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## Rules and Regulations

## Title 31-MONEY AND FNANCE: TREASURY <br> Chapter II-Fiscal Service, Department of the Treasury SUBCHAPTER B—BUREAU OF THE PUBLIC DEBT

PART 316-OFFERING OF UNITED STATES SAVINGS BONDS, SERIES E Bonds Bearing Dates From June 1 Through November 1, 1961
Table 54, showing the investment yields to maturity for Series E savings bonds with issue dates from June 1 through November 1, 1961, which is a part of Department Circular No. 653, Seventh Revision, dated March 18, 1966, as amended (31 CFR Part 316), is hereby supplemented by addition of the redemption values and investment yields for the extended maturity period, as set forth below.

Dated: December 12, 1968.
[SEAL]
John K. Carlock, Fiscal Assistant Secretary.
Table 54
bonds bearing issue dates from june 1 through november.1, 1961

[F.R. Doc. 68-15066; Filed, Dec. 18, 1968; 8:45 a.m.]

## PART 332-OFFERING OF UNITED STATES SAVINGS BONDS, SERIES H <br> Extended Term and Improved Yields for Outstanding Bonds

The regulations set forth in Treasury Department Circular No. 905, Fourth Revision, dated April 7, 1966, as revised and amended (31 CFR Part 332), have been further revised and amended as shown below. These changes were effected under authority of sections 22 and 25 of the Second Liberty Bond Act, as amended (40 Stat. 21, as amended, 73 Stat. 621; 31 U.S.C. $757 \mathrm{c}, 757 \mathrm{c}-1$ ), and 5 U.S.C. 301. The changes were adopted on December 11, 1968.

Notice and public procedures thereon are unnecessary as public property and contracts are involved.
Dated: December 11, 1968.
[seal]
John K. Carlock,
Fiscal Assistant Secretary.
Treasury Department Circular No. 905, Fourth Revision, dated April 7, 1966, as revised and amended, and the tables incorporated therein (31 CFR Part 332), are hereby further revised and amended as follows:
Paragraph (b) of $\S 332.8$ of the Third Amendment to this circular is hereby relettered as paragraph (c). New paragraphs (b) and (d) are added, reading as follows:
§ 332.8 Extended term and improved yields for outstanding bonds.
(b) Extended maturity period for bonds with issue dates June 1, 1959, through November 1, 1965. ${ }^{1}$ Owners of Series H bonds with issue dates of June 1, 1959, through November 1, 1965, are hereby granted the option of retaining their bonds for an extended maturity period of 10 years.
(d) Investment yield for extended maturity period-bonds with issue dates of June 1, 1959, through November 1, 1965. The investment yield for the extended maturity period for bonds with issue dates of June 1, 1959, through November 1, 1965, will be 4.25 percent per annum compounded semiannually if the bonds are held to the extended maturity date. ${ }^{2}$
${ }^{1}$ See $\$ 332.8$ (b) and footnote 5 of Department Circular No. 905, Fourth Revision, for earlier yields. See the Third Amendment of this circular for current yields.
${ }^{2}$ Under authority of section 25 of the Second Liberty Bond Act, as amended ( 73 Stat. 621,31 U.S.C. $757 \mathrm{c}-1$ ), the President of the United States on Nov. 16, 1968, found it necessary in the national interest to exceed the maximum investment yield prescribed by section 22 of the Act.
TABLE 19 BONDS BEARING ISSUE DATES FROM JUNE 1 THROUGH NOVEMBER 1, 1959

| Face value $\left\{\begin{array}{l}\text { Issue price } \\ \text { Redemption } 1\end{array}\right.$ and maturity value | $\$ 500$ 500 | $\$ 1,000$ 1,000 | $\begin{aligned} & \$ 5,000 \\ & 5,000 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \$ 10,000 \\ 10,000 \end{gathered}$ | Approximat finvestment yield |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Period of time bond is held a ater isuue date | (1) Amounts of interest theeks for each denomination |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | $\$ 4.00$ 7.25 8.00 10.00 10.00 10.00 10 10.00 10.00 10.00 10.00 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10.90 10.90 11.70 11.70 12.21 | $\$ 8.00$ 14.50 16.00 20.00 20.00 20.00 20.00 20.00 20.00 20.00 20.00 20.00 20.00 20.00 20.40 20 20.40 21.80 21.80 23.40 23 24.40 24.42 | $\$ 40.00$ 72.50 80.00 100.00 100.00 100.00 100 100.00 100.00 100.00 100.00 100.00 100.00 100.00 102.00 102.00 109.00 109.00 117.00 117.00 122.10 |  |  |  |
| Period of timo bond is hold after maturity a |  | SED M | RITY. PE |  |  | (b) To Toxtended |
|  | 10.37 <br> 10.37 <br> 10.37 <br> 10.37 <br> 10.37 <br> 10.37 <br> 10.37 <br> 10.37 <br> 10.37 <br> 10.38 <br> 10.38 <br> 10.38 <br> 10 <br> 10.38 <br> 10.38 <br> 10 <br> 10.38 <br> 10 <br> 10.38 <br> 10.38 <br> 10 <br> 16.53 | 20.75 20.75 20.75 20.75 20.75 20.75 20.75 20.75 20.75 20.75 20.75 20.75 20.75 20.75 20.75 20.75 20.75 20.75 20.75 33.05 |  |  | 4.15 <br> 4.15 <br> 4.15 <br> 4.15 <br> 4.15 <br> 4.15 <br> 4.15 <br> 4.15 <br> 4.15 <br> 4.15 <br> 4.15 <br> 4.15 <br> 4.15 <br> 4.15 <br> 4.15 <br> 4.15 <br> 4.15 <br> 4.15 <br> 4.15 <br> 4.15 <br> 4.25 <br> 4.25 | 4. 26 4. 26 4. 27 4. 28 4. 29 4.30 4.32 4.33 4.35 4. 37 4. 40 4.43 4. 48 4. 54 4. 62 4. 74 4. 95 5.36 6.61 |

[^0]TABLE 22

| $\text { Face value }\left\{\begin{array}{l} \text { Issue price } \\ \text { Redemption } 1 \text { and maturity value } \end{array}\right.$ | $\begin{gathered} \$ 500 \\ 500 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \$ 1,000 \\ 1,000 \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \$ 5,000 \\ & 5,000 \\ & 5,00 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \$ 10,000 \\ 10,000 \end{array}$ | Approximete finvestment yield |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Period of time bond is held ater issue dato | (1) Amounts of interest checks for each denomination |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | $\$ 4.00$ 7.25 8.00 10.00 10.00 10.00 10.00 10.00 10.00 10.00 10.00 10.20 10.20 10 11.00 11.00 11.00 11.00 11.00 11.95 1195 13.27 | $\$ 8.00$ 14.50 16.00 20.00 20.00 20.00 20.00 20.00 20.00 20.00 20.00 20.40 20.40 20.40 22.40 22.00 22.00 22.00 23.90 23.90 26.90 26.54 |  |  | Pereent 1. 60 2. 25 2. 56 2. 91 3. 12 3. 26 3. 36 3. 44 3. 49 3. 54 3. 58 3. 62 3. 65 3. 70 3. 74 3. 78 3. 81 3. 85 3. 89 3. 95 |  |



TABLE 23

| TABLE 23 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Face value $\left\{\begin{array}{l}\text { Issue price } \\ \text { Redemption }{ }^{\text {a }} \text { and maturit }\end{array}\right.$ | $\$ 500$ 500 | $\begin{aligned} & \$ 1,000 \\ & 1,000 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \$ 5,000 \\ & 5,000 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \$ 10,000 \\ & 10,000 \\ & 10,000 \end{aligned}$ | Approximate investment yild |  |
| Perioo of time bond is held atter 1ssuo date | （i）Amounls of initerest cheeks for each denomiration |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | $\begin{aligned} & \$ 4.00 \\ & 7.25 \\ & 8.00 \\ & 10.00 \\ & 10.00 \\ & 10.00 \\ & 10.00 \\ & 10.00 \\ & 10.00 \\ & 10.00 \\ & 10.20 \\ & 10.20 \\ & 10.20 \\ & 10.20 \\ & 10.85 \\ & 10.85 \\ & 10.85 \\ & 11.85 \\ & 11.35 \\ & 11.35 \\ & 12.15 \\ & 13.75 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \$ 8.00 \\ & 14.50 \\ & 16.50 \\ & 20.00 \\ & 20.00 \\ & 20.00 \\ & 20.00 \\ & 20.00 \\ & 20.00 \\ & 20.00 \\ & 20.00 \\ & 20.40 \\ & 20.40 \\ & 20.40 \\ & 20.40 \\ & 21.70 \\ & 21.70 \\ & 21.70 \\ & 21.70 \\ & 22.70 \\ & 22.70 \\ & 22.70 \\ & 24.30 \\ & 27.50 \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  |  |
| Period of time bond is held anter maturity de | EXTENDED MATURTTY PERIOD |  |  |  | 4.15 <br> 4.15 <br> 4.15 <br> 4.15 <br> 4.15 <br> 4.15 <br> 4.15 <br> 4.15 <br> 4.15 <br> 4.15 <br> 4.15 <br> 4.15 <br> 4.15 <br> 4.15 <br> 4.15 <br> 4.15 <br> 4.15 <br> 4.15 <br> 4.15 <br> 4.25 | tendet |
|  |  | 20.75 20.75 20.75 20.75 20.75 20.75 20.75 20.75 20.75 20.75 20.75 20.75 20.75 20.75 20 20.75 20.75 20.75 20.75 20 20.75 30 33.05 | 103.75 103.75 103.75 103.75 103.75 103.75 103.75 103.75 103.75 103.75 103.75 103.75 103.75 103.75 103.75 103.75 103.75 103.75 103.75 103.75 165.75 16.30 |  |  |  |



## 



## ERTOD






T 1


| Face value $\left\{\begin{array}{l}\text { Issue price } \\ \text { Redemption } \\ \text { nend maturity yalue－}\end{array}\right.$ | $\begin{gathered} \$ 500 \\ 500 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \$ 1,000 \\ 1,000 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \$ 5,000 \\ 5,000 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \$ 10,000 \\ 10,000 \end{array}$ | Approximate investment yield on face value |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Period offime bond is held after issue dato | （1）Amounts of interest cheeks for each denomination |  |  |  | （2）From issue date ro maturity date to teach interest pay－ ment date thereafter | $\begin{gathered} (3) \text { From each } \\ \text { intorest pay } \\ \text { ment mato } \\ \text { maturity } \end{gathered} \text { to }$ |
|  | \＄4． 00 | \＄8．00 | \＄40． 00 | \＄80． 00 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Percent } \\ & \text { 1. } \\ & 60 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { ercent } \\ & 3.88 \\ & 3.88 \end{aligned}$ |
|  | 7． 25 | 14． 50 | 72.50 | 145． 00 | 2． 25 | 3 3． 95 |
|  | 8.00 | 16． 00 | 80.00 | 160.00 | 2． 56 | ${ }^{3} 4.00$ |
|  | 10． 00 | 20．00 | 100.00 100.00 | 200.00 200.00 | 2．${ }^{\text {2．}} 1212$ | 34． 3． 4． |
|  | 10．00 | 20．00 | 100． 00 | 200.00 | 3． 26 | 34． 00 |
|  | 10． 00 | 20． 00 | 100.00 | 200.00 | 3． 36 | 3 4． 00 |
|  | 10． 00 | 20． 00 | 100． 00 | 200.00 | 3． 44 | 4．4．40 |
|  | 10． 20 | 20． 40 | 102.00 | 204． 204． 00 | 3．${ }^{3} 56$ | ＋ +4.43 +4.47 |
|  | 10． 20 | 20． 40 | 102． 00 | 204． 00 | 3． 60 | ＋4．52 |
|  | 10．75 | 21． 50 | 107． 50 | 215.00 | 3． 65 | ＋4．55 |
|  | 10． 75 | 21． 50 | 107． 50 | 215． 00 | 3． 69 | 4． 69 |
| 7 years | 10．75 | 21． 50 | 107． 50 | 215． 00 | 3． 73 | 4． 76 |
|  | 11． 25 | 22． 50 | 112． 50 | 225．00 | 3． 78 | 4.82 |
|  | 11． 25 | 22． 50 | 112．50 | 225．00 | 3． 3． 85 3 | 5． 05 |
| $881 / 2$ years | 12． 25 | 24． 00 | 120．00 | 240.00 | 3． 89 | 5． 17 |
|  | 12． 00 | 24． 00 | 120.00 | 240.00 | 3． 93 | 5． 56 |
|  | 13.89 | 27.78 | 138.90 | 277.80 | 4.00 |  |
| Period of time bond is held after maturity date | extended maturity period |  |  |  | 4． 15 | （b）To extended maturity |
|  | 10． 37 | 20． 75 | 103． 75 | 207． 50 |  | 4.26 |
|  | 10．37 | 20．75 | 103． 75 | 207． 50 |  | 4． 26 |
|  | 10．37 | 20.75 | 103． 75 | 207． 50 | 4．15 | 4． 28 |
|  | 10．37 | ${ }_{20} 2.75$ | 103． 75 | 207． 50 | 4.15 | 4． 29 |
| 23／3 years | 10． 37 | 20．75 | 103． 75 | 207． 50 | 4． 15 | 4． 30 |
|  | 10． 37 | 20． 75 | 103． 75 | 207． 50 | 4． 15 | 4． 32 |
| 4 years | 10． 37 | 20．75 | 103．75 | 207． 50 | 4． 15 | 4.33 4.35 |
|  | 10.37 10.38 | 20.75 20.75 | 103．75 | 207.50 | 4． 15 | 4.37 |
|  | 10． 38 | 20． 75 | 103．75 | 207． 50 | 4． 15 | 4． 40 |
|  | 10． 38 | 20．75 | 103． 75 | 207.50 | 4． 15 | 4． 43 |
|  | 10． 38 | 20.75 | 103． 75 | 207． 50 | 4．15 | 4． 54 |
| 7 years | 10． 38 | 20.75 20.75 | 103． 75 | 207． 50 | 4． 15 | 4.62 |
|  |  |  |  | 207． 50 | 4． 15 | 4.74 |
|  | 10．38 10.38 | 20．75 | 103． 75 | 207.50 | 4． 15 | 4.95 |
|  | 10.38 | 20.75 | 103． 75 | 207． 50 | 4． 15 | 5． 36 |
|  | 10． 38 | 20.75 | 103． 75 | 207． 50 | 4． 15 | 6． 61 |
| 10 years（extended maturity）${ }^{\text {s }}$ | 16． 53 | 33． 05 | 165.30 | 330． 50 | ${ }^{6} 4.25$ |  |

[^1]RULES AND REGULATIONS
table 25

| Face value $\left\{\begin{array}{l}\text { Issue price- } \\ \text { Redemption } \\ \text { and maturity }\end{array}\right.$ | $\begin{gathered} \$ 500 \\ 500 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \$ 1,000 \\ & 1,000 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \$ 5,000 \\ 5,000 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \$ 10,000 \\ 10,000 \end{array}$ | Approximato investment yielda |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Teriod of timo bond is held after issuid date | (1) Amounts ofinferest checks for each dermomination |  |  |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { (3) From each } \\ & \text { interest pay } \\ & \text { ment anto } \\ & \text { matur (a) to } \end{aligned}$ |
| 3/2 year........................... ${ }^{2}$ (12/1/62) | \$4.00 | \$8.00 | \$40. 00 | \$80. 00 | Pereent 1.60 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Pereent } \\ & 3 \\ & 3 \end{aligned} 3.88$ |
|  | 7. 25 | 14. 50 | 72.50 | 145.00 | 2. 25 | 3 3. 95 |
|  | 8. 00 | 16. 00 | 80.00 | 160.00 | 2.56 | ${ }^{3} 4.00$ |
|  | 10.00 10.00 | 20.00 20.00 | 100.00 100.00 | 200.00 200.00 | 2. 312 | 34.00 34.00 |
|  | 10.00 | 20.00 | 100.00 | 200.00 | 3. 26 | ${ }^{3} 4.00$ |
|  | 10.00 | 20.00 | 100. 00 | 200.00 | 3. 36 | +4. 40 |
|  | 10. 20 | 20.40 | 102. 00 | 204.00 | 3. 45 | 4.43 |
|  | 10. 20 | 20.40 | 102. 00 | 204.00 | 3. 51 | 4.47 |
|  | 10. 20 | 20. 40 | 102. 00 | 204. 00 | 3. 56 | 4. 4.51 |
| 513/2 years | 10. 65 | 21. 30 | 106. 50 | $\stackrel{213.00}{ }$ | 3. 62 | 44.54 |
|  | 10.65 | 21. 30 | 106. 50 | ${ }^{213.00}$ | 3. 67 | 4. 68 |
|  | 10.65 | 21. 30 | 106. 50 | 213. 00 | 3. 71 | 4. 75 |
|  | 11.25 | 22.50 | 112. 50 | 225.00 | 3. 80 | 4. 79 4.85 |
| 8 years | 11.25 | 22.50 | 112. 50 | 225.00 | 3.84 | 4.95 |
|  | 11. 25 | 22. 50 | 112. 50 | 225.00 | 3.87 | 5. 10 |
|  | 12. 05 | 24. 10 | 120. 50 | 241. 00 | 3. 91 | 5. 25 |
|  | 12. 05 | 24. 10 | 120. 50 | 241. 00 | 3.95 4.02 | 5. 69 |
|  | 14.23 | 28.46 | 142.30 | 284. 60 | 4.02 |  |

TABLE 26

TABLE 27
bonds bearing isste dates from december 1, 1963 Through may 1,1964

|  | $\$ 500$ 500 | $\begin{gathered} \$ 1,000 \\ 1,000 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 85,000 \\ 5,000 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \$ 10,000 \\ & 10,000 \end{aligned}$ |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Period of time bond is held atter ispua dato | (1) A mounts of finterest cheeks for each denomination |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | $\begin{aligned} & \$ 4.00 \\ & 7.25 \\ & 8.00 \\ & 10.00 \\ & 10.00 \\ & 10.20 \\ & 10.20 \\ & 10.20 \\ & 10.20 \\ & 10.20 \\ & 10.75 \\ & 10.75 \\ & 10.75 \\ & 10.75 \\ & 11.25 \\ & 11.25 \\ & 11.25 \\ & 11.25 \\ & 12.25 \\ & 12.10 \\ & 12.10 \\ & 10.10 \end{aligned}$ | 88.00 14.50 16.00 20.00 20.00 20.40 20.40 20 20.40 21.50 21.50 21.50 21.50 21.50 22.50 22.50 22.50 22.50 24.50 24 24 24 30 30.42 30 |  |  |  | Percent <br> 3 3.88 3 3 <br> 34.00 44.40 <br> 44.43 <br> 44.46 +4.49 <br> 44.53 4.65 <br> 4.69 4.74 <br> 4. 80 4.85 4.85 <br> 4. 92 <br> 5. 14 <br> 5. 45 |
| Period of time bond is held atter maturity date | bxtended maturty period |  |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & 4.15 \\ & 4.15 \\ & 4.15 \\ & 4.15 \\ & 4.15 \\ & 4.15 \\ & 4.15 \\ & 4.15 \\ & 4.15 \\ & 4.15 \\ & 4.15 \\ & 4.15 \\ & 4.15 \\ & 4.15 \\ & 4.15 \\ & 4.15 \\ & 4.15 \\ & 4.15 \\ & 4.15 \\ & 4.15 \\ & \hline 4.15 \end{aligned}$ | Toertended |
|  | $\begin{aligned} & 10.37 \\ & 10.37 \\ & 10.37 \\ & 10.37 \\ & 10.37 \\ & 10.37 \\ & 10.37 \\ & 10.37 \\ & 10.37 \\ & 10.37 \\ & 10.38 \\ & 10.38 \\ & 10.38 \\ & 10.38 \\ & 10.38 \\ & 10.38 \\ & 10.38 \\ & 10.38 \\ & 10.38 \\ & 10.38 \\ & 16.53 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 20.75 \\ & 20.75 \\ & 20.75 \\ & 20.75 \\ & 20.75 \\ & 20.75 \\ & 20.75 \\ & 20.75 \\ & 20.75 \\ & 20.75 \\ & 20.75 \\ & 20.75 \\ & 20.75 \\ & 20.75 \\ & 20.75 \\ & 20.75 \\ & 20.75 \\ & 20.75 \\ & 20.75 \\ & 20.75 \\ & 20.75 \\ & 20.75 \\ & 3.05 \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  | 4.26 4.26 4.27 4.28 4.28 4.29 4.30 4.30 4.32 4.33 4.35 4.37 4.40 4.40 4.43 4.48 4.54 4.62 4.74 4.95 S. |

[^2]TABLE 30
bonds bearing yssue dates from december 1， 1964 Through may 1， 1965
 a
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|  |  |  <br>  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  <br>  |
| $\begin{aligned} & 80 \\ & \text { Bion } \\ & \text { sifit } \end{aligned}$ |  | 8888888888888888888ఃి <br>  |
|  | 若 |  <br>  |
|  | 婁 |  <br>  |
| Bie in | $\frac{\text { 号 }}{3}$ |  <br>  |
|  |  |  <br>  $\qquad$ <br>  <br>  <br>  |
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TABLE 31
BONDS BEARING ISSUE DATES FROM JUNE 1 THROUGH NOVEMBER 1,1965

| $\text { Face value }\left\{\begin{array}{l} \text { Issue price } \\ \text { Redemption } 1 \end{array}\right. \text { and maturity value. }$ | $\begin{aligned} & \$ 500 \\ & 500 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \$ 1,000 \\ & 1,000 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \$ 5,000 \\ 5,000 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \$ 10,000 \\ 10,000 \end{array}$ | Approximato Investment yield on face value |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Period of time bond is held after issue date | (1) Amounts of interest checks for each denomination |  |  |  | (2) From Issue (2to or maturity date to ecch miterest pay- ment mhereater thereater | $\begin{aligned} & \text { (3) Frome ench } \\ & \text { miturest payt } \\ & \text { ment doto (e) } \\ & \text { matarity } \end{aligned}$ |
|  | $\begin{array}{r} \$ 4.00 \\ 7.45 \\ 8.20 \\ 10.20 \\ 10.20 \\ 10.60 \\ 10.60 \\ 10.60 \\ 10.60 \\ 10.60 \\ 11.30 \\ 11.30 \\ 11.30 \\ 11.30 \\ 11.30 \\ 12.05 \\ 12.05 \\ 12.05 \\ 12.05 \\ 16.15 \end{array}$ | \$8. 140 14.90 16.40 20.40 20.40 20. 40 2. 20 21.20 21.20 21.20 21.20 21.20 22.60 22.60 22.60 22.60 22.60 24.10 24.10 24.10 24 | $\$ 40.00$ 74.50 82.00 102.00 102.00 106.00 106.00 106.00 106.00 106.00 113.00 113.00 113.00 113.00 113.00 120.50 120.50 120.50 120.50 161.50 | $\begin{aligned} & \$ 80.00 \\ & 149.00 \\ & 164.00 \\ & 204.00 \\ & 204 \\ & 20400 \\ & 212.00 \\ & 212.00 \\ & 212.00 \\ & 212.00 \\ & 212.00 \\ & 212.00 \\ & 226.00 \\ & 226.00 \\ & 226.00 \\ & 226.00 \\ & 226.00 \\ & 241.00 \\ & 241.00 \\ & 241.00 \\ & 241.00 \\ & 323.00 \end{aligned}$ | Precent 1. 60 2. 29 2. 61 2. 97 3.18 3.35 3.47 3.56 3.63 3.69 3.76 3.81 3.86 3.90 3.94 3.98 4.02 4.06 4. .09 4.19 |  |
| Period of time bond is held after maturity date |  | DED MA | RITY PE |  |  | (b) To extended maturity |
|  | 10.37 10.37 10.37 10.37 10.37 10.37 10.37 10.37 10.37 10.38 10.38 10.38 10.38 10.38 10.38 10.38 10.38 10.38 10.38 16.53 | 20.75 20.75 20.75 20.75 20.75 20.75 20.75 20.75 20.75 20.75 20.75 20.75 20.75 20.75 20.75 20.75 20.75 20.75 20.75 20.75 33.05 | $\begin{aligned} & 103.75 \\ & 103.75 \\ & 103.75 \\ & 103.75 \\ & 103.75 \\ & 103.75 \\ & 103.75 \\ & 103.75 \\ & 103.75 \\ & 103.75 \\ & 103.75 \\ & 103.75 \\ & 103.75 \\ & 103.75 \\ & 103.75 \\ & 103.75 \\ & 103.75 \\ & 103.75 \\ & 103.75 \\ & 103.75 \\ & 103.75 \\ & 165.70 \end{aligned}$ | 207. 50 207.50 207.50 207.50 207.50 207.50 207.50 207.50 207.50 207.50 207.50 207.50 207.50 207.50 207.50 207.50 207.50 207.50 207.50 207. 50 207.50 330.50 | 4.15 4.15 4.15 4.15 4.15 4.15 4.15 4.15 4.15 4.15 4.15 4.15 4.15 4.15 4 4.15 4.15 4.15 4 | 4.26 4.26 4.27 4.28 4.29 4.30 4.32 4.33 4.35 4.37 4.40 4.43 4.48 4.54 4.62 4.74 4.95 5.36 6.61 |

 3 Yield on fico value from each intercst payment date to maturity baspd on the schodule of interest checks prior
: Yeld on purchase price from issue date to extended maturity ts 4.21 percent.
[F.R. Doc. 68-15004; Filed, Dec. 18, 1968; 8:45 a.m.]

## Titte 7-AERICUITURE

Chapter IV-Federal Crop Insurance Corporation, Department of Agriculture

## PART 401-FEDERAL CROP INSURANCE

Subpart-Regulations for the 1969 and Succeeding Crop Years
Appendix; Counties Designated for Corn Crop Insurance
Pursuant to authority contained in § 401.101 of the above-identified regulations, as amended, the following counties are hereby added to the list of counties published November 22, 1968 (33 F.R. 17299), which were designated for corn crop insurance for the 1969 crop year.

Marshall.
ALABAMA
Calumet
Wisconsin
Calumet.
(Secs. 506, 516, 52 stat. 73, as amended, 77, as amended; 7 U.S.C. 1506, 1516)
[SEAL]
Ernest C. Neas, Acting Manager, Federal Crop Insurance Corporation.
[F.R. Doc. 68-15120; Filed, Dec. 18, 1968; 8:49 a.m.]

## PART 401-FEDERAL CROP INSURANCE

Subpart-Regulations for the 1969 and Succeeding Crop Years
Appendix; County Designated for
Cotton Crop Insurance
Pursuant to authority contained in §401.101 of the above-identified regulations, as amended, the following county is hereby added to the list of counties published November 22, 1968 (33 F.R. 17300), which were designated for cotton crop insurance for the 1969 crop year. Kershaw.
(Secs. 506, 516, 52 Stat. 73, as amended, 77 , as amended; 7 U.S.C. 1506, 1516)
> [seal] Ernest C. Neas, Acting Manager, Federal Crop Insurance Corporation.

[F.R. Doc. 68-15121; Filed, Dec. 18, 1968;
8:49 a.m.]

## PART 401-FEDERAL CROP INSURANCE

Subpart-Regulations for the 1969 and Succeeding Crop Years
appendix; Counties Designated for Oat Crop Insurance
Pursuant to authority contained in § 401.101 of the above-identified regulations, as amended, the following counties are hereby added to the list of counties published November 22, 1968 (33 F.R. 17302), which were designated for oat crop insurance for the 1969 crop year. minnesota
Mahnomen.
Wrsconsin
Polk.
(Secs. 506, 516, 52 Stat. 73, as amended. 77 , as amended; 7 U.S.C. 1506, 1516)
[seal]

## Ernest C. Neas, Acting Manager, Federal

 Crop Insurance Corporation.[F.R. Doc. 68-15122; Filed, Dec. 18, 1968; 8:49 a.m.]

## PART 401-FEDERAL CROP INSURANCE

Subpart-Regulations for the 1969 and Succeeding Crop Years
Appendix; Counties Designated for Pea (Canning and Freezing) Crop Insurance

Pursuant to authority contained in $\$ 401.101$ of the above-identified regulations, as amended, the following counties are hereby added to the list of counties published November 22, 1968 (33 FR. 17303), which were designated for pea (canning and freezing) crop insurance for the 1969 crop year.

Minnesota

Kandiyohi.
McLeod.
Meeker.

> Renville.

Scott,
Sibley.
WISCONSIN

Calumet.
(Secs. 506, 516, 52 Stat. 73, as amended, 77 , as amended; 7 U.S.C. 1506, 1516)

$$
\begin{array}{cc}
\text { [sEaL] } & \text { ERNEST C. NEAS, } \\
\text { Acting Manager, Federal } \\
\text { Crop Insurance Corporation. }
\end{array}
$$

[F.R. Doc. 68-15123; Filed, Dec. 18, 1968; 8:49 a.m.1

## PART 401-FEDERAL CROP INSURANCE

Subpart-Regulations for the 1969 and Succeeding Crop Years
Appendix; Counties Designated for Soybean Crop Insurance
Pursuant to authority contained in §401.101 of the above-identified regulations, as amended, the following counties are hereby added to the list of counties published November 22, 1968 (33 F.R. 17304), which were designated for soybean crop insurance for the 1969 crop year.

Michigan
Branch.
Cass.
South Carolina
Kershaw.
Wisconsin
Polk.
(Secs. 506, 516, 52 Stat. 73, as amended, 77, as amended; 7 U.S.C. 1506, 1516)
[seal] Ernest C. Neas, Acting Manager, Federal Crop Insurance Corporation.
[F.R. Doc. 68-15124; Filed, Dec. 18, 1968; 8:49 a.m.]

## PART 401—FEDERAL CROP INSURANCE

Subpart-Regulations for the 1969 and Succeeding Crop Years
Appendix; Counties Designated for Tobacco Crop Insurance
Pursuant to authority contained in §401.101 of the above-identified regulations, as amended, the following counties are hereby added to the list of counties published November 22, 1968 ( 33 F.R. 17306), which were designated for tobacco crop insurance for the 1969 crop year. The type(s) of tobacco on which insurance is offered in each county is shown opposite of the county name.

South Carolina
Kershaw
Tennessee
Anderson
31
(Secs. 506, 516, 52 Stat. 73, as amended, 77, as amended; 7 U.S.C. 1506, 1516)
[seal]
Ernest C. Neas, Acting Manager, Federal Crop Insurance Corporation.
[FR. Doc. 68-15125; Filed, Dec. 18, 1968; 8:49 a.m.]

## PART 401—FEDERAL CROP INSURANCE

Subpart-Regulations for the 1969 and Succeeding Crop Years
Appendix; Discontinuance of Insurance in Counties Previously Designated for Tobacco Crop Insurance
The counties listed below are hereby deleted from the list of counties pub-
lished in the Federal Register on November 22, 1968 (33 F.R. 17306), which were designated for tobacco crop insurance for the 1969 crop year pursuant to the authority contained in $\S 401.101$ of the above-identified regulations.

Pennsylvania
Lebanon.
York.
(Secs. 506, 516, 52 Stat. 73, as amended, 77, as amended; 7 U.S.C. 1506, 1516)

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { [SEAL] JoHN N. LUFT, } \\
& \text { Manager, Federal } \\
& \text { Crop Insurance Corporation. }
\end{aligned}
$$

[F.R. Doc. 68-15126; Filed, Dec. 18, 1968; 8:49 a.m.]

Chapter VII-Agricultural Stabilization and Conservation Service (Agricultural Adjustment), Department of Agriculture
SUBCHAPTER B-FARM MARKETING QUOTAS AND ACREAGE ALLOTMENTS

## PART 722-COTTON

Subpart-1969 Crop of Upland Cotton; Acreage Allotments and Marketing Quotas
State Reserves, County Allotments, and County Projected Yields

## Correction

In F.R. Doc. 68-13939 appearing at page 17754 in the issue of Thursday, November 28,1968 , the following corrections should be made:

1. The first word of the 14 th line of the first paragraph of the amendatory language should read "and" instead of "any".
2. In the table of $\$ 722.471$ -
a. Under the center heading "Louisiana" the figure opposite "Natchitoches" Parish in the "Computed county allotment" column should read " 19,623 ".
b. Under the center heading "New Mexico" the figure opposite "Bernalillo" county in the "County projected yield" column should read " 560 ".
c. Under the center heading "Oklahoma" the figure opposite "Osage" county in the "County projected yield" column should read " 383 ".
d. Under the center heading "South Carolina" the figure opposite "Abbeville" county in the "Allocation from State reserve for inequity and hardship cases" column should read " 0 ".
e. Under the center heading "Tennessee" the figure opposite "Productivity pool" in the "County allotment, sum of columns (1), (2), and (3)" column should read " 0 ".
f. Under the center heading "Texas" the figure opposite "Loving" county in the "County allotment, sum of columns (1), (2) and (3)" column should read " 409 ".
3. The second figure in the authority citation now reading " 375 " should read " 344 ".

Chapter IX-Consumer and Marketing Service (Marketing Agreements and Orders; Fruits, Vegetables, Nuts), Department of Agriculture
[Navel Orange Reg. 161]

## PART 907-N AVEL ORANGES GROWN IN ARIZONA AND DESIGNATED PART OF CALIFORNIA

## Limitation of Handling

§907.461 Navel Orange Regulation 161. (a) Findings. (1) Pursuant to the marketing agreement, as amended, and Order No. 907, as amended (7 CFR Part 907, 33 F.R. 15471), regulating the handling of Navel oranges grown in Arizona and designated part of California, effective under the applicable provisions of the Agricultural Marketing Agreement Act of 1937, as amended (7 U.S.C. 601674 ), and upon the basis of the recommendations and information submitted by the Navel Orange Administrative Committee, established under the said amended marketing agreement and order, and upon other available information, it is hereby found that the limitation of handling of such Navel oranges, as hereinafter provided, will tend to effectuate the declared policy of the act.
(2) It is hereby further found that it is impracticable and contrary to the public interest to give preliminary notice, engage in public rule-making procedure, and postpone the effective date of this section until 30 days after publication hereof in the Federal Register (5 U.S.C. 553) because the time intervening between the date when information upon which this section is based became available and the time when this section must become effective in order to effectuate the declared policy of the act is insufficient, and a reasonable time is permitted, under the circumstances, for preparation for such effective time; and good cause exists for making the provisions hereof effective as hereinafter set forth. The committee held an open meeting during the current week, after giving due notice thereof, to consider supply and market conditions for Navel oranges and the need for regulation; interested persons were afforded an opportunity to submit information and views at this meeting; the recommendation and supporting information for regulation during the period specified herein were promptly submitted to the Department after such meeting was held; the provisions of this section, including its effective time, are identical with the aforesaid recommendation of the committee, and information concerning such provisions and effective time has been disseminated among handlers of such Navel oranges; it is necessary, in order to effectuate the declared policy of the act, to make this section effective during the period herein specified; and compliance with this section will not require any special preparation on the part of persons subject hereto which cannot be
completed on or before the effective date hereof. Such committee meeting was held on December 17, 1968.
(b) Order. (1) The respective quantities of Navel oranges grown in Arizona and designated part of California which may be handled during the period De cember 2, 1968, through December 26, 1968, are hereby fixed as follows:
(i) District 1: 364,000 cartons;
(ii) District 2: 49,000 cartons;
(iii) District 3: 36,000 cartons.
(2) As used in this section, "handled," "District 1," "District 2," "District 3," and "carton" have the same meaning as when used in said amended marketing agreement and order.
(Secs. 1-19, 48 Stat. 31 , as amended; 7 U.S.C. 601-674)

## Dated: December 18, 1968.

Paul A. Nicholson,
Deputy Director, Fruit and Vegetable Division, Consumer and Marketing Service.
[F.R. Doc. 68-15170; Filed, Dec. 18, 1968; 11:25 a.m. 1

## [Area 2]

## PART 948-IRISH POTATOES GROWN IN COLORADO

## Approval of Expenses and Rate of Assessment

Notice of rule making regarding proposed expenses and rate of assessment for Area No. 2 (San Luis Valley), to be effective under Marketing Agreement No. 97 and Order No. 948 (7 CFR Part 948), both as amended, was published in the July 30, 1968, issue of the Federal Register (33 F.R. 10804).

The notice afforded interested persons an opportunity to submit written data, views, or arguments pertaining thereto not later than 15 days following publication in the Federal Register. None was received.

After consideration of all relevant matters, including the proposals set forth in the aforesaid notice which were recommended by the Area Committee for Area No. 2, established pursuant to the said marketing agreement and order, it is hereby found and determined that:

## § 948.258 Expenses and rate of assessment.

(a) The reasonable expenses that are likely to be incurred by the area committee for Area No. 2, established pursuant to Marketing Agreement No. 97 and Order No. 948 , both as amended, to enable such committee to perform its functions pursuant to the provisions of the aforesaid amended agreement and order during the fiscal period ending June 30, 1969, will amount to $\$ 12,144$.
(b) The rate of assessment to be paid by each handler in Area No. 2 pursuant to Marketing Agreement No. 97 and

Order No. 948 , both as amended, shall be $\$ 0.0022$ per hundredweight of potatoes handled by him as the first handler thereof during said fiscal period.
(c) Unexpended income in excess of expenses for the fiscal period ending June 30, 1969, may be carried over as a reserve.
(d) Terms used in this section shall have the same meaning as when used in Marketing Agreement No. 97, as amended, and this part.

It is hereby found that good cause exists for not postponing the effective time of this action until 30 days after publication in the Federal Register (5 U.S.C. 553) in that: (1) The relevant provisions of said marketing agreement and this part require that the rate of assessment for a particular fiscal period shall be applicable to all assessable potatoes from the beginning of such period, and (2) the current fiscal period began July 1, 1968, and the rate of assessment herein will automatically apply to all assessable potatoes beginning with such date.
(Secs. 1-19, 48 Stat. 31, as amended; 7 U.S.C. 601-674)

Dated: December 16, 1968.
Paul A. Nicholson,
Deputy Director, Fruit and Vegetable Division, Consumer and Marketing Service.
[F.R. Doc. 68-15149; Filed, Dec. 18, 1968; 8:51 a.m.]

## Titte 10-atomic energy <br> Chapter I—Atomic Energy Commission

## PART 20 - STANDARDS FOR PROTECTION AGAINST RADIATION

## Reporting Requirements

On November 16, 1967, the Atomic Energy Commission published in the Federal Register (32 F.R. 15762) for comment proposed amendments to its regulations, "Standards for Protection Against Radiation", 10 CFR Part 20, which would have required, among other things, that AEC licensees report annually for the previous calendar year the following identification and exposure information for individuals required to be monitored pursuant to $\$ 20.202$ (proposed new § 20.407):

1. Individual's quarterly exposures to radiation from sources external to the body which exceed certain specified levels;
2. Individual's exposures to concentrations of radioactive materials which exceed applicable exposure limits; and
3. Identification information (name, social security number, and date of birth) for those individuals who were required to be monitored for exposure to radiation from sources external to the body, but whose exposures did not exceed the specified levels, and for those individuals for whom evaluations of exposures to concentrations of radioactive
materials were required, but whose exposures not not exceed any applicable limit.

After consideration of the comments received and the advice of its LaborManagement Advisory Committee, the Commission has modified the reporting requirements for AEC licensees. The major differences between the proposed amendments and those which have been adopted as an effective rule are:

1. The reporting provisions adopted apply only to licensees conducting indus-trial-type activities which involve the handling of substantial quantities of radioactive material. The following categories of licensees are covered:
a. Operators of nuclear power reactors and testing facilities;
b. Commercial processors of specified quantities of byproduct material; ${ }^{1}$
c. Reactor fuel processors or reprocessors; and
d. Industrial radiographers.
2. An annual report containing individual identification and exposure information is required only for those individuals 18 years of age and above who receive an annual external dose in excess of the quarterly numerical values specified in $\$ 20.101$ (a) and for those individuals under 18 years of age who receive an annual external dose in excess of 10 percent of such values. The annual report must also include either (i) the total number of individuals for whom personnel monitoring was required during the calendar year or (ii) the total number of individuals for whom personnel monitoring was provided during the calendar year, provided that such total includes at least the number of individuals that would be reported under (i) above. The report must indicate whether it is submitted in accordance with (i) or (ii).
3. A report of each individual's exposure to radiation and radioactive material incurred during the period of employment or work assignment in a licensee's facility as recorded on Form AEC-5 or similar form pursuant to $\S 20.401$ (a) and $\S 20.108$ is required to be submitted to the Commission within 30 days after termination of the individual's employment with a licensee. In the case of an individual assigned to work in a licensee's facility but not employed by the licensee, the report is required to be submitted within 30 days after termination of the individual's work assignment. A copy is to be given to the individual terminating employment or work assignment.
The individual exposure information that is required to be reported by licensees under each of these reporting requirements is presently required to be maintained in accordance with the provisions of 10 CFR Part 20 . The Commission intends to adopt similar requirements for reporting exposure information with respect to its own activities conducted by AEC contractors exempt from licensing.
${ }^{1}$ The Commission expects to review, from time to time, the various kinds of licensees included in this category, and modify it as experience indicates.

Exposure information reported to the Commission will be incorporated in a central record repository of exposures of monitored individuals. This information will be available, subject to 10 CFR Part 9 , to the individual concerned, employers and prospective employers and will facilitate the development of an individual's exposure history, if needed. It would assist in identifying those individuals who are monitored by more than one licensee or AEC contractor. In addition, the information is expected to assist in the:

1. Analysis of radiation exposure experience and indicate general exposure trends from year to year;
2. Analysis of exposure experience of AEC contractors and the categories of licensees covered and of particular AEC contractors or licensees;
3. Initiation of appropriate remedial action where trends or experience in increased radiation exposures indicate the need for more effective controls by AEC contractors or licensees; and
4. Consideration and development by AEC and other organizations of appropriate modifications to existing radiation protection standards and requirements.
Pursuant to the Atomic Energy Act of 1954, as amended, and sections 552 and 553 of title 5 of the United States Code, the following amendments to Title 10, Chapter I, Code of Federal Regulations, Part 20, are published as a document subject to codification, to be effective 60 days after publication in the Federal Register. The Commission invites all interested persons who desire to submit written comments or suggestions in connection with the amendments to send them to the Secretary, U.S. Atomic Energy Commission, Washington, D.C. 20545, Attention: Chief, Public Proceedings Branch, within 60 days after publication of this notice in the Federal Register. Consideration will be given such submission with the view to possible amendments. Copies of comments received may be examined at the Commission's Public Document Room at 1717 H Street NW., Washington, D.C.
5. A new $\S 20.407$ is added to 10 CFR Part 20 to read as follows:
$\S 20.407$ Personnel exposure and monitoring reports.
(a) This section applies to each person licensed by the Commission to:
(1) Operate a nuclear reactor designed to produce electrical or heat energy pursuant to $\$ 50.21$ (b) or $\$ 50.22$ of this chapter or a testing facility as defined in $\$ 50.2(\mathrm{r})$ of this chapter;
(2) Possess or use byproduct material for purposes of radiography pursuant to Parts 30 and 34 of this chapter;
(3) Possess or use at any one time, for purposes of fuel processing, fabrication, or reprocessing, special nuclear material in a quantity exceeding 5,000 grams of contained uranium-235, uranium-233, or plutonium or any combination thereof pursuant to Part 70 of this chapter; or
(4) Possess or use at any one time, for processing or manufacturing for distribution pursuant to Part 30, 32 or 33
of this chapter, byproduct material in quantities exceeding any one of the following quantities:

Quantity

| Radionuclide ${ }^{1}$ | in curies |
| :---: | :---: |
| Cesium-137 | 1 |
| Cobalt-60 | 1 |
| Gold-198 | 100 |
| Iodine-131 | 1 |
| Iridium-192 | 10 |
| Krypton-85 | 1,000 |
| Promethium-147 | 10 |
| Technetium-99m | 1,000 |

${ }^{1}$ The Commission may require, as a license condition, or by rule, regulation or order pursuant to $\$ 20.502$, reports from licensees who are licensed to use radionuclides not on this list, in quantities sufficient to cause comparable radiation levels.
(b) Each person described in paragraph (a) of this section shall, within the first quarter of each calendar year, submit to the Director of Regulation, U.S. Atomic Energy Commission, Washington, D.C. 20545 , the following reports, applicable to the described licensed activities, covering the preceding calendar year: ${ }^{2}$
(1) A report of either (i) the total number of individuals for whom personnel monitoring was required under $\$ 20$.202 (a) or $\$ 34.33$ (a) of this chapter during the calendar year, or (ii) the total number of individuals for whom personnel monitoring was provided during the calendar year: Provided, That such total includes at least the number of individuals required to be reported under subdivision (i) of this subparagraph. The report shall indicate whether it is submitted in accordance with subdivision (i) or (ii) of this subparagraph.
(2) A report on individuals for whom personnel monitoring was required under $\S 20.202$ (a) or $\S 34.33$ (a) of this chapter, which contains, for each individual 18 years of age or over whose annual radiation dose exceeded the applicable quarterly numerical values specified in $\$ 20$.101 (a), and, for each individual under 18 years of age whose annual radiation dose exceeded 10 percent of the applicable quarterly numerical values specified in $\$ 20.101$ (a), the information recorded by the licensee pursuant to § 20.401 (a).
2. A new $\S 20.408$ is added to 10 CFR Part 20 to read as follows:
§ 20.408 Reports of personnel exposure on termination of employment or work.
When an individual terminates employment with a licensee subject to § 20 . 407, or an individual assigned to work in such a licensee's facility, but not employed by the licensee, completes his work assignment in the licensee's facility, the licensee shall furnish to such individual and to the Director of Regulation, U.S. Atomic Energy Commission, Washing-

[^3]ton, D.C. 20545, a report of the individual's exposure to radiation and radioactive material, incurred during the period of employment or work assignment in the licensee's facility, containing information recorded by the licensee pursuant to $\$ \S 20.401$ (a) and 20.108 . Such report shall be furnished within 30 days from the date of termination of employment or work assignment.
(Sec. 161, 68 Stat. 048; 42 U.S.C. 2201)
Dated at Germantown, Md., this 6th day of December 1968.

For the Atomic Energy Commission.
W. B. McCool,

Secretary.
[F.R. Doc. 68-15077; Filed, Dec. 18, 1968; 8:45 a.m.]

## Title 14-AERONAUTICS AND SPACE

## Chapter 1-Federal Aviation Administration, Department of Transportafion

[Docket No. 68-EA-128; Amdt. 39-693]

## PART 39—AIRWORTHINESS DIRECTIVES

## Canadair Aircraft

The Federal Aviation Administration is amending $\$ 39.13$ of Part 39 of the Federal Aviation Regulations so as to publish an airworthiness directive requiring inspection and repair or replacement where necessary of stabilizer interspar ribs on Canadair CL-44D4 type aircraft.

There have been reports of cracks of the stabilizer interspar ribs at Stations 123, 141, and 159 which could render operation of the aircraft hazardous. Since this condition is likely to exist or develop in other airplanes of the same type design an airworthiness directive is being issued to detect and correct any such deficiencies.

Since a situation exists that requires immediate adoption of the regulation, it is found that notice and public procedure herein are impracticable and good cause exists for making this amendment effective in less than 30 days.

In consideration of the foregoing and pursuant to the authority delegated to me by the Administrator, 14 CFR 11.85 (31 F.R. 13697), § 39.13 of Part 39 of the Federal Aviation Regulations is amended by adding the following new Airworthiness Directive:
Canadair. Applies to CL-44D4 Type Airplanes.
To detect cracks in stabilizer interspar ribs at Stations 123, 141, and 159, accomplish the following:
(a) Within the next 100 hours time in service after the effective date of this A.D. unless accomplished within the last 400 hours time in service, and at intervals thereafter not to exceed 500 hours time in service from the last inspection, inspect the rib caps of P/N $44-\mathrm{A} 67035-\mathrm{A}-\mathrm{B}, \mathrm{P} / \mathrm{N} 44-\mathrm{A} 67038-\mathrm{A}-\mathrm{B}$, and P/N 44-A24301-A-B for cracks using a 5 power or greater boroscope or an FAAapproved equivalent inspection.
(b) Replace cracked parts before further flight with a part of the same part number or an FAA-approved equivalent part, or repair cracked parts in accordance with the Canadair CL-44 Structural Repair Manual or an FAA-approved equivalent repair.
(c) The repetitive inspection required by (a) need not be performed, if the stabilizer has been altered in accordance with Canadair Service Bulletin No. 286, or an FAA-approved equivalent alteration.
(d) Equivalent parts, alterations, inspections or repairs must be approved by the Chief, Engineering and Manufacturing Branch, FAA, Eastern Region.
(e) The compliance times may be increased by the Chief, Engineering and Manufacturing Branch, FAA, Eastern Region, upon receipt of substantiating data submitted through an PAA maintenance inspector.

This amendment is effective December 19, 1968 .
(Secs. 313 (a) , 601, 603, Federal Aviation Act of 1958; 49 U.S.C. 1354 (a), 1421, 1423; sec. 6(c), Department of Transportation Act; 49 U.S.C. $1655(\mathrm{c})$ )

Issued in Jamaica, N.Y., on December 9,1968 .

## R. M. Brown, <br> Acting Director, Eastern Region.

[F.R. Doc. 68-15099; Filed, Dec. 18, 1968; 8:47 a.m.]
[Docket No. 68-EA-137; Amdt. 39-694]

## PART 39-AIRWORTHINESS DIRECTIVES

DeHavilland Aircraff
The Federal Aviation Administration is amending $\$ 39.13$ of Part 39 of the Federal Aviation Regulations so as to issue an airworthiness directive requiring inspection and replacement when necessary of jo-bolts in wing struts of DeHavilland DHC-6 type airplanes.

There have been reports of loose jobolts in the wing struts of the DHC-6 airplanes. Since this situation is likely to exist or develop in other airplanes of the same type design, an airworthiness directive is being issued to require periodic inspection of the jo-bolts in the wing struts for looseness and replacement of missing jo-bolts.

Since an unsafe condition can arise, a situation exists that requires immediate adoption of this regulation and therefore, notice and public procedure herein are impractical and good cause exists for making this amendment effective in less than 30 days.

In consideration of the foregoing and pursuant to the authority delegated to me by the Administrator, 14 CFR 11.85 (31 F.R. 13697), § 39.13 of Part 39 of the Federal Aviation Regulations is amended by adding the following new Airworthiness Directive:
Deflavilland. Applies to DHC-6 Type Airplanes.
To detect loose or missing jo-bolts in wing struts which have accumulated 150 or more hours time in service as of the effective date of this A.D., accomplish the following:
(a) Within the next 50 hours time in service, unless already accomplished, visually inspect the top and bottom of the right and left wing struts, P/N C6W1005-3, -4, for loose
or missing jo-bolts in accordance with the procedure described in DeHavilland Service Bulletin No. 6/166, or an FRA approved equivalent procedure
(b) Identical inspections must be conducted at subsequent times in service of 450 to 550 hours, 2450 to 2550 hours and 7450 to 7550 hours after the inspection required by (a) and thereafter at intervals not to exceed 5000 hours time in service.
(c) Prior to further flight, missing jo-bolts must be replaced with jo-bolts of the same part number or an FAA approved equivalent part; but a maximum of four (4) missing in any strut may be replaced within the next 250 hours time in service provided:
(1) They are not all next to each other in the same row of twelve (12); or
(2) They do not include more than two (2) which are next to each other in the same row of twelve (12) within six (6) inches of the strut end.
(d) Equivalent parts and inspection procedures must be approved by the Chief Engineering and Manufacturing Branch, FAA, Eastern Region.
(e) The compliance times may be increased by the Chief, Engineering and Manufacturing Branch, FAA, Eastern Region, upon receipt of substantiating data submitted through an FAA maintenance inspector.

This amendment is effective December 20, 1968.
(Secs. 313(a), 601, 603, Federal Aviation Act of 1958; 49 U.S.C. 1354(a), 1421, 1423; sec. 6 (c), Department of Transportation Act; 49 U.S.C. $1655(\mathrm{c})$ )

Issued in Jamaica, N.Y., on December $10,1968$.
R. M. Brown,

Acting Director, Eastern Region.
[F.R. Doc. 68-15100; Filed, Dec. 18, 1968; 8:47 a.m.]
[Airworthiness Docket No. 68-WE-30-AD; Amdt. 39-696]

## PART 39-AIRWORTHINESS DIRECTIVES

## Certain Hughes Helicopters

Amendment 39-661 (33 F.R. 14636), AD 68-20-3, requires an inspection and identification 269A5504-3 lower coupling drive shafts on affected helicopters, due to reported failures of the shaft that resulted in engine overspeed and autorotative landings. After issuing Amendment 39-661, the Administration determined that the drive shaft $P / N$ 269A5504-3 had been in some cases reidentified as engine lower coupling drive shaft assembly $P / N$ 269A5504-5 or $80-269 \mathrm{~A} 5504-5$. The $\mathrm{P} / \mathrm{N}$ 269A5504-3 shaft is a component part of the P/N 269A5504-5 and 80-269A5504-5 shaft assemblies. Also, as a limited life item the -3 shaft is identified with both a part number and a serial number. Therefore, the AD is being superseded by a new AD that incorporates the additional identification of component parts; no change in the inspection and identification procedures is effected. This AT is being issued to require an inspection and identification of all 269A5504-3 shafts and/or assemblies ( $\mathrm{P} / \mathrm{N}$ 269A 5504-5 or 80-269A5504-5) presently installed, or to be installed, in the affected helicopters.

Since a situation exists that requires immediate adoption of this regulation, it is found that notice and public procedure hereon are impracticable and good cause exists for making this amendment effective in less than 30 days.

