

THE NATIONAL ARCHIVES  
LITTEA  
SCRIPTA  
MANET  
**FEDERAL REGISTER**  
OF THE UNITED STATES  
1934

VOLUME 26 NUMBER 31

Washington, Thursday, February 16, 1961

# Contents

## Agriculture Department

See Commodity Credit Corporation; Commodity Exchange Authority; Commodity Stabilization Service; Federal Crop Insurance Corporation.

## Civil Aeronautics Board

### NOTICES:

Hearings, etc.:

Aaxico Airlines, Inc., and Aero-  
lineas Perunas, S.A. 1371  
Mohawk Airlines, Inc. 1371  
Netherlands Antilles Air Trans-  
port 1372

## Commerce Department

See also Federal Maritime Board; Standards Bureau.

### NOTICES:

Changes in financial interests:  
Adamson, Wallace H. 1382  
Williams, Robert Joseph 1382

## Commodity Credit Corporation

### NOTICES:

Sale of certain commodities; Feb-  
ruary sales list 1369

### RULES AND REGULATIONS:

Loan and purchase agreement  
programs, 1960:  
Rye 1339  
Wheat 1339

## Commodity Exchange Authority

### RULES AND REGULATIONS:

Limits on position and daily trad-  
ing in eggs for future delivery;  
interpretation 1357

## Commodity Stabilization Service

### RULES AND REGULATIONS:

Peanuts; allotment and market-  
ing quota, 1959 and subsequent  
crops 1344

## Federal Aviation Agency

### RULES AND REGULATIONS:

Airworthiness directive; Fairchild  
F-27 Series aircraft 1347

Standard instrument approach  
procedures; miscellaneous  
amendments 1349  
Control zone; revocation 1347  
Federal airways, associated con-  
trol areas and reporting points  
(4 documents) 1347, 1348

## Federal Communications Com- mission

### NOTICES:

Hearings, etc.:

Harford County Broadcasting  
Co. (WAMD) 1367  
Jefferson County Broadcasting  
Co. 1367  
Santa Fe Broadcasting Co. and  
Valley Broadcasters 1368  
Triangle Publications, Inc.  
(WNHC), and Rodio Radio 1368  
Walmac Co. 1369

### PROPOSED RULE MAKING:

Table of assignments, television  
broadcast stations; certain cities  
in Nebraska 1365

### RULES AND REGULATIONS:

Aviation services; miscellaneous  
amendments 1362  
Aviation services, public safety  
radio services, and land trans-  
portation radio services; miscel-  
laneous amendments 1363  
Radio broadcast services; table of  
assignments, television broad-  
cast services:  
Certain cities in Pennsylvania,  
Delaware, New Jersey, and  
Maryland 1358  
Columbia, Camden, and Lan-  
caster, S.C. 1361

## Federal Crop Insurance Corpora- tion

### RULES AND REGULATIONS:

Crop insurance; designated coun-  
ties for 1961 and succeeding  
years:  
Barley 1339  
Citrus crop 1339  
Combined crops 1339  
Corn 1340

Cotton 1341  
Beans, dry edible 1341  
Flax 1341  
Grain sorghum 1342  
Oats 1342  
Oranges 1342  
Peaches 1342  
Rice 1342  
Soybeans 1342  
Tobacco 1343  
Wheat 1344

## Federal Power Commission

### NOTICES:

Hearings, etc.:

Alabama-Tennessee Natural  
Gas Co. 1372  
American Louisiana Pipe Line  
Co. et al. 1372  
El Paso Natural Gas Co. 1373  
Michigan Gas Storage Co. 1374  
Ohio Fuel Gas Co. 1374  
Southern Natural Gas Co. 1375

## Federal Maritime Board

### NOTICES:

States Steamship Co. and Ameri-  
can President Lines, Ltd.; appli-  
cations 1382

## Fish and Wildlife Service

### RULES AND REGULATIONS:

Sport fishing, individual wildlife  
refuge areas; special regula-  
tions:  
Big Lake, Arkansas 1358  
St. Marks, Florida 1358

## Food and Drug Administration

### PROPOSED RULE MAKING:

Food additives; filing of petitions  
(4 documents) 1365  
Pesticide chemicals in or on raw  
agricultural commodities; peti-  
tion for tolerances 1365

## Health, Education, and Welfare Department

See Food and Drug Administra-  
tion.

(Continued on next page)

**Interior Department**

See also Fish and Wildlife Service; Land Management Bureau.

**NOTICES:**

Changes in financial interests: 1381  
 Hall, Glenn J.----- 1381  
 Rend, Robert R.----- 1381  
 Selander, Raymond V.----- 1381  
 Wall, Harry R.----- 1382  
 Moratorium on application and petitions for public lands.----- 1382

**Internal Revenue Service**

**NOTICES:**

Signature of the Commissioner; authorization for use.----- 1371

**Interstate Commerce Commission**

**NOTICES:**

Divisions and boards, and assignment of work; organization; miscellaneous amendments.----- 1378

Fourth section applications for relief.----- 1378  
 Motor carrier transfer proceedings.----- 1378  
**RULES AND REGULATIONS:**  
 Motor carrier boards.----- 1346

**Land Management Bureau**

**NOTICES:**

Nevada; order for opening of public lands.----- 1379

**RULES AND REGULATIONS:**

Moratorium on applications and petitions for public lands; cross reference.----- 1357

**Securities and Exchange Commission**

**NOTICES:**

Hearings, etc.:  
 Investors Diversified Services, Inc.----- 1375

New England Electric System et al.----- 1376  
 Southern Co. et al.----- 1377

**Standards Bureau**

**RULES AND REGULATIONS:**

Standard samples and reference standards with schedule of weights and fees; descriptive list.----- 1357

**Treasury Department**

See Internal Revenue Service.

**Codification Guide**

The following numerical guide is a list of the parts of each title of the Code of Federal Regulations affected by documents published in today's issue. A cumulative list of parts affected, covering the current month to date, appears at the end of each issue beginning with the second issue of the month.

Monthly, quarterly, and annual cumulative guides, published separately from the daily issues, include the section numbers as well as the part numbers affected.

<b>6 CFR</b>	10-----	1363
421 (2 documents)-----	16-----	1363
<b>7 CFR</b>	<b>PROPOSED RULES:</b>	
401 (15 documents)-----	3-----	1365
729-----	<b>49 CFR</b>	
	1-----	1346
<b>14 CFR</b>	<b>50 CFR</b>	
507-----	33 (2 documents)-----	1358
600 (4 documents)-----		
601 (5 documents)-----		
609-----		
<b>15 CFR</b>		
230-----		1357
<b>17 CFR</b>		
150-----		1357
<b>21 CFR</b>		
<b>PROPOSED RULES:</b>		
120-----		1365
121 (4 documents)-----		1365
<b>43 CFR</b>		
51-296-----		1357
<b>47 CFR</b>		
3 (2 documents)-----		1358, 1361
9 (2 documents)-----		1362, 1363

Now Available

**CFR SUPPLEMENTS**

(As of January 1, 1961)

The following Supplements are now available:

<b>1960 Supplement to Title 3</b> -----	\$0.50
<b>Title 7 (Parts 1-50)</b> -----	.55
<b>Title 7 (Parts 51-52)</b> -----	.60
<b>Title 7 (Parts 210-399)</b> -----	.35
<b>Title 8</b> -----	.40
<b>Title 35</b> -----	.30
<b>Title 37</b> -----	.30
<b>Title 44</b> -----	.30
<b>Title 46 (Parts 146-149)</b> -----	1.00

Published by Office of the Federal Register, National Archives and Records Service, General Services Administration

Order from Superintendent of Documents, Government Printing Office, Washington 25, D.C.



Telephone WOOrth 3-3261

prescribed by the Administrative Committee of the Federal Register, approved by the President. Distribution is made only by the Superintendent of Documents, Government Printing Office, Washington 25, D.C.

The **FEDERAL REGISTER** will be furnished by mail to subscribers, free of postage, for \$1.50 per month or \$15.00 per year, payable in advance. The charge for individual copies (minimum 15 cents) varies in proportion to the size of the issue. Remit check or money order, made payable to the Superintendent of Documents, directly to the Government Printing Office, Washington 25, D.C.

The regulatory material appearing herein is keyed to the **CODE OF FEDERAL REGULATIONS**, which is published, under 50 titles, pursuant to section 11 of the Federal Register Act, as amended August 5, 1953. The **CODE OF FEDERAL REGULATIONS** is sold by the Superintendent of Documents. Prices of books and pocket supplements vary.

There are no restrictions on the republication of material appearing in the **FEDERAL REGISTER**, or the **CODE OF FEDERAL REGULATIONS**.

# Rules and Regulations

## Title 6—AGRICULTURAL CREDIT

### Chapter IV—Commodity Stabilization Service and Commodity Credit Corporation, Department of Agriculture

#### SUBCHAPTER B—LOANS, PURCHASES, AND OTHER OPERATIONS

[1960 C.C.C. Grain Price Support Bulletin 1, Supp. 2, Amdt. 7, Wheat]

### PART 421—GRAINS AND RELATED COMMODITIES

#### Subpart—1960-Crop Wheat Loan and Purchase Agreement Program

##### BASIC COUNTY SUPPORT RATES

The regulations issued by the Commodity Credit Corporation and the Commodity Stabilization Service published in (25 F.R. 3915, 4631, 7479, 7731, 8321, 9137, 9138, 9196, 12282, 13682, and 26 F.R. 576) containing the specific requirements of the 1960-crop wheat price support program are hereby amended as follows:

Section 421.5047(b) is amended by increasing the following basic county support rates:

INDIANA		
County	From—	To—
Cass	\$1.86	\$1.88
Elkhart	1.85	1.87
Fulton	1.86	1.92
Jasper	1.89	1.92
Kosciusko	1.85	1.87
Lake	1.91	1.93
La Porte	1.88	1.93
Marshall	1.85	1.93
Miami	1.85	1.87
Newton	1.87	1.90
Porter	1.91	1.94
Pulaski	1.87	1.91
St. Joseph	1.85	1.93
Starke	1.86	1.94
Warren	1.85	1.87
White	1.88	1.91

MICHIGAN		
County	From—	To—
Berrien	\$1.85	\$1.89
Mason	1.73	1.75

MINNESOTA		
County	From—	To—
Big Stone	\$1.90	\$1.91
Traverse	1.90	1.91

NORTH DAKOTA		
County	From—	To—
Dickey	\$1.85	\$1.86
Emmons	1.80	1.81
La Moure	1.84	1.85
Richland	1.89	1.90

SOUTH DAKOTA		
County	From—	To—
Grant	\$1.89	\$1.90
McPherson	1.84	1.85

(Sec. 4, 62 Stat. 1070, as amended; 15 U.S.C. 714b. Interpret or apply sec. 5, 62 Stat. 1072, secs. 101, 401, 63 Stat. 1051, as amended; Title II, 73 Stat. 178, 15 U.S.C. 714c, 7 U.S.C. 1421, 1441)

Issued this 10th day of February 1961.

H. D. GODFREY,  
Executive Vice President,  
Commodity Credit Corporation.

[F.R. Doc. 61-1341; Filed, Feb. 15, 1961; 8:45 a.m.]

[1960 C.C.C. Grain Price Support Bulletin 1, Supp. 2, Amdt. 5, Rye]

### PART 421—GRAINS AND RELATED COMMODITIES

#### Subpart—1960-Crop Rye Loan and Purchase Agreement Program

##### BASIC COUNTY SUPPORT RATES

The regulations issued by the Commodity Credit Corporation and the Commodity Stabilization Service published in (25 F.R. 3781, 4895, 6497, 9196, 12282, 13682 and 26 F.R. 577) containing the specific requirements of the 1960-crop rye price support program are hereby amended as follows:

Section 421.5387(b) is amended by increasing the following basic county support rates:

MICHIGAN		
County	From—	To—
Berrien	\$0.97	\$1.01
Isabella	.90	.91
Lake	.89	.91
Mason	.89	.91
Mecosta	.89	.91
Missaukee	.87	.88
Oceana	.89	.91
Osceola	.88	.91
Wexford	.88	.89

MINNESOTA		
County	From—	To—
Big Stone	\$0.88	\$0.89
Traverse	.88	.89

NORTH DAKOTA		
County	From—	To—
Emmons	\$0.79	\$0.80
McIntosh	.80	.81
Richland	.87	.88

SOUTH DAKOTA		
County	From—	To—
Roberts	\$0.87	\$0.88

(Sec. 4, 62 Stat. 1070, as amended; 15 U.S.C. 714b. Interpret or apply sec. 5, 62 Stat. 1072, secs. 105, 401, 63 Stat. 1051, as amended; Title II, 73 Stat. 178, 15 U.S.C. 714c, 7 U.S.C. 1421, 1441)

Issued this 10th day of February 1961.

H. D. GODFREY,  
Executive Vice President,  
Commodity Credit Corporation.

[F.R. Doc. 61-1340; Filed, Feb. 15, 1961; 8:45 a.m.]

## Title 7—AGRICULTURE

### Chapter IV—Federal Crop Insurance Corporation, Department of Agriculture

#### PART 401—FEDERAL CROP INSURANCE

##### Subpart—Regulations for the 1961 and Succeeding Crop Years

#### COUNTIES DESIGNATED FOR BARLEY CROP INSURANCE; APPENDIX

Pursuant to authority contained in § 401.1 of the above-identified regulations, as amended, the following counties are hereby added to the list of counties published September 23, 1960, which were designated for barley crop insurance for the 1962 crop year.

#### IDAHO

Lincoln. Power.

#### MINNESOTA

Chippewa. Red Lake.  
Mahnoman. Roseau.

#### PENNSYLVANIA

York.

(Secs. 506, 516, 52 Stat. 73, as amended, 77, as amended; 7 U.S.C. 1506, 1516)

[SEAL] F. N. MCCARTNEY,  
Manager,  
Federal Crop Insurance Corporation.

[F.R. Doc. 61-1404; Filed, Feb. 15, 1961; 8:52 a.m.]

#### PART 401—FEDERAL CROP INSURANCE

##### Subpart—Regulations for the 1961 and Succeeding Crop Years

#### COUNTIES DESIGNATED FOR CITRUS CROP INSURANCE; APPENDIX

Pursuant to authority contained in § 401.1 of the above-identified regulations, as amended, the following counties have been designated for citrus crop insurance for the 1962 crop year.

#### FLORIDA

Brevard. Orange.  
Indian River. Polk.  
Lake. St. Lucie.

#### TEXAS

Cameron. Willacy.  
Hidalgo.

(Secs. 506, 516, 52 Stat. 73, as amended, 77, as amended; 7 U.S.C. 1506, 1516)

[SEAL] F. N. MCCARTNEY,  
Manager,  
Federal Crop Insurance Corporation.

[F.R. Doc. 61-1405; Filed, Feb. 15, 1961; 8:52 a.m.]

#### PART 401—FEDERAL CROP INSURANCE

##### Subpart—Regulations for the 1961 and Succeeding Crop Years

#### COUNTIES DESIGNATED FOR COMBINED CROP INSURANCE; APPENDIX

Pursuant to authority contained in § 401.1 of the above-identified regulations, as amended, the following counties have been designated for combined crop insurance for the 1962 crop year. The crops on which insurance is offered are shown opposite the name of the county.

State and county	Crop(s)
Iowa:	
Delaware	Corn, oats, soybeans.
Emmett	Do.
Howard	Do.
Humboldt	Do.
Ida	Do.
Tama	Corn, soybeans.
Union	Do.
Warren	Do.
Winnebago	Do.
Worth	Do.

Louisiana:  
 Vermillion ----- Cotton, rice.

Minnesota:  
 Dakota ----- Corn, oats, soybeans.  
 Goodhue ----- Do.  
 Kandiyohi ----- Do.  
 McLeod ----- Do.  
 Stearns ----- Barley, corn, oats, soybeans.  
 Stevens ----- Barley, corn, flax, oats, soybeans.  
 Swift ----- Corn, soybeans, flax, oats.

Nebraska:  
 Antelope ----- Corn, oats.

North Dakota:  
 Barnes ----- Barley, flax, oats, rye, wheat.  
 Dickey ----- Barley, flax, oats, wheat.  
 Grand Forks ----- Do.  
 La Moure ----- Do.  
 Pierce ----- Barley, flax, oats, rye, wheat.  
 Ransom ----- Barley, flax, oats, wheat.  
 Richland ----- Barley, corn, flax, oats, rye, soybeans, wheat.  
 Sargent ----- Barley, flax, oats, wheat.  
 Steele ----- Do.

South Dakota:  
 Bon Homme ----- Barley, corn, oats, rye, wheat.  
 Day ----- Barley, corn, flax, oats, rye, wheat.  
 Deuel ----- Barley, corn, flax, oats, rye, soybeans, wheat.  
 Hamlin ----- Do.  
 Hutchinson ----- Barley, corn, oats, rye, soybeans, wheat.  
 Kingsbury ----- Barley, corn, flax, oats, wheat.  
 Lake ----- Barley, corn, flax, oats, rye, soybeans, wheat.  
 McCook ----- Do.  
 Miner ----- Barley, corn, flax, oats, rye, wheat.

Tennessee:  
 Franklin ----- Corn, cotton.

Wisconsin:  
 Fond du Lac ----- Barley, corn, oats.

(Secs. 506, 516, 52 Stat. 73, as amended, 77, as amended; 7 U.S.C. 1506, 1516)

[SEAL] **F. N. McCARTNEY,**  
*Manager, Federal Crop Insurance Corporation.*

[F.R. Doc. 61-1406; Filed, Feb. 15, 1961; 8:53 a.m.]

**PART 401—FEDERAL CROP INSURANCE**

**Subpart—Regulations for the 1961 and Succeeding Crop Years**

**COUNTIES DESIGNATED FOR CORN CROP INSURANCE; APPENDIX**

Pursuant to authority contained in § 401.1 of the above-identified regulations, as amended, the following counties have been designated for corn crop insurance for the 1962 crop year.

**COLORADO**  
 Larimer. Weld.  
 Morgan.

**ILLINOIS**  
 Adams. Clinton.  
 Bond. Douglas.  
 Carroll. Effingham.  
 Cass. Fayette.  
 Christian. Ford.

**ILLINOIS—Continued**  
 Fulton.  
 Greene.  
 Grundy.  
 Hancock.  
 Jasper.  
 Jersey.  
 Livingston.  
 McDonough.  
 McLean.  
 Macoupin.  
 Madison.  
 Mason.  
 Menard.

**INDIANA**  
 Allen.  
 Blackford.  
 Boone.  
 Carroll.  
 Clinton.  
 Clay.  
 Decatur.  
 De Kalb.  
 Delaware.  
 Fountain.  
 Howard.  
 Huntington.  
 Jackson.  
 Johnson.  
 Kosciusko.

**IOWA**  
 Adair.  
 Audubon.  
 Boone.  
 Bremer.  
 Buchanan.  
 Buena Vista.  
 Calhoun.  
 Carroll.  
 Cass.  
 Cerro Gordo.  
 Chickasaw.  
 Clay.  
 Clayton.  
 Crawford.  
 Delaware.  
 Emmett.  
 Fayette.  
 Floyd.  
 Franklin.  
 Fremont.  
 Guthrie.  
 Hancock.  
 Hardin.  
 Howard.  
 Humboldt.  
 Ida.

**KANSAS**  
 Atchison.  
 Bourbon.  
 Brown.  
 Doniphan.  
 Franklin.

Kent.

Branch.  
 Calhoun.  
 Gratiot.  
 Hillsdale.  
 Jackson.  
 Kalamazoo.

**MINNESOTA**  
 Blue Earth.  
 Brown.  
 Chippewa.  
 Cottonwood.  
 Dakota.  
 Dodge.  
 Faribault.  
 Goodhue.

**MINNESOTA—Continued**  
 Mower.  
 Murray.  
 Nicollet.  
 Nobles.  
 Pipestone.  
 Redwood.  
 Renville.  
 Rice.

**MISSOURI**  
 Andrew.  
 Atchison.  
 Audrain.  
 Bates.  
 Buchanan.  
 Calloway.  
 Carroll.  
 Cass.  
 Chariton.  
 Cooper.  
 Daviess.  
 De Kalb.  
 Franklin.  
 Gentry.  
 Henry.  
 Howard.  
 Holt.

**NEBRASKA**  
 Boone.  
 Butler.  
 Cass.  
 Cedar.  
 Colfax.  
 Cuming.  
 Dodge.  
 Gage.  
 Lancaster.

**OHIO**  
 Allen.  
 Ashland.  
 Auglaize.  
 Delaware.  
 Erie.  
 Fayette.  
 Greene.  
 Hancock.  
 Hardin.  
 Henry.  
 Huron.  
 Knox.  
 Licking.  
 Marion.  
 Medina.

**PENNSYLVANIA**  
 Chester.  
 Lancaster.

**SOUTH DAKOTA**  
 Brookings.  
 Clay.  
 Kingsbury.  
 Lincoln.

**TENNESSEE**  
 Obion.

**WISCONSIN**  
 Columbia.  
 Dane.  
 Fond du Lac.  
 Grant.  
 Green.  
 Iowa.  
 Lafayette.  
 Pierce.  
 Rock.  
 Sauk.  
 Trempealeau.

(Secs. 506, 516, 52 Stat. 73, as amended, 77, as amended; 7 U.S.C. 1506, 1516)

[SEAL] **F. N. McCARTNEY,**  
*Manager, Federal Crop Insurance Corporation.*

[F.R. Doc. 61-1407; Filed, Feb. 15, 1961; 8:53 a.m.]

**PART 401—FEDERAL CROP INSURANCE**

**Subpart—Regulations for the 1961 and Succeeding Crop Years**

**COUNTIES DESIGNATED FOR COTTON CROP INSURANCE; APPENDIX**

Pursuant to authority contained in § 401.1 of the above-identified regulations, as amended, the following counties have been designated for cotton crop insurance for the 1962 crop year.

- ALABAMA**
  - Blount. Lawrence.
  - Cherokee. Limestone.
  - Colbert. Madison.
  - Cullman. Marshall.
  - De Kalb. Morgan.
  - Etowah. Pickens.
  - Hale. Tuscaloosa.
  - Jackson.
- ARKANSAS**
  - Arkansas. Monroe.
  - Craighead. Phillips.
  - Crittenden. Polinet.
  - Jefferson. Saint Francis.
  - Lincoln.
- CALIFORNIA**
  - Tulare.
- GEORGIA**
  - Brooks. Tift.
  - Bulloch. Worth.
  - Colquitt.
- KENTUCKY**
  - Fulton.
- LOUISIANA**
  - Avoyelles. Natchitoches.
  - Caddo. Rapides.
  - East Carroll. Richland.
  - Evangeline. Saint Landry.
  - Franklin. Vermillion.
  - Morehouse.
- MISSISSIPPI**
  - Alcorn. Monroe.
  - Bolivar. Panola.
  - Coahoma. Pontotoc.
  - De Soto. Prentiss.
  - Hinds. Quitman.
  - Holmes. Sunflower.
  - Humphreys. Tallahatchie.
  - Jefferson Davis. Tunica.
  - Lee. Union.
  - Leflore. Washington.
  - Madison. Yazoo.
- NEW MEXICO**
  - Chaves. Eddy.
  - Dona Ana.
- NORTH CAROLINA**
  - Cleveland. Nash.
  - Edgecombe. Robeson.
  - Franklin. Rutherford.
  - Harnett. Sampson.
  - Iredell. Warren.
  - Johnston. Wayne.
  - Lincoln. Wilson.
  - Mecklenburg.
- OKLAHOMA**
  - Beckham. Washita.
  - Tillman.
- SOUTH CAROLINA**
  - Anderson. Dillon.
  - Calhoun. Florence.
  - Chester. Greenville.
  - Chesterfield. Lee.
  - Clarendon. Marlboro.
  - Darlington. Marion.

**SOUTH CAROLINA—Continued**

- Orangeburg.
- Spartanburg.
- Sumter.
- Williamsburg.
- York.

**TENNESSEE**

- Carroll.
- Dyer.
- Fayette.
- Gibson.
- Hardeman.
- Haywood.
- Lake.
- Lauderdale.
- McNairy.
- Madison.
- Oblon.
- Shelby.
- Tipton.
- Weakley.

**TEXAS**

- Bailey.
  - Bell.
  - Cameron.
  - Castro.
  - Collin.
  - Crosby.
  - Denton.
  - Ellis.
  - Falls.
  - Fannin.
  - Floyd.
  - Fort Bend.
  - Grayson.
  - Hale.
  - Hidalgo.
  - Hill.
  - Hockley.
  - Hunt.
  - Lamar.
  - Lamb.
  - Limestone.
  - Lubbock.
  - McLennan.
  - Milam.
  - Navarro.
  - Nueces.
  - San Patricio.
  - Swisher.
  - Travis.
  - Wilbarger.
  - Willacy.
  - Williamson.
  - Wharton.
- (Secs. 506, 516, 52 Stat. 73, as amended, 77, as amended; 7 U.S.C. 1506, 1516)

[SEAL]

**F. N. McCARTNEY,**  
*Manager, Federal Crop Insurance Corporation.*

[F.R. Doc. 61-1408; Filed, Feb. 15, 1961; 8:53 a.m.]

**PART 401—FEDERAL CROP INSURANCE**

**Subpart—Regulations for the 1961 and Succeeding Crop Years**

**COUNTIES DESIGNATED FOR DRY EDIBLE BEAN CROP INSURANCE; APPENDIX**

Pursuant to authority contained in § 401.1 of the above-identified regulations, as amended, the following counties have been designated for dry edible bean crop insurance for the 1962 crop year. The class(es) of beans on which insurance is offered is shown opposite the name of the county.

*State and County and Class(es) of Beans Insured*

**COLORADO**

- Dolores, Pinto.
- Larimer, Pinto.
- Montezuma, Pinto.
- Morgan, Pinto.
- Weld, Pinto.

**IDAHO**

- Cassia, Great Northern, Pinto, Small Red.
- Gooding, Great Northern, Pinto, Small Red.
- Jerome, Great Northern, Pinto, Small Red.
- Lincoln, Great Northern, Pinto, Small Red.
- Minidoka, Great Northern, Pinto, Small Red.
- Twin Falls, Great Northern, Pinto, Small Red.

**MICHIGAN**

- Bay, Pea and Medium White.
- Gratiot, Pea and Medium White.
- Huron, Pea and Medium White.
- Saginaw, Pea and Medium White.
- St. Clair, Pea and Medium White.
- Sanilac, Pea and Medium White.
- Shiawassee, Pea and Medium White.

**NEBRASKA**

- Morrill, Great Northern, Pinto.
- Scotts Bluff, Great Northern, Pinto.
- Box Butte, Great Northern, Pinto.

**WASHINGTON**

- Grant, Great Northern, Pinto, Small Red, Flat Small White.

**WYOMING**

- Goshen, Great Northern, Pinto.
- (Secs. 506, 516, 52 Stat. 73, as amended, 77, as amended; 7 U.S.C. 1506, 1516)

[SEAL]

**F. N. McCARTNEY,**  
*Manager, Federal Crop Insurance Corporation.*

[F.R. Doc. 61-1409; Filed, Feb. 15, 1961; 8:53 a.m.]

**PART 401—FEDERAL CROP INSURANCE**

**Subpart—Regulations for the 1961 and Succeeding Crop Years**

**COUNTIES DESIGNATED FOR FLAX CROP INSURANCE; APPENDIX**

Pursuant to authority contained in § 401.1 of the above-identified regulations, as amended, the following counties have been designated for flax crop insurance for the 1962 crop year.

**MINNESOTA**

- Becker.
- Big Stone.
- Brown.
- Chippewa.
- Clay.
- Cottonwood.
- Grant.
- Jackson.
- Kittson.
- Lac qui Parle.
- Lincoln.
- Lyon.
- Mahnomen.
- Marshall.
- Martin.
- Murray.
- Nobles.
- Norman.
- Pennington.
- Pipestone.
- Polk, East.
- Polk, West.
- Pope.
- Red Lake.
- Redwood.
- Renville.
- Rock.
- Roseau.
- Stevens.
- Swift.
- Traverse.
- Wilkin.
- Yellow Medicine.

**NORTH DAKOTA**

- Barnes.
- Benson.
- Bottineau.
- Cass.
- Cavaller.
- Dickey.
- Eddy.
- Emmons.
- Foster.
- Grand Forks.
- Griggs.
- La Moure.
- Logan.
- McIntosh.
- McLean.
- Nelson.
- Pembina.
- Pierce.
- Ramsey.
- Ransom.
- Richland.
- Rolette.
- Sargent.
- Steele.
- Stutsman.
- Towner.
- Traill.
- Walsh.
- Ward.
- Wells.

**SOUTH DAKOTA**

- Brookings.
- Brown.
- Clark.
- Codington.
- Day.
- Deuel.
- Grant.
- Hamlin.
- Kingsbury.
- Marshall.
- Roberts.

(Secs. 506, 516, 52 Stat. 73, as amended, 77, as amended; 7 U.S.C. 1506, 1516)

[SEAL]

**F. N. McCARTNEY,**  
*Manager, Federal Crop Insurance Corporation.*

[F.R. Doc. 61-1410; Filed, Feb. 15, 1961; 8:53 a.m.]

**RULES AND REGULATIONS**

**PART 401—FEDERAL CROP INSURANCE**

**Subpart—Regulations for the 1961 and Succeeding Crop Years**

COUNTIES DESIGNATED FOR GRAIN SORGHUM CROP INSURANCE; APPENDIX

Pursuant to authority contained in § 401.1 of the above-identified regulations, as amended, the following counties have been designated for grain sorghum crop insurance for the 1962 crop year.

- |          |             |
|----------|-------------|
|          | KANSAS      |
| Finney.  | Stafford.   |
|          | OKLAHOMA    |
| Caddo.   | Washita.    |
|          | TEXAS       |
| Hale.    | Wilbarger.  |
| Lubbock. | Williamson. |
| Nueces.  |             |

(Secs. 506, 516, 52 Stat. 73, as amended, 77, as amended; 7 U.S.C. 1506, 1516)

[SEAL] F. N. McCARTNEY,  
*Manager, Federal Crop Insurance Corporation.*

[F.R. Doc. 61-1411; Filed, Feb. 15, 1961; 8:53 a.m.]

**PART 401—FEDERAL CROP INSURANCE**

**Subpart—Regulations for the 1961 and Succeeding Crop Years**

COUNTIES DESIGNATED FOR OAT CROP INSURANCE; APPENDIX

Pursuant to authority contained in § 401.1 of the above-identified regulations, as amended, the following counties have been designated for oat crop insurance for the 1962 crop year.

- |           |           |
|-----------|-----------|
|           | IOWA      |
| Delaware. | Humboldt. |
| Emmett.   | Ida.      |
| Howard.   |           |

- |          |          |
|----------|----------|
|          | MICHIGAN |
| Gratiot. | Jackson. |

- |            |           |
|------------|-----------|
|            | MINNESOTA |
| Dakota.    | McLeod.   |
| East Polk. | Stearns.  |
| Goodhue.   | Stevens.  |
| Kandiyohi. | Swift.    |

- |             |              |
|-------------|--------------|
|             | NORTH DAKOTA |
| Dickey.     | Ransom.      |
| Grand Fork. | Sargent.     |
| La Moure.   | Steele.      |

- |        |              |
|--------|--------------|
|        | SOUTH DAKOTA |
| Grant. | Kingsbury.   |

- |              |           |
|--------------|-----------|
|              | WISCONSIN |
| Fond du Lac. |           |
- (Secs. 506, 516, 52 Stat. 73, as amended, 77, as amended; 7 U.S.C. 1506, 1516)

[SEAL] F. N. McCARTNEY,  
*Manager, Federal Crop Insurance Corporation.*

[F.R. Doc. 61-1412; Filed, Feb. 15, 1961; 8:53 a.m.]

**PART 401—FEDERAL CROP INSURANCE**

**Subpart—Regulations for the 1961 and Succeeding Crop Years**

COUNTY DESIGNATED FOR ORANGE CROP INSURANCE; APPENDIX

Pursuant to authority contained in § 401.1 of the above-identified regulations, as amended, the following county has been designated for orange crop insurance for the 1962 crop year.

- |         |            |
|---------|------------|
|         | CALIFORNIA |
| Tulare. |            |
- (Secs. 506, 516, 52 Stat. 73, as amended, 77, as amended; 7 U.S.C. 1506, 1516)

[SEAL] F. N. McCARTNEY,  
*Manager, Federal Crop Insurance Corporation.*

[F.R. Doc. 61-1413; Filed, Feb. 15, 1961; 8:53 a.m.]

**PART 401—FEDERAL CROP INSURANCE**

**Subpart—Regulations for the 1961 and Succeeding Crop Years**

COUNTY DESIGNATED FOR PEACH CROP INSURANCE; APPENDIX

Pursuant to authority contained in § 401.1 of the above-identified regulations, as amended, the following county has been designated for peach crop insurance for the 1962 crop year.

- |              |                |
|--------------|----------------|
|              | SOUTH CAROLINA |
| Spartanburg. |                |
- (Secs. 506, 516, 52 Stat. 73, as amended, 77, as amended; 7 U.S.C. 1506, 1516)

[SEAL] F. N. McCARTNEY,  
*Manager, Federal Crop Insurance Corporation.*

[F.R. Doc. 61-1414; Filed, Feb. 15, 1961; 8:54 a.m.]

**PART 401—FEDERAL CROP INSURANCE**

**Subpart—Regulations for the 1961 and Succeeding Crop Years**

COUNTIES DESIGNATED FOR RICE CROP INSURANCE; APPENDIX

Pursuant to authority contained in § 401.1 of the above-identified regulations, as amended, the following counties have been designated for rice crop insurance for the 1962 crop year.

- |             |              |
|-------------|--------------|
|             | ARKANSAS     |
| Arkansas.   | St. Francis. |
| Poinsett.   |              |
|             | LOUISIANA    |
| Evangeline. | Vermillion.  |
| St. Landry. |              |
|             | TEXAS        |
| Fort Bend.  | Wharton.     |

(Secs. 506, 516, 52 Stat. 73, as amended, 77, as amended; 7 U.S.C. 1506, 1516)

[SEAL] F. N. McCARTNEY,  
*Manager, Federal Crop Insurance Corporation.*

[F.R. Doc. 61-1415; Filed, Feb. 15, 1961; 8:54 a.m.]

**PART 401—FEDERAL CROP INSURANCE**

**Subpart—Regulations for the 1961 and Succeeding Crop Years**

COUNTIES DESIGNATED FOR SOYBEAN CROP INSURANCE; APPENDIX

Pursuant to authority contained in § 401.1 of the above-identified regulations, as amended, the following counties have been designated for soybean crop insurance for the 1962 crop year.

- |             |              |
|-------------|--------------|
|             | ARKANSAS     |
| Arkansas.   | Phillips.    |
| Crittenden. | Poinsett.    |
| Jefferson.  | St. Francis. |
|             | ILLINOIS     |
| Adams.      | McLean.      |
| Bond.       | Macoupin.    |
| Cass.       | Madison.     |
| Christian.  | Mason.       |
| Clinton.    | Menard.      |
| Douglas.    | Monroe.      |
| Effingham.  | Montgomery.  |
| Fayette.    | Morgan.      |
| Ford.       | Pike.        |
| Fulton.     | St. Clair.   |
| Greene.     | Sangamon.    |
| Grundy.     | Schuyler.    |
| Hancock.    | Scott.       |
| Jasper.     | Shelby.      |
| Jersey.     | Tazewell.    |
| Livingston. | Vermillion.  |
| McDonough.  | Winnebago.   |

- |             |             |
|-------------|-------------|
|             | INDIANA     |
| Allen.      | Madison.    |
| Blackford.  | Marshall.   |
| Boone.      | Miami.      |
| Clay.       | Montgomery. |
| Carroll.    | Noble.      |
| Clinton.    | Pulaski.    |
| Decatur.    | Randolph.   |
| De Kalb.    | Ripley.     |
| Delaware.   | Rush.       |
| Fountain.   | Shelby.     |
| Howard.     | Sullivan.   |
| Huntington. | Vigo.       |
| Jackson.    | Wayne.      |
| Johnson.    | Wells.      |
| Kosciusko.  | Whitley.    |

- |              |                      |
|--------------|----------------------|
|              | IOWA                 |
| Adair.       | Jones.               |
| Audubon.     | Kossuth.             |
| Boone.       | Linn.                |
| Bremer.      | Lyon.                |
| Buena Vista. | Madison.             |
| Buchanan.    | Mahaska.             |
| Calhoun.     | Mitchell.            |
| Carroll.     | O'Brien.             |
| Cass.        | Osceola.             |
| Cerro Gordo. | Polk.                |
| Chickasaw.   | Pottawattamie, East. |
| Clay.        | Pottawattamie, West. |
| Crawford.    | Poweshiek.           |
| Delaware.    | Sac.                 |
| Emmett.      | Shelby.              |
| Fayette.     | Sioux.               |
| Floyd.       | Story.               |
| Franklin.    | Tama.                |
| Fremont.     | Union.               |
| Guthrie.     | Warren.              |
| Hancock.     | Washington.          |
| Hardin.      | Webster.             |
| Howard.      | Winnebago.           |
| Humboldt.    | Winneshiek.          |
| Ida.         | Worth.               |

- |              |          |
|--------------|----------|
|              | KANSAS   |
| Bourbon.     | Linn.    |
| Franklin.    |          |
|              | MARYLAND |
| Queen Annes. |          |

PART 401—FEDERAL CROP INSURANCE

Subpart—Regulations for the 1961 and Succeeding Crop Years

COUNTIES DESIGNATED FOR TOBACCO CROP INSURANCE; APPENDIX

Pursuant to authority contained in § 401.1 of the above-identified regulations, as amended, the following counties have been designated for tobacco crop insurance for the 1962 crop year. The type(s) of tobacco on which insurance is offered in each county is shown opposite the name of the county.

MICHIGAN Saginaw, St. Joseph, Washtenaw. MINNESOTA Murray, Nicollet, Nobles, Pipestone, Pope, Redwood, Renville, Rice, Rock, Stearns, Steele, Stevens, Swift, Traverse, Wabasha, Watonwan, Yellow Medicine.

MISSISSIPPI Quitman, Sunflower.

MISSOURI Johnson, Lafayette, Lincoln, Macon, Marion, Monroe, Nodaway, Pettis, Pike, Ralls, St. Charles, Saline, Shelby, Vernon, Worth.

NEBRASKA Washington.

NORTH CAROLINA Beaufort.

OHIO Medina, Mercer, Montgomery, Morrow, Paulding, Pickaway, Putnam, Sandusky, Seneca, Union, Van Wert, Wayne, Williams.

SOUTH CAROLINA Orangeburg.

SOUTH DAKOTA Union.

TENNESSEE Obion.

(Secs. 506, 516, 52 Stat. 73, as amended, 77, as amended; 7 U.S.C. 1506, 1516)

[SEAL] F. N. McCARTNEY, Manager, Federal Crop Insurance Corporation.

[P.R. Doc. 61-1416; Filed, Feb. 15, 1961; 8:54 a.m.]

KENTUCKY—Continued

Washington, Wayne, Woodford.

MARYLAND

Charles, Calvert, St. Marys.

MASSACHUSETTS

Hampshire.

NORTH CAROLINA

Alamance, Beaufort, Bladen, Brunswick, Buncombe, Caswell, Columbus, Cumberland, Davidson, Duplin, Edgecombe, Forsyth, Franklin, Granville, Greene, Guilford, Harnett, Iredell, Jones, Johnston, Lee, Lenoir, Madison, Martin, Moore, Nash, Person, Pitt, Robeson, Rockingham, Sampson, Stokes, Surry, Vance, Wake, Warren, Wayne, Wilson, Yadkin.

OHIO

Adams, Brown, Highland.

PENNSYLVANIA

Lancaster, Lebanon, York.

SOUTH CAROLINA

Chesterfield, Clarendon, Darlington, Dillon, Florence, Horry, Lee, Marion, Marlboro, Sumter, Williamsburg.

TENNESSEE

Clairborne, De Kalb, Dickson, Franklin, Grainger, Greene, Hamblen, Hawkins, Johnson, Loudon, Marshall, McMinn, Maury, Monroe.

CONNECTICUT Hartford.

FLORIDA

Alachua, Columbia, Hamilton, Madison, Suwannee.

GEORGIA

Appling, Bacon, Berrien, Brooks, Bulloch, Candler, Coffee, Colquitt, Cook, Irwin, Lanier, Lowndes, Mitchell, Pierce, Tift, Ware, Worth.

KENTUCKY

Adair, Allen, Anderson, Barren, Bath, Bourbon, Bracken, Breckenridge, Caldwell, Calloway, Casey, Christian, Clark, Daviess, Fleming, Franklin, Garrard, Grant, Graves, Green, Harrison, Hart, Henry, Larue, Lincoln, Logan, Mason, Mercer, Metcalfe, Montgomery, Nelson, Nicholas, Owen, Pendleton, Pulaski, Robertson, Russell, Scott, Simpson, Spencer, Todd, Warren.

