

THE NATIONAL ARCHIVES
LITTERA SCRIPTA MANET
1934
OF THE UNITED STATES

FEDERAL REGISTER

VOLUME 19 NUMBER 206

Washington, Friday, October 22, 1954

TITLE 3—THE PRESIDENT PROCLAMATION 3074

DETERMINING THE DRUGS 3-METHOXY-N-METHYLMORPHINAN (EXCEPT ITS DEXTROROTATORY ISOMER) AND KETOBEMIDONE TO BE OPIATES

BY THE PRESIDENT OF THE UNITED STATES OF AMERICA
A PROCLAMATION

WHEREAS section 3228 (f) of the Internal Revenue Code provides in part as follows:

OPIATE.—The word "opiate" as used in this part and subchapter A of chapter 23 shall mean any drug (as defined in the Federal Food, Drug and Cosmetic Act) found by the Secretary of the Treasury, after due notice and opportunity for public hearing, to have an addiction-forming or addiction-sustaining liability similar to morphine or cocaine, and proclaimed by the President to have been so found by the Secretary. * * *;

AND WHEREAS the Secretary of the Treasury, after due notice and opportunity for public hearing, has found that each of the following-named drugs has addiction-forming and addiction-sustaining liability similar to morphine, and that in the public interest this finding should be effective immediately:

3-methoxy-N-methylmorphinan, its racemic and levorotatory forms and their salts, but excepting its dextrorotatory form and its salts.

4-(3-hydroxyphenyl)-1-methyl-4-piperidyl ethyl ketone (ketobemidone) and its salts.

NOW, THEREFORE, I, DWIGHT D. EISENHOWER, President of the United States of America, do hereby proclaim that the Secretary of the Treasury has found that each of the aforementioned drugs (except the dextrorotatory form of 3-methoxy-N-methylmorphinan and its salts) has an addiction-forming or addiction-sustaining liability similar to morphine, and that in the public interest this finding should be effective immediately.

IN WITNESS WHEREOF, I have hereunto set my hand and caused the seal of the United States of America to be affixed.

DONE at the City of Washington this 18th day of October in the year of our Lord nineteen hundred and [SEAL] fifty-four, and of the Independence of the United States of America the one hundred and seventy-ninth.

DWIGHT D. EISENHOWER

By the President:

JOHN FOSTER DULLES,
Secretary of State.

[F. R. Doc. 54-8354; Filed, Oct. 20, 1954; 4:48 p. m.]

PROCLAMATION 3075

IMPOSING A QUOTA ON IMPORTS OF BARLEY AND BARLEY MALT

BY THE PRESIDENT OF THE UNITED STATES OF AMERICA
A PROCLAMATION

WHEREAS, pursuant to section 22 of the Agricultural Adjustment Act, as added by section 31 of the act of August 24, 1935, 49 Stat. 773, re-enacted by section 1 of the act of June 3, 1937, 50 Stat. 246, and as amended by section 3 of the act of July 3, 1948, 62 Stat. 1248, section 3 of the act of June 28, 1950, 64 Stat. 261, and section 8 (b) of the act of June 16, 1951, 65 Stat. 72 (7 U. S. C. 624), the Secretary of Agriculture has advised me that he has reason to believe that barley, hulled or unhulled, including rolled barley and ground barley, and barley malt are being or are practically certain to be imported into the United States under such conditions and in such quantities as to render or tend to render ineffective, or materially interfere with, the price-support program undertaken by the Department of Agriculture with respect to barley pursuant to sections 301 and 401 of the Agricultural Act of 1949, as amended, or to reduce substantially the amount of products processed in the United States from domestic barley with respect to which such program of the Department of Agriculture is being undertaken; and

WHEREAS, on August 20, 1954, I caused the United States Tariff Commis-

(Continued on next page)

CONTENTS

THE PRESIDENT

Proclamations	Page
Determining the drugs 3-methoxy-n-methylmorphinan (except its dextrorotatory isomer) and ketobemidone to be opiates.....	6807
Imposing a quota on imports of barley and barley malt.....	6807

EXECUTIVE AGENCIES

Agricultural Marketing Service Notices: Study of dairy programs.....	6812
Agriculture Department See Commodity Credit Corporation; Commodity Stabilization Service.	
Commerce Department See Federal Maritime Board; Foreign Commerce Bureau.	
Commodity Credit Corporation Rules and regulations: Corn Reseal Loan Program, 1953-Crop; availability.....	6809
Commodity Stabilization Service Notices: Peanuts; redelegation of final authority by North Carolina State Agricultural Stabilization and Conservation Committee.....	6812
Federal Communications Commission Notices: Canadian broadcast stations; list of changes and corrections in assignments..... Hearings, etc.: Independent Television, Inc., et al..... Miners Broadcasting Service, Inc., et al..... Triad Television Corp. et al.....	6819 6817 6818 6818
Federal Maritime Board Notices: Pacific Coast European Conference; show cause notice why agreement and practices pertaining to brokerage should not be disapproved.....	6815



Published daily, except Sundays, Mondays, and days following official Federal holidays, by the Federal Register Division, National Archives and Records Service, General Services Administration, pursuant to the authority contained in the Federal Register Act, approved July 26, 1935 (49 Stat. 500, as amended; 44 U. S. C., ch. 8B), under regulations prescribed by the Administrative Committee of the Federal Register, approved by the President. Distribution is made only by the Superintendent of Documents, Government Printing Office, Washington 25, D. C.

The regulatory material appearing herein is keyed to the Code of Federal Regulations, which is published, under 50 titles, pursuant to section 11 of the Federal Register Act, as amended August 5, 1953.

The FEDERAL REGISTER will be furnished by mail to subscribers, free of postage, for \$1.50 per month or \$15.00 per year, payable in advance. The charge for individual copies (minimum 15¢) varies in proportion to the size of the issue. Remit check or money order, made payable to the Superintendent of Documents, directly to the Government Printing Office, Washington 25, D. C.

There are no restrictions on the republication of material appearing in the FEDERAL REGISTER.

Now Available

UNITED STATES GOVERNMENT ORGANIZATION MANUAL

1954-55 Edition
(Revised through July 1)

Published by the Federal Register Division,
the National Archives and Records Service,
General Services Administration

742 Pages—\$1.00 a copy

Order from Superintendent of Documents,
United States Government Printing Office,
Washington 25, D. C.

CONTENTS—Continued

Federal Power Commission	Page
Notices:	
Hearings, etc.:	
Amere Gas Utilities Co.....	6820
Fain & McGaha.....	6822
Halsey, M. A.....	6821
Holly Development Co.....	6820
King-Loc Petroleum Co.....	6821
Kinrich Gas Co.....	6821
Northern Natural Gas Co.....	6819
Southern Natural Gas Co.....	6820
Stewarts Creek Gas Co. # 1.....	6822
Tascosa Gas Co.....	6821
Walters, John A., and O. W. Kershaw.....	6822
Fish and Wildlife Service	
Rules and regulations:	
National wildlife refuges; cross reference.....	6811

CONTENTS—Continued

Foreign Commerce Bureau	Page
Notices:	
Modification of denial order and deletion of names of two companies therein; J. N. Handelsmaatschappij Blessing-Etra et al.....	6812
Revocation of licenses and denial export privileges:	
Boosters Iron & Metal Corp. et al.....	6814
Miller, Irving H., et al.....	6813
General Services Administration	
Notices:	
Disposition of raw optical glass blanks held in National Stock File.....	6824
Interior Department	
See Fish and Wildlife Service; Land Management Bureau; Reclamation Bureau.	
Interstate Commerce Commission	
Notices:	
Applications for relief:	
Ammunition boxes from trunk-line and New England territories to Chicago and Savanna, Ill., and La Porte, Ind.....	6826
Asphalt from Blakely, Ala., to Birmingham, Ala., district.....	6825
Beet sugar final molasses from Bingham, East Grand Forks and Wilds, Minn., to Milwaukee, Wis.....	6825
Caustic soda from Baton Rouge, La., to Foley, Fla.....	6826
Cement from central territory to East.....	6825
Clay from South to North Carolina and Georgia.....	6825
Grain from Memphis, Tenn., to Louisiana.....	6826
Pulpboard from Evadale, Tex., to western trunk-line territory.....	6825
Labor Department	
See Wage and Hour Division.	
Land Management Bureau	
Notices:	
Redelegations of authorities concerned with lands and resources.....	6811
Rules and regulations:	
Alaska; partial revocation of PLO.....	6810
Transfer of land from St. Marks National Wildlife Refuge to Apalachicola National Forest.....	6810
Reclamation Bureau	
Notices:	
Deschutes Project, Oreg.; first form reclamation withdrawal.....	6811
Securities and Exchange Commission	
Notices:	
Hearings, etc.:	
Alleghany Corp.....	6823
Detroit and Cleveland Navigation Co.....	6823
Rules and regulations:	
Application for registration of investment adviser.....	6809

CONTENTS—Continued

Small Business Administration	Page
Notices:	
Declaration of disaster areas:	
New Jersey.....	6824
North Carolina.....	6824
South Carolina.....	6824
Rules and regulations:	
Loan policy statement; disaster loans.....	6809
State Department	
Notices:	
Reorganization of German coal, iron and steel industries.....	6815
Wage and Hour Division	
Notices:	
Special Industry Committees 16A and 16B for Puerto Rico, resignation from and appointment to.....	6817

CODIFICATION GUIDE

A numerical list of the parts of the Code of Federal Regulations affected by documents published in this issue. Proposed rules, as opposed to final actions, are identified as such.

Title 3	Page
Chapter I (Proclamations):	
3074.....	6807
3075.....	6807
Chapter II (Executive orders):	
7977 (see PLO 1019).....	6810
Title 6	
Chapter IV:	
Part 421.....	6809
Title 13	
Chapter II:	
Part 101.....	6809
Title 17	
Chapter II:	
Part 279.....	6809
Title 43	
Chapter I:	
Appendix C (Public land orders):	
487 (revoked in part by PLO 1020).....	6810
1019.....	6810
1020.....	6810
Title 50	
Chapter I:	
Part 17.....	6811

sion to make an investigation under the said section 22 with respect to this matter; and

WHEREAS the said Tariff Commission has made such investigation and has reported to me its findings and recommendations in connection therewith; and

WHEREAS, on the basis of the said investigation and report of the Tariff Commission, I find that barley, hulled and unhulled, including rolled barley and ground barley, and barley malt, in the aggregate, are practically certain to be imported into the United States during the period from October 1, 1954, to September 30, 1955, both dates inclusive, under such conditions and in such quantities as to render or tend to render ineffective, or materially interfere with,

the said price-support program with respect to barley; and

WHEREAS I find and declare that the imposition of the quantitative limitations hereinafter proclaimed is shown by such investigation of the Tariff Commission to be necessary in order that the entry, or withdrawal from warehouse, for consumption of barley, hulled and unhulled, including rolled barley and ground barley, and barley malt will not render or tend to render ineffective, or materially interfere with, the said price-support program:

NOW, THEREFORE, I, DWIGHT D. EISENHOWER, President of the United States of America, acting under and by virtue of the authority vested in me by the said section 22 of the Agricultural Adjustment Act, as amended, do hereby proclaim that the total aggregate quantity of barley, hulled and unhulled, including rolled barley and ground barley, and barley malt entered, or withdrawn from warehouse, for consumption during the period from October 1, 1954, to September 30, 1955, both dates inclusive, shall not exceed 27,500,000 bushels, which permissible total quantity I find and declare to be proportionately not less

than 50 per centum of the total average aggregate annual quantity of such barley and barley malt entered, or withdrawn from warehouse for consumption during the representative period from July 1, 1948, to June 30, 1953, both dates inclusive; and that, of the said permissible total quantity not more than 27,225,000 bushels shall be imported from Canada, and not more than 275,000 bushels shall be imported from other foreign countries.

For the purposes of this proclamation, 48 pounds of barley, hulled or unhulled, including rolled barley or ground barley, shall be considered equal to one bushel, and 34 pounds of barley malt shall be considered equal to one bushel.

The provisions of this proclamation shall not apply to certified or registered seed barley for use for seeding and crop-improvement purposes, in bags tagged and sealed by an officially recognized seed-certifying agency of the country of production: Provided, (a) that the individual shipment amounts to 100 bushels (of 48 pounds each) or less, or (b) that the individual shipment amounts to more than 100 bushels (of 48 pounds each) and the written approval of the

Secretary of Agriculture or his designated representative is presented at the time of entry, or bond is furnished in a form prescribed by the Commissioner of Customs in an amount equal to the value of the merchandise as set forth in the entry, plus the estimated duty as determined at the time of entry, conditioned upon the production of such written approval within 6 months from the date of entry.

