

FEDERAL REGISTER

VOLUME 34 • NUMBER 90

Saturday, May 10, 1969 • Washington, D.C.

Pages 7565-7602

Agencies in this issue—

Agency for International Development
Civil Aeronautics Board
Civil Rights Commission
Consumer and Marketing Service
Engineers Corps
Federal Aviation Administration
Federal Communications Commission
Federal Home Loan Bank Board
Federal Maritime Commission
Federal Power Commission
Federal Reserve System
Federal Trade Commission
Fiscal Service
Food and Drug Administration
General Services Administration
Hazardous Materials
Regulations Board
Immigration and Naturalization
Service
Indian Affairs Bureau
Internal Revenue Service
International Commerce Bureau
Interstate Commerce Commission
Post Office Department
Securities and Exchange Commission
Small Business Administration
Soil Conservation Service
Tariff Commission
Wage and Hour Division

Detailed list of Contents appears inside.



Latest Edition

Guide to Record Retention Requirements.

[Revised as of January 1, 1969]

This useful reference tool is designed to keep businessmen and the general public informed concerning the many published requirements in Federal laws and regulations relating to record retention.

The 86-page "Guide" contains over 900 digests which tell the user (1) what type records must be kept, (2) who must keep

them, and (3) how long they must be kept. Each digest carries a reference to the full text of the basic law or regulation providing for such retention.

The booklet's index, numbering over 2,000 items, lists for ready reference the categories of persons, companies, and products affected by Federal record retention requirements.

Price: 75 cents

Compiled by Office of the Federal Register, National Archives and Records Service, General Services Administration

**Order from Superintendent of Documents, U.S. Government Printing Office
Washington, D.C. 20402**



Area Code 202

Phone 962-8626

Published daily, Tuesday through Saturday (no publication on Sundays, Mondays, or on the day after an official Federal holiday), by the Office of the Federal Register, National Archives and Records Service, General Services Administration (mail address National Archives Building, Washington, D.C. 20408), pursuant to the authority contained in the Federal Register Act, approved July 26, 1935 (49 Stat. 500, as amended; 44 U.S.C., Ch. 15), under regulations prescribed by the Administrative Committee of the Federal Register, approved by the President (1 CFR Ch. I). Distribution is made only by the Superintendent of Documents, U.S. Government Printing Office, Washington, D.C. 20402.

The FEDERAL REGISTER will be furnished by mail to subscribers, free of postage, for \$1.50 per month or \$15 per year, payable in advance. The charge for individual copies varies in proportion to the size of the issue (15 cents for the first 80 pages and 5 cents for each additional group of 40 pages, as actually bound). Remit check or money order, made payable to the Superintendent of Documents, U.S. Government Printing Office, Washington, D.C. 20402.

The regulatory material appearing herein is keyed to the CODE OF FEDERAL REGULATIONS, which is published, under 50 titles, pursuant to section 11 of the Federal Register Act, as amended (44 U.S.C. 1510). The CODE OF FEDERAL REGULATIONS is sold by the Superintendent of Documents. Prices of books and pocket supplements are listed in the first FEDERAL REGISTER issue of each month.

There are no restrictions on the republication of material appearing in the FEDERAL REGISTER or the CODE OF FEDERAL REGULATIONS.

Contents

AGENCY FOR INTERNATIONAL DEVELOPMENT

Notices
 Authority delegation; Directors of Missions et al. 7582

AGRICULTURE DEPARTMENT
See Consumer and Marketing Service; Soil Conservation Service.

ARMY DEPARTMENT
See Engineers Corps.

CIVIL AERONAUTICS BOARD

Notices
Hearings, etc.:
 Avlon, Inc., et al. 7585
 Luxair 7585

CIVIL RIGHTS COMMISSION

Rules and Regulations
 Miscellaneous amendments to chapter 7576

COMMERCE DEPARTMENT
See International Commerce Bureau.

CONSUMER AND MARKETING SERVICE

Rules and Regulations
 Handling limitations:
 Grapefruit grown in Florida 7569
 Lemons grown in California and Arizona 7569
 Olives grown in California; increase in expenses for 1968-69 fiscal year 7570
 Tomatoes; importation 7570
 Proposed Rule Making
 Tomatoes grown in Florida; shipment limitation and importation 7578

DEFENSE DEPARTMENT
See Engineers Corps.

ENGINEERS CORPS

Rules and Regulations
 Danger zone regulations; Pacific Ocean, Hawaii 7575
 Navigation; Jamaica Bay, Long Island, N.Y. 7575
 Salt Plains National Wildlife Refuge and Great Salt Plains Dam and Reservoir Area, Salt Fork of Arkansas River, Okla.; public use 7575

FEDERAL AVIATION ADMINISTRATION

Rules and Regulations
 Alterations:
 Control zone 7572
 Restricted area 7572
 Transition area 7572

Proposed Rule Making

Airplanes capable of carrying more than ten occupants; extension of compliance date 7580
 Airworthiness directive; Fairchild Hiller aircraft 7579
 Designations:
 Transition area 7579
 VOR Federal airways and reporting points 7579

FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS COMMISSION

Notices
Hearings, etc.:
 Atlantic Video Corp. (WRTV) et al. 7585
 CATV system in Bloomington and Normal, Ill. 7589
 Francis, James B., and Quality Broadcasting Corp. 7587

FEDERAL HOME LOAN BANK BOARD

Proposed Rule Making
 Federal Savings and Loan System; service corporations 7580

Notices
 Allstate Enterprises, Inc.; application to acquire control of Metropolitan Savings and Loan Association 7590

FEDERAL MARITIME COMMISSION

Proposed Rule Making
 Collection, compromise, and termination of enforcement claims; rescheduling of filing dates 7581

Notices
 Lykes Bros. Steamship Co., Inc.; increases in rates in U.S. Gulf/Puerto Rico trade; investigation 7590
 Transmares; independent ocean freight forwarder license application 7591

FEDERAL POWER COMMISSION

Notices
Hearings, etc.:
 Consolidated Gas Supply Corp. 7591
 Northwestern Public Service Co. 7591

FEDERAL RESERVE SYSTEM

Rules and Regulations
 Truth in lending; interpretations 7571

FEDERAL TRADE COMMISSION

Proposed Rule Making
 Guides for advertising over-the-counter drugs; extension of time 7581

Notices

Requirements concerning notification of and submission of special reports relating to large corporate mergers 7592

FISCAL SERVICE

Notices
 Central Mutual Insurance Company; termination of authority to qualify as surety on Federal bonds 7582

FOOD AND DRUG ADMINISTRATION

Proposed Rule Making
 Color additives; withdrawal of publication of order 7578
 Soda water, identity standard; listing of optional foaming agent 7578

Notices
 Chatham Pharmaceuticals, Inc.; opportunity for hearing regarding Koagamin Veterinary Parenteral Hemostat 7584
 Drugs for veterinary use; efficacy study implementation:
 Neomycin-vitamins feed additive 7583
 Nitrophenide 7583
 Pyrahistine with phenylephrine 7584

GENERAL SERVICES ADMINISTRATION

Rules and Regulations
 Contract financing; exclusion from advance payment prohibitions 7576

HAZARDOUS MATERIALS REGULATIONS BOARD

Notices
 Special permits issued 7584

HEALTH, EDUCATION, AND WELFARE DEPARTMENT

See Food and Drug Administration.

IMMIGRATION AND NATURALIZATION SERVICE

Rules and Regulations
 Miscellaneous amendments to chapter 7570

INDIAN AFFAIRS BUREAU

Notices
 Assistant Area Director, Minneapolis Area Office; redelegation of authority 7582

INTERIOR DEPARTMENT

See Indian Affairs Bureau.

(Continued on next page)

INTERNAL REVENUE SERVICE

Notices

Chris Sigurd Sorensen; granting of relief..... 7582

INTERNATIONAL COMMERCE BUREAU

Rules and Regulations

Export clearance and destination control; customs clearance at ports of origin and general requirements 7573

INTERSTATE COMMERCE COMMISSION

Rules and Regulations

Car service; St. Louis Southwestern Railway Co. authorized to operate over trackage of Illinois Central Railroad Co..... 7577

Notices

Motor carrier temporary authority applications..... 7599

JUSTICE DEPARTMENT

See Immigration and Naturalization Service.

LABOR DEPARTMENT

See Wage and Hour Division.

POST OFFICE DEPARTMENT

Notices

Reorganization at headquarters... 7582

SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION

Rules and Regulations

Securities Exchange Act of 1934: Acquisitions of stock and options under certain stock bonus, stock option or similar plans... 7574
Proxy statements; material transactions 7574

Notices

Hearings, etc.:

Brockton Edison Co..... 7592
Electrogen Industries, Inc..... 7593
Ohio Power Co..... 7593
Parvin-Dorhmann Co..... 7594**SMALL BUSINESS ADMINISTRATION**

Notices

Authority delegation; Deputy Assistant Administrator (Comptroller) et al..... 7594
Illinois; declaration of disaster loan area..... 7594
Maryland Capital Corp.; surrender of license..... 7594**SOIL CONSERVATION SERVICE**

Rules and Regulations

Great Plains conservation program; cost-share payments..... 7569

STATE DEPARTMENT

See Agency for International Development.

TARIFF COMMISSION

Notices

Concord grapes from Canada; investigation and hearing..... 7594

TRANSPORTATION DEPARTMENT

See Federal Aviation Administration; Hazardous Materials Regulations Board.

TREASURY DEPARTMENT

See Fiscal Service; Internal Revenue Service.

WAGE AND HOUR DIVISION

Notices

Certificates authorizing employment of full-time students working outside of school hours at special minimum wages in retail or service establishments or in agriculture..... 7595

List of CFR Parts Affected

The following numerical guide is a list of the parts of each title of the Code of Federal Regulations affected by documents published in today's issue. A cumulative list of parts affected, covering the current month to date, appears at the end of each issue beginning with the second issue of the month.

A cumulative guide is published separately at the end of each month. The guide lists the parts and sections affected by documents published since January 1, 1969, and specifies how they are affected.

7 CFR601..... 7569
910..... 7569
912..... 7569
932..... 7570
980..... 7570

PROPOSED RULES:

966..... 7578
980..... 7578**8 CFR**103..... 7570
214..... 7571
334..... 7571**12 CFR**226..... 7571
PROPOSED RULES:
545..... 7580**14 CFR**71 (2 documents)..... 7572
73..... 7572

PROPOSED RULES:

39..... 7579
71 (2 documents)..... 7579
135..... 7580**15 CFR**

379..... 7573

16 CFRPROPOSED RULES:
249..... 7581**17 CFR**

240 (2 documents)..... 7574

21 CFR

PROPOSED RULES:

8..... 7578
31..... 7578**33 CFR**204..... 7575
207..... 7575
322..... 7575**41 CFR**

1-30..... 7576

45 CFR701..... 7576
704..... 7576
705..... 7577**46 CFR**

PROPOSED RULES:

504..... 7581

49 CFR

1033..... 7577

Rules and Regulations

Title 7—AGRICULTURE

Chapter VI—Soil Conservation Service

PART 601—GREAT PLAINS CONSERVATION PROGRAM

Subpart—General Program Provisions

The regulations governing the Great Plains Conservation Program, 22 F.R. 6851, as amended, are further amended as provided herein.

Paragraph (a) of § 601.12 *Cost-Share Payments* is amended to read as follows:

(a) Cost-share payments shall be made at cost-share rates specified in the contract, at the average cost, or the actual cost not to exceed the average cost, or the actual cost not to exceed the specified maximum cost as set forth in the contract. *Provided*, That if the average cost or the specified maximum cost applicable at the time of initial action to carry out the identifiable unit is less than the average cost or specified maximum cost set forth in the contract, payment shall be made on the basis of such lower average cost or lower specified maximum cost: *Provided further*, That whenever the approved average cost or specified maximum cost in effect at the time of the initial action to carry out the identifiable unit is in excess of the average cost or specified maximum cost set out in the contract, such contract may be modified to reflect such increased average cost or specified maximum cost.

(Sec. 4, 49 Stat. 164, as amended, 16 U.S.C. 590d)

Done at Washington, D.C., this 7th day of May 1969.

J. PHIL CAMPBELL,
Under Secretary.

[F.R. Doc. 69-5613; Filed, May 9, 1969; 8:47 a.m.]

Chapter IX—Consumer and Marketing Service (Marketing Agreements and Orders; Fruits, Vegetables, Nuts), Department of Agriculture

[Lemon Reg. 373]

PART 910—LEMONS GROWN IN CALIFORNIA AND ARIZONA

Limitation of Handling

§ 910.673 Lemon Regulation 373.

(a) *Findings*. (1) Pursuant to the marketing agreement, as amended, and Order No. 910, as amended (7 CFR Part 910), regulating the handling of lemons grown in California and Arizona, effective under the applicable provisions of the Agricultural Marketing Agreement

Act of 1937, as amended (7 U.S.C. 601-674), and upon the basis of the recommendations and information submitted by the Lemon Administrative Committee, established under the said amended marketing agreement and order, and upon other available information, it is hereby found that the limitation of handling of such lemons, as hereinafter provided, will tend to effectuate the declared policy of the act.

(2) It is hereby further found that it is impracticable and contrary to the public interest to give preliminary notice, engage in public rule-making procedure, and postpone the effective date of this section until 30 days after publication hereof in the FEDERAL REGISTER (5 U.S.C. 553) because the time intervening between the date when information upon which this section is based became available and the time when this section must become effective in order to effectuate the declared policy of the act is insufficient, and a reasonable time is permitted, under the circumstances, for preparation for such effective time; and good cause exists for making the provisions hereof effective as hereinafter set forth. The committee held an open meeting during the current week, after giving due notice thereof to consider supply and market conditions for lemons and the need for regulation; interested persons were afforded an opportunity to submit information and views at this meeting; the recommendation and supporting information for regulation during the period specified herein were promptly submitted to the Department after such meeting was held; the provisions of this section, including its effective time, are identical with the aforesaid recommendation of the committee, and information concerning such provisions and effective time as been disseminated among handlers of such lemons; it is necessary in order to effectuate the declared policy of the act, to make this section effective during the period herein specified; and compliance with this section will not require any special preparation on the part of persons subject hereto which cannot be completed on or before the effective date hereof. Such committee meeting was held on May 6, 1969.

(b) *Order*. (1) The respective quantities of lemons grown in California and Arizona which may be handled during the period May 11, 1969, through May 17, 1969, are hereby fixed as follows:

- (i) District 1: 2,790 cartons;
- (ii) District 2: 279,000 cartons;
- (iii) District 3: Unlimited movement.

(2) As used in this section, "handled," "District 1," "District 2," "District 3," and "carton" have the same meaning as when used in the said amended marketing agreement and order.

(Secs. 1-19, 48 Stat. 31, as amended; 7 U.S.C. 601-674)

Dated: May 8, 1969.

FLOYD P. HEDLUND,
Director, Fruit and Vegetable
Division, Consumer and Marketing Service.

[F.R. Doc. 69-5670; Filed, May 9, 1969; 8:50 a.m.]

[Grapefruit Reg. 64]

PART 912—GRAPEFRUIT GROWN IN THE INDIAN RIVER DISTRICT IN FLORIDA

Limitation of Handling

§ 912.364 Grapefruit Regulation 64.

(a) *Findings*. (1) Pursuant to the marketing agreement, as amended, and Order No. 912, as amended (7 CFR Part 912), regulating the handling of grapefruit grown in the Indian River District in Florida, effective under the applicable provisions of the Agricultural Marketing Agreement Act of 1937, as amended (7 U.S.C. 601-674), and upon the basis of the recommendations and information submitted by the Indian River Grapefruit Committee, established under the said amended marketing agreement and order, and upon other available information, it is hereby found that the limitation of handling of such grapefruit, as hereinafter provided, will tend to effectuate the declared policy of the act.

(2) It is hereby further found that it is impracticable and contrary to the public interest to give preliminary notice, engage in public rule-making procedure, and postpone the effective date of this section until 30 days after publication hereof in the FEDERAL REGISTER (5 U.S.C. 553) because the time intervening between the date when information upon which this section is based became available and the time when this section must become effective in order to effectuate the declared policy of the act is insufficient, and a reasonable time is permitted, under the circumstances, for preparation for such effective time; and good cause exists for making the provisions hereof effective as hereinafter set forth. The committee held an open meeting during the current week, after giving due notice thereof, to consider supply and market conditions for Indian River grapefruit, and the need for regulation; interested persons were afforded an opportunity to submit information and views at this meeting; the recommendation and supporting information for regulation during the period specified herein were promptly submitted to the Department after such meeting was held; the provisions of this section, including its effective time, are identical with the aforesaid recommendation of

the committee, and information concerning such provisions and effective time has been disseminated among handlers of such Indian River grapefruit; it is necessary, in order to effectuate the declared policy of the act, to make this section effective during the period herein specified; and compliance with this section will not require any special preparation on the part of persons subject hereto which cannot be completed on or before the effective date hereof. Such committee meeting was held on May 8, 1969.

(b) *Order.* (1) The quantity of grapefruit grown in the Indian River District which may be handled during the period May 12, 1969, through May 18, 1969, is hereby fixed at 250,000 standard packed boxes.

(2) As used in this section, "handled," "Indian River District," "grapefruit," and "standard packed box" have the same meaning as when used in said amended marketing agreement and order.

(Secs. 1-19, 48 Stat. 31, as amended; 7 U.S.C. 601-674)

Dated: May 9, 1969.

PAUL A. NICHOLSON,
Deputy Director, Fruit and
Vegetable Division, Consumer
and Marketing Service.

[F.R. Doc. 69-5710; Filed, May 9, 1969;
11:19 a.m.]

PART 932—OLIVES GROWN IN CALIFORNIA

Increase in Expenses for the 1968-69 Fiscal Year

On April 15, 1969, notice of rule making was published in the FEDERAL REGISTER (34 F.R. 6482) regarding a proposed increase in expenses previously approved for the fiscal year ending August 31, 1969, pursuant to the marketing agreement, as amended, and Order No. 932, as amended (7 CFR Part 932; 33 F.R. 11265), regulating the handling of olives grown in California, effective under the applicable provisions of the Agricultural Marketing Agreement Act of 1937, as amended (7 U.S.C. 601-674). The notice afforded interested persons an opportunity to submit written data, views, or arguments in connection with the proposal. None were submitted within the prescribed time.

After consideration of all relevant matters presented, including the proposals set forth in the aforesaid notice which were submitted by the Olive Administrative Committee (established pursuant to said amended marketing agreement and order): *It is hereby ordered.* That the provisions of paragraph (a) of § 932.205 *Expenses and rate of assessment* (33 F.R. 15903) be amended to read as follows:

§ 932.205 *Expenses and rate of assessment.*

(a) *Expenses.* Expenses that are rea-

sonable and likely to be incurred by the Olive Administrative Committee, during the period September 1, 1968, through August 31, 1969, will amount to \$340,880.

It is hereby found that it is impracticable and contrary to the public interest to postpone the effective date hereof until 30 days after publication in the FEDERAL REGISTER (5 U.S.C. 553) in that (1) current olive production greatly exceeds said committee's pre-season estimates, (2) additional advertising, publicity, and public relations are necessary in order to adequately assist the marketing of such production, (3) it is essential that the expenses specified herein be authorized immediately so that said committee can effect the timely performance of its duties and functions, within the fiscal year, in accordance with said amended marketing agreement and order, and (4) the increased expenses will necessitate no increase in the rate of assessment.

(Secs. 1-19, 48 Stat. 31, as amended; 7 U.S.C. 601-674)

Dated: May 7, 1969.

PAUL A. NICHOLSON,
Deputy Director, Fruit and
Vegetable Division, Consumer
and Marketing Service.

[F.R. Doc. 69-5612; Filed, May 9, 1969;
8:47 a.m.]

[980.203, Amdt. 5]

PART 980—VEGETABLES; IMPORT REGULATIONS

Tomatoes

§ 980.203 Tomato import regulation.

Pursuant to the requirements of section 8e of the Agricultural Marketing Agreement Act of 1937, as amended (7 U.S.C. 608e-1), § 980.203 Tomato import regulations, as amended (33 F.R. 16440, 17310, 19161; 34 F.R. 128, 6326), is hereby amended as hereinafter set forth.

Order, as amended. In § 980.203 paragraph (a) is amended to read as follows:

(a) *Minimum grade and size requirements.* (1) U.S. No. 3 grade, 2 $\frac{1}{2}$ inches minimum diameter; or

(2) U.S. No. 2 or better grade, 2 $\frac{1}{2}$ inches minimum diameter.

(3) Not more than 10 percent, by count, of the tomatoes in any lot may be smaller than the specified minimum diameter.

Findings. This amendment conforms with the amendment to the limitation of shipments effective on domestic shipments of tomatoes (§ 966.306, Amdt. 5), under Marketing Order No. 966, as amended (7 CFR Part 966) regulating the handling of tomatoes grown in Florida, which amendment became effective on April 25, 1969 (34 F.R. 7135).

This amendment to the tomato import regulation should have been made effective at the same time as the April 25

amendment of the limitation of shipments regulation on Florida tomatoes, however at that time the Department was under a temporary restraining order issued by the U.S. District Court in Dallas, Tex., under which the import regulations were the same as those in the April 25 amendment. The temporary restraining order was dissolved on May 6, therefore this amendment to the import regulation should be issued and become effective immediately.

It is hereby found that it is impracticable and unnecessary to give preliminary notice and engage in public rule-making procedure, and that good cause exists for making this amendment effective as hereinafter set forth and for not postponing the effective date of this amendment until 30 days after publication in the FEDERAL REGISTER (5 U.S.C. 553) in that (1) the requirements of section 608e-1 of the Act make this amendment mandatory, (2) compliance with this amendment will not require any special preparation by importers which cannot be completed by the effective date, and (3) the amendment relieves restrictions on the importation of tomatoes and the restrictions imposed by the amendment are the same as those in effect from the time the temporary restraining order was issued on April 24, 1969, by the U.S. District Court in Dallas, Tex.

(Secs. 1-19, 48 Stat. 31, as amended; 7 U.S.C. 601-674)

Dated: May 7, 1969, to become effective May 7, 1969.

FLOYD F. HEDLUND,
Director, Fruit and Vegetable
Division, Consumer and Marketing Service.

[F.R. Doc. 69-5671; Filed, May 9, 1969;
8:50 a.m.]

Title 8—ALIENS AND NATIONALITY

Chapter I—Immigration and Naturalization Service, Department of Justice

MISCELLANEOUS AMENDMENTS TO CHAPTER

The following amendments to Chapter I of Title 8 of the Code of Federal Regulations are hereby prescribed:

PART 103—POWERS AND DUTIES OF SERVICE OFFICERS; AVAILABILITY OF SERVICE RECORDS

The second item of subparagraph (1) *Nonstatutory fees* of paragraph (b) *Additional fees* of § 103.7 *Fees* is amended to read as follows:

For filing application for transfer of petition for naturalization under section 335(1) of the Act, except when transfer is of a petition for naturalization filed under the Act of October 24, 1968, Public Law 90-633. \$5.00

PART 214—NONIMMIGRANT CLASSES

The sixth and 11th sentences of subparagraph (i) *General* of paragraph (j) *Exchange aliens* of § 214.2 *Special requirements for admission, extension, and maintenance of status* are amended to read as follows: "The exchange alien may also apply for an extension of stay for his spouse and child by furnishing, as an attachment to Form DSP-66, their Forms I-94 and a statement containing their names, dates, and places of birth; and their passport numbers, issuing countries, and expiration dates. * * * The accompanying spouse and minor children of a participant in a designated exchange program may be granted permission to accept employment in the United States but only if such employment is for the support (including, but not limited to, customary recreational and cultural activities and related travel) of the accompanying nonparticipating spouse and minor children in the United States."

PART 334—PETITION FOR NATURALIZATION

The last sentence of § 334.13 *Filing of petition for naturalization* is amended to read as follows: "The petitioner shall pay the clerk of the naturalization court, at the time the petition is filed, a fee of \$10, unless the petitioner is exempt therefrom by section 344(h) of the Immigration and Nationality Act, or is exempt therefrom under section 3 of the Act of October 24, 1968."

(Sec. 103, 66 Stat. 173; 8 U.S.C. 1103)

This order shall be effective on the date of its publication in the FEDERAL REGISTER. Compliance with the provisions of section 553 of title 5 of the United States Code (80 Stat. 383), as to notice of proposed rule making and delayed effective date is unnecessary in this instance because the rules prescribed by the order confer benefits upon persons affected thereby.

Dated: May 7, 1969.

RAYMOND F. FARRELL,
Commissioner of
Immigration and Naturalization.

[F.R. Doc. 69-5621; Filed, May 9, 1969; 8:48 a.m.]

Title 12—BANKS AND BANKING

Chapter II—Federal Reserve System

SUBCHAPTER A—BOARD OF GOVERNORS OF THE FEDERAL RESERVE SYSTEM

[Reg. Z]

PART 226—TRUTH IN LENDING

Miscellaneous Interpretations

1. In order to group current and future interpretations of this part according to their subject matter, the following sections are redesignated as follows:

Former section number	New section number
226.101	226.501
226.102	226.601
226.103	226.602
226.104	226.603
226.105	226.701

2. The following interpretations are added:

§ 226.401 Service charges on accounts not paid within a given period of time.

(a) Some vendors bill their customers for property or services purchased under the terms of a credit plan which requires that the full amount of each billing be paid within a stipulated period after billing, with no privilege of paying in installments. If the bill is not paid within that stipulated period of time, the vendor imposes a service charge periodically on the unpaid balance until the account is paid in full. The question arises as to whether Regulation Z applies to such transactions.

(b) When in the ordinary course of business a vendor's billings are not paid in full within that stipulated period of time, and under such circumstances the vendor does not, in fact, regard such accounts in default, but continues or will continue to extend credit and imposes charges periodically for delaying payment of such accounts from time to time until paid, the charge so imposed comes within the definitions of a "finance charge" (§ 226.2(q)) applicable in each case to the amount of the unpaid balance of the account. Under such circumstances the credit so extended comes within the definition of "open end credit" in § 226.2(r), the vendor is a creditor as defined in § 226.2(m), and the disclosures required for open end credit accounts under § 226.7 shall be made.

(Interprets and applies 15 U.S.C. 1604 and 1605.)

§ 226.604 Inconsistent State requirements.

(a) Section 226.6(b) of Regulation Z indicates types of State law requirements that are inconsistent with Regulation Z, and § 226.6(c) indicates the methods of dealing with such inconsistent requirements of State law.

(b) Whether State laws are inconsistent with Regulation Z necessarily depends on the nature of the State laws. Section 226.6(b)(1) provides that State law is inconsistent to the extent that it "requires a creditor to make disclosures different from the requirements of this part with respect to form, content, terminology, or time of delivery." This refers to disclosures of the kinds of information covered by Regulation Z, and not to other or collateral information such as a statement telling the customer that he should read the contract carefully, or that there should be no blanks in the contract. Similarly, it does not refer to headings that State law may require on a contract such as "Retail Installment Contract." Similarly, a specification in a State law that certain size type must be

used is not necessarily inconsistent with the requirements of Regulation Z.

(Interprets and applies 15 U.S.C. 1610.)

§ 226.702 Location of statement of how the balance was determined.

(a) Section 226.7(b)(8) requires the creditor of an open end credit account to disclose on the periodic statement, "the balance on which the finance charge was computed, and a statement of how that balance was determined." Under § 226.7(c) which relates to the location of disclosures there is no specific reference to the placement of the "statement of how that balance was determined" when separated from the balance to which it relates. The question arises as to where, under such circumstances, this required statement shall appear on the periodic statement.

(b) If separated from the balance to which it relates, the required statement of how the balance was determined may be placed on the face of the periodic statement, the reverse side of the periodic statement, or on an enclosed supplement; however, where such statement and balance do not appear together, the statement shall make clear the balance to which it refers.

(Interprets and applies 15 U.S.C. 1636)

§ 226.801 Location of disclosures when contract, security agreement, and evidence of transaction are combined in a single document.

(a) Some creditors incorporate the terms of a contract, a security agreement and evidence of a transaction in a single document. These documents are designed for processing by mechanical and electronic equipment. If all of the required disclosures under § 226.8 should be placed on the face of such a document, the creditor will be unable to utilize conventional accounting and record keeping equipment because of the size of the resulting document. The question arises as to whether required disclosures may be made on the face and the reverse side of such a document.

(b) Where a creditor elects to combine disclosures with the contract, security agreement, and evidence of a transaction in a single document, the disclosures required under § 226.8 shall, in accordance with § 226.6, be made on the face of that document, on its reverse side, or on both sides: *Provided*, That the amount of the finance charge and the annual percentage rate shall appear on the face of the document, and, if the reverse side is used, the printing on both sides of the document shall be equally clear and conspicuous, both sides shall contain the statement, "Notice: See other side for important information," and the place for the customer's signature shall be provided following the full content of the document.

(Interprets and applies 15 U.S.C. 1638)

§ 226.1001 Advertising of credit terms in other than open end credit.

(a) The statement of certain credit terms in advertisements such as "no

downpayment", the amount of any installment payments, dollar amount of finance charge, number of payments, etc., as provided in § 226.10(d)(2), requires that certain other terms also be stated in the same advertisement. The question arises as to how a creditor may advertise credit terms in a meaningful way when all of his credit sales or loans are not made on the same basis.

(b) The advertising of credit terms may be made by giving one or more examples of typical extensions of credit and stating all of the terms applicable to each example. In any such case, the advertiser shall set forth one or more examples which are, in fact, typical of the type of credit and terms usually and customarily made available by the creditor to present and prospective customers and each shall be clearly and conspicuously identified as examples of typical transactions.

(Interprets and applies 15 U.S.C. 1664)

§ 226.1002 Catalogs-tables or schedule of credit terms.

(a) Under § 226.10(b) in order that a catalog may qualify as a single advertisement, among other things, it must include a table or schedule of credit terms. It has been the practice of catalog houses to include such tables in catalogs; however, such tables generally state amounts of purchases, amounts of finance charges, and number and amount of payments for brackets up to a certain level and then contain an instruction to include a specified dollar amount in computing the finance charge by application of a percentage rate on any purchase in excess of that level. Tables to show the actual terms including annual percentage rates for all purchases into thousands of dollars would be unwieldy, present a formidable appearance, and may be more confusing than helpful to the user. The question arises as to whether a creditor who publishes a catalog is required to include tables in detailed amounts from the minimum up to, for example, \$5,000, his highest priced cataloged merchandise.

(b) Tables or schedules of terms in catalogs must include all amounts up to a level of the more commonly sold higher priced property or services which are offered for sale, but in no event greater than \$1,000 unless the creditor elects to do so. If the creditor offers property or service for sale at prices higher than the uppermost level covered by his table, he shall state the method by which the finance charge is computed on larger amounts, how the amount of payments and the number and periods of payments are determined and state, for each representative amount in increments of not more than \$500 up to the highest priced property or service offered, the annual percentage rate. Any catalog which contains such a table or schedule of credit terms will comply with requirements of § 226.10(b) provided all other requirements are met and such catalog shall be considered adequate for the purpose of § 226.8(g)(1).

(Interprets and applies 15 U.S.C. 1661)

Dated at Washington, D.C., the 23d day of April 1969.

By order of the Board of Governors.

[SEAL] ROBERT P. FORRESTAL,
Assistant Secretary.

