FEDERAL REGISTER

VOLUME 33 • NUMBER 24

Saturday, February 3, 1968 • Washington, D.C.

Pages 2557–2587

Agencies in this issue—

The President
Agriculture Department
Business and Defense Services Administration
Civil Aeronautics Board
Civil Service Commission
Commodity Credit Corporation
Consumer and Marketing Service
Defense Department
Education Office
Federal Maritime Commission
Federal Power Commission
Fish and Wildlife Service
Food and Drug Administration
Foreign-Trade Zones Board
Health, Education, and Welfare Department
Interstate Commerce Commission
Land Management Bureau
National Park Service
Securities and Exchange Commission
Social Security Administration
Treasury Department

Detailed list of Contents appears inside.



THE NATIONAL ARCHIVES OF THE UNITED STATES
LITTERA SCRIPTA MANET
1934

Volume 80

UNITED STATES STATUTES AT LARGE

89th Congress, 2d Session
1966

Part 1—Contains the public laws and reorganization plans.

Price: $10.25

Part 2—Contains the private laws, concurrent resolutions, and Presidential proclamations.

Price: $2.75

A subject index and a numerical listing of bills enacted into law are included in each book. Part 1 also carries a table of prior laws affected, and a guide to the legislative history of bills enacted into public law.

Published by Office of the Federal Register, National Archives and Records Service, General Services Administration

Order from Superintendent of Documents, U.S. Government Printing Office Washington, D.C. 20402

FEDERAL REGISTER

Area Code 202 Phone 962-8626

Published daily, Tuesday through Saturday (no publication on Sundays, Mondays, or on the day after an official Federal holiday), by the Office of the Federal Register, National Archives and Records Service, General Services Administration (mail address National Archives Building, Washington, D.C. 20408), pursuant to the authority contained in the Federal Register Act, approved July 26, 1935 (49 Stat. 500, as amended; 44 U.S.C., Ch. 8B), under regulations prescribed by the Administrative Committee of the Federal Register, approved by the President (1 CFR Ch. I). Distribution is made only by the Superintendent of Documents, U.S. Government Printing Office, Washington, D.C. 20402.

The Federal Register will be furnished by mail to subscribers, free of postage, for $1.50 per month or $15 per year, payable in advance. The charge for individual copies varies in proportion to the size of the issue (15 cents for the first 80 pages and 5 cents for each additional group of 40 pages, as actually bound). Remit check or money order, made payable to the Superintendent of Documents, U.S. Government Printing Office, Washington, D.C. 20402.

The regulatory material appearing herein is keyed to the Code of Federal Regulations, which is published, under 50 titles, pursuant to section 11 of the Federal Register Act, as amended. The Code of Federal Regulations is sold by the Superintendent of Documents. Prices of books and pocket supplements are listed in the first Federal Register issue of each month.

Contents

(Continued on next page)

List of CFR Parts Affected

The following numerical guide is a list of the parts of each title of the Code of Federal Regulations affected by documents published in today's issue. A cumulative list of parts affected, covering the current month to date, appears at the end of each issue beginning with the second issue of the month.

A cumulative guide is published separately at the end of each month. The guide lists the parts and sections affected by documents published since January 1, 1968, and specifies how they are affected.

Presidential Documents

Title 3—THE PRESIDENT

Executive Order 11395

FURTHER AMENDING EXECUTIVE ORDER NO. 10713, PROVIDING FOR ADMINISTRATION OF THE RYUKYU ISLANDS

By virtue of the authority vested in me by the Constitution, and as President of the United States and Commander in Chief of the armed forces of the United States, subsection (b) of section 8 of Executive Order No. 10713 [1] of June 5, 1957, as amended by Executive Order No. 11010 of March 19, 1962, and Executive Order No. 11263 of December 20, 1965, is further amended to read as follows:

"(b) (1) The Chief Executive shall be elected by the people of the Ryukyu Islands. The person having the greatest number of votes shall be the Chief Executive, provided that he shall have received at least one-fourth of the total number of votes cast. The Chief Executive shall be elected on the same day as are the members of the legislative body and shall serve a term concurrent with the term of the members of the legislative body and thereafter until his successor takes office. The first such election of the Chief Executive shall be on the same day as the legislative elections in November 1968. The legislative body shall by law establish procedures for the election of the Chief Executive, determine the qualifications for the office of Chief Executive, and provide for special elections when necessary to fill a vacancy.

"(2) In the event that a Chief Executive is not, within a reasonable period of time, as determined by the High Commissioner, elected to succeed an incumbent or to fill a vacancy, the High Commissioner may appoint a Chief Executive who shall serve until a successor is duly elected."

Lyndon B. Johnson

THE WHITE HOUSE,
January 31, 1968.

[F.R. Doc. 68-1395; Filed, Feb. 1, 1968; 1:15 p.m.]

---

[1] 3 CFR, 1954-1958 Comp., p. 368.

Rules and Regulations

Title 5—ADMINISTRATIVE PERSONNEL

Chapter I—Civil Service Commission

PART 213—EXCEPTED SERVICE

Post Office Department

Section 213.3111 is amended to show that not to exceed 200 PFS-3 positions in the San Francisco Post Office are in Schedule A when filled for up to 12 months by Concentrated Employment Program enrollees selected to participate in a limited, experimental program aimed at the severely disadvantaged. Employment is under a training plan approved by the Civil Service Commission and is subject to periodic evaluations to assess whether the program is achieving established work and training objectives. Effective on publication in the FEDERAL REGISTER, subparagraph (13) is added to paragraph (a) of § 213.3111 as set out below.

§ 213.3111 Post Office Department.

(a) *General.* * * *

(13) Not to exceed 200 positions in salary level PFS-3 and below in the San Francisco Post Office when filled for not to exceed 12 months by enrollees in the Concentrated Employment Program who are selected for participation in an experimental work-training program for the severely disadvantaged. Use of this authority is subject to a training plan approved by the Civil Service Commission. It is also subject to a showing on the basis of periodic evaluations by the Department and the Commission that the program is achieving the work and training objectives for which it was established.

(5 U.S.C. 3301, 3302, E.O. 10577, 19 F.R. 7521, 3 CFR, 1954–58 Comp., p. 218)

UNITED STATES CIVIL SERVICE COMMISSION,
[SEAL] JAMES C. SPRY,
Executive Assistant to the Commissioners.

[F.R. Doc. 68-1365; Filed, Feb. 2, 1968; 8:48 a.m.]

Title 7—AGRICULTURE

Chapter IX—Consumer and Marketing Service (Marketing Agreements and Orders; Fruits, Vegetables, Nuts), Department of Agriculture

[Lemon Reg. 306]

PART 910—LEMONS GROWN IN CALIFORNIA AND ARIZONA

Limitation of Handling

§ 910.606 Lemon Regulation 306.

(a) *Findings.* (1) Pursuant to the marketing agreement, as amended, and Order No. 910, as amended (7 CFR Part 910), regulating the handling of lemons grown in California and Arizona, effective under the applicable provisions of the Agricultural Marketing Agreement Act of 1937, as amended (7 U.S.C. 601–674), and upon the basis of the recommendations and information submitted by the Lemon Administrative Committee, established under the said amended marketing agreement and order, and upon other available information, it is hereby found that the limitation of handling of such lemons, as hereinafter provided, will tend to effectuate the declared policy of the act.

(2) It is hereby further found that it is impracticable and contrary to the public interest to give preliminary notice, engage in public rule-making procedure, and postpone the effective date of this section until 30 days after publication hereof in the FEDERAL REGISTER (5 U.S.C. 553) because the time intervening between the date when information upon which this section is based became available and the time when this section must become effective in order to effectuate the declared policy of the act is insufficient, and a reasonable time is permitted, under the circumstances, for preparation for such effective time; and good cause exists for making the provisions hereof effective as hereinafter set forth. The committee held an open meeting during the current week, after giving due notice thereof, to consider supply and market conditions for lemons and the need for regulation; interested persons were afforded an opportunity to submit information and views at this meeting; the recommendation and supporting information for regulation during the period specified herein were promptly submitted to the Department after such meeting was held; the provisions of this section, including its effective time, are identical with the aforesaid recommendation of the committee, and information concerning such provisions and effective time has been disseminated among handlers of such lemons; it is necessary, in order to effectuate the declared policy of the act, to make this section effective during the period herein specified; and compliance with this section will not require any special preparation on the part of persons subject hereto which cannot be completed on or before the effective date hereof. Such committee meeting was held on January 30, 1968.

(b) *Order.* (1) The respective quantities of lemons grown in California and Arizona which may be handled during the period February 4, 1968, through February 10, 1968, are hereby fixed as follows:

(i) District 1: Unlimited movement;
(ii) District 2: 93,000 cartons;
(iii) District 3: 93,000 cartons.

(2) As used in this section, "handled," "District 1," "District 2," "District 3," and "carton" have the same meaning as when used in the said amended marketing agreement and order.

(Secs. 1–19, 48 Stat. 31, as amended; 7 U.S.C. 601–674)

Dated: February 1, 1968.

PAUL A. NICHOLSON,
Deputy Director, Fruit and Vegetable Division, Consumer and Marketing Service.

[F.R. Doc. 68-1383; Filed, Feb. 2, 1968; 8:50 a.m.]

[Grapefruit Reg. 50]

PART 912—GRAPEFRUIT GROWN IN THE INDIAN RIVER DISTRICT IN FLORIDA

Limitation of Handling

§ 912.350 Grapefruit Regulation 50.

(a) *Findings.* (1) Pursuant to the marketing agreement, as amended, and Order No. 912, as amended (7 CFR Part 912), regulating the handling of grapefruit grown in the Indian River District in Florida, effective under the applicable provisions of the Agricultural Marketing Agreement Act of 1937, as amended (7 U.S.C. 601–674), and upon the basis of the recommendations and information submitted by the Indian River Grapefruit Committee, established under the said amended marketing agreement and order, and upon other available information, it is hereby found that the limitation of handling of such grapefruit, as hereinafter provided, will tend to effectuate the declared policy of the act.

(2) It is hereby further found that it is impracticable and contrary to the public interest to give preliminary notice, engage in public rule-making procedure, and postpone the effective date of this section until 30 days after publication hereof in the FEDERAL REGISTER (5 U.S.C. 553) because the time intervening between the date when information upon which this section is based became available and the time when this section must become effective in order to effectuate the declared policy of the act is insufficient, and a reasonable time is permitted, under the circumstances, for preparation for such effective time; and good cause exists for making the provisions hereof effective as hereinafter set forth. The committee held an open meeting during the current week, after giving due notice thereof, to consider supply and market conditions for Indian River grapefruit, and the need for regulation; interested persons were afforded an opportunity to submit information and views at this meeting; the recommendation and supporting information for regulation during the period specified herein were promptly submitted to the Department after such meeting was held; the provisions of this section, including its effective time; are identical with the

aforesaid recommendation of the committee, and information concerning such provisions and effective time has been disseminated among handlers of such Indian River grapefruit; it is necessary, in order to effectuate the declared policy of the act, to make this section effective during the period herein specified; and compliance with this section will not require any special preparation on the part of persons subject hereto which cannot be completed on or before the effective date hereof. Such committee meeting was held on February 1, 1968.

(b) *Order*. (1) The quantity of grapefruit grown in the Indian River District which may be handled during the period February 5, 1968 through February 11, 1968, is hereby fixed at 200,000 standard packed boxes.

(2) As used in this section, "handled," "Indian River District," "grapefruit," and "standard packed box" have the same meaning as when used in said amended marketing agreement and order.

(Secs. 1–19, 48 Stat. 31, as amended; 7 U.S.C. 601–674)

Dated: February 1, 1968.

PAUL A. NICHOLSON,
Deputy Director, Fruit and Vegetable Division, Consumer and Marketing Service.

[F.R. Doc. 68-1404; Filed, Feb. 2, 1968; 8:50 a.m.]

[Grapefruit Reg. 16]

PART 913—GRAPEFRUIT GROWN IN THE INTERIOR DISTRICT IN FLORIDA

Limitation of Handling

§ 913.316 Grapefruit Regulation 16.

(a) *Findings*. (1) Pursuant to the marketing agreement and Order No. 913 (7 CFR Part 913; 30 F.R. 15204), regulating the handling of grapefruit grown in the Interior District in Florida, effective under the applicable provisions of the Agricultural Marketing Agreement Act of 1937, as amended (7 U.S.C. 601–674), and upon the basis of recommendations and information submitted by the Interior Grapefruit Marketing Committee, established under the said marketing agreement and order, and upon other available information, it is hereby found that the limitation of handling of such grapefruit, as hereinafter provided, will tend to effectuate the declared policy of the act.

(2) It is hereby further found that it is impracticable and contrary to the public interest to give preliminary notice, engage in public rule-making procedure, and postpone the effective date of this section until 30 days after publication hereof in the FEDERAL REGISTER (5 U.S.C. 553) because the time intervening between the date when information upon which this section is based became available and the time when this section must become effective in order to effectuate the declared policy of the act is insufficient, and a reasonable time is permitted, under the circumstances, for preparation for such effective time; and good cause exists for making the provisions hereof effective as hereinafter set forth. The committee held an open meeting during the current week, after giving due notice thereof, to consider supply and market conditions for Interior grapefruit, and the need for regulation; interested persons were afforded an opportunity to submit information and views at this meeting; the recommendation and supporting information for regulation during the period specified herein were promptly submitted to the Department after such meeting was held; the provisions of this section, including its effective time, are identical with the aforesaid recommendation of the committee; and information concerning such provisions and effective time has been disseminated among handlers of such Interior grapefruit; it is necessary, in order to effectuate the declared policy of the act, to make this section effective during the period herein specified; and compliance with this section will not require any special preparation on the part of persons subject hereto which cannot be completed on or before the effective date hereof. Such committee meeting was held on February 1, 1968.

(b) *Order*. (1) The quantity of grapefruit grown in the Interior District which may be handled during the period February 5, 1968 through February 11, 1968, is hereby fixed at 200,000 standard packed boxes.

(2) As used in this section, "handled," "Interior District," "grapefruit," and "standard packed box" have the same meaning as when used in said marketing agreement and order.

(Secs. 1–19, 48 Stat. 31, as amdened; 7 U.S.C. 601–674)

Dated: February 1, 1968.

PAUL A. NICHOLSON,
Deputy Director, Fruit and Vegetable Division, Consumer and Marketing Service.

[F.R. Doc. 68-1405; Filed, Feb. 2, 1968; 8:50 a.m.]

PART 917—FRESH PEARS, PLUMS, AND PEACHES GROWN IN CALIFORNIA

Increase in Expenses for 1967–68 Fiscal Period

Notice was published in the January 13, 1968, issue of the FEDERAL REGISTER (33 F.R. 497) that consideration was being given to a proposal regarding an increase in the expenses previously approved for the fiscal period March 1, 1967, through February 29, 1968, pursuant to the marketing agreement, as amended, and Order No. 917, as amended (7 CFR Part 917), regulating the handling of fresh pears, plums, and peaches grown in California, effective under the applicable provisions of the Agricultural Marketing Agreement Act of 1937, as amended (7 U.S.C. 601–674).

The notice afforded interested persons an opportunity to submit written data, views, or arguments with respect to the proposal. None were submitted within the prescribed time.

a. After consideration of all relevant matters presented, including the proposal set forth in the aforesaid notice and the recommendation thereof which was submitted by the Control Committee (established pursuant to the said marketing agreement and order): *It is hereby ordered*, That the provisions pertaining to expenses in paragraph (a) of § 917.206 *Expenses and rate of assessment* (32 F.R. 9006) be, and hereby are, amended to read as follows:

§ 917.206 Expenses and rate of assessment.

(a) *Expenses*. Expenses that are reasonable and likely to be incurred during the fiscal period March 1, 1967, through February 29, 1968, will amount to $280,000.

* * * * *

b. It is hereby found that it is impracticable and contrary to the public interest to postpone the effective time hereof until 30 days after publication in the FEDERAL REGISTER (5 U.S.C. 553) in that (1) the increase in the budget set forth does not involve an increase in the rate of assessment heretofore established by the Secretary (32 F.R. 9006); (2) the said committee has incurred expenses in excess of that previously thought likely to be incurred; and (3) it is essential that the specification of expenses herein provided be issued immediately so as that said committee can meet its obligations and perform its duties and functions within the fiscal period in accordance with the said marketing agreement and order.

Terms used in the marketing agreement and order shall, when used herein, have the same meaning as is given to the respective term in said marketing agreement and order.

(Secs. 1–19, 48 Stat. 31, as amended; 7 U.S.C. 601–674)

Dated: January 30, 1968.

PAUL A. NICHOLSON,
Deputy Director, Fruit and Vegetable Division, Consumer and Marketing Service.

[F.R. Doc. 68-1347; Filed, Feb. 2, 1968; 8:47 a.m.]

Chapter XIV—Commodity Credit Corporation, Department of Agriculture

SUBCHAPTER B—LOANS, PURCHASES, AND OTHER OPERATIONS

[CCC Grain Price Support Regs., Rev. 1, Amdt. 5]

PART 1421—GRAINS AND SIMILARLY HANDLED COMMODITIES

Subpart—General Regulations Governing Price Support for the 1964 and Subsequent Crops

EXTENDED WAREHOUSE STORAGE LOANS

Paragraph (c) of § 1421.55 of the regulations issued by the Commodity Credit Corporation published in 31 F.R. 5941, 32 F.R. 7843, 9301, and 13376, and 33 F.R. 299 containing the General Regulations Governing Price Support for the 1964 and Subsequent Crops of Grains and Similarly Handled Commodities are hereby amended to provide additionally that if the time for repayment of warehouse storage loans for any crop of a commodity is extended, a producer who does not have a price support loan on a quantity of the commodity, or who wishes to convert a farm storage loan to a warehouse storage loan, may, at any time prior to the original loan maturity date, request a warehouse storage loan, or conversion of his farm storage loan to a warehouse storage loan, and have the loan maturity date thereof extended. Such loan shall be disbursed not later than 2 calendar months after such original loan maturity date. The amended paragraph (c) reads as follows:

§ 1421.55 **Program availability, disbursement, and maturity of loans.**

* * * * *

(c) *Availability and maturity dates.* Availability and maturity dates applicable to loans and purchases will be specified in the annual commodity supplements to the regulations in this subpart, except that, if the time for repayment of the loan indebtedness of warehouse storage loans for any crop of a commodity is extended, a producer who does not have a loan, or who wishes to convert a farm storage loan to a warehouse storage loan for such crop of the commodity, may, at any time prior to the original loan maturity date specified in the annual commodity supplement for such crop of the commodity, request a warehouse storage loan or conversion of the farm storage loan to a warehouse storage loan (with settlement as provided in paragraph (d) of § 1421.67), and have the loan maturity date thereof extended; the warehouse storage loan shall be disbursed not later than 2 calendar months after the original loan maturity date thereof. Whenever the final date of availability or the maturity date falls on a nonworkday for ASCS county offices, the applicable final date shall be extended to include the next workday. CCC may, by public announcement prior to the applicable loan maturity date, extend the time for repayment of the loan indebtedness with respect to warehouse storage loans secured by the pledge of one or more of the following commodities of the 1967 crop: Barley, corn, grain sorghum, oats, rye, soybeans, and wheat; if any such loan maturity date is extended, CCC will pay the storing warehouse, at the rates specified in the applicable CCC storage agreement, any charges which have accrued and are unpaid through the original loan maturity date with respect to the commodity pledged to secure the extended loan indebtedness and the amount so paid shall be for the account of the producer and shall become a part of the loan indebtedness, except that the producer will not be required to pay interest to CCC thereon; storage charges which accrue after the original loan maturity date with respect to the above-named commodities securing repayment of extended warehouse storage loans shall be for the account of CCC. CCC may at any time accelerate the time for repayment of a price support loan indebtedness; in the event of any such acceleration, CCC will give a producer affected thereby notice of such acceleration at least 10 days in advance of the accelerated loan maturity date.

(Secs. 4, 5, 62 Stat. 1070, as amended; secs. 101, 401, 403, 405, 63 Stat. 1051, as amended; 15 U.S.C. 714 b and c; 7 U.S.C. 1441, 1421, 1423, 1425)

Effective date. This amendment shall become effective upon filing with the Office of the Federal Register for publication.

