



**Health, Education, and Welfare Department**

See Food and Drug Administration.

**Interior Department**

See also Land Management Bureau; National Park Service.

**NOTICES:**

Director, Bureau of Outdoor Recreation; delegation of authority..... 6719

**Interstate Commerce Commission**

**NOTICES:**

Fourth section application for relief..... 6728

Motor carrier transfer proceedings..... 6728

**PROPOSED RULE MAKING:**

Extension of credit to shippers; payment of rates and charges; extension of date for filing replies..... 6718

**RULES AND REGULATIONS:**

Carriers by motor vehicle; hours of service of drivers; petitions for postponement of effective date of order..... 6716

Railroad employees; hours of service; method and form of monthly reports..... 6716

**Land Management Bureau**

**NOTICES:**

White River National Forest, Gore Creek Ranger Station and Administrative Site; proposed withdrawal and reservation of lands..... 6719

**RULES AND REGULATIONS:**

Indian reservations or Indian holdings; criteria for approval of exchanges..... 6715

**National Park Service**

**RULES AND REGULATIONS:**

Cape Cod National Seashore; zoning standards..... 6714

**Securities and Exchange Commission**

**NOTICES:**

Hearings, etc.:  
Christiana Securities Co..... 6724  
Imperial Mining, Inc..... 6725  
Measurements Spectrum, Inc.. 6726

**Small Business Administration**

**NOTICES:**

Chief, Loan Processing Section, Denver Regional Office; delegation relating to loan processing functions..... 6727

Los Angeles Regional Office; delegations relating to financial assistance functions:

Chief, Loan Administration Section..... 6727

Chief, Loan Liquidation Section..... 6727

Chief, Loan Processing Section.. 6726

**Treasury Department**

See Customs Bureau.

**Codification Guide**

The following numerical guide is a list of the parts of each title of the Code of Federal Regulations affected by documents published in today's issue. A cumulative list of parts affected, covering the current month to date, appears at the end of each issue beginning with the second issue of the month.

Monthly, quarterly, and annual cumulative guides, published separately from the daily issues, include the section numbers as well as the part numbers affected.

**5 CFR**

6 (2 documents)..... 6693  
34..... 6693

**6 CFR**

421..... 6693  
427..... 6693

**7 CFR**

68..... 6699  
725..... 6704  
908..... 6704  
910..... 6705  
915..... 6705  
1133..... 6705

**PROPOSED RULES:**

981..... 6717  
1005..... 6717

**9 CFR**

78..... 6706

**10 CFR**

112..... 6707

**14 CFR**

302..... 6708  
608 (2 documents)..... 6708, 6709

**16 CFR**

13 (2 documents)..... 6709

**21 CFR**

121 (5 documents)..... 6710-6713

**32 CFR**

543..... 6713

**36 CFR**

27..... 6714

**43 CFR**

149..... 6715

**47 CFR**

3..... 6718

**PROPOSED RULES:**

49 CFR

61..... 6716  
195..... 6716

**PROPOSED RULES:**

142..... 6718

**Announcing: Volume 75**

**UNITED STATES STATUTES AT LARGE**

[87th Cong., 1st Sess.]

Contains laws and concurrent resolutions enacted by the Congress during 1961, reorganization plans, amendment to the Constitution, and Presidential proclamations

Price: \$8.00

Published by Office of the Federal Register, National Archives and Records Service, General Services Administration

Order from Superintendent of Documents, Government Printing Office, Washington 25, D.C.



Telephone

WOrth 3-3261

Published daily, except Sundays, Mondays, and days following official Federal holidays, by the Office of the Federal Register, National Archives and Records Service, General Services Administration, pursuant to the authority contained in the Federal Register Act, approved July 26, 1935 (49 Stat. 500, as amended; 44 U.S.C., ch. 8B), under regulations prescribed by the Administrative Committee of the Federal Register, approved by the President. Distribution is made only by the Superintendent of Documents, Government Printing Office, Washington 25, D.C.

The FEDERAL REGISTER will be furnished by mail to subscribers, free of postage, for \$1.50 per month or \$15.00 per year, payable in advance. The charge for individual copies (minimum 15 cents) varies in proportion to the size of the issue. Remit check or money order, made payable to the Superintendent of Documents, directly to the Government Printing Office, Washington 25, D.C.

The regulatory material appearing herein is keyed to the CODE OF FEDERAL REGULATIONS, which is published, under 50 titles, pursuant to section 11 of the Federal Register Act, as amended August 5, 1953. The CODE OF FEDERAL REGULATIONS is sold by the Superintendent of Documents. Prices of books and pocket supplements vary.

There are no restrictions on the republication of material appearing in the FEDERAL REGISTER, or the CODE OF FEDERAL REGULATIONS.

# Rules and Regulations

## Title 5—ADMINISTRATIVE PERSONNEL

### Chapter I—Civil Service Commission

#### PART 6—EXCEPTIONS FROM THE COMPETITIVE SERVICE

##### Post Office Department

Effective upon publication in the FEDERAL REGISTER, subparagraph (10) is added to paragraph (b) of § 6.309 and subparagraph (3) of paragraph (h) is amended as set out below.

##### § 6.309 Post Office Department.

(b) *Bureau of Facilities.* \* \* \*

(10) One Assistant to the Special Assistant to the Assistant Postmaster General.

(h) *Office of the Deputy Postmaster General.* \* \* \*

(3) One Confidential Administrative Assistant to the Deputy Postmaster General.

(R.S. 1753, sec. 2, 22 Stat. 403, as amended; 5 U.S.C. 631, 633)

UNITED STATES CIVIL SERVICE COMMISSION,

[SEAL] MARY V. WENZEL,  
*Executive Assistant to the Commissioners.*

[F.R. Doc. 62-6893; Filed, July 13, 1962; 8:53 a.m.]

#### PART 6—EXCEPTIONS FROM THE COMPETITIVE SERVICE

##### Department of Commerce

Effective upon publication in the FEDERAL REGISTER, subparagraph (40) is added to paragraph (a) of § 6.312 as set out below.

##### § 6.312 Department of Commerce.

(a) *Office of the Secretary* \* \* \*

(40) One National Export Expansion Coordinator.

(R.S. 1753, sec. 2, 22 Stat. 403, as amended; 5 U.S.C. 631, 633)

UNITED STATES CIVIL SERVICE COMMISSION,

[SEAL] MARY V. WENZEL,  
*Executive Assistant to the Commissioners.*

[F.R. Doc. 62-6904; Filed, July 13, 1962; 8:56 a.m.]

#### PART 34—APPOINTMENT, COMPENSATION, AND REMOVAL OF HEARING EXAMINERS

##### Utilization of Examiners of Other Agencies

Section 34.13 is amended as set out below.

##### § 34.13 Utilization of examiners of other agencies.

At the request of agencies that are occasionally or temporarily insufficiently staffed, the Commission will provide for the temporarily utilization by those agencies of the services of hearing examiners of other agencies. The Commission shall, with the consent of the agencies in which the hearing examiners are employed, select the examiners to be utilized, and shall specify the date on which the examiners are to be made available to the agency in need of their services.

(Sec. 11, 60 Stat. 244, as amended; 5 U.S.C. 1010)

UNITED STATES CIVIL SERVICE COMMISSION,

[SEAL] MARY V. WENZEL,  
*Executive Assistant to the Commissioners.*

[F.R. Doc. 62-6892; Filed, July 13, 1962; 8:53 a.m.]

## Title 6—AGRICULTURAL CREDIT

### Chapter IV—Commodity Credit Corporation, Department of Agriculture

#### SUBCHAPTER B—LOANS, PURCHASES, AND OTHER OPERATIONS

[1962 C.C.C. Grain Price Support Bulletin 1, Supp. 1, Grain Sorghums]

#### PART 421—GRAINS AND RELATED COMMODITIES

##### Subpart—1962-Crop Grain Sorghums Loan and Purchase Agreement Program

###### Correction

In F.R. Doc. 62-6748 appearing at page 6463 of the issue for Tuesday, July 10, 1962, the following changes are made:

1. In § 421.1403(a), the last word in the seventh line should read "former" instead of "farmer".

2. In § 421.1411(h)(3) the language "pur-" should be deleted.

3. In § 421.1412(c)(2), the word "through" in the eighth line should read "though".

[1962 C.C.C. Cotton Bulletin 1, Amdt. 1]

#### PART 427—COTTON

##### Subpart—1962 Cotton Loan Program Regulations

###### SCHEDULE OF BASE LOAN RATES FOR UPLAND COTTON

The 1962 Cotton Loan Program Regulations (27 F.R. 6530) are hereby amended by adding § 427.1330 to read as follows:

##### § 427.1330 Base loan rates by warehouse locations.

The base loan rates, in cents per pound, gross weight applicable to Middling white 1-inch upland cotton are as follows:

ALABAMA	Basis Middling white inch loan rate
City and county	
Abbeville, Henry	33.03
Akron, Hale	32.93
Albertville, Marshall	33.13
Alexander City, Tallapoosa	33.23
Aliceville, Pickens	32.83
Altoona, Etowah	33.23
Andalusia, Covington	32.93
Anniston, Calhoun	33.23
Arab, Marshall	33.13
Ardmore, Limestone	32.93
Ashford, Houston	33.03
Ashland, Clay	33.23
Athens, Limestone	32.93
Atmore, Escambia	32.83
Attalla, Etowah	33.23
Auburn, Lee	33.23
Banks, Pike	33.03
Bankston, Fayette	32.93
Belk, Fayette	32.93
Berry, Fayette	32.93
Bessemer, Jefferson	33.03
Birmingham, Jefferson	33.03
Blountsville, Blount	33.13
Boaz, Marshall	33.13
Boligee, Greene	32.83
Brantley, Crenshaw	32.93
Brantley, Dallas	32.93
Brent, Bibb	33.03
Brewton, Escambia	32.83
Bridgeport, Jackson	33.03
Brownstown, Jackson	33.03
Brundidge, Pike	33.03
Butler, Choctaw	32.83
Camden, Wilcox	32.83
Camp Hill, Tallapoosa	33.23
Carbon Hill, Walker	32.93
Carrollton, Pickens	32.83
Centre, Cherokee	33.23
Centreville, Bibb	33.03
Chavies, De Kalb	33.13
Childersburg, Talladega	33.23
Clanton, Chilton	33.03
Clayton, Barbour	33.13
Clio, Barbour	33.13
Collinsville, De Kalb	33.13
Columbia, Houston	33.03
Columbiana, Shelby	33.13
Cooper, Chilton	33.03
Cordova, Walker	32.93
Cottonwood, Houston	33.03
Courtland, Lawrence	32.93
Crossville, De Kalb	33.13
Cullman, Cullman	33.03
Dadeville, Tallapoosa	33.23
Dancy, Pickens	32.83
Deatsville, Elmore	33.13
Decatur, Morgan	33.03
Demopolis, Marengo	32.83
Detroit, Lamar	32.83
Dothan, Houston	33.03
Dozier, Crenshaw	32.93
Dutton, Jackson	33.03
Eclectic, Elmore	33.13
Elba, Coffee	33.03
Elkmont, Limestone	32.93
Enterprise, Coffee	33.03
Ethelsville, Pickens	32.83
Eufaula, Barbour	33.13
Eutaw, Greene	32.83
Evergreen, Conecuh	32.83
Fackler, Jackson	33.03
Fadette, Geneva	33.03

RULES AND REGULATIONS

ALABAMA—Continued

ALABAMA—Continued

ARKANSAS—Continued

City and county	Basis Middling white inch loan rate
Faunsdale, Marengo	32.83
Fayette, Fayette	32.93
Flat Rock, Jackson	33.03
Floral, Covington	32.93
Florence, Lauderdale	32.83
Fort Deposit, Lowndes	32.93
Fort Payne, De Kalb	33.13
Frisco City, Monroe	32.83
Fyffe, De Kalb	33.13
Gadsden, Etowah	33.23
Gantt, Covington	32.93
Geneva, Geneva	33.03
Georgiana, Butler	32.93
Geraldine, De Kalb	33.13
Glen Allen, Fayette	32.93
Good Water, Coosa	33.13
Goodway, Monroe	32.83
Gordo, Pickens	32.83
Goshen, Pike	33.03
Greensboro, Hale	32.93
Greenville, Butler	32.93
Grove Hill, Clarke	32.83
Guin, Marion	32.83
Guntersville, Marshall	33.13
Hackleburg, Marion	32.83
Haleyville, Winston	32.93
Hamilton, Marion	32.83
Hanceville, Cullman	33.03
Hartford, Geneva	33.03
Hartselle, Morgan	33.03
Havana Junction, Hale	32.93
Headland, Henry	33.03
Heflin, Cleburne	33.23
Henagar, De Kalb	33.13
Hodges, Franklin	32.83
Hodgesville, Houston	33.03
Hollywood, Jackson	33.03
Huntsville, Madison	33.03
Hurtsboro, Russell	33.23
Ider, De Kalb	33.13
Jacksonville, Calhoun	33.23
Jasper, Walker	32.93
Jemison, Chilton	33.03
Kennedy, Lamar	32.83
Lafayette, Chambers	33.23
Larkinsville, Jackson	33.03
Leighton, Colbert	32.83
Lester, Limestone	32.93
Linden, Marengo	32.83
Lineville, Clay	33.23
Livingston, Sumter	32.83
Lockhart, Covington	32.93
Louisville, Barbour	33.13
Luverne, Crenshaw	32.93
McCullough, Escambia	32.83
McKenzie, Butler	32.93
Madison, Madison	33.03
Malvern, Geneva	33.03
Maplesville, Chilton	33.03
Marion, Perry	32.93
Millers Ferry, Wilcox	32.83
Millport, Lamar	32.83
Mobile, Mobile	32.74
Monroeville, Monroe	32.83
Montevallo, Shelby	33.13
Montgomery, Montgomery	33.03
Moore's Bridge, Tuscaloosa	32.93
Moore's Valley, Wilcox	32.83
Moulton, Lawrence	32.93
Moundville, Hale	32.93
Newbern, Hale	32.93
New Brockton, Coffee	33.03
New Hope, Madison	33.03
Newville, Henry	33.03
Northport, Tuscaloosa	32.93
Notasulga, Macon	33.13
Oakman, Walker	32.93
Oneonta, Blount	33.13
Opelika, Lee	33.23
Opp, Covington	32.93
Ozark, Dale	33.03
Panola, Sumter	32.83
Pell City, St. Clair	33.13
Peterman, Monroe	32.83
Phil Campbell, Franklin	32.83

City and county	Basis Middling white inch loan rate
Pickensville, Pickens	32.83
Pinckard, Dale	33.03
Pine Hill, Wilcox	32.83
Pisgah, Jackson	33.03
Pollard, Escambia	32.83
Prattville, Autauga	33.03
Ralph, Tuscaloosa	32.93
Red Bay, Franklin	32.83
Red Level, Covington	32.93
Reform, Pickens	32.83
Repton, Conecuh	32.83
Roanoke, Randolph	33.23
Rogersville, Lauderdale	32.83
Russellville, Franklin	32.83
Samantha, Tuscaloosa	32.93
Samson, Geneva	33.03
Scottsboro, Jackson	33.03
Section, Jackson	33.03
Selma, Dallas	32.93
Sheffield, Colbert	32.83
Slocumb, Geneva	33.03
Stevenson, Jackson	33.03
Stewart, Hale	32.93
Sulligent, Lamar	32.83
Sweet Water, Marengo	32.83
Sylacauga, Talladega	33.23
Sylvania, De Kalb	33.13
Talladega, Talladega	33.23
Tallassee, Elmore	33.13
Thomasville, Clarke	32.83
Thorsby, Chilton	33.03
Troy, Pike	33.03
Tuscaloosa, Tuscaloosa	32.93
Tuscumbia, Colbert	32.83
Tuskegee, Macon	33.13
Union Springs, Bullock	33.13
Uniontown, Perry	32.93
Vernon, Lamar	32.83
Vina, Franklin	32.83
Wadley, Randolph	33.23
Warrior, Jefferson	33.03
Webb, Houston	33.03
Wetumpka, Elmore	33.13
Wilsonville, Shelby	33.13
Winfield, Marion	32.83
Woodville, Jackson	33.03
York, Sumter	32.83

ARIZONA

Amado, Santa Cruz	31.70
Buckeye, Maricopa	31.70
Casa Grande, Pinal	31.70
Chandler, Maricopa	31.70
Coolidge, Pinal	31.70
Eloy, Pinal	31.70
Gilbert, Maricopa	31.70
Litchfield Park, Maricopa	31.70
McMicken, Maricopa	31.70
Marana, Pima	31.70
Phoenix, Maricopa	31.70
Picacho, Pinal	31.70
Safford, Graham	32.02
Sahuarita, Pima	31.70
Willcox, Cochise	32.02
Yuma, Yuma	31.70

ARKANSAS

Arkadelphia, Clark	32.63
Ashdown, Little River	32.55
Batesville, Independence	32.63
Blytheville, Mississippi	32.68
Boughton, Nevada	32.55
Bradley, Lafayette	32.55
Brinkley, Monroe	32.68
Camden, Ouachita	32.55
Clarendon, Monroe	32.68
Conway, Faulkner	32.63
Cotton Plant, Woodruff	32.68
Dardanelle, Yell	32.63
Dell, Mississippi	32.68
Dumas, Desha	32.66
Earle, Crittenden	32.68
England, Lonoke	32.66
Eudora, Chicot	32.65
Evadale, Mississippi	32.68

City and county	Basis Middling white inch loan rate
Fordyce, Dallas	32.63
Forrest City, St. Francis	32.68
Fort Smith, Sebastian	32.55
Gurdon, Clark	32.63
Harrisburg, Poinsett	32.68
Helena, Phillips	32.68
Hope, Hempstead	32.55
Hughes, St. Francis	32.68
Hulbert, Crittenden	32.71
Jacksonville, Pulaski	32.66
Jonesboro, Craighead	32.68
Junction City, Union	32.55
Leachville, Mississippi	32.68
Lepanto, Poinsett	32.68
Little Rock, Pulaski	32.66
Lonoke, Lonoke	32.66
McCrary, Woodruff	32.68
McGehee, Desha	32.66
Magnolia, Columbia	32.55
Malvern, Hot Springs	32.63
Marianna, Lee	32.68
Marked Tree, Poinsett	32.68
Marvell, Phillips	32.68
Morrilton, Conway	32.63
Nashville, Howard	32.55
Newport, Jackson	32.66
North Little Rock, Pulaski	32.66
Osceola, Mississippi	32.68
Paragould, Greene	32.68
Pine Bluff, Jefferson	32.66
Portland, Ashley	32.63
Prescott, Nevada	32.55
Russellville, Pope	32.63
Searcy, White	32.66
Sparkman, Dallas	32.55
Trumann, Poinsett	32.68
Waldo, Columbia	32.55
Walnut Ridge, Lawrence	32.66
Warren, Bradley	32.63
West Helena, Phillips	32.68
West Memphis, Crittenden	32.71
Wilson, Mississippi	32.68
Wynne, Cross	32.68

CALIFORNIA

Arvin, Kern	31.70
Bakersfield, Kern	31.70
Brawley, Imperial	31.70
Buttonwillow, Kern	31.70
Calico, Kern	31.70
Caruthers, Fresno	31.70
Chowchilla, Madera	31.70
Coalinga, Fresno	31.70
Corcoran, Kings	31.70
El Centro, Imperial	31.70
Firebaugh, Fresno	31.70
Five Points, Fresno	31.70
Fresno, Fresno	31.70
Hanford, Kings	31.70
Helm, Fresno	31.70
Huron, Fresno	31.70
Kerman, Fresno	31.70
Kingsburg, Fresno	31.70
Locke, Sacramento	31.70
Los Angeles, Los Angeles	31.70
McFarland, Kern	31.70
Madera, Madera	31.70
Milpitas, Santa Clara	31.70
Oakland, Alameda	31.70
Pinedale, Fresno	31.70
Pond, Kern	31.70
Reedley, Fresno	31.70
Richmond, Contra Costa	31.70
San Diego, San Diego	31.70
San Francisco, San Francisco	31.70
San Joaquin, Fresno	31.70
San Jose, Santa Clara	31.70
San Pedro, Los Angeles	31.70
Selma, Fresno	31.70
Stockton, San Joaquin	31.70
Stratford, Kings	31.70
Tipton, Tulare	31.70
Tranquility, Fresno	31.70
Tulare, Tulare	31.70
Visalia, Tulare	31.70

**FLORIDA**

City and county	Basis Middling white inch loan rate
Graceville, Jackson	33.03
Jay, Santa Rosa	32.74
Malone, Jackson	33.03
Pensacola, Escambia	32.74

**GEORGIA**

Abbeville, Wilcox	33.23
Adairsville, Bartow	33.33
Adel, Cook	33.13
Adrian, Emanuel	33.33
Alamo, Wheeler	33.23
Albany, Dougherty	33.23
Allentown, Wilkinson	33.33
Alma, Bacon	33.23
Alvaton, Meriwether	33.33
Ambrose, Coffee	33.23
Americus, Sumter	33.23
Arabi, Crisp	33.23
Arlington, Calhoun	33.13
Ashburn, Turner	33.23
Athens, Clarke	33.43
Atlanta, Fulton	33.33
Augusta, Richmond	33.43
Bainbridge, Decatur	33.13
Barnesville, Lamar	33.32
Bartow, Jefferson	33.33
Baxley, Appling	33.23
Bellville, Evans	33.23
Bishop, Oconee	33.43
Blackshear, Pierce	33.13
Blakely, Early	33.13
Braselton, Jackson	33.43
Bronwood, Terrell	33.23
Brookfield, Tift	33.23
Brooklet, Bulloch	33.33
Brunswick, Glynn	33.13
Buchanan, Haralson	33.33
Buena Vista, Marion	33.33
Buford, Gwinnett	33.33
Butler, Taylor	33.33
Byromville, Dooly	33.23
Cadwell, Laurens	33.33
Cairo, Grady	33.13
Calhoun, Gordon	33.33
Camilla, Mitchell	33.13
Canon, Franklin	33.43
Carnegie, Randolph	33.13
Carrollton, Carroll	33.33
Cartersville, Bartow	33.33
Cedartown, Polk	33.33
Chamblee, De Kalb	33.33
Chauncey, Dodge	33.33
Chester, Dodge	33.33
Claxton, Evans	33.23
Cochran, Bleckley	33.33
Coleman, Randolph	33.13
Colquitt, Miller	33.13
Columbus, Muscogee	33.33
Comer, Madison	33.43
Commerce, Jackson	33.43
Concord, Pike	33.33
Conyers, Rockdale	33.33
Cordele, Crisp	33.23
Coverdale, Turner	33.23
Covington, Newton	33.33
Culloden, Monroe	33.33
Cuthbert, Randolph	33.13
Dallas, Paulding	33.33
Dalton, Whitfield	33.33
Davisboro, Washington	33.33
Dawson, Terrell	33.23
Dexter, Laurens	33.33
Doerun, Colquitt	33.13
Donalsonville, Seminole	33.13
Douglas, Coffee	33.23
Douglasville, Douglas	33.33
Dublin, Laurens	33.33
Dudley, Laurens	33.33
Eastman, Dodge	33.33
East Point, Fulton	33.33
Eatonton, Putnam	33.33
Edison, Calhoun	33.13
Elberton, Elbert	33.43
Ellaville, Schley	33.33
Fairburn, Fulton	33.33
Farrar, Jasper	33.33
Fayetteville, Fayette	33.33

**GEORGIA—Continued**

City and county	Basis Middling white inch loan rate
Findlay, Dooly	33.23
Fitzgerald, Ben Hill	33.23
Forsyth, Monroe	33.33
Fort Gains, Clay	33.13
Fort Valley, Peach	33.33
Franklinton, Bibb	33.33
Gainesville, Hall	33.43
Garfield, Emanuel	33.33
Gay, Meriwether	33.33
Glennville, Tattnall	33.23
Grantville, Coweta	33.33
Graymont, Emanuel	33.33
Greensboro, Greene	33.43
Greenville, Meriwether	33.33
Gresston, Dodge	33.33
Griffin, Spalding	33.33
Haralson, Coweta	33.33
Harrison, Washington	33.33
Hartsfield, Colquitt	33.13
Hartwell, Hart	33.43
Hawkinsville, Pulaski	33.33
Hazlehurst, Jefferson Davis	33.23
Hogansville, Troup	33.33
Hollonville, Pike	33.33
Ideal, Macon	33.33
Jackson, Butts	33.33
Jefferson, Jackson	33.43
Jeffersonville, Twiggs	33.33
Jesup, Wayne	33.23
Jonesboro, Clayton	33.33
Kelly, Jasper	33.33
Kingston, Bartow	33.33
Kite, Johnson	33.33
Lafayette, Walker	33.33
La Grange, Troup	33.33
Lavonia, Franklin	33.43
Lawrenceville, Gwinnett	33.33
Leary, Calhoun	33.13
Leesburg, Lee	33.23
Lenox, Cook	33.13
Leslie, Sumter	33.23
Lilly, Dooly	33.23
Lincolnton, Lincoln	33.43
Locust Grove, Henry	33.33
Loganville, Walton	33.33
Louisville, Jefferson	33.33
Lumpkin, Stewart	33.23
Luthersville, Meriwether	33.33
Lyerly, Chattooga	33.33
Lyons, Toombs	33.23
McDonough, Henry	33.33
McRae, Telfair	33.23
Macon, Bibb	33.33
Madison, Morgan	33.33
Manchester, Meriwether	33.33
Mansfield, Newton	33.33
Marietta, Cobb	33.33
Marshallville, Macon	33.33
Meansville, Pike	33.33
Meigs, Thomas	33.13
Metter, Candler	33.33
Midville, Burke	33.33
Milan, Telfair	33.23
Milledgeville, Baldwin	33.33
Millen, Jenkins	33.33
Monroe, Walton	33.33
Montezuma, Macon	33.33
Monticello, Jasper	33.33
Montrose, Laurens	33.33
Moreland, Coweta	33.33
Morven, Brooks	33.13
Moultrie, Colquitt	33.13
Newborn, Newton	33.33
Newnan, Coweta	33.33
Norman Park, Colquitt	33.13
Ochlochnee, Thomas	33.13
Ocilla, Irwin	33.23
Oglethorpe, Macon	33.33
Omega, Tift	33.23
Orchard Hill, Spalding	33.33
Palmetto, Fulton	33.33
Parrott, Terrell	33.23
Pelham, Mitchell	33.13
Perry, Houston	33.33
Pinehurst, Dooly	33.23
Pinelog, Bartow	33.33
Pine Mountain, Harris	33.33

**GEORGIA—Continued**

City and county	Basis Middling white inch loan rate
Pineview, Wilcox	33.23
Pitts, Wilcox	33.23
Plains, Sumter	33.23
Portal, Bulloch	33.33
Pulaski, Candler	33.33
Quitman, Brooks	33.13
Rebecca, Turner	33.23
Red Oak, Fulton	33.33
Rentz, Laurens	33.33
Reynolds, Taylor	33.33
Rhine, Dodge	33.33
Richland, Stewart	33.23
Roberta, Crawford	33.33
Rochelle, Wilcox	33.23
Rockmart, Polk	33.33
Rocky Ford, Screven	33.33
Rome, Floyd	33.33
Royston, Franklin	33.43
Rutledge, Morgan	33.33
Sandersville, Washington	33.33
Sasser, Terrell	33.23
Savannah, Chatham	33.33
Scotland, Telfair	33.23
Senola, Coweta	33.33
Shady Dale, Jasper	33.33
Sharpsburg, Coweta	33.33
Shellman, Randolph	33.13
Shingler, Worth	33.23
Social Circle, Walton	33.33
Soperton, Treutlen	33.33
Sparta, Hancock	33.33
Statesboro, Bulloch	33.33
Summit, Emanuel	33.33
Swainsboro, Emanuel	33.33
Sycamore, Turner	33.23
Sylvania, Screven	33.33
Sylvester, Worth	33.23
Tallapoosa, Haralson	33.33
Tailorsville, Bartow	33.33
Temple, Carroll	33.33
Tennille, Washington	33.33
Thomaston, Upson	33.33
Thomson, McDuffie	33.43
Tifton, Tift	33.23
Tignall, Wilkes	33.43
Toccoa, Stephens	33.43
Turin, Coweta	33.33
Twin City, Emanuel	33.33
Tyrone, Fayette	33.33
Unadilla, Dooly	33.23
Uvalda, Montgomery	33.23
Valdosta, Lowndes	33.13
Vidalia, Toombs	33.23
Vienna, Dooly	33.23
Villa Rica, Carroll	33.33
Wadley, Jefferson	33.33
Warrenton, Warren	33.43
Warwick, Worth	33.23
Washington, Wilkes	33.43
Watkinsville, Oconee	33.43
Waynesboro, Burke	33.33
West Point, Troup	33.33
Williamson, Pike	33.33
Winder, Barrow	33.43
Woodbury, Meriwether	33.33
Woodland, Talbot	33.33
Wrens, Jefferson	33.33
Wrightsville, Johnson	33.33
Yatesville, Upson	33.33
Zebulon, Pike	33.33

**ILLINOIS**

Cairo, Alexander	32.69
------------------	-------

**LOUISIANA**

Alexandria, Rapides	32.55
Arcadia, Bienville	32.55
Bernice, Union	32.55
Bryceland, Bienville	32.55
Bunkie, Avoyelles	32.55
Chatham, Jackson	32.55
Choudrant, Lincoln	32.63
Coushatta, Red River	32.55
Delhi, Richland	32.64
Dubach, Lincoln	32.55
Eunice, St. Landry	32.55

RULES AND REGULATIONS

LOUISIANA—Continued

City and county	Basis Middling white inch loan rate
Farmerville, Union	32.63
Ferriday, Concordia	32.65
Franklinton, Washington	32.69
Gibbsland, Bienville	32.55
Gretna, Jefferson	32.69
Haynesville, Claiborne	32.55
Homer, Claiborne	32.55
Jonesboro, Jackson	32.55
Lake Charles, Calcasieu	32.55
Lake Providence, East Carroll	32.65
Leesville, Vernon	32.55
Logansport, De Soto	32.55
Mansfield, De Soto	32.55
Marion, Union	32.63
Minden, Webster	32.55
Monroe, Ouachita	32.63
Natchitoches, Natchitoches	32.55
Newellton, Tensas	32.65
New Orleans, Orleans	32.69
Oak Grove, West Carroll	32.64
Opelousas, St. Landry	32.55
Plain Dealing, Bossier	32.55
Port Allen, West Baton Rouge	32.56
Rayville, Richland	32.63
Ringgold, Bienville	32.55
Ruston, Lincoln	32.63
Shreveport, Caddo	32.55
Springhill, Webster	32.55
Tallulah, Madison	32.65
Westwego, Jefferson	32.69
Winnsboro, Franklin	32.63

MISSISSIPPI

Aberdeen, Monroe	32.74
Amory, Monroe	32.74
Batesville, Panola	32.74
Belmont, Tishomingo	32.74
Belzoni, Humphreys	32.69
Booneville, Prentiss	32.74
Brookhaven, Lincoln	32.71
Canton, Madison	32.74
Carthage, Leake	32.74
Clarksdale, Coahoma	32.69
Cleveland, Bolivar	32.69
Coffeeville, Yalobusha	32.74
Columbia, Marion	32.71
Columbus, Lowndes	32.74
Como, Panola	32.74
Corinth, Alcorn	32.74
Crystal Springs, Copiah	32.71
Drew, Sunflower	32.69
Durant, Holmes	32.74
Flora, Madison	32.69
Forest, Scott	32.71
Gloster, Amite	32.69
Goodman, Holmes	32.74
Greenville, Washington	32.69
Greenwood, Leflore	32.69
Grenada, Grenada	32.74
Gulfport, Harrison	32.69
Hattiesburg, Forrest	32.71
Hollandale, Washington	32.69
Holly Springs, Marshall	32.74
Houston, Chickasaw	32.74
Indianola, Sunflower	32.69
Inverness, Sunflower	32.69
Itta Bena, Leflore	32.69
Jackson, Hinds	32.71
Kosciusko, Attala	32.74
Laurel, Jones	32.71
Leland, Washington	32.69
Lexington, Holmes	32.69
Liberty, Amite	32.69
Louisville, Winston	32.74
McComb, Pike	32.71
Macon, Noxubee	32.74
Magee, Simpson	32.71
Magnolia, Pike	32.71
Marks, Quitman	32.69
Meridian, Lauderdale	32.74
Mount Olive, Covington	32.71
Natchez, Adams	32.69
New Albany, Union	32.74
Newton, Newton	32.71
Okolona, Chickasaw	32.74
Oxford, Lafayette	32.74

MISSISSIPPI—Continued

City and county	Basis Middling white inch loan rate
Philadelphia, Neshoba	32.74
Pontotoc, Pontotoc	32.74
Port Gibson, Claiborne	32.69
Prentiss, Jefferson Davis	32.71
Quitman, Clarke	32.71
Ripley, Tippah	32.74
Rolling Fork, Sharkey	32.69
Rosedale, Bolivar	32.69
Ruleville, Sunflower	32.69
Shaw, Bolivar	32.69
Shelby, Bolivar	32.69
Shuqualak, Noxubee	32.74
Sledge, Quitman	32.69
Summit, Pike	32.71
Tunica, Tunica	32.69
Tupelo, Lee	32.74
Tutwiler, Tallahatchie	32.69
Tylertown, Walthall	32.71
Union, Newton	32.74
Vicksburg, Warren	32.69
Water Valley, Yalobusha	32.74
Wesson, Copiah	32.71
West Point, Clay	32.74
Yazoo City, Yazoo	32.69

