

# FEDERAL REGISTER

VOLUME 31 • NUMBER 214

Thursday, November 3, 1966 • Washington, D.C.

Pages 14073-14104

**Agencies in this issue—**

Agency for International Development  
Agricultural Stabilization and  
Conservation Service  
Civil Aeronautics Board  
Civil Service Commission  
Consumer and Marketing Service  
Federal Power Commission  
Federal Reserve System  
Fish and Wildlife Service  
Immigration and Naturalization  
Service  
Internal Revenue Service  
Interstate Commerce Commission  
Land Management Bureau  
Maritime Administration  
National Bureau of Standards  
Rural Electrification Administration  
Securities and Exchange Commission  
Small Business Administration  
Wage and Hour Division

Detailed list of Contents appears inside.





# 5-Year Compilations of Presidential Documents

## Supplements to Title 3 of the Code of Federal Regulations

The Supplements to Title 3 of the Code of Federal Regulations contain the full text of proclamations, Executive orders, reorganization plans, trade agreement letters, and certain administrative orders issued by

the President and published in the Federal Register during the period June 2, 1938–December 31, 1963. Tabular finding aids and subject indexes are included. The individual volumes are priced as follows:

**1938–1943 Compilation\***

**1949–1953 Compilation—\$7.00**

**1943–1948 Compilation—\$7.00**

**1954–1958 Compilation—\$4.00**

**1959–1963 Compilation—\$6.00**

Compiled by Office of the Federal Register, National Archives and Records Service, General Services Administration

Order from Superintendent of Documents, U.S. Government Printing Office  
Washington, D.C. 20402

\* Temporarily out of print



Published daily, Tuesday through Saturday (no publication on Sundays, Mondays, or on the day after an official Federal holiday), by the Office of the Federal Register, National Archives and Records Service, General Services Administration (mail address National Archives Building, Washington, D.C. 20408), pursuant to the authority contained in the Federal Register Act, approved July 26, 1935 (49 Stat. 500, as amended; 44 U.S.C., Ch. 8B), under regulations prescribed by the Administrative Committee of the Federal Register, approved by the President (1 CFR Ch. I). Distribution is made only by the Superintendent of Documents, U.S. Government Printing Office, Washington, D.C. 20402.

The FEDERAL REGISTER will be furnished by mail to subscribers, free of postage, for \$1.50 per month or \$15 per year, payable in advance. The charge for individual copies varies in proportion to the size of the issue (15 cents for the first 80 pages and 5 cents for each additional group of 40 pages, as actually bound). Remit check or money order, made payable to the Superintendent of Documents, U.S. Government Printing Office, Washington, D.C. 20402.

The regulatory material appearing herein is keyed to the CODE OF FEDERAL REGULATIONS, which is published, under 50 titles, pursuant to section 11 of the Federal Register Act, as amended. The CODE OF FEDERAL REGULATIONS is sold by the Superintendent of Documents. Prices of books and pocket supplements are listed in the first FEDERAL REGISTER issue of each month.

There are no restrictions on the republication of material appearing in the FEDERAL REGISTER or the CODE OF FEDERAL REGULATIONS.



# Contents

## AGENCY FOR INTERNATIONAL DEVELOPMENT

### Rules and Regulations

- Rules and procedures applicable to commodity transactions financed by A.I.D.; miscellaneous amendments ..... 14079

## AGRICULTURAL STABILIZATION AND CONSERVATION SERVICE

### Rules and Regulations

- Upland cotton; acreage allotments and marketing quotas, 1967 crop ..... 14077

## AGRICULTURE DEPARTMENT

See Agricultural Stabilization and Conservation Service; Consumer and Marketing Service; Rural Electrification Administration.

## CIVIL AERONAUTICS BOARD

### Notices

- Air Transport Association; agreement adopted ..... 14088

## CIVIL SERVICE COMMISSION

### Rules and Regulations

- Excepted service:  
Commerce Department ..... 14077  
Interior Department ..... 14077

## COMMERCE DEPARTMENT

See Maritime Administration; National Bureau of Standards.

## CONSUMER AND MARKETING SERVICE

### Rules and Regulations

- Hops of domestic production; date on which excess become reserve and date on which inspection and identification shall be completed ..... 14077

### Proposed Rule Making

- Canned orange juice; proposed standards for grades ..... 14081  
Milk in Mississippi marketing area; decision ..... 14081  
Raisins produced from grapes grown in California; extension of time for filing data ..... 14081

## FEDERAL POWER COMMISSION

### Notices

#### Hearings, etc.:

- Alabama-Tennessee Natural Gas Co. et al ..... 14088  
Bangor Hydro-Electric Co ..... 14088  
Colorado Interstate Gas Co ..... 14089  
Duke Power Co ..... 14089  
Niagara Mohawk Power Corp ..... 14089

## FEDERAL RESERVE SYSTEM

### Notices

- First National Bank of Tampa and Union Security & Investment Co.; order approving applications ..... 14089  
Virginia Commonwealth Corp.; order extending period prescribed by proviso in order of approval ..... 14090

## FISH AND WILDLIFE SERVICE

### Rules and Regulations

- Upper Mississippi River Wildlife and Fish Refuge; Illinois et al.; correction ..... 14080

### Notices

- Permar, Clark D.; notice of loan application ..... 14086

## IMMIGRATION AND NATURALIZATION SERVICE

### Rules and Regulations

- Miscellaneous amendments to chapter ..... 14078

## INTERIOR DEPARTMENT

See Fish and Wildlife Service; Land Management Bureau.

## INTERNAL REVENUE SERVICE

### Notices

- Relief from excess profits tax because of an inadequate excess profits credit; allowances during fiscal year ended June 30, 1966 ..... 14085

## INTERSTATE COMMERCE COMMISSION

### Rules and Regulations

- Kansas City, Mo.-Kansas City, Kans.; commercial zone ..... 14080

### Notices

- Motor carrier:  
Broker, water carrier and freight forwarder applications ..... 14092  
Transfer applications ..... 14104

## JUSTICE DEPARTMENT

See Immigration and Naturalization Service.

## LABOR DEPARTMENT

See Wage and Hour Division.

## LAND MANAGEMENT BUREAU

### Notices

- New Mexico; classification of lands ..... 14085  
Oregon; proposed withdrawal and reservation of lands ..... 14086

## MARITIME ADMINISTRATION

### Notices

- Alaska Steamship Co.; 3 C1-M-AV1 Type Government-owned vessels, continuance of bareboat charters ..... 14087  
Delta Steamship Lines, Inc.; notice of application ..... 14087  
Mellon National Bank and Trust Co.; notice of approval as trustee ..... 14087

## NATIONAL BUREAU OF STANDARDS

### Notices

- National Bureau of Standards radio stations; notice of U.S. standard frequency and time broadcasts ..... 14087

## RURAL ELECTRIFICATION ADMINISTRATION

### Notices

- Various officials; delegations of authority regarding powers of Administrator ..... 14087

## SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION

### Notices

#### Hearings, etc.:

- Continental Vending Machine Corp ..... 14090  
Lincoln Printing Co ..... 14090  
Massachusetts Investors Growth Stock Fund, Inc ..... 14090  
Regency Fund, Inc ..... 14091  
United Security Life Insurance Co ..... 14091

## SMALL BUSINESS ADMINISTRATION

### Notices

- Caladesi Capital Corp.; order revoking license ..... 14091

## STATE DEPARTMENT

See Agency for International Development.

## TREASURY DEPARTMENT

See Internal Revenue Service.

## WAGE AND HOUR DIVISION

### Notices

- Certificates authorizing employment of learners at special minimum rates ..... 14092



# List of CFR Parts Affected

(Codification Guide)

The following numerical guide is a list of the parts of each title of the Code of Federal Regulations affected by documents published in today's issue. A cumulative list of parts affected, covering the current month to date appears at the end of each issue beginning with the second issue of the month.

A cumulative guide is published separately at the end of each month. The guide lists the parts and sections affected by documents published since January 1, 1966, and specifies how they are affected.

|                               |                  |                 |
|-------------------------------|------------------|-----------------|
| <b>5 CFR</b>                  | <b>8 CFR</b>     | <b>22 CFR</b>   |
| 213 (2 documents) ..... 14077 | 324 ..... 14078  | 201 ..... 14079 |
|                               | 327 ..... 14078  |                 |
| <b>7 CFR</b>                  | 328 ..... 14078  | <b>49 CFR</b>   |
| 722 ..... 14077               | 329 ..... 14078  | 170 ..... 14080 |
| 991 ..... 14077               | 330 ..... 14078  |                 |
| PROPOSED RULES:               | 332a ..... 14078 | <b>50 CFR</b>   |
| 52 ..... 14081                | 499 ..... 14079  | 32 ..... 14080  |
| 989 ..... 14081               |                  |                 |
| 1103 ..... 14081              |                  |                 |



# Rules and Regulations

## Title 5—ADMINISTRATIVE PERSONNEL

### Chapter I—Civil Service Commission PART 213—EXCEPTED SERVICE

#### Department of the Interior

Section 213.3112 is amended to show that the positions of the General Manager and the Assistant General Manager of the Alaska Railroad will remain excepted under Schedule A after December 31, 1966, when the Schedule A exception covering positions on the Alaska Railroad, generally, is scheduled to expire. Effective on publication in the FEDERAL REGISTER, subparagraph (1) of paragraph (h) of § 213.3112 is amended and subparagraphs (2) and (3) are added as set out below.

#### § 213.3112 Department of the Interior.

(h) *The Alaska Railroad.* (1) Until December 31, 1966, positions in Alaska, other than the positions of the General Manager and the Assistant General Manager, and four technical positions in Seattle, Wash.

(2) The General Manager.

(3) The Assistant General Manager.

(5 U.S.C. 3301, 3302, E.O. 10577, 19 F.R. 7521, 3 CFR 1954-58 Comp., p. 218)

#### UNITED STATES CIVIL SERVICE COMMISSION,

[SEAL] MARY V. WENZEL,  
Executive Assistant to  
the Commissioners.

[F.R. Doc. 66-11994; Filed, Nov. 2, 1966; 8:47 a.m.]

### PART 213—EXCEPTED SERVICE

#### Department of Commerce

Section 213.3314 is amended to show that the positions of the two Associate Directors and their Private Secretaries in the Office of Regional Economic Development are no longer excepted under Schedule C and that the position of Assistant Director for Program Planning in the Office of Regional Economic Development is excepted under Schedule C. Effective on publication in the FEDERAL REGISTER, paragraph (q) is amended by revoking subparagraphs (23) and (24) and adding subparagraph (25) as set out below.

#### § 213.3314 Department of Commerce.

(q) *Office of the Assistant Secretary for Economic Development.*

(23) [Revoked].  
(24) [Revoked].  
(25) One Assistant Director for Program Planning, Office of Regional Economic Development.

(5 U.S.C. 3301, 3302, E.O. 10577, 19 F.R. 7521, 3 CFR, 1954-58 Comp., p. 218)

#### UNITED STATES CIVIL SERVICE COMMISSION,

[SEAL] MARY V. WENZEL,  
Executive Assistant to  
the Commissioners.

[F.R. Doc. 66-11993; Filed, Nov. 2, 1966; 8:47 a.m.]

## Title 7—AGRICULTURE

### Chapter VII—Agricultural Stabilization and Conservation Service (Agricultural Adjustment), Department of Agriculture

#### SUBCHAPTER B—FARM MARKETING QUOTAS AND ACREAGE ALLOTMENTS

[Amdt. 1]

#### PART 722—COTTON

#### Subpart—1967 Crop of Upland Cotton; Acreage Allotments and Marketing Quotas

##### COUNTY PROJECTED YIELDS

*Basis and purpose.* This amending document is issued pursuant to the Agricultural Adjustment Act of 1938, as amended (52 Stat. 31, as amended; 7 U.S.C. 1281 et seq.).

The purpose of this amendment is to establish revised county projected yields under section 301(b)(13)(L) of the act for upland cotton of the 1967 crop for counties in Florida. The revisions are required because of corrections in official data used in establishing the yields as previously published (31 F.R. 13168).

Since the yields established by this amendment require immediate action by the Agricultural Stabilization and Conservation State and county committees, it is essential that they be made effective as soon as possible. Accordingly, it is hereby determined and found that compliance with the notice, public procedure and 30-day effective date requirements of section 4 of the Administrative Procedure Act (60 Stat. 238; 5 U.S.C. 1003) is impracticable and contrary to the public interest, and this document shall be effective upon filing with the Director, Office of the Federal Register.

The tabulation of yields for all counties in Florida set forth in paragraph (f) of § 722.470 is amended to read as follows:

#### FLORIDA

| County    | Projected yields (pounds per acre) | County     | Projected yields (pounds per acre) |
|-----------|------------------------------------|------------|------------------------------------|
| Alachua   | 310                                | Lafayette  | 317                                |
| Baker     | 250                                | Leon       | 286                                |
| Bay       | 317                                | Levy       | 273                                |
| Calhoun   | 328                                | Liberty    | 277                                |
| Clay      | 438                                | Madison    | 300                                |
| Columbia  | 220                                | Nassau     | 361                                |
| Dixie     | 311                                | Okaloosa   | 391                                |
| Escambia  | 506                                | Putnam     | 283                                |
| Gadsden   | 305                                | Santa Rosa | 528                                |
| Gilchrist | 259                                | Suwannee   | 223                                |
| Hamilton  | 246                                | Taylor     | 236                                |
| Holmes    | 366                                | Union      | 272                                |
| Jackson   | 358                                | Walton     | 364                                |
| Jefferson | 312                                | Washington | 317                                |

(Secs. 301(b)(13)(L), 79 Stat. 1197; 7 U.S.C. 1301(b)(13)(L))

*Effective date.* Date of filing this document with the Director, Office of the Federal Register.

Signed at Washington, D.C., on October 31, 1966.

H. D. GODFREY,  
Administrator, Agricultural Stabilization and Conservation Service.

[F.R. Doc. 66-12000; Filed, Nov. 1, 1966; 12:40 p.m.]

### Chapter IX—Consumer and Marketing Service (Marketing Agreements and Orders; Fruits, Vegetables, Nuts), Department of Agriculture

#### PART 991—HOPS OF DOMESTIC PRODUCTION

#### Date on Which Excess Hops Become Reserve and Date on Which Hop Inspection and Identification Shall Be Completed; 1966 Crop

Notice was published in the October 15, 1966, issue of the FEDERAL REGISTER (31 F.R. 13394) regarding a proposal to extend the time from November 1, to November 15, 1966, when hops baled, packaged, processed, or otherwise prepared for market, that are in excess of an effective individual producer annual allotment or the total of such allotments to members of a cooperative marketing association and are held by any producer-handler or association become reserve hops. This extension of time is pursuant to § 991.39 of Marketing Order No. 991 (31 F.R. 9713, 10072) regulating the handling of hops of domestic production effective under the Agricultural Marketing Agreement Act of 1937, as amended (7 U.S.C. 601-674).

The notice afforded interested persons an opportunity to submit written



data, views, or arguments with respect to the proposal. Subsequent to publication of the aforementioned notice, the Hop Administrative Committee recommended that a corresponding change to § 991.32 be made to extend the time for completion of inspection and identification of all hops from November 15, to prior to December 1, 1966. This extension of time is necessary so as to permit adequate time, subsequent to November 15, 1966 (the time when hops in excess of annual allotments for the 1966 crop become reserve hops) for identification of reserve hops.

After consideration of all relevant matter presented, including that in the notice, the information and recommendations submitted by the Hop Administrative Committee and other available information, it is hereby found that the time when 1966 crop hops in excess of annual allotments for such crop become reserve hops pursuant to § 991.39, and the time when inspection and identification of all 1966 crop hops, pursuant to § 999.32, shall be completed shall be as follows:

§ 991.202 1966 crop; date on which excess hops become reserve hops and date when inspection and identification of all hops shall be completed.

(a) *Date on which excess hops become reserve hops.* Pursuant to § 991.39, hops of the 1966 crop, baled, packaged, processed, or otherwise prepared for market that are in excess of an effective individual producer's annual allotment or the total of such allotments to members of a cooperative marketing association and are held by any producer-handler or association on November 15, 1966, shall be reserve hops.

(b) *Date when inspection and identification of all 1966 crop hops shall be completed.* Pursuant to § 991.32, the inspection and identification requirements prescribed in the fourth sentence of such section for 1966 crop hops may be completed prior to December 1, 1966.

It is found that good cause exists for not postponing the effective time of § 991.202(a) and (b), in that it is impracticable, unnecessary, and contrary to public interest to give preliminary notice and engage in public rule making procedure with respect to the provisions of § 991.202(b), and that good cause exists for making the provisions effective not later than the time hereinafter specified and not postponing the effective time until 30 days after publication in the FEDERAL REGISTER (5 U.S.C. 1003 (a) and (c)) in that: (1) This action will extend from November 1, to November 15, 1966, the date on which excess hops become reserve hops and subject to the reserve hop limitations of this part and from November 15, to prior to December 1, 1966, the date when inspection and identification of all hops are to be completed; (2) the extensions will provide the necessary time to ascertain which hops are reserve hops, as certain producers will

not be issued their final allotment bases until late October, and will provide additional time so that all hops may be properly inspected and identified; (3) this action will afford additional time within which producers may fill production deficiencies of salable hops and provide an additional period after hops become reserve hops for compliance with inspection and identification requirements; and (4) this action relieves restrictions on the handling of hops and was unanimously recommended by the Committee which administers the marketing order program; and producers, handlers, or associations require no additional advance notice to conduct their operations accordingly.

(Secs. 1-19, 48 Stat. 31, as amended; 7 U.S.C. 601-674)

Dated: October 31, 1966.

PAUL A. NICHOLSON,  
Acting Director,  
Fruit and Vegetable Division.

[F.R. Doc. 66-12001; Filed, Nov. 2, 1966;  
8:48 a.m.]

## Title 8—ALIENS AND NATIONALITY

### Chapter I—Immigration and Naturalization Service, Department of Justice

#### MISCELLANEOUS AMENDMENTS TO CHAPTER

The following amendments to Chapter I of Title 8 of the Code of Federal Regulations are hereby prescribed:

#### PART 324—SPECIAL CLASSES OF PERSONS WHO MAY BE NATURALIZED: WOMEN WHO HAVE LOST UNITED STATES CITIZENSHIP BY MARRIAGE

Section 324.11 is amended to read as follows:

§ 324.11 Former citizen at birth or by naturalization.

A former citizen of the United States of the class described in section 324(a) of the Act shall submit an application to file a petition for naturalization on Form N-400 and supplemental Form N-400A. The petition for naturalization of such person shall be filed on Form N-405, in duplicate. There shall be inserted after averment 15 of Form N-405 at the time of the filing an averment of the petitioner's loss of citizenship as follows:

(If petition filed under section 324(a)) I was formerly a citizen of the United States who lost citizenship by or through marriage to an alien. I have not acquired another nationality by an affirmative act other than by marriage. If not lawfully admitted for permanent residence, I have resided continuously in the United States since the date of my marriage.

#### PART 327—SPECIAL CLASSES OF PERSONS WHO MAY BE NATURALIZED: PERSONS WHO LOST UNITED STATES CITIZENSHIP THROUGH SERVICE IN ARMED FORCES OF FOREIGN COUNTRY DURING WORLD WAR II

Section 327.1 is amended to read as follows:

##### § 327.1 Petition.

A former citizen of the United States of the class described in section 327 of the Act shall submit an application to file a petition for naturalization Form N-400 and supplemental Form N-400A. The petition for naturalization of such person shall be filed on Form N-405, in duplicate. There shall be inserted after averment 15 a Form N-405 at the time of the filing an averment of the petitioner's loss of citizenship, as follows:

(If petition filed under section 327) While a citizen of the United States, I entered the armed forces of (country) whereby I lost my U.S. citizenship by reason of entering or serving in such armed forces or by taking an oath or obligation in connection therewith.

Upon naturalization, two copies of the original petition for naturalization containing the oath of allegiance duly attested and certified by the clerk, shall be forwarded by the clerk to the district director. The district director shall file one copy with the service record and transmit the other copy to the Department of State. The petitioner shall pay to the clerk of the naturalization court at the time of filing the petition a fee of \$10, unless exempted therefrom under section 344(h) of the Act.

#### PART 328—SPECIAL CLASSES OF PERSONS WHO MAY BE NATURALIZED: PERSONS WITH 3 YEARS SERVICE IN ARMED FORCES OF THE UNITED STATES

Section 328.3 *Petition* is amended by deleting the fifth sentence.

#### PART 329—SPECIAL CLASSES OF PERSONS WHO MAY BE NATURALIZED: VETERANS OF THE UNITED STATES ARMED FORCES WHO SERVED DURING WORLD WAR I OR WORLD WAR II OR ENLISTED UNDER ACT OF JUNE 30, 1950, AS AMENDED

Section 329.2 *Petition* is amended by deleting the fourth sentence thereof.

#### PART 330—SPECIAL CLASSES OF PERSONS WHO MAY BE NATURALIZED: SEAMEN

Section 330.1 *Petition* is amended by deleting the third sentence thereof.

#### PART 332a—OFFICIAL FORMS

##### § 332a.2 [Amended]

1. Section 332a.2 *Official forms prescribed for use of clerks of naturalization*



zation courts is amended by deleting the following forms and references thereto:

| Form No. | Title and description   |
|----------|---|
| N-405A   | Affidavit in Support of Petition for Naturalization (by a former citizen, under sec. 327 of the Immigration and Nationality Act). |
| N-421    | Affidavit in Support of Petition for Naturalization (by a seaman, under sec. 330, Immigration and Nationality Act).               |

2. Section 332a.13 *Alteration of forms of petitions or applications for naturalization* is amended by adding a paragraph (h) to read as follows:

§ 332a.13 *Alteration of forms of petitions or applications for naturalization.*

(h) *Previous filing of another petition.* Whenever the petitioner has previously filed another petition for naturalization the word "not" shall be stricken from the appropriate allegation.

## PART 499—NATIONALITY FORMS

Section 499.1 *Prescribed forms* is amended by deleting the following forms and references thereto:

| Form No. | Title and description   |
|----------|---|
| N-405A   | Affidavit in Support of Petition for Naturalization (by a former citizen, under sec. 327 of the Immigration and Nationality Act). |
| N-421    | Affidavit in Support of Petition for Naturalization (by a seaman, under sec. 330, Immigration and Nationality Act).               |

(Sec. 103, 66 Stat. 173; 8 U.S.C. 1103)

This order shall be effective on the date of its publication in the FEDERAL REGISTER. Compliance with the provisions of section 553 of Title 5 of the United States Code (P.L. 89-554, 80 Stat. 383) as to notice of proposed rule making and delayed effective date is unnecessary in this instance because the rules prescribed by the order relate to agency procedure.

Dated: October 28, 1966.

RAYMOND F. FARRELL,  
Commissioner of  
Immigration and Naturalization.

[F.R. Doc. 66-11998; Filed, Nov. 2, 1966;  
8:48 a.m.]

## Title 22—FOREIGN RELATIONS

### Chapter II—Agency for International Development, Department of State

[A.I.D. Reg. 1]

### PART 201—RULES AND PROCEDURES APPLICABLE TO COMMODITY TRANSACTIONS FINANCED BY A.I.D.

#### Miscellaneous Amendments

Part 201 of Chapter II, Title 22 (A.I.D. Reg. 1), is amended as follows:

PARAGRAPH 1. Paragraph (a) of § 201.52 is amended as follows:

a. In the first sentence the phrase "subparagraphs (1) through (6)" is amended to read "subparagraphs (1) through (8)". Subparagraph (6) is revised to read:

(6) *Supplier's Certificate.* An original and two copies of the Supplier's Certificate executed by

(i) The supplier of the commodity for the cost of the commodity and any commodity-related services furnished by the commodity supplier;

(ii) The carrier for the cost of ocean or air transportation;

(iii) The insurer for the cost of marine insurance if such cost exceeds \$50.

b. The following new subparagraphs (7) and (8) are added:

(7) *Certificate regarding concerted pricing.* One signed original of the Certificate and Agreement Regarding Concerted Pricing (A.I.D. Form 285) executed by

(i) The supplier of the commodity; and

(ii) The producer of the commodity, if the supplier indicates in paragraph (1) (a) of the Certificate that he is not also the producer.

This subparagraph (7) shall apply only when the letter of commitment (in cases of A.I.D. financing under a letter of commitment to a bank) or other implementing document (in cases of A.I.D. financing by direct reimbursement of a borrower/grantee) limits the source of procurement to the United States (A.I.D. Geographic Code 000) and the supplier supplies any of the following commodities: Phosphatic fertilizers (Commodity Codes 2354, 2355, and 2356); potassic fertilizers (Commodity Codes 2332, 2333, and 2334); mixed chemical fertilizers (Commodity Code 2343); carbon black (Commodity Code 3903, Schedule B Nos. 513.2710, 513.2720, and 513.3720); paper, pulp, and paper board (Commodity Codes 5911, 5912, 5919, and 5921); rubber products (Commodity Codes 8913 and 8914); and sulphur (Commodity Code 6406).

(8) *Producer's invoice.* Whenever the supplier indicates in paragraph (1) (a) of the Certificate and Agreement Regarding Concerted Pricing (A.I.D. Form 285) that he is not also the producer, one copy of the producer's invoice covering the same commodities which are described in the supplier's invoice.

c. The following new paragraph (c) is added to read:

(c) *Execution of Certificates.* The original of each Supplier's Certificate (A.I.D. Form 281) and each Certificate and Agreement regarding Concerted Pricing (A.I.D. Form 285) shall be signed by hand and shall bind the person or organization on whose behalf the execution is made. Any person or organization on whose behalf another purports to sign a Certificate which is submitted by such person or organization shall in all respects be bound thereby, notwithstanding that the person so signing acted without express authority.

PAR. 2. The following new Appendix D is added to Part 201:

#### APPENDIX D—CERTIFICATE AND AGREEMENT REGARDING CONCERTED PRICING

(A.I.D. Form 285)

In consideration of the receipt of funds made available by the United States under the Foreign Assistance Act of 1961, as amended, in payment, in whole or in part, of the sum indicated on the accompanying invoice as claimed to be due and owing, the undersigned, acting on behalf of the firm whose name appears on line 5 below (the supplier or the producer of the commodities) and authorized to bind such firm, agrees with and certifies to A.I.D. as follows:

(1) The firm whose name appears on line 5 below—

a. Is the A.I.D.-financed supplier, but is not the producer of the goods described by the attached invoice which the supplier has executed.

b. Is the A.I.D.-financed supplier and is also the producer of the goods described by the attached invoice which the supplier has executed.

c. Is not the A.I.D.-financed supplier, but is the producer of the goods described by the attached invoice which the producer has executed.

[Check the appropriate box.]

(2) The price and quantity terms of the sale described by the attached invoice have been arrived at independently by the firm on whose behalf this Certificate is executed, without consultation or agreement, direct or indirect, concerning any matter relating to such price or quantity with any other bidder, offeror, competitor, or any member of an Export Trade Association (an Association within the meaning of 15 U.S.C. §§ 61-65), and without reliance upon any price established or recommended by any such Association.

(3) If the undersigned has checked box (1) (a) of this Certificate, he has also appended an invoice executed by the producer of the commodities described in the supplier's invoice and a Certificate and Agreement Regarding Concerted Pricing (A.I.D. Form 285) executed by the producer of such commodities.

