Archived by the U.S. Government Information GPO

federal register

THURSDAY, NOVEMBER 9, 1972

WASHINGTON, D.C.

Volume 37 ■ Number 217

Pages 23801–23893

HIGHLIGHTS OF THIS ISSUE

This listing does not affect the legal status of any document published in this issue. Detailed table of contents appears inside.

DRUG ABUSE PREVENTION AND CONTROL—Justice Dept. proposal on registration of researchers; comments by 12–15–72 23842

SMOKING ON AIRCRAFT—CAB extends comment period on proposal to segregate passengers to 12–4–72 23845

PURCHASE OR POSSESSION OF INTOXICANTS BY INDIANS—Interior Dept. notice on legality 23852

1973 FEDERAL CROP INSURANCE—USDA releases list of counties designated (21 documents) 23811–23818

EARNINGS AND REVENUES—SEC proposal on estimates, forecasts or projections 23850

CANNED PLUMS—USDA revises grade standards; effective 12–15–72 23807

TOBACCO MARKETING QUOTAS—USDA proposed determinations for 1973–74, 1974–75 and 1975–76; comments within 30 days 23843

OIL AND GAS RIGHTS—SEC amendments relating to exemption from registration requirements for certain offerings of fractional undivided interests; effective 1–1–73 23829

ANTIBIOTIC DRUGS—

FDA proposal to revise upper limit of potency for troleandomycin oral suspension; comments within 60 days 23845

FDA regulation raising moisture content for erythromycin stearate 23836

AMATEUR RADIO SERVICE—FCC regulation on alien permittees 23840

(Continued inside)

Current White House Releases

WEEKLY COMPILATION OF PRESIDENTIAL DOCUMENTS

This unique service makes available transcripts of the President's news conferences; messages to Congress; public speeches, remarks, and statements; and other Presidential materials released by the White House.

The Weekly Compilation carries a Monday dateline and covers materials released during the preceding week. It includes an Index of Contents and a system of cumulative indexes. Other finding aids include lists of laws approved by the President and of nominations submitted to the Senate, a checklist of White House releases, and a digest of other White House announcements.

This systematic publication of Presidential items provides users with up-to-date information and a permanent reference source concerning Presidential policies and pronouncements.

Subscription Price: $9.00 per year

Compiled by Office of the Federal Register, National Archives and Records Service, General Services Administration

Order from: Superintendent of Documents
U.S. Government Printing Office,
Washington, D.C. 20402

FEDERAL REGISTER

Area Code 202 Phone 962-8626

Published daily, Tuesday through Saturday (no publication on Sundays, Mondays, or on the day after an official Federal holiday), by the Office of the Federal Register, National Archives and Records Service, General Services Administration, Washington, D.C. 20408, pursuant to the authority contained in the Federal Register Act, approved July 26, 1935 (49 Stat. 500, as amended; 44 U.S.C., Ch. 15), under regulations prescribed by the Administrative Committee of the Federal Register, approved by the President (1 CFR Ch. I). Distribution is made only by the Superintendent of Documents, U.S. Government Printing Office, Washington, D.C. 20402.

The FEDERAL REGISTER will be furnished by mail to subscribers, free of postage, for $2.50 per month or $25 per year, payable in advance. The charge for individual copies is 20 cents for each issue, or 20 cents for each group of pages as actually bound. Remit check or money order, made payable to the Superintendent of Documents, U.S. Government Printing Office, Washington, D.C. 20402.

The regulatory material appearing herein is keyed to the CODE OF FEDERAL REGULATIONS, which is published, under 50 titles, pursuant to section 11 of the Federal Register Act, as amended (44 U.S.C. 1510). The CODE OF FEDERAL REGULATIONS is sold by the Superintendent of Documents. Prices of new books are listed in the first FEDERAL REGISTER issue of each month.

There are no restrictions on the republication of material appearing in the FEDERAL REGISTER or the CODE OF FEDERAL REGULATIONS.

NEW DRUGS—FDA notices releasing additional evaluation reports on drug efficacy study and revising indications for mannitol (2 documents).. 23864

DANGEROUS DRUGS—Justice Dept. announces narcotics, cocaine and phenmetrazine (preludin) aggregate production quotas for 1973 (2 documents); effective 11–9–72..... 23851

CLEAN AIR—
EPA disapproval of variance to sulfur content of fuel by General Electric..... 23837
EPA regulation on significant deterioration of air quality..... 23836

FOOD ADDITIVE—USDA regulation providing for use of sorbitol in certain cooked sausages..... 23822

PESTICIDES—
EPA establishes tolerances for certain chemicals (2 documents)..... 23837, 23838
EPA extends comments time 30 days concerning toxicology guidelines..... 23846

PUBLIC MEETINGS—
HEW: Nat'l Cancer Advisory Board, 11–20–72 (2 documents)..... 23865
Regional Health Advisory Committee, 11–30–72 23864
Advisory Committee on Rights and Responsibilities of Women Subcommittees on Health and on Internal Affairs (2 documents), 11–10–72..... 23865
NASA: Accreditation and Institutional Eligibility Advisory Committee, 12–13–72..... 23875
Chemical Transportation Industry Advisory Committee to the Marine Safety Council, U.S. Coast Guard, 11–21–72..... 23865

Contents

AGRICULTURAL MARKETING SERVICE

Rules and Regulations

Canned plums; standards for grades ---------- 23807
Grapefruit grown in Arizona and California; expenses, rate of assessment, and carryover of unexpended funds---------- 23819
Naval oranges grown in Arizona and California; handling limitations ---------- 23819
Specialty crops; importation and reporting requirements-------- 23819

AGRICULTURAL STABILIZATION AND CONSERVATION SERVICE

Rules and Regulations

Cotton, extra-long staple; acreage allotments and marketing quotas; correction---------- 23819

Proposed Rule Making

Tobacco; determinations on marketing quotas for 1973–74, 1974–75 and 1975–76 marketing years ---------- 23843

AGRICULTURE DEPARTMENT

See Agricultural Marketing Service; Agricultural Stabilization and Conservation Service; Animal and Plant Health Inspection Service; Commodity Credit Corporation; Federal Crop Insurance Corporation; Rural Electrification Administration.

ANIMAL AND PLANT HEALTH INSPECTION SERVICE

Rules and Regulations

Hog cholera and other communicable swine diseases:
Areas quarantined---------- 23821
Areas quarantined and released ---------- 23821
Meat inspection; sorbitol in cooked sausages---------- 23822

Notices

Humanely slaughtered livestock; identification of carcasses; list of establishments---------- 23853

ATOMIC ENERGY COMMISSION

Notices

Georgia Power Co.; order changing place of hearing conference on environmental issues------- 23866
Hochiki-America Corp.; issuance of byproduct material license-- 23866
Jersey Central Power & Light Co.; special prehearing conference-- 23867
Power Reactor Development Co.; reconstitution of Board------ 23866
Statitrol Corp.; issuance of amendment of byproduct material license---------- 23867

CIVIL AERONAUTICS BOARD

Proposed Rule Making

Specifically designated "smoking" areas aboard commercial aircraft; extension of time for filing comments---------- 23845

Notices

Hearings, etc.:
Montana Aeronautics Commission ---------- 23867
Nordair Ltee.-Nordair Ltd---- 23867
U.S. certificated carriers------ 23867

COAST GUARD

Rules and Regulations

Nonsparking fans; clarification of design charcteristics; correction ---------- 23838

Proposed Rule Making

Uninspected towing vessels; licensing of operators; extension of time for comments---------- 23845

Notices

Chemical Transportation Industry Advisory Committee; open meeting ---------- 23865

COMMERCE DEPARTMENT

See International Commerce Bureau.

COMMODITY CREDIT CORPORATION

Notices

Sales of certain commodities; monthly sales list---------- 23863

(*Continued on next page*)

ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY

Rules and Regulations

Air programs; approval and promulgation of implementation plans (2 documents) ---- 23836, 23837
Tolerances for pesticide chemicals in or on raw agricultural commodities:
2 - Chloro - 1 - (2,4 - dichlorophenyl) vinyl diethyl phosphate ---- 23837
Methomyl; correction ---- 23838
2 - (α - Naphtoxy) - *N,N* - diethylpropionamide ---- 23838

Proposed Rule Making

Toxicology guidelines; extension of time for filing comments ---- 23846

FEDERAL AVIATION ADMINISTRATION

Rules and Regulations

Alterations:
Control zone and transition area ---- 23823
Transition area ---- 23823
IFR altitudes; miscellaneous amendments ---- 23823
Standard instrument approach procedures; miscellaneous amendments ---- 23825

FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS COMMISSION

Rules and Regulations

Amateur Radio Service; renewal applications of alien permittees ---- 23840
Public Coast Stations and Limited Coast Stations; duplication of service and listening watches ---- 23838

Proposed Rule Making

Bandwidths available for intracity stations; alternative channel arrangement ---- 23846

FEDERAL CROP INSURANCE CORPORATION

Rules and Regulations

Crop insurance; counties designated for certain crops (21 documents) ---- 23811–23818

FEDERAL POWER COMMISSION

Proposed Rule Making

Certain annual report; information on future finance requirements; staff conference regarding rule making procedures ---- 23850
Imports and exports of natural gas; annual report form ---- 23849

Notices

National Power Survey; orders designating committee membership and chairmanship:
Coordinating Committee ---- 23868
Technical Advisory Committee on Fuels Task Force-Administrative ---- 23868
Hearings, etc.:
Atlantic Richfield Co ---- 23869
Atlantic Richfield Co. et al ---- 23874
Boise Cascade Corp ---- 23869
Kerr-McGee Corp ---- 23870
Maine Yankee Atomic Power Co ---- 23870
Orange and Rockland Utilities, Inc., and Rockland Electric Co ---- 23871
Otter Tail Power Co ---- 23871
Petro-Lewis Funds, Inc., and Partnership Properties Co ---- 23872
Phillips Petroleum Co ---- 23872
Southern Union Gathering Co ---- 23873
Tennessee Gas Pipeline Co. and Trunkline Gas Co ---- 23873
Transcontinental Gas Pipe Line Corp ---- 23873
Vermont Electric Power Co., Inc ---- 23873

FEDERAL RESERVE SYSTEM

Notices

BancOhio Corp.; acquisition of bank ---- 23853
Formation of one-bank holding companies:
Commerce Financial Corp ---- 23853
Utah Bancorporation ---- 23853

FEDERAL TRADE COMMISSION

Rules and Regulations

Nonadjudicative procedures; consent order procedure; disposition ---- 23825

FISH AND WILDLIFE SERVICE

Rules and Regulations

Mark Twain National Wildlife Refuge, Ill.; hunting (2 documents) ---- 23841
Tewaukon National Wildlife Refuge, N. Dak.; sport fishing ---- 23841

FOOD AND DRUG ADMINISTRATION

Rules and Regulations

Erythromycin stearate; change in moisture content ---- 23836

Proposed Rule Making

Troleandomycin oral suspension; upper potency limit ---- 23845

Notices

Drugs for human use; efficacy study implementations:
Certain antirheumatic drugs; release of evaluation reports ---- 23864
Nonradiopaque diagnostic drugs; mannitol injection ---- 23864

GENERAL SERVICES ADMINISTRATION

Notices

Shipment of Government employees' household goods; use of commuted rate schedule or actual expense method ---- 23874

HEALTH, EDUCATION, AND WELFARE DEPARTMENT

See also Food and Drug Administration; Health Services and Mental Health Administration; National Institutes of Health.

Notices

Advisory Committee on the Rights and Responsibilities of Women; public meetings:
Subcommittee on Health ---- 23865
Subcommittee on Internal Affairs ---- 23865

HEALTH SERVICES AND MENTAL HEALTH ADMINISTRATION

Notices

Regional Health Advisory Committee, Region VII, and Maternal and Child Health Service Research Grants Review Committee; public meeting and correction ---- 23864

INDIAN AFFAIRS BUREAU

Notices

Chemehuevi Indian Reservation, Ariz.; ordinance legalizing introduction, sale, or possession of intoxicants ---- 23852

INTERIOR DEPARTMENT

See Fish and Wildlife Service; Indian Affairs Bureau; Land Management Bureau.

INTERNATIONAL COMMERCE BUREAU

Notices

Felap G.m.b.H and Kurt Baerhold; order denying export privileges ---- 23863

INTERSTATE COMMERCE COMMISSION

Rules and Regulations

Car service:
Chicago, Milwaukee, St. Paul and Pacific Railroad Co ---- 23841
Railroad operating regulations for freight car movement ---- 23840

Notices

Assignment of hearings (2 documents) ---- 23880
Hearing dates and filing of briefs; postponements and extensions of time ---- 23882
Motor carrier, broker, water carrier and freight forwarder applications ---- 23883
Motor carrier temporary authority applications ---- 23881

JUSTICE DEPARTMENT

See Narcotics and Dangerous Drugs Bureau.

LABOR DEPARTMENT

See Manpower Administration.

LAND MANAGEMENT BUREAU

Rules and Regulations

Public land order; Idaho; correction ---------- 23838

Notices

Alaska; filing of plats of survey (3 documents) ---------- 23852
California; proposed withdrawal and reservation of lands ---------- 23853

MANPOWER ADMINISTRATION

Rules and Regulations

Extended unemployment compensation; determination of national and State indicators ---------- 23835

NARCOTICS AND DANGEROUS DRUGS BUREAU

Proposed Rule Making

Drug abuse prevention and control ---------- 23842

Notices

Aggregate production quotas:
Narcotics and cocaine ---------- 23851
Phenmetrazine (preludin) ---------- 23851

NATIONAL AERONAUTICS AND SPACE ADMINISTRATION

Notices

Research and Technology Advisory Committee on Aeronautical Operating Systems; meeting ---------- 23875

NATIONAL INSTITUTES OF HEALTH

Notices

Meetings:
National Cancer Advisory Board ---------- 23865
National Cancer Advisory Board Subcommittees ---------- 23865

RURAL ELECTRIFICATION ADMINISTRATION

Proposed Rule Making

Sales of capital assets by electric borrowers; policy and procedures ---------- 23844

SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION

Rules and Regulations

Informal procedures in preliminary or formal investigations ---------- 23829
Organization; change in title of Hearing Examiners ---------- 23826
Rules of practice; miscellaneous amendments ---------- 23827
Small offering exemption for fractional undivided interests in oil and gas rights ---------- 23829

Proposed Rule Making

Estimates, forecasts, or projections of economic performance; preliminary notice of public rule making proceeding ---------- 23850

Notices

Hearings, etc.:
Accurate Calculator Corp ---------- 23875
Airco, Inc., et al ---------- 23879
Delmarva Power & Light Co ---------- 23876
First World Corp ---------- 23877
Freeport Minerals Co. et al ---------- 23879
Georgia Power Co ---------- 23877
Giant Mascot Mines Ltd ---------- 23877
Marketing Communications, Inc ---------- 23878
Monarch General, Inc ---------- 23879
Power Conversion, Inc ---------- 23879
Topper Corp ---------- 23879
Trans-East Air, Inc ---------- 23879
University Computing Co ---------- 23880

SELECTIVE SERVICE SYSTEM

Notices

Selective Service forms; availability ---------- 23880

TRANSPORTATION DEPARTMENT

See Coast Guard; Federal Aviation Administration.

List of CFR Parts Affected

The following numerical guide is a list of the parts of each title of the Code of Federal Regulations affected by documents published in today's issue. A cumulative list of parts affected, covering the current month to date, appears following the Notices section of each issue beginning with the second issue of the month. In the last issue of the month the cumulative list will appear at the end of the issue.

A cumulative guide is published separately at the end of each month. The guide lists the parts and sections affected by documents published since January 1, 1972, and specifies how they are affected.

7 CFR

52 23807
401 (13 documents) 23811–23817
402 23818
403 23818
404 23818
406 23818
408 23818
409 23818
410 23818
413 23818
722 23819
907 23819
909 23819
999 23819

PROPOSED RULES:

724 23843
1701 23844

9 CFR

76 (2 documents) 23821
318 23822

14 CFR

71 (2 documents) 23823
95 23823
97 23825

PROPOSED RULES:

252 23845

16 CFR

2 23825

17 CFR

200 23826
201 23827
202 23829
230 23829
239 23829

PROPOSED RULES:

Ch. II 23850

18 CFR

PROPOSED RULES:

3 23849
141 23850
260 (2 documents) 23849, 23850

20 CFR

615 23835

21 CFR

148e 23836

PROPOSED RULES:

148m 23845
301 23842

40 CFR

52 (2 documents) 23836, 23837
180 (3 documents) 23837, 23838

PROPOSED RULES:

180 23846

43 CFR

PUBLIC LAND ORDERS:

5265 23838

46 CFR

110 23838

PROPOSED RULES:

10 23845

47 CFR

81 23838
97 23840

PROPOSED RULES:

78 23846

49 CFR

1033 (2 documents) 23840, 23841

50 CFR

32 (2 documents) 23841
33 23841

Rules and Regulations

Title 7—AGRICULTURE

Chapter I—Agricultural Marketing Service (Standards, Inspections, Marketing Practices), Department of Agriculture

PART 52—PROCESSED FRUITS AND VEGETABLES, PROCESSED PRODUCTS THEREOF, AND CERTAIN OTHER PROCESSED FOOD PRODUCTS

Subpart—U.S. Standards for Grades of Canned Plums [1]

On April 20, 1972, a notice of proposed rule making was published in the FEDERAL REGISTER (37 F.R. 7801) regarding a proposed revision of the U.S. Standards for Grades of Canned Plums.

Comments were received from one consumer group, the Oregon Consumer League of Portland, Oreg., and one individual consumer. Both of these endorsed the proposal in its entirety. No comments were received from the plum canning industry and no adverse comments were received from the Federal Food and Drug Administration. After consideration of all such relevant matters as was presented by interested persons, therefore, the proposed revision as so proposed is hereby adopted in its entirety.

Effective date. This revision is effective December 15, 1972, and thereupon will supersede the U.S. Standards for Grades of Canned Plums (7 CFR Part 52) which have been in effect since September 11, 1962.

Dated: November 2, 1972.

E. L. PETERSON,
Administrator,
Agricultural Marketing Service.

The revision is as follows:

PRODUCT DESCRIPTION, VARIETIES, STYLES, AND GRADES

Sec.
52.1781 Product description.
52.1782 Varietal types.
52.1783 Styles.
52.1784 Grades.

LIQUID MEDIA, FILL OF CONTAINER, DRAINED WEIGHTS, AND FILL WEIGHTS

52.1785 Liquid media and Brix measurements for canned plums.
52.1786 Recommended fill of container.
52.1787 Recommended minimum drained weight.
52.1788 Recommended fill weights.

SAMPLE UNIT SIZE

52.1789 Sample unit size.

FACTORS OF QUALITY

52.1790 Ascertaining the grade of a sample unit.
52.1791 Ascertaining the rating for the factors which are scored.
52.1792 Color.
52.1793 Uniformity of size.
52.1794 Defects.
52.1795 Character.

ALLOWANCES FOR QUALITY FACTORS

52.1796 Allowances for quality factors.

LOT COMPLIANCE

52.1797 Ascertaining the grade of a lot.

SCORE SHEET

52.1798 Score sheet for canned plums.

AUTHORITY: The provisions of this subpart issued under sec. 205, 60 Stat. 1090 as amended; 7 U.S.C. 1624.

PRODUCT DESCRIPTION, VARIETIES, STYLES, AND GRADES

§ 52.1781 Product description.

"Canned plums," as defined in the standards of identity for canned fruits (21 CFR 27.45) issued pursuant to the Federal Food, Drug, and Cosmetic Act, is the food prepared from mature plums of the domestic (Prunus domestica L.) varietal groups; are packed in water or plum juice with or without nutritive sweetening ingredients; and are sealed in a container and so processed by heat as to prevent spoilage. The food may be seasoned with one or more of the optional ingredients permitted under the Federal Food, Drug, and Cosmetic Act.

§ 52.1782 Varietal types.

(a) Purple plum groups.
(b) Green-yellow plum groups.

§ 52.1783 Styles.

(a) "Whole, unpeeled, unpitted."
(b) "Whole, peeled, unpitted."
(c) "Whole, unpeeled pitted."
(d) "Whole, peeled, pitted."
(e) "Halves, unpeeled, pitted."
(f) "Halves, peeled, pitted."

§ 52.1784 Grades.

(a) "U.S. Grade A" or "U.S. Fancy" is the quality of canned plums that:

(1) Have similar varietal characteristics;
(2) Have a normal flavor and odor;
(3) Have a good, practically uniform color;
(4) Are at least reasonably uniform in size;
(5) Are practically free from defects;
(6) Have a good character; and
(7) Score not less than 90 points when scored in accordance with the scoring system outlined in this subpart.

(b) "U.S. Grade B" or "U.S. Choice" is the quality of canned plums that:

(1) Have similar varietal characteristics;
(2) Have a normal flavor and odor;
(3) Have a reasonably good and reasonably uniform color;
(4) Are at least fairly uniform in size;
(5) Are reasonably free from defects;
(6) Have a reasonably good character; and
(7) Score not less than 80 points when scored in accordance with the scoring system outlined in this subpart.

(c) "U.S. Grade C" or "U.S. Standard" is the quality of canned plums that:

(1) Have similar varietal characteristics;
(2) Have a normal flavor and odor;
(3) Have a fairly good color;
(4) Are fairly uniform in size;
(5) Are fairly free from defects;
(6) Have a fairly good character; and
(7) Score not less than 70 points when scored in accordance with the scoring system outlined in this subpart.

(d) "Substandard" is the quality of canned plums that fail to meet the requirements of U.S. Grade C.

LIQUID MEDIA, FILL OF CONTAINER, DRAINED WEIGHTS, AND FILL WEIGHTS

§ 52.1785 Liquid media and Brix measurements for canned plums.

"Cut-out" requirements for liquid media in canned plums are not incorporated in the grades of the finished product since sirup or any other liquid medium, as such, is not a factor of quality for the purposes of these grades. The "cut-out" Brix measurements for the particular varieties and respective designations are specified in Table I:

TABLE I—BRIX REQUIREMENTS

Designations	Brix measurement	
	Purple plum groups	Other varieties
Extra heavy sirup or extra heavy plum juice sirup.	26° or more but not more than 35°.	24° or more but not more than 35°.
Heavy sirup or heavy plum juice sirup.	21° or more but less than 26°.	19° or more but less than 24°.
Light sirup or light plum juice sirup.	18° or more but less than 21°.	16° or more but less than 19°.
Slightly sweetened water or slightly sweetened plum juice.	Less than 18°.	Less than 16°.
In water	Not applicable.	Not applicable.
In plum juice	do	Do.

§ 52.1786 Recommended fill of container.

The recommended fill of container is not incorporated in the grades of the finished product since fill of container, as such, is not a factor of quality for the purposes of these grades. It is recommended that each container be filled with plums as full as practicable without impairment of quality, and that the product and packing medium occupy not

[1] Compliance with the provisions of these standards shall not excuse failure to comply with the provisions of the Federal Food, Drug, and Cosmetic Act or with applicable State laws and regulations.

less than 90 percent of the volume of the container.

§ 52.1787 Recommended minimum drained weight.

(a) *General.* (1) The minimum drained weight recommendations specified in Table II are not incorporated in the grades of the finished product since drained weight, as such, is not a factor of quality for the purposes of these grades. (2) The recommended minimum drained weights are based on equalization of the product 30 days or more after the product has been canned.

(b) *Method for ascertaining drained weights.* The drained weight is determined by emptying the contents of the container upon a U.S. Standard No. 8 circular sieve of proper diameter containing 8 meshes to the inch (0.0937-inch ±3 percent, square openings) so as to distribute the product evenly, turning the pit cavities down in halves, inclining the sieve slightly to facilitate drainage, and allowing to drain for 2 minutes. The drained weight is the weight of the sieve and plums less the weight of the dry sieve. A sieve 8 inches in diameter is used for the equivalent of No. 3 size cans (404 x 414) and smaller, and a sieve 12 inches in diameter is used for containers larger than the equivalent of the No. 3 size can.

(c) *Compliance with recommended drained weights.* A lot of canned plums is considered as meeting the minimum drained weight recommendations if the following criteria are met:

(1) The average of the drained weights from all the sample units in the sample meets the recommended minimum average drained weight (designated as "$\overline{X}_d$" in Table II); and

(2) The number of sample units which fail to meet the recommended drained weight lower limit for individuals (designated as "LL" in Table II does not exceed the applicable acceptance number specified in the single sampling plan contained in the Regulations Governing Inspection and Certification of Processed Fruits and Vegetables and Related Products.

TABLE II

RECOMMENDED MINIMUM DRAINED WEIGHTS

Container size (metal, unless otherwise stated)	In any liquid medium							
	Purple				Green, yellow			
	Halved unpeeled (ounces)		Whole unpeeled (ounces)		Whole peeled (ounces)		Whole unpeeled (ounces)	
	LL	$\overline{X}_d$	LL	$\overline{X}_d$	LL	$\overline{X}_d$	LL	$\overline{X}_d$
8 Z tall (211 x 304) and 8 Z glass	4.1	4.7	3.6	4.2	4.1	4.7	3.9	4.5
No. 303 (303 x 406) and No. 303 glass	8.9	9.7	8.1	9.0	8.5	9.4	8.1	9.0
No. 2 (307 x 409):								
Any count	11.1	12.0			10.5	11.5	9.9	10.9
Less than 17			9.5	10.5				
17 or more			10.0	11.0				
No. 2½ (401 x 411):								
Any count	15.8	17.0			15.4	16.7	15.0	16.3
16 or less			14.2	15.5				
17 to 22			14.7	16.0				
23 or more			15.2	16.5				
No. 2½ Glass:								
Any count	15.7	16.8			15.2	16.5	14.7	16.0
16 or less			13.7	15.0				
17 or more			14.2	15.5				
No. 10 (603 x 700):								
Any count	60.8	63.0			59.9	62.4	58.3	60.8
Less than 70			57.5	60.0				
70 or more			59.5	62.0				

§ 52.1788 Recommended fill weights.

(a) *General.* The minimum fill weight recommendations specified in Table III are not incorporated in the grades of the finished product since fill weight, as such, is not a factor of quality for the purposes of these grades.

(b) *Method for ascertaining fill weight.* Fill weight is determined in accordance with the U.S. Department of Agriculture's "Variables Control Chart Plan" and adaptations thereto, as applicable to processed fruits and vegetables and related products.

(c) *Definitions of terms and symbols.* "Subgroup" means a group of sample units representing a portion of a sample.

$\overline{X}'_{min}$ means the minimum lot average fill weight.

$LWL_{\bar{x}}$ means the lower warning limit for subgroup averages.

$LRL_{\bar{x}}$ means the lower reject limit for subgroup averages.

LWL means the lower warning limit for individual fill weight measurements.

LRL means the lower reject limit for individual fill weight measurements

$\overline{R}'$ means a specified average range value.

R_{max} means a specified maximum range for a subgroup.

Sampling allowance code means a code letter on the Sampling Allowance Chart of the Variables Control Chart Plan. This letter identifies the appropriate line which gives the amount of sampling allowance to be applied to the specification average for fill weights in order to determine compliance with requirements for fill weight averages for a sample.

(d) *Subgroup size.* The subgroup size for the determination of fill weights shall be 5 containers.

(e) *Sampling frequency.* (1) Small lots—for lots consisting of 100 cases or less which require 4 hours or more to pack use the "optional fill weight procedure" contained in the Instructions for Adaptation of the Variables Control Chart Plan to Fill Weights.

(2) Other than small lots—draw at least one subgroup per code approximately every 40 minutes.

(f) *Compliance with recommended fill weights.* Compliance with the recommended fill weights shall be in accordance with the acceptance criteria specified in the U.S. Department of Agriculture's "Variables Control Chart Plan" and adaptations thereto, as applicable to processed fruits and vegetables and related products.

TABLE III

RECOMMENDED FILL WEIGHT VALUES

Container designation (metal unless otherwise stated.)	Purple plums, whole, unpeeled							Sampling allowance code
	$\overline{X}_{min}$	$LWL_{\bar{x}}$	$LRL_{\bar{x}}$	LWL	LRL	$\overline{R}'$	R_{max}	
8 Z tall (211 x 304) and 8 Z glass	4.6	4.1	3.8	3.5	2.9	1.3	2.70	J
No. 303 (303 x 406) and No. 303 glass	9.8	9.2	8.8	8.4	7.7	1.6	3.4	M
No. 2 (307 x 409) less than 17	11.5	10.8	10.4	9.9	9.1	1.9	3.9	O
17 or more	12.0	11.3	10.9	10.4	9.6	1.9	3.9	
No. 2½ (401 x 411):								
Less than 17	17.0	16.1	15.6	15.0	14.0	2.3	4.9	
17 to 22	17.5	16.6	16.1	15.5	14.5	2.3	4.9	
23 or more	18.0	17.1	16.6	16.0	15.0	2.3	4.9	S
No. 2½ Glass:								
Less than 17	16.5	15.6	15.1	14.5	13.5	2.3	4.9	
17 or more	17.0	16.1	15.6	15.0	14.0	2.3	4.9	
No. 10 (603 x 700):								
Less than 70	66.0	64.5	63.7	62.6	60.9	4.0	8.4	Z
70 or more	68.0	67.5	66.7	65.6	63.9	4.0	8.4	
PURPLE PLUMS, HALVED, PEELED AND UNPEELED								
8 Z tall and 8 Z glass	5.2	4.7	4.5	4.2	3.7	1.2	2.5	I
No. 303 and No. 303 glass	10.7	10.2	9.9	9.5	8.9	1.4	3.0	K
No. 2	13.3	12.7	12.3	11.9	11.2	1.6	3.4	M
No. 2½	18.7	17.9	17.5	16.9	16.0	2.1	4.4	Q
No. 2½ glass	18.5	17.7	17.3	16.7	15.8	2.1	4.4	
No. 10	70.0	68.7	68.0	67.0	65.5	3.5	7.4	X
8 Z tall (211 x 304) and 8 Z glass	5.1	4.6	4.3	4.0	3.4	1.3	2.7	J
No. 303 (303 x 406) and No. 303 glass	10.0	9.4	9.0	8.6	7.9	1.6	3.4	M
No. 2 (307 x 409)	12.2	11.5	11.1	10.6	9.8	1.9	3.9	O
No. 2½ (401 x 411)	18.2	17.3	16.8	16.2	15.2	2.3	4.9	S
No. 2½ glass	17.9	17.0	16.5	15.9	14.9	2.3	4.9	
No. 10 (603 x 700)	67.0	65.5	64.7	63.6	61.9	4.0	8.4	Z

TABLE III—Continued

GREEN-YELLOW PLUMS, WHOLE, PEELED

8 Z tall and 8 Z glass	5.3	4.8	4.5	4.2	3.6	1.3	2.7	J
No. 303 and No. 303 glass	10.5	9.9	9.5	9.1	8.4	1.6	3.4	M
No. 2	12.8	12.1	11.7	11.2	10.4	1.9	3.9	O
No. 2½	18.7	17.8	17.3	16.7	15.7	2.3	4.9	S
No. 2½ glass	18.4	17.5	17.0	16.4	15.4	2.3	4.9	S
No. 10	68.8	67.3	66.5	65.4	63.7	4.0	8.4	Z

SAMPLE UNIT SIZE

§ 52.1789 Sample unit size.

Compliance with requirements for the various quality factors is based on the following sample unit size for the applicable style:

(a) Whole—25 whole plums.

(b) Halves—50 halves.

FACTORS OR QUALITY

§ 52.1790 Ascertaining the grade of a sample unit.

(a) *General.* In addition to considering other requirements outlined in the standards the following quality factors are evaluated:

(1) *Factors not rated by score points.*

(i) Varietal characteristics.

(ii) Flavor and ordor.

(2) *Factors rated by score points.* The relative importance of each factor which is scored is expressed numerically on the scale of 100. The maximum number of points that may be given such factors are:

Factors:	Points
Color	20
Uniformity of size	20
Defects	30
Character	30
Total score	100

(b) *Definition of flavor and odor.* "Normal flavor and odor" means that the product is free from objectionable flavors and objectionable odors of any kind.

§ 52.1791 Ascertaining the rating for the factors which are scored.

The essential variations within each factor which is scored are so described that the value may be ascertained for each factor and expressed numerically. The numerical range within each factor which is scored is inclusive (for example, "18 to 20 points" means 18, 19, or 20 points).

§ 52.1792 Color.

(a) *General.* The factor of color refers to the color of the skin and any exposed flesh typical for the varietal group and to the intensity and brightness of such characteristic color. Characteristic mottling on the skin for the varietal group is considered as typical color and not as lacking in uniformity of color.

(b) *Definitions of color*—(1) *Well colored.* The color is bright and well developed, typical of well-matured plums. Any exposed flesh is at least reasonably bright and with respect to purple plums the packing media is a practically clear and highly colored purple liquid.

(2) *Reasonably well colored.* The color is reasonably bright and reasonably well developed, typical of reasonably well-matured plums. Any exposed flesh is at least fairly bright and with respect to purple plums and packing media is a reasonably clear and reasonably high-colored purple liquid.

(3) *Fairly well colored.* The color is fairly bright and fairly well developed, typical of fairly well-matured plums. Any exposed flesh may be lightly dull.

(4) *Poorly colored.* The color may be dull, poorly developed, typical of immature plums, including the "dead brown" color of canned purple plums. Any exposed flesh may be excessively dull; or the plums may be off-color due to improper processing.

(c) (*A*) *classification.* Canned plums that possess a good color may be given a score of 18 to 20 points. "Good color" means that the plums are well colored and as a mass possess a practically uniform color, typical of a single varietal group; and the number of reasonably well colored plums does not exceed the number specified for the style in § 52.1796.

(d) (*B*) *classification.* Canned plums that possess a reasonably good color may be given a score of 16 or 17 points. Canned plums that fall into this classification shall not be graded above U.S. Grade B, regardless of the total score (this is a limiting rule). "Reasonably good color" means that the plums are reasonably well colored and as a mass possess a reasonably uniform color, typical of a single varietal group; and the number of fairly well colored units does not exceed the number specified for the style in § 52.1796.

(e) (*C*) *classification.* Canned plums that possess a fairly good color may be given a score of 14 or 15 points. Canned plums that fall into this classification shall not be graded above U.S. Grade C regardless of the total score for the product (this is a limiting rule). "Fairly good color" means that the plums may be at least fairly well colored; possess a color typical of a single varietal group which have been properly processed; that such characteristic color may be markedly variable; and the number of poorly colored plums does not exceed the number specified for the style in § 52.1796.

(f) (*SStd*) *classification.* Canned plums that fail to meet the requirements of paragraph (e) of this section may be given a score of 0 to 13 points and shall not be graded above Substandard, regardless of the total score for the product (this is a limiting rule).

§ 52.1793 Uniformity of size.

(a) *General.* Compliance with uniformity of size is ascertained by determining the percent by which the weight of the largest full-sized unit exceeds the weight of the smallest full-sized unit in the sample unit. Plums of halved style that are not cleanly divided into halves shall be separated into two approximately equal halves before ascertaining uniformity of size.

(b) (*A*) *classification.* Canned plums that are practically uniform in size may be given a score of 18 to 20 points. "Practically uniform in size" means that the number of units that are in excess of the maximum weight variation does not exceed the number specified for the style for U.S. Grade A in § 52.1796.

(c) (*B*) *classification.* Canned plums that are reasonably uniform in size may be given a score of 16 or 17 points. "Reasonable uniform in size" means that the number of units that are in excess of the maximum weight variation does not exceed the number specified for the style for U.S. Grade B in § 52–1796.

(d) (*C*) *classification.* Canned plums that are fairly uniform in size may be given a score of 14 or 15 points. Canned plums that fall into this classification shall not be classified above U.S. Grade B, regardless of the total score (this is a partial limiting rule). "Fairly uniform in size" means that the number of units that are in excess of the maximum weight variation does not exceed the number specified for the style for U.S. Grade C in § 52.1796.

(e) (*SStd*) *classification.* Canned plums that fail to meet the requirements of paragraph (d) of this section may be given a score of 0 to 13 points and shall not be graded above substandard, regardless of the total score (this is a limiting rule).

§ 52.1794 Defects.

(a) *General.* The factor of defects refers to the degree of freedom from stems, leaves, crushed or broken units, pits or loose pits for the applicable style—damaged and seriously damaged units—and from any other defects not specifically mentioned which detract from the appearance or edibility of the product.

(b) *Definitions.* (1) A "unit," in whole style, means a whole or substantially whole plum. In halved style, any whole or partially whole plum is separated into two approximately equal halves and each half therefrom is considered a "unit."

(2) "Small stem" means the small stem or portion thereof that attaches the plum to the branch of the tree.

(3) "Harmless extraneous material" means leaves or portions thereof or other harmless plant material.

(4) "Crushed or broken" means that a unit bears marks of crushing or is otherwise crushed or broken not due to ripeness. In whole style, plums that possess broken skins or that are split to the pit cavity are not considered crushed or broken unless the entire pit cavity is exposed or the unit is mutilated to the extent that it is not intact as a whole or substantially whole plum. In halved style, halves of plums that are slightly split are not considered crushed or broken unless the unit is seriously mutilated.

(5) A "pit" means a whole pit or any portion thereof. In whole style, only "loose pits" are considered as defects. In halved style, pits are considered as defects whether loose or attached to a unit.

(6) "Damaged" means any injury which, singly or in the aggregate on a unit or in a unit (except for internal gummosis), materially affects the appearance of the unit and includes, but is not limited to:

(i) Surface areas blemished by sunburn, scab, or other discoloration (exclusive of characteristic mottling having an aggregate area exceeding that of a circle three-sixteenths of an inch in diameter not extending into the fruit tissue and materially affects the appearance or eating quality of the unit.

(ii) Surface areas blemished by sunburn, scab, or other serious discoloration (exclusive of characteristic mottling) having an aggregate area equivalent of, or less than, that of a circle three-sixteenths of an inch in diameter and extending into the fruit tissue so that the flesh is materially discolored or toughened;

(iii) Abnormalities, such as "doubles" and growth cracks, but not "shriveled" areas;

(iv) External gummosis.

(7) "Seriously damaged" means any damage that seriously affects the appearance or edibility of the unit, regardless of area.

(c) (*A*) *classification.* Canned plums that are practically free from defects may be given a score of 27 to 30 points. "Practically free from defects" means that the number of defects that may be present does not exceed the number specified for the style and respective type of defect in § 52.1796.

(d) (*B*) *classification.* Canned plums that are reasonably free from defects may be given a score of 24 to 26 points. Canned plums that fall into this classification shall not be graded above U.S. Grade B, regardles of the total score for the product (this is a limiting rule). "Reasonably free from defects" means that the number of defects that may be present does not exceed the number specified for the style and respective type of defect in § 52.1796.

(e) (*C*) *classification.* Canned plums that are fairly free from defects may be given a score of 21 to 23 points. Canned plums that fall into this classification shall not be graded above U.S. Grade C, regardless of the total score for the product (this is a limiting rule). "Fairly free from defects" means that the number of defects that may be present does not exceed the number specified for the style and respective type of defect in § 52.1796.

(f) (*SStd*) *classification.* Canned plums that fail to meet the requirements of paragraph (e) of this section may be given a score of 0 to 20 points and shall not be graded above Substandard, regardless of the total score for the product (this is a limiting rule).

§ 52.1795 Character.

(a) *General.* The factor of character refers to the texture and condition of the flesh, to the tenderness and firmness of the plums, and to shriveled areas of the skin.

(b) *Definitions*—(1) *Good character.* The units are thick-fleshed, tender, may be soft but hold their apparent original conformation, and otherwise possess a good texture of both skin and flesh characteristic of canned plums that have been properly processed from well-ripened or well-matured plums.

(2) *Reasonably good character.* The units may be reasonably fleshy, may be variable in texture from soft to slightly firm, and otherwise possess a reasonably good texture of both skin and flesh characteristic of canned plums that have been properly processed from reasonably well-ripened or reasonably well-matured plums.

(3) *Fairly good character.* The units may be thin fleshed, possess a fairly good texture of both skin and flesh, may be variable in texture from very soft to slightly tough but are not so soft as to show material disintegration, and may possess shriveled areas that materially affect, but do not seriously affect, the appearance of the product.

(4) *Poor character.* The units may be very thin fleshed, possess a poor texture of both skin and flesh, may be variable in texture from mushy to more than slightly tough, and may possess shriveled areas that seriously affect the appearance of the product.

(c) (*A*) *classification.* Canned plums that possess a good character may be given a score of 27 to 30 points. To score in this classification the number of units that are of reasonably good character shall not exceed the number specified for the style in § 52.1796.

(d) (*B*) *classification.* Canned plums that possess a reasonable good character may be given a score of 24 to 26 points. Canned plums that fall into this classification shall not be graded above "U.S. Grade B," regardless of the total score for the product (this is a limiting rule). To score in this classification the number of units that are of fairly good character shall not exceed the number specified for the style in § 52.1796.

(e) (*C*) *classification.* Canned plums that possess a fairly good character may be given a score of 21 to 23 points. Canned plums that fall into this classification shall not be graded above U.S. Grade C, regardless of the total score for the product (this is a limiting rule). To score in this classification the number of units that are of poor character shall not exceed the number specified for the style in § 52.1796.

(f) (*SStd*) *classification.* Canned plums that fail to meet the requirements of paragraph (e) of this section may be given a score of 0 to 20 points and shall not be graded above Substandard, regardless of the total score for the product (this is a limiting rule).

ALLOWANCES FOR QUALITY FACTORS

§ 52.1796 Allowances for quality factors.

TABLE IV

STYLE—WHOLE SAMPLE UNIT SIZE—25 UNITS

Factors	Maximum number of units permissible for respective grade					
	A		B		C	
Color:						
Reasonably good	2		No limit		No limit	
Fairly good	0		4		No limit	
Poor	0		0		4	
Uniformity of size:						
Variation in weight	1		No limit		No limit	
Exceeds 50%	0		1		No limit	
Exceeds 75%	0		0		1	
Exceeds 100%						
	Ind [2]	Avg [3]	Ind.	Avg.	Ind.	Avg.
Absence of defects:						
Harmless extr neous material	0	0	1	0.2	1	0.4
Small stem	1	0.6	1	N/A [1]	2	N/A
Crushed or broken	0	0	1	N/A	3	N/A
Loose pits	1	N/A	2	N/A	3	N/A
Damaged and seriously damaged	2	N/A	4	N/A	5	N/A
	including		including		including	
Seriously damaged	1	N/A	1	N/A	2	N/A
Character:						
Reasonably good	2		No limit		No limit	
Fairly good	0		5		No limit	
Poor	0		0		5	

[1] N/A—Means not applicable.
[2] Ind—Means individual sample unit.
[3] Avg—Means average of all the sample units in the sample.

TABLE V—STYLE—HALVES SAMPLE UNIT SIZE—50 UNITS

Factors	Maximum number of units permissible for respective grade					
	A		B		C	
Color:						
Reasonably good color	5		No limit		No limit	
Fairly good	0		7		No limit	
Poor color	0		0		4	
Uniformity of size:						
Variation in weight:						
Exceeds 50%	3		No limit		No limit	
Exceeds 75%	0		3		No limit	
Exceeds 100%	0		0		3	
	Ind [2]	Avg [3]	Ind	Avg	Ind	Avg
Absence of defects:						
Harmless extraneous material	0	0	1	0.2	1	0.4
Small stem	1	0.6	1	N/A	2	N/A [1]
Crushed or broken	0	0	2	N/A	5	N/A
Pits	1	0.5	1	N/A	2	N/A
Damaged and seriously damaged	5	0	7	N/A	10	N/A
Seriously damaged	including 1	N/A	including 2	N/A	including 5	N/A
Character:						
Reasonably good	5		No limit		No limit	
Fairly good	0		10		No limit	
Poor	0		0		10	

[1] N/A—Means not applicable.
[2] Ind—Means individual sample unit.
[3] Avg—Means average of all the sample units in the sample.

LOT COMPLIANCE

§ 52.1797 Ascertaining the grade of a lot.

The grade of a lot of canned plums covered by these standards is determined by the procedures set forth in the Regulations Governing Inspection and Certification of Processed Fruits and Vegetables, Processed Products Thereof, and Certain Other Processed Food Products (§§ 52.1 through 52.87).

SCORE SHEET

§ 51.1798 Score sheet for canned plums.

Size and kind of container
Container mark or identification
Label
Net weight (ounces)
Vacuum (inches)
Count
Drained weight (ounces)
Brix measurement
Sirup designation (extra heavy, heavy, etc.)
Varietal type
Style (whole) (halves)

Factors			Score points
Color	20	(A)	18–20
		(B)	[1] 16–17
		(C)	[1] 14–15
		(SStd)	[1] 0–13
Uniformity of size	0	(A)	18–20
		(B)	16–17
		(C)	[2] 14–15
		(SStd)	[1] 0–20
Defects	30	(A)	27–30
		(B)	[1] 24–26
		(C)	[1] 21–23
		(SStd)	[1] 0–20
Character	30	(A)	27–30
		(B)	[1] 24–26
		(C)	[1] 21–23
		(SStd)	[1] 0–20
Total score	100		

Normal flavor and odor
Grade

[1] Indicates limiting rule.
[2] Indicates partial limiting rule.

Dated: April 13, 1972.

G. R. GRANGE,
Acting Administrator.

[FR Doc.72–19106 Filed 11–8–72;8:47 am]

Chapter IV—Federal Crop Insurance Corporation, Department of Agriculture

PART 401—FEDERAL CROP INSURANCE

Subpart—Regulations for the 1969 and Succeeding Crop Years

APPENDIX; COUNTIES DESIGNATED FOR COMBINED CROP INSURANCE

Pursuant to authority contained in § 401.101 of the above-identified regulations, as amended, the following counties have been designated for combined crop insurance for the 1973 crop year. The crops on which insurance is offered are shown opposite the name of the county.

STATE AND COUNTY—CROP(S)

North Dakota: Barnes, barley, flax, oats, rye, wheat; Grand Forks, barley, flax, oats, wheat; Pierce, barley, flax, oats, rye, wheat; Ransom, barley, corn, flax, oats, wheat; Richland, barley, corn, flax, oats, rye, soybeans, wheat; Sargent, barley, corn, flax, oats, wheat; Steele, barley, flax, oats, and wheat.

(Secs. 506, 516, 52 Stat. 73, as amended, 77, as amended; 7 U.S.C. 1506, 1516)

[SEAL] D. W. MCELWRATH,
Acting Manager, Federal Crop Insurance Corporation.

[FR Doc.72–19248 Filed 11–8–72;8:50 a.m.]

PART 401—FEDERAL CROP INSURANCE

Subpart—Regulations for the 1969 and Succeeding Crop Years

APPENDIX; COUNTIES DESIGNATED FOR CORN CROP INSURANCE

Pursuant to authority contained in § 401.101 of the above-identified regulations, as amended, the following counties have been designated for corn crop insurance for the 1973 crop year.

ALABAMA	
De Kalb.	Marshall.
Jackson.	
COLORADO	
Boulder.	Morgan.
Kit Carson.	Sedgwick.
Larimer.	Washington.
Logan.	Weld.
DELAWARE	
Kent.	Sussex.
New Castle.	
FLORIDA	
Suwannee.	
GEORGIA	
Colquitt.	
ILLINOIS	
Adams.	Livingston.
Bond.	Logan.
Brown.	McDonough.
Bureau.	McLean.
Carroll.	Macon.
Cass.	Macoupin.
Champaign.	Madison.
Christian.	Marshall.
Clark.	Mason.
Clinton.	Menard.
Coles.	Mercer.
Crawford.	Monroe.
Cumberland.	Montgomery.
De Kalb.	Morgan.
De Witt.	Moultrie.
Douglas.	Ogle.
Edgar.	Peoria.
Effingham.	Piatt.
Fayette.	Pike.
Ford.	Putnam.
Fulton.	St. Clair.
Greene.	Sangamon.
Grundy.	Schuyler.
Hancock.	Scott.
Henderson.	Shelby.
Henry.	Stark.
Iroquois.	Stephenson.
Jasper.	Tazewell.
Jefferson.	Vermilion.
Jersey.	Warren.
Jo Daviess.	Washington.
Kankakee.	Wayne.
Kendall.	Whiteside.
Knox.	Winnebago.
La Salle.	Woodford.
Lee.	
INDIANA	
Adams.	Kosciusko.
Allen.	Lagrange.
Bartholomew.	Madison.
Benton.	Marion.
Blackford.	Marshall.
Boone.	Miami.
Carroll.	Montgomery.
Cass.	Morgan.
Clay.	Newton.
Clinton.	Noble.
Decatur.	Parke.
De Kalb.	Pulaski.
Delaware.	Putnam.
Elkhart.	Randolph.
Fayette.	Ripley.
Fountain.	Rush.
Fulton.	Shelby.
Gibson.	Sullivan.
Grant.	Tippecanoe.
Hamilton.	Tipton.
Hancock.	Union.
Hendricks.	Vermillion.
Henry.	Vigo.
Howard.	Wabash.
Huntington.	Warren.
Jackson.	Wayne.
Jasper.	Wells.
Jay.	White.
Johnson.	Whitley.
Knox.	

IOWA

Adair.
Adams.
Allamakee.
Appanoose.
Audubon.
Benton.
Black Hawk.
Boone.
Bremer.
Buchanan.
Buena Vista.
Butler.
Calhoun.
Carroll.
Cass.
Cedar.
Cerro Gordo.
Cherokee.
Chickasaw.
Clarke.
Clay.
Clayton.
Clinton.
Crawford.
Dallas.
Decatur.
Delaware.
Des Moines.
Dickinson.
Dubuque.
Emmet.
Fayette.
Floyd.
Franklin.
Fremont.
Greene.
Grundy.
Guthrie.
Hamilton.
Hancock.
Hardin.
Harrison.
Henry.
Howard.
Humboldt.
Ida.
Iowa.
Jackson.
Jasper.
Jefferson.
Johnson.
Jones.
Keokuk.
Kossuth.
Lee.
Linn.
Louisa.
Lucas.
Lyon.
Madison.
Mahaska.
Marion.
Marshall.
Mills.
Mitchell.
Monona.
Monroe.
Montgomery.
Muscatine.
O'Brien.
Osceola.
Page.
Palo Alto.
Plymouth.
Pocahontas.
Polk.
Pottawattamie.
Poweshiek.
Sac.
Scott.
Shelby.
Sioux.
Story.
Tama.
Taylor.
Union.
Wapello.
Warren.
Washington.
Webster.
Winnebago.
Winneshiek.
Woodbury.
Worth.
Wright.

KANSAS

Atchison.
Bourbon.
Brown.
Cheyenne.
Crawford.
Doniphan.
Douglas.
Finney.
Franklin.
Grant.
Gray.
Greeley.
Haskell.
Jackson.
Jefferson.
Johnson.
Kearny.
Linn.
Marshall.
Meade.
Miami.
Nemaha.
Osage.
Pottawatomie.
Scott.
Seward.
Shawnee.
Sheridan.
Sherman.
Stanton.
Stevens.
Thomas.
Wallace.
Washington.
Wichita.

KENTUCKY

Christian.
Daviess.
Henderson.
Hopkins.
McLean.
Todd.
Union.

LOUISIANA

Pointe Coupee.

MARYLAND

Caroline.
Kent.
Queen Annes.
Talbot.

MICHIGAN

Branch.
Calhoun.
Cass.
Clinton.
Eaton.
Gratiot.
Hillsdale.
Ingham.
Ionia.
Jackson.
Kalamazoo.
Lenawee.
Livingston.
Monroe.
Saginaw.
St. Clair.
St. Joseph.
Shiawassee.
Tuscola.
Washtenaw.

MINNESOTA

Big Stone.
Blue Earth.
Brown.
Carver.
Chippewa.
Cottonwood.
Dakota.
Dodge.
Douglas.
Faribault.
Fillmore.
Freeborn.
Goodhue.
Grant.
Houston.
Jackson.
Kandiyohi.
Lac qui Parle.
Le Sueur.
Lincoln.
Lyon.
McLeod.
Martin.
Meeker.
Mower.
Murray.
Nicollet.
Nobles.
Olmsted.
Pipestone.
Pope.
Redwood.
Renville.
Rice.
Rock.
Scott.
Sibley.
Stearns.
Steele.
Stevens.
Swift.
Todd.
Traverse.
Wabasha.
Waseca.
Washington.
Watonwan.
Winona.
Wright.
Yellow Medicine.

MISSISSIPPI

Tippah.

MISSOURI

Adair.
Andrew.
Atchison.
Audrain.
Barton.
Bates.
Boone.
Buchanan.
Butler.
Caldwell.
Callaway.
Cape Girardeau.
Carroll.
Cass.
Chariton.
Clark.
Clinton.
Cooper.
Daviess.
De Kalb.
Dunklin.
Franklin.
Gentry.
Grundy.
Harrison.
Henry.
Holt.
Howard.
Jackson.
Jasper.
Johnson.
Knox.
Lafayette.
Lawrence.
Lewis.
Lincoln.
Linn.
Livingston.
Macon.
Marion.
Mississippi.
Monroe.
Montgomery.
New Madrid.
Nodaway.
Pemiscot.
Pettis.
Pike.
Platte.
Ralls.
Randolph.
Ray.
St. Charles.
Saline.
Scotland.
Scott.
Shelby.
Stoddard.
Sullivan.
Vernon.
Worth.

NEBRASKA

Adams.
Antelope.
Boone.
Burt.
Butler.
Cass.
Cedar.
Chase.
Clay.
Colfax.
Cuming.
Dixon.
Dodge.
Fillmore.
Gage.
Hall.
Hamilton.
Johnson.
Kearney.
Knox.
Lancaster.
Lincoln.
Madison.
Merrick.
Nemaha.
Otoe.
Pawnee.
Phelps.
Pierce.
Platte.
Polk.
Richardson.
Saline.
Saunders.
Scotts Bluff.
Seward.
Stanton.
Thayer.
Washington.
Wayne.
York.

NORTH CAROLINA

Anson.
Beaufort.
Hyde.
Nash.
Pamlico.
Pitt.
Robeson.
Rowan.
Union.
Washington.

NORTH DAKOTA

Cass.
Ransom.
Richland.
Sargent.

OHIO

Allen.
Ashland.
Auglaize.
Butler.
Champaign.
Clark.
Clinton.
Crawford.
Darke.
Defiance.
Delaware.
Erie.
Fairfield.
Fayette.
Franklin.
Fulton.
Greene.
Hancock.
Hardin.
Henry.
Highland.
Huron.
Knox.
Licking.
Logan.
Lucas.
Madison.
Marion.
Medina.
Mercer.
Miami.
Montgomery.
Morrow.
Ottawa.
Paulding.
Pickaway.
Preble.
Putnam.
Richland.
Sandusky.
Seneca.
Shelby.
Union.
Van Wert.
Wayne.
Williams.
Wood.
Wyandot.

OKLAHOMA

Texas.

PENNSYLVANIA

Adams.
Chester.
Cumberland.
Dauphin.
Franklin.
Lancaster.
Lebanon.
Perry.
York.

SOUTH DAKOTA

Aurora.
Beadle.
Bon Homme.
Brookings.
Charles Mix.
Clark.
Clay.
Codington.
Davison.
Day.
Deuel.
Douglas.
Grant.
Hamlin.
Hanson.
Hutchinson.
Kingsbury.
Lake.
Lincoln.
McCook.
Miner.
Minnehaha.
Moody.
Roberts.
Sanborn.
Turner.
Union.
Yankton.

TENNESSEE

Franklin.
Obion.

TEXAS

Castro.
Moore.

VIRGINIA

Nansemond.
Southampton.

WISCONSIN

Barron.
Buffalo.
Calumet.
Clark.
Columbia.
Crawford.
Dane.
Dodge.
Dunn.
Fond du Lac.

WISCONSIN—Continued

Grant.	Racine.
Green.	Richland.
Iowa.	Rock.
Jackson.	St. Croix.
Jefferson.	Sauk.
Kenosha.	Trempealeau.
La Crosse.	Vernon.
Lafayette.	Walworth.
Pepin.	Waukesha.
Pierce.	Winnebago.
Polk.	

WYOMING

Goshen.

(Secs. 506, 516, 52 Stat. 73, as amended, 77, as amended; 7 U.S.C. 1506, 1516)

[SEAL] D. W. MCELWRATH,
Acting Manager,
Federal Crop Insurance Corporation.

[FR Doc.72-19249 Filed 11-8-72;8:50 am]

PART 401—FEDERAL CROP INSURANCE

Subpart—Regulations for the 1969 and Succeeding Crop Years

APPENDIX; COUNTIES DESIGNATED FOR DRY BEAN CROP INSURANCE

Pursuant to authority contained in § 401.101 of the above-identified regulations, as amended, the following counties have been designated for dry bean crop insurance for the 1973 crop year. The class(es) of beans on which insurance is offered is shown opposite the name of the county.

State and County	*Class(es) of dry beans insured*
COLORADO:	
Boulder	Pinto.
Larimer	Do.
Logan	Do.
Morgan	Do.
Sedgwick	Do.
Washington	Do.
Weld	Do.
IDAHO:	
Ada	Great Northern, pink, pinto, red kidney, small red.[1]
Canyon	Do.[1]
Cassia	Do.[1]
Gooding	Do.[1]
Jerome	Do.[1]
Lincoln	Do.[1]
Minidoka	Do.[1]
Owyhee	Do.
Twin Falls	Do.[1]
MICHIGAN:	
Bay	Pea and medium white.
Gratiot	Do.
Huron	Do.
Saginaw	Do.
St. Clair	Do.
Sanilac	Do.
Shiawassee	Do.
Tuscola	Do.
NEBRASKA:	
Box Butte	Great Northern, pink, pinto.
Morrill	Do.
Scotts Bluff	Do.
Sheridan	Do.
WASHINGTON:	
Adams	Great Northern, pink, pinto, small flat whites, small red.
Franklin	Do.
Grant	Do.

[1] Insurance is also provided on bush varieties of garden seed beans.

State and County	*Class(es) of dry beans insured*
WYOMING:	
Big Horn	Great Northern, pinto.
Goshen	Do.
Park	Do.
Platte	Do.
Washakie	Do.

(Secs. 506, 516, 52 Stat. 73, as amended, 77, as amended; 7 U.S.C. 1506, 1516)

[SEAL] D. W. MCELWRATH,
Acting Manager,
Federal Crop Insurance Corporation.

[FR Doc.72-19244 Filed 11-8-72;8:49 am]

PART 401—FEDERAL CROP INSURANCE

Subpart—Regulations for the 1969 and Succeeding Crop Years

APPENDIX; COUNTIES DESIGNATED FOR FLAX CROP INSURANCE

Pursuant to authority contained in § 401.101 of the above-identified regulations, as amended, the following counties have been designated for flax crop insurance for the 1973 crop year.

MINNESOTA

Becker.	Otter Tail.
Big Stone.	Pennington.
Chippewa.	Pipestone.
Clay.	Polk.
Grant.	Pope.
Kittson.	Red Lake.
Lac qui Parle.	Redwood.
Lincoln.	Roseau.
Lyon.	Stevens.
Mahnomen.	Swift.
Marshall.	Traverse.
Murray.	Wilkin.
Nobles.	Yellow Medicine.
Norman.	

NORTH DAKOTA

Barnes.	Mountrail.
Benson.	Nelson.
Bottineau.	Pembina.
Burleigh.	Pierce.
Cass.	Ramsey.
Cavalier.	Ransom.
Dickey.	Renville.
Eddy.	Richland.
Emmons.	Rolette.
Foster.	Sargent.
Grand Forks.	Sheridan.
Griggs.	Steele.
Kidder.	Stutsman.
La Moure.	Towner.
Logan.	Traill.
McHenry.	Walsh.
McIntosh.	Ward.
McLean.	Wells.

SOUTH DAKOTA

Brookings.	Hamlin.
Brown.	Kingsbury.
Campbell.	Lake.
Clark.	McPherson.
Codington.	Marshall.
Corson.	Miner.
Day.	Moody.
Deuel.	Roberts.
Edmunds.	Walworth.
Grant.	

(Secs. 506, 516, 52 Stat. 73, as amended, 77, as amended; 7 U.S.C. 1506, 1516)

[SEAL] D. W. MCELWRATH,
Acting Manager, Federal Crop Insurance Corporation.

[FR Doc.72-19250 Filed 11-8-72;8:50 am]

PART 401—FEDERAL CROP INSURANCE

Subpart—Regulations for the 1969 and Succeeding Crop Years

APPENDIX; COUNTIES DESIGNATED FOR OAT CROP INSURANCE

Pursuant to authority contained in § 401.101 of the above-identified regulations, as amended, the following counties have been designated for oat crop insurance for the 1973 crop year.

CALIFORNIA

Modoc.

ILLINOIS

Bureau.	Jo Daviess.
Carroll.	Ogle.
Henry.	Stephenson.

IOWA

Adair.	Jasper.
Adams.	Jefferson.
Allamakee.	Johnson.
Appanoose.	Jones.
Audubon.	Keokuk.
Benton.	Kossuth.
Black Hawk.	Lee.
Boone.	Linn.
Bremer.	Louisa.
Buchanan.	Lucas.
Buena Vista.	Lyon.
Butler.	Madison.
Calhoun.	Mahaska.
Carroll.	Marion.
Cass.	Marshall.
Cedar.	Mills.
Cerro Gordo.	Mitchell.
Cherokee.	Monona.
Chickasaw.	Monroe.
Clarke.	Montgomery.
Clay.	Muscatine.
Clayton.	O'Brien.
Clinton.	Osceola.
Crawford.	Page.
Dallas.	Palo Alto.
Decatur.	Plymouth
Delaware.	Pocahontas.
Des Moines.	Polk.
Dickinson.	Pottawattamie.
Dubuque.	Poweshiek.
Emmet.	Sac.
Fayette.	Scott.
Floyd.	Shelby.
Franklin.	Sioux.
Fremont.	Story.
Greene.	Tama.
Grundy.	Taylor.
Guthrie.	Union.
Hamilton.	Wapello.
Hancock.	Warren.
Hardin.	Washington.
Harrison.	Webster.
Henry.	Winnebago.
Howard.	Winneshiek.
Humboldt.	Woodbury.
Ida.	Worth.
Iowa.	Wright.
Jackson.	

MINNESOTA

Becker.	Freeborn.
Big Stone.	Goodhue.
Blue Earth.	Grant.
Brown.	Houston.
Carver.	Jackson.
Chippewa.	Kandiyohi.
Clay.	Kittson.
Cottonwood.	Lac Qui Parle.
Dakota.	Le Sueur.
Dodge.	Lincoln.
Douglas.	Lyon.
Faribault.	McLeod.
Fillmore.	Mahnomen.

MINNESOTA—Continued

Marshall.	Rock.
Martin.	Roseau.
Meeker.	Scott.
Mower.	Sibley.
Murray.	Stearns.
Nicollet.	Steele.
Nobles.	Stevens.
Norman.	Swift.
Olmsted.	Todd.
Otter Tail.	Traverse.
Pennington.	Wabasha.
Pipestone.	Waseca.
Polk.	Washington.
Pope.	Watonwan.
Red Lake.	Wilkin.
Redwood.	Winona.
Renville.	Wright.
Rice.	Yellow Medicine.

NORTH DAKOTA

Barnes.	Nelson.
Benson.	Pembina.
Burleigh.	Pierce.
Cass.	Ramsey.
Cavalier.	Ransom.
Dickey.	Richland.
Eddy.	Sargent.
Foster.	Stark.
Grand Forks.	Steele.
Griggs.	Stutsman.
Kidder.	Towner.
La Moure.	Traill.
Logan.	Walsh.
Morton.	

OREGON

Klamath.

PENNSYLVANIA

Chester.	Dauphin.
Cumberland.	Perry.

SOUTH DAKOTA

Aurora.	Hutchinson.
Beadle.	Kingsbury.
Bon Homme.	Lake.
Brookings.	Lincoln.
Brown.	McCook.
Charles Mix.	Marshall.
Clark.	Miner.
Clay.	Minnehaha.
Codington.	Moody.
Davison.	Roberts.
Day.	Sanborn.
Deuel.	Spink.
Douglas.	Turner.
Grant.	Union.
Hamlin.	Yankton.
Hanson.	

WISCONSIN

Barron.	La Crosse.
Buffalo.	Lafayette.
Calumet.	Pepin.
Clark.	Pierce.
Columbia.	Polk.
Crawford.	Racine.
Dane.	Richland.
Dodge.	Rock.
Dunn.	St. Croix.
Fond du Lac.	Sauk.
Grant.	Trempealeau.
Green.	Vernon.
Iowa.	Walworth.
Jackson.	Waukesha.
Jefferson.	Winnebago.
Kenosha.	

WYOMING

Big Horn.	Washakie.
Park.	

(Secs. 506, 516, 52 Stat. 73, as amended, 77, as amended; 7 U.S.C. 1506, 1516)

[SEAL] D. W. McELWRATH,
Acting Manager, Federal Crop Insurance Corporation.

[FR Doc.72-19251 Filed 11-8-72;8:50 am]

PART 401—FEDERAL CROP INSURANCE

Subpart—Regulations for the 1969 and Succeeding Crop Years

APPENDIX; COUNTIES DESIGNATED FOR PEA (CANNING AND FREEZING) CROP INSURANCE

Pursuant to authority contained in § 401.101 of the above-identified regulations, as amended, the following counties have been designated for pea (canning and freezing) crop insurance for the 1973 crop year.

IDAHO

Franklin.	Nez Perce.

MINNESOTA

Blue Earth.	Nicollet.
Brown.	Olmsted.
Dakota.	Redwood.
Dodge.	Renville.
Faribault.	Rice.
Freeborn.	Scott.
Goodhue.	Sibley.
Kandiyohi.	Steele.
Le Sueur.	Wabasha.
McLeod.	Waseca.
Martin.	Watonwan.
Meeker.	Winona.
Mower.	

OREGON

Umatilla.	Union.

UTAH

Box Elder.	Salt Lake.
Cache.	Utah.
Davis.	Weber.

WASHINGTON

Columbia.	Whitman.
Walla Walla.	

WISCONSIN

Calumet.	Fond du Lac.
Columbia.	Trempealeau.
Dane.	Winnebago.
Dodge.	

(Secs. 506, 516, 52 Stat. 73, as amended, 77, as amended; 7 U.S.C. 1506, 1516)

[SEAL] D. W. McELWRATH,
Acting Manager, Federal Crop Insurance Corporation.

[FR Doc.72-19253 Filed 11-8-72;8:50 am]

PART 401—FEDERAL CROP INSURANCE

Subpart—Regulations for the 1969 and Succeeding Crop Years

APPENDIX; COUNTIES DESIGNATED FOR PEA (DRY) CROP INSURANCE

Pursuant to authority contained in § 401.101 of the above-identified regulations, as amended, the following counties have been designated for pea (dry) crop insurance for the 1973 crop year.

IDAHO

Benewah.	Lewis.
Kootenai.	Nez Perce.
Latah.	

OREGON

Umatilla.	Union.

WASHINGTON

Adams.	Spokane.
Columbia.	Walla Walla.
Franklin.	Whitman.
Grant.	

(Secs. 506, 516, 52 Stat. 73, as amended, 77, as amended; 7 U.S.C. 1506, 1516)

[SEAL] D. W. McELWRATH,
Acting Manager, Federal Crop Insurance Corporation.

[FR Doc.72-19254 Filed 11-8-72;8:50 am]

PART 401—FEDERAL CROP INSURANCE

Subpart—Regulations for the 1969 and Succeeding Crop Years

APPENDIX; COUNTIES DESIGNATED FOR PEANUT CROP INSURANCE

Pursuant to authority contained in § 401.101 of the above-identified regulations, as amended, the following counties have been designated for peanut crop insurance for the 1973 crop year. The type(s) of peanuts on which insurance is offered in each county is shown opposite the county name.

ALABAMA

Barbour—Runner, Southeast Spanish, Virginia.
Coffee—Runner, Southeast Spanish, Virginia.
Conecuh—Runner, Southeast Spanish, Virginia.
Covington—Runner, Southeast Spanish, Virginia.
Crenshaw—Runner, Southeast Spanish, Virginia.
Dale—Runner, Southeast Spanish, Virginia.
Geneva—Runner, Southeast Spanish, Virginia.
Henry—Runner, Southeast Spanish, Virginia.
Houston—Runner, Southeast Spanish, Virginia.
Pike—Runner, Southeast Spanish, Virginia.

FLORIDA

Jackson—Runner, Southeast Spanish, Virginia.

GEORGIA

Baker—Runner, Southeast Spanish, Virginia.
Ben Hill—Runner, Southeast Spanish, Virginia.
Bulloch—Runner, Southeast Spanish, Virginia.
Calhoun—Runner, Southeast Spanish, Virginia.
Clay—Runner, Southeast Spanish, Virginia.
Coffee—Runner, Southeast Spanish, Virginia.
Colquitt—Runner, Southeast Spanish, Virginia.
Cook—Runner, Southeast Spanish, Virginia.
Crisp—Runner, Southeast Spanish, Virginia.
Decatur—Runner, Southeast Spanish, Virginia.
Dooly—Runner, Southeast Spanish, Virginia.
Early—Runner, Southeast Spanish, Virginia.
Houston—Runner, Southeast Spanish, Virginia.
Irwin—Runner, Southeast Spanish, Virginia.
Lee—Runner, Southeast Spanish, Virginia.
Miller—Runner, Southeast Spanish, Virginia.
Mitchell—Runner, Southeast Spanish, Virginia.
Randolph—Runner, Southeast Spanish, Virginia.
Sumter—Runner, Southeast Spanish, Virginia.
Terrell—Runner, Southeast Spanish, Virginia.
Thomas—Runner, Southeast Spanish, Virginia.
Tift—Runner, Southeast Spanish, Virginia.
Toombs—Runner, Southeast Spanish, Virginia.
Turner—Runner, Southeast Spanish, Virginia.
Worth—Runner, Southeast Spanish, Virginia.

NORTH CAROLINA

Bertie—Virginia.
Bladen—Virginia.
Chowan—Virginia.
Edgecombe—Virginia.
Gates—Virginia.
Halifax—Virginia.
Hertford—Virginia.
Martin—Virginia.
Nash—Virginia.
Northampton—Virginia.
Pitt—Virginia.
Washington—Virginia.

OKLAHOMA

Caddo—Southwest Spanish.
Grady—Southwest Spanish.

TEXAS

Atascosa—Southwest Spanish.
Comanche—Southwest Spanish.
Eastland—Southwest Spanish.
Erath—Southwest Spanish.
Frio—Southwest Spanish.
Hood—Southwest Spanish.
Wilson—Southwest Spanish.

VIRGINIA

Dinwiddie—Virginia.
Greensville—Virginia.
Isle of Wight—Virginia.
Nansemond—Virginia.
Prince George—Virginia.
Southampton—Virginia.
Surry—Virginia.
Sussex—Virginia.

(Secs. 506, 516, 52 Stat. 73, as amended, 77, as amended; 7 U.S.C. 1506, 1516)

[SEAL] D. W. MCELWRATH,
Acting Manager, Federal Crop Insurance Corporation.

[FR Doc.72-19256 Filed 11-8-72;8:50 am]

PART 401—FEDERAL CROP INSURANCE

Subpart—Regulations for the 1969 and Succeeding Crop Years

APPENDIX; COUNTIES DESIGNATED FOR RICE CROP INSURANCE

Pursuant to authority contained in § 401.101 of the above-identified regulations, as amended, the following counties have been designated for rice crop insurance for the 1973 crop year.

ARKANSAS

Arkansas.
Ashley.
Chicot.
Clay.
Craighead.
Crittenden.
Cross.
Desha.
Greene.
Jackson.
Jefferson.
Lonoke.
Monroe.
Poinsett.
Prairie.
St. Francis.
Woodruff.

LOUISIANA

Acadia.
Calcasieu.
Evangeline.
Jefferson Davis.
St. Landry.

MISSISSIPPI

Bolivar.
Washington.

(Secs. 506, 516, 52 Stat. 73, as amended, 77, as amended; 7 U.S.C. 1506, 1516)

[SEAL] D. W. MCELWRATH,
Acting Manager, Federal Corp Insurance Corporation.

[FR Doc.72-19258 Filed 11-8-72;8:50 am]

PART 401—FEDERAL CROP INSURANCE

Subpart—Regulations for the 1969 and Succeeding Crop Years

APPENDIX; COUNTIES DESIGNATED FOR SOYBEAN CROP INSURANCE

Pursuant to authority contained in § 401.101 of the above-identified regulations, as amended, the following counties have been designed for soybean crop insurance for the 1973 crop year.

ALABAMA

Baldwin.
Escambia.
Jackson.
Lawrence.
Limestone.
Madison.
Morgan.
Shelby.
Talladega.

ARKANSAS

Arkansas.
Ashley.
Chicot.
Clay.
Craighead.
Crittenden.
Cross.
Desha.
Greene.
Jackson.
Jefferson.
Lawrence.
Lee.
Lincoln.
Lonoke.
Mississippi
Monroe.
Phillips.
Poinsett.
Prairie.
Randolph.
St. Francis.
Woodruff.

DELAWARE

Kent.
New Castle.
Sussex.

GEORGIA

Houston.

ILLINOIS

Adams.
Bond.
Brown.
Bureau.
Carroll.
Cass.
Champaign.
Christian.
Clark.
Clinton.
Coles.
Crawford.
Cumberland.
De Kalb.
De Witt.
Douglas.
Edgar.
Effingham.
Fayette.
Ford.
Fulton.
Greene.
Grundy.
Hancock.
Henderson.
Henry.
Iroquois.
Jasper.
Jefferson.
Jersey.
Jo Daviess.
Kankakee.
Kendall.
Knox.
La Salle.
Lee.
Livingston.
Logan.
McDonough.
McLean.
Macon.
Macoupin.
Madison.
Marshall.
Mason.
Menard.
Mercer.
Monroe.
Montgomery.
Morgan.
Moultrie.
Ogle.
Peoria.
Piatt.
Pike.
Putnam.
St. Clair.
Sangamon.
Schuyler.
Scott.
Shelby.
Stark.
Stephenson.
Tazewell.
Vermilion.
Warren.
Washington.
Wayne.
Whiteside.
Winnebago.
Woodford.

INDIANA

Adams.
Allen.
Bartholomew.
Benton.
Blackford.
Boone.
Carroll.
Cass.
Clay.
Clinton.
Decatur.
De Kalb.
Delaware.
Elkhart.
Fayette.
Fountain.
Fulton.
Gibson.
Grant.
Hamilton.
Hancock.
Hendricks.
Henry.
Howard.
Huntington.
Jackson.
Jasper.
Jay.
Johnson.
Knox.
Kosciusko.
Lagrange.
Madison.
Marion.
Marshall.
Miami.
Montgomery.
Morgan.
Newton.
Noble.
Parke.
Pulaski.
Putnam.
Randolph.
Ripley.
Rush.
Shelby.
Sullivan.
Tippecanoe.
Tipton.
Union.
Vermillion.
Vigo.
Wabash.
Warren.
Wayne.
Wells.
White.
Whitley.

INDIANA—Continued

IOWA

Adair.
Adams.
Appanoose.
Allamakee.
Audubon.
Benton.
Black Hawk.
Boone.
Bremer.
Buchanan.
Buena Vista.
Butler.
Calhoun.
Carroll.
Cass.
Cedar.
Cerro Gordo.
Cherokee.
Chickasaw.
Clarke.
Clay.
Clayton.
Clinton.
Crawford.
Dallas.
Decatur.
Delaware.
Des Moines.
Dickinson.
Dubuque.
Emmet.
Fayette.
Floyd.
Franklin.
Fremont.
Greene.
Grundy.
Guthrie.
Hamilton.
Hancock.
Hardin.
Harrison.
Henry.
Howard.
Humboldt.
Ida.
Iowa.
Jackson.
Jasper.
Jefferson.
Johnson.
Jones.
Keokuk.
Kossuth.
Lee.
Linn.
Louisa.
Lucas.
Lyon.
Madison.
Mahaska.
Marion.
Marshall.
Mills.
Mitchell.
Monona.
Monroe.
Montgomery.
Muscatine.
O'Brien.
Osceola.
Page.
Palo Alto.
Plymouth.
Pocahontas.
Polk.
Pottawattamie.
Poweshiek.
Sac.
Scott.
Shelby.
Sioux.
Story.
Tama.
Taylor.
Union.
Wapello.
Warren.
Washington.
Webster.
Winnebago.
Winneshiek.
Woodbury.
Worth.
Wright.

KANSAS

Allen.
Anderson.
Atchison.
Bourbon.
Brown.
Cherokee.
Coffey.
Crawford.
Doniphan.
Douglas.
Franklin.
Johnson.
Labette.
Linn.
Lyon.
Miami.
Neosho.
Osage.
Wilson.
Woodson.

KENTUCKY

Calloway.
Daviess.
Fulton.
Graves.

KENTUCKY—Continued

Henderson.
Hopkins.
McLean.
Ohio.
Union.

