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Title 7—AGRICULTURE

Chapter VII—Agricultural Stabilization and Conservation Service (Agricultural Adjustment), Department of Agriculture

SUBCHAPTER B—FARM MARKETING QUOTAS AND ACREAGE ALLOTMENTS

PART 723—CIGAR-FILLER (TYPE 41) AND MARYLAND TOBACCO

Subpart—Proclamations, Determinations, and Announcements of National Marketing Quotas and Reference Results

PROCLAMATION OF QUOTAS

Sec.

723.1 Cigar-filler (type 41) tobacco—1971–72, 1972–73, and 1973–74 Marketing Years.


DETERMINATIONS AND ANNOUNCEMENTS—1971–72 MARKETING YEAR

723.11 Cigar-filler (type 41) tobacco.

723.12 Maryland tobacco.

AUTHORITY: The provisions of this subpart are issued under secs. 301, 312, 313, 378, 52 Stat. 58, as amended, 46, as amended, 47, as amended, as amended; 7 U.S.C. 1301, 1312, 1313, 1378.

Basis and purpose. Sections 723.1 and 723.2 are issued pursuant to, and in accordance with, the Agricultural Adjustment Act of 1938, as amended, hereinafter referred to as the “Act”, to proclaim national marketing quotas for Cigar-filler (type 41) and Maryland tobacco for each of the 3 marketing years beginning October 1, 1971, October 1, 1972, and October 1, 1973. The material previously appearing in these sections under Subpart—Proclamation of a National Marketing Quota for Cigar-filler (Type 41) Tobacco for Each of the 3 Marketing Years Beginning October 1, 1968, October 1, 1969, and October 1, 1970; and Determinations and Announcement of the National Marketing Quota, National Acreage Allotment, National Acreage Factor for Apportioning the National Acreage Allotment (Less Reserve) to Old Farms, and the amount of the National Reserve and Parts Thereof Available for (a) New Farm Allotments, and (b) Making Corrections and Adjusting Inequities in Old Farm Allotments for Cigar-filler (Type 41) Tobacco for the 1968–69 Marketing Year remain in full force and effect for the crops to which it was applicable. Sections 723.11 and 723.12 are also issued pursuant to the Act to determine the reserve supply level and the total supply of each kind of tobacco for the marketing year beginning October 1, 1970, to announce for the 1971–72 marketing year the amounts of the national marketing quotas, national acreage allotments, national acreage factors for apportioning the national acreage allotments (less reserves) to old farms, and the amounts of the national reserves and parts thereof available for (a) new farms and (b) making corrections and adjusting inequities in old farm allotments for such kinds of tobacco. The determinations contained in §§ 723.11 and 723.12 have been made on the basis of the latest available statistics of the Federal Government, and after due consideration of data, views, and recommendations received from Cigar-filler (type 41) and Maryland tobacco producers and others as provided in a notice (35 FR. 18400) given in accordance with the provisions of 5 U.S.C. 553.

Since the Act requires the holding of separate referenda of Cigar-filler (type 41) and Maryland tobacco producers within 30 days after issuance of the proclamation of national marketing quotas for such kinds of tobacco to determine whether such producers favor marketing quotas, certain such farmers must be notified, insofar as practicable, of their farm acreage allotments prior to the referenda and since notices of allotments cannot be mailed until the issuance of the marketing quotas, such notices must be made available for such farmers to determine for themselves whether such producers favor marketing quotas. The national marketing quota for each of the 3 Marketing Years Beginning October 1, 1971, October 1, 1972, and October 1, 1973; and each such quota is hereby proclaimed.

PROCLAMATION OF QUOTAS

§ 723.1 Cigar-filler (type 41) tobacco—1971–72, 1972–73, and 1973–74 marketing years.

Since the 1970–71 marketing year is the last of 3 consecutive years for which marketing quotas previously proclaimed were disapproved by producers of Cigar-filler (type 41) tobacco in a referendum, and since producers of such kind of tobacco had disapproved national marketing quotas on such kind of tobacco in referenda held in 3 successive years subsequent to 1952, section 312(a)(4) of the Act requires the proclamation of a national marketing quota for each of the 3 marketing years beginning October 1, 1971, October 1, 1972, and October 1, 1973, of which each such quota is hereby proclaimed.

DETERMINATIONS AND ANNOUNCEMENTS—1971–72 MARKETING YEAR

§ 723.11 Cigar-filler tobacco.

(a) Reserve supply level. The reserve supply level for Cigar-filler (type 41) tobacco is 132.9 million pounds, calculated, as provided in the Act, from a normal year’s domestic consumption of 1970 production of 45.6 million pounds and a normal year’s exports of 0.7 million pounds.

(b) Total supply. The total supply of Cigar-filler (type 41) tobacco for the marketing year beginning October 1, 1970, calculated in accordance with the Act, is 131.5 million pounds, consisting of carryover of 97.3 million pounds and estimated 1970 production of 34.2 million pounds.

The estimated carryover of Cigar-filler (type 41) tobacco which will make available during the marketing year beginning October 1, 1971, a supply of Cigar-filler (type 41) tobacco equal to the reserve supply level of such tobacco is 44.4 million pounds, and a national marketing quota of such size is hereby announced.

(e) National acreage allotment. The national acreage allotment, calculated in accordance with the Act by dividing the national marketing quota for the 1971–72 marketing year by the 5-year, 1966–70, national average yield of 1,790 pounds, is 24,804.46 acres.

(f) National acreage factor. The national acreage factor for use in determining farm acreage allotments for the 1971–72 marketing year is 1. It was calculated in accordance with the Act by dividing the national acreage allotment, less reserve, by the total of the 1971 preliminary allotments for 1971 old farms, Maryland tobacco.

The national acreage reserve is 248.04 acres, of which 25 acres are made available for 1971 new farms, and 223.04 acres are made available for making corrections and adjusting inequities in old farm allotments.

§ 723.12 Maryland tobacco.

(a) Reserve supply level. The reserve supply level for Maryland tobacco is 93.9 million pounds, calculated, as provided in the Act, from a normal year’s domestic consumption of 25.3 million pounds and estimated exports of 0.7 million pounds.
a normal year’s exports of 12 million pounds.

(b) **Total supply.** The total supply of Maryland tobacco for the marketing year beginning October 1, 1970, calculated in accordance with the Act, is 80 million pounds consisting of estimated carryover of 53 million pounds and estimated 1970 production of 27 million pounds.

(c) **Carryover.** The estimated carryover of Maryland tobacco for the 1971-72 marketing year is 43 million pounds, calculated in accordance with the Act by subtracting the estimated disappearance of 37 million pounds for the 1970-71 marketing year from the total supply of such tobacco.

(d) **National marketing quota.** The amount of Maryland tobacco which will make available during the 1971-72 marketing year, a supply of Maryland tobacco equal to the reserve supply level of such tobacco is 50.9 million pounds, and a national marketing quota of such amount is hereby announced.

(e) **National acreage allotment.** The national acreage allotment, calculated in accordance with the Act by dividing the national marketing quota for the 1971-72 marketing year by the 5-year 1966-70 national average yield of 1,033 pounds, is 49,273.95 acres.

(f) **National acreage factor.** The national acreage factor for use in determining farm acreage allotments for the 1971-72 marketing year is 1.40. It was calculated in accordance with the Act by dividing the national acreage allotment, less reserve, by the total of the 1971 preliminary allotments for 1971 old farms.

(g) **National reserve.** The national acreage reserve is 492.73 acres, of which 20 acres are made available for 1971 new farms, and 472.73 acres are made available for making corrections and adjusting inequities in old farm allotments.

Effective date: Date of filing of this document with the Director, Office of the Federal Register.


J. PHIL CAMPBELL, Acting Secretary.

[FR Doc. 71-1454 Filed 2-1-71 11:37 pm]

PART 724—BURLEY, FIRE-CURED, DARK AIR-CURED, VIRGINIA SUN-CURED, CIGAR-BINDER (TYPES 51 and 52); CIGAR-FILLER AND BINDER (TYPES 42, 43, 44, 53, 54, AND 55), AND MARYLAND TOBACCO

Subpart—Proclamations, Determinations and Announcements of National Marketing Quotas and Referendum Results

**PROCLAMATION OF QUOTAS**

Sec. 724.5 Virginia sun-cured tobacco—1971-72, 1972-73, and 1973-74 marketing years.

*Footnote: Bounded to the nearest tenth of a million pounds.*
§ 724.13 Fire-cured (types 22-24) tobacco.
(a) Reserve supply level.1 The reserve supply level for Fire-cured (types 22-24) tobacco is 98 million pounds, calculated, as provided in the Act, from a normal year's domestic consumption of 18.8 million pounds and a normal year's exports of 25.2 million pounds.
(b) Total supply.1 The total supply of Fire-cured (types 22-24) tobacco for the marketing year beginning October 1, 1970, is 66.7 million pounds, calculated in accordance with the Act, from a carryover of 63.3 million pounds and estimated 1970 production of 16 million pounds.

§ 724.15 Virginia sun-cured tobacco.
(a) Reserve supply level.1 The reserve supply level for Virginia sun-cured tobacco is 119 million pounds, calculated, as provided in the Act, from a normal year's domestic consumption of 51.7 million pounds and a normal year's exports of 36.4 million pounds.
(b) Total supply.1 The total supply of Virginia sun-cured tobacco for the marketing year beginning October 1, 1971, is 3,077,000 pounds, calculated in accordance with the Act by subtracting the estimated disappearance for the marketing year beginning October 1, 1971, of 2,079,000 pounds, and a national marketing quota of such amount is hereby announced. It is determined, however, that a national marketing quota in the amount of 2,079,000 pounds would result in undue restriction of marketings during the 1971-72 marketing year and such amount is hereby increased by 20 percent. Therefore, the amount of the national marketing quota for Virginia sun-cured tobacco in terms of the total quantity of such tobacco which may be marketed during the marketing year beginning October 1, 1971, is 2,495,000 pounds.

§ 724.16 Cigar filler and binder (types 42, 43, 44, 53, 54, and 55) tobacco.
(a) Reserve supply level.1 The estimated carry-over of Cigar filler and binder (types 51 and 52), Cigar-filler and binder (types 42, 43, 44, 53, 54, and 55), and Maryland tobacco for the marketing year beginning October 1, 1971, is 2,079,000 pounds, and a national marketing quota of such amount is hereby announced. It is determined, however, that a national marketing quota in the amount of 2,079,000 pounds would result in undue restriction of marketings during the 1971-72 marketing year and such amount is hereby increased by 20 percent. Therefore, the amount of the national marketing quota for tobacco in terms of the total quantity of such tobacco which may be marketed during the marketing year beginning October 1, 1971, is 2,495,000 pounds.

§ 724.17 Cigar filler and binder (types 42, 43, 44, 53, 54, and 55) tobacco.
(a) Reserve supply level.1 The reserve supply level for Cigar filler and binder (types 42, 43, 44, 53, 54, and 55) tobacco is 119 million pounds, calculated, as provided in the Act, from a normal year's domestic consumption of 51.7 million pounds and a normal year's exports of 36.4 million pounds.
Basis and purpose. Sections 724.16 and 724.17 are issued pursuant to, and in accordance with, the Agricultural Adjustment Act of 1938, as amended, hereinafter referred to as the “Act”, to (1) determine the reserve supply levels for cigar binder (types 51 and 52) and cigar filler (types 42-44, 53-55) tobacco, and (2) determine the total supply of each of such two kinds of tobacco for the marketing year beginning October 1, 1971, and (3) national acreage allotments for the 1971-72 marketing year the amounts of the national marketing quotas, national acreage allotments, national acreage factors for apportioning the national acreage allotments (less reserves) to old farms, and the amounts of the national reserves and parts thereof available for (a) new farms and (b) making corrections and adjusting inequities in old farm allotments for each of such two kinds of tobacco.

The material previously appearing in these sections under heading Determinations and Announcements—1970-71 Marketing Year remain in full force and effect to the crop to which it was applicable.

The determinations contained in §§ 724.16 and 724.17 have been made on the basis of the latest available statistics of the Federal Government, and after due consideration of data, views, and recommendations received from cigar binder (types 51 and 52) and cigar filler and binder (types 42-44, 53-55) tobacco producers and others as provided in a notice (35 F.R. 18400) given in accordance with the provisions of 5 U.S.C. 553.

It is determined that acreage-pounds allocations for these kinds of tobacco will not be announced for the 1971-72 marketing year. Since cigar tobacco farmers are now making their plans for producing tobacco in 1971 and need to know, at the earliest possible date, the applicable 1971 tobacco allotments for their farms, it is hereby found that compliance with the 30-day effective date provision of 5 U.S.C. 553 is impracticable and contrary to the public interest. Therefore, the determinations and announcements contained herein shall become effective upon the date of filing with the Director, Office of the Federal Register.

§ 724.16 Cigar binder (types 51 and 52) tobacco.

(a) Reserve supply level. The reserve supply level for cigar binder (types 51 and 52) tobacco is 17.1 million pounds, calculated, as provided in the Act, from a carryover of 13.4 million pounds and a normal year’s exports of 1.6 million pounds.

(b) Total supply. The total supply of Cigar binder (types 51 and 52) tobacco for the marketing year beginning October 1, 1971, is 18.7 million pounds, calculated in accordance with the Act, from a carryover of 7 million pounds and estimated 1970 production of 12.1 million pounds.

(c) Carryover. The estimated carryover of Cigar binder (types 51 and 52) tobacco for the marketing year beginning October 1, 1971, is 6.3 million pounds, calculated in accordance with the Act by subtracting the estimated disappearance for the marketing year beginning October 1, 1970, of 3.8 million pounds from the total supply of such tobacco.

(d) National marketing quota. The amount of Cigar binder (types 51 and 52) tobacco equal to the reserve supply level of such tobacco is hereby announced.

§ 724.17 Cigar filler and binder (types 42—44, 53—55) tobacco.

(a) Reserve supply level. The reserve supply level for Cigar filler and binder (types 42-44, 53-55) tobacco is 68.9 million pounds, calculated, as provided in the Act, from a carryover of 53.9 million pounds and a normal year’s exports of 0.4 million pounds.

(b) Total supply. The total supply of Cigar filler and binder (types 42-44, 53-55) tobacco for the marketing year beginning October 1, 1971, is 64 million pounds calculated in accordance with the Act, from a carryover of 44.3 million pounds and estimated 1970 production of 19.7 million pounds.

(c) Carryover. The estimated carryover of Cigar filler and binder (types 42-44, 53-55) tobacco for the marketing year beginning October 1, 1971, is 39 million pounds, calculated in accordance with the Act, by subtracting the estimated disappearance for the marketing year beginning October 1, 1970, of 25 million pounds from the total supply of such tobacco.

(d) National marketing quota. The amount of Cigar filler and binder (types 42-44, 53-55) tobacco which will make available during the marketing year beginning October 1, 1971, a supply of Cigar filler and binder (types 42-44, 53-55) tobacco equal to the reserve supply level of such tobacco is hereby announced.

§ 724.18 Cigar binder (types 51 and 52), Cigar filler and binder (types 42-44, 53-55) tobacco.

(a) Reserve supply level. The reserve supply level for Cigar binder (types 51 and 52) tobacco is 17.1 million pounds, calculated, as provided in the Act, from a carryover of 13.4 million pounds and a normal year’s exports of 1.6 million pounds.

(b) Total supply. The total supply of Cigar binder (types 51 and 52) tobacco for the marketing year beginning October 1, 1971, is 18.7 million pounds, calculated in accordance with the Act, from a carryover of 7 million pounds and estimated 1970 production of 12.1 million pounds.

(c) Carryover. The estimated carryover of Cigar binder (types 51 and 52) tobacco for the marketing year beginning October 1, 1971, is 6.3 million pounds, calculated in accordance with the Act by subtracting the estimated disappearance for the marketing year beginning October 1, 1970, of 3.8 million pounds from the total supply of such tobacco.

(d) National marketing quota. The amount of Cigar binder (types 51 and 52) tobacco equal to the reserve supply level of such tobacco is hereby announced.

(e) National acreage allotment. The national acreage allotment, calculated in accordance with the Act by dividing the national marketing quota for the 1971-72 marketing year by the 5-year, 1966-70, national average yield of 1,604 pounds is 5.986 acres.

(f) National acreage factor. The national acreage factor for use in determining farm acreage allotments for the 1971-72 marketing year is 1. It was calculated in accordance with the Act by dividing the national acreage allotment, less reserve, by the total of the 1971 preliminary allotments for 1971 old farms.

(g) National reserve. The national acreage reserve is 184.77 acres, of which 148 acres are made available for 1971 new farms, and 36.77 acres are made available for making corrections and adjusting inequities in old farm allotments.

Effective date: Date of filing of this determination with the Director, Office of the Federal Register.


J. PHIL CAMPBELL, Acting Secretary.

[FR Doc. 71—1496 Filed 2—1—71; 7:37 pm]

Chapter IX—Consumer and Marketing Service (Marketing Agreement and Orders; Fruits, Vegetables, Nuts), Department of Agriculture

[Navel Orange Reg. 224]

PART 907—NAVEL ORANGES GROWN IN ARIZONA AND DESIGNATED PART OF CALIFORNIA

Limitation of Handling

§ 907.524 Navel Orange Regulation 224.

(a) Findings. (1) Pursuant to the marketing agreement, as amended, and Order No. 907, as amended (7 CFR Part 907, 35 F.R. 18359), regulating the handling of Navel oranges grown in Arizona and designated part of California, effective under the applicable provisions of the Agricultural Marketing Agreement Act of 1937, as amended (7 U.S.C. 601-674), and upon the basis of the recommendations and information submitted by the Navel Orange Administrative Committee, established under the said
amended marketing agreement and order, and upon other available information, it is hereby found that the limitation of handling of such Naval oranges as hereinafter provided, will tend to effectuate the declared policy of the act.

(2) It is hereby further found that it is impracticable and contrary to the public interest to give formal notice, engage in public rule-making procedure, and postpone the effective date of this section until 30 days after publication hereof in the Federal Register (5 U.S.C. 553) because the time intervening between the date when information upon which this section is based became available and the time when this section must become effective in order to effectuate the declared policy of the act is insufficient, and a reasonable time is permitted, under the circumstances, for preparation for such effective time; and good cause exists for making the provisions hereof effective as hereinafter set forth. The committee held an open meeting during the current week, after giving due notice thereof, to consider supply and market conditions for Naval oranges and the need for regulation; interested persons were afforded an opportunity to submit information and views at this meeting; the recommendation and supporting information for regulation during the period specified herein were promptly submitted to the Department after such meeting was held; the provisions of this section, including its effective time, are identical to those of persons subject hereto which cannot be completed on or before the effective time, and in order to effectuate the declared policy of the act, to make this regulation effective during the period herein specified; and compliance with this section will not require any special preparation on the part of persons subject hereto which cannot be completed on or before the effective date hereof. Such committee meeting was held on February 2, 1971.

(b) Order. (1) The respective quantities of Naval oranges grown in Arizona and designated part of California which may be handled during the period February 5, 1971, through February 11, 1971, are hereby fixed as follows:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>District</th>
<th>Quantity</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>750,000 cartons</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>280,000 cartons</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>Unlimited</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

(2) As used in this section, "handled," "District 1," "District 2," and "carton" have the same meaning as when so used in said amended marketing agreement and order.


PAUL A. NICHOLSON,
Deputy Director, Fruit and Vegetable Division, Consumer and Marketing Service.

Chapter XIV—Commodity Credit Corporation, Department of Agriculture

SUBCHAPTER B—LOANS, PURCHASES, AND OTHER OPERATIONS

APPENDIX B

PART 1421—GRAINS AND SIMILARLY HANDLED COMMODITIES

Subpart—1970 Crop Wheat Loan and Purchase Program

WAREHOUSE CHARGES

The regulations issued by the Commodity Credit Corporation in the Federal Register at 35 F.R. 8867, 10097, and 11691 containing provisions for price support loans and purchases applicable to the 1970 crop of wheat are amended as follows:

Section 1421.487 is amended to delete in the introductory sentence of § 1421.487 all references to approved warehouses operated by eastern common carriers under tariffs approved by the Interstate Commerce Commission and to delete paragraph (b) which relates to warehouses operated by eastern common carriers. The amended section reads as follows:

§ 1421.487 Warehouse charges.

Subject to the provisions of 11421.466, the schedule of deductions set forth in this section shall apply to wheat stored in an approved warehouse operating under the Uniform Grain Storage Agreement.

SCHEDULE OF DEPRECIATION FOR STORAGE CHARGES BY MATURITY DATES

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Maturity date of</th>
<th>Deduction (cents per bushel)</th>
<th>Maturity date of</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Apr. 30, 1971</td>
<td></td>
<td>May 31, 1971</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(a) Prior to May 16, 1970,</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>Prior to June 16, 1970,</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>May 16-19, 1970,</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>June 16-19, 1970,</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>June 20-29, 1970,</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>July 20-29, 1970,</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Aug. 20-Sept. 8, 1970,</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>Sept. 9-19, 1970,</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sept. 20-Oct. 6, 1970,</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>Oct. 7-16, 1970,</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Oct. 17-30, 1970,</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>Nov. 1-10, 1970,</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nov. 11-Dec. 10, 1970,</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>Dec. 11-20, 1970,</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Feb. 29-Mar. 28, 1971,</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>Mar. 29-Apr. 28, 1971,</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Apr. 29-May 31, 1971,</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>May 31-June 30, 1971,</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The regulations issued by the Commodity Credit Corporation published in the Federal Register at 35 F.R. 8340, containing provisions for price support loans and purchases applicable to the 1970 and Subsequent crops of oats are amended as follows:

Section 1421.252 is revised to delete all references in paragraph (a) relating to approved warehouses operated by eastern common carriers under tariffs approved by the Interstate Commerce Commission and to delete paragraph (c) which relates to warehouses operated by eastern common carriers. The revised section reads as follows:

§ 1421.252 Warehouse charges.

(a) Handling and storage liens. Warehouse receipts and the oats represented thereby stored in an approved warehouse operating under the Uniform Grain Storage Agreement (hereinafter called "UGSA") may be subject to liens for warehouse handling and storage charges at not to exceed the UGSA rates from the date the oats are deposited in the warehouse for storage. In no event shall a warehouseman be entitled to satisfy the


CARROLL G. BRUNTHAVER,
Acting Executive Vice President, Commodity Credit Corporation.


CARROLL G. BRUNTHAVER,
Acting Executive Vice President, Commodity Credit Corporation.
lien on the oats when CCC is holder of the warehouse receipt.

(b) Deduction of storage charges UGSA warehouses. The table set forth in the annual crop year supplement will provide the deduction for storage charges to be made from the amount of the loan or purchase price in the case of oats stored in an approved warehouse operated under the UGSA. Such deduction shall be based on entries shown on the warehouse receipt. If written evidence is submitted with the warehouse receipt that all the warehouse charges except receiving and loading out charges have been prepaid through the applicable loan maturity date, no storage deduction shall be made. If such written evidence is not submitted, the beginning date to be used for computing the storage deduction on oats stored in warehouses operating under the UGSA shall be the latest of the following: (1) The date the oats were received or deposited in the warehouse, (2) the date storage charges start, or (3) the day following the date through which storage charges have been paid.


Effective date: Upon publication in the Federal Register.

Title 14—AERONAUTICS AND SPACE

Chapter I—Federal Aviation Administration, Department of Transportation

[Airworthiness Docket No. 70-WE-37-AD; Amdt. 39-1151]

PART 39—AIRWORTHINESS DIRECTIVES

Boeing Model 707 and 720 Series Airplanes

Amendment 39-1101, 35 F.R. 16791, AD 70-22-3, requires a visual inspection and rework of the elevator aft quadrant control quadrant in accordance with Boeing Telegraphic Alert Service Bulletin 3003, dated February 13, 1971, or Boeing Service Bulletin 707 and 720 Series airplanes. After issuing Amendment 39-1101, the Boeing Co. issued Revision 2, dated January 15, 1971, to the Service Bulletin, which Revision provides for additional inspections at specified intervals to assure elevator aft quadrant integrity. The agency has determined that the additional inspections recommended in the Revision must be accomplished to assure adequate elevator aft quadrant integrity. Therefore, the AD is being superseded by a new AD that requires repetitive inspections of the elevator aft quadrant for corrosion and cracks and torque tube ovalness, until modified in accordance with the manufacturer's Service Bulletin.

Since a situation exists that requires immediate adoption of this regulation, it is found that notice and public procedure as required by 5 U.S.C. 553(b) are impracticable and good cause exists for making this amendment effective in less than 30 days.

In consideration of the foregoing, and pursuant to the authority delegated to me by the Secretary of Transportation (49 U.S.C. 1520), § 39.13 of Part 39 of the Federal Aviation Regulations is amended by adding the following new airworthiness directive:


(a) This amendment supersedes Amendment 39-1011, 35 F.R. 16791, AD 70-22-3.

(b) Effective date: Upon publication in the Federal Register.

(c) Compliance required as indicated after the effective date of this AD.

(d) To assure elevator aft quadrant integrity, accomplish the following inspections and rework approved by the Chief, Aircraft Engineering Division, FAA Western Region.

(1) If the torque tube is either round or oval within limits permitted by Part II of Boeing Service Bulletin 3003, Revision 2, or later FAA-approved revisions, perform periodic close visual inspections at intervals not to exceed 1,500 hours' time in service. On or before 1,500 hours' time in service, initiate the dye penetrant or eddy current and torque check per (c). If cracks are found, replace quadrant per paragraph (d).

(2) If the torque tube exceeds the limits specified in Part II of Boeing Service Bulletin 3003, Revision 2, or later FAA-approved revisions, perform periodic close visual inspections at intervals not to exceed 1,500 hours' time in service. On or before 1,500 hours' time in service, initiate the dye penetrant or eddy current inspection surveillance at intervals not to exceed 1,500 hours' time in service until it is either replaced or overhauled per (c).

(3) If, after performing the inspection per (d), the torque tube exceeds the limits of ovalness specified in Part II of Boeing Service Bulletin 3003, Revision 2, or later FAA-approved revisions, conduct a dye penetrant or eddy current inspection and torque check per Part IV of Boeing Service Bulletin 3003, Revision 2, or later FAA-approved revisions.

(4) If, after completing the inspection and torque check per (2) above, the torque tube diameter is less than 0.004, the quadrant assembly may be retained in service under dye penetrant or eddy current inspection surveillance at intervals not to exceed 1,500 hours' time in service until it is either replaced per (d) or overhauled per (c).

Issued in Los Angeles, Calif., on January 28, 1971.

L. E. Warren,

Title 21—FOOD AND DRUGS

Chapter I—Food and Drug Administration, Department of Health, Education, and Welfare

Subchapter B—Food and Food Products

PART 45—OLEOMARGARINE, MARGARINE

Oleomargarine, Margarine Identity Standard; BHA and BHT as Optional Ingredients

Correction

In F.R. Doc. 71-831 appearing at page 977 in the Issue for Thursday, January 21, 1971, the new subdivision designated as § 45.61(a) (3) (xii) should be § 45.61(a) (3) (xii).

Subchapter C—Drugs

PART 133—Drugs; Current Good Manufacturing Practice in Manufacture, Processing, or Holding

Laboratory Controls; Correction

In F.R. Doc. 71-338 appearing at page 601 of the Federal Register of January 15, 1971, the last sentence of § 133.11 (J) is corrected to read:
§ 133.11 Laboratory controls.

(j) * * * Such products shall not be marketed if intended for use in man and the product is labeled, in accordance therewith, with an amount of penicillin equivalent to 0.05 unit or more of penicillin G per maximum single dose recommended in the labeling of a drug intended for parenteral administration, or an amount of penicillin G per maximum single dose recommended in the labeling of a drug intended for oral use.


SAM D. FINK,
Associate Commissioner
for Compliance.

[FR Doc. 71-1513 Filed 2-3-71; 8:47 am]

Title 24—HOUSING AND HOUSING CREDIT

PART 141a—PENICILLIN AND PENICILLIN-CONTAINING DRUGS; TESTS AND METHODS OF ASSAY

PART 141b—STREPTOMYCIN (OR DIHYDROSTREPTOMYCIN) AND STREPTOMYCIN- (OR DIHYDROSTREPTOMYCIN-) CONTAINING DRUGS; TESTS AND METHODS OF ASSAY

PART 146c—CERTIFICATION OF PENICILLIN AND PENICILLIN-CONTAINING DRUGS

PART 146b—CERTIFICATION OF STREPTOMYCIN (OR DIHYDROSTREPTOMYCIN) AND STREPTOMYCIN- (OR DIHYDROSTREPTOMYCIN-) CONTAINING DRUGS

Confirmation of Effective Date of Order Repealing Provision for Certification of Certain Topical Dental Antibiotic Drugs

An order was published in the Federal Register of October 7, 1970 (35 F.R. 15749), amending the antibiotic drug regulations to repeal provisions for certification of penicillin dental cones (calcium penicillin dental cones, penicillin dental cones calcium salt, crystalline penicillin dental cones); penicillin-streptomycin dental cones; penicillin-dihydrostreptomycin dental cones; penicillin-streptomycin-bacitracin dental paste; penicillin-dihydrostreptomycin-bacitracin dental paste; streptomycin-chlorotetracycline - chloramphenicol - bacitracin dental cement; and dihydrostreptomycin-chlortetracycline-chloramphenicol-bacitracin dental cement. The order revoked §§ 141a.13, 141a.10, 141a.89, 141b. 127, 146a.31, 146a.71, 146a.82, and 146b. 122, and all antibiotic certificates issued thereunder.

Pursuant to provisions of the Federal Food, Drug, and Cosmetic Act (secs. 503, 507, 52 Stat. 1050-81, as amended; 59 Stat. 463, as amended; 21 U.S.C. 352, 357) and under authority delegated to the Commissioner of Food and Drugs (21 CFR 2.120), it is given that no objections were filed to the above-identified order. Accordingly, the amendments promulgated thereby became effective November 16, 1970.

Firms affected by the order will be allowed 30 days after publication hereof for the recall of outstanding stocks of the affected drugs. Certification of new stocks has been discontinued.


R. E. DUGGAN,
Acting Associate Commissioner
for Compliance.

[FR Doc. 71-1513 Filed 2-3-71; 8:47 am]

Chapter II—Federal Housing Administration, Department of Housing and Urban Development

PART 236—MORTGAGE INSURANCE AND INTEREST REDUCTION PAYMENTS

Subpart A—Eligibility Requirements for Mortgage Insurance

MISCELLANEOUS AMENDMENTS

The following amendments have been made to section 236 in order to implement the "Housing and Urban Development Act of 1970" (Public Law 91-609) and to eliminate the preference for displaced projects designed for elderly or handicapped persons. Occupancy may be restricted to those who are within those categories. The amendments provide that: (1) A displaced single person is an eligible tenant; (2) displaced elderly or handicapped persons, occupancy may be restricted to that category of persons for whom the project was designed; (3) lower income military personnel shall be given such preference for occupancy as the Commissioner determines is appropriate; and (4) projects designed for elderly or handicapped persons may be restricted to those persons who are within those categories.

1. § 236.2 is amended by adding new paragraphs (f) and (g) as follows:

§ 236.2 Definitions used in this subpart.

(f) "Elderly" means a person 62 years of age or older.

(g) "Displaced" means a person who has been displaced from his dwelling unit or, as a result of governmental action or as a result of a disaster determined by the President to be a major disaster.

2. § 236.70 in its entirety is amended as follows:

§ 236.70 Occupancy requirements.

(a) Initial occupancy. Initial occupancy of the project by tenants who are unable to pay the fair market rental shall be restricted to those determined by the mortgagor as meeting the income requirements established by the Commissioner, and who are one of the following:

(1) A family.

(2) An elderly person.

(3) A handicapped person.

(b) Projects designed for displaced, elderly or handicapped. In a project designed for displaced, elderly or handicapped, occupancy may be restricted to that category of persons for whom the project was designed and who meet the income requirements established by the Commissioner.

(c) Preference for military personnel and displaced. Whenever the Commissioner determines that a project, because of its location or other considerations, could ordinarily be expected to substantially serve the family needs of military personnel who are serving on active duty and meet the income requirements established by the Commissioner, such preference for occupancy shall be afforded to the family of such military personnel as the Commissioner determines is appropriate.

The following amendments have been made to section 236 in order to implement the "Housing and Urban Development Act of 1970" (Public Law 91-609) and to eliminate the preference for displaced projects designed for elderly or handicapped persons. Occupancy may be restricted to those who are within those categories. The amendments provide that: (1) A displaced single person is an eligible tenant; (2) displaced elderly or handicapped persons, occupancy may be restricted to that category of persons for whom the project was designed; (3) lower income military personnel shall be given such preference for occupancy as the Commissioner determines is appropriate; and (4) projects designed for elderly or handicapped persons may be restricted to those persons who are within those categories.

The following amendment to title 24 of the Code of Federal Regulations reflects an increase in the maximum amount of mortgages for FHA insured hospitals in accordance with section 109 of the Housing and Urban Development Act of 1970, 84 Stat. 1770.

Accordingly, § 242.27 is amended as follows:

§ 242.27 Maximum mortgage amounts.

(a) $50 million; or
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Title 41—PUBLIC CONTRACTS AND PROPERTY MANAGEMENT

Chapter 5A—Federal Supply Service, General Services Administration

PART 5A—FEDERAL SUPPLY SCHEDULE PROGRAM

Additions, Deletions, or Reductions in Prices; Schedule Contract Items

The table of contents of Part 5A-73 is amended to include the following entry:

Sec. 5A-73.123-2 Additions, deletions, or reductions in prices of schedule contract items.

Subpart 5A—Production and Maintenance

Subpart 5A-73.1 is amended to add a new § 5A-73.123-2, as follows:

§ 5A-73.123-2 Additions, deletions, or reductions in prices of schedule contract items.

(a) The following provision shall be included in all solicitations for new item and multiple award schedule contracts and in each resulting Schedule thereof:

AMENDMENTS

If, during the contract period, the contractor offers any change(s) (i.e., additions, deletions, reductions in price(s), etc.) to the approved pricelist, the contractor shall submit (insert number) copies of the proposed change(s) and the reasons therefore to the contracting officer for consideration, in the following manner:

(a) Additional items. (1) Furnish "Discount Schedule and Marketing Data" information as required with original offer; (2) furnish published dated commercial pricelist on the new item, and effective date of such commercial pricelist; and (3) furnish production point and delivery time on the new item. If the information requested in (1), (2), and (3), above, is the same as that furnished under the original accepted offer, only a statement to that effect is required.

(b) Deletions. State reason for requesting deletion. The Government reserves the right to reject any subsequent offer of a substantially equal item at a higher price during the same contract period, if, in the opinion of the contracting officer, the higher price is unreasonable when compared with that of the deleted item.

(c) Price reduction. State whether in conformity with (1) Reductions to Customers other than Federal Government, or (2) Reductions to Federal Agencies (see Special Provision entitled "Price Reductions"), and effective date thereof. If type (1) reduction applies, submit copy of dated commercial pricelist.

When instructed by the contracting officer, the contractor agrees to print and distribute supplemental pricelists reflecting accepted changes within 15 days to Government agencies furnishing the original pricelist.

(b) The above provision should encourage the acceptance of bona fide offers of items to be added to existing new item and multiple award Federal Supply Schedule contracts for a limited period of time, such as items offered at reduced prices due to model changeover, the discontinuance of a product line, etc.

Effective date. These regulations are effective 30 days after the date shown below.

DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH, EDUCATION, AND WELFARE
Office of Education
[45 CFR Parts 175, 176]

ALLOCATION OF STUDENT AID FUNDS TO INSTITUTIONS BY FAMILY INCOME LEVEL

Proposed Change in Method of Allocation of Funds Under College Work-Study Program and Educational Opportunity Grant Program

In view of the importance of the subject rule to current and prospective students and the institutions of higher education that they attend or may be attending, it has been determined that although not required by law, it is appropriate to solicit the widest possible public participation in its formulation. To that end the following notice of proposed rule making follows the procedure of 5 U.S.C. 553.

Notice is hereby given to institutions of higher education which participate in the Educational Opportunity Grant Program (Title IV-A, Higher Education Act of 1965), and the College Work-Study Program (Title IV-C, Higher Education Act of 1965) and other interested parties that the Commissioner of Education proposes to change the method by which funds are to be allocated to such institutions for (1) making initial year awards under the Educational Opportunity Grant Program, and (2) for providing compensation to students for employment under the College Work-Study Program. It is contemplated that these changes will be the first effective with respect to the allocation of funds for the fiscal year 1972.

The respective statutes under which these programs are authorized provide that funds shall be allocated to eligible institutions of higher education from their respective State allotments in accordance with criteria established by the Commissioner which are designed to achieve such distribution of such funds as will most effectively carry out the purposes of the legislation (Educational Opportunity Grants, 20 U.S.C. 1068; College Work-Study, 42 U.S.C. 2756).

Under both of these programs participating institutions of higher education file applications for funds with the U.S. Office of Education. These applications take into account the numbers of students eligible for assistance under the terms of the respective programs and include the institutions' estimates as to the amount of funds needed to provide that assistance. The applications are then reviewed for reasonableness by panels appointed by the Office of Education for that purpose. Funds are then allocated to each institution on the basis of its panel approved request.

Where funds are insufficient to honor all approved requests, the current procedure for making initial year allotments (and reallocation if any) to institutions within that State is to allocate on the basis of the ratio that the funds approved in each application bears to the total amount of funds approved in all applications of institutions within that State. Under the proposed new procedure—the purpose of which is to focus financial aids in the areas of greatest need—College Work-Study and Educational Opportunity Grant funds would be allocated to institutions in proportion to the estimated number of students whose adjusted gross family incomes will be in attendance and in need of financial assistance. Under this proposal, funds would first be allocated to institutions within a State on account of those of its students whose adjusted gross family incomes are less than $3,000 per annum. Requests on account of students with annual adjusted gross family income between $3,000 and $6,000 per annum would be taken care of next and so on.

Reallocation to a State, if any, would be allocated among institutions on the same basis as is the original allotment. In order to assure that a reasonable portion of a State's allotment will be available to all institutions which have entered into agreements with the Commissioner for the conduct of these programs, the proposed procedure would assure each institution a minimum or "floor" amount. Assuming its approved request equals or exceeds its allotment for fiscal year 1971, each institution would receive no less in any year than 80 percent of the amount allocated to it from its State's allotment (and reallocation if any) for the fiscal year 1971.

Specifically, the proposed new procedures for allocating funds among institutions of higher education who have in effect an agreement with the Commissioner of Education under section 407(a) and section 443(a) of the Higher Education Act of 1965 for the conduct of an Educational Opportunity Grant Program or a College Work-Study Program respectively, and who have timely filed an application which has been approved by the Commissioner for funds for (1) making initial year awards under the Educational Opportunity Grant Program, and (2) for providing compensation to students for employment under the College Work-Study Program for a particular program year, is as follows:

1. An amount will first be allocated to each institutional applicant from the appropriate State's allotment (or reallocation) equal to the amount reasonably estimated to be needed by students whose adjusted gross family income is in the $0-$2,999 bracket per annum.

2. From such sums as still remain in a State's allotment (or reallocation), funds will then be allocated to each institutional applicant equal to the amount reasonably estimated to be needed by students whose adjusted gross family income is in the $3,000-$5,999 bracket per annum. This process will be repeated for students whose adjusted gross family income is in the $6,000-$7,499 bracket per annum, and $7,500-$8,999, and with respect to a State's allotment for the College Work-Study Program, between $9,000-$11,999 and over $12,000.

3. Whenever funds available in a State's allotment (or reallocation) are not sufficient to honor all its approved applications, funds will be distributed on a pro rata basis among institutions in the State according to the ratio that their respective requirements in that bracket bear to the total requirements in that bracket of all institutions in the State.

4. The allocation of funds to any single institution for any year however will be no less than 80 percent of the amount allocated to it for the conduct of each of the subject programs during fiscal year 1971, as reduced on a proportional basis to reflect decreases, if any, in the amount of such institutions' approved application or in the amount of the State's allotment and reallocation for each such program.

5. "Adjusted gross family income" includes in addition to the student's own adjusted gross income (as defined in the method of financial need assessment utilized by the institution concerned in accordance with Schedule A of its agreement with the U.S. Commissioner of Education covering institutional participation in programs of student financial aid) the income of his parents and spouse, if any, except where such income would not be relevant to a determination of such student's financial need under the method of financial need assessment utilized by the institution concerned in accordance with Schedule A of its agreement with the U.S. Commissioner of Education covering institutional participation in programs of student financial aid.

Interested parties are invited to submit written comments, suggestions, or objections regarding the proposed changes to the Office of the Commissioner of Education, U.S. Office of Education, Washington, D.C. 20202, within 30 days after the date of publication of this notice in the Federal Register.


S. F. MARLAND, Jr.,
U.S. Commissioner of Education,


ELLIOT L. RICHARDSON,
Secretary.

[FR Doc.71-1942 Filed 2-3-71; 8:49 am]
Federal Aviation Administration

[14 CFR Part 71]

[Airspace Docket No. 71–WE–4]

PROPOSED RULE MAKING

TRANITION AREA

Proposed Alteration

The Federal Aviation Administration is considering an amendment to Part 71 of the Federal Aviation Regulations that will modify the descriptions of the Battle Mountain, Nev., transition area.

Interested persons may participate in the proposed rule making by submitting such written data, views, or arguments as they may desire. Communications should be submitted in triplicate to the Chief, Airspace and Procedures Branch, Federal Aviation Administration, 5651 West Manchester Avenue, Post Office Box 92007, Worldway Postal Center, Los Angeles, CA 90009. All communications received within 30 days after publication of this notice in the Federal Register will be considered before action is taken on the proposed amendment. No public hearing is contemplated at this time, but arrangements for informal conferences with Federal Aviation Administration officials may be made by contacting the Regional Air Traffic Division Chief. Any data, views, or arguments presented during such conferences must also be submitted in writing in accordance with this notice in order to become a part of the record for consideration. The proposal contained in this notice may be changed in the light of comments received.

A public docket will be available for examination by interested persons in the office of the Regional Counsel, Federal Aviation Administration, 5651 West Manchester Avenue, Los Angeles, CA 90045.

The airspace requirements for Lander County Airport, Battle Mountain, Nev., have been reviewed in accordance with criteria contained in 14 CFR Part 71, for Terminal Instrument Approach Procedures.

As a result of the review, it has been determined that the 700-foot portion of the transition area may be reduced. In addition, the 1,200-foot portion described on the Battle Mountain VORTAC 085° and 264° radials may be deleted.

In consideration of the foregoing, the FAA proposes the following airspace action.

In § 71.181 (30 F.R. 2140) the description of the Battle Mountain, Nev., transition area is amended to read as follows:

That airspace extending upward from 700 feet above the surface within a 5-mile radius of Lander County Airport (latitude 40°36'30" N., longitude 116°52'25" W.) and within 5 miles each side of the Battle Mountain VORTAC; that airspace extending from the VORTAC to 16 miles southeast of the Battle Mountain 218° radial, extending from the VORTAC, and within 6.5 miles south and 9 miles north of the Battle Mountain VORTAC 077° and 257° radials, extending from 8 miles west to 18.5 miles east of the VORTAC.

This amendment is proposed under the authority of section 307(a) of the Federal Aviation Act of 1958, as amended and section 6(c) of the Department of Transportation Act (49 U.S.C. 1655(c)).

Issued in Los Angeles, Calif., on January 26, 1971.

LEE E. WARREN,
Acting Director, Western Region.