[F.R. Doc. 69-5614; Filed, May 9, 1969;
8:47 a.m.]

Title 14—AERONAUTICS AND SPACE

Chapter I—Federal Aviation Administration, Department of Transportation

[Airspace Docket No. 69-SO-43]

PART 71—DESIGNATION OF FEDERAL AIRWAYS, CONTROLLED AIRSPACE, AND REPORTING POINTS

Alteration of Transition Area

The purpose of this amendment to Part 71 of the Federal Aviation Regulations is to alter the Tupelo, Miss., transition area.

The Tupelo transition area is described in § 71.181 (34 F.R. 4637). In the description, reference is made to the Tupelo Municipal Airport. Since the name of this airport was changed to C. D. Lemons Municipal Airport, effective April 14, 1969, it is necessary to alter the description to reflect this change.

Since this amendment is editorial in nature, notice and public procedure hereon are unnecessary and action is taken herein to alter the description accordingly.

In consideration of the foregoing, Part 71 of the Federal Aviation Regulations is amended, effective immediately, as hereinafter set forth.

In § 71.181 (34 F.R. 4637), the Tupelo, Miss., transition area is amended as follows: " * * * Tupelo Municipal Airport * * * " is deleted and " * * * C.D. Lemons Municipal Airport * * * " is substituted therefor.

(Sec. 307(a) of the Federal Aviation Act of 1958; 49 U.S.C. 1348(a) and of sec. 6(c) of the Department of Transportation Act; 49 U.S.C. 1655(c))

Issued in East Point, Ga., on April 30, 1969.

GORDON A. WILLIAMS, JR.,
Acting Director, Southern Region.

[F.R. Doc. 69-5604; Filed, May 9, 1969;
8:46 a.m.]

[Airspace Docket No. 68-SW-55]

PART 71—DESIGNATION OF FEDERAL AIRWAYS, CONTROLLED AIRSPACE, AND REPORTING POINTS

Control Zone; Designation and Alteration

On April 9, 1969, F.R. Doc. 69-4112 (Airspace Docket No. 68-SW-55) was published in the FEDERAL REGISTER (34 F.R. 6280) amending Part 71 of the Fed-

eral Aviation Regulations. Contained in this document was the designation of the Houston, Tex. (Intercontinental Airport), control zone, effective May 29, 1969. Subsequent to publication of the document, the U.S. Coast and Geodetic Survey informed the Federal Aviation Administration that the Humble VORTAC 339° (true) radial, upon which the northerly control zone extension was based, should be corrected to the 337° radial. Action is taken herein to correct this error.

Since this amendment is minor in nature and imposes no additional burden on the public, notice and public procedures thereon are considered unnecessary.

In consideration of the foregoing, F.R. Doc. 69-4112 is amended, effective immediately, as herein set forth.

In the description of the Houston, Tex. (Intercontinental Airport), control zone, "Humble VORTAC 339° radial" is deleted and "Humble VORTAC 337° radial" is substituted therefor.

(Sec. 307(a), Federal Aviation Act of 1958; 49 U.S.C. 1348; sec. 6(c), Department of Transportation Act; 49 U.S.C. 1655(c))

Issued in Fort Worth, Tex., on May 1, 1969.

A. L. COULTER,
Acting Director, Southwest Region.

[F.R. Doc. 69-5605; Filed, May 9, 1969;
8:47 a.m.]

[Airspace Docket No. 68-WE-25]

PART 73—SPECIAL USE AIRSPACE

Designation of Restricted Area

On March 13, 1969, F.R. Doc. 69-3039 was published in the FEDERAL REGISTER (34 F.R. 5157) which amends Part 73 of the Federal Aviation Regulations, effective 0901 G.m.t., May 1, 1969, in part, by designating a new Restricted Area R-2534B at Point Arguello, Calif. Subsequent to the publication of the document, it was determined that the area could be reduced along the southwestern boundary without adversely affecting the military mission for which the area was established.

Since this amendment is minor in nature, notice and public procedure hereon is unnecessary.

In consideration of the foregoing, F.R. Doc. 69-3039 is amended, effective 0901 G.m.t., July 24, 1969, by redesignating the boundaries of R-2534B as follows:

Boundaries: Beginning at lat. 34°38'35" N., long. 120°31'20" W.; to lat. 34°24'40" N., long. 120°19'10" W.; to lat. 34°24'45" N., long. 120°27'20" W.; to lat. 34°35'00" N., long. 120°31'40" W.; to point of beginning.

(Sec. 307(a) of the Federal Aviation Act of 1958; 49 U.S.C. 1348, and sec. 6(c) of the Department of Transportation Act; 49 U.S.C. 1655(c))

Issued in Washington, D.C., on May 2, 1969.

T. McCORMACK,
Acting Chief, Airspace and
Air Traffic Rules Division.

[F.R. Doc. 69-5606; Filed, May 9, 1969;
8:47 a.m.]

Title 15—COMMERCE AND FOREIGN TRADE

Chapter III—Bureau of International Commerce, Department of Commerce

SUBCHAPTER B—EXPORT REGULATIONS

[11th Gen. Rev. of Export Regs., Amdt. 22]

PART 379—EXPORT CLEARANCE AND DESTINATION CONTROL

Customs Clearance at Ports of Origin and General Export Clearance Requirements

Part 379 of the Code of Federal Regulations is hereby amended as follows:

(Sec. 3, 63 Stat. 7; 50 U.S.C. App. 2023; E.O. 10945, 26 F.R. 4487, 3 CFR 1959-1963 Comp.; E.O. 11038, 27 F.R. 7003, 3 CFR 1959-1963 Comp.)

Effective date: May 14, 1969.

RAUER H. MEYER,
Director, Office of Export Control.

The following paragraph is hereby added at the end of § 379.1 and designated (f):

§ 379.1 General export clearance requirements.

(f) *Exception to clearance requirements for port-of-origin procedure.* Under circumstances specified in § 379.12 clearance of certain shipments may be effected at designated ports of origin before movement of the shipment to and through the port from which it will leave the United States.

Section 379.12 is hereby revised to read as follows:

§ 379.12 Customs clearance at ports of origin.

(a) *Scope of procedure.* A procedure is established, as an exception to the requirements set forth in § 379.1(a), for the export control clearance of certain shipments of commodities and technical data at the ports of origin designated in paragraph (d) of this section, prior to movement of the shipment to, and through, the port of export. Use of the port of origin procedure is optional.

(b) *Types of shipments eligible for clearance at port of origin.* The following types of shipments, except shipments to nonforeign areas and to Canada for consumption in Canada, are eligible to be considered for clearance at ports of origin:

(1) Air shipments laden aboard a domestic flight for transfer to an international flight at the port of export;

(2) Air shipments laden aboard an international flight for transfer to another international flight of the same airline at the port of export;

(3) Surface shipments, containerized and/or consolidated at freight terminals within the port of origin: *Provided*, That distance and/or other factors do not make it impractical for Customs Officers

to travel to and from the site where the shipment is presented for inspection (see paragraph (e) (7) of this section); and

(4) Surface/air shipments; that is, shipments moving by air from the port of origin for transfer to surface transportation at the port of export, and shipments moving by surface transportation from the port of origin for transfer to an aircraft at the port of export.

(c) *Definitions of "port of origin" and "port of export".* For purposes of this § 379.12:

(1) Port of origin is a city at which the Customs Office is authorized to clear cargo for export prior to the loading of the cargo on a surface or air carrier for movement to the port of export and transfer to another carrier; and

(2) Port of export is a city where the merchandise is loaded on the aircraft or vessel which carries it abroad; or, in the case of exports moving to Mexico by surface transportation, the port at which the carrier departs from the United States.

(d) *Designated ports of origin.* The cities listed below are designated as ports of origin where Customs clearance of air or surface shipments may be effected prior to movement of the shipment to the port of export.

(1) *Ports of origin for clearance of either air shipments or surface shipments.*

Baltimore, Md.	Los Angeles, Calif.
Buffalo, N.Y.	New Orleans, La.
Chicago, Ill.	New York, N.Y.
Cleveland, Ohio.	Philadelphia, Pa.
Detroit, Mich.	St. Louis, Mo.
Houston, Tex.	San Francisco, Calif.

(2) *Ports of origin for clearance of air shipments only.*

Atlanta, Ga.	Newark, N.J.
Boston, Mass.	Oklahoma City, Okla.
Dallas, Tex.	Pittsburgh, Pa.
Denver, Colo.	Port Everglades, Fla.
Honolulu, Hawaii.	Portland, Ore.
Kansas City, Mo.	San Diego, Calif.
Memphis, Tenn.	San Juan, P.R.
Miami, Fla.	Seattle, Wash.
Minneapolis, Minn.	Tucson, Ariz.

(3) *Ports of origin for clearance of surface shipments only.*

Charleston, S.C. Jacksonville, Fla.

(e) *Clearance at port of origin—(1) Presentation for authentication.* A person who wishes to clear an export at a designated port of origin shall present the Shipper's Export Declaration and a validated export license, when required, to the Customs Office in accordance with the regulations set forth in this Part 379. The following special provisions must be complied with in addition to, or in lieu of, the usual requirements:

(i) Documents for individual shipments shall be presented sufficiently in advance of containerization or departure of the goods for the port of export, to permit inspection of the merchandise. Surface shipments must be made available for inspection at a freight terminal within port limits; air shipments, in an airline cargo room;

(ii) In the space on the Declaration for "Exporting Carrier," show ocean car-

rier; airline that is to carry the shipment abroad; or "rail," "truck," or "vehicle," etc., as appropriate, for land shipments to Mexico, along with the name of the transportation company that is to carry the merchandise across the border. If the name of the exporting carrier is unknown at the port of origin, this information may be inserted at the port of export by the exporting carrier;

(iii) In the space for "U.S. Port of Export," show port of actual export; not port of origin; and

(iv) Stamp or write "Port-of-Origin Procedure—copy on file at (insert port of origin)", across the bottom of columns 9 through 15.

(2) *Authentication of Declaration.* All copies of the Declaration which are required by the provisions of § 379.3(c) to be presented to the Customs Office, will be authenticated at the port of origin. One copy of the authenticated Declaration shall be retained by the Customs Office and the original and duplicate copy shall be returned to the exporter or his agent. The exporter or his agent is responsible for delivering these declarations to the carrier transporting the cargo to the port of exportation. This carrier, in turn, is responsible for delivering the copies to the exporting carrier for presentation to the Customs Office at the port of export with the outward foreign manifest (see paragraph (f) of this section).

(3) *Inspection at port of origin.* When an inspection is ordered at the port of origin the exporter or his agent will be required to provide personnel to open and close packages as necessary.

(4) *Additional copies of Declaration.* Additional copies of the Declaration when required by the Department of Commerce, shall be presented to the Customs Office at the port of origin, and, after authentication, forwarded by the Customs Office at the port of origin directly to the Department of Commerce in accordance with outstanding instructions.

(5) *Correction forms.* When a Form FT-7403, Export Declaration Correction Form, is required by the provisions of § 379.5(d), the form shall be presented in triplicate to the Customs Office at the port of origin which will retain one copy and forward two copies to the Customs Office at the port of export.

(6) *Outward foreign manifest for shipments laden aboard international flight at port of origin.* Copies of outward foreign manifests for shipments laden aboard an international flight for transfer to another international flight of the same airline at the port of export (see paragraph (b) (2), of this section), shall be prepared and filed with the Customs Office at the port of origin and the port of export in accordance with the procedure set forth in § 30.36 of Census Bureau's Foreign Trade Statistics Regulations.

(7) *Inconvenient site for inspection.* If it is impractical for the port of origin procedure to be used because of the location of the site where the shipment is presented for inspection, the Customs

Office will so notify the exporter and advise him to either present the merchandise at a more convenient location or have it cleared at the port of export.

(f) *Procedure at port of export*—(1) *Presentation of documents to Customs Office.* The original and duplicate copies of Declarations authenticated at ports of origin and forwarded by the exporter or his agent to the exporting carrier, shall be presented by that carrier to the Customs Office at the port of export with the outward foreign manifest. Where shipments move to Mexico by land or by ferry, the original and duplicate authenticated Declarations must be presented to the port of export Customs Office at the time of, or prior to, movement of the goods across the border. Presentation of the Declaration may be made by the exporter, his agent, or the transportation company responsible for carrying the goods abroad. All related documents to be retained at the port of export or to be certified as to completion of the export shall accompany the corresponding copies of the Declaration.

(2) *Detention and examination of shipments.* Notwithstanding any other provision of this § 379.12, the Customs Office at the port of export is authorized to detain any shipment for review of the Declaration or for physical examination of the goods whenever Customs deems such action to be necessary to assure compliance with the export regulations.

(g) *Change in port of export or exporting carrier.* Where the port of export or the exporting carrier designated in the authenticated Declaration is changed after clearance at a port of origin, the exporting carrier that will actually carry the goods abroad may revise the Declaration accordingly.

(h) *Effect of other provisions.* Insofar as consistent with the provisions of this section which relate specifically to clearance of exports at ports of origin, the other provisions of this Part 379 shall apply to exports cleared at ports of origin.

[F.R. Doc. 69-5636; Filed, May 9, 1969; 8:49 a.m.]

Title 17—COMMODITY AND SECURITIES EXCHANGES

Chapter II—Securities and Exchange Commission

[Release No. 34-8596]

PART 240—GENERAL RULES AND REGULATIONS, SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934

Proxy Statements; Material Transactions

The Securities and Exchange Commission has adopted a clarifying amendment to its proxy rules (Regulation 14A, 17 CFR 240.14a-1 et seq.) under the Securities Exchange Act of 1934. The amendment codifies a long-standing interpretation of item 7(f) of Schedule

14A (17 CFR 240.14a-101), which specifies the information to be included in proxy statements. Item 7(f) calls for information with respect to the interests of insiders in transactions to which the issuer or any of its subsidiaries was or is to be a party. Instruction 3 to that item permits the omission of information as to certain indirect interests, including those which arise from the ownership of a limited equity interest in another party to the transaction. The amendment makes it clear that a general partnership interest is regarded as being more than an "equity interest." It is regarded as the equivalent of the interest of a corporate officer who has an equity interest in the corporation.

Commission action. Instruction 3 to Item 7(f) of § 240.14a-1 of Chapter II of Title 17 of the Code of Federal Regulations is amended to read as follows:

§ 240.14(a)-101 Schedule 14A. Information required in proxy statement.

Item 7. Remuneration and other transactions with management and others.

(f) * * * Instructions. 1. * * * 2. * * *

3. It should be noted that this item calls for disclosure of indirect, as well as direct, material interests in transactions. A person who has a position or relationship with a firm, corporation, or other entity, which engages in a transaction with the issuer or its subsidiaries may have an indirect interest in such transaction by reason of such position or relationship. However, a person shall be deemed not to have a material indirect interest in a transaction within the meaning of this Item 7(f) where—

(a) The interest arises only (i) from such person's position as a director of another corporation or organization (other than a partnership) which is a party to the transaction, or (ii) from the direct or indirect ownership by such person and all other persons specified in subparagraphs (1) through (4) above, in the aggregate, of less than a 10 percent equity interest in another person (other than a partnership) which is a party to the transaction, or (iii) from both such position and ownership.

(b) The interest arises only from such person's position as a limited partner in a partnership in which he and all other persons specified in (1) through (4) above had an interest of less than 10 percent; or

(c) The interest of such person arises solely from the holding of an equity interest (including a limited partnership interest but excluding a general partnership interest) or a creditor interest in another person which is a party to the transaction with the issuer or any of its subsidiaries and the transaction is not material to such other person.

4. * * * 5. * * * 6. * * *

(Secs. 14, 23(a), 48 Stat. 895 and 901, as amended; 15 U.S.C. 78n and 78w)

Effective date. The Commission finds that the amendment is an interpretative rule, does not involve a substantial change in Regulation 14A as heretofore interpreted, and that notice and procedure pursuant to the Administrative Procedure Act (5 U.S.C. sec. 553) is not

necessary. For the same reasons, the amendment may be made effective immediately. Accordingly, the amendment shall become effective upon publication April 28, 1969.

By the Commission, April 28, 1969.

[SEAL] ORVAL L. DUBOIS,
Secretary.

[F.R. Doc. 69-5596; Filed, May 9, 1969; 8:46 a.m.]

[Release No. 34-8592]

PART 240—GENERAL RULES AND REGULATIONS, SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934

Acquisitions of Stock and Options Under Certain Stock Bonus, Stock Option, or Similar Plans

The Securities and Exchange Commission has adopted an amendment to Rule 16b-3 (17 CFR 240.16b-3) under the Securities Exchange Act of 1934. That rule exempts from section 16(b) of the Act the acquisition of certain securities pursuant to stock bonus, profit sharing, retirement, and similar plans which meet certain specified conditions. The rule exempts the acquisition of shares of stock other than stock acquired upon the exercise of options, warrants, or rights. It also exempts the acquisition of restricted, qualified, and employee stock purchase plan stock options, but not the acquisition of stock upon the exercise of such options.

Paragraph (d) (3) of Rule 16b-3 previously provided that the term "exercise of an option, warrant or right", as used in the rule, did not include the making of an election to receive under any plan an award of compensation in the form of stock or credits therefor, provided the election is made prior to the award and subject to certain other conditions. However, in some instances the election to receive stock under a plan is made annually with respect to the portion of the award relating to the particular year. For this reason paragraph (d) (3) of the rule has been amended to provide that an election made on an annual basis is not deemed to be the "exercise of an option, warrant or right", within the meaning of the rule, provided it is made either prior to the award or prior to the fulfillment of all conditions to the receipt of the compensation.

Commission action. Section 240.16b-3 of Chapter II of Title 17 of the Code of Federal Regulations is amended to read as follows:

§ 240.16(b)-(3) Exemption from section 16(b) of acquisition of shares of stock and stock options under certain stock bonus, stock option or similar plans.

(d) * * *

(3) The term "exercise of an option, warrant or right" contained in the parenthetical clause of the first paragraph of this section shall not include (i) the making of an election to receive under any plan compensation in the form of

stock or credits therefor provided that such election is made either prior to the making of the award or prior to the fulfillment of all conditions to the receipt of the compensation and, provided further, that such election is irrevocable until at least 6 months after termination of employment; (ii) the subsequent crediting of such stock; (iii) the making of any election as to the time for delivery of such stock after termination of employment, provided that such election is made at least 6 months prior to any such delivery; (iv) the fulfillment of any condition to the absolute right to receive such stock; or (v) the acceptance of certificates for shares of such stock.

(Secs. 16(b) and 23(a); 48 Stat. 896, and 901, as amended; 15 U.S.C. 78p and 78w)

Effective date. The foregoing action, which is taken pursuant to sections 16(b) and 23(a) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, shall become effective upon publication May 1, 1969.

By the Commission, May 1, 1969.

ORVAL L. DuBOIS,
Secretary.

[F.R. Doc. 69-5597; Filed, May 9, 1969; 8:46 a.m.]

Title 33—NAVIGATION AND NAVIGABLE WATERS

Chapter II—Corps of Engineers, Department of the Army

PART 204—DANGER ZONE REGULATIONS

Pacific Ocean, Hawaii

Pursuant to the provisions of section 7 of the River and Harbor Act of August 8, 1917 (40 Stat. 266; 33 U.S.C. 1) and Chapter XIX of the Army Appropriations Act of July 9, 1918 (33 U.S.C. 3), § 204.225a establishing and governing the use of a danger zone and two warning areas in the Pacific Ocean, Hawaii, is hereby amended in its entirety revoking the two warning areas, effective 30 days after publication in the FEDERAL REGISTER, as follows:

§ 204.225a Pacific Ocean at Barking Sands, Island of Kauai, Hawaii, missile range facility.

(a) *The danger zone.* The waters within an area beginning at latitude 22°03'15" N., longitude 159°47'15" W.; thence southerly along the shoreline to latitude 22°02'45" N., longitude 159°47'18" W.; thence westerly to latitude 22°02'30" N., longitude 159°51'30" W.; thence northeasterly to latitude 22°06'30" N., longitude 159°49'30" W.; and thence southeasterly to point of beginning.

(b) *Markers.* (1) Range markers at the control point at latitude 22°03'17.4" N., longitude 159°47'12.2" W., are sepa-

rated 300 feet (one pole 95.5 feet north-west and the other pole 204.5 feet south-east of this point) along a line bearing 327°10' True.

(2) Range markers at the control point at latitude 22°02'44.5" N., longitude 159°47'16.4" W., are separated 300 feet (one pole 75 feet west and the other pole 225 feet east of this point) along a line bearing 266°20' True.

(3) The range marker poles seaward from each control point are 25 feet in height above ground level. The other two poles are 45 feet above ground level.

(4) Each range marker consists of a 10-foot equilateral triangle with alternate red and white diagonal stripes.

(c) *The regulations.* Entry into the area by any person, boat, vessel or other craft is prohibited at all times. Special permission for transit through the area by the most direct route may be obtainable on an individual basis, by prior arrangement with the Commanding Officer, Pacific Missile Range Facility, Hawaiian Area, Barking Sands, Kauai, Hawaii.

[Regs., Apr. 28, 1969, ENGCW-ON] (Sec. 7, 40 Stat. 266 and Ch. XIX, 40 Stat. 892; 33 U.S.C. 1, 3)

For the Adjutant General.

HAROLD SHARON,
Chief, Legislative and Precedent Branch, Management Division, TAGO.

[F.R. Doc. 69-5583; Filed, May 9, 1969; 8:45 a.m.]

PART 207—NAVIGATION REGULATIONS

Jamaica Bay, Long Island, N.Y.

Pursuant to the provisions of section 7 of the River and Harbor Act of August 8, 1917 (40 Stat. 266; 33 U.S.C. 1), § 207.37 is hereby amended with respect to paragraph (a) redesigning a seaplane restricted area in Jamaica Bay, Long Island, N.Y., effective 30 days after publication in the FEDERAL REGISTER, as follows:

§ 207.37 Jamaica Bay, Long Island, N.Y., seaplane restricted area.

(a) *The restricted area.* An area in Jamaica Bay bounded as follows: Beginning at latitude 40°36'22" N., longitude 73°52'47" W.; thence 157° True, 1,125 yards to latitude 40°35'52" N., longitude 73°52'30" W.; thence 113° True, 3,020 yards to latitude 40°35'17" N., longitude 73°50'42" W.; thence 194° True, 250 yards to latitude 40°35'10" N., longitude 73°50'44" W.; thence 238° True, 3,270 yards to latitude 40°34'18" N., longitude 73°52'31" W.; thence 326° True, 465 yards to latitude 40°34'29" N., longitude 73°52'42" W.; thence 30° True, 875 yards to latitude 40°34'52" N., longitude 73°52'25" W.; thence 344° True, 3,000 yards to latitude 40°36'17" N., longitude

73°52'58" W.; and thence 60° True, 325 yards to the point of beginning; excluding therefrom Nova Scotia Bar defined by lines connecting the following: From latitude 40°35'33" N., longitude 73°52'12" W.; thence 112° True, 1,500 yards to latitude 40°35'17" N., longitude 73°51'17" W.; thence 163° True, 235 yards to latitude 40°35'10" N., longitude 73°51'14" W.; thence 236° True, 1,650 yards to latitude 40°34'43" N., longitude 73°52'08" W.; thence 345° True, 1,350 yards to latitude 40°35'22" N., longitude 73°52'21" W.; and thence 34° True, 440 yards to the point of beginning.

[Regs., Apr. 28, 1969, ENGCW-ON] (Sec. 7, 40 Stat. 266; 33 U.S.C. 1)

For the Adjutant General.

HAROLD SHARON,
Chief, Legislative and Precedent Branch, Management Division, TAGO.

[F.R. Doc. 69-5584; Filed, May 9, 1969; 8:45 a.m.]

Title 36—PARKS, FORESTS, AND MEMORIALS

Chapter III—Corps of Engineers, Department of the Army

PART 322—PUBLIC USE OF SALT PLAINS NATIONAL WILDLIFE REFUGE AND GREAT SALT PLAINS DAM AND RESERVOIR AREA, SALT FORK OF ARKANSAS RIVER, OKLA.

Public Use of Refuge and Reservoir Areas Under Control of Interior and Army Departments

Sections 322.6(a) and 322.11 are revised to read as follows:

§ 322.6 Hunting and fishing.

(a) Hunting will not be permitted except for special hunting seasons as may be established by the Regional Director, Bureau of Sport Fisheries and Wildlife on the Great Salt Plains National Refuge.

§ 322.11 Firearms and explosives.

Loaded firearms and explosives are prohibited in the refuge and reservoir areas except on the Great Salt Plains National Wildlife Area during the special hunting seasons as permitted in § 322.6.

[Regs., Apr. 14, 1969, ENGCW-OM] (Sec. 4, 58 Stat. 889, as amended; 16 U.S.C. 460d)

For the Adjutant General.

HAROLD SHARON,
Chief, Legislative and Precedent Branch, Management Division, TAGO.

[F.R. Doc. 69-5585; Filed, May 9, 1969; 8:45 a.m.]

Title 41—PUBLIC CONTRACTS AND PROPERTY MANAGEMENT

Chapter 1—Federal Procurement Regulations

PART 1-30—CONTRACT FINANCING

Subpart 1-30.4—Advance Payments

EXCLUSION FROM ADVANCE PAYMENT PROHIBITIONS

Section 1-30.419 is revised to read as follows:

§ 1-30.419 Excluded advance payments.

This Subpart 1-30.4 does not apply to advances authorized by law for payment of rent; payment of tuition; payment of authorized insurance premiums; expenses of investigations in foreign countries; extension or connection of public utilities for Government buildings or installations; subscriptions to newspapers, magazines, periodical, and other publications; small purchases of goods or services in foreign countries, when the purchase price does not exceed \$2,500 (or equivalent amount of applicable foreign currency) and advance payment of the purchase price or a part thereof is required by and made in compliance with the laws or ministerial, i.e., governmental, regulations of the foreign country concerned; and the enforcement of the customs or narcotics laws.

(Sec. 205(c), 63 Stat. 390; 40 U.S.C. 486(c))

Effective date. This amendment is effective upon publication in the FEDERAL REGISTER.

Dated: May 2, 1969.

ROBERT L. KUNZIG,
Administrator of General Services.

[F.R. Doc. 69-5587; Filed, May 9, 1969;
8:45 a.m.]

Title 45—PUBLIC WELFARE

Chapter VII—Commission on Civil Rights

MISCELLANEOUS AMENDMENTS TO CHAPTER

Part 701 is amended as follows:

PART 701—ORGANIZATION AND FUNCTIONS OF THE COMMISSION

Paragraph (b) of § 701.12 is revised to read as follows:

§ 701.12 Staff organization.

(b) The staff organization of the Commission is as follows:

(1) *Office of the Staff Director.* Under the general direction of the Commission, the Office of the Staff Director plans the agency work programs; directs, supervises, and coordinates the work of offices; reports plans, work programs, and activities of the agency to the Commission; represents the agency in relationships with the Executive Office of

the President, the Congress, other Federal agencies, the press, national civil rights organizations, other private and local groups, and the general public; and manages the administrative affairs of the agency.

(2) *Office of General Counsel.* The Office of General Counsel plans and conducts hearings to investigate and obtain information about civil rights denials; conducts studies and prepares reports in areas within the jurisdiction of the Commission, particularly in the areas of the administration of justice and voting; receives complaints alleging denials of civil rights, refers these to Federal agencies having jurisdiction and follows up on action taken; drafts or reviews proposals for legislative and executive action in civil rights and prepares testimony on civil rights legislation; reviews all agency publications for legal sufficiency; provides in-house legal counsel to the agency; and drafts and negotiates all agency contracts.

(3) *Office of Civil Rights Program and Policy.* The Office of Civil Rights Program and Policy conducts appraisals of Federal laws, policies, administration, and programs; maintains liaison with Federal agencies on civil rights policies, administration, and programs; provides technical research assistance to other Commission units and to public and private groups and individuals; plans and conducts or stimulates studies to advance basic knowledge about the extent, causes and socioeconomic consequences of civil rights denials, including, but not limited to the areas of housing, education, economic security and welfare; and prepares clearinghouse materials.

(4) *Office of Information and Publications.* The Office of Information and Publications prepares and disseminates information about the Commission and its studies and publications through various techniques of communication; prepares or assists in the preparation of clearinghouse publications; edits and prepares for printing all Commission publications, including hearing transcripts and reports; maintains liaison with news media; writes speeches and coordinates speaking engagements of Commission staff; maintains informational liaison with public and private groups and individuals interested in civil rights; and maintains a Technical Information Center for the storage, retrieval, and dissemination of information related to civil rights problems and minority groups.

(5) *Office of Management.* The Office of Management provides management services to the agency in the areas of personnel, financial management, program analysis, procurement, space, travel, reproduction, mail, and messenger services.

(6) *Office of Community Programing.* The Office of Community Programing plans and directs field offices and field programs of the Commission; develops, organizes, and supervises field activities including investigations, meetings, conferences, and the preparation of reports of State Advisory Committees to the Commission which are located in each State and the District of Columbia; as-

sists other Commission units in carrying out hearings, meetings, studies, and clearinghouse functions in the field; maintains liaison with public and private organizations and individuals interested in civil rights at the regional, State, and local levels; and provides informational services to field offices, State Advisory Committees and other public and private groups whose goals and activities are consistent with those of the Commission.

(Secs. 101-06, 71 Stat. 634-36, as amended; 42 U.S.C. 1975-1975e)

PART 704—INFORMATION DISCLOSURE AND COMMUNICATIONS

1. Section 704.1 is amended to reflect the change in the Commission's address. Paragraphs (c), (d)(1)(i), (d)(2), and (g) are revised to read as follows:

§ 704.1 Material available pursuant to 5 U.S.C. 552.

(c) *Material maintained on file pursuant to 5 U.S.C. 552(a)(2).* Material maintained on file pursuant to 5 U.S.C. 552(a)(2) shall be available for inspection during regular business hours at the offices of the Commission at 1405 Eye Street NW., Washington, D.C. 20425. Copies of such material shall be available upon written request, specifying the material desired, addressed to Office of General Counsel, U.S. Commission on Civil Rights, Washington, D.C. 20425, and upon the prepayment of fees determined in accordance with paragraph (e) of this section.

(d) *Material available upon request pursuant to 5 U.S.C. 552(a)(3)—(1) Request procedure.* (i) Requests for records available pursuant to 5 U.S.C. 552(a)(3) shall be addressed in writing to Office of General Counsel, U.S. Commission on Civil Rights, Washington, D.C. 20425, and shall, (a) contain a sufficiently specific description of the record requested with respect to names, dates, and subject matter to permit it to be identified and located; (b) state whether copies are requested; (c) state when and where the records are requested to be examined or copies of records to be delivered.

(2) *Time and place of access to material.* Records or copies requested shall be made available at the offices of the Commission at 1405 Eye Street NW., Washington, D.C. 20425, during regular business hours.

(g) *Administrative appeal from denial of requests.* If a request conforming with the requirements of paragraph (d)(1) of this section is denied by the General Counsel, the denial is subject to review by the Staff Director of the Commission provided such review is requested by the person submitting a request for information in accordance with this paragraph (g) within 30 days after the date of the General Counsel's decision. The filing of a request for review may be accomplished by mailing to the Staff Director, U.S.