(4) Invoice No. \_\_\_\_\_

(5) A.I.D. No. \_\_\_\_\_

(6) Name of firm \_\_\_\_\_

(7) Name of undersigned and position in firm \_\_\_\_\_

(8) Signature \_\_\_\_\_

(9) Date \_\_\_\_\_

INSTRUCTIONS: "Firm" means the supplier or producer on whose behalf this Certificate and Agreement Regarding Concerted Pricing is executed. The supplier of the commodity shall execute this Certificate in every case in which he is required to do so as a condition for receiving payment. If the supplier indicates in box (1) (a) that he is not also the producer of the commodities (through manufacture, processing, mining, growth, or assembly), he shall attach to his invoice the invoice of the producer (covering the same commodities described in the supplier's invoice) and an additional Certificate and Agreement Regarding Concerted Pricing (A.I.D. Form 285) executed by the producer of the commodities. On line 4, the supplier or the producer shall insert the serial number or other number which he has assigned to his invoice. On line 5 the supplier or the producer shall insert the A.I.D. implementation number which identifies the transaction.

PAR. 3. The following sentence is added to § 201.87: "Section 201.52(a) (6)-(8) and (c) as well as the provisions of Appendix D shall become effective on Jan-



uary 1, 1967, but will not be applicable to claims for reimbursement from or payments made to a supplier pursuant to letters of credit issued, confirmed, or advised, or payment instructions received, prior to January 1, 1967."

WILLIAM S. GAUD,  
Administrator, Agency for  
International Development.

OCTOBER 28, 1966.

[F.R. Doc. 66-11987; Filed, Nov. 2, 1966;  
8:47 a.m.]

## Title 49—TRANSPORTATION

### Chapter I—Interstate Commerce Commission

#### SUBCHAPTER B—CARRIERS BY MOTOR VEHICLE

[No. MC-C-258]

#### PART 170—COMMERCIAL ZONES

##### Kansas City, Mo.-Kansas City, Kans., Commercial Zone

At a session of the Interstate Commerce Commission, Division 1, acting as an Appellate Division, held at its office on the 11th day of October 1966.

It appearing, that on November 12, 1965, the Commission, Division 1, made and filed its fifth report on further consideration in this proceeding, 100 M.C.C. 75, and order redefining the limits of the zone adjacent to and commercially a part of Kansas City, Mo.-Kansas City, Kans., contemplated by section 203(b) (8) of the Interstate Commerce Act (49 U.S.C. 303 (b) (8)):

It further appearing, that by petition filed February 21, 1966, and supplement thereto filed April 11, 1966, the city of Lenexa, Kans., requests leave to file a petition for reconsideration; that 12 rail carriers, interveners herein, oppose the relief sought; that the same rail carriers, by petition filed December 20, 1965, seek clarification of the said report; and that on January 17, 1966, Heart of America Council of Chambers of Commerce, petitioner, filed a reply to the latter petition; and good cause appearing therefor:

*It is ordered*, That said petition of the city of Lenexa, Kans., be, and it is hereby, denied, for the reason that the ambiguity recited therein may appropriately be noticed and corrected without further petitions by the parties to this proceeding.

*It is further ordered*, That said petition for clarification, to the extent it seeks relief other than that granted herein, be, and it is hereby, denied.

*It is further ordered*, That said proceeding be, and it is hereby, reopened for further consideration on our own motion.

*It is further ordered*, That the order entered in this proceeding November 12, 1965 (49 CFR 170.8) be, and it is hereby,

vacated and set aside, and § 170.8 is hereby revised as follows:

##### § 170.8 Kansas City, Mo.-Kansas City, Kans.

The zone adjacent to and commercially a part of Kansas City, Mo.-Kansas City, Kans., within which transportation by motor vehicle, in interstate or foreign commerce, not under a common control, management, or arrangement for a continuous carriage or shipment to or from a point beyond the zone is partially exempt from regulation under section 203(b) (8) of the Interstate Commerce Act (49 U.S.C. 303(b) (8)), includes and is comprised of all points in the area bounded by a line as follows:

Beginning on the north side of the Missouri River at the western boundary line of Parkville, Mo., thence along the western and northern boundaries of Parkville to the Kansas City, Mo., corporate limits, thence along the western, northern, and eastern corporate limits of Kansas City, Mo., to its junction with U.S. Bypass 71 (near Liberty, Mo.), thence along U.S. Bypass 71 to Liberty, thence along the northern and eastern boundaries of Liberty to its junction with U.S. Bypass 71 south of Liberty, thence south along U.S. Bypass 71 to its junction with the Independence, Mo., corporate limits, thence along the eastern Independence, Mo., corporate limits to its junction with the Lees Summit corporate limits, thence along the eastern Lees Summit corporate limits to the Jackson-Cass County line, thence west along Jackson-Cass County line to the eastern corporate limits of Belton, Mo., thence along the eastern, southern, and western corporate limits of Belton to the western boundary of Richards-Gebaur Air Force Base, thence along the western boundary of said air force base to Missouri Highway 150, thence west along Missouri Highway 150 to the Kansas-Missouri State line, thence north along the Kansas-Missouri State line to 110th Street, thence west along 110th Street to its junction with U.S. Highway 69, thence north along U.S. Highway 69 to its junction with 103d Street, thence west along 103d Street to its junction with Quivera Road (the corporate boundary of Lenexa, Kans.), thence along the eastern, southern, western, and northern boundaries of Lenexa to Pfumum Road, thence north along Pfumum Road to its junction with Kansas Highway 10, thence west on Kansas Highway 10 to its junction with Kansas Highway 7, thence north on Kansas Highway 7 to Bonner Springs, Kans., thence along the southern and eastern boundaries of Bonner Springs to its junction with Kansas Highway 32, thence east on Kansas Highway 32 to its junction with 65th Street, thence north along 65th Street to its junction with U.S. Highway 24, thence east along U.S. Highway 24 to its junction with 64th Street Terrace, thence north along 64th Street Terrace to Parallel Road, thence west along Parallel Road to 81st Street, thence north along 81st Street to its junction with Kansas Highway 5, thence east along Kansas Highway 5 to 77th Street, thence north along 77th Street and its continuation, Pomeroy Drive, northwesterly to its junction with 79th Street, thence along 79th Street to its junction with Wolcott Drive at Pomeroy, Kans., thence due west 1.3 miles to its junction with an unnamed road, thence north along such unnamed road to the entrance to the Powell Port facility, thence due north to the south-

ern bank of the Missouri River, thence east along the southern bank of the Missouri River to a point directly across from the western boundary of Parkville, Mo., thence across the Missouri River to point of beginning.

(49 Stat. 546, as amended; 49 U.S.C. 304. Interprets or applies 49 Stat. 543, as amended, 544, as amended; 49 U.S.C. 302, 303)

*It is further ordered*, That this order shall become effective on November 25, 1966, and shall continue in effect until the further order of the Commission.

*And it is further ordered*, That notice of this order shall be given to the general public by depositing a copy thereof in the office of the Secretary of the Commission, at Washington, D.C., and by filing a copy with the Director, Office of the Federal Register.

By the Commission, Division 1, acting as an Appellate Division.

[SEAL]

H. NEIL GARSON,  
Secretary.

[F.R. Doc. 66-11988; Filed, Nov. 2, 1966;  
8:47 a.m.]

## Title 50—WILDLIFE AND FISHERIES

### Chapter I—Bureau of Sport Fisheries and Wildlife, Fish and Wildlife Service, Department of the Interior

#### PART 32—HUNTING

##### Upper Mississippi River Wildlife and Fish Refuge, Illinois et al.; Correction

In F.R. Doc. 66-11139, appearing on page 13240 of the issue for Thursday, October 13, 1966, the following paragraphs should read as follows:

§ 32.32 Special regulations; big game; for individual wildlife refuge areas.

ILLINOIS, IOWA, MINNESOTA AND WISCONSIN

##### UPPER MISSISSIPPI RIVER WILDLIFE AND FISH REFUGE

Deer hunting shall be subject to the following special conditions:

(1) All deer hunting shall be within the outside dates of the applicable State seasons as follows:

##### ILLINOIS

Bow and arrow seasons from date of publication 1966 through November 15, 1966; and November 21, 1966, through December 5, 1966; and December 13, 1966, through December 31, 1966. Shotgun season November 18 through November 20, 1966; and December 9, 1966, through December 11, 1966.

DONALD V. GRAY,  
Refuge Manager.

[F.R. Doc. 66-11983; Filed, Nov. 2, 1966;  
8:46 a.m.]



# Proposed Rule Making

## DEPARTMENT OF AGRICULTURE

### Consumer and Marketing Service

#### [ 7 CFR Part 52 ]

#### CANNED ORANGE JUICE

##### Standards for Grades<sup>1</sup>

Notice is hereby given that the U.S. Department of Agriculture is considering an amendment to the U.S. Standards for Grades of Canned Orange Juice (7 CFR 52.1551-52.1562) pursuant to the authority contained in the Agricultural Marketing Act of 1946 (Secs. 202-208, 60 Stat. 1087, as amended; 7 U.S.C. 1621-1627).

All persons who desire to submit written data, views, or arguments for consideration in connection with the proposed amendment should file the same in duplicate not later than 30 days after publication hereof in the FEDERAL REGISTER with the Hearing Clerk, U.S. Department of Agriculture, Room 112, Administration Building, Washington, D.C. 20250. All written submissions made pursuant to this notice will be available for public inspection at the office of the Hearing Clerk during regular business hours (7 CFR 1.27 (b)).

*Statement of consideration leading to the proposed amendment.* The matter of an upper limit of Brix-acid ratio for processed orange juices which would separate orange juice of very good flavor from that of less desirable flavor has been given careful study in the Department for a number of years. Packers who produce large volumes of the nation's pack of canned orange juice urge that the maximum Brix-acid levels, where specified in the standards, be increased to 19½ to 1. They contend that canned orange juice meets excellent consumer acceptance up to this maximum.

In consideration of the foregoing matters it is now proposed to increase permitted maximum Brix-acid ratios in U.S. Grade A and U.S. Grade B from 18:1 to 19.5:1.

The proposed amendment is as follows:

1. In § 52.1559 the tables in paragraph (a) (1) and (a) (2) would be revised to read as follows:

(1) Without sweetener.

|                               | Minimum      | Maximum   |
|-------------------------------|--------------|-----------|
| Brix (degrees).....           | 10.5.....    |           |
| Acid (per 100 ml.):           |              |           |
| California or Arizona         | 0.75 gm..... | 1.45 gms. |
| Outside California or Arizona | 0.65 gm..... | 1.45 gms. |
| Brix-acid ratio:              |              |           |
| If Brix less than 11.5°       | 10:1.....    | 19.5:1.   |
| If Brix 11.5° or more         | 9:1.....     | 19.5:1.   |

<sup>1</sup> Compliance with the provisions of these standards shall not excuse failure to comply with the provisions of the Federal Food, Drug, and Cosmetic Act or with applicable State laws and regulations.

(2) With sweetener.

|                               | Minimum      | Maximum   |
|-------------------------------|--------------|-----------|
| Brix (degrees).....           | 10.5.....    |           |
| Acid (per 100 ml.):           |              |           |
| California or Arizona         | 0.75 gm..... | 1.45 gms. |
| Outside California or Arizona | 0.65 gm..... | 1.45 gms. |
| Brix-acid ratio:              |              |           |
| If Brix less than 15°         | 12:1.....    | 19.5:1.   |
| If Brix 15° or more           | 9:1.....     | 19.5:1.   |

2. In § 52.1559 the table in paragraph (b) (2) would be revised to read as follows:

(2) With sweetener.

|                               | Minimum      | Maximum   |
|-------------------------------|--------------|-----------|
| Brix (degrees).....           | 10.5.....    |           |
| Acid (per 100 ml.):           |              |           |
| California or Arizona         | 0.65 gm..... | 1.65 gms. |
| Outside California or Arizona | 0.60 gm..... | 1.65 gms. |
| Brix-acid ratio:              |              |           |
| If Brix less than 15°         | 12:1.....    | 19.5:1.   |
| If Brix 15° or more           | 9:1.....     | 19.5:1.   |

(Secs. 202-208, 60 Stat. 1087, as amended, 7 U.S.C. 1621-1627)

Dated: October 28, 1966.

G. R. GRANGE,  
Deputy Administrator,  
Marketing Services.

[F.R. Doc. 66-11984; Filed, Nov. 2, 1966; 8:45 a.m.]

#### [ 7 CFR Part 989 ]

#### RAISINS PRODUCED FROM GRAPES GROWN IN CALIFORNIA

##### Notice of Extension of Time for Filing of Written Data, Views, or Arguments

Pursuant to the provisions of the marketing agreement, as amended, and Order No. 989, as amended (7 CFR Part 989), a notice of proposed rule making was published in the October 20, 1966, issue of the FEDERAL REGISTER (31 F.R. 13552), regarding proposed amendment of certain provisions of the Subpart—Administrative Rules and Regulations, including those provisions with respect to definitions, and the inspection, identification, transfer, disposition, substitution, and reporting of raisins. The notice afforded interested persons a 10-day period to submit written data, views, or arguments with respect thereto. Request for extension of time for filing comments has been made on behalf of the Raisin Administrative Committee by Lee Jackson, Manager. It is necessary that this request be granted so as to afford interested persons an opportunity to further consider the proposal and to file written comment thereon.

Notice is hereby given that the time for filing written data, views, or arguments on the proposal with the Hearing Clerk,

U.S. Department of Agriculture, Room 112, Administration Building, Washington, D.C. 20250, is extended until midnight, November 10, 1966.

Dated: October 31, 1966.

PAUL A. NICHOLSON,  
Acting Director, Fruit and Vegetable Division, Consumer and Marketing Service.

[F.R. Doc. 66-12002; Filed, Nov. 2, 1966; 8:48 a.m.]

#### [ 7 CFR Part 1103 ]

[Docket No. AO-346-A3]

#### MILK IN MISSISSIPPI MARKETING AREA

##### Decision on Proposed Amendments to Tentative Marketing Agreement and to Order

Pursuant to the provisions of the Agricultural Marketing Agreement Act of 1937, as amended (7 U.S.C. 601 et seq.), and the applicable rules of practice and procedure governing the formulation of marketing agreements and marketing orders (7 CFR Part 900), a public hearing was held at Jackson, Miss., on September 13, 1966, pursuant to notice thereof issued on August 18, 1966 (31 F.R. 11153).

Upon the basis of the evidence introduced at the hearing and the record thereof, the Administrator, Consumer and Marketing Service, on October 14, 1966 (31 F.R. 13476; F.R. Doc. 66-11355) filed with the Hearing Clerk, U.S. Department of Agriculture, his recommended decision containing notice of the opportunity to file written exceptions thereto.

The material issues on the record of the hearing relate to:

1. Qualifying standards for pool plants.
2. Diversion provisions and producer status of new dairy farmers entering the market.
3. Inventory classification.
4. Classification of transfers from pool plants to nonpool plants.
5. An appropriate Class I price level after October 1966.
6. Location differentials.
7. Miscellaneous and conforming changes.

The recommended decision indicated that since the Class I milk price provisions expire at the end of October 1966, a separate decision on the issue of Class I pricing was contemplated. To assure the continuation of an appropriate Class I milk price beyond that date, this decision deals only with Issue No. 5 and reserves the remaining issues for a later decision.

*Findings and conclusions.* The following findings and conclusions on the material issues are based on evidence presented at the hearing and the record thereof:



5. *Class I milk price.* The Class I differential should be \$2.35 for the months of November 1966 through and including February 1967, and beginning in March 1967 should be \$2.27 each month. The Class I price should be subject to a supply-demand adjustment based on the relationship of producer milk supplies and Class I sales of handlers.

Producers through their cooperative associations proposed that the Class I differential be \$2.35 in all months of the year. While seasonal differentials stated in the order average \$2.267, annually, proponents supported the higher level based on economic conditions in the market. In favor of the higher price they cited (1) the upward trend of milk sales in Mississippi in recent years, (2) higher costs for milk production, (3) increased economic activity in the State, which would support an upward trend in milk sales, and (4) increased opportunities for farmers to move into other enterprises.

Proponents would also eliminate the seasonal variation of the Class I differentials. They contended that the base plan provides sufficient encouragement for more level production.

The Mississippi milk order was made effective May 1, 1965. The marketing area is constituted of marketing areas previously regulated by the prior Mississippi Delta and Central Mississippi orders and part of the area which was regulated by the Gulf Coast order. The Class I differentials in the new order expire at the end of October 1966, which is the end of the initial 18-month period. It is necessary at this time, therefore, to establish a price level after October so as to maintain an adequate, but not excessive, supply of quality milk for the market.

The milk supply for the Mississippi market is produced primarily within the State. For the first 6 months of 1966, State milk production, including both Grade A and manufacturing grade milk, increased 1.6 percent compared to a year before. A producer witness testified that Grade A milk production in the State increased 4.5 percent in the first 6 months of 1966 over the same period last year. While these data reflect production in the State, not all of such milk production is associated as producer milk with this market.

Producer milk supply under the order increased 2.5 percent during the May-August period in 1966 compared with the same period last year. These are the only months for which comparison can be made under the new order.

Class I sales under the Mississippi order this year in the May-August period were 4.1 percent higher than last year. The percentage of producer milk used in Class I increased slightly, from 65.4 percent to 66.4 percent for the two periods. At no time since the order was made effective has the supply been less than fully adequate. Class I sales tend to change seasonally being highest in the school months of September through May. In 6 of these months, the percentage of producer milk used in Class I ex-

ceeded 77 percent, the highest being 81 percent in February.

The milk supply situation for the Mississippi market is significantly related to the supply situation of the New Orleans market. The southern part of the production area for the market joins the production area for the New Orleans market. To a considerable degree, the milk supplies in this area are interchangeable between the two markets.

A producer representative, whose members primarily supply handlers regulated by the New Orleans order, testified that he shifts producers between the Mississippi and New Orleans markets in response to differences in blend prices between the markets. At times during the past year, the movement has been into the Mississippi market. The supply of reserve milk in the New Orleans market, therefore, is an important factor relating to the supply available for the Mississippi market.

The proportion of reserve milk in the New Orleans market has been greater than in the Mississippi market in each of the 12 months ending August 1966. For that period, the Class I utilization averaged 69 percent, 4 percentage points lower than the Class I utilization of the Mississippi market.<sup>1</sup>

The competition of the Memphis market for milk supplies in the State of Mississippi is evident in some northern areas of the State. A considerable portion of the Memphis marketing area lies within the State of Mississippi and joins the northern boundary of the Mississippi marketing area. The competition for supplies has been relatively local, and total milk supply throughout the Mississippi marketing area is sufficiently mobile to assure that adequacy of supply in this area is not jeopardized.

In view of the foregoing considerations, it is concluded that the milk supply available for the market is adequate both currently and prospectively.

The Class I price in the Mississippi order is established at Gulf Coast locations, with appropriate adjustments for other locations in the market. The price levels at the particular locations represent a continuation of the price levels of the former Gulf Coast, Central Mississippi, and Mississippi Delta orders. The Class I differentials at the Gulf Coast locations are \$2.15 per hundred-weight for the months of March through July and \$2.35 in other months. The price is reduced 10 cents and 26 cents, respectively, for areas corresponding to the prior Central Mississippi and Mississippi Delta marketing areas.

Modifications of the Class I price formula for temporary periods since the inception of the order were made to reflect particular situations. For the first 3 months of the order, May, June, and July 1965, the lower seasonal differential was not used, so as to provide

proper transitional pricing for the Delta area, which had not had seasonal pricing. For the period March 1966 through July of this year, the seasonal decline was abated due to emergency action of the Department on a national basis.

Since that time, the Class I formula has provided a higher level of prices due to the action of the basic formula price. The Class I price of \$6.61 for September exceeds the Class I price of a year earlier by \$1.01.<sup>2</sup> This price represents a higher level than any prior period of regulation. In view of the advance in the price produced by the Class I formula of the order, and the adequacy of supply, it is concluded that the higher price requested would not be appropriate.

The action of the basic formula price, which presently is well above the Department's support price for manufacturing milk, further sustains the Class I price level by the provision that such basic formula price shall be not less than \$4 for the months through March 1967.

The Class I price differential should be continued at the present seasonal differential of \$2.35 for the period November 1966 through February 1967. Beginning in March 1967 the Class I price each month should be established by adding a level differential of \$2.27 to the basic formula price. The latter differential approximates the average, on an annual basis, of the seasonal differentials now in the order. It will provide, as near as is possible to determine, the same returns to producers as the seasonal differentials now stated in the order, and thus would establish a level of pricing which will assure the market of an adequate supply of milk. Producer representatives asserted that level Class I pricing rather than seasonal pricing would facilitate the marketing of their milk. They stated that level pricing would not present any problem in matters of relationship with other markets. Seasonal pricing has not applied in actual prices under Federal orders in Mississippi in recent years.

*Supply-demand adjuster.* It is anticipated that the Class I price provisions proposed herein will continue to assure the market of an adequate supply of quality milk. It is conceivable, however, that changes may occur in the relationship of milk supply to Class I sales. Thus, when milk supplies are more than adequate in relation to Class I sales, the Class I price should be lowered. Conversely, when the milk supply is less than adequate in relation to Class I sales, the Class I milk price should be increased.

A supply-demand adjuster is provided herein to make appropriate adjustments in relation to changes in supplies and sales. It will make price adjustments promptly and automatically without the need for a public hearing each time an adjustment is warranted. Such adjustment is consistent with the criteria of the Agricultural Marketing Agreement

<sup>1</sup> Official notice is hereby taken of the "Statistical Summary and Comparison of Milk Receipts and Utilization" issued monthly by the New Orleans market administrator for the period September 1965 through August 1966.

<sup>2</sup> Official notice is hereby taken of the September 1966 Class I price announcement issued by the market administrator in which the basic formula price for August 1966 is reported as \$4.26.



Act, which requires that the prices established under the Act be reasonable in view of market supply and demand conditions, assure a sufficient quantity of pure and wholesome milk and be in the public interest. The automatic adjustment of Class I milk prices in response to changes in the relation between milk supplies and Class I sales is designed to carry out, in the market, the price objective of the Act through encouragement of supplies at the levels needed for fluid requirements.

The supply-demand adjuster provided herein:

(1) Reflects the pattern of production related to Class I sales for the market during the 15 months ending July 1966.

(2) Limits the monthly changes in the supply-demand adjustment, in specified months, to prevent contraseasonal price changes.

(3) Bases the adjustments on production and Class I sales data for the most recent three 2-month periods.

The contraseasonal provision was requested by a producer representative to prevent substantial price adjustments which are contrary to the usual seasonal movement of prices. This is a proper modification of the supply-demand adjustment to assure that any temporary adjustment is not inconsistent with normal seasonal movement of prices. In addition, the provision basing the adjustments on three 2-month periods will reflect current changes in the relationship between milk supplies and Class I sales. At the same time, it will provide a basis for identifying persistent changes from the "normal" relationship between milk supplies and Class I sales. In general, the mechanics provided herein are similar to those provided in the supply-demand adjusters of a number of other Federal milk orders.

The adjuster provides for a "current utilization percentage" by dividing the total pounds of producer milk in the second and third months preceding the pricing month by the total pounds of Class I milk. This computation, however, excludes interhandler transfers, and any intermarket transfers that would result in the same milk being accounted for the second time as Class I milk. In the operation of the supply-demand adjuster, the deviation of the current utilization percentage from a "standard utilization percentage" is the basis for price adjustment. The standard utilization percentage is based on the relationship of milk supplies to sales since the inception of the order.

Any amount by which the current utilization percentage is less than the "minimum standard utilization percentage" specified in the order is a "minus deviation percentage". Conversely, any amount by which the current utilization percentage exceeds the "maximum standard utilization percentage" specified in the order is a "plus deviation percentage". The range between the maximum and minimum standard utilization percentages is centered on utilization percentages for each month, which are computed from receipts of producer milk and

total Class I sales for 2-month periods since the inception of the order.

For a minus deviation percentage, the Class I price should be increased, and for a plus deviation percentage it is decreased. The rate of adjustment for variations from the standard utilization percentages provided herein would be nominal when such variations first appear, but would be increased progressively as a variation of like direction and amount persisted through two or three consecutive 2-month periods. Such provision will avoid substantial price changes based on minor or nonrecurring deviations from the established norms.

Substantial price adjustment will, however, occur when undersupply or oversupply representing significant deviations from the established norms persist for a period of time. An exception to this is provided for the months of September, October, and November when the supply-demand adjustment for any of those months shall not be lower by more than 5 cents, than such adjustment for the immediately preceding month. For any month of April, May, or June, the supply-demand adjustment would not be higher, by more than 5 cents, than such adjustment for the immediately preceding month. This will avoid abrupt contraseasonal swings in the amount of the supply-demand adjustment.

The adjustment provisions are accomplished by providing that for each unit of deviation from the standard range the price shall be adjusted by 1 cent, plus 1 cent for each such percentage point for which there was a deviation of like extent and character in each of the first and second 2-month periods next preceding. Thus, the effect of the departure from the stated norms would be cumulative. The proposed adjuster would also bring the adjustment back to zero promptly, whenever the ratio of supply to sales again falls within the normal range.

Since the standard utilization percentages are based on actual data since May 1965, the proposed supply-demand adjuster would have made no adjustment in the Class I price since the inception of the order if it had been effective.

The attached order provides the adjustment would not be effective until October 1967. This will allow a period of observation of its action before its effective time.

*Rulings on proposed findings and conclusions.* Briefs and proposed findings and conclusions were filed on behalf of certain interested parties. These briefs, proposed findings and conclusions and the evidence in the record were considered in making the findings and conclusions set forth above. To the extent that the suggested findings and conclusions filed by interested parties are inconsistent with the findings and conclusions set forth herein, the requests to make such findings or reach such conclusions are denied for the reasons previously stated in this decision.

*General findings.* The findings and determinations hereinafter set forth are supplementary and in addition to the findings and determinations previously

made in connection with the issuance of the aforesaid order and of the previously issued amendments thereto; and all of said previous findings and determinations are hereby ratified and affirmed, except insofar as such findings and determinations may be in conflict with the findings and determinations set forth herein.

(a) The tentative marketing agreement and the order, as hereby proposed to be amended, and all of the terms and conditions thereof, will tend to effectuate the declared policy of the Act;

(b) The parity prices of milk as determined pursuant to section 2 of the Act are not reasonable in view of the price of feeds, available supplies of feeds, and other economic conditions which affect market supply and demand for milk in the marketing area, and the minimum prices specified in the proposed marketing agreement and the order, as hereby proposed to be amended, are such prices as will reflect the aforesaid factors, insure a sufficient quantity of pure and wholesome milk, and be in the public interest; and

(c) The tentative marketing agreement and the order, as hereby proposed to be amended, will regulate the handling of milk in the same manner as, and will be applicable only to persons in the respective classes of industrial and commercial activity specified in, a marketing agreement upon which a hearing has been held.

*Rulings on exceptions.* In arriving at the findings and conclusions, and the regulatory provisions of this decision, each of the exceptions received was carefully and fully considered in conjunction with the record evidence pertaining thereto. To the extent that the findings and conclusions, and the regulatory provisions of this decision are at variance with any of the exceptions, such exceptions are hereby overruled for the reasons previously stated in this decision.

*Marketing agreement and order.* Annexed hereto and made a part hereof are two documents entitled respectively, "Marketing Agreement Regulating the Handling of Milk in the Mississippi Marketing Area", and "Order Amending the Order Regulating the Handling of Milk in the Mississippi Marketing Area", which have been decided upon as the detailed and appropriate means of effectuating the foregoing conclusions.

*It is hereby ordered.* That all of this decision, except the attached marketing agreement, be published in the FEDERAL REGISTER. The regulatory provisions of said marketing agreement are identical with those contained in the order as hereby proposed to be amended by the attached order which will be published with this decision.

*Determination of representative period.* The month of September 1966 is hereby determined to be the representative period for the purpose of ascertaining whether the issuance of the attached order, as amended and as hereby proposed to be amended, regulating the handling of milk in the Mississippi marketing area, is approved or favored by producers, as defined under the terms of



the order, as amended and as hereby proposed to be amended, and who, during such representative period, were engaged in the production of milk for sale within the aforesaid marketing area.

Signed at Washington, D.C., on October 28, 1966.

JOHN A. SCHNITTKER,  
Under Secretary.