[FR Doc. 71–1574 Filed 2–3–71; 8:50 am]

[14 CFR Part 71]

[Airspace Docket No. 71–SW–7]

CONTROL ZONES AND TRANSITION AREA

Proposed Revocation, Designation, and Alteration

The Federal Aviation Administration is considering amending Part 71 of the Federal Aviation Regulations to revoke, designate, and alter controlled airspace in the Killeen, Tex., terminal area.

Interested persons may submit such written data, views, or arguments as they may desire. Communications should be submitted in triplicate to the Chief, Air Traffic Division, Southwest Region, Federal Aviation Administration, Post Office Box 1689, Fort Worth, TX 76101. All communications received within 30 days after publication of this notice in the Federal Register will be considered before action is taken on the proposed amendment. No public hearing is contemplated at this time, but arrangements for informal conferences with Federal Aviation Administration officials may be made by contacting the Chief, Air Traffic Division, Southwest Region. Any data, views, or arguments presented during such conferences must also be submitted in writing in accordance with this notice in order to become a part of the record for consideration. The proposal contained in this notice may be changed in the light of comments received.

The official docket will be available for examination by interested persons in the office of the Regional Counsel, Federal Aviation Administration, Post Office Box 1689, Fort Worth, TX 76101. All communications received within 30 days after publication of this notice in the Federal Register will be considered before action is taken on the proposed amendment. No public hearing is contemplated at this time, but arrangements for informal conferences with Federal Aviation Administration officials may be made by contacting the Chief, Air Traffic Division, Southwest Region. Any data, views, or arguments presented during such conferences must also be submitted in writing in accordance with this notice in order to become a part of the record for consideration. The proposal contained in this notice may be changed in the light of comments received.

The official docket will be available for examination by interested persons at the Office of the Regional Counsel, Southwest Region, Federal Aviation Administration, Fort Worth, Tex. An informal docket will also be available for examination at the Office of the Chief, Air Traffic Division.

It is proposed to revoke the Killeen, Tex. (Robert Gray AAF), and Killeen, Tex. (Fort Hood AAF), control zones. A single control zone, i.e., Killeen, Tex., will be designated which will accommodate IFR arrival/departure procedures serving Fort Hood AAF and Killeen Municipal Airport. The Killeen, Tex., control zone is proposed as follows:

Within a 5-mile radius of Fort Hood AAF (lat. 31°04'50" N., long. 97°42'50" W.), within a 4-mile radius of Killeen Municipal Airport (lat. 31°05'10" N., long. 97°41'05" W.); within 5 miles each side of the Hood VOR 219° T radial, within a 4-mile radius zone to 8 miles southwest of the VOR, within a 5-mile radius of Robert Gray AAF (lat. 31°04'50" N., long. 97°46'55" W.); within 5 miles each side of the Gray NN (lat. 31°07'18" N., long. 97°51'02" W.) extending from the 5-mile radius zone to 11.5 miles north of the RN, excluding that portion within R-6302A.

It is proposed to alter the Killeen, Tex., transition area as follows:

Killeen, Tex.

That airspace extending upward from 700 feet above the surface within a 6-mile radius of Hood AAF (lat. 31°04'50" N., long. 97°42'50" W.); within a 7-mile radius of Robert Gray AAF (lat. 31°04'50" N., long. 97°46'55" W.); within 9.5 miles west and 8 miles east of the Hood VOR 283° and 172° radials extending from 2 miles north of the VOR to 12 miles south of the VOR; within 5 miles southeast and 9.5 miles northwest of the Hood VOR 219° T (210° M) radial extending from the VOR to the following points: 23 miles south of the RN; within 35 miles each side of the 341° bearing from the Gray NN (lat. 31°07'18" N., long. 97°51'02" W.) extending from the 5-mile radius zone to 11.5 miles north of the RN, excluding that portion within R-6302A.

At present, the Fort Hood AAF control zone is effective full time, while the Robert Gray AAF control zone is effective part time, i.e., 0600–2200 Monday through Friday and 0800–1200 on Saturday. The proposed single Killeen, Tex., control zone will be effective full time based on weather observations conducted at Robert Gray AAF.

Appropriate citations for amendment to Part 71 of the Federal Aviation Regulations and changes in the Killeen, Tex., control zones and transition area are § 71.171 (30 F.R. 2140), and § 71.181 (30 F.R. 2140), respectively.

This amendment is proposed under the authority of section 307(a) of the Federal Aviation Act of 1958 (49 U.S.C. 1348(a)), and of section 6(c) of the Department of Transportation Act (49 U.S.C. 1655(c)).

Issued in Fort Worth, Tex., on January 26, 1971.

HENRY L. NEWMAN,
Director, Southwest Region.

[FR Doc. 71–1574 Filed 2–3–71; 8:50 am]

Hazardous Materials Regulations Board

[49 CFR Parts 172, 173, 176, 178, 179]

[Docket No. HM–77; Notice No. 71–4]

TRANSPORTATION OF HAZARDOUS MATERIALS

Methylacrylate-Propadiene, Stabilized

The Hazardous Materials Regulations Board is considering amending the Department's Hazardous Materials Regula-
tions to provide specific requirements for the shipment of stabilized methylacetylene-propadiene, a flammable compressed gas, in cylinders, tank cars, and tank motor vehicles in accordance with a petition for rule making submitted to the Board. Current provisions for shipping methylacetylene, 15 percent to 20 percent propadiene mixture, in cylinders and tank cars would be deleted. Petitioner has advised that the gas mixture in this specified percentage range is no longer manufactured or shipped. Information submitted also indicates that this currently authorized mixture contains no stabilizing dilsents.

The recommended mixture of methylacetylene-propadiene, stabilized, contains not more than 68 percent methylacetylene plus propadiene and at least 32 percent stabilizing dilsents consisting of propane, propylene, isobutylene, isobutane, or butadiene. The stability of methylacetylene-propadiene-propadiene mixtures has been demonstrated by tests, the results of which are on file with the Board. The tests consisted of the blasting cap test, dynamite test, hot spot test, fire test, and vapor ignition test conducted on cylinders containing the gas mixture.

Methylacetylene-propadiene gas is compatible with all LPG cylinders except cylinders having brazed seams. There is indication that under certain conditions the gas mixture could form acetylides similar to those formed by acetylene. For this reason, no brazed cylinders may be used.

In addition to the changes proposed as set forth below, the petitioner proposed that § 173.315 paragraph (j) be amended to authorize the transportation of stabilized methylacetylene-propadiene in storage tanks built to ASME specifications under the same conditions afforded liquefied petroleum gas. The Board does not believe that the provisions for shipping LPG in non-DOT specification containers should be extended to other gases at this time. The proposal was not supported by sufficient data and, accordingly, will be held in abeyance pending receipt of additional information submitted in conformance with § 170.11.

In consideration of the foregoing, it is proposed to amend 49 CFR Parts 172, 173, 176, 178, and 179 as follows:

I. Part 172.

In § 172.5 paragraph (a) Commodity List would be amended as follows:

§ 172.5 List of hazardous materials.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Article</th>
<th>Exempted and packing (see sec.)</th>
<th>Label required</th>
<th>Maximum quantity in one outside container by rail express</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>***</td>
<td>***</td>
<td>***</td>
<td>***</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Methylacetylene-propadiene, stabilized (containing at least 32% stabilizing dilsents)</td>
<td>***</td>
<td>***</td>
<td>***</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Methylacetylene—15% to 20% propadiene mixture</td>
<td>***</td>
<td>***</td>
<td>***</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

II. Part 173.

(A) In § 173.34 paragraph (e)(9) would be amended by inserting the phrase “methylacetylene-propadiene, stabilized” immediately following the phrase “liquefied petroleum gas”, in the first sentence;

with cylinders having brazed seams.

(B) In § 173.301 paragraph (d)(3) would be amended to read as follows:

§ 173.301 General requirements for shipment of compressed gases in cylinders.

| Maximum containers marked as shown in this column or of the same type with higher service pressure must be used except as provided in § 173.34(a), (b), § 173.36(d) (see notes following table) |
|----|----------|
| Kind of gas | Maximum permitted filling density |
| Methylacetylene-propadiene, stabilized (see Note 5) | DOT-4B240, DOT-4AA400, DOT-3B, DOT-4B, DOT-4BA |
| Methylacetylene—15% to 20% propadiene mixture (see Note 6) | ICC-3A240; ICC-3AA240; ICC-4B240; ICC-4BA240; ICC-4BW240; ICC-4B240ET |

Note 6: [Canceled]

(D) In § 173.314 paragraph (c) Table and paragraph (e) would be amended as follows:

§ 173.314 Requirements for compressed gases in tank cars.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Note 6: [Canceled]</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>(c) ** * * * * *</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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## PROPOSED RULE MAKING

### Table: Maximum Permitted Filling Density

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Kind of gas</th>
<th>Maximum permitted filling density</th>
<th>Required tank car, see §173.31(a) (2) and (3)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Methylacetylene-propadiene, stabilized</td>
<td>2406</td>
<td>DOT-105A350W; 112A360W; 114A360W; 10A500X, Notes 4 and 9.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Methylacetylene—15% to 20% propadiene mixture</td>
<td>566</td>
<td>ICC-105A300W.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Text:

- **(e) Verification of content.** The amount of liquefied gas loaded into each tank may be determined either by measurement or calculation of the weight. If by measurement, the weight must be checked after disconnecting the loading line by the use of proper scales. If by calculation, the weight of liquefied petroleum gas, methylacetylene-propadiene, stabilized, dimethylamine, monomethylamine, or trimethylamine may be calculated using the outage tables supplied by the tank car owners and the specific gravities as determined at the plant, and this computation must be checked by determination of specific gravity of product after loading. Carriers may verify calculated weights by use of proper scales.

- *(E) In §173.315 paragraphs (a)(1) Table, (h)(2) Table, and (i)(2) Table would be amended as follows:*

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Section</th>
<th>Amended Paragraph</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>§173.315</td>
<td>Compressed gases in cargo tanks and portable tank containers.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
| (a) | *
| (1) | * |

- **(F) In §173.337-14 paragraph (a)(2) Table, and (i) (2) Table may verify calculated weights by use of gaging devices.**

### Table: Kind of gas

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Kind of gas</th>
<th>Percent by weight (see Note 1)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Methylacetylene-propadiene, stabilized</td>
<td>2406</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Table: Permitted Gaging Devices

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Kind of gas</th>
<th>Permitted gaging device</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Methylacetylene-propadiene, stabilized</td>
<td>Rotary tube; adjustable slip tube; fixed length dip tube</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Table: Minimum Design Pressure

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Kind of gas</th>
<th>Minimum design pressure (p.s.i.g.)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Methylacetylene-propadiene, stabilized</td>
<td>DVT-41; MC-330; 200.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Table: Filling Requirements

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Kind of gas</th>
<th>Required tank car, see §173.31(a) (2) and (3)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Methylacetylene-propadiene, stabilized</td>
<td>DVT-41; MC-330; 200.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Footnote:

1. If by measurement, the weight of liquefied petroleum gas, methylacetylene-propadiene, stabilized, dimethylamine, monomethylamine, or trimethylamine may be calculated using the outage tables supplied by the tank car owners and the specific gravities as determined at the plant, and this computation must be checked by determination of specific gravity of product after loading. Carriers may verify calculated weights by use of proper scales.

2. The maximum permitted filling level and in tanks for transporting liquefied petroleum gases, and methylacetylene-propadiene, stabilized, must be located at the level reached by the ladging when the tank is loaded to maximum filling density at 40°F.

### Environmental Protection Agency

**[42 CFR Part 481] CASPER INTRASTATE AIR QUALITY CONTROL REGION**

Proposed Designation of Regions; Consultation With Appropriate State and Local Authorities

Notice is hereby given of a proposal to designate an Intrastate Air Quality Control Region in the State of Wyoming as set forth in the following new §481.213 which would be added to Part 481 of Title 42, Code of Federal Regulations. It is proposed to make such designation effective upon publication.

Interested persons may submit written data, views, or arguments in triplicate to the Office of the Acting Commissioner, Air Pollution Control Office, Room 202, 5600 Fishers Lane, Rockville, Md. 20852. All relevant material received not later than 30 days after the publication of this notice will be considered.
Interested authorities of the State of Wyoming and appropriate local authorities, both within and without the proposed region, who are affected by or interested in the proposed designation, are hereby given notice of an opportunity to consult with representatives of the Administrator concerning such designation. Such consultation will take place at 1 p.m. on February 17, 1971, in the Council Chamber, City-County Building, North Senter Street, Casper, WY.

Mr. Earl V. Porter is hereby designated as Chairman for the consultation. The Chairman shall fix the time, date, and place of later sessions and may convene, reconvene, recess, and adjourn the sessions as he deems appropriate to expedite the proceedings.

State and local authorities wishing to participate in the consultation should notify the Chairman, Mr. Earl V. Porter, Air Pollution Control Office, Environmental Protection Agency, Federal Office Building, Room 9017, Denver, CO 80202.

In Part 481 the following new section is proposed to be added to read as follows:

§ 481.213 Casper Intrastate Air Quality Control Region.

The Casper Intrastate Air Quality Control Region (Wyoming) consists of the territorial area encompassed by the boundaries of the following jurisdictions or described area (including the territorial area of all municipalities (as defined in section 302(f) of the Clean Air Act, 42 U.S.C. 1857g(a) as amended by section 15(c) (2) of Public Law 91-604) geographically located within the outermost boundaries of the area so delimited:

In the State of Wyoming:

- Converse County.
- Natrona County.
- Fremont County.

This action is proposed under the authority of (section 301(a), 81 Stat. 504; 42 U.S.C. 1857g(a) as amended by section 15(c) (2) of Public Law 91-604).


WILLIAM D. RUCKESHAUS, Administrator.

[FR Doc.71-1537 Filed 2-3-71; 8:48 am]

Rapid City Intrastate Air Quality Control Region.

The Rapid City Intrastate Air Quality Control Region (South Dakota) consists of the territorial area encompassed by the boundaries of the following jurisdictions or described area (including the territorial area of all municipalities (as defined in section 302(f) of the Clean Air Act, 42 U.S.C. 1857g(a) as amended by section 15(c) (2) of Public Law 91-604) geographically located within the outermost boundaries of the area so delimited:

In the State of South Dakota:

- Butte County.
- Pennington County.
- Lawrence County.
- Meade County.

This action is proposed under the authority of (section 301(a), 81 Stat. 504; 42 U.S.C. 1857g(a) as amended by section 15(c) (2) of Public Law 91-604).


WILLIAM D. RUCKESHAUS, Administrator.

[FR Doc.71-1536 Filed 2-3-71; 8:48 am]

FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS COMMISSION

PROPOSED RULE MAKING

CHAPTER 15 - 2

PROPOSED RULE MAKING

2. This proceeding was initiated by our notice of proposed rule making of August 1, 1968, in which we considered new means for providing additional spectrum space to meet the needs of the land mobile radio services in major population centers. In our First Report and Order,1 we adopted rules2 which provide for use of portions of two pairs of UHF channels, on a shared basis with television broadcasting, by land mobile stations within 50 miles of the center of the 10 largest urbanized areas.3 Technical standards for this purpose were also adopted and are fully described in the Report and Order.2 We left for further study and later decision the basic assignments for providing additional spectrum frequencies in the 470-512 MHz band to be designated for the use of each of the several land mobile services.4 This notice looks to a decision on these matters and adoption of appropriate rules to implement the decision. In developing our proposals, consideration has been given to the substantial amount of information submitted, not only in this proceeding, but also in many of the recent general reports concerning land mobile requirements which have been made available to

1. Land Mobile Use of TV Channels 14 through 20 (Docket No. 18261), 14 FCC 2d 297 (1968).
3. See Appendices C, D, E, and F of First Report and Order, cited footnote 2, supra, where the major technical rules are set out. As we pointed out at paragraph 67 of the First Report and Order, specific rule provisions covering these matters will be incorporated into Parts 21, 89, 91, and 93 of the Commission's rules at an appropriate time, later.
4. The Urbanized Areas and Channels are: New York—northeastern New Jersey, Channels 14 and 15; Los Angeles, Channels 14 and 15; Chicago—northwestern Indiana, Channel 14; Boston, Mass.— south and west of Boston, Channel 14; Providence, R.I.— south of Providence, Channel 14; Detroit, Mich.—south of Detroit, Channel 14; San Francisco—Oakland, Channel 14; Philadelphia—New Jersey, Channel 14; Philadelphia, Pa.— New Jersey, Channel 15; Cleveland, Ohio, Channels 14 and 15; Pittsburgh, Pa., Channel 14; Cleveland, Ohio, Channels 14 and 15. At the time the specific channel availability for the Chicago and Philadelphia areas was not given, because separate proceedings had been initiated to clear the needed channels for use there. These proceedings have now been completed except for minor administrative matters, and we are in a position to give, as we have, above, the allocations for these two regions. However, the necessary coordination with Canada and Mexico for the use of allocations in Detroit, Cleveland, and Los Angeles, although initiated, has not been completed; but, as we said in the First Report and Order, we believe we will be able to work out mutually satisfactory arrangements for this purpose and we do not see this as a reason for delaying our notice relative to the suballocations. See First Report and Order, supra, at paragraph 84, page 349.
5. See particularly, paragraphs 32 through 52 of the First Report and Order, supra, footnote 1, which we consider the technical aspects of the allocation plan we adopted.

1. First Report and Order, supra, footnote 2, at paragraph 67.
the Commission during the past several years.\footnote{See, for example, Report of the Advisory Committee for the Land Mobile Services, Nov. 30, 1967; Report of the President's Committee for the Land Mobile Services, Nov. 30, 1967; Report of the Select Committee on Communications Council, Suballocation of 450-512 MHz Band, Informal Recommendations (Sept. 14, 1970).}

3. Specifically, we are concerned with the suballocation of the frequency bands within the allocated 30 MHz portion of the 450-512 MHz band for use by the various classes of land mobile users; the method of allocating the available channels for use in the several land mobile services; and the techniques for the assignment as are currently applied to land mobile operations in the 450-470 MHz band. This is because essentially the same type of equipment as is now used in the latter range is also capable of being employed in the 470-512 MHz band.

Thus, in the First Report and Order, we adopted the same standards for frequency deviation (+± kHz), bandwidth (± kHz), and frequency tolerance (0.0005 percent for nonmobile stations and 0.0005 percent for mobile stations) as we had prescribed for the 450-470 MHz band. We also propose to adopt the same technical standards for frequency assignment as are currently applied to land mobile operations in the 450-470 MHz band, namely, 25 kHz channeling and the roll-off filter requirements. The first assignable frequency is to be 12.5 kHz from the lower band edge (that is, for example, 470.0125 MHz for Channel 14), and the last assignable frequency, in each of the available 6 MHz television channels, 12.5 kHz from the upper band edge (470.9875 MHz in our example). This is reflected in the attached appendix.

4. With respect to system configuration, i.e., single frequency and two frequency half, or full duplex modes of use, we plan to follow the same practice established for use of assignments in the 450-470 MHz band. This means that we will preclude the use of two frequencies (that is, for example, 470.0125 MHz for Channel 14), and the last assignable frequency, in each of the available 6 MHz television channels, 12.5 kHz from the upper band edge (470.9875 MHz in our example). This is reflected in the attached appendix.

5. Finally, as to these technical aspects of our notice, we have the question as to the appropriate frequency separation between base and mobile frequencies. In the proceeding in Docket No. 13847, which dealt with the 450-470 MHz band,

we considered this matter and adopted recommendations requiring for uniform 5 MHz separation between base and mobile channels. We have now, in the light of the experience to date, the most appropriate separation between base and mobile frequencies. We could not adopt 5 MHz spacing, because sufficient contiguous space was not available. We feel that 10 MHz separation (using 6 of 12 MHz spacing, but this was not feasible, for essentially the same reason. To illustrate this, while adjacent channel separation on a frequency channelized basis is satisfactory in seven of the ten urbanized areas, involved, this is not so in the remaining three areas. Finally, we took into account the desirability of having equipment available which can utilize in all 10 regions. If uniform spacing were not adopted, it would not be possible to standardize the equipment and the thinking, we would result in increased cost to the land mobile users. In the circumstances, then, it appears the most feasible base-mobile separation would be 3 MHz. Accordingly, while a wider frequency separation in base and mobile operation might be desirable, we do not think it practical. Moreover, we believe the "state of the art" permits the use of the 3 MHz standard, and we propose to adopt it.

6. We return to the allocation problem. Traditionally, frequencies have been allocated, for the most part, to specific radio services in "blocks". In the rule making proceeding in Docket No. 13847, we made substantial departures from the practice and made a portion of the 450-470 MHz band available to a number of services on a "shared" or "pooled" basis in the Industrial, Land Transportation, and Public Safety Radio Services.\footnote{Footnote 6} We believe it is desirable to proceed further along these lines, and propose to do so.

7. The basic purpose in this proceeding was to provide additional spectrum to accommodate the immediate and most urgent requirements for additional land mobile channels in our largest urban centers. In general, however, the needs of several classes of land mobile users vary from one urban area to another, and, "pooling," we can achieve a better degree of flexibility to meet these variations in need in the several geographic areas. With added flexibility, systems planning is simplified and more efficient use of the assigned frequencies may be expected.

8. Consistent with these objectives, we propose eight basic groups with each having a separate frequency pool. These groups, as will be shown below, have common attributes which we believe will permit sharing of the "pooled" frequencies without undue conflict. The following table reflects the shifting of groups and the apportionment of channels among them based on a single 6 MHz TV channel (120 two-frequency pairs). For two television channels, the number shown to be multiplied by two. Each of the listed groups, below, is to be allocated the number of frequency pairs shown in each of the two allocated 6 MHz television channels.

9. The service pools we propose reflect what we believe is a logical grouping in accordance with the priorities and recognize the various services and take into account function and user compatibility. To illustrate this, we have included in the Public Safety Pool the Police, Fire, and Local Government Radio Services, and others, where eligibility and use are geared to activities which all involve, primarily, the promotion of the safety of life and property of the general public. Also, the principal users will be governmental entities. Consequently, there will be a large degree, compatibility in the class of person licensed in this pool, as well as the purposes for which the facilities will be employed. Further, with these elements present, we anticipate that the requirements of the public will affect the flexibility required to permit the establishment of "local" service. Additionally, allow design of systems which will be capable of serving, on a composite basis, the multiple needs of the several arms of local government.

10. As an added indication of the underlying considerations that went into the proceeding, we have provided separate assignments (4 frequency pairs) for the Taxicab Radio Service. This was thought desirable, because of the manner in
which taxicab companies use radio—

high density, dispatch operations, not

predominant in other Part 93 Services. The

separate Business Pool is to accommo-

date the many and diverse users eligible

in the Business Radio Service and to

permit coordination of the policy of "inte-

rservice" which has been

lowered traditionally in that Service. By

contrast, higher priorities have been

accorded eligibles in other Industrial

Services such as the Power, Petroleum,

and Manufacturers Radio Services, and

others, and therefore, separate pools have

been created in recognition of their

respective communications requirements.

The groups also reflect our ongoing

endeavor to preserve existing eligibility

standards, because we did not think it practical or

desirable, for present purposes, to re-

define them. Further, it was considered

impossible to do so, existing coordination

machinery. This will be needed at this
time to permit licensing in this band to be
conducted in an orderly fashion and as a

method of achieving the loading standards we propose to adopt. We have indicated the priorities tra-

ditionally accorded the various classes of

users and parallel the suggestions made by the land mobile industry. Thus, we have provided for the Public Safety Pool the greatest number of frequency pairs in order to make available to local govern-

mental authorities reasonably adequate

frequency resources upon which to establish or improve vital public safety

communications systems. The remaining

divisions are based on what we believe is a
correct apportionment of the available

frequencies in accordance with our views

as to the potential demand and needs, and

the mentioned priorities, as they re-

late to the communications requirements of the several land mobile radio services. However, it is not as reliable a standard as others, as, for example, the number of mobile units. We propose to adopt some reasonable loading

standards in terms of channel occupancy information available, or for that matter, other loading standards are developed and implemented, we are constrained to use "number of transmitters," to deter-

mine when a channel is being employed at its full potential.

In accordance with the loading standards, then, a licensee will be re-

quired to show that an assigned frequency pair is at full capacity before a second pair will be given a second pair. The loading standard applied, not in terms of the number of mobile units authorized, rather in terms of the number of units actually in use or to be placed in use within eight months follow-

ing authorization. Also, as part of our frequency loading criteria, we propose to permit re-use of each frequency pair at distances of 40 miles or more from the point of original authorization. For example, if a user has 20 ve-

hicles equipped with radio, it will be assigned one frequency pair, only. If it has 100 such units in use and is eligible in the Business Pool, it may be

titulated to two pairs, but it would not be

mobile pair. With respect to the 40-mile

we assume that licensees will operate at

many mobile units as possible on an assigned pair of frequencies. Moreover, channel capacity may be reached either by the requirements of a single licensee or by several users within a group. To illustrate, licensee "A" has 50 mobile units; "B", 20 units; and "C", 30 units. Then, in the Business Pool, "A", "B", or "C", could ask for a second pair if there were in the pool the added units to place in operation and, of course, also assuming "A", "B", and "C" were all sharing a single base-

mobile pair. With respect to the 40-mile separation standard in, if a given frequency pair: (for example, in the Boston Ur-

banized Area) is in use, then that same pair may be requested and used by the same or a different, applicant-licensee in the same or a different area, without reference to its occupancy, where the transmitter site of the second station is 40 miles or more from the site of any other land mobile base station facility on that frequency.

15. Thus far, our proposal is designed to accommodate the usual base-mobile type of land mobile voice communications, and, the foregoing loading standards are based on the loading standard that mode of operation. The parties may feel there is a need for design-

ating some of the available frequencies for paging purposes, on an exclusive basis. In that case, we feel there is a need for additional coordination. Further, industry mem-

bers have a number of activities that may feel there is a need for possible authorization of "tone signalling" in this band, parti-
cularly on those frequencies assigned for use by persons who have important needs for this mode of operation. Also, in comments filed earlier in this proceeding, and in the petition for rule mak-

ing, filed on November 19, 1970, by the county of Los Angeles (RM-312), re-

quests have been made for the possible use of frequencies in the 470-512 MHz band for use in the transmission of data on the condition of cardiac patients en route to hospitals in emergency vehicles. This, of course, involves, in addition to voice emissions, too. We feel we should take this opportunity to permit the parties to address themselves to these three subjects. Insofar as the use of the 470-512 MHz band is involved; and accordingly, we ask for comments on these aspects of the use of the frequencies available in this band. We request that the comments cover the points as to whether specific frequencies should be made available for these purposes, and, if so, in which service groups and under what special conditions.

16. As we have indicated, we are not proposing loading criteria for the channels to be allocated in the Domestic Pub-

lic Land Mobile Radio Service because it is not necessary. In this service, we base our criteria on concepts compatible with our common carrier land mobile radio sys-

tems. It is our intent to limit the use of the frequencies to those licensees currently authorized to serve the areas involved. Also, we wish to point out that,
although the protection standards we adopted in the First Report and Order permit as much as stations will apply.

The following rules are proposed to be incorporated in Parts 21, 89, 91, and 93 of the Commission’s rules.

Proposed frequency availability in the band 470–512 MHz.

FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS COMMISSION.

The following rules are proposed to be incorporated in Parts 21, 89, 91, and 93 of the Commission’s rules.

Proposed frequency availability in the band 470–512 MHz.

% Commissioner Johnson concurring in the result.

PROPOSED RULE MAKING

briebs, or comments shall be furnished the Commission.


A frequency pair is at full capacity before it may be assigned a second or additional frequency. Channel capacity may be reached either by the requirements of a single licensee or by several users sharing a channel. Until a channel is loaded to capacity it will be available for assignment to other users in the same area. A frequency pair may be reassigned at distances 40 miles or more from the location of base stations authorized on that pair without reference to loading at the point of original installation.

The channel loading standard is 90 units. A unit is defined as one vehicular mobile unit or four hand-carried transmitter-receiver sets. Loading standards will be applied in terms of the number of units actually in use or to be placed in use within 8 months following authorization. A licensee will be required to show that an assigned frequency pair is at full capacity before it may be assigned a second or additional frequency.

The channel is loaded to capacity if it is assigned to a single mobile unit or four hand-carried transmitter-receiver sets. Loading standards will be applied in terms of the number of units actually in use or to be placed in use within 8 months following authorization. A licensee will be required to show that an assigned frequency pair is at full capacity before it may be assigned a second or additional frequency.

Channel capacity may be reached either by the requirements of a single licensee or by several users sharing a channel. Until a channel is loaded to capacity it will be available for assignment to other users in the same area. A frequency pair may be reassigned at distances 40 miles or more from the location of base stations authorized on that pair without reference to loading at the point of original installation.
PROPOSED RULE MAKING

FEDERAL RESERVE SYSTEM

[12 CFR Part 220] [Reg. T]

CREDIT BY BROKERS AND DEALERS

Limitations on Exempt Credit to Specialists

Pursuant to the authority contained in the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 (15 U.S.C. 78g), the Board of Governors proposes to amend Part 220 in the following respects:

Section 220.4 would be amended by revising paragraph (g) to read as follows:

§ 220.4 Special accounts.

(g) Specialist's account. (1) In a special account designated as a specialist's account, a credit for any effect and finance, for any member of a national securities exchange who is registered and acts as a specialist in securities on the exchange, subject to the requirements of § 220.5, as follows:

(i) No credit shall be extended pursuant to this paragraph (g), except that if the specialist's exchange, in addition to the other requirements applicable to specialists, is designated by the Board of Governors of the Federal Reserve System as requiring reports suitable for supplying current information regarding specialists' use of credit pursuant to this paragraph (g), then such reports are being published simultaneously with this proposal.

(ii) No creditors or any participants other than the creditor, are registered and act on a national securities exchange as specialists.

(iii) Provided, That the specialist's exchange, in exceptional cases such special account designated as a specialist's account, for any joint venture in which the creditor participates, any transactions in any securities of an issue with respect to which all participants, or all participants other than the creditor, are registered and act on a national securities exchange as specialists.

(2) Such specialist's account shall be subject to the same conditions to which it is subject if it were a margin account except that if the specialist's exchange, in addition to the other requirements applicable to specialists, is designated by the Board of Governors of the Federal Reserve System as requiring reports suitable for supplying current information regarding specialists' use of credit pursuant to this paragraph (g), then such reports are being published simultaneously with this proposal.

That in connection with substantial blocks of stock positioned in the course of their specialist activity, any credit extended pursuant to this paragraph (g), or any additional credit extended to any such stock, shall be extended subject to such conditions and limitations as the Board of Governors shall determine and in connection with substantial blocks of stock positioned in the course of their specialist activity, any credit extended pursuant to this paragraph (g), or any additional credit extended to any such stock, shall be extended subject to such conditions and limitations as the Board of Governors shall determine.

The proposed change in § 220.4(g) would restrict the ability of specialists to obtain exempted credit from other brokers and dealers and would restrict the ability of specialists to obtain exempted credit from other brokers and dealers in connection with substantial blocks of stock positioned in the course of their specialist activity. Such credit would be available for a limited period of time only, and would be in conformity with restrictions similar to those that would be imposed under Part 221 (Regulation U) on credit by banks to finance block positioning by specialists. Third market makers, OTC market makers, and block-positioners. Such period could be extended in exceptional circumstances for one or more additional limited periods, at the discretion of a committee of the exchange of which the specialist was a member. The change would also clarify that securities held for investment are not eligible for exempted credit.

The provisions for bank credit to finance specialists and OTC market makers are contained in Part 221 (Regulation U) in § 221.3(o) and § 221.3(w) respectively and provide that any amendments to these provisions are being published simultaneously with this proposal.

This notice is published pursuant to section 55(b) of title I, United States Code, and § 262.2(a) of the rules of procedure of the Board of Governors of the Federal Reserve System (12 CFR 262.2 (a)).

The Board in the consideration of these matters by the Board, interested persons are invited to submit relevant data, views, or arguments. Any such material should be submitted in writing to the Secretary, the Board of Governors, the Federal Reserve System, Washington, D.C. 20551, to be received not later than March 17, 1971. Such material will be made available for inspection and copying.

That credit shall be extended pursuant to this paragraph (g) in respect of any such block of stock or portion thereof which the specialist has held continuously for more than 15 business days, and any credit extended pursuant to this paragraph (g) shall be extinguished before the expiration of such 15-day period. (iii) In exceptional cases such 15-day period may be extended.

CREDIT BY BANKS FOR THE PURPOSE OF PURCHASING OR CARRYING MARGIN STOCKS

Limitations on Exempt Credit to Specialists and OTC Market Makers

Pursuant to the authority contained in the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 (15 U.S.C. 78g), the Board of Governors proposes to amend Part 221 in the following respects:

Section 221.3 would be amended by revising paragraph (o) and subparagraph (1) of paragraph (w) and by adding paragraph (y) and paragraph (z), as set forth below:

§ 221.3 Miscellaneous provisions.

(o) Specialist. In the case of credit extended to a member of a national securities exchange who is registered and acts as a specialist in securities on the exchange for the purpose of purchasing or carrying margin stock, the maximum loan value of any stock (except stock that has been identified as a security held for investment pursuant to a rule of the Commissioner of Internal Revenue (Reg. sec. 1–1236–1(d))) shall be determined by the Federal Reserve System as requiring reports suitable for supplying current information regarding specialists' use of credit pursuant to this section.

(1) Provided, That the specialist's exchange, in addition to the other requirements applicable to specialists, is designated by the Board of Governors of the Federal Reserve System as requiring reports suitable for supplying current information regarding specialists' use of credit pursuant to this section.

(2) OTC market maker exemption. (1) In the case of credit extended to an OTC market maker, as defined in subparagraph (2) of this paragraph (w), for the purpose of purchasing or carrying margin stock, the maximum loan value of any OTC margin stock (except stock that has been identified as a security held for investment pursuant to a rule of the Commissioner of Internal Revenue (Reg. sec. 1–1236–1(d))) shall be determined by the Federal Reserve System as requiring reports suitable for supplying current information regarding specialists' use of credit pursuant to this section.

[FEBRUARY 4, 1971]
(a) and all other reports required to be filed by market makers in OTC margin stocks pursuant to a rule of the Commission (Rule 17a-12 (17 CFR 240.17a-12)) and shall not have ceased to engage in such market-making activity; And provided further, That the bank shall obtain and retain in its records for at least 3 years after such credit is extinguished a statement in conformity with the requirements of Federal Reserve Form U-3, executed by a duly authorized officer of the bank prior to such extension. In determining whether or not an extension of credit is for the purpose of conducting such market-making activity, a bank may rely on such a statement if executed and accepted in good faith by a duly authorized officer of the bank in accordance with the requirements of this paragraph (a) of this section.

(2) A Third-market market with respect to a stock that is registered on a national securities exchange is a dealer who has and maintains net capital, as defined in subparagraph (2) of this section, with the Securities and Exchange Commission (Rule 15c3-1 (17 CFR 240.15c3-1)), of $250,000 for each such stock in respect of which he has filed and not withdrawn a notice with the Securities and Exchange Commission (but in no case does this subparagraph (2) require net capital of more than $1 million) who is in compliance with such rule of the Commission and who is, except when such activity is lawful, meets all the following conditions with respect to such stock: (i) He furnishes bona fide, competitive bid and offered quotations at all times to other broker/dealers on request, (ii) he is ready, willing, and able to effect transactions for his own account in reasonable amounts, and at his quoted prices, with other brokers and dealers, and (iii) he does not more than 25 percent of his business in the stock with other market makers and national securities exchanges and (iv) he has a reasonable average rate of inventory turnover on the stock.

(3) If all or a portion of the credit extended pursuant to this paragraph (y) ceases to be for the purpose specified in subparagraph (1) of this paragraph or the dealer has furnished the necessary information for the market-making activity of such a market maker, the maximum loan value of any stock (except (i) a convertible security described in paragraph (t) of this section, (ii) a block positioner, as defined in paragraph (z) of this section, and (iii) a block of stock or portion thereof with a current market value of $200,000 or more, acquired from a single source at approximately the same time, whether in a single transaction or in several transactions), shall be determined by the bank in good faith: Provided, That in respect of such stock he shall, at least 10 full business days prior to the extension of credit, have filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission a notice of his intent to begin or continue such market-making activity, and all other reports required to be filed by Third-market market makers pursuant to a rule of the Securities and Exchange Commission and, except when such activity is unlawful, shall not have ceased to engage in such market-making activity: And provided further, That the bank shall obtain and retain in its records for at least 3 years after such credit is extinguished a statement in conformity with the requirements of Federal Reserve Form U-3, executed by the Third-market market maker who is the recipient of such credit and executed and accepted in good faith by a duly authorized officer of the bank prior to such extension. In determining whether or not an extension of credit is for the purpose of conducting such market-making activity, a bank may rely on such a statement, if executed and accepted in accordance with the requirements of this paragraph (y) and paragraph (a) of this section, executed by the block positioner who is the recipient of such credit and executed and accepted in good faith by a duly authorized officer of the bank prior to such extension.

PROPOSED RULE MAKING
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ness days each commensurate with the circumstances by any regularly constituted committee of a national securities exchange having jurisdiction over the business conduct of its members, of which the block-positioner is a member or through which his transactions are effected, or by a committee of a national securities association: Provided, That such committee is satisfied that the block-positioner is acting in good faith in making the application and that the circumstances in fact warrant such treatment.

The proposed change in § 221.3(o) would restrict the ability of specialists to obtain credit from banks without regard to the limitations of Part 221 (Regulation U) in connection with substantial blocks of stock positioned in the course of their specialist activity. Such credit would be available only in conformity with the requirements of proposed § 221.3(z), and not otherwise. The restriction is similar to that which would be imposed under Part 230 (Regulation T) on credit by broker/dealers to finance block-positioning by specialists. The change would also clarify that securities held by specialists for investment are not eligible for exempt credit under § 221.3(o).

The proposed change in § 221.3(w) would restrict the ability of OTC market makers to obtain exempted credit from banks without regard to the limitations of Part 221 (Regulation U) in connection with substantial blocks of stock positioned in the course of their market-making activity. Such credit would be available only in conformity with the requirements of proposed § 221.3(z), and not otherwise.

Section 221.3(x), proposed for comment by the Board of Governors on May 5, 1969 (34 F.R. 7833, May 16, 1969), would be revised as § 221.3(y), and would restrict the ability of Third-market makers to obtain credit from banks without regard to the limitations of Part 221 (Regulation U) in connection with substantial blocks of stock positioned in the course of their market-making activity. Such credit would be available only in conformity with the requirements of proposed § 221.3(z), and not otherwise.

The revision would also provide that if the credit ceased to be for the purpose of making such a market, or the customer ceased to be a Third-market maker, the remaining credit would become restricted in accordance with the provisions of §§ 221.1 and 221.4 of this part. A similar provision applies to OTC market makers under § 221.3(w).

The proposed paragraph (z) of § 221.3 would permit certain broker/dealers (including qualifying specialists, Third-market makers, and OTC market makers) to obtain credit from banks without regard to the restrictions of Part 221 (Regulation U) in connection with their activities as block-positioners. However, the credit would have to be brought into conformity with the initial margin requirements imposed by Part 221 if (1) the credit ceased to be for the purpose of carrying on such an activity, or (2) the dealer ceased to be a block-positioner. In any event, credit extended pursuant to paragraph (z) would have to be paid back or brought into conformity with ordinary margin requirements within 15 business days, unless extended in exceptional cases, for one or more additional periods limited to 5 business days each, by appropriate procedures.

For credit to be in connection with block-positioning, the broker/dealer must certify that the credit is used to buy a substantial amount of securities in order to facilitate a securities transaction too large to be handled through normal channels. The credit would enable the broker/dealer to acquire for his own account part of the transaction that the market could not otherwise absorb; he must thereafter close his position as quickly as circumstances permit. Any credit extended in connection with the transaction thereafter becomes subject to the ordinary initial margin requirements imposed by Part 221.

This notice is published pursuant to section 553(b) of title 5, United States Code, and § 262.3(a) of the rules of procedure of the Board of Governors of the Federal Reserve System (12 CPR 262.3(a)).

To aid in the consideration of these matters by the Board, interested persons are invited to submit relevant data, views, or arguments. Any such material should be submitted in writing to the Secretary, the Board of Governors of the Federal Reserve System, Washington, D.C. 20551, to be received not later than March 17, 1971. Such material will be made available for inspection and copying upon request, except as provided in § 261.6(a) of the Board's Rules Regarding Availability of Information.

By order of the Board of Governors, January 26, 1971.

[Seal] KENNETH A. KENYON, Deputy Secretary.

[FR Doc.71-1510 Filed 2-3-71;8:47 am]
The authorized officer of the Bureau of Land Management will undertake such investigations as are necessary to determine the existing and potential demand for the lands and their resources. He will also undertake negotiations with the applicant agency with the view of adjusting the application to reduce the area to the minimum essential to meet the applicant’s needs, to provide for the maximum concurrent utilization of the lands for purposes other than the applicant’s, to eliminate land needed for purposes more essential than the applicant’s, and to reach agreement on the concurrent management of the lands and their resources.

He will also prepare a report for consideration by the Secretary of the Interior who will determine whether or not the lands will be withdrawn as requested by the applicant agency.

The determination of the Secretary on the application will be published in the Federal Register. A separate notice will be sent to each interested party of record.

If circumstances warrant it, a public hearing will be held at a convenient time and place, which will be announced.

The lands involved in this application are:

**New Mexico Principal Meridian**

T. 20 N., R. 2 E., Sec. 12, NESW 236;
Sec. 24, NE1/4, NE3/4, W1/2, E1/2NE1/4, E1/2SW1/4NE1/4, W1/2NE1/4SE1/4 NW1/4; and W1/2NE1/4SE1/4.

T. 20 N., R. 3 E., Sec. 18, NESW 236.

The areas described aggregate 146.05 acres.

**Michael T. Solan,**

*Land Office Manager.*

**Boise, Idaho.**

[PR Doc.71-1515 Filed 2-3-71:8:47 am]

**U.S. Indian Meridian**

T. 8 S., R. 3 E., Sec. 1, SE1/4SE1/4;
Sec. 9 S., R. 3 E., Sec. 6, NW1/4 SE1/4;
Sec. 27, W1/2SW1/4;
Sec. 32, SW1/4 SE1/4;
Sec. 33, all;
Sec. 34, W1/2W1/4;

T. 9 S., R. 3 E., Sec. 12, lots 1, 2.

T. 9 S., R. 4 E., Sec. 10, N1/2 NW1/4;
Sec. 17, SW1/4 NE1/4, SE1/4 NW1/4;
Sec. 18, NE1/4 NE1/4;
Sec. 38, SW1/4;
Sec. 37, NE1/4 SE1/4.

T. 9 S., R. 5 E., Sec. 33, S1/4.

T. 10 S., R. 1 E., Sec. 12, SE1/4 SE1/4;
Sec. 13, all;
Sec. 24, all;
Sec. 35, all;
Sec. 36, all;

T. 10 S., R. 2 E., Sec. 7, SW1/4SW1/4.

T. 10 S., R. 5 E.

Sec. 12, R1/2 NE1/2.

T. 10 S., R. 6 E., Sec. 13, R1/2 SW1/4;
Sec. 14, N1/2;
Sec. 15, N1/4 N1/4;
Sec. 17, lots 1, 2, W1/2 NW1/4;
Sec. 18, R1/2 NE1/4;

T. 10 S., R. 7 E., Sec. 19, all;
Sec. 20, NW1/4 NE1/4, NE1/4 NW1/4, W1/2 NW1/4.

NW1/4SW1/4.

T. 13 S., R. 2 E., Sec. 5, lots 4.

Sec. 16, W1/2 W1/2;
Sec. 35, lots 1, 2.