In consideration of the foregoing, and pursuant to the authority delegated to me by the Administrator (31 F.R. 13697), $\S 39.13$ of Part 39 of the Federal Aviation Regulations is amended by adding the following new airworthiness directive:
Hughes. Applies to Model 269A Helicopter Serial Nos. 0011 through 0893 (except TH-55A helicopters), 269A-1 Helicopter Serial Nos. 0001 through 0041, 269A-2 Helicopters Serial Nos. 0001 and 0002, 269B Helicopter Series Nos. 0001 through 0359 with P/N 269A5504-3 Lower Coupling Drive Shaft or Hughes P/N $80-$ 269A5504-5 or P/N 269A5504-5 Lower Coupling Drive Shaft assembly installed
Compliance required within 25 hours of helicopter operation after the effective date of this airworthiness directive, unless previously accomplished.
To prevent fallures of the lower coupling drive shaft (Hughes P/N 269A5504-3) or lower coupling drive shaft assembly (Hughes P/N 80-269A5504-5 or 269A5504-5) by assuring the physical integrity of the shaft, including freedom from cracks:
(a) Inspect the entire shaft per Hughes Service Information Notice No. N-55.1, dated December 9, 1968, or later FAA approved revisions, or any equivalent inspection approved by the Chief, Aircraft Eingineering Division, Western Region.
(1) If cracks are found during this inspection, retire shaft from service and replace with a new shaft.
(2) If no cracks are found, identify the shaft per Item " m " of Hughes Service Information Notice No. N-55.1, or later FAA approved revisions, or equivalent identification approved by the Chief, Aircraft Engineering Division, Western Region. The shaft may continue to be used in accordance with service life limitations.
(b) Determine that the inspection or identification, as appropriate, specified in paragraph (a) has been accomplished, prior to installing replacement lower coupling drive shafts (P/N 269A5504-3).

This supersedes Amendment 39-661 (33 F.R. 14636) , AD 68-20-3.

This amendment becomes effective December 21, 1968.
(Secs. 318 (a), 601, 603, Federal Aviation Act of 1958 ( 49 U.S.C. 1354 (a), 1421, 1423); sec. 6(c), Department of Transportation Act (49 U.S.C. $1655(c))$ )

Issued in Los Angeles, Calif., on December 10, 1968.
A. E. Horning,

Acting Director,
FAA Western Region.
[FR. Doc. 68-15101; Filed, Dec. 18, 1968; 8:47 a.m.]
[Airspace Docket No. 68-AL-8]

## PART 71 -DESIGNATION OF FEDERAL AIRWAYS, CONTROLLED AIRSPACE, AND REPORTING POINTS

## Alteration of Additional Control Area

On September 14, 1968, a notice of proposed rule making was published in the Federal Register (33 F.R. 13033)
stating that the Federal Aviation Administration was considering an amendment to Part 71 of the Federal Aviation Regulations that would alter Control 1485 to include that airspace extending upward from FL-230 and bounded by a line beginning at lat. $68^{\circ} 00^{\prime} 00^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{N}$., long. $169^{\circ} 00^{\prime} 00^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{W}$.; to lat. $72^{\circ} 00^{\prime} 00^{\prime}$ N., long. $158^{\circ} 00^{\prime} 00^{\prime \prime}$ W.; to lat. $72^{\circ} 00^{\prime} 00^{\prime \prime}$ N ., long. $141^{\circ} 00^{\prime} 00^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{W}$.; to lat. $68^{\circ} 00^{\prime} 00^{\prime}$ N., long. $141^{\circ} 00^{\prime} 00^{\prime \prime}$ W.; to the point of beginning.
Interested persons were afforded an opportunity to participate in the proposed rule making through the submission of comments. No comments were received.
In consideration of the foregoing, Part 71 of the Federal Aviation Regulations is amended effective 0901 G.m.t., February 6, 1969, as hereinafter set forth. In $\S 71.163$ (33 F.R. 2051) Control 1485 is amended to read as follows:

Control 1485
That airspace extending upward from FL-230 bounded by a line beginning at lat. $68^{\circ} 00^{\prime} 00^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{N}$., Iong. $169^{\circ} 00^{\prime} 00^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{W}$., to lat. ${ }^{72} 2^{\circ} 00^{\prime} 00^{\prime \prime}$ N., long. $158^{\circ} 00^{\prime} 00^{\prime \prime}$ W.; to lat. $72^{\circ} 00^{\prime} 00^{\prime \prime}$ N., long. $141^{\circ} 00^{\prime} 00^{\prime \prime}$ W.; to lat. $68^{\circ} 00^{\prime} 00^{\prime \prime}$ N., long. $141^{\circ} 00^{\prime} 00^{\prime \prime}$ W.; to the point of beginning.
(Secs. 307 (a), 1110, Federal Aviation Act of 1958 (49 U.S.C. 1348, 1510); Executive Order 10854 ( 24 F.R. 9565); sec. 6(c), Department of Transportation Act (49 U.S.C. 1655(c) ))
Issued in Washington, D.C., on December 11, 1968.
H. B. Helstrom,

Chief, Airspace and Air Traffic Rules Division.
[F.R. Doc. 68-15103; Filed, Dec. 18, 1968; 8:47 a.m.]
[Airspace Docket No. 68-CE-78]
PART 71 -DESIGNATION OF FEDERAL AIRWAYS, CONTROLLED AIRSPACE, AND REPORTING POINTS

## Alteration of Control Zone and Transition Area

On pages 14601 and 14602 of the Federal Register dated September 28, 1968, the Federal Aviation Administration published a notice of proposed rule making which would amend $\$ \S 71.171$ and 71.181 of Part 71 of the Federal Aviation Regulations so as to alter the Terre Haute, Ind., control zone and transition area.
Interested persons were given 45 days to submit written comments, suggestions, or objections regarding suggesposed amendments. Two comments were received. The Air Transport Association offered no objection to the proposal.
The Aircraft Owners and Pilots Association objected to the size of the control zone extensions for Hulman Field, stating that there appears to be no reason for the southwest extension because the final approach fix crossing altitude for the Air Force procedures from that direction could be raised to as high as 1,900 feet MSL and still remain within approach procedure criteria. Raising of
these crossing altitudes would allow shortening of the southwest control zone extension to the final approach fix. The Association also recommended that the control zone extension to the northeast be reduced to end at the VORTAC. Raising the VORTAC and Beam Intersection crossing altitudes would allow this controlled airspace reduction without derogation of the back course ILS or VOR runway 23 approach procedures.

The U.S. Air Force has advised that their instrument approach procedures from the southwest at Terre Haute were developed to provide optimum procedures for the particular type of equipment being used by their units at Hulman Field. Although these instrument approach procedures could be modified as suggested by the Aircraft Owners and Pilots Association, the Air Force prefers to retain the procedures as presently published. If retention of present approach procedures is a hardship on other operators or pilots, the Air Force will review the procedures and attempt to modify them to relieve that hardship.

The instrument approach procedures from the northeast are likewise optimum procedures which the Air Force and the Federal Aviation Administration would prefer to retain.

Less than optimum instrument approach procedures can be developed at any airport; however, if the required airspace is not needed by other users and if safety will not be compromised, the Federal Aviation Administration strives to develop optimum approaches to all locations. The control zone extension to the northeast has been in effect for several years without any indication that it was overly restrictive to other users.

We received no comments on this proposal from any person in the Terre Haute area. Consequently, the Federal Aviation Administration does not feel there is any reason for thinking that the southwest control zone extension would be restrictive to any operators in that area or for honoring the Aircraft Owners and Pilots Association objection.

Accordingly, the proposed amendments are hereby adopted without change and set forth below.

These amendments shall be effective 0901 G.m.t., February 6, 1968.
(Sec. 307(a), Federal Aviation Act of 1958 (49 U.S.C. 1348) ; sec. 6(c), Department of Transportation Act (49 U.S.C. 1655(c)))
Issued in Kansas City, Mo., on December 4, 1968.

Edward C. Marsh, Director, Central Region.
(1) In § 71.171 ( 33 F.R. 2058) , the following control zone is amended to read:

## Terre haute, Ind.

Within a 5 -mile radius of Hulman Field (latitude $39^{\circ} 27^{\prime} 00^{\prime \prime}$ N., longitude $87^{\circ} 18^{\prime} 40^{\prime \prime}$ W.); within 2 miles each side of the Terre Haute ILS localizer southwest course, extending from the 5 -mile radius zone to the OM; within 2 miles each side of the Terre Haute VORTAC $051^{\circ}$ radial, extending from the 5 -mile radius zone to 12 miles northeast of the VORTAC; and within 2 miles each side of the Terre Haute VORTAC $230^{\circ}$ radial,
extending from the 5 -mile radius zone to 19 miles southwest of the VORTAC.
(2) In $\S 71.181$ (33 F.R. 2137), the following transition area is amended to read:

## Terre Haute, Ind,

That airspace extending upward from 700 feet above the surface within an 8 -mile radius of Hulman Field (latitude $39^{\circ} 27^{\prime} 00^{\prime \prime}$ N., longitude $87^{\circ} 18^{\prime} 40^{\prime \prime}$ W.) ; within 5 miles southeast and 9 miles northwest of the Terre Haute VORTAC $051^{\circ}$ radial, extending from the VORTAC to 13 miles northeast of the VORTAC; and within 7 miles southeast and 8 miles northwest of the Terre Haute VORTAC $230^{\circ}$ radial, extending from the VORTAC to 23 miles southwest of the VORTAC.
[F.R. Doc. 68-15104; Filed, Dec. 18, 1968 ; 8:47 a.m.]

## [Airspace Docket No. 68-SO-60]

## PART 71-DESIGNATION OF FEDERAL AIRWAYS, CONTROLLED AIRSPACE, AND REPORTING POINTS

## Alteration of Federal Airway

On September 12, 1968, a notice of proposed rule making was published in the Federal Register (33 F.R. 12915) stating that the Federal Aviation Administration was considering an amendment to Part 71 of the Federal Aviation Regulations that would extend V-296 from Fort Mill, S.C., 12 AGL via INT Fort Mill $093^{\circ}$ and Fayetteville, N.C., $267^{\circ}$ radials; 12 AGL Fayetteville.
Interested persons were afforded an opportunity to participate in the proposed rule making through the submission of comments. All comments received were given due consideration. The Department of the Air Force objected to the proposed 1,200 foot AGL floor in that it would interfere with training aircraft entering and departing R-5311 from the south at altitudes up to 2,200 feet. They would not object to the proposed airway if the minimum en route altitude were established at 3,000 feet MSL and the airway floor established at 2,700 feet MSL, as this would keep the en route airway traffic above their training operations. The Department of the Air Force position has merit and would not appear to derogate air traffic operations.
The Air Transport Association of America offered no objection to a 3,000 foot minimum en route altitude on the proposed airway provided eastbound air carriers could descend to 2,000 feet MSL prior to reaching the Fayetteville VOR. This could be handled procedurally as this airspace is within a 1,200 foot AGL transition area.

The Fayetteville Airport Commission endorsed the proposal. No other comments were received.

In consideration of the foregoing, Part 71 of the Federal Aviation Regulations is amended, effective 0901 G.m.t., March 6, 1969, as follows:

In $\S 71.123$ (33 F.R. 2009) V-296 is amended to read as follows:
V-296 From Asheville, N.C., 12 AGL Fort Mill, S.C.; 27 MSL INT Fort Mill $093^{\circ}$ and

Fayetteville, N.C., $267^{\circ}$ radials; 27 MSL Fayetteville.
(Sec. 307(a), Federal Aviation Act of 1958 (49 U.S.C. 1348); sec. 6(c), Department of Transportation Act ( 49 U.S.C. 1655 (c) ))

Issued in Washington, D.C., on December $12,1968$.
H. B. Helstrom,

Chief, Airspace and Air
Traffic Rules Division.
[F.R. Doc. 68-15105; Filed, Dec. 18, 1968; 8:47 a.m.]
[Airspace Docket No. 68-EA-124]
PART 71 -DESIGNATION OF FEDERAL AIRWAYS, CONTROLLED AIRSPACE, AND REPORTING POINTS

## Alteration of Federal Airway Segment

The purpose of this amendment to Part 71 of the Federal Aviation Regulations is to realign the segment of VOR Federal airway No. 93 from Chester, Mass., with a 12 AGL floor direct to Keene, N.H.

This segment of $V-93$ between Chester and Keene is presently designated via the Colrain Intersection (intersection of the Chester $040^{\circ} \mathrm{T}\left(053^{\circ} \mathrm{M}\right)$ and Keene $231^{\circ}$ $\mathrm{T}\left(245^{\circ} \mathrm{M}\right)$ radials. Action is taken herein to realign the segment from Chester direct to Keene. This action will provide a direct alignment between these points and, additionally, will provide sufficient lateral separation between aircraft operating on V-93 segment and aircraft conducting instrument flight rule approaches at Pittsfield, Mass.

Since the maximum deviation of the new alignment from the present location is approximately 2 miles to the east and the entire realignment is within airspace that is presently controlled, this is a minor alteration in which the public is not particularly interested. Therefore notice and public procedure hereon are unnecessary.

In consideration of the foregoing, Part 71 of the Federal Aviation Regulations is amended, effective 0901 G.m.t., February 6, 1969, as hereinafter set forth.

In § 71.123 (33 F.R. 2009) $V-93$ is amended by deleting "12 AGL INT Chester $040^{\circ}$ and Keene, N.H., $231^{\circ}$ radials; 12 AGL Keene;" and substituting "12 AGL Keene, N.H.;" therefor.
(Sec. 307 (a) , Federal Aviation Act of 1958 (49
U.S.C. 1348); sec. 6(c), Department of Transportation Act (49 U.S.C. 1655 (c) ))

Issued in Washington, D.C., on December $12,1968$.
> H. B. Helstrom,

> Chief, Airspace and Air Traffic Rules Division.

[F.R. Doc. 68-15106; Filed, Dec. 18, 1968; 8:47 a.m.]
[Airspace Docket No. 68-CE-56]

## PART 71 -DESIGNATION OF FEDERAL AIRWAYS, CONTROLLED AIRSPACE, AND REPORTING POINTS

## Alteration of Transition Area

On pages 14647 and 14648 of the FEDeral Register dated October 1, 1968, the Federal Aviation Administration published a notice of proposed rule making
which would amend $\S 71.181$ of Part 71 of the Federal Aviation Regulations so as to alter the transition area at Sioux City, Iowa.

Interested persons were given 45 days to submit written comments, suggestions or objections regarding the proposed amendment.

No objections have been received and the amendment as so proposed is hereby adopted, subject to the following change:

Change lines 15 through 19 of the description which read "and within 5 miles west and 8 miles east of the $319^{\circ}$ bearing from Graham Field extending from the airport to 12 miles north of the airport;" and substitute therefore "and within 5 miles southwest and 8 miles northeast of the $319^{\circ}$ bearing from Graham Field, extending from the airport to 12 miles northwest of the airport;"

This amendment shall be effective 0901 G.m.t., February 6, 1969.
(Sec. 307(a), Federal Aviation Act of 1958 (49 U.S.C. 1348) ; sec. 6(c), Department of Transportation Act (49 U.S.C. 1655 (c) ))

Issued in Kansas City, Mo., on November $27,1968$.

## Edward C. Marsh, <br> Director, Central Region.

In § 71.181 (33 F.R. 2137), the following transition area is amended to read: Sioux City, Iowa
That airspace extending upward from 700 feet above the surface within a 10 -mile radius of Sioux City Municipal Airport (latitude $42^{\circ} 24^{\prime} 10^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{N}$., longitude $\left.96^{\circ} 23^{\prime} 05^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{W}.\right)$; within 7 miles southwest and 8 miles northeast of the Sioux City ILS localizer southeast course, extending from the $10-\mathrm{mile}$ radius area to 12 miles southeast of the OM; within 7 miles northeast and 8 miles southwest of the Sioux City ILS localizer northwest course, extending from the 10 -mile radius area to 12 miles northwest of the Jackson, Nebr. RBN; within a 6 -mile radius of Graham Field, North Sioux City, S. Dak. (latitude $42^{\circ} 32^{\prime} 25^{\prime \prime}$ N., longitude $96^{\circ} 29^{\prime} 05^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{W}$.) ; and within 5 miles southwest and 8 miles northeast of the $319^{\circ}$ bearing from Graham Field extending from the airport to 12 miles northwest of the airport; that airspace extending from 1,200 feet above the surface within a 27 mile radius of Sioux City VORTAC; and the area bounded on the northeast by a line 5 miles northeast of and parallel to the Sioux City VORTAC $116^{\circ}$ radial, on the southwest by a line 5 miles southwest of and parallel to the Sioux City VORTAC $140^{\circ}$ radial, on the northwest by the 27 -mile radius area and on the southeast by the arc of a $30-\mathrm{mile}$ radius circle centered on the Sioux City ILS OM ; and that airspace extending upward from $3500^{\prime}$ MSL east, south and west of Sioux City bounded on the north by $\mathrm{V}-100$, on the southeast by $\mathrm{V}-138$, on the south by $\mathrm{V}-172$ and on the west by longitude $98^{\circ} 00^{\prime} 00^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{W}$.
[F.R. Doc. 68-15107; Filed, Dec. 18, 1968;
8:47 a.m.]
[Airspace Docket No. 68-AL-19]

## PART 71 -DESIGNATION OF FEDERAL AIRWAYS, CONTROLLED AIRSPACE, AND REPORTING POINTS <br> PART 75-ESTABLISHMENT OF JET

Designation of Controlled Airspace
On October 26, 1968, F.R. Doc. 68-13089
was published in the Federal Register
(33 F.R. 15860) amending Parts 71 and 75 of the Federal Aviation Regulations by designating certain controlled airspace for the safety of aircraft conducting instrument flight rule operations in the North Slope area of Alaska. These amendments were to become effective December 12, 1968. On December 6, 1968, F.R. Doc. 68-13089 was amended by extending the effective date to January 9 , 1969 (33 F.R. 18135). Several portions of airspace referred to in the subject document are predicated on the Prudhoe Bay, Alaska, RBN (lat. $70^{\circ} 15^{\prime} 10^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{N}_{\text {, }}$ long. $148^{\circ} 20^{\prime} 13^{\prime \prime}$ W.).

Subsequent to publication to the amendment to F.R. Doc. 68-13089 (33 F.R. 18135), it was determined necessary to relocate the Prudhoe Bay RBN to a site at lat. $70^{\circ} 14^{\prime} 55^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{N}$., long. $148^{\circ}$ $23^{\prime} 28^{\prime \prime}$ W. Action is taken herein to further amend F.R. Doc. 68-13089 to reflect this new site.

Since this amendment is in the interest of safety the Administrator has determined that notice and public procedure thereon is impracticable.

In consideration of the foregoing, effective immediately, F.R. Doc. 68-13089 (33 F.R. 15860, 18135) is amended as follows:

In Items 3. a., c., and f.; in Item 4.a.; and in Item 5.a., "lat. $70^{\circ} 15^{\prime} 10^{\prime \prime}$ N., long. $148^{\circ} 20^{\prime} 13^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{W}$." is deleted and "lat. $70^{\circ}$ $14^{\prime} 55^{\prime \prime}$ N., long. $148^{\circ} 23^{\prime} 28^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{W}$." is substituted therefor.
(Sec. 307 (a), Federal Aviation Act of 1958 (49 U.S.C. 1348) ; sec. 6(c), Department of Transportation Act (49 U.S.C. 1655 (c) ))

Issued in Washington, D.C., on December 11, 1968.
> H. B. Helstrom,

> Chief, Airspace and Air Traffc Rules Division.

[F.R. Doc. 68-15102; Filed, Dec. 18, 1968; 8:47 a.m.1

## Tite 19-cuscouns dults

## Chapter I-Bureau of Customs, Department of the Treasury [T.D. 69-3]

## PART 16-LIQUIDATION OF DUTIES

Sugar Content of Certain Articles From Australia
The Treasury Department is in receipt of official information that the rates of bounties or grants paid or bestowed by the Australian Government within the meaning of section 303, Tariff Act of 1930 (19 U.S.C. 1303), on the exportation during the month of November ${ }^{1968,}$, of approved fruit products and other approved products containing sugar amounts to Australian $\$ 113.90$ per 2,240 pounds of sugar content.
The net amount of bounties or grants on the above-described commodities which are manufactured or produced in Australia is hereby ascertained, determined, and declared to be Australiant. Addition 2,240 pounds of sugar content Additional duties on the above-described covered by T.D. 55716 ( 27 F.R. 9595),
whether imported directly or indirectly from that country, equal to the net amount of the bounty shown above shall be assessed and collected.
The table in $\S 16.24$ (f) of the Customs Regulations is amended by inserting after the last line under "AustraliaSugar content of certain articles" the number of this Treasury decision in the column headed "Treasury Decision" and the words "New rate" in the column headed "Action," The table in $\$ 16.24$ (f) is further amended by deleting therefrom under "Australia-Sugar content of certain articles" the number 68-238 in the column headed "Treasury Decision" and the words "New rate" appearing opposite such number in the column headed "Action."
(R.S. 251, secs. $303,624,46$ Stat. 687, 759; 19 U.S.C. $66,1303,1624$ )

## [SEAL] <br> Lester D. Johnson, Commissioner of Customs.

Approved: December 11, 1968.
Joseph M. Bowman,
Assistant Secretary of the Treasury.
[F.R. Doc. 68-15136; Flled, Dec. 18, 1968; 8:50 a.m.]

## [T.D. 69-4]

PART 25-CUSTOMS BONDS
Extension of Time for Production of Missing Documents
On page 4260 of the Federal Register of March 7, 1968, there was published a notice of proposed rule making to amend $\$ 25.18$ (a) of the Customs Regulations (19 CFR 25.18(a)) to permit only one 2 -month extension of the 6 -month period provided for the production of missing documents cother than an invoice) rather than three extensions for periods of 6 months up to 2 years from the date of the transaction, as the regulations currently provide. Interested persons were given 60 days in which to submit written comments, suggestions or objections regarding the proposed amendment.
Five parties filed objections suggesting various alternatives to the proposed amendment, e.g., that in some circumstances a total of 8 months was not sufficient to produce a missing document and that a period of 12 or 18 months would be more appropriate. The Bureau, however, after further consideration and discussion with its local offices, and for the reasons stated in the notice of proposed rulemaking, has decided that a total period of 8 months in which to produce missing documents is fair and reasonable.

Therefore, the proposed amendment is hereby adopted without change as set forth below:
§ 25.18 Extensions of periods for compliance with requirements of bonds and stipulations.
(a) If a document (other than an invoice) referred to in $\$ 25.16$ (c) is not
produced within 6 months from the date of the transaction in connection with which the bond or stipulation was given, the district director of customs, upon written application of the importer, in his discretion, may extend the period for one further period of 2 months.

The following citation of authority is added for § 25.18:
(Secs. 484, 623,46 Stat. 722 , as amended, 759 , as amended; 19 U.S.C. 1484, 1623)
(R.S. 251, sec. 624, 46 Stat. 759; 19 U.S.C. 66, 1624)

This amendment shall become effective 30 days after its publication in the Federal Register.
[SEAL]
LESTER D. JOHNSON, Commissioner of Customs.

## Approved: December 11, 1968.

## Joseph M. Bowman, Assistant Secretary of the Treasury.

[F.R. Doc. 68-15135; Filed, Dec. 18, 1968; 8:50 a.m.]

## Title 29-LABOR

## Chapter V-Wage and Hour Division, Department of Labor

PART 724-HOSPITAL AND RELATED INSTITUTIONS INDUSTRY IN PUERTO RICO

## Wage Order

Pursuant to sections 5, 6, and 8 of the Fair Labor Standards Act of 1938 (52 Stat. 1062, 1064, as amended; 29 U.S.C. 205, 206, and 208) and Reorganization Plan No. 6 of 1950 (3 CFR 1949-53 Comp., p. 1004), and by means of Administrative Order No. 603 (33 F.R. 12103), the Secretary of Labor appointed and convened Industry Committee No. 79-B for the hospital and related institutions industry in Puerto Rico, referred to the Committee the question of the minimum wage rate or rates to be paid under section 6(c) of the Act to employees in the industry, and gave notice of a hearing to be held by the Committee.
Subsequent to an investigation and a hearing conducted pursuant to the notice, the Committee has filed with the Administrator of the Wage and Hour and Public Contracts Divisions of the Department of Labor a report containing its findings of fact and recommendations with respect to the matters referred to it.

Accordingly, as authorized and required by section 8 of the Fair Labor Standards Act of 1938, Reorganization Plan No. 6 of 1950, and 29 CFR 511.18, the recommendations of Industry Committee No. 79-B are hereby published, to be effective January 4, 1969, in this order amending Part 724 of Title 29 of the Code of Federal Regulations as follows:

1. Section 724.2 is revised to read as follows:
§ 724.2 Wage rates effective on and after February 1, 1969.
(a) Application. Wages at rates not less than those prescribed in paragraphs (b) and (c) of this section shall be paid under section 6(c) of the Fair Labor Standards Act of 1938 on and after February 1,1969 , by every employer to each of his employees in the hospital and related institutions industry in Puerto Rico who in any workweek is engaged in an activity brought within the purview of section 6 of the Act by the Fair Labor Standards Amendments of 1966.
(b) Classification A. (1) The minimum wage for Classification A is $\$ 1.30$ per hour for the period beginning February 1 , 1969, and ending January 31, 1970, and $\$ 1.45$ per hour thereafter.
(2) Classification A is defined as all activities in the hospital and related institutions industry in Puerto Rico which are performed by medical technologists, laboratory technicians, registered nurses, licensed practical nurses, X-ray technicians, laboratory assistants, skilled craftsmen, and drivers.
(c) Classification B. (1) The minimum wage for Classification B is $\$ 1.15$ per hour for the period beginning February 1, 1969, and ending January 31, 1970, and $\$ 1.35$ per hour thereafter.
(2) Classification B is defined as all activities in the hospital and related institutions activities in Puerto Rico except those within Classification A.
2. A new $\& 724.2 \mathrm{a}$ is added reading as follows:
§ 724.2a Wage rates effective for the period ending January 31, 1969.
(a) Application. Wages at rates not less than those prescribed in paragraphs (b), (c), and (d) of this section shall be paid under section 6(c) of the Fair Labor Standards Act of 1938 for the period ending January 31, 1969, by every employer to each of his employees in the hospital and related institutions industry in Puerto Rico who in any workweek is engaged in an activity brought within the purview of section 6 of the Act by the Fair Labor Standards Amendments of 1966 .
(b) Classification A. (1) The minimum wage for Classification A is $\$ 1.15$ per hour for the period ending January $31,1969$.
(2) Classification A is defined as all activities in the hospital and related institutions industry in Puerto Rico which are performed by medical technologists, laboratory technicians, registered nurses, X-ray technicians, laboratory assistants, skilled arts and crafts employees, and drivers.
(c) Classification B. (1) The minimum wage for Classification B is $\$ 1$ per hour for the period ending January 31, 1969.
(2) Classification B is defined as all activities in the hospital and related institutions industry in Puerto Rico which are performed by office clerks, cooks, and semiskilled arts and crafts employees.
(d) Classification C. (1) The minimum wage for this classification is $\$ 0.90$ per hour for the period ending January 31, 1969.
(2) Classification C is defined as all activities in the hospital and related institutions industry in Puerto Rico except those within classification A and classification B.
(Secs. 5, 6, 8, 52 Stat. 1062, 1064, as amended; 29 U.S.C. 205, 206, 208)

Signed at Washington, D.C., this 13th day of December 1968.

Clarence T. Lundquist,
Administrator, Wage and Hour and Public Contracts Divisions.
[F.R. Doc. 68-15133; Filed, Dec. 18, 1968; 8:50 a.m.]

## PART 725 -EDUCATION INDUSTRY IN PUERTO RICO

## Wage Order

Pursuant to sections 5, 6, and 8 of the Fair Labor Standards Act of 1938 ( 52 Stat. 1062, 1064, as amended; 29 U.S.C. 205, 206, 208) and Reorganization Plan No. 6 of 1950 (3 CFR 1949-53 Comp., p. 1004), and by means of Administrative Order No. 603 (33 F.R. 12103), the Secretary of Labor appointed and convened Industry Committee No. 79-A for the education industry in Puerto Rico, referred to the Committee the question of the minimum wage rate or rates to be paid under section 6(c) of the Act to employees in the industry, and gave notice of a hearing to be held by the Committee.

Subsequent to an investigation and a hearing conducted pursuant to the notice, the Committee has filed with the Administrator of the Wage and Hour and Public Contracts Divisions of the Department of Labor a report containing its findings of fact and recommendations with respect to the matters referred to it.

Accordingly, as authorized and required by section 8 of the Fair Labor Standards Act of 1938, Reorganization Plan No. 6 of 1950, and 29 CFR 511.18, the recommendations of Industry Committee No. 79-A are hereby published, to be effective January 4, 1969, in this order revising $\$ 725.2$ of Title 29 , Code of Federal Regulations. As revised, § 725.2 reads as follows:

## § 725.2 Wage rates.

Wages at the rate of not less than $\$ 1.05$ per hour for the period ending January 31, 1969, $\$ 1.20$ per hour for the period beginning February 1, 1969, and ending January 31,1970 , and $\$ 1.40$ per hour thereafter shall be paid under section 6 (c) of the Fair Labor Standards Act of 1938 by every employer to each of his employees who in any workweek is engaged in any activity in the education industry in Puerto Rico, which was brought within the purview of section 6 of the Act by the Fair Labor Standards Amendments of 1966.
(Secs. 5, 6, 8, 52 stat. 1062, 1064, as amended; 29 U.S.C. 205, 206 and 208)

Signed at Washington, D.C., this 13th day of December 1968.

Clarence T. Lundquist,
Administrator, Wage and Hour and Public Contracts Divisions, U.S. Department of Labor.
[F.R. Doc. 68-15134; Filed, Dec. 18, 1968; 8:50 a.m.]

## Title 33-NAVIGATION AND NAVIGABLE WATERS

## Chapter 1-Coast Guard, Department of Transportation

SUBCHAPTER A-GENERAL [CGFR 68-134]

## PART 13-DECORATIONS, MEDALS,

 RIBBONS AND SIMILAR DEVICESSubpart 13.01-Gold and Silver Lifesaving Medals, Bars, and Miniatures
The purpose of the following regulations is to revise the regulations prescribing uniform requirements for the award of Lifesaving Medals to persons for rescuing or endeavoring to rescue other persons from drowning, shipwreck, or other peril of the water.

Since this revision of Coast Guard regulations governing the award of Lifesaving Medals relates to the procedures and practices of the Coast Guard relating to such awards, and are interpretative of statutes hereinafter cited, it is hereby found that compliance with the Administrative Procedure Act (respecting notice of proposed public rule making procedures thereon and effective date requirements) is unnecessary ( 5 U.S.C. $553)$.

By virtue of the authority vested in me as Commandant, U.S. Coast Guard, by section 632 of title 14, United States Code, and the delegation of the Secretary of Transportation in 49 CFR 1.4(a) (2) (f) and (g) (32 F.R. 5606), to promulgate regulations in accordance with section 14 of title 14, United States Code, cited with the regulations below, the following revision of 33 CFR Subpart 13.01 is prescribed and shall be effective on and after the date of publication of this document in the Federal Register.
Sec.
13.01-1 General.
13.01-5 Gold and Silver Lifesaving Medals. 13.01-10 Gold and silver bars.
13.01-15 Applications and recommendations.
13.01-20
13.01-25 Description of Gold Lifesaving Medal.
13.01-30 Description of Silver Lifesaving Medal.
13.01-35 Description of gold and silver bars. 13.01-40 Miniature medals and bars. 13.01-45 Replacement of medals and bars.

Authority: The provisions of this Subpart 13.01 issued under secs. $500,633,63$ Stat. 536, 545 , sec. 6 (b) (1) , 80 Stat. 938 ; 14 U.S.C. 500 ,

633; 49 U.S.C. $1655(\mathrm{~b})$; 49 CFR 1.4 (a) (2) and (f).
Subpart 13.01-Gold and Silver Lifesaving Medals, Bars, and Miniatures

## § 13.01-1 General.

Lifesaving Medals of gold and silver, designated as the Gold Lifesaving Medal and the Silver Lifesaving Medal, respectively, may be awarded by the Commandant, U.S. Coast Guard, hereinafter called the Commandant, under 14 U.S.C. 500 and the regulations in this subpart to persons rescuing or endeavoring to rescue any other person from drowning, shipwreck or other peril of the water.
§13.01-5 Gold and Silver Lifesaving Medals.
Lifesaving Medals may be awarded to any person who rescues or endeavors to rescue any other person from drowning, shipwreck or other peril of the water. In order for a person to be eligible for a Lifesaving Medal the rescue or attempted rescue must take place in waters within the United States or subject to the jurisdiction thereof, or if the rescue or attempted rescue takes place outside such waters, one or the other of the parties must be a citizen of the United States or from a vessel or aircraft owned or operated by citizens of the United States. If such rescue or attempted rescue is made at the risk of one's own life and evidences extreme and heroic daring, the medal shall be of gold. If such rescue or attempted rescue is not sufficiently distinguished to deserve the medal of gold but evidences the exercise of such signal exertion as to merit recognition, the medal shall be of silver. Lifesaving Medals may be awarded posthumously.

## § 13.01-10 Gold and silver bars.

No person shall receive more than one Gold Lifesaving Medal and one Silver Lifesaving Medal; but any person who has received or may hereafter receive a Gold or Silver Lifesaving Medal and who again performs an act which would entitle him to receive another medal of the same class, may be awarded, in lieu of a second medal of the same class, a gold or silver bar, as the case may be, to be worn with the medal already bestowed, and for every such additional act, an additional bar may be awarded. Gold and silver bars may be awarded posthumously.
§ 13.01-15 Applications and recommendations.
(a) All administrative details pertaining to the award of Lifesaving Medals are under the jurisdiction of the Commandant. Applications and recommendations for the award of a Lifesaving Medal may be filed by or in behalf of the person making or attempting a rescue under circumstances contemplated by the regulations in this subpart. Applications or recommendations for award of medals or requests for information pertaining thereto should be addressed to the Commander of the Coast

Guard District, hereinafter called the District Commander, where the incident took place. (See Part 3 of this subchapter for descriptions of Coast Guard Districts.) If the District is unknown, or if the incident took place outside any such district, applications and recommendations should be addressed to the Commandant, U.S. Coast Guard, Washington, D.C. 20591.
(b) Completed applications must include:

Satisfactory evidence of the services performed, in the form of affidavits, made by eyewitnesses of good repute and standing testifying of their own knowledge. The opinion of witnesses that the person for whom an award is sought imperiled his or her own life or made signal exertions is not sufficient but the affidavits must set forth in detail all facts and occurrences tending to show clearly in what manner and to what extent life was risked or signal exertions made so that the Commandant may judge for himself as to the degree of merit involved.
(2) The precise locality of the rescue or attempted rescue, whether from waters within the United States or subject to the jurisdiction thereof, or if the rescue or attempted rescue is outside such waters, whether one or the other of the parties is a citizen of the United States, or from a vessel or aircraft owned or operated by citizens of the United States, shall be stated. The date, time of day, nature of the weather, condition of the water, the names of all persons present when practicable, the names of all persons rendering assistance, and all pertinent circumstances and data, showing the precise nature and degree of risk involved, should be stated.
(c) Recommendations must include:
(1) As much of the information indicated in paragraph (b) (1) and (2) of this section which is available to the person making the recommendation. Upon receipt the Commandant or the cognizant District Commander shall cause such recommendation to be referred to an investigating officer who shall cause to be developed such additional information and evidence as is deemed necessary to either (i) terminate the investigation as containing insufficient justification to continue further, or (ii) to complete the application for submission to the Commandant for his final determination.
(d) Either the Commandant or the District Commander may, without any application or recommendation, of his own motion, order an informal investigation into such an incident under chapter II, of the Coast Guard Supplement to the Manual for Courts Martial (CG-241).
(e) Affidavits required by this subpart shall be made before an officer duly authorized to administer oaths and if taken before an officer without an official seal, his official character must be certified by the proper officer of a court of record, under the seal thereof, unless the oath be taken before an officer of the Armed Forces authorized to administer oaths under the provisions of Article 136, UCMJ (10 U.S.C. 936).
(f) Cognizant District Commanders shall act upon all applications and recommendations submitted to them from whatever source and shall:
(1) Forward completed applications with his recommendations to the Commandant for his consideration and determination; or,
(2) Inform the applicant or the person submitting the recommendation that he considers such application or recommendation incomplete together with the reasons therefor and that a period of 90 days will be allowed for additional evidence to be provided upon the expiration of which he will file the application or recommendation without further action.
(g) Whenever the cognizant District Commander shall deem such action necessary, he may require that the aforementioned affidavits shall be accompanied by a certificate showing the affiants to be credible persons, certified by some U.S. Officer, such as a judge or clerk of a U.S. Court, district attorney, collector of customs, postmaster, or offlcer of the Armed Forces. If the affiant is a citizen or resident of a foreign country and if the affidavit is executed in such foreign country, the credibility certificate may be executed by an officer of such foreign country, who occupies an official position similar to the aforementioned U.S. officers.
(h) The decision of the Commandant on all applications, recommendations, and investigations for the Gold or Silver Lifesaving Medals shall be final.

## § 13.01-20 Definitions.

As used in the statutes cited and in the regulations in this subpart:
(a) "Peril of the water" includes all perils on water caused by, or which are such by reason of, the sea or bodies of water such as lakes, bays, sounds and rivers; whenever, wherever and in whatever way human life is directly imperiled by the sea or a body of water is a peril of the water.
(b) A "shipwreck" includes an incident threatening persons whose lives are endangered by perils of the water as well as those who are, strictly speaking, no longer in danger from the sea or a body of water, that peril already having passed, but who are in imminent danger and in great need of succor or rescue, as e.g., being adrift in an open boat or stranded on some barren coast where, without succor or rescue, they would die of starvation, thirst, or exposure.
(c) "Waters within the United States or subject to the jurisdiction thereof," embrace all waters within the United States, and any other waters over which the United States exercises jurisdiction.
§ 13.01-25 Description of Gold Lifesaving Medal.
(a) The Gold Lifesaving Medal is 99.9 percent pure gold and consists of a pendant suspended by a swivel from the head of an eagle attached to a silk grogram ribbon 1 and $3 / 8$ ths inches in width, composed of a $3 / 16$ ths of an inch red stripe, a $1,32 \mathrm{~d}$ of an inch white stripe, a $15 / 16$ ths of an inch gold stripe, a $1 / 32 \mathrm{~d}$ of an inch white stripe, and a $3 / 16$ ths of an inch red
stripe. The pendant is 1 and $7 / 16$ ths inches in diameter and $3 / 32$ ds of an inch in thickness. There appear, on the obverse side of the pendant, three men in a boat in a heavy sea; one is rescuing a person clinging to a spar at the end of which is a block and line; another is standing, prepared to heave a line; a third is rowing; in the distance, to the left, is the wreck of a vessel; the whole is encircled by the words: "United States of America", in the upper half, and "Act of Congress, August 4, 1949", in the lower half. On the reverse side of the pendant there appears, in the center, a monument surmounted by an American eagle; the figure of a woman stands, to the left, holding in her left hand an oak wreath, and with her right hand, preparing to inscribe the name of the recipient on the monument; to the right are grouped a mast, a yard with a sail, an anchor, a sextant, and a laurel branch; the whole is encircled by the words: "In testimony of heroic deeds in saving life from the perils of the water."
(b) Engraving: Before presentation, the recipient's name shall be inscribed on the "monument", on the reverse of the medal.
§ 13.01-30 Description of Silver Lifesaving Medal.
(a) The Silver Lifesaving Medal is 99 percent pure silver and consists of a pendant suspended by a swivel from the head of an eagle attached to a silk grogram ribbon 1 and $3 / 8$ ths inches in width, composed of a $3 / 10$ ths of an inch blue stripe, a $1 / 32 \mathrm{~d}$ of an inch white stripe, a $15 / 6$ ths of an inch silver gray stripe, a $1 / 32 \mathrm{~d}$ of an inch white stripe, and a $3 / 16$ ths of an inch blue stripe. The pendant is 1 and $7 / 16$ ths inches in diameter and $3 / 32$ ds of an inch in thickness. On the obverse side of the pendant there appears the figure of a woman hovering over a man struggling in a heavy sea and extending to him one end of a long scarf; the whole is encircled by the words: "United States of America", in the upper half, and "Act of Congress, August 4, 1949", in the lower half. On the reverse there appears a laurel wreath encircled by the words: "In testimony of heroic deeds in saving life from the perils of the water."
(b) Engraving: Before presentation, the recipient's name shall be inscribed inside the laurel wreath, on the reverse of the medal.
§ 13.01-35 Description of gold and silver bars.
(a) The bar is plain and horizontal, composed of the same metal as the medal previously awarded recipient, and is 1 and $5 / 8$ ths inches long by $3 / 10$ ths of an inch wide with a flowing ribbon draped over the left end and passing in back and appearing beneath the bar. The part of the ribbon showing beneath the bar bears the inscription "Act of Congress, August $4,1949^{\prime \prime}$, in raised block letters. The bar and ribbon are in folds of a spray of laurel with the leaves showing above and beneath.
(b) Engraving: Before presentation, the recipient's name shall be inscribed on the obverse of the bar.
§ 13.01-40 Miniature medals and bars.
(a) Miniature Gold and Silver Lifesaving Medals and bars are replicas of the Lifesaving Medals and bars, to be worn on civilian clothing. Such miniatures are not furnished by the Government.
(b) Miniature medals and bars may be procured from sources authorized by the Commandant, U.S. Coast Guard, to furnish same to persons who produce original documentary evidence of having been awarded the medal or bar for which a miniature replica is desired.
§ 13.01-45 Replacement of medals and bars.
The Gold or Silver Lifesâving Medal or bar will be replaced at cost to the applicant upon submitting a statement in affidavit form of having been awarded a medal or bar and the circumstances involving loss of same. A Lifesaving Medal or bar, however, may be replaced without charge in the discretion of the Commandant, if said medal or bar has, under extremely unusual circumstances, been lost, destroyed or rendered unfit for use without fault or neglect on the part of the person to whom it was awarded.

Dated: December 13, 1968.

> W. J. Smith,
> Admiral, U.S. Coast Guard,
> Commandant.
[F.R. Doc. 68-15143; Filed, Dec. 18, 1968; 8:50 a.m.]

SUBCHAPTER -ANCHORAGES [CGFR 68-136]

## PART 110-ANCHORAGE REGULATIONS

Subpart B-Anchorage Grounds

## Port of New York

1. The Commander, 3d Coast Guard District, by letter of June 6, 1968, requested changes in Anchorage No. 27, Atlantic Ocean and Romer Shoal Anchorage, Port of New York. A public notice dated September 14, 1964, was issued by the Corps of Engineers, U.S. Army Engineer District, New York, describing the proposed changes. All interested parties were notified and requested to comment on the proposal. No objections were received. The proposed changes are considered necessary due to the completion of dredging and realignment of the new Sandy Hook Channel and the elimination of Gedney Channel.
2. The purpose of this document is to modify existing descriptions of Anchorage No. 27, Atlantic Ocean and Romer Shoal, Port of New York, as described in 33 CFR 110.155 (f) (2) (i) and (ii).
3. By virtue of the authority vested in me as Commandant, U.S. Coast Guard, by 14 U.S.C. 632 and the delegation in 49 CFR 1.4(a) (3) of the Secretary of Transportation under 49 U.S.C. $1655(\mathrm{~g})$ (1), 33 CFR Part 110 is amended as follows to become effective on and after
the date of publication of this document in the Federal Register:
4. Section 110.155 (f) (2) (i) and (ii) are amended to read as follows:

## § 110.155 Port of New York.

(f) Lower Bay. * * *
(2) Anchorage No, 27-(i) Atlantic Ocean. Beginning at Sandy Hook Light 15 to latitude $40^{\circ} 28^{\prime} 52^{\prime \prime}$, longitude $74^{\circ}$ $00^{\prime} 03^{\prime \prime}$; thence to latitude $40^{\circ} 28^{\prime} 41^{\prime \prime}$, longitude $73^{\circ} 58^{\prime} 54^{\prime \prime}$; thence to latitude $40^{\circ} 25^{\prime} 58^{\prime \prime}$, longitude $73^{\circ} 55^{\prime} 00^{\prime \prime}$; thence $180^{\circ}$ to latitude $40^{\circ} 23^{\prime} 46^{\prime \prime}$, thence $270^{\circ}$ toward Highland Light and Sandy Hook shore; thence following the easterly shoreline of Sandy Hook to the point of beginning.
(ii) Romer Shoal. Beginning at latitude $40^{\circ} 27^{\prime} 30^{\prime \prime}$, longitude $73^{\circ} 55^{\prime} 00^{\prime \prime}$; thence due north to latitude $40^{\circ} 29^{\prime} 05^{\prime \prime}$; thence to latitude $40^{\circ} 31^{\prime} 25^{\prime \prime}$, longitude $74^{\circ} 00^{\prime} 55^{\prime \prime}$; thence to latitude $40^{\circ} 32^{\prime} 11^{\prime \prime}$, longitude $74^{\circ} 01^{\prime} 41^{\prime \prime}$; thence to latitude $40^{\circ} 32^{\prime} 12^{\prime \prime}$, longitude $74^{\circ} 02^{\prime} 07^{\prime \prime}$; thence $180^{\circ}$ to latitude $40^{\circ} 31^{\prime} 27^{\prime \prime}$; thence to latitude $40^{\circ} 30^{\prime} 13^{\prime \prime}$, longitude $74^{\circ} 00^{\prime} 07^{\prime \prime}$; thence to the point of beginning.
(Sec. 7, 38 Stat. 1053, as amended, sec. 6(g) (1), Stat. 940 ; 33 U.S.C. 471 , 49 U.S.C. 1655 (g) (1); 49 CFR $1.4(\mathrm{a})(3)$ )

## Dated: December 10, 1968.

W. J. Smith,
Admiral, U.S. Coast Guard,
Commandant.
[F.R. Doc. 68-15144; Filed, Dec. 18, 1968; 8:51 a.m.]

## Title 50-WILDLIFE AND FISHERIES

Chapter I-Bureau of Sport Fisheries and Wildlife, Fish and Wildlife Service, Department of the Interior

## PART 28-PUBLIC ACCESS, USE, AND RECREATION

Iroquois National Wildlife Refuge, N.Y.

The following special regulation is issued and is effective on the date of publication in the Federal Register.
§ 28.28 Special regulations; recreation; for individual wildlife refuge areas.

## NEW YORK

## iroquois national wildlife refuge

Travel by motor vehicle or on foot is permitted on designated travel routes, for the purpose of nature study, photography, hiking, and sightseeing, during daylight hours. Pets are permitted if on a leash not over 10 feet in length. Fishing and hunting may be permitted on parts of the refuge under special regulations.

The refuge area, comprising 10,783 acres, is delineated on maps available at refuge headquarters and from the office of the Regional Director, Bureau of

Sport Fisheries and Wildlife, Post Office and Courthouse, Boston, Mass. 02109.

The provisions of this special regulation supplement the regulations which govern recreation on wildlife refuge areas generally, which are set forth in Title 50, Code of Federal Regulations, Part 28, and are effective through December 31, 1969.

Richard E. Griffith,<br>Regional Director, Bureau of<br>Sport Fisheries and Wildlife.

December 11, 1968.
[F.R. Doc. 68-15082; Filed, Dec. 18, 1968; 8:45 a.m.]

## PART 32-HUNTING

## Tishomingo National Wildife Refuge,

 Okla.The following special regulations are issued and are effective on date of publication in the Federal Register.
§ 32.22 Special regulations; upland game; for individual wildlife refuge areas.

## Окlaнома

tishomingo national wildlife refuge
The public hunting of squirrels, rabbits, coyotes, bobeats, raccoons, skunks, and opossums on the Tishomingo National Wildlife Refuge, Okla., is permitted only on the area designated by signs as open to hunting. This open area, comprising 3,170 acres, is delineated on maps available at refuge headquarters, Tishomingo, Okla., and from the Regional Director, Bureau of Sport Fisheries and Wildlife, Post Office Box 1306, Albuquerque, N. Mex. 87103. Hunting shall be in accordance with all applicable State regulations governing the hunting of squirrels, rabbits, coyotes, bobcats, raccoons, skunks, and opossums subject to the following special conditions:
(1) The open season for hunting squirrels on the refuge extends from May 15 through September 30, 1969, inclusive; and from daylight to 12 noon October 31, 1969, through January 1, 1970, inclusive, on Tuesdays, Thursdays, Saturdays, Sundays, and National holidays.
(2) The open season for hunting rabbits on the refuge extends from daylight to 12 noon October 31, 1969, through January 1, 1970, inclusive, on Tuesdays, Thursdays, Saturdays, Sundays, and National holidays.
(3) The open season for hunting coyotes and bobcats on the refuge extends from January 1 through September 30, 1969, inclusive; and from daylight to 12 noon October 31 through December 31, 1969, inclusive, on Tuesdays, Thursdays, Saturdays, Sundays, and National holidays.
(4) The open season for hunting raccoons, skunks, and opossums on the refuge extends from December 1 through December 31, 1969, inclusive, on Tuesdays, Thursdays, Saturdays, Sundays, and National holidays.
(5) Shotguns only may be used for hunting.
(6) A Federal permit is not required to enter the public hunting area, but hunters, upon entering and leaving, shall report at designated checking stations as may be established for the regulation of the hunting activity.

The provisions of this special regulation supplement the regulations which govern hunting on wildlife refuge areas generally, which are set forth in Title 50, Code of Federal Regulations, Part 32, and are effective through January 1, 1970.

Ernest S. Jemison,
Refuge Manager, Tishomingo National Wildlife Refuge, Tishomingo, Okla.
NOVEMBER 19, 1968.
[F.R. Doc. 68-15128; Filed, Dec. 18, 1968; 8:49 a.m.]

PART 33-SPORT FISHING
Pathfinder National Wildlife Refuge, Wyo.
The following special regulation is issued and is effective on date of publication in the Federal Register.
§ 33.5 Special regulations; sport fishing; for individual wildlife refuge areas.

Wyoming
Pathfinder national wildlife refuge
Sport fishing on the Pathfinder National Wildlife Refuge, Wyoming, is permitted from January 1 through December 31, 1969, inclusive, on all areas not designated by signs as closed to fishing. These open areas, comprising 16,807 acres, are delineated on maps available
at refuge headquarters, Walden, Colo. 80480, and from the Regional Director, Bureau of Sport Fisheries and Wildlife, Post Office Box 1306, Albuquerque, N. Mex. 87103. Sport fishing shall be in accordance with all applicable State regulations. The provisions of this special regulation supplement the regulations which govern fishing on wildlife refuge areas generally which are set forth in Title 50, Code of Federal Regulations, Part 33, and are effective through December 31, 1969.
V. Carrol Donner,

Refuge Manager, Pathfinder National Wildlife Refuge, Walden, Colo.
December 13, 1968.
[F.R. Doc. 68-15083; Filed, Dec. 18, 1968; 8:45 a.m.]

# Proposed Rule Making 

# DEPARTMENT OF THE TREASURY 

Internal Revenue Service

[ 26 CFR Part 1 ]
INCOME TAX
Change of Method of Accounting for Inventories and Other Items of Income and Expense; Notice of Hearing on Proposed Regulations
The proposed amendment to the Income Tax Regulations under section 446 of the Internal Revenue Code of 1954, relating to methods of accounting, appearing in this issue of the Federal Register, December 19, 1968.

A public hearing on the provisions of this proposed amendment to the regulations will be held on Wednesday, January 22, 1969, at 10 a.m. The hearing will be held in Room 3313, Internal Revenue Building, 1111 Constitution Avenue NW., Washington, D.C.

Persons who plan to attend the hearing are requested to notify the Commissioner of Internal Revenue, Attention: CC:LR:T, Washington, D.C. 20224, by January 17, 1969. Notification of intention to attend the hearing may be given by telephone, 202-964-3935.

Lester R. Uretz, Chief Counsel.

[seal]
By: James F. Dring, Director, Legislation and Regulations Division.
[F.R. Doc. 68-15233; Filed, Dec. 18, 1968; 10:40 a.m.]

## [ 26 CFR Part 1 ] INCOME TAX

## Change of Method of Accounting for Inventories and Other Items of Income and Expense

Notice is hereby given that the regulations set forth in tentative form below are proposed to be prescribed by the Commissioner of Internal Revenue, with the approval of the Secretary of the Treasury or his delegate. Prior to the final adoption of such regulations, consideration will be given to any comments or suggestions pertaining thereto which are submitted in writing, preferably in quintuplicate, to the Commissioner of Internal Revenue, Attention: CC:LR:T, Washington, D.C. 20224, within the period of 30 days from the date of publication of this notice in the Federal Register. Any written comments or suggestions not specifically designated as confidential in accordance with 26 CFR 601.601(b) may be inspected by any person upon written request.

A public hearing will be held to afford any person submitting written comments or suggestions an opportunity to comment orally on these proposed regulations. Notice of the time, place, date, and manner of notifying the Commissioner of an intention to attend the hearing is published simultaneously herewith. The proposed regulations are to be issued under the authority contained in section 7805 of the Internal Revenue Code of 1954 (68A Stat. 917; 26 U.S.C. 7805).
[seal] Sheldon S. Cohen,
Commissioner of Internal Revenue.

