Pages 8135–8156

FEDERAL REGISTER

VOLUME 29 NUMBER 125

Washington, Friday, June 26, 1964

Contents

(Continued on next page)

Codification Guide

The following numerical guide is a list of the parts of each title of the Code of Federal Regulations affected by documents published in today's issue. A cumulative list of parts affected, covering the current month to date, appears at the end of each issue beginning with the second issue of the month.

A cumulative guide is published separately at the end of each month. The guide lists the parts and sections affected by documents published since January 1, 1964, and specifies how they are affected.

3 CFR

EXECUTIVE ORDERS:
11143 (amended by EO 11159) ---- 8137
11159 ---- 8137

7 CFR

301 ---- 8139
946 ---- 8139
949 ---- 8140

PROPOSED RULES:
1033 ---- 8146
1034 ---- 8146
1046 ---- 8146

14 CFR

71 [New] (6 documents) ---- 8140, 8141
507 ---- 8142
1204 ---- 8142

PROPOSED RULES:
71 [New] ---- 8147
75 [New] ---- 8147
507 ---- 8148

17 CFR

200 ---- 8143

21 CFR

120 ---- 8143
121 (3 documents) ---- 8143, 8144

46 CFR

PROPOSED RULES:
510 ---- 8148

48 CFR

2 ---- 8145

Presidential Documents

Title 3—THE PRESIDENT

Executive Order 11159

AMENDMENT OF EXECUTIVE ORDER NO. 11143, RELATING TO THE PUBLIC ADVISORY COMMITTEE FOR TRADE NEGOTIATIONS

By virtue of the authority vested in me as President of the United States, it is ordered that Executive Order No. 11143 of March 2, 1964 (29 F.R. 3127), be, and it is hereby, amended by substituting "45 members" for "40 members" in subsection (b) of Section 1 thereof (48 CFR § 2.1(b)).

LYNDON B. JOHNSON

THE WHITE HOUSE,
June 23, 1964.

[F.R. Doc. 64-6376; Filed, June 24, 1964; 1:20 p.m.]

Rules and Regulations

Title 7—AGRICULTURE

Chapter III—Agricultural Research Service, Department of Agriculture

[P.P.C. 612, Revocation]

PART 301—DOMESTIC QUARANTINE NOTICES

Subpart—Khapra Beetle

REVOCATION OF ADMINISTRATIVE INSTRUCTIONS DESIGNATING CERTAIN PREMISES AS REGULATED AREAS

Pursuant to § 301.76–2 of the regulations supplemental to the Khapra Beetle Quarantine (7 CFR 301.76–2), under sections 8 and 9 of the Plant Quarantine Act of 1912, as amended (7 U.S.C. 161, 162), administrative instructions issued as 7 CFR 301.76–2a (29 F.R. 5736), effective April 30, 1964, are hereby revoked, effective June 26, 1964. However, such instructions shall be deemed to continue in full force and effect for the purpose of sustaining any action or other proceeding with respect to any right that accrued, liability that was incurred, or violation that occurred prior to said date.

This revocation relieves restrictions by removing from the list of premises in which infestations of the khapra beetle have been determined to exist all premises now listed therein and terminating the designation of such premises as regulated areas within the meaning of such quarantine and regulations, it having been determined by the Director of the Plant Pest Control Division that adequate sanitation measures have been practiced for a sufficient length of time to eradicate the khapra bettle in and upon such premises. Therefore, it is considered safe to release them from regulation. This revocation removes from regulation under the khapra beetle guarantine the only remaining premises retained in the latest revision of the administrative instructions effective April 30, 1964.

The revocation therefore relieves restrictions deemed unnecessary and must be made effective promptly in order to be of maximum benefit to persons wishing to move regulated products from these premises. Accordingly, under section 4 of the Administrative Procedure Act (5 U.S.C. 1003), it is found upon good cause that notice and other public procedure with respect to the foregoing revocation are impracticable and contrary to the public interest, and since the revocation relieves restrictions it may be made effective less than 30 days after publication in the FEDERAL REGISTER.

(Sec. 8, 37 Stat. 318, as amended; sec. 9, 37 Stat. 318; 7 U.S.C. 161, 162; 19 F.R. 74, as amended; 7 CFR 301.76–2)

Done at Hyattsville, Md., this 23d day of June 1964.

[SEAL] E. D. BURGESS,
Director,
Plant Pest Control Division.

[F.R. Doc. 64–6367; Filed, June 25, 1964; 8:49 a.m.]

Chapter IX—Agricultural marketing service (Marketing Agreements and Orders; Fruits, Vegetables, and Tree Nuts), Department of Agriculture

PART 946—IRISH POTATOES GROWN IN WASHINGTON

Limitation of Shipments

Findings. (a) Pursuant to Marketing Agreement No. 113, and Order No. 946 (7 CFR Part 946), regulating the handling of Irish potatoes grown in the State of Washington, effective under the applicable provisions of the Agricultural Marketing Agreement Act of 1937, as amended (7 U.S.C. 601 et seq.), and upon the basis of recommendations and information submitted by the State of Washington Potato Committee, established pursuant to the said marketing agreement and order, and other available information, it is hereby found that the limitation of shipments regulation as hereinafter established, limiting the grade, size, and quality of such potatoes will maintain orderly marketing conditions tending to increase returns to producers of such potatoes.

(b) It is hereby found that it is impracticable and contrary to the public interest to give preliminary notice, and engage in public rule making procedure, and postpone the effective date of this amendment until 30 days after publication in the FEDERAL REGISTER (5 U.S.C. 1003) in that (1) shipments of 1964 crop potatoes grown in the production area will begin in early July, (2) to maximize benefits to growers, this regulation should apply to all shipments during the 1964 season, (3) producers and handlers have operated under the marketing order since 1949 so special preparation on the part of handlers is not required, and (4) information regarding the committee's recommendation has been disseminated to producers and handlers in the production area.

§ 946.319 Limitation of shipments.

During the period July 1, 1964, through June 30, 1965, no person shall handle any lot of potatoes unless such potatoes meet the requirements of paragraphs (a) and (b) of this section or unless such potatoes are handled in accordance with paragraphs (c), (d), (e), (f) and (g) of this section.

(a) *Minimum quality requirements*—(1) *Grade.* All varieties: U.S. No. 2, or better grade.

(2) *Size*—(i) *Round varieties:* 1⅞ inches minimum diameter.

(ii) *Long varieties:* 2 inches minimum diameter or 4 ounces minimum weight.

(3) *Cleanliness. All varieties:* At least "fairly clean."

(b) *Minimum maturity requirements*—(1) *Round and long white (White Rose) varieties.* "Moderately skinned" which means that not more than 10 percent of the potatoes in any lot may have more than one-half of the skin missing or "feathered."

(2) *Other long varieties (including but not limited to Russets and Early Gems).* "Slightly skinned" which means that not more than 10 percent of the potatoes in the lot have more than one-fourth of the skin missing or "feathered."

(c) *Special purpose shipments.* The minimum grade, size, cleanliness, and maturity requirements set forth in paragraphs (a) and (b) of this section shall not be applicable to shipments of potatoes for any of the following purposes:

(1) Certified seed;
(2) Livestock feed;
(3) Charity;
(4) Starch;
(5) Canning or freezing;
(6) Dehydration;
(7) Export;
(8) Potato chipping; or
(9) Prepeeling.

(d) *Safeguards.* Each handler making shipments of potatoes for canning or freezing, dehydration, export, potato chipping, or prepeeling pursuant to paragraph (c) of this section shall:

(1) First, apply to the committee for and obtain a Certificate of Privilege to make such shipments;

(2) Pay assessments on such shipments, except shipments for canning or freezing;

(3) Obtain a Washington State Shipping Permit as issued by the Washington State Department of Agriculture, except shipments for export on which the handler shall obtain a Federal-State Inspection Certificate;

(4) Upon request by the committee, furnish reports of each shipment pursuant to the applicable Certificate of Privilege;

(5) At the time of applying to the committee for a Certificate of Privilege, or promptly thereafter, furnish the committee with a receiver's or buyer's certification that the potatoes so handled are to be used only for the purpose stated in such application and that such receiver will complete and return to the committee such periodic receiver's reports that the committee may require;

(6) Mail to the office of the committee a copy of the bill of lading for each Certificate of Privilege shipment promptly after the date of such shipment, except when shipments are made by private carriers direct to processing plants, a schedule of weights will be accepted in lieu of a copy of the bill of lading.

(7) Before diverting any such shipment to another receiver or buyer apply to the committee for and obtain a new Certificate of Privilege authorizing such diversion, and such handler shall also comply with requirements prescribed by subparagraphs (4) and (5) of this paragraph with respect to such diverted shipments;

(e) *Shipments of bulk potatoes.* In the case of shipments of bulk potatoes, or any shipments within the district where grown, for canning, freezing, dehydration, potato chipping, or prepealing, where such processor signs an agree-

ment with the committee agreeing to meet such reporting and other marketing order requirements as may be specified by the committee, the shipper of such potatoes shall be exempt from those safeguard requirements set forth in paragraph (d) of this section.

(f) *Potatoes for regrading, resorting or repacking.* Pursuant to § 946.50, the inspection requirements of § 946.53 applicable to the handling of regraded, resorted, or repacked potatoes are suspended during the effective time of this section with respect to any such potatoes which prior to regrading, resorting, or repacking thereof, were inspected pursuant to § 946.53(a).

(g) *Minimum quantity exception.* Each handler may ship up to, but not to exceed 5 hundredweight of potatoes any day without regard to the inspection and assessment requirements of this part, but this exception shall not apply to any portion of a shipment over 5 hundredweight of potatoes.

(h) *Definitions.* (1) The terms "U.S. No. 2," "fairly clean," "slightly skinned" and "moderately skinned," shall have the same meaning as when used in the United States Standards for Potatoes (§§ 51.1540–51.1556 of this title), including the tolerances set forth therein.

(2) The term "prepeeling" means potatoes which are clean, sound, fresh tubers prepared commercially in the prepeeling plant by washing, removal of the outer skin or peal, trimming, and sorting preparatory to sale in one or more of the styles of peeled potatoes described in § 52.2422 (United States Standards for Grades of peeled Potatoes §§ 52.2421–52.2433 of this title). Other terms used in this section shall have the same meaning as when used in Marketing Agreement No. 113 and this part (Order No. 946).

(Secs. 1–19, 48 Stat. 31, as amended; 7 U.S.C. 601 et seq.)

Dated June 23, 1964, to become effective July 1, 1964.

FLOYD F. HEDLUND,
Director,
Fruit and Vegetable Division.

[F.R. Doc. 64–6368; Filed, June 25, 1964; 8:49 a.m.]

PART 949—POTATOES GROWN IN THE RED RIVER VALLEY OF NORTH DAKOTA AND MINNESOTA

Termination

Notice of rule making with respect to termination of Marketing Agreement No. 135 and Order No. 949 (7 CFR Part 949) was published in the March 20, 1964, FEDERAL REGISTER (29 F.R. 3582). This program has been in effect since September 19, 1957, under the Agricultural Marketing Agreement Act of 1937, as amended (7 U.S.C. 601 et seq.).

The proposal to terminate the agreement and order was issued upon recommendation of the Red River Valley Potato Committee which administers this program in the production area. The Committee advised that its recommendation is based on Committee polls indicating that the marketing order program (7 CFR Part 949) is no longer favored by a majority of potato producers in the production area.

The notice afforded interested persons an opportunity to submit data, views, or arguments pertaining thereto not later than 60 days following its publication in the FEDERAL REGISTER.

Within the period specified, data, views and arguments were received from eight potato producers in the production area opposing termination of the program on the grounds that the same objective could be attained by keeping the program inactive for possible future need rather than by terminating it.

Since the Committee, designated by terms of the marketing agreement and order (§ 949.30) as the intermediary between the Secretary and producers and handlers, has not rescinded or modified its recommendation which was based upon a poll of producers, and there is no evidence that the position of the producers filing views is indicative of producer sentiment throughout the production area, it is hereby found that said marketing agreement and order no longer tend to effectuate the declared policy of the act because of insufficient producer support.

It is, therefore, ordered, That Marketing Agreement No. 135 and Order No. 949, including the rules and regulations thereunder (7 CFR Part 949) and the appointment of Committee members and alternates be, and hereby are, terminated upon publication of this order in the FEDERAL REGISTER.

This action is taken pursuant to section 8c(16)(A) of the Act (7 U.S.C. 608c (16)(A)) and the applicable provisions of said marketing agreement and order.

It is hereby further found that good cause exists for not postponing the effective date hereof beyond the date of publication in the FEDERAL REGISTER in that the affairs of the Committee have already been settled, nothing remains to be done pursuant to the marketing agreement and order with respect to liquidation, and no useful purpose would be served by postponing the effective date for 30 days.

(Secs. 1–19, 48 Stat. 31, as amended; 7 U.S.C. 601–674)

Dated June 23, 1964, to become effective upon publication of this order in the FEDERAL REGISTER.

GEORGE L. MEHREN,
Assistant Secretary.

[F.R. Doc. 64–6369; Filed, June 25, 1964; 8:49 a.m.]

Title 14—AERONAUTICS AND SPACE

Chapter I—Federal Aviation Agency

SUBCHAPTER E—AIRSPACE [NEW]

[Airspace Docket No. 64–WA–10]

PART 71—DESIGNATION OF FEDERAL AIRWAYS, CONTROLLED AIRSPACE, AND REPORTING POINTS [NEW]

Alteration of Federal Airway

On March 24, 1964, a notice of proposed rule making was published in the FEDERAL REGISTER (29 F.R. 3674) stating that the Federal Aviation Agency proposed to revoke the east alternate of VOR Federal Airway No. 21 between Idaho Falls, Idaho, and Dubois, Idaho, and realign the main segment of Victor 21 between these two points via the present alignment of the east alternate.