T. 14 S., R. 1 E., Sec. 12, W1/2 E1/2;
Sec. 13, W1/2 E1/2;
Sec. 31, SE1/4;
Sec. 22, all;
Sec. 23, all;
Sec. 34, W1/2 W1/2;
Sec. 35, lots 1, 2.

Sec. 24, W1/2 W1/2;
Sec. 25, W1/2 SE1/4, W1/2;
Sec. 26, all.

The lands involved in this application are:

**Salt Lake Meridian**

T. 8 S., R. 3 E., Sec. 1, SE1/4 SE1/4;
Sec. 9 S., R. 3 E., Sec. 6, NW1/4 SE1/4;
Sec. 27, W1/2 SW1/4;
Sec. 32, SW1/4 SE1/4;
Sec. 33, all;
Sec. 34, W1/2 W1/4;

T. 9 S., R. 3 E., Sec. 12, lots 1, 2.

T. 9 S., R. 4 E., Sec. 10, N1/2 NW1/4;
Sec. 17, SW1/4 NE1/4, SE1/4 NW1/4;
Sec. 18, NE1/4 NE1/4;
Sec. 38, SW1/4;
Sec. 37, NE1/4 SE1/4.

T. 9 S., R. 5 E., Sec. 33, S1/4.

T. 10 S., R. 1 E., Sec. 12, SE1/4 SE1/4;
Sec. 13, all;
Sec. 24, all;
Sec. 35, all;
Sec. 36, all;

T. 10 S., R. 2 E., Sec. 7, SW1/4 SW1/4.

T. 10 S., R. 5 E.

Sec. 12, R1/2 NE1/2.

T. 10 S., R. 6 E., Sec. 13, R1/2 SW1/4;
Sec. 14, N1/2;
Sec. 15, N1/4 N1/4;
Sec. 17, lots 1, 2, W1/2 NW1/4;
Sec. 18, R1/2 NE1/4;

T. 10 S., R. 7 E., Sec. 19, all;
Sec. 20, NW1/4 NE1/4, NE1/4 NW1/4, W1/2 NW1/4.

NW1/4SW1/4.

T. 13 S., R. 2 E., Sec. 5, lots 4.

Sec. 16, W1/2 W1/2;
Sec. 35, lots 1, 2.

T. 14 S., R. 1 E., Sec. 12, W1/2 E1/2;
Sec. 13, W1/2 E1/2;
Sec. 31, SE1/4;
Sec. 22, all;
Sec. 23, all;
Sec. 34, W1/2 W1/2;
Sec. 35, lots 1, 2.

Sec. 24, W1/2 W1/2;
Sec. 25, W1/2 SE1/4, W1/2;
Sec. 26, all.
NOTICES

The area described contains 75.96 acres.

JOHN T. WASSERPURGER,
Acting State Director.

[FR Doc. 71-1517 Filed 2-3-71; 8:47 am]

DEPARTMENT OF AGRICULTURE

Agricultural Stabilization and Conservation Service

CIGAR-FILLER (TYPE 41) TOBACCO
Notice of Referendum

Notice is hereby given that on February 22, 1971, inclusive, a referendum will be held of farmers engaged in the production in 1970 of Cigar-filler (type 41) tobacco, pursuant to the provisions of the Agricultural Adjustment Act of 1938, as amended. Notice was given (35 F.R. 18400) that consideration would be given to data, views, and recommendations on establishing the date or period for holding the referendum and whether the referendum would be conducted at polling places rather than by mail ballot. No data, views, or recommendations regarding the referendum were received pursuant to such notice. It is hereby determined that the referendum will be held by mail ballot during the period specified above. The purpose of the referendum is to determine whether the farmers voting favor a national marketing quota for each of the 1971-72, 1972-73, and 1973-74 marketing years for Cigar-filler tobacco. The referendum will be conducted in accordance with the provisions of the Act and the Regulations Governing the Holding of Referenda on Marketing Quotas, as amended (28 F.R. 13249), Part 717 of this chapter.


KENNETH E. FRICK,
Administrator, Agricultural Stabilization and Conservation Service.

[FR Doc. 71-1466 Filed 2-3-71; 8:46 am]

VIRGINIA SUN-CURED TOBACCO
Notice of Referendum

Notice is hereby given that on February 22 to 26, 1971, inclusive, a referendum will be held of farmers engaged in the production of 1970 crop Virginia sun-cured tobacco, pursuant to the provisions of the Agricultural Adjustment Act of 1938, as amended. Notice was given (35 F.R. 18400) that consideration would be given to data, views, and recommendations on establishing the date or period for holding the referendum and whether the referendum would be conducted at polling places rather than by mail ballot. No data, views, or recommendations regarding the referendum were received pursuant to such notice. It is hereby determined that the referendum will be held by mail ballot during the period specified above. The purpose of the referendum is to determine whether the farmers voting favor a national marketing quota for each of the 1971-72, 1972-73, and 1973-74 marketing years for Virginia sun-cured tobacco. The referendum will be conducted in accordance with the provisions of the Act and the Regulations Governing the Holding of Referenda on Marketing Quotas, as amended (28 F.R. 13249), Part 717 of this chapter.


KENNETH E. FRICK,
Administrator, Agricultural Stabilization and Conservation Service.

[FR Doc. 71-1499 Filed 2-3-71; 8:45 am]

MARYLAND TOBACCO
Notice of Referendum

Notice is hereby given that on February 22 to 26, 1971, each inclusive, a referendum will be held of farmers engaged in the production in 1970 crop Maryland tobacco Pursuant to the provisions of the Agricultural Adjustment Act of 1938, as amended. Notice was given (35 F.R. 18400) that consideration would be given to data, views, and recommendations on establishing the date or period for holding the referendum and whether the referendum would be conducted at polling places rather than by mail ballot. No data, views, or recommendations regarding the referendum were received pursuant to such notice. It is hereby determined that the referendum will be held by mail ballot during the period specified above. The purpose of the referendum is to determine whether the farmers voting favor a national marketing quota for each of the 1971-72, 1972-73, and 1973-74 marketing years for Maryland tobacco. The referendum will be conducted in accordance with the provisions of the Act and the Regulations Governing the Holding of Referenda on Marketing Quotas, as amended (28 F.R. 13249), Part 717 of this chapter.


CARROLL G. BRUNTHAVER,
Acting Administrator, Agricultural Stabilization and Conservation Service.

[FR Doc. 71-1497 Filed 2-3-71; 8:45 am]

Commodity Credit Corporation

[Amdt. 8]

SALES OF CERTAIN COMMODITIES

Monthly Sales List (Fiscal Year Ending June 30, 1971)

The CCC Monthly Sales List for the fiscal year ending June 30, 1971, published in 35 F.R. 19922, is amended as
NOTICES

Vermont

ADDISON.


GEORGE V. HANSEY,
Deputy Vice President, Commodity Credit Corporation.

[FR Doc.71-1558 Filed 2-3-71; 8:50 am]

DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

Bureau of the Census

NUMBER OF EMPLOYEES, TAXABLE WAGES, GEOGRAPHIC LOCATION AND KIND OF BUSINESS FOR ESTABLISHMENTS OF MULTIUNIT COMPANIES

Notice of Consideration for Surveys

Notice is hereby given that the Bureau of the Census is considering a proposal under the provisions of title 13, United States Code, sections 181, 224, and 225, to conduct a First Quarter 1971 Survey of Selected Multiunit Companies. This survey is similar to those conducted for previous County Business Patterns Reports. It is designed to collect information for the 1971 Report on the number of employees, taxable wages, geographic location, and kind of business for the establishments of selected multiunit companies. The data will have significant application to the needs of the public and to governmental agencies and are not publicly available from nongovernmental or governmental sources.

The survey, if conducted, shall begin not earlier than 30 days after publication of this notice in the Federal Register.

Copies of the proposed form and a description of the collection methods are available on request to the Director, Bureau of the Census, Washington, D.C. 20233.

Any suggestions or recommendations concerning the subject matter of the proposed survey submitted to the Director in writing within 30 days after publication of this notice will receive consideration.


GEORGE H. BROWN,
Director, Bureau of the Census.

[FR Doc.71-1561 Filed 2-3-71; 8:46 am]

DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION

National Highway Traffic Safety Administration

[FR Doc.71-702 Filed 2-3-71; 8:50 am]

Pneumatic Tires—Passenger Cars, setting forth the limited conditions under which passenger car tires not certified as complying with the standard may be sold was published in the Federal Register, October 29, 1970 (35 F.R. 16724).

The Goodyear Tire and Rubber Co. filed a timely petition for reconsideration of this amendment. Pursuant to §§ 553.35, 553.37, and 553.39 of Title 49, Code of Federal Regulations (35 F.R. 5119, 35 F.R. 19268), notice is hereby given that the petition for reconsideration is denied.

This notice is issued under the authority of sections 103 and 119 of the National Traffic and Motor Vehicle Safety Act, 15 U.S.C. 1392, 1407, and the delegation of authority at 49 CFR 1.51.


CHARLES H. HARTMAN,
Acting Deputy Administrator,

[FR Doc.71-1565 Filed 2-3-71; 8:49 am]

DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH, EDUCATION, AND WELFARE

Food and Drug Administration

[FR Doc No. 71–1338; NDA Nos. 11–507; 11–508]

NYSCO LABORATORIES, INC.

Hydrocortisone Acetate Spray Caps and Hydrocortisone Acetate With Antihistamine Spray Caps; Notice of Withdrawal of Approval of New-Drug Applications

Correction

In F.R. Doc. 71–659 appearing on page 838 in the issue for Tuesday, January 19, 1971, the first line, now reading "A notice of opportunity of approval of", should read "A notice of opportunity for hearing on".

ATOMIC ENERGY COMMISSION

[FR Doc No. 71–329]

LONG ISLAND LIGHTING CO.

Notice of Receipt of Application for Construction Permit and Operating License Time for Submission of Views on Antitrust Matter

The Long Island Lighting Co., 550 Old Country Road, Mineola, N.Y. 11501, pursuant to the Atomic Energy Act of 1954, as amended, has filed an application, dated May 15, 1968, for licenses to construct and operate a boiling water nuclear reactor having a gross electrical output of approximately 850 megawatts.

The proposed reactor, designated by the applicant as the Shoreham Nuclear Power Station Unit 1, is to be located at the applicant's 450-acre site on the north shore of Long Island in the town of Brookhaven in Suffolk County, N.Y.
Any person who wishes to have his views on the antitrust aspects of the application presented to the Attorney General for consideration shall submit such views to the Commission within 60 days after February 4, 1971.

A copy of the application is available for public inspection at the Commission's Public Document Room, 1717 H Street NW, Washington, D.C.

Dated at Bethesda, Md., this 29th day of January 1971.

For the Atomic Energy Commission.

FRANK SCHREOEDER,
Acting Director,
Division of Reactor Licensing.

[FR Doc.71-1543 Filed 2-3-71;8:49 am]

CIVIL AERONAUTICS BOARD

[Docket No. 20724]

ATLANTA-DETROIT/CLEVELAND/CINCINNATI INVESTIGATION

Notice of Oral Argument

Notice is hereby given, pursuant to the provisions of the Federal Aviation Act of 1958, as amended, that oral argument in the above-entitled matter is assigned to the 29th day of January 1971.

The Board, however, reserves the right to accept without investigation further increase in premium traffic, to the extent that they would be used in the determination of rates and minimum charges in conjunction with premium ratings, chiefly applicable to live animals.

Consistent with the foregoing orders, and in view of other relevant matters, the Board has determined to permit the Western filing to become effective except as the Board may determine in the determination of premium rates.

Western reported for the 12 months ended June 30, 1970, a loss after taxes of $602,000. For the 12 months ended September 30, 1970, Western reported a net loss after special items of $5.1 million for its total domestic services.

The Board finds, as it did in the noted orders, that the proposed increases applicable to general commodity traffic do not appear unreasonably large and should not adversely affect most shippers to a significant degree.

Western's system, is specifically marked as not applicable from and to points wholly within Alaska.

The Board considers that it is desirable for carriers to make appropriate tariff filings to simplify and expedite the handling of such traffic's exceptions. As hereinafter provided by the Board, no exceptions shall be required to file the tariff on the basis of the preferred rates and the preferred traffic should be treated as if it were filed in accordance with the rules and regulations as they now exist.

Complaints requesting suspension pending investigation of the proposed increases in basic general commodity rates as previously indicated in Order 70-12-143 of December 28, 1970, and 71-1-1-63 of January 13, 1971, involving increased rates of American and Braniff, respectively, the Board is not prepared to accept without investigation further increases in basic general commodity rates.

For shipments of 100 pounds and over, those increases are 5 percent for the eastbound general commodity rate and 10 percent for shipments of two points or more within Alaska.

Western, however, reserves the right to accept without investigation further increases in premium traffic. The Board, however, reserves the right to accept without investigation further increases in certain premium traffic, to the extent that they would be used in the determination of rates and minimum charges in conjunction with premium ratings, chiefly applicable to live animals.

Consistent with the foregoing orders, and in view of other relevant matters, the Board has determined to permit the Western filing to become effective except as the Board may determine in the determination of premium rates.

Western reported for the 12 months ended June 30, 1970, a loss after taxes of $602,000. For the 12 months ended September 30, 1970, Western reported a net loss after special items of $5.1 million for its total domestic services.

The Board finds, as it did in the noted orders, that the proposed increases applicable to general commodity traffic do not appear unreasonably large and should not adversely affect most shippers to a significant degree.

Western's system, is specifically marked as not applicable from and to points wholly within Alaska.

The Board considers that it is desirable for carriers to make appropriate tariff filings to simplify and expedite the handling of such traffic's exceptions. As hereinafter provided by the Board, no exceptions shall be required to file the tariff on the basis of the preferred rates and the preferred traffic should be treated as if it were filed in accordance with the rules and regulations as they now exist.

Complaints requesting suspension pending investigation of the proposed increases in basic general commodity rates as previously indicated in Order 70-12-143 of December 28, 1970, and 71-1-1-63 of January 13, 1971, involving increased rates of American and Braniff, respectively, the Board is not prepared to accept without investigation further increases in basic general commodity rates.

For shipments of 100 pounds and over, those increases are 5 percent for the eastbound general commodity rate and 10 percent for shipments of two points or more within Alaska.

The Board considers that it is desirable for carriers to make appropriate tariff filings to simplify and expedite the handling of such traffic's exceptions. As hereinafter provided by the Board, no exceptions shall be required to file the tariff on the basis of the preferred rates and the preferred traffic should be treated as if it were filed in accordance with the rules and regulations as they now exist.

Complaints requesting suspension pending investigation of the proposed increases in basic general commodity rates as previously indicated in Order 70-12-143 of December 28, 1970, and 71-1-1-63 of January 13, 1971, involving increased rates of American and Braniff, respectively, the Board is not prepared to accept without investigation further increases in basic general commodity rates.

For shipments of 100 pounds and over, those increases are 5 percent for the eastbound general commodity rate and 10 percent for shipments of two points or more within Alaska.

The Board considers that it is desirable for carriers to make appropriate tariff filings to simplify and expedite the handling of such traffic's exceptions. As hereinafter provided by the Board, no exceptions shall be required to file the tariff on the basis of the preferred rates and the preferred traffic should be treated as if it were filed in accordance with the rules and regulations as they now exist.

Complaints requesting suspension pending investigation of the proposed increases in basic general commodity rates as previously indicated in Order 70-12-143 of December 28, 1970, and 71-1-1-63 of January 13, 1971, involving increased rates of American and Braniff, respectively, the Board is not prepared to accept without investigation further increases in basic general commodity rates.

For shipments of 100 pounds and over, those increases are 5 percent for the eastbound general commodity rate and 10 percent for shipments of two points or more within Alaska.

The Board considers that it is desirable for carriers to make appropriate tariff filings to simplify and expedite the handling of such traffic's exceptions. As hereinafter provided by the Board, no exceptions shall be required to file the tariff on the basis of the preferred rates and the preferred traffic should be treated as if it were filed in accordance with the rules and regulations as they now exist.

Complaints requesting suspension pending investigation of the proposed increases in basic general commodity rates as previously indicated in Order 70-12-143 of December 28, 1970, and 71-1-1-63 of January 13, 1971, involving increased rates of American and Braniff, respectively, the Board is not prepared to accept without investigation further increases in basic general commodity rates.

For shipments of 100 pounds and over, those increases are 5 percent for the eastbound general commodity rate and 10 percent for shipments of two points or more within Alaska.

The Board considers that it is desirable for carriers to make appropriate tariff filings to simplify and expedite the handling of such traffic's exceptions. As hereinafter provided by the Board, no exceptions shall be required to file the tariff on the basis of the preferred rates and the preferred traffic should be treated as if it were filed in accordance with the rules and regulations as they now exist.

Complaints requesting suspension pending investigation of the proposed increases in basic general commodity rates as previously indicated in Order 70-12-143 of December 28, 1970, and 71-1-1-63 of January 13, 1971, involving increased rates of American and Braniff, respectively, the Board is not prepared to accept without investigation further increases in basic general commodity rates.

For shipments of 100 pounds and over, those increases are 5 percent for the eastbound general commodity rate and 10 percent for shipments of two points or more within Alaska.

The Board considers that it is desirable for carriers to make appropriate tariff filings to simplify and expedite the handling of such traffic's exceptions. As hereinafter provided by the Board, no exceptions shall be required to file the tariff on the basis of the preferred rates and the preferred traffic should be treated as if it were filed in accordance with the rules and regulations as they now exist.
except by order or special permission of the Board;

3. The complaint filed by National Retail Pet Supply Association in Docket 20008, and Western Wholesale Pet Supply Association in Docket 23007 will be dismissed except to the extent granted herein; and

4. A copy of this order should be filed with the tariffs and served upon Western Air Lines, Inc., National Retail Pet Supply Association, and Western Wholesale Pet Supply Association, which are hereby made parties to Docket 23003.

This order will be published in the Federal Register.

By the Civil Aeronautics Board.

[SEAL]  
Harry J. Zink, Secretary.

[FEDERAL REGISTER, VOL. 36, NO. 24—THURSDAY, FEBRUARY 4, 1971]

[No. 70-46]  
HAPAG-LLOYD AKTIENGESELLSCHAFT

Publication of Discriminatory Rates; Rescheduling of Filing Dates

Respondent Hapag Lloyd has requested a further enlargement of time within which to respond to the Commission's order to show cause dated December 11, 1970.

Respondent indicates that it has only recently obtained the release of pertinent information by the German Ministry of Transport, and accordingly additional time would be needed for the preparation of submissions in this proceeding. We think the problems encountered by respondent in obtaining clearance from a foreign government constitute cause for a further enlargement of time. We wish to reemphasize, however, that this action does not indicate a diminution of our concern regarding the matters under adjudication herein.

Accordingly, it is ordered,

(1) That respondents for evidentiary hearing, affidavits of fact, and memoranda of law shall be filed by respondent on or before February 19, 1971.

(2) That replies thereto by Hearing Counsel and interveners, if any, shall be filed on or before March 8, 1971.

By the Commission.

[SEAL]  
Francis C. Hurney, Secretary.

[FEDERAL REGISTER, VOL. 36, NO. 24—THURSDAY, FEBRUARY 4, 1971]

[No. 70-49]  
SEA-LAND SERVICE, INC.

Publication of Discriminatory Rates; Rescheduling of Filing Dates

Respondent Sea-Land Service, Inc. has requested a further enlargement of time within which to respond to the Commission's order to show cause dated December 11, 1970.

Respondent cites as grounds therefor the necessity of compressing information at hand in preparing submissions in this proceeding. We have previously afforded a 30-day enlargement of time for respondent, but in so doing, emphasized our concern regarding the matters under adjudication herein. We do not think respondent has shown sufficient cause for the further requested extension of 2 weeks. However, since the current filing date is already at hand a short extension will be granted.

Accordingly, it is ordered,

(1) That requests for evidentiary hearing, affidavits of fact, and memoranda of law shall be filed by respondent on or before February 3, 1971.

(2) That replies thereto by Hearing Counsel and interveners, if any, shall be filed on or before February 19, 1971.

By the Commission.

[SEAL]  
Francis C. Hurney, Secretary.

[FEDERAL REGISTER, VOL. 36, NO. 24—THURSDAY, FEBRUARY 4, 1971]

[No. 71-3]  
AMERICAN EXPORT ISBRANDTSEN LINES, INC.

Publication of Discriminatory Rates; Rescheduling of Filing Dates

Respondent American Export IsbrandtSEN Lines, Inc. has requested an enlargement of time within which to respond to the Commission's order to show cause dated January 11, 1971.

Respondent states that additional time is needed to reemphasize, in any way, a diminution in its concern regarding the matters under adjudication herein.

Accordingly, it is ordered,

(1) That requests for evidentiary hearing, affidavits of fact, and memoranda of law shall be filed by respondent on or before February 26, 1971.

(2) That replies thereto by Hearing Counsel and interveners, if any, shall be filed on or before March 12, 1971.

By the Commission.

[SEAL]  
Francis C. Hurney, Secretary.
NOTICES

FEDERAL POWER COMMISSION

[Docket No. RI7I-644]

MOBIL OIL CORP., ET AL.

Order Providing for Hearing on and Suspension of Proposed Changes in Rates, and Allowing Rate Changes To Become Effective Subject to Refund 1

JANUARY 27, 1971.

The respondents named herein have filed proposed changes in rates and charges of currently effective rate schedules for sales of natural gas under Commission jurisdiction, as set forth in Appendix A hereof.

The proposed changed rates and charges may be unjust, unreasonable, unduly discriminatory, or preferential, or otherwise unlawful.

The Commission finds: It is in the public interest and consistent with the Natural Gas Act that the Commission enter upon hearings regarding the lawfulness of the proposed changes, and that the

1Does not consolidate for hearing or dispose of the several matters herein.

supplements herein be suspended and their use be deferred as ordered below.

The Commission orders:

(A) Under the Natural Gas Act, particularly sections 4 and 15, the regulations pertaining thereto (18 CFR Ch. I), and the Commission's rules of practice and procedure, public hearings shall be held concerning the lawfulness of the proposed changes.

(B) Pending hearings and decisions thereon, the rate supplements herein are suspended and their use deferred until date shown in the "Date Suspended Until" column, and thereafter until made effective as prescribed by the Natural Gas Act: Provided, however, That the supplements to the rate schedules filed by respondents, as set forth herein, shall become effective subject to refund on the date and in the manner herein prescribed if within 20 days from the date of the issuance of this order respondents shall show to the satisfaction of the Commission that the supplements herein are unjust, unreasonable, unduly discriminatory, or preferential, or otherwise unlawful.

The proposed changed rates and charges may be unjust, unreasonable, unduly discriminatory, or preferential, or otherwise unlawful.

The Commission finds: It is in the public interest and consistent with the Natural Gas Act that the Commission enter upon hearings regarding the lawfulness of the proposed changes, and that the

1 Does not consolidate for hearing or dispose of the several matters herein.

supplements herein be suspended and their use be deferred as ordered below.

The Commission orders:

(A) Under the Natural Gas Act, particularly sections 4 and 15, the regulations pertaining thereto (18 CFR Ch. I), and the Commission's rules of practice and procedure, public hearings shall be held concerning the lawfulness of the proposed changes.

(B) Pending hearings and decisions thereon, the rate supplements herein are suspended and their use deferred until date shown in the "Date Suspended Until" column, and thereafter until made effective as prescribed by the Natural Gas Act: Provided, however, That the supplements to the rate schedules filed by respondents, as set forth herein, shall become effective subject to refund on the date and in the manner herein prescribed if within 20 days from the date of the issuance of this order respondents shall show to the satisfaction of the Commission that the supplements herein are unjust, unreasonable, unduly discriminatory, or preferential, or otherwise unlawful.

The proposed changed rates and charges may be unjust, unreasonable, unduly discriminatory, or preferential, or otherwise unlawful.

The Commission finds: It is in the public interest and consistent with the Natural Gas Act that the Commission enter upon hearings regarding the lawfulness of the proposed changes, and that the

1 Does not consolidate for hearing or dispose of the several matters herein.

supplements herein be suspended and their use be deferred as ordered below.

The Commission orders:

(A) Under the Natural Gas Act, particularly sections 4 and 15, the regulations pertaining thereto (18 CFR Ch. I), and the Commission's rules of practice and procedure, public hearings shall be held concerning the lawfulness of the proposed changes.

(B) Pending hearings and decisions thereon, the rate supplements herein are suspended and their use deferred until date shown in the "Date Suspended Until" column, and thereafter until made effective as prescribed by the Natural Gas Act: Provided, however, That the supplements to the rate schedules filed by respondents, as set forth herein, shall become effective subject to refund on the date and in the manner herein prescribed if within 20 days from the date of the issuance of this order respondents shall show to the satisfaction of the Commission that the supplements herein are unjust, unreasonable, unduly discriminatory, or preferential, or otherwise unlawful.

The proposed changed rates and charges may be unjust, unreasonable, unduly discriminatory, or preferential, or otherwise unlawful.

The Commission finds: It is in the public interest and consistent with the Natural Gas Act that the Commission enter upon hearings regarding the lawfulness of the proposed changes, and that the

1 Does not consolidate for hearing or dispose of the several matters herein.

supplements herein be suspended and their use be deferred as ordered below.

The Commission orders:

(A) Under the Natural Gas Act, particularly sections 4 and 15, the regulations pertaining thereto (18 CFR Ch. I), and the Commission’s rules of practice and procedure, public hearings shall be held concerning the lawfulness of the proposed changes.

(B) Pending hearings and decisions thereon, the rate supplements herein are suspended and their use deferred until date shown in the "Date Suspended Until" column, and thereafter until made effective as prescribed by the Natural Gas Act: Provided, however, That the supplements to the rate schedules filed by respondents, as set forth herein, shall become effective subject to refund on the date and in the manner herein prescribed if within 20 days from the date of the issuance of this order respondents shall show to the satisfaction of the Commission that the supplements herein are unjust, unreasonable, unduly discriminatory, or preferential, or otherwise unlawful.

The proposed changed rates and charges may be unjust, unreasonable, unduly discriminatory, or preferential, or otherwise unlawful.

The Commission finds: It is in the public interest and consistent with the Natural Gas Act that the Commission enter upon hearings regarding the lawfulness of the proposed changes, and that the

1 Does not consolidate for hearing or dispose of the several matters herein.

supplements herein be suspended and their use be deferred as ordered below.

The Commission orders:

(A) Under the Natural Gas Act, particularly sections 4 and 15, the regulations pertaining thereto (18 CFR Ch. I), and the Commission’s rules of practice and procedure, public hearings shall be held concerning the lawfulness of the proposed changes.

(B) Pending hearings and decisions thereon, the rate supplements herein are suspended and their use deferred until date shown in the "Date Suspended Until" column, and thereafter until made effective as prescribed by the Natural Gas Act: Provided, however, That the supplements to the rate schedules filed by respondents, as set forth herein, shall become effective subject to refund on the date and in the manner herein prescribed if within 20 days from the date of the issuance of this order respondents shall show to the satisfaction of the Commission that the supplements herein are unjust, unreasonable, unduly discriminatory, or preferential, or otherwise unlawful.

The proposed changed rates and charges may be unjust, unreasonable, unduly discriminatory, or preferential, or otherwise unlawful.

The Commission finds: It is in the public interest and consistent with the Natural Gas Act that the Commission enter upon hearings regarding the lawfulness of the proposed changes, and that the

1 Does not consolidate for hearing or dispose of the several matters herein.

supplements herein be suspended and their use be deferred as ordered below.

The Commission orders:

(A) Under the Natural Gas Act, particularly sections 4 and 15, the regulations pertaining thereto (18 CFR Ch. I), and the Commission’s rules of practice and procedure, public hearings shall be held concerning the lawfulness of the proposed changes.

(B) Pending hearings and decisions thereon, the rate supplements herein are suspended and their use deferred until date shown in the "Date Suspended Until" column, and thereafter until made effective as prescribed by the Natural Gas Act: Provided, however, That the supplements to the rate schedules filed by respondents, as set forth herein, shall become effective subject to refund on the date and in the manner herein prescribed if within 20 days from the date of the issuance of this order respondents shall show to the satisfaction of the Commission that the supplements herein are unjust, unreasonable, unduly discriminatory, or preferential, or otherwise unlawful.

The proposed changed rates and charges may be unjust, unreasonable, unduly discriminatory, or preferential, or otherwise unlawful.

The Commission finds: It is in the public interest and consistent with the Natural Gas Act that the Commission enter upon hearings regarding the lawfulness of the proposed changes, and that the

1 Does not consolidate for hearing or dispose of the several matters herein.

supplements herein be suspended and their use be deferred as ordered below.

The Commission orders:

(A) Under the Natural Gas Act, particularly sections 4 and 15, the regulations pertaining thereto (18 CFR Ch. I), and the Commission’s rules of practice and procedure, public hearings shall be held concerning the lawfulness of the proposed changes.

(B) Pending hearings and decisions thereon, the rate supplements herein are suspended and their use deferred until date shown in the "Date Suspended Until" column, and thereafter until made effective as prescribed by the Natural Gas Act: Provided, however, That the supplements to the rate schedules filed by respondents, as set forth herein, shall become effective subject to refund on the date and in the manner herein prescribed if within 20 days from the date of the issuance of this order respondents shall show to the satisfaction of the Commission that the supplements herein are unjust, unreasonable, unduly discriminatory, or preferential, or otherwise unlawful.

The proposed changed rates and charges may be unjust, unreasonable, unduly discriminatory, or preferential, or otherwise unlawful.

The Commission finds: It is in the public interest and consistent with the Natural Gas Act that the Commission enter upon hearings regarding the lawfulness of the proposed changes, and that the

1 Does not consolidate for hearing or dispose of the several matters herein.

supplements herein be suspended and their use be deferred as ordered below.

The Commission orders:

(A) Under the Natural Gas Act, particularly sections 4 and 15, the regulations pertaining thereto (18 CFR Ch. I), and the Commission’s rules of practice and procedure, public hearings shall be held concerning the lawfulness of the proposed changes.

(B) Pending hearings and decisions thereon, the rate supplements herein are suspended and their use deferred until date shown in the "Date Suspended Until" column, and thereafter until made effective as prescribed by the Natural Gas Act: Provided, however, That the supplements to the rate schedules filed by respondents, as set forth herein, shall become effective subject to refund on the date and in the manner herein prescribed if within 20 days from the date of the issuance of this order respondents shall show to the satisfaction of the Commission that the supplements herein are unjust, unreasonable, unduly discriminatory, or preferential, or otherwise unlawful.

The proposed changed rates and charges may be unjust, unreasonable, unduly discriminatory, or preferential, or otherwise unlawful.

The Commission finds: It is in the public interest and consistent with the Natural Gas Act that the Commission enter upon hearings regarding the lawfulness of the proposed changes, and that the

1 Does not consolidate for hearing or dispose of the several matters herein.

supplements herein be suspended and their use be deferred as ordered below.

The Commission orders:

(A) Under the Natural Gas Act, particularly sections 4 and 15, the regulations pertaining thereto (18 CFR Ch. I), and the Commission’s rules of practice and procedure, public hearings shall be held concerning the lawfulness of the proposed changes.

(B) Pending hearings and decisions thereon, the rate supplements herein are suspended and their use deferred until date shown in the "Date Suspended Until" column, and thereafter until made effective as prescribed by the Natural Gas Act: Provided, however, That the supplements to the rate schedules filed by respondents, as set forth herein, shall become effective subject to refund on the date and in the manner herein prescribed if within 20 days from the date of the issuance of this order respondents shall show to the satisfaction of the Commission that the supplements herein are unjust, unreasonable, unduly discriminatory, or preferential, or otherwise unlawful.

The proposed changed rates and charges may be unjust, unreasonable, unduly discriminatory, or preferential, or otherwise unlawful.

The Commission finds: It is in the public interest and consistent with the Natural Gas Act that the Commission enter upon hearings regarding the lawfulness of the proposed changes, and that the

1 Does not consolidate for hearing or dispose of the several matters herein.
The proposed tax increase of Mobil is for a sale to Kansas-Nebraska Natural Gas Co., Inc. (Kansas-Nebraska). The buyer states that the tax reimbursement clause in the contract contemplates partial reimbursement in the event of a tax increase, but does not apply to an increase in an assessment, and that under Kansas law an assessment is not a tax. Mobil contends that the increase in assessment was within the contemplation of the parties at the time of execution of the contract. Pending resolution of the contractual issue between Mobil and Kansas-Nebraska, the proposed increase is suspended for 1 day from January 1, 1971, the proposed effective date.

[FR Doc. 71-1431 Filed 2-3-71; 8:45 am]

CITIES SERVICE OIL CO. ET AL.

Order Providing for Hearing on and Suspension of Proposed Changes in Rates, and Allowing Rate Changes To Become Effective Subject to Refund 1

JANUARY 27, 1971.

The respondents named herein have filed proposed changes in rates and charges of currently effective rate schedules for sales of natural gas under Commission jurisdiction, as set forth in Appendix A hereof.

1 Does not consolidate for hearing or dispose of the several matters herein.

The proposed changes rates and charges may be unjust, unreasonable, unduly discriminatory, or preferential, or otherwise unlawful.

The Commission finds: It is in the public interest and consistent with the Natural Gas Act that the Commission enter upon hearings regarding the lawfulness of the proposed changes, and that the supplements herein be suspended and their use be deferred as ordered below.

The Commission orders:

(A) Under the Natural Gas Act, particularly sections 4 and 15, the regulations pertaining thereto (18 CFR Ch. 1), and the Commission's rules of practice and procedure, public hearings shall be held concerning the lawfulness of the proposed changes.

(B) Pending hearings and decisions therein, the rate supplements herein are suspended and their use deferred until date shown in the "Date Suspended Until" column, and thereafter until made effective as prescribed by the Natural Gas Act: Provided, however, That the supplements to the rate schedules filed by respondents, as set forth herein, shall become effective subject to refund on the date and in the manner herein prescribed if within 30 days from the date of the issuance of the order respondents shall each execute and file under its above-designated docket number with the Commission's agreement and undertaking to comply with the refunding and reporting procedures required by the Natural Gas Act and § 154.102 of the regulations thereunder, accompanied by a certificate showing service of copies thereof upon all purchasers under the rate schedule involved. Unless respondents are advised to the contrary within 15 days after the filing of their respective agreements and undertakings, such agreements and undertakings shall be deemed to have been accepted.

(C) Until otherwise ordered by the Commission, neither the suspended supplements, nor the rate schedules sought to be altered, shall be changed until disposition of these proceedings or expiration of the suspension period.

(D) Notices of intervention or petitions to intervene may be filed with the Federal Power Commission, Washington, D.C. 20426, in accordance with the rules of practice and procedure (18 CFR 1.8 and 1.37(1)) on or before March 19, 1971.

By the Commission.

[SSAL]
GORDON M. GRANT,
Secretary.

If an acceptable general undertaking, as provided in Order No. 377, has previously been filed by a producer, then it will not be necessary for that producer to file an agreement and undertaking as provided herein. In such circumstances the producer’s proposed increased rate will become effective as of the expiration of the suspension period without any further action by the producer.

Appendix A

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Docket No.</th>
<th>Respondent</th>
<th>Rate schedule No.</th>
<th>Supp. rule no.</th>
<th>Effective date suspended until</th>
<th>Cents per Mcf*</th>
<th>Rate in effect subject to refund in docket No.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>ERT-454</td>
<td>Mobil Oil Corp.</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>Kansas-Nebraska Natural Gas Co., Inc. (Symmes Field, Hamilton County, Iowa).</td>
<td>$11 12-28-70 1-1-71</td>
<td>$13.5</td>
<td>$13.5025</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The proposed tax increase is without contract basis.


The pressure base is 14.65 p.s.i.a.

The proposed tax increase is without contract basis.

Suspension of Proposed Changes in Rates, and Allowing Rate Changes To Become Effective Subject to Refund

* Does not consolidate for hearing or dispose of the several matters herein.

*Rate increase resulting from termination of moratorium in Southern Louisiana pursuant to Order No. 413.

* Applies only to gas sold from the 9060 Sand Reservoir which was discovered after Aug 1, 1970.

*General undertaking, as defined in Order No. 413.

Under the provisions of the Commission's order issued October 27, 1970 in Docket No. 49-1, producers in the Southern Louisiana area were able to file for higher contractually authorized rates within 30 days from such order (by Nov. 27, 1970) and were permitted to collect such increased rates subject to refund after 79 days had passed (as of Jun. 10, 1971). The 79-day period applies to those filings made by producers within 30 days of the issuance of the October 27, 1970 order. Producer filings made after November 27, 1970, however, were to be subject to normal Commission suspension procedures. The order, however, left open the question of the appropriate suspension period for filings made after November 27, 1970.

The increases involved here were filed after the November 27, 1970 deadline. In view of the action taken in the procedural order in Docket No. AR6-1 accompanying Order No. 413, we believe it appropriate to suspend and permit an increase filed after November 27, 1970, to become effective subject to refund on the date from January 10, 1971, that corresponds to the number of days that the filing was made after November 27, 1970. This order so provides.

The producers' proposed increased rates and charges exceed the applicable area price levels for increased rates as set forth in the Commission's statement of general policy No. 61, as amended (18 CFR 2.56).

[FED Doc. 71-1432 Filed 2-3-71; 8:45 am]
NOTICES

CONTINENTAL OIL CO.
Order Providing for Hearing on and Suspension of Proposed Change in Rate, and Allowing Rate Change To Become Effective Subject to Refund

JANUARY 27, 1971.

Respondent named herein has filed a proposed change in rate and charge of a currently effective rate schedule for the sale of natural gas under Commission jurisdiction, as set forth in Appendix A hereof.

The proposed changed rate and charge may be unjust, unreasonable, unduly discriminatory, or preferential, or otherwise unlawful.

The Commission finds: It is in the public interest and consistent with the Natural Gas Act that the Commission enter upon a hearing regarding the lawfulness of the proposed change, and that the supplement herein be suspended and its use be deferred as ordered below.

The Commission orders:

(A) Under the Natural Gas Act, particularly sections 4 and 15, the regulations pertaining thereto (18 CFR Ch. I), and the Commission's rules of practice and procedure, a public hearing shall be held concerning the lawfulness of the proposed change.

(B) Pending hearing and decision thereon, the rate supplement herein is suspended and its use deferred until date shown in the "Date Suspended Until" column, and thereafter until made effective as prescribed by the Natural Gas Act: Provided, however, That the supplement to the rate schedule filed by respondent shall become effective subject to refund on the date and in the manner herein prescribed if within 29 days from the date of the issuance of this order respondent shall execute and file under its above-designated docket number with the Secretary of the Commission its agreement and undertaking to comply with the refunding and reporting procedure required by the Natural Gas Act and §154.102 of the regulations thereunder, accompanied by a certificate showing service of a copy thereof upon the purchaser under the rate schedule involved. Unless respondent is advised to the contrary within 15 days after the filing of its agreement and undertaking, such agreement and undertaking shall be deemed to have been accepted.

(C) Until otherwise ordered by the Commission, neither the suspended supplement, nor the rate schedule sought to be altered, shall be changed until disposition of this proceeding or expiration of the suspension period.

(D) Notices of intervention or petitions to intervene may be filed with the Federal Power Commission, Washington, D.C. 20426, in accordance with the rules of practice and procedure (18 CFR 1.3 and 1.37(f)) on or before March 19, 1971.

By the Commission.

GORDON M. GRANT,
Secretary.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Docket No.</th>
<th>Respondent</th>
<th>Rate Supplement E.D.</th>
<th>Amount of Annual Increase</th>
<th>Effective Date Unless Suspended</th>
<th>Date Suspended Until</th>
<th>Rate in Effect</th>
<th>Rate in Proposed Effect</th>
<th>Rate in Proposed Proposed Increased Rate</th>
<th>Rate in Proposed Effect</th>
<th>Rate in Proposed Refunded in Dockets No.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>RI71-640</td>
<td>Continental Oil Company</td>
<td>362</td>
<td>Southern Union Gathering Co. (Angels Peak Area, San Juan County, N. Mex.) (San Juan Basin)</td>
<td>$1,400</td>
<td>12-31-70</td>
<td>12-31-70</td>
<td>1-1-71</td>
<td>13.0</td>
<td>15.0</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*The pressure base is 15.025 p.s.i.a.

Continental Oil Co., requests that its proposed increase be effective as of the date of filing. This request is pursuant to the Commission's order issued December 11, 1970, in Docket No. CT71-145 which granted a certificate for the sale and advised Continental that it could file up to the contractually due rate, and collect such rate after a one day suspension from the date of filing. The proposed increase of Continental is suspended for one day from the date of filing, with waiver of notice granted.

The producer's proposed increased rate and charge exceed the applicable area price level for increased rates as set forth in the Commission's statement of general policy No. 61-1 as amended (18 CFR 2.56).

[FR Doc.71-1433 Filed 2-3-71; 8:45 am]

HILDA B. WEINERT ET AL.
Order Providing for Hearings on and Suspension of Proposed Changes in Rates 1

JANUARY 27, 1971.

The respondents named herein have filed proposed increased rates and charges of currently effective rates for sales of natural gas under Commission jurisdiction, as set forth in Appendix A hereof.

1 Does not consolidate for hearing or disposal of the several matters herein.

The proposed changed rates and charges may be unjust, unreasonable, unduly discriminatory, or preferential, or otherwise unlawful.

The Commission finds: It is in the public interest and consistent with the Natural Gas Act that the Commission enter upon hearings regarding the lawfulness of the proposed changes, and that the supplements herein be suspended and their use be deferred as ordered below.

The Commission orders:

(A) Under the Natural Gas Act, particularly sections 4 and 15, the regulations pertaining thereto (18 CFR Ch. I), and the Commission's rules of practice and procedure, public hearings shall
be held concerning the lawfulness of the proposed changes.
(B) Pending hearings and decisions thereon, the rate supplements herein are suspended and their use deferred until date shown in the "Date Suspended Until" column, and thereafter until made effective as prescribed by the Natural Gas Act.

(C) Until otherwise ordered by the Commission, neither the suspended supplements, nor the rate schedules sought to be altered, shall be changed until disposition of these proceedings or expiration of the suspension period.

(D) Notices of intervention or petitions to intervene may be filed with the Federal Power Commission, Washington, D.C. 20426, in accordance with the rules of practice and procedure (18 CFR 1.8 and 1.37(f)) on or before March 19, 1971.

By the Commission.

[SEAL]
GORDON M. GRANT,
Secretary.

APPENDIX A

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Rate</th>
<th>Service Area</th>
<th>Effective Date</th>
<th>Rate in effect until</th>
<th>Rate in effect after</th>
<th>Rate in effect in effect when suspended</th>
<th>Rate in effect when suspended</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>11</td>
<td>New customers</td>
<td>January 29, 1971</td>
<td>11.0</td>
<td>14.0</td>
<td>17.0</td>
<td>17.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13</td>
<td>New customers</td>
<td>January 26, 1971</td>
<td>13.0</td>
<td>16.0</td>
<td>19.0</td>
<td>19.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>19</td>
<td>New customers</td>
<td>January 16, 1971</td>
<td>19.0</td>
<td>22.0</td>
<td>25.0</td>
<td>25.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21</td>
<td>New customers</td>
<td>January 15, 1971</td>
<td>21.0</td>
<td>24.0</td>
<td>27.0</td>
<td>27.0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Natural only to the extent necessary to meet the requirements of new firm year-round loads, and (v) the modification of the unauthorized monthly over-take provisions of Rate Schedule CD-1 and PI-1.

Copies of the Stipulation and Agreement were served on all parties to these proceedings, all of Natural's jurisdictional customers and interested State commissions.