LOUISIANA

Acadia.
Avoyelles.
Bossier.
Caddo.
Calcasieu.
Caldwell.
Catahoula.
Concordia.
East Carroll.
Evangeline.
Franklin.
Jefferson Davis.
Madison.
Morehouse.
Natchitoches.
Pointe Coupee.
Rapides.
Red River.
Richland.
St. Landry.
Tensas.
West Carroll.

MARYLAND

Caroline.
Kent.
Queen Annes.
Talbot.

MICHIGAN

Branch.
Cass.
Clinton.
Gratiot.
Hillsdale.
Lenawee.
Monroe.
Saginaw.
St. Joseph.
Shiawassee.
Washtenaw.

MINNESOTA

Becker.
Big Stone.
Blue Earth.
Brown.
Carver.
Chippewa.
Clay.
Cottonwood.
Dakota.
Dodge.
Douglas.
Faribault.
Fillmore.
Freeborn.
Goodhue.
Grant.
Houston.
Jackson.
Kandiyohi.
Lac qui Parle.
Le Sueur.
Lincoln.
Lyon.
McLeod.
Martin.
Meeker.
Mower.
Murray.
Nicollet.
Nobles.
Norman.
Olmstead.
Otter Tail.
Pipestone.
Pope.
Redwood.
Renville.
Rice.
Rock.
Scott.
Sibley.
Stearns.
Steele.
Stevens.
Swift.
Todd.
Traverse.
Wabasha.
Waseca.
Washington.
Watonwan.
Wilkin.
Winona.
Wright.
Yellow Medicine.

MISSISSIPPI

Benton.
Bolivar.
Calhoun.
Carroll.
Chickasaw.
Coahoma.
De Soto.
Holmes.
Humphreys.
Issaquena.
Lee.
Leflore.
Monroe.
Panola.
Prentiss.
Quitman.
Sharkey.
Sunflower.
Tallahatchie.
Tippah.
Tunica.
Union.
Washington.
Yazoo.

MISSOURI

Adair.
Andrew.
Atchison.
Audrain.
Barton.
Bates.
Boone.
Buchanan.
Butler.
Caldwell.
Callaway.
Cape Girardeau.
Carroll.
Cass.
Chariton.
Clark.
Clinton.
Cooper.
Daviess.
De Kalb.
Dunklin.
Gentry.
Grundy.
Harrison.
Henry.
Holt.
Howard.
Jackson.

MISSOURI—Continued

Jasper.
Johnson.
Knox.
Lafayette.
Lewis.
Lincoln.
Linn.
Livingston.
Macon.
Marion.
Mississippi.
Monroe.
Montgomery.
New Madrid.
Nodaway.
Pemiscot.
Pettis.
Pike.
Platte.
Ralls.
Randolph.
Ray.
St. Charles.
Saline.
Scotland.
Scott.
Shelby.
Stoddard.
Sullivan.
Vernon.
Worth.

NEBRASKA

Cass.
Colfax.
Cuming.
Dodge.
Lancaster.
Nemaha.
Otoe.
Richardson.
Saunders.
Washington.
Wayne.

NORTH CAROLINA

Anson.
Beaufort.
Craven.
Hyde.
Johnston.
Jones.
Pamlico.
Pitt.
Robeson.
Union.
Washington.

NORTH DAKOTA

Cass.
Richland.
Traill.

OHIO

Allen.
Ashland.
Auglaize.
Butler.
Champaign.
Clark.
Clinton.
Crawford.
Darke.
Defiance.
Delaware.
Erie.
Fairfield.
Fayette.
Franklin.
Fulton.
Greene.
Hancock.
Hardin.
Henry.
Highland.
Huron.
Knox.
Licking.
Logan.
Lucas.
Madison.
Marion.
Medina.
Mercer.
Miami.
Montgomery.
Morrow.
Ottawa.
Paulding.
Pickaway.
Preble.
Putnam.
Richland.
Sandusky.
Seneca.
Shelby.
Union.
Van Wert.
Wayne.
Williams.
Wood.
Wyandot.

OKLAHOMA

Craig.
Ottawa.

SOUTH CAROLINA

Aiken.
Allendale.
Bamberg.
Barnwell.
Calhoun.
Clarendon.
Darlington.
Dillon.
Dorchester.
Florence.
Hampton.
Horry.
Kershaw.
Lee.
Lexington.
Marion.
Marlboro.
Orangeburg.
Sumter.
Williamsburg.

SOUTH DAKOTA

Bon Homme.
Brookings.
Charles Mix.
Clay.
Deuel.
Grant.
Hamlin.
Hutchinson.
Kingsbury.
Lake.
Lincoln.
McCook.
Minnehaha.
Moody.
Roberts.
Turner.
Union.
Yankton.

TENNESSEE

Carroll.
Chester.
Crockett.
Dyer.
Fayette.
Gibson.
Hardeman.
Haywood.
Lake.
Lauderdale.
Madison.
Obion.
Shelby.
Tipton.
Weakley.

VIRGINIA

Nansemond.
Southampton.

WISCONSIN

Buffalo.
Dunn.
Jackson.
Jefferson.
Kenosha.
Pepin.
Pierce.
Polk.
Racine.
Rock.
St. Croix.
Trempealeau.
Walworth.

(Secs. 506, 516, 52 Stat. 73, as amended, 77, as amended; 7 U.S.C. 1506, 1516)

[SEAL] D. W. MCELWRATH,
Acting Manager, Federal Crop Insurance Corporation.

[FR Doc.72-19259 Filed 11-8-72;8:51 am]

PART 401—FEDERAL CROP INSURANCE

Subpart—Regulations for the 1969 and Succeeding Crop Years

APPENDIX; COUNTIES DESIGNATED FOR SUGAR BEET CROP INSURANCE

Pursuant to authority contained in § 401.101 of the above-identified regulations, as amended, the following counties have been designated for sugar beet crop insurance for the 1973 crop year.

CALIFORNIA

Imperial.

COLORADO

Adams.
Boulder.
Kit Carson.
Larimer.
Logan.
Morgan.
Sedgwick.
Weld.

IDAHO

Ada.
Bannock.
Bingham.
Bonneville.
Canyon.
Cassia.
Franklin.
Jerome.
Minidoka.
Owyhee.
Power.
Twin Falls.

KANSAS

Finney.
Grant.
Kearny.
Sherman.
Stanton.
Wallace.
Wichita.

MICHIGAN

Bay.
Saginaw.
Tuscola.

MINNESOTA

Clay.
Kittson.
Marshall.
Norman.
Polk.
Wilkin.

MONTANA

Big Horn.
Carbon.
Custer.
Dawson.
Prairie.
Richland.
Rosebud.
Stillwater.
Treasure.
Yellowstone.

NORTH DAKOTA

Cass.	Richland.
Grand Forks.	Traill.
McKenzie.	Walsh.
Pembina.	Williams.

OHIO

Hancock.	Putnam.
Henry.	Sandusky.
Lucas.	Wood.
Ottawa.	

OREGON

Malheur.

UTAH

Box Elder.	Salt Lake.
Cache.	Utah.
Davis.	Weber.

WASHINGTON

Adams.	Grant.
Benton.	Yakima.
Franklin.	

WYOMING

Big Horn.	Park.
Goshen.	Washakie.

(Secs. 506, 516, 52 Stat. 73, as amended, 77 as amended; 7 U.S.C. 1506, 1516)

[SEAL] D. W. MCELWRATH,
Acting Manager, Federal Crop Insurance Corporation.

[FR Doc.72-19260 Filed 11-8-72;8:51 am]

PART 401—FEDERAL CROP INSURANCE

Subpart—Regulations for the 1969 and Succeeding Crop Years

APPENDIX; COUNTIES DESIGNATED FOR TOBACCO CROP INSURANCE

Pursuant to authority contained in § 401.101 of the above-identified regulations, as amended, the following counties have been designated for tobacco crop insurance for the 1973 crop year. The type(s) of tobacco on which insurance is offered in each county is shown opposite the county name.

FLORIDA

Alachua	14	Madison	14
Columbia	14	Suwanee	14
Hamilton	14		

GEORGIA

Appling	14	Jeff Davis	14
Atkinson	14	Lanier	14
Bacon	14	Lowndes	14
Ben Hill	14	Mitchell	14
Berrien	14	Pierce	14
Brantley	14	Tattnall	14
Brooks	14	Thomas	14
Bulloch	14	Tift	14
Candler	14	Toombs	14
Coffee	14	Turner	14
Colquitt	14	Ware	14
Cook	14	Wayne	14
Decatur	14	Worth	14
Irwin	14		

KENTUCKY

Adair	31	Boyle	31
Allen	31, 35	Bracken	31
Anderson	31	Breckinridge	31
Barren	31	Caldwell	22, 31, 35
Bath	31	Calloway	23, 35
Boone	31	Carroll	31
Bourbon	31	Casey	31

KENTUCKY—Continued

Christian	22, 31, 35	Mercer	31
Clark	31	Metcalfe	31
Daviess	31, 36	Montgomery	31
Fayette	31	Muhlenberg	22, 31, 3
Fleming	31	Muhlenberg	22, 31, 35
Franklin	31	Nelson	31
Grant	31	Nicholas	31
Garrard	31	Ohio	31, 36
Graves	23, 31, 35	Owen	31
Green	31	Pendleton	31
Harrison	31	Pulaski	31
Hart	31	Robertson	31
Henderson	31, 36	Russell	31
Henry	31	Scott	31
Hopkins	31, 36	Shelby	31
Jessamine	31	Simpson	22, 31, 35
Larue	31	Spencer	31
Lewis	31	Taylor	31
Lincoln	31	Todd	22, 31, 35
Logan	22, 31, 35	Trigg	22, 31, 35
McLean	31, 36	Warren	31, 35
Madison	31	Washington	31
Marion	31	Wayne	31
Marshall	23, 31, 35	Woodford	31
Mason	31		
Meade	31		

MISSOURI

Buchanan	31	Platte	31

NORTH CAROLINA

Alamance	11a	Lee	11b
Alexander	11a	Lenoir	12
Beaufort	12	Madison	31
Bertie	12	Martin	12
Bladen	13	Mitchell	31
Brunswick	13	Montgomery	11b
Buncombe	31	Moore	11b
Carteret	12	Nash	12
Caswell	11a	Northampton	12
Chatham	11b	Onslow	12
Chowan	12	Orange	11b
Columbus	13	Pamlico	12
Craven	12	Pender	12
Cumberland	13	Person	11a
Davidson	11a	Pitt	12
Davie	11a	Randolph	11a
Duplin	12	Richmond	11b
Durham	11b	Robeson	13
Edgecombe	12	Rockingham	11a
Forsyth	11a	Sampson	12
Franklin	11b	Scotland	13
Gates	12	Stokes	11a
Granville	11b	Surry	11a
Greene	12	Vance	11b
Guilford	11a	Wake	11b
Halifax	12	Warren	11b
Harnett	11b	Washington	12
Haywood	31	Wayne	12
Hertford	12	Wilkes	11a
Hoke	13	Wilson	12
Iredell	11a	Yadkin	11a
Johnston	12	Yancey	31
Jones	12		

OHIO

Adams	31	Highland	31
Brown	31		

PENNSYLVANIA

Lancaster	41		

SOUTH CAROLINA

Chesterfield	13	Kershaw	13
Clarendon	13	Lee	13
Darlington	13	Marion	13
Dillon	13	Marlboro	13
Dorchester	13	Orangeburg	13
Florence	13	Sumter	13
Georgetown	13	Williamsburg	13
Horry	13		

TENNESSEE

Anderson	31	Carter	31
Blount	31	Claiborne	31

TENNESSEE—Continued

Cocke	31	Marshall	31
De Kalb	31	Maury	31
Dickson	22	Monroe	31
Franklin	31	Montgomery	22, 31
Giles	31	Obion	23, 35
Grainger	31	Putnam	31
Greene	31	Robertson	22, 31, 35
Hamblen	31	Sevier	31
Hancock	31	Smith	31
Hawkins	31	Stewart	22, 31
Jackson	31	Sullivan	31
Jefferson	31	Sumner	22, 31, 35
Johnson	31	Trousdale	31
Knox	31	Unicoi	31
Lawrence	31	Washington	31
Lincoln	31	Weakley	23, 35
Loudon	31	Wilson	31
McMinn	31	White	31
Macon	31, 35	Williamson	31

VIRGINIA

Amelia	11a, 21	Nansemond	11a
Appomattox	11a, 21	Nottoway	11a, 21
Brunswick	11a, 21	Pittsylvania	11a
Campbell	11a, 21	Prince Edward	11a, 21
Charlotte	11a, 21	Prince George	11a
Cumberland	11a, 21	Russell	31
Dinwiddie	11a, 21	Scott	31
Franklin	11a	Smyth	31
Greensville	11a	Southampton	11a
Halifax	11a	Sussex	11a
Lee	31	Washington	31
Lunenberg	11a, 21		
Mecklenburg	11a		

WISCONSIN

Crawford	55	Richland	55
Dane	54	Trempealeau	55
La Crosse	55	Vernon	55

(Secs. 506, 516, 52 Stat. 73, as amended, 77, as amended; 7 U.S.C. 1506, 1516)

[SEAL] D. W. MCELWARTH,
Acting Manager, Federal Crop Insurance Corporation.

[FR Doc.72-19261 Filed 11-8-72;8:51 am]

PART 401—FEDERAL CROP INSURANCE

Subpart—Regulations for the 1969 and Succeeding Crop Years

APPENDIX; COUNTIES DESIGNATED FOR TOMATO CROP INSURANCE

Pursuant to authority contained in § 401.101 of the above-identified regulations, as amended, the following counties have been designated for tomato crop insurance for the 1973 crop year.

OHIO

Darke.	Ottawa.
Fulton.	Putnam.
Henry.	Sandusky.
Lucas.	Wood.

UTAH

Box Elder.	Utah.
Davis.	Weber.
Salt Lake.	

(Secs. 506, 516, 52 Stat. 73, as amended, 77, as amended; 7 U.S.C. 1506, 1516)

[SEAL] D. W. MCELWRATH,
Acting Manager, Federal Crop Insurance Corporation.

[FR Doc.72-19262 Filed 11-8-72;8:51 am]

PART 402—RAISIN CROP INSURANCE

Subpart—Regulations for the 1966 and Succeeding Crop Years

APPENDIX; COUNTIES DESIGNATED FOR RAISIN CROP INSURANCE

Pursuant to authority contained in § 402.1 of the above-identified regulations, the following counties have been designated for raisin crop insurance for the 1973 crop year.

CALIFORNIA

Fresno.	Merced.
Kern.	Stanislaus.
Kings.	Tulare.
Madera.	

(Secs. 506, 516, 52 Stat. 73, as amended, 77, as amended; 7 U.S.C. 1506, 1516)

[SEAL] D. W. MCELWRATH,
Acting Manager,
Federal Crop Insurance Corporation.

[FR Doc.72-19257 Filed 11-8-72;8:50 am]

PART 403—PEACH CROP INSURANCE

Subpart—Regulations for the 1965 and Succeeding Crop Years

APPENDIX; COUNTIES DESIGNATED FOR PEACH CROP INSURANCE

Pursuant to authority contained in § 403.40 of the above-identified regulations, as amended, the following counties have been designated for peach crop insurance for the 1973 crop year.

ALABAMA

Chilton.

ARKANSAS

Cross.	Lee.
Johnson.	St. Francis.

GEORGIA

Peach.	Upson.

NORTH CAROLINA

Cleveland.	Richmond.
Montgomery.	Rutherford.
Moore.	

SOUTH CAROLINA

Aiken.	Laurens.
Allendale.	Lexington.
Barnwell.	Saluda.
Chesterfield.	Spartanburg.
Edgefield.	York.
Greenville.	

(Secs. 506 516, 52 Stat. 73, as amended, 77, as amended; 7 U.S.C. 1506, 1516)

[SEAL] D. W. MCELWRATH,
Acting Manager, Federal
Crop Insurance Corporation.

[FR Doc.72-19255 Filed 11-8-72;8:50 am]

PART 404—APPLE CROP INSURANCE

Subpart—Regulations for the 1967 and Succeeding Crop Years

APPENDIX; COUNTIES DESIGNATED FOR APPLE CROP INSURANCE

Pursuant to authority contained in § 404.20 of the above-identified regulations, the following counties have been designated for apple crop insurance for the 1973 crop year.

OREGON

Umatilla.

WASHINGTON

Chelan.	Douglas.
Columbia.	Okanogan.

(Secs. 506, 516, 52 Stat. 73, as amended, 77, as amended; 7 U.S.C. 1506, 1516)

[SEAL] D. W. MCELWRATH,
Acting Manager, Federal
Crop Insurance Corporation.

[FR Doc.72-19242 Filed 11-8-72;8:49 am]

PART 406—CALIFORNIA ORANGE CROP INSURANCE

Subpart—Regulations for the 1963 and Succeeding Crop Years

APPENDIX; COUNTIES DESIGNATED FOR ORANGE CROP INSURANCE

Pursuant to authority contained in § 406.1 of the above-identified regulations, as amended, the following counties have been designated for orange crop insurance for the 1973 crop year.

CALIFORNIA

Fresno.	Tulare.
Kern.	

(Secs. 506, 516, 52 Stat. 73, as amended, 77, as amended; 7 U.S.C. 1506, 1516)

[SEAL] D. W. MCELWRATH,
Acting Manager, Federal
Crop Insurance Corporation.

[FR Doc.72-19252 Filed 11-8-72;8:50 am]

PART 408—NORTH CAROLINA APPLE CROP INSURANCE

Subpart—Regulations for the 1965 and Succeeding Crop Years

APPENDIX; COUNTIES DESIGNATED FOR APPLE CROP INSURANCE

Pursuant to authority contained in § 408.1 of the above-identified regulations, as amended, the following counties have been designated for apple crop insurance for the 1973 crop year.

NORTH CAROLINA

Alexander.	Wilkes.
Henderson.	

(Secs. 506, 516, 52 Stat. 73, as amended, 77, as amended; 7 U.S.C. 1506, 1516)

[SEAL] D. W. MCELWRATH,
Acting Manager, Federal
Crop Insurance Corporation.

[FR Doc.72-19243 Filed 11-8-72;8:49 am]

PART 409—ARIZONA-DESERT VALLEY CITRUS CROP INSURANCE

Subpart—Regulations for the 1967 and Succeeding Crop Years

APPENDIX; COUNTIES DESIGNATED FOR CITRUS CROP INSURANCE

Pursuant to authority contained in § 409.20 of the above-identified regulations, the following counties have been designated for citrus crop insurance for the 1973 crop year.

ARIZONA

Maricopa.	Yuma.

CALIFORNIA

Imperial.	Riverside.

(Secs. 506, 516, 52 Stat. 73, as amended, 77, as amended; 7 U.S.C. 1506, 1516)

[SEAL] D. W. MCELWRATH,
Acting Manager, Federal
Crop Insurance Corporation.

[FR Doc.72-19246 Filed 11-8-72;8:49 am]

PART 410—FLORIDA CITRUS CROP INSURANCE

Subpart—Regulations for the 1970 and Succeeding Crop Years

APPENDIX; COUNTIES DESIGNATED FOR CITRUS CROP INSURANCE

Pursuant to authority contained in § 410.1 of the above-identified regulations, the following counties have been designated for citrus crop insurance for the 1973 crop year.

FLORIDA

Brevard.	Marion.
De Soto.	Martin.
Hardee.	Orange.
Hernando.	Osceola.
Highlands.	Pasco.
Hillsborough.	Polk.
Indian River.	St. Lucie.
Lake.	Seminole.
Manatee.	

(Secs. 506, 516, 52 Stat. 73, as amended, 77, as amended; 7 U.S.C. 1506, 1516)

[SEAL] D. W. MCELWRATH,
Acting Manager, Federal
Crop Insurance Corporation.

[FR Doc.72-19245 Filed 11-8-72;8:49 am]

PART 413—TEXAS CITRUS CROP INSURANCE

Subpart—Regulations for the 1969 and Succeeding Crop Years

APPENDIX; COUNTIES DESIGNATED FOR CITRUS CROP INSURANCE

Pursuant to authority contained in § 413.20 of the above-identified regulations, the following counties have been designated for citrus crop insurance for the 1973 crop year.

TEXAS

Cameron.	Willacy.
Hidalgo.	

(Secs. 506, 516, 52 Stat. 73, as amended, 77, as amended; 7 U.S.C. 1506, 1516)

[SEAL] D. W. MCELWRATH,
Acting Manager, Federal
Crop Insurance Corporation.

[FR Doc.72-19247 Filed 11-8-72;8:50 am]

Chapter VII—Agricultural Stabilization and Conservation Service (Agricultural Adjustment), Department of Agriculture

SUBCHAPTER B—FARM MARKETING QUOTAS AND ACREAGE ALLOTMENTS

PART 722—COTTON

1973 Crop of Extra-Long Staple Cotton; Acreage Allotments and Marketing Quotas

Correction

In F.R. Doc. 72-17691 appearing on page 21988 of the issue for Wednesday, October 18, 1972, the file line at the end of the document should appear as follows: [FR Doc.72-17691 Filed 10-13-72; 9:32 am].

Chapter IX—Agricultural Marketing Service (Marketing Agreements and Orders; Fruits, Vegetables, Nuts), Department of Agriculture

[Navel Orange Reg. 274]

PART 907—NAVEL ORANGES GROWN IN ARIZONA AND DESIGNATED PART OF CALIFORNIA

Limitation of Handling

§ 907.574 Navel Orange Regulation 274.

(a) *Findings.* (1) Pursuant to the marketing agreement, as amended, and Order No. 907, as amended (7 CFR Part 907), regulating the handling of Navel oranges grown in Arizona and designated part of California, effective under the applicable provisions of the Agricultural Marketing Agreement Act of 1937, as amended (7 U.S.C. 601–674), and upon the basis of the recommendations and information submitted by the Navel Orange Administrative Committee, established under the said amended marketing agreement and order, and upon other available information, it is hereby found that the limitation of handling of such Navel oranges, as hereinafter provided, will tend to effectuate the declared policy of the act.

(2) It is hereby further found that it is impracticable and contrary to the public interest to give preliminary notice, engage in public rule making procedure, and postpone the effective date of this section until 30 days after publication hereof in the FEDERAL REGISTER (5 U.S.C. 553) because the time intervening between the date when information upon which this section is based became available and the time when this section must become effective in order to effectuate the declared policy of the act is insufficient, and a reasonable time is permitted, under the circumstances, for preparation for such effective time; and good cause exists for making the provisions hereof effective as hereinafter set forth. The committee held an open meeting during the current week, after giving due notice thereof, to consider supply and market conditions for Navel oranges and the need for regulation; interested persons were afforded an opportunity to submit information and views at this meeting; the recommendation and supporting information for regulation during the period specified herein were promptly submitted to the Department after such meeting was held; the provisions of this section, including its effective time, are identical with the aforesaid recommendation of the committee, and information concerning such provisions and effective time has been disseminated among handlers of such Navel oranges; it is necessary, in order to effectuate the declared policy of the act, to make this section effective during the period herein specified; and compliance with this section will not require any special preparation on the part of persons subject hereto which cannot be completed on or before the effective date hereof. Such committee meeting was held on November 7, 1972.

(b) *Order.* (1) The respective quantities of Navel oranges grown in Arizona and designated part of California which may be handled during the period November 10, through November 16, 1972, are hereby fixed as follows:

(i) District 1: 893,000 cartons;

(ii) District 2: Unlimited;

(iii) District 3: 57,000 cartons.

(2) As used in this section, "handled," "District 1," "District 2," "District 3," and "carton" have the same meaning as when used in said amended marketing agreement and order.

(Secs. 1–19, 48 Stat. 31, as amended; 7 U.S.C. 601–674)

Dated: November 8, 1972.

PAUL A. NICHOLSON,
Deputy Director, Fruit and Vegetable Division, Agricultural Marketing Service.

[FR Doc.72-19420 Filed 11-8-72;11:17 am]

PART 909—GRAPEFRUIT GROWN IN ARIZONA; IN IMPERIAL COUNTY, CALIF.; AND IN THAT PART OF RIVERSIDE COUNTY, CALIF., SITUATED SOUTH AND EAST OF WHITE WATER, CALIF.

Expenses, Rate of Assessment, and Carryover of Unexpended Funds

On October 25, 1972, notice of proposed rulemaking was published in the FEDERAL REGISTER (37 F.R. 22798) regarding proposed expenses, the proposed rate of assessment, and the proposed carryover of unexpended assessment funds, for the fiscal period September 1, 1972, through August 31, 1973, pursuant to marketing Order No. 909, as amended (7 CFR Part 909), regulating the handling of grapefruit grown in Arizona and designated part of California. This regulatory program is effective under the Agricultural Marketing Agreement Act of 1937, as amended (7 U.S.C. 601–674). After consideration of all relevant matters presented, including the proposals set forth in such notice which were submitted by the Administrative Committee (established pursuant to the said amended marketing order), it is hereby found and determined that:

§ 909.211 Expenses, rate of assessment, and carryover of unexpended funds.

(a) *Expenses.* The expenses that are reasonable and likely to be incurred by the Administrative Committee during the period September 1, 1972, through August 31, 1973, will amount to $37,875.

(b) *Rate of assessment.* The rate of assessment for such period, payable by each handler in accordance with § 909.41, is hereby fixed at three-fourths of a cent ($0.0075) per carton, or equivalent quantity of grapefruit.

(c) *Operating reserve.* Unexpended assessment funds, in excess of expenses incurred during such period, shall be carried over as a reserve in accordance with the applicable provisions of § 909.42.

It is hereby further found that good cause exists for not postponing the effective date hereof until 30 days after publication in the FEDERAL REGISTER (5 U.S.C. 553) in that (1) shipments of the current crop of grapefruit grown in the designated production area are now being made; (2) the relevant provisions of said marketing order require that the rate of assessment herein fixed shall be applicable to all assessable grapefruit handled during the aforesaid period; and (3) such period began on September 1, 1972, and said rate of assessment will automatically apply to all such grapefruit beginning with such date.

(Secs. 1–19, 48 Stat. 31, as amended; 7 U.S.C. 601–674)

Dated: November 6, 1972.

CHARLES R. BRADER,
Acting Deputy Director, Fruit and Vegetable Division, Agricultural Marketing Service.

[FR Doc.72-19294 Filed 11-8-72;8:54 am]

PART 999—SPECIALTY CROPS; IMPORT REGULATIONS

Importation and Reporting Requirements

Notice was published in the October 12, 1972, issue of the FEDERAL REGISTER (37 F.R. 21538) regarding a proposal to: (1) Amend paragraph (e) of § 999.300 (7 CFR 999.300; 37 F.R. 5282; 13634) governing the importation of raisins, to permit importation of raisins, which do not meet the requirements pursuant to paragraph (b) of § 999.300 with respect to mechanical damage and sugaring, for use in the production of raisin paste; and (2) delete the phrase, "at the port of arrival" from § 999.300(a)(7); § 999.300

(b); and § 999.300(d). In conjunction with importation for such usage, certain reporting requirements were included in the proposal. This action is pursuant to section 8e (7 U.S.C. 608e–1) of the Agricultural Marketing Agreement Act of 1937, as amended (7 U.S.C. 601–674), hereinafter referred to as the "act".

The notice afforded interested persons an opportunity to submit written data, views, or arguments with respect to the proposal. Written comments were received from three persons within the period prescribed therefor.

Section 8e of the act provides, in part, that whenever a marketing order issued by the Secretary of Agriculture pursuant to section 8c of the act (7 U.S.C. 608c) contains any terms or conditions regulating the grade, size, quality, or maturity of raisins produced in the United States, the importation of raisins into the United States during the period of time such order is in effect shall be prohibited unless such commodity complies with the grade, size, quality, and maturity provisions of such order or comparable restrictions promulgated under said section 8e.

Order No. 989, as amended (7 CFR Part 989; 37 F.R. 19621; 20022), regulating the handling of raisins produced from grapes grown in California (hereinafter referred to as the "order"), is effective under the act. The order prescribes terms and conditions regulating the grade and size of such raisins. Under a recent amendment of the order, raisins which do not meet the applicable grade and size requirements with respect to mechanical damage and sugaring may be used in the production of raisin paste. Therefore, raisins for importation which do not meet the applicable grade and size requirements of § 999.300 with respect to mechanical damage and sugaring should similarly be permitted to be used in the production of raisin paste.

With regard to deletion of the phrase "at the port of arrival," the current regulation defines importation, in paragraph (a)(7), as release of raisins from custody of the Bureau of Customs at the port of arrival; prohibits, in paragraph (b), importation of raisins unless they are inspected at the port of arrival; and, in paragraph (d), permits reconditioning of raisins only at the port of arrival. Since all raisins for importation are under Customs' custody until released, the current regulation could be unnecessarily restrictive and impose financial hardships and delays on importers because all ports of arrival do not have inspection and reconditioning facilities readily available. Therefore such requirement should be deleted.

Written comments submitted pursuant to the notice by three persons all recommended the proposal be adopted. In the written comments submitted, two persons further recommended that raisins which do not meet the tolerance for capstems should also be permitted to be imported for use in production of raisin paste, or a tolerance for capstems should be established for raisins to be imported for use in the production of raisin paste at a level of 70 to 80 capstems per 500 grams of raisins. This proposal is not adopted because the domestic marketing order contains no comparable provision with respect to domestically produced raisins and section 8e requires the same or comparable restrictions be placed on imported raisins as are placed upon domestically produced raisins under the marketing order.

The amended regulation will continue to require that all raisins be inspected by USDA inspectors. Importers of raisins which do not meet the applicable grade and size requirements with respect to mechanical damage and sugaring and which are to be imported for use in the production of raisin paste will be required to file certain forms with the Bureau of Customs and the Department for administrative and compliance purposes.

After consideration of all relevant information, including that in the notice, the written comments received pursuant to the notice, and other available information, it is hereby ordered that § 999.300 is amended as follows:

1. In paragraphs (a)(7), (b), and (d), of § 999.300, the phrase "at the port of arrival" is deleted.

2. Subparagraph (2) of § 999.300(e) is revised to read as follows:

(2) Any person may import any lot of raisins which does not meet the applicable grade and size requirements of paragraph (b) of this section for use in the production of alcohol, syrup for industrial use, or which does not meet such requirements with respect to mechanical damage or sugaring for use in the production of raisin paste. Prior to such importation, such person shall file with the Bureau of Customs' Regional Commissioner or District Director, as applicable, at the port at which the customs entry is filed an executed "Raisins—Section 8e Entry Declaration" prescribed in subdivision (*i*) of this subparagraph as "Raisin Form No. 1". Promptly after such filing, such person shall transmit a copy of this form to the Fruit and Vegetable Division. No person may import, sell, or use any raisins which do not meet the applicable grade and size requirements of paragraph (b) of this section other than for use as set forth in this subparagraph. Each person importing raisins, which do not meet the applicable grade and size requirements of paragraph (b) of this section, for use in the production of alcohol, syrup for industrial use, or raisin paste shall obtain from each purchaser, not later than the time of delivery to such purchaser, and file with the Fruit and Vegetable Division not later than the fifth day of the month following the month in which the raisins were delivered, an executed "Raisins—Section 8e Certification of Processor or Reseller," prescribed in subdivision (*ii*) of this subparagraph as "Raisin Form No. 2." One copy of this executed form shall be retained by the importer and one copy shall be retained by the purchaser. Each reseller of raisins imported pursuant to this subparagraph should, for his protection, obtain from each purchaser and hold in his files an executed Raisin Form No. 2, covering such sales of such raisins during the calendar year. One copy of this executed form shall be retained by the reseller and one copy shall be retained by the purchaser.

(*i*) *Raisin Form No. 1.* The following is prescribed as Raisin Form No. 1.

RAISIN FORM NO. 1

RAISINS—SECTION 8e ENTRY DECLARATION

I certify to the U.S. Department of Agriculture and the Bureau of Customs that none of the raisins being imported and which are identified below will be used other than in the production of alcohol, syrup for industrial use, or raisin paste.

1. Name of vessel: _____
2. Country of origin of raisins: _____
3. Date of arrival: _____
4. City of arrival: _____
5. Unloading pier: _____
6. USDA Certificate of Quality and Condition Number: _____
7. Raisins entered: _____

Lot or chop mark	Number of containers	Total net weight (pounds)

I agree to obtain from each person to whom any of the raisins listed above are delivered, an executed Raisin Form No. 2 "Raisins—Section 8e Certification of Processor or Reseller" and to file the same with the Fruit and Vegetable Division, Agricultural Marketing Service, U.S. Department of Agriculture, Washington, D.C. 20250, not later than the fifth day of the month following the month in which the raisins were delivered.

Dated: _____

Name of firm: _____
Address: _____
Signature: _____
Title: _____

(*ii*) *Raisin Form No. 2.* The following is prescribed as Raisin Form No. 2.

RAISIN FORM NO. 2

RAISINS—SECTION 8e CERTIFICATION OF PROCESSOR OR RESELLER

I hereby certify to the U.S. Department of Agriculture that I have acquired the raisins covered by this certification; that I will use or sell them for use only in production of alcohol, syrup for industrial use, or raisin paste, as permitted by the Regulation Governing the Importation of Raisins (7 CFR 999.300; 37 F.R. 5282; 13634) and I am: (Check one or more if applicable)

____ Producer of alcohol ____ Producer of syrup for industrial use ____ Producer of raisin paste ____ Reseller

1. Date of purchase: _____
2. Place of purchase: _____
3. Name and address of importer or seller: _____
4. USDA Certificate of Quality and Condition Number: _____
5. Raisins acquired:

Number of containers	Total net weight (lbs.)

Dated: _____
Name of firm: _____
Address: _____
Signature: _____
Title: _____

It is hereby found that good cause exists for not postponing the effective time of this action until 30 days after publication in the FEDERAL REGISTER (5 U.S.C. 553), and for making it effective on the date hereinafter stated, in that: (1) This action permits importation of raisins, which do not meet the applicable grade and size requirements of this part with respect to mechanical damage and sugaring, for use in the production of raisin paste; (2) heretofore, the importation of such raisins for use in such outlets was prohibited, and thus this action relieves restriction on importation of raisins; (3) importers of such raisins require no advance preparation to comply with this action; and (4) no useful purpose would be served by postponing the effective time of this amendatory action.

(Secs. 1–19, 48 Stat. 31, as amended; 7 U.S.C. 601–674)

Dated: November 6, 1972, to become effective November 10, 1972.

CHARLES R. BRADER,
Acting Deputy Director, Fruit and Vegetable Division, Agricultural Marketing Service.

[FR Doc.72–19295 Filed 11–8–72;8:54 am]

Title 9—ANIMALS AND ANIMAL PRODUCTS

Chapter I—Animal and Plant Health Inspection Service, Department of Agriculture

SUBCHAPTER C—INTERSTATE TRANSPORTATION OF ANIMALS (INCLUDING POULTRY) AND ANIMAL PRODUCTS

[Docket No. 72–575]

PART 76—HOG CHOLERA AND OTHER COMMUNICABLE SWINE DISEASES

Areas Quarantined and Released

Pursuant to provisions of the Act of May 29, 1884, as amended, the Act of February 2, 1903, as amended, the Act of March 3, 1905, as amended, the Act of September 6, 1961, and the Act of July 2, 1962 (21 U.S.C. 111–113, 114g, 115, 117, 120, 121, 123–126, 134b, 134f), Part 76, Title 9, Code of Federal Regulations, restricting the interstate movement of swine and certain products because of hog cholera and other communicable swine diseases, is hereby amended in the following respects:

1. In § 76.2, in paragraph (e) (2) relating to the State of Indiana, a new subdivision (iv) relating to Madison, Henry, and Hancock Counties is added to read:

(e) * * *

(2) *Indiana.* * * *

(iv) The adjacent portions of Madison, Henry, and Hancock Counties bounded by a line beginning at the junction of U.S. Highway 36 and the Fall Creek-Adams Township line in Madison County; thence, following U.S. Highway 36 in an easterly direction to the Fall Creek-Jefferson Township line in Henry County; thence, following the Fall Creek-Jefferson Township line in a southerly direction to the junction of the Fall Creek-Jefferson-Harrison Township lines; thence, following the Jefferson-Harrison Township line in an easterly direction to County Road 400 W; thence, following County Road 400 W in a southerly direction to Greensboro Road; thence, following Greensboro Road in an easterly direction to the junction of the Greensboro-Henry-Spiceland Township lines; thence, following the Greensboro-Spiceland Township line in a southerly, then westerly direction to Interstate Highway 70; thence, following Interstate Highway 70 in a southwesterly direction to State Highway 209 in Hancock County; thence, following State Highway 209 in a northerly direction to the Hancock-Madison County line; thence, following the Hancock-Madison County line in an easterly direction to the Fall Creek-Adams Township line in Madison County; thence, following the Fall Creek-Adams Township line in a northerly direction to its junction with U.S. Highway 36 in Madison County.

2. In § 76.2, paragraph (e) (5) relating to the State of Mississippi is deleted.

(Secs. 4–7, 23 Stat. 32, as amended; secs. 1 and 2, 32 Stat. 791–792, as amended; secs. 1–4, 33 Stat. 1264–1265, as amended; sec. 1, 75 Stat. 481; secs. 3 and 11, 76 Stat. 130, 132; 21 U.S.C. 111–113, 114g, 115, 117, 120, 121, 123–126, 134b, 134f; 29 F.R. 16210, as amended, 36 F.R. 20707, 21529, 21530, 37 F.R. 6327, 6505)

Effective date. The foregoing amendments shall become effective upon issuance.

The amendments quarantine portions of Madison, Henry, and Hancock Counties in Indiana because of the existence of hog cholera. This action is deemed necessary to prevent further spread of the disease. The restrictions pertaining to the interstate movement of swine and swine products from or through quarantined areas as contained in 9 CFR Part 76, as amended, will apply to the quarantined areas.

The amendments exclude portions of Kemper and Lauderdale Counties in Mississippi from the areas quarantined because of hog cholera. Therefore, the restrictions pertaining to the interstate movement of swine and swine products from or through quarantined areas contained in 9 CFR Part 76, as amended, do not apply to the excluded areas, but will continue to apply to the quarantined areas described in § 76.2(e). Further, the restrictions pertaining to the interstate movement of swine and swine products from nonquarantined areas contained in said Part 76 apply to the excluded areas. No areas in Mississippi remain under quarantine.

Insofar as the amendments impose certain further restrictions necessary to prevent the interstate spread of hog cholera, they must be made effective immediately to accomplish their purpose in the public interest. Insofar as the amendments relieve restrictions presently imposed, they are no longer deemed necessary to prevent the spread of hog cholera, and they should be made effective promptly in order to be of maximum benefit to affected persons. It does not appear that public participation in this rulemaking proceeding would make additional relevant information available to the Department.

Accordingly, under the administrative procedure provisions in 5 U.S.C. 553, it is found upon good cause that notice and other public procedure with respect to the amendments are impracticable, unnecessary and contrary to the public interest, and good cause is found for making them effective less than 30 days after publication in the FEDERAL REGISTER.

Done at Washington, D.C., this 3d day of November 1972.

G. H. WISE,
Acting Administrator, Animal and Plant Health Inspection Service.

[FR Doc.72–19263 Filed 11–8–72;8:51 am]

[Docket No. 72–576]

PART 76—HOG CHOLERA AND OTHER COMMUNICABLE SWINE DISEASES

Areas Quarantined

Pursuant to provisions of the Act of May 29, 1884, as amended, the Act of February 2, 1903, as amended, the Act of March 3, 1905, as amended, the Act of September 6, 1961, and the Act of July 2, 1962 (21 U.S.C. 111–113, 114g, 115, 117, 120, 121, 123–126, 134b, 134f), Part 76, Title 9, Code of Federal Regulations, restricting the interstate movement of swine and certain products because of hog cholera and other communicable swine diseases, is hereby amended in the following respects:

1. In § 76.2, in paragraph (e) (2) relating to the State of Indiana, subdivision (ii) relating to Randolph County is amended to read:

(e) * * *

(2) *Indiana.* * * *

(ii) That portion of Randolph County bounded by a line beginning at the junction of State Highway 32 and Greenville Pike; thence, following Greenville Pike in a southeasterly direction to County Road 400E; thence, following County Road 400E in a northerly direction to County Road 700N; thence, following County Road 700N in an easterly direction to the Indiana-Ohio State line; thence, following the Indiana-Ohio State line in a southerly direction to the Randolph-Wayne County line (in Indiana); thence, following the Randolph-Wayne County line (in Indiana) in a westerly direction to the West River-Washington Township line; thence, following the West River-Washington Township line in a northerly direction to the junction of

the West River-Washington-White River Township lines; thence, following the White River-Washington Township line in an easterly direction to Huntsville Pike; thence, following Huntsville Pike in a northeasterly direction to State Highway 32; thence, following State Highway 32 in an easterly direction to its junction with Greenville Pike.

2. In § 76.2, in paragraph (e)(7) relating to the State of Ohio, a new subdivision (iv) relating to Darke County is added to read:

(e) * * *

(7) *Ohio.* * * *

(iv) That portion of Darke County bounded by a line beginning at the junction of the Ohio-Indiana State line and Washington Road; thence, following Washington Road in an easterly direction to New Madison-Coletown Road; thence, following New Madison-Coletown Road in a southerly direction to State Highway 502; thence, following State Highway 502 in a westerly direction to the Ohio-Indiana State line; thence, following the Ohio-Indiana State line in a northerly direction to its junction with Washington Road.

(Secs. 4–7, 23 Stat. 32, as amended; secs. 1 and 2, 32 Stat. 791–792, as amended; secs. 1–4, 33 Stat. 1264–1265, as amended; sec. 1, 75 Stat. 481; secs. 3 and 11, 76 Stat. 130, 132, 21 U.S.C. 111–113, 114g, 115, 117, 120, 121, 123–126, 134b, 134f; 29 F.R. 16210 as amended, 36 F.R. 20707, 21529, 21530, 37 F.R. 6327, 6505)

Effective date. The foregoing amendments shall become effective upon issuance.

The amendments quarantine a portion of Darke County in Ohio and an additional portion of Randolph County in Indiana because of the existence of hog cholera. This action is deemed necessary to prevent further spread of the disease. The restrictions pertaining to the interstate movement of swine and swine products from or through quarantined areas as contained in 9 CFR Part 76, as amended, will apply to the quarantined areas.

The amendments impose certain further restrictions necessary to prevent the interstate spread of hog cholera, and must be made effective immediately to accomplish their purpose in the public interest. It does not appear that public participation in this rule making proceeding would make additional relevant information available to the Department.

Acordingly, under the administrative procedure provisions in 5 U.S.C. 553, it is found upon good cause that notice and other public procedure with respect to the amendments are impracticable, unnecessary and contrary to the public interest, and good cause is found for making them effective less than 30 days after publication in the FEDERAL REGISTER.

Done at Washington, D.C., this 3d day of November 1972.

G. H. WISE,
Acting Administrator, Animal and Plant Health Inspection Service.

[FR Doc.72-19264 Filed 11-8-72;8:51 am]

Chapter III—Animal and Plant Health Inspection Service (Meat and Poultry Products Inspection), Department of Agriculture

SUBCHAPTER A—MANDATORY MEAT INSPECTION

PART 318—ENTRY INTO OFFICIAL ESTABLISHMENTS; REINSPECTION AND PREPARATION OF PRODUCTS

Sorbitol in Cooked Sausages

On December 17, 1971, there appeared in the FEDERAL REGISTER (36 F.R. 24005) a notice of proposed rule making to provide for the use of sorbitol in formulas for cooked sausages labeled frankfurter, frank, furter, wiener, and knockwurst. The food additive was proposed for use as an optional flavoring agent, to facilitate removal of the artificial casing from products after processing, and to reduce charring when the products are cooked by means of prolonged direct contact with heated metal surfaces. The notice cited data supplied to the Department as support for the use of sorbitol as proposed. The data along with information from observations of test products by USDA staff members were filed for public examination in the Office of the Hearing Clerk.

Statement of considerations: The proposal indicated the Department's intent to preclude the simultaneous use of sorbitol with corn syrup and similar sweetening agents. This restriction was based on the lack of a practical routine laboratory procedure to quantitate sorbitol in the presence of corn syrup and corn syrup solids. Also, methodology was not available to determine corn syrup and corn syrup solids when in a mixture with sorbitol. Therefore, the use of the flavoring agents in excess of the permitted 2 percent would not be analytically determined. The comments submitted on the proposal did not contain information or data on practical or effective laboratory procedures to determine individual quantities of corn syrup, corn syrup solids, or sorbitol when together in formulas.

The comments on the whole consisted mainly of opinions. They contained very little additional information or data on sorbitol or its use as a food additive for the purposes cited in the FEDERAL REGISTER notice.

A thorough review of the entire record on sorbitol indicates that:

(1) Sorbitol is safe for use as a food ingredient. A regulation of the Food and Drug Administration provides for the use of sorbitol as a food additive when amounts are not used in excess of reasonable quantity requirements to accomplish the intended physical or technical effects.

(2) Sorbitol occurs naturally in a variety of fruits and berries.

(3) Sorbitol is widely used in the manufacture of candies, shredded sweetened cocoanut, and other confections and as an ingredient of various pharmaceuticals.

(4) Sorbitol effectively facilitates the removal of artificial casings from processed cooked sausages.

(5) The surfaces of sausages that contain sorbitol are able to resist charring during prolonged contact with heated metal surfaces while awaiting sale.

(6) The sweetening power of sorbitol is sufficient to adequately contribute to the flavor of cooked sausages.

The available information substantiates the usefulness of sorbitol at the proposed rate in the preparation of the specific cooked sausages. It shows that sorbitol can be expected to provide processors with opportunities to prepare products that have the versatilities necessary to permit merchandising under a variety of market display conditions.

In consideration of all factors concerned with the proposal, the Department concludes that the meat inspection regulations should be amended to provide for the use of sorbitol in certain cooked sausages. Accordingly, in subparagraph (4) of paragraph (c) of § 318.7, as amended, the chart is amended as stated below:

In that portion of the chart dealing with class of substance, flavoring agents, protectors, and developers, the following information is added in alphabetical order:

§ 318.7 Approval of substances for use in the preparation of products.

* * * * *

Class of substance	Substance	Purpose	Products	Amounts
Flavoring agents, protectors and developers.	Sorbitol...	To flavor, to facilitate the removal of casings from product and to reduce caramelization and charring.	Cooked sausage labeled frankfurter, frank, furter, wiener, knockwurst.	Not more than 2 percent of the weight of the formula, excluding the formula weight of water or ice; not permitted in combination with corn syrup, and/or corn syrup solids.

* * * * *

(Sec. 21, 34 Stat. 1260, as amended, 21 U.S.C. 621; 29 F.R. 16210, as amended, 37 F.R. 6327, 6505)

The wording of the amendment differs in certain respects from that proposed in the notice of rule making. The wording changes were made to clarify the regulation, to be more consistent with § 318.7 (c)(4) of the regulations governing the use of certain other sweetening agents allowed in the same meat food products, and to provide a more uniform base from which inspectional surveillance can be exercised.

It does not appear that further public participation in rule making proceedings on the amendment would make additional information available to the Department. Therefore, under the administrative procedure provisions in 5 U.S.C.

553, it is found upon good cause that further notice and other rule making procedure on the amendment is impracticable and unnecessary.

The foregoing amendment to the regulations shall become effective 30 days after publication of this notice in the FEDERAL REGISTER.

Done at Washington, D.C., on November 6, 1972.

RICHARD E. LYNG,
Assistant Secretary.

[FR Doc.72-19296 Filed 11-8-72;8:54 am]

Title 14—AERONAUTICS AND SPACE

Chapter I—Federal Aviation Administration, Department of Transportation

[Airspace Docket No. 72-SW-56]

PART 71—DESIGNATION OF FEDERAL AIRWAYS, AREA LOW ROUTES, CONTROLLED AIRSPACE, AND REPORTING POINTS

Alteration of Transition Area

The purpose of this amendment to Part 71 of the Federal Aviation Regulations is to alter the Kerrville, Tex., 700-foot transition area.

On August 19, 1972, a notice of proposed rule making was published in the FEDERAL REGISTER (37 F.R. 16810) stating the Federal Aviation Administration proposed to alter the Kerrville, Tex., transition area by enlarging the area to the northwest.

Interested persons were afforded an opportunity to participate in the rule making through submission of comments. All comments received were favorable.

In consideration of the foregoing, Part 71 of the Federal Aviation Regulations is amended, effective 0901 G.m.t., January 4, 1973, as hereinafter set forth.

In § 71.181 (37 F.R. 2143), the Kerrville, Tex., transition area is amended to read:

KERRVILLE, TEX.

That airspace extending upward from 700 feet above the surface within a 5-mile radius of Kerrville Municipal (Louis Schreiner Field) Airport (latitude 29°58'41" N., longitude 99°05'11" W.); within 3 miles each side of the 134° bearing from the Kerrville RBN (latitude 29°59'11" N., longitude 99°04'31" W.) extending from the 5-mile radius area to 8 miles southeast of the RBN; within 3.5 miles each side of the 306° radial from the proposed non-Federal TVOR site (latitude 30°00'29" N., longitude 99°08'15" W.) to 11.5 miles northwest.

(Sec. 307(a), Federal Aviation Act of 1958, 49 U.S.C. 1348; Sec. 6(c), Department of Transportation Act, 49 U.S.C. 1655(c))

Issued in Fort Worth, Tex., on October 31, 1972.

R. V. REYNOLDS,
Acting Director, Southwest Region.

[FR Doc.72-19190 Filed 11-8-72;8:45 am]

[Airspace Docket No. 72-SW-63]

PART 71—DESIGNATION OF FEDERAL AIRWAYS, AREA LOW ROUTES, CONTROLLED AIRSPACE, AND REPORTING POINTS

Alteration of Control Zone and Transition Area

The purpose of this amendment to Part 71 of the Federal Aviation Regulations is to alter controlled airspace in the Austin, Tex., terminal area.

On September 26, 1972, a notice of proposed rule making was published in the FEDERAL REGISTER (37 F.R. 20121) stating the Federal Aviation Administration proposed to alter the Austin, Tex. (Robert Mueller Municipal Airport), control zone and the Austin, Tex., transition area.

Interested persons were afforded an opportunity to participate in the rule making through submission of comments. All comments received were favorable.

In consideration of the foregoing, Part 71 of the Federal Aviation Regulations is amended, effective 0901 G.m.t., January 4, 1973, as hereinafter set forth.

(1) In § 71.171 (37 F.R. 2056), the Austin, Tex. (Robert Mueller Municipal Airport), control zone is amended to read:

Austin, Tex. (Robert Mueller Municipal Airport) Within a 5-mile radius of Robert Mueller Municipal Airport (latitude 30°-17'55" N., longitude 97°42'00" W.); within 1.5 miles each side of the Austin VORTAC 304° radial extending from the 5-mile radius zone to 6 miles northwest of the Austin VORTAC; and within 1.5 miles each side of the Austin VORTAC 329° radial extending from the 5-mile radius zone to 6 miles northwest of the Austin VORTAC.

(2) In § 71.181 (37 F.R. 2143), the Austin, Tex., transition area is amended to read:

AUSTIN, TEX.

That airspace extending upward from 700 feet above the surface within a 16-mile radius of Robert Mueller Muncipal Airport (latitude 30°17'55" N., longitude 97°42'00" W.); within 2 miles each side of the Bergstrom ILS localizer south course, extending from the 16-mile radius area to 12 miles south of the LOM.

(Sec. 307(a), Federal Aviation Act of 1958, 49 U.S.C. 1348; Sec. 6(c), Department of Transportation Act, 49 U.S.C. 1655(c))

Issued in Fort Worth, Tex., on October 31, 1972.

R. V. REYNOLDS,
Acting Director, Southwest Region.

[FR Doc.72-19191 Filed 11-8-72;8:45 am]

[Reg. Docket No. 12339, Amdt. 95-226]

PART 95—IFR ALTITUDES

Miscellaneous Amendments

The purpose of this amendment to Part 95 of the Federal Aviation Regulations is to make changes in the IFR altitudes at which all aircraft shall be flown over a specified route or portion thereof. These altitudes, when used in conjunction with the current changeover points for the routes or portions thereof, also assure navigational coverage that is adequate and free of frequency interference for that route or portion thereof.

As a situation exists which demands immediate action in the interest of safety, I find that compliance with the notice and procedure provisions of the Administrative Procedure Act is impracticable and that good cause exists for making this amendment effective within less than 30 days from publication.

In consideration of the foregoing and pursuant to the authority delegated to me by the Administrator (24 F.R. 5662), Part 95 of the Federal Aviation Regulations is amended, effective December 7, 1972 as follows:

1. By amending Subpart C as follows:

Section 95.101 *Amber Federal Airway 1* is amended to read in part:

From, To, and Mea

Port Alexander INT, Alaska; Sitka, Alaska, LF/RBN; 5,200.
Sitka, Alaska, LF/RBN; Harbor Point INT, Alaska; 5,200.

Section 95.102 *Amber Federal Airway 2* is amended to read in part:

Chip River INT, Alaska; Browerville, Alaska, LF/RBN; 2,000.

Section 95.679 *Blue Federal Airway 79* is amended to read in part:

Hazy Island INT, Alaska; Sitka, Alaska, LF/RBN; *6,900. *6,100—MOCA.
Sitka, Alaska, LF/RBN; Sisters Island, Alaska, LF/RBN; *6,500. *6,300—MOCA.

Section 95.1001 *Direct Routes—United States* is amended by adding:

Austin, Tex., VOR; Lockhart INT, Tex.; *2,500. *1,900—MOCA.

Section 95.1001 *Direct Routes—United States* is amended to delete:

Adak, Alaska, LF/RBN; Nikolski, Alaska, LF/RBN; 9,000.
Cold Bay, Alaska, LFR; Crab INT, Alaska; *4,000. *2,200—MOCA.
King Salmon, Alaska, LFR (Control 1484); Crab INT, Alaska; 2,000.
Crab INT, Alaska; Marlin INT, Alaska; 4,000.
Marlin INT, Alaska; Cold Bay, Alaska, LFR; 4,000.
Shemya, Alaska, LF/RBN; Adak, Alaska, LF/RBN; 8,000.
Toolik INT, Alaska; Bettles, Alaska, LF/RBN; *10,000. *9,600—MOCA.
Prudhoe Bay, Alaska, NDB; Toolik INT, Alaska; 3,000.
Domestic Yakutat INT, Alaska; Middleton Island, Alaska VOR; *2,000. *10,000 required without HF airborne communication equipment.
Domestic Anette INT, Alaska; Domestic Yakutat INT, Alaska; *2,000. *10,000 required without HF airborne communication equipment.
Juniper INT, Alaska; Flaxman, Alaska, LF/RBN; *2,000. *1,900—MOCA.
Juniper INT, Alaska; Flaxman Island, Alaska, NDB; 2,000.
Sagwon, Alaska, NDB; Flaxman Island Alaska, NDB; 3,000.
Bergstrom INT, Tex.; Austin, Tex., VOR; 2,500.
Elroy INT, Tex.; Bergstrom INT, Tex.; *3,500. *1,800—MOCA.

Johnson City INT, Tex.; Capitol INT, Tex.; *3,400. *3,000—MOCA.
INT, 166° M rad, Austin VOR and 065° M rad, San Antonio VOR; Bergstrom INT, Tex.; *2,500. *1,800—MOCA.

Section 95.1001 *Direct routes—United States* is amended to read in part:

From, To, and MEA

Nassau, Bahamas, RBN; Carolina Beach, N.C., RBN (Via Control 1,151) *2,500. *1,200—MOCA.

Bahama Routes

Section 95.1001 *Direct routes—United States* 57–V is amended to read in part:

From, To, and MEA

Palm Beach, Fla. VOR; Bimini, Bahamas VOR; *2,000. *1,500—MOCA.

Section 95.5000 *High Altitude RNAV Routes.*

From/to; total distance; changeover point distance from geographic location; track angle; MEA; and MAA

J813R is amended to read in part:

Bremen, Ga., W/P, Montgomery, Ala., VORTAC; 102.5; 201°/030° to Montgomery; 18,000; 45,000.
Montgomery, Ala., VORTAC, Monroeville, Ala., W/P; 69.8; 226°/046° to Monroeville; 18,000; 45,000.

J836R is amended to read in part:

Judyville, Ind., W/P, Osgood, Ind., W/P; 119.6; 122°/302° to Osgood; 18,000; 45,000.

J842R is amended to read in part:

Memphis, Tenn., W/P, Watertown, Tenn., W/P; 203.6; 53.0, Memphis, 35°17'22'' N., 88°58'08'' W.; 061°/241° to COP, 067°/247° to Watertown; 18,000; 45,000.

Section 95.6002 *VOR Federal airway 2* is amended by adding:

From, to, and MEA

Nodine, Minn., VOR via S alter; INT, 146° M rad, Nodine VOR and 282° M rad, Lone Rock VOR via S alter; 3,000.
INT, 146° M rad, Nodine VOR and 282° M rad, Lone Rock VOR via S alter; Lone Rock, Wis., VOR via S alter; *3,000. *2,600—MOCA.

Section 95.6002 *VOR Federal airway 2* is amended to read in part:

Muskegon, Mich., VOR via S alter; James INT, Mich., via S alter; *2,600. *2,500—MOCA.

Section 95.6008 *VOR Federal airway 8* is amended to read in part:

Seal Beach, Calif., VOR via N alter; Pomona, Calif., VOR via N alter; 3,500.
*Pomona, Calif., VOR via N alter; Cable INT, Calif., via N alter; northeast-bound, 10,800; southwest-bound, 5,300.
*10,300—MCA Pomona VOR, northeast-bound.
Cable INT, Calif., via N alter; Mount San INT, Calif., via N alter; northeast-bound, 11,500; southwest-bound, 10,700.
Mount San INT, Calif., via N alter; *Apple INT, Calif., via N alter; 11,500. *9,100—MCA Apple INT, southwest-bound.
Apple INT, Calif., via N alter; Daggett, Calif., VOR via N alter; 7,500.
Seal Beach, Calif., VOR Olive INT, Calif., 3,000.
*Olive INT, Calif., Ontario, Calif., VOR; 5,000. *4,100—MCA Olive INT, northeast-bound.
Ontario, Calif., VOR; Rialto INT, Calif., 4,500.
*Rialto INT, Calif.; #Lucerne INT, Calif., 10,500. *8,800—MCA Rialto INT, northeast-bound. #9,300—MCA Lucerne INT, southwest-bound.
Lucerne INT, Calif.; Hector, Calif., VOR; *9,000. *8,000—MOCA.

Section 95.6021 *VOR Federal airway 21* is amended to read in part:

Seal Beach, Calif., VOR; Olive INT, Calif.; 3,000.
*Olive INT, Calif.; Ontario, Calif., VOR; 5,000. *4,100—MCA Olive INT, NE-bound.
Ontario, Calif., VOR; Rialto INT, Calif.; 4,500.
*Rialto INT, Calif.; #Lucerne INT, Calif.; 10,500. *8,800—MCA Rialto INT, NE-bound. #9,300—MCA Lucerne INT, SW-bound.
Lucerne INT, Calif.; Hector, Calif., VOR; *9,000. *8,000—MOCA.

Section 95.6107 *VOR Federal airway 107* is amended to read in part:

Los Angeles, Calif., VOR; Stadium INT, Calif.; 2,500.

Section 95.6122 *VOR Federal airway 122* is amended to read in part:

Crescent City, Calif., VOR; O'Brien DME Fix, Oreg., SW-bound, 6,000; NE-bound, 8,000.
O'Brien DME Fix, Oreg.; Applegate INT, Oreg.; *8,000. *10,000—MRA.

Section 95.6197 *VOR Federal airway 197* is amended to read in part:

*Pamona, Calif., VOR; Hawkins INT, Calif., NW-bound, 10,000; SE-bound, 6,400. *8,300—MCA Pomona VOR, NW-bound.
Hawkins INT, Calif.; *Palmdale, Calif., VOR; 10,000. *8,000—MCA Palmdale VOR, SE-bound.

Section 95.6210 *VOR Federal airway 210* is amended to read in part:

From, To, and MEA

Los Angeles, Calif., VOR; Diamond INT, Calif.; 3,500.
Diamond INT, Calif.; Pomona, Calif., VOR; 4,500.
*Pomona, Calif., VOR; Cable INT, Calif.; northeast-bound 10,800. Southwest-bound 5,300. *10,300—MCA Pomona, VOR, northeast-bound.
Cable INT, Calif.; Mt. San INT, Calif.; northeast-bound 11,500. Southwest-bound 10,700.
Mt. San INT, Calif.; *Apple INT, Calif.; 11,500. *9,100—MCA Apple INT, southwest-bound.
Apple INT, Calif.; Hector, Calif., VOR; 7,500.

Section 95.6264 *VOR Federal airway 264* is amended to read in part:

From, To, and MEA

Los Angeles, Calif., VOR; Stadium INT, Calif.; 2,500.
Stadium INT, Calif.; Alhambra INT, Calif.; 3,000.
*Pomona, Calif., VOR; Rialto INT, Calif.; 6,000. *5,600—MCA Pomona, VOR, eastbound.
*Rialto INT, Calif.; Redlands INT, Calif.; eastbound 12,800. Westbound 9,000. *11,400—MCA Rialto, INT, eastbound.
Redlands INT, Calif.; *Joshua INT, Calif.; 13,500. *11,500—MCA Joshua INT, westbound.
Joshua INT, Calif.; Twenty Nine Palms, Calif., VOR; 7,700.

Section 95.6267 *VOR Federal airway 267* is amended to read in part:

From, To, and MEA

Barberville INT, Fla.; Roy INT, Fla.; *2,500. *1,200—MOCA.

Section 95.6316 *VOR Federal airway 316* is amended to read in part:

From, To, and MEA

Train INT, Mich.; Emerson INT, Mich.; *5,500. *2,300—MOCA.

Section 95.6317 *VOR Federal airway 317* is amended to read in part:

Storey INT, Alaska; Perry DME Fix, Alaska; 5,000.
Perry DME Fix, Alaska; Whittier INT, Alaska, W-bound, *10,000; E-bound, *8,000. *8,000—MOCA.

Section 95.6357 *VOR Federal airway 357 is amended to read in part:*

*Tollgate INT, Wash.; **Walla Walla, Wash., VOR; 6,000. *7,000—MCA Tollgate INT, SE-bound. **5,000—MCA Walla Walla VOR, SE-bound.

Section 95.6452 *VOR Federal airway 452* is amended to read in part:

Nome, Alaska, VOR; Moses Point, Alaska, VOR; *5,000. *4,200—MOCA.
Galena, Alaska, VOR; Horseshoe DME Fix, Alaska; *6,000. *4,000—MOCA.
Horseshoe DME Fix, Alaska; Boney INT, Alaska; *7,000. *4,000—MOCA.

Section 95.6463 *VOR Federal airway 463* is deleted.

Section 95.7002 *Jet Route No. 2* is amended to read in part:

From, To, MEA, and MAA

Yuma, Ariz., VORTAC; Gila Bend, Ariz., VORTAC; 18,000; 45,000.

Section 95.7022 *Jet Route No. 22* is amended by adding:

Corpus Christi, Tex., VORTAC; Palacios, Tex., VORTAC; 18,000; 45,000.
Palacios, Tex., VORTAC; Lake Charles, La., VORTAC; 18,000; 45,000.

Section 95.7022 *Jet Route No. 22* is amended to delete:

Corpus Christi, Tex., VORTAC; Houston, Tex., VORTAC; 18,000; 45,000.
Houston, Tex., VORTAC; Lake Charles, La. VOR; 18,000; 45,000.

Section 95.7029 *Jet Route No. 29* is amended by adding:

Corpus Christi, Tex., VORTAC; Palacios, Tex., VORTAC; 18,000; 45,000.
Palacios, Tex., VORTAC; Humble, Tex., VORTAC; 18,000; 45,000.
Humble, Tex., VORTAC; Lufkin, Tex., VORTAC; 18,000; 45,000.

Section 95.7029 *Jet Route No. 29* is amended to delete:

Corpus Christi, Tex., VORTAC; Houston, Tex., VORTAC; 18,000; 45,000.
Houston, Tex., VORTAC; Lufkin, Tex., VOR; 18,000; 45,000.

Section 95.7037 *Jet Route No. 37* is amended by adding:

Houston, Tex., VORTAC; New Orleans, La., VORTAC; 26,000; 45,000.

Section 95.7138 *Jet Route No. 138* is amended by adding:

Houston, Tex., VORTAC; Lake Charles, La. VORTAC; 18,000; 45,000.

Section 95.7507 *Jet Route No. 507* is amended to read in part:

Deadhorse, Alaska LF/RBN; Fin LF INT, Alaska; 18,000; 45,000.
Fin LF INT, Alaska; Chooka LF INT, Alaska; 18,000; 45,000.
Chooka LF INT, Alaska; Fort Yukon, Alaska VOR; #18,000; 45,000. #MEA is established with a gap in navigation signal coverage.

Section 95.7515 *Jet Route No. 515* is amended to read in part:

Bettles, Alaska, VOR; Chip River LF INT, Alaska; 18,000; 45,000.
Chip River LF INT, Alaska; Browerville, Alaska LF/RBN; 18,000; 45,000.

Section 95.7595 *Jet Route No. 595* is added to read:

U.S. Canadian Border; Watertown, N.Y. VORTAC; 23,000; 45,000.
Watertown, N.Y., VORTAC; Plattsburgh, N.Y., VORTAC; 18,000; 45,000.
Plattsburgh, N.Y., VORTAC; Bangor, Maine, VORTAC; 18,000; 45,000.
Bangor, Maine, VORTAC; U.S. Canadian Border; 18,000; 45,000.

(Secs. 307, 1110, Federal Aviation Act of 1958, 49 U.S.C. 1348, 1510)

Issued in Washington, D.C., on November 1, 1972.

C. R. MELUGIN, Jr.,
Acting Director,
Flight Standards Service.

[FR Doc.72-19086 Filed 11-8-72;8:45 am]

[Docket No. 12338, Amdt. 837]

PART 97—STANDARD INSTRUMENT APPROACH PROCEDURES

Miscellaneous Amendments

This amendment to Part 97 of the Federal Aviation Regulations incorporates by reference therein changes and additions to the Standard Instrument Approach Procedures (SIAP's) that were recently adopted by the Administrator to promote safety at the airports concerned.

The complete SIAP's for the changes and additions covered by this amendment are described in FAA Form 3139, 8260-3, 8260-4, or 8260-5 and made a part of the public rule making dockets of the FAA in accordance with the procedures set forth in Amendment No. 97-696 (35 F.R. 5609).

SIAP's are available for examination at the rules docket and at the National Flight Data Center, Federal Aviation Administration, 800 Independence Avenue SW., Washington, DC 20591. Copies of SIAP's adopted in a particular region are also available for examination at the headquarters of that region. Individual copies of SIAP's may be purchased from the FAA Public Document Inspection Facility, HQ-405, 800 Independence Avenue SW., Washington, DC 20591, or from the applicable FAA regional office in accordance with the fee schedule prescribed in (49 CFR 7.85). This fee is payable in advance and may be paid by check, draft, or postal money order payable to the Treasurer of the United States. A weekly transmittal of all SIAP changes and additions may be obtained by subscription at an annual rate of $150 per annum from the Superintendent of Documents, U.S. Government Printing Office, Washington, D.C. 20402. Additional copies mailed to the same address may be ordered for $30 each.

Since a situation exists that requires immediate adoption of this amendment, I find that further notice and public procedure hereon is impracticable and good cause exists for making it effective in less than 30 days.

In consideration of the foregoing, Part 97 of the Federal Aviation Regulations is amended as follows, effective on the dates specified:

1. Section 97.23 is amended by establishing, revising, or canceling the following VOR-VOR/DME SIAP's, effective December 21, 1972.

Biddeford, Maine—Biddeford Municipal Airport; VOR-A, Original; Established.
Hickory, N.C.—Hickory Municipal Airport; VOR Runway 24, Amdt. 14; Revised.
Muscatine, Iowa—Muscatine Municipal Airport; VOR/DME-A, Amdt. 1; Revised.
Norfolk, Va.—Norfolk Regional Airport; VOR Runway 4, Amdt. 8; Canceled.
Norfolk, Va.—Norfolk Regional Airport; VOR/DME Runway 5, Original; Established.
Norfolk, Va.—Norfolk Regional Airport; VOR/DME Runway 23, Amdt. 3; Revised.
Pocatello, Idaho—Pocatello Municipal Airport; VOR Runway 3, Amdt. 12; Revised.
Pocatello, Idaho—Pocatello Municipal Airport; VOR/DME Runway 21, Amdt. 4; Revised.
Wisconsin Rapids, Wis.—Alexander Field South Wood County Airport; VOR/DME-A, Amdt. 1; Revised.

2. Section 97.23 is amended by establishing, revising, or canceling the following VOR-VOR/DME SIAP's, effective October 31, 1972.

Pierre, S. Dak.—Pierre Municipal Airport; VOR/DME Runway 7, Amdt. 3; Revised.
Pierre, S. Dak.—Pierre Municipal Airport; VOR Runway 25, Amdt. 11; Revised.

3. Section 97.25 is amended by establishing, revising, or canceling the following SDF-LOC-LDA SIAP's effective December 21, 1972.

Columbus, Ga.—Columbus Metropolitan Airport; LOC (BC) Runway 23, Amdt. 5; Revised.

4. Section 97.25 is amended by establishing, revising, or canceling the following SDF-LOC-LDA SIAP's effective October 27, 1972.

Bar Harbor, Maine—Bar Harbor Airport; LOC Runway 22, Amdt. 1; Revised.

5. Section 97.27 is amended by establishing, revising, or canceling the following NDB/ADF SIAP's, effective December 21, 1972.

Amchitka, Alaska—Amchitka Airport; NDB Runway 7, Amdt. 2; Revised.
Amchitka, Alaska—Amchitka Airport; NDB Runway 25, Amdt. 3; Revised.
Atlantic, Iowa—Atlantic Municipal Airport; NDB Runway 12, Amdt. 2; Revised.
Columbus, Ga.—Columbus Metropolitan Airport; NDB Runway 5, Amdt. 21; Revised.
Muscatine, Iowa—Muscatine Municipal Airport; NDB Runway 5, Amdt. 3; Revised.
Pocatello, Idaho—Pocatello Municipal Airport; NDB Runway 21, Amdt. 14; Revised.
Shenandoah, Iowa—Shenandoah Municipal Airport; NDB Runway 30, Amdt. 3; Revised.

6. Section 97.27 is amended by establishing, revising, or canceling the following NDB/ADF SIAP's, effective October 27, 1972.

Bar Harbor, Maine—Bar Harbor Airport; NDB-B, Amdt. 1; Revised.

7. Section 97.29 is amended by establishing, revising, or canceling the following ILS SIAP's, effective December 21, 1972.

Columbus, Ga.—Columbus Metropolitan Airport; ILS Runway 5, Amdt. 16; Revised.
Norfolk, Va.—Norfolk Regional Airport; ILS Runway 5, Amdt. 14; Revised.
Pocatello, Idaho—Pocatello Municipal Airport; ILS Runway 21, Amdt. 17; Revised.

8. Section 97.29 is amended by establishing, revising, or canceling the following ILS SIAP's, effective November 2, 1972.

New York, N.Y.—John F. Kennedy International Airport; ILS Runway 4R, Amdt. 19; Revised.

9. Section 97.31 is amended by establishing, revising, or canceling the following radar SIAP's, effective December 21, 1972.

Columbus, Ga.—Columbus Metropolitan Airport; Radar-1, Amdt. 2; Revised.
Norfolk, Va.—Norfolk Regional Airport; Radar-1, Amdt. 5; Revised.

(Secs. 307, 313, 601, 1110, Federal Aviation Act of 1958; 49 U.S.C. 1438, 1354, 1421, 1510, Sec. 6(c) Department of Transportation Act, 49 U.S.C. 1655(c) and 5 U.S.C. 552(a)(1))

Issued in Washington, D.C., on November 2, 1972.

C. R. MELUGIN, Jr.,
Acting Director,
Flight Standards Service.

NOTE: Incorporation by reference provisions in §§ 97.10 and 97.20 (35 F.R. 5610) approved by the Director of the FEDERAL REGISTER on May 12, 1969.

[FR Doc.72-19192 Filed 11-8-72;8:45 am]

Title 16—COMMERCIAL PRACTICES

Chapter I—Federal Trade Commission

SUBCHAPTER A—PROCEDURES AND RULES OF PRACTICE

PART 2—NONADJUDICATIVE PROCEDURES

Subpart C—Consent Order Procedure

The Commission announces the following amendment to Subpart A of Part 2 of Chapter I of Title 16 of the Code of Federal Regulations. This amendment is effective on the date of publication in the FEDERAL REGISTER (11-9-72).

Section 2.34(b) is amended to read as follows:

§ 2.34 Disposition.

* * * * *

(b) Upon receiving such an agreement, the Commission may: (1) Accept it; (2) reject it and issue its complaint and set the matter down for adjudication in regular course; or (3) take such other action as it may deem appropriate. If an agreement is accepted, the Commission will place the order contained therein on the public record for a period of thirty (30) days, during which it will receive and consider any comments or views concerning the order that may be filed by any interested persons. Thereafter, within thirty (30) days, the Commission may either withdraw its acceptance of the agreement and so notify the other party, in which event it will take such other action as it may consider appropriate, or issue and serve its complaint (in such form as the circumstances may require), and decision, in disposition of the proceeding.

* * * * *

(Sec. 6, 38 Stat. 721; 15 U.S.C. 46)

By direction of the Commission, dated October 25, 1972.

[SEAL] CHARLES A. TOBIN, *Secretary.*

[FR Doc.72-19298 Filed 11-8-72;8:54 am]

Title 17—COMMODITY AND SECURITIES EXCHANGE

Chapter II—Securities and Exchange Commission

[Release Nos. 33-5311, 34-9797, 35-17711, 39-324, IC-7392, IA-337]

PART 200—ORGANIZATION; CONDUCT AND ETHICS; AND INFORMATION AND REQUESTS

Change in Title of Hearing Examiners

The Commission has renamed the "Office of Hearing Examiners," so that it is now known as the "Office of Administrative Law Judges." The title "Hearing Examiner" has been changed to "Administrative Law Judge" and the title "Chief Hearing Examiner" has been changed to "Chief Administrative Law Judge." These changes were made to conform to a determination by the Civil Service Commission that on a Government-wide basis the position of "Hearing Examiner" should be retitled "Administrative Law Judge." Appropriate changes have been made in the Commission's rules of practice and the statement of organization and program management, including the rules relating to delegation of authority, as found in 17 CFR 200.1, et seq. Certain other changes were made in Part 200 of Title 17 to conform to existing practice. Articles 12, 13, 14, 15, 27, 30-8, and 30-10, as amended, are set forth below.[1]

Commission action. In conformity to the amendment effected by the U.S. Civil Service Commission in subpart B of part 930 of title 5 of the Code of Federal Regulations as published at 37 F.R. 16787, and pursuant to authority conferred upon it under section 1 of Public Law 87-592, sections 19 and 21 of the Securities Act of 1933, sections 4(b) and 23(a) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, section 20 of the Public Utility Holding Company Act of 1935, section 319 of the Trust Indenture Act of 1939, section 38 of the Investment Company Act of 1940 and section 211 of the Investment Advisers Act of 1940, the Securities and Exchange Commission hereby amends §§ 200.12, 200.13(b), 200.14, 200.15, 200.27, 300.30-8(a), and 200.30-10 of Chapter II of Title 17 of the Code of Federal Regulations, to read as follows:

§ 200.12 Functional responsibilities.

This section sets forth the administrative and substantive responsibilities of the Division Directors, Office Heads, Regional Administrators, and certain other Commission officers. All Commission officers and other staff members, except administrative law judges, shall perform, in addition to the duties herein set forth, such additional duties as the chairman of the Commission may assign from time to time. These officers also serve as liaison with Government and other agencies concerning matters within their respective functional responsibilities.

§ 200.13 The Secretary of the Commission.

* * * * *

(b) In addition, the Secretary has been delegated responsibilities relating to the Commission's rules of practice and other responsibilities.

§ 200.14 Office of Administrative Law Judges.

(a) Hearings for the purpose of developing the evidentiary record in the Commission's administrative proceedings are conducted before its administrative law judges. They rule on the admissibility of evidence and on legal and other issues which arise during the course of such proceedings. Unless waived by the parties, an initial decision is prepared by the administrative law judge in each case containing his conclusions as to the factual and legal issues presented and an appropriate order.

(b) The Chief Administrative Law Judge performs the duties of an administrative law judge and in addition those duties delegated to him by the Commission. He is responsible for the orderly functioning of the Office of Administrative Law Judges apart from the conduct of administrative proceedings and acts as liaison between that Office and the Commission.

§ 200.15 Director of the Office of Opinions and Review.