MISSOURI

Arbyrd, Dunklin	32.68
Caruthersville, Pemiscot	32.68
Charleston, Mississippi	32.66
Gideon, New Madrid	32.66
Hayti, Pemiscot	32.68
Kennett, Dunklin	32.66
Lilbourn, New Madrid	32.66
Malden, Dunklin	32.66
Neosho, Newton	32.55
Portageville, New Madrid	32.68
Sikeston, Scott	32.66

NEVADA

Arden, Clark	31.70
--------------	-------

NEW MEXICO

Animas, Hidalgo	32.12
Artesia, Eddy	32.27
Carlsbad, Eddy	32.27
Deming, Luna	32.20
Hobbs, Lea	32.35
Las Cruces, Dona Ana	32.26
Lordsburg, Hidalgo	32.12
Lovington, Lea	32.35
Mesquite, Dona Ana	32.26
Roswell, Chaves	32.27
Socorro, Socorro	32.26

NORTH CAROLINA

Avondale, Rutherford	33.51
Battleboro, Nash	33.43
Benson, Johnston	33.43
Bessemer City, Gaston	33.51
Bethel, Pitt	33.43
Bladenboro, Bladen	33.43
Bostic, Rutherford	33.51
Butner, Granville	33.43
Candor, Montgomery	33.51
Carthage, Moore	33.51
Charlotte, Mecklenburg	33.51
Cherryville, Gaston	33.51
Clayton, Johnston	33.43
Clinton, Sampson	33.43
Columbus, Polk	33.51
Concord, Cabarrus	33.51
Conway, Northampton	33.43
Dallas, Gaston	33.51
Dunn, Harnett	33.43
Durham, Durham	33.51
Edenton, Chowan	33.43
Elizabeth City, Pasquotank	33.43
Enfield, Halifax	33.43
Farmville, Pitt	33.43
Fayetteville, Cumberland	33.43
Forest City, Rutherford	33.51
Franklinton, Franklin	33.43
Gastonia, Gaston	33.51
Godwin, Cumberland	33.43
Goldsboro, Wayne	33.43
Greensboro, Guilford	33.51
Gumberry, Northampton	33.43

NORTH CAROLINA—Continued

City and county	Basis Middling white inch loan rate
Harris, Rutherford	33.51
Henderson, Vance	33.43
Hickory, Catawba	33.51
High Point, Guilford	33.51
Hope Mills, Cumberland	33.43
Jackson, Northampton	33.43
Kings Mountain, Cleveland	33.51
Kinston, Lenoir	33.43
La Grange, Lenoir	33.43
Laurel Hill, Scotland	33.43
Laurinburg, Scotland	33.43
Lewiston, Bertie	33.43
Lilesville, Anson	33.51
Lincolnton, Lincoln	33.51
Littleton Halifax	33.43
Louisburg, Franklin	33.43
Lumberton, Robeson	33.43
Marshville, Union	33.51
Matthews, Mecklenburg	33.51
Maxton, Robeson	33.43
Monroe, Union	33.51
Mooreville, Iredell	33.51
Morven, Anson	33.51
Mount Gilead, Montgomery	33.51
Mount Olive, Wayne	33.43
Murfreesboro, Hertford	33.43
Nashville, Nash	33.43
Newton, Catawba	33.51
Norlina, Warren	33.43
Parkton, Robeson	33.43
Pates, Robeson	33.43
Pembroke, Robeson	33.43
Pikeville, Wayne	33.43
Pinetops, Edgecombe	33.43
Raeford, Hoke	33.43
Raleigh, Wake	33.43
Ranlo, Gaston	33.51
Red Springs, Robeson	33.43
Reidsville, Rockingham	33.51
Rich Square, Northampton	33.43
Roanoke Rapids, Halifax	33.43
Rockingham, Richmond	33.51
Rocky Mount, Edgecombe	33.43
Rowland, Robeson	33.43
Rutherfordton, Rutherford	33.51
Saint Pauls, Robeson	33.43
Salisbury, Rowan	33.51
Sanford, Lee	33.51
Scotland Neck, Halifax	33.43
Seaboard, Northampton	33.43
Selma, Johnston	33.43
Severn, Northampton	33.43
Shelby, Cleveland	33.51
Smithfield, Johnston	33.43
Southern Pines, Moore	33.51
Spring Hope, Nash	33.43
Stantonsburg, Wilson	33.43
Statesville, Iredell	33.51
Tarboro, Edgecombe	33.43
Wadesboro, Anson	33.51
Wagram, Scotland	33.43
Wake Forest, Wake	33.43
Warrenton, Warren	33.43
Washington, Beaufort	33.43
Weldon, Halifax	33.43
Williamston, Martin	33.43
Wilmington, New Hanover	33.43
Wilson, Wilson	33.43
Wingate, Union	33.51
Woodland, Northampton	33.43

OKLAHOMA

Ada, Pontotoc	32.55
Altus, Jackson	32.47
Anadarko, Caddo	32.47
Ardmore, Carter	32.55
Carnegie, Caddo	32.47
Carter, Beckham	32.47
Chandler, Lincoln	32.47
Chickasha, Grady	32.47
Clinton, Custer	32.47
Cushing, Payne	32.55
Durant, Bryan	32.55
Eakly, Caddo	32.47
Elk City, Beckham	32.47
Enid, Garfield	32.47
Erick, Beckham	32.47

OKLAHOMA—Continued

City and county	Basis Midding white inch loan rate
Foss, Washita	32.47
Frederick, Tillman	32.47
Guthrie, Logan	32.47
Hobart, Kiowa	32.47
Hugo, Choctaw	32.55
Idabel, McCurtain	32.55
Konawa, Seminole	32.55
Lawton, Comanche	32.47
Lone Wolf, Kiowa	32.47
McAlester, Pittsburg	32.55
Mangum, Greer	32.47
Marlow, Stephens	32.47
Mountain View, Kiowa	32.47
Muskogee, Muskogee	32.55
Oklahoma City, Oklahoma	32.47
Pauls Valley, Garvin	32.47
Purcell, McClain	32.47
Ryan, Jefferson	32.47
Sentinel, Washita	32.47
Shawnee, Pottawatomie	32.55
Snyder, Kiowa	32.47
Stroud, Lincoln	32.55
Tipton, Tillman	32.47
Waurika, Jefferson	32.47
Weleetka, Okfuskee	32.55
Wynne Wood, Garvin	32.47

SOUTH CAROLINA

Abbeville, Abbeville	33.51
Aiken, Aiken	33.51
Allendale, Allendale	33.43
Anderson, Anderson	33.51
Andrews, Georgetown	33.43
Angelus, Chesterfield	33.51
Ashwood, Lee	33.43
Atkins, Lee	33.43
Bamberg, Bamberg	33.43
Barnwell, Barnwell	33.43
Batesburg, Lexington	33.51
Belton, Anderson	33.51
Bennettsville, Marlboro	33.43
Bethune, Kershaw	33.51
Bishopville, Lee	33.43
Blacksburg, Cherokee	33.51
Blackstock, Fairfield	33.51
Blackville, Barnwell	33.43
Blair, Fairfield	33.51
Blaney, Kershaw	33.51
Blenheim, Marlboro	33.43
Bowman, Orangeburg	33.43
Boykin, Kershaw	33.51
Branchville, Orangeburg	33.43
Brunson, Hampton	33.43
Calhoun Falls, Abbeville	33.51
Camden, Kershaw	33.51
Cameron, Calhoun	33.43
Campobello, Spartanburg	33.51
Carlisle, Union	33.51
Cartersville, Florence	33.43
Catawba, York	33.51
Catechee, Pickens	33.51
Centenary, Marion	33.43
Central, Pickens	33.51
Chappells, Newberry	33.51
Charleston, Charleston	33.43
Cheraw, Chesterfield	33.51
Chesnee, Spartanburg	33.51
Chester, Chester	33.51
Chesterfield, Chesterfield	33.51
Clinton, Laurens	33.51
Clio, Marlboro	33.43
Clover, York	33.51
Columbia, Richland	33.51
Conestee, Greenville	33.51
Cope, Orangeburg	33.43
Cordova, Orangeburg	33.43
Cowpens, Spartanburg	33.51
Crocketville, Hampton	33.43
Cross Anchor, Spartanburg	33.51
Cross Hill, Laurens	33.51
Dalzell, Sumter	33.43
Darlington, Darlington	33.43
Davis Station, Clarendon	33.43
Denmark, Bamberg	33.43
Dillon, Dillon	33.43
Drake, Marlboro	33.43

SOUTH CAROLINA—Continued

City and county	Basis Midding white inch loan rate
Due West, Abbeville	33.51
Dunbar, Marlboro	33.43
Dunbarton, Barnwell	33.43
Duncan, Spartanburg	33.51
Easley, Pickens	33.51
Edgefield, Edgefield	33.51
Ehrhardt, Bamberg	33.43
Elko, Barnwell	33.43
Ellenton, Aiken	33.51
Elliott, Lee	33.43
Elloree, Orangeburg	33.43
Enoree, Spartanburg	33.51
Estill, Hampton	33.43
Eureka, Aiken	33.51
Eutawville, Orangeburg	33.43
Fairfax, Allendale	33.43
Fairforest, Spartanburg	33.51
Fairmont, Spartanburg	33.51
Filbert, York	33.51
Fingerville, Spartanburg	33.51
Florence, Florence	33.43
Fountain Inn, Greenville	33.51
Gaffney, Cherokee	33.51
Garnett, Hampton	33.43
Gray Court, Laurens	33.51
Greeleyville, Williamsburg	33.43
Greenville, Greenville	33.51
Greenwood, Greenwood	33.51
Greer, Greenville	33.51
Hamer, Dillon	33.43
Hampton, Hampton	33.43
Hartsville, Chesterfield	33.51
Hartsville, Darlington	33.43
Heath Springs, Lancaster	33.51
Hemingway, Williamsburg	33.43
Hickory Grove, York	33.51
Holly Hill, Orangeburg	33.43
Honea Path, Anderson	33.51
Inman, Spartanburg	33.51
Iva, Anderson	33.51
Jefferson, Chesterfield	33.51
Jenkinsville, Fairfield	33.51
Johnsonville, Florence	33.43
Johnston, Edgefield	33.51
Jonesville, Union	33.51
Kershaw, Kershaw	33.51
Kings Creek, Cherokee	33.51
Kingstree, Williamsburg	33.43
Kline, Barnwell	33.43
Kollock, Marlboro	33.43
Lake City, Florence	33.43
Lake View, Dillon	33.43
Lamar, Darlington	33.43
Lancaster, Lancaster	33.51
Landrum, Spartanburg	33.51
Lanford, Laurens	33.51
Latta, Dillon	33.43
Laurens, Laurens	33.51
Leesville, Lexington	33.51
Lester, Marlboro	33.43
Liberty, Pickens	33.51
Little Rock, Dillon	33.43
Lowrys, Chester	33.51
Lugoff, Kershaw	33.51
Luray, Hampton	33.43
Lynchburg, Lee	33.43
McBee, Chesterfield	33.51
McColl, Marlboro	33.43
McCormick, McCormick	33.51
Manning, Clarendon	33.43
Marion, Marion	33.43
Mauldin, Greenville	33.51
Mayesville, Sumter	33.43
Mount Carmel, McCormick	33.51
Mount Croghan, Chesterfield	33.51
Mountville, Laurens	33.51
Mullins, Marion	33.43
Neeses, Orangeburg	33.43
Newberry, Newberry	33.51
Newry, Oconee	33.51
New Zion, Clarendon	33.43
Ninety Six, Greenwood	33.51
Norris, Pickens	33.51
North, Orangeburg	33.43
Norway, Orangeburg	33.43
Olanta, Florence	33.43
Olar, Bamberg	33.43

SOUTH CAROLINA—Continued

City and county	Basis Midding white inch loan rate
Orangeburg, Orangeburg	33.43
Oswego, Sumter	33.43
Owings, Laurens	33.51
Pageland, Chesterfield	33.51
Pamplico, Florence	33.43
Parksville, McCormick	33.51
Patrick, Chesterfield	33.51
Pelzer, Anderson	33.51
Pendleton, Anderson	33.51
Pickens, Pickens	33.51
Piedmont, Greenville	33.51
Pinewood, Sumter	33.43
Plum Branch, McCormick	33.51
Pomaria, Newberry	33.51
Princeton, Laurens	33.51
Prosperity, Newberry	33.51
Remini, Clarendon	33.43
Richburg, Chester	33.51
Ridge Spring, Saluda	33.51
Ridgeway, Fairfield	33.51
Rock Hill, York	33.51
Roebuck, Spartanburg	33.51
Rowesville, Orangeburg	33.43
Saint Matthews, Calhoun	33.43
Salley, Aiken	33.51
Saluda, Saluda	33.51
Sandy Springs, Anderson	33.51
Sardinia, Clarendon	33.43
Scotia, Hampton	33.43
Seigling, Allendale	33.43
Sellers, Marion	33.43
Seneca, Oconee	33.51
Sharon, York	33.51
Silver, Clarendon	33.43
Simpsonville, Greenville	33.51
Six Mile, Pickens	33.51
Smoaks, Colleton	33.43
Smyrna, York	33.51
Spartanburg, Spartanburg	33.51
Springfield, Orangeburg	33.43
Starr, Anderson	33.51
Summerton, Clarendon	33.43
Sumter, Sumter	33.43
Swansea, Lexington	33.51
Syracuse, Darlington	33.43
Tatum, Marlboro	33.43
Timmonsville, Florence	33.43
Trenton, Edgefield	33.51
Turbeville, Clarendon	33.43
Union, Union	33.51
Vance, Orangeburg	33.43
Van Wyck, Lancaster	33.51
Wagener, Aiken	33.51
Walhalla, Oconee	33.51
Wallace, Hampton	33.43
Walterboro, Colleton	33.43
Waterloo, Laurens	33.51
Wattsville, Laurens	33.51
Wedgefield, Sumter	33.43
Westminster, Oconee	33.51
West Union, Oconee	33.51
Whitmire, Newberry	33.51
Whitney, Spartanburg	33.51
Williamston, Anderson	33.51
Williston, Barnwell	33.43
Windsor, Aiken	33.51
Winnboro, Fairfield	33.51
Wisacky, Lee	33.43
Wolfton, Orangeburg	33.43
Woodruff, Spartanburg	33.51

TENNESSEE

Brownsville, Haywood	32.73
Chattanooga, Hamilton	32.23
Covington, Tipton	32.73
Decherd, Franklin	33.03
Dyersburg, Dyer	32.73
Elora, Lincoln	32.93
Fayetteville, Lincoln	32.93
Five Points, Lawrence	32.83
Halls, Lauderdale	32.73
Henderson, Chester	32.74
Humboldt, Gibson	32.73
Jackson, Madison	32.74
Knoxville, Knox	32.23
Lawrenceburg, Lawrence	32.83

## RULES AND REGULATIONS

## TENNESSEE—Continued

City and county	Basis Middling white inch loan rate
Loretto, Lawrence	32.83
Memphis, Shelby	32.74
Milan, Gibson	32.73
Murfreesboro, Rutherford	32.93
Ripley, Lauderdale	32.73
Shelbyville, Bedford	32.93
South Pittsburg, Marion	33.13
Tiptonville, Lake	32.73
Winchester, Franklin	33.03

## TEXAS

Abernathy, Hale	32.39
Abilene, Taylor	32.45
Ackerly, Dawson	32.37
Afton, Dickens	32.45
Aiken, Floyd	32.39
Alba, Wood	32.55
Alvarado, Johnson	32.47
Amarillo, Potter	32.39
Amherst, Lamb	32.37
Anson, Jones	32.45
Anton, Hockley	32.37
Aspermont, Stonewall	32.45
Athens, Henderson	32.55
Atlanta, Cass	32.55
Austin, Travis	32.47
Austonio, Houston	32.47
Avery, Red River	32.55
Baileyboro, Bailey	32.37
Bakersfield, Pecos	32.35
Ballinger, Runnels	32.45
Balmorhea, Reeves	32.35
Barry, Navarro	32.47
Bartlett, Bell	32.47
Bay City, Matagorda	32.47
Beaumont, Jefferson	32.55
Beckville, Panola	32.55
Belton, Bell	32.47
Bertram, Burnett	32.47
Big Spring, Howard	32.37
Bledsoe, Cochran	32.37
Bloomberg, Cass	32.55
Bogata, Red River	32.55
Bonham, Fannin	32.55
Bovina, Farmer	32.37
Brady, McCulloch	32.45
Breckenridge, Stephens	32.47
Brenham, Washington	32.47
Broadview, Lubbock	32.37
Brookshire, Waller	32.47
Brownfield, Terry	32.37
Brownsville, Cameron	32.39
Brownwood, Brown	32.47
Bryan, Brazos	32.47
Bula, Bailey	32.37
Burton, Washington	32.47
Bynum, Hill	32.47
Caldwell, Burleson	32.47
Calvert, Robertson	32.47
Cameron, Milan	32.47
Canutillo, El Paso	32.26
Carthage, Panola	32.55
Celina, Collin	32.47
Center, Shelby	32.55
Chaison, Jefferson	32.55
Chappell Hill, Washington	32.47
Childress, Childress	32.45
Chillicothe, Hardeman	32.47
Clarksville, Red River	32.55
Cleburne, Johnson	32.47
Coble, Hockley	32.37
Coleman, Coleman	32.45
Colorado City, Mitchell	32.45
Commerce, Hunt	32.55
Cooper, Delta	32.55
Corpus Christi, Nueces	32.43
Corsicana, Navarro	32.47
Crockett, Houston	32.47
Crosbyton, Crosby	32.37
Cuero, De Witt	32.47
Cumby, Hopkins	32.55
Dainingerfield, Morris	32.55
Dallas, Dallas	32.47
Dean, Clay	32.47
Dean, Hockley	32.37
Dean, Leon	32.47
Decatur, Wise	32.47

## TEXAS—Continued

City and county	Basis Middling white inch loan rate
Dell City, Hudspeth	32.27
Denison, Grayson	32.55
Denton, Denton	32.47
Denver City, Yoakum	32.37
Deport, Lamar	32.55
Dimmitt, Castro	32.39
Dublin, Erath	32.47
Eden, Concho	32.45
Edgewood, Van Zandt	32.55
Edna, Jackson	32.47
El Campo, Wharton	32.47
Elgin, Bastrop	32.47
Elkhart, Anderson	32.47
El Paso, El Paso	32.26
Elysian Fields, Harrison	32.55
Emhouse, Navarro	32.47
Engleman Gardens, Hidalgo	32.39
Enloe, Delta	32.55
Ennis, Ellis	32.47
Enochs, Bailey	32.37
Fabens, El Paso	32.26
Fairfield, Freestone	32.47
Farwell, Parmer	32.37
Fauna, Harris	32.55
Floydada, Floyd	32.45
Forney, Kaufman	32.55
Fort Hancock, Hudspeth	32.26
Fort Stockton, Pecos	32.35
Fort Worth, Tarrant	32.47
Frisco, Collin	32.47
Gainesville, Cooke	32.55
Galveston, Galveston	32.55
Ganado, Jackson	32.47
Garland, Dallas	32.55
Gary, Panola	32.55
Gatesville, Coryell	32.47
Gilmer, Upshur	32.55
Gonzales, Gonzales	32.47
Grand Saline, Van Zandt	32.55
Grandview, Johnson	32.47
Granger, Williamson	32.47
Grapeland, Houston	32.47
Grassland, Lynn	32.37
Greenville, Hunt	32.55
Hale Center, Hale	32.39
Hamilton, Hamilton	32.47
Hamlin, Jones	32.45
Harlingen, Cameron	32.39
Hart, Castro	32.39
Haskell, Haskell	32.45
Hearne, Robertson	32.47
Hebron, Denton	32.47
Hedley, Donley	32.45
Henderson, Rusk	32.55
Hillsboro, Hill	32.47
Hoban, Reeves	32.35
Honey Grove, Fannin	32.55
Houston, Harris	32.55
Hubbard, Hill	32.47
Hughes Springs, Cass	32.55
Huntsville, Walker	32.47
Hutto, Williamson	32.47
Irene, Hill	32.47
Jacksonville, Cherokee	32.55
Jarrell, Williamson	32.47
Jayton, Kent	32.45
Jefferson, Marion	32.55
Jewett, Leon	32.47
Kaufman, Kaufman	32.55
Kenedy, Karnes	32.43
Kerens, Navarro	32.47
Killeen, Bell	32.47
Knox City, Knox	32.45
Krum, Denton	32.47
Ladonia, Fannin	32.55
La Grange, Fayette	32.47
Lamesa, Dawson	32.37
Levelland, Hockley	32.37
Lindale, Smith	32.55
Littlefield, Lamb	32.37
Lobo, Culberson	32.27
Lockhart, Caldwell	32.47
Lockney, Floyd	32.39
Longview, Gregg	32.55
Loraine, Mitchell	32.45
Lorenzo, Crosby	32.37
Lovelady, Houston	32.47

## TEXAS—Continued

City and county	Basis Middling white inch loan rate
Lubbock, Lubbock	32.39
Lueders, Jones	32.45
McAdoo, Dickens	32.45
McCamey, Upton	32.35
McGregor, McLennan	32.47
McKinney, Collin	32.55
McLean, Gray	32.45
Madisonville, Madison	32.47
Marfa, Presidio	32.27
Marlin, Falls	32.47
Marshall, Harrison	32.55
Mart, McLennan	32.47
Maypearl, Ellis	32.47
Meadow, Terry	32.37
Memphis, Hall	32.45
Mercedes, Hidalgo	32.39
Mereta, Tom Green	32.45
Merkel, Taylor	32.45
Mexia, Limestone	32.47
Midland, Midland	32.37
Midlothian, Ellis	32.47
Mineola, Wood	32.55
Monahans, Ward	32.35
Morton, Cochran	32.37
Mount Pleasant, Titus	32.55
Muleshoe, Bailey	32.37
Munday, Knox	32.45
Nacogdoches, Nacogdoches	32.55
Naples, Morris	32.55
Navasota, Grimes	32.47
Needville, Fort Bend	32.55
New Boston, Bowie	32.55
New Braunfels, Comal	32.47
Nocona, Montague	32.47
Norton, Runnels	32.45
O'Brien, Haskell	32.45
O'Donnell, Lynn	32.37
Old Glory, Stonewall	32.45
Olton, Lamb	32.39
Omaha, Morris	32.55
Paducah, Cottle	32.45
Palestine, Anderson	32.47
Paris, Lamar	32.55
Patricia, Dawson	32.37
Peacock, Stonewall	32.45
Pecos, Reeves	32.35
Petersburg, Hale	32.39
Pettit, Hockley	32.37
Pilot Point, Denton	32.47
Pittsburg, Camp	32.55
Plains, Yoakum	32.37
Plainview, Hale	32.39
Plano, Collin	32.55
Port Arthur, Jefferson	32.55
Post, Garza	32.37
Presidio, Presidio	32.27
Princeton, Collin	32.55
Pyote, Ward	32.35
Quanah, Hardeman	32.47
Quitaque, Briscoe	32.39
Quitman, Wood	32.55
Ralls, Crosby	32.37
Raymondville, Willacy	32.39
Rice, Navarro	32.47
Roans Prairie, Grimes	32.47
Roaring Springs, Motley	32.45
Robstown, Nueces	32.43
Roby, Fisher	32.45
Rochelle, McCulloch	32.45
Rochester, Haskell	32.45
Rockwall, Rockwall	32.55
Roscoe, Nolan	32.45
Rosebud, Falls	32.47
Rosenberg, Fort Bend	32.55
Rotan, Fisher	32.45
Rowlett, Dallas	32.55
Royce City, Rockwall	32.55
Rule, Haskell	32.45
Salado, Bell	32.47
San Angelo, Tom Green	32.45
San Antonio, Bexar	32.43
San Augustine, San Augustine	32.55
San Marcos, Hays	32.47
Saragosa, Reeves	32.35
Schulenburg, Fayette	32.47
Seagraves, Gaines	32.37

TEXAS—Continued

City and county	Basis Middling white inch	loan rate
Seguin, Guadalupe	32.47	
Seymour, Baylor	32.47	
Shallowater, Lubbock	32.37	
Shamrock, Wheeler	32.45	
Sherman, Grayson	32.55	
Shiner, Lavaca	32.47	
Shiro, Grimes	32.47	
Silverton, Briscoe	32.39	
Slaton, Lubbock	32.39	
Snyder, Scurry	32.45	
Southton, Bexar	32.43	
Spade, Lamb	32.37	
Spade, Mitchell	32.45	
Spur, Dickens	32.45	
Stamford, Jones	32.45	
Stanton, Martin	32.37	
Streetman, Freestone	32.47	
Sudan, Lamb	32.37	
Sugar Land, Fort Bend	32.55	
Sulphur Springs, Hopkins	32.55	
Sweetwater, Nolan	32.45	
Swenson, Stonewall	32.45	
Taft, San Patricio	32.43	
Tahoka, Lynn	32.37	
Tarzan, Martin	32.37	
Tatum, Rusk	32.55	
Taylor, Williamson	32.47	
Teague, Freestone	32.47	
Temple, Bell	32.47	
Tenaha, Shelby	32.55	
Terrell, Kaufman	32.55	
Texarkana, Bowie	32.55	
Texas City, Galveston	32.55	
Timpson, Shelby	32.55	
Tornillo, El Paso	32.26	
Troup, Smith	32.55	
Tulia, Swisher	32.39	
Turkey, Hall	32.39	
Twitty, Wheeler	32.45	
Tyler, Smith	32.55	
Valley Mills, Bosque	32.47	
Van Horn, Culberson	32.27	
Venus, Johnson	32.47	
Vernon, Willbarger	32.47	
Victoria, Victoria	32.47	
Waco, McLennan	32.47	
Wall, Tom Green	32.45	
Waxahachie, Ellis	32.47	
Wellington, Collingsworth	32.45	
Weslaco, Hidalgo	32.39	
West, McLennan	32.47	
Whiteface, Cochran	32.37	
Whitewright, Grayson	32.55	
Wichita Falls, Wichita	32.47	
Wills Point, Van Zandt	32.55	
Wilson, Lynn	32.37	
Winnboro, Wood	32.55	
Winters, Runnels	32.45	
Wolfe City, Hunt	32.55	
Wolforth, Lubbock	32.37	
Yoakum, Lavaca	32.47	
Yorktown, De Witt	32.47	
Ysleta, El Paso	32.26	

VIRGINIA

Broadnax, Brunswick	33.43
Kenbridge, Lunenburg	33.43
Norfolk, Norfolk	33.43

(Sec. 4, 62 Stat. 1070, as amended, sec. 5, 62 Stat. 1072, secs. 101, 103, 401, 63 Stat. 1051, as amended; 15 U.S.C. 714 b and c, 7 U.S.C. 1441, 1444, 1421)

Effective date: This amendment shall become effective upon publication in the FEDERAL REGISTER.

Signed at Washington, D.C., on July 9, 1962.

E. A. JAENKE,  
Acting Executive Vice President,  
Commodity Credit Corporation.

[F.R. Doc. 62-6810; Filed, July 13, 1962; 8:45 a.m.]

Title 7—AGRICULTURE

Chapter I—Agricultural Marketing Service (Standards, Inspections, Marketing Practices), Department of Agriculture

PART 68—REGULATIONS AND STANDARDS FOR INSPECTION AND CERTIFICATION OF CERTAIN AGRICULTURAL COMMODITIES AND PRODUCTS THEREOF

Revision of United States Standards for Dry Peas, Split Peas, and Lentils

On March 13, 1962, there was published in the FEDERAL REGISTER (27 F.R. 2369) a notice of a proposal to revise the United States Standards for Dry Peas (7 CFR 68.401 et seq.), for Split Peas (7 CFR 68.501 et seq.), and for Lentils (7 CFR 68.601 et seq.) pursuant to the authority contained in the Agricultural Marketing Act of 1946, as amended (7 U.S.C. 1621 et seq.). An informal public hearing on the proposal was held at Moscow, Idaho, on March 28, 1962. Interested persons were also afforded an opportunity to submit written data, views, and arguments on the proposal.

Statement of considerations. Consideration has been given to the information obtained at the informal public hearing and to the views, data, and arguments received in writing from interested persons.

Some minor changes not proposed in the notice of rule making were made in light of data and argument submitted. It is believed that further notice and other public procedure at this time would not make any additional information available to this Department with respect to such changes. Accordingly, it is found upon good cause that further notice and other public procedure with respect thereto are unnecessary.

A. With respect to the main proposed changes regarding the standards for dry peas, the following action was taken:

(1) The proposal to reduce the maximum limits of contrasting peas from 0.5, 1.0, and 2.0 percent to 0.3, 0.8, and 1.5 percent in the several numerical grades, respectively, has been adopted. This change was endorsed by the producers and handlers of dry peas.

(2) The proposal to reduce the maximum limits of weevil-damaged peas from 0.5 and 1.0 percent to 0.3 and 0.8 percent in grades U.S. No. 1 and U.S. No. 2, respectively, was adopted. No change was proposed in the maximum limit of 1.5 percent of weevil-damaged peas in grade U.S. No. 3. All interested parties endorsed this proposed change.

(3) In the proposed rule making it was proposed to reduce the maximum limits of shriveled peas from 2.0, 4.0, and 8.0 percent to 1.0, 2.0, and 3.0 percent in the several numerical grades, respectively. Data taken from sample inspection certificates issued at Moscow, Idaho, for the months of August and September for the years 1958, 1959, 1960, and 1961 showed that 94 percent of the samples contained 3.0 percent or less of shriveled peas. Evidence presented at the hearing indicated that on soaking,

shriveled peas were not materially different from peas that were not shriveled. The trade contended that in some years the percentage of shriveled peas might be increased because of unfavorable growing conditions. This proposal was therefore not adopted.

(4) The proposal to include maximum limits of heat-damaged peas was not adopted. Data on the amount of heat-damaged peas present in dry peas was not available and therefore it could not be predicted how the proposed limits for heat-damaged peas would affect the grading of the crop.

(5) The proposal to include a total maximum limit for bleached peas, contrasting peas, shriveled peas, damaged peas, heat-damaged peas, weevil-damaged peas, and foreign material was not adopted. It was concluded that the limits proposed were too restrictive and that the factors "bleached peas" and "shriveled peas" should not have been included in the total.

(6) In the proposed rule making it was proposed to reduce the maximum limits of split peas from 1.0, 1.5, and 2.0 percent to 0.5, 1.0, and 1.5 percent for the several numerical grades, respectively. The views and comments on this proposal varied. Since practically all of the split peas are taken out when dockage is removed from thresher-run dry peas, the only split peas which would be found in the dockage-free dry peas would be those that are split in the normal handling of the dry peas through the processing plants. A review of the certificates issued during the last three fiscal years showed that this had been the degrading factor in only a few cases. This change was therefore adopted.

(7) In the proposed rule making it was proposed to reduce the maximum limits of peas with cracked seedcoats from 6.0 and 10.0 percent to 5.0 and 7.0 percent in grades U.S. No. 2 and U.S. No. 3, respectively. There was no opposition to this change.

(8) The proposal to reduce the moisture limits in the definition of sample grade from 16 to 15.0 percent was adopted. This change was approved by the industry.

B. With respect to the main proposed changes regarding the standards for split peas, the following action was taken:

(1) The proposal to reduce the maximum limits of contrasting green and yellow split peas from 0.5, 1.0 and 2.0 percent to 0.3, 0.8, and 1.5 percent in the several numerical grades, respectively, has been adopted. This change was endorsed by the industry.

(2) The proposal to reduce the maximum limits of weevil-damaged split peas from 0.5 and 1.0 percent to 0.3 and 0.8 percent in grades U.S. No. 1 and U.S. No. 2, respectively, was not adopted. The industry objected to this change and contended that peas which show no evidence of weevil damage as whole peas may be found to have some pinhole weevil damage when the peas are processed by splitting. This fact justifies a somewhat larger percentage of weevil-damaged peas in split peas than is permitted in a comparable grade of whole dry peas.

(3) The proposal to reduce the moisture limits in the definition of Sample grade from 16 to 15.0 percent was adopted. This change was approved by the industry.

C. With respect to the main proposed changes regarding the standards for lentils, the following action was taken:

(1) The proposal to reduce the maximum limits of weevil-damaged lentils from 0.5 and 1.0 percent to 0.3 and 0.8 percent in the several numerical grades has been adopted. The industry favored this change.

(2) The proposal to reduce the moisture limits in the definition of Sample grade from 15 percent to 14.0 percent has been adopted. This change was approved by the industry.

The United States Standards for Dry Peas (7 CFR 68.401-68.403) are hereby revised to read as follows:

### Subpart F—United States Standards for Dry Peas<sup>1</sup>

Sec.	
68.401	Terms defined.
68.402	Principles governing application of standards.
68.403	Grades, grade requirements, and grade designations, and factor determinations and designations.

AUTHORITY: §§ 68.401 to 68.403 issued under secs. 203, 205, 60 Stat. 1087, 1090, as amended; 7 U.S.C. 1622, 1624.

#### § 68.401 Terms defined.

For the purposes of the United States Standards for Dry Peas (*Pisum sativum*):

(a) *Dry peas*. Dry peas shall be the threshed seeds of the pea plant (*Pisum sativum*) which after the removal of the dockage contain not more than 10.0 percent of foreign material.

(1) *Garden peas*. Garden peas shall be dry peas of all varieties of peas other than field peas.