*Order Amending the Order Regulating the Handling of Milk in the Mississippi Marketing Area*

§ 1103.0 Findings and determinations.

The findings and determinations hereinafter set forth are supplementary and in addition to the findings and determinations previously made in connection with the issuance of the aforesaid order and of the previously issued amendments thereto; and all of said previous findings and determinations are hereby ratified and affirmed, except insofar as such findings and determinations may be in conflict with the findings and determinations set forth herein.

(a) *Findings upon the basis of the hearing record.* Pursuant to the provisions of the Agricultural Marketing Agreement Act of 1937, as amended (7 U.S.C. 601 et seq.), and the applicable rules of practice and procedure governing the formulation of marketing agreements and marketing orders (7 CFR Part 900), a public hearing was held upon certain proposed amendments to the tentative marketing agreement and to the order regulating the handling of milk in the Mississippi marketing area. Upon the basis of the evidence introduced at such hearing and the record thereof, it is found that:

(1) The said order as hereby amended, and all of the terms and conditions thereof, will tend to effectuate the declared policy of the Act;

(2) The parity prices of milk, as determined pursuant to section 2 of the Act, are not reasonable in view of the price of feeds, available supplies of feeds, and other economic conditions which affect market supply and demand for milk in the said marketing area, and the

<sup>1</sup> This order shall not become effective unless and until the requirements of § 900.14 of the rules of practice and procedure governing proceedings to formulate marketing agreements and marketing orders have been met.

minimum prices specified in the order as hereby amended, are such prices as will reflect the aforesaid factors, insure a sufficient quantity of pure and wholesome milk, and be in the public interest; and

(3) The said order as hereby amended, regulates the handling of milk in the same manner as, and is applicable only to persons in the respective classes of industrial or commercial activity specified in, a marketing agreement upon which a hearing has been held.

*Order relative to handling.* It is therefore ordered, that on and after the effective date hereof, the handling of milk in the Mississippi marketing area shall be in conformity to and in compliance with the terms and conditions of the aforesaid order as amended and as hereby amended, as follows:

Section 1103.51(a) is revised to read as follows:

§ 1103.51 Class prices.

(a) *Class I milk price.* The minimum Class I milk price for the month shall be the basic formula price for the preceding month, plus \$2.27 each month, plus or minus a supply-demand adjustment beginning in October 1967 computed pursuant to subparagraphs (1), (2), and (3) of this paragraph: *Provided*, That the Class I price for each of the months of December 1966 and January and February 1967 shall be the basic formula price for the preceding month plus \$2.35.

(1) Divide the total pounds of producer milk in the second and third month preceding by the total pounds of Class I milk (excluding interhandler transfers and including any net transfers between Federal order markets) in the same months of handlers fully regulated under this part, multiply the results by 100, and round to the nearest whole number. The result shall be known as the "current utilization percentage".

(2) Compute a "deviation percentage" as follows:

(i) If the current utilization percentage is neither less than the minimum standard utilization percentage specified below nor in excess of the maximum standard utilization percentage specified below, the deviation percentage is zero;

(ii) Any amount by which the current utilization percentage is less than the minimum standard utilization percentage specified below is a "minus deviation percentage";

(iii) Any amount by which the current utilization percentage exceeds the maximum standard utilization percentage specified below is a "plus deviation percentage".

| Month for which price applies | Months used in computation | Standard utilization percentages |         |
|-------------------------------|----------------------------|----------------------------------|---------|
|                               |                            | Minimum                          | Maximum |
| January                       | October-November           | 124                              | 128     |
| February                      | November-December          | 129                              | 133     |
| March                         | December-January           | 130                              | 134     |
| April                         | January-February           | 123                              | 127     |
| May                           | February-March             | 123                              | 127     |
| June                          | March-April                | 131                              | 135     |
| July                          | April-May                  | 141                              | 145     |
| August                        | May-June                   | 150                              | 154     |
| September                     | June-July                  | 148                              | 152     |
| October                       | July-August                | 147                              | 151     |
| November                      | August-September           | 135                              | 139     |
| December                      | September-October          | 124                              | 128     |

(3) For a "minus deviation percentage" the Class I price shall be increased and for a "plus deviation percentage" the Class I price shall be decreased as follows: *Provided*, That the supply-demand adjustment for any month of September, October, or November shall not be lower, by more than 5 cents, than such adjustment for the immediately preceding month; and for any month of April, May, or June of each year shall not be higher, by more than 5 cents, than such adjustment for the immediately preceding month:

(i) One cent times each such percentage unit of deviation; plus

(ii) One cent times the lesser of:

(a) Each percentage unit of deviation, or

(b) Each percentage unit of deviation of like direction (plus or minus, with any deviation percentage of opposite direction considered to be zero for purposes of computations of this subparagraph) computed pursuant to subparagraph (2) of this paragraph for the month immediately preceding; plus

(iii) One cent times the least of:

(a) Each percentage unit of deviation;

(b) Each percentage unit of deviation of like direction computed pursuant to subparagraph (2) of this paragraph for the month immediately preceding; or

(c) Each percentage unit of deviation of like direction computed pursuant to subparagraph (2) of this paragraph for the second preceding month.

[F.R. Doc. 66-11985; Filed, Nov. 2, 1966; 8:46 a.m.]



# Notices

## DEPARTMENT OF THE TREASURY

### Internal Revenue Service

#### RELIEF FROM EXCESS PROFITS TAX BECAUSE OF AN INADEQUATE EX- CESS PROFITS CREDIT

#### Allowances During Fiscal Year Ended June 30, 1966

As required by section 6105 of the 1954 Internal Revenue Code the following list, containing one case in which relief under section 722 of the 1939 Code has been allowed, shows the name and address of the corporation to which relief has been allowed, business engaged in, taxable years involved, excess profits credit allowed, decrease in excess profits tax, and increase in income tax. The allowance

pursuant to a decision entered by the Tax Court of the United States has been made in the docketed case shown in the list with appropriate notations.

For taxable years beginning after December 31, 1940, a portion of the amount by which the excess profits tax is reduced by reason of the application of section 722 is offset by an increase in income tax. This offset arises from the provisions which permit the deduction of the income subject to excess profits tax (or excess profits tax in certain taxable years) in arriving at income subject to income tax.

Lists containing the cases in which relief has been allowed for prior fiscal years have been published in the various issues of the FEDERAL REGISTER as follows:

| Fiscal year ended  | Volume | Number | Date           |
|--------------------|--------|--------|----------------|
| June 30, 1942..... | 9      | 194    | Sept. 28, 1944 |
| June 30, 1943..... | 9      | 194    | Do.            |
| June 30, 1944..... | 9      | 219    | Nov. 2, 1944   |
| June 30, 1945..... | 10     | 224    | Nov. 15, 1945  |
| June 30, 1946..... | 11     | 196    | Oct. 8, 1946   |
| June 30, 1947..... | 12     | 197    | Oct. 8, 1947   |
| June 30, 1948..... | 13     | 206    | Oct. 21, 1948  |
| June 30, 1949..... | 14     | 201    | Oct. 18, 1949  |
| June 30, 1950..... | 15     | 205    | Oct. 21, 1950  |
| June 30, 1951..... | 16     | 211    | Oct. 30, 1951  |
| June 30, 1952..... | 17     | 175    | Sept. 6, 1952  |
| June 30, 1953..... | 18     | 164    | Aug. 21, 1953  |
| June 30, 1954..... | 19     | 185    | Sept. 23, 1954 |
| June 30, 1955..... | 20     | 219    | Nov. 9, 1955   |
| June 30, 1956..... | 21     | 183    | Sept. 20, 1956 |
| June 30, 1957..... | 22     | 173    | Sept. 6, 1957  |
| June 30, 1958..... | 23     | 168    | Aug. 27, 1958  |
| June 30, 1959..... | 24     | 176    | Sept. 5, 1959  |
| June 30, 1960..... | 25     | 181    | Sept. 16, 1960 |
| June 30, 1961..... | 26     | 165    | Aug. 26, 1961  |
| June 30, 1962..... | 27     | 187    | Sept. 26, 1962 |
| June 30, 1963..... | 28     | 195    | Oct. 5, 1963   |
| June 30, 1964..... | 29     | 230    | Nov. 25, 1964  |
| June 30, 1965..... | 30     | 245    | Dec. 21, 1965  |

EXCESS PROFITS TAX RELIEF GRANTED UNDER SECTION 722 OF THE INTERNAL REVENUE CODE BY THE COMMISSIONER OF INTERNAL REVENUE

FISCAL YEAR ENDED JUNE 30, 1966

| Name and address of taxpayer<br>(arranged by Internal Revenue<br>districts in which excess profits<br>tax returns were filed) | Business in which engaged                         | Taxable<br>year ended                          | Excess profits<br>credit before<br>allowance<br>of relief | Increase in the<br>amount of excess<br>profits credit<br>claimed by<br>taxpayer | Increase in the<br>amount of excess<br>profits credit<br>allowed | Gross reduction<br>in the excess<br>profits (subchap-<br>ter E) tax re-<br>sulting from the<br>operation of<br>section 722 | Gross increase in<br>the income (chap-<br>ter 1) tax resulting<br>from the opera-<br>tion of section 722 |
|---|---|--|---|---|--|--|--|
| (1)   | (2)   | (3)  | (4)   | (5)   | (6)  | (7)  | (8)  |
| DALLAS:<br>The Shamrock Oil & Gas<br>Corp., Post Office Box 631,<br>Amarillo, Tex.  | Processing, refining, and sale of<br>oil and gas. | 11-30-43 <sup>1</sup><br>11-30-44 <sup>1</sup> | \$537,209.90<br>428,779.08                                | \$1,010,449.35<br>1,118,880.17  | \$134,103.18<br>242,534.00                                       | \$132,806.69<br>234,483.48   | \$59,025.20<br>99,164.23   |

<sup>1</sup> Allowance in accordance with a decision of the Tax Court of the United States based on agreed settlement of parties on section 722 issue. No previous allowance by Commissioner. Due to appeal on concomitant nonsection 722 issues in which

Tax Court findings were affirmed the decision did not become final until November 1, 1965.

[SEAL]

SHELDON S. COHEN,  
Commissioner of Internal Revenue.

[F.R. Doc. 66-11986; Filed, Nov. 2, 1966; 8:45 a.m.]

## DEPARTMENT OF THE INTERIOR

### Bureau of Land Management

[New Mexico 0560202]

#### NEW MEXICO

#### Notice of Classification of Lands

OCTOBER 28, 1966.

Pursuant to the Act of September 19, 1964 (43 U.S.C. 1411-18) and the regulations in 43 CFR Parts 2410 and 2411, the public lands within the area described below, together with any lands therein that may become public lands in the future, are classified for multiple use management. The described public lands are segregated from appropriation under the Homestead, Desert Land, and Allotment laws (43 U.S.C. p. 7, 43 U.S.C. p. 9, and 25 U.S.C. 334), and from sale under 2455 of the Revised Statutes (43 U.S.C. 1171).

There were no comments received following publication of the notice of proposed classification (31 F.R. 9881). No adverse comments were received at the

public hearing on the proposed classification which was held September 1, 1966. The record showing endorsements of the classification made by members of the public attending the hearing is on file and can be examined in the Roswell District Office and the Land Office, Santa Fe, N. Mex. The public lands affected by this classification are located within the following described area and are shown on maps on file in the Roswell District Office, Roswell, N. Mex., and on maps and records in the New Mexico Land Office, Bureau of Land Management, U.S. Post Office and Federal Building, South Federal Place, Santa Fe, N. Mex.

#### NEW MEXICO PRINCIPAL MERIDIAN

T. 16 S., R. 30 E.,  
Sec. 33, S $\frac{1}{2}$ SE $\frac{1}{4}$ ;  
Sec. 34, S $\frac{1}{2}$ ;  
Sec. 35, E $\frac{1}{2}$ , S $\frac{1}{2}$ NW $\frac{1}{4}$  and SW $\frac{1}{4}$ ;  
Sec. 36, W $\frac{1}{2}$ , S $\frac{1}{2}$ NE $\frac{1}{4}$  and SE $\frac{1}{4}$ .  
T. 16 S., R. 31 E.,  
Sec. 21, S $\frac{1}{2}$ ;  
Sec. 22, S $\frac{1}{2}$ ;  
Secs. 25 to 28, inclusive;  
Sec. 31, S $\frac{1}{2}$ ;  
Sec. 32, S $\frac{1}{2}$ S $\frac{1}{2}$ ;  
Secs. 33 to 36, inclusive.

T. 17 S., R. 29 E.,  
Sec. 22, S $\frac{1}{2}$ ;  
Sec. 23, S $\frac{1}{2}$ ;  
Sec. 24, S $\frac{1}{2}$ ;  
Secs. 26 and 27;  
Secs. 34, 35, and 36.  
T. 17 S., R. 30 E.,  
Secs. 1 to 4, inclusive;  
Sec. 5, E $\frac{1}{2}$ ;  
Sec. 8, E $\frac{1}{2}$ ;  
Secs. 9 to 16, inclusive;  
Sec. 17, E $\frac{1}{2}$  and SW $\frac{1}{4}$ ;  
Sec. 19, E $\frac{1}{2}$  and SW $\frac{1}{4}$ ;  
Secs. 20 to 36, inclusive.  
T. 17 S., R. 31 E.,  
T. 17 S., R. 32 E.,  
Secs. 7 to 10, inclusive;  
Secs. 15 to 23, inclusive;  
Secs. 26 to 35, inclusive.  
T. 18 S., R. 29 E.,  
Secs. 1 to 3, inclusive;  
Secs. 10 to 15, inclusive;  
Secs. 22 to 27, inclusive;  
Secs. 33 to 36, inclusive.  
T. 18 S., R. 30, 31, and 32 E.,  
T. 18 S., R. 33 E.,  
Secs. 5 to 8, inclusive;  
Sec. 9, S $\frac{1}{2}$ ;  
Secs. 13 to 36, inclusive.  
T. 18 S., R. 34 E.,  
Sec. 18, W $\frac{1}{2}$ ;  
Sec. 19, W $\frac{1}{2}$ ;  
Secs. 29 to 32, inclusive.



T. 19 S., R. 27 E.,  
Secs. 32 to 36, inclusive.  
T. 19 S., R. 28 E.,  
Secs. 31 to 36, inclusive.  
T. 19 S., R. 29 E.,  
Secs. 31 to 36, inclusive.  
T. 19 S., Rs. 30, 31, 32, and 33 E.,  
T. 19 S., R. 34 E.,  
Secs. 4 to 9, inclusive;  
Sec. 14, S $\frac{1}{2}$ ;  
Secs. 15 to 36, inclusive.  
T. 20 S., R. 27 E.,  
Secs. 1 to 6, inclusive;  
Sec. 7, N $\frac{1}{2}$ ;  
Secs. 8 to 17, inclusive;  
Secs. 20, 21, 28, and 29.  
T. 20 S., R. 28 E.,  
Secs. 1 to 18, inclusive;  
Secs. 20 to 29, inclusive;  
Secs. 31 to 36, inclusive.  
T. 20 S., Rs. 29, 30, 31, 32, and 33 E.,  
T. 20 S., R. 34 E.,  
Secs. 1 to 12, inclusive;  
Secs. 14 to 23, inclusive;  
Secs. 26 to 35, inclusive.  
T. 21 S., R. 27 E.,  
Secs. 1 to 5, inclusive;  
Secs. 8 to 17, inclusive;  
Secs. 22 to 24, inclusive.  
T. 21 S., Rs. 28, 29, 30, 31, and 32 E.,  
T. 22 S., R. 28 E.,  
Secs. 1 to 28, inclusive;  
Secs. 33 to 36, inclusive.  
T. 22 S., Rs. 29, 30, 31, and 32 E.,  
T. 23 S., R. 28 E.,  
Sec. 1.  
T. 23 S., R. 29 E.,  
Secs. 1 to 6, inclusive;  
Secs. 10 to 15, inclusive;  
Sec. 20, S $\frac{1}{2}$  S $\frac{1}{2}$ ;  
Sec. 21, S $\frac{1}{2}$  S $\frac{1}{2}$ ;  
Secs. 22 to 29, inclusive;  
Sec. 30, E $\frac{1}{2}$ ;  
Sec. 31, E $\frac{1}{2}$ ;  
Secs. 32 to 36, inclusive.  
T. 23 S., Rs. 30, 31, and 32 E.,  
T. 24 S., R. 28 E.,  
Secs. 24, 25, and 36.  
T. 24 S., R. 29 E.,  
Secs. 1 to 5, inclusive;  
Sec. 6, E $\frac{1}{2}$ ;  
Sec. 7, E $\frac{1}{2}$ ;  
Secs. 8 to 17, inclusive;  
Secs. 19 to 36, inclusive.  
T. 24 S., Rs. 30, 31, and 32 E.,  
T. 25 S., R. 28 E.,  
Secs. 1 and 2;  
Secs. 11 to 14, inclusive;  
Secs. 23 to 26, inclusive;  
Sec. 35, E $\frac{1}{2}$ ;  
Sec. 36.  
T. 25 S., Rs. 29, 30, 31, 32, and 33 E.,  
T. 25 S., R. 34 E.,  
Secs. 3 to 10, inclusive;  
Sec. 11, S $\frac{1}{2}$ ;  
Sec. 12, S $\frac{1}{2}$ ;  
Secs. 13 to 36, inclusive.  
T. 25 S., R. 35 E.,  
Sec. 7, S $\frac{1}{2}$ ;  
Secs. 13 to 36, inclusive.  
T. 25 S., R. 36 E.,  
Secs. 19, 30, and 31.  
T. 26 S., R. 28 E.,  
Sec. 1;  
Sec. 2, E $\frac{1}{2}$ ;  
Sec. 11, E $\frac{1}{2}$ ;  
Secs. 12 and 13;  
Sec. 14, E $\frac{1}{2}$ ;  
Sec. 23, E $\frac{1}{2}$  and S $\frac{1}{2}$  SW $\frac{1}{4}$ ;  
Secs. 24, 25, 26, 35, and 36.  
T. 26 S., Rs. 29, 30, 31, 32, 33, 34, 35, and 36 E.,  
T. 26 S., R. 37 E.,  
Secs. 6, 7, 18, 19, 30, and 31.

The public lands in the areas described aggregate approximately 920,600 acres.

For a period of 30 days from date of publication of this notice in the FEDERAL

REGISTER, this classification shall be subject to the exercise of administrative review and modification by the Secretary of the Interior as provided for in 43 CFR 2411.2c.

MORRIS A. TRAGSTAD,  
Acting State Director.

[F.R. Doc. 66-11974; Filed, Nov. 2, 1966;  
8:45 a.m.]

## OREGON

### Notice of Proposed Withdrawal and Reservation of Land

OCTOBER 26, 1966.

The Forest Service, U.S. Department of Agriculture, has filed an application, Serial Number Oregon 498, for the withdrawal of the public lands described below, from all forms of appropriation under the mining laws (Ch. 2, 30 U.S.C.) but not from leasing under the mineral leasing laws.

The applicant desires the land in order to protect the outstanding scenic recreational area for public use and to safeguard the Government's present and future investments in the area.

For a period of 30 days from the date of publication of this notice, all persons who wish to submit comments, suggestions, or objections in connection with the proposed withdrawal may present their views in writing to the undersigned office of the Bureau of Land Management, Department of the Interior, 729 Northeast Oregon Street (Post Office Box 2965), Portland, Oreg. 97208.

The authorized office of the Bureau of Land Management will undertake such investigations as are necessary to determine the existing and potential demand for the lands and their resources. He will also undertake negotiations with the applicant agency with the view of adjusting the application to reduce the area to the minimum essential to meet the applicant's needs, to provide for the maximum concurrent utilization of the lands for purposes other than the applicant's, to eliminate lands needed for purposes more essential than the applicant's, and to reach agreement on the concurrent management of the lands and their resources.

He will also prepare a report for consideration by the Secretary of the Interior who will determine whether or not the lands will be withdrawn as requested by the Forest Service.

The determination of the Secretary on the application will be published in the FEDERAL REGISTER. A separate notice will be sent to each interested party of record.

If circumstances warrant it, a public hearing will be held at a convenient time and place which will be announced.

The lands involved in the application are:

WILLAMETTE MERIDIAN

SISKIYOU NATIONAL FOREST

Lower Rogue River Recreational Area  
Addition

T. 35 S., R. 12 W.,  
Sec. 20, portions of lots 3 and 4 and NE $\frac{1}{4}$ SW $\frac{1}{4}$  described as follows:

Beginning at a cross chipped on a large boulder at mouth of Tommy East Creek on north bank of Rogue River, which boulder is sometimes described as being 13.16 chains N. and 33.54 chains E. of SW corner of said section 20; thence up center of Tommy East Creek to point 850' N. and 700' W. of said cross; thence (var. 20° E.) S. 59° E., 44 feet; thence following old County Trail S. 30° 20' E., 171.1 feet; thence S. 75° 20' E., 104.3 feet; thence S. 70° 45' E., 195.4 feet; thence S. 70° 30' E., 174.3 feet; thence N. 50° E., 57.4 feet; thence N. 26° E., 151.3 feet; thence N. 17° 20' E., 271.0 feet; thence leaving said County Trail N. 37° 50' E., 460 feet to a cross marked on a rock in middle of a creek; thence SE following center of said creek 900 feet, more or less, to north bank of Rogue River; thence SW following said north bank of Rogue River 1,600 feet, more or less, to place of beginning, except that parcel conveyed to Wayne H. Adams, Deed 87, pp. 448-9, Deed Records, Curry County, Oreg., containing 1.7 acres, more or less, lying in Lot 4, described as follows:

Beginning at a boulder at mouth of Tommy East Creek and marked with an "X" described as being 868.6 feet N. and 2,213.6 feet E. of SW corner of said section 20; thence N. 18° 30' E., 254.0 feet; thence N. 47° 0' W., 149.0 feet to an iron pipe; thence N. 47° 0' W., 118.6 feet to an iron pipe; thence S. 60° 0' W., 88.8 feet to an iron pipe; thence S. 34° 45' W., 150.1 feet to center of Tommy East Creek; thence S. 22° 15' E., 68.0 feet; thence S. 89° 0' E., 101.0 feet; thence S. 38° 18' E., 243.5 feet to point of beginning, except any portion of the above-described tract that may lie below ordinary high-water line of the Rogue River.

The area described aggregates 19.29 acres.

ERLING A. OLSON,  
Chief, Lands Adjudication Section.

[F.R. Doc. 66-11975; Filed, Nov. 2, 1966;  
8:46 a.m.]

## Fish and Wildlife Service

[Docket No. C-253]

CLARK D. PERMAR

### Notice of Loan Application

OCTOBER 31, 1966.

Clark D. Permar, 1120 Hearst Avenue, Berkeley, Calif. 94702, has applied for a loan from the Fisheries Loan Fund to aid in financing the purchase of a new 48-foot registered length vessel to engage in the fishery for salmon, albacore, Dungeness crab, sole, and rockfishes.

Notice is hereby given pursuant to the provisions of Public Law 89-85 and Fisheries Loan Fund Procedures (50 CFR Part 250, as revised Aug. 11, 1965) that the above-entitled application is being considered by the Bureau of Commercial Fisheries, Fish and Wildlife Service, Department of the Interior, Washington, D.C. 20240. Any person desiring to submit evidence that the contemplated operation of such vessel will cause economic hardship or injury to efficient vessel operators already operating in that fishery must submit such evidence in writing to the Director, Bureau of Commercial Fisheries, within 30 days from the date of publication of this notice. If such evidence is received it will be evaluated along with such other evidence as may be available before making a determina-



tion that the contemplated operations of the vessel will or will not cause such economic hardship or injury.

HAROLD E. CROWTHER,  
Acting Director,  
Bureau of Commercial Fisheries.

[F.R. Doc. 66-11973; Filed, Nov. 2, 1966;  
8:45 a.m.]

## DEPARTMENT OF AGRICULTURE

### Rural Electrification Administration

#### VARIOUS OFFICIALS

#### Delegations of Authority Regarding Powers of Administrator

Notice on Delegations of Authority Regarding Powers of Administrator (31 F.R. 12107-12110) is revised as follows:

Section B thereof is revised by (i) adding thereto the following paragraph and (ii) renumbering paragraph 5 to paragraph 6:

5. When required by loan contract, the determination that a borrower's financial and operating condition requires the reimbursement with loan funds of general funds used by the borrower for construction.

Section C thereof is revised to have paragraph 6 read as follows:

6. Subject to section B, paragraph 5, the use and reimbursement of general funds for construction purposes exceeding \$50,000 or 10 percent of a borrower's total assets, whichever is the lesser, when approval is required, except for facilities subject to power supply survey and requiring certification by the Administrator.

Section D thereof by (i) adding thereto the following paragraph and (ii) renumbering paragraph 4 to paragraph 5:

4. Schedules submitted by a borrower pursuant to loan contract covering construction and the use of the borrower's general funds and loan funds therefor and the modification of such schedules.

Section F thereof is revised by (i) adding thereto the following paragraph and (ii) renumbering paragraph 6 to paragraph 7:

6. Schedules submitted by a borrower pursuant to a loan contract covering construction and the use of the borrower's general funds and loan funds therefor and the modification of such schedules.

Section H thereof is revised to have paragraph 7 read as follows:

7. Subject to section B, paragraph 5, the use or reimbursement of general funds for construction purposes not exceeding \$50,000 or 10 percent of a borrower's total assets, whichever is the lesser, when approval is required, except for facilities subject to power supply survey and requiring certification by the Administrator.

Section M thereof is revised to have paragraph 6 read as follows:

6. Subject to section B, paragraph 5, the use or reimbursement of general funds for construction purposes not exceeding \$50,000 or 10 percent of a borrower's total assets, whichever is the

lesser, when approval is approved, except for facilities subject to power supply survey and requiring certification by the Administrator.

These delegations supersede all prior delegations with reference to these matters.

Issued this 29th day of October 1966.

NORMAN M. CLAPP,  
Administrator.

[F.R. Doc. 66-12003; Filed, Nov. 2, 1966;  
8:48 a.m.]

## DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

### National Bureau of Standards

#### NATIONAL BUREAU OF STANDARDS RADIO STATIONS

#### Notice of U.S. Standard Frequency and Time Broadcasts

In accordance with the National Bureau of Standards policy of giving monthly notices regarding changes of phases in seconds pulses, notice is hereby given that there will be an adjustment in the phase of seconds pulses emitted from radio station WWVB, Fort Collins, Colo. On December 1, 1966, the clock at the station will be retarded by 200 ms at 0000 hours UT (7 p.m., e.s.t., of November 30, 1966). The successive time pulses emitted from station WWVB are 1 second apart. The carrier frequency is 60 kHz and is broadcast without offset.

Notice is also hereby given that there will be no adjustment in the phases of time signals emitted from radio stations WWV, Fort Collins, Colo. (prior to December 1, 1966, located at Greenbelt, Md.), and WWVH, Maui, Hawaii, on December 1, 1966. During 1966, the pulses will occur at intervals which are longer than 1 second by 300 parts in  $10^9$ , due to the offset to be maintained in carrier frequencies, as coordinated by the Bureau International de l'Heure (BIH).

Phase adjustments, when made, insure that the emitted pulses from all stations will remain within about 100 ms of the UT2 scale. They are made necessary because of changes in the speed of rotation of the earth with which the UT2 scale is associated. Daily UT2 information is obtained from weekly forecasts of UT2 provided by the U.S. Naval Observatory in accordance with the close cooperation maintained between the two agencies.

A. V. ASTIN,  
Director.

OCTOBER 31, 1966.

[F.R. Doc. 66-12052; Filed, Nov. 2, 1966;  
10:11 a.m.]