RULES AND REGULATIONS

TENNESSEE—Continued

Montgomery	22, 31
Obion	23, 35
Putnam	31
Robertson	22, 31, 35
Sevier	31
Smith	31
Stewart	22, 31
Sullivan	31
Sumner	22, 31, 35
Trousdale	31
Unicoi	31
Washington	31
Weakley	23, 35
Williamson	31
Wilson	31

VIRGINIA

Appomattox	11a, 21
Brunswick	11a, 21
Campbell	11a, 21
Charlotte	11a, 21
Cumberland	11a, 21, 37
Dinwiddie	11a, 21
Halifax	11a
Lee	31
Lunenburg	11a
Mecklenburg	11a
Nottoway	11a, 21
Pittsylvania	11a
Prince Edward	11a, 21, 37
Russell	31
Scott	31
Washington	31

WISCONSIN

Dane	54
Vernon	55

(Secs. 506, 516, 52 Stat. 73, as amended, 77, as amended; 7 U.S.C. 1506, 1516)

[SEAL] F. N. McCARTNEY,  
Manager, Federal Crop  
Insurance Corporation.

[F.R. Doc. 61-1417; Filed, Feb. 15, 1961;  
8:54 a.m.]

PART 401—FEDERAL CROP  
INSURANCE

Subpart—Regulations for the 1961  
and Succeeding Crop Years

COUNTIES DESIGNATED FOR WHEAT CROP  
INSURANCE; APPENDIX

Pursuant to authority contained in § 401.1 of the above-identified regulations, as amended, the following counties are hereby added to the list of counties published September 23, 1960, which were designated for wheat crop insurance for the 1962 crop year.

IDAHO

Lincoln.

ILLINOIS

Hancock.

KANSAS

Allen.	Johnson.
Anderson.	Labette.
Chase.	Lyon.
Chautauqua.	Miami.
Coffey.	Neosho.
Crawford.	Osage.
Doniphan.	Pottawatomie.
Douglas.	Riley.
Elk.	Shawnee.
Geary.	Wabaunsee.
Greenwood.	Wilson.
Jefferson.	Woodson.

MICHIGAN

Washtenaw.

MINNESOTA

Chippewa.	Red Lake.
Pennington.	Roseau.

MISSOURI

Lincoln.

MONTANA

Toole.

Stillwater.

OKLAHOMA

Craig.  
Nowata.  
Osage.

Ottawa.  
Pawnee.  
Washington.

PENNSYLVANIA

York.

(Secs. 506, 516, 52 Stat. 73, as amended, 77, as amended; 7 U.S.C. 1506, 1516)

[SEAL] F. N. McCARTNEY,  
Manager, Federal Crop  
Insurance Corporation.

[F.R. Doc. 61-1418; Filed, Feb. 15, 1961;  
8:54 a.m.]

Chapter VII—Commodity Stabiliza-  
tion Service (Farm Marketing  
Quotas and Acreage Allotments),  
Department of Agriculture

[Amdt. 7]

PART 729—PEANUTS

Allotment and Marketing Quota Reg-  
ulations for 1959 and Subsequent  
Crops

I. *Basis and purpose.* (a) The amendment contained herein is issued pursuant to the Agricultural Adjustment Act of 1938, as amended (7 U.S.C. 1281 et seq.), for the purpose of revising § 729.1023(c), 729.1024(a) and 729.1024(b) of the Allotment and Marketing Quota Regulations for Peanuts of the 1959 and Subsequent Crops (23 F.R. 8515, 24 F.R. 2677, 6803, 9611, 25 F.R. 897, 8065, 10567) to include closing dates relating to the beginning of the normal planting season for peanuts and to the release and re-apportionment of acreage allotted for peanuts. Such closing dates shall be effective for the 1961 and subsequent crops of peanuts until changed or superseded.

(b) It is essential that the closing dates established by this document be made known to peanut producers as soon as possible because such producers are currently making operating plans for the 1961 crop of peanuts. It is therefore hereby determined and found that compliance with the notice and public procedure requirements and the 30-day effective date requirement of Section 4 of the Administrative Procedure Act (5 U.S.C. 1003), is impracticable and contrary to the public interest and this amendment shall be effective upon the filing of this document with the Director, Office of the Federal Register.

II. Paragraph (c) of § 729.1023 is hereby amended to read as follows:

§ 729.1023 Reduction of acreage allotment for violation of the marketing quota regulations for a prior marketing year.

\* \* \* \* \*

(c) Any reduction made under this section shall be made with respect to the current year farm allotment, provided it can be made at least 30 days prior to the beginning of the normal planting season for the county in which the farm

is located. If the reduction can not be made effective with respect to the current year crop, such reduction shall be made with respect to a farm allotment subsequently established for the farm. This section shall not apply if the farm allotment for any prior year was reduced on account of the same violation. For purposes of this section the following dates are hereby established as the beginning of the normal planting season for peanuts.

State	Date
Alabama	Mar. 25
Arizona	Mar. 20
Arkansas	May 15
California:	
Imperial and Riverside Counties	Mar. 15
San Joaquin Valley Counties	May 15
Florida	Feb. 15
Georgia	Mar. 25
Louisiana	June
Mississippi	Apr. 20
Missouri	Apr. 15
New Mexico	May 1
North Carolina	May 1
Oklahoma	May 10
South Carolina	May 15
Tennessee	May 1
Virginia	May 1

TEXAS, ZONE I, MARCH 10

Comprised of the counties of:

Atascosa.	Kleberg.
Bandera.	La Salle.
Bee.	Live Oak.
Bexar.	McMullen.
Brooks.	Maverick.
Cameron.	Medina.
Comal.	Nueces.
Dimmit.	Real.
Duval.	San Patricio.
Edwards.	Starr.
Frio.	Uvalde.
Hidalgo.	Val Verde.
Jim Hogg.	Webb.
Jim Wells.	Willacy.
Kendall.	Wilson.
Kenedy.	Zapata.
Kerr.	Zavala.
Kenney.	

TEXAS, ZONE II, APRIL 3

Comprised of the counties of:

Anderson.	Crane.
Andrews.	Crockett.
Angelina.	Culbertson.
Aransas.	Dallas.
Archer.	Dawson.
Austin.	Delta.
Bastrop.	Denton.
Bell.	De Witt.
Blanco.	Eastland.
Borden.	Ector.
Bosque.	Ellis.
Bowie.	El Paso.
Brazoria.	Erath.
Brazosa.	Falls.
Brewster.	Fannin.
Brown.	Fayette.
Burleson.	Fisher.
Burnet.	Fort Bend.
Caldwell.	Franklin.
Calhoun.	Freestone.
Callahan.	Gaines.
Camp.	Galveston.
Cass.	Gillespie.
Chambers.	Glasscock.
Cherokee.	Goliad.
Clay.	Gonzales.
Coke.	Grayson.
Coleman.	Gregg.
Collin.	Grimes.
Colorado.	Guadalupe.
Comanche.	Hamilton.
Concho.	Hardin.
Cooke.	Harris.
Coryell.	Harrison.

TEXAS, ZONE II, APRIL 3—Continued

Hays.  
Henderson.  
Hill.  
Hood.  
Hopkins.  
Houston.  
Howard.  
Hudspeth.  
Hunt.  
Irion.  
Jack.  
Jackson.  
Jasper.  
Jeff Davis.  
Jefferson.  
Johnson.  
Jones.  
Karnes.  
Kaufman.  
Kimble.  
Lamar.  
Lampasas.  
Lavaca.  
Lee.  
Leon.  
Liberty.  
Limestone.  
Llano.  
Loving.  
McCulloch.  
McLennan.  
Madison.  
Marion.  
Martin.  
Mason.  
Matagorda.  
Menard.  
Midland.  
Milam.  
Mills.  
Mitchell.  
Montague.  
Montgomery.  
Morris.  
Nacogdoches.  
Navarro.  
Newton.  
Nolan.  
Orange.  
Palo Pinto.  
Panola.  
Parker.  
Pecos.  
Polk.  
Presidio.  
Rains.  
Reagan.  
Red River.  
Reeves.  
Refugio.  
Robertson.  
Rockwall.  
Runnels.  
Rusk.  
Sabine.  
San Augustine.  
San Jacinto.  
San Saba.  
Schleicher.  
Scurry.  
Shackelford.  
Shelby.  
Smith.  
Somervell.  
Stephens.  
Sterling.  
Sutton.  
Tarrant.  
Taylor.  
Terrell.  
Titus.  
Tom Green.  
Travis.  
Trinity.  
Tyler.  
Upshur.  
Upton.  
Van Zandt.  
Victoria.  
Walker.  
Waller.  
Ward.  
Washington.  
Wharton.  
Wichita.  
Williamson.  
Winkler.  
Wise.  
Wood.  
Young.

any county under the provisions of §729.1018 on which peanuts will not be produced and which the operator of the farm voluntarily surrenders in writing to the county committee shall be deducted from the allotment to such farm, provided such acreage is surrendered not later than the applicable of the closing dates specified below. If any part of the farm allotment is permanently released (i.e., for the current year and all subsequent years), such release shall be in writing and signed by the owner and operator of the farm. If the entire current year farm allotment is permanently released, the farm shall not thereafter during the current year be eligible for a farm allotment as either an old farm or as a new farm, and the farm peanut history acreages and farm allotments for the current year and prior years shall not be considered in establishing an allotment for the farm for any subsequent year. The following dates are hereby established as the closing dates for releasing acreage which will not be used on the farm for which allotted.

State	Date
Alabama	Mar. 15
Arizona	Mar. 1
Arkansas	May 15
California:	
Imperial and Riverside Counties	Mar. 16
San Joaquin Valley Counties	May 15
Florida	Mar. 15
Georgia	Apr. 1
Louisiana	May 29
Mississippi	May 1
Missouri	May 1
New Mexico	May 6
North Carolina	Apr. 30
Oklahoma	May 29
South Carolina	May 1
Tennessee	Apr. 1
Virginia	Apr. 15

TEXAS, ZONE I, MARCH 1

Comprised of the counties of:

Aransas.  
Atascosa.  
Austin.  
Bandera.  
Bee.  
Bexar.  
Brazoria.  
Brooks.  
Calhoun.  
Camerson.  
Chambers.  
Colorado.  
Comal.  
De Witt.  
Dimmit.  
Duval.  
Edwards.  
Fort Bend.  
Frio.  
Galveston.  
Goliad.  
Gonzales.  
Guadalupe.  
Hardin.  
Harris.  
Hidalgo.  
Jackson.  
Jefferson.  
Jim Hogg.  
Jim Wells.  
Karnes.  
Kendall.  
Kenedy.  
Kerr.  
Kinney.  
Kleberg.  
La Salle.  
Lavaca.  
Liberty.  
Live Oak.  
McMullen.  
Matagorda.  
Maverick.  
Medina.  
Nueces.  
Orange.  
Real.  
Refugio.  
San Patricio.  
Starr.  
Uvalde.  
Val Verde.  
Victoria.  
Waller.  
Webb.  
Wharton.  
Willacy.  
Wilson.  
Zapata.  
Zavala.

TEXAS, ZONE II, APRIL 4

Comprised of the counties of:

Anderson.  
Andrews.  
Angelina.  
Archer.  
Bastrop.  
Bell.

TEXAS, ZONE II, APRIL 4—Continued

Blanco.  
Borden.  
Bosque.  
Bowie.  
Brazos.  
Brewster.  
Brown.  
Burleson.  
Burnet.  
Caldwell.  
Callahan.  
Camp.  
Cass.  
Cherokee.  
Clay.  
Coke.  
Coleman.  
Collin.  
Comanche.  
Concho.  
Cooke.  
Coryell.  
Crane.  
Crockett.  
Culberson.  
Dallas.  
Dawson.  
Delta.  
Denton.  
Eastland.  
Ector.  
Ellis.  
El Paso.  
Erath.  
Falls.  
Fannin.  
Fayette.  
Fisher.  
Franklin.  
Freestone.  
Gaines.  
Gillespie.  
Glasscock.  
Grayson.  
Gregg.  
Grimes.  
Hamilton.  
Harrison.  
Hays.  
Henderson.  
Hill.  
Hood.  
Hopkins.  
Houston.  
Howard.  
Hudspeth.  
Hunt.  
Irion.  
Jack.  
Jasper.  
Jeff Davis.  
Johnson.  
Jones.  
Kaufman.  
Kimble.  
Lamar.  
Lampasas.  
Lee.  
Leon.  
Limestone.  
Llano.  
Loving.  
McCulloch.  
McLennan.  
Madison.  
Marion.  
Martin.  
Mason.  
Menard.  
Midland.  
Milam.  
Mills.  
Mitchell.  
Montague.  
Montgomery.  
Morris.  
Nacogdoches.  
Navarro.  
Newton.  
Nolan.  
Palo Pinto.  
Panola.  
Parker.  
Pecos.  
Polk.  
Presidio.  
Rains.  
Reagan.  
Red River.  
Reeves.  
Robertson.  
Rockwall.  
Runnels.  
Rusk.  
Sabine.  
San Augustine.  
San Jacinto.  
San Saba.  
Schleicher.  
Scurry.  
Shackelford.  
Shelby.  
Smith.  
Somervell.  
Stephens.  
Sterling.  
Sutton.  
Tarrant.  
Taylor.  
Terrell.  
Titus.  
Tom Green.  
Travis.  
Trinity.  
Tyler.  
Upshur.  
Upton.  
Van Zandt.  
Walker.  
Ward.  
Washington.  
Wharton.  
Wichita.  
Williamson.  
Winkler.  
Wise.  
Wood.  
Young.

TEXAS, ZONE III, APRIL 18

Comprised of the counties of:

Armstrong.  
Bailey.  
Baylor.  
Briscoe.  
Carson.  
Castro.  
Childress.  
Cochran.  
Collingsworth.  
Cottle.  
Crosby.  
Dallam.  
Deaf Smith.  
Dickens.  
Donley.  
Floyd.  
Foard.  
Garza.  
Gray.  
Hale.  
Hall.  
Hansford.  
Hardeman.  
Hartley.  
Haskell.  
Hemphill.  
Hockley.  
Hutchinson.  
Kent.  
King.  
Knox.  
Lamb.  
Lipscomb.  
Lubbock.

TEXAS, ZONE III, APRIL 17

Comprised of the counties of:

Armstrong.  
Bailey.  
Baylor.  
Briscoe.  
Carson.  
Castro.  
Childress.  
Cochran.  
Collingsworth.  
Cottle.  
Crosby.  
Dallas.  
Deaf Smith.  
Dickens.  
Donley.  
Floyd.  
Foard.  
Garza.  
Gray.  
Hale.  
Hall.  
Hansford.  
Hardeman.  
Hartley.  
Haskell.  
Hemphill.  
Hockley.  
Hutchinson.  
Kent.  
King.  
Knox.  
Lamb.  
Lipscomb.  
Lubbock.

III. Paragraph (a) of §729.1024 is hereby amended to read as follows:

§ 729.1024 Release and reapportionment.

(a) Release of acreage allotments. Any part of the acreage allotted for the current year to an individual farm in

**RULES AND REGULATIONS**

**TEXAS, ZONE III, APRIL 18—Continued**

Lynn.  
Moore.  
Motley.  
Ochiltree.  
Oldham.  
Farmer.  
Potter.  
Randall.  
Roberts.

Sherman.  
Stonewall.  
Swisher.  
Terry.  
Throckmorton.  
Wheeler.  
Wilbarger.  
Yoakum.

IV. Paragraph (b) of § 729.1024 is hereby amended to read as follows:

**§ 729.1024 Release and reapportionment.**

(b) *Reapportionment of released acreage allotment.* The acreage released under paragraph (a) of this section may be reapportioned by the county committee, to other farms in the same county receiving allotments, in amounts determined by the county committee to be fair and reasonable on the basis of tillable acreage available; labor and equipment available for the production of peanuts; crop-rotation practices; and soil and other physical factors affecting the production of peanuts; except that, any acreage allotment released from a farm which is covered in whole or in part by a Soil Bank Conservation Reserve Contract or for which an application is pending for a Conservation Reserve Contract, shall not be reapportioned by the county committee to any other farm. In the following States a farm shall be eligible to receive a reapportionment of released acreage only if an application is filed by the farm owner or operator with the county committee not later than the applicable of the dates specified.

State	Date
Alabama	Mar. 15
Florida	Mar. 31
Georgia	Apr. 1
New Mexico	May 13
North Carolina	Apr. 30
South Carolina	May 31
Tennessee	Apr. 15
Virginia	Apr. 15

**TEXAS, ZONE I, MARCH 1**

Comprised of the counties of:

Aransas. Jim Hogg.  
Atascosa. Jim Wells.  
Austin. Karnes.  
Bandera. Kendall.  
Bee. Kenedy.  
Bexar. Kerr.  
Brazoria. Kinney.  
Brooks. Kleberg.  
Calhoun. La Salle.  
Cameron. Lavaca.  
Chambers. Liberty.  
Colorado. Live Oak.  
Comal. McMullen.  
De Witt. Matagorda.  
Dimmit. Maverick.  
Duval. Medina.  
Edwards. Nueces.  
Fort Bend. Orange.  
Frio. Real.  
Galveston. Refugio.  
Goliad. San Patricio.  
Gonzales. Starr.  
Guadalupe. Uvalde.  
Hardin. Val Verde.  
Harris. Victoria.  
Hidalgo. Waller.  
Jackson. Webb.  
Jefferson. Wharton.

**TEXAS, ZONE I, MARCH 1—Continued**

Willacy.  
Wilson.

Zapata.  
Zavala.

**TEXAS, ZONE II, APRIL 4**

Comprised of the counties of:

Anderson.  
Andrews.  
Angelina.  
Archer.  
Bastrop.  
Bell.  
Blanco.  
Borden.  
Bosque.  
Bowie.  
Brazos.  
Brewster.  
Brown.  
Burlleson.  
Burnet.  
Caldwell.  
Callahan.  
Camp.  
Cass.  
Cherokee.  
Clay.  
Coke.  
Coleman.  
Collin.  
Comanche.  
Concho.  
Cooke.  
Coryell.  
Crane.  
Crockett.  
Culberson.  
Dallas.  
Dawson.  
Delta.  
Denton.  
Eastland.  
Ector.  
Ellis.  
El Paso.  
Erath.  
Falls.  
Fannin.  
Fayette.  
Fisher.  
Franklin.  
Freestone.  
Gaines.  
Gillespie.  
Glasscock.  
Grayson.  
Gregg.  
Grimes.  
Hamilton.  
Harrison.  
Hays.  
Henderson.  
Hill.  
Hood.  
Hopkins.  
Houston.  
Howard.  
Hudspeth.  
Hunt.  
Irion.  
Jack.  
Jasper.  
Jeff Davis.  
Johnson.  
Jones.  
Kaufman.  
Kimble.  
Lamar.

Lampasas.  
Lee.  
Leon.  
Limestone.  
Llano.  
Loving.  
McCulloch.  
McLennan.  
Madison.  
Marion.  
Martin.  
Mason.  
Menard.  
Midland.  
Milam.  
Mills.  
Mitchell.  
Montague.  
Montgomery.  
Morris.  
Nacogdoches.  
Navarro.  
Newton.  
Nolan.  
Palo Pinto.  
Panola.  
Parker.  
Pecos.  
Polk.  
Presidio.  
Rains.  
Reagan.  
Red River.  
Reeves.  
Robertson.  
Rockwall.  
Runnels.  
Rusk.  
Sabine.  
San Augustine.  
San Jacinto.  
San Seba.  
Schleicher.  
Scurry.  
Shackelford.  
Shelby.  
Smith.  
Gaines.  
Somervell.  
Stephens.  
Sterling.  
Sutton.  
Tarrant.  
Taylor.  
Terrell.  
Titus.  
Tom Green.  
Travis.  
Trinity.  
Tyler.  
Upshur.  
Upton.  
Van Zandt.  
Walker.  
Ward.  
Washington.  
Wichita.  
Williamson.  
Winkler.  
Wise.  
Wood.  
Young.

**TEXAS, ZONE III, APRIL 18**

Comprised of the counties of:

Armstrong.  
Bailey.  
Baylor.  
Briscoe.  
Carson.  
Castro.  
Childress.  
Cochran.  
Collingsworth.  
Cottle.  
Crosby.  
Dallam.

**TEXAS, ZONE III, APRIL 18—Continued**

Deaf Smith.  
Dickens.  
Donley.  
Floyd.  
Foard.  
Garza.  
Gray.  
Hale.  
Hall.  
Hansford.  
Hardeman.  
Hartley.  
Haskell.  
Hemphill.  
Hockley.  
Hutchinson.  
Kent.  
King.  
Knox.  
Lamb.

Lipscomb.  
Lubbock.  
Lynn.  
Moore.  
Motley.  
Ochiltree.  
Oldham.  
Farmer.  
Potter.  
Randall.  
Roberts.  
Sherman.  
Stonewall.  
Swisher.  
Terry.  
Throckmorton.  
Wheeler.  
Wilbarger.  
Yoakum.

No application need be filed in other States to make a farm eligible to receive a reapportionment of released acreage.

(Secs. 358, 359, 375, 55 Stat. 88, as amended, 90, as amended, 52 Stat. 66, as amended; 7 U.S.C. 1358, 1359, 1375)

Done at Washington, D.C., this 10th day of February 1961.

H. D. GODFREY,  
Administrator,  
Commodity Stabilization Service.

[F.R. Doc. 61-1342; Filed, Feb. 15, 1961; 8:45 a.m.]

**Title 49—TRANSPORTATION**

**Chapter I—Interstate Commerce Commission**

**PART 1—GENERAL RULES OF PRACTICE**

**Motor Carrier Boards**

At a general session of the Interstate Commerce Commission, held at its office in Washington, D.C., on the 7th day of February A.D. 1961.

The Commission on the date hereof having created three boards of employees, designated collectively as the Motor Carrier Boards, to which certain classes of proceedings that have not involved the taking of testimony at a public hearing or the submission of evidence by opposing parties in the form of affidavits have been assigned, and the matter of revising the special rules of procedure of other employee boards to include and apply to the Motor Carrier Boards being under consideration:

It is ordered, That, to implement the action creating the Motor Carrier Boards, § 1.225 (a) and (b) of Chapter I of Title 49 of the Code of Federal Regulations (49 CFR 1.225) is revised to read as follows:

**§ 1.225 Special rules of practice governing the procedure of the Temporary Authorities Board, the Transfer Board, the Finance Boards, the Safety and Service Boards, and the Motor Carrier Boards.**

(a) The proceedings of the Temporary Authorities Board, the Transfer Board, the Finance Boards, the Safety

and Service Boards, and the Motor Carrier Boards shall be informal. No transcription of such proceedings will be made. Subpoenas will not be issued and, except when applications or petitions are required to be attested, oaths will not be administered.

(b) A petition for reconsideration of an order of the Temporary Authorities Board, the Transfer Board, a Finance Board, or a Safety and Service Board, or of an order, requirement or other action by a Motor Carrier Board may be filed by any interested person. Such petition and the reply thereto will be governed by the Commission's general rules of practice, except as otherwise provided in paragraphs (c), (d) and (e) of this section.

(Secs. 12, 17, 24 Stat. 883, as amended, 385, as amended; secs. 204, 205, 49 Stat. 546, as amended, 548, as amended; sec. 304, 54 Stat. 933; sec. 403, 56 Stat. 285; 49 U.S.C. 12, 17, 304, 305, 904, 1003)

It is further ordered, That the foregoing amendments shall become effective February 21, 1961.

Notice of this order shall be given to the general public by depositing a copy thereof in the Office of the Secretary of the Commission, Washington, D.C., and by filing a copy with the Director, Office of the Federal Register.

By the Commission.

[SEAL] HAROLD D. MCCOY,  
Secretary.

[F.R. Doc. 61-1372; Filed, Feb. 15, 1961; 8:48 a.m.]

## Title 14—AERONAUTICS AND SPACE

### Chapter III—Federal Aviation Agency

#### SUBCHAPTER C—AIRCRAFT REGULATIONS

[Reg. Docket No. 654; Amdt. 254]

#### PART 507—AIRWORTHINESS DIRECTIVES

##### Fairchild F-27 Series Aircraft

Amendment 167 (25 F.R. 4803), required repetitive inspection of the rudder rib web in the vicinity of the tab hinge attach fitting on Fairchild F-27 aircraft. The manufacturer has developed a modification to eliminate the free balance tab condition which caused the rib failures, and such a modification will be incorporated on all aircraft beginning with Serial No. 84 by the manufacturer. It has been determined that when the modification is incorporated, the special repetitive inspections are no longer necessary. Accordingly, Amendment 167 is being amended to provide for the modification and discontinuance of the inspections when the modification is accomplished.

Since the amendment provides for an optional means of compliance and imposes no additional burden, notice and public procedure hereon are unnecessary and the amendment will become effective upon publication in the FEDERAL REGISTER.

In consideration of the foregoing, and pursuant to the authority delegated to me by the Administrator (25 F.R. 6489) § 507.10(a) of Part 507 (14 CFR Part 507), is hereby amended as follows:

Amendment 167, Fairchild F-27 Series aircraft, 25 F.R. 4803, is amended as follows:

(1) By revising the applicability statement to read "Fairchild. Applies to F-27 aircraft Serial Nos. 1 to 83, inclusive."

(2) By adding a new paragraph "c" following the final parenthetical statement as follows:

(c) When the modifications specified below for the rudder balance tab control system have been made, the repetitive inspections specified in this AD may be discontinued.

(1) Airplanes with Serial Nos. 1 to 65 inclusive:

(i) Remove bushing, P/N NAS75-3-009, from balance-tab bellcrank, P/N 27-728448-1 or -11, and install block, P/N 27-728448-3.

(ii) Remove rudder bellcrank fin attachment plate (aluminum alloy), P/N 27-232108-45 or -57, by opening lower skin on left and right side of fin adjacent to attachment plate and install new steel attachment plate, P/N 27-232108-63.

(2) On airplanes with Serial Nos. 1 to 83 inclusive, install guide assembly, P/N 27-232109-31, to rudder bellcrank fin attachment plate.

NOTE: On airplanes on which the rudder bellcrank was previously modified in accordance with Fairchild Service Letter F27-178 dated May 13, 1959, ensure that block and bellcrank will fully engage slot in fin attachment plate.

(Fairchild Service Bulletin No. 27-30 dated October 13, 1960, covers this subject.)

This amendment shall become effective February 16, 1961.

(Sec. 313(a), 601, 603; 72 Stat. 752, 775, 776; 49 U.S.C. 1354(a), 1421, 1423)

Issued in Washington, D.C., on February 9, 1961.

GEORGE C. PRILL,  
Acting Director, Bureau of  
Flight Standards.

[F.R. Doc. 61-1351; Filed, Feb. 15, 1961; 8:45 a.m.]

#### SUBCHAPTER E—AIR NAVIGATION REGULATIONS

[Airspace Docket No. 60-NY-116]

#### PART 601—DESIGNATION OF THE CONTINENTAL CONTROL AREA, CONTROL AREAS, CONTROL ZONES, REPORTING POINTS, POSITIVE CONTROL ROUTE SEGMENTS, AND POSITIVE CONTROL AREAS

##### Revocation of Control Zone

On December 8, 1960, a Notice of Proposed Rule Making was published in the FEDERAL REGISTER (25 F.R. 12574) stating that the Federal Aviation Agency proposed to revoke the Blackstone, Va., control zone.

No adverse comments were received regarding the proposed amendment.

Interested persons have been afforded an opportunity to participate in the making of the rule herein adopted, and due consideration has been given to all relevant matter presented.

The substance of the proposed amendment having been published, therefore, pursuant to the authority delegated to me by the Administrator (25 F.R. 12582) and for the reasons stated in the Notice, § 601.1983 (14 CFR 601.1983) is amended by deleting the following:

Blackstone, Va.: Blackstone AAF.

This amendment shall become effective 0001 e.s.t., April 6, 1961.

(Sec. 307(a), 72 Stat. 749; 49 U.S.C. 1348)

Issued in Washington, D.C., on February 9, 1961.

D. D. THOMAS,  
Director, Bureau of  
Air Traffic Management.

[F.R. Doc. 61-1352; Filed, Feb. 15, 1961; 8:45 a.m.]

[Airspace Docket No. 60-NY-130]

#### PART 600—DESIGNATION OF FEDERAL AIRWAYS

#### PART 601—DESIGNATION OF THE CONTINENTAL CONTROL AREA, CONTROL AREAS, CONTROL ZONES, REPORTING POINTS, POSITIVE CONTROL ROUTE SEGMENTS, AND POSITIVE CONTROL AREAS

##### Revocation of Federal Airway, Associated Control Areas and Reporting Points

On December 8, 1960, a Notice of Proposed Rule Making was published in the FEDERAL REGISTER (25 F.R. 12572) stating that the Federal Aviation Agency proposed to revoke Blue Federal airway No. 66 in its entirety, its associated control areas and reporting points.

No adverse comments were received regarding the proposed amendments.

Interested persons have been afforded an opportunity to participate in the making of the rules herein adopted, and due consideration has been given to all relevant matter presented.

The substance of the proposed amendments having been published, therefore, pursuant to the authority delegated to me by the Administrator (25 F.R. 12582) and for the reasons stated in the Notice, Parts 600 and 601 (14 CFR Parts 600, 601) are amended as follows:

1. Section 600.666 Blue Federal airway No. 66 (Bridgeport, Conn., to Poughkeepsie, N.Y.) is revoked.

2. Section 601.666 Blue Federal airway No. 66 control areas (Bridgeport Conn., to Poughkeepsie, N.Y.) is revoked.

3. Section 601.666 Blue Federal airway No. 66 (Bridgeport, Conn., to Poughkeepsie, N.Y.) is revoked.

These amendments shall become effective 0001 e.s.t., April 6, 1961.

(Sec. 307(a), 72 Stat. 749; 49 U.S.C. 1348)

Issued in Washington, D.C., on February 9, 1961.

D. D. THOMAS,  
Director, Bureau of  
Air Traffic Management.

[F.R. Doc. 61-1353; Filed, Feb. 15, 1961; 8:45 a.m.]

[Airspace Docket No. 60-NY-115]

**PART 600—DESIGNATION OF FEDERAL AIRWAYS****PART 601—DESIGNATION OF THE CONTINENTAL CONTROL AREA, CONTROL AREAS, CONTROL ZONES, REPORTING POINTS, POSITIVE CONTROL ROUTE SEGMENTS, AND POSITIVE CONTROL AREAS****Revocation of Federal Airway, Associated Control Areas and Reporting Points**

On December 10, 1960, a Notice of Proposed Rule Making was published in the FEDERAL REGISTER (25 F.R. 12712) stating that the Federal Aviation Agency proposed to revoke Red Federal airway No. 73 in its entirety, its associated control areas and reporting points.

No adverse comments were received regarding the proposed amendments.

Interested persons have been afforded an opportunity to participate in the making of the rules herein adopted, and due consideration has been given to all relevant matter presented.

The substance of the proposed amendments having been published, therefore, pursuant to the authority delegated to me by the Administrator (25 F.R. 12582) and for reasons stated in the Notice, Parts 600 and 601 (14 CFR Parts 600, 601) are amended by revoking the following sections:

1. Section 600.273 *Red Federal airway No. 73 (Baltimore, Md., to Millville, N.J.)*.
2. Section 601.273 *Red Federal airway No. 73 control areas (Baltimore, Md., to Millville, N.J.)*.
3. Section 601.4273 *Red Federal airway No. 73 (Baltimore, Md., to Millville, N.J.)*.

These amendments shall become effective 0001 e.s.t., April 6, 1961.

(Sec. 307(a), 72 Stat. 749; 49 U.S.C. 1348)

Issued in Washington, D.C., on February 9, 1961.

D. D. THOMAS,  
Director, Bureau of  
Air Traffic Management.

[F.R. Doc. 61-1354; Filed, Feb. 15, 1961; 8:45 a.m.]

[Airspace Docket No. 60-WA-260]

**PART 600—DESIGNATION OF FEDERAL AIRWAYS****PART 601—DESIGNATION OF THE CONTINENTAL CONTROL AREA, CONTROL AREAS, CONTROL ZONES, REPORTING POINTS, POSITIVE CONTROL ROUTE SEGMENTS, AND POSITIVE CONTROL AREAS****Revocation of Segment of Federal Airway, Associated Control Areas and Reporting Points**

On November 11, 1960, a Notice of Proposed Rule Making was published in the FEDERAL REGISTER (25 F.R. 10774) stating

that the Federal Aviation Agency proposed to revoke the segment of Green Federal airway No. 2, its associated control areas and reporting points, between Minneapolis, Minn., and Detroit, Mich.

No adverse comments were received regarding the proposed amendments.

Interested persons have been afforded an opportunity to participate in the making of the rules herein adopted, and due consideration has been given to all relevant matter presented.

The substance of the proposed amendments having been published, therefore, pursuant to the authority delegated to me by the Administrator (25 F.R. 12582) and for the reasons stated in the Notice, the following actions are taken:

**§ 600.12 [Amendment]**

1. In § 600.12 (14 CFR 600.12; 25 F.R. 9242; 26 F.R. 715) the following changes are made:

(a) In the caption "*(Seattle, Wash., to Windsor, Ontario, Canada, and Clear Creek, Ontario, Canada, to Dunkirk, N.Y.)*" is deleted and "*(Seattle, Wash., to Minneapolis, Minn., and Detroit, Mich., to Dunkirk, N.Y.)*" is substituted therefor.

(b) In the text "Minneapolis, Minn., radio range station; La Crosse, Wis., radio range station; the intersection of the southeast course of the La Crosse, Wis., radio range and the west course of the Madison, Wis., radio range; Madison, Wis., radio range station; Milwaukee, Wis., radio range station; Muskegon, Mich., radio range station; Grand Rapids, Mich., radio range station; Lansing, Mich., radio range station; the intersection of the east course of the Lansing, Mich., radio range and the north course of the Detroit, Mich., radio range; Detroit, Mich., radio range station to the Windsor, Ontario, Canada, radio range station. From the Clear Creek, Ontario, Canada, RBN to the Dunkirk, N.Y., RBN." is deleted and "to the Minneapolis, Minn., RR. From the Detroit, Mich., RR via the Windsor, Ontario, Canada, RR; Clear Creek, Ontario, Canada, RBN to the Dunkirk, N.Y., RBN, excluding the portion that lies outside the United States." is substituted therefor.

2. Section 601.12 (14 CFR 601.12; 26 F.R. 715) is amended to read:

**§ 601.12 Green Federal airway No. 2 control areas (Seattle, Wash., to Minneapolis, Minn., and Detroit, Mich., to Dunkirk, N.Y.).**

All of Green Federal airway No. 2.

**§ 601.4012 [Amendment]**

3. In § 601.4012 (14 CFR 601.4012; 25 F.R. 9242; 26 F.R. 715) the following changes are made:

(a) In the caption "*(Seattle, Wash., to Windsor, Ontario, Canada, and Clear Creek, Ontario, Canada, to Dunkirk, N.Y.)*" is deleted and "*(Seattle, Wash., to Minneapolis, Minn., and Detroit, Mich., to Dunkirk, N.Y.)*" is substituted therefor.

(b) In the text "La Crosse, Wis., radio range station; the intersection of the

southeast course of the La Crosse, Wis., radio range and the west course of the Madison, Wis., radio range; Milwaukee, Wis., radio range station; Muskegon, Mich., radio range station; Grand Rapids, Mich., radio range station; Lansing, Mich., radio range station," is deleted.

These amendments shall become effective 0002 e.s.t., April 6, 1961.

(Sec. 307(a), 72 Stat. 749; 49 U.S.C. 1348)

Issued in Washington, D.C., on February 9, 1961.

D. D. THOMAS,  
Director, Bureau of  
Air Traffic Management.

[F.R. Doc. 61-1355; Filed, Feb. 15, 1961; 8:45 a.m.]

[Airspace Docket No. 60-NY-117]

**PART 600—DESIGNATION OF FEDERAL AIRWAYS****PART 601—DESIGNATION OF THE CONTINENTAL CONTROL AREA, CONTROL AREAS, CONTROL ZONES, REPORTING POINTS, POSITIVE CONTROL ROUTE SEGMENTS, AND POSITIVE CONTROL AREAS****Revocation of Federal Airway, Associated Control Areas and Reporting Points**

On December 8, 1960, a Notice of Proposed Rule Making was published in the FEDERAL REGISTER (25 F.R. 12573) stating that the Federal Aviation Agency proposed to revoke Red Federal airway No. 51 in its entirety, its associated control areas and reporting points.

No adverse comments were received regarding the proposed amendments.

Interested persons have been afforded an opportunity to participate in the making of the rules herein adopted, and due consideration has been given to all relevant matter presented.

The substance of the proposed amendments having been published, therefore, pursuant to the authority delegated to me by the Administrator (25 F.R. 12582) and for the reasons stated in the Notice, Parts 600 and 601 (14 CFR 600, 601) are amended by revoking the following sections:

1. Section 600.251 *Red Federal airway No. 51 (Blackstone, Va., to Norfolk, Va.)*.
2. Section 601.251 *Red Federal airway No. 51 control areas (Blackstone, Va., to Norfolk, Va.)*.
3. Section 601.4251 *Red Federal airway No. 51 (Blackstone, Va., to Norfolk, Va.)*.

These amendments shall become effective 0001 e.s.t., April 6, 1961.

(Sec. 307(a), 72 Stat. 749; 49 U.S.C. 1348)

Issued in Washington, D.C., on February 9, 1961.

D. D. THOMAS,  
Director, Bureau of  
Air Traffic Management.

[F.R. Doc. 61-1356; Filed, Feb. 15, 1961; 8:45 a.m.]

[Reg. Docket No. 645; Amdt. 205]

PART 609—STANDARD INSTRUMENT APPROACH PROCEDURES

Miscellaneous Amendments

The amendments to standard instrument approach procedures contained herein are being adopted to become effective when indicated in order to promote safety. The revised procedures supersede the existing procedures of the same classification now in effect for the airports specified therein. For the convenience of the users, the revised procedures specify the complete procedure and indicate the changes to the existing procedures.

As a situation exists which demands immediate action in the interests of safety in air commerce, I find that compliance with the notice, procedure and effective date provisions of section 4 of the Administrative Procedure Act would be contrary to the public interest and is therefore not required.

Pursuant to the authority delegated to me by the Administrator (24 F.R. 5662), Part 609 is amended as follows:  
 1. The low or medium frequency range procedures prescribed in § 609.100(a) are amended to read in part:

ILS STANDARD INSTRUMENT APPROACH PROCEDURE

Bearings, headings, courses and radials are magnetic. Elevations and altitudes are in feet, MSL. Ceilings are in feet above airport elevation. Distances are in nautical miles unless otherwise indicated, except visibilities which are in statute miles.

If an instrument approach procedure of the above type is conducted at the below named airport, it shall be accordance with the following instrument approach procedure, unless an approach is conducted in accordance with a different procedure for such airport authorized by the Administrator of the Federal Aviation Agency. Initial approaches shall be made over specified routes. Minimum altitudes shall correspond with those established for en route operation in the particular area or as set forth below.

Transition				Ceiling and visibility minimums			
From—	To—	Course and distance	Minimum altitude (feet)	Condition	2-engine or less		More than 2-engine, more than 65 knots
					65 knots or less	More than 65 knots	

PROCEDURE CANCELLED, EFFECTIVE 25 FEBRUARY 1961, OR UPON DECOMMISSIONING OF FACILITY.

City, Gage; State, Okla.; Airport Name, Municipal; Elev., 2223'; Fac. Class., SBRAZ; Ident., GAG; Procedure No. 1, Amdt. 3; Eff. Date, 16 Aug. 54; Sup. Amdt. No. 2; Dated, 2 Aug. 50

McDonald Pass FM	HN-LFR	Direct	8500	T-d	500-2	500-2	500-2
HLN-VOR	HN-LFR	Direct	8000	T-n	800-2	800-2	800-2
Winston FM	HN-LFR (Final)*	Direct	6000	C-d	1600-2	1600-2	1600-2
				C-n	1600-3	1600-3	1600-3
				A-d	2000-2	2000-2	2000-2
				A-n	2000-3	2000-3	2000-2

Procedure turn North side SE crs, 100° Outbnd, 280° Inbnd, 8000' within 10 miles. NA beyond 10 miles.  
 Minimum altitude over facility on final approach crs, 6000'.  
 Crs and distance, facility to airport, 521°—1.7.  
 If visual contact not established upon descent to authorized landing minimums or if landing not accomplished within 1.7 miles, make right climbing turn to SE crs, climb to 800' within 10 miles of HN-LFR.  
 \*Maintain at least 8500' until 1.2 mi past Winston FM.

