



FEDERAL REGISTER

VOLUME 25 1934 NUMBER 165
 OF THE UNITED STATES

Washington, Wednesday, August 24, 1960

Contents

<p>Agricultural Marketing Service</p> <p>PROPOSED RULE MAKING: Pears of certain varieties grown in Oregon, Washington, and California; expenses and fixing of assessment rate for 1960-61 fiscal period..... 8110</p> <p>RULES AND REGULATIONS: Apricots grown in designated counties in Washington; determination relative to establishment of reserve fund..... 8097 Lemons grown in California and Arizona..... 8097</p> <p>Agriculture Department <i>See Agricultural Marketing Service.</i></p> <p>Air Force Department</p> <p>RULES AND REGULATIONS: Personnel; medical, dental, and veterinary care from civilian sources..... 8103</p> <p>Atomic Energy Commission</p> <p>NOTICES: National Aeronautics and Space Administration; issuance of utilization facility license..... 8112</p> <p>Civil Aeronautics Board</p> <p>NOTICES: <i>Hearings, etc.:</i> Domestic air freight rate investigation..... 8112 Southern transcontinental service case..... 8114 Wien Alaska Airlines, Inc., and Interior Airlines, Inc.; enforcement proceedings..... 8114</p> <p>Customs Bureau</p> <p>RULES AND REGULATIONS: Ports of entry..... 8101</p> <p>Defense Department <i>See also Air Force Department.</i></p> <p>RULES AND REGULATIONS: Medical care for dependents of members of the uniformed services..... 8102</p>	<p>Federal Aviation Agency</p> <p>PROPOSED RULE MAKING: Coded jet route; establishment... 8110</p> <p>RULES AND REGULATIONS: Airworthiness directive; Vickers Viscount Aircraft..... 8098 Federal airway and associated control areas; modification... 8098 Federal airway and associated control areas, modification; and designation of control zone and control area extension, and modification of restricted area... 8098 Control area extension; modification..... 8099 Control zone; designation..... 8099</p> <p>Federal Trade Commission</p> <p>PROPOSED RULE MAKING: Fluorocarbons industry, trade practice rules; hearing, etc.... 8110</p> <p>Food and Drug Administration</p> <p>RULES AND REGULATIONS: Pesticide chemicals in or on raw agricultural commodities; tolerances and exemptions..... 8101</p> <p>General Services Administration</p> <p>NOTICES: Certain items held in national stockpile; proposed disposition: Silk noils..... 8114 Silk waste..... 8114</p> <p>RULES AND REGULATIONS: Procurement by formal advertising; solicitation of bids; bid samples and descriptive literature..... 8106</p> <p>Health, Education, and Welfare Department <i>See Food and Drug Administration; Social Security Administration.</i></p> <p>Interior Department <i>See Land Management Bureau.</i></p>	<p>Interstate Commerce Commission</p> <p>NOTICES: Chicago, Ill., commercial zone; assignment of petitions for hearing..... 8117 Fourth section applications for relief..... 8116 Motor carrier: Applications and certain other proceedings..... 8117 Transfer proceedings..... 8117</p> <p>Land Management Bureau</p> <p>NOTICES: Alaska; proposed withdrawal and reservation of lands..... 8112</p> <p>RULES AND REGULATIONS: Montana; public land order..... 8108</p> <p>Renegotiation Board</p> <p>RULES AND REGULATIONS: Consolidated renegotiation of affiliated and related groups..... 8106</p> <p>Securities and Exchange Commission</p> <p>NOTICES: <i>Hearings, etc.:</i> Aircraft Dynamics International Corp..... 8114 American Oil and Minerals, Inc. (2 documents)..... 8115 Cryogenic Engineering Co..... 8116</p> <p>Social Security Administration</p> <p>RULES AND REGULATIONS: Federal old-age and survivors insurance; rights and benefits based on disability..... 8100</p> <p>State Department</p> <p>RULES AND REGULATIONS: Additional compensation in foreign areas; designation of differential posts..... 8097</p> <p>Treasury Department <i>See Customs Bureau.</i></p>
---	--	---

(Continued on next page)

Codification Guide

The following numerical guide is a list of the parts of each title of the Code of Federal Regulations affected by documents published in today's issue. A cumulative list of parts affected, covering the current month to date, appears at the end of each issue beginning with the second issue of the month.

Monthly, quarterly, and annual cumulative guides, published separately from the daily issues, include the section numbers as well as the part numbers affected.

5 CFR
325----- 8097

7 CFR
953----- 8097
1020----- 8097

PROPOSED RULES:
939----- 8110

14 CFR
507----- 8098
600 (2 documents)----- 8098
601 (4 documents)----- 8098, 8099
608----- 8098

PROPOSED RULES:
602----- 8110

16 CFR
PROPOSED RULES:
51----- 8110

19 CFR
1----- 8101

20 CFR
404----- 8100

21 CFR
120----- 8101

32 CFR
5b----- 8102
890----- 8103
1464----- 8106

41 CFR
1-2----- 8106

43 CFR
PUBLIC LAND ORDERS:
1799 (see PLO 2182)----- 8108
2182----- 8108

Announcement

CFR SUPPLEMENTS

(As of January 1, 1960)

The following Supplement is now available:

Titles 1-3, \$1.25

Previously announced: Title 3 (\$0.60); Titles 4-5 (\$1.00); Title 7, Parts 1-50 (\$0.45); Parts 51-52 (\$0.45); Parts 53-209 (\$0.40); Parts 210-399, Revised (\$4.00); Parts 400-899, Revised (\$5.50); Parts 900-959 (\$1.50); Part 960 to End (\$2.50); Title 8 (\$0.40); Title 9 (\$0.35); Titles 10-13 (\$0.50); Title 14, Parts 1-39 (\$0.65); Parts 40-399 (\$0.75); Part 400 to End (\$1.75); Title 15 (\$1.25); Title 16, Revised (\$6.50); Title 17 (\$0.75); Title 18 (\$0.55); Title 19 (\$1.00); Title 20 (\$1.25); Title 21 (\$1.50); Titles 22-23 (\$0.45); Title 24 (\$0.45); Title 25 (\$0.45); Title 26 (1939), Parts 1-79 (\$0.40); Parts 80-169 (\$0.35); Parts 170-182 (\$0.35); Parts 300 to End (\$0.40); Title 26, Part 1 (§§ 1.01-1.499) (\$1.75); Parts 1 (§ 1.500 to End)-19 (\$2.25); Parts 20-169 (\$1.75); Parts 170-221 (\$2.25); Parts 222-299 (\$1.75); Part 300 to End (\$1.25); Titles 28-29 (\$1.75); Titles 30-31 (\$0.50); Title 32, Parts 1-399 (\$2.00); Parts 400-699 (\$2.00); Parts 700-799 (\$1.00); Parts 800-999, Revised (\$3.75); Parts 1000-1099, Revised (\$6.50); Part 1100 to End (\$0.60); Title 32A (\$0.65); Title 33 (\$1.75); Title 35, Revised (\$3.50); Title 36, Revised (\$3.00); Title 37, Revised (\$3.50); Title 38 (\$1.00); Title 39 (\$1.50); Titles 40-41, Revised (\$0.70); Title 42, Revised (\$4.00); Title 43 (\$1.00); Title 44, Revised (\$3.25); Title 45, Revised (\$3.75); Title 46, Parts 1-145 (\$1.00); Parts 146-149, Revised (\$6.00); Parts 146-149 (1950 Supp. 1) (\$0.55); Part 150 to End (\$0.65); Title 47, Parts 1-29 (\$1.00); Part 30 to End (\$0.30); Title 49, Parts 1-70 (\$1.75); Parts 71-90 (\$1.00); Parts 91-164 (\$0.45); Part 165 to End (\$1.00); Title 50 (\$0.70); General Index (\$1.00).

Order from the Superintendent of Documents, Government Printing Office, Washington 25, D.C.



Telephone

WOrth 3-3261

Published daily, except Sundays, Mondays, and days following official Federal holidays, by the Office of the Federal Register, National Archives and Records Service, General Services Administration, pursuant to the authority contained in the Federal Register Act, approved July 26, 1935 (49 Stat. 500, as amended; 44 U.S.C., ch. 8B), under regulations prescribed by the Administrative Committee of the Federal Register, approved by the President. Distribution is made only by the Superintendent of Documents, Government Printing Office, Washington 25, D.C.

The FEDERAL REGISTER will be furnished by mail to subscribers, free of postage, for \$1.50 per month or \$15.00 per year, payable in advance. The charge for individual copies (minimum 15 cents) varies in proportion to the size of the issue. Remit check or money order, made payable to the Superintendent of Documents, directly to the Government Printing Office, Washington 25, D.C.

The regulatory material appearing herein is keyed to the CODE OF FEDERAL REGULATIONS, which is published, under 50 titles, pursuant to section 11 of the Federal Register Act, as amended August 5, 1953. The CODE OF FEDERAL REGULATIONS is sold by the Superintendent of Documents. Prices of books and pocket supplements vary.

There are no restrictions on the republication of material appearing in the FEDERAL REGISTER, or the CODE OF FEDERAL REGULATIONS.

Rules and Regulations

Title 5—ADMINISTRATIVE PERSONNEL

Chapter III—Foreign and Territorial Compensation

[Dept. Reg. 108.445]

PART 325—ADDITIONAL COMPENSATION IN FOREIGN AREAS

Designation of Differential Posts

Section 325.15 *Designation of differential posts*, is amended as follows, effective on the dates indicated.

1. Effective as of July 10, 1960, paragraph (a) is amended by the deletion of the following:

Belgian Congo (including Ruanda-Urundi), all posts except Elisabethville and Leopoldville.

2. Effective as of the beginning of the first pay period following August 20, 1960, paragraph (a) is amended by the deletion of the following:

Guadeloupe, F.W.I.
Martinique, French West Indies
Pakse, Laos
Savannakhet, Laos
Vientiane, Laos.

3. Effective as of the beginning of the first pay period following August 20, 1960, paragraph (b) is amended by the deletion of the following:

Iran, all posts except Chehel-Dokhtar, Dezful, Firuzkuh, Isfahan, Kerman, Khaneh, Manjil, Naudeh, Rezaiyeh, Sanandaj, Sari, Shahabad, Shiraz, Tehran and Zirab.

4. Effective as of July 10, 1960, paragraph (c) is amended by the deletion of the following:

Leopoldville, Belgian Congo.

5. Effective as of the beginning of the first pay period following August 20, 1960, paragraph (c) is amended by the deletion of the following:

Asuncion, Paraguay.

6. Effective as of July 10, 1960, paragraph (a) is amended by the addition of the following:

Belgian Congo (including Ruanda-Urundi), all posts.

7. Effective as of the beginning of the first pay period following August 20, 1960, paragraph (a) is amended by the addition of the following:

Ajabshahr, Iran
Katubarahang, Iran
Kushi, Iran
Laos, all posts
Marand, Iran
Rehneh, Iran.

8. Effective as of the beginning of the first pay period following March 19, 1960, paragraph (b) is amended by the addition of the following:

Dominica, T.W.I.

9. Effective as of the beginning of the first pay period following August 20,

1960, paragraph (b) is amended by the addition of the following:

Guadeloupe, F.W.I.

Iran, all posts except Ajabshahr, Chehel-Dokhtar, Dezful, Firuzkuh, Isfahan, Katubarahang, Kerman, Khaneh, Kushi, Manjil, Marand, Naudeh, Rehneh, Rezaiyeh, Sanandaj, Sari, Shahabad, Shiraz, Tehran and Zirab.

Martinique, F.W.I.

10. Effective as of the beginning of the first pay period following August 20, 1960, paragraph (d) is amended by the addition of the following:

Asuncion, Paraguay.

(Secs. 102, 401, E.O. 10000, 13 F.R. 5453, 3 CFR, 1948 Supp., E.O. 10623, E.O. 10636, 20 F.R. 5297, 7025, 3 CFR, 1955 Supp.)

Dated: August 9, 1960.

For the Secretary of State.

[SEAL]

LANE DWINELL,
Assistant Secretary.

[F.R. Doc. 60-7895; Filed, Aug. 23, 1960; 8:48 a.m.]

Title 7—AGRICULTURE

Chapter IX—Agricultural Marketing Service (Marketing Agreements and Orders), Department of Agriculture

[Lemon Reg. 822, Terminated]

PART 953—LEMONS GROWN IN CALIFORNIA AND ARIZONA

Limitation of Handling

Findings. 1. Pursuant to the marketing agreement, as amended, and Order No. 53, as amended (7 CFR Part 953; 23 F.R. 9053), regulating the handling of lemons grown in California and Arizona, effective under the applicable provisions of the Agricultural Marketing Agreement Act of 1937, as amended (7 U.S.C. 601-674), and upon the basis of the recommendation and information submitted by the Lemon Administrative Committee, established under the said amended marketing agreement and order, and upon other available information, it is hereby found that the limitation of handling of such lemons as hereinafter provided will tend to effectuate the declared policy of the act.

2. It is hereby further found that it is impracticable and contrary to the public interest to give preliminary notice, engage in public rule-making procedure, and postpone the effective date of this regulation until 30 days after publication hereof in the FEDERAL REGISTER (5 U.S.C. 1001-1011) in that the time intervening between the date when information upon which this regulation is based became available and the time when the regulation must become effective in order to effectuate the declared policy of the act is insufficient; and this regulation relieves restrictions on the handling of such lemons.

Order. The provisions of Lemon Regulation 822 (§953.929; 24 F.R. 9708) are hereby terminated effective at 12:01 a.m., P.s.t., August 21, 1960.

(Secs. 1-19, 48 Stat. 31, as amended; 7 U.S.C. 601-674)

Dated: August 18, 1960.

FLOYD F. HEDLUND,
Deputy Director, Fruit and Vegetable Division, Agricultural Marketing Service.

[F.R. Doc. 60-7882; Filed, Aug. 23, 1960; 8:47 a.m.]

PART 1020—APRICOTS GROWN IN DESIGNATED COUNTIES IN WASHINGTON

Reserve Fund

Notice was published in the August 5, 1960, issue of the FEDERAL REGISTER (25 F.R. 7403) that consideration was being given to a proposal regarding the establishment of a reserve fund to provide for the maintenance and functioning of the Washington Apricot Marketing Committee in accordance with the provisions of the marketing agreement and Order No. 120 (7 CFR Part 1020) regulating the handling of apricots grown in designated counties in Washington, effective under the applicable provisions of the Agricultural Marketing Agreement Act of 1937, as amended (7 U.S.C. 601-674).

After consideration of all relevant matters presented, including the proposal set forth in the aforesaid notice which was submitted by the Washington Apricot Marketing Committee, established pursuant to the said marketing agreement and order, it is hereby determined that:

§ 1020.205 Reserve fund.

The establishment of a reserve fund in the amount of \$5,765.09 is appropriate and necessary to the maintenance and functioning of the Washington Apricot Marketing Committee. The committee is hereby authorized to carry forward in the aforesaid reserve \$5,769.09 which are excess assessment funds from the fiscal period ended March 31, 1960. Such reserve shall be used in accordance with the provisions of § 1020.42 of the said marketing agreement and order.

Terms used herein shall have the same meaning as given to the respective term in said marketing agreement and order.

The provisions hereof shall become effective 30 days after publication in the FEDERAL REGISTER.

(Secs. 1-19, 48 Stat. 31, as amended; 7 U.S.C. 601-674)

Dated: August 18, 1960.

FLOYD F. HEDLUND,
Deputy Director, Fruit and Vegetable Division, Agricultural Marketing Service.

[F.R. Doc. 60-7883; Filed, Aug. 23, 1960; 8:47 a.m.]

Title 14—AERONAUTICS AND SPACE

Chapter III—Federal Aviation Agency

SUBCHAPTER C—AIRCRAFT REGULATIONS

[Reg. Docket No. 435; Amdt. 197]

PART 507—AIRWORTHINESS DIRECTIVES

Vickers Viscount Aircraft

A proposal to amend Part 507 of the regulations of the Administrator to include an airworthiness directive requiring inspections of the brake accumulator systems on Vickers Viscount 745D and 810 Series aircraft was published in 25 F.R. 6213.

Interested persons have been afforded an opportunity to participate in the making of the amendment. No objections were received.

In consideration of the foregoing, and pursuant to the authority delegated to me by the Administrator (25 F.R. 6489), § 507.10(a), (14 CFR Part 507), is hereby amended by adding the following new airworthiness directive:

VICKERS. Applies to all 745D and 810 Series aircraft.

Compliance required as indicated.

Conduct inspections of the brake accumulator systems as specified in Vickers Preliminary Technical Leaflet (PTL) 222 (700 Series) and PTL 87 (800/810 Series) within the next 300 hours' time in service and at subsequent periodic intervals of 800 hours' time in service. These inspections are not mandatory when filters, Dunlop ACM 18308 or equivalent, are installed in accordance with Vickers Modification Bulletins D.2994 (700 Series) and FG 1796 (800/810 Series).

This amendment shall become effective 30 days after date of its publication in the FEDERAL REGISTER.

(Sec. 313(a), 601, 603; 72 Stat. 752, 775, 776; 49 U.S.C. 1354(a), 1421, 1423)

Issued in Washington, D.C., on August 18, 1960.

OSCAR BAKKE,
Director,

Bureau of Flight Standards.

[F.R. Doc. 60-7870; Filed, Aug. 23, 1960; 8:45 a.m.]

SUBCHAPTER E—AIR NAVIGATION REGULATIONS

[Airspace Docket No. 60-WA-134]

PART 600—DESIGNATION OF FEDERAL AIRWAYS

PART 601—DESIGNATION OF THE CONTINENTAL CONTROL AREA, CONTROL AREAS, CONTROL ZONES, REPORTING POINTS, AND POSITIVE CONTROL ROUTE SEGMENTS

Modification of Federal Airways and Associated Control Areas

On June 9, 1960, a notice of proposed rule making was published in the FEDERAL REGISTER (25 F.R. 5156) stating that the Federal Aviation Agency proposed

to modify the segment of VOR Federal airway No. 454 between Evergreen, Ala., and Fort Mill, S.C.; extend VOR Federal airway No. 66 from McDonough, Ga., to Fort Mill; and revoke VOR Federal airway No. 476 between McDonough and Fort Mill.

No adverse comments were received regarding the proposed amendments.

Interested persons have been afforded an opportunity to participate in the making of the rules herein adopted, and due consideration has been given to all relevant matter presented.

The substance of the proposed amendments having been published, therefore, pursuant to the authority delegated to me by the Administrator (24 F.R. 4530) and for the reasons stated in the notice, the following actions are taken:

1. Section 600.6454 (14 CFR 600.6454; 25 F.R. 1939) is amended to read:

§ 600.6454 VOR Federal airway No. 454 (Evergreen, Ala., to Lawrenceville, Va.).

From the Evergreen, Ala., VOR via the INT of the Evergreen VOR 075° True and the Columbus VOR 219° True radials; Columbus, Ga., VOR; McDonough, Ga., VOR; Greenwood, S.C., VOR; INT of the Greenwood VOR 060° True and the Fort Mill VOR 227° True radials; Fort Mill, S.C., VOR; Liberty, N.C., VOR; to the Lawrenceville, Va., VOR.

§ 601.6454 [Amendment]

2. In the text of § 601.6454 (14 CFR 601.6454; 25 F.R. 1939), "All of VOR Federal airway No. 454 including a north alternate," is deleted and "All of VOR Federal airway No. 454." is substituted therefor.

§ 600.6066 [Amendment]

3. In § 600.6066 (14 CFR 600.6066; 25 F.R. 4278, 6686), the following changes are made:

(a) In the caption "*(San Diego, Calif., to Sulphur Springs, Tex., and Tuscaloosa, Ala., to McDonough, Ga.)*" is deleted and "*(San Diego, Calif., to Sulphur Springs, Tex., and Tuscaloosa, Ala., to Fort Mill, Ga.)*" is substituted therefor.

(b) In the text "to the McDonough, Ga., VOR" is deleted and "McDonough, Ga., VOR; INT of the McDonough VOR 036° True and the Athens VOR 241° True radials; Athens, Ga., VOR; INT of the Athens VOR 062° True and the Fort Mill VOR 242° True radials; to the Fort Mill, S.C., VOR" is substituted therefor.

§ 601.6066 [Amendment]

4. In the caption of § 601.6066 (14 CFR 601.6066; 25 F.R. 4278), "*(San Diego, Calif., to Sulphur Springs, Tex., and Tuscaloosa, Ala., to McDonough, Ga.)*" is deleted and "*(San Diego, Calif., to Sulphur Springs, Tex., and Tuscaloosa, Ala., to Fort Mill, S.C.)*" is substituted therefor.

5. In Parts 600 (14 CFR Part 600) and 601 (14 CFR Part 601), the following changes are made:

§ 600.6476 [Revocation]

(a) Section 600.6476 VOR Federal airway No. 476 (McDonough, Ga., to Fort Mill, S.C.) is revoked.

§ 601.6476 [Revocation]

(b) Section 601.6476 VOR Federal airway No. 476 control areas (McDonough, Ga., to Fort Mill, S.C.) is revoked.

These amendments shall become effective 0001 e.s.t. September 22, 1960.

(Secs. 307(a) and 313(a), 72 Stat. 749, 752; 49 U.S.C. 1348, 1354)

Issued in Washington, D.C. on August 22, 1960.

D. D. THOMAS,
Director, Bureau of
Air Traffic Management.

[F.R. Doc. 60-7907; Filed, Aug. 23, 1960; 8:49 a.m.]

[Airspace Docket No. 60-WA-105]

PART 600—DESIGNATION OF FEDERAL AIRWAYS

PART 601—DESIGNATION OF THE CONTINENTAL CONTROL AREA, CONTROL AREAS, CONTROL ZONES, REPORTING POINTS, AND POSITIVE CONTROL ROUTE SEGMENTS

PART 608—RESTRICTED AREAS

Modification of Federal Airway and Associated Control Areas, Designation of Control Zone, Designation of Control Area Extension and Modification of Restricted Area

On May 20, 1960, a notice of proposed rule making was published in the FEDERAL REGISTER (25 F.R. 4483) stating that the Federal Aviation Agency was considering amendments to Part 601 and §§ 600.6128, 601.6128, and 608.43 of the regulations of the Administrator which would result in the following: Modification of VOR Federal airway No. 128; designation of a control zone at Wilmington, Ohio; designation of a control area extension at Wilmington, Ohio; and modification of the Wilmington Restricted Area (R-109).

As stated in the notice, these amendments will result in the following actions:

1. Modification of Victor 128 by designating a north alternate from the Cincinnati, Ohio, VOR to the York, Ky., VOR via the intersection of the Cincinnati VOR 090° True and the York VOR 301° True radials which would provide an additional arrival and departure route for the Cincinnati terminal area. Victor 128 N would be utilized when the Wilmington Restricted Area (R-109) is not being used for its designated purpose.

2. Designation of a control zone at Wilmington, Ohio, within a 5-mile radius of the Clinton County Air Force Base with a 12-mile extension to the northeast based on a bearing of 037° True from the Clinton County, Ohio, radio beacon. The designation of this control zone would afford protection for aircraft conducting IFR approaches and IFR departures at Clinton County AFB. The portion of this control zone which coincides with Restricted Area (R-109) would be utilized when the restricted area is not being used for its designated purpose.

3. Designation of a control area extension at Wilmington, Ohio, which would encompass the area within and adjacent to the Wilmington Restricted Area (R-109), bounded on the east and northeast by the Columbus, Ohio, control area extension (601.1042), on the south by VOR Federal airway No. 128, on the west by the Cincinnati, Ohio, control area extension (601.1089), on the north-west by VOR Federal airway No. 5. The designation of this control area extension would permit optimum use of the area for air traffic management when Restricted Area (R-109) is not in use and would provide protection to aircraft conducting instrument jet approaches to the Wright-Patterson AFB, Dayton, Ohio.

4. Modification of the Wilmington, Ohio, Restricted Area (R-109) by designating the Federal Aviation Agency, Indianapolis, Ind., Air Route Traffic Control Center as the Controlling Agency.

The Aircraft Owners and Pilots Association concurred in the designation of a north alternate to Victor 128 but objected to the Wilmington control area extension, pending establishment of appropriate floors for the area. The AOPA also agreed with the designation of a 5-mile radius control zone but questioned the need for the 12 mile extension to the northeast.

Since Civil Air Regulation Amendments 60-14 and 60-14A were rescinded effective June 30, 1960 (25 F.R. 6015), the designation of control areas must be in accordance with Part 601 of the Regulations of the Administrator which does not provide for the establishment of floors. In determining the requirement for an extension to a control zone, consideration must be given to the location of the navigational aid serving the approach to the airport. The Clinton County radio beacon is located 3.1 nautical miles northeast of the Clinton County AFB. This necessitates a crossing altitude below 1,000 feet above the surface of the airport at the radio beacon, as the criteria establishing instrument approach procedures authorize a maximum rate of descent of 300 feet per nautical mile for distances up to 4 miles from the airport. In accordance with the current jet penetration procedure for Clinton County AFB, the penetration turn is completed within 15 nautical miles of the radio beacon at 1,700 feet MSL, which is only 628 feet above the surface of the airport. The Department of the Air Force has agreed to modify this procedure whereby a control zone extension of 12 statute miles will afford the necessary protection to aircraft flying below 1,000 feet above the surface while conducting instrument approaches to Clinton County AFB. Therefore, the Federal Aviation Agency considers the extension of the control zone to the northeast to be necessary.

The Department of the Air Force and the Air Transport Association of America concurred with the proposed amendments. No other comments were received.

Interested persons have been afforded an opportunity to participate in the making of the rules herein adopted, and due consideration has been given to all relevant matter presented.

In consideration of the foregoing, the following actions are taken:

§ 600.6128 [Amendment]

1. In the text of § 600.6128 (24 F.R. 10517) "Cincinnati, Ohio, omnirange station; York, Ky., omnirange station, including a south alternate via the intersection of the Cincinnati omnirange 120° True with the York omnirange direct radial to the Falmouth, Ky., omnirange station; to the Charleston, W. Va., omnirange station." is deleted and "Cincinnati, Ohio, VORTAC; York, Ky., VOR, including a N alternate and also a S alternate via the INT of the Cincinnati VORTAC 120° True and the York VOR direct radial to the Falmouth, Ky., VOR; to the Charleston, W. Va., VORTAC." is substituted therefor.

2. In Part 601 (24 F.R. 10530) the following sections are added:

§ 601.1096 Control area extension (Wilmington, Ohio).

The airspace bounded on the NW by VOR Federal airway No. 5, on the N and E by the Columbus, Ohio, control area extension (§ 601.1042), on the S by VOR Federal airway No. 128, and on the W by the Cincinnati, Ohio, control area extension (601.1089).

§ 601.2429 Wilmington, Ohio, control zone.

Within a 5-mile radius of the geographical center of the Clinton County Air Force Base (Lat. 39°26'00" N, Long. 83°48'00" W), within 2 miles either side of a line bearing 037° True from the Clinton County RBN extending from the 5-mile radius zone to a point 12 miles NE of the RBN.

§ 601.6128 [Amendment]

3. In the text of § 601.6128 (24 F.R. 10601) "including a south alternate." is deleted and "including a N and a S alternate." is substituted therefor.

§ 608.43 [Amendment]

4. In § 608.43 Ohio, the Wilmington, Ohio, Restricted Area (R-109) (Huntington Chart) (23 F.R. 8586) is amended by deleting "Wright Air Development Center, Wright-Patterson AFB, Dayton, Ohio." and substituting therefor "Federal Aviation Agency, Indianapolis, Ind., Air Route Traffic Control Center."

These amendments shall become effective 0001 e.s.t. October 15, 1960.

(Secs. 307(a) and 313(a), 72 Stat. 749, 752; 49 U.S.C. 1348, 1354)

Issued in Washington, D.C. on August 18, 1960.

E. R. QUESADA,
Administrator.

[F.R. Doc. 60-7873; Filed, Aug. 23, 1960; 8:46 a.m.]

[Airspace Docket No. 60-WA-178]

PART 601—DESIGNATION OF THE CONTINENTAL CONTROL AREA, CONTROL AREAS, CONTROL ZONES, REPORTING POINTS, AND POSITIVE CONTROL ROUTE SEGMENTS

Modification of Control Area Extension

The purpose of this amendment to § 601.1021 of the regulations of the Administrator is to modify the Belleville, Ill., control area extension.

The Belleville control area extension is presently designated as all of the area within a 40-mile radius of the Scott AFB radio range station.

Since the Department of the Air Force proposes to decommission the Scott AFB radio range in the near future, action is taken herein to eliminate reference to this facility in the description of the Belleville control area extension.

Since this amendment is minor in nature and imposes no additional burden on any person, notice and public procedure hereon are unnecessary. However, since it is necessary that sufficient time be allowed to permit appropriate changes to be made on aeronautical charts, this amendment will become effective more than 30 days after publication.

In consideration of the foregoing, and pursuant to the authority delegated to me by the Administrator (24 F.R. 4530), § 601.1021 (24 F.R. 10548) is amended to read:

§ 601.1021 Control area extension (Belleville, Ill.).

The airspace within a 40-mile radius of Scott Air Force Base (Lat. 38°32'32" N., Long. 89°51'30" W.).

This amendment shall become effective 0001 e.s.t. October 20, 1960.

(Secs. 307(a) and 313(a), 72 Stat. 749, 752; 49 U.S.C. 1348, 1354)

Issued in Washington, D.C., on August 18, 1960.

D. D. THOMAS,
Director, Bureau of
Air Traffic Management.

[F.R. Doc. 60-7871; Filed, Aug. 23, 1960; 8:45 a.m.]

[Airspace Docket No. 60-KC-21]

PART 601—DESIGNATION OF THE CONTINENTAL CONTROL AREA, CONTROL AREAS, CONTROL ZONES, REPORTING POINTS, AND POSITIVE CONTROL ROUTE SEGMENTS

Designation of Control Zone

On June 10, 1960, a notice of proposed rule making was published in the FEDERAL REGISTER (25 F.R. 5187) stating that the Federal Aviation Agency proposed to designate a control zone at Champaign, Ill.

No adverse comments were received regarding the proposed amendment.

Interested persons have been afforded an opportunity to participate in the making of the rule herein adopted, and due consideration has been given to all relevant matter presented.

The substance of the proposed amendment having been published, therefore, pursuant to the authority delegated to me by the Administrator (24 F.R. 4530) and for the reasons stated in the notice, Part 601 (14 CFR Part 601) is amended by adding the following section:

§ 601.2475 Champaign, Ill., control zone.

