Columbia County
SAWYER COUNTY
(Hw) - See Ashland County

WISCONSIN (Cont'd)

SHAWANO COUNTY
(Hw) - See Adams County
SHEBOYGAN COUNTY
(D) - See Ashland County
(Hw) - See Fond du Lac County
TAYLOR COUNTY
(Hw) - See Ashland County
TREMPEALEAU COUNTY
(Hw) - See Barron County
VERNON COUNTY
(Hw) - See Columbia County
VILAS COUNTY
(Hw) - See Ashland County
WALWORTH COUNTY
(Hw) - See Fond du Lac County
WASHBURN COUNTY
(Hw) - See Ashland County
WASHINGTON COUNTY
(B,H,Hw) - See Milwaukee County
(Hw) - See Fond du Lac County
(R) - See Milwaukee County
WAUKESHA COUNTY
(B,H,Hw) - See Milwaukee County
(R) - See Milwaukee County
WAUPACA COUNTY
(Hw) - See Adams County
WAUSHARA COUNTY
(Hw) - See Adams County
WINNEBAGO COUNTY
Decision #AP-64 (B,H,Hw)
37 FR 25686 - 12/1/72
Mod. #1-37 FR 28358 - 12/22/72
Mod. #2 - 38 FR 2018 - 1/19/73
Mod. #3 - 38 FR 5112 - 2/23/73
Mod. #4 - 38 FR 12523 - 5/11/73
WOOD COUNTY
(Hw) - See Adams County

WYOMING

STATEWIDE
Decision #AQ-1010 - (Hw)
38 FR 21732 - 8/10/73
ALBANY COUNTY
(Hw) - See Statewide
BIG HORN COUNTY
(Hw) - See Statewide
CAMPBELL COUNTY
(Hw) - See Statewide
CARBON COUNTY
(Hw) - See Statewide
CONVERSE COUNTY
(Hw) - See Statewide
CROOK COUNTY
(Hw) - See Statewide
FREMONT COUNTY
(Hw) - See Statewide
GOSHEN COUNTY
(Hw) - See Statewide
HOT SPRINGS COUNTY
(Hw) - See Statewide
JOHNSON COUNTY
(Hw) - See Statewide
LARAMIE COUNTY
Decision #AQ-1043 (B,H,Hw)
38 FR 27745 - 10/5/73
LINCOLN COUNTY
(Hw) - See Statewide
NATRONA COUNTY
(Hw) - See Statewide
NIOBRARA COUNTY
(Hw) - See Statewide
PARK COUNTY
(Hw) - See Statewide
PLATTE COUNTY
(Hw) - See Statewide
SHERIDAN COUNTY
(Hw) - See Statewide
SUBLETTE COUNTY
(Hw) - See Statewide
SWEETWATER COUNTY
(Hw) - See Statewide
TETON COUNTY
(Hw) - See Statewide
UINTA COUNTY
(Hw) - See Statewide
WASHAKIE COUNTY
(Hw) - See Statewide
WESTON COUNTY
(Hw) - See Statewide
YELLOWSTONE NATIONAL PARK COUNTY
(Hw) - See Statewide

[FR Doc.73-25720 Filed 12-6-73;8:45 am]

Public Papers of the Presidents of the United States

Annual volumes containing the public messages and statements, news conferences, and other selected papers released by the White House.

Volumes for the following years are now available:

HARRY S. TRUMAN

1945	$5.50	1949	$6.75
1946	$6.00	1950	$7.75
1947	$5.25	1951	$6.25
1948	$9.75	1952–53	$9.00

DWIGHT D. EISENHOWER

1953	$6.75	1957	$6.75
1954	$7.25	1958	$8.25
1955	$6.75	1959	$7.00
1956	$7.25	1960–61	$7.75

JOHN F. KENNEDY

1961	$9.00	1962	$9.00
1963	$9.00		

LYNDON B. JOHNSON

1963–64 (Book I)	$6.75	1966 (Book I)	$6.50
1963–64 (Book II)	$7.00	1966 (Book II)	$7.00
1965 (Book I)	$6.25	1967 (Book I)	$8.75
1965 (Book II)	$6.25	1967 (Book II)	$8.00
1968–69 (Book I)	$10.50		
1968–69 (Book II)	$9.50		

RICHARD NIXON

1969	$14.50	1970	$15.75
1971	$15.75		

Published by Office of the Federal Register, National Archives and Records Service, General Services Administration

Order from Superintendent of Documents, U.S. Government Printing Office
Washington, D.C. 20402