In order to provide rules for determining when a change of accounting method occurs and to clarify the requirements for obtaining the Commissioner's consent to certain changes, $\$$ § $1.446-1$ and 1.91000-1 of the Income Tax Regulations ( 26 CFR Part 1), relating, respectively, to general rules for method of accounting and extensions of time for making certain applications, are amended as follows:
Paragraph 1. Section 1.446-1 is amended by revising paragraphs (e) (2) and (3) to read as follows:
§ 1.446-1 General rule for methods of accounting.
(e) Requirement respecting the adoption or change of accounting method. * * *
(2) (i) Except as otherwise expressly provided in chapter 1 of the Code and the regulations thereunder, a taxpayer who changes the method of accounting employed in keeping his books shall, before computing his income upon such new method for purposes of taxation, secure the consent of the Commissioner. Consent must be secured whether or not a taxpayer regards the method from which he desires to change to be proper, and whether or not such method is permitted under the Internal Revenue Code or the regulations thereunder.
(ii) (a) A change in the method of accounting includes a change in the overall plan of accounting for gross income or deductions or a change in the treatment of any material item used in such overall plan. An item is material if it involves the proper time for the inclusion of the item in income or the taking of a deduction. Changes in method of accounting include a change from the cash receipts and disbursement method to an accrual method, or vice versa, a change involving the method or basis used in the valuation of inventories (see sections 471 and 472 and the regulations thereunder), a change from the cash or accrual method to a longterm contract method, or vice versa (see § 1.451-3), a change involving the adoption, use, or discontinuance of any other
specialized method of computing taxable income, such as the crop method, and a change where the Internal Revenue Code and regulations thereunder specifically require that the consent of the Commissioner must be obtained before adopting such a change.
(b) A change in method of accounting does not include correction of mathematical or posting errors, or errors in the computation of tax liability (such as errors in computation of the foreign tax credit, net operating loss, percentage depletion or investment credit). Also, a change in method of accounting does not include adjustment of any item of income or deduction which does not involve the proper time for the inclusion of the item of income or the taking of a deduction. For example, correction of items that are deducted as interest or salary, but which are in fact payments of dividends, and of items that are deducted as business expenses, but which are in fact personal expenses, are not changes in method of accounting. On the other hand, for example, a correction to require depreciation in lieu of a deduction for the cost of a depreciable asset treated as an expense in the year of purchase involves the question of the proper timing of an item, and is to be treated as a change in method of accounting. Similarly, an adjustment required because a payment was deducted as rent under an equipment lease-purchase agreement and that should properly be treated as a purchase of an asset is a change in method of accounting. A change in the method of accounting also does not include an adjustment with respect to the addition to a reserve for bad debts or an adjustment in the useful life of a depreciable asset. Although such adjustments may involve the question of the proper time for the taking of a deduction, such items are traditionally corrected by adjustments in current and future years. For the treatment of the adjustment of the addition to a bad debt reserve, see the regulations under section 166 of the Code; for the treatment of a change in the useful life of a depreciable asset, see the regulations under section 167 (b) of the Code. A change in method of accounting also does not include a mere change in the underlying facts.
(c) The fact that a particular accounting practice or procedure used by a taxpayer is not permitted under the Internal Revenue Code or the regulations thereunder shall not be taken into account in determining whether a change from such practice or procedure is a change of a taxpayer's method of accounting.
(d) A change in an overall plan or system of identifying or valuing items in inventory is a change in method of accounting. Also a change in the treatment
of any material item used in the overall plan for identifying or valuing items in inventory is a change in method of accounting.
(iii) A change in the method of accounting may be illustrated by the following examples:
Example (1): A taxpayer in the retail jewelry business reports income on the cash recelpts and disbursements method of accounting. A change from the cash receipts and disbursements method of accounting to the accrual method of accounting is a change in the overall plan of accounting and thus is a change in method of accounting. Example (2): A taxpayer in the wholesale dry goods business computes its income and expenses on the accrual method of accounting and files its Federal income tax returns on such basis except for real estate taxes which have been reported on the cash receipts and disbursements method of accounting. A change in the treatment of real estate taxes from the cash receipts and disbursements method to the accrual method is a change in method of accounting because such change is a change in the treatment of a material item within the overall accounting plan.
Example (3): A taxpayer in the wholesale dry goods business computes its income and expenses on the accrual method of accounting and files its Federal income tax returns on such basis. Vacation pay has been deducted in the year in which paid because the taxpayer did not have a completely vested vacation pay plan, and, therefore, the liability for payment did not accrue until that year. Subsequently, the taxpayer adopts a completely vested vacation pay plan that changes its year for accruing the deduction from the year in which payment is made to the year in which the liability to make the payment now arises. The change for the year of deduction of the vacation pay plan is not a change in method of accounting but results, instead, because the underlying facts (that is, the type of vacation pay plan) have changed.
Example (4): From 1968 through 1970, a taxpayer has fairly allocated indirect overhead costs to the value of inventories on a fixed percentage of direct costs. If indirect overhead costs rise in 1971, a change in the underiying facts has occurred. Accordingly, an increase in the percentage in 1971 to fairly reflect the increase in indirect overhead costs is not a change in method of accounting because the underlying facts have changed.
Example (5): From 1968 through 1970, a taxpayer has allocated indirect overhead costs to the value of inventories on a fixed percentage of direct costs. Because of a mistake in accumulating data, the fixed percentage of direct costs used from 1968 through 1970 did not fairly allocate indirect verhead costs to inventory. There is no rise in indirect overhead costs in 1971 and, accordingly, no change in the underlying facts. An increase in the percentage in 1971 to fairly reflect indirect overhead costs is a change in method of accounting resulting from a mistake of fact.
Example (6): A taxpayer values inventories at cost. A change in the basis for valuation of inventories from cost to the lower of cost or market is a change in an overall plan or system of valuing items in inventory. The change, therefore, is a change of method of accounting for inventories.
Example (7): A taxpayer in the manufacturing business has for many taxable years valued its inventories at cost. However, cost has been improperly computed since all overhead costs have been charged to current expense. The failure to allocate an appropriate portion of overhead to the value of inven-
tories is contrary to the requirement of the Internal Revenue Code and the regulations thereunder, A change requiring allocation of overhead is a change in method of accounting because it is a change in the treatment of a material item used in the overall plan for identifying or valuing items in inventory.

Example (8): A taxpayer has for many taxable years valued certain inventories at a constant nominal price per unit. Although this is not a proper method of valuing inventories under the Internal Revenue Code or the regulations thereunder, it is the treatment of a material item used in the overall plan for valuing items in inventory. A change in such practice or procedure is a change of method of accounting for inventories.

Example (9): A taxpayer values inventories on the basis of cost or market, whichever is lower. This value has been consistently and systematically written down for estimated obsolescence. Although such writedowns are improper treatments of a material item used within the framework of the overall plan, a change to eliminate the use of this practice is a change of method of accounting for inventories.

Example (10): A taxpayer has for all prior taxable years valued inventories without any method or plan. A change to reflect the proper valuation of the inventory is not a change of method of accounting for inventories.

Example (11): A taxpayer has always used a base stock system of accounting for inventories. Under this system a constant price is applied to an assumed constant normal quantity of goods in stock. The base stock system is an overall plan of accounting for inventories which is not recognized as a proper method of accounting for inventories under the regulations. A change in this practice is, nevertheless, a change of method of accounting for inventories.
(3) (i) Except as otherwise provided under the authority of subdivision (ii) of this subparagraph, in order to secure the Commissioner's consent to a change of a taxpayer's method of accounting, the taxpayer must file an application on Form 3115 with the Commissioner of Internal Revenue, Washington, D.C. 20224, within 90 days after the beginning of the taxable year in which it is desired to make the change. The taxpayer shall, to the extent applicable, furnish all information requested on such form, disclosing in detail all classes of items which would be treated differently under the new method of accounting and showing all amounts which would be duplicated or omitted as a result of the proposed change. The Commissioner may require such other information as may be necessary in order to determine whether the proposed change will be permitted. Permission to change a taxpayer's method of accounting will not be granted unless the taxpayer and the Commissioner agree to the terms, conditions, and adjustments under which the change will be effected. See section 481 and the regulations thereunder, relating to certain adjustments required by such changes, section 472 and the regulations thereunder, relating to changes to and from the last-in, first-out method of inventorying goods, and section 453 and the regulations thereunder, relating to certain adjustments required by a change from an accural method to the installment method.
(ii) Notwithstanding the provisions of subdivision (i) of this subparagraph, the Commissioner may prescribe adminis-
trative procedures, subject to such limitations, terms, and conditions as he deems necessary to obtain his consent, to permit taxpayers to change their accounting practices or methods to an acceptable treatment consistent with applicable regulations. Limitations, terms, and conditions, as may be prescribed in such administrative procedures by the Commissioner, shall include those necessary to prevent the omission or duplication of items includible in gross income or deductions.
Par. 2. Paragraph (b) (5) of $\S 1.9100$ 1 is revised to read as follows:
§ 1.9100-1 Extension of time for making certain elections.
(b) Exceptions.
(5) An application for permission to change an accounting method as described in \$ \$ 1.77-1 and 1.446-1 except as provided under the authority of paragraph (e) (3) (ii) of \& 1.446-1.
[F.R. Doc. 68-15139; Filed, Dec. 18, 1968; 8:50 a.m.]

## DEPARTMENT OF AGRICULTURE

Consumer and Marketing Service
[ 7 CFR Parts 1030, 1047, 1049]
[Docket Nos. AO-319-A14, AO-33-A39,
AO-361-A1]

## MILK IN INDIANAPOLIS, IND. (RENAMED "INDIANA'"), FORT WAYNE, IND., AND CHICAGO REGIONAL MARKETING AREAS

Decision on Proposed Amendments to Tentative Marketing Agreements and to Orders

## Correction

In F.R. Doc. 68-14732 appearing at page 18282 in the issue of Tuesday, December 10,1968 , the penultimate paragraph in the third column on page 18286 should be deleted in its entirety.

## [ 7 CFR Parts 1061, 1068 ] <br> [Docket Nos. AO178-A23, AO367]

## MILK IN MINNEAPOLIS-ST. PAUL, MINN., AND SOUTHEASTERN MINNESOTA-NORTHERN IOWA MARKETING AREAS

Notice of Extension of Time for Filing Exceptions to Recommended Decisions on Proposed Amendments to Tentative Marketing Agreements and Orders
Pursuant to the provisions of the Agriculture Marketing Agreement Act of 1937, as amended (7 U.S.C. 601 et seq.), and the applicable rules of practice and procedure governing the formulation of marketing agreements and marketing orders ( 7 CFR Part 900 ), notice is hereby given that the time for filing exceptions
to the recommended decisions with respect to the proposed amendments to the tentative marketing agreement and order regulating the handling of milk in the Minneapolis-St. Paul, Minn., marketing area (33 F.R. 18181), and the tentative marketing agreement and order regulating the handling of milk in the Southeastern Minnesota-Northern Iowa marketing area (33 F.R. 18158), which were issued December 3, 1968, is hereby extended to January 20, 1969.

Signed at Washington, D.C., on December 16, 1968.

JOHN C. BlUM,<br>Deputy Administrator, Regulatory Programs.

[F.R. Doc. 68-15150; Filed, Dec. 18, 1968; 8:51 a.m.]

# DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION 

Federal Aviation Administration [14 CFR Part 71]
[Airspace Docket No. 68-SW-78]

## FEDERAL AIRWAYS

## Proposed Alteration

The Federal Aviation Administration is considering amendments to Part 71 of the Federal Aviation Regulations that would alter the descriptions of VOR Federal airways Nos. 12, 190, and 291 by deleting reference to the Grants, N. Mex., VOR. These amendments are necessary as the Grants VOR has been considered for decommissioning in accordance with non-rule-making procedures and published as NR $68-\mathrm{ABQ} 7 \mathrm{NR}$. In conjunction with the above proposals, it would be necessary to alter the floor of a segment of VOR Federal airway No. 264 east of St. Johns, N. Mex., to correspond to the floor proposed for the St. Johns segment of V-190 south alternate.

Interested persons may participate in the proposed rule making by submitting such written data, views, or arguments as they may desire. Communications should identify the airspace docket number and be submitted in triplicate to the Director, Southwest Region, Attention: Chief, Air Traffic Division, Federal Aviation Administration, Post Office Box 1689, Fort Worth, Tex. 76101. All communications received within 30 days after publication of this notice in the Federal Register will be considered before action is taken on the proposed amendments. The proposals contained in this notice may be changed in the light of comments received.

An official docket will be available for examination by interested persons at the Federal Aviation Administration, Office of the General Counsel, Attention: Rules Docket, 800 Independence Avenue SW., Washington, D.C. 20590. An informal docket also will be available for examinat : in at the office of the Regional Air Traffic Division Chief.

If these actions are taken, $\mathrm{V}-12, \mathrm{~V}-190$, $\nabla-291$, and $\mathrm{V}-264$ would be altered as follows:

1. Redesignate $\mathrm{V}-12$ from Zuni, N. Mex., 1,200 feet AGL to Albuquerque, N . Mex., including a 1,200 feet AGL south alternate via the INT of Zuni $104^{\circ} \mathrm{T}$ $\left(090^{\circ} \mathrm{M}\right.$ ) and Albuquerque $253^{\circ} \mathrm{T}\left(240^{\circ}\right.$ M) adials.
2. Redesignate V-190 from St. Johns, N. Mex., 1,200 feet AGL to Albuquerque, including a 1,200 feet AGL south alternate via the INT of St. Johns $092^{\circ} \mathrm{T}$ ( $078^{\circ} \mathrm{M}$ ) and Albuquerque $238^{\circ} \mathrm{T}\left(225^{\circ}\right.$ M) radials.
3. Redesignate V-291 from Gallup, N. Mex., 1,200 feet AGL to Albuquerque including a 1,200 feet AGL north alterrate via the INT of Gallup $089^{\circ} \mathrm{T}$ ( $075^{\circ}$ $\mathrm{M})$ and Albuquerque $303^{\circ} \mathrm{T}\left(290^{\circ} \mathrm{M}\right)$ radials.
4. Alter the floor of V-264 from St. Johns to Socorro, N. Mex., to read, from St. Johns, 55 miles, 1,200 feet AGL, 25 miles, 11,500 feet MSL, 1,200 feet AGL Socorro.

These amendments are proposed under the suthority of section 307(a) of the Federal Aviation Act of 1958 (49 U.S.C. 1348) and section 6(c) of the Department of Transportation Act (49 U.S.C. 1655 (c) ).

Issued in Washington, D.C., on December 11, 1968.
H. B. Helstrom,

Chief, Airspace and Air Traffic Rules Division.
[F.R. Doc. 68-15108; Filed, Dec. 18, 1968; 8:47 a.m.]

## [ 14 CFR Part 71 ]

[Airspace Docket No. 68-CE-109]

## TRANSITION AREA

## Proposed Alteration

The Federal Aviation Administration is considering amending Part 71 of the Federal Aviation Regulations so as to alter the transition area at Ashland, Mo.

Interested persons may participate in the proposed rule making by submitting such written data, views, or arguments as they may desire. Communications should be submitted in triplicate to the Director, Central Region, Attention: Chief, Air Traffic Division, Federal Aviation Administration, Federal Building, 601 East 12th Street, Kansas City, Mo. 64106. All communications received within 45 days after publication of this notice in the Federal Register will be considered before action is taken on the proposed amendment. No public hearing is contemplated at this time, but arrangements for informal conferences with Federal Aviation Administration officials may be made by contacting the Regional Air Traffic Division Chief.

Any data, views, or arguments presented during such conferences must also be submitted in writing in accordance with this notice in order to become proposal contained in this notice may be changed in the light of comments received.

A public docket will be available for examination by interested persons in the Office of the Regional Counsel, F'ederal Aviation Administration, Federal Building, 601 East 12th Street, Kansas City, Mo. 64106.
Since designation of the Ashland, MO, transition area, two new special instrument approach procedures for the use of Ozark Airline have been developed for the Columbia, Mo., Regional Airport utilizing a privately owned radio beacon located on the airport as a navigational aid. These new approach procedures are not adequately protected by presently existing designated controlled airspace. Consequently, it is necessary to alter the Ashland, Mo., transition area to provide controlled airspace for the protection of aircraft executing these new approach procedures.
In consideration of the foregoing, the Federal Aviation Administration proposes to amend Part 71 of the Federal Aviation Regulations as hereinafter set forth:
In 871.181 (33 F.R. 2137), the following transition area is amended to read:

## Ashland, Mo.

That airspace extending upward from 700 feet above the surface within a 6 -mile radius of the Columbia Regional Airport (latitude $38^{\circ} 48^{\prime} 55^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{N}$., longitude $92^{\circ} 13^{\prime} 05^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{W}$.) ; within 2 miles each side of the Hallsville, Mo., VORTAC $192^{\circ}$ radial extending from the $6-$ mile radius area to 10 miles south of the VORTAC; within 2 miles each side of the $031^{\circ}$ bearing from Columbia Regional Airport, extending from the 6 -mile radius area to 10 miles northeast of the airport; and within 2 miles each side of the $193^{\circ}$ bearing from Columbia Regional Airport, extending from the 6 -mile radius area to 12 miles south of the airport, excluding the portions which overlie the Columbia, Mo., and Jefferson City, Mo., 700-foot floor transition areas.

This amendment is proposed under the authority of section 307 (a) of the Federal Aviation Act of 1958 (49 U.S.C. 1348), and of section 6 (c) of the Department of Transportation Act (49 U.S.C. 1655 (c)).

Issued at Kansas City, Mo., on December 4, 1968.

Edward C. Marsh,
Director, Central Region.
[F.R. Doc. 68-15109; Filed, Dec. 18, 1968; 8:48 a.m.]

## [14 CFR Part 71 ] <br> [Airspace Docket No. 68-CE-115] <br> TRANSITION AREA

## Proposed Alteration

The Federal Aviation Administration is considering amending Part 71 of the Federal Aviation Regulations so as to alter the transition area at Sterling, III. Interested persons may participate in the proposed rule making by submitting such written data, views, or arguments as they may desire. Communications should be submitted in triplicate to the Director, Central Region, Attention: Chief, Air Traffic Division, Federal Aviation Administration, Federal Building, 601 East 12th Street, Kansas City, Mo. 64106. All communications received
within 45 days after publication of this notice in the Federal Register will be considered before action is taken on the proposed amendment. No public hearing is contemplated at this time, but arrangements for informal conferences with Federal Aviation Administration officials may be made by contacting the Regional Air Traffic Division Chief.
Any data, views, or arguments presented during such conferences must also be submitted in writing in accordance with this notice in order to become part of the record for consideration. The proposal contained in this notice may be changed in the light of comments received.
A public docket will be available for examination by interested persons in the Office of the Regional Counsel, Federal Aviation Administration, Federal Building, 601 East 12th Street, Kansas City, Mo. 64106.
Since designation of the Sterling, Ill., transition area, a new special instrument approach procedure for the use of Ozark Airlines has been authorized for Whiteside County Airport, Sterling, IIl., an existing special instrument aproach procedure for the use of this airline at this airport has been modified, and another cancelled. In addition, the criteria for the designation of transition areas has been changed. Accordingly, it is necessary to alter the Sterling transition area to protect aircraft executing the new and modified special use instrument approach procedures, to delete that airspace now protecting the revoked special use approach procedure and to comply with the new transition area criteria:
In consideration of the foregoing, the Federal Aviation Administration proposes to amend Part 71 of the Federal Aviation Regulations as hereinafter set forth:

In $\S 71.181$ (33 F.R. 2137), the following bransition area is amended to read: Sterling, Ill.
That airspace extending upward from 700 feet above the surface within a 7 -mile radius of Whiteside County Airport (latitude $41^{\circ}$ $44^{\prime} 35^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{N}$., longitude $89^{\circ} 40^{\prime} 30^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{W}$.) ; within 2 miles each side of the $074^{\circ}$ bearing from Whiteside County Airport, extending from the 7 -mile radius area to 14 miles east of the airport; and within 2 miles each side of the $232^{\circ}$ bearing from Whiteside County Airport, extending from the 7 -mile radius area to 8 miles southwest of the airport, excluding the portion which overlies the Dixon, In1., transition area.

This amendment is proposed under the authority of section 307 (a) of the Federal Aviation Act of 1958 ( 49 U.S.C. 1348), and of section 6(c) of the Department of Transportation Act ( 49 U.S.C. 1655 (c)) .

Issued at Kansas City, Mo., on December 4, 1968 .

Edward C. Marsh, Director, Central Region.
[F.R. Doc. 68-15110; Flled, Dec. 18, 1968; 8:48 a.m.]

## [ 14 CFR Part 71 ]

[Airspace Dorket No. 68-SO-99]

## TRANSITION AREA

Proposed Alteration
The Federal Aviation Administration is considering an amendment to Part 71 of the Federal Aviation Regulations that would alter the Alexander City, Ala., transition area.
Interested persons may submit such written data, views, or arguments as they may desire. Communications should be submitted in triplicate to the Area Manager, Memphis Area Office, Attention: Chief, Air Traffic Branch, Federal Aviation Administration, Post Office Box 18097, Memphis, Tenn. 38118. All communications received within 30 days after publication of this notice in the Federal Register will be considered before action is taken on the proposed amendment. No hearing is contemplated at this time, but arrangements for informal conferences with Federal Aviation Administration officials may be made by contacting the Chief, Air Traffic Branch. Any data, views, or arguments presented during such conferences must also be submitted in writing in accordance with this notice in order to become part of the record for consideration. The proposal contained in this notice may be changed in the light of comments received.
The oficial docket will be available for examination by interested persons at the Southern Regional Office, Federal Aviation Administration, Room 724, 3400 Whipple Street, East Point, Ga.
The Alexander City transition area described in § 71.181 (33 F.R. 2137) would be altered by deleting "* * * extending from the 5 -mile radius area to 8 miles south of the RBN" and substituting "* * * extending from the 5 -mile radius area to 11 miles south of the RBN" therefor.
The proposed alteration of AL-5480NDB(ADF) RWY 36 instrument approach procedure to permit straight-in approaches from the Central Intersection necessitates an addition of 3 miles to the extension predicated on the Alexander City NDB $171^{\circ}$ bearing to provide the required controlled airspace protection.

This amendment is proposed under the authority of section 307 (a) of the Federal Aviation Act of 1958 (49 U.S.C. 1348(a)) and of section 6(c) of the Department of Transportation Act (49 U.S.C. 1655 (c)).

Issued in East Point, Ga., on December $12,1968$.

## James G. Rogers,

 Director, Southern Region.[F.R. Doc. 68-15111; FHled, Dec. 18, 1968; 8:48 a.m.]

## [ 14 CFR Part 71 ]

## [Airspace Docket No. 68-WE-74]

## ADDITIONAL CONTROL AREA

## Proposed Designation

The Federal Aviation Administration is considering an amendment to Part 71 of the Federal Aviation Regulations that would designate an additional control area from the Provo, Utah, VORTAC 1,200 feet AGL to the Price, Utah, Radio Beacon (lat. $39^{\circ} 36^{\prime} 44^{\prime \prime}$ N., long. $110^{\circ} 44^{\prime} 59^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{W}$.). This proposal would provide controlled airspace within which air traffic control service could be afforded to Instrument Flight Rule operations between Provo and Price.

Interested persons may participate in the proposed rule making by submitting such written data, views, or arguments as they may desire. Communications should identify the airspace docket number and be submitted in triplicate to the Director, Southwest Region, Attention: Chief, Air Traffic Division, Federal Aviation Administration, Post Office Box 1689, Fort Worth, Tex. 76101. All communications received within 30 days after publication of this notice in the Federal Register will be considered before action is taken on the proposed amendment. The proposal contained in this notice may be changed in the light of comments received.

An official docket will be available for examination by interested persons at the Federal Aviation Administration, Office of the General Counsel, Attention: Rules Docket, 800 Independence Avenue, SW., Washington, D.C. 20590. An informal docket also will be available for examination at the office of the Regional Air Traffic Division Chief.

This amendment is proposed under the authority of section 307 (a) of the Federal Aviation Act of 1958 ( 49 U.S.C. 1348) and section 6(c) of the Department of Transportation Act (49 U.S.C. 1655 (c) ).

Issued in Washington, D.C., on December 11, 1968.

## h. B. Helstrom, <br> Chief, Airspace and Air

 Traffic Rules Division.[F.R. Doc. 68-15112; Filed, Dec. 18, 1968; 8:48 a.m.]

## [ 14 CFR Part 71 ]

[Airspace Docket No. 68-CE-89]

## CONTROL ZONE

## Proposed Designation

The Federal Aviation Administration is considering amending Part 71 of the Federal Aviation Regulations so as to designate a control zone at Chicago, Ill.

Interested persons may participate in the proposed rule making by submitting such written data, views, or arguments as they may desire. Communications should be submitted in triplicate to the Director,

Central Region, Attention: Chief, Air Traffic Division, Federal Aviation Administration, Federal Building, 601 East 12th Street, Kansas City, Mo. 64106. All communications received within 45 days after publication of this notice in the Federal Register will be considered before action is taken on the proposed amendment. No public hearing is contemplated at this time, but arrangements for informal conferences with Federal Aviation Administration officials may be made by contacting the Regional Air Traffic Division Chief.

Any data, views, or arguments presented during such conferences must also be submitted in writing in accordance with this notice in order to become part of the record for consideration. The proposal contained in this notice may be changed in the light of comments received.
A public docket will be available for examination by interested persons in the Office of the Regional Counsel, Federal Aviation Administration, Federal Building, 601 East 12th Street, Kansas City, Mo. 64106.

The Pal-Waukee Airport, Chicago, Ill., is presently located within the Glenview, III., control zone. Glenview NAS weather reports govern operations at Pal-Waukee Airport. Since these reports are made 4 miles southeast of Pal-Waukee Airport, considerable differences in actual weather can exist at the two locations. Consequently, arrangements are being made so that Air Traffic Control personnel at Pal-Waukee Airport can take their own weather observations. In order that this be accomplished, a Chicago, Ill. (PalWaukee Airport) control zone must be designated and the Chicago, III. (O'Hare International Airport), and Glenview, III., control zones must be altered. In addition, a new public use instrument approach procedure has been developed for Haley Army Air Field, Fort Sheridan, III. Since this approach procedure is not presently protected by designated controlled airspace, the Glenview, In., control zone must be further altered to protect aircraft executing the new approach procedure.
In consideration of the foregoing, the Federal Aviation Administration proposes to amend Part 71 of the Federal Aviation Regulations as hereinafter set forth:
(1) In § 71.171 (33 F.R. 2058), the following control zone is added:

Chicago, Lll. (Pal-Waukee Atrport)
Within a 3 -mile radius of Pal-Waukee Airport (latitude $42^{\circ} 07^{\prime} 00^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{N}$., longitude $87^{\circ} 54^{\prime}$ $00^{\circ} \mathrm{W}$.) ; and within 2 miles each side of the Northbrook, III., VOR $163^{\circ}$ radial, extending from the 3 -mile radius zone to 1 mile south of the VOR, excluding the area east of longitude $87^{\circ} 53^{\prime} 00^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{W}$. This control zone is effective during the specific dates and times established in advance by a Notice to Airmen. The effective date and time will thereafter be continuously published in the Airman's Information Manual.
(2) In § 71.171 (33 F.R. 2058), the following control zones are amended to read:

## Chicago, Ill. (O'Hare International AIRPORT)

Within a 5 -mile radius of O'Hare International Airport (latitude $41^{\circ} 59^{\prime} 10^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{N}$., longitude $87^{\circ} 54^{\prime} 30^{\prime \prime}$ W.) ; within 2 miles each side of the O'Hare International Airport runways 14 R and 14 L ILS localizer courses, extending from the 5 -mile radius zone to 7 miles northwest of the airport; and within 2 miles each side of the O'Hare International Airport runways 32 R and 32L ILS localizer courses, extending from the 5 -mile radius zone to 7 miles southeast of the airport.

## Glenview, Ill.

Within a 5 -mile radius of NAS Glenview (latitude $42^{\circ} 05^{\prime} 30^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{N}$., longitude $87^{\circ} 49^{\prime} 20^{\prime \prime}$ W.) ; within a 3 -mile radius of Pal-Waukee Alrport (latitude $42^{\circ} 07^{\prime} 00^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{N}$., longitude $87^{\circ}$ $54^{\prime} 00^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{N}$. ) ; within 2 miles each side of the Northbrook, II1, VOR $131^{\circ}$ and $163^{\circ}$ radials, extending from the 3 - and 5 -mile radii to 1 mile south and southeast of the VOR; within 2 miles each side of the Northbrook VOR $071^{\circ}$ radial, extending from 1 mile east to 6 miles east of the VOR; within 2 miles each side of the Northbrook VOR $070^{\circ}$ radial, extending from 6 to 11 miles east of the VOR; within 2 miles each side of the $062^{\circ}$ bearing from the Haley AAF, Fort Sheridan, Ill., RBN, extending from the RBN to 7 miles northeast of the RBN; within 2 miles each side of the $002^{\circ}$ bearing from NAS Glenview RBN, extending from the 5 -mile radius zone to 12 miles north of the RBN; and within 2 miles each side of the NAS Glenview TACAN $005^{\circ}$ radial, extending from the 5 -mile radius zone to 8 miles north of the TACAN excluding the area that overlies the Chicago, Ill. (O'Hare International Airport), control zone and excluding the Chicago, Ill. (Pal-Waukee Airport), control zone during the times it is in effect.

These amendments are proposed under the authority of section 307(a) of the Federal Aviation Act of 1958 (49 U.S.C 1348), and of section 6(c) of the Department of Transportation Act (49 U.S.C. 1655 (c))
Issued at Kansas City, Mo, on November 27, 1968.

> Edward C. Marsh,
> Director, Central Region.

[F.R. Doc. 68-15113; Filed, Dec. 18, 1968; 8:48 a.m.]

## [ 14 CFR Part 71]

[Airspace Docket No. 68-CE-105] TRANSITION AREA

## Proposed Designation

The Federal Aviation Administration is considering amending Part 71 of the Federal Aviation Regulations so as to designate a transition area at West Bend, Wis.
Interested persons may participate in the proposed rule making by submitting such written data, views, or arguments as they may desire. Communications should be submitted in triplicate to the Director, Central Region, Attention: Chief, Air Traffic Division, Federal Aviation Administration, Federal Building, 601 East 12th Street, Kansas City, Mo. 64106. All communications received within 45 days after publication of this notice in the Federal Register will be considered before action is taken on the
proposed amendment. No public hearin is contemplated at this time, but arrangements for informal conferences with Federal Aviation Administration officials may be made by contacting the Regional Air Traffic Division Chief

Any data, views, or arguments pre sented during such conferences must also be submitted in writing in accordance with this notice in order to become part of the record for consideration. The proposal contained in this notice may be changed in the light of comments received.

A public docket will be available for examination by interested persons in the Office of the Regional Counsel, Federal Aviation Administration, Federal Building, 601 East 12 th Street, Kansas City, Mo. 64106.

A new public use instrument approach procedure has been developed for the West Bend, Wis., Municipal Airport utilizing a city-owned radio beacon located on the airport as a navigational aid. Consequently, it is necessary to provide controlled airspace protection for aircraft executing this new approach procedure by designating a 700 -foot floor transition area at West Bend, Wis. The new procedure will become effective concurrently with the designation of the transition area.

In consideration of the foregoing, the Federal Aviation Administration proposes to amend Part 71 of the Federal Aviation Regulations as hereinafter set forth:

In § 71.181 (33 F.R. 2137), the following transition area is added:

## West Bend, Wis.

That airspace extending upward from 700 feet above the surface within a 7 -mile radius of West Bend Municipal Airport (latitude $43^{\circ} 25^{\prime} 20^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{N}$. ., longitude $88^{\circ} 07^{\prime} 45^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{W}$.) ; and within 2 miles each side of the $136^{\circ}$ bearing from Great Bend Municipal Airport, extending from the 7 -mile radius area to 8 miles southeast of the airport.

This amendment is proposed under the authority of section 307 (a) of the Federal Aviation Act of 1958 ( 49 U.S.C. 1348), and of section 6(c) of the Department of Transportation (49 U.S.C. 1655 (c)).

Issued at Kansas City, Mo., on November 27, 1968.

Edward C. Marsh,
Director, Central Region.
[F.R. Doc. 68-15114; Filed, Dec. 18, 1968; 8:48 a.m.]

## [ 14 CFR Part 71 ]

[Airspace Docket No. 68-CE-107]

## TRANSITION AREA

## Proposed Designation

The Federal Aviation Administration is considering amending Part 71 of the Federal Aviation Regulations so as to designate a transition area at Monroe, Mich.

Interested persons may participate in the proposed rule making by submitting
such written data, views, or arguments as they may desire. Communications should be submitted in triplicate to the Director, Central Region, Attention: Chief, Air Traffic Division, Federal Aviation Administration, Federal Building, 601 East 12th Street, Kansas City, Mo. 64106. All communications received within 45 days after publication of this notice in the Federal Register will be considered before action is taken on the proposed amendment. No public hearing is contemplated at this time, but arrangements for informal conferences with Federal Aviation Administration officials may be made by contacting the Regional Air Traffic Division Chief.
Any data, views, or arguments presented during such conferences must also be submitted in writing in accordance with this notice in order to become part of the record for consideration. The proposal contained in this notice may be changed in the light of comments received.
A public docket will be available for examination by interested persons in the Office of the Regional Counsel, Federal Aviation Administration, Federal Building, 601 East 12th Street, Kansas City, Mo. 64106.
A new public use instrument approach procedure has been developed for Custer Airport, Monroe, Mich., utilizing the Carleton, Mich., VORTAC as a navigational aid. Consequently, it is necessary to provide controlled airspace protection by designating a 700-foot floor transition area at Monroe, Mich. The new procedure will become effective concurrently with the designation of the transition area.
In consideration of the foregoing, the Federal Aviation Administration proposes to amend Part 71 of the Federal Aviation Regulations as hereinafter set forth:
In § 71.181 (33 F.R. 2137), the following transition area is added:

## Monroe, Mich.

That airspace extending upward from 700 feet above the surface within a 5 -mile radius of Custer Airport (latitude $41^{\circ} 56^{\prime} 10^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{N}$., $88^{\circ} 26^{\prime} 15^{\prime \prime}$ W.) ; and within 2 miles each side of the Carleton, Mich., VORTAC $171^{\circ}$ radial, extending from the 5 -mile radius area to the VORTAC excluding the portion which overlies the Detroit, Mich. 700-foot floor transition area.
This amendment is proposed under the authority of section 307 (a) of the Federal Aviation Act of 1958 (49 U.S.C. 1348), and of section $6(\mathrm{c})$ of the Department of Transportation Act ( 49 U.S.C. 1655 (c)).

Issued at Kansas, City, Mo., on November 27, 1968.

Edward C. Marsh,
Director, Central Region.
[F.R. Doc. 68-15115; Filed, Dec. 18, 1968; 8:48 a.m.]

## [ 14 CFR Part 71 ]

[Airspace Docket No. 68-CE-108]

## TRANSITION AREA

## Proposed Designation

The Federal Aviation Administration is considering amending Part 71 of the Federal Aviation Regulations so as to designate a transition area at McCordsville, Ind.
Interested persons may participate in the proposed rule making by submitting such written data, views, or arguments as they may desire. Communications should be submitted in triplicate to the Director, Central Region, Attention: Chief, Air Traffic Division, Federal Aviation Administration, Federal Building, 601 East 12th Street, Kansas City, Mo. 64106. All communications received within 45 days after publication of this notice in the Federal Register will be considered before action is taken on the proposed amendment. No public hearing is contemplated at this time, but arrangements for informal conferences with Federal Aviation Administration officials may be made by contacting the Regional Air Traffic Division Chief.

Any data, views, or arguments presented during such conferences must also be submitted in writing in accordance with this notice in order to become part of the record for consideration. The proposal contained in this notice may be changed in the light of comments received.

A public docket will be available for examination by interested persons in the Office of the Regional Counsel, Federal Aviation Administration, Federal Building, 601 East 12th Street, Kansas City, Mo. 64106.

A new public use instrument approach procedure has been developed for the Indianapolis Brookside Airport at McCordsville, Ind., utilizing the Shelbyville, Ind., VOR and the Indianapolis, Ind., VORTAC as navigational aids. Consequently, it is necessary to provide controlled airspace for the protection of aircraft executing this new approach procedure by designating a 700-foot floor transition area at McCordsville, Ind. This new procedure will be made effective concurrently with the designation of the transition area.

In consideration of the foregoing, the Federal Aviation Administration proposes to amend Part '7i of the Federal Aviation Regulations as hereinafter set forth:

In § 71.181 (33 F.R. 2137), the following transition area is added:

## McCordsville, Ind.

That airspace extending upward from 700 feet above the surface within a 5 -mile radius of Indianapolis Brookside Airport (latitude $39^{\circ} 54^{\prime} 20^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{N}$., longitude $85^{\circ} 55^{\prime} 30^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{W}$.) ; and within 2 miles each side of the Shelbyville, Ind., VOR $342^{\circ}$ radial, extending from the 5 -mile radius area to 12 miles north of the VOR.

This amendment is proposed under the authority of section 307 (a) of the Federal Aviation Act of 1958 (49 U.S.C. 1348), and of section 6 (c) of the Department of Transportation Act (49 U.S.C. 1655 (c)).

Issued at Kansas City, Mo., on November 27, 1968.

Edward C. Marsh,
Director, Central Region.
[F.R. Doc. 68-15116; Filed, Dec. 18, 1968; 8:48 a.m.]

## [ 14 CFR Part 71 ]

[Airspace Docket No. 68-CE-75]

## TRANSITION AREA

## Supplemental Notice of Proposed Designation

In a notice of proposed rule making published in the Federal Register on September 28, 1968 (33 F.R. 14602, 14603, F.R. Doc. 68-11803), the Federal Aviation Administration proposed to designate a transition area at Poplar Bluff, Mo.

Subsequent to publication of the notice, the Airport Manager at Earl Fields Memorial Airport, Poplar Bluff, Mo., requested that the instrument approach procedure be modified by changing the approach radial from north to south. The Federal Aviation Administration concurs in the recommended modification. Since the modified instrument approach procedure is not adequately protected by the designation of the transition area as presently proposed, it is necessary to issue a supplemental notice of proposed rule making to provide this protection.

Interested persons may participate in the proposed rule making by submitting such written data, views, or arguments as they may desire. Communications should be submitted in triplicate to the Director, Central Region, Attention: Chief, Air Traffic Division, Federal Aviation Administration, Federal Building, 601 East 12th Street, Kansas City, Mo. 64106. All communications received within 30 days after publication of this notice in the Federal Register will be considered before action is taken on the proposed amendment. No public hearing is contemplated at this time, but arrangements for informal conferences with Federal Aviation Administration officials may be made by contacting the Regional Air Traffic Division Chief. Any data, views, or arguments presented during such conferences müst also be submitted in writing in accordance with this supplemental notice in order to become part of the record for consideration. The proposal contained in this supplemental notice may be changed in the light of comments received.

A public docket will be availabic for examination by interested persons in the Office of the Regional Counsel, Federal Aviation Administration, Federal Building, 601 East 12th Street, Kansas City, Mo. 64106.

## PROPOSED RULE MAKING

In consideration of the foregoing, the Federal Aviation Administration proposes to amend Part 71 of the Federal Aviation Regulations by designating the Poplar Bluff, Mo., transition area as hereinafter set forth:

In § 71.181 ( 33 F.R. 2137), the following transition area is added:

Poplar Bluff, Mo.
That airspace extending upward from 700 feet above the surface within a 6 -mile radius of Earl Fields Memorial Airport (latitude $36^{\circ} 46^{\prime} 20^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{N} .$, longitude $90^{\circ} 19^{\prime} 20^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{W}$. ) ; and within 2 miles each side of the $187^{\circ}$ bearing from Earl Fields Memorial Airport, extending from the 6 -mile radius area to 8 miles south of the airport; and that airspace extending upward from 1,200 feet above the surface within 5 miles west and 8 miles east of the $187^{\circ}$ bearing from Earl Fields Memorial Airport, extending from the airport to 12 miles south of the airport; and within 5 miles each side of the $075^{\circ}$ bearing from Earl Fields Memorial Airport, extending from the airport to $\mathrm{V}-9$.

This amendment is proposed under the authority of section 307 (a) of the Federal Aviation Act of 1958 (49 U.S.C. 1348), and of section 6(c) of the Department of Transportation Act (49 U.S.C. 1655 (c)).

Issued at Kansas City, Mo., on December 4, 1968.

Edward C. Marsh, Director, Central Region.
[F.R. Doc. 68-15117; Filed, Dec. 18, 1968; 8:48 a.m.]

## [ 14 CFR Part 71 ] <br> [Airspace Docket No. 68-CE-111] <br> TRANSITION AREA

## Proposed Designation

The Federal Aviation Administration is considering amending Part 71 of the Federal Aviation Regulations so as to designate a transition area at Marshall, Minn.

Interested persons may participate in the proposed rule making by submitting such written data, views, or arguments as they may desire. Communications should be submitted in triplicate to the Director, Central Region, Attention: Chief, Air Traffic Division, Federal Aviation Administration; Federal Building, 601 East 12th Street, Kansas City, Mo. 64106. All communications received within 45 days after publication of this notice in the Federal Rectster will de considered before action is taken on the proposed amendment. No public hearing is conter plated at this time, but arrangemento for informal conferences with Fejeral Aviation Administration officials may be made by contacting the Regionsal Air Traffic Division Chief.
Any data, views, or arguments presented during such conferences must also be submitted in writing in accordance with this notice in order to become part of the record for consideration. The pro-
posal contained in this notice may be changed in the light of comments received.
A public docket will be available for examination by interested persons in the Office of the Regional Counsel, Federal Aviation Administration, Federal Building, 601 East 12th Street, Kansas City, Mo. 64106.
A new public use instrument approach procedure has been developed for the Marshall, Minn., Muncipal Airport using a State-owned radio beacon located on the airport as a navigational aid. Consequently, it is necessary to provide controlled airspace protection for aircraft executing this new approach procedure by designating a transition area at Marshall, Minn. The new procedure will become effective concurrently with the designation of the transition area. The Minneapolis ARTC Center through the Redwood Falls, Minn., Flight Service Station or through the center remote air ground facility at Redwood Falls will control IFR traffic into and out of Marshall Municipal Airport.
In consideration of the foregoing, the Federal Aviation Administration proposes to amend Part 71 of the Federal Aviation Regulations as hereinafter set forth:
In $\$ 71.181$ (33 F.R. 2137), the following transition area is added:

Marshall, Minn.
That airspace extending upward from 700 feet above the surface within a 7 -mile radius of Marshall Municipal Airport (latitude $44^{\circ} 27^{\prime} 00^{\prime \prime}$ N., longitude $95^{\circ} 49^{\prime} 05^{\prime \prime}$ W.) : and within 2 miles each side of the $325^{\circ}$ bearing from Marshall Municipal Airport, extending from the 7 -mile radius area to 8 miles northwest of the airport; and that airspace extending upward from 1,200 feet above the surface within 5 miles northeast and 8 milles southwest of the $325^{\circ}$ bearing from Marshall Municipal Airport, extending from the airport to 12 miles northwest of the airport.

This amendment is proposed under the authority of section 307 (a) of the Federal Aviation Act of 1958 ( 49 U.S.C. 1348), and of section $6(\mathrm{c})$ of the Department of Transportation Act (49 U.S.C. 1655 (c)).

Issued at Kansas City, Mo., on December 4, 1968.

Edward C. Marsh,
Director, Central Region.
[F.R. Doc. 68-15118; Flled, Dec. 18, 1968;
8:48 a.m.]

## [ 14 CFR Part 71]

[Airspace Docket No. 68-CE-114]

## TRANSITION AREA

Proposed Designation
The Federal Aviation Administration is considering amending Part 71 of the Federal Aviation Regulations so as to designate a transition area at Rochester, Ind.

Interested persons may participate in the proposed rule making by submitting
such written data, views, or arguments as they may desire. Communications should be submitted in triplicate to the Director, Central Region, Attention: Chief, Air Traffic Division, Federal Aviation Administration, Federal Building, 601 East 12th Street, Kansas City, Mo. 64106. All communications received within 45 days after publication of this notice in the Federal Register will be considered before action is taken on the proposed amendment. No public hearing is contemplated at this time, but arrangements for informal conferences with Federal Aviation Administration officials may be made by contacting the Regional Air Traffic Division Chief.

Any data, views, or arguments presented during such conferences must also be submitted in writing in accordance with this notice in order to become part of the record for consideration. The proposal contained in this notice may be changed in the light of comments received

A public docket will be available for examination by interested persons in the Office of the Regional Counsel, Federal Aviation Administration, Federal Building, 601 East 12th Street, Kansas City, Mo. 64106.

A new public use instrument approach procedure has been developed for Fulton County Airport, Rochester, Ind., using a privately owned radio beacon as a navigational aid. Consequently, it is necessary to provide controlled airspace protection for aircraft that will be executing this approach procedure by designating a $700-\mathrm{foot}$ floor transition area at Rochester, Ind. The new procedure will become effective concurrently with the designation of the transition area. IFR traffic into and out of Fulton County Airport will be controlled by the Chicago ARTC Center.

In consideration of the foregoing, the Federal Aviation Administration proposes to amend Part 71 of the Federal Aviation Regulations as hereinafter set forth:

In $\$ 71.181$ (33 F.R. 2137), the following transition area is added:

## ROchester, Ind.

That airspace extending upward from 700 feet above the surface within a 5 -mile radius
 N., longltude $86^{\circ} 11^{\prime} 20^{\prime \prime}$ W.): and within 2 mules each side of the $096^{\circ}$ bearing from Fulton County Airport, extending from the airport to 8 miles east of the airport.
This amendment is proposed under the authority of section 307 (a) of the Federal Aviation Act of 1958 ( 49 U.S.C. 1348), and of section 6(c) of the Department of Transportation Act (49 U.S.C. 1655 (c) ).

Issued at Kansas City, Mo., on December 4, 1968.

Edward C. Marsh,
Director, Central Region.
[F.R. Doc. 68-15119; Filed, Dec. 18, 1968; 8:49 a.m.]

## Notices

# DEPARTMENT OF THE TREASURY 

## Bureau of Customs

 SHEET GLASS FROM BELGIUMAntidumping Proceeding Notice

December 12, 1968.

On September 23, 1968, information was received indicating a possibility that sheet glass manufactured by S. A. Glaverbel, Brussels, Belgium, is being, or likely to be, sold at less than fair value within the meaning of the Antidumping Act, 1921, as amended (19 U.S.C. 160 et seq.). This information is in proper form pursuant to $\S \S 53.26$ and 53.27 of the Customs Regulations ( 19 CFR 53.26 , 53.27).

The information was submited by Lincoln \& Stewart, W ashington, D.C.
There is evidence on record concerning injury to or likelihood of injury to or prevention of establishment of an industry in the United States.
Having conducted a summary investigation as required by $\$ 53.29$ of the Customs Regulations ( 19 CFR 53.29 ) and having determined as a result thereof that there are grounds for so doing, the Bureau of Customs is instituting an inquiry to verify the information submitted and to obtain the facts necessary to enable the Secretary of the Treasury to reach a determination as to the fact or likelihood of sales at less than fair value.
A summary of information received from all sources is as follows:
The information received tends to indicate that the prices for home consumption are higher than the prices of the merchandise sold for exportation to the United States.

This notice is published pursuant to $\$ 53.30$ of the Customs Regulations (19 CFR 53.30).
[SEAL]
Lester D. Johnson, Commissioner of Customs.
[F.R. Doc. 68-15137; Filed, Dec. 18, 1968; 8:50 a.m.]
[T.D. 69-2]
WIGS FOR DOLLS

## Classification

December 11, 1968.
In Mattel, Inc. v. United States, C.D. 3531 , the Customs Court held that wigs for dolls were classifiable under the proVision for wigs, in item 790.70, Tariff Schedules of the United States (TSUS), rather than under the provision for toys, in item 737.90 of the tariff schedules, as claimed by the Government.
The Bureau is of the opinion that the provision for wigs, toupees, chignons, and similar articles, in item 790.70, Tariff

Schedules of the United States, is limited to articles for human use and does not contemplate doll wigs. Inasmuch as the court did not have an opportunity to decide this particular issue, the application of the principle of the subject case is limited to the specific importation which was the subject of that decision.

In view of the evidence submitted that the merchandise is chiefly used as parts of dolls, the Bureau takes the position that doll wigs are properly classifiable in item 737.20, TSUS.
[SEAL] Lester D. Johnson,
Commissioner of Customs.
[F.R. Doc. 68-15138; Filed, Dec. 18, 1968; 8:50 a.m.]

## DEPARTMENT OF THE INTERIOR

## Bureau of Land Management <br> [Serial No. I-1518]

## IDAHO

Notice of Classification of Public Lands
for Multiple-Use Management; Amendment

## Correction

In F.R. Doc. 68-13796 appearing at page 17115 in the issue of Saturday, November 16, 1968, the last two lines of the table under the center heading "Boise Meridian, Idaho" should read:
Sec. 31, lot 3, SE $1 / 4 \mathrm{NE}^{1} 1 / 4$;
Sec. $32, W^{1} 2 \mathrm{E}^{1 / 2}, \mathrm{NW}^{1} 1 / 4, \mathrm{~N} 1 / 2 \mathrm{SW}^{1} / 4$.
[Anchorage Serial No. AA-4543]

## ALASKA

## Notice of Proposed Withdrawal and Reservation of Lands

DECEMBER 11, 1968.
The Bureau of Land Management has filed an application, Anchorage Serial No. AA-4543, for the withdrawal of the lands described herein from all forms of appropriation under the public land laws. The withdrawal is necessary to protect the public values in these undeeded tracts in Kake Townsite until appropriate planning and development can be accomplished. The planning will be undertaken jointly with the Townsite Trustee and the town of Kake.

For a period of 30 days from the date of publication of this notice, all persons who wish to submit comments, suggestions, or objections in connection with the proposed withdrawal may present their views in writing to the undersigned officer of the Bureau of Land Management, 555 Cordova Street, Anchorage, Alaska 99501.

The Department's regulations, 43 CFR 2311.1-3(c), provides that the authorized
officer of the Bureau of Land Management will undertake such investigations as are necessary to determine the existing and potential demand for the lands and their resources. He will also undertake studies so as to provide for the maximum concurrent utilization of the lands for purposes other than the applicant's.

The authorized officer will also prepare a report for consideration by the Secretary of the Interior who will determine whether the lands will be withdrawn as requested by the applicant agency.

The determination of the Secretary on the application will be published in the Federal Register. A separate notice will be sent to each interested party of record.

If circumstances warrant, a public hearing will be held at a convenient time and place, which will be announced.

The land involved in the application is:
Kake Townsite, Alaska

Block 20, U.S. Survey No. 1871, Tracts A and B, U.S. Survey No. 3851, Lot 1, U.S. Survey No. 3852.

Containing approximately 164.66 acres.
Burton W. Silcock,
State Director.
[F.R. Doc. 68-15085; Filed, Dec. 18, 1968; 8:45 a.m.]

## [A 2207]

## ARIZONA

Notice of Proposed Classification of Public Lands for Disposal by Exchange

1. Pursuant to the Act of September 19 , 1964 (43 U.S.C. 1411-18) it is proposed to classify the public lands described below for disposal by exchange in aid of Federal land management programs. The transfer will be accomplished under the authority of section $8(\mathrm{~b})$ of the Taylor Grazing Act of June 28, 1934 ( 48 Stat. 1272), as amended, the Act of March 20, 1922 ( 42 Stat. 465 ; 16 U.S.C. 485), as amended, or under the Act of October 8, 1964 (78 Stat. 1039, 16 U.S.C. 460 n) . Publication of this notice has the effect of segregating the described lands from all forms of appropriation under the public land laws, including the mining and mineral leasing laws, excepting exchanges as indicated above. As used herein, the term "public lands" means any lands withdrawn or reserved by Executive Order No. 6910 of November 26, 1934, as amended, or within a grazing district established pursuant to the Act of June 28, 1934 (48 Stat. 1269), as amended, which are not otherwise withdrawn or reserved for a Federal use or purpose.
2. The public lands proposed for classification in this notice are shown on maps on file and available for inspection, in the Phoenix District Office, and Land

Office, Bureau of Land Management, Federal Building, Phoenix, Ariz.

## 3. The lands involved are described as

 follows:Yavapai County
GHA AND SALT RIVER MERIDIAN, ARIZONA
T. 13 N., R. 2 W.,

Sec. 11, lots $1,2,3,4,5,6,7,8,9,10,15$, and 16.
The area described aggregates approximately 440.62 acres more or less.
4. For a period of 60 days from the date of publication of this notice in the Federal Register, all persons who wish to submit comments, suggestions or objections in connection with the proposed classification may present their views in writing to the Phoenix District Manager, Bureau of Land Management, Federal Building, Phoenix, Ariz. 85025.

## Fred J. Weiler, State Director.

December 11, 1968.
[F.R. Doc. 68-15129; Filed, Dec. 18, 1968; 8:49 a.m.]

## [A 2152]

## ARIZONA

## Notice of Classification of Public Lands for Multiple Use Management

1. Pursuant to the Act of September 19,1964 (43 U.S.C. $1411-18$ ) and to the regulations in 43 CFR Parts 2410 and 2411, the public lands described below are hereby classified for multiple use management. Publication of the notice has the effect of segregating the public lands described below from appropriation under the agricultural land laws (43 U.S.C. Parts 7 and 9, 25 U.S.C. 334) and from sale under section 2455 of the Revised Statutes (43 U.S.C. 1171). All the described lands shall remain open to all other forms of appropriation, including the mining and mineral leasing laws. As used in this order, the term "public lands" means any lands withdrawn or reserved by Executive Order No. 6910 of November 26, 1934, as amended, or within a grazing district established pursuant to the Act of June 28, 1934 ( 48 Stat. 1269), as amended, which are not otherwise withdrawn or reserved for a Federal use or purpose.
2. The public lands classified in this notice are shown on maps on file and available for inspection in the respective Bureau of Land Management offices, Phoenix District Office and Land Office, located in Federal Building, 230 North First Avenue, Phoenix, and the Safford District Office, Safford, Ariz. The notice of proposed classification was published in 33 F.R. 9114 through 9117, of June 20, 1968. Public hearings on the proposed classification were held on July 23, 1968 in the new City Hall at Tucson, Ariz., and on July 24, 1968 at the Pinal County Courthouse, Florence, Ariz. Nearly all of the comments and information received support this classification to keep these lands in public ownership for multiple use management.
3. The lands involved are located in Pinal and Pima Counties and are described as follows:

Gilia and Salt River Meridian, Arizona
T. 9 S., R. 6 E.,

Sec. 3, SW $1 / 4$ SW $1 / 4$;
Sec. $10, \mathrm{NW} 1 / 4 \mathrm{NW} 1 / 4, \mathrm{~S} 1 / 2 \mathrm{NW} 1 / 4$, and $\mathrm{S} 1 / 2$; Sec. 11, SW $1 / 4$;
Sec. 12, lot 20;
Sec. 13 , lots $5,7,8$, and 17 to 20 , inclusive, NW $1 / 4 \mathrm{NW} 1 / 4, \mathrm{~S} 1 / 2 \mathrm{NW} 1 / 4$, and $\mathrm{SW} 1 / 4$.
Secs. $14,15,21,22$, and 23 ;
Sec. 24, lots $5,6,7,18$, and 19 , and $W 1 / 2$;
Secs. 26 to 31 , inclusive, and secs. 33 and 34.
T. 10 S., R. 6 E.,

Sec. 3 , lots 1 to 4 , inclusive, $\mathrm{S} 1 / 2 \mathrm{~N} 1 / 2$ and S $1 / 2$;
Sec. 4 , lots 1 to 4 , inclusive, $\mathrm{S} 1 / 2 \mathrm{~N} 1 / 2$ and S $1 / 2$;
Sec. 5 , lots 1 to 4 , inclusive, $S 1 / 2 \mathrm{~N} 1 / 2$ and S $1 / 2$;
Sec. 6, lots 1 to 7 , inclusive, $\mathrm{S} 1 / 2 \mathrm{NE} 1 / 4, \mathrm{SE} 1 / 4$ NW $1 / 4, \mathrm{E}_{1} 1 / 2 \mathrm{SW} 1 / 4$, and $\operatorname{SE} 1 / 4$;
Sec. 7, lots 1 to 4 , inclusive, $E 1 / 2 W 1 / 2$ and E1/2;
Secs. 8 and 9 .
T. 11 S., R. 6 E.,

Secs. 25,26 , and 27 .
T $12 \mathrm{~S} ., \mathrm{R} .6 \mathrm{E}$.,
Sec. 1, lots 1 to 8 , inclusive, $\mathrm{S} 1 / 2 \mathrm{~N} 1 / 2$ and S $1 / 2$;
Sec. 3 , lots 1 to 8 , inclusive, $\mathrm{S}_{1} 1 / 2 \mathrm{~N} 1 / 2$ and S $1 / 2$;
Sec. 4 , lots 1 to 8 , inclusive, $\mathrm{S} 1 / 2 \mathrm{~N} 1 / 2$ and S $1 / 2$;
Sec. 5 , lots 1 to 8 , inclusive, $\mathrm{S}_{1} 1 / 2 \mathrm{~N} 1 / 2$ and
$\mathrm{S} 1 / 2$; lots 1 to 11 , inclusive, $\mathrm{S}_{1} 1 / 2 \mathrm{NE}^{1} 1 / 4, \mathrm{SE}^{1} / 4$
Sec. 6, lon NW $1 / 4, \mathrm{E} 1_{2}^{2} \mathrm{SW} 1 / 4$, and $\mathrm{SE}^{1} / 4$;
Sec. 7, lots 1 to 4 , inclusive, $E 1 / 2 W 1 / 2$ and E $1 / 2$;
Secs. 8 to 15 , inclusive, and sec. 17 ;
Sec. 18 , lots 1 to 4, inclusive, E $1 / 2 \mathrm{~W} 1 / 2$ and E $1 / 2$.
T. 11 S., R. 7 E .