(2) *Winter field peas*. Winter field peas shall be dry peas of all varieties of winter peas (*Pisum sativum* var. *arvense*).

(b) *Dockage-free dry peas*. Dockage-free dry peas shall be dry peas from which the dockage has been removed.

(c) *Thresher-run dry peas*. Thresher-run dry peas shall be dry peas from which the dockage has not been removed.

(d) *Classes*.<sup>2</sup> (1) Dry peas shall be divided into the following classes:

Alaska Dry Peas;  
First and Best Dry Peas;  
White Canada Dry Peas;  
Colorado White Dry Peas;  
Perfection Dry Peas;  
Surprise Dry Peas;  
Austrian Winter Dry Peas;  
Romack Dry Peas;  
Mixed Dry Peas.

Except with respect to the class Mixed Dry Peas, each class may contain not more than 1.5 percent of contrasting

<sup>1</sup> Compliance with the provisions of these standards does not excuse failure to comply with any of the provisions of the Federal Food, Drug, and Cosmetic Act, or other Federal laws.

<sup>2</sup> The use of a variety name in the designation of a class of Dry Peas does not imply any guarantee of varietal purity.

peas or not more than 15.0 percent of peas that blend, other than the predominating class of dry peas.

(2) *Mixed Dry Peas* shall be dry peas which contain more than 1.5 percent of contrasting peas or more than 15.0 percent of peas that blend, other than the predominating class of dry peas.

(3) Dry peas that are not specifically classified under subparagraphs (1) and (2) of this paragraph shall be classified according to the commonly accepted name of such dry peas.

(e) *Grades*. Grades shall be the numerical grades, Sample grade, and special grades provided for in § 68.403.

(f) *Defective peas*. Defective peas shall be weevil-damaged peas, damaged peas, contrasting peas, bleached peas, split peas, shriveled peas, and peas with cracked seedcoats.

(g) *Weevil-damaged peas*. Weevil-damaged peas shall be whole and broken dry peas which are distinctly damaged by pea weevils or other insects.

(h) *Damaged peas*. Damaged peas shall be whole and broken dry peas which are damaged by frost, weather, disease, or other causes, but shall not include weevil-damaged peas.

(i) *Split peas*. Split peas shall be dry peas which have been split into halves or smaller pieces and peas the halves of which are loosely held together.

(j) *Contrasting peas*. Contrasting peas shall be dry peas which are of a contrasting color, or which differ materially in size or shape from the predominating class of dry peas.

(k) *Peas that blend*. Peas that blend shall be dry peas which are similar in color, size, and shape to the predominating class of dry peas.

(l) *Bleached peas*. Bleached peas shall be dry peas which are distinctly bleached in contrast to the natural green color of green peas or which are distinctly green in contrast to the natural yellow color of yellow peas, but shall not be applicable to winter field peas and wrinkled garden peas.

(m) *Shriveled peas*. Shriveled peas shall be dry peas which are distinctly shriveled in contrast to the natural shape and appearance of the predominating peas.

(n) *Peas with cracked seedcoats*. Peas with cracked seedcoats shall be dry peas with visibly cracked seedcoats or with all or a part of the seedcoat removed and broken peas which are more than one-half but less than a whole pea.

(o) *Wrinkled peas*. Wrinkled peas shall be dry peas of the wrinkled varieties of garden peas.

(p) *Good color*. Good color shall mean that the dry peas in mass are practically free from discoloration and have the natural color and appearance characteristics of the predominating peas.

(q) *Poor color*. Poor color shall mean that the dry peas in mass are distinctly off color due to age or any other cause.

(r) *Dockage*. Dockage shall be small underdeveloped peas and pieces of peas and all matter other than dry peas which can be removed readily by the use of appropriate sieves and cleaning devices.

(s) *Foreign material in dockage-free dry peas*. Foreign material in dockage-free dry peas shall be matter other than peas, including detached seedcoats.

(t) *Foreign material in thresher-run dry peas*. Foreign material in thresher-run dry peas shall be all matter other than peas, including detached seedcoats, which cannot be removed readily from the peas in the proper determination of dockage.

(u) *16/64 round hole sieve*. A 16/64 round hole sieve shall be a metal sieve 0.0319 inch thick perforated with round holes 0.250 ( $\frac{1}{4}$ ) inch in diameter which are  $\frac{3}{8}$  inch from center to center. The perforations of each row shall be staggered in relation to the adjacent rows.

(v) *15/64 round hole sieve*. A 15/64 round hole sieve shall be a metal sieve 0.0319 inch thick perforated with round holes 0.2344 ( $\frac{15}{64}$ ) inch in diameter which are ( $\frac{5}{16}$ ) inch from center to center. The perforations of each row shall be staggered in relation to the adjacent rows.

(w) *11/64 by 3/4 slotted hole sieve*. An 11/64 by 3/4 slotted hole sieve shall be a metal sieve 0.0319 inch thick with slotted perforations 0.1719 ( $\frac{11}{64}$ ) inch wide by 0.75 ( $\frac{3}{4}$ ) inch long which are  $\frac{5}{16}$  inch from center to center and with  $\frac{3}{32}$  inch end bridges. The perforations shall be staggered in relation to the adjacent rows.

(x) *10/64 by 3/4 slotted hole sieve*. A 10/64 by 3/4 slotted hole sieve shall be a metal sieve 0.0319 inch thick with slotted perforations 0.1562 ( $\frac{10}{64}$ ) inch wide by 0.75 ( $\frac{3}{4}$ ) inch long which are  $\frac{3}{32}$  inch from center to center and with  $\frac{3}{32}$  inch end bridges. The perforations shall be staggered in relation to adjacent rows.

#### § 68.402 Principles governing application of standards.

The following principles shall apply in the determination of the class and grade of dockage-free dry peas and the class and factor designation of thresher-run dry peas:

(a) *Basis of determinations*—(1) *Dockage-free dry peas*. All determinations shall be made upon the basis of the dockage-free dry peas as a whole, except that color shall be determined after the removal of the defective peas and foreign material.

(2) *Thresher-run dry peas*. The determination of dockage shall be made upon the basis of the thresher-run dry peas as a whole. All other determinations shall be made upon the basis of the peas after the removal of dockage, except that color shall be determined after the removal of dockage, defective peas and foreign material.

(b) *Percentages*. All percentages shall be determined upon the basis of weight. Percentages shall be expressed in terms of whole and tenths percents.

(c) *Moisture*. Moisture shall be ascertained by the air-oven method for peas prescribed by the United States Department of Agriculture as described in Service and Regulatory Announcement No. 147 (1959 Revision) of the Agricultural Marketing Service or ascertained by any method which gives equivalent results.

§ 68.403 Grades, grade requirements and grade designations, and factor determinations and designations.

The following grades, grade requirements and grade designations for dockage-free dry peas and factor deter-

minations and designations for thresher-run dry peas are applicable under these standards:

(a) *Grades and grade requirements for dockage-free dry peas.* (See also paragraph (c) of this section.)

Subpart G—United States Standards for Split Peas<sup>1</sup>

- Sec. 68.501 Terms defined.
- 68.502 Principles governing application of standards.
- 68.503 Grades, grade requirements, and designations.

AUTHORITY: §§ 68.501 to 68.503 issued under secs. 203, 205, 60 Stat. 1087, 1090, as amended; 7 U.S.C. 1622, 1624.

§ 68.501 Terms defined.

For the purposes of the United States Standards for Split Peas:

(a) *Split peas.* Split peas shall be the dry threshed seeds of the pea plant (*Pisum sativum*) which have been split into halves or smaller pieces and which contain not more than 10.0 percent of foreign material.

(1) *Garden peas.* Garden peas shall be dry peas of all varieties of peas other than field peas.

(2) *Winter field peas.* Winter field peas shall be dry peas of all varieties of winter peas (*Pisum sativum* var. *arvense*).

(b) *Classes.* Split peas shall be divided into the following three classes:

(1) *Green Split Peas.* Green Split Peas shall be split peas from the varieties of dry peas which have cotyledons that are green in color.

(2) *Yellow Split Peas.* Yellow Split Peas shall be split peas from the varieties of dry peas which have cotyledons that are yellow in color.

(3) *Winter Split Peas.* Winter Split Peas shall be split peas from winter field peas of the Austrian Winter or Romack variety, or of other varieties of winter field peas with seed characteristics, appearance, and color, similar to Austrian Winter or Romack.

(c) *Grades.* Grades shall be the numerical grades, Sample grade, and special grades provided for in § 68.503.

(d) *Weevil-damaged split peas.* Weevil-damaged split peas shall be split peas and whole peas which are distinctly damaged by pea weevils or other insects.

(e) *Damaged split peas.* Damaged split peas shall be split peas and whole peas which are damaged by frost, weather, disease, or other causes, but shall not include weevil-damaged split peas.

(f) *White caps.* White caps shall be split peas from which the seedcoats have not been removed.

(g) *Whole peas.* Whole peas shall be dry peas which are not split.

(h) *Bleached split peas.* Bleached split peas shall be split peas which are distinctly bleached in contrast to the natural green color of green peas or which are distinctly green in contrast to the natural yellow color of yellow peas.

(i) *Contrasting split peas.* Contrasting split peas shall be split peas which

<sup>1</sup> Compliance with the provisions of these standards does not excuse failure to comply with the provisions of the Federal Food, Drug, and Cosmetic Act, or other Federal laws.

Maximum limits of—

Grade	Maximum limits of—								
	Weevil-damaged peas	Damaged peas	Contrasting peas <sup>4</sup>	Bleached peas	Split peas	Shriveled peas	Peas with cracked seedcoats	Peas that blend <sup>4</sup>	Foreign material
	Percent	Percent	Percent	Percent	Percent	Percent	Percent	Percent	Percent
U.S. No. 1 <sup>1,2</sup> .....	0.3	1.0	0.3	1.5	0.5	2.0	3.0	5.0	0.1
U.S. No. 2 <sup>1</sup> .....	.8	1.5	.8	3.0	1.0	4.0	5.0	10.0	.2
U.S. No. 3 <sup>1,3</sup> .....	1.5	2.0	1.5	5.0	1.5	8.0	7.0	15.0	.5
U.S. Sample grade.....	U.S. Sample grade shall be dry peas which do not meet the requirements of any of the grades from U.S. No. 1 to U.S. No. 3, inclusive; or which contain more than 15.0 percent of moisture; or which have any commercially objectionable odor; or which are heating; or which contain live weevils or other insects; or which are otherwise of distinctly low quality.								

<sup>1</sup> Size requirements: Dry peas of any of the numerical grades shall be of such size that not more than 3.0 percent of the peas will pass readily through a slotted hole sieve with perforations of the dimensions generally used in the determination of dockage on thresher-run dry peas of the respective classes.

<sup>2</sup> Dry peas in grade U.S. No. 1 shall be of good color.

<sup>3</sup> Dry peas which are of poor color shall not be graded higher than U.S. No. 3.

<sup>4</sup> These limits do not apply to the class Mixed Dry Peas.

(b) *Grade designation for all classes of dockage-free dry peas.* The grade designation for all classes of dockage-free dry peas shall include, in the order named, the letters "U.S."; the number of the grade or the words "Sample grade", as the case may be; the name of each applicable special grade; and the name of the class. In the case of the class Mixed Dry Peas the grade designation shall also include the name and approximate percentage of each class of dry peas contained in the mixture, shown in the order of predominance following the words "Mixed Dry Peas".

(c) *Special grades, special grade requirements, and special grade designations for dockage-free dry peas—*(1) *Large dry peas—*(i) *Requirements.* Large dry peas shall be peas of the classes Alaska Dry Peas, First and Best Dry Peas, and White Canada Dry Peas, of which not more than 3 percent of the peas will pass readily through the following sieves for the respective classes:

	Round hole sieve
Alaska Dry Peas.....	16/64
First and Best Dry Peas.....	16/64
White Canada Dry Peas.....	15/64

(ii) *Grade designation.* Large dry peas shall be graded and designated according to the grade requirements of the standards otherwise applicable to such dry peas, and there shall be added to and made a part of the grade designation preceding the name of the class the word "Large".

(2) *Small dry peas—*(i) *Requirements.* Small dry peas shall be peas of the classes Alaska Dry Peas, First and Best Dry Peas, and White Canada Dry Peas, of which not more than 3 percent of the peas will remain on the sieve prescribed for determining the minimum size for large dry peas and not more than 3 percent will pass readily through the following sieves for the respective classes.

	Slotted hole sieve
Alaska Dry Peas.....	11/64 x 3/4
First and Best Dry Peas.....	11/64 x 3/4
White Canada Dry Peas.....	10/64 x 3/4

(ii) *Grade designation.* Small dry peas shall be graded and designated according to the grade requirements of the standards otherwise applicable to such dry peas, and there shall be added to and made a part of the grade designation preceding the name of the class the word "Small."

(d) *Factor determinations and designations for thresher-run dry peas—*(1) *Factor determinations.* Thresher-run dry peas shall be inspected without reference to grade. The factors to be determined shall be the class, dockage, defective peas, foreign material, peas that blend, color description, and moisture when in excess of 15.0 percent. Defective peas which are defective for more than one reason shall be included within only one specific type of defective peas and shall be determined in the following order: Weevil-damaged peas, damaged peas, contrasting peas, bleached peas, split peas, shriveled peas, and peas with cracked seedcoats.

(2) *Factor designation.* The factor designation for all classes of thresher-run dry peas shall include the name of the class; the percentage of dockage and the type of sieve used in making the determination; the percentage each of weevil-damaged peas, damaged peas, contrasting peas, bleached peas, split peas, shriveled peas, peas with cracked seedcoats, and foreign material, and the total thereof; the percentage of peas that blend; the color description, when not of good color; and the percentage of moisture when in excess of 15.0 percent. In thresher-run dry peas of the classes Alaska Dry Peas, First and Best Dry Peas, and other smooth seed varieties the percentage of cracked seedcoats shall be included in the total defective peas only if the amount is in excess of 3.0 percent.

The United States Standards for Split Peas (7 CFR 68.501-68.503) are hereby revised to read as follows:

## RULES AND REGULATIONS

are of a contrasting color to the predominating class of split peas except that bleached split peas shall not be considered as contrasting split peas.

(j) *Foreign material.* Foreign material shall be all matter which will pass readily through a 2½/64 round hole sieve and all matter other than split peas and whole peas which remains on the sieve after sieving. Foreign material shall include detached seedcoats and pieces of detached seedcoats.

(k) *Good color.* Good color shall mean that the split peas in mass are practically free from discoloration and have the natural color and appearance characteristic of the predominating split peas.

(l) *Poor color.* Poor color shall mean that the split peas in mass are distinctly off color due to age or any other cause.

(m) *12/64 round hole sieve.* A 12/64 round hole sieve shall be a metal sieve 0.0319 inch thick perforated with round holes 0.1875 (15/64) inch in diameter which are ¼ inch from center to center. The perforations of each row shall be staggered in relation to the adjacent rows.

(n) *10/64 round hole sieve.* A 10/64 round hole sieve shall be a metal sieve 0.0319 inch thick perforated with round holes 0.1562 (10/64) inch in diameter which are 7/32 inch from center to center. The perforations of each row shall be staggered in relation to the adjacent rows.

(o) *8/64 round hole sieve.* An 8/64 round hole sieve shall be a metal sieve 0.0319 inch thick perforated with round holes 0.1250 (8/64) inch in diameter which are 3/16 inch from center to center. The perforations of each row shall be staggered in relation to the adjacent rows.

(p) *6/64 round hole sieve.* A 6/64 round hole sieve shall be a metal sieve 0.0319 inch thick perforated with round holes 0.0938 (6/64) inch in diameter which are 5/32 inch from center to center. The perforations of each row shall be staggered in relation to the adjacent rows.

(q) *2½/64 round hole sieve.* A 2½/64 round hole sieve shall be a metal sieve 0.0319 inch thick perforated with round holes 0.0391 (2½/64) inch in diameter which are 0.075 inch from center to center. The perforations of each row shall be staggered in relation to the adjacent rows.

#### § 68.502 Principles governing application of standards.

The following principles shall apply in the determination of the class and grade of split peas.

(a) *Basis of determinations.* All determinations shall be made on the basis of the split peas as a whole.

(b) *Percentages.* All percentages shall be determined upon the basis of weight. Percentages shall be expressed in terms of whole and tenths percents.

(c) *Moisture.* Moisture shall be ascertained by the air-oven method for peas prescribed by the United States Department of Agriculture as described in Service and Regulatory Announcements No. 147 (1959 Revision), of the Agricultural Marketing Service, or ascertained

by any method which gives equivalent results.

#### § 68.503 Grades, grade requirements, and grade designations.

The following grades, grade requirements, and grade designations for

Split Peas are applicable under these standards.

(a) *Grades and grade requirements for the classes Green Split Peas and Yellow Split Peas.* (See also paragraph (d) of this section.)

Grade	Maximum quantity passing through			Maximum limits of—						
	10/64 round hole sieve	8/64 round hole sieve	6/64 round hole sieve	Weevil-damaged split peas	Damaged split peas	Contrasting split peas	Bleached split peas	White caps	Whole peas	Foreign material
U.S. No. 1 <sup>1</sup> .....	Percent 3.0	Percent 0.5	Percent 0.1	Percent 0.5	Percent 1.0	Percent 0.3	Percent 1.5	Percent 1.0	Percent 0.5	Percent 0.1
U.S. No. 2.....	15.0	3.0	.2	1.0	1.5	.8	3.0	2.0	1.0	.2
U.S. No. 3 <sup>2</sup> .....	25.0	5.0	.3	1.5	2.0	1.5	5.0	3.0	2.0	.5
U.S. Sample grade.....	U.S. Sample grade shall be split peas which do not meet the requirements of any of the numerical grades; or which contain more than 15.0 percent moisture; or which have any commercially objectionable odor; or which are heating; or which contain live or dead weevils or other insects, insect webbing, or insect refuse; or which are otherwise of distinctly low quality.									

<sup>1</sup> Split peas in grade U.S. No. 1 shall be of good color.

<sup>2</sup> Split peas which are of poor color shall not be graded higher than U.S. No. 3.

(b) *Grades and grade requirements for the class Winter Split Peas.* (See also paragraph (d) of this section.)

Grade	Maximum quantity passing through			Maximum limits of—						
	10/64 round hole sieve	8/64 round hole sieve	6/64 round hole sieve	Weevil-damaged split peas	Damaged split peas	Contrasting split peas	White caps	Whole peas	Foreign material	
U.S. No. 1 <sup>1</sup> .....	Percent 3.0	Percent 0.5	Percent 0.1	Percent 0.5	Percent 1.0	Percent 0.5	Percent 1.5	Percent 0.5	Percent 0.5	Percent 0.1
U.S. No. 2.....	15.0	3.0	.2	1.0	1.5	1.0	3.0	3.0	1.0	.2
U.S. No. 3 <sup>2</sup> .....	25.0	5.0	.3	1.5	2.0	2.0	5.0	5.0	2.0	.5
U.S. Sample grade.....	U.S. Sample grade shall be winter split peas which do not meet the requirements of any of the numerical grades; or which contain more than 15.0 percent moisture; or which have any commercially objectionable odor; or which are heating; or which contain live or dead weevils or other insects, insect webbing, or insect refuse; or which are otherwise of distinctly low quality.									

<sup>1</sup> Winter split peas in grade U.S. No. 1 shall be of good color.

<sup>2</sup> Winter split peas which are of poor color shall not be graded higher than U.S. No. 3.

(c) *Grade designations for all classes of split peas.* The grade designation for all classes of split peas shall include, in the order named, the letters "U.S."; the number of the grade or the words "Sample grade", as the case may be; the name of the class; and the name of each applicable special grade.

(d) *Special grades, special grade requirements, and special grade designations for split peas—*(1) *Split pea chips—*(i) *Requirements.* Split pea chips shall be split peas of any class all of which will pass readily through a 12/64 round hole sieve. The size requirements for the respective numerical grades shall be as follows:

U.S. No. 1—Not more than 3.0 percent shall pass readily through a 6/64 round hole sieve;

U.S. No. 2—Not more than 6.0 percent shall pass readily through a 6/64 round hole sieve;

U.S. No. 3—Not more than 10.0 percent shall pass readily through a 6/64 round hole sieve.

(ii) *Grade designation.* Split pea chips shall be graded and designated according to the grade requirements of the standards otherwise applicable to such split peas, except for size, and there shall be added to and made a part of the grade designation the word "Chips."

The United States Standards for Lentils (7 CFR 68.601–68.603) are hereby revised to read as follows:

#### Subpart H—United States Standards for Lentils<sup>1</sup>

- Sec. 68.601 Terms defined.  
68.602 Principles governing application of Standards.  
68.603 Grades, grade requirements, and grade designations, and factor determinations and designations.

AUTHORITY: §§ 68.601 to 68.603 issued under secs. 203, 205, 60 Stat. 1087, 1090, as amended; 7 U.S.C. 1622, 1624.

#### § 68.601 Terms defined.

The following definitions shall apply for the purposes of the United States Standards for Lentils:

(a) *Lentils.* Lentils shall be dry, threshed seeds of the lentil plant (*Lens culinaris*) which after removal of the dockage contain not more than 10.0 percent of foreign material.

(1) *Dockage-free lentils.* Dockage-free lentils shall be lentils from which the dockage has been removed.

(2) *Thresher-run lentils.* Thresher-run lentils shall be lentils from which the dockage has not been removed.

(b) *Classes.* Lentils shall be divided into the following classes, each of which except Mixed Lentils, may contain not

<sup>1</sup> Compliance with the provisions of these standards does not excuse failure to comply with the provisions of the Federal Food, Drug, and Cosmetic Act, or other Federal laws.

more than 2.0 percent of lentils other than those of the predominating class:

(1) *Lentils.* Lentils shall be all lentils of the Chilean type.

(2) *Persian Lentils.* Persian lentils shall be all lentils of the Persian type.

(3) *Mixed Lentils.* Mixed lentils shall be any mixture of lentils which contains more than 2.0 percent of lentils other than those of the predominating class.

(e) *Grades.* Grades shall be the numerical grades, Sample grade, and special grades provided for in § 68.603.

(d) *Defective lentils (total).* Defective lentils shall be weevil-damaged lentils, damaged lentils, and split lentils.

(e) *Weevil-damaged lentils.* Weevil-damaged lentils shall be lentils and pieces of lentils which are damaged by weevils or other insects.

(f) *Damaged lentils.* Damaged lentils shall be lentils and pieces of lentils which are damaged by frost, weather disease, or other causes, but shall not include weevil-damaged lentils.

(g) *Split lentils.* Split lentils shall be pieces of lentils which are less than three-fourths of a whole lentil, and lentils the halves of which are loosely held together, but shall not include weevil-damaged lentils and damaged lentils.

(h) *Skinned lentils.* Skinned lentils (decorticated lentils) shall be lentils from which three-fourths or more of the seedcoat has been removed from each lentil.

(i) *Dockage.* Dockage shall apply only to thresher-run lentils and shall be small underdeveloped lentils and pieces of lentils and all matter other than lentils which can be removed readily by the use of appropriate sieves and cleaning devices.

(j) *Foreign material in dockage-free lentils.* Foreign material in dockage-free lentils shall be all matter other than lentils including detached seedcoats.

(k) *Foreign material in thresher-run lentils.* Foreign material in thresher-run lentils shall be all matter other than lentils, including detached seedcoats, which cannot be removed readily from the lentils in the proper determination of dockage.

(l) *Stones.* Stones shall be foreign material which consists of rocks, stones, pebbles, shale, other concreted earthy or mineral matter, and other substances of similar hardness that do not disintegrate readily in water.

(m) *15/64 round hole sieve.* A 15/64 round hole sieve shall be a metal sieve 0.0319 inch thick perforated with round holes 0.2344 (15/64) inch in diameter which are 5/16 inch from center to center. The perforations of each row shall be staggered in relation to the adjacent rows.

(n) *12/64 round hole sieve.* A 12/64 round hole sieve shall be a metal sieve 0.0319 inch thick perforated with round holes 0.1875 (12/64) inch in diameter which are 3/8 inch from center to center. The perforations of each row shall be staggered in relation to the adjacent rows.

(o) *9/64 round hole sieve.* A 9/64 round hole sieve shall be a metal sieve 0.0319 inch thick perforated with round

holes 0.1406 (9/64) inch in diameter which are 3/16 inch from center to center. The perforations of each row shall be staggered in relation to the adjacent rows.

(p) *Good color.* Good color shall mean that the lentils in mass are practically free from discoloration and have the natural color and appearance characteristic of the predominating lentils.

§ 68.602 Principles governing application of standards.

The following principles shall apply in the determination of the class and grade for dockage-free lentils and of the class and factor designation for thresher-run lentils.

(a) *Basis of determinations—(1) Dockage-free lentils.* All determinations shall be made upon the basis of the lentils as a whole.

(2) *Thresher-run lentils.* The determination of dockage shall be made upon the basis of the thresher-run lentils as a whole. All other determinations shall be made upon the basis of the lentils after the removal of dockage, except that color shall be determined after the re-

moval of dockage, defective lentils, and foreign material.

(b) *Percentages.* All percentages shall be determined upon the basis of weight. Percentages shall be expressed in terms of whole and tenths percents.

(c) *Moisture.* Moisture shall be ascertained by the air-oven method for lentils prescribed by the United States Department of Agriculture as described in Service and Regulatory Announcements No. 147 (1959 Revision) of the Agricultural Marketing Service, or ascertained by any method which gives equivalent results.

§ 68.603 Grades, grade requirements and grade designations, and factor determinations and designations.

The following grades, grade requirements and grade designations for dockage-free lentils and factor determinations and designations for thresher-run lentils are applicable under these standards:

(a) *Grades and grade requirements for dockage-free lentils.* (See also paragraph (c) of this section.)

Grade	Maximum limits of—			
	Defective lentils		Foreign material	
	Total	Weevil-damaged lentils	Total	Stones
	Percent	Percent	Percent	Percent
U.S. No. 1	2.0	0.3	0.2	0.1
U.S. No. 2	3.5	.8	.5	.2
U.S. Sample grade				

U.S. Sample grade shall be lentils which do not meet the requirements of either of the numerical grades; or which contain more than 14.0 percent of moisture; or which have any commercially objectionable odor; or which are heating; or which contain live weevils or other insects; or which are materially weathered; or which are otherwise of distinctly low quality.

<sup>1</sup> The lentils in U.S. Grade No. 1 shall be of good color.

(b) *Grade designations for all classes of dockage-free lentils.* The grade designation for all classes of dockage-free lentils shall include, in the order named, the letters "U.S."; the number of the grade or the words "Sample grade", as the case may be; the name of any applicable special grade; and the name of the class. In the case of the class Mixed Lentils, the grade designation shall also include the name and approximate percentage of each class of lentils contained in the mixture shown in the order of predominance following the words "Mixed Lentils."

(c) *Special grades, special grade requirements, and special grade designations for dockage-free lentils—(1) Large lentils—(i) Requirements.* Large lentils shall be lentils, except Persian Lentils and Mixed Lentils, of which not more than 3 percent will pass readily through a 15/64 round hole sieve.

(ii) *Grade designation.* Large lentils shall be graded and designated according to the grade requirements of the standards otherwise applicable to such lentils, and there shall be added to and made a part of the grade designation preceding the name of the class the word "Large."

(2) *Small lentils—(i) Requirements.* Small lentils shall be lentils, except Persian Lentils and Mixed Lentils, 95 percent or more of which will pass

readily through a 15/64 round hole sieve, not less than 80 percent of which will pass readily through a 12/64 round hole sieve, and not more than 3 percent of which will pass readily through a 9/64 round hole sieve.

(ii) *Grade designation.* Small lentils shall be graded and designated according to the grade requirements of the standards otherwise applicable to such lentils, and there shall be added to and made a part of the grade designation preceding the name of the class the word "Small."

(d) *Factor determinations and designations for thresher-run lentils—(1) Factor determinations.* Thresher-run lentils shall be inspected without reference to grade, and the following factors shall be determined: The class, dockage, weevil-damaged lentils, damaged lentils, split lentils, foreign material, and color description.

(2) *Factor designation.* The factor designation for all classes of thresher-run lentils shall include the name of the class; the percentage of dockage and the type of sieve used in making the determination; the percentage each of weevil-damaged lentils, damaged lentils, split lentils, and foreign material, and the total thereof; and the color description, when not of good color.

*Effective date.* The foregoing revisions of the United States Standards for Dry Peas, Split Peas, and Lentils shall become effective August 1, 1962.

The movement of the new crop of peas and lentils will start around August 1, 1962. In order to provide for uniform grading of the new crop, these standards must be effective on such date. Accordingly, good cause is found for making these standards effective less than thirty days after publication in the FEDERAL REGISTER.

Issued in Washington, D.C., this 10th day of July 1962.

G. R. GRANGE,  
Deputy Administrator,  
Marketing Services.

[F.R. Doc. 62-6860; Filed, July 13, 1962;  
8:45 a.m.]

## Chapter VII—Agricultural Stabilization and Conservation Service (Agricultural Adjustment), Department of Agriculture

### SUBCHAPTER B—FARM MARKETING QUOTAS AND ACREAGE ALLOTMENTS

[Amdt. 4]

#### PART 725—BURLEY, FLUE-CURED, FIRE-CURED, DARK AIR-CURED AND VIRGINIA SUN-CURED TOBACCO

##### Marketing Quota Regulations, 1962-63 Marketing Year; Lease and Transfer of Tobacco Acreage Allotment

*Basis and purpose.* This amendment to the above-designated regulations (26 F.R. 6419, 6581, 7694, 9505 and 10152) is issued pursuant to the provisions of Public Law 87-530 approved July 10, 1962, (1) to add a paragraph (s) to § 725.1328 (26 F.R. 10152) to provide that the lease and transfer of a tobacco allotment for the 1962 crop year shall be effective if (a) the Secretary finds a lease in compliance with the provisions of § 725.1328 of the above-designated regulations was agreed upon no later than May 31, 1962 in the States of Alabama, Florida, Georgia, North Carolina, South Carolina, and Virginia; and no later than June 15, 1962, in all other States, and (b) the terms of the lease are reduced to writing and filed no later than July 30, 1962, in the county office for the county in which the farms involved are located; and (2) to add a paragraph (t) to § 725.1328 of the above-designated regulations to provide that the dissolution of a lease shall be effective if (a) the county committee, with the approval of a representative of the State committee, finds the dissolution of the lease was agreed upon no later than May 31, 1962, in the States of Alabama, Florida, Georgia, North Carolina, South Carolina, and Virginia; and no later than June 15, 1962, in all other States, and (b) the terms of the dissolution are reduced to writing and filed no later than July 30, 1962, in the county office for the county in which the farms involved are located.

Since tobacco producers are engaged in the production of the 1962 crop of tobacco

and need to know as soon as possible the provisions of the amendment, it is hereby found that compliance with the public notice, procedure, and effective date requirements of the Administrative Procedure Act (5 U.S.C. 1003) is impractical and contrary to the public interest and this amendment shall become effective upon filing with the Director, Office of the Federal Register.

1. A new paragraph (s) is added to § 725.1328 to read as follows:

§ 725.1328 Lease and transfer of tobacco acreage allotment.

(s) Notwithstanding the foregoing provisions of this section, the lease and transfer of an allotment for the 1962 crop year shall be effective if (1) the Secretary finds a lease in compliance with the provisions of this section was agreed upon no later than May 31, 1962, in the States of Alabama, Florida, Georgia, North Carolina, South Carolina, and Virginia; and no later than June 15, 1962, in all other States, and (2) the terms of the lease are reduced to writing and filed no later than July 30, 1962, in the county office for the county in which the farms involved are located.

2. A new paragraph (t) is added to § 725.1328 to read as follows:

(t) Notwithstanding the foregoing provisions of this section, the dissolution of a lease for the 1962 crop year made pursuant to this section shall be effective if (1) the county committee, with the approval of a representative of the State committee, finds the dissolution of the lease was agreed upon no later than May 31, 1962, in the States of Alabama, Florida, Georgia, North Carolina, South Carolina, and Virginia; and no later than June 15, 1962, in all other States, and (2) the terms of the dissolution are reduced to writing and filed no later than July 30, 1962, in the county office for the county in which the farms involved are located.

(Secs. 316, 375, 75 Stat. 469, 52 Stat. 66, as amended; 7 U.S.C. 1316, 1375; Pub. Laws 87-200, 87-530)

Effective date: Date of filing with the Director, Office of the Federal Register.

Signed at Washington, D.C., on July 13, 1962.

H. D. GODFREY,  
Administrator, Agricultural Stabilization and Conservation Service.

[F.R. Doc. 62-6960; Filed, July 13, 1962;  
9:52 a.m.]

## Chapter IX—Agricultural Marketing Service (Marketing Agreements and Orders), Department of Agriculture

[Valencia Orange Reg. 21]

### PART 908—VALENCIA ORANGES GROWN IN ARIZONA AND DESIGNATED PART OF CALIFORNIA

#### Limitation of Handling

§ 908.321 Valencia Orange Regulation 21.

(a) *Findings.* (1) Pursuant to the marketing agreement and Order No. 908,

as amended (7 CFR Part 908), regulating the handling of Valencia oranges grown in Arizona and designated part of California, effective under the applicable provisions of the Agricultural Marketing Agreement Act of 1937, as amended (7 U.S.C. 601-674), and upon the basis of the recommendations and information submitted by the Valencia Orange Administrative Committee, established under the said marketing agreement and order, as amended, and upon other available information, it is hereby found that the limitation of handling of such Valencia oranges as hereinafter provided will tend to effectuate the declared policy of the act.