Commission on Civil Rights, Washington, D.C. 20425, by certified mail, a copy of the initiating request for a record, a copy of the written denial issued under this paragraph, and a statement of the circumstances, reasons or arguments advanced for insistence upon disclosure of the originally requested record. Review will be made by the Staff Director on the basis of the written record described above. The decision after review will be in writing, will be promptly communicated to the person requesting review, and will constitute the final action of the Commission subject to judicial review as provided in 5 U.S.C. 552(a) (3).

2. Section 704.2 is revised to reflect the change in the Commission's address as follows:

§ 704.2 Complaints.

Any person may bring to the attention of the Commission a grievance which he believes falls within the jurisdiction of the Commission, as set forth in section 104 of the Act. This shall be done by submitting a complaint in writing to Office of General Counsel, U.S. Commission on Civil Rights, Washington, D.C. 20425. Allegations falling under section 104(a) (1) and (5) of the Act (discrimination or fraud in voting) must be under oath or affirmation. All complaints should set forth the pertinent facts upon which the complaint is based, including but not limited to specification of (a) names and titles of officials or other persons involved in acts forming the basis for the complaint; (b) accurate designations of place locations involved; (c) dates of events described in the complaint.

3. Section 704.3 is revised to reflect the change in the Commission's address as follows:

§ 704.3 Other requests and communications.

Requests for information and for Commission literature should be directed to Office of Information and Publications, U.S. Commission on Civil Rights, Washington, D.C. 20425. Communications with respect to Commission proceedings should be made pursuant to § 702.17 of this chapter. All other communications should be directed to Office of the Staff Director, U.S. Commission on Civil Rights, Washington, D.C. 20425.

(Secs. 101-06, 71 Stat. 634-36, as amended; 42 U.S.C. 1975-1975e; sec. 1, 81 Stat. 54-55; 5 U.S.C. 552)

PART 705—EMPLOYEE RESPONSIBILITIES AND CONDUCT

Part 705 is amended by revising § 705.735-2(a) (3) as follows:

§ 705.735-2 Statements of employment and financial interests.

- (a) * * *
- (3) The Office Heads.

(E.O. 11222, 30 F.R. 6469; 3 CFR, 1965 Supp.; 5 CFR 735.101 et seq.)

Effective date. These revisions and amendments shall become effective on the date of their publication in the FEDERAL REGISTER.

THEODORE M. HESBURGH,
Chairman.

[F.R. Doc. 69-5586; Filed, May 9, 1969; 8:45 a.m.]

Title 49—TRANSPORTATION

Chapter X—Interstate Commerce Commission

SUBCHAPTER A—GENERAL RULES AND REGULATIONS
[S.O. 1026]

PART 1033—CAR SERVICE

St. Louis Southwestern Railway Co. Authorized To Operate Over Trackage of Illinois Central Railroad Co.

At a session of the Interstate Commerce Commission, Railroad Service Board, held in Washington, D.C., on the 6th day of May 1969.

It appearing that because of damage to its bridge over the Red River at milepost K-450.34 in the vicinity of Shreveport, La., the St. Louis Southwestern Railway Co. is unable to operate trains over its line between Bossier City, La., and Shreveport, La.; that such St. Louis Southwestern Railway Co. trains can be operated over tracks of the Illinois Central Railroad Co. between a point of connection with the St. Louis Southwestern Railway Co. at Shreveport, La., and another point of connection at Bossier City, La., a distance of approximately 3 miles; that the Commission is of the opinion that operation by the St. Louis Southwestern Railway Co. over this trackage of the Illinois Central Railroad Co. is necessary to enable the St. Louis Southwestern Railway Co. to handle this traffic, in the interest of the public and the commerce of the people; that notice and public procedure herein are imprac-

tical and contrary to the public interest; and that good cause exists for making this order effective upon less than 30 days' notice:

It is ordered, That:

§ 1033.1026 Service Order No. 1026.

(a) *St. Louis Southwestern Railway Co. authorized to operate over trackage of Illinois Central Railroad Co.* The St. Louis Southwestern Railway Co. be, and it is hereby authorized to operate over trackage of the Illinois Central Railroad Co. between a point of connection between these companies at Shreveport, La., and another point of connection at Bossier City, La., a distance of approximately 3 miles.

(b) *Application.* The provisions of this order shall apply to intrastate and foreign traffic, as well as to interstate traffic.

(c) *Rates applicable.* Inasmuch as this operation by the St. Louis Southwestern Railway Co. over tracks of the Illinois Central Railroad Co. is deemed to be due to carrier's disability, the rates applicable to traffic moved by the St. Louis Southwestern Railway Co. over these tracks of the Illinois Central Railroad Co. shall be the rates which were applicable on the shipments at the time of shipment as originally routed.

(d) *Effective date.* This order shall become effective at 12:01 a.m., May 7, 1969.

(e) *Expiration date.* The provisions of this order shall expire at 11:59 p.m., September 30, 1969, unless otherwise modified, changed, or suspended by order of this Commission.

(Secs. 1, 12, 15, and 17(2), 24 Stat. 379, 383, 384, as amended; 49 U.S.C. 1, 12, 15, and 17 (2). Interprets or applies secs. 1(10-17), 15 (4), and 17(2), 40 Stat. 101, as amended 54 Stat. 211; 49 U.S.C. 1(10-17), 15(4), and 17 (2).)

It is further ordered, That copies of this order shall be served upon the Association of American Railroads, Car Service Division, as agent of the railroads subscribing to the car service and per diem agreement under the terms of that agreement; and that notice of this order shall be given to the general public by depositing a copy in the Office of the Secretary of the Commission at Washington, D.C., and by filing it with the Director, Office of the Federal Register.

By the Commission, Railroad Service Board.

[SEAL] H. NEIL GARSON,
Secretary.

[F.R. Doc. 69-5628; Filed, May 9, 1969; 8:49 a.m.]

Proposed Rule Making

DEPARTMENT OF AGRICULTURE

Consumer and Marketing Service

[7 CFR Parts 966, 980]

TOMATOES GROWN IN FLORIDA

Limitation of Shipments and Importation; Extension of Time

A notice of rule making on a proposed amendment to the limitation of shipments regulation for Florida tomatoes and minimum grade and size requirements for tomato imports was published in the May 1, 1969, FEDERAL REGISTER (34 F.R. 7170). It allowed 5 days after publication for filing data, views, or arguments.

Subsequent to publication of this notice, the Department received information to the effect that the increased volume of tomato shipments requiring the amendment would be delayed beyond the originally proposed effective date, on or about May 12, 1969, to about May 19, 1969.

Accordingly, the period for filing written data, views, and arguments to the said notice is hereby extended to May 12, 1969, and the proposed effective date of the amendment is changed to "on or about May 19, 1969."

Dated: May 8, 1969.

FLOYD F. HEDLUND,
Director, Fruit and Vegetable
Division, Consumer and Marketing Service.

[F.R. Doc. 69-5669; Filed, May 9, 1969;
8:50 a.m.]

DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH, EDUCATION, AND WELFARE

Food and Drug Administration

[21 CFR Part 8]

COLOR ADDITIVES

Withdrawal of Publication of Order

In the matter of color additive petitions submitted by The Toilet Goods Association, the Pharmaceutical Manufacturers Association, and the Certified Color Industry Committee, % Hazleton Laboratories, Inc., Post Office Box 30, Falls Church, Va. 22046, proposing issuance of regulations to provide for the safe use of various color additives as follows:

Csp. No.	Color additive(s)	Use
26.....	Ext. D&C Yellow No. 7.	In drug and cosmetic products that are applied externally.
34.....	D&C Yellow No. 7 and D&C Yellow No. 8.	Do.
35.....	D&C Orange No. 4.	Do.
36.....	FD&C Red No. 2.	In foods, drugs, and cosmetics.
37.....	D&C Violet No. 2.	In drugs and cosmetics that are applied externally.
38.....	D&C Red No. 34.	Do.
39.....	D&C Red No. 17.	Do.
57.....	D&C Blue No. 6.	In ingested drugs, surgical sutures, lipsticks, and externally applied drugs and cosmetics.
58.....	D&C Red No. 30.	In ingested drugs, lipsticks, and drugs and cosmetics intended for external application.
61.....	FD&C Red No. 4.	In ingested drugs, maraschino cherries, and externally applied drugs and cosmetics.
62.....	D&C Yellow No. 10.	In ingested drugs, lipsticks, and drugs and cosmetics for external application.
63.....	D&C Yellow No. 11.	In ingested drugs and cosmetics for external application.
65.....	FD&C Green No. 3.	In food, ingested drugs, lipsticks, and externally applied drugs and cosmetics.
66.....	FD&C Yellow No. 6.	In foods, drugs, and cosmetics.
68.....	FD&C Violet No. 1.	In foods, dietary supplements, ingested drugs, and externally applied drugs and cosmetics.
84.....	D&C Green No. 5.	In ingested drugs, lipsticks, and externally applied drugs and cosmetics.
85.....	D&C Green No. 6.	Do.
86.....	D&C Red No. 33.	In ingested drugs, lipsticks, and drugs and cosmetics for external application.

An order denying the proposals of the petitioners to establish regulations under section 706(b) of the Federal Food, Drug, and Cosmetic Act to permit the use in cosmetics of the above-named color additives was published in the FEDERAL REGISTER on April 11, 1969 (34 F.R. 6396).

In response to the order one of the petitioners, The Toilet Goods Association, has requested that further procedures be deferred pending the litigation now on appeal in the U.S. Court of Appeals, Second Circuit, that will delineate the safety data that will be required.

In response to that request, the Commissioner of Food and Drugs hereby withdraws publication of the order which appeared in the FEDERAL REGISTER on April 11, 1969, without prejudice to the right to republish the same or a similar order at a later date.

This action is taken pursuant to the provisions of the Federal Food, Drug, and Cosmetic Act (sec. 706, 74 Stat. 399-407,

as amended; 21 U.S.C. 376) and under authority delegated to the Commissioner (21 CFR 2.120).

Dated: May 8, 1969.

HERBERT L. LEY, Jr.,
Commissioner of Food and Drugs.

[F.R. Doc. 69-5676; Filed, May 9, 1969;
8:50 a.m.]

[21 CFR Part 31]

NONALCOHOLIC BEVERAGES

Soda Water, Identity Standard; Proposed Listing of Enzyme Modified Soy Protein in a Carrier of Propylene Glycol as Optional Foaming Agent

Notice is given that a petition has been filed by the National Soft Drink Association, 1128 16th Street NW., Washington, D.C. 20036, proposing that the standard of identity for soda water (21 CFR 31.1) be amended to list enzyme modified soy protein in a carrier of propylene glycol as an optional foaming agent.

Grounds set forth in the petition in support of the proposal are that enzyme modified soy protein in a carrier of propylene glycol when used in small amounts in certain soda water products, such as root beer, birch beer, and cream soda, have proved desirable in producing a head of foam appealing to consumers without affecting taste.

Accordingly, it is proposed that § 31.1 (b) (7) be revised to read as follows:

§ 31.1 Soda water; identity; label statement of optional ingredients.

(b) * * *

(7) One or more of the foaming agents ammoniated glycyrrhizin, gum ghatti, licorice or glycyrrhiza, yucca (Joshua-tree), yucca (Mohave), quillaia (soap-bark) (Quillaja saponaria Mol.), and enzyme modified soy protein in a carrier of propylene glycol.

Any interested person may, within 30 days from the date of publication of this notice in the FEDERAL REGISTER, file with the Hearing Clerk, Department of Health, Education, and Welfare, Room 5440, 330 Independence Avenue SW., Washington, D.C. 20201, written comments (preferably in quintuplicate) regarding this proposal. Comments may be accompanied by a memorandum or brief in support thereof.

Dated: May 5, 1969.

R. E. DUGGAN,
Acting Associate Commissioner
for Compliance.

[F.R. Doc. 69-5590; Filed, May 9, 1969;
8:45 a.m.]

**DEPARTMENT OF
TRANSPORTATION**

Federal Aviation Administration

[14 CFR Part 39]

[Docket No. 69-EA-37]

AIRWORTHINESS DIRECTIVES

Fairchild Hiller Aircraft

The Federal Aviation Administration is considering amending § 39.13 of Part 39 of the Federal Aviation Regulations so as to issue an airworthiness directive requiring a modification to the wing fuel access doors of the Fairchild Hiller F-27 type airplanes.

There have been reports of skin and doubler cracks around the holes for attaching screws and bolts of the nut retainer plate assembly. It is believed that this results from the working of the wing outer panel fuel access door attach bolts and screws. Since this is a condition which can exist or develop in airplanes of the same type design, an airworthiness directive would be issued to require the removal of nut retainer plate assembly screws to permit the use of sealant in lieu thereof and removal of rubber seals on the wing outer panel fuel access doors to be replaced by a new metal seal.

Interested persons are invited to participate in the making of the proposed rule by submitting written data and views. Communications should identify the docket number and be submitted in duplicate to the Office of Regional Counsel, FAA, Federal Building, John F. Kennedy International Airport, Jamaica, N.Y. 11430.

All communications received within 30 days after publication in the FEDERAL REGISTER will be considered before taking action upon the proposed rule. The proposals contained in this notice may be changed in light of comments received. All comments will be available in the Office of Regional Counsel for examination by interested parties.

In consideration of the foregoing, it is proposed to issue a new airworthiness directive as hereinafter set forth:

1. Amend § 39.13 of Part 39 of the Federal Aviation Regulations so as to add a new airworthiness directive described as follows:

FAIRCHILD HILLER. Applies to F-27 Type Airplanes, Serial Nos. 1 through 75, Certificated in all categories.

Compliance required within the next 400 hours' time in service after the effective date of this AD, unless already accomplished.

To reduce working of the wing outer panel fuel access door attach bolts, and to prevent possible cracking of the lower skin radiating from the holes drilled for attaching screws of the nut retainer plate assembly, accomplish the following:

(a) For Serial Nos. 1 through 65 airplanes, comply with Fairchild Hiller Service Bulletin No. 57-4, Revision 2 dated October 31, 1963, or equivalent method, approved by the Chief, Engineering and Manufacturing Branch, FAA, Eastern Region.

(b) For serial Nos. 1 through 75 airplanes, comply with Fairchild Hiller Service Bulletin 57-5, Revision 1 dated April 21, 1966, or equivalent method, approved by the Chief, Engineering and Manufacturing Branch, FAA, Eastern Region.

(c) Upon request with substantiating data submitted through an FAA maintenance inspector, the compliance time specified in this AD may be increased by the Chief, Engineering and Manufacturing Branch, FAA Eastern Region.

This amendment is made under the authority of section 313(a), 601, and 603 of the Federal Aviation Act of 1958, 49 U.S.C. 1354(a), 1421, and 1423, and section 6(c) of the Department of Transportation Act [49 U.S.C. 1655(c)].

Issued in Jamaica, N.Y., on May 1, 1969.

WAYNE HENDERSHOT,
Acting Director, Eastern Region.

[P.R. Doc. 69-5607; Filed, May 9, 1969; 8:47 a.m.]

[14 CFR Part 71]

[Airspace Docket No. 69-SW-24]

TRANSITION AREA

Proposed Designation

The Federal Aviation Administration is considering amending Part 71 of the Federal Aviation Regulations to designate a 700-foot transition area at Seymour, Tex.

Interested persons may submit such written data, views, or arguments as they may desire. Communications should be submitted in triplicate to the Chief, Air Traffic Division, Southwest Region, Federal Aviation Administration, Post Office Box 1689, Fort Worth, Tex. 76101. All communications received within 30 days after publication of this notice in the FEDERAL REGISTER will be considered before action is taken on the proposed amendment. No public hearing is contemplated at this time, but arrangements for informal conferences with Federal Aviation Administration officials may be made by contacting the Chief, Air Traffic Division. Any data, views, or arguments presented during such conferences must also be submitted in writing in accordance with this notice in order to become part of the record for consideration. The proposal contained in this notice may be changed in the light of comments received.

The official docket will be available for examination by interested persons at the Office of the Regional Counsel, Southwest Region, Federal Aviation Administration, Fort Worth, Tex. An informal docket will also be available for examination at the Office of the Chief, Air Traffic Division.

It is proposed to amend Part 71 of the Federal Aviation Regulations as hereinafter set forth.

In § 71.181 (34 F.R. 4637), the following transition area is added:

SEYMOUR, TEX.

That airspace extending upward from 700 feet above the surface bounded by a line

beginning at lat. 33°39'00" N., long. 99°20'00" W., thence to lat. 33°46'00" N., long. 99°23'00" W., to lat. 33°52'00" N., long. 99°07'00" W., to lat. 33°42'00" N., long. 99°03'00" W., to point of beginning.

The existing criteria will not permit the establishment of an instrument approach procedure to serve the Seymour Municipal Airport. The proposed transition area would provide controlled airspace for IFR aircraft en route to this airport to descend in the Seymour Intersection holding pattern to a lower minimum altitude and then proceed VFR, if possible, to the airport.

This amendment is proposed under the authority of section 307(a) of the Federal Aviation Act of 1958 (49 U.S.C. 1348) and of section 6(c) of the Department of Transportation Act (49 U.S.C. 1655(c)).

Issued in Fort Worth, Tex., on May 1, 1969.

A. L. COULTER,
Acting Director, Southwest Region.

[P.R. Doc. 69-5608; Filed, May 9, 1969; 8:47 a.m.]

[14 CFR Part 71]

[Airspace Docket No. 68-PC-4]

**VOR FEDERAL AIRWAYS AND
REPORTING POINTS**

Proposed Designation

The Federal Aviation Administration is considering amendments to Part 71 of the Federal Aviation Regulations that would designate segments of VOR Federal airways Nos. 7, 20, and 23 in the Hawaiian Islands, and designate the Firepit reporting point.

As parts of this proposal relate to the navigable airspace outside the United States, this notice is submitted in consonance with the ICAO International Standards and Recommended Practices.

Applicability of International Standards and Recommended Practices, by the Air Traffic Service, FAA, in areas outside domestic airspace of the United States is governed by Article 12 and Annex 11 to the Convention on International Civil Aviation (ICAO), which pertains to the establishment of air navigation facilities and services necessary to promoting the safe, orderly and expeditious flow of civil air traffic. Its purpose is to insure that civil flying on international air routes is carried out under uniform conditions designed to improve the safety and efficiency of air operations.

The International Standards and Recommended Practices in Annex 11 apply in those parts of the airspace under the jurisdiction of a contracting state, derived from ICAO, wherein air traffic services are provided and also whenever a contracting state accepts the responsibility of providing air traffic services over high seas or in airspace of undetermined sovereignty. A contracting state accepting such responsibility may apply the International Standards and Recommended Practices to civil aircraft in a manner consistent with that adopted for airspace under its domestic jurisdiction.

PROPOSED RULE MAKING

In accordance with Article 3 of the Convention on International Civil Aviation, Chicago, 1944, state aircraft are exempt from the provisions of Annex 11 and its Standards and Recommended Practices. As a contracting state, the United States agreed by Article 3(d) that its state aircraft will be operated in international airspace with due regard for the safety of civil aircraft.

Since this action involves, in part, the designation of navigable airspace outside the United States, the Administrator has consulted with the Secretary of State and the Secretary of Defense in accordance with the provisions of Executive Order 10854.

Interested persons may participate in the proposed rule making by submitting such written data, views, or arguments as they may desire. Communications should identify the airspace docket number and be submitted in triplicate to the Director Pacific Region, Attention: Chief, Air Traffic Division, Federal Aviation Administration, Post Office Box 4009, Honolulu, Hawaii 96812. All communications received within 30 days after publication of this notice in the FEDERAL REGISTER will be considered before action is taken on the proposed amendments. The proposals contained in this notice may be changed in the light of comments received.

An official docket will be available for examination by interested persons at the Federal Aviation Administration, Office of the General Counsel, Attention: Rules Docket, 800 Independence Avenue SW., Washington, D.C. 20590. An informal docket will also be available for examination at the office of the Regional Air Traffic Division Chief.

The Federal Aviation Administration proposes the following airspace actions:

1. Designate V-20 Hawaii from Honolulu, Hawaii, with a 1,200-foot AGL floor to Kona, Hawaii, via the intersection of the Honolulu 134° T (123° M) and Kona 308° T (297° M) radials.
2. Designate V-23 Hawaii from Upolu Point, Hawaii, with a 1,200-foot AGL floor direct to the intersection of the Upolu Point 280° T (269° M) and Honolulu 134° T (123° M) radials.
3. Extend V-7 Hawaii from Lanai, Hawaii, with a 1,200-foot AGL floor to Kona via the intersection of Lanai 140° T (129° M) and Kona 323° T (312° M) radials.
4. Designate the Firepit Intersection (intersection of the Honolulu 134° T (123° M) and Upolu Point 280° T (269° M) radials) as a designated reporting point.

The proposed airways would be utilized when Restricted Area R-3104 and Warning Area W-324 are not being utilized for their established purposes. The proposed airways would provide additional routes for the expeditious movement of inter-island air traffic and oceanic flights operating between Honolulu and Los Angeles, Calif.

These amendments are proposed under the authority of sections 307(a) and 1110

of the Federal Aviation Act of 1958 (49 U.S.C. 1348 and 1510) and Executive Order 10854 (24 F.R. 9565), and of section 6(c) of the Department of Transportation Act (49 U.S.C. 1655(c)).

Issued in Washington, D.C., on May 2, 1969.

T. McCORMACK,
Acting Chief, Airspace and
Air Traffic Rules Division.

[F.R. Doc. 69-5609; Filed, May 9, 1969;
8:47 a.m.]

[14 CFR Part 135]

[Docket No. 9323; Notice No. 68-37B]

AIRPLANES CAPABLE OF CARRYING
MORE THAN 10 OCCUPANTS

Extension of Compliance Date

On January 7, 1969, the Federal Aviation Administration issued a notice of proposed rule making (Notice 68-37; 34 F.R. 210) relating to additional requirements to be placed on reciprocating and turbopropeller powered small aircraft certificated to carry more than 10 occupants to be used in operations under Part 135 on and after June 1, 1972.

The notice proposed to apply the airworthiness provisions of Appendix A to airplanes type certificated after January 1, 1970. It is stated in the notice that "The date January 1, 1970, was selected on the assumption that applicants for certification of airplanes currently undergoing type certification under provisions other than those contained in Appendix A would be afforded sufficient time to complete such certification programs." A review of known certification projects now indicates that several may not be completed by January 1, 1970. It is, therefore, considered appropriate to propose extending this date by 6 months, i.e., until July, 1970.

All comments with respect to this supplement received on or before June 10, 1969, will be considered by the Administrator before taking action on the proposals contained herein and those contained in Notice 68-37. Communications should identify the regulatory docket or notice number and be submitted in duplicate to the Federal Aviation Administration, Office of the General Counsel, Attention: Rules Docket, GC-24, 800 Independence Avenue SW., Washington, D.C. 20590. All comments submitted will be available, both before and after the closing date for comments, in the Rules Docket for examination by interested persons.

Accordingly, the proposals contained in paragraphs (b) and (c) of § 135.144 of Notice 68-37 (34 F.R. 210), are changed by striking out "January 1, 1970," and inserting "July 1, 1970," in place thereof.

This amendment is proposed under the authority of sections 313(a), 601, and 603 of the Federal Aviation Act of 1958 (49 U.S.C. 1354(a), 1421, and 1423), and

of section 6(c) of the Department of Transportation Act (49 U.S.C. 1655(c)).

Issued in Washington, D.C., on May 6, 1969.

JAMES F. RUDOLPH,
Director, Flight Standards Service.
[F.R. Doc. 69-5610; Filed, May 9, 1969;
8:47 a.m.]

FEDERAL HOME LOAN BANK BOARD

[12 CFR Part 545]

[No. 22,784]

FEDERAL SAVINGS AND LOAN
SYSTEM

Service Corporations

MAY 6, 1969.

Resolved that the Federal Home Loan Bank Board considers it advisable to amend § 545.9-1 of the rules and regulations for the Federal Savings and Loan System (12 CFR 545.9-1) for the purpose of preventing a Federal association from investing in, or retaining an investment in, a service corporation which uses the words "National", "Federal", or "United States" or the initials "U.S." in its corporate name, which use could be misleading in the opinion of the Board and also may be in violation of the prohibitions contained in 18 U.S.C. 709. Accordingly, it is hereby proposed to amend said § 545.9-1 by adding at the end thereof a new paragraph (f), to read as follows:

§ 545.9-1 Service corporations.

(f) *Corporate name.* No Federal association may invest in, or retain any investment in, the capital stock, obligations, or other securities of any service corporation the corporate name of which includes the words "National", "Federal", or "United States" or the initials "U.S."

(Sec. 5, 48 Stat. 132, as amended; 12 U.S.C. 1464. Reorg. Plan No. 3 of 1947, 12 F.R. 4981, 3 CFR, 1943-1948 Comp., p. 1071)

Resolved further that interested persons are invited to submit written data, views, and arguments to the Office of the Secretary, Federal Home Loan Bank Board, 101 Indiana Avenue NW., Washington, D.C. 20552, by June 13, 1969, as to whether this proposal should be adopted, rejected or modified. Written material submitted will be available for public inspection at the above address unless confidential treatment is requested or the material would not be made available to the public or otherwise disclosed under § 505.6 of the general regulations of the Federal Home Loan Bank Board (12 CFR 505.6).

By the Federal Home Loan Bank Board.

[SEAL]

JACK CARTER,
Secretary.

[F.R. Doc. 69-5631; Filed, May 9, 1969;
8:40 a.m.]

FEDERAL MARITIME COMMISSION

[46 CFR Part 504]

[Docket No. 69-6]

**COLLECTION, COMPROMISE, AND
TERMINATION OF ENFORCEMENT
CLAIMS**

Rescheduling of Filing Dates

At the request of Hearing Counsel, and good cause appearing, time for filing their reply to comments in this proceeding is hereby enlarged to and including June 4, 1969. Answers to Hearing Counsel's reply may be filed on or before June 16, 1969.

By the Commission.

[SEAL]

THOMAS LIST,
Secretary.

[F.R. Doc. 69-5618; Filed, May 9, 1969;
8:48 a.m.]

FEDERAL TRADE COMMISSION

[16 CFR Part 249]

**GUIDES FOR ADVERTISING OVER-
THE-COUNTER DRUGS**

**Notice of Extension of Time for Sub-
mitting Comments Regarding Pro-
posed Guides**

Proposed Guides for Advertising Over-the-Counter Drugs were published in the FEDERAL REGISTER on March 19, 1969 (34 F.R. 5387).

Notice is hereby given that the Commission has extended the closing date for submission of written views concerning the proposed Guides until June 19, 1969.

Approved: May 3, 1969.

By direction of the Commission.

[SEAL]

JOSEPH W. SHEA,
Secretary.

[F.R. Doc. 69-5637; Filed, May 9, 1969;
8:50 a.m.]

Notices

DEPARTMENT OF STATE

Agency for International Development

[Delegation of Authority No. 84]

DIRECTOR OF MISSIONS

Collection of Claims Against Voluntary Agencies

1. Pursuant to the authority vested in me by section 3 of the Federal Claims Collection Act of 1966, 80 Stat. 308 (31 U.S.C. 952), I hereby delegate to the Directors of Missions of the Agency for International Development (A.I.D.) and to the principal diplomatic officers of the United States in countries in which A.I.D. is not represented by a Mission the following functions and authorities with respect to claims against Voluntary Agencies arising under § 211.9 (d) and (e) of A.I.D. Regulation 11 (22 CFR Chapter II Part 211) in the implementation of an assistance program in the country to which such Directors or principal diplomatic officers are accredited:

- Administrative collection.
- Suspension or termination of collection action when the claim does not exceed \$10,000.
- Compromise of any such claim when the claim does not exceed \$20,000 and the amount to be relinquished does not exceed \$10,000.

2. The foregoing authority may be redelegated.

3. The functions and authorities herein delegated shall be exercised in accordance with A.I.D. Regulation 13 (22 CFR Chapter II Part 213) and other Agency regulations, procedures, and policies relating thereto, as amended from time to time.

This Delegation of Authority is effective on the date of its publication in the FEDERAL REGISTER.

Dated: May 2, 1969.

RUTHERFORD POATS,
Acting Administrator.

[P.R. Doc. 69-5603; Filed, May 9, 1969;
8:46 a.m.]

DEPARTMENT OF THE TREASURY

Fiscal Service

[Dept. Circ. 570, 1968 Rev., Supp. No. 16]

CENTRAL MUTUAL INSURANCE COMPANY

Termination of Authority To Qualify as Surety on Federal Bonds

Notice is hereby given that the Certificate of Authority issued by the Secretary of the Treasury to the Central Mutual Insurance Company, Van Wert, Ohio, under sections 6 to 13 of title 6 of the

United States Code, to qualify as an acceptable surety on recognizances, stipulations, bonds, and undertakings permitted or required by the laws of the United States, is hereby terminated, effective April 30, 1969.

Bond-approving officers of the Government should, in instances where such action is necessary, secure new bonds with acceptable sureties in lieu of bonds executed by the Central Mutual Insurance Company.

Dated: May 6, 1969.

[SEAL] JOHN K. CARLOCK,
Fiscal Assistant Secretary.

[P.R. Doc. 69-5626; Filed, May 9, 1969;
8:48 a.m.]

Internal Revenue Service

CHRIS SIGURD SORENSEN

Notice of Granting of Relief

Notice is hereby given that Chris Sigurd Sorensen, 175 Sixth Avenue NW., Kalispell, Mont. 59901 has applied for relief from disabilities imposed by Federal laws with respect to the acquisition, receipt, transfer, shipment, or possession of firearms incurred by reason of his conviction on June 27, 1938, in the District Court of Williams County, N. Dak., of a crime punishable by imprisonment for a term exceeding 1 year. Unless relief is granted, it will be unlawful for Chris Sigurd Sorensen, because of such conviction to ship, transport, or receive in interstate or foreign commerce any firearm or ammunition, and he would be ineligible for a license under chapter 44, title 18, United States Code as a firearms or ammunition importer, manufacturer dealer, or collector. In addition, under Title VII of the Omnibus Crime Control and Safe Streets Act of 1968 (82 Stat. 236; 18 U.S.C., Appendix) because of such conviction, it would be unlawful for Mr. Sorensen to receive, possess, or transport in commerce or affecting commerce, any firearm.

Notice is hereby given that I have considered Chris Sigurd Sorensen's application and have found:

(1) The conviction was made upon a charge which did not involve the use of a firearm or other weapon or a violation of chapter 44, title 18, United States Code, or of the National Firearms Act; and

(2) It has been established to my satisfaction that the circumstances regarding the conviction and the applicant's record and reputation are such that the applicant will not be likely to act in a manner dangerous to public safety, and that the granting of the relief would not be contrary to the public interest.

Therefore, pursuant to the authority vested in the Secretary of the Treasury

by section 925(c), title 18, United States Code and delegated to me by 26 CFR 178.144, it is ordered that Chris Sigurd Sorensen be, and he hereby is, granted relief from any and all disabilities imposed by Federal laws with respect to the acquisition, receipt, transfer, shipment, or possession of firearms and incurred by reason of the conviction hereinabove described.

Signed at Washington, D.C., this 5th day of May 1969.

[SEAL] RANDOLPH W. THROWER,
Commissioner of Internal Revenue.
[P.R. Doc. 69-5627; Filed, May 9, 1969;
8:49 a.m.]

POST OFFICE DEPARTMENT

NOTICE OF REORGANIZATION AT HEADQUARTERS

The Postmaster General has made the following organizational changes in the Post Office Department. The changes are effective at once.