Interested persons were afforded an opportunity to participate in the rule making through submission of comments. All comments received were favorable.

In consideration of the foregoing, Part 71 [New] of the Federal Aviation Regulations is amended, effective 0001 e.s.t. August 20, 1964, as hereinafter set forth.

In § 71.123 (29 F.R. 1009), V–21, is amended by deleting "Idaho Falls, Idaho; Dubois, Idaho, including an E alternate via INT of Idaho Falls 030° and Dubois 155° radials;" and substituting "Idaho Falls, Idaho; INT of Idaho Falls 030° and Dubois, Idaho, 155° radials; Dubois;" therefor.

(Sec. 307(a) of the Federal Aviation Act of 1958; 49 U.S.C. 1348)

Issued in Washington, D.C., on June 18, 1964.

DANIEL E. BARROW,
Chief, Airspace Regulations and Procedures Division.

[F.R. Doc. 64–6337; Filed, June 25, 1964; 8:45 a.m.]

[Airspace Docket No. 64–SW–9]

PART 71—DESIGNATION OF FEDERAL AIRWAYS, CONTROLLED AIRSPACE, AND REPORTING POINTS [NEW]

Designation of Federal Airway

On April 3, 1964, a notice of proposed rule making was published in the FEDERAL REGISTER (29 F.R. 4778) stating that the Federal Aviation Agency proposed to designate a VOR Federal airway from Alexandria, La., via Natchez, Miss., to Jackson, Miss.

Interested persons were afforded an opportunity to participate in the rule making through the submission of comments. All comments received were favorable.

The substance of the amendment having been published and for the reason stated in the notice, § 71.123 (29 F.R. 1009) is amended by adding:

V–245 From Alexandria, La., via Natchez, Miss., to Jackson, Miss.

This amendment shall become effective 0001 e.s.t., August 20, 1964.

(Sec. 307(a), 72 Stat. 749; 49 U.S.C. 1348)

Issued in Washington, D.C., on June 18, 1964.

DANIEL E. BARROW,
Chief, Airspace Regulations and Procedures Division.

[F.R. Doc. 64–6338; Filed, June 25, 1964; 8:45 a.m.]

[Airspace Docket No. 64-WE-26]

PART 71—DESIGNATION OF FEDERAL AIRWAYS, CONTROLLED AIRSPACE, AND REPORTING POINTS [NEW]

Alteration of Federal Airway

The purpose of this amendment to Part 71 [New] of the Federal Aviation Regulations is to realign the segment of VOR Federal airway No. 4 from Boise, Idaho, to Burley, Idaho.

VOR Federal airway No. 4 is designated in part from Boise to Burley via the intersection of the Boise 130° and the Burley 292° True radials. Utilization of the Burley 290° True radial in the alignment of this airway segment would permit the lowering of the minimum en route altitude from 8,500 feet MSL to 8,000 feet MSL for a portion of this airway segment. This MEA reduction would facilitate the assignment of IFR traffic at cardinal altitudes. Accordingly, action is taken herein to realign this segment of V-4 via the intersection of the Boise 130° and the Burley 290° radials.

Since this action is minor in nature and imposes no additional burden on any person, notice and public procedure hereon are unnecessary. However, since it is necessary that sufficient time be allowed to permit appropriate changes to be made on aeronautical charts, this amendment will become effective more than 30 days after publication.

In consideration of the foregoing, §71.123 (29 F.R. 1009, 3225, 4855) is amended as follows:

In V-4 "INT of Boise 130° and Burley, Idaho, 292° radials;" is deleted and "INT of Boise 130° and Burley, Idaho, 290° radials;" is substituted therefor.

This amendment shall become effective 0001 e.s.t., August 20, 1964.

(Sec. 307(a), 72 Stat. 749; 49 U.S.C. 1348)

Issued in Washington, D.C., on June 18, 1964.

DANIEL E. BARROW,
Chief, Airspace Regulations and Procedures Division.

[F.R. Doc. 64-6339; Filed, June 25, 1964; 8:46 a.m.]

[Airspace Docket No. 63-CE-101]

PART 71—DESIGNATION OF FEDERAL AIRWAYS, CONTROLLED AIRSPACE, AND REPORTING POINTS [NEW]

Alteration of Controlled Airspace

On January 24, 1964, a notice of proposed rule making was published in the FEDERAL REGISTER (29 F.R. 613) stating that the Federal Aviation Agency proposed to revoke the Richmond, Ind., control area extension and designate the Richmond, Ind., transition area.

Interested persons were afforded an opportunity to participate in the rule making through submission of comments. All comments received were favorable.

In consideration of the foregoing, Part 71 [New] of the Federal Aviation Regulations is amended, effective 0001 e.s.t., August 20, 1964, as hereinafter set forth.

In § 71.165 (29 F.R. 1073) the Richmond, Ind., control area extension is revoked.

In § 71.181 (29 F.R. 1160) the following transition area is added:

Richmond, Ind.

That airspace extending upward from 700 feet above the surface within a 6-mile radius of the Richmond Municipal Airport (latitude 39°45'20" N., longitude 84°50'30" W.); within 2 miles each side of the Richmond VOR 045° radial, extending from the 6-mile radius area to 8 miles NE of the VOR; within 2 miles each side of the Richmond VOR 243° radial, extending from the 6-mile radius area to 8 miles SW of the VOR; and within 2 miles each side of the 234° bearing from the Richmond RBN, extending from the 6-mile radius area to 8 miles SW of the RBN; and that airspace extending upward from 1,200 feet above the surface bounded by a line extending from latitude 39°10'00" N., longitude 85°39'00" W. to latitude 39°30'00" N., longitude 85°39'00" W., to latitude 39°30'00" N., longitude 85°30'00" W., to latitude 40°-00'00" N., longitude 85°30'00" W., to latitude 40°00'00" N., longitude 84°58'00" W., to latitude 40°10'00" N., longitude 85°05'45" W., to latitude 40°10'00" N., longitude 85°00'-00" W., to latitude 39°40'00" N., longitude 84°25'00" W., to latitude 39°12'00" N., longitude 85°30'00" W., to point of beginning.

(Sec. 307(a) of the Federal Aviation Act of 1958; 49 U.S.C. 1348)

Issued in Washington, D.C., on June 18, 1964.

DANIEL E. BARROW,
Chief, Airspace Regulations and Procedures Division.

[F.R. Doc. 64-6334; Filed, June 25, 1964; 8:45 a.m.]

[Airspace Docket No. 63-SO-28]

PART 71—DESIGNATION OF FEDERAL AIRWAYS, CONTROLLED AIRSPACE, AND REPORTING POINTS [NEW]

Alteration of Control Zone

On April 30, 1963, a notice of proposed rule making was published in the FEDERAL REGISTER (28 F.R. 4260) stating that the Federal Aviation Agency proposed to alter the Melbourne, Fla., control zone.

Interested persons were afforded an opportunity to participate in the rule-making through submission of comments. All comments received were favorable.

Since publication of the notice, the name of the Melbourne-Eau Gallie Airport has been changed to the John F. Kennedy Memorial Airport. Accordingly, action is taken herein to reflect this name change.

The substance of the proposed amendment having been published, and for the reasons stated in the notice, the following action is taken:

In § 71.171 (29 F.R. 1101), the Melbourne, Fla., control zone is amended to read:

Melbourne, Fla.

Within a 5-mile radius of the John F. Kennedy Memorial Airport (latitude 28°06'-05" N., longitude 80°38'00" W.); within a 5-mile radius of the Patrick AFB, Cocoa, Fla. (latitude 28°14'15" N., longitude 80°-36'35" W.); within 2 miles each side of the 266° bearing from the Melbourne RBN, extending from the Kennedy 5-mile radius zone to 7 miles W of the RBN; within 2 miles each side of the 032° bearing from the Melbourne RBN, extending from the Patrick AFB 5-mile radius zone to 1 mile NE of the RBN; and within 2 miles each side of the Melbourne VOR 263° radial, extending from the Kennedy 5-mile radius zone to 7 miles W of the VOR.

This amendment shall become effective 0001 e.s.t. August 20, 1964.

(Sec. 307(a), 72 Stat. 749; 49 U.S.C. 1348)

Issued in Washington, D.C., on June 18, 1964.

DANIEL E. BARROW,
Chief, Airspace Regulations and Procedures Division.

[F.R. Doc. 64-6333; Filed, June 25, 1964; 8:45 a.m.]

[Airspace Docket No. 64-SW-21]

PART 71—DESIGNATION OF FEDERAL AIRWAYS, CONTROLLED AIRSPACE, AND REPORTING POINTS [NEW]

Controlled Airspace

The purpose of this amendment to Part 71 [New] of the Federal Aviation Regulations is to amend the Lufkin, Tex., control zone and transition area. The Lufkin, Tex., radio beacon is scheduled to be decommissioned approximately June 25, 1964. The decommissioning of this RBN will necessitate the redescription of the Lufkin, Tex., control zone and transition area in order to omit reference to this facility. The effect of the amendment is to revoke the control zone extension and the northwest portion of the 700-foot transition area.

Since this amendment imposes no additional burden on any person, notice and public procedure hereon are unnecessary and the amendment may be made effective simultaneously with the decommissioning of the Lufkin, Tex., RBN.

In consideration of the foregoing, Part 71 [New] of the Federal Aviation Regulations is amended, effective June 25, 1964, as hereinafter set forth.

1. In § 71.171 (29 F.R. 1101) Lufkin, Tex., is altered to read:

Within a 5-mile radius of Angelina County Airport (latitude 31°14'05" N., longitude 94°45'00" W.).

2. In § 71.181 (29 F.R. 1160), Lufkin, Tex., is altered to read:

That airspace extending upward from 700 feet above the surface within 8 miles E. and 5 miles W. of the Lufkin VOR 157° radial, extending from the VOR to 12 miles S.; within 2 miles each side of the Lufkin VOR 337° radial, extending from the VOR to the arc of a 5-mile radius circle centered at the Angelina County Airport (latitude 31°14'05" N., longitude 94°45'00" W.); and that airspace extending upward from 1,200 feet above the surface within a 25-mile radius of the Lufkin VOR.

(Sec. 307(a) of the Federal Aviation Act of 1958; 49 U.S.C. 1348)

Issued in Washington, D.C., on June 18, 1964.

DANIEL E. BARROW,
Chief, Airspace Regulations and Procedures Division.

[F.R. Doc. 64-6336; Filed, June 25, 1964; 8:45 a.m.]

Chapter III—Federal Aviation Agency

SUBCHAPTER C—AIRCRAFT REGULATIONS

[Reg. Docket No. 5021; Amdt. 755]

PART 507—AIRWORTHINESS DIRECTIVES

Scheibe-Flugzeugbau Model L—Spatz 55 Gliders

A proposal to amend Part 507 of the regulations of the Administrator to include an airworthiness directive requiring inspection of the outer aileron hinge brackets, repair or replacement if wood deterioration and corrosion are found, and the installation of drain holes on Scheibe-Flugzeugbau Model L—Spatz 55 gliders was published in 29 F.R. 5644.

Interested persons have been afforded an opportunity to participate in the making of the amendment. No objections were received.

In consideration of the foregoing, and pursuant to the authority delegated to me by the Administrator (25 F.R. 6489), § 507.10(a) of Part 507 (14 CFR Part 507), is hereby amended by adding the following new airworthiness directive:

SCHEIBE-FLUGZEUGBAU. Applies to all Model L—Spatz 55 gliders up to Serial Number 755.

Compliance required as indicated.

Because wood deterioration and corrosion have been found in the area of the outer aileron hinge bracket which has been attributed to trapped moisture in this location, accomplish the following:

(a) Within the next 25 hours' time in service after the effective date of this AD, inspect outer aileron hinge brackets and the surrounding area for corrosion, for rigid attachment to the wing spar and for deterioration of wood and glue. (Deteriorated wood is generally softer and discolored.)

(b) If any corrosion or deterioration is found or if hinge brackets are not rigidly attached to the wing spar, repair or replace as provided for in the manufacturer's Technical Information No. 1 dated January 21, 1964, or FAA-approved equivalent, before further flight.

(c) Within 25 hours' time in service after the effective date of this AD, drill a ¼-inch diameter drain hole on each side of the aileron bracket attachment rib.

(Scheibe-Flugzeugbau GMBH Technical Information No. 1 dated January 21, 1964, covers this same subject.)

This amendment shall become effective July 27, 1964.

(Secs. 313(a), 601, 603; 72 Stat. 752, 775, 776; 49 U.S.C. 1354(a), 1421, 1423)

Issued in Washington, D.C., on June 19, 1964.

W. LLOYD LANE,
Acting Director,
Flight Standards Service.

[F.R. Doc. 64-6342; Filed, June 25, 1964; 8:46 a.m.]

Chapter V—National Aeronautics and Space Administration

PART 1204—ADMINISTRATIVE AUTHORITY AND POLICY

Subpart 5—Delegations and Designations

REAL PROPERTY

Section 1204.503(b) is revised in its entirety as follows and a new § 1204.504 added:

§ 1204.503 Determination and delegations of authority concerning the granting of easements.

* * * * *

(b) *Delegation of authority*—(1) *Authority.* The Deputy Associate Administrator for Programing and the and the Institutional Directors (see § 1204.504 (b)(3)) at NASA Headquarters and the Directors of field installations with respect to real property under their supervision and management may, subject to the restrictions in paragraph (a) of this section, exercise all of the authority of NASA under the Act of Congress approved October 23, 1962 (40 U.S.C. 319 to 319c), including the authority to grant on behalf of the United States, to a State or political subdivision or agency thereof or to any person applying therefor, such easements in, over, or upon real property of the United States controlled by NASA as will not be adverse to the interests of the United States.