### Maritime Administration

#### ALASKA STEAMSHIP CO.

#### 3 CI-M-AVI Type Government-Owned Vessels

#### CONTINUANCE OF BAREBOAT CHARTERS

Notice of the above-captioned matter appeared in the FEDERAL REGISTER issue

of October 15, 1966 (31 F.R. 13396), in which interested persons were given permission to file written objections or request a hearing by October 21, 1966. Notice is hereby given that no objections nor requests for a hearing were received; therefore, the findings of the Acting Maritime Administrator are now final.

Dated: October 31, 1966.

By order of the Acting Maritime Administrator.

JAMES S. DAWSON, Jr.,  
Secretary.

[F.R. Doc. 66-11995; Filed, Nov. 2, 1966;  
8:47 a.m.]

### MELLON NATIONAL BANK AND TRUST CO.

#### Notice of Approval of Applicant as Trustee

Notice is hereby given that the Mellon National Bank and Trust Co., a national banking association organized and existing under the laws of the United States with offices at Mellon Square, Pittsburgh, Pa., 15230, has been approved as a trustee pursuant to Public Law 89-346 and 46 CFR 221.21-221.30.

Dated: October 25, 1966.

M. I. GOODMAN,  
Chief, Office of Ship Operations.

[F.R. Doc. 66-11996; Filed, Nov. 2, 1966;  
8:47 a.m.]

### DELTA STEAMSHIP LINES, INC.

#### Notice of Application

Notice is hereby given that Delta Steamship Lines, Inc., has filed application dated October 11, 1966, to modify a waiver previously granted under the provisions of section 804 of the Merchant Marine Act, 1936, as amended (which waiver now permits Delta to furnish husbanding agency services, excluding the solicitation or booking of cargo or passengers, at U.S. Gulf Coast ports to the foreign-flag vessels of The Booth Steamship Co., Ltd., and Lamport & Holt Line, Ltd.) in such a way as to permit Delta to provide agency services (including, among others, booking and solicitation) for Booth and Booth-Lamport in connection with the carriage of passengers on Booth-Lamport and/or Booth vessels operating as described in Federal Maritime Commission Agreement No. 9216, as heretofore amended to the date of this notice.

Any person, firm, or corporation having an interest in this application, who desires to offer views and comments thereon for consideration by the Maritime Administration, should submit same in writing, in triplicate, to the Secretary, Maritime Administration, Washington, D.C., by the close of business on November 10, 1966. The Maritime Administration will consider these views and comments and take such ac-



tion with respect thereto as may be deemed appropriate.

Dated: October 31, 1966.

By order of the Acting Maritime Administrator.

JAMES S. DAWSON, Jr.,  
Secretary.

[F.R. Doc. 66-11997; Filed, Nov. 2, 1966;  
8:48 a.m.]

## CIVIL AERONAUTICS BOARD

[Docket No. 16503; Order No. E-24343]

### AIR TRANSPORT ASSOCIATION

#### Order Regarding Charge for In-Flight Entertainment

Adopted by the Civil Aeronautics Board at its office in Washington, D.C., on the 31st day of October 1966.

Agreement adopted by certain members of the Air Transport Association relating to a charge for in-flight entertainment on flights between points within the continental United States, Docket No. 16503, Agreement CAB 18922.

Pursuant to a request from American Airlines, Inc., the Board on September 2, 1966, postponed oral argument in this docket to a date to be later assigned. By letter filed October 17, 1966, American states that it has no objection to a rescheduling of oral argument. In addition, American advises that it has decided to purchase a new in-flight entertainment system from Consolidated Electrodynamics Corp. and that the proposed \$2 charge is still appropriate.

By motion filed October 17, 1966, In-flight Motion Pictures, Inc., requests that the Board (1) require American to file with the Board at least 15 days prior to oral argument, supplemental comments setting out the cost data on which it now relies; and (2) permit the other parties to file within 10 days after the filing by American of its supplemental comments, supplemental reply comments limited in scope to (a) matters raised by American's supplemental comments and (b) pertinent developments in in-flight entertainment since September 7, 1966. In support thereof Inflight relies upon Board Order E-23859, June 24, 1966, requiring the carriers to submit data showing the current costs of providing various types of in-flight entertainment, and to recently published reports of international airlines concerning their experience under the \$2.50 IATA agreed entertainment charge.

Inflight's motion will be granted. While American has filed cost data relating to its Sony entertainment system, which apparently will be abandoned, nothing has been filed relating to the cost of the system developed by Consolidated Electrodynamics Corp. In addition to the cost data, American should include a description of the new system it is adopting. All parties will be permitted to file reply comments directed to American's supplemental comments and any pertinent developments in in-flight entertainment since September 7, 1966.

A new date for oral argument will be fixed by separate notice to the parties.

Accordingly, pursuant to the Federal Aviation Act of 1958,

It is ordered, That:

1. American Airlines, Inc., shall, within 10 days from the date of service of this order, file supplemental comments in this docket containing a description of its in-flight entertainment system developed by Consolidated Electrodynamics Corp., and data showing its cost of providing in-flight entertainment with this system in total as well as the costs per seat, per passenger, and per user; and

2. Within 10 days after the filing of supplemental comments in accordance with paragraph 1, above, any air carrier party or any interested person may file supplemental reply comments which shall be limited in scope to (a) matters raised by American's supplemental comments and (b) pertinent developments in in-flight entertainment since September 7, 1966.

This order will be published in the FEDERAL REGISTER.

By the Civil Aeronautics Board.

[SEAL] HAROLD R. SANDERSON,  
Secretary.

[F.R. Doc. 66-11992; Filed, Nov. 2, 1966;  
8:47 a.m.]

## FEDERAL POWER COMMISSION

[Docket Nos. CP66-336, CP67-62]

### ALABAMA-TENNESSEE NATURAL GAS CO. ET AL.

#### Notice of Consolidation and Date of Hearing

OCTOBER 26, 1966.

Notice of the application filed in Docket No. CP66-336 was issued by the Secretary of the Commission on May 2, 1966, and published in the FEDERAL REGISTER on May 7, 1966 (31 F.R. 6845). Applicant therein requests authority to increase its lateral pipeline capacity in order to render additional natural gas service to the city of Corinth, Miss., an existing customer, upon condition that Corinth enter into certain contractual arrangements with Alabama-Tennessee Natural Gas Co. (Alabama-Tennessee), all as set forth in said application.

On August 11, 1966, the Secretary of the Commission issued a notice setting the date of hearing on said application on September 27, 1966, which notice was published in the FEDERAL REGISTER on August 19, 1966 (31 F.R. 11043). Thereafter, on September 20, 1966, a notice was issued by the Secretary postponing said hearing date to November 29, 1966 (31 F.R. 12652).

On September 20, 1966, the Secretary of the Commission issued a notice of application in Docket No. CP67-62, which was published in the FEDERAL REGISTER on September 27, 1966 (31 F.R. 12652). By its application in said docket, the city of Corinth, Miss., seeks an order of the Commission directing Tennessee Gas

Pipeline Co. to establish physical connection, of its transportation facilities with the facilities of Corinth, and sell to Corinth, directly, a supply of natural gas for resale to Corinth's consumers.

These related applications should be heard upon a consolidated record and are hereby consolidated for hearing to be held on November 29, 1966, at 10 a.m., e.s.t., in a hearing room of the Federal Power Commission, 441 G Street, NW., Washington, D.C., concerning the matters involved in and the issues presented by said applications.

Take notice that the city of Corinth is required to serve and file its direct evidence in Docket No. CP67-62 and also its answering evidence in Docket No. CP66-336 on or before November 15, 1966. Alabama-Tennessee Natural Gas Co. and Tennessee Gas Pipeline Co., a division of Tenneco Inc., shall each serve and file its answering evidence, in Docket No. CP67-62 on or before November 28, 1966; and the Commission Staff shall serve and file its evidence in each of said dockets on or before November 28, 1966.

JOSEPH H. GUTRIDE,  
Secretary.

[F.R. Doc. 66-11963; Filed, Nov. 2, 1966;  
8:45 a.m.]

[Docket No. E-7316]

### BANGOR HYDRO-ELECTRIC CO.

#### Notice of Application

OCTOBER 27, 1966.

Take notice that on October 17, 1966, Bangor Hydro-Electric Co. (Bangor), filed an application with the Federal Power Commission seeking an order pursuant to section 203 of the Federal Power Act authorizing it to acquire all of the electric facilities of Hampden and Newburg Light and Power Co. (Hampden).

Bangor is incorporated under the laws of the State of Maine with its principal business office in Bangor, Maine, and is engaged in the electric utility business in the Maine counties of Penobscot, Hancock, Washington, and Piscataquis and serves over 58,000 customers in this area.

Hampden is an electric utility organized under the laws of the State of Maine with its principal business office at Newburg, Maine, and serves approximately 750 customers in four towns in Penobscot County and one town in Waldo County all within the State of Maine. Hampden has no generating or transmission facilities of its own. It is interconnected with Bangor which furnishes the entire requirements of its system.

According to the application the transaction contemplates the acquisition by Bangor of the entire electric operating property of Hampden. Most of the facilities are distribution property typically found in an electric utility. The facilities are currently and will continue to be used in the distribution of electric energy or sale at retail. Bangor intends to utilize all of the electric operating property purchased.

According to Bangor the book cost of the operating property to be acquired



from Hampden is \$147,934. As consideration for the properties to be acquired, Bangor proposes to exchange that number of its shares of common stock that will allow each holder of Hampden's capital stock to receive one share of Bangor Common Stock in exchange for each full share so held of the capital stock of Hampden. This transaction will require approximately 4,393 shares of Bangor's Common Stock.

Bangor represents that the integrating of the small electric utility system of Hampden into the larger system of Bangor, which is interconnected with the New England Grid, will result in assuring an adequate supply of electric energy in the territory now served by Hampden at the generally lower rates of Bangor.

Any person desiring to be heard or to make any protest with reference to the application should on or before November 17, 1966, file with the Federal Power Commission, Washington, D.C. 20426, petitions or protests in accordance with the Commission's rules of practice and procedure (18 CFR 1.8 or 1.10). The application is on file with the Commission and is available for public inspection.

GORDON M. GRANT,  
Acting Secretary.

[F.R. Doc. 66-11964; Filed, Nov. 2, 1966;  
8:45 a.m.]

[Docket No. CP65-341]

## COLORADO INTERSTATE GAS CO.

### Notice of Petition To Amend

OCTOBER 27, 1966.

Take notice that on October 24, 1966, Colorado Interstate Gas Co. (Petitioner), Post Office Box 1087, Colorado Springs, Colo. 80901, filed in Docket No. CP65-341 a petition to amend the order issued in said docket on July 6, 1965, by requesting authorization to make sales of natural gas on a long term basis to Western Gas Service Co. (Western), all as more fully set forth in the petition to amend which is on file with the Commission and open to public inspection.

By the order issued in the instant proceeding on July 6, 1965, Petitioner was authorized to construct and operate facilities to sell and deliver gas on a short-term basis to Western at two points on Petitioner's 20-inch Fourway to Kit Carson pipeline. The sale of natural gas to Western was to be made under Petitioner's Rate Schedule X-24.

Western has informed Petitioner that since Western's other supplies are insufficient to serve present customers it now desires a long-term agreement with gas deliveries under Petitioner's Rate Schedules PR-1 and IS-2. Accordingly, Petitioner specifically requests that the order in the instant proceeding be amended to permit sales of natural gas to Western under Petitioner's Rate Schedules PR-1 and IS-2.

Protests or petitions to intervene may be filed with the Federal Power Commission, Washington, D.C. 20426, in accordance with the rules of practice

and procedure (18 CFR 1.8 or 1.10) and the regulations under the Natural Gas Act (§ 157.10) on or before November 25, 1966.

GORDON M. GRANT,  
Acting Secretary.

[F.R. Doc. 66-11965; Filed, Nov. 2, 1966;  
8:45 a.m.]

[Project 2607]

## DUKE POWER CO.

### Notice of Application for License for Constructed Project

OCTOBER 26, 1966.

Public notice is hereby given that application for license has been filed under the Federal Power Act by Duke Power Co. (correspondence to: Carl Horn, Jr., Vice President, Duke Power Co., Post Office Box 2178, Charlotte, N.C. 28201) for constructed Project No. 2607, known as Spencer Mountain Station, located on South Fork of Catawba River in the region of the city of Gastonia and towns of Lowell, Ranlo, and Stanley, in Gaston County, N.C.

The existing Spencer Mountain Station project consists of: (1) A rubble masonry overflow dam about 12 feet high and 636 feet long with a 4-foot wide sluiceway and timber gate; (2) a reservoir at elevation 634.7 feet about 6 miles long with a surface area of about 68 acres and a usable power storage of about 3,000 acre-feet; (3) headworks about 66 feet wide with 4 timber gates 6 feet wide and 7 feet high; (4) a 3,644-foot canal, about 30 feet wide, controlled by a rubble masonry overflow spillway about 54 feet long, thence by earth dyke 330 feet to a 4-foot sluiceway with timber gate, thence by earth dyke to a 75-foot timber-concrete retaining wall with a 3-foot bypass gate and conduit; (5) a concrete-brick powerhouse containing two 320-kw generating units, totaling 640 kw; (6) an outdoor substation with a step-up transformer rated at 2.3-44 kv; (7) a 44-kv transmission feeder line 3,300 feet long to point of junction with Applicant's interconnected transmission system; and (8) appurtenant facilities.

Protests or petitions to intervene may be filed with the Federal Power Commission, Washington, D.C. 20426, in accordance with the rules of practice and procedure of the Commission (18 CFR 1.8 or 1.10). The last day upon which protests or petitions may be filed is December 19, 1966. The application is on file with the Commission for public inspection.

JOSEPH H. GUTRIDE,  
Secretary.

[F.R. Doc. 66-11966; Filed, Nov. 2, 1966;  
8:45 a.m.]

[Project No. 2616]

## NIAGARA MOHAWK POWER CORP.

### Notice of Application for License for Constructed Project

OCTOBER 26, 1966.

Public notice is hereby given that application for license has been filed under

the Federal Power Act (16 U.S.C. 791a-825r) by Niagara Mohawk Power Corp. (correspondence to: Lauman Martin, Vice President and General Counsel, Niagara Mohawk Power Corp., 300 Erie Boulevard West, Syracuse, N.Y. 13202) for constructed Project No. 2616, known as the Hoosic River Project, located on Hoosic River, towns of Schaghticoke and Pittstown, Rensselaer County, and towns of Cambridge, White Creek, and Hoosick, Washington County, both in the State of New York.

The existing Hoosic River Project consists of two developments described as follows: (a) Johnsonville development consisting of (1) a concrete gravity dam about 600 feet long and 47 feet high, (2) a 211 acre reservoir, and (3) a powerhouse constructed of concrete housing two identical hydroelectric units, each turbine with a 3,400 hp design capacity and each generator rated at 2,400 kw under a design head of 38 feet and discharge of 1,000 cfs; and the Schaghticoke development consisting of (1) a concrete gravity dam about 700 feet long and 28 feet high, (2) a 122 acre reservoir from which water is drawn through the intake structure to (a) an open canal 2,300 feet long to a forebay, and thence (b) through a 12.5-foot steel pipeline 900 feet to a surge tank, and (c) from the surge tank through three steel penstocks 6 feet in diameter to (3) a powerhouse constructed of concrete and brick housing four identical hydroelectric units, each turbine with a 5,000 hp design capacity and each generator rated at 3,280 kw under a design head of 146 feet and discharge of 1,250 cfs.

Protests or petitions to intervene may be filed with the Federal Power Commission, Washington, D.C. 20426, in accordance with the rules of practice and procedure of the Commission (18 CFR 1.8 or 1.10). The last day upon which protests or petitions may be filed is December 20, 1966. The application is on file with the Commission for public inspection.

JOSEPH H. GUTRIDE,  
Secretary.

[F.R. Doc. 66-11969; Filed, Nov. 2, 1966;  
8:45 a.m.]

## FEDERAL RESERVE SYSTEM

### FIRST NATIONAL BANK OF TAMPA AND UNION SECURITY & INVESTMENT CO.

#### Order Approving Applications Under Bank Holding Company Act

In the matter of the applications of The First National Bank of Tampa and Union Security & Investment Co. for approval of the acquisition of voting stock of First National Bank of Brooksville, Brooksville, Fla.

There has come before the Board of Governors, pursuant to section 3(a) of the Bank Holding Company Act of 1956 (12 U.S.C. 1842(a)), as amended by Public Law 89-485, and § 222.4(a) of Federal Reserve Regulation Y (12 CFR 222.4(a)), applications on behalf of The First Na-



tional Bank of Tampa and Union Security & Investment Co., both registered bank holding companies located in Tampa, Fla., for the Board's approval of the acquisition by Union Security & Investment Co. of 55 percent of the 20,000 voting shares to be issued by First National Bank of Brooksville, Brooksville, Fla., a proposed new bank.

As required by section 3(b) of the Act, the Board notified the Comptroller of the Currency of receipt of the applications and requested his views and recommendation. The Comptroller recommended approval of the applications.

Notice of receipt of the applications was published in the FEDERAL REGISTER on August 11, 1966 (31 F.R. 10704), which provided an opportunity for submission of comments and views regarding the proposed acquisition. Time for filing such comments and views has expired and all those filed with the Board have been considered by it.

*It is ordered.* For the reasons set forth in the Board's Statement<sup>1</sup> of this date, that said applications be and hereby are approved: *Provided*, That the acquisition so approved shall not be consummated (a) before the 30th calendar day following the date of this order or (b) later than 3 months after said date, and provided further that the First National Bank of Brooksville shall be opened for business not later than 6 months after said date.

Dated at Washington, D.C., this 26th day of October 1966.

By order of the Board of Governors.<sup>2</sup>

[SEAL] KENNETH A. KENYON,  
Assistant Secretary.

[F.R. Doc. 66-11971; Filed, Nov. 2, 1966; 8:45 a.m.]

## VIRGINIA COMMONWEALTH CORP.

### Order Extending Period of Time Prescribed by Proviso in Order of Approval

In the matter of the application of Virginia Commonwealth Corp., Richmond, Va., for approval of the acquisition of voting shares of The First Valley Bank, Weber City, Va.

Whereas, by order dated July 28, 1966, the Board of Governors, pursuant to section 3(a) of the Bank Holding Company Act of 1956 (12 U.S.C. 1842(a), as amended) and § 222.4(a) of Federal Reserve Regulation Y (12 CFR 222.4(a)), approved an application on behalf of Virginia Commonwealth Corp., Richmond, Va., a registered bank holding company, for the Board's prior approval of the acquisition of 80 percent or more

of the voting shares of The First Valley Bank, Weber City, Va., a proposed new bank; and said order was made subject to the proviso "that the acquisition so approved shall not be consummated \* \* \* (b) later than 3 months after said date [of order]", and "that The First Valley Bank shall be opened for business within 6 months [of the date of the Board's order]"; and

Whereas, Virginia Commonwealth Corp. has applied to the Board for an extension of the time within which the approved acquisition may be consummated and within which The First Valley Bank is to be opened for business; and it appearing to the Board that reasonable cause has been shown for the extensions of time requested, and that such extensions would not be inconsistent with the public interests;

*It is hereby ordered.* That the Board's order of July 28, 1966, as published in the FEDERAL REGISTER on August 4, 1966 (31 F.R. 10485), be and it hereby is amended so that the proviso relating to the dates by which the acquisition approved shall be consummated, and The First Valley Bank opened for business, shall read: "(b) later than March 15, 1967, and provided, further, that The First Valley Bank shall be opened for business no later than April 1, 1967."

Dated at Washington, D.C., this 19th day of October 1966.

By order of the Board of Governors.

[SEAL] KENNETH A. KENYON,  
Assistant Secretary.

[F.R. Doc. 66-11972; Filed, Nov. 2, 1966; 8:45 a.m.]

## SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION

[File No. 1-3421]

### CONTINENTAL VENDING MACHINE CORP.

#### Order Suspending Trading

OCTOBER 28, 1966.

It appearing to the Securities and Exchange Commission that the summary suspension of trading in the common stock, 10 cents par value of Continental Vending Machine Corp., and the 6 percent convertible subordinated debentures due September 1, 1976, otherwise than on a national securities exchange is required in the public interest and for the protection of investors:

*It is ordered.* Pursuant to section 15(c) (5) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, that trading in such securities otherwise than on a national securities exchange be summarily suspended, this order to be effective for the period October 29, 1966, through November 7, 1966, both dates inclusive.

By the Commission.

[SEAL] ORVAL L. DUBOIS,  
Secretary.

[F.R. Doc. 66-11977; Filed, Nov. 2, 1966; 8:46 a.m.]

[File No. 1-1686]

## LINCOLN PRINTING CO.

### Order Suspending Trading

OCTOBER 28, 1966.

The common stock, 50 cents par value, and the \$3.50 cumulative preferred stock, no par value, of Lincoln Printing Co., being listed and registered on the Midwest Stock Exchange pursuant to the provisions of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 and the 8 percent convertible debenture bonds due March 13, 1968, being traded otherwise than on a national securities exchange; and

It appearing to the Securities and Exchange Commission that the summary suspension of trading in such securities on such Exchange and otherwise than on a national securities exchange is required in the public interest and for the protection of investors:

*It is ordered.* Pursuant to sections 15 (c) (5) and 19(a) (4) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, that trading in such securities on the Midwest Stock Exchange and otherwise than on a national securities exchange be summarily suspended, this order to be effective for the period October 30, 1966, through November 8, 1966, both dates inclusive.

By the Commission.

[SEAL] ORVAL L. DUBOIS,  
Secretary.

[F.R. Doc. 66-11978; Filed, Nov. 2, 1966; 8:46 a.m.]

[812-2009]

## MASSACHUSETTS INVESTORS GROWTH STOCK FUND, INC.

### Notice of Application for Order Exempting Sale by Open-End Company of Shares at Other Than Public Offering Price in Exchange for Assets of Closely Held Company

OCTOBER 28, 1966.

Notice is hereby given that Massachusetts Investors Growth Stock Fund, Inc. ("Applicant"), 200 Berkeley Street, Boston, Mass., a Massachusetts corporation which is registered under the Investment Company Act of 1940 ("Act") as an open-end diversified investment company, has filed an application pursuant to section 6(c) of the Act. Applicant requests an order of the Commission exempting from the provisions of section 22(d) of the Act the proposed issuance of its shares at net asset value for substantially all of the cash and securities of The Rauh Company ("Rauh"). Since the sale of Applicant's stock will be other than at the public offering price, which normally includes sales charges, an exemption is deemed necessary. All interested persons are referred to the application as filed with the Commission for a statement of the representations therein which are summarized below.

Rauh is a personal holding company, the shares of which are held by eight individuals and three trusts.

<sup>1</sup> Filed as part of the original document. Copies available upon request to the Board of Governors of the Federal Reserve System, Washington, D.C. 20551, or to the Federal Reserve Bank of Atlanta.

<sup>2</sup> Voting for this action: Chairman Martin, and Governors Robertson, Shepardson, Mitchell, and Brimmer. Absent and not voting: Governors Daane and Maisel.



As of September 9, 1966, the net assets of Applicant amounted to approximately \$814,741,208, and the net assets of Rauh amounted to approximately \$1,083,185.

Pursuant to an agreement between Applicant and Rauh, substantially all the assets of Rauh will be transferred to Applicant in exchange for stock of Applicant which, in turn, will be distributed to shareholders of Rauh upon Rauh's liquidation. Neither Rauh nor any of its shareholders have any present intention of redeeming the shares of the Applicant which they will acquire on Rauh's liquidation.

The application states in substance that (1) the amount of stock of Applicant to be delivered to Rauh will be determined on the basis of the net asset value of Applicant's shares and the net value of the assets of Rauh as of the close of business on the New York Stock Exchange on the business day first preceding the date of closing and (2) provision is made for an adjustment for potential Federal income taxes payable upon the realization of appreciation in the value of the securities of Rauh to the extent that the appreciation in the value of securities of Rauh proportionately exceeds the appreciation in the value of the securities of Applicant at the time of closing. Subject to such adjustment, Applicant will deliver to Rauh such a number of its shares as shall have an aggregate net asset value equal to the net value of the assets of Rauh transferred, assigned and delivered to Applicant. Applicant does not anticipate that such an adjustment will be required in view of the fact that the securities of Rauh have a net unrealized appreciation of about 2 percent of their cost while the securities of the Applicant have net unrealized appreciation of about 44 percent of their cost. Subsequent to acquisition, Applicant intends to sell securities acquired from Rauh, having a market value of about \$281,982 as of September 9, 1966.

Notice is further given that any interested person may, not later than November 17, 1966, at 5:30 p.m., submit to the Commission in writing a request for a hearing on the matter accompanied by a statement as to the nature of his interest, the reason for such request and the issues of fact or law proposed to be controverted, or he may request that he be notified if the Commission shall order a hearing thereon. Any such communication should be addressed: Secretary, Securities and Exchange Commission, Washington, D.C. 20549. A copy of such request shall be served personally or by mail (airmail if the person being served is located more than 500 miles from the point of mailing) upon Applicant at the address stated above. Proof of such service (by affidavit or in case of an attorney at law by certificate) shall be filed contemporaneously with the request. At any time after said date, as provided by Rule 0-5 of the rules and regulations promulgated under the Act, an order disposing of the application herein may be issued by the Commission upon the basis of the information stated in said application, unless an order for hearing upon

said application shall be issued upon request or upon the Commission's own motion.

For the Commission (pursuant to delegated authority).

[SEAL]

ORVAL L. DuBOIS,  
Secretary.

[F.R. Doc. 66-11979; Filed, Nov. 2, 1966;  
8:46 a.m.]

[811-685]

#### REGENCY FUND, INC.

#### Notice of Application for Order Declaring That Company Has Ceased To Be an Investment Company

OCTOBER 28, 1966.

Notice is hereby given that The Regency Fund, Inc. ("Applicant"), c/o Sherman Krawitz, 350 Fifth Avenue, New York, N.Y., a New York corporation and a management open-end diversified investment company registered under the Investment Company Act of 1940 ("Act"), has filed an application pursuant to section 8(f) of the Act for an order declaring that Applicant has ceased to be an investment company. All persons are referred to the application on file with the Commission for a statement of the facts which are summarized below.

At a meeting of the shareholders duly called and held on June 23, 1966, the shareholders adopted a plan of liquidation and dissolution. On July 19, 1966 a Certificate of Dissolution was filed with the New York Department of State. Applicant states it has ceased operations except for the purpose of winding up its affairs. Applicant has assets of \$46,229.65, principally in cash. It is anticipated that this entire amount will be available for distribution, subject to certain specified expenses.

Section 8(f) of the Act provides in pertinent part, that when the Commission, on application, finds that a registered investment company has ceased to be an investment company, it shall so declare by order and upon the taking effect of such order the registration of such company shall cease to be in effect.

Notice is further given that any interested person may, not later than November 23, 1966, at 5:30 p.m., submit to the Commission in writing a request for a hearing on the matter accompanied by a statement as to the nature of his interest, the reason for such request and the issues of fact or law proposed to be controverted, or he may request that he be notified if the Commission shall order a hearing thereon. Any such communication should be addressed: Secretary, Securities and Exchange Commission, Washington, D.C. 20549. A copy of such request shall be served personally or by mail (airmail if the person being served is located more than 500 miles from the point of mailing) upon applicant at the address set forth above. Proof of such service (by affidavit or in case of an attorney at law by certificate) shall be filed contemporaneously with the request. At

any time after said date, as provided by Rule 0-5 of the rules and regulations promulgated under the Act, an order disposing of the application herein may be issued by the Commission upon the basis of the showing contained in said application, unless an order for hearing upon said application shall be issued upon request or upon the Commission's own motion.

For the Commission (pursuant to delegated authority).

[SEAL]

ORVAL L. DuBOIS,  
Secretary.

[F.R. Doc. 66-11980; Filed, Nov. 2, 1966;  
8:46 a.m.]

#### UNITED SECURITY LIFE INSURANCE CO.

#### Order Suspending Trading

OCTOBER 28, 1966.