The Bureau of Transportation and the Office of Regional Administration have been merged into the Bureau of Operations. The consolidated Bureau of Operations will consist of three principal divisions—Transportation, Regional Administration, and Field Services. Each Division will be headed by a Deputy Assistant Postmaster General.

A Bureau of Planning, Marketing, and Systems Analysis headed by an Assistant Postmaster General has been established. It is composed of the former Office of Planning and Systems Analysis, the former Customer Relations Division of the Bureau of Operations, and a new office dealing with Marketing.

Parts 821 and 822 of Title 39, Code of Federal Regulations, which relate to the Department's organization, will be appropriately amended to reflect the reorganization outlined above.

(5 U.S.C. 301, 39 U.S.C. 501)

DAVID A. NELSON,
General Counsel.

[P.R. Doc. 69-5668; Filed, May 9, 1969;
8:50 a.m.]

DEPARTMENT OF THE INTERIOR

Bureau of Indian Affairs

ASSISTANT AREA DIRECTOR, MINNEAPOLIS AREA OFFICE

Redelegation of Authority

1. The Assistant Area Director, Bureau of Indian Affairs, Minneapolis Area Office, Minneapolis, Minn., is hereby authorized to exercise all the power and authority of the Area Director of the

Minneapolis Area Office as delegated by the Commissioner of Indian Affairs in line with Secretarial Order No. 2508 and as provided in 10 BIAM 3.

2. In the absence of the Area Director and the Assistant Area Director, persons authorized to act in their stead may exercise any and all authority conferred upon the Area Director by the Commissioner of Indian Affairs.

3. Delegation of authority included herein is not construed as depriving the Area Director of the authority conferred upon him by the Commissioner of Indian Affairs.

4. The effective date of this delegation will be the date of signature by the Area Director.

Dated: April 11, 1969.

OWEN D. MORKEN,
Area Director, Bureau of Indian Affairs,
Minneapolis Area Office, Minneapolis,
Minn.

Approved: May 2, 1969.

T. W. TAYLOR,
Acting Commissioner
of Indian Affairs.

[F.R. Doc. 69-5615; Filed, May 9, 1969;
8:48 a.m.]

DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH, EDUCATION, AND WELFARE

Food and Drug Administration NEOMYCIN-VITAMINS FEED ADDITIVE

Drugs for Veterinary Use; Drug Efficacy Study Implementation

The Food and Drug Administration has evaluated a report received from the National Academy of Sciences—National Research Council, Drug Efficacy Study Group, on the following preparation: Neomycin Crumbles Fortified; each pound contains 2 grams of neomycin sulfate (equivalent to 1.4 grams of neomycin base), 350,000 U.S.P. units of vitamin A, 35,000 U.S.P. units of vitamin D, 35 international units of vitamin E; by Dr. LeGear & Co., 4161 Beck Avenue, St. Louis, Mo. 63116.

The Academy concludes that:

1. This product is probably not effective for prevention and treatment of enteric infections in cattle, sheep, goats, swine, chickens, turkeys, mink, and horses.
2. Label changes and more information are needed.
3. The effectiveness of the recommended dosage schedule has not been adequately documented.
4. Dose response curves are needed for many of the recommended uses.
5. Information is needed to document value of vitamins in this preparation.
6. Each disease claim should be properly qualified as "appropriate for use in

(name of disease) caused by pathogens sensitive to (name of drug)." If the disease cannot be so qualified, the claim must be dropped.

7. Claims made regarding "for prevention of" or "to prevent" should be replaced with "as an aid in the control of" or "to aid in the control of".

8. Each active ingredient in a preparation containing more than one drug must be effective or contribute to the effectiveness of the preparation to warrant acceptance as a therapeutic ingredient. This preparation has not satisfied these conditions.

9. The manufacturer's labels should warn that treated animals must actually be consuming enough medicated feed to provide a therapeutic dosage under the conditions that prevail. As a precaution, the labels should bear a statement of the desired oral dose per unit of animal weight per day for each species as a guide to effective usage of the preparation in feed.

10. The disease claims for this preparation must be restricted to diseases involving the gastrointestinal tract because of the chemical and pharmacologic properties of neomycin sulfate.

The Food and Drug Administration concurs with the above conclusions of the Academy.

This evaluation is concerned only with the drug's effectiveness and safety to the animal to which administered. It does not take into account the safety for food use of food derived from drug-treated animals. Nothing in this announcement will constitute a bar to further proceedings with respect to questions of safety of the drug or its metabolites as residues in food products derived from treated animals.

This announcement is published (1) to inform manufacturers of the drug of the findings of the Academy and of the Food and Drug Administration and (2) to inform all interested persons that such articles to be marketed must be the subject of approved new-drug applications and comply with all other requirements of the Federal Food, Drug, and Cosmetic Act.

Manufacturers of the drug are provided 6 months from the publication of this announcement in the FEDERAL REGISTER to submit adequate documentation in support of the labeling used.

Written comments regarding this announcement, including requests for an informal conference, may be addressed to the Bureau of Veterinary Medicine, Food and Drug Administration, 200 C Street SW., Washington, D.C. 20204. The manufacturer of the drug listed above has been mailed a copy of the NAS-NRC report. Any manufacturer, packer, or distributor of a drug of similar composition and labeling to it or any other interested person may also obtain a copy by writing to the Food and Drug Administration, Press Relations Office, 200 C Street SW., Washington, D.C. 20204.

This notice is issued pursuant to the provisions of the Federal Food, Drug, and Cosmetic Act (secs. 502, 505, 52 Stat. 1050-53, as amended; 21 U.S.C. 352, 355)

and under authority delegated to the Commissioner of Food and Drugs (21 CFR 2.120).

Dated: May 5, 1969.

J. K. KING,
Associate Commissioner
for Compliance.

[F.R. Doc. 69-5591; Filed, May 9, 1969;
8:45 a.m.]

NITROPHENIDE

Drugs for Veterinary Use; Drug Efficacy Study Implementation

The Food and Drug Administration has evaluated a report received from the National Academy of Sciences—National Research Council, Drug Efficacy Study Group, on the following preparation: Megasul (Nitrophenide) Premix (25 percent); contains 25 percent nitrophenide; marketed by American Cyanamid Co., Post Office Box 400, Princeton, N.J. 08540.

The Academy concludes that this product is probably effective for preventing outbreaks of coccidiosis in chickens; however, it is not efficacious against all species of coccidia in poultry. Accordingly, label should list the host and the species of coccidia for which the drug is effective.

The Food and Drug Administration concurs with the Academy's conclusions.

This evaluation is concerned only with the drug's effectiveness and safety to the animal to which administered. It does not take into account the safety for food use of food derived from drug-treated animals. Nothing in this announcement will constitute a bar to further proceedings with respect to questions of safety of the drug or its metabolites as residues in food products derived from treated animals.

This announcement is published (1) to inform the holders of new-drug applications of the findings of the Academy and of the Food and Drug Administration and (2) to inform all interested persons that such articles to be marketed must be the subject of approved new-drug applications and comply with all requirements of the Federal Food, Drug, and Cosmetic Act.

Holders of the new-drug applications are provided 6 months from the publication of this announcement in the FEDERAL REGISTER to submit adequate documentation in support of the labeling used.

Written comments regarding this announcement, including requests for an informal conference, may be addressed to the Bureau of Veterinary Medicine, Food and Drug Administration, 200 C Street SW., Washington, D.C. 20204.

The holder of the new-drug application for the subject drug has been mailed a copy of the NAS-NRC report. Any manufacturer, packer, or distributor of a drug of similar composition and labeling to it or any other interested person may also obtain a copy by writing to the Food and Drug Administration, Press Relations Office, 200 C Street SW., Washington, D.C. 20204.

This notice is issued pursuant to the provisions of the Federal Food, Drug, and Cosmetic Act (secs. 502, 505, 52 Stat. 1050-53, as amended; 21 U.S.C. 352, 355) and under authority delegated to the Commissioner of Food and Drugs (21 CFR 2.120).

Dated: May 5, 1969.

J. K. KIRK,
Associate Commissioner
for Compliance.

[F.R. Doc. 69-5592; Filed, May 9, 1969;
8:45 a.m.]

PYRAHISTINE WITH PHENYLEPHRINE Drugs for Veterinary Use; Drug Efficacy Study Implementation

The Food and Drug Administration has evaluated a report received from the National Academy of Sciences—National Research Council, Drug Efficacy Study Group, on the following preparation: Pyrahistine with Phenylephrine; contains per milliliter 2.0 milligrams of phenylephrine hydrochloride and 25.0 milligrams of methapyrilene hydrochloride; marketed by Pitman-Moore, Division of The Dow Chemical Co., Research Center, Box 10, Zionsville, Ind. 46077.

The Academy concludes that this product is probably not effective as a sympathomimetic and histamine antagonist in horses, cattle, and dogs and that no documentation supports the claims or dose schedule. The Food and Drug Administration concurs with the Academy's conclusions.

This evaluation is concerned only with the drug's effectiveness and safety to the animal to which administered. It does not take into account the safety for food use of food derived from drug-treated animals. Nothing in this announcement will constitute a bar to further proceedings with respect to questions of safety of the drug or its metabolites as residues in food products derived from treated animals.

This announcement is published (1) to inform the holders of new-drug applications of the findings of the Academy and of the Food and Drug Administration and (2) to inform all interested persons that such articles to be marketed must be the subject of approved new-drug applications and otherwise comply with all requirements of the Federal Food, Drug, and Cosmetic Act.

Holders of the new-drug applications are provided 6 months from the publication of this announcement in the FEDERAL REGISTER to submit adequate documentation in support of the labeling used.

Written comments regarding this announcement, including requests for an informal conference, may be addressed to the Bureau of Veterinary Medicine, Food and Drug Administration, 200 C Street SW., Washington, D.C. 20204.

The holder of the new-drug application for the subject drug has been mailed a copy of the NAS-NRC report. Any manufacturer, packer, or distributor of a drug of similar composition and labeling to it or any other interested person may

also obtain a copy by writing to the Food and Drug Administration, Press Relations Office, 200 C Street SW., Washington, D.C. 20204.

This notice is issued pursuant to the provisions of the Federal Food, Drug, and Cosmetic Act (secs. 502, 505, 52 Stat. 1050-53, as amended; 21 U.S.C. 352, 355) and under authority delegated to the Commissioner of Food and Drugs (21 CFR 2.120).

Dated: May 5, 1969.

J. K. KIRK,
Associate Commissioner
for Compliance.

[F.R. Doc. 69-5593; Filed, May 9, 1969;
8:45 a.m.]

[Docket No. FDC-D-127; NDA No. 4-336V]

CHATHAM PHARMACEUTICALS, INC.

Koagamin Veterinary Parenteral Hemostat; Notice of Opportunity for Hearing

In an announcement published in the FEDERAL REGISTER of February 1, 1969 (34 F.R. 1611), the holder of the new-drug application for Koagamin Veterinary Parenteral Hemostat (a drug containing per milliliter 5.0 milligrams of oxalic acid and 2.5 milligrams of malonic acid) and any other interested person were invited to submit pertinent data on the drug's effectiveness. The information received, considered with other available information, does not provide substantial evidence of effectiveness of the drug for its recommended use as a hemostat in animals.

Therefore notice is given to Chatham Pharmaceuticals, Inc., 901 Broad Street, Newark, N.J. 07102, and to any interested person who may be adversely affected, that the Commissioner of Food and Drugs proposes to issue an order, under the provisions of section 505(e) of the Federal Food, Drug, and Cosmetic Act (21 U.S.C. 355(e)), withdrawing approval of new-drug application No. 4-336V and all amendments and supplements thereto held by Chatham Pharmaceuticals, Inc., for the drug Koagamin Veterinary Parenteral Hemostat on the grounds that:

Information before the Commissioner with respect to such drug, evaluated with the evidence available to him when the application was approved, shows there is a lack of substantial evidence that the drug has the effect it purports or is represented to have under the conditions of use prescribed, recommended, or suggested in its labeling.

In accordance with the provisions of section 505 of the act (21 U.S.C. 355) and the regulations promulgated thereunder (21 CFR Part 130), the Commissioner will give the applicant, and any interested person who would be adversely affected by an order withdrawing such approval, an opportunity for a hearing at which time such persons may produce evidence and arguments to show why approval of new-drug application No. 4-336V should not be withdrawn. Promulgation of the order will cause any drug for animal use containing oxalic

acid and malonic acid and recommended for the same conditions of use to be a new drug for which an approved new-drug application is not in effect. Any such drug then on the market would be subject to regulatory proceedings.

Within 30 days after the date of publication of this notice in the FEDERAL REGISTER, such persons are required to file with the Hearing Clerk, Department of Health, Education, and Welfare, Office of the General Counsel, Food, Drug, and Environmental Health Division, Room 5440, 330 Independence Avenue SW., Washington, D.C. 20201, a written appearance electing whether:

1. To avail themselves of the opportunity for a hearing; or
2. Not to avail themselves of the opportunity for a hearing.

If such persons elect not to avail themselves of the opportunity for a hearing, the Commissioner without further notice will enter a final order withdrawing the approval of the new-drug application. Failure of such persons to file such a written appearance of election within such 30 days will be construed as an election by such persons not to avail themselves of the opportunity for a hearing.

The hearing contemplated by this notice will be open to the public except that any portion of the hearing which concerns a method or process which the Commissioner finds is entitled to protection as a trade secret will not be open to the public unless the respondent specifies otherwise in his appearance.

If such persons elect to avail themselves of the opportunity for a hearing by filing a timely written appearance of election, a hearing examiner will be named by the Commissioner and he shall issue a written notice of the time and place for the hearing.

This notice is issued pursuant to the provisions of the Federal Food, Drug, and Cosmetic Act (sec. 505, 52 Stat. 1052-53, as amended; 21 U.S.C. 355) and under authority delegated to the Commissioner (21 CFR 2.120).

Dated: May 5, 1969.

J. K. KIRK,
Associate Commissioner
for Compliance.

[F.R. Doc. 69-5594; Filed, May 9, 1969;
8:45 a.m.]

DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION

Hazardous Materials Regulations
Board

SPECIAL PERMITS

Issuance

MAY 5, 1969.

Pursuant to Docket No. HM-1, Rule-making Procedures of the Hazardous Materials Regulations Board, issued May 22, 1968 (33 F.R. 8277) 49 CFR 170, following is a list of DOT Special Permits upon which Board action was completed during April 1969:

Special permit No.	Issued to—Subject	Mode or modes of transportation
5936	Shippers upon specific registration with this Board, for the shipment of fissile and large quantities of radioactive material in the Dry Hole Charger Lead Shielded Cask.	Highway and rail.
5937	Shippers upon specific registration with this Board, for the shipment of fissile and large quantities of radioactive materials in the Model No. NFSX-1 Shipping Cask.	Rail.
5938	Shippers upon specific registration with this Board, for the shipment of fissile and large quantities of radioactive materials in the Model HNPF Cask.	Highway and rail.
5939	Shippers upon specific registration with this Board, for the shipment of large quantities of radioactive materials in the Model No. 1500 Shipping Cask.	Water, highway, and rail.
5940	Shippers upon specific registration with this Board, for the shipment of fissile and large quantities of radioactive materials in the Model EX-10 Shipping Cask.	Highway.
5941	Shippers upon specific registration with this Board, for the shipment of fissile and large quantities of radioactive materials in the Model No. ELC-102 Rail Shipping Cask.	Rail.
5942	Shippers upon specific registration with this Board, for the shipment of large quantities of radioactive materials in the Model 700 Shipping Cask.	Water, highway, and rail.
5945	Insta-Foam Products, Inc., for the shipment of a pressurized urethane foam in nonrefillable steel pressure vessels of up to 1,450 cubic inch capacity.	Highway and rail.
5947	Insta-Foam Products, Inc., for the shipment of a pressurized urethane foam product in small nonrefillable aluminum pressure containers.	Do.
5948	The Dow Chemical Co. for the shipment of fissile and large quantities of radioactive materials in a specially modified ATMX Series 600 rail car.	Do.
5951	Shippers upon specific registration with this Board, for the shipment of chlorine in military Type A, D, or 52 ton-tanks, for which serial numbers have been filed with the Board.	Rail.
5952	Shippers upon specific registration with this Board, for the shipment of chlorides in DOT-1K glass carboys, as these are presently authorized under 49 CFR 173.247 in other DOT specification carboys.	Highway and rail.
5953	Shippers upon specific registration with this Board, for the shipment of nitric oxide charged to not over 200 p.s.i.g. at 70° F., in DOT-106A500X tanks.	Do.
5954	Shippers upon specific registration with this Board, for the shipment of ammonium nitrate or ammonium nitrate fertilizers in a plastic bag constructed from Valeron cross-plastic tubing.	Do.
5955	Apogee Chemical, Inc., for the shipment of organic peroxide solutions in a non-DOT specification fiberboard box with an inside plastic container of not over 5-gallon capacity.	Do.
5956	Department of Defense, for the shipment of rocket ammunition in preformed fiberglass resin impregnated aluminum containers.	Do.
5957	Shippers upon specific registration with this Board, for the shipment of fissile and large quantities of radioactive materials in the Model BMI-1 Spent Fuel Shipping Cask.	Highway.
5958	Shippers upon specific registration with this Board, for the shipment of large quantities of krypton gas in the Model ICPP Krypton Shipping Container Package.	Highway and rail.
5959	Ethyl Corp., for the shipment of liquefied ethylene in four specially designed and insulated cargo tanks.	Highway.
5960	Shippers upon specific registration with this Board, for the shipment of flammable liquids having a flash point above 20° F., in non-DOT specification drums manufactured by the Inland Steel Container Co.	Highway and rail.
5963	Shippers upon specific registration with this Board, for the shipment of liquefied petroleum gases in DOT 2-Q containers.	Do.
5964	United Air Lines, for the shipment of nitrogen in DOT-3A and 3AA cylinders having a 10-year hydrostatic retest period.	Do.
5965	Racon, Inc., for the shipment of monochlorodifluoromethane, dichlorodifluoromethane, nitrogen-pressurized dichlorotetrafluoroethane, nitrogen-pressurized trichloromonofluoromethane, and an azeotropic mixture of difluoroethane and dichlorodifluoroethane, in nonrefillable steel pressure vessels of up to 300 cubic inch capacity.	Do.
5968	Welders Supply Co., for the shipment of oxygen, argon, nitrogen, hydrogen, helium, and compressed air in DOT-3A and 3AA cylinders having a 10-year hydrostatic retest period.	Do.
5969	Butler Gas Products Co., for the shipment of oxygen, nitrogen, and argon in DOT-3A and 3AA cylinders having a 10-year hydrostatic retest period.	Do.
5973	Lockheed Missiles & Space Co., for the shipment of nitrogen pressurized sulfur hexafluoride in non-DOT specification steel spheres having not over 80 cubic inches of liquid content.	Highway.
5974	Nuclear Materials and Equipment Corp., for one shipment of a large quantity of radioactive material in the Model M-5 Field Irradiator.	Water and highway.
5977	Shippers upon specific registration with this Board, for the shipment of fissile radioactive materials in the NS Savannah Core II Fuel Element Shipping Container.	Highway.
5980	Shippers upon specific registration with this Board, for the shipment of fissile and "Type B" quantities of radioactive materials in the Model MRI Type B Protective Package.	Water, cargo-only aircraft, highway, and rail.

WILLIAM K. BYRD,
Alternate Chairman,
Hazardous Materials Regulations Board.

[P.R. Doc. 69-5611; Filed, May 9, 1969; 8:47 a.m.]

CIVIL AERONAUTICS BOARD

[Docket No. 19958]

AVION, INC., ET AL., ENFORCEMENT PROCEEDING

Notice of Hearing

Avion, Inc., Avion Airways, Inc., Thomas J. Holmes, Ruth S. Holmes, John W. Hammett enforcement proceeding.

Notice is hereby given, pursuant to the provisions of the Federal Aviation Act

of 1958, as amended, that hearing in the above-entitled matter is assigned to be held on June 5, 1969, at 10 a.m., e.d.s.t., in Room 805, Universal Building, 1825 Connecticut Avenue NW., Washington, D.C., before Examiner Edward T. Stodola.

Dated at Washington, D.C., May 7, 1969.

[SEAL] THOMAS L. WRENN,
Chief Examiner.

[P.R. Doc. 69-5638; Filed, May 9, 1969; 8:50 a.m.]

[Docket No. 20050]

LUXAIR

Notice of Prehearing Conference

Notice is hereby given that a prehearing conference on the above-entitled application is scheduled to be held on May 22, 1969, at 10 a.m., e.d.s.t., in Room 805, Universal Building, 1825 Connecticut Avenue NW., Washington, D.C., before Examiner Joseph L. Fitzmaurice.

Dated at Washington, D.C., May 7, 1969.

[SEAL] THOMAS L. WRENN,
Chief Examiner.

[P.R. Doc. 69-5639; Filed, May 9, 1969; 8:50 a.m.]

FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS COMMISSION

[Docket No. 18403 etc.; FCC 69R-195]

ATLANTIC VIDEO CORP. (WRTV) ET AL.

Memorandum Opinion and Order Enlarging Issues

In re applications of Atlantic Video Corp. (WRTV), Newark, N.J., Docket No. 18403, File No. BMPCT-6282; for modification of construction permit; Vikcom Broadcasting Corp., Newark, N.J., Docket No. 18404, File No. BPCT-3892; Ultra-Casting, Inc., Newark, N.J., Docket No. 18448, File No. BPCT-4172; for construction permit for new television broadcast station.

1. This proceeding originally involved the mutually exclusive applications of Atlantic Video Corp. (WRTV) (Atlantic), for modification of its construction permit and Vikcom Broadcasting Corp. (Vikcom) for authorization to construct a new television broadcast station on Channel 68 at Newark, N.J. It was designated for hearing by order, FCC 68-1118, released December 20, 1968, on the standard comparative issue and a limited financial qualifications issue against Vikcom. A third application (BPCT-4172) for Channel 68 was submitted by Ultra-Casting, Inc. (Ultra-Casting), prior to the designation of the Atlantic and Vikcom applications. On December 18, 1968, Atlantic filed a petition to dismiss the Ultra-Casting application. However, by order, FCC 69-107, 34 F.R. 2215, published on February 14, 1969, the Commission designated the Ultra-Casting application for consolidated hearing with Atlantic and Vikcom. Presently before the Review Board is a petition to enlarge issues, filed January 13, 1969, by Vikcom.¹ The petitioner requests the addition of the following issues:

¹ Also before the Review Board are: (a) Opposition, filed Mar. 7, 1969, by Atlantic; (b) comments, filed Mar. 7, 1969, by the Broadcast Bureau; and (c) a letter, filed Apr. 24, 1969, by Atlantic.

(1) To determine the extent of involvement, proposed and existing, by The Walter Reade Organization, Inc., and related companies in the communications and entertainment fields, including the production, distribution, and exhibition of films and other program material;

(2) To determine whether the facts developed pursuant to the above issue established that grant of the instant application will discourage competition or be otherwise inconsistent with the public interest;

(3) To determine whether the facts developed pursuant to the above issues should result in disqualification of Atlantic Video Corp. as a licensee of the Commission, or result in the assessment of a comparative demerit;

(4) To determine with respect to the application of Atlantic Video Corp.:

(a) Whether the Walter Reade Organization, Inc., has available sufficient cash and/or liquid assets to meet its commitment to the applicant;

(b) Whether, in the light of the evidence adduced pursuant to the foregoing, Atlantic Video Corp. is financially qualified;

(5) To determine on a comparative basis the areas and populations that Vikcom Broadcasting Corp. and Atlantic Video Corp. will serve within their prospective Grade B contours.

The requested issues will be discussed *seriatim*.

Cross ownership issues. 2. Petitioner premises its request for cross ownership issues on the holdings and activities of Atlantic's parent corporation, The Walter Reade Organization, Inc. (Reade), as set out in Reade's 1967 Annual Report, portions of which Vikcom attaches to its petition. Petitioner claims that Reade's present holdings and future plans "militate toward vertical control" in the communications and entertainment industries, particularly in the Northern New Jersey area which is to be served by the proposed station. It relies on the fact that Reade produces, distributes and exhibits films, sells films to television, sells and distributes films to the educational and nontheatrical markets, and maintains music and concession and vending divisions. Vikcom notes that Reade's president states in the Annual Report that the organization benefits from real competitive advantages due to its diversified activities and that it is exploring added acquisitions in the communications and entertainment fields. Vikcom further notes that Reade operates more than 60 theaters throughout the United States, and contends that 17 of these theaters are located within the proposed Grade A contour of Atlantic's proposal and that an additional seven are between Atlantic's proposed Grade A and B contours. As an illustration of how competition will be lessened if Atlantic is awarded a television license for Newark, petitioner points to the statement in an amendment filed by Atlantic on November 18, 1968, that films will be supplied to the proposed station from Reade's film library on a deferred payment basis, with

no payment due until 366 or more days after the station commences broadcasting. Finally, petitioner asserts that the activities of Reade are strikingly similar to those held to violate the Sherman Act in *United States v. Paramount Pictures*, 334 U.S. 131 (1947), and contends that even if such activities do not violate the Sherman Act, an issue is warranted to determine whether the activities will result in a lessening of competition.

3. In opposition, Atlantic contends that Vikcom is urging, in effect, that Reade's ownership of theaters and its production and distribution activities *per se* raise a disqualifying issue and argues that such a position is contrary to Commission policy and practice. Atlantic claims that any comparison between the activities of Reade and those dealt with in *Paramount* is fanciful since that case dealt with entities which exercised a stranglehold on virtually all phases of the motion picture industry, whereas Reade operates 65 theaters or 0.44 percent of all the motion picture theaters in the country. With respect to the New York and New Jersey areas, Atlantic points out that it operates no theaters in Newark, the city of license and the metropolitan area to which it must primarily look for its financial viability; that its closest theaters to Newark are in Morristown and Woodbridge, 15 miles away; that there are some 27 theaters in Newark operated by other exhibitors; and that, of the approximately 398 theaters in the New York Metropolitan Area and the 238 theaters in New Jersey, Reade operates only 21 theaters in New Jersey and 14 in the New York Metropolitan Area. Finally, the applicant states that Reade produced only one film in 1967 and no films in 1968, and that Reade obtained distribution rights to 11 films in 1967 and to 12 films the following year, and contends that this is not the record of a company threatening vertical control of the entertainment industry in the Northern New Jersey area.²

4. The Broadcast Bureau, in its comments, opposes the addition of cross ownership issues. It contends that Vikcom has neither alleged facts to establish that the degree of vertical integration which Reade would achieve by a grant of the Atlantic application would constitute proscribed vertical control in the communications and entertainment industries, nor established the existence of prohibited conduct through the fact that films will be supplied to Atlantic by Reade on a deferred payment basis.

5. The Review Board is of the view that petitioner's request for disqualifying issues regarding Reade's holdings and

²In its opposition Atlantic also contends that Vikcom has failed to inform the Commission of the details of its CATV franchises or about its acquisition of Krantz Films, Inc., a producer and distributor of television programs and cartoons. Atlantic suggests the addition of a § 1.85 issue on the basis of these allegations. However, the Review Board will not entertain a request for issues contained in a responsive pleading and it is dismissed as being improperly filed. See, e.g., *Holston Broadcasting Corp.*, FCC 64R-88, 1 RR 2d 962.

activities should be denied. Vikcom has failed to allege sufficient facts to raise a serious question of whether the holdings and activities of Atlantic's parent, either present or prospective, if combined with the acquisition of the television facility in Newark, would violate antitrust laws or constitute a proscribed cross ownership or concentration of control in the communications and entertainment industry, either nationally or in the Northern New Jersey area. As can be seen from its 1968 Annual Report, Reade is a growing company, but hardly a dominant factor on the national entertainment scene. It operates only 65 theaters throughout the country, out of a 1968 total of 14,365, for a share of 0.44 percent. Neither its theater chain nor its production and distribution activities are of sufficient scope to raise a substantial question of vertical control in the national communications and entertainment industries or to be analogized to the entities involved in *Paramount Pictures*, supra. Focussing, as does petitioner, on Northern New Jersey and Atlantic's proposed Grade A and Grade B contours, Vikcom's showing still falls far short of demonstrating that Reade's interests or activities constitute an adequate basis for the addition of disqualifying issues. Petitioner contends that Reade operates 17 theaters in the area encompassed by Atlantic's proposed Grade A contour and seven more between its proposed Grade A and Grade B contours. A map prepared by petitioner's engineer shows that 16 of these theaters are in New Jersey and that eight are in New York City. However, the uncontradicted figures provided by Atlantic in opposition show that in 1963 there were 398 motion picture houses in the New York Metropolitan Area and 238 in New Jersey, and that the number has probably increased since 1963. Thus, petitioner has not shown that a grant to Atlantic might result in Reade's acquiring vertical control of the communications and entertainment industry in Northern New Jersey or in the area of the Grade A and B contours of Atlantic. Cf. *Hartford Phonovision Co.*, 30 FCC 301, 20 RR 754 (1961). The only fact alleged by petitioner to show that the grant of Atlantic's application would have an anticompetitive effect on the broadcast industry concerns the deferred payment basis on which Reade would supply its film library to Atlantic. This circumstance, standing alone and relating to a single station, does not warrant the addition of the requested issue since there is no indication that the arrangement is illegal or would have an adverse competitive effect on other stations in the area. We note that the petitioner is not foreclosed from pursuing the question of Reade's holdings in the entertainment and communications industry under the diversification criterion of the standard comparative issue. However, the addition of issues to this proceeding is not warranted.

Financial issue. 6. Petitioner points out that the Commission specified a financial issue against Vikcom because it is relying upon its parent organization to meet

its cash requirements and because the balance sheet of that organization fails to establish that it will have available sufficient cash and/or liquid assets to meet its commitment to Vikcom. Vikcom contends that the same rationale is equally applicable to Atlantic. It notes that Atlantic is relying upon Reade to meet its cost of construction and initial operation.³ Vikcom points out that Reade's balance sheet reveals cash of \$517,395 (exclusive of cash held for "special purposes" and therefore not available for the proposed station) and a net balance of accounts receivable, inventory, and prepaid expenses of approximately \$1 million, but argues that no information is supplied concerning the liquidity of the noncash items. Therefore, petitioner states that it cannot be determined whether Reade will have sufficient liquid assets to supply Atlantic with the cash needed for construction and initial operation.⁴

7. In opposition, Atlantic contends that the Commission was correct in specifying a financial issue against Vikcom, and also correct in not specifying one against Atlantic. It states that its cash requirement for the first year is \$470,650, not \$540,000 (\$112,500 downpayment on equipment, \$14,062 principal payment on equipment, \$24,088 interest payment on equipment, \$40,000 for other items and \$280,000 for cost of operation), and that Reade's cash balance of \$517,395 is more than ample to meet Atlantic's needs, without reliance on accounts receivable and inventory. The applicant explains that it overestimated its costs because it included 12 monthly principal payments for equipment, for a total of \$84,000. However, it notes that the RCA letter of credit submitted in its application provides for a downpayment of 25 percent of the total price upon shipment (or \$112,500) and the balance in 48 equal monthly installments, with a 13-month hiatus in principal payments from the date of shipment. Therefore, Atlantic states, in accordance with the Commission's requirement that payments of principal and interest during a 14-month period from the date of shipment be included in an applicant's first year costs, Atlantic calculates total principal payments of \$14,062 (for 2 months) and total interest payments of \$24,088 (for 14 months.) Finally, Atlantic notes that Reade has merged with The Rutland Corp. and that a balance sheet of the combined entities, adjusted to give effect to the agreement of merger and filed with an amendment to Atlantic's application (accepted by order, FCC 69M-192, released Feb. 17, 1969), shows cash and marketable securities of \$913,000 and

current assets in excess of \$12 million offset by current liabilities of \$7,499,000. Therefore, Atlantic argues that Reade has more than sufficient liquid assets to meet its commitment of \$470,650 to Atlantic.