IN WITNESS WHEREOF, I have hereunto set my hand and caused the seal of the United States of America to be affixed.

DONE at the City of Washington this 18th day of October in the year of our Lord nineteen hundred and [SEAL] fifty-four, and of the Independence of the United States of America the one hundred and seventy-ninth.

DWIGHT D. EISENHOWER

By the President:

JOHN FOSTER DULLES,
Secretary of State.

[F. R. Doc. 54-8355; Filed, Oct. 20, 1954; 4:48 p. m.]

RULES AND REGULATIONS

TITLE 6—AGRICULTURAL CREDIT

Chapter IV—Commodity Stabilization Service and Commodity Credit Corporation, Department of Agriculture

Subchapter B—Loans, Purchases and Other Operations

[1953 C. C. Grain Price Support Bulletin 1, Supp. 3, Amdt. 2, Corn]

PART 421—GRAINS AND RELATED COMMODITIES

SUBPART—1953-CROP CORN RESEAL LOAN PROGRAM

AVAILABILITY; TIME

The regulations issued by Commodity Credit Corporation and Commodity Stabilization Service, published in 18 F. R. 5359 and 6983 and 19 F. R. 1595, 2712, and 5591 and containing the specific requirements for the 1953-Crop Corn Reseal Loan Program, are hereby amended as follows:

Section 421.63 (b) (2) is amended to allow producers with corn under purchase agreements who notified the county committee before July 31, 1954, of their intention to participate in the reseal loan program more time for the execution of loan documents by changing the date in the third sentence from September 30, 1954, to November 30, 1954, so that the amended subparagraph (2) reads as follows:

§ 421.63 Availability. * * *

(b) Time. * * *

(2) The producer who signed a purchase agreement on farm-stored corn is required, under the 1953 Corn Price Support Program, to notify the county com-

mittee not later than July 31, 1954, if he intends to deliver the corn to CCC. If the producer has notified the county committee, on or before July 31, 1954, of his intention to deliver the corn or to participate in this program, he may obtain a farm-storage loan on the corn. The loan documents must be executed by the producer on or before the final date for delivery, specified in the delivery instructions, or on or before November 30, 1954, if the producer has not requested delivery instructions. The loan documents must be presented for disbursement within 15 days after execution.

(Sec. 4, 62 Stat. 1070, as amended; 15 U. S. C. 714b. Interprets or applies sec. 5, 62 Stat. 1072, secs. 101, 401, 63 Stat. 1051; 15 U. S. C. 714c, 7 U. S. C. 1441, 1421)

Issued this 19th day of October 1954.

[SEAL] J. A. McCONNELL,
Executive Vice President,
Commodity Credit Corporation.

[F. R. Doc. 54-8325; Filed, Oct. 21, 1954; 8:54 a. m.]

TITLE 13—BUSINESS CREDIT

Chapter II—Small Business Administration

[Amdt. 4 to Loan Policy Statement, Revised as of Nov. 16, 1953]

PART 101—LOAN POLICY STATEMENT

DISASTER LOANS

Section 101.6 (b) is revised to read as follows:

§ 101.6 Disaster loans under section 207 (b) of the Small Business Act. * * *

(b) Interest on disaster loans, including interest on the unpaid principal of disaster loans approved subsequent to September 28, 1953, shall be at the rate of three percent (3%) per annum.

(Sec. 204, 67 Stat. 233; 15 U. S. C. 633)

This amendment is effective as of September 29, 1954.

SMALL BUSINESS ADMINISTRATION,
LOAN POLICY BOARD,
WENDELL B. BARNES,
Administrator, Chairman.

OCTOBER 6, 1954.

[F. R. Doc. 54-8318; Filed, Oct. 21, 1954; 8:53 a. m.]

TITLE 17—COMMODITY AND SECURITIES EXCHANGES

Chapter II—Securities and Exchange Commission

PART 279—FORMS PRESCRIBED UNDER THE INVESTMENT ADVISERS ACT OF 1940

APPLICATION FOR REGISTRATION OF INVESTMENT ADVISER

Form ADV adopted June 24, 1954, 19 F. R. 4080 as § 279.4 should be corrected to read § 279.1.

By the Commission.

[SEAL] ORVAL L. DuBOIS,
Secretary.

OCTOBER 14, 1954.

[F. R. Doc. 54-8300; Filed, Oct. 21, 1954; 8:49 a. m.]

TITLE 43—PUBLIC LANDS: INTERIOR

Chapter I—Bureau of Land Management, Department of the Interior

Appendix C—Public Land Orders

[Public Land Order 1019]

FLORIDA

TRANSFER OF LAND FROM ST. MARKS NATIONAL WILDLIFE REFUGE TO APALACHICOLA NATIONAL FOREST

By virtue of the authority vested in the President by the act of March 3, 1891, as amended (26 Stat. 1095, 1103; 16 U. S. C. 471) and otherwise, and pursuant to Executive Order No. 10355 of May 26, 1952, and upon the recommendation of the Secretary of Agriculture, it is ordered as follows:

The following-described tract of land which was reserved as a part of the St. Marks National Wildlife Refuge, Florida, by Executive Order No. 7977 of September 19, 1938, is hereby transferred from the Refuge to the Apalachicola National Forest, and reserved as a part thereof under jurisdiction of the Department of Agriculture, and shall hereafter be subject to all laws and regulations applicable thereto:

TALLAHASSEE MERIDIAN

T. 5 S., R. 2 W.,

Sec. 2, that part of the $W\frac{1}{2}SW\frac{1}{4}$ lying north of the center line of U. S. Highway No. 319 (Wakulla-Sopchoppy Road).

The area described contains 2.75 acres. The lands were acquired by the United States together with other lands in the same legal subdivision, under the authority of section 302 of the act of June 15, 1935, (49 Stat. 381; 16 U. S. C. 715d-1), in exchange for lands acquired by the United States under the authority of the National Industrial Recovery Act, approved June 16, 1933 (48 Stat. 195) and the Emergency Relief Appropriation Act of 1935, approved April 8, 1935 (49 Stat. 115), the purpose of such exchange having been to acquire the remainder of the $W\frac{1}{2}SW\frac{1}{4}$ for wildlife purposes. The lands are within the limits of the Apalachicola National Forest, are separated from the Refuge by U. S. Highway No. 319, are thus of no value for wildlife conservation purposes, and can best be administered as a part of the National Forest.

ORME LEWIS,

Assistant Secretary of the Interior.

OCTOBER 14, 1954.

[P. R. Doc. 54-8290; Filed, Oct. 21, 1954; 8:47 a. m.]

[Public Land Order 1020]

ALASKA

PARTIALLY REVOKING PUBLIC LAND ORDER NO. 487 OF JUNE 16, 1948

By virtue of the authority vested in the President by section 1 of the act of June 25, 1910 (36 Stat. 847; 43 U. S. C. 141) and pursuant to Executive Order No. 10355 of May 26, 1952, it is ordered as follows:

1. Public Land Order No. 487 of June 16, 1948, withdrawing the public lands within certain described areas in Alaska for classification and examination and in aid of proposed legislation, is hereby revoked so far as it affects the following described lands:

SEWARD MERIDIAN

(a)
T. 3 N., R. 12 W.,

Unit No.:

- 7 Sec. 26, $S\frac{1}{2}SE\frac{1}{4}$ and $S\frac{1}{2}SW\frac{1}{4}$;
- 16 Sec. 14, $S\frac{1}{2}NW\frac{1}{4}$ and $N\frac{1}{2}SW\frac{1}{4}$;
- 18 Sec. 15, $NW\frac{1}{4}$;
- 19 Sec. 14, $N\frac{1}{2}NE\frac{1}{4}$;
- Sec. 11, $W\frac{1}{2}SE\frac{1}{4}$;
- 21 Sec. 10, $SE\frac{1}{4}$.

The areas described contain 800 acres which are considered suitable for cultivation.

No application for the above-described lands may be allowed under the Small Tract Act of June 1, 1938 (52 Stat. 809; 43 U. S. C. 461) as amended, unless the lands shall be classified as valuable or suitable for such type of application upon consideration of an application.

(b)

SEWARD MERIDIAN

T. 2 N., R. 12 W.,

- Sec. 2, $S\frac{1}{2}NW\frac{1}{4}NE\frac{1}{4}$;
- Sec. 3, lots 1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6, and 8, $S\frac{1}{2}N\frac{1}{2}$, $E\frac{1}{2}SE\frac{1}{4}$, and $NW\frac{1}{4}SW\frac{1}{4}$;
- Sec. 4, lot 1, $S\frac{1}{2}NE\frac{1}{4}$, $SE\frac{1}{4}NW\frac{1}{4}$, $E\frac{1}{2}SW\frac{1}{4}$, $W\frac{1}{2}SE\frac{1}{4}$, and $NE\frac{1}{4}SE\frac{1}{4}$;
- Sec. 9, lots 1, 2, 5, 6, $E\frac{1}{2}W\frac{1}{2}$, $SE\frac{1}{4}$;
- Sec. 10.

T. 3 N., R. 11 W.,

Sec. 31, lot 11;

Sec. 32, lots 5, 8, 13, 14, 15, and 16.

T. 3 N., R. 12 W.,

- Sec. 1, lot 6;
 - Sec. 2, lots 1, 2, 6, and 7;
 - Sec. 12, lots 2, 5, 8, 9, 12, and 13;
 - Sec. 13, lots 2, 3, 4, 7, 10, and $NW\frac{1}{4}NW\frac{1}{4}$;
 - Sec. 15, $SW\frac{1}{4}$, $W\frac{1}{2}SE\frac{1}{4}$;
 - Sec. 21, $E\frac{1}{2}$;
 - Sec. 22, $N\frac{1}{2}NW\frac{1}{4}$, $SW\frac{1}{4}NW\frac{1}{4}$ and $SW\frac{1}{4}SW\frac{1}{4}$;
 - Sec. 24, $SW\frac{1}{4}NW\frac{1}{4}$;
 - Sec. 25, lots 8, 9, and $S\frac{1}{2}SE\frac{1}{4}$;
 - Sec. 27, lot 8 and $S\frac{1}{2}S\frac{1}{2}$;
 - Sec. 28, $E\frac{1}{2}$;
 - Sec. 33, $E\frac{1}{2}$;
 - Sec. 34;
 - Sec. 35, $S\frac{1}{2}$.
- T. 4 N., R. 12 W.,
- Sec. 35, lot 1.

The areas described contain 6,183.86 acres which are not considered suitable for cultivation.

(c)

T. 3 N., R. 12 W.,

Sec. 16.

The area described, containing 512.55 acres, is reserved for the support of the common schools of Alaska by the act of March 4, 1915 (38 Stat. 1214, 1215; 48 U. S. C. 353).

2. This order shall not otherwise become effective to change the status of the restored lands until 10:00 a. m., on the 35th day after the date of this order. At that time the said lands shall, subject to valid existing rights and the provisions of existing withdrawals, become subject to application, petition, location, and selection as follows:

(a) *Ninety-one day period for preference-right filings.* For a period of 91 days, commencing at the hour and on the day specified above, the public lands

in paragraph 1 (a) and 1 (b) affected by this order shall be subject only to (1) application under the homestead laws or the Alaska Homestead Act of May 26, 1934, 48 Stat. 809 (48 U. S. C. 461) or the Small Tract Act of June 1, 1938, 52 Stat. 609 (43 U. S. C. 682a), as amended, by qualified veterans of World War II and the Korean conflict and other qualified persons entitled to preference under the act of September 27, 1944, 58 Stat. 747 (43 U. S. C. 279-284), as amended, subject to the requirements of applicable law, and (2) application under any applicable public-land law, based on prior existing valid settlement rights and preference rights conferred by existing laws or equitable claims subject to allowance and confirmation. Applications under subdivision (1) of this paragraph shall be subject to applications and claims of the classes described in subdivision (2) of this paragraph. All applications filed under this paragraph either at or before 10:00 a. m., on the 35th day after the date of this order shall be treated as though filed simultaneously at that time. All applications filed under this paragraph after 10:00 a. m., on the said 35th day shall be considered in the order of filing.