Answers or comments relating to the proposed Stipulation and Agreement may be filed with the Federal Power Commission, 441 G Street NW., Washington, DC 20426, on or before February 8, 1971.

GORDON M. GRANT,
Secretary.

[FR Doc.71-1503 Filed 2-3-71; 8:46 am]

[Notice of Filing of Stipulation and Agreement]

PAN AMERICAN PETROLEUM CORP.,
AND SUN OIL CO.

Order Granting Rehearing and Setting Matters for Hearing


Tennessee Gas Pipeline Co., a division of Tenneo Inc. (Tennessee) filed on January 4, 1971, an application for rehearing of the Commission’s order issued December 22, 1970, in Docket No. RI71-317, insofar as it accepted Supplement No. 18 to Pan American Petroleum Corp.’s (Pan American) FPC Gas Rate Schedule No. 72 as a contract amendment effective as of January 1, 1971. In Supplement No. 18 which was filed on December 1, 1970, Pan American states that although the contract, as amended by Subparts 16, 1966, covered by its FPC Gas Rate Schedule No. 72 would terminate on December 31, 1970, Pan American intended to "continue to
NOTICES

Panhandle eastern pipe line co.

Order setting date for formal hearing, prescribing procedures and permitting interventions


On September 24, 1970, Panhandle Eastern Pipe Line Co. (Panhandle) filed an application pursuant to section 7 of the Natural Gas Act for the issuance of a certificate of public convenience and necessity authorizing the initiation of a Winter Service for Panhandle's existing General Service and Small General Service resales customers under the terms and conditions provided in a proposed new rate schedule and for authorization to increase its existing storage capabilities in order to enable it to provide Winter Service to the foregoing utility customers. Panhandle in conjunction with the Tennessee Valley Authority (Secretary) sought permission to revise its existing interruptible rate schedules as set forth in its aforementioned application which is on file with the Commission.

In Panhandle's application, it stated that it anticipated gas supply deficiencies during the forthcoming and subsequent winters due to the existing national gas shortage. It proposed to partially alleviate this situation by acquiring additional gas for use during the winter months by purchasing short-term supplies from other pipelines and by converting off-peak transmission capacity to carry Winter deliveries through the expanded use of underground storage.

Panhandle proposes to utilize off-peak transmission capacity to transport additional volumes of gas to its Waverly Storage Field in Waverly, Ill. in order to effectuate this new service. However, Panhandle contends that it must revise its interruptible rate schedules in order to have the necessary off-peak transmission capacity to deliver the necessary volumes to the storage field. It therefore proposes that future availability of interruptible services should be limited to the extent that the additional off-peak gas being rendered or which is subject to Commission proceedings that have commenced at the start of the present winter heating season. It proposes to have the necessary off-peak transmission capacity to deliver the necessary volumes to the storage field. It therefore proposes that future availability of interruptible services should be limited to the extent that the additional off-peak gas being rendered or which is subject to Commission proceedings that have commenced at the start of the present winter heating season. It proposes to have the necessary off-peak transmission capacity to deliver the necessary volumes to the storage field. It therefore proposes that future availability of interruptible services should be limited to the extent that the additional off-peak gas being rendered or which is subject to Commission proceedings that have commenced at the start of the present winter heating season.

Panhandle further requests authorization to increase the certified maximum reservoir capacity of the Galesville formation of its Waverly Storage Field from 10,000,000 MCF to 17,500,000 MCF and to augment its capacity for injecting additional off-peak gas being rendered through the installation of an additional 1,000-horsepower compressor at the Waverly-Galesville Compressor Station. The proposed compressor unit will cost $730,000.

Panhandle proposes to make its supplementary Winter Service gas available to certain of its General Service and Small General Service customers at an approved nomination charge of 25 cents.

Dr. Docket No. OP71-73 shall relate to these Pan American filings and Docket No. OP71-653 shall relate to Sun's filing.
per Mcf plus a commodity charge, equal to
the currently effective commodity rates in its respective general rate zones.

Petitions requesting permission to intervene in the above-styled proceeding were timely filed by the following parties:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Name of petitioners</th>
<th>Dates of filings</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Indiana Gas Co., Inc.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Michigan Gas Storage Co.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>East Ohio Gas Co.</td>
<td>Do.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>City of Fulton, Missouri, Board of Public Works.</td>
<td>Do.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>The Ohio Fuel Gas Co.</td>
<td>Do.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

In addition to the foregoing the Michigan Public Service Commission filed a Notice of Intervention on November 2, 1970.

The Commission finds: It is desirable to allow the companies and municipalities which have filed petitions to intervene to become interveners in this proceeding in order that they may establish the facts and law from which the nature and validity of their alleged rights and interests may be determined and show what further action may be appropriate under the circumstances in the administration of the Natural Gas Act.

The Commission orders:
(A) The above-named petitioners are hereby permitted to become interveners in this proceeding subject to the rules and regulations of the Commission:

Provided, however, That the participation of such interveners shall be limited to matters affecting asserted rights and interests as specifically set forth in said petitions for leave to intervene.

Provided, further, That the admission of such interveners shall not be construed as recognition by the Commission that they or any of them might be aggrieved because of any act or order of the Commission entered in this proceeding.

(B) A formal hearing will be convened in the proceeding entitled Panhandle Eastern Pipe Line Company, Docket No. CP 70-153, in the Commission's Public Hearing Room.

The Commission will designate an appropriate officer of the Commission to preside at this hearing, pursuant to the Commission's rules of practice and procedure.

Panhandle Eastern Pipe Line Co. will serve its testimony and related exhibits on all the parties to this proceeding on or before February 17, 1971.

By the Commission.

GORDON M. GRANT, Secretary.

NEW ENGLAND POWER CO.

Order Suspending Tendered Rate Schedules Granting Waiver of Notice Requirements Providing for Hearing and Granting Intervention


This order suspends for 1 day the operation of tendered rate schedules, orders a public hearing to be held on the lawfulness of those schedules, grants waiver of notice requirements and permits intervention in this proceeding by New England Power Co. (NEPCO), a public utility subject to the jurisdiction of this Commission on October 26, 1970, filed rate schedule changes which amend Exhibit D of NEPCO's contract for primary service for resale to Narragansett Electric Co. (Narragansett).

The filing was proposed to become effective January 1, 1971. On December 14, 1970, New England Power Service Co. (NEPSICO) submitted on behalf of NEPCO additional material completing the filing and a certificate of concurrence on behalf of Narragansett.

Narragansett is an affiliate of NEPCO in the New England Electric System. All of Narragansett's generation facilities and certain transmission facilities are integrated with NEPCO's system. NEPCO renders all requirements service to Narragansett monthly with the fixed charges on Narragansett's generation and transmission facilities plus actual operating costs.

The effect of the new rate schedule is to increase NEPCO's costs. NEPCO allows Narragansett from $678,978 to $689,510 per month generation credit, and from $168,309 to $243,650 per month transmission credit. The increase is the net result of: (1) Increased investment in facilities; (2) an increase in Narragansett's rate of return from 6.125 percent of 7.3 percent and the associated Federal income tax component; and (3) the Federal income tax.

The proposed increase in credits to Narragansett will total increase in costs to NEPCO of $1,030,493 annually (10.86 percent).

According to NEPSICO the basis for Narragansett's claimed rate of return of 7.3 percent is the imbedded cost of debt and existing preferred stock, anticipated cost of new preferred stock to be issued in 1971, and, in consideration of earnings coverage needed for existing and future debt and allow the company to attract new capital.

NEPCO initially proposed an effective date for the present filing of January 1, 1971, however the original filing was deficient and not completed until December 14, 1970, whereupon NEPCO requested a waiver of the 60-day notice requirement of § 35.13 (b) (4) of the Commission's regulations in order for the filing to become effective on January 1, 1971. In consideration of the original filing date of October 22, 1970, and the completed filing date of December 14, 1970, we will grant waiver of that notice requirement to permit the filing to become effective on January 28, 1971, subject to further provisions of this order.

Notice of the filing was given by publication in the Federal Register on November 13, 1970 (35 F.R. 17449), stating that any person desiring to be heard or to make any protest of the proposed tendered application should on or before November 19, 1970, file with the Federal Power Commission, Washington, D.C. 20426, petitions or protests in accordance with the requirements of the Commission's rules of practice and procedure. In reply the Commission has received a notice of intervention by the Rhode Island Division of Public Utilities and Carriers and a protest and petition to intervene by the Power Planning Committee of the Municipal Electric Association of Massachusetts, Inc., and 23 municipal electric plants, departments. The petitioners, who are wholesale customers of NEPCO, contend that the proposed changes would raise NEPCO's cost and absent suspension of petitioners will be placed in a position to protest any forthwith rate filing. In any case where NEPCO may contend that Narragansett's rates to NEPCO are fairly determined and may no longer be challenged, we hereby requested: (1) Formal hearings be held if the parties are unable to resolve the matter through informal procedures; (2) intervention; and (3) that the proposed increase be suspended for 5 months and made effective subject to investigation and refund or, alternatively, that the Commission accept the filing subject to a full review of costs for propriety and reasonableness in all respects in any forthcoming NEPCO rate case. No answer to the petition has been received.

On January 15, 1971, NEPCO filed a general rate increase to its wholesale customers, including petitioners, containing an adjustment and its cost of service to include the full increase in Narragansett's credits in the subject rate filing.

A preliminary review of the filing indicates that the rate of return allowed Narragansett may be excessive. In view of this and the petition of NEPCO's customers, the Commission finds it appropriate to suspend the filing and make effective subject to investigation and refund or, alternatively, that the Commission accept the filing subject to a full review of costs for propriety and reasonableness in all respects in any forthcoming NEPCO rate case.

The Commission finds:

(1) The tendered rate schedule filing designated in footnotes (1) and (2) herein may be unjust, unreasonable, unduly discriminatory or preferential, or otherwise unlawful under the Federal Power Act.

(2) Good cause has been shown to grant NEPCO's request for waiver of the 60-day provision of § 35.13 (b) (4) of the Commission's regulations under the Federal Power Act.

(3) It is necessary and appropriate for the purposes of the Federal Power Act, particularly sections 205, 206, 301, 307, 308, and 309 thereof, that the Commission enter upon a hearing concerning the lawfulness of the tendered filing and that the tendered filing be suspended and the use thereof be deferred and a public hearing be initiated in accordance with
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the procedures set forth below, all as hereinafter provided.

(4) Participation by the aforementioned petitioners for intervention in this proceeding may be in the public interest.

(5) The period of public notice given in this matter is 30 days.

The Commission orders:

(A) NEPCO's request for waiver of the 60-day provision of § 35.13(b)(4) of the Commission's regulations under the Federal Power Act is hereby granted to permit the tendered filing to take effect January 28, 1971, subject to the provisions of this order.

(B) Pursuant to the authority contained in and subject to the Commission conferred upon the Federal Power Commission by the Federal Power Act and pursuant to the Commission's rules of practice and procedure, a public hearing shall be convened at the offices of the Federal Power Commission in Washington, D.C., at a date and time to be set by further order of the Commission, concerning the lawfulness of NEPCO's rate schedules identified in footnotes (1) and (2) hereinafter.

(C) Pending such hearing and decision thereon, the tendered rate schedules designated in footnotes (1) and (2) hereinafter are hereby suspended and the same thereof deferred until January 29, 1971. On that day those filings shall take effect in the manner prescribed by the Federal Power Act; and NEPCO, subject to further order of the Commission, shall charge and collect the new rates and charges set forth in those filings for all power sold and delivered thereunder.

(D) NEPCO shall refund at such times and in such manner as may be required by final order of the Commission the portion of the increased rates and charges found by the Commission in this proceeding not justified, together with interest thereon as computed for the period from January 29, 1971, the date of payment until refunded; shall bear all costs of any such refunding; shall keep accurate accounts in detail of all the amounts received and the increased rates and charges effective as of January 29, 1971, for each billing period; and shall report (original and one copy) in writing and under oath, to the Commission monthly, for each billing period, the billing determinants of electric energy sold and delivered under the subject rate schedules, and the revenues resulting therefrom as computed under the rates in effect immediately prior to January 29, 1971, and under the rates and charges made effective by this order, together with the differences in the revenues so computed.

(E) The Power Planning Committee of the Municipal Electric Association of Massachusetts, Inc., and the electrical departments and plants of the Massachusetts cities of Ashburnham, Boylston, Danvers, Georgetown, Groton, Hingham, Holden, Hudson, Hull, Ipswich, Littleton, Mansfield, Marblehead Merrimac, Middleton, North Attleboro, Paxton, Peabody, Princeton, Shrewsbury, Sterling, Templeton, and West Boylston are hereby permitted to intervene in this proceeding subject to the rules and regulations of the Commission: Provided further, That the admission of such interveners shall not be construed as recognition by the Commission that they or any of them might be aggrieved by any orders entered in this proceeding.

(F) Unless otherwise ordered by the Commission, NEPCO shall not change the terms or provisions of the subject rate schedules or of its presently effective rate schedules until this proceeding has been terminated or until the period of suspension has expired.

(G) Any petition or intervention and petitions to intervene may be filed with the Federal Power Commission, 245 17th Street, N.W., Washington, D.C. 20426, on or before February 22, 1971, in accordance with the Commission's rules of practice and procedure (18 CFR 1.8 or 1.37).

By the Commission.

[SEAL] GORDON M. GRANT, Secretary.

[FR Doc.71-1534 Filed 2-3-71; 8:48 am]

[DOCKET NO. CP71-196]

COLORADO INTERSTATE GAS CO.

Notice of Application


Take notice that on January 25, 1971, Colorado Interstate Gas Co., a division of Colorado Interstate Corp., (applicant) filed in Docket No. CP71-196 an application for certificate of public convenience and necessity authorizing the construction and operation of certain facilities to expand its transmission system peak day sales capacity by 90,000 Mcf per day, as more fully set forth in the application which is on file with the Commission and open to public inspection.

Specifically, Applicant proposes the following construction:

(1) A 3,400-horsepower addition at the existing Laramie Compressor Station.

(2) A new 4,000-horsepower compressor station at the Ranchero Station.

(3) A 8,000 Mcf per day capacity inert gas generation plant at the Laramie Compressor Station.

(4) Approximately 49 miles of 24-inch pipeline loop on the 22-inch Wyoming main line from the Laramie Compressor Station to a point about 32 miles west of Cheyenne, Wyo.

(5) A gas storage well to be drilled and connected at the Fort Morgan Storage Field in Morgan County, Colo.

(6) One existing well to be connected at the Fort Morgan Storage Field.

(7) Approximately 15 miles of 26-inch pipeline loop on the Pueblo to Watkins 20-inch main line from Watkins Junction south to the Littleton Lateral takeoff.

Applicant states that the proposed expansions will assist it in meeting the increased peak day requirements of existing customers and will better enable it to satisfy estimated annual requirements through increased capacity for transporting available supply volumes from Wyoming sources to market. The best estimate of the capacity to be generated by the projects proposed herein is 90,000 Mcf of natural gas per day.

The cost of the facilities proposed is estimated to be $13,900,109, which cost is applied to states will be financed from current working funds, funds for operations, short-term borrowings, or long-term debt.

Any person desiring to be heard or to make any protest with reference to said application should on or before February 22, 1971, file in the Federal Power Commission, Washington, D.C. 20426, a petition to intervene or a protest in accordance with the requirements of the Commission's rules of practice and procedure (18 CFR 1.8 or 1.10) and the regulations under the Natural Gas Act (18 CFR 157.10). All protests filed with the Commission will be considered by it in determining the appropriate action to be taken but will not serve to make the protestants parties to the proceeding. Any person wishing to become a party to a proceeding or to participate as a party in any hearing therein must file a petition to intervene in accordance with the Commission's rules.

Take further notice that, pursuant to the authority contained in and subject to the jurisdiction conferred upon the Federal Power Commission by sections 7 and 15 of the Natural Gas Act and the Commission's rules of practice and procedure, a hearing will be held without further notice before the Commission on this application if no petition to intervene is filed within the time required herein. If the Commission on its own review of the matter finds that a grant of the certificate is required by the public convenience and necessity, and if a petition for leave to intervene is timely filed, or if the Commission on its own motion believes that a formal hearing is required, further notice of such hearing will be duly given.

Under the procedure herein provided for by the Commission, it is hereby advised, it will be unnecessary for Applicant to appear or be represented at the hearing.

GORDON M. GRANT, Secretary.

[FR Doc.71-1534 Filed 2-3-71; 8:48 am]

[DOCKET NO. RP71-77]

CONSOLIDATED GAS SUPPLY CORP.

Order Providing for Hearing, Suspending Proposed Revised Tariff Sheets, Providing Hearing Procedures, and Rejecting for Filing Revised Teriff Sheets Containing Purchased Gas Adjustment Provision


Consolidated Gas Supply Corp. (Consolidated) on December 18, 1970, tendered for filing proposed changes in its FPC Gas Tariff, Filing Revised Volume No. 1 and Original Volume No. 2, to
NOTICES

become effective on February 1, 1971.¹

The proposed rate changes, based on a
cost of service study for the 12-month
period ending September 30, 1970, as
adjusted, would increase Consolidated's
rates and charges by approximately $30,-
248,118 over the settlement rates in
Docket No. RP89-19 et al., approved
by Commission order issued September 1,
1970, in that docket, as adjusted to
include a tracking increase which be-
came effective November 1, 1970.

Consolidated states that the rate in-
crease is required to compensate it for
increased costs of approximately $159.
9 million, increased cost of Consol-
diated's capital justifying an increase to
a 9-per cent rate of return, an increase in
the annual depreciation rates for trans-
mision and underground storage plant to
3.5 percent, and on other depreciable
properties to a composite depreciation rate of 5 percent, increased operating
expenses, normalization of liberalized
tariffs and rates, and local and state
taxes.

Consolidated's filing includes tariff
sheets providing for new rate schedules applicable to small customers (under 5,-
000,000 Mcf annually) in Zone 4 under
the provisions of the rate settlement in
Docket No. RP89-19 et al. The Com-
pany states that it proposes to request
the Commission to make these tariff
sheets effective at that time as the or-
der authorizing the settlement in Docket
No. RP89-19 et al. becomes final and
nonappealable.

Consolidated's filing also includes re-
vised tariff sheets which contain a pur-
chased gas cost adjustment provision.
Consolidated requests that if the Com-
mission should reject the tariff sheets
containing the purchased gas cost ad-
justment pursuant to § 154.38(d) (3) of
the regulations under the Natural Gas
Act that the disposition of this pro-
cedure be expedited in accordance with
the procedures set forth below.

¹The proposed revised tariff sheets here-
after accepted for filing and suspended
are as follows:

First Revised Volume No. 1
2d Revised Sheet No. 8, 1st Revised Sheet
No. 27, 1st Revised Sheet No. 28, Original
Sheet No. 28-A, Original Sheet No. 28-B,
Original Sheet No. 28-C, 1st Revised Sheet
No. 32, 1st Revised Sheet No. 33, 1st Re-
vised Sheet No. 34, 1st Revised Sheet No.
43, 1st Revised Sheet No. 52, 1st Revised
Sheet No. 53, Original Sheet No. 53-A, Orig-
inal Sheet No. 53-B, Original Sheet No.
53-C.

Original Volume No. 2
1st Revised Sheet No. 55, 1st Revised Sheet
No. 261, 1st Revised Sheet No. 262, 1st
Revised Sheet No. 267, 1st Revised Sheet
No. 27, 1st Revised Sheet No. 299, 1st Re-
vised Sheet No. 315, 1st Revised Sheet
No. 271, 1st Revised Sheet No. 272, Original
Sheet No. 28-A.

¹The proposed tariff sheets providing for
new rate schedules in accordance with the
rate settlement in Docket No. RP89-19 et al.
are as follows: Revised Sheets Nos. 27,
28, 29, 33, and 34, and Original Sheets
Nos. 28-A, 28-B, 28-C.

made the subject of hearings in this
proceeding.

The reasonableness of including a pur-
chased gas adjustment provision in Con-
solidated's tariff sheets has not been tested in
any evidentiary proceeding. If accepted at
this time, this provision would become
operative after suspension. The pur-
chased gas adjustment provision raises
issues which should be fully explored and resolved be-
fore the rates and charges to Consoli-
dated's customers are subjected to
changes by application of this proposed
adjustment provision. Accordingly, we
deem it inappropriate at this time to
waive the provisions of § 154.38(d) (3) of
the Commission's regulations under the
Natural Gas Act to permit the filing of
Consolidated's tariff sheets designated
First Revised Sheets Nos. 52 and 53 and
Original Sheets Nos. 53-A, 53-B, and
53-C, containing the purchased gas ad-
justment provision. During the pendency
of this proceeding, and prior to the de-
termination of the issue, however, Con-
solidated will not be precluded from re-
questing permission to track supplier
depreciation which increases the pur-
chased gas costs filed by Consolidated in
this proceeding.

Review of the rate filing indicates that
issues are raised which require develop-
ment in evidentiary proceedings. The
proposed increased rates and charges
have not been shown to be justified and
may be unjust, unreasonable, unduly dis-
criminatory, or preferential, or other-
wise unjust.

The Commission finds:

(1) It is necessary and proper in the
public interest and to aid in the enforce-
ment of the provisions of the Natural
Gas Act that the Commission upon
a hearing concerning the lawfulness of
the rates and charges contained in Con-
solidated's FPC Gas Tariff, as proposed
by Consolidated, be permitted to
make its findings and determinations
thereon, Consolidated's revised tar-
riff sheets effective as of the time of
the decision thereon, Consolidated's re-
vised tariff sheets designated
First Revised Sheets Nos. 52 and 53 and
Original Sheets Nos. 53-A, 53-B, and
53-C, containing the purchased gas ad-
justment provision, be held for sus-
pension. The purchased gas adjustment provision raises issues which
should be fully explored and resolved be-
fore the rates and charges to Consoli-
dated's customers are subjected to
changes by application of this proposed
adjustment provision. Accordingly, we
deem it inappropriate at this time to
waive the provisions of § 154.38(d) (3) of
the Commission's regulations under the
Natural Gas Act to permit the filing of
Consolidated's tariff sheets designated
First Revised Sheets Nos. 52 and 53 and
Original Sheets Nos. 53-A, 53-B, and
53-C, containing the purchased gas ad-
justment provision. During the pendency
of this proceeding, and prior to the de-
termination of the issue, however, Con-
solidated will not be precluded from re-
questing permission to track supplier
depreciation which increases the pur-
chased gas costs filed by Consolidated in
this proceeding.

(2) It is necessary and proper in the
public interest and to aid in the enforce-
ment of the provisions of the Natural
Gas Act that the disposition of this pro-
cedure be expedited in accordance with
the procedures set forth below.

(3) Consolidated's revised tariff sheets
containing the purchased gas cost ad-
justment provisions should be rejected.

The Commission orders:

(A) Pursuant to the authority of the
Natural Gas Act, particularly sections 4
and 5 thereof, the Commission's rules of
practice and procedure, and the reg-
ulations under the Natural Gas Act (18
CFR, Ch. I), a public hearing be held
concerning the lawfulness of
Consolidated's FPC Gas Tariff, as proposed
by Consolidated, be held hearing
in a hearing room of the Federal
Power Commission, 441 G Street NW.,
Washington, DC 20426, concerning the
lawfulness of the rates, charges, classifi-
cations, and terms contained in Con-
solidated's FPC Gas Tariff as proposed
to be revised herein.

(B) Pending such hearing and deci-
don thereon, Consolidated's revised tar-
iff sheets listed in footnote (1) above
except First Revised Sheets Nos. 52 and
53 and Original Sheets Nos. 53-A, 53-B,
and 53-C are hereby suspended and the
use thereof is deferred until July 1, 1971,
and until such further time as they are
made effective in the manner prescribed
by the Consolidated's FPC Gas Tariff. As to the re-
vised tariff sheets filed under the pro-
visions of the settlement in Docket No.
RP89-19 et al. listed in footnote (2)
above, the settlement becomes final and
nonappealable. Consolidated may take such action as it deems proper
to make these revised tariff sheets effective.

(C) Consolidated's First Revised
Sheets Nos. 52 and 53 and Original
Sheets Nos. 53-A, 53-B, and 53-C con-
taining a purchased gas adjustment pro-
vision are hereby rejected for filing.

(D) The hearing on April 27, 1971,
Consolidated's prepared testimony (State-
ment P) together with its entire
rate filing as submitted and served on
December 17, 1970, be admitted to the
record as Consolidated's complete case-
in-chief as provided by § 154.52(e) (1) of
the Commission's regulations under the
Natural Gas Act, and Order No. 254, 28
FPC 495, subject to appropriate motions,
if any, by parties to the proceeding.

(E) Following admission of Con-
solidated's complete case-in-chief, the par-
ties shall proceed to effectuate the in-
tent and purpose of § 2.59 of the Com-
mission's rules of practice and procedure
and of this order as provided by § 2.59
of the Commission's regulations under the
Natural Gas Act that the disposition of this pro-
cedure be expedited in accordance with
the procedures set forth below.

(F) On or before June 15, 1971, the
Commission's Staff shall serve its pre-
pared testimony and exhibits. The pre-
pared testimony and exhibits of any and
all intervenors shall be served on or be-
fore July 1, 1971. Any rebuttal evi-
dence by the Commission's Staff served
on or before July 19, 1971. Hearing on
the issues will commence on July 27,
1971. The Presiding Examiner, upon a
showing of good cause, may grant such
extensions of time as he deems appro-
priate in the circumstances.

(G) A Presiding Examiner to be desig-
nated by the Chief Examiner for that
purpose (See Delegation of Authority,
18 CFR 3.5(d)), shall preside at the
hearing in this proceeding; shall pre-
scribe relevant procedural matters not
herein provided, and shall control this
proceeding in accordance with the poli-
cies expressed in §§ 2.59 of the Com-
mission's rules of practice and procedure.

By the Commission.

[SEAL]

GORDON M. GRANT
SECRETARY
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MISSISSIPPI RIVER TRANSMISSION CORP.

Order Providing for Hearing, Suspending Proposed Revised Tariff Sheets, Providing Hearing Procedure, and Rejecting for Filing Alternate Revised Tariff Sheets Containing Purchase Gas Adjustment Provision


Mississippi River Transmission Corp. (Mississippi) on December 31, 1970, submitted for filing proposed changes in its FPC gas tariff to become effective as of February 1, 1971.1 The proposed rate changes, based on a cost of service study for the 12-month period ending September 30, 1970, as adjusted, would increase Mississippi's charges for jurisdictional sales and services by approximately $10,600,000 per annum over the settlement rates in Docket No. 477-1, approved by Commission order issued April 13, 1970.

Mississippi states that the rate increase is required due to an increased cost of Mississippi's FPC gas tariff, justified as follows: a 10.5 percent overall rate of return; an increase in purchase gas costs stemming from tracking suppliers' rates increases and from changes in purchase gas purchase pattern; increased operating costs, including wages; increased taxes; an increase in the annual depreciation rates to 5 percent; and a return to normalization accounting for liberalized depreciation, for computing Federal income taxes for cost of service purposes.

Mississippi's filing also proposes to eliminate the low summer rate contained in the PI-1 rate schedule, substituting a single rate applicable during the entire year; change the interest charge for late payments from 6 percent per annum to the prime rate charged by the First National City Bank, New York; and include a purchased gas adjustment clause in its tariff.

Mississippi's filing includes an alternate set of revised tariff sheets, which contain a purchased gas adjustment provision. Mississippi requests waiver of the provisions of the regulations under the Natural Gas Act, § 154.38(d) (3), for purposes of accepting for filing the proposed tariff sheets incorporating the proposed gas adjustment clause in its tariff. If such waiver is not granted, Mississippi requests consideration of the alternative set.

The reasonableness of including a purchased gas adjustment provision in Mississippi's tariff has not been tested in any evidentiary proceeding. If accepted at this time, the proposed rate changes would raise a number of substantive issues, which should be fully explored and resolved before the rates and charges to Mississippi's customers are subjected to changes by application of this proposed adjustment provision. Accordingly, we deem it inappropriate at this time to waive the provisions of § 154.38(d) (2) of the Natural Gas Act that the Commission enter upon the record herein, to be considered, along with any modifications thereof or alternative provisions submitted by the parties or the Commission Staff, as a proposed purchased gas adjustment provision to be included in Mississippi's tariff.

At the hearing on April 20, 1971, Mississippi's prepared testimony (Statement P), together with its entire rate filing as submitted and served on December 31, 1970, be admitted to the record as chief as provided by §§ 154.63(e) (1) of the Commission's regulations under the Natural Gas Act, and Order No. 254, 26 FPC 493, subject to appropriate motions, if any, by parties to the proceeding.

(E) Following admission of Mississippi's complete case-in-chief, the parties shall proceed to effectuate the issues raised which require development in evidentiary proceedings. The issues raised which require development in evidentiary proceedings are as follows: Revised Tariff Sheets (With Purchased Gas Adjustment) — 11th Revised Sheet No. 4; 7th Revised Sheet No. 5; 6th Revised Sheet No. 6; 5th Revised Sheet No. 7; 1st Revised Sheet No. 11; 1st Revised Sheet No. 22; Revised Tariff Sheets (With Purchased Gas Cost Adjustment) — Original Sheet No. 3; 6th Revised Sheet No. 1; Original Sheet No. 3A; 11th Revised Sheet No. 4; 7th Revised Sheet No. 7; 6th Revised Sheet No. 8; 7th Revised Sheet No. 7B; 1st Revised Sheet No. 31; 1st Revised Original Sheet Nos. 27A-27I (inclusive).

The reasonableness of including a purchased gas adjustment provision in Mississippi's tariff has not been tested in any evidentiary proceeding. If accepted at this time, the proposed rate changes would raise a number of substantive issues, which should be fully explored and resolved before the rates and charges to Mississippi's customers are subjected to changes by application of this proposed adjustment provision. Accordingly, we deem it inappropriate at this time to waive the provisions of § 154.38(d) (2) of the Natural Gas Act that the disposition of the issues raised which require development in evidentiary proceedings is to be expedited in accordance with the procedures set forth below.

The Commission finds:

1. It is necessary and proper in the public interest and to aid in the enforcement of the provisions of the Natural Gas Act that the disposition of the issues raised which require development in evidentiary proceedings is to be expedited in accordance with the procedures set forth below.

2. It is necessary and proper in the public interest and to aid in the enforcement of the provisions of the Natural Gas Act that the disposition of the issues raised which require development in evidentiary proceedings is to be expedited in accordance with the procedures set forth below.

3. Mississippi's alternate revised tariff sheets containing the purchased gas cost adjustment provisions should be rejected.

The Commission orders:

(A) Pursuant to the authority of the procedures of the Natural Gas Act that the disposition of the issues raised which require development in evidentiary proceedings is to be expedited in accordance with the procedures set forth below.

(B) Pending such hearing and decision thereon, Mississippi's revised tariff sheets listed in footnote 1 above, except those tariff sheets which contain a purchased gas adjustment provision, are hereby suspended and the use thereof of deferred until July 1, 1971, and until such time as they are made effective in the manner prescribed by the Natural Gas Act.

(C) Mississippi's revised tariff sheets listed in footnote 1 containing a purchased gas adjustment provision are hereby rejected for filing. These proposed tariff sheets may be made a part of the record herein, to be considered, along with any modifications thereof or alternative provisions submitted by the parties or the Commission Staff, as a proposed purchased gas adjustment provision to be included in Mississippi's tariff.

(D) At the hearing on April 20, 1971, Mississippi's prepared testimony (Statement P), together with its entire rate filing as submitted and served on December 31, 1970, be admitted to the record as chief as provided by §§ 154.63(e) (1) of the Commission's regulations under the Natural Gas Act, and Order No. 254, 26 FPC 493, subject to appropriate motions, if any, by parties to the proceeding.

(E) Following admission of Mississippi's complete case-in-chief, the parties shall proceed to effectuate the issues raised which require development in evidentiary proceedings. The issues raised which require development in evidentiary proceedings are as follows: Revised Tariff Sheets (With Purchased Gas Cost Adjustment) — 11th Revised Sheet No. 4; 7th Revised Sheet No. 5; 6th Revised Sheet No. 6; 5th Revised Sheet No. 7; 1st Revised Sheet No. 11; 1st Revised Sheet No. 22; Revised Tariff Sheets (With Purchased Gas Cost Adjustment) — Original Sheet No. 3; 6th Revised Sheet No. 1; Original Sheet No. 3A; 11th Revised Sheet No. 4; 7th Revised Sheet No. 7; 6th Revised Sheet No. 8; 7th Revised Sheet No. 7B; 1st Revised Sheet No. 31; 1st Revised Original Sheet Nos. 27A-27I (inclusive).

The reasonableness of including a purchased gas adjustment provision in Mississippi's tariff has not been tested in any evidentiary proceeding. If accepted at this time, the proposed rate changes would raise a number of substantive issues, which should be fully explored and resolved before the rates and charges to Mississippi's customers are subjected to changes by application of this proposed adjustment provision. Accordingly, we deem it inappropriate at this time to waive the provisions of § 154.38(d) (2) of the Natural Gas Act that the disposition of the issues raised which require development in evidentiary proceedings is to be expedited in accordance with the procedures set forth below.

The Commission finds:

1. It is necessary and proper in the public interest and to aid in the enforcement of the provisions of the Natural Gas Act that the disposition of the issues raised which require development in evidentiary proceedings is to be expedited in accordance with the procedures set forth below.

2. It is necessary and proper in the public interest and to aid in the enforcement of the provisions of the Natural Gas Act that the disposition of the issues raised which require development in evidentiary proceedings is to be expedited in accordance with the procedures set forth below.

3. Mississippi's alternate revised tariff sheets containing the purchased gas cost adjustment provisions should be rejected.

The Commission orders:

(A) Pursuant to the authority of the procedures of the Natural Gas Act that the disposition of the issues raised which require development in evidentiary proceedings is to be expedited in accordance with the procedures set forth below.

(B) Pending such hearing and decision thereon, Mississippi's revised tariff sheets listed in footnote 1 above, except those tariff sheets which contain a purchased gas adjustment provision, are hereby suspended and the use thereof of deferred until July 1, 1971, and until such time as they are made effective in the manner prescribed by the Natural Gas Act.

(C) Mississippi's revised tariff sheets listed in footnote 1 containing a purchased gas adjustment provision are hereby rejected for filing. These proposed tariff sheets may be made a part of the record herein, to be considered, along with any modifications thereof or alternative provisions submitted by the parties or the Commission Staff, as a proposed purchased gas adjustment provision to be included in Mississippi's tariff.

(D) At the hearing on April 20, 1971, Mississippi's prepared testimony (Statement P), together with its entire rate filing as submitted and served on December 31, 1970, be admitted to the record as chief as provided by §§ 154.63(e) (1) of the Commission's regulations under the Natural Gas Act, and Order No. 254, 26 FPC 493, subject to appropriate motions, if any, by parties to the proceeding.

(E) Following admission of Mississippi's complete case-in-chief, the parties shall proceed to effectuate the issues raised which require development in evidentiary proceedings. The issues raised which require development in evidentiary proceedings are as follows: Revised Tariff Sheets (With Purchased Gas Cost Adjustment) — 11th Revised Sheet No. 4; 7th Revised Sheet No. 5; 6th Revised Sheet No. 6; 5th Revised Sheet No. 7; 1st Revised Sheet No. 11; 1st Revised Sheet No. 22; Revised Tariff Sheets (With Purchased Gas Cost Adjustment) — Original Sheet No. 3; 6th Revised Sheet No. 1; Original Sheet No. 3A; 11th Revised Sheet No. 4; 7th Revised Sheet No. 7; 6th Revised Sheet No. 8; 7th Revised Sheet No. 7B; 1st Revised Sheet No. 31; 1st Revised Original Sheet Nos. 27A-27I (inclusive).
of International Bank of Tampa, Tampa, Fla.

There has come before the Board of Governors, pursuant to section 3(a) (3) of the Bank Holding Company Act of 1956 (12 U.S.C. 1842(a) (3)) and § 222.3(a) of Federal Reserve Regulation Y (12 CFR 222.3(a)), the application of Barnett Banks of Florida, Inc., Jacksonville, Fla. (Applicant), a registered bank holding company, for the Board’s prior approval of the acquisition of 80 percent or more of the voting shares of International Bank of Tampa, Tampa, Fla. (Bank).

As required by section 3(b) of the Act, the Board gave written notice of receipt of the application to the Florida Commissioner of Banking and requested his views and recommendation. The Commissioner recommended approval of the application.

Notice of receipt of the application was published in the Federal Register on December 16, 1970 (35 F.R. 19059), providing an opportunity for interested persons to submit comments and views with respect to the proposal. A copy of the notice and the application was forwarded to the U.S. Department of Justice for its consideration. Time for filing comments and views has expired and all those received have been considered by the Board.

The Board has considered the application in the light of the factors set forth in section 3(c) of the Act, including the effect of the proposed acquisition on competition, the financial and managerial resources and future prospects of the Applicant and the banks concerned, and the convenience and needs of the community to be served. Upon such consideration, the Board finds that:

Applicant is the third largest banking organization in Florida controlling 24 banks with $626 million in deposits, representing 5.1 percent of the deposits held by all banking organizations in the State. (All banking data are as of June 30, 1970, adjusted to reflect holding company formations that were approved by the Board through Dec. 31, 1970.) Applicant’s acquisition of Bank (deposits of $13 million) would increase its share of deposits in the State by only one tenth of 1 percent. Bank is one of the smaller banks in Tampa, and is the 16th largest of 23 commercial banks serving Hillsborough County (other than Plant City), holding only 1.6 percent of the deposits in that area.

Applicant's two closest subsidiaries to Bank are located 23 miles from Bank in Plant City, across Tampa Bay. Because of the location of the three banks, there is little meaningful competition between these two subsidiaries and Bank and little possibility that more competition will develop in the future. Through a merger or consolidation, Applicant would be in a stronger position to compete with its much larger competitors in Hillsborough County, two of which have deposits in Plant City. Therefore, because of this factor, the acquisition of Bank is likely to have a procompetitive effect on the Hillsborough County area.

Based on the foregoing, the Board concludes that consummation of the proposed acquisition would not have an adverse effect on competition in any relevant area. The banking factors as they pertain to Applicant and its subsidiaries, with approval of the Board’s prior approval of the application, and as they pertain to Bank lend support for approval. Applicant plans to provide Bank with additional capital and with stronger management. Consideration is being given to the convenience and needs of banking customers in Hillsborough County are consistent with approval of the application. Is the Board's judgment that the proposed transaction would be in the public interest and should be approved.

It is hereby ordered, For the reasons set forth in the findings summarized above, that said application be and hereby is approved: Provided, That the action so approved shall not be consummated (a) before the 30th calendar day following the date of this order or (b) later than 3 months after the date of this order, unless such time be extended for good cause by the Board, or by the Federal Reserve Bank of Atlanta pursuant to delegated authority.

By order of the Board of Governors,


[SEAL] KENNETH A. KENYON,
Deputy Secretary.

Notice of Application for Approval of Acquisition of Shares of Bank

Notice is hereby given that application has been made, pursuant to section 3(a) (3) of the Bank Holding Company Act of 1956 (12 U.S.C. 1842(a) (3)), by First Union, Inc., which is a bank holding company located in St. Louis, for prior approval by the Board of Governors of the acquisition by applicant of 80 percent or more of the voting shares of The First National Bank of West Plains, West Plains, Mo.

Section 3(c) of the Act provides that the Board shall not approve:

(1) Any acquisition or merger or consolidation under section 3 which would result in a monopoly, or which would be in restraint of trade, regardless of any combination or conspiracy to monopolize or to attempt to monopolize the business of banking in any part of the United States, or

(2) Any other proposed acquisition or merger or consolidation under section 3 whose effect in any section of the country may be substantially to lessen competition, or to tend to create a monopoly, or which in any other manner would be in restraint of trade, unless the Board finds that the anticompetitive effects of the proposed transaction are clearly outweighed in the public interest by the probable effect of the transaction in meeting the convenience and needs of the community to be served.

Section 3(c) further provides that, in every case, the Board shall take into consideration the financial and managerial resources and future prospects of the company or companies and the banks concerned, and the convenience and needs of the community to be served.

Not later than thirty (30) days after the publication of this notice in the Federal Register, comments and views regarding the proposed acquisition may be filed with the Board. Communications should be addressed to the Secretary, Board of Governors of the Federal Reserve System, Washington, D.C. 20551.

The application may be inspected at the office of the Board of Governors or the Federal Reserve Bank of Cleveland.

By order of the Board of Governors,


[SEAL] KENNETH A. KENYON,
Deputy Secretary.

Notice of Application for Approval of Acquisition of Shares of Bank

Notice is hereby given that application has been made, pursuant to section 3(a) (3) of the Bank Holding Company Act of 1956 (12 U.S.C. 1842(a) (3)), by Barnett Group of Ohio, Inc., which is a bank holding company located in Columbus, Ohio, for prior approval by the Board of Governors of the acquisition by applicant of 100 percent (less directors' qualifying shares) of the voting shares of Security Central National Bank of Portsmouth, Portsmouth, Ohio.

Section 3(c) of the Act provides that the Board shall not approve:

(1) Any acquisition or merger or consolidation under section 3 which would result in a monopoly, or which would be in restraint of trade, regardless of any combination or conspiracy to monopolize or to attempt to monopolize the business of banking in any part of the United States, or

(2) Any other proposed acquisition or merger or consolidation under section 3 whose effect in any section of the country may be substantially to lessen competition, or to tend to create a monopoly, or which in any other manner would be in restraint of trade, unless the Board finds that the anticompetitive effects of the proposed transaction are clearly outweighed in the public interest by the probable effect of the transaction in meeting the convenience and needs of the community to be served.

Section 3(c) further provides that, in every case, the Board shall take into consideration the financial and managerial resources and future prospects of the company or companies and the banks concerned, and the convenience and needs of the community to be served.

Not later than thirty (30) days after the publication of this notice in the Federal Register, comments and views regarding the proposed acquisition may be filed with the Board. Communications should be addressed to the Secretary,
Board of Governors of the Federal Reserve System, Washington, D.C. 20551. The application may be inspected at the office of the Board of Governors or the Federal Reserve Bank of St. Louis.

By order of the Board of Governors, January 29, 1971.

[Seal]  KENNETH A. KENYON, Deputy Secretary.

[FR Doc.71-1505 Filed 2-3-71; 8:46 am]

MISSOURI BANCPhillares, INC.

Order Approving Acquisition of Bank Stock by Bank Holding Company

In the matter of the application of Missouri Bancshares, Inc., Kansas City, Mo., for approval of acquisition of 80 percent or more of the voting shares of First Security Bank in Kirkwood, Kirkwood, Mo.,

There has come before the Board of Governors, pursuant to section 3(a)(3) of the Bank Holding Company Act of 1956 (12 U.S.C. 1842(a)(3)), and § 222.3(a) of Federal Reserve Regulation Y (12 CFR 222.3(a)), the application of Missouri Bancshares, Inc., Kansas City, Mo., (Applicant), a registered bank holding company, for the Board's prior approval of the acquisition of 80 percent or more of the voting shares of First Security Bank in Kirkwood, Kirkwood, Mo.

The application may be inspected at the office of the Board of Governors or the Federal Reserve Bank of Kansas City pursuant to delegated authority.


[Seal]  KENNETH A. KENYON, Deputy Secretary.

[FR Doc.71-1506 Filed 2-3-71; 8:46 am]

NORTHERN VIRGINIA BANKSHARES INC.