Sec. 5 , lots 1 to 4, inclusive, $\mathrm{S} 1 / 2 \mathrm{~N} 1 / 2$ and S $1 / 2$;
Secs. $8,12,13$, and 17 ;
Sec. 18 , lots 1 to 4 , inclusive, $E 1 / 2 W 1 / 2$ and E1/2;
Sec. 19 , lots 1 to 4 , inclusive, $\mathrm{E} 1 / 2 \mathrm{~W}^{1 / 2}$ and E $1 / 2$;
Secs. 20 to 29 , inclusive;
Sec. 30 , lots 1 to 4 , inclusive, $\mathrm{E}_{1} / 2 \mathrm{~W}^{1 / 2}$ and E $1 / 2$;
Secs. 33,34 , and 35 .
T. 19 S., R. 7 E.,

Sec. 27, lot 1 ;
Sec. $35,10 t 2$.
T. 20 S., R. 7 E.,

Sec. 3, lot 6;
Sec. 10 , lot $1, \mathrm{NE}_{1} 1 / \mathrm{NE}^{1} / 4, \mathrm{~S} 1 / 2 \mathrm{NE}^{1} / 4$, and $\mathrm{N} 1 / 2 \mathrm{SE} 1 / 4$;
Sec. 11 , NW $1 / 4 \mathrm{NW}^{1 / 4}$;
Sec. 21 , lots 1 to 5 , inclusive, $S W 1 / 4 \mathrm{NE}^{1} / 4$ and NW $1 / 4$ SE $1 / 4$.
T. $11 \mathrm{~S}_{\text {. }}$ R. 8 E .,

Sec. 1, lots 1 to 8 , inclusive;
Sec. 3, lots 1 to 8, inclusive;
Sec. 4, lots 1 to 8, inclusive;
Sec. 5 , lots 1 to 8 , inclusive;
Sec. 6 , lots 1 to 19 , inclusive;
Sec. 7, lots 1 to 20 , inclusive, and E $1 / 2$;
Secs. 8 to 15 , inclusive;
Sec. 16, W $1 / 2$ (except patented MS 3887 );
Sec. 17;
Sec. 18, lots 1 to 20 , inclusive, and $\mathrm{E} 1 / 2$;
Sec. 19, lots 1 to 20 , inclusive, and $E 1 / 2$;
Secs. 20 to 27, inclusive, sec. 28 (except ME
Apln. AR 035698, MS 4630) and sec. 29; Sec. 30 , lots 1 to 20 , inclusive, and $E 1 / 2$;
Sec. 31 , lots 1 to 20 , inclusive, and $\mathrm{E} 1 / 2$;
Sec. 32, lots $1,2,4,5$, and $8, \mathrm{SE}_{1 / 4} \mathrm{SW}^{1} / 4$ and SW $1 / 4$ SE $1 / 4$;
Sec. 33, lots 1 to 5, inclusive, NE $1 / 4$ and NE $1 / 4 \mathrm{SE}^{1 / 4}$ (except Pat. MS 4630 and ME Apln. to Pat. AR 035698 );
Sec. 34, lot $1, \mathrm{~N} 1 / 2, \mathrm{SE}_{1} 1 / 4, \mathrm{~N} 1 / 2 \mathrm{SW} 1 / 4$, and SE $1 / 4$ SW $1 / 4$;
Sec. 35.
T. 12 S., R. 8 E.

Sec. 1 , lots 1 to 8 , inclusive, $\mathrm{S} 1 / 2 \mathrm{~N} 1 / 2$ and S $1 / 2$;
Sec. 3, lots 1 to 14 inclusive, SE $1 / 4 \mathrm{NE}_{1} 1 / 4$ and $\mathrm{NE}^{1} / 4 \mathrm{SE}_{1} 1 / 4$;
Sec. 5, lot 2 (except Pat. MC), lots 3 to 7 , inclusive, lot 8 (except Pat. MC), lot 12 (except Pat. MC), lot 13 (except Pat. MC ), $\mathrm{S} 1 / 2 \mathrm{NW} 1 / 4, \quad \mathrm{SW} 1 / 4, \quad \mathrm{~W} 1 / 2 \mathrm{SE}^{1} / 4$, and part of the W $1 / 2$ NE $1 / 4 \mathrm{SE}_{1} 1 / 4$;
Sec .6 , lots 1 to 16 , inclusive, $\mathrm{S} 1 / 2 \mathrm{NE} 1 / 4$, $\mathrm{SE} 1 / 4 / \mathrm{NW}^{1 / 4}, \mathrm{E} 1 / 2 \mathrm{SW} 1 / 4$, and $\mathrm{SE} 1 / 4$;
Sec. 7 , lots 1 to 8 , inclusive, $\mathrm{E} 1 / 2^{2} \mathrm{~W}_{1 / 2}$ and E $1 / 2$;
Sec .8 , lot $1, \mathrm{SE}^{1} / 4 \mathrm{NE}^{1} / 4, \mathrm{~W} 1 / 2 \mathrm{NE}^{1} / 4, \mathrm{SE}^{1} / 4$, and $\mathrm{W} 1 / 2$;
Sec. 15, unpatented MS in NE $1 / 4$;
Sec. 17;
Sec. 18, lots 1 to 8 , inclusive, $E 1 / 2 \mathrm{~W}^{1 / 2}$ and $\mathrm{E}^{1} 1 / 2$.
T. 8 S., R. 9 E.,

Secs. 2, 3, 10, 11, 14, 15, 22, 23, 26, and 27.
T. 11 S., R. 9 E.,

Sec. 6 , lots 2 to 5 , inclusive, $\mathrm{SE}_{1} 1 / \mathrm{SW} 1 / 4$ and SW $1 / 4$ SE;
Secs. 10 to 15 , inclusive;
Sec. 19, lots 1 to 4 , inclusive, $E 1 / 2 \mathrm{~W} 1 / 2$ and E1/2;
Secs. 20 to 26 , inclusive, and sec. 29 ;
Sec. 30, lots 1 to 4 , inclusive, $\mathrm{E} 1 / 2 \mathrm{~W} 1 / 2$ and E $1 / 2$;
Sec. 31, lots 1 to 4, inclusive, E $1 / 2 \mathrm{~W} 1 / 2$ and E1/2;
Sec. $35, \mathrm{~S}_{1} 1 / 2 \mathrm{SW}_{1} / 4 \mathrm{SE}^{2} 1 / 4$;
Sec. $36, \quad W_{1 / 2} W^{1} 1 / 2$, $\quad$ SE $1 / 4$ NW $1 / 4$, and NE $1 / 4$ SW $1 / 4$
T. $12 \mathrm{~S} ., \mathrm{R} .9 \mathrm{E}$.

Sec. 3 , lots 2,3 , and $4, \mathrm{~S} 1 / 2 \mathrm{~N} 1 / 2$ and $\mathrm{S} 1 / 2$;
Sec. 5 , lots 1 to 4 , inclusive, $\mathrm{S} 1 / 2 \mathrm{~N} 1 / 2$ and S $1 / 2$;
Sec. 6 , lots 1 to 7 , inclusive, S $1 / 2$ NE $1 / 4$, SE $1 / 4 \mathrm{NW}^{1} 14, \mathrm{~W} 1 / 2 \mathrm{SW}^{1} / 4$, and $\mathrm{SE}^{1} 1 / 4$;
Sec. 7, lots 1 to 4 , inclusive, $E 1 / 2 W^{1 / 2}$ and E1/2;
Secs. $8,9,10,11,15$, and 17 ;
Sec. 19 , lots 3 and 4 , and W $1 / 2 \mathrm{E}^{1} / 2 \mathrm{SW}^{1 / 4}$;
Sec. $20, \mathrm{~N}_{1} 1 / 2 \mathrm{NE}^{1} / 4, \quad \mathrm{NE}^{1} / 4 \mathrm{NW} 1 / 4, \mathrm{E} 1 / 2 \mathrm{SW}^{1} / 4$, $\mathrm{W} 1 / 2 \operatorname{SE} 1 / 4$, and $\operatorname{SE} 1 / 4 \operatorname{SE}^{1 / 4}$;
Sec. $21, \mathrm{~N} 1 / 2 \mathrm{~N} 1 / 2$ and $\mathrm{S}^{1} / 2 \mathrm{~S}^{1} 1 / 2$;
Sec. $22, \mathrm{~N} 1 / 2$ and $\mathrm{S} 1 / 2 \mathrm{SW} 1 / 4$;
Sec. $23, \mathrm{~N} 1 / 2$;
Sec. $24, N 1 / 2$;
Sec. 25, lots 3 and $4, \mathrm{~S} 1 / 2 \mathrm{~N} 1 / 2$ and $\mathrm{S}^{1} / 2$;
Sec. 26, lots 1 to 4 , inclusive, $S 1 / 2 \mathrm{~N}^{1} 1 / 2$ and S $1 / 2$;
Sec. 27 , lots 1 to 4, inclusive, $S 1 / 2 N 1 / 2$ and S $1 / 2$;
Sec. 28 , lots 1 to 4 , inclusive, $\mathrm{S} 1 / 2 \mathrm{~N} 1 / 2$ and S $1 / 2$;
Sec. 29 , lots $1,2,3$, and 4 (except the NW $1 / 4 \mathrm{NW}^{1} / 4$ of lot 4 ), $\mathrm{S}^{1} / 2 \mathrm{~N}^{1} / 2, \mathrm{~N}^{1} / 2 \mathrm{~S}^{1 / 2}$, $\mathrm{SE}^{1} / 4 \mathrm{SW}^{1} / 4$, and $\mathrm{S}_{1 / 2} \mathrm{SE}_{1 / 4}$;
Sec. $30, S 1 / 2$ lot $1, S 1 / 2$ lot 2 , lots 3,4 , and 5 , and S $1 / 2$ (except Pat. MS 1390 and ME Apln. AR 034710, MS 4062);
Sec. 31, except Pat. MS 1390 and ME Apin. AR 034710, MS 4062;
Sec. $33, \quad \mathrm{NE}^{1 / 4}, \mathrm{E} 1 / 2 \mathrm{NW}^{1} / 4, \quad \mathrm{NW} 1 / 4 \mathrm{NW} 1 / 4$, $\mathrm{N}^{1} / 2 \mathrm{SW}^{1 / 4} \mathrm{NW}^{1} / 4, \quad \mathrm{~N}^{1} / 2 \mathrm{NE}^{1} / 4 \mathrm{SW}^{1} 1 / 4, \quad \mathrm{~S}^{1 / 2}$ SW $1 / 4$ SW $^{1} 1 / 4, \quad \mathrm{SW}^{1 / 4}$ SE $1 / 4$ SW $1 / 4, \quad \mathrm{~N}^{1} / 2 \mathrm{~N}^{1 / 2}$, $\mathrm{SE}_{1} 1 / 4, \mathrm{~S}_{1} 1 / \mathrm{NE}^{1} / 4 \mathrm{SE}^{1} / 4, \mathrm{SE}^{1} / 4 \mathrm{NW}^{1} / 4 \mathrm{SE}^{1} / 4$, and $\mathrm{SE} 1 / 4 \mathrm{SE}_{1 / 4}$;
Secs. 34 and 35.
T. 13 S., R. 9 E.,

Sec. 1, lots 1 to 4 , inclusive, $\mathrm{S} 1 / 2 \mathrm{~N} 1 / 2$ and S $1 / 2$;
Sec. 3 , lots 1 to 4 , inclusive, $\mathrm{S}_{1 / 2} \mathrm{~N} 1 / 2$ and S $1 / 2$;
Sec. 4 , lots 1 to 4 , inclusive, $S 1 / 2 \mathrm{~N}_{1 / 2}$ and S $1 / 2$;
Sec. 5 , lots 1 to 4 , inclusive, $\mathrm{S}_{1 / 2} \mathrm{~N} 1 / 2$ and S $1 / 2$;
Sec. 6, lots 1 to 5 , inclusive, lot 7, S $1 / 2 \mathrm{NE} 1 / 4$, $\mathrm{SE} 1 / 4 \mathrm{NW}^{1} 1 / 4, \quad \mathrm{~N}^{1} / 2 \mathrm{NE}^{1 / 4} \mathrm{SE}^{1} 1 / 4$, $\mathrm{SE}^{1} / 4 \mathrm{SW}^{1} / 4$, SW $1 / 4 \mathrm{SE}^{1} / 4$, and $\mathrm{S}^{1} / 2 \mathrm{SE}^{1 / 4} \mathrm{SE}^{1} 1 / 4$;
Sec. 7, lots 1 to 4, inclusive, E $1 / 2$ W $1 / 2$ and E $1 / 2$;
Sec. $9, \mathbf{S} 1 / 2$;
Sec. $10, \mathrm{~N}^{1 / 2} \mathrm{~N} 1 / 2, \mathrm{~S}_{1} 1 / 2 \mathrm{NE}^{1} / 4$, and $\mathrm{N}^{1} / 2 \mathrm{SE}^{1} / 4$;
Secs. 11 to 15 , inclusive;

Sec. 18, lots 1 to 4, inclusive, $E 1 / 2 \mathrm{~W} 1 / 2$ and E1/2;
Sec. 19 , lots 1 to 4, inelusive, $\mathrm{E} 1 / 2 \mathrm{~W} 1 / 2$ and E112;
Secs. 21 to 25 , inclusive;
Sec. 26, $\mathrm{N} 1 / 2$;
Sec. $27, \mathrm{~N}_{1} 1 / 2$;
Sec. 28;
Sec. 30 , lots 1 to 4, inclusive, E $1 / 2 \mathrm{~W} 1 / 2$ and E1/2;
Secs. 34 and 35.
T. 14 S., R. 9 E.,

Sec. 1 , lots 1 to 8 , inclusive, $\mathrm{S} 1 / 2 \mathrm{~N} 1 / 2$ and S $1 / 2$;
Sec. 3 , lots 1 to 8 , inclusive, $\mathrm{S} 1 / 2 \mathrm{~N} 1 / 2$ and
$\mathrm{S} 1 / 2 ;$
Sec. 4, lots 1 to 8 , inclusive, $\mathrm{S} 1 / 2 \mathrm{~N} 1 / 2$ and S $1 / 2$;
Sec. 6 , lots 1 to 11 , inclusive, $S 1 / 2 \mathrm{NE}^{1 / 4}$, SE $1 / 4$ NW $1 / 4, \mathrm{EE}_{1 / 2} \operatorname{SW} 1 / 4$, and $\mathrm{SE}_{1} 1 / 4$;
Sec. 7 , lots 1 to 4 , inclusive, $\mathrm{E}_{1} / 2 \mathrm{~W} 1 / 2$ and E1/2;
Secs. 8 to 12 , inclusive;
Sec. $33, \mathrm{~N}^{1} / 2, \mathrm{~N}^{1} / 2 \mathrm{~S}^{1} / 2, \mathrm{SE} 1 / 4 \mathrm{SW}^{1} 14$, and $\mathrm{S} 1 / 2$ SE $1 / 4$;
Secs. 34 and 35.
T. 15 S., R. 9 E.,

Secs. 1 , lots 1,2 , and $3, \mathrm{E} 1 / 2 \mathrm{NE} 1 / 4$, NW $1 / 4$, $\mathrm{N} 1 / 2 \mathrm{SW} 1 / 4$, and $\mathrm{NW} 1 / 4 \mathrm{SE}_{1 / 4}$;
Sec. $3, \mathrm{NE}^{1} / 4 \mathrm{NE}^{1} / 4$ and $\mathrm{S} 1 / 2$;
Sec. $4, \mathrm{~S}^{1} / 2$;
Secs. 9,10 , and 11 ;
Sec. 30 , lots 1 to 4 , inclusive, $\mathrm{E} 1 / 2 \mathrm{~W} 1 / 2$ and E1/2.
T. 20 S., R. 9 E.

Secs. 23,25 , and 26 , all the remaining public lands;
Sec. 24, SE $^{1} / 4$ and SW $1 / 4$ SW $1 / 4$.
T. 21 S., R. 9 E.,

Secs. 27 and 34 , all the remaining public lands.
T. 4 S., R. 10 E.,

Sec. 8, SE $1 / 4$;
Sec. 9;
Sec. 17 , NW $1 / 4$, and $W 1 / 2$ NE $1 / 4$;
Sec. 18, NW $1 / 4$ SE $1 / 4$;
Sec. 19, S $1 / 2$ NW $1 / 4$, and $N 1 / 2$ SW $1 / 4$;
Secs. 23 and 24;
Sec. $33, \mathrm{E} 1 / 2 \mathrm{SE}^{1} / 4, \mathrm{SW} 1 / 4 \mathrm{SE} 1 / 4$, and $\mathrm{SE} 1 / 4$ SW $1 / 4$.
T. 11 S., R. 10 E.,

Sec. 19, lots 1 to 4, inclusive, $\mathrm{E} 1 / 2 \mathrm{~W} 1 / 2$ and E1/2;
Sec. $20, \mathrm{~W} 1 / 2$;
Sec. 29, W $1 / 2$;
Sec. 30, lots 1 to 4, inclusive, $E 1 / 2 W 1 / 2$ and T. 12 S. E, , R. $10 \mathrm{E} .$,

Sec. 6 , lots 1 to 7 , inclusive, $\mathrm{S}_{1 / 2} \mathrm{NE} 1 / 4$, $\mathrm{SE}_{1 / 4} \mathrm{NW} 1 / 4, \mathrm{E} 1 / 2 \mathrm{SW} 1 / 4$, and $\mathrm{SE}^{1 / 4}$;
Sec. 7, lots 1 to 4 , inclusive, $E 1 / 2 \mathrm{~W} 1 / 2$ and E1/2;
Sec. 18 , lots 1 to 4 , inclusive, $E 1 / 2 W 1 / 2$ and $\mathrm{E} 1 / 2$ (except $\mathrm{SE}_{1} 1 / \mathrm{SE}_{1} 1 / \mathrm{SE} 1 / 4 \mathrm{SE}_{1} 1 / 4$ in ME ApIn. AR 035346).
T. 18 S., R. 10 E.,

Sec. 6, lot 4;
Sec. 7, lots 1 to 4, inclusive, $\mathrm{E} 1 / 2 \mathrm{~W} 1 / 2$ and E1/2.
Sec. 17, W $1 / 2$;
Sec. 18, lots 1 to 4 , inclusive, $E 1 / 2$ W $1 / 2$ and E $1 / 2$;
Sec. 19, lots 1 to 4 , inclusive, $E 1 / 2 W^{1} / 2$ and
Sec. $20, \mathrm{~W} 1 / 2$ SW $1 / 4$;
Sec. 29;
Sec. 30, lots 1 to 4, inclusive, $\mathrm{F}_{1} 1 / 2 \mathrm{~W} 1 / 2$ and E $1 / 2$;
Sec. 31, lots 1 to 4 , inclusive, $\mathbf{E}^{1} 1 / 2 \mathrm{~W} 1 / 2$ and E1/2;
Secs. 33, 34, and 35.
T. 14 S., R. 10 E.,

Sec. 1 , lots 1 to 4 , inclusive, $S 1 / 2 N 1 / 2$ and Sec., 3 , lots 1 to 4 , inclusive, $\mathrm{S} 1 / 2 \mathrm{~N} 1 / 2$ and S $1 / 2$;
Sec. 5 , lots 1 to 4 , inclusive, $S 1 / 2 N 1 / 2$ and.$~$ S $1 / 2$;
Sec. $8, \mathrm{~N} 1 / 2$ and $\mathrm{SE}^{1} / 4$;
Secs. 10, 11, 31, and 33.
T. 20 S., R. 10 E.,

Sec. 30, lot 12;
Sec. 31 , lots 1 to 6 , inclusive, 8 to 10 , inclusive, and SE $1 / 4$.
T. 21 S., R. 10 E.,

Sec. 4, S $1 / 2$ NW $1 / 4$ and SW $1 / 4$;
Sec. $5, \mathrm{~S}^{1} / 2 \mathrm{~N} 1 / 2$ and $\mathrm{S} 1 / 2$;
Sec. $6, \mathrm{~S}_{1 / 2} \mathrm{NE}^{1} / 4, \mathrm{SE}^{1} 1 / 4$, and $\mathrm{E}^{1} / 2 \mathrm{SW}^{1} / 4$;
Sec. 7, lots 2,3 , and 4, SE $1 / 4$ NW $1 / 4$, E $1 / 2$ SW $1 / 4$, and $\mathrm{S}^{1} / 2 \mathrm{SE}^{1} / 4$;
Sec. 8, $\mathrm{N}^{1} 1 / 2 \mathrm{~N} 1 / 2, \mathrm{SE}_{1} 1 / 4 \mathrm{NE} 1 / 4, \mathrm{~W} 1 / 2 \mathrm{SE}^{1} / 4$, and S $1 / 2$ SW $1 / 4$;
Sec. 17, 10ts 1 and $2, \mathrm{~N} 1 / 2$, and $\mathrm{N}^{1} / 2 \mathrm{~S} 1 / 2$;
Sec. 18 , lots 1 and 5 , and $\mathrm{E}_{1 / 2} \mathrm{NW}^{1 / 4}$ :
Sec. 19, lot 1.
T. 2 S., R. 11 E.,

Sec. 21 ;
Sec. 27, lots 1 and $2, \mathrm{~W} 1 / 2 \mathrm{NE} 1 / 4, \mathrm{SE}^{1} 1 / 4$, and W $1 / 2$;
Secs. 28,33 , and 34 ;
Sec. 35 , lots 1 to 7 , inclusive, $\mathrm{N} 1 / 2 \mathrm{~N} 1 / 2$, $\mathrm{SE} 1 / 4 \mathrm{NW} 1 / 4, \quad \mathrm{NE} 1 / 4 \mathrm{SW} 1 / 4, \mathrm{~S} 1 / 2 \mathrm{SW}^{1} 1 / 4$, and SW $1 / 4$ SE $^{1} / 4$ (except Pat. MC).
T. 3 S., R. 11 E.,

Sec. 1, lots 1 to 4, inclusive, $\mathrm{S} 1 / 2 \mathrm{~N} 1 / 2$ and S $1 / 2$;
Sec. 3 , lots 1 to 4 , inclusive, $S 1 / 2 N 1 / 2$ and S $1 / 2$;
Sec. 4 , lots 1 to 4 , inclusive, $\mathrm{S} 1 / 2 \mathrm{~N} 1 / 2$ and S $1 / 2$;
Sec. 5 , lots 1 to 4 , inclusive, $\mathrm{N}^{1} / 2 \mathrm{SW}^{1 / 4} \mathrm{NW}^{1 / 4}$ $\mathrm{N} 1 / 2 \mathrm{~S}^{1} / 2 \mathrm{SW} 1 / 4 \mathrm{NW}^{1} 1 / 4$, $\mathrm{SW}^{1 / 4} \mathrm{SE}^{1} / 4 \mathrm{SW}^{1 / 4} \mathrm{NW}^{1 / 4}$, $\mathrm{S}^{1 / 2} \mathrm{SW}^{1 / 4} \mathrm{SW}^{1 / 4} \mathrm{NW}^{1 / 4}$, $\quad \mathrm{N}^{1 / 2} \mathrm{NW}^{1} / 4 \mathrm{NW}^{1 / 4}$ $\mathrm{SW}^{1} 1 / 4, \quad \mathrm{NW} 1 / 4 \mathrm{NE}^{1} / 4 \mathrm{NW}^{1} 1 / 4 \mathrm{SW}^{1} 1 / 4, \quad \mathrm{~S}^{1} / 2 \mathrm{~N}^{1} 1 / 2$ NW $1 / 4$ SW $1 / 4, \quad \mathrm{~S}^{1} 1 / 2 \mathrm{NW}^{1} / 4$ SW $1 / 4, \quad \mathrm{~S} 1 / 2 \mathrm{~S}^{1} 12$, $\mathrm{S}_{1} 1 / 2 \mathrm{NE}_{1} 1 / 4, \mathrm{SE}_{1} 1 / 4 \mathrm{NW}^{1} 1 / 4, \mathrm{~N}_{1} / 2 \mathrm{SE}^{1} / 4, \mathrm{E}_{1} 1 / 2 \mathrm{NE}^{1} / 4$ $\mathrm{SW}^{1} / 4$, and $\mathrm{E} 1 / 2 \mathrm{~W} 1 / 2 \mathrm{NE} 1 / 4 \mathrm{SW}^{1 / 4}$;
Sec. 6, lots 1 to 7 , inclusive, $\mathrm{S}_{1} 1 / 2 \mathrm{NE}_{1} / 4, \mathrm{SE}_{1} 1 / 4$ NW $1 / 4, \mathrm{E}_{1} 1 / 2 \mathrm{SW}^{1} / 4$, and $\mathrm{SE}^{1} / 4$;
Sec. 7, lots 1 to 9 , inclusive, $\mathrm{E}_{1} / 2 \mathrm{~W} 1 / 2$ and NW $1 / 4$ NE $^{1 / 4}$;
Sec. 8, lots 1 to 7 , inclusive, $\mathrm{N}^{1} / 2 \mathrm{NE}^{1} / 4, \mathrm{SE}^{1} / 4$ NE $1 / 4$, and NE $1 / 4$ SW $1 / 4$;
Sec. $9, \operatorname{lot} 1, \mathrm{~N} 1 / 2, \operatorname{SE} 1 / 4, \mathrm{~N} 1 / 2 \mathrm{SW} 1 / 4$, and $\operatorname{SE} 1 / 4$ SW $1 / 4$;
Sec. 10 ;
Sec. 11, lots 1 to 4 , inclusive, $N 1 / 2 N 1 / 2, S 1 / 2$ NW $1 / 4$, SW $1 / 4$, and SW $1 / 4$ SE $_{1 / 4}$;
Sec. 12 , lots 1 to 3 , inclusive, $\mathrm{E} 1 / 2, \mathrm{~N} 1 / 2 \mathrm{NW} 1 / 4$, $\mathrm{SE}^{1 / 4} \mathrm{NW}^{1 / 4}$, and $\mathrm{E} 1 / 2 \mathrm{SW}^{1 / 4}$;
Sec. 13, lots 1 to 4, inclusive, $\mathrm{E} 1 / 2$ and $\mathrm{NE} 1 / 4$ NW $1 / 4$;
Sec. 14, lots 1 to 5 , inclusive, $W 1 / 2, W^{1} / 2$ NE $1 / 4$, and $\mathrm{SW}_{1} 1 / 4 \mathrm{SE}^{1} / 4$;
Sec. 15;
Sec. 16, lots 1,2 , and $3, E 1 / 2 W 1 / 2$, and $S W 1 / 4$ SW $1 / 4$, and $E 1 / 2$.
Sec. 17, lots 1 to 4 , inclusive, $S 1 / 2 S 1 / 2$ and NW $1 / 4$ SW $1 / 4$;
Sec. 18, lots 1 to 5 , inclusive, $\mathrm{E}^{1} / 2 \mathrm{~W} 1 / 2, \mathrm{SE} 1 / 4$, $\mathrm{S} 1 / 2 \mathrm{NE} 1 / 4$, and $\mathrm{NW} 1 / 4 \mathrm{NE} 1 / 4$;
Sec. 19, lots 1 to 4, inclusive, $\mathrm{E} 1 / 2 \mathrm{~W} 1 / 2$ and E1/2;
Secs. 20,21 and 22 ;
Sec. 23 , lots 1,2 , and 3 , $\mathrm{SE}_{1} 1 / 4 \mathrm{SE} 1 / 4, \mathrm{~W} 1 / 2 \mathrm{E} 1 / 2$, and $W 1 / 2$;
Sec. 24 , lots 1,2 , and $3, \mathrm{E} 1 / 4, \mathrm{SE} 1 / 4 \mathrm{NW} 1 / 4$, NE $1 / 4$ SW $1 / 4$, and $\mathrm{S}_{1} 1 / 2 \mathrm{SW} 1 / 4$;
Secs. 25 to 29 , inclusive;
Sec. 30 , lots 1 to 4 , inclusive, $\mathrm{E}^{1} / 2 \mathrm{~W} 1 / 2$ and E1/2;
Sec. 31 , lots 1 to 11 , inclusive, NE $1 / 4, \mathrm{E}_{1} / 2$ NW $1 / 4, \mathrm{NE}^{1} / 4 \mathrm{SW} 1 / 4$, and $\mathrm{N} 1 / 2$ SE $1 / 4$;
Sec. 33, lots 1 to 8 , inclusive, $\mathrm{N} 1 / 2$ and $\mathrm{N} 1 / 2$ S $1 / 2$;
Sec. 34 , lots 1 to 8 , inclusive, $N 1 / 2$ and $\mathrm{N}^{1 / 2} \mathrm{~S} 1 / 2$;
Sec. 35 , lots 1 to 4 , inclusive, $N 1 / 2$ and $\mathrm{N} 1 / 2 \mathrm{~S} 1 / 2$;
Sec. 36 , lots 3 and $4, \mathrm{NE}^{1} / 4$ and $N 1 / 2 \mathrm{SE}_{1} 1 / 4$.
T. 4 S., R. 11 E.,

Sec. 4 , lots 1 to 4 , inclusive, and $\mathrm{S} 1 / 2 \mathrm{~N} 1 / 2$;
Sec. 18, lots 1 to 4 , inclusive, $E 1 / 2 W 1 / 2$ and E $1 / 2$.
T. 5 S., R. 11 E.,

Sec. 1, lots 1 to 4, inclusive, $\mathrm{S}_{1 / 2} \mathrm{~N} 1 / 2$ and $\mathrm{S} 1 / 2$;

Sec. 3, lots 1 to 4 , inclusive, $S 1 / 2 N 1 / 2$ and S $1 / 2$;
Sec. 4, lots 1 to 4 , inclusive, $S 1 / 2 N 1 / 2$ and S $1 / 2 ;$
Sec. 5 , lots 1 to 4 , inclusive, $\mathrm{S}^{1} / 2 \mathrm{~N}^{1} / 2$ and S $1 / 2$;
Sec. 6, lots 1 to 6 , inclusive, $\mathrm{S} 1 / 2$ NE $1 / 4$ and SE1/4;
Sec. 7 , lots 1 to 4, inclusive, and E $1 / 2$;
Secs. 8 to 15 , inclusive;
Sec. 16 , SW $1 / 4$;
Sec. 17;
Sec. 18, lots 1 to 4 , inclusive, and $E 1 / 2$;
Sec. 19 , lots 1 to 4 , inclusive, and $E 1 / 2$;
Secs. 20 to 29, inclusive;
Sec. 30 , lots 1 to 4 , inclusive, and $\mathrm{E}^{1} / 2$;
Sec. 31 , lots 1 to 4 , inclusive, and $E 1 / 2$;
Secs. 33,34 , and 35.
T. 7 S., R. 11 E.,

Secs. 34 and 35.
T. 8 S., R. 11 E.,

Sec. 1 , lots 3 and $4, \mathrm{~S} 1 / 2$ NW $1 / 4$, and $\mathrm{SW} 1 / 4$;
Sec. 3 , lots 1 to 4 , inclusive, $\mathrm{S} 1 / 2 \mathrm{~N} 1 / 2$ and S $1 / 2$;
Sec. 4, lots 1 to 4 , inclusive, $\mathrm{S} 1 / 2 \mathrm{~N} 1 / 2$ and S $1 / 2$;
Sec. 5 , lots 1 to 4 , inclusive, $S 1 / 2 N 1 / 2$ and S $1 / 2$;
Sec. 6, lots 1 to 7 , inclusive, $S 1 / 2 N E 1 / 4$, $\mathrm{SE}_{1} 1 / \mathrm{NW}_{1 / 4}, \mathrm{E}^{1} / 2 \mathrm{SW}^{1} / 4$, and $\mathrm{SE}^{1} / 4$;
Sec. 7, lots 1 to 4, inclusive, $\mathrm{E} 1 / 2 \mathrm{~W} 1 / 2$ and E $1 / 2$;
Secs. 8 to 11, inclusive;
Sec. $12, \mathrm{~W} 1 / 2$;
Secs. 14,15 , and 17 ;
Sec. 18, lots 1 to 4, inclusive, $E 1 / 2 W^{1} 1 / 2$ and E $1 / 2$;
Sec. 19, lots 1 to 4 , inclusive, E $1 / 2 \mathrm{~W} 1 / 2$ and E $1 / 2$;
Secs. 20 to 23 , inclusive;
Sec. $24, \mathrm{~W} 1 / 2$;
Sec. $25, \mathrm{~W} 1 / 2$;
Secs. 26 to 29 , inclusive;
Sec. 30 , lots 1 to 4 , inclusive, $E 1 / 2 W 1 / 2$ and E1/2;
Sec. 31, lots 1 to 4, inclusive, E $1 / 2 \mathrm{~W} 1 / 2$ and E1/2;
Secs. 38,34 , and 35.
T. 9 S., R. 11 E.,

Sec. 1, lots 1 to 4 , inclusive, $\mathrm{S}_{1} / 2 \mathrm{~N} 1 / 2$ and S $1 / 2$;
Sec. 5 , lots 1 to 4 , inclusive, $S 1 / 2 N 1 / 2$ and S $1 / 2$;
Sec. 6, lots 1 to 7, inclusive, SE $1 / 4$ NW $1 / 4$, $\mathrm{S}_{1} 1 / 2 \mathrm{NE}^{1} / 4, \mathrm{E}^{1} / 2 \mathrm{SW}^{1} / 4$, and $\mathrm{SE}^{1} 1 / 4$;
Sec. 7 , lots 1 to 4 , inclusive, $\mathrm{F}_{1} 1 / 2 \mathrm{~W} 1 / 2$ and E $1 / 2$;
Secs. 8 and 17 ;
Sec. 18 , lots 1 to 4 , inclusive, $E 1 / 2 \mathrm{~W} 1 / 2$ and E1/2;
Sec. 19, lots 1 to 4 , inclusive, $\mathrm{E} 1 / 2 \mathrm{~W} 1 / 2$ and E1/2;
Sec. 20,21 , and 23 to 29 , inclusive;
Sec. 30, lots 1 to 4, inclusive, $\mathrm{E}_{1} 1 / 2 \mathrm{~W} 1 / 2$ and E1/2;
Sec. 31, lots 1 to 4, inclusive, $\mathrm{E} 1 / 2 \mathrm{~W} 1 / 2$ and E1/2;
Secs. 33, 34 and 35.
T. 3 S., R. 12 E.,

Sec. 7, lots 1 to 6, inclusive, NE $1 / 4$ and $\mathrm{N} 1 / 2 \mathrm{SE}^{1} / 4$;
Secs. 10 to 15 , inclusive, and sec. 17 ;
Sec. 18 , lots 1 to 10 , inclusive, and $W 1 / 2$ SE $1 / 4$; Sec. 19, lots 1 to 4 , inclusive, and $E 1 / 2$;
Secs. 21 to 23 , inclusive, and secs. 25 to 27 , inclusive;
Sec. 38, $\mathrm{N} 1 / 2$.
T. 4 S., R. 12 E.,

Sec. $11, \mathrm{~S} 1 / 2$;
Sec. 12, $\mathrm{S} 1 / 2$;
Secs. 13,14 , and 15 ;
Sec. $21, \mathrm{E} 1 / 2$;
Secs. 23 and 24 ;
Sec. 25 , Iots 1,2 , and $3, N 1 / 2, N 1 / 2 S 1 / 2$, and SW $1 / 4$ SW $^{1 / 4}$;
Secs. $26,27,34$, and 35.
T. 5 S., R. 12 E.,

Sec. 1 , lots 1 to 4, inclusive, $\mathrm{S} 1 / 2 \mathrm{~N} 1 / 2$ and
$\mathrm{S} 1 / 2 ;$
Sec .3 , lots 1 to 4 , inclusive, $\mathrm{S}_{1} 1 / 2 \mathrm{~N} 1 / 2$ and S $1 / 2$;
Sec. $4, \mathrm{~S} 1 / 2$;
Sec. $5, \mathrm{~S} 1 / 2$;
Sec. 6, lot 6;
Secs. 8 to 12 , inclusive.
T. 2 S., R. 13 E.,

Sec. 26, NE $1 / 4 \mathrm{NE}^{1} / 4, \mathrm{~W} 1 / 2 \mathrm{NW} 1 / 4$, and $N W 1 / 4$ SW $1 / 4$;
Sec. $33, \mathrm{~W}_{1 / 2}$;
Sec. 34 , lots 2,3 , and $4, \mathrm{~W} 1 / 2$ NE $1 / 4$, NW $1 / 4$, $\mathrm{N} 1 / 2$ SW $1 / 4$, and $\mathrm{SW} 1 / 4$ SW $1 / 4$.
T. 3 S., R. 13 E.,

Sec. 1, lots 3 to 7 , inclusive, $S 1 / 2 N W 1 / 4$ and NW $1 / 4$ SE $1 / 4$;
Sec. 6 , lots 1 to 7 , inclusive, $\mathrm{S} 1 / 2 \mathrm{NE} 1 / 4, \mathrm{SE} 1 / 4$ $\mathrm{NW} 1 / 4, \mathrm{E} 1 / 2 \mathrm{SW}^{1 / 4}$, and $\mathrm{SE}^{1} / 4$;
Sec. 8 , lots 1 to 6 , inclusive, $\mathrm{SE}^{1} 1 / 4$ SW $1 / 4$ and E $1 / 2$;
Sec. 17;
Sec. 18 , lots 1 to 4 , inclusive, $E 1 / 2 \mathrm{~W} 1 / 2$ and E1/2;
Sec. 19 , lots 1 to 10 , inclusive, $\mathrm{NE} 1 / 4^{\mathrm{NE} ~} 1 / 4$ and $\mathrm{E}_{1} 1 / 2 \mathrm{SE}_{1} 1 / 4$;
Secs. 20 and 21 ;
Sec. $22, \mathrm{~S} 1 / 2$;
Sec. $23, W^{1 / 2}$ SW $1 / 4$;
Sec. $26, W^{1 / 2} \mathrm{~W}^{1 / 2}$;
Secs. 27,28 , and 29 ;
Sec. 31 , lots 1 and 2, E $1 / 2$ NW $1 / 4$ and E $1 / 2$;
Secs. 33 and 34 ;
Sec. $35, W^{1 / 2} W^{1 / 2}$ and $E 1 / 2$ SW $1 / 4$;
Sec. 36 , lots 1 to 4 , inclusive.
T. 4 S., R. 13 E.,

Sec. 12, W $1 / 2$ SW $1 / 4$ (except Pat. MS);
Sec. 13 (except Pat. MS) ;
Sec. 17 , lots 1 and $2, \dot{W} 1 / 2 N W 1 / 4, ~ E 1 / 2 W 1 / 2$, and $E 1 / 2$;
Sec. 18 , lots 1 to 6 , inclusive, $E 1 / 2 W^{1} / 2, W^{1 / 2}$ $\mathrm{E} 1 / 2$, and $\mathrm{E}_{1} / 2 \mathrm{NE}^{1} / 4$;
Sec. 19 , lots 1 to 4 , inclusive, $\mathrm{E} 1 / 2 \mathrm{~W} 1 / 2$ and $\mathrm{E} 1 / 2$;
Secs. 24 and 25 ;
Sec. $28, \mathrm{SE}^{1 / 4} \mathrm{SE}^{1} / 4$;
Sec. 30 , lots 4 to 7 , inclusive;
Sec. 33, NE $1 / 4$.
T. 5 S., R. 13 E.,

Sec. 1 , lots 1 to 7 , inclusive, SW $1 / 4 \mathrm{NE}^{1} / 4$, S $1 / 2$ NW $1 / 4$, SW $1 / 4$, and $\mathrm{W}^{1} / 2 \mathrm{SE}^{1} / 4$;
Secs. 8 and 9 ;
Sec. $10, \mathrm{~S} 1 / 2$;
Sec. $11, \operatorname{lot} 1, \mathrm{~N} 1 / 2 \mathrm{~S} 1 / 2, \mathrm{~S} 1 / 2 \mathrm{SW} 1 / 4$, and $\mathrm{SW}^{1} / 4$ SE $1 / 4$;
Sec. 12 , lots 1 to 7 , inclusive, $W 1 / 2$ NE $1 / 4$, NW $1 / 4, \mathrm{~N}^{1 / 2}$ SW $1 / 4$, and NW $1 / 4$ SE $1 / 4$;
Sec. 13 , lots 1 to 6 inclusive, $\mathrm{W} 1 / 2 \mathrm{NE} 1 / 4, \mathrm{~S} 1 / 2$ NW $1 / 4, \mathrm{SW} 1 / 4$, and $\mathrm{W} 1 / 2 \mathrm{SE} 1 / 4$;
Sec. $15, \mathrm{E} 1 / 2^{1 / 4} \mathrm{NW}^{1} / 4, \mathrm{~N}^{1} / 2 \mathrm{SW}^{11 / 4}$, and $\mathrm{SW}^{1 / 4}$ SW $1 / 4$;
Secs. $17,20,21,22$, and 23 ;
Sec. 25 , lots 1 to 4 , inclusive, $W 1 / 2 \mathrm{E} 1 / 2$ and W $1 / 2$;
Secs. $26,27,29,33,34$, and 35.
T. 3 S., R. 14 E.,

Sec. 18, lots 1, 2, 3 (except Pat. MS), and $4, \mathrm{E}^{1} / 2 \mathrm{~W}^{1 / 2}$ (except Pat. MS), and $\mathrm{W}^{1 / 2}$ SE $1 / 4$;
Sec. 19, lots 1 to 4 , inclusive, $E 1 / 2 \mathrm{~W} 1 / 2$ and E1/2;
Sec. $20, \mathrm{~W}^{1} / 2$ and $\mathrm{S} 1 / 2 \mathrm{SE}^{1} 1 / 4$;
Sec. $21, \mathrm{~S} 1 / 2 \mathrm{~S} 11 /$;
Sec. $22, \mathrm{E} 1 / 2 \mathrm{NW} 1 / 4$, NW $1 / 4 \mathrm{SE} 1 / 4$, NE $1 / 4 \mathrm{SW} 1 / 4$, SW $1 / 4$ SW $1 / 4$, and SE $1 / 4$ SE $1 / 4$;
Secs. 25 and 26 , that portion in Pinal County;
Sec. 27 , lots 4 to 8 , inclusive, and $S 1 / 2$;
Secs. 28 and 29 ;
Secs. $80, \mathrm{~N}^{1} / 2 \mathrm{NE} 1 / 4, \mathrm{SE}^{1} 1 / 4 \mathrm{NE} 1 / 4$, and $\mathrm{NE} 1 / 4$ NW $1 / 4$;
Secs. 33,34 , and 35 .
T. 4 S., R. 14 E.,

Sec. 1 , lots 1 to 4 , inclusive, $\mathrm{S} 1 / 2 \mathrm{~N} 1 / 2$, NW $1 / 4$ SW $1 / 4, \mathrm{~S} 1 / 2$ SW $1 / 4$, and $\mathrm{SE} 1 / 4$, that portion in Pinal County;
Sec. 3 , lots 1 to 4 , inclusive, $\mathrm{S} 1 / 2 \mathrm{~N} 1 / 2$ and S $1 / 2$;

Sec. 4, lots 1 to 4, inclusive, $\mathrm{S} 1 / 2 \mathrm{~N} 1 / 2$ and S $1 / 2$;
Sec. 5 , lots 1 to 12, inclusive;
Sec. 8, $\mathrm{E} 1 / 2 \mathrm{NE} 1 / 4$ and $\mathrm{NE} 1 / 4 \mathrm{SE} 1 / 4$;
Sec. 9, lots 1 and $11, \mathrm{NE} 1 / 4 \mathrm{NE}^{1} 1 / 4$ and $\operatorname{SW} 1 / 4$;
Sec. 10 , lots 1 to 7 , inclusive, $\mathrm{S} 1 / 2 \mathrm{NE} 1 / 4$, $\mathrm{SE}^{1} / 4 \mathrm{NW} 1 / 4, \mathrm{E}_{1} 1 / 2 \mathrm{SW} 1 / 4$, and $\mathrm{SE}_{1} 1 / 4$;
Sec. 11;
Sec. $12, \mathrm{NE}^{1} 1 / 4 \mathrm{NE}^{1} 1 / 4, \mathrm{~S} 1 / 2 \mathrm{NE}^{1} 1 / 4$, and $\mathrm{SE}^{1} / 4$;
Sec. 13 ;
Sec. 14 , lots 1 to 7 , inclusive, NE $1 / 4, \mathrm{E} 1 / 2$ NW $1 / 4, \mathrm{NE} 1 / 4 \mathrm{SW} 1 / 4$, and $\mathrm{N} 1 / 2 \mathrm{SE} 1 / 4$;
Sec. 15 , lots 1 to 11 , inclusive, $\mathrm{NE}^{1} / 4 \mathrm{NE}^{1} / 4$ and SW $1 / 4$;
Sec. 19 , lots 1 to 4 , inclusive, $E 1 / 2 \mathrm{~W} 1 / 2$, W $1 / 2$ SE $1 / 4$, and SE $1 / 4$ SE $1 / 4$;
Sec. $22, N^{1} / 2 N^{1 / 2}$ and $\mathrm{SE}^{1} / 4 \mathrm{NE}^{1} / 4$;
Sec. $23, N 1 / 2, N 1 / 2 S 1 / 2$, and $\mathrm{SE}^{1} 1 / 4 \mathrm{SE}^{1} 1 / 4$;
Sec. 24 , lots 1 to 8 , inclusive, $\mathrm{N}^{1 / 2} \mathrm{~N} 1 / 2$ and SW $1 / 4$;
Sec. 25 ;
Sec. $26, \mathrm{E}_{1} 1 / 2 \mathrm{E} 1 / 2$;
Sec. 29, lots 1 to 4 , inclusive, $W 1 / 2 W 1 / 2$ and S $1 / 2$ SE $^{1 / 4}$;
Sec. 30, lots 1 to 4, inclusive, E $1 / 2 \mathrm{~W} 1 / 2$ and E $1 / 2$;
Sec. 31 , lots 1 to 7 , inclusive, NE $1 / 4, \mathrm{E} 1 / 2^{2}$ NW $1 / 4, \mathrm{NE}^{1} / 4 \mathrm{SW}^{1} / 4$, and $\mathrm{N}^{1} / 2 \mathrm{SE}^{1} 1 / 4$;
$\mathrm{Sec} .33, \mathrm{~S} 1 / 2$ and $\mathrm{S} 1 / 2 \mathrm{NE} 1 / 4$;
Sec. $34, \mathrm{~S}^{1 / 2}$ SW $1 / 4$.
T. 5 S., R. 14 E.,

Sec. 1 , lots 1 and 2 , and SE $1 / 4$ NE $1 / 4$; $^{2}$
Sec. 3 , lots 2,3 , and $4, \mathrm{~S} 1 / 2 \mathrm{~N} 1 / 2$, and $\mathrm{S} 1 / 2$;
Sec. 4 , lots 1 to 4 , inclusive, $\mathrm{S} 1 / 2 \mathrm{~N} 1 / 2$ and S $1 / 2$;
Sec. 5 , lots 1 to 4 , inclusive, and $S 1 / 2 \mathrm{~N} 1 / 2$;
Sec. 6, lots 1 to 7 , inclusive, $\mathrm{S} 1 / 2 \mathrm{NE} 1 / 4$, SE $1 / 4 \mathrm{NW}^{1 / 4}, \mathrm{E}_{1} 1 / 2 \mathrm{SW} 1 / 4$, and SE $1 / 4$;
Sec. 8, $\mathbf{S} 1 / 2$;
Secs. 9,10 , and 11 ;
Sec. $12, \mathrm{~S} 1 / 2 \mathrm{NW}^{1} / 4$, $\mathrm{SW} 1 / 4, \mathrm{~W} 1 / 2 \mathrm{SE}^{1} / 4$, and SE $1 / 4$ SE $1 / 4$;
Secs. 13,15 , and 17 ;
Sec. 18, lots 2,3 , and $4, \mathrm{NE} 1 / 4$, and SE $1 / 4$ NW $1 / 4$;
Secs. 20,21 , and 22 ;
Sec. 25 , SE $1 / 4$ SW $^{1 / 4}$.
T. 4 S., R. 15 E.,

Secs. 6, 7, and 17, that portion in Pinal County;
Sec. 18 , lot 1 and NE $1 / 4$ NW $1 / 4$;
Sec. 19, lots 1 to 4 , inclusive, $\mathrm{SE}^{1} 1 / 4 \mathrm{NW} 1 / 4$, $\mathrm{E} 1 / 2 \mathrm{SW} 1 / 4$, and $\mathrm{E} 1 / 2$;
Secs. 20, 28, and 29, that portion in Pinal County;
Sec. 30 , lots 1 to 4 , inclusive, $E 1 / 2 W 1 / 2$, and E $1 / 2$;
Sec. 31 , lots 1 to 4 , inclusive, $E 1 / 2 \mathrm{~W} 1 / 2$, $\mathrm{W} 1 / 2 \mathrm{NE}_{1} / 4, \mathrm{SE}_{1} / 4 \mathrm{NE}_{1} / 4$, and $\mathrm{SE}_{1} 1 / 4$;
Sec. 33, that portion in Pinal County.
T. 5 S., R. 15 E.,

Secs. 3 and 4, that portion in Pinal County;
Sec. 5 , lots 1 to 4 , inclusive, $\mathrm{S}^{1} / 2 \mathrm{~N} 1 / 2$ and
$\mathrm{S} 1 / 2$;
Sec. 6 , lots 1 to 5 , inclusive, $\mathrm{S} 1 / 2 \mathrm{NE} 1 / 4$, $\mathrm{SE} 1 / 4$ NW $1 / 4, \mathrm{NE}^{1} / 4 \mathrm{SW}^{1} 14, \mathrm{~N}^{1} / 2 \mathrm{SE}^{1 / 4}$, and $\mathrm{SE}^{1 / 4}$ SE $1 / 4$;
Sec. 7, lot 4;
Sec. 8, NE $1 / 4$ NE $1 / 4$;
Sec. $9, \mathrm{~N}^{1} / 2 \mathrm{~N} 1 / 2$ and $\mathrm{SE}^{1} / 4 \mathrm{NE}^{1} / 4$;
Sec. $17, \mathrm{~S}^{1 / 2} \mathrm{~N}^{1} 1 / 2$ and $\mathrm{S} 1 / 2$;
Sec. 18 , lots 1 to 4 , inclusive, $E 1 / 2$ W $1 / 2$ and $E 1 / 2$;
Sec. 19 , lots 1 to 4 , inclusive, $E 1 / 2 \mathrm{~W} 1 / 2$ and $\mathrm{E} 1 / 2$;
Sec. 20;

Sec. $25, \mathrm{E}_{1} 1 / 2 \mathrm{NE} 1 / 4$;
Sec. $28, \mathrm{~W}^{1 / 2}$ and SE $1 / 4$;
Sec. 29;
Sec. 30 , lots 1 to 4, inclusive, E $1 / 2 \mathrm{~W} 1 / 2$ and E1/2;
Sec. 33 ;
Sec. 34 , $W 1 / 2$.
T. 6 S., R. 15 E.,

Secs. $1,3,10,11$, and 12.
T. 4 S ., R. 16 E.,

Sec. 33, E $1 / 2$ NE $1 / 4$ (outside San Carlos Indian Reservation), $\mathrm{W} 1 / 2 \mathrm{NW} 1 / 4$, and $\mathbf{S} 1 / 2$.
T. 5 S., R. 16 E.,

Sec. 4 (outside San Carlos Indian Reservation);
Sec. 5 , SE $1 / 4$ SE $1 / 4$;
Sec. 8;
Sec. 9, outside San Carlos Indian Reservation, except patented millsite;
Sec. 17;
Sec. 18, lots 3 and $4, \mathrm{E}_{1} 1 / 2$ and E $1 / 2$ SW $1 / 4$;
Sec. 19;
Sec. $20, \mathrm{~N}^{1} / 2 \mathrm{NE} 1 / 4, \mathrm{~S} 1 / 2 \mathrm{SE} 1 / 4$, and $\mathrm{W} 1 / 2$;
Sec. 21, outside San Carlos Indian Reservation, except SW $1 / 4 \mathrm{SW}^{1 / 4}$;
Sec. 28, E $1 / 2$, outside San Carlos Indian Reservation, and SW $1 / 4$;
Sec. 29;
Sec. 33, outside San Carlos Indian Reservation.
T. $6 \mathrm{~S} ., \mathrm{R} .16 \mathrm{E}$.,

Sec. 4;
Secs. 13,23 , and 24 , public lands south of San Carlos Indian Reservation;
Sec. $25, N 1 / 2 N 1 / 2$;
Sec. 26, $\mathrm{N}^{1 / 2} \mathrm{NE}^{1} / 4$.
T. 6 S., R. 17 E.,

Secs. 1, 3, 7, 8, and 9, public lands south of San Carlos Indian Reservation.
T. 9 S., R. 17 E.,

Sec. 3, lot $1, \mathrm{~S} 1 / 2 \mathrm{NE} 1 / 4, \mathrm{SE} 1 / 4 \mathrm{NW} 1 / 4, \mathrm{SE} 1 / 4$, and $\mathrm{E} 1 / 2 \mathrm{SW} 1 / 4$;
Sec. 10 , NE $1 / 4$, NE $1 / 4$ NW $1 / 4$, and $\mathrm{E}_{1} 1 / 2 \mathrm{SE}^{1} / 4$;
Secs. 11, 12, and 13 ;
Sec. $24, \mathrm{~N}^{1} 1 / \mathrm{N}^{1} / 2, \mathrm{~S}^{1} / 2 \mathrm{NE} 1 / 4$, and $\mathrm{NE}^{1 / 4} \mathrm{SE}^{1 / 4}$.
T. 5 S., R. 18 E.,

Secs. 13, 21, 22, 23, 24, 28, 29, and 31 , public lands south of San Carlos Indian Reservation.
T. 6 S., R. 18 E.,

Sec. 12, SE $1 / 4$;
Sec. $13, \mathrm{~N} 1 / 2, \mathrm{~N} 1 / 2 \mathrm{~S} 1 / 2$, and $\mathrm{SE}^{2} 1 / 4 \mathrm{SE}^{1} / 4$;
Sec. 14, $\mathrm{S}^{1} 1 / 2 \mathrm{NE}^{1} / 4$, and $\mathrm{N} 1 / 2 \mathrm{SE}^{1 / 4}$;
Sec. $15, \mathrm{~S} 1 / 2 \mathrm{~S} 1 / 2$;
Sec. $17, \mathrm{NW} 1 / 4$ SE $1 / 4, \mathrm{SE}_{1} / 4 \mathrm{SE}^{1} / 4, \mathrm{NE} 1 / 4$ SW $1 / 4$, and SW $1 / 4$ SW $1 / 4$;
Sec. 18, S $1 / 2 \mathrm{SE}^{1} 1 / 4$, and $\mathrm{SE}^{1} 1 / 2$ SW $1 / 4$;
Sec. 23 , $\mathrm{SE}^{1 / 4} \mathrm{NE} 1 / 4$;
Sec. $24, \mathrm{~S}^{1} / 2 \mathrm{NW} 1 / 4$, and $\mathrm{S} 1 / 2$;
Sec. $25, W 1 / 2 E 1 / 2$ and $W 1 / 2$.
T. 7 S., R. 18 E.,

Sec. $22, \mathrm{~N} 1 / 2, \mathrm{~W} 1 / 2 \mathrm{SE}^{1} 1 / 4$, and SW $1 / 4$;
Sec. $23, \mathrm{~N} 1 / 2$, and $\mathrm{N}^{1} / 2$ SE $1 / 4$;
Sec. 24, SW $^{1 / 4}$;
Sec. $25, \mathrm{NE}^{1 / 4}$, and $\mathrm{E}^{1} / 2 \mathrm{NW} 1 / 4$.
T. 8 S., R. 18 E.,

Sec. 3, lots $9,10,12$, and 13 ;
Sec. 4, lots 10 and 11 ;
Sec. $9, \mathrm{SE} 1 / 4$;
Sec. 10 (except Pat. MC);
Sec. 11, lots 1,2 , and 3 , NE $1 / 4 \mathrm{NW} 1 / 4$, NE $1 / 4$ SW $1 / 4$, S $1 / 2$ SW $1 / 4$, and $E 1 / 2$;
Sec. 14 (except Pat. MC);
Sec. $15, \mathrm{~N}^{1} / 2$, and $\mathrm{SE}^{1} / 4$ :
Sec. $22, \mathrm{NE} 1 / 4 \mathrm{NE} 1 / 4, \mathrm{SW} 1 / 4 \mathrm{NE} 1 / 4, \mathrm{SE}^{1} / 4 \mathrm{SE}^{1} / 4$, SW $1 / 4$ NW $1 / 4$;
Sec. 23 , lots 1 to 6 , inclusive, $\mathrm{E} 1 / 2^{2} \mathrm{NE} 1 / 4$, and $\mathrm{S}_{1} 1 / 2$.
T. 9 S., R. 18 E.,

Sec. 1 , lots 1 to 4 , inclusive, and $\mathrm{S}^{1} 1 / 2 \mathrm{~N} 1 / 2$;
Sees. 3 to 11, inclusive;
Sec. $12, \mathrm{~S} 1 / 2$;
Secs. 13 to 15 , inclusive, and secs. 17 to 28, inclusive;
Sec. 29 , lots 1 to 8 , inclusive, $\mathrm{N}_{1} / 2 \mathrm{~S} 1 / 2$, S $1 / 2$ SE $1 / 4$, and SE $1 / 4$ SW $1 / 4$;
Sec. 30 , lots 1 and $2, \mathrm{E} 1 / 2 \mathrm{NW} 1 / 4, \mathrm{NE}^{1} / 4$, and $\mathrm{N} 1 / 2$ SE $1 / 4$;
Secs. 33,34 , and 35 .
The public lands in the area described aggregate approximately $353,704.40$ acres.
4. For a period of 30 days from date of publication in the Federal Register, this classification shall be subject to the exercise of administrative review and modification by the Secretary of the Interior as provided for in 43 CFR 2411.2c. For a period of 30 days, interested parties may submit comments to the Secretary of the

Interior, LLM, 721, Washington, D.C. 20240.