Signed at Washington, D.C., on January 29, 1968.

H. D. GODFREY,
Executive Vice President, Commodity Credit Corporation.

[F.R. Doc. 68-1361; Filed, Feb. 2, 1968; 8:48 a.m.]

Title 32—NATIONAL DEFENSE

Chapter I—Office of the Secretary of Defense

SUBCHAPTER M—MISCELLANEOUS

PART 236—BASIC REGULATIONS IN THE MILITARY SUPPLY SYSTEM

The Deputy Secretary of Defense approved the following:

Sec.
236.1 Policy.
236.2 Responsibilities.

AUTHORITY: The provisions of this Part 236 issued under 10 U.S.C. 2202.

§ 236.1 Policy.

(a) All Department of Defense Directives and Instructions which deal with procurement, production, cataloging, standardization, warehousing, distribution, maintenance, disposal, transportation of supplies or equipment, or related supply management or traffic management functions are regulations prescribed by the Secretary of Defense in compliance with Title 10, United States Code, section S 2202.

(b) All regulations, procedures, and instructions of the Military Departments and all other Department of Defense Components dealing with the subject enumerated in paragraph (a) of this section shall have the force and effect of regulations prescribed by the Secretary of Defense in compliance with 10 U.S.C. 2202 to the extent that they are not inconsistent with Department of Defense Directives and Instructions.

§ 236.2 Responsibilities.

The principal staff assistants to the Secretary of Defense, within the scope of the functions assigned to them by law or by directive of the Secretary of Defense, shall be responsible for monitoring and reviewing the implementation of 10 U.S.C. 2202, including the maintaining of such records and the making of such reports to the Secretary of Defense as may be necessary to keep him informed of progress in its implementation.

MAURICE W. ROCHE,
Director, Correspondence and Directive Division, OASD (Administration).

[F.R. Doc. 68-1326; Filed, Feb. 2, 1968; 8:45 a.m.]

PART 239—HOMEOWNERS ASSISTANCE PROGRAM—APPLICATION PROCESSING

The Assistant Secretary of Defense (Installations and Logistics) approved the following on January 11, 1968:

Sec.
239.1 Purpose.
239.2 Applicability and scope.
239.3 Objective and policy.
239.4 Procedures.
239.5 List of homeowners assistance field offices.

AUTHORITY: The provisions of this Part 239 issued under sec. 1013(f), Public Law 89-754 (80 Stat. 1255, 1292).

§ 239.1 Purpose.

This part establishes policy and procedures for (a) providing information on eligibility requirements and on benefits available under the Homeowners Assistance Program authorized by Public Law 89-754, Demonstration Cities and Metropolitan Development Act of 1966 (80 Stat. 1255, 1290) and (b) initial processing of applications for assistance under the Program.

§ 239.2 Applicability and scope.

The provisions of this part apply to all Department of Defense components. The programs encompasses DoD military and civilian personnel as well as affected personnel of other Federal agencies.

§ 239.3 Objective and policy.

(a) It is the objective of the DoD to assure that all applications for assistance under the program are given full consideration and that benefits under the program are extended to all homeowners who are determined to be entitled to assistance in accordance with applicable policies and procedures.

(b) Information on the program will be disseminated on the broadest possible basis by making full use of military and public news media and by distributing a fact sheet,[1] with an application form (DD Form 1607)[1] through military installations and offices and through other Government agencies where practicable.

(c) All possible assistance will be given to prospective applicants by explaining the program as described in the fact sheet, verifying employment or military service records, and advising in the preparation of the application form.

§ 239.4 Procedures.

(a) Pursuant to the provisions of DoD Directive 5100.54, "Homeowners Assistance Program," December 29, 1967[1] the Department of the Army will establish a central office to administer, manage, and execute the program under policies and procedures prescribed by the Assistant

[1] Filed as part of original document.

Secretaries of Defense (Installations and Logistics) or (Comptroller). In implementing the program that office or field components thereof will take all appropriate actions, including but not limited to: (1) Determination that a specific installation meets the requirements of Public Law 89–754, Demonstration Cities and Metropolitan Development Act of 1966 (80 Stat. 1255, 1290); (2) determination as to the eligibility of each applicant; (3) determine and advise as to the most appropriate type of assistance for each applicant; (4) determine amounts to be paid and make such payments or, when appropriate, authorize and arrange for Federal acquisition of the applicant's property; and (5) maintain such records and prepare such reports as may be necessary and/or required by ASD (I&L) and ASD (Comp). Field offices will be established at convenient locations throughout the United States to execute various functions of the central office as may be designated.

(b) Pursuant to the provisions of DoD Directive 5100.54, "Homeowners Assistance Program," December 29, 1967[1] the Department of the Army will establish an appeals procedure and will adjudicate appeals from applicants previously determined to be ineligible for assistance as well as from those who are dissatisfied with action on their claims.

(c) Each Military Department and Defense Agency will appoint at least one representative at headquarters level to work with the central office and the Offices of ASD (I&L) and ASD (Comp) in order to insure that the operation of the program is effective insofar as that Department or Agency is concerned. Each military installation will establish liaison with the nearest field office to obtain any further guidance or assistance desired.

(d) Each Department of Defense component will be furnished an initial supply of the application form and related fact sheet for distribution on a worldwide basis. An appropriate supply thereof will be distributed to each installation having a military or civilian personnel officer and also to such other activities and establishments as recruiting offices, reserve training centers, procurement offices, radar and missile sites, quality control offices, communications stations, etc., so that each may serve as a source of information on the program. Subsequent supplies of the application form and fact sheet will be procured by each DoD component as required.

(e) Each installation or establishment not having a military or civilian personnel officer will be prepared to discuss the information contained in the fact sheet with each prospective applicant and to direct him to the nearest installation having a personnel or industrial relations officer for a more detailed explanation of the eligibility requirements and for initial processing of his application.

(f) Each installation or establishment having a military or civilian personnel officer will insure that such officers, or other appropriate officers or officials, are designated to:

(1) Provide information on the program in response to all inquiries, whether from prospective applicants or other interested parties. This will include explaining the purpose of the program, discussing eligibility requirements and benefits, providing copies of the fact sheet and application forms, and referring applicants to legal assistance officers for answers to questions of a legal nature.

(2) Advise applicants in filling out Parts I and II of DD Form 1607[1] (emphasizing the need for accuracy and answering all pertinent questions) and in determining what documents and other material would be acceptable as proof of homeowership and occupancy.

(i) Evidence of homeownership may include contract of sale or deed to the applicant, receipts for mortgage and tax payments, etc. as well as contract of sale or deed of conveyance from the applicant, record of foreclosure, etc. if the home has been disposed of.

(ii) Evidence of occupancy of the home by the applicant may include receipted utility bills, appointment affidavit (Standard Form 61), official correspondence or other Government forms showing the officially recognized residence address.

(iii) Documents submitted in evidence of homeownership and occupancy should be notarized or certified true copies which are complete, accurate, and legible. Certification by the applicant will be acceptable. Note that generally original copies should not be submitted because DoD cannot be responsible for the safekeeping or return of original documents.

(3) Upon receipt of a DD Form 1607[1] with Parts I and II completed, execute Part III of the form indicating the results of review of the applicant's service or employment. Official personnel records will be the only source of proof of service or employment; when such records are not available locally, they will be reviewed by reference to the National Personnel Records Center in St. Louis, to the personnel office at the applicant's current place of military service or Federal employment, or to any other office where the records are held.

(i) If the review verifies that the applicant was serving or employed after November 1, 1964, or meets prescribed exceptions to that date, the personnel officer or other appropriate official will forward to the appropriate field office the completed DD Form 1607[1] with all supporting documents, in triplicate, together with a return envelope addressed to the applicant, for use by the field office in acknowledging receipt of the application. The application will be forwarded to the field office having jurisdiction for the purpose of this program over the base or installation where the applicant was serving or employed at the time of the closure announcement. The list of States (§ 239.5), or parts thereof, covered by each field office will be used for this purpose. The map[1] may also be used as an aid in this determination.

(ii) If the review does not verify the applicant's statement of service or employment as prescribed in subdivision (i) of this subparagraph, the personnel officer will enter a statement to that effect in Part III of the DD Form 1607[1] giving details of any discrepancies. The form will then be returned to the applicant, who will be advised that he may forward his application, with a return envelope addressed to himself, to the appropriate field office for further review.

(iii) At the time of action under subdivision (i) or (ii) of this subparagraph, the applicant will be informed that all future inquiries regarding his application must be directed to the field office.

(4) Each installation or establishment which is designated to perform the actions required under this paragraph (f) will maintain a chronological record of each inquiry regarding the program with appropriate notation as to disposition for submission of periodic reports as required to the nearest field office.

§ 239.5 List of homeowners assistance field offices.

[1] Filed as part of original document.

Installation ordered to be closed in whole or in part located in:	Send application to:	Map reference
Connecticut, Maine, Massachusetts, New Hampshire, Rhode Island, Vermont.	U.S. Army Engineer Division, 424 Trapelo Rd., Waltham, Mass. 02154.	1
New York, New Jersey	U.S. Army Engineer District, 111 East 16th St., New York, N.Y. 10003.	2
Maryland, Delaware, District of Columbia, Pennsylvania, east of and excluding McKean, Elk, Clearfield, Blair, and Bedford Counties.	U.S. Army Engineer District, Post Office Box 1715, Baltimore, Md. 21203.	3
Virginia	U.S. Army Engineer District, Fort Norfolk, 803 Front St., Norfolk, Va. 23510.	4
Georgia, North Carolina, South Carolina	U.S. Army Engineer District, Post Office Box 889, Savannah, Ga. 31402.	5
Florida, east of and excluding Leon and Wakulla Counties.	U.S. Army Engineer District, Post Office Box 4970, Jacksonville, Fla. 32201.	6
Florida, west of and including Leon and Wakulla Counties, Alabama, Mississippi, Tennessee.	U.S. Army Engineer District, Post Office Box 2288, Mobile, Ala. 36601.	7
Kentucky, Ohio, Indiana, Clark, Floyd, and Jefferson Counties only, West Virginia, Pennsylvania, west of and including McKean, Elk, Clearfield, Blair, and Bedford Counties.	U.S. Army Engineer District, Post Office Box 59, Louisville, Ky. 40201.	8
Illinois, Indiana except Clark, Floyd, and Jefferson Counties, Michigan, Minnesota, Wisconsin.	U.S. Army Engineer District, 219 South Dearborn St., Chicago, Ill. 60604.	9
Colorado, Iowa, Nebraska, North Dakota, South Dakota, Wyoming.	U.S. Army Engineer District, 6012 USPO and Courthouse, 215 North 17th St., Omaha, Nebr. 68102.	10
Kansas, Missouri	U.S. Army Engineer District, 700 Federal Office Bldg., 601 East 12th St., Kansas City, Mo. 64106.	11
Arkansas, Louisiana, Oklahoma, Texas, east of and including Hardeman, Foard, Knox, Haskell, Jones, Taylor, Runnels, Concho, Menard, Kimble, Val Verde, Kinney, Maverick, Witt, Zapata, Starr, Hidalgo and Cameron Counties.	U.S. Army Engineer District, Post Office Box 17300, Fort Worth, Tex. 76102.	12

Installation ordered to be closed in whole or in part located in:	Send application to:	Map reference
New Mexico, Texas, west of and including Lipscomb, Hemphill, Wheeler, Collingsworth, Childress, Cottle, King, Stonewall, Fisher, Nolan, Coke, Tom Greene, Schleicher, Sutton, and Terrell Counties.	U.S. Army Engineer District, Post Office Box 1580, Albuquerque, N. Mex. 87103.	13
California, south of and including San Luis Obispo, Kern, and Inyo Counties, Arizona, Nevada, Clark, and Lincoln Counties only.	U.S. Army Engineer District, Post Office Box 2711, Los Angeles, Calif. 90053.	14
California, north of and including Monterey, Kings, Tulare, and Mono Counties, Nevada, except Clark and Lincoln Counties, Utah.	U.S. Army Engineer District, 650 Capitol Mall, Sacramento, Calif. 95814.	15
Idaho, Montana, Oregon, Washington	U.S. Army Engineer District, 1519 Alaskan Way, South, Seattle, Wash. 98134.	16
Alaska	U.S. Army Engineer District, Post Office Box 7002, Anchorage, Alaska 99501.	None
Hawaii	U.S. Army Engineer District, Fort Armstrong, Honolulu, Hawaii 96813.	None

MAURICE W. ROCHE,
Director, Correspondence and Directives Division, OASD (Administration).

[F.R. Doc. 68-1327; Filed, Feb. 2, 1968; 8:45 a.m.]

Title 45—PUBLIC WELFARE

Chapter III—Bureau of Federal Credit Unions, Social Security Administration, Department of Health, Education, and Welfare

PART 301—ORGANIZATION AND OPERATION OF FEDERAL CREDIT UNIONS

PART 302—RESERVES

Miscellaneous Amendments

Notice of proposed rule making, public procedures thereon, and delay in the effective date in the issuance of the following amendments have been omitted for the following reasons:

Section 301.21 *Payment or amortization of loans* is amended so as to permit a duly appointed and authorized loan officer to act in place of the credit committee in considering repayment terms of loan applications when so designated by the credit committee. Public Law 90-188 amended section 15 of the Federal Credit Union Act to permit the credit committee to delegate to a loan officer any or all of the committee's lending authority.

Section 301.24 *Refund of interest* is amended so as to permit interest refunds for any accounting period for which a dividend has been declared, Public Law 90-188 amended section 18 of the Federal Credit Union Act to permit dividends to be paid annually, semiannually, or quarterly. Present provisions of this section permit refunds of interest either annually or semiannually.

Section 302.3 *Special reserve for delinquent loans* is amended to specify for transfers, when needed, to the Special Reserve for Delinquent Loans as of the last day of any dividend period instead of only as of June 30 and December 31 as provided in the present section.

Since the aforesaid amendments represent merely technical changes in the three listed sections of the Bureau's regulations to make them comply with the provisions of Public Law 90-188 approved on December 13, 1967, the Director finds that advance notice and public procedure thereon are impracticable, unnecessary, and contrary to the public interest.

The amendments are to be issued under authority contained in section 21 of the Federal Credit Union Act, 73 Stat. 635; 12 U.S.C. 1766.

1. Part 301, Chapter III of Title 45 of the Code of Federal Regulations, is amended as follows:

§ 301.21 Payment or amortization of loans.

(a) Within the limits of the Act and such further limits as may be imposed by the board of directors pursuant to the Act and the bylaws, the credit committee, or a duly appointed and authorized loan officer, of a Federal credit union, in arriving at the terms of payment or amortization of an approved loan to a member, shall take into account, among other factors deemed relevant, the source of funds and the regularity and frequency of receipt of funds which the borrower proposes to utilize for the purpose, the borrower's other commitments and anticipated needs over the loan period, and the best interests of the credit union.

(b) Pursuant to the bylaws, the board of directors of a Federal credit union by resolution may require that all loans approved by the credit committee, or by a duly appointed and authorized loan officer, or that certain classes of such loans, shall provide for payment or amortization by periodic, substantially equal, payments of principal which are to be made at intervals shorter than 12 months and which are sufficient to retire the loan at its maturity.

(c) Subject to any limitations imposed by the board of directors as provided for by paragraphs (a) and (b) of this section, the credit committee, or a duly appointed and authorized loan officer, may approve loans with maturities of 1 year or less which provide for retirement thereof by a single payment of the principal at maturity.

(d) (1) Subject to any limitations imposed by the board of directors as provided for in paragraphs (a) and (b) of this section, loans with maturities in excess of 1 year approved by the credit committee, or a duly appointed and authorized loan officer shall provide for payment or amortization by periodic, substantially equal, payments of principal which are to be made at intervals of not greater than 12 months and which are sufficient in amount to retire such loans at maturity.

(2) Notwithstanding the provisions of subparagraph (1) of this paragraph, and subject to any limitations imposed by the board of directors as provided for by paragraphs (a) and (b) of this section, loans with maturities in excess of 1 year but not in excess of 30 months, may provide for retirement by a single payment of principal at maturity or by payments at intervals greater than 12 months where the credit committee, or a duly appointed and authorized loan officer, finds that such terms are justified by the needs and condition of the borrower after taking into account, among other factors deemed relevant, his current commitments, the source or sources of the funds from which he plans and proposes to make such payment or payments, the regularity, frequency and reasonably predictable nature of the receipt of such funds, and the best interests of the credit union: *Provided*, That the payment or payments so provided for shall be scheduled to coincide with the anticipated receipt of the funds intended to be used therefor: *And provided*, That the findings of the credit committee, or a duly appointed and authorized loan officer, shall be in writing signed by the chairman of the committee or by such loan officer and retained in the borrower's loan file.

(3) Notwithstanding the provisions of subparagraph (1) of this paragraph, and to the extent that the board of directors by resolution approves, loans with maturities up to 5 years for the purpose of higher education of the member-borrower may be made upon such terms of payment or amortization as the credit committee, or a duly appointed and authorized loan officer, finds consonant with the needs of the member-borrower and the best interests of the credit union.

(e) All loans shall provide for the payment of interest with each payment of principal: *Provided, however*, That no loan shall provide for the payment of interest less frequently than at intervals of 12 months.

§ 301.24 Refund of interest.

The board of directors of a Federal credit union may authorize an interest refund to all members who paid interest to the credit union during any dividend period and who are members of record at the close of business on the last day of any such dividend period. The amount of interest refund to the members shall be in proportion to the amount of interest paid by them during the dividend period as determined by the application of a uniform percentage. The board may authorize an interest refund for a dividend period only during a month in which, under the bylaws, it may declare a dividend for such period, except that if, under the bylaws, a credit union has for the calendar year dividend periods more frequently than annually and an interest refund was omitted for one or more of such dividend periods, the board, during the time permitted for the declaration of the current dividend, may authorize an interest refund for the current dividend period and for any one or more of the omitted dividend periods. However, the board shall not authorize an interest refund for any dividend period with respect to which it has not declared a dividend. An interest refund shall be recorded on the books of the credit union as a reduction of interest income.

2. Part 302, Chapter III of Title 45 of the Code of Federal Regulations, is amended as follows:

§ 302.3 Special reserve for delinquent loans.

(a) The Regular Reserve of each Federal credit union shall be supplemented by a special reserve to be known as the Special Reserve for Delinquent Loans, which shall be equal to the excess of the sum of 10 percent of the unpaid balances of loans delinquent more than 2 months and less than 6 months, plus 25 percent of the unpaid balances of loans delinquent from 6 months to less than 12 months, and plus 80 percent of the unpaid balances of loans delinquent 12 months or more over the balance in the Regular Reserve. In the event it is necessary to supplement the Regular Reserve by a Special Reserve for Delinquent Loans, the transfer to the Special Reserve for Delinquent Loans shall be made as of the last day of any dividend period from Undivided Earnings before any distribution of dividends. The maintenance of a Special Reserve for Delinquent Loans shall not eliminate the necessity for transferring net earnings as of the end of each dividend period to the Regular Reserve as required by paragraph (a) of § 302.2. In the event the required transfer exceeds the balance of Undivided Earnings, only the balance of Undivided Earnings shall be transferred to the Special Reserve for Delinquent Loans.

(b) When, as of the end of any dividend period, the amount in the Special Reserve for Delinquent Loans exceeds the amount required by the regulations in this part, the board of directors of the Federal credit union will authorize the transfer of the excess to Undivided Earnings.

(c) Upon written application by the board of directors of a Federal credit union, the Director may waive, in whole or in part, the requirement for the maintenance of the Special Reserve for Delinquent Loans contained in paragraph (a) of this section. Such applications shall be addressed to the Regional Representative.

Effective date. The foregoing amendments shall become effective upon the date of publication in the FEDERAL REGISTER.

Dated: December 20, 1967.

J. DEANE GANNON,
Director,
Bureau of Federal Credit Unions.

Approved: January 11, 1968.

ROBERT M. BALL,
Commissioner of Social Security.

Approved: January 26, 1968.

WILBUR J. COHEN,
Acting Secretary of Health, Education, and Welfare.

[F.R. Doc. 68-1369; Filed, Feb. 2, 1968; 8:49 a.m.]