Within a 5-mile radius of the geographical center of the University of Illinois Airport (Lat. 40°02'24" N., Long. 88°16'36" W.), within two miles either side of the 123° True radial of the Champaign VORTAC extending from the 5-mile radius zone to 12 miles SE of the VORTAC, and within 2 miles either side of the 233° True radial of the Champaign VORTAC extending from the 5-mile radius zone to 12 miles SW of the VORTAC.

This amendment shall become effective 0001 e.s.t. October 20, 1960.

(Secs. 307(a) and 313(a), 72 Stat. 749, 752; 49 U.S.C. 1348, 1354)

Issued in Washington, D.C., on August 18, 1960.

D. D. THOMAS,
Director, Bureau of
Air Traffic Management.

[F.R. Doc. 60-7872; Filed, Aug. 23, 1960; 8:46 a.m.]

Title 20—EMPLOYEES' BENEFITS

Chapter III—Bureau of Old-Age and Survivors Insurance, Social Security Administration, Department of Health, Education, and Welfare

[Reg. No. 4, further amended]

PART 404—FEDERAL OLD-AGE AND SURVIVORS INSURANCE (1950—)

Rights and Benefits Based on Disability

Subpart P of Regulations No. 4, as amended, of the Social Security Administration (20 CFR 404.1501), is revised to read as follows:

§ 404.1501 Disability defined.

(a) For purposes of entitlement to benefits based on disability. For purposes of entitlement to disability insurance benefits, or to child's insurance benefits after attainment of age 18, "disability" means inability to engage in any substantial gainful activity because of a medically determinable physical or mental impairment which can be expected to continue for a long and indefinite period of time, or to result in death.

(b) For purposes of establishment of a period of disability. For purposes of entitlement to the establishment of a period of disability, "disability" means:

(1) Inability to engage in any substantial gainful activity because of a medically determinable physical or mental impairment which can be expected to continue for a long and indefinite period of time, or to result in death; or

(2) Blindness, without regard to ability to engage in any substantial gainful activity. "Blindness," for the purposes of this subparagraph, means central visual acuity of 5/200 or less in the better eye with the use of a correcting lens. An eye in which the visual field is reduced to five degrees or less concentric contraction shall be considered for the purposes of this subparagraph as having a central visual acuity of 5/200 or less. The condition described in this subparagraph is referred to as "statutory blindness."

§ 404.1502 Evaluating disability.

(a) Whether or not an impairment in a particular case constitutes a disability, as defined in § 404.1501 (a) and (b) (1) is determined from all the facts of that case. Primary consideration is given to the severity of the individual's impairment. Consideration is also given to such other factors as the individual's age, education, training and work experience. However, medical considerations alone may justify a finding that the individual is not under a disability where the only impairment is a slight neurosis, slight impairment of sight or hearing, or similar abnormality or combination of slight abnormalities. Also, medical considerations alone (including the physiological and psychological manifestations of aging) may justify a finding that the individual is under a disability where his impairment is one, as shown by the following examples, which would ordinarily be considered as preventing substantial gainful activity, except where other evidence rebuts a finding of "disability," e.g., the individual is actually engaging in substantial gainful activity. Examples of such impairments are:

- (1) Loss of the use of two limbs.
- (2) Certain progressive diseases which have resulted in the physical loss or atrophy of a limb, such as diabetes, multiple sclerosis, or Buerger's disease.
- (3) Diseases of heart, lungs or blood vessels, which have resulted in major loss of heart or lung reserves, as evidenced by X-ray, electrocardiogram or other objective findings so that, despite medical treatment, it produces breathlessness, pain or fatigue on slight exertion, such as walking several blocks, using public transportation or doing small chores.
- (4) Cancer which is inoperable and progressive.
- (5) Damage to the brain or brain abnormality which has resulted in severe loss of judgment, intellect, orientation or memory.
- (6) Mental disease (e.g., psychosis or severe psychoneurosis) requiring continued institutionalization or constant supervision of the affected individual.
- (7) Loss or diminution of vision to the extent that the affected individual has

central visual acuity of no better than 20/200 in the better eye after best correction, or has an equivalent concentric contraction of his visual fields.

(8) Permanent and total loss of speech.

(9) Total deafness uncorrectible by a hearing aid.

(b) The existence of one of the impairments (or an impairment of greater severity) described in paragraph (a) (1) through (9) of this § 404.1502 will not in and of itself always permit a finding that an individual is under a disability as defined in § 404.1501 (a) and (b) (1). Conditions which fall short of the levels of severity indicated must also be evaluated in terms of whether they do in fact prevent the individual from engaging in any substantial gainful activity taking into account his age, education, training and work experience. It must be established by medical evidence, and where necessary by appropriate medical tests, that the claimant's impairment or impairments or those of the individual on whose earnings record benefits are claimed result in such a lack of ability to perform significant functions—such as moving about, handling objects, hearing or speaking, or, in a case of mental impairment, reasoning or understanding—that such individual cannot, with his age, training, education and work experience, engage in any kind of substantial gainful activity. It must be established not only that the individual is incapable of performing his prior, usual or regular work or work commensurate with his education, training, experience and skills (i.e., equal to his previous type of work in amount of earnings or utilization of capacities) but also that he does not have the capacity to engage in any other kind of substantial gainful work, taking into account his age, education, experience and skills. Evidence of unsuccessful efforts by the individual to secure or continue to do work is not of itself controlling in determining whether or not the individual has the capacity to engage in any substantial gainful activity. The physical or mental impairment must be the primary reason for the individual's inability to engage in substantial gainful activity. Where, for instance, an individual remains unemployed for a reason or reasons not due to his physical or mental impairment but because of the hiring practices of certain employers, technological changes in the industry in which he has worked, or local or cyclical economic conditions, such individual may not be considered under a disability as defined in § 404.1501 (a) and (b) (1).

(c) Where an individual with a marginal education and long work experience (e.g., 35 to 40 years or more) limited to the performance of arduous unskilled physical labor is not working and is no longer able to perform such labor because of a significant impairment or impairments and, considering his age, education and vocational background is unable to engage in lighter work, such individual may be found to be under a disability. On the other hand, a different conclusion may be reached where it

is found that such individual is working or has worked despite his impairment or impairments (except where such work is sporadic or is medically contraindicated) depending upon all the facts in the case. In addition, an individual who was doing heavy physical work at the time he suffered such impairment might not be considered unable to engage in any substantial gainful activity if the evidence shows that he has the training or past work experience which qualifies him for substantial gainful work in another occupation consistent with his impairment, either on a full-time or a reasonably regular part-time basis.

(d) When used in this section for evaluating "disability," the term "age" refers to chronological age and the extent to which it affects the individual's capacity to engage in work in competition with others. An individual unemployed primarily because of age, however, shall not be deemed unable to engage in substantial gainful activity by reason of a medical impairment.

(e) When used in this section for evaluating "disability," the term "education" is used in the following sense: Education and training are factors in determining the employment capacity of an individual. Lack of formal schooling, however, is not necessarily proof that the individual is an uneducated person. The kinds of responsibilities he carried when working may indicate ability to do more than unskilled work, even though his formal education has been limited.

(f) Under the law, an impairment must also be expected either to continue for a long and indefinite period or to result in death. Indefinite is used in the sense that it cannot reasonably be anticipated that the impairment will, in the foreseeable future, be so diminished as no longer to prevent substantial gainful activity. Thus, for example, an individual who suffers a bone fracture that has prevented him from working for an extended period of time will not be considered under a disability if his recovery can be expected in the foreseeable future.

(g) An individual will be deemed not under a disability if, with reasonable effort and safety to himself, the impairment can be diminished to the extent that the individual will not be prevented by the impairment from engaging in any substantial gainful activity.

(Sec. 205(a), 53 Stat. 1368, as amended, sec. 1102, 49 Stat. 647, as amended; 42 U.S.C. 405(a), 1302; sec. 5 of Reorg. Plan No. 1 of 1953, 67 Stat. 18. Interprets or applies sec. 202, 49 Stat. 623, as amended, sec. 216(i) 68 Stat. 1080, as amended, sec. 223, 70 Stat. 815, as amended; 42 U.S.C. 402, 416(i), 423)

[SEAL] W. L. MITCHELL,
Commissioner of Social Security.

Approved: August 9, 1960.

ARTHUR S. FLEMMING,
Secretary of Health, Education,
and Welfare.

[F.R. Doc. 60-7892; Filed, Aug. 23, 1960;
8:47 a.m.]

Title 19—CUSTOMS DUTIES

Chapter I—Bureau of Customs, Department of the Treasury

[T.D. 55206]

PART 1—CUSTOMS DISTRICTS, PORTS, AND STATIONS

Ports of Entry

AUGUST 17, 1960.

By virtue of the authority vested in the President by section 1 of the Act of August 1, 1914, 38 Stat. 623 (19 U.S.C. 2), which was delegated to the Secretary of the Treasury by the President by Execu-

tive Order No. 10289, September 17, 1951 (3 CFR, 1951 Supp., Ch. II), a new customs port of entry to be known as Kodiak, Alaska, is hereby designated in Customs Collection District No. 31 (Alaska).

Section 1.1(c) of the Customs Regulations is amended by adding "Kodiak, Alaska (T.D. 55206)" in the column headed "Ports of entry" in District No. 31 (Alaska).

In order to correctly reflect the addresses of the customs laboratories and the collection districts they serve, the listing of customs offices in § 1.7 of the Customs Regulations is amended to read as follows:

Address	Customs collection districts
408 Atlantic Ave., Boston, Mass.	1, 2, 4, and 5.
201 Varick St., New York, N.Y.	6, 7, 8, 9, 10, 49, and 51.
Branch Laboratory: Customhouse, San Juan, Puerto Rico.	
Customhouse, Philadelphia, Pa.	11, 12, and 41.
103 South Gay St., Baltimore, Md.	13, 14, 15, 42, and 43.
Customhouse, Savannah, Ga.	16, 17, and 18.
Customhouse, New Orleans, La.	19, 20, 21, 22, and 23.
531 Mateo St., Los Angeles, Calif.	24, 25, 26, 27, and 50.
630 Sansome St., San Francisco, Calif.	28, 29, 30, 31, 32, 33, and 47.
Customhouse, Chicago, Ill.	34, 35, 36, 37, 38, 39, 40 and 45.

(R.S. 161, 251, sec. 1, 37 Stat. 434, sec. 1, 38 Stat. 623, as amended; 5 U.S.C. 22, 19 U.S.C. 1, 2, 66)

Notice of the proposed designation of Kodiak as a customs port of entry was published in the FEDERAL REGISTER of July 9, 1960 (25 F.R. 6483), pursuant to the provisions of section 4 of the Administrative Procedure Act (5 U.S.C. 1003). No objections to this action were received. It is considered in the best interest of the public that this action be put in effect as soon as possible. For this reason it is found that compliance with the effective date limitations of section 4(c) of that Act serves no good purpose. This Treasury decision shall, therefore, be effective upon publication in the FEDERAL REGISTER.

[SEAL] A. GILMORE FLUES,
Acting Secretary of the Treasury.

[F.R. Doc. 60-7894; Filed, Aug. 23, 1960;
8:48 a.m.]

Title 21—FOOD AND DRUGS

Chapter I—Food and Drug Administration, Department of Health, Education, and Welfare

SUBCHAPTER B—FOOD AND FOOD PRODUCTS

PART 120—TOLERANCES AND EXEMPTIONS FROM TOLERANCES FOR PESTICIDE CHEMICALS IN OR ON RAW AGRICULTURAL COMMODITIES

Tolerances for Residues of 2,3-p-Dioxanedithiol-S, S-Bis (O,O-Diethylphosphorodithioate)

A petition was filed with the Food and Drug Administration by Hercules Powder Company, Wilmington 99, Delaware, requesting the establishment of tolerances

for residues of 2,3-p-dioxanedithiol-S,S-bis (O,O-diethylphosphorodithioate) containing approximately 70 percent cis and trans isomers and approximately 30 percent related compounds, in or on raw agricultural commodities, as follows:

- 2.1 parts per million in or on grapes.
- 1 part per million in or on the fat of meat from cattle, goats, hogs, and sheep.

The Secretary of Agriculture has certified that this pesticide chemical is useful for the purposes for which tolerances are being established.

After consideration of the data submitted in the petition and other relevant material which show that the tolerances established in this order will protect the public health, and by virtue of the authority vested in the Secretary of Health, Education, and Welfare by the Federal Food, Drug, and Cosmetic Act (sec. 408 (d) (2), 68 Stat. 512; 21 U.S.C. 346a(d) (2)) and delegated to the Commissioner of Food and Drugs by the Secretary (21 CFR 120.7(g)), the regulations for tolerances for pesticide chemicals in or on raw agricultural commodities (21 CFR 120.171) are amended by changing § 120.171 to read as follows:

§ 120.171 Tolerances for residues of 2,3-p-dioxanedithiol-S,S-bis (O,O-diethylphosphorodithioate).

Tolerances for residues of 2,3-p-dioxanedithiol-S-S-bis (O,O-diethylphosphorodithioate) in or on raw agricultural commodities incurred from the use of a pesticide chemical composed of a mixture of approximately 70 percent of the cis and trans isomers of 2,3-p-dioxanedithiol-S-S-bis (O,O-diethylphosphorodithioate) and approximately 30 percent of related compounds are established as follows:

- (a) 2.8 parts per million in or on grapefruit, lemons, limes, oranges, tangelos, tangerines.

(b) 2.1 parts per million in or on grapes.

(c) 1 part per million in or on fat of meat from cattle, goats, hogs, and sheep.

Any person who will be adversely affected by the foregoing order may at any time prior to the thirtieth day from the date of its publication in the FEDERAL REGISTER file with the Hearing Clerk, Department of Health, Education, and Welfare, Room 5440, 330 Independence Avenue SW., Washington 25, D.C., written objections thereto. Objections shall show wherein the person filing will be adversely affected by the order, and specify with particularity the provisions of the order deemed objectionable and the grounds for the objections. If a hearing is requested, the objections must state the issues for the hearing. A hearing will be granted if the objections are supported by grounds legally sufficient to justify the relief sought. Objections may be accompanied by a memorandum or brief in support thereof. All documents shall be filed in quintuplicate.

Effective date. This order shall be effective upon publication in the FEDERAL REGISTER.

(Sec. 408(d)(2), 68 Stat. 512; 21 U.S.C. 346a(d)(2))

Dated: August 17, 1960.

[SEAL] GEO. P. LARRICK,
Commissioner of Food and Drugs.

[F.R. Doc. 60-7880; Filed, Aug. 23, 1960;
8:47 a.m.]

Title 32—NATIONAL DEFENSE

Chapter I—Office of the Secretary of Defense

SUBCHAPTER C—MILITARY PERSONNEL

PART 56—MEDICAL CARE FOR DEPENDENTS OF MEMBERS OF THE UNIFORMED SERVICES

Miscellaneous Amendments

The following miscellaneous amendments to this Part 56 have been authorized by the Secretary of Defense and the Secretary of Health, Education, and Welfare:

§ 56.1-3 [Amendment]

1. Section 56.1-3(j)(8) has been reworded to read as follows: (8) *Adjuncts to medical care.* Prosthetic devices such as artificial limbs, artificial eyes, hearing aids, orthopedic footwear, spectacles, and similar medical supports or aids.

§ 56.3-2 [Amendment]

2. The sixth sentence in § 56.3-2(e) has been revised; § 56.3-2(e), as amended, now reads as follows:

(e) In lieu of the restrictions described in paragraphs (c) and (d) of this section, the Secretary of Defense may specify a date as of which the restrictions described below will be effective. On and after the specified date, a restriction on freedom of choice shall be effective as to dependents in the United

States and Puerto Rico, who are eligible for civilian medical care, who reside with their sponsors, or in an area to which their sponsor is assigned, who require care authorized under this Part 56 from civilian sources but have not commenced receiving such care from civilian sources on the aforesaid specified date (or, in the case of a maternity patient, whose care by her civilian physician on that date has not reached the second trimester), and who reside in an area where adequate medical facilities of a uniformed service are available for such dependents. No restriction on freedom of choice will be imposed on such dependents residing in areas where adequate medical facilities of a uniformed service are not available. However, in order that the restriction may be appropriately administered, each dependent who resides with the sponsor, or in an area to which the sponsor is assigned, and who requires care authorized under this Part 56 from civilian sources but has not commenced receiving such care from civilian sources on the specified date (or, in the case of a maternity patient, whose care by her civilian physician on that date has not reached the second trimester), will be required to contact a uniformed services installation. For those residing in areas where an adequate medical facility of a uniformed service is not available, DD Form 1251, "Nonavailability Statement", authorizing care from civilian sources at Government expense will be issued. Such a statement may also be issued to a dependent residing with the sponsor, or residing in an area to which the sponsor is assigned, when the capability does not exist in the medical facility (or facilities) of the uniformed services in the area to provide the required care because of lack of necessary staff, facilities, or space. The DD Form 1251, issued in the manner described above, shall be evidence of entitlement of the dependent to care authorized under this Part 56 from civilian sources at Government expense. In determining whether a dependent covered under this paragraph (e) is residing in an area where adequate medical facilities of a uniformed service are available, the criteria outlined in paragraphs (c) and (d) of this section shall apply. Detailed procedures concerning the format of the DD Form 1251 and the manner in which it is to be issued may be set forth in the Joint Regulations. Spouses and children are considered to be residing with their sponsor if they reside in the area to which the sponsor is assigned, in the area of his permanent duty station, or the home port or home yard of a ship, even though the sponsor may be temporarily away, by reason of temporary duty with his unit or ship, from the area to which he is assigned, the permanent duty station or his home port or home yard respectively, or by reason of the sponsor's absence on individual temporary duty or temporary additional duty order.

3. A new § 56.3-4 has been added to read as follows:

§ 56.3-4 Between civilian medical facilities and uniformed services facilities outside the United States and Puerto Rico.

Dependents eligible for civilian medical care who are not residing with their sponsors, or in an area to which their sponsor is assigned, shall have free choice between uniformed services medical facilities and professionally acceptable local civilian sources. Where medical facilities of the uniformed services are available within the area and are capable of providing the required care, spouses and children outside the United States and Puerto Rico who are residing with their sponsors, or in an area to which their sponsor is assigned, will utilize these facilities for such care. In areas where medical facilities of the uniformed services are nonexistent or incapable of providing adequate medical care, spouses and children who are residing with their sponsors, or in an area to which their sponsor is assigned, may be authorized civilian medical care from professionally acceptable local sources in accordance with this Part 56.

§ 56.4-3 [Amendment]

4. Section 56.4-3(c) has been revised to read as follows:

(c) When a hospitalized dependent patient requires care beyond the capabilities of the medical facility, the commanding officer or officer-in-charge of the facility is authorized to:

- (1) Transfer the patient to the nearest medical facility of the uniformed services where the required treatment is available. Government transportation may be utilized to effect such transfer; or
- (2) Procure from civilian sources the necessary supplemental material and professional and personal services required for the proper care and treatment of the patient in his facility.

The authorization provided by this paragraph (c) is applicable after admission of the patient when the patient's condition so requires.

§ 56.4-5 [Amendment]

5. Section 56.4-5(a)(3) has been amended to read as follows:

(3) Outside the United States, and in remote areas within the United States as designated by the Secretary of the Army, Navy, or Air Force and approved by the Secretary of Defense where adequate civilian dental facilities are not available to personnel at or near military installations; or as designated by the Surgeon General, U.S. Public Health Service, and approved by the Secretary of Health, Education, and Welfare for those facilities over which jurisdiction is provided in § 56.1-4(b).

6. A new § 56.4-9 has been added to read as follows:

§ 56.4-9 Transportation of patients.

Commercial or civilian transportation to move dependent patients to medical facilities of the uniformed services, between medical facilities of the uniformed services, or from a civilian medical facility to a medical facility of the uni-

formed services is not authorized at Government expense.

§ 56.5-2 [Amendment]

7. The last sentence of § 56.5-2(g) has been revised; § 56.5-2(g), as amended, now reads as follows:

(g) Treatment in a hospital for an acute emotional disorder is authorized provided that such disorder is considered to constitute an emergency which is a threat to the life or health of a patient. In general, care will be provided for an acute emotional disorder under this section only until the disorder subsides, until arrangements are made for care elsewhere, or until the end of 21 days of hospitalization, whichever occurs earliest. Under procedures to be established by the Executive Agent, or his representative, within the United States and Puerto Rico, and by the Secretaries of the uniformed services, or their representatives, outside the United States and Puerto Rico, extension beyond 21 days may be granted on a case-by-case basis where the member or the dependent, or the representative of either, shows that, due to absence (e.g. overseas assignment when dependent is in the United States), the member was unable to make arrangements for care elsewhere within the 21-day period. With special exceptions, as authorized by the Surgeon General of a uniformed service, additional care for an acute emotional disorder in a hospital of the uniformed services on a space available basis may be provided in accordance with § 56.4-4(b). The commanding officer of a uniformed services hospital, or the Surgeon General of a uniformed service having jurisdiction over a hospital, may authorize transfer of any patient, hospitalized in a civilian hospital under any of the above subparagraphs, to that uniformed services medical facility on the basis of space, facility, and personnel availability. (See §§ 56.4-2 and 56.4-3.) In cases covered by the two preceding sentences, Government transportation may be utilized to effect transfer to a uniformed services hospital.

§ 56.5-3 [Amendment]

8. A parenthetical note has been added following heading of § 56.5-3(a); the introduction to § 56.5-3(a), as amended, now reads:

(a) *Applicable terms.* (NOTE: These terms are primarily for use in the United States and Puerto Rico and may be modified in other areas.)

9. A sentence has been added to § 56.5-3(d) (1) (ii); § 56.5-3(d) (1) (ii), as amended, now reads as follows:

(ii) All diagnostic and therapeutic tests and procedures authorized by the attending physician and accomplished during a period of hospitalization are authorized for payment by the Government. In those instances during the period of hospitalization when treatment by the use of X-ray, radium or radioisotopes is prescribed, such treatment may be continued or carried out on an outpatient status.

§ 56.5-4 [Amendment]

10. In § 56.5-4(g), the cross-references have been deleted and a positive state-

ment concerning transportation has been added; § 56.5-4(g), as amended, now reads:

(g) Ambulance service, or other civilian transportation used for movement of spouses or children to or between civilian medical facilities or from a civilian medical facility to a medical facility of the uniformed services.

11. A new § 56.5-4(h) has been added to read as follows:

(h) Adjuncts to medical care (See § 56.1-3(j) (8)).

§ 56.5-5 [Amendment]

12. The second sentence of § 56.5-5(e) (1) has been revised; § 56.5-5(e) (1), as amended, now reads as follows:

(1) Spouses and children of members of the uniformed services receiving treatment in a civilian medical facility at Government expense at the time of death of the member, or such spouses and children requiring care in a civilian facility as a result of being in the same accident or the same episode which proved fatal to the member, if continued hospitalization is required, shall be transferred to a uniformed services medical facility as soon as the physical condition of the patient permits, subject to space, facilities, and personnel availability. Government transportation may be utilized to effect transfer to a uniformed services hospital. The cost of medical and hospital care authorized from civilian sources (see § 56.5-2) which was furnished to the dependent during the period of hospitalization in the civilian facility shall be borne by the Government subject to the charges provided in § 56.5-6, but not after the date on which feasible arrangements for transfer have been made.

§ 56.5-6 [Amendment]

13. An introductory clause has been added to § 56.5-6(d); § 56.5-6(d), as amended, now reads as follows:

(d) Except as provided in paragraph (b) (3) of this section, if hospital care in a private room is provided in a hospital which has only private rooms, the Government will pay 90 percent of the daily hospital charges for the room provided the dependent, or \$15.00 per day, whichever is the lesser. The patient will be required to pay the hospital the greater of subparagraph (1) or (2), and in addition subparagraph (3) of this paragraph:

§ 56.5-7 [Amendment]

14. Section 56.5-7(c) (1) has been deleted; § 56.5-7(c) (2) has been revised to become § 56.5-7(c) as follows:

(c) *Care in civilian facilities outside the United States and Puerto Rico.* The Secretary of a uniformed service is authorized, with authority to redelegate such responsibilities as appropriate, to contract or provide for payment for authorized civilian medical care for spouses and children outside the United States and Puerto Rico. (See § 56.3-4.) The responsibilities of the uniformed services, where appropriate, shall be the same as those listed for the Executive Agent in § 56.5-7(b).

15. The fourth sentence of § 56.5-8 has been revised; § 56.5-8, as amended, now reads as follows:

§ 56.5-8 Hospitalization beyond period of 365 days.

When a spouse or child, who is a dependent, requires a period of hospitalization in excess of 365 days, the hospital shall notify the contractor who shall forward a copy of this notification to the Executive Agent or his representative. This notification normally will be submitted not later than 300 days after admission of the patient. Advance notice will permit arrangements to be made for proper transfer of the patient to a hospital of the uniformed service if this is determined to be feasible. Government transportation may be utilized to effect transfer to a uniformed services hospital. When transfer is not feasible, continuation of care in the civilian hospital at the expense of the Government may be authorized subject to the Joint Regulations.

(Secs. 101-103, 201-204, 301-305, 70 Stat. 250-254; 37 U.S.C. 401-403, 411-414, 421-423, 404-405)

MAURICE W. ROCHE,
Administrative Secretary.

Approved: August 15, 1960.

FRANK B. BERRY,
*Assistant Secretary of Defense
(Health and Medical).*

Approved: August 9, 1960.

ARTHUR S. FLEMMING,
*Secretary of Health, Education,
and Welfare.*

[F.R. Doc. 60-7893; Filed, Aug. 23, 1960;
8:47 a.m.]

Chapter VII—Department of the Air Force

SUBCHAPTER G—PERSONNEL

PART 890—MEDICAL, DENTAL, AND VETERINARY CARE FROM CIVILIAN SOURCES

A new Part 890 is added as follows:

Sec.	
890.1	Purpose.
890.2	Definitions.
890.3	Care authorized from civilian sources.
890.4	For whom authorized.
890.5	Personal responsibility.
890.6	By whom authorized.
890.7	When not authorized.
890.8	Eye refractions obtained by individuals.
890.9	Surgical and orthopedic appliances.
890.10	How to request authorizations.
890.11	Veterinary care.
890.12	Bills for veterinary service.
890.13	Medical care in other Government facilities.
890.14	Payment of accounts to other Government agencies.
890.15	Preparing and approving statements and vouchers.
890.16	Information required on statements and bills from civilian hospitals, physicians, dentists, and nurses.

AUTHORITY: §§ 890.1 to 890.16 issued under sec. 8012, 70A Stat. 488; 10 U.S.C. 8012. Interpret or apply 10 U.S.C. 672(d), 8721-8723, 9385.

SOURCE: AFR 160-53, February 3, 1960.

§ 890.1 Purpose.

Sections 890.1 to 890.16 tell how to authorize and reimburse at Air Force expense for the following:

(a) Essential medical and dental care from civilian sources for Air Force members on active duty where Government facilities are unavailable;

(b) Supplemental medical care necessary for uniformed service members on active duty while under treatment at Air Force medical treatment facilities; and

(c) Veterinary service for Air Force-owned animals when Government veterinary service is unavailable.

§ 890.2 Definitions.

For the purpose of §§ 890.1 to 890.16, the following terms will apply:

(a) *Civilian medical care.* Physical examination, professional advice, and treatment provided by a civilian physician or civilian medical agency to sick or injured military personnel. The term includes nursing, hospital care, medicine, whole blood or blood derivatives, laboratory and X-ray services, physical therapy, etc.

(b) *Civilian physician.* Any person legally qualified and licensed without limitation to administer drugs and to perform surgical procedures in the geographic area where such service is provided.

(c) *Civilian dentist.* Any person legally qualified and licensed without limitation in the geographic area concerned to administer drugs and to perform all procedures related to the teeth, jaws, and structures contiguous to one or the other.

(d) *Elective care.* Medical, surgical, or dental care desired or requested by the individual or the physician or dentist but, in the opinion of cognizant medical authority, not required. Examples are surgery of cosmetic purposes, vitamins without a therapeutic basis, etc.

(e) *Emergency care.* Medical or dental care required to save life, limb, or sight and to prevent undue suffering. This does not include treatment, surgery, or prosthetic techniques which, in the opinion of cognizant medical authority, can be scheduled at another time or place; e.g., hemorrhoidectomy, dental prosthetic devices (bridges), orthopedic appliances.

(f) *Uniformed services.* The Army, the Navy, the Air Force, the Marine Corps, the Coast Guard, the Commissioned Corps of the Coast and Geodetic Survey, and the Commissioned Corps of the Public Health Service.

(g) *Member of a uniformed service.* A person appointed, enlisted, inducted, called, ordered, or conscripted in a uniformed service who is serving on active duty or active duty for training pursuant to a call or order that does not specify a period of 30 days or less.

§ 890.3 Care authorized from civilian sources.

Medical and dental care from civilian sources at Air Force expense is limited to that which would be provided by Air Force medical or dental facilities if they were available. Such care is authorized at Air Force expense as follows:

(a) When an Air Force member within the meaning of § 890.4 requires essential medical or dental care and there is neither an Air Force medical or dental facility nor any other Government medical or dental facility available.

(b) When an Air Force medical or dental facility is unable to provide an essential medical or dental service for a uniformed service member due to a limitation of the facility or its staff.

(c) Civilian ambulance service at Air Force expense is authorized to move an Air Force member who is absent without leave or in desertion to a civilian medical facility or place of treatment or to a Government medical facility, if the Air Force member is returned to military control or custody during or directly after such transportation or treatment.

§ 890.4 For whom authorized.

Medical and dental care from civilian sources in the absence of Air Force or other Government medical facilities is authorized at Air Force expense for the following Air Force personnel:

(a) Active duty military personnel of the Air Force, including Air Force Academy cadets and aviation cadets, on a duty status or in authorized absence, i.e., leave, pass or authorized travel.

(b) Members of the Air Force Reserve who are injured or contract a disease in line of duty while on active duty, or who are injured in line of duty while performing inactive duty training or while voluntarily participating in an aerial flight in a Government-owned aircraft under proper authority and as an incident of training. Rehospitalization may be authorized under the provisions of this section for the same condition for which hospitalization at Air Force expense was initially required, until no improvement will derive from further treatment.

(c) Members of the Air National Guard who are injured or become ill in line of duty when on active duty for the purpose of performing Air Force directed missions such as ferrying aircraft, runway alert, or such other duties as the Secretary of the Air Force may direct on competent Air Force orders. (Air National Guard members who are on active duty for training or inactive duty training are also eligible for medical and dental care from civilian sources in accordance with Air National Guard directives at Air National Guard expense. Such hospitalization may be authorized by the Air National Guard organization or Air Force base commander. This does not preclude admission and treatment of Air National Guard members at Air Force medical facilities.)