Notice of Application for Approval of Acquisition of Shares of Banks

Notice is hereby given that application has been made, pursuant to section 3(a) of the Bank Holding Company Act of 1956 (12 U.S.C. 1842(a)(1)), by Northern Virginia Bankshares Inc., Bailey's Crossroads, Va., for prior approval by the Board of Governors of action whereby applicant would become a bank holding company through the acquisition of 100 percent of the voting shares of (1) Hamilton Bank and Trust Co., Bailey's Crossroads, Va., by merger into a nonoperating bank of which applicant would own all the shares, and

(2) First Manassas Bank and Trust Co., Manassas, Va., by merger into a nonoperating bank of which applicant plans to own all the shares.

Section 3(c) of the Act provides that the Board shall not approve:

(1) Any acquisition or merger or consolidation under section 3 which would result in a monopoly, or which would be in furtherance of any combination or conspiracy to monopolize or to attempt to monopolize the business of banking in any part of the United States, or

(2) Any other proposed acquisition or merger or consolidation under section 3 which would have the effect in any part of the United States of substantially lessening competition, or to tend to create a monopoly, or which in any other manner would be in restraint of trade, unless the Board finds that the anticompetitive effects of the proposed transaction are clearly outweighed in the public interest by the probable effect of the transaction in promoting the convenience and needs of the community to be served.

Section 3(c) further provides that, in every case, the Board shall take into consideration the financial and managerial resources and future prospects of the company or companies and the banks concerned, and the convenience and needs of the community to be served.

Not later than thirty (30) days after the publication of this notice in the Federal Register, comments and views regarding the proposed acquisition may be filed with the Board. Communications should be addressed to the Secretary, Board of Governors of the Federal Reserve System, Washington, D.C. 20551. The application may be inspected at the office of the Board of Governors or the Federal Reserve Bank of Richmond.

By order of the Board of Governors, January 29, 1971.

[Seal]  KENNETH A. KENYON, Deputy Secretary.

[FR Doc.71-1505 Filed 2-2-71; 8:46 am]

FEDERAL TRADE COMMISSION

STATEMENT OF ORGANIZATION

Miscellaneous Amendments

Notice is hereby given that the Statement of Organization published June 30, 1970 (35 F.R. 10627) is revised by abolishing the Office of Economic Adviser and the Office of Congressional Relations, and by providing for the signing of correspondence and official papers in the absence of the Secretary by an Acting Secretary designated by the Chairman.

1. Section 8 is revised to read as follows:

Sec. 8. The Chairman. The Chairman of the Commission is designated by the President as the head of the Commission, and represents the general policies of the Commission, is the executive and administrative head of the agency, has charge of all meetings and hearings before the Commission, and participates in all Commission decisions. Attached to
the Office of the Chairman, and reporting directly to him, and through him to the Commission, is the following staff unit:

2. Section 12 is revised to read as follows:

Sec. 12. Office of the Secretary. The Secretary is responsible for the minutes of Commission meetings and is the legal custodian of the Commission’s seal, property, papers, and records, including legal and public records. He, or in his absence an Acting Secretary designated by the Commission, signs Commission orders and official correspondence, and coordinates all liaison activities with the executive and administrative government departments and agencies. The Office of the Secretary maintains a current index of opinions, orders, statements of policy and interpretations, staff manuals and instructions that affect any member of the public, and other public records of the Commission; makes available for inspection and copying all public records of the Commission; is responsible for publication of all Commission action which must appear in the Federal Register and publication of the Federal Trade Commission Decisions and the Statutes and Court Decisions involving Trade Commission Decisions and the International Joint Commission. The Secretary maintains a current index of opinions, orders, statements of policy and interpretations, staff manuals and instructions that affect any member of the public, and other public records of the Commission; makes available for inspection and copying all public records of the Commission; is responsible for publication of all Commission action which must appear in the Federal Register and publication of the Federal Trade Commission Decisions and the Statutes and Court Decisions involving Trade Commission Decisions and the International Joint Commission. The Secretary maintains a current index of opinions, orders, statements of policy and interpretations, staff manuals and instructions that affect any member of the public, and other public records of the Commission; makes available for inspection and copying all public records of the Commission; is responsible for publication of all Commission action which must appear in the Federal Register and publication of the Federal Trade Commission Decisions and the Statutes and Court Decisions involving Trade Commission Decisions and the International Joint Commission.

3. Expiration date. This delegation expires June 30, 1972.

4. Delegation. A. Pursuant to the authority vested in me by the Federal Property and Administrative Services Act of 1949 (63 Stat. 377), as amended, authority is hereby delegated to the Attorney General of the United States to perform all functions in connection with the leasing of various residential properties located in the Maryland and northern Virginia suburbs of Washington, D.C., to be utilized as training facilities by the Bureau of Narcotics and Dangerous Drugs for terms not in excess of 1 year.

b. The Attorney General of the United States may redelega this authority to any officer, official, or employee of the Department of Justice.

c. This authority shall be exercised in accordance with the limitations and requirements of the above cited Act, and the policies, procedures, and controls prescribed by the General Services Administration.


ROBERT L. KUNZIG, Administrator of General Services.

INTERNATIONAL JOINT COMMISSION—UNITED STATES AND CANADA

AIR POLLUTION—DETROIT/ WINDSOR—SARNIA/PORT HURON

Public Hearings

At the request of the Governments of Canada and the United States, the Commission is investigating air pollution in the above areas to ascertain whether the air is being polluted on either side of the international boundary by quantities of contaminants that are detrimental to the public health, safety, or general welfare of citizens on either side of the international boundary, and the sources of any such pollution. If such air pollution is taking place, the Commission is also to recommend the most practical remedial measures.

A report to the Commission by its International St. Clair-Detroit Air Pollution Board, entitled “Joint Air Pollution Study of St. Clair-Detroit River Areas”, was released to the public on February 4, 1971. Copies may be obtained from the Commission’s Secretaries in Ottawa or Washington.

In order to provide convenient opportunity for all those interested to be heard regarding either the matter under investigation or the said report of its Board, the Commission will conduct public hearings at the times and places listed hereunder. Evidence presented will be considered by the Commission and its advisers in formulating its report and recommendations to the two Governments.

Oral and documentary evidence and relevant argument may be presented at the hearings in person or by counsel. While not mandatory, written statements are desirable to insure accuracy of the record. It is desirable also that, if possible, fifteen (15) copies of any written statement be filed with each Secretary ten (10) days in advance of the hearing, for the advance information of the Commission and its advisers. A statement thus filed in advance should indicate at which hearing it is to be placed in the record and whether an oral summary will be made at that hearing. Additional copies of written statements may be deposited with the Secretaries at the hearings, for distribution to the news media and others interested.

NOTICES

DIRECTORS OF POSTAL REORGANIZATION

Notice of Proposed Changes in Postal Rates and Fees

FEBRUARY 2, 1971.

Take notice that on February 1, 1971, the U.S. Post Office Department (POD) filed in Docket No. R71-1 a Request for Recommended Decision on Changes in Rates of Postage and Fees for Postal Services, pursuant to section 3622 of the Postal Reorganization Act (the Act). The POD maintains that, without changes in rates of postage and fees for postal service, and allowing for the congressional appropriations anticipated by the POD, there will be a revenue deficiency in fiscal year 1972. The POD states that if this Commission submits a recommended decision as requested, the POD will place into effect the proposed new rates and fees which are designed to generate from mail users additional revenues of $1,454,440,000 during fiscal year 1972.

The POD requests changes in rates of postage and fees for the following classes of mail: First-class mail and air-mail, second-class mail; controlled circulation and third-class mail, fourth-class mail, registered mail, and special delivery mail. The specific changes in

POST OFFICE DEPARTMENT

Notice of Proposed Increases in Postal Rates and Fees

FEBRUARY 1, 1971.

[FR Doc. 71-1600 Filed 2-3-71:8:51 am]

POSTAL RATE COMMISSION

(Docket No. R71-1)

[FR Doc. 71-1570 Filed 2-3-71:8:48 am]
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TARIFF COMMISSION

[TEA-W-68]

WORKER'S PETITION FOR DETERMINATION OF ELIGIBILITY TO APPLY FOR ADJUSTMENT ASSISTANCE

Notice of Investigation

On the basis of a petition filed under section 301(a) (2) of the Trade Expansion Act of 1962, on behalf of the workers of Plant No. 53, McDonald Machine Shop, 7800 South Racine Avenue, Chicago, Ill., the U.S. Tariff Commission, on January 29, 1971, instituted an investigation under section 301(c) (2) of the Act to determine whether, as a result in major part of concessions granted under trade agreements, articles like or directly competitive with the can-sealing machines and parts thereof produced by said plant are being imported into the United States in such increased quantities as to cause, or threaten to cause, the unemployment or underemployment of a significant number or proportion of the workers of such manufacturing company.

The petitioners have not requested a public hearing. A hearing will be held on request of any other party showing a proper interest in the subject matter of the investigation, provided such request is filed within 10 days after the notice is published in the Federal Register.

The petition filed in this case is available for inspection at the Office of the Secretary, U.S. Tariff Commission, Eighteenth Street and Indiana Avenue NW, Washington, D.C., and at the New York City Office of the Tariff Commission located in Room 437 of the Customehouse.

NOTICES

Issued: February 1, 1971.

By order of the Commission.

[SEAL] KENNETH R. MASON,
Secretary.

[FR Doc.71-1502 Filed 2-3-71;8:46 am]

SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION

(121-3272)

LSL CORP.

Notice of Filing of Application for Order Declaring Applicant Not to Be an Investment Company


Notice is hereby given that LSL Corp. (Applicant), 404 West Oak, Denton, TX 76201, a Texas corporation, has filed an application pursuant to section 3(b) (2) of the Investment Company Act of 1940 (Act) for an order of the Commission finding and declaring that Applicant is primarily engaged, through controlled companies, in activities other than that of investing, reinvesting, owning, holding, or trading in securities, either directly or (A) through majority-owned subsidiaries or (B) through controlled companies conducting similar types of business. Notice is further given that any interested person may, not later than February 12, 1971, at 5:30 p.m., submit to the Commission in writing a request for a hearing on the matter accompanied by a statement as to the nature of his interest, the reason for such request, and the issues of fact or law proposed to be controverted, or he may request that he be notified if the Commission shall order a hearing thereon.

For the Commission, by the Division of Corporate Regulation pursuant to delegated authority.

[SEAL] ORVAL L. DEBOIS,
Secretary.

[FR Doc.71-1351 Filed 2-3-71;7-47 am]

[70-4999]

NEW ENGLAND ELECTRIC SYSTEM ET AL.

Notice of Proposed Issue and Sale of Notes by Subsidiary Companies to Banks, Commercial Paper Dealers, and/or to Holding Companies and Retirement of Outstanding Notes

JANUARY 27, 1971.

Notice is hereby given that an application-declaration has been filed with the Commission pursuant to the Public Utility Holding Company Act of 1935 (Act) by New England Electric System (NEES), 20 Turnpike Road, Westboro, MA 01581, a registered holding company and certain of its subsidiary companies (the borrowing companies), namely,
Central Massachusetts Gas Co. (Central), Granite State Electric Co. (Granite), Lawrence Gas Co. (Lawrence), Lynn Gas Co. (Lynn), Massachusetts Electric Co. (Mass Electric), Massachusetts Gas System (Mass Gas), Mystic Valley Gas Co. (Mystic Valley), Narragansett Electric Co. (Narragansett), North Shore Gas Co. (North Shore), Northampton Gas Light Co. (Northampton), Norwood Gas Co. (Norwood), and Wachusett Gas Co. (Wachusett). NEEs and the borrowing companies have designated sections 6(a), 1, 9(a), 10, and 12 of the Act and Rules 42(a) and 50(a) (5) promulgated thereunder as applicable to the proposed transactions. All interested persons are referred to the application-declaration, which is summarized below, for a complete statement of the proposed transactions.

The borrowing companies propose to issue, from time to time through December 31, 1971, unsecured short-term promissory notes to banks, to dealers in commercial paper, and/or to NEEs or Mass Gas. The aggregate amount of loans to the borrowing companies by NEEs and Mass Gas to be outstanding at any one time will not exceed $35 million and $15 million, respectively. Borrowings by Mass Electric from banks and NEEs in the proposed maximum amount of $28 million will be reduced by the amount of any commercial paper at the time outstanding. The proceeds of the proposed borrowings are to be used by each borrowing company to pay its then outstanding notes payable to banks, dealers in commercial paper, and/or to NEEs or Mass Gas at or prior to maturity thereof, and to provide new money for capital expenditures or reimburse its treasury thereafter.

The proposed notes to banks and/or NEEs or Mass Gas will bear interest at not in excess of the prime rate in effect at the time of issue with the exception of notes to the First National City Bank of New York which will be at the prime rate plus three-quarters of 1 percent. Said notes will mature in less than 1 year from the date of issue and in any event not later than March 31, 1972, and will be payable at any time, in whole or in part, without premium.

The following table shows for each borrowing company the estimated maximum amount of notes to be outstanding with banks and/or with NEEs or Mass Gas at any one time:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Borrowing company</th>
<th>To banks</th>
<th>To banks</th>
<th>To NEEs</th>
<th>To Mass Gas</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Central</td>
<td>$6,500</td>
<td>$2,918</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Granite</td>
<td></td>
<td>$1,100</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lawrence</td>
<td></td>
<td>$17,100</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lynn</td>
<td>$2,500</td>
<td></td>
<td>$11,450</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mass Electric</td>
<td>$1,000</td>
<td>$600</td>
<td>$200</td>
<td>$200</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mass Gas</td>
<td>$15,000</td>
<td></td>
<td>$17,775</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Narragansett</td>
<td>$5,930</td>
<td>$1,500</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>North Shore</td>
<td>$4,300</td>
<td>$2,200</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Northampton</td>
<td>$2,000</td>
<td>$1,200</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Norwood</td>
<td>$2,500</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wachusett</td>
<td>$12,300</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>$54,509</td>
<td>$34,860</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

It is proposed that certain of the borrowing companies may prepay their notes to NEEs or Mass Gas, in whole or in part, with borrowings from banks or from the sale of commercial paper, or that their borrowings from banks may be prepaid, in whole or in part, with borrowings from NEEs, Mass Gas, or from the sale of commercial paper. In the event of borrowings from banks at a higher interest rate or the sale of commercial paper at a higher effective interest cost, to prepay notes to NEEs or Mass Gas, NEEs or Mass Gas will credit the borrowing companies for any excess interest from the date of issuance of the new notes or commercial paper to the normal maturity date of the notes to NEEs or Mass Gas being prepaid. Conversely, in the event of borrowing from NEEs or Mass Gas to prepay notes to banks, the interest rate of the notes issued to NEEs or Mass Gas will be the lower of (1) the interest rate on the notes being prepaid or (2) the prime interest rate then in effect in effect (in the case of the gas companies borrowing from the First National City Bank of New York, the prime interest rate then in effect plus three-quarters of 1 percent) at the time the new notes are issued.

Each of the borrowing companies proposes that if any permanent financing is done prior to the maturity of the indebtedness to be issued hereunder, it will apply the proceeds therefrom, in excess of amounts used in connection with refunding other outstanding securities at the principal amount or par value thereof, in reduction of, or in total payment of, note indebtedness then outstanding; and that in the case of Mass Electric and Narragansett, the maximum amount of note indebtedness proposed to be outstanding hereunder will be reduced by the amount of such proceeds, other than proceeds used in connection with refunding of such permanent financing.

In addition, Mass Electric proposes to issue and sell commercial paper not to exceed $20 million to A. G. Becker & Co., Inc. (Becker), dealers in commercial paper. The commercial paper will be issued during the period through December 31, 1971, will have varying maturities of not more than 270 days after the date of issue (and in any event will mature on or prior to Mar. 31, 1972), may be sold at a discount which will not exceed the discount rate prevailing at the date of issuance for commercial paper of comparable quality and like maturity. The effective interest cost will not exceed the effective interest cost prevailing at the date of issue for borrowings from The First National Bank of Boston (First National), except that, in order to obtain maximum flexibility, commercial paper may be issued with a maturity of not more than 90 days from the date of issue with an effective cost in excess of such effective interest cost from First National.

Lehman and Becker, as principals, will reoffer the commercial paper at a discount rate not more than one-eighth of 1 percent per annum less than the prevailing discount rate to the issuer. The notes will be reoffered by Lehman and Becker to not more than 100 of their respective customers whose names appear on the lists of customers which are published in the Federal Register. It is expected that such commercial paper will be held to maturity by the purchasers from the dealers, but, if any such purchaser wishes to resell prior to maturity,
Lehman or Becker, as the case may be, pursuant to an oral repurchase agreement will repurchase the paper for resale to others on said lists of customers. Mass Electric is authorized to execute the sale of its commercial paper notes from the competitive bidding requirement of Rule 50 pursuant to section (a) (5) thereof, because: (a) The commercial paper to be issued will have maturities of not more than 9 months; (b) the effective interest cost thereon will not exceed the effective interest cost for borrowings from First National (with the exception above stated); (c) the current rates for commercial paper for prime borrowers such as Mass Electric are readily ascertainable by reference to daily financial publications and do not require competitive bidding in order to determine the reasonableness thereof; and (d) it is not practical to publish invitations for bids for commercial paper.

It is also proposed that the certificates of notification under Rule 24 regarding all of the proposed transactions be filed quarterly.

It is stated that there are no fees or costs to be paid in connection with the proposed transactions and that incidental services in connection with the proposed transactions will be performed, at cost, by New England Power Service Co., an affiliated service company; such cost is estimated not to exceed $200 for each applicant-declarant, an aggregate of $2,600.

Appropriate action has been taken by the Public Utilities Commission of New Hampshire with respect to the notes proposed to be issued by Granite. It is stated that no further action by any regulatory commission, other than this Commission, is necessary with respect to the proposed transactions.

Notice is further given that any interested person may, not later than February 18, 1971, request in writing that a protest be filed with the Office of Emergency Preparedness describing the nature of his interest, the reasons for such request, and the issues of fact or law raised by said application-declaration which he desires to controvert; or he may request that he be notified if the Commission should order a hearing thereon. Any such request should be addressed: Secretary, Securities and Exchange Commission, Washington, D.C. 20549. A copy of such request should be served personally or by mail (airmail if the person being served is located more than 500 miles from the point of mailing) upon New England Power Service Co., at the above-stated address, and proof of service (by affidavit or, in case of an attorney at law, by certificate) should be filed with the request. At any time after said date, the application-declaration, as filed or as it may be amended, may be granted and permitted to become effective as provided in Rule 23 of the general rules and regulations promulgated under the Act, or the Commission may grant exemption from its rules under the Act as provided in Rules 20(a) and 100 thereof or take such other action as it may deem appropriate. Persons who request a hearing or advice as to whether a hearing is ordered will receive notice of further developments in this matter, including the date of the hearing (if ordered) and any postponements thereof.

For the Commission, by the Division of Corporate Regulation, pursuant to delegated authority.

[Seal]

Oval L. Dubois, Secretary.

[FR Doc.71-1520 Filed 2-3-71; 8:47 am]

[File No. 1-3421]

CONTINENTAL VENDING MACHINE CORP.

Order Suspending Trading


It appearing to the Securities and Exchange Commission that the summary suspension of trading in the common stock, 10 percent convertible debentures of Continental Vending Machine Corp., and the 6 percent convertible subordinated debentures due September 1, 1976, being traded otherwise than on a national securities exchange is required in the public interest and for the protection of investors; It is ordered, Pursuant to section 15(c) (5) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, that trading in such securities otherwise than on a national securities exchange be summarily suspended, this order to be effective for the period January 31, 1971, through February 9, 1971, both dates inclusive.

By the Commission.

Oval L. Dubois, Secretary.

[FR Doc.71-1522 Filed 2-3-71; 8:47 am]

OFFICE OF EMERGENCY PREPAREDNESS

COMMONWEALTH OF PUERTO RICO

Amendment to Notice of Major Disaster

Notice of major disaster for the Commonwealth of Puerto Rico, dated October 19, 1970, and published October 23, 1970 (35 FR 16556) and amended October 26, 1970, and November 30, 1970, is hereby further amended to include the following municipalities among those municipalities determined to have been adversely affected by the catastrophe declared a major disaster by the President in his declaration of October 12, 1970:

The municipalities of:

Cabo Rojo. Leajue.

Guanayana.

Sabanagrande.


G. A. Lincoln, Director, Office of Emergency Preparedness.

[FR Doc.71-1819 Filed 2-3-71; 8:47 am]

UNITED STATES ARMS CONTROL AND DISARMAMENT AGENCY

PUBLIC AFFAIRS ADVISER

Notice of Basic Compensation

Pursuant to the provisions of section 309 of Public Law 88-426, as amended by the Federal Pay Comparability Act of 1970 (Public Law 91-656), and in conformance with Executive Order 11567 of January 8, 1971, issued by the President, the agency under section 3(c) of said Act, notice is hereby given that the rate of basic compensation of the Public Affairs Adviser of the U.S. Arms Control and Disarmament Agency has been adjusted to $36,000 per annum. Pursuant to section 3(c) of the Act, the rate of basic compensation of $36,000 shall take effect as of January 10, 1971, the first day of the first pay period which began on or after January 1, 1971.


Gerard Smith, Director.

[FR Doc.71-1500 Filed 2-3-71; 8:46 am]

INTERSTATE COMMERCE COMMISSION

[Notice No. 8]

MOTOR CARRIER, BROKER, WATER CARRIER, AND FREIGHT FORWARDER APPLICATIONS


The following applications are governed by Special Rule 247 1 1100.347 of the Commission's general rules of practice (49 CFR, as amended), published in the Federal Register issue of April 30, 1966, effective May 20, 1966. These rules provide, among other things, that a protest to the granting of an application must be filed with the Commission within 30 days after date of notice of filing of the application is published in the Federal Register. Failure seasonably to file a protest will be construed as a waiver of opposition and participation in the proceeding. A protest under these rules should comply with section 247 (d) (3) of the rules of practice which requires that it set forth specifically the grounds upon which it is made, contain a detailed statement of protestant's interest in the proceeding (including a copy of the specific portion of its authority which protestant believes to be in conflict with that sought in the application, and describing in detail the method—whether by joinder, interline,
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or other means—by which protestant would use such authority to provide all or part of the service proposed; and shall specify with particularity: (1) where, when, and how such service is desired; and (2) if service is desired by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting. Catlett, 703-706 McClure Building, Washington, D.C., and George vehicle, over regular routes, transporting. Section 247(f) of the Commission's rules of practice further provides that each applicant shall, if protests to its application have been filed, and within 60 days of the date of this publication, notify the Commission in writing (1) that it is ready to proceed and prosecute the application, or (2) if it elects to withdraw the application, failure in which the application will be dismissed by the Commission.

Further proceeding steps (whether modified procedure, oral hearing, or other procedures) will be determined generally in accordance with the Commission's General Policy Statement Concerning Motor Carrier Licensing Procedures; published in the Federal Register issue of May 3, 1966. This assignment will be by Commission order which will be served on each party of record.

The publications hereinafter set forth reflect the scope of the applications as filed by applicants, and may include descriptions, restrictions or limitations which are not in a form acceptable to the Commission. Authority which ultimately may be granted as a result of the applications here noticed will not necessarily reflect the phraseology set forth in the application as filed, but also will eliminate any restrictions which are not acceptable to the Commission.

No. MC 2388 (Sub-No. 28), filed January 13, 1971. Applicant: BRALLEY-WILLETT TANK LINES, INC., 2312 Deepwater Terminal Road, Post Office Box 495, Richmond, VA 23204. Applicant's representative: D. V. Bralley (same address as applicant). Authority sought to operate as a common carrier, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: Liquid waste, in bulk, in tank vehicles, between points in North Carolina, South Carolina, Virginia, West Virginia, Tennessee, Delaware, Maryland, Pennsylvania, and New Jersey. No: Applicant states that the requested authority cannot be tacked with its existing authority. If a hearing is deemed necessary, applicant requests it be held at Washington, D.C.

No. MC 3378 (Sub-No. 29), filed January 13, 1971. Applicant: NATIONAL TRUCKING, LTD., 520 Bellevue Turnpike, Kearny, NJ 07032. Applicant's representative: Bert Collins, 140 Cedar Street, New York, NY 10006. Authority sought to operate as a common carrier, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: Adipic acid, dry, in bulk, from Hopewell, Va., to Narrum, W. Va., and Perkham, N.Y. No: Applicant states that the requested authority cannot be tacked with its existing authority. If a hearing is deemed necessary, applicant requests it be held at Washington, D.C.

No. MC 2667 (Sub-No. 14), filed December 7, 1970. Applicant: BELBEY TRANSFER COMPANY, a corporation, 520 Bellevue Turnpike, Kearny, NJ 07032. Applicant's representative: Bert Collins, 140 Cedar Street, New York, NY 10006. Authority sought to operate as a common carrier, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: Electrical equipment, other than household appliances, and equipment, materials, and supplies used in connection with the manufacture, installation, and maintenance of said commodities (except in bulk), (1) between the plantsite of Westinghouse Electric Corp., Hillside, N.J., and points in Union, Essex, Bergen, Hudson, and Morris Counties, N.J., and Richmond, Va.; Cincinnati, Cleveland, Marietta, and Akron, Ohio, and Bloomington, Ind. Note: Applicant states that the requested authority cannot be tacked with its existing authority. If a hearing is deemed necessary, applicant requests it be held at New York, N.Y.

No. MC 2880 (Sub-No. 94), filed January 20, 1971. Applicant: NATIONAL FREIGHT, INC., 57 West Park Avenue, Vineland, NJ 08360. Applicant's representative: Alvin Altman, 1776 Broadway, New York, New York 10019. Authority sought to operate as a common carrier, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: Common freight, containers, and tank trucks. Tex., to points in New Orleans, La. Note: Applicant states that the requested authority can be tacked with its existing authority but indicates that it has no present intention to serve the points or territories which can be served through tacking. Persons interested in the tacking possibility are cautioned that failure to oppose the application may result in an unrestricted grant of authority. If a hearing is deemed necessary, applicant requests it be held at New York, N.Y., or Washington, D.C.

No. MC 3854 (Sub-No. 15) (Amendment), filed November 30, 1970, published in the Federal Register issue of December 30, 1970, and republished as amended, this issue. Applicant: BURTON LINES, INC., Post Office Box 11306, East Durham Station, Durham, NC 27703. Applicant's representative: Edward G. Villalon, 1733 K Street NW., Washington, DC 20006. Authority sought to operate as a common carrier, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: (1) Lumber wood products and building materials, from Wilmington, N.C., to points in North Carolina, Tennessee, Missouri, and points in the Cincinnati, Ohio, commercial zone; Virginia and West Virginia; and (2) Iron and steel products, from Wilmington, N.C., to points in North Carolina, Tennessee, Kentucky (except Louisville, Ky., and points in the Cincinnati, Ohio, commercial zone), and Virginia. Note: Applicant presently holds contract carrier authority under its No. MC 118864 Sub-1, therefore dual authority cannot be tacked with its existing authority. The purpose of this republication is to add the destination State of North Carolina in parts (1) and (2) above. If a hearing is deemed necessary, applicant requests it be held at Washington, D.C., or Raleigh, N.C.

No. MC 13250 (Sub-No. 108), filed January 7, 1971. Applicant: J. H. ROSE TRUCKING COMPANY, a corporation of Missouri, 100 Pine Street, Post Office Box 1166, Harrisburg, PA 17108. Authority sought to operate as a contract carrier, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: Commodities as is dealt in by wholesale, retail, and chain grocery and food business houses, and, in connection therewith, equipment, materials, and supplies used in the conduct of such business, between Salem, Ohio, on the one hand, and, on the other, (a) Greensburg, Rimerburg, and Kane, Pa., and points in Fayette, Greene, and Washington Counties, Pa., (b) points in Monongahela, Marion, Taylor, Presto, Barbour, Randolph, and Tucker Counties, W. Va., (c) Hancock, Md., and points in Garrett County, Md., and (d) points within the territory bounded by a line beginning at Tionesta, Pa., and extending south through Slipperyville, Pa., and Oakland, Md., to Thomas, W. Va., thence in a southeasterly direction to Petersburgh, W. Va., thence in a northeasterly direction through Moorefield, W. Va., Emmettsburg, and Duncannon, Pa., to Millersburg, Pa., thence in a northeasterly direction to Shrewsbury, Pa., and west through Renovo, Emporium, Johnsonburg, and St. Marys, Pa., to Tionesta, including the points named, excluding those of unuseful or contaminating to other commodities as are sold by retail department stores, between Cincinnati, Ohio, on the one hand, and, on the other, points in Hamilton, Butler, Clermont, and Warren Counties, Ohio, restricted to service for Sears, Roebuck and Co., under contract with Sears, Roebuck and Co. Note: Common control and dual operations may be involved. If a hearing is deemed necessary, applicant requests it be held at Pittsburgh, Pa.

No. MC 13426 (Sub-No. 7), filed January 6, 1971. Applicant: UNITED PARCEL SERVICE, INC., 300 North Second Street, St. Charles, IL 60174. Applicant's representatives: S. Harrison Kahn, 733 Investment Building, Washington, DC 20005, and Irving R. Segal, 1719 Packard Building, Philadelphia, PA 19102. Authority sought to operate as a contract carrier, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: Commodities in bulk, and those restricted to service for Sears, Roebuck and Co., under contract with Sears, Roebuck and Co. Note: Common control and dual operations may be involved. If a hearing is deemed necessary, applicant requests it be held at Chicago, Ill., or Washington, D.C.

No. MC 19193 (Sub-No. 11), filed December 31, 1970. Applicant: LAFERTY TRUCKING COMPANY, a corporation of Ohio, 3703 Beale Avenue, Altona, PA 18603. Applicant's representatives: S. Eugene Rhine and Robert H. Griswold, 100 Pine Street, Post Office Box 1166, Harrisburg, PA 17108. Authority sought to operate as a contract carrier, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: General commodities (except livestock, and except dangerous explosives, household goods as defined in Practices of Motor Carriers of Household Goods, 17 M.C.C. 467, commodities in bulk, and those requiring special equipment, (a) between New York and New Jersey, and points in New Jersey on the one hand, and, on the other, points in St. Lawrence and Franklin Counties, N.Y., (b) from points in St. Lawrence and Franklin Counties, N.Y., to points in Maryland, Massachusetts, New Jersey, New York, Rhode Island, Delaware, New Hampshire, Vermont, and those in that part of Pennsylvania on and east of a line through Philadelphia, Pa., to the New York-New Jersey State line and extending along U.S. Highway 11 to junction U.S. Highway 15, thence along U.S. Highway 15 to the Pennsylvania-Maryland State line, thence in a southeasterly direction to Petersburg, Va., to points in Connecticut, Delaware, Maryland, Massachusetts, New Hampshire, Rhode Island, Vermont, and those in New York, except Albany and New York, N.Y., and those in the Pennsylvania territory above-named to points in St. Lawrence and Franklin Counties, N.Y. Note: Applicant states that the requested authority cannot be tacked with its existing authority. If a hearing is deemed necessary, applicant requests it be held at Plattsburgh, N.Y.

No. MC 30065 (Sub-No. 146), filed December 21, 1970. Applicant: THE SANTA FE TRAIL TRANSPORTATION COMPANY, 233 East Washington Avenue, Wichita, KS 67202. Applicant's representative: F. J. Steinbrecher, 50 East Jackson Boulevard, Chicago, IL 60604. Authority sought to operate as a common carrier, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: General commodities (except those of unuseful or contaminating to other commodities), and classes A and B explosives, by contract, or contracts with the Great Atlantic & Pacific Tea Co., Inc. Note: If a hearing is deemed necessary, applicant requests it be held at Washington, D.C., or Harrisburg, Pa.

No. MC 20763 (Sub-No. 83), filed December 31, 1970. Applicant: TOMPKINS MOTOR LINES, INC., 638 Langley Place, Decatur, GA 30030. Applicant's representative: Archie B. Culbrett, Suite 417, 1352 West Peachtree Street NW., Atlanta, GA 30309. Authority sought to operate as a common carrier, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: Food, food preparations and foodstuffs in vehicles equipped with mechanical refrigeration units, and on the site of the plant of Kraft Foods, Division of Kraft Co. Corp. at Decatur, Ga., to points in Kentucky, located north of Kentucky Highway 80, points in Mississippi located on U.S. Highway 90, New Orleans, La., and Memphis and Nashville, Tenn. Note: Applicant states that the requested authority cannot be tacked with its existing authority. If a hearing is deemed necessary, applicant requests it be held at Atlanta, Ga., or Dallas, Tex.
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Grand Canyon, and return over the same route serving no intermediate points. 

Applicant also seeks to remove requirements of Sections 306.91 and 111 as a key point. 

If a hearing is deemed necessary, applicant requests it be held at Phoenix, Ariz., and Los Angeles, Calif. 

No. MC 30837 (Sub-No. 419), filed January 8, 1971. Applicant: KENOSHA AUTO TRANSPORT, INC., 3500 39th Avenue, Kenosha, WI 53140. Applicant's representative: Paul F. Sullivan, Washington Building, 15th and New York Avenue NW, Washington, DC 20005. Authority sought to operate as a common carrier, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: 

Grand Canyon, and north of U.S. Highway 50, and those in Kentucky in the Cincinnati, Ohio, commercial zone as defined by the Commission. 

Applicant requests it be held at Phoenix, Ariz., and Los Angeles, Calif. 

No. MC 30884 (Sub-No. 15), filed January 11, 1971. Applicant: JACK COOPER TRANSPORT CO., INC., 3501 Manchester Trafficway, Kansas City, MO 64106. Applicant's representative: Warren A. Goff, 2111 Sterick Building, Memphis, TN 38103. Authority sought to operate as a common carrier, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: 

Clay, clay products, and refractories, (1) from Canfield Township, Mahoning County, Ohio, to Ashland, Ky., and points in Wayne and Monroe Counties, Mich., and those in Pennsylvania on and west of U.S. Highway 11, those in New York on and west of U.S. Highway 15, those in West Virginia on and north of U.S. Highway 50, and those in Kentucky in the Cincinnati, Ohio, commercial zone as defined by the Commission. 

Applicant requests it be held at Phoenix, Ariz., and Los Angeles, Calif.
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Chicago, IL 60620. Applicant's representative: A. J. Biebelstein, 121 West Doty Street, Madison, WI 53703. Authority sought to operate as a common carrier by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: (1) Trailers, trailer chassis, semitrailers, semitrailer chassis (except those designed to be drawn by passenger automobiles), trailer converter dollies, truck and trailer bodies, cargo containers, and materials, supplies, and parts used in the manufacture of, servicing of, or between suppliers, distributors, plants, warehouses, or other facilities of Brown Trailer Division, Clark Equipment Co. Nore: If a hearing is deemed necessary, application requests it be held at Washington, D.C., or Chicago, Ill.

No. MC 52953 (Sub-No. 37), filed January 6, 1971. Applicant: E T & W N C TRANSPORTATION COMPANY, a corporation, 132 Legion Street, Johnson City, TN 37601. Applicant's representative: William O. Turney, 2001 Massachusetts Avenue NW., Washington, DC 20036. Authority sought to operate as a common carrier by motor vehicle, over regular routes, transporting: General commodities (except those of unusual value, dangerous explosives, household goods as defined by the Commission, commodities in bulk, and parts, attachments and accessories for use in the installation and operation of the above-named items, between the plantsite of the Chrysler Corp. at Bowling Green, Ky., on the one hand, and, the States of Nebraska, Montana, Colorado, New Mexico, Arizona, Utah, Minnesota, Washington, Oregon, Nevada, Idaho, Wyoming, North Dakota, South Dakota, and Texas on the other, points in the United States (except Alaska and Hawaii). Nore: Applicant states that the requested authority can be tacked with its existing authority. If a hearing is deemed necessary, applicant requests it be held at Louisville, Ky., or Nashville, Tenn.

No. MC 52953 (Sub-No. 38, 39), filed December 14, 1970. Applicant: M.J. BURGOYNE & SONS, INC., 2501 North First Street, Post Office Box 188, Omaha, NE 68101. Applicant's representative: Louis J. Amato, Post Office Box E, Bowling Green, KY 42101. Authority sought to operate as a common carrier by motor vehicle, over regular routes, transporting: (1) Trailers (except truck tractors) and (2) attachments for, and equipment designed for use with the articles described in (1) above and parts for (1) and (2) above when moved in regular trade when the articles described in (1) and (2) above, from Eau Claire, Wis., to points in Connecticut, Alabama, Florida, Georgia, Delaware, North Carolina, South Carolina, Maryland, New York, Maine, New Hampshire, New Jersey, Tennessee, Maryland, Mississippi, Virginia, Rhode Island, Vermont, and the District of Columbia. Nore: Applicant states that the requested authority cannot be tacked with its existing authority. If a hearing is deemed necessary, applicant requests it be held at St. Louis, Mo.

No. MC 52953 (Sub-No. 40), filed November 7, 1970. Applicant: S S. SHERMAN, 1601 Third Avenue, Dallas, Tex. Authority sought to operate as a common carrier by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: (1) Trailers (except truck tractors) and (2) attachments for, and equipment designed for use with the articles described in (1) above and parts for (1) and (2) above when moved in regular trade when the articles described in (1) and (2) above, from Eau Claire, Wis., to points in New York, New Jersey, New Hampshire, New York, South Carolina, Ohio, Pennsylvania, Rhode Island, South Carolina, Tennessee, Vermont, Virginia, West Virginia, and the District of Columbia. Nore: Applicant states that the requested authority cannot be tacked with its existing authority. If a hearing is deemed necessary, applicant requests it be held at Dallas, Tex.

No. MC 52953 (Sub-No. 41), filed March 1, 1971. Applicant: R.W. MILLS, 211 W. Main Street, Painesville, OH 44077. Authority sought to operate as a common carrier by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: (1) Trailers (except truck tractors) and (2) attachments for, and equipment designed for use with the articles described in (1) above and parts for (1) and (2) above when moved in regular trade when the articles described in (1) and (2) above, from Eau Claire, Wis., to points in New York, New Jersey, New Hampshire, New York, South Carolina, Ohio, Pennsylvania, Rhode Island, Vermont, and the District of Columbia. Nore: Applicant states that the requested authority cannot be tacked with its existing authority. If a hearing is deemed necessary, applicant requests it be held at Detroit, Mich.
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No. MC 83539 (Sub-No. 306), filed January 4, 1971. Applicant: C & H TRANSPORTATION CO., INC., 1936 West Commerce Street, Post Office Box 5976, Dallas, TX 75222. Applicant’s representative: Thomas E. James, The 904 Lavaca Building, Austin, TX 78701. Authority sought to operate as a common carrier, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: Motor vehicles and motor vehicle chassis, from Sioux City, Iowa, to points in the United States (except Hawaii). Note: If a hearing is deemed necessary, applicant requests it be held at Washington, D.C.

No. MC 83539 (Sub-No. 307), filed January 4, 1971. Applicant: C & H TRANSPORTATION CO., INC., 1936 West Commerce Street, Post Office Box 5976, Dallas, TX 75222. Applicant’s representative: Thomas E. James, The 904 Lavaca Building, Austin, TX 78701. Authority sought to operate as a common carrier, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: Iron and steel articles except oil field pipe as described in Merc Extension-Oil Field Commodities, 74 M.C.C. 459, from Lone Star, Tex., and points within 5 miles thereof, to points in the United States (except Alaska and Hawaii). Note: Applicant is making an effort to tack at Lone Star, Tex., to extend service from Corpus Christi, Galveston, and Houston, Tex. Common control may be involved. If a hearing is deemed necessary, applicant requests it be held at Fort Worth, Tex.

No. MC 83539 (Sub-No. 308), filed January 20, 1971. Applicant: C & H TRANSPORTATION CO., INC., 1936 2010 West Commerce Street, Post Office Box 5976, Dallas, TX 75222. Applicant’s representative: Thomas E. James, The 904 Lavaca Building, Austin, TX 78701. Authority sought to operate as a common carrier, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: Enameled and unloading devices, waste storage tanks, livestock scales, livestock feed bunkers, forage metering devices, animal waste spreader tanks, livestock feeding systems; parts and accessories for above, from Kankakee, Ill., Elkhorn, Wis., and Eureka, Ill., to points in the United States (except Maine, Vermont, and New Hampshire). Note: Common control may be involved. Applicant states that the requested authority cannot be tacked with its existing authority. If a hearing is deemed necessary, applicant requests it be held at Washington, D.C.

No. MC 83539 (Sub-No. 309), filed January 11, 1971. Applicant: WARE TRANSPORTATION, INC., Post Office Box 6186, Dallas, TX 75222. Applicant’s representative: James W. Hightower, 136 Wynnewood Professional Building, Dal-
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Las, TX 75224. Authority sought to operate as a common carrier, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: Iron and steel articles (except oilfield pipe described in Mercer Oil Field Commodities, 74 M.C.C. 459), from Lone Star, Tex, and points within 5 miles thereof, to points in the United States (except Alaska and Hawaii). Note: Applicant states that the requested authority can be joined with its existing authority under MC 83335 (Sub-No. 54) at Lone Star, Tex., to perform service to all Southern States, including Texas, Oklahoma, Louisiana, Arkansas, and New Mexico. Applicant also states that it seeks no duplicating authority. If a hearing is deemed necessary, applicant requests it be held at Dallas, Tex.

No. MC 83335 (Sub-No. 76), filed January 20, 1971. Applicant: WALES TRANSPORTATION, INC., Post Office Box 6186, Dallas, TX 75222. Applicant's representative: James W. Hightower, 136 Main Street, Tarrant, Texas, TX 76125. Authority sought to operate as a common carrier, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: (a) Pollution control systems, and pollution control system parts and (2) machinery, equipment, materials, and supplies, incidental to, used in, or in connection with, the manufacture, installation, repair, and maintenance of pollution control systems and pollution control systems parts, between points in Arkansas, Colorado, Illinois, Indiana, Iowa, Kansas, Kentucky, Louisiana, Michigan, Minnesota, Missouri, Montana, Nebraska, New Mexico, New York, North Dakota, Ohio, Oklahoma, Pennsylvania, South Dakota, Texas, Utah, West Virginia, Wisconsin, and Wyoming. Note: Applicant states that the requested authority cannot be tacked to its existing authority. If a hearing is deemed necessary, applicant requests it be held at Fort Worth, Tex., or Dallas, Tex.

No. MC 96098 (Sub-No. 52), filed January 18, 1971. Applicant: MORGAN DRIVE-AWAY, INC., 2800 West Lexington Avenue, Elkhart, IN 46514. Applicant's representative: Paul D. Borghesani and Ralph H. Miller (same address as applicant). Authority sought to operate as a common carrier, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: Trailers designed to be drawn by passenger automobiles, in initial movements, from points in Todd County, Ky., to points in the United States (excluding Hawaii, Alaska, Alabama, Arkansas, Georgia, Illinois, Indiana, Mississippi, Missouri, Ohio, North Carolina, South Carolina, Virginia, and West Virginia). Note: The purpose of this republication is to re-describe the scope of the authority sought. Applicant states that the requested authority cannot be tacked to its existing authority. If a hearing is deemed necessary, applicant requests it be held at Chicago, Ill.

No. MC 103993 (Sub-No. 596) (Correction), filed December 23, 1970, published in the issue of January 21, 1971, and republished as corrected this issue. Applicant: MORGAN DRIVE-AWAY, INC., 2800 West Lexington Avenue, Elkhart, IN 46514. Applicant's representative: Paul D. Borghesani and Ralph H. Miller (same address as applicant). Note: The purpose of this partial republication is to show the correct docket number assigned thereto, in lieu of No. MC 103933 Sub 596, which was in error. The rest of the application remains as previously published.