The Director of the Office of Opinions and Review is responsible for assisting members of the Commission in the preparation of the opinions of the Commission, and to the Commission for the preparation of opinions and decisions on motions and certifications of questions and rulings by administrative law judges in the course of administrative proceedings, except in cases where, pursuant to a waiver by the parties of separation of function requirements, another Division or Office of the Commission's staff undertakes to prepare such Findings and Opinion, in which cases the Director may assist in such preparation. * * *

§ 200.27 The Regional Administrators.

Each Regional Administrator is responsible for executing the Commission's programs within his geographic region as set forth below (except as specified below with regard to matters arising under chapters X and XI of the Bankruptcy Act), subject to policy direction and review by the Division Directors, the General Counsel, and the Chief Accountant. The Regional Administrator's responsibilities include particularly the investigation of transactions in securities on national securities exchanges, in the over-the-counter market, and in distribution to the public; the examination of members of national securities exchanges and registered brokers and dealers, investment advisers and investment companies including the examination of reports filed under § 240.17a-5 of this chapter; the examination and processing of filings under §§ 230.251 to 230.262 of this chapter issued pursuant to section 3(b) of the Securities Act of 1933; the prosecution of injunctive actions in U.S. District Courts and administrative proceedings before Administrative Law Judges; the rendering of assistance to U.S. attorneys in criminal cases; and the making of the Commission's facilities more readily available to the public in that region. In addition, the New York Regional Administrator is responsible for the participation in proceedings under chapters X and XI of the Bankruptcy Act in the New York and Boston regions, and in the State of Pennsylvania; the Chicago Regional Administrator in Chicago, Fort Worth and Denver regions, except for the State of Utah; and the Los Angeles Regional Administrator in the Los Angeles and Seattle regions, plus the State of Utah.

§ 200.30-8 Delegation of authority to Director of Office of Opinions and Review.

Pursuant to the provisions of Public Law No. 87-592, 76 Stat. 394, the Securities and Exchange Commission hereby

[1] See Securities Act Release No. 5309 (Sept. 27, 1972) for appropriate amendments to Rules 11 and 22 of the Commission's rules of practice.

delegates, until the Commission orders otherwise, the following functions to the Director of the Office of Opinions and Review, to be performed by him or under his direction by such person or persons as may be designated from time to time by the Chairman of the Commission:

(a) * * *

(1) * * *

(i) To consider an application for review of an interlocutory ruling which an administrative law judge has refused to certify, and to deny such application upon determining that the administrative law judge did not err in refusing to certify the matter.

(ii) To consider an interlocutory ruling which an administrative law judge has certified, and to affirm such ruling upon determining that such action is appropriate.

* * * * *

§ 200.30–10 Delegation of authority to Chief Administrative Law Judge.

Pursuant to the provisions of Public Law No. 87–592, 76 Stat. 394 (15 U.S.C. 78d–1), the Securities and Exchange Commission hereby delegates, until the Commission orders otherwise, the following functions to the Chief Administrative Law Judge or to such administrative law judge or administrative law judges as may be designated by the Chief Administrative Law Judge in his absence, or as otherwise designated by the Chairman of the Commission in the absence of the Chief Administrative Law Judge:

(a) With respect to proceedings conducted before an administrative law judge, pursuant to the Securities Act of 1933, 15 U.S.C. 77a et seq., the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, 15 U.S.C. 78a et seq., the Public Utility Holding Company Act of 1935, 15 U.S.C. 79a et seq., the Trust Indenture Act of 1939, 15 U.S.C. 77aaa et seq., the Investment Company Act of 1940, 15 U.S.C. 80a–1 et seq., and the Investment Advisers Act of 1940, 15 U.S.C. 80b–1 et seq.:

(1) After a proceeding has been authorized, to fix the time and place for hearing pursuant to Rule 6(b) of the Commission's rules of practice, § 201.6(b) of this chapter, and Rule 11(a) of the Commission's rules of practice, § 201.11 (a) of this chapter;

(2) To designate administrative law judges pursuant to Rule 11(b) of the Commission's rules of practice, § 201.11 (b) of this chapter;

(3) To postpone or adjourn hearings or otherwise adjust the date for commencement of hearings pursuant to Rule 13 of the Commission's rules of practice, § 201.13 of this chapter, or to advance or cancel such hearings, if necessary;

(4) To grant extensions of time within which to file papers pursuant to Rule 13 of the Commission's rules of practice, § 201.13 of this chapter;

(5) To permit the filing of briefs exceeding 60 pages in length, pursuant to Rule 22(d) of the Commission's rules of practice, § 201.22(d) of this chapter;

(6) To extend the time within which initial decisions are to be filed with the Secretary by administrative law judges designated to prepare such, pursuant to Rule 16(f) of the Commission's rules of practice, § 201.16(f) of this chapter;

(7) In the event the designated presiding administrative law judge is unavailable to issue subpenas requiring the attendance and testimony of witnesses and subpenas requiring the production of documentary or other tangible evidence at any designated place of hearing upon request therefor by any party, pursuant to Rule 14(b) of the Commission's rules of practice, § 201.14(b) of this chapter. (b) Notwithstanding anything in the foregoing, in any case in which the Chief Administrative Law Judge believes it appropriate he may submit the matter to the Commission.

(Secs. 1, Public Law 87–592, 15 U.S.C. 78d–1; secs. 19, 21, 209, 48 Stat. 85, 86, 908, 15 U.S.C. 77s, 77u; sec. 4(b), 48 Stat. 885, sec. 1106(a), 63 Stat. 972, 15 U.S.C. 78d(b); sec. 23(a), 48 Stat. 901, sec. 8, 49 Stat. 1379, 15 U.S.C. 78w; sec. 20, 49 Stat. 888, 15 U.S.C. 79t; sec. 319, 58 Stat. 1173, 15 U.S.C. 77sss; sec. 38, 54 Stat. 841, 15 U.S.C. 80a–37; sec. 211, 54 Stat. 885, sec. 14, 74 Stat. 888, 15 U.S.C. 80b–11)

The Commission finds that the foregoing amendments relate solely to rules of agency organization, procedure or practice and that notice and public procedure pursuant to 5 U.S.C. 553 are not required. The foregoing amendments shall be effective immediately.

By the Commission.

[SEAL] RONALD F. HUNT, *Secretary.*

SEPTEMBER 27, 1972.

[FR Doc.72–19223 Filed 11–8–72;8:48 am]

[Release Nos. 33–5309, 34–9795, 35–17710, 39–323, IC–7389, IA–335]

PART 201—RULES OF PRACTICE

Miscellaneous Amendments

The Securities and Exchange Commission has amended certain of its rules of practice (17 CFR Part 201) governing administrative proceedings before the agency. The amendments are part of the Commission's continuing program of refining existing practices and procedures in the interest of providing for more efficient and expeditious conduct of and disposition of administrative proceedings, encouraging settlements between the parties, achieving greater fairness in adjudicative matters, and expanding the authority of hearing officers in the conduct of hearings. The amendments announced today were adopted by the Commission after consideration of various recommendations in this area made in the report of June 1, 1972, of the Advisory Committee on Enforcement Policies and Practices.

Rule 8(d) (17 CFR 201.8(d)) is amended to make it clear that the hearing officer may in appropriate cases direct the submission or exchange of pretrial memoranda setting forth the legal theories on which the parties rely, and may require the disclosure of the identity of witnesses and a list of the documents intended to be introduced at the hearing. Under Rule 8(d) the hearing officer has had authority to order conferences during the course of the proceedings for the exchange of information and to simplify issues and expedite the proceedings. Moreover, under Rule 7(d) (17 CFR 201.7(d)) a party has been able to file a motion for a more definite statement of specified matters of fact or law to be considered or determined. While in the past the Commission has held that a respondent is entitled to be sufficiently informed of the charges against him so that he may adequately prepare his defense, but that he is not entitled as of right to a disclosure of evidence in advance of the hearing (see, e.g., "Michael J. Meehan," 1 SEC 238 (1935); "Charles M. Weber," 35 SEC 79 (1953)), the Commission has also indicated that in the exercise of discretion in order to expedite the proceeding it may be appropriate to direct that respondents be furnished additional information even of an evidentiary nature ("e.g., Murray Securities Corporation," 37 SEC 780, 781 (1957)). There has been a trend also in orders issued by hearing officers toward requiring the disclosure of more information in advance of hearing. The present amendment to Rule 8 (d) is intended further to indicate the Commission's policy to encourage the use of formal or informal conference procedures and the exchange of relevant information where practical and reasonable to expedite proceedings, arrive at settlements or simplification of the issues and assure fairness to respondents.

The authority so granted to the hearing officer is to be used in the exercise of his sound discretion where it appears that under all the circumstances the use of some or all of these procedures would make a substantial contribution to the settlement or expeditious disposition of issues. Such procedures would be of particular benefit in those cases where there are issues of an unusually complex nature or it appears likely that the hearings will require extended periods of time. By the same token, in the exercise of his sound discretion the hearing officer would not direct any procedure which would appear to involve an unnecessary burden or delay in a particular case, undermine staff independence of action, or require the disclosure of the names of witnesses where there is a reasonable basis to anticipate that such action may lead to undesirable pressures on or harassment of witnesses or otherwise not be in the public interest.

Several other amendments are made to clarify and expand the authority of hearing officers to control the course and conduct of administrative proceedings referred to them. Rule 6(d) (17 CFR 201.6(d)) is amended to make it clear that a hearing officer may, for cause shown, grant a motion for an amendment to the matters to be considered at any time after the commencement of

the hearing and prior to the filing of an initial decision or if no initial decison is to be filed, prior to the time fixed for the filing of final briefs with the Commission. Normally, the staff would file a motion to amend with the hearing officer where the proposed new matter is within the framework of the original order for proceedings issued by the Commission; if there is new matter which is without the framework of that order, the staff would submit its motion for amendment to the Commission.

Rule 8(a) (17 CFR 201.8(a)) is amended to specify that upon the agreement and request of the interested parties a hearing officer may in his discretion express his views regarding the appropriateness of a proposed offer of settlement with the understanding that such request constitutes a waiver of any claim of prejudice based on the hearing officer's expression of views. The hearing officer, of course, may decline to express his views on a settlement offer.

To give effect to the recent change in title made by the Civil Service Commission, Rule 11(b) (17 CFR 201.11(b)) is amended to change the designation of hearing examiner to that of administrative law judge.[1] Rule 11(d) (17 CFR 201.11(d)) is amended to state that a hearing officer in his discretion may require, in a proceeding involving more than one respondent, that the staff indicate at least 1 day before evidence is presented the respondent against whom that evidence will be presented. Rule 11(e) (17 CFR 201.11(e)) is amended to authorize the hearing officer to grant a motion to dismiss not only at the end of a proceeding but also in his discretion at the end of the staff's case.

Rules 13 and 16 (17 CFR 201.13, 201.16) are also amended to give hearing officers greater discretion in the granting of postponements or adjournments of hearings and fixing the time for the filing of proposed findings and conclusions and briefs. Likewise, Rule 22(d) (17 CFR 201.22(d)) is amended to allow a hearing officer to permit the filing of a brief exceeding 60 pages in length with that hearing officer.

Commission actions. Pursuant to authority conferred upon it under section 1 of Public Law 87–592, sections 19 and 21 of the Securities Act of 1933, sections 4(b) and 23(a) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, section 20 of the Public Utility Holding Company Act of 1935, section 319 of the Trust Indenture Act of 1939, section 38 of the Investment Company Act of 1940 and section 211 of the Investment Advisers Act of 1940, the Securities and Exchange Commission hereby amends Part 201 of Chapter II of Title 17 of the Code of Federal Regulations as set forth below.

I. Paragraph (d) of § 201.6 is amended to read as follows:

§ 201.6 Notice of proceedings and hearings.

* * * * *

(d) *Amendment of order for proceedings.* In any proceeding, applications or motions for amendments to the matters of fact and law to be considered may be granted, for cause shown, by the hearing officer at any time after the commencement of the hearing and prior to the filing of an initial decision therein, or, if no initial decision is to be filed, prior to the time fixed for the filing of final briefs with the Commission, or by the Commission at any time.

* * * * *

II. In § 201.8, subparagraph (2) of paragraph (a) is redesignated as subparagraph (3) of said paragraph (a) and a new subparagraph (2) is inserted thereunder; and paragraph (d) is amended; all to read as follows:

§ 201.8 Settlements, agreements, and conferences.

(a) *Offers of settlement.* * * *

(2) Upon the agreement and request of the interested parties, the hearing officer may express his views regarding the appropriateness of any offer of settlement, with the understanding that the request by the parties constitutes a waiver of any right to claim prejudgment by the hearing officer based on the views the hearing officer expresses, and the hearing officer in any event in his discretion may decline to express any view on the offer;

* * * * *

(d) *Conferences and prehearing memoranda.* At the opening of a hearing or at any other time during the course of any proceeding, to the extent practicable, where time, the nature of the proceeding, and the public interest permit, the hearing officer shall, at the request of any party or upon his own motion, hold or order conferences for the purpose of clarifying and simplifying issues and otherwise facilitating or expediting the proceeding. At the conference or otherwise, the hearing officer, at the request of any party or upon his own motion, where he believes such action would tend to expedite the proceedings or promote fairness, may in his discretion and with due regard for the convenience and necessity of the parties or their attorneys, order a party, including the interested division, to furnish where practicable any or all of the following: An outline of its case or defense; the legal theories upon which it will rely; the identity of the witnesses who will testify on its behalf; and copies of or a list of documents which it intends to introduce at the hearing. The hearing officer shall not order any of the foregoing procedures that a party including the division can show is inappropriate under all the circumstances. At the conference, the parties shall where practical and reasonable consider such matters as (1) the possibility of obtaining stipulations and admissions of facts and of authenticity and contents of documents which will avoid unnecessary proof; (2) expedition in the presentation of evidence; (3) the exchange of copies of proposed exhibits; (4) settlement of the issues; and (5) such other matters as will promote a fair and expeditious hearing or aid in the disposition of the proceeding. Where such conference is held without the presence of the hearing officer, the hearing officer shall be advised promptly by the parties of the agreements reached. At or following the conclusion of a conference the hearing officer shall enter a ruling or order which recites the agreements reached and any procedural determinations made by the hearing officer, which may include any procedural ruling he is authorized to make during the course of a proceeding.

III. Section 201.11 is amended as follows:

a. The first sentence of paragraph (b) is amended.

b. In paragraph (d), new language is added at the end;

c. The first sentence of paragraph (e) is revised to read as follows:

§ 201.11 Hearings for the purpose of taking evidence; motions and applications to hearing officers.

* * * * *

(b) *Presiding officers; public hearings.* All such hearings shall be held before the Commission or a hearing officer, who shall be an administrative law judge or other officer duly designated by the Commission or shall be one or more members of the Commission. * * *

* * * * *

(d) * * * The hearing officer may in his discretion where practicable order the division in a proceeding involving more than one respondent to indicate on the record at least 1 day prior to the presentation of any evidence, each respondent against whom that evidence will be offered.

(e) *Rulings by hearing officer; exceptions.* Except as otherwise directed by the Commission, or where these rules specifically provide otherwise, all applications, motions and objections made during a proceeding prior to the filing of an initial decision therein, or, if no initial decision is to be filed, prior to the time fixed for the filing of final briefs with the Commission, shall be made to or referred to and decided by the hearing officer, except that an application or motion which requires a ruling which would dispose of the proceeding in whole or in part shall be made to the hearing officer after the conclusion of the division's case or after the conclusion of the hearing. * * *

IV. In § 201.13, paragraph (b) thereof is deleted, and paragraph (c) thereof is redesignated as paragraph (b) and as so redesignated paragraph (b) is amended to read as follows:

[1] See Securities Act Release No 5311 (September 27, 1972).

§ 201.13 **Extension of time and adjournments.**

* * * * *

(b) *Limitations on postponements and adjournments.* A hearing before a hearing officer shall begin at the time and place ordered by the Commission, provided that, within the limits provided by statute, the hearing officer may for good cause postpone the commencement of the hearing for a reasonable period of time or change the place of hearing. Any convened hearing may be adjourned to such time and place as may be ordered by the Commission or by the hearing officer. It is the policy of the Commission that such postponements or adjournments should normally not exceed 30 days. If the hearing officer orders a postponement or an adjournment for a period exceeding 30 days, the reasons for so doing shall be stated in his order.

V. The first sentence of paragraph (e) of § 201.16 is amended to read as follows:

§ 201.16 **Proposed findings and conclusions; initial decisions.**[2]

* * * * *

(e) *Time for filing proposed findings and briefs prescribed by hearing officer.* At the end of every hearing, the hearing officer shall, after consultation with the parties, prescribe the period within which such proposed findings and conclusions and supporting briefs are to be filed and shall direct such filings to be either simultaneous or successive: *Provided, however,* That the period within which the first filing is to be made, normally should be no more than 30 days after the close of the hearing, and if the hearing officer directs that the first filing be made at a date later than 30 days after the close of the hearing, the reasons for so doing shall be stated in his order. * * *

* * * * *

VII. Paragraph (d) of § 201.22 is amended to read as follows:

§ 201.22 **Filing; formalities; computation of time.**

* * * * *

(d) *Length and form of briefs.* All briefs filed with the Commission or with a hearing officer containing more than 10 pages shall include an index and table of cases. The date of each brief must appear on its front cover or title page. No brief shall exceed 60 pages in length, except with the permission of the Commission, or as to briefs filed with a hearing officer, with the permission of that hearing officer.

* * * * *

(Sec. 1, Public Law 87–592, 15 U.S.C. 78d–1; secs. 19, 21, 209, 48 Stat. 85, 86, 908, 15 U.S.C. 77s, 77u; sec. 4(b) 48 Stat. 885, sec. 1106(a), 63 Stat. 972, 15 U.S.C. 78d(b); sec. 23(a) 48 Stat. 901, sec. 8, 49 Stat. 1379, 15 U.S.C. 78w; sec. 20, 49 Stat. 888, 15 U.S.C. 79t; sec. 319, 58 Stat. 1173, 15 U.S.C. 77sss; sec. 38, 54 Stat. 841, 15 U.S.C. 80a–37; sec. 211, 54 Stat. 885; sec. 14, 74 Stat. 888, 15 U.S.C. 80b–11)

The Commission finds that the foregoing amendments relate solely to rules of agency organization, procedure and practice, and that notice and procedures pursuant to 5 U.S.C. 553 are unnecessary and not required. The foregoing amendments, therefore, shall be effective immediately.

By the Commission.

[SEAL] RONALD F. HUNT, *Secretary.*

SEPTEMBER 27, 1972.

[FR Doc.72–19224 Filed 11–8–72;8:48 am]

[Release Nos. 33–5320, 34–9818, IC–7422, IA–340]

PART 202—INFORMAL AND OTHER PROCEDURES

Preliminary or Formal Investigations

On September 27, 1972, the Commission issued a release describing certain informal Commission procedures relating to the commencement of enforcement proceedings and termination of staff investigations. See Release Nos. 33–5310; 34–9796; IC–7390; IA–336. Appropriate amendments have been made in the Commission's Statement of Informal and Other Procedures contained in Part 202 of Title 17 of the Code of Federal Regulations to reflect those procedures. The text of these amendments follows.

Commission action. Pursuant to authority conferred upon it under section 1 of Public Law 87–592, sections 19 and 21 of the Securities Act of 1933, sections 4(b) and 23(a) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, section 20(a) of the Public Utility Holding Company Act of 1935, section 319 of the Trust Indenture Act of 1939, section 38 of the Investment Company Act of 1940, and section 211 of the Investment Advisers Act of 1940, the Securities and Exchange Commission hereby amends paragraphs (c) and (d) of § 202.5 of Chapter II of Title 17 of the Code of Federal Regulations to read as follows:

§ 202.5 **Enforcement activities.**

* * * * *

(c) Persons who become involved in preliminary or formal investigations may, on their own initiative, submit a written statement to the Commission setting forth their interests and position in regard to the subject matter of the investigation. Upon request, the staff, in its discretion, may advise such persons of the general nature of the investigation, including the indicated violations as they pertain to them, and the amount of time that may be available for preparing and submitting a statement prior to the presentation of a staff recommendation to the Commission for the commencement of an administrative or injunction proceeding. Submissions by interested persons should be forwarded to the appropriate Division Director or Regional Administrator with a copy to the staff members conducting the investigation and should be clearly referenced to the specific investigation to which they relate. In the event a recommendation for the commencement of an enforcement proceeding is presented by the staff, any submissions by interested persons will be forwarded to the Commission in conjunction with the staff memorandum.

(d) In instances where the staff has concluded its investigation of a particular matter and has determined that it will not recommend the commencement of an enforcement proceeding against a person, the staff, in its discretion, may advise the party that its formal investigation has been terminated. Such advice if given must in no way be construed as indicating that the party has been exonerated or that no action may ultimately result from the staff's investigation of the particular matter.

(Sec. 1, P.L. 87–592, 15 U.S.C. 78d–1; secs. 19, 21, 209, 48 Stat. 85, 86, 908, 15 U.S.C. 77s, 77u; sec. 4(b), 48 Stat. 885, sec. 1106(a), 63 Stat. 972, 15 U.S.C. 78d(b); sec. 23(a), 48 Stat. 901, sec. 8, 49 Stat. 1379, 15 U.S.C. 78w.; sec. 20, 49 Stat. 888, 15 U.S.C. 79t; sec. 319, 58 Stat. 1173, 15 U.S.C. 77sss; sec. 38, 54 Stat. 841, 15 U.S.C. 80a–37; sec. 211, 54 Stat. 885, sec. 14, 74 Stat. 88, 15 U.S.C. 80b–11)

The Commission finds that these amendments relate solely to rules of agency organization, procedure or practice, and notice and public procedures pursuant to 5 U.S.C. 553 are therefore not required. The foregoing amendments are effective immediately.

By the Commission.

[SEAL] RONALD F. HUNT, *Secretary.*

OCTOBER 12, 1972.

[FR Doc.72–19225 Filed 11–8–72;8:48 am]

[Release No. 33–5314]

PART 230—GENERAL RULES AND REGULATIONS SECURITIES ACT OF 1933

PART 239—FORMS PRESCRIBED UNDER THE SECURITIES ACT OF 1933

Small Offering Exemption for Fractional Undivided Interests in Oil and Gas Rights

Notice is hereby given that the Securities and Exchange Commission has adopted amendments to Regulation B (17 CFR 230.300 to 230.356) of its general rules and regulations under section 3(b) of the Securities Act of 1933 (Act) which will become effective on January 1, 1973. This regulation, which provides an exemption from the registration requirements of the Act for certain offerings of fractional undivided interests in oil and gas rights, has not been materially amended since 1937.

The proposed revision would retain the general structure of the regulation, although it has been revised and reordered to make it clearer and to incorporate and codify certain administrative interpretations of the existing regulation. The Commission also has adopted several important revisions of the regulation which, because of changes in economic and industry conditions and because of certain abuses in past selling practices, appear

necessary in the public interest and for the protection of investors. The important revisions include: (1) An increase in the dollar amount of an offering exempted from $100,000 to $250,000; (2) restriction on the use of sales literature and other forms of advertising; (3) a requirement for the delivery of the required offering sheet 48 hours before any sale may be made; (4) the denial on a prospective basis of the exemption to any person where he or certain related persons have been involved in violations of the Federal securities laws in connection with the sale of securities and revision of the procedure for suspension of the exemption to assist in obtaining compliance with the terms of the exemption; (5) a requirement for reports concerning the results of the offering on form 3–G (17 CFR 239.101(b)(2)); and (6) the elimination of the existing provision pertaining to offerings of less than $30,000 and in which the smallest interest offered or sold is not less than $300.

SUMMARY OF PRINCIPAL AMENDMENTS

Reference is made to the attached Regulation B and forms for the full text of the amendments thereto which should be carefully considered. The Commission has received a number of comments with respect to its proposal to adopt these amendments as announced on February 14, 1972 in Securities Act Release No. 5233 (37 F.R. 16008). The Commission's decision to adopt the proposals with certain revisions and additions discussed below, reflects consideration of those comments.

1. *Increase in dollar amount of exemption (Rule 302) (17 CFR 230.302).*—The Commission notes that economic conditions have changed significantly since 1937, and believes it is appropriate to increase the dollar amount of offerings exempted from $100,000 to $250,000 in order to reflect increases in drilling costs. Such an increase is also consistent with the Commission's recent action increasing the dollar amount of an offering exempted pursuant to section 3(b) of the Act and Regulation A (17 CFR 230.251–230.263) thereunder.

2. *Prior delivery of offering sheet (Rule 310) (17 CFR 230.310).*—The registration provisions of the Securities Act of 1933 provide for a "waiting period" between the filing date and effective date of a registration statement during which written offers to sell securities may be made by means of a preliminary prospectus. The Commission has, by rule or release, taken steps to encourage dissemination of the preliminary prospectus during this period in order to afford persons effecting a distribution and persons reasonably expected to be purchasers of the securities a means of being informed about the investment merits of the offering prior to the effective date of the registration statement. The Commission believes that persons purchasing securities offered pursuant to Regulation B should have a similar opportunity to consider the material in the offering sheet. Accordingly, rule 310(d) requires delivery of the offering sheet 48 hours prior to any sale, which is a reasonable time for such consideration and is in accordance with the standard applied in Securities Act Release No. 4968 (34 F.R. 7235).[1]

3. *Limitations on the use of sales literature and other forms of advertising (Rule 318) (17 CFR 230.318).*—Enforcement problems have been reported to the Commission relating to frequent abuses in the use of sales literature and advertising material in connection with offerings purported to be exempt pursuant to Regulation B. Accordingly, Rule 318 provides as a condition of the exemption, that advertising and sales material should be limited to the offering sheet, "tombstone" advertisements, and any material required by State law. The Commission has added a provision to Rule 318 as proposed for comment which would permit sales material to indicate whether the well to be drilled is to be exploratory or developmental.

4. *Grounds for denial of exemption and revision of suspension procedures (Rules 306, 334, 336, and 338 (17 CFR 230.306, 230.334, 230.336, and 230.338).*—It appears to the Commission that where persons who propose to publicly offer securities have violated provisions of the Federal securities laws within a reasonable period prior to a proposed offering, it may not be in the public interest to allow such persons to take advantage of the exemption from registration provided by Regulation B. Accordingly, Rule 306 provides a bar to the use of the exemption by such persons which would terminate after a period of 5 years and from which the Commission could grant relief upon a showing of good cause. However, the Commission has determined that Rule 306 would apply only to operative events under the rule, such as injunctive orders or stop orders, occurring subsequent to its adoption.

The procedures for suspending the exemption accorded by Regulation B have also been amended to provide for more effective enforcement. For example, Regulation B presently would permit a person to make other offerings pursuant to the regulation, if one offering is suspended. The amendment would prohibit such a practice, and generally, would make the suspension procedures pursuant to Regulation B similar to those under Regulation A. However, the proposal as announced in Release 33–5233 has been revised to permit a temporary suspension to be lifted prior to the entry of an order for hearing to determine whether a permanent suspension should be entered when the offering sheet has been appropriately amended and the protection of investors does not appear to require a permanent suspension. (See Rule 336(c).)

[1] See Rule 460 (17 CFR 230.460) under the Act, Rule 15c2–8 (17 CFR 240.15c2–8) under the Securities Exchange Act, and Securities Act Release No. 4968. See also Securities Act Release No. 5277 (37 F.R. 16008) wherein the Commission recently announced a proposal to require delivery of offering circulars used in connection with offerings exempt pursuant to Regulation A 48 hours prior to any sale.

5. *Report of results of offering (Rule 316 (17 CFR 230.316) and Form 3–G).*—A report of the results of the offering would provide information which would be useful to investors particularly in view of the fact that there is no continuous reporting requirement under Regulation B similar to section 15(d) of the Exchange Act. Such a report is required from new registrants under the Securities Act pursuant to Rule 463 (17 CFR 230.463). The report as published for comment has been simplified and shortened.

6. *Deletion of the $30,000 provision (Rule 314(a) (17 CFR 230.314(a)).).*—Rule 314(a) presently provides that no exemption from the regulation is available unless the operating lessee will own a working interest of a specified amount upon completion of the sale of the issue. Under paragraph (b) of the rule, however, this provision does not apply if (i) the aggregate amount at which the issue is offered to the public does not exceed $30,000 and (ii) the smallest interest which is separately offered or sold to the public is not so offered or sold for less than $300. Paragraph (b) has been deleted from proposed Rule 302(c), the successor rule to Rule 314(a) because investors in issues conforming to the limitations of present Rule 314(b) need the prophylactic protections afforded by present Rule 314(a) to the same extent as investors in larger issues.

ANALYSIS OF PROPOSED RULES

General. The rules have been renumbered by using even numbers beginning with Rule 300. Odd numbers have been reserved for use in the future adoption of other rules as deemed necessary and appropriate in the public interest.

The presentation of the regulation, in general, follows this pattern:

A. Introduction.
B. Definitions.
C. Availability of the exemption.
  1. Requirements.
  2. Limitations.
D. Conditions for the exemption.
  1. Filing and use of the offering sheet, reports, sales materials.
E. The offering sheet.
  1. Form, preparation.
F. The suspension order.
  1. Reasons for suspension.
  2. Procedure.
  3. Effects of suspension.
G. Amendments.
H. Withdrawal and termination.

The more significant changes in the regulation are as follows: § 230.300 (17 CFR 230.300). The definitions in Rule 300 are based on and substantially similar to, those contained in the present Rule 300. The definition of the term "participating interest" has been extended to cover rights of participation in the oil or gas, or in the proceeds from the sale of oil or gas produced from a well or wells. Certain other revisions have been made to conform the definitions to other rules. Also, a definition of "affiliate" has been added to the rule as previously proposed.

Section 230.302 (17 CFR 230.302).—The maximum dollar amount permitted

to be raised under the regulation would be increased from $100,000 to $250,000.

Section 230.306 (17 CFR 230.306).— This rule, which will operate prospectively, denies the exemption to an offeror where it has been involved in violations of the Federal securities laws. Included in the rule is a relief provision where the Commission, upon a showing of good cause, may permit the use of the exemption.

Section 230.310 (17 CFR 230.310).— The waiting period for sale has been extended from 8 to 10 days. In addition, the rule requires the furnishing of the offering sheet to investors 48 hours before a sale may be made or money or other consideration accepted. Two copies of the definitive offering sheet are required to be filed under this rule. Finally, the period of time during which the offering sheet may be used has been extended from 110 to 120 days.

Section 230.316 (17 CFR 230.316).— An additional report (Form 3–G) (17 CFR 239.102(b)(2)), showing how proceeds were expended and the results of drilling, is required to be filed not later than 3 months after completion of the offering and copies of the report are required to be distributed to participants who have purchased working or participating interests. The report is not required to be distributed to persons acquiring certain royalty interests or production payments. Additional reports may be required to provide information as to a well completion. The filing of a report of sales on Form 1–G (17 CFR 239.102(b)(1)) is required after the termination of sales, rather than after each individual sale, as previously required.

Section 230.318 (17 CFR 230.318).— This rule provides that, other than the limited forms of advertisements permitted by the rule and material required by State law, no form of advertising or sales literature would be permitted under this regulation.

Section 230.326 (17 CFR 230.326).— The number of schedules on which filings may be made pursuant to the regulation has been reduced from six to four, by the rescission of Schedules E and F (17 CFR 239.101(e), 239.101(f)).

Section 230.334 (17 CFR 230.334).— The existing suspension provision has been augmented by adding as bases for suspension both violations of the antifraud provisions of the Federal securities acts in connection with the offering and failure of certain persons to cooperate with the Commission in connection with any investigation relating to the offering. The provision requiring that a temporary suspension order must be entered within 7 days after filing the offering sheet has been removed.

Section 230.336 (17 CFR 230.336).— The suspension procedure has been amended to conform substantially with the procedure specified in Regulation A. After the entry of a temporary suspension order, if no hearing is requested, the order would become permanent on the 30th day after its entry unless an appropriate amendment to the offering sheet has been filed and the protection of investors does not appear to require a permanent suspension.

Section 230.338 (17 CFR 230.338).— This rule provides that the effectiveness of an offering sheet shall be delayed or suspended until any temporary or permanent suspension order issued is vacated.

Section 230.340 (17 CFR 230.340). This rule provides that an offering sheet may not be amended if sales have been made under that offering sheet. It further provides that any offering sheet may not be amended after an order for hearing on the suspension of the exemption for that offering has been entered.

Section 230.342 (17 CFR 230.342). This rule provides for the red-lining of one copy of an amendment in order to show changes from the previous filing.

FORMS AND SCHEDULES

Forms 1–G (17 CFR 239.101(b)(1) and 3–G (17 CFR 239.101(b)(2)). As noted in the reference to Rule 316 (17 CFR 230.316), above, the Commission has amended Form 1–G to reduce the number of such filings required and has adopted Form 3–G, a report disclosing how proceeds of the offering were expended and the results of drilling. Both Forms 1–G and 3–G are self-explanatory. Some simplification of Form 3–G as previously proposed has been accomplished in light of comments received.

Rescission of Form 2–G (17 CFR 239.101(h)). Existing Rule 322 conditionally excepts certain transactions from the offering sheet requirements prescribed by existing Rule 320. Certain of such transactions were required to be reported on Form 2–G. However, it appears that over the years few, if any, such transactions have been effected in reliance on the exceptions in Rule 322, and the Commission has not received a report on Form 2–G in years. The Commission, therefore, has eliminated the exceptions set forth in Rule 322. Form 2–G does not therefore appear necessary and has been rescinded. It further appears that the type of transactions excepted in Rule 322 are of the type which might permit sales in reliance on the exemptions provided by section 4(1) or 4(2) of the Act. Where such is not the case the Commission believes that delivery of an offering sheet should be required.

Rescission of Schedules E and F (17 CFR 239.101(e) and 239.101(f)). There have been six schedules (A through F) (17 CFR 239.101 (a)–(f)) for filing of offering sheets under Regulation B. The Commission has received no filings on Schedule E or F for years. It appears from a review of the schedules that Schedules A through D (formerly 17 CFR 239.101 (a)–(d) now 17 CFR 239.101 (a) (1)–(4)) provide all that is required by way of schedules under the regulation. Any filings that might have been made on Schedules E and F may be made on Schedule C or D (17 CFR 239.101(a) (3)–(4)). The Commission has therefore rescinded Schedules E and F.

Commission action. Pursuant to authority in sections 3(b) and 19(a) of the Securities Act of 1933, the Securities and Exchange Commission hereby rescinds all of present Regulation B (§§ 230.300 through 230.256) including the headnote thereto, and adopts a new Regulation B (§§ 230.300 through 200.346) including new headnote in Part 230 and amends § 239.101 in Part 239 of Chapter II of Title 17 of the Code of Federal Regulations reading as follows:

REGULATION B—EXEMPTION RELATING TO FRACTIONAL UNDIVIDED INTERESTS IN OIL OR GAS RIGHTS

Introduction. While compliance with this regulation does not require the registration of securities under the Securities Act of 1933 (the Act), the need to make filings with the Commission and to disclose certain basic information is not eliminated. Persons offering securities under this exemption, as conditions to the exemption, are still required to file prescribed documents with the Commission containing certain basic and material information and to provide prospective investors with this information with respect to such securities. The regulation and the rules provide a method for obtaining an exemption from the requirements of registration if certain conditions are met and the rules of the regulation are followed. It should also be noted that the antifraud provisions of the Federal securities laws and the civil liabilities provisions of section 12(2) of the Act remain applicable, even if the exemption is available.

Form S–10 (17 CFR 239.17) adopted pursuant to the Act is available for the registration of fractional interests in oil and gas rights should the exemption provided by this regulation be unavailable.

§ 230.300 Definitions of terms used in Regulation B.

(a) As used in Regulation B (§§ 230.300–230.346), the following terms shall have the respective meanings set forth below:

(1) *Fractional undivided interests.* The term "fractional undivided interest in oil or gas rights" shall include fractional undivided interests in landowner's royalty interests, overriding royalty interests, working interests, participating interests, and oil and gas payments as defined in subparagraphs (2) to (6) inclusive of this paragraph.

(2) *Landowner's royalty interest.* The term "landowner's royalty interest" means the right in the royalty reserved by a landowner or fee owner upon the creation of an oil and gas lease.

(3) *Overriding royalty interest.* The term "overriding royalty interest" means the right of participation in the oil or gas, or in the proceeds from the sale of the oil or gas, produced from a specified tract or tracts, which right is limited in duration to the terms of an existing lease and is not subject to any portion of the expense of development, operation, or maintenance.

(4) *Working interest.* The term "working interest" means that right in an oil or gas leasehold which is subject to any

portion of the expense of development, operation, or maintenance.

(5) *Participating interest.* The term "participating interest" means the right of participation in the oil or gas, or in the proceeds from the sale of oil or gas, produced from a specified tract or tracts, or well(s), which right is limited in duration to the terms of an existing lease and is subject to any portion of the expense of development, operation, or maintenance.

(6) *Oil or gas payment.* The term "oil and gas payment" means the right of participation in the oil or gas, or in the proceeds from the sale of the oil or gas, produced from a specified tract or tracts, which is limited to a maximum amount fixed in barrels of oil, cubic feet of gas, or dollars.

(7) *Offeror.* The term "offeror" means any issuer of, underwriter of, or dealer in, any of the interests or rights offered under Regulation B (§§ 230.300–230.346) or any other person who issues, offers, or sells, any such interest or rights.

(8) *Offering sheet.* The term "offering sheet" means the offering sheet required by § 230.310.

(9) *Affiliate.* An "affiliate" of any person is a person controlling, controlled by, or under common control with such person.

(10) The terms "person," "issuer," "underwriter," and "dealer" shall have the meanings given in section 2 of the Act.

(b) Where the terms defined in subparagraphs (1) through (10) of paragraph (a) of this section are used in an offering sheet, such terms shall not be given a meaning inconsistent with such definitions. Other technical terms used in the offering sheet should not be inconsistent with their customary usage in the oil and gas industry.

§ 230.302 Interests exempted.

(a) Except as otherwise provided in this Regulation B, fractional undivided interests in oil and gas rights, such as landowner's royalty interests, oil or gas payments, overriding royalty interests, participating interests, or working interests which are offered and sold in accordance with the terms and conditions of this Regulation B, shall be exempt from registration under the Act, provided the aggregate amount of the offering does not exceed $250,000.

(b) No exemption shall be available under this Regulation B unless the operating lessee or lessees will own, as a minimum amount, unencumbered in his name or their names, upon completion of the sale of the issue, a working interest in the tract or tracts involved equal to whichever of the following amounts is greater: (1) 20 percent of the total production from such tract or tracts of all oil, gas, or other hydrocarbon substances, or (2) the total percentage of production from such tract or tracts which is not subject to any portion of the expenses of development, operation, or maintenance, such as the landowner's royalty and overriding royalty.

(c) As used in this section, the term "operating lessee or lessees" shall include the lessee of record actually engaged in developing and operating the tract or tracts involved and all other owners of working interests in the tract or tracts, who are regularly engaged in the business of exploring for or producing oil or gas and who have consented in writing to the development and operation of said tract or tracts by such lessee of record.

(d) No exemption shall be available under this Regulation B for limited partnership interests, "reversionary interests," and "interests in net profits." For purposes of this paragraph the term "reversionary interests" means the right of participation in an oil and gas right after the recovery by that right of all of its drilling, completion, and operating costs; and the term "interest in net profits" means the right of participation in the proceeds from the sale of the oil or gas after deduction of all expenses of operation.

§ 230.304 Interests involving noncontiguous tracts.

(a) Interests involving noncontiguous tracts of land may be included in the same offering sheet, only if the following conditions are met:

(1) All of the interests to be offered thereunder are landowner's royalty interests; and either:

(i) All of the tracts involving such interests are currently producing oil or gas, are located wholly within the limits of the same oil or gas pool, and are being currently operated by the same operator under an oil and gas lease executed by one or more landowners, each of whom was, at the time of the execution of the lease, the owner of a fee or mineral intrest in each of the tracts involved; or

(ii) All of the tracts involving such interests are nonproducing but appear, on the basis of all past and proposed development for oil or gas, to have equal possibilities for production of oil or gas; and

(2) The purchaser of any such interest is entitled to the same fractional portion of the oil and gas produced from each tract covered by the offering sheet.

§ 230.306 Limitations on offeror.

(a) No exemption shall be available under this regulation to any offeror if such offeror or any officer, director, predecessor, or affiliate of such offeror as a result of any civil, criminal, or governmental or self-regulatory administrative proceeding, or examination commenced after January 1, 1973:

(1) Has been convicted within 10 years prior to the filing or use of such offering sheet of any crime or offense in connection with the purchase or sale of securities;

(2) Is subject to any order, judgment or decree of any court of competent jurisdiction entered within 5 years prior to the filing or use of an offering sheet, temporarily or permanently restraining or enjoining such offeror from engaging in or continuing any conduct or practice in connection with the purchase or sale of any security, or arising out of such person's conduct as an underwriter, broker, dealer, or investment adviser;

(3) Is subject to a United States Post Office fraud order entered within 5 years prior to the filing or use of an offering sheet;

(4) Has filed a registration statement which is the subject of any proceeding or examination under Section 8 of the Act, or is the subject of any refusal order or stop order entered thereunder within 5 years prior to the filing or use of such offering sheet;

(5) Is subject to an order of the Commission pursuant to section 15(b) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, or pursuant to section 203(d) or (e) of the Investment Advisers Act of 1940, or is suspended, or has been expelled from membership in a national or provincial securities dealers association or national securities exchange for conduct inconsistent with just and equitable principles of trade, if such order has been issued, or such action has been taken within 5 years prior to the filing or use of an offering sheet; and

(6) Has made any filing pursuant to sections 3(b) or (c) of the Securities Act of 1933 which is under an order of temporary suspension, or which is and has been under an order of permanent suspension within 5 years prior to the filing or use of such offering sheet.

(b) No exemption shall be available under Regulation B (§§ 230.300–230.346) if the offeror is in fact, a dealer and at the time of any offer to sell or any sale is not duly registered as a dealer pursuant to section 15 of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended.

(c) Notwithstanding the foregoing, this section shall not apply to any offering if the Commission determines, upon filing of an application and showing of good cause, that it is not necessary in the public interest and for the protection of investors that the exemption be denied. Any such relief granted by the Commission may be either general or on a specific filing basis. Any such determinations by the Commission shall be without prejudice to any other action by the Commission in any other proceeding or matter with respect to the offeror or any other persons.

§ 230.310 Filing and use of the offering sheet.

(a) At least 10 days prior to the commencement of the offering of any securities under this Regulation B, four copies of an offering sheet containing the information specified in § 230.326 shall be filed with the Commission by or on behalf of the offeror of the interests. At the time of filing the offering sheet, the applicant shall pay to the Commission a fee of $100, no part of which shall be refunded. Unless amended, the offering sheet shall become effective and an offering may commence on the 11th day following such filing with the Commission.

The Commission may, however, in its discretion, authorize the commencement of the offering prior to the expiration of such 10-day period.

(b) Except as provided in § 230.318, no securities shall be offered, orally or otherwise, under Regulation B (§§ 230.300–230.346) unless at or prior to the time of the initial offer of such securities an offering sheet meeting the requirements of paragraph (a) of this section concurrently is given to the person to whom the offer is made.

(c) The offer and sale of securities under Regulation B (§§ 230.300–230.346) shall be made through an offering sheet meeting the requirements of paragraph (a) of this section, and the information contained therein shall be as of a date not more than 120 days prior to the use of such offering sheet.

(d) No sale shall be made nor money or other consideration accepted from any person for the purchase of any security offered under this Regulation B until 48 hours after a copy of the offering sheet has been delivered to such person.

(e) If any sales under an offering sheet have been made and not rescinded, the offering sheet may be refiled only once thereafter to extend the time within which to complete the offering: *Provided,* That adequate disclosure was made in the offering sheet that such a refiling may be made.

(f) Within 10 days after the effective date of the offering sheet or any amendment thereto, two copies of such offering sheet shall be filed with the Commission in the exact form in which it is to be used. Each offering sheet so filed shall be dated and clearly identified in red on the first page as being a definitive copy of the offering sheet.

§ 230.312 Filing of offering sheets on behalf of other persons.

An offering sheet may be filed with the Commission for, and on behalf of, other persons, provided all such other persons are duly registered as dealers under section 15 of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, and addresses of all such persons are filed with the Commission in duplicate prior to any use of such offering sheet by such other person; and the Commission may refuse to accept for filing any list which contains the name of any person who is not so registered.

§ 230.314 Delivery of evidence of title.

Prior to the making of a contract of sale with, and prior to the payment of any part of the consideration by, the purchaser of any interest offered under Regulation B (§§ 230.300–230.346), the offeror shall deliver to the purchaser evidence satisfactory to the purchaser of the validity of the title which he is to receive and upon which the value of his interest depends.

§ 230.316 Reports.

(a)(1) On or before the 15th day after the expiration of each effective offering sheet pursuant to Regulation B (§§ 230.300–230.346), or the termination of sales, whichever comes first, the offeror, or offerors collectively, if more than one, shall file with the Commission one copy of a report on Form 1–G (§ 239.101(b)(2) of this chapter) containing the information called for by that form. This report shall be filed whether or not any sales were made under the offering sheet.

(2) An additional such report on Form 1–G shall be filed on or before the 15th day after the termination of sales, or after the expiration of each additional effective offering sheet covering the same tract or tracts, whichever comes first, where such offering sheet has been refiled to extend time for the offer.

(3) These reports shall be kept confidential unless the Commission shall order otherwise.

(b) Not later than 3 calendar months after the termination of the offering, the offeror shall file with the Commission and send to purchasers of interests a report on Form 3–G (§ 239.101(b)(2) of this chapter), containing the information called for by that form. This form shall be filed and distributed at the times specified in the instructions to the form.

§ 230.318 Use of sales material.

(a) Any written advertisement or other written communication, or any radio or television broadcast, which states from whom an offering sheet meeting the requirements of Regulation B (§§ 230.300–230.346) may be obtained and, in addition, contains no more than the following information, may be published, distributed, or broadcast at or after the commencement of the public offering to any person prior to sending or giving such person a copy of the offering sheet:

(1) The name of the offeror of the interests;

(2) The identity or type of the interests to be offered;

(3) The number of such interests to be offered;

(4) The location (county and state) of the tract or tracts involved;

(5) The price of the interest to be offered; or

(6) The type of well to be drilled, such as an exploratory or developmental well.

(b) Except for the offering sheet required by Regulation B (§§ 230.300–230.346) and any material permitted by paragraph (a) of this section, no other advertisement, radio, or television broadcast, or written communication shall be used in connection with the offering of securities under Regulation B (§§ 230.300–230.346), except as required by state law.

§ 230.320 Restricting use of estimations not included in offering sheets.

A person using any estimation of the amount of oil or gas recoverable from the tract involved, or from any other tract for comparative purposes, in connection with an offer to sell any fractional undivided interest in oil or gas rights, defined in § 230.300 of this chapter, shall not be entitled to the exemption provided by Regulation B (§§ 230.300–230.346), and, shall not be relieved from any liability which, in the absence of the exemption provided by Regulation B, would be imposed upon such person because such security was unregistered, unless such estimation is permitted to be and is included in, and furnished as part of, an offering sheet accurately describing such security.

§ 230.322 Prohibition of certain statements.

No offering sheet or other written or oral communication used in connection with any offering under Regulation B (§§ 230.300–230.346) shall contain any language stating or implying that the Commission has in any way passed upon the merits of, or given its approval to, the securities offered or the terms of the offering, or has determined that the securities are exempt from registration, or has made any finding that the statements in any such offering sheet or other communication are accurate or complete.

§ 230.324 Liability for unauthorized use of offering sheet.

Any person using an offering sheet in connection with an offer to sell any security described therein shall not be entitled to the exemption provided by Regulation B (§§ 230.300–230.346), and, shall not be relieved from any liability which, in the absence of the exemption provided by Regulation B, would be imposed upon such person because such security was unregistered, unless such offering sheet has previously been filed with the Commission by, or for, or on behalf of, such person, and is effective at the time of its use.

§ 230.326 Form and contents of offering sheets.

(a) The offering sheets required by Regulation B (§§ 230.300–230.346) shall be filed with the Commission in the form prescribed in the schedules specifically enumerated below, which schedules, as amended and adopted, are, by reference, hereby incorporated in, and made a part of, this section.

(1) *Schedule A.*—If the interests offered are producing landowner's royalty interests.

(2) *Schedule B.*—If the interests offered are nonproducing landowner's royalty interests.

(3) *Schedule C.*—If the interests offered are producing overriding royalty interests, working interests, or participating interests, or are oil payments, gas payments, or oil and gas payments to be made from tracts represented to be producing at the time of the offering.

(4) *Schedule D.*—If the interests offered are nonproducing overriding royalty interests, working interests, or participating interests, or are oil payments, gas payments, or oil and gas payments to be made from tracts represented to be nonproducing at the time of the offering.

§ 230.328 Preparation of offering sheet.

(a) The offering sheet shall contain the information called for by all of the applicable items and required exhibits of the appropriate schedule according to the instructions thereto, but the instructions thereto shall not be repeated in the offering sheet.

(b) The information required shall be furnished as of a specified date no earlier than 30 days prior to the date of filing the offering sheet with the Commission, or as of such other date as may be indicated in the particular item or instruction of the applicable schedule. When any other date is used, such date shall be set forth with a brief statement as to the necessity for its use.

(c) If any item of information cannot be furnished, or there is reason to doubt the accuracy of all of the information available with respect thereto, the answer to the item may be omitted, but the reason for the omission must be given. In no case, however, may there be omitted information which is a matter of public record in the county, state, or other political subdivision in which the tract is located.

(d) The offering sheet which is given to the person to whom the offering is made may be printed, mimeographed, lithographed, or typewritten, or prepared by any similar process which will result in clearly legible copies. If it is printed, it shall be set in roman type at least as large as 10-point modern type, leaded at least 2 points, or if typewritten the type shall be no smaller than elite.

(e) At least one copy of the offering sheet filed with the Commission shall be manually signed by the offeror, or if there is more than one offeror by each of them. If the offering sheet is typewritten, the "original" ribbon copy shall be signed. Unsigned copies shall be conformed.

§ 230.330 Representations in offering sheets.

(a) All statements or information contained in any offering sheet or in any exhibit attached thereto or incorporated therein shall constitute continuing representations by the person filing such offering sheet to any person who may, in reliance upon a copy of such offering sheet, purchase any interest described therein, that the statements contained therein are substantially correct and that no material fact has been omitted, the inclusion of which would reasonably appear necessary, in the light of the circumstances, to make the information contained therein not misleading to the purchaser.

(b) All statements or information contained in any offering sheet shall constitute continuing representations by any offeror who shall deliver, or cause such offering sheet to be delivered, to any person who may, in reliance upon a copy of such offering sheet, purchase any interest described therein from, or through, such offeror, that such offering sheet is a true copy of an offering sheet filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission in compliance with the rules and regulations of the Commission on behalf of such offeror, that such offeror has reasonable grounds to believe, and does believe, that the statements contained therein are substantially correct, and, that no material fact known to the offeror has been omitted, the inclusion of which would reasonably appear necessary, in the light of the circumstances, to make the information contained therein not misleading to the purchaser.

(c) If an estimation of recoverable oil or gas, or a geological report made by someone other than the person filing the offering sheet, is included in the offering sheet, the contents thereof shall not be regarded as a representation by the person filing the offering sheet; *Provided*, The person filing the offering sheet has reason to believe, and does believe, that the author of such estimation or report possesses the qualifications and integrity necessary to make such estimation or report, and provided the person filing the offering sheet does not know or believe the estimation or report to be untrue or misleading in any respect.

§ 230.332 The use of the offering sheet.

Each offering sheet used, distributed, or delivered by the person making the filing with the Commission or by any other person shall be an exact copy of the offering sheet filed with the Commission (as amended, if amended).

§ 230.334 Reasons for suspension.

(a) The Commission may, at any time after the filing of an offering sheet, enter an order temporarily suspending the exemption if it has reason to believe that:

(1) No exemption is available under Regulation B (§§ 230.300–230.346) for the securities purported to be offered hereunder, or any of the terms or conditions of this Regulation B have not been complied with, including failure to file any reports;

(2) The offering sheet, or any literature or report permitted or required by Regulation B, or used, contains any untrue statement of a material fact or omits to state a material fact necessary in order to make the statements made, in the light of the circumstances under which they are made, not misleading;

(3) The offering has been, or is being, made in violation of the antifraud provisions of section 17 of the Act and section 10 of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended;

(4) The issuer, offeror, underwriter, or any promoter, officer or director thereof has failed to cooperate or has obstructed, or refused to permit the making of an investigation by the Commission in connection with any offering made or proposed to be made hereunder.

§ 230.336 The suspension procedure.

(a) Upon the entry of an order under paragraph (a) of § 230.334, the Commission will promptly give notice to the persons on whose behalf the notification was filed (1) that such order has been entered, together with a brief statement of the reasons for the entry of the order, and (2) that the Commission, upon receipt of a written request at any time within 30 days after the entry of such order, will set the matter down for hearing within 30 days after the receipt of such request at a place to be designated and on a date to be set by the Commission. (Where a hearing is requested or is ordered by the Commission, the Commission will, after notice of and opportunity for such hearing, either vacate the order or enter an order permanently suspending the exemption.)

(b) The Commission may at any time after notice of and opportunity for hearing, enter an order permanently suspending the exemption for any reason upon which it could have entered a temporary suspension order under paragraph (a) of this section. Any such order shall remain in effect until vacated by the Commission. If no hearing is requested and none is ordered by the Commission, the order shall become permanent on the 30th day after its entry and shall remain in effect unless or until it is modified or vacated by the Commission.

(c) If any time before the Commission enters an order setting the matter down for hearing, it finds that an offering sheet has been amended to cure the objectives specified in any temporary suspension order entered pursuant to § 230.334, the Commission may, in its sole discretion, upon written request or upon its own motion where necessary or appropriate in the public interest or for the protection of investors terminate the proceedings which have been instituted by any such temporary suspension order and give notice of such action to the person who filed the offering sheet.

(d) All notices required by this section shall be given by personal service, registered or certified mail, or confirmed telegraphic notice to the person or persons on whose behalf the offering sheet was filed at the addresses of such persons given in the offering sheet.

§ 230.338 Effect of suspension order.

(a) An offering sheet complying with the requirements of Regulation B (§§ 230.300–230.346) shall become effective on the 11th day after the date upon which it is received by the Commission for filing except that (1) if the Commission shall enter a temporary suspension order, the offering sheet shall not become effective, or if effective shall no longer be effective until the temporary suspension order expires or is vacated; (2) if the Commission shall enter a permanent suspension order, the offering shall not become or shall no longer be effective.

§ 230.340 When offering sheet may be amended.

(a) Any person who has filed an offering sheet may, subject to the provisions of § 230.342, file amendments thereto, but only under the following conditions and in the following instances:

(1) In the event none of the securities referred to in said offering sheet have been sold and the person filing the offering sheet shall so represent to the Commission in writing.

(2) In the event a temporary suspension order is in effect and a hearing with respect thereto has not been ordered.

* * * * *

§ 230.342 How offering sheet may be amended.

(a) Any amendment to an offering sheet shall be filed in accordance with this section and shall become and be effective only as hereinafter provided:

(1) An amendment shall be made either by filing or substituting a wholly corrected offering sheet, or by filing or substituting entire exhibits or pages, as amended.

(2) Four copies of the amendment shall be filed with the Commission, and each copy shall bear the signature of the person who filed the offering sheet as well as every other person whose estimations or statements are modified or affected by such amendment. Where the amendment is made by filing or substituting exhibits or pages, each such exhibit or page shall be signed by the persons whose signatures are required by this subparagraph.

(3) Any amendment complying with the requirements of Regulation B (§§ 230.300–230.346) shall become effective at such time as the Commission may order.

(4) One copy of any amendment filed shall be notated in red to indicate any changes from the previous filing.

§ 230.344 Withdrawal.

An offering sheet or any amendment or exhibit thereto may be withdrawn upon application if none of the interests described therein have been sold, if all persons on whose behalf the offering sheet was filed and who have received copies thereof have been notified in writing of the intention to withdraw it and the Commission, finding such withdrawal consistent with the public interest and protection of investors consents thereto. Application for the order shall be signed by the person who filed the offering sheet and shall establish the foregoing conditions necessary to the withdrawal.

§ 230.346 Termination.

The Commission will enter an order terminating the exemption if all persons on whose behalf the offering sheet was filed and who have received copies thereof have been notified in writing of the intention to terminate it, and if the Commission shall find it otherwise appropriate in the public interest to do so. Application for the order shall be in the form of an affidavit by the person who filed the offering sheet and shall establish the foregoing conditions necessary to the termination.

§ 239.101 Schedules and forms for offering sheets pertaining to fractional undivided interests in oil or gas rights offered pursuant to exemption under Regulation B (§§ 230.300–230.346 of this chapter).

(a) An offeror of fractional undivided interests in oil or gas rights pursuant to §§ 230.300–230.346 of this chapter shall file an offering sheet, in accordance with § 230.310 or 230.312 of this chapter, upon the applicable schedule listed below:

(1) *Schedule A.* If the interests offered are producing landowners' royalty interests.

(2) *Schedule B.* If the interests offered are nonproducing landowners' royalty interests.

(3) *Schedule C.* If the interests offered are producing overriding royalty interests, working interests, or participating interests, or are oil payments, gas payments, or oil and gas payments to be made from tracts represented to be producing at the time of the offering.

(4) *Schedule D.* If the interests offered are nonproducing overriding royalty interests, working interests, or participating interests, or are oil payments, gas payments, or oil and gas payments to be made from tracts represented to be nonproducing at the time of the offering.

(b) An offeror will also have the obligation of filing the following reports in accordance with § 230.316 of this chapter:

(1) *Form 1–G.* One copy of this report will be filed with the Commission within 15 days after the expiration of the offering sheet or the termination of sales, whichever date is earlier. This form will report the sales of oil or gas interests pursuant to §§ 230.300–230.346 of this chapter.

(2) *Form 3–G.* Four copies of this report will be filed with the Commission within 3 calendar months after the termination of any offering pursuant to §§ 230.300–230.346 of this chapter. This form will report the results of the offering.

NOTE: Copies of amended Form 1–G and newly adopted Form 3–G have been filed with the Office of Federal Register as part of this document and are available upon request at the Securities and Exchange Commission, Washington, D.C. 20549.

(Secs. 3(b), 19(a), 48 Stat. 75, 85, Sec. 209, 48 Stat. 908, 59 Stat. 167, 84 Stat. 1480)

The Commission has taken the foregoing action pursuant to the Securities Act of 1933, particularly sections 3(b) and 19(a) thereof. Such action shall become effective on January 1, 1973, but shall not apply to offering sheets filed prior to that date.

By the Commission.

[SEAL] RONALD F. HUNT,
Secretary.

OCTOBER 11, 1972.

[FR Doc.72–19222 Filed 11–8–72;8:48 am]

Title 20—EMPLOYEES' BENEFITS

Chapter V—Manpower Administration, Department of Labor

PART 615—EXTENDED UNEMPLOYMENT COMPENSATION

Determination of National and State Indicators

Part 615 of Chapter V of Title 20 of the Code of Federal Regulations is amended by revising § 615.13(d) to effectuate section 501 of H.R. 16810, approved by the President on October 27, 1972.

The provisions of 5 U.S.C. 553 which require notice of proposed rulemaking and delay of effective date are not applicable because this amendment is needed on an emergency basis to implement section 501 of H.R. 16810 designed to permit States to pay, under stated conditions, extended compensation for weeks of unemployment beginning after October 27, 1972 and before July 1, 1973. Accordingly, I find that delay in the effective date would be contrary to the public interest.

The amendment shall become effective on the date of its publication in the FEDERAL REGISTER (11–9–72).

As amended, § 615.13 reads as follows:

§ 615.13 Determination of national and State indicators.

* * * * *

(d) There is a State "off" indicator for a State for a week if the head of the State agency determines, in accordance with § 615.14(b), that for the period consisting of such week and the immediately preceding 12 weeks, the total rate of insured unemployment (not seasonally adjusted)—

(1) Was less than 120 percent of the average of such rates for the corresponding 13-week period ending in each of the preceding 2 calendar years, or

(2) Was less than 4 percent.

Provided, however, That if a State by law so provides, an "off" indicator in the State resulting from the application of subparagraph (1) of this paragraph may be disregarded and the determination of whether there has been a State "off" indicator ending any extended benefit period shall be made under this section as if it did not contain subparagraph (1) of this paragraph; if there is no such State "off" indicator, the extended benefit period shall be deemed to continue and extended compensation shall be payable in the State of individuals entitled thereto but only for weeks of unemployment beginning after October 27, 1972 (or, if later, the date established pursuant to State law) and beginning before July 1, 1973; if there is such State "off" indicator, the extended benefit period shall end as provided in § 615.15(b): *Provided further,* That with respect to extended compensation for weeks of unemployment beginning after June 30, 1973, the determination of whether there has been a State "off" indicator ending any extended benefit period shall be made by the application of subparagraphs (1) and (2) of this paragraph.

* * * * *

(Federal-State Extended Unemployment Compensation Act of 1970, title II of Public Law 91–373, amended by section 501 of H.R. 16810; Secretary's Order No. 20–71, August 13, 1971)

Signed at Washington, D.C. this 3d day of November 1972.

M. R. LOVELL, Jr.,
Assistant Secretary for Manpower.

[FR Doc.72–19266 Filed 11–8–72;8:51 am]

Title 21—FOOD AND DRUGS

Chapter I—Food and Drug Administration, Department of Health, Education, and Welfare

SUBCHAPTER C—DRUGS

PART 148e—ERYTHROMYCIN

Erythromycin Stearate; Change in Moisture Content

In a notice published in the FEDERAL REGISTER of March 8, 1972 (37 F.R. 4967), the Commissioner of Food and Drugs proposed to amend the antibiotic drug regulations by raising the moisture limit for erythromycin stearate from 3.0 to 4.0 percent.

One comment was received from a manufacturer in response to the proposal. The firm supported the revision to 4.0 percent, but requested the data upon which the proposed 4 percent limit was based. A summary of such data was provided the firm.

A compilation of certification data for the then current fiscal year (July 1971 to June 1972) shows that the moisture content of all erythromycin stearate produced meets the proposed 4 percent limit.

After consideration of the comment received and the relevant manufacturing data, the Commissioner concludes that the proposal should be adopted without change.

Therefore, pursuant to provisions of the Federal Food, Drug, and Cosmetic Act (sec. 507, 59 Stat. 463, as amended; 21 U.S.C. 357) and under authority delegated to the Commissioner (21 CFR 2.120), § 148e.6 *Erythromycin stearate* is amended in paragraph (a)(1)(iii) by changing the moisture content from "3.0" to "4.0" percent.

Effective date. This order shall be effective 30 days after its date of publication in the FEDERAL REGISTER.

(Sec. 507, 59 Stat. 463, as amended; 21 U.S.C. 357)

Dated: November 2, 1972.

MARY A. MCENIRY,
Assistant to the Director for Regulatory Affairs, Bureau of Drugs.

[FR Doc.72-19215 Filed 11-8-72;8:47 am]

Title 40—PROTECTION OF ENVIRONMENT

Chapter I—Environmental Protection Agency

SUBCHAPTER C—AIR PROGRAMS

PART 52—APPROVAL AND PROMULGATION OF IMPLEMENTATION PLANS

Significant Deterioration of Air Quality

On April 30, 1971, pursuant to section 109 of the Clean Air Act, as amended, the Administrator promulgated national primary and secondary ambient air quality standards. The Act requires that the primary standards protect public health, with an adequate margin of safety, and that the secondary standards protect public welfare from any known or anticipated adverse effect. Under section 110 of the Act, States are required to prepare and submit to the Administrator plans for implementing the national ambient air standards in each air quality control region in the State. The Administrator published on May 31, 1972, his initial approvals and disapprovals of State implementation plans developed and submitted under these provisions of Federal law.

On May 30, 1972, the U.S. District Court for the District of Columbia decided the case of "Sierra Club v. Ruckelshaus" (Civil Action No. 1031-72) and issued an order which interpreted the Clean Air Act as requiring that, in addition to the attainment of the national standards, the Environmental Protection Agency was to prevent "significant deterioration" of air quality in those areas of the country in which air quality was already better than the standards. The order of the court specifically required the Administrator to again review State implementation plans to determine whether the plans permitted "significant deterioration of existing air quality in any portion of any State where the existing air quality is better than one or more of the secondary (ambient air quality) standards promulgated by the Administrator." The order also required that the Administrator announce his approval or disapproval of plans with respect to this issue within 4 months of the decision. Finally, it required that the Administrator promulgate regulations which were necessary to prevent "significant deterioration" for any State which lacked the necessary laws by December 1, 1972. In accordance with the court's order, a review of State plans was completed on September 30, 1972.

The review of State plans pursuant to the court order dealt with a number of factors which are pertinent to the prevention of "significant deterioration" of air quality. Among these factors were States' legal authority to prevent deterioration, the manner in which States provided for growth, the extent to which States intended to achieve ambient air quality standards more stringent than the national standards, and the extent to which State plans included procedures implementing a policy or requirement prohibiting "significant deterioration."

The review indicated that a majority of the State plans mentioned "nondegradation" of air quality in some manner, either as a statement of policy or as part of their regulations. However, no State included detailed provisions in its enforcement procedures or regulations for implementing such a policy. Accordingly, it has been determined that all State plans must be disapproved to the extent that they do not contain provisions which will effectively prevent "significant deterioration" of air quality. It should be understood, however, that some of the State plans disapproved in this action may in fact fulfill the requirements of the court order as that order is finally implemented.

The action taken herein to disapprove State implementation plans with respect to their provisions for "significant deterioration" is not intended to and should not be construed as affecting the validity of prior approvals or promulgations of State plans by the Administrator. Provisions of approved or promulgated plans remain in effect and are enforceable by the State and/or Federal Government in accordance with the provisions of the Clean Air Act.

To implement the requirement of the Clean Air Act, as interpreted by the court order, in a reasonable manner, the Administrator must resolve a number of complex, interrelated legal, technical, and social issues. The Environmental Protection Agency is proceeding toward resolution of these issues on a priority basis and expects to publish, as soon as possible, proposed regulations setting forth appropriate requirements for modification of State implementation plans.

The amendment set forth below is effective on the date of publication in the FEDERAL REGISTER (11-9-72), because prior notice, public participation, and deferral of effective date are impracticable. The Agency finds that good cause exists for not issuing notice and providing opportunity to comment on this amendment and for making it effective immediately upon publication, since the amendment is made pursuant to a court order which required the Agency to "* * * disapprove (by September 30, 1972) any portion of a State plan which fails to effectively prevent the significant deterioration of existing air quality in any portion of any State." "Sierra Club v. Ruckelshaus," 344 F. Supp. 253 (D.C.D.C., May 30, 1972).

(42 U.S.C. 1857g(a))

Dated: November 7, 1972.

WILLIAM D. RUCKELSHAUS,
Administrator, Environmental Protection Agency.

Subpart A of Part 52, Chapter I, Title 40 of the Code of Federal Regulations is amended by adding § 52.21 as follows:

§ 52.21 Significant deterioration of air quality.

Subsequent to May 31, 1972, the Administrator reviewed State implementation plans to determine whether or not the plans permit or prevent significant deterioration of air quality in any portion of any State where the existing air quality is better than one or more of the secondary standards. The review indicates that State plans generally do not contain regulations or procedures specifically addressed to this problem. Accordingly, all State plans are disapproved to the extent that such plans lack procedures or regulations for preventing significant deterioration of air quality in portions of States where air quality is

now better than the secondary standards. The disapproval applies to all States listed in Subparts B through DDD of this part. Nothing in this section shall invalidate or otherwise affect the obligations of States, emission sources, or other persons with respect to all portions of plans approved or promulgated under this part.

[FR Doc.72-19356 Filed 11-8-72;8:56 am]

PART 52—APPROVAL AND PROMULGATION OF IMPLEMENTATION PLANS

Approval of Plan Revisions

On May 31, 1972 (37 F.R. 10842), the Administrator approved certain portions of the implementation plan submitted by the State of Massachusetts for attainment and maintenance of national ambient air quality standards in accordance with the Clean Air Act, as amended (42 U.S.C. 1857 et seq.). On July 27, 1972, a revision to the approved plan was submitted to the Administrator by the State after notice and public hearing. The revision modifies the compliance schedules as they relate to the source identified in the regulation set forth below.

The Administrator has determined that the revision in part is consistent with the requirements of the Clean Air Act and 40 CFR Part 51 and that part is approved as stipulated in the regulation set forth below. A copy of the implementation plan, as revised, is available for public inspection at the Environmental Protection Agency, 401 M Street SW., Washington, DC; at the Agency's Regional Office, John F. Kennedy Building, Boston, Mass.; and at the Massachusetts Department of Public Health, Division of Environmental Health, 600 Washington Street, Room 320, Boston, MA. This regulation is effective on the date of its publication in the FEDERAL REGISTER (11-9-72). The Agency finds that good cause exists for not publishing the regulation as a notice of proposed rule making and for making it effective immediately upon publication, for the following reasons:

1. The implementation plan revision was adopted in accordance with procedural requirements of State and Federal law, which provided for adequate public participation through notice and public hearings and comments, and consequently further public participation is unnecessary.

2. Immediate effectiveness of the approval enables the source involved to proceed with certainty in conducting its affairs, and persons wishing to seek judicial review of the approval may do so without delay.

(42 U.S.C. 1857c-5)

Dated: November 3, 1972.

WILLIAM D. RUCKELSHAUS,
Administrator,
Environmental Protection Agency.

Section 52.1125 of Chapter I, Title 40 of the Code of Federal Regulations is amended by adding a line and a footnote to the table in paragraph (b) as follows:

§ 52.1125 Compliance schedules.

* * * * * *

(b) * * *

Source	Location	Regulation involved	Date issued by State or local agency
* * *	* * *	* * *	* * *
General Electric Co. [1]	Pittsfield...	5.1.2	July 25, 1972

[1] The revision is approved insofar as it applies to the period April 1, 1973, to October 1, 1973, and is disapproved insofar as it applies to the period October 1, 1972, to March 31, 1973.

[FR Doc.72-19289 Filed 11-8-72;8:53 am]

SUBCHAPTER E—PESTICIDES PROGRAMS

PART 180—TOLERANCES AND EXEMPTIONS FROM TOLERANCES FOR PESTICIDE CHEMICALS IN OR ON RAW AGRICULTURAL COMMODITIES

2-Chloro-1-(2,4-dichlorophenyl)vinyl Diethyl Phosphate

A petition (PP OF0991) was filed by William Cooper & Nephews, Inc. (now Cooper U.S.A., Inc.), 1909 North Clifton Avenue, Chicago, IL 60614, in accordance with the provisions of the Federal Food, Drug, and Cosmetic Act (21 U.S.C. 346a) proposing establishment of tolerances for residues of the insecticide 2-chloro-1-(2,4-dichlorophenyl) vinyl diethyl phosphate in the raw agricultural commodities milk and the meat, fat, and meat byproducts of cattle at 0.002 part per million and in eggs and the meat, fat, and meat byproducts of poultry at 0.001 part per million.

Subsequently, the petitioner amended the petition by (a) changing the proposed tolerance of 0.002 part per million in milk to 0.1 part per million in milk fat (reflecting negligible residues in milk), (b) by increasing the proposed tolerances of 0.002 part per million in meat, fat, and meat byproducts of cattle and 0.001 part per million in eggs and the meat, fat, and meat byproducts of poultry to 0.005 part per million, and (c) by extending this new 0.005 part per tolerance to cover the meat, fat, and meat byproducts of goats, hogs, horses, and sheep.

Based on consideration given data submitted in the petition and other relevant material, it is concluded that:

1. The pesticide is useful for the purpose for which the tolerances are being established.

2. Since residues of the pesticide concentrate in fat, a tolerance adequate to cover residues in fat is sufficient; separate tolerances are not required on meat, meat byproducts, or whole milk.

3. The tolerance of 0.15 part per million recently established for residues of this insecticide in the fat of cattle and sheep is adequate to cover residues resulting from this proposed use as well.

4. The tolerances established by this order will protect the public health.

Therefore, pursuant to provisions of the Federal Food, Drug, and Cosmetic Act (sec. 408(d)(2), 68 Stat. 512; 21 U.S.C. 346a(d)(2)), the authority transferred to the Administrator of the Environmental Protection Agency (35 F.R. 15623), and the authority delegated by the Administrator to the Deputy Assistant Administrator for Pesticides Programs (36 F.R. 9038), § 180.322 is revised to read as follows:

§ 180.322 2-Chloro-1-(2,4-dichlorophenyl)vinyl diethyl phosphate; tolerances for residues.

Tolerances are established for residues of the insecticide 2-chloro-1-(2,4-dichlorophenyl) vinyl diethyl phosphate in or on raw agricultural commodities as follows:

0.15 part per million in the fat of cattle and sheep from dermal application (minimum preslaughter interval 3 days).

0.1 part per million in milk fat (reflecting negligible residues in whole milk).

0.005 part per million in eggs.

0.005 part per million in the fat of goats, hogs, horses, and poultry.

Any person who will be adversely affected by the foregoing order may at any time within 30 days after its date of publication in the FEDERAL REGISTER file with the Hearing Clerk, Environmental Protection Agency, Room 3902A, Fourth and M Streets SW., Waterside Mall, Washington, D.C. 20460, written objections thereto in quintuplicate. Objections shall show wherein the person filing will be adversely affected by the order and specify with particularity the provisions of the order deemed objectionable and the grounds for the objections. If a hearing is requested, the objections must state the issues for the hearing. A hearing will be granted if the objections are supported by ground legally sufficient to justify the relief sought. Objections may be accompanied by a memorandum or brief in support thereof.

Effective date. This order shall become effective on its date of publication in the FEDERAL REGISTER (11-9-72).

(Sec. 408(d)(2), 68 Stat. 512; 21 U.S.C. 346a(d)(2))

Dated: November 1, 1972.

EDWIN L. JOHNSON,
Acting Deputy Assistant Administrator for Pesticides Programs.

[FR Doc.72-19236 Filed 11-8-72;8:49 am]

PART 180—TOLERANCES AND EXEMPTIONS FROM TOLERANCES FOR PESTICIDE CHEMICALS IN OR ON RAW AGRICULTURAL COMMODITIES

2-(α-Naphthoxy)-*N,N*-diethylpropionamide

A petition (PP 2F1194) was filed by Stauffer Chemical Co., 1200 South 47th Street, Richmond, CA 94804, in accordance with provisions of the Federal Food, Drug, and Cosmetic Act (21 U.S.C. 346a), proposing establishment of tolerances (40 CFR Part 180) for negligible residues of the herbicide 2-(α-naphthoxy)-*N,N*-diethylpropionamide in or on the raw agricultural commodities almonds, citrus fruits, fruiting vegetables, small fruits, and stone fruits at 0.1 part per million.

Based on consideration given data submitted in the petition and other relevant material, it is concluded that:

1. The herbicide is useful for the purpose for which the tolerances are being established.

2. There is no reasonable expectation of residues in eggs, meat, milk, or poultry, and § 180.6(a)(3) applies.

3. The tolerances established by this order will protect the public health.

Therefore, pursuant to provisions of the Federal Food, Drug, and Cosmetic Act (sec. 408(d)(2), 68 Stat. 512; 21 U.S.C. 346a(d)(2)), the authority transferred to the Administrator of the Environmental Protection Agency (35 F.R. 15623), and the authority delegated by the Administrator to the Deputy Assistant Administrator for Pesticides Programs (36 F.R. 9038), Part 180 is amended by adding the following new section to Subpart C:

§ 180.328 2-(α-Naphthoxy)-*N,N*-diethylpropionamide; tolerances for residues.

Tolerances are established for negligible residues of the herbicide 2-(α-naphthoxy)-*N,N*-diethylpropionamide in or on the raw agricultural commodities almonds, citrus fruits, fruiting vegetables, small fruits, and stone fruits at 0.1 part per million.

Any person who will be adversely affected by the foregoing order may at any time within 30 days after its date of publication in the FEDERAL REGISTER file with the Hearing Clerk, Environmental Protection Agency, Room 3902A, Fourth and M Streets SW., Waterside Mall, Washington, D.C. 20460, written objections thereto in quintuplicate. Objections shall show wherein the person filing will be adversely affected by the order and specify with particularity the provisions of the order deemed objectionable and the grounds for the objections. If a hearing is requested, the objections must state the issues for the hearing. A hearing will be granted if the objections are supported by grounds legally sufficient to justify the relief sought. Objections may be accompanied by a memorandum or brief in support thereof.

Effective date. This order shall become effective on its date of publication in the FEDERAL REGISTER (11–9–72).

(Sec. 408(d)(2), 68 Stat. 512; 21 U.S.C. 346a(d)(2))

Dated: November 1, 1972.

EDWIN L. JOHNSON,
Acting Deputy Assistant Administrator for Pesticides Programs.