(2) It is hereby further found that it is impracticable and contrary to the public interest to give preliminary notice, engage in public rule-making procedure, and postpone the effective date of this section until 30 days after publication hereof in the FEDERAL REGISTER (5 U.S.C. 1001-1011) because the time intervening between the date when information upon which this section is based became available and the time when this section must become effective in order to effectuate the declared policy of the act is insufficient, and a reasonable time is permitted, under the circumstances, for preparation for such effective time; and good cause exists for making the provisions hereof effective as hereinafter set forth. The committee held an open meeting during the current week, after giving due notice thereof, to consider supply and market conditions for Valencia oranges and the need for regulation; interested persons were afforded an opportunity to submit information and views at this meeting; the recommendation and supporting information for regulation during the period specified herein were promptly submitted to the Department after such meeting was held; the provisions of this section, including its effective time, are identical with the aforesaid recommendation of the committee, and information concerning such provisions and effective time has been disseminated among handlers of such Valencia oranges; it is necessary, in order to effectuate the declared policy of the act, to make this section effective during the period herein specified; and compliance with this section will not require any special preparation on the part of persons subject hereto which cannot be completed on or before the effective date hereof. Such committee meeting was held on July 12, 1962.

(b) *Order.* (1) The respective quantities of Valencia oranges grown in Arizona and designated part of California which may be handled during the period beginning at 12:01 a.m., P.s.t., July 15, 1962, and ending at 12:01 a.m., P.s.t., July 22, 1962, are hereby fixed as follows:

- (i) District 1: Unlimited movement;
  - (ii) District 2: 400,000 cartons;
  - (iii) District 3: Unlimited movement.
- (2) As used in this section, "handled," "handler," "District 1," "District 2," "District 3," and "carton" have the same meaning as when used in said marketing agreement and order, as amended.

(Secs. 1-19, 48 Stat. 31, as amended; 7 U.S.C. 601-674)

Dated: July 13, 1962.

PAUL A. NICHOLSON,  
Deputy Director, Fruit and  
Vegetable Division, Agricultural  
Marketing Service.

[F.R. Doc. 62-6986; Filed, July 13, 1962;  
11:38 a.m.]

[Lemon Reg. 30]

**PART 910—LEMONS GROWN IN CALIFORNIA AND ARIZONA**

**Limitation of Handling**

§ 910.330 Lemon Regulation 30.

(a) *Findings.* (1) Pursuant to the marketing agreement, as amended, and Order No. 910, as amended (7 CFR Part 910), regulating the handling of lemons grown in California and Arizona, effective under the applicable provisions of the Agricultural Marketing Agreement Act of 1937, as amended (7 U.S.C. 601-674), and upon the basis of the recommendation and information submitted by the Lemon Administrative Committee, established under the said amended marketing agreement and order, and upon other available information, it is hereby found that the limitation of handling of such lemons as hereinafter provided will tend to effectuate the declared policy of the act.

(2) It is hereby further found that it is impracticable and contrary to the public interest to give preliminary notice, engage in public rule-making procedure, and postpone the effective date of this section until 30 days after publication hereof in the FEDERAL REGISTER (5 U.S.C. 1001-1011) because the time intervening between the date when information upon which this section is based became available and the time when this section must become effective in order to effectuate the declared policy of the act is insufficient, and a reasonable time is permitted, under the circumstances, for preparation for such effective time; and good cause exists for making the provisions hereof effective as hereinafter set forth. The committee held an open meeting during the current week, after giving due notice thereof, to consider supply and market conditions for lemons and the need for regulation; interested persons were afforded an opportunity to submit information and views at this meeting; the recommendation and supporting information for regulation during the period specified herein were promptly submitted to the Department after such meeting was held; the provisions of this section, including its effective time, are identical with the aforesaid recommendation of the committee, and information concerning such provisions and effective time has been disseminated among handlers of such lemons; it is necessary, in order to effectuate the declared policy of the act, to make this section effective during the period herein specified; and compliance with this section will not require any special preparation on the part of persons subject hereto which cannot be completed on or before the effective date

hereof. Such committee meeting was held on July 10, 1962.

(b) *Order.* (1) The respective quantities of lemons grown in California and Arizona which may be handled during the period beginning at 12:01 a.m., P.s.t., July 15, 1962, and ending at 12:01 a.m., P.s.t., July 22, 1962, are hereby fixed as follows:

- (i) District 1: Unlimited movement;
- (ii) District 2: 325,500 cartons;
- (iii) District 3: Unlimited movement.

(2) As used in this section, "handled," "District 1," "District 2," "District 3," and "carton" have the same meaning as when used in the said amended marketing agreement and order.

(Secs. 1-19, 48 Stat. 31, as amended; 7 U.S.C. 601-674)

Dated: July 11, 1962.

PAUL A. NICHOLSON,  
Deputy Director, Fruit and Veg-  
etable Division, Agricultural  
Marketing Service.

[F.R. Doc. 62-6983; Filed, July 13, 1962;  
8:57 a.m.]

[Avocado Order 1, Amdt. 1]

**PART 915—AVOCADOS GROWN IN SOUTH FLORIDA**

**Limitation of Shipments**

*Findings.* (1) Pursuant to the marketing agreement, as amended, and Order No. 915, as amended (7 CFR Part 915), regulating the handling of avocados grown in south Florida, effective under

the applicable provisions of the Agricultural Marketing Agreement Act of 1937, as amended (7 U.S.C. 601-674), and upon the basis of the recommendations of the Avocado Administrative Committee, established under the aforesaid marketing agreement and order, and upon other available information, it is hereby found that the limitation of handling of avocados, as hereinafter provided, will tend to effectuate the declared policy of the act.

(2) It is hereby further found that it is impracticable, unnecessary, and contrary to the public interest to give preliminary notice, engage in public rule-making procedure, and postpone the effective date of this amendment until 30 days after publication thereof in the FEDERAL REGISTER (5 U.S.C. 1001-1011) in that the time intervening between the date when information upon which this amendment is based became available and the time when this amendment must become effective in order to effectuate the declared policy of the act is insufficient, and this amendment relieves restriction on the handling of avocados.

It is therefore ordered, That the provisions of paragraph (b) of § 915.301 (27 F.R. 5135) are hereby amended as follows:

I. In Table 1, the weight and diameter appearing in Column 3, and the date appearing in Column 4, applicable to the Hardee variety are revised so that after such revision the portion of such table applicable to Hardee variety shall read as follows:

Variety	Date	Minimum weight or diameter	Date	Minimum weight or diameter	Date	Minimum weight or diameter	Date
(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)	(7)	(8)
Hardee.....	7-9-62	13 oz. 3 3/4 in.	8-6-62				

II. Subdivision (v) of subparagraph (7) is deleted.

III. Subdivision (iv) of subparagraph (8) is deleted.

IV. The following new subparagraph (10) is added:

(10) The provisions of subparagraphs (2) through (9) of this paragraph shall not apply to any variety, except the Linda variety, of avocados which, when mature, normally change color to any shade of red or purple and any portion of the skin of the individual fruit has changed to the color for that fruit when mature.

The provisions of this amendment shall become effective at 12:01 a.m., e.s.t., July 16, 1962.

(Secs. 1-19, 48 Stat. 31, as amended; 7 U.S.C. 601-674)

Dated: July 11, 1962.

PAUL A. NICHOLSON,  
Deputy Director, Fruit and Veg-  
etable Division, Agricultural  
Marketing Service.

[F.R. Doc. 62-6984; Filed, July 13, 1962;  
8:57 a.m.]

**Chapter X—Agricultural Stabilization and Conservation Service (Marketing Agreements and Orders), Department of Agriculture**

[Milk Order 133]

**PART 1133—MILK IN INLAND EMPIRE MARKETING AREA**

**Order Suspending Certain Provisions**

Pursuant to the provisions of the Agricultural Marketing Agreement Act of 1937, as amended (7 U.S.C. 601 et seq.), and of the order regulating the handling of milk in the Inland Empire marketing area (7 CFR Part 1133), it is hereby found and determined that:

(a) The following provisions of the order, no longer tend to effectuate the declared policy of the Act from the effective date through August 31, 1962.

(1) In § 1133.12(c) (1) the phrase "during the months of December through June".

(2) In § 1133.15(c) (1) the phrase "during any of the months of December through June".

(b) Notice of proposed rule making, public procedure thereon, and 30 days

notice of effective date hereof are impractical, unnecessary, and contrary to the public interest in that:

(1) This suspension order does not require of persons affected substantial or extensive preparation prior to the effective date.

(2) This suspension order is necessary to reflect current marketing conditions and to maintain orderly marketing conditions in the marketing area.

(3) This suspension will permit handlers to divert producer milk to nonpool plants for the remainder of July and August. The order presently provides for diversion during the months of December through June. However, unusually favorable weather conditions in the production area have resulted in an excess supply of producer milk. Pool plants have only limited facilities for handling milk in excess of fluid needs. This action will provide that such excess milk may continue to be pooled.

(4) This suspension action is based on the request of producer associations representing more than 75 percent of the producers supplying the market, which is supported by the major handlers of the market.

Therefore, good cause exists for making this order effective upon publication in the FEDERAL REGISTER.

It is therefore ordered, That the aforesaid provisions of the order are hereby suspended for the period from the effective date hereof through August 31, 1962.

(Secs. 1-19, 48 Stat. 31, as amended; 7 U.S.C. 601-674)

Effective upon publication in the FEDERAL REGISTER.

Signed at Washington, D.C., on July 11, 1962.

JOHN P. DUNCAN, JR.,  
Assistant Secretary.

[F.R. Doc. 62-6914; Filed, July 13, 1962; 8:57 a.m.]

## Title 9—ANIMALS AND ANIMAL PRODUCTS

### Chapter I—Agricultural Research Service, Department of Agriculture

#### SUBCHAPTER C—INTERSTATE TRANSPORTATION OF ANIMALS AND POULTRY

#### PART 78—BRUCELLOSIS IN DOMESTIC ANIMALS

#### Subpart D—Designation of Modified Certified Brucellosis Areas, Public Stockyards, Specifically Approved Stockyards, and Slaughtering Establishments

##### MODIFIED CERTIFIED BRUCELLOSIS AREAS

Pursuant to § 78.16 of the regulations in Part 78, as amended, Title 9, Code of Federal Regulations, containing restrictions on the interstate movement of animals because of brucellosis, under sections 4, 5, and 13 of the Act of May 29, 1884, as amended, sections 1 and 2 of the Act of February 2, 1903, as amended, and section 3 of the Act of March 3, 1905, as amended (21 U.S.C. 111-113, 114a-1,

120, 121, 125), § 78.13 of said regulations designating modified certified brucellosis areas is hereby amended to read as follows:

##### § 78.13 Modified certified brucellosis areas.

The following States, or specified portions thereof, are hereby designated as modified certified brucellosis areas:

*Alabama.* Baldwin, Barbour, Blount, Calhoun, Chambers, Cherokee, Clay, Cleburne, Coffee, Coosa, Covington, Cullman, Dale, De Kalb, Escambia, Etowah, Geneva, Henry, Houston, Jackson, Lauderdale, Lee, Limestone, Macon, Madison, Marshall, Morgan, Randolph, Russell, St. Clair, Talladega, and Tallapoosa Counties;

*Arizona.* The entire State;

*Arkansas.* The entire State;

*California.* Alameda, Alpine, Amador, Butte, Calaveras, Colusa, Contra Costa, Del Norte, El Dorado, Fresno, Glenn, Humboldt, Imperial, Inyo, Kern, Kings, Lake, Lassen, Los Angeles, Madera, Marin, Mariposa, Mendocino, Merced, Modoc, Mono, Monterey, Napa, Nevada, Placer, Plumas, Riverside, Sacramento, San Benito, San Bernardino, San Diego, San Francisco, San Joaquin, San Luis Obispo, San Mateo, Santa Barbara, Santa Clara, Santa Cruz, Shasta, Sierra, Siskiyou, Solano, Sonoma, Stanislaus, Sutter, Tehama, Trinity, Tulare, Tuolumne, Ventura, Yolo, and Yuba Counties;

*Colorado.* Alamosa, Archuleta, Baca, Chaffee, Conejos, Costilla, Custer, Delta, Denver, Dolores, Eagle, Garfield, Gunnison, Hinsdale, Huerfano, Kit Carson, La Plata, Las Animas, Lincoln, Logan, Mesa, Mineral, Moffat, Montezuma, Montrose, Morgan, Ouray, Phillips, Pitkin, Pueblo, Rio Grande, Saguache, San Juan, San Miguel, Sedgwick, Washington, and Yuma Counties; and Southern Ute Indian Reservation and Ute Mountain Ute Reservation;

*Connecticut.* The entire State;

*Delaware.* The entire State;

*Florida.* Baker, Bay, Bradford, Calhoun, Columbia, Dixie, Escambia, Flagler, Franklin, Gadsden, Gilchrist, Gulf, Hamilton, Holmes, Jackson, Jefferson, Lafayette, Leon, Levy, Liberty, Madison, Nassau, Okaloosa, Santa Rosa, Suwannee, Taylor, Union, Wakulla, Walton, and Washington Counties;

*Georgia.* The entire State;

*Idaho.* The entire State;

*Illinois.* Alexander, Bond, Boone, Bureau, Carroll, Cass, Champaign, Christian, Clark, Clay, Clinton, Coles, Cook, Crawford, Cumberland, De Kalb, De Witt, Douglas, Du Page, Edgar, Edwards, Effingham, Fayette, Ford, Franklin, Gallatin, Greene, Grundy, Hamilton, Iroquois, Jackson, Jasper, Jefferson, Jersey, Jo Daviess, Johnson, Kane, Kankakee, Kendall, Knox, Lake, La Salle, Lawrence, Lee, Livingston, Logan, McHenry, McLean, Macon, Macoupin, Madison, Mason, Massac, Menard, Mercer, Monroe, Montgomery, Morgan, Moultrie, Ogle, Perry, Piatt, Pulaski, Putnam, Randolph, Richland, Rock Island, St. Clair, Saline, Sangamon, Shelby, Stark, Stephenson, Tazewell, Union, Vermilion, Wabash, Washington, Wayne, White, Whiteside, Will, Williamson, Winnebago, and Woodford Counties;

*Indiana.* The entire State;

*Iowa.* Audubon, Boone, Carroll, Clinton, Delaware, Dickinson, Emmet, Fayette, Greene, Hamilton, Lyon, Mitchell, Monona, O'Brien, Osceola, Palo Alto, Pocahontas, Polk, Sac, Scott, Wapello, Warren, Winnebago, Woodbury, and Wright Counties;

*Kansas.* Allen, Barber, Barton, Cheyenne, Clark, Clay, Comanche, Decatur, Dickinson, Finney, Ford, Franklin, Geary, Gove, Graham, Gray, Greeley, Hamilton, Harper, Harvey, Haskell, Jefferson, Johnson, Kearney, Kingmar, Kiowa, Lane, Leavenworth, Linn, Logan, Marshall, Meade, Miami, Mitchell, Morris, Morton, Nemaha, Norton, Osage,

Osborne, Pawnee, Phillips, Rawlins, Reno, Rice, Riley, Rooks, Rush, Russell, Scott, Sheridan, Sherman, Smith, Stanton, Thomas, Trego, Wallace, Wichita, and Wyandotte Counties;

*Kentucky.* Allen, Anderson, Ballard, Barren, Boone, Boyd, Bracken, Breathitt, Breckinridge, Butler, Calloway, Campbell, Carlisle, Carroll, Carter, Clay, Clinton, Crittenden, Cumberland, Edmondson, Elliott, Estill, Floyd, Franklin, Fulton, Gallatin, Grant, Graves, Green, Greenup, Hardin, Harlan, Harrison, Hart, Henderson, Henry, Hickman, Hopkins, Jackson, Jefferson, Johnson, Kenton, Knott, Knox, Larue, Laurel, Lawrence, Leslie, Letcher, Lewis, Lincoln, Livingston, Logan, McCracken, McCreary, McLean, Meadoffin, Marion, Marshall, Martin, Mason, Meade, Menifee, Mercer, Metcalfe, Monroe, Morgan, Muhlenberg, Nelson, Ohio, Oldham, Owen, Pendleton, Perry, Pulaski, Robertson, Rockcastle, Rowan, Shelby, Simpson, Spencer, Todd, Trigg, Trimble, Union, Warren, Washington, Wayne, Webster, Whitley, and Wolfe Counties;

*Louisiana.* Ascension, Assumption, Claiborne, St. Helena, St. James, St. John the Baptist, and Webster Parishes;

*Maine.* The entire State;

*Maryland.* The entire State;

*Massachusetts.* The entire State;

*Michigan.* The entire State;

*Minnesota.* The entire State;

*Mississippi.* Alcorn, Amite, Attala, Chocataw, Desoto, Forrest, Franklin, George, Greene, Hancock, Harrison, Itawamba, Jackson, Jasper, Jefferson Davis, Jones, Lamar, Lawrence, Leake, Lee, Lowndes, Marion, Neshoba, Newton, Pearl River, Perry, Pike, Pontotoc, Prentiss, Simpson, Smith, Stone, Tallahatchie, Tippah, Tishomingo, Union, Walthall, Webster, Winston, and Yalobusha Counties;

*Missouri.* Adair, Barry, Bates, Benton, Bollinger, Buchanan, Butler, Callaway, Camden, Cape Girardeau, Carroll, Carter, Cass, Cedar, Christian, Clark, Cole, Crawford, Dade, Daviess, De Kalb, Dent, Dunklin, Franklin, Gasconade, Gentry, Greene, Grundy, Harrison, Henry, Hickory, Holt, Howard, Iron, Jackson, Jasper, Jefferson, Knox, Lafayette, Lawrence, Linn, Livingston, McDonald, Macon, Madison, Maries, Marion, Mercer, Miller, Mississippi, Monroe, Montgomery, Morgan, New Madrid, Oregon, Osage, Ozark, Pemiscot, Perry, Pettis, Phelps, Platte, Polk, Pulaski, Ralls, Randolph, Reynolds, Ripley, St. Charles, St. Clair, St. Francois, Ste. Genevieve, St. Louis, Saline, Scotland, Scott, Shannon, Shelby, Stoddard, Stone, Sullivan, Taney, Texas, Vernon, Warren, Washington, Wayne, Webster, and Worth Counties;

*Montana.* Beaverhead, Big Horn, Blaine, Broadwater, Carbon, Carter, Cascade, Chouteau, Daniels, Dawson, Deer Lodge, Fallon, Fergus, Flathead, Gallatin, Garfield, Glacier, Golden Valley, Granite, Hill, Jefferson, Judith Basin, Lake, Lewis and Clark, Liberty, Lincoln, McCone, Madison, Meagher, Mineral, Missoula, Musselshell, Park, Petroleum, Phillips, Pondera, Powell, Prairie, Ravalli, Richland, Roosevelt, Rosebud, Sanders, Sheridan, Silver Bow, Stillwater, Sweet Grass, Teton, Toole, Treasure, Valley, Wheatland, Wibaux, and Yellowstone Counties;

*Nebraska.* Adams, Banner, Burt, Butler, Cass, Cedar, Chase, Cheyenne, Clay, Colfax, Cuming, Dakota, Deuel, Dixon, Dodge, Douglas, Dundy, Fillmore, Franklin, Furnas, Gage, Gosper, Hall, Hamilton, Harlan, Hitchcock, Howard, Jefferson, Johnson, Kimball, Lancaster, Madison, Merrick, Nance, Nemaha, Nuckolls, Otoe, Pawnee, Perkins, Pierce, Platte, Polk, Richardson, Saline, Sarpy, Saunders, Seward, Stanton, Thayer, Thurston, Washington, Wayne, Webster, and York Counties;

*Nevada.* The entire State;

*New Hampshire.* The entire State;

*New Jersey.* The entire State;  
*New Mexico.* The entire State;  
*New York.* The entire State;  
*North Carolina.* The entire State;  
*North Dakota.* Adams, Barnes, Benson, Billings, Bottineau, Bowman, Burke, Cass, Cavalier, Divide, Dunn, Eddy, Emmons, Foster, Grand Forks, Grant, Griggs, Hettinger, Kidder, McHenry, McKenzie, McLean, Mercer, Morton, Mountrail, Nelson, Oliver, Pembina, Pierce, Ramsey, Ransom, Renville, Richland, Rolette, Sargent, Sheridan, Sioux, Slope, Stark, Steele, Towner, Traill, Walsh, Ward, Wells, and Williams Counties;

*Ohio.* Allen, Athens, Auglaize, Belmont, Butler, Carroll, Champaign, Clark, Clinton, Columbiana, Coshocton, Crawford, Cuyahoga, Defiance, Delaware, Fayette, Franklin, Fulton, Greene, Guernsey, Hancock, Hardin, Harrison, Henry, Hocking, Jackson, Knox, Lake, Licking, Logan, Lorain, Lucas, Mahoning, Marion, Medina, Meigs, Mercer, Miami, Monroe, Montgomery, Morrow, Muskingum, Noble, Ottawa, Paulding, Perry, Pickaway, Pike, Portage, Preble, Putnam, Ross, Sandusky, Scioto, Seneca, Shelby, Stark, Summit, Tuscarawas, Union, Van Wert, Vinton, Warren, Washington, Williams, Wood, and Wyandot Counties;

*Oklahoma.* Adair, Choctaw, Cimarron, Delaware, Grant, Mayes, Nowata, and Ottawa Counties;

*Oregon.* The entire State;

*Pennsylvania.* The entire State;

*Rhode Island.* The entire State;

*South Carolina.* The entire State;

*South Dakota.* Brookings, Buffalo, Butte, Campbell, Clark, Clay, Codington, Custer, Day, Deuel, Edmunds, Faulk, Grant, Hamlin, Hand, Harding, Lawrence, Lincoln, McPherson, Marshall, Miner, Minnehaha, Moody, Perkins, Roberts, Turner, Union, and Walworth Counties;

*Tennessee.* The entire State;

*Texas.* Andrews, Bailey, Bandera, Baylor, Blanco, Borden, Brewster, Briscoe, Brown, Burnet, Cameron, Childress, Cochran, Coke, Coleman, Comal, Concho, Cottle, Crane, Crockett, Crosby, Culberson, Dallam, Dawson, Ector, Edwards, El Paso, Fisher, Gaines, Gillespie, Glascock, Hardeman, Hartley, Haskell, Hays, Hidalgo, Howard, Hudspeth, Irion, Jeff Davis, Kendall, Kerr, Kimble, King, Kinney, Lampasas, Lipscomb, Llano, Loving, McCulloch, Martin, Mason, Menard, Midland, Mills, Mitchell, Motley, Nolan, Ochiltree, Oldham, Parmer, Pecos, Presidio, Reagan, Real, Reeves, Runnels, San Saba, Schleicher, Scurry, Shackelford, Stephens, Sterling, Stonewall, Sutton, Taylor, Terrell, Throckmorton, Tom Green, Upton, Val Verde, Ward, Winkler, and Young Counties;

*Utah.* The entire State;

*Vermont.* The entire State;

*Virginia.* The entire State;

*Washington.* The entire State;

*West Virginia.* The entire State;

*Wisconsin.* The entire State;

*Wyoming.* Albany, Big Horn, Campbell, Crook, Fremont, Goshen, Hot Springs, Laramie, Lincoln, Niobrara, Park, Sweetwater, Teton, Uinta, Washakie, and Weston Counties;

*Puerto Rico.* The entire area; and

*Virgin Islands of the United States.* The entire area.

(Secs. 4, 5, 23 Stat. 32, as amended, secs. 1, 2, 32 Stat. 791-792, as amended, sec. 3, 33 Stat. 1265, as amended, sec. 13, 65 Stat. 693; 21 U.S.C. 111-113, 114a-1, 120, 121, 125; 19 F.R. 74, as amended; 9 CFR 78.16)

*Effective date.* The foregoing amendment shall become effective upon publication in the FEDERAL REGISTER.

The amendment adds the following additional areas to the list of areas designat-

ated as modified certified brucellosis areas because it has been determined that such areas come within the definition of § 78.1(i): Blount, Coosa, Macon, and St. Clair Counties in Alabama; Cass and Sangamon Counties in Illinois; Dickinson, Finney, Lane, and Rush Counties in Kansas; Lewis County in Kentucky; St. James Parish in Louisiana; Barry, Bates, Benton, Carroll, Cass, Cole, De Kalb, Henry, Knox, Mississippi, Morgan, St. Clair, St. Louis, and Shannon Counties in Missouri; Madison County in Nebraska; Grant and Ottawa Counties in Oklahoma; Anderson, Greenville, and Oconee Counties in South Carolina; Clark and Grant Counties in South Dakota; Bailey, Briscoe, Cochran, Crosby, and Gaines Counties in Texas; Albemarle, Amherst, Charlotte, Floyd, Grayson, Henry, Montgomery, Prince Edward, Rockbridge, Russell, Shenandoah, Smyth, and Tazewell Counties in Virginia; and Goshen County in Wyoming.

The amendment deletes the following areas from the list of areas designated as modified certified brucellosis areas because it has been determined that such areas no longer come within the definition of § 78.1(i): Benton and Oktibbeha Counties in Mississippi; Dallas and Lincoln Counties in Missouri; and Morgan County in Ohio.

The amendment imposes certain restrictions necessary to prevent the spread of brucellosis in cattle and relieves certain restrictions presently imposed. It should be made effective promptly in order to accomplish its purpose in the public interest and to be of maximum benefit to persons subject to the restrictions which are relieved. Accordingly, under section 4 of the Administrative Procedure Act (5 U.S.C. 1003), it is found upon good cause that notice and other public procedure with respect to the amendment are impracticable and contrary to the public interest, and good cause is found for making the amendment effective less than 30 days after publication in the FEDERAL REGISTER.

Done at Washington, D.C., this 10th day of July 1962.

E. E. SAULMON,  
 Acting Director, Animal Disease Eradication Division, Agricultural Research Service.

[F.R. Doc. 62-6913; Filed, July 13, 1962; 8:57 a.m.]

## Title 10—ATOMIC ENERGY

### Chapter I—Atomic Energy Commission

#### PART 112—DOMINIC NUCLEAR TEST SERIES, 1962

##### Miscellaneous Amendments

On July 12, 1962, Joint Task Force—8 issued public notice of the disestablishment of the Dominic nuclear test series danger area surrounding Christmas Island and a reduction in the size of the danger area surrounding Johnston Island. The prohibitions of § 112.4 with

respect to the Christmas Island danger areas, as defined in § 112.3(a) (1) and (2), and a portion of the Johnston Island danger area as defined in § 112.3(a) (3) are no longer necessary, and the following amendments to Part 112 are issued to effect their termination.

Inasmuch as this action is intended to relieve from, rather than to impose restrictions under regulations currently in effect, the Atomic Energy Commission has found that general notice of proposed rule-making and public procedures thereon are unnecessary and that good cause exists why this amendment should be made effective without the customary period of notice.

Pursuant to the Administrative Procedure Act, Public Law 404, 79th Congress, 2d Session, the following amendments are published as a document subject to codification, to be effective midnight July 12, 1962 Honolulu standard time.

##### § 112.3 [Amendment]

1. Section 112.3 is amended as follows:  
 a. Paragraph (a) (1) and (2) are revoked.

b. Paragraph (a) (3) is amended as follows:

(3) That area established, effective April 30, 1962, until a date to be announced, encompassing Johnston Island and which is a circle of 470 nautical miles radius at the surface gradually extending to a circle of 700 nautical miles radius at an altitude of 30,000 feet and above, centered at the following geographic coordinates:

16°45' N., and 169°31' W.

c. Paragraph (b) is amended as follows:

(b) "Dominic test series" means that series of nuclear tests conducted by the Atomic Energy Commission and the Department of Defense in the areas surrounding Johnston Island, within the danger area as defined in paragraph (a) of this section, which tests began in 1962 and will end at an announced time during the calendar year 1962.

2. Section 112.4 is amended as follows:

##### § 112.4 Prohibition.

No United States citizen or other person who is within the scope of this part shall enter, attempt to enter, conspire to enter or remain in the defined danger area (§ 112.3(a)) during the effective period of these regulations except with the express approval of appropriate officials of the Atomic Energy Commission or the Department of Defense.

(Sec. 161 p., 72 Stat. 337; 42 U.S.C. 2201(p). Interpret or apply §§ 2, 3, 91, 68 Stat. 921, as amended, 922, 936; 42 U.S.C. 2012, 2013, 2021)

Dated at Germantown, Md., this 12th day of July 1962.

For the Atomic Energy Commission.

A. R. LUEDECKE,  
 General Manager.

[F.R. Doc. 62-6967; Filed, July 13, 1962; 11:25 a.m.]

## Title 14—AERONAUTICS AND SPACE

### Chapter II—Civil Aeronautics Board

#### SUBCHAPTER B—PROCEDURAL REGULATIONS

[Reg. No. PR-65]

#### PART 302—RULES OF PRACTICE IN ECONOMIC PROCEEDINGS

##### Interim Certification of Supplemental Air Carriers; Special Procedural Regulation

By Public Law 87-528, enacted July 10, 1962, Congress has provided for the certification of supplemental air carriers under section 401(d)(3) of the Federal Aviation Act. In addition, it has enacted provisions designed to ensure that supplemental air transportation provided by qualified members of the present class of supplemental air carriers as well as certain former all-cargo air carriers, will be available to the public during the pendency of certification proceedings under section 401(d)(3). Thus, the new legislation authorizes the Board to issue a new interim certificate or new interim authority to those applicants for certification which meet specified statutory tests concerning their past authorization and operations and which the Board determines to be fit, willing and able to perform supplemental air transportation and to comply with all applicable legal requirements of the Board and of the Administrator of the Federal Aviation Agency. Public Law 87-528, section 7.

Section 8 (b) and (c) of Public Law 87-528 terminates all presently outstanding authority for supplemental air transportation and all presently outstanding authority of large irregular air carriers effective 30 days after its enactment. At the same time, however, section 8(a) confers operating rights, expiring 90 days after enactment, on those carriers which within said 30 days have applied for certification under new section 401(d)(3) of the Act. Supplemental air carriers which have not received interim authority from the Board within the 90 days are, thereafter, entirely without any operating authority under the Act. This Special Procedural Regulation has been designed to facilitate the effective and expeditious handling of proceedings under section 7 in order to conclude such proceedings within the period of 90 days from enactment of the new legislation, or as soon thereafter as possible.

Since this regulation is not a substantive rule, but one of agency procedure, notice and public procedure thereon are not required and the regulation may become effective upon less than 30 days' notice.

In consideration of the foregoing, the following Special Procedural Regulation is hereby adopted, effective upon the date of its publication in the FEDERAL REGISTER:

**SECTION 1. Applicability.** This Special Procedural Regulation applies only to

proceedings under section 7 (a), (b) or (c) of Public Law 87-528. Applications for certification under new section 401 (d) (3) of the Federal Aviation Act are governed by Part 201 of the Economic Regulations.

**SEC. 2. Applications.** Applications for a new interim certificate or new interim authority under section 7 of Public Law 87-528 shall show that the applicant has timely filed a separate application for certification under section 401(d)(3) of the Federal Aviation Act. Applicants under subsection (c) shall identify the Board order which has become final within 30 days prior to the filing of their application. Applicants shall show the information required under subsections (a), (b) or (c) of said section 7, as the case may be, setting forth fully what they deem their prima facie case for new interim authority or a new interim certificate.

**SEC. 3. Answers and replies.** Any interested person who wishes to oppose interim authorization shall file and serve on the applicant an answer within ten days after the date of filing of the application. Individual replies or a consolidated reply to the answers shall be filed and served upon each person who has filed an answer within ten days after expiration of the time for filing answers.

**SEC. 4. Form of pleadings.** Applications, answers and replies shall comply with the requirements of §§ 302.3 and 302.4 of the Procedural Regulations, and all factual matter therein or in supporting papers shall be verified by the affidavit of a person or persons having direct knowledge thereof in accordance with the provisions of § 302.202. Applications, answers and replies may also contain supporting argument, but separate briefs may not be filed.

**SEC. 5. Further proceedings.** The Board may grant or deny the application upon the basis of the verified pleadings provided for in sections 2 to 4 hereof. As to any applicant the Board may also consider all reports required to be filed with the Board by such applicant as well as any factual evidence of record in proceedings currently pending before the Board involving the request of such applicant for a certificate to engage in supplemental air transportation. However, the Board may by order provide for further procedural steps where it finds it necessary or desirable. Petitions for reconsideration, rehearing or reargument of Board orders granting or denying interim authority may be filed pursuant to § 302.37 of Part 302 of the Procedural Regulations.

**SEC. 6. Interim relief.** Requests for extension of operating authority beyond the 90 days provided in section 8(a) of Public Law 87-528 will not be entertained by the Board. Petitions for exemption authority under section 416 (b) of the Act will not be deemed applications for renewal or a new license extending existing operating authority beyond said 90 days under section 9(b) of the Administrative Procedure Act.

(Sec. 204(a), 72 Stat. 743; 49 U.S.C. 1324. Interpret or apply sec. 1001, 72 Stat. 788; 49 U.S.C. 1481, sec. 7 of the Act of July 10, 1962, Pub. Law 87-528)

Effective date: July 14, 1962.

Adopted: July 11, 1962.

By the Civil Aeronautics Board.

[SEAL] HAROLD R. SANDERSON,  
Secretary.

[F.R. Doc. 62-6898; Filed, July 13, 1962; 8:54 a.m.]