Proposed Rule Making

DEPARTMENT OF AGRICULTURE

Consumer and Marketing Service

[7 CFR Part 908]

HANDLING OF VALENCIA ORANGES GROWN IN ARIZONA AND DESIGNATED PART OF CALIFORNIA

Notice of Proposed Amendment of Rules and Regulations

Notice is hereby given that the Department is considering proposed amendments, as hereinafter set forth, to the rules and regulations (7 CFR 908.100 et seq.; 32 F.R. 2896, 3688, 7840, 12909; Subpart—Rules and Regulations) of the Valencia Orange Administrative Committee, currently in effect pursuant to the applicable provisions of the marketing agreement, as amended, and Order No. 908, as amended (7 CFR Part 908), regulating the handling of Valencia oranges grown in Arizona and designated part of California. This is a regulatory program effective under the Agricultural Marketing Agreement Act of 1937, as amended (7 U.S.C. 601–674).

Consideration is also being given to making any amendments resulting from this notice effective on February 22, 1968, the time when the handling of the current crop of Valencia oranges is expected to begin. The committee proposed that these changes be made prior to or at the time shipments start so that the records for the entire crop will reflect the new proposed weekly period.

The amendment of said rules and regulations was proposed by the Valencia Orange Administrative Committee, established under the said marketing agreement and order as the agency to administer the terms and provisions thereof. The proposed amendments would (1) define the term week or weekly regulation period to mean the period from Friday through Thursday, (2) make changes in the rules and regulations to make such rules and regulations in conformance with the new weekly period, and (3) require certain reports.

The proposed amendments are as follows:

1. Add a new paragraph (f) to § 908.100 *Definitions* reading as follows:

§ 908.100 Definitions.

* * * * *

(f) Whenever the term week or weekly appears in Part 908 it shall mean the period from Friday through Thursday.

2. Amend § 908.111(d) to read as follows:

§ 908.111 Allotment loans.

* * * * *

(d) *Confirmation.* All allotment loans made on Saturday shall be confirmed as required by § 908.57 but not later than 5 p.m. on the following Monday.

§ 908.113 [Amended]

3. Delete the word "Monday" whenever it appears in § 908.113(b) and substitute in lieu thereof the word "Friday."

§ 908.120 [Amended]

4. Delete the word "Friday" whenever it appears in § 908.120(a) and substitute in lieu thereof the word "Wednesday."

§ 908.140 [Amended]

5. Delete the word "Monday" whenever it appears in § 908.140 and substitute in lieu thereof the word "Friday."

6. Amend § 908.142 (32 F.R. 7840) by designating the present provisions as paragraph (a) and adding thereto a new paragraph (b) reading as follows:

§ 908.142 Other reports.

* * * * *

(b) Upon request, each handler shall submit to the committee a completed VOAC Form No. 29—Inventory Report of Valencia Oranges Controlled, showing therein: The specified inventory date; variety; field boxes of oranges picked to date; estimated number of field boxes remaining to be picked; field boxes of oranges in the packinghouse; cartons of oranges loaded on trucks and rail cars for Friday shipment; number of cartons of oranges in storage; number of cartons of oranges on the packinghouse floor; loose oranges on hand (converted to cartons); oranges on hand for products (converted to cartons); and the date when the handler plans to complete his orange picking operations. The reports shall be signed by the handler or by his authorized representative.

All persons who desire to submit written data, views, or arguments for consideration in connection with the proposed amendment shall file the same, in quadruplicate, with the Hearing Clerk, U.S. Department of Agriculture, Room 112, Administration Building, Washington, D.C. 20250, not later than February 10, 1968. All written submissions made pursuant to this notice will be made available for public inspection at the office of the Hearing Clerk during regular business hours (7 CFR 1.27(b)).

Dated: January 30, 1968.

PAUL A. NICHOLSON,
Deputy Director, Fruit and Vegetable Division, Consumer and Marketing Service.

[F.R. Doc. 68-1348; Filed, Feb. 2, 1968; 8:47 a.m.]

DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH, EDUCATION, AND WELFARE

Office of the Secretary

[45 CFR Part 85]

CONTROL OF AIR POLLUTION FROM NEW MOTOR VEHICLES AND NEW MOTOR VEHICLE ENGINES

Extension of Time for Comments

On Thursday, January 4, 1968 (33 F.R. 110), notice of proposed rule making was published affording 30 days for the submission of written comments on proposed amendments to Part 85. Such period is hereby extended to and including March 5, 1968.

Dated: February 2, 1968.

[SEAL] WILBUR J. COHEN,
Acting Secretary.

[F.R. Doc. 68-1446; Filed, Feb. 2, 1968; 12:27 a.m.]

Notices

DEPARTMENT OF THE TREASURY

Office of the Secretary

[Antidumping—ATS 643.3-a]

DARTBOARDS AND DARTGAMES FROM ENGLAND

Determination of Sales at Not Less Than Fair Value

JANUARY 30, 1968.

On July 28, 1967, there was published in the FEDERAL REGISTER a "Notice of Tentative Determination," that dart-dartboards and dartgames from England are not being sold at less than fair value within the meaning of section 201 (a) of the Antidumping Act, 1921, as amended (19 U.S.C. 160(a)).

The statement of reasons for the tentative determination was published in the above-mentioned notice and interested parties were afforded until August 28, 1967, to make written submissions or requests for an opportunity to present views in connection with the tentative determination.

The attorney for the complainant submitted a written request for an opportunity to present views in person in opposition to the tentative determination. The opportunity was afforded to the attorney, and all interested parties of record were notified.

The attorney for the complainant submitted written objections to the tentative determination. These objections were subsequently withdrawn.

I hereby determine that, for the reasons stated in the tentative determination, dartboards and dartgames imported from England are not being, nor likely to be, sold at less than fair value within the meaning of section 201(a) of the Antidumping Act, 1921, as amended (19 U.S.C. 160(a)).

This determination is published pursuant to section 201(c) of the Antidumping Act, 1921, as amended (19 U.S.C. 160(c)).

[SEAL] MATTHEW J. MARKS,
Acting Assistant Secretary of the Treasury.

[F.R. Doc. 68-1355; Filed, Feb. 2, 1968; 8:47 a.m.]

DEPARTMENT OF DEFENSE

Office of the Secretary of Defense

SECRETARY OF THE ARMY ET AL.

Delegation of Authority

Delegation of authority under Executive Order 11390 (33 F.R. 841) dated January 22, 1968:

The Deputy Secretary of Defense approved the following delegation of authority January 22, 1968:

There is hereby delegated to the Secretary of the Army, the Secretary of the Navy, and the Secretary of the Air Force and, in accordance with the assignment of their duties and responsibilities, the corresponding Under Secretary and Assistant Secretaries of each military department, the authority to perform, as applicable to their respective departments, the functions described in clauses (1), (2), (5), (6), (7), (8), (12), (13), and (14) of section 1 of Executive Order 11390 (33 F.R. 841) without the approval, ratification, or other action of the President.

However, the Secretary of the Navy shall inform the Secretary of Defense and the Armed Forces Aide to the President of the concert tours of the Navy Band and the Marine Corps Band that are approved by the Secretary of the Navy under the authority cited in clause (14) of section 1 of Executive Order 11390 (33 F.R. 841).

MAURICE W. ROCHE,
Director, Correspondence and Directives Division, OASD (Administration).

[F.R. Doc. 68-1328; Filed, Feb. 2, 1968; 8:45 a.m.]

ASSISTANT SECRETARY OF DEFENSE (INSTALLATIONS AND LOGISTICS) ET AL.

Delegation of Authority Regarding Homeowners Assistance Program

The Deputy Secretary of Defense approved the following delegation of authority December 29, 1967:

REFERENCE: (a) Section 1013, Public Law 89-754 (80 Stat. 1255, 1290).

I. *Purpose.* The purpose of this Directive is to assign responsibility for development and administration of the Homeowners Assistance Program authorized by reference (a). The program will provide benefits to eligible Department of Defense military and civilian personnel as well as to eligible personnel of other Federal agencies serving or employed at or in connection with a military base or installation which has, subsequent to November 1, 1964, been ordered to be closed in whole or in part. Pursuant to reference (a) and in accordance with procedures to be established pursuant hereto, an eligible person may elect to (1) receive a cash payment as partial compensation for losses sustained in the private sale of his home, (2) sell his home to the DoD, or (3) receive compensation for liabilities resulting from foreclosure actions commenced by March 3, 1967.

II. *Applicability.* The provisions of this Directive apply to all DoD components.

III. *Delegations of authority.* Pursuant to the authority vested in the Secretary of Defense by section 133(d) of title 10 U.S.C. and by reference (a), the authority conferred on the Secretary of Defense by reference (a) is hereby delegated, with authority to redelegate to:

A. The Assistant Secretary of Defense (Installations and Logistics) to (1) prescribe policies and procedures for implementation by the Military Departments and Defense Agencies of the program; (2) enter into an agreement with the Secretary of Housing and Urban Development in accordance with subsection (g) of reference (a); and (3) perform such functions under reference (a) as not otherwise hereinafter specifically delegated.

B. The Assistant Secretary of Defense (Comptroller) to (1) prescribe policies and procedures for the financial operations of the program; (2) review financial plans and annual budget programs for the operation of the program; (3) issue financing authorities for execution of the approved program.

C. The Secretary of the Army to administer, manage, and execute the program on behalf of all claimants for benefits thereunder consistent with reference (a) and pursuant to policies and procedures prescribed by the ASD (I&L) and the ASD (Comp). Pursuant hereto, the Secretary of the Army shall, among other actions, be responsible to (1) establish a central office responsible for the exercise of this authority, (2) establish an appeals procedure, with responsibility for final action assigned to a designated component of the Department of the Army, (3) obtain necessary program approvals under procedures established for the 5-Year Defense Program, and (4) prepare annual budget and financial plans and account and report on the operation of the Homeowners Assistance Fund, Defense.

D. The Secretaries of the Military Departments and the Directors of the Defense Agencies shall disseminate information on the program, receive and verify statements on employment in applications for assistance, and forward such applications for further processing in accordance with procedures to be established (see III.A., above).

IV. *Effective date and implementation.* This Directive is effective immediately. Two (2) copies of each implementing document shall be forwarded to the ASD (I&L) within sixty (60) days.

MAURICE W. ROCHE,
Director, Correspondence and Directives Division, OASD (Administration).

[F.R. Doc. 68-1329; Filed, Feb. 2, 1968; 8:45 a.m.]

DEPARTMENT OF THE INTERIOR

Bureau of Land Management

[A 1624]

ARIZONA

Notice of Proposed Withdrawal and Reservation of Lands

The U.S. Forest Service, Department of Agriculture, has filed an application, serial No. A 1624, for the withdrawal of the lands described below, from mineral location and entry under the general mining laws; subject to valid existing claims.

The Forest Service desires to protect these lands from activities adverse to public recreation and Forest Service Administrative use.

For a period of 30 days from the date of publication of this notice all persons who wish to submit comments, suggestions, or objections in connection with the proposed withdrawal, may present their views in writing to the undersigned officer of the Bureau of Land Management, Department of the Interior, 3022 Federal Building, Phoenix, Ariz. 85025.

If circumstances warrant it, a public hearing will be held at a convenient time and place, which will be announced.

The determination of the Secretary on the application will be published in the FEDERAL REGISTER. A separate notice will be sent to each interested party of record.

The lands involved in the application are:

GILA AND SALT RIVER MERIDIAN, ARIZONA

HORSETHIEF BASIN RECREATION AREA

T. 9 N., R. 1 E.,
Sec. 6, N½N½NE¼.
T. 10 N., R. 1 E.,
Sec. 29, S½SE¼, S½N½SE¼, S½SW¼, S½N½SW¼;
Sec. 30, SE¼SE¼, S½NE¼SE¼;
Sec. 31, E½NE¼, SE¼, S½SW¼;
Sec. 32, NW¼.

The areas described aggregate approximately 820 acres, within the Prescott National Forest.

HORSETHIEF LOOKOUT AND PICNIC GROUNDS

T. 10 N., R. 1 E.,
Sec. 33, S½NW¼SW¼.

The area described aggregates approximately 20 acres, within the Prescott National Forest.

Dated: January 25, 1968.

RILEY E. FOREMAN,
Acting State Director.

[F.R. Doc. 68-1334; Filed, Feb. 2, 1968; 8:46 a.m.]

[S-598, S-599]

CALIFORNIA

Notice of Classification on Public Lands for Multiple-Use Management; Correction

In F.R. Doc. 67-14814 appearing in the issue for December 20, 1967, the following changes should be made under California (S-598):

Block D, Mendocino County, Mount Diablo Meridian, "T. 15 N., R. 13 W., secs. 5, 6, and 8," should read "T. 16 N., R. 13 W., secs. 5, 6, and 8."

In paragraph 4, Trinity and Mendocino Counties, Mount Diablo Meridian, "T. 25 N., R. 12 W., sec. 24, SE¼," should read "T. 25 N., R. 12 W., sec. 24, SW¼."

In F.R. Doc. 67-14815 appearing in the issue for December 20, 1967, the following changes should be made under California (S-599):

Mendocino and Lake Counties, Mount Diablo Meridian, "T. 15 N., R. 12 W., secs. 2, 11, 14, 23, 25, and 36," should read "T. 15 N., R. 12 W., secs. 2, 11, 14, 23, 25, and 26."

For the State Director.

JOHN F. LANZ,
District Manager.

[F.R. Doc. 68-1351; Filed, Feb. 2, 1968; 8:47 a.m.]

Fish and Wildlife Service

[Docket No. A-453]

JOHN ROY WINTHER

Notice of Loan Application

JANUARY 29, 1968.

John Roy Winther, Box 1355, Juneau, Alaska 99801, has applied for a loan from the Fisheries Loan Fund to aid in financing the purchase of a used 40.3-foot registered length wood vessel to engage in the fishery for halibut, salmon, sablefish, tuna, shrimp, and crab.

Notice is hereby given pursuant to the provisions of Public Law 89-85 and Fisheries Loan Fund Procedures (50 CFR Part 250, as revised Aug. 11, 1965) that the above-entitled application is being considered by the Bureau of Commercial Fisheries, Fish and Wildlife Service, Department of the Interior, Washington, D.C. 20240. Any person desiring to submit evidence that the contemplated operation of such vessel will cause economic hardship or injury to efficient vessel operators already operating in that fishery must submit such evidence in writing to the Director, Bureau of Commercial Fisheries, within 30 days from the date of publication of this notice. If such evidence is received it will be evaluated along with such other evidence as may be available before making a determination that the contemplated operations of the vessel will or will not cause such economic hardship or injury.

J. L. MCHUGH,
Acting Director,
Bureau of Commercial Fisheries.

[F.R. Doc. 68-1335; Filed, Feb. 2, 1968; 8:48 a.m.]

National Park Service

GLACIER NATIONAL PARK

Notice of Intention To Negotiate Concession Contract

Pursuant to the provisions of section 5 to the Act of October 9, 1965 (79 Stat. 969; 16 U.S.C. 20), public notice is hereby given that thirty (30) days after the date of publication of this notice, the Department of the Interior, through the Director of the National Park Service, proposes to negotiate a concession contract with Rocky Mountain Outfitters, Inc., authorizing it to provide concession facilities and services for the public at Glacier National Park, Mont., for a period of 5 years from January 1, 1968, through December 31, 1972.

The foregoing concessioner has performed its obligations under the expiring contract to the satisfaction of the National Park Service and, therefore, pursuant to the Act cited above, is entitled to be given preference in the renewal of the contract and in the negotiation of a new contract. However, under the Act cited above, the Secretary is also required to consider and evaluate all proposals received as a result of this notice. Any proposal to be considered and evaluated must be submitted within thirty (30) days after the publication date of this notice.

Interested parties should contact the Chief of Concessions Management, National Park Service, Washington, D.C. 20240, for information as to the requirements of the proposed contract.

Dated: January 29, 1968.

EDWARD A. HUMMEL,
Assistant Director,
National Park Service.

[F.R. Doc. 68-1336; Filed, Feb. 2, 1968; 8:46 a.m.]

CAPE HATTERAS NATIONAL SEASHORE

Notice of Intention To Negotiate Concession Contract

Pursuant to the provisions of section 5, the Act of October 9, 1965 (79 Stat. 969; 16 U.S.C. 20), public notice is hereby given that thirty (30) days after the date of publication of this notice, the Department of the Interior, through the Director of the National Park Service, proposes to negotiate a concession contract with Cape Hatteras Fishing Pier, Inc., authorizing it to provide concession facilities and services for the public at Cape Hatteras National Seashore, N.C., for a period of 5 years from January 1, 1968, through December 31, 1972.

The foregoing concessioner has performed its obligations under the expiring contract to the satisfaction of the National Park Service and, therefore, pursuant to the Act cited above, is entitled to be given preference in the renewal of the contract and in the negotiation of a new contract. However, under the Act cited above, the Secretary is also required to consider and evaluate all proposals received as a result of this notice. Any proposal to be considered and evaluated must be submitted within thirty (30) days after the publication date of this notice.

Interested parties should contact the Chief of Concessions Management, National Park Service, Washington, D.C.

20240, for information as to the requirements of the proposed contract.

Dated: January 29, 1968.

EDWARD A. HUMMEL,
Assistant Director,
National Park Service.

[F.R. Doc. 68-1337; Filed, Feb. 2, 1968; 8:46 a.m.]

CAPE HATTERAS NATIONAL SEASHORE

Notice of Intention To Negotiate Concession Contract

Pursuant to the provisions of section 5, the Act of October 9, 1965 (79 Stat. 969; 16 U.S.C. 20), public notice is hereby given that thirty (30) days after the date of publication of this notice, the Department of the Interior, through the Director of the National Park Service, proposes to negotiate a concession contract with Chicamacomico Enterprises, Inc., authorizing it to provide concession facilities and services for the public at Cape Hatteras National Seashore, N.C., for a period of 5 years from January 1, 1968, through December 31, 1972.

The foregoing concessioner has performed its obligations under the existing contract to the satisfaction of the National Park Service and, therefore, pursuant to the Act cited above, is entitled to be given preference in the renewal of the contract and in the negotiation of a new contract. However, under the Act cited above, the Secretary is also required to consider and evaluate all proposals received as a result of this notice. Any proposal to be considered and evaluated must be submitted within thirty (30) days after the publication of this notice.

Interested parties should contact the Chief of Concessions Management, National Park Service, Washington, D.C. 20240, for information as to the requirements of the proposed contract.

Dated: January 29, 1968.

EDWARD A. HUMMEL,
Assistant Director,
National Park Service.

[F.R. Doc. 68-1338; Filed, Feb. 2, 1968; 8:46 a.m.]

DEPARTMENT OF AGRICULTURE

Commodity Credit Corporation

GRAINS AND SIMILARLY HANDLED COMMODITIES

Notice of Extension of Warehouse Storage Loans

The Notice of Extension of Warehouse Storage Loans, published in the FEDERAL REGISTER of January 9, 1968 (33 F.R. 299), is hereby amended to provide that, in order to have the loan maturity dates of warehouse storage loans on certain commodities extended, producers must, not later than the maturity dates of such loans, or such later dates that may be authorized for good cause by the Deputy Administrator, State and County Operations, ASCS, notify in writing the ASCS county office through which they obtained their loans that they wish to have such loan maturity dates extended. The amended notice reads as follows:

NOTICE OF EXTENSION OF WAREHOUSE STORAGE LOANS

Pursuant to the provisions of § 1421.55 of the General Regulations Governing Price Support for the 1964 and Subsequent Crops of Grains and Similarly Handled Commodities, as amended, CCC hereby gives notice that, unless earlier demand for payment is made, the loan maturity dates of price support warehouse storage loans on the 1967 crops of barley, corn, grain sorghum, oats, soybeans, and wheat are extended for a period of 1 year from the original maturity dates of such loans, as provided below, with respect to producers who, prior to such original loan maturity dates, or such later dates that may be authorized for good cause by the Deputy Administrator, State and County Operations, ASCS, notify in writing the ASCS county office through which they obtained such loans that they wish to have such maturity dates extended; loans with respect to which no request for extensions are received will mature on the original loan maturity dates:

Commodity	From original loan maturity date	To extended loan maturity date
Barley stored in Alaska, Idaho, Minnesota, Montana, North Dakota, Oregon, South Dakota, Washington, Wisconsin, and Wyoming	May 31, 1968	May 31, 1969
Barley stored in all other States	Apr. 30, 1968	Apr. 30, 1969
Corn stored in all States	July 31, 1968	July 31, 1969
Grain Sorghum stored in Oklahoma and Texas	June 30, 1968	June 30, 1969
Grain Sorghum stored in all other States	July 31, 1968	July 31, 1969
Oats stored in Alaska, Idaho, Maine, Michigan, Minnesota, Montana, North Dakota, Oregon, South Dakota, Washington, Wisconsin, and Wyoming	May 31, 1968	May 31, 1969
Oats stored in all other States	Apr. 30, 1968	Apr. 30, 1969
Soybeans stored in all States	July 31, 1968	July 31, 1969
Wheat stored in Idaho, Minnesota, Montana, North Dakota, Oregon, Washington, and Wyoming	May 31, 1968	May 31, 1969
Wheat stored in all other States	Apr. 30, 1968	Apr. 30, 1969

(Secs. 4, 5, 62 Stat. 1070, as amended; secs. 101, 401, 403, 405, 63 Stat. 1051, as amended; 15 U.S.C. 714 b and c; 7 U.S.C. 1441, 1421, 1423, 1425)

Effective date: Upon publication in the FEDERAL REGISTER.