## Fred J. Wemer, State Director.

DECEMBER $13,1968$.
[F.R. Doc. 68-15084; Filed, Dec. 18, 1968; 8:45 a.m.]

## [A 2153]

## ARIZONA

Notice of Classification of Public Lands for Transfer Out of Federal Ownership and for Multiple-Use Management

1. Pursuant to the Act of September 19, 1964 (43 U.S.C. 1411-18) and to the regulations in 43 CFR Parts 2410 and 2411, the public lands described below are hereby classified for transfer out of Federal ownership or classified for multipleuse management as indicated in paragraphs 3 a and 3 b of this notice. Publication of this notice has the effect of segregating the lands described in paragraph 3a from all forms of appropriation under the public land laws, including the mining laws, except for applications consistent with the classification of the lands. Publication of this notice has the effect of segregating the public lands described in paragraph 3b from appropriation only under the agricultural land laws ( 43 U.S.C. Parts 7 and 9, 25 U.S.C. 334) and from sale under section 2455 of the Revised Statutes (43 U.S.C. 1171). The lands described in paragraph 3b shall remain open to all other forms of appropriation, including the mining and mineral leasing laws. As used in this order, the term "public lands" means any lands withdrawn or reserved by Executive Order No. 6910 of November 26, 1934, as amended, or within a grazing district established pursuant to the Act of June 28, 1934 (48 Stat. 1269), as amended, which are not otherwise withdrawn or reserved for a Federal use or purpose. 2. The notice of the proposed classification of these lands was published June 20, 1968, in 33 F.R. 9117 through 9119 and was widely publicized. The notice proposed to classify $119,451.47$ acres of public land for transfer out of Federal ownership. The purpose of the proposed classification was to identify the means by which these public lands should be transferred out of Federal ownership for either local public use or private ownership and development. Public hearings were held on July 23 , 1968, in the new City Hall at Tucson, Arizona and on July 24, 1968, at the Pinal County Courthouse, Florence, Ariz. Many objections to this proposed transfer were received from ranching, mining, outdoor recreation, and wildlife interests. Individual ranchers protested the sale or other disposal of certain lands which they had under lease, and which they felt were vital to their ranch operations. Mining industry representatives identified many of the tracts as having mineral potential and asked that these lands remain in public ownership and open to mining location. Outdoor recreation and
wildlife interests requested that many of the tracts be retained in public ownership for general public use. As a result of the information and comments received from the public, many of the tracts of public land proposed for transfer out of Federal ownership are being classified instead for multiple-use management in continued Federal ownership. These lands, totaling $51,677.73$ acres, are described in paragraph 3b below. The information and comments received indicated that it was proper to proceed with the classification and transfer of some of the lands at this time. These lands, totaling $6,580.84$ acres, are classified for various means of transfer in paragraph 3 a below.

There is not sufficient information or demand to permit classification at this time $59,925.90$ acres of remaining lands included in the notice of proposed classification of public lands for transfer out of Federal ownership. These lands will remain open to mining location and petition-application for sale, exchange, selection, lease or patent consistent with the notice of proposed classification.
3. The public lands described below, located in Pinal and Pima Counties, Ariz., and are classified as follows:
a. The following lands are classified for State indemnity lieu selection ( 43 U.S.C. 851, 852) :

Gilla and Salt River Meridian, Arizona

```
                                PIMA COUNTY
```

T. 15 S., R. 10 E.,

Sec. $25, \mathrm{~S} 1 / 2$;
Sec. 26, E $1 / 2$ SE $1 / 4$;
Sec. 34, lots 1 to 34 inclusive.
T. 16 S., R. 10 E.,

Sec. 12, NW $1 / 4$.
T. 12 S., R. 11 E.,

Sec. $24, \mathrm{SE}^{1 / 4}$.
T. 15 S., R. 11 E.,

Sec. 19, lots $2,4,5$, and 7 ;
Sec. $20, \mathrm{E}_{1} 1 / 2 \mathrm{E} 1 / 2$.
The public lands described above aggregate approximately $1,226.59$ acres.

The following lands are classified for sale under section 2455 of the Revised Statutes (43 U.S.C. 1171) :

Gila and Salt River Meridian

```
pIMA COUNTY
```

T. 14 S., R. 11 E., Sec. 4, SE $1 / 4$ SE $^{1 / 4}$
T. 15 S., R. 12 E.,

Sec. 19 , lots 1 to 4 inclusive, E $1 / 2 \mathrm{~W} 1 / 2, \mathrm{E} 1 / 2$; Sec. $20, \mathrm{~W} 1 / 2$ and $\operatorname{SE} 1 / 4$.
T. 18 S ., R. 12 E.,

Secs. 9 and 10 , all remaining public land.
T. 16 S., R. 15 E.,

Sec. 7, lot 3.
T. 17 S., R. 15 E.,

Sec. 30 , lots 1 to 8 inclusive, $\mathrm{E} 1 / 2$ and $\mathrm{E}^{1 / 2} \mathrm{~W}^{1 / 2}$.
T. $18 \mathrm{~S} ., \mathrm{R} .17 \mathrm{E} .$,

Secs. 7, 8, 17, and 18, public lands outside patented mining claim.

```
PINAL COUNTY
```

T. 8 S., R. 17 E.,

Sec. 30, SE $1 / 4$ SE $1 / 4$.
The public lands described above aggregate approximately 2,870 acres.

The following lands are classified for lease or sale under Recreation and Public Purposes Act (44 Stat. 741) :

Gila and Salt River Meridian pinal county
T. 7 S., R. 4 E.,

Secs. 27 and 34 ;
Sec. 35 , W $1 / 2$
T. 7 S., R. 6 E.,

Sec. $26, \mathrm{~N}^{1 / 2}$ NW $1 / 4$.
T. 4 S., R. 8 E.,

Sec. 12, $\mathrm{SE}^{1} / 4$.
T. 4 S., R. 9 E.,

Sec. 7 , lots 3 and $4, E 1 / 2$ SW $1 / 4$;
Sec. 18, lots 1 to 4 , inclusive and $\mathrm{E} 1 / 2 \mathrm{~W} 1 / 2$.
T. 2 S., R. 10 E.,

Sec. 3, N $1 / 2$ NW $1 / 4$ and SW $1 / 4$ NW $1 / 4$;
Sec. $4, \mathrm{NE}_{1 / 4} \mathrm{NE}^{1 / 4}$.
The public lands described above aggregate approximately $2,484.25$ acres.

The total acreage of public lands described in paragraph $3 a$ is approximately 6,580.84 acres.
b. The following lands are classified for multiple-use management and segregated as described in paragraph 1.

Gila and Salt River Meridian, Arizona

## PINAL AND PIMA COUNTIES

T. 10 S., R. 9 E.,

Sec. 29 , SW $1 / 4$ and $\mathrm{W} 1 / 2$ SE $1 / 4$;
Secs. 30 and 31.
T. 5 S., R. 10 E .,

Secs. 3 and 4;
Sec. 8, NE $1 / 4$;
Sec. $9, \mathrm{~N} 1 / 2$ and $\mathrm{SE}_{1} 1 / 4$;
Sec. $10, \mathrm{~W} 1 / 2, \mathrm{~W} 1 / 2 \mathrm{E} 1 / 2$, and $\mathrm{E} 1 / 2 \mathrm{SE}^{1 / 4}$;
Sec. $11, \mathrm{~N}^{1} 1 / 2 \mathrm{NW}^{1} 1 / 4$ and $\mathrm{S} 1 / 2 \mathrm{~S}^{1 / 2}$;
Sec. 13 , NW $1 / 4$;
Sec. 14;
Sec. 15, NW $1 / 4$ and $S 1 / 2$;
Sec. $20, \mathrm{E} 1 / 2$;
Sec. $21, \mathrm{E}_{1 / 2}$ and SW $1 / 4$;
Sec. 22, SE $1 / 4$;
Sec. $23, \mathrm{E}^{1} / 2$ and $\mathrm{SW} 1 / 4$;
Sec. $25, \mathrm{NW}^{1} / 4$ and SE $1 / 4$;
Sec. $26, \mathrm{NE}^{1} / 4 \mathrm{NE}^{1} 1 / 4, \mathrm{~W}^{1} 1 / 2 \mathrm{NE}^{1} / 4$, and NW $1 / 4$;
Sec. 29, SW $1 / 4$;
Sec. 30 , lots 1 to 4 , inclusive, $\mathrm{S} 1 / 2 \mathrm{NE} 1 / 4$.
E $1 / 2 \mathrm{~W} 1 / 2$, and $\mathrm{SE}^{1} 1 / 4$;
Sec. $31, \mathrm{~W}^{1 / 2}$.
T. 6 S., R. 10 E.

Sec. 4, lots 3 to 7, inclusive, and 9 to 12, inclusive, $\mathrm{S} 1 / 2 \mathrm{~N} 1 / 2$, and $\mathrm{S} 1 / 2$;
Sec. 5 ;
Sec. 6, lots 1 to 4 , inclusive 7 and 8 ;
Sec. $8, \mathrm{~S} 1 / 2$ and $\mathrm{S} 1 / 2 \mathrm{~N} 1 / 2$;
Sec. 9 , SW $1 / 4$;
Sec. $14, \mathrm{~N} 1 / 2$ and $\mathrm{SW} 1 / 4$;
Secs. 15 and 17 ;

Sec. 20, S $1 / 2$;
Sec. $21, \mathrm{~S} 1 / 2$;
Sec. 22, $\mathrm{N} 1 / 2$;
Sec. 23 , NW $1 / 4$;
Sec. 30 , lots 1 and 2 , $\mathrm{NE}^{1} / 4, \mathrm{E} 1 / 2 \mathrm{NW}^{1} / 4$, $\mathrm{NE}_{1} 1 / 4 \mathrm{SW} 1 / 4, \mathrm{~N}_{1} 1 / 2 \mathrm{SE}^{1} 1 / 4$, and $\mathrm{SE}_{1} 1 / 4 \mathrm{SE}^{1} / 4$.
T. $7 \mathrm{~S} ., \mathrm{R} .10 \mathrm{E}$.,

Sec. $5, W^{11 / 2}$ SW $^{1} / 4$ and SE $1 / 4$ SW $^{11 / 4}$;
Sec. 6 , lots 2 to 7 , inclusive, $~ \$ 1 / 2 \mathrm{NE}^{1} / 4$, $\mathrm{SE}_{1} / 4 \mathrm{NW} 1 / 4, \mathrm{E} 1 / 2 \mathrm{SW}^{1} / 4$, and $\mathrm{SE}^{1} / 4$;
Sec. 7, lots 1 to 4 , inclusive, $\mathrm{NE}^{1} / 4$, NE $1 / 4$ NW $1 / 4$, and $E 1 / 2$ SW $1 / 4$;
Sec. $8, W 1 / 2 E 1 / 2$ and $W 1 / 2$.
T. 7 S., R. 12 E.,

Secs. 14, 21, $22,23,25$ to 29 , inclusive, 31 , 33,34 , and 35.
T. 8 S., R. 12 E.,

Sec. 6 , lots 5,6 , and 10 to 14 , inclusive.
T. 13 S., R. 12 E.,

Sec. 9, S $1 / 2$;
Sec. 21 , NW $1 / 4$ and $N 1 / 2$ SW $1 / 4$;
Sec. $28, \mathrm{~N}_{1} / 2 \mathrm{~S} 1 / 2$ and $\mathrm{S} 1 / 2 \mathrm{SW}^{1} / 4$;
Sec. 33 , $\operatorname{lot} 1, \mathrm{~S} 1 / 2 \mathrm{NE} 1 / 4, \mathrm{E} 1 / 2 \mathrm{NW} 1 / 4, \mathrm{~S} 1 / 2 \mathrm{SW}^{1 / 4}$, and $\mathrm{NE} 1 / 4 \mathrm{SE}_{1 / 4}$;
Sec. 34, lots 1 and 2.
T. 14 S., R. 12 E.

Sec. $28, \mathrm{~N}^{1} / 2, \mathrm{NE}^{1} / 4 \mathrm{SW} 1 / 4$, and $\mathrm{N}^{1} / 2 \mathrm{SE}^{1} / 4$;
Sec. 29 , lots 1 to 32 , inclusive, and $\mathrm{NE}^{1} / 4$; Sec. 30 , lots 9 to 72 , inclusive.
T. 7 S., R. 13 E.,

Sec. $3, \operatorname{lot} 4, S 1 / 2 N 1 / 2$ and $S 1 / 2$;
Secs. $4,5,8,17,19,20,21$, and 22 ;

Sec. $28, \mathrm{~N}_{1} / 2 \mathrm{NE}^{1} / 4$.
T. 6 S., R. 16 E.,

Sec. 6, lots 6 and 7;
Sec. 17 , W $1 / 2$ W $1 / 2$;
Secs. 18 and 19 ;
Sec. $20, W^{1} 1 / 2$ NW $1 / 4$ and SW $^{1} / 4$;
Sec. $21, \mathrm{E}^{1 / 2}$;
Sec. 27, Public lands south of San Carlos Indian Reservation
Sec. $28, \mathrm{NE}^{1} / 4$ and $\mathrm{N} 1 / 2 \mathrm{NE}^{1} / 4 \mathrm{SE}^{1} / 4$;
Sec. 29, W $1 / 2$;
Secs. 30 and 31 ;
Sec. 35 , $\mathrm{NE}^{1} / 4 \mathrm{SE}^{1} 1 / 4, \quad \mathrm{E} 1 / 2 \mathrm{NW} 1 / 4 \mathrm{SE}^{1} / 4$, and E $1 / 2 \mathrm{SE}^{1} / 4 \mathrm{SE}^{1} / 4$;
Sec. 36 .
T. 7.S., R. 16 E.,

Sec. 1 , lots 1 to 4 , inclusive, and $S 1 / 2 N 1 / 2$; Sec. $12, \mathrm{SE}_{1 / 4}$;
Sec. $13, \mathrm{E}^{1} / 2, \mathrm{~N}^{1} / 2 \mathrm{NW}^{1} / 4, \mathrm{E}^{1} 1 / 2 \mathrm{SE}^{1} / 4 \mathrm{NW}^{1} / 4$, and SW $1 / 4$;
Sec. 14, $\quad \mathrm{N}^{1} / 2 \mathrm{~N}^{1} / 2, \quad W^{1 / 4} \mathrm{SW}^{1} / 4 \mathrm{NW}^{1} 1 / 4, \quad \mathrm{NW}^{1} / 4$ SW $1 / 4, \mathrm{E}^{1} / 2 \mathrm{NE}^{1} / 4 \mathrm{SE}^{1} / 4$, and $\mathrm{S}^{1} / 2 \mathrm{~S}^{1} / 2$;
Sec. $24, \mathrm{E} 1 / 2, \mathrm{NE}^{1} 1 / 4 \mathrm{NW} 1 / 4$, and $\mathrm{SE}_{1} 1 / 4 \mathrm{SW}^{1} / 4$;
Sec. $25, \mathrm{NE}^{1} / 4, \mathrm{~N} 1 / 2 \mathrm{SE}^{1} 1 / 4$, and $\mathrm{SE} 1 / 4 \mathrm{SE}^{2} 1 / 4$;
Sec. $26, \mathrm{~N}_{1 / 2} \mathrm{NE} 1 / 4, \mathrm{SE} ~^{1} / 4 \mathrm{NE}^{1} / 4$, and $\mathrm{NE}^{1} 1 / 4 \mathrm{SE}^{1} 14$; SE $1 / 4$;
T. 8 S., R. 16 E.,

Sec. 1 , SW $1 / 4$ SW $1 / 4$;
Sec. 12 , $\mathrm{W} 1 / 2 \mathrm{~W} 1 / 2, \mathrm{E} 1 / 2 \mathrm{SE} 1 / 4$, and $\mathrm{W} 1 / 2 \mathrm{SE} 1 / 4$;
Secs. 13 and 14 ;
Secs. 23 and 24 ;
Sec. $25, \quad \mathrm{~S} 1 / 2 \mathrm{~N} 1 / 2 \mathrm{SW} 1 / 4, \quad \mathrm{~S} 1 / 2 \mathrm{SW} 1 / 4, \quad \mathrm{SW}^{1} / 4$ NW $1 / 4 \mathrm{SE}^{1} / 4$, and W $1 / 2 \mathrm{SW}^{1} / 4 \mathrm{SE}^{1 / 4}$;
Sec. 26, Public lands outside patented Mining Claim;
Sec. 35 , Public lands outside patented Mining Claim.
T. $6 \mathrm{~S} ., \mathrm{R} .17 \mathrm{E}$.,

Sec. $26, \mathrm{~N}^{1} / 2 \mathrm{NW} 1 / 4, \mathrm{SW} 1 / 4 \mathrm{NW} 1 / 4$, and $N W 1 / 4$ SW $1 / 4$;
Sec. $27, N 1 / 2, N 1 / 2 \mathrm{~S} 1 / 2, \mathrm{~S} 1 / 2 \mathrm{SW} 1 / 4$, and $S W 1 / 4$ SE $1 / 4$;
Secs. 31 and 33;
Sec. $34, N 1 / 2 \mathrm{NW}^{1} 1 / 4$, SW $1 / 4$ NW $1 / 4$, and $\mathrm{NW}^{1} 1 / 4$ SW $1 / 4$.
T. 7 S., R. 17 E.,

Sec. 4, lots 2,3 , and $4, S 1 / 2 N W 1 / 4$, and N $1 / 2$ SW $1 / 4$;
Sec. 5 , lots 1 to 4 , inclusive, $\mathrm{S} 1 / 2 \mathrm{~N} 1 / 2, N 1 / 2$ $\mathrm{S} 1 / 2, \mathrm{SE}^{1} / 4 \mathrm{SW} 1 / 4$, and $\mathrm{S} 1 / 2 \mathrm{SE} 1 / 4$;
Sec. 6, lots 1 to 5 , inclusive, $\mathrm{S} 1 / 2 \mathrm{NE} 1 / 4$, $\mathrm{SE}^{1} 1 / 4, \mathrm{NW}^{1} 14, \mathrm{NE}^{1} / 4 \mathrm{SW}^{1} / 4$, and $\mathrm{NE}^{1} / 4 \mathrm{SE}^{1} / 4$;
Sec. 7, lots 3 and $4, \mathrm{E}^{1} / 2 \mathrm{SW}^{1 / 4}$ and $\mathrm{SE}_{1} / 4$;
Sec. 8 , SW $1 / 4 \mathrm{NE}^{1} / 4, \mathrm{~S} 1 / 2 \mathrm{NW} 1 / 4$, and $\mathrm{S} 1 / 2$;
Secs. $19,20,21$, and 28 to 31 , inclusive.
T. $6 \mathrm{~S} ., \mathrm{R} .17 \mathrm{E}$.,

Sec. 5
Sec. 6, lots 1 to 5 , inclusive, $\mathrm{S} 1 / 2 \mathrm{NE} 1 / 4$, $\mathrm{SE}^{1} / 4 \mathrm{NW} 1 / 4, \mathrm{NE} 1 / 4 \mathrm{SW} 1 / 4$, and $\mathrm{SE}^{1} / 4$;
Sec. 7, $\mathrm{N} 1 / 2 \mathrm{NE}_{1 / 4}$;
Sec. 18 , lots 3 and 4.
The total acreage of the public lands described in paragraph 3b is approximately $51,677.73$ acres.
4. The public lands classified in this notice are shown on maps on file and available for inspection in the District Office, Bureau of Land Management and Land Office, Bureau of Land Management, Federal Building, 230 North First Avenue, Phoenix, and in Safford District office, Bureau of Land Management, Safford, Ariz.
5. For a period of 30 days from date of publication in the Federal Register, this classification shall be subject to the exercise of administrative review and modification by the Secretary of the Interior as provided for in 43 CFR 2411.2c. For a period of 30 days, interested parties
may submit comments to the Secretary of the Interior, LLM, 721, Washington, D.C. 20240.

Fred J. Weiler,
State Director.
December 13, 1968.
[F.R. Doc. 68-15086; Filed, Dec. 18, 1968; 8:45 a.m.]

## [AR 033050]

## ARIZONA

## Notice of Proposed Classification of

 Public Lands for Multiple-Use Management1. Pursuant to the Act of September 19, 1964 (43 U.S.C. 1411-18) and to the regulations in 43 CFR Parts 2410 and 2411 , it is proposed to classify for multi-ple-use management the public lands described below. Publication of this notice has the effect of segregating the described lands from all appropriations, including locations under the mining laws, except as to petition-applications under the Recreation and Public Purposes Act. As used herein, "public lands" means any lands withdrawn or reserved by Executive Order No. 6910 of November 26,1934 , as amended, or within a grazing district established pursuant to the Act of June 28, 1934 (48 Stat. 1269), as amended, which are not withdrawn or reserved for a Federal use or purpose.
2. These lands will be managed in a manner that will preserve public values that would be lost if the lands passed from public ownership. These lands lie adjacent to Picacho Peak State Park and the Arizona State Parks Board has expressed an interest in enlarging the State Park to include these lands.

Description of these lands follows:

## pinal County

gila and salt river meridian, arizona
T. 9 S., R. 9 E.,

Sec. $4, \mathrm{~S} 1 / 2 \mathrm{NW} 1 / 4, \mathrm{SW} 1 / 4$, and $\mathrm{SW} 1 / 4 \mathrm{SE}^{1} 1 / 4$; Sec. $9, \mathrm{~S}_{1 / 2}, \mathrm{NW} 1 / 4$, and $\mathrm{W}^{1} 1 / 2 \mathrm{NE}^{1} / 4$;
Secs. 21,22 , and 23.
The areas described aggregate 2,760 acres.
3. For a period of 60 days from the date of publication of this notice in the Federal Register, all persons who wish to submit comments, suggestions, or objections in connection with the proposed classification may present their views in writing to the State Director, Bureau of Land Management, 3022 Federal Building, Phoenix, Ariz. 85025.

Fred J. Weiler, State Director.
DECEMBER $13,1968$.
[F.R. Doc. 68-15087; Filed, Dec. 18, 1968; 8:45 a.m.]
[Montana 10419]

## MONTANA

## Notice of Proposed Withdrawal and Reservation of Lands

## December 12, 1968.

The Department of Transportation, on behalf of the Montana Highway Commission, has filed application, Montana

10419, for the withdrawal of the lands described below, from location and entry under the mining laws, subject to existing valid claims.
The applicant desires the land for proposed highway construction. It is estimated the period of withdrawal will not exceed 4 years.
For a period of 30 days from the date of publication of this notice, all persons who wish to submit comments, suggestions, or objections in connection with the proposed withdrawal may present their views in writing to the undersigned officer of the Bureau of Land Management, Department of the Interior, 316 North 26th Street, Billings, Mont. 59101.

The Department's regulations (43 CFR 2311.1-3(c) ) provide that the authorized officer of the Bureau of Land Management will undertake such investigations as are necessary to determine the existing and potential demand for the lands and their resources. He will also undertake negotiations with the applicant agency with the view of adjusting the application to reduce the area to the minimum essential to meet the applicant's needs, to provide for the maximum concurrent utilization of the lands for purposes other than the applicant's, to eliminate lands needed for purposes more essential than the applicant's, and to reach agreement on the concurrent management of the lands and their resources.
The authorized officer will also prepare a report for consideration by the Secretary of the Interior who will determine whether or not the lands will be withdrawn as requested by the applicant agency.

The determination of the Secretary on the application will be published in the Federal Register. A separate notice will be sent to each interested party of record.
If circumstances warrant, a public hearing will be held at a convenient time and place, which will be announced.
The lands involved in the application are:

## Princtral Meridian, Montana

T. 17 N., R. 27 W.,

Sec. 5,10 ts 1 and $2, \mathrm{SE}_{1 / 4} \mathrm{NE}_{1 / 4}$;
Sec. $9, W^{1 / 2} W^{1 / 2}$;
Sec. 13 , lots $1,2,6$, and $7, \mathrm{NW}^{1} / 4 \mathrm{NE} 1 / 4$;
See. 15 , lot 1.
T. 18 N., R. 28 W.,

Sec. 19, lot $1, \mathrm{NE}_{1 / 4} \mathrm{NW}_{1} 1 / 4, \mathrm{~S}_{1 / 2} \mathrm{NE}^{1 / 4}$, and $\mathrm{N}^{1} / 2 \mathrm{SE}^{1} / 4$;
Sec. 21, SW $1 / 4$ SW $1 / 4$;
Sec. $27, \mathrm{~S}^{1 / 2} \mathrm{NW}^{1} / 4, \mathrm{~W}^{1} 1 / 2 \mathrm{NE}^{1 / 4}$, and $\mathrm{NE}^{1} 1 / 4 \mathrm{NE}^{1} 1 / 4$.
T. 18 N., R. 29 W.,

See. 3, SW $1 / 4$, and $W^{1} / 2$ SE $1 / 4$;
Sec. 4, lots $11,12,14,16$, and 19 ;
Sec. $10, \operatorname{lot} 6$ and $\mathrm{NW}^{1 / 4} \mathrm{NE}^{1 / 4}$;
Sec. $11, \mathrm{NW} 1 / 4 \mathrm{SW}^{1} / 4$ and $\mathrm{S}^{1} / 2 \mathrm{SW}^{1 / 4}$;
Sec. $18, \mathrm{~S}^{1 / 2} \mathrm{~S}^{1} / 2$;
Sec. 14, lots 4, 13, and 18;
Sec. 24,10 ts 12,14 , and 16 .
T. 19 N., R. 29 W.,

Sec. $31, \mathrm{E}_{1} / 2 \mathrm{NE} 1 / 4$.
T. 19 N., R. 30 W.,

Sec. 18, lots 3 and $4, \mathrm{SE}_{1 / 4} \mathrm{SW} 1 / 4$, and $\mathrm{S}^{1 / 2}$ SE1/4;
Sec. $19, \mathrm{NE}^{1} / 4 \mathrm{NE}^{1} / 4$;
Sec. $20, \mathrm{~W}^{1 / 2} \mathrm{NW}^{1 / 4}, \mathrm{SE}^{1 / 4} \mathrm{NW}^{1 / 4}, \mathrm{~S}^{1} 1 / 2 \mathrm{NE}^{1 / 4}$, and $\mathrm{NE}^{1} 1 / \mathrm{NE}^{1 / 4}$;
$\mathrm{Sec} .25, \mathrm{NE}^{1} 1 / \mathrm{SW}^{1} 1 / 4$, and $\mathrm{N} 1 / 2 \mathrm{SE}^{1} / 4$;
Sec. $27, \mathrm{SE}^{1 / 4} \mathrm{NE}^{1} / 4$.
T. 19 N., R. 31 W.,

Sec. 7, lot $2, \mathrm{NW} 1 / 4 \mathrm{SE}^{1} / 4, \mathrm{SE} 1 / 4 \mathrm{SE} 1 / 4$, and unpatented portion of NE $1 / 4$ SW $1 / 4$;
$\operatorname{Sec} .8, \mathrm{~S} 1 / 2 \mathrm{SW} 1 / 4$, $\mathrm{SW}^{1} / 4 \mathrm{SE}^{1} / 4$, and $\mathrm{NE}^{1} / 4 \mathrm{SE}^{1} / 4$; Sec. 9, S $1 / 2$;
Sec. 10 , SW $1 / 4, W^{1} 1 / 2$ SE $1 / 4$, and SE $1 / 4$ SE $1 / 4$; $^{\text {S }}$
Sec. $13, \mathrm{~S}^{1} / 2 \mathrm{NW}^{1} / 4$, $\mathrm{NE}^{1} / 4 \mathrm{SW}^{1} / 4$, and $\mathrm{N}^{1} 1 / 2 \mathrm{SE}^{1} / 4$; Sec. 14, Unpatented portion of SW $1 / 4 \mathrm{NW}^{1} / 4$
and SE $1 / 4$ NE $1 / 4$;
Sec. 15 , $\mathrm{NE}^{1 / 4} \mathrm{NE}^{1 / 4}$
T. 19 N., R. 32 W.,

Sec. 2, S $1 / 2$ SW $^{1 / 4}$;
Sec. $3, N 1 / 2$ SW $1 / 4, \quad \operatorname{SE} 1 / 4 \mathrm{NW} 1 / 4, \quad$ SW $1 / 4$ NE $1 / 4$, and SE $1 / 4$;
Sec. $4, N W 1 / 4, N E 1 / 4 \mathrm{SW} 1 / 4, N 1 / 2 \mathrm{SE}^{1} / 4$, and SW $1 / 4$ NE $^{1} / 4$;
Sec. $5, \mathrm{NE}^{1} / 4 \mathrm{NE}^{1} / 4$;
Sec. $11, \mathrm{E}^{1 / 2} \mathrm{NW}^{1} / 4, \mathrm{~S}^{1} 1 / 2 \mathrm{NE}^{1} / 4$, and $\mathrm{N}^{1} / 2 \mathrm{SE}^{1} / 4$;
Sec. $12, \mathrm{SW} 1 / 4, \mathrm{~S} 1 / 2 \mathrm{NE} 1 / 4$, and $\mathrm{N} 1 / 2 \mathrm{SE}^{1 / 4}$.
T. 20 N., R. 32 W .,

Sec. 32 , all
The area described aggregates 5,421 acres.

## Eugene H. Newell,

Land Office Manager.
[FR. Doc. 68-15089; Filed, Dec. 18, 1968; 8:46 a.m.]

## [ N-2385]

## NEVADA

Notice of Partial Termination of Proposed Withdrawal and Reservation of Lands

DECEMBER 12, 1968.
Notice of an Atomic Energy Commission application, N-2385, for withdrawal and reservation of lands to protect an existing seismic station and to provide a buffer area, was published as F.R. Doc. $68-6115$, on page 7631 of the issue for May 23, 1968. The applicant agency has canceled its application insofar as it affects the following described land. Therefore, pursuant to the regulations contained in 43 CFR 2311, such lands, at 10 a.m. on January 15, 1969, will be relieved of the segregative effect of the above-mentioned application.

## Mount Diablo Meridian

T. 26 S., R. 64 E.,

Sec. $4, \mathrm{SW}^{1} / 4 \mathrm{SE}^{1} / 4 \mathrm{NW}^{1} / 4, \mathrm{SE}^{1 / 4} \mathrm{SW}^{1} / 4 \mathrm{NW}^{1} 1 / 4$, $\mathrm{W}^{1} / 2 \mathrm{NE}^{1} 1 / \mathrm{NW}^{1 / 4} \mathrm{SW}^{1 / 4}, \quad \mathrm{NE} 1 / 4 \mathrm{NE}^{1 / 4} \mathrm{NW}^{1 / 4}$ $\mathrm{SW}^{1} / 4, \mathrm{NW}^{1} / 4 \mathrm{NE}^{1} 1 / 4 \mathrm{SW}^{1} 1 / 4$.

Rolla E. Chandler, Manager, Nevada Land Office.
[F.R. Doc. 68-15090; Filed, Dec. 18, 1968; 8:46 a.m.]
[Serial No. N-1881]

## NEVADA

Notice of Proposed Classification of Public Lands for Multiple-Use Management

December 13, 1968.

1. Pursuant to the Act of September 19, 1964 (43 U.S.C. 1411-18) and to the regulations in 43 CFR Parts 2410 and 2411 , it is proposed to classify for multi-ple-use management the public lands within the area described below. Publication of this notice has the effect of segregating the described lands from appropriation only under the agricultural land laws ( 43 U.S.C. Parts 7 and $9 ; 25$
U.S.C. sec. 334) and from sales under section 2455 of the Revised Statutes (43 U.S.C. 1171) and the lands shall remain open to all other applicable forms of appropriation, including the mining and mineral leasing or material sale laws, with the exception contained in paragraph 3. As used herein, "public lands" means any lands withdrawn or reserved by Executive Order No. 6910 of November 26, 1934, as amended, or within a grazing district established pursuant to the Act of June 28, 1934 (48 Stat. 1269), as amended, which are not otherwise withdrawn or reserved for Federal use or purpose.
2. The public lands located within the following described area are shown on map designated $\mathrm{N}-1881$ on file in the Carson City District Office, Bureau of Land Management, 801 North Plaza Street, Carson City, Nev. 89701, and the Nevada Land Office, Bureau of Land Management, Room 3104, Federal Building, 300 Booth Street, Reno, Nev. 89502.

The overall description of the area is as follows:

Mineral County
MOUNT DIABLO MERIDIAN, NEVADA
All of the public lands in Mineral County not previously classified under the 1964 Classification and Multiple-Use Act.

The area described aggregates approximately $1,612,209$ acres.
3. The public lands listed below, together with any land therein that may become public land due to shoreline reliction, are further segregated from all forms of appropriation under the public land laws, including the general mining laws, but not the Recreation and Public Purposes Act (44 Stat. 741, 68 Stat. 173; 43 U.S.C. 869) or the mineral leasing and material sale laws:

## Mount Diablo Meridian, Nevada

Those lands on the west shore of Walker Lake lying between the centerline of U.S. Highway 95 as depicted on R/W No. N-043867 and N-053822 and the existing shoreline of Walker Lake, beginning at a point where the centerline intersects the north section line of sec. 17, T. 11 N., R. 29 E., and ending at a point where the centerline intersects the north section line of sec. 5, T. 9 N., R. 29 E.; and, those lands on the east shore of Walker Lake lying between the centerline of the Southern Pacific Railroad as depicted on R/W No. CC-015259 and the existing shoreline of Walker Lake, beginning at a point where the centerline intersects the north section line of sec. 35, T. 11 N., R. 29 E., and ending at a point where the centerline intersects the south section line of sec. 22, T. 9 N., R. 30 E.; also, those lands described as SW $1 / 4 \mathrm{SW} 1 / 4$. sec. 5 , and the $\mathrm{N} 1 / 2 \mathrm{NW} 1 / 4 \mathrm{NW} 1 / 4$, sec. 8 , T. 1 N., R. 33 E .

The area described aggregates approximately 2,640 acres plus an undetermined acreage of unsurveyed and relicted lands.
4. For a period of 60 days from the date of publication of this notice in the FEDeral Register, all persons who wish to submit comments, suggestions, or objections in connection with the proposed classification may present their views in writing to the Carson City District Manager, Bureay of Land Management, Carson City, Nev. 89701.
5. A public hearing on the proposed classification will be held on Wednesday, January 29, 1969, at 8 p.m., in the Mineral County Courtroom, County Courthouse, Hawthorne, Nev.

## For the State Director.

Rolla E. Chandler,
Land Office Manager, Nevada.
[F.R. Doc. 68-15091; Filed, Dec. 18, 1968; 8:46 a.m.]

## STATE DIRECTOR, ARIZONA, AND MANAGER, LOWER COLORADO LAND USE OFFICE

## Delegation of Authority

Pursuant to the authority contained in Secretarial Order No. 2915, and within the geographical limits of this order, the State Director, Arizona, and the Manager, Lower Colorado Land Use Office, are authorized to perform such work as is necessary to:

1. Be responsible for, and direct the conduct of all recreational planning in collaboration with affected agencies with respect to lands under the Lower Colorado Land Use Plan, except recreation planning and coordination authorities of the Secretary of the Interior delegated to the Director, Bureau of Outdoor Recreation (see 248 DM 1);
2. Administer Reclamation lands used or to be used, for recreation or wildlife purposes, pursuant to administration of the plan;
3. Conduct leasing negotiations and propose other arrangements for administration of Reclamation lands for recreational and other purposes in accordance with the plan;
4. Execute and administer 50 -year leases for recreational and other purposes over Reclamation lands in accordance with the plan after Secretarial approval;
5. Provide overall field coordination and implementation of the plan working in cooperation with bureaus and offices of the Department;
6. Develop plans for recreational facilities at Reclamation projects within the plan subject to coordination of such plans with the Bureau of Reclamation so as to assure that the development of such facilities is consistent with the authorities under which that Bureau administers such project areas;
7. Develop and implement plans for recreational facilities on other Reclamation lands within the plan in consultation with the Bureau of Reclamation or other affected agency to assure that such facilities can be constructed, operated, and maintained in a manner consistent with authorized project functions of that agency;
8. Assume responsibility for the development and issuance of recreation reports dealing with the Lower Colorado River;
9. Issue, administer, and terminate with respect to Reclamation lands within the plan all leases and special use permits, as provided for in Secretarial Order

No. 2915 , and coordinate so far as recreation is concerned, the issuance of leases by other bureaus or offices with respect to lands they administer within the plan: Provided, That the use of water by the holder of any lease issued by the Bureau of Land Management shall continue to be subject to restriction or termination in event that the United States determines that Colorado River water is limited or not available;
10. Serve as contracting or administering officer for each lease or permit for whatever time period (including a special land use permit), concession, right-of-way, license, easement, or other land use authorization (herein called lease) issued or to be issued in connection with the plan on Reclamation lands, subject to coordination with the Bureau of Reclamation on project and activities administered by that Bureau; and
11. Coordinate plans, programs, and activities incident to the plan with the field offices of affected Bureaus and of fices. This authority shall include but is not limited to the authority to negotiate, execute, and administer leases on Reclamation lands within the plan where such leases are not in conflict with expressly authorized project purposes administered by the Bureau of Reclamation.
12. This redelegation of authority does not include the responsibilities of the Director set forth in section 4 (d) of the Secretarial order.

## John O. Crow,

 Acting Director.December 12, 1968.
[F.R. Doc. 68-15088; Filed, Dec. 18, 1968; 8:46 a.m.]

## Office of the Secretary ALASKA

## Notice of Proposed Withdrawal and

 Reservation of LandsThe Department of the Interior has for consideration a proposal (1) to create the Cape Newenham National Wildlife Refuge on about 265,000 acres of public land in Alaska, and (2), to add approximately $1,017,000$ acres of public land to the existing Clarence Rhode National Wildlife Range in Alaska.

The withdrawal, if made, would close the lands to all forms of appropriation under the public land laws, but not the mining and mineral leasing laws, nor to disposals of materials under the act of July 31, 1947 ( 30 U.S.C. 601, 604), as amended. This action would be without prejudice to any rights and claims of Alaska natives.

For a period of 30 days from the date of publication of this notice, all persons who wish to submit comments, suggestions, or objections in connection with the proposed withdrawal may present their views in writing to the Secretary of the Interior, Washington, D.C. 20240.

The determination of the Secretary will be published in the Federal Register. A separate notice will be sent to each interested party of record.

The lands involved in the application are:
Clarence Rhode National Wildlife Range
NELSON ISLAND UNIT

## Seward Meridian

All land on Nelson Island including islands in the Kolavinarak and Ninglick Rivers within the following protracted townships:
T. 2 N., R. 89 W .
T. 3 N ., Rs. $88,89,90$, and 91 W .
T. $4 \mathrm{~N} ., \mathrm{Rs} .88,89,90$, and 91 W .
T. 5 N., Rs. 86, 87, 89, and 90 W .
T. 6 N ., Rs. 86 and 87 W .
T. $7 \mathrm{~N} .$, Rs. 85 and 86 W .
T. 8 N ., Rs. 88,89 , and 90 W . T. 9 N., Rs. 86,87 , and 88 W . T. 10 N., R. 88 W.

## KOKECHIK RIVER UNIT

## Seward Meridian

All lands lying adjacent to the Clarence Rhode National Wildlife Range within the following protracted townships:
T. 15 N., Rs. 89, 90, 91, and 92 W ., north of the Clarence Rhode National Wildlife Range.
T. 16 N., Rs. $89,90,91$, and $92 \mathrm{~W} .$, north of the Clarence Rhode National Wildife Range.
T. $17 \mathrm{~N} ., \mathrm{Rs} .88,89,90,91$, and 92 W .
T. 18 N., Rs. $88,89,90,91$, and 92 W .
T. 19 N., Rs. $88,89,90$, and 91 W.

## YUKON UNIT

All lands of the Yukon River Delta lying northeast of Kwikpak Pass and Kawanak Pass and northwest of Apoon Pass and Okwega Pass within the following protracted townships:

Kateel River Meridian
T. 26 S., Rs. 26, 27, 28, 29, and 30 W.
T. 27 S., Rs. $26,27,28,29,30$, and 31 W .
T. 28 S., Rs. $26,27,28,29,30$, and 31 W .
T. 29 S., Rs. $27,28,29$, and 30 W .

Seward Meridian
T. 82 N., Rs. 78 and 79 W .
T. 33 N., Rs. 76, 77, 78, and 79 W .
T. 34 N., Rs. 76, 77, 78, and 79 W.

Cape Newenham National Wildlife Refuge seward meridian
All lands, including all offshore islands, within the following protracted townships:
T. 13 S., R. $74 \mathrm{~W} . \mathrm{SE}^{1 / 4}$.
T. 13 S., R. $73 \mathrm{~W} . \mathrm{S} 1 / 2$.
T. 14 S., R. 74 W. E $1 / 2$.
T. 14 S., R. 73 W.
T. 14 S., R. $72 \mathrm{~W} . \mathrm{SW}_{1} 1 / 4$.
T. 15 S., R. $75 \mathrm{~W} . \mathrm{S} 1 / 2$.
T. 15 S., Rs. 74 and 73 W .
T. 15 S., R. $72 \mathrm{~W} . \mathrm{W}^{1 / 2}$.
T. 16 S., Rs. 75 and 74 W.
T. 16 S., R. $73 \mathrm{~W} . \mathrm{W} 1 / 2$.
T. $17 \mathrm{~S} ., \mathrm{Rs} .78,77,76$, and 75 W .
T. 17 S., R. 74 W. W $1 / 2$.
T. 18 S., Rs. 78, 77, 76, and 75 W .
T. 18 S., R. $74 \mathrm{~W} . \mathrm{W} 1 / 2$.
T. 19 S., Rs. 76 and 75 W.

Stewart L. Udall,
Secretary of the Interior.
December 17, 1968.
[F.R. Doc. 68-15175; Filed, Dec. 18, 1968; 8:52 a.m.]

# DEPARTMENT OF AGRICULTURE 

## Office of the Secretary

## MISSISSIPPI

## Designation of Areas for Emergency Loans

For the purpose of making emergency loans pursuant to section 321 of the Consolidated Farmers Home Administration Act of 1961 (7 U.S.C. 1961), it has been determined that in the hereinafternamed counties in the State of Mississippi, natural disasters have caused a need for agricultural credit not readily available from commercial banks, cooperative lending agencies, or other responsible sources.

Mississtrpy
Attala.
Benton.
Jefferson Davis.
Kemper.
Waithall.
Wayne.
Pursuant to the authority set forth above, emergency loans will not be made in the above-named counties after June 30, 1969, except to applicants who previously received emergency or special livestock loan assistance and who can qualify under established policies and procedures.

Done at Washington, D.C., this 13th day of December 1968.

## Orville L. Freeman, <br> Secretary.

[F.R. Doc. 68-15127; Filed, Dec. 18, 1968; 8:49 a.m.]

## DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

## Business and Defense Services Administration UNIVERSITY OF MARYLAND

## Norice of Decision on Application for Duty-Free Entry of Scientific Article

The following is a decision on an application for duty-free entry of a scientific article pursuant to section 6 (c) of the Educational, Scientific, and Cultural Materials Importation Act of 1966 (Public Law 89-651, 80 Stat. 897) and the regulations issued thereunder ( 32 F.R. 2433 et seq.).

A copy of the record pertaining to this decision is available for public review during ordinary business hours of the Department of Commerce, at the Scientific Instrument Evaluation Division, Department of Commerce, Washington D.C.

Docket No. 69-00039-33-46040. Applicant: University of Maryland, School of Dentistry, 618 West Lombard Street, Baltimore, Md. 21201. Article: Electron microscope, Model EM6B. Manufacturer: G.E.C.-A.E.I. Electronics Ltd, United Kingdom. Intended use of article: The article will be used for studies seeking
the following objectives, which involve elucidation of mechanisms contained in:
a. The interrelationships of the enamel organ and dental sac connective tissue.
b. The disorganization and reorganization of the functional complexes and their possible relationship to glycocalyx. c. The formation and disappearance of the glycocalyx.
d. The interrelationship of the endoplasmic reticulum, vesicles, vacuoles, mitochondria, and glycogen accumulations.
The investigations seek elucidation of these interrelationships and interactions via histochemical, cytochemical, and radioautographic techniques. Comments: No comments have been received with respect to this application. Decision: Application approved. No instrument or apparatus of equivalent scientific value to the foreign article, for such purposes as this article is intended to be used, was being manufactured in the United States at the time the applicant placed the order for the article. Reasons: At the time the applicant placed the order for the foreign article, the only comparable domestic electron microscope available was the Model EMU-4 which was manufactured by the Radio Corporation of America (RCA). The RCA Model EMU-4 provided a guaranteed resolution of 8 angstroms (Fresnel fringe test) and accelerating voltages of 50 and 100 kilovolts. The foreign article provides accelerating voltages of $30,40,50,60$, and 80 kilovolts, plus a guaranteed resolution of 5 angstroms (point-to-point test). (The lower the numerical rating in terms of angstrom units, the better are the resolving capabilities of the electron microscope.) For the purposes for which the foreign article is intended to be used, the additional resolving capabilities are pertinent since the applicant requires the ultimately attainable resolution for the accomplishment of these purposes. The additional accelerating voltages of the foreign article are also pertinent because; (a) the lower accelerating voltages of the foreign article provide optimum contrast for unstained biological specimens which will be used in the course of the applicant's investigations; and (b) the accelerating voltages intermediate between 50 and 100 kilovolts afford optimum contrast for negatively stained specimens which will also be used in the course of the investigations.
For these reasons, we find that the RCA Model EMU-4 was not of equivalent scientific value to the foreign article, for such purposes as this article is intended to be used.

The Department of Commerce knows of no other instrument or apparatus of equivalent scientific value to the foreign article, for such purposes as this article is intended to be used, which was being manufactured in the United States and was made available to the applicant at
the time the institution placed the order for the foreign article.

> Charley M. Denton, Assistant Administrator for Industry Operations, Business and Defense Services Administration.

[F.R. Doc. 68-15078; Filed, Dec. 18, 1968; 8:45 a.m.]

## INTERSTATE COMMERCE COMMISSON

## MOTOR CARRIER, BROKER, WATER CARRIER, AND FREIGHT FORWARDER APPLICATIONS

December 13, 1968.

The following applications are governed by Special Rule $1.247^{1}$ of the Commission's general rules of practice ( 49 CFR , as amended), published in the Federal Register issue of April 20, 1966, effective May 20, 1966. These rules provide, among other things, that a protest to the granting of an application must be filed with the Commission within 30 days after date of notice of filing of the application is published in the Federal Register. Failure seasonably to file a protest will be construed as a waiver of opposition and participation in the proceeding. A protest under these rules should comply with $\$ 1.247$ (d) (3) of the rules of practice which requires that it set forth specifically the grounds upon which it is made, contain a detailed statement of protestant's interest in the proceeding (including a copy of the specific portions of its authority which protestant believes to be in conflict with that sought in the application, and describing in detail the method-whether by joinder, interline, or other meansby which protestant would use such authority to provide all or part of the service proposed), and shall specify with particularity the facts, matters, and things relied upon, but shall not include issues or allegations phrased generally. Protests not in reasonable compliance with the requirements of the rules may be rejected. The original and one copy of the protest shall be filed with the Commission, and a copy shall be served concurrently upon applicant's representative, or applicant if no representative is named. If the protest includes a request for oral hearing, such requests shall meet the requirements of \& 1.247 (d) (4) of the special rules, and shall include the certification required therein.

Section 1.247(f) of the Commission's rules of practice further provides that each applicant shall, if protests to its application have been filed, and within 60 days of the date of this publication,

[^4]notify the Commission in writing (1) that it is ready to proceed and prosecute the application, or (2) that it wishes to withdraw the application, failure in which the application will be dismissed by the Commission.

Further processing steps (whether modified procedure, oral hearing, or other procedures) will be determined generally in accordance with the Commission's General Policy Statement Concerning Motor Carrier Licensing Procedures, published in the Federal Register issue of May 3, 1966. This assignment will be by Commission order which will be served on each party of record.
The publications hereinafter set forth reflect the scope of the applications as filed by applicants, and may include descriptions, restrictions, or limitations which are not in a form acceptable to the Commission. Authority which ultimately may be granted as a result of the applications here noticed will not necessarily reffect the phraseology set forth in the application as filed, but also will eliminate any restrictions which are not acceptable to the Commission.
No. MC 5470 (Sub-No. 50), filed November 26 , 1968. Applicant: TAJON, INC., Rural Delivery No. 5, Mercer, Pa. 16137. Applicant's representative: Don Cross, 917 Munsey Building, Washington, D.C. 20004. Authority sought to operate as a common carrier, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: $A l$ loys, ores, pig iron, and silicon metals in dump vehicles, from Monaca, Pa., to points in New Jersey (except points in Cumberland, Salem, Gloucester, Cape May, Atlantic, Camden, and Burlington) and points in New York east of Highway 15. Note: If a hearing is deemed necessary, applicant requests it be held at Washington, D.C., or New York, N.Y.
No. MC 10761 (Sub-No. 233), flled November 29,1968 . Applicant: TRANSAMERICAN FREIGHT LINES, INC., 1700 North Waterman Avenue, Detroit, Mich. 48209. Applicant's representatives: G. Naidow (same address as applicant) and A. Alvis Layne, Pennsylvania Building, Washington, D.C. 20004. Authority sought to operate as a common carrier, by motor vehicle, over regular routes, transporting: General commodities (except those of unusual value, classes A and B explosives, household goods as defined by the Commission, commodities in bulk, and those requiring special equipment), serving Hanover, Pa., as an off-route point in connection with applicant's authorized regular route operations between Pittsburgh, Pa., and New York, N.Y. Note: If a hearing is deemed necessary, applicant. requests it be held at Philadelphia or Pittsburgh, Pa.