### Chapter III—Federal Aviation Agency

#### SUBCHAPTER E—AIR NAVIGATION REGULATIONS

[Airspace Docket No. 62-PC-8]

#### PART 608—SPECIAL USE AIRSPACE

##### Alteration of Restricted Area

The purpose of this amendment to § 608.31 of the regulations of the Administrator is to alter the Kauna Point, Hawaii, Restricted Area R-3108.

The Department of the Navy has stated an urgent and immediate requirement for the expansion in the time of designation for R-3108. The Navy has advised that additional aircraft carriers are now being deployed through the Hawaiian area and, as part of the deployment, will undergo a three-week training period in this area, conducting activities in R-3108 similar to those now being conducted. The Navy further states that the present time of designation for R-3108, sunrise to sunset, Monday through Friday, does not provide sufficient time to accomplish the accelerated training requirements, and only by expanding the time of designation to continuous can these requirements be met. The Navy has agreed to the joint use of R-3108 with the Maui Combined Station/Tower designated as controlling agency. This will provide for more efficient and economical use of the airspace.

For the reasons stated above, the Administrator finds that a condition exists which requires expeditious action in the interest of national defense and safety and that notice and public procedure hereon are impracticable and contrary to the public interest, and that good cause exists for making this amendment effective immediately.

In consideration of the foregoing, and pursuant to the authority delegated to me by the Administrator (25 F.R. 12582), the following action is taken:

In § 608.31 *Hawaii*, R-3108 Kauna Point, Hawaii (14 CFR 608.31) is amended to read:

R-3108 Kauna Point, Hawaii:

*Boundaries.* Beginning at latitude 19°15'00" N., longitude 155°56'00" W.; to latitude 18°58'43" N., longitude 155°47'30" W.; to latitude 18°56'22" N., longitude 155°47'30" W.; thence clockwise 3 nautical miles from the shoreline to latitude 19°14'35" N., longitude 155°57'30" W.; to the point of beginning.

*Designated altitudes.* Surface to flight level 500.

*Time of designation.* Continuous.

Controlling agency. Federal Aviation Agency, Maui Combined Station/Tower. Using agency. Commander, Fleet Air Hawaii, NAS Barber's Point, Hawaii.

This amendment shall become effective upon the date of publication in the FEDERAL REGISTER.

(Sec. 307(a), 72 Stat. 749; 49 U.S.C. 1348)  
Issued in Washington, D.C., on July 11, 1962.

D. D. THOMAS,  
Director, Air Traffic Service.

[F.R. Doc. 62-6868; Filed, July 13, 1962; 8:45 a.m.]

[Airspace Docket No. 62-PC-9]

**PART 608—SPECIAL USE AIRSPACE**  
**Alteration of Restricted Area**

The purpose of this amendment to § 608.31 of the Regulations of the Administrator is to alter the Kahuku Point, Hawaii, Restricted Area R-3106.

The Department of the Navy has stated an urgent and immediate requirement for the expansion in the time of designation for R-3106. The Navy has advised that additional aircraft carriers are now being deployed through the Hawaiian area and, as part of the deployment, will undergo a three-week training period in this area, conducting activities in R-3106 similar to those now being conducted. The Navy further states that the present time of designation for R-3106, 0800 to 1600 Hawaiian Standard Time, Monday through Friday, does not provide sufficient time to accomplish the accelerated training requirements, and only by expanding the time of designation to continuous can these requirements be met.

For the reasons stated above, the Administrator finds that a condition exists which requires expeditious action in the interest of national defense and safety and that notice and public procedure hereon are impracticable and contrary to the public interest, and that good cause exists for making this amendment effective immediately.

In consideration of the foregoing, and pursuant to the authority delegated to me by the Administrator (25 F.R. 12582), the following action is taken:

In § 608.31, R-3106 Kahuku Point, Oahu, Hawaii (14 CFR 608.31, 27 F.R. 5426) "Time of designation. 0800 to 1600 Hawaiian standard time, Monday through Friday." is deleted and "Time of designation. Continuous." is substituted therefor.

This amendment shall become effective upon the date of publication in the FEDERAL REGISTER.

(Sec. 307(a), 72 Stat. 749; 49 U.S.C. 1348)

Issued in Washington, D.C., on July 11, 1962.

D. D. THOMAS,  
Director, Air Traffic Service.

[F.R. Doc. 62-6869; Filed, July 13, 1962; 8:45 a.m.]

**Title 16—COMMERCIAL PRACTICES**

**Chapter I—Federal Trade Commission**

[Docket 7517 c.o.]

**PART 13—PROHIBITED TRADE PRACTICES**

**Minute Maid Corp. and Minute Maid Groves Corp.**

Subpart—Discriminating in price under section 2, Clayton Act—Payment or acceptance of commission, brokerage or other compensation under 2(c): § 13.820 *Direct buyers.*

(Sec. 6, 38 Stat. 721; 15 U.S.C. 46. Interprets or applies sec. 2, 49 Stat. 1527; 15 U.S.C. 13) [Cease and desist order, Minute Maid Corporation (The Coca-Cola Company, New York, N.Y.) et al., Docket 7517, Mar. 7, 1962]

*In the Matter of Minute Maid Corporation, a corporation, and Minute Maid Groves Corporation, a Corporation*

Consent order requiring the Coca-Cola Company, legal successor through merger to Minute Maid Corp., and its wholly owned subsidiary, processors and packers of citrus fruit, among other things, to cease violating section 2(c) of the Clayton Act by paying a brokerage or commission to brokers and other buyers on purchases for their own accounts for resale usually at the rate of 10 cents per 1 1/2 bushel box and 5 cents per half box of fresh fruit and 3 percent of the net selling price of frozen citrus concentrates and frozen foods.

The order to cease and desist is as follows:

*It is ordered,* That respondents The Coca-Cola Company (successor through merger to Minute Maid Corporation, and operating through Minute Maid Company, a division of The Coca-Cola Company), and Minute Maid Groves Corporation, a corporation, and respondents' officers, agents, representatives and employees, directly or through any corporate or other device in connection with the sale of citrus fruit or fruit products in commerce, as "commerce" is defined in the Clayton Act, as amended, do forthwith cease and desist from: Paying, granting or allowing, directly or indirectly, to any buyer, or to anyone acting for or in behalf of, or who is subject to the direct or indirect control of such buyer, anything of value as a commission, brokerage, or other compensation, or any allowance or discount in lieu thereof, upon or in connection with any sale of citrus fruit or fruit products to such buyer for his own account.

By "Decision of the Commission", etc., report of compliance was required as follows:

*It is ordered,* That respondents The Coca-Cola Company, a corporation, successor to respondent Minute Maid Corporation, and Minute Maid Groves

Corporation, a corporation, shall, within sixty (60) days after service upon them of this order, file with the Commission a report, in writing, setting forth in detail the manner and form in which they have complied with the order to cease and desist.

Issued: March 7, 1962.

By the Commission.

[SEAL]

JOSEPH W. SHEA,  
Secretary.

[F.R. Doc. 62-6878; Filed, July 13, 1962; 8:46 a.m.]

[Docket C-90]

**PART 13—PROHIBITED TRADE PRACTICES**

**Romex International, Ltd., et al.**

Subpart—Invoicing products falsely: § 13.1108 *Invoicing products falsely:* § 13.1108-40 *Federal Trade Commission Act.* Subpart—Misbranding or mislabeling: § 13.1185 *Composition:* § 13.1185-90 *Wool Products Labeling Act.* Subpart—Neglecting, unfairly or deceptively, to make material disclosure: § 13.1845 *Composition:* § 13.1845-80 *Wool Products Labeling Act;* § 13.1852 *Formal regulatory and statutory requirements:* § 13.1852-80 *Wool Products Labeling Act.*

(Sec. 6, 38 Stat. 721; 15 U.S.C. 46. Interpret or apply sec. 5, 38 Stat. 719, as amended, secs. 2-5, 54 Stat. 1128-1130; 15 U.S.C. 45, 68) [Cease and desist order, Romex International, Ltd., et al., New York, N.Y., Docket C-90, Mar. 2, 1962]

*In the Matter of Romex International, Ltd., a Corporation, Bini Bino D'Italia, Ltd., a Corporation, Morton L. Gordon, Individually and as an officer of Said Corporations*

Consent order requiring associated distributors in New York City to cease labeling and invoicing as "90% reprocessed cashmere, 10% nylon", fabrics which contained a substantial quantity of other fibers than those represented, and failing to disclose on fabric labels the true generic name of the constituent fibers and the percentage thereof.

The order to cease and desist, including further order requiring report of compliance therewith, is as follows:

*It is ordered,* That respondents, Romex International, Ltd., a corporation, and its officers, and Bini Bino D'Italia, Ltd., a corporation, and its officers, and Morton L. Gordon, individually and as an officer of both corporations, and respondents' representatives, agents and employees, directly or through any corporate or other device, in connection with the introduction into commerce, or the offering for sale, sale, transportation, delivery for shipment, or distribution, in commerce, of fabrics or other wool products, as "commerce" and "wool product", are defined in the Wool Products Label-

ing Act of 1939, do forthwith cease and desist from misbranding such products by:

1. Falsely or deceptively stamping, tagging, labeling or otherwise identifying such products as to the character or amount of the constituent fibers contained therein.

2. Failing to securely affix to or place on each product, a stamp, tag, label or other means of identification showing in a clear and conspicuous manner, each element of information required to be disclosed by section 4(a) (2) of the Wool Products Labeling Act of 1939.

*It is further ordered,* That respondents Romex International, Ltd., a corporation, and its officers, and Bini Bino D'Italia, Ltd., a corporation, and its officers, and Morton L. Gordon, individually and as an officer of both corporations, and respondents' representatives, agents and employees, directly or through any corporate or other device, in connection with the offering for sale, sale or distribution of fabrics or any other products in commerce, as "commerce" is defined in the Federal Trade Commission Act, do forthwith cease and desist from misrepresenting the character or amount of constituent fibers contained in such products on invoices or shipping memoranda applicable thereto or in any other manner.

*It is further ordered,* That the respondents herein shall, within sixty (60) days after service upon them of this order, file with the Commission a report in writing setting forth in detail the manner and form in which they have complied with this order.

Issued: March 2, 1962.

By the Commission.

[SEAL]

JOSEPH W. SHEA,  
*Secretary.*

[F.R. Doc. 62-6874; Filed, July 13, 1962; 8:48 a.m.]

## Title 21—FOOD AND DRUGS

### Chapter I—Food and Drug Administration, Department of Health, Education, and Welfare

#### PART 121—FOOD ADDITIVES

##### Subpart A—Definitions and Procedural and Interpretative Regulations

###### FURTHER EXTENSION OF EFFECTIVE DATE OF STATUTE FOR CERTAIN SPECIFIED FOOD ADDITIVES

The Commissioner of Food and Drugs, pursuant to the authority provided in the

Federal Food, Drug, and Cosmetic Act (sec. 6(c), Public Law 85-929, as amended sec. 2, Public Law 87-19; 72 Stat. 1788, as amended 75 Stat. 42; 21 U.S.C., note under sec. 342) and delegated to him by the Secretary of Health, Education, and Welfare (25 F.R. 8625), hereby orders that §§ 121.90 and 121.91 of the food additive regulations (21 CFR

121.90, 121.91) be amended as set forth below:

1. Section 121.90 is amended by changing the items listed to read as follows:

§ 121.90 Further extensions of effective date of statute for certain specified food additives as direct additives to food.

MISCELLANEOUS

Product	Specified uses or restrictions	Effective date of statute extended to—
Methyl glucoside of fatty acids of edible coconut oil (26 F.R. 7544).	Crystallization agent in beet sugar manufacture; limit 5 p.p.m.	Jan. 1, 1963
Poloxyethylene glycol (800) ester of edible cottonseed oil fatty acids (26 F.R. 7544).	Solubilizing agent in pickles; limit 500 p.p.m.	Jan. 1, 1963
Starch modified with propylene oxide (hydroxypropyl starch) (26 F.R. 8390).	Used in food as a thickener; limit 12% propylene oxide in finished starch.	Jan. 1, 1963
Triethanolamine (26 F.R. 9337)	Component of coating on fruits and vegetables; limit 2 p.p.m.	Jan. 1, 1963

2. Section 121.91 is amended by changing the items listed to read as follows:  
§ 121.91 Further extensions of effective date of statute for certain specified food additives as indirect additives to food.

MISCELLANEOUS

Product	Specified uses or restrictions	Effective date of statute extended to—
Ethylene oxide (26 F.R. 7963)	Fumigant in walnut meats, edible gums, dehydrated or freeze-dried mushrooms, in ground spices, and onion and garlic powder; limit 50 p.p.m.	July 1, 1963
Poly (bis-chloromethyl) oxetane (26 F.R. 8393)	As a plastic or coating on food-processing equipment.	Jan. 1, 1963

<sup>1</sup> Progress report required by Jan. 1, 1963.

Notice and public procedure are not necessary prerequisites to the promulgation of this order, and I so find, since extensions of time, under certain conditions, for the effective date of the food additives amendments to the Federal Food, Drug, and Cosmetic Act were contemplated by Public Law 87-19 as a relief of restrictions on the food-processing industry.

*Effective date.* This order shall become effective on the date of signature.

(Sec. 6(c), Public Law 85-929, as amended, sec. 2, Public Law 87-19; 72 Stat. 1788, as amended, 75 Stat. 42; 21 U.S.C., note under sec 342)

Dated: July 10, 1962.

JOHN L. HARVEY,  
*Deputy Commissioner  
of Food and Drugs.*

[F.R. Doc. 62-6903; Filed, July 13, 1962; 8:56 a.m.]

## PART 121—FOOD ADDITIVES

### Subpart A—Definitions and Procedural and Interpretative Regulations

#### FURTHER EXTENSION OF EFFECTIVE DATE OF STATUTE FOR CERTAIN SPECIFIED FOOD ADDITIVES

The Commissioner of Food and Drugs, pursuant to the authority provided in the Federal Food, Drug, and Cosmetic Act (sec. 6(c), Public Law 85-929, as amended sec. 2, Public Law 87-19; 72 Stat. 1788, as amended 75 Stat. 42; 21 U.S.C., note under sec. 342) and delegated to him by the Secretary of Health, Education, and Welfare (25 F.R. 8625), hereby orders that § 121.90 of the food additive regulations (21 CFR 121.90) be amended as set forth below:

1. Section 121.90 is amended by changing the items listed to read as follows:

§ 121.90 Further extension of effective date of statute for certain specified food additives as direct additives to food.

\* \* \* \* \*

MISCELLANEOUS<sup>1</sup>

Product	Specified uses or restrictions	Effective date of statute extended to—
<i>DL</i> -Methionine (26 F.R. 7544)-----	Dietary supplement; limit 200 mg. per day-----	Jan. 1, 1963
Torula yeast, dried (26 F.R. 7544)-----	Flavoring in food; not more than 0.040 mg. of folic acid per gram of yeast. In food for special dietary use; limit 0.4 mg. of folic acid per day.	Jan. 1, 1963
Yeast, dried (26 F.R. 7544)-----	Flavoring in food; not more than 0.040 mg. of folic acid per gram of yeast. In food for special dietary use; limit 0.4 mg. of folic acid per day.	Jan. 1, 1963
Boron (from sodium borate or boric acid) (26 F.R. 8390).	0.1 mg. per day as dietary supplement-----	Jan. 1, 1963
Cobalt (from cobalt gluconate, sulfate, chloride, or carbonate) (26 F.R. 8390).	1.0 mg. cobalt per day as dietary supplement-----	Jan. 1, 1963
Copper (from copper chloride, cupric oxide, or copper gluconate) (26 F.R. 8390).	2 mg. copper per day as dietary supplement-----	Jan. 1, 1963
Fluorine (from calcium, potassium, or sodium fluoride) (26 F.R. 8390).	0.5 mg. fluorine per day as dietary supplement-----	Jan. 1, 1963
Molybdenum (from sodium molybdate, molybdenum trioxide, molybdenum sesquioxide, ammonium molybdate) (26 F.R. 8390).	2.0 mg. molybdenum per day as dietary supplement.	Jan. 1, 1963
Nickel (from nickel sulfate) (26 F.R. 8390)-----	1.0 mg. nickel per day as dietary supplement-----	Jan. 1, 1963

<sup>1</sup> The inclusion of substances specified as dietary supplements in this list does not constitute a finding by the Food and Drug Administration that the substance is useful as a supplement to the diet for humans.

Notice and public procedure are not necessary prerequisites to the promulgation of this order, and I so find, since extensions of time under certain conditions, for the effective date of the food additives amendments to the Federal Food, Drug, and Cosmetic Act were contemplated by Public Law 87-19 as a relief of restrictions on the food processing industry.

*Effective date.* This order shall become effective on the date of signature.

(Sec. 6(c), Public Law 85-929, as amended, sec. 2, Public Law 87-19; 72 Stat. 1788, as amended, 75 Stat. 42; 21 U.S.C., note under sec. 342)

Dated: July 10, 1962.

JOHN L. HARVEY,  
Deputy Commissioner  
of Food and Drugs.

[F.R. Doc. 62-6902; Filed, July 13, 1962;  
8:55 a.m.]

PART 121—FOOD ADDITIVES

Subpart A—Definitions and Procedural and Interpretative Regulations

FURTHER EXTENSION OF EFFECTIVE DATE OF STATUTE FOR CERTAIN SPECIFIED FOOD ADDITIVES

Pursuant to the provisions of the Federal Food, Drug, and Cosmetic Act (sec. 6(c), Public Law 85-929, as amended sec. 2, Public Law 87-19; 72 Stat. 1788, as amended 75 Stat. 42; 21 U.S.C., note under sec. 342) and under the authority delegated to the Commissioner of Food and Drugs by the Secretary of Health, Education, and Welfare, § 121.91 of the food additive regulations (21 CFR 121.91) is amended by adding thereto the following new items:

§ 121.91 Further extensions of effective date of statute for certain specified food additives as indirect additives to food.

\* \* \* \* \*

MISCELLANEOUS

Product	Specified uses or restrictions	Effective date of statute extended to—	Progress report required by—
Anhydroformaldehyde aniline resin-----	Component of a phenolic molding compound for use in processing, holding, packaging, or transporting food.	Jan. 1, 1963	
Anthracite, ground-----	Component of a phenolic molding compound for use in processing, holding, packaging or transporting food.	do	
Asbestos fiber-----	do	do	
Glyoxal-----	Component of resinous or polymeric coating used for the food-contact surface of food packaging.	do	
Hydroxyethylcellulose-----	Component of coatings in the manufacture of paper and paperboard for food packaging.	do	
Styrenated phenol-----	Component of a phenolic molding compound for use in processing, holding, packaging, or transporting food.	do	

Notice and public procedure and delayed effective date are not necessary prerequisites to the promulgation of this order, and I so find, since extensions of time, under certain conditions, for the effective date of the food additives amendments to the Federal Food, Drug, and Cosmetic Act were contemplated by Public Law 87-19 as a relief of restrictions on the food-processing industry.

*Effective date.* This order is effective on the date of signature.

(Sec. 6(c), Public Law 85-929, as amended, sec. 2, Public Law 87-19; 72 Stat. 1788, as amended, 75 Stat. 42; 21 U.S.C., note under sec. 342)

Dated: July 10, 1962.

JOHN L. HARVEY,  
Deputy Commissioner  
of Food and Drugs.

[F.R. Doc. 62-6899; Filed, July 13, 1962;  
8:54 a.m.]

PART 121—FOOD ADDITIVES

Subpart A—Definitions and Procedural and Interpretative Regulations

FURTHER EXTENSION OF EFFECTIVE DATE OF STATUTE FOR CERTAIN SPECIFIED FOOD ADDITIVES

The Commissioner of Food and Drugs, pursuant to the authority provided in the Federal Food, Drug, and Cosmetic Act (sec. 6(c), Public Law 85-929, as amended sec. 2, Public Law 87-19; 72 Stat. 1788, as amended 75 Stat. 42; 21 U.S.C., note under sec. 342) and delegated to him by the Secretary of Health, Education, and Welfare (25 F.R. 8625), hereby orders that § 121.91 of the food additive regulations (21 CFR 121.91) be amended by adding thereto the following new items:

MISCELLANEOUS

Product	Specified uses or restrictions	Effective date of statute extended to—	Progress report required by—
***	***	***	***
Candelilla wax.....	Component of paper and paperboard for food packaging.	Jan. 1, 1963	-----
Cyclohexane.....	do.....	do.....	-----
Diisopropanol monoamide of tallow fatty acids.	do.....	do.....	-----
Diphenylamine.....	Component of paper and paperboard for food packaging, as preservative only.	do.....	-----
2,4-Di- <i>tert</i> -butyl hydroquinone	do.....	do.....	-----
Ethylenediamine tetraacetic acid, sodium, potassium, and calcium salts.	Component of paper and paperboard for food packaging.	do.....	-----
Fish oil, hydrogenated	do.....	do.....	-----
Fish oil, hydrogenated, potassium soap	do.....	do.....	-----
Isopropyl alcohol	do.....	do.....	-----
Isopropylated <i>m</i> -cresol.....	Component of paper and paperboard for food packaging, as preservative only.	do.....	-----
Isopropylated <i>p</i> -cresol.....	do.....	do.....	-----
Kerosene.....	Component of paper and paperboard for food packaging.	do.....	-----
Kerosene, deodorized.....	do.....	do.....	-----
Lecithin, hydroxylated.....	do.....	do.....	-----
Mustardseed oil, sulfated.....	do.....	do.....	-----
Naphtha.....	do.....	do.....	-----
$\beta$ -Naphthol.....	do.....	do.....	-----
Polyoxyethylene (800) glycol ester of tall oil fatty acids.	do.....	do.....	-----
Polyoxyethylene (400) glycol monolaurate.	do.....	do.....	-----
Polyoxyethylene glycol (400) monostearate.	do.....	do.....	-----
Polyoxyethylene (600) glycol monostearate.	do.....	do.....	-----
Polyoxyethylene (3000) glycol monostearate.	do.....	do.....	-----
Potassium pentachlorophenate.....	do.....	do.....	-----
Potassium trichlorophenate.....	do.....	do.....	-----
Propylene glycol monoester of hydrogenated tallow fatty acids.	do.....	do.....	-----
Propylene glycol monoester of soybean oil fatty acids.	do.....	do.....	-----
Sodium pentachlorophenate.....	do.....	do.....	-----
Sodium trichlorophenate.....	do.....	do.....	-----
Soybean fatty acids, sodium soap.....	do.....	do.....	-----
Tallow.....	do.....	do.....	-----
Tallow, hydrogenated.....	do.....	do.....	-----
Tallow alcohol, hydrogenated.....	do.....	do.....	-----
Tallow fatty acid.....	do.....	do.....	-----
Tallow fatty acids, hydrogenated.....	do.....	do.....	-----
Triethylene glycol polyester of benzoic acid and phthalic acid.	Component of adhesives used in food packaging.	do.....	-----

Notice and public procedure are not necessary prerequisites to the promulgation of this order, and I so find, since extensions of time, under certain conditions, for the effective date of the food additives amendments to the Federal Food, Drug, and Cosmetic Act were contemplated by Public Law 87-19 as a relief of restrictions on the food processing industry.

*Effective date.* This order shall become effective on the date of signature.

(Sec. 6(c), Public Law 85-929, as amended, sec. 2, Public Law 87-19; 72 Stat. 1788, as amended, 75 Stat. 42; 21 U.S.C., note under sec. 342)

Dated: July 10, 1962.

JOHN L. HARVEY,  
Deputy Commissioner  
of Food and Drugs.

[F.R. Doc. 62-6900; Filed, July 13, 1962;  
8:55 a.m.]

**PART 121—FOOD ADDITIVES**

**Subpart A—Definitions and Procedural and Interpretative Regulations**

**FURTHER EXTENSION OF EFFECTIVE DATE OF STATUTE FOR CERTAIN SPECIFIED FOOD ADDITIVES**

The Commissioner of Food and Drugs, pursuant to the authority provided in the Federal Food, Drug, and Cosmetic Act (sec. 6(c), Public Law 85-929, as amended sec. 2, Public Law 87-19; 72

Stat. 1788, as amended 75 Stat. 42; 21 U.S.C., note under sec. 342) and delegated to him by the Secretary of Health, Education, and Welfare (25 F.R. 8625), hereby orders that § 121.91 of the food additive regulations (21 CFR 121.91) be amended as set forth below:

1. Section 121.91 is amended by changing the items listed to read as follows:

§ 121.91 Further extensions of effective date of statute for certain specified food additives as indirect additives to food.

\* \* \* \* \*

MISCELLANEOUS

Product	Specified uses or restrictions	Effective date of statute extended to—
Di- <i>tert</i> -butyl- <i>p</i> -cresol (26 F.R. 7963)	Component of sealing gaskets in caps of food containers.	Jan. 1, 1963
Diacetyl sodium sulfosuccinate (26 F.R. 7963)	Component of can-sealing compound for food packaging.	Jan. 1, 1963
<i>p,p'</i> -Diaminodiphenylmethane (26 F.R. 7963)	Component of sealing gaskets in caps of food containers.	Jan. 1, 1963
Diphenylsulfone-3,3'-disulfohydrazide (26 F.R. 7963)	Component of sealing gaskets in caps for food containers.	Jan. 1, 1963
Ethyl formate (26 F.R. 7963)	Fumigant in raisins, dried fruits, and nuts; limit 200 p.p.m., calculated as formic acid.	Jan. 1, 1963
2,2'-Methylenebis-(4-methyl-6- <i>tert</i> -butylphenol) (26 F.R. 7963)	Antioxidant used as component of food-packaging and food-handling material; limit not to exceed 0.75% of resin formulation.	Jan. 1, 1963
Sodium pentachlorophenate (26 F.R. 7963)	Component of sealing gaskets in caps of food containers.	Jan. 1, 1963
Sodium salt of naphthalene-sulfonic acid condensed with formaldehyde (26 F.R. 7963)	In the manufacture of paper and paperboard for food packaging.	Jan. 1, 1963
Sorbitan monolaurate (26 F.R. 7963)	Component of sealing compound used in food containers.	Jan. 1, 1963
Triethanolamine (26 F.R. 7963)	Component of sealing compound used in food containers.	Jan. 1, 1963
Lecithin, hydroxylated (26 F.R. 8508)	Paper coating adjuvant used in manufacture of food packaging.	Jan. 1, 1963
$\beta$ -Naphthol (26 F.R. 8508)	Paper coating adjuvant used in manufacture of food packaging.	Jan. 1, 1963
Soybean fatty acids, sodium soap (26 F.R. 8508)	Paper coating adjuvant used in manufacture of food packaging.	Jan. 1, 1963
Lauryl alcohol sulfate (26 F.R. 9715)	Component of sealing compound used in food containers.	Jan. 1, 1963
Dicyandiamide (26 F.R. 11242)	Component of wet-strength agent used in manufacture of paper and paperboard for food packaging.	Jan. 1, 1963
Diethylenetriamine (26 F.R. 11242)	Component of wet-strength agent used in manufacture of paper and paperboard for food packaging.	Jan. 1, 1963
4,4'-Methylenedianiline (26 F.R. 11242)	Component of resinous or polymeric coating used on the food-contact surface of food packaging.	Jan. 1, 1963
<i>m</i> -Phenylenediamine (26 F.R. 11242)	Component of resinous or polymeric coating used on the food-contact surface of food packaging.	Jan. 1, 1963
Tetraethylenepentamine (26 F.R. 11242)	Component of wet-strength agent used in manufacture of paper and paperboard for food packaging.	Jan. 1, 1963
Triethylenetetramine (26 F.R. 11242)	Component of wet-strength agent used in manufacture of paper and paperboard for food packaging.	Jan. 1, 1963
Triphenyl phosphite (26 F.R. 11242)	Component of resinous or polymeric coating used for food-contact surface of food packaging.	Jan. 1, 1963

Notice and public procedure are not necessary prerequisites to the promulgation of this order, and I so find, since extensions of time, under certain conditions, for the effective date of the food additive amendments to the Federal Food, Drug, and Cosmetic Act were contemplated by Public Law 87-19 as a relief of restrictions on the food processing industry.

**Effective date.** This order shall become effective on the date of signature.

(Sec. 6(c), Public Law 85-929, as amended, sec. 2, Public Law 87-19; 72 Stat. 1788, as amended, 75 Stat. 42; 21 U.S.C., note under sec. 4342)

Dated: July 10, 1962.

JOHN L. HARVEY,  
Deputy Commissioner  
of Food and Drugs.

[F.R. Doc. 62-6901; Filed, July 13, 1962; 8:55 a.m.]

**Title 32—NATIONAL DEFENSE**

**Chapter V—Department of the Army**

**SUBCHAPTER C—MILITARY EDUCATION**

**PART 543—PROMOTION OF RIFLE PRACTICE**

**Rifle and Pistol Competitions**

Section 543.5 is revised to read as follows:

§ 543.5 Rifle and pistol competitions in schools and colleges.

(a) *General.* (1) Educational institutions maintaining units of the Reserve Officers' Training Corps (ROTC) and other schools and colleges conducting military training under the supervision of the Department of the Army will conduct instruction with the rifle and the pistol in accordance with the prescribed programs of training.

(2) The term "major commander" as used in this section is limited to Commanding Generals, Zone of Interior armies, and, when applicable, Commanding General, U.S. Armies, Alaska, Caribbean, and Hawaii.

(b) *Rifle clubs and local matches.* (1) ROTC units and the cadet corps of other schools and colleges should form rifle clubs and affiliate with the National Rifle Association of America (NRA) and enter its competitions.

(2) Competitive rifle and pistol matches will be arranged to inspire a wholesome spirit of rivalry between individuals, organizations, units, and institutions. Local matches should be frequent and so organized as to give them the same standing and recognition accorded athletic competitions.

(3) The allowances of ammunition for schools and colleges are prescribed in table of allowances. Ammunition for matches other than those approved by the Department of the Army will be provided by the institution.

(4) ROTC and NDCC institutions are authorized to modify rifles, cal. .22 by the installation of Schuetzen type butt plates and palm rests for use when permitted by this section or by other match regulations, providing that such modification is accomplished at no cost to the Government.

(c) *Annual indoor rifle matches—(1) General.* (i) A series of indoor small-bore rifle matches will be conducted each year, so far as practicable, among those institutions conducting military training under the supervision of the Departments of the Army, Navy, and Air Force (ROTC and National Defense Cadet Corps (NDCC) units) which have the proper facilities and where conditions are otherwise favorable. For this purpose the institutions will be grouped as follows:

(a) Units in universities, colleges, and junior colleges.

(b) Units in essentially military schools (high school-level students only).

(c) Units in high schools not in the category of (b) of this subdivision.

(ii) For the purpose of the competition, units (Army, Navy, and Air Force) in the Military District of Washington will compete with like units of the Second

U.S. Army; units (Army, Navy, and Air Force) located in Hawaii and Alaska will compete with like units of the Sixth U.S. Army; and the units of Panama and of the University of Puerto Rico will compete with like units of the Third U.S. Army.

(2) *Intramural and intermural matches.* From October 1 to December 31, annually, the institutions will conduct intramural matches to determine the relative standing of individual students, organizations, units, other groups, etc., as the officer in charge may deem advisable. The regulations for the matches, the awarding of prizes, etc., will be arranged by the officer in charge. Approximately the first two-thirds of the period should be devoted to individual instruction and competitions and the last one-third to team matches. From December 1 to March 1, annually, institutions will be encouraged to fire separate matches with other institutions under such conditions as may be agreed upon.

(3) *Other matches.* During the period in which the national intercollegiate matches are being held, institutions will be encouraged to fire separate matches with institutions in other sections of the country.

(d) *Annual outdoor matches.* Outdoor rifle and pistol firing will be conducted at favorable seasons of the year, so far as may be practicable. Major commanders will arrange matches for those institutions having suitable range facilities. Institutions will be encouraged to place such competitions on a par with other athletic competitions and to develop individuals for membership in Army area teams selected to compete in the National Matches.

(e) *Approved competitions.* The Department of the Army has approved and encourages participation in the following annual events by eligible students enrolled in the ROTC and NDCC units:

(1) Army Area ROTC Intercollegiate and Interscholastic Indoor Smallbore Rifle Matches.

(2) National ROTC Intercollegiate and Interscholastic Matches.

(3) Warrior of the Pacific Team Competition.

(4) Society of American Military Engineers Rifle Competition.

[AR 145-395, June 22, 1962] (Sec. 3012, 70A Stat. 157; 10 U.S.C. 3012)

J. C. LAMBERT,  
Major General, U.S. Army,  
The Adjutant General.

[F.R. Doc. 62-6866; Filed, July 13, 1962; 8:45 a.m.]

## Title 36—PARKS, FORESTS, AND MEMORIALS

Chapter I—National Park Service,  
Department of the Interior

### PART 27—CAPE COD NATIONAL SEASHORE; ZONING STANDARDS

By notice of a proposed rule making published on March 9, 1962 (27 F.R. 2289-2290), the public was notified of the

proposal to amend Title 36, Code of Federal Regulations, by the addition of a new part specifying general criteria or standards that will facilitate adoption of zoning bylaws by the towns in which the Cape Cod National Seashore is located, and will assure that such bylaws meet the approval of the Secretary of the Interior. Such notice was given pursuant to section 5 of the Act of August 7, 1961 (75 Stat. 284, 290), providing for establishment of a Cape Cod National Seashore, which Act exempts from condemnation improved residential property upon which construction was begun before September 1, 1959, if duly adopted valid zoning bylaws approved by the Secretary of the Interior are in force and applicable to such properties.