Signed at Washington, D.C., on January 29, 1968.

H. D. GODFREY,
Executive Vice President,
Comomdity Credit Corporation.

[F.R. Doc. 68-1362; Filed, Feb. 2, 1968; 8:48 a.m.]

Office of the Secretary

ILLINOIS, INDIANA, AND NORTH CAROLINA

Designation of Areas for Emergency Loans

For the purpose of making emergency loans pursuant to section 321 of the Consolidated Farmers Home Administration Act of 1961 (7 U.S.C. 1961), it has been determined that in the hereinafter-named counties in the States of Illinois, Indiana, and North Carolina, natural disasters have caused a need for agricultural credit not readily available from commercial banks, cooperative lending agencies, or other responsible sources.

ILLINOIS

Adams.	Jersey.
Alexander.	Johnson.
Bond.	Lawrence.
Brown.	McDonough.
Calhoun.	Macon.
Champaign.	Macoupin.
Christian.	Marion.
Clark.	Massac.
Clay.	Montgomery.
Clinton.	Morgan.
Coles.	Moultrie.
Crawford.	Piatt.
Cumberland.	Pike.
Douglas.	Pope.
Edgar.	Pulaski.
Edwards.	Richland.
Effingham.	Saline.
Fayette.	Sangamon.
Ford.	Schuyler.
Franklin.	Scott.
Gallatin.	Shelby.
Greene.	Union.
Hamilton.	Vermilion.
Hancock.	Wabash.
Hardin.	Washington.
Iroquois.	Wayne.
Jasper.	White.
Jefferson.	Williamson.

INDIANA

Bartholomew.	Monroe.
Brown.	Owen.
Daviess.	Perry.
Dubois.	Pike.
Gibson.	Posey.
Greene.	Spencer.
Jennings.	Vanderburgh.
Knox.	Warrick.

NORTH CAROLINA

Wake.

Pursuant to the authority set forth above, emergency loans will not be made in the above-named counties after June 30, 1968, except to applicants who previously received emergency or special livestock loan assistance and who can qualify under established policies and procedures.

Done at Washington, D.C., this 31st day of January 1968.

ORVILLE L. FREEMAN,
Secretary.

[F.R. Doc. 68-1364; Filed, Feb. 2, 1968; 8:48 a.m.]

IOWA

Designation of Area for Emergency Loans

For the purpose of making emergency loans pursuant to section 321 of the Consolidated Farmers Home Administration Act of 1961 (7 U.S.C. 1961), it has been determined that in the hereinafter-named counties in the State of Iowa, natural disasters have caused a need for agricultural credit not readily available from commercial banks, cooperative lending agencies, or other responsible sources.

IOWA

Allamakee.	Delaware.
Black Hawk.	Fayette.
Bremer.	Howard.
Buchanan.	Linn.
Chickasaw.	Mitchell.
Clayton.	Winneshiek.

Pursuant to the authority set forth above, emergency loans will not be made in the above-named counties after June 30, 1968, except to applicants who previously received emergency or special livestock loan assistance and who can qualify under established policies and procedures.

Done at Washington, D.C., this 31st day of January 1968.

ORVILLE L. FREEMAN,
Secretary.

[F.R. Doc. 68-1363; Filed, Feb. 2, 1968; 8:48 a.m.]

DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

Business and Defense Services Administration

CANCER RESEARCH INSTITUTE ET AL.

Notice of Applications for Duty-Free Entry of Scientific Articles

The following are notices of the receipt of applications for duty-free entry of scientific articles pursuant to section 6(c) of the Educational, Scientific and Cultural Materials Importation Act of 1966 (Public Law 89-651; 80 Stat. 897). Interested persons may present their views with respect to the question of whether an instrument or apparatus of equivalent scientific value for the purposes for which the article is intended to be used is being manufactured in the United States. Such comments must be filed in triplicate with the Director, Office of Scientific and Technical Equipment, Business and Defense Services Administration, Washington, D.C. 20230, within 20 calendar days after date on which this notice of application is published in the FEDERAL REGISTER.

Regulations issued under cited Act, published in the February 4, 1967 issue of the FEDERAL REGISTER, prescribe the requirements applicable to comments.

A copy of each application is on file, and may be examined during ordinary Commerce Department business hours at the Office of Scientific and Technical Equipment, Department of Commerce, Room 5123, Washington, D.C.

A copy of each comment filed with the Director of the Office of Scientific and Technical Equipment must also be mailed or delivered to the applicant, or its authorized agent, if any, to whose application the comment pertains; and the comment filed with the Director must certify that such copy has been mailed or delivered to the applicant.

Docket No. 68-00274-33-46040. Applicant: Cancer Research Institute, New England Deaconess Hospital, 185 Pilgrim Road, Boston, Mass. 02215. Article: Electron Microscope, Model HU-11C. Manufacturer: Hitachi, Ltd., Japan. Intended use of article: The article will be used for research and teaching in the Department of Experimental Pathology at the applicant institution. The research conducted will be the observation of tissue changes in viral-induced neoplasms and other studies of ultrastructural histologic alterations which occur in thymic cells when a host animal is inoculated with murine leukemia viruses of the Gross type. The teaching program is to provide clinically trained pathologists with basic research techniques needed for career cancer research in experimental pathology. Application received by Commissioner of Customs: December 12, 1967.

Docket No. 68-00275-33-46500. Applicant: University of New Mexico, School of Medicine, Albuquerque, N. Mex. 87106. Article: LKB Ultrotome III Ultramicrotome No. 8800A. Manufacturer: LKB Produkter AB, Sweden. Intended use of article: The intended use of the article is for a variety of cytological research programs aimed at determining the ultrastructural and cytochemical parameters during normal, developmental and experimental responses to physiologic or pharmacological conditions. Application received by Commissioner of Customs: December 12, 1967.

Docket No. 68-00278-33-46040. Applicant: Rutgers Medical School, Rutgers—The State University, New Brunswick, N.J. 08903. Article: Electron Microscope, Model EM 300 with Decontamination Device and Desiccator for plates and film. Manufacturer: Philips Electronics NVD, The Netherlands. Intended use of article: The article will be used to pursue a detailed knowledge of certain structural qualities inherent to nerve and muscle. Study of differences of distances and measurements from nerve to muscle, in the content and size of vesicles of about 200 Angstroms in the nerve terminal and in the content of the space of about 200 Angstroms between nerve and muscle, will be conducted. Additionally, the distance between the double membranes around and in the interior of mitochondria will be investigated. Application received by Commissioner of Customs: December 14, 1967.

Docket No. 68-00279-00-46040. Applicant: University of California, 405 Hilgard Avenue, Los Angeles, Calif. 90024. Article: Electron Microscope accessories consisting of Film Cassette Type 171 023 and Spare Parts Kit Type 171 005. Manufacturer: Siemens Aktiengesellschaft, West Germany. Intended use of article: The articles will be used as accessory items in the operation of a Siemens electron microscope. Application received by Commissioner of Customs: December 14, 1967.

Docket No. 68-00280-01-77040. Applicant: Cornell University, Ithaca, New York 14850. Article: Mass Spectrometer, Model MS 902. Manufacturer: Associated Electrical Industries, United Kingdom. Intended use of article: Applicant states:

> The MS 902 cl mass spectrometer is to serve as the key instrument in Cornell University's High resolution Mass Spectrometer Facility, whose support is provided chiefly by a grant (FR 00355-01) from the U.S. Department of Health, Education, and Welfare. Its major function will be to obtain mass spectra at both high and low resolution, and thus to help solve a wide variety of health related chemical and biological research problems. The training of young scientists in this very powerful technique is another important role of the facility.
>
> Typical applications of the instrument will include structure determinations of new peptide hormones and steroids, antibiotics, pheromones, pesticides, and drug metabolites. The instrument will be used in conjunction with a gas chromatograph to identify components separated. * * *

Application received by Commissioner of Customs: December 14, 1967.

CHARLEY M. DENTON,
Director, Office of Scientific and Technical Equipment, Business and Defense Services Administration.

[F.R. Doc. 68-1321; Filed, Feb. 2, 1968; 8:45 a.m.]

LOS ANGELES COUNTY MUSEUM OF ART

Notice of Application for Duty-Free Entry of Scientific Article and Decision Thereon

The following is a notice of the receipt of an application for duty-free entry of a scientific article and the decision thereon pursuant to section 6(c) of the Educational, Scientific and Cultural Materials Importation Act of 1966 (Public Law 89-651; 80 Stat. 897) and the regulations issued thereunder (32 F.R. 2433 et seq.).

A copy of the record pertaining to the application and decision is available for public review during ordinary business hours of the Department of Commerce, at the Office of Scientific and Technical Equipment, Department of Commerce Room 5123, Washington, D.C. 20230.

Docket No. 68-00267-65-02600. Applicant: Los Angeles County Museum of Art, 5905 Wilshire Boulevard, Los Angeles, Calif. 90036. Article: Vacuum Hot Table, Lavatex Cover Sheet, Canvas Strips, Rubber Roller, Pump, 16 Wax Separators. Manufacturer: Peter Koch, Federal Republic of Germany. Intended use of article: Restoration of art works. Application received by Commissioner of Customs: September 12, 1967. Denied without prejudice to resubmission, November 13, 1967. Resubmitted December 8, 1967. Decision: The determination required of the Secretary of Commerce by the Act can not be made with respect to this application. Reasons: Public Law 89-651 provides, inter alia, for duty-free treatment with respect to

> Articles entered for the use of any nonprofit institution whether public or private, established for educational or scientific purposes * * * if no instrument or apparatus

of equivalent scientific value for the purposes for which the instrument or apparatus is intended to be used is being manufactured in the United States. (Section 6(c)(1).)

Under the Act, the only function of the Secretary of Commerce is to determine "whether an instrument or apparatus of equivalent scientific value to the (foreign) article, for the purposes for which the instrument or apparatus is intended to be used, is being manufactured in the United States." (Section 6(c)(3), headnote 6(c).)

The article which is the subject of this application is a device intended for restoring paintings where the adhesion between the canvas and paint deteriorates, or where the original canvas support is damaged or weakened. (See appendix A to resubmitted application.) The original application was denied without prejudice to its resubmission, because there was no indication that the article to which the application relates, or the accessories thereto, have any scientific value in connection with the restoration of art works. (See letter dated Nov. 13, 1967, par. 3.) In the resubmitted application, the applicant did not make any claim, expressed or implied, that the article has any scientific value for the purposes for which it is intended to be used. Therefore, it is not possible to make the determination regarding equivalency of scientific value required by the above-quoted headnote 6(c) of section 6(c)(3) of the Act.

CHARLEY M. DENTON,
Director, Office of Scientific and Technical Equipment, Business and Defense Services Administration.

[F.R. Doc. 68-1324; Filed, Feb. 2, 1968; 8:45 a.m.]

UNIVERSITY OF ALABAMA

Notice of Decision on Application for Duty-Free Entry of Scientific Article

The following is a decision on an application for duty-free entry of a scientific article pursuant to section 6(c) of the Educational, Scientific, and Cultural Materials Importation Act of 1966 (Public Law 89-651; 80 Stat. 897) and the regulations issued thereunder (32 F.R. 2433 et seq.).

A copy of the record pertaining to this decision is available for public review during ordinary business hours of the Department of Commerce, at the Office of Scientific and Technical Equipment, Department of Commerce, Room 5123, Washington, D.C. 20230.

Docket No. 68-00175-33-46040. Applicant: University of Alabama, Purchasing Department, University, Ala. 35486. Article: Electron Microscope EM 9A and Accessories. Manufacturer: Carl Zeiss, Inc., West Germany. Intended use of article: The instrument is to be used for both teaching and research of morphogenesis in algae and bacteria. Comments: No comments have been received with respect to this application. Decision: Application approved. No instrument or apparatus of equivalent scientific value to the foreign article, for the purposes for which such article is intended to be used, is being manufactured in the United States. Reasons: The applicant requires a small, compact, and relatively simple electron microscope, for teaching students in an introductory course in the use of electron microscopes. The only known domestic electron microscope, the Model EMU-4 manufactured by the Radio Corporation of America (RCA), is a relatively complex instrument which is designed for research. The foreign article provides a magnification range which is low enough to overlap considerably with the magnification range of optical microscopes. In addition, the foreign article provides three viewing windows, whereas the RCA Model EMU-4 provides only one. The additional viewing windows permit the instructor and students to simultaneously view and discuss the image of the specimen under the microscope. The characteristics of the foreign article, which are not possessed by the RCA Model EMU-4, are pertinent to the purposes for which such article is intended to be used.

The Department of Commerce knows of no other instrument or apparatus of equivalent scientific value to the foreign article, for the purposes for which such article is intended to be used, which is being manufactured in the United States.

CHARLEY M. DENTON,
Director, Office of Scientific and Technical Equipment, Business and Defense Services Administration.

[F.R. Doc. 68-1320; Filed, Feb. 2, 1968; 8:45 a.m.]

UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA

Notice of Decision on Application for Duty-Free Entry of Scientific Article

The following is a decision on an application for duty-free entry of a scientific article pursuant to section 6(c) of the Educational, Scientific, and Cultural Materials Importation Act of 1966 (Public Law 89-651; 80 Stat. 897) and the regulations issued thereunder (32 F.R. 2433 et seq.).

A copy of the record pertaining to this decision is available for public review during ordinary business hours of the Department of Commerce, at the Office of Scientific and Technical Equipment, Department of Commerce, Room 5123, Washington, D.C. 20230.

Docket No. 67-00084-33-46040. Applicant: University of California, Department of Anatomy, School of Medicine, Los Angeles, Calif. 90024. Article: Electron Microscope, Model Elmiskop 1A with Spare Parts Kit No. 171005A, 70-mm. camera, No. 171023B; Electric Shutter No. 171048. Manufacturer: Siemens and Halske Aktiengesellschaft, Germany. Intended use of article: Applicant states:

The electron microscope will be used as a tool for the anatomical investigation of cells and tissues.

Comments: Comments have been received from one domestic manufacturer, Radio Corporation of America (RCA), which alleges inter alia that "The RCA Model EMU-4 Electron Microscope is of equivalent scientific value to the instrument for which duty-free entry has been requested for the purposes stated in the application for which the instrument is intended to be used." (Par. (3) of RCA comments dated June 26, 1967.) Decision: Application approved. No instrument or apparatus of equivalent scientific value to the foreign article, for the purposes for which such article is intended to be used, is being manufactured in the United States. Reasons: (1) The foreign article offers a guaranteed resolution of 8 Angstroms as measured by the point-to-point test (specifications for Elmiskop IA Electron Microscope). Applicant states in reply to Question 9 of the application that the comparisons of resolution are more meaningful for biological investigations, when they are based on the point-to-point criteria than when based on crystal lattice space tests. In its comments cited above (par. (4)(h)), RCA alleges that the RCA Model EMU-4 has a guaranteed resolving power of 8 Angstroms (point-to-point). However, in all other cases on which RCA has submitted comments, it stated that the guaranteed resolution of the RCA Model EMU-4 was based on the Fresnel fringe test. (See RCA comments dated May 25, 1967, on Docket No. 67-00048-33-46040, par. (4)(e), and RCA comments dated May 25, 1967, on Docket No. 67-00043-33-46040, par. (4)(a), among others.) Of the three types of tests for measuring resolution, point-to-point, crystal lattice, and Fresnel fringe (also referred to as Fresnel Diffraction), the point-to-point test is considered to be most relevant to biological research. (2) We are advised by the Department of Health, Education, and Welfare (HEW), in its memorandum dated July 26, 1967, that purposes for which the foreign article is intended to be used require the best in resolution, magnification, and contrast. The foreign article offers a magnification range from 200 × to 200,000 ×, whereas the RCA Model EMU-4 offers a magnification range of 1,400 × to 200,000 × with standard holder and a magnification range from 500 × to 70,000 × with optional low magnification projector pole piece. (See Specifications for RCA Model EMU-4 Electron Microscope and Optional Accessories, attached to comments of RCA dated June 26, 1967.) HEW advises that because of the need to change pole pieces in going from lower to higher magnification, the RCA Model EMU-4 is not scientifically equivalent for the planned use (of the foreign article). (3) The foreign article offers four accelerating voltages, 40, 60, 80, and 100 kilovolts, whereas the RCA Model EMU-4 offers only two accelerating voltages, 50 and 100 kilovolts. It has been experimentally established that the lower voltage provides increased contrast for unstained specimens and that the voltages intermediate between 50 and 100 kilovolts provide optimum contrast for stained specimens. In its memorandum dated June 27, 1967, HEW references four publications on the use of lower accelerating voltages in electron microscopy.

For the foregoing reasons, we find that the RCA Model EMU–4 is not of equivalent scientific value to the foreign article for the purposes for which such article is intended to be used.

The Department of Commerce knows of no other instrument or apparatus of equivalent scientific value to the foreign article, for the purposes for which such article is intended to be used, which is being manufactured in the United States.

CHARLEY M. DENTON,
Director, Office of Scientific and Technical Equipment, Business and Defense Services Administration.

[F.R. Doc. 68-1322; Filed, Feb. 2, 1968; 8:45 a.m.]

UNIVERSITY OF MISSISSIPPI

Notice of Decision on Application for Duty-Free Entry of Scientific Article

The following is a decision on an application for duty-free entry of a scientific article pursuant to section 6(c) of the Educational, Scientific, and Cultural Materials Importation Act of 1966 (Public Law 89-651; 80 Stat. 897) and the regulations issued thereunder (32 F.R. 2433 et seq.).

A copy of the record pertaining to this decision is available for public review during ordinary business hours of the Department of Commerce, at the Office of Scientific and Technical Equipment, Department of Commerce, Room 5123, Washington, D.C. 20230.

Docket No. 68-00118-33-46040. Applicant: University of Mississippi Medical Center, 2500 North State Street, Jackson, Miss. 39216. Article: Electron Microscope EM 9A. Manufacturer: Carl Zeiss, Inc., West Germany. Intended use of article: For research projects requiring resolution no lower than 10–15 Å units; for teaching purposes in graduate and postdoctoral programs. Comments: No comments have been received with respect to this application. Decision: Application approved. No instrument or apparatus of equivalent scientific value to the foreign article, for the purposes for which such article is intended to be used, is being manufactured in the United States. Reasons: The applicant requires a small, compact and relatively simple electron microscope, for teaching students in an introductory course in the use of electron microscopes. The only known domestic electron microscope, the Model EMU–4 manufactured by the Radio Corporation of America (RCA), is a relatively complex instrument which is designed for research. The foreign article provides a magnification range which is low enough to overlap considerably with the magnification range of optical microscopes. In addition, the foreign article provides three viewing windows, whereas the RCA Model EMU–4 provides only one. The additional viewing windows permit the instructor and students to simultaneously view and discuss the image of the specimen under the microscope. The characteristics of the foreign article, which are not possessed by the RCA Model EMU–4, are pertinent to the purposes for which such article is intended to be used.

The Department of Commerce knows of no other instrument or apparatus of equivalent scientific value to the foreign article, for the purposes for which such article is intended to be used, which is being manufactured in the United States.