City, Helena; State, Mont.; Airport Name, Helena County-City; Elev., 3882'; Fac. Class., SBMRAZ; Ident., HN; Procedure No. 1, Amdt. 6; Eff. Date, 25 Feb. 61; Sup. Amdt. No. 5; Dated, 2 Feb. 57

Lubbock VOR	LX-LFR	Direct	4500	T-dn	300-1	300-1	200-1/2
				C-d	700-1	700-1	700-1 1/2
				C-n	700-1 1/2	700-1 1/2	700-2
				A-dn	800-2	800-2	800-2

Procedure turn S side of W crs, 258° Outbnd, 078° Inbnd, 4500' within 10 mi. Beyond 10 mi NA.  
 Minimum altitude over facility on final approach crs, 4300'.  
 Crs and distance, facility to airport, 075°—10.1 mi.  
 If visual contact not established upon descent to authorized landing minimums or if landing not accomplished within 10.1 mi, climb to 4500' on E crs (079°) within 20 mi.  
 NOTE: This procedure not approved for ADF approach.  
 MAJOR CHANGE: Deletes transition from Roundup FM.

City, Lubbock; State, Tex.; Airport Name, Municipal; Elev., 3256'; Fac. Class., SBMRLZ; Ident., LX; Procedure No. 1, Amdt. 10; Eff. Date, 25 Feb. 61; Sup. Amdt. No. 9; Dated, 23 July 55

PROCEDURE CANCELLED, EFFECTIVE 25 FEBRUARY 1961, OR UPON CLOSING OF LOBB FIELD.

City, Rochester; State, Minn.; Airport Name, Lobb Field; Elev., 1041'; Fac. Class., SBMRLZ; Ident., RST; Procedure No. 1, Amdt. 11; Eff. Date, 23 Apr. 60; Sup. Amdt. No. 10; Dated, 23 Apr. 60

Stockton VOR	SK-LFR	Direct	1500	T-dn	300-1	300-1	300-1
				C-dn	500-1	600-1	600-1 1/2
				A-dn	800-2	800-2	800-2

Procedure turn E side S crs, 147° Outbnd, 327° Inbnd, 1500' within 10 miles.  
 Minimum altitude over facility on final approach crs, 1000'.  
 Crs and distance, facility to airport, 281°—2.1 mi.  
 If visual contact not established upon descent to authorized landing minimums or if landing not accomplished within 2.1 miles, climb to 2000' on West crs within 15 miles.  
 NOTE: ADF procedure not authorized.  
 MAJOR CHANGES: Deletes Caution Note. Deletes restriction to takeoff on Runway 34.

City, Stockton; State, Calif.; Airport Name, Stockton; Elev., 27'; Fac. Class., BMRLZ; Ident., SK; Procedure No. 1, Amdt. 7; Eff. Date, 25 Feb. 61; Sup. Amdt. No. 6; Dated, 27 Aug. 59

RULES AND REGULATIONS

2. The automatic direction finding procedures prescribed in § 609.100(b) are amended to read in part:

ADF STANDARD INSTRUMENT APPROACH PROCEDURE

Bearings, headings, courses and radials are magnetic. Elevations and altitudes are in feet MSL. Ceilings are in feet above airport elevation. Distances are in nautical miles unless otherwise indicated, except visibilities which are in statute miles.

If an instrument approach procedure of the above type is conducted at the below named airport, it shall be in accordance with the following instrument approach procedure, unless an approach is conducted in accordance with a different procedure for such airport authorized by the Administrator of the Federal Aviation Agency. Initial approaches shall be made over specified routes. Minimum altitudes shall correspond with those established for en route operation in the particular area or as set forth below.

Transition				Ceiling and visibility minimums			
From—	To—	Course and distance	Minimum altitude (feet)	Condition	2-engine or less		More than 2-engine, more than 65 knots
					65 knots or less	More than 65 knots	
AUS VOR.....	LOM.....	Direct.....	2000	T-dn#.....	300-1	300-1	200-1/4
AUS RBN.....	LOM.....	Direct.....	2000	C-dn.....	400-1	500-1	500-1/4
Smithville Int.....	LOM.....	Direct.....	2000	S-dn-30 L.....	400-1	400-1	400-1
Bergstrom RBN.....	LOM.....	Direct.....	2000	A-dn.....	800-2	800-2	800-2

Radar terminal area maneuvering altitude within 20 mi and clockwise around AUS radar antenna site: 345° to 215°—2000'; 215° to 345°—2500'. Radar control must provide 3 mi or 1000' vertical separation; or 3 to 5 mi and 500' vertical separation from radio towers 1680' MSL 23 mi WNW, 2049' MSL 9 mi NW and 1084' MSL 14 mi N.

Procedure turn E side SE crs, 125° Outbnd, 305° Inbnd, 2000' within 10 mi. Beyond 10 mi NA. Minimum altitude over facility on final approach crs, 1700'. Crs and distance, facility to airport, 305°—4.8 mi. If visual contact not established upon descent to authorized landing minimums or if landing not accomplished within 4.8 miles, climb to 3000' on crs 305° from LOM within 20 miles or, when directed by ATC, turn right, climb to 2000' and proceed to AUS VOR or AUS Rbn. #All aircraft are restricted to 300-1 for takeoff on runways 3-21, 16L-34R, and 12L-30R.

City, Austin; State, Tex.; Airport Name, Mueller; Elev., 631'; Fac. Class., LOM; Ident., AU; Procedure No. 1, Amdt. 18; Eff. Date, 25 Feb. 61; Sup. Amdt. No. 17; Dated, 13 June 59

CRP VOR.....	LOM.....	Direct.....	1400	T-dn.....	300-1	300-1	200-1/4
CP LFR.....	LOM.....	Direct.....	1400	C-dn.....	*400-1	*500-1	*500-1/4
Robstown Int.....	LOM.....	Direct.....	1800	S-dn-13.....	*400-1	*400-1	*400-1
Sinton Int.....	LOM.....	Direct.....	1400	A-dn.....	800-2	800-2	800-2

Radar terminal transition altitude 1400' within 20 miles. Radar control will provide 1000' vertical clearance within a 3-mile radius or 500' vertical clearance within a 3- to 5-mile (inclusive) radius of tower 792' msl 6 miles West of airport.

Procedure turn W side of NW crs, 307° Outbnd, 127° Inbnd, 1800' within 10 mi. Beyond 10 mi NA. Minimum altitude over facility on final approach crs, 1400'. Crs and distance, facility to airport, 127°—4.8 mi; #Tank Fix to airport, 1.6 mi. If visual contact not established upon descent to authorized landing minimums or if landing not accomplished within 4.8 miles, turn right, climb to 1800' on CRP VOR R-227 within 20 miles or, when directed by ATC, turn left, climb to 1500' direct to CRP-VOR and proceed outbound on R-045 within 20 miles. \*If #Tank Fix not received, ceiling minimum is 600'. #Tank Fix: Brng 127° from LOM and CRP-VOR R-210.

City, Corpus Christi; State, Tex.; Airport Name, International; Elev., 44'; Fac. Class., LOM; Ident., CR; Procedure No. 1, Amdt. 4; Eff. Date, 25 Feb. 61; Sup Amdt. No. 3; Dated, 7 Jan. 61

Aurora "H".....	LOM.....	Direct.....	7000	T-dn.....	300-1	300-1	200-1/4
Denver LFR.....	LOM.....	Direct.....	7000	C-dn*.....	500-1	500-1	500-1/4
Denver VOR.....	LOM.....	Direct.....	7000	S-dn-26L/R*.....	500-1	500-1	500-1
Dupont Int.....	LOM.....	Direct.....	7000	A-dn.....	800-2	800-2	800-2
Strasburg Int.....	LOM.....	Direct.....	7000				
Kiowa VOR.....	Watkins Int.....	Direct.....	7000				
Watkins Int.....	LOM (Final).....	Direct.....	7000				
Westminster Int.....	LOM.....	Direct.....	7000				

Radar transitions and vectoring using Denver Radar authorized in accordance with approved radar patterns. Procedure turn North side of crs, 076° Outbnd, 256° Inbnd, 7000' within 10 mi.

Minimum altitude over facility on final approach crs, 7000'. Crs and distance, facility to airport, 256°—5.5 mi. If visual contact not established upon descent to authorized landing minimums or if landing not accomplished within 5.5 miles after passing LOM, turn right, climb to 6000' on North crs DN-LFR within 20 miles or, when directed by ATC, turn right, climb to 6600' on East crs DN-LFR within 20 miles. CAUTION: 5911' MSL radio tower 4.7 mi ESE of Rwy 26L. 5570' MSL tank 0.8 mi SE of MM. \*Do not descend below 6300' until 1.5 mi W of LOM on final.

City, Denver; State, Colo.; Airport Name, Stapleton Airfield; Elev., 5331'; Fac. Class., LOM; Ident., DE; Procedure No. 1, Amdt. 22; Eff. Date, 25 Feb. 61; Sup Amdt. No. 11; Dated, 13 Dec. 58

Carter ILS-LOM.....	GPR-RBN.....	Direct.....	1900	T-dn.....	300-1	300-1	200-1/4
Dallas RBN.....	GPR-RBN.....	Direct.....	1900	C-dn.....	400-1	500-1	500-1/4
				S-dn-35.....	400-1	400-1	400-1
				A-dn.....	800-2	800-2	800-2

Radar terminal area transition altitude, 2000' within 20 mi. Radar control must provide 3 mi or 1000' vertical separation; or 3 to 5 mi and 500' vertical separation from radio towers 2349' msl 15 mi SSE, 1743' msl 12 mi WSW, and 1221' msl 6 mi N.

Procedure turn W side of crs, 196° Outbnd, 016° Inbnd, 2500' within 10 mi (nonstandard due to traffic). Minimum altitude over facility on final approach crs, 1600'. Crs and distance, facility to airport, 349°—4.9 mi. If visual contact not established upon descent to authorized landing minimums or if landing not accomplished within 4.9 mi, turn left, climb to 1900' on crs of 309° within 15 mi of Carter ILS-LOM or when directed by ATC, climb to 2200' on crs of 349° within 20 mi of Grand Prairie MHW. MAJOR CHANGE: Deletes transition from Farmers Branch Int.

City, Ft. Worth; State, Tex.; Airport Name, Ft. Worth International (Amon Carter Field); Elev., 568'; Fac. Class., MHW; Ident., GPR; Procedure No. 2, Amdt. 6; Eff. Date, 25 Feb. 61; Sup. Amdt. No. 4; Dated, 26 Sept. 57

GLS-VOR.....	GLS RBN.....	Direct.....	1300	T-dn.....	300-1	300-1	200-1/4
				C-dn.....	400-1	500-1	500-1/4
				S-dn-13.....	400-1	400-1	400-1
				A-dn.....	800-2	800-2	800-2

Procedure turn S side of crs, 303° Outbnd, 123° Inbnd, 1600' within 10 mi. Beyond 10 mi NA. Minimum altitude over facility on final approach crs, 1100'.

Crs and distance, facility to airport, 123°—4.3 mi. If visual contact not established upon descent to authorized landing minimums or if landing not accomplished within 4.3 mi, climb to 1300' on crs of 123° within 15 mi. CAUTION: 231' MSL radio tower 7000' NE of airport.

City, Galveston; State, Tex.; Airport Name, Scholes Field; Elev., 7'; Fac. Class., BHH; Ident., GLS; Procedure No. 1, Amdt. 2; Eff. Date, 25 Feb. 61; Sup. Amdt. No. 1; Dated, 30 May 59

ADF STANDARD INSTRUMENT APPROACH PROCEDURE—Continued

Transition				Ceiling and visibility minimums			
From—	To—	Course and distance	Minimum altitude (feet)	Condition	2-engine or less		More than 2-engine, more than 65 knots
					65 knots or less	More than 65 knots	
Honolulu LFR	LOM	Direct	3600	T-dn	300-1	300-1	200-1/2
Honolulu VOR	LOM	Direct	3600	C-dn*	500-1	500-1	500-1 1/2
Southgate Int (via 10 mi DME clockwise arc)	Int 079° brng to LOM and 10 mi DME Fix		2000	S-dn-8	500-1	500-1	500-1
Int W crs HL-LFR and 10 mi DME Fix or 020° brng to PFI	Int 079° brng to LOM and 020° brng to PFI or 9.0 mi DME Fix	Direct	3000	A-dn	800-2	800-2	800-2
Breakers VHF Int	Int 079° brng to LOM and 10 mi DME fix or 021° brng to PFI	Direct	2000				
Int 079° brng to LOM and 10 mi DME fix or 021° brng to PFI	LOM (Final)	Direct	2000				
Int 079° brng to LOM and 7.9 mi DME fix or 020° brng to PFI	LOM (Final)	Direct	2000				

Procedure turn South side of crs, 259° Outbnd, 079° Inbnd, 3600' within 10 miles. Procedure turn not required with radar transition from Southgate Int. Radar transition authorized at 2000'.

Minimum altitude over facility on final approach crs, 2000'. Crs and distance, facility to airport, 079°—5.9 mi.

If visual contact not established upon descent to authorized landing minimums or if landing not accomplished within 5.9 mi of LOM, make right turn, climbing to 2000' and proceed via S crs HL-LFR to Southgate LF Int.

CAUTION: (1) Rwy 8 at HNL airport equipped with hi-intensity lights. Do not confuse with closed Rwy 7 at Hickam. (2) Terrain rises sharply on N side final approach crs; within 2.2 mi 1000', 4.1 mi 2566', 5.4 mi 3098'.

\*Circling north of airport not authorized because of terrain 385', 1.5 mi north and 524' 2 mi NE.

City, Honolulu; State, Hawaii; Airport Name, International; Elev., 10'; Fac. Class., LOM; Ident., HN; Procedure No. 1, Amdt. 2; Eff. Date, 25 Feb. 61; Sup. Amdt. No. 1; Dated, 10 Dec. 60

				T-dn	300-1	300-1	
				C-d	700-1	700-1	
				C-n	700-1 1/2	700-1 1/2	
				A-dn	800-2	800-2	

Procedure turn N side of crs, 205° outbnd, 025° inbnd, 2800' within 10 miles.

Minimum altitude over facility on final approach crs, 1900'.

Facility on airport.

If visual contact not established upon descent to authorized landing minimums or if landing not accomplished within 0.0 mile, make a climbing right turn to 2800' and hold SW of the London BMH at 2800' within 10 miles.

MAJOR CHANGE: Deletes transition from Corbin VAR.

City, London; State, Ky.; Airport Name, London; Elev., 1201'; Fac. Class., BMH; Ident., LOZ; Procedure No. 1, Amdt. 1; Eff. Date, 25 Feb. 61; Sup. Amdt. No. Orig.; Dated, 24 Aug. 57

Lubbock VOR	LOM	Direct	4500	T-dn	300-1	300-1	200-1/2
Lubbock LFR	LOM	Direct	4500	C-dn	400-1	500-1	500-1 1/2
Int R-018 LBB and brg 169° to LOM	LOM	Direct	4500	S-dn-17	400-1	400-1	400-1
Int E crs LX LFR and brg 349° to LOM	LOM	Direct	4500	A-dn	800-2	800-2	800-2
Int R-114 LBB and brg 349° to LOM	LOM	Direct	4500				

Procedure turn E side N crs, 349° Outbnd, 169° Inbnd, 4500' within 10 mi. Beyond 10 mi NA.

Minimum altitude over facility on final approach crs, 4300'.

Crs and distance, facility to airport, 169°—3.8 mi.

If visual contact not established upon descent to authorized landing minimums or if landing not accomplished within 3.8 miles after passing LOM, climb to 5100' on crs 169° within 20 miles or, when directed by ATC, turn left, climb to 4500' on E crs of LX LFR within 20 miles.

CAUTION: 4085' MSL tower 7.5 miles S of airport on missed approach.

MAJOR CHANGE: Deletes transition from Roundup FM.

City, Lubbock; State, Tex.; Airport Name, Municipal; Elev., 3256'; Fac. Class., LOM; Ident., LB; Procedure No. 1, Amdt. 6; Eff. Date, 25 Feb. 61; Sup. Amdt. No. 5; Dated, 3 Jan. 59

Midland VOR	LMM	Direct	4200	T-dn	300-1	300-1	#200-1/2
Midland LFR	LMM	Direct	4000	C-dn	400-1	500-1	500-1 1/2
				S-dn-4	400-1	400-1	400-1
				A-dn	800-2	800-2	800-2

Procedure turn South side of crs, 223° Outbnd, 043° Inbnd, 4400' within 10 miles. Beyond 10 mi NA.

Minimum altitude over facility on final approach crs, 3300'.

Crs and distance, facility to airport, 043°—0.6 mi.

If visual contact not established upon descent to authorized landing minimums or if landing not accomplished within 0.6 miles after passing LMM, climb to 4400' on crs of 043° within 20 miles.

#300-1 required on Runways 16L and 34R.

\*If outer marker not received, minimum altitude over LMM 3900', and straight-in minima not authorized.

City, Midland; State, Tex.; Airport Name, Air Terminal; Elev., 2867'; Fac. Class., LMM; Ident., AF; Procedure No 1, Amdt. 10; Eff. Date, 25 Feb. 61; Sup. Amdt. No. 9; Dated, 25 Oct. 58

Minneapolis LFR	LOM	Direct	2200	T-dn	300-1	300-1	200-1/2
Minneapolis VOR	LOM	Direct	2500	C-dn	500-1	500-1	500-1 1/2
Farmington VOR	Island Int*	Direct	2200	S-dn-29L	400-1	400-1	400-1
Island Int	LOM (Final)	Direct	2000	A-dn	800-2	800-2	800-2
Prior Int	LOM	Direct	2200				
St Paul Int	LOM	Direct	2500				
Farmington VOR	LOM	Direct	2200				
Radar transitions as directed by ATC	Radar site	Within 20 mi	2500				

Procedure turn East side of crs, 115° Outbnd, 295° Inbnd, 2200' within 10 miles.

Minimum altitude over facility on final approach crs, 1700'.

Crs and distance, facility to airport, 295°—4.0 mi.

If visual contact not established upon descent to authorized landing minimums or if landing not accomplished within 4.0 miles of LOM, climb to 2500' on crs of 295° to Int.

1. Make left climbing turn, climb to 2500' on crs of 241° within 22 miles.

2. Make left climbing turn, climb to 2200 feet and return to LOM.

CAUTION: Tower 1223' MSL 6 miles Southeast of Outer Marker (LOM-MS).

MAJOR CHANGES: Deletes transitions from Houston Int, White Bear Int, Elmo Int, and Stanton RBn.

\*Island Int: R-035 Farmington VOR and 115° brng from MS LOM.

City, Minneapolis; State, Minn.; Airport Name, Minneapolis-St. Paul International (Wold-Chamberlain); Elev., 840'; Fac. Class., LOM; Ident., MS; Procedure No. 1, Amdt. 3; Eff. Date, 25 Feb. 61; Sup. Amdt. No. 2; Dated, 20 Feb. 60

RULES AND REGULATIONS

3. The very high frequency omnirange (VOR) procedures prescribed in § 609.100(c) are amended to read in part:

VOR STANDARD INSTRUMENT APPROACH PROCEDURE

Bearings, headings, courses and radials are magnetic. Elevations and altitudes are in feet MSL. Ceilings are in feet above airport elevation. Distances are in nautical miles unless otherwise indicated, except visibilities which are in statute miles.

If an instrument approach procedure of the above type is conducted at the below named airport, it shall be in accordance with the following instrument approach procedure, unless an approach is conducted in accordance with a different procedure for such airport authorized by the Administrator of the Federal Aviation Agency. Initial approaches shall be made over specified routes. Minimum altitudes shall correspond with those established for en route operation in the particular area or as set forth below.

Transition				Ceiling and visibility minimums			
From—	To—	Course and distance	Minimum altitude (feet)	Condition	2-engine or less		More than 2-engine, more than 65 knots
					65 knots or less	More than 65 knots	
				T-dn.....	300-1	300-1	200-1/2
				C-dn.....	500-1	500-1	500-1 1/2
				A-dn.....	800-2	800-2	800-2

Procedure turn South side of crs, 261° Outbnd, 081° Inbnd, 1600' within 10 miles. Beyond 10 miles NA.  
 Minimum altitude over AH LFR-Z Marker on final approach crs, 1000'.  
 Crs and distance, AH LFR-Z Marker to Airport, 081°-3.4 mi.  
 If visual contact not established upon descent to authorized landing minimums or if landing not accomplished within 0 mile of ALI-VOR, turn right, climb to 1600' on R-250 within 10 miles of VOR.  
 \*If AH LFR-Z Marker not identified on Final, descent below 1000' not authorized.

City, Alice; State, Tex.; Airport Name, Alice-Jim Wells Co. Municipal; Elev., 178'; Fac. Class., BVOR; Ident., ALI; Procedure No. 1, Amdt. 2; Eff. Date, 25 Feb. 61; Sup. Amdt. No. 1; Dated, 7 Nov. 59

Anderson RBN.....	Anderson VOR.....	Direct.....	2000	T-dn.....	300-1	300-1	
Royston VOR.....	AND-VOR (Final).....	Direct.....	2000	C-dn.....	400-1	500-1	
Pelzer Int.....	AND-VOR.....	Direct.....	2200	S-dn-5.....	400-1	400-1	
				A-dn.....	800-2	800-2	

Procedure turn South side of crs, 219° Outbnd, 039° Inbnd, 2000' within 10 miles. Beyond 10 miles NA.  
 Minimum altitude over facility on final approach crs, 1800'.  
 Crs and distance, facility to airport, 039°-5.5 mi.  
 If visual contact not established upon descent to authorized landing minimums or if landing not accomplished within 5.5 miles, climb to 2200' on R-039 AND-VOR, make left turn, returning to AND-VOR via R-039.

City, Anderson; State, S. C.; Airport Name, Anderson Municipal; Elev., 783'; Fac. Class., BVOR; Ident., AND; Procedure No. 1, Amdt. Orig.; Eff. Date, 25 Feb. 61

Austin RBN.....	AUS-VOR.....	Direct.....	2000	T-dn.....	300-1	300-1	*300-1
3 mi DME fix R-355.....	AUS-VOR (Final).....	Direct.....	1600	C-dn.....	400-1	500-1	500-1 1/2
				S-dn-16R.....	400-1	400-1	400-1
				A-dn.....	800-2	800-2	800-2

Radar terminal area maneuvering altitude within 20 mi and clockwise around AUS Radar antenna site: 345° to 215°-2000'; 215° to 345°-2500'.  
 Radar control must provide 3 mi or 1000' vertical separation; or 3 to 5 mi and 500' vertical separation from radio towers 1680' msl 23 mi WNW, 2049' msl 9 mi NW and 1054' msl 14 mi N.

Procedure turn W side crs, 355° Outbnd, 175° Inbnd, 2000' within 10 mi. Beyond 10 mi NA.  
 Minimum altitude over facility on final approach crs, 1700'; AUS FM or DME fix 2.4 on R-175 AUS VOR 1300'.\*\*  
 Crs and distance, facility to airport, 175°-4.9 mi VOR to airport; 175°-2.5 mi from 2.4 DME fix to airport.  
 If visual contact not established upon descent to authorized landing minimums or if landing not accomplished within 4.9 mi, turn right, climb to 3000' on R-189 within 15 mi or, when directed by ATC, turn left, climb to 2000' on R-125 within 20 mi.  
 CAUTION: Tank 855' MSL 1.2 mi W final approach crs 2.3 mi NW of airport.  
 \*200-1/2 authorized on Runways 16R, 34L, 12R, and 30L only.  
 \*\*Descent below 1300' authorized only after passing AUS FM, DME fix 2.4 on R-175.

City, Austin; State, Tex.; Airport Name, Mueller; Elev., 631'; Fac. Class., BVORTAC; Ident., AUS; Procedure No. 1, Amdt. 10; Eff. Date, 25 Feb. 61; Sup. Amdt. No. 9; Dated, 29 Aug. 59

				T-dn.....	300-1	300-1	200-1 1/2
				C-dn.....	600-1	600-1	600-1 1/2
				C-n.....	600-2	600-2	600-2
				S-dn-17.....	600-1	600-1	600-1
				A-dn#.....	800-2	800-2	800-2

Procedure turn West side of crs, 346° Outbnd, 166° Inbnd, 2100' within 10 mi. Beyond 10 mi NA.  
 Minimum altitude over facility on final approach crs, 1700'.  
 Crs and distance, facility to airport, 166°-4.1 mi.  
 If visual contact not established upon descent to authorized landing minimums or if landing not accomplished within 4.1 mi, make immediate right turn and climb to 2100'.  
 Return to BVO-VOR and contact ARTC.

NOTES: (1) Public weather service not available. (2) All pilots using this procedure requested to close IFR flight plans immediately upon completion of approach with Tulsa Radio. Trans 122.1 Rec 122.2 or BVO Radio or commercial facilities.  
 AIR CARRIER NOTE: Runway 5-23 Not Authorized for air carrier operations. No reduction in landing minima authorized by application of sliding scale, or for local weather conditions. No reduction into or landing minima authorized for cargo or ferry flights. Procedure may be authorized only for carriers having approval of their requirements for weather service at this airport.  
 #Alternate usage authorized for Air Carrier only.

City, Bartlesville; State, Okla.; Airport Name, Phillips; Elev., 715'; Fac. Class., BVOR; Ident., BVO; Procedure No. 1, Amdt. 1; Eff. Date, 25 Feb. 61; Sup. Amdt. No. Orig.; Dated, 11 July 59

				T-dn.....	300-1	300-1	#200-1 1/2
				C-dn.....	600-1 1/2	700-1 1/2	700-2
				A-dn.....	800-2	800-2	800-2

Procedure turn E side of crs, 151° Outbnd, 331° Inbnd, 2500' within 10 mi.  
 Minimum altitude over facility on final approach crs, 2000'.  
 Crs and distance, facility to airport, 331°-4.9 mi.  
 If visual contact not established upon descent to authorized landing minimums or if landing not accomplished within 4.9 mi, turn right, climb to 3000' on OHA-VOR R-400 within 15 miles or, when directed by ATC, turn right and return to OHA-VOR at 2500'.  
 #Takeoff on Runways 14-32 with less than 300-1 NA.

City, Chattanooga; State, Tenn.; Airport Name, Lovell Field; Elev., 682'; Fac. Class., BVORTAC; Ident., OHA; Procedure No. 1, Amdt. 2; Eff. Date, 25 Feb. 61; Sup. Amdt. No. 1; Dated, 29 Jan. 61

VOR STANDARD INSTRUMENT APPROACH PROCEDURE—Continued

Transition		Course and distance	Minimum altitude (feet)	Ceiling and visibility minimums			
From—	To—			Condition	2-engine or less		More than 2-engine, more than 65 knots
					65 knots or less	More than 65 knots	
CP LFR.....	CRP VOR.....	Direct.....	1400	T-dn..... C-dn..... S-dn..... A-dn.....	300-1 700-1 700-1 800-2	300-1 700-1 700-1 800-2	200-1/2 700-1 1/2 700-1 800-2

Radar terminal transition altitude 1400' within 20 miles. Radar control will provide 1000' vertical clearance within a 3-mile radius, or 500' vertical clearance within a 3- to 4-mile (inclusive) radius of tower 792' MSL 6 miles West of airport.  
 Procedure turn W side of crs, 011° Outbnd, 191° Inbnd, 1400' within 10 mi. Beyond 10 mi NA.  
 Minimum altitude over facility on final approach crs, 1100'.  
 Crs and distance, facility to airport, 191°—7.9 mi.  
 If visual contact not established upon descent to authorized landing minimums or if landing not accomplished within 7.9 miles, turn right, climb to 1800' on R-227 CRP-VOR within 20 mi or, when directed by ATC, turn left, climb to 1500' on R-045 within 20 mi.  
 City, Corpus Christi; State, Tex.; Airport Name, International; Elev., 44'; Fac. Class., BVORTAC; Ident., CRP; Procedure No. 1, Amdt. 3; Eff. Date, 25 Feb. 61; Sup. Amdt. No. 2; Dated, 19 Nov. 60

McDonald Pass FM.....	HLN-VOR.....	Direct.....	8500	T-d.....	500-2	500-2	500-2
Helena LFR.....	HLN-VOR.....	Direct.....	7500	T-n.....	800-2	800-2	800-2
				C-d.....	1500-2	1500-2	1500-2
				C-n.....	1500-3	1500-3	1500-3
				A-d.....	1500-2	1500-2	1500-2
				A-n.....	1500-3	1500-3	1500-3

Procedure turn S side of crs, 070° Outbnd, 250° Inbnd, 7500' within 10 miles. NA beyond 10 miles. Nonstandard due to terrain.  
 Minimum altitude over facility on final approach crs, 5700'.  
 Crs and distance, facility to airport, 253°—1.0 mi.  
 If visual contact not established upon descent to authorized landing minimums or if landing not accomplished within 1.0 mile after passing HLN-VOR, right climbing turn to 7500' on R-070 within 10 mi of HLN-VOR.  
 MAJOR CHANGE: Deletes transition from Winston FM.  
 City, Helena; State, Mont.; Airport Name, Helen County-City; Elev., 3882'; Fac. Class., BVOR; Ident., HLN; Procedure No. 1, Amdt. 1; Eff. Date, 25 Feb. 61; Sup. Amdt. No. Orig.; Dated, 12 Mar. 55

				T-dn.....	300-1	300-1	300-1
				C-dn.....	600-1	600-1	600-1 1/2
				S-dn.....	600-1	600-1	600-1 1/2
				A-dn.....	NA	NA	NA

Procedure turn West side of crs, 300° Outbnd, 120° Inbnd, 2300' within 10 mi.  
 Minimum altitude over facility on final approach crs, 1800'.  
 Crs and distance, facility to airport, 120°—5.5 mi.  
 If visual contact not established upon descent to authorized landing minimums or if landing not accomplished within 5.5 miles, climb to 2800' on crs 120° within 20 mi, turn north and return to FOD VOR.  
 SUBTITLE: Descend in Standard Two Minute Holding Pattern on FOD VOR 120° Inbnd.  
 CAUTION: 1773' msl radio tower located 3.7 miles SSW of airport.  
 City, Fort Dodge; State, Iowa; Airport Name, Municipal; Elev., 1160'; Fac. Class., BVOR; Ident., FOD; Procedure No. 1, Amdt. 1; Eff. Date, 25 Feb. 61; Sup. Amdt. No. Orig.; Dated, 14 Dec. 57

Int LAF R-312 and KNV R-037.....	LAF-VOR (Final).....	Direct.....	1800	T-dn.....	300-1	300-1	
				C-d.....	1000-1	1000-1	
				C-n.....	1000-2	1000-2	
				A-dn.....	1000-2	1000-2	
				Following minimums apply after passing R-019 EPT-VOR#:			
				C-d.....	600-1	600-1	
				C-n.....	600-1 1/2	600-1 1/2	
				A-dn.....	800-2	800-2	

Procedure turn West side of final approach crs, 323° Outbnd, 143° Inbnd, 2000' within 10 mi.  
 Minimum altitude over facility on final approach crs, 1800'; over EPT-VOR R-019, 1500'.  
 Crs and distance, facility to airport, 143°—10.4 mi; EPT-VOR R-019 to airport, 143°—3.4 mi.  
 If visual contact not established upon descent to authorized landing minimums or if landing not accomplished within 6.0 mi of LAF-VOR or 3.4 mi of EPT R-019, climb to 2300' on LAF-VOR R-143 within 20 miles.  
 AIR CARRIER NOTE: Use of sliding scale reduction in landing visibility, or reduction in takeoff minimums not authorized for night operations, or for day operations when visibility below 3/4 mi.  
 #Dual operating Omni receivers required for lower minimums.  
 City, Lafayette; State, Ind.; Airport Name, Purdue University; Elev., 607'; Fac. Class., BVOR; Ident., LAF; Procedure No. 1, Amdt. 4; Eff. Date, 25 Feb. 61; Sup. Amdt. No. 3; Dated, 18 July 59

				T-dn *.....	500-1	500-1	500-1
				C-d.....	600-1 1/2	600-1 1/2	600-1 1/2
				C-n.....	700-2	700-2	700-2
				A-dn.....	1000-2	1000-2	1000-2

Procedure turn East side of crs, 030° Outbnd, 210° Inbnd, 2200' within 10 miles.  
 Minimum altitude over Int LIH R-030 and 145° brng from Kilauea Point RBn, 700'.  
 Crs and distance, facility to airport, 328°—0.9 mi (not a flight track).  
 If visual contact not established upon descent to authorized landing minimums or if landing not accomplished three miles before reaching station or at the Intersection\*\* of the VOR 030 radial and the 145° brng from Kilauea Point RBn, make left turn climb to 3000' on R-030 within 20 miles, reverse course, climbing to 4000' over the VOR station.  
 AIR CARRIER NOTE: Sliding scale not authorized.  
 CAUTION: (1) Terrain 725' high, 1.3 mi NW and 780', 1.75 mi South of airport. (2) Circling to west not authorized.  
 \*Takeoff on Runway 21 restricted to 600-2 day, 700-2 night.  
 \*\*NSME 3-mile fix may be used in lieu of 145° brng from Kilauea on final approach course.  
 City, Lihue; State, Kauai; Airport Name, Lihue Airport; Elev., 148'; Fac. Class., BVOR-NSME; Ident., LIH; Procedure No. 1, Amdt. 4; Eff. Date, 25 Feb. 61; Sup. Amdt. No. 3; Dated, 15 Dec. 56

RULES AND REGULATIONS

VOR STANDARD INSTRUMENT APPROACH PROCEDURE—Continued

Transition				Ceiling and visibility minimums			
From—	To—	Course and distance	Minimum altitude (feet)	Condition	2-engine or less		More than 2-engine, more than 65 knots
					65 knots or less	More than 65 knots	
				T-dn.....	300-1	300-1	NA
				C-d.....	700-1	700-1	NA
				C-n.....	700-1½	700-1½	NA
				S-dn-5.....	400-1	400-1	NA
				A-dn.....	800-2	800-2	NA

Procedure turn S side of crs, 205° Outbnd, 025° Inbnd, 2800' within 10 miles.  
 Minimum altitude over facility on final approach crs, 2200'.  
 Crs and distance, facility to airport, 025°—3.4 mi.  
 If visual contact not established upon descent to authorized landing minimums or if landing not accomplished within 3.4 miles, make a right climbing turn and return to London VOR at 2800'.  
 City, London; State, Ky.; Airport Name, London; Elev., 1201'; Fac. Class., BVORTAC; Ident., LOZ; Procedure No. 1, Amdt. 2; Eff. Date, 25 Feb. 61; Sup. Amdt. No. 1; Dated, 12 Sept. 58

Lubbock LFR.....	LBB-VOR.....	Direct.....	4500	T-dn.....	300-1	300-1	200-½
				C-dn.....	400-1	500-1	500-1½
				S-dn-12.....	400-1	400-1	400-1
				A-dn.....	800-2	800-2	800-2

Procedure turn S side of crs, 291° Outbnd, 111° Inbnd, 4500' within 10 miles. Beyond 10 mi NA.  
 Minimum altitude over facility on final approach crs, 4300'.  
 Crs and distance, facility to airport, 111°—4.6 mi.  
 If visual contact not established upon descent to authorized landing minimums or if landing not accomplished within 4.6 miles, climb to 4500 on R-111 within 20 miles.  
 MAJOR CHANGE: Deletes transition from Roundup FM.  
 City, Lubbock; State, Tex.; Airport Name, Lubbock; Elev., 3256'; Fac. Class., BVORTAC; Ident., LBB; Procedure No. 1, Amdt. 4; Eff. Date, 25 Feb. 61; Sup. Amdt. No. 8; Dated, 2 July 55

Lufkin, RBN.....	LFK-VOR.....	Direct.....	1600	T-dn.....	300-1	300-1	
				C-dn.....	400-1	500-1	
				S-dn-33.....	400-1	400-1	
				A-dn.....	800-2	800-2	

Procedure turn E side of crs, 140° Outbnd, 329° Inbnd, 1300' within 10 miles.  
 Minimum altitude over facility on final approach crs, 1300'.  
 Crs and distance, facility to airport, 329°—4.3 mi.  
 If visual contact not established upon descent to authorized landing minimums or if landing not accomplished within 4.3 miles, turn left, climb to 1700' on R-310 within 10 mi.  
 NOTE: Radio Tower 558' MSL 3 mi ENE of airport. 548' Radio Tower 3 mi SW of airport 3 mi W final approach crs. 731' Radio Tower 4.5 mi NE of airport.  
 City, Lufkin; State, Tex.; Airport Name, Angelina County; Elev., 290'; Fac. Class., BVOR; Ident., LFK; Procedure No. 1, Amdt. 4; Eff. Date, 25 Feb. 61; Sup. Amdt. No. 8; Dated, 14 Nov. 59

DAY-VOR.....	RSD-VOR.....	Direct.....	2300	T-dn.....	300-1	300-1	
TPC-RBN.....	RSD-VOR.....	Direct.....	2300	C-dn.....	700-1	700-1	
				A-dn.....	NA	NA	

Procedure turn North side of crs, 063° Outbnd, 243° Inbnd, 2300' within 10 mi.  
 Minimum altitude over facility on final approach crs, 2300'.  
 Crs and distance, facility to airport, 243°—5.9 mi.  
 If visual contact not established upon descent to authorized landing minimums or if landing not accomplished within 5.9 miles, make climbing left turn to 2300' and return to RSD-VOR.  
 CAUTION: No weather service available.  
 City, Sidney; State, Ohio; Airport Name, Willman; Elev., 1020'; Fac. Class., BVORTAC; Ident., RSD; Procedure No. 1, Amdt. Orig.; Eff. Date, 25 Feb. 61

Linden VOR.....	Stockton VOR.....	Direct.....	1500	T-dn.....	300-1	300-1	300-1
Stockton LFR.....	Stockton VOR.....	Direct.....	1500	C-dn.....	500-1	600-1	600-1½
				S-dn-29.....	400-1	400-1	400-1
				A-dn.....	800-2	800-2	800-2

Procedure turn South side of crs, 123° Outbnd, 303° Inbnd, 1500' within 10 mi.  
 Minimum altitude over facility on final approach crs, 1000'.  
 Crs and distance, facility to airport, 304°—4.0 mi.  
 If visual contact not established upon descent to authorized landing minimums or if landing not accomplished within 4.0 miles, make a left climbing turn and climb to 2000' on R-290 within 15 miles.  
 MAJOR CHANGES: Deletes Caution Note. Deletes restriction to takeoff on Runway 34.  
 City, Stockton; State, Calif.; Airport Name, Stockton; Elev., 27'; Fac. Class., VORTAC; Ident., SCK; Procedure No. 1, Amdt. 1; Eff. Date, 25 Feb. 61; Sup. Amdt. No. Orig.; Dated, 27 Aug. 59

4. The terminal very high frequency omnirange (TerVOR) procedures prescribed in § 609.200 are amended to read in part:

TERMINAL VOR STANDARD INSTRUMENT APPROACH PROCEDURE

Bearings, headings, courses and radials are magnetic. Elevations and altitudes are in feet MSL. Ceilings are in feet above airport elevation. Distances are in nautical miles unless otherwise indicated, except visibilities which are in statute miles.

If an instrument approach procedure of the above type is conducted at the below named airport, it shall be in accordance with the following instrument approach procedure, unless an approach is conducted in accordance with a different procedure for such airport authorized by the Administrator of the Federal Aviation Agency. Initial approaches shall be made over specified routes. Minimum altitudes shall correspond with those established for en route operation in the particular area or as set forth below.

Transition				Ceiling and visibility minimums			
From—	To—	Course and distance	Minimum altitude (feet)	Condition	2-engine or less		More than 2-engine, more than 65 knots
					65 knots or less	More than 65 knots	
Abram Int* or 4.6 mi radar fix	VOR (Final)	012-4.6	**1000	T-dn	300-1	300-1	200-1/2
				C-dn**	400-1	500-1	500-1 1/2
				S-dn-35**	400-1	400-1	400-1
				A-dn	800-2	800-2	800-2

Radar transition altitude within 20 mi radius of radar site 2000' MSL. Radar control must provide 3 mi or 1000' vertical separation; or 3 to 5 mi and 500' vertical separation from radio towers: 2349' MSL 15 mi SSE, 1743' MSL 12 mi WSW, 1221' MSL 6 mi N.

Procedure turn# W side of crs, 192° Outbnd, 012° Inbnd, 2000' within 10 mi.

Facility on airport.

Minimum altitude over facility on final approach crs, 1000'\*\*.

Crs and distance, breakoff point to approach end of Rnwy 35, 350°—0.92 mi.

If visual contact not established upon descent to authorized landing minimums or if landing not accomplished after passing VOR, turn left, climbing to 2000' on ACF R-310 within 20 mi or, when directed by ATC, turn left, climb to 2000' to Hurst Int via ADS R-230.

\*Int ACF-VOR R-192 and EWX-VOR R-115/Brng 090° to GPR RBn.

\*\*If Abram Int or 4.6 mile radar fix not received, descent below 1600' NA.

#Procedure turn nonstandard due obstruction.