(b) *Date for non-preference-right filings.* Commencing at 10:00 a. m., on the 126th day after the date of this order, any lands remaining unappropriated shall become subject to such application, petition, location, selection, or other appropriation by the public generally as maybe authorized by the public-land laws. All such applications filed either at or before 10:00 a. m., on the 126th day after the date of this order, shall be treated as though filed simultaneously at the hour specified on such 126th day. All applications filed thereafter shall be considered in the order of filing.

A veteran shall accompany his application with a complete photostatic, or other copy (both sides), of his certificate of honorable discharge, or of an official document of his branch of the service which shows clearly his honorable discharge as defined in § 181.36 of Title 43 of the Code of Federal Regulations, or constitutes evidence of other facts upon which the claim for preference is based and which shows clearly the period of service. Other persons claiming credit for service of veterans must furnish like proof in support of their claims. Persons asserting preference rights, through settlement or otherwise, and those having equitable claims, shall accompany their applications by duly corroborated statements in support thereof, setting forth in detail all facts relevant to their claims.

Applications for these lands, which shall be filed in the Land Office, Bureau of Land Management, Anchorage, Alaska, shall be acted upon in accordance with the regulations contained in § 295.8 of Title 43 of the Code of Federal Regulations to the extent that such regulations are applicable. Applications under the homestead laws shall be governed by the regulations contained in Parts 65 and 66 of Title 43 of the Code of Federal Regulations, and applications

under the said Alaska Homesite Act of May 26, 1934, and the said Small Tract Act of June 1, 1938, shall be governed by the regulations contained in §§ 64.6 to 64.10, inclusive, and Part 257, respectively, of that title.

Inquiries concerning these lands shall be addressed to the Manager, Land Office, Bureau of Land Management, Anchorage, Alaska.

ORME LEWIS,
Assistant Secretary of the Interior.

OCTOBER 18, 1954.

[F. R. Doc. 54-8291; Filed, Oct. 21, 1954;
8:47 a. m.]

TITLE 50—WILDLIFE

Chapter I—Fish and Wildlife Service, Department of the Interior

PART 17—LIST OF AREAS

NATIONAL WILDLIFE REFUGES

CROSS REFERENCE: For an amendment to the tabulation in § 17.3, see Public Land Order 1019 in Appendix C to Title 43, Chapter I, *supra*, transferring land reserved as a part of the Saint Marks National Wildlife Refuge, Florida, by Executive Order 7977, to the Apalachicola National Forest.

other agency may be taken only with the approval of the head of the Bureau or agency administering the land.

(2) Consent to the appropriation of Federal agencies under the principles of the instructions of January 13, 1916 (44 Id 513; 43 CFR 205.13-205.15) of rights-of-way over unreserved or withdrawn lands. (See 43 CFR, Part 244, footnote 1.)

10. Section 1.9 (v) is amended to read:

(v) *Certificates, scrip and lieu selections.* Take all actions in connection with certificates and scrip under 43 CFR Parts 61 and 130 to 133, inclusive and also forest lieu selections, in accordance with footnote 1, to Part 130, subject to approval of the validity of the scrip or other right.

PART III-A—DELEGATION TO LAND OFFICE MANAGERS

Section 3.9 (i) and (j) are amended to read:

(i) *Sites for recreational or any public purposes.*

(j) *Motion or sound pictures.* Authority limited to lands outside of established grazing and forest districts.

PART IV—REDELEGATION OF AUTHORITY TO THE EASTERN STATES OFFICE SUPERVISOR

Section 4.11 (j) is amended to read:

(j) *Mineral leases of submerged lands of Outer Continental Shelf.* (1) The making of determinations respecting the compliance or non-compliance of mineral leases issued by a State with the requirements of section 6 of the Outer Continental Shelf Lands Act (67 Stat. 462; 43 U. S. C. 1331 et seq.), provided that such determinations shall be submitted to the Solicitor for concurrence.

(2) Act on all matters involving mineral leases pursuant to the act of August 7, 1953 (67 Stat. 462; 43 U. S. C. 1331 et seq.) and the regulations under 43 CFR, Part 201.

W. G. GUERNSEY,
Acting Director.

[F. R. Doc. 54-8289; Filed, Oct. 21, 1954;
8:47 a. m.]

Bureau of Reclamation

DESCHUTES PROJECT, OREGON

FIRST FORM RECLAMATION WITHDRAWAL

OCTOBER 6, 1953.

Pursuant to the authority delegated by Departmental Order No. 2515 of April 7, 1949, I hereby withdraw the following-described land from public entry, under the first form of withdrawal, as provided by section 3 of the act of June 17, 1902 (32 Stat. 388):

WILLAMETTE MERIDIAN, OREGON

T. 12 S., R. 12 E.
Sec. 27, Lots 12, 13 and 14.

The above areas aggregate 30.53 acres.

W. A. DEXHEIMER,
Commissioner.

NOTICES

DEPARTMENT OF THE INTERIOR

Bureau of Land Management

[Order No. 541, Amdt. 5]

REDELEGATIONS OF AUTHORITIES CONCERNED WITH LANDS AND RESOURCES

OCTOBER 18, 1954.

PART I—REDELEGATION OF AUTHORITY TO AREA ADMINISTRATORS

1. Section 1.2 (a) is amended to read as follows:

(a) *Oaths.* Authorize any employee designated to make investigations of matters under the jurisdiction of the Bureau to administer any oath, affirmation, affidavit or deposition provided under the act of October 14, 1940 (5 U. S. C. 498), whenever necessary in the performance of such employee's official duties.

2. Section 1.3 (b) is amended to read:

(b) *Contributions and refunds.* (1) Accept contributions toward the administration, protection and improvements of lands within or without grazing districts and remit or refund any unappropriated balances of such contributions pursuant to section 9 of the Taylor Grazing Act (43 U. S. C. sec. 315h).

(2) Accept contributions for the purpose of surveying federally-controlled or intermingled lands when authorized by law.

3. Section 1.5 (b) (1) (g) is amended to read:

(g) Concur with Bureau of Reclamation on withdrawals and restorations in accordance with Department Order 2765 of July 30, 1954.

4. Section 1.7 (a) (2) is amended by adding paragraph (d) as follows:

(d) The act of March 29, 1928 (45 Stat. 380).

5. Section 1.7 (a) is further amended by adding paragraphs 7 and 8 as follows:

(7) Hold hearings when necessary in connection with the modification of grazing district boundaries. The orders must be approved by the range officer in the Director's office or his delegate prior to publication in the FEDERAL REGISTER.

(8) Approve articles of incorporation, constitutions and by-laws for local associations of stockmen pursuant to 43 CFR 161.13.

6. Section 1.7 (c) is amended to read:

(c) *Appropriation of water.* Applications under State laws to appropriate water on lands under the administration of the Bureau of Land Management where required in connection with projects for the development, control or utilization of water; and procurement of easements or rights-of-way upon or over private lands, and also upon or over federally-owned lands not under the administration of the Bureau and upon or over State, county, and municipally-owned lands where improvements are installed.

7. Section 1.9 (d) is amended to read:

(d) *Exchanges.* Take actions in all matters relating to exchanges of lands and of timber for lands, except where the value of the selected lands or timber exceeds \$250,000, and issue quit claim deeds authorized by section 6 of the act of April 28, 1930 (46 Stat. 257).

8. Section 1.9 (i) is amended to read:

(i) *Sites for recreational or any public purpose.* Take all actions with respect to conveyances and leases to Federal, State, Territory and local governmental units and to non-profit associations and corporations pursuant to 43 CFR Part 254, and other applicable regulations.

9. Section 1.9 (n) is amended to read:

(n) *Rights-of-way.* (1) Grant rights-of-way and easements over public and acquired lands, including re-vested Oregon and California Railroad and reconveyed Coos Bay Wagon Road Grant Lands in Oregon and over reservations other than Indian Reservations, when authorized by law. However, only the Secretary of the Interior may issue an order pursuant to 43 CFR 244.9 (m), requiring discontinuance without liability or expense to the United States of the use of a right-of-way for the purpose granted. Approve construction in advance of the issuance of a permit or the granting of easements. Actions involving lands within the jurisdiction of any

[Misc. 55211]

OCTOBER 18, 1954.

I concur. The records of the Bureau of Land Management will be noted accordingly.

W. G. GUERNSEY,
Acting Director,
Bureau of Land Management.

Notice for Filing Objections to Order
Withdrawing Public Lands for the
Deschutes Project, Oregon

Notice is hereby given that for a period of 30 days from the date of publication of this notice, persons having cause to object to the terms of the above order withdrawing certain public lands in the State of Oregon, for use in connection with the proposed development of the Deschutes Project, may present their objections to the Secretary of the Interior. Such objections should be in writing, should be addressed to the Secretary of the Interior, and should be filed in duplicate in the Department of the Interior, Washington 25, D. C.

In case any objection is filed and the nature of the opposition is such as to warrant it, a public hearing will be held at a convenient time and place, which will be announced, where opponents to the order may state their views and where the proponent of the order can explain its purpose, intent and extent. Should any objection be filed, notice of the determination by the Secretary as to whether the order should be rescinded, modified or let stand will be given to all interested parties of record and the general public.

W. A. DEXHEIMER,
Commissioner.

[F. R. Doc. 54-8292; Filed, Oct. 21, 1954;
8:48 a. m.]

DEPARTMENT OF AGRICULTURE

Agricultural Marketing Service

NOTICE OF STUDY OF DAIRY PROGRAMS

Section 204 (f) of the Agricultural Act of 1954 provides as follows:

The Secretary of Agriculture is directed to make a study of the various methods of production control and of the various methods of price support which could be made applicable to milk and butterfat and their products, including programs to be operated and financed by dairymen; and to submit to Congress on or before the 3d day of January, 1955, a detailed report thereof showing among other things the probable costs and effects of each type of operation studied and the legislation, if any, needed to put it into effect. The purpose of the study and report is to develop basic material which can be used by Congress in formulating an improved agricultural program for milk and butterfat and their products. Alternative programs are to be submitted for consideration by Congress and for possible submission to a referendum of dairy farmers. The Secretary may conduct such hearings and receive such statements and briefs in connection with such study as he deems appropriate.

Pursuant to such statute, the Secretary of Agriculture is making a study of the various methods of production control and of price support which could be made applicable to milk and butterfat and their products with the view of sub-

mitting a detailed report to the Congress on or before January 3, 1955.

Any interested person may submit any statement or brief concerning any method for controlling the production of milk or for supporting the prices of dairy products. All submissions should be addressed to the Secretary of Agriculture, U. S. Department of Agriculture, Washington 25, D. C. Submissions should be made as soon as possible but in no event later than November 15, 1954, in order that they may be analyzed thoroughly.

Done at Washington, D. C., this 19th day of October 1954.

[SEAL] FREDERICK V. WAUGH,
Director, Agricultural Econom-
ics Division, Marketing Re-
search and Statistics.

[F. R. Doc. 54-8324; Filed, Oct. 21, 1954;
8:54 a. m.]

Commodity Stabilization Service

PEANUTS

NOTICE OF REDELEGATION OF FINAL AUTHORITY BY THE NORTH CAROLINA STATE AGRICULTURAL STABILIZATION AND CONSERVATION COMMITTEE WITH RESPECT TO MARKETING QUOTA REGULATIONS FOR 1955 CROP

Section 729.630 of the Marketing Quota Regulations for the 1955 Crop of Peanuts (19 F. R. 6134), issued pursuant to the marketing quota provisions of the Agricultural Adjustment Act of 1938, as amended (7 U. S. C. 1301-1376), provides that any authority delegated to the State Agricultural Stabilization and Conservation Committee by the regulations may be redelegated by the State Committee. In accordance with section 3 (a) (1) of the Administrative Procedure Act (5 U. S. C. 1002 (a)), which requires delegations of final authority to be published in the FEDERAL REGISTER, there are set out herein the redelegations of final authority which have been made by the North Carolina State Agricultural Stabilization and Conservation Committee of authority vested in such committee by the Secretary of Agriculture in the regulations referred to above. Shown below are the sections of the regulations in which such authority appears and the person to whom the authority has been redelegated:

NORTH CAROLINA

Sections 729.620 and 729.628—A. P. Hassell, Jr., Chief, Administrative Division, of the Office of the State ASC Committee.

Section 729.622—J. L. Nicholson, Program Specialist, of the Office of the State ASC Committee.

Section 729.624 (b)—H. D. Godfrey, Administrative Officer, of the Office of the State ASC Committee.