(2) *Deviations.* If, in connection with a proposed granting of an easement, the Deputy Associate Administrator for Programing or the cognizant Institutional Director (see § 1204.504(b)(3)) at NASA Headquarters or the Director of a field installation determines that a deviation from the restrictions in paragraph (a) of this section is appropriate, he may request authority for such deviation from the Administrator, NASA.

(42 U.S.C. 2473(b)(1), (14))

§ 1204.504 Determination and delegation of authority concerning the granting of leaseholds, permits and licenses in real property.

(a) *Scope.* This section delegates to the following NASA officials authority to grant such leaseholds, permits and licenses in real property as are determined in this section not to be adverse to the interests of the United States:

(1) Deputy Associate Administrator for Programming, NASA Headquarters;

(2) Institutional Directors (see paragraph (b)(3)); and

(3) Directors of NASA field installations.

(b) *Definitions.* For the purposes of this section the following definitions will apply:

(1) The term "real property" means land, buildings, other structures and improvements, appurtenances and fixtures located thereon.

(2) The term "interest in real property" means a leasehold, permit or license.

(3) The term "Institutional Director" is the title applied to the Associate Administrator for Manned Space Flight, Associate Administrator for Space Science and Applications, Associate Administrator for Advanced Research and Technology and the Deputy Associate Administrator for Industry Affairs in their respective roles as the Headquarters manager of the field installations assigned to them.

(c) *Determination.* I hereby determine that grants of leaseholds, permits or licenses made in accordance with the provisions of this section will not be adverse to the interests of the United States.

(d) *Delegation of authority.* The Deputy Associate Administrator for Programming and the Institutional Directors at NASA Headquarters and the Directors of field installations with respect to real property under their supervision and management may, subject to the restrictions set forth in paragraph (e) of this section, grant interests in real property (as defined in paragraph (b)(3) of this section) to any person or organization, including other Government Agencies, a State or political subdivision or agency thereof: *Provided,* however, That this authority may not be exercised with respect to real property which is excess within the meaning of 40 U.S.C. 472(e).

(e) *Restrictions.* Except as otherwise specifically provided, no such interest in real property shall be granted under the authority stated in paragraph (d) of this section unless:

(1) The Deputy Associate Administrator for Programming or the cognizant Institutional Director at NASA Headquarters or the Director of the field installation concerned determines:

(i) That the interest in real property to be granted is not required for a NASA program, and

(ii) That the grantee's exercise of rights under such interest will not interfere with NASA operations; and

(2) Fair value in money is received by NASA on behalf of the Government at consideration for the grant of such interest; and

(3) The instrument granting such interest provides:

(i) For a term not to exceed five years;

(ii) For the termination thereof, in whole or in part, and without cost to the Government if there has been:

(*a*) A failure to comply with any term or condition of the grant; or

(*b*) A determination by the Deputy Associate Administrator for Programming or the cognizant Institutional Director at NASA Headquarters or the Director of the field installation concerned that the interests of the national space program, the national defense, or the public welfare require the termination of the interest granted; and a 30-day notice, in writing, to the grantee that such determination has been made;

(iii) That written notice of such termination shall be given to the grantee (or its successors or assigns) by the Deputy Associate Administrator for Programming or the cognizant Institutional Director at NASA Headquarters, or the Director of the field installation concerned, and that termination shall be effective as of the date specified by such notice; and

(iv) For any other reservations, exceptions, limitations, benefits, burdens, terms or conditions which the Deputy Associate Administrator for Programming or the cognizant Institutional Director at NASA Headquarters or the Director of the field installation concerned deems necessary to protect the interest of the United States.

(f) *Deviations.* If, in connection with a proposed grant of an interest in real property, the Deputy Associate Administrator for Programming or the cognizant Institutional Director at NASA Headquarters or a Director of a field installation determines that a deviation from the restrictions set forth in paragraph

(e) of this section is appropriate, he may submit a request therefor to the Associate Administrator, NASA Headquarters, who is hereby authorized to approve such deviations to the extent authorized by law.

(g) *Services of the Corps of Engineers.* In exercising the authority herein granted, the Deputy Associate Administrator for Programming and the Institutional Directors at NASA Headquarters and the Directors of field installations, pursuant to the applicable provisions of any cooperative agreement between NASA and the Corps of Engineers (in effect at the time) may:

(1) Utilize the services of the Corps of Engineers, U.S. Army, and

(2) Delegate authority to the Corps of Engineers to execute, on behalf of NASA, any grants of interests in real property as authorized in this section, provided that the conditions set forth in paragraphs (e) and (f) of this section are complied with.

(42 U.S.C. 2473(b) (3), (5), (6))

Effective date. The provisions of §§ 1204.503(b) and 1204.504 are effective June 1, 1964.

HUGH L. DRYDEN,
Deputy Administrator.

[F.R. Doc. 64-6351; Filed, June 25, 1964; 8:47 a.m.]

Title 17—COMMODITY AND SECURITIES EXCHANGES

Chapter II—Securities and Exchange Commission

[Release 33-4703 etc.]

PART 200—ORGANIZATION; CONDUCT AND ETHICS; AND INFORMATION AND REQUESTS

Delegation of Authority to Director of Division of Trading and Markets

The Securities and Exchange Commission has amended Article 30-3(b) of Subpart A of its Statement of Organization, Conduct and Ethics, and Information and Requests (§ 200.30-3(b)) to provide for delegation by the Commission to the Director of the Division of Trading and Markets of authority to approve requests of national securities exchanges to file reports of proposed changes in, or additions to, its rules less than three weeks prior to adoption as provided for in Rule 17a-8 (§ 240.17a-8) under the Securities Exchange Act of 1934.

Rule 17a-8 (§ 240.17a-8), which became effective on April 6, 1964 (Securities Exchange Act Release No. 7253, 29 F.R. 3471), provides that each national securities exchange shall file with the Commission three copies of the report of any proposed amendment or repeal of, or any addition to, its rules not less than three weeks (or such shorter period as the Commission may authorize) before any action is taken on such amendment, repeal, or addition by the members of such exchange or by any governing body thereof.

The text of the Commission's action is as follows:

Section 200.30-3 is amended by adding paragraph (b)(6) thereto which reads:

§ 200.30-3 Delegation of authority to Director of Division of Trading and Markets.

* * * * *

(b) With respect to the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, 15 U.S.C. 78a, et seq.:

(6) Pursuant to Rule 17a-8, § 240.17a-8 of this chapter to authorize, upon application of a national securities exchange the filing by such an exchange with the Commission of a report of a proposed amendment to or repeal of, or an addition to, its rules less than three weeks before any action is taken on such amendment, repeal, or addition by the members of such exchange or by any governing body thereof.

* * * * *

The Commission finds that the foregoing amendment involves matters of agency organization or procedure and that notice and subsequent procedure pursuant to subsections 4 (a) and (b) of the Administrative Procedure Act are not required. The Commission also finds that the provisions of subsection 4(c) of the Administrative Procedure Act regarding postponement of the effective date are inapplicable inasmuch as this is not a substantive rule.

Accordingly, the foregoing action, which was taken pursuant to Public Law No. 87,592, 76 Stat. 394, becomes effective June 16, 1964.

By the Commission.

[SEAL] ORVAL L. DUBOIS,
Secretary.

JUNE 16, 1964.

[F.R. Doc. 64-6346; Filed, June 25, 1964; 8:46 a.m.]

Title 21—FOOD AND DRUGS

Chapter I—Food and Drug Administration, Department of Health, Education, and Welfare

SUBCHAPTER B—FOOD AND FOOD PRODUCTS

PART 120—TOLERANCES AND EXEMPTIONS FROM TOLERANCES FOR PESTICIDE CHEMICALS IN OR ON RAW AGRICULTURAL COMMODITIES

Tolerances for Residues

No comments were received in response to the proposal of the Commissioner of Food and Drugs published in the FEDERAL REGISTER of May 6, 1964 (29 F.R. 5958), with reference to amending § 120.142 to permit postharvest use of 2,4-D isopropyl ester on lemons to reduce the incidence of infection by *Alternaria*, and no request was received for referral of the proposal to an advisory committee. Therefore, under the authority vested in the Secretary of Health, Education, and Welfare (sec. 408(d)(5), (e), 68 Stat. 513, 514; 21 U.S.C. 346a(d)(5), (e)) and delegated to the Commissioner by the Secretary (21 CFR 2.90; 29 F.R. 471):

It is ordered, That § 120.142 be amended by adding thereto a new sentence. As amended, this section reads as follows:

§ 120.142 2,4-D; tolerances for residues.

A tolerance of 5 parts per million is established for residues of the herbicide and plant regulator 2,4-D (2,4-dichlorophenoxyacetic acid) in or on each of the following raw agricultural commodities: Apples, citrus fruits, pears, quinces. The tolerance for citrus fruits also includes 2,4-D (2,4-dichlorophenoxyacetic acid) residues on lemons resulting from postharvest use of 2,4-D isopropyl ester.

Any person who will be adversely affected by the foregoing order may at any time within 30 days from the date of its publication in the FEDERAL REGISTER file with the Hearing Clerk, Department of Health, Education, and Welfare, Room 5440, 330 Independence Avenue SW., Washington 25, D.C. written objections thereto. Objections shall show wherein the person filing will be adversely affected by the order and specify with particularity the provisions of the order deemed objectionable and the grounds for the objections. If a hearing is requested, the objections must state the issues for the hearing. A hearing will be granted if the objections are supported by grounds legally sufficient to justify the relief sought. Objections may be accompanied by a memorandum or brief in support thereof. All documents shall be filed in quintuplicate.

Effective date. This order shall be effective on the date of its publication in the FEDERAL REGISTER.

(Sec. 408(d)(5), (e), 68 Stat. 513, 514; 21 U.S.C. 346a(d)(5), (e))

Dated: June 22, 1964.

JOHN L. HARVEY,
Deputy Commissioner of Food and Drugs.

[F.R. Doc. 64-6363; Filed, June 25, 1964; 8:48 a.m.]

PART 121—FOOD ADDITIVES

Subpart F—Food Additives Resulting From Contact With Containers or Equipment and Food Additives Otherwise Affecting Food

CHELATING AGENTS USED IN THE MANUFACTURE OF PAPER AND PAPERBOARD

The Commissioner of Food and Drugs, having evaluated the data submitted in a petition (FAP 1396) filed by Olin Mathieson Chemical Corporation, 1730 K Street NW., Washington, D.C., 20006, and other relevant material, has concluded that the food additive regulations should be amended to provide for the use of additional substances as chelating agents in the manufacture of paper and paperboard. Therefore, pursuant to the provisions of the Federal Food, Drug, and Cosmetic Act (sec. 409(c)(1), 72 Stat. 1786; 21 U.S.C. 348(c)(1)), and under the authority delegated to the Commissioner by the Secretary of Health, Education, and Welfare (21 CFR 2.90; 29 F.R. 471), § 121.2515(a) is amended by insert-

ing alphabetically in the "List of substances" the following new items:

§ 121.2515 **Chelating agents used in the manufacture of paper and paperboard.**

* * * * * *

(a) * * *

List of substances	*Limitations*
* * *	* * *
Ammonium fructoheptonate	
Ammonium glucoheptonate	
Sodium fructoheptonate	

* * * * * *

Any person who will be adversely affected by the foregoing order may at any time within 30 days from the date of its publication in the FEDERAL REGISTER file with the Hearing Clerk, Department of Health, Education, and Welfare, Room 5440, 330 Independence Avenue SW., Washington 25, D.C., written objections thereto. Objections shall show wherein the person filing will be adversely affected by the order and specify with particularity the provisions of the order deemed objectionable and the grounds for the objections. If a hearing is requested, the objections must state the issues for the hearing. A hearing will be granted if the objections are supported by grounds legally sufficient to justify the relief sought. Objections may be accompanied by a memorandum or brief in support thereof. All documents shall be filed in quintuplicate.

Effective date. This order shall be effective on the date of its publication in the FEDERAL REGISTER.

(Sec. 409(c)(1), 72 Stat. 1786; 21 U.S.C. 348 (c)(1))

Dated: June 22, 1964.

JOHN L. HARVEY,
Deputy Commissioner of Food and Drugs.

[F.R. Doc. 64-6366; Filed, June 25, 1964; 8:49 a.m.]

PART 121—FOOD ADDITIVES

Subpart F—Food Additives Resulting From Contact With Containers or Equipment and Food Additives Otherwise Affecting Food

ADHESIVES; COMPONENTS OF PAPER AND PAPERBOARD IN CONTACT WITH DRY FOOD

The Commissioner of Food and Drugs, having evaluated the data submitted in a petition (FAP 1349) filed by American Aniline and Extract Co., Inc., Venango and F Streets, Philadelphia 34, Pa., and other relevant material, has concluded that §§ 121.2520 and 121.2571 should be amended to provide for the use of the monomer glycidyl methacrylate in the production of polymers used in the formulation of food-packaging adhesives and used as components of the food-contact surface of paper and paperboard that contact dry food. Based upon comments received, the Commissioner has further concluded that the item "Tetrasodium *n*-(1,2-dicarboxyethyl)-*n*-octadecyl sulfosuccinate" in § 121.2520(c)(5) and the item "Tetrasodium *N*-(1,2-decarboxyethyl), -octadecyl sulfosuccinate" in § 121.2571(b) are incorrectly identified and should be amended to read as set forth below. Therefore, pursuant to the provisions of the Federal Food, Drug, and Cosmetic Act (sec. 409(c)(1), 72 Stat. 1786; 21 U.S.C. 348(c)(1)), and under the authority delegated to the Commissioner by the Secretary of Health, Education, and Welfare (21 CFR 2.90; 29 F.R. 471), the food additive regulations are amended in the following respects:

1. In § 121.2520 *Adhesives*, paragraph (c)(5) is amended as follows:

a. In the list of monomers under the item "Polymers: Homopolymers and copolymers * * *" in "Components of adhesives" a new monomer is inserted alphabetically as follows:

Glycidyl methacrylate.

b. The item "Tetrasodium *n*-(1,2-dicarboxyethyl)-*n*-octadecyl sulfosuccinate" is changed to read:

Tetrasodium *N*-(1,2-dicarboxyethyl)-*N*-octadecylsulfosuccinamate.