City, Fort Worth; State, Tex.; Airport Name, Ft. Worth International (Amon Carter Field); Elev., 568'; Fac. Class., BVORTAC; Ident., ACF; Procedure No. TerVOR-35, Amdt. 3; Eff. Date, 25 Feb. 61; Sup. Amdt. No. 2; Dated, 29 Aug. 59

5. The instrument landing system procedures prescribed in § 609.400 are amended to read in part:

ILS STANDARD INSTRUMENT APPROACH PROCEDURE

Bearings, headings, courses and radials are magnetic. Elevations and altitudes are in feet, MSL. Ceilings are in feet above airport elevation. Distances are in nautical miles unless otherwise indicated, except visibilities which are in statute miles.

If an instrument approach procedure of the above type is conducted at the below named airport, it shall be in accordance with the following instrument approach procedure, unless an approach is conducted in accordance with a different procedure for such airport authorized by the Administrator of the Federal Aviation Agency. Initial approaches shall be made over specified routes. Minimum altitudes shall correspond with those established for en route operation in the particular area or as set forth below.

Transition				Ceiling and visibility minimums			
From—	To—	Course and distance	Minimum altitude (feet)	Condition	2-engine or less		More than 2-engine, more than 65 knots
					65 knots or less	More than 65 knots	
CRP-VOR	LOM	Direct	1400	T-dn	300-1	300-1	200-1/2
CP-LFR	LOM	Direct	1400	C-dn	400-1	500-1	500-1 1/2
Robstown Int.	LOM	Direct	1800	S-dn-13	200-1/2	200-1/2	200-1/2
Sinton Int.	LOM	Direct	1400	A-dn	600-2	600-2	600-2

Radar terminal transition altitude 1400' within 20 miles. Radar control will provide 1000' vertical clearance within a 3-mile radius, or 500' vertical clearance within a 3 to 6-mile (inclusive) radius of tower 792' MSL 6 miles West of airport.

Procedure turn W side of NW crs, 307° Outbnd, 127° Inbnd, 1800' within 10 mi. Beyond 10 mi NA.

Altitude of glide slope and distance to approach end of Rnwy at LOM, 1400'—4.8 mi; at LMM, 244'—0.5 mi.

If visual contact not established upon descent to authorized landing minimums or if landing not accomplished turn right, climb to 1800' on CRP-VOR R-227 within 20 miles or, when directed by ATC, turn left, climb to 1500' direct to CRP-VOR and proceed outbound on R-045 within 20 miles.

City, Corpus Christi; State, Tex.; Airport Name, International; Elev., 44'; Fac. Class., ILS; Ident., I-CRP; Procedure No. ILS-13, Amdt. 2; Eff. Date, 25 Feb. 61; Sup. Amdt. No. 1; Dated, 19 Nov. 60

IND VOR	LOM	Direct	2000	T-dn**	300-1	300-1	200-1/2
IL-LFR	LOM	Direct	2000	C-dn	400-1	500-1	500-1 1/2
Int E crs IL LFR and NE crs ILS	LOM	Direct	2000	S-dn-4**	200-1/2	200-1/2	200-1/2
Int IND-VOR R-205 and SW crs ILS	LOM (Final)	Direct	2000	A-dn	600-2	600-2	600-2
Shelbyville VOR via R-276	LOM	Direct	2300				

Radar transitions to final approach course authorized. Aircraft will be released for final approach without procedure turn on inbound approach course at least 3.0 miles from LOM. Information for Radar terminal area transition altitudes on Indianapolis Radar procedure.

Procedure turn S side SW crs, 224° Outbnd, 044° Inbnd, 2000' within 10 mi of LOM.

Minimum altitude at G.S. int Inbnd, 1900'.

Altitude of G.S. and distance to appr end of rny at OM 1900—3.9, at MM 980—0.5.

If visual contact not established upon descent to authorized landing minimums or if landing not accomplished climb to 3000' and proceed via the NE crs ILS to R-293 SHB-VOR, then via R-293 to SHB-VOR or, when directed by ATC, (1) climb to 3000' on NE crs ILS and proceed to Castleton Int; (2) make left turn, climb to 3000' and proceed direct to IND-VOR.

\*\*400-3/4 required when G.S. inoperative.

\*\*Runway Visual Range 2600' also authorized for takeoff and landing on Runway 4; provided that all components of the ILS, high-intensity runway lights, approach lights, condenser-discharge flashers, middle and outer compass locators and all related airborne equipment are operating satisfactorily. Descent below the authorized landing minimum altitude of 997' msl shall not be made unless visual contact with the approach lights has been established or the aircraft is clear of clouds.

City, Indianapolis; State, Ind.; Airport Name, Weir Cook; Elev., 797'; Fac. Class., ILS; Ident., I-IND; Procedure No. ILS-4, Amdt. 5; Eff. Date, 25 Feb. 61; Sup. Amdt. No. 4; Dated, 3 Dec. 60

RULES AND REGULATIONS

6. The radar procedures prescribed in § 609.500 are amended to read in part:

RADAR STANDARD INSTRUMENT APPROACH PROCEDURE

Bearings, headings, courses and radials are magnetic. Elevations and altitudes are in feet, MSL. Cellings are in feet above airport elevation. Distances are in nautical miles unless otherwise indicated, except visibilities which are in statute miles.

If a radar instrument approach is conducted at the below named airport, it shall be in accordance with the following instrument procedure, unless an approach is conducted in accordance with a different procedure for such airport authorized by the Administrator of the Federal Aviation Agency. Initial approaches shall be made over specified routes. Minimum altitude(s) shall correspond with those established for en route operation in the particular area or as set forth below. Positive identification must be established with the radar controller. From initial contact with radar to final authorized landing minimums, the instructions of the radar controller are mandatory except when (A) visual contact is established on final approach at or before descent to the authorized landing minimums, or (B) at pilot's discretion if it appears desirable to discontinue the approach, except when the radar controller may direct otherwise prior to final approach, a missed approach shall be executed as provided below when (A) communication on final approach is lost for more than 5 seconds during a precision approach, or for more than 30 seconds during a surveillance approach; (B) directed by radar controller; (C) visual contact is not established upon descent to authorized landing minimums; or (D) if landing is not accomplished.

Transition				Ceiling and visibility minimums			
From—	To—	Course and distance	Minimum altitude (feet)	Condition	2-engine or less		More than 2-engine, more than 65 knots
					65 knots or less	More than 65 knots	
				Precision approach			
				T-d.....	800-1	800-1	800-1
				T-n.....	1000-1	1000-1	1000-2
				C-d.....	800-1½	800-1½	800-1½
				C-n.....	1000-2	1000-2	1000-2
				S-dn-6L.....	400-1	400-1	400-1
				A-dn.....	1000-2	1000-2	1000-2
				Surveillance approach not authorized			

Instrument approach to be conducted in accordance with USN GCA standard instrument approach. Approach not authorized for civil aircraft when GCA approach is in progress for Andersen AFB.

City, Agana; State, Guam, M.I., Airport Name, Agana NAS; Elev., 280'; Fac. Class., Agana NAS; Ident., Radar; Procedure No. 1, Amdt. Orig.; Eff. Date, 25 Feb. 61

				Surveillance approach			
260.....	120.....	Within 20 mi.....	*2300	T-dn.....	300-1	300-1	200-½
120.....	260.....	Within 20 mi.....	2000	C-dn.....	400-1	500-1	500-½
				S-dn-All.....	400-1	400-1	400-1
				A-dn.....	800-2	800-2	800-2
				Precision approach			
				T-dn**.....	300-1	300-1	200-½
				C-dn.....	400-1	500-1	500-½
				S-dn-4**.....	200-½	200-½	200-½
				A-dn.....	800-2	800-2	800-2

Radar terminal area transition altitudes—all bearings are from the radar site with sector azimuths progressing clockwise. If visual contact not established upon descent to authorized landing minimums or if landing not accomplished—All Runways: Climb to 3000' and proceed via R-285 of Shelbyville to Shelbyville VOR or, when directed by ATC, (1) Climb to 3000' on NE crs ILS and proceed to Castleton Int; (2) Climb to 3000' and proceed direct to Ind-VOR.

\*2800' within 3 miles of two 1849' towers NE of airport; 2900' within 3 miles of 1852' tower E and NE of airport. \*\*Runway Visual Range 2600' also authorized for takeoff and landing on Runway 4; provided that all components of the PAR, high-intensity runway lights, approach lights condenser-discharge flashers, middle and outer compass locators and all related airborne equipment are operating satisfactorily. Descent below the authorized landing minimum altitude of 997' MSL shall not be made unless visual contact with the approach lights has been established or the aircraft is clear of clouds.

City, Indianapolis; State, Ind.; Airport Name, Weir Cook; Elev., 797'; Fac. Class., Weir Cook; Ident., Radar; Procedure No. 1, Amdt. 10; Eff. Date, 25 Feb. 61; Sup. Amdt. No. 9; Dated, 24 Dec. 60

RADAR STANDARD INSTRUMENT APPROACH PROCEDURE

Bearings, headings, courses and radials are magnetic. Elevations and altitudes are in feet, MSL. Cellings are in feet above airport elevation. Distances are in nautical miles unless otherwise indicated, except visibilities which are in statute miles.

If a radar instrument approach is conducted at the below named airport, it shall be in accordance with the following instrument procedure, unless an approach is conducted in accordance with a different procedure for such airport authorized by the Administrator of the Federal Aviation Agency. Initial approaches shall be made over specified routes. Minimum altitude(s) shall correspond with those established for en route operation in the particular area or as set forth below. Positive identification must be established with the radar controller. From initial contact with radar to final authorized landing minimums, the instructions of the radar controller are mandatory except when (A) visual contact is established on final approach at or before descent to the authorized landing minimums, or (B) at pilot's discretion if it appears desirable to discontinue the approach, except when the radar controller may direct otherwise prior to final approach, a missed approach shall be executed as provided below when (A) communication on final approach is lost for more than 5 seconds during a precision approach, or for more than 30 seconds during a surveillance approach; (B) directed by radar controller; (C) visual contact is not established upon descent to authorized landing minimums; or (D) if landing is not accomplished.

Radar terminal area maneuvering sectors and altitudes														Ceiling and visibility minimums			
From	To	Dist.	Alt.	Condition	2-engine or less		More than 2-engine, more than 65 knots										
															65 knots or less	More than 65 knots	
														Surveillance approach			
All sectors														T-dn.....	300-1	300-1	200-½
														C-dn.....	500-1	600-1	600-½
														S-dn-4, 22, 32, 35.....	500-1	500-1	500-1
														A-dn.....	800-2	800-2	800-2

\*Radar control will provide 1000' vertical clearance within a 3-mile radius of 500' vertical clearance within a 3- to 5-mile (inclusive) radius of tower 2215' MSL 14 miles WNW of airport. If visual contact not established upon descent to authorized landing minimums or if landing not accomplished—Proceed direct to the LIT-VOR or, when directed by LIT RAPCON, to the LT-LFR (N and NW-bnd aircraft right turns), climbing to 2000'. Contact ATC for further clearance.

City, Little Rock; State, Ark.; Airport Name, Adams Field; Elev., 257'; Fac. Class., Little Rock; Ident., Radar; Procedure No. 1, Amdt. Orig.; Eff. Date, 25 Feb. 61

RADAR STANDARD INSTRUMENT APPROACH PROCEDURE

Bearings, headings, courses and radials are magnetic. Elevations and altitudes are in feet, MSL. Ceilings are in feet above airport elevation. Distances are in nautical miles unless otherwise indicated, except visibilities which are in statute miles.

If a radar instrument approach is conducted at the below named airport, it shall be in accordance with the following instrument procedure, unless an approach is conducted in accordance with a different procedure for such airport authorized by the Administrator of the Federal Aviation Agency. Initial approaches shall be made over specified routes. Minimum altitude(s) shall correspond with those established for en route operation in the particular area or as set forth below. Positive identification must be established with the radar controller. From initial contact with radar to final authorized landing minimums, the instructions of the radar controller are mandatory except when (A) visual contact is established on final approach at or before descent to the authorized landing minimums, or (B) at pilot's discretion if it appears desirable to discontinue the approach, except when the radar controller may direct otherwise prior to final approach, a missed approach shall be executed as provided below when (A) communication on final approach is lost for more than 5 seconds during a precision approach, or for more than 30 seconds during a surveillance approach; (B) directed by radar controller; (C) visual contact is not established upon descent to authorized landing minimums; or (D) if landing is not accomplished.

Transition				Ceiling and visibility minimums					
From—	To—	Course and distance	Minimum altitude (feet)	Condition	2-engine or less		More than 2-engine, more than 65 knots		
					65 knots or less	More than 65 knots			
All sectors— 180° clockwise to 260°	Radar site	Within 25 mi.	*2500	Surveillance approach	Surveillance approach				
	Radar site	Within 16 mi.	2000		T-dn	300-1		300-1	200-1½
					C-dn	600-1		600-1	600-1½
					S-dn-1, 19, and 7R.	400-1		400-1	400-1
					S-dn-13	500-1		500-1	500-1
					S-dn-25L and 31.	600-1		600-1	600-1
					A-dn	800-2		800-2	800-2

If visual contact not established upon descent to authorized landing minimums or if landing not accomplished—Runway 1—climb to 2700' on N crs MW-LFR within 20 mi. Runway 19—Climb to 2000' on localizer crs to LOM. Runways 7R-13—right turn climb to 2000' and proceed to the LOM. Runways 25L-31—left turn climb to 2000' and proceed to LOM.

CAUTION: TV towers 1685' MSL 7.8 NM N of airport, 1735' MSL 9.2 NM N of airport, and 1746' MSL 15.2 NM N of airport.

\*3 mi lateral separation required from 1685' TV tower 7.8 NM N of airport, from 1735' TV tower 9.2 NM N of airport and from 1746' TV tower 15.2 NM N of airport.

City, Milwaukee; State, Wis.; Airport Name, General Mitchell; Elev., 703'; Fac. Class., Milwaukee; Ident., Radar; Procedure No. 1, Amdt. 4; Eff. Date, 25 Feb. 61; Sup. Amdt. No. 3; Dated, 12 Dec. 59

These procedures shall become effective on the dates specified therein.

(Secs. 313(a), 307(c), 72 Stat. 752, 749; 49 U.S.C. 1354(a), 1348(c))

Issued in Washington, D.C., on January 26, 1961.

GEORGE C. PRILL,  
Acting Director, Bureau of Flight Standards.

[F.R. Doc. 61-936; Filed, Feb. 15, 1961; 8:45 a.m.]

**Title 43—PUBLIC LANDS:  
INTERIOR**

Chapter I—Bureau of Land Management, Department of the Interior

**MORATORIUM ON APPLICATIONS AND PETITIONS FOR PUBLIC LANDS**

CROSS REFERENCE: For moratorium on applications and petitions for public lands, see F.R. Doc. 61-1443, Office of the Secretary, Department of the Interior, in the Notices section, *infra*.

as applicable to shell eggs only and not to frozen eggs. Accordingly, there is added to the said order, immediately after paragraph (c) thereof, the following:

NOTE: The Commodity Exchange Commission has interpreted this order as applicable to shell eggs only and not to frozen eggs.

Issued this 13th day of February 1961.

COMMODITY EXCHANGE  
COMMISSION,  
ORVILLE L. FREEMAN,  
Secretary of Agriculture, Chairman.

[F.R. Doc. 61-1403; Filed, Feb. 15, 1961; 8:52 a.m.]

**Title 17—COMMODITY AND  
SECURITIES EXCHANGES**

Chapter I—Commodity Exchange Authority (Including Commodity Exchange Commission), Department of Agriculture

**PART 150—ORDERS OF THE COMMODITY EXCHANGE COMMISSION**

Addition of Interpretive Note To Order Establishing Limits on Position and Daily Trading in Eggs for Future Delivery

The Commodity Exchange Commission has interpreted its order establishing limits on position and daily trading in eggs for future delivery (17 CFR 150.5)

**Title 15—COMMERCE AND  
FOREIGN TRADE**

Chapter II—National Bureau of Standards, Department of Commerce

**SUBCHAPTER B—STANDARD SAMPLES**

**PART 230—STANDARD SAMPLES AND REFERENCE STANDARDS ISSUED BY NATIONAL BUREAU OF STANDARDS**

Subpart B—Standard Samples and Reference Standards With Schedule of Weights and Fees

**DESCRIPTIVE LIST**

In accordance with the provisions of section 4 (a) and (c) of the Administra-

tive Procedure Act, it has been found that notice and hearing on these schedules of fees are unnecessary for the reason that such procedures, because of the nature of these rules, serve no useful purpose. These amendments are effective from the date of publication in the FEDERAL REGISTER.

In § 230.11 *Descriptive list*:

1. Paragraph (b) *Ores* is amended to add sample number 103a (Chrome Ore) to read as follows:

Sample No.	Name	Approximate weight of sample in grams	Price per sample
103a	Chrome ore.....	60	\$5.00

2. Paragraph (c) *Steel-making alloys* is amended by the revision of sample number 64b (Ferrochromium) to read as follows:

Sample No.	Name	Approximate weight of sample in grams	Price per sample
64b	Ferrochromium.....	100	\$5.00

3. Paragraph (p) *Standard rubbers and rubber compounding materials* is amended by the revision of sample number 386 (Styrene-butadiene, type 1500) to read as follows:

**RULES AND REGULATIONS**

Sample No.	Name	Approximate weight of sample in grams	Price per sample
386b	Styrene-butadiene, type 1500.	34,000	\$32.00

(Sec. 9, 31 Stat. 1450, as amended; 15 U.S.C. 277. Interprets or applies sec. 7, 70 Stat. 959; 15 U.S.C. 275a)

Dated: February 2, 1961.

A. V. ASTIN,  
Director.

[F.R. Doc. 61-1386; Filed, Feb. 15, 1961; 8:50 a.m.]

**Title 50—WILDLIFE AND FISHERIES**

**Chapter I—Bureau of Sport Fisheries and Wildlife, Fish and Wildlife Service, Department of the Interior**

**PART 33—SPORT FISHING**

**Big Lake National Wildlife Refuge, Arkansas**

The following special regulation is issued and is effective on date of publication in the FEDERAL REGISTER.

§ 33.5 Special regulations; sport fishing; for individual wildlife refuge areas.

**ARKANSAS**

**BIG LAKE NATIONAL WILDLIFE REFUGE**

Sport fishing on the Big Lake National Wildlife Refuge is permitted only in the areas designated by signs as open to fishing. This open area, comprising 3,500 acres or 35 percent of the total area of the refuge, is delineated on a map available at the refuge headquarters and from the office of the Regional Director, Bureau of Sport Fisheries and Wildlife, Peachtree-Seventh Building, Atlanta 23, Georgia. Sport fishing is subject to the following conditions:

(a) Species permitted to be taken: Black bass, striped bass, crappie, bream and other minor species permitted by State regulations.

(b) Open season: March 1, 1961, through October 31, 1961. Sunrise to sunset.

(c) Daily creel limits:

- Black bass, 8.
- Striped bass, 15.
- Bream, 20.
- Crappie, 15.

Other minor species as permitted by State regulations.

Total aggregate of all game fish shall not exceed 35 by any person during one day. No limitations on weight, size, etc.

(d) Methods of fishing:

1. Pole and line, rod and reel, artificial and live baits permitted.
2. Row boats, canoes, skiffs and boats with motors permitted.

(e) Other provisions:

1. The provisions of this special regulation supplement the regulations which govern fishing on wildlife refuge areas generally which are set forth in Title 50, Code of Federal Regulations, Part 33.

2. A Federal permit is not required to enter the public fishing area.

3. The provisions of this special regulation are effective to November 1, 1961.

WALTER A. GRESH,  
Regional Director, Bureau of Sport Fisheries and Wildlife.

FEBRUARY 7, 1961.

[F.R. Doc. 61-1363; Filed, Feb. 15, 1961; 8:47 a.m.]

**PART 33—SPORT FISHING**

**St. Marks National Wildlife Refuge, Florida**

The following special regulation is issued and is effective on date of publication in the FEDERAL REGISTER.

§ 33.5 Special regulations; sport fishing; for individual wildlife refuge areas.

**FLORIDA**

**ST. MARKS NATIONAL WILDLIFE REFUGE**

Sport fishing on the St. Marks National Wildlife Refuge, Florida, is permitted only on the areas designated by signs as open to fishing. This open area, comprising 44,000 acres or 80 percent of the total area of the refuge, is delineated on a map available at the refuge headquarters and from the office of the Regional Director, Bureau of Sport Fisheries and Wildlife, Peachtree-Seventh Building, Atlanta 23, Georgia. Sport fishing is subject to the following conditions:

(a) Species permitted to be taken: Largemouth bass, bream, pickerel and other minor species permitted by State regulations.

(b) Open season: St. Marks and Wakulla Units, May 1, 1961, through October 15, 1961. Certain described areas of the Panacea Unit, February 16, through October 15, 1961. The Panacea Unit shall be temporarily closed from April 1, 1961, through April 9, 1961. Fishing permitted one-half hour before sunrise until sunset on all units during respective open season.

(c) Daily creel limits:

- Largemouth bass, 10.
- Bream, 35.
- Pickerel, 15.

Other creel limits for minor species are as prescribed for State regulations.

(d) Methods of fishing:

1. Pole and line, rod and reel, artificial and live baits permitted.

2. Row boats, canoes and other floating devices without motors permitted. Boats must be removed from the refuge at the close of each day's legal fishing hours.

3. Trot lines as permitted by the State for sport fishing are allowed, except lines shall be taken up prior to closing hour of fishing daily.

(e) Other provisions:

1. The provisions of this special regulation supplement the regulations which govern fishing on wildlife refuge areas generally which are set forth in Title 50, Code of Federal Regulations, Part 33.

2. A Federal permit is not required to enter the public fishing area.

3. The provisions of this special regulation are effective to October 16, 1961.

WALTER A. GRESH,  
Regional Director, Bureau of Sport Fisheries and Wildlife.

FEBRUARY 7, 1961.

[F.R. Doc. 61-1364; Filed, Feb. 15, 1961; 8:47 a.m.]

**Title 47—TELECOMMUNICATION**

**Chapter I—Federal Communications Commission**

[Docket Nos. 13610-13613; FCC 61-182]

**PART 3—RADIO BROADCAST SERVICES**

**Table of Assignments, Television Broadcast Stations; Certain Cities in Pennsylvania, Delaware, New Jersey, and Maryland**

1. The Commission has under consideration the proposals outlined in its Memorandum Opinion and Order and Notice of Proposed Rule Making (FCC 60-734) adopted on June 23, 1960, and published in the FEDERAL REGISTER on June 30, 1960 (25 F.R. 6143). The proposals under consideration include those made in a petition filed by WHP, Inc. (RM-170) to assign Channel 21 to Harrisburg, Pennsylvania, by making other changes in the Table of Assignments, and a statement by Rossmoyne Corporation, Harrisburg, supporting the WHP proposal and also requesting the assignment of Channel 33 to Harrisburg. The proposals are outlined below:

City	WHP "Plan I"		WHP "Plan II"		Rossmoyne (supplemental to WHP "Plan I")	
	Delete	Add	Delete	Add	Delete	Add
Harrisburg, Pa.-----	55+	21+	55+	21+	-----	33+
Lancaster, Pa.-----	21+	55+	21+	33+	-----	-----
Dover, Del.-----	40	48	-----	-----	-----	-----
Wildwood, N.J.-----	48-	40	-----	-----	-----	-----
Reading, Pa.-----	-----	-----	33+	55+	33+	18
Baltimore, Md. <sup>1</sup> -----	-----	-----	18	66	*48+	*66+
State College, Pa.-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----
and either Williamsport, Pa.-----	36-	73	36-	73	-----	-----
or Williamsport, Pa.-----	36-	26+	36-	26+	-----	-----
Shinglehouse, Pa.-----	26+	60+	26+	60+	-----	-----

(With the exception of paragraphs 19 and 20, offsets are omitted in the ensuing material.)

<sup>1</sup> WHP and Rossmoyne suggested that since Baltimore would gain Channel 66 and lose Channel 18 under the proposals, the unused educational reservation might be shifted from Channel 24 to Channel 66 so that the permit on Channel 18 (WTLF) would go from 18 to 24 instead of to 66.

2. The proposals outlined above would affect the authorizations for Stations WLAN-TV, Channel 21 at Lancaster, Pennsylvania, WRAK-TV, Channel 36 at Williamsport, Pennsylvania, and WTLF, Channel 18 at Baltimore, Maryland. In view of this, the permittees of these stations were ordered to show cause in a related proceeding (Dockets 13611, 13612, and 13613) why their authorizations should not be modified to accomplish the changes requested in the proposals. By Order released June 7, 1960, the Commission designated the applications of Peoples Broadcasting Company (WLAN-TV) and WGAL, Inc. (WRAK-TV) for Oral Argument to determine whether further extensions of time within which to construct were warranted.

3. Comments were invited upon the various UHF channel changes set forth except for the assignment of Channel 55 to Reading and upon the following questions:

(a) Whether Channel 21, or Channel 33, or both, should be assigned to Harrisburg.

(b) If Channel 33 is to be removed from Reading, what channel could be used to replace it at that city, and, if none is available, whether the public interest would be served by the assignment of only one channel at that city;

(c) In the case of permittees supporting or opposing any of the channel assignment changes, what use they would make of the channels they seek to get or retain, including specific details as to the past and proposed construction and operation of their facilities.

4. Comments were filed by United Broadcasting Company of Eastern Maryland, Inc. (WTLF, Baltimore, Md.), Rossmoyne Corporation, (WDTV, Harrisburg, Pa.), Susquehanna Broadcasting Company (WSBA-TV, York, Pa.), Peoples Broadcasting Company (WLAN-TV, Lancaster, Pa.), WHP, Inc. (WHP-TV, Harrisburg, Pa.), Joint Council on Educational Television (JCET), and Triangle Publications, Inc. (WLYH-TV, Lebanon, Pa.). Reply comments were filed by WHP, Inc., Rossmoyne, Peoples, and Penn Traffic Company.

5. It may be helpful at the start to review briefly the purpose of the proposals made herein and the status of assignments in the pertinent communities. Petitioner seeks a lower UHF channel for the Channel 55 on which it presently operates Station WHP-TV at Harrisburg and Rossmoyne seeks a lower channel also for its station WDTV, also at Harrisburg, presently off the air. Likewise Susquehanna seeks a lower channel for its Station WSBA-TV at York which operates on Channel 43.

6. Harrisburg, Pa. is the 57th ranking metropolitan area market with a population of 292,241 persons.<sup>1</sup> It has assigned to it Channels 27, 55 and 71. WTPA is in operation on Channel 27, petitioner operates WHP-TV on Channel 55, and Rossmoyne holds a construction permit for Station WDTV on Channel 71. Reading is the 74th ranking market

and has a population of 255,740. It has been assigned Channels 33 and 61. There is no outstanding grant for Channel 33 and a construction permit for Station WHUM-TV on Channel 61. York is the 89th ranking market and has a population of 202,737. It has been assigned Channels 43 and 49. There is an outstanding permit for Station WNOW-TV on the latter and Station WSBA-TV operates on the former. Lancaster has been assigned Channels 8 and 21. WLAN-TV holds a construction permit for Channel 21 and WGAL-TV operates on Channel 8.

7. In support of its proposal to assign Channel 21 to Harrisburg in lieu of Channel 55, WHP states that, in addition to competing with WGAL-TV on Channel 8 in Lancaster, it also competes with WTPA on Channel 27 at Harrisburg, WLYH-TV on Channel 15 at Lebanon and WSBA-TV on Channel 43 at York, all of which are lower channels. It urges that the substitution requested would permit it to operate on a more competitive basis since it would help to overcome the technical deficiencies of the high-band UHF especially as regards the older all-channel UHF receivers in the area. WHP states that it has no objection to the addition of Channel 33 to Harrisburg but submits that the WHP proposal should not suffer as a result of the Channel 33 proposal. It supports this proposal even if no alternate channel can be found for Reading on the ground that Reading does not now have an operating UHF station and may not in the near future due to the fact that this city is within the reception area of three satisfactory VHF signals from Philadelphia. A method for assigning a second channel at Reading to replace Channel 33, in the event this channel is assigned to Harrisburg is suggested. This plan would assign Channel 55 to Bridgeport, Conn. instead of Channel 49 and would assign Channel 49 to Stamford, Conn. in lieu of Channel 27.<sup>2</sup> Finally, WHP urges that either plan would serve the public interest without any adverse effect of any permittee since those affected have not indicated any desire to operate their authorized facilities.

8. Rossmoyne supports the proposals to assign both Channels 21 and 33 to Harrisburg. It urges that the proposal would give the Harrisburg area the best available facilities for the development and growth of UHF; that they can be made in full conformance with the rules;

<sup>2</sup> The assignment of Channel 55 to Reading would have conflicted with a proposal for rule making (Docket 13476) looking toward the assignment of Channel 55 at Stamford. In view of the fact that there did not appear to be any reasonably feasible alternative to the Docket 13476 proposal we rejected the assignment of Channel 55 to Reading in our Notice in this proceeding. By Report and Order (Docket 13476) released November 7, 1960, Channel 55 was assigned to Stamford. The proposal of WHP to substitute Channel 55 for 33 at Reading would have required WHUM-TV to shift to Channel 55 and would in addition have required that a future station on Channel 55 in Bridgeport select a site about 3 miles out of the city in order to meet the 20 mile requirement from New Haven.

and that they should be adopted even though another channel cannot be found for Reading.<sup>3</sup> It submits that it is the intention of the present owners to return WDTV to the air if the lower channels become available and if there is adequate program material available to permit a sound operation.

9. Susquehanna urges that Channel 33 be assigned to Harrisburg but that Channel 21 be assigned to York by interchanging Channels 43 and 21 between York and Lancaster. It submits that neither Channel 33 at Reading or Channel 21 at Lancaster is in use; that experience shows that there is no need for additional UHF channels in Reading; and that the plan can be effected without any other changes in the Table. It argues that WSBA-TV has earned the right to the lower channel because of its pioneering in the UHF band and that it should be preferred over all other stations in the area.

10. United indicates that it has agreed to accept the assignment of Channel 24 in lieu of Channel 18 but that it opposes the assignment of Channel 66 in lieu of 18. JCET objects to the substitution of Channel 69 for 48 at State College, Pennsylvania, and Channel 66 for Channel 24 at Baltimore, Maryland, for the educational reservations in these communities. It contends that more effective coverage can be obtained on the lower channels and cites the findings of TASO in support of this view. With regard to State College, JCET points out that the terrain is rugged and this creates a problem for a station on the high channels. In Baltimore the presence of three VHF stations presents a deterrent for educational TV in that city but "it is hoped, however, that it may proceed soon and a station be built to use reserved Channel 24."

11. Triangle supports the proposal to delete Channel 33 from Reading and assign it to Harrisburg but opposes the proposal to shift Channel 21 from Lancaster to Harrisburg. Triangle requests that the Commission incorporate by reference and consider in this proceeding the Petition for Rule Making filed by WHP on July 14, 1960 (RM-128), and the Petition for Rule Making filed by Penn Traffic Company on April 1, 1960 (RM-172), which petitions request deintermixture of the central Pennsylvania area by making it all-UHF. Triangle urges that the proposals made in this proceeding are mere palliatives and will afford little relief in the basic quality of TV service in the Harrisburg area. Peoples opposes the deletion of Channel 21 from Lancaster, as well as the deletion of Channel 33 from Reading. It argues that the changes proposed in this proceeding for the substitution of low UHF

<sup>3</sup> Rossmoyne suggests that if the alternative for Williamsport is adopted, Channel 73 could be assigned to Reading in lieu of 33. This would require either a waiver of the separation rules or that sites be selected in Reading and Camden which would meet the required spacing for Channels 73 in Reading and Channel 80 in Camden (60 miles). It would also require the substitution of Channel 45 for Channel 59 at Wilmington, Delaware.

<sup>1</sup> 1950 U.S. Census.

channels for higher ones will not solve the problems of the stations involved but that rather the problem is one of allocation principles. It urges as does Triangle that such proposals are mere temporary ones not going to the heart of the problem which is the need for making the central Pennsylvania area all-UHF as proposed by others. Before proceeding on the presently proposed course Peoples urges that the Commission consider in one proceeding all the methods which have been suggested for stimulating television's growth in this populous and important area.

12. In reply comments Penn Traffic states that it supports the comments filed by others which would make the Harrisburg-Lancaster-York-Lebanon area all-UHF and would reassign Channel 8 to the Johnstown-Altoona and Philadelphia-Wilmington areas as proposed by it in its Plan 2 (RM-172). Rossmoyne states that it concurs in the request of Triangle to expand the proceeding at this time to consider the deletion of Channel 8 from Lancaster and the complete deintermixture of the central Pennsylvania area. Peoples contends that the comments of WHP and Rossmoyne make it clear that the proposed shift of Channels 21 and 33 to Harrisburg would not solve the basic inequality with the VHF station in Lancaster. Peoples argues that WGAL-TV, located in the heart of the central Pennsylvania area, provides a Grade A VHF service to Harrisburg, York, Lebanon, and Lancaster and thus makes it difficult for UHF stations in those cities. It urges therefore that the basic problem in this area is one of allocations whereas the proposed UHF changes have little impact on the allocations structure but at most are put forth on the basis of private equity. WHP submits that none of the other parties have directed their attention to the issue of what use will be made of the channels they seek to get or retain. It urges that Susquehanna, which seeks Channel 21 for itself, does not have local competition and has not alleged that it is losing money and as a result the public interest would better be served by assigning this channel to Harrisburg. It further urges that the contention of Peoples that the assignment of the lower channels would not help WHP-TV is without merit. WHP is of the opinion that it would in fact help reduce its losses and would in no way prejudice any ultimate solution which may be found. In reply to JCET, WHP points out that no applications have been filed for the educational reservations in State College or Baltimore; that the only activity there have been studies or planning; and that in any event a channel would remain in each city for educational purposes.

13. The Commission has carefully considered the comments filed in this proceeding and is of the opinion that it is in the public interest to adopt WHP "Plan I" (with the Shinglehouse alternative) and the Rossmoyne proposal (with the shift of the educational reservation in Baltimore from Channel 24 to Channel 66 and the assigning of Channel 24 to WTLF in Baltimore as stated in footnote 1 herein) and to delete Channel 71 in

Harrisburg. This would permit WHP-TV in Harrisburg to operate on a more competitive basis with other stations in the area, and would encourage the return to the air of WDTV in Harrisburg by assigning it a low-band UHF channel, thus bringing about more service to the Harrisburg market. This allocation would also be of convenience to the public by having UHF reception in the area on channels located close together in frequency (WTPA, Harrisburg, operates on Channel 27; WLYH-TV, Lebanon, operates on Channel 15; and WSBA-TV, York, operates on Channel 43). We are of the opinion that the public interest will be better served by assigning Channel 21 to WHP-TV in Harrisburg rather than to WSBA-TV in York in view of the sizes of the two markets and the more effective use of the available UHF channels which results from the decision adopted herein for both cities. In addition, it would appear that assigning Channel 33 to Harrisburg in lieu of Channel 71, a channel difference of 38, is of greater potential service to the public than assigning Channel 33 to York in lieu of either 43 or 49, which would result in channel differences of either 10 or 16. The Commission favors the encouragement of educational television, but in view of the public interest in making lower channels available to Harrisburg, and in view of the absence of steps to use the educational reservations in Baltimore and State College, we believe that the public interest would best be served by the suggested reallocation since we are retaining educational reservations in both of the latter cities.<sup>4</sup> We are not unmindful of the fact that this reallocation herein removes one channel from the city of Reading without replacement by another channel. However, no harm results in view of the fact that no UHF stations now operate there and that there is little likelihood of such operation in the near future because of the four VHF services received by that city (three VHF services from Philadelphia and one from Lancaster). Though the point of replacement of Channel 33 if it were removed from Reading was specifically mentioned as an item for comment in the Notice issued herein, no party suggested the need for such a replacement. If such a need should ever exist, steps may then be taken to fill it.

14. As indicated in footnote 1, page 1, of the Memorandum Opinion and Order and Notice of Proposed Rule Making herein, it is not the intention of the Commission to consider in this proceeding

<sup>4</sup> In RM-224 filed with the Commission on December 30, 1960, Pennsylvania State University requests an amendment of the rules so as to allocate VHF Channel 3 to State College, Pa., and reserve it for educational use. We do not here pass on that petition, but from its contents it appears that the University regards UHF as unsuitable to the State College area because of the terrain, because the area is VHF saturated and because there are no UHF receivers in the area and conversions highly unlikely. Hence they request the substitution of Channel 3 for Channel 48. The allocation proposed in the instant proceeding leaves State College with a UHF channel and RM-224 is of no significance herein.

pending petitions seeking changes in VHF assignments at Harrisburg and Lancaster. For this reason, the proposals of combining this proceeding with others for the purpose of considering complete deintermixture in the central Pennsylvania area are rejected.

15. In connection with this proceeding show cause orders were issued to Station WLAN-TV at Lancaster, WRAK-TV at Williamsport and WTLF at Baltimore (Docket Nos. 13611, 13612 and 13613). Station WLAN-TV objected to modification of its construction permit to specify operation on Channel 33 or 55 instead of Channel 21; and Station WRAK-TV agreed to modification of its construction permit to specify operation on Channel 26 rather than Channel 36, but objected to the substitution of Channel 73 for Channel 36. However, in view of the fact that the application for an extension of time to construct the facilities of WLAN-TV has been denied,<sup>5</sup> the question of modification need not be considered for that station. Because of this denial and forfeiture of the construction permit for WLAN-TV, substitution of Channel 55 for Channel 21 in Lancaster adversely affects no party. Furthermore, no affected city is deprived of a channel since a substitution is made in lieu of the deleted channel.

16. By action taken today, the Commission reconsidered its action denying the application of WGAL, Inc. to extend the time within which to construct WRAK-TV, Williamsport. As stated in paragraph 15 above, a show cause order has been issued to the permittee of this station, in response to which the permittee agreed to modification of its construction permit to specify operation on Channel 26 rather than Channel 36. In view of the fact that the proposed station is partially constructed, we propose to reassign Channel 26 from Shinglehouse to Williamsport with the expectation that the construction of WRAK-TV will be completed at an early date. Channel 26 at Shinglehouse will be replaced with Channel 60 as a result of this action. Because permittee consents to modification, no show cause order is necessary.

17. In response to the order to show cause mentioned in paragraph 15 above, United Broadcasting Company of Eastern Maryland, Inc., agreed to accept the assignment of Channel 24 in lieu of Channel 18. Inasmuch as WHP, Inc., has requested modification of its authorization to specify operation of Station WHP-TV on Channel 21 rather than on Channel 55, it becomes unnecessary to issue a show cause order, its request constituting consent to the modification. Similarly, no show cause order would have needed issuance to Rossmoyne for modification of its construction permit to specify operation on Channel 33 instead of Channel 71 since Rossmoyne requested such modification. No order of modification, however, should issue with regard to Rossmoyne since on December 28, 1960, consent was granted

<sup>5</sup> FCC 60-1394, released November 28, 1960. A petition for reconsideration of this action was dismissed by an Order adopted today.

by the Commission for voluntary assignment of the Rossmoyne construction permit to Hudson Broadcasting Corporation of Harrisburg, Pennsylvania (File No. BAPCT-295, Re WDTV), which assignment was consummated on December 31, 1960 (Rossmoyne having taken steps to merge with Hudson, the same individuals still to have the same percentage of control with regard to WDTV). By letter filed with the Commission on January 24, 1961, Hudson has adopted as its own the action of Rossmoyne requesting the channel change and has waived its right to a notice to show cause and a hearing under Section 316 of the Communications Act of 1934, as amended. An order of modification of the operation of Station WDTV should therefore be directed to Hudson and may be issued without a show cause order.

18. Authority for the adoption of the amendment proposed herein is contained in sections 4(i) and 303 of the Communications Act of 1934, as amended.