(d) Members of Air Force Reserve Officers Training Corps (AFROTC) who are injured or become ill in line of duty while en route to or from or while participating in summer encampments only. An AFROTC member is not entitled to medical service from civilian sources at Air Force expense beyond the training period specified in his training orders.

§ 890.5 Personal responsibility.

Civilian medical service provided to Air Force members absent without leave or in desertion is a personal responsibility

of the individual Air Force member. Civilian medical service obtained by Air Force Reserve, Air National Guard, and AFROTC members which is not in conformity with § 890.4 is a personal responsibility of the individual member receiving the medical service.

§ 890.6 By whom authorized.

Authority to approve civilian medical and dental attendance at Air Force expense is the responsibility:

(a) *In emergencies*, of the commander of the Air Force base or his authorized representative nearest the civilian medical facility providing the medical care. Emergency medical service will not be delayed pending approval (see § 890.10).

(b) *In other than emergencies*, of the Air Force base commander or his authorized representative under whose jurisdiction the member requiring medical care is assigned, or the commander of the unit to which a member is assigned in cases where the member's organization is not at an Air Force base.

(c) *For supplemental care*, of the director of base medical services.

§ 890.7 When not authorized.

Civilian medical or dental care at Air Force expense is not authorized for:

(a) Persons other than those stated in § 890.4.

(b) Elective treatment.

(c) Treatment when adequate medical or dental service is available from an Air Force or other Government medical or dental facility.

(d) Retired or discharged members of the Air Force: (An exception will be made for periodic examination, in whole or in part, of Air Force members temporarily retired for disability (TDRL) when ordered by competent authority to civilian medical facilities for such examination.)

(e) Air Force military personnel absent without leave or in desertion: (Charges incurred for civilian medical care when absent without authority are the sole responsibility of the individual concerned. Payment of charges for civilian medical care obtained after return of the individual to military control may be made from Air Force funds. Return to military control is effected when an absentee or deserter surrenders to, is delivered to, or is apprehended by an agent of the Armed Forces authorized to apprehend.) For ambulance service see § 890.3(c).

§ 890.8 Eye refractions obtained by individuals.

In the absence of an Air Force medical facility, and when eye refraction cannot be obtained from other Government agencies, refractive examinations necessary for the fitting of spectacles may be obtained from a civilian physician or licensed optometrist at Air Force expense by Air Force personnel on active duty only.

(a) *Authority.* Eye refraction under this section must be approved by the authority in § 890.6 (a) or (b). Exception: Prior authority will not be required for refractions for Air Force personnel on duty in foreign countries away from a uniformed service unit.

(b) *Authority withheld.* Authority will not be granted to obtain the services of a civilian physician or optometrist for refractions for personnel who are under orders to report in the near future to an installation where capable Government medical facilities are available or who are temporarily absent for short periods from their proper installations.

(c) *Prescribing lenses.* Civilian physicians or optometrists performing refractions for Air Force personnel will be requested to prescribe lenses to the closest ¼ diopter.

(d) *Charges.* Eye refraction provided under this section will be charged to the open allotment for medical care in non-Air Force medical facilities. Vouchers will be prepared and submitted in accordance with §§ 890.15 and 890.16.

(e) *Costs.* The charge for refraction should be at local rates and should include the adjustment of frames and fitting of spectacles when delivered.

(f) *Procuring spectacles.* Procurement of spectacles from civilian physicians or optometrists who perform refractions is not authorized at Government expense.

§ 890.9 Surgical and orthopedic appliances.

Items authorized for base procurement may be provided by the civilian medical facility in connection with treatment and services otherwise authorized by §§ 890.1 to 890.16.

§ 890.10 How to request authorization.

(a) *Emergency.* Emergency civilian medical or dental care at Air Force expense may be obtained by or in behalf of Air Force members for whom authorized in § 890.4 without prior authority. Emergency dental care is limited to relief of pain, treatment of acute septic conditions, or essential correction of dental injuries requiring immediate correction.

(b) *Other than emergency.* In other than emergency, requests by the Air Force member for authority to engage civilian medical or dental care will be made in writing to the approving authority. The request for medical or dental care will include:

- (1) Name, grade, service number and organization.
- (2) The character and extent of the injury or disease for which civilian care is required.
- (3) Origin or cause of disease or injury when known, and, if due to external violence, what the violence was and when it occurred.
- (4) The professional procedures considered necessary.
- (5) An estimate of the time required to correct the condition and the probable cost.
- (6) A statement of what action has been taken to obtain the required medical service from a Government medical facility. If no action has been taken, state the reasons.
- (7) A statement of the Air Force member's duties.
- (8) If on duty, the probable length of tour at the present location.

(9) Present status, whether duty, leave, or pass. If not on duty, the exact period of leave or pass.

(10) Professional opinion of the civilian physician or dentist indicating whether or not transfer of the patient to an Air Force, Army, or other Government hospital for the necessary treatment would be practicable.

§ 890.11 Veterinary care.

(a) *Definitions.* (1) Civilian veterinary service: Treatment, surgery, or hospitalization by a civilian veterinarian. This term includes surgical appliances and medicine where indicated.

(2) Civilian veterinarian: Any person legally qualified and licensed without limitation to practice veterinary medicine where the veterinary service is performed.

(3) Veterinary care authorized: Veterinary care is limited to that which would be available from an Air Force veterinarian if he were available. Civilian veterinary care for Government-owned animals assigned to Air Force units only is authorized at Air Force expense within the limitations of §§ 890.1 to 890.16.

(b) *By whom authorized.* (1) Veterinary care for sentry dogs: The commander or designated representative of the Air Force base or unit to which sentry dogs are attached or assigned is authorized to approve civilian veterinary care for these dogs.

(2) Veterinary care for other Government animals: The Air Force base or unit commander or his designated representative under whom Government animals are attached or assigned is authorized to approve civilian veterinary care for these animals.

(c) *When authorized.* Civilian veterinary care is authorized only in an emergency where the services of a military veterinarian are not economically available.

§ 890.12 Bills for veterinary service.

(a) List each service rendered such as X-rays, laboratory service, hospitalization, medical treatment, splints, pins, etc., and the charge for each.

(b) Indicate on the vouchers if veterinary care was provided in an emergency.

§ 890.13 Medical care in other Government facilities.

Civilian medical and dental attendance for Air Force military personnel at public expense is authorized only when the required treatment cannot be obtained from available facilities of the Air Force or other Government agencies such as Army, Navy, Veterans Administration, U.S. Public Health Service, or Indian Service.

§ 890.14 Payment of accounts to other Government agencies.

Settlement of bills incurred by Air Force personnel treated at medical facilities of other Government agencies will be adjusted between the Surgeon General, USAF, and the Government agency concerned. (Medical care authorized in Army or Navy medical facilities to members of the Air National Guard on active duty for training or inactive duty training will be paid for by the Chief, Air

Force Division, National Guard Bureau, Washington 25, D.C. The Veterans Administration, Public Health Service, or civilian medical facilities which furnish medical or dental care and treatment for Air National Guard personnel will be reimbursed from funds in the Air National Guard appropriation by the United States Property and Fiscal Officer of the State concerned, or the Assistant United States Property and Fiscal Officer assigned to an Air National Guard base. See § 890.4(c).

§ 890.15 Preparing and approving statements and vouchers.

(a) Vouchers will ordinarily be prepared by the director of base medical services nearest the civilian facility which provided the medical care and will be processed through the local accounting and finance officer for payment. Approving authority, see § 890.6, or certifying officers, will prepare vouchers at locations where there is no director of base medical services. Vouchers for veterinary service will be approved by the authority designated in § 890.11(b). This will expedite processing of bills. It is intended that a bill payable under the open allotment will be processed and paid by the first Air Force base commander who receives it except where a delay can be avoided by forwarding it to a closer Air Force base.

(b) Bills received from civilian sources will be processed immediately upon receipt. If there is a delay, the hospital or physician will be advised at regular intervals of the status of the account.

(c) When bills present unusual or difficult aspects, a query or request for advice may be made direct to the Surgeon General, USAF.

(d) When charges appear excessive, and itemized statement will be obtained from the doctor or hospital before payment is made. The itemized statements should be submitted to the local medical society or referred to the next Air Force echelon of command for evaluation. This will avoid placing the Air Force medical facility commander in a position of controversy with doctors or hospitals.

(e) If satisfactory identification of an individual as an Air Force member, former Air Force member, or his unit of assignment cannot be obtained, inquiry should be sent to Hq USAF (AFCAS-60), Washington 25, D.C.

(f) When civilian medical or dental care is furnished military personnel at Government expense, a summary of the illness and treatment will be entered in the Individual Health Record. When indicated, requirements for preparing and submitting of a DD Form 481, "Clinical Record Cover Sheet," will be met. The director of base medical services preparing vouchers will provide a copy to the unit commander of the uniformed service member if the member's Individual Health Record is not available.

§ 890.16 Information required on statements and bills from civilian hospitals, physicians, dentists, and nurses.

(a) *Hospital service.* (1) Hospitalization charges at the daily or weekly rate will be shown with applicable dates.

(2) Charges for services not included in the daily or weekly rate including dates of such charges as, use of operating room, laboratory service, X-ray, medicine, ambulance service, etc., will be shown as follows:

- (i) X-rays: Aggregate.
- (ii) Routine laboratory tests: Aggregate.
- (iii) Special laboratory tests by name.
- (iv) Medicines: Aggregate.
- (v) First aid or emergency treatment: State the exact nature of the services.
- (vi) Ambulance service: Show the mileage involved and the flat rate or rate per mile, as the case may be. See § 890.3(c).

(vii) Long distance telephone call or telegram charges: Must be accompanied by certificate or copy of telegram.

(viii) Use of operating room: Indicate if this includes administration of anesthetic and if administered by a member of hospital staff. If not, show the charge separately in the name of the person administering the anesthetic. If the hospital has paid anesthetist, charge may be listed on hospital voucher, but must be accompanied by receipted bill from the anesthetist showing payment by hospital.

(ix) Items incident to treatment: List and itemize separately, crutches, special therapy, etc.

(x) Physician and nurses: Include only those regularly employed on a salary basis by the hospital and for whose services payments are due to the hospital. If this is the case, state that nurse or physician is a salaried member of hospital staff. If the hospital has paid physicians and nurses who are not salaried members of the staff, such charge may be included in the hospital bill but must be accompanied by a receipted bill showing payment by the hospital.

(b) *Bills for physicians' or surgeons' services.* (1) Visits: Show where visits were made, i.e., hospital, doctor's office, patient's home, etc. List date and charge for each visit chronologically. If two or more visits are made on any one day, enter the number for each day and mark them "first visit" and "second visit," etc. List date and charge for operations separately. List chronologically the date of each visit after the operation and itemize the charges and the services rendered.

(2) Other services (such as X-rays, laboratory services, and medicines): Aggregate.

(3) Medicines: Aggregate. If furnished from physician's own stock, certify on the voucher that they were furnished from his stock, charges, etc.

(c) *Special nursing service.* Indicate place where service was rendered, the hour it began and ended, the number of hours served each day or night, the rate per day or night, and the number of days or nights served.

Note: If a nurse went on duty at 11:00 p.m. on one day and went off duty at 7:00 a.m. the next day, only one night will be counted, although two dates are involved.

(d) *Dental services.* (1) Show each service rendered, such as extraction, filling, etc., and the charge for each. Identify each tooth involved. Show the

number of tooth surfaces involved and filling material used.

(2) Filling operations, treatment of chronic lesions, prosthetic replacements, dental repair, and prolonged or extensive procedures, such as those required following the relief of immediate emergency, require prior approval of the authority cited in § 890.6.

R. J. PUGH,
Colonel, U.S. Air Force, Deputy
Director of Administrative
Services.

[F.R. Doc. 60-7868; Filed, Aug. 23, 1960;
8:45 a.m.]

Chapter XIV—The Renegotiation Board

SUBCHAPTER B—RENEGOTIATION BOARD REGULATIONS UNDER THE 1951 ACT

PART 1464—CONSOLIDATED RENEGOTIATION OF AFFILIATED GROUPS AND RELATED GROUPS

Consolidated Renegotiation of Related Group; When Granted

Section 1464.4 *Request for consolidated renegotiation of related group; when granted* is amended by deleting paragraph (b) in its entirety and inserting in lieu thereof the following:

(b) Each of such persons who participated in a consolidated renegotiation for a prior fiscal year and whose fiscal year differed from the fiscal year of the related group in that renegotiation has, before the close of the renegotiation proceeding for the related group's fiscal year under review, adopted under the Internal Revenue Code a fiscal year in conformity with the related group's fiscal year; *Provided, however,* That this requirement may be waived by the Board, in its discretion, in the case of any contractor who for any reason has ceased to engage in renegotiable business before the close of the renegotiation proceeding for the related group's fiscal year under review.

(Sec. 109, 65 Stat. 22; 50 U.S.C. App. Sup. 1219)

Dated: August 19, 1960.

THOMAS COGGESHALL,
Chairman.

[F.R. Doc. 60-7900; Filed, Aug. 23, 1960;
8:49 a.m.]

Title 41—PUBLIC CONTRACTS

Chapter 1—Federal Procurement Regulations

PART 1-2—PROCUREMENT BY FORMAL ADVERTISING

Subpart 1-2.2—Solicitation of Bids

BID SAMPLES AND DESCRIPTIVE LITERATURE

1. The table of contents is amended to include new section 1-2.202-4, *Bid samples*, and new section 1-2.202-5 *Descriptive literature*, as follows:

Sec.
1-2.202-4 Bid samples.
1-2.202-5 Descriptive literature.

2. Section 1-2.201(b) (11) is revised to read as follows:

§ 1-2.201 Preparation of invitations for bids.

* * * * *

(11) Any applicable requirements for samples or descriptive literature (see sections 1-2.202-4 and 1-2.202-5).

3. New sections 1-2.202-4 and 1-2.202-5 are added as follows:

§ 1-2.202-4 Bid samples.

(a) *Definition.* The term "bid sample" means a sample required by the invitation for bids to be furnished by a bidder as a part of his bid to show the characteristics of a product offered in his bid. The term does not include any type of sample submitted after bid opening such as one submitted to evidence a manufacturer's ability to produce.

(b) *Policy.* Bidders shall not be required to furnish a bid sample of a product they propose to furnish unless there are certain characteristics of the product which cannot be described adequately in the applicable specification or purchase description, thus necessitating the submission of a sample to assure procurement of an acceptable product. It may be appropriate to require bid samples, for example, where the procurement is of products that must be suitable from the standpoint of balance, facility of use, general "feel," color, or pattern, or that have certain other characteristics which cannot be described adequately in the applicable specifications. However, where more than a minor portion of the characteristics of the product cannot be adequately described in the specification, the product should be procured by negotiation in accordance with section 1-3.210.

(c) *Justification.* The reasons why acceptable products cannot be procured without the submission of bid samples shall be set forth and filed in the case file, except where such submission is required by the formal specifications (Federal, military, departmental, etc.) applicable to the procurement.

(d) *Requirements of invitation for bids.* When bid samples are required, the invitation for bids shall (1) state the number and, if appropriate, the size of the samples to be submitted and otherwise fully describe the samples required, (2) state clearly the purpose for which the samples are needed and the requirements against which they will be tested or evaluated, and (3) include a provision in accordance with (e) below. Where samples are not considered necessary and a waiver of the sample requirements of a specification has been authorized, a statement shall be included in the invitation for bids that notwithstanding the requirements of the specifications, samples will not be required.

(e) *Invitation for bids provision.* When bid samples are required, a provision substantially as follows (modified, if appropriate, in accordance with (f) below) shall be included in the invitation for bids:

BID SAMPLES

(a) Bid samples, in the quantities, sizes, etc., required for the items so indicated in

this Invitation for Bids, must be furnished as a part of the bid and must be received before the time set for opening bids. Samples will be evaluated to determine compliance with the specifications or other requirements of this Invitation for Bids.

(b) Failure of samples to conform to the requirements of this Invitation for Bids will require rejection of the bid. Failure to furnish samples by the time specified in the Invitation for Bids will require rejection of the bid, except that a late sample transmitted by mail may be considered under the provision for considering late bids, as set forth elsewhere in this Invitation for Bids.

(c) Products delivered under any resulting contract shall conform to the approved sample as to the characteristics for which the sample was required and shall conform to the specifications as to all other characteristics.

(f) *Waiver of requirement for bid samples.*

(1) The provision prescribed in (e) above may be modified to provide that the requirement for furnishing samples may be waived as to a bidder who offers a product previously or currently being procured or tested by the procuring activity and found to comply with specification requirements conforming in every material respect with those in the current invitation for bids so that further evaluation or testing would not add to the Government's knowledge of the acceptability of the product. When provision is to be made for such waiver, the invitation for bids provision in (e) above shall be modified by adding substantially the following at the end of paragraph (b) thereof:

However, the requirement for furnishing samples may be waived as to a bidder if (1) the bidder states in his bid that the product he is offering to furnish is the same as a product he has offered to the [*] on a previous procurement and (2) the Contracting Officer determines that such product was previously procured or tested by the [*] and found to comply with specification requirements conforming in every material respect to those in this Invitation for Bids.

*Contracting officer shall insert "procuring activity" or such other designation as may be provided by agency procedures.

(2) Where considered necessary because of the nature of the product, the provision in (f) (1) above may be limited to provide for waiving the requirement only if the product offered is produced at the same plant at which the product previously procured or tested was produced.

(g) *Unsolicited samples.* If bid samples are not required by the invitation for bids, but samples are furnished with a bid, they will not be considered as qualifying the bid, and will be disregarded, unless it is clear from the bid or accompanying papers that it was the bidder's intention so to qualify the bid.

(h) *Disposition of samples.* Samples, if not destroyed in testing, shall be returned to bidders at their request and expense, unless otherwise specified in the invitation for bids. See paragraph 2(b) of the Terms and Conditions of the Invitation for Bids of Standard Forms

30 and 33, which are illustrated in Subpart 1-16.9.

§ 1-2.202-5 Descriptive literature.

(a) *Definition.* As used in this section 1-2.202-5, the term "descriptive literature" means information, such as cuts, illustrations, drawings and brochures, which show the characteristics or construction of a product or explain its operation, furnished by a bidder as a part of his bid to describe the products offered in his bid. The term includes only information required to determine acceptability of the product, and excludes other information such as that furnished in connection with the qualifications of a bidder or for use in operating or maintaining equipment.

(b) *Policy.* Bidders shall not be required to furnish descriptive literature as a part of their bids unless the contracting agency deems that such literature is needed to enable it to determine before award whether the products offered meet the specification requirements of the invitation for bids and to establish exactly what the bidder proposes to furnish. It may be appropriate to require descriptive literature in the procurement of highly technical or specialized equipment, or where considerations such as design or style are important in determining acceptability of the product.

(c) *Justification.* The reasons why acceptable products cannot be procured without the submission of descriptive literature shall be set forth and filed in the case file, except where such submission is required by the formal specifications (Federal, military, departmental, etc.) applicable to the procurement.

(d) *Requirements of invitation for bids.* When descriptive literature is required, the invitation for bids shall clearly state what descriptive literature is to be furnished, the purpose for which it is required, the extent to which it will be considered in the evaluation of bids, and the rules which will apply if a bidder fails to furnish it before bid opening or if the literature furnished does not comply with the requirements of the invitation for bids. Where descriptive literature is not considered necessary and a waiver of the literature requirements of a specification has been authorized, a statement shall be included in the invitation for bids that notwithstanding the requirements of the specifications, descriptive literature will not be required.

(1) Except as provided in (2) below, if bidders are to furnish descriptive literature as a part of their bids, a provision substantially as follows (modified, if appropriate, in accordance with (e) (1) below) shall be included in the invitation for bids:

REQUIREMENT FOR DESCRIPTIVE LITERATURE

(a) Descriptive literature as specified in this Invitation for Bids must be furnished as a part of the bid and must be received before the time set for opening bids. The literature furnished must be identified to show the item in the bid to which it pertains. The descriptive literature is required

to establish, for the purposes of bid evaluation and award, details of the products the bidder proposes to furnish as to [*] 1.

(b) Failure of descriptive literature to show that the product offered conforms to the specifications and other requirements of this Invitation for Bids will require rejection of the bid. Failure to furnish the descriptive literature by the time specified in the Invitation for Bids will require rejection of the bid, except that if the material is transmitted by mail and is received late, it may be considered under the provisions for considering late bids, as set forth elsewhere in this Invitation for Bids.

*Contracting officer shall insert significant elements such as design, materials, components, or performance characteristics; or methods of manufacture, construction, assembly, or operation, as appropriate.

(2) When brand name or equal purchase descriptions are used, the requirements of this section 1-2.202-5 are met by inserting in the invitation for bids the brand names provision set forth in section 1-1.307-6.

(e) *Waiver of requirements for descriptive literature.*

(1) The provision prescribed in (d) (1) above may be modified to provide that the requirements for furnishing descriptive literature may be waived as to a particular bidder if (i) the bidder states in his bid that the product he is offering to furnish is the same as a product previously or currently being furnished to the procuring activity and (ii) it is determined by the contracting officer that such product complies with the specification requirements of the current invitation for bids. When provision is to be made for such waiver, the invitation for bids provision in (d) (1) above shall be modified by adding substantially the following at the end of paragraph (b) thereof:

However, the requirements for furnishing descriptive literature may be waived as to a bidder if (1) the bidder states in his bid that the product he is offering to furnish is the same as a product he has previously furnished to the [*] under a prior contract and the bidder identifies the contract, and (2) the Contracting Officer determines that such product meets the requirements of this Invitation for Bids.

*Contracting officer shall insert "procuring activity" or such other designation as may be provided by agency procedures.

(2) If the invitation for bids contains a provision for waiver in accordance with (e) (1) above, a bidder may submit his bid either on the basis of the descriptive literature to be furnished or on the basis of a previously procured product. If he elects to submit his bid on one basis, he is precluded from having his bid considered on the alternative basis after bids are opened.

(f) *Unsolicited descriptive literature.* If the furnishing of descriptive literature is not required by the invitation for bids, but such literature is furnished with a bid, it will not be considered as qualifying the bid, and will be disregarded, unless it is clear from the bid or accompanying papers that it was the bidder's intention so to qualify the bid.

(g) See section 1-2.404-4 for requirements with respect to restrictions on the public disclosure of descriptive literature submitted by a bidder.

Subpart 1-2.4—Opening of Bids and Award of Contract

1. The table of contents is amended to revise the present section 1-2.404-4 to read as follows:

Sec.
1-2.404-4 Restrictions on disclosure of descriptive literature.

2. Section 1-2.402(c) is revised to read as follows:

§ 1-2.402 Opening of bids.

(c) Examination of bids by interested persons shall be permitted if it does not interfere unduly with the conduct of Government business. However, original bids shall not be allowed to pass out of the hands of a Government official unless duplicate copies of such bids are not available for public inspection. In such cases, the original bids may be examined by the public only under the immediate supervision of a Government official and under conditions which preclude possibility of a substitution, addition, deletion, or alteration in the bids. However, see section 1-2.404-4 with respect to public disclosure of descriptive literature submitted by a bidder on a restrictive basis.

3. New section 1-2.404-4 is added as follows:

§ 1-2.404-4 Restrictions on disclosure of descriptive literature.

(a) When a bid is accompanied by descriptive literature (as defined in section 1-2.202-5(a)), and the bidder imposes a restriction that such literature may not be publicly disclosed, such restriction renders the bid nonresponsive if it prohibits the disclosure of sufficient information to permit competing bidders to know the essential nature and type of the products offered or those elements of the bid which relate to quantity, price and delivery terms. The provisions of this paragraph (a) do not apply to unsolicited descriptive literature submitted by a bidder if such literature does not qualify the bid (see section 1-2.202-5(f)).

(b) Descriptive literature restricted by a bidder against public disclosure shall not be disclosed in a manner which would contravene the restriction without permission of the bidder.

Effective date. These regulations are effective December 1, 1960, but may be observed earlier.

(Sec. 205(c), 63 Stat. 390; 40 U.S.C. 486(c))

Dated: August 17, 1960.

FRANKLIN FLOETE,

Administrator of General Services.

[F.R. Doc. 60-7840; Filed, Aug. 23, 1960; 8:45 a.m.]

Title 43—PUBLIC LANDS: INTERIOR

Chapter I—Bureau of Land Management, Department of the Interior

APPENDIX—PUBLIC LAND ORDERS

[Public Land Order 2182]

[76985]

[2092920]

MONTANA

Withdrawal for Reclamation Purposes, Missouri River Basin Project; Opening Lands in Power Site Cancellation No. 124, and Lands Released From Reclamation Withdrawal by Public Land Order No. 1799

By virtue of the authority vested in the Secretary of the Interior by section 3 of the act of June 17, 1902 (32 Stat. 388; 43 U.S.C. 416), and otherwise, it is ordered as follows:

1. Subject to valid existing rights, the following-described public lands are hereby withdrawn from all forms of appropriation under the public land laws, including the mining but not the mineral leasing laws, and reserved for use of the Bureau of Reclamation in connection with the Tiber Reservoir, Lower Marias Unit, Marias Division, Missouri River Basin Project:

MONTANA PRINCIPAL MERIDIAN

T. 30 N., R. 5 E.,
Sec. 20, NW $\frac{1}{4}$ SE $\frac{1}{4}$.
Containing 40 acres.

2. Power Site Classification No. 376 of September 14, 1945, was canceled by Power Site Cancellation No. 124 of September 17, 1957, and Public Land Order No. 1799 of February 19, 1959, vacated the reclamation withdrawal order of March 15, 1946, so far as those orders affected the following-described lands, or any of them, among others:

MONTANA PRINCIPAL MERIDIAN

T. 30 N., R. 1 E.,
Sec. 8, SE $\frac{1}{4}$ NE $\frac{1}{4}$ and NE $\frac{1}{4}$ NW $\frac{1}{4}$;
(Sec. 10, NE $\frac{1}{4}$ SW $\frac{1}{4}$);
(Sec. 14, SE $\frac{1}{4}$ SE $\frac{1}{4}$);
Sec. 15, N $\frac{1}{2}$ SW $\frac{1}{4}$ and NE $\frac{1}{4}$ SE $\frac{1}{4}$;
Sec. 18, SE $\frac{1}{4}$ NE $\frac{1}{4}$;
Sec. 25, NE $\frac{1}{4}$ SE $\frac{1}{4}$, S $\frac{1}{2}$ SE $\frac{1}{4}$, and SE $\frac{1}{4}$ NE $\frac{1}{4}$.

T. 30 N., R. 2 E.,
Sec. 18, NE $\frac{1}{4}$ SW $\frac{1}{4}$;
(Sec. 24, SW $\frac{1}{4}$ SE $\frac{1}{4}$);
Sec. 25, W $\frac{1}{2}$ W $\frac{1}{2}$;
Sec. 26, E $\frac{1}{2}$ SE $\frac{1}{4}$;
Sec. 27, S $\frac{1}{2}$ NE $\frac{1}{4}$ and W $\frac{1}{2}$;
Sec. 29, NW $\frac{1}{4}$ NE $\frac{1}{4}$;
(Sec. 30, S $\frac{1}{2}$ NE $\frac{1}{4}$, SE $\frac{1}{4}$ NW $\frac{1}{4}$, E $\frac{1}{2}$ SW $\frac{1}{4}$, and SE $\frac{1}{4}$.)

T. 30 N., R. 3 E.,
(Sec. 11, N $\frac{1}{2}$ SW $\frac{1}{4}$);
(Sec. 12, NE $\frac{1}{4}$ SW $\frac{1}{4}$, N $\frac{1}{2}$ SE $\frac{1}{4}$, and SE $\frac{1}{4}$ -SE $\frac{1}{4}$);
(Sec. 19, lot 13 and SE $\frac{1}{4}$ SW $\frac{1}{4}$);
(Sec. 20, SW $\frac{1}{4}$ SE $\frac{1}{4}$);
Sec. 26, NE $\frac{1}{4}$ SW $\frac{1}{4}$ and (SE $\frac{1}{4}$ SW $\frac{1}{4}$);

Sec. 27, SE $\frac{1}{4}$ SW $\frac{1}{4}$;
Sec. 28, SW $\frac{1}{4}$;
Sec. 29, E $\frac{1}{2}$ SE $\frac{1}{4}$;
Sec. 30, lots 1, 3, 4, NE $\frac{1}{4}$ NE $\frac{1}{4}$, E $\frac{1}{2}$ SW $\frac{1}{4}$ and W $\frac{1}{2}$ SE $\frac{1}{4}$.

T. 31 N., R. 3 E.,
Sec. 13, W $\frac{1}{2}$ SW $\frac{1}{4}$;
Sec. 15, SW $\frac{1}{4}$ SE $\frac{1}{4}$;
Sec. 22, SE $\frac{1}{4}$ NE $\frac{1}{4}$.

T. 30 N., R. 4 E.,
Sec. 7, E $\frac{1}{2}$ NW $\frac{1}{4}$;
(Sec. 11, NE $\frac{1}{4}$ NE $\frac{1}{4}$);
Sec. 13, NW $\frac{1}{4}$ NE $\frac{1}{4}$;
Sec. 18, NE $\frac{1}{4}$ SE $\frac{1}{4}$;
(Sec. 19, lot 11);
Sec. 28, N $\frac{1}{2}$ NW $\frac{1}{4}$.
T. 31 N., R. 4 E.,
Sec. 29, E $\frac{1}{2}$ NE $\frac{1}{4}$ and NE $\frac{1}{4}$ SE $\frac{1}{4}$;
Sec. 35, NE $\frac{1}{4}$ NW $\frac{1}{4}$ and (SE $\frac{1}{4}$ SW $\frac{1}{4}$).

Containing approximately 3,350 acres.
3. The following-described lands were not affected by Power Site Cancellation No. 124:

T. 30 N., R. 3 E.,
Sec. 19, lot 13, SE $\frac{1}{4}$ SW $\frac{1}{4}$;
Sec. 20, SW $\frac{1}{4}$ SE $\frac{1}{4}$.

Containing 188.05 acres.