CHARLEY M. DENTON,
Director, Office of Scientific and Technical Equipment, Business and Defense Services Administration.

[F.R. Doc. 68-1323; Filed, Feb. 2, 1968; 8:45 a.m.]

DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH, EDUCATION, AND WELFARE

Food and Drug Administration

AMERICAN CYANAMID CO.

Notice of Filing of Petition Regarding Pesticides

Pursuant to the provisions of the Federal Food, Drug, and Cosmetic Act (sec. 408(d)(1), 68 Stat. 512; 21 U.S.C. 346a (d)(1)), notice is given that a petition (PP 8F0673) has been filed by the Agricultural Division, American Cyanamid Co., Post Office Box 400, Princeton, N.J. 08540, proposing the establishment of a tolerance of 0.5 part per million for residues of the insecticide phorate (*O,O*-diethyl *S*-(ethylthio)methyl phosphorodithioate) and its cholinesterase-inhibiting metabolites in or on the raw agricultural commodity potatoes.

The analytical methods proposed in the petition for determining residues of the insecticide are:

1. An oxidative-cholinesterase-delta-pH method which involves extracting the residue with chloroform, following with a benzene wash, oxidizing to the oxygen analog sulfone with peracetic acid, and determining the sulfone by a delta-pH technique.

2. A phosphorus method in which the residue is extracted with chloroform or benzene and cleaned up either by a charcoal chromatographic column or by an acetonitrile-hexane partition. After further cleanup, the residue is taken to dryness and oxidized with *m*-chloroperbenzoic acid, and the phosphate is determined colorimetrically by the molybdenum blue procedure.

Dated: January 25, 1968.

J. K. KIRK,
Associate Commissioner for Compliance.

[F.R. Doc. 68-1370; Filed, Feb. 2, 1968; 8:49 a.m.]

ATLAS CHEMICAL INDUSTRIES, INC.

Notice of Filing of Petition for Food Additives

Pursuant to the provisions of the Federal Food, Drug, and Cosmetic Act (sec. 409(b)(5), 72 Stat. 1786; 21 U.S.C. 348 (b)(5)), notice is given that a petition (FAP 8A2252) has been filed by Atlas Chemical Industries, Inc., Wilmington, Del. 19899, proposing that § 121.1030 *Polysorbate 60 (polyoxyethylene (20) sorbitan monostearate)* be amended to provide for the safe use of polysorbate 60 as an emulsifier in nonstandardized dressings made with egg yolk in an amount such that the additive does not exceed 0.3 percent by weight of the finished dressing.

Dated: January 25, 1968.

J. K. KIRK,
Associate Commissioner for Compliance.

[F.R. Doc. 68-1371; Filed, Feb. 2, 1968; 8:49 a.m.]

CHAS. PFIZER & CO. AND MERCK & CO.

Notice of Filing of Petition Regarding Pesticides

Pursuant to the provisions of the Federal Food, Drug, and Cosmetic Act (sec. 408(d)(1), 68 Stat. 512; 21 U.S.C. 346a (d)(1)), notice is given that a petition (PP 8F0693) has been filed jointly by Chas. Pfizer & Co., 235 East 42d Street, New York, N.Y. 10017, and Merck & Co., Rahway, N.J. 07065, proposing the establishment of a tolerance of 0.25 part per million for negligible residues of the fungicide streptomycin in or on the raw agricultural commodity group of pome fruits.

The microbiological methods proposed in the petition for determining residues of the fungicide are: The cylinder-plate assay method and the modified cylinder-plate assay method using *Bacillus subtilis* as the test organism. These methods are described in "Assay Methods of Antibiotics" by Donald C. Grove and William A. Randell (1955), published by Medical Encyclopedia, Inc., New York, N.Y.

Dated: January 25, 1968.

J. K. KIRK,
Associate Commissioner for Compliance.

[F.R. Doc. 68-1372; Filed, Feb. 2, 1968; 8:49 a.m.]

GEIGY CHEMICAL CORP.

Notice of Filing of Petition Regarding Pesticides

Pursuant to the provisions of the Federal Food, Drug, and Cosmetic Act (sec. 408(d)(1), 68 Stat. 512; 21 U.S.C. 346a (d)(1)), notice is given that a petition (PP 8F0686) has been filed by the Geigy Chemical Corp., Ardsley, N.Y. 10502, proposing the establishment of tolerances for residues of the insecticide *O,O*-diethyl *O*-(2-isopropyl-4-methyl-6-pyrimidinyl) phosphorothioate in or on the raw agricultural commodities almonds (meat plus shell) at 3 parts per million (of which not more than 0.75 part per million shall be in the nut meats after shell is removed); lespedeza at 1 part per million; cotton seed and mustard greens at 0.25 part per million; and cowpeas, filberts, pecans, soybeans, and walnuts at 0.1 part per million.

The analytical method proposed in the petition for determining residues of the insecticide is a sulfide method in which the residue is extracted with petroleum ether and, after suitable cleanup is transferred to hydrobromic acid; the solution is then boiled, converting the sulfur to hydrogen sulfide; and the hydrogen sulfide is trapped in zinc acetate solution and determined colorimetrically as methylene blue.

Dated: January 25, 1968.

J. K. KIRK,
Associate Commissioner for Compliance.

[F.R. Doc. 68-1374; Filed, Feb. 2, 1968; 8:49 a.m.]

KUREHA CHEMICAL INDUSTRY CO., LTD.

Notice of Filing of Petition for Food Additives

Pursuant to the provisions of the Federal Food, Drug, and Cosmetic Act (sec. 409(b)(5), 72 Stat. 1786; 21 U.S.C. 348 (b)(5)), notice is given that a petition (FAP 7B2126) has been filed by Kureha Chemical Industry Co., Ltd., 420 Lexington Avenue, New York, N.Y. 10017, proposing the issuance of a regulation to provide for the safe use of vinyl chloride-vinyl cetylether copolymers in articles that contact food.

Dated: January 25, 1968.

J. K. KIRK,
Associate Commissioner for Compliance.

[F.R. Doc. 68-1373; Filed, Feb. 2, 1968; 8:49 a.m.]

Office of Education

RECEIPT OF PUBLIC LAW 81-815 APPLICATIONS

Notice of Cutoff Date, Fiscal Year 1968

Pursuant to the authority vested in me by section 3 of Public Law 81-815 (20 U.S.C. 633) and 45 CFR 114.20, notice is hereby given of the following cutoff date:

For the purposes of sections 3 and 14 of Public Law 81-815, June 24, 1968, is hereby set as the date during fiscal year 1968 on or before which complete applications for payments to which an applicant may be entitled under the Act from such funds as may be available for such purposes shall be filed.

Dated: January 26, 1968.

HAROLD HOWE II,
U.S. Commissioner of Education.

[F.R. Doc. 68-1368; Filed, Feb. 2, 1968; 8:49 a.m.]

CIVIL AERONAUTICS BOARD

[Docket No. 19509; Order No. E-26298]

HUB AIRLINES, INC.

Order To Show Cause Regarding Establishment of Service Mail Rate

Issued under delegated authority January 30, 1968.

By notice and intent filed on January 18, 1968, pursuant to 14 CFR Part 298, the Postmaster General petitioned the Board to establish for Hub Airlines, Inc. (Hub), an air taxi operator, a final service mail rate of 59 cents per great circle mile for the transportation of mail by aircraft between Columbus, Ohio, and Charleston, W. Va.

The Postmaster General states that the scheduled services of the certificated route carriers in this market do not meet postal requirements. Hub proposes to use all-weather twin-engine aircraft in providing the mail service. No protest or objection has been filed against the services proposed in the notice of intent, and the time for filing such objections has now expired.

The Postmaster General also states that the proposed rate is acceptable to the Department and the carrier, and represents a fair and reasonable rate of compensation for the services which the carrier will perform. The Postmaster General believes these services will meet postal needs in this market.

Since no mail rate is presently in effect for this carrier in this market, it is in the public interest to fix and determine the fair and reasonable rate of compensation to be paid to Hub by the Postmaster General for the transportation of mail by aircraft, the facilities used and useful therefor, and the services connected therewith, between the aforesaid points. Upon consideration of the notice of intent and other matters officially noticed, it is proposed to issue an order[1] to include the following findings and conclusions:

1. That the fair and reasonable final service mail rate to be paid to Hub Airlines, Inc., pursuant to section 406 of the Act for the transportation of mail by aircraft, the facilities used and useful therefor, and the services connected therewith between Columbus, Ohio, and Charleston, W. Va., as described in the notice of intent, shall be 59 cents per great circle mile.

2. The final service mail rate here fixed and determined is to be paid in its entirety by the Postmaster General.

Accordingly, pursuant to the Federal Aviation Act of 1958, and particularly sections 204(a) and 406 thereof, and regulations promulgated in 14 CFR Part 302, 14 CFR Part 298, and 14 CFR 385.14(f):

It is ordered, That:

1. All interested persons and particularly Hub Airlines, Inc., the Postmaster General, and American Airlines, Inc., Eastern Air Lines, Inc., Lake Central Airlines, Inc., Piedmont Aviation, Inc., and United Air Lines, Inc., are directed to show cause why the Board should not adopt the foregoing proposed findings and conclusions and fix, determine, and publish the final rate specified above, as the fair and reasonable rate of compensation to be paid to Hub, for the transportation of mail by aircraft, the facilities used and useful therefor, and the services connected therewith as specified above;

2. Further procedures herein shall be in accordance with 14 CFR Part 302, and if there is any objection to the rate or to the other findings and conclusions proposed herein, notice thereof shall be filed within 10 days, and if notice is filed, written answer and supporting documents shall be filed within 30 days after the date of service of this order;

3. If notice of objection is not filed within 10 days after service of this order, or if notice is filed and if answer is not filed within 30 days after service of this order, all persons shall be deemed to have waived the right to a hearing and all other procedural steps short of a final decision by the Board, and the Board may enter an order incorporating the findings and conclusions proposed herein and fix and determine the final rate specied herein;

4. If answer is filed presenting issues for hearing, the issues involved in determining the fair and reasonable final rate shall be limited to those specifically raised by the answer, except insofar as other issues are raised in accordance with Rule 307 of the rules of practice (14 CFR 302.307); and

5. This order shall be served upon Hub Airlines, Inc., the Postmaster General, and American Airlines, Inc., Eastern Air Lines, Inc., Lake Central Airlines, Inc., Piedmont Aviation, Inc., and United Air Lines, Inc.

This order will be published in the FEDERAL REGISTER.

[SEAL] HAROLD R. SANDERSON,
Secretary.

[F.R. Doc. 68-1357; Filed, Feb. 2, 1968; 8:48 a.m.]

[1] As this order to show cause does not constitute a final action and merely affords interested persons an opportunity to be heard on the matters herein proposed, it is not regarded as subject to the review provisions of Part 385 (14 CFR Part 385). The provisions of that part dealing with petitions for Board review will be applicable to any final action which may be taken by the staff in this matter under authority delegated in § 385.14(g).

[Docket No. 18650; Order No. E-26295]

INTERNATIONAL AIR TRANSPORT ASSOCIATION

Order Regarding Specific Commodity Rates

Issued under delegated authority January 30, 1968.

An agreement has been filed with the Board pursuant to section 412(a) of the Federal Aviation Act of 1958 (the Act) and Part 261 of the Board's economic regulations, between various air carriers, foreign air carriers, and other carriers, embodied in the resolutions of the Traffic Conference 1 of the International Air Transport Association (IATA), and adopted pursuant to the provisions of Resolution 590 dealing with specific commodity rates.

The agreement, adopted pursuant to unprotested notices to the carriers and promulgated in an IATA letter dated January 18, 1968, as set forth in the attachment hereto,[1] names additional specific commodity rates which reflect significant reductions from the general cargo rates.

Pursuant to authority duly delegated by the Board in the Board's regulations, 14 CFR 385.14, it is not found that the subject agreement is adverse to the public interest or in violation of the Act; *Provided*, That approval thereof is conditioned as hereinafter ordered.

Accordingly, it is ordered, That:

Agreement CAB 19654, R–66 through R-70, be approved, provided approval shall not constitute approval of the specific commodity descriptions contained therein for purposes of tariff publication.

Persons entitled to petition the Board for review of this order, pursuant to the Board's regulations, 14 CFR 385.50, may file such petitions within 10 days after the date of service of this order.

This order shall be effective and become the action of the Civil Aeronautics Board upon expiration of the above period, unless within such period a petition for review thereof is filed, or the Board gives notice that it will review this order on its own motion.

This order will be published in the FEDERAL REGISTER.

[SEAL] HAROLD R. SANDERSON, *Secretary*.

[F.R. Doc. 68-1358; Filed, Feb. 2, 1968; 8:48 a.m.]

[Docket No. 18650; Order No. E-26293]

INTERNATIONAL AIR TRANSPORT ASSOCIATION

Order Regarding Specific Commodity Rates

Issued under delegated authority January 30, 1968.

An agreement has been filed with the Board pursuant to section 412(a) of the Federal Aviation Act of 1958 (the Act) and Part 261 of the Board's economic regulations, between various air carriers, foreign air carriers, and other carriers, embodied in the resolutions of the Joint Conferences of the International Air Transport Association (IATA), and adopted pursuant to the provisions of Resolution 590 dealing with specific commodity rates.

The agreement, adopted pursuant to unprotested notices to the carriers and promulgated in an IATA letter dated January 18, 1968, as set forth in the attachment hereto,[1] names additional specific commodity rates which reflect significant reductions from the general cargo rates. Additionally, the agreement amends the description for Commodity Item No. 7103, applicable via the North Atlantic, by the inclusion of paper bags and paper table favors.[2]

Pursuant to authority duly delegated by the Board in the Board's regulations, 14 CFR 385.14, it is not found that the subject agreement is adverse to the public interest or in violation of the Act: *Provided*, That approval thereof is conditioned as hereinafter ordered.

Accordingly, it is ordered, That:

Agreement CAB 19703, R–70 through R–73, be approved, provided approval shall not constitute approval of the specific commodity descriptions contained therein for purposes of tariff publication.

Persons entitled to petition the Board for review of this order, pursuant to the Board's regulations, 14 CFR 385.50, may file such petitions within 10 days after the date of service of this order.

This order shall be effective and become the action of the Civil Aeronautics Board upon expiration of the above period, unless within such period a petition for review thereof is filed, or the Board gives notice that it will review this order on its own motion.

This order will be published in the FEDERAL REGISTER.

[SEAL] HAROLD R. SANDERSON, *Secretary*.

[F.R. Doc. 68-1359; Filed, Feb. 2, 1968; 8:48 a.m.]

[1] Filed as part of the original document.

[2] R–73.

[Docket No. 19510; Order No. E-26299]

VERCOA AIR SERVICE, INC.

Order To Show Cause

Issued under delegated authority January 30, 1968.

By notice of intent filed on January 18, 1968, pursuant to 14 CFR Part 298, the Postmaster General petitioned the Board to establish for Vercoa Air Service, Inc. (Vercoa), an air taxi operator, a final service mail rate of 48.1 cents per great circle mile for the transportation of mail by aircraft between Indianapolis, Ind., Cincinnati, Ohio, and Charleston, W. Va.

The Postmaster General states that the scheduled services of the certificated route carriers in this market do not meet postal requirements. Vercoa proposes to use all-weather twin-engine aircraft in providing the mail service. No protest or objection has been filed against the services proposed in the notice of intent, and the time for filing such objections has now expired.

The Postmaster General also states that the proposed rate is acceptable to the Department and the carrier, and represents a fair and reasonable rate of compensation for the services which the carrier will perform. The Postmaster General believes these services will meet postal needs in this market.

Since no mail rate is presently in effect for this carrier in this market, it is in the public interest to fix and determine the fair and reasonable rate of compensation to be paid to Vercoa by the Postmaster General for the transportation of mail by aircraft, the facilities used and useful therefor, and the services connected therewith, between the aforesaid points. Upon consideration of the notice of intent and other matters officially noticed, it is proposed to issue an order[3] to include the following findings and conclusions:

1. That the fair and reasonable final service mail rate to be paid to Vercoa Air Service, Inc., pursuant to section 406 of the Act for the transportation of mail by aircraft, the facilities used and useful therefor, and the services connected therewith between Indianapolis, Ind., Cincinnati, Ohio, and Charleston, W. Va., as described in the notice of intent, shall be 48.1 cents per great circle mile.

2. The final service mail rate here fixed and determined is to be paid in its entirety by the Postmaster General.

Accordingly, pursuant to the Federal Aviation Act of 1958, and particularly sections 204(a) and 406 thereof, and regulations promulgated in 14 CFR Part 302, 14 CFR Part 298, and 14 CFR 385.14(f):

It is ordered, That:

1. All interested persons and particularly Vercoa Air Service, Inc., the Postmaster General, and American Airlines, Inc., Delta Air Lines, Inc., Eastern Air Lines, Inc., Lake Central Airlines, Inc., Piedmont Aviation, Inc., and Trans World Airlines, Inc., are directed to show cause why the Board should not adopt the foregoing proposed findings and conclusions and fix, determine, and publish the final rate specified above, as the fair and reasonable rate of compensation to be paid to Vercoa, for the transportation of mail by aircraft, the facilities used and useful therefor, and the services connected therewith as specified above;

2. Further procedures herein shall be in accordance with 14 CFR Part 302, and if there is any objection to the rate or to the other findings and conclusions proposed herein, notice thereof shall be filed within 10 days, and if notice is filed, written answer and supporting documents shall be filed within 30 days after the date of service of this order;

3. If notice of objection is not filed within 10 days after service of this order, or if notice is filed and if answer is not filed within 30 days after service of this order, all persons shall be deemed to have waived the right to a hearing and all other procedural steps short of a final

[3] As this order to show cause does not constitute a final action and merely affords interested persons an opportunity to be heard on the matters herein proposed, it is not regarded as subject to the review provisions of Part 385 (14 CFR Part 385). The provisions of that part dealing with petitions for Board review will be applicable to any final action which may be taken by the staff in this matter under authority delegated in § 385.14(g).

decision by the Board, and the Board may enter an order incorporating the findings and conclusions proposed herein and fix and determine the final rate specified herein;

4. If answer is filed presenting issues for hearing, the issues involved in determining the fair and reasonable final rate shall be limited to those specifically raised by the answer, except insofar as other issues are raised in accordance with Rule 307 of the rules of practice (14 CFR 302.307); and

5. This order shall be served upon Vercoa Air Service, Inc., the Postmaster General, and American Airlines, Inc., Delta Air Lines, Inc., Eastern Air Lines, Inc., Lake Central Airlines, Inc., Piedmont Aviation, Inc., and Trans World Airlines, Inc.

This order will be published in the FEDERAL REGISTER.

[SEAL] HAROLD R. SANDERSON,
Secretary.

[F.R. Doc. 68-1360; Filed, Feb. 2, 1968; 8:48 a.m.]

[Docket No. 19526]

LUFTHANSA GERMAN AIRLINES

Notice of Postponement of Hearing

Notice is hereby given, pursuant to the provisions of the Federal Aviation Act of 1958, as amended, that the hearing in the above-entitled proceeding now assigned to be held on February 2 is postponed to February 6, 1968, at 10 a.m., e.s.t., Room 1027, Universal Building, 1825 Connecticut Avenue NW., Washington, D.C., before Examiner Hyman Goldberg.

Dated at Washington, D.C., January 31, 1968.

[SEAL] THOMAS L. WRENN,
Chief Examiner.

[F.R. Doc. 68-1396; Filed, Feb. 2, 1968; 8:50 a.m.]

CIVIL SERVICE COMMISSION

MEDICAL OFFICERS IN POSTAL FIELD SERVICE

Notice of Adjustment of Minimum Rates and Rate Ranges

Under authority of 5 U.S.C. 5303 and Executive Order 11073, the Civil Service Commission has increased the minimum rates and rate ranges for positions of Medical Officers in the Postal Field Service, PFS-610-13/16, Nationwide. The revised rate ranges are:

PER ANNUM RATES

Level	1[1]	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10
PFS-13	$13,759	$14,127	$14,495	$14,863	$15,231	$15,599	$15,967	$16,335	$16,703	$17,071
PFS-14	15,668	16,081	16,494	16,907	17,320	17,733	18,146	18,559	18,972	19,385
PFS-15	17,295	17,753	18,211	18,669	19,127	19,585	20,043	20,501	20,959	21,417
PFS-16	18,078	18,580	19,082	19,584	20,086	20,588	21,090	21,592	22,094	22,596

[1] Corresponding statutory rates: PFS-13—Ninth; PFS-14—Tenth; PFS-15—Tenth; PFS-16—Eighth.