8. The Review Board finds that a financial qualifications issue against Atlantic is not warranted here. As the applicant has demonstrated through the RCA letter of credit, a copy of which is attached to its opposition (along with a reaffirmation of the credit terms in a letter from RCA, dated Sept. 30, 1968), it overestimated the sum which would be required for first year payments of principal on its purchases of equipment. Therefore, its cash requirement for construction and first year operations is \$470,650, not the \$540,000 relied upon by petitioner, and the \$517,395 available cash shown on Reade's June 30, 1968, balance sheet is more than sufficient to cover Atlantic's needs without reliance on accounts receivable, inventory or related items. Moreover, Reade has merged with The Rutland Corp. since Atlantic filed its application and the new merged entity shows available cash and marketable securities of \$913,000. Therefore, there can now be no question that Atlantic is financially qualified.

Coverage issue. 9. Petitioner requests that evidence of comparative coverage be admitted, either through a special issue or under the standard comparative issue, whichever way the Review Board determines to be appropriate. It premises its request on the statement of its engineer that Vikcom proposes to serve 388,491 more people and an area of 1,094 more square miles within its proposed Grade B contour than would be encompassed in Atlantic's Grade B contour. In opposition, Atlantic argues that an inquiry into comparative coverage should not be permitted since petitioner has failed as a threshold matter to show a substantial disparity in coverage. It does not significantly challenge petitioner's population and area figures, but contends that its own Grade B contour encompasses 97.91 percent of the population and 83.36 percent of the area encompassed by Vikcom's Grade B contour. Atlantic also asserts that two-thirds of the additional persons served by Vikcom are located outside of New Jersey, in Pennsylvania, Connecticut, and upstate New York. The Broadcast Bureau, in its comments, contends that the population difference is substantial and that comparative coverage can appropriately be considered under the general comparative issue.

10. The Review Board agrees with the Broadcast Bureau that the population difference in absolute numbers is of sufficient magnitude to warrant explanation at the hearing, whether we accept petitioner's figure of 388,491 or the figure of 320,617 calculated by Atlantic's engineer. Thus, in spite of the small percentage difference, Vikcom will be permitted to adduce evidence of coverage under the general comparative issue.

11. Accordingly, it is ordered, That the petition to enlarge issues, filed January 13, 1969, by Vikcom Broadcasting Corp., is granted to the extent that petitioner will be permitted to adduce evidence of coverage under the general comparative issue, and is denied in all other respects.

Adopted: May 5, 1969.

Released: May 7, 1969.

FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS
COMMISSION,⁵

[SEAL] BEN F. WAPLE,
Secretary.

[F.R. Doc. 69-5633; Filed, May 9, 1969;
8:49 a.m.]

[Dockets Nos. 18437, 18438; FCC 69R-198]

**JAMES B. FRANCIS AND QUALITY
BROADCASTING CORP.**

**Memorandum Opinion and Order
Amending Issues**

In re applications of James B. Francis, Las Vegas, Nev., Docket No. 18437, File No. BPH-6435; Quality Broadcasting Corp., Las Vegas, Nev., Docket No. 18438, File No. BPH-6522; for construction permits.

1. This proceeding originally involved the mutually exclusive applications of John R. and Jeannette B. Banoczi (Banoczi), James B. Francis (Francis), and Quality Broadcasting Corp. (Quality), for authorization to construct a new FM broadcast station at Las Vegas, Nev. It was designated for hearing by order, FCC 69-101, 34 F.R. 2441, published February 20, 1969, on issues, including, inter alia, a limited financial issue against Quality to determine whether that applicant has available to it the stockholder loan required to construct and operate for 1 year. By memorandum opinion and order, FCC 69M-421, released April 7, 1969, the Hearing Examiner removed the Banoczi application from hearing and returned it to the Commission's processing line. Presently before the Review Board is a motion to enlarge issues, filed March 7, 1969, by Francis, requesting legal qualifications and additional financial qualifications issues against Quality.¹

2. In support of his request for a legal qualifications issue, Francis first notes that of a total of 2,500 authorized shares of stock in Quality, only 925 shares are issued and outstanding; and that at no place in its application does Francis indicate the stated or assigned value of the no-par stock. Francis contends that the source and nature of the \$2,500 on deposit in the Nevada State Bank is not clear, since in Quality's application the entire sum is referred to as "existing capital", while in its balance sheet only \$500 is shown as "capital" and \$2,000 is

¹ Review Board Member Nelson absent.

² Also before the Review Board are: (a) Opposition, filed Apr. 1, 1969, by Quality; (b) comments, filed Apr. 1, 1969, by the Broadcast Bureau; and (c) reply, filed Apr. 10, 1969, by Francis.

³ Petitioner states that Atlantic's cost of construction and first year operation is \$540,000, but the actual figure reflected in Atlantic's application, as amended, is \$537,546.

⁴ In the body of its petition, Vikcom urges that if a financial issue against Atlantic is not added, the financial issue against Vikcom should be deleted. Petitioner has presented no basis for this request and it is denied.

shown as "engineering and legal expense", and neither the \$2,500 figure nor the \$500 figure "ties in arithmetically" with the 925 issued and outstanding shares. Petitioner argues that if the inconsistencies are not clarified by Quality, an issue should be added to determine the nature and extent of the interests in Quality held by its stockholders.

3. In opposition, Quality argues that there is no basis for a legal qualifications issue and states that the \$2,500 is the total consideration paid for the 925 outstanding shares of stock and that the \$500 referred to in the balance sheet is the amount of capital which will remain after engineering and legal expenses of \$2,000 are paid. The Broadcast Bureau, in its comments, opposes the addition of a legal qualifications issue. The Bureau argues that petitioner's designation of his allegations as directed toward "legal" qualifications is incorrect and that he is really seeking more information in regard to Quality's financial structure, a question which, the Bureau contends, the Commission has considered and limited in its designation order to the question of the availability of the stockholder loan. Further, the Bureau argues that the requested issue should not be granted because Quality has accurately and completely responded to all questions raised by Form 301 with respect to its corporate structure and that Francis seeks additional information without justification and in the hope that something might be found to be irregular.

4. The Review Board is of the opinion that there is no basis for the addition of a legal qualifications issue. Quality has provided complete answers to all questions regarding corporate structure in section II of Form 301, including the names of all stockholders and the number of shares and percentage of ownership held by each; and satisfactorily explains the nature and source of the \$2,500 on deposit in the Nevada State Bank in its opposition pleading. The petitioner has not raised a substantial doubt as to the accuracy of this information, and we therefore find no valid basis for the addition of an issue to determine the nature and extent of the interests held in the applicant by its stockholders.

5. In support of its request for expansion of the financial inquiry, petitioner questions Quality's estimate of \$56,313 for the costs of construction (\$26,313) and first-year operation (\$30,000).³ First, Francis contends that the applicant's figure does not include the payment, which petitioner estimates at approximately \$10,350, which will be due on the stockholder's loan at the end of the first year of operation. Second, petitioner, questions Quality's estimate of \$30,000 for the initial cost of operation. Francis notes that Quality proposes a

staff of seven employees⁴ and a programming schedule of 119 hours per week, including a schedule of live programs, and contends that the applicant's estimate is insufficient to cover its first-year payroll. Francis attaches and affidavit of Mr. Robert D. Hanna, who is associated with the petitioner in the ownership and operation of Stations KRAM, Las Vegas, Nev., and KVIL AM-FM, Highland Park (Dallas) Tex. Mr. Hanna, an experienced broadcaster, asserts that Quality will need a staff of seven full-time employees as a bare minimum,⁴ and estimates, after setting out the results of his experience as to salary ranges in the Las Vegas market, that Quality's payroll would amount to approximately \$4,000 per month. Further, Mr. Hanna lists a number of operating expenses, including rental of tower space for the antenna and building space for the transmitter, advertising and promotional costs, legal fees, etc., which he contends are essential and necessary and which, he asserts, will require at least \$30,000 per year. The Broadcast Bureau supports petitioner's request for additional financial issues.

6. In opposition, Quality relies on the affidavit of its president and 37 percent stockholder, who has pledged the \$60,000 loan relied upon by the applicant, and who states in his affidavit that he has agreed to grant Quality an additional year without penalty within which to make any payments on the loan which would be due at the end of the first year of operation. In addition, the applicant points out that it has estimated first-year revenues of \$40,000. In defense of its estimated cost of operation, Quality compares its \$30,000 estimate with petitioner's estimate of \$24,000 and asserts that its operation will be a highly integrated one with all four stockholders serving without salary until such time as the station shows a profit. It states that two of its stockholders will serve as general manager and traffic and continuity manager, respectively, on a full-time basis, while the remaining two will serve on a part-time basis as station and sales manager and program director.

7. In its reply, petitioner contends, first, that if Quality's \$30,000 estimate were truly realistic the applicant could have opposed the motion by furnishing a breakdown of the estimate, which it has not done. Second, Francis argues that his estimate of \$24,000 for the first year of operation cannot be meaningfully compared to Quality's estimate since he has an existing AM station in Las Vegas and his estimate is an "add-on" figure for the additional costs of integrating the proposed FM station into the existing operation, whereas Quality's station will be an independent operation. Finally,

³ It is unclear from Quality's application or its pleading whether the seven employees will be employed on a part-time or a full-time basis.

⁴ However, petitioner states that it is not seeking to raise a staffing issue with respect to Quality's application.

petitioner questions Quality's reliance on the free services of its four stockholders over an indefinite period of time. He states that to expect such free services is contrary to human experience and that Quality has failed to provide either the personal financial information or necessary affidavits to indicate that such services would be available.

8. The Review Board finds that a financial qualifications issue against Quality should be added. The applicant effectively meets through the affidavit of its president, petitioner's contention that approximately \$10,350 on the stockholder loan is due at the end of the first year and that no provision has been made to pay it. However, petitioner, through the affidavit and cost estimates of Mr. Hanna, an experienced broadcaster with knowledge of the Las Vegas market, has nonetheless raised substantial questions concerning Quality's \$30,000 estimate for operating expenses. These questions have not been satisfactorily answered by Quality in its opposition. Even assuming that its stockholders will, as Quality asserts, hold positions in the station's management at no salary, thereby considerably reducing payroll expenses, an appreciable gap between the applicant's estimate and the petitioner's estimate still remains. Quality has neither provided an analysis of its own estimate nor challenged any of the additional items characterized by Mr. Hanna as essential and necessary and priced at a minimum of \$30,000 per year, exclusive of salary costs. Therefore, an issue inquiring into the basis of Quality's estimated costs of operation will be added. A contingent revenues issue will also be added, and the present availability of funds issue will be expanded to permit the adduction of evidence as to the ability of Quality to acquire added sums should its present cost estimates prove to be unrealistic.

9. Accordingly, it is ordered, That the motion to enlarge issues, filed March 7, 1969, by James B. Francis, is granted to the extent indicated below and is denied in all other respects; and

10. It is further ordered, That existing issue 4, as specified in the designation order herein (FCC 69-101, released Feb. 17, 1969) is amended to read as follows: To determine as to Quality Broadcasting Corp.:

(a) The basis of its estimated costs for the first year of operation;

(b) Whether it has available to it the additional \$53,813 and such other funds as may be required in light of the evidence adduced under issue (a), above, to demonstrate its financial qualifications;

(c) In the event that it will depend upon operating revenues to meet costs and first year's operating expenses, the basis of its estimated revenues for the first year of operation, whether such estimate is reasonable, and the extent to which net operating revenues may be relied upon to yield necessary funds for the initial construction and 1 year's operating costs;

(d) On the basis of the evidence adduced under the aforesaid issues, whether it is financially qualified.

Adopted: May 6, 1969.

Released: May 7, 1969.

FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS
COMMISSION,
[SEAL] BEN F. WAPLE,
Secretary.

[F.R. Doc. 69-5634; Filed, May 9, 1969;
8:49 a.m.]

[Docket No. 18538; FCC 69-485]

CATV SYSTEM IN BLOOMINGTON AND NORMAL, ILL.

Order To Show Cause Designating Petition for Hearing

In the matter of petition by TeleCable Corp. to stay construction or operation of a CATV system in Bloomington and Normal, Ill., by GT&E Communications, Inc.; Docket No. 18538, File No. SR-3695-N.

1. The TeleCable Corp. has petitioned the Commission under the provisions of § 74.1109 of the rules and regulations and under section 4(i) of the Communications Act for a temporary order directed against GT&E Communications, Inc. to prevent the further construction or operation of CATV facilities in Bloomington and Normal, Ill. TeleCable's petition seeks to maintain the status quo until such time as the Commission acts on TeleCable's petition for permanent relief.¹

2. The gravamen of the petition is that GT&E, a wholly owned subsidiary of General Telephone Co. of Illinois, has started or is about to start construction of CATV facilities without first having obtained the required section 214 authority under the Commission's decision in Docket 17333 (13 FCC 2d 448 (1968)). TeleCable alleges that, taken together, the actions of General and its wholly owned subsidiary in the Bloomington and Normal area have been anticompetitive, illegal in nature, and contrary to the public interest.

3. TeleCable alleges that in the spring of 1968, three companies—TeleCable, Bloomington-Normal Perfect Picture, and GT&E—applied for local CATV franchises in Bloomington and Normal. Prior to and in connection with these applications, TeleCable and Perfect Picture had sought agreements from General of Illinois, the local telephone company, to rent space on telephone company poles, a substantial portion of which are jointly used or controlled with the Illinois Power Co., for their proposed CATV facilities. It is further alleged that General refused to lease such space; that it would offer only to provide CATV facilities under its established tariff pro-

visions; and that if TeleCable and Perfect Picture were to accept this offer, General would have its wholly owned subsidiary, GT&E, withdraw from the local CATV franchise competition. This offer was rejected by TeleCable and Perfect Picture and subsequently the local franchise was granted to GT&E.

4. After GT&E was awarded the franchise, General filed with the Commission an application (P-C-7213) under section 214 for authorization to construct and operate the facilities necessary to provide CATV channel service to GT&E. The grant of this application was opposed by TeleCable and Perfect Picture and has not yet been acted upon by the Commission. GT&E, it is alleged, has now begun or is about to begin the construction of its "own" facilities utilizing pole attachment rights to poles under the control, in whole or in part, of the Illinois Power Co. And, on April 9, 1969, General sought to withdraw its section 214 application since its proposed customer, its wholly owned subsidiary, had canceled its order for telephone company channel service.

5. TeleCable alleges that it has been or will be foreclosed from operating a CATV system in the Bloomington and Normal area by the actions of General and GT&E and seeks immediate relief to maintain the status quo until such time as the Commission rules on General's 214 application and TeleCable's petition for permanent relief.

6. While the petitioner makes reference to § 74.1109, it is apparent that its real complaint goes beyond the intent and scope of that section of our rules. However, although the facts alleged are not wholly identical, the problems raised in the petition here are similar to those involved in Dimension Cable TV, Inc. (16 FCC 2d 445 (1969)). We believe that this petition does raise substantial questions under the Communications Act of 1934, as amended.

7. In its decision in Docket 17333, supra, the Commission expressed its concern with the applicability of section 214 to the provision of channel service by telephone companies and there determined that section 214 required certification by the Commission prior to the construction and operation of such facilities. In the instant case, we are concerned with the actions of a local telephone company and its wholly owned subsidiary which, under the allegations here, operate to undermine our section 214 decision by permitting a telephone company either not to seek such a 214 certification or, as here, to withdraw a pending application and attempt to accomplish a substantially identical result through the "separate" actions of a wholly owned subsidiary. A further question is raised by the alleged actions of a local telephone company, under the mantle of its exclusive franchise, attempting to deny entry into the CATV field by refusing to make pole attachment agreements with independent operators while at the same time permitting a wholly owned subsidiary to enter the CATV field through pole attachment

agreements with a local utility which jointly uses such poles with the local telephone company. In view of the specific allegations, a substantial question is raised whether the primary thrust of the local telephone company's actions is to retain to itself complete ownership and control of CATV distribution facilities within the community and to reject, directly or indirectly, attempts by independent CATV operators to own, construct or operate their own distribution facilities through appropriate pole attachment arrangements. Such activities, if established, would substantially lessen competition or restrain commerce or unlawfully create a monopoly (see *Mansfield Journal Co. v. FCC*, 86 U.S. App. D.C. 102; cf. *U.S. v. Griffith*, 334 U.S. 100; *Schine Chain Theatres v. U.S.*, 334 U.S. 110).²

8. We are here concerned with assuring compliance with the Communications Act of 1934, as amended, and Part 63 of our rules and find that the public interest requires that the situation in Bloomington-Normal, Ill., be resolved expeditiously. Accordingly, the Commission finds that due and timely execution of its functions imperatively and unavoidably requires that the Examiner certify the record in this matter, upon its closing, immediately to the Commission for final decision. Expedition also requires that the parties file their proposed findings of fact and conclusions of law within twenty (20) calendar days after the date the record is closed.

9. In view of the foregoing, the Commission is of the view that the matter should be designated for hearing to explore the issues raised. Accordingly, it is ordered, That pursuant to sections 4(i), 4(j), 208, 214, 218, 312 (b) and (c), and 403 of the Communications Act of 1934 as amended, General Telephone Co. of Illinois and GT&E Communications, Inc. are directed to show cause why they should not be ordered to cease and desist from construction, operation and offering of CATV facilities in Bloomington and Normal, Illinois in violation of the Communications Act and that this matter is designated for hearing in the Commission's offices in Washington, D.C. on a date and before an Examiner to be specified in a subsequent order on the following issues:

(1) To determine all of the facts and circumstances surrounding:

(a) The negotiations and discussions for pole attachment agreements by and between TeleCable Corp. and Bloomington-Normal Perfect Picture on the one hand and General Telephone Co. of Illinois on the other hand;

(b) The relationship between GT&E Communications, Inc., and General Telephone Co. of Illinois;

(c) The request by General Telephone Co. of Illinois to withdraw its section 214 application (P-C-7213); and

¹ TeleCable's petition for a temporary restraining order was filed on Mar. 14, 1969. A second petition, for permanent relief, was filed on Mar. 26, 1969, but this petition has not yet been considered by the Commission.

² The Commission's continuing concern in this area is reflected in its notice of inquiry and notice of proposed rule making in Docket 18509, FCC 69-214, relating to affiliations between telephone companies and their CATV customers.

(d) The present and/or proposed plans or actions with respect to the construction and/or operation of CATV facilities in Bloomington and Normal, Ill., by GT&E Communications, Inc.

(2) To determine whether in view of the relationship between GT&E Communications, Inc., and General Telephone Co. of Illinois and the evidence adduced pursuant to issue 1 above, the proposed actions by GT&E Communications, Inc., and General Telephone are such as to require prior section 214 certification by the Commission.

(3) To determine whether the actions of General Telephone Co. of Illinois and GT&E Communications, Inc. vis-a-vis the TeleCable Corporation, and Bloomington-Normal Perfect Picture are anti-competitive and monopolistic in nature, in contravention of the Communications Act or are otherwise contrary to the public interest.

(4) To determine whether in light of the evidence adduced pursuant to the foregoing issues, General Telephone Co. of Illinois and GT&E Communications, Inc., jointly or separately should be directed to cease and desist from providing CATV facilities or services in the communities of Bloomington and Normal, Ill.

(5) To determine in light of the foregoing whether any other action should be taken by the Commission and the nature thereof.

It is further ordered, That the burden of proof with respect to Issues 1(a), 2, and 3 is upon the petitioner and that the burden of proof with respect to Issues 1 (b), (c), and (d) is upon respondents, GT&E Communications, Inc., and General Telephone Co. of Illinois.

It is further ordered, That TeleCable Corp., Bloomington-Normal Perfect Picture, the Chief, Common Carrier Bureau and the Chief, CATV Task Force, are made parties to the proceeding.

It is further ordered, That upon the closing of the record, it shall be certified immediately to the Commission for final decision, and that the parties hereto shall file proposed findings of fact and conclusions of law within twenty (20) days after the time the record is closed.

It is further ordered, That General Telephone Co. of Illinois and GT&E Communications, Inc. are directed to appear and give evidence with respect to the matters described above at a hearing to be held in Washington, D.C., at a time and place and before an Examiner to be specified in a subsequent order, unless the hearing is waived, in which event a written statement may be submitted within thirty (30) days of the service of this order.

It is further ordered, That TeleCable Corp.'s petition is granted to the extent reflected herein, and otherwise is denied.

It is further ordered, That the Secretary of the Commission shall send copies of this order by certified mail, return receipt requested, to General Telephone Co. of Illinois, GT&E Communications, Inc., TeleCable Corp., and Bloomington-Normal Perfect Picture.

It is further ordered, That to avail itself of the opportunity for hearing herein

provided, General Telephone Co. of Illinois, GT&E Communications, Inc., TeleCable Corp., and Bloomington-Normal Perfect Picture shall file their appearances in accordance with § 1.91(c) of the Commission's rules.

Adopted: May 5, 1969.

Released: May 6, 1969.

FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS
COMMISSION,
[SEAL] BEN F. WAPLE,
Secretary.

[F.R. Doc. 69-5635; Filed, May 9, 1969;
8:49 a.m.]

FEDERAL HOME LOAN BANK BOARD

ALLSTATE ENTERPRISES, INC.

Notice of Receipt of Application for Permission To Acquire Control of Metropolitan Savings and Loan Association

MAY 7, 1969.

Notice is hereby given that the Federal Savings and Loan Insurance Corporation has received an application from the Allstate Enterprises, Inc., Northbrook, Ill., a wholly owned subsidiary of Sears Roebuck and Co. (Sears), for permission to acquire, by merger, Metropolitan Savings and Loan Association (Metropolitan), Los Angeles, Calif., under the provisions of section 408(e) of the National Housing Act, as amended (12 U.S.C. 1730(a)) and § 584.4 of the Regulations for Savings and Loan Holding Companies (12 CFR 584.4). The proposed acquisition is to be effected by the merger of Metropolitan, presently controlled by Sears, into Allstate Savings and Loan Association, an insured institution, controlled by Allstate Enterprises, Inc. Comments on the proposed acquisition should be submitted to the Director, Office of Examinations and Supervision, Federal Home Loan Bank Board, Washington, D.C. 20052, within 30 days of the date this notice appears in the FEDERAL REGISTER.

[SEAL] JACK CARTER,
Secretary,
Federal Home Loan Bank Board.

[F.R. Doc. 69-5632; Filed, May 9, 1969;
8:49 a.m.]

FEDERAL MARITIME COMMISSION

[Docket No. 69-13]

LYKES BROS. STEAMSHIP CO., INC.

General Increases in Rates in U.S. Gulf/Puerto Rico Trade; First Sup- plemental Order; Investigation

By Original Order in this proceeding served April 11, 1969, the Commission entered into an investigation of certain increased rates named on tariff pages listed therein. On April 14, Lykes Bros. Steamship Co., Inc., respondent in this proceeding, filed with the Federal Maritime Commission, to become effective

May 14, 1969, the following revised pages to its Tariff FMC-F No. 11 which contain additional increased rates:

Fourth Revised Page No. 33.
Second Revised Page No. 33-A.
First Revised Page No. 34.
Third Revised Page No. 35.
Eighth Revised Page No. 36.
Third Revised Page No. 37.
Sixth Revised Page No. 38.
Third Revised Page No. 38-A.
Fifth Revised Page No. 39.
Seventh Revised Page No. 40.
Sixth Revised Page No. 40-A.
Fifth Revised Page No. 41.
Third Revised Page No. 41-A.
Fifth Revised Page No. 42.
Eleventh Revised Page No. 43.
Fifth Revised Page No. 44.
First Revised Page No. 44-A.
Second Revised Page No. 45.
Seventh Revised Page No. 46.
Seventh Revised Page No. 47.
Fifth Revised Page No. 48.
Sixth Revised Page No. 49.
Second Revised Page No. 49-A.
Second Revised Page No. 50.
Third Revised Page No. 51.
Fifth Revised Page No. 52.
First Revised Page No. 54.
Fourth Revised Page No. 55.
Third Revised Page No. 56-A.
Second Revised Page No. 59.
Fifth Revised Page No. 61.
Second Revised Page No. 61-A.

Upon consideration of said schedules the Commission is of the opinion that the above designated increased rates should be included in the investigation in this proceeding to determine whether they are unjust, unreasonable or otherwise unlawful under section 18(a) of the Shipping Act, 1916, and/or sections 3 and 4 of the Intercoastal Shipping Act, 1933.

The increases represent a continuation of the carrier's managerial decision to increase all of its southbound rates on an individual basis in lieu of a flat, across-the-board percentage increase. The carrier has advised the Commission that it intends to continue in this manner until its rates have been increased an average of 15 percent. Due to the magnitude of the changes already involved herein, this proceeding should now be considered a general revenue case; and all rates, charges, classifications, rules, and regulations published in the tariff proper, and any future changes to the tariff filed pending the termination of this proceeding, should be included in this proceeding to determine the justness and reasonableness of the revised rate structure, and good cause appearing therefore:

It is ordered, That pursuant to the authority of section 22 of the Shipping Act, 1916 and sections 3 and 4 of the Intercoastal Shipping Act, 1933, the investigation in this proceeding is hereby expanded to include an investigation into the lawfulness of said additional increased rates with a view to making such findings and orders in the premises as the facts and circumstances warrant. In the event the new matter hereby placed under investigation is further changed, amended, or reissued, such changed, amended, or reissued matter will be included in this investigation.

It is further ordered, That all of the rates, charges, classifications, rules and

regulations currently in effect in Tariff FMC-F No. 11, in addition to amendments thereto already subject to investigation in this proceeding and all future tariff changes filed during the conduct of this investigation be, and they are hereby included in this investigation;

It is further ordered, That (I) a copy of this order shall forthwith be served on the respondent; (II) the said respondent be duly notified of the time and place of the hearing; and (III) this order be published in the FEDERAL REGISTER and notice of said hearing be served upon respondent.

By the Commission.

[SEAL] THOMAS LISI,
Secretary.

[F.R. Doc. 69-5619; Filed, May 9, 1969;
8:48 a.m.]

[Docket No. 69-22]

TRANSMARES

Independent Ocean Freight Forwarder License Application

By certified letter dated December 26, 1968, Applicant, Violet A. Wilson (Mrs. C. V. Mandry) doing business as Transmares, Post Office Box 30385, New Orleans, La., was notified of the Federal Maritime Commission's intent to deny her application for an Independent Ocean Freight Forwarder License. The ground for denial of the application is that applicant would permit an unqualified person "directly or indirectly to participate, whether through ownership or otherwise in the control or direction of the freight forwarding business of the licensee" contrary to section 44 of the Shipping Act, 1916, and § 510.23(b) of the Commission's General Order 4.

Applicant has now requested the opportunity to show at a hearing that the denial of her application is not warranted.

Therefore, it is ordered, Pursuant to sections 22 and 44 of the Shipping Act, 1916 (46 U.S.C. 821, 841(b)) that a proceeding is hereby instituted to determine whether the applicant possesses the necessary qualifications to be issued an Independent Ocean Freight Forwarder License.

It is further ordered, That Applicant be made respondent in this proceeding and that the matter be assigned for hearing before an Examiner of the Commission's Office of Hearing Examiners on a date and place to be announced.

It is further ordered, That notice of this order be published in the FEDERAL REGISTER, and a copy thereof and notice of hearing be served upon respondent.

It is further ordered, That any persons, other than the respondent, who desire to become a party to this proceeding and to participate therein, shall file a petition to intervene with the Secretary, Federal Maritime Commission, Washington, D.C. 20573, with a copy to respondent; and

It is further ordered, That all future notices issued by or on behalf of the Commission in this proceeding including

notice of time and place of hearing or prehearing conference, shall be mailed directly to all parties of record.

[SEAL] THOMAS LISI,
Secretary.

[F.R. Doc. 69-5620; Filed, May 9, 1969;
8:48 a.m.]

FEDERAL POWER COMMISSION

[Docket No. CP69-286]

CONSOLIDATED GAS SUPPLY CORP.

Notice of Application

MAY 7, 1969.

Take notice that on May 5, 1969, Consolidated Gas Supply Corp. (Applicant), 445 West Main Street, Clarksburg, W. Va. 26301, filed in Docket No. CP69-286 an application pursuant to section 7(c) of the Natural Gas Act for a certificate of public convenience and necessity authorizing the construction and operation of certain natural gas transmission facilities for the transportation of natural gas in interstate commerce, all as more fully set forth in the application which is on file with the Commission and open to public inspection.

Specifically, Applicant seeks authorization to construct and operate 12.8 miles of new 20-inch transmission pipeline, extending from Oxford Junction in Dodge County, W. Va., to Ellenboro in Ritchie County, W. Va., to replace 12.54 miles of existing 16-inch pipeline between these two points.

The total estimated cost of the proposed facilities is \$1,397,543, which will be financed from funds on hand and from funds to be obtained from Applicant's parent corporation, Consolidated Natural Gas Co.

Any person desiring to be heard or to make any protest with reference to said application should on or before May 26, 1969, file with the Federal Power Commission, Washington, D.C. 20426, a petition to intervene or a protest in accordance with the requirements of the Commission's rules of practice and procedure (18 CFR 1.8 or 1.10) and the regulations under the Natural Gas Act (18 CFR 157.10). All protests filed with the Commission will be considered by it in determining the appropriate action to be taken but will not serve to make the protestants parties to the proceeding. Persons wishing to become parties to a proceeding or to participate as a party in any hearing therein must file petitions to intervene in accordance with the Commission's rules.

Take further notice that, pursuant to the authority contained in and subject to the jurisdiction conferred upon the Federal Power Commission by sections 7 and 15 of the Natural Gas Act and the Commission's rules of practice and procedure, a hearing will be held without further notice before the Commission on this application if no petition to intervene is filed within the time required herein, if the Commission on its own review of the matter finds that a grant of

the certificate is required by the public convenience and necessity. If a petition for leave to intervene is timely filed, or if the Commission on its own motion believes that a formal hearing is required, further notice of such hearing will be duly given.

Under the procedure herein provided for, unless otherwise advised, it will be unnecessary for Applicant to appear or be represented at the hearing.

GORDON M. GRANT,
Secretary.

[F.R. Doc. 69-5624; Filed, May 9, 1969;
8:48 a.m.]

[Docket No. E-7478]

NORTHWESTERN PUBLIC SERVICE CO.

Notice of Application

MAY 7, 1969.

Take notice that on May 2, 1969, Northwestern Public Service Co. (Applicant), filed an application seeking authority pursuant to section 204 of the Federal Power Act to issue and sell at private sale \$4 million principal amount of first mortgage bonds and issue not to exceed \$2 million of short-term bank loans; or, in the alternative that if the officers of the company determine that it is not advisable to issue and sell bonds at this time, to issue \$5,800,000 principal amount of short-term bank loans, which will include extension or renewal of the four presently outstanding bank loans aggregating \$3,800,000.