4. Subject to any valid existing rights and the requirements of applicable law, the lands are hereby opened to filing of applications, selections and locations in accordance with the following:

a. Applications and selections under the nonmineral public land laws may be presented to the Manager mentioned below, beginning on the date of this order. Such applications, selections, and offers will be considered as filed on the hour and respective dates shown for the various classes enumerated in the following paragraphs:

(1) Until 10:00 a.m. on February 17, 1961, the State of Montana shall have a preferred right of application to select the lands in accordance with and subject to the provisions of subsection (c) of section 2 of the act of August 27, 1958 (72 Stat. 928; 43 U.S.C. 851-2), and the regulations in 43 CFR. Until 10:00 a.m. on February 17, 1961, the State may also apply for the reservation to it or to any of its political subdivisions, under any law or regulations applicable thereto, of any of the lands required for rights-of-way or materials sites in accordance with the provisions of Section 24 of the Federal Power Act of June 10, 1920 (41 Stat. 1075; 16 U.S.C. 818), as amended, *Provided, however*, That such preference right under the act of June 10, 1920, shall not apply to the lands described in paragraph 3 of this order, which were not withdrawn for power purposes, and *provided further*, That the State's preference right of application under the act of August 27, 1958, *supra*, shall not apply to the lands described in brackets, since such right has already been afforded the State by Public Land Order No. 1799 of February 19, 1959.

(2) Applications by persons having prior existing valid settlement rights, preference rights conferred by existing laws, or equitable claims subject to allowance and confirmation will be adjudi-

cated on the facts presented in support of each claim or right. All applications other than those referred to in this paragraph will be subject to the applications and claims mentioned in this paragraph.

(3) All valid applications and selections under the nonmineral public land laws presented prior to 10:00 a.m. on September 23, 1960, will be considered as simultaneously filed at that hour.

Rights under such applications and selections filed after that hour will be governed by the time of filing.

b. The lands have been open to applications and offers under the mineral leasing laws and to location under the United States mining laws.

5. Persons claiming preference rights must submit evidence of their entitlement.

Inquiries concerning the lands shall be addressed to the Manager, Land Office, Bureau of Land Management, Billings, Montana.

ROGER ERNST,
Assistant Secretary of the Interior.

AUGUST 18, 1960.

[F.R. Doc. 60-7874; Filed, Aug. 23, 1960;
8:46 a.m.]

Proposed Rule Making

FEDERAL AVIATION AGENCY

[14 CFR Part 602]

[Airspace Docket No. 60-WA-192]

CODED JET ROUTES

Establishment

Pursuant to the authority delegated to me by the Administrator (14 CFR 409.13), notice is hereby given that the Federal Aviation Agency is considering an amendment to Part 602 of the regulations of the Administrator, the substance of which is stated below.

The Federal Aviation Agency has under consideration the establishment of VOR/VORTAC jet route No. 94 between Oakland, Calif., and Boston, Mass. This jet route in conjunction with other jet routes would provide a dual route structure for the high volume of jet traffic operating between the San Francisco, Calif., and Chicago, Ill., terminal areas. In addition it would provide a single numbered route for use by jet aircraft operating between the West Coast and New England terminal areas. The segment of this proposed route between Rock Springs, Wyo., and O'Neill, Nebr., is not within radar coverage thus precluding continuous radar flight following. However, adequate air traffic control service would be provided aircraft operating on this segment, of which a portion is coincident with the Dept. of Air Force "High Life" high altitude refueling area, through the application of non-radar air traffic management procedures.

If this action is taken, VOR/VORTAC jet route No. 94 would be established from the Oakland, Calif., VORTAC to Boston, Mass., VORTAC via the Sacramento, Calif., VORTAC; Reno, Nev., VOR; intersection of the Reno VOR 060° and the Elko, Nev., VOR 255° True radials; Elko, Nev., VOR; Bonneville, Utah, VOR; Salt Lake City, Utah, VORTAC; Rock Springs, Wyo., VORTAC; Scottsbluff, Nebr., VORTAC; O'Neill, Nebr., VORTAC; Mason City, Iowa, VORTAC; Milwaukee, Wis., VORTAC; intersection of the Milwaukee VORTAC 088° and the Peck, Mich., VOR 269° True radials; Peck, Mich., VOR; thence via the Peck VOR 100° True radial to the United States/Canadian border. From the United States/Canadian border at its point of intersection with the Buffalo, N.Y., VORTAC 274° True radial via Buffalo, N.Y., VORTAC; Albany, N.Y., VORTAC to Boston, Mass., VORTAC.

Interested persons may submit such written data, views or arguments as they may desire. Communications should be submitted in triplicate to the Chief, Airspace Utilization Division, Federal Aviation Agency, Washington 25, D.C. All communications received within forty-five days after publication of this notice in the FEDERAL REGISTER will be considered before action is taken on the pro-

posed amendment. No public hearing is contemplated at this time, but arrangements for informal conferences with Federal Aviation Agency officials may be made by contacting the Chief, Airspace Utilization Division. Any data, views or arguments presented during such conferences must also be submitted in writing in accordance with this notice in order to become part of the record for consideration. The proposal contained in this notice may be changed in the light of comments received.

The official Docket will be available for examination by interested persons at the Docket Section, Federal Aviation Agency, Room B-316, 1711 New York Avenue NW., Washington 25, D.C.

This amendment is proposed under sections 307(a) and 313(a) of the Federal Aviation Act of 1958 (72 Stat. 749, 752; 49 U.S.C. 1348, 1354).

Issued in Washington, D.C., on August 17, 1960.

J. R. BAILEY,
Assistant Chief,
Airspace Utilization Division.

[F.R. Doc. 60-7869; Filed, Aug. 23, 1960;
8:45 a.m.]

DEPARTMENT OF AGRICULTURE

Agricultural Marketing Service

[7 CFR Part 939]

HANDLING OF BEURRE D'ANJOU, BEURRE BOSC, WINTER NELIS, DOYENNE DU COMICE, BEURRE EASTER, AND BEURRE CLAIRGEAU PEARS GROWN IN OREGON, WASHINGTON, AND CALIFORNIA

Expenses and Fixing of the Rate of Assessment for 1960-61 Fiscal Period

Consideration is being given to the following proposals which were submitted by the Control Committee, established under the marketing agreement, as amended, and Order No. 39, as amended (7 CFR Part 939), regulating the handling of Beurre D'Anjou, Beurre Bosc, Winter Nelis, Doyenne du Comice, Beurre Easter, and Beurre Clairgeau varieties of pears grown in Oregon, Washington, and California, effective under the applicable provisions of the Agricultural Marketing Agreement Act of 1937, as amended (7 U.S.C. 601-674), as the agency to administer the terms and provisions thereof:

(a) That the Secretary of Agriculture find that expenses not to exceed \$37,577.17 are likely to be incurred by said committee during the fiscal period beginning July 1, 1960, and ending June 30, 1961, both dates inclusive, for its maintenance and functioning under the aforesaid amended marketing agreement and order; and

(b) That the Secretary of Agriculture fix, as the pro rata share of such expenses which each handler who first handles pears shall pay in accordance with the provisions of the aforesaid amended marketing agreement and order during the aforesaid period, the rate of assessment at nine and one-half mills (\$.0095) per standard western pear box of pears or its equivalent of pears in other containers or in bulk, shipped by such handler during said fiscal period.

Consideration will be given to written data, views, or arguments submitted in connection with the aforesaid proposals which are received by the Director, Fruit and Vegetable Division, Agricultural Marketing Service, Room 2077, South Building, Washington 25, D.C., not later than the 10th day after the publication of this notice in the FEDERAL REGISTER.

Terms used herein shall have the same meaning as given to the respective term in said amended marketing agreement and order.

(Secs. 1-19, 48 Stat. 31, as amended; 7 U.S.C. 601-674)

Dated: August 19, 1960.

FLOYD F. HEDLUND,
Acting Director, Fruit and Vegetable Division, Agricultural Marketing Service.

[F.R. Doc. 60-7899; Filed, Aug. 23, 1960;
8:48 a.m.]

FEDERAL TRADE COMMISSION

[16 CFR Part 51]

[File No. 21-530]

TRADE PRACTICE RULES FOR FLUOROCARBONS INDUSTRY

Notice of Hearing and of Opportunity To Present Views, Suggestions or Objections

Opportunity is hereby extended by the Federal Trade Commission to any and all persons, firms, corporations, organizations, or other parties affected by or having an interest in the proposed trade practice rules for the Fluorocarbons Industry, to present to the Commission their views concerning said rules including such pertinent information, suggestions or objections as they may desire to submit, and to be heard in the premises. For this purpose they may obtain copies of the proposed rules upon request to the Commission. Such views, information, suggestions, or objections may be submitted by letter, memorandum, brief, or other communication, to be filed with the Commission not later than September 15, 1960. Opportunity to be heard orally will be afforded at the hearing beginning at 10 a.m., e.d.t., September 15, 1960, in the West Room, of the Biltmore Hotel, Forty-Third Street and

Madison Avenue, New York, New York, to any such persons, firms, corporations, organizations, or other parties who desire to appear and be heard. After due consideration of all matters presented in writing or orally the Commission will proceed to final action on the proposed rules.

The industry for which trade practice rules are sought to be established through this proceeding consists of persons, firms, corporations and organizations engaged in the manufacture, fabrication, processing and sale of finished or semi-finished products which are composed in whole or substantial part of fluorocarbon resins, and also those engaged in the

sale and distribution of such products although the same are manufactured, fabricated, or processed by others. The products mentioned include, but are not limited to, wire and cable designed for transmission of electricity which utilize fluorocarbon resins for insulation; gaskets, bearings, rods, tubes, hose, sheets, and machinery parts coated with, or composed in whole or substantial part, of such resins.

These proceedings were instituted pursuant to industry application and have for their purpose the establishment of a comprehensive set of trade practice rules directed to the maintenance of fair competitive conditions in the industry

and to the elimination and prevention of such acts and practices as are deemed violative of statutes administered by the Federal Trade Commission. A general trade practice conference for the industry was held in New York, New York, at which proposed rules suggested by industry members were considered and discussed. The announced hearing constitutes a further step in the proceedings.

Issued: August 24, 1960.

By the Commission.

[SEAL]

ROBERT M. PARRISH,
Secretary.

[F.R. Doc. 60-7845; Filed, Aug. 23, 1960;
8:45 a.m.]

Notices

DEPARTMENT OF THE INTERIOR Bureau of Land Management ALASKA

Notice of Proposed Withdrawal and Reservation of Lands

AUGUST 16, 1960.

The Fish and Wildlife Service has filed an application, Serial Number J-011927 for the withdrawal of the lands described below, from all forms of appropriation under the public land laws, including the mining and mineral leasing laws. The applicant desires the land as a location for fishing research facilities.

For a period of 30 days from the date of publication of this notice, all persons who wish to submit comments, suggestions, or objections in connection with the proposed withdrawal may present their views in writing to the undersigned officer of the Bureau of Land Management, Department of the Interior, P.O. Box 2511, Juneau, Alaska.

If circumstances warrant it, a public hearing will be held at a convenient time and place, which will be announced.

The determination of the Secretary on the application will be published in the FEDERAL REGISTER. A separate notice will be sent to each interested party of record.

The lands involved in the application are:

A tract of unsurveyed land located along Auke Creek at the outlet of Auke Lake about 12 miles northwest of Juneau more particularly described as: Starting at Corner 1, U.S.S. 2291; thence S. 45°26' E. 0.95 chns. to Corner 3, U.S.S. 1500; thence South 2.70 chns. to M.C. 2, U.S.S. 1500; thence across Auke Creek or along the meanders of Auke Bay to a point on the southeast bank of Auke Creek or the shore of Auke Bay and continuing southwesterly along the meanders of Auke Bay or Auke Creek to M.C. 1, U.S.S. 2593; thence S. 88°06' E. through Corner 2, U.S.S. 2593 to the approximate centerline of Fritz Cove Road; thence northwesterly along the approximate centerline of Fritz Cove Road to a point common with the intersection with the Glacier Highway, said point being approximately over the culvert whereby Auke Creek passes under Glacier Highway; thence northeasterly to the line of mean high water of Auke Lake; thence along the meanders of Auke Lake to M.C. 15, U.S.S. 3404; thence S. 33°10' W. 2.027 chns. to Corner 1, U.S.S. 3404; thence along the southeasterly boundaries of Lots T and M of the U.S.S. 2391 to Corner 4, U.S.S. 2391; thence S. 60°54' W. 5.32 chns. to Corner 1, U.S.S. 2291 and point of beginning, excluding therefrom that area under application, J-011606, by the Territorial Sportsmen Inc. and subject to the rights-of-way for the Glacier Highway, Fritz Cove Road and the access road leading into Auke Lake. The tract comprises approximately five acres of land.

R. PAUL RIGTRUP,
Acting Operations Supervisor.

[F.R. Doc. 60-7886; Filed, Aug. 23, 1960;
8:47 a.m.]

8112

ATOMIC ENERGY COMMISSION

[Docket No. 50-75]

NATIONAL AERONAUTICS AND SPACE ADMINISTRATION

Notice of Issuance of Utilization Facility License

Please take notice that the Atomic Energy Commission has issued Amendment No. 2, set forth below, to License No. CX-13 issued to National Aeronautics and Space Administration. The amendment authorizes the licensee to conduct, in its homogeneous zero power research reactor located at the Lewis Research Center in Cleveland, Ohio, a series of reactivity measurement experiments, as described in its applications for license amendment dated May 27, 1960, and July 15, 1960.

The Commission has found that prior public notice of proposed issuance of this amendment is not necessary in the public interest since the conduct of the experiments does not present any substantial changes in the hazards to the health and safety of the public from those presented by the previously approved operation of the reactor.

In accordance with the Commission's rules of practice (10 CFR Part 2) the Commission will direct the holding of a formal hearing on the matter of the issuance of the license amendment upon receipt of a request therefor from the licensee or an intervener within thirty days after issuance of the license amendment. Petitions for leave to intervene and requests for a formal hearing shall be filed by mailing a copy to the Office of the Secretary, Atomic Energy Commission, Washington 25, D.C., or by delivery of a copy in person to the Office of the Secretary, Germantown, Maryland, or the AEC's Public Document Room, 1717 H Street, Washington, D.C. For further details, see (1) the amendments to the license application dated May 27, 1960, and July 15, 1960, submitted by National Aeronautics and Space Administration and (2) a hazards analysis of the conduct of the experiments prepared by the Hazards Evaluation Branch of the Division of Licensing and Regulation, both on file at the AEC's Public Document Room. A copy of item (2) above may be obtained at the AEC's Public Document Room or upon request addressed to the Atomic Energy Commission, Washington 25, D.C., Attention: Director, Division of Licensing and Regulation.

Dated at Germantown, Md., this 17th day of August 1960.

For the Atomic Energy Commission,

R. L. KIRK,
*Acting Director, Division of
Licensing and Regulation.*

[License No. CX-13; Amdt. 2]

License No. CX-13, as amended, issued to National Aeronautics and Space Administration, is hereby amended in the following respects:

1. In addition to the activities previously authorized by the Commission in License No. CX-13, as amended, National Aeronautics and Space Administration is authorized to conduct, in its homogeneous zero power research reactor located at the Lewis Research Center in Cleveland, Ohio, a series of reactivity measurement experiments as described in its applications for license amendment dated May 27, 1960, and July 15, 1960. The conduct of the experiments shall be in accordance with the procedures and subject to the limitations contained in the applications for license amendment dated May 27, 1960, and July 15, 1960, and License No. CX-13, as amended.

2. Paragraph 4.B. of License No. CX-13 is hereby amended to read as follows:

B. None of the following experiments may be conducted in the reactor unless an application for license amendment, including a hazards summary report, shall have been submitted to the Commission and the Commission shall have specifically authorized the experiment by license amendment:

(1) Any experiment in which any material other than water or air is to be used as a reflector, or

(2) Any experiment in which the loading, assuming that all poisons, voids, etc. were accidentally removed, would produce a reactor period shorter than ten milliseconds; except that for the experiments described in the applications for license amendment dated May 27, 1960, and July 15, 1960, such reactor period shall not be less than five milliseconds.

This amendment is effective as of the date of issuance.

Dated at Germantown, Md., this 17th day of August 1960.

For the Atomic Energy Commission,

R. L. KIRK,
*Acting Director, Division of
Licensing and Regulation.*

[F.R. Doc. 60-7867; Filed, Aug. 23, 1960;
8:45 a.m.]

CIVIL AERONAUTICS BOARD

[Docket No. 11728 etc.; Order No. E-15672]

DOMESTIC AIR FREIGHT RATE

INVESTIGATION

Order of Investigation and Consolidation

Adopted by the Civil Aeronautics Board at its office in Washington, D.C. on the 19th day of August, 1960, in the matter of the Domestic Air Freight Rate Investigation, Docket 11728, Dockets 1705, et al., 1705-8, 1705-10, 1705-12.

By this order we institute an investigation to determine whether the existing minimum air freight rate orders should be modified or revoked and, if modified, in what manner. The order herein requests any interested person to submit a statement of position upon this question

and a summary of data and arguments in support thereof. We also invite the views of such persons as to whether public hearing should go forward at this time or be deferred in order to obtain the benefit of actual experience of air carriers with new turbine-powered all-cargo aircraft expected to be introduced in 1961. Further procedures will be prescribed herein following the date set for receipt of the requested comments.

The first minimum rate order was issued by the Board in 1948 and prescribed minimum rates of 16 cents per ton-mile for the first one thousand ton-miles of any one shipment, and 13 cents per ton-mile for all additional ton-miles. Air Freight Rate Investigation, Docket 1705, 9 CAB 340. Numerous orders supplementing and modifying the minimum rate order have been issued since 1948, including orders prescribing lower rates on a directional basis,¹ and for deferred air freight.² Model Rules were prescribed for Accumulation, Assembly, and Distribution Service,³ and by the Tenth Supplemental Order Modifying Prescribed Minimum Rates, minimum rates were increased by 25 percent in 1953.⁴ In 1958 the Board determined not to impose the minimum rate order on air freight forwarders.⁵

In addition to the changes in the prescribed minimum air freight rates, the Board has received requests to consider modification of such orders. In 1957, Slick Airways, Inc. petitioned for an investigation of the air freight rates to determine a more rational rate structure in line with costs incurred in rendering the service.⁶ Theodor Manufacturing Company has complained against the Board's minimum freight rate Order E-4048 and the carriers' cargo rates, particularly with respect to the rate relationships on cargo moving directly to the South and Southeast from Los Angeles as compared with that moving through Chicago and St. Louis.⁷ The Flying Tiger Line, Inc. has expressed its opinion that lower rates are needed and that the minimum rate orders should be reconsidered,⁸ and has recently joined with air freight forwarders requesting authority to hold conferences regarding new concepts in the cargo rate structure alleged to be necessary with the introduction of the CL-44 turbine-powered aircraft.⁹

More than 12 years have passed since the issuance of the original minimum rate order. That order was based on findings that the then present competi-

tion had resulted in unduly low, depressed, and noncompensatory rates and charges for the transportation of freight by air resulting in unsound economic conditions in the air freight industry. We do not know whether or not the continued maintenance of Board prescribed minimum rates is essential at this time to prevent unsound economic conditions. As indicated, the Board has determined not to impose minimum rates on air freight forwarders, and there are now pending proceedings to determine whether the prescribed minimum accessorial charges should be rescinded as to air freight forwarders or as to air freight forwarders and direct air carriers in Docket 1705-11 et al.

We note that the industry is planning the introduction at an early date of new turbine-powered all-cargo aircraft which are expected to introduce new efficiencies in air cargo operations. The faster development of air freight may have been retarded at the existing cargo rates and lower rates may be dependent upon more efficient all-cargo aircraft.¹⁰ The changed competitive situations within the air freight industry, the passage of more than 12 years since the record was made with respect to the competitive necessity for minimum air freight rates, the imminent introduction of newer types of cargo turbine-powered aircraft, the restricted development to date of the air freight industry, and our belief that suitable cargo aircraft, cargo rates, and the movement of traffic may be, to a considerable degree, interdependent, all combine to support our conclusion that we should institute this investigation at this time.

As stated, the purpose of this investigation is to ascertain whether the existing freight rate orders should be modified or revoked and, if modified, in what manner. Any modification of such orders may involve issues as to both the rate level and structure to be established by regulation. To the extent that any modification of such orders conflicts with existing rates or charges, the lawfulness of such presently published interstate air freight rates and charges (except those to or from points in Alaska or Hawaii) is in issue herein.

The Board's minimum rates and charges were prescribed for the interstate transportation of property by air (except for property carried in the air express service) prior to the admission of Alaska or Hawaii as states. These minimum rate orders have not been considered applicable to air freight between the 48 contiguous states and Alaska or Hawaii subsequent to their statehood.¹¹

¹⁰ See the Annual Report of Civil Aeronautics Board, pp. 7 and 8. The dependence of lower cargo rates upon more efficient aircraft has been recognized by Congress in connection with legislation to provide government guarantees of loans to certain air carriers. Hearings before the Subcommittee on Aviation, Committee on Interstate and Foreign Commerce on S. 2774, 86th Cong., 2nd Sess., page 4, transcript of hearings on February 8, 1960.

¹¹ Such orders have not been considered applicable to transportation between places in Alaska or Hawaii through air space over any place outside thereof.

nor did the record in earlier proceedings include such air transportation. Since our concern in initiating this investigation at this time is primarily to reexamine the need for modifying or revoking the existing minimum rate orders, and since such orders are not applicable to interstate air transportation involving points in Alaska or Hawaii, the lawfulness for the presently published air freight rates or the prescription of rate orders involving points in Alaska or Hawaii are not in issue herein.

In the first minimum rate orders we provided that the record in Docket 1705 would be held open and that any party in interest may petition for reconsideration or modification thereof.¹² Subsequent pleadings, documents, hearings, and orders in Docket 1705 and subsidiary dockets have combined many aspects of air freight rate regulation over a long period of time with a resulting voluminous file therein. It will be more conducive to the orderly dispatch of the Board's business to institute this proceeding as a new case and to dismiss all other portions of Docket 1705, except that the investigation currently pending in Docket 1705-11, Investigation of Minimum Assembly and Distribution Charge Rules, will not be affected by this order. There are presently pending three petitions requesting a revision of minimum rates upon which the Board has not formally acted; the petition of Slick in Docket 1705-10 and the petition of Theodor in Docket 1705-12 referred to above, as well as a petition of Flying Tiger to modify the minimum rate order, Docket 1705-8. To the extent that the investigation here instituted encompasses the issues presented by such petitioners, they will be provided the forum requested to consider changes in the minimum rate orders. To the extent that petitioners may have requested a broader inquiry, their request is denied, without prejudice to renewing such request upon a showing of reasonable grounds that modification or revocation of the Board's minimum rate orders will not provide them lawful rates and charges.

Accordingly, pursuant to the Federal Aviation Act of 1958 and particularly sections 102, 204(a) and 1002 thereof:

It is ordered, That:

1. An investigation is instituted to determine whether the existing minimum freight rate orders shall be modified or revoked and, if modified, in what manner, and assigned Docket 11728.

2. Any interested person is requested to submit a statement of position as to the issues included in ordering paragraph 1 above, and a summary of data and arguments in support thereof. We also invite the views of any person as to whether public hearing should go forward at this time. An original and 19 copies of each such submittal shall be filed with the Docket Section of the Board no later than October 14, 1960.

3. The petitions in Dockets 1705-8, 1705-10, 1705-12 are dismissed without prejudice. Except that the investigation currently pending in Investigation of Minimum Assembly and Distribution

¹² See Orders E-1415 of April 21, 1948 and E-1639 of June 2, 1948.

¹ Air Freight Rate Investigation—Directional Rates, 11 CAB 228 (1950).

² Petition of American Airlines to Modify the Minimum Rate Order, Order E-10020, Feb. 20, 1956. Deferred air freight rates were extended indefinitely in Deferred Air Freight Renewal Case, Docket 1705-9, Order E-13140, Nov. 6, 1958.

³ Investigation of Accumulation, Assembly and Distribution Rules, 12 CAB 337 (1950).

⁴ 18 CAB 22.

⁵ Airfreight Rate Case—Minimum Rates for Air Freight Forwarders, Docket 1705-6, Order E-12143, Jan. 28, 1958.

⁶ Docket 1705-10.

⁷ Docket 1705-12.

⁸ Letter to the Chairman of the Board, dated August 26, 1959.

⁹ Agreement CAB 14356, filed June 28, 1960.

Charge Rules, Docket 1705-11, is continued, the proceedings in Docket 1705 et al. are all dismissed.

This order will be published in the FEDERAL REGISTER.

By the Civil Aeronautics Board.

[SEAL] ROBERT C. LESTER,
Secretary.

[F.R. Doc. 60-7896; Filed, Aug. 23, 1960;
8:48 a.m.]

[Docket 7984, etc.]

SOUTHERN TRANSCONTINENTAL SERVICE CASE

Notice of Oral Argument

Notice is hereby given, pursuant to the provisions of the Federal Aviation Act of 1958, as amended, that oral argument in the above-entitled matter is assigned to be heard on September 26, 1960, at 10:00 a.m., e.d.s.t., in Room 1027, Universal Building, Connecticut and Florida Avenues NW., Washington, D.C., before the Board.

Dated at Washington, D.C., August 19, 1960.

[SEAL] FRANCIS W. BROWN,
Chief Examiner.

[F.R. Doc. 60-7897; Filed, Aug. 23, 1960;
8:48 a.m.]

[Docket 11569]

WIEN ALASKA AIRLINES, INC., AND INTERIOR AIRWAYS, INC.; EN- FORCEMENT PROCEEDING

Notice of Hearing

Notice is hereby given, pursuant to the provisions of the Federal Aviation Act of 1958, as amended, that a hearing in the above-entitled proceeding is assigned to be held in Fairbanks, Alaska on September 20, 1960 before Examiner William J. Madden. The room location and time of hearing will be announced later.

Dated at Washington, D.C., August 19, 1960.

[SEAL] FRANCIS W. BROWN,
Chief Examiner.

[F.R. Doc. 60-7898; Filed, Aug. 23, 1960;
8:48 a.m.]

GENERAL SERVICES ADMINIS- TRATION

SILK NOILS HELD IN THE NATIONAL STOCKPILE

Proposed Disposition

Pursuant to the provisions of section 3(e) of the Strategic and Critical Materials Stock Piling Act, 50 U.S.C. 98b(e), notice is hereby given of a proposed disposition of approximately 1,450,000 pounds of silk noils now held in the national stockpile.

The Office of Civil and Defense Mobilization has made a revised determination, pursuant to section 2(a) of the

Strategic and Critical Materials Stock Piling Act, that there is no longer any need for stockpiling said 1,450,000 pounds of silk noils. The revised determination was based upon the finding of the Office of Civil and Defense Mobilization that said 1,450,000 pounds of silk noils are in excess of mobilization requirements for silk noils for use in time of war.

Since the revised determination is not by reason of obsolescence of silk noils for use in time of war, the proposed disposition of said 1,450,000 pounds of silk noils from the national stockpile is being referred to the Congress for its express approval, as required by section 3(e) of the Strategic and Critical Materials Stock Piling Act.

General Services Administration proposes to transfer said silk noils to other Government agencies, or to offer them for sale on a competitive basis, upon the express approval by the Congress of this proposed disposition or six months after the date of publication of this notice in the FEDERAL REGISTER, whichever is later. The quantity offered for sale at any one time will range between 100,000 pounds and 300,000 pounds (clean basis) and there will be an interval of at least 60 days between offerings.

This plan and the dates of disposition have been fixed with due regard to the protection of producers, processors, and consumers against avoidable disruption of their usual markets as well as the protection of the United States against avoidable loss on disposal.

Dated: August 18, 1960.

FRANKLIN FLOETE,
Administrator.

[F.R. Doc. 60-7884; Filed, Aug. 23, 1960;
8:47 a.m.]

SILK WASTE HELD IN THE NATIONAL STOCKPILE

Proposed Disposition

Pursuant to the provisions of section 3(e) of the Strategic and Critical Materials Stock Piling Act, 50 U.S.C. 98b(e), notice is hereby given of a proposed disposition of approximately 1,768,539 pounds of silk waste now held in the national stockpile.

The Office of Civil and Defense Mobilization has made a revised determination, pursuant to section 2(a) of the Strategic and Critical Materials Stock Piling Act, that there is no longer any need for stockpiling said silk waste. The revised determination related to 1,950,000 pounds of silk waste, and was based upon the finding of the Office of Civil and Defense Mobilization that silk waste is obsolescent for use in time of war. Since said determination, 181,461 pounds of the silk waste have been disposed of in accordance with then existing statutory authority for the sale, without replacement, of excess stockpile materials to avoid deterioration.

General Services Administration proposes to transfer said 1,768,539 pounds of silk waste to other Government agencies, or to offer it for sale on a competitive basis, beginning six months after

the date of publication of this notice in the FEDERAL REGISTER. The quantity offered for initial sale will range in weight between 500,000 pounds and 1,000,000 pounds (clean basis). At periodic intervals of not less than sixty days following the initial offering, the remaining silk waste will be offered for sale. Each offering, following the first, will be in quantities of not less than 200,000 pounds nor more than 500,000 pounds (clean basis).

This plan and the dates of disposition have been fixed with due regard to the protection of producers, processors, and consumers against avoidable disruption of their usual markets as well as the protection of the United States against avoidable loss on disposal.

Dated: August 18, 1960.

FRANKLIN FLOETE,
Administrator.

[F.R. Doc. 60-7885; Filed, Aug. 23, 1960;
8:47 a.m.]

SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION

[File No. 24NY-4965]

AIRCRAFT DYNAMICS INTER- NATIONAL CORP.

Order Temporarily Suspending Ex- emption, Statement of Reasons Therefor, and Notice of Oppor- tunity for Hearing

AUGUST 18, 1960.

I. Aircraft Dynamics International Corp. (issuer), a Delaware corporation, filed with the Commission on September 25, 1959 a notification on Form 1-A and an offering circular relating to the proposed stock offering of 99,000 shares of 10 cent par value common stock at \$3 per share or \$297,000 in the aggregate for the purpose of obtaining an exemption from the registration requirements of the Securities Act of 1933, pursuant to the provisions of section 3(b) and Regulation A promulgated thereunder.

II. The Commission has reasonable cause to believe that:

A. The offering circular omits to state a material fact necessary in order to make the statements made in the light of the circumstances under which they are made, not misleading, that the underwriter occupies a portion of the issuer's office space and pays no rental therefor.

B. Terms and conditions of this regulation have not been complied with in that:

1. Securities which were part of the offering were sold to persons in states which were not listed in Item 8 of Form 1-A as jurisdictions in which securities were proposed to be offered through underwriters, dealers or salesmen;

2. A written communication sent to more than ten persons was not filed with the Commission pursuant to Rule 258.

C. The offering is being made in violation of section 17 of the Act.

III. It is ordered, Pursuant to Rule 261 of the general rules and regulations under the Securities Act of 1933 that the exemption under Regulation A be, and hereby is, temporarily suspended.