No. MC 103933 (Sub-No. 806), filed January 11, 1971. Applicant: MALLINGER TRUCK LINE, INC., Oto, IA 50320. Applicant's representative: Larry D. Knox, 4044 Southeast 14th Street, Des Moines, IA 50320. Authority sought to operate as a common carrier, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: Meats, meat products and meat byproducts, and articles distributed by meat packing- houses as described in sections A and C of Application No. MC 103933 in Mercer Certificate 61 M.C.C. 208 and 766 (except commodities in bulk), from Waterloo, Iowa to points in Minnesota and South Dakota. Note: Applicant states that the requested authority cannot be tacked with its existing authority. If a hearing is deemed necessary, applicant requests it be held at Des Moines, Iowa, Waterloo, Iowa or Omaha, Neb.

No. MC 103993 (Sub-No. 585) (Amendment), filed September 21, 1970, published in the Federal Register issue of November 19, 1970, and republished as amended, this issue. Applicant: MORGAN DRIVE-AWAY, INC., 2800 West Lexington Avenue, Elkhart, IN 46514. Applicant's representative: Paul D. Borghesani (same address as applicant). Authority sought to operate as a common carrier, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: Trailers designed to be drawn by passenger automobiles, in initial movements, from points in Todd County, Ky., to points in the United States (excluding Hawaii, Alabama, Arkansas, Georgia, Illinois, Indiana, Mississippi, Missouri, Ohio, North Carolina, South Carolina, Virginia, and West Virginia). Note: The purpose of this republication is to re-describe the scope of the authority sought. Applicant states that the requested authority cannot be tacked to its existing authority. If a hearing is deemed necessary, applicant requests it be held at Chicago, Ill.

No. MC 106407 (Sub-No. 25), filed January 8, 1971. Applicant: T. E. MERCER TRUCKING CO., a corporation, 20 North Main, Post Office Box 1869, Fort Worth, TX 76101. Applicant's representative: Claytie Binion, 1108 Continental Life Building, Fort Worth, TX 76101. Authority sought to operate as a common carrier, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: Dairy products, from Elizabeth, N.J., and Hagerstown, Md., to points in North Carolina, South Carolina, and Georgia. Note: Applicant states that the requested authority cannot be tacked with its existing authority. If a hearing is deemed necessary, applicant requests it be held at Chicago, Ill., or Washington, D.C.

No. MC 107403 (Sub-No. 803), filed January 7, 1971. Applicant: MAT-LACK, INC., 10 West Baltimore Avenue, Lansdowne, PA 19050. Applicant's representative: E. Nelson (same address as applicant) and Harry C. Ames, Jr., 666 11th Street NW., Washington, DC 20001. Authority sought to operate as a common carrier, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: Liquid fertilizer in bulk, in tank vehicles, from Lewes, Del., to points in Delaware, Pennsylvania, Maryland, New Jersey and part of Virginia east of Chesapeake Bay.
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No. MC 107515 (Sub-No. 725), filed January 8, 1971. Applicant: REFRIGERATED TRANSPORT CO., INC. Post Office Box 306, Forest Park, GA 30050. Applicant's representatives: Paul M. Danell, 1600 First Federal Building, Atlanta, GA 30303. Authority sought to operate as a common carrier, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: Frozen foods, meats, meat products, meat byproducts and dairy products, in vehicles equipped with mechanical refrigeration, from Chicago, Ill., to points in Illinois; in Florida by tacking its Sub No. 597 authority with its Subs 99, 285, and 399 authorities over Columbus, Ohio. The purpose of this application is to eliminate existing gateways on Chicago to Florida operations of the applicant. No duplicating authority sought. If a hearing is deemed necessary, applicant requests it be held at Chicago, Ill.

No. MC 107515 (Sub-No. 728), filed January 4, 1971. Applicant: REFRIGERATED TRANSPORT CO., INC. Post Office Box 306, Forest Park, GA 30050. Applicant's representatives: B. L. Gundlach (same address as applicant) and Paul M. Danell, 1600 First Federal Building, Atlanta, GA 30303. Authority sought to operate as a common carrier, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: Meats, meat products, meat byproducts, and distributed by meat packinghouses (except hides and commodities in bulk, in tanks vehicles), in vehicles equipped with mechanical refrigeration, from Joenil, Ill., to points in Alabama, Florida, Georgia, Louisiana, Mississippi, North Carolina, South Carolina, and Virginia. No. 597 authority with its Subs 99, 285, and 399 authorities over Columbus, Ohio. The purpose of this application is to eliminate existing gateways on Chicago to Florida operations of the applicant. No duplicating authority sought. If a hearing is deemed necessary, applicant requests it be held at Chicago, Ill.

No. MC 107541 (Sub-No. 32), filed January 8, 1971. Applicant: MAGEE TRUCK SERVICE, INC., 18101 South-east McLochlin Boulevard, Milwaukie, OR 97222. Applicant's representative: Marie W. Whalen, 1011 Fourth Avenue, Portland, OR 97201. Authority sought to operate as a common carrier, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: Foodstuffs (except in bulk) in vehicles equipped with mechanical refrigeration units, from Terre Haute, Ind., to points in Tennessee, North Carolina, South Carolina, Georgia, Florida, Alabama, Mississippi, Arkansas, Louisiana, and Texas. Note: Applicant states that the requested authority cannot be tacked with its existing authority. If a hearing is deemed necessary, applicant requests it be held at Indianapolis, Ind., or Chicago, Ill.

No. MC 107515 (Sub-No. 727), filed January 4, 1971. Applicant: REFRIGERATED TRANSPORT CO., INC. Post Office Box 306, Forest Park, GA 30050. Applicant's representatives: B. L. Gundlach (same address as applicant) and Paul M. Danell, 1600 First Federal Building, Atlanta, GA 30303. Authority sought to operate as a common carrier, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: Foodstuffs (except in bulk) in vehicles equipped with mechanical refrigeration units, from Terre Haute, Ind., to points in Tennessee, North Carolina, South Carolina, Georgia, Florida, Alabama, Mississippi, Arkansas, Louisiana, and Texas. Note: Applicant states that the requested authority cannot be tacked with its existing authority. If a hearing is deemed necessary, applicant requests it be held at Indianapolis, Ind., or Chicago, Ill.

No. MC 100637 (Sub-No. 37), filed January 7, 1971. Applicant: SOUTHERN TANK LINES, INC., 10 West Baltimore Avenue, Lansdowne, PA 19050. Applicant's representatives: John E. Nelson (same address as applicant) and Harry C. Ames, 1100 Commerce Road, Richmond, VA 23236. Authority sought to operate as a common carrier, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: Iron and steel articles (except oilfield pipe as described in Mercer Ext.—Oilfield Commodity 74 M.C.C. 459), from Lone Star, Tex., and points within 5 miles thereof, to points in the United States (except Alaska and Hawaii). Note: Applicant states that the requested authority cannot be tacked with its existing authority but indicates that it has no present intention to tack and therefore does not identify the points or territories which can be served through tacking. Persons interested in the tacking possibilities are cautioned that failure to oppose the application may result in an unrestricted grant of authority. If a hearing is deemed necessary, applicant requests it be held at Fort Worth, Tex.
motor vehicle over irregular routes, transporting: Uranium hexafluoride, in radioactive containers, in specialized trailers, from the plantsite of Allied Chemical Corporation, East修 47, to the Atomic Energy Commission plantsite in McCracken County, Ky. Note: Common control may be involved. Applicant states tacking possibilities, but indicates it has no present intention to tack, therefore does not identify the points or territories which can be served through tacking. Persons interested in the tacking possibilities are cautioned that failure to oppose the application may result in an unrestricted grant of authority. If a hearing is deemed necessary, applicant requests it be held at New York, N.Y., or Washington, D.C.

No. MC 109637 (Sub-No. 375), filed January 7, 1971. Applicant: SOUTHERN TANK LINES, INC., 10 West Baltimore Avenue, Lansdowne, PA 19050. Applicant’s representatives: John O’Brien (same address as applicant) and Harry C. Ames, 666 11th Street NW, Washington, DC 20001. Authority sought to operate as a common carrier, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: Spirits, in bulk, in tank vehicles, between points in Pennsylvania, on the one hand, and, on the other, points in Indiana and Kentucky. Note: Applicant states that the requested authority can be tacked with its existing authority, but indicates that it has no present intention to tack, therefore does not identify the points or territories which can be served through tacking. Persons interested in the tacking possibilities are cautioned that failure to oppose the application may result in an unrestricted grant of authority. If a hearing is deemed necessary, applicant requests it be held at Des Moines, Iowa, or Milwaukee, Wis.

No. MC 110525 (Sub-No. 995), filed January 7, 1971. Applicant: CHEMICAL LEAMAN TANK LINES, INC., 520 East Lancaster Avenue, Downingtown, PA 19335. Applicant’s representatives: Thomas J. O’Brien (same address as applicant) and Leonard A. Jackiewies, Suite 501, 1730 M Street NW, Washington, DC 20036. Authority sought to operate as a common carrier, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: Adipic acid, dry, in bulk, in tank vessels, from Hope- well, Va., to Natrium, W. Va., and Perth Amboy, N.J. Note: Applicant states that the requested authority can be tacked with its existing authority but indicates that it has no present intention to tack and therefore does not identify the points or territories which can be served tacking. Persons interested in the tacking possibilities are cautioned that failure to oppose the application may result in an unrestricted grant of authority. If a hearing is deemed necessary, applicant requests it be held at Washington, D.C.

No. MC 110563 (Sub-No. 55), filed December 30, 1970. Applicant: COLDWAY FOOD EXPRESS, INC., Ohio Building, Post Office Box 747, Sidney, OH 45365. Applicant’s representative: Joseph M. Scanlan, 111 West Washington, Chicago, Il 60602. Authority sought to operate as a common carrier, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: Meats and meat products, meat by-products, and articles distributed by meat packinghouses, as described in sections A and C of appendix I to the report in Descriptions in Motor Carrier Certificates, 61 M.C.C. 209 and 766 (except hides and commodities in bulk), from the plant sites and warehouse facilities of Sunflower Packing Co., Inc. at or near Yellow, Nebr., to points in Connecticut, Delaware, Maryland, Massachusetts, New Jersey, New York, Pennsylvania, Virginia, Ohio, Indiana, Kentucky, Tennessee, and the District of Columbia. Note: Applicant states that the requested authority cannot be tacked with its existing authority. If a hearing is deemed necessary, applicant requests it be held at Omaha, Nebr., or Kansas City, Mo.

No. MC 110665 (Sub-No. 6), filed December 18, 1970. Applicant: PARKER MOTOR FREIGHT, INC., 126 Fulton Street, New York, N.Y. Applicant’s representative: Walter N. Bieneman, 1 Woodward Avenue, Suite 1700, Detroit, MI 48226. Authority sought to operate as a common carrier, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: General commodities, (except duplicates of unusual value, classes A and B explosives, household goods as defined by the Commission, commodities in bulk, commodities requiring special equipment, between Macomb, Lansing, Grand Rapids, Amtrak, Kalkaska, and Grand Traverse Counties, Mich., and those in Ouse County, Mich., on and west of Interstate Highway 75, on the one hand, and, on the other, I-94 Interstate Highway, (at Romulus, Mich.) and Willow Run Airport (near Ypsilanti, Mich.). Restriction: Transportation under this authority shall be restricted to traffic having an immediately prior or subsequent movement by air. Note: Applicant states the requested authority cannot be tacked with its existing authority. If a hearing is deemed necessary, applicant requests it be held at Lansing, Mich.

No. MC 111103 (Sub-No. 33), filed January 4, 1971. Applicant: PROTECIVE MOTOR SERVICE COMPANY, a corporation, 725—29 South Broad Street, Philadelphia, PA 19108. Applicant’s representatives: John M. Delany, 2 Nevada Drive, Lake Success, NY 11040, and Russell S. Bernhard, 1625 K Street NW., Washington, DC 20005. Authority sought to operate as a common carrier, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: (1) Precious, semiprecious and nonprecious metals, scrap or in bullion, strip, sheet or coil, finished and seminished mint stampings, blanks or products and related components of unusual value, jewelry and jewelry findings, dies, collars and hubs and (2) Mint stampings and metal articles comprising commemorative coins, art and religious medals, coins, currency, coins of the realm, tokens, medallions, coin blanks, tags, tags, plaques, plates and jewelry made from semiprecious and nonprecious metals, and precious metals and alloys or combinations of foregoing—metals, between The Franklin Mint, Franklin Center, Pa., on the one hand, and, on the other, Atlanta, N.Y., Baltimore, Md., Chicago, Ill., Cincinnati, Ohio, Cleveland, Ohio, Detroit, Mich., Indianapolis, Ind., Jacksonville, Fla., Las Vegas, Nev., Los Angeles, Calif., Mount Vernon, N.Y., New York, N.Y., New York, N.Y., Orlando, Fla., Philadelphia, Pa., Palatine, Ill., Portland, Ore., Pittsburgh, Pa., San Diego, Calif., St. Louis, Mo., Trenton, N.J., Washington, D.C. Note: Applicant possesses common carrier authority 135896 S No. 2, filed February 24, 1971, dual authority. If common control may be involved. If a hearing is deemed necessary, applicant requests it be held at Philadelphia, Pa.

No. MC 111170 (Sub-No. 158), filed January 13, 1971. Applicant: WHEEL-ING PIPE LINE, INC., Post Office Box 1718, El Dorado, AR 71730. Applicant’s representative: Don Smith, Post Office Box 43, Fort Smith, AR 72901. Authority sought to operate as a common carrier, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: Polypropylene glycol, in bulk, from Pine Bluff, Ark., to Milan, Tenn. Note: Applicant states that the
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requested authority cannot be tacked with its existing authority. Applicant also states no duplicating authority is being sought. Summary: Applicant requests it be held at Little Rock, Ark., or Milan, Tenn.

No. MC 111720 (Sub-No. 139), filed January 20, 1971. Applicant: WHEELING PIPE LINE, INC., Post Office Box 1718, Parkersburg, W. Va. 26103. Applicant's representative: Don Smith, Post Office Box 43, Fort Smith, AR 72901. Authority sought to operate as a common carrier, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: "Plastic pellets or resins, in bulk, in tank vehicles, from Paducah, Ky. to points in the United States (except Alaska and Hawaii). Restriction: Restricted to traffic originating at or destined to the named plant site. Note: If a hearing is deemed necessary, applicant requests it be held at Atlanta, Ga.

No. MC 111545 (Sub-No. 154), filed January 4, 1971. Applicant: HOME TRANSPORTATION COMPANY, INC., 1425 Franklin Road SE., Marietta, GA 30060. Applicant's representative: Robert E. Born, Post Office Box 6426, Station A, Marietta, GA 30060. Authority sought to operate as a common carrier, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: Heat exchangers and equalizers for use in the in-plant services of the named items, between the plantsite of the Armstrong Rubber Co. at or near Tiptonville, Tenn., to points in Louisiana, Illinois, Indiana, Missouri, Ohio, Kentucky, Tennessee and Texas. Note: If a hearing is deemed necessary, applicant requests it be held at Louisville, Ky., or Nashville, Tenn.

No. MC 111490 (Sub-No. 123), filed January 12, 1971. Applicant: JACOBSON TANK LINES, INC., Post Office Box 1200, Tallahassee, Fl. 32302. Applicant's representative: W. Guy McKenzie, Jr. (same address as applicant). Authority sought to operate as a common carrier, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: "Plastic pellets or resins, in bulk, in tank vehicles, from Paducah, Ky. to points in the United States (except Alaska and Hawaii). Restriction: Restricted to traffic originating at or destined to the named plant site. Note: If a hearing is deemed necessary, applicant requests it be held at Atlanta, Ga.

No. MC 112617 (Sub-No. 287), filed January 4, 1971. Applicant: LIQUID TRANSPORTERS, INC., Post Office Box 21958, Louisville, KY 40221. Applicant's representative: Leonard A. Jaskiewicz, 1730 M Street NW., Suite 501, Washington, DC 20036. Authority sought to operate as a common carrier, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: "Plastic pellets or resins, in bulk, in pneumatically equipped vehicles, from Tiptonville, Tenn., to points in Louisville, Ky. Note: Applicant states it is impossible to tack application with Sub 130 to provide a through service to points in Illinois, Indiana, Missouri, Ohio, Tennessee, Kentucky, and West Virginia however tacking is not intended at this time. If a hearing is deemed necessary, applicant requests it be held at Baton Rouge, La., or Louisville, Ky.
No. MC 112822 (Sub-No. 179), filed December 18, 1970. Applicant: BRAY LINES INCORPORATED, Post Office Box 1191, 1401 North High Street, Columbus, Ohio 43215. Applicant's representative: Thos. Lee Alman, Jr. (same address as applicant). Authority sought to operate as a common carrier, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: Canned goods and dog food, from Gentry andSilcom Springs, Ark., and Kansas and Proctor, Okla., to points in Connecticut, Delaware, District of Columbia, Maine, Maryland, Minnesota, Missouri, New Hampshire, New Jersey, New York, Rhode Island, and Vermont. Note: Applicant states tacking possibilities from Illinois to Iowa and Minnesota, but has no present intention to tack. If a hearing is deemed necessary, applicant requests it be held at Little Rock, Ark., or Oklahoma City, Okla.

No. MC 113495 (Sub-No. 49), filed December 30, 1970. Applicant: GREGORY HEAVY HAULERS, INC., 51 Oldham Street, Post Office Box 5268, Nashville, Tenn. 37202. Applicant's representative: Wm. C. Hill, 705 McLaughlin Bank Building, 666 11th Street NW, Washington, DC 20001. Authority sought to operate as a common carrier, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: (1) Aluminum and aluminum articles and (2) mate and supplies used in the manufacture thereof (except in bulk), between points in New Mexico, Colorado, Arizona, Utah, Nevada, California, Oregon, Washington, and Idaho. Note: Applicant states that the requested authority cannot be tacked with its existing authority. If a hearing is deemed necessary, applicant requests it be held in Las Vegas, Nev.

No. MC 113514 (Sub-No. 109), filed January 20, 1971. Applicant: SMITH TRANSPORT, INC., 1200 Smith Building, Dallas, TX 75201. Applicant's representative: William D. White, Jr., 2500 Republic National Bank Tower, Dallas, TX 75201. Authority sought to operate as a common carrier, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: Liquid silicate of soda, in bulk, from Dallas, Tex., to points in Colorado. Note: Applicant proposes to tack authority sought in instant application with existing authority. If a hearing is deemed necessary, applicant requests it be held at Little Rock, Ark., or Oklahoma City, Okla.

No. MC 113855 (Sub-No. 232), filed January 14, 1971. Applicant: THEEntered TRANSPORT, INC., Post Office Drawer 231, Spencer, IA 51301. Applicant's representative: John W. Chisholm. Authority sought to operate as a common carrier, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: Meats, meat products, meat byproducts, and articles distributed by meat packers, in Arizona, California, Colorado, Idaho, Montana, Nevada, New Mexico, North Dakota, Oklahoma, Oregon, South Dakota, Texas, Utah, Washington, and Wyoming. Note: Applicant states that the requested authority cannot be tacked with its existing authority. If a hearing is deemed necessary, applicant requests it be held at Nashville, Tenn., or Washington, D.C.

No. MC 114004 (Sub-No. 92), filed January 4, 1971. Applicant: CHANDLER TRAILER CONVOY, INC., 8223 North Benton Highway, Little Rock, AR 72209. Applicant's representative: W. G. Chandler (same address as applicant). Authority sought to operate as a common carrier, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: Buildings, in sections, mounted on wheeled undercarriages, with hitchball connectors, in initial movements, from points in Pitsylvania County, Va., to points in the United States (excluding Hawaii). Note: Applicant states that the requested authority cannot be tacked with its existing authority. If a hearing is deemed necessary, applicant requests it be held at Rich-mond, Va.

No. MC 114123 (Sub-No. 38), filed January 10, 1971. Applicant: W. W. MAN R. EWELL, INC., East Earl, Pa. 17519. Applicant's representative: John M. Muselman, 400 North Third Street, Harrisburg, PA 17106. Authority sought to operate as a common carrier, by motor vehicle over irregular routes, transporting: Liquid and invert sugar, corn syrup, mixtures of liquid and invert sugar and corn syrup, and flavoring syrup, from New York, N.Y., to points in Alexandria, Va. Note: Applicant states that the requested authority cannot be tacked with its existing authority. Common control and dual operations may be involved. If a hearing is deemed necessary, applicant requests it be held at Washington, D.C.

No. MC 115113 (Sub-No. 18), filed January 6, 1971. Applicant: IOWA PACKERS XPRESS, INC., Post Office Box 231, Spencer, IA 51301. Applicant's representative: Bill Husby (same address as applicant). Authority sought to operate as a common carrier, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: Meat, meat products, meat byproducts, and articles distributed by meat packers, (except hides and commodities in bulk) as described in sections A and C of appendix I to the report in Descriptions in Motor Carrier Certificates, 61 M.C.C. 209 and 766, from the plantsites and warehouse facilities utilized by Needham Packing Co., Inc., Omaha, Neb., Sioux City, Iowa, West Fargo, and Fargo, N. Dak., to points in Connecticut, Delaware, Maine, Maryland, Massachusetts, New Hampshire, New Jersey, New York, Pennsylvania, Rhode Island, Vermont, Virginia, West Virginia, and the District of Columbia. Restrictions: The service proposed herein are restricted to the transportation of traffic originating at the above-named origin points and destined to the above-named destination points. If a hearing is deemed necessary, applicant requests it be held at Sioux City, Iowa.

No. MC 115162 (Sub-No. 219), filed January 11, 1971. Applicant: POOLE TRUCK LINE, INC., Post Office Drawer 509, Evergreen, AL 36401. Applicant's representative: Robert E. Tate (same address as applicant). Authority sought to operate as a common carrier, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: Scrap batteries, from points in the United States in and east of North Dakota, South Dakota, Nebraska, Kansas, Oklahoma, and Texas to points in Pike County, Ala.; and (2) lead, from points in Pike County, Ala., to points in the United States in and east of North Dakota, South Dakota, Nebraska, Kansas, Oklahoma, and Texas. Note: Applicant states that the requested authority cannot be tacked with its existing authority. If a hearing is deemed necessary, applicant requests it be held at Columbia, Mo., or at St. Louis, Mo.
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authority. If a hearing is deemed necessary, applicant requests it be held at Montgomery, or Mobile, Ala.

No. MC 115180 (Sub-No. 68), filed January 4, 1971. REPRIERGONER TRANSPORTATION, INC., 408 West 14th Street, New York, NY 10014. Applicant's representative: George A. Olsen, 69 Tonelle Avenue, Jersey City, N J 07306. Authority sought to operate as a common carrier, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: Meats fresh and meats fresh frozen, from the plantsite or cold storage facilities utilized by Wilson Beef & Lamb Co., at or near Hereford, Tex., to points in Connecticut, Delaware, Maine, Maryland, Massachusetts, New Jersey, New York, Pennsylvania, Rhode Island, Vermont, No, Hampshire, and District of Columbia. Restriction: The services proposed herein are restricted to the transportation of traffic originating at the above-named origin points and destined to the above-named destinations. Note: If a hearing is deemed necessary, applicant requests it be held at Dallas, Tex., Washington, D.C., or Chicago, III.

No. MC 115331 (Sub-No. 294), filed Januay 13, 1971. Applicant: TRUCK TRANSPORT, INCORPORATED, 1951 North Geyer Road, St. Louis, MO 63131. Applicant's representative: J. R. Ferris, 570 Clair Avenue, East St. Louis, IL 62201. Authority sought to operate as a common carrier, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: Liquid chlorine, in bulk, from points in Clinton County, Ky., and Madison County, Tai. Note: Applicant states that the requested authority cannot be tacked with its existing authority. If a hearing is deemed necessary, applicant requests it be held at St. Louis, Mo., or Chicago, Ill.

No. MC 115331 (Sub-No. 297), filed January 13, 1971. Applicant: TRUCK TRANSPORT INCORPORATED, 1951 North Geyer Road, St. Louis, MO 63131. Applicant's representative: J. R. Ferris, 570 Clair Avenue, East St. Louis, IL 62201. Authority sought to operate as a common carrier, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: Carbon dioxide, in bulk, from the plantsite or storage facilities of Monsanto Co., at or near Bonne Terre, Mo., to points in Illinois, Indiana, Ohio, Michigan, Kentucky, and Missouri. Note: Common control may be involved. If a hearing is deemed necessary, applicant requests it be held at St, Louis, Mo., or Washington, D.C.

No. MC 115826 (Sub-No. 213), filed January 11, 1971. Applicant: W. J. DIGBY, INC., 1960 Illinois Street, Post Office Box 5088 T.A., Denver, CO 80217. Applicant's representative: Robert R. Biggs, 217 Lului Tower, Phoenix, AZ 85003. Authority sought to operate as a common carrier, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: Frozen foods and potato products, from Laramie, Wyo, to Del Rio, in California, New Mexico, Texas, Oklahoma, Arkansas, Louisiana, Mississippi, Alabama, Tennessee, Kentucky, Missouri, Pennsylvania, New York, New Jersey, Maryland, Delaware, North Dakota, South Dakota, and Montana. Note: Applicant states that the requested authority cannot be tacked with its existing authority. If a hearing is deemed necessary, applicant requests it be held at Los Angeles, Calif., or Denver, Colo.

No. MC 116783 (Sub-No. 187), filed January 28, 1971. Applicant: CARL SUBLER TRUCKING, INC., North West Street, Versailles, OH 45380. Applicant's representative: H. M. Richters (same address as applicant). Authority sought to operate as a common carrier, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: Such commodities as are manufactured, processed, or dealt in by tobacco and tobacco products growers, manufacturers, processors, and distributors; and equipment, materials, supplies, and commodities used in the conduct of such businesses, (1) between Cullman, Ala., Jacksonvile, Fl., and Waycross, Ga.; (2) from Cullman, Ala., Waycross, Ga., and Jackson­ ville, Fl., and (3) from points in the United States (except Alaska and Hawaii) to Cullman, Ala., Waycross, Ga., and Jackson­ ville, Fl., restricted to traffic origin­ ating at or destined to the facilities of Jno. H. Swisher & Son, Inc. Note: Applicant states that the requested authority cannot be tacked with its existing authority. If a hearing is deemed necessary, applicant requests it be held at Jackson­ ville, Fl.

No. MC 116915 (Sub-No. 8), filed December 28, 1970. Applicant: ECK MILLER TRANSPORTATION CORPORATION, 1125 Sweeney Street, Post Office Box 1279, Owensboro, KY 42301. Applicant's representative: Louis J. Amato, Post Office Box E, Bowling Green, KY 42101. Authority sought to operate as a common carrier, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: Heat exchangers and equalizers for air, gas, or liquids; machinery and equip­ ment for heating, cooling, conditioning, humidifying, dehumidifying and moving air, gas, or liquids; and parts, attach­ ments, accessories for use in the install­ ation or operation of the above named items, at the facilities of the Chrysler Corp., at Bowling Green, Ky., on the one hand, and, on the other, points in Minnesota, Wisconsin, Michigan, Pennsylvania, Ohio, Indiana, Illinois, Iowa, Kansas, Missouri, West Virginia, Kentucky, Ohio, Arkansas, Tennessee, North Carolina, Mississippi, Alabama, Georgia, South Carolina, Texas, Louisiana, and Florida, restricted to traffic originating or destined to the named plantsite. Note: Applicant states that the requested authority cannot be tacked with its existing authority. If a hearing is deemed necessary, applicant requests it be held at Louisville, Ky., or Nashville, Tenn.

No. MC 116947 (Sub-No. 15) (Correction), filed December 23, 1970, published in the Federal Register issue of January 28, 1971, and republished in part, as corrected this issue. Applicant: HUGH H. SCOTT, doing business as SCOTT TRANSFER CO., 920 Albany Street SW., Atlanta, GA 30310. Applicant's representative: William Addams, Suite 527, 1776 Peachtree Street NW., Atlanta, GA 30309. Note: The purpose of this partial republication is to show that applicant is under contract with Crown Cork & Seal Co., Inc., Philadelphia, Pa., which contract is currently the subject of a previous publication. The rest of the application remains the same.

No. MC 117370 (Sub-No. 20), filed December 31, 1970. Applicant: STAFFORD TRUCKING, INC., 2155 Hollyhock Lane, Elm Grove, WI 53122. Applicant's representative: Reubin Kaminsky, Post Office Box 17-056, 342 North Main Street, West Hartford, CT 06117. Authority sought to operate as a common carrier, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: Silica sand and silica products, from North Stonington, Conn., and points within 5 miles thereof, to points in Virginia. Note: Applicant states that the requested authority cannot be tacked with its existing authority. If a hearing is deemed necessary, applicant requests it be held at Hartford, Conn., or New York, N.Y., or Chicago, III.

No. MC 117565 (Sub-No. 35), filed January 8, 1971. Applicant: LEE SERVICE COMPANY, INC., 237 South Fifth Street, Coshocton, OH 43812. Applicant's representative: Louis J. Amato, Post Office Box E, Bowling Green, KY 42101. Authority sought to operate as a common carrier, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: Trailers designed to be pulled by passenger auto­ mobile, (1) from points in Sonoma and Los Angeles Counties, Calif., to points in Washington, Oregon, Nevada, Arizona, Idaho, and Utah; and (2) from points in California, Washington, Oregon, Nevada, Arizona, Idaho, and Utah to points in Hamilton County, Ohio. Note: Applicant states that the requested authority cannot be tacked with its existing authority. If a hearing is deemed necessary, applicant requests it be held in Cincinnati, Ohio.

No. MC 117574 (Sub-No. 196), filed January 11, 1971. Applicant: DAILY EXPRESS, INC., Post Office Box 39, Carlisle, PA 17013. Applicant's representatives: E. S. Moore, Jr. (same address as applicant), and Joseph W. Hager, 100 Pine Street, Post Office Box 1166, Harrisburg, PA 17108. Authority sought to operate as a common carrier, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: (1) Trac­ tors (except truck tractors) and (2) attach­ ments for, and equipment designed for use with the articles described in (1) and (2) above, when moving in mixed loads with the articles described in (1) and (2) above, from Eau Claire, Wis., to points in Maine, New Hampshire, Vermont, Massachusetts, Rhode Island, Connecticut, New York, New Jersey, Delaware, Maryland, Pennsylvania, and the District of Colum­ bia, restricted to traffic originating at Eau Claire, Wis. Note: Common control may be involved. Applicant states that the requested authority can be tacked with its
existing authority but indicates that it has no present intention to tack and therefore does not identify the points or territories which can be served through tacking. Persons interested in the tacking possibilities are cautioned that failure to oppose the application may result in an unrestricted grant of authority. If a hearing is deemed necessary, applicant requests it be held at Atlanta, Ga.

No. MC 119445 (Sub-No. 26), filed January 14, 1971. Applicant: P. E. KRAMME, INC., Monroeville, N.J. 08043. Applicant's representative: V. Barker Smith, 2107 The Fidelity Building, Philadelphia, PA 19109. Authority sought to operate as a common carrier, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: Chocolate, chocolate liquor, chocolate products, confectioner's products, and cocoa butter, in bulk, in tank vehicles, between Elizabethtown, Pa., on the one hand, and, on the other, Chicago, Ill. Note: Applicant states that the requested authority may be tacked with its existing authority. If a hearing is deemed necessary, applicant requests it be held at Minneapolis, Minn.

No. MC 117949 (Sub-No. 37), filed January 11, 1971. Applicant: NATION­WIDE CARRIERS, INC., Post Office Box 104, Maple Plain, MN 55359. Applicant's representative: Donald L. Stern, 630 City National Bank Building, Omaha, NE 68102. Authority sought to operate as a common carrier, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: Dairy products and frozen poultry and frozen poultry parts and fats (except commodities in bulk), from points in Minnesota and Wisconsin (except Green Bay, Wis.), to points in Virginia. Applicant holds contracts with Chase Brass & Copper Co., Inc. at Buffalo, N.Y., and brass and copper sheet in bulk, from the plantsite of American Brass Corp. at Buffalo, N.Y., to Cleveland, Ohio, operations to be limited to a transportation service to be rendered under the continuing contract, or contracts with Chase Brass & Copper Co., Inc. at Cleveland, Ohio. Note: Common control and dual operations may be involved. If a hearing is deemed necessary, applicant requests it be held at Cleveland, Ohio, or Washington, D.C.

No. MC 119391 (Sub-No. 8), filed January 4, 1971. Applicant: AJAX TRANS­FER COMPANY, a corpora­tion, 550 East Fifth Street, South, South St. Paul, MN 55075. Applicant's representative: Donald L. Sten, 630 City National Bank Building, Omaha, NE 68102. Authority sought to operate as a contract carrier, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: (1) Brass and brass products, articles distributed by meat packers, and such commodities are used by meat packers in the conduct of their business. If a hearing is deemed necessary, applicant requests it be held at Minneapolis, Minn., or Chicago, Ill.
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fertilizer solutions and compounds, and related items, from the plant site of CIP Industries, Inc., located at or near Fremont, Neb., to points in Wisconsin and Illinois. Note: Applicant states that the requested authority cannot be tacked with its existing authority. If a hearing is deemed necessary, applicant requests it be held at Lincoln, Neb., Omaha, Neb., or Chicago, Ill.

No. MC 119666 (Sub-No. 7), filed December 22, 1970. Applicant: TRUCK LINES, INC., Post Office Box 186, Camila, CA 93730. Applicant’s representative: W. H. Bozeman, R.F.D. No. 1, Sale City, CA 93764. Authority sought to operate as a common carrier, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: Poles and piling, treated and untreated, from Florence and Charleston, S.C., to points in Virginia and West Virginia. Note: Applicant states that the requested authority cannot be tacked with its existing authority. If a hearing is deemed necessary, applicant requests it be held at Charleston, S.C., Jacksonville, Fla., or Washington, D.C.
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FERTILIZER AND GRAIN COMPANY, a corporation, Steele, Mo. 63677. Applicant's representative: R. Connor Wigginton, 558 North Main Building, Memphis, TN 38103. Authority sought to operate as a common carrier, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: Salt and salt products, and the sale, and distribution of aerosol products from points in the United States (except Alaska and Hawaii) to Chicago, Ill., Sparks, Nev., and Piscataway, N.J., under contract with Allied. Authority, all restricted against the transportation of aerosol products (except in bulk) from Chicago, Ill., Sparks, Nev., and Piscataway, N.J., to points in Reynolds County, Mo. Note: Applicant states that the requested authority cannot be tacked with its existing authority. If a hearing is deemed necessary, applicant requests it be held at St. Louis, Mo.

No. MC 124573 (Sub-No. 10), filed January 7, 1970. Applicant: FEED TRANSPORTS, INC., Route 2, Box 764A, Post Office Box 2167, Pullman Road South, Amarillo, TX 79106. Applicant's representative: Austin L. Halley, 3102 Perry Brooks Building, Austin, TX 78701. Authority sought to operate as a common carrier, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: (1) Tractors (except truck tractors) and (2) attachments for, and equipment destined for use with, all types of farm tractors, in bulk, in tank vehicles, from the United States to Texas, and points within 5 miles thereof, serving the States of Arkansas, Iowa, Minnesota, Mississippi, Missouri, Montana, Nebraska, Nevada, New Mexico, North Dakota, Ohio, Oklahoma, Pennsylvania, South Dakota, Tennessee (west of U.S. Highway 27), Texas, West Virginia, Wisconsin, and Wyoming. Note: Common control may be involved. If a hearing is deemed necessary, applicant requests it be held at Houston, Fort Worth, or Amarillo, Tex.

No. MC 123471 (Sub-No. 8), filed January 6, 1971. Applicant: BECKER & SONS, INC., 2643 West Central, El Dorado, KS 67042. Applicant's representative: Eric W. Francis, Suite 719, 700 Kansas Avenue, Topeka, KS 66603. Authority sought to operate as a common carrier, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: Fertilizer and fertilizer materials, from points in Douglas County, Kansas, to points and places in the States of Arkansas, Iowa, Minnesota, Missouri, and Nebraska. Note: Common control may be involved. Applicant states that the requested authority cannot be tacked with its existing authority. If a hearing is deemed necessary, applicant requests it be held at Kansas City, Mo., or Topeka, Kans.

No. MC 123476 (Sub-No. 77) (Amendment), filed November 9, 1970, published in the FEDERAL REGISTER issue of December 10, 1970, and republished as amended, this issue. Applicant: CONTINENTAL CONTRACT CARRIER CORP., 51045 East Salt Lake Avenue, Post Office Box 1297, City of Industry, CA 91747. Applicant's representative: Max Harding, 605 South 14th Street, Post Office Box 62082, Lincoln, NE 68501. Authority sought to operate as a contract carrier, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: Agricultural products (except in bulk) from Chicago, Ill., Sparks, Nev., and Piscataway, N.J., to points in the United States (except Alaska and Hawaii), and material used in the manufacture, sale, and distribution of aerosol products from points in the United States (except Alaska and Hawaii) to Chicago, Ill., Sparks, Nev., and Piscataway, N.J., under contract with Allied. Authority, all restricted against the transportation of aerosol products (except in bulk) from Chicago, Ill., Sparks, Nev., and Piscataway, N.J., to points in Reynolds County, Mo. Note: Applicant states that the requested authority cannot be tacked with its existing authority. If a hearing is deemed necessary, applicant requests it be held at St. Louis, Mo.

No. MC 124673 (Sub-No. 10), filed January 7, 1970. Applicant: STILL MAN TRUCKING CO., a corporation, 611 South 28th Street, Milwaukee, WI 53246. Applicant's representative: Richard H. Prevette (same address as applicant). Authority sought to operate as a common carrier, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: Chemicals, in bulk, in tank vehicles, from the plant and warehouse sites of Philadelphia Powder Co., Inc., near La Salle, Ill., to points in Alabama, Arkansas, Colorado, Georgia, Illinois, Indiana, Iowa, Kansas, Kentucky, Louisiana, Mieligan, Minnesota, Mississippi, Missouri, Nebraska, North Dakota, Ohio, Oklahoma, Pennsylvania, South Dakota, Tennessee (west of U.S. Highway 27), Texas, West Virginia, Wisconsin, and Wyoming. Note: Common control may be involved. If a hearing is deemed necessary, applicant requests it be held at Chicago, Ill.

No. MC 124078 (Sub-No. 468), filed January 14, 1971. Applicant: SCHIWERMAN TRUCKING CO., a corporation, 611 South 28th Street, Milwaukee, WI 53246. Applicant's representative: Richard H. Prevette (same address as applicant). Authority sought to operate as a common carrier, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: General commodities (except those of unusual value, classes A and B explosives, household goods as defined by the Commission, commodities in bulk, and those requiring special equipment), between junction Tennessee Highways 56 and 108 and Beersheba Springs, Tenn., from junction Tennessee Highways 56 and 108 and Tennessee 56 to and including Beersheba Springs, and serving all intermediate points, or if a hearing is deemed necessary, applicant requests it be held at Chattanooga, Nashville, or Knoxville, Tenn.

No. MC 123203 (Sub-No. 4), filed January 11, 1971. Applicant: WAGNER FREIGHT LINES, INC., 206 East 28th Street, Chattanooga, TN 37410. Applicant's representative: Blaine Buchanan, 1024 James Building, Chattanooga, TN 37402. Authority sought to operate as a common carrier, by motor vehicle, over regular routes, transporting: General commodities (except those of unusual value, classes A and B explosives, household goods as defined by the Commission, commodities in bulk, and those requiring special equipment), between junction Tennessee Highways 56 and 108 and Beersheba Springs, Tenn., from junction Tennessee Highways 56 and 108 and Tennessee 56 to and including Beersheba Springs, and serving all intermediate points, or if a hearing is deemed necessary, applicant requests it be held at Chattanooga, Nashville, or Knoxville, Tenn.

No. MC 123039 (Sub-No. 10), filed December 8, 1971. Applicant: VALLEY EXPRESS, INC., 127 N. Wills, Schofield, WI 54476. Applicant's representative: Earle H. Haupt (same address applicant). Authority sought to operate as a common carrier, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: General commodities (except those of unusual value, classes A and B explosives, household goods as defined by the Commission, commodities in bulk, and those requiring special equipment), between New Chester Township, Adams County, Wis., and Milwaukee, Wis.: From New Chester Township over Adams and Marquette County Trunks E to junction U.S. Highway 51, thence over U.S. Highway 51 to Madison, Wis., thence over U.S. Highway 18 to Milwaukee and return over the same route, serving the intermediate points of Madison, Wis., (1) between New Chester Township, Adams County, Wis., and Milwaukee, Wis.; and (2) between New Chester Township, Adams County, Wis., and Marinette, Marinette County, Wis.: From New Chester Township over Adams and Marquette County Trunks E to junction U.S. Highway 51, thence over U.S. Highway 51 to Exposition Point and return over the same route, serving the intermediate points of the unincorporated communities of Lawrence, Marquette County, Wis., and Grand Marsh, Adams County, Wis.; and (3) between New Chester Township, Adams County, Wis., and Superior, Superior Point, Wis.: Authority sought to operate as a common carrier, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: General commodities (except those of unusual value, classes A and B explosives, household goods as defined by the Commission, commodities in bulk, and those requiring special equipment), between junction Tennessee Highways 56 and 108 and Beersheba Springs, Tenn., from junction Tennessee Highways 56 and 108 and Tennessee 56 to and including Beersheba Springs, and serving all intermediate points, or if a hearing is deemed necessary, applicant requests it be held at Madison, Wis.

No. MC 121158 (Sub-No. 4), filed January 11, 1971. Applicant: BECKER & SONS, INC., 2643 West Central, El Dorado, KS 67042. Applicant's representative: Eric W. Francis, Suite 719, 700 Kansas Avenue, Topeka, KS 66603. Authority sought to operate as a common carrier, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: General commodities (except those of unusual value, classes A and B explosives, household goods as defined by the Commission, commodities in bulk, and those requiring special equipment), between junction Tennessee Highways 56 and 108 and Beersheba Springs, Tenn., from junction Tennessee Highways 56 and 108 and Tennessee 56 to and including Beersheba Springs, and serving all intermediate points, or if a hearing is deemed necessary, applicant requests it be held at Chattanooga, Nashville, or Knoxville, Tenn.

No. MC 123048 (Sub-No. 184), filed January 11, 1971. Applicant: DIAMOND TRANSPORTATION SYSTEM, INC., 1919 Hamilton Avenue, Racine, WI 53401. Applicant's representative: Paul C. Gartzke, 121 West Doty Street, Madison, WI 53703 and Paul L. Martinson (same address as applicant). Authority sought to operate as a common carrier, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: (1) Tractors (except truck tractors) and (2) attachments for, and equipment destined for use with, all types of farm tractors, in bulk, in tank vehicles, from the United States to Texas, and points within 5 miles thereof, serving the States of Arkansas, Iowa, Minnesota, Mississippi, Missouri, Montana, Nebraska, Nevada, New Mexico, North Dakota, Ohio, Oklahoma, Pennsylvania, South Dakota, Tennessee (west of U.S. Highway 27), Texas, West Virginia, Wisconsin, and Wyoming. Note: Authority sought may be involved. If a hearing is deemed necessary, applicant requests it be held at Chicago, Ill., Sparks, Nev., and Piscataway, N.J.