Applicant is incorporated under the laws of the State of Delaware with its principal business office at Huron, S. Dak., and is engaged in the electric utility business in east central South Dakota, and the Applicant also distributes and sells natural gas in North Platte, Kearney, and Grand Island, and in Aberdeen, Huron, Mitchell, Madison, Brookings, and 19 other communities in South Dakota.

The proceeds from the issuance and sale of the \$4 million of bonds and bank loans of not to exceed \$2 million, or in the alternative the issuance of bank loans in the amount of \$5,800,000 would be applied first to the payment of or the renewal or extension of the four presently outstanding bank loans aggregating \$3,800,000, and any balance remaining from the sale of such bonds and the issuance of such bank notes would become treasury funds of the Applicant which will be used toward the payment of the company's 1969 construction program which totals approximately \$4,400,000. The principal items in this program include \$400,000 for a new 2,750 kw. diesel electric generating unit being installed at Faulkton, S. Dak.; approximately \$537,000 for electric transmission line extension and replacement; and \$225,000 for a new Yankton, S. Dak., substation.

It is proposed that the interest rate and terms of the bonds, the price to be paid for such bonds, and other terms and conditions of the bonds are to be determined by negotiation with prospective

purchasers. The bank loans will be evidenced by notes to be dated, the dates of their respective deliveries and expressed to mature within 360 days from their respective dates, except in the case of the extension or renewal notes which will mature 360 days from their respective date or September 1, 1970, whichever date shall be earlier. The interest rate for the renewal or extension of the four presently outstanding bank loans totaling \$3,800,000 will be one-fourth of 1 percent above the prime commercial rate of The Chase Manhattan Bank as it exists from time to time during the term of such notes and the interest rate on the remaining \$2 million of notes will be the prime commercial rate of The Chase Manhattan Bank as it exists from time to time during the term of such notes.

Any person desiring to be heard or to make any protest with reference to said application should on or before May 23, 1969, file with the Federal Power Commission, Washington, D.C. 20426 petitions to intervene or protests in accordance with the requirements of the Commission's rules of practice and procedure (18 CFR 1.8 or 1.10). All protests filed with the Commission will be considered by it in determining the appropriate action to be taken but will not serve to make the protestants parties to the proceeding. Persons wishing to become parties to a proceeding or to participate as a party in any hearing therein must file petitions to intervene in accordance with the Commission's rules. The application is on file with the Commission and available for public inspection.

GORDON M. GRANT,
Secretary.

[P.R. Doc. 69-5625; Filed, May 9, 1969;
8:48 a.m.]

FEDERAL TRADE COMMISSION

SPECIAL REPORTS RELATING TO LARGE CORPORATE MERGERS

Requirements Concerning Notification and Submission

Notice is hereby given that the Federal Trade Commission will require firms undertaking large corporate mergers or acquisitions to notify the Commission and supply Special Reports pursuant to section 6 (a) and (b) of the Federal Trade Commission Act (15 U.S.C. 46 (a) and (b)).

The Commission's requirements apply to any merger or acquisition involving firms which (1) are subject to the Commission's jurisdiction, (2) have assets of \$10 million or more, and (3) have combined assets of \$250 million or more. For mergers and acquisitions meeting these criteria, the notification and reporting requirements are as follows:

(1) Within 10 days after any agreement or understanding in principle is reached to merge or to acquire assets of \$10 million or more, and no less than 60 days prior to the consummation of the

merger or acquisition, if the combined assets of the acquiring and acquired corporations are \$250 million or more, the parties to the agreement shall notify the Commission of the proposed merger or acquisition; and any such party with assets of \$250 million or more shall also be required to file a Special Report in response to an order of the Commission;

(2) Upon becoming a party to an agreement or understanding as defined in Item (1), above, any corporation with assets of less than \$250 million may also be required to file a Special Report in response to an order of the Commission;

(3) With 10 days after amassing 10 percent or more of the voting stock of another corporation with assets of \$10 million or more, any acquiring corporation with assets of \$250 million or more shall notify the Commission of such stock holdings and shall also be required to file a Special Report in response to an order of the Commission; and any acquiring corporation with assets of less than \$250 million, if the combined assets of the acquiring and acquired corporations are \$250 million or more, shall notify the Commission and may also be required to file a Special Report;

(4) At least 60 days prior to effecting a stock acquisition which will result in the acquiring corporation holding 50 percent or more of the voting stock of another corporation with assets of \$10 million or more, any acquiring corporation with assets of \$250 million or more shall notify the Commission of the proposed acquisition and shall also be required to file a Special Report in response to an order of the Commission; and any acquiring corporation with assets of less than \$250 million, if the combined assets of the acquiring and acquired corporations are \$250 million or more, shall notify the Commission and may also be required to file a Special Report;

(5) Any corporation whose voting stock has been acquired in the amount set forth in Item (3), above, or whose voting stock is the subject of a proposed acquisition as set forth in Item (4), above, may be required to file a Special Report in response to an order of the Commission.

Notifications filed pursuant to these requirements will constitute a part of the public records of the Commission, but the Special Reports filed pursuant to order of the Commission will constitute a part of the Commission's confidential records. Special Reports will be made available to the Commission's staff and, upon a request complying with § 4.11 (c) of the Commission's rules of practice and procedure, may be made available to the Department of Justice and other governmental agencies.

The foregoing requirements pertaining to notification will become effective for all corporations within the coverage of such requirements on the date of publication of this notice in the FEDERAL REGISTER, and this publication constitutes notice to all such corporations that they are required to comply therewith. Proper notification will consist of a letter indicating the names and mailing ad-

resses of the corporations involved, the type of (proposed) transaction, the date of the agreement (if any), and the consummation date of the (proposed) merger or acquisition.

The effective date of the requirements pertaining to filing of Special Reports will be, for each corporation, the date upon which that corporation receives an Order Requiring Filing of Special Report from the Commission. The date upon which the Special Report must be filed will be the date designated as "Reporting Date" in the Special Report form.

The Commission's initiation of this procedure should not be interpreted to mean that corporations must request Commission approval prior to the consummation of any mergers or acquisitions, nor should the fact that the Commission has not challenged a merger or acquisition prior to its consummation be interpreted as Commission approval of the legality of the transaction. However, the Commission will continue to provide advisory opinions, as provided by its rules of practice and procedure, regarding the legality of particular mergers and acquisitions and invites those contemplating merger to avail themselves of this program in any situation in which they are uncertain as to the legality of the proposed transaction.

Issued: May 6, 1969.

By direction of the Commission.

[SEAL] JOSEPH W. SHEA,
Secretary.

[P.R. Doc. 69-5617; Filed, May 9, 1969;
8:48 a.m.]

SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION

[70-4748]

BROCKTON EDISON CO.

Notice of Proposed Issue and Sale of First Mortgage and Collateral Trust Bonds at Competitive Bidding

MAY 6, 1969.

Notice is hereby given that Brockton Edison Co. ("Brockton"), 36 Main Street, Brockton, Mass. 02403, an electric utility subsidiary company of Eastern Utilities Associates ("EUA"), a registered holding company, has filed an application with this Commission pursuant to the Public Utility Holding Company Act of 1935 ("Act"), designating sections 6(b) and 12(c) of the Act and Rules 50 and 42(b) (2) promulgated thereunder as applicable to the proposed transactions. All interested persons are referred to the application, which is summarized below, for a complete statement of the proposed transactions.

Brockton proposes to issue and sell, subject to the competitive bidding requirements of Rule 50, \$5 million principal amount of First Mortgage and Collateral Trust Bonds, ----- percent Series due 1999. The interest rate (which shall be a multiple of one-eighth of 1

percent), and the price, exclusive of accrued interest, to be paid to Brockton (which shall be not less than 100 percent nor more than 102.75 percent of the principal amount) will be determined by the competitive bidding. The bonds will be issued under the Indenture of First Mortgage and Deed of Trust dated as of September 1, 1948, between Brockton and State Street Bank and Trust Co., successor Trustee, as heretofore supplemented and amended and as to be further supplemented by a Seventh Supplemental Indenture to be dated as of June 1, 1969.

The net proceeds from the sale of the bonds will be used to repay advances on open account from EUA (Holding Company Act Release No. 16248) and to prepay in part, without premium, Brockton's short-term notes to banks, such borrowings from EUA and from banks having been incurred to provide funds for construction purposes (or to repay borrowings so incurred). The filing states that prepayment of such short-term notes may be temporarily delayed as to that part, if any, of the net proceeds which may be deposited with the Trustee under Brockton's Mortgage in compliance with the provisions thereof. The balance of the net proceeds, if any, will be used for construction purposes.

The filing further states that the Massachusetts Department of Public Utilities has jurisdiction over the proposed transactions and that no other State commission and no Federal commission, other than this Commission, has jurisdiction over the proposed transactions. Fees and expenses related to the proposed transactions are estimated at \$42,000, including printing expenses of \$22,000, counsels' fees of \$8,700, Trustee's fees and expenses of \$4,000 and accountants' fees of \$3,000. The fees and expenses of counsel for the underwriters, estimated to be \$5,300, will be paid by the successful bidders.

Notice is further given that any interested person may, not later than May 29, 1969, request in writing that a hearing be held on such matter, stating the nature of his interest, the reasons for such request, and the issues of fact or law raised by said application which he desires to controvert; or he may request that he be notified if the Commission should order a hearing thereon. Any such request should be addressed: Secretary, Securities and Exchange Commission, Washington, D.C. 20549. A copy of such request should be served personally or by mail (airmail if the person being served is located more than 500 miles from the point of mailing) upon the applicant at the above-stated address, and proof of service (by affidavit or, in case of an attorney at law, by certificate) should be filed with the request. At any time after said date, the application, as filed or as it may be amended, may be granted as provided in Rule 23 of the general rules and regulations promulgated under the Act or the Commission may grant exemption from such rules as provided in Rules 20(a) and 100 thereof or take such other action as it may deem

appropriate. Persons who request a hearing or advice as to whether a hearing is ordered will receive notice of further developments in this matter, including the date of the hearing (if ordered) and any postponements thereof.

For the Commission (pursuant to delegated authority).

ORVAL L. DuBOIS,
Secretary.

[P.R. Doc. 69-5598; Filed, May 9, 1969;
8:46 a.m.]

ELECTROGEN INDUSTRIES, INC.

Order Suspending Trading

MAY 6, 1969.

It appearing to the Securities and Exchange Commission that the summary suspension of trading in the common stock and all other securities of Electro-Gen Industries, Inc. (formerly Jodmar Industries, Inc.) (may be known as American Lima Corp.), being traded otherwise than on a national securities exchange is required in the public interest and for the protection of investors:

It is ordered, Pursuant to section 15(c) (5) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, that trading in such securities otherwise than on a national securities exchange be summarily suspended, this order to be effective for the period May 7, 1969, through May 16, 1969, both dates inclusive.

By the Commission.

[SEAL] ORVILLE L. DuBOIS,
Secretary.

[P.R. Doc. 69-5599; Filed, May 9, 1969;
8:46 a.m.]

[70-4746]

OHIO POWER CO.

Notice of Proposed Acquisition of Utility Assets From Municipality

MAY 6, 1969.

Notice is hereby given that Ohio Power Co. ("Ohio Power"), 301 Cleveland Avenue SW., Canton, Ohio 44701, an electric utility subsidiary company of American Electric Power Co., Inc., a registered holding company, has filed an application and amendments thereto with this Commission pursuant to the Public Utility Holding Company Act of 1935 ("Act"), designating sections 9 and 10 thereof as applicable to the proposed transaction. All interested persons are referred to the application, which is summarized below, for a complete statement of the proposed transaction.

Ohio Power proposes to acquire from the Village of Caldwell, Ohio ("Caldwell"), the electric utility system of Caldwell which presently serves approximately 1,200 customers. The properties to be acquired, which will be conveyed free and clear of any indebtedness and encumbrances, are not interconnected with any other utility and include generating units having an aggregate capacity of 3,055 KW and related facilities.

Ohio Power proposes initially to interconnect with the Caldwell properties at an estimated cost of \$60,000, and subsequently to rebuild the system and install a second interconnection at an estimated additional cost of \$240,000. The filing states that Caldwell's total revenue from electric operations for the year 1967 was \$172,342 and for the year 1968, \$175,336.

On November 5, 1968, the citizens of Caldwell approved a resolution authorizing the sale of the property for cash at competitive bidding at a price of not less than \$1 million, and Caldwell has accepted Ohio Power's bid of \$1,546,000. It is further stated that the amount of Ohio Power's bid was based on estimated original cost, present condition of the Caldwell properties, and the amount of present and expected revenues from these properties based on Ohio Power's present rates, and from expected new load development in the area.

Ohio Power estimated that for the first full 12-month period of its operation of the Caldwell system and for the 12-month period ending June 30, 1973 (the probable first full 12-month period to include street lighting revenues) gross revenue from the Caldwell property will be \$204,000 and \$275,000, respectively. It is stated that anticipated revenue would be increased substantially if the annual usage of Caldwell residential customers should increase to approximately the usage level of Ohio Power's residential customers.

Ohio Power proposes to record the acquired properties on its books at their original cost and to credit depreciation to the appropriate reserve account. The difference between the purchase price and the depreciated original cost will be recorded and disposed of in accordance with the accounting regulations and orders of the regulatory commissions having jurisdiction.

The application states that the only expenses to be incurred by Ohio Power are counsel fees estimated not to exceed \$8,000, and that no State or Federal commission, other than this Commission, has jurisdiction over the proposed transaction.

Notice is further given that any interested person may, not later than May 27, 1969, request in writing that a hearing be held on such matter, stating the nature of his interest, the reasons for such request, and the issues of fact or law raised by said application which he desires to controvert; or he may request that he be notified if the Commission should order a hearing thereon. Any such request should be addressed: Secretary, Securities and Exchange Commission, Washington, D.C. 20549. A copy of such request should be served personally or by mail (airmail if the person being served is located more than 500 miles from the point of mailing) upon the applicant at the above-stated address, and proof of service (by affidavit or, in case of an attorney at law, by certificate) should be filed with the request. At any time after said date, the application, as amended or as it may be further amended, may be granted as provided

in Rule 23 of the general rules and regulations promulgated under the Act, or the Commission may grant exemption from such rules as provided in Rules 20(a) and 100 thereof or take such other action as it may deem appropriate. Persons who request a hearing or advice as to whether a hearing is ordered will receive notice of further developments in this matter, including the date of the hearing (if ordered) and any postponements thereof.

For the Commission (pursuant to delegated authority).

[SEAL] ORVAL L. DuBOIS,
Secretary.

[P.R. Doc. 69-5600; Filed, May 9, 1969;
8:46 a.m.]

[File No. 1-2762]

PARVIN-DOHRMANN CO.

Order Suspending Trading

MAY 5, 1969.

The common stock, 50 cents par value, and the \$2.50 dividend convertible preferred stock, \$2.50 par value of Parvin-Dohrmann Co., being listed and registered on the American Stock Exchange pursuant to provisions of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 and all other securities of Parvin-Dohrmann Co., being traded otherwise than on a national securities exchange; and

It appearing to the Securities and Exchange Commission that the summary suspension of trading in such securities on such Exchange and otherwise than on a national securities exchange is required in the public interest and for the protection of investors:

It is ordered, Pursuant to sections 15(c) (5) and 19(a) (4) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, that trading in such securities on the American Stock Exchange and otherwise than on a national securities exchange be summarily suspended, this order to be effective for the period May 6, 1969, through May 15, 1969, both dates inclusive.

By the Commission.

[SEAL] ORVAL L. DuBOIS,
Secretary.

[P.R. Doc. 69-5601; Filed, May 9, 1969;
8:46 a.m.]

SMALL BUSINESS ADMINISTRATION

[Delegation of Authority 6.1]

DEPUTY ASSISTANT ADMINISTRATOR (COMPTROLLER) ET AL.

Delegation of Authority Regarding Financial Activities

I. Pursuant to the authority delegated to the Assistant Administrator (Comptroller) by the Administrator (Delegation of Authority No. 6, 34 F.R. 6631,

Apr. 17, 1969), the following authority is hereby redelegated to the specific positions as indicated herein:

A. Deputy Assistant Administrator (Comptroller). 1. To assign, endorse, transfer, deliver or release (but in all cases without representation, recourse, or warranty) promissory notes, bonds, debentures, and other obligating instruments on all loans or investments made or serviced by SBA when paid in full or when transferred to the Department of Justice for liquidation.

B. Director, Office of Budget and Finance; Chief, Accounting Operations Division and Chief, Fiscal Examination Branch. Item I.A.1, above.

II. The specific authorities delegated herein may not be redelegated.

III. All authority delegated herein may be exercised by any SBA employee designated as acting in that position.

IV. All authority previously delegated by the Assistant Administrator for Administration to officials under his jurisdiction is hereby rescinded without prejudice to actions taken under such delegations prior to the date herein.

Effective date: March 17, 1969.

W. P. TURPIN,
Assistant Administrator
(Comptroller).

[P.R. Doc. 69-5602; Filed, May 9, 1969;
8:46 a.m.]

[Declaration of Disaster Loan Area 706]

ILLINOIS

Declaration of Disaster Loan Area

Whereas, it has been reported that during the month of April 1969, because of the effects of certain disasters, damage resulted to residences and business property located in Rock Island County, Ill.;

Whereas, the Small Business Administration has investigated and has received other reports of investigations of conditions in the area affected;

Whereas, after reading and evaluating reports of such conditions, I find that the conditions in such area constitute a catastrophe within the purview of the Small Business Act, as amended.

Now, therefore, as Administrator of the Small Business Administration, I hereby determine that:

1. Applications for disaster loans under the provisions of section 7(b) (1) of the Small Business Act, as amended, may be received and considered by the office below indicated from persons or firms whose property, situated in the aforesaid county, and areas adjacent thereto, suffered damage or destruction resulting from floods occurring on or about April 20, 1969, and continuing thereafter.

OFFICE

Small Business Administration Regional Office, 219 South Dearborn Street, Chicago, Ill. 60604.

2. Applications for disaster loans under the authority of this Declaration will

not be accepted subsequent to November 30, 1969.

Dated: May 5, 1969.

HILARY SANDOVAL, Jr.,
Administrator.

[P.R. Doc. 69-5622; Filed, May 9, 1969;
8:48 a.m.]

[License No. 12/12-0035]

MARYLAND CAPITAL CORP.

Notice of License Surrender

Notice is hereby given that Maryland Capital Corp. (Maryland), 116 New Montgomery Street, San Francisco, Calif. 94105, has pursuant to § 107.105 of the Regulations Governing Small Business Investment Companies (13 CFR Part 107, 33 F.R. 326) surrendered its license to operate as a small business investment company.

Maryland was incorporated on May 26, 1961, under the laws of the State of California, and was licensed by the Small Business Administration to operate solely under the Small Business Investment Act of 1958, as amended (15 U.S.C., 661 et seq.).

Under the authority vested by the Small Business Investment Act of 1958, as amended, and pursuant to the regulations promulgated thereunder, the surrender of the license of Maryland is hereby accepted and accordingly, it is no longer licensed to operate as a small business investment company.

Dated May 6, 1969.

A. H. SINGER,
Associate Administrator
for Investment.

[P.R. Doc. 69-5623; Filed, May 9, 1969;
8:48 a.m.]

TARIFF COMMISSION

[AA1921-56]

CONCORD GRAPES FROM CANADA

Notice of Investigation and Hearing

Having received advice from the Treasury Department on May 5, 1969, that Concord grapes from Canada are being, or are likely to be, sold in the United States at less than fair value, the U.S. Tariff Commission has instituted an investigation under section 201(a) of the Antidumping Act, 1921, as amended (19 U.S.C. 160(a)), to determine whether an industry in the United States is being or is likely to be injured, or is prevented from being established, by reason of the importation of such merchandise into the United States.

Hearing. A public hearing in connection with the investigation will be held in the Tariff Commission's Hearing Room, Tariff Commission Building, 8th and E Streets NW., Washington, D.C., beginning at 10 a.m., e.d.s.t., on June 24, 1969. All parties will be given opportunity to be present, to produce evidence, and to

be heard at such hearing. Interested parties desiring to appear at the public hearing should notify the Secretary of the Tariff Commission, in writing, at its offices in Washington, D.C., at least 5 days in advance of the date set for the hearing.

Issued: May 7, 1969.

By order of the Commission.

DONN N. BENT,
Secretary.

[F.R. Doc. 69-5630; Filed, May 9, 1969;
8:49 a.m.]

DEPARTMENT OF LABOR

Wage and Hour Division

CERTIFICATES AUTHORIZING EMPLOYMENT OF FULL-TIME STUDENTS WORKING OUTSIDE OF SCHOOL HOURS AT SPECIAL MINIMUM WAGES IN RETAIL OR SERVICE ESTABLISHMENTS OR IN AGRICULTURE

Notice is hereby given that pursuant to section 14 of the Fair Labor Standards Act of 1938 (52 Stat. 1060, as amended, 20 U.S.C. 201 et seq.), the regulation on employment of full-time students (29 CFR Part 519), and Administrative Order No. 595 (31 F.R. 12981), the establishments listed in this notice have been issued special certificates authorizing the employment of full-time students working outside of school hours at hourly wage rates lower than the minimum wage rates otherwise applicable under section 6 of the act. The effective and expiration dates are as indicated below. The minimum certificate rates are not less than 85 percent of the applicable statutory minimum.

The following certificates provide for an allowance not to exceed the proportion of the total hours worked by full-time students at rates below \$1 an hour to the total number of hours worked by all employees in the establishment during the base period in occupations of the same general classes in which the establishment employed full-time students at wages below \$1 an hour in the base period.

Abourezk's Store, department store; Mission, S. Dak.; 2-1-69 to 1-31-70.
Geo. Ade Memorial Hospital, hospital; Brook, Ind.; 2-1-69 to 1-31-70.
Alexander's Super Market, foodstore; 2023 East Overland, Scottsbluff, Nebr.; 2-1-69 to 1-31-70.
Alfredo Santos Grocery, foodstore; 1901 Santa Maria Avenue, Laredo, Tex.; 1-29-69 to 1-28-70.
Alleghany County Memorial Hospital, hospital; Sparta, N.C.; 2-1-69 to 1-31-70.
All's Red & White, foodstore; Allendale, S.C.; 2-1-69 to 1-31-70.
Anderson's Food Center, foodstore; 523 Othcaloga Street, Calhoun, Ga.; 2-1-69 to 1-31-70.
Andys Smorgasbord and Prime Rib, restaurant; 3550 Highland Drive, Salt Lake City, Utah; 2-1-69 to 1-31-70.

Apostolic Christian Home, nursing home; 511 Paramount Street, Sabetha, Kans.; 2-1-69 to 1-31-70.
Art's Super Valu, foodstore; 116 Lindbergh Drive, Little Falls, Minn.; 2-1-69 to 1-31-70.
Ashton Brothers Co., foodstore; Vernal, Utah; 1-29-69 to 1-28-70.
B. J.'s A.G. Food Store, foodstore; 2808 North 19th, Waco, Tex.; 1-22-69 to 1-21-70.
Babcock's IGA Foodliner, Inc., foodstore; 425 West Vienna Street, Clio, Mich.; 12-20-68 to 12-19-69.
Baenziger Model Market, foodstore; 510 Court, Seguin, Tex.; 2-1-69 to 1-31-70.
Bailey's, department store; Coffeerville, Miss.; 2-1-69 to 1-31-70.
Barbecue Inn, restaurant; 116 West Cross-timbers, Houston, Tex.; 1-10-69 to 1-9-70.
The Barrel Drive-In, Inc., restaurant; 2300 South Minnesota Avenue, Sioux Falls, S. Dak.; 2-1-69 to 1-31-70.
Barrow Nursing Home, Inc., nursing home; 1338 East Chocolate Avenue, Hershey, Pa.; 2-1-69 to 1-31-70.
Bayless Drug Store, drugstore; No. 89, Phoenix, Ariz.; 2-1-69 to 1-31-70.
A. J. Bayless Markets, Inc., foodstores from 2-1-69 to 1-31-70: No. 29, Goodyear, Ariz.; No. 3, Mesa, Ariz.; Nos. 2, 4, 5, 7, 11, 12, 16, 18, 19, 20, 21, 22, 23, 25, and 26, Phoenix, Ariz.; Nos. 31 and 38, Scottsdale, Ariz.; No. 6, Tempe, Ariz.; Nos. 33, 34, and 35, Tucson, Ariz.; Nos. 14 and 24, Yuma, Ariz.
H. Berkman and Co., department store; Simonton, Tex.; 1-24-69 to 1-23-70.
Biltmore Farms, agriculture; Biltmore, N.C.; 2-1-69 to 1-31-70.
Bishop Stoddard Cafeteria Co., restaurants from 2-1-69 to 1-31-70: Kimberly Road, Bettendorf, Iowa; 321 First Avenue SE., Cedar Rapids, Iowa; 4444 First Avenue NE, Cedar Rapids, Iowa; 4444 First Avenue NE., Cedar Davenport, Iowa; 4301 Fleur Drive, Des Moines, Iowa; Merle Hay Road at Douglas, Des Moines, Iowa; 524 Nebraska Street, Sioux City, Iowa; 210 East Fifth Street, Waterloo, Iowa; 1414 Douglas Street, Omaha, Nebr.
Blackburn Jobbing Co., foodstore; Mountain City, Tenn.; 1-5-69 to 1-4-70.
Bond Stores, Inc., apparel store; Garden State Plaza, Paramus, N.J.; 1-19-69 to 1-18-70.
J. Oviatt Bowers Co., Inc., hardware store; 2105 Second Street, Tuscaloosa, Ala.; 2-1-69 to 1-31-70.
Branney & Sons Mercantile Co., Inc., hardware store; 11403 St. Charles Rk. Road, Bridgeton, Mo.; 2-1-69 to 1-31-70.
Broadus Super Market, Inc., foodstore; Highway 21 West, Caldwell, Tex.; 2-1-69 to 1-31-70.
Bud and Luke Restaurant, Inc., restaurant; 1919 Madison Avenue, Toledo, Ohio; 2-1-69 to 1-31-70.
Burger Chef, restaurant; 61 Hendersonville Road, Asheville, N.C.; 2-1-69 to 1-31-70.
Burnette Food Town, foodstore; West Gate Plaza, Barnesville, Ga.; 2-1-69 to 1-31-70.
Burns Hykias Grocery, foodstore; Braymer, Mo.; 2-1-69 to 1-31-70.
Butrus Food Center, Inc., foodstore; 4301 10th Avenue, North, Birmingham, Ala.; 2-1-69 to 1-31-70.
Cap's Super Market, foodstore; 1000 Allo Street, Marrero, La.; 2-1-69 to 1-31-70.
Carson Pirie Scott & Co., department store; 124 Southwest Adams, Peoria, Ill.; 2-1-69 to 1-31-70.
Carson's Hi-Way Market, Inc., foodstore; 1128 East Seventh Street, Winner, S. Dak.; 2-1-69 to 1-31-70.
Carty's Department Store, department store; 215 East Main Street, West Point, Miss.; 2-1-69 to 1-31-70.
Cheatham Stores, department store; 533 Harrison, Pawnee, Okla.; 2-1-69 to 1-31-70.
Clark Nursing Home, Inc., nursing home; Clark, S. Dak.; 2-1-69 to 1-31-70.

Coplon-Smith Co., apparel store; 232 Middle Street, New Bern, N.C.; 2-1-69 to 1-31-70.
Crockett & Gaunce, foodstore; Main Street, Carlisle, Ky.; 2-1-69 to 1-31-70.
Cronan's IGA Store, foodstore; 123 South Fourth, Lincoln, Kans.; 2-1-69 to 1-31-70.
Daniel's Red & White Store, foodstore; Hemingway, S.C.; 1-17-69 to 1-16-70.
Davis & 10¢ Store, variety store; 4061 Barrancas Avenue, Warrington, Fla.; 2-1-69 to 1-31-70.
Eagle Stores Co., Inc., variety stores; 337 Hay Street, Fayetteville, N.C.; 2-1-69 to 1-31-70.
Egg-A-Day Farm Store, foodstore; 217 South 77th Street, Birmingham, Ala.; 2-1-69 to 1-31-70.
Ehlers of Redwood Falls, Inc., department store; Redwood Falls, Minn.; 2-1-69 to 1-31-70.
Eigenrauch's Tom Boy Market, foodstore; 121 East St. Louis Street, Nashville, Ill.; 1-17-69 to 1-16-70.
Eighteenth & Oak Market, Inc., foodstore; 902 Oak Street, Columbus, Ohio; 2-1-69 to 1-31-70.
Elkenberry's IGA Foodliners, Inc., foodstore; 117 Sater Street, Greensville, Ohio; 2-1-69 to 1-31-70.
Epps Super Market, Inc., foodstores from 2-1-69 to 1-31-70: 1356 Federal Road, Houston, Tex.; No. 2, Houston, Tex.; No. 3, Pasadena, Tex.
Ernst IGA Food Store, foodstore; Nixon, Tex.; 1-13-69 to 1-12-70.
F & F Grocery, foodstore; Lake View, S.C.; 2-1-69 to 1-31-70.
Family Department Store, variety store; No. 82, Yuma, Ariz.; 2-1-69 to 1-31-70.
Farmers Market, foodstore; Waukon, Iowa; 12-31-68 to 12-10-69.
Flynn Super Market, foodstore; 116 North Main, Pocahontas, Iowa; 1-18-69 to 1-17-70.
Food Town, foodstore; Ashland, Ala.; 1-8-69 to 1-7-70.
Francis Department Store, department store; Prestonsburg, Ky.; 1-17-69 to 1-16-70.
Frank's United Super, foodstore; Norborne, Mo.; 2-1-69 to 1-31-70.
Franz Store, foodstore; Mountain Lake, Minn.; 2-1-69 to 1-31-70.
Freeland-Brown Pharmacy, drugstore; 4508 South Peoria, Tulsa, Okla.; 1-17-69 to 1-16-70.
Gockel IGA, foodstore; St. Marys, Kans.; 2-1-69 to 1-31-70.
Goldblatt Brothers, Inc., department stores from 1-7-69 to 1-6-70 except as otherwise indicated: 9100 South Commercial Avenue, Chicago, Ill.; Hillside Shopping Center, Hillside, Ill.; 645 Broadway, Gary, Ind. (1-24-69 to 1-23-70).
W. T. Grant Co., variety stores: No. 634, Pensacola, Fla., 1-3-69 to 1-2-70; No. 44, Macon, Ga., 1-15-69 to 9-18-69; No. 737, Kokomo, Ind., 1-3-69 to 1-2-70; No. 602, Calais, Maine, 1-2-69 to 12-7-69; No. 77, Worcester, Mass., 2-1-69 to 1-31-70; No. 283, Bloomfield, N.J., 2-1-69 to 1-31-70; No. 381, Elizabeth, N.J., 1-29-69 to 1-28-70; No. 3554, Bristol, Pa., 1-16-69 to 1-15-70.
Green Derby Restaurant, restaurant; 1510 Ellis Avenue, Jackson, Miss.; 1-30-69 to 1-29-70.
Gross Food Market, foodstore; 3012 Bosque Boulevard, Waco, Tex.; 2-1-69 to 1-31-70.
Grover Cronin, Inc., department store; 223 Moody Street, Waltham, Mass.; 2-1-69 to 1-31-70.
Haak Brothers, Inc., department store; 737-751 Cumberland Street, Lebanon, Pa.; 2-1-69 to 1-31-70.
Hahn's, Inc., foodstore; 101 West Main, Belle Plaine, Minn.; 2-1-69 to 1-31-70.
Headspring Farm, agriculture; Newberry, S.C.; 2-1-69 to 1-31-70.
Hickory Inn of Lubbock, Inc., restaurant; Monterey Center, Lubbock, Tex.; 2-1-69 to 1-31-70.