2. In § 121.2571 *Components of paper and paperboard in contact with dry food*, paragraph (b) is amended as follows:

a. In the list of monomers under the item "Polymers, homopolymers, and * * *" in "List of substances" a new monomer is inserted alphabetically as follows:

Glycidyl methacrylate.

b. The item "Tetrasodium *N*-(1,2-decarboxyethyl), -octadecyl sulfosuccinate" is changed to read:

Tetrasodium *N*-(1,2-dicarboxyethyl)-*N*-octadecylsulfosuccinamate.

Any person who will be adversely affected by the foregoing order may at any time within 30 days from the date of its publication in the FEDERAL REGISTER file with the Hearing Clerk, Department of Health, Education, and Welfare, Room 5440, 330 Independence Avenue SW., Washington, D.C., 20201, written objections thereto. Objections shall show wherein the person filing will be adversely affected by the order and specify with particularity the provisions of the order deemed objectionable and the grounds for the objections. If a hearing is requested, the objections must state the issues for the hearing. A hearing will be granted if the objections are supported by grounds legally sufficient to justify the relief sought. Objections may be accompanied by a memorandum or brief in support thereof. All documents shall be filed in quintuplicate.

Effective date. This order shall be effective on the date of its publication in the FEDERAL REGISTER.

(Sec. 409(c)(1), 72 Stat. 1786; 21 U.S.C. 348 (c)(1))

Dated: June 22, 1964.

JOHN L. HARVEY,
Deputy Commissioner of Food and Drugs.

[F.R. Doc. 64-6365; Filed, June 25, 1964; 8:49 a.m.]

PART 121—FOOD ADDITIVES

Subpart F—Food Additives Resulting From Contact With Containers or Equipment and Food Additives Otherwise Affecting Food

XYLENE-FORMALDEHYDE RESINS CONDENSED WITH 4,4'-ISOPROPYLIDENEDIPHENOL-EPICHLOROHYDRIN EPOXY RESINS

The Commissioner of Food and Drugs, having evaluated the data submitted in a petition (FAP 1248) filed by General Electric Company, 1 Plastics Avenue, Pittsfield, Massachusetts, and other relevant material, has concluded that the food additive regulations should be amended to provide for the use of resins, produced by the condensation of xylene-formaldedyde resins and 4,4'-Isopropylidenediphenol-epichlorohydrin epoxy resins, as a food-contact coating for articles intended for use in contact with food. Therefore, pursuant to the provisions of the Federal Food, Drug, and Cosmetic Act (sec. 409(c)(1), 72 Stat. 1786; 21 U.S.C. 348(c)(1)), and under the authority delegated to the Commissioner by the Secretary of Health, Education, and Welfare (21 CFR 2.90; 29 F.R. 471), the food additive regulations are amended by adding to Subpart F the following new section:

§ 121.2559 **Xylene-formaldehyde resins condensed with 4,4'-isopropylidenediphenol-epichlorohydrin epoxy resins.**

The resins identified in paragraph (a) of this section may be safely used as a food-contact coating for articles intended for use in producing, manufacturing, packing, processing, preparing, treating, packaging, transporting, or holding food only of the types identified in § 121.2526(c), table 1, under categories I, II, VI, and VIII, and only at temperatures not to exceed room temperature, in accordance with the following prescribed conditions.

(a) The resins are produced by the condensation of xylene-formaldehyde resins and 4,4'-isopropylidenediphenol-epichlorohydrin epoxy resins, to which may have been added certain optional adjuvant substances required in the production of the resins or added to impart desired physical and technical properties. The optional adjuvant substances may include substances identified in § 121.2514(b)(3), with the exception of paragraph (b)(3) (xxxi) and (xxxii) of that section.

(b) The coating in the finished form in which it is to contact food shall meet the following extractives limitations when tested by the methods provided in § 121.2514(e):

(1) The coating when extracted with distilled water at 180° F. for 24 hours shall yield total extractives not to exceed 0.05 milligram per square inch of food-contact surface.

(2) The coating when extracted with 50 percent (by volume) ethyl alcohol in

distilled water at 180° F. for 24 hours shall yield total extractives not to exceed 0.05 milligram per square inch of food-contact surface.

Any person who will be adversely affected by the foregoing order may at any time within 30 days from the date of its publication in the FEDERAL REGISTER file with the Hearing Clerk, Department of Health, Education, and Welfare, Room 5440, 330 Independence Avenue SW., Washington, D.C., 20201, written objections thereto. Objections shall show wherein the person filing will be adversely affected by the order and specify with particularity the provisions of the order deemed objectionable and the grounds for the objections. If a hearing is requested, the objections must state the issues for the hearing. A hearing will be granted if the objections are supported by grounds legally sufficient to justify the relief sought. Objections may be accompanied by a memorandum or brief in support thereof. All documents shall be filed in quintuplicate.

Effective date. This order shall be effective on the date of its publication in the FEDERAL REGISTER.

(Sec. 409(c)(1), 72 Stat. 1786; 21 U.S.C. 348(c)(1))

Dated: June 22, 1964.

JOHN L. HARVEY,
Deputy Commissioner of Food and Drugs.

[F.R. Doc. 64-6364; Filed, June 25, 1964; 8:48 a.m.]

Title 48—TRADE AGREEMENTS AND ADJUSTMENT ASSISTANCE PROGRAMS

Chapter I—Presidential Documents

SUBCHAPTER A—ORGANIC ORDERS

PART 2—PUBLIC ADVISORY COMMITTEE FOR TRADE NEGOTIATIONS

Enlargement of Membership

Pursuant to Executive Order 11159, *supra*, Part 2 (29 F.R. 3202) of Title 48, Chapter I, is amended by substituting "45 members" for "40 members" in paragraph (b) of § 2.1.

Proposed Rule Making

DEPARTMENT OF AGRICULTURE

Agricultural Marketing Service

[7 CFR Parts 1033, 1034]

[Docket Nos. AO–166–A29, AO–175–A20]

MILK IN GREATER CINCINNATI AND DAYTON-SPRINGFIELD, OHIO, MARKETING AREAS

Hearing on Proposed Amendments to Tentative Marketing Agreements and Orders

Pursuant to the provisions of the Agricultural Marketing Agreement Act of 1937, as amended (7 U.S.C. 601 et seq.), and the applicable rules of practice and procedure governing the formulation of marketing agreements and marketing orders (Part 900), notice is hereby given of a public hearing to be held at the Sheraton Gibson Hotel, 421 Walnut Street, Cincinnati, Ohio, beginning at 9:30 a.m., e.s.t., on July 8, 1964, with respect to proposed amendments to the tentative marketing agreements and to the orders, regulating the handling of milk in the Greater Cincinnati and Dayton-Springfield, Ohio, marketing areas.

The public hearing is for the purpose of receiving evidence with respect to the economic and marketing conditions which relate to the proposed amendments, hereinafter set forth, and any appropriate modifications thereof, to the tentative marketing agreements and to the orders. The hearing will be limited to consideration of evidence relating to Class I price differentials and supply-demand adjustments of the two orders (as contained in §§ 1033.51(a) and 1033.52(a) of the Greater Cincinnati milk order and §§ 1034.51(a) and 1034.53 (a) of the Dayton-Springfield, Ohio, milk order).

The proposed amendments, set forth below, have not received the approval of the Secretary of Agriculture.

Proposed by the Cincinnati Milk Sales Association, Inc., the Cooperative Pure Milk Association of Cincinnati, Ohio and the Miami Valley Milk Producers Association:

Amendments to the Greater Cincinnati order:

Proposal No. 1. Revise paragraph (a) of § 1033.51 to read as follows:

§ 1033.51 Class prices.

* * * * *

(a) *Class I milk.* The price for Class I milk shall be the basic formula price for the preceding month plus $1.34, plus or minus a "supply-demand adjustment" of not more than 50 cents computed as follows:

(1) Divide the total gross pounds of fluid milk products disposed of in the Greater Cincinnati and Dayton-Springfield marketing areas as Class I utilization and equivalent uses from sources other than handlers (adjusted to eliminate duplications due to interhandler transfers) in the second and third months preceding, by the total pounds of producer milk plus receipts from dairy farmers equivalent to the disposition of fluid milk products in uses equivalent to Class I use by persons other than pool plant operators, in the Greater Cincinnati and Dayton-Springfield marketing areas in the same months, multiply the result by 100, and round to the nearest whole number. The result shall be known as the "Class I utilization percentage"; (2) For each full percentage point that the Class I utilization percentage is above the applicable maximum base percentage listed below increase the Class I price differential by three cents; and for each full percentage point that the Class I utilization percentage is below the applicable minimum base percentage listed below decrease such differential by three cents: *Provided,* That the Class I differential adjusted pursuant to this subparagraph for the month of June shall not be higher than such adjusted differential for the immediately preceding month of May; and that the Class I differential so adjusted for the month of January shall not be less than the adjusted differential for the immediately preceding month of December.

Month for which price is being computed	Base utilization percentages	
	Minimum	Maximum
January	64	68
February	63	67
March	62	66
April	62	66
May	62	66
June	59	63
July	52	56
August	49	53
September	50	54
October	54	58
November	59	63
December	62	66

Proposed by Sealtest Foods, Division of National Dairy Products Corporation:

Proposal No. 2. Amend paragraph (a) of § 1033.51(a) by adding a proviso, as follows: *"Provided,* That the price for Class I milk shall not be greater than the price for Class I milk under the Dayton-Springfield, Ohio, order, 7 CFR, Part 1034, for the same month."

Proposed by the Cincinnati Milk Sales Association, Inc., the Cooperative Pure Milk Association of Cincinnati, Ohio, and the Miami Valley Milk Producers Association:

Amendment to the Dayton-Springfield, Ohio, order:

Proposal No. 3. Amend paragraph (a) of § 1034.51 to read the same as in Proposal No. 1 above, except that "plus $1.34" is changed to "plus $1.24".

Proposed by the Milk Marketing Orders Division, Agricultural Marketing Service:

Proposal No. 4. Make such changes as may be necessary to make the entire marketing agreements and the orders conform with any amendments thereto that may result from this hearing.

Copies of this notice of hearing and the orders may be procured from the offices of the Market Administrator, 519 Main Street, Cincinnati, Ohio, 45201 and 434 Third National Bank Building, Dayton, Ohio, 45402, or from the Hearing Clerk, Room 112, Administration Building, United States Department of Agriculture, Washington, D.C., 20250, or may be there inspected.

Signed at Washington, D.C., on June 22, 1964.

CLARENCE H. GIRARD,
Deputy Administrator,
Agricultural Marketing Service.

[F.R. Doc. 64–6349; Filed, June 25, 1964; 8:46 a.m.]

[7 CFR Part 1046]

[Docket No. AO–123–A28]

MILK IN LOUISVILLE-LEXINGTON-EVANSVILLE MARKETING AREA

Hearing on Proposed Amendments to Tentative Marketing Agreement and Order

Pursuant to the provisions of the Agricultural Marketing Agreement Act of 1937, as amended (7 U.S.C. 601 et seq.), and the applicable rules of practice and procedure governing the formulation of marketing agreements and marketing orders (Part 900), notice is hereby given of a public hearing to be held in the Ship Room, Kentucky Hotel, 430 West Walnut Street, Louisville, Kentucky, beginning at 9:30 a.m. e.s.t., on July 14, 1964, with respect to proposed amendments to the tentative marketing agreement and to the order, regulating the handling of milk in the Louisville-Lexington-Evansville marketing area.

The public hearing is for the purpose of receiving evidence with respect to the economic and marketing conditions which relate to the proposed amendments, hereinafter set forth, and any appropriate modifications thereof, to the tentative marketing agreement and to the order.

The proposal relative to a redefinition of the marketing area raises the issue whether the provisions of the present order would tend to effectuate the declared policy of the Act, if they are applied to the marketing area as proposed to be redefined and, if not, what modifications of the provisions of the order would be appropriate.

The proposed amendments, set forth below, have not received the approval of the Secretary of Agriculture.

Proposed by the Kyana Milk Producers, Inc.:

Proposal No. 1. Amend § 1046.6 (Marketing Area) so as to provide for the expansion of the marketing area to include the counties of Logan, Butler, Edmonson, Hart, Washington, Marion,

Green, Taylor, Casey, Lincoln, Pulaski, Russell, Adair, Wayne, Clinton, Cumberland and Trimble in the State of Kentucky, and the counties of Jennings, Scott and Jefferson in the State of Indiana, including all municipal corporations therein and all institutions owned or operated by the Federal, State, county, or municipal government located wholly or partially within such territory.

Proposal No. 2. Revise paragraph (b) of § 1046.14 to read as follows:

§ 1046.14 **Producer milk.**

* * * * *

(b) Diverted from a pool plant to another pool plant or to a nonpool plant for the account of the operator of the pool plant or for the account of a cooperative association: *Provided,* That such milk so diverted shall be deemed to have been received at the pool plant from which it is diverted if diverted for the account of the handler operating such plant or at the location of the pool plant from which diverted if diverted for the account of a cooperative association: *And provided further,* That producer milk pursuant to this paragraph shall not include the milk of any person during any of the months of October, November, January and February on days on which it is diverted by a handler to a nonpool plant in excess of 22 days (11 days in the case of every-other-day delivery) during the month; or

* * * * *

Proposal No. 3. Revise § 1046.17 to read as follows:

§ 1046.17 **Route.**

"Route" means delivery (including disposition from a plant store or from a distribution point and distribution by vendor) of a fluid milk product(s) to a wholesale or retail outlet(s) other than to a milk plant(s).