No. MC 16672 (Sub-No. 9), filed November 22, 1968. Applicant: McGUIRE LUMBER AND SUPPLY, INC., Wylliesburg, Va. Applicant's representative: Jno. C. Goddin, Post Office Box 1636, Richmond, Va. 23213. Authority sought to operate as a common carrier, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: Lumber, except plywood and veneer,
between points in Amelia and Prince Edward Counties, Va., on the one hand, and, on the other, points in North Carolina, South Carolina, Tennessee, West Virgina, Maryland, Delaware, Pennsylvania, Ohio, New Jersey, New York, and Connecticut. Note: Applicant holds contract carrier authority under MC 119182 and subs, therefore dual operations may be involved. If a hearing is deemed necessary, applicant requests it be held at Washington, D.C. or Richmond, Va.

No. MC 21866 (Sub-No. 62), filed November 21, 1968. Applicant: WEST MOTOR FREIGHT, INC., 740 South Reading Avenue, Boyertown, Pa. 19512. Applicant's representatives: V. Baker Smith and Alfred N. Lowenstein, 2107 the Fidelity Building, Philadelphia, Pa. 19109. Authority sought to operate as a common carrier, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: (1) Plastic articles (except in bulk, in tank or hopper type vehicles), from West Brunswick Township, Schuylkill County, Pa., to points in Colorado and Kansas, and that portion of the United States in and east of Minnesota, Iowa, Missouri, Arkansas, and Louisiana, and (2) materials, supplies, and equipment used in the manufacture of plastic articles (except in bulk, in tank or hopper type vehicles), from the destination territory specified in number (1) above, to West Brunswick Township, Schuylkill County, Pa. Note: If a hearing is deemed necessary, applicant requests it be held at Washington, D.C.
No. MC 25798 (Sub-No. 182), filed November 20,1968 . Applicant: CLAY HYDER TRUCKING LINES, INC., 502 East Bridgers Avenue, Post Office Box 1186, Auburndale, Fla. 33823. Applicant's representative: Tony G. Russell (same address as applicant). Authority sought to operate as a common carrier, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: Paper and paper products, from the plantsite of U.S. Plywood-Champion Papers, Inc., at Waynesville, N.C., to Canton, N.C. Note: Common control may be involved. Applicant states that it intends to tack at Canton, N.C., to serve Macon, Ga., and points in Georgia on and south of U.S. Highway 80 and to points in Florida authorized under MC 25798. If a hearing is deemed necessary, applicant requests it be held at Cincinnati or Columbus, Ohio.
No. MC 29120 (Sub-No. 103), filed November 14, 1968. Applicant: ALL-AMERICAN TRANSPORT, INC., 1500 Industrial Avenue, Post Office Box 769, Sioux Falls, S. Dak. 57101. Applicant's representatives: E. J. Dwyer (same address as above), also Axelrod, Goodman \& Steiner, 39 South La Salle Street, Chicago, Ill. 60603. Authority sought to operate as a common carrier, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: Meats, meat products, meat byproducts and articles distributed by meat packinghouses or described in sections A, B, and C of Appendix I to the report in Descriptions in Motor Carrier Certificates, 61 M.C.C. 209 and 766 (except hides and commodities in bulk), (1) from. Schuyler, Nebr., to points in Indiana (except In-
dianapolis) and points in Ohio (except Cincinnati); (2) from Hartley and Spencer, Iowa, to points in Illinois (except Chicago), Indiana (except Indianapolis), Iowa, Minnesota (except Minneapolis and St. Paul), North Dakota, Nebraska, Ohio (except Cincinnati) South Dakota, and Wisconsin (except Milwaukee) ; and (3) between Estherville, Iowa; Madison, S. Dak.; Ottumwa, Iowa, and Sioux Falls, S. Dak., and points in Missouri. Note: Common control may be involved. If a hearing is deemed necessary applicant requests it be held at Sioux Falls, S. Dak.; Sioux City, Iowa, or Des Moines, Iowa.
No. MC 29886 (Sub-No. 246), filed November 12, 1968. Applicant: DALLAS \& MAVIS FORWARDING CO., INC., 4000 West Sample Street, South Bend, Ind. 46621. Applicant's representative: Charles Pieroni (same address as applicant). Authority sought to operate as a common carrier, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: (1) Iron and steel articles, from the plantsites, shipping points, and warehouses of Continental Steel Corp., located in Howard County, Ind., to points in the continental United States on and east of U.S. Highway 85 ; and (2) materials, equipment, and supplies used in the manufacture and processing of iron and steel articles, from the above named destinations to the plantsites, shipping points, and warehouses of Continental Steel Corp. located in Howard County, Ind., restricted to the transportation of traffic originating at or destined to the named origins and destinations, and further restricted against the transportation of commodities in bulk. Note: Applicant states it presently holds authority duplicating in part that sought herein. Applicant has authority under MC 29886 Subs Nos. (184), (189), and 208) to transport "* * * such commodities which because of size and weight, require the use or special equipment of special handling, between Howard County, Ind., on the one hand, and, on the other, points in Illinois, Wisconsin, Michigan, Ohio, Pennsylvania, New York, New Jersey, Connecticut, Rhode Island, Massachusetts, Vermont, New Hampshire, and Maine. If and when the authority sought herein is granted, all duplicating authority will be eliminated. If a hearing is deemed necessary, applicant requests it be held at Indianapolis, Ind.

No. MC 34980 (Sub-No. 25), filed December 3, 1968. Applicant: PRUE MOTOR TRANSPORTATION, INC., Mast Road, Dover, N.H. 03820. Applicant's representative: Arthur J. Piken, 160-16 Jamaica Avenue, Jamaica, N.Y. 11432. Authority sought to operate as a common carrier, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: Sand, stone, and gravel, from Dover and Farmington, N.H., to points in York County, Maine. Note: If a hearing is deemed necessary, applicant requests it be held at Concord, N.H.

No. MC 36832 (Sub-No. 24), filed November 12, 1968. Applicant: AMERICAN TRANSIT LINES, INCORPORATED, 221 North La Salle Street, Chicago, Ill. 60601.

Applicant's representative: Carl L Steiner, 39 South La Salle Street, Chicago, III. 60603. Authority sought to operate as a common carrier, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: General commodities (except those of unusual value, classes A and B explosives, household goods as defined by the Commission, liquid commodities in bulk, and those requiring special equipment), between points in the Chicago commercial zone, Crystal Lake, and Terra Cotta, Ill., Portage, Ind., and the plantsite of Jones \& Laughlin Steel Corp. in Putnam County, Ill., on the one hand, and, on the other, the plantsite of the Ford Motor Co, at the intersection of Westport Road and Murphy Lane, Jef ferson County, near Louisville, Ky. Note: If a hearing is deemed necessary, applicant requests it be held at Chicago, III

No. MC 43442 (Sub-No. 21), filed November 20,1968 . Applicant: TRANS PORTATION SERVICE, INC., 2021 South Schaefer, Detroit, Mich., 48217. Applicant's representative: John Graham (same address as above). Authority sought to operate as a common carrier, by motor vehicle, over regular routes, transporting: General commodities
cept those of unusual value, classes A and B explosives, household goods as defined by the Commission, commodities in bulk and those requiring special equipment), serving the plantsite of the Goodyear Tire \& Rubber Co. at or near Luckey, Ohio, as an off-route point in connection with carrier's regular route operations between Toledo and Columbus, Ohio. Note: If a hearing is deemed necessary, applicant requests it be held at Detroit, Mich., or Columbus, Ohio.

No. MC 51146 (Sub-No. 116), filed November 18, 1968. Applicant: SCHNEIDER TRANSPORT \& STORAGE, INC., 817 McDonald Street, Green Bay, Wis. 54306. Applicant's representatives: D. F. Martin (same address as above) and Charles W. Singer, 33 North Dearborn Street, Chicago, I11. 60602. Authority sought to operate as a common carrier, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes transporting: (1) Lumber, plywood, wood products, prefabricated buildings, complete, knocked-down or in sections, except when mounted on wheeled undercarriages, products produced or distributed by manufacturers or distributors of said products, from Madison, Wis., to points in Alabama, Arkansas, Connecticut, Delaware, Florida, Georgia, Illinois, Indiana, Iowa, Kansas, Kentucky, Louisiana, Maine, Maryland, Massachusetts, Michigan, Minnesota, Mississippi, Missouri, Nebraska, New Hampshire, New Jersey, New York, North Carolina, North Dakota, Ohio, Oklahoma, Pennsylvania, Rhode Island, South Carolina, South Dakota, Tennessee, Texas, Vermont, Virginia, West Virginia, Wisconsin, and the District of Columbia, and (2) returned and rejected shipments and materials. equipment and supplies used in the manufacture and distribution of the abovedescribed commodities, from the above destination points to Madison, Wis. Note: Applicant states that the primary
purpose of the instant application is not to allow tacking. This would be done only as an incidental part of operations if the need arises in the future. Applicant further states no duplicating authority is being sought. If a hearing is deemed necessary, applicant requests it be held at Madison, Wis.
No. MC 57591 (Sub-No. 12), filed December 2, 1968. Applicant: ALBERT L. EVANS, doing business as EVANS DELIVERY COMPANY, Post Office Box 268, Rural Delivery No. 3, Pottsville, Pa. 17972. Applicant's representative: George A. Olsen, 69 Tonnele Avenue, Jersey City, N.J. 07306. Authority sought to operate as a common carrier, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: General commodities (except those of unusual value, classes $A$ and $B$ explosives, household goods as defined by the Commission, commodities in bulk, commodities requiring special equipment and those injurious or contaminating to other lading), between Pottsville, Pa., and points within 50 miles thereof, in Pennsylvania, on the one hand, and, on the other, points in the Philadelphia, Pa., commercial zone as defined by the Commission. Restriction: When traffic originates or is destined to Philadelphia, Pa., it is restricted to movement in interstate commerce via other common carriers. Note: If a hearing is deemed necessary, applicant requests it be held at Harrisburg, Pa .
No. MC 59150 (Sub-No. 40), filed November 29, 1968. Applicant: PLOOF TRANSFER COMPANY, INC., 1901 Hill Street, Jacksonville, Fla. 32202. Applicant's representative: Martin Sack, Jr., 1754 Gulf Life Tower, Jacksonville, Fla. 32207. Authority sought to operate as a common carrier, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: Iron and steel articles, from points in Chatham County, Ga., to points in Alabama, Georgia, North Carolina, South Carolina, and Tennessee. Note: If a hearing is deemed necessary, applicant requests it be held at Savannah, Ga.
No. MC 59336 (Sub-No. 21), filed November 12, 1968. Applicant: 'U. S. TRUCK COMPANY, INC., 2290 24th Street, Detroit, Mich. 48216. Applicant's representative: Gerald F. Whitmore, (same address as applicant). Authority sought to operate as a common carrier, by motor vehicle, over regular routes, transporting: General commodities (except those of unusual value and except household goods as defined in Practices of Motor Common Carriers of Household Goods, 17 M.C.C. 467, commodities in bulk, and those requiring special equipment), (1) between the plantsite of the Kelsey-Hayes Co. plant located at Romulus Township, Mich., as an offroute point in connection with carriers authorized regular route authority, (2) between Port Huron and Battle Creek, Mich, over Interstate Highway 94, (3) between Toledo, Ohio, and Bay City, Mich., over Interstate Highway 75, (4) between Detroit and Grand Rapids, Mich., over Interstate Highway 96, (5) between Detroit, Mich., and the junction
of Interstate Highway 96 and Interstate Highway 696 over Interstate Highway 696, and (6) between Lansing, Mich., and junction of Interstate Highway 96 and Interstate Highway 496 over Interstate Highway 496. Note: If a hearing is deemed necessary, applicant requests it be held at Detroit or Lansing, Mich.

No. MC 59637 (Sub-No. 62), filed November 21, 1968. Applicant: DECKER TRUCK LINE, INC., Post Office Box 915, Fort Dodge, Iowa 50501. Applicant's representative: William A. Landau, 1451 East Grand Avenue, Des Moines, Iowa 50306. Authority sought to operate as a common carrier, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: Foodstuffs, from the plantsite and/or storage facilities used by Green Giant Co, at or near Belvidere, Ill., to points in Iowa, Nebraska, and Missouri. Note: If a hearing is deemed necessary, applicant requests it be held at Chicago, Ill.

No. MC 59680 (Sub-No. 167), filed November 20, 1968. Applicant: STRICKLAND TRANSPORTATION CO., INC., 3011 Gulden Avenue, Post Office Box 5689 , Dallas, Tex. 75222. Applicant's representative: Oscar P. Peck (same address as applicant). Authority sought to operate as a common carrier, by motor vehicle, over regular routes, transporting: General commodities (except those of unusual value, classes A and B expiosives, household goods as defined by the Commission, commodities in bulk, and those requiring special equipment), (1) between Chicago and Effingham, IIl., (a) over Interstate Highway 57, and (b) from Chicago over U.S. Highway 54 to Onarga, Ill., thence over U.S. Highway 45 to Effingham, and return over the same route, as an alternate route for operating convenience only, in connection with applicant's otherwise authorized operations, serving no intermediate points, but serving Effingham as a point of joinder only, (2) between St. Louis, Mo., and Effingham, Ill., over Interstate Highway 70 (U.S. Highway 40), as an alternate route for operating convenience only, in connection with applicant's otherwise authorized operations, serving no intermediate points, but serving Effingham as a point of joinder only, (3) between Effingham, Ill., and Memphis, Tenn., from Effingham over Interstate Highway 57 to junction Interstate Highway 55 near Sikeston, Mo., thence over Interstate Highway 55 to Memphis, also from Effingham over Illinois Highway 37 to Cairo, Ill., thence over U.S. Highway 60 to junction Interstate Highway 55 near Sikeston, Mo., thence over U.S. Highway 61 (Interstate Highway 55) to Memphis, and return over the same route, as an alternate route for operating convenience only, in connection with applicant's otherwise authorized operations, serving no intermediate points, but serving Effingham as a point of joinder only, (4) between Effingham, Ill., and Little Rock, Ark., from Effingham over Interstate Highway 57 to junction U.S. Highway 60 at Cairo, Ill.

Thence over U.S. Highway 60 (Interstate Highway 57) to Poplar Bluff, Mo., thence over U.S. Highway 67 to Little

Rock, Ark., and return over the same route, as an alternate route, for operating convenience only, in connection with applicant's otherwise authorized operations, serving no intermediate points, but serving Effingham as a point of joinder only, (5) between Effingham, III., and the site of terminal of Strickland Transportation Co., Inc., near West Richfield, Ohio, (a) from Effingham over U.S. Highway 40 (Interstate Highway 70) to junction U.S. Highway 42 near Columbus, Ohio, thence over U.S. Highway 42 to junction U.S. Highway 36 , thence over U.S. Highway 36 to junction Interstate Highway 71, (b) also, over U.S. Highway 40 (Interstate Highway 70) to junction Interstate Highway 71 at Columbus, Ohio, thence over Interstate Highway 71 to junction Ohio Highway 18, thence over Ohio Highway 18 to junction Ohio Highway 176, thence over Ohio Highway 176 to site of Strickland Transportation Co., Inc., terminal, and (c) from junction Interstate Highway 71 and Interstate Highway 271 over Interstate Highway, 271 to junction Ohio Highway 176, thence over Ohio Highway 176 to site of Strickland Transportation Co., Inc., terminal, and return over the same route, as an alternate route for operating convenience only, in connection with applicant's otherwise authorized operations, serving no intermediate points, but serving Effingham as a point of joinder only, (6) between Effingham, Ill., and Detroit, Mich., from Effingham over U.S. Highway 40 (Interstate Highway 70) to Indianapolis, Ind.

Thence over U.S. Highway 36 to junction Interstate Highway 69 and U.S. Highway 36 near Pendleton, Ind., thence over Interstate Highway 69 to Fort Wayne, Ind., thence over U.S. Highway 24 to Toledo, Ohio, thence over Interstate Highway 75 to Detroit, and return over the same route, as an alternate route for operating convenience only, in connection with applicant's otherwise authorized operations, serving no intermediate points, but serving Effingham as a point of joinder only, and (7) between Effingham, Ill., and South Bend, Ind., (a) from Effingham over U.S. Highway 40 (Interstate Highway 70) to Indianapolis, Ind., thence over U.S. Highway 31 to South Bend; also (b) from Effingham over U.S. Highway 40 (Interstate Highway 70) to Terre Haute, Ind., thence over U.S. Highway 41 to Attica, Ind., thence over Indiana Highway 28 to junction Indiana Highway 25 at Odell, Ind., thence over Indiana Highway 25 to Rochester, Ind., thence over U.S. Highway 31 to South Bend, and return over the same route, as an alternate route for operating convenience only, in connection with applicant's otherwise authorized operations, serving no intermediate points, but serving Effingham as a point of joinder only. Note: If a hearing is deemed necessary, applicant requests it be held at Dallas, Tex.

No. MC 59856 (Sub-No. 29), filed November 18, 1968. Applicant: SALT CREEK FREIGHTWAYS, 408 Industrial Avenue, Post Office Box 1411, Casper, Wyo. 82601. Applicant's representative: Alvin J. Meiklejohn, Jr., 420

Denver Club Building, Denver, Colo. Authority sought to operate as a common carrier, by motor vehicle, over regular routes, transporting: General commodities, except those of unusual value and except livestock, classes A and B explosives, household goods as defined by the Commission, commodities in bulk, commodities requiring special equipment, and those injurious or contaminating to other lading, between Riverton and Jackson, Wyo.: From Riverton over U.S. Highway 26 to Jackson, and return over the same route, serving all intermediate points between Jackson and Dubois, Wyo., including Dubois; (2) between the junction of U.S. Highway 26 and U.S. Highway 89 near Emma Matilda Lake and the south entrance of Yellowstone National Park: From the junction of U.S. Highway 26 and U.S. Highway 89 over U.S. Highway 89 to the south entrance of Yellowstone National Park, and return over the same route, serving all intermediate points; and serving in connection with both routes specified immediately above the off-route points of Flagg Ranch, Coulter Bay, Jackson Lake Lodge, Teton Village, Moose, and Signal Mountain Lodge, Wyo. Note: Common control may be involved. If a hearing is deemed necessary, applicant requests it be held at Denver, Colo., and Casper, Wyo.

No. MC 61592 (Sub-No. 131), filed November 20, 1968. Applicant: JENKINS TRUCK LINE, INC., 3708 Elm Street, Bettendorf, Iowa 52722. Applicant's representative: Donald W. Smith, 900 Circle Tower, Indianapolis, Ind. 46204. Authority sought to operate as a common carrier, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: (1) Snow mobiles, power mowers and hand mowers, tractors, and parts, attachments, and accessories for the above commodities, from Port Washington, Wis., to points in the United States (except Hawaii), restricted to traffic originating at Port Washington, Wis.; and (2) materials, equipment, and supplies used in the manufacture and distribution of the above specified commodities, from points in the United States (except Hawaii) to Port Washington, Wis. Note: If a hearing is deemed necessary, applicant requests it be held at Chicago, Ill.

No. MC 61592 (Sub-No. 132), filed November 27, 1968. Applicant: JENKINS TRUCK LINE, INC., 3708 Elm Street, Bettendorf, Iowa 52722. Applicant's representative: Donald W. Smith, 900 Circle Tower, Indianapolis, Ind. 46204. Authority sought to operate as a common carrier, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: Experimental and show-display gas turbine engines, aerospace parts, and component parts thereof; motor vehicle, motor vehicle chassis, parts, accessories, and component parts thereof, and incidental paraphernalia moving in the same vehicles and at the same time; which, at the time of movement are being transported for the purposes of display or experiment, and not for sale, and are moving between the sites of plants, sales branches, warehouses, experimental stations, farms, shows, exhibits, and field demonstrations
owned, operated, or used by International Harvester Co., between points in the United States (except Hawaii and Alaska). Note: If a hearing is deemed necessary, applicant requests it be held at Chicago, Ill., or Washington, D.C.

No. MC 64932 (Sub-No. 460), filed November 25, 1968. Applicant: ROGERS CARTAGE CO., a corporation, 1439 West 103d Street, Chicago, Ill. 60643. Applicant's representative: Carl L. Steiner, 39 South La Salle Street, Chicago, Ill. 60603. Authority sought to operate as a common carrier, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: Chemicals, in bulk, in tank vehicles, from St. Joseph, Mo., to points in Iowa. Note: Applicant states it does not intend to tack. If a hearing is deemed necessary, applicant requests it be held at St . Louis, Mo.

No. MC 70151 (Sub-No. 47), filed November 29, 1968. Applicant: UNITED TRUCKING SERVICE, INCORPORATED, 3047 Lonyo Road, Detroit, Mich. Applicant's representative: Ferdinand Born, 601 Chamber of Commerce Building, Indianapolis, Ind. 46204. Authority sought to operate as a common carrier, by motor vehicle, over regular routes, transporting: General commodities (except those of unusual value, and except dangerous explosives, livestock, commodities in bulk, not including salt in bulk, commodities requiring special equipment, and those injurious or contaminating to other lading), serving the new plantsite of Essex Wire Corp., located in Whitley County and south on U.S. Highway 30 with entrance from County Road 600 E , as an off-route point in connection with applicant's present regular route authority. Note: If a hearing is deemed necessary, applicant requests it be held at Indianapolis, Ind., or Detroit, Mich.

No. MC 73165 (Sub-No. 257), filed November 15, 1968. Applicant: EAGLE MOTOR LINES, INC., Post Office Box 1348, Birmingham, Ala. 35201. Applicant's representative: Robert M. Pearce, Post Office Box E, Bowling Green, Ky . 42101. Authority sought to operate as a common carrier, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: Building materials, from the plantsite of the Celotex Corp., Birmingham, Ala., to points in Tennessee, North Carolina, and South Carolina. Note: If a hearing is deemed necessary, applicant requests it be held at Birmingham, Ala.

No. MC 75830 (Sub-No. 7), filed December 2, 1968. Applicant: INTER-CITY TRANSPORT \& MOTOR COMPANY, a Corporation, Post Office Box 88, Buckhannon, W. Va. 26201. Applicant's representatives: Herbert Baker and James R. Stiverson, 50 West Broad Street, Columbus, Ohio 43215. Authority sought to operate as a contract carrier, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: Yarn, from Hustisford, Wis., to McKeesport, Pa., under contract with G. C. Murphy Co. Note: If a hearing is deemed necessary, applicant requests it be held at Pittsburgh, Pa., or Columbus, Ohio.

No. MC 76032 (Sub-No. 231), filed November 25,1968 . Applicant: NAVAJO

FREIGHT LINES, INC., 1205 South Platte River Drive, Denver, Colo. 80223. Applicant's representative: William E. Kenworthy (same address as applicant). Authority sought to operate as a common carrier, by motor vehicle, over regular routes, transporting: General commodities, except those of unusual value, classes A and B explosives, household goods as defined by the Commission, commodities in bulk, commodities requiring special equipment, and those injurious or contaminating to other lading, serving the plantsite of the Essex Wire Corp., approximately 9 miles west of Fort Wayne, Ind., as an off-route point in connection with carrier's regular route operations to and from Fort Wayne, Ind. Note: If a hearing is deemed necessary, applicant does not specify a location.

No. MC 82079 (Sub-No. 18), filed November 29, 1968. Applicant: KELLER TRANSFER LINE, INC., 1239 Randolph Avenue, SW., Grand Rapids, Mich. 49507. Applicant's representative: J. M. Neath, Jr., 900 One Vandenberg Center, Grand Rapids, Mich. 49502. Authority sought to operate as a common carrier, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: Meats, meat products, meat byproducts and articles distributed by meat packinghouses as described in sections A and C of appendix I to the report in Descriptions in Motor Carrier Certificates, 61 M.C.C. 209 and 766 (except hides and commodities in bulk), from the plantsite and/or cold storage facilities utilized by Wilson \& Co., Inc., at or near Logansport, Ind., to points in Michigan, restricted to the transportation of Wilson \& Co., Inc., traffic originating at the above specified plantsite and/or cold storage facilities and destined to the above specified destinations. Note: If a hearing is deemed necessary, applicant requests it be held at Chicago, II1.. or Grand Rapids, Mich.

No. MC 83217 (Sub-No. 38), filed November 29, 1968. Applicant: DAKOTA EXPRESS, INC., 1217 West Cherokee, Post Office Box 1252, Sioux Falls, S. Dak. 57101. Authority sought to operate as a common carrier, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: Meats, meat products, meat byproducts, and articles distributed by meat packinghouses as described in sections $\mathrm{A}, \mathrm{B}$, and C of Appendix I to the report in Descriptions in Motor Carrier Certificates, 61 M.C.C. 209 and 766 , between Madison and Sioux Falls, S. Dak.; Esterville and Ottumwa, Iowa, and points in Kansas and Missouri. Note: If a hearing is deemed necessary, applicant requests it be held at Sioux City, Des Moines, Iowa, or Minneapolis, Minn.

No. MC 94265 (Sub-No. 214), filed November 15, 1968. Applicant: BONNEY MOTOR EXPRESS, INC., Post Office Box 12388 , Thomas Corner Station, Norfolk, Va. 23502. Applicant's representative: Harry C. Ames, Jr., 529 Transportation Building, Washington, D.C. 20006. Authority sought to operate as a common carrier, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: Fresh dressed beef carcasses, primal cuts and fresh or frozen boxed meats, from the plantsites of Gentner Packing Co., and
its storage facilities, located in South Bend, Ind., to points in Connecticut, Massachusetts, New Jersey, New York, and Pennsylvania. Note: If a hearing is deemed necessary, applicant requests it be held at Chicago, Ill., or Washington, D.C.

No. MC 94704 (Sub-No. 7), filed November 21, 1968. Applicant: WALTER NEUROTH, doing business as NEUROTH TRUCK LINE, Conrad, Iowa 50621. Applicant's representative: William A. Landau, 1451 East Grand Avenue, Des Moines, Iowa 50306. Authority sought to operate as a common carrier, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: Livestock and poultry watering and feeding equipment and yard hydrants, from Conrad, Iowa, to points in the United States (except Alaska and Hawaii). Note: Applicant states that no duplicating authority is being sought. If a hearing is deemed necessary, applicant requests it be held at Des Moines, Iowa.

No. MC 95920 (Sub-No. 19), filed December 2, 1968. Applicant: SANTRY TRUCKING COMPANY, a corporation, 11552 Southwest Pacific Highway, Portland, Oreg. 97223. Applicant's representative: George R. LaBissoniere, 920 Logan Building, Seattle, Wash, 98101 . Authority sought to operate as a contract carrier, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: (1) Malt beverage containers, cartons, bottle and can openers, advertising matter, and brewery products, materials, machinery, and supplies moving incidentally to the movement of malt beverages, from Olympia, Wash., to points in North Dakota and South Dakota, and (2) empty containers and cartons, advertising matter, spoiled malt beverages, and brewery machinery, materials, supplies and ingredients, from points in North Dakota and South Dakota to Olympia, Wash., under contract with Olympia Brewing Co. Note: Applicant holds common carrier authority under Docket No. MC 123265 , therefore, dual operations may be involved. If a hearing is deemed necessary, applicant requests it be held at Portland, Oreg., or Seattle, Wash.

No. MC 103490 (Sub-No. 62), (Correction), filed November 6, 1968, published Federal Register, issue of December 5,1968 , and republished as corrected this issue. Applicant: PROVAN TRANSPORT CORP., 210 Mill Street., Newburgh, N.Y. Applicant's representative: Bert Collins, 140 Cedar Street, New York, N.Y. 10006. Authority sought to operate as a common carrier, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: Aggregate, in dump vehicles, from Haverstraw, N.Y., to points in New Jersey, New York, Connecticut, Rhode Island, Massachusetts, Maine, Vermont, and New Hampshire. Note: Applicant holds contract carrier authority under MC 125709, therefore, dual operations may be involved. The purpose of this republication is to correctly set forth the docket number and name of applicant inadvertently published in the December 5, 1968, Federal Register. If a hearing is deemed necessary, applicant
requests it be held at New York, N.Y., or Washington, D.C.

No. MC 103993 (Sub-No. 351), filed November 14, 1968. Applicant: MORGAN DRIVE-AWAY, INC., 2800 West Lexington Avenue, Elkhart, Ind. 46514. Applicant's representative: Robert G. Tessar (same address as applicant). Authority sought to operate as a common carrier, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: (1) Undercarriages and frames designed to be equipped with hitchball or pintle hook connectors and component parts thereof, from points in Hillsborough County, Fla., St. Joseph County, Mich., Angelina County, Tex., Lancaster County, Pa., Colquitt and Lowndes County, Ga., Gogebic County, Mich., Laurens County, S.C., Faulkner County, Ark., Mecklenburg County, N.C., Bossier County, La., Cecil County, Md. to points in the United States including Alaska, but excluding Hawaii, and (2) undercarriages and frames designed to be equipped with hitchball or pintle hook connectors and component parts thereof, from points in Harvey County, Kans., to points in the United States including Alaska, but excluding Hawaii, points in Los Angeles and Orange Counties, Calif. Note: If a hearing is deemed necessary, applicant does not specify a location.

No. MC 103993 (Sub-No. 354), filed November 25, 1968. Applicant: MORGAN DRIVE-AWAY, INC., 2800 West Lexington Avenue, Elkhart, Ind. 46514. Applicant's representative: Bill R. Trivitt and Ralph H. Miller (same address as applicant). Authority sought to operate as a common carrier, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: Boats and boat parts, supplies, and equipment, from points in Orange County, Calif., to points in the United States (except Hawaii), but including Alaska. Note: If a hearing is deemed necessary, applicant requests it be held at Los Angeles, Calif.

No. MC 103993 (Sub-No. 355), filed November 24, 1968. Applicant: MORGAN DRIVE-AWAY, INC., 2800 West Lexington Avenue, Elkhart, Ind. 46514. Applicant's representative: Bill R. Privitt (same address as above). Authority sought to operate as a common carrier, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: Trailers, designed to be drawn by passenger automobiles, from points in Robeson County, N.C., to points in the United States, excluding Alaska and Hawaii. Note: If a hearing is deemed necessary, applicant requests it be held at Fayetteville, N.C.

No. MC 103993 (Sub-No. 359), filed November 20, 1968. Applicant: MORGAN DRIVE-AWAY, INC., 2800 West Lexington Avenue, Elkhart, Ind. 46515. Applicant's representatives: Robert G. Tessar and Ralph H. Miller (same address as applicant). Authority sought to operate as a common carrier, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: Slide in campers (pickup campers), from points in Pitkin County, Colo., to points in Kansas, Minnesota, New Mexico, Nebraska, South Dakota, Utah, Wyoming, and Oklahoma. Note: If a hearing is deemed necessary, applicant requests it be held at Denver, Colo.

No. MC 103993 (Sub-No. 360), filed November 25, 1968. Applicant: MORGAN DRIVE-AWAY, INC., 2800 West Lexington Avenue, Elkhart, Ind. 46514. Applicant's representative: Bill R. Privitt (same address as above). Authority sought to operate as a common carrier, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: Trailers designed to be drawn by passenger automobiles, in initial movements, (1) from points in Holmes County, Miss., and Sumner County, Tenn., to points in the United States (except Alaska and Hawaii) and (2) buildings in sections, mounted on wheeled undercarriages, from points in Holmes County, Miss., and Sumner County, Tenn., to points in California, Colorado, Connecticut, Delaware, Idaho, Maine, Maryland, Massachusetts, Montana, Nevada, New Hampshire, New Jersey, New Mexico, New York, North Carolina, North Dakota, Oregon, Pennsylvania, Rhode Island, South Carolina, South Dakota, Utah, Vermont, Virginia, Washington, and Wyoming. NOTE: If a hearing is deemed necessary, applicant requests it be held at Memphis, Tenn.

No. MC 103993 (Sub-No. 361), filed November 25, 1968. Applicant: MORGAN DRIVE-AWAY, INC., 2800 West Lexington Avenue, Elkhart, Ind. 46514. Applicant's representative: Bill R. Privitt (same address as applicant). Authority sought to operate as a common carrier, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: Trailers designed to be drawn by passenger automobiles in initial movements, and buildings in sections mounted on wheeled undercarriages with hitchball connector, from Berthoud, Colo., and points within 4 miles thereof, to points in the United States, excluding Alaska and Hawaii. Note: If a hearing is deemed necessary, applicant requests it be held at Denver, Colo.

No. MC 107002 (Sub-No. 358), filed November 25, 1968. Applicant: MILLER TRANSPORTERS, INCORPORATED, Post Office Box 1123, U.S. Highway 80 West, Jackson, Miss. 39205. Applicant's representatives: John J. Borth, Post Office Box 1123, Jackson, Miss. 39205 and H. D. Miller, Jr., Post Office Box 22567, Jackson, Miss. 39205. Authority sought to operate as a common carrier, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: Sugar, liquid, in bulk, in tank vehicles, from Memphis, Tenn, to points in Kentucky. Note: If a hearing is deemed necessary, applicant requests it be held at Memphis, Tenn.

No. MC 107002 (Sub-No. 359), filed November 25, 1968. Applicant: MILLER TRANSPORTERS, INC., Post Office Box 1123, U.S. Highway 80 West, Jackson, Miss. 39205. Applicant's representatives: John J. Borth (same address as applicant) and H. D. Miller, Jr., Post Office Box 22567, Jackson, Miss. 39205. Authority sought to operate as a common carrier, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: Petroleum products, in bulk, in tank vehicles, from points in Harrison County, Miss., to points in Texas. Note: Applicant intends to tack the authority sought herein to provide additional service with its presently held authority originating at points
in Alabama, Mississippi, Georgia, and Florida. Applicant also states that no duplicating authority is being sought. If a hearing is deemed necessary, applicant requests it be held at Jackson, Miss.

No. MC 107002 (Sub-No. 360), filed November 29, 1968. Applicant: MILLER TRANSPORTERS, INC., Post Office Box 1123 , U.S. Highway 80 West, Jackson, Miss. 39205. Applicant's representatives: John J. Borth (same address as applicant) and H. D. Miller, Jr., Post Office Box 22567 , Jackson, Miss. 39205 . Authority sought to operate as a common carrier, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: Titanium dioxide, crude, in slurry, in bulk, in tank vehicles, from Hamilton, Miss., to Savannah, Ga. Note: Applicant states no duplicating authority sought. If a hearing is deemed necessary, applicant requests it be held at Atlanta, Ga., or Jackson, Miss.
No. MC 107012 (Sub-No. 88), filed November 29, 1968. Applicant: NORTH AMERICAN VAN LINES, INC., Post Office Box 988, Lincoln Highway East and Meyer Road, Fort Wayne, Ind. 46801. Applicant's representative: Martin A. Weissert, Post Office Box 988 , Fort Wayne, Ind. 46801. Authority sought to operate as a common carrier, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: New furniture, (1) from Little Rock, Ark., to points in Kentucky, Tennessee, Mississippi, Alabama, Georgia, Flordia, Colorado, New Mexico, Texas, Oklahoma, Kansas, Missouri, Iowa, Illinois, Wisconsin, Michigan, Minnesota, Indiana, and Ohio; and (2) from Conway, Ark., to points in the United States (except Alaska, Hawaii, Washington, Oregon, California, Idaho, Nevada, Arizona, Utah, Montana, Wyoming, Colorado, and New Mexico). Note: Applicant indicates tacking possibilities. Common control and dual operations may be involved. If a hearing is deemed necessary, applicant requests it be held at Little Rock or Fort Smith, Ark., or Washington, D.C.
No. MC 107295 (Sub-No. 145), filed November 20, 1968. Applicant: PREFAB TRANSIT CO., a corporation, 100 South Main Street, Farmer City, IIl. 61842. Applicant's representative: Dale L. Cox, Post Office Box 146, Farmer City, Ill. 61842. Authority sought to operate as a common carrier, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: (1) Pipe, cable, conduit, and fittings therefor, from Glendale, W. Va.; (2) pipe, tube, wire, cable, connections, joints and fittings and accessories therefor, from New Brunswick, N.J.; (3) pipe, conduit, boxes and fittings therefor, and aluminum or steel plates or sheets, from Carnegie, Pa.; (4) pipe and fittings and accessories therefor, from South Brunswick, N.J.; and (5) pipe, tubing and fittings and accessories therefor, from Footville, Wis., and South Plainfield, N.J., to points in the United States excluding Washington, Oregon, California, Arizona, Nevada, Utah, Idaho, Alaska, and Hawaii. Note: If a hearing is deemed necessary, applicant requests it be held at Washington, D.C.

No. MC 107295 (Sub-No. 146), filed November 25,1968 . Applicant: PREFAB TRANSIT CO., a corporation, 100 South Main Street, Farmer City, IIl. 61842. Applicant's representatives: Dale L. Cox, Post Office Box 146, Farmer City, III. 61842 and Mack Stephenson, 301 Building, 301 North Second Street, Springfield, III. 62702. Authority sought to operate as a common carrier, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: Corrosion proof materials, products and supplies, from Berea, Ohio, to Houston and Odessa, Tex.; New Orleans, La.; Tulsa, Okla.; Denver, Colo.; Los Angeles and Oakland, Calif.; and Portland, Oreg. Note: If a hearing is deemed necessary, applicant requests it be held at Cleveland or Columbus, Ohio.

No. MC 107403 (Sub-No. 764), filed November 18, 1968. Applicant: MATLACK, INC., 10 West Baltimore Avenue, Lansdowne, Pa. 19050. Applicant's representative: John Nelson (same address as applicant). Authority sought to operate as a common carrier, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: Di secondary butyl peroxy percarbonate, from Barberton, Ohio, to points in Connecticut, Delaware, Florida, Illinois, Indiana, Kansas, Kentucky, Louisiana, Maine, Maryland, Massachusetts, Michigan, Missouri, New Hampshire, New Jersey, New York, North Carolina, Ohio, Pennsylvania, Rhode Island, Texas, Vermont, Virginia, West Virginia, and ports of entry on the international boundary line between the United States and Canada located in Montana. Note: If a hearing is deemed necessary, applicant requests it be held at Washington, D.C.

No. MC 107496 (Sub-No. 696), filed November 25,1968 . Applicant: RUAN TRANSPORT CORPORATION, Keosauqua Way at Third, Post Office Box 855, Des Moines, Iowa 50304. Applicant's representative: H. L. Fabritz (same address as applicant). Authority sought to operate as a common carrier, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: Foundry facings, in bulk, from Cicero, Ill., to points in Wisconsin, Iowa, Illinois, Indiana, and Michigan. Note: If a hearing is deemed necessary, applicant requests it be held at Chicago, IIl., or Des Moines, Iowa.

No. MC 107544 (Sub-No. 81), filed November 26, 1968. Applicant: LEMMON TRANSPORT COMPANY, Incorporated, Post Office Box 580, Marion, Va. 24354. Applicant's representative: Harry C. Ames, Jr., Transportation Building, Washington, D.C. 20006. Authority sought to operate as a common carrier, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: Soda ash, in bulk, from Saltville, Va., to points in Pennsylvania and West Virginia. Note: Applicant has contract carrier authority in MC 113959 and Sub 2, therefore dual operations may be involved. If a hearing is deemed necessary, applicant requests it be held at Washington, D.C., or Richmond, Va.

No. MC 108068 (Sub-No. 71), filed November 26, 1968. Applicant: U.S.A.C. TRANSPORT, INC., Post Office Box G, Joplin, Mo. 64801. Applicant's represent-
atives: A. N. Jacobs (same address as applicant) and Wilburn L. Williamson, 450 American National Building, Oklahoma City, Okla. 73102. Authority sought to operate as a common carrier, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: Aerospace craft, fully assembled and partially dismantled, aerospace craft parts, blasting supplies, explosives and other dangerous articles as defined in the Department of Transportation Regulations governing the transportation of explosives and other dangerous articles, ordnance and quartermaster supplies, (1) between points in the United States on and east of a line beginning at the mouth of the Mississippi River, and extending along the Mississippi River to its junction with the western boundary of Itasca County, Minn., thence northward along the western boundaries of Itasca and Koochiching Counties, Minn., to the international boundary line between the United States and Canada, (2) between points described in (1) above, on the one hand, and, on the other, points in that part of the United States west of the above described line, and (3) between points in Missouri, Arkansas, Louisiana, Texas, Oklahoma, and Kansas, on the one hand, and, on the other, points in Washington, California, Utah, Nevada, and Arizona. Note: Applicant states that its authority in MC 108068 (Sub-No. 50) is duplicative and will be surrendered simultaneously upon a grant of this application. Portions of other authority may be duplicative and any grant may be conditioned to confer but a single operating right. If a hearing is deemed necessary, applicant requests it be held at Washington, D.C., or Chicago. IIl.

No. MC 108589 (Sub-No. 11), filed December 2, 1968. Applicant: EAGLE EXPRESS COMPANY, a corporation, Post Office Box 679, Somerset, Ky. 42501. Applicant's representative: George M. Catlett, 703-706 McClure Building, Frankfort, Ky. 40601. Authority sought to operate as a common carrier, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: Textile products, and materials, equipment, and supplies used in the manufacture of textile products, between the plantsite of the Union Underwear Co., Campbellsville, Ky., on the one hand, and, on the other, Cincinnati, Ohio. Note: If a hearing is deemed necessary, applicant requests it be held at Louisville, Ky., or Cincinnati, Ohio.

No. MC 109435 (Sub-No. 58), filed November 20,1968 . Applicant: ELLSWORTH BROS. TRUCK LINE, INC., 116 North Allied Road, Drawer J, Stroud, Okla. 74079. Applicant's representative: Wilburn L. Williamson, 450 American National Building, Oklahoma City, Okla. 73102. Authority sought to operate as a common carrier, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: Liquid asphalt, in bulk, from Okmulgee, Okla., to points in Missouri. Note: If a hearing is deemed necessary, applicant requests it be held at Oklahoma City, Okla.

No. MC 110140 (Sub-No. 7), filed November 29, 1968. Applicant: MAYO ROBISON, doing business as LUMBER

TRUCKING SERVICE, 943 South Nebraska Street, Seattle, Wash. 98108. Applicant's representative: Stanley Smith, 226 West Lake Sammamish Boulevard SE., Bellevue, Wash. 98004. Authority sought to operate as a common carrier, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: Lumber, from points in Grays Harbor, Thurston, Jefferson, Clallam, Mason, Lewis, Pierce, King, and Snohomish Counties, Wash., to ports of entry on the international boundary line between the United States and Canada at or near Blaine, Wash. Note: Applicant states that no duplicating authority is being sought. If a hearing is deemed necessary, applicant requests it be held at Seattle, Wash.
No. MC 110315 (Sub-No. 18), filed November 27, 1968. Applicant: FELTS TRANSPORT CORPORATION, Montvale, $V a$. Applicant's representative: Harold G. Hernly, 711 14th Street NW. Washington, D.C. 20005. Authority sought to operate as a common carrier, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: Petroleum products, in bulk, in tank vehicles, from Chesapeake, Va., to points in Mercer, Wyoming, and McDowell Counties, W. Va. Note: If a hearing is deemed necessary, applicant requests it be held at Washington, D.C., or Richmond, Va.
No. MC 110525 (Sub-No. 887), filed November 22, 1968. Applicant: CHEMICAL LEAMAN TANK LINES, INC., 520 East Lancaster Avenue, Downingtown, Pa. 19335. Applicant's representatives: Edwin H. van Deusen (same address as applicant) and Leonard A. Jaskiewicz, Madison Building, 1155 15th Street NW. Washington, D.C. 20005. Authority sought to operate as a common carrier, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: Liquid chemicals, in bulk, from Dallas, Tex., to points in Alabama, Arkansas, Florida, Georgia, Kansas, Louisiana, Missouri, Mississippi, New Mexico, North Carolina, Oklahoma, South Carolina, and Tennessee. Note: If a hearing is deemed necessary, applicant requests it be held at Houston, Tex., or Washington, D.C
No. MC 110525 (Sub-No. 888), filed November 27, 1968. Applicant: CHEMICAL LEAMAN TANK LINES, INC., 520 East Lancaster Avenue, Downingtown, Pa. 19335. Applicant's representatives: Edwin H. van Deusen (same address as applicant) and Leonard A. Jaskiewicz, Madison Building, 1155 15th Street NW. Washington, D.C. 20005. Authority sought to operate as a common carrier, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: Aluminum sulphate, in bulk, from Johnsonburg Pa., to Oswego, N.Y. Note: If a hearing is deemed necessary, applicant does not specify a loca-
tion.
No. MC 111320 (Sub-No. 53), filed December 4, 1968. Applicant: CURTIS KEAL TRANSPORT COMPANY, INC., 2001 Barlow Road, Hudson, Ohio 44236. Applicant's representative: J. C. Schriner, 14805 Detroit Avenue, Lakewood, Ohio 44107. Authority sought to operate as a common carrier, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: Trucks, in initial driveaway movements,
from the plantsite of Transairco, Inc., located in Delaware, Ohio, to points in the United States (except Alaska and Hawaii). Note: If a hearing is deemed necessary, applicant requests it be held at Columbus, Ohio or Washington, D.C.

No. MC 111413 (Sub-No. 2), filed November 27, 1968. Applicant: EDWARD DIETIKER, doing business as ED DIETIKER DRAYAGE CO., 4801 Goodfellow Boulevard, St. Louis, Mo. 63120. Applicant's representative: Edward Dietiker (same address as applicant). Authority sought to operate as a common carrier, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: Household goods, as defined by the Commission restricted to shipments moving in containers and having an immediately prior or subsequent movement by rail, motor, water, or air, and moving on through bills of lading of forwarders, operating under the section 402 (b) (2) of the Interstate Commerce Act, between St. Louis, Mo., and points in Missouri and Mlinois within 100 miles of St. Louis, Mo. Note: If a hearing is deemed necessary, applicant requests it be held at St. Louis, Mo

No. MC 111485 (Sub-No. 14), filed November 27, 1968. Applicant: PASCHALL TRUCK LINES, INC., Murray, Ky. Applicant's representative: R. Connor Wiggins, Jr., 909,100 North Main Building, Memphis, Tenn. 38103. Authority sought to operate as a common carrier, by motor vehicle, over regular routes, transporting: General commodities (except those of unusual value, classes A and B explosives, household goods as defined by the Commission, commodities in bulk, commodities requiring special equipment, and those injurious or contaminating to other lading), serving the plantsite of the West Virginia Pulp and Paper Co. at or near Wickliffe, Ky., as an intermediate or off-route point in connection with its regular route operations. Note: If a hearing is deemed necessary, applicant requests it be held at Memphis, Tenn., or St. Louis, Mo.
No. MC 11495 (Sub-No. 8), filed December 5, 1968. Applicant: AQUILINO TRANSPORT CO., INC., 21 Olden Terrace, Trenton, N.J. 08618. Applicant's representative: Raymond A. Thistle, Jr., Suite 1710, 1500 Walnut Street, Philadelphia, Pa. 19102. Authority sought to operate as a contract carrier, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: Redwood furniture, knocked-down, and parts and materials thereof, from points in Bristol Township, Pa., to points in Connecticut, Delaware, Maryland, Massachusetts, New Jersey, New York, North Carolina, Rhode Island, Virginia, and the District of Columbia; under contract with Suncraft of California, Ltd. Note: If a hearing is deemed necessary, applicant requests it be held at Philadelphia, Pa.

No. MC 111729 (Sub-No. 272), filed November 25, 1968. Applicant: AMERICAN COURIER CORPORATION, 2 Nevada Drive, Lake Success, N.Y. 11040. Applicant's representative: Russell S . Bernhard, 1625 K Street NW., Commonwealth Building, Washington, D.C. 20006. Authority sought to operate as a common carrier, by motor vehicle, over
irregular routes, transporting: (1) Business papers, records, and audit and accounting media of all kinds, and advertising literature moving therewith, (a) between Cleveland, Ohio, on the one hand, and, on the other, points in Erie (except the city of Erie), Crawford, McKean, Venango, and Warren Counties, Pa., (b) between Rahway, N.J., and Allentown, Pa., (c) between Indianapolis, Ind., and Sandusky, Ohio, (d) between New York, N.Y., and Harrisburg, Pa., (e) between Wilkes-Barre, Pa., on the one hand, and, on the other, New York and Peekskill, N.Y., and Hoboken, N.J.; (2) drugs, narcotics, pharmaceuticals, and drug products, between Cleveland, Ohio, on the one hand, and, on the other, points in Erie, Crawford, McKean, Venango, and Warren Counties, Pa.; (3) proofs, cuts, copy, manuscripts, photo engraving, art boards, and matter relating thereto, restricted against the transportation of packages or articles weighing in the aggregate more than 90 pounds from one consignor to one consignee on any 1 day, between Dayton, Ohio, on the one hand, and, on the other, points in Illinois, Indiana (except Crawfordsville, Fort Wayne, and Indianapolis, Ind.), Iowa, Kentucky, and Michigan (except Detroit, Mich.) ; (4) exposed and processed film and prints, complimentary replacement film, incidental dealer handling supplies, and advertising literature moving therewith, (a) between Boston, Mass., on the one hand, and, on the other, Bangor, Bath, and Augusta, Maine, Hanover, N.H., and points in Connecticut (except New Haven County, Conn.), (b) between Rock Island and Moline, Ill., on the one hand, and, on the other, points in Iowa, (c) between Findlay, Ohio, on the one hand, and, on the other, points in Noble County, Ind.; (5) cameras, projectors, electronic flash units, light meters and other electronic components, restricted against the transportation of packages or articles weighing in the aggregate more than 90 pounds from one consignor to one consignee on any 1 day, between Findlay, Ohio, on the one hand, and, on the other, points in Noble County, Ind.; (6) small parts, used in the manufacture, replacement, and servicing of computer, calculator, typewriter, and photo reproduction equipment, restricted against the transportation of packages or articles weighing in the aggregate more than 50 pounds from one consignor to one consignee on any 1 day, between New York, N.Y., and Harrisburg, Pa.; (7) whole human blood and blood derivatives, between Roanoke, Va., on the one hand, and, on the other, Bristol, Tenn., and points in Raleigh, Summers, Mercer, and Greenbrier Counties, W. Va. Note: Applicant holds contract carrier authority under Docket No. MC 112750 and subs, therefore, dual operations may be involved. Applicant indicates tacking possibilities. If a hearing is deemed necessary, applicant requests it be held at Washington, D.C. or New York, N.Y.
No. MC 112520 (Sub-No. 190), filed November 25, 1968. Applicant: McKENZIE TANK LINES, INC., Post Office Box

1200, Tallahassee, Fla. 32302. Applicant's representative: W. Guy McKenzie, Jr., (same address as applicant). Authority sought to operate as a common carrier, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: Fertilizer and fertilizer materials, in bulk, from points in Colquitt and Mitchell Counties, Ga., to points in Florida. Note: If a hearing is deemed necessary, applicant requests it be held at Atlanta, Ga.

No. MC 112750 (Sub-No. 263), filed November 27, 1968. Applicant: AMERICAN COURIER CORPORATION, 2 Nevada, Drive, Lake Success, N.Y. 11040. Applicant's representative: Russell S. Bernhard, 1625 K Street NW., Commonwealth Building, Washington, D.C. 20006. Authority sought to operate as a contract carrier, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: Commercial papers, documents, written instruments and business records (except currency and negotiable securities) as are used in the business of banks and banking institutions, (1) between Battle Creek, Mich., on the one hand, and, on the other, points in Ohio; (2) between Davenport, Iowa, on the one hand, and, on the other, points in Illinois, north of Highway 136, and points in Iowa (over routes in Illinois for operating convenience only) ; and (3) between Chicago, III., on the one hand, and, on the other, Greenfield, Noblesville, and Fishers, Ind. Note: Applicant is also authorized to conduct operations as a common carrier in MC 111729 and subs, therefore, dual operation may be involved. If a hearing is deemed necessary, applicant requests it be held at Chicago, IIl., or Detroit, Mich.

No. MC 112989 (Sub-No. 12), filed November 14, 1968. Applicant: JOHNSON TRUCK SERVICE, INC., Post Office Box 668, Coos Bay, Oreg. 97420. Applicant's representative: Norman E. Sutherland, 1200 Jackson Tower, Portland, Oreg. 97205. Authority sought to operate as a common carrier, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: Lumber mill products, from points in Curry, Josephine, Jackson, Linn, Marion, Washington, Columbia, Benton, Wasco, and Jefferson Counties, Oreg., to points in Astoria, Newport, Coos Bay, and Portland, Oreg., and Clark and Cowlitz Counties, Wash, Note: Applicant intends to tack in Clatsop, Douglas, Lane, Lincoln, and Tillamook Counties to serve Oregon Counties requested to be served in this application. If a hearing is deemed necessary, applicant requests it be held at Portland, Oreg.

No. MC 113267 (Sub-No. 207), filed November 20,1968 . Applicant: CENTRAL \& SOUTHERN TRUCK LINES, INC., 312 West Morris Street, Caseyville, III. 62232. Applicant's representative: Lawrence A. Fischer (same address as applicant). Authority sought to operate as a common carrier, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: Meats, meat products, meat byproducts, dairy products, and articles distributed by meat packinghouses as described in sections A, B, C, and D of appendix I to the report in Descriptions in Motor Car-
rier Certificates, 61 M.C.C. 209 and 766 (except hides and commodities in bulk), and frozen foods, from Memphis, Tenn., to points in Kansas, Oklahoma, Louisiana, Mississippi, Alabama, Georgia, Florida, South Carolina, North Carolina, Virginia, West Virginia, and Missouri. Note: Applicant states tacking possibilities at Memphis, Tenn., to enable service to points in Louisiana, Mississippi, Florida, Kansas, and Oklahoma. Common control may be involved. If a hearing is deemed necessary, applicant requests it be held at Memphis, Tenn.
No. MC 113666 (Sub-No. 30), filed December 5, 1968. Applicant: FREEPORT TRANSPORT, INC., 1200 Butler Road, Freeport, Pa. 16229. Applicant's representative: Leonard A. Jaskiewicz, 1155 15th Street NW., Washington, D.C. 20005. Authority sought to operate as a common carrier, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: Prestressed concrete and precast concreteflat slabs, double tees, beams and col-umns-bumper blocks, lintels, from Callery and Mars, Pa., to points in New York, Ohio, West Virginia, Virginia, and Maryland. Note: If a hearing is deemed necessary, applicant requests it be held at Washington, D.C., or Chicago, Ill.
No. MC 114028 (Sub-No. 13), filed November 25 , 1968. Applicant: ROWLEY INTERSTATE TRANSPORTATION COMPANY, INC., 1717 Maple Street, Dubuque, Iowa 52001. Applicant's representative: Wilmer B. Hill, 529 Transportation Building, Washington, D.C. 20006. Authority sought to operate as a common carrier, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: Gelatin, from Dubuque, Iowa, to points in Connecticut, Delaware, Illinois, Indiana, Kentucky, Maine, Maryland, Massachusetts, Michigan, Minnesota, Missouri, New Hampshire, New Jersey, New York, North Carolina, Ohio, Pennsylvania, Rhode Island, Vermont, Virginia, West Virginia, Wisconsin, and the District of Columbia. Note: Applicant has filed concurrently herewith a motion to dismiss. If a hearing is deemed necessary, applicant requests it be held at Dubuque, Iowa, Chicago, Ill., or Des Moines, Iowa.