Notice is hereby given that any person having any interest in the matter may file with the Secretary of the Commission a written request for hearing within thirty days after the entry of this order; that within twenty days after receipt of such request the Commission will, or at any time upon its own motion may, set the matter down for hearing at a place to be designated by the Commission, for the purpose of determining whether this order of suspension should be vacated or made permanent, without prejudice, however, to the consideration and presentation of additional matters at the hearing; that if no hearing is requested and none is ordered by the Commission, this order shall become permanent on the thirtieth day after its entry and shall remain in effect unless or until it is modified or vacated by the Commission; and that notice of the time and place for any hearing will promptly be given by the Commission.

By the Commission.

[SEAL] ORVAL L. DuBOIS,
Secretary.

[F.R. Doc. 60-7875; Filed, Aug. 23, 1960;
8:46 a.m.]

[File No. 94D-6]

AMERICAN OIL AND MINERALS, INC.

Notice and Order for Hearing

AUGUST 18, 1960.

I. American Oil and Minerals, Inc. (issuer), a Utah corporation, 613 Dooly Building and/or 1405 Walker Bank Building, Salt Lake City, Utah, on October 9, 1959, filed with the Commission a notification on Form 1-F and sales material relating to a proposed assessment of 2 cents per share on 2,750,000 shares of its outstanding stock for an aggregate of \$55,000, and thereafter filed various amendments thereto, for the purpose of obtaining an exemption from the registration requirements of the Securities Act of 1933, as amended, pursuant to the provisions of section 3(b) thereof and Regulation F promulgated thereunder.

II. The Commission on June 24, 1960, issued an order pursuant to Rule 656 of the general rules and regulations under the Securities Act of 1933, as amended, temporarily suspending the exemption under Regulation F and affording to any person having an interest therein, an opportunity to request a hearing pursuant to Rule 656(b). A written request for hearing was received by the Commission.

The Commission deeming it necessary and appropriate to determine whether to vacate the temporary suspension order or to enter an order permanently suspending the exemption,

It is hereby ordered, That a hearing under the applicable provisions under the Securities Act of 1933, as amended, and the rules of the Commission be

heard at the Salt Lake City Branch Office of the Commission, Room 1119, 10 Exchange Place, Salt Lake City, Utah, at 10:00 a.m., m.s.t., September 12, 1960, with respect to the following matters and questions, without prejudice, however, to the specification of additional issues which may be presented in these proceedings:

A. Whether the terms and conditions of Regulation F have not been complied with in that:

1. Use has been made of sales material without its first having been filed with the Commission pursuant to Rule 654 of Regulation F;

2. Use has been made of sales material which failed to comply with the requirements of Rule 653 of Regulation F;

3. The notification failed to reflect accurately the purposes for which the proceeds from the assessment and from the forfeiture sale were to be used;

4. The notification failed to reflect appropriately information regarding unregistered securities theretofore issued or sold as required by Item 5 thereof.

B. Whether the sales material filed pursuant to Regulation F contains untrue statements of material facts and omits to state material facts necessary in order to make the statements made, in the light of the circumstances under which they are made, not misleading, particularly with respect to:

1. The failure to disclose to the issuer's stockholders that certain persons, including officers and directors, have been and would be given preferred treatment of their assessments;

2. The failure to disclose that a certain portion of the proceeds to be received from the assessment would be used to pay the salary of the president;

3. The failure to disclose the violation of state law concerning the levying of and collection of assessments and the contingent liability resulting therefrom;

4. The failure to reflect a contingent liability resulting from the sales of securities in violation of the Securities Act of 1933, as amended.

C. Whether the offering has been made in violation of Section 17 of the Securities Act of 1933, as amended.

III. It is further ordered, That Arthur Leff or any officer or officers of the Commission designated by it for that purpose shall preside at the hearing; that any officer or officers so designated to preside at any such hearing are hereby authorized to exercise all the power granted to the Commission under sections 19(b), 21 and 22(c) of the Securities Act of 1933, as amended, and to hearing officers under the Commission's rules of practice.

It is further ordered, That the Secretary of the Commission shall serve a copy of this order by registered mail to American Oil and Minerals, Inc.; that notice of the entering of this order shall be given to all persons by general release of the Commission and by publication in the FEDERAL REGISTER. Any person who desires to be heard or otherwise wishes to participate in the hearing shall file with the Secretary of the Commission on or before September 10, 1960, a request relative thereto as provided in

Rule XVII of the Commission's rules of practice.

By the Commission.

[SEAL] ORVAL L. DuBOIS,
Secretary.

[F.R. Doc. 60-7876; Filed, Aug. 23, 1960;
8:46 a.m.]

[File No. 94D-10]

AMERICAN OIL AND MINERALS, INC.

Notice and Order for Hearing

AUGUST 18, 1960.

I. American Oil and Minerals, Inc. (issuer), a Utah corporation, 1405 Walker Bank Building and/or 613 Dooly Building, Salt Lake City, Utah, on March 31, 1960, filed with the Commission a notification on Form 1-F and sales material relating to a proposed assessment of 2¢ per share on 2,750,000 shares outstanding stock for an aggregate of \$55,000 and thereafter filed various amendments thereto, for the purpose of obtaining an exemption from the registration requirements of the Securities Act of 1933, as amended, pursuant to the provisions of section 3(b) thereof and Regulation F, promulgated thereunder.

II. The Commission on June 24, 1960, issued an order pursuant to Rule 656 of the general rules and regulations under the Securities Act of 1933, as amended, temporarily suspending the exemption under Regulation F and affording to any person having an interest therein, an opportunity to request a hearing pursuant to Rule 656(b). A written request for hearing was received by the Commission.

The Commission deeming it necessary and appropriate to determine whether to vacate the temporary suspension order or to enter an order permanently suspending the exemption,

It is hereby ordered, That a hearing under the applicable provisions under the Securities Act of 1933, as amended, and the rules of the Commission be heard at the Salt Lake City Branch Office of the Commission, Room 1119, 10 Exchange Place, Salt Lake City, Utah, at 10:00 a.m., m.s.t., September 12, 1960, with respect to the following matters and questions, without prejudice, however, to the specification of additional issues which may be presented in these proceedings:

A. Whether the terms and conditions of Regulation F have not been complied with in that:

1. The notification failed to reflect unregistered securities theretofore issued or sold as required by Item 5 thereof;

2. The notification failed to reflect accurately the total amount realized from prior assessments and sales within the past year, as required by Item 4 thereof.

B. Whether the sales material filed pursuant to Rule 654 contains untrue statements of material facts and omits to state material facts necessary in order to make the statements made, in the light of the circumstances under which they are made, not misleading, particularly with respect to:

1. The failure to disclose to the issuer's stockholders that certain persons, in-

cluding officers and directors, had been given preferred treatment in the payment of their assessments;

2. The failure to disclose the violations of state law concerning the levying of and collection of assessments and the contingent liability resulting therefrom;

3. The failure to reflect a contingent liability resulting from the sale of securities in violation of the Securities Act of 1933, as amended.

C. Whether the offering, if made on the basis of the material filed, would be made in violation of section 17 of the Securities Act of 1933, as amended.

III. *It is further ordered*, That Arthur Leff or any officer or officers of the Commission designated by it for that purpose shall preside at the hearing; that any officer or officers so designated to preside at any such hearing are hereby authorized to exercise all the power granted to the Commission under sections 19(b), 21 and 22(c) of the Securities Act of 1933, as amended, and to hearing officers under the Commission's rules of practice.

It is further ordered, That the Secretary of the Commission shall serve a copy of this order by registered mail to American Oil and Minerals, Inc.; that notice of the entering of this order shall be given to all persons by general release of the Commission and by publication in the FEDERAL REGISTER. Any person who desires to be heard or otherwise wishes to participate in the hearing shall file with the Secretary of the Commission on or before September 10, 1960, a request relative thereto as provided in Rule XVII of the Commission's rules of practice.

By the Commission.

[SEAL]

ORVAL L. DuBOIS,
Secretary.

[F.R. Doc. 60-7877; Filed, Aug. 23, 1960;
8:46 a.m.]

[File No. 24D-2331]

CRYOGENIC ENGINEERING CO.

Notice and Order for Hearing

AUGUST 18, 1960.

I. Cryogenic Engineering Company (issuer), a Colorado corporation, 200 West 48th Avenue, Denver, Colorado, filed with the Commission on September 22, 1958, a notification on Form 1-A and an offering circular relating to a proposed public offering of 150,000 shares of its 10¢ par value common stock at \$2 per share for an aggregate offering of \$300,000, for the purpose of obtaining an exemption from the registration requirements of the Securities Act of 1933, as amended, pursuant to the provisions of section 3(b) thereof and Regulation A promulgated thereunder.

II. The Commission on June 21, 1960, issued an order pursuant to Rule 261 of the General Rules and Regulations under the Securities Act of 1933, as amended, temporarily suspending the exemption under Regulation A and affording to any person having an interest therein, an opportunity to request a hearing pursuant to Rule 261. A written request for hearing was received by the Commission.

The Commission deeming it necessary and appropriate to determine whether to vacate the temporary suspension order or to enter an order permanently suspending the exemption.

It is hereby ordered, That a hearing under the applicable provisions under the Securities Act of 1933, as amended, and the rules of the Commission be heard at the Denver Regional Office of the Commission, 802 Midland Savings Building, 444 17th Street, Denver 2, Colorado, at 10:00 a.m., m.s.t., September 7, 1960, with respect to the following matters and questions, without prejudice, however, to the specification of additional issues which may be presented in these proceedings:

A. Whether Regulation A was unavailable to the issuer in that the aggregate offering price of the issuer's securities and the aggregate gross proceeds of the sales made exceeded a \$300,000 limitation prescribed by Rule 254.

B. Whether the terms and conditions of Regulation A have not been complied with in that:

1. The issuer has offered and sold substantial amounts of its securities in States other than those named in the filing contrary to the requirements of Regulation A;

2. The issuer had made offers and sales of the securities without the use of an offering circular as required by Rule 256 of Regulation A.

C. Whether the notification and offering circular contained untrue statements of material facts and omit to state material facts necessary, in order to make the statements made, in the light of the circumstances under which they are made, not misleading, particularly with respect to:

1. The fact that securities being sold in the State of California were sold in violation of California law;

2. The failure to disclose the contingent liability arising from the sale of securities in the State of California in violation of California law;

3. The statement in the offering circular that the offering price of the securities was \$2 per share when in fact the stock was offered to the public at higher prices by a number of persons purchasing from the underwriters with a view to distribution and who in fact did so distribute the stock;

4. The failure to disclose properly and adequately all underwriting commissions;

5. The failure to name all underwriters of the securities to be offered.

D. The report of sales on Form 2-A filed on January 15, 1960, contains untrue statements of material facts and omits to state material facts necessary in order to make the statements made, in the light of the circumstances under which they are made, not misleading, particularly with respect to:

1. The date on which the offering was completed pursuant to Item 4(b) of Form 2-A;

2. The total amount received from the public from the offering in response to Item 6(a) of Form 2-A;

3. The underwriting discount allowed on the offering pursuant to Item 6(b) of Form 2-A;

4. The statement that the offering had been discontinued pursuant to Item 9 of Form 2-A.

III. *It is further ordered*, That Arthur Leff or any officer or officers of the Commission designated by it for that purpose shall preside at the hearing; that any officer or officers so designated to preside at any such hearing are hereby authorized to exercise all the power granted to the Commission under sections 19(b), 21 and 22(c) of the Securities Act of 1933, as amended, and to hearing officers under the Commission's rules of practice.

It is further ordered, That the Secretary of the Commission shall serve a copy of this order by registered mail to Cryogenic Engineering Company; that notice of the entering of this order shall be given to all persons by general release of the Commission and by publication in the FEDERAL REGISTER. Any person who desires to be heard or otherwise wishes to participate in the hearing shall file with the Secretary of the Commission on or before September 5, 1960 a request relative thereto as provided in Rule XVII of the Commission's rules of practice.

By the Commission.

[SEAL]

ORVAL L. DuBOIS,
Secretary.

[F.R. Doc. 60-7878; Filed, Aug. 23, 1960;
8:46 a.m.]

INTERSTATE COMMERCE COMMISSION

FOURTH SECTION APPLICATIONS FOR RELIEF

AUGUST 19, 1960.

Protests to the granting of an application must be prepared in accordance with Rule 40 of the general rules of practice (49 CFR 1.40) and filed within 15 days from the date of publication of this notice in the FEDERAL REGISTER.

LONG-AND-SHORT HAUL

FSA No. 36490: *Liquefied petroleum gas—Southwest to Colorado and Wyoming*. Filed by Southwestern Freight Bureau, Agent (No. B-7871), for interested rail carriers. Rates on liquefied petroleum gas, in tank-car loads, from points in Arkansas, Kansas, Louisiana, Missouri, New Mexico, Oklahoma and Texas to points in Colorado and Wyoming.

Grounds for relief: Market competition, short-line distance formula, and destination level.

Tariff: Supplement 150 to Southwestern Freight Bureau tariff I.C.C. 4066.

FSA No. 36491: *Petroleum and petroleum products—Southwest to southern territory*. Filed by Southwestern Freight Bureau, Agent (No. B-7872), for interested rail carriers. Rates on petroleum and petroleum products, in carloads or tank-car loads, from points in Arkansas, Kansas, Louisiana, Missouri, New Mexico, Oklahoma and Texas to points in southern territory, also points in Virginia and West Virginia, Evansville, Ind., Louisville, Ky., and Cincinnati, Ohio.

Grounds for relief: Market competition.

Tariffs: Supplements 52 and 222 to Southwestern Freight Bureau tariffs I.C.C. 4334 and 4150, respectively.

FSA No. 36492: *Substituted service—ACL, RF&P and PRR for Alterman Transport Lines, Inc.* Filed by Southern Motor Carriers Rate Conference, Agent (No. 34), for interested carriers. Rates on property loaded in trailers and transported on railroad flat cars between Kearny, N.J., and Philadelphia, Pa., on the one hand, and Jacksonville, Sanford, Orlando, Lakeland and Tampa, Fla., on the other, on traffic originating at or destined to such points or points beyond as described in the application.

Grounds for relief: Motor-truck competition.

Tariff: Supplement 11 to Southern Motor Carriers Rate Conference tariff I.C.C. 33, MF-I.C.C. 1071.

By the Commission.

[SEAL] HAROLD D. McCoy,
Secretary.

[F.R. Doc. 60-7887; Filed, Aug. 23, 1960; 8:47 a.m.]

[Notice 370]

MOTOR CARRIER TRANSFER PROCEEDINGS

AUGUST 19, 1960.

Synopses of orders entered pursuant to section 212(b) of the Interstate Commerce Act, and rules and regulations prescribed thereunder (49 CFR Part 179), appear below:

As provided in the Commission's special rules of practice any interested person may file a petition seeking reconsideration of the following numbered proceedings within 20 days from the date of publication of this notice. Pursuant to section 17(8) of the Interstate Commerce Act, the filing of such a petition will postpone the effective date of the order in that proceeding pending its disposition. The matters relied upon by petitioners must be specified in their petitions with particularity.

No. MC-FC 63367. By order of August 18, 1960, The Transfer Board approved the transfer to Gilbert Storage and Transfer Corporation, Lynchburg, Va., of Certificate No. MC 4194, issued April 22, 1949, as amended August 10, 1960, to Gilbert Realty Corporation, Lynchburg, Va., authorizing the transportation, over irregular routes, of household goods, between points in Virginia, on the one hand, and, on the other, points in Kentucky, North Carolina, South Carolina, Tennessee, West Virginia, Maryland, Pennsylvania, Delaware, New Jersey, New York, and the District of Columbia, and paper and paper products, between Lynchburg, Va., and Raleigh, N.C.

F. G. Davidson, Jr., 925 Church Street, Lynchburg, Va., for applicants.

[SEAL] HAROLD D. McCoy,
Secretary.

[F.R. Doc. 60-7889; Filed, Aug. 23, 1960; 8:47 a.m.]

[No. MC-C-3]

CHICAGO, ILL., COMMERCIAL ZONE

Notice of Assignment of Petitions for Hearing

AUGUST 19, 1960.

By petition filed April 6, 1959, the Village of Elk Grove, Cook County, Ill., seeks reopening for the purpose of including the Village of Elk Grove within the limits of the Commercial Zone of Chicago, Ill., as defined by the Commission. By petition dated June 17, 1960, the Villages of Bridgeview and Hickory Hills, Cook County, Ill., and Watson Bros. Transportation Co., Inc., and Cooper-Jarrett, Inc., seeks inclusion of Bridgeview and Hickory Hills, within the limits of the Commercial Zone of Chicago, Ill., as defined by the Commission. By Order dated August 15, 1960, division 1 ordered that the above-entitled petitions be referred to Examiner C. Evans Brooks, for oral hearing on the 10th day of October, A.D., 1960, at 9:30 o'clock a.m., United States standard time, (or 9:30 o'clock a.m., local daylight saving time, if that time is observed), at The Pick-Congress Hotel, Chicago, Ill., and for the recommendation of an appropriate order thereon, accompanied by the reasons therefor.

By the Commission.

[SEAL] HAROLD D. McCoy,
Secretary.

[F.R. Doc. 60-7890; Filed, Aug. 23, 1960; 8:47 a.m.]

[Notice 338]

MOTOR CARRIER APPLICATIONS AND CERTAIN OTHER PROCEEDINGS

AUGUST 19, 1960.

The following publications are governed by the Interstate Commerce Commission's general rules of practice including special rules (49 CFR 1.241) governing notice of filing of applications by motor carriers of property or passengers or brokers under sections 206, 209 and 211 of the Interstate Commerce Act and certain other proceedings with respect thereto.

All hearings and pre-hearing conferences will be called at 9:30 o'clock a.m., United States standard time (or 9:30 o'clock a.m., local daylight saving time), unless otherwise specified.

APPLICATIONS ASSIGNED FOR ORAL HEARING OR PRE-HEARING CONFERENCE

MOTOR CARRIERS OF PROPERTY

No. MC 2153 (Sub No. 29), filed July 25, 1960. Applicant: MIDWEST MOTOR EXPRESS, INC., doing business as "MIDWEST", 1205 Front Avenue, Bismarck, N. Dak. Authority sought to operate as a *common carrier*, by motor vehicle, over regular routes, transporting: *General commodities*, except those of unusual value, Classes A and B explosives, household goods as defined in *Practices of Motor Common Carriers of Household Goods*, 17 M.C.C. 467, com-

modities in bulk, commodities requiring special equipment and those injurious or contaminating to other lading, (1) between Minneapolis-St. Paul, Minn. and Minot, N. Dak., from Minneapolis-St. Paul, Minn., over combined U.S. Highways 10 and 52 to Bismarck, N. Dak., thence over U.S. Highway 83 to Minot, N. Dak., and return over the same route, serving all intermediate points. (2) Between Minneapolis-St. Paul, Minn. and Minot, N. Dak., from Minneapolis-St. Paul, Minn., over National Interstate Highway 94 to junction U.S. Highways 10 and 52 at Fargo, N. Dak., thence over combined U.S. Highways 10 and 52 and National Interstate Highway 94 to Bismarck, N. Dak., thence over U.S. Highway 83 to Minot, N. Dak., and return over the same route, serving all intermediate points.

Note: Applicant states it has, in addition to other authority, regular route authority to transport general commodities from Minneapolis-St. Paul, Minn. to Bismarck, N. Dak., and also has irregular route authority to transport general commodities, with exceptions, from Bismarck, N. Dak., to points in North Dakota within 100 miles of Bismarck, the city of Minot is located within this 100 mile radial area, and applicant proposes to convert service to this point to regular route operation. Transportation to other points within the radial area and elsewhere will not be affected. Applicant also states it will continue to serve other points within the radial area in accordance with existing authority.

HEARING: November 1, 1960, at the North Dakota Public Service Commission, Bismarck, N. Dak., before Joint Board No. 24, or, if the Joint Board waives its right to participate, before Examiner Armin G. Clement.

No. MC 4405 (Sub No. 359) filed June 20, 1960. Applicant: DEALERS TRANSIT, INC., 13101 South Torrence Avenue, Chicago 33, Ill. Applicant's attorney: James W. Wrape, Sterick, Building, Memphis, Tenn. Authority sought to operate as a *common carrier*, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: *Motor vehicles*, except trailers in initial truckaway service, from the plant site of the Ford Motor Company in Cook County, Chicago, Ill., to points in Pennsylvania, Virginia, West Virginia, Kentucky, Alabama, Mississippi, Tennessee (other than Memphis, Tenn.), and points in Ohio south of U.S. Highway 40.

HEARING: October 25, 1960, in Room 852, U.S. Custom House, 610 South Canal Street, Chicago, Ill., before Examiner John B. Mealy.

No. MC 10761 (Sub No. 98), filed July 1, 1960. Applicant: TRANSAMERICAN FREIGHT LINES, INC., 1700 North Waterman Avenue, Detroit 9, Mich. Applicant's attorney: Howell Ellis, Rm. 1210-12 Fidelity Building, 111 Monument Circle, Indianapolis 4, Ind. Authority sought to operate as a *common carrier*, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: *Granite for buildings*, from North Chelmsford, Mass., to points in the State of New York, and *damaged or rejected shipments of granite*, and items included in *Ex Parte No. MC-53*, on return.

HEARING: October 11, 1960, at the New Post Office & Court House Bldg., Boston, Mass., before Examiner Samuel Horwich.

No. MC 16007 (Sub No. 25), filed July 13, 1960. Applicant: **CONTRACT FREIGHTERS, INC.**, 3105 East Seventh Street, Joplin, Mo. Applicant's attorney: Thomas F. Kilroy, Suite 610, 1000 Conn. Avenue NW., Washington 6, D.C. Authority sought to operate as a *common carrier*, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: *Manufactured fertilizer, (fertilizer compounds), and urea*, dry, in bags, and in bulk, from Columbus, Kans., to points in Arkansas, Oklahoma, Nebraska, and points in Missouri south of U.S. Highway 50.

HEARING: November 2, 1960, at the U.S. Court House and Custom House, 1114 Market Street, St. Louis, Mo., before Examiner John B. Mealy.

No. MC 20783 (Sub No. 50), filed June 24, 1960. Applicant: **TOMPKINS MOTOR LINES, INC.**, 611 Mulberry Street, Nashville, Tenn. Applicant's attorney: David Axelrod, 39 South LaSalle Street, Chicago 3, Ill. Authority sought to operate as a *common carrier*, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: *Meats* (fresh, frozen, cooked, or cured), *lard, lard compounds or substitutes*, and *vegetable oil shortenings* (except those in bulk, in tank vehicles), from Evansville, Ind., to points in Alabama, Florida, Georgia, North Carolina, South Carolina, and Tennessee (except points in the Memphis, Tenn., Commercial Zone).

NOTE: Applicant presently is authorized to transport the above-named commodities from Evansville, Ind., to the territory sought herein, subject to the following restrictions: (1) The authority granted herein shall not be joined directly or indirectly with any other authority otherwise held by carrier for the purpose of performing any through service. (2) The service herein authorized is restricted against the transportation of shipments (a) received from other carriers at Evansville, Ind., or points within the Evansville, Ind., Commercial Zone, or (b) delivered to other carriers for movement to destinations outside of Alabama, Florida, Georgia, North Carolina, South Carolina and Tennessee, or to destination within the Memphis, Tenn., Commercial Zone. By this application, applicant herein seeks to remove said restrictions.

HEARING: October 6, 1960, at the Kentucky Hotel, Louisville, Ky., before Examiner Jerry F. Laughlin.

No. MC 20793 (Sub No. 34), filed July 11, 1960. Applicant: **WAGNER TRUCKING CO., INC.**, Jobstown, N.J. Applicant's representative: G. Donald Bullock, 211 East 51st Street, 10-C, New York 22, N.Y. Authority sought to operate as a *common carrier*, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: *Brick*, other than fire brick, from the site of the Washington Brick Co. plant, Prince Georges County, Md., to points in Delaware, Maryland, New Jersey, New York, Pennsylvania, and Virginia, and *empty containers or other such incidental facilities* (not specified) used in transporting the commodities specified in this application, on return.

HEARING: October 4, 1960, at the Penn Sherwood Hotel, 3900 Chestnut St.,

Philadelphia, Pa., before Examiner John L. York.

No. MC 22254 (Sub No. 27), filed June 13, 1960. Applicant: **TRANS-AMERICAN VAN SERVICE, INC.**, 7540 South Western Avenue, Chicago, Ill. Authority sought to operate as a *common carrier*, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: *Self-propelled passenger or property carrying golf buggies, or commercial adaptations thereof*, uncrated, weighing not more than 1,500 pounds, and *parts and accessories* therefor when accompanying said machines, between points in the United States, including Alaska and Hawaii.

HEARING: October 27, 1960, in Room 852, U.S. Custom House, 610 South Canal St., Chicago, Ill., before Examiner John B. Mealy.

No. MC 23939 (Sub No. 95), filed August 12, 1960. Applicant: **ASBURY TRANSPORTATION CO.**, 2222 East 38th Street, Los Angeles 58, Calif. Applicant's attorney: E. B. Evans, 718 Symes Building, Denver 2, Colo. Authority sought to operate as a *common carrier*, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: *Cryogenic liquids and liquefied gases* (other than propane and butane), in shipper-owned trailers, and *empty shipper-owned trailers*; (1) between points in Wyoming, on the one hand, and, on the other, points in South Dakota; and (2) between points in South Dakota.

HEARING: September 13, 1960, at the Wyoming Public Service Commission, Cheyenne, Wyo., before Joint Board No. 183.

No. MC 29886 (Sub No. 173), filed August 8, 1960. Applicant: **DALLAS & MAVIS FORWARDING CO., INC.**, 4000 West Sample Street, South Bend, Ind. Applicant's attorney: Charles M. Pieroni, 523 Johnson Building, Muncie, Ind. Authority sought to operate as a *common carrier*, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: *Truck cranes and truck crane excavators, with or without attachments*, in initial and secondary driveway service; from Escanaba, Mich., and points within a five mile radius thereof, to all points in the United States, including the District of Columbia, Alaska and Hawaii.

NOTE: Common control may be involved.

HEARING: October 5, 1960, at the Offices of the Interstate Commerce Commission, Washington, D.C., before Examiner Michael B. Driscoll.

No. MC 30378 (Sub No. 52), filed August 2, 1960. Applicant: **ASSOCIATED TRANSPORTS, INC.**, 9050 Highway 66, Hazelwood, Mo. Applicant's attorney: Walter V. Huston, 4105 Main Street, Kansas City 11, Mo. Authority sought to operate as a *common carrier*, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: *New automobiles, new trucks and new chassis*, in initial movements, in truckaway service, and *new automobile parts and accessories* incidental to the vehicles transported, moving at the same time and in the same vehicle with automobiles etc., from the plant site of the Ford Motor Company in Claycomo, Mo. to points in Michigan, Ohio, Pennsylvania, West Virginia, North Carolina, South Carolina and Virginia, and *dam-*

aged or rejected shipments of the above-specified commodities, on return.

HEARING: November 2, 1960, at the U.S. Court House and Custom House, 1114 Market Street, St. Louis, Mo., before Examiner John B. Mealy.

No. MC 30518 (Sub No. 3) (REPUBLICAN), filed March 1, 1960, published in the **FEDERAL REGISTER**, issue of March 30, 1960. Applicant: **CARLOS A. STILLWELL**, doing business as **STILLWELL TRUCK SERVICE**, Detroit, Ill. Applicant's attorney: Grover C. Hoff, 408 Ridgely Building, Springfield, Ill. By application filed March 1, 1960, applicant sought authority to transport specified commodities, from, to and between points in nine states, under contract for a specified shipper. A correct summary of the application as filed was published in the **FEDERAL REGISTER**, except that the published summary omitted one of the commodities, namely, *butter-milk, dried*, in containers or in bulk. At the hearing held June 10, 1960, before Examiner Maurice S. Bush, the application was amended to correct the omission and evidence was received pertaining to the need for service in the transportation of that commodity. The Examiner, in a report and order, served July 6, 1960, finds that among other authority, applicant should be authorized to transport *buttermilk, dried*, in containers or in bulk, between Pittsfield and Dundee, Ill., on the one hand, and, on the other, points in Indiana, Iowa, Minnesota, Missouri, Ohio, Wisconsin, Kentucky, and Tennessee. Any person or persons who may have been prejudiced by the error in the first-published notice of filing, and the subsequent amendment to rectify the error, may, within 30 days from this publication in the **FEDERAL REGISTER**, file an appropriate pleading.

No. MC 42261 (Sub No. 43) filed July 1, 1960. Applicant: **LANGER TRANSPORT CORP.**, Route 1, Foot of Danforth Avenue, Jersey City, N.J. Applicant's attorney: S. S. Eisen, 140 Cedar Street, New York 6, N.Y. Authority sought to operate as a *common carrier*, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: *Liquid wax*, in bulk, in tank vehicles, from Marcus Hook, Pa., to points in Missouri, North Dakota, South Dakota, Nebraska, Kansas, Illinois, Wisconsin, Minnesota, and Iowa, and *returned or rejected shipments of liquid wax*, in bulk, in tank vehicles, on return.

HEARING: October 12, 1960, at 346 Broadway, New York, N.Y., before Examiner John L. York.

No. MC 42487 (Sub No. 462), filed April 25, 1960. Applicant: **CONSOLIDATED FREIGHTWAYS CORPORATION OF DELAWARE**, 175 Linfield Drive, Menlo Park, Calif. Applicant's attorney: J. G. Dail, Jr., 175 Linfield Drive, Menlo Park, Calif. Authority sought to operate as a *common carrier*, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: *Liquid chemicals*, in bulk, in tank vehicles, from Louisville, Ky., to the plant site of Thiokol Chemical Corporation near Corrine, Utah.

HEARING: November 7, 1960, at the Kentucky Hotel, Louisville, Ky., before Examiner John B. Mealy.

No. MC 49328 (Sub No. 2), filed July 18, 1960. Applicant: WILLIAM GEIS TRUCKING CORP., 42-15 11th Street, Long Island City 1, N.Y. Authority sought to operate as a *common carrier*, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: *Spiral conduit pipe of galvanized steel*, 23 gauge or thinner, for air-handling purposes, uncrated, and *refused, rejected or returned shipments*, between New York, N.Y. and points in Connecticut, Delaware, Maryland, Massachusetts, New York, New Jersey, Pennsylvania and the District of Columbia.

HEARING: September 28, 1960, at 346 Broadway, New York, N.Y., before Examiner Walter R. Lee.