Highway Foods, Inc., foodstore; 3600 Military Highway, Norfolk, Va.; 1-19-69 to 1-18-70.

Hock's Vandalia Pharmacy, drugstore; 535 South Dixie Drive, Vandalia, Ohio; 2-1-69 to 1-31-70.

Hogan's Super Market, foodstore; 2936 Cypress Street, West Monroe, La.; 2-1-69 to 1-31-70.

Hollberg's, department store; 306-8-10 Main Street, Seneca, Ga.; 2-1-69 to 1-31-70.

Irving's Super Market, foodstore; 2029 Savannah Road, Augusta, Ga.; 2-1-69 to 1-31-70.

Jack's Market, foodstore; 214 Main, Fowler, Colo.; 1-14-69 to 1-13-70.

W. H. Jarrard Grocery, foodstore; Marietta, S.C.; 2-1-69 to 1-31-70.

Jay's IGA Foodliner, foodstore; 425 South Jefferson, Mexico, Mo.; 2-1-69 to 1-31-70.

Jitney Jungle, foodstore; 108 South Main Street, Magee, Miss.; 2-1-69 to 1-31-70.

Jordan's Market, foodstore; 3044 Isleta Boulevard SW., Albuquerque, N. Mex.; 1-27-69 to 1-26-70.

J's Foodland, foodstore; 324 East Pine Street, Fitzgerald, Ga.; 2-1-69 to 1-31-70.

Kelloff's Food Market, Inc., foodstore; La Jara, Colo.; 2-1-69 to 1-31-70.

Kemper Drug, drugstore; 323 Jackson Avenue, Elk River, Minn.; 2-1-69 to 1-31-70.

King Center Food Giant, foodstore; 4520 Holmes Road, Houston, Tex.; 2-1-69 to 1-31-70.

Klaus Department Store, department store; 2865 North Milwaukee Avenue, Chicago, Ill.; 1-19-69 to 1-18-70.

S. S. Kresge Co., variety stores; No. 262, Waterbury, Conn., 12-27-68 to 12-26-69; No. 232, Washington, D.C., 12-30-68 to 12-29-69; No. 4591, Chicago, Ill., 1-13-69 to 1-12-70; No. 4587, Hammond, Ind., 1-4-69 to 1-3-70; No. 707, Metairie, La., 1-26-69 to 1-25-70; No. 14, Wheaton, Md., 1-26-69 to 1-25-70; No. 560, Detroit, Mich., 1-17-69 to 1-16-70; No. 565, Detroit, Mich., 2-1-69 to 1-31-70; No. 699, Drayton Plains, Mich., 1-17-69 to 1-16-70; No. 4602, Marquette, Mich., 1-22-69 to 1-21-70; No. 4611, Sedalia, Mo., 12-30-68 to 12-17-69; No. 354, Akron, Ohio, 12-28-68 to 12-27-69; No. 495, Akron, Ohio, 1-3-69 to 1-2-70; No. 4529, Ashland, Ohio, 1-12-69 to 1-11-70; No. 4500, Cincinnati, Ohio, 1-21-69 to 1-20-70; No. 604, Columbus, Ohio, 1-23-69 to 1-22-70; No. 126, Philadelphia, Pa., 1-27-69 to 1-26-70; No. 592, Philadelphia, Pa., 1-19-69 to 1-18-70.

Langston's Grocery, foodstore; West Blocton, Ala.; 2-1-69 to 1-31-70.

Leggett's Super Market, foodstore; 403 John Small Avenue, Washington, N.C.; 2-1-69 to 1-31-70.

Leon's Food Mart, Inc., foodstore; 2200 Winthrop Road, Lincoln, Nebr.; 2-1-69 to 1-31-70.

Liberty Cash Grocery, foodstore; No. 17, Memphis, Tenn.; 2-1-69 to 1-31-70.

Long's IGA Food Market, foodstore; 1114 Main Street, Bastrop, Tex.; 1-29-69 to 1-28-70.

Lydia Mills Store, department store; Poplar Street, Clinton, S.C.; 2-1-69 to 1-31-70.

Lynch Motor Co., Inc., auto dealer; Lebanon, Va.; 1-28-69 to 1-27-70.

Mae's Store, foodstore; 202 Thomas Avenue, Chickamauga, Ga.; 2-1-69 to 1-31-70.

Mara Brothers, Inc., department store; Philadelphia, Miss.; 1-27-69 to 1-26-70.

Martin's apparel store; 658 Penn Street, Reading, Pa.; 2-1-69 to 1-31-70.

Mason Food Market, foodstore; 115 South Woodland, Riceville, Iowa; 1-10-69 to 1-9-70.

Mason's Market, foodstore; Minden, Nebr.; 2-1-69 to 1-31-70.

McCalmont IGA Store, foodstore; Sublette, Kans.; 2-1-69 to 1-31-70.

McCoy's Pharmacy, drugstore; 139 East North Frost, New Boston, Tex.; 2-1-69 to 1-31-70.

McCrary-McClellan-Green Store, variety stores; No. 460, Cedar Rapids, Iowa, 1-31-69 to 11-20-69; No. 1084, Des Moines, Iowa, 1-10-69 to 12-29-69.

McCulley's Big Saver, foodstore; 35 South Main Street, Montevallo, Ala.; 1-23-69 to 1-22-70.

McGinley Market, foodstore; 103 South Polk Street, Albany, Mo.; 2-1-69 to 1-31-70.

McKee's IGA Super Market, foodstore; 503 North Main, Blue Rapids, Kans.; 2-1-69 to 1-31-70.

McKey and Vine Grocery and Market, foodstore; Centreville, Miss.; 2-1-69 to 1-31-70.

Meador's Pharmacy, drugstore; 101 West Waterman, Dumas, Ark.; 2-1-69 to 1-31-70.

Meyers Department Store, Inc., department store; 4805 South Ashland Avenue, Chicago, Ill.; 1-11-69 to 1-10-70.

Minimax, foodstore; 1411 Ahrens Street, Houston, Tex.; 2-1-69 to 1-31-70.

Montross Pharmacy, Inc., drugstore; 118-20 North First Avenue, Winterset, Iowa; 2-1-69 to 1-31-70.

Morgan & Lindsey, Inc., variety stores from 1-22-69 to 1-21-70 except as otherwise indicated; No. 3024, Amite, La.; No. 3004, De-Ridder, La.; No. 3021, Hammond, La. (1-23-69 to 1-22-70); No. 3029, Jennings, La. (1-17-69 to 1-16-70); No. 3041, Kosciusko, Miss. (1-19-69 to 1-18-70).

J. Polk Morris & Sons, Inc., department store; 100 Avenue F, Kentwood, La.; 2-1-69 to 1-31-70.

Muir's General Store, variety store; Lebanon Junction, Ky.; 2-1-69 to 1-31-70.

Murphy's Cardinal Food Market, foodstore; 615 Third Street, Henry, Ill.; 2-1-69 to 1-31-70.

Myatt Brothers Food Store, foodstore; Purvis, Miss.; 2-1-69 to 1-31-70.

Neisner Brothers, Inc., variety store; No. 130, Pittsfield, Mass.; 1-22-69 to 1-21-70.

J. J. Newberry Co., variety store; No. 420, Macon, Ga.; 11-19-68 to 11-18-69.

Newman's, apparel store; 122 South Michigan Street, South Bend, Ind.; 1-7-69 to 1-6-70.

Nipple Convalescent Home, nursing home; Thompsonstown, Pa.; 2-1-69 to 1-31-70.

Nu-Way Grocery, foodstore; 104 East Broadway, Drumwright, Okla.; 2-1-69 to 1-31-70.

O K Market Co., foodstore; 514 North Saginaw Street, Holly, Mich.; 1-29-69 to 1-28-70.

Pak-A-Sak Food Stores, Inc., foodstores from 2-1-69 to 1-31-70; 206 North East Street, Kinston, N.C.; 1400 Arendell Street, Morehead City, N.C.

Palmer's Super Market, foodstore; Parkersburg, Iowa; 2-1-69 to 1-31-70.

J. C. Penney Co., department store; No. 591, Waynesboro, Pa.; 12-23-68 to 12-22-69.

Peoples, Inc., department store; Espanola, N. Mex.; 2-1-69 to 1-31-70.

Perry's IGA Foodliner, foodstore; Wedowee, Ala.; 2-1-69 to 1-31-70.

Phelps Dodge Mercantile Co., foodstores from 2-1-69 to 1-31-70; Plaza, Ajo, Ariz.; Plaza, Bisbee, Ariz.; Main Street, Clifton, Ariz.; 1012 G Avenue, Douglas, Ariz.; Plant-site, Morenci, Ariz.; Stargo, Morenci, Ariz.; 405 Arizona Street, Warren, Ariz.

Phillips IGA, foodstore; Crescent, Okla.; 2-1-69 to 1-31-70.

Piggly Wiggly, foodstores from 2-1-69 to 1-31-70 except as otherwise indicated; Aliceville, Ala.; Senath, Mo.; Nos. 1 and 2, Denton, Tex. (1-10-69 to 1-9-70); 555 West Washington, Stephenville, Tex.

Polaykoff Food Market, foodstore; 1001 Court Street, Sioux City, Iowa; 2-1-69 to 1-31-70.

Prenger's, Inc., restaurant; 116 East Norfolk Avenue, Norfolk, Nebr.; 2-1-69 to 1-31-70.

Quinn Brothers Super Market, foodstore; 610 Southwest Third Street, Aledo, Ill.; 1-13-69 to 1-12-70.

Ralph's Super Valu, Inc., foodstore; 110 West Main Street, Beresford, S. Dak.; 1-10-69 to 1-9-70.

Ramsays, Inc., department store; 805 Commercial Street, Atchison, Kans.; 2-1-69 to 1-31-70.

Ream's Bargain Annex, foodstore; No. 3, American Fork, Utah; 1-10-69 to 12-13-69.

Reinhardt's IGA Foodliner, foodstore; Center Street and Red Arrow Highway, Coloma, Mich.; 1-14-69 to 1-13-70.

Richard's Food Center, foodstore; Blue Ridge, Va.; 1-29-69 to 1-28-70.

Rogerson's Red & White, foodstore; Andrews, S.C.; 12-23-68 to 12-22-69.

S & V Super Market, foodstore; Washington Street, Williamston, N.C.; 2-1-69 to 1-31-70.

Sacred Heart Hospital, hospital; West Fourth Street, Yankton, S. Dak.; 2-1-69 to 1-31-70.

St. John Hospital, hospital; Spalding, Nebr.; 2-1-69 to 1-31-70.

St. Joseph Hospital, hospital; North Church Street, Hazleton, Pa.; 2-1-69 to 1-31-70.

St. Paul Hermitage, nursing home; 501 North 17th Avenue, Beech Grove, Ind.; 2-1-69 to 1-31-70.

Salem Lutheran Home, nursing home; Elk Horn, Iowa; 2-1-69 to 1-31-70.

Sam's Super Market, foodstore; 2135 South Minnesota Avenue, Sioux Falls, S. Dak.; 2-1-69 to 1-31-70.

Sanitary Bakery, bakery store; 121 East Broadway, Little Falls, Minn.; 2-1-69 to 1-31-70.

Schensul's Cafeteria, Inc., restaurant; 1036 28th Street SW., Wyoming, Mich.; 2-1-69 to 1-31-70.

Schneider's IGA Market, foodstore; 512 South Blackhoof Street, Wapakoneta, Ohio; 1-27-69 to 1-26-70.

Serve-Well Super Market, foodstore; Snow Hill, N.C.; 2-1-69 to 1-31-70.

Sheheen Brothers Grocery, foodstore; 945 Broad Street, Camden, S.C.; 1-28-69 to 1-27-70.

Simmons Model Market, foodstore; Geneva, Ark.; 2-1-69 to 1-31-70.

Smathers Market, foodstore; 118 Main Street, Canton, N.C.; 1-23-69 to 1-22-70.

Smith Drug Stores, Inc., drugstore; 614 West Sixth Street, Junction City, Kans.; 2-1-69 to 1-31-70.

S. L. Spotto Co., hardware store; 805 West Crawford Avenue, Connelleville, Pa.; 2-1-69 to 1-31-70.

Spurgeon's, department stores; 804 North Side Square, Clinton, Ill., 1-24-69 to 1-23-70; 27-29 West Stephenson, Freeport, Ill., 2-1-69 to 1-31-70; 713 Story Street, Boone, Iowa, 12-27-68 to 12-26-69; 117 North Maple, Creston, Iowa, 12-30-68 to 12-29-69; 51 East Broadway, Fairfield, Iowa, 1-24-69 to 1-23-70; Fort Madison, Iowa, 12-30-68 to 12-29-69; 911 Main Street, Grinnell, Iowa, 1-10-69 to 1-9-70; 620 West Sheridan, Shenandoah, Iowa, 1-16-69 to 1-15-70; Pinecrest Shopping Center, Burlington, Wis., 1-12-69 to 1-11-70.

Stephens Foodliner, foodstore; 307 East Cotton Avenue, Millen, Ga.; 1-12-69 to 1-11-70.

Stephens Super Foods, foodstore; Vienna, Ga.; 2-1-69 to 1-31-70.

Sterling Stores Co., Inc., variety store; 106 College Street, Kennett, Mo.; 1-29-69 to 1-28-70.

Billy Sunday Retirement Home, nursing home; 6120 Morningside Avenue, Sioux City, Iowa; 2-1-69 to 1-31-70.

Sunlite Grocery, foodstore; 211 North Parramore, Orlando, Fla.; 2-1-69 to 1-31-70.

Sunnyway Foods, Inc., foodstore; 212 North Antrim Way, Greencastle, Pa.; 2-1-69 to 1-31-70.

T. G. & Y. Stores Co., variety store; No. 123, Wichita, Kans.; 1-22-69 to 1-21-70.

Temple Avenue Department Store, department store; 143 Temple Avenue, Newman, Ga.; 2-1-69 to 1-31-70.

Thompsons Foodland, foodstore; Grand Junction, Iowa; 2-1-69 to 1-31-70.

T. A. Turner & Co., Inc., foodstore; Pink Hill, N.C.; 2-1-69 to 1-31-70.

Umphenour's Super Market, foodstore; 227 West Court Street, Beatrice, Nebr.; 12-22-68 to 12-31-69.

V & M Drugs, drugstore; 108 South Main, Temple, Tex.; 2-1-69 to 1-31-70.

Vista at Manhattan, Inc., restaurant; 1911 Tuttle Creek Boulevard, Manhattan, Kans.; 2-1-69 to 1-31-70.

Wall Drug Store, Inc., drugstore; Wall, S. Dak.; 2-1-69 to 1-31-70.

Walter's, foodstore; Gibbon, Minn.; 1-20-69 to 1-19-70.

Waugh Drive Food Market, foodstore; 2002 Waugh Drive, Houston, Tex.; 1-17-69 to 1-16-70.

Wayside Market, foodstore; Route 2, Radford, Va.; 1-24-69 to 1-23-70.

Webb's City, Inc., department store; 128 Ninth Street, South, St. Petersburg, Fla.; 2-1-69 to 1-31-70.

Louis Weiner Memorial Hospital, hospital; Marshall, Minn.; 2-1-69 to 1-31-70.

Westside Grocery, foodstore; 1020 West First Street, Abilene, Kans.; 1-10-69 to 1-9-70.

M. K. Wherry Grocery, foodstore; McCrory, Ark.; 1-6-69 to 1-5-70.

Whitehurst and Son, foodstore; Hobgood, N.C.; 2-1-69 to 1-31-70.

Whittaker, Inc., foodstore; No. 1, Oklahoma City, Okla.; 1-19-69 to 1-18-70.

Wilhelm Pharmacy, drugstore; 3759 Chicago Avenue, Minneapolis, Minn.; 2-1-69 to 1-31-70.

Wilke's Sure Save, foodstores from 2-1-69 to 1-31-70; 118 South Main, Elkader, Iowa; 106 West Center, Monona, Iowa.

Wilson Food Store, foodstore; 1033 North Second, Merkel, Tex.; 2-1-69 to 1-31-70.

Wolcottville Economy Store, Inc., foodstore; Wolcottville, Ind.; 2-1-69 to 1-31-70.

Wong's Foodland, foodstore; 520 Anderson Boulevard, Clarksdale, Miss.; 2-1-69 to 1-31-70.

Woodbury Market, foodstore; Woodbury, Tenn.; 2-1-69 to 1-31-70.

F. W. Woolworth Co., variety stores from 1-26-69 to 1-25-70 except as otherwise indicated: No. 2125, Newark, N.J. (1-22-69 to 1-21-70); No. 2214, Seaford, Del. (2-1-69 to 1-31-70); No. 75, Wilmington, Del. (2-1-69 to 1-31-70); No. 1201, Oelwein, Iowa; No. 2025, Bel Air, Md. (1-22-69 to 1-21-70); No. 37, Duluth, Minn. (1-25-69 to 1-24-70); No. 335, Fremont, Nebr.; No. 848, Devils Lake, N. Dak.; No. 102, Philadelphia, Pa. (1-30-69 to 1-29-70).

The following certificates were issued to establishments relying on the base-year employment experience of other establishments, either because they came into existence after the beginning of the applicable base year or because they did not have available base-year records. The certificates permit the employment of full-time students at rates of not less than 85 percent of the statutory minimum in the classes of occupations listed, and provide for the indicated monthly limitations on the percentage of full-time student hours of employment at rates below the applicable statutory minimum to total hours of employment of all employees.

Baenziger Model Market, foodstore; 590 Coreth Drive, New Braunfels, Tex.; stock clerk, packager, carryout; 10 percent; 2-1-69 to 1-31-70.

A. J. Bayless Markets, Inc., foodstores from 2-1-69 to 1-31-70, package clerk, service clerk, 22 percent except as otherwise indicated: No. 32, Apache Junction, Ariz. (24 per-

cent); No. 53, Chandler, Ariz.; No. 37, Douglas, Ariz. (21 percent); No. 36, Flagstaff, Ariz.; No. 50, Mesa, Ariz.; No. 30, Phoenix, Ariz. (30 percent); Nos. 39, 40, and 54, Phoenix, Ariz.; No. 42, Phoenix, Ariz. (24 percent); No. 58, Phoenix, Ariz. (16 to 25 percent); No. 10, Sierra Vista, Ariz. (14 to 26 percent); No. 51, Tempe, Ariz.; Nos. 43, 44, 45, 46, 47, 49, 55, and 56, Tucson, Ariz.; No. 41, Youngstown, Ariz.

Best Super Market, foodstore; 5555 East Fifth Street, Tucson, Ariz.; carryout, clean up, stock clerk; 10 percent; 1-11-69 to 1-10-70.

Big John Discount Foods, foodstore; No. 3, Oblong, Ill.; stock clerk, bagger; 10 percent; 12-23-68 to 12-22-69.

Bill's Super Market, foodstore; Schleswig, Iowa; sacker, carryout; 15 percent; 1-27-69 to 1-26-70.

Bishop Stoddard Cafeteria Co., restaurant; 101 Omaha Mall, Omaha, Nebr.; counter server, tray carrier, bus help; 0 to 15 percent; 12-23-68 to 12-22-69.

Blooming Prairie Super Valu, foodstore; Blooming Prairie, Minn.; carryout, clean up, checker, stock clerk; 14 to 21 percent; 1-22-69 to 1-21-70.

Boogaart Super Market, foodstores from 2-1-69 to 1-31-70, carryout, maintenance, clerk, 17 to 38 percent except as otherwise indicated: 413 Buckeye, Abilene, Kans.; 219 West Main, Beloit, Kans.; 908 Fifth Street, Clay Center, Kans.; Seventh and Washington, Concordia, Kans.; Third and Kansas, Ellsworth, Kans.; 1103 Broadway, Goodland, Kans.; 1203 Baker Street, Great Bend, Kans.; 2410 Vine Street, Hays, Kans. (6 to 24 percent); 115 West Main, Lindsborg, Kans.; 112 North Center, Mankato, Kans.; 1500 Center Street, Marysville, Kans.; 401 West Second, Minneapolis, Kans.; 896 West Third Street, Phillipsburg, Kans.; 800 Fossil, Russell, Kans.; 109 South Madison, Smith Center, Kans.; 401 Russell, Wakeeney, Kans.; 232 Third Street, Washington, Kans.; 1308 Court Street, Beatrice, Nebr.; 1615 Second Avenue, Kearney, Nebr.

California Superama, Inc., foodstore; Fourth and Aztec, Gallup, N. Mex.; caddy clerk and meat helper; 10 percent; 1-22-69 to 1-21-70.

Calmar, Inc., foodstore; Uptown Plaza, Gallup, N. Mex.; caddy clerk and meat helper; 10 percent; 1-22-69 to 1-21-70.

Carson Pirlie Scott & Co., department store; One South State Street, Chicago, Ill.; salesclerk, stock clerk, service desk clerk; 2 to 8 percent; 1-19-69 to 1-18-70.

Carter's Food Center, foodstore; 305 South McQuarrie, Wagoner, Okla.; sacker; 7 to 15 percent; 2-1-69 to 1-31-70.

Carter's Inc., department store; 114 West Illinois, Vinita, Okla.; stock clerk, maintenance; 5 to 17 percent; 2-1-69 to 1-31-70.

Carter's Shopping Center, foodstore; 120 West Illinois, Vinita, Okla.; sacker; 7 to 15 percent; 2-1-69 to 1-31-70.

Cooper & Ratcliff, Inc., foodstore; Brookdale Road, Martinsville, Va.; bagger, carryout; 10 percent; 1-2-69 to 1-1-70.

Diamond Food Store, foodstore; Ninth and Shawnee, Dewey, Okla.; sacker; 7 to 15 percent; 2-1-69 to 1-31-70.

Dillon Companies, Inc., foodstores from 1-2-69 to 1-1-70 except as otherwise indicated, cashier, checker, wrapper, clerk, carryout, maintenance except as otherwise indicated, 11 to 32 percent except as otherwise indicated: No. 106, Fayetteville, Ark.; No. 107, Rogers, Ark.; No. 50, Topeka, Kans. (cashier, carryout, wrapper, office clerk, maintenance, meat cutter, 2-1-69 to 1-31-70); Nos. 84 and 88, Topeka, Kans.; Nos. 85, 86, and 87, Wichita, Kans. (9 to 17 percent).

Disco Fair Operating Co., department stores from 2-1-69 to 1-31-70, cart clerk, box clerk, 1 to 6 percent: 6865 Hollister Avenue, Goleta, Calif.; 2505 Vineyard Avenue, Oxnard, Calif.

Don's Super Market, Inc., foodstore; Oberlin, Kans.; stock clerk, carryout, sacker, clean up; 11 to 28 percent; 2-1-69 to 1-31-70.

Dyche Jones Food Store, foodstore; No. 4, Manchester, Ky.; bagger, carryout, clean up, stock clerk; 5 to 10 percent; 1-12-69 to 1-11-70.

Eagle Stores Co., Inc., variety store; 217 East Main Street, Forest City, N.C.; stock clerk, salesclerk, checker; from 13 to 60 percent; 2-1-69 to 1-31-70.

Eikenberry's IGA Foodliners, Inc., foodstore; Wagner and Russ Roads, Greenville, Ohio; stock clerk, carryout; 16 to 17 percent; 2-1-69 to 1-31-70.

Epps Super Market, Inc., foodstores from 2-1-69 to 1-31-70, carryout, produce clerk, sacker, clean up, stock clerk, checker, 10 percent; Nos. 4 and 5, Houston, Tex.

Erdman Supermarket, foodstore; 204 North Main Street, Stewartville, Minn.; courtesy clerk, clean up, stock clerk, clerk; 10 percent; 2-1-69 to 1-31-70.

Foodtown, foodstore; 103 South 10th Street, Griffin, Ga.; bagger, stock clerk, salesclerk; 10 percent; 2-1-69 to 1-31-70.

Gaylord Super Valu, foodstore; Gaylord, Minn.; carryout, clean up, checker, stock clerk; 14 to 21 percent; 1-22-69 to 1-21-70.

Giant Foods, foodstores from 2-1-69 to 1-31-70, sacker, carryout, stock clerk, checker, produce clerk, 28 to 32 percent; 2655 South 11th, Beaumont, Tex.; 2000 Texas Avenue, Texas City, Tex.

Goldblatt Brothers, Inc., department stores for the occupations of salesclerk, stock clerk; 1084 Mount Prospect Plaza, Mount Prospect, Ill., 3 to 5 percent, 1-7-69 to 1-6-70; McKinley and Hickory Road, Mishawaka, Ind., 5 to 7 percent, 1-24-69 to 1-23-70.

W. T. Grant Co., variety stores from 2-1-69 to 1-31-70 except as otherwise indicated, salesclerk, stock clerk, office clerk, cashier except as otherwise indicated: No. 934, Phenix City, Ala., 3 to 17 percent; No. 732, San Fernando, Calif., 4 to 18 percent (salesclerk, stock clerk); No. 955, Wilmington, Del., 7 to 15 percent (salesclerk, stock clerk, office clerk); No. 933, Jacksonville, Fla., 11 to 18 percent (1-27-69 to 1-26-70); No. 624, Carpentersville, Ill., 2 to 19 percent; No. 951, Connersville, Ind., 6 to 9 percent (salesclerk, 12-27-68 to 12-26-69); No. 460, Burnham, Pa., 9 to 44 percent (1-29-69 to 12-31-69); No. 1135, Norristown, Pa., 11 to 24 percent (1-12-69 to 1-11-70); No. 478, Pittsburgh, Pa., 6 to 20 percent (salesclerk); No. 105, Provo, Utah, 1 to 15 percent (salesclerk, 1-10-69 to 1-2-70); No. 1046, Bennington, Vt., 0 to 14 percent (salesclerk); No. 19, Rutland, Vt., 1 to 13 percent (salesclerk, stock clerk, cashier).

H. E. B. Food Store, foodstores for the occupations of package clerk, sacker, bottle clerk, 10 percent; No. 116, Elsa, Tex., 2-1-69 to 1-31-70; No. 94, Portland, Tex., 1-4-69 to 1-3-70; No. 67, San Antonio, Tex., 12-31-68 to 12-30-69.

Haddad's, Inc., apparel store; 4825 McCorkle Avenue, South Charleston, W. Va.; salesclerk; 5 to 19 percent; 2-1-69 to 1-31-70.

Jennings Market, food store; 103 West Dakota Street, Butler, Mo.; stock clerk, carryout; 16 to 45 percent; 2-1-69 to 1-31-70.

Thomas Kilpatrick and Co., department store; 150 Central Park, Omaha, Nebr.; stock clerk, messenger, delivery clerk, marker, office clerk, salesclerk, wrapper; 1 to 8 percent; 1-2-69 to 1-1-70.

S. S. Kresge Co., variety stores for the occupations of salesclerk, stock clerk, office clerk, checker-cashier; except as otherwise indicated: No. 4066, Birmingham, Ala., 3 to 11 percent, 1-9-69 to 1-8-70 (salesclerk); No. 4087, Florence, Ala., 11 to 22 percent, 12-26-68 to 12-25-69 (salesclerk, checker); No. 4052, Fort Smith, Ark., 7 to 18 percent, 1-14-69 to 1-13-70 (salesclerk, stock clerk, office clerk); No. 745, Carol City, Fla., 7 to 10

percent, 9-23-68 to 9-2-69 (salesclerk); No. 4070, Atlanta, Ga., 4 to 13 percent, 1-31-69 to 10-11-69 (salesclerk); No. 4072, Atlanta, Ga., 4 to 14 percent, 2-1-69 to 1-31-70 (salesclerk); No. 4230, Atlanta, Ga., 4 to 10 percent, 1-10-69 to 11-15-69 (salesclerk); No. 755, Decatur, Ga., 0.4 to 9 percent, 12-23-68 to 12-22-69 (salesclerk); No. 4211, Chicago Heights, Ill., 12 to 20 percent, 1-18-69 to 1-17-70 (salesclerk, stock clerk, office clerk, checker, cashier); No. 4221, Collinsville, Ill., 5 to 10 percent, 1-3-69 to 1-2-70; No. 4214, Des Plaines, Ill., 12 to 20 percent, 1-12-69 to 1-11-70; No. 4100, Lombard, Ill., 12 to 20 percent, 1-18-69 to 1-17-70; No. 4568, Niles, Ill., 18 to 39 percent, 1-24-69 to 1-23-70; No. 4228, Wheeling, Ill., 12 to 20 percent, 12-23-68 to 12-22-69; No. 4073, Clarksville, Ind., 3 to 7 percent, 1-3-69 to 1-2-70; 3810 Falls Avenue, Waterloo, Iowa, 9 to 16 percent, 2-1-69 to 1-31-70; No. 4171, Wichita, Kans., 16 to 25 percent, 1-14-69 to 12-4-69; No. 235, Louisville, Ky., 2 to 22 percent, 1-16-69 to 1-15-70 (salesclerk, stock clerk, office clerk, checker-cashier, maintenance); No. 4063, Alexandria, La., 3 to 15 percent, 1-14-69 to 1-13-70 (salesclerk); No. 4128, Lake Charles, La., 2 to 15 percent, 1-19-69 to 1-18-70 (salesclerk); No. 39, Hyattsville, Md., 10 percent, 1-29-69 to 1-28-70 (salesclerk); No. 4027, Detroit, Mich., 10 percent, 1-11-69 to 1-10-70 (salesclerk, checker-cashier, stock clerk, maintenance); No. 4040, Flint, Mich., 10 percent, 1-23-69 to 1-22-70 (salesclerk, checker-cashier, stock clerk, maintenance); No. 4066, Jackson, Mich., 10 percent, 1-3-69 to 1-2-70 (salesclerk, checker-cashier, stock clerk, maintenance); No. 4015, Port Huron, Mich., 10 percent, 1-8-69 to 1-7-70 (salesclerk, checker-cashier, stock clerk, maintenance); No. 4193, Bridgeton, Mo., 5 to 10 percent, 12-23-68 to 12-22-69; No. 4112, Asheville, N.C., 4 to 7 percent, 12-20-68 to 12-19-69 (salesclerk, checker); No. 4075, Raleigh, N.C., 11 to 22 percent, 2-1-69 to 1-31-70 (salesclerk); No. 4057, Fargo, N. Dak., 5 to 10 percent, 12-27-68 to 12-26-69 (salesclerk, stock clerk, office clerk); No. 4165, Cincinnati, Ohio, 7 to 22 percent, 1-15-69 to 1-14-70 (salesclerk); No. 4169, Massillon, Ohio, 6 to 10 percent, 1-19-69 to 1-18-70 (salesclerk, checker-cashier, stock clerk, maintenance); No. 4234, 11 to 22 percent, 1-27-69 to 1-26-70 (salesclerk, cashier); No. 4603, Aberdeen, S. Dak., 14 to 31 percent, 1-24-69 to 12-17-69 (stock clerk, checker-cashier); No. 4004, Knoxville, Tenn., 2 to 17 percent, 1-6-69 to 1-5-70 (salesclerk, office clerk, stock clerk); No. 4033, Knoxville, Tenn., 2 to 17 percent, 12-23-68 to 12-22-69 (salesclerk, stock clerk, office clerk, checker-cashier, maintenance); No. 4093, Madison, Tenn., 2 to 10 percent, 2-1-69 to 1-31-70 (salesclerk, stock clerk, office clerk, checker-cashier, maintenance); No. 4139, Dallas, Tex., 7 to 27 percent, 1-21-69 to 1-20-70 (salesclerk); No. 770, Fort Worth, Tex., 7 to 27 percent, 1-15-69 to 1-14-70 (salesclerk); No. 4236, Houston, Tex., 7 to 27 percent, 1-3-69 to 1-2-70 (salesclerk); No. 780, Midland, Tex., 7 to 27 percent, 1-21-69 to 1-20-70 (salesclerk); No. 4090, Charlottesville, Va., 5 to 9 percent, 2-1-69 to 1-31-70 (salesclerk, stock clerk, office clerk).