Issued at Washington, D. C., this 19th day of October 1954.

[SEAL] J. A. McCONNELL,
Administrator,
Commodity Stabilization Service.

[F. R. Doc. 54-8326; Filed, Oct. 21, 1954;
8:54 a. m.]

DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

Bureau of Foreign Commerce

[Case No. 166]

N. V. HANDELMAATSCHAPPIJ BLESSING-ETRA AND E. T. R. A. TECHNISCHE ONDERNEMING N. V.

ORDER MODIFYING DENIAL ORDER AND DELETING THE NAMES OF TWO COMPANIES THEREIN

In the matter of: N. V. Handelmaatschappij Blessing-Etra, E. T. R. A. Technische Onderneming N. V., Oostzeedijk 218, Rotterdam, Netherlands, petitioners; Case No. 166.

The Bureau of Foreign Commerce issued an order on November 6, 1953 (18 F. R. 7179) denying United States export privileges for the duration of export controls to Handelshuis J. M. A. Klaasen & Co. et al., Rotterdam, Netherlands, and to such other persons and firms as might be related to said company by ownership, control, position of responsibility or other connection in the conduct of trade involving exports from the United States or services connected therewith. By its terms said order applied to N. V. Handelmaatschappij Blessing-Etra, and E. T. R. A. Technische Onderneming N. V., of Rotterdam, Netherlands, reported to be companies with which said J. M. A. Klaasen & Co. was then associated.

It is established by due proof that on or about June 3, 1954, said N. V. Handelmaatschappij Blessing-Etra and E. T. R. A. Technische Onderneming N. V. disassociated themselves from J. M. A. Klaasen & Co. and since that date have not been related to the latter firm within the meaning of the terms and conditions of the aforesaid denial order; that they have petitioned the Bureau of Foreign Commerce by affidavit dated September 22, 1954 for removal of their names from the said order and for relief from the applicability thereof as to them.

It appearing from said petition and from the affidavit and documents in support thereof that said firms are no longer connected with J. M. A. Klaasen & Co. and that they are therefore entitled to relief as requested, and there appearing to be no reasonable basis to continue to extend the prohibitions of said order to them,

Now, therefore, it is ordered, as follows:

The Bureau of Foreign Commerce order of November 6, 1953 (18 F. R. 7179) is hereby amended and modified by deleting therefrom the names of N. V. Handelmaatschappij Blessing-Etra and E. T. R. A. Technische Onderneming N. V., Rotterdam, Netherlands, and vacating the terms and provisions of said order as they apply to said companies, and each of them. Except as so amended and modified the said order shall continue in full force and effect.

Dated: October 18, 1954.

JOHN C. BORTON,
Director,
Office of Export Supply.

[F. R. Doc. 54-8287; Filed, Oct. 21, 1954;
8:46 a. m.]

[Case No. 190]

IRVING H. MILLER ET AL.

ORDER REVOKING EXPORT LICENSES AND DENYING EXPORT PRIVILEGES

In the matter of: Irving H. Miller, t/a Dixie Auto Parts, Dixie Export Company, Parts Sales Company, 1630 N. W. 20th Street, Miami, Florida, respondent; Case No. 190.

The respondent, Irving H. Miller, engaged in the export business and doing business under the firm names and styles of Dixie Auto Parts, Dixie Export Company and Parts Sales Company, at 1630 N. W. 20th Street, Miami, Florida, was charged by the Director of the Investigation Staff, Bureau of Foreign Commerce, Department of Commerce, with having violated the Export Control Act of 1949, as amended, and regulations promulgated thereunder, in that, as alleged, during the period May 1951-September 1952, (1) he applied for two export licenses to ship carbon steel rope to a named ultimate consignee in Cuba, which licenses were granted, and thereafter he shipped quantities of such wire rope under said licenses and export declarations related thereto, to a consignee or consignees other than the one named in the licenses and declarations; (2) he shipped steel wire or wire rope to a number of consignees in Cuba without first obtaining necessary export licenses and without filing necessary declarations; (3) under an export license granted to him and an export declaration executed and filed by him in connection therewith, he shipped a quantity of automotive lubricating oil to a consignee in Cuba other than the one named in his license and export declaration and (4) he attempted to ship to Cuba a large quantity of miscellaneous merchandise under an export declaration in which he did not disclose the true values or nature of the merchandise to be shipped, which merchandise was seized by U. S. Customs.

Respondent duly appeared in this proceeding, was represented by counsel and attended a formal hearing before the Compliance Commissioner, at which evidence in support of the charges was presented.

At the completion of the presentation of evidence in support of the charges, respondent's attorney moved that they be dismissed and his motion was denied on the ground that a prima facie case had been established as to all the charges. Following the denial of the motion to dismiss and during the course of the hearing but before any substantial evidence in opposition to the charges was presented, respondent's counsel requested a recess for the purpose of offering a proposal that a consent order be entered herein. Negotiations for that purpose had been conducted sporadically prior to and at intervals during the hearing. The proposal made by respondent's counsel, in his presence, on the record, was concurred in by the attorney for the Director of Investigation, and it has been approved by the Compliance Commissioner who has recommended that this order be made on the basis of said proposal.

Now, after careful consideration of the entire record, upon the consent of the respondent, Irving H. Miller and the Director of the Investigation Staff, and also upon the recommendation of the Compliance Commissioner, and being of the opinion that this order is necessary to achieve effective enforcement of the law: *It is hereby ordered:*

I. All outstanding validated export licenses held by or issued in the name of Irving H. Miller, or in any of his trade names, Dixie Auto Parts, Dixie Export Company, Parts Sales Company, or any other trade name or corporate successor thereto, or in which they appear or participate as purchaser, intermediate or ultimate consignee, or otherwise, are hereby revoked and shall be returned forthwith to the Bureau of Foreign Commerce for cancellation.

II. For the period of one year, commencing October 25, 1954, respondent, individually and t/a Dixie Auto Parts, Dixie Export Company, and Parts Sales Company and his agents, servants and employees, be and they hereby are suspended from and denied all privileges of participating, directly or indirectly in any manner or capacity, in an exportation of any commodity from the United States to any foreign destination, including Canada. Without limitation of the generality of the foregoing denial of export privileges, participation in an exportation is deemed to include and prohibit respondent's participation, directly or indirectly in any manner or capacity, (a) as a party or as a representative of a party to any validated export license application, (b) in the obtaining or using of any validated or general export license or other export control documents, (c) in the receiving, ordering, buying, selling, using, or disposing in any foreign country of any commodities in whole or in part exported or to be exported from the United States, and (d) in storing, financing, forwarding, transporting, or other servicing of such exports from the United States.

III. Such denial of export privileges shall extend not only to respondent, but also to any person, firm, corporation, or business organization with which he may be now or hereafter related by ownership, control, position of responsibility, or other connection in the conduct of trade involving exports from the United States or services connected therewith.

IV. Upon condition that, during the period commencing October 25, 1954 and until October 24, 1955, the respondent complies in all respects with this order, permits freely and without restriction the inspection by agents of the Bureau of Foreign Commerce and the Bureau of Customs of all records relating to the business of exporting in any capacity, answers all reasonable inquiries by such agents and complies fully with all other requirements of the Export Control Act of 1949, as amended, and all regulations promulgated thereunder, respondent may, commencing November 15, 1954, engage in the limited business of representing exporters named in export licenses or exporting under general licenses for the sole purpose of forward-

ing or transporting the commodities exported or being exported by such persons from the United States provided that he, the respondent, shall have no interest in or profit from any such exportation and his only function in connection therewith shall be the usual, normal and ordinary function of a forwarding agent for which service he shall receive no compensation greater than the usual, normal and ordinary compensation paid by exporters for such services.

V. Upon condition that, during the period commencing October 25, 1954 and until October 24, 1955, the respondent complies in all respects with this order, permits freely and without restriction the inspection by agents of the Bureau of Foreign Commerce and the Bureau of Customs of all records relating to the business of exporting in any capacity, answers all reasonable inquiries by such agents and complies fully with all other requirements of the Export Control Act of 1949, as amended, and all regulations promulgated thereunder, respondent may, commencing February 7, 1955, engage in all export privileges otherwise denied to him under Part II hereof.

VI. The privileges conditionally restored to respondent, under Parts IV and V hereof may be revoked summarily and without notice upon a finding by the Director of the Office of Export Supply, or such other official as may at that time be exercising the duties now exercised by him, that the respondent has, during any portion of the period commencing October 25, 1954 and prior to October 25, 1955, failed to comply with any of the conditions or provisions upon which or whereby, by Parts IV and V hereof, he has been permitted to engage in any phase of the export business otherwise denied to him under Part II hereof, without prejudice to any other action which may be taken by reason of any such new or additional violation. In the event that it be so determined that respondent has breached the conditions of Parts IV or V hereof, the suspension and denial of respondent's export privileges shall be deemed to commence on the day of such determination and shall continue for thirty-seven weeks thereafter or until October 24, 1955, whichever is later.

VII. No person, firm, corporation, or other business organization, during any time when the respondent is prohibited under the terms hereof from engaging in any activity within the scope of Part II hereof, shall, without prior disclosure to, and specific authorization from, the Bureau of Foreign Commerce, directly or indirectly in any manner or capacity (a) apply for, obtain, or use any license, shipper's export declaration, bill of lading, or other export control document relating to any such prohibited activity, (b) order, receive, buy, use, dispose of, finance, transport or forward, any commodity on behalf of or in any association with the respondent while he is engaging in any activity prohibited hereunder, or (c) do any of the foregoing acts with respect to any commodity or exportation in which the respondent may have a proprietary interest of any kind or nature,

direct or indirect, during any time when he is denied any export privileges.

Dated: October 19, 1954.

JOHN C. BORTON,
Director,
Office of Export Supply.

[F. R. Doc. 54-8288; Filed, Oct. 21, 1954;
8:46 a. m.]

[Case No. 192]

BOOSTERS IRON & METAL CORP. ET AL.

ORDER REVOKING LICENSES AND DENYING
EXPORT PRIVILEGES

In the matter of Boosters Iron & Metal Corporation, Melville B. Boorster, J. George Fox, 2104 East Fifteenth Street, Los Angeles 21, California, Respondents; Case No. 192.

Compliance proceedings were instituted on March 23, 1954, by the transmission of a charging letter issued by the Investigation Staff of the Bureau of Foreign Commerce, United States Department of Commerce, to Boosters Iron & Metal Corporation, Melville B. Boorster and J. George Fox, president and secretary-treasurer, respectively, as well as managing principals of said corporation. After receiving said charging letter said respondents conferred by and through their counsel with officials of the Bureau of Foreign Commerce and thereafter submitted to the Bureau of Foreign Commerce, with the advice of and through such counsel, a statement dated September 22, 1954, admitting, for the purpose of this compliance proceeding only, the charges in said charging letter, waiving a hearing thereon, and consenting to the entry of an export-privilege denial order, the terms of which are set forth below.

The charges to which said respondents have entered their consents, as aforesaid, are that they violated the Export Control Act of 1949, as amended, and the regulations promulgated thereunder in substance as follows:

In connection with the proposed exportation to Japan of 8,000 tons of No. 1 heavy melting steel scrap and No. 2 melting steel scrap and 2,000 tons of baled sheet melting scrap valued at \$450,000 for which respondents held an order, they applied to the Office of International Trade (predecessor of the Bureau of Foreign Commerce) on July 24, 1953 for a validated license authorizing the exportation of said quantity of material. In the application respondents represented they had purchased the material from numerous suppliers, mainly auto wreckers, although without submitting evidence thereof as then required by § 373.3 of the export control regulations (15 CFR 373.3).

By reason of having failed to append to the license application the evidence of availability as aforesaid, and for other reasons, OIT returned the application to respondents without action. Thereafter, respondents filed with the Appeals Board of the Department of Commerce an appeal from the action of OIT. In support of the appeal respondents submitted, through counsel, on August 7, 1953, a signed appeal brief in which it was represented, inter alia, that Boosters Iron &

Metal Corporation then had on hand ready for immediate delivery 10,000 tons of scrap steel which the corporation had acquired in January 1953.