[FR Doc.72–19238 Filed 11–8–72;8:49 am]

PART 180—TOLERANCES AND EXEMPTIONS FROM TOLERANCES FOR PESTICIDE CHEMICALS IN OR ON RAW AGRICULTURAL COMMODITIES

Methomyl

Correction

In F.R. Doc. 72–18302, appearing on page 22984, in the issue of Friday, October 27, 1972, in the second line of the sixth paragraph, after the word "Cosmetic", insert "Act (sec. 408(d)(2), 68 Stat. 512; 21".

Title 43—PUBLIC LANDS: INTERIOR

Chapter II—Bureau of Land Management, Department of the Interior

APPENDIX—PUBLIC LAND ORDERS

[Public Land Order 5265]

[Idaho 3779]

IDAHO

Partial Revocation of Reclamation Project Withdrawals

Correction

In F.R. Doc. 72–17893 appearing on page 22616 of the issue of Friday, October 20, 1972, under "T. 5S., R 32E.," the description reading "Sec. 19, lots 1 to 4, inclusive, NE–¼NE–¼ NW–¼.", should read "Sec. 19, lots 1 to 4, inclusive, NE–¼, NE–¼NW–¼."

Title 46—SHIPPING

Chapter I—Coast Guard, Department of Transportation

SUBCHAPTER J—ELECTRICAL ENGINEERING

PART 110—GENERAL PROVISIONS

Nonsparking Fans; Clarification of Design Characteristics

Correction

In F.R. Doc. 72–12950 appearing on page 16547 of the issue for Wednesday, August 16, 1972, the figure "3961" in the seventh line should read "4961".

Title 47—TELECOMMUNICATION

Chapter I—Federal Communications Commission

[Docket No. 19360; FCC 72–971]

PART 81—STATIONS ON LAND IN THE MARITIME SERVICES AND ALASKA-PUBLIC FIXED STATIONS

Duplication of Service by Public Coast Stations

Memorandum opinion and order. In the matter of amendment of Part 81 of the rules concerning the duplication of service by Public Coast Stations; to require justification for assignment of more than one working frequency to Public and Limited Coast Stations; and to require listening watches by Limited Coast Stations on working frequencies, Docket No. 19360.

1. The American Telephone and Telegraph Co. (A.T. & T.) and A. W. Brothers (Brothers) have filed petitions for reconsideration of our report and order in this docket released July 5, 1972 (FCC 72–557, 37 F.R. 13548, July 11, 1972). The petitioners complain, essentially, that the changes in §§ 81.303(b)(2) and 81.304(f) of the rules promulgated by that report and order containing criteria for establishing additional VHF public correspondence services are too stringent and prevent relief in situations where assigned frequencies are heavily overloaded.

2. More specifically, A.T. & T. asserts that:

a. In § 81.303(b)(2), the required channel occupancy of 40 percent to qualify for an additional working frequency for an existing station (or 50 percent for the establishment of a new station) for a 12-hour daily period over any three 5-day operational periods, should be for a 3-hour period for any 4 days in a 10-day period;

b. The definition of "boating locality" in that rule section as a port, harbor, or marina with facilities for the specified number of vessels equipped with radio, should be amended by specifying that the vessels be equipped with radio to operate on public correspondence channels; and

c. The specified 30 miles in § 81.303(b)(2) beyond which new stations could be authorized under the enumerated conditions should be deleted, or at least increased to 50 miles so that greater protection would be afforded to an existing licensee.

3. Brothers, essentially, repeats the argument and recommendation made in his earlier comment filed in response to the notice of proposed rule making in this docket. Brothers repeats that the channel load should be 10 percent to

justify establishment of additional facilities. He again referred to the technical data for the Rural Electrification Administration furnished with his earlier comment, which we rejected for the reasons explained in our report and order in this docket.

4. On the basis of the additional information and traffic studies furnished by A.T. & T., we will grant petitioners' requests to the extent of adopting the channel occupancy criteria recommended by A.T. & T., as set forth in the attached appendix. We believe this will substantially provide both A.T. & T. and Brothers with a measure of relief. In making this change, we have some concern that this more liberalized criteria for assigning additional working frequencies may prematurely exhaust all nine of the available VHF public correspondence frequencies and thereby prevent the establishment of service in new areas later in the 1970's when the VHF conversion program is fully implemented. On the other hand, there appears to now be an urgent need for additional frequencies to provide service, especially in congested areas where service is obtained only after unreasonably long periods of as much as several hours. Of these two considerations, we believe the present, rather than the potential, need is more paramount and necessitates remedial action now. In determining the number of months that must be included in any channel traffic study used as a basis for establishing additional facilities, we are specifying a 2-month period for an existing station licensee when requesting an additional frequency, and 3 months for an applicant for a new station based on channel use by an existing station. This will allow an existing licensee a reasonable opportunity to remedy a situation where inadequate service exists before an application for a new competing station may be filed.

5. With respect to A. T. & T.'s assertion that the new-rule provision permitting the establishment of a new station at least 30 miles from an existing station, under the conditions specified, should be deleted or increased to 50 miles, we do not agree. As stated in paragraph 8 of our subject report and order, this new 30-mile provision provides substantially more protection for an existing station than before, and for reasons fully explained in that paragraph, we do not believe that more protection is desirable at this time when this VHF maritime service is in a process of being developed incidental to a general conversion from the medium to the very high frequency band in the maritime service bands. As this conversion is completed later in this decade, the matter can be reviewed in the light of information then available to determine if greater protection for existing stations is needed in order for the stations to remain economically viable to provide adequate service in the public interest. A. T. & T.'s petition, insofar as it is related to this provision, will therefore be denied.

6. We are not persuaded that A.T. & T.'s suggestion is reasonable or desirable that the definition of a boating locality be changed to specify that the required number of vessels that must be equipped with radio, must be further equipped to operate on public correspondence channels. Such a provision could impose a requirement on an applicant to furnish information which he may have no ability to acquire. Information concerning the number and types of nontransient vessels in a boating locality may usually be easily obtained from the port or marina operators, whereas information concerning the channels on which such vessels are equipped to operate would ordinarily be obtainable only from a vessel owner who may, or may not, be cooperative in furnishing such information. Such a provision could be counter productive in our program to establish adequate VHF public coast facilities. Ordinarily a vessel operator would not equip his ship station to operate on local public correspondence channels when there is no nearby coast station with which to communicate. The vessel operator would not know which of the nine public coast channels to install, and he may, until a coast station is in operation, prefer to use his available channels for other purposes. Under these circumstances, to require a coast station applicant, as a condition precedent to the filing of an application, to show that vessels are equipped to operate on local public correspondence frequencies could, in effect, impose a condition which could never be met as a practical matter and thereby prevent the establishment of any new stations. For these reasons we will not adopt A.T. & T.'s suggestion that our definition of a "boating locality" include a requirement that boats be equipped to to operate on public correspondence channels.

7. In view of the foregoing: *It is ordered*, That the Petitions for Reconsideration filed by American Telephone and Telegraph Co., Inc., and A. W. Brothers are granted to the extent that the criteria is changed for determining channel occupancy as a basis for applying for additional working frequencies for VHF Public Coast stations, or for new stations of this class, as shown in the attached appendix and in all other respects the Petitions are denied.

8. *It is further ordered*, Pursuant to the authority contained in sections 4(i) and 303 (b), (f), and (r) of the Communications Act of 1934, as amended, Part 81 of the Commission Rules is amended, effective December 15, 1972, as set forth below.

9. *It is further ordered*, That this proceeding is terminated.

(Secs. 4, 303, 48 Stat., as amended, 1066, 1082; 47 U.S.C. 154, 303)

Adopted: November 1, 1972.

Released: November 6, 1972.

FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS COMMISSION,[1]

[SEAL] BEN F. WAPLE, *Secretary.*

[1] Commissioners Johnson and Wiley concurring in the result: Commissioners H. Rex Lee and Hooks absent.

Part 81 of the rules is amended as indicated below.

1. Section 81.303(b) is amended to read as follows:

§ 81.303 Duplication of service.

* * * * *

(b) When calculated in accordance with Subpart R of this part, the service areas of two or more Class III–B public coast stations shall not be duplicated in more than 20 percent of the navigable waters within the service area of any station: *Provided, however*, That, (1) an application may be filed for a station to serve a boating locality in which no station is located and which is at least 30 miles from an existing station serving primarily another locality, and for purposes of this rule section a boating locality is defined as a port, marina, or harbor with docking or servicing facilities for not less than 10 commercial or 50 noncommercial vessels that are equipped with radio; or (2) an application may be filed for a station having a service area which duplicates more than 20 percent of the service area of an existing station if the assigned channel occupancy of the existing station exceeds 50 percent during the station's specified busiest hours of operation. An application based on channel use of an existing station and proposing duplication of more than 20 percent of the coverage area of the existing station, shall be accompanied by a record of monitorings or other satisfactory information to show that for any 4 days within a 10-consecutive-day period of station operation in each of 3 months immediately prior to the filing of the application, the assigned frequency, or frequencies, was in use for exchanging communications at least 50 percent of the 3 busiest hours of each day, of which not more than half of the use time may consist of waiting or setup time.

* * * * *

2. In § 81.304, paragraph (b)(22) is amended to read as follows:

§ 81.304 Frequencies available.

* * * * *

(b) * * *

(22) To the extent practicable, the order of assignment of public correspondence channels will be in accord with the U.S. priority numbering system, as follows:

Priority No. U.S.	Priority No. I.T.U.	Transmit (MHz)	Receive (MHz)	Channel designator
1	1	161.900	157.300	26
2	2	161.950	157.350	27
3	3	161.850	157.250	25
4	4	161.800	157.200	24
11	6	162.000	157.400	28
5	13	161.825	157.225	84
6	14	161.975	157.375	87
7	15	161.925	157.325	86
8	17	161.875	157.275	85

[1] Channel 28 will be assigned interchangeably with Channel 26 as the first priority number.

In assigning frequencies in the band 156–162 MHz to a Class III–B public coast station, initial grants will be limited

to one working frequency. An additional frequency may be assigned (i) when the assigned working frequency is also used by a foreign station near enough to result in destructive electrical interference by simultaneous operation; or (ii) if the channel occupancy of the assigned frequency, or frequencies, exceeds 40 percent during its specified busiest hours of operation. An application for assignment of an additional working frequency based on channel occupancy shall be accompanied by a record of monitorings, or other satisfactory information, to show that for any 4 days within a 10-consecutive-day period of station operation in each of 2 months immediately prior to the filing of the application, the assigned frequency, or frequencies, was in use for exchanging communications at least 40 percent of the 3 busiest hours of each day, of which not more than half of the use time may consist of waiting or setup time.

* * * * *

[FR Doc.72-19291 Filed 11-8-72;8:53 am]

[FCC 72-962]

PART 97—AMATEUR RADIO SERVICE

Issuance of Permit

Order. In the matter of amendment of § 97.307(a) of the Commission's amateur radio service rules to allow alien permittees to continue operating pending action on their application for renewal.

Public Law 88-313 authorizes the Commission to grant permits to aliens temporarily residing in the United States to allow them to operate an amateur station. These permits are issued for a period of 1 year or less and are renewable.

5 U.S.C. section 558 and 47 U.S.C. section 307(d) provide that when a licensee makes timely and sufficient application for a renewal of a license, a license of a continuing nature will not expire until the application has been determined. Pursuant to Public Law 88-313, the provisions of Administrative Procedure Act and the Communications Act are not applicable to alien permit applications. Thus, when an alien's permit expires, his authority to operate his amateur station ceases even though he has filed a timely and sufficient renewal application.

Under the provisions of Public Law 88-313 when the Commission receives an initial or renewal application, the Commission must notify the appropriate government agencies. Before any further action can be taken on these applications, these agencies must submit any information they may have regarding the application compatibility with national security. The time in which these responses are received from these agencies varies between several days and months. The Commission believes that there is no legitimate reason in the case of renewal application to require an alien permittee to cease operation while the Commission obtains the required clearance from the appropriate agencies in light of the fact that clearance has been previously obtained within a year of the permit's expiration date. To prevent undue hardship to the alien permittee, Part 97 of the Commission's rules is being amended to allow the alien permittee to continue operating his amateur station pending Commission action on his renewal application, provided the application is timely and sufficiently filed.

While the Congress in Public Law 88-313 provided that the provisions of the Administrative Procedure Act and the Communications Act would not be applicable to alien permits, it also gave the Commission broad authority to administer the public law under any rules the Commission deems appropriate. Our action in this order is both within the scope of our authority granted by Public Law 88-313 and within the intent and purpose of the Congress.

We have notified the appropriate government agencies of our proposed rule amendment and they have voiced no objection. No other public interest will be served by any other form of public notice. Accordingly, under these circumstances, public notice and an opportunity to file comments are not required.

In view of the foregoing: *It is ordered,* That effective December 15, 1972, § 97.307(a) is amended as shown below.

(Secs. 4, 303, 48 Stat., as amended, 1066, 1082; 47 U.S.C. 154, 303)

Adopted: November 1, 1972.

Released: November 6, 1972.

FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS COMMISSION,[1]
[SEAL] BEN F. WAPLE,
Secretary.

Part 97 of the Commission's rules is amended as follows:

1. Section 97.307(a) of the Commission's rules is amended to read as follows:

§ 97.307 Issuance of permit.

(a) The Commission may issue a permit to an alien amateur under such terms and conditions as it deems appropriate. If a change in the terms of a permit is desired, an application for modification of the permit is required. If operation beyond the expiration date of a permit is desired, an application for renewal of the permit is required. In any case in which the permittee has, in accordance with the provisions of this subpart, made a timely and sufficient application for renewal of an unexpired permit, such permit shall not expire until the application has been finally determined. Application for modification or for renewal of a permit shall be filed on FCC Form 610-A.

* * * * *

[FR Doc.72-19292 Filed 11-8-72;8:53 am]

---

[1] Commissioners H. Rex Lee and Hooks absent.

Title 49—TRANSPORTATION

Chapter X—Interstate Commerce Commission

SUBCHAPTER A—GENERAL RULES AND REGULATIONS

[S.O. 1112, Amdt. 2]

PART 1033—CAR SERVICE

Railroad Operating Regulations for Freight Car Movement

At a session of the Interstate Commerce Commission, Railroad Service Board, held in Washington, D.C., on the 3d day of November 1972.

Upon further consideration of Service Order No. 1112 (37 F.R. 21153), and good cause appearing therefor:

It is ordered, That: Service Order No. 1112 be, and it is hereby, amended by adding the following subdivision (iv) to paragraph (a), subparagraph (3):

§ 1033.1112 Service Order No. 1112.

(a) *Railroad operating regulations for freight car movement.* * * *

(3) *Removal of cars.* * * *

(iv) Cars shall not be removed from point of unloading or from industrial interchange tracks, nor released from demurrage or detention status, until all bracing, blocking, dunnage, paper, residue of lading, debris, and other foreign matter directly related to the inbound load have been removed from the car in accordance with the requirement of Rules 14 and 27 of the Uniform Freight Classification, ICC 7, issued by J. D. Sherson, supplements thereto, or reissues thereof.

EXCEPTION: Dunnage being returned to shipper under the provisions of the applicable tariffs may be left in cars released as empty, provided that proper shipping instructions are received by the carrier prior to 5:00 p.m. of the first day, which is not a Saturday, Sunday, or holiday, immediately following release of the car.

* * * * *

Effective date. This amendment shall become effective at 11:59 p.m., November 10, 1972.

(Secs. 1, 12, 15, and 17(2), 24 Stat. 379, 383, 384, as amended; 49 U.S.C. 1, 12, 15, and 17(2). Interprets or applies secs. 1(10-17), 15(4), and 17(2), 40 Stat. 101, as amended, 54 Stat. 911; 49 U.S.C. 1(10-17), 15(4), and 17(2))

It is further ordered, That copies of this amendment shall be served upon the Association of American Railroads, Car Service Division, as agent of all railroads subscribing to the car service and car hire agreement under the terms of that agreement, and upon the American Short Line Railroad Association; and that notice of this order be given to the general public by depositing a copy in the Office of the Secretary of the Commission at Washington, D.C., and by filing it with the Director, Office of the Federal Register.

By the Commission, Railroad Service Board.

[SEAL] ROBERT L. OSWALD, *Secretary.*

[FR Doc.72-19303 Filed 11-8-72;8:54 am]

[S.O. 1115-A]

PART 1033—CAR SERVICE

Chicago, Milwaukee, St. Paul and Pacific Railroad Co. Authorized To Operate Over Tracks of Chicago, Rock Island and Pacific Railroad Co.

At a session of the Interstate Commerce Commission, Railroad Service Board, held in Washington, D.C., on the 3d day of November 1972.

Upon further consideration of Service Order No. 1115 (37 F.R. 22873), and good cause appearing therefor:

It is ordered, That: § 1033.1115 *Service Order No. 1115* (Chicago, Milwaukee, St. Paul and Pacific Railroad Company authorized to operate over tracks of Chicago, Rock Island and Pacific Railroad Company) be, and it is hereby, vacated and set aside.

(Secs. 1, 12, 15, and 17(2), 24 Stat. 379, 383, 384, as amended; 49 U.S.C. 1, 12, 15, and 17(2). Interprets or applies Secs. 1(10-17), 15(4), and 17(2), 40 Stat. 101, as amended, 54 Stat. 911; 49 U.S.C. 1(10-17), 15(4), and 17(2))

It is further ordered, That this order shall become effective at 12:01 a.m., November 6, 1972; that copies of this order and direction shall be served upon the Association of American Railroads, Car Service Division, as agent of the railroads subscribing to the car service and car hire agreement under the terms of that agreement, and upon the American Short Line Railroad Association; and that notice of this order shall be given to the general public by depositing a copy in the Office of the Secretary of the Commission at Washington, D.C., and by filing it with the Director, Office of the FEDERAL REGISTER.

By the Commission, Railroad Service Board.

[SEAL] ROBERT L. OSWALD, *Secretary.*

[FR Doc.72-19304 Filed 11-8-72;8:54 am]

Title 50—WILDLIFE AND FISHERIES

Chapter I—Bureau of Sport Fisheries and Wildlife, Fish and Wildlife Service, Department of the Interior

PART 32—HUNTING

Mark Twain National Wildlife Refuge, Ill.

The following special regulation is issued and is effective on date of publication in the FEDERAL REGISTER (11-9-72).

§ 32.22 Special regulations; raccoons; for individual wildlife refuge areas.

ILLINOIS

MARK TWAIN NATIONAL WILDLIFE REFUGE

Public hunting of raccoons on the Mark Twain National Wildlife Refuge, Ill., is permitted only on the areas designated by signs as open to hunting. These open areas, comprising 7,299 acres, are delineated on maps available at the refuge headquarters and from the Regional Director, Bureau of Sport Fisheries and Wildlife, Federal Building, Fort Snelling, Twin Cities, Minn. 55111. Hunting shall be in accordance with all applicable State regulations concerning the hunting of raccoons and subject to the following conditions:

(1) The open season for hunting raccoons on the Batchtown and Calhoun divisions is from December 17, 1972, through January 31, 1973.

The provisions of this special regulation supplement the regulations which govern hunting on wildlife refuges generally, which are set forth in Title 50, Code of Federal Regulations, Part 32, and are effective through January 31, 1973.

LESLIE F. BEATY,
Refuge Manager, Mark Twain National Wildlife Refuge, Quincy, Ill.

NOVEMBER 3, 1972.

[FR Doc.72-19306 Filed 11-8-72;8:55 am]

PART 32—HUNTING

Mark Twain National Wildlife Refuge, Ill.

The following special regulation is issued and is effective on date of publication in the FEDERAL REGISTER (11-9-72).

§ 32.22 Special regulations; upland game; for individual wildlife refuge areas.

ILLINOIS

MARK TWAIN NATIONAL WILDLIFE REFUGE

Public hunting of rabbits and quail on the Mark Twain National Wildlife Refuge, Ill., is permitted only on the areas of the Batchtown division and Calhoun division designated by signs as open to hunting. These open areas, comprising 2,250 acres on the Batchtown division and 4,500 acres lying west of the Illinois River on the Calhoun division are delineated on a map available at the refuge headquarters and from the Regional Director, Bureau of Sport Fisheries and Wildlife, Federal Building, Fort Snelling, Twin Cities, Minn. 55111. Hunting shall be in accordance with all applicable State regulations concerning the hunting of rabbits and quail and subject to the following conditions:

(1) The open season for hunting rabbits and quail on the refuge is from December 17, 1972, through January 15, 1973.

The provisions of this special regulation supplement the regulations which govern hunting on wildlife refuges generally, which are set forth in Title 50, Code of Federal Regulations, Part 32, and are effective through January 15, 1973.

LESLIE F. BEATY,
Refuge Manager, Mark Twain National Wildlife Refuge, Quincy, Ill.

NOVEMBER 2, 1972.

[FR Doc.72-19305 Filed 11-8-72;8:55 am]

PART 33—SPORT FISHING

Tewaukon National Wildlife Refuge, N. Dak.

The following special regulation is issued and is effective on date of publication in the FEDERAL REGISTER (11-9-72).

§ 33.5 Special regulations; sport fishing; for individual wildlife refuge areas.

NORTH DAKOTA

TEWAUKON NATIONAL WILDLIFE REFUGE

Sport fishing on the Tewaukon National Wildlife Refuge, Cayuga, N. Dak., is permitted only on the areas designated by signs as open to fishing. These open areas are Lake Tewaukon, Mann Lake, Sprague Lake, and a portion of Cutler's Marsh, comprising 1,570 acres, and are shown on maps available at refuge headquarters and from the office of the Regional Director, Bureau of Sport Fisheries and Wildlife, Federal Building, Fort Snelling, Twin Cities, Minn. 55111. Sport fishing shall be in accordance with all applicable State regulations subject to the following special conditions:

(1) The open season for sport fishing on the refuge extends from December 16, 1972, through March 25, 1973, inclusive.

The provisions of this special regulation supplement the regulations which govern fishing on wildlife refuge areas generally which are set forth in Title 50, Part 33, and are effective through March 25, 1973.

HERBERT G. TROESTER,
Refuge Manager, Tewaukon National Wildlife Refuge, Cayuga, N. Dak.

NOVEMBER 2, 1972.

[FR Doc.72-19227 Filed 11-8-72;8:48 am]

Proposed Rule Making

DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE

Bureau of Narcotics and Dangerous Drugs

[21 CFR Part 301]

DRUG ABUSE PREVENTION AND CONTROL

Notice of Proposed Rule Making

Over the past 18 months, the Bureau of Narcotics and Dangerous Drugs has received numerous requests and suggestions for simplifying the process by which a researcher can be registered to handle Schedule I substances. In September of 1971, the Bureau amended its regulations to eliminate multiple registrations of researchers and consolidate use of order forms by researchers. Since then, other recommendations have been made to further ease the administrative burdens imposed on research by the Controlled Substances Act. In response to these requests, the Bureau and Food and Drug Administration, in consultation with the Special Action Office for Drug Abuse Prevention, have developed new regulations governing the procedures for submitting and processing applications to conduct research with Schedule I controlled substances.

The major change is the drafting of a new section (§ 301.33) to describe the form and content of research protocols. Section 301.33(a) sets forth the form and required contents for research protocols. Paragraph (b) provides a mechanism to be used when clinical research is involved, whereby an IND is submitted to the Food and Drug Administration in lieu of a protocol. Paragraph (c) describes the process of amending an approved protocol to increase the quantity of the substance being used. Paragraph (d) sets forth a procedure for supplemental protocols which cover controlled substances not included in the researcher's approved protocol.

Section 301.42 details the procedures for processing applications and protocols. The Bureau will forward a copy of all materials to the Food and Drug Administration within 7 days after being submitted by the researcher. The FDA will review the protocol and within 21 days (30 days if an IND is involved) render a decision to approve or deny the protocol. If a protocol is defective, the researcher will be requested by FDA to correct the defects, again within the 21-day limit. Within 10 days of the protocol's being approved by FDA, the Bureau will issue the certificate of registration authorizing the researcher to begin his activities. If a protocol is denied by FDA, the Bureau will notify the researcher within 10 days and provide him with an opportunity for a hearing. If any delays or difficulties arise in processing an application or protocol, the researcher will be promptly notified.

Section 301.32(a)(6) requires a conforming amendment to incorporate the above proposals.

The Bureau believes that these new regulations will assure the research community of its right to prompt and responsible action on requests to conduct research. The Bureau has consistently tried to minimize the burdens imposed upon researchers, including administrative delays in processing applications and protocols. Of the 310 Schedule I research protocols approved as of October 1, 1972, over 84 percent were granted within 60 days of receipt by BNDD, and all but one were approved within 90 days. Of the 38 applications pending at that time, only nine have been delayed over 60 days and in each case the researcher has been notified of the specific reason for delay.

Therefore, under the authority vested in the Attorney General by sections 301, 302(f) and 501(b) of the Comprehensive Drug Abuse Prevention and Control Act of 1970 and redelegated to the Director, Bureau of Narcotics and Dangerous Drugs, by § 0.100 of Title 28 of the Code of Federal Regulations, the Director hereby proposes that Part 301 of Title 21 of the Code of Federal Regulations be amended with the following changes:

1. By amending § 301.32 by revising paragraph (a)(6) to read as follows:

§ 301.32 Application forms; contents signature.

(a) * * *

(6) To conduct research with controlled substances listed in schedule I, he shall apply on BNDD Form 225, with three copies of a research protocol as described in § 301.33(a) attached to the form, or, in the case of a clinical investigation, with three copies of a certificate of submission of an IND as described in § 301.33(b) attached to the form (the researcher also submitting to the Food and Drug Administration three copies of a Notice of Claimed Investigational Exemption for a New Drug as required in § 301.33(b));

* * * * *

2. By adopting a new § 301.33 to read as follows:

§ 301.33 Research protocols.

(a) A protocol to conduct research with controlled substances listed in Schedule I shall be in the following form and contain the following information where applicable:

(1) Investigator:

(i) Name, address, and BNDD registration number; if any.

(ii) Institutional affiliation.

(iii) Qualifications, including a curriculum vitae and an appropriate bibliography (list of publications).

(2) Research project:

(i) Title of project.

(ii) Statement of the purpose.

(iii) Name of the controlled substances or substances involved and the amount of each needed.

(iv) Description of the research to be conducted, including the number and species of research subjects, the dosage to be administered, the route and method of administration, and the duration of the project.

(v) Location where the research will be conducted.

(vi) Statement of the security provisions for storing the controlled substances (in accordance with § 301.75) and for dispensing the controlled substances in order to prevent diversion.

(3) Authority:

(i) Institutional approval.

(ii) Approval of a Human Research Committee for human studies.

(iii) Indication of an approved active Notice of Claimed Investigational Exemption for a New Drug (number).

(iv) Indication of an approved funded grant (number).

(b) In the case of a clinical investigation with controlled substances listed in Schedule I, the applicant shall submit three copies of a Notice of Claimed Investigational Exemption for a New Drug (IND) together with a statement of the security provisions (as prescribed in paragraph (a)(2)(v) of this section for a research protocol) to, and have such submission approved by, the Food and Drug Administration as required in 21 U.S.C. 355(i) and § 130.3 of this title. Submission of this Notice and statement to the Food and Drug Administration shall be in lieu of a research protocol to the Bureau as required in paragraph (a) of this section. The applicant, when applying for registration with the Bureau, shall indicate that such notice has been submitted to the Food and Drug Administration by submitting to the Bureau with his BND Form 225 three copies of the following certificate:

I hereby certify that on _____, pursuant
(Date)
to 21 U.S.C. 355(i) and 21 CFR 130.3,
I, _____
(Name and Address of IND Sponsor)
submitted a Notice of Claimed Investigational Exemption for a New Drug (IND) to the Food and Drug Administration for:
_____.
(Name of Investigational Drug)

_____
(Date)

_____
Signature of Applicant

(c) In the event that the registrant desires to increase the quantity of a controlled substance used for an aproved research project, he shall submit a request to the Registration Branch, Bureau of Narcotics and Dangerous Drugs, Post Office Box 28083, Central Station, Washington, D.C. 20005, by registered mail, return receipt requested. The request shall contain the following information: BNDD registration number; name of the controlled substance or substances and the quantity of each authorized in the approved protocol; and the additional quantity of each desired. Upon return of the receipt, the registrant shall be authorized to purchase the additional quantity of the controlled substance or substances specified in the request. The Bureau shall review the letter and forward it to the Food and Drug Administration together with the Bureau comments. The Food and Drug Administration shall approve or deny the request as an amendment to the protocol and so notify the registrant. Approval of the letter by the Food and Drug Administration shall authorize the registrant to use the additional quantity of the controlled substance in the research project.

(d) In the event the registrant desires to conduct research beyond the variations provided in the registrant's approved protocol (excluding any increase in the quantity of the controlled substance requested for his research project as outlined in paragraph (c) of this section), he shall submit three copies of a supplemental protocol in accordance with paragraph (a) of this section describing the new research and omitting information in the supplemental protocol which has been stated in the original protocol. Supplemental protocols shall be processed and approved or denied in the same manner as original research protocols.

3. By deleting § 301.42 in its entirety and replacing it with the following:

§ 301.42 Action on applications for research in Schedule I substances.

(a) In the case of an application for registration to conduct research with controlled substances listed in Schedule I, the Director shall process the application and protocol and forward a copy of each to the Secretary within 7 days after receipt. The Secretary shall determine the qualifications and competency of the applicant, as well as the merits of the protocol (and shall notify the Director of his determination) within 21 days after receipt of the application and complete protocol, except that in the case of a clinical investigation, the Secretary shall have 30 days to make such determination and notify the Director. The Secretary, in determining the merits of the protocol, shall consult with the Director as to effective procedures to safeguard adequately against diversion of such controlled substances from legitimate medical or scientific use.

(b) An applicant whose protocol is defective shall be notified by the Secretary within 21 days after receipt of such protocol from the Director (or in the case of a clinical investigation within 30 days), and he shall be requested to correct the existing defects before consideration shall be given to his submission.

(c) If the Secretary determines the applicant qualified and competent and the research protocol meritorious, he shall notify the Director in writing of such determination. The Director shall issue a certificate of resignation within 10 days after receipt of this notice, unless he determines that the certificate of registration should be denied on a ground specified in section 304(a) of the Act (21 U.S.C. 824(a)). In the case of a supplemental protocol, a replacement certificate of registration shall be issued by the Director.

(d) If the Secretary determines that the protocol is not meritorious and/or the applicant is not qualified or competent, he shall notify the Director in writing setting forth the reasons for such determination. If the Director determines that grounds exist for the denial of the application, he shall within 10 days issue an order to show cause pursuant to § 301.48 and, if requested by the applicant, hold a hearing on the application pursuant to § 301.51. If the grounds for denial of the application include a determination by the Secretary, the Secretary or his duly authorized agent shall furnish testimony and documents pertaining to his determination at such hearing.

(e) Supplemental protocols will be processed in the same manner as original research protocols. If the processing of an application or research protocol is delayed beyond the time limits imposed by this section, the applicant shall be so notified in writing.

All interested persons are invited to submit their comments and objections in writing regarding this proposal. Comments and objections should be submitted in quintuplicate to the Hearing Clerk, Office of Chief Counsel, Bureau of Narcotics and Dangerous Drugs, Department of Justice, Room 611, 1405 I Street NW., Washington, DC 20537, and must be received no later than December 15, 1972.

Dated: November 3, 1972.

JOHN E. INGERSOLL,
Director, Bureau of Narcotics and Dangerous Drugs.

[FR Doc.72-19271 Filed 11-8-72;8:52 am]

DEPARTMENT OF AGRICULTURE

Agricultural Stabilization and Conservation Service

[7 CFR Part 724]

TOBACCO

Determinations on Marketing Quotas for 1973–74, 1974–75, and 1975–76 Marketing Years

Pursuant to and in accordance with the Agricultural Adjustment Act of 1938, as amended (7 U.S.C. 1281 et seq., hereinafter referred to as the "Act"), the Secretary is preparing (1) with respect to Fire-cured (type 21), Fire-cured (type 22–24) and Dark air-cured tobacco, to proclaim national marketing quotas for the 1973–74, 1974–75, and 1975–76 marketing years, and to conduct within 30 days after the proclamation of such national marketing quotas referenda of farmers engaged in the 1972 production of each of such kinds of tobacco to determine whether they favor or oppose marketing quotas for such years; and (2) with respect to Fire-cured (type 21), Fire-cured (types 22–24), Dark air-cured, Virginia sun-cured, Cigar-binder (types 51 and 52), and Cigar-filler and Binder (types 42–44, 53–55) tobacco, to determine and announce the national marketing quotas for the 1973–74 marketing year; to convert such marketing quotas into national acreage allotments and announce the allotments; to apportion such allotments, less reserves of not to exceed 1 percent of each respectively, through the local committees among old farms and to apportion the reserves for use in (a) establishing acreage allotments for new farms and (b) making corrections and adjusting inequities in old farm allotments.

The Act (7 U.S.C. 1312(a)) requires the Secretary to proclaim marketing quotas, not later than February 1, 1973, for Fire-cured and Dark air-cured tobacco for the 3 marketing years beginning October 1, 1973, because the 1972–73 marketing year is the last year of the 3 consecutive years for which marketing quotas previously proclaimed will be in effect.

The Secretary has previously proclaimed quotas and conducted referenda for the various kinds of tobacco with results as follows: Fire-cured for the 1970–71, 1971–72, and 1972–73 marketing years, approved by growers (35 F.R. 4945); Dark air-cured for the 1970–71, 1971–72, and 1972–73 marketing years, approved by growers (35 F.R. 4945); Virginia sun-cured for the 1971–72, 1972–73, and 1973–74 marketing years, approved by growers (36 F.R. 6734); Cigar-binder (types 51 and 52) for the 1972–73, 1973–74, and 1974–75 marketing years, approved by growers (37 F.R. 3422); and Cigar-filler and Binder (types 42–44, 53–55) for the 1972–73, 1973–74, and 1974–75 marketing years, approved by growers (37 F.R. 3422).

Section 301(b)(15) of the Act (7 U.S.C. 1301(b)(15)) defines "tobacco" as each one of the kinds of tobacco listed below comprising the types specified as classified in Service and Regulatory Announcement No. 118 (Part 30 of this title) of the former Bureau of Agricultural Economics of the Department:

Flue-cured tobacco, comprising types 11, 12, 13, and 14;
Fire-cured tobacco, comprising type 21;
Fire-cured tobacco, comprising types 22, 23, and 24;
Dark air-cured tobacco, comprising types 35 and 36;

Virginia sun-cured tobacco, comprising type 37;
Burley tobacco, comprising type 31;
Maryland tobacco, comprising type 32;
Cigar-filler and Cigar-binder tobacco, comprising types 42, 43, 44, 45, 46, 51, 52, 53, 54, and 55; and
Cigar-filler tobacco, comprising type 41.

Section 301(b)(15) also provides that any one or more of the types comprising any such kind of tobacco shall be treated as a "kind of tobacco" for the purposes of the Act if the Secretary finds that there is a difference in supply and demand conditions as among such types of tobacco which results in a difference in the adjustments needed in the marketings thereof in order to maintain supplies in line with demand. Pursuant to this authority, the Secretary has determined (15 F.R. 8214) that type 46 tobacco shall be treated as a separate kind of tobacco for purposes of marketing quotas and price supports. Pursuant to such authority, the Secretary has also determined (22 F.R. 367) that Cigar-binder (types 51 and 52) tobacco, beginning with the 1957–58 marketing year, shall be treated as a separate kind of tobacco for purposes of marketing quotas and price supports. Type 45 tobacco is no longer grown. No further action under this section is contemplated at this time.

Section 312(b) of the Act (7 U.S.C. 1312(b)) provides that the Secretary shall determine and announce, not later than the first day of February 1973 with respect to kinds other than Flue-cured tobacco, the amount of the national marketing quota which will be in effect for the 1973–74 marketing year in terms of the total quantity of tobacco which may be marketed which will make available during such marketing year a supply of each kind of tobacco equal to the reserve supply level. Section 312(b) provides further that the amount of the 1973–74 national marketing quota (determined pursuant to such section) may, not later than March 1, 1973, be increased by not more than 20 percent if the Secretary determines that such increase is necessary in order to meet market demands or to avoid undue restrictions of marketings in adjusting the total supply to the reserve supply level.

The Act (7 U.S.C. 1301(b)) defines the "total supply" of tobacco for any marketing year as the carryover at the beginning of the marketing year (on January 1 of such marketing year in the case of Maryland tobacco), plus the estimated production in the United States during the calendar year in which such marketing year begins. "Reserve supply level" is defined as the normal supply plus 5 percent thereof. "Normal supply" is defined as a normal year's domestic consumption and exports, plus 175 percent of a normal year's domestic consumption and 65 percent of a normal year's exports. A "normal year's domestic consumption" is defined as the yearly average quantity produced in the United States and consumed in the United States during the 10 marketing years immediately preceding the marketing year in which such consumption is determined, adjusted for current trends in such consumption. A "normal year's exports" is defined as the yearly average quantity produced in the United States which was exported from the United States during the 10 marketing years immediately preceding the marketing year in which such exports are determined, adjusted for current trends in such exports.

The Act (7 U.S.C. 1312(c)) requires that within 30 days after national marketing quotas are proclaimed under section 312(a) of the Act for a kind of tobacco, the Secretary shall conduct a referendum of farmers engaged in the production of the crop of such kind of tobacco harvested immediately prior to the holding of the referendum to determine whether such farmers are in favor of or opposed to quotas for the next 3 succeeding marketing years. If more than one-third of the farmers voting in a referendum for a kind of tobacco oppose the quotas, such results shall be proclaimed by the Secretary and the national marketing quotas so proclaimed shall not be in effect but such results shall in no way affect or limit the subsequent submission to a referendum, as otherwise provided in section 312 of the Act (7 U.S.C. 1312), of national marketing quotas.

The Act (7 U.S.C. 1313(g) authorizes the Secretary to convert the national marketing quota into a national acreage allotment on the basis of the national average yield for the 5 years immediately preceding the year in which the national marketing quota is proclaimed, and to apportion the national acreage allotment (less a reserve of not to exceed 1 percent thereof for new farms and for making corrections and adjusting inequities in old farm allotments) among old farms.

The subjects and issues involved in making the determinations described in this notice are:

1. The amount of the reserve supply level for Fire-cured (type 21), Fire-cured (types 22–24), Dark air-cured, Sun-cured, Cigar-binder (types 51 and 52) and Cigar-filler and Binder (types 42–44, 53–55) tobacco.

2. The amount of the national marketing quota for each of these kinds of tobacco for the 1973–74 marketing year.

3. The national factors for apportioning national acreage allotments to old farms.

4. The amounts of the national acreage allotments to be reserved for new farms, and for making corrections and adjusting inequities in old farm allotments.

5. The date(s) or period(s) of the two referenda on quotas for the 1973–74, 1974–75, and 1975–76 marketing years for Fire-cured and Dark air-cured tobacco, and whether either or both of the referenda should be conducted at polling places rather than by mail ballot (31 F.R. 12011).

Consideration will be given to data, views, and recommendations pertaining to the proposed determinations covered by this notice which are submitted in writing to the Director, Tobacco Division, Agricultural Stabilization and Conservation Service, U.S. Department of Agriculture, Washington, D.C. 20250. All written submissions made pursuant to the notice will be made available for public inspection from 8:15 a.m. to 4:45 p.m., Monday through Friday, in Room 3741, South Building, 14th and Independence Avenue SW., Washington, D.C. All submissions must, in order to be sure of consideration, be postmarked not later than 30 days from the date of publication of this notice in the FEDERAL REGISTER.

Signed at Washington, D.C., on November 3, 1972.

GLEN A. WEIR,
Acting Administrator, Agricultural Stabilization and Conservation Service.

[FR Doc.72-19240 Filed 11-8-72;8:49 am]

Rural Electrification Administration

[7 CFR Part 1701]

SALES OF CAPITAL ASSETS BY ELECTRIC BORROWERS

Proposed Revision of REA Bulletin

Notice is hereby given that, pursuant to the Rural Electrification Act, as amended (7 U.S.C. 901 et seq.), REA proposes to issue revised REA Bulletin 115–1, Sales of Capital Assets by Electric Borrowers, to clarify and expand certain provisions of the previous bulletin.

Persons interested in the provisions of revised REA Bulletin 115–1, may submit written data, views, or comments to the Director, Power Supply, Management and Engineering Standards Division, Room 3313, South Building, Rural Electrification Administration, U.S. Department of Agriculture, Washington, D.C. 20250, not later than 30 days from the publication of this notice in the FEDERAL REGISTER. All written submissions made pursuant to this notice will be made available for public inspection at the Office of the Director, Power Supply, Management and Engineering Standards Division, during regular business hours.

A copy of the revised REA Bulletin, including related forms and attachments may be secured in person or by written request from the Director, Power Supply, Management and Engineering Standards Division.

The summary of the proposed revision of REA Bulletin 115–1 is as follows:

SUMMARY OF PROPOSED CHANGES IN REVISED BULLETIN 115–1

1. The title of the bulletin has been changed from "Sales of Property by Electric Borrowers" to "Sales of Capital Assets by Electric Borrowers" to more closely conform this terminology to that in the loan security documents.

2. The distribution and accounting for the proceeds from the sale, less out-of-pocket expense incident to the sale, have been clarified to assure understanding of requirements that net proceeds from sales of capital assets shall be distributed and accounted for as follows:

(a) To the "Cash-Construction-Fund Trustee" account to be used in a manner and for a purpose for which REA loan funds may be used, or

(b) If the borrower has no concurrent loans outstanding, to the Rural Electrification Administration as a special payment to be applied to the note or notes designated by the borrower or REA, or

(c) To REA and a secured supplemental lender if the borrower has concurrent loans outstanding, by application of such funds as a prepayment on the notes of both lenders pro rata according to the aggregate unpaid principal of the notes then outstanding, as designated by the noteholders, or

(d) In the case of disposition of equipment, materials or scrap to the "Cash-General" account to be used for the purchase of materials, equipment, supplies, or property used in the mortgagor's business which shall be subject to the lien of the mortgage.

3. Provision has been made for the handling of sales to be paid for by the purchaser in installments. For such sales a Sales-Purchase Agreement will be executed by the borrower and the purchaser and assigned to the U.S. Government and supplemental lenders, if applicable. Copies of such assigned agreements will be submitted to REA and the supplemental lenders, if applicable, together with REA Form 369, Request for Approval to Sell Capital Assets, and with other supporting data as set forth in the bulletin. Partial releases of lien will not be executed by REA or supplemental lenders, if applicable, until the final installment has been paid by the purchaser.

Dated: November 6, 1972.

DAVID A. HAMIL,
Administrator.

[FR Doc.72-19297 Filed 11-8-72;8:54 am]

DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH, EDUCATION, AND WELFARE

Food and Drug Administration

[21 CFR Part 148m]

TROLEANDOMYCIN ORAL SUSPENSION

Upper Potency Limit

Pursuant to provisions of the Federal Food, Drug, and Cosmetic Act (sec. 507, 59 Stat. 463; 21 U.S.C. 357) and under authority delegated to the Commissioner of Food and Drugs (21 CFR 2.120), it is proposed that § 148m.7 *Troleandomycin oral suspension* be amended to raise the upper limit of potency for the drug by changing "not more than 120 percent of the number of milligrams" in the third sentence of paragraph (a)(1) to read "not more than 125 percent of the number of milligrams."

Interested persons may, within 60 days after publication hereof in the FEDERAL REGISTER, file with the Hearing Clerk, Department of Health, Education, and Welfare, Room 6-88, 5600 Fishers Lane, Rockville, MD 20852, written comments (preferably in quintuplicate) regarding this proposal. Comments may be accompanied by a memorandum or brief in support thereof. Received comments may be seen in the above office during working hours, Monday through Friday.

Dated: November 2, 1972.

MARY A. MCENIRY,
Assistant to the Director for Regulatory Affairs, Bureau of Drugs.

[FR Doc.72-19212 Filed 11-8-72;8:47 am]

DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION

Coast Guard

[46 CFR Part 10]

[CGD 72-132PHa]

UNINSPECTED TOWING VESSELS

Licensing of Operators; Extension of Time for Comments

In the August 11, 1972, issue of the FEDERAL REGISTER (37 F.R. 16374), the Coast Guard proposed regulations governing the issuance of licenses for the operation of uninspected towing vessels to implement the Towing Vessel Operator Licensing Act, Public Law 92-339, R.S. 4427, as amended, 46 U.S.C. 405(b) (July 7, 1972).

The Coast Guard held four public hearings on the proposed regulations: On September 13, 1972, in Seattle, Wash.; on September 20, 1972, in New York, N.Y.; on September 26, 1972, in New Orleans, La.; and on September 27, 1972, in St. Louis, Mo. In addition, interested persons were given until October 17, 1972, to submit comments.

The Coast Guard has received several requests for extension of the comment period from towboat operators whose duties prevented them from participating in any of the public hearings and from meeting the October 17 deadline. The Coast Guard found the requests justified and extended the period of comments to October 31, 1972.

Since then, the Coast Guard has received additional requests for extension of the comment period from interested parties who have had insufficient time to fully document oral or written comments submitted at the public hearings.

The Coast Guard finds that the request for additional time in which to comment on the proposed regulations is appropriate and hereby extends the period for such comments to November 30, 1972. All relative comments received by that time will be considered.

Interested persons may participate in this proposed rule making by submitting written data, views, or arguments to the Executive Secretary, Marine Safety Council (GCMC/82), Room 8234, 400 Seventh Street SW., Washington, DC 20590, phone: 202—426-1477. Written comments should include the docket number (CGD 72-132PH), the name and address of the person submitting the comments, and the section of the proposal to which each comment is directed. Copies of comments received will be available for examination in Room 8234, 400 Seventh Street SW., Washington, DC.

Dated: November 6, 1972.

G. H. READ,
Captain, U.S. Coast Guard, Acting Chief, Office of Merchant Marine Safety.

[FR Doc.72-19267 Filed 11-8-72;8:51 am]

CIVIL AERONAUTICS BOARD

[14 CFR Part 252]

[Docket No. 21708; EDR-231A]

SPECIFICALLY DESIGNATED "SMOKING" AREAS ABOARD COMMERCIAL AIRCRAFT

Supplemental Notice of Proposed Rule Making

NOVEMBER 6, 1972.

By circulation of notice of rule making EDR-231, dated September 13, 1972, and published at 37 F.R. 19146, the Board gave notice that it had under consideration a new part (Part 252 of its regulations (14 CFR Part 252)) to require certificated air carriers (both route and supplemental carriers) to establish procedures designed to segregate passengers who desire to be seated in designated "smoking" areas and to prohibit smoking in all areas not so designated on commercial flights. Interested persons were invited to file comments with the Board on or before November 3, 1972.

On November 2, 1972, Action on Smoking and Health (ASH), a national nonprofit, antismoking organization, requested an extension of 30 days for the filing of comments. The undersigned finds that good cause has been shown for a grant of the requested extension of time for filing comments. Accordingly, pursuant to the authority delegated in § 385.20(d) of the Board's organization regulations, the undersigned hereby extends the time for submitting comments to December 4, 1972.

(Sec. 204(a) of the Federal Aviation Act of 1958, as amended, 72 Stat. 743; 49 U.S.C. 1324)

[SEAL] ARTHUR H. SIMMS,
Associate General Counsel, Rules and Rates.

[FR Doc.72-19288 Filed 11-8-72;8:53 am]

ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY

[40 CFR Part 180]

PESTICIDE CHEMICAL SAFETY

Proposed Toxicology Guidelines; Extension of Time for Filing Comments

The notice published in the FEDERAL REGISTER of September 20, 1972 (37 F.R. 19383), proposing the establishment of § 180.36 *Toxicology guidelines for evaluating pesticide chemical safety of residue tolerances* provided for the filing of comments within 30 days after said date.

At the request of interested parties who have asked more time to prepare detailed comments on the proposal, the time for filing comments is extended to 30 days from the publication of this notice in the FEDERAL REGISTER.

This action is taken pursuant to provisions of the Federal Food, Drug, and Cosmetic Act (sec. 408(m), 68 Stat. 517; 21 U.S.C. 346a(m)), the authority transferred to the Administrator of the Environmental Protection Agency (35 F.R. 15623), and the authority delegated by the Administrator to the Deputy Assistant Administrator for Pesticides Programs (36 F.R. 9038).

Dated: November 1, 1972.

EDWIN L. JOHNSON,
Acting Deputy Assistant Administrator for Pesticides Programs.

[FR Doc.72-19237 Filed 11-8-72;8:49 am]

FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS COMMISSION

[Docket No. 19623; FCC 72-964]

[47 CFR Part 78]

BANDWIDTHS AVAILABLE FOR INTRACITY STATIONS

Proposed Alternative Channel Arrangement

In the matter of Amendment of Part 78 of the Commission's rules and regulations to add an alternative channel arrangement, reducing the bandwidths available for intracity stations, and adding certain other clarifications, Docket No. 19623, RM-1936.

1. Notice is hereby given of proposed rule making in the above-entitled matter.

2. The Commission has before it for consideration a petition (RM-1936) filed by Theta-Com of California [1] requesting rule making: (1) To provide an alternative channel arrangement for local distribution service (LDS) stations in the cable television relay service, and (2) clarifying the rules to make clear the situations in which nonadjacent channels may be used for LDS station operations. Responsive pleadings were filed by a number of parties all supporting Theta-Com's proposal. In addition a number of parties request that the proceeding be expanded to consider the adoption of a frequency plan for channels of return communication.

ALTERNATIVE LDS CHANNEL ARRANGEMENT

3. Theta-Com's concern is basically that the vestigial sideband AM transmission LDS frequency channelling plan specified in the rules be compatible with possible frequency plans within cable television systems. Two difficulties are presented by the existing rules. First, the existing channel plan (§ 78.18(a)(2)) was developed to facilitate the relay of up to 18 channels over two or more hops, and to provide frequency space for additional systems serving the same general area.[2] The available channels are divided into two nonduplicating groups of 18 channels. The existing channel plan is shown in Appendix A. Each group is arranged so that block processing of all channels is facilitated and there is no need for individual processing of channels between the LDS receiver and the cable interface. If more than 20 channels are to be relayed, as will clearly be necessary in connection with modern high capacity cable television systems,[3] channels from both groups must be used. Because the channels in the second group (Group D) are not contiguous with those in the first group (Group C) individual channel processing at the cable interface becomes necessary.[4] This individual processing, Theta-Com indicates, degrades the signals involved, reduces the reliability of the system as a whole, adds unnecessary expense, and causes maintenance problems that largely nullify the inherent advantages of the single sideband type of operation. According to Theta-Com the need to process each signal individually would double or triple the cost of each receiving site. To overcome the need for individual channel processing and facilitate the relay of more than 18 channels at a time, Theta-Com has suggested an alternative channel plan for use in non-repeatered operations that simply divides that available frequency space into 40 evenly spaced 6 MHz channels.[5]

4. In response to Theta-Com's rule making petition, the National Cable Television Association filed comments agreeing in theory with the rule making request but suggesting that the Commission leave the specific cable channel allocations unstructured. That would be left as a detail to be described by each applicant. NCTA points out that there is as yet no industrywide standard for within-cable frequency allocations, so that it is difficult to devise an LDS frequency allocation plan compatible with all of the possible cable allocation plans. Comments were also filed by Cox Cable Communications, Inc., Buckeye Cablevision, Inc., Oak Electro/Netics Corp., Cypress Communications Corp., TelePrompTer Corp., Athena Communications Corp., and Varian/Micro-Link. All indicate their support for a change in the rules to facilitate the relay of a greater number of channels.

5. We believe that Theta-Com's petition has merit and are accordingly issuing this notice proposing for adoption the channel allocation plan submitted by Theta-Com.[6] We are also interested in receiving comments with respect to the destructured approach proposed by the NCTA.[7] We believe, however, at least initially that a structured approach facilitates the processing of applications and is desirable for that reason. We intend in any case to retain provision for case-by-case consideration of non-standard channel proposals.

ADJACENT CHANNEL USE

6. The second matter raised in Theta-Com's petition is a request for clarification of the rules to indicate specifically those situations in which adjacent channel use will not be required. Although the rules do not now require adjacent channel use, we did state in adopting the LDS rules that adjacent channel use was "encouraged." [8] The reason for encouraging adjacent channel use is that it is difficult for other users of the available frequency space to make use of isolated channels surrounded by channels in use by other station licensees. Theta-Com points out, however, that there are a number of situations in which adjacent channel use is inefficient (for the first user) because it requires individual rather than block processing of signals at the interface between the LDS receiver and the cable system. We are asked to amend the rules to specifically indicate that adjacent channel operation is not required:

a. When the channels to be transmitted via LDS are not all adjacent to one another either when received off the air or when carried on the CATV system; or

b. Where there is a potential for radio frequency interference problems; or

c. When the LDS system is required to transmit CATV originated or translated

[1] Theta-Com is a developer and manufacturer of LDS microwave equipment.

[2] The existing plan was suggested by Theta-Com's parent corporation in response to our Notice of Proposed Rule Making in Docket 18542, 16 FCC 2d 433 (1969). See Report and Order in Docket 18542, 20 FCC 2d 415 (1969) paragraph 12, (1969).

[3] The rules now require some cable television systems to have a greater than 20 channel capacity. See § 76.251.

[4] Existing rules, § 78.18(e), already recognize this problem to some extent by permitting alternative channel arrangements "on a case-by-case basis in order to avoid interference or to permit a more efficient use."

[5] Plus pilot channels. An additional plan following the same theory but offset to some extent and involving a smaller number of channels is also suggested for use where local interference factors prohibit use of its first suggested alternative.

[6] See Appendix B, § 78.18(a)(2).

[7] See Appendix C, § 78.18(k) for this alternative approach.

[8] Report and Order in Docket 18542, supra. Note 2 at page 420.

UHF channels or other programing on nonadjacent channels which are to be inserted in nonadjacent "empty frequency" slots on the CATV system.

7. Although the existing rules permit the use of nonadjacent channels when there is good cause therefor, we agree with Theta-Com that it would be useful to indicate with particularity those situations where nonadjacent channel usage will not be questioned. Section 78.18(e) as proposed in Appendix B contains essentially the language suggested by Theta-Com.

RETURN COMMUNICATION CHANNELS

8. In responding to Theta-Com's request for rule making, a number of parties requested that we also clarify the rules as they apply to return communications and adopt a frequency plan applicable to channels used for this purpose. Both TelePrompTer and Theta-Com (in a reply comment) suggest that seven 6 MHz channels between 12,711.45 MHz and 12,753.5 MHz be added to Theta-Com's suggested alternate Group D channel plan.[9] Under the rules as they now stand no distinction is drawn between channels that are used for forward and channels that are used for return communications. The CAR service frequencies are available for stations used to relay return communications and these stations may use any of the channels and methods of transmission permitted by the rules.

9. While we are not proposing any specific rule changes in connection with return channels at this time we are, in light of the comments received in response to Theta-Com's petition, requesting comments as to the need for clarification or amendments in the rules to account for any technical or administrative problems unique to return communications channel paths or stations. This would include, but not be limited to, the need for new channel groupings as suggested by TelePrompTer and Theta-Com, possible bandwidth limitations (6 MHz), and the possibility that, when LDS stations are used, both forward and return communication paths should be included in the same application or filed concurrently.

12.5 MHZ BANDWIDTH LIMITATION FOR INTRACITY STATIONS

10. On our own motion we are including in this proceeding a proposal to limit all stations in the CAR service that do not use the frequency-division multiplexed/FM (FDM/FM) system of transmission and that are used for intracity communications to a 12.5 MHz bandwidth.[10] Included among the intracity stations would generally be local distribution service (LDS) stations, studio to headend link (SHL) stations, pickup stations, as well as conventional CAR stations that are used for communication within the confines of a single cable television system community.[11] We believe this proposal is timely both in terms of the potential for congestion being created by these intracity stations in our major cities and in terms of the developing technology which it appears will make this bandwidth reduction both technically and economically feasible over the distances involved in typical intracity applications.[12] Comments on both the economic and technical costs that this change would require are requested. In making this proposal we recognize that the CARS band must accommodate two distinct modes of operation. A microwave service which is (a) intercity, relaying what is generally a small number of television channels to a cable system headend from a reception or interconnection point 30 or more miles away and which frequently involves several hops, and (b) is intracity, involving relatively short-haul stations that bridge gaps within a cable network or supply short-distance feeds to the network, and which likely involve a larger number—possibly several dozen—of program channels.

11. Our intention here is to retain the rules as they are for intercity stations but to limit stations which are used for intracity transmission to a bandwidth of 12.5 MHz and thus to conserve spectrum space where congestion is most likely to occur.

DOUBLE SIDEBAND AM TRANSMISSION

12. We are also proposing on our own motion, a channel assignment plan and specific frequency tolerance provisions applicable to stations using double sideband AM transmission. Section 78.103(a) of the rules specifies that a cable television relay station may be authorized "to employ any type of emission, for which there are technical standards incorporated in Subpart D of this part, suitable for the simultaneous transmission of visual and aural television signals." Stations using double sideband AM emission systems have been type accepted and appear to be more conservative in their use of spectrum space than those using conventional FM emission.[13] We are accordingly proposing rules to permit authorization of stations of this type.[14]

CONCLUSION

13. Authority for the proposed rule making instituted herein is contained in sections 4(i), 303, and 403 of the Communications Act of 1934, as amended.

14. All interested persons are invited to file written comments on the rule making proposals on or before December 15, 1972, and reply comments on or before December 29, 1972. In reaching a decision in this matter, the Commission may take into account any other relevant information before it, in addition to the comments invited by this notice.

15. In accordance with the provisions of § 1.419 of the Commission's rules and regulations, an original and 14 copies of all comments, replies, pleadings, briefs, or other documents filed in this proceeding shall be furnished to the Commission. Responses will be available for public inspection during regular business hours in the Commission's Public Reference Room at its headquarters in Washington, D.C.

Adopted: November 1, 1972.

Released: November 6, 1972.

FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS COMMISSION,[15]
[SEAL] BEN F. WAPLE,
Secretary.

APPENDIX A

Channel groups available for stations using vestigial sideband AM transmission under present § 78.17(a)(2) of the rules.

Group C	*Group D*
12,700.5–12,706.5	12,759.7–12,765.7
12,706.5–12,712.5	12,765.7–12,771.7
12,712.5–12,718.5	12,771.7–12,777.7
12,718.5–12,722.5	12,777.7–12,781.7
12,722.5–12,728.5	12,781.7–12,787.7
12,728.5–12,734.5	12,787.7–12,793.7
12,734.5–12,740.5	12,793.7–12,799.7
12,740.5–12,746.5	12,799.7–12,805.7
12,746.5–12,752.5	12,805.7–12,811.7
12,752.5–12,758.5	12,811.7–12,817.7
12,820.5–12,826.5	12,879.7–12,885.7
12,826.5–12,832.5	12,885.7–12,891.7
12,832.5–12,838.5	12,891.7–12,897.7
12,838.5–12,844.5	12,897.7–12,903.7
12,844.5–12,850.5	12,903.7–12,909.7
12,850.5–12,856.5	12,909.7–12,915.7
12,856.5–12,862.5	12,915.7–12,921.7
12,862.5–12,868.5	12,921.7–12,927.7
12,868.5–12,874.5	12,927.7–12,933.7
	12,933.7–12,939.7
	12,939.7–12,945.7

[9] Designated D(2) in proposed 78.18(a)(2) in Appendix B.

[10] See Appendix B, § 78.18(i). Existing operations would be appropriately grandfathered. It is not proposed that this limitation apply to FDM/FM stations at this time because different technical factors are applicable to these stations.

[11] In the alternative the limitation might be made applicable to all CAR stations located in the areas most likely to be congested (e.g., the major television markets as defined in § 76.5(g) of the rules) that have relatively short transmission paths (e.g. 10 miles or less).

[12] It should be noted that a 12.5 MHz channel spacing was initially proposed in the Notice of Proposed Rule Making in Docket 15586, FCC 64–720, 29 F.R. 11458, paragraph 24. After reviewing the comments concerning this narrow band proposal, the Commission, in the Second Report and Order in Docket 15586, 11 FCC 2d 709 (1968), stated: "We note also the strong objection to the proposed technical standards calling for widths limited to 12.5 Mc/s. These objections are found persuasive because the number of hops and route distances contemplated by CATV operators are considerably greater than those originally contemplated for this service, and also because relay operators place heavy reliance upon standard techniques and readily available equipment for effecting economical piecemeal expansion of their facilities." It would appear that these considerations are not applicable to the intracity stations to which the limit would now be applied.

[13] Stations using double sideband AM transmission are authorized to use 12.5 MHz bandwidth. Conventional FM stations in the CAR service have used 25 MHz.

[14] See Appendix B, §§ 78.18(a)(4), 78.18(g), and 78.111(c). The channel assignment plan proposed would also be available for FM stations operating with an authorized bandwidth of 12.5 MHz.

[15] Commissioners H. Rex Lee and Hooks absent.

APPENDIX B

The following rules are proposed for adoption:

Part 78 of Chapter I of Title 47 of the Code of Federal Regulations is amended in the following manner:

1. In § 78.18, paragraph (a)(2) is amended to revise the lists of Group C and Group D frequencies; paragraph (a)(4) is added; paragraph (e) is revised; paragraphs (g) and (h) are redesignated as (j) and (k), respectively, and new paragraphs (g), (h), and (i) are added to read as follows:

§ 78.18 Frequency assignments.

(a) * * *

(2) * * *

Group C (MHz)	*Group D (MHz)*
12,700.5–12,706.5	12,759.7–12,765.7
12,706.5–12,712.5	12,765.7–12,771.7
12,712.5–12,718.5	12,771.7–12,777.7
12,718.5–12,722.5 [1]	12,777.7–12,781.7 [1]
12,722.5–12,728.5	12,781.7–12,787.7
12,728.5–12,734.5	12,787.7–12,793.7
12,734.5–12,740.5	12,793.7–12,799.7
12,740.5–12,746.5	12,799.7–12,805.7
12,746.5–12,752.5	12,805.7–12,811.7
12,752.5–12,758.5	12,811.7–12,817.7
12,758.5–12,760.5 [1]	12,817.7–12,819. [1]
12,760.5–12,766.5	12,819.7–12,825.7
12,766.5–12,772.5	12,825.7–12,831.7
12,772.5–12,778.5	12,831.7–12,837.7
12,778.5–12,784.5	12,837.7–12,843.7
12,784.5–12,790.5	12,843.7–12,849.7
12,790.5–12,796.5	12,849.7–12,855.7
12,796.5–12,802.5	12,855.7–12,861.7
12,802.5–12,808.5	12,861.7–12,867.7
12,808.5–12,814.5	12,867.7–12,873.7
12,814.5–12,820.5	12,873.7–12,879.7
12,820.5–12,826.5	12,879.7–12,885.7
12,826.5–12,832.5	12,885.7–12,891.7
12,832.5–12,838.5	12,891.7–12,897.7
12,838.5–12,844.5	12,897.7–12,903.7
12,844.5–12,850.5	12,903.7–12,909.7
12,850.5–12,856.5	12,909.7–12,915.7
12,856.5–12,862.5	12,915.7–12,921.7
12,862.5–12,868.5	12,921.7–12,927.7
12,868.5–12,874.5	12,927.7–12,933.7
12,874.5–12,880.5	12,933.7–12,939.7
12,880.5–12,886.5	12,939.7–12,945.7
12,886.5–12,892.5	
12,892.5–12,898.5	
12,898.5–12,904.5	
12,904.5–12,910.5	
12,910.5–12,916.5	
12,916.5–12,922.5	
12,922.5–12,928.5	
12,928.5–12,934.5	
12,934.5–12,940.5	
12,940.5–12,946.5	

Auxiliary Channels (MHz)	
12,933.7–12,939.7	12,939.7–12,945.7

[1] For transmission of pilot subcarriers, or other authorized narrow band signals.

* * * * *

(4) For cable television relay stations using double sideband AM transmission and FM transmission requiring a necessary bandwidth of no more than 12.5 MHz:

Group I (MHz)	*Group J (MHz)*
12,700–12,712.5	12,712.5–12,725
12,725–12,737.5	12,737.5–12,750
12,750–12,762.5	12,762.5–12,775
12,775–12,787.5	12,787.5–12,800
12,800–12,812.5	12,812.5–12,825
12,825–12,837.5	12,837.5–12,850
12,850–12,862.5	12,862.5–12,875
12,875–12,887.5	12,887.5–12,900
12,900–12,912.5	12,912.5–12,925
12,925–12,937.5	12,937.5–12,950

* * * * *

(e) For cable television relay stations using vestigial sideband AM transmission, channels from only Group C, only Group D, only Group C(2) or only Group D(2) will normally be assigned a station. In situations where the number or the arrangement of channels available in either group is not adequate, or in order to avoid potential interference, or in order to achieve the required VHF channelization arrangement on the CATV system, or for repeatered operation, or for two-way transmission, or upon the showing of other good cause, the use of channels in Groups C and D, or in alternate Groups C or D, may be authorized. Applicants are encouraged to apply for adjacent channels within each group of channels, except that different channel arrangements may be authorized when required to conform to the required channelization arrangement at VHF on the CATV system, when it is necessary to transmit nonadjacent off-the-air channels or signals intended to fill nonadjacent slots in the spectrum, or to avoid potential interference, or upon other showing of good cause.

* * * * *

(g) For cable television relay (CAR) stations using double sideband AM transmission or FM transmission requiring a necessary bandwidth of no more than 12.5 MHz, channels from only Group I or Group J normally will be assigned a station, although upon adequate showing variations in the use of channels in Groups I and J may be authorized on a case-by-case basis in order to avoid potential interference or to permit a more efficient use. The use of channels in both Groups I and J may be authorized where the number of channels in one group is insufficient to accommodate the services proposed to be provided on the cable system, if the Commission finds that such use of channels in both groups is feasible and would serve the public interest.

(h) For double sideband AM transmission, the assigned visual carrier frequency for each channel listed in Group I or J shall be 6.25 MHz above the lower boundary frequency for each channel, and the side frequencies corresponding to the carrier frequency of the accompanying FM aural signal shall be 4.5 MHz above and below the visual carrier frequency.

(i) Unless frequency-division multiplexed/FM transmission is used, Local Distribution Service (LDS) stations, Studio to Headend Link (SHL) stations, Relay Pickup Stations, and other Cable Television Relay stations that are used for communication within the confines of a single cable television community shall employ no more than 12.5 MHz bandwidth per channel.

* * * * *

2. In § 78.103, paragraph (b) is amended to read as follows:

§ 78.103 Emissions and bandwidth.

* * * * *

(b) Any emission appearing on a frequency outside of the channel authorized for a transmitter shall be attenuated below the peak power of emission in accordance with the following schedule:

(1) For stations using other than vestigial sideband AM transmission:

(i) On any frequency above the upper channel limit and below the lower channel limit by between zero and 50 percent of the assigned channel width: At least 25 decibels;

(ii) On any frequency above the upper channel limit or below the lower channel limit by more than 50 percent and up to 150 percent of the assigned channel width: At least 35 decibels; and

(iii) On any frequency above the upper channel limit or below the lower channel limit by more than 150 percent of the channel width: At least $43+10 \log_{10}$ (power in watts) decibels.

* * * * *

3. Section 78.111 is amended by adding a new paragraph (c) to read as follows:

§ 78.111 Frequency tolerance.

* * * * *

(c) The frequency of the visual carrier of a station using double sideband AM transmission shall be maintained within 0.005 percent of the assigned frequency; the side frequencies corresponding to the carrier frequency of the accompanying aural signal shall be maintained 4.5 MHz±1 kHz above and below the visual carrier frequency.

4. In § 78.115, paragraph (c) is added new to read as follows:

§ 78.115 Modulation limits.

* * * * *

(c) For stations that are authorized to use FM transmission with a bandwidth of 12.5 MHz, the total excursion of the radio frequency carrier under modulation shall not exceed 1.5 MHz and the maximum modulating frequency shall not be greater than 4.525 MHz.

APPENDIX C

The following amendment would achieve the de-structured channel approach suggested in the comments of the National Cable Television Association.

A substitute paragraph (k) for § 78.18 is suggested as follows:

§ 78.18 Frequency assignments.

* * * * *

(k) Notwithstanding the frequency assignment plans applicable to cable television relay (CAR) stations in paragraphs (a)(2) and (4) of this section, Local Distribution Service (LDS) stations, Studio to Headend Link (SHL) stations, and Relay Pickup stations using either vestigial sideband or double sideband AM transmission may apply for any frequency assignment plan which is compatible with the frequency usage on the associated cable television system and which does not cause interference to existing authorized microwave systems. If either FM or FM/FDM transmission is used, the frequencies assignable to such stations shall conform to the plans listed in paragraphs (a)(1) and (3) of this section, as applicable.

[FR Doc.72-19293 Filed 11-8-72;8:54 am]

FEDERAL POWER COMMISSION

[18 CFR Parts 3, 260]

[Docket No. R-457]

IMPORTS AND EXPORTS OF NATURAL GAS

Proposed Annual Report Form

NOVEMBER 6, 1972.

Notice is given pursuant to 5 U.S.C. 553 and sections 3, 10, 14, and 16 of the Natural Gas Act (52 Stat. 822, 826, 828, 830; 15 U.S.C. 717b, 717i, 717m, 717o) that the Commission proposes to amend:

A. Part 260 of its Regulations—Statements and Reports (Schedules); Subchapter G—Approved Forms, Natural Gas Act; Chapter I, Title 18 of the Code of Federal Regulations to prescribe the addition of a new § 260.4, Form No. 14, Annual Report For Importers and Exporters of Natural Gas, for the reporting year 1972 and thereafter;

B. Part 3 of its rules of practice and procedure, Subchapter A, Chapter I, Title 18 of the Code of Federal Regulations to add Form 14 to the list in § 3.170—Approved Forms, etc.

To date, the Commission has granted numerous authorizations under section 3 of the Natural Gas Act for the import and export of natural gas, both as gas and as liquid. Where procedures for reporting volumes transported under these authorizations have been prescribed, it has been on a case-by-case basis undertaken by ordering condition attached to the authorization granted pursuant to section 3 of the Natural Gas Act, or informally at the request of the Commission staff. This approach to reporting has resulted in nonuniformity of the data reported thereunder. The increasing number and importance of natural gas imports and exports makes it appropriate in the proper administration of the Natural Gas Act that the reporting of data relating to the import and export of natural gas by parties with such authorizations be placed on a periodic, uniform and formalized basis. We therefore propose to establish an annual reporting requirement together with a new form, FPC Form No. 14. This report form is not intended to supersede or limit any other present reporting requirements of the Commission.

This reporting requirement will apply to all persons, whether or not a natural gas company, who now have or who receive, in the future, authorization pursuant to section 3 of the Natural Gas Act (15 U.S.C. 717b). Section 3 provides that the Commission may, in granting authorization thereunder, do so "with such modification and upon such terms and conditions as the Commission may find necessary or appropriate * * *." Each presently effective authorization pursuant to section 3 has been granted subject to a condition generally to the effect that the Commission may order the filing of reports with respect to such imports or exports as it may from time to time require. This regulation shall therefore apply to any person importing or exporting natural gas during the reporting period under existing authorizations as well as under authorizations received in the future. Any person having authorization to import or export natural gas but not exercising such privilege during the reporting year must also file the report with this Commission.

It is desirable, and we are proposing, that the report:

a. Apply to all natural gas imports and exports;

b. Be filed by all persons holding authorization pursuant to section 3 of the Natural Gas Act for each such authorization, or where more than one geographic point of entry into or exit from the United States relates to a single authorization, then data for each geographic point of entry or exit shall be reported;

c. Include for gaseous phase pipeline movements the name of the foreign purchaser or seller, the transporters involved other than buyer or seller, the geographic point of entry into or exit from the United States and the related Federal Power Commission docket number(s) associated with the appropriate section 3 authorization. In addition, the monthly quantities as well as the peak day date and quantities of gas received or shipped, expressed in Mcf and in millions of British thermal units, shall be reported along with the amount in U.S. dollars paid or received for the gas together with the annual weighted average B.t.u. content and weighted average price;

d. Include for liquid phase movements the geographical receiving or loading point, the name of the foreign purchaser or seller, the transporters involved other than buyer or seller, the mode of transportation, and the Federal Power Commission docket number associated with the related section 3 authorization. Also include on a monthly basis the quantity imported at the U.S. point of delivery and the quantity exported at the U.S. loading point in barrels, M.c.f. equivalent, and million British Thermal Units, and the revenue or expenditure, in U.S. dollars, related to the quantities reported together with the annual weighted average B.t.u. content and price;

e. Be filed annually by March 31, for the prior calendar year.

The proposed amendment to the Commission's regulations would be issued under the authority granted to the Federal Power Commission by the Natural Gas Act, as amended, particularly sections 3, 10, 14, and 16 thereof (52 Stat. 822, 826, 828, 830; 15 U.S.C. 717b, 717i, 717m, 717o).

Accordingly, it is proposed to prescribe a new form—Form No. 14, attached hereto, and to amend Part 260, Subchapter G, Chapter I, Title 18 of the Code of Federal Regulations by adding a new § 260.4 to read as follows:

§ 260.4 Form No. 14. Annual Report for Importers and Exporters of Natural Gas.

(a) The form of the annual report for importers and exporters of natural gas is prescribed for the calendar year ending December 31, 1972, and thereafter, and is designated as FPC Form No. 14.

(b) Each person having authorization from the Federal Power Commission pursuant to section 3 of the Natural Gas Act to import or export natural gas shall, beginning with the reporting year 1972, and thereafter annually, file on or before March 31, an original and three conformed copies of the above designated FPC Form No. 14, signed and certified by an officer of the reporting company.

To conform our rules of practice and procedure with the proposal herein, we also propose to amend Part 3, Subchapter A, Chapter I, Title 18 of the Code of Federal Regulations by amending § 3.170 to read as follows:

§ 3.170 Approved forms, etc.

(a) * * *

(14) Form No. 14, annual report for importers and exporters of natural gas (§ 260.4 of this chapter).

* * * * *

The existing number (14) and succeeding numerical designations in paragraph (a) of § 3.170 shall be renumbered accordingly.

Any interested person may submit to the Federal Power Commission, Washington, D.C. 20426, not later than December 21, 1972, data, views, comments, or suggestions in writing concerning the amended regulation and form. An original and 14 conformed copies should be filed with the Secretary of the Commission. Submittals to the Commission should indicate the name, title, mailing address, and telephone number of the person to whom communications concerning the proposals should be addressed and whether the persons filing them requests a conference with the staff of the Federal Power Commission to discuss the proposed amendment. The staff, in its discretion, may grant or deny requests for conference. Written submittals will be placed in the Commission's public files and will be available for public inspection at the Commission's Office of Public Information, Washington, D.C., during regular business hours. The Commission will consider all such written submittals before acting on the matters herein proposed. In addition, interested persons wishing to have their comments considered in the clearance of the revised report form under the provisions of the Federal Reports Act of 1942, 44 U.S.C. 3501–3511 may, at the same time, submit a conformed copy of their comments directly to the Clearance Officer, Statistical Policy Division, Office of Management and Budget, Washington, D.C. 20503.

The Secretary shall cause prompt publication of this notice to be made in the FEDERAL REGISTER.

By the direction of the Commission.

KENNETH F. PLUMB,
Secretary.

[FR Doc.72-19274 Filed 11-8-72;8:52 am]

[18 CFR Parts 141, 260]

[Docket No. R-443]

CERTAIN ANNUAL REPORTS

Information on Future Finance Requirements; Notice of Staff Conference

NOVEMBER 3, 1972.

On May 26, 1972, the Commission issued its notice of proposed rule making in Docket No. R-443.[1] The Commission proposed to amend FPC Form No. 1 and FPC Form No. 2 to add one additional schedule page to each of the aforementioned forms. The schedule page would be numbered and entitled schedule page 120, Forecast of Financing Requirements.

It appears that it would be in the public interest for the staff of the Federal Power Commission to call a conference for the purpose of allowing all persons who have filed comments concerning Docket No. R-443 to discuss the issues raised in their comments. Members of the public not commenting formally on Docket No. R-443 may attend the meeting, but under the rules of the Commission are not allowed to participate.

The conference will be held at 10 a.m., December 7, 1972, in the General Accounting Office Auditorium, Seventh floor, GAO Building, at 441 G Street NW., Washington, DC.

JEFFREY A. MEITH,
Commission Staff Counsel.

[FR Doc.72-19189 Filed 11-8-72;8:45 am]

SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION

[17 CFR Ch. II]

[Release No. 34-9844]

ESTIMATES, FORECASTS, OR PROJECTIONS OF ECONOMIC PERFORMANCE

Preliminary Notice of Public Rule Making Proceeding

The Commission today authorized a public rule making proceeding to determine facts, conditions, practices, and matters relating to the use of estimates, forecasts, or projections of earnings and revenues and related subjects, in connection with public offerings of and trading in securities of all issuers whose securities are registered under the Securities Act of 1933 or the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 or otherwise publicly offered or traded. The proceeding will be conducted for the Commission by the Division of Corporation Finance.