19. In view of the foregoing: *It is ordered*, That, effective March 17, 1961, the Table of Assignments, contained in § 3.606 of the Commission's rules and regulations, is amended, insofar as the communities named are concerned, to read as follows:

City	Channel assignments
Dover, Del.	48-
Baltimore, Md.	2+, 11-, 13+, 24+, *66, 72-
Wildwood, N.J.	40
Harrisburg, Pa.	21+, 27-, 33+
Lancaster, Pa.	8-, 55+
Reading, Pa.	64-
State College, Pa.	*69+
Williamsport, Pa.	26+
Shinglehouse, Pa.	60+

20. *It is further ordered*, That, effective March 17, 1961, pursuant to section 316(a) of the Communications Act of 1934, as amended, the outstanding authorization held by WHP, Inc. for Station WHP-TV is modified to specify operation in Harrisburg on Channel 21+ instead of Channel 55+, the outstanding authorization held by Hudson Broadcasting Corporation for Station WDTV is modified to specify operation in Harrisburg on Channel 33+, instead of Channel 71+, the outstanding authorization held by United Broadcasting Corporation of Eastern Maryland, Inc., for Station WTLF is modified to specify operation in Baltimore on Channel 24+ instead of Channel 18, and the outstanding authorization held by WGAL, Inc., for Station WRAK-TV is modified to specify operation in Williamsport on Channel 26+ instead of Channel 36-, the four modifications being subject to the following conditions:

(a) WHP, Inc., Hudson Broadcasting Corporation, United Broadcasting Company of Eastern Maryland, and WGAL, Inc., shall advise the Commission in writing by March 10, 1961, whether they accept the modifications of their authorizations for operation of Stations WHP-TV, WDTV, WTLF, and WRAK-TV, respectively;

(b) WHP, Inc., shall submit to the Commission by March 10, 1961, all necessary information for the preparation of modified authorization to operate on Channel 21+; and

(c) WHP-TV may continue to operate in accordance with its present authorization until it is ready to commence operation on the new frequency in accordance with the orders of modification herein; and shall submit in triplicate, proof-of-performance measurement data necessary to demonstrate compliance with the applicable technical performance requirements of the rules of the type normally required to be furnished in an application for a television license, at least ten days prior to the date on which it is desired to begin program operations, with the proviso that program operations of Station WHP-TV on Channel 21+ are not to be commenced until specifically authorized by the Commission after its evaluation and acceptance of such data.

21. *It is further ordered*, That, the following show cause order be dismissed: Order to Peoples Broadcasting Company to show cause why the construction permit of Station WLAN-TV, Lancaster, Pennsylvania, should not be modified to specify Channel 33 or Channel 55 instead of Channel 21 (Docket No. 13611).

(Sec. 4, 48 Stat. 1066, as amended; 47 U.S.C. 154. Interprets or applies sec. 303, 48 Stat. 1082, as amended; 47 U.S.C. 303)

Adopted: February 9, 1961.

Released: February 10, 1961.

FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS COMMISSION,  
[SEAL] BEN F. WAPLE,  
*Acting Secretary.*

[F.R. Doc. 61-1387; Filed, Feb. 15, 1961; 8:51 a.m.]

[Docket No. 13905; FCC 61-176]

**PART 3—RADIO BROADCAST SERVICES**

**Table of Assignments, Governing Television Broadcast Stations; Columbia, Camden, and Lancaster, S.C.**

1. The Commission has under consideration the proposal outlined in its Notice of Proposed Rule Making (FCC 60-1552) adopted on December 21, 1960, and published in the FEDERAL REGISTER on December 31, 1960 (25 F.R. 14049). The proposal is that made in a petition filed by Palmetto Radio Corporation (RM-202) to assign Channels 14 and 31 to Columbia, South Carolina, by making other changes in the Table of Assignments. This proposal is outlined below:

City	Channels	
	Present	Proposed
Columbia, S.C.	10-, *19+, 25-, 67+	10-, 14, 25-, *31-
Camden, S.C.	14	19+
Lancaster, S.C.	31-	67+

Petitioner also requested that it be ordered to show cause why its authorization should not be modified to specify operation on Channel 14 instead of Channel 67. In the Notice issued in this proceeding the Commission deferred action on the request for a show cause order until such time as it had reached a decision on the proposal to amend the rules.

2. Also before the Commission for consideration are the "Comments and Counterproposal of Palmetto Radio Corporation" filed after the issuance of the Notice herein. The counterproposal would assign Channel 31 to Columbia, South Carolina, by making the following change in the Table of Assignments:

City	Channels	
	Present	Proposed
Columbia, S.C.	10-, *19+, 25-, 67+	10-, 19+, 25-, *31-
Lancaster, S.C.	31-	67+

The counterproposal also requests that Palmetto be ordered to show cause why its authorization should not be modified to specify operation on Channel 19 instead of Channel 67. It will be noted that the counterproposal is simpler than the original proposal. We are giving consideration to both proposals at this time without the issuance of a further Notice of Proposed Rule Making.

3. As can be seen above, petitioner seeks a lower channel than Channel 67 on which it presently operates Station WNOK-TV at Columbia, S.C. The reason stated is that operation on a high-band UHF channel is beset with various difficulties. Although the disadvantages of high-band UHF television broadcasting have been somewhat resolved because of technological developments in recent years so that there appears to be less basis now than formerly for regarding low-band UHF channels as preferable to high-band UHF channels, it seems that the public interest and convenience would be served by the changes proposed since the only other operating station in Columbia is a VHF station, and as many as ten VHF stations serve at least part of the service area of Station WNOK-TV.

4. Contrary to the usual situation in which the lowest possible UHF channel is sought, petitioner in its counterproposal requests Channel 19 rather than Channel 14 (which it requested in its original petition), stating that the propagation and antenna advantages of the lower channel are outweighed by UHF tuner and converter problems. Since the filing of its petition, it has come to the attention of Palmetto through conversations with personnel of five stations operating on Channels 14 or 15 that those stations have experienced problems because some UHF tuners and converters do not tune all the way down to Channel 14 or Channel 15 without, at least, further adjustments. Members of the public who encounter this problem find difficulty in picking up the channel.

5. There are no operating stations on Channel 14 in Camden or Channel 31 in Lancaster (cities with populations of 6,769 and 7,998 respectively according to preliminary 1960 Census figures) and no applications for the use of these channels are pending. With regard to the four channels presently assigned to Columbia (the metropolitan area of which has a population of 257,961 according to preliminary 1960 Census figures), Station WIS-TV operates on Channel 10, Palmetto operates on Channel 67, and Channel 19 is not in use and no application for its use is pending. First Carolina Corporation has submitted an application (BPCT-2803) for the fourth channel assigned to Columbia, Channel 25. Palmetto feels that its difficulties on Channel 67 are such that it must have a lower channel and has therefore submitted an application for a construction permit (BPCT-2820) to change from Channel 67 to Channel 25 which it intends to withdraw if successful in the present proceeding. These mutually exclusive applications were on January 18, 1961, designated by the Commission for consolidated hearing (Dockets 13922 and 13923).

6. Palmetto Radio Corporation filed "Comments and Counterproposal" and reply comments urging adoption of the counterproposal. First Carolina Corporation filed comments which support the original proposal of Palmetto and the counterproposal if the latter does not cause any substantial delay through further proceedings. A letter from the General Manager of the South Carolina Educational Television Center states that the Center has no objection to the proposed change in the educational reservation in Columbia from Channel 19 to Channel 31. The only other document received, "Reply Comments of First Carolina Corporation," was accompanied by a "Petition for Leave to File Reply Comments" since the document was not timely filed. We find that the information contained therein is of an elaborative nature and that no sufficiently good reason for permitting the late filing was adduced. Therefore leave to file is denied.

7. The Commission has carefully considered the comments filed in this proceeding and is of the opinion that it is in the public interest to adopt petitioner's counterproposal and modify its license to specify operation on Channel 19 in lieu of Channel 67. This action would permit Station WNOK-TV in Columbia to operate on a more competitive basis with other stations serving all or part of its service area, resulting in benefits to the public. Adoption of this plan rather than the original proposal would also serve the public interest by avoiding tuner and converter difficulties encountered on some UHF sets with regard to Channel 14. In addition, the withdrawal by petitioner of its application for Channel 25 enhances the possibilities of having a third station serve the large Columbia market. There is no loss of service by the reallocation inasmuch as none of the channels (except Channel

67) affected is occupied by an operating station. The channel removed from Lancaster is replaced by another channel. An educational reservation remains in Columbia, and the change in the reservation from Channel 19 to Channel 31 has not been objected to. Since Palmetto has requested a modification of its authorization to specify operation of Station WNOK-TV on Channel 19 rather than on Channel 67, no show cause order need issue, its request constituting consent to the modification.

8. Authority for the adoption of the amendment proposed herein is contained in sections 4(i), 303, 307(b), and 316 of the Communications Act of 1934, as amended.

9. In view of the foregoing: *It is ordered*, That, effective March 17, 1961, the Table of Assignments, contained in Section 3.606 of the Commission's Rules and Regulations, is amended, insofar as the communities named are concerned, to read as follows:

City	Channel No.
Columbia, S.C.-----	10-, 19+, 25-, *31-
Lancaster, S.C.-----	67+

10. *It is further ordered*, That, effective March 17, 1961, pursuant to Section 316(a) of the Communications Act of 1934, as amended, the outstanding authorization held by Palmetto Radio Corporation for Station WNOK-TV is modified to specify operation in Columbia, South Carolina, on Channel 19+ instead of Channel 67+ subject to the following conditions:

(a) Palmetto Radio Corporation shall advise the Commission in writing by March 10, 1961, whether it accepts the modification of its authorization for operation of Station WNOK-TV;

(b) Palmetto Radio Corporation shall withdraw its application for a construction permit to change from Channel 67+ to Channel 25- in Columbia, South Carolina (BPCT-2820);

(c) Palmetto Radio Corporation shall submit to the Commission by March 10, 1961, all necessary information for the preparation of modified authorization to operate on Channel 19+; and

(d) Palmetto Radio Corporation may continue to operate Station WNOK-TV in accordance with its present authorization until it is ready to commence operation on the new frequency in accordance with the order of modification herein; and shall submit in triplicate proof-of-performance measurement data necessary to demonstrate compliance with the applicable technical performance requirements of the rules of the type normally required to be furnished in an application for a television license, at least ten days prior to the date on which it is desired to begin program operations, with the proviso that program operations of Station WNOK-TV on Channel 19+ are not to be commenced until specifically authorized by the Commission after its evaluation and acceptance of such data.

(Sec. 4, 48 Stat. 1066, as amended; 47 U.S.C. 154. Interprets or applies sec. 303, 48 Stat. 1082, as amended; 47 U.S.C. 303)

Adopted: February 8, 1961.

Released: February 13, 1961.

FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS  
COMMISSION,  
[SEAL] BEN F. WAPLE,  
Acting Secretary.

[F.R. Doc. 61-1388; Filed, Feb. 15, 1961; 8:51 a.m.]

PART 9—AVIATION SERVICES

Miscellaneous Amendments

The Commission, having under consideration, the amendment of Part 9—Aviation Services, to effect the editorial changes described below; and

It appearing that the Commission, by Report and Order, Docket 13083 released July 22, 1960, and Memorandum Opinion and Order, Docket 13083 released November 8, 1960, adopted interim technical standards which would govern, during the interim period until such time as rules are promulgated on a regular basis, the granting of applications for the use of microwave frequencies above 952 Mc for private communications systems, excluding broadcasters; and

It further appearing that the public interest would be served by amending Part 9 of the Commission's rules to conform to these technical standards in the manner herein ordered; and

It further appearing that in view of the editorial nature of the amendments herein ordered, issuance of a Notice of Proposed Rule Making under section 4(a) of the Administrative Procedure Act is unnecessary and would serve no useful purpose; and

It further appearing that the authority for issuance of this Order is contained in sections 4(i) and 303(r) of the Communications Act of 1934, as amended, and section 0.341(a) of the Commission's Statement of Delegations of Authority;

*It is ordered*, This 10th day of February 1961, that, effective February 13, 1961, Part 9 is amended as set forth below.

(Sec. 4, 48 Stat. 1066, as amended; 47 U.S.C. 154. Interprets or applies sec. 303, 48 Stat. 1082, as amended; 47 U.S.C. 303)

Released: February 13, 1961.

FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS  
COMMISSION,  
[SEAL] BEN F. WAPLE,  
Acting Secretary.

1. That portion of § 9.180(a) preceding subparagraph (1) is amended to read as follows:

§ 9.180 Frequency stability.

(a) Except for microwave stations, for which the frequency stability is specified in § 9.190, the carrier frequency of each station in the Aviation Services shall be maintained within the applicable following percentage of the assigned frequency:

2. Section 9.181(b) is amended by redesignating the present paragraph as (b) (1) and adding the following subparagraph (2):

§ 9.181 Types of emission.

(b) \* \* \*  
(2) Maximum bandwidths for microwave stations on frequencies above 952 Mc are set forth in § 9.190.

3. Add new § 9.190 as follows:

§ 9.190 Interim technical standards governing use of microwave frequencies.

The interim technical standards indicated in the table in this section shall govern, beginning July 20, 1961, the issuance of authorizations for private microwave systems using frequencies above 952 Mc. However, these standards shall not be applicable to transmitting equipment (including antennas) which were authorized to be operated on these frequencies prior to July 20, 1961, or for which an authorization is issued based on an application filed with the Commission prior to July 20, 1961. Such licensees of equipment and systems not subject to these interim technical standards, including their successors or assigns in business, will be permitted to utilize such equipment provided such operation does not result in harmful interference to another station or system which is conforming to these technical standards. In case of such harmful interference, such non-conforming licensee will be required to take whatever corrective measures are necessary to alleviate the interference.

Frequency band MC	Power watts <sup>1</sup>	Tolerance (percent)	Bandwidth <sup>2</sup>	Beamwidth <sup>3</sup>
952-960	30	0.0005	100 kc	20°
1536-1990	18	.02	8 Mc	10°
2116-2200	15	.02	(7)	10°
2450-2500 <sup>4</sup>	12	(9)	(5)	(9)
2500-2700	12	.02	4 Mc	10°
6525-6775 <sup>5</sup>	7	.02	25 Mc	7°
6775-6875	7	.02	10 Mc	5°
10,550-10,700 <sup>6</sup>	5	(9)	25 Mc	4°
12,200-12,700	5	.05	20 Mc	4°
Above 16,000	5	(9)	50 Mc	(9)

<sup>1</sup> Maximum rated power output of transmitter. Power in excess of that shown herein will be authorized only under exceptional circumstances based upon a factual showing of need. For pulsed systems average power shall be limited to the values shown, peak power shall not exceed five times this limit.

<sup>2</sup> Maximum bandwidth (necessary or occupied, whichever is greater) which will be authorized. Except for the band 2110-2200 Mc, consideration will be given, on a case-by-case basis, to requests for additional adjacent channels based upon a complete and specific factual showing of unique or unusual circumstances, apart from economic considerations, requiring such additional channels. In the band 952-960 Mc, bandwidths up to 500 kc may be authorized.

<sup>3</sup> Maximum beamwidth of major lobe between 0.5 power points in horizontal plane. Exceptions may be granted for stations in remote areas or until harmful interference is caused to other stations operating in accordance with these provisions.

<sup>4</sup> Subject to no protection from ISM equipment on 2450 Mc.

<sup>5</sup> To be specified in authorization.

<sup>6</sup> Limited to mobile operations and temporary service between fixed points.

<sup>7</sup> To be determined in Docket 13083.

[F.R. Doc. 61-1389; Filed, Feb. 15, 1961; 8:51 a.m.]

PART 9—AVIATION SERVICES  
PART 10—PUBLIC SAFETY RADIO SERVICES  
PART 16—LAND TRANSPORTATION RADIO SERVICES

Miscellaneous Amendments

The Commission having under consideration the desirability of making certain editorial changes in Parts 9, 10, and 16 of its rules and regulations; and

It appearing that the amendments adopted herein are editorial in nature, and, therefore, prior publication of Notice of Proposed Rule Making under the provisions of section 4 of the Administrative Procedure Act is unnecessary, and the amendments may become effective immediately; and

It further appearing that the amendments adopted herein are issued pursuant to authority contained in sections 4(i), (5) (d) (1), and 303(r) of the Communications Act of 1934, as amended, and section 0.341(a) of the Commission's Statement of Organization, Delegations of Authority and Other Information;

It is ordered, This 10th day of February 1961, that, effective February 13, 1961, Parts 9, 10, and 16 of the Commission's rules and regulations are amended as set forth below.

(Sec. 4, 48 Stat. 1066, as amended; 47 U.S.C. 154. Interprets or applies sec. 303, 48 Stat. 1082, as amended; 47 U.S.C. 303)

Released: February 13, 1961.

FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS COMMISSION,  
[SEAL] BEN F. WAPLE,  
Acting Secretary.

I. Part 9 is amended as follows:  
Subparagraphs (2) and (3) of § 9.914(d) are amended to delete obsolete references, as follows:

§ 9.914 Operator requirements.

(d) \* \* \*

(2) Except under the circumstances specified in paragraphs (a) and (b) of this section, and subject to the provisions of subparagraphs (4), (5), and (6) of this paragraph, only a person holding a commercial radio operator license or permit of any class issued by the Commission shall operate a land mobile station during the course of normal rendition of service when transmitting on frequencies below 25 Mc: *Provided, however,* That an unlicensed person, after being authorized to do so by the station licensee, may operate such a land mobile station during the course of normal rendition of service when transmitting on frequencies below 25 Mc while it is associated with and under the operational control of a base station of the same station licensee.

(3) Except under the circumstances specified in paragraphs (a) and (b) of this section, and subject to the provisions of subparagraphs (4), (5), and (6) of this paragraph, land stations shall be

operated when transmitting during the course of normal rendition of service by a person holding a commercial radio operator license or permit of any class, which licensed operator may permit other persons to transmit or to communicate over the facilities of the station in accordance with the terms of the station license: *Provided,* That the licensed operator shall remain in full control of and shall be fully responsible for the emission of that station and shall suspend the radiation of the transmitter immediately when there is a deviation from the terms of the station license: *And provided further,* That the person manipulating the telegraph key for the transmission by manual or semiautomatic means of telegraphy by any type of the Morse Code by such station shall hold a class of radiotelegraph operator's license which is valid for the operation of that station.

II. Part 10 is amended as follows: In order to reflect the fact that certain technical exemptions applicable to United States territories continue to apply to Hawaii, even though it is now a State, the text of paragraph (c) preceding the table in § 10.102; subparagraph (6) of § 10.104(b); and paragraph (d) of § 10.105 are amended to read as follows:

§ 10.102 Frequency stability.

(c) In lieu of meeting the requirements of paragraph (a) of this section for the frequency ranges shown below, transmitters authorized prior to August 1, 1958, and transmitters which are operationally integrated with existing radiocommunication systems authorized prior to August 1, 1958, may conform to the following frequency tolerances until not later than October 31, 1963, provided that authorized operation continues on the same frequency. In addition, transmitters of any radiocommunication system operated at locations wholly within the limits of one or more of the territories or possessions of the United States, or in Alaska, or Hawaii, and transmitters of a system authorized prior to August 1, 1958, which system is required to move to a different frequency due to the reallocation of frequencies previously assigned, may also conform to the following frequency tolerances until not later than October 31, 1963:

§ 10.104 Emission limitations.

(b) \* \* \*

(6) In lieu of meeting the requirements of subparagraph (2) of this paragraph, for all F3 emission, transmitters of any radiocommunication system authorized for operation in the 25 to 50 Mc or 152 to 162 Mc range and operated at locations wholly within the limits of one or more of the territories or possessions of the United States, or in Alaska or Hawaii, may be operated with a maximum authorized bandwidth of 40 kc and a

maximum frequency deviation of 15 kc, until not later than October 31, 1963.

**§ 10.105 Modulation requirements.**

\* \* \* \* \*

(d) For the frequency ranges 25 to 50 Mc and 150.8 to 162 Mc: Except as otherwise provided in this paragraph, each transmitter first authorized or installed after July 31, 1958, other than transmitters operationally integrated with existing radiocommunication systems authorized prior to August 1, 1958, and each transmitter operating after October 31, 1963, which is provided with a modulation limiter in accordance with the provisions of paragraph (c) of this section, also shall be provided with an audio low-pass filter. Such filter shall be installed between the modulation limiter and the modulated stage and shall meet the specifications contained in paragraph (g) of this section. The provisions of this paragraph do not apply until November 1, 1963, to transmitters of licensed radiocommunication systems authorized prior to August 1, 1958, and transmitters which are operationally integrated with existing radio systems so authorized, when such a system is required to move to a different frequency due to the reallocation of the frequency previously assigned, nor to transmitters of any radiocommunication system operated on frequencies in the range 25 to 50 Mc or 152 to 162 Mc at locations wholly within the limits of one or more of the territories or possessions of the United States, or Alaska or Hawaii.

III. Part 16 is amended as follows:

1. In order to reflect the fact of Hawaii's becoming a State, paragraph (b) of § 16.8; text of paragraph (c) preceding the table in § 16.102; subparagraphs (3) and (4) of paragraph (b) of § 16.104; and paragraph (d) of § 16.105 are amended to read as follows:

**§ 16.8 Policy governing the assignment of frequencies.**

\* \* \* \* \*

(b) In the States of Alaska and Hawaii, and in areas outside the continental limits of the United States and the waters adjacent thereto, frequencies above 150.8 Mc, listed elsewhere in this part as available for assignment to base stations or mobile stations in particular services, are further available for assignment to operational fixed stations in the same services on the condition that no harmful interference be caused to mobile service operations.

**§ 16.102 Frequency stability.**

\* \* \* \* \*

(c) In lieu of meeting the requirements of paragraph (a) of this section

for the frequency bands shown below, transmitters of stations authorized prior to November 1, 1963, for operation wholly within the limits of one or more of the territories or possessions of the United States or the States of Alaska or Hawaii, and transmitters operationally integrated with existing radiocommunication systems authorized prior to August 1, 1958, for operation in areas other than those indicated above, may conform to the following frequency tolerances until not later than October 31, 1963: *Provided*, That in areas other than the territories or possessions of the United States or the States of Alaska or Hawaii, either (1) the operation takes place on frequencies which were specifically assigned to stations of the respective systems prior to August 1, 1958 or (2) the operation takes place on frequencies which are directly substituted for specific frequencies in the same frequency range which were assigned to stations of the respective systems prior to August 1, 1958, and which are no longer available for assignment to the station or stations involved:

**§ 16.104 Emission limitations.**

\* \* \* \* \*

(b) \* \* \*

(3) In lieu of meeting the requirements of subparagraph (2) of this paragraph, transmitters authorized prior to November 1, 1963, to utilize type F3 emission for operation wholly within the limits of one or more of the territories or possessions of the United States or the States of Alaska or Hawaii on frequencies within the ranges 25-50 Mc and 152-162 Mc, and transmitters operationally integrated with existing radiocommunication systems authorized prior to August 1, 1958, to utilize type F3 emission for operation in areas other than those indicated above on frequencies within the range 25-42 Mc, may be operated with a maximum authorized bandwidth of 40 kc and a maximum frequency deviation of 15 kc until not later than October 31, 1963: *Provided*, That in areas other than the territories or possessions of the United States or the States of Alaska or Hawaii, the operation takes place on frequencies which were specifically assigned to stations of the respective systems prior to August 1, 1958. (Note special conditions contained in § 16.503(e).)

(4) In lieu of fully meeting the requirements of subparagraph (2) of this paragraph, transmitters of stations in areas other than the territories or possessions of the United States or the States of Alaska or Hawaii which are operationally integrated with existing

radiocommunication systems authorized prior to August 1, 1958, to utilize type F3 emission and to operate on frequencies within the range 42-50 Mc or 152-162 Mc may be operated with any resultant bandwidth not exceeding 40 kc but limited to a maximum frequency deviation of 5 kc until not later than October 31, 1963, provided either (i) that the operation takes place on frequencies which were specifically assigned to stations of the respective systems prior to August 1, 1958, or (ii) that the operation takes place on frequencies which are directly substituted for specific frequencies in the same frequency range which were assigned to stations of the respective systems prior to August 1, 1958, and which are no longer available for assignment to the station or stations involved.

**§ 16.105 Modulation requirements.**

\* \* \* \* \*

(d) Each transmitter which is operated on a frequency in the range 25 to 50 Mc, or 152 to 162 Mc, and which is provided with a modulation limiter in accordance with the provisions of paragraph (c) of this section shall also be equipped with an audio low-pass filter in accordance with the provisions of paragraph (g) of this section: *Provided*, That this requirement shall not apply until November 1, 1963, to transmitters of stations operated wholly within the limits of one or more of the territories or possessions of the United States or the States of Alaska or Hawaii; and in addition this requirement shall not apply until November 1, 1963, to transmitters which are operationally integrated with existing radiocommunications systems which were authorized prior to August 1, 1958, in those cases where either (1) the operation takes place on frequencies which were specifically assigned to stations of the respective systems prior to August 1, 1958, or (2) the operation takes place on frequencies which are directly substituted for specific frequencies in the same frequency range which were assigned to stations of the respective systems prior to August 1, 1958, and which are no longer available for assignment to the station or stations involved.

**§ 16.256 [Amendment]**

2. Since the amortization period allowed former licensees in the Highway Truck Radio Service expired March 15, 1960, delete paragraph (a) of § 16.256 and drop the designator (b) from paragraph (b).

[F.R. Doc. 61-1390; Filed, Feb. 15, 1961; 8:51 a.m.]

# Proposed Rule Making

## DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH, EDUCATION, AND WELFARE

Food and Drug Administration

[ 21 CFR Part 120 ]

### RESIDUES OF MALEIC HYDRAZIDE

#### Notice of Filing of Petition for Establishment of Tolerances

Pursuant to the provisions of the Federal Food, Drug, and Cosmetic Act (sec. 408(d)(1), 68 Stat. 512; 21 U.S.C. 346a(d)(1)), the following notice is issued:

A petition has been filed by United States Rubber Company, in care of Arthur, Dry, and Dole, 1230 Sixth Avenue, New York 20, New York, proposing the establishment of tolerances for residues of maleic hydrazide in or on cranberries at 20 parts per million and in or on peaches at 15 parts per million.

The analytical method proposed in the petition for determining residues of maleic hydrazide is a modification of the method by P. R. Wood, published in Analytical Chemistry, Volume 25, page 1879 (1953).

Dated: February 9, 1961.

[SEAL] ROBERT S. ROE,  
Director, Bureau of  
Biological and Physical Sciences.

[F.R. Doc. 61-1377; Filed, Feb. 15, 1961; 8:49 a.m.]

[ 21 CFR Part 121 ]

### FOOD ADDITIVES

#### Notice of Filing of Petition

Pursuant to the provisions of the Federal Food, Drug, and Cosmetic Act (sec. 409(b)(5), 72 Stat. 1786; 21 U.S.C. 348(b)(5)), notice is given that a petition has been filed by Mead Johnson and Company, St. Joseph and Pennsylvania Streets, Evansville 21, Indiana, proposing the issuance of a regulation permitting the safe use of polyethylene glycol 6000 as an ingredient of tablets for food use.

Dated: February 9, 1961.

[SEAL] J. K. KIRK,  
Assistant to the Commissioner  
of Food and Drugs.

[F.R. Doc. 61-1373; Filed, Feb. 15, 1961; 8:48 a.m.]

[ 21 CFR Part 121 ]

### FOOD ADDITIVES

#### Notice of Filing of Petition

Pursuant to the provisions of the Federal Food, Drug, and Cosmetic Act (sec. 409(b)(5), 72 Stat. 1786; 21 U.S.C. 348(b)(5)), notice is given that a petition

has been filed by Harry Miller Corporation, Fourth and Bristol Streets, Philadelphia 40, Pennsylvania, proposing the issuance of a regulation permitting the safe use of paraffin, polyethylene glycol 400 (10 percent dioleate), methyl oleate, stearic acid, and alcohol as components of defoamer formulations used in the manufacture of paper and paperboard for food packaging.

Dated: February 9, 1961.

[SEAL] J. K. KIRK,  
Assistant to the Commissioner  
of Food and Drugs.

[F.R. Doc. 61-1374; Filed, Feb. 15, 1961; 8:48 a.m.]

[ 21 CFR Part 121 ]

### FOOD ADDITIVES

#### Notice of Filing of Petition

Pursuant to the provisions of the Federal Food, Drug, and Cosmetic Act (sec. 409(b)(5), 72 Stat. 1786; 21 U.S.C. 348(b)(5)), notice is given that a petition has been filed by Monsanto Chemical Company, 812 Monsanto Avenue, Springfield 2, Massachusetts, proposing the issuance of a regulation permitting the safe use of styrenemethacrylic acid copolymer as an ingredient of coatings, and adhesives for paper and paperboard used in the packaging, processing, and holding of food.

Dated: February 9, 1961.

[SEAL] J. K. KIRK,  
Assistant to the Commissioner  
of Food and Drugs.

[F.R. Doc. 61-1375; Filed, Feb. 15, 1961; 8:49 a.m.]

[ 21 CFR Part 121 ]

### FOOD ADDITIVES

#### Notice of Filing of Petition

Pursuant to the provisions of the Federal Food, Drug, and Cosmetic Act (sec. 409(b)(5), 72 Stat. 1786; 21 U.S.C. 348(b)(5)), notice is given that a petition has been filed by Elanco Products Company, Division of Eli Lilly and Company, Indianapolis 6, Indiana, proposing the issuance of a regulation to provide for the safe use of tylosin tartrate in drinking water for chickens as an aid in the treatment of chronic respiratory disease in boilers and replacement flocks, or for the prevention of chronic respiratory disease at the time of vaccination or other stress.

Dated: February 9, 1961.

[SEAL] J. K. KIRK,  
Assistant to the Commissioner  
of Food and Drugs.

[F.R. Doc. 61-1376; Filed, Feb. 15, 1961; 8:49 a.m.]

## FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS COMMISSION

[Docket No. 13860; FCC 61-175]

[ 47 CFR Part 3 ]

### TABLE OF ASSIGNMENTS, TELEVISION BROADCAST STATIONS; CERTAIN CITIES IN NEBRASKA

#### Further Notice of Proposed Rule Making

1. On December 5, 1960, the Commission released a Notice of Proposed Rule Making (FCC 60-1426), in response to a petition of Bi-States Company, licensee of Stations KHOL-TV, Kearney, Nebraska, and KHPL-TV (a satellite of KHOL-TV), Hayes Center, Nebraska, looking toward the amendment of § 3.606 by allocating Channel 4+ to Superior Nebraska. Comments objecting to the proposed change were filed by the Nebraska Council for Educational Television, Inc., KTIV Television Company, licensee of KTIV-TV, Sioux City, Iowa, and Mia Enterprises, Inc., licensee of Radio Station KWBE, Beatrice, Nebraska. Reply comments were also filed by Transcontinent Television Corporation, licensee of WDAF-TV, Channel 4, Kansas City, Missouri. Nebraska Council for Educational Television in objecting to Bi-States' proposal also requested institution of rule making looking toward the following reservation of channels for educational use:

City	Channel No.	
	Present	Proposed
Kearney, Nebr. ....	-----	*4
Bassett, Nebr. ....	-----	*3
Albion, Nebr. ....	-----	*8
Alliance, Nebr. ....	13	*13
North Platte, Nebr. ....	9	*9

Mia's comments also suggested that Channel 4 be left available for use by Mia at Beatrice, Nebraska, and that Bi-States utilize Channel 9 at Beloit, Kansas rather than at Superior, Nebraska.

2. On January 6, 1961, Bi-States filed an additional request for rule making (RM-225) looking toward allocation of Channel 8+ to Albion, Nebraska, where they propose to operate another satellite of KHOL-TV. They also suggest that with the allocation of Channel 8 to Albion that the location of a transmitter site at Brookings, South Dakota, to which Channel 8 is also allocated, be at least 5 miles north of Brookings.

3. On January 13, 1961, the Commission issued an Order Extending Time For Filing Reply Comments in the Superior, Nebraska, proceeding (RM-199, Docket No. 13860) to February 17, 1961.

4. In view of the fact that the petitions in RM-199 and RM-225 and the request

PROPOSED RULE MAKING

for rule making contained in the comments of the Nebraska Council for Educational Television are in certain respects conflicting, the Commission is of the view that consolidation of these matters into one proceeding is appropriate. In order to afford interested parties an opportunity to submit their views and relevant data, the Commission is hereby instituting rule making inviting comments on the following proposed changes in the Television Table of Assignments.

	Bi-States Proposal	
	Present	Proposed
Superior, Nebr.....		4+
Albion, Nebr.....		8+
	NCET Proposal	
Kearney, Nebr.....		*4
Basset, Nebr.....		*3
Albion, Nebr.....		*8
Alliance, Nebr.....	13-	*13-
North Platte, Nebr.....	9+	*9+

5. Authority for the adoption of the amendment proposed herein is contained

in sections 4 (i) and (j), 303 and 307(b) of the Communications Act of 1934, as amended.

6. Pursuant to applicable procedures set out in § 1.213 of the Commission's rules, interested persons may file comments on or before March 13, 1961, and reply comments on or before March 27, 1961. In reaching its decision herein, the Commission will not be limited to consideration of comments of record, but will take into account all relevant information obtained in any manner from informed sources.

7. In accordance with the provisions of § 1.54 of the rules, an original and 14 copies of all written comments and statements shall be furnished to the Commission.

Adopted: February 8, 1961.

Released: February 13, 1961.

FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS  
COMMISSION,

[SEAL] BEN F. WAPLE,  
*Acting Secretary.*

[F.R. Doc. 61-1392; Filed, Feb. 15, 1961;  
8:51 a.m.]

# Notices

## FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS COMMISSION

[Docket No. 13948; FCC 61-167]

### HARFORD COUNTY BROADCASTING CO. (WAMD)

#### Order Designating Application for Hearing on Stated Issues

In re application of John L. Allen, tr/as Harford County Broadcasting Company (WAMD), Aberdeen, Maryland, has: 970 kc, 500 w, DA, Day, requests: 970 kc, 500 w, DA-2, U, Docket No. 13948, File No. BP-12529; for construction permit.

At a session of the Federal Communications Commission held at its offices in Washington, D.C., on the 8th day of February, 1961;

The Commission having under consideration the above-captioned and described application;

It appearing that, except as indicated by the issues specified below, the applicant is legally, technically, financially and otherwise qualified to construct and operate his instant proposal; and

It further appearing that the Commission, in a prehearing letter dated April 11, 1960, and incorporated herein by reference, notified the instant applicant, and any other known parties in interest, of the grounds and reasons for the Commission's inability to make a finding that a grant of the application would serve the public interest, convenience and necessity; and that a copy of the aforementioned letter is available for public inspection at the Commission's offices; and

It further appearing that a timely reply to the Commission's letter was filed by the applicant; and

It further appearing that, in the aforementioned letter, it was noted that the applicant has indicated that 73.3 percent of the population within the 4 mv/m nighttime contour will not receive service due to interference received; that the Commission is of the opinion that a question obtains as to whether, under § 3.24 (b) of the rules, this proposal represents an efficient utilization of the channel; and that the Commission is unable to make a determination in this matter on the basis of the information before it and is of the opinion that an evidentiary hearing is necessary to obtain complete information relative to the above-captioned proposal and the grounds in support of the applicant's belief that a grant of the application would serve the public interest; and

It further appearing that, in view of the foregoing, the Commission is of the opinion that the application must be designated for hearing on the issues specified below;

It is ordered, That, pursuant to section 309(e) of the Communications Act of

1934, as amended, the instant application is designated for hearing, at a time and place to be specified in a subsequent order, upon the following issues:

1. To determine the areas and populations which may be expected to gain or lose primary service from the operation of Station WAMD as proposed and the availability of other primary service to such areas and populations.

2. To determine whether, because of interference received, the proposed operation of WAMD would be consistent with § 3.24(b) of the Commission rules, and, if not, whether circumstances exist which would warrant a waiver of said section.

3. To determine, in the light of the evidence adduced pursuant to the foregoing issues, whether a grant of the instant application would serve the public interest, convenience and necessity.

It is further ordered, That, in the event of a grant of the instant application, the construction permit shall contain the condition that before program tests are authorized, the permittee shall submit antenna current distribution measurements to establish that the antenna towers have been top-loaded to produce the electrical characteristics of 87.5 degree towers as proposed.

It is further ordered, That, to avail himself of the opportunity to be heard, the applicant, pursuant to § 1.140 of the Commission rules, in person or by attorney, shall, within twenty (20) days of the mailing of this order, file with the Commission in triplicate, a written appearance stating an intention to appear on the date fixed for the hearing and present evidence on the issues specified in this order.

Released: February 13, 1961.

FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS  
COMMISSION,<sup>1</sup>

[SEAL] BEN F. WAPLE,  
Acting Secretary.

[F.R. Doc. 61-1394; Filed, Feb. 15, 1961;  
8:51 a.m.]

[Docket No. 13949; FCC 61-169]

### JEFFERSON COUNTY BROADCASTING CO.

#### Order Designating Application for Hearing on Stated Issues

In re application of Paul Metcalfe, Kenneth Dearstone and Ray Smith, d/b as the Jefferson County Broadcasting Company, Jefferson City, Tennessee, requests: 1480 kc, 500 w, Day, Docket No. 13949, File No. BP-12824; for construction permit.

At a session of the Federal Communications Commission held at its offices in

<sup>1</sup> Dissenting statement of Commissioner King filed as part of original document.

Washington, D.C., on the 8th day of February 1961;

The Commission having under consideration the above-captioned and described applications;

It appearing That, except as indicated by the issues specified below, the instant applicant is legally, technically, financially, and otherwise qualified to construct and operate the instant proposal; and

It further appearing that, the Commission, in a pre-hearing letter dated August 5, 1960, and incorporated herein by reference, notified the applicant, and any other known parties in interest, of the grounds and reasons for the Commission's inability to make a finding that a grant of the application would serve the public interest, convenience and necessity; and that a copy of the aforementioned letter is available for public inspection at the Commission's offices; and

It further appearing that the applicant filed a timely reply to the aforementioned letter, which reply has not however, entirely eliminated the grounds and reasons precluding a grant of the application and requiring an evidentiary hearing on the particular issues herein-after specified; and

It further appearing that, after consideration of the foregoing and the applicant's reply, the Commission is still unable to make the statutory finding that a grant of the application would serve the public interest, convenience, and necessity; and is of the opinion that the application must be designated for hearing on the issues specified below;

It is ordered, That, pursuant to section 309(e) of the Communications Act of 1934, as amended, the instant application is designated for hearing, at a time and place to be specified in a subsequent order, upon the following issues:

1. To determine the areas and populations which would receive primary service from instant proposal and the availability of other primary service to such areas and populations.

2. To determine whether the instant proposal of Jefferson County Broadcasting Company would cause objectionable interference to Station WMSJ, Sylva, North Carolina, or any other existing standard broadcast stations, and, if so, the nature and extent thereof, the areas and populations affected thereby, and the availability of other primary service to such areas and populations.

3. To determine, in the light of the evidence adduced pursuant to the foregoing issues, whether a grant of the instant application would serve the public interest, convenience and necessity.

It is further ordered, That WMSJ, Inc., licensee of Station WMSJ, Sylva, North Carolina, is made a party to the proceeding.

It is further ordered, That, to avail themselves of the opportunity to be

heard, the applicant and party respondent, pursuant to § 1.140 of the Commission rules, in person or by attorney, shall, within 20 days of the mailing of this order, file with the Commission in triplicate, a written appearance stating an intention to appear on the date fixed for the hearing and present evidence on the issues specified in this order.

Released: February 13, 1961.

FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS  
COMMISSION,

[SEAL] BEN F. WAPLE,  
Acting Secretary.

[F.R. Doc. 61-1395; Filed, Feb. 15, 1961;  
8:51 a.m.]

[Docket Nos. 13950, 13951; FCC 61-170]

### SANTA FE BROADCASTING CO. AND VALLEY BROADCASTERS

#### Order Designating Applications for Consolidated Hearing on Stated Issues

In re application of Jim H. Speck, tr/as Santa Fe Broadcasting Co., Santa Fe, New Mexico, requests: 970 kc, 1 kw, Day, Docket No. 13950, File No. BP-12411; E. Boyd Whitney and John Burroughs d/b as Valley Broadcasters, Espanola, New Mexico, requests: 970 kc, 1 kw, Day, Docket No. 13951, File No. BP-13008; for construction permits.

At a session of the Federal Communications Commission held at its offices in Washington, D.C., on the 8th day of February 1961:

The Commission having under consideration the above-captioned and described applications:

It appearing that, except as indicated by the issues specified below, each of the applicants is legally, technically, financially, and otherwise qualified to construct and operate its instant proposal; that the Santa Fe Broadcasting Co. is financially qualified but that Valley Broadcasters may not be financially qualified; and

It further appearing that by letter dated July 2, 1959, Radio Station KMIN, Grants, New Mexico, objected to a grant of the Santa Fe Broadcasting Co. application (File No. BP-12411) on the ground that the proposal would involve objectionable interference with KMIN and requested that KMIN be made party to any proceeding involving the subject application; and

It further appearing that, the Commission, in a prehearing letter dated July 19, 1960, and incorporated herein by reference, notified the instant applicants, and any other known parties in interest, of the grounds and reasons for the Commission's inability to make a finding that a grant of any one of the applications would serve the public interest, convenience, and necessity; and that a copy of the aforementioned letter is available for public inspection at the Commission's offices; and

It further appearing that the instant applicants filed timely replies to the aforementioned letter, which replies have not, however, entirely eliminated the grounds and reasons precluding a grant

of the said applications and requiring an evidentiary hearing on the particular issues hereinafter specified; and

It further appearing that, by amendment received on November 23, 1960, the applicant for Espanola, New Mexico changed its name from B & M Broadcasters, Incorporated, N.S.L., to E. Boyd Whitney and John Burroughs d/b as Valley Broadcasters (a partnership), Mr. Whitney having held a 60 percent interest in the former applicant and being a 50 percent partner at present; and that said transfer of control was approved by the Commission in BTC-3480 on October 20, 1960; and,

It further appearing that, Mr. Burroughs, of Valley Broadcasters, has submitted a verified statement, dated November 6, 1960, purporting to show that he has available either in cash or in readily saleable stocks, funds in excess of \$35,000, which would be adequate to meet the estimated initial cost of \$20,360, consisting of a down payment on equipment of \$5,000, building, \$4,000, miscellaneous expenses of \$2,360, and working capital of \$9,000; and that, however, such statement does not appear to be in compliance with the requirements of section III, pg. 2, paragraph 4(d) of the application form, which requires that a financial statement show all liabilities as well as assets, and contain current and liquid assets sufficient in amount to meet current liabilities (including amounts payable during the next year on long term liabilities) an in addition, to indicate financial ability to comply with the terms of the agreement; and

It further appearing that after consideration of the foregoing and the applicants' replies, the Commission is still unable to make the statutory finding that a grant of the applications would serve the public interest, convenience, and necessity; and is of the opinion that the applications must be designated for hearing in a consolidated proceeding on the issues specified below:

It is ordered, That, pursuant to section 309(e) of the Communications Act of 1934, as amended, the instant applications are designated for hearing in a consolidated proceeding, at a time and place to be specified in a subsequent order, upon the following issues:

1. To determine the areas and populations which would receive primary service from each of the proposals herein and the availability of other primary service to such areas and populations.