Proposal No. 4. Amend paragraph (a) of § 1046.44 (Transfers) by inserting after the words "As Class I milk if transferred" and before the words "in the form of a fluid milk product * * *" the words "or diverted".

Proposed by Spring Grove Dairy:

Proposal No. 5. Amend § 1046.6 (Marketing Area) by deleting Montgomery County, Kentucky.

Proposed by Beatrice Foods Company:

Proposal No. 6. Amend § 1046.14 (Producer Milk) to provide for diversion from a pool plant to another pool plant, and that such milk so diverted be deemed to have been received at the pool plant from which it is diverted, and further, that such diversions be limited as provided in paragraph (b) of § 1046.14, after the language "* * * *And provided further* * * *".

Proposal No. 7. Amend the order by the addition of a new section which would permit handlers to elect to divide a calendar month into two separate accounting periods. The language recommended is that found in § 1106.18 of the Oklahoma Metropolitan marketing area which reads as follows:

§ 1106.18 **Accounting period.**

"Accounting period" shall mean a calendar month unless the handler during any calendar month makes a request in writing to the market administrator requesting two accounting periods during the month. No accounting period shall be of less than 5 days duration and the request for 2 accounting periods must be made at least 48 hours prior to the end of the first accounting period in the month.

Proposal No. 8. Revise § 1045.41(b) (4) to read as follows:

§ 1046.41 **Classes of utilization.**

* * * * *

(b) * * *

(4) (i) Disposed of for livestock feed;

(ii) Waste or dumped, as accounted for by handlers, and which can be substantiated by the market administrator.

* * * * *

Proposal No. 9. Revise § 1046.41(b) (7) (iv) to read as follows:

§ 1046.41 **Classes of utilization.**

* * * * *

(b) * * *

(7) * * *

(iv) One and one-half percent of skim milk and butterfat, respectively, in fluid whole milk transferred in bulk from a pool plant to another pool plant; and plus

* * * * *

Proposed by the American Dairy Company and Ideal Pure Milk Company, Inc.:

Proposal No. 10. Amend § 1046.12(a) (2) by changing "average of 13,500 pounds per day" to read "average of 2,000 pounds per day".

Proposal No. 11. Amend § 1046.53 by deleting "Evansville, Indiana" and "Madisonville, Kentucky" or by the addition of a proviso which would reduce the Class I price 25 cents at the Evansville and Madisonville base points.

Proposal No. 12. Amend § 1046.51(a) by changing "plus $1.29" to read "plus $1.04".

Proposed by the Holland Custard and Ice Cream Company:

Proposal No. 13. Amend §§ 1046.51, 1046.53 and 1046.82, to provide that the Class I price, and the price paid to producers, for milk delivered to plants located within Tell City, Indiana, and within that territory of the present marketing area within the State of Indiana lying west of Indiana State Highway No. 37, shall be reduced by 15 cents per hundredweight for location.

Proposal No. 14. Amend § 1046.15, to provide that any mixture of milk, skim milk, or cream to which flour, yeast and other non-milk products are added and sold in liquid, and/or frozen form as a "pan cake mix" shall be an exempt product under the "fluid milk product" definition.

Proposed by the Milk Marketing Orders Division, Agricultural Marketing Service:

Proposal No. 15. Make such changes as may be necessary to make the entire marketing agreement and the order conform with any amendments thereto that may result from this hearing.

Copies of this notice of hearing and the order may be procured from the Market Administrator, Joseph E. Bobo, 3920 Bardstown Road, Louisville, Kentucky, 40218, or from the Hearing Clerk, Room 112, Administration Building, United States Department of Agriculture, Washington, D.C., 20250, or may be there inspected.

Signed at Washington, D.C., on June 22, 1964.

CLARENCE H. GIRARD,
Deputy Administrator,
Agricultural Marketing Service.

[F.R. Doc. 64-6350; Filed, June 25, 1964; 8:47 a.m.]

FEDERAL AVIATION AGENCY

[14 CFR Parts 71 [New], 75 [New]]

[Airspace Docket No. 64-EA-5]

JET ROUTES AND REPORTING POINTS

Proposed Alteration

Notice is hereby given that the Federal Aviation Agency (FAA) is considering amendments to Parts 71 [New] and 75 [New] of the Federal Aviation Regulations, the substance of which is stated below.

Jet Route No. 14 is presently designated from Amarillo, Tex., to Atlanta, Ga. The FAA is considering the extension of this route from the Atlanta VORTAC via the Spartanburg, S.C., VORTAC; the Greensboro, N.C., VOR; the Richmond, Va., VOR; to the Kenton, Del., VORTAC. Such action would provide a route between Atlanta and New York City which would bypass the Washington, D.C., terminal area.

Jet Route No. 40 presently extends in part from the Wilmington, N.C., VORTAC via the intersection of the Wilmington VORTAC 012° and the Norfolk, Va., VORTAC 229° radials; to the Norfolk VORTAC. The FAA is considering the realignment of this route from the Wilmington VORTAC via the Richmond, Va., VOR; to the intersection of the Richmond VOR 009° and the Gordonsville, Va., VORTAC 059° radials. Such action would provide a route north of Wilmington compatible with procedures for traffic operating between the Miami, Fla., and Washington, D.C. terminals.

Jet Route No. 51 is presently designated from Jacksonville, Fla., to Raleigh-Durham, N.C. The FAA is considering the extension of this route from the Raleigh-Durham VORTAC to the Norfolk, Va., VORTAC. Such action would provide a route between Norfolk and terminal areas to the southwest.

Jet Route No. 52 is presently designated from Dallas, Tex., to Florence, S.C. The FAA is considering the extension of this route from the Florence VOR via the intersection of the Florence VOR 007° and the Raleigh-Durham, N.C., VORTAC 224° radials; the Raleigh-Durham VORTAC; to the Richmond, Va., VOR. Such action would provide a route for traffic from northern Florida and eastern Georgia terminals en route to Washington, D.C., via Richmond and the realign-

ment of J–40 north of Richmond as proposed herein.

Jet Route No. 55 is presently designated in part from the Flat Rock, Va., VORTAC via the intersection of the Flat Rock VORTAC 029° and the Gordonsville, Va., VORTAC 059° radials; intersection of the Gordonsville, VORTAC 059° and the Coyle, N.J., VORTAC 235° radials; to the Coyle VORTAC. The FAA is proposing realignment of this segment from the Flat Rock VORTAC via the intersection of the Flat Rock VORTAC 025° and the Gordonsville VORTAC 059° radials; intersection of the Gordonsville VORTAC 059° and the Coyle VORTAC 235° radials; to the Coyle VORTAC. Such action would align J–55 directly toward the Brooke, Va., VOR to facilitate jet penetration procedures to Andrews AFB, Md.

Jet Route No. 34 is presently designated in part from the Pittsburgh, Pa., VORTAC to the Herndon, Va., VORTAC. The FAA is considering the realignment of this segment via the Front Royal VOR to provide an improved transitional route for traffic from over Pittsburgh en route to Dulles International Airport.

Jet Route No. 30 is presently designated from Salt Lake City, Utah, to Appleton, Ohio. Airspace Docket No. 63–CE–97 was published in the FEDERAL REGISTER on April 25, 1964, (29 F.R. 5541) and extends J–30 from the Appleton VORTAC to the Front Royal, Va., VORTAC effective June 25, 1964. The FAA is proposing to extend J–30 from the Front Royal VORTAC to the Herndon, Va., VORTAC to provide a single jet route number for traffic over Appleton en route to the Washington terminal area.

In addition, it is proposed to designate the Richmond, Va., VOR as a high altitude reporting point.

Interested persons may submit such written data, views or arguments as they may desire. Communications should be submitted in triplicate to the Director, Eastern Region, Attn: Chief, Air Traffic Division, Federal Aviation Agency, Federal Building, New York International Airport, Jamaica, N.Y., 11430. All communications received within thirty days after publication of this notice in the FEDERAL REGISTER will be considered before action is taken on the proposed amendment. No public hearing is contemplated at this time, but arrangements for informal conferences with Federal Aviation Agency officials may be made by contacting the Regional Air Traffic Division Chief, or the Chief, Airspace Regulations and Procedures Division, Federal Aviation Agency, Washington, D.C. Any data, views or arguments presented during such conferences must also be submitted in writing in accordance with this notice in order to become part of the record for consideration. The proposal contained in this notice may be changed in the light of comments received.

The official Docket will be available for examination by interested persons at the Federal Aviation Agency, Office of the General Counsel: Attention Rules Docket, 800 Independence Avenue SW., Washington, D.C. An informal docket will also be available for examination at the office of the Regional Air Traffic Division Chief.

(Sec. 307(a) of the Federal Aviation Act of 1958; 72 Stat. 749; 49 U.S.C. 1348)

Issued in Washington, D.C., on June 18, 1964.

DANIEL E. BARROW,
Chief, Airspace Regulations and Procedures Division.

[F.R. Doc. 64–6343; Filed, June 25, 1964; 8:46 a.m.]

[14 CFR Part 507]

[Reg. Docket No. 6056]

AIRWORTHINESS DIRECTIVE

Sud Aviation Caravelle Models III and VIR Aircraft

The Federal Aviation Agency has under consideration a proposal to amend Part 507 of the regulations of the Administrator to include an airworthiness directive for Sud Aviation Caravelle Models III and VIR aircraft. There has been an instance of malfunction of the main landing gear, causing it to interfere with aluminum fuel lines and electric wiring in the wheel well area. To prevent recurrence of this condition, this AD requires the replacement of aluminum fuel lines with stainless steel tubing in the main landing gear wheel wells, the installation of fusible plugs and the installation of protective shrouds over the electric wiring and fuel lines.

Interested persons are invited to participate in the making of the proposed rule by submitting such written data, views, or arguments as they may desire. Communications should identify the regulatory docket number and be submitted in duplicate to the Federal Aviation Agency, Office of the General Counsel, Attention: Rules Docket, 800 Independence Avenue SW., Washington, D.C., 20553. All communications received on or before July 27, 1964, will be considered by the Administrator before taking action upon the proposed rule. The proposals contained in this notice may be changed in the light of comments received. All comments will be available, both before and after the closing date for comments, in the Rules Docket for examination by interested persons.

This amendment is proposed under the authority of sections 313(a), 601, and 603 of the Federal Aviation Act of 1958 (72 Stat. 752, 775, 776; 49 U.S.C. 1354(a), 1421, 1423).

In consideration of the foregoing, it is proposed to amend § 507.10(a) of Part 507 (14 CFR Part 507), by adding the following airworthiness directive:

SUD AVIATION. Applies to Caravelle Models III and VIR aircraft.

Compliance required as indicated.

As a result of a landing gear malfunction which resulted in landing gear interference with the aluminum fuel lines and electric wiring in the wheel wells, accomplish the following modifications:

(a) Within 300 hours' time in service after the effective date of this AD, install 3 fusible plugs in each main landing gear wheel hub on all Model III aircraft except aircraft with Serial Numbers 170, 177, and higher as provided for in Hispano Suiza Aero Service Bulletin 111, section 1, No. 46, dated December 2, 1963.

(b) Within 6,000 hours' time in service after the effective date of this AD, remove existing aluminum alloy fuel lines in the main landing gear wheel well and replace them with stainless steel tubing in all Models III and VIR aircraft except aircraft with Serial Numbers 136, 160, 171, and higher, as provided for in Sud Service Bulletin 28–31 dated February 12, 1964, or FAA-approved equivalent.

(c) Within 6,000 hours' time in service after the effective date of this AD, install protective shrouds over electric wiring and fuel lines in the main landing gear wheel wells on all Model III aircraft except aircraft with Serial Numbers 172 and higher as provided for in Sud Service Bulletin 53–35 dated May 4, 1964, or FAA-approved equivalent.

(Sud Service Bulletins 28–31 dated February 12, 1964, 53–35 Revision 1 dated May 4, 1964, and Hispano Suiza Service Bulletin 111, section 1, No. 46 dated December 2, 1963, pertain to this same subject.)

Issued in Washington, D.C., on June 19, 1964.

W. LLOYD LANE,
Acting Director, Flight Standards Service.

[F.R. Doc. 64–6344; Filed, June 25, 1964; 8:46 a.m.]

FEDERAL MARITIME COMMISSION

[46 CFR Part 510]

[Docket No. 1183]

PRACTICES OF LICENSED OCEAN FREIGHT FORWARDERS, OCEAN FREIGHT BROKERS, AND OCEAN-GOING COMMON CARRIERS

Enlargement of Time for Filing Comments

At the request of several interested persons, and good cause appearing, the time within which comments may be filed in this proceeding is hereby enlarged to and including June 30, 1964.

By the Commission, June 18, 1964.

[SEAL] THOMAS LISI,
Secretary.

[F.R. Doc. 64–6354; Filed, June 25, 1964; 8:47 a.m.]

Notices

DEPARTMENT OF DEFENSE

Department of the Air Force

JOINT ORDER INTERCHANGING ADMINISTRATIVE JURISDICTION OF MILITARY AND NATIONAL FOREST LANDS

Sundance Air Force Station, Wyoming

CROSS REFERENCE: For a joint order interchanging administrative jurisdiction of certain military and national forest lands in Wyoming, see F.R. Doc. 64–6370, Department of Agriculture, *infra*.