The primary purpose of these hearings is to develop information for rule making purposes and consideration of disclosure and regulatory policies and not for enforcement purposes.

The hearings will begin at 10 a.m., e.s.t., on November 20, 1972, and continue through December 12, 1972, and will consider specifically:

(1) Whether such estimates, forecasts or projections should be required, merely permitted, or prohibited in whole or in part in filings with the Commission or whether any requirements should apply only to certain classes of issuers;

(2) Which types of filings under the Securities Act of 1933 and the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 should be allowed, or be required, to contain such estimates, forecasts or projections, if any, and whether follow-up reporting should be required;

(3) Whether guidelines or rules should be adopted relating to estimates, forecasts or projections which are disseminated to the public through the communications media by companies whose securities are publicly traded;

(4) Whether standard assumptions underlying such estimates, forecasts or projections are feasible, and if so, what types of assumptions are necessary;

(5) What format for presentation should be required;

(6) Whether certification or some other form of independent verification or report on such estimates, forecasts or projections should be required, and if so, in what form and whether standards for qualification of persons certifying, verifying or reporting on such estimates should be adopted; and

(7) The effect of the civil and criminal liability provisions of the Securities Act of 1933 and the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 on estimates, forecasts or projections filed with the Commission.

The hearings will concentrate on projections and estimates of economic performance and will not include a consideration of such subjects as valuation accounting.

For the purpose of this proceeding the Commission has appointed Alan B. Levenson, Richard H. Rowe, Andrew P. Steffan, and Mary E. T. Beach, hearings officers. Mario V. Mirabelli will act as chief hearing counsel for the Division of Corporation Finance in connection with these hearings.

Interested persons who wish to submit written statements of their views relating to these matters or who wish additional information should contact Mrs. Beach or Mr. Mirabelli, Division of Corporation Finance, 500 North Capital Street, Washington, DC 20549.

Those persons wishing to appear and give an oral presentation in addition to their written submission will be permitted to do so at the sole discretion of the hearing officer and will be limited to 15 minutes. The written text of their oral statement should be received by the hearing officer no later than 2 business days prior to their scheduled appearance and such persons should be prepared to respond to inquiries from the Commission's staff.

The Commission has ordered this public rule making proceeding pursuant to section 21(a) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended, and Rule 4(b) of the rules of practice of the Commission (17 CFR 201.4(b)).

(Sec. 21(a), 48 Stat. 899, 15 U.S.C. 78u(a))

By the Commision, November 1, 1972.

[SEAL] RONALD F. HUNT,
Secretary.

[FR Doc.72-19265 Filed 11-8-72;8:51 am]

[1] See F.R. 72-8410, published at 37 F.R. 11192, Saturday, June 3, 1972.

Notices

DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE

Bureau of Narcotics and Dangerous Drugs

NARCOTICS AND COCAINE

Aggregate Production Quotas

Section 306 of the Comprehensive Drug Abuse Prevention and Control Act of 1970 (21 U.S.C. 826) requires that the Attorney General establish aggregate production quotas for all controlled substances in schedules I and II.

On October 5, 1972, the Bureau published the proposed aggregate production quotas for 1973 for narcotics and cocaine (37 F.R. 20993). All interested parties were provided the opportunity to submit written comments or objections. No comments or objections were submitted to the Bureau.

In determining the narcotic and cocaine aggregate production quotas for 1973 which are adequate to provide for the estimated medical, scientific, research, and industrial needs of the United States, lawful export requirements and establishment and maintenance of reserve stocks, the Bureau has considered the following as required by section 306 of the CSA (21 U.S.C. 826) and § 303.11 of Title 21 of the Code of Federal Regulations:

(1) Total net disposal by all manufacturers during the current and preceding 2 years and trends in the national rate of net disposal;

(2) Total actual (or estimated) inventory of narcotics and cocaine and of all substances manufactured from them and trends in inventory accumulation; and

(3) Projected demand as indicated by procurement quotas requested pursuant to § 303.13 of Title 21 of the Code of Federal Regulations.

Based upon consideration of the above factors, the Director, Bureau of Narcotics and Dangerous Drugs, under the authority vested in the Attorney General by § 306 of the Comprehensive Drug Abuse Prevention and Control Act of 1970 (21 U.S.C. 826) and redelegated to the Director, Bureau of Narcotics and Dangerous Drugs by § 0.100 of Title 28 of the Code of Federal Regulations, orders that the aggregate production quotas for 1973 for narcotics and cocaine, expressed in grams in terms of their respective anhydrous bases, be established as follows:

Substance	Grams
1. Alphaprodine	52,000
2. Anileridine	276,000
3. Apomorphine	3,000
4. Cocaine	1,161,902
5. Codeine (for conversion)	310,000
6. Codeine (for sale)	32,563,961
7. Diphenoxylate	660,000
8. Dihydrocodeine	139,812
9. Ecogonine	123,700
10. Ethylmorphine	22,074
11. Fentanyl	3,000
12. Hydrocodone	485,310
13. Hydromorphone	58,000
14. Levorphanol	8,000
15. Methadone	3,339,000
16. Methadone Intermediate (4 - cyano - 2 - dimethylamino - 4,4 - diphenyl butane)	1,089,500
17. Morphine (for conversion)	30,556,748
18. Morphine (for sale)	534,662
19. Norpethidine	550,000
20. Opium (tinctures, extracts, etc., expressed in terms of opium)	1,145,500
21. Oxycodone (for conversion)	10,000
22. Oxycodone (for sale)	1,240,000
23. Oxymorphone	6,000
24. Pethidine	16,291,638
25. Phenazocine	275
26. Thebaine (for conversion)	597,000
27. Thebaine (for sale)	3,500,000

All persons who submitted an application for either an individual manufacturing quota or procurement quota for 1973 will be notified by mail as to their respective 1973 quota established by the Bureau.

This order is effective upon the date of its publication in the FEDERAL REGISTER (11–9–72).

Dated: November 3, 1972.

JOHN E. INGERSOLL,
Director, Bureau of Narcotics and Dangerous Drugs.

[FR Doc.72–19272 Filed 11–8–72;8:52 am]

PHENMETRAZINE (PRELUDIN)

Aggregate Production Quota

On July 6, 1972, a notice of Proposed Aggregate Production Quotas was published in the FEDERAL REGISTER (37 F.R. 13279). The notice proposed that the aggregate production quota for 1972 for phenmetrazine be established at 2,672 kilograms expressed in terms of the anhydrous alkaloid. The notice invited all interested persons to submit their comments and objections in writing regarding this proposal. Subsequently a hearing was requested by Boehringer Ingelheim Ltd. and by the Narcotics Guidance Council of Huntington, Long Island, N.Y. Comments also were received from other sources with respect to the notice.

On October 7, 1972, the Director of the Bureau of Narcotics and Dangerous Drugs determined that Boehringer Ingelheim Ltd., had standing to request a hearing and ordered that a hearing be held on November 9, 1972, for the purpose of determining the quota and published a notice of the hearing in the FEDERAL REGISTER (37 F.R. 21359). Following publication of this notice of hearing, the Bureau met with representatives of Boehringer Ingelheim Ltd. and the Huntington Council.

Boehringer Ingelheim Ltd., informed the Bureau that, although it believed the proposed quota to be inadequate, it would not be meaningful to bring the dispute to hearing in view of the lateness in the year for which the quota was to be set. Therefore, by letter dated October 20, 1972, Boehringer Ingelheim Ltd., withdrew its request for a hearing on the proposed aggregate production quota.

The Narcotics Guidance Council of the Town of Huntington, Long Island, N.Y., also withdrew its request for a hearing on the proposed 1972 aggregate production quota for phenmetrazine, by letter dated October 24, 1972, for the same reasons set forth above.

The Bureau has agreed with both parties who filed requests for a hearing on the proposed aggregate production quota that, if they wished to request a public hearing on the 1973 aggregate production quota for phenmetrazine, when proposed, on the issue of the proper determination of legitimate medical need, the Bureau would hold such a public hearing.

Therefore, because all persons requesting a hearing on this matter have withdrawn their requests, the Director of the Bureau of Narcotics and Dangerous Drugs hereby orders that the public hearing previously ordered for November 9, 1972, for the purpose of determining the aggregate production quota for phenmetrazine be canceled.

Other comments were received by the Bureau, only one of which asserted that the aggregate production quota was too high. The Bureau has considered all comments received and has determined that the proposed quota is appropriate in light of their comments.

Pursuant to the authority vested in the Director of the Bureau of Narcotics and Dangerous Drugs by the Attorney General by section 306 of the Comprehensive Drug Abuse Prevention and Control Act of 1970 (21 U.S.C. 826) and redelegated to the Director, Bureau of Narcotics and Dangerous Drugs by § 0.100 of Title 28 of the Code of Federal Regulations, orders that the aggregate production quota for 1972 for phenmetrazine, expressed in kilograms of the anhydrous alkaloid, be established as follows:

Basic class	Produced–1971	Requested–1972	Granted–1972
Phenmetrazine	4,638	6,174	2,672

This order is effective upon the date of its publication in the FEDERAL REGISTER (11–9–72).

Dated: November 3, 1972.

JOHN E. INGERSOLL,
Director, Bureau of Narcotics and Dangerous Drugs.

[FR Doc.72–19273 Filed 11–8–72;8:52 am]

DEPARTMENT OF THE INTERIOR

Bureau of Indian Affairs

CHEMEHUEVI INDIAN RESERVATION, ARIZ.

Ordinance Legalizing the Introduction, Sale, or Possession of Intoxicants

OCTOBER 31, 1972.

In accordance with authority delegated by the Secretary of the Interior to the Commissioner of Indian Affairs by 230 DM 2, and in accordance with the Act of August 15, 1953, Public Law 277, 83d Congress, 1st session (67 Stat. 586), I certify that the following ordinance relating to the application of the Federal Indian Liquor Laws on the Chemehuevi Indian Reservation, Ariz., was adopted on April 29, 1972, by the Chemehuevi Tribal Council, which has jurisdiction over the area of Indian country included in the ordinance, reading as follows:

Whereas, Title 18, section 1161 of the United States Code provides that certain laws prohibiting the sale and consumption of alcoholic beverages on Indian country, are not applicable to an act or transaction which is in conformity both with the laws of the State of California and with an ordinance duly adopted by the Tribe having jurisdiction over such area of Indian country, certified by the Secretary of the Interior and published in the FEDERAL REGISTER; and

Whereas, Tribal Ordinance No. 4 of the Chemehuevi Indian Tribe, enacted by the Chemehuevi Tribal Council on April 24, 1971, and published in the FEDERAL REGISTER (F.R. Doc. 71-13186, filed 9-7-71; 8:52 a.m.) failed to provide that such sale and consumption of alcoholic beverages shall be in conformity with the laws of the State of California; and

Whereas, this Tribal Council wishes to take such steps as are necessary to legalize the sale of alcoholic beverages by the Tribe on the reservation;

Now, therefore, the following ordinance is hereby enacted:

The sale of alcoholic beverages to adult persons on the reservation by persons duly authorized by the Tribal Council, and on premises designated by the Tribal Council as proper for such purpose, shall be lawful; the purchase and consumption by adult persons of alcoholic beverages so sold shall be lawful on the reservation;

Provided that such introduction, sale, purchase, possession, and consumption is in conformity with the laws of California.

Further resolved, that the Chairman instruct legal counsel to submit the foregoing ordinance to the Superintendent of the Colorado River Agency, Bureau of Indian Affairs, for submission to the Secretary of the Interior for certification and publication in the FEDERAL REGISTER.

Further resolved, that the Chairman instruct legal counsel to submit the appropriate application to the San Bernadino area Licensing Supervisor of the California Beverage Control Department for an off sale alcoholic beverage license applicable to sales by the Tribe on the reservation;

Further resolved, that the officers of this Tribal Council be authorized and directed to execute such documents as may be necesary or desirable to effectuate the foregoing ordinance and resolutions.

JOHN O. CROW,
Deputy Commissioner of Indian Affairs.

[FR Doc.72-19230 Filed 11-8-72;8:48 am]

Bureau of Land Management

ALASKA

Notice of Filing of Plat of Survey

1. Plat of survey of the lands described below will be officially filed in the Anchorage Land Office, Anchorage, Alaska, effective at 10 a.m., December 15, 1972.

SEWARD MERIDIAN, ALASKA

T. 15 N., R. 1 E.
Sec. 1: All
Containing 640 acres.

2. The land in section 1 ranges from rolling to steep precipitous slopes along the Eklutna River Canyon. Elevation ranges from 700 feet above mean sea level, where the south boundary crosses the Eklutna River, to 1,900 feet on the north boundary.

a. The soil, for the most part, is a thin sandy loam and rocky.

b. Timber is first and second growth spruce, birch, and cottonwood.

c. Eklutna Lake Road traverses the land.

3. The public lands affected by this order are hereby restored to the operation of the public land laws, subject to any valid existing rights, the provisions of existing withdrawals, and the requirements of applicable law, rules, and regulations.

4. Inquiries concerning the lands should be addressed to the Manager, Anchorage Land Office, 555 Cordova Street, Anchorage, AK 99501.

Dated: October 31, 1972.

CLARK R. NOBLE,
Land Office Manager, Anchorage, Alaska.

[FR Doc.72-19231 Filed 11-8-72;8:48 am]

ALASKA

Notice of Filing of Plat of Survey

1. Plat of survey of the lands described below will be officially filed in the Anchorage Land Office, Anchorage, Alaska, effective at 10 a.m., December 15, 1972.

SEWARD MERIDIAN, ALASKA

T. 15 N., R. 1 W.
Sec. 13: Lots 1 to 14 inclusive, NE¼, E½NW¼, NE¼SW¼, N½SE¼, N½S½SE¼;
Sec. 27: Lots 1 and 2;
Sec. 28: E½SE¼;
Sec. 33: E½E½;
Sec. 34: N½NW¼.
Containing 1,041.57 acres.

2. A variety of land and soil are found in these areas. The soil ranges from sandy loam to clay, with a considerable amount of rocks and gravel.

a. Timber ranges from first to second growth spruce, birch, and cottonwood with large amounts of alder and willow undergrowth.

b. The elevation ranges from 950 to 3,500 feet above mean sea level. In general, the land is mountainous with many steep to precipitous slopes.

c. There are two important streams in the survey, Peters Creek and Little Peters Creek, both drain to the northeast.

d. There are also two unimproved dirt roads.

3. The public lands affected by this order are hereby restored to the operation of the public land laws, subject to any valid existing rights, the provisions of existing withdrawals, and the requirements of applicable law, rules, and regulations.

4. Inquiries concerning the lands should be addressed to the Manager, Anchorage Land Office, 555 Cordova Street, Anchorage, AK 99501.

Dated: October 31, 1972.

CLARK R. NOBLE,
Land Office Manager, Anchorage, Alaska.

[FR Doc.72-19232 Filed 11-8-72;8:48 am]

ALASKA

Notice of Filing of Plat of Survey

1. Plat of survey of the lands described below will be officially filed in the Anchorage Land Office, Anchorage, Alaska, effective at 10 a.m., December 15, 1972.

SEWARD MERIDIAN, ALASKA

T. 16 N., R. 1 E.,
Sec. 27, all;
Sec. 28, all;
Sec. 29, all;
Sec. 33, NE¼NE¼;
Sec. 34, N½;
Sec. 35, all.
Containing 2,920 acres.

2. The lands are situated along the Eklutna River Canyon, the terrain ranges from gently rolling to precipitous along the Eklutna River.

a. Elevation ranges from 300 to 3,000 feet above mean sea level.

b. Timber ranges from first to second growth spruce, birch, and cottonwood, with alder and willow undergrowth.

c. Soil is a thin sandy loam and rocky.

d. The Eklutna River is down to a trickle because of a dam placed across it at the outlet of Eklutna Lake.

3. The public lands affected by this order are hereby restored to the operation of the public land laws, subject to any valid existing rights, the provisions of existing withdrawals, and the requirements of applicable law, rules, and regulations.

4. Inquiries concerning the lands should be addressed to the Manager,

Anchorage Land Office, 555 Cordova Street, Anchorage, AK 99501.

Date: November 2, 1972.

CLARK R. NOBLE,
Land Office Manager,
Anchorage, Alaska.

[FR Doc.72-19195 Filed 11-8-72;8:46 am]

[S 5283]

CALIFORNIA

Notice of Proposed Withdrawal and Reservation of Lands

OCTOBER 31, 1972.

The Bureau of Land Management, U.S. Department of the Interior has filed an application, Serial No. S 5283, for the withdrawal of the public lands described below, from appropriation under the public land laws including the mining laws but not the mineral leasing laws. The lands will be used for wildlife and recreation purposes.

On or before December 10, 1972, all persons who wish to submit comments, suggestions, or objections in connection with the proposed protective withdrawal may present their views in writing to the undersigned officer of the Bureau of Land Management, U.S. Department of the Interior, Room E-2841 Federal Office Building, 2800 Cottage Way, Sacramento, CA 95825.

The Department's regulations provide that the authorized officer of the Bureau of Land Management will undertake such investigations as are necessary to determine the existing and potential demand for the lands and their resources. Adjustments will be made as necessary to provide for the maximum concurrent utilization of the lands for purposes other than the applicant's, to eliminate lands needed for purposes more essential than the applicant's, and to reach agreement on the concurrent management of the lands and their resources.

The authorized officer will also prepare a report for consideration by the Secretary of the Interior who will determine whether or not the lands will be withdrawn as requested.

The determination of the Secretary on the application will be published in the FEDERAL REGISTER. A separate notice will be sent to each interested party of record.

If circumstances warrant, a public hearing will be held at a convenient time and place, which will be announced.

The lands involved in the application are:

MOUNT DIABLO MERIDIAN, CALIF.

T. 9 S., R. 22 E.,
Sec. 26, SE¼SW¼;
Sec. 27, SE¼SE¼;
Sec. 33, SE¼SE¼;
Sec. 34;
Sec. 35, SW¼NE¼, W½, W½SE¼.
T. 10 S., R. 22 E.,
Sec. 1, lots 19, 20 and 21;
Sec. 2, lots 11 to 21, inclusive, SW¼NE¼, S½NW¼, NW¼SW¼;
Sec. 3, lots 5 to 13, inclusive, S½NE¼, SE¼NW¼, NE¼SW¼, N½SE¼;
Sec. 4, lots 11 and 12;
Sec. 11, NW¼NW¼, SW¼SW¼.

The area described aggregates 2,610.51 acres.

ELIZABETH H. MIDTBY,
Acting Chief, Branch of Lands
and Minerals Operations.

[FR Doc.72-19228 Filed 11-8-72;8:48 am]

FEDERAL RESERVE SYSTEM

BANCOHIO CORPORATION

Acquisition of Bank

BancOhio Corp., Columbus, Ohio, has applied for the Board's approval under section 3(a)(3) of the Bank Holding Company Act (12 U.S.C. 1842(a)(3)) to acquire 100 percent of the voting shares (less directors' qualifying shares) of the successor by merger to the Peoples Savings Bank Co., Delta, Ohio. The factors that are considered in acting on the application are set forth in section 3(c) of the Act (12 U.S.C. 1842(c)).

The application may be inspected at the office of the Board of Governors or at the Federal Reserve Bank of Cleveland. Any person wishing to comment on the application should submit his views in writing to the Secretary, Board of Governors of the Federal Reserve System, Washington, D.C. 20551, to be received not later than November 30, 1972.

Board of Governors of the Federal Reserve System, November 2, 1972.

[SEAL] MICHAEL A. GREENSPAN,
Assistant Secretary of the Board.

[FR Doc.72-19198 Filed 11-8-72;8:45 am]

COMMERCE FINANCIAL CORP.

Formation of One-Bank Holding Company

Commerce Financial Corp., Fort Worth, Tex., has applied for the Board's approval under section 3(a)(1) of the Bank Holding Company Act (12 U.S.C. 1842(a)(1)) to become a bank holding company through acquisition of 100 percent of the voting shares (less directors' qualifying shares) of the successor by merger to Bank of Commerce, Fort Worth, Tex. The factors that are considered in acting on the application are set forth in section 3(c) of the Act (12 U.S.C. 1842(c)).

The application may be inspected at the office of the Board of Governors or at the Federal Reserve Bank of Dallas. Any person wishing to comment on the application should submit his views in writing to the Reserve Bank to be received not later than November 29, 1972.

Board of Governors of the Federal Reserve System, November 3, 1972.

[SEAL] MICHAEL A. GREENSPAN,
Assistant Secretary of the Board.

[FR Doc.72-19197 Filed 11-8-72;8:45 am]

UTAH BANCORPORATION

Formation of One-Bank Holding Company

Utah Bancorporation, Salt Lake City, Utah, has applied for the Board's approval under section 3(a)(1) of the Bank Holding Company Act (12 U.S.C. 1842(a)(1)) to become a bank holding company through acquisition of 100 percent of the voting shares (less directors' qualifying shares) of Valley Bank & Trust Company, Salt Lake City, Utah. The factors that are considered in acting on the application are set forth in section 3(c) of the Act (12 U.S.C. 1842(c)).

The application may be inspected at the office of the Board of Governors or at the Federal Reserve Bank of San Francisco. Any person wishing to comment on the application should submit his views in writing to the Reserve Bank to be received not later than November 27, 1972.

Board of Governors of the Federal Reserve System, November 3, 1972.

MICHAEL A. GREENSPAN,
Assistant Secretary of the Board.

[FR Doc.72-19196 Filed 11-8-72;8:45 am]

DEPARTMENT OF AGRICULTURE

Animal and Plant Health Inspection Service

HUMANELY SLAUGHTERED LIVESTOCK

Identification of Carcasses; List of Establishments

Pursuant to section 4 of the Act of August 27, 1958 (7 U.S.C. 1904), and the statement of policy thereunder in 9 CFR 391.1, the following table lists the establishments operating under Federal inspection pursuant to the Federal Meat Inspection Act, as amended (21 U.S.C. 601 et seq.) which were officially reported as humanely slaughtering and handling the species of livestock respectively designated for such establishments in the table. Additions to and deletions from the list will be made from time to time, as the facts may warrant, by notices published in the FEDERAL REGISTER. The establishment number given with the name of the establishment is branded on each carcass of livestock inspected at that establishment. The table should not be understood to indicate that all species of livestock slaughtered at a listed establishment are slaughtered and handled by humane methods unless all species are listed for that establishment in the table. Nor should the table be understood to indicate that the affiliates of any listed establishment use only humane methods.

ESTABLISHMENTS SLAUGHTERING HUMANELY

NAME OF ESTABLISHMENT	EST. NO.	CATTLE	CALVES	SHEEP	GOATS	SWINE	EQUINES
ARMOUR & COMPANY	2 C	-(*)					
ARMOUR & COMPANY	2 H	-(*)				(*)	
ARMOUR & COMPANY	2 W					(*)	
ARMOUR & COMPANY	2AT					(*)	
ARMOUR & COMPANY	2CC	-(*)					
ARMOUR & COMPANY	2HT	-(*)					
ARMOUR & COMPANY	2SA			(*)	-(*)		
ARMOUR & COMPANY	2SD					(*)	
ARMOUR & COMPANY	2SI	-(*)					
ARMOUR & COMPANY	2WN	-(*)	-(*)				
SWIFT & COMPANY	3 C					(*)	
SWIFT & COMPANY	3 G	-(*)					
SWIFT & COMPANY	3 H	-(*)				(*)	
SWIFT & COMPANY	3 L					(*)	
SWIFT & COMPANY	3 N	-(*)				(*)	
SWIFT & COMPANY	3 R	-(*)				(*)	
SWIFT & COMPANY	3 S					(*)	
SWIFT & COMPANY	3 Y	-(*)					
SWIFT & COMPANY	3 Z	-(*)					
SWIFT & COMPANY	3AE					(*)	
SWIFT & COMPANY	3BW			(*)	-(*)		
SWIFT & COMPANY	3CN			(*)			
SWIFT & COMPANY	3GI	-(*)					
SWIFT & COMPANY	3GW					(*)	
SWIFT & COMPANY	3TA	-(*)					
LYKES BROTHERS, INC. OF GEORGIA	8	-(*)	-(*)			(*)	
LYKES BROTHERS, INC.	8 B	-(*)					
PAULY PACKING COMPANY, INC.	10	-(*)					
THE EVANS PACKING COMPANY	11	-(*)	-(*)	-(*)		(*)	
HYGRADE FOOD PRODUCTS CORPORATION	12 A					(*)	
HYGRADE FOOD PRODUCTS CORPORATION	12 P	-(*)					
HYGRADE FOOD PRODUCTS CORPORATION	12 T	-(*)					
HYGRADE FOOD PRODUCTS CORPORATION	12FW	-(*)					
MICKELBERRY'S FOOD PRODUCTS COMPANY	16					(*)	
JOHN MORRELL & COMPANY	17	-(*)				(*)	
JOHN MORRELL & COMPANY	17 A	-(*)				(*)	
JOHN MORRELL & COMPANY	17 D	-(*)		(*)		(*)	
JOHN MORRELL & COMPANY	17 E					(*)	
JOHN MORRELL & COMPANY	17 U	-(*)					
C. FINKBEINER, INC.	18	-(*)				(*)	
CUDAHY COMPANY	19 W	-(*)					
WILSON CERTIFIED FOODS, INC.	20 A	-(*)				(*)	
WILSON-SINCLAIR COMPANY	20 H					(*)	
WILSON CERTIFIED FOODS, INC.	20 I	-(*)				(*)	
WILSON-SINCLAIR COMPANY	20 L					(*)	
WILSON CERTIFIED FOODS, INC.	20 N	-(*)	-(*)	-(*)		(*)	
WILSON CERTIFIED FOODS, INC.	20 Q	-(*)	-(*)			(*)	
WILSON BEEF & LAMB COMPANY	20 U			(*)			
WILSON-SINCLAIR COMPANY	20 Y	-(*)	-(*)	-(*)		(*)	
WILSON BEEF & LAMB COMPANY	20AI	-(*)		(*)	-(*)		
SWIFT & COMPANY	23	-(*)		(*)			
COAST PACKING COMPANY	25	-(*)	-(*)				
PATRICK CUDAHY, INC.	28					(*)	
KREINBERG & KRASNY, INC.	30	-(*)					
SUPERIOR'S BRAND MEATS, INC.	31	-(*)	-(*)			(*)	
VALLEYDALE PACKERS, INC.	34	-(*)	-(*)	-(*)		(*)	

ESTABLISHMENTS SLAUGHTERING HUMANELY

NAME OF ESTABLISHMENT	EST. NO.	CATTLE	CALVES	SHEEP	GOATS	SWINE	EQUINES
KENTON PACKING COMPANY	36	-(*)	-(*)				
SUNNYLAND PACKING COMPANY	43					(*)	
STARK WETZEL & COMPANY, INC.	44	-(*)					
STARK WETZEL & COMPANY, INC.	44 A					(*)	
LINDEN PACKING COMPANY, INC.	45	-(*)					
IDAHO MEAT PACKERS	46	-(*)					
MIDWESTERN BEEF, INC.	53	-(*)					
INSEL AND INSEL	54	-(*)	-(*)	-(*)	-(*)		
SUNNYLAND PACKING COMPANY OF ALABAMA	56	-(*)					
SUNNYLAND PACKING COMPANY OF ALABAMA	56 A					(*)	
WEIMER PACKING COMPANY, INC.	59	-(*)				(*)	
GLOVER PACKING COMPANY OF AMARILLO	60	-(*)					
GLOVER PACKING COMPANY	60 A	-(*)	-(*)	-(*)	-(*)	-(*)	
SUNFLOWER BEEF PACKERS OF NEBRASKA	62	-(*)					
SANDUSKY DRESSED BEEF COMPANY	63	-(*)					
SELKIRK REALTY COMPANY	65					(*)	
MEAT QUALITY LABORATORY	68	-(*)	-(*)	-(*)		(*)	
AUBURN UNIVERSITY MEATS LABORATORY	71	-(*)		(*)		(*)	
MINCH'S WHOLESALE MEATS, INC.	72	-(*)	-(*)	-(*)			
BROWN THOMPSON & SONS	73					(*)	
BALTIC CORPORATION E	74						(*)
DINNER BELL FOODS, INC.	79 A	-(*)				(*)	
DINNER BELL FOODS, INC.	79 B	-(*)				(*)	
THE CUDAHY COMPANY	81					(*)	
EDGAR PACKING COMPANY	84			(*)			
KANSAS BEEF INDUSTRIES, INC.	86	-(*)					
KANSAS BEEF INDUSTRIES, INC.	86 A	-(*)					
UTICA VEAL COMPANY, INC.	88	-(*)					
THE E. KAHN'S SONS COMPANY	89	-(*)	-(*)			(*)	
PEET PACKING COMPANY	90					(*)	
PEET PACKING COMPANY	90 A	-(*)					
LAREDO PACKING COMPANY	91	-(*)	-(*)				
SUGARDALE FOODS, INC.	92	-(*)				(*)	
LANE PACKING INC.	93	-(*)	-(*)	-(*)	-(*)		
THE VAL DECKER PACKING COMPANY	95	-(*)				(*)	
CENTRAL PACKING COMPANY, INC.	96	-(*)					
A. KOCH'S SONS	98	-(*)	-(*)				
ARMOUR & COMPANY	100	-(*)				(*)	
LIBERTY PACKING COMPANY	101	-(*)	-(*)				
H. GRAVER COMPANY	103	-(*)					
J. LYNN CORNWELL, INC.	107					(*)	
CONTRIS PACKING COMPANY, INC.	110					(*)	
WILSON-SINCLAIR COMPANY	111	-(*)		(*)	-(*)	-(*)	
THE MORRIS PACKING COMPANY	113	-(*)					
FARMLAND FOODS, INC.	114	-(*)					
PINE BURR PACKING COMPANY	116	-(*)				(*)	
TROPHY MEAT PACKING COMPANY, INC.	117	-(*)	-(*)	-(*)	-(*)	-(*)	
MARHOEFER PACKING COMPANY, INC.	121					(*)	
CITY DRESSED BEEF	125	-(*)					
JOHN MORRELL & COMPANY	126	-(*)					
CASH BROTHERS PACKING COMPANY, INC.	127	-(*)	-(*)	-(*)	-(*)	-(*)	
JOHN ROTH & SON, INC.	130	-(*)					
TOBIN PACKING COMPANY, INC.	133					(*)	
FERRARA MEAT COMPANY, INC.	134	-(*)	-(*)				
NEBRASKA BEEF PACKERS, INC.	135	-(*)					
KLUENER PACKING COMPANY	142	-(*)					

NAME OF ESTABLISHMENT	EST. NO.	CATTLE	CALVES	SHEEP	GOATS	SWINE	EQUINES
R. B. RICE SAUSAGE COMPANY, INC.	144					(*)	
SILVER FALLS PACKING COMPANY, INC.	153	(*)	(*)				
DALLAS CITY PACKING, INC.	156	(*)	(*)				
CORNLAND DRESSED BEEF, INC.	157	(*)					
BEEFLAND INTERNATIONAL, INC.	158	(*)					
CARR PACKING COMPANY, INC.	160	(*)					
KANSAS BEEF INDUSTRIES, INC.	164	(*)					
NEW YORK STATE COLLEGE OF AGRICULTURE	165	(*)	(*)	(*)	(*)	(*)	
E. W. KNEIP, INC.	169	(*)				(*)	
BUB DAVIS PACKING COMPANY	171	(*)	(*)			(*)	
AMERICAN MEAT PACKING CORPORATION	180					(*)	
THE RATH PACKING COMPANY	186					(*)	
THE RATH PACKING COMPANY	186 F					(*)	
CARL'S SAUSAGE COMPANY	188					(*)	
CUDAHY COMPANY	191					(*)	
KREY PACKING COMPANY	192					(*)	
HYNES PACKING COMPANY	197	(*)	(*)	(*)			
UNITED PACKING COMPANY	198	(*)	(*)				
GEORGE A. HORMEL & COMPANY	199	(*)				(*)	
GEORGE A. HORMEL & COMPANY	199 D					(*)	
GEORGE A. HORMEL & COMPANY	199 I					(*)	
GEORGE A. HORMEL & COMPANY	199 N	(*)				(*)	
CAVINESS PACKING COMPANY, INC.	200	(*)					
CUDAHY COMPANY	202					(*)	
PLATTE VALLEY PACKING COMPANY	203	(*)					
EMGE PACKING COMPANY, INC.	205	(*)				(*)	
NATIONAL BEEF PACKING COMPANY	208 A	(*)					
RIVERSIDE ABATTOIR, INC.	210	(*)					
PENN PACKING COMPANY	212					(*)	
E. W. KNEIP, INC.	213	(*)					
MARSHALL MEAT PRODUCTS, INC.	215	(*)					
LINCOLN MEAT COMPANY	217	(*)					
ITT GWALTNEY, INC.	221 A					(*)	
ARMOUR & COMPANY	222	(*)				(*)	
DE JONG PACKING COMPANY	223	(*)	(*)				
HYGRADE FOOD PRODUCTS CORPORATION	224	(*)				(*)	
HYGRADE FOOD PRODUCTS CORPORATION	224 B	(*)	(*)				
JOHN MORRELL & COMPANY	234	(*)					
WALTI SCHILLING & COMPANY, INC.	235	(*)					
TEXAS TECHNOLOGICAL COLL. - ANIMAL HUSBANDRY	236	(*)	(*)	(*)	(*)	(*)	
RASKIN PACKING COMPANY	237	(*)					
SHREVEPORT PACKING COMPANY INC. OF KANSAS	239	(*)	(*)				
P. D. & J. MEATS	240	(*)	(*)				
GREENWOOD PACKING PLANT	242	(*)	(*)	(*)	(*)		
I. KLAYMAN & COMPANY	243					(*)	
IOWA BEEF PROCESSORS, INC.,	244	(*)					
IOWA BEEF PROCESSORS, INC.	245	(*)					
IOWA BEEF PROCESSORS, INC.	245 A	(*)					
IOWA BEEF PROCESSORS, INC.	245 B	(*)					
IOWA BEEF PROCESSORS, INC.	245 C	(*)					
HARGET REALTY CORPORATION	247					(*)	
FEDERAL PACKING COMPANY, INC.	249	(*)					
METRO MEAT PACKING, INC.	253					(*)	
MAGIC VALLEY PACKING COMPANY	258	(*)					
HYPLAINS DRESSED BEEF, INC.	262	(*)					
THE JONES DAIRY FARM	263					(*)	

NAME OF ESTABLISHMENT	EST. NO.	CATTLE	CALVES	SHEEP	GOATS	SWINE	EQUINES
FARM PAC KITCHENS, INC.	266	(*)				(*)	
PACIFIC MEAT COMPANY, INC.	267	(*)	(*)	(*)		(*)	
PRAIRIELAND PACKING CORPORATION	269	(*)					
PAWNEE PACKING COMPANY	270					(*)	
GOLDEN VALLEY PACKING COMPANY	271	(*)					
TOG PACKING COMPANY, INC.	273	(*)					
ALPHA BETA ACME MARKETS, INC.	279	(*)					
MOMENCE PORK PACKERS COMPANY	282					(*)	
PARNETT PACKING CORPORATION	283	(*)					
SOLANO MEAT COMPANY	285	(*)	(*)	(*)			
WESTERN PACKING COMPANY	288	(*)					
ARBOGAST AND BASTIAN COMPANY	289					(*)	
THE H. H. MEYER PACKING COMPANY	290					(*)	
SAN JOSE MEAT COMPANY, INC.	291	(*)					
ARMOUR & COMPANY	292					(*)	
IOWA BEEF PROCESSORS, INC.	292 A	(*)					
S. SCHWEID	295	(*)	(*)	(*)	(*)		
GUS JUENGLING & SON, INC.	298	(*)					
WALDOCK PACKING COMPANY	299					(*)	
GREAT FALLS MEAT COMPANY	301	(*)				(*)	
COMMERCIAL PACKING COMPANY, INC.	302	(*)					
UNION PACKING COMPANY	305	(*)					
UNION PACKING COMPANY	305 A	(*)					
STAR PACKING COMPANY	306	(*)					
KAUFMAN MEAT PACKERS, INC.	310	(*)	(*)				
MELTON PROVISION COMPANY	311	(*)	(*)	(*)	(*)		
RUDY'S FARM COMPANY	315					(*)	
DUTTERER'S OF MANCHESTER	316 A	(*)				(*)	
ESTES PACKING COMPANY	319	(*)					
STADLER PACKING COMPANY, INC.	320	(*)				(*)	
RUDNICK PACKING COMPANY, INC.	325	(*)					
FRISCO PACKING COMPANY	327	(*)					
C & M MEAT PACKING CORPORATION	329	(*)					
ROYAL PACKING COMPANY	331 A	(*)					
SHAPIRO PACKING COMPANY, INC.	332	(*)					
GREAT WESTERN PACKING COMPANY, INC.	334	(*)					
NOBLE'S MEAT COMPANY	335	(*)					
CHINO VALLEY MEAT PACKING COMPANY, INC.	336	(*)					
SAM KANE PACKING COMPANY	337	(*)	(*)				
GREEN & OLIVER SAUSAGE COMPANY	338					(*)	
MIDLAND EMPIRE PACKING COMPANY, INC.	339	(*)					
BOOKEY PACKING COMPANY	340	(*)					
GOLD-PAC MEAT COMPANY, INC.	344	(*)					
ANZA PACKING COMPANY	345	(*)					
UNION PACKING COMPANY	351	(*)					
FRESNO MEAT PACKING COMPANY	354	(*)					
HERNANDO PACKING COMPANY, INC.	355	(*)	(*)				
ST. PAUL DRESSED BEEF, INC.	357	(*)					
CLOUGHERTY PACKING COMPANY	360					(*)	
MEYER'S PACKING COMPANY	363	(*)					
JAMES ALLAN & SONS	365	(*)	(*)	(*)	(*)		
WILSON CERTIFIED FOODS, INC.	374	(*)	(*)			(*)	
CROSS BROTHERS MEAT PACKERS, INC.	376	(*)	(*)	(*)			
SALINAS MEAT COMPANY	378	(*)	(*)	(*)	(*)		
EMGE PACKING COMPANY, INC.	380	(*)				(*)	
SMITHFIELD PACKING COMPANY, INC.	382					(*)	

ESTABLISHMENTS SLAUGHTERING HUMANELY

PAGE 5 OF 18 PAGE(S)

NAME OF ESTABLISHMENT	EST. NO.	CATTLE	CALVES	SHEEP	GOATS	SWINE	EQUINES
ACME MARKETS, INC.	384	(*)					
FREEMAN BROTHERS PACKING COMPANY	387	(*)					
DUGDALE PACKING COMPANY	390	(*)					
OLDHAM'S FARM SAUSAGE COMPANY, INC.	392					(*)	
ROBERT L. RUNTZ, INC.	395	(*)					
BONELESS MEAT COMPANY	395 B	(*)	(*)				
DUBUQUE PACKING COMPANY	396	(*)	(*)	(*)		(*)	
DUBUQUE PACKING COMPANY	396 C	(*)					
LOGAN PACKING COMPANY	397	(*)					
WATSONVILLE DRESSED BEEF, INC.	398	(*)					
LOS BANOS ABATTOIR COMPANY	400	(*)	(*)				
OAKRIDGE SMOKEHOUSE	401	(*)	(*)	(*)		(*)	
OWENS COUNTRY SAUSAGE, INC.	403					(*)	
WILLISTON PACKING COMPANY, INC.	405	(*)					
NEUHOFF BROTHERS	406	(*)	(*)			(*)	
GREEN BAY DRESSED BEEF, INC.	410	(*)					
ALPINE PACKING COMPANY	412	(*)	(*)				
THE LUNDY PACKING COMPANY	413					(*)	
THE LUNDY PACKING COMPANY	413 A					(*)	
FROSTY MORN MEATS	414	(*)				(*)	
MINDEN BEEF COMPANY	417	(*)					
S. BONACCURSO & SONS, INC.	418	(*)					
MURRAY PACKING COMPANY, INC.	421	(*)					
E. W. KNEIP INC. OF IOWA	422	(*)					
THE COLLINS PACKING COMPANY	423					(*)	
KENOSHA PACKING COMPANY, INC.	425	(*)					
FINEBERG PACKING COMPANY	428	(*)	(*)			(*)	
SCHNEIDER PACKING COMPANY	439	(*)					
OMAHA DRESSED BEEF COMPANY, INC.	441	(*)					
DEL CURTO MEAT COMPANY	445	(*)	(*)	(*)	(*)	(*)	
A. DICILLO & SONS, INC.	448	(*)	(*)				
DEWITT PACKING CORPORATION	456	(*)	(*)				
MORRIS RIFKIN & SONS, INC.	460	(*)					
PIONEER BONELESS BEEF, INC.	461	(*)					
LANCASTER PACKING COMPANY	462	(*)					
LITVAK PACKING COMPANY	465	(*)					
BECWAR PACKING COMPANY	467	(*)	(*)			(*)	
CORNHUSKER PACKING COMPANY	468	(*)					
MISSOURI BEEF PACKERS, INC.	473	(*)					
MISSOURI BEEF PACKERS, INC.	473 A	(*)					
MISSOURI BEEF PACKERS, INC.	473 B	(*)					
MID-STATES PACKERS, INC.	476	(*)					
MID-STATES PACKERS, INC.	476 A	(*)					
ARMOUR & COMPANY	477	(*)					
GOTHAM PROVISION COMPANY, INC.	481	(*)	(*)				
SAINT CROIX ABATTOIR	482	(*)	(*)	(*)	(*)	(*)	
ROBEL BEEF PACKERS	485	(*)					
EAST TENNESSEE PACKING COMPANY	487	(*)				(*)	
MEMPHIS BUTCHERS ASSOCIATION, INC.	488	(*)				(*)	
E. W. KNEIP, INC.	489	(*)					
GOLD RING PACKING COMPANY	490	(*)	(*)	(*)			
FAIRBANK FARMS, INC.	492	(*)	(*)				
MID-STATE MEAT PACKERS, INC.	494	(*)	(*)				
BARTEL'S MEAT COMPANY	497	(*)	(*)	(*)		(*)	
HEIM BROTHERS PACKING COMPANY	499	(*)				(*)	
SPENCER FOODS, INC.	501	(*)					

ESTABLISHMENTS SLAUGHTERING HUMANELY

PAGE 6 OF 18 PAGE(S)

NAME OF ESTABLISHMENT	EST. NO.	CATTLE	CALVES	SHEEP	GOATS	SWINE	EQUINES
THE HULL & DILLON PACKING COMPANY	510	(*)					(*)
SHEN-VALLEY MEAT PACKERS, INC.	511	(*)					(*)
SNIDER BROTHERS, INC.	512	(*)	(*)				
AVERICH PACKING COMPANY, INC.	513	(*)					
CHARLES MILLER & COMPANY	517						(*)
PORK PACKERS INTERNATIONAL INC.	520						(*)
ILLINOIS PACKING COMPANY	521	(*)					
PEARL PACKING COMPANY, INC.	524	(*)	(*)	(*)		(*)	
SEBASTOPOL MEAT COMPANY, INC.	527	(*)	(*)			(*)	
ARMOUR & COMPANY	528	(*)					
SMALLWOOD PACKING COMPANY, INC.	529	(*)	(*)	(*)			
MARYSVILLE MEAT PACKING COMPANY, INC.	533	(*)	(*)				
PEPPER PACKING COMPANY	536	(*)					
OSCAR MAYER & COMPANY, INC.	537 A	(*)				(*)	
OSCAR MAYER & COMPANY, INC.	537 B					(*)	
OSCAR MAYER & COMPANY, INC.	537 C					(*)	
OSCAR MAYER & COMPANY, INC.	537 E					(*)	
MIDWEST PACKING COMPANY	538	(*)					
GROWERS MEAT COMPANY, INC.	548	(*)	(*)	(*)		(*)	
PRIDE PACKING COMPANY, INC.	549	(*)	(*)				
SALTER PACKING COMPANY	551	(*)					
BLACK HILLS PACKING COMPANY	554	(*)				(*)	
MID-SOUTH PACKERS, INC.	557	(*)				(*)	
THE CUDAHY COMPANY	559	(*)				(*)	
D & W PACKING COMPANY	560	(*)	(*)			(*)	
CHARLES RUBIN MEAT COMPANY	561	(*)	(*)				
PACKERLAND PACKING COMPANY, INC.	562	(*)					
TEXAS MEAT PACKERS, INC.	565	(*)	(*)				
TEXAS MEAT PACKERS, INC.	565 C	(*)					
COIL INC.	575	(*)	(*)				
ELMER BENDER & SON, INC.	569		(*)	(*)			
PERRETTA PACKING COMPANY, INC.	571	(*)					
FROSTY MORN MEATS, INC.	576	(*)				(*)	
ARMOUR & COMPANY	579	(*)				(*)	
COFFEYVILLE PACKING COMPANY, INC.	583	(*)					
FREDERICK & HERRUD, INC.	586					(*)	
DAWSON-BAKER PACKING COMPANY, INC.	588	(*)	(*)	(*)			
ELK GROVE MEAT COMPANY	601	(*)					
WRIGHT PACKING COMPANY	603	(*)	(*)				
WILSON-SINCLAIR COMPANY	606					(*)	
EASTERN OREGON MEAT COMPANY, INC.	611	(*)		(*)		(*)	
MIDTOWN VEAL & MUTTON COMPANY, INC.	612			(*)			
METRO MEAT PACKING	613					(*)	
DONNER PACKING COMPANY	614	(*)					
KUMMER MEAT COMPANY, INC.	617	(*)		(*)		(*)	
DOSKOCIL SAUSAGE, INC.	623					(*)	
LUMBERJACK MEATS, INC.	626					(*)	
BIG FOOT PACKING COMPANY, INC.	627	(*)					
E. A. MILLER & SONS PACKING COMPANY	628	(*)	(*)				
H. H. KEIM COMPANY	630	(*)				(*)	
EBNER BROTHERS PACKERS	633	(*)					
UNITED PACKING COMPANY, INC.	635	(*)					
AUBURN PACKING COMPANY, INC.	636	(*)					
CARTERET ABATTOIR, INC.	639	(*)	(*)	(*)	(*)	(*)	
FLANERY MEAT, INC.	643	(*)					
TRANSCONTINENT PACKING COMPANY	E 646						(*)

ESTABLISHMENTS SLAUGHTERING HUMANELY — PAGE 7 OF 18 PAGE(S)

NAME OF ESTABLISHMENT	EST. NO.	CATTLE	CALVES	SHEEP	GOATS	SWINE	EQUINES
BIRD PROVISION COMPANY	647					(*)	
SPENCER FOODS, INC.	648	(*)					
SCHLUDERBERG-KURDLE COMPANY, INC.	649					(*)	
JOHN MORRELL & COMPANY	650					(*)	
WILSON BEEF & LAMB COMPANY	655	(*)		(*)			
BAUM'S BOLOGNA, INC.	657	(*)					
MC COOK PACKING CORPORATION	660	(*)	(*)				
QUALITY MEAT PACKING COMPANY	661	(*)					
COLORADO WEST PACKERS, INC.	662	(*)				(*)	
GLOBE PACKING COMPANY	663	(*)					
WEBBER FARMS, INC.	665					(*)	
CROWN PACKING COMPANY	666					(*)	
SCOTTSBLUFF PACKING COMPANY	667	(*)					
UNION PACKING COMPANY, INC.	673	(*)					
S & S PACKING COMPANY, INC.	674	(*)				(*)	
S & S PACKING COMPANY, INC.	674 A					(*)	
JACOB BAUER'S SONS, INC.	678	(*)	(*)				
CALDWELL PACKING COMPANY, INC.	683	(*)					
CALLAWAY PACKING COMPANY, INC.	688	(*)					
PIERCE PACKING COMPANY	691	(*)				(*)	
WILHELM FOODS, INC.	692	(*)					
BRYAN MEAT COMPANY	693	(*)					
KANSAS STATE UNIV. - ANIMAL HUSBANDRY DEPT.	694	(*)	(*)	(*)		(*)	
KRAMER BEEF COMPANY	695	(*)					
GULF PACKING COMPANY	696	(*)					
TRIOLO BROTHERS	706					(*)	
CENTRAL NEBRASKA PACKING COMPANY	E 713						(*)
DAVENPORT PACKING COMPANY, INC.	716	(*)					
FARMLAND FOODS, INC.	717					(*)	
FARMLAND FOODS, INC.	717 A					(*)	
A. DARLINGTON STRODE	718					(*)	
SWIFT & COMPANY	726	(*)	(*)	(*)		(*)	
DECKER & SON	727					(*)	
ROODE PACKING COMPANY, INC.	729	(*)					
FROSTY MORN MEATS, INC.	731					(*)	
PACIFIC MEAT COMPANY	732	(*)	(*)	(*)		(*)	
WESTERN PACKERS	733	(*)					
S W GALLS SON	735			(*)	(*)		
OHIO PACKING COMPANY	736	(*)	(*)			(*)	
PARNELL'S PACKING COMPANY	738	(*)	(*)	(*)		(*)	
THE JACOB SCHLACHTER'S SONS COMPANY	739	(*)	(*)				
MID-STATE MEAT COMPANY	741	(*)	(*)				
THE OK PACKING COMPANY	749	(*)	(*)				
MONROE PACKING COMPANY, INC.	755	(*)					
SEITZ PACKING COMPANY, INC.	756 A	(*)					
THE AMERICAN MEAT PACKING CORPORATION	760					(*)	
SCHAAKE PACKING COMPANY, INC.	761	(*)					
KARLER PACKING COMPANY	767	(*)		(*)			
SHERIDAN MEAT COMPANY, INC.	768	(*)	(*)	(*)		(*)	
EARL C. GIBBS, INC.	770	(*)					
HANFORD MEAT PACKING COMPANY	773	(*)					
ATLAS PACKING COMPANY	775	(*)					
CENTRAL PACKING COMPANY	777	(*)	(*)				
THE CUDAHY COMPANY	779	(*)	(*)			(*)	
BRYAN PACKING COMPANY	780	(*)	(*)			(*)	
DIAMOND MEAT COMPANY, INC.	783	(*)					

ESTABLISHMENTS SLAUGHTERING HUMANELY — PAGE 8 OF 18 PAGE(S)

NAME OF ESTABLISHMENT	EST. NO.	CATTLE	CALVES	SHEEP	GOATS	SWINE	EQUINES
GRANITE STATE PACKING COMPANY	785	(*)	(*)				
AURORA PACKING COMPANY, INC.	788	(*)					
HATFIELD PACKING COMPANY	791	(*)				(*)	
BAUM'S MEAT PACKING COMPANY	792	(*)	(*)				
ROD BARNES PACKING COMPANY	798	(*)					
WESTERN IOWA PORK	806					(*)	
AMERICAN BEEF PACKERS, INC.	807	(*)					
AMERICAN BEEF PACKERS, INC.	807 A	(*)					
AMCO-PAC CORPORATION	809	(*)					
THE G. ERHARDT SONS, INC.	810	(*)	(*)				
UNITED PACKING OF IOWA, INC.	812					(*)	
CASTLE BRANDS, INC.	816					(*)	
ROCHESTER INDEPENDENT PACKER, INC.	817	(*)					
J. H. ROUTH PACKING COMPANY	818					(*)	
STERLING COLORADO BEEF COMPANY	823	(*)					
FOREMOST PACKING COMPANY	824					(*)	
SUPERIOR PACKING COMPANY, INC.	825			(*)			
BERCHEM'S MEAT COMPANY	830	(*)					
LEE-JOHNSON, INC.	835	(*)				(*)	
JOHN MORRELL & COMPANY	836	(*)					
FREDERICK COUNTY PRODUCTS, INC.	838	(*)	(*)	(*)			
REELFOOT PACKING COMPANY	840	(*)				(*)	
G. BARTUSCH PACKING COMPANY	843	(*)					
THE ALLEN PACKING COMPANY	845	(*)	(*)	(*)			
ARENA DRESSED BEEF COMPANY	853	(*)					
SIOUX CITY DRESSED BEEF	857	(*)					
SIOUXLAND DRESSED BEEF COMPANY	857 F	(*)					
SIOUX BEEF COMPANY	857 O	(*)					
JORDAN MEAT AND LIVESTOCK COMPANY, INC.	858	(*)	(*)	(*)	(*)	(*)	(*)
WELLS AND DAVIES, INC.	860	(*)				(*)	
SIERRA MEAT COMPANY	862	(*)					
TENNESSEE DRESSED BEEF COMPANY	865	(*)					
AMERICAN BEEF PACKERS, INC.	866	(*)					
HARDY AND COMPANY, INC.	869	(*)	(*)				
SANTA ANA PACKING COMPANY	874	(*)					
PAHLER PACKING CORPORATION	880	(*)					
SWANTON PACKING COMPANY, INC.	883	(*)					
ALCO PACKING COMPANY, INC.	885	(*)	(*)	(*)		(*)	
ALCO PACKING COMPANY	885 A	(*)	(*)				
WALDEN PACKING COMPANY, INC.	886	(*)					
TOBIN PACKING COMPANY, INC.	893					(*)	
VERNON CALHOUN PACKING COMPANY	897	(*)					
MEATS, INC.	899	(*)					
SIGMAN MEAT COMPANY, INC.	901 B					(*)	
PARTY PACKING CORPORATION	902	(*)					
B. CONSTANTINO & SONS COMPANY	918	(*)					
ALICE PACKING COMPANY	921	(*)	(*)				
VALLEYDALE PACKERS, INC.	922	(*)	(*)			(*)	
WISCONSIN PACKING COMPANY	924	(*)					
PEOPLES PACKING COMPANY	925	(*)					
PARSONS BEEF COMPANY, INC.	932	(*)					
E. B. MANNING & SON	934	(*)					
IOWA BEEF PROCESSORS, INC.	935	(*)					
VOLTZ PACKING COMPANY	938	(*)					
CAPPELLINO ABATTOIR, INC.	939	(*)					
GENTNER PACKING COMPANY, INC.	941	(*)					

ESTABLISHMENTS SLAUGHTERING HUMANELY

PAGE 9 OF 18 PAGE(S)

NAME OF ESTABLISHMENT	EST. NO.	CATTLE	CALVES	SHEEP	GOATS	SWINE	EQUINES
DIAMOND MEAT PACKERS, INC.	944					(*)	
M. BRIZER & COMPANY	948	(*)					
JOE DOCTORMAN & SON PACKING COMPANY, INC.	949	(*)				(*)	
KENNEDY'S SAUSAGE COMPANY	950					(*)	
BOB EVANS FARMS, MICHIGAN, INC.	952					(*)	
THOMPSON FARM COMPANY	959					(*)	
GREATER OMAHA PACKING COMPANY, INC.	960	(*)					
VIRGINIA PACKING COMPANY, INC.	963	(*)	(*)	(*)			
LAY PACKING COMPANY	967	(*)				(*)	
MONFORT PACKING COMPANY	969	(*)			(*)		
HAWAII MEAT COMPANY, LTD.	970	(*)					
LONGHORN MEAT PACKERS, INC.	976	(*)					
NATIONAL FOOD STORES, INC.	981	(*)					
BANNER BEEF COMPANY	985	(*)					
SHAMROCK BEEF COMPANY	987	(*)					
EVERETT C. HORLEIN & SON, INC.	988	(*)					
KLARER OF KENTUCKY, INC.	995					(*)	
THE HOME PRIDE PROVISIONS, INC.	1029	(*)					
ARMOUR & COMPANY	1085	(*)	(*)				
LANDY PACKING COMPANY	1171	(*)					
PORKLAND DIVISION OF CONSOLIDATED FOODS	1175					(*)	
A. F. MOYER & SONS, INC.	1311	(*)					
MC CABE PACKING PLANT	1312	(*)	(*)				
STEVENS MEAT COMPANY, INC.	1485	(*)					
JAMES SAUSAGE COMPANY	1718					(*)	
C & C PACKING COMPANY	2033	(*)	(*)				
DE LUCA PACKING COMPANY	2054	(*)	(*)				
ODOM SAUSAGE COMPANY OF KENTUCKY, INC.	2094					(*)	
ALEWELS, INC.	2101	(*)				(*)	
JESSE JONES DIVISION OF GOODMARK, INC.	2103					(*)	
BALLARD'S FARM SAUSAGE, INC.	2108					(*)	
P & H PACKING COMPANY, INC.	2211	(*)	(*)				
P & H PACKING COMPANY, INC.	2211 A		(*)				
GREELEY MEAT COMPANY	2212	(*)					
HARDY PACKING COMPANY, INC.	2215	(*)		(*)	(*)	(*)	
YOAKUM PACKING COMPANY, LTD.	2216	(*)	(*)			(*)	
BURLISON PACKING COMPANY	2224	(*)					
PACE PACKING COMPANY	2228	(*)				(*)	
RIDLEY PACKING COMPANY	2229	(*)					
SOUTH TEXAS PACKERS, INC.	2230	(*)	(*)				
L & H PACKING - BRAUN DIVISION	2239	(*)		(*)	(*)		
CURRY COUNTY MEAT COMPANY	2248					(*)	
BRYAN SAUSAGE COMPANY, INC.	2249					(*)	
COLORADO STATE UNIV. - DEPT. OF ANIMAL SCIENCE	2253	(*)	(*)	(*)		(*)	
LOVELAND PACKING COMPANY, INC.	2259					(*)	
G & C PACKING COMPANY	2262	(*)	(*)				
RIDLEY PACKING COMPANY	2265	(*)				(*)	
L. A. FREY & SONS, INC.	2266 A	(*)				(*)	
WRIGHT PACKING COMPANY	2269	(*)	(*)			(*)	
LAMESA MEAT COMPANY	2272	(*)			(*)		(*)
AMARILLO PACKING COMPANY	2273	(*)					
SUNRAY MEATS, INC.	2274	(*)					
NORTHWEST PACKING COMPANY	2283	(*)					
HUSBAND BROTHERS PACKING COMPANY	2284	(*)					
ROLLINS PACKING COMPANY	2285	(*)	(*)	(*)		(*)	
DANKWORTH PACKING COMPANY	2296	(*)				(*)	

ESTABLISHMENTS SLAUGHTERING HUMANELY

PAGE 10 OF 18 PAGE(S)

NAME OF ESTABLISHMENT	EST. NO.	CATTLE	CALVES	SHEEP	GOATS	SWINE	EQUINES
AMANA SOCIETY MEAT DEPARTMENT	2357	(*)					
THEIS PACKING COMPANY, INC.	2367	(*)				(*)	
GROTE MEAT COMPANY	2369	(*)	(*)	(*)			
WUESTLING PACKING COMPANY	2370	(*)					
CLAYTON PACKING COMPANY	2373		(*)	(*)			
SMIT & SON, INC.	2376	(*)				(*)	
SPENCER FOODS, INC.	2379	(*)					
PARTIN SAUSAGE COMPANY	2385					(*)	
MARSHALL PACKING COMPANY, INC.	2386	(*)					
CLINTON PACKING COMPANY	2387					(*)	
GUSTAV B. NISSEN & SON PACKING COMPANY	2388	(*)					
C. E. RICHARD AND SONS, INC.	2391	(*)					
UNITED MEAT COMPANY, INC.	2396	(*)					
QUALITY PACKINGHOUSE, INC.	2435					(*)	
JOHN R. DAILY, INC.	2450	(*)				(*)	
CIMPL PACKING COMPANY	2460	(*)					
LINK BROTHERS ENTERPRISES	2472	(*)					
WENNING PACKING COMPANY, INC.	2533	(*)					
BO PACKING COMPANY	2586					(*)	
SPRINGFIELD DRESSED BEEF, INC.	2590	(*)	(*)			(*)	
WEYHAUPT BROTHERS PACKING COMPANY	2594	(*)				(*)	
BARTLOW BROTHERS, INC.	2595	(*)	(*)			(*)	
THE TEETERS PACKING COMPANY	2598					(*)	
J. W. TREUTH & SONS, INC.	2612	(*)					
MANASSAS FROZEN FOODS	2621 A	(*)	(*)	(*)	(*)	(*)	
H. P. BEALE & SONS, INC.	2682					(*)	
YODERS LOCKER PLANT	2699	(*)	(*)			(*)	
WAGNER PROVISION COMPANY, INC.	2770	(*)	(*)			(*)	
STOEVEN BROTHERS	2800	(*)			(*)		
BLUE MOUNTAIN MEATS	2825	(*)			(*)	(*)	
LEWIS & MC DERMOTT	2847	(*)					
VISTA MEAT PACKING COMPANY, INC.	2854	(*)	(*)				
GRANITE MEAT AND LIVESTOCK COMPANY	2856	(*)	(*)	(*)	(*)		
ZWEIGART PACKING CORPORATION	2863	(*)	(*)	(*)		(*)	
ARMBRUST MEATS	2912	(*)	(*)				
MARYVILLE PACKING COMPANY	2927	(*)	(*)	(*)		(*)	
YONTZ PACKING COMPANY	2939	(*)				(*)	
DAVIS SLAUGHTER PLANT	2950	(*)	(*)	(*)	(*)	(*)	
RINEHARDT'S MEAT PROCESSING, INC.	2978	(*)	(*)	(*)	(*)	(*)	
HIGHLANDVILLE PACKING COMPANY	2987	(*)	(*)	(*)	(*)	(*)	
FORT PLAIN PACKING COMPANY, INC.	5074	(*)	(*)				
DOUBLE A MEAT PACKING, INC.	5162	(*)	(*)	(*)	(*)	(*)	
ANTHONY PARILLO, INC.	5193	(*)	(*)	(*)	(*)	(*)	
RALPH PACKING COMPANY, INC.	5228	(*)	(*)				
JOHNSTON DRESSED BEEF & VEAL COMPANY, INC.	5300	(*)	(*)	(*)	(*)		
HAYES PACKING CORPORATION	5301	(*)					
MORRIS MENDEL & COMPANY	5309	(*)	(*)	(*)	(*)	(*)	
KLINCH & SCHALLER, INC.	5319	(*)					
SHANE PACKING COMPANY	5367					(*)	
HERSHEY ESTATES ABATTOIR	5388	(*)	(*)			(*)	
WINCHESTER PACKING COMPANY, INC.	5500	(*)				(*)	
AMEND PACKING COMPANY	5509	(*)					
GIBBON PACKING, INC.	5511	(*)					
CARENZLOW & SON	5512	(*)			(*)	(*)	
CENTRAL FOODS, INC.	5519	(*)					
HEWLETT WHOLESALE MEATS	5524	(*)					

NAME OF ESTABLISHMENT	EST. NO.	CATTLE	CALVES	SHEEP	GOATS	SWINE	EQUINES
MACON BEEF PACKERS, INC.	5531	*					
SIOUX-PREME PACKING COMPANY	5537					*	
JACK POLEN BEEF COMPANY, INC.	5548	*					
BONNE TERRE SAUSAGE COMPANY	5554					*	
THE FANESTIL PACKING COMPANY, INC.	5562	*					
HAVILAND BROTHERS FROZEN FOOD LOCKERS	5565	*				*	
TAMA MEAT PACKING CORPORATION	5569	*					
ALLIED PACKING COMPANY	5572	*					
BUTCHERS INC.	5575	*				*	
WIMMER'S MEAT PRODUCTS, INC.	5601	*	*		*	*	
BOSTON STORE, INC.	5602	*	*		*	*	
HERMAN THE GERMAN SLAUGHTERHOUSE	5604	*	*		*	*	
ELLIOTT FOOD PRODUCTS, INC.	5608	*	*		*	*	
ORD COLD STORAGE	5610	*	*		*	*	
JOHNSON'S FROZEN FOODS	5612	*	*		*	*	
ADAMS LOCKER	5621	*	*		*	*	
ALEXANDRIA PACKING COMPANY	5623	*				*	
PANHANDLE PACKING COMPANY	5624	*	*		*	*	
ARTHUR LOCKER	5627	*				*	
HOLTZEN'S CERTIFIED MEAT PROCESSING AND CURING	5630	*				*	
MARR'S LOCKER SERVICE	5631	*	*		*	*	
CARLSON'S MEAT & LOCKERS	5632	*				*	
DOTY PACKING COMPANY, INC.	5634	*	*		*	*	
GRUNKEMEYER MEATS	5635	*	*		*	*	
BRAVER PACKING COMPANY	5636	*					
CLAY CENTER MEAT COMPANY	5637	*				*	
OTTE PACKING	5638	*				*	
BRAMMEIER'S LOCKER	5639	*	*		*	*	
F & S SAUSAGE COMPANY, INC.	5640	*	*		*	*	
FILLEY LOCKER SERVICE	5646	*	*		*	*	
PETERSON'S LOCKERS INC.	5647	*	*		*	*	
FORD PACKING COMPANY	5648	*					
TATUM'S PROCESSING PLANT	5649	*					
CUSTOM PACK, INC.	5650	*					
VERLING LOCKER	5653	*				*	
BELINDE'S LOCKER PLANT	5654	*	*		*	*	
EPLER MERCANTILE COMPANY	5655	*	*		*	*	
KIMBALL LOCKER PLANT	5656	*	*		*	*	
TRAUTMAN'S MEAT CENTER	5657	*	*		*	*	
UNIV. OF NEBRASKA - LOEFFEL MEAT LABORATORY	5658	*	*		*	*	
BUTLER'S BEEF ACRES	5660	*				*	
ROMAN PACKING COMPANY	5662	*					
NORTH PLATTE PACKING COMPANY, INC.	5663	*					
SIX STREET PROCESSING PLANT, INC.	5664	*					
DALE'S LOCKER	5666	*					
OSCEOLA LOCKER INC.	5667	*				*	
PETERSBURG LOCKER	5668	*				*	
CARDEL & SON FREEZER MEATS.	5670	*	*		*	*	
DITTMAN'S MARKET	5671	*					
YOST PACK INC.	5672	*					
HOLLSTEIN PACKING COMPANY	5673	*				*	
FLICKER PACKING COMPANY	5676	*				*	
KREIMER'S STORE	5681	*	*		*	*	
TECUMSEH LOCKER	5682	*				*	
VALENTINE LOCKERS	5683	*	*		*	*	
VERDIGRE MEAT & LOCKER	5684	*				*	

NAME OF ESTABLISHMENT	EST. NO.	CATTLE	CALVES	SHEEP	GOATS	SWINE	EQUINES
WAUSA LOCKERS	5686	*					
DEERSONS MEAT PLANT, INC.	5690	*					
CRETE MEAT PROCESSORS	5695	*				*	
HAVLIK'S LOCKER PLANT	5729	*					
THE FREEZER	5786	*	*		*	*	
MCGEE PACKING COMPANY	5801	*					
SCHLESWIG SAUSAGE INC.	5813	*				*	
SIKESTON SLAUGHTERHOUSE	5824	*					
LYNCH PACKING PLANT	5843	*					
O. K. MEAT PACKING COMPANY, INC.	6001	*					
WILLIAM C. PARKE & SONS COMPANY	6003	*					
UNIVERSITY OF NEVADA - ANIMAL SCIENCE DIVISION	6004	*					
HOHENER MEAT COMPANY, INC.	6011	*				*	
UNIV. OF CALIFORNIA - DEPT. OF ANIMAL SCIENCE	6012	*					
JOHNSON MEAT COMPANY	6016	*				*	
OAKDALE MEAT COMPANY	6017	*				*	
LAMB SPECIALITIES, INC.	6018					*	
MOUNT VERNON MEAT COMPANY, INC.	6039	*					
PASCO MEAT PACKERS, INC.	6040	*				*	
WEBER, INC.	6041	*					
MC RAE PACK, INC.	6042	*					
DAVIS MEAT COMPANY	6044	*					
AVILA MEAT COMPANY	6046	*					
SATICOY MEAT PACKING COMPANY	6055	*					
SCHENK PACKING COMPANY	6056	*					
TULARE MEAT COMPANY	6063	*					
REDWOOD MEAT COMPANY	6066	*					
KLUBNIKIN PACKING COMPANY	6071	*					
GRANDVIEW PACKING COMPANY	6083	*					
ARNOPOLE MEAT COMPANY	6084	*					
KRATZIG MEAT COMPANY	6110	*					
ATWATER MEAT COMPANY	6113	*					
MID-CAVE MEAT PACKING COMPANY	6114	*					
CEDAR PACKING COMPANY	6118	*				*	
CUYAMACA MEAT COMPANY	6126	*					
CIRCLE BAR MEAT COMPANY	6151	*					
T. P. PACKING COMPANY	6173	*					
LEWIS MEATS	6175	*					
GREEN HILL, INC.	6513					*	
GEORGE H. MEYER SONS, INC.	6521	*					
GUARD HILL MEATS, INC.	6526	*					
GUNNOE SAUSAGE COMPANY, INC.	6541					*	
ODOM SAUSAGE COMPANY, INC.	6544					*	
SUFFOLK PACKING COMPANY	6546	*					
MARTIN'S ABATTOIR & WHOLESALE MEATS	6547	*				*	
PENN HAVEN MEATS, INC.	6559	*				*	
ROLAND PACKING COMPANY	6563	*					
EDWARDS SAUSAGE COMPANY, INC.	6579					*	
LEDFORD'S LIVESTOCK FARM SLAUGHTER PLANT	6591 A	*					
WHITE PACKING COMPANY	6595	*				*	
DEAN SAUSAGE COMPANY, INC.	6621					*	
MACELA MUNICIPAL	6675	*				*	
CAROLINA MUNICIPAL SLAUGHTERHOUSE	6683	*					
PRIMEAT PACKING COMPANY	6758	*					
STAR MEAT COMPANY	6760					*	
WALTER PACKING COMPANY	6766	*				*	

ESTABLISHMENTS SLAUGHTERING HUMANELY — PAGE 13 OF 18 PAGE(S)

NAME OF ESTABLISHMENT	EST. NO.	CATTLE	CALVES	SHEEP	GOATS	SWINE	EQUINES
STANDARD BEEF, INC.	6770	-(*)-					
CALIHAN & COMPANY	6775	-(*)-	(*)				
HELMS SLAUGHTERHOUSE	6777	-(*)-	(*)		(*)		
THE ROSS ABATTOIR COMPANY	6780	-(*)-	(*)				
BOB EVANS FARMS, INC.	6785					(*)	
BERGMAN MEAT PACKING COMPANY, INC.	6788	-(*)-	(*)	-(*)-	(*)		
ILLINI BEEF PACKERS, INC.	6792	-(*)					
BOB EVANS FARMS, INC.	6807					(*)	
UTICA PACKING COMPANY	6832					(*)	
MARBURGER PACKING COMPANY	6863	-(*)					
NEMETZ PACKING HOUSE	6866	-(*)					
MILLER PROCESSING COMPANY, INC.	6889	-(*)-	(*)-	-(*)-	(*)-	(*)	
ELCONA FOODS, INC.	6902					(*)	
ABE MAY PACKING COMPANY	6965	-(*)-	(*)-	(*)			
SELECTED MEATS	6979	-(*)					
SCHWARTZMAN PACKING COMPANY	7003	-(*)					
DEMING PACKING COMPANY, INC.	7005	-(*)				(*)	
JIMMY DEAN MEAT COMPANY	7012					(*)	
MUSKOGEE PACKING COMPANY, INC.	7015	-(*)	(*)-	-(*)-	(*)		
HATCH PACKING COMPANY, INC.	7021	-(*)				(*)	
WESTERN MEAT PACKERS, INC.	7028					(*)	
WEBB PACKING COMPANY	7029					(*)	
CROW'S MEAT COMPANY	7048	-(*)	(*)-	-(*)-	(*)		
CHEF REDDY MEATS COMPANY	7049	-(*)-	(*)-	-(*)-	(*)		
BARTHEL PACKING COMPANY, INC.	7052 A					(*)	
BROWN'S MEAT LOCKER	7055	-(*)	(*)		(*)		
INTERSTATE PACKING COMPANY	7056	-(*)	(*)		(*)		
BROWN PACKING COMPANY	7064	-(*)				(*)	
COMMUNITY ABATTOIR, INC.	7075	-(*)				(*)	
CESSNUN ABATTOIR	7082	-(*)	(*)-	(*)-	(*)		
GUILLOT PACKING COMPANY, INC.	7086	-(*)-	(*)				
CAPROCK BEEF PACKERS, INC.	7089	-(*)					
E-TEX PACKING COMPANY	7122	-(*)-	(*)				
OSBURN PACKING COMPANY	7150	-(*)-	(*)		(*)		
GREER'S, INC.	7159					(*)	
HARRISON'S PACKING COMPANY	7198	-(*)				(*)	
KENTUCKY SAUSAGE COMPANY, INC.	7300					(*)	
DUFFY BONELESS BEEF COMPANY	7305	-(*)-	(*)				
METZGER PACKING COMPANY, INC.	7306	-(*)				(*)	
ENTERPRISE MEAT COMPANY, INC	7319	-(*)					
MUNICIPALITY OF AIBONITO	7390	-(*)-	(*)		(*)		
COLEMAN SAUSAGE COMPANY	7401					(*)	
HENRY W. STAPH	7402		(*)-	(*)			
CAROLINA ABATTIOR, INC.	7404	-(*)				(*)	
HARRISONBURG WHOLESALE MEAT COMPANY, INC.	7420	-(*)				(*)	
CRIBBS SAUSAGE COMPANY	7424					(*)	
CONTRIS PACKING COMPANY	7438					(*)	
DINNER BELL MEAT PRODUCTS, INC.	7440	-(*)-	(*)-	(*)		(*)	
BLUE RIDGE BEEF PLANT, INC.	7445	-(*)					
WILLIAMS SAUSAGE COMPANY	7455					(*)	
F. B. PURNELL SAUSAGE COMPANY, INC.	7464	-(*)				(*)	
DIAMOND MEAT COMPANY, INC.	7465					(*)	
FIELD PACKING COMPANY, INC.	7467	-(*)					
BRUNDIGE SAUSAGE COMPANY	7475					(*)	
FULTON COUNTY PACKING COMPANY	7485	-(*)				(*)	
DANVILLE MEAT PRODUCTS	7486	-(*)				(*)	

ESTABLISHMENTS SLAUGHTERING HUMANELY — PAGE 14 OF 18 PAGE(S)

NAME OF ESTABLISHMENT	EST. NO.	CATTLE	CALVES	SHEEP	GOATS	SWINE	EQUINES
T. M. LANDIS, INC.	7517	-(*)-	(*)-	(*)			
GARTNER-HARF COMPANY	7576	-(*)-	(*)-	(*)			
MERWIN PACKING, INC.	7600	-(*)	(*)		(*)		
ABERCROMBIE MEAT	7601	-(*)		(*)		(*)	
MILLNER & SWANICK	7602	-(*)					
MISSOURI VALLEY MEAT	7604	-(*)					
SCHMALTZ MEATS	7605	-(*)		(*)		(*)	
GOLDADES BUTCHER SHOP	7606	-(*)		(*)		(*)	
CEDAR RIDGE MEAT SERVICE	7607	-(*)				(*)	
BARNES COUNTY LOCKERS	7608	-(*)		(*)		(*)	
HOPE LOCKER PLANT	7609	-(*)		(*)		(*)	
CASSELTON COLD STORAGE	7611	-(*)		(*)		(*)	
GREAT BEND LOCKERS	7612	-(*)				(*)	
FAIRMONT LOCKERS	7615	-(*)-	(*)-	(*)-	(*)-	(*)	
SKYBERG'S	7616	-(*)		(*)		(*)	
MONTEITH MEATS	7617	-(*)		(*)		(*)	
PARK RIVER LOCKER PLANT	7618	-(*)		(*)		(*)	
NIAGARA LOCKERS	7619	-(*)		(*)		(*)	
BOWDON LOCKER PLANT	7620	-(*)				(*)	
LANGDON LOCKERS	7622	-(*)		(*)		(*)	
AAFEDT'S LOCKER PLANT	7623	-(*)		(*)		(*)	
ROCKLAKE LOCKER PLANT	7624	-(*)		(*)		(*)	
ANETA MEATS	7625	-(*)				(*)	
ANIMAL SCIENCE	7627	-(*)		(*)		(*)	
STANLEY LOCKER SERVICE	7632	-(*)				(*)	
DAVIDSON'S PROCESSING PLANT	7633	-(*)		(*)		(*)	
LUNDE PROCESSING	7634	-(*)	(*)-	(*)		(*)	
DAKOTA MEATS, INC.	7636	-(*)-	(*)-	(*)			
MYERS MEAT PROCESSING	7641	-(*)		(*)		(*)	
HILLSIDE MEAT COMPANY	7642	-(*)		(*)		(*)	
CITY MEAT & LOCKER	7644	-(*)		(*)		(*)	
WETSCH JACK & JILL	7646	-(*)		(*)		(*)	
BOSCH MEAT COMPANY	7647	-(*)		(*)		(*)	
FRED BORN	7648	-(*)				(*)	
SCHAFERS BUTCHER SHOP	7649	-(*)					
K & E PROCESSING AND SALES	7655	-(*)		(*)		(*)	
JOHN BONN	7656	-(*)		(*)		(*)	
JAT CORPORATION	7661	-(*)				(*)	
VALLEY MEAT PACKING COMPANY, INC.	7676	-(*)		(*)		(*)	
CITY MEAT COMPANY	7677	-(*)		(*)		(*)	
RAHR MEAT SERVICE	7678	-(*)		(*)		(*)	
MILES CITY PACKING COMPANY	7679	-(*)		(*)			
HARDIN MEAT MARKET	7684	-(*)				(*)	
SEITZ-BOWERS PROCESSING PLANT	7685	-(*)		(*)		(*)	
TIMBERLAND PACKING CORPORATION	7687	-(*)		(*)		(*)	
KREZELAK, INC. (HAVRE ABATTOIR)	7688	-(*)				(*)	
ROCKY MOUNTAIN PACKING COMPANY, INC.	7690	-(*)		(*)		(*)	
TRIANGLE PACKING COMPANY	7691	-(*)					
MARIA'S PACKING COMPANY	7692	-(*)		(*)		(*)	
STANFORD MEAT MARKET	7694	-(*)		(*)			
BARSOTTI'S MEAT PLANT	7695	-(*)		(*)		(*)	
MONTANA STATE PRISON	7707	-(*)				(*)	
ROBERTS PACKING PLANT	7708	-(*)-	(*)-	(*)		(*)	
RICK'S PACKING COMPANY	7710	-(*)-	(*)-	(*)		(*)	
FAN MOUNTAIN MEATS	7712	-(*)-	(*)-	(*)		(*)	
VOLLMER & SONS, INC.	7713	-(*)-	(*)-	(*)		(*)	