2. To determine the nature and extent of the interference, if any, that each of the instant proposals would cause to and receive from each other and all other existing standard broadcast stations, the areas and populations affected thereby, and the availability of other primary service to the areas and populations affected by interference from any of the instant proposals.

3. To determine whether the instant proposal of Santa Fe Broadcasting Co. would involve objectionable interference with Station KMIN, Grants, New Mexico, or any other existing standard broadcast stations, and, if so, the nature and extent thereof, the areas and popula-

tions affected thereby, and the availability of other primary service to such areas and populations.

4. To determine whether Valley Broadcasters are financially qualified to construct and operate its proposed station.

5. To determine, in the light of section 307(b) of the Communications Act of 1934, as amended, which of the instant proposals would better provide a fair, efficient and equitable distribution of radio service.

6. To determine, in the light of the evidence adduced pursuant to the foregoing issues which, if either, of the instant applications should be granted.

It is further ordered, That, Grants Broadcasting Co., Inc., licensee of Station KMIN, Grants, New Mexico, is made a party to the proceeding.

It is further ordered, That, to avail themselves of the opportunity to be heard, the applicants and party respondent, pursuant to § 1.140 of the Commission rules, in person or by attorney, shall, within 20 days of the mailing of this order, file with the Commission in triplicate, a written appearance stating an intention to appear on the date fixed for the hearing and present evidence on the issues specified in this order.

It is further ordered, That, the issues in the above-captioned proceeding may be enlarged by the Examiner, on his own motion or on petition properly filed by a party to the proceeding, and upon sufficient allegations of fact in support thereof, by the addition of the following issue: To determine whether the funds available to the applicant will give reasonable assurance that the proposals set forth in the application will be effectuated.

Released: February 13, 1961.

FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS  
COMMISSION,

[SEAL] BEN F. WAPLE,  
Acting Secretary.

[F.R. Doc. 61-1396; Filed, Feb. 15, 1961;  
8:51 a.m.]

[Docket No. 13711, etc.; FCC 61M-211]

### TRIANGLE PUBLICATIONS, INC. (WNHC ET AL.)

#### Order Scheduling Hearing

In re applications of Triangle Publications, Inc. (WNHC), Radio and Television Division, New Haven, Connecticut, Docket No. 13711, File No. BP-12107; James N. Rodio and James Rodio, d/b as Rodio Radio, Hammonton, New Jersey, et al., Docket Nos. 13730, 13713, 13715, 13716, 13718, 13722, 13724, 13725, 13726, 13727, 13732, 13734; File No. BP-13426; for construction permits.

The Hearing Examiner having under consideration his order released February 1, 1961 (FCC 61M-158) reopening the record in the above-entitled proceeding and scheduling a further prehearing conference for February 9, pursuant to 47 CFR 1.111, for the purpose of establishing dates and procedures for the hearing to be held with regard to the financial qualifications of applicant Rodio Radio; and the proceedings held February 9;

It is ordered, This 9th day of February 1961, that a further hearing limited to the matter of Rodio Radio's financial qualifications is hereby scheduled to commence at 10 a.m., Thursday, March 2, 1961, at the Commission's offices, Washington, D.C.

It is ordered further, In accordance with the stipulations and commitments of counsel of record during the February 9 prehearing conference, that Rodio Radio's affirmative presentations under the issue is to be made under oath in written form, one copy of each exhibit to be provided counsel who were present at the prehearing conference and to the Hearing Examiner, by the date or dates specified during the prehearing conference; and that notification of witnesses to be called for cross-examination is likewise to be accomplished by February 27 and 28 as stipulated;

It is ordered further, That the agreements and commitments of counsel have the approval of the Hearing Examiner and that the transcript of the prehearing conference proceedings shall control as to the scope and construction of the agreements and commitments made and is therefore incorporated herein by reference.

Released: February 13, 1961.

FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS  
COMMISSION,  
[SEAL] BEN F. WAPLE,  
Acting Secretary.

[F.R. Doc. 61-1397; Filed, Feb. 15, 1961;  
8:52 a.m.]

[Docket Nos. 13289, 13290; FCC 61M-208]

## WALMAC CO.

### Order Continuing Hearing Conference

In re applications of Howard W. Davis, tr/as the Walmac Company, San Antonio, Texas, for renewal of licenses of stations KMAC (AM) and KISS (FM), Docket No. 13289, File No. BR-411; Docket No. 13290, File No. BRH-691.

The Hearing Examiner having under consideration the transcript record of the further prehearing conference held on November 16, 1960; and

It appearing that, pursuant to agreements then reached by the parties, counsel for the Broadcast Bureau was to submit a draft of proposed stipulations, that the Hearing Examiner was to be notified by February 15, 1961 as to those areas in which no stipulations could be reached, and that on the basis of such commitment a further prehearing conference was scheduled to be held on March 1, 1961; and

It further appearing that as a result of informal discussions with counsel for the parties the Hearing Examiner deems it advisable to schedule an earlier further prehearing conference to discuss further the matter of possible stipulations;

It is ordered, This 9th day of February 1961, on the Hearing Examiner's own motion, that a further prehearing conference in this proceeding shall be held at 1:30 p.m., February 13, 1961, at the offices of the Commission in Washington, D.C.; and

It is further ordered, That the further prehearing conference now scheduled for

March 1, 1961, is continued to a date to be fixed at the February 13, 1961 prehearing conference.

Released: February 10, 1961.

FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS  
COMMISSION,  
[SEAL] BEN F. WAPLE,  
Acting Secretary.

[F.R. Doc. 61-1398; Filed, Feb. 15, 1961;  
8:52 a.m.]

## DEPARTMENT OF AGRICULTURE

### Commodity Credit Corporation

#### SALES OF CERTAIN COMMODITIES

##### February 1961 Monthly Sales List

*Notice to buyers.* Pursuant to the policy of Commodity Credit Corporation issued October 12, 1954 (19 F.R. 6669) and subject to the conditions stated therein, as well as herein, the commodities listed below are available for sale on the price basis set forth.

Major changes in the list for February are the offering, as announced January 17 (press release USDA-113-61), of tung oil for unrestricted use (formerly offered for export only); the dropping of soybeans from the list because remaining CCC stocks consist only of scattered odd lots; and the dropping of butter from both the sales and the barter list because all stocks have been sold or committed.

The CCC Monthly Sales List, which varies from month to month as additional commodities become available or commodities formerly available are dropped, is designed to aid in moving CCC's inventories into domestic or export use through regular commercial channels.

If it becomes necessary during the month to amend this list in any material way—such as by the removal or addition of a commodity in which there is general interest or by a significant change in price or method of sale—an announcement of the change will be sent to all persons currently receiving the list by mail from Washington. To be put on this mailing list, address: Director, Price Division, Commodity Stabilization Service, U.S. Department of Agriculture, Washington 25, D.C.

All commodities currently offered for sale by CCC, plus tobacco from CCC loan stocks, are eligible for export sale under the CCC Export Credit Sales Program.

The following commodities are currently eligible for barter: Nonfat dry milk, cotton, tobacco, rice (milled), wheat, corn, barley, rye, oats, and grain sorghums. This list is subject to change from time to time.

Interest rates per annum under the CCC Export Credit Sales Program for February 1961 are 3¼ percent for periods up to six months, 3¾ percent for periods from over six and up to 18 months, and 4¼ percent for periods from over 18 months up to a maximum of 36 months.

The CCC will entertain offers from responsible buyers for the purchase of any commodity on the current list. Offers accepted by CCC will be subject to the terms and conditions prescribed by

the Corporation. These terms include payment by cash or irrevocable letter of credit before delivery of the commodity, and the conditions require removal of the commodity from CCC storage within a reasonable period of time. Where conditions of sale for export differ from those for domestic sale, proof of exportation is also required, and the buyer is responsible for obtaining any required U.S. Government export permit or license. Purchases from CCC shall not constitute any assurance that any such permit or license will be granted by the issuing authority.

Applicable announcements containing all terms and conditions of sale will be furnished upon request. For easy reference a number of these announcements are identified by code number in the following list. Interested persons are invited to communicate with the Commodity Stabilization Service, USDA, Washington 25, D.C., with respect to all commodities or—for specified commodities—with the designated CSS Commodity Office.

Commodity Credit Corporation reserves the right to amend, from time to time, any of its announcements. Such amendments shall be applicable to and be made a part of the sale contracts thereafter entered into.

CCC reserves the right to reject any or all offers placed with it for the purchase of commodities pursuant to such announcements.

If CCC does not have adequate information as to the financial responsibility of a prospective buyer to meet all contract obligations that might arise by acceptance of an offer or if CCC deems such buyer's financial responsibility to be inadequate CCC reserves the right (i) to refuse to consider the offer, (ii) to accept the offer only after submission by the buyer of a certified or cashier's check, bond, letter of credit or other security acceptable to CCC assuring that the buyer will discharge the responsibility under the contract, or (iii) to accept the offer upon condition that the buyer promptly submit to CCC such of the aforementioned security as CCC may direct. If a prospective buyer is in doubt as to whether CCC is acquainted with his financial responsibility he should communicate with the CSS Office at which the offer is to be placed to determine whether a financial statement or advance financial arrangement will be necessary in his case.

Disposals and other handling of inventory items often result in small quantities at given locations or in qualities not up to specifications. These lots are offered by the appropriate CSS Office promptly upon appearance and therefore generally they do not appear in the Monthly Sales List.

On sales for which the buyer is required to submit proof to CCC of exportation the buyer shall be regularly engaged in the business of buying or selling commodities and for this purpose shall maintain a bona fide business office in the United States, its territories or possessions, and have a person, principal, or resident agent upon whom service of judicial process may be had.

Prospective buyers for export should note that generally, sales to United

States Government agencies, with only minor exceptions, will constitute a domestic unrestricted use of the commodity.

Commodity Credit Corporation reserves the right, before making any sales, to define or limit export areas.

**Notice to exporters.** The Department of Commerce, Bureau of Foreign Commerce (BFC), pursuant to regulations under the Export Control Act of 1949, prohibits the exportation or re-exportation by anyone of any commodities (except bandages, gauze, and absorbent cotton with respect to Cuba only) under this program to Cuba, the Soviet Bloc, or Communist-controlled areas of the Far East including Communist China, North Korea, and the Communist-controlled areas of Vietnam, except under validated license issued by the U.S. Department of Commerce, Bureau of Foreign Commerce.

These regulations generally require that exporters, in or in connection with their contracts with foreign purchasers, where the contract involves \$10,000 or more and exportation is to be made to a Group R country or Cuba, obtain from the foreign purchaser a written acknowledgment of his understanding of (1) U.S. Commerce Department prohibitions (Comprehensive Export Schedule, 15 CFR 371.4 and 371.8) against sales or resale for re-export of said commodities, or any part thereof, without express Commerce Department authorization, to the Soviet Bloc, Communist China, North Korea or the Communist-controlled area of Vietnam or to Cuba, and (2) the sanction of denial of future U.S. export privileges that may be imposed for violation of the Commerce Department regulations. Exporters who have a continuing and regular relationship with a foreign purchaser may obtain a blanket acknowledgment from such purchaser covering all transactions involving surplus agricultural commodities and manufactures thereof purchased from CCC or subsidized for export by the Secretary of Agriculture or CCC. Where commodities are to be exported by a party other than the original purchaser of the commodities from the CCC the original purchaser should inform the exporter in writing of the requirement for obtaining the signed acknowledgment from the foreign purchaser.

For all exportations, one of the destination control statements specified in BFC Regulation (Comprehensive Export Schedule 15 CFR 379.10(c)) is required to be placed on all copies of the shipper's export declaration, all copies of the bill of lading, and all copies of the commercial invoices. For additional information as to which destination control statement to use, the exporter should communicate with the Bureau of Foreign Commerce or one of the field offices of the Department of Commerce.

The above statement is with respect to the regulations of the Department of Commerce as of October 19, 1960. Exporters should consult the applicable regulations for more detailed information if desired and for any changes that may be made therein subsequent to such date.

Commodity	Sales price or method of sale
Nonfat dry milk.....	Sales are in carlots only in store at storage location of products. Submission of offers: For products in Arizona, California, Idaho, Nevada, Oregon, Utah, and Washington, submit offers to the Portland CSS Commodity Office. For products in other States and the District of Columbia, submit offers to the Cincinnati CSS Commodity Office. Domestic, unrestricted use; announced prices, under LD-29 as amended: Spray process, U.S. extra grade, 15.00 cents per pound. Roller process, U.S. extra grade, 13.00 cents per pound. Export: Competitive bid under LD-33, as amended, pursuant to invitations to bid to be issued by Cincinnati and Portland CSS Commodity Offices. Announced prices under LD-35: When sales are made under LD-33, as amended, above any nonfat dry milk offered but not sold under the invitation to bid will be offered for sale through the following Monday at prices announced in Washington each Tuesday. Sales under both announcements may be applied to arrangements for barter and approved credit sales.
Cotton, upland.....	Domestic or export, unrestricted use: Competitive bid and under the terms and conditions of Announcement CN-A (revised June 3, 1960), as amended (sales by local sales agencies of 1960-crop Choice (A) cotton for unrestricted use), Announcement NO-C-14, as amended (sale of 1959 and prior crops cotton for unrestricted use), and Announcement NO-C-15, as amended (sale of 1960-crop Choice (A) cotton for unrestricted use). Under CN-A (revised) cotton to be sold at highest price offered but in no event at less than 110 percent of the applicable 1960 Choice (B) support price plus carrying charges. Under NO-C-14, as amended, cotton to be sold at highest price offered but in no event at less than the higher of (1) the market price as determined by CCC, or (2) 115 percent of the applicable 1960 Choice (B) support price plus carrying charges. Under NO-C-15, as amended, cotton to be sold at highest price offered but in no event at less than the higher of (1) the market price as determined by CCC, or (2) 110 percent of the applicable 1960 Choice (B) support price plus carrying charges.
Cotton, extra long staple.....	Domestic or export, unrestricted use: Competitive bid and under the terms and conditions of Announcements NO-C-6 (revised July 22, 1960), as amended, and NO-C-10, as amended, but not less than the higher of (1) 105 percent of the current support price plus reasonable carrying charges or (2) the domestic market price as determined by CCC.
Catalogs.....	Catalogs for upland cotton (except cotton offered under CN-A (revised June 3, 1960), as amended) and extra long staple cotton showing quantities, qualities, and locations may be obtained for a nominal fee from the New Orleans CSS Commodity Office. Catalogs or lists of cotton offered under CN-A (revised June 3, 1960), as amended, may be obtained from local sales agencies.
Wheat, barley, rye, grain sorghums, bulk:	Domestic, unrestricted use: Market price basis in store, but not less than the applicable 1960 loan rate plus the applicable amount shown below. If delivery is outside the area of production, applicable freight will be added to the above.

Unit	Received by—		Examples of minimum prices (exrail or barge)			
	Truck	Rail or barge	Terminal	Class and grade	Price	
Wheat (commercial area) 1.....	Cents	Cents	Chicago.....	No. 1 RW.....	\$2.26	
			Minneapolis.....	No. 1 DNS.....	2.28	
			Kansas City.....	No. 1 HW.....	2.26	
			Portland.....	No. 1 SW.....	2.17	
Barley.....	Do.....	Do.....	Minneapolis.....	No. 2 or better.....	1.13	
			do.....	No. 2 or better (or No. 3 on TW only).....	1.28	
Grain sorghums.....	Hundredweight..	31	25	Kansas City.....	No. 2 or better.....	2.13

Available CSS Commodity Offices located in producing areas. (See page 10 for addresses of commodity offices handling grain.)

**Export:**  
**Wheat:**  
 (1) Under Announcement GR-345 (revised June 30, 1960), as amended, for redemption of certificates under payment-in-kind program, (2) under Announcement GR-212 (revision 2, January 9, 1961), for specific offerings as announced (i.e., current East Coast flat Spring Wheat offerings through Evanston CSS Commodity Office under Announcement EV-10) and (3) as wheat under Announcement GR-261 (revision 2, January 9, 1961), or as flour under Announcement GR-262 (revision 2, January 9, 1961), for application under arrangements for barter which permits exportation of wheat as flour and approved credit sales only at prices determined daily.  
**Barley, rye, grain sorghums:**  
 Under Announcement GR-368 (revised Aug. 31, 1959), as amended, for feed grain payment-in-kind program, and under Announcement GR-212 (revision 2, Jan. 9, 1961), for application to arrangements for barter and approved credit and emergency sales.  
 Available Evanston, Dallas, Kansas City, Minneapolis, and Portland CSS Commodity Offices.

Unit	In store at—		Examples of minimum prices		
	Point of production	Other point	Terminal	Class and grade	Price
Corn.....	Cents	Cents	Chicago.....	No. 2 yellow, 13.5% moisture, 1.4% l.m.....	\$1.83 1/2 1.25 1/4
Oats.....	Do.....	Do.....	Chicago.....	No. 3.....	1.73 1/4 1.64 1/4

Nonstorable corn, unrestricted use (as available): At not less than market price as determined by CCC. At bin sites through ASC County Offices. At other locations, through the Commodity Offices indicated on following page.

See footnotes at end of table.

Commodity	Sales price or method of sale
Corn and oats (continued)	Export: Under Announcement GR-212 (revision 2, Jan. 9, 1961), for application to arrangements for barter and approved credit and emergency sales and under Announcement GR-368 (revised Aug. 31, 1959), as amended, for feed grain payment-in-kind program. Available Evanston, Dallas, Kansas City, Minneapolis, and Portland CSS Commodity Offices.
Rice, milled (as available)	Domestic, unrestricted use: Market price but not less than the equivalent 1960 loan rate for rough rice, by varieties and grades, plus 5 percent, adjusted for milling, plus 30 cents per hundredweight, basis in store. Export: Under GR-379, as amended, for application to arrangements for barter and approved credit sales. Available Dallas CSS Commodity Office.
Rice, broken (as available)	Domestic or export, unrestricted use: Competitive bid but not less than \$4.78 per hundredweight in bags (\$4.63 bulk) basis U.S. No. 4 brewers rice f.o.b. mills and warehouses. Prices and quantities of milled rice including broken available by varieties and grades may be obtained from Dallas and Portland CSS Commodity Offices.
Rice, rough (as available)	Domestic, unrestricted use: Market price but not less than the applicable 1960 loan rate plus 5 percent, plus 31 cents per hundredweight, basis in store. Export: As milled or brown under Announcement GR-369, as amended, Rice Export Program Payment-in-Kind, and under GR-379, as amended, for approved credit sales. Prices, quantities, and varieties of rough rice available from Dallas and Portland CSS Commodity Offices.
Peanuts, shelled (as available), all types.	Domestic, unrestricted use: 1960 support price plus 5 percent, adjusted for milling, plus reasonable carrying charges under Peanut Announcement 3 as shown below or market prices, whichever is higher: Virginias: Extra large kernels..... 25.92 Mediums'..... 22.27 No. 1's..... 20.16 S.E. Runner, No. 1's..... 20.13 S.E. Spanish, No. 1's..... 20.51 S.W. Spanish, No. 1's..... 20.79
Peanuts, shelled and unshelled, farmers stock (as available).	Domestic for crushing or export: Competitive bid under CCC Peanut Announcement 1 (revised Feb. 16, 1959), as amended.
Tung oil	Domestic or export, unrestricted use: Competitive bid and under the terms and conditions of tung oil Announcement DL-OP-11. Available Dallas CSS Commodity Office.
Gum turpentine (bulk in tanks)	Domestic, unrestricted use: Offer and acceptance basis in the stated quantities and in the designated storage tanks and subject to the prices, terms and conditions of Announcement TB-21-60 and supplements thereto which will be issued monthly. Available through ATFA, Valdosta, Ga. Export: Competitive bid for turpentine, bulk in storage tanks, subject to Announcement TB-21-60 and supplements thereto. Available through Naval Stores Branch, Tobacco Division, CSS, U.S. Department of Agriculture.

<sup>1</sup> Noncommercial producing area wheat shall be on the same basis as commercial producing area wheat except increase applicable support rate by 33 percent before adding amount shown above.  
<sup>2</sup> In those counties in which grain is stored in CCC bin sites, delivery will be made f.o.b. buyer's conveyance at bin sites without additional cost; sales will also be made in store approved warehouses in such county and adjacent counties at the same price, provided the buyer makes arrangements.  
<sup>3</sup> Includes average paid in freight from Woodford County, Ill.  
<sup>4</sup> Includes average paid in freight from Redwood County, Minn.

(Sec. 4, 62 Stat. 1070, as amended; 15 U.S.C. 714b. Interpret or apply sec. 407, 63 Stat. 1055; 7 U.S.C. 1427, sec. 208, 63 Stat. 901)  
 Issued: February 10, 1961.  
 H. D. GODFREY,  
*Executive Vice President,*  
*Commodity Credit Corporation.*  
 [F.R. Doc. 61-1338; Filed, Feb. 15, 1961; 8:45 a.m.]

This order supersedes Delegation Order No. 67 (Rev. 2), issued January 19, 1961.  
 Date of issue: February 7, 1961.  
 Effective date: February 7, 1961.  
 [SEAL] MORTIMER M. CAPLIN,  
*Commissioner.*  
 [F.R. Doc. 61-1402; Filed, Feb. 15, 1961; 8:52 a.m.]

**DEPARTMENT OF THE TREASURY**  
 Internal Revenue Service  
 [Order No. 67 (Rev. 3)]  
**SIGNATURE OF THE COMMISSIONER**  
 Amendment of Authorization To Sign for Commissioner, or on Behalf of Commissioner  
 Effective as of Noon, e.s.t., February 7, 1961, all outstanding authorizations to sign the name of, or on behalf of, Charles I. Fox, Acting Commissioner of Internal Revenue, are hereby amended to authorize the signing of the name of, or on behalf of, Mortimer M. Caplin, Commissioner of Internal Revenue.

**CIVIL AERONAUTICS BOARD**  
 [Docket 10959]  
**AAXICO AIRLINES, INC., AND AEROLINEAS PERUANAS, S.A.; ENFORCEMENT PROCEEDING**  
**Notice of Postponement of Oral Argument**  
 Notice is hereby given, pursuant to the provisions of the Federal Aviation Act of 1958, as amended, that oral argument in the above-entitled matter now assigned to be held on February 15, 1961 is indefinitely postponed.

Dated at Washington, D.C., February 10, 1961.  
 [SEAL] FRANCIS W. BROWN,  
*Chief Examiner.*  
 [F.R. Doc. 61-1399; Filed, Feb. 15, 1961; 8:52 a.m.]

[Docket 12077; Order No. E-16353]  
**MOHAWK AIRLINES, INC.**  
**Order Dismissing Complaint**  
 Adopted by the Civil Aeronautics Board at its office in Washington, D.C., on the 9th day of February 1961.  
 By tariff to be effective February 10, 1961, Mohawk Airlines, Inc. (Mohawk) proposes round-trip excursion fares for individual travel between all points on its system other than Poughkeepsie. The fares are set at 75 percent of normal round-trip fares, are to be applicable to travel between midnight Friday and midnight Sunday unless operational circumstances so prevent, and are subject to the requirement that the return portion of the trip be completed within 60 days. The tariff is marked to expire June 30, 1961.

By complaint of January 26, 1961, American Airlines, Inc. (American) has requested investigation of this tariff and suspension pending decision therein. In summary, the carrier alleges a lack of any indication that the reduced fares will produce a commensurate compensatory increase in traffic and that, as a consequence, Mohawk could break even only at the expense of its competitors. Additionally, American protests the inclusion of Sundays, generally one of the higher traffic days, among the days upon which the fares are to be available, and alleges a resulting unjustifiable and unprofitable diversion from the normal fare services of both Mohawk and its competitors.

Upon consideration of the matters of record, the Board finds that the complaint does not set out facts sufficient to warrant investigation and that American's request therefor, and consequently its request for suspension, should be denied. The tariff, which is to be effective for a limited period only, reflects a significant promotional effort on the part of the carrier consistent with the general program of fare experimentation which the Board has encouraged, and in our view merits testing. As a means of providing the Board and interested carriers with current data concerning these fares, the Board is herein directing Mohawk to file such data each month.

Accordingly, pursuant to the Federal Aviation Act of 1958, and particularly sections 204 and 1002 thereof: *It is ordered, That:*  
 1. The complaint of American Airlines, Inc. in Docket 12077 is dismissed.  
 2. Mohawk Airlines, Inc., is directed to file reports with the Board which set forth the number of passengers carried at these excursion fares between each pair of points on its system and the time interval expressed in number of days be-

tween the going and returning portions of such travel.

3. Mohawk Airlines, Inc., is directed to file such reports in ten copies with the Board's Docket Section not later than ten days after the close of the month covered by the report.

This order will be published in the FEDERAL REGISTER.

By the Civil Aeronautics Board.

[SEAL] ROBERT C. LESTER,  
Secretary.

[F.R. Doc. 61-1400; Filed, Feb. 15, 1961;  
8:52 a.m.]

[Docket 12095]

## NETHERLANDS ANTILLES AIR TRANSPORT

### Notice of Prehearing Conference

In the matter of the application of Netherlands Antilles Air Transport for a foreign air carrier permit to operate an unscheduled service carrying property and mail between terminal points in Netherlands Antilles, via intermediate points Ciudad Trujillo, Port au Prince, Kingston, Montego Bay, Camaguey, Havana, and the terminal point Miami.

Notice is hereby given that a prehearing conference on the above-entitled application is assigned to be held on February 27, 1961, at 10:00 a.m., e.s.t. in Room 1029, Universal Building, Connecticut and Florida Avenues NW., Washington, D.C., before Examiner Merritt Ruhlen.

Dated at Washington, D.C., February 13, 1961.

[SEAL] FRANCIS W. BROWN,  
Chief Examiner.

[F.R. Doc. 61-1401; Filed, Feb. 15, 1961;  
8:52 a.m.]

## FEDERAL POWER COMMISSION

[Docket No. CP61-181]

### ALABAMA-TENNESSEE NATURAL GAS CO.

#### Notice of Application and Date of Hearing

FEBRUARY 9, 1961.

Take notice that on January 3, 1961, Alabama-Tennessee Natural Gas Company (Applicant), Post Office Box 380, Florence, Alabama, filed in Docket No. CP61-181 an application pursuant to section 7(c) of the Natural Gas Act for a certificate of public convenience and necessity authorizing the construction and operation of approximately 3.6 miles of 6-inch lateral pipeline extending from Applicant's 10-inch main transmission pipeline near Cherokee, Colbert County, Alabama, to an ammonia manufacturing plant to be built by Armour and Company (Armour) near Barton, Alabama, all as more fully set forth in the application which is on file with the Commission and open to public inspection.

The proposed facilities are to be used to enable Applicant to deliver natural

gas to Armour directly on an interruptible basis for use in the aforesaid ammonia plant as a raw material, for process purposes and as fuel.

Estimated basic requirements of Armour for this plant are 3,500 Mcf of natural gas per day, in addition to which Applicant desires to supply up to 15,100 Mcf per day for testing and other purposes, when available. Estimated annual sales to Armour are 558,000 Mcf in 1962, and 355,000 Mcf in the year 1963 and the year 1964.

The estimated total cost of Applicant's proposed project is \$131,600 which will be financed from funds on hand and from earnings.

This matter is one that should be disposed of as promptly as possible under the applicable rules and regulations and to that end:

Take further notice that, pursuant to the authority contained in and subject to the jurisdiction conferred upon the Federal Power Commission by sections 7 and 15 of the Natural Gas Act, and the Commission's rules of practice and procedure, a hearing will be held on March 14, 1961, at 9:30 a.m., e.s.t., in a Hearing Room of the Federal Power Commission, 441 G Street NW., Washington, D.C., concerning the matters involved in and the issues presented by such application: *Provided, however*, That the Commission may, after a non-contested hearing, dispose of the proceedings pursuant to the provisions of § 1.30(c) (1) or (2) of the Commission's rules of practice and procedure. Under the procedure herein provided for, unless otherwise advised, it will be unnecessary for Applicant to appear or be represented at the hearing.

Protests or petitions to intervene may be filed with the Federal Power Commission, Washington 25, D.C., in accordance with the rules of practice and procedure (18 CFR 1.8 or 1.10) on or before March 3, 1961. Failure of any party to appear at and participate in the hearing shall be construed as waiver of and concurrence in omission herein of the intermediate decision procedure in cases where a request therefor is made.

JOSEPH H. GUTRIDE,  
Secretary.

[F.R. Doc. 61-1357; Filed, Feb. 15, 1961;  
8:46 a.m.]

[Docket No. G-2306, etc.]

### AMERICAN LOUISIANA PIPE LINE CO. ET AL.

#### Order Denying Motion for Severance and Consolidation, Granting Con- solidation, Permitting Withdrawal of Application, Permitting Inter- vention, and Notice of Applications

FEBRUARY 9, 1961.

American Louisiana Pipe Line Company, Docket No. G-2306; Michigan Wisconsin Pipe Line Company, Docket No. G-2327; American Louisiana Pipe Line Company, Docket No. G-10396; Panhandle Eastern Pipe Line Company, Docket No. G-11061; Trunkline Gas Company, Docket No. CP60-22 (Phase Two); Panhandle Eastern Pipe Line

Company, Docket No. CP60-40; Panhandle Eastern Pipe Line Company, Docket No. CP60-60; Panhandle Eastern Pipe Line Company, Docket No. CP60-126; Village of Tremont, Illinois, Docket No. CP61-8; Panhandle Eastern Pipe Line Company, Docket No. CP61-36; Citizens Gas Company, Docket No. CP61-54; City of La Cygne, Kansas, Docket No. CP61-68; Central Illinois Light Company, Docket No. CP61-114; Central Illinois Light Company, Docket No. CP61-115; Panhandle Eastern Pipe Line Company, Docket No. CP61-136; Illinois Power Company, Docket No. CP61-160; Citizens Gas Company, Docket No. CP61-191; Citizens Gas Company, Docket No. CP61-192.

In Docket Nos. G-2306, et al., the Commission issued an order on December 1, 1960, severing proceedings, consolidating proceedings, fixing the date of hearing for January 31, 1961, and specifying procedure. Notice was published in the FEDERAL REGISTER on December 6, 1960 (25 F.R. 12489-12494). On January 6, 1961, the Commission issued in Docket Nos. G-2306, et al., a notice of applications and order consolidating proceedings, permitting withdrawal of proceedings, fixing date of hearing for January 31, 1961, and specifying procedure. Notice was published in the FEDERAL REGISTER on January 13, 1961 (26 F.R. 267). On January 13, 1961, the Commission issued in Docket Nos. G-2306, et al., an order fixing date of conference, modifying procedure and permitting participations and interventions. Notice was published in the FEDERAL REGISTER on January 19, 1961 (26 F.R. 494).

In Docket Nos. G-2306, et al., Panhandle Eastern Pipe Line Company (Panhandle), on January 16, 1961, filed a Notice of Withdrawal of its application in Docket No. CP60-126 wherein it sought authorization for the sale of natural gas to Johns Manville Fiber Glass, Inc., in Ohio.

In Docket CP61-191, Citizens Gas Company (Citizens Gas), an Illinois corporation of Tuscola, Illinois, filed on January 16, 1961, an application pursuant to section 7(a) of the Natural Gas Act for an order directing Panhandle to extend and improve its transportation facilities, to establish physical connection of its transportation facilities with the proposed facilities of Citizens Gas and to sell and deliver natural gas to Citizens Gas for distribution and resale in the Village of Hume, Illinois, and surrounding area, all as more fully set forth in the application.

Citizens Gas Proposes to make interconnection with the pipeline facilities of Panhandle at a tap approximately 1,300 feet south of Hume, Illinois. A complete distribution system will be constructed within Hume and its environs at a cost of \$63,242.35. In addition, it is estimated that additional distribution facilities to provide adequate service for the first five years of operation and other miscellaneous items will cost approximately \$74,000.00.

The estimated natural gas requirements for the above service area are as follows:

Year	1st	2d	3d	4th	5th
Peak day (Mcf)---	114	131	143	205	212
Annual (Mcf)-----	12,639	14,500	15,683	22,642	23,745

In Docket No. CP61-192, Citizens Gas filed on January 16, 1961, an application pursuant to section 7(a) of the Natural Gas Act for an order directing Panhandle to extend and improve its transportation facilities, to establish physical connection of its transportation facilities with the proposed facilities of Citizens Gas and to sell and deliver natural gas to Citizens Gas for distribution and resale in the Village of Tolono, Illinois, and surrounding area, all as more fully set forth in the application.

Citizens Gas proposes to make interconnection with the pipeline facilities of Panhandle at a tap approximately 4,280 feet east of Tolono, Illinois. A complete distribution system will be constructed within Tolono and its environs at a cost of \$153,113.40. In addition, it is estimated that additional distribution facilities to provide adequate service for the first five years of operation and other miscellaneous items will cost approximately \$175,000.

The estimated natural gas requirements for the above service area are as follows:

Year	1st	2d	3d	4th	5th
Peak day (Mcf)---	272	463	584	645	705
Annual (Mcf)-----	29,327	49,999	63,024	69,384	75,764

The applications referred to herein are on file with the Commission and are open for public inspection. This order shall constitute notice of the filing of the applications in Docket Nos. CP61-191 and CP61-192.

In Docket Nos. G-2306, et al., Citizens, on January 25, 1961, filed a Motion for Consolidation of its applications in Docket Nos. CP61-191 and CP61-192 with the proceedings in Docket Nos. G-2306, et al.

On December 30, 1959, Michigan Wisconsin Pipe Line Company (Michigan Wisconsin) and Northern Natural Gas Company (Northern) filed applications in Docket Nos. G-20572 and G-20571, respectively, for certificates of public convenience and necessity seeking authorization to construct and operate facilities to enable Northern to deliver and sell 75,000 Mcf of natural gas per day to Michigan Wisconsin near Janesville, Wisconsin. The Commission, by notice of June 1, 1960, consolidated the applications for hearing with two other Northern applications in Docket Nos. G-20570 and G-18576. Panhandle was granted leave to intervene in the Northern-Michigan Wisconsin proceeding, hearing of which was commenced on July 6, 1960, and was completed on October 5, 1960. Briefs have been submitted and the consolidated proceedings are now pending before the hearing examiner for decision.

Panhandle, on January 16, 1961, filed a Motion to sever the application of Michigan Wisconsin in Docket No. G-20572 from the applications of North-

ern in Docket Nos. G-20570, G-20571, and G-18576 and to consolidate the application in Docket No. G-20572 with the proceedings in Docket Nos. G-2306, et al. Answers to the motion were filed by Michigan Wisconsin and Public Service Commission of Wisconsin on January 23, 1961; Wisconsin Fuel and Light Company on January 25, 1961; jointly by Fuels Research Council, Inc., National Coal Association, United Mine Workers of America, The Chesapeake and Ohio Railway Company and Midwest Coal Producers Institute, Inc., on January 26, 1961, and by Northern on January 26, 1961.

There are no applications in Docket Nos. G-2306, et al., which seek authorization to supply natural gas to Michigan Wisconsin in conflict with the application of Northern in Docket No. G-20571. The applications do not compete for the same market. There is no economical mutual exclusivity. The gas supply of Michigan Wisconsin may be in issue in the consolidated proceedings in Docket Nos. G-2306, et al., but a comparative hearing is not necessary for such a determination; if a certificate of public convenience and necessity is granted in Docket No. G-20572, the increase in Michigan Wisconsin's supply will be a matter of record and can be considered in the consolidated proceedings. If it is denied, the non-availability of such supply can be considered.

Further, since Docket No. G-20572 has already been heard in a proceeding in which Panhandle was an intervener and was fully represented, and it is now pending before the hearing examiner for decision, severance and consolidation would only complicate and delay the administrative process and is not warranted.

Fuels Research Council, Inc., on September 19, 1960, filed a petition seeking leave to intervene in Docket No. CP60-40 in the consolidated proceedings in Docket Nos. G-2306, et al.

Illinois Commerce Commission, on January 17, 1961, filed a petition seeking leave to intervene in the consolidated proceedings in Docket Nos. G-2306, et al.

In Docket No. CP60-60, on January 23, 1961, Panhandle filed an amendment to its application modifying certain aspects of its expansion program. This amendment incorporated a request for authorization for the transportation and sale, on an interruptible basis, of natural gas to the Ford Motor Company at its plants in Dearborn, Michigan, all as more fully set forth in the amendment to the application.

The sale to Ford Motor Company will be made pursuant to an industrial gas contract which provides, among other things, for delivery of up to 35,000 Mcf of gas per day at a price of 35 cents per Mcf until December 31, 1961, with a re-determination thereafter. The term of the contract is for ten years from date of first delivery. According to the contract the gas will be used as fuel in the Ford plants located in Dearborn, Michigan.

The amendment referred to herein is on file with the Commission and is open for public inspection. This order shall

constitute notice of the request for authorization to transport natural gas for sale and delivery to Ford Motor Company incorporated in Docket No. CP60-60.

The Commission finds:

(1) It is necessary and appropriate in the public interest that Panhandle be permitted to withdraw the application filed by it in Docket No. CP60-126.

(2) The applications in Docket Nos. CP61-191, CP61-192, and G-2306, et al., are all related and should be consolidated.

(3) The issues presented by the applications filed in the proceedings in Docket Nos. G-20572 and G-2306, et al., are not mutually exclusive and severance and consolidation as requested are not warranted.

(4) It is desirable to allow Fuels Research Council, Inc., and Illinois Commerce Commission to intervene in the proceedings indicated in order that they may establish the facts and the law from which the nature and validity of their alleged rights and interests may be determined and show what further action may be appropriate under the circumstances in the administration of the Natural Gas Act.

The Commission orders:

(A) Panhandle Eastern Pipe Line Company is permitted to withdraw its application filed in Docket No. CP60-126.

(B) The motion of Citizens Gas Company for consolidation is granted.

(C) The motion of Panhandle Eastern Pipe Line Company for additional severance and consolidation is denied.

(D) Fuels Research Council, Inc., and the Illinois Commerce Commission are permitted to intervene in Docket Nos. CP60-40 and G-2306, et al., respectively, subject to the rules and regulations of the Commission. *Provided, however,* That the participation of each intervener shall be limited to matters affecting such rights and interests as may be established: *And provided further,* That the admission of such interveners be not construed as recognition by the Commission that they or either of them, might be aggrieved by any order or orders entered in these consolidated proceedings.

By the Commission.

JOSEPH H. GUTRIDE,  
Secretary.

[F.R. Doc. 61-1358; Filed, Feb. 15, 1961; 8:46 a.m.]

[Docket No. CP61-182]

**EL PASO NATURAL GAS CO.**

**Notice of Application and Date of Hearing**

FEBRUARY 9, 1961.

Take notice that El Paso Natural Gas Company (Applicant), El Paso Natural Gas Company Building, El Paso, Texas, filed an application on January 4, 1961, pursuant to section 7(b) of the Natural Gas Act for permission and approval to abandon natural gas facilities as hereinafter described, subject to the jurisdiction of the Commission, all as more

fully described in the application in Docket No. CP61-182, which is on file with the Commission and open to public inspection.

Applicant seeks permission and approval to abandon natural gas facilities used to render natural gas service to Utah Gas Service Company (Utah), for resale to Calvert Drilling Company (Calvert) for use in drilling a well in San Juan County, Utah. The facilities to be abandoned consist of a main line tap and a portable meter station installed at the tap.

The application states that Applicant began service through these facilities on October 13, 1960, pursuant to its budget type certificate authorization issued in Docket No. G-20052. Upon completion of the well-drilling project by Calvert on December 18, 1960, the service rendered by Applicant to Utah was terminated.