DEPARTMENT OF THE TREASURY

Office of the Secretary

[AA 643.3–m]

COPPER SHEETS FROM YUGOSLAVIA

Fair Value Determination

JUNE 22, 1964.

An allegation was received that copper in sheets and strips whether or not in rolls or coils from Yugoslavia was being sold in the United States at less than fair value within the meaning of the Antidumping Act of 1921.

I hereby determine that copper in sheets and strips whether or not in rolls or coils from Yugoslavia is not being, nor likely to be, sold at less than fair value within the meaning of section 201(a) of the Antidumping Act, 1921, as amended (19 U.S.C. 160(a)).

Statement of reasons. Sales for exportation to the United States were outright transactions between unrelated persons. Identical merchandise to that exported to the United States was not sold in Yugoslavia. It was also determined that the copper sheet sold in the home market was not similar to that exported to the United States within the meaning of section 212(3) of the Antidumping Act. Purchase price was therefore compared with constructed value for fair value purposes.

Constructed value was calculated by comparison with home market value in Western European countries. Comparison between purchase price and constructed value calculated by this method reveals that purchase price was not less than constructed value.

Although not regarded as controlling, a calculation of constructed value was also made based on the stated cost of materials and fabrication incurred in the production in Yugoslavia of the merchandise under consideration. The statutory additions for general expenses and profit were included in this calculation. Calculation by this method was consistent with that relied on as set forth in the preceding paragraph in that it did not reveal that purchase price was less than constructed value.

This determination and the statement of reasons therefor are published pursuant to section 201(c) of the Antidumping Act, 1921, as amended (19 U.S.C. 160(c)).

[SEAL] JAMES P. HENDRICK,
Acting Assistant Secretary of the Treasury.

[F.R. Doc. 64–6362; Filed, June 25, 1964; 8:48 a.m.]

DEPARTMENT OF THE INTERIOR

Bureau of Land Management

CALIFORNIA

Partial Termination of Proposed Withdrawal and Reservation of Lands

JUNE 19, 1964.

Notice of an application, Serial No. Sacramento O54898, for withdrawal and reservation of lands was published as Federal Register Document No. 59–114 on page 173 of the issue for January 7, 1959. The applicant agency has cancelled its application insofar as it involved the lands described below. Therefore, pursuant to the regulations contained in 43 CFR, Subpart 2311, such lands will be at 10:00 a.m. on July 24, 1964, relieved of the segregative effect of the above-mentioned application.

The lands involved in this notice of partial termination are:

MOUNT DIABLO MERIDIAN, CALIFORNIA

T. 32 N., R. 6 W.,
Sec. 24, Lots 8 to 15, inclusive, Lot 32, Lot 33, N½ Lot 34, Lots 35 to 37, inclusive, N½NW¼ (formerly described as Lots 1, 2, 3, 4, N½NW¼).

The areas described above aggregate 148.99 acres.

[SEAL] WALTER E. BECK,
Manager, Land Office, Sacramento.

[F.R. Doc 64–6348; Filed, June 25, 1964; 8:46 a.m.]

DEPARTMENT OF AGRICULTURE

Office of the Secretary

JOINT ORDER INTERCHANGING ADMINISTRATIVE JURISDICTION OF MILITARY AND NATIONAL FOREST LANDS

Sundance Air Force Station, Wyoming

By virtue of the authority vested in the Secretary of Agriculture and the Secretary of the Air Force by the Act of July 26, 1956, (70 Stat. 656), it is ordered as follows:

1. The lands described in Exhibit A, attached hereto and made a part hereof, which lie within the exterior boundaries of the Black Hills National Forest, Wyoming, are hereby transferred from the jurisdiction of the Secretary of the Air Force to the jurisdiction of the Secretary of Agriculture.

2. The lands described in Exhibit B, attached hereto and made a part hereof, which constitute a part of the Sundance Air Force Station, Wyoming, are hereby transferred from the jurisdiction of the Secretary of Agriculture to the jurisdiction of the Secretary of the Air Force.

Pursuant to section 2 of the aforesaid Act of July 26, 1956, the National Forest lands transferred to the Secretary of the Air Force by this Order are hereinafter subject only to the laws applicable to other military lands comprising Sundance Air Force Station. The military lands transferred to the Secretary of Agriculture by this order are hereinafter subject to the laws applicable to the lands acquired under the Act of March 1, 1911 (36 Stat. 961), as amended.

This order will be effective as of date of publication in the FEDERAL REGISTER.

Dated: March 25, 1964.

EUGENE M. ZUCKERT,
Secretary of the Air Force.

Dated: May 4, 1964.

ORVILLE L. FREEMAN,
Secretary of Agriculture.

EXHIBIT A

LANDS TRANSFERRED FROM THE SECRETARY OF AIR FORCE TO THE SECRETARY OF AGRICULTURE

Sixth Principal Meridian

A tract of land situated in Mineral Survey No. 474, which is a part of the S½ of Section 20, T. 52 N., R. 63 W., more particularly described as follows:

Commencing at the SW corner of said Section 20; thence N. 74°33'00" E., a distance of 3729.00 feet to the point of beginning of said tract of land to be described; thence S. 62°-23'00" E., a distance of 1000.00 feet; thence N. 27°37'00" E., a distance of 460.00 feet; thence N. 62°23'00" W., a distance of 1000.00 feet; thence S. 27°37'00" W., a distance of 460.00 feet to the point of beginning.

The tract of land herein described contains 10.56 acres, more or less.

EXHIBIT B

LANDS TRANSFERRED FROM THE SECRETARY OF AGRICULTURE TO THE SECRETARY OF THE AIR FORCE

Sixth Principal Meridian

A tract of land situated in the SW¼NE¼ of Section 9, T. 51 N., R. 63 W., more particularly described as follows:

Commencing at the NE corner of said Section 9; thence S. 29°19'00" W., a distance of 2074.50 feet; thence S. 78°47'00" W., a distance of 310.16 feet to a point on the East line of said SW¼NE¼, said point being the point of beginning of said tract of land to be described; thence continuing S. 78°47'00" W., a distance of 188.05 feet; thence N. 13°51'00" W., a distance of 53.96 feet; thence

a long curve to the left, having a radius of 89.31 feet, for an arc length of 141.84 feet; thence along a curve to the left, having a radius of 94.79 feet, for an arc length of 145.03 feet; thence S. 12°31'00" E., a distance of 23.94 feet; thence along a curve to the right, having a radius of 292.18 feet, for an arc length of 119.15 feet; thence along a curve to the right, having a radius of 560.93 feet, for an arc length of 105.43 feet; thence S. 26°13'00" W., a distance of 50.13 feet; thence S. 89°34'00" W., a distance of 74.92 feet; thence N. 03°43'00" W., a distance of 9.19 feet; thence S. 86°17'00" W., a distance of 100.00 feet; thence S. 03°43'00" E., a distance of 100.00 feet; thence S. 86°17'00" W., a distance of 27.72 feet; thence S. 03°43'00" E., a distance of 178.36 feet, thence along a curve to the left, having a radius of 91.73 feet, for an arc length of 158.75 feet; thence along a curve to the left, having a radius of 128.48 feet, for an arc length of 145.75 feet, thence N. 12°07'00" E., a distance of 110.52 feet; thence along a curve to the right, having a radius of 354.30 feet for an arc length of 87.18 feet; thence N. 26°13'00" E., a distance of 25.56 feet; thence N. 89°34'00" E., a distance of 152.18 feet; thence S. 11°13'00" E., a distance of 369.63 feet to the South line of said SW¼NE¼; thence East, along aforesaid South line, a distance of 127.32 feet, to the SE corner of said SW¼NE¼; thence North, along East line of said SW¼NE¼, a distance of 770.88 feet to the point of beginning; and

A tract of land situated in the SW¼ of Section 20, T. 52 N., R. 63 W., more particularly described as follows:

Commencing at the SW corner of said Section 20; thence N. 42°16' E., for a distance of 2502.10 feet to the point of beginning of said tract of land to be described; thence N. 18°33' W., for a distance of 450.00 feet; thence N. 71°27' E., for a distance of 800.00 feet; thence S. 55°30' E., for a distance of 274.00 feet; thence S. 05°30' E., for a distance of 245.00 feet; thence S. 37°49'43" W., for a distance of 128.92 feet to the North line of Mineral Survey #474; thence N. 77°50' W. along said North line for a distance of 405.99 feet to the NW corner of Mineral Survey #474; thence S. 12°10' W., along the West line of said Mineral Survey #474 for a distance of 149.30 feet; thence S. 71°27' W., for a distance of 376.74 feet to the point of beginning; and

A tract of land situated in the SW¼SW¼ of Section 17 and the NW¼NW¼ of Section 20, T. 52 N., R. 63 W., more particularly described as follows:

Commencing at the SW corner of said Section 17; thence N. 73°14'23" E., for a distance of 355.84 feet to the point of beginning of said tract of land to be described; thence N. 20°00'00" E., for a distance of 300.00 feet; thence S. 70°00'00" E., for a distance of 336.17 feet; thence N. 89°03'00" E., for a distance of 252.45 feet to the center line of existing U.S. Forest Service road; thence S. 64°22'00" E., along aforesaid road center line for a distance of 223.46 feet; thence S. 89°03'00" W., for a distance of 422.22 feet; thence S. 20°00'00" W., for a distance of 217.34 feet; thence N. 70°00'00" W., for a distance of 400.00 feet to the point of beginning.

The tracts herein described contain 19.67 acres, more or less.

[F.R. Doc. 64-6370; Filed, June 25, 1964; 8:50 a.m.]

DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

Bureau of International Commerce

[File 28-397]

FUEHRER, BRANDL AND CO.

Order Terminating Indefinite Denial Order

In the matter of Fuehrer, Brandl and Co., 52 Schillerstrasse, Linz/Donau, Austria, and 31 Schottenring, Vienna I, Austria, File 28-397; respondent.

On April 16, 1964 an order was entered against the above respondent (29 F.R. 5809, May 1, 1964) denying it for an indefinite period, all privileges of participating in exportations from the United States because of its failure to answer interrogatories duly served in accordance with § 382.15 of the Export Regulations (15 CFR Ch. III, Subchapter B) and not having given adequate reasons for its failure to answer. Good cause having now been shown that this order should no longer be maintained in effect:

It is ordered, That the said order of April 16, 1964 be and the same is hereby terminated.

Dated: June 13, 1964.

FORREST D. HOCKERSMITH,
Director,
Office of Export Control.

[F.R. Doc. 64-6345; Filed, June 25, 1964; 8:46 a.m.]

[Case No. 327]

BENJAMIN WINKLER AND B. W. TRADING CORP.

Order Denying Export Privileges

In the matter of Benjamin Winkler and B. W. Trading Corporation, 125 Cedar Street, New York, N.Y., Case No. 327; respondents.

On January 27, 1964 the Director, Investigations Division, Office of Export Control, issued a charging letter against the above respondents charging violations of the regulations under the Export Control Act of 1949, as amended.

There are two charges. In the first charge it is alleged that on February 9, 1963 respondents exported three oscilloscopes valued at $3,600 from the United States to Austria falsely describing them on the Shipper's Export Declaration as transistor radios, and also giving a false Schedule B number, thereby representing that they were exportable under General License GRO. In the second charge it is alleged that respondents caused four oscilloscopes and accessories, valued at $8,800, to be placed in the Cargo Room of a trans-Atlantic airline in New York City, without having presented to the Collector of Customs a validated export license or an authenticated Shipper's Export Declaration. It is further alleged that respondents attempted to export these commodities to Austria by presenting to said Collector a Shipper's Export Declaration falsely describing the commodities as preamplifiers, radio transceivers, literature, and carrying case, and by giving a false Schedule B number, thereby representing that they were exportable under General License GRO. Based on the two transactions the respondents are charged with having violated certain specified sections of the Export Regulations.

The respondents filed an answer and requested a hearing. They admitted the charges and pleaded "solely new matter in mitigation" of the charges.

The case was referred to the Compliance Commissioner and a hearing was held in Washington, D.C. on April 7, 1964. The Compliance Commissioner has considered the record in the case and has submitted to the undersigned his written report including findings of fact and findings that violations have occurred. He has recommended that remedial action, as hereinafter set forth, be taken against the respondents. On consideration of the record, I hereby make the following findings of fact:

1. The respondent Benjamin Winkler is a resident of New York City and is engaged in various business activities, including the exportation from the United States of food products and other commodities. He owns and controls the firm known as B. W. Trading Corporation, also located in New York City. The dealings in the transactions hereinafter set forth were carried out by Winkler in his own name or in the name of the corporation and they shall be collectively referred to as Winkler.

2. In November 1962 Winkler received from a business associate in Vienna, Austria, a list of electronic items which the associate requested Winkler to procure in the United States and export to Vienna. The list included 17 oscilloscopes and accessories which were on the U.S. Positive List. The commodities required validated licenses for export to Austria, and Winkler knew this.

3. In November 1962 Winkler ordered from a U.S. manufacturer the 17 oscilloscopes and accessories requested by his associate. At about this time Winkler purchased from a dealer three used oscilloscopes of this same make which he sent to the manufacturer for reconditioning. These were reconditioned and returned to Winkler early in February 1963.

4. In January 1963 an agent for Winkler, on information furnished by him, filed an application with the Office of Export Control to export 17 oscilloscopes and accessories to Vienna. While this application was pending, Winkler, on February 9, 1963, through a freight forwarder, exported the three reconditioned oscilloscopes, valued at $3,600, to his associate in Vienna. On information supplied by Winkler a false Schedule B number was given for the oscilloscopes

and they were described on the Shipper's Export Declaration as portable transistor radios. This classification and description was a representation that the commodities were exportable under General License GRO, which was not the fact. When the investigation as to the transactions in question was undertaken, these commodities were in a bonded warehouse in Vienna and they were returned to the United States and seized by Customs officials as an illegal exportation.