No. MC 123257 (Sub-No. 9), filed January 11, 1971. Applicant: K. L. BREED sons, Inc., 491 Alamo Street, Terrell, TX 75160. Applicant's representative: James W. Hightower, 138 Wynnewood Road, Post Office Box 2167, Dallas, TX 75224. Authority sought to operate as a common carrier, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: Iron and steel articles (except trucking described in Mercer Ext.— Oil Field Commodities, 74 M.C.C. 459), from Lone Star, Tex., and points within 5 miles thereof, to points in the United States (except Alaska and Hawaii). Note: Applicant states it proposes to tack at Lone Star, Tex., with authority it seeks to purchase from J. H. Marks Trucking Co., to perform a through service to various States. Note: No duplicating authority is sought. If a hearing is deemed necessary, applicant requests it be held at Fort Worth or Dallas, Tex.
of commodities in bulk. All shipments to originate or terminate at the plants and warehouse facilities utilized by the carrier, and to distribute to warehouse facilities of Campbe!

- No. MC 125194 (Sub-No. 12), filed January 2, 1970. Applicant: SAM TOW LINE DAIRY, INC., 1915 State Line Road, Niles, MI 49120. Applicant's representative: J. M. Neath, Jr., One Van- clerk Center, Grand Rapids, MI 49502. Authority sought to operate as a contract carrier, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: Milk and dairy products, and filled or imitation milk and dairy products, fruit and salads, from Livonia, Mich., and Indianapolis, Ind., to points in Will County, Ill., under contract with The Kroger Co. Notice: If a hearing is deemed necessary, applicant requests it be held at Chicago, Ill.

- No. MC 125205 (Sub-No. 11), filed December 23, 1970. Applicant: SAM TOW L ERLER, 3319 Collins Avenue, Amundale, VA. Applicant's representative: Frank B. Hunsicker, 54434 Fifteenth Pike, Herndon, VA 22070. Authority sought to operate as a common carrier, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: Masonry sand, in bulk, in dump vehicles, from the facilities of Campbell Sand Co., Inc., located in Anne Arundel County, Md., to points in Loudoun County, Va., the District of Columbia, and Alexandria, Va. Notice: If a hearing is deemed necessary, applicant requests it be held at Washington, D.C.

- No. MC 126149 (Sub-No. 14), filed January 15, 1971. Applicant: DENNY MOTOR FREIGHT, INC., 617 Indiana Ave- nue, New Albany, IN 46150. Applicant's representative: Donald M. Scott, Circle Tower, Indianapolis, IN 46204. Authority sought to operate as a common carrier by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: Grain, feed ingredients, (except truck tractors), and (2) equipment for, and equipment designed for use with the articles described in (1) above, and parts for (1) and (2) above when moving in mixed loads with the articles described in (1) and (2) above, from Eau Claire, Wis., to points in Illinois, Indiana, Ohio, Kentucky, Michigan, West Virginia, and Wisconsin, restricted to traffic originating at Eau Claire, Wis. Notice: If a hearing is deemed necessary, applicant requests it be held at Chicago, Ill.

- No. MC 126376 (Sub-No. 42), filed January 7, 1971. Applicant: FAST MOTOR SERVICE, INC., 12855 Ponde-roosa Street, Chicago, IL 60063. Applicant's representative: Albert A. An­ drin, 29 South La Salle Street, Chicago, IL 60603. Authority sought to operate as a common carrier, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: Container loads and warehouse facilities of American Can Co., at Fort Smith, Ark.; Ar-lington, Tex.; San Antonio, Tex.; New Orleans, La.; and Houston, Tex., to points in the states of Colorado, Georgia, Illinois, Indiana, Iowa, Kansas, Kentucky, Louisiana, Maryland, Michi­ gan, Minnesota, Mississippi, Missouri, Nebraska, North Dakota, Ohio, Pennsyl­ vania, Texas, Wisconsin, and West Vir­ ginia, under contract with American Can Co. Notice: Applicant has common carrier authority pending under MC 134612, therefore, dual operations may be involved. If a hearing is deemed necessary, applicant requests it be held at Chicago, Ill.

- No. MC 126555 (Sub-No. 3), filed January 7, 1971. Applicant: STERLING TRUCKING, INC., 1015 State Line Drive, Palos Heights, IL 60463. Applicant's representative: Morris Honig, 150 Broadway, New York, NY 10038. Authority sought to operate as a contract carrier, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: Steel plate, tin plate, black plate, wire, and materials and supplies used in the manufacture of pails and cans, and cardboard containers and materials and supplies used in the manufacture of cardboard containers, (1) between Saddle Brook, N.J., on the one hand, and, on the other, points in Connecticut, Delaware, Michigan, Illinois, Maryland, Massachusetts, New Hampshire, New York, Ohio, Indiana, Pennsylvania, Rhode Island, Virginia, West Virginia, and the District of Columbia; and (2) from Weirton, W. Va., and Sparrows Point, Md., to New York, N.Y., and Elizabeth, Lawrenceville, Saddle Brook, and East Paterson, N.J., under contract with Fein Container Corp.; in (1) and (2) above, if a hearing is deemed necessary, applicant requests it be held at Newark, N.J., or New York, N.Y.

- No. MC 127187 (Sub-No. 9), filed January 5, 1971. Applicant: FLOYD DUE NOW, 2620 Delavan Street, Ferguson Falls, MN. Applicant's representative: Gene P. Johnson, 502 First National Bank Building, Fargo, ND 58102. Authority sought to operate as a common carrier, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: Animal and poultry feed ingredients, dry, (1) from points in that part of Iowa on and north of U.S. Highway 18, to points in Minnesota, North Dakota, South Dakota, and Wisconsin, (2) from points in that part of Minnesota, North Dakota, and South Dakota to points in Iowa and Minnesota, and (3) from points in South Dakota to points in Iowa and Minnesota. Notice: Applicant states that the requested authority cannot be tackled with its present held authority. If a hearing is deemed necessary, applicant requests it be held at Minneapolis or St. Paul, Minn.

- No. MC 127500 (Sub-No. 41), filed January 10, 1971. Applicant: RALPH H. BOELK, doing business as R. H. BOELK TRUCK LINES, Route 2, Mendota, IL 61342. Applicant's representative: Walter Koler, 1016 Kehoe Drive, St. Charles, IL 60174. Authority sought to operate as a common carrier, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: (1) Plastic products (except in bulk), from Three Rivers, Mich., to points in Illinois, Indiana, Kentucky, Michigan, Minnesota; (2) paper and paper articles (except in bulk), from Kalamazoo, Mich., to points in Illinois, Indiana, Iowa, Kansas, Kentucky, Michigan, Minnesota, Missouri, Nebraska, and Wisconsin, and (3) food cabs, from Rock Falls, Ill., to points in the United States on and east of U.S. Highway 65. Notice: Applicant states that the requested authority cannot be tackled with its existing authority. If a hearing is deemed necessary, applicant requests it be held at Chicago, Ill., Kalamazoo, Mich., or Madison, Wis.

- No. MC 127878 (Sub-No. 4), filed December 29, 1970. Applicant: GELPIN COUNTY EXPRESS & TRUCK LINE, INC., Post Office Box 303, 400 Lawrence Street, Central City, CO 80427. Applicant's representative: Herbert M. Boyle, 943 Metropolitan Building, Denver, CO 80202. Authority sought to operate as a common carrier, by motor vehicle, over regular routes, transporting: General and miscellaneous merchandise, from Eau Claire, Wis., to points in Iowa and Minnesota, and feed ingredients, (except in bulk), from Camarillo, Calif., to points in that part of Iowa on and north of U.S. Highway 18. Notice: If a hearing is deemed necessary at Denver, Colo., hearing requests it be held at Denver, Colo.
NOTICES

Bellechasse, Chicontini, Gaspee, Lac St. Jean, L'Islet, Portneuf, Quebec, Riviere du Loup, and Roberval Counties, Province of Quebec, Canada. The purpose of this application is (1) to remove the present restriction of "rough" lumber in applicant's present certificate MC-127760; (2) to add additional origin Counties in Quebec, Canada, and; (3) to add the States of Connecticut, New Jersey, Pennsylvania, and Rhode Island as destination territories. Applicant seeks no duplicate authority and will agree to the cancellation of its existing certificate if application is granted. Note: If a hearing is deemed necessary, applicant requests it be held at Augusta, Maine, or Boston, Mass.

No. MC 128373 (Sub-No. 83), filed January 11, 1971. Applicant: MIDWESTERN EXPRESS, INC., Box 189, Port Scott, KS 66701. Applicant's representative: Danny Ellis (same address as applicant). Authority sought to operate as a common carrier, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: (1) Electrical instruments and parts, transformers, poles and pole accessories, and (2) materials and supplies used in the manufacture of electrical poles and poles, at Springfield, III., Vicksburg, Miss., Pickens and Walhalla, S.C., on the one hand, and, on the other, points in the United States (except Alaska and Hawaii). Note: Applicant states that the requested authority cannot be tacked with its existing authority. If a hearing is deemed necessary, applicant requests it be held at Chicago, Ill., or Washington, D.C.

No. MC 128375 (Sub-No. 59), filed January 4, 1971. Applicant: CRETE CARRIER CORPORATION, Post Office Box 249, Crete, NE 68333. Applicant's representative: Richard A. Peterson, 521 South 14th Street, Post Office Box 80606, Lincoln, NE 68501. Authority sought to operate as a contract carrier, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: Motor vehicle parts and accessories, in the manufacture and production and distribution of motor vehicle parts and accessories, between points in Lawrence County, Tenn., on the one hand, and, on the other, points in the United States (except Hawaii and Alaska), under continuing contract with Maremont Corp. Note: If a hearing is deemed necessary, applicant requests it be held at Chicago, Ill., or Lincoln, Neb.

No. MC 128645 (Sub-No. 4), filed December 23, 1970. Applicant: JOE H. BLATTER, Post Office Box 811, Carson, WA 98810. Applicant's representative: Earle V. White, 2400 Southwest Fourth Avenue, Portland, OR 97201. Authority sought to operate as a common carrier, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: (A) Lumber, between Cascade Locks, Oreg., on the one hand, and, on the other, points in Washington, Oreg., under a continuing contract with Cascade Wood Components, Cascade Locks, Oreg., and (B) veneer lumber, from Stevenson and Home Valley, WA, to points in and west of Lane County and west of the summit of the Cascade Range, under a continuing contract with Hegewald Timber, Inc., Stevenson, Wash. Note: Applicant states no duplicating authority is being sought. If a hearing is deemed necessary, applicant requests it be held at Portland, Oreg.

No. MC 128735 (Sub-No. 7), filed December 24, 1970. Applicant: ALVIN E. GOLNIK, doing business as GOLNIK TRUCKING, 731 Second Avenue, Koppel, PA 16136. Applicant's representative: Arthur J. Diskin, 806 Prick Building, Pittsburgh, PA 15219. Authority sought to operate as a contract carrier, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: Copper and copper alloys, between the plantsites of Hussey Metals Division/ Copper Range Co., at Leetsdale, Pa., Anderson, Ind., and Eminence, Ky., under continuing contract with Hussey Metals Division/Copper Range Co. Note: If a hearing is deemed necessary, applicant requests it be held at Washington, D.C., or Pittsburgh, Pa.

No. MC 129301 (Sub-No. 47), filed January 15, 1971. Applicant: McKEE LINES, INC., 684 54th Avenue, Mattawa, MI 49071. Applicant's representative: Jack H. Blanshan, 29 South La Salle Street, Chicago, IL 60603. Authority sought to operate as a common carrier, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: Meat, meat products, meat byproducts and articles distributed by means referred to as described in appendix I to the report in Descriptions in Motor Carrier Certificates, 61 M.C.C. 209 and 766 and (2) Equipment, materials, and supplies used in the conduct of meat operations may be involved. Applicant states that the requested authority cannot be tacked with its existing authority. If a hearing is deemed necessary, applicant requests it be held at Chicago, Ill.

No. MC 129350 (Sub-No. 11), filed January 6, 1971. Applicant: CHARLES E. WOLFE, doing business as EVERGREEN EXPRESS, Post Office Box 212, Billings, MT 59103. Applicant's representative: J. F. Meglen, Post Office Box 1581, Billings, MT 59103. Authority sought to operate as a common carrier, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: Lumber and lumber products, from points in Rosebud County, Mont., to points in Indiana, Kansas, Michigan, Missouri, and Ohio. Note: Applicant holds contract carrier authority in Docket MC 119394, therefore dual operations may be involved. Applicant states that the requested authority cannot be tacked with its existing authority. If a hearing is deemed necessary, applicant requests it be held at Chicago, Ill.

No. MC 129635 (Sub-No. 2), filed January 4, 1971. Applicant: ROYAL'S MOTOR SERVICE, INC., Post Office Box 10332, Dallas, TX 75232. Applicant's representative: Earle V. White, 136 Wynnewood Professional Building, Dallas, TX 75234. Authority sought to operate as a common carrier, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: (1) Lumber and lumber products, (2) machinery and parts for the above when moving in mixed loads with the above, between points in Texas, on the one hand, and, on the other, points in Arkansas, Louisiana, New Mexico, Oklahoma, and Texas. Note: Applicant states that the requested authority cannot be tacked with its existing authority. If a hearing is deemed necessary, applicant requests it be held at Fort Worth or Dallas, Tex.

No. MC 129808 (Sub-No. 8), filed December 21, 1970. Applicant: GRAND ISLAND CONTRACT CARRIER, INC., 410 West Second Street, Grand Island, NE 68801. Applicant's representative: Charles J. Kimball, 300 NSEA Buildings, Post Office Box 80228, Lincoln, NE 68501. Authority sought to operate as a common carrier, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: Breading meal and batter mix, from the plantsite and storage facilities utilized by Modern Maid Food Products at or near Des Moines, Iowa, and south of Wisconsin Highway 70, on and south of U.S. Highway 50, and on and south of Wisconsin Highway 54, and (2) mineral aggregate, from points in Polk County, Wis., to points in Washington County, Minn., under contract with Tower Asphalt, Inc. Note: If a hearing is deemed necessary, applicant requests it be held at Omaha, Neb.

No. MC 132302 (Sub-No. 2), filed January 4, 1971. Applicant: BLUE TRANSIT, INC., 12501 Hudson Boulevard, Route 1, Lake Elmo, MN 55042. Applicant's representative: Val M. Higginbotham, 731 S. Washington Street, Minneapolis, MN 55402. Authority sought to operate as a contract carrier, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: (1) Asphalt concrete mix, sand and gravel, from points in Washington County, Minn., to points in Wisconsin on and south of Wisconsin Highway 70, on and south of U.S. Highway 53, and on and north of Wisconsin Highway 54, and (2) mineral aggregate, from points in Polk County, Wis., to points in Washington County, Minn., under contract with Tower Asphalt, Inc. Note: If a hearing is deemed necessary, applicant requests it be held at Minneapolis, Minn.

No. MC 133436 (Sub-No. 5), filed January 4, 1971. Applicant: DUDDEN ELECTRICAL SUPPLIES, INC., 121 East Second Street, Post Office Box 60, Ogallala, NE 69153. Applicant's representative: Charles A. Fairchild, Post Office Box 63, Ogallala, NE 69153. Authority sought to operate as a common carrier, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: Cotton goods and frozen meat products, and storage with such commodities as are used in the manufacture, transportation, and storage of the above.
NOTICES
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production, and distribution of canned goods and frozen food stuffs, from Delta, Colo., to points in the United States (except to points in Alaska) as described in Motor Carrier Certificate, No. MC 133496 (Sub-No. 3), filed Janu­ary 20, 1970, Applicant: ROBERT C. GANOLOFF & ROBERT DOWNHAM, doing business as GANOLOFF & DOWNHAM, Post Office Box 80806, Lincoln, NE 68501. Applicant’s representative: Irene Warr, 419 Judge Street, Suite 231, Omaha, NE 68127. Applicant requested it be held at Salt Lake City, Utah.

No. MC 133566 (Sub-No. 8), filed January 18, 1971. Applicant: ROBERT C. GANOLOFF & ROBERT DOWNHAM, doing business as GANOLOFF & DOWNHAM, Post Office Box 676, Logansport, IN 46947. Applicant’s representative: Jack H. Blanshan, 29 South La Salle Street, Chicago, IL 60603. Authority sought to operate as a common carrier, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: Meat, meat products, meat byproducts and articles distributed by meat packinghouses, as described in the report, Descriptions in Motor Carrier Certificates, 61 M.C.C. 209 and 766, and equipment, materials and supplies used in the conduct of meat packing businesses, between the plantsite and warehouse facilities of Illinois Beef Packers, Inc., at or near Joslin, Ill., on the one hand, and, on the other, Pennsylvania, Vermont, Virginia, West Virginia, and the District of Columbia. Note: If a hearing is deemed necessary, applicant requests it be held at Chicago, Ill.

No. MC 133506 (Sub-No. 9), filed January 20, 1971. Applicant: ROBERT C. GANOLOFF & ROBERT DOWNHAM, doing business as GANOLOFF & DOWNHAM, Post Office Box 80806, Lincoln, NE 68501. Authority sought to operate as a common carrier, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: Meat, meat products, meat byproducts and articles distributed by meat packinghouses (except hides and commodities in bulk), as described in sections 1A and C of appendix I to the report, Descriptions in Motor Carrier Certificates, 61 M.C.C. 209 and 766, from the plantsite and warehouse facilities utilized by Wilson Sinclair Co., at or near Monmouth, Ill., to points in Connecticut, Stockyards Station, Chicago, IL 60607, Massachusetts, New Hampshire, New Jersey, New York, Pennsylvania, Rhode Island, Vermont, Virginia, and the District of Columbia. Note: If a hearing is deemed necessary, applicant requests it be held at Lincoln, Nebr., or Denver, Colo.

No. MC 132700 (Sub-No. 5), filed January 14, 1971. Applicant: DUCKETT TRANSFER COMPANY, INC., 74 Meadow Road, Asheville, NC 28803. Applicant’s representative: Richard A. Wood, Jr., Post Office Box 748, Asheville, NC 28802. Authority sought to operate as a contract carrier, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: Orange juice, In bulk in tank vehicles; (2) Canned milk, In bulk in tank vehicles; and (3) Corrugated cartons, cellophane, polyethylene paper, dry macaroni products, when moving in mixed loads with frozen macaroni products, from Omaha, Nebr., to points in Alabama, Arizona, Arkansas, California, Colorado, Georgia, Illinois, Indiana, Iowa, Kansas, Kentucky, Louisiana, Michigan, Minnesota, Mississippi, Missouri, North Carolina, New Mexico, Ohio, Oklahoma, South Carolina, South Dakota, Tennessee, Texas, Virginia, Washington, West Virginia, Wisconsin, and Wyoming. Authority sought to operate as a contract carrier, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: (1) Frozen macaroni products, and (2) Frozen bakery goods and frozen prepared foods, when moving in mixed loads with frozen macaroni products, from the plantsite and warehouse facilities of Lackawanna of Omaha, Inc., at Omaha, Nebr., restricted in (1) and (2) above to transporting commodities to be conveyed under a continuing contract, or contracts, with Lackawanna of Omaha, Inc. Note: If a hearing is deemed necessary, applicant requests it be held at Chicago, Ill.

No. MC 132737 (Sub-No. 5), filed January 8, 1971. Applicant: ROBERT CRAWFORD & LOIS CRAWFORD, INC., 129 Lincoln Street, Suite 231, Omaha, NE 68127. Applicant’s representative: Donald L. Stern, 630 City National Bank Building, Omaha, NE 68102. Authority sought to operate as a contract carrier, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: (1) Frozen macaroni products, and (2) Frozen bakery goods and frozen prepared foods, when moving in mixed loads with frozen macaroni products, from the plantsite and warehouse facilities of Lackawanna of Omaha, Inc., at Omaha, Nebr., restricted in (1) and (2) above to transporting commodities to be conveyed under a continuing contract, or contracts, with Lackawanna of Omaha, Inc. Note: If a hearing is deemed necessary, applicant requests it be held at Chicago, Ill.

No. MC 133411 (Sub-No. 2), filed January 19, 1971. Applicant: HONEY TRANSPORT, INC., Post Office Box 661, Eustis, FL 32726. Applicant’s representative: David C. Venable, 701 Washington Building, 15th and New York Avenue NW, Washington, DC 20005. Authority sought to operate as a common carrier, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: Frozen bakery goods and frozen prepared foods, when moving in mixed loads with frozen macaroni products, from Brooksville, Fla., to Asheville, N.C., under contract with Gerber Products Co. Note: If a hearing is deemed necessary, applicant requests it be held at Chicago, Ill.

No. MC 133456 (Sub-No. 1), filed December 30, 1970. Applicant: RARITAN MOTOR EXPRESS, INC., 129 Lincoln Boulevard, Middlesex, NJ 08846. Applicant’s representative: Bert Collins, 140 Cedar Street, New York, NY 10008. Authority sought to operate as a common carrier, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: Such merchandise as is dealt in by wholesale, retail, and mail order houses, and the conduct of such business, between Linden, N.J., on the one hand, and, on the other, Philadelphia, Pa., New York, N.Y., and points in Nassau, Suffolk, Westchester, Rockland, Putnam, Orange, Dutchess, Sullivan, Ulster, Delaware, Greene, Columbia, Albany, Rensselaer, Schenectady, Montgomery, Fulton, Saratoga, Washington, Warren, Oneida, and Monroe Counties, N.Y., Hillsborough and Rockingham Counties, N.H., New Jersey, Connecticut, Massachusetts, and the District of Columbia. Note: Common control may be involved. If a hearing is deemed necessary, applicant requests it be held at Washington, D.C.

No. MC 134599 (Sub-No. 8), filed December 29, 1970. Applicant: INTERSTATE CONTRACT TRANSPORTATION CORPORATION, Post Office Box 16407, Stockyards Station, Denver, CO 80216. Applicant’s representative: Richard A. Peterson, 521 South 14th Street, Post Office Box 6006, Lincoln, NE 68501. Authority sought to operate as a contract carrier, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: Certain products, as described in sections 1A and C of appendix I, to the report, Descriptions in Motor Carrier Certificates, 61 M.C.C. 209 and 766, from the plantsite and warehouse facilities of Lackawanna of Omaha, Inc., at Omaha, Nebr., restricted in (1) and (2) above to transporting commodities to be conveyed under a continuing contract, or contracts, with Lackawanna of Omaha, Inc. Note: If a hearing is deemed necessary, applicant requests it be held at Omaha, Nebr.
carrier, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: **Games and toys, and advertising and promotional matter** when moving at the same time and in the same vehicle with games and toys, from City of Industry, Calif., and its commercial zone, to points in Alabama, Arkansas, Florida, Georgia, North Carolina, South Carolina, and Tennessee, under a continuing contract with Mattel, Inc. **Note:** If a hearing is deemed necessary, applicant requests it be held at Los Angeles, Calif.

No. MC 134776 (Sub-No. 4), filed December 31, 1970. Applicant: MILTON TRUCKING, INC., Post Office Box 208, Milton, PA 17847. Applicant's representative: George A. Olsen, 69 Tenmele Avenue, Jersey City, NJ 07306. Authority sought to operate as a contract carrier, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: (1) **Glass spheres (except in bulk, in tank vehicles),** from the designated territory above to Cleveland, Ohio, under contract with Potters Industries, Inc. **Note:** Common control may be involved. If a hearing is deemed necessary, applicant requests it be held at Harrisburg, Pa., New York, N.Y., or Washington, D.C.

No. MC 134776 (Sub-No. 5), filed December 31, 1970. Applicant: MILTON TRUCKING, INC., Post Office Box 209, Milton, PA 17847. Applicant's representative: George A. Olsen, 89 Tenmele Avenue, Jersey City, NJ 07306. Authority sought to operate as a contract carrier, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: (1) **Glass spheres (except in bulk, in tank vehicles),** from the designated territory above to Cleveland, Ohio, under contract with Potters Industries, Inc. **Note:** Common control may be involved. If a hearing is deemed necessary, applicant requests it be held at Harrisburg, Pa., New York, N.Y., or Washington, D.C.

No. MC 134817 (Sub-No. 1), filed January 19, 1971. Applicant: OWENTON EXPRESS, INC., Route No. 2, Owenton, KY 40359. Applicant's representative: Carl U. Hurlin, Post Office Box E, Bowling Green, KY 42101. Authority sought to operate as a common carrier, by motor vehicle, over regular routes, transporting: **General commodities** (except those of a valuable character) and **merchandises, household goods as defined by the Commission, commodities in bulk, and those requiring special equipment), (1) between Swallowfield and Peaks Mill, Ky., from Swallowfield over U.S. Highway 127 to Kentucky Highway 1262, thence over Kentucky Highway 1362 to Peaks Mill, and return over the same route, serving all intermediate points and off-route points within 3 miles of the described route; (2) between Gratz and Louisville, Ky., over Kentucky Highway 22, serving all intermediate points and off-route points within 3 miles of the described route; (3) between Williamstown and Campbellsburg, Ky., from Williamstown over Kentucky Highway 36 to junction U.S. Highway 421 to Campbellsburg, and return over the same route, serving all intermediate points and off-route points within 3 miles of the described route. **Note:** If a hearing is deemed necessary, applicant requests it be held at Louisville, Ky.

No. MC 134915 (Sub-No. 2), filed January 8, 1971. Applicant: SOUTHWEST REFRIGERATED DISTRIBUTING, INC., doing business as REFRIGERATED DISTRIBUTING, INC., doing business as REFRIGERATED DISTRIBUTING, 6500 Prescott Street, St. Louis, MO 63147. Applicant's representative: Gene Ferguson (same address as applicant). Authority sought to operate as a common carrier, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: **Meats, packaginghouse products and commodities used by packinghouses,** as set forth in appendix I to Descriptions in Motor Carrier Certificates, 61 M.C.C. 209 and 706 (except abrasives, detergents, soap, soap stock, soap products) and **frozen foods, between St. Louis, Mo., on the one hand, and, on the other, points in Missouri and Illinois within the following described area including all points on the boundaries thereof: From the Missouri-Illinois line beginning at the Mississippi River over U.S. Highway 24 to its junction with U.S. Highway 36, thence along U.S. Highway 36 to its junction with Missouri Highway 151 to its junction with Missouri Highway 22, thence along Missouri Highway 22 to its junction with U.S. Highway 63, thence along U.S. Highway 63 to its junction with Missouri Highway 72, thence along Missouri Highway 72 to its junction with Interstate 55, thence along Interstate 55 to its junction with U.S. Highway 61 at Scott City, Mo., thence along U.S. Highway 61 across the Mississippi River to the point of beginning. **Note:** If a hearing is deemed necessary, applicant requests it be held at St. Louis, Mo.

No. MC 134982 (Sub-No. 2), filed January 19, 1971. Applicant: ROGER VELLE, doing business as RSS ENTERPRISES MFG’G, Rural Route No. 4, Trout River, PQ, Canada. Authority sought to operate as a contract carrier, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: **Popsicle, coffee, and ice cream** and **human or imitation human hair,** from points and off-route points within 3 miles of the described routes, to points in the United States except Alaska and Hawaii.

No. MC 135123 (Sub-No. 1), filed January 4, 1971. Applicant: MARVIN E. VATES, doing business as MARVIN VATES TRUCKING, Route 1, Box 313B, Klamath Falls, OR 97601. Applicant's representative: Arthur A. Baskin, 286 Main Street, Klamath Falls, OR 97601. Authority sought to operate as a contract carrier, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: **Lumber, (1) from Lakeview, Bly, and Klamath Falls, Ore., to Portland, Ore., and, on the other hand, from Seattle, Wash., to Portland, Ore., (2) from Carson, Calif., and Weed, Calif., to White City, Ore., under contract with Mountain Valley Mouldings Co., Dorris Lumber Co., and Oregon Cutstock and Moulding. **Note:** If a hearing is deemed necessary, applicant requests it be held at Klamath Falls, Ore., or Medford, Ore.

No. MC 135146 (Sub-No. 2), filed December 24, 1970. Applicant: TIMMER TRANSFER, INC., Rural Route 1, Box 129, Beecher, IL 60401. Applicant's representative: Donald S. Mullins, 4704 Irving Ave., Chicago, IL 60641. Authority sought to operate as a contract carrier, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: **Hair goods, human or imitation human hair,** from points and off-route points within 3 miles of the described area including all points on the boundaries thereof: From the Missouri-Illinois line beginning at the Mississippi River to the point of beginning. **Note:** Applicant states that the requested authority cannot be tacked with its existing authority. If a hearing is deemed necessary, applicant requests it be held at Chicago, Ill.

No. MC 135153 (Sub-No. 2), filed January 11, 1971. Applicant: GREAT OVERLAND, INC., Post Office Box 4740, Dodge City, KS 67801. Applicant's representative: Harley E. Laughlin, Post Office Box 1417, Dodge City, KS 67801. Authority sought to operate as a common carrier, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: **Commodities in bulk, and commodities used by packinghouses,** as set forth in appendix I to Descriptions in Motor Carrier Certificates, 61 M.C.C. 209 and 706 (except abrasives, detergents, soap, soap stock, soap products) and **frozen foods, between St. Louis, Mo., on the one hand, and, on the other, points in Missouri and Illinois within the following described area including all points on the boundaries thereof: From the Missouri-Illinois line beginning at the Mississippi River to the point of beginning. **Note:** If a hearing is deemed necessary, applicant requests it be held at Klamath Falls, Ore., or Medford, Ore.
routes, transporting: meats, meat products, meat byproducts, and articles distributed by meat packinghouses as defined by the Commission, between Des Moines, Iowa, and the one hand, and on the other, points in New York. Note: Applicant states that the requested authority cannot be held with its existing authority. If a hearing is deemed necessary, applicant requests it be held at Denver, Colo.

No. MC 135163 (Sub-No. 2), filed January 11, 1971. Applicant: BROWARD AIR FREIGHT TERMINAL, INC., 3333 Southwest Second Avenue, Fort Lauderdale, FL 33315. Applicant’s representative: C. William Laystrom, 25 East Las Olas Boulevard, Suite 412, Blount Building, Fort Lauderdale, FL 33301. Applicant’s authority sought to operate as a common carrier, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: general commodities (except those of unusual value, classes A and B explosives, household goods as defined by the Commission, commodities requiring special equipment, in containers), between points in that part of the New York, N.Y., commercial zone, as defined by the Commission, by which the local operations may be conducted under the exemption provided by section 203 (b) (8) of the Act (the “exempt zone”), restricted to the transportation of traffic having an immediate prior or subsequent out of State movement by water.

No. MC 135232, filed December 31, 1970. Applicant: CROWN METAL & SALVAGE CO., a corporation, Old Route 82, Brookfield, OH 44409. Applicant’s representative: A. Charles Tell, 100 East Broad Street, Columbus, OH 43215. Authority sought to operate as a common carrier, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: scrap metals, between points in Ohio, on the one hand, and, on the other, points in Illinois, Indiana, Michigan, New Jersey, New York, Pennsylvania, Rhode Island, and Vermont. Note: If a hearing is deemed necessary, applicant requests it be held at New York, N.Y.

No. MC 135233, filed January 7, 1971. Applicant: PUBLIC SERVICE CO., 4074 Drennan Street, Fort Worth, Tex. Applicant’s representative: Richard Fryling (same address as applicant). Authority sought to operate as a common carrier, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: Passengers and their baggage, in the same vehicle with passengers, in special operations, in round-trip sightseeing trips, and pleasure tours, in interstate commerce, between Parker, Ariz., and Needles, Calif.; from Parker, Ariz., and Needles, Calif., and extending to points in Connecticut, Delaware, Maine, Maryland, Massachusetts, New Hampshire, New Jersey, New York, North Carolina, Pennsylvania, Rhode Island, Tennessee, Vermont, Virginia, and the District of Columbia; (2) beginning and ending at points in Baltimore, Maryland, and extending to points in the District of Columbia, by motor vehicle, over regular routes; (3) beginning and ending at points in New Mexico, Colorado, and Utah, or any intermediate point or points in those states.

No. MC 135236, filed January 6, 1971. Applicant: LOGAN TRUCKING, INC., 801 Erie Avenue, Logansport, IN 46947. Applicant’s representative: Donald W. Smith, 500 Circle Tower, Indianapolis, IN 46204. Authority sought to operate as a common carrier, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: malt beverages, Page 18235. Applicant’s representatives: A. Charles Tell, 100 East Broad Street, Columbus, OH 43215. Authority sought to operate as a common carrier, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: scrap metals, between points in Ohio, on the one hand, and, on the other, points in Illinois, Indiana, Michigan, New Jersey, New York, Pennsylvania, and Vermont. Note: If a hearing is deemed necessary, applicant requests it be held at Indianapolis, Ind., or Washington, D.C.

No. MC 135237, filed January 11, 1971. Applicant: EAST PENN TRUCKING COMPANY, a corporation, Rural Delivery No. 1, Lehighton (Carbon County), PA 18235. Applicant’s representatives: Robert H. Griswold, Post Office Box 1166, Harrisburg, PA 17106, and George T. McKinley, 57 Broadway, Jim Thorpe, PA 18229. Authority sought to operate as a common carrier, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: (1) animal and poultry foods, mineral mixtures for animal and poultry feed, fertilizer material or soil compound and iron oxide, from points in Carbon County, Pa., to points in California, Indiana, Kentucky, Louisiana, Maryland, Massachusetts, Michigan, Mississippi, Missouri, New Jersey, New York, North Carolina, Ohio, Pennsylvania, Rhode Island, South Carolina, Tennessee, Texas, Virginia, West Virginia, and the District of Columbia; and (2) raw materials used in the manufacture of the commodities in (1) above, from points in the above-described destination territory to points in Carbon County, Pa., the township of Chestnut Hill, Eldred, Elk, and Ross, Monroe County, Pa., and the township of Foster, Luzerne County, Pa. Note: Applicant states that the requested authority cannot be held with its existing authority. If a hearing is deemed necessary, applicant requests it be held at Harrisburg, Pa., or Washington, D.C.
**NOTICES**

**Passengers and their baggage, in charter operations, beginning and ending at points in (1) above, and extending to point s in (2) above, and serving no intermediate points; (3) from Anniston, Ala., over Interstate Highway 20 west to junction U.S. Highway 78 just east of Lees, Ala., and return over the same route,** serving all intermediate points; (2) from the junction of Interstate Highway 78 just west of Pell City, Ala., over Interstate Highway 20 to Atlanta, and return over the same route, serving all intermediate points; (3) from Atlanta, Ga., over Interstate Highway 20 to the junction of Interstate Highway 78 just west of Pell City, Ala., over Interstate Highway 20 to the junction of Interstate Highway 78 just west of Pell City, Ala., over Interstate Highway 20 to Atlanta, and return over the same route, serving all intermediate points; (4) from Atlanta, Ga., over Interstate Highway 20 to the junction of Interstate Highway 78 just west of Pell City, Ala., over Interstate Highway 20 to Pigeon Forge, Tenn., and return over the same route, serving all intermediate points; (5) from Pigeon Forge, Tenn., over Interstate Highway 20 to Atlanta, and return over the same route, serving all intermediate points. **Note:** Commercial control and dual operations may be involved. Applicant states that the requested authority cannot be issued with its existing authority. If a hearing is deemed necessary, applicant requests it be held at St. Louis, Mo., or New York, N.Y. Authority sought to operate as a common carrier, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: **Passengers and their baggage,** in the same vehicle with passengers, between junction Currie Avenue and Hylan Boulevard and junction Point Avenue and Hylan Boulevard, State Highway 20, and return over the same route, serving all intermediate points. The purpose of this instant application is to extend applicant's existing route on Hylan Boulevard south about 8 miles to the area of Tottenville. If a hearing is deemed necessary, applicant requests it be held at Staten Island or New York, N.Y.

**APPLICATION OF WATERCARRIER**


**APPLICATION FOR BROKER LICENSE**

No. MC 130136, filed January 6, 1971. Applicant: FRANK S. BOYCE, doing business as BOYCE TRAVEL AGENCY, 9020 Southwest 269th Street, Burien, WA. Applicant's representative: S. Harrison Kahn, Suite 733, Investment Building, Washington, DC. For a license (BMC 5) to engage in operations as a broker at Seattle, WA, for the transportation by motor vehicle, in interstate or foreign commerce, of passengers and their baggage, in special and charter operations, between points in the United States, including Alaska and Hawaii.

**APPLICATIONS IN WHICH HANDLING WITHOUT ORAL HEARING HAS BEEN REQUESTED**

No. MC 22229 (Sub-No. 66), filed January 13, 1971. Applicant: TERMINAL TRANSPORT COMPANY, INC., 200 14th Street NW., Suite 830, Washington, DC 20006. Applicant's representatives: Ralph B. Matthews, Post Office Box 1918, Atlanta, GA 30301, and T. R. Buck, 3800 Frederick Street, Owensburg, KY 42362. Authority sought to conduct operations as a common carrier, by motor vehicle, over regular routes, transporting: General commodities (except those of unusual value, classes A and B explosives, livestock, household goods as defined by the Commission, commodities in bulk and those requiring special equipment), between Cincinnati, Ohio, and Indianapolis, Ind., over Interstate Highway 74 and such access highways as may be necessary, serving no intermediate points, as an alternate route for operating convenience only, restricted against the transportation of shipments originating at Cincinnati, Ohio, and destined to Indianapolis, Ind., further restricted against the transportation of shipments originating at Indianapolis, Ind., and destined to Cincinnati, Ohio. Authority sought to operate as a common carrier, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: General commodities, except those of unusual value, classes A and B explosives, livestock, household goods as defined by the Commission, commodities, in bulk, commodities requiring special equipment, and those injurious or corrosive, restricted against the transportation of shipments originating at Cincinnati, Ohio, and destined to Indianapolis, Ind., and destined to Cincinnati, Ohio.

No. MC 116506 (Sub-No. 4), filed December 28, 1970. Applicant: JOHN TOWN-PITTSBURGH EXPRESS, INC., Progress and Chesbro Streets, Pittsburgh, PA 15212. Applicant's representative: Arthur K. Diekin, 306 Prick Building, Pittsburgh, PA 15219. Authority sought to operate as a common carrier, by motor vehicle, over regular routes, transporting: General commodities, except those of unusual value, classes A and B explosives, livestock, household goods as defined by the Commission, commodities, in bulk, commodities requiring special equipment, and those injurious or corrosive, restricted against the transportation of shipments originating at Cincinnati, Ohio, and destined to Pennsylvania, and油漆 products, and grain products, from Lincoln, Nebr., to points in Wisconsin. **Note:** Applicant states that authority requested herein may be tacked with authority held in MC 124211 Sub Nos. 14, 16, 18, 26, 38, 62, 89, 97, 105, 109, 113, 118, 119, 121, 124, 127, 131, 132, 133, however, not all tacking possibilities mentioned are feasible and applicant has no present intention to tack. Persons interested in the tacking possibilities are cautioned that failure to oppose the application may result in an unrestricted grant of authority. Common control may be involved.

No. MC 136500 (Sub-No. 1), filed January 18, 1971. Applicant: JOSEPH R. ZANNI, doing business as ZANNI TRANSPORT COMPANY, 820 Soltman Avenue, Port Pierce, FL 33450. Applicant's representative: Joseph R. Zanni, Route 1, Box 909, Port Pierce, FL 33450. Authority sought to operate as a common carrier, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: General commodities, except those of unusual value, classes A and B explosives, livestock, household goods as defined by the Commission, commodities, in bulk, commodities requiring special equipment, and those injurious or corrosive, restricted against the transportation of shipments originating at Martin, Indian River, Okiehockee, and Saint Lucie Counties, FL., and return to Port Pierce in the County of St. Lucie, FL., restricted to shipments having a prior or subsequent movement in Interstate Commerce, under contract with Western Electric Company.

**MOTOR CARRIER OF PASSENGERS**

No. MC 1519 (Sub-No. 164), filed January 11, 1971. Applicant: GREYHOUND LINES, INC., 1400 West Third Street, Cleveland, Ohio 44113. Applicant's representative: Barrett Elkins (same address as applicant). Authority sought to operate as a common carrier, by motor vehicle, over regular routes, transporting: General commodities, except those of unusual value, classes A and B explosives, livestock, household goods as defined by the Commission, commodities, in bulk, commodities requiring special equipment, and those injurious or corrosive, restricted against the transportation of shipments originating at Cincinnati, Ohio, and destined to Pittsburgh, PA, and return over the same route, serving all intermediate points. Authority also sought to operate as a common carrier, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: General commodities, except those of unusual value, classes A and B explosives, livestock, household goods as defined by the Commission, commodities, in bulk, commodities requiring special equipment, and those injurious or corrosive, restricted against the transportation of shipments originating at Cincinnati, Ohio, and destined to Pittsburgh, PA, and return over the same route, serving all intermediate points.

**FEDERAL REGISTER, VOL. 36, NO. 24—THURSDAY, FEBRUARY 4, 1971**
NOTICES

Notice 239

MOTOR CARRIER TEMPORARY AUTHORITY APPLICATIONS


The following are notices of filing of applications for authority under section 210(a) of the Interstate Commerce Act provided for under the new rules of Ex Parte No. MC-67 (49 CFR Part 1151) published in the Federal Register, issue of April 27, 1965, effective July 1, 1965. These rules provide that protests to the granting of an application must be filed with the field official named in the Federal Register publication, within 15 calendar days after the date of notice of the filing of the application is published in the Federal Register. One copy of such protests must be served on the applicant, or its authorized representative, if any, and the protests must certify that such service has been made. The protests must be specific as to the service which such protests object to, and must consist of a signed original and six copies.

A copy of the application is on file, and can be examined at the Office of the Secretary, Interstate Commerce Commission, Washington, D.C., and in field office to which protests are to be transmitted.

Motor Carriers of Property

No. MC 106400 (Sub-No. 79 TA), filed January 26, 1971. Applicant: KAW TRANSPORT COMPANY, Post Office Box 8225, Highway 10, Pleasant Valley, MO, Sugar Creek, MO 64054. Applicant's representative: Harold Holwick (same address as above). Authority sought to operate as a "common carrier," by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: Gasoline, from St. Louis, Mo., to Kansas City, Kan., for 61 days. Supporting shippers: Gulf Oil Co.—U.S. Transportation Department, Post Office Box 2100, Houston, TX 77001. Send protests to: Vernon V. Coble, District Supervisor, Interstate Commerce Commission, Bureau of Operations, 1201 Federal Office Building, Kansas City, MO 64106.


No. MC 119619 (Sub-No. 41 TA), filed January 26, 1971. Applicant: DISTRIBUTORS SERVICE CO., 2000 West 43d Street, Chicago, IL 60609. Applicant's representative: Arthur J. Piken, 160-16 Jamaica Avenue, Jamaica, NY 11432. Authority sought to operate as a "common carrier," by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: Meat, meat products, dairy products, and articles distributed by meat packhouses, as described in sections A and C of appendix 1 to the report in Description in Motor Carrier Certificate (56 M.C.C. 1964), except hides and skins, and except commodities in bulk in tank vehicles, from the plant site and storage facilities of Bird Provision Co. at Peoria, Ill., cold storage and warehouse facilities at Peoria, Ill., to points in Minnesota and Wisconsin, for 180 days. Supporting shippers: Bird Provision Co., 420 Washington Street, Peoria, IL 61605. Send protests to: Robert G. Anderson, District Supervisor, Interstate Commerce Commission, Bureau of Operations, Everett McKinley Dirksen Building, 219 South Dearborn Street, Room 1086, Chicago, IL 60604.