The proposed regulations were submitted to the Congress and to the towns in which the Cape Cod National Seashore is situated in order to give members of Congress and the towns an opportunity to submit written data, views, or suggestions on such regulations. The waiting period prescribed in the Act of August 7, 1961, has elapsed. Written suggestions and comments on the proposed regulations were received from several of the affected towns; but none was received from the Congress. Informal suggestions were also offered in meetings held at the Cape which were attended by representatives of the towns having land within the Seashore. All other persons were invited to present written statements to the Director, National Park Service, Department of the Interior, Washington 25, D.C., within 30 days after the notice was published in the FEDERAL REGISTER.

Discussions of the proposed regulations were held with the Departments of Commerce and of the Attorney General of the Commonwealth of Massachusetts. Those offices have important review and advisory functions with respect to the zoning bylaw amendments the affected towns will adopt to implement the final regulations. Moreover, the regulations, prior to issuance in final form, were presented before, and discussed with, the Cape Cod Advisory Commission. The suggestions which evolved from the discussions with representatives of these Departments and the Commission have been carefully considered, with a view to promulgation of a regulation that will further the purposes of the Act of August 7, 1961, and be consistent with the laws of Massachusetts.

As a result of the aforesaid meetings and discussions and consideration of other relevant material presented subsequent to the notice, the following changes in the proposed regulations are made:

1. The provisions relating to establishment of a Seashore Historical Zone have been eliminated, the effect being that a single new zone will be established—the Seashore District. This change was necessitated because of a relatively recent Massachusetts Act which governs the establishment of historic districts. It was the consensus that any action initiated by the towns toward the establishment of such dis-

tricts should adhere to the procedural and other requirements set out in the Massachusetts Historic Districts Act.

2. The final draft of the regulations clarifies the rights of zoning authorities and owners of "improved property" (as defined in the Act of August 7, 1961), within the Seashore District to consult the Secretary of the Interior for determinations as to the effect of any proposed alteration, variance, or exception, upon suspension of the Secretary's power to condemn the property affected by such alteration, variance, or exception.

These and other minor revisions are reflected in regulations as set forth below in final form. Since the affected towns cannot initiate action to adopt zoning bylaw amendments as required by the Act of August 7, 1961, until approval of these regulations is certain, such regulations shall become effective upon the date of their publication in the FEDERAL REGISTER.

Part 27, reading as follows, is added to 36 CFR.

Sec.

27.1 General objectives.

27.2 Commercial and industrial activities.

27.3 Seashore District.

27.4 Variances and exceptions.

AUTHORITY: §§ 27.1 to 27.4 issued under sec. 5, 75 Stat. 284; 16 U.S.C., Supp. III, 459b-4.

#### § 27.1 General objectives.

(a) Consistent with the objectives set out in section 5 of the Act of August 7, 1961 (75 Stat. 284), development and management of the Cape Cod National Seashore will be conducted in a manner which will assure the widest possible public use, understanding and enjoyment of its natural, cultural and scientific features. The regulations in this part are designed and promulgated to establish minimum standards which local zoning bylaws must meet in furtherance of those purposes.

(b) The standards hereby established for approval of zoning bylaws or amendments of zoning bylaws are intended:

(1) To contribute to the effect of prohibiting the commercial and industrial use, other than existing commercial or industrial use not inconsistent with the purposes of the Act of August 7, 1961 (75 Stat. 284, 291), of all property within the boundaries of the Cape Cod National Seashore and situated in the towns of Provincetown, Truro, Wellfleet, Eastham, Orleans and Chatham; and (2) to promote preservation and development, in accordance with the purposes of the said Act, of the area comprising the seashore, by means of acreage, frontage and setback requirements and other provisions which may be required to be included in zoning bylaws consistent with the laws of Massachusetts. Zoning bylaws or amendments of zoning bylaws applicable to the area within Cape Cod National Seashore, in order that they may be approved, shall conform to the standards herein set forth relating to preservation and development of the seashore in accordance with the purposes of the said Act. The Secretary shall be given notice of any amendments to approved zoning bylaws that affect the Seashore

District. Nothing in these standards or in the zoning bylaws adopted pursuant thereto for the area within Cape Cod National Seashore shall preclude the Secretary of the Interior from fulfilling the responsibilities vested in him by the Act of August 7, 1961, or by the Act of August 25, 1916 (39 Stat. 535), as amended and supplemented.

(c) Wherever the term "improved property" is used in this part it shall mean a detached, one-family dwelling, the land on which it is situated, and accessory structures, and as further defined in section 4(d) of the Act of August 7, 1961 (75 Stat. 284).

#### § 27.2 Commercial and industrial activities.

No commercial or industrial districts may be established within the Cape Cod National Seashore.

#### § 27.3 Seashore District.

(a) Description: The Seashore District shall include all those portions of the towns of Provincetown, Truro, Wellfleet, Eastham, Orleans and Chatham, lying within the exterior boundaries of the Cape Cod National Seashore.

(b) Zoning bylaws for the Seashore District shall be consistent with the objectives and purposes of the Act of August 7, 1961, so that—to the extent possible under Massachusetts law—the scenic, scientific and cultural values of the area will be protected, undeveloped areas will be preserved in a natural condition, and the distinctive Cape Cod character of existing residential structures will be maintained.

(c) (1) No moving, alteration, or enlargement of existing one-family residential dwellings or structures accessory thereto situated within this District shall be permitted if such would afford less than a 50-foot setback from all streets measured at a right angle with the street line, and a 25-foot distance from the abutters' property lines (or less than such lesser setback or distance requirements already in existence for such dwellings or accessory structures).

(2) If through natural phenomena or causes a lot or lots are so diminished in size that an owner would be unable to comply with the setback or sideline requirements herein prescribed, such owner or the zoning authorities may, as provided in § 27.4(b), request the Secretary of the Interior to determine whether a proposed move, reconstruction, alteration or enlargement of an existing residential dwelling or accessory structure would subject the property to acquisition by condemnation.

(d) Zoning bylaws adopted pursuant to this regulation shall contain provisions designed to preserve the seashore character of the area by appropriate restrictions or prohibitions upon the burning of cover, cutting of timber, filling of land, removal of soil, loam, sand or gravel and dumping, storage, or piling of refuse and other unsightly objects or other uses which would detract from the natural or traditional seashore scene.

(e) Zoning bylaws for the Seashore District may permit residential uses of

"improved property" and other uses of such dwellings and their accessory structures: *Provided*, such other uses are traditional to these seashore communities, are customarily incidental to the principal residential use and do not alter the essential character of the dwelling and premises as a private residence. Subject to those conditions such uses may include, but are not limited to: (1) Partial use of dwellings by residents for a professional office (as for the practice of theology, law or medicine), as an artists' studio, for appropriate small scale home occupations as the making and selling of traditional Cape Cod products produced on the premises, and for the rental of rooms and serving of meals by residents of the premises to overnight guests; (2) the existence of structures, such as a garage, barn or boathouse accessory to the dwelling; (3) display of a sign, which may be indirectly but not directly illuminated and not to exceed two square feet in area, referring to the occupancy, sale, or rental of the premises; (4) traditional agricultural uses of cleared land, but not including such objectionable uses as a piggery or the raising of livestock, poultry or fur-bearing animals for commercial purposes; and (5) the opening of shellfish, the storage and use of fishing equipment, and other traditional fishing activities. No commercial or industrial ventures (other than of the types described above), may be established within the Seashore District.

#### § 27.4 Variances and exceptions.

(a) Zoning bylaws may provide for variances and exceptions.

(b) Bylaws adopted pursuant to these standards shall contain provisions which constitute notice to applicants for variances and exceptions that, under section 5(d) of the Act of August 7, 1961, the Secretary of the Interior is authorized to withdraw the suspension of his authority to acquire, by condemnation, "improved property" that is made the subject of a variance or exception which, in his opinion, fails to conform or is in any manner opposed to or inconsistent with preservation and development of the seashore as contemplated in the said Act. The Secretary may be consulted at any time by zoning authorities or by the owner of "improved property" regarding the effect of a proposed variance or exception upon the status of the affected property with regard to the suspension of the Secretary's authority to condemn. The Secretary, within 60 days of the receipt of a request for such determination, or as soon thereafter as is reasonably possible, shall advise the owner or zoning authorities whether or not the intended use will subject the property to acquisition by condemnation.

(c) The Secretary shall be promptly notified of the granting of any variance or exception.

STEWART L. UDALL,  
Secretary of the Interior.

JULY 9, 1962.

[F.R. Doc. 62-6890; Filed, July 13, 1962; 8:53 a.m.]

## Title 43—PUBLIC LANDS: INTERIOR

### Chapter I—Bureau of Land Management, Department of the Interior

#### SUBCHAPTER G—EXCHANGES

[Circular 2083]

### PART 149—EXCHANGES FOR THE CONSOLIDATION OR EXTENSIONS OF INDIAN RESERVATIONS OR INDIAN HOLDINGS

#### Statutory Authority; Criteria for Approval of Exchanges

On page 3168 of the FEDERAL REGISTER of April 3, 1962, there was published a notice and text of a proposed amendment of Part 149 of Title 43, Code of Federal Regulations. The purpose of this amendment is to prescribe regulations to carry out the exchange provision of Public Law 87-231.

Interested persons were given 30 days within which to submit written comments, suggestions or objections with respect to the proposed amendment. No comments, suggestions or objections have been received, and the proposed amendment is hereby adopted without change and is set forth below. This amendment shall become effective at the beginning of the 30th calendar day following the date of this publication in the FEDERAL REGISTER.

STEWART L. UDALL,  
Secretary of the Interior.

JULY 9, 1962.

1. Section 149.23 is amended to read as follows:

#### § 149.23 Statutory authority.

(a) Section 2 of the act of August 13, 1949 (63 Stat. 605; 25 U.S.C. 622), authorizes the Secretary of the Interior to exchange for any lands or interests therein, including improvements and water rights, situated within the area described in section I of the notice dated March 25, 1950 (15 F.R. 1852), any lands or interests therein, including improvements and water rights, situated within the boundaries of the area described in said section I, or within the public domain area described in section II of said notice, or within any public domain in New Mexico.

(b) Section 10(a) of Public Law 87-231, act of September 14, 1961 (75 Stat. 500; 25 U.S.C. 624), provides that the Secretary of the Interior may acquire, within the Pueblo land consolidation areas, any lands or interests therein, including improvements and water rights, and in exchange therefor, may convey not to exceed an equal value of unappropriated public lands within the State of New Mexico, or, with the consent of the Pueblo authorities, any Pueblo tribal lands or interest therein, including improvements and water rights.

(1) The Pueblo land consolidation areas include any lands, acquisition of which would tend to consolidate Pueblo

tribal lands held by a Pueblo at the time of such acquisition.

2. Paragraph (a) of § 149.24 is amended to read as follows:

§ 149.24 Criteria for approval of exchanges.

(a) The selected lands or interests do not exceed the value of the offered lands or interests.

[F.R. Doc. 62-6889; Filed, July 13, 1962; 8:52 a.m.]

## Title 49—TRANSPORTATION

### Chapter I—Interstate Commerce Commission

#### SUBCHAPTER A—GENERAL RULES AND REGULATIONS

#### PART 61—HOURS OF SERVICE OF RAILROAD EMPLOYEES

##### Method and Form of Monthly Reports

At a session of the Interstate Commerce Commission, Safety and Service Board No. 1, held at its office in Washington, D.C., on the 20th day of June A.D. 1962.

The matter of curtailment or simplification of the method and form of monthly reports of hours of service of employees subject to the act of March 4, 1907 (45 U.S.C. 61-64) as amended being under consideration and it appearing that the changes in existing regulations to be effectuated by this order are only minor changes with respect to the forms to be used and the data to be furnished and that public rulemaking procedures are unnecessary:

*It is ordered*, That, commencing with the report for the month of August, 1962, the regulations adopted by order of June 28, 1911, as amended by orders of April 8, 1912, May 12, 1955, and June 24, 1960, and appearing as § 61.0 and § 61.1 are revised to read as follows:

§ 61.1 Method and form of monthly reports.

(a) *Form and instructions prescribed.* The accompanying form<sup>1</sup> entitled "Interstate Commerce Commission Hours of Service Report-Railroads" and designated as "Employees on duty in excess of that permitted by the Hours of Service Law" and the method embodied in the instructions therein set forth, be, and

<sup>1</sup> Form filed as part of original document.

the same are hereby, adopted and prescribed, and all common carriers subject to said act are hereby notified to use and follow the said prescribed form and method in making monthly report of hours of service of employees on duty for a longer period than that named in said Act and/or, returned to duty without having the statutory period off duty.

(b) *Instructions to be followed in filling out the blanks.*

1. A report, in accordance with the method and form prescribed, must be sent to the Interstate Commerce Commission for each month, showing all employees who were on duty in excess of the period allowed by the Hours of Service Act of March 4, 1907, or who were on duty without the period off duty prescribed by that Act, such report to be filed with the Commission within 30 days after the end of the month for which the report is made.

2. The monthly report should be made up as follows:

a. One sheet for each case where any member or members of a train or engine crew or any other employee subject to the Act remained on duty more than 16 consecutive, or aggregate hours, and/or returned to duty after 16 hours continuous, or aggregate service, without having had 10 or 8 consecutive hours off duty, respectively.

b. Each case where an employee who transmits, receives, or delivers orders affecting train movements at continuously operated day-and-night office was on duty longer than nine hours in any 24-hour period, and each case where an employee who, at offices regularly operated not exceeding 13 hours in a 24-hour period, was on duty for a longer period than 13 hours in any 24-hour period. More than one case of this type may be reported on one sheet.

c. Instructions for filling out form. In the space provided for "Cause", detailed information relative to events or occurrences leading to cause of excess service must be noted. The reasons why employees were allowed to perform excess service or were returned to duty with less than the required time off duty must be shown. A check mark should be entered in the space provided to indicate either a 9 or 13 hour office when applicable. If the excess service reported was caused by the absence of an operator or a dispatcher the reason for such absence should be shown. Abbreviations may be used to show all occupations.

*It is further ordered*, That a copy of this order be served on each common carrier by railroad subject to the Interstate Commerce Act and on each national organization of railroad employees and notice thereof be given to the general public by depositing a copy of said order in the office of the Secretary of the Commission at Washington and by filing it with the Director, Office of the Federal Register.

(Sec. 12, 24 Stat. 383, as amended, sec. 4, 34 Stat. 1417; 49 U.S.C. 12, 45 U.S.C. 64. Interpret or apply sec. 20, 24 Stat. 386, as amended, secs. 1-3. 34 Stat. 1415, 1416, as amended; 49 U.S.C. 20, 45 U.S.C. 61-63)

By the Commission, Safety and Service Board No. 1.

[SEAL]

HAROLD D. MCCOY,  
Secretary.

[F.R. Doc. 62-6888; Filed, July 13, 1962; 8:52 a.m.]

#### SUBCHAPTER B—CARRIERS BY MOTOR VEHICLE

[Ex Parte No. MC-40 (Sub-No. 1)]

#### PART 195—HOURS OF SERVICE OF DRIVERS

##### Qualifications and Maximum Hours of Service of Employees of Motor Carriers and Safety of Operation and Equipment

In the matter of petitions for postponement of effective date of the order of March 29, 1962.

Upon consideration of the record in the above-entitled proceeding, and of:

(1) Petition of Petroleum Equipment Suppliers Association, intervener, for postponement of effective date, filed May 7, 1962;

(2) Petition of American Bottlers of Carbonated Beverages, intervener, for postponement of effective date, filed May 10, 1962;

(3) Petition of International Association of Ice Cream Manufacturers, intervener, for postponement of effective date, filed May 10, 1962;

(4) Petition of Private Truck Council of America, Inc., intervener, for postponement of effective date, filed May 10, 1962;

(5) Reply by Bureau of Inquiry and Compliance, Interstate Commerce Commission, filed May 21, 1962;

and good cause appearing therefor:

*It is ordered*, That the effective date of the order of March 29, 1962, by the Commission, 27 F.R. 3553, be, and it is hereby, postponed, from July 16, to September 5, 1962.

Dated at Washington, D.C., this 3d day of July A.D. 1962.

By the Commission, Chairman Murphy.

[SEAL]

HAROLD D. MCCOY,  
Secretary.

[F.R. Doc. 62-6887; Filed, July 13, 1962; 8:52 a.m.]

# Proposed Rule Making

## DEPARTMENT OF AGRICULTURE

### Agricultural Marketing Service

[ 7 CFR Part 981 ]

## HANDLING OF ALMONDS GROWN IN CALIFORNIA

### Notice of Proposed Rule Making

Notice is hereby given that there is under consideration a proposal to amend the administrative rules and regulations (Subpart—Administrative Rules and Regulations) pertaining to operations under the amended marketing agreement and order (7 CFR Part 981), regulating the handling of almonds grown in California, effective under the Agricultural Marketing Agreement Act of 1937, as amended (secs. 1-19, 48 Stat. 31, as amended; 7 U.S.C. 601-674).

Consideration will be given to written data, views, and arguments pertaining to the proposal which are filed with the Director, Fruit and Vegetable Division, Agricultural Marketing Service, United States Department of Agriculture, Washington 25, D.C., not later than fifteen days after publication of this notice in the FEDERAL REGISTER.

The proposal is:

a. Amend the introductory paragraph of § 981.450(a) to read as follows:

(a) *Exemption from program obligations.* Any handler who, pursuant to § 981.50, intends to dispose of almonds, other than those withheld to meet a surplus obligation, for export to eligible surplus destinations, for crushing into oil, or for producing animal feed or products in other outlets which the Board finds are noncompetitive with existing normal markets for almonds, may have the kernel weight of such almonds excluded from such handler's receipts and exempted from program obligations to the extent provided in this part if the almonds are so disposed of no later than 30 days after the close of the current crop year, and he complies with the following measures to assure accountability to the Board:

(b) Amend § 981.450(a)(2) by eliminating the period at the end of the paragraph and adding the following: "except that in the case of exports, an agreement that such almonds will not be sold within or reimported into the United States, will be obtained from the purchaser and submitted to the Board."

(c) Amend § 981.450(a)(3) to read as follows:

(3) Ships directly to the location where disposition is to take place, or in the case of export ships directly to the purchaser, and upon domestic shipment or export, the handler shall submit to the Board a copy of the sales invoice, bill of lading, or such other instruments acceptable to the Board as shall verify the shipment or export.

(d) Amend § 981.450(a)(4) to read as follows:

(4) Upon completion of disposition, other than by export, the handler shall submit to the Board ACB Form 8 wherein the user of almonds certifies to the Board and the Secretary that the almonds have been crushed, fed, or so commingled with other feed products or otherwise processed that they have lost their identity as almonds.

Dated: July 11, 1962.

PAUL A. NICHOLSON,  
Deputy Director, Fruit and Vegetable Division, Agricultural Marketing Service.

[F.R. Doc. 62-6891; Filed, July 13, 1962; 8:53 a.m.]

## Agricultural Stabilization and Conservation Service

[ 7 CFR Part 1005 ]

[Docket No. AO-177-A-21]

## MILK IN TRI-STATE MARKETING AREA

### Notice of Hearing on Proposed Amendments to Tentative Marketing Agreement and Order

Pursuant to the provisions of the Agricultural Marketing Agreement Act of 1937, as amended (7 U.S.C. 601 et seq.), and the applicable rules of practice and procedure governing the formulation of marketing agreements and marketing orders (7 CFR Part 900), notice is hereby given of a public hearing to be held at the Daniel Boone Hotel, corner of Capitol and Washington Streets, Charleston, West Virginia, beginning at 10:00 a.m., local time, on July 31, 1962, with respect to proposed amendments to the tentative marketing agreement and to the order, regulating the handling of milk in the Tri-State marketing area.

The public hearing is for the purpose of receiving evidence with respect to the economic and marketing conditions which relate to the proposed amendments, hereinafter set forth, and any appropriate modifications thereof, to the tentative marketing agreement and to the order.

The proposal relative to a redefinition of the marketing area raises the issue whether the provisions of the present order would tend to effectuate the declared policy of the Act, if they are applied to the marketing area as proposed to be redefined and, if not, what modifications of the provisions of the order would be appropriate.

The proposed amendments, set forth below, have not received the approval of the Secretary of Agriculture.

Proposed by the Dairymen's Co-Operative Sales Association, and Huntington Interstate Milk Producers Association:

Proposal No. 1. A. Revise § 1005.4(a) to read:

(a) Charleston - Pikeville - Paintsville district of the marketing area means the territory within the counties of Boone, Braxton, Greenbriar, Jackson, Kanawha, Lincoln, Logan, Monroe, Nicholas, Putnam, Raleigh, Summers and Wyoming, all in the State of West Virginia; and the counties of Floyd, Johnson, Magoffin, Martin, and Pike, all in the State of Kentucky.

B. Include the presently unregulated parts of Wood County, West Virginia, and Washington County, Ohio, in the Athens district, and include the presently unregulated parts of Lewis County, Kentucky, in the Gallipolis-Scioto district.

Proposed by Dairymen's Co-Operative Sales Association:

Proposal No. 2. In addition to the area proposed for inclusion in the Charleston-Pikeville-Paintsville district pursuant to proposal No. 1A, include the counties of Fayette and Roane, West Virginia.

Proposed by Southeastern Ohio Co-Operative Dairy Sales Association, Inc.:

Proposal No. 3. Provide for additional territory in the marketing area as set forth pursuant to proposal No. 1 except the unregulated part of Lewis County, Kentucky.

Proposed by The Borden Company:

Proposal No. 4. Add a "Fluid milk product" definition to read as follows:

"Fluid milk product" means the fluid form of milk, skim milk, buttermilk, dietary milk, concentrated milk, milk drinks (plain or flavored), eggnog, cream, either sweet or sour, including sterilized cream or any mixture in fluid form of milk, skim milk or cream (except storage cream, aerated cream products, ice cream mix, sour cream with added ingredients, such as dip products, evaporated or condensed milk and sterilized products packaged in hermetically sealed containers).

Proposal No. 5. In § 1005.41 revise paragraphs (a) and (b) and add a new paragraph (c) (7) to read as follows:

### § 1005.41 Classes of utilization.

\* \* \* \* \*

(a) Class I milk shall be all skim milk and butterfat disposed of in the form of a fluid milk product, except as provided in paragraphs (b) and (c) of this section; except that fluid milk products which have been fortified by the addition of nonfat milk solids shall be Class I in an amount equal only to the weight of an equal volume of an unmodified fluid milk product of the same nature and butterfat content.

(b) Class II milk shall be all skim milk and butterfat used to produce ice cream, ice cream mix, frozen desserts and cottage cheese; except that when Class I milk is 90 percent or more of total producer milk, powder used in the manufacture of cottage cheese shall be charged on a pound basis only.

(c) \* \* \*

(7) Contained in that portion of fortified fluid milk products not classified as Class I pursuant to paragraph (a) of this section and in that portion not classified as Class II pursuant to paragraph (b) of this section.

Proposed by Broughton's Farm Dairy, Inc.:

*Proposal No. 6.* Provide that any aerated cream product testing in excess of 6 percent butterfat and disposed of in containers or dispensers under pressure be classified as Class II.

*Proposal No. 7.* Revise the introductory text of § 1005.41(a) to read as follows:

§ 1005.41 Classes of utilization.

\* \* \* \* \*

(a) Class I milk shall be all skim milk (including reconstituted skim milk and if fluid milk products are fortified by the addition of nonfat milk solids, such nonfat milk solids to the extent that the nonfat milk solids increase the actual quantity of the finished product) and butterfat:

*Proposal No. 8.* Delete the language of § 1005.45 which reads: "plus all of the water originally associated with such solids".

Proposed by The Borden Company:

*Proposal No. 9.* In § 1005.46(a) redesignate subparagraphs (2) through (6), respectively, as (3) through (7) and add a new subparagraph (2) to read as follows:

§ 1005.46 Allocation of skim milk and butterfat classified.

\* \* \* \* \*

(a) \* \* \*

(2) Subtract from Class I the pounds of skim milk in fluid milk products subject to the pricing and pooling provisions of another order issued pursuant to the Act and which were priced as Class I under the other order.

Proposed by the Dairymen's Co-Operative Sales Association, Southeastern Ohio Cooperative Dairy Sales Association, Inc., and Huntington Interstate Milk Producers Association:

*Proposal No. 10.* In the Class I price schedule of § 1005.51(a) include March among the months with the higher seasonal level of Class I price and include August among the months with the lower seasonal price.

*Proposal No. 11.* Review § 1005.51(b) relating to the supply-demand adjustment of the Class I price.

*Proposal No. 12.* In § 1005.53 provide that the Class III price shall be the average price per hundredweight for manufacturing grade milk f.o.b. plants in Minnesota and Wisconsin as reported by the United States Department of Agriculture for the applicable month adjusted to a 3.5 percent butterfat basis by a butterfat differential computed by multiplying the Chicago 92-score butter price by 0.12.

*Proposal No. 13.* Revise § 1005.57 to include location differential points at Charleston, Beckley, Summersville, and Lewisburg, all in West Virginia.

Proposed by the Milk Marketing Orders Division, Agricultural Stabilization and Conservation Service:

*Proposal No. 14.* Make such changes as may be necessary to make the entire marketing agreement and the order conform with any amendments thereto that may result from this hearing.

Copies of this notice of hearing and the order may be procured from the Market Administrator, Fred W. Issler, P.O. Box 33, 64 State Street, Gallipolis, Ohio, or from the Hearing Clerk, Room 112, Administration Building, United States Department of Agriculture, Washington 25, D.C., or may be there inspected.

Signed at Washington, D.C., on July 11, 1962.

ROBERT G. LEWIS,  
Deputy Administrator, Price and  
Production, Agricultural Sta-  
bilization and Conservation  
Service.

[F.R. Doc. 62-6905; Filed, July 13, 1962;  
8:56 a.m.]

## FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS COMMISSION

[ 47 CFR Part 3 ]

[Docket No. 14653 (RM-314) ]

### TELEVISION BROADCAST STATIONS IN VERMONT

#### Proposed Table of Assignments; Or- der Extending Time for Filing Reply Comments

1. In the petition, filed July 9, 1962, the University of Vermont requests a 14-day extension of time in which to file reply comments in the above-captioned proceeding. Reply comments are now due on or before July 12, 1962.

2. The University of Vermont asserts that the present closing date for filing reply comments does not give sufficient time to explore adequately certain matters raised by comments filed on behalf of other parties. It also states that Upper Valley Television Broadcasters, Inc., and Connecticut Educational Television Corporation have no objections to this requested extension of time.

3. In view of the above assertions and the fact that the petitioner was the initiator of the proceeding, we believe the additional time requested will give all parties an adequate opportunity to prepare helpful reply comments.

4. Therefore, it is ordered, This 9th day of July 1962, That the Petition for Extension of Time for Filing Reply Comments of the University of Vermont is granted, and that the time for filing reply comments in this proceeding is extended from July 12 to July 26, 1962.

5. This action is taken pursuant to authority found in sections 4(i), 5(d)(1) and 303(r) of the Communications act of 1934, as amended, and section 0.241 (d) (8) of the Commission rules.

Released: July 10, 1962.

FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS  
COMMISSION,  
[SEAL] BEN F. WAPLE,  
Acting Secretary.

[F.R. Doc. 62-6912; Filed, July 13, 1962;  
8:57 a.m.]

## INTERSTATE COMMERCE COMMISSION

[ 49 CFR Part 142 ]

[Ex Parte No. 73]

### EXTENSION OF CREDIT TO SHIPPERS

#### Payment of Rates and Charges; Ex- tension of Date for Filing Replies

JULY 9, 1962.

The date for filing replies to the petition of the National Association of Freight Forwarders, Inc., for modification of the existing railroad credit regulations as provided in § 142.8 of the Code of Federal Regulations (27 F.R. 5669), has been extended to July 23, 1962, at the request of the Freight Forwarders Institute.

[SEAL] HAROLD D. MCCOY,  
Secretary.

[F.R. Doc. 62-6886; Filed, July 13, 1962;  
8:52 a.m.]

# Notices

## DEPARTMENT OF THE INTERIOR Bureau of Land Management COLORADO

### Notice of Proposed Withdrawal and Reservation of Lands

The United States Forest Service of the Department of Agriculture has filed an application, Serial Number Colorado 071590 for the withdrawal of the lands described below from location and entry under the General Mining Laws, subject to existing valid claims.

The applicant desires the land for use as a ranger station and administrative site located in the White River National Forest.

For a period of thirty days from the date of publication of this notice, all persons who wish to submit comments, suggestions, or objections in connection with the proposed withdrawal may present their views in writing to the undersigned officer of the Bureau of Land Management, Department of the Interior, Colorado State Office, Gas and Electric Building, 910 15th Street, Denver 2, Colorado.

If circumstances warrant it, a public hearing will be held at a convenient time and place, which will be announced.

The determination of the Secretary on the application will be published in the FEDERAL REGISTER. A separate notice will be sent to each interested party of record.

The lands involved in the application are:

SIXTH PRINCIPAL MERIDIAN

WHITE RIVER NATIONAL FOREST

Gore Creek Ranger Station and Administrative Site

T. 5 S., R. 80 W.,

Sec. 5, SW $\frac{1}{4}$ SW $\frac{1}{4}$ .

The above described area in White River National Forest aggregates approximately 40.00 acres.

HAROLD T. TYSK,  
Chief, Division of  
Lands and Minerals.

[F.R. Doc. 62-6876; Filed, July 13, 1962;  
8:48 a.m.]

### Office of the Secretary DIRECTOR, BUREAU OF OUTDOOR RECREATION

#### Delegation of Authority

The following material is a portion of the Departmental Manual and the numbering system is that of the Manual.

PART 248—BUREAU OF OUTDOOR  
RECREATION

CHAPTER 1—DIRECTOR, BUREAU OF OUTDOOR  
RECREATION

248.1.1 *Delegation.* The Director, Bureau of Outdoor Recreation, is author-

ized, except as provided in 200 DM 2.1, to exercise the program authority of the Secretary of the Interior with respect to the supervision, management and operations of the Bureau of Outdoor Recreation and the planning, coordination, and promotion of outdoor recreation:

A. through making a comprehensive study of the recreation area needs and resources of the United States and developing a coordinated plan to meet such needs, and through aiding and cooperating with the States and political subdivisions thereof in meeting such needs (Act of June 23, 1936—16 U.S.C. 17 k-n);

B. by conducting generalized investigations of the public recreational potentialities of lands and waters contained in the Colorado River Storage project and participating projects and making determinations as to the final disposition of recreation lands in the project (sec. 8 of the Act of April 11, 1956 (43 U.S.C. 620g));

C. by disposal of Federal surplus real property to States and political subdivisions thereof for park, recreation, and historic monument purposes (Sec. 203 of Federal Property and Administrative Services Act as amended (40 U.S.C. 484)).

D. by cooperating with the Housing and Home Finance Administrator in making Federal grants for the acquisition of open-space land (Title VII, sec. 702(e) of the Housing Act of 1961 (75 Stat. 149)).

248.1.2 *Limitations.* A. The following authority is not delegated in the general authority listed in 248 DM 1.1:

(1) any action not within the general policies, procedures and regulations established by the Secretary.

(2) any action to be taken with the approval or concurrence of the President, or the head of any department or independent agency of the Government.

(3) The planning of areas administered by the National Park Service, or proposals endorsed by the Secretary for areas to be administered by such service.

(4) Detailed site surveys and development of recreation areas under the jurisdiction of this Department.

STEWART L. UDALL,  
Secretary of the Interior.

JULY 9, 1962.

[F.R. Doc. 62-6875; Filed, July 13, 1962;  
8:48 a.m.]

## DEPARTMENT OF THE TREASURY

### Bureau of Customs

[T. D. 55662]

### CERTAIN COTTON TEXTILES PRODUCED OR MANUFACTURED IN CROWN COLONY OF HONG KONG

#### Entry or Withdrawal From Warehouse

JULY 12, 1962.

To Collectors of Customs and others concerned: There is published below a

letter of July 3, 1962, from the Interagency Textile Administrative Committee, recommending and requesting the taking of specified action relating to certain cotton textile products produced or manufactured in the Crown Colony of Hong Kong and which were refused entry into the United States for consumption pursuant to a request from the Interagency Textile Administrative Committee, published in Treasury Decision 55590, dated March 26, 1962 (27 F.R. 2809.)

Accordingly, it is hereby ordered that restricted cotton textile products produced and manufactured in the Crown Colony of Hong Kong and included in the category described in detail in the letter published below, not in excess of the amount mentioned therein, which were entered into bonded warehouse, transferred to a foreign trade zone, or placed in general order on or before June 13, 1962, shall be allowed to be entered for consumption or withdrawn from warehouse for consumption. Entries for consumption or warehouse withdrawals for consumption will not be accepted before noon, e.s.t., or its equivalent in other time zones, on July 18, 1962, and merchandise will thereafter be released when specific authorization from the Bureau of Customs is granted.

[SEAL] JAMES POMEROY HENDRICK,  
Acting Assistant Secretary  
of the Treasury.

THE ASSISTANT SECRETARY OF COMMERCE  
WASHINGTON 25, D.C.

THE INTERAGENCY TEXTILE ADMINISTRATIVE  
COMMITTEE

The Honorable C. DOUGLAS DILLON,  
The Secretary of the Treasury,  
Washington, D.C.

JULY 3, 1962.