The effective date of the above rate ranges will be the first day of the first pay period beginning on or after January 13, 1968.

All new employees in the specified occupational levels will be hired at the new minimum rate.

As of the effective date, the department will process a pay adjustment to increase the pay of employees on the rolls in the affected occupational levels. An employee who immediately prior to the effective date was receiving basic compensation at one of the rates of the previous special rate range shall receive basic compensation at the corresponding numbered rate authorized by this notice on and after such date. The pay adjustment will not be considered an equivalent increase within the meaning of 39 U.S.C. 3552.

UNITED STATES CIVIL SERVICE COMMISSION,
[SEAL] JAMES C. SPRY,
Executive Assistant to the Commissioners.

[F.R. Doc. 68-1366; Filed, Feb. 2, 1968; 8:49 a.m.]

FEDERAL MARITIME COMMISSION

ZIM ISRAEL

Order of Revocation

Certificate of Financial Responsibility for Indemnification of Passengers for Nonperformance of Transportation No. P-32 and Certificate of Financial Responsibility To Meet Liability Incurred for Death or Injury to Passengers or Other Persons on Voyages No. C-1,018:

Whereas, Zim Line, % American-Israeli Shipping Co., Inc., 42 Broadway, New York, N.Y. 10004, has ceased to operate the passenger vessel "Shalom"; and

Whereas, Zim Line has requested that Certificate (Performance) No. P-32 and Certificate (Casualty) No. C-1,018 be revoked:

It is ordered, That Certificate (Performance) No. P-32 and Certificate (Casualty) No. C-1,018 be and are hereby revoked effective this date without prejudice to reapplication at a later date.

It is further ordered, That Certificate (Performance) No. P-32 and Certificate (Casualty) No. C-1,018 be returned to the Commission for cancellation.

It is further ordered, That a copy of this order be published in the FEDERAL REGISTER and served on certificant.

By the Commission January 29, 1968.

[SEAL] THOMAS LISI,
Secretary.

[F.R. Doc. 68-1367; Filed, Feb. 2, 1968; 8:49 a.m.]

GRACE LINE, INC., AND COMPANIA ANONIMA VENEZOLANA DE NAVEGACION

Notice of Agreement Filed for Approval

Notice is hereby given that the following agreement has been filed with the Commission for approval pursuant to section 15 of the Shipping Act, 1916, as amended (39 Stat. 733, 75 Stat. 763, 46 U.S.C. 814).

Interested parties may inspect and obtain a copy of the agreement at the Washington office of the Federal Maritime Commission, 1321 H Street NW., Room 609; or may inspect agreements at the office of the District Managers, New York, N.Y., New Orleans, La., and San Francisco, Calif. Comments with reference to an agreement including a request for hearing, if desired, may be submitted to the Secretary, Federal Maritime Commission, Washington, D.C. 20573, within 20 days after publication of this notice in the FEDERAL REGISTER. A copy of any such statement should also be forwarded to the party filing the agreement (as indicated hereinafter) and the comments should indicate that this has been done.

Notice of agreement filed for approval by:

Mr. Harold R. Logan, President, Grace Line, Inc., 3 Hanover Square, New York, N.Y. 10004.

Agreement No. 8640-2 modifies the existing arrangement between Grace Line, Inc., and Compania Anonima Venezolana De Navegacion (8640 and 8640-1) under which there is established a pool covering all commodities except mail, passenger baggage, and automobiles accompanying passengers moving in the southbound trade from U.S. Atlantic Coast ports to ports in Venezuela as follows:

(1) To cover both northbound and southbound cargo;

(2) To limit the pooled cargo to that emanating from and destined for the ports of New York, Philadelphia, and Baltimore;

(3) To change the minimum sailing requirements of the participating carriers; and

(4) To change the formula for computing the division of pooled revenue.

The agreement is further modified to provide for the use of a common terminal and related services at New York (presently those of Grace Line) and for Grace

Line to furnish to CAVN other services such as booking, billing, and other documentation, collection of freight, processing of claims, and related services for both outbound and inbound cargo at the three of the U.S. ports named in (2) above, under terms and conditions as set forth in the agreement.

Dated: February 1, 1968.

By order of the Federal Maritime Commission.

THOMAS LISI,
Secretary.

[F.R. Doc. 68-1376; Filed, Feb. 2, 1968; 8:50 a.m.]

[Docket No. 68-8]

CONTAINER MARINE LINES

Order of Investigation Regarding Disposition of Tariffs

Disposition of Container Marine Lines Through Intermodal Container Freight Tariffs Nos. 1 and 2, FMC Nos. 1 and 2.

Container Marine Lines (CML), a division of American Export Isbrandtsen Lines, Inc., a common carrier by water operating in the foreign commerce of the United States, has filed with the Federal Maritime Commission two publications naming rates between interior points in the United Kingdom and interior points in the United States. The first publication, filed on January 3, 1968, to be effective February 7, is designated "Through Intermodal Container Freight Tariff No. 1" and establishes "Commodity Rates and Conditions From Points in the United Kingdom via the Port of Felixstowe to Points in the United States via the Port of New York." The second publication, filed on January 8, 1968, to be effective February 15, is designated "Through Intermodal Container Freight Tariff No. 2" and establishes similar rates in the opposite direction. Both tariffs would establish single-factor intermodal containers rates between the inland points as mentioned. Each provides for a cargo n.o.s. rate of $500 per ton of 2,240 pounds or 40 cubic feet, applicable to door-to-door movement if the container is loaded by the shipper at his inland point of origin and unloaded by the consignee at his inland point of destination, and a $250 cargo n.o.s. rate applicable to westbound door-to-terminal and eastbound terminal-to-door shipments. These $250 rates, unlike the $500 rates, do not include inland transportation in the United States.

The filing of these tariffs by CML raises questions regarding the applicability of certain provisions of section 18(b) of the Shipping Act, 1916, with respect to jurisdiction of the FMC and with the manner of compliance with pertinent provisions of that law. At the outset, the question arises as to how far the regulatory authority of the FMC extends over an ocean carrier who publishes a single factor inland-to-inland rate and establishes a service using an overland carrier or carriers some of whom may be subject to the jurisdiction of the Interstate Commerce Commission.

Section 18(b)(1) of the Shipping Act, 1916, provides in pertinent part that "every common carrier by water in foreign commerce * * * shall file with the Commission * * * tariffs showing all the rates and charges of such carrier * * * for transportation to and from U.S. ports and foreign ports between all points on its own route and on any through route which has been established * * * ". The statute further provides that "Such tariffs shall plainly show the places between which freight will be carried * * * ". These provisions of law do not make clear the regulatory status of single factor container rates between unspecified inland "points" such as those filed by CML. It is unclear whether the FMC's jurisdiction is limited to the "port-to-port" segment of CML's proposed service, or whether its jurisdiction extends over the entire service on the basis that a "through route" has been established within the meaning of the statute or that the tariff shows the "places between which freight will be carried" as required by the statute.

Furthermore, section 18(b)(3) of the Shipping Act, 1916, provides in pertinent part that "no common carrier by water in foreign commerce shall charge or demand or collect or receive a greater or less or different compensation for the transportation of property or for any service in connection therewith than the rates and charges which are specified in its tariffs on file with the Commission and duly published and in effect at the time * * *". It is readily apparent that the duties to be imposed upon CML by this law cannot be determined unless the rates in question are determined to be "rates and charges" within the meaning of section 18(b)(3).

The Commission does not wish to discourage the inauguration of any transportation services which might be of great benefit to shippers. However, until the above questions are resolved, the Commission is unable to make an appropriate disposition of the subject tariffs so as to insure that in the establishment of the new service, CML will be complying with the applicable requirements of sections 18(b)(1) and 18(b)(3). The Commission recognizes, furthermore, that CML is entitled to know precisely in what manner tariffs reflecting its new service must comport with the cited provisions of section 18(b) in order to avoid violations of law which might arise out of failure to file appropriate tariffs with the Commission or from charging, demanding, or collecting a greater or less or different compensation than the rates and charges specified in such tariffs.

The issues raised herein require a prompt determination by the Commission and do not present any disputed issues of fact which would necessitate an evidentiary hearing. Should any of the parties to this proceeding consider that there are disputed issues of fact which are relevant to this proceeding, such facts shall be specified with particularity by means of affidavits setting forth such facts, together with a statement of their relevance to the issues in question. Should any other parties dispute these facts by a similar affidavit, the disputed issues of fact, if relevant, may be set down for an evidentiary hearing, if such hearing is requested.

Therefore, it is ordered, That pursuant to sections 22, 18(b)(1) and 18(b)(3) of the Shipping Act, 1916, the Commission institute an investigation to determine whether Container Marine Lines' Through Intermodal Container Freight Tariffs Nos. 1 and 2 should be accepted or rejected by the Commission. The Commission desires furthermore that the parties address themselves to the particular questions raised above with respect to the jurisdictional status of the subject rates as indicated by the quoted language of sections 18(b)(1) and 18(b)(3) and the consequent problems of compliance with those provisions of law and if rejection of the tariffs is advocated by any party that the party set forth with particularity all its arguments in support of such a position.

It is further ordered, That this proceeding shall be limited to the submission of affidavits and memoranda, and oral argument. Affidavits of fact and memoranda of law shall be filed by Hearing Counsel and intervenors opposing respondent, if any, no later than the close of business February 14, 1968. Replies shall be submitted by respondent and intervenors supporting respondent, if any, no later than the close of business February 23, 1968. An original and 15 copies of such affidavits of fact and memoranda of law, and replies thereto, are to be filed with the Secretary, Federal Maritime Commission, Washington, D.C. 20573. Copies of any papers filed with the Secretary should also be served upon all parties hereto. Oral argument before the Commission will be heard at 9:30 a.m., February 28, 1968, in Room 114, 1321 H Street NW., Washington, D.C.

It is further ordered, That Container Marine Lines be named as respondent in this proceeding. (Address: 26 Broadway, New York, N.Y. 10004.)

It is further ordered, That this order be published in the FEDERAL REGISTER and a copy of such order be served upon respondent.

All persons (including individuals, corporations, associations, firms, partnerships, and public bodies) other than respondent and Hearing Counsel having an interest in this proceeding and desiring to intervene therein, should notify the Secretary of the Commission promptly and file petitions for leave to intervene in accordance with Rule 5(l) (46 CFR 502.72), but no later than February 10, 1968.

By the Commission, February 1, 1968.

[SEAL] THOMAS LISI,
Secretary.

[F.R. Doc. 68-1409; Filed, Feb. 2, 1968; 8:50 a.m.]

FEDERAL POWER COMMISSION

[Docket No. CP68-75]

NORTHERN NATURAL GAS CO.

Notice of Amendment to Application

JANUARY 29, 1968.

Take notice that on January 23, 1968, Northern Natural Gas Co. (Applicant), 2223 Dodge Street, Omaha, Nebr. 68102, filed an amendment to its application heretofore filed September 7, 1967, and previously described in a notice of application issued September 19, 1967, and published in the FEDERAL REGISTER on September 28, 1967 (32 F.R. 13608). Applicant still, as in the original application, seeks, pursuant to subsections (b) and (c) of section 7 of the Natural Gas Act, permission and approval of the Commission to abandon by sale certain natural gas facilities and a certificate of public convenience and necessity authorizing the construction and operation of certain facilities and the exchange of volumes of natural gas, all as more fully set forth in the amendment to the application which is on file with the Commission and open to public inspection.

However, Applicant proposes the following changes in the proposals set forth in its original application:

(1) Instead of abandoning by sale to Phillips Petroleum Co. (Phillips) all 21 miles of its 20-inch pipeline extending from its Skellytown Compressor Station in Carson County, Tex., to its Grayco Compressor Station in Gray County, Tex., Applicant now proposes to sell only the 12 miles of the aforementioned 20-inch line which extends from the Skellytown Station to a point in section 151, Block 3, I&GN RR Co. Survey, Gray County, Tex. Applicant still proposes to include its Grayco Compressor Station in the sale to Phillips.

(2) Instead of constructing and operating a measuring station at its Skellytown Station to receive gas from Phillips, Applicant now proposes to construct and operate a measuring station in section 151, Block 3, I&GN RR Co. Survey, Gray County, Tex., at the terminus of the section of 20-inch line to be sold to Phillips.

(3) Instead of having Phillips transport gas for Applicant which the latter purchases from Kerr-McGee Corp. and Skelly Oil Co., Applicant now proposes to retain the portion of the 20-inch line into which Kerr-McGee and Skelly make deliveries and will continue to transport these volumes of gas to Skellytown Station without any change in its previous operations.

(4) Instead of selling the entire 20-inch line to Phillips so that it can transport its own gas to its industrial complex near Skellytown, Applicant now proposes to transport gas for Phillips, at a charge of one-tenth cent (0.001 cent) per Mcf, from the measuring station to be constructed in section 151, Block 3, I&GN RR Co. Survey, Gray County, Tex., to a point near its Skellytown Station for redelivery to Phillips.

In Exhibit H of the amended application, Applicant states that substantially reduced volumes of gas will be available to Applicant under its contract with Phillips dated January 1, 1953. On January 8, 1968, Phillips filed in Docket No. CI68-816 an application to abandon sales to Applicant under this contract. Because the original contract reserved substantial volumes of gas for use by Phillips in its own operations, Phillips will continue to have substantial volumes of gas available in the vicinity of the Grayco Compressor Station. Phillips has temporarily found it conducive to its operations to pay Applicant one-tenth cent to transport these reserved volumes of gas a distance of about 9 miles to its industrial complex near Skellytown. When Applicant ceases to need these 9 miles of pipeline to accept deliveries of gas from Kerr-McGee and Skelly, as described in paragraph 3 above, Applicant has agreed to convey the remaining 9 miles of 20-inch pipeline to Phillips.

Phillips has agreed to deliver gas for Applicant's account to Applicant at a point in section 137, Block 3, I&GN RR Co. Survey, Gray County, Tex., in order that Applicant can fulfill an exchange agreement between Applicant and Colorado Interstate Gas Co.

The exchange agreement under which Applicant originally proposed to exchange deliveries of gas by it to Phillips at a point in section 10, Block 11, H&GN RR Co. Survey, Pecos County, Tex., in return for deliveries of gas by Phillips to it will remain unchanged in the application as amended, except that Phillips proposes to deliver such exchange gas to Applicant at the terminus of the section of 20-inch line it proposes to acquire from Applicant instead of delivering gas at the previously designated delivery point near Skellytown.

The amount which Phillips has agreed to pay Applicant for the Grayco Compressor Station and related facilities is unchanged in the amended application. Applicant would still receive $1 million for the facilities, to be paid by the delivery of approximately 6,250,000 Mcf of gas to Applicant in 1971 on the assumption that the gas would be valued at 16 cents per Mcf at 14.65 p.s.i.a.

The estimated cost of the measuring station and tap valve which Applicant proposes to construct in the amended application is $41,370, instead of the $38,130 originally estimated. The cost is still to be financed from cash on hand.

Protests or petitions to intervene may be filed with the Federal Power Commission, Washington, D.C. 20426, in accordance with the rules of practice and procedure (18 CFR 1.8 or 1.10) and the regulations under the Natural Gas Act (§ 157.10) on or before February 19, 1968.

Take further notice that, pursuant to the authority contained in and subject to the jurisdiction conferred upon the Federal Power Commission by sections 7 and 15 of the Natural Gas Act and the Commission's rules of practice and procedure, a hearing will be held without further notice before the Commission on this application, as amended, if no protest or petition to intervene is filed within the time required herein, if the Commission on its own review of the matter finds that a grant of the certificate and permission and approval for the proposed abandonment is required by the public convenience and necessity. If a protest or petition for leave to intervene is timely filed, or if the Commission on its own motion believes that a formal hearing is required, further notice of such hearing will be duly given.

Under the procedure herein provided for, unless otherwise advised, it will be unnecessary for Applicant to appear or be represented at the hearing.

GORDON M. GRANT,
Secretary.

[F.R. Doc. 68-1330; Filed, Feb. 2, 1968; 8:45 a.m.]

[Docket No. CP68-200]

SOUTHERN NATURAL GAS CO.

Notice of Application

JANUARY 29, 1968.

Take notice that on January 17, 1968, Southern Natural Gas Co. (Applicant), Post Office Box 2563, Birmingham, Ala. 35202, filed in Docket No. CP68-200 an application pursuant to section 7(b) of the Natural Gas Act for permission and approval to abandon Applicant's Monroe Gas System Receiving Station in Morehouse Parish, La., all as more fully set forth in the application which is on file with the Commission and open to public inspection.

Applicant proposes to abandon metering and regulating facilities constructed and operated pursuant to a "budget-type" certificate issued June 19, 1964, in Docket No. CP64-227. By order issued November 7, 1967, in Docket No. CI68-211 Monroe Gas System, Inc., received permission and approval to abandon the sale of natural gas to Applicant. The application states that no service is presently being rendered by means of the subject facilities and that no service now being rendered will be affected by the abandonment.

Protests or petitions to intervene may be filed with the Federal Power Commission, Washington, D.C. 20426, in accordance with the rules of practice and procedure (18 CFR 1.8 or 1.10) and the regulations under the Natural Gas Act (§ 157.10) on or before February 23, 1968.

Take further notice that, pursuant to the authority contained in and subject to the jurisdiction conferred upon the Federal Power Commission by sections 7 and 15 of the Natural Gas Act and the Commission's rules of practice and procedure, a hearing will be held without further notice before the Commission on this application if no protest or petition to intervene is filed within the time required herein, if the Commission on its own review of the matter finds that permission and approval for the proposed abandonment is required by the public convenience and necessity. If a protest or petition for leave to intervene is timely filed, or if the Commission on its own motion believes that a formal hearing is required, further notice of such hearing will be duly given.

Under the procedure herein provided for, unless otherwise advised, it will be unnecessary for Applicant to appear or be represented at the hearing.

GORDON M. GRANT,
Secretary.

[F.R. Doc. 68-1331; Filed, Feb. 2, 1968; 8:45 a.m.]

[Docket No. CP68-202]

TENNESSEE GAS PIPELINE CO.

Notice of Application

JANUARY 29, 1968.

Take notice that on January 18, 1967, Tennessee Gas Pipeline Co., a division of Tenneco, Inc. (Applicant), Post Office Box 2511, Houston, Tex. 77001, filed in Docket No. CP68-202 an application pursuant to section 7(c) of the Natural Gas Act for a certificate of public convenience and necessity authorizing the construction and operation of an additional delivery point for one of its existing customers, all as more fully set forth in the application which is on file with the Commission and open to public inspection.

Applicant states that one of its existing customers, Cabot Corp., has informed Applicant of its desire to sell a portion of its present contract demand quantity to Cumberland Gas Co. (Cumberland) on a short term basis in order to enable Cumberland to make short term sales. Cumberland is also an existing customer of Applicant to whom gas is delivered by Applicant through the Salt Rock Sales Meter Station, Cabell County, W. Va.

In order to effectuate the aforesaid arrangement, Cabot and Cumberland have requested that the Salt Rock Sales Meter Station be established as a delivery point to Cabot as well as a delivery point to Cumberland. Therefore, at the request of Cabot and Cumberland, Applicant has entered into an agreement which provides for the necessary modifications to establish the aforementioned meter station as a delivery point to both Cabot and Cumberland. Applicant requests authorization to make certain alterations to the aforementioned meter station and to operate such station as a delivery point to both Cabot and Cumberland.

The total estimated cost of the proposed construction is $18,300, which cost will be reimbursed by Cabot and Cumberland.

Protests or petitions to intervene may be filed with the Federal Power Commission, Washington, D.C. 20426, in accordance with the rules of practice and procedure (18 CFR 1.8 or 1.10) and the regulations under the Natural Gas Act (§ 157.10) on or before February 23, 1968.