No. MC 114552 (Sub-No. 37), filed November 15, 1968. Applicant: SENN TRUCKING COMPANY, a corporation, Post Office Box 333, Newberry, S.C. 29108. Applicant's representative: Frank A. Graham, Jr., 707 Security Federal Building, Columbia, S.C. 29201. Authority sought to operate as a common carrier, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: (1) Iron and steel articles, from the plant or warehouse sites of Continental Steel Corp., located in Howard County, Ind., to points in the United States on and east of U.S. Highway 85 ; and (2) materials, equipment, and supplies used in the manufacture and processing of iron and steel articles, from points in the United States on and east of U.S. Highway 85 to the plant or warehouse sites of Continental Steel Corp., located in Howard County, Ind. Restriction: Restricted to traffic originating at or destined to the named origins and destination in (1) and (2) above, and re-
stricted against the transportation of commodities in bulk. Note: If a hearing is deemed necessary, applicant requestsit be held at Indianapolis, Ind., Washing. ton, D.C., or Columbia, S.C.

No. MC 115162 (Sub-No. 163), filed November 29,1968 . Applicant: WALTER POOLE, doing business as POOLE TRUCK LINE, Post Office Box 310, Evergreen, Ala. 36401. Applicant's representative: Robert E. Tate (same address as applicant). Authority sought to operate as a common carrier, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: Conduit, pipe and tubing and fitting, therefor, from points in Early County, Ga., to points in the United States in and east of the States of North Dakota, South Dakota, Nebraska, Kansas, Oklahoma, and Texas. Note: If a hearing is deemed necessary, applicant requests it be held at Washington, D.C., or Atlanta, Ga.

No. MC 115311 (Sub-No. 95), filed November 26,1968 . Applicant: J \& $M$ TRANSPORTATION CO., INC., Post Office Box 488, Milledgeville, Ga. 31061. Applicant's representative: Bill R. Davis, 1600 First Federal Building, Atlanta, Ga. 30303. Authority sought to operate as a common carrier, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: Roofing slabs, from Woodbine, Ga., to points in Kentucky, Tennessee, Florida, North Carolina, Virgina, Arkansas, South Carolina, Indiana, Mississippi, Ohio, Alabama, West Virginia, Louisiana, Oklahoma, Texas, Pennsylvania, Missouri, and Illinois. Note: If a hearing is deemed necessary, applicant requests it be held at Atlanta, Ga.

No. MC 115322 (Sub-No. 58), filed November 29, 1968. Applicant: REDWING REFRIGERATED, INC., Post Office Box 1698, 2939 Orlando Drive, Sanford, Fla. 32771. Applicant's representative: J. V. McCoy, Post Office Box 426, Tampa, Fla. 33601. Authority sought to operate as a common carrier, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: Fruit and fruit peel drained, from Plant City and Lucerne Park, Fla., to points in South Carolina, North Carolina, and Virginia. Note: If a hearing is deemed necessary, applicant requests it be held at Tampa or Jacksonville, Fla.
No. MC 115669 (Sub-No. 97), filed November 19, 1968. Applicant: HOWARD N. DAHLSTEN, doing business as DAHLSTEN TRUCK LINE, Post Office Box 95, Clay Center, Nebr. 68933. Applicant's representative: Donald L. Stern, 630 City National Bank Building, Omaha, Nebr. 68102. Authority sought to operate as a common carrier, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: Dry animal and poultry feed ingredients, from Sioux Falls, S. Dak., to points in Idaho, Illinois, Indiana, Michigan, Minnesota, Montana, Nebraska, North Dakota, Ohio, South Dakota, Wisconsin, and Wyoming. Note: If a hearing is deemed necessary, applicant requests it be held at Omaha, Nebr.

No. MC 116273 (Sub-No. 112), filed November 29, 1968. Applicant: D \& L TRANSPORT, INC., 3800 South Laramie Avenue, Cicero, III. 60650. Applicant's Avenue, Cicero, IIl. 60650. Applicanme
representative: Eugene L. Ward (same
address as applicant). Authority sought to operate as a common carrier, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: Petroleum lubricating oils and greases, in bulk, in tank vehicles, from Woodhaven, Mich., to points in Pennsylvania and Ashland, Ky . Note: If a hearing is deemed necessary, applicant requests it be held at Chicago, Ill.

No. MC 117686 (Sub-No. 92), filed December 4, 1968. Applicant: HIRSCHBACH MOTOR LINES, INC., 3324 U.S. Highway 75 North, Post Office Box 417, Sioux City, Iowa 51102. Applicant's representative: George L. Hirschbach (same address as applicant). Authority sought to operate as a common carrier, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: Meats, meat products, meat byproducts, and articles distributed by meat packinghouses as described in sections $A$ and $C$ of appendix I to the report in Descriptions in Motor Carrier Certificates, 61 M.C.C. 209 and 766 (except commodities in bulk, in tank vehicles, and hides), from South St. Paul, Minn., to Memphis, Tenn., Louisiana, Mississippi, Alabama, Arkansas, and Texas. Note: If a hearing is deemed necessary, applicant requests it be held at Minneapolis, Minn.
No. MC 117956 (Sub-No. 5), filed November 25, 1968. Applicant: HUGH H. SCOTT, doing business as SCOTT TRANSFER CO., 920 Ashby Street SW., Atlanta, Ga. 30310. Applicant's representative: William Addams, Suite 527, 1776 Peachtree Street NW., Atlanta, Ga. 30309. Authority sought to operate as a common carrier, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: Money, coin, currency, and commercial paper, between points in Macon County, N.C., and Cornelia, Ga. Note: Applicant has as application for contract authority pending under 116947 (Sub 7), therefore dual operations may be involved. Applicant states no duplicating authority sought. If a hearing is deemed necessary, applicant requests it be held at Atlanta, Ga.
No. MC 118130 (Sub-No. 60) (Correction), filed September 27, 1968, published in the Federal Register issue of November 21, 1968, corrected and republished as corrected, this issue. Applicant: BEN HAMRICK, INC., 740 North Houston, Fort Worth, Tex. 76115 . Applicant's representatives: David D. Brunson, Post Office Box 671, Oklahoma City, Okla. 73101, and Marion F. Jones, Suite 420, Denver Club Building, Denver, Colo. 80202. Authority sought to operate as a common carrier, by motor vehicles, over irregular routes, transporting: Charcoal, from Chetopa, Kans.; Baron, Sallisaw, and Coalgate, Okla.; Lehigh, N. Dak., and points within 5 miles thereof, to points in the United States (except Alaska and Hawaii and New York). Note: The purpose of this republication is to add an additional attorney's name to the caption, which was inadvertently omitted from previous publication. If a hearing is deemed necessary, applicant requests it be held at Dallas, Tex., Oklahoma City, Okla., or New Orleans, La.
No. MC 118318 (Sub-No. 14), filed November 22, 1968. Applicant: IDA-CAL

FREIGHT LINES, INC., Post Office Box 422, Twin Falls, Idaho. Applicant's representative: Helmut Moss, Post Office Box 422, Twin Falls, Idaho. Authority sought to operate as a common carrier, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: Hides, meat, meat products, and meat byproducts, as described in section A of appendix I to the report in Description in Motor Carrier Certificates, 61 M.C.C. 209 and 766, from Roberts, Idaho, to points in California south of a line beginning at Fort Bragg, Calif., and extending along California Highway 20 to junction U.S. Highway 40 and thence along U.S. Highway 40 to the CaliforniaNevada State line. Note: Applicant states no duplicating authority is sought. Applicant further states no tacking is intended. If a hearing is deemed necessary, applicant requests it be held at Boise, Idaho.

No. MC 119443 (Sub-No. 21), filed November 27, 1968. Applicant: P. E. KRAMME, INC., Monroeville, N.J. Applicant's representative: V. Baker Smith, 2107 The Fidelity Building, Philadelphia, Pa. 19109. Authority sought to operate as a common carrier, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: Liquid chocolate, liquid chocolate coatings, liquid chocolate products, liquid cocoa butter, and liquid confectioners' coatings, in bulk, in tank vehicles, from Mount Joy, Pa., to points in Connecticut, Delaware, Illinois, Indiana, Maine, Michigan, New Hampshire, New York, Ohio, Rhode Island, Vermont, and West Virginia. Note: If a hearing is deemed necessary, applicant requests it be held at Washington, D.C.

No. MC 119531 (Sub-No. 100), filed December 2, 1968. Applicant: DIECKBRADER EXPRESS, INC., 5391 Wooster Road, Cincinnati, Ohio 45226. Applicant's representative: Charles W. Singer, 33 North Dearborn Street, Suite 1625, Chicago, Ill. 60602. Authority sought to operate as a common carrier, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: Paper and paper products, from Newark, Ohio, to points in Illinois, Indiana, Kentucky, Michigan, New York, and Pennsylvania. Note: If a hearing is deemed necessary, applicant requests it be held at Chicago, IIl., or Columbus, Ohio.

No. MC 119531 (Sub-No. 101, filed December 1, 1968. Applicant: DIECKBRADER EXPRESS, INC., 5391 Wooster Road, Cincinnati, Ohio 45226. Applicant's representative: Charles W. Singer, 33 North Dearborn Street, Suite 1625, Chicago, Ill. 60602. Authority sought to operate as a common carrier, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: Woodpulp, paper and paper products, (1) between points in McMinn County, Tenn., on the one hand, and, on the other, points in Illinois, Indiana, Maryland, New Jersey, New York, Ohio, Pennsylvania, Virginia, Wisconsin, and the District of Columbia; and, (2) from Cincinnati, Ohio, to points in Ohio. Note: If a hearing is deemed necessary, applicant requests it be held at Chicago, 111 .

No. MC 119767 (Sub-No. 218 ), filed November 29, 1968. Applicant: BEAVER TRANSPORT CO., a corporation, 100

South Calumet Street, Burlington, Wis. 53105. Applicant's representative: Allan B. Torhorst (same address as applicant). Authority sought to operate as a common carrier, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: Foodstuffs, from Belvidere, Ill., to points in Minnesota, Missouri, Kentucky, Indiana, Iowa, Michigan, and Ohio. Note: Applicant indicates tacking possibilities. If a hearing is deemed necessary, applicant requests it be held at Chicago, Ill., or Minneapolis, Minn.

No. MC 119777 (Sub-No. 128), filed November 22, 1968. Applicant: LIGON SPECIALIZED HAULER, INC., Post Office Box Drawer L, Madisonville, Ky. 42431. Applicant's representative: Louis J. Amato, Post Office Box E, Bowling Green, Ky 42101. Authority sought to operate as a common carrier, by motor vehicle, over irreguiar routes, transporting: Guard rail, guard rail post and accessories, from Lima, Ohio, to points in the United States (except Alaska and Hawaii). Note: Applicant has contract carrier authority in MC 126970 and subs thereunder, therefore dual operations may be involved. If a hearing is deemed necessary, applicant requests it be held at Detroit, Mich., or Washington, D.C.

No. MC 119777 (Sub-No. 133), filed November 25, 1968. Applicant: LIGON SPECIALIZED HAULER, INC., Post Office Drawer L, Madisonville, Ky. 42431. Applicant's representative: Louis J. Amato, Post Office Box E, Bowling Green, Ky. 42101. Authority sought to operate as a common carrier, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: (1) Fiberboard, pulpboard and strawboard, faced or finished with decorative or protective material; and (2) materials, accessories, and supplies used in the installation of the commodities described in (1) above, from Henderson, Ky., to points in the United States (except Alaska and Hawaii). Note: Applicant has contract carrier authority in MC 126970 and subs thereunder, therefore dual operations may be involved. If a hearing is deemed necessary, applicant requests it be held at Nashville, Tenn., or Louisville, Ky.

No. MC 119778 (Sub-No. 118), filed November 21, 1968. Applicant: REDWING CARRIERS, INC., Post Office Box 34, Powderly Station, Birmingham, Ala. 35221. Applicant's representative: J. V. McCoy, Post Office Box 426, Tampa, Fla. 33601 . Authority sought to operate as a common carrier, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: Fly ash, from Wilsonville, Ala., to points in Georgia. Note: Common control may be involved. If a hearing is deemed necessary, applicant requests it be held at Birmingham, Ala., or Washington, D.C.

No. MC 119974 (Sub-No. 23), filed November 25,1968 . Applicant: L. C. L. TRANSIT COMPANY, a corporation, 520 North Roosevelt Street, Post Office Box 949, Green Bay, Wis. 54305. Applicant's representative: Charles E. Dye, 520 North Roosevelt Street, Post Office Box 949, Green Bay, Wis, 54305. Authority sought to operate as a common carrier, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: Foodstuffs, from the plantsite
and/or storage facilities used by Green Giant Co., at or near Belvidere, Ill., to points in Nebraska, Ohio, and that part of Iowa north of U.S. Highway 18 from Marquette to Garner, west of U.S. Highway 69 and south of U.S. Highway 6 from Davenport to Des Moines (except Ottumwa, Burlington, and Keokuk, Iowa). Note: Applicant states it does not intend to tack. If a hearing is deemed necessary, applicant requests it be held at Minneapolis, Minn., or Chicago, Ill.
No. MC 123341 (Sub-No. 5), filed November 29, 1968. Applicant: I. L. \& C CORP., 9333 Evenhouse Avenue, Rosemont, Ill. 60018. Applicant's representatives: Eugene L. Cohn, 1 North La Salle Street, Chicago, III. 60602, and Beverley S. Simms, 1700 Pennslyvania Avenue NW., Washington, D.C. 20006. Authority sought to operate as a common carrier, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: Sound recording, sound reproducing, and sound amplifying equipment, and parts, accessories, materials, and supplies used in connection therewith, between the plantsites of Bell \& Howell Co. in the Chicago, Ill., commercial zone, as defined by the Commission, and New York and Farmingdale, N.Y. Note: If a hearing is deemed necessary, applicant requests it be held at Washington, D.C., New York, N.Y., or Chicago, 711 .
No. MC 123639 (Sub-No. 112), filed November 29, 1968. Applicant: J. B MONTGOMERY, INC., 5150 Brighton Boulevard, Denver, Colo. 80216. Applicant's representative: Charles W. Singer, 33 North Dearborn Street, Suite 1625, Chicago, III. 60602. Authority sought to operate as a common carrier, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: Foodstuffs, from the plantsite and/ or storage facilities used by Green Giant Co. at or near Belvidere, Ill., to points in Iowa, Nebraska, Kansas, and Colorado Note: If a hearing is deemed necessary applicant requests it be held at Chicago, 111.

No. MC 124078 (Sub-No. 354), filed November 25, 1968. Applicant: SCHWERMAN TRUCKING CO., a corporation, 611 South 28 Street, Milwaukee, Wis. 53246. Applicant's representative: Richard H. Prevette (same address as applicant). Authority sought to operate as a common carrier, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: Sand, from Sewanee, Tenn., to points in Arkansas, Indiana, North Carolina, Ohio, and South Carolina. Note: Applicant intends to tack with the authority under MC 124078 (Sub-No. 251) at Guion, Ark., to serve points in Missouri, Kansas, and Oklahoma. If a hearing is deemed necessary, applicant requests it be held at Atlanta, Ga., or Memphis, Tenn.
No. MC 124669 (Sub-No. 25), filed December 2, 1968. Applicant: TRANSPORT, INC. OF SOUTH DAKOTA, 1012 West 41st Street, Sioux Falls, S. Dak. 57105. Applicant's representative: Ronald B. Pitsenbarger, Post Office Box 396, Moorhead, Minn. 56560 . Authority sought to operate as a common carrier, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: Anhydrous ammonia, in bulk, in tank vehicles, from the terminals lo-
cated on the ammonia pipeline of MidAmerica Pipeline Co. located at or near Whiting, Early, and Garner, Iowa, to points in Illinois, Iowa, Minnesota, Nebraska, North Dakota, South Dakota, and Wisconsin, restricted to the transportation of shipments which originate at the facilities of the Mid-America Pipeline Co. located at or near Whiting, Early, and Garner, Iowa, and destined to points in the named-destination States. Note: If a hearing is deemed necessary, applicant requests it be held at Omaha, Nebr.

No. MC 124796 (Sub-No. 41), filed November 29, 1968. Applicant: CONTINENTAL CONTRACT CARRIER CORP. 15045 East Salt Lake Avenue, Post Office Box 1257, City of Industry, Calif. 91747. Applicant's representative: J. Max Harding, 605 South 14th Street, Post Office Box 2028, Lincoln, Nebr. 68501 Authority sought to operate as a contract carrier, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: Air conditioning equipment, furnaces, water heaters, and component parts and accessories for such items; (a) from Syracuse, N.Y., to Morrison and Collierville, Tenn., Indianapolis, Ind., and points in Washington, Oregon, California, Montana, Idaho, Nevada, Utah, Arizona, New Mexico Colorado, and Texas; (b) from Morrison, Tenn., to points in the United States (except Alaska and Hawaii); (c) from Indianapolis, Ind., to Morrison and Collierville, Tenn., and points in Washington, Oregon, California, Montana, Idaho, Nevada, Utah Arizona, New Mexico, Colorado, Texas, Kansas, Oklahoma, and Wyoming; (d) from Industry, Calif., to points in Louisiana, Mississippi, Tennessee, Minnesota, and Nebraska; and (e) from Collierville, Tenn., to points in the United States (except Alaska and Hawaii), and returned shipments, equipment and supplies used in the manufacture and distribution of air conditioning equipment, furnaces and water heaters, on return in (a) through (e) above, restricted to traffic originating or terminating at the plantsites or warehouse facilities utilized by the Carrier Corp., under contract with Carrier Corp. Note: If a hearing is deemed necessary, applicant requests it be held at Washington, D.C.

No. MC 124813 (Sub-No. 61), filed November 26, 1968. Applicant: UMTHUN TRUCKING CO., a corporation, 910 South Jackson Street, Eagle Grove, Iowa 50533. Applicant's representative: William A. Landau, 1451 East Grand Avenue, Des Moines, Iowa 50306. Authority sought to operate as a common carrier, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: Feed and feed ingredients (except liquids), from the facilities of Borden, Inc., Chemical Division Smith-Douglass, located in Woodbury County, Iowa, to points in Colorado, Kansas, Minnesota, Missouri, North Dakota, South Dakota, Wyoming, and Montana. Note: Applicant holds contract authority under MC-118468 and Subs, therefore, dual operations may be involved. Applicant states no duplicating authority is being sought. If a hearing
is deemed necessary, applicant requests it be held at Des Moines, Iowa.

No. MC 125708 (Sub-No. 102), filed November 18, 1968. Applicant: HUGH MAJOR, 150 Sinclair Avenue, South Roxana, Ill. 62087. Authority sought to operate as a common carrier, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: (1) Iron and steel articles, from the plant or warehouse sites of Continental Steel Corp., located in Howard County, Ind., to points in the United States on and east of U.S. Highway 85 ; and, (2) materials, equipment, and supplies used in the manufacture and processing of iron and steel articles, on return. Restriction: Restriction to traffic originating at or destined to the named origins and destinations in (1) and (2) above, and restricted against the transportation of commodities in bulk. Note: If a hearing is deemed necessary, applicant requests it be held at Indianapolis, Ind.

No. MC 127519 (Sub-No. 2), filed November 21, 1968. Applicant: KLAUS GRUBER, 2955 Huntington Circle, Brookfield, Wis. 53005. Applicant's representative: Frank M. Coyne, 1 West Main Street, Madison, Wis. 53703. Authority sought to operate as a contract carrier, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: Concrete brick, concrete slabs, concrete veneer stone, and concrete panels, from Brookfield, Wis., to points in the United States (except Alaska, Hawaii, and Washington, D.C.), under contract with Split Rock Products, Inc. Note: If a hearing is deemed necessary, applicant requests it be held at Madison, Wis.

No. MC 127689 (Sub-No. 25), filed November 25, 1968. Applicant: PASCAGOULA DRAYAGE COMPANY, INC., 705 East Pine Street, Hattiesburg, Miss. 39401. Applicant's representative: W. N. Innis (same address as applicant). Authority sought to operate as a common carrier, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: Containers, from Picayune, Miss., to points in Alabama, Arkansas, Florida, Georgia, Louisiana, North Carolina, South Carolina, Tennessee, Texas, and Virginia. Note: If a hearing is deemed necessary, applicant requests it be held at Jackson, Miss., or New Orleans, La.

No. MC 128205 (Sub-No. 9), filed November 25,1968 . Applicant: BULKMATIC TRANSPORT COMPANY, a corporation, 4141 West George Street, Schiller Park, III. Applicant's representative: Irving Stillerman, 29 South La Salle Street, Chicago, IIl. 60603. Authority sought to operate as a common carrier, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: Lime, in bulk, in tank vehicles, from Thornton and Chicago, Ill. to points in Lafayette, Iowa; Green, Dane, Jefferson, Rock, Walworth, Waukesha, Milwaukee, Racine, and Kenosha Counties, Wis. Note: If a hearing is deemed necessary, applicant requests it be held at Chicago, 71.

No. MC 128401 (Sub-No. 4), filed December 2, 1968. Applicant: ROSBOROUGH REFRIGERATED EXPRESS COMPANY, INC., 345 Hartford Avenue, North Bellingham, Mass. 02057.

Applicant's representative: Frederick T. O'Sullivan, 372 Granite Avenue, Milton, Mass. 02186. Authority sought to operate as a common carrier, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: Frozen foods, from Syracuse, N.Y., to points in Maine, New Hampshire, Vermont, Massachusetts, Connecticut, and Rhode Island. Note: If a hearing is deemed necessary, applicant requests it be held at Washington, D.C.
No. MC 128572 (Sub-No. 3), filed November 29, 1968. Applicant: VAN HORN TRANSFER \& STORAGE COMPANY, a corporation, 1421 Harrison Avenue, Panama City, Fla. 32401. Authority sought to operate as a contract carrier, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: Telephone equipment, material, and supplies having a prior or subsequent movement in interstate commerce, from Panama City, Fla., to points in Bay, Calhoun, Franklin, Walton, Gulf, Washington, Jackson, Holmes, Liberty, Wakulla, Gadsden, and Leon Counties, Fla.; under contract with Western Electric Co., Inc. Note: Applicant holds common authority under MC106015, therefore, dual operations may be involved. If a hearing is deemed necessary, applicant requests it be held at Panama City, Tallahassee, or Jacksonville, Fla
No. MC 128878 (Sub-No. 9), filed November 25, 1968. Applicant: 'SERVICE TRUCK LINE, INC., Post Office Box 961 , Shreveport, La. 71102. Applicant's representatives: Ewell H. Muse, Jr., 415 PerryBrooks Building, Austin, Tex. 78701, and Wade Shemwell (same address as applicant). Authority sought to operate as a common carrier, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: Dry chemicals, in bulk, from the plantsite or storage facilities of the Monsanto Co. at or near El Dorado, Ark., to points in Alabama, Florida, Georgia, Kentucky, Louisiana, Mississippi, Oklahoma, South Carolina, Tennessee, and Texas, restricted however, against the transportation of dry chemicals (except fertilizer and fertilizer ingredients) to points in Austin, Brazoria, Chambers, Fort Bend, Galveston, Harris, Liberty, Montgomery and Waller Counties, Tex., and further restricted against tacking and interlining. Note: If a hearing is deemed necessary, applicant does not specify location.
No. MC 133118 (Sub-No. 1), filed November 29, 1968. Applicant: PHI CARLSON, INC., 6045 Southwest 179th Avenue, Beaverton, Oreg. 97005. Applicant's representative: Earle V. White, 2400 Southwest Fourth Avenue, Portand, Oreg. 97201. Authority sought to operate as a common carrier, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: Shakes and shingles, from points in Clatsop, Tillamook, Yamhill, Marion, Polk, Lincoln, Benton, Linn, Lane, Douglas, and Coos Counties, Oreg., to points in California in and south of the counties of Sonoma, Napa, Yolo, Sacramento, and Placer. Note: If a hearing is deemed necessary, applicant requests it be held at Portland, Oreg.
No. MC 133126 (Sub-No. 2), filed November 29, 1968. Applicant: JOE A. MLI-

LER TRANSPORT, 220244 Street SE., Calgary, Alberta, Canada. Applicant's representative: J. F. Meglen, 2822 Third Avenue North, Box 1581, Billings, Mont. 59101. Authority sought to operate as a common carrier, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: Brick and tile and brick and tile products, from the port of entry on the international boundary line between the United States and Canada, located at or near Sweetgrass, Mont., to Great Falls and Billings, Mont. Note: If a hearing is deemed necessary, applicant requests it be held at Billings, Mont.

No. MC 133137 (Sub-No. 1), filed November 15,1968 . Applicant: MARIO BRIGHENTI, doing business as BRIGHENTI TRUCKING COMPANY, Rural Delivery No. 2, Belle Vernon, Pa. 15012. Applicant's representative: Albert C. Gaudio, 112 Fifth Street, Monessen, Pa. 15062. Authority sought to operate as a contract carrier, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: Fly ash, in pneumatic tank vehicles, from New Eagle, Pa., to Bellaire, Akron, Alliance, Bolivar, Cambridge, Canton, Cleveland, Coshocton, Dover, East Liverpool, Elyria, Gnodenhutten, Hartville, Lorain, Lowelville, Massilon, Medina, Midvale, Mogadore, New Comerstown, Niles, Norwalk, Salem, Sandusky, Tiltonsville, Wooster, Youngstown, and Zanesville, Ohio; and Benwood, Blacksville, Bula, Fairmont, Hagans, Morgantown, Moundsville, New Martinsville, Weirton, and Wheeling, W. Va., under contract with Dayton Fly Ash Co., Inc., Dayton, Ohio. Note: If a hearing is deemed necessary, applicant requests it be held at Washington, D.C., or Pittsburgh, Pa.

No. MC 133230 (Sub-No. 1) (Clarification), filed October 18, 1968, published in the Federal Register issue of November 15,1968 , and republished, as clarified, this issue. Applicant: ABC MOVING \& STORAGE, INC., Stantonburg Road., Post Office Box 619, Greenville, N.C. 27834. Applicant's representative: Alan F. Wohlstetter, 1 Farragut Square South, Washington, D.C. 20006. Authority sought to operate as a common carrier, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: Used household goods, between points in North Carolina on and east of U.S. Highways 301 and 117, restricted to the transportation of traffic having a prior or subsequent movement in containers beyond said points, and further restricted to the performance of pickup and delivery service in connection with packing, crating, and containerization, unpacking, uncrating, and decontainerization of such traffic. NoTE: The purpose of this republication is to clarify the territorial scope of the authority sought as "* * * between points in North Carolina on and east of U.S. Highways 301 and 117 * * *". If a hearing is deemed necessary, applicant requests it be held at Raleigh, N.C.

No. MC 133275 EX, filed November 4, 1968. Applicant: RICHARD L. STINSON AND ROBERT E. WAITE, a partnership, doing business as KERNVIILE STAGE \& FREIGHT LINES, 425 19th Street, Bakersfield, Calif. Applicant seeks a cer-
tificate of exemption under section 204 (a) (4a) Part II of the Interstate Commerce Act, to conduct operations solely within one State, as a motor common carrier, transporting: General commodities (except commodities in bulk, classes A and B explosives, commodities requiring special equipment, and household goods as defined by the Commission), between Bakersfield and Onyx, Calif., over California Highway 178, serving all intermediate points and the off-route point of Kernville, as a regular route.
No. MC 133289, filed November 10, 1968. Applicant: JOHN WAYNE JONES, doing business as J. W. JONES TRUCKING, 3003 Reckord Road, Fallston, Md. 21047. Authority sought to operate as a contract carrier, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: Flooring, elevated, consisting of the following components: Steel pedestals; aluminum pedestal caps; extruded aluminum stringers or steel stringers for connecting to pedestals; flooring panels constructed of aluminum shapes or steel shapes and hard surface floor covering, or plywood combined with steel and aluminum and hard surface floor covering; and the necessary fittings or fasteners required for installation, from Forrest Hill, Fallston, and Jessup, Md., to points in the United States, under contract with Tate Architectural Products, Inc., and Commercial Steel Co. Note: If a hearing is deemed necessary, applicant requests it be held at Baltimore, Md., or Washington, D.C.

No. MC 133310 (Sub-No. 1), filed November 29,1968 . Applicant: KENNETH L. PARKS AND KEITH O. PARKS, a partnership, doing business as: K \& K WHOLESALE CO., Post Office Box 222, Lowell, Oreg. 97452. Applicant's representative: Earle V. White, 2400 Southwest Fourth Avenue, Portland, Oreg. 97201. Authority sought to operate as a contract carrier, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: Lumber, from points in Yamhill, Marion, Linn, Lane, Douglas, Benton, Polk, and Clackamas Counties, Oreg., to points in Clark County, Nev., under contract with Western Distributors, Inc. Note: If a hearing is deemed necessary, applicant requests it be held at Portland, Oreg.
No. MC 133314, filed November 25, 1968. Applicant: SILVAN TRUCKING COMPANY, INC., Route 2, Post Office Box 87, Pendleton, Ind. Applicant's representative: Walter F. Jones, Jr., 601 Chamber of Commerce Building, Indianapolis, Ind. 46204. Authority sought to operate as a common carrier, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: Fertilizer and fertilizer ingredients, between points in Hancock and Marion Counties, Ind., on the one hand, and, on the other, Van Wert, Mercer, Darke, Preble, Butler, Allen, Putnam, Auglaize, Shelby, Miami, Montgomery, Warren, Clinton, Greene, Clark, Champaign, Logan, Hardin, Union, Madison, and Fayette Counties, Ohio. Note: If a hearing is deemed necessary, applicant requests it be held at Indianapolis, Ind., or Columbus, Ohio.

No. MC 133315, filed November 25, 1968. Applicant: ASBURY SYSTEM, 2222 East 38th Street, Vernon, Calif. 90058. Applicant's representative: James W. Wade, 729 Citizens National Bank Building, 453 South Spring Street, Los Angeles, Calif. 90013. Authority sought to operate as a contract carrier, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: Petroleum colce in bulk, from the petroleum coke producing plant of Standard Oil Co. of California, E1 Segundo, Calif., to Long Beach, Calif., under contract with Standard Oil Co. of California. Note: Applicant states no duplicating authority is being sought. Common control and dual operations may be involved. If a hearing is deemed necessary, applicant requests it be held at Los Angeles, Calif.

No. MC 133321, filed November 25, 1968. Applicant: LECK B. LAWRENCE, JR., doing business as L. B. LAWRENCE, Route No. 1, Box 218, Suffolk, Va. 23434. Applicant's representative: J. Lewis Rawls, Jr., 110 Bank Street, Post Office Box 498, Suffolk, Va. 23434. Authority sought to operate as a contract carrier, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: Lumber, from the plantsites at Sheffield Lumber Co., in Suffolk and Nansemond Counties, Va., to points in Maryland and North Carolina, Note: If a hearing is deemed necessary, applicant requests it be held at Richmond, Va., Washington, D.C., or Raleigh, N.C.

## Motor Carriers of Passengers

No. MC 228 (Sub-No. 66), filed November 13, 1968. Applicant: HUDSON TRANSIT LINES, INC., 17 Franklin Turnpike, Mahwah, N.J. 07430. Applicant's representative: Samuel B. Zinder, Station Plaza East, Great Neck, N.Y. Authority sought to operate as a common carrier, by motor vehicle, over regular routes, transporting: Passengers and their baggage together with express and newspapers in the same vehicle with passengers: (1) Between Matamoras, Pa., and Newburgh, N.Y., from junction U.S. Highway 6 and I-84 (Interstate Highway 84) at or near Matamoras, Pa., over I-84 to junction County Highway 15 at or near Port Jervis, N.Y., thence over County Highway 15 to junction U.S. Highway 6 at or near Port Jervis, N.Y., thence from junction I-84 and County Highway 15 at or near Port Jervis, N.Y., over I-84 to junction of I-84 and U.S. Highway 6, at or near Smith Corner, N.Y., from the junction of I-84 and U.S. Highway 6 at or near Smith Corner, N.Y., over I-84 to junction U.S. Highway 6 (and 17 M ) at or near Denton, N.Y., from the junction of I-84 and U.S. Highway $6(17 \mathrm{M})$ at or near Denton, N.Y., over I-84 to junction of I-84 and New York Highway 17 at or near Mechanicstown, N.Y., from the junction of I-84 and New York Highway 17 at or near Mechanicstown, N.Y., over I-84 to the junction of I-84 and New York Highway 208, at or near Scott's Corner, N.Y., from the junction of I-84 and New York Highway 208, over I-84 to the junction of I-84 and New York Highway 17K at or near East Coldenham, N.Y., thence from the junction of I-84 and New York

Highway 17 K at or near East Coldenham, N.Y., over I-84 to the junction of I-84 and County Highway 10 in the town of Newburgh, thence from the junction of County Highway 10 and I-84 in the town of Newburgh, over I-84 to junction of I-84 and New York Highway 52 in the town of Newburgh, from the junction of I-84 and New York Highway 52 in the town of Newburgh, over I-84 to junction of U.S. Highway 9W in the town of Newburgh and return over the same route; serving all intermediate points; and (2) between the junction of County Highway 10 and New York Highway 17 K in the town of Newburgh and the junction of County Highway 28 and New York Highway 32 in the town of Newburgh, as follows: From the junction of County Highway 10 and New York Highway 17K over County Highway 10 to the junction of County Highway 10 and County Highway 28 , thence over County Highway 28 to the junction of County Highway 28 and New York Highway 32 in the town of Newburgh, and return over the same route, serving all intermediate points. Note: If a hearing is deemed necessary, applicant requests it be held at New York, N.Y.

No. MC 3647 (Sub-No. 405), filed November 15, 1968. Applicant: PUBLIC SERVICE COORDINATED TRANSPORT, 180 Boyden Avenue, Maplewood, N.J. 07040. Applicant's representative: Richard Frying (same address as applicant). Authority sought to operate as a common carrier, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: Passengers and their baggage, in the same vehicle with passengers, in one way and round trip charter operations, beginning and ending at points in Pennsylvania in an area bounded by the following: From New Hope, Pa., along U.S. Highway 202 to Pennsylvania Highway 152; thence along Pennsylvania Highway 152 and U.S. Highway 309 to the Philadelphia County line; thence along the Philadelphia County line to Pennsylvania Highway 532; thence along Pennsylvania Highway 532 to Washington Crossing, Pa.; thence along the Delaware River to New Hope, Pa., and extending to points in the United States including Alaska but excluding Hawaii. Note: If a hearing is deemed necessary, applicant requests it be held at Philadelphia or Willow Grove, Pa.

No. MC 3647 (Sub-No. 406), filed November 25, 1968. Applicant: PUBLIC SERVICE COORDINATED TRANSPORT, a corporation, 180 Boyden Avenue, Maplewood, N.J. 07040. Applicant's representative: Richard Fryling (same address as applicant). Authority sought to operate as a common carrier, by motor vehicle, over regular routes; transporting. Passengers and their baggage, and express and newspapers, in the same vehicle with passengers; (1) between Clinton Township and Franklin Township, N.J., from junction U.S. Highway 22 and Interstate Highway 78 (Clinton Township), over Interstate Highway 78 to junction Interstate Highway 287, (Bedminster Township, N.J.), thence over Interstate Highway 287 to
junction Weston Canal Road (Franklin Township), and return over the same route, serving all intermediate points; and (2) between points in Bridgewater Township, N.J., as follows: From junction Interstate Highway 287 and combined U.S. Highways 202-206, over combined U.S. Highways 202-206 to junction New Jersey Highway 28 at the Bridgewater Township-Raritan Borough boundary line, and return over the same route, serving all intermediate points; restricted against the transportation of passengers to or from New York City, N.Y., except on trips which neither originate nor terminate at any point in New Jersey, east of Clinton, N.J. Note: Applicant states that the above described routes will be tacked with existing authority. If a hearing is deemed necessary, applicant requests it be held at Newark, N.J.

No. MC 121572 (Sub-No. 1), filed November 18, 1968. Applicant: TRANS STATE BUS, INC., 921 Main Street, Great Bend, Kans. 67530. Applicant's representative: C. Zimmerman, 503 Schweiter Building, Wichita, Kans. 67202. Authority sought to operate as a common carrier, by motor vehicle, over regular routes, transporting: Passengers and their baggage, express, and newspapers, in the same vehicle with passengers, between Dodge City and McPherson, Kans., over U.S. Highway 56, serving all intermediate points. NOTE: If a hearing is deemed necessary, applicant requests it be held at Wichita or Topeka, Kans.
Applications in Which Handling Without Oral Hearing Has Been Requested

No. MC 124276 (Sub-No. 1), filed November 25, 1968. Applicant: FORREST OLSON, INC., 565 West Avenue, Box 185, Zumbrota, Minn. 55992. Applicant's representative: Val M. Higgins, 1000 First National Bank Building, Minneapolis, Minn. 55402. Authority sought to operate as a contract carrier, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: Animal and poultry feeds, animal and poultry mineral mixtures, animal and poultry tonics, and medicines, insecticides, livestock feeders, and premiums and advertising matter relating to those products, from the site of Moorman Manufacturing Co. warehouse located at or near Kasson, Minn., to points in Pierce, Pepin, Buffalo, St. Croix, Dunn, Eau Claire, and Trempealeau Counties, Wis., under contract with Moorman Manufacturing Co. of Quincy, Ill.

## By the Commissioner.

[SEAL] H. Neil Garson,
Secretary.
[F.R. Doc. 68-15046; Filed, Dec. 18, 1968; 8:45 a.m.]

## [Notice 749]

## MOTOR CARRIER TEMPORARY AUTHORITY APPLICATIONS

December 13, 1968.
The following are notices of filing of applications for temporary authority under section 210 a (a) of the Interstate

Commerce Act provided for under the new rules of Ex Parte No. MC-67 (49 CFR Part 340), published in the Federal Pegister, issue of April 27, 1965, effective July 1, 1965. These rules provide that protests to the granting of an application must be filed with the field official named in the Federal Register publication, within 15 calendar days after the date of notice of the filing of the application is published in the Federal Register. One copy of such protest must be served on the applicant, or its authorized representative, if any, and the protests must certify that such service has been made. The protests must be specific as to the service which such protestant can and will offer, and must consist of a signed original and six copies.
A copy of the application is on file, and can be examined at the Office of the Secretary, Interstate Commerce Commission, Washington, D.C., and also in the field office to which protests are to be transmitted.

## Motor Carriers of Property

No. MC 80430 (Sub-No. 125 TA) (Correction), filed October 21,1968 , published in the Federal Register issues of October 26,1968 , and November 19,1968 , and republished as amended, this issue. Applicant: GATEWAY TRANSPORTATION CO., INC., 2130 South Avenue, La Crosse, Wis. 54601. Applicant's representative: Joseph E. Ludden (same address as above). Authority sought to operate as a common carrier, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: Classes $A$ and $B$ explosives, from Badger Army Ammunition Plant, Baraboo, Wis., to Twin Cities Army Ammunition Plant, New Brighton, Minn., for 150 days. Note: The purpose of this republication is to reflect a correction in the destination point from that shown in the previous publications. Supporting shipper: Department of the Army, Washington, D.C. Send protests to: Barney L. Hardin, District Supervisor, Interstate Commerce Commission, Bureau of Operations, 444 West Main Street, Room 11, Madison, Wis. 53703.

No. MC 117392 (Sub-No. 9 TA), filed December 11, 1968. Applicant: FRANK W. EDMANDS, INC., 16 Hamilton Street, Saugus, Mass. 01906 . Applicant's representative: Mary E. Kelley, 10 Tremont Street, Boston, Mass. 02108. Authority sought to operate as a common carrier, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: Rock salt, in bulk, in dump N. or conveyor equipped vehicles, from Bow, ton., to Billerica, Beverly, Boston, Canton, Danvers, Franklin, Lawrence, Lowell, Millbury, Peabody, Salem, Somerville, West Warren, Westboro, and Woburn, Mass., for 150 days. Supporting shipper: International Salt Co., Clark Summit, Pa. Send protests to: Max Gorenstein, District Supervisor, Interstate Commerce Commission, Bureau of Operations, J.F.K. Federal Building, Government Center, Boston, Mass, 02203.
No. MC 118159 (Sub-No. 58 TA), filed December 9, 1968. Applicant: EVERETT LOWRANCE, 4916 Jefferson Highway, 70121 . Ape Box 10216, New Orleans, La. 70121. Applicant's representative: David
D. Brunson, Post Office Box 671, Oklahoma City, Okla. Authority sought to operate as a common carrier, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: Prepared foodstuffis, from Denison, Tex., to points in Alabama and Florida, for 180 days. Supporting shipper: The Pillsbury Co., 608 Second Avenue South, Minneapolis, Minn. 55402. Send protest to: W. R. Atkins, District Supervisor, Bureau of Operations, Interstate Commerce Commission, T-4009 Federal Building, 701 Loyola Avenue, New Orleans, La. 70113.

No. MC 126835 (Sub-No. 18 TA), filed December 11, 1968. Applicant: EDGAR BISCHOFF, doing business as CASKET DISTRIBUTORS, West Harrison, Ind., Mailing Address, Rural Route No. 2, Harrison, Ohio 45030 . Applicant's representative: Jack B. Josselson; Altas Bank Building, Cincinnati, Ohio 45202. Authority sought to operate as a contract carrier, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: Uncrated caskets, casket displays, funeral supplies, and crated caskets in mixed loads with uncrated caskets; from Lodi, N.J., to Winchester, Martin, Salyersville, Paintsville, Pikeville, and Mount Sterling, Ky., and Orange Park, Miami, Stuart, Fort Pierce, and Melbourne, Fla., for 180 days. Supporting shipper: Bridge Casket Co., Inc., 116 South Main Street, Lodi, N.J. 07644. Send protests to: District Supervisor James W. Habermehl, Interstate Commerce, Commission, Bureau of Operations, 802 Century Building, 36 South Pennsylvania Street, Indianapolis, Ind. 46204.

No. MC 127061 (Sub-No. 1 TA), filed December 9, 1968. Applicant: L. C. WALSON, Box 56, Dover, Idaho 83825. Authority sought to operate as a common carrier, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: Chips, shavings, sawdust, and lumber and equipment used in logging operations, between points in Boundary, Kootenai, Lewis, Bonner, Nez Perce, Latah, and Idaho Counties, Idaho; Pend Oreille, Lincoln, Walla Walla and Spokane Counties, Wash.; and Missoula, Sanders, Mineral, and Lake Counties, Mont., for 150 days. Supporting shippers: Diamond National Corp., Diamond Lumber Division, Post Office Box 1119, Coeur d'Alene, Idaho 83814; Joslyn Manufacturing and Supply Co., Post Office Box 68, Sandpoint, Idaho; P \& H Chip Co., Inc., Post Office Box 86, Kootenai, Idaho 83840 ; L. C. Walson, Box 56, Dover, Idaho 83825; The Pack River Co., Post Office Box 278, Sandpoint, Idaho 83864, and Hedlund Lumber Co., Inc., Post Office Box 249, Sandpoint, Idaho 83864. Send protests to: L. C. Taylor, District Supervisor, Bureau of Operations, Interstate Commerce Commission, 401 U.S. Post Office, Spokane, Wash. 99201.

No. MC 133312 (Sub-No. 1 TA), filed December 9, 1968. Applicant: HARRY E. HALLECK, 935 Sheridan, Lakewood, Colo. 80214. Authority sought to operate as a contract carrier, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: Helium, in cylinders and helium cylinders, between Denver, Colo, and the plantsite of Gardner Cryogenics, approximately 22
miles northwest of Elkhart, Kans., for 150 days. Supporting shipper: Ellswood, 1354 Larimer, Denver, Colo. 80204. Send protests to: District Supervisor C. W. Buckner, Interstate Commerce Commission, Bureau of Operations, 2022 Federal Building, Denver, Colo. 80202.

No. MC 133330 TA, filed December 9, 1968. Applicant: HALVOR LINES, INC., 510 Lonsdale Building, Duluth, Minn. 55802. Applicant's representative: Andrew R. Clark, 1000 First National Bank Building, Minneapolis, Minn. 55402. Authority sought to operate as a contract carrier, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: (1) Processed Christmas trees, and advertising and promotional materials, and accessories therefor, from Duluth, Minn., commercial zone to points in the United States (except Alaska and Hawaii) ; (2) snow throws, lawn mowers, tillers, garden tractors and parts, accessories, advertising and promotional materials therefor, from Brillion, Wis., and Duluth, Minn., commercial zone to points in North Dakota, Minnesota, and Wisconsin; (3) snowmobiles, all adaptions thereof, carts or trailers to be towed by or to tow snowmobiles or adaptions, parts, supplies, clothing, and advertising materials used or useful in connection with the above. Crawler tractors, snow plows, snowmobile buses, adaptions thereof, related accessories, trailers to be towed by or to tow the above, advertising and promotional materials, accessories and parts, from points on the United States-Canadian border between the western boundary of Ontario Province and eastern boundary of Quebec Province and Duluth, Minn., commercial zone to points in North Dakota, South Dakota, Minnesota, Wisconsin, Iowa, Illinois, Upper Peninsula of Michigan, Missouri, Kansas, Montana, Wyoming, Colorado, and New Mexico, and Nebraska; (4) aqua scooters and adaptions thereof, carts or trailers to be towed by or to tow these articles or adaptions thereof, parts, supplies, advertising material, and related clothing, from points on the United States-Canadian border between the western boundary of Ontario Province and eastern boundary of Quebec Province and the Duluth, Minn., commercial zone to points in the United States east of the western border of Montana, Wyoming, New Mexico, and Colorado, for 180 days. Supporting shipper: Halvorson Equipment Co., Duluth, Minn. Send protests to: District Supervisor A. E. Rathert, Interstate Commerce Commission, Bureau of Operations, 448 Federal Building and U.S. Courthouse, 110 South Fourth Street, Minneapolis, Minn. 55401.

No. MC 133333 TA, filed December 11, 1968. Applicant: JACK HART, doing business as PARTS LOCATOR SERVICE, 5501 Northwest Walnut Street, Vancouver, Wash. 98663. Authority sought to operate as a common carrier, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: Used automobile and truck parts, between points in Washington and Oregon limited to service between auto dismantling firms (also known as wrecking yards), for 180 days. Supporting shippers: American Auto Wrecking Co., 626

North Columbia Boulevard, Portland 17, Oreg.; German Auto Parts, 13349 Southeast Powell Boulevard, Portland, Oreg. 97266; Foster Auto Parts, 10355 Southeast Foster Road, Portland, Oreg. 97266; Rose Auto Wrecking, 9560 Northeast Portland Boulevard, Portland 20, Oreg.; Brown's Auto Wrecking Co., 2200 East First, Vancouver, Wash. 98661. Send protests to: District Supervisor W. J. Huetig, Interstate Commerce Commission, Bureau of Operations, 450 Multnomah Building, 120 Southwest Fourth Avenue, Portland, Oreg. 97204.

By the Commission.
[seal] H. Neil Garson, Secretary.
[F.R. Doc. 68-15141; Filed, Dec. 18, 1968; 8:50 a.m.]

## [Notice 1250]

## APPLICATIONS UNDER SECTION 5

December 17, 1968.
The following applications are governed by the Interstate Commerce Commission's special rules governing notice of filing of applications by motor carriers of property or passengers under section 5(a) of the Interstate Commerce Act, and certain other proceedings with respect thereto ( 49 CFR 1.240 )
No. MC-F-10336. Authority sought for control by NOVO INDUSTRIAL CORPORATION, 219 East 42d Street, New York, N.Y. 10017, of HOURLY MESSENGERS, INC., 20 th and Indiana Avenue, Philadelphia, Pa. 19132. Applicants' attorney: David A. Sutherlund, 1120 Connecticut Avenue NW., Washington, D.C. 20036. Operating rights sought to be controlled: Medical supplies and medical materials, both in packages not exceeding 40 pounds in weight, as a contract carrier, over irregular routes, between East Whiteland Township, Chester County, Pa., on the one hand, and, on the other, certain specified points in New Jersey and points in New Castle, Del., with restriction; medical supplies and materials, and dental, optical, and photographic supplies, materials, and products, in packages not exceeding 40 pounds in weight, from King of Prussia, Pa., to certain specified points in New Jersey, and points in New Castle County, Del., with restriction; medical, dental, and optical supplies, materials and products, in packages not exceeding 40 pounds in weight, and no shipment to any one consignee to exceed 200 pounds in weight, from King of Prussia, Pa., to certain specified points in New Jersey, and New Castle, Del., with restriction; medical supplies and materials, and dental, optical, and photographic products, supplies, and related materials, restricted in packages not exceeding 40 pounds each in weight, and that no shipment to any one consignee shall exceed 200 pounds in weight, from King of Prussia, Pa., to certain specified points in New Jersey, and New Castle, Del., with restriction; processed and unprocessed film, between Philadelphia, Pa., and points in Atlantic County, N.J., on the one hand, and, on the other, certain specified points in

New Jersey, with restriction; processed film and unprocessed film, between certain specified points in Pennsylvania, with restriction; and medical supplies and materials, and dental, optical, photographic, photo engraving, and photo finishing supplies, materials and products, between Philadelphia, Pa., on the one hand, and, on the other, certain specified points in New Jersey, and New Castle, Del.; and temporarily authorized to operate as a common carrier, parcels and packages, over irregular routes, between certain specified points in Pennsylvania, on the one hand, and, on the other, certain specified points in New Jersey, and New Castle County, Del., between certain specified points in New Jersey, on the one hand, and, on the other, points in New Castle County, Del., with restriction; parcels and packages, having a prior out-of-state movement, from Philadelphia, Pa., to certain specified points in Pennsylvania, with restriction; drugs, medicines, and pharmaceutical supplies, in packages of 50 pounds or less, from Somerset, N.J., to certain specified points in Pennsylvania; and parcels, packages, between certain specified points in Pennsylvania, with restrictions. NOVO INDUSTRIAL CORPORATION, holds no authority from this Commission. However, it owns all of the stock of FLEET CARRIER CORPORATION, 586 South Boulevard East, Pontiac, Mich. 48056, which is authorized to operate as a common carrier, in all points in the United States (except Alaska and Hawaii) ; and BOSS-LINCO LINES, INC., 1 West Genessee Street, Buffalo, N.Y. 14240 , which is authorized to operate as a common carrier, in New York, Pennsylvania, New Jersey, Virginia, Maryland, and the District of Columbia. Application has not been filed for temporary authority under section 210a(b).

No. MC-F-10337. Authority sought for purchase by THE OBSERVER TRANSPORTATION COMPANY, 1600 West Independence Boulevard, Charlotte, N.C. 28208, of a portion of the operating rights of CITIZEN EXPRESS, INC., 38 North French Broad Avenue, Asheville, N.C. 28801, and for acquisition by KNIGHT PUBLISHING COMPANY, Observer Building, Charlotte, N.C., of control of such rights through the purchase. Applicants' attorneys: Elmer A. Hilker, 907 Johnston Building, Charlotte, N.C. 28202, and David A. Sutherland, 1120 Connecticut Avenue NW., Washington, D.C. 20036. Operating rights sought to be transferred: Motion picture films, and materials, supplies, and equipment used or useful in a motion picture theatre, newspapers, magazines, periodicals, and other publications, as a common carrier, over irregular routes, between certain specified points in North Carolina; and general commodities, except those of unusual value, class A and B explosives, household goods as defined by the Commission, commodities in bulk, commodities requiring special equipment, and those injurious or contaminating to other lading, in packages not to exceed 250 pounds in weight, between certain specified points in North Carolina, on the one hand, and,
on the other, Morganton, N.C., and points on the boundary line as above, restricted to traffic moving to or from Charlotte, N.C. Vendee is authorized to operate as a common carrier in North Carolina and South Carolina. Application has not been filed for temporary authority under section 210a(b).

By the Commission.
[seal]
H. Neil Garson, Secretary.
[F.R. Doc. 68-15156; Filed, Dec. 18, 1968; 8:52 a.m.]

## DEPRRTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION

Federal Aviation Administration [Docket No. 8555]

## REPORTS OF NEAR MIDAIR COLLISIONS

## Extension of Policy

The Federal Aviation Administration is extending for another year its 1968 policy that established (32 F.R. 16539) the reporting of near midair collisions. Extension of the policy for another year is considered appropriate since the final FAA report based upon the 1968 study and the resultant evaluation of the data assembled will not be issued until sometime in 1969.

Accordingly, the Administrator will take no enforcement or other adverse action, remedial or disciplinary, against any person involved in a near midair collision that is reported to the FAA during the extension of this policy. This action is taken under his statutory mandate to promote safety in flight. Furthermore, the Administrator will, upon written request of the person making the report, withhold that report, and the identity of those persons involved, from public disclosure in accordance with section 1104 of the Federal Aviation Act of 1958.

Therefore, it is the policy of the Federal Aviation Administration that if any pilot of an aircraft, Air Traffic Controller, or other person involved in a near midair collision reports the facts, conditions, and circumstances thereof to the FAA-
(a) The Administrator will not subject any person involved in the near midair collision to enforcement or other adverse action, remedial or disciplinary, even though a violation of the Federal Aviation Regulations is disclosed by the report or subsequent investigation; and
(b) Upon written request of the person submitting the report, the Administrator will, to the extent authorized by section 1104 of the Federal Aviation Act of 1958 , withhold the identity of the persons involved in the near midair collision and the information contained in that report from public disclosure.

This policy applies to near midair collisions which occur from January 1, 1969, to December 31, 1969, inclusive.
(Secs. 305,307 (c), 312 (c), 313 (a), 601 (a), 701 (a), 1104, Federal Aviation Act of 1958 (49 U.S.C. 1346, 1348 (c), 1353 (c), 1354 (a), 1321 (a), 1441 (a), 1504))

Issued in Washington, D.C., on December $17,1968$.