At the hearing on appeal held before the Appeals Board at Washington, D. C. on September 1, 1953, counsel for respondents was requested by the Board to have respondents furnish the Board with evidence of the availability of the quantity of steel scrap which respondents represented they had acquired in January 1953. Pursuant thereto, respondents transmitted to the Board on October 14, 1953, photostats of three documents purporting to be renewals of original purchase orders entered into between Boosters Iron & Metal Corporation and three separate scrap metal suppliers, all located in the Los Angeles area, covering the sale to Boosters Iron & Metal Corporation of 2,500 tons of No. 1 heavy melting steel and No. 2 heavy melting steel by each named supplier. Each of the aforesaid photostated order renewals was a one-page document on Boosters Iron & Metal Corporation letterhead and bore the date of January 10, 1953; two of the documents were executed by the sellers on September 30, 1953; the other on October 3, 1953.

The facts, however, are that respondents had not purchased 10,000 tons of steel scrap in January 1953 as represented on the license application and in the brief filed with the Appeals Board, as aforesaid. The purported renewal orders submitted to the Board in October 1953 were mere options granted to Boosters Iron & Metal Corporation, on the respective dates of execution by the alleged sellers, cancellable at the will of either party thereto without liability or recourse, and the parties to the option arrangements had not entered into scrap sales contracts on January 10, 1953 or at any other time. Moreover, it was developed that the option agreements between Boosters Iron & Metal Corporation and the alleged sellers, as aforesaid, were two pages in length, the second page thereof, which had been severed by respondents and not submitted to the Board, containing the clear and unmistakable language of the option. It was also established that at the time the license application was filed with OIT, and when the brief was submitted to the Board, that respondents had on hand for delivery only a small part of the scrap under consideration.

The charging letter and the above-stated proposal for a consent order were submitted to a Compliance Commissioner of the Bureau of Foreign Commerce for review as provided in § 382.10 of the export control regulations (15 F. R. 382.10), and the Compliance Commissioner informally reviewed the evidence presented by the Investigation Staff in support of the charges as well as the extenuating circumstances claimed by respondents at a hearing held before him at Washington, D. C. on September 8, 1954. Thereafter he issued his report to the undersigned Director of the Office of Export Supply, therein making the finding that the charges were fully supported by the evidence and that the respondents had violated the Export Control Act and regulations as charged.

He also made the finding that the terms and conditions of the proposed denial order as consented to by respondents were fair and reasonable and recommended that such order be issued.

In his report the Compliance Commissioner discussed the deliberate violations of the respondents, as aforesaid, and pointed out that they constituted flagrant misrepresentations to OIT as well as to the Appeals Board. He stated therein that had not the respondents admitted the charges and consented to an order and thereby saved the Government the time and expense of a contested hearing, he would have recommended more serious administrative action than that taken herein. He rejected the extenuating circumstances claimed by respondents as unworthy of credence, pointing out that their alleged inexperience with export transactions offered no excuse for their wilful falsifications and could not be condoned under any circumstances.

The findings and recommendations of the Compliance Commissioner have been carefully considered, together with the charging letter, the evidentiary material, the proposal for a consent order, and the entire record, from all of which it appears that the Compliance Commissioner's findings are in accordance with the evidence and that his recommendations are reasonable and should be adopted.

Now, therefore, it is ordered as follows:

(1) All outstanding validated export licenses held by or issued in the names of respondents, Boosters Iron & Metal Corporation, Melville B. Boorster, or J. George Fox, or any of them, or any person, firm, corporation, or other business organization with which they, or any of them, are now related by ownership, control, position of responsibility, or other connection, in the conduct of trade involving exports from the United States or services connected therewith, are revoked and shall be returned forthwith to the Bureau of Foreign Commerce for cancellation.

(2) Respondents and each of them are hereby denied all privileges of participating directly or indirectly in any manner or capacity in an exportation of any commodity from the United States to any foreign destination, including Canada. Without limitation of the generality of the foregoing denial of export privileges participation in an exportation shall be deemed to include and prohibit respondents' participation (a) as a party or as a representative of a party to any validated export license application, (b) in the obtaining, applying for, or using of any validated or general license or other export control document, (c) in the receiving in any foreign country of any commodities in whole or in part exported from the United States during the period of this order or to be exported from the United States, and (d) in the financing, forwarding, transporting or other servicing of exports from the United States.

(3) Such denial of export privileges shall apply not only to said respondents, their officers, directors, agents, representatives and employees, but also to any person, firm, corporation, or other busi-

ness organization with which they, or any of them, may be now or hereafter related by ownership, control, position of responsibility, or other connection in the conduct of trade involving exports from the United States or services connected therewith.

(4) This order shall extend for a period of twenty four (24) months from the date of issuance, or for the duration of export controls, whichever expires earlier: *Provided, however*, That during the last six (6) months of said period the export privileges which are denied by the terms of this order shall be restored to said respondents without further order of the Bureau of Foreign Commerce, but no validated export licenses revoked under this order shall thereby be restored. In the event, however, that any of the named respondents or persons or firms covered by (3) above shall knowingly violate the terms and provisions of this order or any of the laws or regulations relating to export control during the entire twenty four (24) months period of this order, the Bureau of Foreign Commerce may summarily and without notice to the person or firm responsible for such violation, at such time as it shall determine that such violation has occurred, issue a supplemental order which shall deny to such person or firm all export privileges for the said period which has been held in abeyance with respect to him or it, and shall revoke and cancel all validated export licenses then outstanding and as to which such person or firm may be a party, without thereby limiting the Bureau of Foreign Commerce from taking such other and further action based on such violation as it shall deem warranted.

(5) No person, firm, corporation, or other business organization shall knowingly apply for or obtain any export license, shipper's export declaration, bill of lading, or other export control document relating to an exportation of any commodity from the United States to any foreign destination under validated or general export licenses, or of exportation to Canada, or finance, transport, forward, receive, or otherwise service any commodities thereunder, to or for the named respondents, or any of them, or any person, firm, corporation or other business organization covered by (3) above, without prior disclosure of such facts to, and specific authorization from, the Bureau of Foreign Commerce.

Dated: October 18, 1954.

JOHN C. BORTON,
Director,
Office of Export Supply.

[F. R. Doc. 54-8286; Filed, Oct. 21, 1954;
8:46 a. m.]

Federal Maritime Board

[Docket No. 767]

PACIFIC COAST EUROPEAN CONFERENCE

NOTICE TO SHOW CAUSE WHY AGREEMENT
AND PRACTICES PERTAINING TO BROKERAGE
SHOULD NOT BE DISAPPROVED

Notice is hereby given that at a session of the Federal Maritime Board held

No. 206—2

at its office in Washington, D. C., on the 19th day of October, 1954, the Board entered the following order:

Whereas, the Pacific Coast European Conference has amended its rule covering brokerage, and

Whereas, the amendment as set forth in the first paragraph of Rule 21, Freight Brokerage, 2nd Revised Page N, of Pacific Coast European Conference Tariff No. 12, issued October 5, 1954, effective September 29, 1954 (Correction No. 231), provides in part "Member Lines must refuse to pay brokerage to any Broker who solicits for, or receives brokerage from, a non-conference line competitor and such Broker will be excluded from the Conference's List of Approved Freight Brokers," and

Whereas, such amendment to said rule appears to be in violation of section 16, (First) and possibly contrary to sections 15 and 17 of the Shipping Act, 1916, and

Now, therefore, pursuant to sections 15, 16, 17, 22 and 25 of the Shipping Act, 1916, and section 9 of the Administrative Procedure Act: *It is, upon the Board's own motion, ordered, That:*

Anglo Canadian Shipping Co., Ltd.; Blue Star Line, Ltd.; Canadian Transport Co., Ltd.; Compagnie Generale Transatlantique (French Line); The East Asiatic Company, Ltd. (A/S Det Østasiatiske Kompagni); Fruit Express Line A/S; Furness, Withy & Co., Ltd. (Furness Line); Hamburg-Amerika Linie (Hamburg American Line); "Italia" Societa Per Azioni di Navigazione (Italian Line); Dampskibsskibsselskabet Jeanette Skinner, Skibsskibsselskabet Pacific, Skibsskibsselskabet Marie Bakke, Dampskibsskibsselskabet Golden Gate, Dampskibsskibsselskabet Lisbeth (Knutsen Line-Joint Service); Nippon Yusen Kaisha; Norddeutscher Lloyd (North German Lloyd); N. V. Nederlandsch-Amerikaansche Stoomvaart-Maatschappij (Holland-America Line); Osaka Shosen Kaisha, Ltd.; Fred. Olsen & Co. (Fred. Olsen Line); Rederiaktiebolaget Nordstjernen (Johnson Line); Rederiet Ocean A/S (J. Lauritzen, Managing Owners) (Lauritzen Line); Royal Mail Line, Ltd.; Seaboard Shipping Company, Ltd.; States Marine Corporation, States Marine Corporation of Delaware (States Marine Lines-Joint Service); Westfal-Larsen & Company A/S (Interocean Line); Western Canada Steamship Company, Limited; regular members of the Pacific Coast European Conference and American President Lines, Ltd., an associate member of said conference; be, and they are hereby, made respondents in this proceeding; and that a copy of this order be served upon each of said respondents;

And it is further ordered, That said respondents show cause before the Board within twenty (20) days after service of this order why their conference agreement (including rules and regulations, understandings and other arrangements) to which respondents or any of them are parties, should not be disapproved;

And it is further ordered, That unless the amendment issued October 5, 1954 to said Freight Brokerage Rule 21 (Correction No. 231) shall be withdrawn pending consideration by the Board, and

notice of such withdrawal be transmitted to the Board not later than November 1, 1954, the approval heretofore given to the Pacific Coast European Conference (Agreement No. 5200) shall thereupon, be immediately revoked;

And it is further ordered, That this order be published in the FEDERAL REGISTER.

Dated: October 19, 1954.

By the Board.

[SEAL] A. J. WILLIAMS,
Secretary.

[F. R. Doc. 54-8327; Filed, Oct. 20, 1954;
8:54 a. m.]

DEPARTMENT OF STATE

Office of German Affairs

[Public Notice 137]

REORGANIZATION OF GERMAN COAL, IRON AND STEEL INDUSTRIES

The following Order No. (V) 6-G issued by the Combined Steel Group of the Allied High Commission for Germany pursuant to Allied High Commission Law No. 27 (Reorganization of German Coal, Iron and Steel Industries), as amended by Allied High Commission Law No. 76, is deemed to be of interest to certain United States citizens as having legal effect upon them or their property. Provision for review of this order will be found in Article 13 of Law No. 27, as amended by Article 4 of Law No. 76.¹

ORDER No. (V) 6-G

To:

1. Vereinigte Stahlwerke Aktiengesellschaft in Liquidation auf Grund des Gesetzes Nr. 27 über die Umgestaltung des deutschen Kohlenbergbaus und der deutschen Stahl- und Eisen-Industrie, in Düsseldorf.

2. Rhein Stahl-Union Maschinen- und Stahlbau Aktiengesellschaft, in Düsseldorf. Hannoverische Maschinenbau-Aktien-Gesellschaft vormals Georg Egestorff (Hannomag), Hannover-Linden.

Eisenwerk Wanheim Gesellschaft mit beschränkter Haftung, Duisburg-Wanheim.

Nordseewerke Emden Gesellschaft mit beschränkter Haftung, Emden.

Dortmunder Union Brückenbau Aktiengesellschaft, Dortmund.

Siegener Eisenbahnbedarf Aktiengesellschaft, Dreis-Tiefenbach, Kreis Siegen.

Concordiahütte Gesellschaft mit beschränkter Haftung, Bendorf/Rhein.

Wagner & Co. Werkzeugmaschinenfabrik Gesellschaft mit beschränkter Haftung, Dortmund.

Gelsenkirchener Bergwerks-Aktien-Gesellschaft, in Essen-Ruhr.

Dortmunder Bergbau Aktiengesellschaft, Dortmund.

Rheinische Bergbau Aktiengesellschaft, Gelsenkirchen.

Hansa Bergbau Aktiengesellschaft, Dortmund.

Erin Bergbau Aktiengesellschaft, in Castrop-Rauxel.

Hamborner Bergbau Aktiengesellschaft, in Duisburg-Hamborn.

Dortmund-Hörder Huttenunion Aktiengesellschaft, in Dortmund.

Rheinische Rohrenwerke Aktiengesellschaft, in Mulheim-Ruhr.

Huttenwerke Phoenix Aktiengesellschaft, in Duisburg-Ruhrort.

¹ Law No. 27 appears in 15 FEDERAL REGISTER at page 8591. Law No. 76 appears in 19 FEDERAL REGISTER at page 6011.