It appearing to the Securities and Exchange Commission that the summary suspension of trading in the common stock, \$1 par value, of United Security Life Insurance Co., Birmingham, Ala., otherwise than on a national securities exchange is required in the public interest and for the protection of investors:

It is ordered, Pursuant to section 15 (c) (5) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, that trading in such securities otherwise than on a national securities exchange be summarily suspended, this order to be effective for the period October 30, 1966, through November 8, 1966, both dates inclusive.

By the Commission.

[SEAL]

ORVAL L. DuBOIS,  
Secretary.

[F.R. Doc. 66-11981; Filed, Nov. 2, 1966;  
8:46 a.m.]

#### SMALL BUSINESS ADMINISTRATION

[License No. 05-0063]

#### CALADESI CAPITAL CORP.

#### Order Revoking License

Whereas, Caladesi Capital Corp. was incorporated under the laws of the State of Florida solely to perform the functions of a small business investment company;

Whereas, Caladesi Capital Corp. was licensed by the Small Business Administration as a small business investment company;

Whereas, section 308 of the Small Business Investment Act of 1958, as amended, provides that the license of a small business investment company may be forfeited if said small business investment company is determined and adjudged by a Court of the United States to have violated, or failed to comply with, the provisions of the Small Business Investment Act;

Whereas, the Federal District Court for the Middle District of Florida by its order dated September 20, 1966, in United



States of America v. Caladesi Capital Corp., Civil Action No. 66-139, determined and adjudged noncompliance with and violations of the Act and the regulations promulgated thereunder by Caladesi Capital Corp.,

Now therefore, as Administrator of the Small Business Administration, by the authority vested in me by the Small Business Investment Act of 1958, as amended, I hereby revoke License No. 05-0063 issued to Caladesi Capital Corp., and cause notice of this revocation to be published in the FEDERAL REGISTER.

Dated: October 20, 1966.

BERNARD L. BOUTIN,  
Administrator.

[F.R. Doc. 66-11982; Filed, Nov. 2, 1966;  
8:46 a.m.]

## DEPARTMENT OF LABOR

### Wage and Hour Division

#### CERTIFICATES AUTHORIZING EMPLOYMENT OF LEARNERS AT SPECIAL MINIMUM RATES

Notice is hereby given that pursuant to section 14 of the Fair Labor Standards Act of 1938 (52 Stat. 1060, as amended, 29 U.S.C. 201 et seq.), and Administrative Order No. 595 (28 F.R. 12981) the firms listed in this notice have been issued special certificates authorizing the employment of learners at hourly wage rates lower than the minimum wage rates otherwise applicable under section 6 of the act. For each certificate, the effective and expiration dates, number or proportion of learners and the principal product manufactured by the establishment are as indicated. Conditions on occupations, wage rates, and learning periods which are provided in certificates issued under the supplemental industry regulations cited in the captions below are as established in those regulations; such conditions in certificates not issued under the supplemental industry regulations are as indicated.

Apparel Industry Learner Regulations (29 CFR 522.1 to 522.9, as amended, and 29 CFR 522.20 to 522.25, as amended).

The following normal labor turnover certificates authorize 10 percent of the total number of factory production workers except as otherwise indicated.

Amory Garment Co., Inc., Drawer 120, Amory, Miss.; 10-1-66 to 9-30-67 (men's pants and shorts).

Annesco, Inc., Anderson, S.C.; 10-1-66 to 9-30-67; 10 learners (men's shirts).

Apco Manufacturing Co., 1501 West Seventh Avenue, Broadhead, Wis.; 10-11-66 to 10-10-67; 10 learners (children's and infants' shirts and pajamas).

Covington Manufacturing Co., 1019 Washington Street, Covington, Ind.; 10-1-66 to 9-30-67; 10 learners (men's and boys' outerwear jackets).

Cowden-Morehead Co., 800 West Main Street, Morehead, Ky.; 10-1-66 to 9-30-67 (work clothes).

Ephrata Apparel Co., Fulton Street, Ephrata, Pa.; 10-6-66 to 10-5-67 (children's dresses).

Gross Galesburg Co., 152-162 East Ferris Street, Galesburg, Ill.; 10-1-66 to 9-30-67; 5 learners (men's and boys' dungarees).

Kellwood Co., Southern Division, Alamo, Tenn.; 10-9-66 to 10-8-67 (foundation garments).

Kent Sportswear, Inc., Curwensville, Pa.; 9-28-66 to 9-27-67 (men's outerwear jackets).

Kent Uniforms, Inc., Burkesville, Ky.; 10-2-66 to 10-1-67 (nurses' and waitresses' uniforms).

Lexington Sportswear Co., South Lake Drive, Lexington, S.C.; 10-3-66 to 10-2-67 (men's and boys' outerwear jackets).

Miss Mary Fashions, Inc., 62 South Main Street, Carbondale, Pa.; 9-29-66 to 9-28-67; 10 learners (ladies' dresses).

Red Hill Apparel Co., Main Street, Red Hill, Pa.; 10-6-66 to 10-5-67 (children's dresses).

Saltito Manufacturing Co., Division of Henry I. Siegel Co., Inc., Saltito, Tenn.; 9-27-66 to 9-26-67 (men's, boys', ladies' and girls' shirts).

Henry I. Siegel Co., Inc., Eloy, Ariz.; 9-28-66 to 9-27-67 (men's and boys' pants).

Southland Manufacturing Co., Inc., 1510 South Third Street, Wilmington, N.C.; 10-3-66 to 10-2-67 (men's and boys' shirts).

Standard Romper Co., Inc., 321 Canco Road, Portland, Maine; 10-4-66 to 10-3-67 (children's outerwear garments).

Sullcraft Manufacturing Co., Inc., Dushore, Pa.; 10-4-66 to 10-3-67 (boys' pajamas).

Sunstate Sportswear of Vienna, Inc., East Pine Street, Post Office Box 386, Vienna, Ga.; 10-9-66 to 10-8-67; 10 learners (men's walk shorts and pants).

Susan Garment, Inc., Bethel, Pa.; 9-29-66 to 9-28-67; 10 learners (ladies' blouses and dresses).

Susan Garment, Inc., 425 Crowell Street, Lebanon, Pa.; 9-29-66 to 9-28-67 (ladies' blouses and dresses).

The following plant expansion certificates were issued authorizing the number of learners indicated.

Apco Manufacturing Co., 1501 West Seventh Avenue, Broadhead, Wis.; 9-30-66 to 3-29-67; 15 learners (infants' and children's shirts and pajamas).

Oshkosh B'Gosh, Inc., Columbia Division, Post Office Box 408, Columbia, Ky.; 9-30-66 to 3-29-67; 25 learners (men's and boys' dungarees).

The Warner Bros. Co., Thomasville, Ga.; 9-28-66 to 3-27-67; 75 learners (corsets and brassieres).

Glove Industry Learner Regulations (29 CFR 522.1 to 522.9, as amended, and 29 CFR 522.60 to 522.65, as amended).

Burham-Edina Manufacturing Co., Edina, Mo.; 10-2-66 to 10-1-67; 5 learners for normal labor turnover purposes (work gloves).

Haynesville Manufacturing Co., Inc., Haynesville, La.; 9-28-66 to 3-27-67; 20 learners for plant expansion purposes (work gloves).

Hosiery Industry Learner Regulations (29 CFR 522.1 to 522.9, as amended, and 29 CFR 522.40 to 522.43, as amended).

Great American Knitting Mills, Inc., Bechtelsville, Bally & Norristown, Pa.; 10-1-66 to 9-30-67; 5 percent of the total number of factory production workers for normal labor turnover purposes (seamless).

Harriman Hosiery Co., Siluria Street, Harriman, Tenn.; 10-1-66 to 9-30-67; 5 percent of the total number of factory production workers for normal labor turnover purposes (seamless).

Morganton Hosiery Mills, Inc., Morganton, N.C.; 10-1-66 to 9-30-67; 5 percent of the

total number of factory production workers for normal labor turnover purposes (full-fashioned).

Ragan Knitting Co., 7 Cox Avenue, and Liberty Drive, Thomasville, N.C.; 9-29-66 to 9-28-67; 5 percent of the total number of factory production workers for normal labor turnover purposes (seamless).

Knitted Wear Industry Learner Regulations (29 CFR 522.1 to 522.9, as amended, and 29 CFR 522.30 to 522.35, as amended).

Benham Corp., Post Office Box 250, Scottsboro, Ala.; 10-1-66 to 9-30-67; 5 percent of the total number of factory production workers for normal labor turnover purposes (men's and boys' woven underwear).

Russell Mills, Inc., Alexander City, Ala.; 10-1-66 to 9-30-67; 5 percent of the total number of factory production workers for normal labor turnover purposes (knit underwear and sleepwear).

Safford Manufacturing Corp., Safford, Ariz.; 9-30-66 to 3-29-67; 30 learners for plant expansion purposes in the manufacturing of women's knitted garments (women's and misses' knitted underwear, nightwear and negligees).

Each learner certificate has been issued upon the representations of the employer, which, among other things, were that employment of learners at special minimum rates is necessary in order to prevent curtailment of opportunities for employment, and that experienced workers for the learner occupations are not available. Any person aggrieved by the issuance of any of these certificates may seek a review or reconsideration thereof within 15 days after publication of this notice in the FEDERAL REGISTER pursuant to the provisions of 29 CFR 522.9. The certificates may be annulled or withdrawn, as indicated therein, in the manner provided in 29 CFR Part 528.

Signed at Washington, D.C., this 21st day of October 1966.

ROBERT G. GRONERWALD,  
Authorized Representative  
of the Administrator.

[F.R. Doc. 66-11976; Filed, Nov. 2, 1966;  
8:46 a.m.]

## INTERSTATE COMMERCE COMMISSION

[Notice No. 985]

### MOTOR CARRIER, BROKER, WATER CARRIER, AND FREIGHT FORWARDER APPLICATIONS

OCTOBER 28, 1966.

The following applications are governed by Special Rule 1.247<sup>1</sup> of the Commission's general rules of practice (49 CFR, as amended), published in the FEDERAL REGISTER issue of April 20, 1966, effective May 20, 1966. These rules provide, among other things, that a protest to the granting of an application must be filed with the Commission within 30

<sup>1</sup> Copies of Special Rule 1.247 (as amended) can be obtained by writing to the Secretary, Interstate Commerce Commission, Washington, D.C. 20423.



days after date of notice of filing of the application is published in the **FEDERAL REGISTER**. Failure seasonably to file a protest will be construed as a waiver of opposition and participation in the proceeding. A protest under these rules should comply with § 1.247(d) (3) of the rules of practice which requires that it set forth specifically the grounds upon which it is made, contain a detailed statement of protestant's interest in the proceeding (including a copy of the specific portions of its authority which protestant believes to be in conflict with that sought in the application, and describing in detail the method—whether by joinder, interline, or other means—by which protestant would use such authority to provide all or part of the service proposed), and shall specify with particularity the facts, matters, and things relied upon, but shall not include issues or allegations phrased generally. Protests not in reasonable compliance with the requirements of the rules may be rejected. The original and one copy of the protest shall be filed with the Commission, and a copy shall be served concurrently upon applicant's representative, or applicant if no representative is named. If the protest includes a request for oral hearing, such requests shall meet the requirements of § 1.247(d) (4) of the special rule, and shall include the certification required therein.

Section 1.247(f) of the Commission's rules of practice further provides that each applicant shall, if protests to its application have been filed, and within 60 days of the date of this publication, notify the Commission in writing (1) that it is ready to proceed and prosecute the application, or (2) that it wishes to withdraw the application, failure in which the application will be dismissed by the Commission.

Further processing steps (whether modified procedure, oral hearing, or other procedures) will be determined generally in accordance with the Commission's general policy statement concerning motor carrier licensing procedures, published in the **FEDERAL REGISTER** issue of May 3, 1966. This assignment will be by Commission order which will be served on each party of record.

The publications hereinafter set forth reflect the scope of the applications as filed by applicants, and may include descriptions, restrictions, or limitations which are not in a form acceptable to the Commission. Authority which ultimately may be granted as a result of the applications here noticed will not necessarily reflect the phraseology set forth in the application as filed, but also will eliminate any restrictions which are not acceptable to the Commission.

No. MC 1042 (Sub-No. 6) (Amendment), filed May 19, 1966, published **FEDERAL REGISTER** issue of June 23, 1966, amended October 24, 1966, and republished as amended, this issue. Applicant: C.P.T. FREIGHT, INC., 2600 Calumet Avenue, Hammond, Ind. Applicant's representative: Eugene L. Cohn, 1 North La Salle Street, Chicago, Ill.

60602. Authority sought to operate as a *common carrier*, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: *Iron and steel, iron and steel articles, and equipment, materials and supplies*, used in the manufacture or processing of iron and steel articles, between Burns Harbor and Portage, Ind., Chicago Heights, Joliet, and Waukegan, Ill., points in the Chicago, Ill., commercial zone as defined by the Commission, and points in Kan-kakee and Will Counties, Ill., on the one hand, and, on the other, points in Illinois, Indiana, Iowa, Kentucky, Michigan, Minnesota, Missouri, Nebraska, Ohio, and Wisconsin. NOTE: The purpose of this republication is to change the commodity description. If a hearing is deemed necessary, applicant requests it be held at Chicago, Ill.

No. MC 1222 (Sub-No. 29), filed October 20, 1966. Applicant: THE REINHARDT TRANSFER COMPANY, a Corporation, 1410 10th Street, Portsmouth, Ohio 45662. Applicant's representative: Robert H. Kinker, 711 McClure Building, Frankfort, Ky. 40601. Authority sought to operate as a *common carrier*, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: *Plastic granules*, (except in bulk), from points in Hamilton Township, Lawrence County, Ohio, to points in Indiana, Kentucky, West Virginia, and that part of Pennsylvania on and west of U.S. Highway 219. NOTE: Applicant states it presently holds authority to transport foam, cellular, expanded, or sponge plastic articles, and materials, except in bulk, from Dow Chemical Co. in Hamilton Township, Lawrence County, Ohio, to the same destination territory sought herein. If a hearing is deemed necessary, applicant requests it be held at Columbus, Ohio.

No. MC 1630 (Sub-No. 9), filed October 20, 1966. Applicant: D. D. JONES TRANSFER & WAREHOUSE COMPANY, INCORPORATED, 630 Poin-dexter Street, Chesapeake, Va. Applicant's representative: Morton E. Kiel, 140 Cedar Street, New York, N.Y. 10006. Authority sought to operate as a *common carrier*, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: *Plywood, hardboard, and molding* and in connection therewith, *accessories used in the installation thereof*, from Chesapeake and Norfolk, Va., and Charleston, S.C., to points in Pennsylvania, Maryland, Delaware, West Virginia, Virginia, North Carolina, South Carolina, Georgia, Florida, Alabama, Tennessee, Kentucky, Mississippi, and the District of Columbia, and returned shipments on return. NOTE: Applicant states that it intends to tack at Norfolk, Va., with present authority in MC 1630, serving Richmond, Va., and points in eastern North Carolina. If a hearing is deemed necessary, applicant requests it be held at Los Angeles, Calif.

No. MC 2452 (Sub-No. 8) (Amendment), filed May 19, 1966, published **FEDERAL REGISTER** issue of June 9, 1966, amended October 24, 1966, and republished as amended, this issue. Applicant: HAJEK TRUCKING CO., INC., 7635 West Lawndale Avenue, Summit, Ill. Applicant's representative: Eugene

L. Cohn, 1 North La Salle Street, Chicago, Ill. 60602. Authority sought to operate as a *common carrier*, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: *Iron and steel, and iron and steel articles, and equipment, materials and supplies*, used in the manufacture or processing of iron and steel articles, between Burns Harbor and Portage, Ind., Chicago Heights, Joliet, and Waukegan, Ill., and points in the Chicago, Ill., commercial zone as defined by the Commission, on the one hand, and, on the other, points in Illinois, Indiana, Iowa, Kentucky, Michigan, Missouri, and Ohio. NOTE: The purpose of this republication is to amend the commodity description. If a hearing is deemed necessary, applicant requests it be held at Chicago, Ill.

No. MC 8948 (Sub-No. 73), filed October 17, 1966. Applicant: WESTERN GILLETTE, INC., 2550 East 28th Street, Los Angeles, Calif. 90058. Applicant's representative: Lloyd R. Guerra (same address as applicant). Authority sought to operate as a *common carrier*, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: *Liquid oxygen, liquid nitrogen, liquid hydrogen, liquid argon, and liquid helium*, in bulk, in tank vehicles, between points in Arizona, California, Colorado, Idaho, Montana, Nevada, New Mexico, Oregon, Utah, Washington, and Wyoming. NOTE: If a hearing is deemed necessary, applicant requests it be held at Los Angeles, Calif.

No. MC 10761 (Sub-No. 203), filed October 6, 1966. Applicant: TRANS-AMERICAN FREIGHT LINES, INC., 1700 North Waterman Avenue, Detroit, Mich. 48209. Applicant's representative: L. G. Naidow (same address as applicant). Authority sought to operate as a *common carrier*, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: *Iron and steel, and iron and steel articles, and equipment, materials and supplies* used in the manufacture or processing of iron and steel articles, from points in the St. Louis, Mo.-East St. Louis, Ill., commercial zone to points in Michigan, Ohio, Wisconsin, Pennsylvania, Indiana, and Iowa. NOTE: Applicant states it intends to tack at points in the St. Louis, Mo.-East St. Louis, Ill., commercial zone, to provide service to points (unspecified) authorized under MC 10761 and subs thereunder. If a hearing is deemed necessary, applicant requests it be held at St. Louis, Mo.

No. MC 10761 (Sub-No. 205), filed October 17, 1966. Applicant: TRANS-AMERICAN FREIGHT LINES, INC., 1700 North Waterman Avenue, Detroit, Mich. 48209. Authority sought to operate as a *common carrier*, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: *Canned fruits and vegetables*, not frozen, and *fruit juices*, frozen or other than frozen, from plantsites and warehouses of the Seneca Grape Juice Co., located at or near Dundee, Penn Yan, and William-son, N.Y., to points in Ohio, Michigan, Indiana, Kentucky, Illinois, Wisconsin, Missouri, Iowa, Kansas, Nebraska, Oklahoma, and Texas. NOTE: If a hearing is deemed necessary, applicant requests it be held at Rochester, N.Y.



No. MC 11603 (Sub-No. 6), filed October 11, 1966. Applicant: BASSE TRUCK LINE, INC., 3410 Belgium Lane, San Antonio, Tex. Applicant's representative: Dan Felts, The 904 Lavaca Building, Austin, Tex. 78701. Authority sought to operate as a *common carrier*, by motor vehicle, over regular routes, transporting: *General commodities* (except household goods and commodities in bulk), (1) between Kerrville and Hunt, Tex.; from Kerrville over Texas Highway 27 to Ingram, Tex., thence over Texas Highway 39 to Hunt, and return over the same route, serving all intermediate points, and all campsites located off said route, as off route points, and (2) between Ingram and Mountain Home, Tex., over Texas Highway 27, and return over the same route, serving all intermediate points. NOTE: Applicant states this proposed authority is to be coordinated with applicant's existing authorized authority. If a hearing is deemed necessary, applicant requests it be held at San Antonio, Tex.

No. MC 13123 (Sub-No. 40), filed October 14, 1966. Applicant: WILSON FREIGHT COMPANY, a corporation, 3636 Follett Avenue, Cincinnati, Ohio 45223. Applicant's representative: Milton H. Bortz (same address as applicant). Authority sought to operate as a *common carrier*, by motor vehicle, over regular routes, transporting: *General commodities* (except those of unusual value, classes A and B explosives, household goods as defined by the Commission, commodities in bulk, and those requiring special equipment), serving points in that part of Michigan on and south of Michigan Highway 21 as off-route points in connection with applicant's authorized regular route operations between Cleveland and Toledo, Ohio, Fort Wayne, Ind., and Chicago, Ill. NOTE: If a hearing is deemed necessary, applicant requests it be held at Cincinnati or Toledo, Ohio.

No. MC 13123 (Sub-No. 41), filed October 17, 1966. Applicant: WILSON FREIGHT COMPANY, a corporation, 3636 Follett Avenue, Cincinnati, Ohio 45223. Applicant's representative: Milton H. Bortz (same address as applicant). Authority sought to operate as a *common carrier*, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: *Iron and steel, and iron and steel articles, and equipment, materials and supplies* used in the manufacture or processing of iron and steel articles, between the plant site of Bethlehem Steel Corp., Burns Harbor Plant, located in Porter County, Ind., on the one hand, and, on the other, points in Alabama, Arkansas, Florida, Georgia, Illinois, Iowa, Kansas, Kentucky, Louisiana, Michigan, Minnesota, Mississippi, Missouri, Nebraska, North Dakota, Ohio, Oklahoma, Pennsylvania, South Dakota, Tennessee, Texas, and Wisconsin. NOTE: Applicant states it could or would tack in Ohio, Kentucky, and Pennsylvania to permit service to and from applicant's regular route service points in North Carolina, Virginia, West Virginia, New Jersey, Delaware, New York, Connecticut, Massachusetts, Rhode Island, Maryland, and the District of Columbia. If a hear-

ing is deemed necessary, applicant requests it be held at Chicago, Ill.

No. MC 13235 (Sub-No. 17), filed October 17, 1966. Applicant: CENTRALIA CARTAGE CO., a corporation, 650 West Noleman Street, Centralia, Ill. Applicant's representative: Charles W. Singer, 33 North La Salle Street, Chicago, Ill. 60602. Authority sought to operate as a *common carrier*, by motor vehicle, over regular routes, transporting: *General commodities* (except those of unusual value, classes A and B explosives, household goods as defined by the Commission, and commodities requiring special equipment), between Bloomington, Ill., and St. Louis, Mo., over U.S. Highway 66, as an alternate route for operating convenience only, serving no intermediate points and serving Bloomington, Ill., for the purpose of joinder only. NOTE: If a hearing is deemed necessary, applicant requests it be held at Chicago, Ill.

No. MC 22195 (Sub-No. 126), filed October 17, 1966. Applicant: DAN DUGAN TRANSPORT COMPANY, a corporation, 41st and Grange Avenue, Post Office Box 946, Sioux Falls, S. Dak. 57101. Authority sought to operate as a *common carrier*, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: *Liquefied petroleum gas*, in bulk, from the site of the pipeline terminal of the Mid-America Pipeline Co. at or near Whiting (Monona County) Iowa, to points in Minnesota, Nebraska, North Dakota, and South Dakota. NOTE: Applicant states it could tack the proposed authority with its present authority at points in Pennington County, S. Dak., to provide service to points in Montana and Wyoming. If a hearing is deemed necessary, applicant requests it be held at Minneapolis, Minn., or Sioux Falls, S. Dak.

No. MC 26088 (Sub-No. 8), filed October 14, 1966. Applicant: THE SANDERS TRUCK TRANSPORTATION CO., INC., 301 North Allendale, S.C. Applicant's representative: William Addams, Room 406, 1776 Peachtree Street NW., Atlanta, Ga. 30309. Authority sought to operate as a *common carrier*, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: *Concrete building blocks, hollow or briquette, slag or cinders, and Portland cement combined*, from Augusta, Ga., to points in South Carolina. NOTE: If a hearing is deemed necessary, applicant requests it be held at Atlanta, Ga., or Columbia, S.C.

No. MC 29079 (Sub-No. 30) (Amendment), filed May 19, 1966, published FEDERAL REGISTER issue of June 9, 1966, amended October 24, 1966, and republished as amended, this issue. Applicant: BRADA MILLER FREIGHT SYSTEM, INC., 1200 Home Avenue, Kokomo, Ind. 46901. Applicant's representative: David Axelrod, 39 South La Salle Street, Chicago, Ill. 60603. Authority sought to operate as a *common carrier*, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: *iron and steel, and iron and steel articles, and equipment materials and supplies* used in the manufacture or processing of iron and steel articles, between Burns Harbor and Portage, Ind., Chicago, Chicago Heights, Joliet, and

Waukegan, Ill., on the one hand, and, on the other, points in Alabama, Arkansas, Colorado, Florida, Georgia, Illinois, Indiana, Iowa, Kansas, Kentucky, Louisiana, Michigan, Minnesota, Mississippi, Missouri, Nebraska, North Dakota, Ohio, Oklahoma, Pennsylvania, South Dakota, Tennessee, Texas, Wisconsin, and Wyoming. NOTE: The purpose of this republication is to more clearly set forth the proposed operation. If a hearing is deemed necessary, applicant requests it be held at Chicago, Ill.

No. MC 30504 (Sub-No. 13) (Amendment), filed May 19, 1966, published FEDERAL REGISTER issue of June 23, 1966, amended October 24, 1966, and republished, as amended, this issue. Applicant: TUCKER FREIGHT LINES, INC., 1415 South Olive Street, South Bend, Ind. Applicant's representative: Eugene L. Cohn, 1 North La Salle Street, Chicago, Ill. 60602. Authority sought to operate as *common carrier*, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: *Iron and steel, and iron and steel articles, and equipment, materials and supplies, used in the manufacture or processing of iron and steel articles*, between Burns Harbor and Portage, Ind., Chicago Heights, Joliet, and Waukegan, Ill., and points in the Chicago, Ill., commercial zone as defined by the Commission, on the one hand, and, on the other, points in Illinois, Indiana, Michigan, and Ohio. NOTE: The purpose of this republication is to change the commodity description. If a hearing is deemed necessary, applicant requests it be held at Chicago, Ill.

No. MC 35469 (Sub-No. 39), filed October 17, 1966. Applicant: MODERN TRANSFER CO., INC., 1300 Hanover Avenue, Allentown, Pa. 18001. Applicant's representative: Christian V. Graf, 407 North Froth Street, Harrisburg, Pa. 17101. Authority sought to operate as a *common carrier*, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: *Alcoholic liquors*, in bulk, in tank vehicles, from Elizabeth, N.J., and Baltimore, Md., to Schenley, Pa. NOTE: If a hearing is deemed necessary, applicant requests it be held at Washington, D.C., or Harrisburg, Pa.

No. MC 35484 (Sub-No. 69), filed October 17, 1966. Applicant: VIKING FREIGHT COMPANY, a corporation, 1525 South Broadway, St. Louis, Mo. 63104. Applicant's representative: G. M. Rebman, 1230 Boatmen's Bank Building, St. Louis, Mo. 63102. Authority sought to operate as a *common carrier*, by motor vehicle, over regular routes, transporting: *Candy, confectioneries, advertising materials, and related articles, equipment, machinery, materials, and supplies used or useful in the manufacture, production and sale of processed milk, candy and confectioneries*, (1) between Dallas and Sulphur Springs, Tex., over U.S. Highway 67 (Interstate Highway 30); and (2) between Sulphur Springs, Tex., and junction U.S. Highway 69 and U.S. Highway 75 (at or near Denison, Tex.); from Sulphur Springs over U.S. Highway 67 (Interstate Highway 30) to junction U.S. Highway 69, thence over U.S. Highway 69 to junction U.S. Highway 75 (at



or near Denison), and return over the same route, serving the junction U.S. Highway 69 and U.S. Highway 75 (at or near Denison, Tex.) for purposes of joinder only. Restriction: Applicant states that the authority sought will be restricted against transportation of the named commodities between Sulphur Springs, and Dallas, Tex., where such transportation is for destination or interchange at either named point, unless applicant has transported or will transport same on its own authority beyond Dallas, Tex. NOTE: If a hearing is deemed necessary, applicant requests it be held at St. Louis, Mo., or Chicago, Ill.