NAME OF ESTABLISHMENT	EST. NO.	CATTLE	CALVES	SHEEP	GOATS	SWINE	EQUINES
KALISPELL MEAT COMPANY	7716	*	*	*			
WHITE'S WHOLESALE MEATS	7717	*	*			*	
VANDERVANTER MEATS	7718	*	*				
SCHRAMM PACKING COMPANY	7719	*		*		*	
TOLMAN MEAT PROCESSING	7724	*		*	*	*	
MICKEY'S PACKING PLANT	7732	*		*			
THE MODERN LOCKER COMPANY	7745	*				*	
ADAMS LOCKER	7774	*	*	*		*	
DALES MEAT PROCESSING PLANT	7781	*				*	
SISTER'S OF THE ORDER OF SAINT BENEDICT	7784	*				*	
WILSON'S MEAT MARKET	7790	*				*	
CARLOS LOCKERS	7796	*	*	*		*	
UNGERS GARFIELD LOCKER	7797	*	*	*		*	
CLARISSA MEATS	7799	*	*	*		*	
BRUNO'S PACKING COMPANY	7804	*	*	*	*	*	
BANGOR BEEF COMPANY	7806	*	*	*		*	
CONTI PACKING COMPANY, INC.	7814	*					
KENNETH BAKER FARMS	7845	*	*	*	*	*	
READFIELD ABATTOIR	7874	*	*	*		*	
PETER D. VILLARI, INC.	7887					*	
SAM'S MEAT PACKING COMPANY	7908	*				*	
PARTIN'S COUNTRY SAUSAGE	7923					*	
MEHERRIN PACKING COMPANY	7931					*	
ELM HILL MEATS, INC.	7936	*	*			*	
KOCH BEEF COMPANY	7937	*	*				
MONROE WHOLESALE MEAT COMPANY, INC.	7944	*				*	
SOUTHERN PACKING CORPORATION	7945	*	*	*		*	
CHARLES J. SCHMIDT & COMPANY	7948	*					
DREHER PACKING COMPANY, INC.	7957					*	
LOUISVILLE BEEF CORPORATION	7969	*	*				
FARMER'S MEAT PRODUCE, INC.	7972	*				*	
KLING'S MEAT MARKET	7983	*	*	*		*	
METZGER BROTHERS	8000	*					
UNIVERSITY OF KENTUCKY - MEAT LABORATORY	8013	*					
DAVIDSON MEAT MARKET	8024	*				*	
TURPEN'S SAUSAGE, INC.	8025	*	*			*	
BOURBON PACKING COMPANY	8027	*	*	*		*	
BILL WHEELER SLAUGHTER HOUSE	8034	*				*	
FARMERS SLAUGHTER HOUSE	8035	*				*	
HENRY ROSS PACKING COMPANY	8045	*				*	
COLUMBIA LOCKER AND MARKET	8049	*				*	
HUGHES MARKET	8055					*	
SPALDING PACKING COMPANY	8058	*				*	
LORETTO MEAT PROCESSORS	8064	*	*	*	*	*	
BOWERMAN COUNTRY SAUSAGE	8072	*				*	
BOONE'S ABATTOIR, INC.	8078	*	*	*	*		
GREGORY SLAUGHTER HOUSE, INC.	8083	*				*	
DOUGLASS SLAUGHTER HOUSE	8087	*				*	
ELMORE & PAYNE PACKING COMPANY, INC.	8088	*				*	
BROWNSDALE MEAT SERVICE INC.	8189	*				*	
LEALI BROTHERS MEATS	8555	*	*	*		*	
WELTER'S CUSTOM SLAUGHTERING	8569	*	*	*		*	
BILSKI'S SLAUGHTER	8586	*	*	*		*	
MAURY PACKING COMPANY	8587	*	*	*		*	
RILEY'S MEAT PROCESSING	8604	*	*	*		*	
ROBIE MEAT PACKERS, INC.	8616	*	*	*		*	

NAME OF ESTABLISHMENT	EST. NO.	CATTLE	CALVES	SHEEP	GOATS	SWINE	EQUINES
TED'S MEAT MARKET	8633	*	*	*		*	
HIRCH'S MEATS	8636	*	*				
HARVEY A. KIPP	8646	*					
GIUNTA	8649						
NEW FRANKLIN LOCKER SERVICE	8696	*					
UPTOWN SLAUGHTER HOUSE	8731	*					
GLASGOW LOCKER	8747	*					
PLAINFIELD PACKING COMPANY	8861	*	*	*		*	
GREGGWOOD FARM	8901						
ERDMAN SUPERMARKETS, INC.	8905	*					
ST. JOSEPH MEAT MARKET, INC.	8916	*					
FROZ-N-FOODS COMPANY	8917	*	*	*		*	
GORDHAMER FOOD MARKET	8920	*					
RUCK'S MEAT PROCESSING CENTER, INC.	8921	*	*	*		*	
SCHULLER'S PROCESSING SERVICE	8922	*				*	
MELROSE LOCKER	8927	*				*	
FROZ-N-FOOD COMPANY	8930	*					
PLANTENBERG MARKET, INC.	8931	*				*	
KETTER'S MEATS	8937	*	*	*		*	
CARLSON FROZEN MEAT SALES	8948	*	*	*			
HENNING LOCKER PLANT	8949	*	*	*		*	
DAVE AND TED'S LOCKERS	8950	*	*	*		*	
FERGUS LOCKER PLANT	8952	*	*	*			
LAKELAND MEATS, INC.	8953	*	*			*	
SPIKES LOCKERS	8954	*	*	*	*	*	
CITY MEAT MARKET	8960	*	*	*		*	
NEW MUNICH LOCKER PLANT	8961	*				*	
PETERS, INC.	8962	*					
PETERS, INC.	8963					*	
FORSTER PACKING COMPANY, INC.	8966	*	*	*		*	
DREWES FROZEN FOOD CENTER	8971	*	*	*		*	
FOSSTON CO-OP ASSOCIATION LOCKER DEPARTMENT	8974	*				*	
CITY MEAT MARKET	8975	*	*	*		*	
LYNCH'S FOODS	8976	*	*	*		*	
GENEVA MEATS & PROCESSING SERVICE	8979	*				*	
TRAXLER MEATS	8981	*				*	
GREENWALD LOCKER PLANT	8982	*				*	
JOPPRU, INC.	8988	*				*	
SLAYTON-Z-R-O PAC	8989	*				*	
SEBEKA LOCKER	8992	*	*	*		*	
CIRCLE K MEAT COMPANY	9207	*	*	*		*	
SANTIAM MEAT PACKERS	9220	*				*	
VALLEY MEAT COMPANY	9222	*				*	
O S U MEAT SCIENCE LABORATORY	9223	*				*	
STANTON'S SLAUGHTERHOUSE	9224	*				*	
CARLTON PACKING COMPANY	9228	*					
STUTZMAN SLAUGHTERHOUSE	9229	*				*	
MILLERS WHOLESALE MEATS	9230	*				*	
HABERMANS MEAT SERVICE	9232	*				*	
MARR & STAFFORD MEAT COMPANY	9233	*				*	
JACOBMUHLENS MEATS	9234	*				*	
REED & HERTIG PACKING COMPANY	9237	*				*	
A & B MEAT PACKING	9239	*				*	
MERRILL MEAT COMPANY	9240	*				*	
PONDEROSA PACKING & PURVEYORS	9242	*	*	*	*	*	
FARMER PACKING COMPANY	9243	*	*	*	*	*	

ESTABLISHMENTS SLAUGHTERING HUMANELY

NAME OF ESTABLISHMENT	EST. NO.	CATTLE	CALVES	SHEEP	GOATS	SWINE	EQUINES
BOYER MEAT COMPANY	9246	*	*	*	*	*	
MYRTLE PACKING COMPANY	9247	*	*	*	*	*	
ERDMAN PACKING COMPANY	9249	*	*	*	*	*	
CEDAR POINT PACKING COMPANY	9250	*	*	*	*	*	
GREENE MEAT COMPANY	9251	*	*	*	*	*	
MOHAWK PACKING COMPANY	9252	*	*	*	*	*	
SPRINGFIELD SLAUGHTER PLANT	9256	*	*	*	*	*	
HICKMAN'S MEATS	9257	*	*	*	*	*	
GATES SLAUGHTER HOUSE	9258	*	*	*	*	*	
BOB'S MOLALLA MEATS	9263	*		*		*	
MYERS PACKING COMPANY	9264	*	*	*		*	
MARK'S MEAT COMPANY	9265	*		*		*	
MOUNT ANGEL MEAT COMPANY	9270	*		*		*	
CANNON MEAT COMPANY	9271	*		*		*	
PEN ANNEX SLAUGHTER HOUSE	9272	*		*		*	
GRAHAM MEAT COMPANY	9273	*		*		*	
H & H MEAT COMPANY	9280	*		*		*	
VALLEY SAUSAGE COMPANY	9281	*	*	*		*	
JOHN DAY VALLEY PACKING COMPANY	9286	*		*		*	
MOUNTAIN VALLEY MEAT SERVICE	9287	*		*		*	
HAROLD MEAT COMPANY	9288	*		*		*	
VAN WERT'S LOCKERS & SLAUGHTERHOUSE	9289	*		*		*	
CROOKED RIVER MEAT COMPANY	9291	*		*		*	
CINDER BUTTE PACKING CORPORATION	9293	*	*	*		*	
TRI-COUNTY MEAT COMPANY	9294	*		*			
GRETTLER MEAT PACKERS	9366	*					
ALLEN'S MILLE MARKET	9367	*				*	
MIKE ZRILE	9368	*	*				
WARRINGTON MEAT PACKING COMPANY, INC.	9384					*	
ADAM BUTZ, JR.	9389	*				*	
BRISTOL BEEF COMPANY, INC.	9399	*	*	*		*	
BATTLES MEAT PROCESSING PLANT	9401	*	*	*		*	
JOE DEFLICE & SONS	9402	*	*	*		*	
EDGAR M. LANDIS	9417	*	*	*		*	
LOVEWELL'S COUNTRY MARKET	9419	*	*	*		*	
EDWARDS BROTHERS PACKING COMPANY	9431	*	*				
YAMBROVICH MEATS	9435	*	*				
LAKEVIEW PACKING COMPANY, INC.	9446	*	*	*		*	
HERFURTH BROTHERS MEAT COMPANY	9465	*					
THORNTON MEATS	9497	*	*	*		*	
INDIANA SLAUGHTERING COMPANY	9498	*	*			*	
JOHN J. KOHLER	9549	*				*	
GREAT VALLEY MEAT MARKET	9557	*	*	*			
HERMAN S. KISTLER	9561	*				*	
CHARLES T. HEARD & COMPANY	9563	*					
STEIGRWALT'S MEAT MARKET	9572	*					
DIETZ & WATSON INC.	9574					*	
STEVE FICHERA WHOLESALE MEATS	9576					*	
WESLEY R. GOULDEY	9577	*	*	*		*	
O. J. HYNES	9579	*	*	*		*	
GAURLEY PACKING COMPANY, INC.	9580	*	*	*		*	
LIVINGSTON PACKING COMPANY	9581	*	*	*		*	
CONGENS AQULANTE	9603	*	*	*			
DOWNINGTOWN WHOLESALE MEATS	9606	*	*	*		*	
FRANK ESPOSITO & SONS	9607	*					
MOCCIO PACKING	9618	*	*				

ESTABLISHMENTS SLAUGHTERING HUMANELY

NAME OF ESTABLISHMENT	EST. NO.	CATTLE	CALVES	SHEEP	GOATS	SWINE	EQUINES
STOLZFUS LOCKER & IGA FOOD MARKET	9625	*					
WATSON'S HOME DRESSED MEATS	9647	*	*				
JOSEPH VENEZIA DRESSED BEEF	9666	*	*	*			
BALDERSTON BROTHERS	9670	*	*				
CATELLI INC.	9671		*	*			
PRINCZ'S HOME DRESSED MEATS	9673	*	*	*		*	
J. I. FETTEROLF	9685	*	*			*	
MARK BOWMAN	9686	*				*	
LEE BIXLER	9687	*					
KOLB'S MEAT MARKET	9689	*	*	*		*	
CARL VENEZIA	9693	*	*	*			
DITZLER BROTHERS	9694	*					
PAUL H. SCHNECK	9695	*				*	
SPRINGFIELD MEAT COMPANY	9704	*	*	*			
WILMER BARINGER	9706	*	*	*		*	
HAGERS MEAT MARKET	9707	*	*	*			
HERBERT HANSON MEATS	9711	*	*	*		*	
COFFARO'S CUSTOM BUTCHERING	9712	*					
COLUMBUS PACKING COMPANY	9713	*	*	*		*	
THOMA MEAT MARKET	9714	*	*	*		*	
DAVE FINE MEAT PACKER	9715	*	*	*		*	
WALTER'S MEAT PACKERS	9726	*	*	*		*	
HILLCREST PACKING	9747	*	*				
FRANK MADRIGALE	9753	*	*			*	
LEMHENEY BUTCHER SHOP, INC.	9755	*	*			*	
GEORGE R. REV	9758	*					
REHRIGS	9759	*				*	
FRANK O. GILBERT & SON	9762	*				*	
JOEL AND DAVID HEINTZELMAN	9763	*					
DELBERT E. HAYDT	9765	*				*	
JOHN ELNITSKI	9767	*				*	
WALTER JURCZAK	9770	*				*	
ALBERT CARUTH	9776	*					
JOSEPH KUNDLA	9780	*					
FREDERICK R. SCHWEIGHOFER	9781	*					
WILLIAM STEPNIAK	9786	*					
LOCUSTDALE PACKING COMPANY	9799	*	*	*		*	
ALLEN I. ROMBERGER	9800		*				
LAKELAND MEATS, INC.	9853		*				

Done at Washington, D.C., on November 1, 1972.

FRED J. FULLERTON,
Acting Associate Administrator,
Meat and Poultry Inspection Program.

[FR Doc.72-19017 Filed 11-8-72;8:45 am]

Commodity Credit Corporation

[Amdt. 5]

SALES OF CERTAIN COMMODITIES

Monthly Sales List

The CCC monthly sales list for the fiscal year ending June 30, 1973, published in 37 F.R. 13352 is amended as follows:

1. The provisions of section 25 entitled "Rice, rough—unrestricted use sales—FOB warehouse" published in 37 F.R. 13354, as amended in 37 F.R. 15887 and 19389, are deleted.

Effective date: 2:30 p.m., e.s.t., October 31, 1972.

Signed at Washington, D.C., on November 2, 1972.

KENNETH E. FRICK,
Executive Vice President,
Commodity Credit Corporation.

[FR Doc.72-19241 Filed 11-8-72;8:49 am]

DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

Bureau of International Commerce

[Case No. 437]

FELAP G.m.b.H. and KURT BAERHOLD

Order Denying Export Privileges

In the matter of Felap G.m.b.H. (Fabrikation Elektronischer Apparate Und Bauteile) and Kurt Baerhold Furtenbachstrasse 26, 85 Nuernberg-Reichelsdorf, Federal Republic of Germany, Respondent, Case No. 437.

By charging letter dated March 3, 1972, the respondents Felap G.m.b.H. and Kurt Baerhold were charged by the Director, Compliance Division, Office of Export Control, with violations of the regulations issued under the Export Administration Act of 1969. The charging letter was duly served. The respondents replied to the charging letter and requested an oral hearing but they did not answer the allegations of the charging letter in the manner required by § 388.5 of the Export Control Regulations. Their failure to deny or controvert material allegations in the charging letter is deemed an admission of such allegations.

The respondents did not avail themselves of the opportunity to participate in an oral hearing in Washington, D.C., either by personal attendance or through an attorney or other representative. The Hearing Commissioner held an informal hearing on October 19, 1972, without the respondents being present. Evidence in support of the charges was presented on behalf of the Compliance Division.

The Hearing Commissioner considered the evidence which included invoices, shipping documents, a statement signed by Baerhold, and also his reported statements. The Hearing Commissioner submitted to the undersigned a report which summarizes the essential evidence, considers the various charges, and which includes findings of fact and conclusions. He also recommended the sanction that should be imposed.

After considering the record in the case, I adopt the following findings of fact made by the Hearing Commissioner.

FINDINGS OF FACT

1. The respondent Felap G.m.b.H. (acronym for Fabrikation Electronischer Apparate Und Bauteile) located in Nuernberg, Federal Republic of Germany, is dealer and exporter of electronic components and it also manufactures telephone amplifiers, radios, and tape recorders. The respondent Kurt Baerhold is the principal shareholder in the company and is its manager. In the transaction hereinafter described he acted in the name of and on behalf of the company.

2. Under the Foreign-Based Warehouse Procedure of the Export Control Regulations (§ 373.4) the respondent Felap was an approved customer of a Switzerland based distributor of U.S.-origin electronic components and parts, including tubes and semiconductors. The said distributor was a subsidiary of a U.S. exporter. As a prerequisite to obtaining the status as an approved customer, the respondent Baerhold, on behalf of Felap, executed a Multiple Transactions Statement by Customer of Distributor of United States Commodities Stocked Abroad, Form FC-243.

3. In said Form FC-243 the respondents on August 6, 1970, expressly certified and represented: (a) That they would not sell the commodities received under the Foreign-Based Warehouse Procedure outside of the Federal Republic of Germany; (b) that the commodities would be used by Felap in the manufacture of wireless sets in the Federal Republic of Germany; (c) that except as specifically authorized by the U.S. Export Control Regulations they would not reexport or sell such commodities without obtaining prior written approval of the U.S. Government. In reliance on these certifications and representations, the Office of Export Control on September 16, 1970, approved Felap as an FC-243 customer of the above-mentioned distributor.

4. Subsequent to September 16, 1970, Felap made three purchases of semiconductor diodes from the Swiss distributor, which commodities had an aggregate value of approximately $6,700. The invoices from the said distributor to Felap were dated respectively, October 6, 1970, December 9, 1970, and April 27, 1971. The commodities on each occasion were shipped by airfreight from Switzerland to Felap in Nuernberg and were received by it.

5. Each of the invoices mentioned in the previous finding bore the following destination control notice, "These commodities licensed for ultimate destination West Deutschland. Reexport without * * * authorization prohibited."

6. Notwithstanding the certifications and the representations in the Form FC-243 mentioned in Finding 3, and contrary to the prohibition against reexportation appearing on the invoices, the respondents knowingly reexported the diodes from West Germany to Poland without requesting or obtaining prior written approval of the Office of Export Control. By failing to request such approval the Office of Export Control was precluded from determining whether the ultimate consignee should be approved for receipt of the diodes. Based on the foregoing, I have concluded that respondents: (1) Violated § 387.4 of the U.S. Export Control Regulations in that they sold and disposed of U.S.-origin commodities with knowledge that it was a violation of said regulations to do so; (2) violated § 387.6 of said regulations in that without authorization from the Office of Export Control they knowingly reexported U.S.-origin commodities contrary to representations made by them and contrary to notification of prohibition against such reexportation.

The evidence shows that the respondent Baerhold is the sole proprietor of the firm known as "Ing. Kurt Baerhold Industrie-Vertretungen Gross-und Aussenhandel mit Rundfunk-Elektro-und Fernsegeraeten", located in Nuernberg. The name indicates that Baerhold, an engineer, is engaged as a representative in wholesale and export activities for radio, electronic and television equipment. The order issued in this case is also effective against this firm.

Now, after considering the record in the case and the report and recommendation of the Hearing Commissioner and being of the opinion that his recommendation as to the sanction that should be imposed is fair and just and calculated to achieve effective enforcement of the law: *It is hereby ordered,*

I. All outstanding validated export licenses in which respondents appear or participate in any manner or capacity are hereby revoked and shall be returned forthwith to the Bureau of International Commerce for cancellation.

II. Except as qualified in Paragraph IV hereof, the respondents for the period of 5 years are hereby denied all privileges of participating, directly or indirectly, in any manner or capacity, in any transactions involving commodities or technical data exported from the United States in whole or in part, or to be exported, or which are otherwise subject to the Export Control Regulations. Without limitation of the generality of the foregoing, participation prohibited in any such transaction, either in the United States or abroad, shall include participation: (a) As a party or as a representative of a party to any validated export license application; (b) in the preparation or filing of any export license application or reexportation authorization, or document to be submitted therewith; (c) in the obtaining or using of any validated or general export license or other export control documents; (d) in the carrying on of negotiations with respect to, or in the receiving, ordering, buying, selling, delivering, storing, using, or disposing of any commodities or technical data; (e) in the financing, forwarding, transporting, or other servicing of such commodities or technical data.

III. Such denial of export privileges shall extend not only to the respondents,

but also to their successors, representatives, agents, and employees, and also to any person, firm, corporation, or other business organization with which they now or hereafter may be related by affiliation, ownership, control, position of responsibility or other connection in the conduct of trade or services connected therewith. Included within the foregoing is the firm Ing. Kurt Baerhold Industrie-Vertretungen Gross-und Aussenhandel mit Rundfunk-Elektro-und Fernsehgerraeten.

IV. Three years after the effective date of this order the respondents may apply to have the effective denial of their export privileges held in abeyance while they remain on probation. Such applications as may be filed by said respondents shall be supported by evidence showing their compliance with the terms of this order and such disclosure of their import and export transactions as may be necessary to determine their compliance with this order. Such applications will be considered on their merits and in the light of conditions and policies existing at that time. The respondents' export privileges may be restored under such terms and conditions as appear to be appropriate.

V. During the time when the respondents or other parties within the scope of this order are prohibited from engaging in any activity within the scope of Part II hereof, no person, firm, corporation, partnership, or other business organization, whether in the United States or elsewhere, without prior disclosure to and specific authorization from the Bureau of International Commerce, shall do any of the following acts, directly or indirectly, in any manner or capacity, on behalf of or in any association with the respondents or other parties denied export privileges within the scope of this order, or whereby the respondents or such other parties may obtain any benefit therefrom or have any interest or participation therein, directly or indirectly: (a) Apply for, obtain, transfer, or use any license, Shipper's Export Declaration, bill of lading, or other export control document relating to any exportation, reexportation, transshipment, or diversion of any commodity or technical data exported or to be exported from the United States, by, to, or for any such respondents or other person denied export privileges within the scope of this order; or (b) order, buy, receive, use, sell, deliver, store, dispose of, forward, transport, finance or otherwise service or participate in any exportation, reexportation, transshipment, or diversion of any commodity or technical data exported or to be exported from the United States.

Dated: November 1, 1972.

This order shall become effective November 9, 1972.

RAUER H. MEYER,
Director,
Office of Export Control.

[FR Doc.72-19039 Filed 11-8-72;8:45 am]

DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH, EDUCATION, AND WELFARE

Food and Drug Administration

CERTAIN ANTIRHEUMATIC DRUGS

Release of Evaluation Reports; Drugs for Human Use; Drug Efficacy Study Implementation

In a notice published in the FEDERAL REGISTER of September 7, 1972 (37 F.R. 18105) the Commissioner of Food and Drugs announced that he had made available copies of previously unreleased National Academy of Sciences-National Research Council, Drug Efficacy Study Group findings received by the Food and Drug Administration for drugs for human use in the DESI (Drug Efficacy Study Implementation) program.

In addition to the drugs in the original study, three drugs which were not submitted by the NDA holder in 1966 for review by the Academy were referred by the Food and Drug Administration to the Academy for evaluation following the completion of the original study.

Copies of the Academy's findings on these drugs have also been placed on display with the Hearing Clerk, Food and Drug Administration, Room 6-88, 5600 Fishers Lane, Rockville, Md. 20852. They are:

Geigy Pharmaceuticals, Division of Ciba-Geigy Corp., Post Office Box 430, Yonkers, N.Y. 10702.
NDA's:
11735, Sterazolidin, capsules.
12542, Tandearil, tablets.
8319, Butazolidin, tablets.

Copies of the Academy's reports have been furnished to the firm referred to above. Requests for the reports should be directed to the Food and Drug Administration, Drug Efficacy Study Information Control (BD-66), Bureau of Drugs, 5600 Fishers Lane, Rockville, Md. 20852.

This notice is issued pursuant to provisions of the Federal Food, Drug, and Cosmetic Act (secs. 502, 505, 52 Stat. 1052-53, as amended; 21 U.S.C. 352, 355) and under the authority delegated to the Commissioner of Food and Drugs (21 CFR 2.120).

Dated: November 3, 1972.

SAM D. FINE,
Associate Commissioner
for Compliance.

[FR Doc.72-19213 Filed 11-8-72;8:47 am]

[DESI 2282]

NONRADIOPAQUE DIAGNOSTIC DRUGS

Drugs for Human Use; Drug Efficacy Study Implementation; Mannitol Injection

The Food and Drug Administration published an announcement in the FEDERAL REGISTER of August 6, 1971 (36 F.R. 14507), regarding the efficacy of nonradiopaque diagnostic drugs. Based upon a reevaluation, the Commissioner of Food and Drugs finds it appropriate to amend the announcement of August 6, 1971, by the following revisions for Mannitol:

In section I C *Labeling Conditions*, the "Indications" section is changed to read as follows:

INDICATIONS

Mannitol for Urologic Irrigation

Mannitol solution is indicated as an irrigating solution in transurethral prostatic resection.

Mannitol for Intravenous Use Only

a. Therapeutic Use.

Mannitol injection is indicated for:

1. The promotion of diuresis, in the prevention and/or treatment of the oliguric phase of acute renal failure before irreversible renal failure becomes established.
2. The reduction of intracranial pressure and treatment of cerebral edema by reducing brain mass.
3. The reduction of elevated intraocular pressure when the pressure cannot be lowered by other means.
4. Promoting the urinary excretion of toxic substances.

b. Diagnostic Use.

Measurement of glomerular filtration rate.

(Labeling guidelines for the drug are available from the Administration on request.)

Holders of approved new drug applications are requested to submit supplements for revised labeling, as needed, within 60 days following publication hereof in the FEDERAL REGISTER. The supplement should be submitted under the provisions of section 130.9 (d) and (e) of the new drug regulations (21 CFR 130.9 (d) and (e)) which permit certain changes to be put into effect at the earliest possible time. The revised labeling should be put into use within the 60-day period.

This notice is issued pursuant to provisions of the Federal Food, Drug, and Cosmetic Act (secs. 502, 505, 52 Stat. 1050-53, as amended; 21 U.S.C. 352, 355) and under authority delegated to the Commissioner of Food and Drugs (21 CFR 2.120).

Dated: November 2, 1972.

SAM D. FINE,
Associate Commissioner
for Compliance.

[FR Doc.72-19214 Filed 11-8-72;8:47 am]

Health Services and Mental Health Administration

REGIONAL HEALTH ADVISORY COMMITTEE (REGION VII) AND MATERNAL AND CHILD HEALTH SERVICE RESEARCH GRANTS REVIEW COMMITTEE

Notice of Public Meeting and Correction

Pursuant to Executive Order 11671, the Administrator, Health Services and Mental Health Administration, announces the meeting date and other re-

quired information for the following National Advisory body scheduled to assemble the month of November 1972, in accordance with provisions set forth in section 13(a) (1) and (2) of that Executive order:

Committee name, date, time, place, type of meeting and/or contact person

Regional Health Advisory Committee, Region VII; November 30, 9 a.m., Glenwood Manor, Overland Park, Kans.; Open, Contact Mrs. Jean Glenn, Room 536B, New Federal Building, 601 East 12th Street, Kansas City, MO, Code 816—374–3491.

Purpose: The Committee is charged with assisting the Regional Office in issue identification and provides a new viewpoint for the interface of Federal, State and local efforts in the Health Service field in the States of Iowa, Kansas, Missouri, and Nebraska.

Agenda: Agenda items will cover the Committee's report on Emergency Medical Services, State Plans, Education of Health Professionals, and other appropriate programmatic issues.

Items for discussion are subject to change due to priorities as directed by the President of the United States, or the Secretary of Health, Education, and Welfare.

A roster of members may be obtained from the contact person listed above.

Correction. In F.R. Doc. 72–18560 appearing at page 23120 in the issue for Saturday, October 28, 1972, the name of the last committee mentioned on page 23121, "Maternal and Child Health Service Research," should read "Maternal and Child Health Service Research Grants Review Committee."

Dated: November 3, 1972.

ANDREW J. CARDINAL,
Associate Administrator for Management, Health Services and Mental Health Administration.

[FR Doc.72–19229 Filed 11–8–72;8:48 am]

National Institutes of Health

NATIONAL CANCER ADVISORY BOARD

Notice of Public Meeting

Pursuant to Executive Order 11671, notice is hereby given of the meeting of the National Cancer Advisory Board, November 20, 1972, at 2 p.m., National Institutes of Health, Building 31, Conference Room 6. Agenda items will include reports on the cancer control program; the National Clearinghouse for Smoking and Health; plans for public affairs; the NCI Grants-Contracts mechanism; and preparation of reports to the President and Congress. This meeting will be open to the public from 2 p.m., November 20, and closed to the public from 9 a.m., November 22 through adjournment, to review, discuss, and evaluate and/or rank grant applications in accordance with section 13(d) of Executive Order 11671 and the Secretary's determination. Subject to the availability of space any interested person may attend the open portion of the meeting on a first come, first serve, basis.

Name of the person from whom rosters of Board members and/or summary of the meeting may be obtained:

Mrs. Marjorie F. Early, National Cancer Institute Building 31, Room 10A16, Bethesda, Md. 20014.

Dated: November 1, 1972.

JOHN F. SHERMAN,
Deputy Director, NIH.

[FR Doc.72–19193 Filed 11–8–72; 8:47 am]

NATIONAL CANCER ADVISORY BOARD SUBCOMMITTEES

Notice of Meetings

Pursuant to Executive Order 11671 notice is hereby given of meetings of the following subcommittees of the National Cancer Advisory Board and the executive secretary from whom summaries of meetings may be obtained.

Subcommittee, date, time, and location

Diagnosis and Treatment; November 20, 1972; 9 a.m.; National Institutes of Health, Building 31, Conference Room 4.

Carcinogenesis and Prevention; November 20, 1972; 9 a.m.; National Institutes of Health, Building 31, Conference Room 9.

These meetings shall be closed to the public in accordance with section 13(d) of Executive Order 11671 and the Secretary's determination, in order to review, discuss, and evaluate and/or rank grant applications.

Name of executive secretary from whom summaries of meetings may be obtained:

Dr. John T. Kalberer, Jr., National Cancer Institute, Building 31, Room 10A04A, Bethesda, Md. 20014.

Dated: November 1, 1972.

JOHN F. SHERMAN,
Deputy Director, NIH.

[FR Doc.72–19194 Filed 11–8–72;8:47 am]

Office of the Secretary

ADVISORY COMMITTEE ON THE RIGHTS AND RESPONSIBILITIES OF WOMEN, SUBCOMMITTEE ON HEALTH

Notice of Public Meeting

The Secretary's Advisory Committee on the Rights and Responsibilities of Women, Subcommittee on Health, which was established to review the policies, programs, and activities of the Department of Health, Education, and Welfare relative to health problems of women, make recommendations to the Secretary through the Committee, and continually determine how health programs can be better developed, implemented, and delivered to women will meet on Friday, November 10, at 815 21st Street NW., Room 21, from 11:30 a.m. to 8 p.m. This meeting will be open for public observation. The subcommittee will discuss health policies and problems as they relate to women in society and in HEW.

Dated: November 6, 1972.

FLORENCE J. HICKS,
Executive Director, Secretary's Advisory Committee on the Rights and Responsibilities of Women.

[FR Doc.72–19331 Filed 11–8–72;8:55 am]

ADVISORY COMMITTEE ON THE RIGHTS AND RESPONSIBILITIES OF WOMEN, SUBCOMMITTEE ON INTERNAL AFFAIRS

Notice of Public Meeting

The Secretary's Advisory Committee on the Rights and Responsibilities of Women, Subcommittee on Internal Affairs (HEW Policies and Employment) which was established to review the policies, programs, and activities of the Department of Health, Education, and Welfare relative to internal policies affecting women and in particular employment, make recommendations to the Secretary through the Committee, and continually evaluate these policies, programs, and activities as they relate to women will meet on Friday, November 10, from 9 a.m. to 5 p.m. in Room 3169 HEW North Building. This subcommittee will discuss HEW policies and employment as they relate to women. This meeting is open for public observation.

Dated: November 6, 1972.

FLORENCE J. HICKS,
Executive Director, Secretary's Advisory Committee on the Rights and Responsibilities of Women.

[FR Doc.72–19332 Filed 11–8–72;8:55 am]

DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION

Coast Guard

[CGD 72–216N]

CHEMICAL TRANSPORTATION INDUSTRY ADVISORY COMMITTEE

Notice of Open Meeting

This is to give notice pursuant to Executive Order 11671, section 13(a), dated June 5, 1972, that the Chemical Transportation Industry Advisory Committee to the Marine Safety Council, U.S. Coast Guard, will conduct an open meeting on Tuesday, November 21, 1972, at U.S. Coast Guard Headquarters, 400 Seventh Street SW., Washington, DC 20590, beginning at 9:30 a.m. in room 8332.

Members of the committee and their industry positions are as follows:

Mr. Robert K. Gregg, Chairman, Regulations Supervisor, Dow Chemical, U.S.A.

Mr. Malcolm M. Anderson, Assistant to Director-Distribution Operations, Chemicals

& Plastics Distribution Department, Union Carbide Corp.

Mr. A. C. Clark, Assistant Technical Director, Chemical Transportation & Distribution, Manufacturing Chemists Association.

Mr. William A. Creelman, President, National Marine Service, Inc.

Mr. Roland V. Danielson, Assistant Manager, Ship Development & Sales, Bethlehem Steel Corp.

Mr. Charles H. Erikson, Jr., General Manager, Hendy International Co.

Mr. George W. Feldmann, Principal Marine Engineer, Physical Distribution Planning Division, Traffic Department, E. I. du Pont de Nemours & Co., Inc.

Mr. Robert D. Goldbach, Vice President, Engineering, Marine Transport Lines, Inc.

Mr. William L. Hammond, Manager, of Distribution Operations, PPG Industries, Inc.

Mr. Charles F. Lehman, Assistant Vice President, American Commercial Barge Line Co.

Mr. Semond Levitt, Containerization Consultant.

Capt. Archibald H. McComb, Jr., Marine Assistant to Director of Transportation, American Petroleum Institute.

Mr. Lawrence W. Mottley, Corporate Manager of Traffic, Inmont Corp.

Mr. Phillip Neal, Safety Advisor, Marine Transportation Department, Mobil Oil Corp.

Mr. Walter G. Neal, Jr., Project Engineer, Keystone Shipping Co.

Mr. Alexander Delli Paoli, Manager of Design, Standard Oil Company of New Jersey, Esso International Tankers.

Mr. Robert J. Patrick, Vice President, St. Louis Ship.

Mr. James J. Scott, Jr., Chief Engineer, South Carolina State Ports Authority.

Mr. Jake J. Simmons III, Vice President, Amerada Hess Corp.

Mr. Gerald L. Spaeth, President, Bulk Terminals Co.

Mr. Edward C. Sumner, Manager, Marine Development, Shell Oil Co.

Mr. Robert J. Wheeler, Manager, Marine Transportation, Phillips Petroleum Co.

The agenda for the November 21st meeting consists of the following:

1. Call to Order and Introductions.
2. Opening Remarks by Commandant.
3. Functions and Procedures of Committee.
4. Appointment of Vice Chairman and Secretary.
5. Remarks by Coast Guard on Ports and Waterways Safety Act of 1972.
6. Report of Task Group on Transportable Tanks.
7. Report of Task Group on LPG/LNG Regulations.
8. Establishment of New Subcommittees and Task Groups.
   (a) Tankerman Examinations (Task Group).
   (b) Port Safety (Subcommittee).
   (c) Subchapter O Revisions (Subcommittee).
   (d) IMCO Hazard Grouping Evaluation (Task Group).
   (e) Liaison with National Offshore Operations Industry Advisory Committee (Task Group).
   (f) Future Tasks.
9. Other subjects of interest.
10. Next meeting.
11. Adjournment.

The Treasury Department first established the Chemical Transportation Advisory Panel on May 4, 1949, "to act as an advisory body on matters concerned with bulk transportation of chemicals or hazardous cargo." The Secretary of Transportation on June 11, 1971, (1) revised the title of the Chemical Transportation Advisory Panel to read Chemical Transportation Industry Advisory Committee, and (2) established the committee for the 2-year period ending June 30, 1973. The Secretary of Transportation approved members for the Committee and the Commandant, U.S. Coast Guard, sent letters of appointment on June 30, 1972. Members of the committee serve without compensation from the Federal Government, either travel or per diem. The committee has not met since the appointment of members.

Interested persons may request additional information concerning the November 21 meeting and other matters relating to the Chemical Transportation Industry Advisory Committee by writing Capt. D. H. Clifton, Executive Secretary, Marine Safety Council, U.S. Coast Guard Headquarters (GCMC/82), 400 Seventh Street SW., Washington, DC 20590, or by calling 202—426-1477.

Dated: November 3, 1972.

G. H. READ,
Captain, U.S. Coast Guard, Acting Chief, Office of Merchant Marine Safety.

[FR Doc.72-19268 Filed 11-8-72;8:51 am]

ATOMIC ENERGY COMMISSION

[Docket No. 50-366]

GEORGIA POWER CO.

Order Changing Place of Hearing Conference on Environmental Issues

In the matter of Georgia Power Company (Edwin I. Hatch, Unit 2).

Notice is hereby given that the hearing on the environmental issues, which was formerly scheduled to be held in the James Forrestal Building, will be held on November 17, 1972, at 10 a.m. local time, in Suite 500, 2000 L Street NW., Washington, DC 20268.

All members of the public are entitled to attend the hearing.

It is so ordered.

Issued at Washington, D.C., this 7th day of November 1972.

ATOMIC SAFETY AND LICENSING BOARD,
ELIZABETH S. BOWERS,
Chairman.

[FR Doc.72-19333 Filed 11-8-72;8:55 am]

[License No. 04-14886-01E]

HOCHIKI-AMERICA CORP.

Notice of Issuance of Byproduct Material License

Please take notice that the Atomic Energy Commission has, pursuant to § 32.26 of 10 CFR Part 32, issued License No. 04-14886-01E to Hochiki-America Corp., 21804 Belshire Avenue, Hawaiian Gardens, CA 90716, which authorizes the distribution of Model PID-B fire detectors to persons exempt from the requirements for a license pursuant to § 30.20 of 10 CFR Part 30.

1. The devices are designed to detect incipient fires by responding to the products of combustion produced by thermal decomposition of building materials or contents prior to the appearance of visible smoke, flame, or appreciable heat. The sensitive element of the detector is an ionization chamber in which air flowing into the chamber is made conductive by alpha particles emitted by americium 241.

2. The byproduct material incorporated in the detector is americium in the oxide form contained in foils manufactured by the Radiochemical Centre (Model AMMQ 958). The maximum activity contained in the unit is 0.96 microcurie.

3. Each exempt unit will have a label identifying the manufacturer (Hochiki-America Corp.) and the byproduct material (americium 241) contained in the unit and recommending that the unit be returned to the Hochiki-America Corp. for repair or disposal.

A copy of the license and a safety evaluation containing additional information, prepared by the Directorate of Licensing, are available for public inspection at the Commission's Public Document Room at 1717 H Street NW., Washington, DC.

For the Atomic Energy Commission.

Dated at Bethesda, Md. this 1st day of November 1972.

S. H. SMILEY,
Deputy Director for Fuels & Materials, Directorate of Licensing.

[FR Doc.72-19218 Filed 11-8-72;8:47 am]

[Docket No. 50-16]

POWER REACTOR DEVELOPMENT CO.

Notice of Reconstitution of Board

In the matter of Power Reactor Development Company (Enrico Fermi Atomic Power Plant No. 1).

Mr. Robert L. Zanetell was previously appointed by the Commission as a member of the Atomic Safety and Licensing Board for the above proceeding. The Commission has appointed Mr. Robert M. Underhill to replace Mr. Zanetell on this Board.

Mr. Underhill, retired, was formerly vice president and business manager of the University of California, Berkeley, Calif. His mailing address is 5700 Mira Vista Avenue, Apartment 205, Oakland, CA 94610.

Dated at Washington, D.C. this 3d day of November 1972.

JAMES R. YORE,
Executive Secretary, Atomic Safety and Licensing Board Panel.

[FR Doc.72-19233 Filed 11-8-72;8:49 am]

[License No. 05–13943–01E]

STATITROL CORP.

Notice of Issuance of Amendment of Byproduct Material License

Please take notice that the Atomic Energy Commission has, pursuant to § 32.26 of 10 CFR Part 32, issued Amendment No. 4 to License No. 05–13943–01E to Statitrol Corp., 140 South Union Boulevard, Lakewood, CO 80228, which authorizes the distribution of Models 104–050, 104–051, and 102–050 ionization fire detectors to persons exempt from the requirements for a license pursuant to § 30.20 of 10 CFR Part 30.

1. The devices are designed to detect incipient fires by responding to the products of combustion produced by thermal decomposition of building materials or contents prior to the appearance of visible smoke, flame, or appreciable heat. The sensitive element of the detector is an ionization chamber in which air flowing into the chamber is made conductive by alpha particles emitted by americium 241.

2. The byproduct material incorporated in the detector is americium in the oxide form contained in foils manufactured by Nuclear Radiation Developments (Model A–001) or by the Radiochemical Centre (Model AMM). The maximum activity contained in the unit is 1.3 microcuries.

3. Each exempt unit will have a label identifying the manufacturer (Statitrol Corp.) and the byproduct material (americium 241) contained in the unit and recommending that the unit be returned to the Statitrol Corp. for repair or disposal.

A copy of the amended license and a safety evaluation containing additional information, prepared by the Directorate of Licensing, are available for public inspection at the Commission's Public Document Room at 1717 H Street NW., Washington, DC.

For the Atomic Energy Commission.

Dated at Bethesda, Md., November 1, 1972.

S. H. SMILEY,
Deputy Director for Fuels & Materials, Directorate of Licensing.

[FR Doc.72–19217 Filed 11–8–72;8:47 am]

[Docket No. 50–363]

JERSEY CENTRAL POWER & LIGHT CO.

Notice and Order for Special Prehearing Conference

In the matter of Jersey Central Power & Light Co., (Forked River Nuclear Generating Station, Unit No. 1), Docket No. 50–363.

Take notice, that pursuant to the Atomic Energy Commission's "Notice of Hearing on a Facility Operating License," dated August 16, 1972, and in accordance with § 2.751a of said Commission's rules of practice, a special prehearing conference will be held in the subject proceeding on November 28, 1972, at 10 a.m. local time, in the Commissioners Board Room (Room 223), Courthouse (west wing), Washington Street, Toms River, N.J.

The special prehearing conference will deal with the following matters:

1. Identification and simplification of the issues;

2. Outstanding petitions for intervention;

3. Establishment of schedules for further action;

4. Need for discovery, and the time required for such discovery;

5. Motions to be addressed to the Atomic Safety and Licensing Board (Board);

6. Procedures, including rules of evidence, to be followed in the presentation of evidence at the actual evidentiary hearings; and

7. Such other matters as may aid in the orderly disposition of the instant proceeding.

The attorneys for the respective parties are hereby directed to confer in advance of the special prehearing conference and report to the Board at the time of said conference on the prospects of:

1. Settlement;

2. A stipulation of the matters in controversy;

3. A stipulation or statement of the uncontested facts.

At the special prehearing conference, the Board will hear oral argument on the outstanding petitions to intervene. The petitioners, as well as the parties, will be permitted to be heard in this regard.

In addition, the Board will hear oral argument by the parties regarding the following issues:

1. Associations who have petitioned to intervene should be prepared to identify their members by name and address who contend their interest will be affected by the proposed Forked River Nuclear Plant. They should also be prepared to state with specificity at the prehearing conference in what respect their interests will be affected.

2. The scope of the National Environmental Policy Act review to be made by the Board in light of the Commission's notice of hearing and the recently enacted Federal Water Pollution Control Act Amendments of 1972. As part of this discussion, the Board will require data as to any enacted New Jersey water quality standards or effluent limitations which have been federally approved, and which might be applicable to the Forked River Unit.

Issued at Washington, D.C., this 7th day of November 1972.

It is so ordered.

THE ATOMIC SAFETY AND LICENSING BOARD,
ELIZABETH S. BOWERS,
Chairman.

[FR Doc.72–19357 Filed 11–8–72;8:47 am]

CIVIL AERONAUTICS BOARD

MONTANA AERONAUTICS COMMISSION

Notice of Meeting

Notice is hereby given that a meeting with the above Commission will be held on November 28, 1972, at 2:30 p.m. (local time) in Room 1027, Universal Building, 1825 Connecticut Avenue NW., Washington, DC, to discuss air service needs in Montana.

Dated at Washington, D.C., November 6, 1972.

[SEAL] HARRY J. ZINK,
Secretary.

[FR Doc. 72–19285 Filed 11–8–72;8:53 am]

[Docket No. 24801]

NORDAIR LTEE.—NORDAIR LTD.

Notice of Postponement of Prehearing Conference

Renewal and amendment of Foreign Air Carrier permit. Charter flights between Canada and United States and between the United States and any point in a country other than Canada.

Notice is hereby given that, at the request of applicant Nordair Ltee.—Nordair Ltd., the prehearing conference in the above-entitled matter has been postponed from November 20, 1972 (37 F.R. 23123, October 28, 1972), to December 1, 1972, at 10 a.m. (local time) in Room 503, Universal Building, 1825 Connecticut Avenue NW., Washington, DC.

Dated at Washington, D.C., November 1, 1972.

[SEAL] HARRY H. SCHNEIDER,
Administrative Law Judge.

[FR Doc.72–19286 Filed 11–8–72;8:53 am]

[Docket No. 24717; Order 72–11–17]

U.S. CERTIFICATED CARRIERS

Order Granting Motion Regarding Amenities and Services for Delayed Passengers

Adopted by the Civil Aeronautics Board at its office in Washington, D.C. on 3d day of November 1972.

By motion filed October 11, 1972, Wien Consolidated Airlines, Inc. (Wien), requests that the Board dismiss it as a party to this proceeding. Wien had filed a similar motion on September 8, 1972, indicating that its rules governing amenities and services for delayed passengers were detailed in its tariffs and such provisions did not discriminate as to class of passenger. The carrier also stated that Order 72–9–1, dated September 1, 1972, which instituted this proceeding, did not include Wien's tariffs as being subject to this investigation.

Wien's motion was denied by Order 72–9–97, dated September 26, 1972. The

omission of Wien's tariff provisions in Appendix A of Order 72–9–1 was an inadvertence which was corrected by Order 72–9–97. In denying the motion, we also noted that Wien's tariff provisions governing the availability of complimentary services to delayed passengers denied such amenities to standby passengers who had been cleared for boarding. Since standby passengers, once they are cleared for boarding, are generally treated similarly to other passengers in the same class of service, this distinction on its face appeared questionable. In its renewed motion, Wien states that its tariff rules have now been amended; and, in the case of interrupted flights, standby passengers cleared for boarding will be entitled to the same amenities and services as passengers holding confirmed reservations.

Wien's motion will be granted. As noted in its motion, Wien's tariff provisions no longer contain the infirmities which are of concern in this investigation. Wien advises travelers whose trips have been interrupted that various courtesy services are made available to them and does not distinguish among first class, coach, and standby passengers who have been cleared for boarding in the courtesy services made available.

Accordingly, pursuant to the Federal Aviation Act of 1958, and particularly sections 204(a), 403, 404, and 1002 thereof:

It is ordered, That:

The motion of Wien Consolidated Airlines, Inc., to be dismissed as a party to Docket 24717 is hereby granted.

This order shall be published in the FEDERAL REGISTER.

By the Civil Aeronautics Board.

[SEAL] HARRY J. ZINK,
Secretary.

[FR Doc.72–19287 Filed 11–8–72;8:53 am]

FEDERAL POWER COMMISSION

NATIONAL POWER SURVEY COORDINATING COMMITTEE

Order Designating Initial Membership and Chairmanship

NOVEMBER 2, 1972.

The Federal Power Commission hereby determines that the establishment of the National Power Survey Coordinating Committee is in the public interest, and necessary and appropriate for the purposes of the Federal Power Act, 16 U.S.C. 791(a) et seq., and the Commission establishes this Committee in accordance with the provisions of the Commission's order issued June 29, 1972, 37 F.R. 13380—Order Authorizing the Establishment of National Power Survey Advisory Committees and Prescribing Procedures—and the provisions of this order.

1. *Purpose.* The National Power Survey Coordinating Committee shall perform a liaison function with respect to the National Power Survey as constituted by the Federal Power Commission, together with all advisory committees, including any task forces thereto, which may be established by the Commission from time-to-time. The Commission contemplates that the National Power Survey Coordinating Committee, in performing this liaison function will assist in the implementation of requests for data, information, studies, or other materials requested or recommended by the National Power Survey, and its constituent advisory committees, including task forces thereto, as referred to above; will recommend work schedule assignments and work schedule priorities among such National Power Survey advisory committees, including task forces, as the Coordinating Committee considers appropriate to the implementation of requests for data, information, studies, or other materials requested or recommended by the National Power Survey; will recommend assignments to various National Power Survey advisory committees, including task forces thereto, relative to the collection and collation of data, information, studies, or other materials requested or recommended by the National Power Survey; and will assist in other ways as it may be called upon to act in performing its liaison function as requested from time-to-time by the Commission or its staff.

2. *Membership.* The chairman, coordinating representatives, secretary, and members of the National Power Survey Coordinating Committee, as selected by the Chairman of the Commission, with the approval of the Commission, are designated in the appendix hereto.

3. *Selection of future Committee members.* All future National Power Survey Coordinating Committee members and persons designated to act as committee chairman, coordinating representatives, and secretary, shall be selected and designated by the Chairman of the Commission with the approval of the Commission; provided, however, the Chairman of the Commission may select and designate additional persons to serve in the capacity of alternate secretary.

4. The following paragraphs of the aforementioned Commission order, issued June 29, 1972, are hereby incorporated by reference:

3. Conduct of meetings.
4. Minutes and records.
5. Secretary of the Committee.
6. Location and time of meetings.
7. Advice and recommendations offered by the Committee.
8. Duration of the Committee.

The Secretary of the Commission shall cause prompt publication of this order to be made in the FEDERAL REGISTER.

By the Commission.

[SEAL] KENNETH F. PLUMB,
Secretary.

APPENDIX

NATIONAL POWER SURVEY COORDINATING COMMITTEE

Chairman: Shearon Harris, Chairman, National Power Survey Executive Advisory Committee.

Coordinating representatives:

B E Biggerstaff, Bureau of Power.
Bernard B. Chew, Bureau of Power.
Alexander Gakner, Bureau of Power.
Dr. Richard F. Hill, Officer of Environmental Quality.
Drexel D. Journey, Office of the General Counsel.
William W. Lindsay, Bureau of Power.

Secretary: Daniel G. Lewis, Director, National Power Survey.

Members:

Gordon R. Corey, Chairman, National Power Survey Technical Advisory Committee on Finance.
M. F. Hebb, Chairman, National Power Survey Technical Advisory Committee on Power Supply.
Paul D. Martinka, Chairman, National Power Survey Technical Advisory Committee on Fuels.
Dr. Bruce Netschert, Chairman, National Power Survey Technical Advisory Committee on Conservation of Energy.
Dr. H. Guyford Stever, Chairman, National Power Survey Technical Advisory Committee on Research and Development.

[FR Doc.72–19276 Filed 11–8–72;8:52 am]

NATIONAL POWER SURVEY TECHNICAL ADVISORY COMMITTEE ON FUELS TASK FORCE—ADMINISTRATIVE

Order Designating Initial Membership and Chairmanship

NOVEMBER 2, 1972.

The Federal Power Commission hereby determines that the establishment of the National Power Survey Technical Advisory Committee on Fuels Task Force—Administrative, as identified hereinafter, is in the public interest and necessary and appropriate for the purposes of the Federal Power Act, 16 U.S.C. 791(a) et seq., and the Commission establishes this task force in accordance with the provisions of the Commission's order issued June 29, 1972, 37 F.R. 13380—Order Authorizing the Establishment of National Power Survey Advisory Committees and Prescribing Procedures, the Commission's order issued September 28, 1972, 37 F.R. 20999—Order Establishing National Power Survey Technical Advisory Committees and Designating Initial Membership and Chairmanship—and the provisions of this order.

1. *Purpose.* The purposes of the National Power Survey Technical Advisory Committee on Fuels Task Force—Administrative are to recommend a structure and schedule for the Technical Advisory Committee on Fuels report; coordinate Committee work, monitor preparation and review of the Committee report; and furnish such other assistance to the Committee as may be requested. The National Power Survey Task Force established herein is organizationally subordinate to the National Power Survey Technical Advisory Committee on Fuels.

2. *Membership.* The chairman, coordinating representatives, secretaries, and members of the National Power Survey Technical Advisory Committee on

Fuels Task Force—Administrative, as selected by the Chairman of the Commission, with the approval of the Commission, are designated in the appendix hereto.

3. *Selection of future Committee members.* All future National Power Survey Technical Advisory Committee on Fuels Task Force—Administrative members and persons designated to act as committee chairman, coordinating representatives, and secretaries, shall be selected and designated by the Chairman of the Commission with the approval of the Commission; provided, however, the Chairman of the Commission may select and designate additional persons to serve in the capacity of alternate secretary.

4. The following paragraphs of the Commission order issued June 29, 1972, are hereby incorporated by reference:

3. Conduct of meetings.
4. Minutes and records.
5. Secretary of the Committee.
6. Location and time of meetings.
7. Advice and recommendations offered by the Committee.
8. Duration of the Committee.

The Secretary of the Commission shall cause prompt publication of this order to be made in the FEDERAL REGISTER.

By the Commission.

[SEAL] KENNETH F. PLUMB, *Secretary.*

APPENDIX

NATIONAL POWER SURVEY TECHNICAL ADVISORY COMMITTEE ON FUELS TASK FORCE—ADMINISTRATIVE

Chairman: Paul D. Martinka, Vice President, Coal Supply, American Electric Power Service Corp.

Coordinating representatives:
Alexander Gakner, Bureau of Power.
Warren Morrison, Office of Economics.

Secretary: C. W. Lines, Bureau of Power.

Alternate secretary: Lawrence J. Prete, Bureau of Power.

Members:
Dr. James E. Connor, Director, Office of Plans and Analysis, Atomic Energy Commission.
Hollis M. Dole, Assistant Secretary, Mineral Resources, Department of the Interior.
Joseph Padgett, Director of Strategies and Air Standards Division, Environmental Protection Agency.
W. T. Robertson, Manager, Fuel Purchases, Duke Power Co.
Dr. Otto H. Zinke, Professor of Physics, University of Arkansas.

[FR Doc.72-19275 Filed 11-8-72;8:52 am]

[Docket No. CI73-312]

ATLANTIC RICHFIELD CO.

Notice of Application

NOVEMBER 7, 1972.

Take notice that on October 30, 1972, Atlantic Richfield Co. (Applicant), Post Office Box 2819, Dallas, TX 75221, filed in Docket No. CI73-312 an application pursuant to section 7(c) of the Natural Gas Act for a certificate of public convenience and necessity authorizing the sale for resale and delivery of natural gas in interstate commerce to Texas Eastern Transmission Corp. from formations down to 1,600 feet underlying acreage in the Rhode Ranch Field, McMullen County, Tex., all as more fully set forth in the application which is on file with the Commission and open to public inspection.

Applicant states that it commenced the sale of natural gas on October 12, 1972, within the contemplation of § 157.29 of the regulations under the Natural Gas Act (18 CFR 157.29) and that it proposes to continue said sale for 1 year from the end of the 60-day emergency period within the contemplation of section 2.70 of the Commission's general policy and interpretations (18 CFR 2.70). Applicant proposes to sell up to 4,000 Mcf of gas per day, plus additional volumes which may be available and for which pipeline capacity exists, at 35 cents per Mcf at 14.65 p.s.i.a.

It appears reasonable and consistent with the public interest in this case to prescribe a period shorter than 15 days for the filing of protests and petitions to intervene. Therefore, any person desiring to be heard or to make any protest with reference to said application should on or before November 17, 1972, file with the Federal Power Commission, Washington, DC 20426, a petition to intervene or a protest in accordance with the requirements of the Commission's rules of practice and procedure (18 CFR 1.8 or 1.10). All protests filed with the Commission will be considered by it in determining the appropriate action to be taken but will not serve to make the protestants parties to the proceeding. Any person wishing to become a party to a proceeding or to participate as a party in any hearing therein must file a petition to intervene in accordance with the Commission's rules.

Take further notice that, pursuant to the authority contained in and subject to the jurisdiction conferred upon the Federal Power Commission by sections 7 and 15 of the Natural Gas Act and the Commission's rules of practice and procedure, a hearing will be held without further notice before the Commision on this application if no petition to intervene is filed within the time required herein, if the Commission on its own review of the matter finds that a grant of the certificate is required by the public convenience and necessity. If a petition for leave to intervene is timely filed, or if the Commission on its own motion believes that a formal hearing is required, further notice of such hearing will be duly given.

Under the procedure herein provided for, unless otherwise advised, it will be unnecessary for Applicant to appear or be represented at the hearing.

KENNETH F. PLUMB, *Secretary.*

[FR Doc.72-19328 Filed 11-8-72;8:55 am]

[Docket No. E-7765]

BOISE CASCADE CORP.

Notice of Application

NOVEMBER 3, 1972.

Take notice that Boise Cascade Corp. (Applicant), incorporated under the laws of the State of Delaware with its principal place of business at Boise, Idaho, has filed an application in Docket No. E-7765 for a permit, pursuant to Executive Order No. 10485, dated September 3, 1953 (3 CFR, 1949-1953 Comp., p. 970), for the construction, operation, maintenance, and connection at the international border between the United States and Canada of certain facilities for the transmission of electric energy between the United States and Canada.

According to the application, a 120,000 volt overhead transmission line is proposed for construction over the Rainy River and across the United States-Canadian border between International Falls, Minn., and Fort Frances, Province of Ontario, Canada. In general, the proposed line will extend between the pulp, paper, and insulation board mill of Applicant located at International Falls and the pulp and paper mill of Applicant's subsidiary, The Ontario-Minnesota Pulp and Paper Co. Ltd. (Canadian Subsidiary) located at Fort Frances. The proposed line will be constructed and operated for the purpose of exporting electric energy from Fort Frances to International Falls. The electric transmission facilities for which Applicant seeks a permit will consist of that portion of the proposed line situated on the U.S. side of the aforementioned international border. Canadian Subsidiary will construct and operate the Canadian portion of the proposed line.

The electric energy exported from Fort Frances over the proposed 120,000 volt transmission line will be sold and delivered by Canadian Subsidiary to Applicant in accordance with the terms and conditions and at the rates set forth in the export sale agreement dated May 15, 1970, between Canadian Subsidiary and Applicant, a copy of which was filed as an exhibit to the application. The energy purchased and received from Canadian Subsidiary will be utilized by Applicant for its manufacturing operations at International Falls.

Any person desiring to be heard or to make any protest with reference to said application should on or before November 24, 1972, file with the Federal Power Commission, Washington, DC 20426, petitions to intervene or protests in accordance with the requirements of the Commission's rules of practice and procedure (18 CFR 1.8 or 1.10). All protests filed with the Commission will be considered by it in determining the appropriate action to be taken but will not serve to make the protestants parties to the proceeding. Persons wishing to become parties to a proceeding or to participate as a party in any hearing therein must file petitions to intervene in accordance with the Commission's rules. The application is on file with the Commission and available for public inspection.

KENNETH F. PLUMB, *Secretary.*

[FR Doc.72-19277 Filed 11-8-72;8:52 am]

[Docket No. CI73-310]

KERR-McGEE CORP.

Notice of Application

NOVEMBER 6, 1972.

Take notice that on October 30, 1972, Kerr-McGee Corp. (Applicant), Kerr-McGee Building, Oklahoma City, Okla. 73102, filed in Docket No. CI73-310 an application pursuant to section 7(c) of the Natural Gas Act for a certificate of public convenience and necessity authorizing the sale for resale and delivery of natural gas in interstate commerce to Panhandle Eastern Pipe Line Co., from the Hobart Ranch Field, Hemphill County, Tex., all as more fully set forth in the application which is on file with the Commission and open to public inspection.

Applicant proposes to sell an average daily maximum of 2,116 Mcf of gas at 35 cents per Mcf at 14.65 p.s.i.a., subject to upward and downward B.t.u. adjustment, for 1 year within the contemplation of § 2.70 of the Commission's general policy and interpretations (18 CFR 2.70). Initial upward B.t.u. adjustment is estimated at 5.25 cents per Mcf.

It appears reasonable and consistent with the public interest in this case to prescribe a period shorter than 15 days for the filing of protests and petitions to intervene. Therefore, any person desiring to be heard or to make any protest with reference to said application should on or before November 16, 1972, file with the Federal Power Commission, Washington, D.C. 20426, a petition to intervene or a protest in accordance with the requirements of the Commission's rules of practice and procedure (18 CFR 1.8 or 1.10). All protests filed with the Commission will be considered by it in determining the appropriate action to be taken but will not serve to make the protestants parties to the proceeding. Any person wishing to become a party to a proceeding or to participate as a party in any hearing therein must file a petition to intervene in accordance with the Commission's rules.

Take further notice that, pursuant to the authority contained in and subject to the jurisdiction conferred upon the Federal Power Commission by sections 7 and 15 of the Natural Gas Act and the Commission's rules of practice and procedure, a hearing will be held without further notice before the Commission on this application if no petition to intervene is filed within the time required herein, if the Commission on its own review of the matter finds that a grant of the certificate is required by the public convenience and necessity. If a petition for leave to intervene is timely filed, or if the Commission on its own motion believes that formal hearing is required, further notice of such hearing will be duly given.

Under the procedure herein provided for, unless otherwise advised, it will be unnecessary for Applicant to appear or be represented at the hearing.

KENNETH F. PLUMB,
Secretary.

[FR Doc.72-19185 Filed 11-8-72;8:46 am]

[Docket No. E-7787]

MAINE YANKEE ATOMIC POWER CO.

Notice of and Order Accepting Initial Rate Schedule for Filing With Condition

NOVEMBER 6, 1972.

On October 10, 1972, Maine Yankee Atomic Power Co. (Maine Yankee) tendered for filing a proposed initial rate schedule consisting of a Composite Power Contract dated as of May 20, 1968, between Maine Yankee and 11 utility company customers with provisions for service to be rendered to additional customers as the volume of service increases.

The company's transmittal letter states that:

> The proposed rate is to be based upon the total costs of operation of the unit except that prior to commercial operation incidental power produced intermittently in connection with final testing and preliminary operation will be delivered to the purchasers at 3.75 mills.

The proposed effective date is November 10, 1972, or such earlier date as deliveries may begin.

On October 27, 1972, counsel for a group of nonsponsoring municipal utility systems and electric cooperatives [1] which have been given the opportunity to purchase entitlements in the Maine Yankee plant, filed an objection to the effective date of November 10, 1972, proposed by Maine Yankee, claiming that the date should be postponed "until such time that all related contracts under which power from Maine Yankee is to be sold, resold by Maine Yankee sponsor companies, transmitted and delivered to the various participating utilities have been tendered for filing to the Commission" to avoid "serious legal disputes." Our review of counsel's objections and the tendered filing indicates that the filing is complete and meets all of the requirements of § 35.12 of the Commission's regulations under the Federal Power Act and that the requested delay in effective date would not be appropriate.

Due to an oversight this filing was not noticed and published in the FEDERAL REGISTER. Therefore, this order shall also serve as notice and we shall accept the tendered rate schedule for filing, to become effective on November 10, 1972, on the condition that the Commission reserves the right to review and adjust or modify the filing based on any complaint raised within the comment period provided below and on the further condition that Maine Yankee and the 11 utility customers named in the filing shall file consent motions to this condition on or before November 10, 1972.

Any person desiring to be heard or to protest said application should file a petition to intervene or protest with the Federal Power Commission, 441 G Street NW., Washington, DC 20426, in accordance with §§ 1.8 and 1.10 of the Commission's rules of practice and procedure (18 CFR 1.18, 1.10). All such petitions or protests should be filed on or before November 13, 1972. Protests will be considered by the Commission in determining whether the appropriate action has been taken, but will not serve to make protestants parties to the proceeding. Any person wishing to become a party must file a petition to intervene. Copies of the application are on file with the Commission and available for public inspection.

The Commission finds:

It is reasonable and appropriate in the public interest and to aid in the enforcement of the Federal Power Act that Maine Yankee's tendered rate schedule be accepted for filing as hereinafter ordered and conditioned.

The Commission orders:

(A) Maine Yankee's tendered rate schedule filed on October 10, 1972, is accepted for filing to become effective November 10, 1972, subject to further Commission review and adjustment or modification of the filing based upon comments received on or before November 13, 1972: *And provided*, That Maine Yankee and the 11 utility customers named in the filing shall file motions consenting to this condition on or before November 10, 1972.

(B) Any change in the capital structure of Maine Yankee affecting capital costs and resulting in a rate of return on common stock equity in excess of 10 percent or a common stock equity ratio in excess of 40 percent will constitute a change in filed rate schedule requiring timely filing.

(C) The request for extension of the effective date of the filing by counsel for parties listed in Appendix A is hereby denied.

(D) The Secretary shall cause prompt publication of this document to be made in the FEDERAL REGISTER.

By the Commission.

[SEAL] KENNETH F. PLUMB,
Secretary.

APPENDIX A

List of nonsponsoring municipal utility systems and electric cooperatives represented by protestant:

Asburnham.
Boylston.
Braintree.
Chicopee.
Danvers.
Georgetown.
Hingham.
Holyoke.
Hudson.
Hull.
Ipswich.
Littleton.
Marblehead.
Middleboro.
Middleton.
North Attleboro.
Paxton.
Peabody.
Shrewsbury.
Sterling.
Taunton.
Templeton.
Wakefield.
West Boylston.
Westfield.
Massachusetts.
Wolfeboro.
New Hampshire.
New Hampshire Electric Cooperative.
Eastern Maine Electric Cooperative.
Houlton Water Co.

[1] See Appendix A.

[FR Doc.72-19329 Filed 11-8-72;8:55 am]

[Dockets Nos. E–7737, E–7739]

ORANGE AND ROCKLAND UTILITIES, INC., AND ROCKLAND ELECTRIC CO.

Order Accepting and Suspending Proposed Rate; Increase and Providing for Hearing and Consolidating Proceedings for Limited Purpose

NOVEMBER 3, 1972.

On June 2, 1972, Orange and Rockland Utilities, Inc. (O&R) tendered for filing proposed amendments to its cost of service agreements with Rockland Electric Co. (Rockland) and Pike County Light & Power Co. (Pike). These amendments to Rockland's and Pike's agreements were made to increase O&R's rate of return from 7.5 percent to 9 percent. Rockland and Pike each certified its concurrence in this increase.

O&R requested waiver of the filing requirements of § 35.3(b)(4)(iv) of the Commission's regulations under the Federal Power Act except for the most recent balance sheet, income statement, and Statement G. By letter from the Secretary on June 28, 1972, this request was denied. Subsequently, on October 6, 1972, O&R supplied the remaining statements required under the aforementioned regulation. Since 30 days is adequate time for review of the filing a proposed effective date of November 6, 1972, has been assigned to the filing.

Accompanying O&R's filing of October 6, 1972, was an additional amendment to its Rockland and Pike agreements which adds to the O&R plant investment allocable to Rockland and Pike respectively a portion of O&R's construction work in progress, allocated on a demand basis. Rockland proposes to take no interest on such amount, but to take interest on only the amount by which construction work in progress exceeds such allocated total in any month. Both Rockland and Pike have certified their concurrence in this proposed amendment.

The proposed rate increase is based on the calendar year 1971 test period and would result in an increase in revenue of $751,734 from Rockland and $5,175 from Pike.

On October 10, 1972, O&R requested waiver of the Commission's notice requirements to permit acceptance of both sets of proposed amendments to become effective August 1, 1972. O&R states that the primary reason for its request is to meet its interest coverage requirements.

In a related case in Docket No. E–7739, the Commission in an order issued July 31, 1972, suspended a proposed rate increase by Rockland [1] to the Borough of Park Ridge (Park Ridge). Since a major portion of Rockland's increase is based on its increased cost of purchased power from O&R in Docket No. E–7737, the amount of the increase ultimately allowed O&R has a direct bearing on Rockland's charges to Park Ridge. The rate charged by O&R to Rockland in Docket No. E–7737 and the purchased power cost charged by Rockland to Park Ridge in Docket No. E–7739 may raise common issues of law and fact. We will accordingly order consolidation of these dockets for the limited purpose of determining this issue. Consistent with this action, we will amend our order of July 31, 1972, in Docket No. E–7739 to provide procedural dates reflecting our action herein.

No petitions to intervene or protests have been filed in this proceeding.

Review of the filing indicates that it raises certain issues which may require development in an evidentiary hearing. The proposed increases in rates and charges have not been shown to be just and reasonable and may be unjust, unreasonable, unduly discriminatory or preferential or otherwise unlawful.

In view of the fact that the proposed rate increase is based upon a test period of calendar year 1971 and we are herein suspending the increase until April 6, 1973, the Presiding Administrative Law Judge should determine whether, based upon equitable considerations, an updated test period is required.

The Commission finds:

(1) It is necessary and proper in the public interest and to aid in the enforcement of the provisions of the Federal Power Act that the Commission enter upon a hearing concerning the lawfulness of the rates and charges contained in O&R's Rate Schedules, as proposed to be amended in this docket, and that the tendered increases be suspended as hereinafter provided.

(2) The disposition of this proceeding should be expedited in accordance with the procedure set forth below.

(3) In the event this proceeding is not concluded prior to the termination of the suspension period herein ordered, the placing of the rate changes applied for in this proceeding into effect, subject to refund with interest, while pending Commission determination as to their justness and reasonableness, is consistent with the purpose of the Economic Stabilization Act of 1970, as amended.

(4) Good cause has not been shown to waive the notice provisions of the Commission's regulations nor to permit the proposed increase filed herein to become effective on August 1, 1972.

(5) Consistent with our action consolidating issues of law and fact identical to Docket Nos. E–7737 and E–7739 the procedural dates in our July 31, 1972, order in the latter docket should be amended.

The Commission orders:

(A) Pursuant to the authority of the Federal Power Act, particularly section 205(e) thereof, the Commission's rules of practice and procedure, and the regulations under the Federal Power Act (18 CFR Chapter I), a public hearing shall be held, commencing with a prehearing conference on March 22, 1973 at 10 a.m., e.s.t., in a hearing room of the Federal Power Commission, 441 G Street NW., Washington, DC 20426, concerning the lawfulness of the rates, charges, classifications and services contained in O&R's Rate Schedules as proposed to be revised in Docket No. E–7737.

(B) At the prehearing conference on March 22, 1973, O&R's prepared testimony (Statement P), together with its entire filing shall be admitted to the record as its complete case-in-chief subject to appropriate motions, if any, by parties to the proceeding. All parties will be expected to come to this conference prepared to effectuate the provisions of §§ 1.18 and 2.59 of the Commission's rules of practice and procedure.

(C) On or before March 2, 1973, the Commission staff shall serve its prepared testimony and exhibits. The prepared testimony and exhibits of any or all intervenors shall be served on or before March 16, 1973. Any rebuttal evidence by O&R shall be served on or before March 30, 1973, cross-examination of the evidence filed will commence on April 10, 1973.

(D) A Presiding Administrative Law Judge to be designated by the Chief Administrative Law Judge for that purpose (18 CFR 3.5(d)), shall preside at the hearing in this proceeding, shall prescribe relevant procedural matters not herein provided, and shall control the proceeding in accordance with the policies expressed in § 2.59 of the Commission's rules of practice and procedure.

(E) Pending hearing and a final decision in Docket No. E–7737 O&R's Rate Schedules tendered originally on June 7, 1972, and officially filed on October 6, 1972, and the use thereof will be deferred until April 6, 1973.

(F) O&R's request for waiver of the notice requirements of the Commission's regulations and request that the rates be made effective August 1, 1972, is denied.

(G) Our order of July 31, 1972, in Docket No. E–7739 is amended to provide the following procedural dates: Staff evidence to be served on March 2, 1973; intervenor evidence to be served on March 16, 1973; Rockland rebuttal evidence to be served on March 30, 1973; prehearing conference to be held on March 22, 1973; hearing on the issues consolidated with Docket No. E–7737 to commence on April 10, 1973; and hearing on remaining issues in Docket No. E–7739 to commence on April 17, 1973.

(H) Because of issues of law and fact identical to Dockets Nos. E–7737 and E–7739 those dockets are hereby consolidated for the limited of purpose of determining the rate charged by O&R to Rockland in the former docket and the purchased power cost charged by Rockland in Docket No. E–7739.

By the Commission.

[SEAL] KENNETH F. PLUMB, *Secretary.*

[FR Doc.72–19280 Filed 11–8–72;8:52 am]

[1] Rockland is a subsidiary of, and purchases its entire energy requirement from O&R.

[Docket No. E–7678]

OTTER TAIL POWER CO.

Notice of Application

NOVEMBER 3, 1972.

Take notice that on October 30, 1972, Otter Tail Power Co. (Applicant) of Fergus Falls, Minn., filed an application seeking an order for approval of the issu-

ance of short-term obligations in the form of promissory notes to banks, such notes to be issued on or before March 31, 1974, with a final maturity date of not later than March 31, 1975, and in the form of commercial paper to commercial paper dealers, such commercial paper to be issued on or before March 31, 1974, and to have a maturity date of not to exceed 9 months from the date of issue.

The net proceeds from the notes and from the sale of commercial paper will be used to provide general funds for the company's construction program.

Any person desiring to be heard or to make any protests with reference to such application should, on or before November 24, 1972, file with the Federal Power Commission, Washington, D.C. 20426, petitions or protests in accordance with the requirements of the Commission's rules of practice and procedure (18 CFR 1.8 or 1.10). All protests filed with the Commission will be considered by it in determining the appropriate action to be taken, but will not serve to make the protestants parties to the proceeding. Persons wishing to become parties to a proceeding or to participate as a party in any hearing therein must file petitions to intervene in accordance with the Commission's rules. The application is on file with the Commission and is available for public inspection.

KENNETH F. PLUMB,
Secretary.

[FR Doc.72-19278 Filed 11-8-72;8:52 am]

[Dockets Nos. CS72-203, CS73-158]

PETRO-LEWIS FUNDS, INC., AND PARTNERSHIP PROPERTIES CO.

Notice of Petition for Declaratory Order or for Waiver of Regulations

NOVEMBER 3, 1972.

Take notice that on October 24, 1972, Petro-Lewis Funds, Inc., 1224 Denver Club Building, Denver, Colo. 80202, certificate holder in Docket No. CS72-203, and Partnership Properties Co., 1600 Broadway, Denver, CO 80202, certificate applicant in Docket No. CS73-158, filed a petition for a declaratory order holding that the acquisition of certain interests from which gas was dedicated to the interstate market by Amerada Hess Corp. (Amerada) did not involve the purchase of developed reserves in place within the contemplation of § 157.40(c) of the regulations under the Natural Gas Act (18 CFR 157.40(c)), or, in the alternative, for waiver in part of § 157.40(c), all as more fully set forth in the petition which is on file with the Commission and open to public inspection.

Petitioners state that Amerada Hess Corp., a large producer certificate holder in Docket No. CI61-1650, assigned to Petro-Lewis Corp., a portion of Amerada's interest in the Robert Jacob Hoxbar Gas Unit, West Velma Field, Stephens County, Okla., from which gas was dedicated to Amerada's FPC Gas Rate Schedule No. 94. Petro-Lewis Corp. conveyed an undivided 94 percent of said interest to Partnership Properties Co. as nominee for Petro-Lewis Funds, Inc.