## D. D. Thomas, Acting Administrator.

IF.R. Doc. 68-15219; Flled, Dec. 18, 1968; 10:10 a.m.]

## FEOERAL COMMUNCATIONS COMMISSION

## [Report 418]

COMMON CARRIER SERVICES INFORMATION ${ }^{1}$
Domestic Public Radio Services Applications Accepted for Filing ${ }^{2}$

December 16, 1968.
Pursuant to $\$ \S 1.227$ (b) (3) and 21.26 (b) of the Commission's rules, an application, in order to be considered with any domestic public radio services application appearing on the attached list, must be substantially complete and tendered for filing by whichever date is earlier: (a) The close of business 1 business day preceding the day on which the Commission takes action on the previously filed application; or (b) within 60 days after the date of the public notice listing the first prior filed application (with which subsequent applications are in conflict) as having been accepted for filing. An application which is subsequently amended by a major change will be considered to be a newly filed application. It is to be noted that the cutoff dates are set forth in the alternative-applications will be entitled to consideration with those listed in the appendix if filed by the end of the 60 -day period, only if the Commission has not acted upon the application by that time pursuant to the first alternative earlier date. The mutual exclusivity rights of a new application are governed by the earliest action with respect to any one of the earlier filed conflicting applications.
The attention of any party in interest desiring to file pleadings pursuant to section 309 of the Communications Act of 1034, as amended, concerning any domestic public radio services application accepted for filing, is directed to $\$ 21.27$ of the Commission's rules for provisions governing the time for filing and other requirements relating to such pleadings.

## Federal Communications

 Commission,Ben F. Waple,

## Secretary.

${ }^{1}$ All applications listed in the appendix are subject to further consideration and relew and may be returned and/or dismissed if not found to be in accordance with the Commission's rules, regulations, and other equirements.
${ }^{2}$ The above alternative cutoff rules apply to those applications listed in the appendix Land Mobing been accepted in Domestic Public Land Mobile Radio, Rural Radio, Point-toTransmicrowave Radio, and Local Television Transmission Services (Part 21 of the rules).

## Appendix

## Applications Accepted for Filing

## DOMESTIC PUBLIC LAND MOBILE RADIO SERVICE

2990-C2-P-69-Joe Cameron, doing business as Kam-Ron Co.; (New); C.P. for a new two-way station. Frequency: 152.21 MHz . Location: 1070 Adams Avenue, Montgomery, Ala.
2991-C2-P-69-Penna Radio Telephone Corp.; (New); C.P. for a new one-way station. Frequency: 158.70 MHz . Location: Mount Penn, Pa.
2992-C2-P-69-Carolina Telephone \& Telegraph Co.; (KIJ362); C.P. to add a second base channel to operate on 152.81 MHz at its station located 2.5 miles west of Fayetteville, N.C.

3397-C2-P-69-Mobllfone, Inc.; (New); C.P. for a new one-way station. Frequency: 152.24 MHz. Location: John Poole Building, Mount Wilson, Calif.
3400-C2-P-69-Mobile Communications Service, Inc.; (KGC398); C.P. to change antenna system operating on base frequency 152.15 MHz at station located Pennsylvania Route No. 27, 2.5 miles east of Meadville, Pa.
3399-C2-P-69-Page A Fone Corp.; (New); C.P. for a new one-way station. Frequency: 158.70 MHz. Location: 4905 Bridge Street, Fort Worth, Tex.

3401-C2-P-69-Mobilfone Communications, Inc.; (KXX714); C.P. to change the antenna location for the 152.03 MHz from top of hill, 1 mile west of city limits of Austin, Tex. To: On Highway No. 183, 3 miles north of Austin, Tex., and change replace transmitter for same.
3402-C2-P-69-Harry Tarbell, doing business as Pacific Union; (New); C.P. for a new oneway station to be located at Council Crest Drive and Villard Drive SW., Portland, Oreg., to operate on frequency 158.70 MHz .
3403-C2-P-69-Harry Tarbell, doing business as Pacific Union; (New); C.P. for a new oneway station. Frequency: 152.24 MHz . Location: Just off Tantalus Drive, 3.5 miles northeast of Honolulu, Hawail.
3404-C2-P-69-New England Telephone \& Telegraph Co.; (KCC793); C.P. to change antenna system operating on 454.800 and 454.990 MHz at its developmental station located on Bear Hill, 1 mile west of Waltham, Mass.
3405-C2-MP-69-General Telephone Co. of the Southwest; (KLF486); Modification of C.P. to change frequency from 43.22 MHz to 152.84 MHz and replace transmitter at its station located 301 West Pearce Avenue, Baytown, Tex.
3406-C2-TC-(2)-69-Mobile Radio Telephone Service, Inc; Consent to transfer of control from Mobile Radio Telephone Service, Inc., Transferor, to Airsignal International, Inc., Transferee the following stations. Stations: KAA276-Lookout Mountain, near Golden, Colo., KAQ606-621 17th Street, Denver, Colo.
3412-C2-P-69-Day-Nite Radio Message Service Corp.; (KGA593); C.P. to change antenna system and replace transmitter operating on base frequency 152.03 MHz at its station located 2601 Parkway, Philadelphia, Pa.
3413-C2-P-69-Airsignal International, Inc.; (New); C.P. for a new one-way signaling station. Frequencies: 152.24 and 158.70 MHz . Location: First National Bank Bullding, 100 South Biscayne Boulevard, Miami, Fla.
3414 C2-P-69-Airsignal International, Inc.; (New) ; C.P. for a new one-way station. Frequencies: 152.24 and 158.70 MHz . Location: 2455 East Sunrise Boulevard, Fort Lauderdale, Fla.
3464-C2-P-69-Communications Industries, Inc., doing business as Mobilfone; (New) ; C.P. for a new one-way signaling station. Frequency: 152.24 MHz . Location: U.S. Highway No. 80 , approximately 2 miles southwest of Midland, Tex.
3465-C2-P-69-American Mobile Radio, Inc.; (New); C.P. for a new one-way station. Frequency: 152.24 MHz . Location: Lot 15, Signal Hill Tract, 2339 Raymond Avenue, Long Beach, Calif.
3466-C2-P-69-Canaveral Communications; (KIY516); C.P. to change antenna location from Canaveral Administration Complex, State Road No. 401, Cocoa Beach, Fla., to 3 miles west of Cocoa, Fla., operating on base frequency 152.09 MHz .
3467-C2-AL-69-Portsmouth Radiotelephone; (KFQ 936); Consent to assignment of i1cense from Portsmouth Radiotelephone, Assignor to Guy P. McSweeney, doing business as Radio Telephone Service, Assignee. Location: Near South Portsmouth, Ky.
3468-C1/C2-AL (2)-69-Ozarks Radio Co.; (KBM516); Consent to assignment of license from Ozarks Radio Co., Assignor to Central Mobllphone, Inc., Assignee (two-way) radio station at Jefferson City, Mo.
3469-C2-AL-69-Central Mobilphone Service; (KEJ899) ; Consent to assignment of license from Central Mobilphone Service, Assignor to Central Mobilphone, Inc., Assignee (two-way station at Columbia, Mo.).
3475-C2-MP-69-North Shore Radio-Telephone, Inc.; (KSB590); Modification of C.P. to change antenna system and replace transmitter for frequency 152.18 MHz at location No. 3: 2526 North Harlem Avenue, Elmwood Park, IIl.
3476-C2-P-69-The Mountain States Telephone \& Telegraph Co.; (KOK338); C.P. to change antenna system and replace transmitters for base frequencies 152.57, 152.75, and 152.81 MHz . Location: Deer Point, 10.8 miles north-northeast of Boise,, Idaho, and replace transmitter for test frequencles $157.83,158.01$, and 158.07 MHz . Location: 619 Bannock Street, Boise, Idaho.
3477-C2-MP-69-Golden State Telephone Co.; (KMM650); Modification of C.P. to change antenna location from 170 North Eigleberry Street, Gilroy, Calif., to 655 Swanston Lane, Gilroy, Calif., and replace transmitter for frequency 152.54 MHz .
3478-C2-P-69-RCC of Virginia, Inc.; (KIF 465); C.P. to replace transmitter operating on base frequency 152.21 MHz at its station located 725 Church Street, Lynchburg, Va.
3479-C2-p-69-Southwestern Bell Telephone Co.; (KKA282); C.P. to install an additional channel to operate on base frequency 152.78 MHz at station located 1.75 miles east of Interstate Highway No. 35 on Southeast 89th Street, Oklahoma City, Okla.

POINT-TO-POINT MTCROWAVE RADIO SERVICE (TELEPHONE CARRIERS)-continued 3410-C1-P/ML-69-American Telephone \& Telegraph Co.; (KIW84); C.P. and modification 3410-C1-P license to add 4110 MHz toward Independent Hill, Va., at its station located 2.9 miles


341-C1-P/ML-69-American Telephone \& Telegraph Co.; (KIW85); C.P. and modification
of license to add 4150 MHz toward Warrenton, Va., at its station located 1.2 miles north of Independent Hill, Va.
I461-C1-P/ML-69-Cent

3461-C1-P/ML-69-Central Telephone Co.; (KPY34) ; C.P. and modification of license to add
 toward Mingus Mountain, ; (KPC70) ; Modification tates Telephone a telegraph from 7.5 miles south of Jerome, Ariz.
point-to-point microwave radio service (nontelephone) 3386-C1-P-69-Interdata Communications, Inc.; (New) ; C.P. for a new fixed station. Frequency: 6212.1 MHz., Location: Riggs Road and First Place NE., Washington, D.C.
3387-C1-P-69-Interdata Communications, Inc.; (New); C.P. for a new fixed station. Fre-3387-C1-P-69-Interdata Communications, Inc.; (New) ; C.P. for a new fixed station. Fre-
and Washington-Baltimore Parkway, Jessup, M. (New) ; C.P. for a new fixed station to be
locat-P-69-Interdata Communications, Inc.; (2001 Moravia Road, Baltimore, Md., to operate on frequencies 6212.1 and 6241.7

89-C1-P-69-Interdata Communications, Inc.; (New); C.P. for a new fixed station to be 89-Cated 3 miles northwest of Perryman, Md., to operate on 5989.7 and 5960.0 MHz . located 3 miles northwest of Perryman, Md., to operate on 5989.7 and new fixed station to be 3391-C1-P-69-Interdata Communications, Inc.; (New); C.P. for a new fixed station. Frequencies: 6019.3 and 6049.0 MHz . Location: Pedricktown Road, Five Points, N.J. quencies: 6301.0 and 6330.7 MHz. Location: 225 South 15th Street, Philadelphia, Pa. 3393-C1-P-69-Interdata Communications, Inc.; (New); C.P. for a new fixed station. Fre-

 3395-C1-P-69-Interdata Communications, Inc.; (New); C.P. for a new fixed station. Fre-3396-C1-P-69-Interdata Communications, Inc.; (New) : C.P. for a new fixed station. Fre-


 toward Albany, Tex., on azimuth of $262^{\circ} 00^{\prime}$. Location: Breckenridge, Tex. (Informative: Applicant proposes to provide the
Albany Cable System in Albany, Tex.) Albany Cable System in Albany, Tex.)
3482-C1-P-69-Minnesota Microwave, I

 2.4 miles northwest of Toronto, S. Dak., to operate on frequency $11,285 \mathrm{MHz}$, via power
split, toward (a) Watertown, S. Dak. (lat. $44^{\circ} 51^{\prime} 55^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{N} .-$ long. $97^{\circ} 05^{\prime} 55^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{W}$.), on azimuth

 It appears that the following sets of applications may be mutually exclusive and subject
to the Commission's rules regarding ex parte presentations by reasons of economic
competition:

 Streets, Honolulu, Hawaii. Location No. 3-Moanalua Yard, 1021 Kikowaena Place, Honolulu, Hawaii. Location No. 5-Ilikai Building, 1777 Ala Moana Boulevard, Honolulu, Hawail. Location No. 6 -Kaimuki Switching Center, 1103 Ninth Avenue, Honolulu, Hawate
Location No. 7 -Koko Head, 9 miles southeast of Honolulu, Hawaii also change coordinates at location No. 7 to read: Lat. $21^{\circ} 16^{\prime} 10^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{N}$., long. $157^{\circ} 42^{\prime} 19^{\prime \prime}$ W. base frequency: 35.22 MHz
(one-way signaling). (one-way signaling).
3474-C1-P/MI-69-Ha tolephone Co.; (KOUA216); C.P. and modification of license
to correct coordinates at location No. 7 : Koko Head, 9 miles southeast of Honolulu, Hawail, to read lat. $21^{\circ} 16^{\prime} 10^{\prime \prime}$ N., long. $157^{\circ} 42^{\prime} 19^{\prime \prime}$ W. for base frequencies $152.51,152.63$, 3485-C2-MP-69-RCC of Virginia, Inc.; (KLF517) ; Modification of C.P. to change antenna system operating on base frequency 152.15 MHz at station located 508 Industry Drive,

Hampton, Va . | 3486-C2-P-69-Mobile Radio Communications, Inc.; (KAA 275) ; C.P. to install an additional |
| :--- | City, Mo.

 territory of the grantee.

 in any temporary fixed location within the territory of the grantee. rural subscriber fixed station. Frequencles: 459.60 and 459.65 MHz . Subscriber and Joca-3484-C1-P-69-The Mountain States Telephone \& Telegraph Co.; (New); C.P. for a new central office fixed station to be located 67 North Vernal Avenue, Vernal, Utah, to operate
on 454.60 and 454.65 MHz .
 3364-C1-P-69-Hawailan Telephone Co.; (KXR50); C.P. to change frequency from 10,835
90) : CP to change frequency from 11,365 MHz to $11,405 \mathrm{MHz}$ toward Wheeler AFB, Hawaii, and add $11,365 \mathrm{MHz}$ toward Kaala, 366-C1-P-69-Hawailan Telephone Co.; (KUV95) ; C.P. to add frequencies 6755.0 and 6835.0


 of Hanamaulu, Hawaii, also correct station coordinates to read lat. $22^{\circ} 00^{\prime} 17^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{N} .-1 \mathrm{long}$. to use Noncommon Carrier Frequencies.)




MINNESOTA/SOUTH DAKOTA
Mountain Microwave Corp.; File Nos. 1633 through 1687-C1-P-69 (Public Notice, Sept. 30, 1968)

Minnesota Microwave, Inc.; File Nos. 3481/3482-C1-P-69.
MAJOR AMENDMENT
5227-C1-P-65-Penn Service Microwave Co.; (New); Application amended to delete radio path on frequency 6100 MHz toward Selinsgrove, Pa. Location: Montour Ridge, 6 miles west of Danville, Pa. All other particulars are as reported in public notice dated May 10, 1965
[F.R. Doc. 68-15145; Flled, Dec. 18, 1968; 8:51 a.m.]

## [Docket Nos. 18251-18257; FCC 68R-511]

## LOUIS VANDER PLATE ET AL.

## Memorandum Opinion and Order

 Enlarging and Amending IssuesIn re applications of Louis Vander Plate, Franklin, N.J., et al. Docket Nos. 18251, 18252, 18253, 18254, 18255, 18256, 18257; File No. BP-16837; for construction permits.

1. This proceeding involves, inter alia, the applications of Mid-State Broadcasting Co. (Mid-State) and Lake-River Broadcasting Corp. (Lake-River), ${ }^{\text {e }}$ each seeking an authorization to construct a new standard broadcast station at Lakewood, N,J. These applications, together with five other proposals, ${ }^{2}$ were designated for consolidated hearing by Memorandum Opinion and Order, FCC 68-731, released July 22, 1968, on various issues including section 307 (b) and contingent comparative issues. Now before the Review Board is a motion to enlarge issues, filed August 9, 1968, by Mid-State, requesting nine disqualifying issues against Lake-River and a comparative coverage issue concerning the Mid-State and Lake-River proposals. ${ }^{3}$
2. A brief chronology of the events concerning the filing and prosecution of the Lake-River application will facilitate an understanding of our disposition. Lake-River's application was originally filed on October 26, 1966, shortly before the cutoff date on the Mid-State application, In its application, Radio New Jersey (predecessor to Lake-River Broadcasting Corp.) specified a two-tower directional antenna system on a site designated as the "Heterbrugge" site. On November 18, 1966, Lake-River advised the Commission that the site it had originally proposed was no longer available but that "an appropriate amendment will be filed within the next 30 days." On May 12, 1967, an amendment was filed specifying the new site and the appli-

[^5]cant's intention to construct a four-element directional antenna system. The amendment did not specify a change in the required costs of the proposal, nor was there any indication that the site would not be available on a leased basis as had previously been the case. On January 9, 1968, the applicant filed a further amendment indicating that Radio New Jersey would be replaced by a new corporate entity, Lake-River Broadcasting Corporation. Included within the new corporate structure were two new principals-Paul Larson and Donald Towbin-each of whom was shown as an officer, director, and owner of 19 percent of the issued stock in LakeRiver. By letter, dated December 1967, filed with the amendment, Towbin indicated his willingness to extend a loan to the corporate applicant in an amount of $\$ 100,000$. Terms for the repayment of this loan, the computation of interest and security were unspecified. Robert Boughrum, and Lawrence J. Tighe, Jr., who were parties to the original Radio New Jersey filing, remained principals in Lake-River. Said principals retained a sufficient interest in the new corporate applicant so that the assignment of a new file number, under $\$ 1.571(\mathrm{j})(2)$ of the Commission's rules was not necessary.
3. In its January 9, 1968 amendment, Lake-River also made another change in its specified site; the plan for a fourtower directional antenna array was retained and the applicant indicated its intent to locate its transmitter and studio building at the new site. The amendment also reflected a change in proposed construction costs, the inclusion of costs for acquiring and remodeling buildings, and an intention to use the land on a leased basis.
4. Mid-State first seeks the addition of various $\S 1.65$ issues against LakeRiver relating to the applicant's conduct with regard to the filing of the May 1967, and January 1968, amendments. Petitioner alleges that Lake-River failed to inform the Commission of its efforts to obtain a new site after November 1966, and of its intention to alter its costs of construction in light of its fourtower proposal. In addition, petitioner alleges that Lake-River has violated $\S 1.65$ failing to advise the Commission prior to its January 1968 amendment, of its intention to change to a corporate entity, alter its financial proposal, and introduce new principals. Lake-River alleges that, although the applicant stated that it would amend its application to specify a new site "within 30 days," almost five months elapsed before the filing of such amendment. In addition, petitioner submits the affidavit of
a real estate agent which indicates that the owner of the new site (specified in the May 1967 amendment) stated that he was not interested in "selling his property * * * and wished to hold it as an investment for the future." Petitioner also avers that the site specified in the May 1967 amendment could not accommodate the antenna proposal of the applicant and that, in fact, the antenna would cross various public thoroughfares and adjacent property. ${ }^{\text {. }}$ With regard to the change in the corporate identity of the applicant, petitioner alleges that the applicant contemplated a change to include the two new principals as early as September and October of 1967, but that the amendment reflecting such a change was not filed until January 1968; during this period, petitioners allege, the applicant chose to permit the Commission to process its application without knowledge of a change in the principals shown in the May 1967 amendment.
5. In opposition, Lake-River argues that petitioner's allegations are "reckless and without regard for the true facts." The applicant alleges first that it informed the Commission of the site changes as soon as reasonable assurance was secured that a new site would be available. In addition, Lake-River contends that it promptly amended its application to show a change from a two tower to a four tower proposal. However, inasmuch as exact cost figures for the new four tower array were not immediately furnished to Lake-River's counsel, that exact estimates could not be reflected in the May 1967 amendment. Although such figures were not immediately supplied, the applicant submits that "anyone knowledgeable in matters of radio broadcasting should have been readily able to ascertain that four towers would cost more than two towers." ${ }^{\circ}$ With respect to the addition of the new principals, Towbin and Larson, Lake-River avers that they did

[^6]not become principals in the corporate applicant until December of 1967 and that the amendment reflecting the change was promptly filed in January 1968. In its comments, the Broadcast Bureau suggests that the issues relating to Rule 1.65 violations with respect to the filing of the May 1967, and January 1968, amendments are inappropriate. The Bureau submits that the "real question" presented by petitioners' request is whether Lake-River's behavior evidences a serious lack of candor in its dealings with the Commission. The Bureau therefore recommends that the following issues be added in lieu of petitioners' requests above: To determine all the facts and circumstances surrounding the filing of amendments to BP- 17485 dated May 12, 1967, and January 9,1968 , and in light of the evidence adduced thereunder, whether LakeRiver possesses the requisite and/or comparative qualifications to receive a grant of its application.
6. The Review Board is persuaded that substantial questions have been raised by petitioner with regard to the filing of the May 1967 and January 1968 amendments. ${ }^{.}$While the change of site specified in the May 1967 amendment does not constitute a violation of $\$ 1.65,{ }^{7}$ substantial questions have been raised as to whether the site selected by the applicant was inadequate to accomodate the antenna proposal, and was, in fact, available for leasing purposes. In addition, the amendment reflecting LakeRiver's incorporation fails to indicate the the details of such matters as the nature of Lake-River's acquisition of Radio New Jersey's interest in the application; the time at which the new principals acquired their interest; and the precise time at which the new principals were elected officers and directors in the applicant. It should also be noted that the corrected cost figures for antenna construction on the second site were never, in fact, submitted to the Commission, although this site was proposed by LakeRiver for a period of 8 months following the submission of this amendment. While these omissions may have technically constituted violation of $\S 1.65$ of the rules, we agree with the Broadcast

[^7]Bureau that, under the circumstances here, the real question raised is whether Lake-River has evinced a pattern of conduct in dealing with the Commission which may be something less than the requisite candor expected of applicants for broadcast facilities. The issues in this proceeding will therefore be enlarged to include an issue similar to that recommended by the Bureau in its comments.
7. Petitioners next request the addition of an issue to determine the nature and extent of the interest held by Donald Towbin in Lake-River due to his stock ownership and loan commitment; and in light of the facts adduced thereunder, whether Towbin has de facto control of Lake-River; and to determine whether the proposal of Lake-River to retain a 52 percent interest in the applicant by the former Radio New Jersey principals, Boughrum and Tighe, constitutes a planned subterfuge to avoid the return of the application to the processing line and the assignment of a new file number. Petitioners allege that, unlike its original proposal, Lake-River principals Boughrum and Tighe have now reduced their individual commitments from $\$ 10,000$ to $\$ 2,600$ and that Mr. Towbin is committed to furnish more than $\$ 100,000$ to the corporate applicant. Petitioner questions whether, by virtue of this loan and Towbin's position as treasurer and director of the company, he is not, in fact, the controlling principal of the applicant. The Broadcast Bureau argues in support of the addition of this requested issue.
8. In opposition, Lake-River argues that the funds to be loaned by Towbin will be placed in a corporate bank account to be drawn on by the corporate officers, that Towbin will have no further control on the "purse strings" of the corporation; and that he will only exercise the corporate control attributable to his 19 percent stock interest and corporate position. Submitted with the opposition is an affidavit by Towbin indicating that he will have no de facto control over the corporation and that Lake-River will repay the loan.
9. It is clear that had Lake-River specified Towbin as a majority stockholder, a new file number would have been required. The imposition of a new file number for Lake-River would have prevented its proposal from being considered with the proposal of Mid-State, since the cutoff date for the Mid-State application was October 27, 1966. While it has not been demonstrated that Towbin will in fact exercise a degree of control over the corporate applicant disproportionate with his stock interest, the circumstances relative to the potential assignment of a new file number, together with the fact that Lake-River has not set forth the details of its loan arrangement with Towbin, i.e., security, terms of repayment, etc., raise a question as to the real interest that Towbin possesses in the Lake-River application; an issue will therefore be specified to inquire
into the circumstances relating to the corporate reorganization. ${ }^{9}$
10. As previously noted, in January 1968, Lake-River filed an amendment to again change its specified site. Petitioners allege, through the affidavits of a principal of Mid-State and its consulting engineer, that the site now proposed is a lake and swamp area; and that two of the towers and their associated ground system will have to be in an area which is subject to frequent flooding. In addition, the affidavits note that there is no land readily available as a studio location with road access. Mid-State therefore requests the addition of an issue to determine the feasibility of the proposed site as a transmitter and studio location; to determine the costs of construction of the antenna system buildings and roads; and to determine the financial and technical qualifications of Lake-River to construct and operate its proposed station.
11. In opposition, the applicant contends that the site can be readily drained and that the present landowner is "prepared to cooparate with Lake-River to remove the water so that the antenna system may be erected." The affidavit of one of Lake-River's principals indicates that the site is fully feasible and that once the land is drained, no access road would have to be constructed. In reply, Mid-State argues that Lake-River has failed to submit the affidavit of the landowner indicating his intention to cooperate in the drainage and that, even if the land were drained, it has not been shown that an access road would not have to be built. In its comments, the Broadcast Bureau suggests that the existing financial issue against LakeRiver should be expanded to include an inquiry as to the additional expenditures estimated by the applicant for site construction. However, the Bureau recommends that the requested issue as to site feasibility can be included within the issue designated by the Commission concerning the applicant's present site proposal. ${ }^{10}$
12. Lake-River has failed to adequately resolve the questions raised by petitioners' allegations with respect to site feasibility. Although Lake-River indicates that the site is not only available but that the present owner has agreed to cooperate in the drainage required, the option to lease agreement detailing
${ }^{9}$ By Order, FCC $68 \mathrm{M}-1559$, released on November 23, 1968, the Hearing Examiner accepted an amendment to Lake-River's application, to reflect a new $\$ 100,000$ bank loan commitment. However, there is no indication that the proposed loan from Towbin has been deleted, and therefore the questions raised herein have not been resolved
${ }^{10}$ Issue 3 specified by the Commission is as follows: To determine whether the transmitter sites proposed by the Mid-State Broadcasting Company and the Lake-River Broadcasting Corp. are satisfactory with particular regard to any conditions that may exist in the vicinity of the antenna system which would distort the proposed antenna radiation patterns.
such arrangements, contrary to LakeRiver's assertion, is not submitted with the opposition. The applicant has chosen to rely instead upon the affidavit of its principal, Boughrum, which merely contains generalized, unsupported assertions that the site can and will be suitable for the Lake-River proposal; Boughrum's technical qualifications with regard to a judgment of this kind are unstated. An appropriate inquiry will therefore be required. In this regard, while existing Issue 3 was designated by the Commission primarily to determine whether conditions exist in the vicinity of the Lake-River antenna system which would distort the proposed antenna radiation patterns, the Board agrees with the Bureau that this issue is sufficiently broad to accommodate an inquiry into the questions of site feasibility raised herein. However, due to the present condition of Lake-River's proposed site, an additional inqury will be required as to this applicant's cost estimates with respect to the construction of its proposed antenna system. Lake-River has failed to rebut the allegations raised by MidState's consulting engineer that the costs of construction of such an antenna system would exceed the amount which Lake-River has allocated for this purpose. Therefore, the present financial inquiry (Issue 9) will be expanded to include a determination of the basis of Lake-River's construction costs and an evaluation of whether these estimates are reasonable. ${ }^{1}$
13. Finally, Mid-State requests authorization to adduce evidence as to the comparative coverage contemplated by its proposal and that of Lake-River. In this regard, Mid-State avers that its proposal would serve 62,585 persons and 111.5 square miles more than would Lake-River. In light of the engineering data submitted by Mid-State, it appears that an inquiry is justified. The Hearing Examiner is therefore authorized to adduce evidence of comparative coverage under the standard comparative issue. See Harriscope, Inc., FCC 65-1165, 2 FCC 2d 123.
14. Accordingly it is ordered, That the petition to enlarge issues, filed August 9 , 1968, by Mid-State Broadcasting Co., is granted to the extent herein indicated, and is denied in all other respects; and
15. It is further ordered, That the issues in this proceeding are enlarged by the addition of the following issues:
(14) To determine all the facts and circumstances surrounding the filing of amendments to BP- 17485 dated May 12 1967, and January 9, 1968 and in the light of the evidence adduced thereunder, whether Lake-River Broadcasting Co. possesses the requisite and/or comparative qualifications to receive a grant of its application.
(15) To determine the nature and extent of the interest held by Donald Tow-

[^8]bin in Lake-River Broadcasting Co. in the light of his stock ownership and loan commitment; and in the light of the facts adduced thereunder, whether he has de facto control of Lake-River Broadcasting Co.; and
16. It is further ordered, That existing Issue No. 9 is amended to read as follows:
(a) The basis of the applicant's estimates of construction costs and whether the estimates are reasonable;
(b) Whether the proceeds from the sale of capital stock are available in whole or in part for the purposes intended;
(c) To determine the source of additional funds required to meet the commitment of Donald Towbin to lend funds to the applicant, and the terms of repayment including interest and security for the loan;
(d) To determine whether the applicant has available sufficient funds to meet its costs of construction and first year's operation;
(e) In light of the evidence adduced pursuant to the foregoing ( $a, b, c$, and d), whether the Lake-River Broadcasting Co. is financially qualified; and
17. It is further ordered, That the burden of proceeding with the introduction of evidence on the issues added herein will be on Mid-State Broadcasting Co. and the burden of proof will be on Lake-River Broadcasting Co.

Adopted: December 9, 1968.
Released: December 12, 1968.
[SEAL]
Federal Communications Commission, ${ }^{12}$
Ben F. Waple,
Secretary.
[F.R. Doc. 68-15146; Filed, Dec. 18, 1968; 8:51 a.m.]
[Docket No. 18294; FCC 68-1202]

## INTERNATIONAL TELECOMMUNICATION UNION

## Order Regarding World Administra-

 tive Radio Conference on Radio Astronomy and Space Services1. On November 14,1968 , the Commission adopted its Third Notice of Inquiry in this proceeding and stated therein that comments in response to the notice were to be filed on or before December 18,1968 . However, that notice was not released until November 26, 1968, thereby reducing appreciably the period during which interested parties could prepare comments for filing. Further, the intragovernmental committee developing proposals to be recommended for inclusion in the Preliminary Views of the U.S.A. preparatory to the forthcoming Space WARC has encountered unexpected delays and is not expected to meet its initial target date for completion of its work.
2. Accordingly, the Commission, on its own motion, is extending by 30 days the period within which comments may

[^9]be filed in response to its Third Notice of Inquiry. Interested parties are cautioned, however, that the Commission does not expect to grant requests for extension of the comment period beyond the newly established date, January 17, 1969.
3. This action is taken pursuant to section 403 of the Communications Act of 1934, as amended.

## Adopted: December 13, 1968.

Released: December 16, 1968.
Federal Communications
[SEAL] BEN
BEN F. Waple,
Secretary.
[F.R. Doc. 68-15147; Filed, Dec. 18, 1968; 8:51 a.m.]

## FEDERAL POWER COMMISSION <br> [Project 2170] <br> CHUGACH ELECTRIC ASSOCIATION, INC.

## Notice of Application for Amendment of License for Constructed Project

## December 12, 1968.

Public notice is hereby given that application for amendment of license has been filed under the Federal Power Act ( 16 U.S.C. $791 \mathrm{a}-825 \mathrm{r}$ ) by Chugach Electric Association, Inc. (correspondence to: Richard B. Smith, President, Chugach Electric Association, Inc., Post Office Box 3518, Anchorage, Alaska 99501) licensee for constructed Project No. 2170, known as Cooper Lake Project, located on Cooper Lake, Cooper Creek, and Kenai Lake in the Third Judicial Division near Kasilof, Bernice Lake, and Anchorage, Alaska.
The application seeks to delete from the license the 69 kv transmission line connecting the licensee's Quartz Creek Substation with the Kasilof Substation of Homer Electric Association, Inc., and to include in the license the $69 / 115 \mathrm{kv}$ step-up transformer and the 69/115 kv appurtenant facilities at the Quartz Creek Substation.
Any person desiring to be heard or to make any protest with reference to said application should on or before January 27, 1969, file with the Federal Power Commission, Washington, D.C. 20426, petitions or protests in accordance with the requirements of the Commission's rules of practice and procedure ( 18 CFR 1.8 or 1.10 ). The application is on file with the Commission and available for public inspection.

Gordon M. Grant,
Secretary.
[F.R. Doc. 68-15079; Filed, Dec. 18, 1968;
8:45 a.m.]
[Docket No. CP69-165]
LONE STAR GAS CO.
Notice of Application
DECEMBER 12, 1968.
Take notice that on December 9,1968, Lone Star Gas Co. (Applicant), 301 South

Harwood Street, Dallas, Tex. 75201, filed in Docket No. CP69-165 an application pursuant to section 7(c) of the Natural Gas Act for a certificate of public convenience and necessity authorizing the construction and operation of certain natural gas facilities, all as more fully set forth in the application which is on file with the Commission and open to public inspection.

Specifically, Applicant proposes the construction and operation of a tap and regulator station at a point on its 10 -inch Line E approximately 0.3 miles south of Ambrose, Grayson County, Tex.

Applicant also proposes to construct and operate in the said community of Ambrose a natural gas distribution system which will be served from the proposed tap and regulator station.

Applicant states that the proposed distribution system will provide initial gas service to the aforementioned community.

Applicant states that the estimated requirements of the proposed distribution system are as follows:

| Year | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Peak day } \\ & \text { (Mc) } \end{aligned}$ | $\underset{(\mathrm{Mcf})}{\text { Annual }}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1. | 28 | 1,616 |
|  | 34 39 | 2,182 |

Applicant estimates the cost of the tap and regulator station at $\$ 1,000$ and the cost of the local distribution system at $\$ 7,098$, all of which will be financed from working capital.

Protests or petitions to intervene may be filed with the Federal Power Commission, Washington, D.C. 20426, in accordance with the rules of practice and procedure ( 18 CFR 1.8 or 1.10 ) and the Regulations under the Natural Gas Act ( $\$ 157.10$ ) on or before January 10, 1969.

Take further notice that, pursuant to the authority contained in and subject to the jurisdiction conferred upon the Federal Power Commission by sections 7 and 15 of the Natural Gas Act and the Commission's rules of practice and procedure, a hearing will be held without further notice before the Commission on this application if no petition to intervene is filed within the time required herein, if the Commission on its own review of the matter finds that a grant of the certificate is required by the public convenience and necessity. If a petition for leave to intervene is timely filed, or if the Commission on its own motion believes that a formal hearing is required, further notice of such hearing will be duly given.

Under the procedure herein provided for, unless otherwise advised, it will be unnecessary for Applicant to appear or be represented at the hearing.

> Gordon M. Grant,
> Secretary.
[F.R. Doc. 68-15080; Filed, Dec. 18, 1968; 8:45 a.m.]

# INTERAGENCY TEXTILE ADMINISTRATIVE COMMITTEE 

## CERTAIN COTTON TEXTILES AND COTTON TEXTILE PRODUCTS PRODUCED OR MANUFACTURED IN BRAZIL

Entry and Withdrawal From Warehouse for Consumption

December 16, 1968.

On December 13, 1968, the U.S. Government, in furtherance of the objectives of, and under the terms of, the LongTerm Arrangement Regarding International Trade in Cotton Textiles done at Geneva on February 9, 1962, including Article 6(c) thereof relating to nonparticipants, informed the Government of Brazil that it was renewing for an additional twelve-month period beginning December 16, 1968, and extending through December 15, 1969, the restraint on imports to the United States of cotton textiles and cotton textile products in Categories 1, 2, 3, and 4, produced or manufactured in Brazil. Pursuant to Annex B, paragraph 3, of the Long-Term Arrangement the level of restraint for this 12 -month period is 5 percent greater than the level of restraint applicable to these combined categories for the preceding 12 -month period.
There is published below a letter of December 13, 1968 from the Chairman of the President's Cabinet Textile Advisory Committee to the Commissioner of Customs, directing that the amount of cotton textiles and cotton textile products in Categories $1,2,3$, and 4, produced or manufactured in Brazil, which may be entered or withdrawn from warehouse for consumption in the United States for the 12-month period beginning December 16, 1968, be limited to the designated level.

> Stanley Nehmer, Chairman, Interagency Textile Administrative Committee, and Deputy Assistant Secretary for Resources.

## Secretary of Commerce

## PRESIDENT'S CABINET TEXTILE ADVISORT COMMITTEE

COMMISSIONER OF CUSTOMS,
Department of the Treasury,
Washington, D.C. 20226.
December 13, 1968.
Dear Mr. Commissioner: Under the terms of the Long-Term Arrangement Regarding International Trade in Cotton Textiles done at Geneva on February 9, 1962, including Article 6 (c) thereof relating to nonparticipants, and in accordance with the procedures outlined in Executive Order 11052 of September 28, 1962, as amended by Executive Order 11214 of April 7, 1965, you are directed to prohibit, effective December 16,1968 , and for the 12 -month period extending through December 15, 1969, entry into the United States for consumption and withdrawal from warehouse for consumption, of cotton textiles and cotton textile products in Categories 1,2,3, and 4, produced or manufactured in Brazil, in excess of a combined level
of restraint for the four categories of 6,615 . 000 pounds.
In carrying out this directive, entries of cotton textiles and cotton textile products in Categories 1, 2, 3, and 4, produced or manufactured in Brazil, which have been exported to the United States from Brazil prior to December 16, 1968, shall, to the extent of any unfilled balances be charged against the level of restraint established for such goods for the 12 -month period beginning December 16, 1967, and extending through December 15, 1968. In the event that the above level of restraint has been exhausted by previous entries, such goods shall be subject to the directives set forth in this letter.

A detailed description of the categories in terms of T.S.U.S.A. numbers was published in the Federal Register on January 17, 1968 (33 F.R. 582), and amendments thereto on March 15, 1968 (33 F.F. 4600 ).

In carrying out the above directions, entry Into the United States for consumption shall be construed to include entry for consump. tion into the Commonwealth of Puerto Rico

The actions taken with respect to the Government of Brazil and with respect to im . ports of cotton textiles and cotton textle products from Brazil have been determined by the President's Cabinet Textile Advisory Committee to involve foreign affairs functions of the United States. Therefore, the directions to the Commissioner of Customs, being necessary to implementation of such actions, fall within the forelgn affairs exception to the notice provisions of 5 U.S.C. 558 (Supp. II, 1965-66). This letter will be pubilshed in the Federal Register.

Sincerely yours,
C. R. SMITH,

Secretary of Commerce, Chairman, President's Cabinet, Textile Advisory Committee.
[F.R. Doc. 68-15148; Filed, Dec. 18, 1968; 8:51 a.m.]

## SECURTIIES AND EXCHAMEE COMMISSION

[File No. 1-3909]

BSF CO.

## Order Suspending Trading

DECEMBER 13, 1968.
The capital stock ( $662 / 3$ cents par value) and the $53 / 4$ percent convertible subordinated debentures due 1969 of BSP Company being listed and registered on the American Stock Exchange, and such capital stock being listed and registered on the Philadelphia-Baltimore-Washington Stock Exchange pursuant to provisions of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934; and all other securities of BSF Co. being traded otherwise than on a national securities exchange; and

It appearing to the Securities and Exchange Commission that the summary suspension of trading in such securities on such exchanges and otherwise than on a national securities exchange is required in the public interest and for the protection of investors:

It is ordered, Pursuant to sections 15 (c) (5) and 19 (a) (4) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, that trading in the said capital stock on such exchanges and in the debentures on the American Stock

Exchange, and trading otherwise than on a national securities exchange be summarily suspended, this order to be effective for the period December 16, 1968, through December 25, 1968, both dates inclusive.
By the Commission.
> [seal]
> Orval L. DuBois, Secretary.

[PR. Doc. 68-15092; Filed, Dec. 18, 1968; 8:46 a.m.]
[File No. 1-3421]
CONTINENTAL VENDING MACHINE CORP.
Order Suspending Trading
December 12, 1968.
It appearing to the Securities and Exchange Commission that the summary suspension of trading in the common stock, 10 cents par value of Continental Vending Machine Corp., and the 6 percent convertible subordinated debentures due September 1, 1976 being traded otherwise than on a national securities exchange is required in the public interest and for the protection of investors: It is ordered, Pursuant to section 15(c) (5) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, that trading in such securities otherwise than on a national securities exchange be summarily suspended, this order to be effective for the period December 13, 1968, through December 22, 1968, both dates inclusive.

## By the Commission.

> [SEAL] ORVAL L. DUBoIs, Secretary.
[F.R. Doc. 68-15093; Filed, Dec. 18; 1968; 8:46 a.m.]

## [812-2419]

## JAFFEE \& CO.

## Notice of Filing of Application for

 Order of ExemptionDECEMBER 13, 1968.
Notice is hereby given that Jaffee \& Co. ("applicant"), 50 Broadway, New York, N.Y. 10004, prospective underwriter of a proposed offering of shares of The Jaffee Fund, Inc. ("Fund"), a registered closed-end investment company, has filed an application for an exemptive order pursuant to section 6 (c) of the Investment Company Act of 1940 ("Act"). Applicant requests that it be exempted from section 30 (f) of the Act to the extent that it adopts section $16(\mathrm{~b})$ of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 ("Exchange Act") in connection with its transactions incident to the distribution of Fund shares. All interested persons are referred to the application on file with the Commission for a statement of the representations contained therein, which are summarized below.
Fund shares are to be purchased by the applicant at a price of $\$ 9.15$ per share, pursuant to a "firm commitment" underwriting agreement to be entered into between the Fund and applicant.

Upon the effective date of the Fund's registration statement under the Securities Act of 1933, the shares will be sold to the public at the public offering price of $\$ 10$ per share, the gross underwriting commission thus being $\$ 0.85$ per share. Sales to selected dealers may be made by applicant at the offering price less a concession of $\$ 0.62$ per share.

Section 30 (f) of the Act imposes the duties and liabilities of section 16 of the Exchange Act upon, among others, beneficial owners of more than 10 percent of any class of outstanding securities of, and directors of, a registered closed-end investment company. Section $16(\mathrm{~b})$ of the Exchange Act contains provisions for accountability for profits from purchases and sales or sales and purchases within 6 months of any equity security of the related issuer by those persons covered thereby.
The proposed Underwriting Agreement provides that applicant will acquire 100 percent of the Capital Stock of the Fund. Thus, applicant will acquire from the Fund, in accordance with the provisions of the Underwriting Agreement, more than 10 percent of the Capital Stock of the Fund (thereby becoming an "insider" subject to the provisions of section 16 (b) of the Exchange Act).

Rule $16 \mathrm{~b}-2$ under the Exchange Act exempts certain underwriters from the operation of section $16(\mathrm{~b})$ of the Exchange Act. Applicant states that the purpose of the purchase by applicant is for resale in connection with the initial distribution of shares of the Fund. It will thus be a transaction effected in connection with a distribution of a substantial block of securities within the purpose and spirit of the Commission's Rule 16b-2.

This applicant, however, is not exempted from section 16 (b) by the operation of Rule $16 \mathrm{~b}-2$. Applicant does not meet the requirement stated in paragraph (a) (3) of Rule $16 \mathrm{~b}-2$, that the aggregate participation of underwriters not within the purview of section 16 (b) of the Exchange Act be at least equal to the participation of underwriters receiving the exemption under Rule $16 \mathrm{~b}-2$, since it is proposed that applicant will acquire 100 percent of the Capital Stock of the Fund.

In addition to purchases from the Fund and sales to customers there may be the usual transactions of purchase or sale incident to a distribution such as stabilizing purchases, overallotments, purchases to cover overallotments, and sales of shares purchased in stabilization.

Applicant states that it has no inside information, that there is no possibility of its using inside information and, in fact, that there is no inside information in existence since the Fund prior to the initial distribution will have virtually no assets or business of any sort.

Applicant represents that the requested exemption from the provisions of section 30 (f) of the Act is necessary and appropriate in the public interest and consistent with the protection of investors and the purposes fairly intended by the policy and provisions of the Act. It states that
the transactions sought to be exempted cannot lend themselves to the practices to which section $16(\mathrm{~b})$ of the Exchange Act was enacted to apply.

Section 6(c) authorizes the Commission to exempt any person, security or transaction, or any class or classes of persons, securities, or transactions, from the provisions of the Act and rules promulgated thereunder, if and to the extent that such exemption is necessary or appropriate in the public interest and consistent with the protection of investors and the purposes fairly intended by the policy and provisions of the Act.

Notice is further given that any interested person may, not later than December 26,1968 , at $5: 30$ p.m., submit to the Commission in writing a request for a hearing on the matter accompanied by a statement as to the nature of his interest, the reason for such request and the issues of fact or law proposed to be controverted, or he may request that he be notified if the Commission shall order a hearing thereon. Any such communication should be addressed: Secretary, Securities and Exchange Commission, Washington, D.C. 20549. A copy of such request shall be served personally or by mail (air mail if the person being served is located more than 500 miles from the point of mailing) upon applicant at the address stated above. Proof of such service (by affidavit or in case of an attorney at law by certificate) shall be filed contemporaneously with the request. At any time after said date, as provided by Rule $0-5$ of the rules and regulations promulgated under the Act, an order disposing of the application herein may be issued by the Commission upon the basis of tla information stated in said application, unless an order for hearing upon said application shall be issued upon request or upon the Commission's own motion. Persons who request a hearing or advice as to whether a hearing is ordered, will receive notice of further developments in this matter, including the date of the hearing (if ordered) and any postponements thereof.

For the Commission (pursuant to delegated authority).
[seal] Orval L. DuBors,
Secretary.
[F.R. Doc. 68-15094; Filed, Dec. 18, 1968; 8:46 a.m.]
[File No. 1-3468]

## MOUNTAIN STATES DEVELOPMENT

 CO.
## Order Suspending Trading

DECEMBER $13,1968$.
The common stock, 1 cent par value, of Mountain States Development Co. being listed and registered on the Salt Lake Stock Exchange pursuant to provisions of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 and all other securities of Mountain States Development Co. being traded otherwise than on a national securities exchange; and
It appearing to the Securities and Exchange Commission that the summary
suspension of trading in such securities on such Exchange and otherwise than on a national securities exchange is required in the public interest and for the protecton of investors:

It is ordered, Pursuant to sections 15 (c) (5) and 19(a) (4) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, that trading in such securities on the Salt Lake Stock Exchange and otherwise than on a national securities exchange be summarily suspended, this order to be effective for the period December 16, 1968, through December 25,1968 , both dates inclusive.

By the Commission.
[seal] Orval L. DuBois,
[F.R. Doc. 68-15095; Filed, Dec. 18, 1968; 8:46 a.m.]

## TEXAS URANIUM CORP.

## Order Suspending Trading

## December 13, 1968.

It appearing to the Securities and Exchange Commission that the summary suspension of trading in the common stock of Texas Uranium Corp., Salt Lake City, Utah, being traded otherwise than on a national securities exchange is required in the public interest and for the protection of investors:

It is ordered, Pursuant to section 15(c) (5) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, that trading in such securities otherwise than on a national securities exchange be summarily suspended, this order to be effective for the period December 15, 1968, through December 24, 1968, both dates inclusive.

By the Commission.
[SEAL]
Orval L. DuBois, Secretary.
[F.R. Doc. 68-15096; Filed, Dec. 18, 1968; 8:46 a.m.]

## TOP NOTCH URANIUM AND MINING CORP.

## Order Suspending Trading

## December 13, 1968.

It appearing to the Securities and Exchange Commission that the summary suspension of trading in the common stock of Top Notch Uranium and Mining Corp. (a Utah corporation) and all other securities of Top Notch Uranium and Mining Corp. being traded otherwise than on a national securities exchange is required in the public interest and for the protection of investors:

It is ordered, Pursuant to section 15 (c) (5) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, that trading in such securities otherwise than on a national securities exchange be summarily suspended, this
order to be effective for the period December 14, 1968, through December 23, 1968, both dates inclusive.
By the Commission.
[seal]
Orval L. DuBois, Secretary.
[F.R. Doc. 68-15097; Filed, Dec. 18, 1968; 8:46 a.m.]
[File No. 1-4371]

## WESTEC CORP.

## Order Suspending Trading

December 12, 1968.
The common stock, 10 cent par value, of Westec Corp., being listed and registered on the American Stock Exchange pursuant to provisions of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 and all other securities of Westec Corp., being traded otherwise than on a national securities exchange; and

It appearing to the Securities and Exchange Commission that the summary suspension of trading in such securities on such Exchange and otherwise than on a national securities exchange is required in the public interest and for the protection of investors:
It is ordered, Pursuant to sections 15 (c) (5) and 19 (a) (4) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, that trading in such securities on the American Stock Exchange and otherwise than on a national securities exchange be summarily suspended, this order to be effective for the period December 13, 1968, through December 22, 1968, both dates inclusive.

By the Commission.
[seal]
Orval L. DuBors,
Secretary.
[F.R. Doc. 68-15098; Filed, Dec. 18, 1968; 8:46 a.m.]

## SMALL BUSINESS ADMINISTRATION

[Declaration of Disaster Loan Area 685] ARKANSAS
Declaration of Disaster Loan Area
Whereas, it has been reported that during the month of December 1968, because of the effects of certain disasters, damage resulted to residences and business property located in the town of Marshall, in the State of Arkansas;
Whereas, the Small Business Administration has investigated and has received other reports of investigations of conditions in the area affected;

Whereas, after reading and evaluating reports of such conditions, I find that the conditions in such area constitute a
catastrophe within the purview of the Small Business Act, as amended.
Now, Therefore, as Administrator of the Small Business Administration, I hereby determine that:

1. Applications for disaster loans under the provisions of section 7(b) (1) of the Small Business Act, as amended, may be received and considered by the office below indicated from persons or firms whose property, situated in the aforesaid town, and areas adjacent thereto, suffered damage or destruction resulting from fire occurring on December 10,1968

## Office

Small Business Administration Regional Office, 600 West Capital Avenue, Little Rock, Ark. 72201.
2. Applications for disaster loans under the authority of this Declaration will not be accepted subsequent to June 30, 1969.

Dated: December 12, 1968.
Howard J. Samuels, Administrator
[F.R. Doc. 68-15130; Filed, Dec. 18, 1968; 8:50 a.m.I

## [License No. 05/05-0073]

## SOUTHERN INVESTMENT CORP.

## Surrender of License

On November 20, 1968, a notice of surrender of license was published in the Federal Register (33 F.R. 17217) stating that pursuant to § 107.105 of the Regulations governing Small Business Investment Companies (13 CFR Part 107, 33 F.R. 326) Southern Investment Corp. requested the surrender of its license to operate as a small business investment company. The company was incorporated on May 21, 1964, under the laws of the State of Mississippi, and licensed by the Small Business Administration (SBA) on November 5, 1964, to operate under the Small Business Investment Act of 1958, as amended ( 15 U.S.C. sec. 661 et seq.).
Interested persons were given until the close of business November 30, 1968, to submit to SBA their written comments. No comments were received.
SBA, having considered the application and all other pertinent information and facts with regard thereto, hereby approves the surrender of license of Southern Investment Corp. and accordingly Southern Investment Corp. is no longer licensed to operate as a small business investment company.
Dated: December 6, 1968.
James T. Phelan,
Acting Associate Administrator for Investment.
[F.R. Doc. 68-15131; Flled, Dec. 18, 1968; 8:50 a.m.]
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[^0]:    

[^1]:    months，

    皆皆

[^2]:    

[^3]:    ${ }^{2}$ The report for calendar year 1968 shall be submitted within 90 ddys of the effective date of this rule. A licensee whose license expires or terminates prior to, or on the last day of the calendar year, shall submit reports at the expiration or termination of the license, covering that part of the year during which the license was in effect.

[^4]:    ${ }^{1}$ Copies of Special Rule 1.247 (as amended) can be obtained by writing to the Secretary, Interstate Commerce Commission, Washington, D.C. 20423.

[^5]:    Lake-RIver is the successor to Radio New Jersey, the corporate entity which originally filed the Lakewood application.
    ${ }^{\text {The }}$ The other applications are: Louls Vander Plate, Franklin, N.J.; Radio New Jersey, Hackettstown, N.J.; Arthur S. Steloff, Toms River, N.J.; Seashore Broadcasting Co., Inc., Orieans, Mass.; Somerset Valley Broadcasting Company, Somerville, N.J.
    ${ }^{3}$ The other pleadings before the Board are: (a) Errata, to petition to enlarge issues, filed August 12, 1968, by Mid-State; (b) opposition, filed September 3, 1968, by Lake-River; (c) addendum to opposition to petition to enlarge, filed September 3, 1968, by Lake-River; (d) comment, filed September 3,1968 , by the Broadcast Bureau; and (e) reply, filed September 10, 1968, by Mid-State.

[^6]:    4 On the basis of these latter allegations and affidavits, petitioner requests two additional issues to (a) determine whether Lake-River had reasonable assurance of the availability of the site proposed in its May, 1967 amendment; and (b) whether LakeRiver was candid in its representation that the site proposed would accommodate the transmitter and studio construction proposed thereon.
    ${ }^{5}$ With regard to the requested issues concerning the availability and suitability of the site proposed in the May 1967 amendment, Lake-River argues that the site specified was reasonably available and that the affidavit submitted by petitioners merely indicates that the owner of the land was not interested in "selling" the property; however, Lake-River contends, there is no indication that a leasing arrangement was unacceptable. Lake-River submits that the affidavit of one of its principals, attached to the opposition, indicates that a lease was specifically negotiated and that the applicant had reasonable assurance that a lease could be executed. With regard to the suitability of the site, Lake-River submits, through an affidavit of the president of Radio New Jersey, that the site was of a sufficient size to accommodate the antenna system and the transmitting plant.

[^7]:    ${ }^{6}$ Issues inquiring into the availability of the original site proposed by Lake-River and the circumstances surrounding the efforts to secure that site were specified in the designation order.
    ${ }^{7}$ We agree with Lake-River's contention that after it informed the Commission that its original site was no longer available, it had no duty to amend its application until such time as it obtained reasonable assurance of securing a different site.
    ${ }^{8}$ In its comments, the Broadcast Bureau indicates that the "apparent motive" for the applicants' failure to indicate increased cost estimates is that Radio New Jersey, which is prosecuting both the Lakewood and Hackettstown proposals, has had serious financial problems from the outset and that to show an increase in construction costs for the Lakewood proposal "would only have made their lack of financing more apparent".

[^8]:    ${ }^{4}$ In light of Lake-River's amendment (see note 9 , supra) and the possibility that the applicant will need more funds than it also add an claimed were available, we will of funds an issue to determine the amount of funds it has available.

[^9]:    ${ }^{12}$ Review Board Member Berkemeyer absent; Board Member Nelson not participating.