No. MC 40719 (Sub-No. 5), filed October 14, 1966. Applicant: R. A. PAYNE, ROY PAYNE AND TROY PAYNE, a partnership, doing business as PAYNE FREIGHT LINES, 104½ Adams Street, Post Office Box 562, Mount Ayr, Iowa. Applicant's representative: Stephen Robinson, 412 Equitable Building, Des Moines, Iowa 50309. Authority sought to operate as a *common carrier*, by motor vehicle, over regular routes, transporting: *General commodities* (except those of unusual value, livestock, commodities in bulk, commodities requiring special equipment, and those injurious or contaminating to other lading), between Des Moines and Mount Ayr, Iowa, from Des Moines over Iowa Highway 60 to junction Interstate Highway 35, thence south over Interstate Highway 35 to junction Iowa Highway 92, thence west over Iowa Highway 92 to junction U.S. Highway 169, thence south over U.S. Highway 169 to Mount Ayr, and return over the same route, serving the intermediate point of Lorimer, Iowa. NOTE: If a hearing is deemed necessary, applicant requests it be held at Des Moines, Iowa.

No. MC 41406 (Sub-No. 17) (Amendment), filed May 26, 1966, published in FEDERAL REGISTER issue of June 9, 1966, and republished as amended, this issue. Applicant: J. Artim & Sons, Inc., 7105 Kennedy Avenue, Hammond, Ind. Applicant's representative: Walter F. Jones, Jr., 601 Chamber of Commerce Building, Indianapolis, Ind. Authority sought to operate as a *common carrier*, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: *Iron and steel, and iron and steel articles, and equipment, materials and supplies, used in the manufacture or processing of iron and steel articles, between Chicago Heights, Waukegan, Joliet, and Chicago, Ill.; and points in the Chicago, Ill., commercial zone, as defined by the Commission, and those in Porter County, Ind., on the one hand, and, on the other, points in Alabama, Arkansas, Colorado, Florida, Georgia, Illinois, Indiana, Iowa, Kansas, Kentucky, Louisiana, Michigan, Minnesota, Mississippi, Missouri, Nebraska, North Dakota, Ohio, Oklahoma, Pennsylvania, South Dakota, Tennessee, Texas, Wisconsin, and Wyoming.* NOTE: Applicant states no duplicating authority is sought. The purpose of this republication is to show the amendment broadens the scope of the application. If a hearing is deemed necessary, applicant requests it be held at Chicago, Ill.

No. MC 42710 (Sub-No. 9), filed October 14, 1966. Applicant: BENJAMIN A. RYDER, JAMES B. RYDER, JOSEPH B. RYDER, AND JOHN H. RYDER, a partnership, doing business as BEN'S TRANSFER & STORAGE, 2d and Valley Streets, Baker, Ore. Applicant's representative: Earle V. White, 2130 Southwest Fifth Avenue, Portland, Ore. 97201. Authority sought to operate as a *common carrier*, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: *Lime*, in bulk, from Wing, Ore., to points in Washington, Idaho, Montana, and that part of Nevada in and north of Douglas, Lyon, Churchill, Lander, Eureka, and White Pine, Nev. NOTE: If a hearing is deemed necessary, applicant requests it be held at Portland, Ore., or Boise, Idaho.

No. MC 44932 (Sub-No. 16) (Amendment), filed May 19, 1966, published in FEDERAL REGISTER issue of June 16, 1966, amended October 24, 1966, and republished as amended, this issue. Applicant: W. W. YOUNG & SON, INC., 11861 South Cottage Grove Avenue, Chicago, Ill. Applicant's representative: Eugene L. Cohn, 1 North La Salle Street, Chicago, Ill. 60602. Authority sought to operate as a *common carrier*, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: *Iron and steel, and iron and steel articles, and equipment, materials and supplies used in the manufacture or processing of iron and steel articles, between Burns Harbor and Portage, Ind., Chicago Heights, Joliet, and Waukegan, Ill., and points in the Chicago, Ill., commercial zone as defined by the Commission, on the one hand, and, on the other, points in Illinois, Indiana, Michigan, and Wisconsin.* NOTE: The purpose of this republication is to broaden the proposed operation. If a hearing is deemed necessary, applicant requests it be held at Chicago, Ill.

No. MC 52110 (Sub-No. 100), filed October 19, 1966. Applicant: BRADY MOTORFRATE, INC., 1223 Sixth Avenue, Des Moines, Iowa 50314. Applicant's representative: Homer E. Bradshaw, 11th Floor, Des Moines Building, Des Moines, Iowa 50309. Authority sought to operate as a *common carrier*, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: *Iron and steel, and iron and steel articles, and equipment, materials and supplies used in the manufacturing or processing of iron and steel articles between Joliet and Waukegan, Ill., and points in the Chicago, Ill., commercial zone as defined by the Commission, on the one hand, and, on the other, points in Alabama, Arkansas, Florida, Georgia, Illinois, Indiana, Kansas, Kentucky, Louisiana, Michigan, Minnesota, Mississippi, Missouri, Nebraska, North Dakota, Ohio, Oklahoma, Pennsylvania, South Dakota, Tennessee, Texas, and Wisconsin.* NOTE: Applicant states it proposes to tack regular routes authorized in its Sub 84, to the proposed operations at Chicago, Ill., to provide service between regular route points in Michigan and Indiana, on the one hand, and, on the other, points in Kansas, Minnesota, Missouri, Nebraska, and South Dakota. If a hearing is deemed necessary, applicant requests it be held at Chicago, Ill.

No. MC 53965 (Sub-No. 54), filed October 13, 1966. Applicant: GRAVES TRUCK LINE, INC., 739 North 10th Street, Salina, Kans. Applicant's representative: John E. Jandera, 641 Harrison Street, Topeka, Kans. 66603. Authority sought to operate as a *common carrier*, by motor vehicle, over regular routes, transporting: *General commodities* (except those of unusual value, classes A and B explosives, livestock, household goods as defined by the Commission, commodities in bulk, and those requiring special equipment), between Topeka, Kans., and Plattsmouth, Nebr., over U.S. Highway 75, serving no intermediate points, as an alternate route for operating convenience only in connection with applicant's authorized regular route operations between Topeka, Kans., and Omaha, Nebr. NOTE: Applicant states the operation sought herein is to be restricted against the transportation of traffic moving between points in the Kansas City, Mo., commercial zone, as defined by the Commission, and points beyond Kansas City, Mo., on the one hand, and, on the other, points in the Omaha, Nebr., commercial zone as defined by the Commission, and points beyond Omaha, Nebr. If a hearing is deemed necessary, applicant requests it be held at Topeka, Kans., or Kansas City, Mo.

No. MC 59117 (Sub-No. 26), filed October 17, 1966. Applicant: ELLIOTT TRUCK LINE, INC., Post Office Box No. 1, Vinita, Okla. Applicant's representative: Carl V. Kretsinger, 450 Professional Building, 1103 Grand Avenue, Kansas City, Mo. 64106. Authority sought to operate as a *common carrier*, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: *Feed and feed ingredients, between points in Craig, Ottawa, Rogers, and Muskogee Counties, Okla., on the one hand, and, on the other, points in Arkansas, Louisiana, Texas, Mississippi, Tennessee, Kansas, and Missouri.* NOTE: If a hearing is deemed necessary, applicant requests it be held at Kansas City, Mo., or Oklahoma City, Okla.

No. MC 59117 (Sub-No. 27), filed October 17, 1966. Applicant: ELLIOTT TRUCK LINE, INC., Post Office Box No. 1, Vinita, Okla. Applicant's representative: Carl V. Kretsinger, 450 Professional Building, 1103 Grand Avenue, Kansas City, Mo. 64106. Authority sought to operate as a *common carrier*, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: *Liquid petroleum gases, including anhydrous ammonia, from points in that part of Kansas on and east of U.S. Highway 281, to points in Oklahoma and Arkansas.* NOTE: If a hearing is deemed necessary, applicant requests it be held at Kansas City, Mo., or Oklahoma City, Okla.

No. MC 60229 (Sub-No. 10), filed October 18, 1966. Applicant: FERACO, INC., 469 North American Street, Philadelphia, Pa. 19123. Applicant's representative: Morris J. Winokur, 1920 Two Penn Center Plaza, Philadelphia, Pa. 19102. Authority sought to operate as a *common carrier*, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: *Building materials* as described in appendix VI to the report



in *Descriptions in Motor Carrier Certificates*, 61 M.C.C. 209, and materials used in the manufacture of such building materials (except liquid commodities, in bulk in tank vehicles), between the plantsite of the Bestwall Gypsum Division of Georgia Pacific Corp., at Wilmington, Del., on the one hand, and, on the other, points in Connecticut, Maryland, Virginia, West Virginia, Pennsylvania, New York, Massachusetts, Rhode Island, and New Jersey. NOTE: Applicant states the proposed authority will be in lieu of the presently authorized authority now held by it in MC 60229, Subs 5 and 9 which is as follows: Sub 5: "Building materials as described in appendix VI to the report in *Descriptions in Motor Carrier Certificates*, 61 M.C.C. 209 (except liquid commodities, in bulk, in tank vehicles), from the plantsite of the Bestwall Gypsum Co., at Wilmington, Del., to points in Connecticut, Maryland, Virginia, West Virginia, Pennsylvania, and New York.

Restriction: The authority granted herein shall not be tacked or joined with any other authority held by said carrier", and Sub 9: "Building materials as described in appendix VI to the report in *Descriptions in Motor Carrier Certificates*, 61 M.C.C. 209 (except liquid commodities, in bulk, in tank vehicles), from the plantsite of the Bestwall Gypsum Co., located at Wilmington, Del., to points in Massachusetts and Rhode Island. Applicant further states that The Bestwall Gypsum Co., including its plant at Wilmington, Del., has been acquired by the Georgia Pacific Corp. One of the purposes of this application is to correct the plantsite description, as to designate it as "the plantsite of the Bestwall Gypsum Division of Georgia Pacific Corp." The authority sought, to transport "materials used in the manufacture of such building materials," and the authority sought, to transport to points in New Jersey, and inbound from the various States to the plantsite, would be authority in addition that now held under Subs 5 and 9. Applicant further states tacking could and would be performed only to the extent that it can now do so under Sub 9 rights and authority which it holds under lead certificate to transport building and construction materials between points in New Jersey, Delaware, and the District of Columbia, and that part of Pennsylvania and Maryland within 125 miles of Philadelphia, including Philadelphia. If a hearing is deemed necessary, applicant requests it be held at Philadelphia, Pa.

No. MC 61231 (Sub-No. 23), filed October 13, 1966. Applicant: ALKIRE TRUCK LINES, INC., 1600 Genesee, Kansas City, Mo. 64102. Applicant's representative: John T. Pruitt (same address as applicant). Authority sought to operate as a common carrier, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: *Iron and steel articles*, as described in appendix V to the report in *Descriptions in Motor Carrier Certificates*, 61 M.C.C. 209, from points in the Chicago, Ill., commercial zone as defined by the Commission to points in Arkansas, Illinois, Indiana, Iowa, Kansas, Michigan,

Minnesota, Missouri, Nebraska, North Dakota, Oklahoma, South Dakota, Texas, and Wisconsin. NOTE: If a hearing is deemed necessary, applicant requests it be held at Chicago, Ill.

No. MC 61396 (Sub-No. 167), filed October 17, 1966. Applicant: HERMAN BROS. INC., 2501 North 11th Street, Omaha, Nebr. 68102. Authority sought to operate as a common carrier, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: *Cement*, in bulk and in bag, from the plantsite of Dundee Cement Co. in Minneapolis, Minn., to points in Wisconsin, Iowa, South Dakota, North Dakota, and the Upper Peninsula of Michigan. NOTE: If a hearing is deemed necessary, applicant requests it be held at Minneapolis, Minn., Omaha, Nebr., or Chicago, Ill.

No. MC 87720 (Sub-No. 54), filed October 3, 1966. Applicant: BASS TRANSPORTATION CO., INC., Old Croton Road, Flemington, N.J. Applicant's representative: Bert Collins, 140 Cedar Street, New York, N.Y. 10006. Authority sought to operate as a contract carrier, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: (1) *Ground limestone*, in bulk, from Florence and Chester, Vt., to Burlington, N.J., (2) *resin*, in bulk, from Flemington, N.J., to Watkinsville, Ga., (3) *ground clay*, in bulk, from Gordon and Sandersville, Ga., to Burlington, N.J., restricted to service for Tenneco Manufacturing Co., (4) *paper*, in rolls, from Berlin, N.H., to Flemington, N.J., restricted to a service for Bemis Co., Inc., and returned, rejected or damaged shipments, on return in (1), (2), (3), and (4) above. NOTE: If a hearing is deemed necessary, applicant requests it be held at Boston, Mass., or Washington, D.C.

No. MC 97357 (Sub-No. 16), filed October 17, 1966. Applicant: ALLYN TRANSPORTATION COMPANY, a corporation, 14011 South Central Avenue, Los Angeles, Calif. 90059. Authority sought to operate as a common carrier, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: *Liquid argon, liquid helium, liquid hydrogen, liquid nitrogen, and liquid oxygen*, between points in Arizona, California, Colorado, Idaho, Montana, Nevada, New Mexico, Oregon, Utah, Washington, and Wyoming. NOTE: If a hearing is deemed necessary, applicant requests it be held at Washington, D.C., or Los Angeles, Calif.

No. MC 103435 (Sub-No. 191), filed October 17, 1966. Applicant: UNITED BUCKINGHAM FREIGHT LINES, East 915 Springfield Avenue, Spokane, Wash. 99220. Applicant's representative: George R. LaBissoniere, 920 Logan Building, Seattle, Wash. 98101. Authority sought to operate as a common carrier, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: *Iron and steel, iron and steel articles, equipment, materials and supplies used in the manufacture or processing of iron and steel articles*, between Joliet and Waukegan, Ill., and points in the Chicago, Ill., commercial zone as defined by the Commission, on the one hand, and, on the other, points in Alabama, Arkansas, Florida, Georgia, Illinois, Indiana, Iowa, Kansas, Ken-

tucky, Louisiana, Michigan, Minnesota, Mississippi, Missouri, Nebraska, North Dakota, Ohio, Oklahoma, Pennsylvania, South Dakota, Tennessee, Texas, and Wisconsin. NOTE: Applicant states it intends to tack with authorities presently authorized in Subs 104 and 158 (not specified). If a hearing is deemed necessary, applicant requests it be held at Chicago, Ill.

No. MC 106398 (Sub-No. 343), filed October 18, 1966. Applicant: NATIONAL TRAILER CONVOY, INC., 1925 North Sheridan Road, Tulsa, Okla. 74151. Applicant's representative: Richard O. Battles (same address as applicant). Authority sought to operate as a common carrier, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: *Trailers*, designed to be drawn by passenger automobiles, in initial movements, in truckaway service, from Stanford, Ky., to points in the United States (except Alaska and Hawaii). NOTE: If a hearing is deemed necessary, applicant requests it be held at Washington, D.C.

No. MC 106644 (Sub-No. 75), filed October 13, 1966. Applicant: SUPERIOR TRUCKING COMPANY, INC., 2770 Peyton Road NW., Chattahoochee Station, Atlanta, Ga. 30321. Applicant's representative: Otis E. Stovall, Post Office Box 17050, Chattahoochee Station, Atlanta, Ga. 30321. Authority sought to operate as a common carrier, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: *Cotton gin machinery; parts and accessories for cotton gin machinery; equipment, materials and supplies used in the construction, operation, and maintenance of cotton gin plants*, from Columbus, Ga., and Prattville, Ala., to points in Arizona, California, and New Mexico. NOTE: If a hearing is deemed necessary, applicant requests it be held at Atlanta, Ga., or Birmingham, Ala.

No. MC 106943 (Sub-No. 89), filed October 19, 1966. Applicant: EASTERN EXPRESS, INC., 1450 Wabash Avenue, Terre Haute, Ind. Applicant's representative: John E. Lesow, 3737 North Meridian Street, Indianapolis, Ind. 46208. Authority sought to operate as a common carrier, by motor vehicle, over regular routes, transporting: *General commodities* (except classes A and B explosives, livestock, grain, petroleum products, in bulk, household goods as defined by the Commission, and commodities requiring special equipment), serving the site of the Kinney Shoe Corp. plant, located at or near the intersection of Brandy Lane and Old Silver Spring Road, Mechanicsburg, Pa., as an off-route point in connection with carrier's regular route operations to and from Harrisburg, Pa. NOTE: If a hearing is deemed necessary, applicant requests it be held at Washington, D.C.

No. MC 107002 (Sub-No. 322) (Amendment), filed July 21, 1966, published FEDERAL REGISTER issues of August 18, 1966, and September 1, 1966, respectively, amended October 10, 1966, and republished as amended, this issue. Applicant: HEARIN-MILLER TRANSPORTERS, INC., Highway 80 West, Post Office Box 1123, Jackson, Miss. Applicant's



representatives: Harry C. Ames, Jr., 529 Transportation Building, Washington, D.C. 20006, and Harold D. Miller, Jr., Post Office Box 1250, Jackson, Miss. 39205. Authority sought to operate as a *common carrier*, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: *Chemicals*, in bulk, in tank vehicles, from Geismar, La., and points within 15 miles thereof (except Baton Rouge, La.), to points in the United States (except Alaska and Hawaii). **NOTE:** Applicant states that it will tack any of its present authority, in which it is authorized to operate in points in the United States, designating traffic to Geismar, La., and points within 15 miles thereof (except Baton Rouge, La., and Plaquemine, La.), with the authority sought herein. The purpose of this republication is to more clearly set forth the proposed operation. If a hearing is deemed necessary, applicant requests it be held at Washington, D.C.

No. MC 107107 (Sub-No. 376) (Amendment), filed August 11, 1966, published in *FEDERAL REGISTER* issue of September 1, 1966, amended October 17, 1966, and republished, as amended, this issue. Applicant: **ALTERMAN TRANSPORT LINES, INC.**, 2424 Northwest 46th Street, Miami, Fla. 33142. Applicant's representative: Ford W. Sewell (same address as above). Authority sought to operate as a *common carrier*, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: *Meats, meat products, meat byproducts and articles distributed by meat packinghouses*, as described in sections A and C of appendix I to the report in *Descriptions in Motor Carrier Certificates*, 61 M.C.C. 209 and 766 (except in bulk, in tank vehicles), from the plant-site of Aurora Packing Co., located at or near North Aurora, Ill., to points in Alabama, Georgia, Florida, North Carolina, and South Carolina. Restricted to traffic originating at said plantsite. **NOTE:** The purpose of this republication is to show the origin as the plantsite of Aurora Packing Co., located at or near North Aurora, Ill., in lieu of North Aurora Packing Co., located at or near Aurora, Ill., as previously published. If a hearing is deemed necessary, applicant requests it be held at Chicago, Ill.

No. MC 107403 (Sub-No. 696), filed October 13, 1966. Applicant: **MATLACK, INC.**, 10 West Baltimore Avenue, Lansdowne, Pa. 19050. Authority sought to operate as a *common carrier*, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: *Chemicals*, in bulk, from Geismar, La., and points within 15 miles thereof, to points in the United States, except Alaska and Hawaii. **NOTE:** If a hearing is deemed necessary, applicant requests it be held at Washington, D.C.

No. MC 109397 (Sub-No. 148), filed October 3, 1966. Applicant: **TRI-STATE MOTOR TRANSIT CO.**, a corporation, Post Office Box 113, Joplin, Mo. 64802. Applicant's representative: Max G. Morgan, 450 American National Building, Oklahoma City, Okla. Applicant states it is authorized to transport, over irregular routes, explosives, between Louviers, Colo., and points within 5 miles thereof, on the one hand, and, on the other, points in California, under its certificate No. MC

109397 Sub 71; and from Oakland, Calif., and points within 20 miles thereof, and from Creed, Calif., to points in Washington under its Subs 84 and 86. By the instant application applicant seeks to eliminate the Creed and Oakland gateways on *explosives* when moving on government bills of lading from Louviers, Colo., and points within 5 miles, to Bangor and Bremerton, Wash., and points within 5 miles of each. **NOTE:** If a hearing is deemed necessary, applicant requests it be held at Denver, Colo., or Kansas City, Mo.

No. MC 109689 (Sub-No. 181), filed October 20, 1966. Applicant: **W. S. HATCH CO.**, a corporation, 643 South 800 West, Woods Cross, Utah 84087. Applicant's representative: Mark K. Boyle, 345 South State Street, Salt Lake City, Utah 84111. Authority sought to operate as a *common carrier*, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: *Anhydrous ammonia acids and chemicals, ammonium nitrate, urea (all grades), phosphates (all grades), fertilizer, fertilizer compounds, fertilizer materials and ingredients, insecticides, herbicides, and pesticides*, between the ports of entry on the international boundary line between the United States and the Province of Manitoba, Canada, located in Minnesota and North Dakota, on the one hand, and, on the other, points in Montana, Idaho, Oregon, Utah, Washington, North Dakota, South Dakota, and Wyoming. **NOTE:** Applicant states it has authority to transport chemicals and acids from points in Utah to points in California, Nevada, Arizona, Colorado, and New Mexico which could be joined to the extent practicable. If a hearing is deemed necessary, applicant requests it be held at Boise, Idaho.

No. MC 110525 (Sub-No. 805), filed October 19, 1966. Applicant: **CHEMICAL LEAMAN TANK LINES, INC.**, 520 East Lancaster Avenue, Downingtown, Pa. 19335. Applicant's representatives: Leonard A. Jaskiewicz, 1155 15th Street, NW, Madison Building, Washington, D.C. 20005, and Edwin H. van Duesen (same address as applicant). Authority sought to operate as a *common carrier*, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: *Spent phosphoric acid*, in bulk, in tank vehicles, (1) from points in Michigan (except Alma, Charlotte, Detroit, Grand Rapids, Ionia, Jackson, Riga, and Trenton), to points in Indiana and Ohio, and (2) from Van Wert and Spencerville, Ohio, to points in Illinois and Indiana (except Kentland and Remington). **NOTE:** If a hearing is deemed necessary, applicant requests it be held at Washington, D.C.

No. MC 111069 (Sub-No. 39), filed October 12, 1966. Applicant: **COLDWAY CARRIERS, INC.**, Box 38, Clarksville, Ind. Applicant's representative: Rudy Yessin, Box 457, Frankfort, Ky. 40601. Authority sought to operate as a *contract carrier*, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: *Prepared foodstuffs*, in vehicles equipped with mechanical refrigeration, (1) from Louisville, Ky., Atlanta, Ga., and New Albany, Ind., to points in Alabama, Arkansas, Connecticut, Delaware, Flor-

ida, Georgia, Illinois, Indiana, Iowa, Kentucky, Louisiana, Maryland, Massachusetts, Michigan, Minnesota, Mississippi, Missouri, Nebraska, New Jersey, New York, North Carolina, Ohio, Pennsylvania, Rhode Island, South Carolina, Tennessee, Virginia, West Virginia, Wisconsin, Montana, North Dakota, South Dakota, and the District of Columbia, and (2) from East Greenville, Pa., to Atlanta, Ga., New Albany, Ind., and points in Connecticut, Delaware, Maine, Maryland, Massachusetts, Michigan, New Hampshire, New Jersey, New York, North Carolina, Ohio, Pennsylvania, Rhode Island, Vermont, Virginia, West Virginia, Montana, North Dakota, South Dakota, and the District of Columbia under contract with the Pillsbury Co. **NOTE:** If a hearing is deemed necessary, applicant requests it be held at Louisville, Ky., or Washington, D.C.

No. MC 112520 (Sub-No. 152), filed October 13, 1966. Applicant: **MCKENZIE TANK LINES, INC.**, New Quincy Road, Post Office Box 1200, Tallahassee, Fla. 32302. Applicant's representative: Sol H. Proctor, 1730 American Heritage Life Building, Jacksonville, Fla. 32202. Authority sought to operate as a *common carrier*, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: *Chemicals*, in bulk, from Avondale, La., to points in Alabama and Georgia. **NOTE:** Applicant states it would tack the proposed authority with its present authority and subs. If a hearing is deemed necessary, applicant requests it be held at Washington, D.C., Atlanta, Ga., or New Orleans, La.

No. MC 113459 (Sub-No. 39), filed October 20, 1966. Applicant: **H. J. JEFFRIES TRUCK LINE, INC.**, Post Office Drawer 94850, Oklahoma City, Okla. 73109. Applicant's representative: James W. Hightower, 136 Wynnewood Professional Building, Dallas, Tex. 75224. Authority sought to operate as *common carrier*, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: (1) *Tractors* (except tractors used in pulling commercial highway trailers), *scrapers, motor graders, wagons, engines* (except aircraft and missile engines), *generators, engines and generators combined, welders, road rollers, off-highway trucks*, and (2) *parts, attachments, and accessories* for the commodities described in (1) above, from Aurora, Joliet, Mossville, Decatur, Morton, and Peoria, Ill., and points within 15 miles of Peoria, Ill., to points in Texas, Oklahoma, Arkansas, Kansas, Missouri, New Mexico, Louisiana, Colorado, Wyoming, Montana, Nebraska, North Dakota, South Dakota, and Utah. **NOTE:** If a hearing is deemed necessary, applicant requests it be held at Peoria or Chicago, Ill., or Oklahoma City, Okla.

No. MC 113843 (Sub-No. 123), filed October 17, 1966. Applicant: **REFRIGERATED FOOD EXPRESS, INC.**, 316 Summer Street, Boston, Mass. 02210. Applicant's representative: Lawrence T. Shells (same address as applicant). Authority sought to operate as a *common carrier*, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: *Frozen foods*, from the plantsite of the Kitchens of Sara Lee at Dearfield, Ill., and storage facilities and warehouses utilized by the Kitchens



of Sara Lee at Chicago, Ill., to points in Connecticut, Delaware, Maine, Maryland, Massachusetts, New Hampshire, New Jersey, New York, Pennsylvania, Rhode Island, Vermont, and the District of Columbia. NOTE: Common control may be involved. If a hearing is deemed necessary, applicant requests it be held at Chicago, Ill.

No. MC 114004 (Sub-No. 63), filed October 11, 1966. Applicant: CHANDLER TRAILER CONVOY, INC., 8828 New Benton Highway, Little Rock, Ark. 72204. Authority sought to operate as a common carrier, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: *Mobile homes or trailers* designed to be drawn by passenger automobiles, in secondary movements, from points in Arkansas (except Newport and 9 miles thereof, and Jacksonville), to points in the United States including Alaska, but excluding Hawaii. NOTE: If a hearing is deemed necessary, applicant requests it be held at Little Rock, Ark.

No. MC 114019 (Sub-No. 166), filed October 17, 1966. Applicant: MIDWEST EMERY FREIGHT SYSTEM, INC., 7000 South Pulaski Road, Chicago, Ill. 60629. Applicant's representative: Carl L. Steiner, 39 South La Salle Street, Chicago, Ill. 60603. Authority sought to operate as a common carrier, by motor, over irregular routes, transporting: *Candy and confectionery*, from Duryea, Pa., to points in Michigan, Iowa, Kentucky, Missouri, and Wisconsin. NOTE: If a hearing is deemed necessary, applicant requests it be held at Washington, D.C., or Pittsburgh, Pa.

No. MC 114045 (Sub-No. 258), filed October 14, 1966. Applicant: TRANSCOLD EXPRESS, INC., Post Office Box 5842, Dallas, Tex. 75222. Authority sought to operate as a common carrier, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: *Canned, prepared and preserved foodstuffs*, from points in Oregon, Washington, Idaho, and California, to points in Texas, Oklahoma, Kansas, Louisiana, Arkansas, Missouri, Alabama, Georgia, and Mississippi. NOTE: If a hearing is deemed necessary, applicant requests it be held at Dallas, Tex., or Los Angeles, Calif.

No. MC 114211 (Sub-No. 106), filed October 17, 1966. Applicant: WARREN TRANSPORT, INC., 213 Witry Street, Waterloo, Iowa 50704. Applicant's representative: Charles W. Singer, 33 North La Salle Street, Chicago, Ill. 60602. Authority sought to operate as a common carrier, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: *Clay and fiberboard*, from Belle Fourche, S. Dak., and Colloid Spur, Wyo., to points in the United States, except Alaska and Hawaii. NOTE: If a hearing is deemed necessary, applicant requests it be held at Washington, D.C., or Chicago, Ill.