LeSueur Super Valu, foodstore; LeSueur, Minn.; carryout, cleanup, checker, stock clerk; 14 to 21 percent; 1-22-69 to 1-21-70.

Manns Consumer Wholesale, Inc., department store; 1348 East Webb Avenue, Burlington, N.C.; salesclerk; 2 to 12 percent; 12-23-68 to 12-22-69.

McCrea Grocery, Inc., foodstore; King City, Mo.; stock clerk, carryout; 16 to 45 percent; 2-1-69 to 1-31-70.

McCrary-McLellan-Green Stores, variety stores for the occupations of salesclerk, stock clerk, office clerk, except as otherwise indicated; No. 223, Sierra Vista, Ariz., 9 to 20 percent, 2-1-69 to 1-31-70; No. 221, Fort Lauderdale, Fla., 13 to 24 percent, 1-2-69 to

1-1-70; No. 211, Zephyr Hills, Fla., 2 to 6 percent, 2-1-69 to 1-31-70 (salesclerk, office clerk, stock clerk, porter); No. 357, Trenton, N.J., 3 to 9 percent, 1-1-69 to 12-31-69; No. 135, Mannington, W. Va., 5 to 22 percent, 1-28-69 to 1-27-70; No. 615, Merrill, Wis., 10 to 33 percent, 1-15-69 to 1-14-70.

Michigan IGA Markets, Inc., foodstore; 6121 Cass City Road, Cass City, Mich.; carryout, stock clerk; 13 to 20 percent; 2-1-69 to 1-31-70.

Mr. Smorgasbord, Inc., restaurants from 2-1-69 to 1-31-70, food preparer, busboy (girl), cashier, dishwasher, cleanup, 54 to 82 percent; 6933 Indianapolis Boulevard, Hammond, Ind.; 136 East McKinley Avenue, Mishawaka, Ind.

Morgan & Lindsey, Inc., variety stores from 2-1-69 to 1-31-70 except as otherwise indicated, salesclerk, stock clerk, office clerk except as otherwise indicated, 3 to 24 percent except as otherwise indicated; No. 3031, Camden, Ark. (salesclerk, stock clerk, 8 to 31 percent); No. 3104, Houma, La. (1-26-69 to 1-25-70); No. 3063, Thibodaux, La. (12-22-68 to 12-21-69); No. 3115, Angleton, Tex. (3 to 20 percent).

G. C. Murphy Co., variety store; No. 304, Atlanta, Ga.; salesclerk, office clerk, stock clerk, janitor; 5 to 13 percent; 2-1-69 to 1-31-70.

Nacogdoches oFods, Inc., foodstore; 1028 South Street, Nacogdoches, Tex.; carryout, checker, stock clerk, bottle clerk, cleanup; 10 to 20 percent; 11-1-68 to 10-31-69.

Newman's, apparel store; 2346 Miracle Lane, Mishawaka, Ind.; stock clerk, office clerk, marker, fitting-room checker; 8 to 9 percent; 1-7-69 to 1-6-70.

Pak-A-Sak Food Stores, foodstore; Highway 24, Swansboro, N.C.; bagger, carryout; 9 to 10 percent; 2-1-69 to 1-31-70.

Pence Food Center, foodstores for the occupations of stock clerk, carryout, janitorial, bagger, cashier, 8 to 25 percent; 305 North Main, Ottawa, Kans., 1-30-69 to 1-29-70; 1605 South Main, Ottawa, Kans., 1-31-69 to 1-30-70.

Phelps Dodge Mercantile Co., foodstore; Bisbee, Ariz.; carryout, stock clerk, janitorial; 10 percent; 2-1-69 to 1-31-70.

Piggly Wiggly, foodstores from 2-1-69 to 1-31-70 except as otherwise indicated, bagger, stock clerk, checker, except as otherwise indicated, 19 to 20 percent except as otherwise indicated; Siloam Springs, Ark. (18 to 25 percent, 1-19-69 to 1-18-70); No. 4, Columbus, Ga. (janitorial, sacker, bottle clerk, 10 to 13 percent); Biscoe, N.C.; Mount Gilead, N.C.; 103 West Chestnut Street, Troy, N.C.; No. 3, Denton, Tex. (23 to 38 percent, 1-10-69 to 1-9-70); No. 4, Denton, Tex. (sacker, carryout, stock clerk, 32 to 42 percent, 1-10-69 to 1-9-70); 646 West Main, Lewisville, Tex. (sacker, carryout, stock clerk, 23 to 38 percent, 1-18-69 to 1-17-70).

Prairie View Leasing Corp., nursing home; Sanborn, Iowa; dishwasher, diningroom help, yard work; 9 to 12 percent; 2-1-69 to 1-31-70.

R-B North Side Clothing Stores, Inc., apparel store; 1237 North Milwaukee Avenue, Chicago, Ill.; stock clerk, wrapping clerk, will call clerk; 8 to 10 percent; 1-15-69 to 1-14-70.

Rayless Department Store, department stores from 2-1-69 to 1-31-70 except as otherwise indicated, salesclerk, stock clerk, office clerk, marker, janitorial, 13 to 34 percent except as otherwise indicated; Cedar-town Shopping Center, Cedartown, Ga.; 438 North Commerce Street, Summerville, Ga.; 232 South Elm Street, Greensboro, N.C. (10 to 29 percent, 1-29-69 to 1-28-70); 29220 Westgate Shopping Center, Lancaster, S.C. (8 to 42 percent).

Ream's Bargain Annex, Inc., foodstores from 2-1-69 to 1-31-70, stock clerk, bagger,

cleanup, 26 to 33 percent; No. 5, Bountiful, Utah; Nos. 2 and 6, Salt Lake City, Utah; 4750 South Redwood Road, Taylorsville, Utah.

The Record Bar, Inc., music stores from 1-2-69 to 1-1-70, salesclerk, 13 to 28 percent; Chapel Hill, N.C.; 201 East Main Street, Durham, N.C.; Cameron Village, Raleigh, N.C.; North Hills Shopping Center, Raleigh, N.C.

Rose's Stores, Inc., variety stores for the occupations of salesclerk, stock clerk; No. 19, Scotland Neck, N.C., 5 to 29 percent, 1-2-69 to 1-1-70; No. 87, Chase City, Va., 9 to 33 percent, 1-29-69 to 1-28-70.

Sam's Red & White, foodstore; 1804 South Island Road, Georgetown, S.C.; bagger; 15 percent; 1-10-69 to 1-9-70.

Saxons Sandwich Shoppe, restaurant; 2610 East Belt Line SE., Grand Rapids, Mich.; busboy (girl), dishwasher, short order cook, food preparer, counter worker; 49 to 77 percent; 2-1-69 to 1-31-70.

Schensul's Cafeteria, Inc., restaurant; 3635 28th Street SE., Grand Rapids, Mich.; busboy (girl), coffee girl (boy), counter worker, dishwasher, food preparer, short-order cook; 49 to 77 percent; 2-1-69 to 1-31-70.

Scott Store, variety stores from 12-30-68 to 12-29-69 except as otherwise indicated, salesclerk, stock clerk, checker, 11 to 38 percent except as otherwise indicated; No. 39, Dolton, Ill. (23 to 39 percent); No. 102, Effingham, Ill.; No. 60, Urbana, Ill.; No. 77, Blacksburg, Va. (2 to 23 percent, 12-27-68 to 12-26-69).

Seifert's, apparel store; 109 West Washington Street, Washington, Iowa; salesclerk, merchandising clerk, cleanup; 2 to 9 percent; 1-28-69 to 1-27-70.

Serv-All Food Store, foodstore; 214 East Austin Street, Kermit, Tex.; carryout; 20 percent; 12-24-68 to 12-23-69.

Spurgeon's, department stores from 2-1-69 to 1-31-70, salesclerk, stock clerk, receiving clerk, marker, janitorial; 109-111 West Madison Street, Pontiac, Ill., 8 to 10 percent; McGregor Plaza, Platteville, Wis., 9 to 15 percent.

Sterling Stores Co., Inc., variety stores from 1-29-69 to 1-28-70 except as otherwise indicated, salesclerk, stock clerk, janitorial, 12 to 43 percent; 4441 Highway 61 South, Memphis, Tenn. (1-27-69 to 1-26-70); 1421 First Street, Union City, Tenn.; Green Village Shopping Center, Dyersburg, Tenn.

T. G. & Y. Stores Co., variety stores for the occupations of salesclerk, stock clerk, office clerk; No. 139, Kansas City, Mo., 11 to 30 percent, 12-31-68 to 12-30-69; No. 427, Ardmore, Okla., 10 to 30 percent, 1-2-69 to 1-1-70; No. 415, Oklahoma City, Okla., 23 to 30 percent, 12-29-68 to 12-28-69.

Thrift Way Supermarket, foodstore; 1307 Experiment Street, Griffin, Ga.; bagger, stock clerk, salesclerk; 10 percent; 2-1-69 to 1-31-70.

Top Save Department Store, Inc., department store; Westgate Plaza, Streator, Ill.; salesclerk; 10 to 26 percent; 1-22-69 to 1-21-70.

Tower Super Market, Inc., foodstores from 1-27-69 to 1-26-70, checker, stock clerk, carryout, meat wrapper, produce wrapper; Prospect Park, Emporium, Pa., 9 to 32 percent; Million Dollar Highway, Weedville, Pa., 17 to 37 percent.

Wabasha Super Valu, foodstore; Wabasha, Minn.; carryout, cleanup, checker, stock clerk; 14 to 21 percent; 1-22-69 to 1-21-70.

Walgren's Market, Inc., foodstores from 1-30-69 to 1-29-70, carryout, stock clerk, checker, meat clerk, 13 percent; 204 East Washington Street, Mount Pleasant, Iowa; West Main Street, New London, Iowa.

Whittaker, Inc., foodstore; No. 3, Bethany, Okla.; sacker, carryout; 15 percent; 1-19-69 to 1-18-70.

Willard's IGA, foodstore; Sixth and Pacific, Osawatimie, Kans.; stock clerk, sacker,

carryout; 4 to 14 percent; 2-1-69 to 1-31-70. Winnebago Super Valu, foodstore; Winnebago, Minn.; carryout, checker, cleanup, stock clerk; 14 to 21 percent; 1-22-69 to 1-21-70.

F. W. Woolworth Co., variety stores from 2-1-69 to 1-31-70 except as otherwise indicated, salesclerk, stock clerk, cleanup except as otherwise indicated; No. 2067, Denver, Colo., 2 to 22 percent; No. 1624, La Junta, Colo., 9 to 31 percent (salesclerk, stock clerk, checker, cleanup, 1-30-69 to 1-29-70); No. 270, Wilmington, Del., 1 to 8 percent (salesclerk); No. 413, Muscatine, Iowa, 2 to 9 percent (salesclerk, cleanup); No. 1668, Shenandoah, Iowa, 3 to 16 percent (salesclerk, checker, cleanup); No. 1020, Baltimore, Md., 7 to 26 percent (salesclerk); No. 678, Marquette, Mich., 4 to 25 percent (salesclerk, 1-19-69 to 1-18-70); No. 1225, Bemidji, Minn., 3 to 12 percent (salesclerk, stock clerk, cleanup, checker); No. 1928, International Falls, Minn., 0 to 7 percent (1-26-69 to 1-25-70); No. 2565, Minneapolis, Minn., 20 to 25 percent; No. 891, Owatonna, Minn., 8 to 15 percent (1-30-69 to 1-29-70); No. 578, St. Cloud, Minn., 3 to 29 percent (salesclerk, stock clerk, 1-29-69 to 1-28-70); No. 2657, St. Cloud, Minn., 5 to 18 percent (salesclerk, stock clerk, checker, cleanup, 1-29-69 to 1-28-70); No. 1717, Thief River Falls, Minn., 3 to 20 percent (salesclerk, stock clerk, 1-29-69 to 1-28-70); No. 1410, Columbus, Nebr., 2 to 8 percent (salesclerk, cleanup); No. 150, Sioux Falls, S. Dak., 5 to 18 percent (salesclerk, cleanup, checker); No. 2610, Madison, Wis., 10 to 27 percent (salesclerk, checker, stock clerk, cleanup); No. 2619, Milwaukee, Wis., 10 to 27 percent (salesclerk, checker, stock clerk, 1-26-69 to 1-25-70); No. 1495, Rhinelander, Wis., 4 to 26 percent (salesclerk); No. 166, Superior, Wis., 3 to 20 percent (salesclerk, 1-29-69 to 1-28-70); No. 536, Wausau, Wis., 3 to 20 percent (salesclerk, stock clerk, 1-29-69 to 1-28-70).

Wright's Foodliner, foodstore; Aukerman and Decatur Streets, Eaton, Ohio; carryout, checker, stock clerk; 20 percent; 1-10-69 to 1-9-70.

Zumbrota Super Valu, foodstore; Zumbrota, Minn.; carryout, cleanup, checker, stock clerk; 14 to 21 percent; 1-22-69 to 1-21-70.

Each certificate has been issued upon the representations of the employer which, among other things, were that employment of full-time students at special minimum rates is necessary to prevent curtailment of opportunities for employment, and the hiring of full-time students at special minimum rates will not create a substantial probability of reducing the full-time employment opportunities of persons other than those employed under a certificate. The certificates may be annulled or withdrawn, as indicated therein, in the manner provided in Part 528 of Title 29 of the Code of Federal Regulations. Any person aggrieved by the issuance of any of these certificates may seek a review or reconsideration thereof within 30 days after publication of this notice in the FEDERAL REGISTER pursuant to the provisions of 29 CFR 519.9.

Signed at Washington, D.C., this 5th day of May 1969.

ROBERT G. GRONEWALD,
Authorized Representative
of the Administrator.

[P.R. Doc. 69-5595; Filed, May 9, 1969; 8:46 a.m.]

INTERSTATE COMMERCE COMMISSION

[Notice 828]

MOTOR CARRIER TEMPORARY AUTHORITY APPLICATIONS

MAY 7, 1969.

The following are notices of filing of applications for temporary authority under section 210a(a) of the Interstate Commerce Act provided for under the new rules of Ex Parte No. MC-67 (49 CFR Part 340), published in the FEDERAL REGISTER, issue of April 27, 1965, effective July 1, 1965. These rules provide that protests to the granting of an application must be filed with the field official named in the FEDERAL REGISTER publication, within 15 calendar days after the date of notice of the filing of the application is published in the FEDERAL REGISTER. One copy of such protest must be served on the applicant, or its authorized representative, if any, and the protests must certify that such service has been made. The protests must be specific as to the service which such protestant can and will offer, and must consist of a signed original and six copies.

A copy of the application is on file, and can be examined at the Office of the Secretary, Interstate Commerce Commission, Washington, D.C., and also in the field office to which protests are to be transmitted.

MOTOR CARRIERS OF PROPERTY

No. MC 36556 (Sub-No. 16 TA), filed April 30, 1969. Applicant: HOWARD E. BLACKMON, doing business as HOWARD BLACKMON TRUCK SERVICE, Post Office Box 186, Somers, Wis. 53171. Applicant's representative: Earle Munger, Schwartz Building, 520 58th Street, Kenosha, Wis. 53140. Authority sought to operate as a *common carrier*, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: *Empty containers and container parts*, from points in Fond du Lac and Waukesha Counties, Wis., to Ivorydale, Ohio, and points in the Cincinnati, Ohio, commercial zone with *empty pallets and shrouds and damaged or rejected shipments*, on return, for 180 days. Supporting shipper: Carnation Co., Carnation Building, Los Angeles, Calif. 90036 (A. F. Davis, Jr., Assistant Vice President). Send protests to: District Supervisor Lyle D. Helfer, Interstate Commerce Commission, Bureau of Operations, 135 West Wells Street, Room 807, Milwaukee, Wis. 53203.

No. MC 52709 (Sub-No. 308 TA), filed April 30, 1969. Applicant: RINGSBY TRUCK LINES, INC., 3201 Ringsby Court, Denver, Colo. 80216. Applicant's representative: Eugene Hamilton, 3201 Ringsby Court, Denver, Colo. 80216. Authority sought to operate as a *common carrier*, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: *Meats, meat products, and meat byproducts, dairy products, and articles distributed by meat packinghouses*, as defined in sections A, B, and C of appendix I to the Reports in

Descriptions in Motor Carrier Certificates, 61 M.C.C. 209, from Sioux Falls, S. Dak., to Cedar City, Utah, and Las Vegas, Nev., for 180 days. Supporting shipper: John Morrell & Co., Post Office Box 1266, Sioux Falls, S. Dak. 57101. Send protests to: District Supervisor Charles W. Buckner, Interstate Commerce Commission, 2022 Federal Building, Denver, Colo. 80202.

No. MC 103993 (Sub-No. 401 TA), filed May 1, 1969. Applicant: MORGAN DRIVE AWAY, INC., 2800 West Lexington Avenue, Elkhart, Ind. 46514. Applicant's representative: Ralph H. Miller (same address as above). Authority sought to operate as a *common carrier*, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: *Truck campers, camp coaches and trailers* designed to be drawn by passenger automobiles, from points in Magoffin County, Ky., to points in the United States east of the Mississippi River, except Illinois, Louisiana, Michigan, Mississippi, and Wisconsin, for 180 days. Supporting shipper: Honorbuilt Division, W A R D Manufacturing, Inc., Post Office Box 509, Salyersville, Ky. 41465. Send protests to: District Supervisor J. H. Gray, Bureau of Operations, Interstate Commerce Commission, Room 204, 345 West Wayne Street, Fort Wayne, Ind. 46802.

No. MC 107064 (Sub-No. 71 TA), filed April 30, 1969. Applicant: STEERE TANK LINES, INC., 2808 Fairmount, Post Office Box 2998, Dallas, Tex. 75221. Applicant's representative: Hugh T. Matthews, 630 Fidelity Union Tower, Dallas, Tex. 75201. Authority sought to operate as a *common carrier*, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: *Liquid livestock feedstuffs*, in bulk, from Houston, Tex., to points in Louisiana, Arkansas, Oklahoma, New Mexico, and Arizona, for 180 days. NOTE: Applicant does not intend to tack with existing authority. Supporting shipper: Red Barn Chemicals, Inc., Post Office Box 141, Tulsa, Okla. 74102. Send protests to: E. K. Willis, Jr., District Supervisor, Interstate Commerce Commission, Bureau of Operations, 513 Thomas Building, 1314 Wood Street, Dallas, Tex. 75202.

No. MC 118959 (Sub-No. 42 TA), filed April 30, 1969. Applicant: JERRY LIPPS, INC., 130 South Frederick Street, Cape Girardeau, Mo. 63701. Applicant's representative: John Lesow, 3737 North Meridian Street, Indianapolis, Ind. 46208. Authority sought to operate as a *common carrier*, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: *Gypsum building materials* (except in bulk), and *materials and accessories* used in the installation thereof, from Port Clinton, Ohio, to points in Kentucky, Tennessee, Alabama, and Georgia, for 180 days. Supporting shipper: The Celotex Corp., 1500 North Dale Mabry, Tampa, Fla. 33607. Send protests to: J. P. Werthmann, District Supervisor, Interstate Commerce Commission, Bureau of Operations, Room 3248, 1520 Market Street, St. Louis, Mo. 63103.

No. MC 126835 (Sub-No. 21 TA), filed April 30, 1969. Applicant: EDGAR

BISCHOFF, doing business as CASKET DISTRIBUTORS, Rural Route No. 2, West Harrison, Ind. 45030. Authority sought to operate as a *contract carrier*, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: *Uncrated caskets, casket displays, funeral supplies and crated caskets* in mixed loads with uncrated caskets; from Modoc, Ind., to San Diego, Hollywood, and Santa Fe Springs, Calif.; Tucson and Phoenix, Ariz., El Paso, Tex.; Oklahoma City, Okla.; and Worcester, Mass., and *returned shipments* of above commodities from above destinations to Modoc, Ind., for 180 days. Supporting shipper: Elder Corp., Modoc, Ind. Send protests to: James W. Habermehl, District Supervisor, Interstate Commerce Commission, Bureau of Operations, 802 Century Building, 36 South Pennsylvania Street, Indianapolis Ind. 46204.

No. MC 127170 (Sub-No. 6 TA), filed April 30, 1969. Applicant: CENTRAL STATES TRUCKING, INC., 1201 Main Street, Post Office Box 26, Donnellson, Iowa 52625. Applicant's representative: Thomas F. Kilroy, 2111 Jefferson Davis Highway, Arlington, Va. 22202. Authority sought to operate as a *common carrier*, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: *Insecticides, fertilizers, fungicides, weed killing compounds, and hand applicators*, from Quincy, Ill., to points in Indiana, Kansas, Kentucky, Michigan, Minnesota, Missouri, Nebraska, North Dakota, Ohio, Iowa, South Dakota, Pennsylvania, and Wisconsin, for 180 days. Supporting shipper: Chevron Chemical Division, Post Office Box 282 Ortho Way, Fort Madison, Iowa 52627. Send protests to: Chas. C. Biggers, District Supervisor, Interstate Commerce Commission, Bureau of Operations, 332 Federal Building, Davenport, Iowa 52801.

No. MC 127170 (Sub-No. 7 TA), filed April 30, 1969. Applicant: CENTRAL STATES TRUCKING, INC., 1201 Main

Street, Post Office Box 26, Donnellson, Iowa 52625. Applicant's representative: Thomas F. Kilroy, 2111 Jefferson Davis Highway, Arlington, Va. 22202. Authority sought to operate as a *common carrier*, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: *Insecticides, fertilizers, fungicides, and herbicides*, in packages, from Council Bluffs, Iowa, to points in Kansas, for 180 days. Supporting shipper: Chevron Chemical Co., Post Office Box 282, Ortho Way, Fort Madison, Iowa 52627. Send protests to: Chas. C. Biggers, District Supervisor, Interstate Commerce Commission, Bureau of Operations, 332 Federal Building, Davenport, Iowa 52801.

No. MC 127505 (Sub-No. 25 TA), filed April 30, 1969. Applicant: RALPH H. BOELK, doing business as R. H. BOELK TRUCK LINES, 1201 14th Avenue, Mendota, Ill. 61342. Applicant's representative: Walter J. Kobos, 1016 Kehoe Drive, St. Charles, Ill. 60174. Authority sought to operate as a *common carrier*, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: *Plastic foam articles*, from Belvidere, Ill., to points in Massachusetts and Maryland, for 180 days. Supporting Shipper: Apache Foam Products, 1005 McKinley Avenue, Belvidere, Ill. 61008. Send protests to: William E. Gallagher, District Supervisor, Interstate Commerce Commission, Bureau of Operations, Room 1086, 219 South Dearborn Street, Chicago, Ill. 60604.

No. MC 129938 (Sub-No. 2 TA), filed April 30, 1969. Applicant: WHITE SQUIRREL TRUCKING, INC., Rural Route No. 5, Olney, Ill. 62450. Applicant's representative: Robert T. Lawley, 306-308 Reisch Building, Springfield, Ill. 62701. Authority sought to operate as a *common carrier*, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: *Flat steel, strip steel, coil steel, wire, and tubing*, from points in Lake and Porter Counties, Ind., to Olney, Ill., for 180 days. Supporting shipper: American Machine & Foundry

dry Co., AMF Wheel Goods Division, Post Office Box 344, Olney, Ill. 62450. Send protests to: Harold C. Jolliff, District Supervisor, Interstate Commerce Commission, Bureau of Operations, Room 476, 325 West Adams Street, Springfield, Ill. 62704.

No. MC 133114 (Sub-No. 1 TA) (Correction), filed April 9, 1969, and published FEDERAL REGISTER issue of April 23, 1969, and republished as corrected this issue. Applicant: UNITED TOWING SERVICE, 8955 Atlantic Avenue, South Gate, Calif. 90280. Applicant's representative: Eldon M. Johnson, 140 Montgomery Street, San Francisco, Calif. Authority sought to operate as a *common carrier*, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: *Wrecked or disabled motor vehicles* (except passenger automobiles or trailers designed to be drawn by passenger vehicles), and *replacement vehicles* for wrecked or disabled motor vehicles, between points in Los Angeles County, and Orange County, Calif., on the one hand, and, on the other, points in Arizona, Nevada, and New Mexico, for 180 days. Note: The purpose of this republication is to include "Orange County, Calif.," as one of the origin points, which was inadvertently omitted from previous publication. Supporting shippers: Baker Commodities, Inc., 4020 Bandini Boulevard, Los Angeles, Calif. 90023, and Aerojet-General Corp., 11711 Woodruff Avenue, Downey, Calif. Send protests to: District Supervisor Robert G. Harrison, Interstate Commerce Commission, Room 7708, Federal Building, 300 North Los Angeles Street, Los Angeles, Calif. 90012.

By the Commission.

[SEAL]

H. NEIL GARSON,
Secretary.


[F.R. Doc. 69-5629; Filed, May 9, 1969; 8:49 a.m.]


CUMULATIVE LIST OF PARTS AFFECTED—MAY

The following numerical guide is a list of parts of each title of the Code of Federal Regulations affected by documents published to date during May

3 CFR	Page	14 CFR	Page	30 CFR	Page
EXECUTIVE ORDER:		39.....	7221, 7371, 7500, 7501	PROPOSED RULES:	
11467.....	7271	71.....	7121-7124,	250.....	7381
5 CFR			7221, 7274, 7275, 7371, 7372, 7501,	31 CFR	
213.....	7231, 7281, 7282, 7325, 7535		7572	PROPOSED RULES:	
7 CFR		73.....	7444, 7501, 7572	306.....	7452
26.....	7282	97.....	7222, 7502	32 CFR	
51.....	7498	298.....	7124	201.....	7377
52.....	7133	PROPOSED RULES:		1499.....	7414
601.....	7569	39.....	7249, 7286, 7579	32A CFR	
722.....	7231	71.....	7287, 7288, 7455, 7545, 7579	BDSA (Ch. I):	
730.....	7441	75.....	7545	M-11A.....	7449
792.....	7369	103.....	7455	M-11A, Dir. 2.....	7449
811.....	7325	121.....	7175, 7333	OIA (Ch. X):	
849.....	7442	135.....	7580	OI Reg. 1.....	7535
862.....	7326	151.....	7455	33 CFR	
907.....	7134	15 CFR		110.....	7146
908.....	7135, 7442	200.....	7144	117.....	7146
909.....	7282	379.....	7573	204.....	7575
910.....	7283, 7326, 7569	PROPOSED RULES:		207.....	7575
912.....	7284, 7443, 7569	1000.....	7536	322.....	7575
932.....	7570	16 CFR		36 CFR	
945.....	7499	13.....	7232, 7233, 7275-7277	7.....	7330
966.....	7135	15.....	7145, 7234, 7235, 7278, 7445	530.....	7279
980.....	7570	240.....	7235	39 CFR	
1079.....	7136	PROPOSED RULES:		Ch. I.....	7374
1421.....	7370	249.....	7581	127.....	7449
PROPOSED RULES:		17 CFR		PROPOSED RULES:	
905.....	7168	231.....	7235	161.....	7285
912.....	7452	240.....	7235, 7574	162.....	7285
966.....	7170, 7578	PROPOSED RULES:		163.....	7285
980.....	7170, 7578	230.....	7175	166.....	7285
1003.....	7171	239.....	7175	168.....	7285
1004.....	7171	240.....	7250, 7458, 7547	242.....	7285
1006.....	7455	19 CFR		245.....	7285
1013.....	7173	1.....	7445	41 CFR	
1016.....	7171	16.....	7328, 7445	1-3.....	7147
1138.....	7248	20 CFR		1-7.....	7148
8 CFR		404.....	7236	1-16.....	7148
100.....	7327	21 CFR		1-20.....	7148
103.....	7570	8.....	7445-7447	1-30.....	7576
204.....	7328	120.....	7165, 7237, 7279	5A-1.....	7240
214.....	7571	121.....	7165, 7237, 7372, 7447	8-3.....	7150
238.....	7328	PROPOSED RULES:		23-50.....	7360
242.....	7327	8.....	7578	50-201.....	7451
245.....	7328	31.....	7578	101-45.....	7241, 7329
299.....	7327	24 CFR		101-46.....	7241
334.....	7571	200.....	7238, 7329	42 CFR	
9 CFR		207.....	7238	81.....	7150, 7241
73.....	7443	233.....	7238	PROPOSED RULES:	
74.....	7444	235.....	7239	81.....	7385
10 CFR		1700.....	7239	43 CFR	
8.....	7273	26 CFR		PROPOSED RULES:	
20.....	7500	1.....	7145	2240.....	7247
150.....	7369	41.....	7448	30 CFR	
12 CFR		29 CFR		PROPOSED RULES:	
226.....	7571	460.....	7239	2240.....	7247
PROPOSED RULES:		PROPOSED RULES:			
545.....	7580	1500.....	7333		
13 CFR					
102.....	7274				
124.....	7274				
PROPOSED RULES:					
121.....	7386				

45 CFR	Page	47 CFR—Continued	Page	49 CFR—Continued	Page
115.....	7151	PROPOSED RULES:		179.....	7165
119.....	7242	73.....	7546	1033.....	7451, 7577
701.....	7576	74.....	7386	PROPOSED RULES:	
704.....	7576	81.....	7289	71.....	7458
705.....	7577	83.....	7289	170-189.....	7545
801.....	7246			174.....	7456
1013.....	7331	49 CFR		175.....	7456
1070.....	7375	7.....	7156, 7331	177.....	7456, 7457
46 CFR		71.....	7157	232.....	7289
PROPOSED RULES:		171.....	7159	1131.....	7177
504.....	7581	172.....	7159	1307.....	7177
47 CFR		173.....	7159	50 CFR	
15.....	7497	174.....	7162	280.....	7281
73.....	7497	177.....	7162	PROPOSED RULES:	
		178.....	7163, 7332	215.....	7247





U.S.
government
organization
manual
1968
1969

KNOW
YOUR
GOVERNMENT



Presents essential information about Government agencies (updated and republished annually). Describes the creation and authority, organization, and functions of the agencies in the legislative, judicial, and executive branches.

This handbook is an indispensable reference tool for teachers, librarians, researchers, scholars, lawyers, and businessmen who need current official information about the U.S. Government.

The United States Government Organization Manual is the official guide to the functions of the Federal Government.

\$2.00
per copy. Paperbound, with charts

Order from Superintendent of Documents, U.S. Government Printing Office, Washington, D.C. 20402.