Petitioners state that at the time of Petro-Lewis Corp.'s purchase of Amerada's interest there were no wells capable of commercial production of gas from said interest and no known commerical reserves included in said interest. They state further that there are presently no wells capable of commercial production of gas from said interest and that there are presently no known commercial reserves included in said interest. Based upon a letter from Lone Star Gas Co. to Amerada, petitioners allege that the well that had been producing gas from the subject interest had been disconnected in 1965. Accordingly, petitioners request either that the Commission find that they did not acquire developed reserves in place from Amerada or, in the alternative, that the Commission waive, with respect to sales from the subject interest, that portion of § 157.40(c) which proscribes sales under small producer certificates from developed reserves acquired in place by small producers from large producers.

Petitioners submit that without any current prospect of income from the subject interest, requiring further administrative action with respect to said interest represents an unproductive financial burden on them which eventually will be borne by the ultimate consumers of gas and, further, that because there are no known commercial reserves of gas in the subject interest, waiver of the regulation will further rather than subvert the Commission's intention in promulgating § 157.40.

Any person desiring to be heard or to make any protest with reference to said petition should on or before November 27, 1972, file with the Federal Power Commission, Washington, DC 20426, a petition to intervene or a protest in accordance with the requirements of the Commission's rules of practice and procedure (18 CFR 1.8 or 1.10). All protests filed with the Commission will be considered by it in determining the appropriate action to be taken but will not serve to make the protestants parties to the proceeding. Any person wishing to become a party to a proceeding or to participate as a party in any hearing therein must file a petition to intervene in accordance with the Commission's rules.

KENNETH F. PLUMB,
Secretary.

[FR Doc.72-19279 Filed 11-8-72;8:52 am]

[Docket No. CI73-308]

PHILLIPS PETROLEUM CO.

Notice of Application

NOVEMBER 6, 1972.

Take notice that on October 27, 1972, Phillips Petroleum Co. (Applicant), Bartlesville, Okla. 74004, filed in Docket No. CI73-308 on application pursuant to section 7(c) of the Natural Gas Act for a certificate of public convenience and necessity authorizing the sale for resale and delivery of natural gas in interstate commerce to Transwestern Pipeline Co. in Gray County, Tex., from production in the Panhandle-Hugoton Area of Texas, all as more fully set forth in the application which is on file with the Commission and open to public inspection.

Applicant states that it intends to commence the sale of natural gas within the contemplation of § 157.29 of the regulations under the Natural Gas Act (18 CFR 157.29) and proposes to continue said sale for 6 months from the end of the 60-day emergency period within the contemplation of § 2.70 of the Commission's general policy and interpretations (18 CFR 2.70). Applicant proposes to sell up to 30,000 Mc.f. of gas per day at 39 cents per Mc.f. at 14.65 p.s.i.a.

It appears reasonable and consistent with the public interest in this case to prescribe a period shorter than 15 days for the filing of protests and petitions to intervene. Therefore, any person desiring to be heard or to make any protest with reference to said application should on or before November 16, 1972, file with the Federal Power Commission, Washington, D.C. 20426, a petition to intervene or a protest in accordance with the requirements of the Commission's rules of practice and procedure (18, CFR 1.8 or 1.10). All protests filed with the Commission will be considered by it in determining the appropriate action to be taken but will not serve to make the protestants parties to the proceeding. Any person wishing to become a party to a proceeding to participate as a party in any hearing therein must file a petition to intervene in accordance with the Commission's rules.

Take further notice that, pursuant to the authority contained in and subject to the jurisdiction conferred upon the Federal Power Commission by sections 7 and 15 of the Natural Gas Act and the Commission's rules of practice and procedure, a hearing will be held without further notice before the Commission on this application if no petition to intervene is filed within the time required herein, if the Commission on its own review of the matter finds that a grant of the certificate is required by the public convenience and necessity. If a petition for leave to intervene is timely filed, or if the Commission on its own motion believes that a formal hearing is required, further notice of such hearing will be duly given.

Under the procedure herein provided for, unless otherwise advised, it will be unnecessary for Applicant to appear or be represented at the hearing.

KENNETH F. PLUMB,
Secretary.

[FR Doc.72-19186 Filed 11-8-72;8:46 am]

[Docket No. CI73-63]

SOUTHERN UNION GATHERING CO.

Notice of Further Extension of Time

NOVEMBER 3, 1972.

On November 1, 1972, Southern Union Gathering Co. filed a motion for a further extension of time within which evidence is to be filed and a postponement of the date for hearing in the above matter established by the Presiding Administrative Law Judge by notice issued on October 10, 1972. The motion states that neither counsel for Aztec nor the New Mexico Public Service Commission has any objection to such request. Aztec has agreed to defer the effective date of its rate increase from December 29, 1972, to January 19, 1973.

Upon consideration, notice is hereby given that the time is further extended to and including November 27, 1972, within which prepared testimony and exhibits shall be filed. The hearing is postponed to December 5, 1972, at 10 a.m., e.s.t., in a hearing room of the Federal Power Commission, 441 G Street NW., Washington, DC 20426.

KENNETH F. PLUMB,
Secretary.

[FR Doc.72-19281 Filed 11-8-72;8:53 am]

[Docket No. CP72-111]

TENNESSEE GAS PIPELINE CO. AND TRUNKLINE GAS CO.

Notice of Petition To Amend

NOVEMBER 3, 1972.

Take notice that on October 30, 1972, Tennessee Gas Pipeline Co., a division of Tenneco Inc. (Tennessee), and Trunkline Gas Co. (Trunkline) filed in Docket No. CP72-111 a petition to amend the order issuing a certificate of public convenience and necessity pursuant to section 7(c) of the Natural Gas Act in said docket on May 2, 1972 (47 FPC ------), by authorizing Petitioners to exchange natural gas for additional time, all as more fully set forth in the petition to amend which is on file with the Commission and open to public inspection.

The order of May 2, 1972, authorizes Petitioners to exchange gas pursuant to an agreement between them dated October 11, 1971, on file with the Commission as Rate Schedule X-35 in Sixth Revised Volume No. 2 of Tennessee's Gas Tariff. Said agreement provides that Tennessee, from November 1, 1971, through October 31, 1972, shall deliver on a best efforts basis and Trunkline shall receive at an existing point of interconnection near Kinder, Louisiana, or other mutually agreeable points, daily volumes up to 127,500 Mcf at 14.73 p.s.i.a. of natural gas. The agreement provides further that Trunkline, from November 1, 1973, through October 31, 1977, shall redeliver to Tennessee at Kinder or other points a volume of gas equal to that delivered by Tennessee, at a rate up to 31,875 Mcf at 14.73 p.s.i.a. per day.

Petitioners state that inasmuch as the Commission order authorizing the subject exchange was issued on May 2, 1972, and initial delivery was made by Tennessee on May 15, 1972, Trunkline was unable to receive gas during the full 12-month period as contemplated. Accordingly, Petitioners have amended the exchange agreement to provide for deliveries by Tennessee to Trunkline through October 31, 1973, and to provide for redeliveries by Trunkline to Tennessee from November 1, 1974, through October 31, 1978. The amendment also provides that deliveries and redeliveries may be made by means of Trunkline's existing facilities at the tailgate of the Texaco Inc. Henry gas plant in Vermilion Parish, La.

Petitioners submit that continuation of the delayed exchange under the amended agreement will increase their flexibility in the southwest Louisiana supply area and will be beneficial by permitting each company to use its gas supplies and facilities more efficiently.

Any person desiring to be heard or to make any protest with reference to said petition to amend should on or before November 27, 1972, file with the Federal Power Commission, Washington, D.C. 20426, a petition to intervene or a protest in accordance with the requirements of the Commission's rules of practice and procedure (18 CFR 1.8 or 1.10) and the regulations under the Natural Gas Act (18 CFR 157.10). All protests filed with the Commission will be considered by it in determining the appropriate action to be taken but will not serve to make the protestants parties to the proceeding. Any person wishing to become a party to a proceeding or to participate as a party in any hearing therein must file a petition to intervene in accordance with the Commission's rules.

KENNETH F. PLUMB,
Secretary.

[FR Doc.72-19188 Filed 11-8-72;8:45 am]

[Docket No. CP69-199]

TRANSCONTINENTAL GAS PIPE LINE CORP.

Notice of Petition To Amend

NOVEMBER 3, 1972.

Take notice that on October 30, 1972, Transcontinental Gas Pipe Line Corp. (Petitioner), Post Office Box 1396, Houston, TX 77001, filed in Docket No. CP69-199 a petition to amend the order issued pursuant to section 7(c) of the Natural Gas Act in said docket on June 21, 1971 (45 FPC 1190), as amended April 24, 1972 (47 FPC ———), by authorizing the continuation of an interruptible transportation service at an adjusted rate, all as more fully set forth in the petition to amend which is on file with the Commission and open to public inspection.

Petitioner is presently authorized to transport for and deliver to Southern Natural Gas Co. (Southern) up to 5,000 Mcf of natural gas per day through January 29, 1973, at the rate of 6.25 cents per Mcf at 15.025 p.s.i.a. The gas is received by Petitioner at its Happytown purchase meter station on its Happytown lateral in Pointe Coupee Parish, La., and transported to existing points of interconnection between the systems of the two companies near Selma, Ala., and Jonesboro, Ga. The gas which is the subject of the transportation is normally purchased by Southern in the Bayou Henry Field, Iberville Parish, La., and taken through Southern's Bayou Bouillon-East Happytown line and thence into its main transmission system. Southern's line has been out of service as the result of two breaks at the Whiskey Bay Pilot Channel, and the authorized transportation by Petitioner was initiated at Southern's request in order to avoid the flaring of the Bayou Henry oil well gas.

Petitioner states that Southern has now determined that, given the expected life of the reserves in question, it would not be economic to make repairs in its line and has requested Petitioners to extend the interruptible transportation service, for a period of ten years or until such earlier date as Southern's Bayou Henry reserves are depleted. Petitioner proposes to charge an increased rate of 8.0 cents per Mcf. Petitioners states that the 6.25-cent rate was equal to 50 percent of the CD-1 rate at 100 percent load factor in effect at the time of the original agreement and that the new 8.0-cent rate is equal to 50 percent of the CD-1 rate at 100 percent load factor in effect at the present time.

Any person desiring to be heard or to make any protest with reference to said petition to amend should on or before November 27, 1972, file with the Federal Power Commission, Washington, D.C. 20426, petition to intervene or a protest in accordance with the requirements of the Commission's rules of practice and procedure (18 CFR 1.8 or 1.10) and the regulations under the Natural Gas Act (18 CFR 157.10). All protests filed with the Commission will be considered by it in determining the appropriate action to be taken but will not serve to make the protestants parties to the proceeding. Any person wishing to become a party to a proceeding or to participate as a party in any hearing therein must file a petition to intervene in accordance with the Commission's rules.

KENNETH F. PLUMB,
Secretary.

[FR Doc.72-19282 Filed 11-8-72;8:53 am]

VERMONT ELECTRIC POWER CO., INC.

Notice of Filing of Power and Transmission Agreements

NOVEMBER 3, 1972.

Take notice that Vermont Electric Power Co., Inc., by separate letters dated September 14, 1972, submitted for filing with the Federal Power Commission (1) a three-party power agreement and (2) a three-party transmission agreement, both dated November 21, 1969, with Cen-

tral Vermont Public Service Corp. and Green Mountain Power Corp.

Through these agreements Vermont Electric Power Co., Central Vermont Public Service, and Green Mountain Power Corp., agree to sell, distribute, transmit, and resell output from Vermont Yankee Nuclear Power Corp.

Any person desiring to be heard or to make any protest with reference to said filing should on or before November 22, 1972, file with the Federal Power Commission, Washington, D.C. 20426, petitions to intervene or protests in accordance with the requirements of the Commission's rules of practice and procedure (18 CFR 1.8 or 1.10). All protests filed with the Commission will be considered by it in determining to make the protestants parties to the proceeding. Persons wishing to become parties to a proceeding or to participate as a party in any hearing therein must file petitions to intervene in accordance with the Commission's rules. The application is on file with the Commission and available for public inspection.

KENNETH F. PLUMB,
Secretary.

[FR Doc.72-19187 Filed 11-8-72;8:45 am]

[Docket Nos. RI73-79, etc.]

ATLANTIC RICHFIELD CO. ET AL.

Order Providing for Hearing on and Suspension of Proposed Changes in Rates, and Allowing Rate Changes To Become Effective Subject to Refund [1]

NOVEMBER 2, 1972.

Respondents have filed proposed changes in rates and charges for jurisdictional sales of natural gas, as set forth in Appendix A hereof.

The proposed changed rates and charges may be unjust, unreasonable, unduly discriminatory, or preferential, or otherwise unlawful.

The Commission finds: It is in the public interest and consistent with the Natural Gas Act that the Commission enter upon hearings regarding the lawfulness of the proposed changes, and that the supplements herein be suspended and their use be deferred as ordered below.

The Commission orders:

(A) Under the Natural Gas Act, particularly sections 4 and 15, the regulations pertaining thereto (18 CFR Ch. I), and the Commission's rules of practice and procedure, public hearings shall be held concerning the lawfulness of the proposed changes.

(B) Pending hearings and decisions thereon, the rate supplements herein are suspended and their use deferred until date shown in the "Date Suspended Until" column. Each of these supplements shall become effective, subject to refund, as of the expiration of the suspension period without any further action by the respondent or by the Commission. Each respondent shall comply with the refunding procedure required by the Natural Gas Act and § 154.102 of the regulations thereunder.

(C) Unless otherwise ordered by the Commission, neither the suspended supplements, nor the rate schedules sought to be altered, shall be changed until disposition of these proceedings or expiration of the suspension period, whichever is earlier.

By the Commission.

[SEAL] KENNETH F. PLUMB,
Secretary.

[1] Does not consolidate for hearing or dispose of the several matters herein.

APPENDIX A

Docket No.	Respondent	Rate schedule No.	Supplement No.	Purchaser and producing area	Amount of annual increase	Date filing tendered	Effective date unless suspended	Date suspended until—	Cents per Mcf* Rate in effect	Cents per Mcf* Proposed increased rate	Rate in effect subject to refund in docket No.
RI73-79	Atlantic Richfield Co.	312	1	El Paso Natural Gas Co. (Piceance Creek Field, Rio Blanco County, Colo.).	$980	10- 5-72		12- 6-72	[2] 15.0	[2] 16.0	
		604	1	do	1,144	10- 5-72		12- 6-72	[2] 15.0	[2] 16.0	
RI73-80	Union Oil Co. of California	90	4	Colorado Interstate Gas Co. (Patrick Draw Area, Sweetwater County, Wyo.).	705	10-11-72		[1] 1- 2-73	17.1275	18.1350	RI68-279.
	do	91	6	do	1,381	10-11-72		[1] 1- 2-73	15.6163	16.6238	RI68-279.
	do	150	3	Colorado Interstate Gas Co. (Desert Springs Field, Sweetwater County, Wyo.).	55,413	10-11-72		[1] 1- 2-73	15.6163	16.6238	RI68-277.
	do	177	3	El Paso Natural Gas Co. (Gomez Field, Pecos County, Tex., Permian Basin).	6,022	10-11-72		[1] 1- 2-73	17.5656	18.5694	RI68-332.

*Unless otherwise stated, the pressure base is 14.65 p.s.i.a.

[1] One day after contractual due date.

[2] The pressure base is 15.025 p.s.i.a.

The proposed increases filed herein do not exceed the corresponding rate filing limitation imposed in Southern Louisiana and therefore are suspended for 1 day after termination of the 60 day notice period or for 1 day after the contractual due date, whichever is later.

The producers' proposed increased rates and charges exceed the applicable area price levels for increased rates as set forth in the Commission's statement of general policy No. 61-1, as amended (18 CFR 2.56).

CERTIFICATION OF ABBREVIATED SUSPENSION

Pursuant to § 300.16(i)(3) of the Price Commission Rules and Regulations, 6 CFR Part 300 (1972), the Federal Power Commission certifies as to the abbreviated suspension period in this order as follows:

(1) This proceeding involves producer rates which are established on an area rather than company basis. This practice was established by Area Rate Proceeding, Docket No. AR61-1, et al., Opinion No. 468, 34 FPC 159 (1965), and affirmed by the Supreme Court in Permian Basin Area Rate Case, 390 U.S. 747 (1968). In such cases as this, producer rates are approved by this Commission if such rates are contractually authorized and are at or below the area ceiling.

(2) In the instant case, the requested increases do not exceed the ceiling rate for a one day suspension.

(3) By Order No. 423 (36 F.R. 3464) issued February 18, 1971, this Commission determined as a matter of general policy that it would suspend for only one day a change in rate filed by an independent producer under section 4(d) of the Natural Gas Act [15 U.S.C. 717c(d)] in a situation where the proposed rate exceeds the increased rate ceiling, but does not exceed the ceiling for a 1 day suspension.

(4) In the discharge of our responsibilities under the Natural Gas Act, this Commission has been confronted with conclusive evidence demonstrating a natural gas shortage. (See Opinions Nos. 595, 598, and 607, and Order No. 435). In these circumstances and for the reasons set forth in Order No. 423 the Commission is of the opinion in this case that the abbreviated suspension authorized herein will be consistent with the letter and intent of the Economic Stabilization Act of 1970, as amended, as well as the rules and regulations of the Price Commission, 6 CFR Part 300 (1972). Specifically, this Commission is of the opinion that the authorized suspension is required to assure continued, adequate and safe service and will assist in providing for necessary expansion to meet present and future requirements of natural gas.

[FR Doc.72-19130 Filed 11-8-72;8:45 am]

GENERAL SERVICES ADMINISTRATION

[GSA Bulletin FPMR A-32; General Supplement 2]

SHIPMENT OF GOVERNMENT EMPLOYEES' HOUSEHOLD GOODS

Use of Commuted Rate Schedule or Actual Expense Method

To: Heads of Federal agencies.

1. *Purpose.* This supplement informs agencies of additional carriers who have filed rate quotations for shipments of

household goods of Government employees. These rate quotations provide bases for predetermining packing and accessorial charges and enable agencies to obtain, before making such shipments, valid cost comparisons on a "total basis" between use of the commuted rate schedule and the actual expense (Government bill of lading) method.

2. *Expiration date.* This supplement contains material of a continuing nature and will remain in effect until canceled.

3. *Background.* Supplement 1, dated May 9, 1972, to GSA Bulletin FPMR A–32 provides in Attachment A thereto, a list of individual household goods carriers who have filed rate quotations containing predeterminable packing charges, and states that additions or deletions to this list will be published by supplements, annually or as appropriate.

4. *Additional carriers quoting predeterminable packing charges.* More than 2,000 additional carriers now quote predeterminable packing charges under the following issuances:

a. All carriers participating in the Household Goods Carriers' Bureau Military and Government Rate Tariff (HGCB M&GRT) No. 1–F (ICC No. 30) now provide a basis for predetermining packing charges. Supplement No. 7 (effective July 15, 1972) to this tariff, in Item 105, contains a maximum packing schedule covering all packing and unpacking services except for the use of wooden boxes or wooden crates.

b. All carriers participating in the Movers' & Warehousemen's Association of America, Inc., Government Rate Tender (M&WAA, Inc., GRT) ICC No. 1–W now provide a basis for predetermining packing charges. Supplement No. 5 (effective July 15, 1972) to this tariff, in Item 120, contains a maximum packing schedule covering all packing and unpacking services except for the use of wooden boxes or wooden crates.

c. Cartwright Van Lines, Inc., Grandview, Mo., has filed an individual rate quotation, dated May 19, 1972, under which packing and unpacking charges may be predetermined.

5. *Recommended action.* a. Make the following additions to the list of carriers contained in GSA Bulletin FPMR A–32, Supplement 1, Attachment A:

HGCB M&GRT No. 1–F (All participating carriers).
M&WAA, Inc., GRT ICC No. 1–W (All participating carriers).
Cartwright Van Lines, Inc., Grandview, Mo.

b. When a cost comparison is being made between the commuted rate schedule and the actual expense (Government bill of lading or GBL) method and the rate quotation of the carrier being considered provides separately stated charges for accessorial services (such as piano carry and servicing appliances), the actual expense (GBL) computation should reflect such charges to the extent they apply to the particular shipment under consideration. The comparative cost computation by the commuted rate schedule requires no similar adjustment since the line-haul transportation allowances contained in this schedule include all packing, unpacking, and related accessorial services.

Dated: October 10, 1972.

M. S. MEEKER,
Commissioner, Federal Supply Service.

[FR Doc.72–19235 Filed 11–8–72;8:49 am]

NATIONAL AERONAUTICS AND SPACE ADMINISTRATION

[Notice 72–23]

RESEARCH AND TECHNOLOGY ADVISORY COMMITTEE ON AERONAUTICAL OPERATING SYSTEMS

Notice of Meeting

The NASA Research and Technology Advisory Committee on Aeronautical Operating Systems will meet on November 16 and 17, 1972, at NASA's Langley Research Center, Hampton, Va. 23365. The meeting will be held in the Conference Room of the 7′ x 10′ Wind Tunnel Building. Interested public individuals will be admitted to the open portion of the meeting beginning at 8:30 a.m. on November 16 on a first-come-first-served basis up to the seating capacity of the room, which is approximately 40 persons. All visitors must report to the NASA Langley main gate.

The NASA Research and Technology Advisory Committee on Aeronautical Operating Systems serves in an advisory capacity only. In this capacity, it is concerned wtih aircraft operational problems associated with atmospheric phenomena, flight safety aspects of flight operations, occupant protection and survival, crew performance, simulation technology, air traffic systems, airport design technology, legal-political-economic influences on aviation, and facilities supporting research in the above areas. The current Chairman is Mr. Franklin W. Kolk. There are 14 members.

The following list sets forth the approved agenda and schedule for the November 16 and 17, 1972, meeting of the Committee. For further information, please contact Mr. Robin K. Ransone, 202—755–2360.

NOVEMBER 16, 1972

Time	*Topic*
8:30 a.m.	Opening remarks. (Purpose: To welcome members and guests, set forth the order of meeting, etc.)
9:10 a.m.	Discussion of Civil Aviation Research and Development Policy, Plans, and Problems. (Purpose: To obtain inputs from Committee members relating to current and future needs of civil aviation in the context of what government and industry R&D activity can contribute to improvement of civil aviation.)
10:15 a.m.	Discussion of Human Factors Research Needs. (Purpose: To obtain inputs from Committee members relating to Human Factors research through discussion, which can be integrated into future NASA research plans.)
1:00 p.m.	Discussion of Air Transportation System. Productivity (Purpose: To examine through discussion the elements in the air transportation system which can benefit most heavily from research activity. These benefits will be translated into better transportation value for the traveler or cargo shipper.)
3:15 p.m.	Discussion of Terminally-Configured Vehicle Concepts. (Purpose: To examine through discussion, ways and means of improving the safety and efficiency of air vehicles operating in the terminal area.)

NOVEMBER 17, 1972

Time	*Topic*
8:30 a.m.	Continuation of previous day's discussion topics.
9:45 a.m.	Research and Technology Briefings. (Purpose: To review results from research programs in general aviation, collision avoidance, and wake turbulence.)
1:00 p.m.	Committee Executive Session and Members' Report. (Purpose: To consider members' recommendations for NASA research based upon previous discussions and with regard to NASA budget, organizational problems, and other agency activities, and to identify new problem areas.) (Closed session to discuss proprietary and budgetary data.)

HOMER E. NEWELL,
Associate Administrator, National Aeronautics and Space Administration.

[FR Doc.72–19226 Filed 11–8–72;8:48 am]

SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION

[File No. 500–1]

ACCURATE CALCULATOR CORP.

Order Suspending Trading

NOVEMBER 3, 1972.

It appearing to the Securities and Exchange Commission that the summary suspension of trading in the common stock, $0.01 par value, and all other securities of Accurate Calculator Corp., being traded otherwise than on a national securities exchange is required in the public interest and for the protection of investors;

It is ordered, Pursuant to section 15 (c) (5) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, that trading in such securities otherwise than on a national securities exchange be summarily suspended, this order to be effective for the period from November 6, 1972, through November 15, 1972.

By the Commission.

[SEAL] RONALD F. HUNT, *Secretary.*

[FR Doc.72-19202 Filed 11-8-72;8:46 am]

[31-736]

DELMARVA POWER & LIGHT CO.

Notice of Filing and Order for Consolidated Hearing

NOVEMBER 2, 1972.

Notice is hereby given that Delmarva Power & Light Co. (Delmarva), 800 King Street, Wilmington, DL 19899, a registered holding company and a public-utility company, has filed an application pursuant to section 3(a) (2) of the Public Utility Holding Company Act of 1935 (Act) seeking exemption from all the provisions thereof except section 9(a) (2). All interested persons are referred to the application, which is summarized below, for a complete statement of the facts.

Delmarva (formerly Delaware Power & Light Co.) is incorporated under the laws of Delaware and maintains its principal offices in Wilmington, Del. It is engaged within the State of Delaware in the generation, transmission and distribution of electric energy, in the retail distribution of gas, and in the steam business and is a joint owner of electric generation and transmission facilities in the States of New Jersey and Pennsylvania.

Delmarva has two wholly owned subsidiary companies: Delmarva Power & Light Co. of Maryland (Del-Md), a Maryland corporation engaged exclusively in the electric utility business in Maryland, and Delmarva Power & Light Co. of Virginia (Del-Va), a Virginia corporation engaged exclusively in the electric utility business in Virginia. Delmarva's utility operations in Delaware are subject to the jurisdiction of the Public Service Commission of Delaware. The electric utility businesses of its subsidiaries in Maryland and Virginia are subject, respectively, to the jurisdiction of the Public Service Commission of Maryland and the State Corporation Commission of Virginia.

The service areas of the three companies, all located on the "Delmarva Peninsula," are interconnected and comprise an aggregate of approximately 4,700 square miles, having a total population in excess of 842,000. Delmarva is affiliated with the Pennsylvania-New Jersey-Maryland (PJM) Interconnection System. In 1971, the system companies generated a total of 6,050,000 mw.-hr., of which 92 percent was generated by Delmarva, 7.8 percent by Del-Md and less than 1 percent by Del-Va, and for that year, system electric sales were 5,748,000 mw.-hr., of which 77 percent was sold by Delmarva, 20 percent by Del-Md and 3 percent by Del-Va.

The consolidated gross operating revenues of the Delmarva system for the year ended December 31, 1971, amounted to $129,505,193, consisting of $104,741,538 from the sale of electricity; $19,279,943 from the sale of gas; and the balance ($5,483,712) from steam and electric service to a nonaffiliated oil refinery in the State of Delaware. Of the consolidated electric revenues (including steam revenues), about 75 percent were applicable to Delmarva, 21 percent to Del-Md and 4 percent to Del-Va. As of December 31, 1971, the consolidated plant account amounted to $630,957,530 (of which 86 percent was electric plant, 7.8 percent was gas, 3.5 percent was steam, and 2.7 percent denominated as common property), to which was applied an aggregate accumulated depreciation reserve of $135,417,987.

The Delmarva system renders retail electric service in twelve municipalities, of these municipalities, six are in Delaware, two in Maryland and four in Virginia. The system also renders wholesale electric service to 12 other municipalities, three cooperatives and two nonaffiliated utility companies. Of these wholesale customers, many of which purchase 100 percent of their electric energy requirements from the Delmarva system, nine municipalities and one cooperative are located in Delmarva; three municipalities, one cooperative and the two nonaffiliated utilities are located in Maryland; and one cooperative is in Virginia.

As of June 30, 1972, the aggregate capacity of generating units owned by Delmarva was 1,083,500 kilowatts; while that of Del-Md was 290,500 kw., and that of Del-Va was 43,700 kw.

Delmarva has under construction a 400,000 kilowatt steam-electric generating unit at Edge Moor, Del., scheduled for completion in 1973; a joint-owned nuclear generating station in Peach Bottom, Pa., in which it will have a 160,000 kilowatt entitlement, scheduled for completion in 1973–74 and a jointly owned nuclear generating station in Salem, N.J., in which it will have 163,000 kilowatt entitlement, scheduled for completion in 1974–75. Delmarva has, also, executed a purchase order for two 770,000 kilowatt nuclear units for a generating station in Delaware, at a site yet to be determined, for completion in 1979–82.

Delmarva's Delaware City station supplied steam and electricity to an unaffiliated oil refinery under contract and generates electricity for system requirements. The refinery has an option to purchase the plant on November 1, 1976, and at 5-year intervals thereafter.

Delmarva conducts the entire gas utility business of the Delmarva system. This gas utility business is conducted in New Castle County, Del., within territory served by Delmarva with electricity. In 1971, Delmarva provided gas service to about 73,613 customers in an area, including the city of Wilmington, of about 275 square miles having a total population of 382,000. The maximum 24-hour gas system capability is 131,914,000 cubic feet. Delmarva sold 16,634,659 mcf of gas in 1971.

Natural gas is distributed through approximately 983 miles of gas mains, and is supplemented with propane-air gas during periods of high usage. Delmarva has under construction a storage facility for liquefied natural gas with a storage capacity of 250,000 mcf equivalent, and an output capability of 50,000 mcf per day, scheduled to be in operation for the storage of gas in the winter of 1972–73.

On April 5, 1972, the Commission instituted a proceeding with respect to Delmarva under section 11(b) (1) of the Act (Holding Company Act Release No. 17530, File No. 59–114, Administrative Proceeding File No. 3–3640). In this notice and order for hearing, the Commission specified, as the principal issue, whether Delmarva may retain within its holding-company system, its gas properties along with its electric properties. In its answer, filed on May 22, 1972, Delmarva has supported its claim for retention by asserting, inter alia, that it is entitled to an exemption under section 3(a) (2) of the Act.

A hearing in the section 11(b) (1) proceeding was held at 1100 L Street NW., Washington, DC 20549, before Warren E. Blair, Chief Administrative Law Judge; said hearing was adjourned to December 4, 1972.

It appearing to the Commission that common questions of law and fact exist in these two proceedings, and that pursuant to Rule 10 of the Commission's rules of practice it is appropriate that these separate proceedings be consolidated; that it is appropriate in the public interest and in the interest of investors and consumers that a public hearing be held with respect to the issues noted below:

It is ordered, Accordingly, that the proceeding with respect to Delmarva's application under section 3(a) (2) be, it hereby is, consolidated with proceeding under section 11(b) (1) and that the application for exemption be, and it hereby is, made part of Delmarva's answer it has heretofore filed in the section 11(b) (1) proceeding.

It is further ordered, That the Chief Administrative Law Judge shall preside at the hearing in these consolidated proceedings. This officer, as heretofore authorized, is to exercise all powers granted to the Commission under section 18(c) of the Act and to an administrative law judge under the Commission's rules of practice.

It is further ordered, That with respect to the exemption application particular attention be directed in the hearing to the following matters:

(a) Whether Delmarva meets the requirements for an exemption specified in section 3(a) (2) of the Act;

(b) Whether Delmarva should be granted such exemption, without first complying with section 11(b) (1);

(c) Whether the granting of any exemption under section 3(a) (2) should be subject to terms and conditions, including compliance with section 11(b) (1).

It is further ordered, That any person, other than the applicant, desiring to be heard in connection with this proceeding or proposing to intervene therein shall file with the Secretary of the Commission, on or before November 27, 1972, a written request relative thereto as provided in Rule 9 of the Commission's rules of practice. A copy of such request should be served personally or by mail (airmail if the person being served is located more than 500 miles from the point of mailing) upon the applicants at the above-stated address, and proof of service (by affidavit or, in case of an attorney at law, by certificate) should be filed with the request. Persons filing an application to participate or be heard will receive notice of the date of hearing or any adjournment thereof as well as other actions of the Commission involving the subject matter of these consolidated proceedings.

It is further ordered, That the Secretary of the Commission shall serve notice of the aforesaid by mailing a copy of this notice of and order for hearing by certified mail to applicant, and each other respondent in the consolidated docket; the Federal Power Commission; the U.S. Department of Justice; the Maryland Public Service Commission; the Delaware Public Service Commission; the Virginia State Corporation Commission; the city of Wilmington, Del.; and the county of New Castle, Del.; and that notice of said hearing is hereby given to the aforesaid and to all States, municipalities, and political subdivisions of States within which are located any of the physical assets of the respondent companies, to all State commissions, State securities commissions, and all agencies, authorities or instrumentalities of the State, municipality, or other political subdivision having jurisdiction over any of the respondent companies or any of the business affairs or operations of any of them, and to all other interested persons, such notice to be given by a general release of the Commission and by publication of this notice and order in the FEDERAL REGISTER.

By the Commission.

[SEAL] RONALD F. HUNT, *Secretary.*

[FR Doc.72-19221 Filed 11-8-72;8:47 am]

[File No. 500-1]

FIRST WORLD CORP.

Order Suspending Trading

NOVEMBER 3, 1972.

It appearing to the Securities and Exchange Commission that the summary suspension of trading in the Class A and Class B common stocks, $0.15 par value, and all other securities of First World Corp. being traded otherwise than on a national securities exchange is required in the public interest and for the protection of investors;

It is ordered, Pursuant to section 15(c)(5) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, that trading in such securities otherwise than on a national securities exchange be summarily suspended, this order to be effective for the period from November 6, 1972, through November 15, 1972.

By the Commission.

[SEAL] RONALD F. HUNT, *Secretary.*

[FR Doc.72-19200 Filed 11-8-72;8:46 am]

[70-5258]

GEORGIA POWER CO.

Proposed Issue and Sale of First Mortgage Bonds at Competitive Bidding

NOVEMBER 3, 1972.

Notice is hereby given that Georgia Power Co. (Georgia), 270 Peachtree Street NW., Atlanta, GA 30303, an electric utility subsidiary company of The Southern Co., a registered holding company, has filed an application with this Commission pursuant to the Public Utility Holding Company Act of 1935 (Act), designating section 6(b) of the Act and Rule 50 promulgated thereunder as applicable to the following proposed transaction. All interested persons are referred to the application, which is summarized below, for a complete statement of the proposed transaction.

Georgia proposes to issue and sell, subject to the competitive bidding requirements of Rule 50 under the Act, up to $150 million principal amount of its first mortgage bonds, — percent series, to mature not less than 5 years and not more than 30 years from the second day of the calendar month within which the bonds are issued. Georgia will decide on the maturity of the bonds after the date of public invitation for proposals and subsequently notify prospective bidders not less than 72 hours prior to the time of the bidding. The interest rate (which will be a multiple of one-eighth percent) and the price, exclusive of accrued interest, to be paid to Georgia (which will be not less than 99 percent nor more than 102¾ percent of the principal amount thereof) will be determined by the competitive bidding. The bonds will be issued under an indenture, dated as of March 1, 1941, between Georgia and Chemical Bank, as trustee, as heretofore supplemented, including the supplemental indenture dated October 25, 1972, modifying the $1 billion limitation on the principal amount of bonds which may be outstanding under the indenture (Holding Company Act Release No. 17684. Oct. 10, 1972) and as to be further supplemented by a supplemental indenture to be dated as of December 1, 1972, which includes a prohibition until December 1, 1977, against refunding the bonds with or in anticipation of the proceeds from borrowings at a lower cost.

Georgia will apply the proceeds from the sale of the bonds, together with other funds, to reduce indebtedness evidenced by short-term notes payable and 2-year notes payable contemplated by Georgia to be incurred in connection with its construction program (which for 1972 is estimated at $465,683,000). The estimated aggregate amount of such notes payable is $318 million, after giving effect to the full amounts contemplated in previous filings with the Commission (see Holding Company Act Release No. 17645, July 13, 1972). Georgia estimates that following the sale of the full $150 million principal amount of the proposed new bonds, the aggregate of its notes payable will be reduced to $16,200,000 as at December 31, 1972.

It is stated that the Georgia Public Service Commission has jurisdiction over the proposed issuance and sale of the bonds by Georgia and that the requisite order of that Commission will be filed by amendment. It is further stated that no other State commission and no Federal commission, other than this Commission, has jurisdiction over the proposed transaction. A statement of the fees and expenses to be incurred in connection with the transaction will be supplied by amendment.

Notice is further given that any interested person may, not later than November 27, 1972, request in writing that a hearing be held on such matter, stating the nature of his interest, the reasons for such request, and the issues of fact or law raised by said application which he desires to controvert; or he may request that he be notified if the Commission should order a hearing thereon. Any such request should be addressed: Secretary, Securities and Exchange Commission, Washington, D.C. 20549. A copy of such request should be served personally or by mail (airmail if the person being served is located more than 500 miles from the point of mailing) upon the applicant at the above-stated address, and proof of service (by affidavit or, in case of an attorney at law, by certificate) should be filed with the request. At any time after said date, the application, as filed or as it may be amended, may be granted as provided in Rule 23 of the general rules and regulations promulgated under the Act, or the Commission may grant exemption from such rules as provided in Rules 20(a) and 100 thereof or take such other action as it may deem appropriate. Persons who request a hearing or advice as to whether a hearing is ordered will receive notice of further developments in this matter, including the date of the hearing (if ordered) and any postponements thereof.

For the Commission, by the Division of Corporate Regulation, pursuant to delegated authority.

[SEAL] RONALD F. HUNT, *Secretary.*

[FR Doc.72-19199 Filed 11-8-72;8:46 am]

[812-3200]

GIANT MASCOT MINES LTD.

Filing of Application

NOVEMBER 2, 1972.

Notice is hereby given that Giant Mascot Mines Ltd. (Applicant), Toronto-Dominion Bank Tower, Pacific

Centre, 700 West Georgia Street, Vancouver 1, BC, Canada, a British Columbia corporation, has filed an application for an order of the Commission pursuant to section 3(b)(2) of the Investment Company Act of 1940 (Act) declaring that it is primarily engaged in a business or businesses other than that of investing, reinvesting, owning, holding or trading in securities either directly or (A) through majority-owned subsidiaries or (B) through controlled companies conducting similar types of businesses, or, in the alternative, for an order pursuant to section 6(c) of the Act exempting Applicant from all provisions of the Act. All interested persons are referred to the application on file with the Commission for a statement of the representations made therein which are summarized below.

Applicant was incorporated on June 7, 1950, under the laws of British Columbia and has since then been engaged in the business of mining and exploring for various minerals, almost exclusively in the Province of British Columbia. It was incorporated under a British Columbia statute available only to companies engaging in the mining, oil or natural gas business. Applicant states that its charter does not contemplate or permit investment company activity.

Applicant's sole significant source of revenues is from a British Columbia mine (the Giant Nickel Mine) where it produces nickel-copper concentrates. The activities and background of Applicant's officers are oriented to the mining business. Applicant employs no security analyst, trading staff or investment departments and has never publicly held itself out as having any investment expertise or as having the intention of making investments in minority interests of other companies.

In 1970, Applicant acquired an approximately 4.52 percent equity interest in Panarctic Oils Ltd. (the Consortium), a Canadian corporation holding extensive petroleum and natural gas rights in Canada's Arctic islands. The Consortium was formed in 1966 under Federal letters patent. The Canadian Federal Government owns 45 percent of the equity and the balance of the Consortium's shares are held by 19 private interests, including Applicant, none of which owns more than approximately 9 percent of the shares. The Consortium's shares are not publicly traded and are transferable only upon the prior approval of the holders of at least 60 percent of the Consortium's outstanding equity. By agreement among the Consortium's participants, each participant is entitled to representation on the Consortium's Board of Directors and its Exploration Committee. This form of syndicate for the exploration of oil and gas properties is commonly used in Canada in lieu of the co-ownership arrangement used in the United States to explore oil or gas fields too large for any one company to explore alone. For Canadian income tax purposes, contributions to the Consortium for oil and gas exploration constitute deductible exploration expenditures for most of the participants, rather than capital expenditures for acquiring stock.

As of June 30, 1972, Applicant's interest in the Consortium was valued at Cdn. $12,105,309, which represented as of such date 45.39 percent of Applicant's total assets (exclusive of U.S. Government securities and cash items.)

Applicant has never received any income from its interest in the Consortium and has no expectation of doing so for several years at least. Its interest in the Consortium is contended not to be of the kind contemplated by the Act's definition of investment security. A summary of Applicant's assets as of June 30, 1972, is as follows:

Item	Value	Percentage of applicant's total assets (exclusive of cash items) (*percent*)
Mining properties and natural gas interest held by applicant and its wholly owned subsidiaries and other tangible assets (Canadian)	$13,939,631	52.28
Investment in majority owned subsidiary, Mascot Nickel Plate Mines, Ltd. (75.47 percent interest)	229,684	.86
Investment in and advances to controlled corporation, Giant Explorations, Ltd. (N.P.L.) (27.87 percent interest)	365,663	1.37
Securities guaranteed by the Province of British Columbia at cost	27,472	.10
Interest in Panarctic Oils, Ltd	12,105,309	45.39
Total assets (excluding cash items) (Canadian)	26,667,759	100
Cash items	538,241	
Total assets (Canadian)	27,206,000	

Section 3(a)(3) of the Act provides that an "investment company" for purposes of the Act includes an issuer which "is engaged or proposes to engage in the business of investing, reinvesting, holding or trading in securities, and owns or proposes to acquire investment securities having a value exceeding 40 per centum of the value of such issuer's total assets (exclusive of Government securities and cash items) on an unconsolidated basis." For purposes of section 3(a)(3), the term "investment securities" includes all securities except U.S. Government securities and securities issued by majority-owned subsidiaries which are not themselves investment companies. Section 3(b)(2) excludes from the definition of "investment company":

> (2) Any issuer which the Commission, upon application by such issuer, finds and by order declares to be primarily engaged in a business or businesses other than that of investing, reinvesting, owning, holding, or trading in securities either directly or (A) through majority-owned subsidiaries or (B) through controlled companies conducting similar types of businesses.

Section 6(c) of the Act authorizes the Commission upon application to exempt any person from any provision or provisions of the Act conditionally or unconditionally if and to the extent such exemption is necessary or appropriate in the public interest and consistent with the protection of investors and the purposes fairly intended by the policy and provisions of the Act.

Notice is given that any interested person may, not later than November 24, 1972, at 5:30 p.m., submit to the Commission in writing a request for a hearing on the matter accompanied by a statement as to the nature of his interest, the reason for such request and the issues, if any, of fact or law proposed to be controverted, or he may request that he be notified if the Commission shall order a hearing thereon. Any such communication should be addressed: Secretary, Securities and Exchange Commission, Washington, D.C. 20549. A copy of such request shall be served personally or by mail (airmail if the person being served is located more than 500 miles from the point of mailing) upon the Applicant at the address stated above. Proof of such service (by affidavit or in the case of an attorney-at-law by certificate) shall be filed contemporaneously with the request. At any time after said date, as provided by Rule 0-5 of the rules and regulations promulgated under the Act, an order disposing of the Application herein may be issued by the Commission upon the basis of the information stated in said application unless an order for hearing upon said application shall be issued upon request or upon the Commission's own motion. Persons who request a hearing, or advice as to whether a hearing is ordered, will receive notice of further developments in this matter, including the date of the hearing (if ordered) and any postponements thereof.

For the Commission, by the Division of Investment Company Regulation, pursuant to delegated authority.

[SEAL] RONALD F. HUNT, *Secretary.*

[FR Doc.72-19220 Filed 11-8-72;8:47 am]

[File No. 500-1]

MARKETING COMMUNICATIONS, INC.

Order Suspending Trading

NOVEMBER 3, 1972.

It appearing to the Securities and Exchange Commission that the summary suspension of trading in the common stock, no par value, and all other securities of Marketing Communications, Inc., being traded otherwise than on a national securities exchange is required in the public interest and for the protection of investors;

It is ordered, Pursuant to section 15(c)(5) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, that trading in such securities otherwise than on a national securities

exchange, be summarily suspended, this order to be effective for the period from November 6, 1972 through November 15, 1972.

By the Commission.

[SEAL] RONALD F. HUNT, *Secretary.*

[FR Doc.72-19204 Filed 11-8-72;8:46 am]

[File No. 500-1]

MONARCH GENERAL, INC.

Order Suspending Trading

NOVEMBER 2, 1972.

It appearing to the Securities and Exchange Commission that the summary suspension of trading in the common stock, $0.01 par value, and all other securities of Monarch General, Inc. being traded otherwise than on a national securities exchange is required in the public interest and for the protection of investors;

It is ordered, Pursuant to section 15 (c)(5) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, that trading in such securities otherwise than on a national securities exchange be summarily suspended, this order to be effective for the period from 10:45 a.m. (e.s.t.) on November 2, 1972, through November 11, 1972.

By the Commission.

[SEAL] RONALD F. HUNT, *Secretary.*

[FR Doc.72-19206 Filed 11-8-72;8:46 am]

[File No. 500-1]

POWER CONVERSION, INC.

Order Suspending Trading

NOVEMBER 3, 1972.

It appearing to the Securities and Exchange Commission that the summary suspension of trading in the common stock, $0.01 par value, and all other securities of Power Conversion, Inc., being traded otherwise than on a national securities exchange is required in the public interest and for the protection of investors;

It is ordered, Pursuant to section 15 (c)(5) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, that trading in such securities otherwise than on a national securities exchange be summarily suspended, this order to be effective for the period from November 5, 1972 through November 14, 1972.

By the Commission.

[SEAL] RONALD F. HUNT, *Secretary.*

[FR Doc.72-19205 Filed 11-8-72;8:46 am]

[File No. 500-1]

TOPPER CORP.

Order Suspending Trading

NOVEMBER 3, 1972.

The common stock, $1 par value of Topper Corp. being traded on the American Stock Exchange, pursuant to provisions of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 and all other securities of Topper Corp. being traded otherwise than on a national securities exchange; and

It appearing to the Securities and Exchange Commission that the summary suspension of trading in such securities on such exchanges and otherwise than on a national securities exchange is required in the public interest and for the protection of investors;

It is ordered, Pursuant to sections 19 (a)(4) and 15(c)(5) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, that trading in such securities on the above mentioned exchange and otherwise than on a national securities exchange be summarily suspended, this order to be effective for the period from November 5, 1972 through November 14, 1972.

By the Commission.

[SEAL] RONALD F. HUNT, *Secretary.*

[FR Doc.72-19201 Filed 11-8-72;8:46 am]

[File No. 500-1]

TRANS-EAST AIR, INC.

Order Suspending Trading

NOVEMBER 3, 1972.

It appearing to the Securities and Exchange Commission that the summary suspension of trading in the common stock, $0.50 par value, and all other securities of Trans-East Air, Inc., being traded otherwise than on a national securities exchange is required in the public interest and for the protection of investors;

It is ordered, Pursuant to section 15 (c)(5) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, that trading in such securities otherwise than on a national securities exchange be summarily suspended, this order to be effective for the period from November 6, 1972 through November 15, 1972.

By the Commission.

[SEAL] RONALD F. HUNT, *Secretary.*

[FR Doc.72-19203 Filed 11-8-72;8:46 am]

[File Nos. 7-4301-7-4310]

FREEPORT MINERALS CO. ET AL.

Applications for Unlisted Trading Privileges; Opportunity for Hearing

NOVEMBER 3, 1972.

In the matter of applications of the Cincinnati Stock Exchange for unlisted trading privileges in certain securities.

The above named national securities exchange has filed applications with the Securities and Exchange Commission pursuant to section 12(f)(1)(B) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 and Rule 12f-1 thereunder, for unlisted trading privileges in the common stocks of the following companies, which securities are listed and registered on one or more other national securities exchanges:

	File No.
Freeport Minerals Co	7-4301
General Instrument Corp	7-4302
Lone Star Gas Co	7-4303
McDonnell Douglas Corp	7-4304
Northern Natural Gas Co	7-4305
Pacific Gas and Electric Co	7-4306
Revlon, Inc	7-4307
Southern Natural Gas Co	7-4308
Texas Gas Transmission Corp	7-4309
Weyerhaeuser Co	7-4310

Upon receipt of a request, on or before November 19, 1972, from any interested person, the Commission will determine whether the application with respect to any of the companies named shall be set down for hearing. Any such request should state briefly the title of the security in which he is interested, the nature of the interest of the person making the request, and the position he proposes to take at the hearing, if ordered. In addition, any interested person may submit his views or any additional facts bearing on any of the said applications by means of a letter addressed to the Secretary, Securities and Exchange Commission, Washington, D.C. 20549 not later than the date specified. If no one requests a hearing with respect to any particular application, such application will be determined by order of the Commission on the basis of the facts stated therein and other information contained in the official files of the Commission pertaining thereto.

For the Commission, by the Division of Market Regulation, pursuant to delegated authority.

[SEAL] RONALD F. HUNT, *Secretary.*

[FR Doc.72-19208 Filed 11-8-72;8:47 am]

[File No. 7-4293 etc.]

AIRCO, INC. ET AL.

Applications for Unlisted Trading Privileges; Opportunity for Hearing

NOVEMBER 3, 1972.

In the matter of applications of the Cincinnati Stock Exchange for unlisted trading privileges in certain securities. Securities Exchange Act of 1934.

The above named national securities exchange has filed applications with the Securities and Exchange Commission pursuant to section 12(f)(1)(B) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 and Rule 12f-1 thereunder, for unlisted trading privileges in the common stocks of the following companies, which securities are listed and registered on one or more other national securities exchanges:

	File No.
Airco, Inc	7-4293
American General Insurance Co	7-4294
American Metal Climax, Inc	7-4295
AMF Incorporated	7-4296
Bunker Ramo Corp	7-4297
Coastal States Gas Producing Co	7-4298
Commonwealth Oil Refining Co., Inc	7-4299
Duke Power Co	7-4300

Upon receipt of a request, on or before November 19, 1972, from any interested person, the Commission will determine

whether the application with respect to any of the companies named shall be set down for hearing. Any such request should state briefly the title of the security in which he is interested, the nature of the interest of the person making the request, and the position he proposes to take at the hearing, if ordered. In addition, any interested person may submit his views or any additional facts bearing on any of the said applications by means of a letter addressed to the Secretary, Securities and Exchange Commission, Washington, D.C., 20549 not later than the date specified. If no one requests a hearing with respect to any particular application, such application will be determined by order of the Commission on the basis of the facts stated therein and other information contained in the official files of the Commission pertaining thereto.

For the Commission, by the Division of Market Regulation, pursuant to delegated authority.

[SEAL] RONALD F. HUNT, *Secretary.*

[FR Doc.72-19209 Filed 11-8-72;8:47 am]

[File No. 7-4292]

UNIVERSITY COMPUTING CO.

Application for Unlisted Trading Privileges; Opportunity for Hearing

NOVEMBER 3, 1972.

In the matter of application of the Pacific Coast Stock Exchange for unlisted trading privileges in a certain security. Securities and Exchange Act of 1934.

The above named national securities exchange has filed an application with the Securities and Exchange Commission pursuant to section 12(f)(1)(B) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 and Rule 12f-1 thereunder, for unlisted trading privileges in the common stock of the following company, which security is listed and registered on one or more other national securities exchange:

	File No.
University Computing Co	7-4292

Upon receipt of a request, on or before November 19, 1972, from any interested person, the Commission will determine whether the application shall be set down for hearing. Any such request should state briefly the nature of the interest of the person making the request and the position he proposes to take at the hearing, if ordered. In addition, any interested person may submit his views or any additional facts bearing on the said application by means of a letter addressed to the Secretary, Securities and Exchange Commission, Washington, D.C. 20549 not later than the date specified. If no one requests a hearing, this application will be determined by order of the Commission on the basis of the facts stated therein and other information contained in the official files of the Commission pertaining thereto.

For the Commission, by the Division of Market Regulation, pursuant to delegated authority.

[SEAL] RONALD F. HUNT, *Secretary.*

[FR Doc.72-19207 Filed 11-8-72;8:47 am]

SELECTIVE SERVICE SYSTEM

SELECTIVE SERVICE FORMS

Notice of Availability

Pursuant to 5 U.S.C. 552(a)(1) notice is given that the following forms may be obtained at any local board of the Selective Service System:

SSS FORMS

1—Registration Card.
6—Request for Duplicate Registration Certificate or Notice of Classification.
80—Standby Reserve Questionnaire.
100—Classification Questionnaire.
103—Graduate or Professional College Student Certificate.
104—Request for Undergraduate Student Deferments.
109—Student Certificate.
118—Dependency Questionnaire.
127—Current Information Questionnaire.
130—Request for Relief from Training and Service in the Armed Forces of the United States (Revised July 1972).
131—Special Form for Alien or Dual National (July 1972).
150—Special Form for Conscientious Objector (Rev. Apr. 18, 1972).
151—Application of Volunteer for Civilian Work.
152—Special Form for Class 1-O Registrants.
156—Employer's Statement of Availability of Job as Alternate Service.
172—Special Form for Divinity Student (Sept. 1972).
173—Special Form for Registrant With Court Record (Sept. 1972).
174—Special Form for Surviving Son (Sept. 1972).
175—Special Form for Minister of Religion (Sept. 1972).
254—Application for Voluntary Induction.
305—Notice of Confinement or Release from Confinement.
725—Authorization for Release of Information.

BYRON V. PEPITONE, *Acting Director.*

NOVEMBER 6, 1972.

[FR Doc.72-19284 Filed 11-8-72;8:53 am]

INTERSTATE COMMERCE COMMISSION

[Notice 112]

ASSIGNMENT OF HEARINGS

NOVEMBER 6, 1972.

Cases assigned for hearing, postponement, cancellation or oral argument appear below and will be published only once. This list contains prospective assignments only and does not include cases previously assigned hearing dates. The hearings will be on the issues as presently reflected in the Official Docket of the Commission. An attempt will be made to publish notices of cancellation of hearings as promptly as possible, but interested parties should take appropriate steps to insure that they are notified of cancellation or postponements of hearings in which they are interested. No amendments will be entertained after the date of this publication.

MC 136761, Hugh Loden & Alvin Vinson doing business as Vinson Garage & Wrecker Service, now assigned December 11, 1972, at Memphis, Tenn., is cancelled and transferred to modified procedure.

MC-129708 Sub 1, McRay Truck Line, Inc., Common Carrier Application, now assigned November 27, 1972, MC-106497 Sub 66, Parkhill Truck Co., now assigned November 28, 1972, MC-119777 Sub 242, Ligon Specialized Hauler, Inc., now assigned November 29, 1972, MC-51146 Sub 274, Schneider Transport, Inc., now assigned November 30, 1972, MC-108676 Sub 47, A. J. Metler Hauling & Rigging, Inc., now assigned December 1, 1972, MC-C-7786, Eck Miller Transportation Corp.—Investigation and Revocation of Certificates, now assigned December 4, 1972, MC-117565 Sub 30, Motor Service Co., Inc. now assigned December 6, 1972, will be held in Room 370, New Federal Building, 600 Federal Place, Louisville, KY.

MCC 7166, Travel Center of Waterbury, Inc. v. Continental Trailways, Inc. et al., M.C.C. 7631, Travel Center of Waterbury, Inc. v. Eastern Ski Tours, Inc. et al., now being assigned hearing January 29, 1973 (2 days), at New York, N.Y., in a hearing room to be later designated.

MC-F-11644, Maplewood Equipment Co.—Control and Merger—Inter-City Transportation Co., Inc. et al., FD 27179, Maplewood Equipment Co., now being assigned hearing January 31, 1973 (3 days), at Newark, N.J., in a hearing room to be later designated.

MC-99208 Sub 9, Skyline Transportation, Inc., now assigned November 27, 1972, at Frankfort, Ky., is cancelled and reassigned to Ramada Inn, 7621 Kingston Pike, Knoxville, TN.

MC-C-7436, Needham Packing Co., Inc. v. Curtins, Inc., now being assigned hearing February 5, 1973 (1 day), at Omaha, Nebr., in a hearing room to be later designated.

MC-C-7757, Inter-County Motor Coach, Inc. v. Schenck Tours, Inc. et al., MC-12731 Subs 1 and 2, Teens N Tours, Inc., now being assigned hearing February 12, 1973 (2 days), at New York, N.Y., in a hearing room to be later designated.

MC-135736 Sub 1, Fleet Services, Inc., now being assigned hearing February 14, 1973 (3 days), at New York, N.Y., in a hearing room to be later designated.

MC 117610 Sub 8, Derrico Trucking Corp., now assigned November 6, 1972, at New York, N.Y., hearing not called, application dismissed.

[SEAL] ROBERT L. OSWALD, *Secretary.*

[FR Doc.72-19299 Filed 11-8-72;8:54 am]

[Notice 113]

ASSIGNMENT OF HEARINGS

NOVEMBER 6, 1972.

Cases assigned for hearing, postponement, cancellation, or oral argument appear below and will be published only once. This list contains prospective assignments only and does not include cases previously assigned hearing dates. The hearings will be on the issues as presently reflected in the Official Docket of the Commission. An attempt will be made

to publish notices of cancellation of hearings as promptly as possible, but interested parties should take appropriate steps to insure that they are notified of cancellation or postponements of hearings in which they are interested. No amendments will be entertained after the date of this publication.

CORRECTION

No. 35655, Clougherty Packing Company-V-Burlington Northern, Inc., et al., now being assigned hearing January 31, 1973, at Los Angeles, Calif., in a hearing room to be later designated, instead of the Offices of the Interstate Commerce Commission, Washington, D.C.

[SEAL] ROBERT L. OSWALD, *Secretary.*

[FR Doc.72-19300 Filed 11-8-72;8:54 am]

[Notice 147]

MOTOR CARRIER TEMPORARY AUTHORITY APPLICATIONS

NOVEMBER 3, 1972.

The following are notices of filing of applications[1] for temporary authority under section 210a(a) of the Interstate Commerce Act provided for under the new rules of Ex Parte No. MC-67 (49 CFR Part 1131), published in the FEDERAL REGISTER, issue of April 27, 1965, effective July 1, 1965. These rules provide that protests to the granting of an application must be filed with the field official named in the FEDERAL REGISTER publication, within 15 calendar days after the date of notice of the filing of the application is published in the FEDERAL REGISTER. One copy of such protests must be served on the applicant, or its authorized representative, if any, and the protests must certify that such service has been made. The protests must be specific as to the service which such protestant can and will offer, and must consist of a signed original and six (6) copies.

A copy of the application is on file, and can be examined at the Office of the Secretary, Interstate Commerce Commission, Washington, D.C., and also in field office to which protests are to be transmitted.

MOTOR CARRIERS OF PROPERTY

No. MC 15897 (Sub-No. 9 TA), filed October 19, 1972. Applicant: O.K. TRANSFER AND STORAGE CO., Post Office Box 1602, 207 South Union Street, Shawnee, OK 74801. Applicant's representative: Wilburn L. Williamson, 280 National Foundation Life Center, Oklahoma City, Okla. 73112. Authority sought to operate as a *common carrier*, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: *Uncrated store fixtures and furnishings*, from the facilities of the Plastelite Engineering Co., Division of Tandy Corp., Fort Worth, Tex., to the warehouse facilities of the Radio Shack Division of the Tandy Corp. at Garden Grove, Calif., for 180 days. Supporting shipper: Robert J. Russell, General Manager, Plastelite Engineering Co., Post Office Box 412, Fort Worth, TX 76101. Send protests to: C. L. Phillips, District Supervisor, Interstate Commerce Commission, Room 240, Old Post Office Building, 215 Northwest Third, Oklahoma City, OK 73102.

No. MC 67200 (Sub-No. 37 TA), filed October 17, 1972. Applicant: THE FURNITURE TRANSPORT COMPANY, INC., Post Office Box 392, Milford, CT 06460. Authority sought to operate as a *common carrier*, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: *Baby carriages, strollers, play yards and high chairs*, from Gardner, Mass., to points in New York, New Jersey, Pennsylvania, Delaware, Maryland, and the District of Columbia, for 180 days. Supporting shipper: Collier-Keyworth Co., Gardner, Mass. 01440. Send protests to: David J. Kiernan, District Supervisor, Interstate Commerce Commission, Bureau of Operations, 324 U.S. Post Office Building, 135 High Street, Hartford, CT 06101.

No. MC 100666 (Sub-No. 228 TA), filed October 24, 1972. Applicant: MELTON TRUCK LINES, INC., Post Office Box 7666, Shreveport, LA 71107. Applicant's representative: Wilburn L. Williamson, 280 National Foundation Life Building, 3535 Northwest 58th Street, Oklahoma City, OK 73112. Authority sought to operate as a *common carrier*, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: *Quarry stone* from the facilities of Texas Quarries, Inc., at or near Lueders, Tex., to Shreveport, La., for 180 days. Supporting shipper: B. & B. Cut Stone Co., Inc., Post Office Box 35, Shreveport, La. 71161. Send protests to: District Supervisor Paul D. Collins, Interstate Commerce Commission, Bureau of Operations, T-9038 Federal Building, 701 Loyola Avenue, New Orleans, LA 70113.

No. MC 107818 (Sub-No. 63 TA), filed October 16, 1972. Applicant: GREENSTEIN TRUCKING COMPANY, a corporation, 280 Northwest 12th Avenue, Post Office Box 608, Pompano Beach, FL 33061. Applicant's representative: Martin Sack, Jr., 1754 Gulf Life Tower, Jacksonville, Fla. 32207. Authority sought to operate as a *common carrier*, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: *Dairy products, yogurt, and prepared desserts*, from Walton, N.Y., and Hagerstown, Md., to points in North Carolina, South Carolina, Georgia, and Florida, for 180 days. Supporting shipper: Breakstone Sugar Creek Foods, 450 East Illinois Street, Chicago, IL 60611. Send protests to: District Supervisor Joseph B. Teichert, Interstate Commerce Commission, Bureau of Operations, 5720 Southwest 17th Street, Room 105, Miami, FL 33155.

No. MC 116273 (Sub-No. 158 TA), filed October 17, 1972. Applicant: D & L TRANSPORT, INC., 3800 South Laramie Avenue, Cicero, IL 60650. Applicant's representative: Robert G. Paluch, VP, Sales and Traffic (same address as above). Authority sought to operate as a *common carrier*, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: *Liquid coloring agents*, in bulk, in tank vehicles, from Northbrook, Ill., to Boston, Mass., for 180 days. Supporting shipper: Inca Inks, Inc., 1836 Stanley Street, Northbrook, IL 60062. Send protests to: District Supervisor Richard K. Shullaw, Interstate Commerce Commission, Bureau of Operations, Everett McKinley Dirksen Building, 219 South Dearborn Street, Room 1086, Chicago, IL 60604.

No. MC 119435 (Sub-No. 2 TA), filed October 24, 1972. Applicant: WADDELL TRANSFER, INC., Post Office Box 61, Hockett Street, Marion, VA 24354. Applicant's representative: R. Cameron Rollins, 321 East Center Street, Kingsport, TN 37660. Authority sought to operate as a *contract carrier*, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: *Brick, cinder block, concrete block, clay and clay products, shale and shale products, concrete and concrete products, mortar mixes, and brick, block and tile raw materials*, (1) between Glasgow, Richlands, and Richmond, Va., on the one hand, and, on the other, points in Kentucky, Maryland, North Carolina, Tennessee, and West Virginia; and (2) between Elizabethton, Johnson City, Kingsport, and Knoxville, Tenn., on the one hand, and, on the other, points in Kentucky, North Carolina, Virginia, and West Virginia, for 180 days. Supporting shipper: General Shale Products Corp., Post Office Box 3547, Johnson City, TN 37601. Send protests to: C. M. Harmon, District Supervisor, Interstate Commerce Commission, Bureau of Operations, 215 Campbell Avenue SW., Roanoke, VA 24011.

No. MC 119789 (Sub-No. 121 TA), filed October 24, 1972. Applicant: CARAVAN REFRIGERATED CARGO, INC., Post Office Box 6188, 1612 Irving Boulevard, Dallas, TX 75222. Applicant's representative: James N. Weatherly (same address as above). Authority sought to operate as a *common carrier*, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: *Canned and bottled foodstuffs*, from Lafayette and New Iberia, La., to points in Oregon and Washington, for 180 days. NOTE: Carrier does not intend to tack authority. Supporting shipper: B. F. Trappey's Sons, Inc., Locker Drawer 400, New Iberia, LA 70560. Send protests to: District Supervisor E. K. Willis, Jr., Interstate Commerce Commission, Bureau of Operations, 1100 Commerce Street, Room 13C12, Dallas, TX 75202.

No. MC 123048 (Sub-No. 233 TA), filed October 17, 1972. Applicant: DIAMOND TRANSPORTATION SYSTEM, INC., Post Office Box A, 53403, 1919 Hamilton Avenue, Racine, WI 53401. Applicant's representative: Carl S. Pope (same address as above). Authority sought to operate as a *common carrier*, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: (1) *Tractors* (except truck tractors designed to be used in the transportation of property on highways and of tractors weighing 10,000 pounds or more), and (2) *tractor attachments and parts* in mixed loads with tractors, from the port

[1] Except as otherwise specifically noted, each applicant states that there will be no significant effect on the quality of the human environment resulting from approval of its application.

of entry at Houston, Tex., and from the branch facilities of J. I. Case Co., at or near Kansas, City, Mo., to points in Colorado, Kansas, and Missouri, and to points in Dallam, Sherman, Hansford, Ochiltree, Lipscomb, Hartley, Moore, Hutchinson, Roberts, and Hemphill Counties, Tex., Benton, Carroll, Boone, Washington, Madison, Newton, Crawford, Franklin, Johnson, Pope, Scott, Sebastian, Logan, and Yell Counties, Ark., and to points north of and including the following counties: Ellis, Dewey, Blaine, Kingfisher, Logan, Lincoln, Okjuskee, McIntosh, Haskell, Latimer, and Le Flore, Okla., for 180 days. Supporting shipper: J. I. Case Co., 700 State Street, Racine, WI 53404 (James Pavel, Traffic Manager). Send protests to: District Supervisor John E. Ryden, Interstate Commerce Commission, Bureau of Operations, 135 West Wells Street, Room 807, Milwaukee, WI 53203.

No. MC 135234 (Sub-No. 11 TA), filed October 17, 1972. Applicant: COMMERCIAL CARTAGE, INC., 101 Hudson Street, St. Albans, WV 25177. Applicant's representative: Marvin L. Meadows (same address as above). Authority sought to operate as a *contract carrier*, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: *Wire rope or strand, iron or steel, galvanized or plastic coated on reels or in coils; wire, iron or steel, galvanized in coils or on reels, spirals, iron or steel; and products used in the manufacture of wire rope, iron or steel*, between Jacksonville, Fla., on the one hand, and, on the other, points in the continental United States east of the Mississippi River, and points in Iowa, Kansas, Missouri, Nebraska, and Texas, for 180 days. Supporting shipper: Florida Wire & Cable Co., Inc., 825 North Lane Avenue, Post Office Box 6835, Jacksonville, FL 32205. Attention: William R. Boyle, Traffic Manager. Send protests to: R. R. White, District Supervisor, Interstate Commerce Commission, Bureau of Operations, 3108 Federal Building, 500 Quarrier Street, Charleston, WV 25301.

No. MC 135276 (Sub-No. 2 TA) (Correction), filed October 2, 1972, published in the FEDERAL REGISTER issues of October 20, and November 1, 1972, respectively, and republished in part as corrected this issue. Applicant: GENE ROMSBURG ENTERPRISES, INC., South Water Street, Frederick, MD 21701. Applicant's representative: Francis J. Ortman, 1100—17th Street NW., Washington, DC 20036. NOTE: The purpose of this partial republication is to show the correct address of applicant's representative as 1100 17th Street NW., in lieu of 110 17th Street NW., shown in error in previous publication. The rest of the notice remains the same.

No. MC 135609 (Sub-No. 3 TA), filed October 24, 1972. Applicant: FRED W. MOHOLLAND, Box 98, Princeton, ME 04668. Applicant's representative: Frederick T. McGonagle, 36 Main Street, Gorham, ME 04038. Authority sought to operate as a *contract carrier*, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: (1) *Cardboard corrugating medium*, from port of entry on the international boundary line between the United States and Canada, at or near Calais, Maine, to points in Massachusetts, New York, and New Jersey, Presque Isle, Maine, Berlin, and Manchester, N.H., and Portland, Conn., and (2) *waste cardboard*, from Quincy, Mass., to the port of entry on the international boundary line between the United States and Canada, at or near Calais, Maine, for 180 days. Supporting shipper: Fundy Forest Industries Limited, Box 180, St. George, New Brunswick, Canada. Send protests to: District Supervisor Donald G. Weiler, Interstate Commerce Commission, Bureau of Operations, Post Office Box 167, PSS, Room 307, 76 Pearl Street, Portland, ME 04112.

No. MC 138071 (Sub-No. 1 TA), filed October 18, 1972. Applicant: D. A. HAMPTON, doing business as D. A. HAMPTON TRUCKING CO., 1504 Oak Street, Charlotte, NC 28213. Applicant's representative: D. A. Hampton (same address as above). Authority sought to operate as a *contract carrier*, by motor vehicle, over regular routes, transporting: *Sand, crushed stone, plant mix asphalt, dirt*, from points in Mecklenburg County, N.C., to points in York County, S.C., for 180 days. Supporting shipper: Queen City Paving Co., Post Office Box 15127, Charlotte, NC 28210. Send protests to: Frank H. Wait, Jr., Interstate Commerce Commission, Bureau of Operations, Suite 417, BSR Building, 316 East Morehead Street, Charlotte, NC 28202.

No. MC 138072 TA (Amendment), filed September 29, 1972, published in the FEDERAL REGISTER issue of October 10, 1972, amended and republished as amended this issue. Applicant: MAY TRUCKING CO., INC., Allen, KY 41601. Applicant's representative: Francis P. Desmond, 115 East Fifth Street, Chester, PA 19013. Authority sought to operate as a *contract carrier*, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: *Nitro carbonitrate* in bulk, from Allen, Ky., to points in Mingo and *McDowell* Counties, W. Va., and *Buchanan*, Dickenson, Russell, and Wise Counties, Va., for 180 days. Supporting shipper: Paul J. Keehan, Assistant Manager, Truck and Air Transportation Section, Traffic Department, E. I. Du Pont de Nemours & Company, 1007 Market Street, Wilmington, DE 19801. Send protests to: R. W. Schneiter, District Supervisor, Interstate Commerce Commission, Bureau of Operations, 222 Bakhaus Building, 1500 West Main Street, Lexington, KY 40505. NOTE: The purpose of this republication is to add the restriction, to show the correct county as Buchanan, Va., in lieu of Buckingham, Va., and to show the correct county as McDowell, W. Va., in lieu of McDonell, W. Va.

No. MC 138078 (Sub-No. 1 TA), filed October 17, 1972. Applicant: M K TRANSPORT, INC., 975 East 25th Street, Post Office Box 2762, Idaho Falls, ID 83401. Applicant's representative: F. L. Sigloh & Associates, Post Office Box 7651, Boise, ID 83707. Authority sought to operate as a *common carrier*, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: *Lumber and lumber mill products*, including plywood and builtup woods, from Kootenai, Benewah, Latah, Nezperce, Lewis, Clearwater, Idaho, and Lemhi Counties, Idaho and Darby and Missoula, Mont. to points in Colorado, for 180 days. NOTE: Applicant states that it does not intend to tack the requested authority or interline with any other carrier. Supporting shippers: Potlatch Forests, Inc., Lewiston, Idaho, 83501; Del Conner Lumber, Inc., Darby, Mont. 59829; Foster Lumber Co., Inc., 205 Canyon Boulevard, Boulder, CO; and Geddes Lumber Co., 4 South Santa Fe Drive, Denver, CO 80223. Send protests to: District Supervisor C. W. Campbell, Interstate Commerce Commission, Bureau of Operations, 550 West Fort, Box 07, Boise, ID 83702.

By the Commission.

[SEAL] ROBERT L. OSWALD, *Secretary.*

[FR Doc.72-19301 Filed 11-8-72;8:54 am]

HEARING DATES AND FILING OF BRIEFS

Postponements and Extensions of Time

The Commission desires to remind all parties to proceedings before it that delays resulting from undue requests for postponement of hearings and brief dates result in unnecessary expense and inconvenience to the parties as well as to the Government. By notice issued April 26, 1965, the Commission advised that a more restrictive policy was to be adopted in respect to such requests and that a closer scrutiny thereof would be made by officials authorized to grant or deny them. The processing of unnecessary requests results in inefficient employment of personnel, is equally burdensome upon all parties who must consider and reply thereto, and cannot be allowed to continue to grow.

As in the past, every effort will be made to assign hearings and to establish due dates for briefs and other pleadings with a view toward giving sufficient time for all parties to prepare. However, the occurrence of a conflict of dates will not, standing alone, be considered as an adequate reason for postponement. Similarly, the press of other work will not necessarily be regarded as a sufficient reason to warrant an extension of time. The press of matters before this Commission is also of great importance.

Those participating in proceedings before the Commission will be expected to be prepared for hearings on the dates they are assigned and to refrain from requesting extensions of time except where absolute necessity dictates such a request and warrants an extension. The Commission deems strict administrative disposition of all such requests to be a matter best serving the overall public interest.

The full cooperation of all practioners in effectuating this policy will be expected.

[SEAL] ROBERT L. OSWALD,
Secretary.

[FR Doc.72-19302 Filed 11-8-72;8:54 am]

[Notice 91]

MOTOR CARRIER, BROKER, WATER CARRIER AND FREIGHT FORWARDER APPLICATIONS

NOVEMBER 3, 1972.

The following applications (except as otherwise specifically noted, each applicant (on applications filed after March 27, 1972) states that there will be no significant effect on the quality of the human environment resulting from approval of its application), are governed by Special Rule 1100.247[1] of the Commission's general rules of practice (49 CFR, as amended), published in the FEDERAL REGISTER issue of April 20, 1966, effective May 20, 1966. These rules provide, among other things, that a protest to the granting of an application must be filed with the Commission within 30 days after date of notice of filing of the application is published in the FEDERAL REGISTER. Failure seasonably to file a protest will be construed as a waiver of opposition and participation in the proceeding. A protest under these rules should comply with section 247(d)(3) of the rules of practice which requires that it set forth specifically the grounds upon which it is made, contain a detailed statement of protestant's interest in the proceeding (including a copy of the specific portions of its authority which protestant believes to be in conflict with that sought in the application, and describing in detail the method—whether by joinder, interline, or other means—by which protestant would use such authority to provide all or part of the service proposed), and shall specify with particularity the facts, matters, and things relied upon, but shall not include issues or allegations phrased generally. Protests not in reasonable compliance with the requirements of the rules may be rejected. The original and one (1) copy of the protest shall be filed with the Commission, and a copy shall be served concurrently upon applicant's representative, or applicant if no representative is named. If the protest includes a request for oral hearing, such requests shall meet the requirements of section 247(d)(4) of the special rules, and shall include the certification required therein.

Section 247(f) of the Commission's rules of practice further provides that each applicant shall, if protests to its application have been filed, and within 60 days of the date of this publication, notify the Commission in writing (1) that it is ready to proceed and prosecute the application, or (2) that it wishes to withdraw the application, failure in which the application will be dismissed by the Commission.

Further processing steps (whether modified procedure, oral hearing, or other procedures) will be determined generally in accordance with the Commission's general policy statement concerning motor carrier licensing procedures, published in the FEDERAL REGISTER issue of May 3, 1966. This assignment will be by Commission order which will be served on each party of record. Broadening amendments will not be accepted after the date of this publication except for good cause shown, and restrictive amendments will not be entertained following publication in the FEDERAL REGISTER of a notice that the proceeding has been assigned for oral hearing.

No. MC 531 (Sub-No. 281), filed October 9, 1972. Applicant: YOUNGER BROTHERS, INC., 4904 Griggs Road, Post Office Box 14048, Houston, TX 77021. Applicant's representative: Wray E. Hughes (same address as above). Authority sought to operate as a *common carrier*, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: *Fruit juice concentrates*, in bulk, in tank vehicles, from points in Michigan, New York, and Pennsylvania, to points in California. NOTE: Common control may be involved. Applicant states that the requested authority cannot be tacked with its existing authority. If a hearing is deemed necessary, applicant requests it be held at San Francisco, Calif.

No. MC 2202 (Sub-No. 419), filed October 6, 1972. Applicant: ROADWAY EXPRESS, INC., 1077 Gorge Boulevard, Post Office Box 471, Akron, OH 44309. Applicant's representative: James W. Conner (same address as applicant). Authority sought to operate as a *common carrier*, by motor vehicle, over regular routes, transporting: *General commodities*, except those of unusual value, class A and B explosives, livestock, household goods as defined by the Commission, commodities in bulk, and those requiring special equipment, (1) between Meridian, Miss., and Florence, Ala., serving the facilities of Mueller Brass at or near Fulton, Miss., as an off-route point and serving Meridian, Miss., and Florence, Ala., for purposes of joinder only, from Meridian over U.S. Highway 45 to junction of U.S. Highway 45 and Mississippi Highway 25, thence over Mississippi Highway 25 to the junction of Mississippi Highway 25 and U.S. Highway 78, thence over U.S. Highway 78 to the junction of Highways 78 and 43, thence over U.S. Highway 43 to Florence, Ala., and return over the same route, and (2) between Memphis, Tenn., and the junction of U.S. Highways 278 and 431, serving the facilities of Mueller Brass at or near Fulton, Miss., as an off-route point, and serving Memphis, Tenn., and the junction of U.S. Highways 278 and 431 for purposes of joinder only, from Memphis over U.S. Highway 78 to the junction of U.S. Highways 78 and 278, thence over U.S. Highway 278 to the junction of U.S. Highways 278 and 431, and return over the same route. Restriction: Restricted against the transportation of traffic originating at or destined to Memphis, Tenn., or points in its commercial zone. NOTE: Common control may be involved. If a hearing is deemed necessary, applicant requests it be held at Charlotte, N.C., or Washington, D.C.