Rheinisch-Westfälische Eisen- und Stahlwerke Aktiengesellschaft, in Mulheim-Ruhr.

Eisenwerke Mulheim/Melderich Aktiengesellschaft, Mulheim-Ruhr.

Eisenwerke Gelsenkirchen Aktiengesellschaft, Gelsenkirchen.

Huttenwerke Siegerland Aktiengesellschaft, in Siegen 1/W.

Friedrichshütte Aktiengesellschaft, Herdorf.

3. All other Successor Companies, including their Subsidiaries, of Vereinigte Stahlwerke Aktiengesellschaft in Liquidation.

4. The National City Bank of New York, in New York; Irving Trust Company, in New York; Kreditanstalt für Wiederaufbau, in Frankfurt/M.

Whereas the Liquidators of Vereinigte Stahlwerke Aktiengesellschaft in Liquidation under Law No. 27 on Reorganization of German Coal and Iron and Steel Industries (hereinafter referred to as "Vereinigte Stahlwerke AG I. L.") have submitted a Plan dated 10 December 1953 (hereinafter referred to as "Plan") for the treatment of claimants under the following dollar bond and debenture issues (hereinafter referred to as "Old Bond Issues"):

Rheinische Union Twenty-Year 7 percent Sinking Fund Mortgage Gold Bonds, issued under Indenture, dated as of 1 January 1926. Trustee: Irving Trust Company.

Vereinigte Stahlwerke Aktiengesellschaft, 25-Year 6½ percent Sinking Fund Mortgage Gold Bonds, Series A, issued under Indenture, dated as of 1 June 1926. Trustee: The National City Bank of New York.

Vereinigte Stahlwerke Aktiengesellschaft, 25-Year 6½ percent Sinking Fund Mortgage Gold Bonds, Series C, issued under said Indenture, dated as of 1 June 1926. Trustee: The National City Bank of New York.

Vereinigte Stahlwerke Aktiengesellschaft, 20-Year 6½ percent Sinking Fund Debentures, Series A, issued under Indenture,

dated as of 1 July 1927. Trustee: Irving Trust Company,

and

Whereas the Plan provides for the refunding of the outstanding debt under the said Old Bond Issues and the transfer of the debt so refunded to the following successor companies, and no others, derived from Vereinigte Stahlwerke AG I. L. (hereinafter referred to as "Obligor Companies") in the following respective percentages:

	Percent
Rhein Stahl-Union Maschinen- und Stahlbau Aktiengesellschaft, Düsseldorf	9.5000
Gelsenkirchener Bergwerks-Aktiengesellschaft, Essen	25.3400
Hamborner Bergbau Aktiengesellschaft, Duisburg-Hamborn	1.5837
Erin Bergbau Aktiengesellschaft, Castrop-Rauxel	4.7512
Dortmund-Hörder Huttenunion Aktiengesellschaft, Dortmund	14.7065
Rheinische Rohrenwerke Aktiengesellschaft, Mulheim-Ruhr	12.9414
Huttenwerke Phoenix Aktiengesellschaft, Duisburg-Ruhrort	12.9414
Rheinisch-Westfälische Eisen- und Stahlwerke Aktiengesellschaft, Mulheim-Ruhr	12.3535
Huttenwerke Siegerland Aktiengesellschaft, Siegen	5.8823
	100.0000

and

Whereas the Obligor Companies will issue their Obligations pursuant to the Plan and to the provisions of the Declaration and Agreement of Deposit attached thereto as Annex 16 (hereinafter referred to as "Declaration"), which Obligations are to be secured by security mortgages to be registered on operating properties (real estate and mining properties) of the following companies (hereinafter referred to as "Mortgagor Companies"), all as provided in the Plan:

Hannoversche Maschinenbau-Aktiengesellschaft, vormals Georg Egestorff (HANOMAG), Hannover-Linden	8712,500
Eisenwerk Wanheim Gesellschaft mit beschränkter Haftung, Duisburg-Wanheim	712,500
Nordseewerke Emden Gesellschaft mit beschränkter Haftung, Emden	475,000
Dortmunder Union Brückenbau Aktiengesellschaft, Dortmund	190,000
Siegener Eisenbahnbedarf Aktiengesellschaft, Dreis-Tiefenbach Kreis Siegen	118,750
Concordiahütte Gesellschaft mit beschränkter Haftung, Bendorf/Rhein	95,000
Wagner & Co., Werkzeugmaschinenfabrik Gesellschaft mit beschränkter Haftung, Dortmund	71,250
	62,375,000
Dortmunder Bergbau Aktiengesellschaft, Dortmund	2,375,625
Rheinische Bergbau Aktiengesellschaft, Gelsenkirchen	3,167,500
Hansa Bergbau Aktiengesellschaft, Dortmund	791,875
	6,335,000
Hamborner Bergbau Aktiengesellschaft, Duisburg-Hamborn	396,000
Erin Bergbau Aktiengesellschaft, Castrop-Rauxel	1,188,000
Dortmund-Hörder Huttenunion Aktiengesellschaft, Dortmund	3,677,000
Rheinische Rohrenwerke Aktiengesellschaft, Mulheim-Ruhr	3,235,000
Huttenwerke Phoenix Aktiengesellschaft, Duisburg-Ruhrort	3,235,000
Eisenwerke Mulheim/Melderich Aktiengesellschaft, Mulheim-Ruhr	1,235,200
Eisenwerke Gelsenkirchen Aktiengesellschaft, Gelsenkirchen	1,852,800
	3,088,000
Huttenwerke Siegerland Aktiengesellschaft, Siegen	1,176,800
Friedrichshütte Aktiengesellschaft, Herdorf	294,200
	1,471,000
	25,000,000

and

Whereas such Obligations will be the several Obligations of the respective Obligor Companies and will not provide for any form of joint liability on the part of any of them within the meaning set forth in Annex 15 to the Plan, and

Whereas Kreditanstalt für Wiederaufbau has agreed to undertake its unconditional guaranty for the fulfillment of the said Obligations and of the Declaration as set forth in the Plan, and

Whereas The National City Bank of New York and Irving Trust Company have, by

letter dated 10 December 1953, agreed to act in accordance with the terms of the Plan as Depositaries of the Obligations to be issued by the above-named Obligor Companies, and

Whereas the Combined Steel Group has determined that the Plan provides fair and equitable treatment to the claimants under the Old Bond Issues in compliance with Article 5 of Law No. 27 without prejudicing the rights of other claimants to such fair and equitable treatment,

The combined steel group hereby orders as follows:

ARTICLE I

(1) The "Plan for the Treatment of Claimants under United States Dollar Bond and Debenture Issues of Vereinigte Stahlwerke Aktiengesellschaft in Liquidation" attached hereto and incorporated herein, is approved and enters into force. The refunding and the transfer of the debt so refunded as provided for in the Plan becomes effective as from 1 January 1953. If an Obligor Company was not yet in existence at that date, the debt allocable to it is being transferred to it as of 1 January 1953, effective as of the date of its registration in the commercial register.

(2) Vereinigte Stahlwerke AG I. L., each of the Obligor Companies, each of the Mortgagor Companies, The National City Bank of New York, Irving Trust Company and Kreditanstalt für Wiederaufbau shall forthwith take, each in its own capacity, all action necessary for the implementation and execution of the Plan. The National City Bank of New York and Irving Trust Company, as Depositaries, shall, in particular, accept and hold the Obligations to be issued by the Obligor Companies subject to the terms and provisions of the Plan and of the Declaration and shall distribute to the claimants under the

Copies of the Plan may be obtained by interested bondholders by application to the Combined Steel Group, c/o The Allied General Secretariat, Allied High Commission for Germany, Bonn, Germany.

Copies of the Plan are available for inspection by interested bondholders at the following places:

Office of German Affairs, Department of State, Twenty-first Street and Virginia Avenue NW., Washington, D. C.

Corporate Trust Department, The National City Bank of New York, 55 Wall Street, New York, N. Y.

Corporate Trust Department, Irving Trust Company, 1 Wall Street, New York, N. Y.

Public Reference Room, Securities and Exchange Commission, 425 Second Street NW., Washington, D. C.

The following other offices of the Securities and Exchange Commission:

Federal Building, Post Office Square, Boston, Mass.

Room 350, Peachtree-Seventh Building, Atlanta, Ga.

Room 630, Bankers Building, 105 West Adams Street, Chicago, Ill.

Room 162, New Custom House, 19th and Stout Street, Denver, Colo.

Room 301, United States Court House, 10th and Lamar Streets, Fort Worth, Tex.

42 Broadway, New York, N. Y.

Room 334, Appraisers Building, 630 Sansome Street, San Francisco, Calif.

Room 304, 905 Second Avenue Building, Seattle, Wash.

Room 1628, Standard Building, 1370 Ontario Street, Cleveland, Ohio.

Room 1074, Federal Building, Detroit, Mich.

Room 1737, United States Post Office and Court House, 312 North Spring Street, Los Angeles, Calif.

Room 400, Pioneer Building, Fourth and Roberts Streets, St. Paul, Minn.

Old Bond Issues Participation Certificates and Scrip in the form and manner provided in the Declaration.

ARTICLE II

(1) The Mortgagor Companies shall secure the liabilities resulting from the respective Obligations by registering in favor of The National City Bank of New York and Irving Trust Company security mortgages on operating properties (real estate and mining properties) of such mortgagor companies as provided in Article VII of the Plan. These mortgages shall be in the respective amounts set out in Column (2) of Annex 10 of the Plan in currency of the United States of America; no consent of any German authority will be required for the entry of the mortgages in currency of the United States of America; Paragraph 15 of the "Verordnung über die Entragung von Hypotheken in ausländischer Währung vom 13. Februar 1920 (RGI, S. 231) in der Fassung des Gesetzes vom 12. März 1931 (RGI I S. 31)" shall not be applicable. The mortgages shall be entered as first mortgage liens in the land registers of the real estate to be mortgaged and in the mining registers of the mining properties to be mortgaged; in the cases specified in Article VII, Paragraph 2, sentence 2 of the Plan, the mortgages shall be registered at the rank immediately after the existing liens referred to in Annex 13 to the Plan, but they shall move up to the first rank as soon as and to the extent that the prior liens are either cancelled or revert to the owner, and, in order to assure this result, preliminary notices of cancellation shall be registered in favor of The National City Bank of New York and Irving Trust Company.

(2) The transfer of the mortgages and of the claims secured thereby to successors and assigns of The National City Bank of New York and Irving Trust Company is governed by the general rules of law.

ARTICLE III

(1) To the extent that reservations of priority (Rangvorbehalte) or preliminary notices (Vormerkungen) provided for in previous orders of the Combined Steel Group and the Combined Coal Control Group have been entered in the land registers, the mortgages shall be entered in exercise of such reservations of priority or in cancellation of such preliminary notices.

(2) To the extent that such reservations of priority or such preliminary notices will not be fully utilized by the entry of a mortgage, or will not be made use of for the entry of a mortgage, the reservation of priority or the preliminary notice, ranking after such mortgage, will remain in existence in such a way that the owner shall be entitled to make use of the remaining reservation of priority or of the remaining preliminary notice in favor of any creditor, without prejudicing, however, the rights of any person other than the creditors of the refunded debt to claim a rank below that required for securing such debt.

ARTICLE IV

(1) To prove to the land registry that a real estate or a mining property of a Mortgagor Company is or is not a real estate or a mining property to be mortgaged in accordance with Article II of this Order, the written statement of the Managing Directors (Vorstand) or of the Managers (Geschäftsführer) of such company shall be sufficient, which statement is not required to be in the form prescribed in Paragraph 29 of the land register ordinance (Grundbuchordnung).

(2) No consent of the party in interest will be required either for the cancellation of a preliminary notice entered pursuant to a previous order of the Combined Steel Group or for the correction of the land

register to the extent that such notice was not utilized for the entry of the mortgage.