5. Early in March 1963 the U.S. manufacturer shipped to Winkler four of the oscilloscopes and accessories he had ordered, valued at $8,800. These arrived in New York on March 14, 1963. At that time the license to export these commodities had not been approved. Winkler had the oscilloscopes and accessories repacked for exportation to Vienna. He signed and delivered to the Collector of Customs a Shipper's Export Declaration in which a false Schedule B number was given for the commodities and in which they were falsely described as preamplifiers, radio transceivers, literature and carrying case. This classification and description was a representation that the commodities were exportable under General License GRO, which was not the fact. The commodities as repacked were placed in the Cargo Room of a trans-Atlantic airline, a place for loading for export to Vienna. Before the goods were loaded they were examined by agents of the U.S. Bureau of Customs. The misrepresentations and the absence of the required license were disclosed and the goods were seized.

6. As the result of these transactions, Winkler and his corporation were indicted and charged with violations of the Export Control Act and regulations. They pleaded guilty to one of the counts in the indictment and they were fined a total of $6,000.

Based on the foregoing I have concluded that the respondents: caused false representations to be made to, and material facts to be concealed from, the Office of Export Control and the Collector of Customs, in connection with the preparation and use of export control documents in effecting and attempting to effect the exportation of commodities from the United States; delivered commodities to a place of loading, for export by air, without having presented to the Collector of Customs a validated license therefor and without having presented to, and having authenticated by, the Collector of Customs a related duly executed Shipper's Export Declaration; exported and attempted to export from the United States to Austria certain commodities subject to the U.S. Export Regulations, without having obtained the validated export license from the Office of Export Control required to authorize such exportation. The conduct of respondents was in violation of §§ 370.2, 372.3, 379.1(a)(1), 381.2, 381.3, and 381.5 of the Export Regulations.

As to the sanction that should be imposed the Compliance Commissioner said:

As above noted, Winkler knew that a validated license was required for a lawful exportation of the oscilloscopes and accessories in question. His exportation of the oscilloscopes in February and the attempted exportation in March were deliberate violations of the Export Regulations. The fact that he had applied for a license is no excuse for his having made the exportations before the license was issued. I do not accept his story that he intended to have the goods remain in bond in Austria until the license had been granted. I believe that it was his intention to have a sale made of the commodities in Europe as soon as arrangements could be made, without regard to the requirements of the Export Regulations.

Considering the fact that these were deliberate violations involving commodities of strategic importance a relatively severe sanction is warranted. On the other hand, we should not overlook the fact that these respondents were indicted for participating in these transactions and have already been fined a total of $6,000. However, this fine is not to be considered as a license fee for engaging in illegal business operations. Winkler is engaged in substantial export activities and any denial of export privileges will have a serious impact on his business. However, the integrity of the export program must be maintained and those who cannot be trusted to deal in exportations in accordance with the requirements of the law should not be permitted to do so. Considering all of the circumstances, I recommend that Winkler and his company be denied export privileges for the duration of export controls with the right to apply after one year to have their privileges restored while they remain on probation. In order to give the respondents an opportunity to make such arrangements as may be necessary to comply with this order, I recommend that the order become effective two weeks after a copy thereof is mailed to them,. The respondents should understand that any attempts to evade this order by engaging in export activities through third parties will not be tolerated and could result in total denial of export privileges for the duration of export controls.

I consider that the recommendations of the Compliance Commissioner are fair and just and designated to achieve effective enforcement of the law. Based on the record:

It is hereby ordered,

I. All outstanding export licenses in which the respondents appear or participate in any manner or capacity are hereby revoked and shall be returned forthwith to the Bureau of International Commerce for cancellation.

II. Except as qualified in Part IV hereof the respondents for the duration of export controls are hereby denied all privileges of participating, directly or indirectly, in any manner or capacity, in any transaction involving commodities or technical data exported from the United States in whole or in part, or to be exported, or which are otherwise subject to the Export Regulations. Without limitation of the generality of the foregoing, participation prohibited in any such transaction either in the United States or abroad shall include participation: (a) as a party or as a representative of a party to any validated export license application; (b) in the preparation or filing of any export license application or reexportation authorization, or document to be submitted therewith; (c) in the obtaining or using of any validated or general export license or other export control documents; (d) in the carrying on of negotiations with respect to, or in the receiving, ordering, buying, selling, delivering, storing, using, or disposing of any commodities or technical data; (e) in the financing, forwarding, transporting, or other servicing of such commodities or technical data.

III. Such denial of export privileges shall extend not only to the respondents, but also to their agents and employees and to any successor and to any person, firm, corporation, or other business organization with which they now or hereafter may be related by affiliation, ownership, control, position of responsibility, or other connection in the conduct of trade or services connected therewith.

IV. One year after the effective date of this order the respondents may apply to have the effective denial of their export privileges held in abeyance while they remain on probation. Such application shall be supported by evidence showing compliance with the terms of this order and such disclosure of details of their activities during said year as may be necessary to determine their compliance with this order. The application will be considered on its merits and in the light of conditions and policies existing at that time. The respondents' export privileges may be restored under such terms and conditions as appear to be appropriate.

V. During the time when any respondent or other person within the scope of this order is prohibited from engaging in any activity within the scope of Part II hereof, no person, firm, corporation, partnership, or other business organization, whether in the United States or elsewhere, without prior disclosure to and specific authorization from the Bureau of International Commerce, shall do any of the following acts, directly or indirectly, in any manner or capacity, on behalf of or in any association with any respondent or other person denied export privileges within the scope of this order, or whereby any such respondent or such other person may obtain any benefit therefrom or have any interest or participation therein, directly or indirectly: (a) Apply for, obtain, transfer, or use any license, shipper's export declaration, bill of lading, or other export control document relating to any exportation, reexportation, transshipment, or diversion of any commodity or technical data exported or to be exported from the United States, by, to, or for any such respondent or other person denied export privileges within the scope of this order; or (b) order, buy, receive, use, sell, deliver, store, dispose of, forward, transport, finance, or otherwise service or participate in any exportation, reexportation, transshipment, or diversion of any commodity or technical data exported or to be exported from the United States.

This order shall become effective on June 26, 1964.

Dated: June 6, 1964.

FORREST D. HOCKERSMITH,
Director,
Office of Export Control.

[F.R. Doc. 64-6347; Filed, June 25, 1964; 8:46 a.m.]

ATOMIC ENERGY COMMISSION

[Docket No. 70-857]

UNIVERSITY OF MICHIGAN

Notice of Issuance of License

Notice is hereby given that the Atomic Energy Commission, on June 17, 1964, issued a Special Nuclear Material License No. SNM-804 to University of Michigan, % Phoenix Memorial Laboratory. The license authorizes the transfer of irradiated fuel elements used in the reactor licensed under facility license R-28, from the site at Ann Arbor, Michigan to the Commission's Idaho Chemical Processing Plant. The shielded cask which will be used for this shipment was previously approved in connection with the issuance of License No. SNM-800, Docket No. 70-855. The shipments are to be made in accordance with the procedures described in the application dated May 21, 1964 as supplemented to date. Further details see (1) the application and (2) a Safety Analysis by the Irradiated Fuels Branch of the Division of Materials Licensing in Docket No. 70-857, both of which are on file at the AEC's Public Document Room. A copy of the Safety Analysis by the Irradiated Fuels Branch is available upon request addressed to the Atomic Energy Commission, Washington, D.C., 20545, Attention: Director, Division of Materials Licensing.

Dated at Bethesda, Md., this 17th day of June 1964.

For the Atomic Energy Commission.

LYALL JOHNSON,
Acting Director,
Division of Materials Licensing.

[F.R. Doc. 64-6332; Filed, June 25, 1964; 8:45 a.m.]

CIVIL AERONAUTICS BOARD

[Docket 15350; Order No. E-20966]

AMERICAN AIRLINES, INC., ET AL.

Order of Investigation and Suspension

Adopted by the Civil Aeronautics Board at its office in Washington, D.C., on the 22d day of June 1964.

Reduced military first-class fares proposed by American Airlines, Inc., Continental Air Lines, Inc., and Trans World Airlines, Inc., Docket 15350.

On May 25, June 5, and June 8, 1964, Continental Air Lines, Inc., (Continental), Trans World Airlines, Inc., (TWA), and American Airlines, Inc., (American), respectively, filed tariff revisions which would reduce the fares for local military jet first-class traffic.[1] The carriers have not submitted any data in support of the reductions.[2]

[1] Agent C. C. Squire's Local and Joint Passenger Fares Tariff No. PF-5, C.A.B. No. 44.

[2] The transmittals accompanying these tariff revisions indicated that the purpose was defensive and intended to meet competitive tariff filings.

TWA filed a complaint on June 5, 1964, requesting investigation and suspension of Continental's revised tariff. TWA alleges that Continental's tariff was filed to meet the competitive fares of United Air Lines, Inc., (United) which the Board has permitted to become effective.[3]

The military discount of 10 percent from first-class fares was permitted by the Board after formal investigation in the Military-Tender Investigation.[4] The Board found, inter alia, that a 10 percent discount from first-class passenger fares was not unlawful under the Federal Aviation Act of 1958, because of differences in circumstances and conditions between the transportation of military and nonmilitary passengers. The military discounts currently proposed by the carriers exceed the 10 percent discount from first-class fares permitted by the decision in this case.

In our view the fact that the proponent carriers for competitive reasons desire to maintain the same dollar fare for the military using first-class service as United maintains for one-class service does not, per se, justify a discount in excess of that which we have previously found lawful.[5] The proponent carriers have not submitted any other information or support for their current proposals and we are not aware of any changes since our decision in the Military-Tender case which would justify a military discount of more than 10 percent from regular first-class fares. In consideration of our prior decision on discounted fares for the military, the absence of data or information which would justify or support a military discount in excess of 10 percent, and the rate war implications involved in these proposals, the Board will order an investigation of the instant tariff revisions of American, Continental, and TWA and will order their suspension pending investigation.

Accordingly, pursuant to the Federal Aviation Act of 1958, and particularly sections 204(a), 403, 404 and 1002 thereof:

It is ordered, that,

1. An investigation be instituted to determine whether the fares and provisions on 10th Revised Page 40-E, 35th Revised Page 104, 13th Revised Page 270-E, and 14th Revised Page 270-E of Agent C. C. Squire's C.A.B. No. 44 are or will be, unjust or unreasonable, unjustly discriminatory, unduly preferential, unduly prejudicical, or otherwise unlawful and if found to be unlawful to determine and prescribe the lawful fares and provisions;

2. Pending hearing and decision by the Board, all fares and provisions on 10th Revised Page 40-E, 35th Revised Page 104, 13th Revised Page 270-E, and 14th Revised Page 270-E of Agent C. C. Squire's C.A.B. No. 44, are suspended and their use deferred to and including October 6, 1964, unless otherwise ordered by the Board and that no changes be made therein during the period of suspension except by order or special permission of the Board;

3. The complaint of Trans World Airlines, Inc., in Docket 15299, is denied except to the extent granted herein, and Docket 15299 is dismissed.

4. This investigation shall be set for hearing before an Examiner of the Board at a time and place hereafter to be designated; and

5. A copy of this order shall be filed with the aforesaid tariffs and be served upon American Airlines, Inc., Continental Air Lines, Inc., and Trans World Airlines, Inc., which are made parties to the investigation ordered herein.

This order will be published in the FEDERAL REGISTER.

By the Civil Aeronautics Board.[6]

[SEAL] HAROLD R. SANDERSON,
Secretary.

[F.R. Doc. 64-6360; Filed, June 25, 1964; 8:48 a.m.]

[3] Agent C. C. Squire's Local and Joint Passenger Fares Tariff No. PF-5, C.A.B. No. 44; Order E-20927, dated June 11, 1964.

[4] 28 C.A.B. 902 (1959).

[5] The United proposal which the Board recently permitted to become effective (Order E-20927, June 11, 1964) provides military discounts of less than 10 percent for one-class service.

[Docket 13777, Order No. E-20965]

INTERNATIONAL AIR TRANSPORT ASSOCIATION

Agreement Adopted by Joint Conference Relating to Specific Commodity Rates

Adopted by the Civil Aeronautics Board at its office in Washington, D.C., on the 22d day of June 1964.

There has been filed with the Board, pursuant to section 412(a) of the Federal Aviation Act of 1958 (the Act) and Part 261 of the Board's Economic Regulations, an agreement between various air carriers, foreign air carriers, and other carriers, embodied in the resolutions of Joint Conference 3-1 of the International Air Transport Association (IATA), and adopted pursuant to the provisions of Resolution 590 (Commodity Rates Board).

The agreement, adopted pursuant to unprotested notices to the carriers and promulgated in IATA memorandum SFO Board/10/JT31-Rates 339, names and additional specific commodity rate as follows:

Item 1052-Sheep

Rate: 177 cents per kilogram, minimum weight 100 kilograms, from Auckland to West Coast.

The Board, acting pursuant to sections 102, 204(a), and 412 of the Act, does not find the subject agreement to be adverse to the public interest or in violation of the Act, provided that approval thereof is conditioned as hereinafter ordered.

Accordingly, it is ordered, That Agreement C.A.B. 17456, R-6, is approved, provided that such approval shall not constitute approval of the specific commodity description contained therein for purposes of tariff publication.

[6] Concurring statement of member Gurney filed as part of original document.

Any air carrier party to the agreement, or any interested person, may within 15 days from the date of service of this order, submit statements in writing containing reasons deemed appropriate, together with supporting data, in support of or in opposition to the Board's action herein. An original and nineteen copies of the statements should be filed with the Board's Docket Section. The Board may, upon consideration of any such statements filed, modify or rescind its action herein by subsequent order.