No. MC 52917 (Sub No. 42), filed July 12, 1960. Applicant: CHESAPEAKE MOTOR LINES, INC., 340 West North Avenue, Baltimore 17, Md. Applicant's attorney: Clarence D. Todd, 1825 Jefferson Place NW., Washington 6, D.C. Authority sought to operate as a *common carrier*, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: *Fresh meat and other foodstuffs*, moving in vehicles equipped with mechanical refrigeration, from New York, N.Y., points in Bergen, Hudson, Essex and Union Counties, N.J. and New Brunswick, N.J. to Baltimore, Md. and Washington, D.C.

HEARING: October 11, 1960, at 346 Broadway, New York, N.Y., before Examiner John L. York.

No. MC 55811 (Sub-No. 65), filed June 13, 1960. Applicant: CRAIG TRUCKING, INC., Albany, Ind. Applicant's attorney: Howell Ellis, 111 Monument Circle, Indianapolis 4, Ind. Authority sought to operate as a *common carrier*, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: *Pepper*, in packages, in mixed shipments with salt, provided that the pepper does not exceed ten (10) percent of the truckload weight, from Cleveland, Ohio; Manistee, St. Clair and Marysville, Mich., and points within five miles thereof, to points in Indiana; Illinois; Ohio; Michigan; points in Allegheny, Beaver, Butler, Lawrence, Mercer, and Washington Counties, Pa., and Jeannette, Schenley and South Connelville, Pa., and points within ten miles thereof; points in Brook, Hancock, and Ohio Counties, W. Va., and points in that part of West Virginia within ten miles of the West Virginia-Ohio State Line, Louisville and Covington, Ky.; St. Louis, Mo. and Davenport, Iowa, *damaged and rejected shipments* on return.

NOTE: Applicant presently holds authority to transport salt from the above origins to the above destinations and seeks authority to transport pepper and salt in mixed loads.

HEARING: October 25, 1960, in Room 852, U.S. Custom House, 610 South Canal St., Chicago, Ill., before Examiner John B. Mealy.

No. MC 55878 (Sub No. 9), filed June 28, 1960. Applicant: NATIONAL FREIGHT, INC., 57 West Park Avenue, Vineland, N.J. Applicant's attorney: Irving Abrams, 1776 Broadway, New York 19, N.Y. Authority sought to operate as a *common carrier*, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: *Pipe, and conduits, and fittings, and attachments therefor*, between points in

Atlantic County, N.J., on the one hand, and, on the other, points in Maine, New Hampshire, Ohio, Vermont, and West Virginia.

HEARING: October 7, 1960, at the Governor Clinton Hotel, 31st & 7th Avenue, New York, N.Y., before Examiner Samuel Horwich.

No. MC 60109 (Sub No. 3), filed July 25, 1960. Applicant: APPLE TRANSPORTATION COMPANY, a corporation, 3647 Race Street, Philadelphia 39, Pa. Authority sought to operate as a *common carrier*, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: *Household goods and immigrant moveables*, (1) from Philadelphia, Pa. to Bangor, Maine, or (2) between Philadelphia, Pa., and Jacksonville, Fla., (3) between points in the Philadelphia, Pa., Commercial Zone, as defined by the Commission on the one hand, and, on the other, points in Maine, New Hampshire, Vermont, Georgia, Florida and Alabama, and *empty containers*, on return, in connection with (1), (2) and (3) above.

HEARING: October 5, 1960, at the Penn Sherwood Hotel, 3900 Chestnut St., Philadelphia, Pa., before Examiner John L. York.

No. MC 61592 (Sub No. 7) filed May 18, 1960. Applicant: K & A TRUCK LINES, INC., 3708 Elm Street, Bettendorf, Iowa. Applicant's representative: Kenneth F. Dudley, 106 North Court Street, P.O. Box 557, Ottumwa, Iowa. Authority sought to operate as a *common carrier*, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: *Farm equipment and machinery, and farm equipment and machinery parts*, from Manning, Iowa to points in Arkansas, California, Colorado, Iowa, Indiana, Illinois, Idaho, Kentucky, Kansas, Missouri, Michigan, Montana, Minnesota, Nebraska, North Dakota, Ohio, Oklahoma, Oregon, Pennsylvania, South Dakota, Texas, Tennessee, Utah, Washington, Wisconsin and Wyoming, and *defective, rejected or damaged shipments* of the above specified commodities, on return.

NOTE: Applicant states there will be no intent to transport full truckloads of the parts by themselves.

HEARING: October 10, 1960, in Room 401, Old Federal Office Building, 5th and Court Avenues, Des Moines, Iowa, before Examiner Reece Harrison.

No. MC 65527 (Sub No. 13), filed July 27, 1960. Applicant: BOYLE BROTHERS, INC., 256 River Road, Edgewater, N.J. Applicant's representative: Bert Collins, 140 Cedar Street, New York 6, N.Y. Authority sought to operate as a *common or contract carrier*, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: *Cement*, in bulk, in tank or hopper-type vehicles, and in bags, between points in Montgomery, Lehigh, Northampton, York, and Berks Counties, Pa., on the one hand, and, on the other, points in Delaware, Maryland, Pennsylvania, New Jersey, New York, Connecticut, Rhode Island, Massachusetts, and the District of Columbia.

NOTE: A proceeding has been instituted under section 212(c) in No. MC 65527 (Sub No. 11) to determine whether applicant's status is that of a common or contract carrier. Applicant states it presently holds

authority for a portion of the authority requested.

HEARING: October 6, 1960, at the Penn Sherwood Hotel, 3900 Chestnut St., Philadelphia, Pa., before Examiner John L. York.

No. MC 67419 (Sub No. 1), filed June 1, 1960. Applicant: PHILIP STINGER, INC., 3300 Moore Street, Philadelphia, Pa. Applicant's attorney: Raymond A. Thistle, Jr., 226 South Sixteenth Street, Suite 601, Philadelphia 2, Pa. Authority sought to operate as a *Contract carrier*, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: *Building materials*, as described in Appendix VI to report in Description in Motor Carrier Certificates, 61 MCC-209, except bulk commodities in tank or dump vehicles, and *supplies and materials used in the installation and manufacture thereof*, between Wilmington, Del., on the one hand, and, on the other, points in Maryland, New Jersey, New York, Pennsylvania, Virginia, West Virginia, and the District of Columbia.

HEARING: October 5, 1960, at the Governor Clinton Hotel, 31st & 7th Avenue, New York, N.Y., before Examiner Samuel Horwich.

No. MC 72186 (Sub No. 2), filed August 1, 1960. Applicant: ALBERT HARDECOFF, Dolliver, Iowa. Applicant's representative: A. R. Fowler, 2288 University Avenue, St. Paul 14, Minn. Authority sought to operate as a *common carrier*, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: *Mills or machines*, feed processing, mixing and grinding; from Armstrong, Iowa, to points in Illinois, Kansas, Minnesota, Missouri, Nebraska, North Dakota, South Dakota, and Wisconsin.

HEARING: October 24, 1960, in Room 926, Metropolitan Bldg., Second Ave., South and Third, Minneapolis, Minn., before Examiner Armin G. Clement.

No. MC 92983 (Sub No. 382), filed August 4, 1960. Applicant: ELDON MILLER, INC., 330 East Washington Street, Iowa City, Iowa. Authority sought to operate as a *common carrier*, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: *Acids and chemicals*, in bulk, from points in Alabama, Connecticut, Delaware, Florida, Georgia, Kentucky and Maine to Burlington, Iowa and points within 10 miles thereof.

HEARING: September 23, 1960, in Room 401, Old Federal Office Bldg., 5th & Court Avenues, Des Moines, Iowa, before Examiner Parks M. Low.

No. MC 95540 (Sub No. 333), filed May 31, 1960. Applicant: WATKINS MOTOR LINES, INC., Cassidy Road, Thomasville, Ga. Applicant's attorney: Joseph H. Blackshear, Gainesville, Ga. Authority sought to operate as a *common carrier*, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: *Dairy products*, (1) from Walton, N.Y., to points in the District of Columbia, Maryland, Virginia, North Carolina and South Carolina, and (2) from New York, N.Y., to points in the District of Columbia, Maryland, Virginia, North Carolina, South Carolina, Georgia and Florida, restricted to shipments of dairy products which originate at Walton, N.Y. and are stopped in New York City, N.Y. for completion of loading.

HEARING: October 4, 1960, at the Governor Clinton Hotel, 31st and 7th Avenue, New York, N.Y., before Examiner Samuel Horwich.

No. MC 95540 (Sub No. 334), filed June 2, 1960. Applicant: WATKINS MOTOR LINES, INC., Cassidy Road, Thomasville, Ga. Applicant's attorney: Joseph H. Blackshear, Gainesville, Ga. Authority sought to operate as a *common carrier*, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: *Frozen foods*, from Elmira, N.Y., to points in Alabama, Florida, Georgia, Kentucky, Louisiana, Mississippi, North Carolina, South Carolina, Tennessee, Texas, and West Virginia.

NOTE: Common control may be involved.

HEARING: October 31, 1960, at the U.S. Court House and Custom House, 1114 Market Street, St. Louis, Mo., before Examiner John B. Mealy.

No. MC 95876 (Sub No. 20), filed July 15, 1960. Applicant: ANDERSON TRUCKING SERVICE, INC., 203 Cooper Avenue North, St. Cloud, Minn. Applicant's attorney: Val M. Higgins, 1000 First National Bank Building, Minneapolis 2, Minn. Authority sought to operate as a *common carrier*, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: *Rough and manufactured granite, marble, slate, and stone*, from points in Georgia, to points in Washington, Oregon, Idaho, Montana, and Wyoming.

HEARING: September 26, 1960, at 680 West Peachtree Street, NW., Atlanta, Ga., before Examiner James I. Carr.

No. MC 95876 (Sub No. 21), filed August 11, 1960. Applicant: ANDERSON TRUCKING SERVICE, INC., 203 Cooper Avenue North, St. Cloud, Minn. Applicant's attorney: Val M. Higgins, 1000 First National Bank Building, Minneapolis 2, Minn. Authority sought to operate as a *common carrier*, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: *Granite, stone, marble, and slate*; from points in Georgia, to points in Connecticut, Rhode Island, Massachusetts, Maine, Vermont, New Hampshire, and New York.

HEARING: September 26, 1960, at 680 West Peachtree Street NW., Atlanta, Ga., before Examiner James I. Carr.

No. MC 101126 (Sub No. 134), filed April 18, 1960. Applicant: STILLPASS TRANSIT COMPANY, INC., 4967 Spring Grove Avenue, Cincinnati 32, Ohio. Authority sought to operate as a *common or contract carrier*, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: *Silicate of Soda*, in bulk, in tank vehicles, from Cincinnati, Ohio, to Jefferson County, Ala., and *rejected shipments* on return.

NOTE: A proceeding has been instituted under section 212(c) of the Interstate Commerce Act to determine whether applicant's status is that of a contract or common carrier * * *. In No. MC 101126 (Sub No. 86).

HEARING: October 11, 1960, in Room 712, Federal Building, Cincinnati, Ohio, before Examiner Robert A. Joyner.

No. MC 102616 (Sub No. 692), filed August 12, 1960. Applicant: COASTAL TANK LINES, INC., 501 Grantley Road, York, Pa. Applicant's attorney: Harold G. Hernly, 1624 Eye Street NW., Wash-

ington 6, D.C. Authority sought to operate as a *common carrier*, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: *Acids and chemicals*, in bulk, in tank and specialized equipment, from Calvert City, Ky., and points within ten (10) miles thereof, to points in Connecticut, Delaware, Illinois, Indiana, Iowa, Kansas, Kentucky, Maryland, Massachusetts, Michigan, Minnesota, Missouri, Nebraska, New Jersey, New York, Ohio, Oklahoma, Pennsylvania, Rhode Island, Virginia, West Virginia, and Wisconsin, and *rejected shipments* of the above-specified commodities, on return.

HEARING: September 12, 1960, at the Offices of the Interstate Commerce Commission, Washington, D.C., before Examiner Gerald F. Colfer.

No. MC 103435 (Sub No. 95), filed May 9, 1960. Applicant: BUCKINGHAM FREIGHT LINES, a corporation, 900 East Omaha, P.O. Box 1631, Rapid City, S. Dak. Applicant's attorney: Alvin J. Meiklejohn, Jr., 526 Denham Building, Denver 2, Colo. Authority sought to operate as a *common carrier*, by motor vehicle, transporting: *General commodities*, including *Classes A and B explosives*, serving the Big Bend Dam Site, approximately 42 miles southeast of Pierre, S. Dak., and points within five (5) miles thereof, as off-route points in connection with applicant's authorized regular route operations over U.S. Highways 14 and 16.

HEARING: November 10, 1960, at the South Dakota Public Utilities Commission, Pierre, S. Dak., before Joint Board No. 230, or, if the Joint Board waives its right to participate, before Examiner Armin C. Clement.

No. MC 103721 (Sub No. 8), filed July 15, 1960. Applicant: ORVILLE SICKELS TRUCKING, INC., R.D. No. 1, Palmerton, Pa. Applicant's attorney: Russell P. Chaplinsky, 180 South First Street, Lehighton, Pa. Authority sought to operate as a *common carrier*, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: *Coal*, from points in Schuylkill County, Pa., to site of Seaview Hospital, Staten Island, N.Y.

HEARING: October 12, 1960, at 346 Broadway, New York, N.Y., before Examiner John L. York.

No. MC 103880 (Sub No. 206), filed June 24, 1960. Applicant: PRODUCERS TRANSPORT, INC., 224 Buffalo Street, New Buffalo, Mich. Applicant's attorney: David Axelrod, 39 South LaSalle Street, Chicago 3, Ill. Authority sought to operate as a *common carrier*, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: *Petroleum and petroleum products*, in bulk, in tank vehicles, from Crossville, Ill., to points in Kentucky, Tennessee, and those in Ohio on and east of U.S. Highway 23.

HEARING: October 26, 1960, in Room 852, U.S. Custom House, 610 South Canal St., Chicago, Ill., before Examiner John B. Mealy.

No. MC 104523 (Sub No. 18), filed July 5, 1960. Applicant: WILLIAM HAROLD HUSTON, doing business as HUSTON TRUCK LINE, Friend, Nebr. Applicant's representative: C. A. Ross, 1004-1008 Trust Building, Lincoln 8, Nebr. Authority sought to operate as a *com-*

mon carrier, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: *Salt, and salt compounds*, from Grand Saline, Tex. and points within a radius of two miles thereof, to points in Kansas, Missouri, Nebraska, and South Dakota, and *empty containers or other such incidental facilities*, used in transporting the above-described commodities, on return.

NOTE: Applicant states he will also transport some exempt commodities, on return.

HEARING: October 3, 1960, at the Rome Hotel, Omaha, Nebr., before Examiner Charles B. Heineman.

No. MC 105045 (Sub No. 5), filed June 27, 1960. Applicant: R. L. JEFFERIES TRUCKING CO., INC., 201 Southeast First Street, Evansville, Ind. Applicant's attorney: Ernest A. Brooks II, 1301 Ambassador Building, St. Louis 1, Mo. Authority sought to operate as a *common carrier*, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: (A) *Iron or steel silos*, glass enameled or galvanized, knocked down or in sections. (B) *Component parts of iron or steel silos*, including *silos loading and unloading devices*, and, *materials incidental to the erection and completion of silos*, when moving in connection with such silos, between Kankakee, Ill., and points in the Kankakee Commercial Zone on the one hand, and, on the other points in Indiana, Kentucky, North Carolina, Virginia, Maryland, Delaware, Pennsylvania, New Jersey, New York, Connecticut, Rhode Island, Massachusetts, Vermont, New Hampshire, Maine, and the District of Columbia.

HEARING: October 24, 1960, in Room 852, U.S. Custom House, 610 South Canal Street, Chicago, Ill., before Examiner John B. Mealy.

No. MC 105556 (Sub No. 35), filed July 5, 1960. Applicant: HOUCK TRANSPORT COMPANY, a corporation, Box 559, Glendive, Mont. Applicant's attorney: Franklin S. Longan, Suite 319, Securities Building, Billings, Mont. Authority sought to operate as a *common carrier*, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: *Crude oil*, in bulk, in tank vehicles, from points in Sheridan, Daniels, Roosevelt, McCone, Valley, Richland, Dawson, Prairie, Fallon, Custer, Powder River, Wibaux and Carter Counties, Mont. to Williston, N. Dak. and points within 10 miles thereof, and *empty containers or other such incidental facilities* (not specified) used in transporting the above-specified commodity, on return.

HEARING: October 31, 1960, at the North Dakota Public Service Commission, Bismarck, N. Dak., before Joint Board No. 84, or, if the Joint Board waives its right to participate, before Examiner Armin C. Clement.

No. MC 105556 (Sub No. 36), filed July 5, 1960. Applicant: HOUCK TRANSPORT COMPANY, a corporation, Box 559, Glendive, Mont. Applicant's attorney: Franklin S. Longan, Suite 319, Securities Building, Billings, Mont. Authority sought to operate as a *common carrier*, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: *Petroleum and petroleum products*, in bulk, in tank vehicles, (1) Between Williston, N. Dak.

and points within 10 miles thereof, on the one hand, and, on the other, ports of entry on the International Boundary line between the United States and Canada, in Montana and North Dakota. (2) From Williston, N. Dak. and points within 10 miles thereof, to points in Minnesota, and *empty containers or other such incidental facilities* (not specified) used in transporting the above-specified commodities, from points in Minnesota to Williston, N. Dak.

HEARING: October 31, 1960, at the North Dakota Public Service Commission, Bismarck, N. Dak., before Joint Board No. 224, or, if the Joint Board waives its right to participate, before Examiner Armin G. Clement.

No. MC 105813 (Sub No. 42), filed August 8, 1960. Applicant: BELFORD TRUCKING CO., INC., 1299 NW., 23d Street, P.O. Box 183, Allapattah Station, Miami 42, Fla. Applicant's attorney: Sol H. Proctor, 1730 Lynch Building, Jacksonville 2, Fla. Authority sought to operate as a *common carrier*, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: *Citrus products*, not canned and not frozen, (1) from points in Florida to Chicago, Ill., St. Louis, Mo., and points in Indiana, Kentucky and Ohio. (2) Between points in Florida.

Note: Applicant states the purpose of this application is to remove the vehicle description as described in portions of Certificate MC 105813 (Sub No. 1), (namely in vehicles equipped with mechanical refrigeration).

HEARING: September 22, 1960, at the U.S. Court Rooms, Tampa, Fla., before Examiner Isadore Freidson.

No. MC 106965 (Sub No. 142), filed July 26, 1960. Applicant: M. I. O'BOYLE & SON, doing business as O'BOYLE TANK LINES, 1825 Jefferson Place NW., Washington 6, D.C. Applicant's attorney: Dale C. Dillon, 1825 Jefferson Place NW., Washington 6, D.C. Authority sought to operate as a *common carrier*, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: *Alum*, in bulk, in tank or hopper vehicles, from Baltimore, Md., to Norfolk, Va.

Note: (1) Applicant has pending in MC 112563 and Sub 1 thereunder, application for contract authority, therefore, dual operations may be involved. (2) Common control may be involved.

HEARING: October 4, 1960, at the Offices of the Interstate Commerce Commission, Washington, D.C., before Joint Board No. 226.

No. MC 106965 (Sub No. 143), filed August 10, 1960. Applicant: M. I. O'BOYLE & SON, INC., doing business as O'BOYLE TANK LINES, 1825 Jefferson Place NW., Washington 6, D.C. Applicant's attorney: Dale C. Dillon, 1825 Jefferson Place NW., Washington 6, D.C. Authority sought to operate as a *common carrier*, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: *Whiting talc*, dry, in bulk, in tank or hopper vehicles, from York, Pa., to Baltimore, Md.

HEARING: October 6, 1960, at the Offices of the Interstate Commerce Commission, Washington, D.C., before Examiner James A. McKiel.

No. MC 107107 (Sub No. 152), filed May 26, 1960. Applicant: ALTERMAN TRANSPORT LINES, INC., P.O. Box 65,

Allapattah Station, Miami 42, Fla. Applicant's attorney: Frank B. Hand, Jr., 522 Transportation Building, Washington 6, D.C. Authority sought to operate as a *common carrier*, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: *Meat, meat products, and meat by-products*, as described by the Commission, from New York, N.Y., to points in South Carolina on and within five (5) miles of U.S. Highway 17 between the North Carolina State line and Winyah Bay, and Brunswick and Sea Island, Ga.

HEARING: October 3, 1960, at the Governor Clinton Hotel, 31st & 7th Avenue, New York, N.Y., before Examiner Samuel Horwich.

No. MC 107403 (Sub No. 313), filed August 10, 1960. Applicant: E. BROOKE MATLACK, INC., 33rd and Arch Streets, Philadelphia 4, Pa. Applicant's attorney: Shertz, Barnes and Shertz, Suite 601, 226 South 16th Street, Philadelphia, Pa. Authority sought to operate as a *common carrier*, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: *Acids and Chemicals*, in bulk, in tank and specialized equipment, from Calvert City, Ky., and points within 10 miles thereof, to points in Alabama, Arkansas, Delaware, Florida, Georgia, Illinois, Indiana, Iowa, Kansas, Kentucky, Louisiana, Maryland, Michigan, Minnesota, Mississippi, Missouri, Nebraska, New Jersey, New York, North Carolina, Ohio, Oklahoma, Pennsylvania, South Carolina, Tennessee, Texas, Virginia, West Virginia and Wisconsin, and *rejected shipments* on return.

Note: Applicant is authorized to conduct operations as a contract carrier, therefore, dual operations may be involved.

HEARING: September 12, 1960, at the Offices of the Interstate Commerce Commission, Washington, D.C., before Examiner Gerald F. Colfer.

No. MC 108392 (Sub No. 1), filed June 27, 1960. Applicant: EDWARD LOFTY, doing business as DISTRIBUTORS SERVICE COMPANY, 30 Edgewood Park Drive, Parkersburg, W. Va. Applicant's attorney: Theodore H. Ghiz, Suite 6, Phillips Building, 411 "D" Street, South Charleston, W. Va. Authority sought to operate as a *common carrier*, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: *Malt beverages*, in containers, (1) from Akron, Ohio, to Parkersburg, W. Va., and to points in West Virginia on and east of West Virginia Highway 2; (2) from Columbus, Ohio, to Parkersburg, W. Va., and to points in West Virginia on and east of West Virginia Highway 2; (3) from Baltimore, Md., to Parkersburg, W. Va., and to points in West Virginia on and east of West Virginia Highway 2; (4) from Cumberland, Md., to Parkersburg, W. Va., and to points in West Virginia on and east of West Virginia Highway 2; (5) from Louisville, Ky., to points in West Virginia on and east of West Virginia Highway 2; (6) from St. Louis, Mo., to Parkersburg, W. Va., and to points in West Virginia on and east of West Virginia Highway 2; (7) from Milwaukee, Wis., to Parkersburg, W. Va., and to points in West Virginia on and east of West Virginia Highway 2; (8) from Peoria, Ill., to

Parkersburg, W. Va., and to points in West Virginia on and east of West Virginia Highway 2; (9) from Detroit, Mich., to Parkersburg, W. Va., and to points in West Virginia on and east of West Virginia Highway 2; (10) from Newark, N.J., to Parkersburg, W. Va., and to points in West Virginia on and east of West Virginia Highway 2; (11) from Pittsburgh, Pa., to points in West Virginia on and east of West Virginia Highway 2; (12) from Cleveland, Ohio, to points in West Virginia on and east of West Virginia Highway 2; (13) from Cincinnati, Ohio, to points in West Virginia on and east of West Virginia Highway 2; and (14) from Newport, Ky., to Parkersburg, W. Va., and to points in West Virginia on and east of West Virginia Highway 2, and *empty containers or other such incidental facilities*, used in transporting the above-described commodities on return, in connection with (1) through (14) above.

HEARING: October 4, 1960, at the City Council Chamber, City Hall, 501 Virginia Street, East, Charleston, W. Va., before Examiner Robert A. Joyner.

No. MC 109385 (Sub No. 32), filed June 9, 1960. Applicant: SUBLER TRANSFER, INC., East Main Street, Versailles, Ohio. Applicant's attorney: Taylor C. Burneson, 3430 LeVeque-Lincoln Tower, 50 West Broad Street, Columbus 15, Ohio. Authority sought to operate as a *common or contract carrier*, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: *Carpets and carpeting*, from Chattanooga, Tenn., and Dalton and Calhoun, Ga., to points in Pennsylvania, Ohio, Michigan, Indiana, Missouri, Illinois, Wisconsin, and Minnesota.

Note: A proceeding has been instituted under section 212(c) in No. MC 109385 (Sub No. 16) to determine whether applicant's status is that of a common or contract carrier. Applicant has a pending common carrier application (BOR 1) under MC 117883 and a pending common carrier application (BOR 2) under MC 117883 (Sub No. 1). Dual authority under section 210 may be involved.

HEARING: October 13, 1960, at the Ohio Public Utilities Commission, Columbus, Ohio, before Examiner Robert A. Joyner.

No. MC 109637 (Sub No. 153), filed May 25, 1960. Applicant: SOUTHERN TANK LINES, INC., 4107 Bells Lane, Louisville 11, Ky. Authority sought to operate as a *common carrier*, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: *Silicate of soda*, in bulk, in tank vehicles, from Cincinnati, Ohio, to points in Jefferson County, Ala., and *rejected shipments* of the above-described commodity, on return.

HEARING: October 11, 1960, in Room 712, Federal Building, Cincinnati, Ohio, before Examiner Robert A. Joyner.

No. MC 109637 (Sub-No. 154), filed June 9, 1960. Applicant: SOUTHERN TANK LINES, INC., 4107 Bells Lane, Louisville 11, Ky. Authority sought to operate as a *common carrier*, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: *Animal fats, oils and greases, and Tallow*, in bulk, in tank vehicles, from Owensboro, Ky., to points in Alabama and Tennessee.

HEARING: October 7, 1960, at the Kentucky Hotel, Louisville, Ky., before Examiner Jerry F. Laughlin.

No. MC 109637 (Sub No. 156), filed June 20, 1960. Applicant: SOUTHERN TANK LINES, INC., 4107 Bells Lane, Louisville 11, Ky. Authority sought to operate as a *common carrier*, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: *Whiskey*, in bulk, in tank vehicles, *rejected shipments of whiskey*, between points in Indiana, on the one hand, and, on the other, points in Illinois, Maryland, Massachusetts, New York, Ohio, and Pennsylvania.

HEARING: October 10, 1960, in Room 712, Federal Building, Cincinnati, Ohio, before Examiner Robert A. Joyner.

No. MC 109637 (Sub No. 158), filed July 18, 1960. Applicant: SOUTHERN TANK LINES, INC., 4107 Bells Lane, Louisville 11, Ky. Authority sought to operate as a *common carrier*, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: *Petroleum and petroleum products*, in bulk, in tank vehicles, from Crossville, Ill., to points in Kentucky, Ohio and Tennessee and *rejected shipments* on return.

HEARING: October 26, 1960, in Room 852, U.S. Custom House, 610 South Canal St., Chicago, Ill., before Examiner John B. Mealy.

No. MC 110479 (Sub No. 17), filed June 30, 1960. Applicant: DUDLEY HARPER, doing business as HARPER TRUCK SERVICE, 1230 North Eighth Street, Paducah, Ky. Applicant's attorney: Herbert S. Melton, Jr., Broadway at Seventeenth, Box 1282-Avondale Station, Paducah, Ky. Authority sought to operate as a *common carrier*, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: *Beer and malt liquors*, from St. Joseph, Mo., to Paducah, Ky., and *empty containers or other such incidental facilities*, used in transporting the above-described commodities, on return.

HEARING: October 7, 1960, at the Kentucky Hotel, Louisville, Ky., before Examiner Jerry F. Laughlin.

No. MC 110525 (Sub No. 419), filed May 25, 1960. Applicant: CHEMICAL TANK LINES, INC., 520 East Lancaster Avenue, Downingtown, Pa. Applicant's attorney: Leonard A. Jaskiewicz, Munsey Building, Washington 4, D.C. Authority sought to operate as a *common carrier*, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: *Chemicals*, in bulk, in tank vehicles, from Cincinnati, Ohio, to points in Florida, except polyvinyl acetate to Tampa, Fla., and *rejected shipments* of chemicals, on return.

NOTE: Applicant is also authorized to conduct operations as a contract carrier in Permit MC 117507; therefore, dual operations may be involved.

HEARING: October 12, 1960, in Room 712, Federal Building, Cincinnati, Ohio, before Examiner Robert A. Joyner.

No. MC 110525 (Sub No. 422), filed June 14, 1960. Applicant: CHEMICAL TANK LINES, INC., 520 East Lancaster Avenue, Downingtown, Pa. Applicant's attorney: Leonard A. Jaskiewicz, Munsey Building, Washington, D.C. Authority sought to operate as a *common carrier*, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: *Styrene*, in bulk, in tank

vehicles, from Louisville, Ky., to points in Illinois, Indiana, Michigan, Ohio and Wisconsin, and *rejected shipments* on return.

HEARING: October 3, 1960, at the Kentucky Hotel, Louisville, Ky., before Examiner Jerry F. Laughlin.

No. MC 110698 (Sub No. 142), filed August 9, 1960. Applicant: RYDER TANK LINE, INC., P.O. Box 457, Greensboro, N.C. Applicant's attorney: Frank B. Hand, Jr., 522 Transportation Building, Washington 6, D.C. Authority sought to operate as a *common carrier*, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: *Clay slurry*, in bulk, in tank vehicles; from points in Twiggs, Wilkinson, Washington, and Bibb Counties, Ga., to points in Texas, Oklahoma, Arkansas, Louisiana, Mississippi, Alabama, Florida, North Carolina, South Carolina, Tennessee, and Virginia.

NOTE: Common control may be involved.

HEARING: October 6, 1960, at the Offices of the Interstate Commerce Commission, Washington, D.C., before Examiner Parks M. Low.

No. MC 111238 (Sub No. 6), filed June 15, 1960. Applicant: DOLLISON TRUCK LINES, 1000 Pennsylvania Avenue, Charleston 2, W. Va. Applicant's attorney: John C. White, 400 Union Building, Charleston 1, W. Va. Authority sought to operate as a *common carrier*, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: *Magazines, periodicals, and magazine parts and sections, and pocketbooks, and patterns*, between Cincinnati, Ohio (excluding points in said commercial zone which are located in Kentucky) and points in West Virginia on and south of U.S. Highway 60.

HEARING: October 6, 1960, at the City Council Chamber, City Hall, 501 Virginia Street, East, Charleston, W. Va., before Joint Board No. 61, or, if the Joint Board waives its right to participate, before Examiner Robert A. Joyner.