Take further notice that, pursuant to the authority contained in and subject to the jurisdiction conferred upon the Federal Power Commission by sections 7 and 15 of the Natural Gas Act and the Commission's rules of practice and procedure, a hearing will be held without further notice before the Commission on this application if no protest or petition to intervene is filed within the time required herein, if the Commission on its own review of the matter finds that a grant of the certificate is required by the public convenience and necessity. If a protest or petition for leave to intervene is timely filed, or if the Commission on its own motion believes that a formal hearing is required, further notice of such hearing will be duly given.

Under the procedure herein provided for, unless otherwise advised, it will be unnecessary for Applicant to appear or be represented at the hearing.

GORDON M. GRANT,
Secretary.

[F.R. Doc. 68-1332; Filed, Feb. 2, 1968; 8:46 a.m.]

[Docket No. CP68-201]

UNITED FUEL GAS CO.

Notice of Application

JANUARY 29, 1968.

Take notice that on January 18, 1968, United Fuel Gas Co. (Applicant), Post Office Box 1273, Charleston, W. Va. 25325, filed in Docket No. CP68-201 an application pursuant to section 7(b) of the Natural Gas Act to abandon the operation of approximately 3.5 miles of 10-inch natural gas pipeline leased from Cabot Corp. (Cabot), all as more fully set forth in the application which is on file with the Commission and open to public inspection.

Applicant proposes to abandon the operation of approximately 3.5 miles of 10-inch natural gas pipeline leased from Cabot in Putnam and Kanawha Counties, W. Va. Applicant was authorized to operate the subject pipeline by order issued January 29, 1963, in Docket No. G-13372, 29 FPC 168. The lease agreement for the subject pipeline included provisions for the exchange of gas between Applicant and Cabot in connection with Cabot's utilization of a storage field and imposed certain pressure limitations on the exchange gas which Applicant was required to redeliver to Cabot. The application states that Cabot's operations now require more flexibility than is possible under such pressure limitations and that the parties have therefore canceled the lease agreement. Applicant states that it has made an interconnection on its own pipeline system which will enable Applicant to operate without the lease facility.

Protests or petitions to intervene may be filed with the Federal Power Commission, Washington, D.C. 20426, in accordance with the rules of practice and procedure (18 CFR 1.8 or 1.10) and the regulations under the Natural Gas Act (§ 157.10) on or before February 23, 1968.

Take further notice that, pursuant to the authority contained in and subject to the jurisdiction conferred upon the Federal Power Commission by sections 7 and 15 of the Natural Gas Act and the Commission's rules of practice and procedure, a hearing will be held without further notice before the Commission on this application if no protest or petition to intervene is filed within the time required herein, if the Commission on its own review of the matter finds that permission and approval for the proposed abandonment is required by the public convenience and necessity. If a protest or petition for leave to intervene is timely filed, or if the Commission on its own motion believes that a formal hearing is required, further notice of such hearing will be duly given.

Under the procedure herein provided for, unless otherwise advised, it will be unnecessary for Applicant to appear or be represented at the hearing.

GORDON M. GRANT,
Secretary.

[F.R. Doc. 68-1333; Filed, Feb. 2, 1968; 8:46 a.m.]

FOREIGN-TRADE ZONES BOARD

[Order 75]

HONOLULU, HAWAII

Expansion of Area of Foreign-Trade Zone

Pursuant to the authority granted in the Foreign-Trade Zones Act of June 18, 1934, as amended (48 Stat. 998-1003; 19 U.S.C. 81a-81u), the Foreign-Trade Zones Board has adopted the following order which is promulgated for the information and guidance of all concerned:

Whereas, Foreign-Trade Zone No. 9 presently occupies an area of approximately 82,571 square feet on Pier 39 at Honolulu, Hawaii.

Whereas, the State of Hawaii, Grantee of Foreign-Trade Zone No. 9, filed with the Foreign-Trade Zones Board on November 27, 1967, an application to expand the zone to include an additional area containing approximately 23,060 square feet of covered space on Pier 39 contiguous to the primary zone.

Whereas, the Foreign-Trade Zones Board finds that the primary zone will not serve adequately the convenience of commerce by providing the necessary covered space to carry out business operations within the zone.

Now, therefore, the Foreign-Trade Zones Board, after consideration and a finding that the proposal is in the public interest, hereby orders:

That the boundaries of Foreign-Trade Zone No. 9 be, and they are hereby extended to conform with exhibits Nos. 1-13 filed with the Board, which provides for an expansion of 23,060 square feet: *Provided*, That the provisions for protection of the revenue and physical security meet the requirements of the Bureau of Customs and the U.S. Army District Engineer.

It is found that the compliance with the notice, public rule making procedure, and effective date requirements of Administrative Procedure (5 U.S.C. 553) is unnecessary in connection with the issuance of this order, because its application is restricted to one foreign-trade zone, and is of such a nature that it imposes no burden on the parties of interest. The effective date is, therefore, upon publication in the FEDERAL REGISTER.

Signed at Washington, D.C., this 29th day of January 1968.

[SEAL] HOWARD J. SAMUELS,
Acting Secretary of Commerce, Chairman and Executive Officer, Foreign-Trade Zones Board.

Attest:

RICHARD H. LAKE,
Executive Secretary, Foreign-Trade Zones Board.

[F.R. Doc. 68-1350; Filed, Feb. 2, 1968; 8:47 a.m.]

SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION

[File No. 811-1543]

AMERICAN ENTERPRISE DEVELOPMENT CORP.

Certificate

JANUARY 26, 1968.

American Enterprise Development Corp. ("AED"), 200 Berkeley Street, Boston, Mass., a closed-end, nondiversified management investment company, organized on September 29, 1967, and registered under the Investment Company Act of 1940 ("Act") has filed an application for an order of this Commission certifying to the Secretary of the Treasury, pursuant to section 851(e) of the Internal Revenue Code of 1954 ("Code"), that AED is principally engaged in the furnishing of capital to other corporations which are principally engaged in the development or exploitation of inventions, technological improvements, new processes or products not previously generally available ("development corporations").

AED proposes to qualify as a "regulated investment company" under section 851(a) of the Code for the fiscal year ending December 31, 1967. The certification requested is a prerequisite to qualification by American Research as a "regulated investment company" under section 851(a) of the Code, pursuant to the provisions of section 851(e) thereof.

The following table shows the composition of the total assets of AED for the calendar quarters ending September 30, 1967.

Assets (at market value):	
Investments representing capital furnished to corporations believed to be principally engaged in the development or exploitation of inventions, new processes or products not previously generally available	$5,399,299
Other Investments	133,596
Total investments	5,532,895
Cash awaiting permanent investment or temporarily invested in corporate short-term notes	4,261,990
Other Assets	207,086
Total Assets	10,001,971

AED is a wholly owned subsidiary of American Research and Development Corp. ("American Research"), a closed-end nondiversified management investment company registered under the Act.

AED has submitted in support of its application, which incorporates by reference similar applications made by American Research in 1955 and subsequent years, a detailed description of each of the companies whose securities are held in its portfolio and which it alleges to be development corporations.

On the basis of an examination of the reports and information filed by AED and American Research with the Commission pursuant to the provisions of the Investment Company Act and rules and regulations promulgated thereunder, as well as the data and information set forth in American Research's applications for certificates pursuant to section 851(e) of the Code filed in previous years and in the instant application, it appears to the Commission that AED is principally engaged in the furnishing of capital to other corporations which are principally engaged in the development or exploitation of inventions, technological improvements, new processes or products not previously generally available within the intent of section 851(e) of the Code.

It is therefore certified to the Secretary of the Treasury, or his delegate, pursuant to section 851(e) of the Code, that American Enterprise Development Corp., a closed-end, nondiversified management investment company registered under the Investment Company Act of 1940, is principally engaged in the furnishing of capital to other corporations which are principally engaged in the development or exploitation of inventions, technological improvements, new processes or products not previously generally available.

By the Commission.

[SEAL] ORVAL L. DUBOIS,
Secretary.

[F.R. Doc. 68-1340; Filed, Feb. 2, 1968; 8:46 a.m.]

[File No. 811-517]

AMERICAN RESEARCH AND DEVELOPMENT CORP.

Certificate

JANUARY 26, 1968.

American Research and Development Corp. ("American Research"), 200 Berkeley Street, Boston, Mass., a closed-end, nondiversified management investment company, registered under the Investment Company Act of 1940 ("Act") has filed an application for an order to this Commission certifying to the Secretary of the Treasury, pursuant to section 851(e) of the Internal Revenue Code of 1954 ("Code"), that American Research is principally engaged in the furnishing of capital to other corporations which are principally engaged in the development or exploitation of inventions, technological improvements, new processes or products not previously generally available ("development corporations").

American Research proposes to qualify as a "regulated investment company" under section 851(a) of the Code for the fiscal year ending December 31, 1967. The certification requested is a prerequisite to qualification by American Research as a "regulated investment company" under section 851(a) of the Code, pursuant to the provisions of section 851(e) thereof.

The following table shows the composition of the total assets of American Research as of each of the calendar quarters ended March 31, 1967, June 30, 1967, and September 30, 1967:

Assets (at market value)	Mar. 31, 1967	June 30, 1967	Sept. 30, 1967
Investments representing capital furnished to corporations believed to be principally engaged in the development or exploitation of inventions, new processes, or products not previously generally available	$151,632,862	$181,076,290	$251,122,856
Investments in corporations whose status has not yet been determined for the purposes of section 851(e) of the Code	966,525	966,525	10,968,496
Other investments	926,596	1,268,955	1,186,076
Total investments	153,525,983	183,311,770	263,277,428
Cash awaiting permanent investment or temporarily invested in corporate short term notes	6,357,763	5,630,519	725,433
Other assets	238,530	324,394	107,851
Total assets	160,122,276	189,266,683	264,110,712

American Research has submitted in support of its application, which incorporates by reference similar applications made by American Research in 1955 and subsequent years, a detailed description of each of the companies whose securities are held in its portfolio and which it alleges to be development corporations.

On the basis of an examination of the reports and information filed by American Research with the Commission pursuant to the provisions of the Investment Company Act and rules and regulations promulgated thereunder, as well as the data and information set forth in American Research's applications for certificates pursuant to section 851(e) of the Code filed in previous years and in the instant application, it appears to the Commission that American Research is principally engaged in the furnishing of capital to other corporations which are principally engaged in the development or exploitation of inventions, technological improvements, new processes or products not previously generally available within the intent of section 851(e) of the Code.

It is therefore certified to the Secretary of the Treasury, or his delegate, pursuant to section 851(e) of the Code, that American Research and Development Corp., a closed-end, nondiversified management investment company registered under the Investment Company Act of 1940, is principally engaged in the furnishing of capital to other corporations which are principally engaged in the development or exploitation of inventions, technological improvements, new processes or products not previously generally available.

By the Commission.

[SEAL] ORVAL L. DUBOIS, *Secretary*.

[F.R. Doc. 68-1341; Filed, Feb. 2, 1968; 8:46 a.m.]

CODITRON CORP.

Order Suspending Trading

JANUARY 30, 1968.

It appearing to the Securities and Exchange Commission that the summary suspension of trading in the common stock, $3 par value, of Coditron Corp., New York, N.Y., being traded otherwise than on a national securities exchange is required in the public interest and for the protection of investors:

It is ordered, Pursuant to section 15(c)(5) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, that trading in such securities otherwise than on a national securities exchange be summarily suspended, this order to be effective for the period January 31, 1968 through February 9, 1968, both dates inclusive.

By the Commission.

[SEAL] ORVAL L. DUBOIS, *Secretary*.

[F.R. Doc. 68-1342; Filed, Feb. 2, 1968; 8:46 a.m.]

[File No. 1-3629]

KASHMIR OIL, INC.

Order Suspending Trading

JANUARY 30, 1968.

It appearing to the Securities and Exchange Commission that the summary suspension of trading in the common stock of Kashmir Oil, Inc. being traded otherwise than on a national securities exchange is required in the public interest and for the protection of investors:

It is ordered, Pursuant to section 15(c)(5) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, that trading in such securities otherwise than on a national securities exchange be summarily suspended, this order to be effective for the period January 31, 1968 through February 9, 1968, both dates inclusive.

By the Commission.

[SEAL] ORVAL L. DUBOIS, *Secretary*.

[F.R. Doc. 68-1343; Filed, Feb. 2, 1968; 8:46 a.m.]

LEEDS SHOES, INC.

Order Suspending Trading

JANUARY 30, 1968.

It appearing to the Securities and Exchange Commission that the summary suspension of trading in the common stock of Leeds Shoes, Inc., and all other securities of Leeds Shoes, Inc., Tampa, Fla., being traded otherwise than on a national securities exchange is required in the public interest and for the protection of investors:

It is ordered, Pursuant to section 15(c)(5) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, that trading in such securities otherwise than on a national securities exchange be summarily suspended, this order to be effective for the period January 31, 1968 through February 9, 1968, both dates inclusive.

By the Commission.

[SEAL] ORVAL L. DUBOIS, *Secretary*.

[F.R. Doc. 68-1344; Filed, Feb. 2, 1968; 8:47 a.m.]

[812-2252]

RCA INTERNATIONAL DEVELOPMENT CORP.

Notice of Filing of Application for Order Exempting Company

JANUARY 30, 1968.

Notice is hereby given that RCA International Development Corp. ("Applicant"), c/o Charles F. Detmar, Jr., Esq., Cahill, Gordon, Sonnett, Reindel and Ohl, 80 Pine Street, New York, N.Y. 10005, a Delaware corporation has filed an application pursuant to section 6(c) of the Investment Company Act of 1940 ("Act") for an order exempting it from all provisions of the Act. All interested persons are referred to the application on file with the Commission for a statement of the representations made therein, which are summarized below.

Radio Corporation of America ("RCA") has acquired for $1,000 cash all of the 1,000 shares of common stock, $1 par value per share authorized by the Applicant which was incorporated under the laws of the State of Delaware on January 15, 1968.

At or about the time of the issuance of the Debentures described below, RCA will contribute to the Applicant all of the outstanding capital stock of RCA Victor Co., Ltd., a Canadian corporation which is RCA's principal foreign subsidiary and is engaged in the manufacture, distribution and research of electronic products and related activities. The book value of this stock is approximately $33,500,000 and the estimated market value of this stock is in excess of $10 million. Additional contributions to the capital of the Applicant may be made by RCA in the future. RCA or one of its wholly owned subsidiaries will purchase any additional equity securities which the Applicant may issue in the future and RCA and its wholly owned subsidiaries will not dispose of any equity securities of the Applicant except to the Applicant, to RCA or to another wholly owned subsidiary of RCA.

RCA, a Delaware corporation is engaged directly and through subsidiaries in many activities including activities relating to the manufacture and sale of electronic products, the operation of television and radio broadcasting networks and stations and the providing of international and marine communications services. Applicant has been organized in order to raise funds abroad for use in financing the requirements of RCA's expanding foreign operations in a manner which will not adversely affect the U.S. balance of payments, in connection with the Government's program.

Applicant intends to issue and sell $50 million of its Guaranteed Sinking Fund Debentures Due 1988 ("Debentures") to a group of underwriters for offering outside of the United States. The Debentures will be convertible into shares of Common Stock of RCA at any time on or after May 1, 1969, at a conversion price to be determined prior to the public offering of the Debentures. RCA will unconditionally guarantee the principal and interest payments on the Debentures. Any additional debt securities of Applicant which may be issued to or held by the public will be guaranteed by RCA in the same manner as the Debentures.

All investments and loans of Applicant's funds will be made in or to companies, primarily engaged in a business outside the United States other than the business of investing, reinvesting, or trading in securities, and with respect to which RCA or Applicant owns directly or indirectly 10 percent or more of the voting stock. Applicant will proceed as expeditiously as practicable with the long-term investment of its funds, but Applicant may make short-term deposits of such funds in foreign banks or foreign branches of U.S. banks, may make temporary investments of such funds in short-term obligations outside the United States, and may maintain working balances in U.S. banks. Applicant will not acquire the securities representing its loans or investments for the purpose of resale and will not trade in such securities.

By financing its foreign operations through Applicant rather than through the sale of its own debt obligations, RCA will utilize an instrumentality, the acquisition of whose debt obligations by U.S. persons would, generally, subject such persons to the interest equalization tax, thus discouraging them from purchasing such debt obligations.

Agreements regarding the distribution of the Debentures will contain restrictions against offers or sales of the Debentures in the United States, its territories or possessions or to citizens, nationals, or residents of the United States. Any future debt securities of Applicant which are sold to the public will be sold under substantially similar conditions.

Applicant intends to apply for listing of the Debentures on the New York Stock Exchange and the Luxembourg Stock

Exchange and to register the Debentures under the Securities Exchange Act of 1934.

Section 6(c) of the Act provides that the Commission, by order upon application, may conditionally or unconditionally exempt any person, security or transaction from any provision or provisions of the Act, if and to the extent that such exemption is necessary or appropriate in the public interest and consistent with the protection of investors and the purposes fairly intended by the policy and provisions of the Act.

Applicant submits that it is entitled to an order exempting it from all provisions of the Act for the following reasons:

(1) A principal purpose of Applicant is to assist in improving the balance of payments program of the United States by serving as a vehicle through which RCA may obtain funds in foreign countries for its foreign operations;

(2) Applicant will not deal or trade in securities;

(3) The public policy underlying the Act is not applicable to Applicant and the security holders of Applicant do not require the protection of the Act because the payment of the Debentures, which will be guaranteed by RCA, does not depend on the operations or investment policy of Applicant; thus the Debentureholders may ultimately look to the business enterprise of RCA rather than solely to that of Applicant;

(4) None of the equity securities of Applicant will be held by any person other than RCA or a wholly owned subsidiary of RCA;

(5) The Debentures will be offered and sold abroad to foreign nationals under circumstances designed to prevent any reoffering or resale in the United States, its territories or possessions or to any United States national, citizen, or resident in connection with such offering;

(6) The burden of the Interest Equalization Tax will tend to discourage purchase of the Debentures by any U.S. person; and

(7) Applicant's security holders will have the benefit of the disclosure and reporting provisions of the New York Stock Exchange and the Luxembourg Stock Exchange and of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934.

Notice is further given that any interested person may, not later than February 9, 1968, at 5:30 p.m., submit to the Commission in writing a request for a hearing on the matter accompanied by a statement as to the nature of his interest, the reason for such request and the issues of fact or law proposed to be controverted, or he may request that he be notified if the Commission shall order a hearing thereon. Any such communication should be addressed: Secretary, Securities and Exchange Commission, Washington, D.C. 20549. A copy of such request shall be served personally or by mail (air mail if the person being served is located more than 500 miles from the point of mailing) upon Applicant at the address stated above. Proof of such service (by affidavit or in case of an attorney at law by certificate) shall be filed contemporaneously with the request. At any time after said date, as provided by Rule 0–5 of the rules and regulations promulgated under the Act, an order disposing of the application herein may be issued by the Commission upon the basis of the information stated in said application, unless an order for hearing upon said application shall be issued upon request or upon the Commission's own motion. Persons who request a hearing or advice as to whether a hearing is ordered will receive notice of further developments in this matter, including the date of the hearing (if ordered) and any postponements thereof.

For the Commission (pursuant to delegated authority).

[SEAL] ORVAL L. DUBOIS, *Secretary.*

[F.R. Doc. 68-1345; Filed, Feb. 2, 1968; 8:47 a.m.]

INTERSTATE COMMERCE COMMISSION

[Section 5a Application 97]

ASSOCIATION OF AMERICAN RAILROADS

Per Diem and Mileage Rates of Compensation for Use of Trailers and Containers; Agreement

JANUARY 31, 1968.

The Commission is in receipt of the above-entitled and numbered application for approval of an agreement under the provision of section 5a of the Interstate Commerce Act.

Filed January 19, 1968, by Carl V. Lyon, 929 Transportation Building, Washington, D.C. 20006.

Agreement involves: Agreement between and among common carriers by railroad, governing the organizations and procedures for the joint consideration, initiation or establishment, and adjustment from time to time, of per diem or mileage rates of compensation payable among or between subscribers for the use of trailers or containers used in trailer-on-freight-car service and rules and regulations pertaining to such rates.

The complete application may be inspected at the Office of the Commission in Washington, D.C.