DEAR MR. SECRETARY: On March 20, 1962, I sent to you recommendations and requests by the Interagency Textile Administrative Committee that entry into the United States for consumption be refused certain cotton textiles and cotton textile products exported from Hong Kong on or after March 3, 1962. Among the products excluded was Category 48: Raincoats,  $\frac{3}{4}$  length or over:

Schedule A Numbers	U.S.I.D.A. Numbers
3 113 212	09 193 100
3 113 214	09 193 110
3 113 218	09 193 120
3 113 848	09 197 100
3 113 851	09 197 120
3 113 854	09 197 160

In accordance with the letter of March 16, 1962, to you from the President of the United States delegating authority under certain parts of section 204 of the Agricultural Act of 1956, and in accordance with the authority granted to the Interagency Textile Administrative Committee in that letter, and in accordance with Article IA of the Arrangements Regarding International Trade in Cotton Textiles, done at Geneva July 21, 1961, the Interagency Textile Administrative Committee recommends and requests that you take the following action:

Cotton textile products in Category 48, identified above, which were excluded from entry into the United States in accordance with the above requests and recommendations, and which were on or before June 13, 1962, stored in bonded warehouses, in general order, or in foreign trade zones, are to be allowed entry into the United States for consumption upon request in total amounts not in excess of 781 dozen.

This recommendation was arrived at on the 13th day of June 1962 by a unanimous vote of the Interagency Textile Administrative Committee.

Sincerely yours,

HICKMAN PRICE, Jr.,  
Chairman.

[F.R. Doc. 62-6966; Filed, July 13, 1962;  
10:06 a.m.]

## CIVIL AERONAUTICS BOARD

[Docket No. 13764]

### MIDWEST AVIATION LTD.

#### Notice of Hearing

In the matter of the application of Midwest Aviation Ltd. for a foreign air carrier permit, issued pursuant to Section 402 of the Federal Aviation Act of 1958, as amended, to perform operations of a casual, occasional or infrequent nature, in common carriage, into the United States.

Notice is hereby given pursuant to the provisions of the Federal Aviation Act of 1958, as amended, that hearing in the above-entitled proceeding is assigned to be held on July 26, 1962, at 10 a.m., e.d.s.t., in Room 1029, Universal Building, Connecticut and Florida Avenues NW., Washington, D.C., before Examiner Joseph L. Fitzmaurice.

Dated at Washington, D.C., July 11, 1962.

[SEAL] FRANCIS W. BROWN,  
Chief Examiner.

[F.R. Doc. 62-6894; Filed, July 13, 1962;  
8:53 a.m.]

[Docket No. 7100]

### NORTHERN CONSOLIDATED-CHEVRON CONTROL CASE

#### Notice of Postponement of Hearing

Notice is hereby given that the hearing in the above-entitled proceeding has been indefinitely postponed.

Dated at Washington, D.C., July 11, 1962.

[SEAL] MERRITT RUHLEN,  
Hearing Examiner.

[F.R. Doc. 62-6895; Filed, July 13, 1962;  
8:54 a.m.]

[Docket 13672]

### WEST COAST AIR SERVICES LTD. (TRANSBORDER CANADIAN)

#### Notice of Change in Date of Hearing

Notice is hereby given, pursuant to the provisions of the Federal Aviation Act of 1958, as amended, that the hearing in the above-entitled proceeding, now assigned to be held on July 17, 1962, will

be held on July 16, 1962, at 10 a.m., d.s.t., in Room 1029, Universal Building, 1825 Connecticut Avenue NW., Washington, D.C., before the undersigned examiner.

Dated at Washington, D.C., July 11, 1962.

[SEAL] JOSEPH L. FITZMAURICE,  
Hearing Examiner.

[F.R. Doc. 62-6896; Filed, July 13, 1962;  
8:54 a.m.]

[Docket No. 11879; Order E-18572]

### INTERNATIONAL AIR TRANSPORT ASSOCIATION

#### Agreements Relating to Specific Commodity Rates

JULY 10, 1962.

There have been filed with the Board, pursuant to section 412(a) of the Federal Aviation Act of 1958 (the Act) and Part 261 of the Board's Economic Regulations, agreements between various air carriers, foreign air carriers, and other carriers, embodied in the resolutions of Joint Conference 3-1 of the International Air Transport Association (IATA). Agreement C.A.B. 16500 was adopted at the sixth meeting of the San Francisco Commodity Rates Board held in Cannes. Agreement C.A.B. 16500, R-1, was adopted pursuant to unprotected notice to the carriers and promulgated in IATA Memorandum SFO Board/7/JT31-Rates 227.

The agreements extend the validity of certain specific commodity rates presently in effect pursuant to unprotected cable notices and name an additional rate, as follows:

Item 2199—Rate 123 cents per kilogram, minimum weight 100 kilograms, from Sydney to Honolulu.

Pursuant to authority duly delegated by the Board in the Board's Regulations, 14 CFR 385.14, it is not found that the above-described agreements are adverse to the public interest or in violation of the Act provided that approval thereof is conditioned as hereinafter ordered: *Accordingly, it is ordered, That:*

Agreement C.A.B. 16500 and Agreement C.A.B. 16500, R-1, are approved, provided that such approval shall not constitute approval of the specific commodity descriptions contained therein for purposes of tariff publication.

Persons entitled to petition the Board for review of this order, pursuant to the Board's regulations, 14 CFR 385.50, may file such petition within ten days after the date of service of this order.

This order shall be effective and become the action of the Civil Aeronautics Board upon expiration of the above period unless within such period a petition for review thereof is filed, or the Board gives notice that it will review this order on its own motion.

This order will be published in the FEDERAL REGISTER.

[SEAL] HAROLD R. SANDERSON,  
Secretary.

[F.R. Doc. 62-6897; Filed, July 13, 1962;  
8:54 a.m.]

## FEDERAL AVIATION AGENCY

[OE Docket No. 62-SW-1]

### PROPOSED CONSTRUCTION OF HOUSES; PROPOSED CONSTRUCTION OF POLE LINE

#### Determination of No Hazard to Air Navigation

The Federal Aviation Agency has circularized the following proposals to interested persons for aeronautical comment and has conducted a study to determine their effect upon the safe and efficient utilization of airspace:

Downey Brothers, Inc., Beaumont, Texas, proposes to construct a group of houses in Port Arthur, Texas, at approximately latitude 29°57'30" N., longitude 94°01'40" W. The maximum overall height of the structures would be 28 feet above mean sea level (14 feet above ground).

Gulf States Utilities, Port Arthur, Texas, proposes to construct an electrical distribution pole line at the site of and to serve the above proposed houses. The overall height of the poles would vary from approximately 36 feet to 48 feet above mean sea level (21 feet to 34 feet above ground).

Six of the 96 houses proposed in the construction development and six of the power line poles proposed to serve the development would exceed the criteria of § 626.12 of the Regulations of the Administrator.

Objections were made in response to the circularization and at the Fort Worth Informal Airspace Meeting by the Air Transport Association of America on the basis that these structures would extend into the clear zone and instrument approach area for Runway 11 of the Jefferson County Airport, Beaumont, Texas. The ATA also stated that such construction could lead to considerable noise complaints, perhaps causing aircraft to be penalized by possible restrictions to the use of this runway, and that this is an area not recommended for houses in the noise abatement planning guide. Subsequently, the ATA withdrew its objection to the proposed structures from the hazard to air navigation viewpoint but restated its position relative to the noise abatement problem and nuisance factors which could be created by the construction of residences in this area. However, objections based upon noise abatement factors are not relevant to the determination of whether the structures would be a hazard to air navigation.

The proposed structure nearest to Runway 11 would be a house located approximately 780 feet westerly from the northwest end of the runway. It would be within the final approach area for Runway 11 and would penetrate the instrument approach area surface for this runway by approximately nine feet. Five other proposed houses and three proposed powerline poles located at greater distances from the runway would also penetrate the instrument approach area surface but to a lesser extent. Three other powerline poles located outside of the final approach area would penetrate

the transitional surface for Runway 11 by approximately eight feet.

The FAA Airport Facilities Record for this airport, dated June 29, 1961, indicates the existing controlling obstruction for this runway establishes an approach slope of 1:43 to a point 200 feet northwest of the approach end of Runway 11. The proposed structure which would have the greatest effect would result in an increase in the approach slope to 1:35. This would be between the minimum approach slope of 1:50 and the maximum of 1:34 established as standard for an ILS runway at any airport. This would have no substantial adverse effect upon this ILS instrument approach procedure. No other approach or departure procedures at this airport would be adversely affected by the proposed structure.

The Agency study disclosed that the proposed structures would have no substantial adverse effect upon aeronautical operations, procedures or minimum altitudes at the Jefferson County Airport. No other aeronautical operations, procedures or minimum flight altitudes would be affected.

Therefore, pursuant to the authority delegated to me by the Administrator (14 CFR 626.33); it is concluded that the proposed structures, at the location and mean sea level elevation specified herein, would have no substantial adverse effect upon aeronautical operations, procedures or minimum flight altitudes; and it is hereby determined that these structures would not be hazards to air navigation.

This determination is effective as of the date of issuance and will become final 30 days thereafter unless an appeal is filed under § 626.34 (14 CFR 626.34). If the appeal is denied, the determination will then become final as of the date of the denial or 30 days after the issuance of the determination whichever is later. Unless otherwise revised or terminated, a final determination hereunder will expire 18 months after its effective date or upon earlier abandonment of the construction proposal (14 CFR 626.35).

Issued in Washington, D.C., on July 5, 1962.

OSCAR W. HOLMES,  
Chief,

Obstruction Evaluation Branch.

[F.R. Doc. 62-6867; Filed, July 13, 1962;  
8:45 a.m.]

**FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS  
COMMISSION**

[Docket Nos. 14700, 14701; FCC 62M-973]

**CABRILLO BROADCASTING CO. AND  
HELIX BROADCASTING CO.**

**Order Scheduling Hearing and  
Prehearing Conference**

In re applications of Riley Jackson and Allen Richardson Hubbard d/b as Cabrillo Broadcasting Co., San Diego, California, Docket No. 14700, File No.

BP-13423; Cliff Gill, Ira Laufer, Daniel Russell, David S. Drubeck, Dennis Fagerhult, Jack Bell, Martha Aspegren, Louis B. Minter, Robert S. Feder and Alan C. Lisser, d/b as Helix Broadcasting Co., La Mesa, California, Docket No. 14701, Filed No. BP-14406; for construction permits.

It is ordered, This 9th day of July 1962, that H. Gifford Irion will preside at the hearing in the above-entitled proceeding which is hereby scheduled to commence on October 8, 1962, in Washington, D.C.: And, it is further ordered, That a prehearing conference in the proceeding will be convened by the presiding officer at 9:00 a.m., Wednesday, September 12, 1962.

Released: July 10, 1962.

FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS

COMMISSION,

[SEAL] BEN F. WAPLE,

Acting Secretary.

[F.R. Doc. 62-6907; Filed, July 13, 1962;  
8:57 a.m.]

[Docket Nos. 14608, 14609; FCC 62M-976]

**GOODWILL STATIONS, INC., AND  
LAKE HURON BROADCASTING  
CORP.**

**Order Continuing Hearing**

In re applications of the Goodwill Stations, Inc., Flint, Michigan, Docket No. 14608, File No. BRCT-505; for renewal of license of Television Station WJRT (including TV auxiliary Stations KD-9611, KE-4899, KQK-47 Main and Alternate Main, KQK-52 and KQK-53), Lake Huron Broadcasting Corporation, Flint, Michigan, Docket No. 14609, File No. BPCT-2954; for construction permit for new television broadcast station (Channel 12).

The Hearing Examiner having under consideration a change of date for commencement of hearing;

It appearing that the hearing is scheduled to commence on July 11, 1962; and

It further appearing that the parties have reached an agreement whereby Lake Huron Broadcasting Corporation will seek to dismiss its application and appropriate pleadings reflecting this agreement will be filed shortly;

It is ordered, This 10th day of July 1962, that the date for commencement of hearing is continued from July 11 to September 10, 1962.

Released: July 10, 1962.

FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS

COMMISSION,

[SEAL] BEN F. WAPLE,

Acting Secretary.

[F.R. Doc. 62-6908; Filed, July 13, 1962;  
8:57 a.m.]

[Docket Nos. 14334, 14335; FCC 62M-970]

**MESA MICROWAVE, INC.**

**Order Scheduling Hearing**

In re applications of Mesa Microwave, Inc., for renewal of the license for Sta-

tion KLH35, a facility in the Domestic Public Point-to-Point Microwave Radio Service at Silverton, Texas, Docket No. 14334; File No. 2843-C1-R-61; for renewal of the license for Station KLH36, a facility in the Domestic Public Point-to-Point Microwave Radio Service at Memphis, Texas, Docket No. 14335, File No. 2844-C1-R-61.

The Hearing Examiner having under consideration:

(a) His Order Following Further Prehearing Conference, released December 15, 1961 (FCC 61M-1974), wherein, among other things, certain procedural dates were fixed;

(b) His Order, released January 29, 1962 (FCC 62M-126), wherein the hearing theretofore scheduled to commence on March 1, 1962, was postponed without date pending action on certain applications for amendment;

(c) His Order, released March 12, 1962 (FCC 62M-359), wherein subject to certain conditions a Petition for Leave to Amend was granted; and

(d) An Order of the Commission, released July 6, 1962 (FCC 62-702), wherein the Petition for Reconsideration and Grant Without Hearing, filed on May 14, 1962, by Mesa Microwave, Inc., was denied;

It appearing that in view of the denial of the petition for reconsideration and grant it is appropriate to reschedule a date for the commencement of the hearing herein on the issues specified in the Commission's order of designation, released October 30, 1961 (FCC 61-1246);

It further appearing that the Examiner has been advised informally that counsel for the applicant and the Common Carrier, the only parties to the proceeding, have conferred on this question and suggest that the hearing be rescheduled to begin on September 18, 1962;

It further appearing that the procedural dates fixed in the Examiner's Order Following Further Prehearing Conference released December 15, 1961, should be modified so as to specify appropriate and reasonable times prior to the commencement of the hearing herein;

It is ordered, This 9th day of July 1962, that all matters, which in the Examiner's order of December 15, 1961, were required to be accomplished by February 1, 1962, shall be accomplished by August 20, 1962, and that all matters therein required to be accomplished by February 14, 1962, shall be accomplished by September 4, 1962; and

It is further ordered, That the hearing herein is rescheduled to commence at 10:00 a.m., on September 18, 1962, at the Offices of the Commission in Washington, D.C.

Released: July 10, 1962.

FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS

COMMISSION,

[SEAL] BEN F. WAPLE,

Acting Secretary.

[F.R. Doc. 62-6909; Filed, July 13, 1962;  
8:57 a.m.]

[Docket Nos. 14698, 14699]

**NEW YORK TECHNICAL INSTITUTE OF CINCINNATI, INC., AND AIRCALL-CHICAGO, INC.**

**Memorandum Opinion and Order Designating Applications for Consolidated Hearing on Stated Issues**

In re applications of New York Technical Institute of Cincinnati, Inc., Chicago, Illinois, Docket No. 14698, File No. 1760-C2-P-62; Aircall-Chicago, Inc., Chicago, Illinois, Docket No. 14699, File No. 2282-C2-P-62; for construction permits to add facilities and modify authorizations for one-way signaling stations in the Domestic Public Land Mobile Radio Service.

The Commission by its Chief of the Common Carrier Bureau acting under delegation of authority, pursuant to section 0.262 of the Commission's rules, has before it (1) an application filed by New York Technical Institute of Cincinnati, Inc. (hereafter called New York Tech), licensee of station KSC645, a one-way signaling station in the Domestic Public Land Mobile Radio Service at Chicago, Illinois, for a construction permit to install a second channel operating on a frequency of 43.22 Mc; and (2) an application filed by Aircall-Chicago, Inc. (hereinafter called Aircall), licensee of station KSC644, a one-way signaling station in the Domestic Public Land Mobile Radio Service at Chicago, Illinois, for a construction permit to install an additional transmitter to operate on a frequency of 43.22 Mc and to change frequency of its existing FM transmitter to operate on said frequency and use same as standby equipment.

*Preliminary statement.* 1. On November 15, 1961, New York Tech applied for a construction permit for the use of an additional frequency, 43.22 Mc, for the one-way signaling service mentioned in paragraph above. On December 29, 1961, Aircall filed an application for a construction permit to modify and supplement its current operation on 43.58 Mc using both AM and FM transmission. Aircall proposes a new transmitter for FM operation on a frequency of 43.22 Mc and also seeks permission to change frequency of its existing FM transmitter for standby operation on the new frequency. In effect, Aircall proposes to separate its customers so that the selective paging and voice messages will be transmitted on the frequency applied for herein and voice code messages will be transmitted on the previously licensed frequency using AM transmission exclusively. Both applicants serve the Chicago area.

2. It appears that both of the aforesaid applications request authorizations in the same service area on the same frequency and that a grant of both applications would result in mutually harmful interference. Furthermore, each applicant has made allegations regarding the public necessity of the proposed expansion of service which require full and complete examination.

*Disposition.* 3. Accordingly, in the light of our conclusions in paragraph No. 2 above, and in order to carry out

the intent of Congress with respect to section 309(e) of the Communications Act of 1934, as amended;

*It is ordered.* That pursuant to the provisions of section 309(e) of the Communications Act of 1934, as amended, the above entitled applications are designated for hearing in a consolidated proceeding upon the following issues:

(a) To determine, on a comparative basis, the facts with respect to the proposed facilities, including the rates, charges, practices, classifications, regulations, personnel, and services of each applicant.

(b) To determine the area and population which may be expected to receive service from each of the proposed facilities and the need for such additional service in the area.

(c) To determine whether any harmful interference would result from simultaneous operations on 43.22 Mc by the applicants, and, if so, whether such interference would be intolerable or undesirable.

(d) To determine, in the light of evidence adduced on all the foregoing issues, whether the public interest, convenience or necessity will be served by a grant of any of the subject applications.

4. *It is further ordered.* That the burden of proof on each of the issues specified in paragraph No. 3 herein is placed on the applicants, so far as same relates to their respective applications; and

5. *It is further ordered.* That the hearing herein, upon the issues specified in paragraph No. 3 above, shall be held at the Commission's offices in Washington at a time and place to be hereafter announced; and

6. *It is further ordered.* That the Chief, Common Carrier Bureau, is made a party to the proceeding herein; and

7. *It is further ordered.* That the parties desiring to participate herein shall file their appearance not later than August 1, 1962.

Adopted: July 2, 1962.

Released: July 11, 1962.

FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS  
COMMISSION,

[SEAL] BEN F. WAPLE,  
Acting Secretary.

[F.R. Doc. 62-6910; Filed, July 13, 1962;  
8:57 a.m.]

[Docket Nos. 14632-14634; FCC 62M-966]

**TUSCARAWAS BROADCASTING CO.  
ET AL.**

**Order Continuing Hearing**

In re applications of the Tuscarawas Broadcasting Company, Uhrichsville, Ohio, Docket No. 14632, File No. BP-13896; the Niles Broadcasting Company, Niles, Ohio, Docket No. 14633, File No. BP-13993; Punxsutawney Broadcasting Company (WPME), Punxsutawney, Pennsylvania, Docket No. 14634, File No. BP-14022; for construction permits.

The Hearing Examiner having under consideration a petition filed July 5, 1962, on behalf of the Niles Broadcasting Company requesting that the date for the preliminary exchange of engineering

exhibits be continued from July 9, 1962, to July 23, 1962, that the date for the final exchange of engineering exhibits be continued from July 23, 1962 to September 4, 1962, and the date for the start of the evidentiary hearing be continued from July 31, 1962, to September 10, 1962;

It appearing that the reasons for the requested continuance are that additional time is required to finalize findings preparatory to an exchange of engineering exhibits and also that the applicants have entered into preliminary negotiations looking toward amendment of one or more of the applications in order to resolve the conflicts attendant therein for which further engineering studies are necessary in this regard; and

It further appearing that counsel for the other parties have no objections to the granting of the petition and have agreed to a waiver of the provisions of § 1.43 of the Commission's rules in order to permit immediate consideration thereof, and good cause for the requested extensions having been shown;

*It is ordered.* This the 9th day of July 1962, that the petition for continuance is granted and the date for the preliminary exchange of engineering exhibits is continued from July 9, 1962, to July 23, 1962, that the date for the final exchange of engineering exhibits is continued from July 23, 1962, to September 4, 1962, and the date for the start of the evidentiary hearing is continued from July 31, 1962, to September 10, 1962.

Released: July 10, 1962.

FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS  
COMMISSION,  
[SEAL] BEN F. WAPLE,  
Acting Secretary.

[F.R. Doc. 62-6911; Filed, July 13, 1962;  
8:57 a.m.]

**FEDERAL POWER COMMISSION**

[Docket No. CP62-294]

**EL PASO NATURAL GAS CO.**

**Notice of Application and Date of Hearing**

JULY 9, 1962.

Take notice that on June 11, 1962, El Paso Natural Gas Company (Applicant), P.O. Box 1492, El Paso, Texas, filed in Docket No. CP62-294 an application pursuant to section 7(c) of the Natural Gas Act for a certificate of public convenience and necessity authorizing the installation and operation of gas turbine unit "uprating kits" and the continued operation of other gas turbine unit "uprating kits," all as more fully set forth in the application which is on file with the Commission and open to public inspection.

Applicant proposes to install and operate gas turbine unit "uprating kits" on 26 gas turbine units located on the southern portion of Applicant's Southern System. Additionally, Applicant seeks authorization for the continued operation of gas turbine unit "uprating kits" heretofore installed on two units for experimental purposes on said System. The application states that high-temperature

operation produces gradual deterioration of certain gas turbine component parts and that in order to maintain the rated horsepower of the turbine units, these components must be replaced, or otherwise reconditioned, at intervals of approximately 50,000 hours at a cost of approximately \$43,000 per unit. As an alternative to such maintenance, "up-rating kits" are available which will permit operation of the units at increased temperatures; and if operated at increased temperatures, unit horsepower will be increased by 500 horsepower. If the modified units are operated at temperatures now utilized, the useful life of the component parts will be extended by approximately 50,000 hours or up to an estimated 100,000 hours of operation.

Applicant proposes to install "uprating kits" as required to obtain approximately 100,000 hours of operation between major maintenance programs at a cost of approximately \$64,000 per unit, in lieu of the alternative of ordinary repair and replacement at a cost of \$43,000 per unit every 50,000 hours which would amount to a total cost of \$86,000 for every 100,000 hours of operation.

Applicant states that it does not now intend to use the increased horsepower which may be made available in its normal operations. However, the increased horsepower would be used for peaking purposes or otherwise to assure continuity of service in the event of emergencies.

Applicant intends to install two "up-rating kits" immediately upon receipt of authorization necessary to do so and will install the other units when operating conditions permit during 1963 and 1964.

The estimated total cost of the proposed facilities is \$1,972,000, which will be financed from current working funds supplemented, as necessary, by short-term bank loans.

This matter is one that should be disposed of as promptly as possible under the applicable rules and regulations and to that end:

Take further notice that, pursuant to the authority contained in and subject to the jurisdiction conferred upon the Federal Power Commission by sections 7 and 15 of the Natural Gas Act, and the Commission's rules of practice and procedure, a hearing will be held on August 14, 1962, at 9:30 a.m., e.d.s.t., in a Hearing Room of the Federal Power Commission, 441 G Street NW., Washington, D.C., concerning the matters involved in and the issues presented by such application: *Provided, however*, That the Commission may, after a non-contested hearing, dispose of the proceedings pursuant to the provisions of § 1.30(c) (1) or (2) of the Commission's rules of practice and procedure. Under the procedure herein provided for, unless otherwise advised, it will be unnecessary for Applicant to appear or be represented at the hearing.

Protests or petitions to intervene may be filed with the Federal Power Commission, Washington 25, D.C., in accordance with the rules of practice and procedure (18 CFR 1.8 or 1.10) on or before

August 3, 1962. Failure of any party to appear at and participate in the hearing shall be construed as waiver of and concurrence in omission herein of the intermediate decision procedure in cases where a request therefor is made.

JOSEPH H. GUTRIDE,  
Secretary.

[F.R. Doc. 62-6870; Filed, July 13, 1962;  
8:46 a.m.]

[Docket No. E-7041]

### DUKE POWER CO.

#### Notice of Application

JULY 9, 1962.

Take notice that on July 3, 1962, an application was filed with the Federal Power Commission pursuant to section 204 of the Federal Power Act by Duke Power Company (Applicant), a corporation organized under the laws of the State of New Jersey and authorized to do business in the States of North Carolina and South Carolina with its principal business office at Charlotte, North Carolina, seeking an order authorizing the issuance of \$50,000,000 principal amount of First and Refunding Mortgage Bonds, ---- % Series B due 1992. Applicant proposes to issue the aforesaid Bonds under its presently existing Indenture dated December 1, 1927 executed by Applicant to Guaranty Trust Company of New York (now Morgan Guaranty Trust Company of New York), a Trustee, as heretofore supplemented and as to be further supplemented by a Supplemental Indenture to be dated August 1, 1962. The Bonds, to be dated August 1, 1962, will be sold at competitive bidding and will bear interest at the rate per annum to be fixed by competitive bidding. Applicant states that the net proceeds from the sale of the new Bonds will be used toward the redemption and retirement of its presently outstanding \$50,000,000 principal amount of 5½% Series Bonds, due 1990, which Applicant believes will result in a lower rate of interest and a substantial saving to Applicant in annual cost of money.

Any person desiring to be heard or to make any protest with reference to said application should on or before the 30th day of July file with the Federal Power Commission, 441 G Street NW., Washington 25, D.C., petitions or protests in accordance with the requirements of the Commission's Rules of practice and procedure (18 CFR 1.8 or 1.10). The application is on file and available for public inspection.

JOSEPH H. GUTRIDE,  
Secretary.

[F.R. Doc. 62-6871; Filed, July 13, 1962;  
8:46 a.m.]

[Docket No. E-7040]

### IOWA PUBLIC SERVICE CO.

#### Notice of Application

JULY 9, 1962.

Take notice that on July 3, 1962, an application was filed with the Federal

Power Commission pursuant to section 204 of the Federal Power Act by Iowa Public Service Company (Applicant), a corporation organized under the laws of the State of Iowa and authorized to do business in the States of Iowa, South Dakota, and Nebraska, with its principal business office at Sioux City, Iowa, seeking an order authorizing the issuance in September, 1962 of 320,468 shares of Common Stock. The additional Stock, par value \$5 per share, which is presently authorized but unissued, will be entitled to one vote per share with rights of cumulative voting for the election of directors. The additional Stock is to be offered pro rata to existing holders of common stock pursuant to their preemptive rights, viz., one new share for each ten shares of Common Stock held of record on the record date together with the right, where the number of said rights is not evenly divisible by ten or is less than ten, to subscribe for one full additional share of additional Stock with the number of rights which exceeds a multiple of ten or is less than ten. Warrant holders will have the right of oversubscription, subject to allotment. Any shares of the additional Common Stock not subscribed for by warrant holders pursuant to the aforesaid subscription offer will be sold by Applicant to underwriters at the same price as that at which the shares are to be sold to Applicant's stockholders, which price will be determined and fixed by the Applicant's Board of Directors, in relation to the then market price of the Applicant's issued and outstanding Common Stock. The underwriters' compensation for commitments to purchase any unsubscribed shares is to be fixed by competitive bidding.

The net proceeds from the sale of the additional Stock, estimated at approximately \$7,000,000 according to present market value, will be used, first, to pay off the real estate and chattel mortgage assumed by Applicant upon the acquisition of the assets of the Frances-Orpheum Building Company (final payment of \$154,473 due October 1, 1962); second, to pay off any temporary bank loans which may be incurred prior to the sale of additional Common Stock to secure funds for construction purposes (which are not expected to exceed \$700,000); and, the balance to provide a portion of the funds required for the construction or acquisition of permanent improvements, extensions and additions to Applicant's property. Applicant's present plans, according to the application, call for the expenditure of approximately \$13,795,000 during 1962 and an estimated expenditure of \$17,900,000 in 1963 for the construction or acquisition of property additions. The 1962 expenditures include an estimated \$7,047,000 on the first unit of 138,700 kw rated capacity of the George Neal electric generating station now under construction along the Missouri River near Sioux City and scheduled for operation in May, 1964 (the total estimated cost of which is approximately \$23,637,000); \$908,000 for electric transmission line and substation additions; \$2,058,000 for electric distribution line and substation additions;

\$1,856,000 for additions to gas properties; and \$1,566,000 for miscellaneous additions.

Any person desiring to be heard or to make any protest with reference to said application should on or before the 31st day of July file with the Federal Power Commission, 441 G Street NW., Washington 25, D.C., petitions or protests in accordance with the requirements of the Commission's rules of practice and procedure (18 CFR 1.8 or 1.10). The application is on file and available for public inspection.

JOSEPH H. GUTRIDE,  
Secretary.

[F.R. Doc. 62-6872; Filed, July 13, 1962;  
8:46 a.m.]

## SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION

[File No. 812-1517]

### CHRISTIANA SECURITIES CO.

#### Notice of Filing of Application for Order Exempting Joint Enterprise by Registered Company and Affiliated Persons

JULY 10, 1962.

Notice is hereby given that Christiana Securities Company ("Christiana"), Du Pont Building, Wilmington, Del., a registered, closed-end investment company has filed an application pursuant to section 6(c) of the Investment Company Act of 1940 ("Act") and Rule 17d-1 of the general rules and regulations thereunder for an order granting an exemption from section 17(d) of the Act and Rule 17d-1 thereunder to permit Christiana and certain other persons to enter into certain transactions involved in contemplated public offerings of common stock of General Motors Corporation ("General Motors stock"). All interested persons are referred to the application on file with the Commission for a complete statement of the facts which are summarized below.

The United States District Court for the Northern District of Illinois recently handed down a judgment in *United States v. E. I. du Pont de Nemours and Company et al.*, which provides that E. I. du Pont de Nemours and Company ("Du Pont Company") must dispose of all of its holdings of 63,000,000 shares of the General Motors stock by February 28, 1965. Under the judgment, which became effective on May 1, 1962, divestiture must commence no later than July 30, 1962. The initial step in the divestiture is the distribution of about 23,000,000 shares on the basis of one-half share of General Motors stock for each outstanding share of Du Pont Company common stock, payable July 9, 1962.

Christiana on the record date of the distribution owned 13,417,120 shares of Du Pont Company common stock and accordingly, received 6,708,560 shares of General Motors stock under the initial distribution. Christiana also owns 535,500 shares of General Motors common stock which it has held for some years.

By the terms of the judgment Christiana must divest itself, within three years of the effective date of the judgment, of General Motors stock it receives as a stockholder of Du Pont plus the 535,500 shares of General Motors stock it owned at the time of judgment. The judgment in part provides: (1) That it may sell as many shares of General Motors stock as may be necessary to pay taxes on the receipt of stock from Du Pont Company and the expenses and taxes incurred upon sale, and (2) that it shall distribute the remainder to its stockholders (including nonpro rata distributions in redemption of its own stock). Under the terms of the judgment, officers and directors of Christiana, certain Du Pont family members and spouses, certain related trusts and Longwood Foundation, Inc. must, over the next ten years, dispose of any shares of General Motors stock received from Christiana or from the Du Pont Company or presently owned.

The application states that although legislation has been enacted (Public Law 87-403) to reduce the tax impact on the pro rata distributions of the General Motors stock by Du Pont Company and Christiana, heavy taxes will still be payable by Christiana and certain of its shareholders. To pay such taxes Christiana proposes to make an underwritten secondary distribution of part of the General Motors stock it is to receive. Since it is not feasible to have a number of underwritten secondary distributions in any limited period of time, Christiana has extended the opportunity to join the underwritten secondary offering to certain of the other major shareholders of Christiana and Du Pont Company who might find it necessary to sell General Motors shares to pay taxes. Christiana, Delaware Trust Company as trustee of three trusts of which members of the Du Pont family are beneficiaries, Longwood Foundation, Inc., and William du Pont, Jr., plan to sell 1,589,680 shares of General Motors stock in the underwritten secondary offering, of which 550,000 shares are to be sold by Christiana. In connection with such sale a registration statement under the Securities Act of 1933 is expected to be filed on July 10, 1962. William du Pont, Jr., is the president and chairman of the board of Delaware Trust Company, and is a director of the Du Pont Company; Longwood Foundation, Inc. is a non-profit foundation, six of the seven members of the board of which are also members of the board of directors of Christiana.

It is also anticipated that a separate registration statement under the Securities Act of 1933 ("second registration statement") will be filed on or shortly after July 10, 1962, with respect to 101,354 shares of General Motors stock to be offered by or for the account of certain members of the Du Pont family and certain other persons and institutions, among whom will be affiliated persons of Christiana. Such shares will be sold through transactions on the New York Stock Exchange or other exchanges on which General Motors common stock is listed, or otherwise, at market prices prevailing at the time of sale

or at negotiated prices and without payment of any underwriting discounts or commissions, excepting usual and customary commissions paid to brokers or dealers. The persons proposing to sell General Motors stock under this additional registration statement were invited to participate in such offering by Henry B. du Pont, president of Christiana and vice-president of Du Pont Company, Lammot du Pont Copeland, a vice-president of Christiana and Du Pont Company, and William du Pont, Jr., president of Delaware Trust Company and a director of Du Pont Company. These three individuals constituted themselves a Selling Stockholders Committee ("Committee") and addressed a letter to persons who it was believed might be interested in selling General Motors stock then owned or to be received on distribution from Du Pont Company. The members of this Committee have been given power to act on behalf of the various selling stockholders participating in the second registration statement, including the power to incur expenses and employ counsel.