This matter is one that should be disposed of as promptly as possible under the applicable rules and regulations and to that end:

Take further notice that, pursuant to the authority contained in and subject to the jurisdiction conferred upon the Federal Power Commission by sections 7 and 15 of the Natural Gas Act, and the Commission's rules of practice and procedure, a hearing will be held on March 16, 1961, at 9:30 a.m., e.s.t., in a Hearing Room of the Federal Power Commission, 441 G Street NW., Washington, D.C., concerning the matters involved in and the issues presented by such application: *Provided, however,* That the Commission may, after a non-contested hearing, dispose of the proceedings pursuant to the provisions of § 1.30(c) (1) or (2) of the Commission's rules of practice and procedure. Under the procedure herein provided for, unless otherwise advised, it will be unnecessary for Applicant to appear or be represented at the hearing.

Protests or petitions to intervene may be filed with the Federal Power Commission, Washington 25, D.C., in accordance with the rules of practice and procedure (18 CFR 1.8 or 1.10) on or before February 28, 1961. Failure of any party to appear at and participate in the hearing shall be construed as waiver of and concurrence in omission herein of the intermediate decision procedure in cases where a request therefor is made.

JOSEPH H. GUTRIDE,  
Secretary.

[F.R. Doc. 61-1359; Filed, Feb. 15; 1961; 8:46 a.m.]

[Docket No. CP61-98]

**MICHIGAN GAS STORAGE CO.**

**Notice of Application and Date of Hearing**

FEBRUARY 9, 1961.

Take notice that Michigan Gas Storage Company (Applicant) 212 West Michigan Avenue, Jackson, Michigan, filed an application pursuant to section 7 of the Natural Gas Act for a certificate of public convenience and necessity authorizing the establishment of a new delivery point as hereinafter described subject to the jurisdiction of the Com-

mission all as more fully described in the application in Docket No. CP61-98 which is on file with the Commission and open to public inspection.

Applicant proposes to construct and operate metering and regulating facilities on Panhandle Eastern Pipeline Company's (Panhandle) transmission facilities near the community of Shields in Saginaw County, Michigan, for the delivery of gas to Consumers Power Company (Consumers), its sole existing consumer. The proposed delivery point will permit Consumer to provide retail natural gas service in the villages of St. Charles and Chesaning, the Community of Shields, and the industrial plant of Dow Chemical Company all in Saginaw County, Michigan. The new delivery point will also be used by Consumers to provide an additional source of gas supply to its customers in and around the City of Saginaw which Consumers presently service.

Through the proposed facilities, Panhandle will deliver gas to Storage and Storage will deliver such gas to Consumer for resale and distribution in the three communities and the Dow Plant. The natural gas requirements of this market are estimated as follows:

Year	Requirements in Mcf	
	Peak day	Annual
1961.....	2,951	507,047
1962.....	3,124	532,142
1963.....	3,297	556,700

The estimated cost of the proposed facilities is \$33,000. Panhandle will construct the tap on its line under the budget type authorization requested in Docket No. CP61-119 for which it has received temporary authorization and will be reimbursed by storage for the cost of such tap.

This matter is one that should be disposed of as promptly as possible under the applicable rules and regulations and to that end:

Take further notice that, pursuant to the authority contained in and subject to the jurisdiction conferred upon the Federal Power Commission by sections 7 and 15 of the Natural Gas Act, and the Commission's rules of practice and procedure, a hearing will be held on March 16, 1961, at 9:30 a.m., e.s.t., in a Hearing Room of the Federal Power Commission, 441 G Street NW., Washington, D.C., concerning the matters involved in and the issues presented by such application: *Provided, however,* That the Commission may, after a non-contested hearing, dispose of the proceedings pursuant to the provisions of § 1.30(c) (1) or (2) of the Commission's rules of practice and procedure. Under the procedure herein provided for, unless otherwise advised, it will be unnecessary for Applicant to appear or be represented at the hearing.

Protests or petitions to intervene may be filed with the Federal Power Commission, Washington 25, D.C., in accordance with the rules of practice and procedure (18 CFR 1.8 or 1.10) on or before February 28, 1961. Failure of any party to appear at and participate in the hearing

shall be construed as waiver of and concurrence herein of the intermediate decision procedure in cases where a request therefor is made.

JOSEPH H. GUTRIDE,  
Secretary.

[F.R. Doc. 61-1360; Filed, Feb. 15, 1961; 8:46 a.m.]

[Docket No. CP61-170]

**OHIO FUEL GAS CO.**

**Notice of Application and Date of Hearing**

FEBRUARY 9, 1961.

Take notice that the Ohio Fuel Gas Company (Applicant) 99 North Front Street, Columbus 15, Ohio, filed an application on December 22, 1960, pursuant to section 7 of the Natural Gas Act for a certificate of public convenience and necessity authorizing the construction and operation of natural gas facilities for the delivery of natural gas as hereinafter described subject to the jurisdiction of the Commission all as more fully described in the application in Docket No. CP61-170 which is on file with the Commission and open to public inspection.

Applicant seeks authority to construct and operate 875 feet of 2 3/8 inch lateral line and appurtenant metering and regulating equipment at a point of connection with applicants existing Canfield lateral line in Mahoning County to the Nease Chemical Company Plant now under construction near Salem, Ohio. The proposed delivery will be on an interruptible basis and will be used principally for steam generation in processing organic chemicals for the pharmaceutical industry. Applicant states that gas has been found to be the most suitable fuel for the economic operation of the plant.

Estimated sales to Nease are as follows:

	Maximum day, Mcf	Annual, Mcf
First year.....	500	90,000
Second year.....	600	120,000
Third year.....	700	160,000

The estimated cost of the proposed facilities is \$6,540 financed from cash on hand.

This matter is one that should be disposed of as promptly as possible under the applicable rules and regulations and to that end:

Take further notice that, pursuant to the authority contained in and subject to the jurisdiction conferred upon the Federal Power Commission by sections 7 and 15 of the Natural Gas Act, and the Commission's rules of practice and procedure, a hearing will be held on March 16, 1961, at 9:30 a.m., e.s.t., in a Hearing Room of the Federal Power Commission, 441 G Street NW., Washington, D.C., concerning the matters involved in and the issues presented by such application: *Provided, however,* That the Commission may, after a non-contested hearing, dispose of the proceedings pursuant to the provisions of

§ 1.30(c) (1) or (2) of the Commission's rules of practice and procedure. Under the procedure herein provided for, unless otherwise advised, it will be unnecessary for Applicant to appear or be represented at the hearing.

Protests or petitions to intervene may be filed with the Federal Power Commission, Washington 25, D.C., in accordance with the rules of practice and procedure (18 CFR 1.8 or 1.10) on or before February 28, 1961. Failure of any party to appear at and participate in the hearing shall be construed as waiver of and concurrence in omission herein of the intermediate decision procedure in cases where a request therefor is made.

JOSEPH H. GUTRIDE,  
Secretary.

[F.R. Doc. 61-1361; Filed, Feb. 15, 1961;  
8:46 a.m.]

[Docket No. CP61-154]

**SOUTHERN NATURAL GAS CO.**

**Notice of Application and Date of Hearing**

FEBRUARY 9, 1961.

Take notice that on November 25, 1960, Southern Natural Gas Company (Applicant), Watts Building, Birmingham, Alabama, filed an application, as supplemented on December 9, 1960, in Docket No. CP61-154, pursuant to section 7(c) of the Natural Gas Act, for a certificate of public convenience and necessity seeking authorization to sell and deliver, on an interruptible basis, up to 50,000,000 Mcf of natural gas<sup>1</sup> to Texas Eastern Transmission Corporation (Texas Eastern), all as more fully set forth in application, as supplemented, on file with the Commission and open to public inspection.

The application shows that deliveries by Applicant to Texas Eastern are to be made at two existing points of interconnection between the two pipeline systems near Lucky, Bienville Parish, Louisiana and near Kosciusko, Attala County, Mississippi.

Applicant states that the reduction in coal prices and coal freight rates, as well as the drop in the general level of industrial activity in areas where it serves natural gas, have contributed to a recent reduction in its sales of natural gas. Expected continued reduction in sales in 1961 and 1962 have placed Applicant in a position where it has to take-or-pay for more gas than it expects to sell in those years.

Applicant states that the total volumes of gas which it may have to pay for but not take, through December 31, 1962, add up to approximately a minimum of 30,000,000 Mcf or a maximum of 50,000,000 Mcf, and that, although most of its gas purchase contracts contain a two-year make-up provision, it is doubtful whether Applicant can make use of the provision within the required time.

Pursuant to Applicant's proposed Rate Schedule I-1, the sale will be made at a rate of 22.95 cents per Mcf.

<sup>1</sup> At 14.73 psia.

Applicant recites that it will be able to render the proposed service without affecting its ability to render its authorized service to existing customers.

This matter is one that should be disposed of as promptly as possible under the applicable rules and regulations and to that end:

Take further notice that, pursuant to the authority contained in and subject to the jurisdiction conferred upon the Federal Power Commission by sections 7 and 15 of the Natural Gas Act, and the Commission's rules of practice and procedure, a hearing will be held on March 16, 1961 at 9:30 a.m., e.s.t., in a Hearing Room of the Federal Power Commission, 441 G Street NW., Washington, D.C., concerning the matters involved in and the issues presented by such application: *Provided, however*, That the Commission may, after a non-contested hearing, dispose of the proceedings pursuant to the provisions of § 1.30(c) (1) or (2) of the Commission's rules of practice and procedure. Under the procedure herein provided for, unless otherwise advised, it will be unnecessary for Applicant to appear or be represented at the hearing.

Protests or petitions to intervene may be filed with the Federal Power Commission, Washington 25, D.C., in accordance with the rules of practice and procedure (18 CFR 1.8 or 1.10) on or before March 6, 1961. Failure of any party to appear at and participate in the hearing shall be construed as waiver of and concurrence in omission herein of the intermediate decision procedure in cases where a request therefor is made.

JOSEPH H. GUTRIDE,  
Secretary.

[F.R. Doc. 61-1362; Filed, Feb. 15, 1961;  
8:46 a.m.]

**SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION**

[File Nos. 812-379, 812-943]

**INVESTORS DIVERSIFIED SERVICES, INC.**

**Notice on Application To Modify Prior Orders Permitting Loans and Advances to Certain Persons**

FEBRUARY 8, 1961.

Notice is hereby given that Investors Diversified Services, Inc. ("IDS"), a face amount certificate company, registered under the Investment Company Act of 1940 ("Act"), has filed an application pursuant to sections 6(c) and 17(b) of the Act for an order amending the terms and conditions of Commission orders dated March 20, 1951; August 8, 1955; October 21, 1957; and June 26, 1958 (Investment Company Act Release Nos. 1599, 2211, 2617, and 2736, respectively), exempting from the provisions of section 17(a) (3) certain transactions between IDS and persons engaged in the offering and sale of securities for which IDS is the underwriter.

The order of March 20, 1951, as amended by orders of the above dates,

granted the application for an exemption from the provisions of section 17(a) (3) of the Act with respect to the lending of money in the form of periodic advances or secured lump sum loans from time to time by IDS to its divisional managers, district managers, and sales representatives whose income was derived from the sale of securities for which IDS is the underwriter, subject to the following terms and conditions:

(1) The only persons eligible to borrow money or receive periodic advances on commissions from Investors Diversified Services, Inc., under this order shall be salesmen (district managers for the purpose of this order are considered in the same class as salesmen) and divisional managers engaged in the sale of securities underwritten by Investors Diversified Services, Inc., whose compensation is based only on the sale of such securities and who are not directors or officers of the applicant or of any of its subsidiary or affiliated companies.

(2) Weekly, semi-monthly or monthly advances (hereinafter termed periodic advances) to salesmen shall not exceed \$600 per month and advances to divisional managers shall not exceed \$1,500 per month, except that advances to those persons who at the time of such advance have future accruing commissions on business previously sold may equal the amount of such future accruing commissions but may not exceed the limitation set forth in paragraph 4 below. In no event shall any periodic advances be made to part-time salesmen.

(3) Lump-sum loans shall not at any one time aggregate for a salesman more than \$5,000 or for a divisional manager more than \$10,000. The same individual may have both periodic advances and lump-sum loans available to him at the same time but in no event shall the aggregate of such loans and advances to any one individual exceed the limits set forth in paragraph 4 below. The aggregate lump-sum loans to a part-time salesman shall not exceed the amount of renewal commissions from business currently produced by such part-time salesman.

(4) The maximum debt balance of periodic advances and lump-sum loans shall at no time exceed an aggregate of \$7,000 for any one salesman or district manager or \$15,000 for any one divisional manager. The aggregate amount of all loans and advances outstanding at any one time shall not exceed \$1,500,000.

(5) Each lump-sum loan shall be evidenced by a promissory note of the borrower and a commission deduction authorization in the form set forth as Exhibit B to the application. The agreement covering such a loan shall provide for payment within a period of twenty-four months from the date of the loan in substantially equal installments not more than two months apart the first of which shall be due and payable not more than sixty days from the date of the loan. Each such loan to a salesman shall be guaranteed by such salesman's divisional manager to the extent of at least one-third of any loss to Investors Diversified Services, Inc., resulting from such loan or advance.

Applicant states that developments subsequent to the dates of the Commission's original and modifying orders have again made it necessary to request further modification of the terms and conditions quoted above and at the same time to request a further and somewhat different permissive condition to the original exemption. Such developments include the commencement of operations in late 1958 of IDS's wholly-owned insurance company, Investors Syndicate Life Insurance and Annuity Company

[File No. 70-3935]

**NEW ENGLAND ELECTRIC SYSTEM  
ET AL.**
**Notice of Filing Regarding Issue and  
Sale of Promissory Notes by Sub-  
sidiary Companies to Banks and  
to Parent Company**

FEBRUARY 8, 1961.

Notice is hereby given that a joint application-declaration has been filed with this Commission pursuant to the Public Utility Holding Company Act of 1935 ("Act") by New England Electric System ("NEES"), a registered holding company, and its public-utility subsidiary companies ("the borrowing companies"), namely, Central Massachusetts Gas Company ("Central Mass."), Granite State Electric Company ("Granite"), Lawrence Gas Company, ("Lawrence"), Lynn Electric Company ("Lynn Electric"), Lynn Gas Company ("Lynn Gas"), Massachusetts Electric Company ("Massachusetts"), Merrimack-Essex Electric Company ("Merrimack"), The Mystic Power Company ("Mystic"), Mystic Valley Gas Company ("Mystic Valley"), The Narragansett Electric Company ("Narragansett"), New England Power Company ("NEPCO"), Northampton Gas Light Company ("Northampton Gas"), North Shore Gas Company ("North Shore"), Norwood Gas Company ("Norwood"), Suburban Electric Company ("Suburban"), and Wachusett Gas Company ("Wachusett"). NEES and the borrowing companies have designated sections 6(a), 7, 9(a), 10, and 12(f) of the Act and Rules 42(b)(2), 43, 45(b)(1), 50(a)(2), and 50(a)(3) thereunder as applicable to the proposed transactions, which are summarized as follows:

The borrowing companies propose to issue, from time to time through December 31, 1961, unsecured promissory notes to banks and/or NEES in the maximum aggregate amount of \$64,225,000 to be outstanding at any one time. The proceeds of the proposed borrowings are to be used to pay then outstanding notes due to banks and/or to NEES (outstanding in the principal amount of \$37,905,000 at January 1, 1961), and to provide new money for construction expenditures or to reimburse the treasury therefor. The proposed notes will bear interest at not in excess of the prime rate in effect at the time of issuance, will mature in less than one year and in any event on or prior to March 31, 1962, and will be prepayable at any time, in whole or in part, without premium.

The subsidiary companies may prepay their notes to banks, in whole or in part, with borrowings from NEES, or vice versa. Any notes issued to NEES for such prepayment of notes to banks will bear interest at the prime rate, but not in excess of the interest rate on the notes being prepaid, to the date of their maturity. In the case of notes issued to banks for such prepayment of notes to NEES,

and the licensing of members of IDS's sales organization to sell insurance, so that it is desirable in the interest of clarity that the language of condition (1) be modified to recognize insurance commissions as a source of "compensation"; an increase in the functions of district managers in certain localities so that such position approaches the importance of the divisional manager and it is desirable to add a separate category for district managers in conditions (2), (3), and (4), and remove the parenthetical clause in condition (1); and a further decline in the purchasing power of the dollar so that it is desirable to increase the dollar limitations specified in the above conditions and to extend the maximum period for repayment of lump-sum loans by district and divisional sales managers.

Applicant requests that the order be modified to increase the maximum permissible advances per month in condition (2) to \$1,000 for sales representatives, \$1,500 for district sales managers, and \$2,000 for divisional sales managers; to establish a maximum debit for lump-sum loans in condition (3) of \$7,500 for district sales managers (the present limits of \$5,000 and \$10,000 for sales representatives and divisional managers, respectively, being retained) and to increase the maximum periods for the repayment of lump-sum loans to 36 months for sales representatives, to 48 months for district managers and 60 months for divisional managers instead of the flat 24 months' notes specified in condition (5) of the order with respect to all classes of persons covered by the order; and to establish new maximum debits for the aggregate of periodic advances plus lump-sum loans in condition (4) of \$7,500 for sales representatives, \$10,000 for district sales managers and \$20,000 for divisional sales managers.

Applicant also requests that the order be modified to enable divisional and district managers to conduct a program of modernization and upgrading of sales office facilities. To make this program workable, it represents that it will in some cases be necessary to make additional loans for leasehold improvements, and perhaps also for furniture and fixtures, which loans would be repayable over negotiated and rather extended periods. Accordingly, authority is being requested to make furniture and fixture and leasehold improvement loans to divisional and district sales managers who need such financing, the maximum amount of such a loan to any district manager being \$7,500 and to any divisional manager being \$20,000, repayable over a period not to exceed 60 months in the case of a district manager and 120 months in the case of a divisional manager. Such loans, however, would be included in determining the aggregate amount of all loans and advances outstanding for the purposes of the last sentence of condition (4), and to allow for this additional type of loan appli-

cant requests that the maximum figure specified in this sentence be increased from \$1,500,000 to \$2,000,000.

IDS which is also registered with this Commission as a broker-dealer under the provisions of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, is the underwriter and distributor of securities issued by Investors Syndicate of America, Inc., a registered face-amount certificate company and a wholly-owned subsidiary of IDS, and of securities issued by five registered management investment companies which were organized and promoted by IDS and which are affiliated with the latter. By definition under the Act, employees of a company are affiliated persons of such a company. Section 17(a)(3) of the Act prohibits an affiliated person or promoter of, or principal underwriter for, a registered investment company or an affiliated person of such person from borrowing money or other property from such registered company or from any company controlled by such registered company, subject to certain exceptions not here pertinent, unless the Commission, upon application pursuant to section 17(b) of the Act, grants an exemption from the provisions of section 17(a). Under the terms of section 17(b) an exemption shall be granted by the Commission if evidence establishes: that the terms of the proposed transaction, including the consideration to be paid or received, are reasonable and fair and do not involve overreaching on the part of any person concerned; that the proposed transaction is consistent with the policy of each registered investment company concerned, as recited in its registration statement and reports filed under the Act; and that the proposed transaction is consistent with the general purposes of the Act.

Notice is further given that any interested person may, not later than February 23, 1961, at 5:30 p.m., submit to the Commission in writing a request for a hearing on the matter accompanied by a statement as to the nature of his interest, the reason for such request and the issues of fact or law proposed to be controverted, or he may request that he be notified if the Commission should order a hearing thereon. Any such communication should be addressed: Secretary, Securities and Exchange Commission, Washington 25, D.C. At any time after said date, as provided by Rule O-5 of the rules and regulations promulgated under the Act, an order disposing of the application herein may be issued by the Commission upon the basis of the showing contained in said application, unless an order for hearing upon said application shall be issued upon request or upon the Commission's own motion.

By the Commission.

[SEAL]

ORVAL L. DuBOIS,  
Secretary.

[F.R. Doc. 61-1366; Filed, Feb. 15, 1961;  
8:47 a.m.]

if the interest rate exceeds that of the notes to be prepaid, NEES will credit the borrowing company with the difference between the interest rate on the new note to be issued to the bank and the interest rate on the note to be prepaid for the period from the date of the issuance of such new note to the normal maturity date of the note payable to NEES which is to be prepaid.

The following table shows for each borrowing company the estimated maximum amount of notes to be outstanding with banks and/or with NEES at any one time.

Borrowing company	Estimated maximum amount of notes to be outstanding (in thousands)		
	Banks	NEES	Banks or NEES
Central Mass.....	\$1,200		
Granite.....	1,825		
Lawrence.....	1,825		
Lynn Electric.....	600		\$1,700
Lynn Gas.....	955		
Massachusetts.....	6,250	\$5,690	4,860
Merrimack.....	3,400		2,000
Mystic.....	825		
Mystic Valley.....	4,550		
Narragansett.....	6,800		
NEPCO.....	12,750		1,250
North Shore.....	1,800		
Northampton Gas.....		890	
Norwood.....		1,205	
Suburban.....	700		2,500
Wachusett.....	850		
Total.....	44,130	7,785	12,310

The proposed bank borrowings will be made from the following banks in the aggregate maximum amounts indicated:

The First National City Bank of New York.....	\$8,400,000
The First National Bank of Boston.....	139,620,000
Naumkeag Trust Co., Salem, Mass.....	150,000
Merchants - Warren National Bank, Salem, Mass.....	100,000
Industrial National Bank of Providence.....	3,550,000
Rhode Island Hospital Trust Co.....	1,900,000
First National Bank of Malden.....	150,000
Malden Trust Co.....	100,000
Middlesex County National Bank, Everett, Mass.....	250,000
Worcester County National Bank, Worcester, Mass.....	750,000
The Mechanics National Bank of Worcester.....	300,000
Guaranty Bank & Trust Co., Worcester, Mass.....	400,000
South Shore National Bank, Quincy, Mass.....	375,000
Norfolk County Trust Co., Quincy, Mass.....	200,000
Quincy Trust Co., Quincy, Mass.....	75,000
Attleboro Trust Co., Attleboro, Mass.....	40,000
First National Bank, Attleboro, Mass.....	80,000
Total.....	56,440,000

<sup>1</sup>Includes \$12,310,000 which may be borrowed from the bank or from NEES.

Incidental services in connection with the proposed note issues will be performed, at cost, by New England Power Service Company, an affiliated service company, such cost being estimated at not exceeding \$400 for each applicant-declarant.

Appropriate action has been taken by the Public Utilities Commission of New

Hampshire with respect to the notes proposed to be issued by Granite. No further action by any regulatory commission, other than this Commission is necessary to carry out the proposed transactions.

Notice is further given that any interested person may, not later than February 23, 1961, at 5:30 p.m., request the Commission in writing that a hearing be held on such matter, stating the nature of his interest, the reason for such request, and the issues of fact or law, if any, raised by said joint application-declaration which he desires to controvert; or he may request that he be notified if the Commission should order a hearing thereon. Any such request should be addressed: Secretary, Securities and Exchange Commission, Washington 25, D.C. At any time after said date the joint application-declaration, as filed or as amended, may be granted and permitted to become effective as provided in Rule 23 of the rules and regulations promulgated under the Act, or the Commission may grant exemption from its rules as provided in Rules 20 (a) and 100, or take such other action as it may deem appropriate.

By the Commission.

[SEAL] ORVAL L. DuBOIS,  
Secretary.

[F.R. Doc. 61-1367; Filed, Feb. 15, 1961; 8:47 a.m.]

[File No. 70-3933]

**SOUTHERN CO. ET AL.**

**Notice of Filing Regarding Agreement Providing for Allocation of Consolidated Federal Income Tax Liability Among System Companies**

FEBRUARY 8, 1961.

In the matter of The Southern Company, Alabama Power Company, Gulf Power Company, Mississippi Power Company, Georgia Power Company, Southern Electric Generating Company, Alabama Property Company, Southern Services, Inc.; File No. 70-3933.

Notice is hereby given that The Southern Company, a registered holding company, and its subsidiary companies, Alabama Power Company ("Alabama"), Alabama Property Company, Georgia Power Company ("Georgia"), Gulf Power Company ("Gulf"), Mississippi Power Company ("Mississippi"), Southern Electric Generating Company ("SEGCO"), and Southern Services, Inc., have filed with this Commission a joint declaration, pursuant to the Public Utility Holding Company Act of 1935 ("Act"), regarding a proposed agreement providing for the allocation of their consolidated Federal income tax liabilities, and have designated section 12(b) of the Act and Rule 45 promulgated thereunder as applicable to the proposed transactions.

All interested persons are referred to the joint declaration on file at the office of the Commission for a statement of the transactions therein proposed, which are summarized as follows:

Declarants, who as a group join in the filing of consolidated Federal income tax returns, propose to enter into an agreement governing the allocation among said companies of their consolidated Federal income tax liabilities for the years 1960 through 1966 and which will differ from the provisions of Rule 45(b)(6). SEGCO owns, is constructing, and will operate a steam electric generating plant, certain associated transmission facilities, and certain coal mines. Two generating units and related facilities were completed and began operations in 1960, and other facilities will be completed in 1961 and 1962. Fifty percent of the outstanding capital stock of SEGCO is owned by each of Alabama and Georgia, which companies have also entered into a Power Contract with SEGCO under which they are each entitled to one-half of the capacity and energy of such generating plant and are obligated to pay therefor an amount sufficient to pay all of SEGCO's operating expenses and a 6 percent return on its net plant investment. Such amount includes an amount equal to SEGCO's income taxes and provisions for deferred taxes arising from the deduction, pursuant to section 167 of the Internal Revenue Code of 1954, as amended, of liberalized depreciation while taking straight-line depreciation for financial accounting purposes.

By reason of the deductibility by SEGCO, for income tax purposes, of interest and other expenses during the period of construction of its plant, SEGCO incurred deficits in its taxable income for each of the years 1956 through 1959; and by reason of similar deductions together with the commencement of deductions for liberalized depreciation in 1960, the company is expected to incur further deficits in its taxable income during the years 1960 through 1962. These deficits in SEGCO's taxable income have resulted, and for the years 1960 through 1962 are expected to result further, in reductions of the consolidated tax liabilities of the Southern Company group of companies. Such tax reductions for the year 1959 and prior years have inured to the benefit of Alabama, Georgia, Gulf, and Mississippi. Because of its tax deficits and the five-year carry-over provisions of the Internal Revenue Code, SEGCO will have no taxable income until 1966. As a consequence, the declaration states that the foregoing situation will give rise to certain inequities in the future allocation of consolidated income taxes among the members of the group if effected pursuant to the provisions of Rule 45(b)(6) under the Act.

Accordingly, the proposed agreement provides that (a) commencing with the tax return for 1960 any deficit in the taxable income of SEGCO shall be applied to reduce by 50 percent thereof the amount of taxable income otherwise contributed by each of Alabama and Georgia to the consolidated taxable income for such year; and (b) during subsequent years (estimated to be the years 1963 through 1966) in which some of the consolidated taxable income will be attributable to SEGCO but no liability for

income tax will be allocable to SEGCO by reason of clause (i) of Rule 45(b) (6), the amount of income tax liability which would be allocated to SEGCO but for clause (i) shall be apportioned among Alabama, Georgia, Gulf, and Mississippi, such apportionment to be in the same proportion as the aggregate reduction in income tax liability resulting to each such company as a result of deficits in SEGCO's net taxable income during the years 1958 through 1962 bears to the aggregate reductions to all of such companies during such years from such deficits, except that in no such year shall the resulting income tax liability of any such company exceed its tax liability based on a separate return computed as if such company had always filed its income tax returns on a separate return basis.

The fees and expenses to be incurred with respect to the proposed transactions are estimated at not to exceed \$2,250, consisting of service company charges of \$750 and counsel fees and expenses of \$1,500.

The joint declaration states that no State commission and no Federal commission, other than this Commission, has jurisdiction over the proposed transactions.

Notice is further given that any interested person may, not later than February 28, 1961, request in writing that a hearing be held in respect of the joint declaration, stating the nature of his interest, the reasons for such request, and the issues of fact or law which he desires to controvert, or he may request that he be notified if the Commission orders a hearing thereon. Any such request should be addressed: Secretary, Securities and Exchange Commission, Washington 25, D.C. At any time after said date, the Commission may permit to become effective the joint declaration, as filed or as it may be amended, pursuant to the provisions of Rule 23 of the general rules and regulations promulgated under the Act, or the Commission may grant exemption from its rules as provided in Rules 20(a) and 100 thereof or take such other action as it deems appropriate.

By the Commission.

[SEAL] ORVAL L. DuBOIS,  
Secretary.

[F.R. Doc. 61-1368; Filed, Feb. 15, 1961;  
8:47 a.m.]

## INTERSTATE COMMERCE COMMISSION

### FOURTH SECTION APPLICATIONS FOR RELIEF

FEBRUARY 13, 1961.

Protests to the granting of an application must be prepared in accordance with Rule 40 of the general rules of practice (49 CFR 1.40) and filed within 15 days from the date of publication of this notice in the FEDERAL REGISTER.

### LONG-AND-SHORT HAUL

FSA No. 36899: *Coarse grains and grain products from Kansas and Nebraska.* Filed by The Denver and Rio Grande Western Railroad Company (No. 2), for itself, and interested rail carriers. Rates on coarse grains and grain products, as described in the application, in carloads, from points in Kansas and Nebraska, to points in Colorado.

Grounds for relief: Motor-truck competition.

Tariff: Supplement 11 to Denver and Rio Grande Western Railroad tariff I.C.C. 1045.

FSA No. 36900: *Starch or dextrine to Palatka, Fla.* Filed by O. W. South, Jr., Agent (No. A4062), for interested rail carriers. Rates on starch or dextrine, as described in the application, in carloads, from points in Illinois, Indiana, Iowa, and Missouri, to Palatka, Fla.

Grounds for relief: Truck and rail-truck competition.

Tariff: Supplement 37 to Southern Freight Association tariff I.C.C. S-116, and other schedules listed in the application.

By the Commission.

[SEAL] HAROLD D. McCoy,  
Secretary.

[F.R. Doc. 61-1369; Filed, Feb. 15, 1961;  
8:47 a.m.]

[Notice 448]

### MOTOR CARRIER TRANSFER PROCEEDINGS

FEBRUARY 10, 1961.

Synopses of orders entered pursuant to section 212(b) of the Interstate Commerce Act, and rules and regulations prescribed thereunder (49 CFR Part 179), appear below:

As provided in the Commission's special rules of practice any interested person may file a petition seeking reconsideration of the following numbered proceedings within 20 days from the date of publication of this notice. Pursuant to section 17(8) of the Interstate Commerce Act, the filing of such a petition will postpone the effective date of the order in that proceeding pending its disposition. The matters relied upon by petitioners must be specified in their petitions with particularity.

No. MC-FC 63881. By order of February 10, 1961, the Transfer Board approved the transfer to The Epstein Transfer Company, a corporation, Thompsonville, Conn., of Certificate No. MC 69300, issued March 20, 1956, to S. Raymond Epstein, doing business as The Epstein Transfer Co., Thompsonville, Conn., authorizing the transportation of: General commodities, with the usual exceptions including household goods and commodities in bulk, between Springfield, Mass., and Enfield, Conn., serving all intermediate points and certain specified off-route points, and, household goods, between points in the Townships of Enfield, Suffield, East Granby, Windsor Locks, Windsor, East Windsor, and

Somers, Conn., on the one hand, and, on the other, points in New York, Massachusetts, and Rhode Island. Sidney L. Goldstein, 109 Church Street, New Haven, Conn., attorney for applicants.

[SEAL] HAROLD D. McCoy,  
Secretary.

[F.R. Doc. 61-1370; Filed, Feb. 15, 1961;  
8:48 a.m.]

### ORGANIZATION OF DIVISIONS AND BOARDS AND ASSIGNMENT OF WORK

#### Miscellaneous Amendments

At a general session of the Interstate Commerce Commission, held at its office in Washington, D.C., on the 7th day of February A.D. 1961.

Section 17 of the Interstate Commerce Act, as amended (49 U.S.C. 17), and other provisions of the law being under consideration, with a view to providing for the elimination from the assignment of work to Division 1 of initial jurisdiction over certain classes of proceedings which have not involved the taking of testimony at a public hearing or the submission of evidence by opposing parties in the form of affidavits, concurrent with the creation of three Motor Carrier Boards and assignment of such proceedings to such boards, providing further for the designation of Division 1 as an appellate division to consider applications for rehearing, reargument, or reconsideration of any decisions, orders, requirements, or other actions of the Motor Carrier Boards, the decisions of said appellate division to be administratively final and not subject to review by the Commission:

It is ordered, That the Organization Minutes of the Interstate Commerce Commission relating to the Organization of Divisions and Boards and Assignment of Work, issue of January 1, 1959, as amended (24 F.R. 2506, 4070, 5667, 9230, 25 F.R. 96, 3608, and 26 F.R. 241, 861), be, and they are hereby amended in the following particulars:

1. Under the heading Assignment of Duties to Division, in Item 4.2 *Division One—Operating Rights Division*, delete paragraphs (b), (c), (p), (r), and (u), and substitute in lieu thereof the following paragraphs:

(b) Section 204(a) (1), (2), (3), (3a), and (5), so far as relates to reasonable requirements with respect to continuous and adequate service and transportation of baggage and express by common carriers, and to qualifications and maximum hours of service of employees, safety of operation and equipment, and comfort of passengers, for motor carriers, but not including requirements for the safe transportation of explosives and other dangerous articles, and not including matters assigned to and determined by a Motor Carrier Board pursuant to Item 7.8.

(c) Section 204(a) (4) and section 211 (a) to (c), inclusive, relating to the regulation of brokers (other than their accounts, records, and reports, the transfer of brokers' licenses, changes in control

of corporations or associations holding brokers' licenses and security for the protection of the public).

(p) Section 215 and section 211(c), relating to security for the protection of the public, except matters assigned to and determined by a Motor Carrier Board pursuant to Item 7.8.

(r) Section 403(c) and (d) relating to authority to prescribe reasonable rules and regulations governing the filing of surety bonds, policies of insurance, etc., by freight forwarders, except matters assigned to and determined by a Motor Carrier Board pursuant to Item 7.8.

(u) Section 204(e) and (f), and section 204(a)(6) so far as it relates to the lease and interchange of vehicles by motor carriers, including authority to act on applications for approval of contract carrier rental contracts under § 207.6(b) of the lease and interchange regulations (49 CFR 207.6(b)), except, in each case, matters assigned to and determined by a Motor Carrier Board pursuant to Item 7.8.

2. Under the heading Assignment of Duties to Individual Commissioners, amend Item 6.8 by deleting paragraph (a) thereof in its entirety, and substituting paragraph (b) in lieu of the deleted material.

3. Under the heading Assignment to Boards, add the following item, to be designated 7.8 *Motor Carrier Boards*:

(a) Motor Carrier Board No. 1:

(1) Section 211(c) relating to bonds or other security to assure financial responsibility of brokers, section 215 with respect to the furnishing by motor carriers of bonds, insurance, or other security, for the protection of the public, and section 403(c) and (d) with respect to the furnishing by freight forwarders of bonds, insurance, or other security for the protection of the public, except matters which involve or have involved the taking of testimony at a public hearing or the submission of evidence by opposing parties in the form of affidavits.

(2) Section 221(a) and (c) relating to the designation by motor carriers and brokers of persons upon whom orders and notices may be served and the designation of agents upon whom service of process may be made, except matters which involve or have involved the taking of testimony at a public hearing or the submission of evidence by opposing parties in the form of affidavits.

(b) Motor Carrier Board No. 2:

(1) Section 204(a)(1), (2), (3), (3a), and (5) so far as relates to reasonable requirements with respect to qualifications and maximum hours of service of employees, safety of operation and equipment, and comfort of passengers under section 204(a)(3a), for motor carriers, including the issuance and release of motor carrier accident investigation reports, but not including requirements for the safe transportation of explosives and other dangerous articles, not including the entering into arrangements for the making of tests and experiments by other governmental agencies or the use of facilities of other governmental agen-

cies where the cost thereof is to be paid by the Commission, and not including matters which involve or have involved the taking of testimony at a public hearing or the submission of evidence by opposing parties in the form of affidavits.

(2) Section 222(d) relating to authorizing or directing the disclosure of information concerning motor carrier accidents and compliance by motor carriers with the safety regulations (49 CFR parts 190-198) coming to the knowledge of Commission employees during the course of inspections made under authority of section 220, except when the authorizing or directing of the disclosure involves or has involved the taking of testimony at a public hearing or the submission of evidence by opposing parties in the form of affidavits.

(c) Motor Carrier Board No. 3: Section 204(e) and (f) and section 204(a)(6) so far as they relate to the lease and interchange of vehicles by motor carriers, including authority to act on applications for approval of contract carrier rental contracts under § 207.6(b) of the lease and interchange regulations (49 CFR 207.6(b)), except, in each case, matters which involve or have involved the taking of testimony at a public hearing or the submission of evidence by opposing parties in the form of affidavits.

(d) Any matter referred to a Motor Carrier Board which is assigned for the taking of testimony at a public hearing shall be carried to a conclusion in accordance with the established practices and assignment of work of the Commission.

(e) Any Motor Carrier Board may certify to Division 1 any matter which in the Board's judgment should be passed on by that division, or the Commission, and Division 1 may recall any matter from a Motor Carrier Board.

4. Under the heading Rehearings and Further Proceedings, delete Item 8.5, and in lieu thereof substitute the following:

8.5 Division One is hereby designated as an appellate division to which applications or petitions for reconsideration or review of any order, action, or requirement of the Temporary Authorities Board under paragraphs (a) and (b) of Item 7.4, and of the Motor Carrier Boards under paragraphs (a), (b), and (c), of Item 7.8 shall be assigned or referred for disposition, (except as otherwise provided in Item 7.4(a)) and the decisions or orders of the appellate division shall be administratively final and not subject to review by the Commission.

5. Under the heading Bureaus and Offices of the Commission, add to Item 9.8 Motor Carriers, the following:

(f) Motor Carrier Boards—Chairman of the respective Boards.

*It is further ordered*, That the foregoing amendments shall become effective February 21, 1961.

By the Commission.

[SEAL] HAROLD D. McCoy,  
Secretary.

[F.R. Doc. 61-1371, Filed, Feb. 15, 1961; 8:48 a.m.]

# DEPARTMENT OF THE INTERIOR

## Bureau of Land Management

### NEVADA

#### Order Providing for Opening of Public Lands

1. In exchanges of lands made under the provisions of section 8 of the Act of June 28, 1934 (48 Stat. 1269; 43 U.S.C. 315g) as amended, the following described lands have been conveyed to the United States:

#### MOUNT DIABLO MERIDIAN

[Nevada 056270, 053623, 053625]

T. 27 N., R. 18 E.,  
Sec. 1, NE $\frac{1}{4}$ ;  
Sec. 10, NE $\frac{1}{4}$ NE $\frac{1}{4}$ ;  
Sec. 11, W $\frac{1}{2}$ ;  
Sec. 13, S $\frac{1}{2}$ .

[Nevada 056280, 056256, 056264]

T. 42 N., R. 19 E.,  
Sec. 13, SE $\frac{1}{4}$ ;  
Sec. 21, N $\frac{1}{2}$ SW $\frac{1}{4}$ ;  
Sec. 31, NE $\frac{1}{4}$ NW $\frac{1}{4}$ .

[Nevada 046429]

T. 32 N., R. 32 E.,  
Sec. 3, lots 1 and 2 of NE $\frac{1}{4}$ , lot 2 of NW $\frac{1}{4}$ .  
T. 33 N., R. 32 E.,  
Secs. 1, 3, 5, 7, 9, 11, 13, 15, 17, 19, 21, 23,  
25, 27, 29, 31, and 33;  
Sec. 35, N $\frac{1}{2}$ , SW $\frac{1}{4}$ , N $\frac{1}{2}$ SE $\frac{1}{4}$ , SW $\frac{1}{4}$ SE $\frac{1}{4}$ ,  
NE $\frac{1}{4}$ NE $\frac{1}{4}$ SE $\frac{1}{4}$ SE $\frac{1}{4}$ , W $\frac{1}{2}$ NE $\frac{1}{4}$ SE $\frac{1}{4}$ SE $\frac{1}{4}$ ,  
NW $\frac{1}{4}$ SE $\frac{1}{4}$ SE $\frac{1}{4}$ , NW $\frac{1}{4}$ SW $\frac{1}{4}$ SE $\frac{1}{4}$ SE $\frac{1}{4}$ .