No. MC 114273 (Sub-No. 21), filed October 17, 1966. Applicant: CEDAR RAPIDS STEEL TRANSPORTATION, INC., Post Office Box 68, 3930 16th Avenue SW., Cedar Rapids, Iowa 52406. Applicant's representative: Robert E. Konchar, Suite 315, Commerce Exchange Building, 2720 First Avenue NE., Cedar

Rapids, Iowa 52402. Authority sought to operate as a common carrier, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: *Meats, meat products, meat byproducts, dairy products, articles distributed by meat packinghouses and such commodities* as are used by meatpackers in the conduct of their business when destined to and for use by meatpackers, as described in appendix I, groups A, B, and C, to the report in *Descriptions in Motor Carrier Certificates*, 61 M.C.C. 209 and 766, between Cedar Rapids, Iowa, on the one hand, and, on the other, points in Illinois, excluding Chicago, Ill., and the Chicago, Ill., commercial zone as defined by the Commission. NOTE: If a hearing is deemed necessary, applicant requests it be held at Chicago, Ill., or Washington, D.C.

No. MC 114365 (Sub-No. 3), filed October 17, 1966. Applicant: RAY ACKERMAN, 283 Roosevelt Street, Kingsford, Mich. 49801. Authority sought to operate as a contract carrier, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: *Malt beverages*, namely beer and ale, beer tonics, porter, and stout, in straight and mixed shipments, (1) from La Crosse, Wis., to Norway, Mich., (2) from Oconto, Wis., to Norway and Escanaba, Mich., and (3) from Sheboygan and Milwaukee, Wis., and Chicago, Ill., to Escanaba, Mich., under contracts with Lardenoit Distributing Co., Inc., Johnson Distributing Co., and Central West Distributing Co. NOTE: If a hearing is deemed necessary, applicant requests it be held at Milwaukee, Wis.

No. MC 114725 (Sub-No. 30), filed October 13, 1966. Applicant: WYNNE TRANSPORT SERVICE, INC., 2606 North 11th Street, Omaha, Nebr. Applicant's representative: J. Max Harding, Box 2028, Lincoln, Nebr. 68501. Authority sought to operate as a common carrier, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: *All commercial chemicals and fertilizers normally transported in bulk tanks* (special equipment), from points in Woodbury County, Iowa, and Dakota County, Nebr., to points in Nebraska, South Dakota, North Dakota, Minnesota, Wisconsin, Wyoming, Montana, and Colorado. NOTE: If a hearing is deemed necessary, applicant requests it be held at Sioux City, Iowa, or Omaha, Nebr.

No. MC 115036 (Sub-No. 20), filed October 14, 1966. Applicant: VAN TASSEL, INCORPORATED, Fifth and Grand, Pittsburg, Kans. 66762. Applicant's representative: Herbert V. Eskelin, 4545 Montgall Avenue, Kansas City, Mo. 64103. Authority sought to operate as a contract carrier, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: *Such commodities* as are dealt in by wholesale, retail, and chain groceries, and food business houses, and in connection therewith *equipment, materials, and supplies* used in the conduct of such business, between points in Illinois, Iowa, Minnesota, Nebraska, and Wisconsin, on the one hand, and, on the other, plant and warehouse sites, stores, and facilities of Foodtown Stores, Inc., a wholly owned subsidiary of Red Owl Stores, Inc., located in

Arkansas, Kansas, Missouri, and Oklahoma, under contract with Foodtown Stores, Inc. NOTE: Applicant is also authorized to conduct operations as a common carrier in certificate No. MC 119630 Subs 1, 2, 3, and 4, therefore, dual operations may be involved. If a hearing is deemed necessary, applicant requests it be held at Kansas City, Mo., Tulsa or Oklahoma City, Okla., or St. Louis, Mo.

No. MC 115162 (Sub-No. 139), filed October 17, 1966. Applicant: WALTER POOLE, doing business as POOLE TRUCK LINE, Post Office Box 310, Evergreen, Ala. Applicant's representative: Robert E. Tate, Suite 2025, City Federal Building, Birmingham, Ala. 35203. Authority sought to operate as a common carrier, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: *Plywood*, from the plantsites of the Georgia-Pacific Corp., located at or near Gloster and Louisville, Miss., to points in Alabama, Florida, Georgia, North Carolina, South Carolina, Tennessee, Missouri, Iowa, Wisconsin, Michigan, Illinois, Indiana, Ohio, Kentucky, Virginia, West Virginia, Maryland, Delaware, Pennsylvania, New Jersey, New York, Connecticut, Massachusetts, Rhode Island, New Hampshire, and the District of Columbia. NOTE: If a hearing is deemed necessary, applicant requests it be held at Washington, D.C.

No. MC 115162 (Sub-No. 140), filed October 17, 1966. Applicant: WALTER POOLE, doing business as POOLE TRUCK LINE, Post Office Box 310, Evergreen, Ala. Applicant's representative: Robert E. Tate, Suite 2025-2028, City Federal Building, Birmingham, Ala. 35203. Authority sought to operate as a common carrier, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: *Plywood, wood flooring, wood siding, paneling, wallboard, and accessories, including molding and paint stain* used in the installation of the above commodities, from Charlotte, N.C., to points in Georgia, Florida, Alabama, Mississippi, Louisiana, Texas, Arkansas, and Tennessee. NOTE: If a hearing is deemed necessary, applicant requests it be held at Washington, D.C., or Charlotte, N.C.

No. MC 115410 (Sub-No. 5), filed October 19, 1966. Applicant: HAWKES TRANSPORTATION CO., INC., 1526 South 600 West, Salt Lake City, Utah 84104. Applicant's representative: Keith E. Taylor, 520 Kearns Building, Salt Lake City, Utah 84101. Authority sought to operate as a common carrier, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: *Commodities*, which because of size or weight require the use of special equipment for loading, unloading, or transporting and of related parts when their transportation is incidental to the transportation of commodities which because of size or weight require the use of special equipment for loading, unloading, or transporting, from Boise, Idaho, to points in Washington. NOTE: Applicant states it would tack the proposed authority with its present authority to transport building materials which fall within the commodity description of this application at Boise, Idaho, on shipments originating at Great Falls and Black Eagle, Mont. If a hearing is deemed necessary,



applicant requests it be held at Salt Lake City, Utah, or Boise, Idaho.

No. MC 115523 (Sub-No. 133), filed October 17, 1966. Applicant: CLARK TANK LINES COMPANY, a corporation, 1450 Beck Street, Salt Lake City, Utah. Applicant's representative: Franklin D. Johnson, 422 Continental Bank Building, Salt Lake City, Utah 84111. Authority sought to operate as a *common carrier*, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: *Anhydrous ammonia, acids and chemicals, ammonium nitrate, urea, phosphates, fertilizer, fertilizer compounds, materials and ingredients, insecticides, herbicides, and pesticides*, from ports of entry on the international boundary line between the United States and Canada to points in Montana, Wyoming, Utah, Idaho, Washington, Oregon, California, Nevada, Colorado, New Mexico, North Dakota, South Dakota, and Arizona, and *refused, rejected, and damaged shipments*, on return. NOTE: If a hearing is deemed necessary, applicant does not specify a location.

No. MC 115523 (Sub-No. 134), filed October 18, 1966. Applicant: CLARK TANK LINES COMPANY, a corporation, 1450 Beck Street, Salt Lake City, Utah. Applicant's representative: Franklin D. Johnson, 422 Continental Bank Building, Salt Lake City, Utah 84111. Authority sought to operate as a *common carrier*, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: *Molasses and molasses base feed supplements*, from Kimberly, Idaho, to points in Nevada and Utah. NOTE: If a hearing is deemed necessary, applicant requests it be held at Salt Lake City, Utah, San Francisco, Calif., or Portland, Oreg.

No. MC 115917 (Sub-No. 16), filed October 13, 1966. Applicant: UNDERWOOD & WELD COMPANY, INC., Box 348, Crossnore, N.C. Applicant's representative: Wilmer A. Hill, 529 Transportation Building, Washington, D.C. 20006. Authority sought to operate as a *common carrier*, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: *Salt*, in bulk, restricted to traffic which has had an immediately prior movement by rail, (1) between points in North Carolina, and (2) between points in North Carolina, on the one hand, and, on the other, points in South Carolina and Tennessee. NOTE: If a hearing is deemed necessary, applicant requests it be held at Charlotte or Raleigh, N.C.

No. MC 116077 (Sub-No. 208), filed October 13, 1966. Applicant: ROBERTSON TANK LINES, INC., 5700 Polk Avenue, Post Office Box 9527, Houston, Tex. 77011. Applicant's representative: Thomas E. James, The 904 Lavaca Building, Austin, Tex. 78701. Authority sought to operate as a *common carrier*, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: *Chemicals*, in bulk, from Geismar, La., and points within 15 miles thereof, to points in the United States (including Alaska, but excluding Hawaii). NOTE: Applicant states that it intends to tack at Geismar, La., with its present authority in Subs 6, 43, 134, 135, 173, 180, 192, wherein it is authorized to operate in Alabama, Arkansas, California, Connecticut, Delaware, Florida,

Georgia, Illinois, Indiana, Kansas, Kentucky, Louisiana, Maryland, Massachusetts, Michigan, Mississippi, Missouri, Nebraska, New Jersey, New Mexico, New York, North Carolina, Ohio, Oklahoma, Pennsylvania, Rhode Island, South Carolina, Texas, Tennessee, Virginia, and Wisconsin. NOTE: If a hearing is deemed necessary, applicant requests it be held at New Orleans, La., or Houston, Tex.

No. MC 116626 (Sub-No. 3), filed October 13, 1966. Applicant: C. W. EANES, R.F.D. 1, Box 5, Gretna, Va. Applicant's representative: Edward G. Villalon, 1735 K Street N.W., Washington, D.C. 20006. Authority sought to operate as a *common carrier*, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: *Lumber* (except plywood and veneer), from points in Virginia to points in North Carolina. NOTE: If a hearing is deemed necessary, applicant requests it be held at Washington, D.C.

No. MC 117574 (Sub-No. 157), filed October 19, 1966. Applicant: DAILY EXPRESS, INC., Post Office Box 39, Motor Route No. 3, Carlisle, Pa. 17013. Authority sought to operate as a *common carrier*, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: *Fibrous glass products*, between points in Huntingdon County, Pa., on the one hand, and, on the other, points in the United States (except Hawaii). NOTE: Common control may be involved. If a hearing is deemed necessary, applicant requests it be held at Washington, D.C.

No. MC 119422 (Sub-No. 42), filed October 17, 1966. Applicant: EE-JAY MOTOR TRANSPORTS, INC., 15th and Lincoln Streets, East St. Louis, Ill. Applicant's representative: Mack Stephenson, 42 Fox Mill Lane, Springfield, Ill. 62707. Authority sought to operate as a *common carrier*, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: *Cement*, from the plantsite of Marquette Cement Manufacturing Co. at St. Louis, Mo., to points in Madison, St. Clair, Bond, and Clinton Counties, Ill. NOTE: If a hearing is deemed necessary, applicant requests it be held at St. Louis, Mo., Chicago, or Springfield, Ill.

No. MC 119441 (Sub-No. 13), filed October 13, 1966. Applicant: BAKER HIGHWAY EXPRESS, INC., Post Office Box 44, Stone Creek, Ohio 43840. Applicant's representative: Richard H. Brandon, 79 East State Street, Columbus, Ohio 43215. Authority sought to operate as a *common carrier*, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: *Clay products*, from points in Athens, Carroll, Columbiana, Franklin, Holmes, Medina, Perry, Stark, Summit, Tuscarawas, Wyandot, and Wayne Counties, Ohio, to points in Wisconsin. NOTE: If a hearing is deemed necessary, applicant requests it be held at Columbus, Ohio.

No. MC 119547 (Sub-No. 12) (Correction), filed July 21, 1966, published in the FEDERAL REGISTER issue of August 25, 1966, corrected October 24, 1966, and republished as corrected this issue. Applicant: EDGAR W. LONG, Route 4, Zanesville, Ohio. Applicant's representative: Richard H. Brandon, 79 East State Street, Columbus, Ohio 43215. Authority sought to operate as a *common car-*

*rier*, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: *Plumbers goods, water heaters, radiators, boilers, and articles used in the installation and assembly thereof* from Zanesville, Ohio, to points in the United States (excluding Alaska and Hawaii), and *materials, equipment, and supplies* used in the manufacture and assembly of the above-described commodities, on return. NOTE: The purpose of this republication is to correct the commodity description as shown in the FEDERAL REGISTER. If a hearing is deemed necessary, applicant requests it be held at Columbus, Ohio.

No. MC 119767 (Sub-No. 186), filed October 13, 1966. Applicant: BEAVER TRANSPORT CO., a corporation, 100 South Calumet Street, Burlington, Wis. 53105. Applicant's representative: Fred H. Figue (same address as applicant). Authority sought to operate as a *common carrier*, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: *Prepared foodstuffs*, in vehicles equipped with mechanical refrigeration (except in bulk in tank vehicles), from New Albany, Ind., and Louisville, Ky., to points in Illinois, on and north of U.S. Highway 36, Iowa, Michigan, Minnesota, Nebraska, North Dakota, South Dakota, and Pennsylvania, restricted to traffic originating at plantsites and warehouses of the Pillsbury Co., located at or near New Albany, Ind., and Louisville, Ky. NOTE: If a hearing is deemed necessary, applicant requests it be held at Louisville, Ky., or Minneapolis, Minn.

No. MC 119934 (Sub-No. 132) (Correction), filed October 3, 1966, published FEDERAL REGISTER issue of October 20, 1966, and republished as corrected, this issue. Applicant: ECOFF TRUCKING, INC., Fortville, Ind. Applicant's representative: Robert C. Smith, 620 Illinois Building, Indianapolis, Ind. 46204. Authority sought to operate as a *common carrier*, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: *Ink*, in bulk, in tank vehicles, from Buffalo, N.Y., Jacksonville and Orlando, Fla., Atlanta and Huber, Ga., and New Orleans, La., to Sylacauga, Ala. NOTE: The purpose of this republication is to correctly set forth the authority requested. If a hearing is deemed necessary, applicant requests it be held at Atlanta, Ga.

No. MC 123393 (Sub-No. 168), filed October 14, 1966. Applicant: BILYEU REFRIGERATED TRANSPORT CORPORATION, 2105 East Dale Street, Springfield, Mo. 65803. Applicant's representative: Harley E. Laughlin, Post Office Box 948, Commercial Station, Springfield, Mo. 65803. Authority sought to operate as a *common carrier*, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: *Meat, meat products, and meat byproducts and articles distributed by meat packinghouses*, from Chicago, Lemont, and Joliet, Ill., to points in Missouri, Ohio, Alabama, Florida, Georgia, New York, New Jersey, Pennsylvania, Iowa, Kansas, Oklahoma, Nebraska, Colorado, Virginia, and the District of Columbia. NOTE: Common control may be involved. If a hearing is deemed necessary, applicant requests it be held at Chicago, Ill.



No. MC 123393 (Sub-No. 169), filed October 17, 1966. Applicant: BILYEU REFRIGERATED TRANSPORT CORPORATION, 2105 East Dale Street, Springfield, Mo. 65803. Applicant's representative: Harley E. Laughlin, Post Office Box 948, Commercial Station, Springfield, Mo. 65803. Authority sought to operate as a *common carrier*, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: *Foodstuffs*, (1) from Lewes, Del., to points in Kansas, Missouri, Indiana, Michigan, and Illinois and (2) from Baltimore, Md., and Macon, Ga., to points in Michigan, Ohio, Indiana, Kentucky, Tennessee, and Louisiana. NOTE: Common control may be involved. Applicant states that it would be possible to tack the authority here sought with its presently held authority in Sub 33, wherein it is authorized to operate from California, Mo., to points in Connecticut, Colorado, Delaware, Indiana, Iowa, Kansas, Kentucky, Maine, Maryland, Massachusetts, Minnesota, Nebraska, New Hampshire, New Jersey, New York, North Dakota, Ohio, Pennsylvania, Rhode Island, South Dakota, Tennessee (except Memphis, Tenn.), and the commercial zone thereof, as defined by the Commission), Vermont, Virginia, West Virginia, Wisconsin, and the District of Columbia. If a hearing is deemed necessary, applicant requests it be held at New York, N.Y., Baltimore, Md., Philadelphia, Pa., or Washington, D.C.

No. MC 123407 (Sub-No. 29) (Amendment) filed August 4, 1966, published in FEDERAL REGISTER issue of August 25, 1966, amended October 19, 1966, and republished as amended, this issue. Applicant: SAWYER TRANSPORT, INC., 2424 Minnehaha Avenue, Minneapolis, Minn. Applicant's representative: Michael E. Miller, 502 First National Bank Building, Fargo, N. Dak. 58102. Authority sought to operate as a *common carrier*, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: (1) *Building, roofing, and insulating materials, and related articles; cement and asbestos products; conduit or pipe, cement containing asbestos, and accessories for installation*, from Waukegan, Ill., to points in Illinois, Indiana, Kentucky, Michigan, Missouri, Mississippi, Ohio, Pennsylvania, Tennessee, Wisconsin, Minnesota, Iowa, Nebraska, South Dakota, and North Dakota; and, (2) *building, roofing, and insulating materials*, from Rockdale, Ill., to points in Illinois, Indiana, Kentucky, Michigan, Missouri, Mississippi, Ohio, Pennsylvania, Tennessee, Wisconsin, Minnesota, Iowa, Nebraska, South Dakota, and North Dakota. NOTE: The purpose of this republication is to broaden the authority sought. Common control may be involved. If a hearing is deemed necessary, applicant requests it be held at Chicago, Ill.

No. MC 123407 (Sub-No. 30), filed October 14, 1966. Applicant: SAWYER TRANSPORT, INC., 2424 Minnehaha Avenue, Minneapolis, Minn. Applicant's representative: Alan Foss, 502 First National Bank Building, Fargo, N. Dak. 58102. Authority sought to operate as a *common carrier*, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: (1) *Iron*

*and steel, iron and steel articles, and equipment, materials and supplies used in the manufacture or processing of iron and steel articles*, between points in the Chicago, Ill., commercial zone, on the one hand, and, on the other, points in Alabama, Arkansas, Florida, Georgia, Illinois, Indiana, Iowa, Kansas, Kentucky, Louisiana, Michigan, Minnesota, Mississippi, Missouri, Nebraska, North Dakota, Ohio, Oklahoma, Pennsylvania, South Dakota, Tennessee, Texas, and Wisconsin, and (2) *iron and steel articles, and equipment, materials and supplies used in the manufacture or processing of iron and steel articles*, between Portage, Ind., on the one hand, and, on the other, points in Montana, Wyoming, Colorado, New Mexico, North Dakota, South Dakota, Nebraska, Kansas, Oklahoma, Texas, Minnesota, Iowa, Missouri, Arkansas, Louisiana, Michigan, Wisconsin, Illinois, Indiana, Ohio, Kentucky, Tennessee, Mississippi, Alabama, Georgia, and Florida. NOTE: Common control may be involved. If a hearing is deemed necessary, applicant requests it be held at Chicago, Ill.

No. MC 124078 (Sub-No. 251), filed October 17, 1966. Applicant: SCHWERMANN TRUCKING CO., a corporation, 611 South 28 Street, Milwaukee, Wis. 53246. Applicant's representative: Richard H. Prevette (same address as applicant). Authority sought to operate as a *common carrier*, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: *Silica flour, silica sand, and silica sand with additives*, from Guion, Ark., to points in Alabama, Georgia, Kansas, Louisiana, Mississippi, Missouri, Oklahoma, Tennessee, and Texas. NOTE: Applicant states the proposed authority herein can be joined with its presently authorized authority in MC 124078 Subs 51, 67, and 127, at Guion, Ark., to provide service to points in Iowa, Illinois, Indiana, and Kentucky. If a hearing is deemed necessary, applicant requests it be held at Little Rock, Ark.

No. MC 124212 (Sub-No. 40), filed October 18, 1966. Applicant: MITCHELL TRANSPORT, INC., 21111 Chagrin Boulevard, Cleveland, Ohio 44122. Applicant's representative: J. A. Kundtz, 1050 Union Commerce Building, Cleveland, Ohio 44115. Authority sought to operate as a *common carrier*, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: *Cement*, from the plantsite of the Lehigh Portland Cement Co. located at Mason City, Iowa, to points in Dakota County, Nebr. NOTE: Common control may be involved. If a hearing is deemed necessary, applicant requests it be held at Washington, D.C.

No. MC 124775 (Sub-No. 3), filed October 19, 1966. Applicant: HRIBAR TRUCKING, INC., 1521 Waukesha Road, Caledonia, Wis. Applicant's representative: Frank M. Coyne, 1 West Main Street, Madison, Wis. Authority sought to operate as a *common carrier*, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: *Spent (used) foundry sand and foundry sweepings*, in bulk, in dump vehicles, from points in Iowa, Minnesota, Indiana, Illinois, and Michigan to points in Wisconsin. NOTE: If a hearing is

deemed necessary, applicant requests it be held at Madison, Wis., or Chicago, Ill.

No. MC 124813 (Sub-No. 30), filed October 12, 1966. Applicant: UMTHUN TRUCKING CO., a corporation, 910 South Jackson Street, Eagle Grove, Iowa 50533. Applicant's representative: William A. Landau, 1307 East Walnut Street, Des Moines, Iowa 50306. Authority sought to operate as a *common carrier*, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: *Agricultural chemicals*, other than in bulk, from the plantsite and warehouse facility of Monsanto Co., near Muscatine, Iowa (approximately 3½ miles south of the Muscatine city limits) to points in Illinois, Indiana, Kansas, Michigan, Minnesota, Missouri, Nebraska, North Dakota, South Dakota, and Wisconsin. NOTE: Applicant holds contract carrier authority in MC 118468 Subs 16 and 17, therefore dual operations may be involved. If a hearing is deemed necessary, applicant requests it be held at Des Moines, Iowa.

No. MC 124926 (Sub-No. 4), filed October 19, 1966. Applicant: DIXON BROTHERS, a corporation, Post Office Box 636, Newcastle, Wyo. 82701. Applicant's representative: Ward A. White, Post Office Box 568, Cheyenne, Wyo. 82001. Authority sought to operate as a *contract carrier*, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: *Lumber and wood products*, on flatbed equipment only, from Newcastle, Wyo., to points in Iowa, under contract with Berman Forest Products, Newcastle, Wyo. NOTE: If a hearing is deemed necessary, applicant requests it be held at Rapid City, S. Dak., or Cheyenne, Wyo.

No. MC 125254 (Sub-No. 4), filed October 12, 1966. Applicant: DONALD L. MORGAN, doing business as MORGAN TRUCKING CO., 1907 Oneida Avenue, Muscatine, Iowa 52761. Applicant's representative: William A. Landau, 1307 East Walnut Street, Des Moines, Iowa 50306. Authority sought to operate as a *common carrier*, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: *Agricultural chemicals*, other than in bulk, from the plant site and warehouse facility of Monsanto Co., near Muscatine, Iowa (approximately 3½ miles south of the Muscatine city limits), to points in Illinois, Indiana, Kansas, Michigan, Minnesota, Missouri, Nebraska, North Dakota, South Dakota, and Wisconsin. NOTE: If a hearing is deemed necessary, applicant requests it be held at Des Moines, Iowa.

No. MC 125777 (Sub-No. 105), filed October 19, 1966. Applicant: JACK GRAY TRANSPORT, INC., 3200 Gibson Transfer Road, Hammond, Ind. 46323. Applicant's representative: Edw. G. Bazelon, 39 South La Salle Street, Chicago, Ill. 60603. Authority sought to operate as a *common carrier*, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: *Scrap metals*, in dump vehicles, between Cincinnati, Ohio, and points in its commercial zone thereof, on the one hand, and, on the other, points in Boone, Kenton, and Campbell Counties, Ky., points in Wayne, Henry, Union, Fayette, Rush, Franklin, Dearborn, Ohio, Ripley, Switzerland, and Jefferson Counties,



Ind., and points in Preble, Montgomery, Greene, Butler, Warren, Clinton, Highland, Hamilton, Clermont, and Brown Counties, Ohio. NOTE: If a hearing is deemed necessary, applicant requests it be held at Chicago, Ill.

No. MC 126474 (Sub-No. 1), filed October 14, 1966. Applicant: C. M. CARPENTER, doing business as CARPENTER TRUCKING COMPANY, Annville, Ky. 40402. Applicant's representative: Fred F. Bradley, 213 St. Claire Street, Frankfort, Ky. 40601. Authority sought to operate as a common carrier, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: *Crushed stone, limestone, gravel, aggregate and agricultural lime*, from the site of Smith's Branch Stone Co., Inc., U.S. 60, Carter County, Ky., to points in Lawrence, Scioto, and Gallia Counties, Ohio, and Cabell, Putnam, Wayne, Kanawha, and Lincoln Counties, W. Va. NOTE: If a hearing is deemed necessary, applicant requests it be held at Frankfort, Lexington, or Louisville, Ky.

No. MC 126890 (Sub-No. 3), filed October 17, 1966. Applicant: FRANCIS L. SARGENT, doing business as ROY SARGENT, 1491 Islington Street, Portsmouth, N.H. Applicant's representative: Robert J. Gallagher, 111 State Street, Boston, Mass. 02109. Authority sought to operate as a common carrier, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: (1) *Bananas*, and (2) *commodities* (namely fresh fruits, vegetable, and berries), the transportation of which is partially exempt under the provisions of section 203(b)(6) of the Interstate Commerce Act if transported in vehicles not used in carrying any other property, when moving in the same vehicle at the same time with commodities named in (1) above, from Boston, Mass., New York, N.Y., Port Newark and Weehawken, N.J., to ports of entry on the international boundary line between the United States and Canada at Bar Harbor, Calais, Vanceboro, and Houlton, Maine, and Rouses Point, N.Y., restricted to shipments destined for delivery in the provinces of New Brunswick, Nova Scotia, Prince Edward Island, and Newfoundland, Canada. NOTE: If a hearing is deemed necessary, applicant requests it be held at Washington, D.C.

No. MC 127050 (Sub-No. 2), filed October 13, 1966. Applicant: CUSTOMER DELIVERY SERVICE, INC., Rural Delivery No. 1, Montgomery, N.Y. Applicant's representative: John J. Brady, Jr., 75 State Street, Albany, N.Y. 12207. Authority sought to operate as a contract carrier, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: *Building materials, uncrated*, transported in flatbed dump vehicles, (1) from Middletown, Orange County, N.Y., to points in Wayne and Pike Counties, Pa., Sussex, Passaic, Bergen, Morris, Essex, Somerset, Union, and Middlesex Counties N.J. and Litchfield and Fairfield Counties, Conn., and (2) in interplant operations, between the plantsites of Wickes Lumber & Building Supply Co., located in the towns of Montgomery and Middletown, N.Y., on the one hand, and, on the other, plants lo-

cated at Southington, Conn., and Succasunna and Phillipsburg, N.J., under a contract with Wickes Lumber & Building Supply Co., Division of Wickes Corporation of Saginaw, Mich. NOTE: If a hearing is deemed necessary, applicant requests it be held at Albany, N.Y.

No. MC 127064 (Sub-No. 3), filed October 19, 1966. Applicant: E. J. PETER TRUCKING, INC., Route No. 2, Athens, Wis. 54411. Authority sought to operate as a common carrier, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: (1) *Animal feed, poultry feed, and feed ingredients* in bags and in bulk, from Minneapolis, St. Paul, Hastings, and Red Wing, Minn., to points in Medford Township, in Taylor County, and points in Langlade County and points east of U.S. Highway 51 in Marathon County, Wis., and (2) *soybean meal* in bags and in bulk, from Savage, Minn., to points in Clark, Taylor, Wood, and Langlade Counties, Wis., and points east of U.S. Highway 51 in Marathon County, Wis. NOTE: Applicant states that it intends to tack with its present authority in MC 127064, in which it is authorized to operate in Wisconsin. If a hearing is deemed necessary, applicant requests it be held at Madison, Wis., or Minneapolis, Minn.