No. MC 112567 (Sub No. 4), filed June 6, 1960. Applicant: ARTHUR B. McRAY, doing business as McRAY TRUCK LINE, Springfield, Ky. Applicant's attorney: Ollie L. Merchant, 712 Louisville Trust Building, Louisville 2, Ky. Authority sought to operate as a *contract carrier*, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: *Dairy products*, as described in Section B, Appendix I, to the report in *Descriptions in Motor Carrier Certificates*, 61 M.C.C. 209, from Springfield, Ky., to points in Alabama, Florida, Georgia, Indiana (except Clarksville, Jeffersonville and New Albany), Maryland, Mississippi, New Jersey, New York, North Carolina, Ohio, Pennsylvania, South Carolina, Tennessee, Virginia, West Virginia and the District of Columbia, and *supplies* used in the manufacture and processing of the above-described commodities, and *damaged, refused or rejected shipments* thereof, on return.

HEARING: October 3, 1960, at the Kentucky Hotel, Louisville, Ky., before Examiner Jerry F. Laughlin.

No. MC 112617 (Sub No. 67), filed April 22, 1960. Applicant: LIQUID TRANSPORTERS, INC., P.O. Box 5135, Cherokee Station, Louisville 5, Ky. Applicant's attorney: Leonard A. Jaskie-

wicz, Munsey Building, Washington 4, D.C. Authority sought to operate as a *common carrier*, by motor vehicle over irregular routes, transporting: *Acids and chemicals*, in bulk, in tank vehicles, (1) from Jeffersonville, Ind., to points in Kentucky, Tennessee, Alabama, Mississippi, Georgia, North Carolina, South Carolina and West Virginia. (2) From points in Maury County, Tenn. to points in Kentucky, Alabama, Mississippi, Georgia, North Carolina, South Carolina, West Virginia, Indiana, Illinois, Ohio and Missouri.

HEARING: November 7, 1960, at the Kentucky Hotel, Louisville, Ky., before Examiner John B. Mealy.

No. MC 112617 (Sub No. 71), filed July 18, 1960. Applicant: LIQUID TRANSPORTERS, INC., P.O. Box 5135, Cherokee Station, Louisville 5, Ky. Applicant's attorney: Leonard A. Jaskiewicz, Munsey Building, Washington 4, D.C. Authority sought to operate as a *common carrier*, by motor vehicle over irregular routes, transporting: *Styrene*, in bulk, in tank vehicles, from Louisville, Ky., to points in Illinois, Indiana, Michigan, Ohio, and Wisconsin, and *rejected shipments*, on return.

HEARING: October 5, 1960, at the Kentucky Hotel, Louisville, Ky., before Examiner Jerry F. Laughlin.

No. MC 112750 (Sub No. 51), filed August 4, 1960. Applicant: ARMORED CARRIER CORPORATION, DeBevoise Building, 222-17 Northern Boulevard, Bayside, Long Island, N.Y. Applicant's attorney: Paul F. Sullivan, Sundial House, 1821 Jefferson Place NW, Washington 6, D.C. Authority sought to operate as a *contract carrier*, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: *Commercial papers, documents and written instruments* (except coin, currency, bullion and negotiable securities) (1) between Baltimore, Md., Pittsburgh, Pa., Washington, D.C. and points in Jefferson, Berkeley, Morgan, Allegheny, Hampshire, Hardy, Pendleton, Randolph, Webster, Nicholas, Braxton, Roane, Calhoun, Jackson, Wirt, Word, Ritchie, Gilmer, Lewis, Upshur, Barbour, Grant, Tucker, Mineral, Preston, Marion, Monongalia, Harrison, Taylor, Pleasants and Doddridge Counties, W. Va. (2) Between Richmond, Va., on the one hand, and, on the other, New York, N.Y. (3) From Philadelphia, Pa. to points in Washington and Wicomico Counties, Md.

HEARING: October 4, 1960, at the Offices of the Interstate Commerce Commission, Washington, D.C., before Examiner Armin G. Clement.

No. MC 113681 (Sub No. 22), filed June 22, 1960. Applicant: BAKERY PRODUCTS DELIVERY, INC., 404 West Putnam Avenue, Greenwich, Conn. Applicant's attorney: Reubin Kaminsky, Suite 223-410 Asylum Street, Hartford 3, Conn. Authority sought to operate as a *contract or common carrier*, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: *Bakery products*, except frozen and unleavened bakery products, from Brooklyn, N.Y., to Bridgeport, New Haven and Waterbury, Conn., and Springfield, Mass., and *empty containers or other such incidental facilities*, etc., and *stale, damaged, refused and nonsalable shipments* of the above-described commo-

ties, on return, under a continuing contract or contracts with General Baking Company, New York, N.Y.

NOTE: A proceeding has been instituted under section 212(c) of the Interstate Commerce Act to determine whether applicant's status is that of a contract or common carrier * * *. In No. MC 113681 (Sub No. 12).

HEARING: October 7, 1960, at the Governor Clinton Hotel, 31st and Seventh Avenue, New York, N.Y., before Examiner Samuel Horwich.

No. MC 114091 (Sub No. 26), filed June 6, 1960. Applicant: DIRECT TRANSPORT COMPANY OF KENTUCKY, INC., 3601 South 7th Street Road, Louisville, Ky. Applicant's attorney: Ollie L. Merchant, 712 Louisville Trust Building, Louisville 2, Ky. Authority sought to operate as a *common carrier*, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: *Alcoholic liquors, including whiskey*, in bulk, in tank vehicles, between points in Indiana, Maryland and Pennsylvania.

HEARING: October 4, 1960, at the Kentucky Hotel, Louisville, Ky., before Examiner Jerry F. Laughlin.

No. MC 114091 (Sub No. 27), filed June 6, 1960. Applicant: DIRECT TRANSPORT COMPANY OF KENTUCKY, INC., 3601 South 7th Street Road, Louisville, Ky. Applicant's attorney: Ollie L. Merchant, 712 Louisville Trust Building, Louisville 2, Ky. Authority sought to operate as a *common carrier*, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: *Alcoholic liquors, including whiskey*, in bulk, in tank vehicles, between points in Kentucky, on the one hand, and, on the other, points in Illinois, Indiana, Kentucky, Maryland, Massachusetts, New York, Ohio, Pennsylvania, and Tennessee.

HEARING: October 4, 1960, at the Kentucky Hotel, Louisville, Ky., before Examiner Jerry F. Laughlin.

No. MC 114377 (Sub No. 1), filed June 13, 1960. Applicant: G. EDWARD WIKEL, doing business as WIKEL MILK CARTAGE, Route 2, Huron, Ohio. Applicant's attorney: Richard H. Brandon, Hartman Building, Columbus 15, Ohio. Authority sought to operate as a *contract carrier*, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: *Brick and clay products*, from Milan, Ohio, to points in Indiana, the lower peninsula of Michigan and Pennsylvania, and *empty containers or other such incidental facilities*, used in transporting the above-described commodities, on return.

HEARING: October 14, 1960, at the Ohio Public Utilities Commission, Columbus, Ohio, before Examiner Robert A. Joyner.

No. MC 114378 (Sub No. 2), filed July 13, 1960. Applicant: JAMES J. GALLERY, INC., 73 Stanley Avenue, Watertown 72, Mass. Applicant's attorney: Kenneth B. Williams, 111 State Street, Boston 9, Mass. Authority sought to operate as a *contract carrier*, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: *Such merchandise as is dealt in by wholesale, retail, and chain grocery and food business houses, and, in connection therewith, equipment, materials, and supplies used in the conduct of such business*, (1) between points within the

territory bounded by a line beginning at Boston, Mass., and extending along the Atlantic Coast to Hampton Beach, N.H., thence west through Hampton to Manchester, N.H., thence in a southwesterly direction through New Boston and Peterboro to East Jaffrey, N.H., thence south through Winchendon, Mass., to East Templeton, Mass., thence in a southeasterly direction to East Princeton, Mass., thence south through Holden, Worcester, Auburn, North Oxford and Oxford to Webster, Mass., thence east through Douglas to Mansfield, Mass., thence in a southeasterly direction through Taunton to New Bedford, Mass., and thence along the Atlantic Coast to Boston, Mass., including points named and points on Cape Cod.; and (2) Between points in the above-specified territory, on the one hand, and, on the other, Springfield, Mass., Providence, R.I., and Portland, Maine.

NOTE: The purpose of this application is to remove the restriction in applicant's present permit to enable applicant to meet changing demands of shippers resulting from changed methods of distributing foods, particularly small lots of frozen foods.

HEARING: October 12, 1960, at the New Post Office and Court House Building, Boston, Mass., before Examiner Samuel Horwich.

No. MC 114569 (Sub No. 35), filed July 28, 1960. Applicant: SHAFFER TRUCKING, INC., Elizabethville, Pa. Authority sought to operate as a *common or contract carrier*, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: (1) *Wearing apparel and component parts thereof, and accessories therefor*, and (2) *material, supplies, machinery, and machinery parts*, when used in the manufacture, production, and sales of wearing apparel, between Ephrata, Pa., on the one hand, and, on the other, Tempe, Ariz.

NOTE: A proceeding has been instituted under section 212(c) in No. MC 55813 (Sub No. 5) to determine whether applicant's status is that of a common or contract carrier. Applicant also has contract carrier authority under MC 55813. Dual authority under section 210 may be involved.

HEARING: October 7, 1960, at the Penn Sherwood Hotel, 3900 Chestnut St., Philadelphia, Pa., before Examiner John L. York.

No. MC 114569 (Sub No. 36), filed July 29, 1960. Applicant: SHAFFER TRUCKING, INC., Elizabethville, Pa. Authority sought to operate as a *common or contract carrier*, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: *Canned goods, and vinegar* in containers, from Timberville and Winchester, Va., and Martinsburg, W. Va., to points in Tennessee on and west of U.S. Highway 27.

NOTE: A proceeding has been instituted under section 212(c) in No. MC 55813 (Sub No. 5) to determine whether applicant's status is that of a common or contract carrier. Applicant also has contract carrier authority under MC 55813. Dual authority under section 210 may be involved.

HEARING: October 6, 1960, at the City Council Chamber, City Hall, 501 Virginia Street, East, Charleston, W. Va., before Joint Board No. 249, or, if the Joint

Board waives its right to participate, before Examiner Robert A. Joyner.

No. MC 115915 (Sub No. 2), filed June 23, 1960. Applicant: FRED E. HAGEN, doing business as HAGEN TRUCK LINES, 4120 Floyd Avenue, Sioux City, Iowa. Applicant's attorney: Ervin A. Hutchison, 420 Security Building, Sioux City 1, Iowa. Authority sought to operate as a *contract carrier*, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: *Fresh meats and packing house products* enumerated under Items A, B and C in the APPENDIX to the Report in Ex Parte No. 3846 MCC 23, (1) from Omaha, Nebr., to points in Iowa and Illinois, bounded on the south by U.S. Highway 36 east to Intersection U.S. Highway 36 and U.S. Highway 45 then north on U.S. Highway 45 to the Illinois, Wisconsin State line and bounded on the north by the Wisconsin, Illinois state line to Intersection with the Mississippi River and bounded on the west by the Mississippi River back to point at beginning at intersection Mississippi River and Illinois or U.S. Highway 36 including all points on said described boundary lines and (2) between Omaha, Nebr., and Julesburg, Colo., and *rejected shipments, dairy products, eggs and dressed poultry*, on return, in connection with (1) and (2) above.

HEARING: October 12, 1960, at the Sheraton-Warrior Hotel, Sioux City, Iowa, before Examiner Charles B. Heineman.

No. MC 115915 (Sub No. 3), filed June 23, 1960. Applicant: FRED S. HAGEN, doing business as HAGEN TRUCK LINES, 4120 Floyd Avenue, Sioux City, Iowa. Applicant's attorney: Ervin A. Hutchison, 420 Security Bank Building, Sioux City 1, Iowa. Authority sought to operate as a *contract carrier*, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: *Meat products, and meat by-products, dairy products and articles distributed by meat packing houses*, as described in Sections A, B, and C of Appendix 1, to the report in *Descriptions in Motor Carrier Certificates*, 61 M.C.C. 209 and 766, from Omaha, Nebr., and Sioux City, Iowa, to (1) points in South Dakota, and North Dakota, and those points in Nebraska located on and north of U.S. Highway 20, and points in Iowa beginning at Sioux City, Iowa, and extending east on U.S. Highway 20 to intersection U.S. Highway 71, thence north on U.S. Highway 71 to intersection Iowa Highway 18, thence west on Iowa Highway 18 to the Iowa-South Dakota State line to Sioux City, Iowa, and return, serving points within said area, and said described boundary lines; (2) from Omaha, Nebr., to Sioux City, Iowa, as a gateway only, via Omaha, Nebr., to Council Bluffs, Iowa, and thence to all points described in (1) above, in Iowa, Nebraska, South Dakota, and North Dakota and *returned shipments of the above-described commodities*, on return.

HEARING: October 4, 1960, at the Rome Hotel, Omaha, Nebr., before Examiner Charles B. Heineman.

No. MC 115955 (Sub No. 6), filed August 9, 1960. Applicant: HARRY J. SCARI, doing business as SCARI'S DELIVERY SERVICE, 409 North Harrison

Street, Wilmington, Del. Applicant's attorney: Albert F. Beitel, American Security Building, Washington 5, D.C. Authority sought to operate as a *common carrier*, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: *General commodities*, except Classes A and B explosives, household goods as defined by the Commission, commodities in bulk, and those requiring special equipment, between the Philadelphia International Airport, the North Philadelphia Airport, near Philadelphia, Pa., and the New Castle County Airport, near New Castle, Del., on the one hand, and, on the other, Philadelphia, Pa., Newark, Del. and Elkton, Md.

NOTE: Applicant states the proposed operations shall be restricted to shipments moving on an air bill of lading and having an immediately prior or immediately subsequent movement by air. Applicant has pending application in MC 109907 (Sub No. 4) for contract carrier authority; therefore, dual operations under section 210 may be involved.

HEARING: October 6, 1960, at the offices of the Interstate Commerce Commission, Washington, D.C., before Examiner James H. Gaffney.

No. MC 117119 (Sub No. 8), filed July 15, 1960. Applicant: WILLIS SHAW FROZEN EXPRESS, INC., Elm Springs, Ark. Applicant's attorneys: A. Alvis Layne, Pennsylvania Building, Washington 4, D.C., and John H. Joyce, 26 North College, Fayetteville, Ark. Authority sought to operate as a *common carrier*, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: *Frozen foods*, and *frozen poultry*, from Fayetteville, Fort Smith, Dardanelle, Clarksville, Johnson, Berryville, Bentonville, Little Rock, Rodgers, Siloam Springs, and Springdale, Ark., and Carthage and Marionville, Mo., to points in Rhode Island, Delaware, Virginia, West Virginia, North Carolina, South Carolina, and the District of Columbia, and *empty containers or other such incidental facilities* (not specified) on return.

HEARING: September 16, 1960, at the Arkansas Commerce Commission, Justice Building, State Capitol, Little Rock, Ark., before Examiner Leo M. Pellerzi.

No. MC 117355 (Sub No. 3), filed July 5, 1960. Applicant: JESSE A. KRONINGER, INC., Mertztown R.D. No. 1, Pa. Applicant's attorney: John W. Dry, 541 Penn Street, Reading, Pa. Authority sought to operate as a *common carrier*, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: *Sand*, in bulk from points in Gloucester and Cumberland Counties, N.J., to points in Berks and Lehigh Counties, Pa.

HEARING: October 4, 1960, at the Penn Sherwood Hotel, 3900 Chestnut St., Philadelphia, Pa., before Examiner John L. York.

No. MC 117565 (Sub No. 1), filed June 8, 1960. Applicant: JOHN R. HAFNER, doing business as MOTOR SERVICE COMPANY, 235 South Fifth Street, Coshocton, Ohio. Applicant's attorney: Taylor C. Burneson, 3430 LeVeque-Lincoln Tower, Columbus 15, Ohio. Authority sought to operate as a *common carrier*, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: *Mobile homes*, other than those designed to be drawn

by passenger automobiles, in initial movements, in truckaway service, from Galion, Ohio, to points in Indiana, Michigan, Illinois, Wisconsin, Missouri, Iowa, Kentucky, New York, Pennsylvania, West Virginia, Virginia, New Jersey, Vermont, New Hampshire, Connecticut, Rhode Island, Delaware, Maine, and Maryland.

HEARING: October 14, 1960, at the Ohio Public Utilities Commission, Columbus, Ohio, before Examiner Robert A. Joyner.

No. MC 118959 (Sub No. 3), filed July 15, 1960. Applicant: JERRY LIPPS, INC., 130 South Frederick, Cape Girardeau, Mo. Authority sought to operate as a *common carrier*, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: *Playground equipment, lawn furniture, sand boxes and ping pong tables*, from Breese, Jacksonville and Springfield, Ill., and St. Louis, Mo., to points in Alabama, Arizona, Arkansas, California, Colorado, Florida, Georgia, Idaho, Illinois, Indiana, Iowa, Kansas, Kentucky, Louisiana, Michigan, Minnesota, Missouri, Mississippi, Montana, Nebraska, Nevada, New Mexico, North Carolina, North Dakota, Ohio, Oklahoma, Oregon, South Carolina, South Dakota, Tennessee, Texas, Utah, Virginia, Washington, West Virginia, Wisconsin, and Wyoming, and *exempt commodities* on return.

HEARING: November 1, 1960, at the U.S. Court House and Custom House, 1114 Market St., St. Louis, Mo., before Examiner John B. Mealy.

No. MC 119053 (Sub No. 1), filed June 7, 1960. Applicant: PETER BAUER, JR., Pollock, S. Dak. Authority sought to operate as a *contract carrier*, by motor vehicle, over regular routes, transporting: *Unprocessed cheese*, from Pollock, S. Dak. to New Ulm, Minn., as follows: From Pollock, S. Dak. over unnumbered highway to junction U.S. Highway 83, thence south over U.S. Highway 83 to junction U.S. Highway 12, thence east over U.S. Highway 12 to junction U.S. Highway 77, thence south over U.S. Highway 77 to junction U.S. Highway 212, thence east over U.S. Highway 212 to junction Minnesota Highway 15, thence south over Minnesota Highway 15 to New Ulm, Minn., and *empty containers or other such incidental facilities* (not specified) used in transporting the above-described commodity on return. Serving no intermediate or off-route points.

HEARING: November 4, 1960, at the South Dakota Public Utilities Commission, Pierre, S. Dak., before Joint Board No. 26, or, if the Joint Board waives its right to participate, before Examiner Armin G. Clement.

No. MC 119293 (Sub No. 2), filed May 6, 1960. Applicant: GEORGE A. HANSON, 2226 Irving Avenue North, Minneapolis, Minn. Applicant's representative: A. R. Fowler, 2238 University Avenue, St. Paul 14, Minn. Authority sought to operate as a *common carrier*, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: (1) *Uncrated, burial cases (caskets or coffins) and uncrated casket shells*, from Duluth, Minn., and the Minneapolis-St. Paul, Minn., Commercial Zone to points in Iowa, North

Dakota, South Dakota, and Wisconsin, and (2) *uncrated casket shells* from Elgin, Ill., to the Minneapolis-St. Paul, Commercial Zone, when transported in vehicles specially equipped with permanent racks and protected by padded cloth jackets and blankets, and *rejected or damaged uncrated burial cases (caskets or coffins) and uncrated casket shells* on return.

HEARING: October 24, 1960, in Room 926, Metropolitan Building, Second Avenue, South and Third, Minneapolis, Minn., before Examiner Armin G. Clement.

No. MC 119698, Filed April 18, 1960. Applicant: W. E. GENE KNIGHT, doing business as KNIGHT'S TRANSFER, P.O. Box 647, Lewisburg, W. Va. Applicant's attorney: J. A. Bibby, Jr., Suite 504, Security Building, Charleston, W. Va. Authority sought to operate as a *common carrier*, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: (1) *Beer*, from Louisville, Ky., Columbus, Cleveland, Cumberland, Ohio, and Baltimore, Md., to Greenbrier County, W. Va., and (2) *Fertilizer*, in bags, and in bulk, from Richmond, Va., to Greenbrier, Monroe and Nicholas Counties, W. Va., and *empty containers* or other such incidental facilities used in transporting the commodities as described in (1) and (2) above on return.

HEARING: October 4, 1960, at the City Council Chamber, City Hall, 501 Virginia Street, East, Charleston, W. Va., before Examiner Robert A. Joyner.

No. MC 119747 (CORRECTION), filed May 9, 1960, published FEDERAL REGISTER issue of July 13, 1960. Applicant: CHARLES J. SCOTT, Washington, Ga. Applicant's attorney: Walton Hardin, Washington, Ga. Authority sought to operate as a *contract carrier*, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: *Green lumber*, except plywood and veneer, from points in that part of Georgia on and east of U.S. Highway 441, and on and north of U.S. Highway 278 to points in that part of Florida on and north of Florida Highway 70, and points in Alabama and South Carolina and points in Macomb, Oakland, Wayne, and Monroe Counties, Mich., and *green lumber and green bulk* on return.

NOTE: The above transportation will be performed under a continuing contract or contracts with Jackson Mill and Lumber Company, Washington, Ga. The purpose of this republication is to show the proposed operations correctly as contract carrier authority sought, and to correct the spelling of Macomb County.

HEARING: Remains as assigned September 29, 1960, at 680 West Peachtree Street NW., Atlanta, Ga., before Examiner Donald R. Sutherland.

No. MC 119748, filed May 9, 1960. Applicant: HAROLD LEIDOLF, doing business as LEIDOLF TRUCKING, 2603 Shell Lane, New Albany, Ind. Applicant's attorney: Ollie L. Merchant, 712 Louisville Trust Building, Louisville 2, Ky. Authority sought to operate as a *contract carrier*, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: (1) *House component parts*, (2) *lumber and millwork*, and (3) *wood core and wood dimension stock*, in shipper-owned

trailers, from Louisville, Ky., to points in Illinois, Indiana, Iowa, Kentucky, Maryland, Michigan, Missouri, New York, Ohio, Pennsylvania, Texas, Virginia, West Virginia, Wisconsin and the District of Columbia, and (4) supplies used in the manufacture of the above-described commodities, in shipper-owned trailers, damaged, refused or rejected shipments thereof and empty shipper-owned trailers, on return.

HEARING: October 3, 1960, at the Kentucky Hotel, Louisville, Ky., before Examiner Jerry F. Laughlin.

No. MC 119793, filed May 16, 1960. Applicant: DEWEY WILFONG, FORREST G. WETZEL, RALPH ROY, BLAINE NESTOR, AND ERNEST NESTOR, A Partnership, doing business as WWRN COMPANY, 7 North Main Street, Philippi, W. Va. Applicant's attorney: Paul B. Ware, Philippi, W. Va. Authority sought to operate as a common carrier, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: Such commodities as are dealt in by wholesale and retail grocery stores, from points in Fayette County, Pa., to points in Barbour, Randolph and Tucker Counties, W. Va., and empty containers or other such incidental facilities (not specified) used in transporting the above-described commodities, on return.

NOTE: Applicant's attorney advises that the carrier proposes to transport canned, fresh and dried vegetables and fruits, boxed cooking materials, tobacco and tobacco products, spices, soaps and detergents, and cleaning powders, brooms, mops, scrub buckets, pails and other cleaning equipment, kitchen utensils and wares, cosmetics and medicines, canned and dried meats, and any and all other similar products which are usually sold in a grocery store.

HEARING: October 7, 1960, at the City Council Chamber, City Hall, 501 Virginia Street, East, Charleston, W. Va., before Examiner Robert A. Joyner.

No. MC 119797 (Sub No. 3), filed July 20, 1960. Applicant: MOBILE HOME BROKERS, INC., 1266 Hudson Road, St. Paul 6, Minn. Applicant's representative: A. R. Fowler, Tariff Publishing Agent, Associated Motor Carriers Tariff Bureau, 2288 University Avenue, St. Paul 14, Minn. Authority sought to operate as a common carrier, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: Pre-built homes and houses, in set-up sections, complete with electric wiring and fixtures, plumbing fixtures, cabinets and other equipment installed; stoves, refrigerators, and other miscellaneous furniture and furnishings packed inside the sections, from points in Johns County, Fla.; Sullivan County, Ind.; Saginaw County, Mich. and King County, Wash. to points in the United States, including Alaska, but excluding Hawaii.

HEARING: October 28, 1960, in Room 926, Metropolitan Building, Second Ave., South and Third, Minneapolis, Minn., before Examiner Armin G. Clement.

No. MC 119811, filed May 25, 1960. Applicant: MURRAY MOLTZ, 97 Boxwood Road, Yonkers, N.Y. Authority sought to operate as a contract carrier, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: Foam rubber and plastic foam, from New York, N.Y., to points in

Bergen, Essex, Hudson, Passaic, Middlesex, Morris and Union Counties, N.J., Kingston, Newburgh and Beacon, N.Y. and Danbury, Conn., and damaged, refused or returned shipments of foam rubber and plastic foam on return.

NOTE: Applicant states the proposed operations will be limited to service under a continuing contract or contracts with General Foam Corporation, New York, N.Y.

HEARING: October 5, 1960, at the Governor Clinton Hotel, 31st and Seventh Avenue, New York, N.Y., before Examiner Samuel Horwich.

No. MC 119828, filed May 31, 1960. Applicant: LEWIS E. FITCH, Philip, S. Dak. Authority sought to operate as a common carrier, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: (a) livestock feed, and poultry feed, (b) Salt, in blocks and in bags, and (c) livestock and grain, on return, from Sioux City, Iowa, and South Sioux City, Nebr., to points in that part of South Dakota beginning at Philip and extending south along U.S. Highway 16 to its junction with South Dakota Highway 40 and U.S. Highway 16, thence east along those combined highways to Kadoka, thence south along South Dakota Highway 73 to the banks of the White River, thence west along the banks of the White River to U.S. Highway 18, continuing west along U.S. Highway 18, to the Wyoming-South Dakota State Line, thence north along the State line to South Dakota Highway 24, thence along South Dakota Highway 24 to the banks of the Cheyenne River, thence along the banks of the Cheyenne River to the eastern boundary of the Stanley County line, thence south along such line to its junction with the eastern boundary of the Jones County line, continuing south along such County line to U.S. Highway 16, at or near Draper, S. Dak. thence west along U.S. Highway 16, to junction South Dakota Highway 40 and U.S. Highway 16, and thence north along U.S. Highway 16 to point of origin.

HEARING: November 4, 1960, at the South Dakota Public Utilities Commission, Pierre, S. Dak., before Joint Board No. 185, or, if the Joint Board waives its right to participate, before Examiner Armin G. Clement.

No. MC 119838, filed June 9, 1960. Applicant: JANEY WOLFE, Dothan, W. Va. Authority sought to operate as a contract carrier, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: Building materials, equipment and supplies, plumbing materials, equipment and supplies, and such commodities as are dealt in by wholesale and retail hardware stores, between points in Fayette County, W. Va., on the one hand, and, on the other, points in West Virginia, Virginia, Ohio, and Kentucky.

NOTE: Applicant states the transportation of the above-specified commodities will be performed for Lowes of Oak Hill, Inc.

HEARING: October 7, 1960, at the City Council Chamber, City Hall, 501 Virginia Street, East, Charleston, W. Va., before Examiner Robert A. Joyner.

No. MC 119859, filed June 16, 1960. Applicant: HAROLD L. WISE AND G. J. SCHLADWEILER, a partnership, doing business as LPG TRANSPORT SERVICE,

1500 West Sixth Street, Mitchell, S. Dak. Applicant's attorney: H. T. Fuller, Morgan & Fuller, Mitchell, S. Dak. Authority sought to operate as a common carrier, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: Anhydrous ammonia nitrogen fertilizer, from La Platte and Aurora, Nebr. to points in South Dakota.

HEARING: November 7, 1960, at the South Dakota Public Utilities Commission, Pierre, S. Dak., before Joint Board No. 184, or, if the Joint Board waives its right to participate before Examiner Armin G. Clement.

No. MC 119859 (Sub No. 1), filed June 27, 1960. Applicant HAROLD L. WISE AND G. J. SCHLADWEILER, doing business as LPG TRANSPORT SERVICE, 1500 West Sixth, Mitchell, S. Dak. Applicant's attorney: Harry T. Fuller, Mitchell, S. Dak. Authority sought to operate as a common carrier, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: Liquefied petroleum gas, (1) from points in Sioux and O'Brien Counties, Iowa to points in South Dakota on and east of U.S. Highway 83; and (2) from Sheldon, Iowa, on U.S. Highway 18 to points in South Dakota, including Canton.

HEARING: November 3, 1960, at the South Dakota Public Utilities Commission, Pierre, S. Dak., before Joint Board No. 148, or, if the Joint Board waives its right to participate, before Examiner Armin G. Clement.

No. MC 119877, filed June 24, 1960. Applicant: MISSOURI VALLEY TRANSPORTATION CO., INC., 202 Francis Building, Sioux City, Iowa. Authority sought to operate as a contract carrier, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: (1) meats, meat products and meat by-products as described in Appendix I(A) Descriptions in Motor Carrier Certificates, 61 MCC 209, as amended, and (2) refused, rejected and exempt commodities, between Sioux City, Iowa and points in the United States, including Washington, D.C., Alaska, and ports of entry located on the International boundary line between the United States and Canada and the United States and Mexico, under a continuing contract or contracts with Sioux City Dressed Beef Co., Division of Needham Packing Co., Inc., and Sioux City Dressed Pork, Inc., both of Sioux City, Iowa.

HEARING: October 10, 1960, at the Sheraton-Warrior Hotel, Sioux City, Iowa, before Examiner Charles B. Heineman.

No. MC 119891, filed June 30, 1960. Applicant: STEVE WILLIAMS and ORVAL NEWBY, Newby's Trailer Court, Fort Pierre, S. Dak. Applicant's attorney: Ramon A. Roubideaux, Fort Pierre, S. Dak. Authority sought to operate as a common carrier, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: Used Mobile Homes, between points in Brule, Buffalo, Hyde, Hughes, Lyman, Potter, and Stanley Counties, S. Dak., on the one hand, and, on the other, all points in the United States, including Alaska, but excluding Hawaii.

HEARING: November 9, 1960, at the South Dakota Public Utilities Commission, Pierre, S. Dak., before Examiner Armin G. Clement.

No. MC 119916, filed July 13, 1960. Applicant: ASSOCIATED MILK HAULERS CO., INC., Hillsdale, N.Y. Applicant's representative: Bert Collins, 140 Cedar Street, New York 6, N.Y. Authority sought to operate as a *contract carrier*, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: (1) *Dairy products and ice cream mix*, in bulk, in tank vehicles, from points in Dutchess, Columbia, Rensselaer, Washington, Montgomery, Schenectady, Albany, and Otsego Counties, N.Y., to points in Connecticut, Massachusetts, and Rhode Island; and (2) *Liquid sugar*, in bulk, in tank vehicles, from Boston, Mass., to points in Connecticut, Rhode Island, and New York.