T. 33 N., R. 33 E.,  
Sec. 5, lots 1 and 2 of NW $\frac{1}{4}$ , S $\frac{1}{2}$ ;  
Sec. 7;  
Sec. 9, NW $\frac{1}{4}$ , S $\frac{1}{2}$ ;  
Sec. 17;

Sec. 19, N $\frac{1}{2}$ , SW $\frac{1}{4}$ , N $\frac{1}{2}$ SE $\frac{1}{4}$ , N $\frac{1}{2}$ SW $\frac{1}{4}$ SE $\frac{1}{4}$ ,  
SW $\frac{1}{4}$ SW $\frac{1}{4}$ SE $\frac{1}{4}$ , NW $\frac{1}{4}$ SE $\frac{1}{4}$ SW $\frac{1}{4}$ SE $\frac{1}{4}$ ,  
N $\frac{1}{2}$ N $\frac{1}{2}$ SE $\frac{1}{4}$ SE $\frac{1}{4}$ ;  
Sec. 21, N $\frac{1}{2}$ , N $\frac{1}{2}$ SW $\frac{1}{4}$ , N $\frac{1}{2}$ NW $\frac{1}{4}$ SW $\frac{1}{4}$ SW $\frac{1}{4}$ ,  
SE $\frac{1}{4}$ NW $\frac{1}{4}$ SW $\frac{1}{4}$ SW $\frac{1}{4}$ , E $\frac{1}{2}$ SW $\frac{1}{4}$ SW $\frac{1}{4}$ ,  
SW $\frac{1}{4}$ , E $\frac{1}{2}$ SW $\frac{1}{4}$ SW $\frac{1}{4}$ , SE $\frac{1}{4}$ SW $\frac{1}{4}$ , SE $\frac{1}{4}$ .

T. 35 N., R. 38 E.,  
Sec. 1, W $\frac{1}{2}$  of lot 2, W $\frac{1}{2}$  of lot 5, S $\frac{1}{2}$  of  
lot 7, lots 3 and 4, SW $\frac{1}{4}$ NE $\frac{1}{4}$ , S $\frac{1}{2}$ NW $\frac{1}{4}$ ,  
NE $\frac{1}{4}$ SW $\frac{1}{4}$ , S $\frac{1}{2}$ SW $\frac{1}{4}$ , N $\frac{1}{2}$ NW $\frac{1}{4}$ SE $\frac{1}{4}$ ,  
SW $\frac{1}{4}$ NW $\frac{1}{4}$ SE $\frac{1}{4}$ , W $\frac{1}{2}$ SW $\frac{1}{4}$ SE $\frac{1}{4}$ .

T. 36 N., R. 38 E.,  
Sec. 1, S $\frac{1}{2}$ , except 3.90 acres conveyed to  
Western Pacific Railway Company by  
Deed dated November 27, 1908, and ex-  
cept a strip of land 400 feet wide, con-  
taining 46.92 acres, lying equally on each  
side of Central Pacific Railway Com-  
pany's railroad as now constructed;  
Secs. 11, 13, 15, 23, 25, 27, and 35.

T. 35 N., R. 39 E.,  
Secs. 5, 7, 9, and 17.

T. 36 N., R. 39 E.,  
Sec. 5, all, except 25.33 acres conveyed to  
Western Pacific Railway Company by  
Deeds dated November 27, 1908, March 2,  
1909 and November 11, 1924, and except  
a strip of land 400 feet wide, containing  
47.99 acres, lying equally on each side  
of Central Pacific Railway Company's  
railroad as now constructed;  
Secs. 7, 17, 19, 29, and 31.

T. 32 N., R. 40 E.,  
Secs. 1, 11, 13, and 23;  
Sec. 25, N $\frac{1}{2}$ .

T. 33 N., R. 40 E.,  
Secs. 1, 3, 11, 13, and 15;  
Sec. 23, lots 1, 3, and 4, E $\frac{1}{2}$ , NE $\frac{1}{4}$ NW $\frac{1}{4}$ ,  
W $\frac{1}{2}$ W $\frac{1}{2}$ , SE $\frac{1}{4}$ SW $\frac{1}{4}$ ;  
Secs. 25, 27, and 35.

T. 34 N., R. 40 E.,  
Sec. 1;  
Sec. 11;  
Sec. 13, lots 1, 2, 3, and 4, N $\frac{1}{2}$ N $\frac{1}{2}$ , SE $\frac{1}{4}$   
NE $\frac{1}{4}$ , S $\frac{1}{2}$ NW $\frac{1}{4}$ , NW $\frac{1}{4}$ SW $\frac{1}{4}$ , E $\frac{1}{2}$ SE $\frac{1}{4}$ ,  
SW $\frac{1}{4}$ SE $\frac{1}{4}$ ;

Secs. 15, 23, and 27;  
 Sec. 29, E $\frac{1}{2}$ ;  
 Sec. 31, lots 2, 3, and 4, E $\frac{1}{2}$ SW $\frac{1}{4}$ , S $\frac{1}{2}$ SE $\frac{1}{4}$ .  
 T. 35 N., R. 40 E.,  
 Secs. 11, 13, and 23;  
 Sec. 25, NE $\frac{1}{4}$ NE $\frac{1}{4}$ , NE $\frac{1}{4}$ NW $\frac{1}{4}$ NE $\frac{1}{4}$ , S $\frac{1}{2}$ NW $\frac{1}{4}$ NE $\frac{1}{4}$ , NW $\frac{1}{4}$ NE $\frac{1}{4}$ NW $\frac{1}{4}$ , S $\frac{1}{2}$ NE $\frac{1}{4}$ NW $\frac{1}{4}$ , NW $\frac{1}{4}$ NW $\frac{1}{4}$ , S $\frac{1}{2}$ N $\frac{1}{2}$ , S $\frac{1}{2}$ ;  
 Sec. 35.  
 T. 31 N., R. 41 E.,  
 Secs. 1, 3, 9, and 11;  
 Sec. 13, N $\frac{1}{2}$ , SW $\frac{1}{4}$ .  
 T. 32 N., R. 41 E.,  
 Secs. 1, 3, 5, 7, 9, 11, 13, 15, 17, 19, 21, 23, 25, 27, 29, 31, 33, and 35.  
 T. 33 N., R. 41 E.,  
 Sec. 1, lots 2, 3, 4, 5, 6, and 7, SW $\frac{1}{4}$ NE $\frac{1}{4}$ , S $\frac{1}{2}$ NW $\frac{1}{4}$ , SW $\frac{1}{4}$ , W $\frac{1}{2}$ SE $\frac{1}{4}$ ;  
 Secs. 3, 7, 11, and 13;  
 Sec. 15, N $\frac{1}{2}$ , NE $\frac{1}{4}$ SW $\frac{1}{4}$ , S $\frac{1}{2}$ SW $\frac{1}{4}$ , SE $\frac{1}{4}$ ;  
 Sec. 17;  
 Sec. 19;  
 Sec. 21, NW $\frac{1}{4}$ NE $\frac{1}{4}$ , S $\frac{1}{2}$ NE $\frac{1}{4}$ , NW $\frac{1}{4}$ , S $\frac{1}{2}$ ;  
 Secs. 23, 25, 27, 29, 31, 33, and 35.  
 T. 34 N., R. 41 E.,  
 Secs. 1, 3, 5, 7, 9, 11, 13, 15, 17, 19, 21, 23, 25, 27, 29, 31, and 35.  
 T. 35 N., R. 41 E.,  
 Sec. 1, lots 1, 2, 3, 6, 7, 8, 9, 10, 11, 13, 14, 15, 16, and 17, E $\frac{1}{2}$ SW $\frac{1}{4}$ , W $\frac{1}{2}$ SE $\frac{1}{4}$ ;  
 Secs. 3, 5, 7, and 9;  
 Sec. 11, S $\frac{1}{2}$ NE $\frac{1}{4}$ , NW $\frac{1}{4}$ , S $\frac{1}{2}$ ;  
 Sec. 13;  
 Sec. 15, N $\frac{1}{2}$ , SW $\frac{1}{4}$ , W $\frac{1}{2}$ SE $\frac{1}{4}$ ;  
 Sec. 17, NE $\frac{1}{4}$ , NE $\frac{1}{4}$ NW $\frac{1}{4}$ , E $\frac{1}{2}$ SE $\frac{1}{4}$ NW $\frac{1}{4}$ , E $\frac{1}{2}$ SW $\frac{1}{4}$ , SE $\frac{1}{4}$ ;  
 Sec. 19, lots 1, 2, 3, and 4;  
 Sec. 21, N $\frac{1}{2}$ , SW $\frac{1}{4}$ , NE $\frac{1}{4}$ SE $\frac{1}{4}$ , S $\frac{1}{2}$ SE $\frac{1}{4}$ ;  
 Sec. 23, E $\frac{1}{2}$ , N $\frac{1}{2}$ NW $\frac{1}{4}$ , SE $\frac{1}{4}$ NW $\frac{1}{4}$ , E $\frac{1}{2}$ SW $\frac{1}{4}$ ;  
 Sec. 25;  
 Sec. 27;  
 Sec. 29, E $\frac{1}{2}$ , E $\frac{1}{2}$ W $\frac{1}{2}$ , NW $\frac{1}{4}$ NW $\frac{1}{4}$ , SW $\frac{1}{4}$ SW $\frac{1}{4}$ ;  
 Sec. 31, lots 1, 2, 3, and 4, NE $\frac{1}{4}$ NE $\frac{1}{4}$ , S $\frac{1}{2}$ NE $\frac{1}{4}$ , E $\frac{1}{2}$ NW $\frac{1}{4}$ , SE $\frac{1}{4}$ ;  
 Sec. 33;  
 Sec. 35.  
 T. 36 N., R. 41 E.,  
 Sec. 21, lots 3, 4, and 5, NW $\frac{1}{4}$ SE $\frac{1}{4}$ ;  
 Sec. 23, all, except 0.64 acres lying within 200 feet of center line of Central Pacific Railroad Company's railroad as now constructed;  
 Secs. 25, 27, 29, and 31.  
 T. 34 N., R. 42 E.,  
 Secs. 5, 7, 9, 17, 19, 21, 29, and 33.  
 T. 36 N., R. 42 E.,  
 Sec. 31, all, except a strip of land 400 feet wide, containing 47.66 acres, lying equally on each side of Central Pacific Railroad Company's railroad as now constructed and except 2.83 acres located in the NE $\frac{1}{4}$ SE $\frac{1}{4}$  described as follows: Beginning at the northeast corner of the SE $\frac{1}{4}$ NE $\frac{1}{4}$ SE $\frac{1}{4}$  of said sec. 31, thence west 425 feet to the northeasterly boundary of the excluded strip of land 400 feet wide, thence southeasterly along said northeasterly boundary to the east line of said sec. 31, thence north 580 feet to the point of beginning.  
 [Nevada 056036, 056275]

T. 32 N., R. 49 E.,  
 Sec. 23, SE $\frac{1}{4}$ ;  
 Sec. 25, NW $\frac{1}{4}$ ;  
 Sec. 27, SE $\frac{1}{4}$ ;  
 Sec. 35, NW $\frac{1}{4}$ .  
 T. 39 N., R. 51 E.,  
 Sec. 1, SE $\frac{1}{4}$ NE $\frac{1}{4}$ .  
 T. 39 N., R. 52 E.,  
 Sec. 6, lots 5 and 6, S $\frac{1}{2}$ NE $\frac{1}{4}$ , SE $\frac{1}{4}$ NW $\frac{1}{4}$ , NE $\frac{1}{4}$ SW $\frac{1}{4}$ , NW $\frac{1}{4}$ SE $\frac{1}{4}$ .  
 [Nevada 056039]

T. 38 N., R. 54 E.,  
 Sec. 15, SE $\frac{1}{4}$ ;  
 Sec. 23, N $\frac{1}{2}$ .  
 T. 38 N., R. 55 E.,  
 Sec. 9, N $\frac{1}{2}$ , SW $\frac{1}{4}$ ;

Sec. 17, N $\frac{1}{2}$ , SW $\frac{1}{4}$ ;  
 Sec. 19, lots 1, 2, 3, and 4, NE $\frac{1}{4}$ , E $\frac{1}{2}$ W $\frac{1}{2}$ .  
 [Nevada 056259, 056266, 056265]

T. 44 N., R. 57 E.,  
 Sec. 31, lots 1, 2, and 3, S $\frac{1}{2}$ NE $\frac{1}{4}$ , E $\frac{1}{2}$ NW $\frac{1}{4}$ , NE $\frac{1}{4}$ SW $\frac{1}{4}$ , N $\frac{1}{2}$ SE $\frac{1}{4}$ ;  
 Sec. 32, S $\frac{1}{2}$ NW $\frac{1}{4}$ , SW $\frac{1}{4}$ .  
 T. 43 N., R. 63 E.,  
 Sec. 9, SE $\frac{1}{4}$ NE $\frac{1}{4}$ .  
 T. 11 N., R. 66 E.,  
 Sec. 33, NE $\frac{1}{4}$ NW $\frac{1}{4}$ .  
 [Nevada 056272, 056265]

T. 21 N., R. 66 E.,  
 Sec. 28, NW $\frac{1}{4}$ SW $\frac{1}{4}$ .  
 T. 12 N., R. 67 E.,  
 Sec. 11, SE $\frac{1}{4}$ NE $\frac{1}{4}$ , SW $\frac{1}{4}$ SE $\frac{1}{4}$ ;  
 Sec. 22, SW $\frac{1}{4}$ SE $\frac{1}{4}$ ;  
 Sec. 27, W $\frac{1}{2}$ NE $\frac{1}{4}$ , NW $\frac{1}{4}$ SE $\frac{1}{4}$ ;  
 Sec. 28, SE $\frac{1}{4}$ NW $\frac{1}{4}$ , NE $\frac{1}{4}$ SE $\frac{1}{4}$ .  
 T. 14 N., R. 67 E.,  
 Sec. 5, lot 2, SW $\frac{1}{4}$ NE $\frac{1}{4}$ , E $\frac{1}{2}$ SW $\frac{1}{4}$ ;  
 Sec. 8, E $\frac{1}{2}$ W $\frac{1}{2}$ ;  
 Sec. 17, E $\frac{1}{2}$ W $\frac{1}{2}$ ;  
 Sec. 20, E $\frac{1}{2}$ NW $\frac{1}{4}$ ;  
 Sec. 29, E $\frac{1}{2}$ NW $\frac{1}{4}$ , SW $\frac{1}{4}$ ;  
 Sec. 32, E $\frac{1}{2}$ W $\frac{1}{2}$ .  
 [Nevada 056253, 056265, 056272]

T. 15 N., R. 67 E.,  
 Sec. 8, SE $\frac{1}{4}$ NE $\frac{1}{4}$ ;  
 Sec. 17, N $\frac{1}{2}$ NW $\frac{1}{4}$ , NW $\frac{1}{4}$ NE $\frac{1}{4}$ ;  
 Sec. 32, W $\frac{1}{2}$ SE $\frac{1}{4}$ .  
 T. 25 N., R. 67 E.,  
 Sec. 30, lot 1.  
 [Nevada 056251, 056255]

T. 2 N., R. 69 E.,  
 Sec. 24, NW $\frac{1}{4}$ NE $\frac{1}{4}$ .  
 T. 10 N., R. 70 E.,  
 Sec. 14, SW $\frac{1}{4}$ NW $\frac{1}{4}$ .

The above tracts aggregate 103,899.92 acres more or less.

2. The conveyances to the United States included the minerals in the following described lands only:

MOUNT DIABLO MERIDIAN

T. 27 N., R. 18 E.,  
 Sec. 1, NE $\frac{1}{4}$ ;  
 Sec. 10, NE $\frac{1}{4}$ NE $\frac{1}{4}$ ;  
 Sec. 11, W $\frac{1}{2}$ ;  
 Sec. 13, S $\frac{1}{2}$ .  
 T. 42 N., R. 19 E.,  
 Sec. 21, N $\frac{1}{2}$ SW $\frac{1}{4}$ .  
 T. 39 N., R. 51 E.,  
 Sec. 1, SE $\frac{1}{4}$ NE $\frac{1}{4}$ .  
 T. 39 N., R. 52 E.,  
 Sec. 6, lots 5 and 6, S $\frac{1}{2}$ NE $\frac{1}{4}$ , SE $\frac{1}{4}$ NW $\frac{1}{4}$ , NE $\frac{1}{4}$ SW $\frac{1}{4}$ , NW $\frac{1}{4}$ SE $\frac{1}{4}$ .  
 T. 44 N., R. 57 E.,  
 Sec. 31, lots 1, 2, and 3, S $\frac{1}{2}$ NE $\frac{1}{4}$ , E $\frac{1}{2}$ NW $\frac{1}{4}$ , NE $\frac{1}{4}$ SW $\frac{1}{4}$ , N $\frac{1}{2}$ SE $\frac{1}{4}$ ;  
 Sec. 32, S $\frac{1}{2}$ NW $\frac{1}{4}$ , SW $\frac{1}{4}$ .  
 T. 11 N., R. 66 E.,  
 Sec. 33, NE $\frac{1}{4}$ NW $\frac{1}{4}$ .  
 T. 21 N., R. 66 E.,  
 Sec. 28, NW $\frac{1}{4}$ SW $\frac{1}{4}$ .  
 T. 12 N., R. 67 E.,  
 Sec. 11, SE $\frac{1}{4}$ NE $\frac{1}{4}$ , SW $\frac{1}{4}$ SE $\frac{1}{4}$ ;  
 Sec. 22, SW $\frac{1}{4}$ SE $\frac{1}{4}$ ;  
 Sec. 27, W $\frac{1}{2}$ NE $\frac{1}{4}$ , NW $\frac{1}{4}$ SE $\frac{1}{4}$ ;  
 Sec. 28, SE $\frac{1}{4}$ NE $\frac{1}{4}$ , NE $\frac{1}{4}$ SE $\frac{1}{4}$ .  
 T. 14 N., R. 67 E.,  
 Sec. 5, lot 2, SW $\frac{1}{4}$ NE $\frac{1}{4}$ , E $\frac{1}{2}$ SW $\frac{1}{4}$ ;  
 Sec. 8, E $\frac{1}{2}$ W $\frac{1}{2}$ ;  
 Sec. 17, E $\frac{1}{2}$ W $\frac{1}{2}$ ;  
 Sec. 20, E $\frac{1}{2}$ NW $\frac{1}{4}$ ;  
 Sec. 29, E $\frac{1}{2}$ NW $\frac{1}{4}$ , SW $\frac{1}{4}$ ;  
 Sec. 32, E $\frac{1}{2}$ W $\frac{1}{2}$ .  
 T. 15 N., R. 67 E.,  
 Sec. 8, SE $\frac{1}{4}$ NE $\frac{1}{4}$ ;  
 Sec. 17, N $\frac{1}{2}$ NW $\frac{1}{4}$ , NW $\frac{1}{4}$ NE $\frac{1}{4}$ ;  
 Sec. 32, W $\frac{1}{2}$ SE $\frac{1}{4}$ .  
 T. 25 N., R. 67 E.,  
 Sec. 30, lot 1.  
 T. 10 N., R. 70 E.,  
 Sec. 14, SW $\frac{1}{4}$ NW $\frac{1}{4}$ .

3. The conveyances to the United States included only the gas, coal, oil and oil shale in the following described lands:

## MOUNT DIABLO MERIDIAN

T. 42 N., R. 19 E.,  
 Sec. 13, SE $\frac{1}{4}$ .

4. The land in T. 27 N., R. 18 E., is located from approximately 3 to 6 $\frac{1}{2}$  miles northwest of Flanigan, Nevada, in Honey Lake Valley. The elevation is about 4,000 feet above sea level. The land varies from flat to rolling with soil grading from rocky to clay loam. The average annual precipitation is 7 inches. The land does not appear to be valuable for agricultural purposes.

5. The land in T. 42 N., R. 19 E., lies south of Vya, Nevada, is relatively level, with some land situated on a rocky bench which ascends into fairly steep slopes. Elevation is from 5,000 feet to 6,000 feet. Soil is rocky to sandy clay loam covered with sagebrush, bluebunch, wheatgrass, bluegrass, annual weeds and flowers, with scattered juniper and pinon trees. Agricultural development of the land does not appear feasible.

6. The land in Tps. 32 and 33 N., R. 32 E., and T. 33 N., R. 33 E., is located west and north of Rye Patch Reservoir in Pershing County, Nevada. Access is provided by a gravel road that leaves Highway 40 one mile southwest of Imlay, Nevada. Soils are primarily clay loams with stony gravel fans, supporting shadscale, white sage, bluegrass and other annual grasses. Elevation varies from 4,113 feet to 5,000 feet above sea level. The area does not have any potential value for agricultural development.

7. The land in Tps. 35 and 36 N., Rs. 38 and 39 E., is situated east of Winnemucca, Nevada, adjacent to or nearby Highway 40. Elevation is from 4,500 feet to the west, to 9,000 feet in the Sonoma Range to the east. The western portion is a fan descending from the Sonoma Range. The soil grades from stony and gravelly near the Sonoma Range to sandy loam in the lower reaches, and supports shadscale, white sage, bluegrass and other annual grasses.

8. The land in Tps. 32, 33, 34 and 35 N., R. 40 E.; Tps. 31, 32, 33, 34, 35, and 36 N., R. 41 E., and Tps. 34 and 36 N., R. 42 E., lies in Pershing and Humboldt Counties south and east of Golconda, Nevada. Elevation varies from 8,000 feet in the mountain ranges to 4,500 feet in the intervening valleys. Vegetation cover consists of shadscale, white sage, bluegrass, and other annual grasses. Agricultural development of the area does not appear feasible.

9. The land in T. 32 N., R. 49 E., is located approximately 3 miles northeast of Beowawe, Nevada, at an elevation of 4,800 feet. The topography varies from bottom valley land to steep hillsides with about a 40 percent slope. The vegetation consists of sagebrush, rabbitbrush, greasewood, cheatwood and some bluegrass. Moderate to heavy sheet erosion is evidenced with gullies 2 to 3 feet deep.

10. The land in T. 39 N., Rs. 51 and 52 E., lies on a broad plain at an elevation of 6,000 feet, with a gentle slope to the southeast. It is approximately 3 miles southeast of Tuscarora, Nevada. The

annual precipitation is 14 inches. The soil is sandy loam of good depth and supports a growth of sagebrush, rabbitbrush, perennial rye grass, annual brome grass and perennial weeds.

11. The land in T. 38 N., Rs. 54 and 55 E., is located approximately 21 to 24 miles, airline distance, north of Elko, Nevada, and is accessible by State Highways. The elevation is about 5,900 feet with an average annual precipitation of 11 to 12 inches. The land is level to hilly with a dense stand of sagebrush, rabbitbrush, interspersed with giant wild rye grass and squirreltail grass. As all irrigation water appears to be appropriated, development for agricultural purposes does not seem feasible.

12. The land in T. 44 N., R. 57 E., is situated in low rolling foothill country, 2 miles east of Mount Velma, 60 miles north of Elko, Nevada. The land is 6,500 feet above sea level with shallow, rocky soil, and bedrock extruding in many places. Vegetation is mainly buckbrush and sagebrush, with a dense understory of wheatgrass, bluegrass and miscellaneous forbs. The land does not appear to be of value for agricultural development.

13. The land in T. 43 N., R. 63 E., is located about 10 miles southwest of Contact, Nevada, in Jakes Creek Valley. The land is level with a slight facing slope and is approximately 5,000 feet above sea level. Vegetation consists of greasewood, sagebrush and rabbitbrush. Agricultural development does not appear feasible.

14. The land in T. 11 N., R. 66 E., is 35 miles southeast of Ely, Nevada, in the rolling foothills of Schell Creek Range at an elevation of 6,600 feet. The soil is rocky clay with no agricultural possibilities. Vegetation consists of sagebrush with scattered juniper.

15. The land in T. 21 N., R. 66 E., is situated in the northern end of Spring Valley, about 45 miles northeast of Ely, Nevada. The land has no apparent value for agricultural development because of the very rocky soil, which supports only a sparse growth of semi-desert plants.

16. The land in T. 12 N., R. 67 E., is 36 miles southeast of Ely, Nevada, in the Shoshone area of Spring Valley, adjoining Scotty Meadows. The terrain is relatively level, being in the center of a broad valley. Elevation is 6,500 feet. Soil is a heavy alkaline clay and supports rabbitbrush, greasewood and shadscale. The land has no apparent agricultural possibilities.

17. The land in Tps. 14 and 15 N., R. 67 E., is 20 to 50 miles southeast of Ely, Nevada, in central Spring Valley. Elevation is from 6,400 to 6,500 feet above sea level. The soil varies from sandy clay to a heavy clay, highly alkaline and subject to severe sheet erosion. Vegetation is sagebrush, rabbitbrush, greasewood, with some saltgrass present. The land does not appear to have any value for agricultural development.

18. The land in T. 25 N., R. 67 E., is situated in the Antelope Range, about 54 miles northeast of Ely, Nevada, in an extremely mountainous area. The soil in part is deep, fertile loam, but due to the limited acreage and apparent lack of

water, cultivation does not seem feasible. Vegetative covering consists of sagebrush, rabbitbrush, wild rye, and numerous annual forbs.

19. The land in T. 2 N., R. 69 E., is located in Eagle Valley, in a canyon not over 1/2 mile wide, about 20 miles northeast of Pioche, Nevada. The soil is a rich, sandy loam, but due to erosion and high water from the existing stream, a channel has been gouged to a depth of 15 feet and 25 feet wide in many places, therefore, agricultural development does not seem practicable. Vegetation consists chiefly of big sage, rabbitbrush, black sage, perennial forbs and grasses.

20. The land in T. 10 N., R. 70 E., is about 23 miles southwest of Garrison, Utah, in White Pine County, Nevada. The terrain is fairly flat with gentle slopes to the east and northeast. Vegetation consists of rabbitbrush, greasewood and some saltgrass.

21. Pursuant to the authority delegated to me by Order No. 541, Section 3.5 of the Director, Bureau of Land Management, of April 21, 1954, and subject to valid existing rights and requirements of applicable law, the lands described above are hereby opened to filing of applications, selections and locations in accordance with the following:

a. Applications and selections under the nonmineral public land laws for all lands described above, and applications and offers under applicable mineral leasing laws for lands described in paragraphs 2 and 3 above, may be presented to the Manager, mentioned below, beginning on the date of this order. Such applications, selections and offers will be considered as filed on the hour and respective dates shown for the various classes enumerated in the following paragraphs:

(1) Applications by persons having prior existing valid settlement rights, preference rights conferred by existing laws, or equitable claims subject to allowance and confirmation will be adjudicated on the facts presented in support of each claim or right. All applications presented by persons other than those referred to in this paragraph will be subject to the applications and claims mentioned in this paragraph.

(2) All other valid applications and selections under the non-mineral public land laws, and applications and offers under applicable mineral leasing laws for the lands described in paragraphs 2 and 3 above, presented prior to 10:00 a.m., on March 16, 1961, will be considered as simultaneously filed at that hour. Rights under such applications and selections filed after that hour will be governed by the time of filing.

22. The lands described in paragraph 2 above will be open to location under the United States Mining laws, beginning 10:00 a.m., on March 16, 1961.

23. Persons claiming preference rights based upon valid settlement, statutory preference, or equitable claims must enclose properly corroborated statements in support of their application, setting forth all facts relevant to their claims. Detailed rules and regulations governing applications, which may be filed pursuant to this notice, can be found in Title 43 of the Code of Federal Regulations.

24. Inquiries concerning the restored lands shall be addressed to the Manager, Land Office, Bureau of Land Management, Post Office Box No. 1551, Reno, Nevada.

J. E. KEOGH,  
Manager, Land Office.

FEBRUARY 8, 1961.

[F.R. Doc. 61-1365; Filed, Feb. 15, 1961; 8:47 a.m.]

Office of the Secretary

GLENN J. HALL

Statement of Changes in Financial Interests

In accordance with the requirements of section 710(b)(6) of the Defense Production Act of 1950, as amended, and Executive Order 10647 of November 28, 1955, the following changes have taken place in my financial interests during the past six months:

- (1) None.
- (2) None.
- (3) None.
- (4) None.

This statement is made as of January 23, 1961.

Dated: January 23, 1961.

GLENN J. HALL.

[F.R. Doc. 61-1381; Filed, Feb. 15, 1961; 8:50 a.m.]

ROBERT R. REND

Statement of Changes in Financial Interests

In accordance with the requirements of section 710(b)(6) of the Defense Production Act of 1950, as amended, and Executive Order 10647 of November 28, 1955, the following changes have taken place in my financial interests during the past six months:

- (1) None.
- (2) The Montana Power Co., American Telephone & Telegraph Co., Investors Mutual Inc., New York Life Insurance Co.
- (3) None.
- (4) None.

This statement is made as of January 1, 1961.

Dated: January 24, 1961.

ROBERT R. REND.

[F.R. Doc. 61-1382; Filed, Feb. 15, 1961; 8:50 a.m.]

RAYMOND V. SELANDER

Statement of Changes in Financial Interests

In accordance with the requirements of section 710(b)(6) of the Defense Production Act of 1950, as amended, and Executive Order 10647 of November 28, 1955, the following changes have taken place in my financial interests during the past six months:

- (1) None.
- (2) None.
- (3) None.
- (4) None.

This statement is made as of January 23, 1961.

Dated: January 23, 1961.

RAYMOND V. SELANDER.

[F.R. Doc. 61-1383; Filed, Feb. 15, 1961;  
8:50 a.m.]

### HARRY R. WALL

#### Statement of Changes in Financial Interests

In accordance with the requirements of section 710(b)(6) of the Defense Production Act of 1950, as amended, and Executive Order 10647 of November 28, 1955, the following changes have taken place in my financial interests during the past six months:

- (1) No longer am I an officer nor director of Allegan Water Power Association.
- (2) No change.
- (3) No change.
- (4) No change.

This statement is made as of December 27, 1960.

Dated: December 27, 1960.

HARRY R. WALL.

[F.R. Doc. 61-1384; Filed, Feb. 15, 1961;  
8:50 a.m.]

### PUBLIC LANDS

#### Moratorium on Applications and Petitions

Whereas the public lands administered by the Bureau of Land Management constitute an important segment of the economy of the West and of Alaska and their proper and efficient administration is important to the expansion of that economy and

Whereas the privilege of filing of applications and petitions under the public land laws has been abused by unethical land locators and promoters at the cost of millions of dollars to the public and

Whereas the unrestricted privilege of filing of applications has seriously impeded both the orderly and expeditious disposal of public lands suitable therefor to States, local governmental units, private organizations, and individuals and the orderly and efficient management of those lands best suited for continued Federal administration in the public interest and

Whereas the processing of applications and petitions under current procedure is wasteful of public funds and

Whereas the Executive and the Congress are considering legislation to promote the efficient management of the public lands, therefore,

1. Pursuant to the authority granted to the Secretary of the Interior by sections 453 and 2478 of the Revised Statutes (43 U.S.C. 2 and 1201), as amended, and otherwise, it is hereby directed that, until September 1, 1962, all petitions for classification and applications for rights and privileges described in paragraph 2 hereof, submitted after the date this order is published in the FEDERAL REGIS-

TER, except those submitted by or for States and local governments and by or for holders of scrip rights, will not be accepted, will not be considered as filed, and will be returned to the petitioner or applicant, together with all documents and remittances submitted therewith, unless or until the lands described in such petitions or applications have first been classified by the authorized officer and opened to such petitions or applications.

2. The petitions and applications referred to in paragraph 1 of this order are those which require for their allowance classification and opening or offer under or pursuant to sections 7, 8, or 14 of the act of June 23, 1934 (48 Stat. 1272; 43 U.S.C. 315f, 315g, and 1171), as amended, or the act of June 1, 1938 (52 Stat. 609; 43 U.S.C. 682a-e), as amended, or the act of June 14, 1926 (44 Stat. 741; 43 U.S.C. 869 et seq.), as amended.

3. Pursuant to the authority cited in paragraph 1 of this order, it is further directed that all petitions and applications of the types referred to in paragraph 2 of this order and pending in the various land offices of the Bureau of Land Management as of the date this order is published in the FEDERAL REGISTER will, as promptly as possible, be considered on their merits by the appropriate land office manager. Where such manager approves such petition or application, the lands will be opened or offered at the earliest possible time. Where such manager rejects such petition or application for reasons involving the proper classification of lands, the decision of the manager will be sustained by the Director, Bureau of Land Management, on appeal if the appellant does not submit to the Director positive and substantial evidence that the classification is in error. Where the Director sustains decision of a manager on the grounds that the appellant had not submitted positive and substantial evidence that the classification is in error, the decision of the Director will be sustained by the Secretary of the Interior if he finds that the appellant had not submitted to the Director, in his appeal to that official, positive and substantial evidence that the classification is in error.

STEWART L. UDALL,  
*Secretary of the Interior.*

FEBRUARY 14, 1961.

[F.R. Doc. 61-1443; Filed, Feb. 15, 1961;  
8:55 a.m.]

## DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

### Federal Maritime Board

#### STATES STEAMSHIP CO. AND AMERICAN PRESIDENT LINES, LTD.

#### Notice of Applications

Notice is hereby given that States Steamship Company and American President Lines, Ltd., have applied to serve Midway Islands with freight ships oper-

ating in their Trans-Pacific Freight Services on Trade Route No. 29.

Any person, firm or corporation desiring to comment upon said applications of States Steamship Company and American President Lines, Ltd., should, by close of business on March 3, 1961, notify the Secretary, Federal Maritime Board in writing, in triplicate, of the nature of the interest of such person, firm or corporation regarding said applications and submit such comments thereon as may be desired.

After giving due consideration to any comments received, or if no comments are received, the Federal Maritime Board will take such action as it deems appropriate.

Dated: February 13, 1961.

By order of the Federal Maritime Board.

THOMAS LISI,  
*Secretary.*

[F.R. Doc. 61-1380; Filed, Feb. 15, 1961;  
8:50 a.m.]

### Office of the Secretary

#### ROBERT JOSEPH WILLIAMS

#### Statement of Changes in Financial Interests

In accordance with the requirements of section 710(b)(6) of the Defense Production Act of 1950, as amended, and Executive Order 10647 of November 28, 1955, the following changes have taken place in my financial interests as reported in the FEDERAL REGISTER during the past six months.

- A. Deletions: Kelsey Hayes, Cadillac DC Corp., Cadillac Products of Canada, Ltd.
- B. Additions: Granite City Steel Corp., Consolidated Paper Co., Briggs Manufacturing Co.

This statement is made as of January 31, 1961.

ROBERT JOSEPH WILLIAMS.

JANUARY 31, 1961.

[F.R. Doc. 61-1385; Filed, Feb. 15, 1961;  
8:50 a.m.]

### WALLACE H. ADAMSON

#### Statement of Changes in Financial Interests

In accordance with the requirements of section 710(b)(6) of the Defense Production Act of 1950, as amended, and Executive Order 10647 of November 28, 1955, the following changes have taken place in my financial interests as reported in the FEDERAL REGISTER during the past six months.

- A. Deletions: No change.
- B. Additions: No change.

This statement is made as of January 30, 1961.

WALLACE H. ADAMSON.

JANUARY 31, 1961.

[F.R. Doc. 61-1349; Filed, Feb. 15, 1961;  
8:45 a.m.]

CUMULATIVE CODIFICATION GUIDE—FEBRUARY

The following numerical guide is a list of the parts of each title of the Code of Federal Regulations affected by documents published to date during February.

<b>3 CFR</b>	Page	<b>9 CFR—Continued</b>	Page	<b>24 CFR—Continued</b>	Page
PROCLAMATIONS:		74.....	1127, 1181	221.....	1095
804.....	1168	78.....	1024	237.....	1095
881.....	1168	151.....	1112	243.....	1095
3392.....	1261	180.....	1115		
3393.....	1261	<b>10 CFR</b>		<b>25 CFR</b>	
EXECUTIVE ORDERS:		PROPOSED RULES:		221.....	1185
4778.....	1185	100.....	1224		
6734.....	1185	<b>12 CFR</b>		<b>26 CFR</b>	
9234.....	1245	PROPOSED RULES:		PROPOSED RULES:	
10072.....	1239	563.....	986	47.....	984
10432.....	1239	<b>13 CFR</b>		<b>29 CFR</b>	
10917.....	1239	PROPOSED RULES:		522.....	1061
		121.....	1099		
<b>5 CFR</b>		<b>14 CFR</b>		<b>32 CFR</b>	
2.....	967	40.....	1055	701.....	1095
6... 1019, 1045, 1077, 1127, 1181, 1240,	1262	41.....	1057	717.....	1062
24.....	1165	42.....	1058	808.....	1242
37.....	1240	60.....	1030	836.....	1152
325.....	1262	225.....	1240	887.....	1243
<b>6 CFR</b>		507.....	1031, 1111, 1181, 1182, 1241, 1347	<b>32A CFR</b>	
421.....	1262, 1339	600.....	1032, 1060, 1079, 1209, 1347, 1348	OIA (CH. X):	
481.....	967	601.....	1032, 1033, 1060, 1061, 1079, 1153, 1347, 1348	OI Reg. 1.....	1245
<b>7 CFR</b>		602.....	1033	<b>33 CFR</b>	
401.....	1339-1344	608.....	1094	62.....	1115
601.....	1181	609.....	1153, 1158, 1349	204.....	1095
719.....	1262	PROPOSED RULES:		207.....	1095
722.....	974	600.....	1035, 1067, 1097, 1131, 1188, 1226, 1308-1311	PROPOSED RULES:	
723.....	1020	601.....	1035, 1066, 1067, 1097, 1130, 1131, 1189, 1190, 1226, 1308-1311	82.....	1278
725.....	1021, 1023	602.....	1131	<b>36 CFR</b>	
727.....	1023	608.....	1066, 1097, 1098, 1188	PROPOSED RULES:	
728.....	1263	<b>15 CFR</b>		6.....	1117
729.....	1344	50.....	1210	<b>38 CFR</b>	
730.....	974	230.....	1357	1-36.....	1277
912.....	1151	<b>16 CFR</b>		<b>39 CFR</b>	
914.....	975, 1077, 1207	13.....	1210, 1211, 1241	21.....	1127
925.....	1151	PROPOSED RULES:		<b>41 CFR</b>	
933.....	1151, 1207, 1208	57.....	1132	1-1.....	1045
953.....	1052, 1077, 1209	<b>17 CFR</b>		1-2.....	1045
955.....	1052	150.....	1357	1-7.....	1045, 1153
971.....	1151	271.....	1275	1-10.....	1045
973.....	1151	275.....	1212	1-16.....	1046
978.....	1151	279.....	1212	5-2.....	1052
988.....	1151	PROPOSED RULES:		<b>42 CFR</b>	
1000.....	1151	250.....	987, 1230	51.....	1214
1002.....	1151	275.....	987	<b>43 CFR</b>	
1004.....	975	<b>19 CFR</b>		51-296.....	1357
1009.....	1151	16.....	1025, 1276	PUBLIC LAND ORDERS:	
1031.....	1078	<b>21 CFR</b>		796.....	1118
1034.....	1240	120.....	1212, 1276	899.....	1215
1102.....	1264	121.....	1053, 1094, 1213	1245.....	1069
PROPOSED RULES:		146a.....	1277	1404.....	1170
27.....	984	146c.....	1277	1465.....	1115
52.....	1246	146d.....	1094, 1183	1762.....	1277
902.....	1287	PROPOSED RULES:		1768.....	1215
909.....	1291	120.....	1166, 1187, 1223, 1365	2258.....	1115
914.....	1063	121.....	985, 986, 1067, 1068, 1117, 1130, 1187, 1188, 1224, 1308, 1365	2259.....	1185
922.....	1217	<b>22 CFR</b>		2260.....	1185
923.....	1292	41.....	1111	2261.....	1245
935.....	1219	53.....	1242	2262.....	1214
949.....	1307	<b>24 CFR</b>		2263.....	1215
952.....	1307	200.....	1127	2264.....	1277
959.....	1065			<b>46 CFR</b>	
968.....	1307			PROPOSED RULES:	
998.....	1292			30-35.....	1278
1012.....	1219			37.....	1278
1013.....					
<b>9 CFR</b>					
18.....	1053				
24.....	1053				
25.....	1053				
27.....	1053				
29.....	1053				

**46 CFR—Continued**

**PROPOSED RULES—Continued**

52.....	1278
54—58.....	1278
71—78.....	1278
91—93.....	1278
95—98.....	1278
110—113.....	1278
144.....	1278
146.....	1278
147.....	1278
157.....	1278
160—164.....	1278
167.....	1278
177.....	1278
182.....	1278
201.....	1223

Page

**47 CFR**

1.....	1185
2.....	1026
3.....	1027, 1358, 1361
9.....	1362, 1363
10.....	1363
16.....	1363
21.....	1029

**PROPOSED RULES:**

3.....	986, 1190, 1191, 1365
11.....	1191

**49 CFR**

1.....	1346
7.....	1128
72.....	1013
73.....	1013

Page

**49 CFR—Continued**

74.....	1017
75.....	1017
77.....	1017
78.....	1018
120.....	982
174.....	1215
195.....	1128
301.....	983
405.....	1216
445.....	1128

**PROPOSED RULES:**

176.....	1227
188.....	1227

**50 CFR**

33.....	1116, 1277, 1358
---------	------------------

Page