This Committee has also been given powers of attorney by the selling stockholders participating in the underwritten secondary distribution, including Christiana, in the interest of facilitating the execution of underwriting and other agreements and in otherwise carrying out transactions involved in the underwriting. In this connection, the Committee has power and authority, among other things, to determine the price per share to be received from the underwriters and the initial public offering price of the shares.

According to the application, under the proposed transactions none of the participants, including Christiana, will participate on a basis different from or less advantageous than that of any other participant. Christiana and all other selling stockholders will bear expenses, including the costs of indemnifying General Motors Corporation and, in the case of the underwritten offering, the underwriters against certain liabilities under the Securities Act of 1933 and otherwise, on the basis of their ratable share of the number of General Motors shares registered, and Christiana will not incur any debt or obligations on behalf of an affiliated person.

It is expected that all or substantially all of the balance of the General Motors stock owned by Du Pont Company will be distributed to its common stockholders in two or three additional installments before February 28, 1965. Christiana in turn must dispose of all the shares of General Motors stock which it owns or will receive from Du Pont Company before April 30, 1965. The large volume of shares to be distributed, the substantial taxes that will be encountered by many shareholders upon distribution, and the provisions of the judgment forcing disposition, are expected to result in the need for further underwritten secondary offerings and offerings similar in character to that covered by the second registration statement. The transactions described herein are designed to set a pattern that is expected to be used in the future. The requested order of

exemption would also apply to transactions incident to such contemplated subsequent offerings, as well as to the presently proposed underwritten secondary offering and the offering covered by the second registration statement.

Section 17(d) of the Act and Rule 17d-1 thereunder, taken together provide, among other things, that it shall be unlawful, with certain exceptions not applicable here, for an affiliated person of a registered investment company or any affiliated person of such a person, acting as principal, to participate in, or effect any transaction in connection with any joint enterprise or arrangement in which any such registered company, or a company controlled by such registered company, is a participant unless an application regarding such arrangement has been granted by the Commission, and that, in passing upon such an application, the Commission will consider whether the participation of such registered company or controlled company in such arrangement is consistent with the provisions, policies and purposes of the Act and the extent to which such participation is on a basis different from or less advantageous than that of other participants. A joint enterprise or arrangement as used in Rule 17d-1 is defined as any written or oral plan, contract, authorization or arrangement, or any practice or understanding concerning an enterprise or undertaking whereby a registered investment company or a controlled company thereof and any affiliated person of or a principal underwriter for such registered company, or any affiliated person of such person or principal underwriter, have a joint or a joint and several participation, or share in the profits of such enterprise or undertaking.

Section 6(c) authorizes the Commission to exempt any person, security, or transaction, or any class or classes of persons, securities, or transactions, from the provisions of the Act and rules promulgated thereunder if and to the extent that such exemption is necessary or appropriate in the public interest and consistent with the protection of investors and the purposes fairly intended by the policy and provisions of the Act.

Notice is further given that any interested person may, not later than July 24, 1962, at 5:30 p.m. submit to the Commission in writing a request for a hearing on the matter accompanied by a statement as to the nature of his interest, the reason for such request and the issues of fact or law proposed to be controverted, or he may request that he be notified if the Commission shall order a hearing thereon. Any such communication should be addressed: Secretary, Securities and Exchange Commission, Washington 25, D.C. A copy of such request shall be served personally or by mail (air mail if the person being served is located more than 500 miles from the point of mailing) upon Applicants. Proof of such service (by affidavit or in case of an attorney-at-law by certificate) should be filed contemporaneously with the request. At any time after said date, as provided by Rule 0-5 of the rules and regulations promulgated under the Act, an order disposing of the application

herein may be issued by the Commission upon the basis of the showing contained in said application, unless an order for hearing upon said application shall be issued upon request or upon the Commission's own motion.

By the Commission.

[SEAL]

ORVAL L. DuBOIS,  
Secretary.

[F.R. Doc. 62-6877; Filed, July 13, 1962;  
8:50 a.m.]

[File No. 24SF-2986]

## IMPERIAL MINING, INC.

### Notice and Order for Hearing

JULY 10, 1962.

I. Imperial Mining, Inc. (issuer), 130 Fourth Street, Las Vegas, Nevada, filed with the Commission on November 15, 1961, a notification and offering circular relating to an offering of 3,000,000 shares of \$0.10 par value common stock, all for the aggregate price of not to exceed \$300,000, for the purpose of obtaining an exemption from the registration requirements of the Securities Act of 1933, as amended, pursuant to section 3(b) thereof and Regulation A promulgated thereunder.

II. The Commission on May 31, 1962, issued an order pursuant to Rule 261 of the general rules and regulations under the Securities Act of 1933, as amended, which temporarily suspended the issuer's exemption under Regulation A and afforded to any person having any interest therein an opportunity to request a hearing. A written request for a hearing has been received by the Commission.

The Commission deems it necessary and appropriate that a hearing be held for the purpose of determining whether it should vacate the temporary suspension order or enter an order of permanent suspension in this matter.

*It is hereby ordered*, Pursuant to Rule 261 of the general rules and regulations under the Securities Act of 1933, as amended, that a hearing be held at 10:00 a.m., P.d.s.t., on August 6, 1962, at the Los Angeles Branch Office of the Commission, 309 Guaranty Building, 6331 Hollywood Boulevard, Los Angeles 28, California, with respect to the following matters and questions, without prejudice, however, to the specification of additional issues which may be presented in these proceedings:

A. Whether the issuer in the offer and sale of its securities is using an offering circular filed May 11, 1962, dated March 10, 1962, which was not filed as an exhibit to the notification pursuant to Rule 256(a) of Regulation A and Form 1-A thereunder, does not contain the information specified in Schedule I of Form 1-A and omits to state material facts necessary to make the statements made therein not misleading, with respect to:

1. The offering price of the shares offered,
2. The interests of officers, directors, promoters and affiliates in the issuer,
3. The names of the promoters,
4. The annual remuneration of officers and directors,

5. The nature and quality of the mineralized deposits and risks of title to mining claims,

6. A description of the securities offered.

7. The right of shareholders to determine, under certain circumstances, the use to which the proceeds will be put, including the right to return of unexpended funds by liquidation of issuer,

8. The nature of the property interests of the issuer in its mining properties,

9. The procuring of new properties and selling of present interests,

10. The expenses of the offering,

11. The intention of issuer as to employment of an engineering and mining superintendent and the use of proceeds in paying his salary.

B. Whether the offer is being and would be made in violation of Section 17 of the Securities Act of 1933, as amended.

III. *It is further ordered*, That Sidney Ullman, or any officer or officers of the Commission designated by it for that purpose, shall preside at the hearing; that any officer or officers so designated to preside at any such hearing are hereby authorized to exercise all the powers granted to the Commission under sections 19(b), 21, and 22(c) of the Securities Act of 1933, as amended, and to hearing officers under the Commission's rules of practice.

*It is further ordered*, That the Secretary of the Commission shall serve a copy of this order by registered mail on Imperial Mining, Inc., that notice of the entering of this order shall be given to all other persons by a general release of the Commission and by publication in the FEDERAL REGISTER. Any person who desires to be heard, or otherwise wishes to participate in the hearing, shall file with the Secretary of the Commission on or before August 3, 1962, a written request relative thereto as provided in Rule 9(c) of the Commission's rules of practice.

*It is further ordered*, That Imperial Mining, Inc., pursuant to Rule 7 of the rules of practice of the Commission (17 CFR 201.7), shall file an answer to the allegations set forth in section II hereinabove. Such answer shall be filed in the manner, form and within the time prescribed by 17 CFR 201.7 and shall specifically admit or deny or state that Imperial Mining, Inc. does not have, and is unable to obtain, sufficient information to admit or deny each of the allegations set forth in section II hereinabove.

Notice is hereby given that if Imperial Mining, Inc. fails to file an answer pursuant to 17 CFR 201.7 within fifteen days after service upon it of this notice and order for hearing, the proceedings may be determined against Imperial Mining, Inc. by the Commission upon consideration of this notice and order for hearing and said allegations in section II above may be deemed to be true.

By the Commission.

[SEAL]

ORVAL L. DuBOIS,  
Secretary.

[F.R. Doc. 62-6878; Filed, July 13, 1962;  
8:50 a.m.]

[File No. 24SF-2959]

**MEASUREMENTS SPECTRUM, INC.****Notice and Order for Hearing**

JULY 10, 1962.

I. Measurements Spectrum, Inc. (issuer), 815 South Fremont Avenue, Alhambra, California, filed with the Commission on October 9, 1961, a notification on Form 1-A and an offering circular relating to an offering of 60,000 shares of its \$5 par value common stock at \$5 per share for an aggregate amount of \$300,000 for the purpose of obtaining an exemption from the registration requirements of the Securities Act of 1933, as amended, pursuant to the provisions of section 3(b) thereof, and Regulation A promulgated thereunder. The notification and offering circular were cleared on December 1, 1961, and the offering circular was dated December 18, 1961. Adams & Company (underwriter), 5455 Wilshire Boulevard, Los Angeles, California, was named as underwriter on an all-or-none best-efforts basis.

II. The Commission on May 25, 1962, issued an order pursuant to Rule 261 of the general rules and regulations under the Securities Act of 1933, as amended, which temporarily suspended the issuer's exemption under Regulation A and afforded to any person having any interest therein an opportunity to request a hearing. A written request for a hearing has been received from the issuer. The underwriter also has requested a hearing, stating that it desires an opportunity to show that it had no culpable responsibility as to the failure of the offering circular dated December 18, 1961, to state material facts truthfully, and to present such other testimony and evidence as seems appropriate.

The Commission deems it necessary and appropriate that a hearing be held for the purposes of determining (1) whether it should vacate the temporary suspension order or enter an order of permanent suspension in this matter and (2) whether the underwriter had any culpable responsibility as to the failure to disclose material facts with respect to the matters set forth in Paragraph A hereunder.

*It is hereby ordered,* Pursuant to Rule 261 of the general rules and regulations under the Securities Act of 1933, as amended, that a hearing be held at 10:00 a.m., P.d.s.t., on July 30, 1962, at the Los Angeles Branch Office of the Commission, 309 Guaranty Building, 6331 Hollywood Boulevard, Los Angeles 28, California, with respect to the following matters and questions, without prejudice, however, to the specification of additional issues which may be presented in these proceedings:

A. Whether the offering circular omitted to state material facts, and contained a materially misleading presentation of facts and untrue statements of material facts as follows:

1. The offering circular failed to disclose operating losses in the amount of \$33,000 which were incurred subsequent

to the August 31, 1961 date of the financials but prior to the date of the offering circular.

2. The offering circular failed to disclose that the issuer issued promissory notes in the amount of \$46,000, subsequent to the date of financials but prior to the date of the offering circular.

3. The offering circular failed to disclose expenses charged to the company by the underwriter of \$26,000, which is \$17,000 in excess of the \$9,000 estimated in the offering circular as expenses of the underwriter.

4. The offering circular contains an untrue statement of material fact in that it stated promotion stock was to be escrowed when in fact (a) the underwriter reallocated promotion stock to members of the selling group, to salesmen employed by the underwriter and to directors of the issuer, and (b) the president of the issuer reallocated promotion stock to the underwriter and to the lenders and finders as bonuses for loans to the company.

B. Whether the offering has been made in violation of section 17 of the Securities Act of 1933, as amended.

III. *It is further ordered,* That Sidney Ullman, or any officer or officers of the Commission designated by it for that purpose, shall preside at the hearing; that any officer or officers so designated to preside at any such hearing are hereby authorized to exercise all the powers granted to the Commission under sections 19(b), 21, and 22(c) of the Securities Act of 1933, as amended, and to hearing officers under the Commission's rules of practice.

*It is further ordered,* That the Secretary of the Commission shall serve a copy of this order by registered mail on Measurements Spectrum, Inc., that notice of the entering of this order shall be given to all other persons by a general release of the Commission and by publication in the FEDERAL REGISTER. Any person who desires to be heard, or otherwise wishes to participate in the hearing, shall file with the Secretary of the Commission on or before July 27, 1962, a written request relative thereto as provided in Rule 9(c) of the Commission's rules of practice.

*It is further ordered,* That Measurements Spectrum, Inc., pursuant to Rule 7 of the rules of practice of the Commission (17 CFR 201.7), shall file an answer to the allegations set forth in section II hereinabove. Such answer shall be filed in the manner, form and within the time prescribed by 17 CFR 201.7 and shall specifically admit or deny or state that Measurements Spectrum, Inc. does not have, and is unable to obtain, sufficient information to admit or deny each of the allegations set forth in section II hereinabove.

Notice is hereby given that if Measurements Spectrum, Inc. fails to file an answer pursuant to 17 CFR 201.7 within fifteen days after service upon it of this notice and order for hearing, the proceedings may be determined against Measurements Spectrum, Inc. by the Commission upon consideration of this notice and order for hearing and said

allegations in section II above may be deemed to be true.

By the Commission.

[SEAL]

ORVAL L. DUBOIS,  
Secretary.[F.R. Doc. 62-6879; Filed, July 13, 1962;  
8:50 a.m.]**GENERAL SERVICES ADMINISTRATION**

[Delegation of Authority 415]

**SECRETARY OF DEFENSE****Authority To Represent Interests of the Federal Government in the Matter of California Interstate Telephone Company Before the Federal Communications Commission**

1. Pursuant to the provisions of sections 201(a)(4) and 205 (d) and (e) of the Federal Property and Administrative Services Act of 1949, 63 Stat. 377, as amended, authority to represent the interest of the executive agencies of the Federal Government in the matter of California Interstate Telephone Company, Tariff F.C.C. No. 10 (SCAN), before the Federal Communications Commission, is hereby delegated to the Secretary of Defense.

2. The Secretary of Defense is hereby authorized to redelegate any of the authority contained herein to any officer, official or employee of the Department of Defense.

3. The authority conferred herein shall be exercised in accordance with the policies, procedures and controls prescribed by the General Services Administration, and shall further be exercised in cooperation with the responsible officers, officials and employees of General Services Administration.

4. This delegation of authority shall be effective April 30, 1962.

Dated: July 9, 1962.

BERNARD L. BOUTIN,  
Administrator.[F.R. Doc. 62-6884; Filed, July 13, 1962;  
8:51 a.m.]**SMALL BUSINESS ADMINISTRATION**[Delegation of Authority 30-XIV-6,  
(Revision 1)]**CHIEF, LOAN PROCESSING SECTION****Delegation Relating to Financial Assistance Functions**

I. Pursuant to the authority delegated to the Chief, Financial Assistance Division by Delegation of Authority No. 30-XIV-1 (Revision 1), as amended (25 F.R. 6607; 26 F.R. 8611), there is hereby redelegated to the Chief, Loan Processing Section, the authority:

A. *Financial assistance.* 1. To approve the following:

- a. Direct loans not exceeding \$50,000.
- b. Participation loans not exceeding \$150,000.
- c. Limited loan participation not exceeding \$25,000.
- d. Small loans not exceeding \$25,000.
- e. Disaster loans not exceeding \$50,000.

- 2. To decline Limited Loan Participation loans.
- 3. To enter into Business Loan and Disaster Loan Participation Agreements with banks.
- 4. To execute loan authorizations for Washington approved loans and for loans approved under delegated authority, said execution to read as follows:

(Name), Administrator.

By \_\_\_\_\_  
Chief, Loan Processing Section.

- 5. To cancel, reinstate, modify and amend authorizations for business or disaster loans.
- 6. To extend the disbursement period on all loan authorizations or undistributed portions of loans.
- 7. To approve, when requested, in advance of disbursement, conformed copies of notes and other closing documents and certify to the participating bank that such documents are in compliance with the participation authorizations.

**B. Administration.** 1. To approve annual and sick leave for employees under his supervision. This does not include approval of advanced annual and sick leave.

II. The authority delegated herein may not be redelegated.

III. All authority delegated herein may be exercised by any SBA employee designated as Acting Chief, Loan Processing Section.

IV. All previous authority delegated by the Chief, Financial Assistance Division, to the Chief, Loan Processing Section, is rescinded without prejudice to actions taken under all such delegations of authority prior to the date hereof.

Effective date: October 12, 1961.

GEORGE A. RANDS,  
Chief, Financial Assistance Division,  
Los Angeles Regional Office.

[F.R. Doc. 62-6880; Filed, July 13, 1962; 8:50 a.m.]

[Delegation of Authority 30-XIV-7 (Revision 1)]

**CHIEF, LOAN ADMINISTRATION SECTION**

**Delegation Relating to Financial Assistance Functions**

I. Pursuant to the authority delegated to the Chief, Financial Assistance Division by Delegation of Authority No. 30-XIV-1 (Revision 1), as amended (25 F.R. 6607; 26 F.R. 8611), there is hereby redelegated to the Chief, Loan Administration Section, the authority:

**A. Financial assistance.** 1. To approve amendments and modifications of loan authorizations for loans that have been fully disbursed.

2. To take all necessary action in connection with the servicing, administration and collection of partially or fully disbursed loans.

**B. Administrative.** 1. To approve annual and sick leave for employees under his supervision. This does not include approval of advanced annual and sick leave.

II. The authority delegated herein may not be redelegated.

III. All authority delegated herein may be exercised by any SBA employee designated as Acting Chief, Loan Administration Section.

IV. All previous authority delegated by the Chief, Financial Assistance Division to the Chief, Loan Administration Section is hereby rescinded without prejudice to actions taken under all such delegations of authority prior to the date hereof.

Effective date: October 12, 1961.

GEORGE A. RANDS,  
Chief, Financial Assistance Division,  
Los Angeles Regional Office.

[F.R. Doc. 62-6881; Filed, July 13, 1962; 8:51 a.m.]

[Delegation of Authority 30-XIV-10]

**CHIEF, LOAN LIQUIDATION SECTION**

**Delegations Relating to Financial Assistance Functions**

I. Pursuant to the authority delegated to the Chief, Financial Assistance Division by Delegation of Authority No. 30-XIV-1 (Revision 1), as amended, (25 F.R. 6607; 26 F.R. 8611), there is hereby redelegated to the Chief, Loan Liquidation Section, the authority:

**A. Financial assistance.** To take the following action in the administration, collection and liquidation of all business and disaster loans classified as "problem loans" or "in liquidation":

1. Release or consent to the release of real or personal property upon substitution of other collateral of equal or greater value.

2. To take peaceable custody of collateral, as mortgage in possession thereof or otherwise, whenever such action becomes necessary to protect the interests of or a loan made by SBA; to take all steps necessary for the preservation and protection of the property, pending foreclosure of the lien and sale of the collateral; and, to obligate the administration in an amount not in excess of a total of \$1,000, for any one loan, for these expenditures as may be required to accomplish these purposes.

3. To enter into written arrangements with custodians or caretakers of collateral covering their services, which shall not have the effect of making such persons employees of SBA but shall be limited to their temporary services for the specific purpose involved.

4. To enter into written arrangements with owners of premises, when it is necessary to use a building not part of the loan collateral for the storage of chattels pending foreclosure and sale, for a pe-

riod of not more than 90 days, including a period of 10 days after the date of sale of the collateral to permit orderly removal of the property from the premises.

5. To post indemnity or other bonds in proceedings in cases where such undertakings are required by State law.

**B. Administrative.** 1. To approve annual and sick leave for employees under his supervision. This does not include approval of advanced annual and sick leave.

II. The authority delegated herein may not be redelegated.

III. All authority delegated herein may be exercised by any SBA employee designated as Acting Chief, Loan Liquidation Section.

IV. All previous authority delegated by the Chief, Financial Assistance Division, to the Chief, Loan Liquidation Section, is hereby rescinded without prejudice to actions taken under all such delegations of authority prior to the date hereof.

Effective date: October 12, 1961.

GEORGE A. RANDS,  
Chief, Financial Assistance Division,  
Los Angeles Regional Office.

[F.R. Doc. 62-6882; Filed, July 13, 1962; 8:51 a.m.]

[Delegation of Authority 30-XI-14]

**CHIEF, LOAN PROCESSING SECTION**

**Delegation Relating to Loan Processing Functions**

I. Pursuant to the authority delegated to the Chief, Financial Assistance Division, by Delegation No. 30-XI-1 (Revision 1), 26 F.R. 10462, dated September 18, 1961, there is hereby redelegated to the Chief, Loan Processing Section, the authority:

**A. Financial assistance.** 1. To approve but not decline the following types of loans:

a. Direct business loans in the amount not exceeding \$15,000.

b. Participation loans not in excess of \$100,000 with minimum bank participation of 25 percent, at least 50 percent of said participation to be new money.

2. To approve or decline Limited Loan Participation loans in which the Agency's exposure does not exceed \$15,000.

3. To approve or decline disaster loans not in excess of \$50,000.

4. To enter into Business Loan and Disaster Loan Participation Agreements with banks.

5. To execute loan authorizations for Washington approved loans and for loans approved under delegated authority said execution to read as follows:

JOHN E. HORNE, Administrator.

By \_\_\_\_\_

(Name)

Chief, Loan Processing Section.

6. To cancel, reinstate, modify and amend authorizations for business or disaster loans.

7. To extend the disbursement period on all loan authorizations or undisbursed portions of loans.

B. *Administration.* To approve annual and sick leave, except advanced annual and sick leave, for employees under his supervision.

II. The authority delegated herein may not be redelegated.

III. All authority delegated herein may be exercised by any SBA employee designated as Acting Chief, Loan Processing Section.

IV. All previous authority delegated by the Chief, Financial Assistance Division, to the Chief, Loan Processing Section, is rescinded without prejudice to actions taken under all such delegations of authority prior to the date hereof.

Effective date: September 18, 1961.

OTIS J. KING, *Chief,*  
*Financial Assistance Division,*  
*Denver Regional Office.*

[F.R. Doc. 62-6883; Filed, July 13, 1962;  
8:51 a.m.]

## INTERSTATE COMMERCE COMMISSION

### FOURTH SECTION APPLICATION FOR RELIEF

JULY 11, 1962.

Protests to the granting of an application must be prepared in accordance with Rule 40 of the general rules of practice (49 CFR 1.40) and filed within 15 days from the date of publication of this notice in the FEDERAL REGISTER.

#### LONG-AND-SHORT HAUL

FSA No. 37827: *Sulphuric acid (returned) from official territory points.* Filed by Traffic Executive Association-Eastern Railroads, Agent (E.R. No. 2623), for interested rail carriers. Rates on returned shipments of sulphuric acid, in tank-car loads, from points in official territory, to points in Illinois, Iowa, Michigan (Upper Peninsula), and Wisconsin.

Grounds for relief: Carrier competition.

Tariff: Supplement 188 to Traffic Executive Association-Eastern Railroads tariff I.C.C. A-1059.

By the Commission.

[SEAL] HAROLD D. MCCOY,  
*Secretary.*

[F.R. Doc. 62-6885; Filed, July 13, 1962;  
8:51 a.m.]

## MOTOR CARRIER TRANSFER PROCEEDINGS

JULY 11, 1962.

Synopses of orders entered pursuant to section 212(b) of the Interstate Commerce Act, and rules and regulations prescribed thereunder (49 CFR Part 179), appear below:

As provided in the Commission's special rules of practice any interested person may file a petition seeking reconsideration of the following numbered proceedings within 20 days from the date of publication of this notice. Pursuant to section 17(8) of the Interstate Commerce Act, the filing of such a petition will postpone the effective date of the order in that proceeding pending its disposition. The matters relied upon by petitioners must be specified in their petitions with particularity.

No. MC-FC 65054. By order of July 9, 1962, the Transfer Board approved the transfer to D. D. Jacobs, Inc., Route 4, Walla Walla, Wash., of Certificate No. MC 76432 issued June 2, 1941, to Scott E. Miller, Route 3, Walla Walla, Wash., authorizing the transportation of: Ice, from Walla Walla, Wash., to Umapine, Oreg., wood, from points in Umatilla County, Oreg., to Walla Walla, Wash., creamery machinery and house-moving equipment, between points in Umatilla County, Oreg., on the one hand, and, on the other, points in Walla Walla County, Wash., and dairy and creamery products, hay, grain, feed, and livestock, between Walla Walla, Wash., on the one hand, and, on the other, points in Umatilla County, Oreg.

No. MC-FC 65102. By order of July 9, 1962, the Transfer Board approved the transfer to Belvoir Express and Van, Inc., New York, N.Y., of a portion of Certificate No. MC 82421, issued June 15, 1944, to Alfred J. Koster, Lucien Koster, and John Reynolds, a partnership, doing business as Koster's Transfer & Storage Co., New York, N.Y., authorizing the transportation of: Household goods, between New York, N.Y., on the one hand, and, on the other, points in Connecticut, New Jersey, and New York. Edward M. Alfano, 2 West 45th Street, New York 36, N.Y., attorney for applicants.

No. MC-FC 65113. By order of July 9, 1962, the Transfer Board approved the transfer to William C. Falke, doing business as W. C. Falke Movers, Pawtucket, R.I., of Certificate No. MC 112691, issued

July 25, 1951, to Charles E. Edmond, doing business as B. & E. Trucking, Riverside, R.I., authorizing the transportation of: New furniture, uncrated, in retail delivery service, from Providence, R.I., and points within 5 miles of Providence to points in Massachusetts within 50 miles of Providence, and those in New London and Windham Counties, Conn., and used or repaired furniture, uncrated, in retail delivery service, between Providence, R.I., and points within 5 miles of Providence, on the one hand, and, on the other, points in Massachusetts within 50 miles of Providence and those in New London and Windham Counties, Conn. Russell B. Curnett, 49 Weybesset Street, Providence 3, R.I., representative for applicants.

No. MC-FC 65131. By order of July 9, 1962, the Transfer Board approved the transfer to Louis Hausman and Arthur Bruch, Jr., a partnership, doing business as Hausman and Bruch, Arcadia, Iowa, of Certificates Nos. MC 62389 and MC 62389 Sub-2, issued March 10, 1952, and March 10, 1952, respectively, to Louis Hausman and Elmer Schmitz, a partnership, doing business as Hausman and Schmitz, Arcadia, Iowa, authorizing the transportation of: Livestock, feed, agricultural implements, and lumber, over irregular routes, between Arcadia, Iowa, and points within 15 miles of Arcadia, on the one hand, and, on the other, Omaha, Nebr., and livestock, over regular routes, between Lidderdale, Iowa, and Omaha, Nebr., and general commodities, excluding household goods, commodities in bulk, and other specified commodities from Omaha to Lidderdale, Iowa. Jacob Wittry, P.O. Box 54, Breda, Iowa, Representative for applicant.

No. MC-FC 65150. By order of July 9, 1962, the Transfer Board approved the transfer to Archie Rowe Moving & Storage, Inc., Brooklyn, N.Y., of Certificate No. MC 70691, issued August 9, 1961, to Charles A. Rowe doing business as Archie Rowe, Brooklyn, N.Y., authorizing the transportation of: Household goods, as defined by the Commission, over irregular routes, between New York, N.Y., on the one hand, and, on the other, points in Connecticut, Massachusetts, New Jersey, New York, Pennsylvania, and Rhode Island. Morris Honig, 150 Broadway, New York 38, N.Y., attorney for applicants.

[SEAL] HAROLD D. MCCOY,  
*Secretary.*

[F.R. Doc. 62-6937; Filed, July 13, 1962;  
8:58 a.m.]

CUMULATIVE CODIFICATION GUIDE—JULY

The following numerical guide is a list of the parts of each title of the Code of Federal Regulations affected by documents published to date during July.

3 CFR	Page	7 CFR—Continued	Page	14 CFR—Continued	Page
<b>PROCLAMATIONS:</b>					
May 1, 1937	6253	<b>PROPOSED RULES—Continued</b>			
3468	6255	1011	6549	79 [New]	6300
3480	6253	1045	6548	507	6434, 6678
<b>EXECUTIVE ORDERS:</b>					
Dec. 1, 1910	6281	1065	6549, 6560	600	6284,
Feb. 3, 1913	6281	1066	6549	6300, 6336, 6390, 6391, 6561, 6562	6284,
Feb. 20, 1913	6673	1071—1076	6549	601	6284,
Mar. 3, 1913	6673	1090	6549	6300, 6336, 6391, 6392, 6503, 6562	6284,
Aug. 25, 1916	6673	1094	6549	602	6300, 6563
July 19, 1919	6673	1096	6549	608	6300, 6392
Nov. 22, 1924	6340	1098	6549	626	6300
3675	6673	1101	6549	<b>16 CFR</b>	
3797-A	6388	1102	6549	13	6266,
10900	6653	1103	6433, 6549	6267, 6323—6325, 6381—6384, 6495,	6495,
11035	6519	1104	6549	6544—6546, 6621, 6622, 6709.	6384
11036	6653	1105	6433, 6549	<b>17 CFR</b>	
<b>PRESIDENTIAL DOCUMENTS OTHER THAN PROCLAMATIONS AND EXECUTIVE ORDERS:</b>					
Letter, Aug. 31, 1960	6519	1106	6549	<b>PROPOSED RULES:</b>	
Memorandum, July 1, 1962	6255	1107	6433, 6549	150	6676
<b>5 CFR</b>					
5	6257	1120	6549	<b>18 CFR</b>	
6	6257, 6311, 6367, 6525, 6605, 6693	1126—1129	6549	1	6384
25	6311	1130	6501, 6549	<b>19 CFR</b>	
30	6257	1132	6549	10	6325
34	6693	1134—1135	6549	19	6325
37	6655	1137	6549	22	6325
<b>6 CFR</b>					
421	6257,	<b>9 CFR</b>			
	6459, 6463, 6468, 6525, 6583, 6693	78	6706	<b>10 CFR</b>	
427	6530, 6693	<b>7</b>			
446	6583	95	6604	<b>20 CFR</b>	
464	6311	112	6426	325	6605
483	6415	<b>12 CFR</b>			
540	6314	1	6539	<b>21 CFR</b>	
<b>7 CFR</b>					
68	6699	563	6429	120	6327, 6433
301	6473	<b>13 CFR</b>			
401	6367—6370	121	6655	121	6327,
711	6539	<b>PROPOSED RULES:</b>			
718	6480	121	6338	6328, 6496, 6497, 6623—6625, 6710—	6713.
719	6482	<b>14 CFR</b>			
723	6371	4b	6321	146c	6497
725	6704	22	6322	147	6546
727	6593	40	6321	<b>PROPOSED RULES:</b>	
728	6314	41	6321	8	6631
730	6378	42	6321	120	6433, 6502, 6631
750	6378	44	6321	121	6335, 6390, 6561, 6631
908	6424, 6704	50	6655	<b>24 CFR</b>	
910	6425, 6705	51	6655	220	6497
911	6379, 6491	52	6655	<b>26 CFR</b>	
915	6705	53	6655	151	6328
916	6425	54	6655	<b>PROPOSED RULES:</b>	
917	6317—6319	141 [New]	6655	20	6433
919	6319	143 [New]	6655	25	6433
921	6320	145 [New]	6655	<b>29 CFR</b>	
945	6491	147 [New]	6655	403	6430
947	6492	149 [New]	6655	<b>PROPOSED RULES:</b>	
1047	6603	302	6708	1304	6676
1132	6539	507	6430, 6605, 6606, 6672	<b>32 CFR</b>	
1133	6705	600	6265,	543	6713
<b>PROPOSED RULES:</b>					
29	6332	601	6265, 6672	805	6385
102	6500	6266, 6430, 6493, 6541, 6542, 6672	6672	878	6385
910	6629	602	6265,	881	6385
981	6717	603	6265, 6672.	887	6386
990	6500	608	6543, 6544	895	6387
1005	6549, 6717	609	6380, 6381, 6541, 6542, 6708, 6709	896	6387
<b>PROPOSED RULES:</b>					
20	6677	609	6607, 6613	970	6387
71 [New]	6300	<b>PROPOSED RULES:</b>			
73 [New]	6300	20	6677	971	6387
75 [New]	6300	71 [New]	6300	1001—1060	6387
77 [New]	6300	73 [New]	6300	1001	6268
		75 [New]	6300	1002	6269
		77 [New]	6300	1003	6269
				1007	6272
				1008	6275
				1009	6275

**32 CFR—Continued**

	Page
1011-----	6277
1012-----	6278
1013-----	6278
1014-----	6278
1015-----	6279
1054-----	6280
1057-----	6280
1710-----	6280

**33 CFR**

203-----	6431, 6546
----------	------------

**36 CFR**

1-----	6280, 6499
7-----	6431
27-----	6714

**PROPOSED RULES:**

7-----	6433
--------	------

**38 CFR**

3-----	6281, 6387, 6498
14-----	6498

**39 CFR**

25-----	6498
45-----	6498

**41 CFR**

**PROPOSED RULES:**

50-202-----	6561
-------------	------

**42 CFR**

57-----	6328
61-----	6387

**43 CFR**

149-----	6715
191-----	6329
203-----	6329

**PUBLIC LAND ORDERS:**

1923-----	6388
2092-----	6388
2714-----	6281
2715-----	6387
2716-----	6388
2717-----	6388
2718-----	6388
2719-----	6673
2720-----	6673

**46 CFR**

309-----	6521
----------	------

**PROPOSED RULES:**

502-----	6337
----------	------

**Page**

**47 CFR**

	Page
2-----	6329
3-----	6330
4-----	6625
10-----	6389
16-----	6282
43-----	6282

**PROPOSED RULES:**

2-----	6631
3-----	6337
	6434, 6563, 6564, 6631, 6718

**49 CFR**

61-----	6716
174-----	6674
195-----	6716
207-----	6546
405-----	6674

**PROPOSED RULES:**

1-450-----	6434-6435
142-----	6718

**50 CFR**

33-----	6389
---------	------

**PROPOSED RULES:**

12-----	6284
---------	------