ARTICLE V

Pursuant to the provisions of Article 5 of Regulation No. 6 under Law No. 27, Vereinigte Stahlwerke AG I.L., is hereby released from any and all liability under any of the Old Bond Issues as from 1 January 1953 and from any and all liability under the refunded new debt as from the date of its transfer to the Obligor Companies. Neither The National City Bank of New York nor Irving Trust Company as Trustees nor any holder of any bond or debenture including interest coupons pertaining thereto under the Old Bond Issues, or of any claim based thereon, shall have any right to enforce the same or any of the provisions of any of the indentures referred to herein against either Vereinigte Stahlwerke AG I.L., or its Liquidators, or against any of its successor companies, or against any of the properties of either Vereinigte Stahlwerke AG I.L. or any of its successor companies or of any subsidiary of any such successor company, and no holder of any such bond or debenture, including interest coupons, under the Old Bond Issues or of any claims based thereon shall have any other right or claim by reason of the holding or ownership thereof, or otherwise, except to surrender the said bond or debenture or interest coupons in exchange for Participation Certificates or Scrip, or both, in the manner provided in the Declaration, after such bond or debenture or interest coupons shall have been validated pursuant to the Validation Law for German Foreign Currency Bonds, dated 25 August 1952, or, in the case of non-validation, to claim compensation under Part V of said Law against the Obligor Companies, severally, in the respective percentages indicated above.

ARTICLE VI

Article 7, Paragraph 1 of Law No. 27 applies to all measures which will be taken in compliance with the provisions of the Plan or of its Order. The term "Taxes and other duties" used in Law No. 27 will include turnover taxes and transfer taxes as well as other duties, fees and costs, which otherwise would have to be paid in consequence of these measures, subject to the provisions of the following sentence. The treatment of the deconcentration measures for profit and property taxation purposes is determined by the orders of the competent highest fiscal authority of the Land which will establish them in agreement with the persons subject to taxation.

ARTICLE VII

The English and German texts of the Plan and its Annexes are equally authentic.

ARTICLE VIII

This Order, under the authority granted in Regulation No. 22 under Law No. 27 of the Allied High Commission, shall be issued and become effective on 1 October 1954 and is to be published as provided in Decision No. 16 of the Allied High Commission.

W. G. DANIELS,
Acting U. S. Chairman.
E. A. JANET,
French Chairman.
A. H. DAUNCEY,
U. K. Chairman.

For the Secretary of State:

GEOFFREY W. LEWIS,
Deputy Director, Office of German Affairs, Bureau of European Affairs.

OCTOBER 13, 1954.

[P. R. Doc. 54-8207; Filed, Oct. 21, 1954; 8:45 a. m.]

DEPARTMENT OF LABOR

Wage and Hour Division

[Administrative Order 441]

NOTICE OF RESIGNATION FROM AND APPOINTMENT TO SPECIAL INDUSTRY COMMITTEES NOS. 16A AND 16B FOR PUERTO RICO

On October 18, 1954, David Sternback of San Juan, Puerto Rico, resigned as a member of Special Industry Committees Nos. 16A and 16B.

Pursuant to authority under the Fair Labor Standards Act of 1938, as amended (52 Stat. 1060, as amended; 29 U. S. C. 201 et seq.), I, Wm. R. McComb, Administrator of the Wage and Hour Division, United States Department of Labor, hereby appoint Pablo Ortiz Garcia of Guayama, Puerto Rico, to serve on Committee No. 16A as a representative of employees in the industry for which that Committee was appointed, and Miguel Garriga of Santurce, Puerto Rico, to serve on Committee No. 16B as a representative of employees in the industry for which that Committee was appointed.

Signed at Washington, D. C., at 1:06 p. m., e. s. t., this 18th day of October 1954.

Wm. R. McComb,
Administrator,
Wage and Hour Division.

[P. R. Doc. 54-8293; Filed, Oct. 20, 1954; 8:54 a. m.]

FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS COMMISSION

[Docket Nos. 11009, 11010, 11012; FCC 54M-1281]

INDEPENDENT TELEVISION, INC., ET AL.

ORDER CONTINUING HEARING CONFERENCE

In re applications of Independent Television, Inc., Whitefish Bay, Wisconsin, Docket No. 11009, File No. BPCT-1831; Cream City Broadcasting Company, Inc., Whitefish Bay, Wisconsin, Docket No. 11010, File No. BPCT-1832; The Hearst Corporation, Whitefish Bay, Wisconsin, Docket No. 11012, File No. BPCT-1833; for construction permits for new television broadcast stations (Channel 6).

The Commission having under consideration a motion filed by The Hearst Corporation on October 13, 1954, requesting that the date for exchange by the applicants in this proceeding of their direct cases be extended from October 18, 1954, to October 20, 1954, for the reason that additional time is required by Hearst to complete reproduction of material to be included in its direct case, and

It appearing that counsel for each applicant and for the Chief of the Broadcast Bureau have informally expressed their consent to the immediate consideration and grant of the instant motion, and that a grant thereof will conduce to the orderly dispatch of the Commission's business, and

It further appearing that counsel for all parties have expressed informally their consent to the one-day postponement of the date for the further confer-

ence as hereinafter ordered, now therefore

It is ordered, This 15th day of October 1954 that the above motion for extension of time be and it is hereby granted and the date for submitting the direct affirmative case written exhibits referred to in § 1.841 (a) is extended from October 18, 1954, to October 20, 1954, and it is further ordered that the date for the further conference in this proceeding shall be continued from 10:00 a. m., e. s. t., November 3, 1954, to 10:00 a. m., e. s. t., November 4, 1954, in Washington, D. C.

FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS
COMMISSION,
[SEAL] Wm. P. MASSING,
Acting Secretary.

[P. R. Doc. 54-8319; Filed, Oct. 21, 1954;
8:53 a. m.]

[Docket Nos. 11169-11173; FCC 54M-1278]
TRIAD TELEVISION CORP. ET AL.

FIRST STATEMENT CONCERNING PRE-HEARING
CONFERENCES AND ORDER CONTINUING
HEARING

In re applications of Triad Television Corporation, Parma, Michigan, Docket No. 11169, File No. BPCT-1846; Booth Radio & Television Stations, Inc., Parma, Michigan, Docket No. 11170, File No. BPCT-1866; Television Corporation of Michigan, Inc., Onondaga, Michigan, Docket No. 11171, File No. BPCT-1870; Jackson Broadcasting & Television Corporation, Parma, Michigan, Docket No. 11172, File No. BPCT-1871; Michigan State Board of Agriculture, Onondaga, Michigan, Docket No. 11173; File No. BPCT-1885; for construction permits for new television stations Channel 10).

1. Pre-hearing conferences were held herein on October 8 and October 11, 1954, and the following agreements were reached among the parties and are found to be acceptable and approved by the Hearing Examiner:

(a) The direct cases, in written form, shall be prepared as follows:

(1) The testimony of each witness shall be prepared in narrative form and shall be submitted under the affidavit of the particular witness.

(2) All narrative statements shall be prepared in double-spaced form. Carbon copies will not be acceptable.

(3) Parties shall be designated by abbreviated names, as follows: Triad, Booth, Michigan, Jackson, Board and Commission Counsel.

(4) Each party shall mark its exhibits with its name and a number. Narrative statements shall be numbered in series, beginning with one. An exhibit connected with a narrative statement shall be given the same number as the narrative statement, plus an identifying letter in series, such as "Triad No. 1-A."

(b) The "direct case" will include the affirmative proposals of each of the parties with respect to its own application, i. e., all data desired to be submitted by the applicant in connection with his

qualifications and proposals, and will not include testimony or exhibits in the nature of rebuttal with respect to the proposals of the other parties.

(c) Where the direct case in written form contains testimony of witnesses whose direct testimony appropriately could have been taken by deposition, parties will not object to taking cross-examination of such witnesses through deposition procedures rather than requiring those witnesses to be brought to Washington.

(d) With reference to program proposals, each applicant will submit, as a minimum: (1) Complete program schedule of programs, including the classification of each program by type and source, (2) non-network program descriptions, (3) analyses of proposed typical week by type and source, together with information on spot announcements as required by FCC Form 301.

(e) Each applicant will furnish a minimum of 2 copies (3 copies, if possible without re-doing material already prepared) of its exhibits to each of the other applicants and 1 copy, each, to Commission Counsel and the Examiner.

(f) All parties stipulated that syndicated and feature film is available to all applicants.

(g) Requests for additional information, if needed, should be made at the first pre-hearing conference following the exchange of the exhibits comprising the "direct case."

2. Commission Counsel stated his views as to the showing necessary for the applicants to make in order to meet the issues regarding "overlap" (see Tr. 91-94, 120).

3. After discussion of possible petitions to change the issues, which are contemplated by some of the parties, the Examiner ruled that parties should proceed to prepare their direct cases on the issues as presently constituted, and that, if new issues are added, parties would be given an opportunity to supplement their direct cases to the extent of furnishing exhibits to cover the new issues that are added.

4. In view of heavy and conflicting commitments of some counsel in other pending cases, which were due to circumstances beyond their control, the Examiner found that good cause had been shown for some continuance of the hearing beyond its presently scheduled date. In view of this determination, a series of dates for conferences and for hearing was scheduled, as set forth below. It is recognized, however, that it may be necessary to postpone further the actual hearing date in order to accomplish the pre-hearing procedures contemplated in the Commission's new rules.

¹ After discussion, the Examiner ruled that material not included in an applicant's exhibits, which was later furnished by such applicant on request, could not properly be offered by such applicant as part of its "direct case." Whether it may properly be offered as part of such applicant's rebuttal case will be ruled on if and when a specific offer is made.

October 29, 1954, 2:00 p. m.: Pre-hearing Conference.

December 1, 1954, 2:00 p. m.: Pre-hearing Conference at which parties will exchange exhibits comprising their direct cases on issues as presently constituted.

December 9, 1954, 10:00 a. m.: Pre-hearing Conference for purposes of § 1.841 (c).

December 10, 1954, 10:00 a. m.: Hearing for receiving exhibits and taking testimony.

It is ordered, This 15th day of October 1954, that the foregoing agreements and requirements shall govern the course of the proceeding to the extent indicated, unless modified by the Examiner for cause or by the Commission upon review of the Examiner's ruling.

It is further ordered, That the hearing, heretofore scheduled for November 22, 1954, is hereby continued until December 10, 1954, and that pre-hearing conferences are scheduled at the dates and times specified in paragraph 4, above.

FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS
COMMISSION,
[SEAL] Wm. P. MASSING,
Acting Secretary.

[P. R. Doc. 54-8320; Filed, Oct. 21, 1954;
8:53 a. m.]

[Docket Nos. 11202, 11203, 11204; FCC
54-1286]

MINERS BROADCASTING SERVICE, INC., ET AL.

ORDER DESIGNATING APPLICATIONS FOR CONSOLIDATED HEARING ON STATED ISSUES

In re applications of Miners Broadcasting Service, Inc., Ambridge, Pennsylvania, Docket No. 11202, File No. BP-9102; Louis Rosenberg, Tarentum, Pennsylvania, Docket No. 11203, File No. BP-9192; Theodore H. Opegard and Carl R. Lee, doing business as Somerset Broadcasting Company, Painesville, Ohio, Docket No. 11204, File No. BP-9358; for construction permits.

At a session of the Federal Communications Commission held at its offices in Washington, D. C., on the 13th day of October 1954;

The Commission having under consideration the above-entitled applications of the Miners Broadcasting Service, Inc.; Louis Rosenberg and the Somerset Broadcasting Company, for construction permits for new standard broadcast stations to operate on 1460 kilocycles with a power of 500 watts, daytime only, at Ambridge, Pennsylvania; Tarentum, Pennsylvania, and Painesville, Ohio, respectively;

It appearing, that pursuant to section 309 (b) of the Communications Act of 1934, as amended, the subject applicants were advised by letter dated August 6, 1954, of deficiencies in their respective applications and that the Commission was unable to conclude that a grant of any of the applications would be in the public interest; and

It further appearing, that each applicant replied and requested a comparative hearing; and

It further appearing, that each applicant is legally, technically, financially and otherwise qualified to operate the

proposed station, but that the operation of Miners Broadcasting Service, Inc. is mutually exclusive with the proposal of Louis Rosenberg; would cause interference to the proposal of the Somerset Broadcasting Company; and may not provide the recommended minimum of interference-free service within its normally protected daytime contour (0.5 mv/m) because of interference from Station WBNS, Columbus, Ohio, and the proposal of the Somerset Broadcasting Company; that the operation of Louis Rosenberg is mutually exclusive with the proposal of the Miners Broadcasting Service, Inc.; and would cause interference to the proposal of the Somerset Broadcasting Company; that the proposed operation of the Somerset Broadcasting Company would cause interference to the proposal of the Miners Broadcasting Service, Inc.; and would cause borderline interference to the proposal of Louis Rosenberg; and

It further appearing, that the Commission, after consideration of the replies, is of the opinion that a hearing is necessary;

It is ordered, That pursuant to section 309 (b) of the Communications Act of 1934, as amended, the said applications are designated for hearing in a consolidated proceeding, at a time and place to be specified in a subsequent order, upon the following issues:

1. To determine the areas and populations which may be expected to gain or lose primary service from the operation of the proposed stations, and the availability of other primary service to such areas and populations.