This order will be published in the FEDERAL REGISTER.

By the Civil Aeronautics Board.

[SEAL] HAROLD R. SANDERSON, *Secretary.*

[F.R. Doc. 64-6361; Filed, June 25, 1964; 8:48 a.m.]

FEDERAL MARITIME COMMISSION

STATE OF CONNECTICUT COMMISSIONERS OF STEAMSHIP TERMINALS AND CONNECTICUT TERMINAL CO., INC.

Notice of Agreements Filed for Approval

Notice is hereby given that the following agreements have been filed with the Commission for approval pursuant to section 15 of the Shipping Act, 1916, as amended (39 Stat. 733, 75 Stat. 763, 46 U.S.C. 814).

Interested parties may inspect and obtain a copy of the agreement(s) at the Washington office of the Federal Maritime Commission, 1321 H Street NW., room 301; or may inspect agreements at the offices of the District Managers, New York, N.Y., New Orleans, Louisiana, and San Francisco, California. Comments with reference to an agreement including a request for hearing, if desired, may be submitted to the Secretary, Federal Maritime Commission, Washington, D.C., 20573, within 20 days after publication of this notice in the FEDERAL REGISTER. A copy of any such statement should also be forwarded to the party filing the agreement (as indicated hereinafter), and the comments should indicate that this has been done.

Agreements No. T-499 and T-500 are between the State of Connecticut Commissioners of Steamship Terminals (Authority), and Connecticut Terminal Company, Inc. (Operator), New London, Connecticut. Agreement No. T-500, provides for a five year lease of New London State Pier No. 1 to be operated by Operator as a public marine terminal. Operator may issue tariffs or enter into agreements covering rates and charges applicable at the pier, provided that any such tariffs and agreements shall be regulated by and may be changed at the discretion of the Authority. The Operator shall collect all income from the operation of the pier for the account of the Authority. From such income the Authority will pay the Operator's expenses including salaries, necessary repairs and the annual rental. If, after the payment of those items specified in the agreement, there remains a profit, the parties agree to divide such profit by giving 20 percent to the Operator, and the remainder to the Authority. Agreement No. T-499, modifies Agreement No. T-500 by extending its term five years with an option to add an additional five years.

The option has been executed.

Dated: June 23, 1964.

By order of the Federal Maritime Commission.

THOMAS LISI, *Secretary.*

[F.R. Doc. 64-6355; Filed, June 25, 1964; 8:47 a.m.]

PIONEER TERMINAL CORP. AND INTERNATIONAL TERMINAL OPERATORS, INC.

Notice of Agreement Filed for Approval

Notice is hereby given that the following agreement has been filed with the Commission for approval pursuant to section 15 of the Shipping Act, 1916, as amended (39 Stat. 733, 75 Stat. 763, 46 U.S.C. 814).

Interested parties may inspect and obtain a copy of the agreement(s) at the Washington office of the Federal Maritime Commission, 1321 H Street NW., room 301; or may inspect agreements at the offices of the District Managers, New York, N.Y., New Orleans, Louisiana, and San Francisco, California. Comments with reference to an agreement including a request for hearing, if desired, may be submitted to the Secretary, Federal Maritime Commission, Washington, D.C. 20573, within 20 days after publication of this notice in the FEDERAL REGISTER. A copy of any such statement should also be forwarded to the party filing the agreement (as indicated hereinafter), and the comments should indicate that this has been done.

Agreement No. T-212, between Pioneer Terminal Corporation (Pioneer) New York, and International Terminal Operators, Inc. (ITO), provides that ITO will make five berths and shedded and open storage areas available at the Port of New York for the accommodation of vessels that Pioneer may designate. ITO agrees to furnish stevedore and terminal services for such vessels and shall collect all demurrage charges for its own account. Pioneer agrees to pay ITO, for the loading and unloading of vessels, the amount specified in the agreement. ITO further agrees to stevedore, on request, any vessels which Pioneer is required to handle pursuant to its stevedoring agreements, at rates contained within the agreement.

Dated: June 23, 1964.

By order of the Federal Maritime Commission.

THOMAS LISI, *Secretary.*

[F.R. Doc. 64-6356; Filed, June 25, 1964; 8:47 a.m.]

TRANS-PACIFIC PASSENGER CONFERENCE

Notice of Filing of Agreement

Notice is hereby given that the following described agreement has been filed with the Commission for approval pursuant to section 15 of the Shipping Act, 1916 (39 Stat. 733; 75 Stat. 763; 46 U.S.C. 814):

Agreement 131-239 between the member lines of the Trans-Pacific Passenger Conference modifies Agreement No. 131 by amending the Admission, Withdrawal and Explusion provisions of subsections (c) and (d) of Article C of the Conference Constitution in order to comply with the requirements of the Commission's General Order 9.

Interested parties may inspect this agreement and obtain copies thereof at the Bureau of Foreign Regulation, Federal Maritime Commission, Washington, D.C., 20573, or may inspect a copy at the offices of the District Managers of the Commission in New York, N.Y., New Orleans, La., and San Francisco, Calif., and may submit to the Secretary, Federal Maritime Commission, Washington, D.C., 20573, within 20 days after publication of this notice in the FEDERAL REGISTER, written statements with reference to the agreement and their position as to approval, disapproval, or modification, together with a request for hearing, should such hearing be desired.

Dated: June 23, 1964.

By order of the Federal Maritime Commission.

THOMAS LISI, *Secretary.*

[F.R. Doc. 64-6357; Filed, June 25, 1964; 8:48 a.m.]

HOUSING AND HOME FINANCE AGENCY

Office of the Administrator

URBAN RENEWAL COMMISSIONER AND HHFA REGIONAL ADMINISTRATORS

Delegation of Authority With Respect to Slum Clearance and Urban Renewal Program, Demonstration Grant Program, and Urban Planning Grant Program

The delegation of authority with respect to the slum clearance and urban renewal program, demonstration grant program, and urban planning grant program, republished October 14, 1960 (25 F.R. 9874), as amended,[1] is hereby further amended by revising paragraph numbered 5 to read:

5. The Commissioner is authorized to redelegate to:

(a) The Deputy Urban Renewal Commissioner any of the authority hereby delegated to the Commissioner except the authority respecting designations of acting heads of subunits of the Administration.

[1] 28 F.R. 2933, March 23, 1963.

(b) Such officers and employees of the Urban Renewal Administration as he may designate any of the authority hereby delegated to the Commissioner except the authority respecting:

(1) Reservations of grant moneys.

(2) Allocations of advance, loan or grant funds.

(3) Designations of acting heads of subunits of the Administration.

Effective as of the 26th day of June 1964.

[SEAL] ROBERT C. WEAVER,
Housing and Home Finance Administrator.

[F.R. Doc. 64-6358; Filed, June 25, 1964; 8:48 a.m.]

TARIFF COMMISSION

[AA1921-39]

CARBON STEEL BARS AND SHAPES FROM CANADA

Notice of Postponement of Hearing

Notice is hereby given by the United States Tariff Commission that the hearing ordered to be held on July 14, 1964, in connection with the investigation instituted under section 201(a) of the Antidumping Act, 1921, as amended (19 U.S.C. 160(a)), with respect to carbon steel bars, bars-shapes under 3 inches, and structural shapes 3 inches and over, manufactured by Western Canada Steel Limited and/or its subsidiary, the Vancouver Rolling Mills Limited of Vancouver, Canada, is postponed until 10 a.m., e.d.s.t., on July 27, 1964.

Issued June 23, 1964.

By order of the Commission.

[SEAL] DONN N. BENT,
Secretary.

[F.R. Doc. 64-6352; Filed, June 25, 1964; 8:47 a.m.]

INTERSTATE COMMERCE COMMISSION

FOURTH SECTION APPLICATIONS FOR RELIEF

JUNE 23, 1964.

Protests to the granting of an application must be prepared in accordance with Rule 1.40 of the general rules of practice (49 CFR 1.40) and filed within 15 days from the date of publication of this notice in the FEDERAL REGISTER.

LONG-AND-SHORT HAUL

FSA No. 39097—*Fresh Meats and Packinghouse Products to Points in Southern Territory.* Filed by Illinois Freight Association, Agent (No. 254), for interested rail carriers. Rates on fresh meats and packinghouse products, in carloads, from Galt and Rochelle, Ill., to points in southern territory.

Grounds for relief—Carrier competition.

Tariff—Supplement 3 to Illinois Freight Association, Agent, tariff I.C.C. 1036.

FSA No. 39098—*Sand from Riverton, Ind., to Centralia, Ill.* Filed by Illinois Freight Association, Agent (No. 255), for and on behalf of Illinois Central Railroad Company. Rates on sand, in carloads, from Riverton, Ind., to Centralia, Ill.

Grounds for relief—Motor-truck competition.

Tariff—Supplement 119 to Illinois Central Railroad Company tariff I.C.C. A-11687.

FSA No. 39099—*Joint Motor-Rail Rates—Middlewest Motor Freight.* Filed by Middlewest Motor Freight Bureau, Agent (No. 344), for interested carriers. Rates on various commodities moving on class and commodity rates over joint routes of applicant rail and motor carriers, between points in central states territory, on the one hand, and points in middlewest and southwestern territories, on the other, also between points in middlewest territory, on the one hand, and point in southwestern territory, on the other.

Grounds for relief—Motor-truck competition.

Tariff—Supplement 20 to Middlewest Motor Freight Bureau, Agent, tariff MF-I.C.C. 417.

FSA No. 39100—*Sugar Cane Bagasse Boards or Sheets to Points in Official Territory.* Filed by Southwestern Freight Bureau, Agent (No. B-8561), for interested rail carriers. Rates on sugar cane bagasse boards or sheets, in carloads, from Crossett, Ark., and Armant, La., to points in official territory.

Grounds for relief—Carrier competition.

Tariff—Supplement 180 to Southwestern Freight Bureau, Agent, tariff I.C.C. 4061.

FSA No. 39101—*Lacquer Solvents to Chicago, Ill.* Filed by Southwestern Freight Bureau, Agent (No. B-8563), for interested rail carriers. Rates on lacquer solvents, in tank-car loads, from Houston, Longview, North Seadrift, South Bay City, Texas City, Tex., also Sterlington, La., to Chicago, Ill. (Applicable only to DeMert and Dougherty, Inc., at Corwith, Ill.)

Grounds for relief—Market competition.

Tariff—Supplement 304 to Southwestern Freight Bureau, Agent, tariff I.C.C. 4064.

By the Commission.

[SEAL] HAROLD D. MCCOY,
Secretary.

[F.R. Doc. 64-6335; Filed, June 25, 1964; 8:45 a.m.]

CUMULATIVE CODIFICATION GUIDE—JUNE

The following numerical guide is a list of the parts of each title of the Code of Federal Regulations affected by documents published to date during June.

1 CFR

	Page
CFR Checklist	7139

3 CFR

	Page
PROCLAMATIONS:	
3593	7281
3594	7971
EXECUTIVE ORDERS:	
10119 (revoked by EO 11157)	7973
10152 (revoked by EO 11157)	7973
10168 (revoked by EO 11157)	7973
10204 (revoked by EO 11157)	7973
10605 (revoked by EO 11157)	7973
10618 (revoked by EO 11157)	7973
10618 (revoked by EO 11157)	7973
10681 (revoked by EO 11157)	7973
10739 (revoked by EO 11157)	7973
10821 (revoked by EO 11157)	7973
10892 (revoked by EO 11157)	7973
10989 (revoked by EO 11157)	7973
11120 (revoked by EO 11157)	7973
11143 (amended by EO 11159)	8137

3 CFR—Continued

	Page
EXECUTIVE ORDERS—Continued	
11146 (revoked by EO 11157)	7973
11156	7855
11157	7973
11158	7981
11159	8137

5 CFR

	Page
213	7235, 7380, 7505, 7661, 7983
300	7766
511	7801
534	7801
731	8049
PROPOSED RULES:	
890	7327

6 CFR

	Page
40	7857
50	7983
322	7795
386	7795

7 CFR

	Page
1	7311
28	7374, 7661
29	7709
51	7761
52	7909
54	7709
55	7709
56	7709
70	7709, 7857
81	7585
301	7451, 7863, 8139
401	7413
718	7311, 7863
722	7312, 7865
724	7588, 7763
728	7139, 7912
729	7801, 7983
730	7916
777	7983
778	7867

FEDERAL REGISTER

Area Code 202 Phone 963-3261

Published daily, Tuesday through Saturday (no publication on Sundays, Mondays, or on the day after an official Federal holiday), by the Office of the Federal Register, National Archives and Records Service, General Services Administration (mail address National Archives Building, Washington, D.C. 20408), pursuant to the authority contained in the Federal Register Act, approved July 26, 1935 (49 Stat. 500, as amended; 44 U.S.C., ch. 8B), under regulations prescribed by the Administrative Committee of the Federal Register, approved by the President (1 CFR Ch. I). Distribution is made only by the Superintendent of Documents, Government Printing Office, Washington, D.C. 20402.

The FEDERAL REGISTER will be furnished by mail to subscribers, free of postage, for $1.50 per month or $15.00 per year, payable in advance. The charge for individual copies (minimum 15 cents) varies in proportion to the size of the issue. Remit check or money order, made payable to the Superintendent of Documents, Government Printing Office, Washington, D.C. 20402.

The regulatory material appearing herein is keyed to the CODE OF FEDERAL REGULATIONS, which is published, under 50 titles, pursuant to section 11 of the Federal Register Act, as amended. The CODE OF FEDERAL REGULATIONS is sold by the Superintendent of Documents. Prices of books and pocket supplements are listed in the first FEDERAL REGISTER issue of each month.

There are no restrictions on the republication of material appearing in the FEDERAL REGISTER or the CODE OF FEDERAL REGULATIONS.