No. MC 2263 (Sub-No. 4), filed September 22, 1972. Applicant: LAUREL TRANSPORT CORPORATION, Post Office Box 438, Rio Grande, NJ 08242. Applicant's representative: Alan Kahn, 1920 Two Penn Center Plaza, Philadelphia, PA 19102. Authority sought to operate as a *common carrier*, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: *General commodities* (except commodities in bulk, items of unusual value, commodities requiring special equipment, and household goods as defined by the Commission) between points in Cape May County, N.J., on the one hand, and, on the other, the New York, N.Y. commercial zone, as defined by the Commission. NOTE: Applicant states that the requested authority can be tacked with its lead under MC 2263 and Subs 1 and 2 but indicates it is not presently feasible. Persons interested in the tacking possibilities are cautioned that failure to oppose the application may result in an unrestricted grant of authority. If a hearing is deemed necessary, applicant requests it be held at either (1) Cape May Courthouse, N.J.; (2) New York, N.Y.; or (3) Washington, D.C.

No. MC 2401 (Sub-No. 50), filed October 11, 1972. Applicant: MOTOR FREIGHT CORPORATION, Post Office Box 2057, Idaho Station, 2345 South 13th Street, Terre Haute, IN 47802. Applicant's representative: Robert R. Redmon, 2001 Massachusetts Avenue NW., Washington, DC 20036. Authority sought to operate as a *common carrier*, by motor vehicle, over regular routes, transporting: *General commodities* (except those of unusual value, classes A and B explosives, household goods as defined by the Commission, commodities in bulk, and those requiring special equipment), serving the warehouse site of Western Electric Co., at or near Underwood, Iowa, as an off-route point in connection with applicant's regular-route operations via Omaha, Nebr. NOTE: Common control may be involved. If a hearing is deemed necessary, applicant requests it be held at Omaha, Nebr., or Washington, D.C.

No. MC 10223 (Sub-No. 6), filed September 28, 1972. Applicant: ROBERT E. MACK, CARL BROWN, SOPHIE R. MACK, ESTELLE M. FUNK, AND THERESA R. MOLLY, doing business as MACK TRANSPORTATION COMPANY, 4330 Torresdale Avenue, Philadelphia, PA 19124. Applicant's representative: John W. Frame, Post Office Box 626, 2207 Old Gettysburg Road, Camp Hill, PA 17011. Authority sought to operate as a *common carrier*, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: *Such commodities* as are dealt in by department stores, between Bloomfield, N.J., and Langhorne, Pa., on the one hand, and, on the other, New York, N.Y., and points in Long Island, West-

[1] Copies of Special Rule 247 (as amended) can be obtained by writing to the Secretary, Interstate Commerce Commission, Washington, D.C. 20423.

chester, Rockland, Orange, and Putnam Counties, N.Y., and Fairfield County, Conn. Restriction: Restricted to traffic originating at or destined to stores, warehouses, facilities, or plantsites of Bambergers's, New Jersey, a division of R. H. Macy and Co., Inc. NOTE: If a hearing is deemed necessary, applicant requests it be held at Washington, D.C.

No. MC 26739 (Sub-No. 73), filed October 12, 1972. Applicant: CROUCH BROS., INC., Elwood, Kans. 66024. Applicant's representative: R. A. Dombrowski, Post Office Box 1059, St. Joseph, MO 64502. Authority sought to operate as a *common carrier*, by motor vehicle, over regular routes, transporting: *General commodities* (except those of unusual value, classes A and B explosives, household goods as defined by the Commission, commodities in bulk and commodities requiring special equipment), serving the warehouse site of Western Electric located at or near Underwood, Iowa, as an off-route point in connection with applicant's regular route operations via Omaha, Nebr. NOTE: Applicant states that the requested authority may duplicate its authority to serve Iowa in No. MC 26739 (Sub Nos. 58 and 64). If a hearing is deemed necessary, applicant requests it be held at Omaha, Nebr.

No. MC 29555 (Sub-No. 60), filed October 10, 1972. Applicant: BRIGGS TRANSPORTATION CO., a corporation, 2360 West County Road C, St. Paul, MN 55113. Applicant's representative: Winston W. Hurd (same address as above). Authority sought to operate as a *common carrier*, by motor vehicle, over regular routes, transporting: *General commodities* (except those of unusual value, livestock, classes A and B explosives, household goods as defined by the Commission, commodities in bulk, commodities requiring special equipment (except those requiring temperature control) and those injurious or contaminating to other lading) serving the 400,000-square-foot storage warehouse facilities of the Western Electric Co., Inc., near Underwood, Iowa, approximately 12 miles northeast of Council Bluffs, Iowa, as an off-route point in connection with applicant's regular route operations. NOTE: Common control may be involved. If a hearing is deemed necessary, applicant requests it be held at Minneapolis, Minn., Omaha, Nebr., or Des Moines, Iowa.

No. MC 29910 (Sub-No. 124), filed October 2, 1972. Applicant: ARKANSAS-BEST FREIGHT SYSTEM, INC., 301 South 11th Street, Fort Smith, AR 72901. Applicant's representative: Don A. Smith, Post Office Box 43, Kelley Building, Fort Smith, AR 72901. Authority sought to operate as a *common carrier*, by motor vehicle, over regular routes, transporting: *General commodities* (except commodities in bulk, livestock, classes A and B explosives, and commodities which because of size and weight require the use of special equipment) serving the plantsite facilities of Chicago Bridge & Iron Co., at or near Indian Oaks, Ill., as an off-route point in connection with applicant's regular-route operations to and from Chicago, Ill. NOTE: If a hearing is deemed necessary, applicant requests it be held at Chicago, Ill., or St. Louis, Mo.

No. MC 30844 (Sub-No. 433), filed October 12, 1972. Applicant: KROBLIN REFRIGERATED XPRESS, INC., Post Office Box 5000, Waterloo, IA 50704. Applicant's representative: Truman A. Stockton, The 1650 Grant Street Building, Denver, CO. Authority sought to operate as a *common carrier*, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: *Frozen and dehydrated potatoes and frozen vegetables*, from Hart, Holland, and Lake Odessa, Mich., to points in Colorado, Connecticut, Delaware, the District of Columbia, Illinois, Indiana, Iowa, Kansas, Maryland, Massachusetts, Minnesota, Missouri, Nebraska, New Jersey, New York, Ohio, Oklahoma, Pennsylvania, Rhode Island, Texas, Virginia, West Virginia, and Wisconsin. NOTE: Common control may be involved. Applicant states that the requested authority cannot be tacked with its existing authority. If a hearing is deemed necessary, applicant requests it be held at Boise, Idaho, or Washington, D.C.

No. MC 30844 (Sub-No. 434), filed October 16, 1972. Applicant: KROBLIN REFRIGERATED XPRESS, INC., Post Office Box 5000, Waterloo, IA 50704. Applicant's representative: Truman A. Stockton, The 1650 Grant Street Building, Denver, CO. Authority sought to operate as a *common carrier*, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: *Such articles and supplies* as are dealt in by retail and discount department stores (except foodstuffs and commodities in bulk) from points in that portion of New York, N.Y., commercial zone as defined in the fifth supplemental report in commercial zones and terminal areas, 53 M.C.C. 451, within which local operations may be conducted pursuant to the partial exemption of section 203 (b)(8) of the Interstate Commerce Act (the "exempt" and zones) to points in Colorado, Minnesota, Missouri, Oklahoma, and Texas, restricted to the transportation of shipments originating or destined to the facilities of Target Stores, Inc. NOTE: Applicant states that the requested authority cannot be tacked with its existing authority. Common control may be involved. If a hearing is deemed necessary, applicant requests it be held at Minneapolis, Minn., or Washington, D.C.

No. MC 30844 (Sub-No. 435), filed October 16, 1972. Applicant: KROBLIN REFRIGERATED XPRESS, INC., Post Office Box 5000, Waterloo, IA 50704. Applicant's representative: Truman A. Stockton, The 1650 Grant Street Building, Denver, CO. Authority sought to operate as a *common carrier*, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: *Frozen foodstuffs*, from Quincy, Ill., to Solon, Ohio. NOTE: Common control may be involved. Applicant states that the requested authority cannot be tacked with its existing authority. If a hearing is deemed necessary, applicant requests it be held at Columbus, Ohio, or Washington, D.C.

No. MC 36556 (Sub-No. 27), filed September 18, 1972. Applicant: BLACKMON TRUCKING, INC., 1111 120th Avenue, Somers, WI 53171. Applicant's representative: Earle Munger, 520 58th Street, Kenosha, WI 53140. Authority sought to operate as a *common carrier*, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: *Inedible frozen ingredients* for fur farm animal food and dog food, from the plantsites of Bydalek Fur Farms located at points in Kenosha County, Wis., to General Foods Corp. at points in Kankakee, Ill., restricted to traffic originating at the plantsites of Bydalek Fur Farms and destined to plantsite of General Foods Corp. NOTE: Applicant states that the requested authority cannot be tacked with its existing authority. If a hearing is deemed necessary, applicant requests it be held at Milwaukee, Wis., or Chicago, Ill.

No. MC 51146 (Sub-No. 294), filed October 5, 1972. Applicant: SCHNEIDER TRANSPORT, INC., 2661 South Broadway, Green Bay, WI 54304. Applicant's representative: D. F. Martin, Post Office Box 2298: Green Bay, WI 54306. Authority sought to operate as a *common carrier*, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: *Plastic products*, from Neenah and Menasha, Wis., to points in the United States (except Alaska and Hawaii). NOTE: Common control may be involved. Applicant states that the requested authority can be tacked with its existing authority, but indicates that it has no *present* intention to tack and therefore does not identify the points or territories which can be served through tacking. Persons interested in the tacking possibilities are cautioned that failure to oppose the application may result in an unrestricted grant of authority. If a hearing is deemed necessary, applicant requests it be held at Chicago, Ill.

No. MC 51146 (Sub-No. 295), filed October 5, 1972. Applicant: SCHNEIDER TRANSPORT, INC., 2661 South Broadway, Green Bay, WI 54304. Applicant's representative: D. F. Martin, Post Office Box 2298, Green Bay, WI 54306. Authority sought to operate as a *common carrier*, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: *Paper and paper products* (except commodities in bulk), from Niagara Falls, N.Y., to points in Vermont, New Hampshire, Massachusetts, Connecticut, Rhode Island, New Jersey, Maryland, Pennsylvania, Ohio, Michigan, Indiana, Illinois, Wisconsin, Minnesota, Iowa, Missouri, Kansas, Oklahoma, Texas, Arkansas, Kentucky, Tennessee, Georgia, and Florida. NOTE: Common control may be involved. Applicant states that the requested authority can be tacked with its existing authority, but indicates that it has no *present* intention to tack and therefore does not identify the points or territories which can be served through tacking. Persons interested in the tacking possibilites are cautioned that failure to oppose the application may result in an

unrestricted grant of authority. If a hearing is deemed necessary, applicant request it be held at Washington, D.C.

No. MC 53965 (Sub-No. 88), filed October 9, 1972. Applicant: GRAVES TRUCK LINES, INC., 739 North 10th Street, Salina, KS. Applicant's representative: John E. Jandera, 641 Harrison Street, Topeka, KS 66603. Authority sought to operate as a *common carrier*, by motor vehicle, over regular routes, transporting: *General commodities* (except those of unusual value, classes A and B explosives, household goods as defined by the Commission, commodities in bulk and commodities requiring special equipment), between Omaha, Nebr., on the one hand, and, the plantsite and/or storage facilities of Western Electric Co., located at or near Underwood, Iowa, on the other hand. NOTE: If a hearing is deemed necessary, applicant requests it be held at Omaha, Nebr.

No. MC 59485 (Sub-No. 8), filed October 6, 1972. Applicant: DARLING TRANSFER, INC., 8106 J Street, Omaha, NE 68127. Applicant's representative: Dade W. Smith, 8220 Travis, Overland Park, KS 66204. Authority sought to operate as a *common carrier*, by motor vehicle, over regular routes, transporting: *General commodities*, except those of unusual value, classes A and B explosives, household goods as defined by the Commission, commodities in bulk, and those requiring special equipment, serving the warehouse site of Western Electric located at or near Underwood, Iowa, as an off-route point in connection with applicant's operations between Omaha, Nebr., and Kansas City, Mo/Kans. NOTE: If a hearing is deemed necessary, applicant requests it be held at Omaha, Nebr.

No. MC 60253 (Sub-No. 26), filed October 9, 1972. Applicant: ARLINGTON TRUCK COMPANY, a corporation, 524 Oregon Road, Toledo, OH 43605. Applicant's representative: John D. Obee, 3553 Claudia Drive, Toledo, OH 43614. Authority sought to operate as a *contract carrier*, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: *Flat glass on racks and glass glazing units*, between Toledo, Ohio, on the one hand, and, on the other, Clinton and Laurinburg, N.C., under contract with Libbey-Owens-Ford Co., Thermopane L–O–F, Inc., and L–O–F Glass Inc. NOTE: If a hearing is deemed necessary, applicant requests it be held at Toledo, Ohio; Detroit, Mich.; or Columbus, Ohio.

No. MC 61592 (Sub-No. 285), filed October 2, 1972. Applicant: JENKINS TRUCK LINE, INC., 3708 Elm Street, Bettendorf, IA 52722. Applicant's representative: Donald Smith, 900 Circle Tower Building, Indianapolis, Ind. 46204. Authority sought to operate as a *common carrier*, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: *Rough lumber and wood products*, from the plantsites, mills, and warehouse facilities used by American Lumber Co. located at or near McConnelsville, Nellie, Piketon, St. James, and West Lafayette, Ohio, to points in North Carolina, Tennessee, Virginia, and West Virginia. NOTE: Applicant states that the requested authority cannot be tacked with its existing authority. Common control may be involved. If a hearing is deemed necessary, applicant requests it be held at Washington, D.C.

No. MC 61592 (Sub-No. 286), filed October 2, 1972. Applicant: JENKINS TRUCK LINE, INC., 3708 Elm Street, Bettendorf, IA 52722. Applicant's representative: Donald Smith, 900 Circle Tower Building, Indianapolis, Ind. 46204. Authority sought to operate as a *common carrier*, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: *Food and food products* (except in bulk), in vehicles equipped with mechanical refrigeration: (1) From Somerville, Mass., to points in Illinois, Michigan, and Pennsylvania; (2) from Cambridge, Mass., to points in Illinois, Michigan, Ohio, and Pennsylvania; (3) from Bedford and Manchester, N.H., and Lawrence, Mass., to points in Colorado, Illinois, Kansas, Kentucky, Maryland, Missouri, New York, Ohio, Pennsylvania, Virginia, and the District of Columbia; and (4) from Boston and North Abington, Mass., to points in Ohio and Pennsylvania, and points in Chautauqua, Cattaraugus, Erie, Niagara, Orleans, Genesee, Wyoming, Allegany, Monroe, Livingston, Wayne, Ontario, Yates, Steuben, Seneca, Schuyler, Chemung, Cayuga, Tompkins, Tioga, Broome, Cortland, Onondaga, Oswego, Jefferson, Lewis, Herkimer, Oneida, Madison, Chenango, Otsego, Fulton, Montgomery, Delaware, Hamilton, Franklin, Clinton, St. Lawrence, and Essex Counties, N.Y. NOTE: Applicant states that the requested authority cannot be tacked with its existing authority. If a hearing is deemed necessary, applicant requests it be held at Washington, D.C.

NO. MC 71459 (Sub-No. 29), filed October 5, 1972. Applicant: O.N.C. FREIGHT SYSTEMS, a corporation, 2800 West Bayshore Road, Palo Alto, CA 94303. Applicant's representative: C. J. Boddington (same address as above). Authority sought to operate as a *common carrier*, by motor vehicle, over regular routes, transporting: *General commodities* (except those of unusual value, dangerous articles, household goods, commodities in bulk, commodities requiring special equipment, and those injurious or contaminating to other lading), between San Bernardino, Calif., and Salt Lake City, Utah, from San Bernardino over Interstate Highway 15 (also U.S. Highway 91) to Salt Lake City, Utah, and return over the same route, serving the intermediate point of Las Vegas, Nev. NOTE: Common control may be involved. If a hearing is deemed necessary, applicant requests it be held at Salt Lake City, Utah, or Los Angeles, Calif.

No. MC 73390 (Sub-No. 7), filed October 9, 1972. Applicant: H. A. HARTMAN & SON, INC., 537 North Front Street, Steelton, PA 17113. Applicant's representative: John W. Frame, Box 626, 2207 Old Gettysburg Road, Camp Hill, PA 17011. Authority sought to operate as a *common carrier*, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: *Household goods*, as defined in *Practices of Motor Common Carriers of Household Goods*, 17 M.C.C. 467, between points in that part of Pennsylvania south and east of a line beginning at Philadelphia, Pa., and extending along U.S. Highway 422 to Reading, Pa., thence along U.S. Highway 122 to Sunbury, Pa., thence along Pennsylvania Highway 14 to Northumberland, Pa., thence along U.S. Highway 11 to Selinsgrove, Pa., and thence along U.S. Highway 552 to the Pennsylvania-Maryland State line, including points on the indicated portions of the highways specified, on the one hand, and, on the other points in Alabama, Connecticut, Delaware, Florida, Georgia, Illinois, Indiana, Kentucky, Maine, Maryland, Massachusetts, Michigan, New Hampshire, New Jersey, New York, North Carolina, Ohio, Pennsylvania, Rhode Island, South Carolina, Tennessee, Vermont, Virginia, West Virginia, and the District of Columbia. NOTE: Applicant states that the requested authority can be tacked with its existing authority at all points it is presently authorized to serve, which it may do under its existing authority via the Philadelphia gateway. If a hearing is deemed necessary, applicant requests it be held at Harrisburg, Pa.

No. MC 82492 (Sub-No. 72), filed October 5, 1972. Applicant MICHIGAN & NEBRASKA TRANSIT CO., INC., Post Office Box 2853, 2109 Olmstead Road, Kalamazoo, MI 49003. Applicant's representative: William C. Harris (same address as above). Authority sought to operate as a *common carrier*, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: *Such commodities as are manufactured and/or dealt in by wholesale, retail, and chain grocery houses*, from Galesburg, Ill. to points in Indiana, Kansas, Michigan, Minnesota, Missouri, Nebraska, North Dakota, South Dakota, and Wisconsin. NOTE: Common control may be involved. Applicant states that the requested authority cannot be tacked with its existing authority. If a hearing is deemed necessary, applicant requests it be held at St. Louis, Mo., or Chicago, Ill.

No. MC 83539 (Sub-No. 355), filed October 12, 1972. Applicant: C & H TRANSPORTATION CO., INC., 1936–2010 West Commerce Street, Post Office Box 5976, Dallas, TX 75222. Applicant's representative: Thomas E. James (same address as applicant). Authority sought to operate as a *common carrier*, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: *Iron and steel beams*, from the Port of Catoosa, Okla., to points in Arkansas, Colorado, Kansas, Louisiana, Missouri, Nebraska, Oklahoma, and Texas. NOTE: Applicant states that the requested authority can be tacked with its existing authority but indicates that it has no present intention to tack and therefore does not identify the points or territories which can be served through tacking. Persons interested in the tacking possibilities are cautioned that failure to oppose the application may result in an unrestricted grant of authority. Common control may be involved. If a hearing is

deemed necessary, applicant requests it be held at Pittsburgh, Pa.

No. MC 83539 (Sub-No. 356), filed October 13, 1972. Applicant: C & H TRANSPORTATION CO., INC., 1936–2010 West Commerce Street, (Post Office Box 5976), Dallas, TX 75222. Applicant's representative: Thomas E. James (same address as applicant). Authority sought to operate as a *common carrier*, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: *Street sweepers, attachments, parts, and accessories*, from Dodge City, Kans., to points in the United States including Alaska (but excluding Hawaii). NOTE: Applicant states that the requested authority can be tacked with its existing authority but indicates that it has no present intention to tack and therefore does not identify the points or territories which can be served through tacking. Persons interested in the tacking possibilities are cautioned that failure to oppose the application may result in an unrestricted grant of authority. Common control may be involved. If a hearing is deemed necessary, applicant requests it to be held at Kansas City, Kans.

No. MC 83539 (Sub-No. 357), filed October 13, 1972. Applicant: C & H TRANSPORTATION CO., INC., 1936–2010 West Commerce Street, Post Office Box 5976, Dallas, TX 75222. Applicant's representative: Thomas E. James (same address as applicant). Authority sought to operate as a *common carrier*, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: (1) *Car wash systems*; (2) *parts, attachments, and accessories* for the commodities specified in (1) above; and (3) *supplies* used in the operation and maintenance of commodities specified in (1) above, from the plantsite and facilities of California Car Wash Systems, Inc., located at or near Sun Valley, Calif., to points in the United States (except Alaska and Hawaii), restricted to traffic originating at said plantsite and facilities and destined to the points named above. NOTE: Common control may be involved. Applicant states that the requested authority can be tacked with its existing authority but indicates that it has no present intention to tack and therefore does not identify the points or territories which can be served through tacking. Persons interested in the tacking possibilities are cautioned that failure to oppose the application may result in an unrestricted grant of authority. If a hearing is deemed necessary, applicant requests it be held at Los Angeles, Calif.

No. MC 83850 (Sub-No. 9), filed October 6, 1972. Applicant: JOHNSONS TRANSFER, INC., 2519 Morris Street, Philadelphia, PA 19145. Applicant's representative: Harold P. Boss, 1100 17th Street, NW., Washington, DC 20036. Authority sought to operate as a *common carrier*, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: *Gypsum and gypsum products, and materials and supplies* used in the manufacture, installation, and application of gypsum and gypsum products (except liquid commodities in bulk) from the plantsite, warehouses, and facilities of the United States Gypsum Co. located at or near Baltimore, Md., to points in Virginia and West Virginia beyond 200 miles of Baltimore; and (2) located at or near Norfolk, Va., to Baltimore, Md. NOTE: Applicant states that the present authority can be tacked with its existing authority to transport building materials from Baltimore to Norfolk, under MC 83850, therefore the authority sought herein will enable it to serve the supporting shipper on northbound movements from Norfolk to Baltimore and by tacking at Baltimore to serve points it is presently authorized to serve in Maryland and Pennsylvania under its lead certificate MC 83850 and Sub 8; Delaware under MC 83850; New Jersey under MC 83850 Sub 7; and Connecticut, Massachusetts, New Hampshire, New York, Rhode Island, and Vermont under MC 83850 Sub 8. Applicant further states it does not propose to serve from Norfolk (by tacking at Baltimore) to its presently authorized points in Virginia or West Virginia within 200 miles of Baltimore. Applicant holds contract carrier authority under MC 2135, therefore common control and dual operations may be involved. If a hearing is deemed necessary, applicant requests it be held at Washington, D.C.

No. MC 102567 (Sub-No. 155), filed October 9, 1972. Applicant: EARL GIBBON TRANSPORT, INC., 4295 Meadow Lane, Post Office Drawer 5357, Bossier City, LA 71010. Applicant's representative: Jo E. Shaw, 816 Houston First Savings Building, Houston, Tex. 77002. Authority sought to operate as a *common carrier*, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: *Petroleum wax*, in bulk, in tank vehicles, from Beaumont, Tex., to points in Illinois, Indiana, and Ohio. NOTE: Applicant states that the requested authority can be tacked with its existing authority in MC 102567 section (A) authorizing transportation of petroleum products between points in Texas, Arkansas, and Louisiana within 150 miles of Henderson, Tex. If a hearing is deemed necessary, applicant requests it be held at New Orleans, La., or Houston, Tex.

No. MC 102982 (Sub-No. 28), filed October 11, 1972. Applicant: GEORGE W. KUGLER, INC., 2800 East Waterloo Road, Akron, OH 44312. Applicant's representative: A. Charles Tell, 100 East Broad Street, Columbus, OH 43215. Authority sought to operate as a *contract carrier*, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: *Propane gas*, in bulk, from Marcus Hook, Pa., to the plantsite and storage facilities of Griffin Pipe Products Co., located at or near Florence, Burlington County, N.J. Restricted to service performed under continuing contracts with Griffin Pipe Products Co., Oak Brook, Ill. NOTE: Applicant holds common carrier authority under MC 125533 Subs 1 and 3, therefore common control and dual operations may be involved. If a hearing is deemed necessary, applicant requests it be held at Washington, D.C.

No. MC 104210 (Sub-No. 68), filed October 5, 1972. Applicant: THE TRANSPORT COMPANY, INC., Post Office Box 4726, Corpus Christi, TX 78408. Applicant's representative: Mike Cotten, Post Office Box 1148, Austin, TX 78767. Authority sought to operate as a *common carrier*, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: *Animal fat*, in bulk, in tank vehicles, from points in Texas in and north of Parmer, Castro, Swisher, Briscoe, Hall, and Childress Counties to points in New Mexico on and east of U.S. Highway 85 and Interstate Highway 25, points in Colorado on and east of Interstate Highway 25, and points in Oklahoma on and west of U.S. Highway 81 and returned shipments in the reverse direction. NOTE: Applicant states that the requested authority cannot be tacked with its existing authority. If a hearing is deemed necessary, applicant requests it be held at Amarillo, Fort Worth, or Dallas, Tex.

No. MC 106001 (Sub-No. 7), filed October 6, 1972. Applicant: DENNIS TRUCKING COMPANY, INC., 2519 Morris Street, Philadelphia, PA 19145. Applicant's representative: Harold P. Boss, 1100 17th Street NW., Washington, DC 20036. Authority sought to operate as a *common carrier*, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: *Gypsum and gypsum products, and materials and supplies* used in the manufacture, installation, and application of gypsum and gypsum products (except liquid commodities in bulk) from the plantsite, warehouses, and facilities of the United States Gypsum Co., located at or near Philadelphia, Pa., to points in New York beyond 150 miles of Philadelphia and points in Connecticut, Maine, Massachusetts, New Hampshire, Rhode Island, and Vermont. NOTE: Applicant states that the requested authority cannot be tacked with its existing authority. Applicant holds contract carrier authority under MC 2135, therefore common control and dual operations may be involved. If a hearing is deemed necessary, applicant requests it be held at Washington, D.C.

No. MC 107818 (Sub-No. 64), filed October 5, 1972. Applicant: GREENSTEIN TRUCKING COMPANY, a corporation, 280 Northwest 12th Avenue, Post Office Box 608, Pompano Beach, FL 33061. Applicant's representative: Martin Sack, Jr., 1754 Gulf Life Tower, Jacksonville, Fla. 32207. Authority sought to operate as a *common carrier*, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: *Meats, meat products, and articles distributed by meat packinghouses*, from Fergus Falls and Long Prairie, Minn., and the plantsites and facilities used by Metro Meat Packing, Inc., at St. Paul and Minneapolis, Minn., to points in Alabama, Florida, Georgia, South Carolina, Virginia, Mississippi, North Carolina, and Tennessee, restricted to traffic originating at said origins.

NOTE: Applicant states that the requested authority cannot be tacked with its existing authority. If a hearing is deemed necessary, applicant requests it be held at St. Paul, Minn., Chicago, Ill., or Milwaukee, Wis.

No. MC 109638 (Sub-No. 23), filed June 19, 1972. Applicant: WOODROW EVERETTE, d.b.a., W. EVERETTE TRUCK LINE, Post Office Box 145, Hodges Avenue, Washington, NC 27889. Applicant's representative Woodrow Everette (same address as applicant). Authority sought to operate as a *common carrier*, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: (1) *Wooden boxes and pallets* from Ahoskie, N.C., to points in New York, New Jersey, Pennsylvania, Maryland, Connecticut, Georgia, South Carolina, and Florida; and (2) *wooden boxes, pallets, and lumber*, from Weldon, N.C., to points in New York, New Jersey, Pennsylvania, Delaware, Virginia, West Virginia, Kentucky, Maryland, South Carolina, Florida, Georgia, Tennessee, and Ohio. NOTE: Applicant states that the requested authority cannot be tacked with its existing authority. If a hearing is deemed necessary, applicant requests it be held at Raleigh, N.C. or Norfolk, Va.

No. MC 110589 (Sub-No. 19), filed September 29, 1972. Applicant: J. E. LAMMERT TRANSFER, INC., 317 North Oak Street, Grand Island, NE 68801. Applicant's representative: Donn K. Bieber, Box 311, Schuyler, NE 68661. Authority sought to operate as a *common carrier*, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: *Meats, meat products, meat byproducts and other articles distributed by meat packinghouses* (except commodities in bulk), from York, Nebr., to Aurora and Chicago, Ill.; Landover, Md.; Detroit, Mich.; Florence, Newark, and Woodbridge, N.J.; and New York, N.Y. NOTE: Applicant states that the requested authority cannot be tacked with its existing authority. If a hearing is deemed necessary, applicant requests it be held at Lincoln or Omaha, Nebr.

No. MC 111672 (Sub-No. 6) (amendment), filed May 4, 1972, published in the FEDERAL REGISTER issue of June 29, 1972, and republished as amended this issue. Applicant: R & M TRUCK LINE, INC., Post Office Box 198, Oskaloosa, IA 52577. Applicant's representative: Larry D. Knox, 910 Hubbell Building, Des Moines, IA 50309. Authority sought to operate as a *common carrier*, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: *Ammonium nitrate prills*, from Seneca, Ill., to all points in Iowa. NOTE: Applicant states that the requested authority cannot be tacked with its existing authority. The purpose of this republication is to redescribe the commodity description. If a hearing is deemed necessary, applicant requests it be held at Des Moines, Iowa or Omaha, Nebr.

No. MC 113119 (Sub-No. 10), filed October 12, 1972. Applicant: C. S. I., INC., doing business as: CONTRACT SERVICE, INC., Post Office Box 281, Trewigtown Road, Colmar, PA 18915. Applicant's representative: Robert L. Binder (same address as applicant). Authority sought to operate as a *common carrier*, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: *Tile, facing or flooring, clay or earthenware*, glazed or not glazed, and *articles* used or useful in the manufacture and/or installation of same, from Olean, N.Y., to Lansdale, Pa. NOTE: Applicant states that the requested authority cannot be tacked with its existing authority. Common control may be involved. If a hearing is deemed necessary, applicant requests it be held at Philadelphia, Pa., or Washington, D.C.

No. MC 113382 (Sub-No. 15), filed October 11, 1972. Applicant: NELSEN BROS., INC., Post Office Box 613, Nebraska City, NE 68410. Applicant's representative: J. Max Harding, Post Office Box 82028, Lincoln, NE 68501. Authority sought to operate as a *contract carrier*, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: (1) *Paper and paper products*, products produced or distributed by manufacturers and converters of paper and paper products, and, (2) *Materials and supplies* used in the manufacture and distribution of the commodities described in (1) above (except commodities in bulk, and commodities which, by reason of size or weight, require the use of special equipment), from St. Paul and Minneapolis, Minn., to points in South Dakota and Nebraska, under contract with Hoerner Waldorf Corp. Restriction: Restricted to traffic originating at the plantsite or warehouse facilities utilized by Hoerner Waldorf Corp. at St. Paul and Minneapolis, Minn. NOTE: If a hearing is deemed necessary, applicant requests it be held at Omaha, Nebr.

No. MC 114004 (Sub-No. 122), filed September 28, 1972. Applicant: CHANDLER TRAILER CONVOY, INC., 8828 New Benton Highway, Little Rock, AR 72209. Applicant's representative: Harold G. Hernly, Jr., 2030 North Adams Street, Suite 510, Arlington, VA 22201. Authority sought to operate as a *common carrier*, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: (1) *Trailers* designed to be drawn by passenger automobilies in initial movements from points in Arkansas except: From Jacksonville and Newport, Ark., and points within 9 miles thereof; Camden, Ark., and points within 10 miles thereof; Walnut Ridge, Ark., and points within 15 miles thereof; Arkadelphia and Searcy, Ark., and points within 15 miles thereof; Pulaski County, Ark. (except Jacksonville); points in Columbia County, Ark.; West Memphis, Ark.; points in Jefferson County, Ark.; Cabot, Ark., and points within 5 miles thereof; Malvern, Ark., and points within 25 miles thereof; points in Mississippi and Faulkner Counties, Ark.; the plantsite of U.S. Mobile Homes, Inc., near Guerdon, Ark.; from points in Perry, Hempstead, Crawford, Crittenden, Benton and Sebastian Counties, Ark.; plantsite of Air Line Homes Corp. near Hazen, and Izard County, Ark., to points in the United States (except Hawaii), and (2) *Buildings* in sections on wheeled undercarriages from points in Arkansas except: From the plantsite of Holiday Mfg. Co., located approximately 5 miles north of Camden and Cabot, Ark.; Mississippi and Pulaski Counties, Ark.; those points in that part of Arkansas in the West Memphis, Ark., commercial zone as defined by the Commission; Hope and Van Buren, Ark., to points in the United States (except Hawaii). NOTE: Applicant states that the requested authority cannot be tacked with its existing authority. If a hearing is deemed necessary, applicant requests it be held at Little Rock, Ark.

No. MC 114211 (Sub-No. 177), filed October 10, 1972. Applicant: WARREN TRANSPORT, INC., 324 Manhard Street, Waterloo, IA 50704. Applicant's representative: Daniel Sullivan, 327 South La Salle, Chicago, IL 60604. Authority sought to operate as a *common carrier*, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: (1) (a) *Construction, road building*, and *maintenance equipment*; (b) *paving machinery and equipment*; (c) *trailers* designed for the transportation of the above-described commodities; and (d) *parts, attachments and accessories and related equipment* for the above-described commodities in (a), (b), and (c), from points in Beadle County, S. Dak., to points in the United States (except Alaska and Hawaii); and (2) *materials, equipment, and supplies* used in the manufacture or distribution of the above-described commodities in (a), (b), (c), and (d) above (except in bulk) from points in the United States (except Alaska and Hawaii) to points in Beadle County, S. Dak. NOTE: Applicant states that the requested authority can be tacked with its existing authority but indicates that it has no present intention to tack and therefore does not identify the points or territories which can be served through tacking. Persons interested in the tacking possibilities are cautioned that failure to oppose the application may result in an unrestricted grant of authority. If a hearing is deemed necessary, applicant requests it be held at either (1) Pierre, S. Dak.; (2) Minneapolis, Minn.; (3) Omaha, Nebr. or (4) Chicago, Ill.

No. MC 114533 (Sub-No. 262), filed October 5, 1972. Applicant: BANKERS DISPATCH CORPORATION, 4970 South Archer Avenue, Chicago, IL 60632. Applicant's representative: Warren W. Wallin, 330 South Jefferson Street, Chicago, IL 60606. Authority sought to operate as a *common carrier*, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: *Audit media and other business records, exposed and processed film and prints, complimentary replacement film and incidental dealer handling supplies* (except motion picture films and materials and supplies used in connection with commercial and television motion pictures) between Elgin, Ill., on the one hand, and, on the other, points in Indiana, Iowa, Michigan, Missouri, Ohio, and Wisconsin. NOTE: Common control and dual operations may be involved. Applicant states that the requested authority cannot be tacked with its existing authority. If a hearing is deemed necessary, applicant requests it be held at Chicago, Ill.

No. MC 115841 (Sub-No. 443), filed October 9, 1972. Applicant: COLONIAL REFRIGERATED TRANSPORTATION, INC., 1215 Bankhead Highway West, Birmingham, AL 35204. Applicant's repre-

sentative: Roger M. Shaner, Post Office Box 168, Concord, TN 37720. Authority sought to operate as a *common carrier*, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: *Candy, confectionery and advertising materials, supplies, and premiums* when moving in mixed loads with candy and confectionery (except commodities in bulk, in tank vehicles), from Chicago, Ill. to points in Connecticut, Delaware, Maryland, Massachusetts, New Jersey, Pennsylvania, Virginia, and the District of Columbia. NOTE: Common control may be involved. The requested authority duplicates an application pending in No. MC 115841 (Sub-No. 411). Applicant states that the requested authority can be tacked with its existing authority but indicates that it has no *present* intention to tack and therefore does not identify the points or territories which can be served through tacking. Persons interested in the tacking possibilities are cautioned that failure to oppose the application may result in an unrestricted grant of authority. If a hearing is deemed necessary, applicant requests it be held at New York, N.Y., Philadelphia, Pa., or Washington, D.C.

No. MC 116544 (Sub-No. 135) (Correction), filed October 4, 1972, and published in the FEDERAL REGISTER issue of November 2, 1972, republished in part, as corrected, this issue. Applicant: WILSON BROTHERS TRUCK LINE, INC., 700 East Fairview Avenue, Post Office Box 636, Carthage, MO 64836. Applicant's representative: Robert Wilson (same address as above). NOTE: The purpose of this partial republication is to indicate the correct docket number as MC 116544 (Sub-No. 135), in lieu of No. MC 16544 (Sub-No. 135) which was erroneously published. The rest of the application remains as previously published.

No. MC 116763 (Sub-No. 232), filed October 11, 1972. Applicant: CARL SUBLER TRUCKING, INC., Route 92, Post Office Box 215, Auburndale, FL 33823. Applicant's representative: H. M. Richters, North West Street, Versailles, Ohio 45380. Authority sought to operate as a *common carrier*, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: *Frozen potatoes, frozen vegetables, and dehydrated potatoes*, from Hart, Holland, and Lake Odessa, Mich., to points in Connecticut, Massachusetts, New Hampshire, New Jersey, New York, Ohio, Pennsylvania, Rhode Island, Vermont, Virginia, West Virginia, and points in that part of Indiana south of U.S. Highway 40. NOTE: Applicant states that the requested authority can be tacked with its existing authority under MC 116763 (Sub-No. 48). Applicant further states no duplicating authority sought. If a hearing is deemed necessary, applicant requests it be held at Washington, D.C.

No. MC 119631 (Sub-No. 19), filed October 5, 1972. Applicant: DEIOMA TRUCKING CO., a corporation, Post Office Box 915, Mount Union Station, Alliance, OH 44601. Applicant's representative: James E. Wilson, 1032 Pennsylvania Building, Pennsylvania Avenue, and 13th Street NW., Washington, D.C. 20004. Authority sought to operate as a *common carrier*, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: *Clay products*, from East Liverpool, Ohio, to points in Kentucky and Florida. NOTE: Applicant states that the requested authority cannot be tacked with its existing authority. If a hearing is deemed necessary, applicant requests it be held at Columbus, Ohio.

No. MC 119726 (Sub-No. 27), filed October 9, 1972. Applicant: N.A.B. TRUCKING CO., INC., 2502 West Howard Street, Indianapolis, IN 46221. Applicant's representative: James L. Beattey, 130 East Washington Street, Suite 1000, Indianapolis, IN 46204. Authority sought to operate as a *common carrier*, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: *Kitchen cabinets, vanity cabinets, and laminated products*, from Gretna, La., Bessemer, Ala., and Rosedale, Miss., to points in North Dakota and South Dakota. NOTE: Applicant states that the requested authority cannot be tacked with its existing authority. If a hearing is deemed necessary, applicant requests it be held at Birmingham, Ala.

No. MC 119968 (Sub-No. 6), filed October 2, 1972. Applicant: A. J. WEIGAND, INC., 1046 North Tuscarawas Avenue, Dover, OH 44622. Applicant's representatives: Jacob P. Billig, 1108 16th Street NW., Washington, DC 20036 and Paul F. Beery, 88 East Broad Street, Columbus, OH 43215. Authority sought to operate as a *common carrier*, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: *Such commodities* as are manufactured, sold, dealt in, and utilized by chemical manufacturing plants, from Dover, Ohio, to points in Illinois, Indiana, Kentucky, Ohio, West Virginia, New York, Pennsylvania, Massachusetts, Rhode Island, Connecticut, New Jersey, Delaware, Maryland, and the lower peninsula of Michigan and on return from the above-named destinations to the above-named origin. NOTE: Applicant states that the requested authority duplicates that authority it holds in No. MC 119968, authorizing the transportation of *such commodities* as are manufactured and sold by chemical manufacturing plants (except petroleum products, in bulk, in tank vehicles) to the same above-named destinations and origins. Applicant further states that the requested authority cannot be tacked with its existing authority. If a hearing is deemed necessary, applicant requests it be held at Washington, D.C.

No. MC 121561 (Sub-No. 3), filed October 6, 1972. Applicant: DONALD E. MILLER and NORMA H. MILLER, a partnership, doing business as MILLER TRANSFER, Post Office Box 217, Ceresco, NE 68017. Applicant's representatives: Paul Gatler, 811 South 13th Street, Lincoln, NE 68508 and Donald A. Morken, 1000 First National Bank Building, Minneapolis, MN 55402. Authority sought to operate as a *common carrier*, by motor vehicle, over regular routes, transporting: *Commodities requiring mechanical refrigeration equipment*, moving to and from Lincoln, Omaha, and Wahoo, Nebr., in connection with applicant's existing regular route general commodity authority between Lincoln, Omaha, and Wahoo, Nebr. NOTE: Common control may be involved. If a hearing is deemed necessary, applicant requests it be held at Omaha or Lincoln, Nebr.

No. MC 123392 (Sub-No. 45), filed October 2, 1972. Applicant: JACK B. KELLEY, INC., U.S. 66 West at Kelley Drive (Route 1, Box 444), Amarillo, TX 79109. Applicant's representative: Austin L. Hatchell, Suite 1022, Perry-Brooks Building, Austin, Tex. 78701. Authority sought to operate as a *common carrier*, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: *Cryogenically liquefied gases* in bulk, in cryogenic trailers, between points in Michigan, Indiana, and Ohio. NOTE: Applicant states that it intends to tack the requested authority at points in Indiana and Ohio with its existing authority, under which it serves points in the United States. If a hearing is deemed necessary, applicant requests it be held at Amarillo, Tex., Oklahoma City, Okla., or Dallas, Tex.

No. MC 123685 (Sub-No. 15), filed October 5, 1972. Applicant: PEOPLES CARTAGE, INC., 8045 Navarre Road Southwest, Missillon, OH 44646. Applicant's representative: James Muldoon, 50 West Broad Street, Columbus, OH 43215. Authority sought to operate as a *common carrier*, by motor vehicle, over regular routes, transporting: *General commodities*, except those of unusual value, classes A and B explosives, household goods, commodities in bulk, and those requiring special equipment, between Clarksburg, W. Va., and Parkersburg, W. Va., serving no intermediate points, and serving Clarksburg and Parkersburg for joinder only, from Clarksburg over U.S. Highway 50 to Parkersburg, and return over the same route. NOTE: Applicant is already authorized to serve Parkersburg and Clarksburg, W. Va., over different routes. The purpose of this application is to seek better use of applicant's Parkersburg terminal. If a hearing is deemed necessary, applicant requests it be held at Columbus, Ohio or Washington, D.C.

No. MC 124821 (Sub-No. 9), filed September 28, 1972. Applicant: WILLIAM GILCHRIST, 509 Susquehanna Avenue, Old Forge, PA 18518. Applicant's representative: John W. Frame, Box 626, 2207 Old Gettysburg Road, Camp Hill, PA 17011. Authority sought to operate as a *common carrier*, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: (1) *Tile* (except clay tile), *facing or flooring* and (2) *Materials and supplies* used in the manufacture of tile (except commodities in bulk), between Chicago Heights, Ill., on the one hand, and, on the other, points in Ohio, Michigan, Kentucky, Indiana, New York, New Jersey, Pennsylvania, Maryland, Delaware, Virginia, Connecticut, Rhode Island, Massachusetts, New Hampshire, Vermont, Maine, West Virginia, and Washington, D.C. NOTE: Applicant states that the requested authority cannot be

tacked with its existing authority. If a hearing is deemed necessary, applicant requests it be held at Harrisburg, Pa., or Washington, D.C.

No. MC 125209 (Sub-No. 1), filed October 12, 1972. Applicant: RAYMOND E. BALLENTINE, doing business as: BALLENTINE TRANSPORT, Post Office Box 463, Scottsbluff, NE 69361. Applicant's representative: Donald L. Stern, 530 Univac Building, Omaha, NE 68106. Authority sought to operate as a *contract carrier*, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: *Camper hold-downs, spare tire carriers, swing-up bumper steps, truck cab steps, bounce eliminators, snowmobiles, camper jacks, jack pads, camper dollies, lector-drive units, bumper steps, cab steps, brace clamps, and parts and accessories therefor*, from Wahoo, Nebr., to points in California, Kansas, Minnesota, Oregon, and Washington, under contract with Hellstar Corp. NOTE: If a hearing is deemed necessary, applicant requests it be held at Omaha, Nebr.

No. MC 128375 (Sub-No. 83), filed October 9, 1972. Applicant: CRETE CARRIER CORPORATION, Box 249, Crete, NE 68333. Applicant's representative: Duane W. Acklie (same address as above). Authority sought to operate as a *contract carrier*, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: *Motor Vehicle parts, and materials* and *supplies* used in the distribution, manufacture, and production of motor vehicle parts, from points in Wisconsin to points in Tennessee, under a continuing contract with Maremont Corp. NOTE: If a hearing is deemed necessary, applicant requests it be held at Chicago, Ill., or Lincoln, Nebr.

No. MC 133492 (Sub-No. 5), filed October 4, 1972. Applicant: CECIL CLAXTON, East Elm Street, Wrightsville, GA 31096. Applicant's representative: William Addams, 5299 Roswell Road, Northeast, Suite 212, Atlanta, GA 30342. Authority sought to operate as a *contract carrier*, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: *Malt beverages*, in containers, and *empty containers* on return, (1) from Memphis, Tenn., and Winston-Salem, N.C., to Talladega, Ala., and Vidalia, Ga., and (2) from Jacksonville, Fla., to Waycross, Ga., under contract with Talladega Beverage Co., Smokey Snider Distributing Co., and Raleigh Distributors. NOTE: If a hearing is deemed necessary, applicant requests it be held at Atlanta, Ga.

No. MC 134387 (Sub-No. 15), filed August 21, 1972. Applicant: BLACKBURN TRUCK LINES, INC., 4998 Branyon Street, South Gate, CA 90280. Applicant's representative: Warren N. Grossman, 825 City National Bank Building, 606 South Olive Street, Los Angeles, CA 90014. Authority sought to operate as a *common carrier*, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: *Fiberboard cans*, with or without metal ends, from points in Contra Costa and Orange Counties, Calif., to points in Oregon and Washington. NOTE: Applicant states that the requested authority cannot be tacked with its existing authority. If a hearing is deemed necessary, applicant requests it be held at Los Angeles or San Francisco, Calif.

No. MC 134599 (Sub-No. 59), filed September 5, 1972. Applicant: INTERSTATE CONTRACT CARRIER CORPORATION, Post Office Box 748, Salt Lake City, UT 84110. Applicant's representatives: Richard A. Peterson, Post Office Box 80806, Lincoln, NE 68501 and Gordon L. Roberts, 520 Kearns Building, Salt Lake City, Utah 84101. Authority sought to operate as a *contract carrier*, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: *New furniture*, crated or uncrated, from North Hollywood, Calif., to points in Minnesota and points in the United States (except Alaska and Hawaii) on and east of U.S. Highway 61. NOTE: If a hearing is deemed necessary, applicant requests it be held at Salt Lake City, Utah, or Lincoln, Nebr.

No. MC 134765 (Sub-No. 8), filed September 21, 1972. Applicant: SPECIALTY TRANSPORT, INC., Holland Road, Wales, Mass. 01081. Applicant's representative: David M. Marshall, 135 State Street, Suite 200, Springfield, MA 01103. Authority sought to operate as a *contract carrier*, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: *Paper, plastic and wood products and articles and materials and supplies* used or useful in the manufacture and distribution of such commodities; and *egg processing machinery*: (1) Between Springfield, Ludlow, Thorndike, Bondsville, Palmer, and Boston, Mass.; Dixfield and Oakland, Maine; Ogdensburg and Plattsburgh, N.Y.; Millville, N.J.; Erie, Pa.; and Baltimore, Md., on the one hand, and, on the other, points in the United States east of the Mississippi River (including the District of Columbia); and (2) from Dayton, Cincinnati, Lockland, and Middletown, Ohio; Morris, Ill.; Detroit and Farmington, Mich.; Natchez, Miss.; and Montevallo, Ala., to points in Massachusetts, Connecticut, Rhode Island, Maine, New Hampshire, Vermont, New York, New Jersey, Pennsylvania, Delaware, Maryland, and the District of Columbia, under a continuing contract with Diamond International Corp. NOTE: If a hearing is deemed necessary, applicant requests it be held at either (1) New York, N.Y.; (2) Hartford, Conn.; (3) Boston, Mass.; (4) Albany, N.Y. or (5) Washington, D.C.

No. MC 135007 (Sub-No. 17), filed October 10, 1972. Applicant: AMERICAN TRANSPORT, INC., 108 East Renfro Circle, Millard, NE 18137. Applicant's representative: Frederick J. Coffman, 521 South 14th Street, Post Office Box 80806, Lincoln, NE 68501. Authority sought to operate as a *contract carrier*, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: *Floor coverings, floor tile, carpet padding and lining and materials, equipment and supplies* used in the installation thereof, from Anaheim and South Gate, Calif., to points in Washington, Oregon, Idaho, Nevada, Utah, Arizona, Montana, Wyoming, Colorado, New Mexico, Nebraska, Kansas, Oklahoma, Texas, Iowa, Missouri, Arkansas, and Louisiana, under continuing contract with William Volker & Co. NOTE: If a hearing is deemed necessary, applicant requests it be held at San Francisco or Los Angeles, Ca'if.

No. MC 135007 (Sub-No. 18), filed October 16, 1972. Applicant: AMERICAN TRANSPORT, INC., 108 East Renfro Circle, Millard, NE 68137. Applicant's representative: Frederick J. Coffman, 521 South 14th Street, Post Office Box 80806, Lincoln, NE 68501. Authority sought to operate as a *contract carrier*, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: *New finished furniture*, from Taylor and San Marcos, Tex., to points in Washington, Oregon, California, Idaho, Utah, Nevada, Arizona, Montana, Wyoming, New Mexico, North Dakota, and South Dakota under continuing contract with William Volker & Co. NOTE: If a hearing is deemed necessary, applicant requests it be held at San Francisco or Los Angeles, Calif.

No. MC 135007 (Sub-No. 19), filed October 16, 1972. Applicant: AMERICAN TRANSPORT, INC., 108 Renfro Circle, Millard, NE 68137. Applicant's representative: Frederick J. Coffman, 521 South 14th Street, Post Office Box 80806, Lincoln, NE 68501. Authority sought to operate as a *contract carrier*, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: *Window shades, shutters, slats, rollers, roller fixtures and equipment, materials and supplies* used in the manufacture and installation of the above-named commodities, from Ogdensburg, N.Y., and Chicago, Ill., to points in Nebraska, Missouri, Texas, Colorado, Utah, Oregon, Washington, and California under continuing contract with William Volker & Co. NOTE: If a hearing is deemed necessary, applicant requests it be held at San Francisco or Los Angeles, Calif.

No. MC 136214 (Sub-No. 3), filed September 21, 1972. Applicant: ROGER L. JACOBSON, 120 South Gollob, Tucson, AZ 85710. Applicant's representative: Edwin E. Piper, Jr., 715 Simms Building, Albuquerque, N. Mex. 87101. Authority sought to operate as a *contract carrier*, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: *Animal and poultry feeds* (except liquid feeds in bulk), from points in Arizona, Colorado, New Mexico, and Texas to points in Kansas with the operations authorized to be performed under a continuing contract or contracts with Billstone Feed and Grain Service, Inc., El Paso, Tex. NOTE: If a hearing is deemed necessary, applicant requests it be held at Albuquerque, N. Mex., or El Paso, Tex.

No. MC 136232 (Sub-No. 1), filed October 10, 1972. Applicant: FRALEY'S, INCORPORATED, Route 1, Big Stone Gap, Va. 24219. Applicant's representative: Harry J. Jordan, 1000 16th Street NW., Washington, DC 20036. Authority sought to operate as a *contract carrier*, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: *Mine roof support bolts*, from Duffield, Va., to points in Fayette,

Logan, McDowell, Nichols, Raleigh, Summers, and Wyoming Counties, W. Va., Harlan and Clay Counties, Ky., and Campbell County, Tenn., under contract with Virginia Birmingham Bolt, Inc. NOTE: If a hearing is deemed necessary, applicant requests it be held at Roanoke, Va., or Washington, D.C.

No. MC 136399 (Sub-No. 2), filed October 9, 1972. Applicant: DORRIS S. BARKER, RONALD W. FISHER, AND JACKIE H. BROWNING, a partnership, doing business as: BF&B TRUCKING COMPANY, 445 17th Street, Post Office Box 457, Dunbar, WV 25064. Applicant's representative: Jackie H. Browning (same address as applicant). Authority sought to operate as a *contract carrier*, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: *Electrical products*, from Charleston, W. Va., to Belpre, Riverview, and Marietta, Ohio; Catlettsburg and Ashland, Ky.; *damaged or rejected products* from Belpre, Riverview, and Marietta, Ohio and Catlettsburg and Ashland, Ky., to Charleston, W. Va., under contract with Graybar Electric Co., Inc. NOTE: If a hearing is deemed necessary, applicant requests it be held at Charleston, W. Va.

No. MC 136525 (Sub-No. 1), filed September 14, 1972. Applicant: CAMERON'S TRANSFER LTD., 7583 Edmonds Street, Burnaby 3, BC, Canada. Applicant's representative: J. Stewart Black, 1322 Laburnum Street, Vancouver 9, BC, Canada. Authority sought to operate as a *common carrier*, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: *Paper* in rolls or skids, from Millwood, Wash., to the international boundary line between the United States and Canada located in the State of Washington, for furtherance to British Columbia, Canada. NOTE: If a hearing is deemed necessary, applicant requests it be held at Seattle, Bellingham, or Tacoma, Wash., or Portland, Oreg.

No. MC 136629 (Sub-No. 1), filed September 28, 1972. Applicant LUNN-BRUNO TRUCKING, INC., Margaretville, N.Y. 12455. Applicant's representative: Julius Braun, Albany Port Administration Building, Albany, N.Y. 12202. Authority sought to operate as a *common carrier*, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: (1) *Prefabricated houses in bundles, with all necessary component parts*, from points in Delaware County, N.Y., to points in Connecticut, Maine, Maryland, Massachusetts, New Hampshire, New Jersey, New York, Pennsylvania, Rhode Island, Vermont, and Virginia; and (2) *lumber and wood chips*, between points in Delaware County, N.Y. on the one hand, and, on the other, points in Connecticut, Massachusetts, New Jersey, New York, and Pennsylvania. NOTE: Applicant holds contract carrier authority under MC 129202 (Sub-No. 1), therefore dual operations may be involved. Applicant states that the requested authority cannot be tacked with its existing authority. If a hearing is deemed necessary, applicant requests it be held at Albany or New York, N.Y.

No. MC 136907, filed July 12, 1972. Applicant: EL SOL DE MAYO EXPRESS FURNITURE INC., 1301 Oak Point Avenue, Bronx, NY 10474. Applicant's representative: Hector A. Ortiz, 960 East 163d Street, Bronx, NY 10459. Authority sought to operate as a *common carrier*, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: *Household goods*, (1) between Philadelphia, Pa., on the one hand, and, on the other, points in the New York commercial zone as defined in the fifth supplemental report in commercial zone and territory areas, 53 MCC–451, and (2) between Boston, Mass., and points in the New York commercial zone, restricted to the transportation of traffic having a prior or subsequent movement by water. NOTE: If a hearing is deemed necessary, applicant requests it be held at New York City, N.Y., Boston, Mass., or Philadelphia, Pa.

No. MC 138052 (Sub-No. 1), filed September 22, 1972. Applicant: GOLD COAST TRUCKING, INC., 140 North Hill Street, Albany, OR 97321. Applicant's representative: Robert G. Simpson, 1200 Standard Plaza, 1100 Southwest Sixth Avenue, Portland, OR 97204. Authority sought to operate as a *common carrier*, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: (1) *Malt beverages, containers, cartons, bottle openers, advertising and brewery products* moving incidentally to the movement of malt beverages, from Los Angeles and San Francisco, Calif., to points in Oregon; and *returned empty containers, palettes, spoiled and rejected malt* beverages, on return, and; (2) *Wine, containers and cartons, bottle openers, advertising and winery products* moving incidentally to the movement of wine, from points in California north of San Luis Obispo, Kern, and San Bernardino Counties, to points in Oregon; and *returned empty containers, palettes, spoiled and rejected wine*, on return. NOTE: If a hearing is deemed necessary, applicant requests it be held at Portland, Oreg.

No. MC 138076 (Sub-No. 2), filed October 11, 1972. Applicant: HEAVY HAULING, INC., 1100 West Grand, Salina, KS 67401. Applicant's representative: Clyde N. Christey, 641 Harrison Street, Topeka, KS 66603. Authority sought to operate as a *common carrier*, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: *Reciprocal engines, reciprocal engine parts, turbine engines, turbine engine parts, castings, steel plates and shafting* between the shop, plant and/or warehouse facilities of Exline, Inc., located at or near Salina, Kans., on the one hand, and, on the other, points in Wyoming, Nebraska, Arkansas, Colorado, South Dakota, Louisiana, New Mexico, Mississippi, Minnesota, Texas, Iowa, Alabama, Oklahoma, Tennessee, Kentucky, Illinois, Indiana, Michigan, Wisconsin, Ohio, and Missouri. NOTE: If a hearing is deemed necessary, applicant requests it be held at Kansas City, Mo.

No. MC 138106, filed October 11, 1972. Applicant: TIDWELL MOTOR CARRIERS, INC., Route 5, Haleyville, Ala. 35565. Applicant's representative: E. Stephen Heisley, Suite 805, 666 11th Street NW., Washington, DC 20001. Authority sought to operate as a *contract carrier*, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: (1) *Trailers*, designed to be drawn by passenger automobiles, in initial movements, and *buildings, in sections*, when moving on their own undercarriages, and *modular homes*, when moving on their own or removable undercarriages, from points in Winston, and Marion Counties, Ala., and Elkhart County, Ind., to points in the United States, including Alaska (but excluding Hawaii); and (2) *returned, disabled, wrecked and repossessed trailers*, designed to be drawn by passenger automobiles, *buildings in sections*, when moving on their own undercarriages, and *modular homes*, when moving on their own or removable undercarriages, from points in the United States including Alaska (but excluding Hawaii), to points in Marion and Winston Counties, Ala., and Elkhart County, Ind. Restrictions: (1) The operations authorized in Part (1) of the application are restricted to the transportation of traffic originating at the facilities and plantsites of Tidwell Industries, Inc., its divisions, and/or subsidiaries located in the named counties; (2) the operations in Part (2) of the application are restricted to the transportation of traffic destined to the plantsites and facilities of Tidwell Industries, Inc., its divisions and/or subsidiaries located in the named counties, and (3) the operations authorized in Parts 1 and 2 are restricted to the transportation of traffic moving under a continuing contract or contracts with Tidwell Industries, Inc., its divisions and/or subsidiaries. NOTE: Applicant states that it is commonly controlled with the contracting shipper and the purpose of this application is to substitute its commonly controlled contract carrier for the shipper's private carriage. If a hearing is deemed necessary, applicant requests it be held at Birmingham, Ala.

No. MC 138117, filed October 9, 1972. Applicant: MOORE-McCLEARY LIMITED, 78 Ormond Street, Thorold, ON, Canada. Applicant's representative: Robert D. Gunderman, Suite 1708 Statler Hilton, Buffalo, NY 14202. Authority sought to operate as a *common carrier*, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: (a) *Commodities* in bulk, in dump or hopper vehicles, except liquid commodities and cement, between ports of entry on the international boundary line between the United States and Canada on the Niagara River, on the one hand, and, on the other, points in that part of New York south and west of a line beginning at Oswego, N.Y., and extending along New York Highway 57 to Fulton, N.Y., thence along New York Highway 49 to its intersection with Interstate Highway 81, thence along Interstate Highway 81 to the New York-Pennsylvania State line, including points on the indicated portions of the highways specified: Restricted to the transportation of traffic originating at or destined to the

facilities of Industrial Docks and Supply, Ltd., and Moore-McCleary located at the town of Thorold in the Province of Ontario, Canada. (b) *commodities* in bulk, except liquid commodities and cement in dump, or hopper type vehicles, between the ports of entry on the international boundary line between the United States and Canada on the Niagara River, on the one hand, and, on the other, the city of Niagara Falls, N.Y. Restricted to the transportation of traffic originating at or destined to the facilities of Airco Alloys situated in the city of Niagara Falls, N.Y., from or to Atlas Steels, Ltd., located at the city of Welland, in the Province of Ontario, Canada. (c) *abrasives, refractive materials, furnace residue, metal briquettes, sand, gravel, asphalt, rock, stone, fill, turf, earth, and rubble,* in bulk, and in bags, in dump vehicles between ports of entry on the international boundary line between the United States and Canada on the Niagara River, and points in Erie and Niagara Counties, N.Y., and the city of Batavia, N.Y. NOTE: Applicant holds contract carrier authority under MC 138117 therefore common control and dual operations may be involved. If a hearing is deemed necessary, applicant requests it be held at Buffalo, N.Y.

No. MC 138118, filed September 28, 1972. Applicant: LAWRENCE C. ARTHUR, Post Office Box 601, Warsaw, VA 22572. Applicant's representative: Lawrence C. Arthur (same address as applicant). Authority sought to operate as a *contract carrier,* by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: *Wooden pallets,* from points in Louisa County, Va., to points in New Jersey, Pennsylvania, Delaware, Maryland, New York, Connecticut, Ohio, North Carolina, West Virginia, and the District of Columbia, under contract with Dominion Pallet, Inc. NOTE: If a hearing is deemed necessary, applicant requests it be held at Warsaw or Richmond, Va.

No. MC 138120, filed October 2, 1972. Applicant: VINCENT SACCENTE & SONS, INC., 100 Horatio Street, New York, NY 10014. Applicant's representative: Robert B. Pepper, 168 Woodbridge Avenue, Highland Park, NJ 08904. Authority sought to operate as a *contract carrier,* by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: *Unprinted paper,* from New York, N.Y., to points in Bergen, Hudson, Essex, Middlesex, Passaic, Somerset, and Union Counties, N.J., under a continuing contract with Weyerhaeuser Co., Fitchburg, Mass. NOTE: If a hearing is deemed necessary, applicant requests it be held at New York, N.Y., or Boston, Mass.

No. MC 138121, filed October 11, 1972. Applicant: A. Q. MAXWELL, doing business as UNEEDA TRANSFER COMPANY, 5223 Winnie, Post Office Box 3178, Galveston, TX 77550. Applicant's representative: Joe G. Fender, 802 Houston First Savings Building, Houston, Tex. 77002. Authority sought to operate as a *common carrier,* by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: *General commodities* in cargo containers and/or cargo vans and *empty cargo containers and empty cargo vans,* between port facilities at Galveston, Tex., on the one hand, and, on the other, points in Texas, Oklahoma, Louisiana, and Arkansas. NOTE: If a hearing is deemed necessary, applicant requests it be held at Galveston or Houston, Tex.

No. MC 138123 filed October 12, 1972. Applicant: JOHN J. CRISANTI, doing business as, NORTH HAVEN TRANSPORTATION CO., 19 Montowese Avenue, North Haven, CT 06473. Applicant's representative: David M. Marshall, 135 State Street, Suite 200, Springfield, MA 01103. Authority sought to operate as a *common carrier,* by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: *General commodities,* except those of unusual value, classes A and B explosives, commodities in bulk, and commodities requiring special equipment, between points in Connecticut. Restriction: Restricted to the transportation of freight moving under bills of lading issued by freight forwarders or shipper's associations under Part IV of the Interstate Commerce Act. NOTE: If a hearing is deemed necessary, applicant requests it be held at Hartford, Conn., Boston, Mass., or Albany, N.Y.

MOTOR CARRIER OF PASSENGERS

No. MC 138053 (Sub-No. 2), filed October 2, 1972. Applicant: YELLOW CAB OF BOCA RATON, INC., 2150 Northwest First Place, Boca Raton, FL 33432. Applicant's representative: Richard B. Austin, 5720 Southwest 17th Street, Miami, FL 33155. Authority sought to operate as a *common carrier,* by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: *Airline passengers and their baggage* to be transported in nine passenger (including driver) limousines as part of a total prearranged air and ground transportation movement, (1) between Miami International Airport, Dade County, Fla., on the one hand, and, on the other, the Boca Raton Hotel and Club, Boca Raton, Palm Beach County, Fla.; and (2) between the Boca Raton Hotel and Club, Boca Raton, Fla., on the one hand, and, on the other, the Miami International Airport, Dade County, Fla. NOTE: If a hearing is deemed necessary, applicant requests it be held at Miami or Fort Lauderdale, Fla.

APPLICATIONS FOR FREIGHT FORWARDERS

No. FF-428 (MITCHELL OVERSEAS MOVERS, INC., Freight Forwarder Application), filed October 20, 1972. Applicant: MITCHELL OVERSEAS MOVERS, INC., Post Office Box 88728, Tukwila Station, Seattle, WA 98188. Applicant's representative: Alan F. Wohlstetter, 1700 K Street NW., Washington, DC 20006. Authority sought under Section 410, Part IV of the Interstate Commerce Act for a permit authorizing applicant to continue operations as a freight forwarder in the forwarding of *used household goods and unaccompanied baggage,* between points in the United States (including Hawaii, but excluding Alaska).

No. FF-429 (ALLIED VAN LINES INTERNATIONAL CORPORATION FREIGHT FORWARDER APPLICATION), filed October 17, 1972. Applicant: ALLIED VAN LINES INTERNATIONAL CORPORATION, Post Office Box 4403, Chicago, IL 60680. Applicant's representative: Joseph P. Tuohy (same address as applicant). Authority sought to operate under Section 410, Part IV of the Interstate Commerce Act, for a permit to institute operation as a freight forwarder, in interstate or foreign commerce, through use of the facilities of common carriers by railroad or motor vehicle in the transportation of: (a) *Household goods* as defined by the Commission in *Practices of Motor Common Carriers of Household Goods,* 111 M.C.C. 427 (525) (49 CFR 1056.1) (b) *used autombiles,* (c) *unaccompanied baggage,* (d) *used boats, and* (e) *electronics and parts,* between points in the United States including Hawaii, Alaska, and the District of Columbia.

APPLICATIONS IN WHICH HANDLING WITHOUT ORAL HEARING HAS BEEN REQUESTED

No. MC 113908 (Sub-No. 242) filed October 10, 1972. Applicant: ERICKSON TRANSPORT CORP., 2105 East Dale Street, Springfield, MO 65804. Applicant's representative: B. B. Whitehead (same address as applicant). Authority sought to operate as a *common carrier,* by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: *Apple juice,* in bulk, in tank vehicles, from points in Wayne County, N.Y., to Belding, Mich., refused and/or rejected shipments on return. NOTE: Applicant states that the requested authority cannot be tacked with its existing authority.

No. MC 116459 (Sub-No. 44), filed August 25, 1972. Applicant: RUSS TRANSPORT, INC., Post Office Box No. 4022, Chattanooga, TN 37405. Applicant's representative: Leonard A. Jaskiewicz, Suite 501, 1730 M Street NW., Washington, DC 20036. Authority sought to operate as a *common carrier,* by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: *Salt and salt products,* from points in Knox County, Tenn., to points in Georgia, Kentucky, North Carolina, South Carolina, Tennessee, Virginia, and West Virginia. NOTE: Common control may be involved. Applicant states that the requested authority cannot be tacked with its existing authority.

By the Commission.

[SEAL] ROBERT L. OSWALD, *Secretary.*

[FR Doc.72-19170 Filed 11-8-72;8:45 am]

CUMULATIVE LIST OF PARTS AFFECTED—NOVEMBER

The following numerical guide is a list of parts of each title of the Code of Federal Regulations affected by documents published to date during November.

1 CFR

Page

1 ... 23603
2 ... 23603
3 ... 23604
5 ... 23604
6 ... 23605
7 ... 23605
8 ... 23605
9 ... 23606
10 ... 23607
15 ... 23607
16 ... 23608
17 ... 23608
18 ... 23609
19 ... 23610
20 ... 23611
21 ... 23611
22 ... 23614
51 ... 23614

5 CFR

213 ... 23413, 23623
Ch. XI ... 23533

6 CFR

300 ... 23533
301 ... 23320
305 ... 23533
Rulings ... 23320, 23321, 23533, 23534

7 CFR

41 ... 23623
52 ... 23807
210 ... 23322
220 ... 23322
245 ... 23323
319 ... 23624
401 ... 23811–23817
402 ... 23818
403 ... 23818
404 ... 23818
406 ... 23818
408 ... 23818
409 ... 23818
410 ... 23818
413 ... 23818
722 ... 23819
725 ... 23241
726 ... 23244
729 ... 23413
811 ... 23545, 23624
906 ... 23546, 23626
907 ... 23323 23819
908 ... 23324, 23546
909 ... 23819
910 ... 23546
912 ... 23547
913 ... 23547
929 ... 23248
984 ... 23415
987 ... 23248, 23324, 23548
989 ... 23548, 23627
999 ... 23819
1071 ... 23683
1073 ... 23685
1097 ... 23687

7 CFR—Continued

Page

1102 ... 23689
1104 ... 23690
1106 ... 23692
1108 ... 23694
1120 ... 23696
1126 ... 23698
1127 ... 23700
1128 ... 23701
1129 ... 23703
1130 ... 23705
1132 ... 23707
1138 ... 23709
1464 ... 23627
1864 ... 23628
1867 ... 23628
1873 ... 23628

PROPOSED RULES:

724 ... 23843
752 ... 23729
775 ... 23455
911 ... 23650
966 ... 23552
971 ... 23436
989 ... 23437
1036 ... 23342, 23782
1050 ... 23553
1065 ... 23438
1099 ... 23650
1133 ... 23452
1421 ... 23455
1438 ... 23652
1464 ... 23456
1701 ... 23844

8 CFR

PROPOSED RULES:

212 ... 23274
299 ... 23274

9 CFR

75 ... 23534
76 ... 23315, 23416, 23821
82 ... 23417
318 ... 23822

PROPOSED RULES:

75 ... 23651
82 ... 23275
101 ... 23276
201 ... 23729
327 ... 23652

10 CFR

20 ... 23319

12 CFR

204 ... 23249
210 ... 23249
265 ... 23329, 23534

PROPOSED RULES:

207 ... 23652
220 ... 23652
221 ... 23652

13 CFR

Page

115 ... 23418

PROPOSED RULES:

120 ... 23733
122 ... 23733
123 ... 23733

14 CFR

39 ... 23418, 23535, 23536, 23630, 23710, 23711
71 ... 23249, 23250, 23329, 23420, 23536, 23631, 23823
73 ... 23250, 23330
75 ... 23330, 23420, 23631
95 ... 23823
97 ... 23331, 23825
302 ... 23332
372a ... 23250, 23711
399 ... 23333

PROPOSED RULES:

25 ... 23574
39 ... 23578
71 ... 23278, 23279, 23348, 23458, 23578, 23648, 23731
91 ... 23458
105 ... 23458
239 ... 23551
242 ... 23732
252 ... 23845
298 ... 23339

15 CFR

30 ... 23250

16 CFR

2 ... 23825
13 ... 23631

PROPOSED RULES:

434 ... 23363

17 CFR

200 ... 23826
201 ... 23827
202 ... 23829
230 ... 23636, 23829
239 ... 23637, 23829
240 ... 23637

PROPOSED RULES:

1 ... 23344
Ch. II ... 23850

18 CFR

PROPOSED RULES:

2 ... 23360
3 ... 23849
4 ... 23360
101 ... 23363, 23733
104 ... 23363, 23733
141 ... 23733, 23850
154 ... 23363
201 ... 23363, 23733
204 ... 23363, 23733
260 ... 23363, 23550, 23551, 23733, 23849, 23850

19 CFR

Page

22 ... 23712
153 ... 23715

20 CFR

401 ... 23252
615 ... 23835

21 CFR

1 ... 23253
3 ... 23537, 23644, 23715
121 ... 23538
135c ... 23420
135e ... 23538
141 ... 23716
141a ... 23254
146 ... 23254
146a ... 23254
148e ... 23836
149e ... 23254
308 ... 23420

PROPOSED RULES:

18 ... 23363
27 ... 23730
50 ... 23344
121 ... 23456
148m ... 23845
148v ... 23278
150d ... 23730
174 ... 23344
301 ... 23842
308 ... 23436, 23551

22 CFR

605 ... 23256

24 CFR

0 ... 23260
540 ... 23716
1914 ... 23539, 23638
1915 ... 23539, 23639

PROPOSED RULES:

1270 ... 23553

25 CFR

5 ... 23262
221 ... 23319

PROPOSED RULES:

47 ... 23274

26 CFR

1 ... 23423

PROPOSED RULES:

240 ... 23339

29 CFR

Page

20 ... 23421
Ch. II ... 23637
782 ... 23637
1910 ... 23718
1923 ... 23263
1951 ... 23263

PROPOSED RULES:

1910 ... 23646

30 CFR

PROPOSED RULES:

71 ... 23645

32 CFR

288 ... 23719
516 ... 23720
1281 ... 23267
1622 ... 23320
1631 ... 23421
1632 ... 23320

33 CFR

92 ... 23540
117 ... 23421, 23541
121 ... 23422
125 ... 23422

PROPOSED RULES:

117 ... 23731

36 CFR

7 ... 23334

PROPOSED RULES:

311 ... 23339
326 ... 23339
327 ... 23339

39 CFR

951 ... 23422
952 ... 23422
953 ... 23422
954 ... 23422
955 ... 23422
957 ... 23422
958 ... 23422

40 CFR

11 ... 23541
52 ... 23836, 23837
180 ... 23334, 23335, 23837, 23838

PROPOSED RULES:

85 ... 23778
180 ... 23349, 23846

41 CFR

Page

1-1 ... 23337
1-3 ... 23544
3-1 ... 23272
3-3 ... 23723
5A-60 ... 23544
5A-73 ... 23544
105-735 ... 23338
114-26 ... 23422
114-38 ... 23422

42 CFR

85 ... 23639

43 CFR

PUBLIC LAND ORDERS:

1161 (revoked in part by PLO 5297) ... 23643
5265 ... 23838
5295 ... 23643
5296 ... 23643
5297 ... 23643
5298 ... 23643

45 CFR

801 ... 23644

46 CFR

110 ... 23838

PROPOSED RULES:

10 ... 23845
12 ... 23457

47 CFR

0 ... 23336
1 ... 23723
73 ... 23723
81 ... 23838
97 ... 23840

PROPOSED RULES:

73 ... 23349
78 ... 23846

49 CFR

571 ... 23272, 23536, 23727
574 ... 23727
1033 ... 23273, 23336, 23728, 23840, 23841

PROPOSED RULES:

393 ... 23550
571 ... 23460, 23731
573 ... 23649
575 ... 23732


50 CFR

28 ... 23423
32 ... 23336, 23841
33 ... 23841

FEDERAL REGISTER PAGES AND DATES—NOVEMBER

Pages	*Date*		*Date*
23235–23308	Nov. 1	23527–23615	Nov. 4
23309–23406	2	23617–23676	7
23407–23525	3	23677–23799	8
		23801–23893	9

An Invaluable Reference Tool



1972/73 Edition

This guidebook provides information about significant programs and functions of the U.S. Government agencies, and identifies key officials in each agency.

Included with most agency statements are "Sources of Information" sections which give helpful information on:

- Employment
- Contracting with the Federal Government
- Environmental programs
- Small business opportunities
- Federal publications
- Speakers and films available to civic and educational groups

This handbook is a "must" for teachers, students, librarians, researchers, businessmen, and lawyers who need current official information about the U.S. Government.

$3.00 per copy.
Paperbound, with charts

MAIL ORDER FORM To:

Superintendent of Documents, Government Printing Office, Washington, D.C. 20402

Enclosed find $..... (check, money order, or Supt. of Documents coupons). Please send me ..... copies of the UNITED STATES GOVERNMENT ORGANIZATION MANUAL, 1972/73, *at $3.00 per copy. (Catalog No. GS 4.109:972) (Stock No. 2203–0035)*

Please charge this order to my Deposit Account No. .....

Name .....

Street address .....

City and State ..... *ZIP Code* .....

For Use of Supt. Docs.

..... Enclosed
..... To be mailed later
..... Subscription
Refund
Coupon refund
Postage