No. MC 127253 (Sub-No. 34), filed October 21, 1966. Applicant: GRACE LEE CORBETT, doing business as R. A. CORBETT TRANSPORT, Post Office Box 86, Lufkin, Tex. Applicant's representative: Ewell H. Muse, Jr., 415 Perry Brooks Building, Austin, Tex. 78701. Authority sought to operate as a common carrier, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: (1) *Feed grade molasses*, in bulk, in tank vehicles, (a) from Hereford, Tex., to points in New Mexico, Arizona, Oklahoma, Arkansas, and Louisiana, and (b) from Freeport, Tex., to points in New Mexico, Oklahoma, and Mississippi, (2) *chemicals*, in bulk, in tank vehicles, from points in St. Marys Parish, La., to points in Arkansas, Mississippi, Louisiana, and Texas, and (3) *coal tar and coal tar pitch* (other than coal tar chemicals), in bulk, from Houston, Tex., to points in Louisiana, Arkansas, and Oklahoma. NOTE: If a hearing is deemed necessary, applicant requests it be held at Shreveport or New Orleans, La.

No. MC 127705 (Sub-No. 6), filed October 17, 1966. Applicant: KREVDIA BROS. EXPRESS, INC., Post Office Box 68, Gas City, Ind. 46933. Applicant's representative: Donald W. Smith, 511 Fidelity Building, Indianapolis, Ind. 46204. Authority sought to operate as a common carrier, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: *Glassware, glass containers, and fiberboard boxes* when moving in mixed loads with glassware and glass containers, from Burlington, Wis., to points in Indiana, Ohio, Michigan, Kentucky, West Virginia, Pennsylvania, New York, New Jersey, Connecticut, Massachusetts, and Maryland, and *damaged and rejected shipments*, on return. NOTE: Applicant holds contract carrier authority under MC 123934 and Subs therefor, dual operations may be involved. If a hearing is deemed necessary, applicant requests

it be held at Chicago, Ill., or Indianapolis, Ind.

No. MC 127856 (Sub-No. 2), filed October 19, 1966. Applicant: JACK BLOSS TRUCKING, INC., Route 2, Salem, Wis. Applicant's representative: Frank M. Coyne, 1 West Main Street, Madison, Wis. 53703. Authority sought to operate as a common carrier, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: *Spent (used) foundry sand and foundry sweepings*, in bulk in dump vehicles, from points in Illinois, Iowa, Minnesota, Michigan, and Indiana to points in Wisconsin. NOTE: If a hearing is deemed necessary, applicant requests it be held at Madison, Wis., or Chicago, Ill.

No. MC 128246 (Sub-No. 2), filed October 14, 1966. Applicant: JOE ELDON WEAVER, doing business as R & J TRANSPORT, 5133 Maywood Avenue, Maywood, Calif. 90270. Authority sought to operate as a contract carrier, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: *Plastic or fiberglass figures and displays*, from Venice, Calif., to points in the United States (except Alaska and Hawaii), under contract with International Fiberglass, Venice, Calif. NOTE: If a hearing is deemed necessary, applicant requests it be held at Los Angeles, Calif., or Las Vegas, Nev.

No. MC 128442 (Sub-No. 1), filed July 21, 1966. Applicant: GOOD MECHANIC AUTO COMPANY, INC., doing business as G & M AUTO COMPANY, 7224 Euclid Avenue, Cleveland 3, Ohio. Applicant's representative: Charles E. Creager, Post Office Box 81, Winchester, Va. 22601. Authority sought to operate as a common carrier, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: *Wrecked, disabled, inoperative, stolen, and repossessed trucks, tractors, trailers* (excluding mobile homes or house trailers designed to be drawn by passenger automobiles), and *passenger automobiles and replacements for such vehicles*, in truckaway service using wrecker equipment, between Cleveland, Ohio (including points in the commercial zone as defined by the Commission), and points in Summit County, Ohio, on the one hand, and, on the other, points in Delaware, Illinois, Indiana, Kentucky, Maryland, Michigan, New Jersey, New York, Ohio, Pennsylvania, Virginia, West Virginia, and the District of Columbia. NOTE: If a hearing is deemed necessary, applicant requests it be held at Washington, D.C.

No. MC 128447 (Sub-No. 1), filed August 25, 1966. Applicant: REVELL MOVING AND STORAGE, INC., 125 North Harrison, Topeka, Kans. 66603. Applicant's representative: Donald L. Deam, 917 Topeka Boulevard, Topeka, Kans. 66612. Authority sought to operate as a common carrier, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: *Containerized and uncrated household goods*, (1) between points in Allen, Anderson, Atchison, Bourbon, Brown, Chase, Coffey, Dickinson, Doniphan, Douglas, Franklin, Geary, Greenwood, Jackson, Jefferson, Johnson, Leavenworth, Linn, Lyon, Miami, Morris, Nemaha, Osage, Riley, Shawnee, Wabunsee, Woodson, and Wyandotte Counties, Kans., and (2) between points



in Andrew, Benton, Buchanan, Caldwell, Carroll, Cass, Clay, Clinton, De Kalb, Henry, Jackson, Johnson, Lafayette, Pettis, Platte, Ray, and Saline Counties, Mo. NOTE: If a hearing is deemed necessary, applicant requests it be held at Topeka or Kansas City, Kans.

No. MC 128476 (Sub-No. 1), filed October 17, 1966. Applicant: U & ME TRANSFER, INC., 621 First Street, Post Office Box 2525, West Palm Beach, Fla. 33402. Authority sought to operate as a contract carrier, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: Telephone equipment, materials, and supplies having a prior or subsequent movement in Interstate Commerce, between West Palm Beach, Fla., and points in Palm Beach, Glades, Hendry, and Broward Counties, Fla., under contract with Western Electric Co., Inc., New York, N.Y. NOTE: If a hearing is deemed necessary, applicant requests it be held at West Palm Beach or Miami, Fla.

No. MC 128571 (Sub-No. 1), filed October 17, 1966. Applicant: CARDINAL VAN & STORAGE, a corporation, 73365 Twentynine Palms Highway, Twentynine Palms, Calif. 92227. Applicant's representative: Carl H. Fritze, 1010 Wilshire Boulevard, Los Angeles, Calif. 90017. Authority sought to operate as a common carrier, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: Household goods, as defined by the Commission, between points in San Bernardino and Riverside Counties, Calif. NOTE: If a hearing is deemed necessary, applicant requests it be held at Los Angeles, Calif.

No. MC 128574 (Sub-No. 1), filed October 19, 1966. Applicant: WILLIAM R. DRAKE, 1500 Northwest Avenue L, Post Office Box 181, Belle Glade, Fla. Applicant's representative: John C. Vogt, Jr., Post Office Box 231, 506 First National Bank Building, Orlando, Fla. 32802. Authority sought to operate as a contract carrier, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: Raw sugar, in bulk, from points in Palm Beach County, Fla., to the following railroad sidings: (a) Atlantic Coast Line Railroad siding, Cane, Fla., (b) Florida East Coast Railroad siding, South Bay, Fla., (c) Florida East Coast Railroad siding, Belle Glade, Fla., and (d) Florida East Coast Railroad siding, Pelican Lake, Fla., all under contract with Florida Sugar Corp. NOTE: Common control may be involved. If a hearing is deemed necessary, applicant requests it be held at Miami or Orlando, Fla.

No. MC 128635, filed October 3, 1966. Applicant: HAROLD E. ULRICK, doing business as ULRICK TRUCKING, Route 1, Scandia, Minn. 55073. Applicant's representative: Robert E. Swanson, 1211 South 6th Street, Stillwater, Minn. 55082. Authority sought to operate as a contract carrier, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: Milk, butter, cottage cheese, liquid mix, orange drinks, powered milk, and dairy supplies, (1) from Stillwater and Minneapolis, Minn., to points in Wisconsin north of Interstate Highway 94, and (2) between Stillwater and Minneapolis, Minn., and Marine on St. Croix, Taylors Falls, Lind-

strom, Center City, Chisago City, and Scandia, Minn., under contract with Maple Island Dairies, Inc. NOTE: If a hearing is deemed necessary, applicant requests it be held at Minneapolis, Minn.

No. MC 128652, filed October 10, 1966. Applicant: LARSON TRANSFER & STORAGE CO., INC., 1901 Fifth Street SE., Minneapolis, Minn. 55414. Applicant's representative: Donald B. Taylor, 3164 Minnehaha Avenue South, Minneapolis, Minn. 55406. Authority sought to operate as a contract carrier, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: Internal combustion engines, from New Holstein and Grafton, Wis., to Windom, Minn., under contract with Toro Manufacturing Co. NOTE: If a hearing is deemed necessary, applicant requests it be held at Minneapolis, Minn.

No. MC 128653, filed October 11, 1966. Applicant: DURWARD GLASEN, doing business as HOOVER'S MOVERS, Box 318, Cordova, Alaska. Applicant's representative: John M. Stern, Jr., Post Office Box 1672, Anchorage, Alaska. Authority sought to operate as a common carrier, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: General commodities, between points in those parts of Alaska (1) within 25 miles of either side of the Alaska Marine Highway System extending from Cordova to Valdez, Alaska, and (2) within 25 miles of either side of Alaska Highway 10 extending from Cordova to Mile Post 50, Alaska. NOTE: If a hearing is deemed necessary, applicant requests it be held at Cordova or Anchorage, Alaska.

No. MC 128659, filed October 17, 1966. Applicant: ORBITAL TRANSPORT, INC., 207 Main Street, East Rockaway, N.Y. Authority sought to operate as a contract carrier, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: Such commodities as are dealt in by manufacturers of plastic boxes, loose, setup, not packaged, and materials, supplies and equipment, used in the conduct of such business, between the site of shipper's plant in Oyster Bay Township, N.Y., on the one hand, and, on the other, points in Maine, New Hampshire, Vermont, Massachusetts, Rhode Island, Connecticut, New York, New Jersey, Pennsylvania, Delaware, Maryland, Virginia, and the District of Columbia, under contract with Tedruth Plastics Corp. NOTE: If a hearing is deemed necessary, applicant requests it be held at Washington, D.C., or New York, N.Y.

No. MC 128660, filed October 18, 1966. Applicant: G. C. HAUSER, doing business as HAUSER CARTING COMPANY, R.F.D. Gowanda, N.Y. 14070. Applicant's representative: Russell R. Sage, 2001 Massachusetts Avenue NW., Washington, D.C. 20036. Authority sought to operate as a contract carrier, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: Paper and paper products; wood and wood products, faced with metal or otherwise; cabinets; doors; cement asbestos products, faced with wood, metal or otherwise; plastic products, faced with metal or otherwise; aluminum and aluminum products, faced with metals or other; and materials, supplies,

machinery, and equipment used in the manufacture of paper, wood, plastic, aluminum, and cement asbestos products, faced with metal or otherwise, between the plantsite of the United States Plywood Corp. located at Cattaraugus, N.Y., on the one hand, and, on the other, points in Alabama, California, Connecticut, Delaware, Florida, Georgia, Iowa, Illinois, Indiana, Kansas, Kentucky, Louisiana, Maine, Maryland, Massachusetts, Michigan, Minnesota, Mississippi, Missouri, New Hampshire, New Jersey, New Mexico, New York, North Carolina, Ohio, Oklahoma, Pennsylvania, Rhode Island, South Carolina, Tennessee, Texas, Vermont, Virginia, West Virginia, and Wisconsin, under contract with the United States Plywood Corp. NOTE: If a hearing is deemed necessary, applicant requests it be held at Buffalo, N.Y., or Washington, D.C.

#### MOTOR CARRIERS OF PASSENGERS

No. MC 29839 (Sub-No. 4), filed October 17, 1966. Applicant: EVERGREEN STAGE LINE, INC., 2000 Columbia Way, Vancouver, Wash. Applicant's representative: John M. Hickson, 825 Failing Building, Portland, Ore. 97204. Authority sought to operate as a common carrier, by motor vehicle, over regular routes, transporting: Passengers and their baggage, and express and newspapers, in the same vehicle with passengers, (1) between Portland, Ore., and Washougal, Wash., from Portland over U.S. Highway 99, to Vancouver, Wash., thence over Evergreen Boulevard to Washougal, and return over the same route serving all intermediate points. NOTE: If a hearing is deemed necessary, applicant requests it be held at Portland, Ore.

No. MC 47495 (Sub-No. 8) (Correction) filed August 4, 1966, published in the FEDERAL REGISTER issue of September 1, 1966, corrected and republished this issue. Applicant: MOUNTAIN VIEW COACH LINES, INC., Route 9-W, West Coxsackie, N.Y. Applicant's representative: James G. Glavin III, 69 Second Street, Post Office Box 40, Waterford, N.Y. 12188. Authority sought to operate as a common carrier, by motor vehicle, over regular routes, transporting: Passengers and their baggage, and express and newspapers, in the same vehicle with passengers, (1) between Albany, N.Y., and Hannacroix, N.Y., over U.S. Highway 9-W, serving all intermediate points, and (2) between junction New York Highway 385 and U.S. Highway 9-W and Catskill, N.Y., over U.S. Highway 9-W, serving all intermediate points. NOTE: The purpose of this republication is to identify the U.S. Highway used in (2) above in the proposed operation. If a hearing is deemed necessary, applicant requests it be held at Albany, N.Y.

No. MC 47495 (Sub-No. 9) (Correction), filed August 4, 1966, published FEDERAL REGISTER issue of September 1, 1966, under No. MC 47497 (Sub-No. 9) and corrected and republished, this issue. Applicant: MOUNTAIN VIEW COACH LINES, INC., 36 Lafayette Avenue, Route 9-W, West Coxsackie, N.Y. Applicant's representative: James H. Glavin, III, 69



Second Street, Post Office Box 40, Waterford, N.Y. 12188. Authority sought to operate as a *common carrier*, by motor vehicle, over regular routes, transporting: *Passengers and their baggage, and express and newspapers*, in the same vehicle with passengers, between Hudson, N.Y., and Poughkeepsie, N.Y., over U.S. Highway 9, serving all intermediate points. **NOTE:** The purpose of this republication is to show the correct docket No. MC 47495 (Sub-No. 9) in lieu of No. MC 47497 (Sub-No. 9) as previously published. If a hearing is deemed necessary, applicant requests it be held at Albany, N.Y.

No. MC 128329 (Sub-No. 1), filed October 12, 1966. Applicant: SINGERMAN BUS CORP., 3 Railroad Place, Maspeth, N.Y. 11378. Applicant's representative: Charles H. Trayford, 220 East 42d Street, New York, N.Y. 10017. Authority sought to operate as a *contract carrier*, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: *Passengers*, for the account of Fedders Corp. employees, between the plant-site of Fedders Corp., Maspeth, N.Y., and the plant-site of Fedders Corp., Edison, N.J. **NOTE:** Applicant holds common carrier authority under MC 115880 Sub 1. Common control and dual operations may be involved. If a hearing is deemed necessary, applicant requests it be held at New York, N.Y.

No. MC 128582, filed September 7, 1966. Applicant: SUFFOLK CITY TRANSIT LINES, INCORPORATED, 222 Jackson Street, Suffolk, Va. 23434. Applicant's representative: Thomas L. Woodward, 153 East Washington Street, Suffolk, Va. Authority sought to operate as a *contract carrier*, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: *Passengers and their baggage*, from points in Virginia in the area bounded on the south by the Virginia-North Carolina State line, on the east by the Atlantic Ocean and Chesapeake Bay, on the north and northeast by a line drawn from Gloucester Point to Petersburg, and, on the west by U.S. Highway 301, to points in North Carolina, South Carolina, Georgia, Florida, Maryland, Pennsylvania, New Jersey, New York, and the District of Columbia. **NOTE:** If a hearing is deemed necessary, applicant requests it be held at Richmond, Norfolk, Portsmouth, or Suffolk, Va.

No. MC 128617, filed September 28, 1966. Applicant: L. C. EDMONSON and E. L. INSCHO, a partnership, doing business as MOGOLLON STAGE LINE, 1032 North Beeline Highway, Payson, Ariz. Applicant's representative: Earl H. Carroll, 363 North First Avenue, Phoenix, Ariz. 85003. Authority sought to operate as a *common carrier*, by motor vehicle, over regular routes, transporting: *Passengers, baggage, and express*, in the same vehicle with passengers, between Phoenix, Ariz., and Winslow, Ariz., from Phoenix over combined U.S. Highways 60, 70, and 80 through Tempe to Mesa, Ariz., thence over Arizona Highway 87 to Payson, Ariz., and thence over combined Arizona Highways 87 and 65 to Winslow, and return over the same route, serving all intermediate points, with no passengers, baggage, or express to be transported between Phoenix, Tempe, and Mesa.

**NOTE:** If a hearing is deemed necessary, applicant requests it be held at Phoenix, Ariz.

#### APPLICATIONS FOR BROKERAGE LICENSES

No. MC 130019, filed October 6, 1966. Applicant: CONLON TRAVEL, INC., doing business as CONLON TRAVEL, 163 North Mechanic Street, Cumberland, Md. For a license (BMC 5) to engage in operations as a broker at Cumberland, Md., in arranging for the transportation by motor vehicle in interstate or foreign commerce, of *passengers and their baggage*, in groups, destined for the same destination, in round trip all inclusive pleasure or vacation type tours, beginning and ending at Cumberland, Md., and extending to points in the United States.

No. MC 130020, filed October 13, 1966. Applicant: EDUCATIONAL TOURS OF CALIFORNIA, INC., 3748C North Palm Avenue, Fresno, Calif. Applicant's representative: Thomas A. MacMichael, 904 Guarantee Savings Building, Fresno, Calif. 93721. For a license (BMC 5) to engage in operations as a broker at Fresno, Calif., in arranging for the transportation by motor vehicle in interstate or foreign commerce, of *passengers and their baggage*, in groups, in round trip student tours during the summer vacations, beginning and ending at Fresno, Calif., and extending to points in the United States.

No. MC 130021, filed October 13, 1966. Applicant: MARY LOUISE ADAMS AND RUTH S. MOORE, a partnership, doing business as LEISURE GUIDE SERVICE, 723 Clearview Avenue, Woodbury Heights, N.J. Applicant's representative: William R. Farr, No. 10 White Horse Pike, Haddon Heights, N.J. 08035. For a license (BMC 5) to engage in operations as a broker at Woodbury Heights, N.J., in arranging for transportation by motor vehicle in interstate or foreign commerce of *passengers and their baggage*, in round trip, charter and special operations, beginning and ending at points in New Jersey and extending to points in the United States (including Hawaii and Alaska).

#### APPLICATIONS IN WHICH HANDLING WITHOUT ORAL HEARING HAVE BEEN REQUESTED

No. MC 113024 (Sub-No. 60), filed October 26, 1966. Applicant: ARLINGTON J. WILLIAMS, INC., Rural Delivery No. 2, South Du Pont Highway, Smyrna, Del. 19977. Applicant's representative: Samuel W. Earnshaw, 833 Washington Building, Washington, D.C. 20005. Authority sought to operate as a *contract carrier*, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: *Bathroom and washroom fixtures, sinks, and accessories and attachments therefor*, from Camden, N.J., and New Castle, Pa., in split pickups from the two points, to Lawrenceville, Ga., Jacksonville, Fla., Greensboro, N.C., Columbia, Tenn., and Harrisonburg, Newport News, Norfolk and Richmond, Va., under contract with Universal-Rundle Corp.

No. MC 124078 (Sub-No. 252), filed October 20, 1966. Applicant: SCHWERTMAN TRUCKING CO., a corporation, 611

South 28th Street, Milwaukee, Wis. 53246. Applicant's representative: Richard H. Prevette (same address as applicant). Authority sought to operate as a *common carrier*, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: *Cement*, from North Chattanooga, Tenn., to points in Christian, Simpson, Trigg, Todd, Logan, and Warren Counties, Ky.

No. MC 126727 (Sub-No. 2), filed October 14, 1966. Applicant: GARDNER CARTAGE COMPANY, a corporation, 2662 East 69th Street, Cleveland, Ohio 44104. Applicant's representative: Bernard S. Goldfarb, 1625 Illuminating Building, 55 Public Square, Cleveland, Ohio 44113. Authority sought to operate as a *contract carrier*, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: *Pre-cast structural concrete products and accessories and installation equipment as part of one construction job on same or separate vehicles*, from Cleveland, Ohio, to points in New York on and west of a line beginning at a point in New York State at Lake Ontario due north of New York State Highway 21 and junction U.S. Highway 104; thence south on New York Highway 21 to Cohocton, N.Y.; thence south from Cohocton, on U.S. Highway 15 to the New York-Pennsylvania line; to points in Pennsylvania on and west of a line beginning at the New York-Pennsylvania line at junction U.S. Highway 15; thence southerly on U.S. Highway 15 in Pennsylvania to Williamsport, Pa.; thence southerly from Williamsport, on U.S. Highway 220 to junction Pennsylvania-Maryland line; to points in West Virginia on and north of a line beginning at junction Pennsylvania-Maryland and West Virginia line along the West Virginia-Maryland line to junction U.S. Highway 220; thence southerly on U.S. Highway 220 in West Virginia to junction West Virginia-Virginia line; thence southerly along the West Virginia-Virginia line to junction West Virginia-Virginia line and U.S. Highway 60; thence westerly along U.S. Highway 60 in West Virginia to junction West Virginia-Kentucky line; thence along the West Virginia-Kentucky line to junction Ohio line; thence to points in Indiana on and north of a line beginning at junction Ohio-Kentucky and Indiana lines; thence northerly on the Ohio-Indiana line to junction Interstate Highway 74; thence along Interstate Highway 74 to junction Interstate Highway 465; thence westerly and northerly on Interstate Highway 465 to junction Interstate Highway 74; thence westerly along Interstate Highway 74 to the Illinois-Indiana line; thence to points in Michigan on and east of a line beginning at junction Indiana-Michigan line and Lake Michigan; thence proceeding along the shoreline of Lake Michigan to Holland, Mich., on Michigan Highway 21 to Grand Rapids, Mich.; thence from Grand Rapids, on Michigan Highway 21 to St. Johns, Mich.; thence from St. Johns, northerly on U.S. Highway 27 to Harrison, Mich.; thence westerly from Harrison, on Michigan Highway 61 to Standish, Mich.; thence along an imaginary line from Standish, due east to Saginaw Bay in Lake Huron, and *damaged and rejected products and installation equipment*, on



return, under contract with Cleveland Builders Supply Co., Inc.

By the Commission.

[SEAL] H. NEIL GARSON,  
Secretary.

[F.R. Doc. 66-11922; Filed, Nov. 2, 1966;  
8:45 a.m.]

[Notice 1436]

### MOTOR CARRIER TRANSFER PROCEEDINGS

OCTOBER 31, 1966.

Synopses of orders entered pursuant to section 212(b) of the Interstate Com-

merce Act, and rules and regulations prescribed thereunder (49 CFR Part 179), appear below:

As provided in the Commission's special rules of practice any interested person may file a petition seeking reconsideration of the following numbered proceedings within 20 days from the date of publication of this notice. Pursuant to section 17(8) of the Interstate Commerce Act, the filing of such a petition will postpone the effective date of the order in that proceeding pending its disposition. The matters relied upon by petitioners must be specified in their petitions with particularity.

No. MC-FC-69179. By order of October 28, 1966, the Transfer Board approved the transfer to M & Y Freight System, Inc., Topeka, Ind., of the operating rights in permit No. MC 126532 issued October 12, 1965, to Raymond W. Ullery, Plymouth, Ind., authorizing the transportation, of: Corrugated paper boxes, from La Porte, Ind., to specified points and areas in Illinois and Michigan. Walter F. Jones, Jr., 601 Chamber of Commerce Building, Indianapolis, Ind. 47711, attorney for applicants.

[SEAL] H. NEIL GARSON,  
Secretary.

[F.R. Doc. 66-11991; Filed, Nov. 2, 1966;  
8:47 a.m.]

## CUMULATIVE LIST OF PARTS AFFECTED—NOVEMBER

The following numerical guide is a list of the parts of each title of the Code of Federal Regulations affected by documents published to date during November.

| 3 CFR   | Page         | 12 CFR                 | Page         | 37 CFR                                  | Page  |
|---|--------------|------------------------|--------------|---|-------|
| EXECUTIVE ORDERS:                                 |              | 208.....               | 13985        | 1.....                                  | 13944 |
| March 31, 1911 (revoked in part by PLO 4113)..... | 13995        | 14 CFR                 |              | 38 CFR                                  |       |
| 5 CFR   |              | 39.....                | 13985, 13986 | 3.....                                  | 13992 |
| 213.....  | 13935, 14077 | 71.....                | 13940, 13987 | 21.....                                 | 13992 |
| 6 CFR   |              | 73.....                | 13987        | 42 CFR                                  |       |
| 503.....  | 13940        | 75.....                | 13940        | 73.....                                 | 14000 |
| 7 CFR   |              | 95.....                | 13987        | 43 CFR                                  |       |
| 61.....   | 13936        | 99.....                | 13941        | PUBLIC LAND ORDERS:                     |       |
| 706.....  | 13979        | 302.....               | 13942        | 5 (revoked in part by PLO 4111).....    | 13995 |
| 722.....  | 13936, 14077 | PROPOSED RULES:        |              | 1991 (revoked in part by PLO 4110)..... | 13994 |
| 863.....  | 13937        | 39.....                | 14005, 14006 | 4106.....                               | 13993 |
| 909.....  | 13939        | 17 CFR                 |              | 4107.....                               | 13994 |
| 929.....  | 13984        | 240.....               | 13990        | 4108.....                               | 13994 |
| 981.....  | 13984        | 19 CFR                 |              | 4109.....                               | 13994 |
| 991.....  | 14077        | 4.....                 | 13944        | 4110.....                               | 13994 |
| PROPOSED RULES:                                   |              | 21 CFR                 |              | 4111.....                               | 13995 |
| 52.....   | 14081        | 19.....                | 13991        | 4112.....                               | 13995 |
| 724.....  | 14002        | 148e.....              | 13991        | 4113.....                               | 13995 |
| 987.....  | 14004        | 22 CFR                 |              | 44 CFR                                  |       |
| 989.....  | 14081        | 201.....               | 14079        | 710.....                                | 13995 |
| 1032.....   | 14028        | 205.....               | 13993        | 45 CFR                                  |       |
| 1050.....   | 14028        | 25 CFR                 |              | 703.....                                | 13999 |
| 1103.....   | 14081        | PROPOSED RULES:        |              | 47 CFR                                  |       |
| 8 CFR   |              | 221.....               | 13946        | 1.....                                  | 13999 |
| 324.....  | 14078        | 29 CFR                 |              | PROPOSED RULES:                         |       |
| 327.....  | 14078        | PROPOSED RULES:        |              | 18.....                                 | 14007 |
| 328.....  | 14078        | 1207.....              | 13946        | 73.....                                 | 14007 |
| 329.....  | 14078        | 31 CFR                 |              | 49 CFR                                  |       |
| 330.....  | 14078        | 10.....                | 13992        | 170.....                                | 14080 |
| 332a.....   | 14078        | 500 (2 documents)..... | 13945        | 50 CFR                                  |       |
| 499.....  | 14079        | 515.....               | 13945        | 32.....                                 | 14080 |
| 9 CFR   |              | 33 CFR                 |              | 33.....                                 | 14000 |
| 97.....   | 13939        | 204.....               | 13992        |   |       |
| PROPOSED RULES:                                   |              |                        |              |   |       |
| 309.....  | 14005        |                        |              |   |       |
| 314.....  | 14005        |                        |              |   |       |