Any interested person desiring to protest and participate in this proceeding shall notify the Commission in writing within 20 days from the date of this notice. As provided by the general rules of practice of the Commission, persons other than applicants should fully disclose their interest, and the position they intend to take with respect to the application. Otherwise, the Commission, in its discretion, may proceed to investigate and determine the matters involved without public hearing.

[SEAL] H. NEIL GARSON, *Secretary.*

[F.R. Doc. 68-1352; Filed, Feb. 2, 1968; 8:47 a.m.]

FOURTH SECTION APPLICATIONS FOR RELIEF

JANUARY 31, 1968.

Protests to the granting of an application must be prepared in accordance with Rule 1100.40 of the general rules of practice (49 CFR 1100.40) and filed within 15 days from the date of publication of this notice in the FEDERAL REGISTER.

LONG-AND-SHORT HAUL

FSA No. 41219—*Iron or steel articles to Jeannetta, Tex.* Filed by Southwestern Freight Bureau, agent (No. B-9043), for interested rail carriers. Rates on iron or steel articles, in carloads, from points in official, southern and western trunk-line territories, to Jeannetta, Tex.

Grounds for relief—Market competition.

Tariff—Supplement 30 to Southwestern Freight Bureau, agent, tariff ICC 4753.

FSA No. 41220—*Iron or steel plate to Gulfport, Miss.* Filed by Southwestern Freight Bureau, agent (No. B-9044), for interested rail carriers. Rates on iron or steel plate, in carloads, from Galveston, Houston, and Hudson, Tex., to Gulfport, Miss.

Grounds for relief—Market competition.

Tariff—Supplement 30 to Southwestern Freight Bureau, agent tariff ICC 4753.

By the Commission.

[SEAL] H. NEIL GARSON, *Secretary.*

[F.R. Doc. 68-1353; Filed, Feb. 2, 1968; 8:47 a.m.]

[Notice 539]

MOTOR CARRIER TEMPORARY AUTHORITY APPLICATIONS

JANUARY 31, 1968.

The following are notices of filing of applications for temporary authority under section 210a(a) of the Interstate Commerce Act provided for under the new rules of Ex Parte No. MC 67 (49 CFR Part 340), published in the FEDERAL REGISTER, issue of April 27, 1965, effective July 1, 1965. These rules provide that protests to the granting of an application must be filed with the field official named in the FEDERAL REGISTER publication, within 15 calendar days after the date of notice of the filing of the application is published in the FEDERAL REGISTER. One copy of such protest must be served on the applicant, or its authorized representative, if any, and the protests must certify that such service has been made. The protests must be specific as to the service which such protestant can and will offer, and must consist of a signed original and six copies.

A copy of the application is on file, and can be examined at the Office of the Secretary, Interstate Commerce Commission, Washington, D.C., and also in the field office to which protests are to be transmitted.

MOTOR CARRIERS OF PROPERTY

No. MC 36556 (Sub-No. 12 TA), filed January 26, 1968. Applicant: HOWARD E. BLACKMON, doing business as HOWARD BLACKMON TRUCK SERVICE, Post Office Box 186, Somers, Wis. 53171. Applicant's representative: Earle Munge, Schwartz Building, 520 58th Street, Kenosha, Wis. 53140. Authority sought to operate as a *common carrier*, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: *Animal and poultry feed*, from plantsites of the Ralston Purina Co., in Fond du Lac County, Wis., to points in Lake County, Ill., for 150 days. Supporting shipper: Ralston Purina Co., Chow Division, Post Office Box 389, Fond du Lac, Wis. 54935 (Ron Braun, Traffic Manager). Send protests to: District Supervisor Lyle D. Helfer, Interstate Commerce Commission, Bureau of Operations, 135 West Wells Street, Room 807, Milwaukee, Wis. 52303.

No. MC 105782 (Sub-No. 5 TA), filed January 24, 1968. Applicant: W. W. HUGHES, doing business as HUGHES REFRIGERATED EXPRESS, 2208 Byberry Road, Cornwells Heights, Pa. 19020. Authority sought to operate as a *common carrier*, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: (1) *Frozen fruits, frozen berries, and frozen vegetables*, between points in Pennsylvania; from points in Tennessee, to points in New York, with no transportation for compensation on return except as otherwise authorized; from points in Delaware, Michigan, and New York, to points in Pennsylvania, with no transportation for compensation on return except as otherwise authorized; from points in Michigan, to New York, N.Y., and Washington, D.C., with no transportation for compensation on return except as otherwise authorized; from points in New York, to Baltimore, Md.; Washington, D.C.; and Camden, N.J.; with no transportation for compensation on return except as otherwise authorized: *Frozen fruits, frozen berries, and frozen vegetables*, and, when moving in the same vehicle and at the same time with frozen fruits, frozen berries, frozen vegetables, or bananas, *seafood and seafood products* the transportation of which is exempt from economic regulation under the Interstate Commerce Act, from points in Pennsylvania, to Denver, Colo.; Milton, Del.; Washington, D.C.; Chicago, Ill.; Detroit, Mich.; and Providence, R.I.; and to points in Connecticut, Maryland, Massachusetts, New Jersey, New York, Ohio, Virginia, and points in that part of West Virginia on and west of U.S. Highway 119, with no transportation for compensation on return except as otherwise authorized.

Bananas, from Philadelphia, Pa., to Chicago, Ill.; Boston, Mass.; Cleveland, Ohio; and Utica, N.Y.; with no transportation for compensation on return except as otherwise authorized. *Frozen foods, and fresh, cold-packed, and partially frozen fruits, berries, and vegetables* when transported in the same vehicle and at the same time with the preceding commodities, between Philadelphia and Doylestown, Pa.; Camden, Beach Haven, and Brant Beach, N.J.; and Crisfield, Md.; between Crisfield, Md., on the one hand, and, on the other, points in New Jersey, New York, and Pennsylvania; between Philadelphia and Doylestown, Pa.; Camden, Beach Haven, and Brant Beach, N.J.; on the one hand, and, on the other, points in Connecticut, Delaware, Florida, Georgia, Illinois, Indiana, Kentucky, Maine, Maryland, Massachusetts, Michigan, Missouri, New Jersey, New York, North Carolina, Ohio, Pennsylvania, Rhode Island, South Carolina, Virginia, Tennessee, Wisconsin, and the District of Columbia: *Frozen fruits and frozen fruit purees, and fresh, cold-packed, and partially frozen fruits, berries, and vegetables* when transported in the same vehicle and at the same time with the preceding commodities, from Cincinnati, Ohio, and points in that part of Kentucky and Tennessee on and west of a line beginning at Louisville, Ky., and extending along U.S. Highway 31E to junction U.S. Highway 31, and thence along U.S. Highway 31 to the Tennessee-Alabama State line, to points in Massachusetts, Connecticut, Rhode Island, New York, New Jersey, Pennsylvania, Ohio, Maryland, Virginia, and the District of Columbia, with no transportation for compensation on return except as otherwise authorized; from points in Arkansas, to points in New York, New Jersey, and Pennsylvania, with no transportation for compensation on return except as otherwise authorized.

Frozen fruits, and fresh, cold-packed, and partially frozen fruits, berries, and vegetables when transported in the same vehicle and at the same time with the preceding commodities, from Chicago, Ill.; Lafayette, Ind.; and points in the Lower Peninsula of Michigan, to points in Massachusetts, Connecticut, New York, New Jersey, Pennsylvania, Maryland, Virginia, and the District of Columbia, with no transportation for compensation on return except as otherwise authorized, from Erie, North East, Greencastle, and Hanover, Pa., to New York, N.Y.; and Newark, Woodbridge, and Haddonfield, N.J.; with no transportation for compensation on return except as otherwise authorized, from points in that part of New York on and west of a line beginning at Oswego, N.Y., and extending along U.S. Highway 104 to junction New York Highway 38, thence south along New York Highway 38 to Auburn, N.Y., thence west along U.S. Highway 20 to Geneva, N.Y., and thence south along New York Highway 14 to the New York-Pennsylvania State line, to New York, N.Y., and points in Pennsylvania and New Jersey, with no transportation for compensation on return except as otherwise authorized.

Frozen vegetables, and fresh, cold-packed, and partially frozen fruits, berries, and vegetables when transported in the same vehicle and at the same time with the preceding commodities, from Erie, North East, Greencastle, Hanover, and Biglerville, Pa., to New York, N.Y.; and Newark and Haddonfield, N.J.; with no transportation for compensation on return except as otherwise authorized, from points in that part of New York on and west of a line beginning at Oswego, N.Y., and extending along U.S. Highway 104 to junction New York Highway 38, thence south along New York Highway 38 to Auburn, N.Y., thence west along U.S. Highway 20 to Geneva, N.Y., and thence south along New York Highway 14 to the New York-Pennsylvania State line to New York, N.Y., and points in Pennsylvania, New Jersey, and the District of Columbia, with no transportation for compensation on return except as otherwise authorized, from Hanover, Pa., to Landover and Baltimore, Md., and points in New Jersey and the District of Columbia, with no transportation for compensation on return except as otherwise authorized, from Bay City, Mich., to points in New Jersey, Pennsylvania, New York, Connecticut, Massachusetts, Maryland, Virginia, and the District of Columbia, with no transportation for compensation on return except as otherwise authorized, from Rockland and Camden, Maine, to New York, N.Y.; Haddonfield and Newark, N.J.; and points in Pennsylvania, with no transportation for compensation on return except as otherwise authorized, from Southhampton, Pa., to Portland, Maine; Watertown and Springfield, Mass.; Providence, R.I.; New London and New Haven, Conn.; Ossining, Milton, New York, White Plains, Newburgh, Syracuse, Rochester, and Albany, N.Y.; Camden, Newark, Jersey City, and Bayonne, N.J.; Baltimore, Md.; Washington, D.C.; Richmond and Norfolk, Va.; Jacksonville, Fla.; Chicago, Ill.; Detroit, Mich.; and Kansas City, Mo.; with no transportation for compensation on return except as otherwise authorized.

Frozen strawberries, and fresh, cold-packed, and partially frozen fruits, berries, and vegetables when transported in the same vehicle and at the same time with the preceding commodities, from Plant City, Fla., to Paterson and Newark, N.Y.; and New York, Utica, and Nyack, N.Y.; with no transportation for compensation on return except as otherwise authorized: *Frozen peaches, and fresh, cold-packed, and partially frozen fruits, berries, and vegetables* when transported in the same vehicle and at the same time with the preceding commodities, from Fort Valley and Montezuma, Ga.; Spartanburg, S.C.; and Hamlin, N.C.; to Paterson and Newark, N.J.; and New York, Utica, and Nyack, N.Y.; with no transportation for compensation on return except as otherwise authorized: *Frozen apples, and fresh, cold-packed, and partially frozen fruits, berries, and vegetables* when transported in the same vehicle and at the same time with the preceding commodities, from Winchester, Va., to Paterson and Newark, N.J.; and New York, Utica and Nyack, N.Y.; with no transportation for compensation on return except as otherwise authorized, from Crozet, Va., to Paterson and Newark, N.J.; New York, Utica, and Nyack, N.Y.; and Boston and Brockton, Mass.; with no transportation for compensation on return except as otherwise authorized.

Frozen fruit purees, and fresh, cold-packed, and partially frozen fruits, berries, and vegetables when transported in the same vehicle and at the same time with the preceding commodities, from Baltimore, Md., to Paterson and Newark, N.J.; and New York, Utica, and Nyack, N.Y.; with no transportation for compensation on return except as otherwise authorized: *Frozen fruits, frozen vegetables, frozen purees, and mincemeat, and fresh, cold-packed, and partially frozen fruits, berries, and vegetables* when transported in the same vehicle and at the same time with the preceding commodities, from Haddonfield and Gloucester, N.J., to Chicago, Ill.; Miami and Jacksonville, Fla.; Wilmington, Del.; Portland, Maine; Charlotte, N.C.; Atlanta, Ga.; Kansas City and St. Louis, Mo.; and points in Maryland, Virginia, Ohio, Pennsylvania, New York, Connecticut, Massachusetts, and the District of Columbia, with no transportation for compensation on return except as otherwise authorized, from Lemoyne, Pa., to points in New Jersey; and Haddonfield and Gloucester, N.J.; Chicago, Ill.; Miami and Jacksonville, Fla.; Wilmington, Del.; Portland, Maine; Charlotte, N.C.; Atlanta, Ga.; Kansas City and St. Louis, Mo.; and points in Maryland, Virginia, Ohio, New York, Connecticut, Massachusetts, and the District of Columbia, with no transportation for compensation on return except as otherwise authorized.

Frozen fruits, frozen vegetables, and frozen fruit purees, and fresh, cold-packed, and partially frozen fruits, berries, and vegetables when transported in the same vehicle and at the same time with the preceding commodities, from Braddock, N.J., to Miami and Jacksonville, Fla.; Knoxville, Tenn.; Winston-Salem and Charlotte, N.C.; Atlanta, Ga.; Richmond and Charlottesville, Va.; Landover and Baltimore, Md.; Columbus, Martins Ferry, Cleveland, and Akron, Ohio; Uniontown, Washington, and Pittsburgh, Pa.; New York, Rochester, and Schenectady, N.Y.; and Cambridge, Boston, and Worcester, Mass.; and the District of Columbia; with no transportation for compensation on return except as otherwise authorized: *Frozen meat pies, frozen chicken pies, frozen fish pies, and frozen chicken croquettes, and fresh, cold-pack, and partially frozen fruits, berries, and vegetables* when transported in the same vehicle and at the same time with the preceding commodities, from Plumsteadville, Pa., to Baltimore, Salisbury, and Landover, Md.; Milford and Wilmington, Del.; Cleveland and Youngstown, Ohio; Providence, R.I.; and points in New Jersey, New York, Connecticut, Massachusetts, and the District of Columbia, with no transportation for compensation on return except as otherwise authorized: *Frozen berries, and fresh, cold-packed, and partially frozen fruits, berries, and vegetables* when transported in the same vehicle and at the same time with the preceding commodities, from points in the Lower Peninsula of Michigan, to points in Massachusetts, Connecticut, New York, New Jersey, Pennsylvania, Maryland, Virginia, and the District of Columbia, with no transportation for compensation on return except as otherwise authorized.

Frozen hors d'oeuvres, and frozen pastry products, and fresh, cold-packed, and partially frozen fruits, berries, and vegetables when transported in the same vehicle and at the same time with the preceding commodities, from Jersey City, N.J., to Boston, Cambridge, and Worcester, Mass.; New Haven, Wallingford, Bridgeport, and Norfolk, Conn.; Buffalo, Rochester, Syracuse, Albany, Elmira, Troy, and West Point, N.Y.; Harrisburg, Philadelphia, Pittsburgh, Carnegie, Easton, and Wilkes-Barre, Pa.; Baltimore, Md.; Washington, D.C.; Miami and Tampa, Fla.; Cleveland and Cincinnati, Ohio; Detroit, Mich.; Chicago, Ill.; South Bend, Ind.; and Milwaukee, Wis.; with no transportation for compensation on return except as otherwise authorized: *Frozen potato products, and fresh, cold-packed, and partially frozen fruits, berries, and vegetables* when transported in the same vehicle and at the same time with the preceding commodities, from Philadelphia, Pa., to Providence, R.I.; Miami, Fla.; Cleveland, Ohio; Buffalo, N.Y.; Detroit, Mich.; Syracuse, N.Y.; Indianapolis, South Bend, and Fort Wayne, Ind.; and St. Louis, Mo.; with no transportation for compensation on return except as otherwise authorized: (2) *Fresh, cold-packed, partially frozen, and frozen foods;* between points in Pennsylvania: (3) *Frozen foods;* between points in Maine, Watertown, Chicopee, and Laurence, Mass.; Portsmouth, N.H.; New York commercial zone, N.Y.; Jersey City, Palmyra, Burlington and South Hackensack, N.J.; on the one hand and on the other points and places within New York, New Jersey, Pennsylvania, Delaware, Maryland, Virginia, West Virginia, Ohio, and District of Columbia. NOTE: Traffic between points and places within New Jersey is interstate or foreign commerce.

(4) *Frozen foods,* from Philadelphia, Pa., to Manchester and Portsmouth, N.H.: (5) *Fresh, cold-packed, partially frozen, and frozen foods,* between points in New Jersey, transporting interstate or foreign commerce only: (6) L.T.L. shipments of *seafood commodities* (exempt), when combined on the same truck at the same time with nonexempt commodities; from other origin points; from points in Cape May County, N.J., to Palmyra, and Burlington, N.J.; New York, N.Y.; Boston, Mass.; and Portland, Mo. NOTE: Palmyra or Burlington, N.J., are used only when this traffic has to be transferred to another vehicle, originating at other points, that is already partially loaded for the same destination territory or beyond: (7) *Exempt commodities;* when transported on the same vehicle, and at the same time with nonexempt commodities; between the points and/or territories requested in (1), (2), (3), (4), (5), and (6) above, for 150 days. Supporting shippers: The application is accompanied by an affidavit from applicant, which may be examined here at the offices of the Interstate Commerce Commission in Washington, D.C., or at the field office named below. Send protests to: Francis W. Doyle, District Supervisor, Interstate Commerce Commission, 900 U.S. Customhouse, Second and Chestnut Streets, Philadelphia, Pa. 19106

No. MC 109449 (Sub-No. 11 TA), filed January 26, 1968. Applicant: EMIL J. KUJAK, HUBERT I. KUJAK, MARTIN KUJAK, AND FRANK KUJAK, doing business as KUJAK BROS. TRANSFER, Junction Avenue, Winona, Minn. 55987. Applicant's representative: Charles E. Nieman, 1160 Northwestern Bank Building, Minneapolis, Minn. 55402. Authority sought to operate as a *common carrier,* by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: *Dry fertilizer,* in bags or in bulk, from Winona, Minn., to points in Nebraska and points in Iowa outside a radius of 200 miles from Winona, Minn., for 180 days. Supporting shipper: Farmers Regional Cooperative, 2827 Eighth Avenue South, Fort Dodge, Iowa 50501. Send protests to: C. H. Bergquist, District Supervisor, Bureau of Operations, Interstate Commerce Commission, 448 Federal Building and U.S. Courthouse, 110 South Fourth Street, Minneapolis, Minn. 55401.

No. MC 109689 (Sub-No. 189 TA), filed January 26, 1968. Applicant: W. S. HATCH CO., 643 South 800 West Street, Woods Cross, Utah 84087, Post Office Box 1825, Salt Lake City, Utah 84110. Authority sought to operate as a *common carrier,* by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: *Flourinated hydrocarbons,* in bulk, in tank vehicles, from El Segundo, Calif., to Phoenix, Ariz., for 180 days. Supporting shipper: Allied Chemical Corp., 40 Rector Street, New York, N.Y. 10006. Send protests to: John T. Vaughan, District Supervisor, Interstate Commerce Commission, Bureau of Operations, 2224 Federal Building, Salt Lake City, Utah 84111.

No. MC 123310 (Sub-No. 9 TA), filed January 26, 1968. Applicant: HUNT TRUCKING, doing business as VERNON L. HUNT, 1014 Madison Avenue, Cheyenne, Wyo. 82001. Authority sought to operate as a *common carrier,* by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: *Lumber* from Clearwater, Idaho County, and points in Latah and Lewis Counties, Idaho; Afton, Wyo.; Lincoln, Missoula, and Park Counties, Mont.; to points in Adams, Denver County, Douglas, El Paso, Jefferson, and Pueblo Counties, Colo., for 180 days. Supporting shippers: North Idaho Cedar Association, Route No. 1, Orofino, Idaho 83544; Lumber-Jack, Wholesale Building Materials Co., Post Office Box 1376, Englewood, Colo. 80110; Gittings Lumber Co., Inc., 4501 Wynkoop Street, Post Office Box 16658, Denver, Colo. 80216. Send protests to: Paul A. Naughton, District Supervisor, Interstate Commerce Commission, Bureau of Operations, D&S Building, 225 North Center Street, Casper, Wyo. 82601.

By the Commission.

[SEAL] H. NEIL GARSON, *Secretary.*

[F.R. Doc. 68-1354; Filed, Feb. 2, 1968; 8:47 a.m.]

CUMULATIVE LIST OF PARTS AFFECTED—FEBRUARY

The following numerical guide is a list of the parts of each title of the Code of Federal Regulations affected by documents published to date during February.