No. MC 128383 (Sub-No. 7 TA), filed January 26, 1971. Applicant: PINTO TRUCKING SERVICE, INC., 1219 Morgan St., Pekin, IL 61554. Applicant's representative: James W. Wohlstetter, 1 Farragut Square South, Washington, D.C. 20001. Authority sought to operate as a "common carrier," by motor vehicle over irregular routes, transporting: General commodities, except commodities in bulk; (1) between points in Anne Arundel, Prince Georges, Montgomery, Frederick, Carroll, and Prince Georges Counties, Md.; Alexandria, Arlington, and Fairfax Counties, Va.; and the District of Columbia, and, on the other, Dulles International Airport, Redskins Points, Loudoun Counties, Va.; Washington National Airport, Gravelly Point, Va.; Friendship International Airport, Annapolis, Rockville, Montgomery County, Md.; Baltimore, Hartford, and Cecil Counties, Md.; Alexandria, Arlington, and Fairfax Counties, Va.; and the District of Columbia; (2) between the one hand, and, on the other, Dulles International Airport, Redskins Points, Loudoun Counties, Va.; Washington National Airport, Gravelly Point, Va.; Friendship International Airport, Annapolis, Rockville, Montgomery County, Md.; Baltimore, Hartford, and Cecil Counties, Md.; Alexandria, Arlington, and Fairfax Counties, Va.; and the District of Columbia; (3) between the airports named in (2) above on the one hand, and, on the other, Dulles International Airport, Fairfax and Loudoun Counties, Va.; Washington National Airport, Gravelly Point, Va.; Friendship International Airport, Annapolis, Rockville, Montgomery County, Md.; Baltimore, Hartford, and Cecil Counties, Md.; Alexandria, Arlington, and Fairfax Counties, Va.; and the District of Columbia; (4) from Douglasville, Ga., over Georgia Highway 5, thence over Georgia Highway 22, and thence Interstate Highway 75, and return over the same route, serving all intermediate points; (5) from Douglasville, Ga., over Georgia Highway 22, thence over Interstate Highway 75, and return over the same route, serving all intermediate points. Note: Common control may be involved. Applicant states if the authority sought herein is granted, it will request that MC 1515 Deviation No. 542, published in the Federal Register issue of December 31, 1969, be cancelled.

By the Commission.

[ Seal] Robert L. Oswald, Secretary.

[FED REG 71-1479 Filed 2-3-71; 8:48 am]
Naval Air Station, Patuxent River, MD 20670; International Export Packers, Inc., 5360 Wheeler Avenue, Alexandria, VA 22304. Send protests to: District Supervisor, Interstate Commerce Commission, Bureau of Operations, 12th and Constitution Avenue NW, Washington, DC 20423.

By the Commission.

[SEAL] ROBERT L. OSWALD, Secretary.

[FR Doc.71-1551 Filed 2-3-71:8:50 am]

NOTICES

MOTOR CARRIER TEMPORARY AUTHORITY APPLICATIONS

FEBRUARY 1, 1971.

The following are notices of filing of applications for temporary authority under section 210a(a) of the Interstate Commerce Act provided for under the new rules of Ex Parte No. MC-67 (49 CFR Part 1131) published in the Federal Register of April 27, 1965, effective July 1, 1965. These rules provide that protests to the granting of an application must be filed with the field official on the applicant, or its authorized representative, if any, and the protests must certify that such service has been made. The protests must be specific as to the service which such protestant can and will offer, and must consist of a signed original and six copies.

A copy of the application is on file, and can be examined at the Office of the Secretary, Interstate Commerce Commission, Washington, D.C., and also in field office to which protests are to be transmitted.

MOTOR CARRIERS OF PROPERTY

No. MC 739 (Sub-No. 324 TA), filed January 26, 1971. Applicant: PACIFIC INTERMOUNTAIN EXPRESS CO., Post Office Box 959, 94004, 1417 Clay Street, Oakland, CA 94612. Applicant's representative: R. N. Coolidge (same address as above). Authority sought to operate as a common carrier, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: Lubricating oil with additives, in bulk, in tank vehicles, from San Diego, Calif., to Stoughton, Wis., for 150 days. Supporting shippers: Murphy, Interstate Commerce Commission, Bureau of Operations, 12th and Constitution Avenue NW, Washington, DC 20423.

No. MC 21945 (Sub-No. 13 TA), filed January 27, 1971. Applicant: BRONWOOD, Inc., 1350 West Fillmore Street, San Diego, CA 92110. Applicant's representative: Joe G. Fender, 802 Houston First Avenue, Tulsa, OK 74101. Applicant's representative: John M. Delany (same address as above). Authority sought to operate as a common carrier, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: General commodities, with the usual exceptions, serving Calvert City, Grand Rivers, Aurora, Fulton, Hazel, and Mayfield, Ky., and points within 5 miles of each as off-route and intermediate points in connection with carrier's presently authorized routes between St. Louis, Mo., and Murray, Ky., and between Memphis, Tenn., and Murray, Ky., for 180 days. Supporting shippers: There are approximately 10 statements of support attached to the application. Applicant: Floyd A. Russell, District Supervisor, Bureau of Operations, Interstate Commerce Commission, Bureau of Operations, 424 Federal Building, Concord, NH 03301.

No. MC 51298 (Sub-No. 1 TA), filed January 27, 1971. Applicant: BERNARD K. COX, doing business as COX GRAIN & FEED CO., Tennant, Iowa 51574. Authority sought to operate as a common carrier, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: Potash, from Carlsbad, N. Mex., to points in Shelby and Portovattamie Counties, Iowa; for 180 days. Supporting shippers: Cox Fertilizers, Inc., Tennant, Iowa; Schirm Produce, Walnut, Iowa. Send protests to: Carroll Bissell (same address as above) . Applicant: District Supervisor Ross J. Seymour, Interstate Commerce Commission, Bureau of Operations, 705 Federal Building, Omaha, NE 68102.

No. MC 87456 (Sub-No. 32 TA), filed January 26, 1971. Applicant: WEST NEVEREST, Inc., 705 Federal Office Building, Room 240, Post Office Box 350, 709 Mill Drive, Scottsbluff, NE 69361. Authority sought to operate as a common carrier, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: Meats, meat products, and by-products (except hides and commodities in bulk) as set forth in sections A and C, Description in Motor Carrier Certificates, 61 M.C.C. 209 and 166, from Scottsbluff, Neb., to Kansas, Arizona, Arkansas, Florida, Georgia, Kentucky, Louisiana, Mississippi, North Carolina, South Carolina, Tennessee, Virginia, and West Virginia, for 180 days. Supporting shippers: Geo. A. Hormel & Co., Post Office Box 800, Austin, Minn. 55912. Send protests to: District Supervisor Johnston, Bureau of Operations, Interstate Commerce Commission, Room 100, Post Office Building, Lincoln, NE 68508.

No. MC 193066 (Sub-No. 28 TA), filed January 27, 1971. Applicant: STONE TRUCKING COMPANY, a corporation, 4927 South Tacoma, Post Office Box 2014, Tulsa, OK 74101. Applicant's representative: Joe G. Fender, 802 Houston First Savings Building, Fannin at Capitol, Houston, TX 77002. Authority sought to operate as a common carrier, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: Antipollution systems and anti-pollution system parts, and materials, equipment, and supplies used in the manufacture, processing, and installation of antipollution systems and anti-pollution system parts, between points in Creek, Mayos, Osage, Tulsa, Muskogee, and Rogers Counties, Okla., on the one hand, and, points in the United States except Hawaii, on the other, for 180 days. Supporting shippers: Abbott Heat Exchanger Corp., Post Office Box 2619, Tulsa OK 74101, Kentube Co., 4150 South Elwood, Tulsa, OK 74107, Econotherm Corp., 4502 South Galveston, Tulsa, OK 74105. Send protests to: C. L. Phillips, Interstate Commerce Commission, Bureau of Operations, Room 240 Old Post Office Building, 215 Northwest Third, Oklahoma City, OK 73102.

No. MC 111485 (Sub-No. 13 TA), filed January 27, 1971. Applicant: HILL TRUCK LINES INC., R.F.D. 4, Murray, KY 42071. Applicant's representative: Leonard A. Jakiewicz, Suite 501, 1730 M Street NW, Washington, DC 20036. Authority sought to operate as a common carrier, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: General commodities, with the usual exceptions, serving Calvert City, Grand Rivers, Aurora, Fulton, Hazel, and Mayfield, Ky., and points within 5 miles of each as off-route and intermediate points in connection with carrier's presently authorized routes between St. Louis, Mo., and Murray, Ky., and between Memphis, Tenn., and Murray, Ky., for 180 days. Supporting shippers: There are approximately 10 statements of support attached to the application. Applicant: Floyd A. Russell, District Supervisor, Bureau of Operations, Interstate Commerce Commission, Room 390 Federal Building, 167 North Main Street, Memphis, TN 38103.

No. MC 111729 (Sub-No. 30 TA), filed January 27, 1971. Applicant: AMERICAN TRAVEL INN, Post Office Box 174, Nevada Drive, Lake Success, NY 11040. Applicant's representative: John M. Delany (same address as above). Authority sought to operate as a common carrier, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: (1) Business papers and records, audit and accounting media: (a) between Berkeley Heights and Newark, N.J., on the one hand, and, on the other, Lexington, Ky., and Portsmouth, Ohio; (2) surgical arterial grafts: between Berkeley Heights, N.J., on the one hand, and, on the other, points in Connecticut, Delaware, District of Columbia, Maine, Maryland, Massachusetts, New Hampshire, New York, New Jersey, North Carolina, South Dakota, Rhode Island, Vermont, and Virginia; (b) between points in Alabama, Arkansas, California, Connecticut, Delaware, District of Columbia, Florida, Georgia, Illinois, Indiana, Iowa, Kansas, Kentucky, Louisiana, Maine, Maryland, Massachusetts, Michigan, Minnesota, Mississippi, Missouri, Nebraska, New Hampshire, New York, North Carolina, Rhode Island, South Dakota, Tennessee, Texas, Vermont, Virginia, West Virginia, and Wisconsin, restricted to traffic having a prior or subsequent movement by air; (3) radiotherapeutics, radioactive drugs and medical isotopes, between points in Kansas and Missouri, on traffic having an immediately prior or subsequent movement by air; (4) cut flowers and decorative greens, between Minneapolis, Minn., on the one hand, and, on the other, points in North Dakota and Wisconsin;
(5) Computer parts, business machine parts, assemblies and supplies pertaining thereto, restricted against the transportation of packages or articles weighing in the aggregate more than 100 pounds from one consignor to one consignee on any one day, from Cincinnati, Ohio, to Bluffton, Huntington, Romney, W. Va., and Anderson, Bloomington, Columbus, Kokomo, Lafayette, and Muncie, Ind.; (6) exposed and processed films, incidental replacement film, incidental dealer handling supplies, and advertising literature moving therewith (excluding motion picture film used primarily for commercial theater and television exhibition purposes); between Chamblee, Ga., and Bristol, Va., for 180 days. Supporting shippers: Engelhard Industries, 113 Aster Street, Newark, NJ 07114; Hospital Computer Center, 1500 Genevee Towers, Flint, MI 48302; Johnson & Johnson Domestic Operating Co., New Brunswick, NJ; Abbott Laboratories, Abbott Park, North Chicago, IL 60064; American Ensign Van Service, Inc., 1211 Washington Avenue South, Minneapolis, MN 55415; International Business Machines, 801 West Eighth Street, Cincinnati, OH 45203; Eastern Transit Co., general Department, Distribution Center, Rochester, NY 14650. Send protests to: Anthony Chiussao, District Supervisor, Interstate Commerce Commission, Bureau of Operations, 426 Federal Plaza, New York, NY 10007.


No. MC 112627 (Sub-No. 256 TA), filed January 27, 1971. Applicant: CENTRAL & SOUTHERN TRUCK LINES, INC., 312 West Morris Street, Casevville, IL 62232. Applicant’s representative: Lawrence A. Fischer (same address as above). Authority sought to operate as a common carrier, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: Such commodities as are manufactured, sold, or distributed by persons engaged in the sales, manufact­ure, processing, and milling of grain products for feed, and, on the other points in Illinois, Indiana, Michigan, Minnesota, and Wisconsin; (2) materials, equipment, and supplies (except in bulk), transportation: Grains in bags and drums, to points in Illinois, Indiana, Michigan, Minnesota, and Wisconsin; (3) feed ingredients, for 180 days. Supporting shipper: Whippany Paper Board Co., Inc., 10 North Jefferson Road, Whippany, NJ. Send protests to: Marvin Kampel, District Supervisor, Interstate Commerce Commission, Bureau of Operations, 26 Federal Plaza, New York, NY 10007.

No. MC 125294 (Sub-No. 4 TA), filed January 27, 1971. Applicant: HILLDRUP TRANSPORT & STORAGE CO., INC. Post Office Box 745, 510 Essex Street, Frederickburg, VA 22401. Applicant’s representative: Alan F. Wohlstetter, 1
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WI 54130. Send protests to: Barney L. Hardin, District Supervisor, Interstate Commerce Commission, Bureau of Operations, 139 West Wilson Street, Room 206, Madison, WI 53703.

No. MC 135251 (Sub-No. 1 TA), filed January 27, 1971. Applicant: WHITE CLOVER DAIRY CO., INC., Route No. 3, Kaukauna, WI 54130. Applicant’s representative: John L. Bruemmer, 121 West Doty Street, Madison, WI 53703. Authority sought to operate as a contract carrier, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: Such merchandise as is dealt in by wholesale and retail food businesses, between the plants and warehouses of Kaukauna Dairy Co., Inc., located at Kaukauna, Wis., and in the town of Vinland, Winnebago County, Wis., and of White Clover Dairy Co., Inc., located in the village of Hilbert, Calumet County, Wis., and in the town of Holland, Brown County, Wis., all on the one hand, and on the other, points in Connecticut, Delaware, Illinois, Indiana, Maryland, Massachusetts, Michigan, New Jersey, New York, Ohio, Pennsylvania, Rhode Island, Virginia, West Virginia, and District of Columbia, under continuing contract or contracts with Kaukauna Dairy Co., Inc., for 150 days. Supporting shipper: Kaukauna Dairy Co., Kaukauna, Wis. 54130 (Earl A. Gilling, President). Send protests to: District Supervisor Lyle D. Helfer, Interstate Commerce Commission, Bureau of Operations, 135 West Wells Street, Room 607, Milwaukee, WI 53203.

No. MC 135257 TA, filed January 27, 1971. Applicant: THE BIG E CORP., 505 North Myrtle Avenue, Jacksonville, FL 32204. Applicant’s representative: Martin Sak, Jr., 1754 Gulf Life Tower, Jacksonville, FL 32207. Authority sought to operate as a contract carrier, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: Meats, meat products, meat by-products and articles distributed by meat packinghouses, between the plantsites and warehouses of Jones-Chambliss Co. and Henry’s Hickory House at Jackson­ville, Fla., on the one hand, and points in the United States (except Alaska and Hawaii), on the other hand, under continuing contract or contracts with Jones-Chambliss Co. and Henry’s Hickory House, Jacksonville, Fla., for 180 days. Supporting shippers: Jones-Chambliss Co., 2135 Forest Street, Jacksonville, FL. Henry’s Hickory House, 249 Copeland Street, Jacksonville, FL. Send protests to: District Supervisor G. H. Fauss, Jr., Bureau of Operations, Interstate Commerce Commission, Box 35008, 400 West Bay Street, Jacksonville, FL 32202.

By the Commission.

[SEAL]  ROBERT L. OSWALD, Secretary.
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RULES AND REGULATIONS

Title 29—LABOR

Subtitle A—Office of the Secretary of Labor

PART 60—IMMIGRATION; IMMIGRANT LABOR CERTIFICATIONS

A notice of proposed revision of 29 CFR Part 60 was published in the Federal Register on May 28, 1970 (35 F.R. 8369), May 27, 1970 (35 F.R. 8291), and on June 5, 1969 (34 F.R. 8972). After consideration of all matters presented by interested persons concerning these proposals, I have decided to and do hereby revise the regulations set forth in Part 60 as set forth below, to be effective upon publication in the Federal Register (2-4-71). The changes are not applicable to regulations received prior to the effective date.

The amendments contained herein relate to matters within the Secretary's discretion and in large part constitute a clarification and restatement of existing procedures and policies. In addition, the amendments which change findings regarding certain occupations and the procedural treatment of these occupations reflect changes in the availability of U.S. workers and any additional advance notice of these regulatory changes would be contrary to the public interest.

Part 60 is revised to read as follows:

Sec. 60.1 Purpose and scope.
60.2 Determinations and certification schedules.
60.3 Requests for certification.
60.4 Certification determinations and review.
60.5 Validity.
60.6 Matters to be considered.
60.7 Schedules.

Authority: The provisions of this Part 60 are issued under section 212(a)(14), as amended, 89 Stat. 181; 8 U.S.C. 1182.

§ 60.1 Purpose and scope.

The Immigration and Nationality Act provides, among other things, that each alien wishing to immigrate to the United States must be eligible to receive a visa as prescribed by regulations of the Department of State and must be admissible to the United States as prescribed by regulations of the Immigration and Naturalization Service. An alien who seeks to immigrate for the purpose of employment is admissible if on another basis, such as close relationship to U.S. citizens or permanent residents, is ineligible to receive a visa and is excluded from admission into the United States unless the Department of Labor has determined and certified to the Department of State and to the Immigration and Naturalization Service that qualified U.S. workers are not available and that his employment will not adversely affect wages and working conditions of the workers in the United States similarly employed. This certification by the Department of Labor is popularly referred to as a "labor certification". The regulations in this Part set forth the details in particular of labor certification processing as arranged among the Departments of State, Justice, and Labor.

(a) Sections 101(a)(27) (A) and 203 of the Immigration and Nationality Act were amended on October 3, 1965, to require as a condition to the admission of certain "special immigrants", any non-preference immigrant alien the determination made by the Secretary of Labor pursuant to the provisions of section 212(a)(14) of the Act. Accordingly, the immigrants for whom the 212(a)(14) certification is made is a condition precedent to admission to the United States are as follows:

(1) Third preference immigrants who are described as "qualified immigrants who are members of the professions, or who are persons who are particularly skilled in the sciences or the arts who will substantially benefit prospectively the national economy, cultural interests, or welfare of the United States. (section 203(a)(3))

(2) Sixth preference immigrants who are described as "qualified immigrants who are capable of performing specified skilled or unskilled labor, not of a temporary or seasonal nature, for which there is a shortage of employable and willing persons exists in the United States." (section 203(a)(8))

(3) Nonpreference immigrants who are described as "other qualified immigrants strictly in the chronological order in which they qualify." (section 203(a)(8))

(4) Special immigrants who are described as "an immigrant[s] who was born in any independent foreign country of the Western Hemisphere or in the Canal Zone and the spouse and children of any such immigrant, if accompanying, or following to join him." (section 101(a)(27)(A))

(b) The determination and certification required to be made by the Secretary of Labor is described in section 212(a)(14) of the Act as follows:

Except as otherwise provided in this Act, the following classes of aliens shall be ineligible to receive visas and shall be excluded from admission into the United States:

(1) Aliens seeking to enter the United States, for the purpose of performing skilled or unskilled labor, unless the Secretary of Labor has determined and certified to the Secretary of State and to the Attorney General that (A) there are not sufficient workers in the United States who are able, willing, qualified, and available at the time of application for a visa and admission to the United States and at the place to which the alien is destined to perform such skilled or unskilled labor, and (B) the employment of such aliens will not adversely affect the wages and working conditions of the workers in the United States similarly employed. The exclusion of aliens under this paragraph shall apply to special immigrants determined not to adversely affect wages and working conditions of the parents, spouses, or children of U.S. citizens or of aliens lawfully admitted to the United States for permanent residence, to non-preference immigrant aliens described in section 203(a)(3) and (6), and to non-preference immigrant aliens described in section 203(a)(8).

(c) The geographic applicability of this part is the United States, which, unless otherwise specifically indicated in the text of this part, includes the District of Columbia, Puerto Rico, Guam, and the Virgin Islands of the United States.

(d) The addresses of the Regional and other area offices of the Manpower Administration of the Department of Labor follow, together with a list of the States and Territories in each region. The addresses of the local offices of the several Regional Services can be obtained at the office of the appropriate Regional Manpower Administrator.

Region I—Room 1703, J. F. Kennedy Federal Building, Government Center, Boston, MA 02203

(Connecticut, Maine, Massachusetts, New Hampshire, Rhode Island, and Vermont.)

Region II—Room 116, 341 Ninth Avenue, New York, NY 10014

(New York, New Jersey, and Puerto Rico.)

Region III—Post Office Box 6796, Philadelphia, PA 19101 (5000 Wissahickon Avenue, do not use street address for mailing purposes).

Region IV—Room 406, 1725 Peachtree Street NE, Atlanta, GA 30309

(Alabama, Florida, Georgia, Kentucky, Mississippi, North Carolina, South Carolina, and Tennessee.)

Region V—Room 302, Federal Office Building, 319 South Dearborn Street, Chicago, IL 60604

(Illinois, Indiana, Michigan, Minnesota, Ohio, and Wisconsin.)

Region VI—Room 308, Mayflower Building, 411 North Akard Street, Dallas, TX 75201

(Arizona, Louisiana, New Mexico, Oklahoma, and Texas.)

Region VII—Room 2000, Federal Building, 811 Walnut Street, Kansas City, MO 64105

(Indiana, Kansas, Missouri, and Nebraska.)

Region VIII—Room 1001S, Federal Office Building, 1961 Stout Street, Denver, CO 80202

(Colorado, Montana, North Dakota, South Dakota, Utah, and Wyoming.)

Region IX—Room 10064, Federal Building, 660 Golden Gate Avenue, San Francisco, CA 94102

(Arizona, California, Guam, Hawaii, and Nevada.)

Region X—Arthur Avenue, 321 Second Avenue, Seattle, WA 98101

(Alaska, Idaho, Oregon, and Washington.)

D.C.—Room 341, 55 Pennsylvania Avenue NW, Washington, DC 20212.


V.I.—First National City Bank Building, Veterans Drive, St. Thomas, V.I. 00801

(U.S. Virgin Islands.)

§ 60.2 Determinations and certification schedules.

(a) Determinations. To facilitate the processing of requests for labor certification, Schedules and lists are provided below which are handled by the Secretary of Labor, pursuant to the requirements of section 212(a)(14) of the Immigration and Nationality Act, that:
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(1) For the categories of employment described in Schedule A at § 60.7 except for any geographic limitations therein set forth, there are not sufficient workers who are able, willing, qualified, and available for employment and the employment of aliens in such categories in such areas will not adversely affect the wages and working conditions of workers in the United States similarly employed.

(2) For the categories of employment described in Schedule B at § 60.7 subject to the geographic limitations therein set forth, the certification required by section 212(a)(14) cannot now be made.

(b) The Secretary may make such revisions of the Schedules and listings described in paragraph (a) of this section as he deems necessary and at such times as he deems appropriate upon his own initiative or upon the written request of any person setting forth reasonable grounds therefor. Requests for such revisions should be filed with the Secretary of Labor, Washington, D.C. 20210.

60.3 Requests for certification.

(a) Any alien seeking a certification pursuant to this part, or any person on behalf of such alien, whose category of employment is included in Schedule A shall file a Statement of Qualifications of Alien form in duplicate and fully documented as described in § 60.7 of this part, with an Immigration and Naturalization Service office in the United States. If, after review, the Consular or Immigration Officer concludes that the alien’s qualifications conform with the schedule requirements and occupational descriptions, he shall indorse on the form the occupation on Schedule A in which the alien qualifies and the certification made by the operation of the schedule shall be applicable.

(b) Any alien who qualifies as a professional or as one who has exceptional ability in the sciences or arts and whose category of employment is not included in Schedule A, or any person on behalf of such alien, shall file a Statement of Qualifications of Alien form in duplicate and fully documented with a U.S. Consular office abroad or with an Immigration and Naturalization Service office in the United States. If, after review, the Consular or Immigration Officer concludes that the alien’s qualifications conform with the schedule requirements and occupational descriptions, he shall indorse on the form the occupation on Schedule A in which the alien qualifies and the certification made by the operation of the schedule shall be applicable.

(c) Any alien whose category of employment is not included on Schedule A or on Schedule B or in paragraph (b) of this section shall make a certification by having the prospective employer or the authorized representative of the employer file in duplicate a Statement of Qualifications of Alien form, a Job Offer for Alien Employment form, and where the alien is to “live at work”, a fully documented Supplemental Statement for Live-At-Work Job Offers. The forms shall be in accordance with Immigration and Naturalization Service regulations and procedures. The filing of forms with and the presentation of information to the office of the Manpower Administration of the U.S. Department of Labor for that area. A labor certification determination will be made based on the information submitted and any other applicable data available to the Manpower Administration area office.

(d) The filing of forms with and the presentation of forms by a Consular office pursuant to paragraphs (a) and (b) of this section shall be in accordance with State Department regulations and procedures, and the filing of forms with and the presentation of information to the Immigration and Naturalization Service pursuant to paragraphs (a) and (b) of this section shall be in accordance with Immigration and Naturalization Service regulations and procedures. Determinations by the Immigration and Naturalization Service as to whether an alien qualifies as a professional or as one who has exceptional ability in the sciences or arts must be made based on high education, standards of membership recognizing exceptional ability in the sciences or arts, or on Schedule B or in paragraph (b) of this section.

(e) Forms: Alien Employment Certification.

(1) Statement of Qualifications of Alien—MA 7-50A (formerly ES-575A).

This form provides for a description of the alien, including information regarding the alien’s educational qualifications and intended area of residence in the United States. It is to be completed by the alien applicant. (Because of changes in the job market, this form has been augmented since the issuance of form MA 7-50A, there is no longer a requirement that question number 19 regarding placement and/or referral services be answered.)

(2) Job Offer for Alien Employment—MA 7-50B (formerly ES-575B).

This form provides for a description of the alien’s prospective employment in the United States. It is to be completed by a prospective employer. Because of changes in the job market, this form has been augmented since the issuance of form MA 7-50B, the note printed between items 3 and 4 of that form is modified to the extent that an employer is not subject to a denial of certification on the ground of employing an alien who works without authorization.

(f) Supplemental Statement for Live-At-Work Job Offers—MA 7-50C (formerly ES-575B, Supplement 1). This form provides for further description of the alien’s prospective living and working arrangements for jobs where the alien is required to live at the place of employment.

(4) Forms and instructions for filing are available at U.S. Consular and Immigration and Naturalization Service offices in the United States, and local offices of the various State Employment Services.

(5) Forms ES-575A, B, and B Supplement will be acceptable for initial processing until July 1, 1971.

(f) Documentation:

(1) The documents supporting an application for alien employment certification as a member of the professions or of an alien with exceptional ability in the sciences or arts, whose eligibility is based in whole or in part on high education, must set forth the name and address of the applicant, the name and address of the prospective employer, details of the employment, and the period for which the alien is applied.

(2) If supporting documents are submitted in the original, no additional copies are required. If the return of an original document for certification is requested, it must be accompanied by a photostatic or typewritten copy. Photostatic copies not accompanied by the original may be submitted, provided that they are certified as identical to the original document by an Immigration or Consular official or official custodian of the original. Documents not in the English language must be
be accompanied by a translation, certified by the translator as to the accuracy of the translation and his competency to so translate.

§ 60.4 Certification determinations and review.

(a) Department of Labor determinations pursuant to paragraphs (b) and (c) of § 60.3 shall be made by the Certifying Officer appointed by the Regional Manpower Administrator (or the Administrator for the District of Columbia) or his designated representative.

(b) Requests for review of a denial of certification pursuant to paragraph (a) of this section and requests for review of a Consular Officer's decision under § 60.3(a) regarding the alien's qualifications may be made in writing to the Regional Manpower Administrator (or the Administrator for the District of Columbia) or his designated representative.

(c) The Regional Manpower Administrator (or the Administrator for the District of Columbia) or his designated representative shall carry out the review. Upon review, the Regional Manpower Administrator (or the Administrator for the District of Columbia) or his designated representative may order the issuance of a certification or may affirm the denial. The determination of the Regional Manpower Administrator (or the Administrator for the District of Columbia) shall be final.

(d) Notwithstanding any provision in this section to the contrary, applications for certification may be removed by the Regional Manpower Administrator, if the initial determination is based on facts that are subsequently changing his occupation, job, or area of residence.

§ 60.5 Validity.

(a) A labor certification issued pursuant to § 60.3 shall be valid for an indefinite period of time except that revalidation shall be required as provided in paragraph (b) of this section, and, notwithstanding any provision in this section to the contrary, no certification made pursuant to Group I of Schedule C or Schedule C—Precertification list previously issued pursuant to this part shall be valid beyond March 31, 1971.

(b) Labor certifications and revalidations of certifications in the categories of employment described below, including certifications issued prior to the effective date of this section and the determination upon which they are based are as follows:

(1) that the wages are not lower than those prevailing for similar work in the area of employment described in the labor certification shall be determined in accordance with the Davis-Bacon Act in Part 1 of this chapter.

(2) that such employment will be for wages no less than those prevailing for U.S. workers similarly engaged in the area of employment.

(3) that such employment will not in any applicable wage rates prescribed by the Secretary of Labor pursuant to law as the minimum rates which will not adversely affect the wages of American workers similarly engaged in the area of employment.

(4) that such employment will be for an indefinite period of time except that revalidation shall be required after that period; this provision shall be applicable to both initial and revalidation certifications:

(b) in effect at the time a request for revalidation is made shall be followed in processing the revalidation request.

(c) Determinations made pursuant to Group I of Schedule C or Schedule C—Precertification list previously issued pursuant to this part shall be valid beyond March 31, 1971.

(d) Labor certifications made in a geographic area in which the alien is employed in the Virgin Islands pursuant to paragraph (a) of this section and the determination upon which they are based shall be determined in accordance with the Davis-Bacon Act in Part 1 of this chapter.

(e) That such employment will include the furnishing of fringe benefits that prevail for U.S. workers similarly employed in the area of employment.

(f) That such employment will involve adherence to prevailing working conditions including customs in the area of employment regarding the furnishing of board, lodging, and other conditions.

(g) That such employment will not involve positions (1) that are vacant because the former occupants are on strike or are being locked out in the course of a labor dispute; (2) that are not prevailing wage rates no less than those prevailing for American workers similarly engaged in the area of employment; (3) that are not prevailing wage rates no less than those prevailing for American workers similarly engaged in the area of employment.

(h) That such employment will not involve any discrimination with regard to race, creed, color, national origin, age, or sex: and.

(i) That such employment or any term or condition thereof is not contrary to any provisions of Federal, State, or local law.
ties from an institution of higher learning accredited in the country where the degree was obtained (equivalent to a Ph.D. or master's degree conferred by American colleges or universities):

Dietetics.

Medicine and Surgery.

Nursing.

Pharmacy.

Physical Therapy.

Group II: Persons who have received a degree conferred by an accredited institution of higher learning in any of the following specialties (equivalent to the bachelor's degree conferred by American colleges or universities) or have experience or a combination of experience and education equivalent to such degree:

Dietetics.

Pharmacy.

Physical Therapy.

Group III: (a) Any person of any religious denomination whose regular profession or occupation is to conduct religious services, which he is authorized by his denomination to perform, and who is seeking admission to the United States in order to engage principally in such work.

(b) Any person of any religious denomination having a religious commitment, such as a layman, nun, brother, missionary, and others, who is seeking admission to the United States to perform the duties required of him by virtue of such commitment.

(c) Any other person seeking admission to the United States to perform duties related to the normal operation of a religious organization (1) if the duties which he will perform involve special skills, training, and experience which the alien possesses and which are related to the religious objectives of the organization and (2) if he intends to be engaged principally (more than 50 percent of his working time) in such duties. Examples of persons coming within this subgroup are cantors and translators of religious tracts or texts who have the special capability of conveying through the translation the spiritual message to which such tracts or texts are directed and who will be engaged in such endeavors.

An operation may be considered nonprofit for purposes of Group III if the receipts from the operation will be used exclusively in furtherance of the philanthropic or religious purposes of the organization.

OCCUPATIONAL DEFINITIONS

These definitions are intended as descriptive guidelines and not as mandatory qualification requirements.

Dietetics

The application of the principles of nutrition to plan menus and diets and direct the preparation and serving of meals. Includes activities involved with service programs designed to feed individuals and groups with special nutritional requirements in schools, hospitals, and other institutions. Also includes participation in research in the field of nutrition.

Medicine and Surgery

The application of the art and science of medicine and surgery to the diagnosis, prevention, and treatment of diseases and injuries in man, disorders of the mind, and the treatment of women during pregnancy; and the promotion of health, the prevention of disease and other ailments. Includes the practice of medicine, osteopathy, psychiatry, and ophthalmology. May specialize in treatment of the body, or a particular disease, sex, or age group. Provided, That certification under this category shall apply only to those physicians and surgeons whose degrees or qualifications were conferred by a medical school in the United States and Canada and such other physicians and surgeons who (a) submit evidence from the licensing authority of the State of the alien's intended employment that the alien has met all of the requirements for licensure or for admittance to the licensure examination in that State; or (b) submit evidence from an institution providing approved medical internship or residency training that the alien has met all of the requirements for appointment to an internship or residency and is being offered such appointment; or (c) submit evidence that they have passed the examination of the Educational Council for Foreign Medical Graduates; or (d) submit evidence of having received an appointment to engage in medical teaching, research, or laboratory work that will not involve direct patient care.

Nursing

The application of the art and science of nursing which reflects comprehension of principles derived from the physical, biological, and behavioral sciences. Nursing generally includes the making of clinical judgments concerning the observation, care, and counsel of persons requiring nursing care; the administering of medicines and treatments prescribed by the physician or dentist; the participation in activities for the promotion of health and the prevention of illness in others.

Preparation for nursing practice is generally obtained through an organized program of study approved by a governmental or other competent authority in the alien's country. Completion of such education will not involve direct patient care.

Physical Therapy

The treatment of patients with disabilities, disorders, and injuries to relieve pain, develop or restore function, and maintain performance, using physical means, such as exercise, massage, heat, light, and electricity, as prescribed by a medical doctor.
CASHIERS
Receive payments made by customers for goods or services, make change, and give receipt. Involves such activities as operating cash register, handling cash accounts, preparing bank deposits and other related duties.

CHAFEURS AND TAXI CAB DRIVERS
Drive automobiles to convey passengers according to their instructions.

CHARWOMEN AND CLEANERS
Keep premises of commercial establishments, office buildings, or apartment houses in clean and orderly condition by performing such tasks as mopping and sweeping floors, dusting and polishing furniture and fixtures, and vacuuming rugs. Work according to set routine.

CLERKS, GENERAL
Perform a variety of routine clerical tasks not requiring knowledge of systems or procedures. Involves such activities as copying and posting data, proofreading records or mailing correspondence, answering telephones, conveying messages, and running errands.

CLERKS, HOTEL
Perform a variety of routine tasks to accommodate hotel guests. Involves such activities as giving keys, distributing mail, collecting payments, and adjusting complaints.

CLERKS AND CHECKERS, GROCERY STORES
Itemize, total, and receive payment for purchase in grocery stores, usually using cash register. Often assists customer in locating items, stock shelves, and keep stock-control and sales-transaction records.

CLERK TYPISTS
Perform general clerical work requiring use of typewriter in majority of duties. Involves such activities as typing reports, bills, application forms, shipping tickets, and other matters from clerical records; filing records and reports, posting information to records, sorting and distributing mail, answering phone and similar duties. (Combines typing and filing, sorting mail, answering the telephone, and other general office work.)

COOKS—SHORT ORDER
Prepare and cook to order all kinds of short-preparation-time foods. May involve such activities as carving meats and filling orders from a steam-table; preparing sandwiches, salads, beverages; and serving meals over a counter.

COUNTER AND FOUNTAIN WORKERS
Serve food to patrons at lunchroom counters, cafes, soda fountains, or similar public eating places. Take orders from customers and frequently prepare simple items, such as dessert dishes; itemize and total checks; receive payment and make change; and clean work area and equipment.

ELECTRIC TRUCK OPERATORS
Drive gasoline- or electric-powered industrial trucks or tractors equipped with fork-lift, elevating platform, or trailer hitch to move and stack equipment and materials in a warehouse, storage yard, or factory.

ELEVATOR OPERATORS
Operate elevators to transport passengers and freight in building floors.

FLOORMEN, FLOORBOYS, AND FLOORGIRLS
Perform a variety of routine tasks in support of other workers in and around such work sites as factory floors and service areas, frequently at the beck and call of others. Involves such tasks as cleaning floors, materials, and equipment; distributing materials and tools to workers; running errands; delivering messages; emptying containers; and, removing materials from work area to storage or shipping areas.

GROUNDKEEPERS
Maintain grounds of industrial, commercial, or public property in good condition. Involves such tasks as cutting lawns, trimming hedges, pruning trees, repairing fences, planting flowers, and shoveling snow.

GUARDS AND WATCHMEN
Guard and patrol premises of industrial or business establishments or similar types of property to prevent theft and other crimes and prevent possible injury to others.

HELPERS (ANY INDUSTRY)
Perform a variety of duties to assist another worker usually of a higher level of competency of expertness. Involves such activities as furnishing another worker with materials, tools, and supplies; cleaning work area, machines and equipment; feeding or offbearing machines; holding materials or tools accompanying worker.

HOUSEHOLD DOMESTIC SERVICE WORKERS
Perform a variety of tasks in private households, including such activities as cleaning, dusting, washing, ironing, making beds, maintaining clothes, marketing, cooking, serving food, and caring for children: Provided, however, That noncertification under this category shall apply only to those employees who have had less than 1 year of documented paid experience in the performance of the above tasks working on a live-in or live-out basis.

HOUSEKEEPERS
Supervise workers engaged in maintaining interior residential buildings in a clean and orderly fashion. They assign duties to maids, charwomen, and housemen; inspect finished work; and maintain supply of equipment and materials.

HOUSEMEN AND YARDMEN
(1) Perform routine tasks to keep hotel premises neat and clean. Involves such tasks as cleaning room, public area, offices, bathtubs, /mng and washing floors, and maintaining house, or similar building in clean and orderly condition, and tend furnaces and boilers to provide heat and hot water. Typical work areas are garment parts, such as cleaning walls, ceilings, and windows; moving furniture; mopping and waxing floors; and, polishing metalwork.

(2) Maintain the grounds of private residence or yard. Involves such tasks as mowing and watering lawns, planting flowers and shrubs, and repairing and painting fences. Work on instructions of private employer.

JANITORS
Keep hotel, office building, apartment building, or similar building in clean and orderly condition, and tend furnaces and boilers to provide heat and hot water. Typical work areas are sweeping and mopping floors, emptying trash containers, and doing minor painting and plumbing repairs. Often maintain residence at place of work.

KEYPUNCH OPERATORS
Using machines similar in section to type-writers, punch holes in cards in such a position that each hole can be identified as representing a specific item of information. These punched cards may be used with electronic computers as well as tabulating machines.

KITCHEN WORKERS
Perform routine tasks in kitchen of restaurant. Primary responsibility is to maintain work areas and equipment in a clean and orderly fashion. Involves such tasks as mopping floors, removing trash, washing pots and pans, transferring supplies and equipment, and washing and peeling vegetables.

LABORERS, FARM
Plant, cultivate, and harvest farm products, following instructions of supervisors, often working near others. Tasks are seasonal. Work areas are farming areas, and may vary widely.

LABORERS, MINE
Perform routine tasks in underground or surface mine, pit, or quarry, or at tipple, mill, or preparation plant. Involves such tasks as cleaning work areas, shoveling coal onto conveyors, pushing mine cars from working face to haulage road, and loading or sorting material onto wheelbarrow.

LABORERS, COMMON
Perform routine tasks in an industrial construction or manufacturing environment. Typical tasks are loading and moving equipment and supplies, cleaning work areas, and distributing tools. Work upon Instructions according to set routine.

LOPPERS AND TOPPERS
(1) Tend machines that shear nap, loose threads, and knots from cloth surfaces to give uniform finish and texture.

(2) Operate logging equipment to cut trees in stands, and do necessary tasks to clean tree edges in tree. Often cut limbs and branches; remove small branches; cut wood for pulpwood, and do other tasks in connection with logging operations.

MAIL, HOTEL AND MOTEL
Clean hotel rooms and halls; sweep and mop floors; dust furniture; empty wastebaskets; and make beds.

MAN-OF-ALL-WORK
Perform a combination of duties to keep a private home clean and in good condition. Involves such activities as cleaning and dusting furniture and furnishings, hallways and lavatories; beating, vacuuming, and scrubbing rugs; washing windows, washing and polishing floors; removing and hanging draperies; cleaning and oiling furnaces and other equipment; repairing mechanical and electrical appliances; painting and other chores as required.

MATERIAL HANDLERS
Load, unload, and convey materials within or near plant, yard, or worksite, under specific instructions.

NURSES' AIDS AND ORDERLIES
Assist in care of hospital patients. Involves such activities as bathing, dressing, undressing patients and giving alcohol rubs; serving and collecting food trays; cleaning and shaving hair from skin areas of operative cases; lifting patients onto and from bed, and transporting patients to treatment units; changing bed linens, running errands; and directing visitors.

PACKERS, MARKERS, BOTTLERS, AND RELATED
Pack products into containers, such as cartoons or crates; mark identifying information on articles; insure filled bottles are properly sealed and marked; often working with teams on or at end of assembly line.

PORTERS
(1) Carry luggage for passengers of airline, railroad, or motorbus by hand or handtruck. Perform related personal services in and around public transportation environment.

(2) Keep building premises, working areas in production departments of industrial or
ganizations, or similar sites in clean and orderly conditions.

Receptionists
Receive clients or customers coming into establishments to ascertain their wants, and direct them accordingly. Involves such activities as arranging appointments, directing caller to destination, recording name, time, nature of business, person seen; answering phone and related duties.

Sailors and Deck Hands
Stand deck watches and perform a variety of tasks to preserve painted surfaces of ship, and maintain lines, running gear, and cargo handling gear in safe operating condition. Involves such tasks as mopping decks, chipping rust, painting chipped areas, and splicing rope.

Sales Clerks, General
Receive payment for merchandise in a retail establishment, wrap or bag merchandise, and keep shelves stocked.

Sewing Machine Operators and Hand-stitchers
(1) Operate single- or multiple-needle sewing machines to join parts in the manufacture of such products as awnings, carpets, and gloves. Specialize in one type of sewing machine limited to joining operations.
(2) Join and reinforce parts of such articles as garments, and curtains, sew buttonholes and attach fasteners to articles, or sew decorative trimmings to articles, using needle and thread.

Street Railway and Bus Conductors
Collect fares or tickets from passengers, issue transfers, open and close doors, announce stops, answer questions, and signal operator to start or stop.

Telephone Operators
Operate telephone switchboards to relay incoming and internal calls to phones in an establishment, and make connections with external lines for outgoing calls. Taking messages, supplying information and keeping records of calls and charges is often involved. Some situations primarily involve establishing or aiding telephone users in establishing local or long distance telephone connections.

Truck Drivers and Tractor Drivers
(1) Drive trucks to transport materials, merchandise, equipment, or people to and from specified destinations, such as plants, railroad stations, and offices.
(2) Drive tractors to move materials, draw implements, pull out objects imbedded in ground, or pull cable of winch to raise, lower, or load heavy materials or equipment.

Typists, Lesser Skilled
Type straight-copy material, such as letters, reports, stencils, and addresses, from draft or corrected copy. Not required to prepare materials involving the understanding of complicated technical terminology, the arrangement and setting of complex tabular detail or similar problems. Typing speed in English does not exceed 52 words per minute on a manual typewriter and/or 60 words per minute on an electric typewriter and the error rate reaches 12 or more for a 5 minute typing period on representative business correspondence.

Ushers (Recreation and Amusement)
Assist patrons at entertainment events in finding seats, searching for lost articles, and locating facilities.

Warehousemen
Receive, store, ship, and distribute materials, tools, equipment, and products within establishments as directed by others.

Signed at Washington, D.C., this 29th day of January 1971.

M. R. LOVELL, Jr.,
Assistant Secretary for Manpower.
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