HEARING: October 10, 1960, at 346 Broadway, New York, N.Y., before Examiner John L. York.

No. MC 119937 (Sub No. 1), filed August 8, 1960. Applicant: B & L PERISHABLE SERVICE, INC., 540 South 10th Street, Kansas City, Kans. Authority sought to operate as a *contract carrier*, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: *Frozen foods, juices and concentrates*, between points in the Kansas City, Mo.-Kans. Commercial Zone, as defined by the Commission, on the one hand, and, on the other, points in Kansas, Missouri, Iowa, Nebraska and Oklahoma.

HEARING: October 5, 1960, at the Offices of the Interstate Commerce Commission, Washington, D.C., before Examiner Abraham J. Essrick.

No. MC 119965, filed August 2, 1960. Applicant: HARRY E. MERRELL, doing business as MERRELL'S WRECKER SERVICE, 1113 Putnam Howe Drive, Belpre, Ohio. Authority sought to operate as a *common carrier*, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: *Wrecked, disabled, repossessed, or stolen vehicles*, between Belpre, Ohio, and points in Wood County, W. Va.

HEARING: October 5, 1960, at the City Council Chamber, City Hall, 501 Virginia Street, East, Charleston, W. Va., before Joint Board No. 61, or, if the Joint Board waives its right to participate, before Examiner Robert A. Joyner.

No. MC 119989, filed August 12, 1960. Applicant: OWEN V. HALL, doing business as OWEN HALL TRUCK LINE, 2210 Coggin Avenue, Brownwood, Tex. Applicant's attorney: T. S. Christopher, Continental Life Building, Fort Worth 2, Tex. Authority sought to operate as a *common carrier*, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: *Portable concrete batching machines, together with component parts and related equipment*, when moving in conjunction with said machines, in a towaway service, from Brownwood, Tex., to all points in the United States, except Hawaii, and *damaged or rejected shipments* of the above-specified commodities, on return.

HEARING: September 28, 1960, at the Hotel Texas, Fort Worth, Tex., before Examiner Leo M. Pellerzi.

MOTOR CARRIERS OF PASSENGERS

No. MC 119556 (Sub No. 1), filed July 22, 1960. Applicant: ROUND HILL LIMOUSINE SERVICE, INC., 93 Arch

Street, P.O. Box 574, Greenwich, Conn. Authority sought to operate as a *common carrier*, by motor vehicle, over regular routes, transporting: *Passengers, baggage, and cargo*, having an immediate or subsequent movement by air, between Danbury, Conn., and New York Idlewild International Airport as follows: Originating at Graham Wagensell Travel Service, 8 Liberty Street, Danbury, Conn., via Danbury City Streets to U.S. Highway 6, thence over U.S. Highway 6 to its intersection with New York Highway 22; thence over New York Highway 22 to its intersection with New York Highway 35, thence over New York Highway 35 to its intersection with New York Highway 117; thence over New York Highway 117, to Mt. Kisco, N.Y., and over Mt. Kisco City Streets to New York Highway 128; thence over New York Highway 128 to its intersection with New York State Route 22; thence via New York State Route 22 to White Plains, and over White Plains City Streets to the Roger Smith Hotel in White Plains, and over White Plains City Streets to Mamaroneck Avenue; thence Mamaroneck Avenue to the New England Thruway; thence via the New England Thruway, Whitestone Bridge, Throgsneck Bridge, Triborough Bridge, New York City Streets, Avenues, expressways, and boulevards, to LaGuardia Airport, and to the New York International Airport (Idlewild), and return over the same routes, serving the intermediate points of New Haven, Conn., Mt. Kisco, White Plains and LaGuardia Airport, N.Y.

HEARING: October 10, 1960, at the U.S. Court Rooms, Hartford, Conn., before Examiner Samuel Horwich.

No. MC 119855, filed June 15, 1960. Applicant: RAYMOND G. PERRY, doing business as QUEBEC TRAILWAYS REG'D, 4855 Cote St. Luc Road, Montreal, Quebec, Canada. Applicant's attorneys: LaFleur & Gagnon, Edifice Aldred Building, 507, Place D'Armes, Montreal, Quebec, Canada. Authority sought to operate as a *common carrier*, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: *Passengers and their baggage, express, mail and newspapers*, in the same vehicle with passengers, between the International Boundary line between the United States and Canada at or near Champlain, N.Y. to New York, N.Y.: From Champlain, N.Y., over U.S. Highway 9 (also over the new Northway Highway) to Albany, thence over the New York State Thruway to the Suffern Exit No. 15, to the New York-New Jersey State line, thence over New Jersey Highway 17 to junction New Jersey Highway 3, thence easterly over New Jersey Highway 3 to the Lincoln Tunnel, thence through the Lincoln Tunnel to New York, N.Y., and return over the same route, serving all intermediate points restricted to passengers travelling in foreign commerce.

HEARING: October 13, 1960, at 346 Broadway, New York, N.Y., before Joint Board No. 3, or, if the Joint Board waives its right to participate, before Examiner John L. York.

APPLICATIONS FOR BROKERAGE LICENSES

MOTOR CARRIERS OF PASSENGERS

No. MC 12650 (Sub No. 1), filed March 2, 1960. Applicant: OLYMPIC SKI TOURS, INC., 1116 Cortelyou Road, Brooklyn 18, N.Y. Applicant's representative: Charles H. Trayford, 155 East 40th Street, New York 16, N.Y. For a license (BMC 5) to engage in operations as a *broker* at Brooklyn, N.Y., Nassau, Suffolk, and Westchester Counties, N.Y., in arranging for the transportation by motor vehicle in interstate or foreign commerce of *Passengers and their baggage*, in round-trip all expense ski tours, beginning and ending at New York, N.Y., points in Nassau, Suffolk, and Westchester Counties, N.Y., and extending to points in Maine, New Hampshire, Vermont, Massachusetts, and Connecticut.

HEARING: October 6, 1960, at the Governor Clinton Hotel, 31st and Seventh Avenue, New York, N.Y., before Examiner Samuel Horwich.

No. MC 12735, filed June 13, 1960. Applicant: EMILIO DE TURRIS, doing business as EMILIO'S SKI WAYS, 112-32 Queens Boulevard, Forest Hills 75, New York, N.Y. Applicant's attorney: Arnold S. Greene, 32 Broadway, New York 7, N.Y. Authority sought to operate as a *Broker (BMC 5)*, at New York, N.Y., in arranging for transportation in interstate or foreign commerce by motor vehicle, of: *Passengers and their baggage*, in round-trip, all-expense package tours, for ski and other sports activities groups, beginning and ending at points in New York, N.Y., and extending to points in New York, Massachusetts, Vermont and New Hampshire.

HEARING: October 6, 1960, at the Governor Clinton Hotel, 31st and Seventh Avenue, New York, N.Y., before Examiner Samuel Horwich.

APPLICATIONS IN WHICH HANDLING WITHOUT ORAL HEARING IS REQUESTED

MOTOR CARRIERS OF PROPERTY

No. MC 46054 (Sub No. 75), filed August 15, 1960. Applicant: BROWN EXPRESS, INC., 434 South Main Avenue, San Antonio, Tex. Applicant's attorney: Mert Starnes, 401 Perry Brooks Building, Austin 1, Tex. Authority sought to operate as a *common carrier*, by motor vehicle, over regular and irregular routes, transporting: *General commodities*, except those of unusual value, household goods as defined by the Commission, commodities in bulk and commodities requiring special equipment, serving the site of the Nike Launching Base located approximately five miles west of Austin, Tex., and the site of the Nike Launching Base located approximately twelve miles southeast of Austin, Tex., as off-route points in connection with applicant's authorized regular routes contained in Certificate No. MC 46054.

No. MC 107403 (Sub No. 314), filed August 15, 1960. Applicant: E. BROOKE MATLACK, INC., 33rd and Arch Streets, Philadelphia 4, Pa. Applicant's attorneys: Shertz, Barnes and Shertz, Suite 601, 226 South 16th Street, Philadelphia 2, Pa. Authority sought to operate as a

common carrier, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: *Chemicals, in bulk, in tank vehicles; from Lima, Ohio, to Toledo and Cleveland, Ohio, and Kankakee, Ill.*

NOTE: Applicant presently holds contract authority in MC-117637, therefore, dual operations may be involved.

No. MC 119212 (Sub-No. 1), filed August 2, 1960. Applicant: MICHAEL BOTEK, 350 Line Street, Minersville, Schuylkill County, Pa. Applicant's attorney: Isadore E. Krasno, 20 South Center Street, Pottsville, Pa. Authority sought to operate as a *contract carrier*, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: *Coal, from points in Schuylkill County, Pa., to Brooklyn, N.Y., under a continuing contract with Julius Packman, Brooklyn, N.Y.*

No. MC 119986, filed August 11, 1960. Applicant: LESLIE KUJALA, 401 Beech Street, Kingsford, Mich. Applicant's attorney: Howard Vielmetti, Norway, Mich. Authority sought to operate as a *contract carrier*, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: *Canned wax and green beans, peas, potatoes, red kidney beans, sauerkraut, fish, and pet food, from canneries in Norway and Gladstone, Mich., to Bay City, Flint, Saginaw, Detroit, Sault Ste. Marie, Grand Rapids and Lansing, Mich.; Green Bay, Appleton, Milwaukee, Wisconsin Rapids and Madison, Wis.; Chicago, Ill.; Gary, South Bend, and Muncie, Ind.; Minneapolis, St. Paul, Thief River Falls, Duluth, Little Falls and New Ulm, Minn., and empty containers (new cans) used in transporting the above-specified commodities, from Milwaukee, Wis., to canneries in Gladstone and Norway, Mich.*

APPLICATIONS FOR CERTIFICATES OR PERMITS WHICH ARE TO BE PROCESSED CONCURRENTLY WITH APPLICATIONS UNDER SECTION 5 GOVERNED BY SPECIAL RULE 1.240 TO THE EXTENT APPLICABLE

No. MC 99494 (Sub No. 1), filed August 15, 1960. Applicant: CHESTER H. FLIESBACH AND CLARK N. WILIAMS, a Partnership, doing business as OREGON TRAIL CARTAGE CO., P.O. Box 553, 717 Mill Drive, Scottsbluff, Nebr. Applicant's attorney: Russell E. Lovell, 2112 Broadway, P.O. Box 419, Scottsbluff, Nebr. Authority sought to operate as a *common carrier*, by motor vehicle, over regular routes, transporting: *General commodities, except those requiring special equipment other than refrigeration, (1) from Bridgeport, Nebr. to Scottsbluff, Nebr., from Bridgeport over Nebraska Highway 86 to Scottsbluff, and return over the same route, serving the intermediate and off-route points of Minatare, Bayard and Northport. (2) From Bridgeport, Nebr. to Lewellen, Nebr., from Bridgeport over U.S. Highway 26 to Lewellen, and return over the same route, serving the intermediate points of Broadwater, Lisco and Oshkosh.*

NOTE: This application is directly related to MC-F 7586. Applicant conducts operations under the second proviso of section 206(a) (1). Applicant states they are the sole stockowners and control West Nebraska Express, Inc., MC 85485 and Subs thereunder. Common control may be involved.

APPLICATIONS UNDER SECTIONS 5 AND 210a(b)

The following applications are governed by the Interstate Commerce Commission's special rules governing notice of filing of applications by motor carrier of property or passengers under sections 5(a) and 210a(b) of the Interstate Commerce Act and certain other proceedings with respect thereto (49 CFR 1.240).

MOTOR CARRIERS OF PROPERTY

No. MC-F 7594 (LAS VEGAS-TONOPAH-RENO STAGE LINE, INC.—PURCHASE—THERON W. DRANEY) published in the July 27, 1960, issue of the FEDERAL REGISTER on page 7119. Supplement filed August 15, 1960, to show joinder of SEBASTIAN F. MIKULICH, MARY MIKULICH, FRANK S. MIKULICH, SEBASTIAN S. MIKULICH, AMELIA MIKULICH SMITH, ALICE MIKULICH GARTH and ANDREW J. MIKULICH, all of 917 Stewart Street, Las Vegas, Nev., as the persons controlling vendee.

No. MC-F 7625. Authority sought for control by McMAKEN TRANSPORTATION COMPANY, 933 North 24th Street, Omaha, Nebr., of INDEPENDENT TRUCKERS, INC., 4684 Leavenworth Street, Omaha, Nebr., and for acquisition by FRED McMAKEN, also of Omaha, of control of INDEPENDENT TRUCKERS, INC., through the acquisition by McMAKEN TRANSPORTATION COMPANY. Applicant's attorney: Einar Viren, 904 City National Bank Building, Omaha 2, Nebr. Operating rights sought to be controlled: *General commodities, excepting, among others, household goods and liquid commodities in bulk, as a common carrier over regular routes, between Chicago, Ill., and Pueblo, Colo., serving certain intermediate and off-route points; general commodities, excepting, among others, household goods and commodities in bulk, between Lincoln, Nebr., and Fremont, Nebr., serving all intermediate points. McMAKEN TRANSPORTATION COMPANY is authorized to operate as a common carrier in Nebraska, Iowa, Kansas and Oklahoma. Application has been filed for temporary authority under section 210a(b).*

No. MC-F 7626. Authority sought for purchase by J. H. ROSE TRUCK LINE, INC., 3804 Jensen Drive, P.O. Box 16037, Houston 22, Tex., of a portion of the operating rights of STANTON TRANSPORTATION CO., 300 Yampa Street, Craig, Colo., and for acquisition by J. H. ROSE, JR., also of Houston, of control of such rights through the purchase. Applicants' attorneys: Charles D. Mathews, P.O. Box 858, Austin 65, Tex., Thomas E. James, 1016 Esperson Building, Houston, Tex., Marion F. Jones, 526 Denham Building, Denver 2, Colo., and Thomas F. Kilroy, 1000 Connecticut Avenue, N.W., Suite 610, Washington 6, D.C. Operating rights sought to be transferred: *Oilfield commodities, as a common carrier over irregular routes, between points in Washington and Oregon, on the one hand, and, on the other, points in Montana, Nebraska, North Dakota, New Mexico, South Dakota, Colo-*

rado, Wyoming, Utah, and Nevada. Vendee is authorized to operate as a *common carrier* in Arkansas, California, Kansas, Louisiana, New Mexico, Oklahoma, Texas, Arizona, Colorado, Utah, Wyoming, Montana, Idaho, North Dakota, South Dakota, Nebraska and Nevada. Application has been filed for temporary authority under section 210a(b).

No. MC-F 7627. Authority sought for control and merger by DOHRN TRANSFER COMPANY, Robinson Building, Rock Island, Ill., of the operating rights and property of CHICAGO-DUBUQUE MOTOR TRANSPORTATION COMPANY, 51-59 Main Street, Dubuque, Iowa, and for acquisition by CHARLES H. DOHRN and ARTHUR H. LORENZEN, both of Rock Island, of control of such rights and property through the transaction. Applicants' attorneys: Axelrod, Goodman & Steiner, 39 South LaSalle Street, Chicago 3, Ill., and Francis J. O'Connor, 555 Fischer Building, Dubuque, Iowa. Operating rights sought to be controlled and merged: *General commodities, excepting, among others, household goods and commodities in bulk, as a common carrier over regular routes, between Dubuque, Iowa, and Chicago, Ill., between La Crescent, Minn., and Dubuque, Iowa, between Readstown, Wis., and Lancaster, Wis., between Minneapolis, Minn., and Hastings, Minn., between St. Paul, Minn., and Hastings, Minn., between Newport, Minn., and Hastings, Minn., between Minneapolis, Minn., and La Crosse, Wis., between Fennimore, Wis., and Dubuque, Iowa, between junction U.S. Highway 61 and Wisconsin Highway 35 (approximately five miles northeast of Dubuque, Iowa) and junction Wisconsin Highway 35 and Wisconsin Highway 11, between Prairie du Chien, Wis., and Darlington, Wis., between Highland, Wis., and junction Wisconsin Highways 80 and 81, approximately four miles north of Cuba City, between Hollandale, Wis., and Belmont, Wis., between Prairie du Chien, Wis., and Lancaster, Wis., between Patch Grove, Wis., and Dubuque, Iowa, between Patch Grove, Wis., and East Dubuque, Ill., between Darlington, Wis., and Jordan, Wis., between Argyle, Wis., and Hollandale, Wis., and between Belmont, Wis., and Dubuque, Iowa, serving certain intermediate and off-route points; several alternate routes for operating convenience only; *general commodities, excepting, among others, commodities in bulk but not excepting household goods, between Dubuque, Iowa, and junction Wisconsin Highways 11 and 35, and between Platteville, Wis., and Dubuque, Iowa, serving certain intermediate points; general commodities, between Lancaster, Wis., and Prairie du Chien, Wis., and between Potosi, Wis., and junction Wisconsin Highway 35 and unnumbered highway south of Bloomington, serving certain intermediate points; general commodities, except Class A and B explosives, household goods as defined by the Commission, commodities in bulk, and those requiring special equipment, over irregular routes, between Minneapolis, St. Paul, South St. Paul, and Newport, Minn. DOHRN TRANSFER COMPANY is authorized to operate as a**

common carrier in Illinois, Iowa, Missouri, Michigan, Indiana, Ohio, Kentucky and Wisconsin. Application has not been filed for temporary authority under section 210a(b).

No. MC-F 7628. Authority sought for purchase by SUPERIOR TRUCKING COMPANY, INC., 520 Bedford Place, NE., Atlanta 8, Ga., of a portion of the operating rights of TURNER TRANSFER, INC., 3201 High Point Road, P.O. Box 3426, Greensboro, N.C., and for acquisition by R. Q. BLACK, also of Atlanta, of control of such rights through the purchase. Applicants' attorney: Reuben G. Crimm, 805 Peachtree Street Building, Atlanta 8, Ga. Operating rights sought to be transferred: *Commodities requiring special equipment and handling by reason of size or weight, and machinery, as a common carrier over irregular routes, between points in North Carolina, on the one hand, and, on the other, points in Georgia, South Carolina, Tennessee, Virginia, Maryland, Pennsylvania, New Jersey, New York, Massachusetts and Rhode Island; empty machinery cases (wooden boxes), from points in North Carolina to Reading, Pa.; empty containers, skids, and rejected or damaged shipments, from and to the above-specified points in either direction; knitting machinery, the transportation of which requires the use of special equipment, between points in Alabama, Arkansas, California, Connecticut, Delaware, Florida, Georgia, Illinois, Indiana, Iowa, Kentucky, Louisiana, Maryland, Massachusetts, Michigan, Minnesota, Mississippi, Missouri, New Hampshire, New Jersey, New York, North Carolina, Ohio, Pennsylvania, Rhode Island, South Carolina, Tennessee, Texas, Virginia, West Virginia, Wisconsin and the District of Columbia.* Vendee is authorized to operate as a *common carrier* in South Carolina, Tennessee, Georgia, Alabama, Florida, Louisiana, Mississippi, North Carolina, Arkansas, Texas, Virginia and Kentucky.

Application has been filed for temporary authority under section 210a(b).

No. MC-F 7629. Authority sought for control and merger by COMMERCIAL MOTOR FREIGHT, INC., 525 Cleveland Avenue, Columbus, Ohio, of the operating rights and property of FLETCHER FREIGHT LINES, INC., 520 Ogontz Street, Sandusky, Ohio, and for acquisition by E. DeMARIA, also of Columbus, of control of such rights and property through the transaction. Applicants' representative: R. L. Ratchford, Secretary and General Counsel, Commercial Motor Freight, Inc., 525 Cleveland Avenue, Columbus 3, Ohio. Operating rights sought to be controlled and merged: *General commodities, excepting, among others, household goods and commodities in bulk, as a common carrier over regular routes, between Cleveland, Ohio, and Port Clinton, Ohio, between Oak Harbor, Ohio, and Marblehead, Ohio, between Camp Perry, Ohio, and junction Ohio Highway 358 and Ohio Highway 163, and between Sandusky, Ohio, and the Plum Brook Ordnance Works, near Bogart, Ohio, serving certain intermediate points and the off-route point of Lakeside, Ohio.* COMMERCIAL MOTOR FREIGHT, INC., is authorized to operate as a *common carrier* in Ohio, Indiana, West Virginia, Kentucky and Pennsylvania. Application has been filed for temporary authority under section 210a(b).

No. MC-F 7630. Authority sought for purchase by NORWALK TRUCK LINES, INC., 180 Milan Road, Norwalk, Ohio, of the operating rights and certain property of ROYAL TRANSIT, INC., 735 South 39th Street, Milwaukee 15, Wis. Applicants' attorneys: Linwood C. Major, Jr., 2001 Massachusetts Avenue NW., Washington, D.C., and Eugene L. Cohn, 1 North LaSalle Street, Chicago 2, Ill. Operating rights sought to be transferred: *General commodities, excepting, among others, household goods and commodities in bulk, as a common carrier over regular routes including routes be-*

tween Milwaukee, Wis., and Chicago, Ill., between Kenosha, Wis., and Chicago, Ill., between Sylvania, Wis., and Racine, Wis., between Rosecrans, Ill., and Zion, Ill., between Milwaukee, Wis., and Dixon, Ill., between Burlington, Wis., and Chicago, Ill., between Menomonee Falls, Wis., and Milwaukee, Wis., and between Chicago, Ill., and Freeport, Ill., serving certain intermediate and off-route points; several alternate routes for operating convenience only; general commodities, excepting, among others, household goods but not excepting commodities in bulk, between Chicago, Ill., and Kewaskum, Wis., serving all intermediate and certain off-route points; sauerkraut and pickles, over regular and irregular routes, from Union Grove, Wis., to Chicago, Ill., serving no intermediate points; empty containers for the above-specified commodities, from Chicago, Ill., to Union Grove, Wis., serving no intermediate points; groceries, between Chicago, Ill., and points within 15 miles of Burlington, Wis., except Wheatland, Honey Creek, Lake Beulah, Silver Lake, Camp Lake and Trevor, Wis., serving no intermediate points; machinery, machine parts, contractor equipment and supplies, and commodities requiring specialized handling or rigging because of weight or bulk, between points in Sheboygan, Ozaukee, Milwaukee, Racine, Kenosha, Washington, and Waukesha Counties, Wis., on the one hand, and, on the other, points in Illinois and Indiana. Vendee is authorized to operate as a *common carrier* in Ohio, Illinois, Indiana, Michigan, Pennsylvania, New York and West Virginia. Application has been filed for temporary authority under section 210a(b).

By the Commission.

[SEAL]

HAROLD D. MCCOY,
Secretary.

[F.R. Doc. 60-7888; Filed, Aug. 23, 1960;
8:47 a.m.]

CUMULATIVE CODIFICATION GUIDE—AUGUST

The following numerical guide is a list of the parts of each title of the Code of Federal Regulations affected by documents published to date during August.

3 CFR	Page
PROCLAMATIONS:	
3361	7351
3362	7351
3363	7519
EXECUTIVE ORDERS:	
Aug. 30, 1911	7677
Apr. 19, 1912	7677
Apr. 29, 1912	7677
Aug. 22, 1919	7677
3596	7383
7743	7678
10560	8019
10655	7710
10796	7710
10883	7710
10884	8019
PRESIDENTIAL DOCUMENTS OTHER THAN PROCLAMATIONS AND EXECUTIVE ORDERS:	
Memo., Aug. 11, 1960	7710
5 CFR	
2	8050
6	7619
29	7307, 7712
301	8051
325	8097
6 CFR	
331	7352
421	7479, 7781, 7973
464	7485
481	7713
485	7520
7 CFR	
7	7619
28	7352
51	7273, 7307, 7423, 7877
52	7308, 7425
102	7781
210	7275
301	7237
718	7237
728	7237
729	7355, 8020
811	8065
813	7355
814	8066
815	8067
818	7671
831	7356
850	7521
876	7357, 8067
922	7974
933	7427, 7522, 7711, 8049
934	7671
938	7238, 7428, 7711, 8067
940	7238
951	7428
953	7781
969	7239, 7429, 7486, 7711, 7782, 7976, 8049, 8068, 8097
992	7522, 7712, 8050
1003	7523
1014	7672
1020	7275
1022	7308, 8097
1029	7309
1030	7239, 7429
1104	8050
1106	8020

7 CFR—Continued	Page
PROPOSED RULES:	
51	7436
54	7374
362	7286
722	7760, 7761
903	7246, 7697
904	7819
905	7391
909	7760
939	8110
943	7539
957	7403
958	7760
963	7998
966	7284
969	7539
977	7887
987	7391
990	7819
996	7819
998	7331
999	7819
1001	7653
1014	7391
1016	7259
1017	7539
1018	7260
1019	7819
1020	7403
1031	8077
1032	7436
8 CFR	
211	8051
214	7782
282	7782
299	7782
511	7240
9 CFR	
72	7309
78	7240
PROPOSED RULES:	
145	7539
146	7539
147	7539
10 CFR	
30	7875
80	7619
PROPOSED RULES:	
20	7803
70	7890
12 CFR	
204	7713
210	7782
217	7620
220	7312
221	7313
222	7485
523	7714
545	7714
13 CFR	
107	7276, 8068
14 CFR	
4b	7486
20	7714
42	7486
223	8070
295	7673

14 CFR—Continued	Page
501	7715
502	7718
503	7719
504	7720
505	7721
507	7241, 7313, 7429, 7430, 7783, 8026, 8027, 8071, 8098
514	7487
600	7488, 7489, 7673, 7721, 7977, 8051, 8071, 8073, 8098
601	7242, 7489, 7491, 7520, 7620, 7673, 7721, 7783, 7977, 8052, 8071-8073, 8098, 8099
602	7783, 8072
608	7242, 8098
609	7278, 7492, 7723, 8027
610	7431
PROPOSED RULES:	
40	7763
41	7763
42	7763
47	7452
221	7762
294	7261
507	7333, 7464
600	7344, 7464-7466, 7653, 7698, 8002, 8034
601	7261, 7334, 7465-7468, 7653-7655, 7698-7700, 8002, 8034, 8055, 8056
602	7261, 7800, 8034, 8110
608	7468
15 CFR	
382	7357, 7621
16 CFR	
13	7434, 7876, 7877, 7977, 7978, 8031
PROPOSED RULES:	
51	8110
17 CFR	
230	8025
270	8025
274	7368
PROPOSED RULES:	
230	8034
18 CFR	
PROPOSED RULES:	
104	7565, 7601
204	7917, 7954
19 CFR	
1	8101
5	8073
8	7313
10	7674
13	7674
24	7674, 8073
PROPOSED RULES:	
24	7680
20 CFR	
345	7486
404	8100
PROPOSED RULES:	
602	7287, 7800, 8034
604	7287, 8034
21 CFR	
3	8073
120	7314, 7369, 7435, 7677, 8101
121	7314, 7316, 7677, 7729, 7784

21 CFR—Continued	Page
131.....	8074
141c.....	7877
146.....	7878
146c.....	7877
304.....	7369
PROPOSED RULES:	
9.....	7505
120.....	7803, 7890
121.....	7332, 7374, 7452, 7655, 7698
146a.....	7890
22 CFR	
42.....	7521
24 CFR	
221.....	7486
243.....	8053
25 CFR	
89.....	7784
161.....	7979
243.....	7620
26 (1939) CFR	
39.....	7732
26 (1954) CFR	
1.....	7733
48.....	7883
211.....	7738
212.....	7738
301.....	7738
510.....	7785
PROPOSED RULES:	
1.....	7498, 7538, 7743, 7983
29 CFR	
608.....	7543
609.....	7791
610.....	8053
612.....	8032
PROPOSED RULES:	
4.....	7503, 7504, 7697, 7889
672.....	7653
673.....	7653
675.....	7653
677.....	7653
30 CFR	
301.....	8033
31 CFR	
338.....	7674
32 CFR	
56.....	8102
67.....	7523
83.....	7242
716.....	7792
765.....	7677
890.....	8103
1030.....	7622
1051.....	7627
1052.....	7627
1053.....	7627
1054.....	7628
1055.....	7642

32 CFR—Continued	Page
1057.....	7642
1058.....	7648
1059.....	7648
1464.....	8106
1701.....	7277
33 CFR	
203.....	7316, 7739
204.....	7531, 8053
205.....	7792
35 CFR	
4.....	7316
36 CFR	
7.....	7317
38 CFR	
3.....	7792
6.....	7369
8.....	7369
39 CFR	
13.....	7244
15.....	7244, 8074
22.....	7244
112.....	7532
113.....	7793
131.....	7793
135.....	7793
168.....	7532
PROPOSED RULES:	
13.....	7799
31.....	7799
47.....	7799
48.....	7799
41 CFR	
1-2.....	8075, 8106
1-10.....	8075
1-16.....	8076
3-75.....	7739
PROPOSED RULES:	
50-202.....	7801
42 CFR	
73.....	7317
305.....	7739
400.....	8054
401.....	8054
43 CFR	
115.....	7649
415.....	7534
PROPOSED RULES:	
103.....	7680
PUBLIC LAND ORDERS:	
701.....	7878
713.....	8054
735.....	8054
752.....	8054
759.....	8036
884.....	7878
1187.....	7793
1219.....	7793
1252.....	8036

43 CFR—Continued	Page
PUBLIC LAND ORDERS—Continued	
1449.....	8036
1451.....	8036
1799.....	8108
1807.....	7878
2103.....	7345
2169.....	7495
2170.....	7497
2171.....	7533
2172.....	7533
2173.....	7677
2174.....	7678
2175.....	7678
2176.....	7678
2177.....	7793
2178.....	7878
2179.....	7979
2180.....	7980
2181.....	8054
2182.....	8108
45 CFR	
13.....	7317
500.....	7794
531.....	7794
46 CFR	
43.....	7244
70.....	7891
157.....	7982
167.....	7982
175.....	7982
284.....	7536, 7740
PROPOSED RULES:	
201-360.....	7260
47 CFR	
1.....	7370, 7740
4.....	7317, 8076
11.....	7372
61.....	7370
62.....	7370
63.....	7370
64.....	7740
PROPOSED RULES:	
2.....	7403
3.....	7405, 7406, 7505
10.....	7406
49 CFR	
7.....	7283
405.....	7283
PROPOSED RULES:	
10.....	7407
50 CFR	
6.....	7794
8.....	7741
130.....	7797
182.....	7649
351.....	7879
PROPOSED RULES:	
1-351.....	7681
8.....	7697, 7759
166.....	7538

6
0
0
3
5
6
3
3
7
4
11
97
49
79
81
59
38