2. To determine whether the operations proposed by the Somerset Broadcasting Company and the Miners Broadcasting Service, Inc., would involve objectionable interference with each other, and, if so, the nature and extent thereof, the areas and populations affected thereby, and the availability of other primary service to such areas and populations.

3. To determine whether the installation and operation of the station proposed by Miners Broadcasting Service, Inc., would be in compliance with the Commission's rules and Standards of Good Engineering Practice Concerning Standard Broadcast Stations with particular reference to providing the required minimum of interference-free service within its normally protected daytime contour (0.5 mv/m) because of interference from Station WBNS, Columbus, Ohio, and the proposal of the Somerset Broadcasting Company.

4. To determine whether the operations proposed by Louis Rosenberg and the Somerset Broadcasting Company would involve objectionable interference with each other, and, if so, the nature and extent thereof, the areas and populations affected thereby, and the availability of other primary service to such areas and populations.

5. To determine in the light of section 307 (b) of the Communications Act of 1934, as amended, which, if any, of these

applicants would provide the most fair, efficient and equitable distribution of radio service.

6. To determine, on a comparative basis, which of the operations proposed in the above-entitled applications would best serve the public interest, convenience or necessity in the light of the evidence adduced under the foregoing issues and the record made with respect to the significant differences between the applicants as to:

(a) The background and experience of each of the above-named applicants to own and operate the proposed stations.

(b) The proposals of each of the above-named applicants with respect to the management and operation of the proposed stations.

(c) The programming service proposed in each of the above-mentioned applications.

It is further ordered, That the issues in the above-entitled proceeding may be enlarged by the Examiner on his own motion or on petition properly filed by a party to the proceeding and upon sufficient allegations of fact in support thereof, by the addition of the following issue: To determine whether the funds available to the applicant will give reasonable

assurance that the proposals set forth in the application will be effectuated.

Released: October 19, 1954.

FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS COMMISSION,
MARY JANE MORRIS,
Secretary.

[F. R. Doc. 54-8321; Filed, Oct. 21, 1954; 8:54 a. m.]

[Change List 87]

CANADIAN BROADCAST STATIONS
LIST OF CHANGES, PROPOSED CHANGES AND CORRECTIONS IN ASSIGNMENTS

SEPTEMBER 30, 1954.

Notification under the provisions of Part III, section 2 of the North American Regional Broadcasting Agreement.

List of changes, proposed changes, and corrections in assignments of Canadian Broadcast Stations modifying appendix containing assignments of Canadian Broadcast Stations (Mimeograph 47214-3) attached to the recommendations of the North American Regional Broadcasting Agreement Engineering Meeting, January 30, 1941.

CANADA

Call letters	Location	Power kw	Antenna	Schedule	Class	Probable date to commence operation
CKYL.....	Peace River, Alberta (assignment of call letters).	650 kilocycles 0.25	DA-1	U	III	
New.....	Leamington, Ontario.....	710 kilocycles 0.25	DA	D	II	E. I. O. Sept. 29, 1955.
CJBR.....	Rimouski, Province of Quebec.....	900 kilocycles 10 kw	DA-N	U	II	Sept. 29, 1955.
CKGR.....	Galt, Ontario.....	1110 kilocycles 0.25	ND	D	II	Assignment of call letters. Now in operation.
CJOC.....	Lethbridge, Alberta.....	1280 kilocycles 10 kw D/5 kw N	DA-N	U	II	Sept. 29, 1955.
CFCW.....	Camrose, Alberta.....	1330 kilocycles 0.25	ND	U	IV	Assignment of call letters.
CHRD.....	Drummondville, Province of Quebec (assignment of call letters).	1340 kilocycles 0.25	ND	U	IV	Jan. 30, 1955.
New.....	Bathurst, New Brunswick.....	1400 kilocycles 0.25	ND	U	IV	Sept. 29, 1955.

[SEAL] FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS COMMISSION,
MARY JANE MORRIS,
Secretary.
[F. R. Doc. 54-8322; Filed, Oct. 21, 1954; 8:54 a. m.]

FEDERAL POWER COMMISSION

[Docket Nos. G-1382, G-1533, G-1881]

NORTHERN NATURAL GAS CO.

ORDER AMENDING ORDER REOPENING PROCEEDINGS FOR LIMITED PURPOSES AND FIXING DATE OF HEARING

Upon further consideration of the order issued herein on October 8, 1954, the Commission orders: paragraphs (A) through (D) thereof be and they are hereby amended to read as follows:

(A) The proceedings at Docket Nos. G-1382 and G-1533 be and they are hereby reopened for the limited purpose of receiving evidence with respect to:

(1) Northern's actual cost of service during the period commencing September 27, 1950, and continuing through June 26, 1952, including the rate of return to be allowed Northern, and the portion thereof properly attributable to sales made under those schedules of increased rates and charges which were

the subjects of the proceedings at Docket Nos. G-1382 and G-1533.

(2) The volumes of gas sold and delivered to each customer at each point of delivery under each monthly billing period for the period of September 27, 1950, to June 26, 1952, both inclusive, and the related amounts collected under each such schedule during each billing period.

(3) The method of determining the amounts to be refunded to each of those entitled thereto, and the fixing of the amounts to be refunded to each such person, including interest at the rate of six percent (6%) per annum.

(B) The proceedings at Docket No. G-1881 be and they are hereby reopened for the limited purpose of receiving evidence to show whether the increased rates and charges set forth in the rate schedules filed pursuant to the aforesaid Opinion No. 233 and accompanying order at Docket No. G-1881, which are designated as Third Revised Sheet No. 5 and First Revised Sheet No. 12 (a) of Northern's FPC Gas Tariff, First Revised Volume No. 2, and effective as of June 27, 1952, are justified for the period June 27, 1952, through December 26, 1953, or whether a portion thereof should be found not justified and ordered to be refunded.

(C) The public hearing in these reopened proceedings be held commencing at 10:00 o'clock, a. m., e. s. t., on October 25, 1954, in a Hearing Room of the Federal Power Commission, 441 G Street NW., Washington, D. C., concerning the matters specified in paragraphs (A) and (B) above.

(D) All parties heretofore permitted to intervene in the proceedings at Docket Nos. G-1382, G-1533, and G-1881 may participate in these reopened proceedings.

(E) Interested State commissions may participate as provided by §§ 1.8 and 1.37 (f) of the Commission's rules of practice and procedure (18 CFR 1.8 and 1.37 (f)).

Adopted: October 14, 1954.

Issued: October 15, 1954.

By the Commission.

[SEAL] LEON M. FUQUAY,
Secretary.

[F. R. Doc. 54-8295; Filed, Oct. 21, 1954;
8:48 a. m.]

[Docket No. G-2499]

SOUTHERN NATURAL GAS CO.

ORDER FIXING DATE OF HEARING

The Applicant in this proceeding has requested that its application for a certificate of public convenience and necessity to construct and operate certain facilities and to sell and deliver natural gas as described in its application be heard under the shortened procedure provided by § 1.32 (b) (18 CFR 1.32 (b)) of the Commission's rules of practice and procedure. The application was filed on July 23, 1954, pursuant to section 7 of the Natural Gas Act, and a supplement

was filed August 30, 1954. Due notice of the filing of the application was given, including publication in the FEDERAL REGISTER on August 11, 1954 (19 F. R. 5067). Several petitions to intervene were filed on August 6 and 25, 1954.

The Commission finds:

(1) This proceeding is not a proper one for disposition under the provisions of § 1.32 (b) (18 CFR 1.32 (b)) of the Commission's rules of practice and procedure.

(2) It is necessary and proper in the public interest and in aid of the enforcement of the provisions of the Natural Gas Act that the Commission enter upon a hearing, pursuant to the authority contained in sections 7 and 15 of the act, concerning the matters involved in and the issues raised by the application of Southern Natural Gas Company in this proceeding.

The Commission orders:

(A) Southern Natural Gas Company's request for a hearing pursuant to the terms of § 1.32 (b) (18 CFR 1.32 (b)) of the Commission's rules of practice and procedure be and the same is hereby denied.

(B) Pursuant to the authority contained in sections 7 and 15 of the Natural Gas Act, and the Commission's rules of practice and procedure, a hearing be held on November 9, 1954, at 10:00 a. m., e. s. t., in a Hearing Room of the Federal Power Commission, 441 G Street NW., Washington, D. C., concerning the matters involved in and the issues presented by the application.

(C) Interested State commissions may participate as provided by §§ 1.8 and 1.37 (f) (18 CFR 1.8 and 1.37 (f)) of the said rules of practice and procedure.

Adopted: October 14, 1954.

Issued: October 18, 1954.

By the Commission.

[SEAL] LEON M. FUQUAY,
Secretary.

[F. R. Doc. 54-8313; Filed, Oct. 21, 1954;
8:52 a. m.]

[Docket No. G-2555]

AMERE GAS UTILITIES CO.

ORDER AMENDING ORDER SUSPENDING
PROPOSED TARIFF SHEETS

Amere Gas Utilities Company (Amere), on July 20, 1954, tendered for filing its proposed FPC Gas Tariff, Second Revised Volume No. 1, proposing an increase in rates and charges to Bluefield Gas Company to become effective August 20, 1954. On August 19, 1954, the Commission issued an order suspending the proposed increase for five months provided by section 4 (e) of the Natural Gas Act until January 20, 1955.

Subsequently, on September 13, 1954, Amere filed an application for reconsideration and amendment of the Commission's order issued August 19, 1954. Such an application is, in effect, an application for rehearing, provision for which is made under section 19 (a) of the Natural Gas Act, and we shall consider it as such. In its application,

Amere requests that the Commission reconsider and amend its order issued August 19, 1954, so far as it relates to the suspension of the proposed increase in rates and charges to Bluefield Gas Company until January 20, 1955.

Amere's principal supplier has filed a proposed increase in rates which may become effective on November 1, 1954, subject to refund.

The Commission orders: Paragraph (B) of the order issued August 19, 1954, in this docket be and the same hereby is amended to read as follows:

(B) Pending such hearing and decision thereon, Amere's FPC Gas Tariff, Second Revised Volume No. 1, be and the same is hereby suspended and the use thereof is deferred until November 1, 1954, and until such further time thereafter as said proposed FPC Gas Tariff, Second Revised Volume No. 1, may be made effective in the manner prescribed by the Natural Gas Act, unless otherwise ordered by the Commission.

Adopted: October 14, 1954.

Issued: October 14, 1954.

By the Commission.

[SEAL] LEON M. FUQUAY,
Secretary.

[F. R. Doc. 54-8294; Filed, Oct. 21, 1954;
8:48 a. m.]

[Docket No. G-2723]

HOLLY DEVELOPMENT CO.

NOTICE OF APPLICATION AND ORDER FIXING
DATE OF HEARING

Take notice that Holly Development Company (Applicant), organized under the laws of the State of California with a principal place of business at Los Angeles, California, filed, on September 10, 1954, an application for a certificate of public convenience and necessity pursuant to section 7 of the Natural Gas Act, authorizing Applicant to make sales of natural gas, subject to the jurisdiction of the Commission, all as more fully represented and described in the application.

The application recites that Holly Development Company is engaged in the sale of natural gas in interstate commerce to Mountain Fuel Supply Company in the South Baxter Basin Field, Sweetwater County, Wyoming.

Protests or petitions to intervene may be filed with the Federal Power Commission, Washington, D. C., in accordance with the rules of practice and procedure (18 CFR 1.8 or 1.10) on or before the 27th day of October 1954. The application is on file with the Commission for public inspection.

This matter is one that should be disposed of as promptly as possible under the applicable rules and regulations.

The Commission finds: It is proper and consistent with the public interest that notice of application and order fixing date of hearing be published simultaneously.

The Commission orders: Pursuant to the authority contained in and subject to the jurisdiction conferred upon the

Federal Power Commission by sections 7 and 15 of the Natural Gas Act and the Commission's rules of practice and procedure, a hearing be held on November 2, 1954, at 9:45 a. m., e. s. t., in a Hearing Room of the Federal Power Commission, 441 G Street NW., Washington, D. C., concerning the matters involved in and the issues presented by such application: *Provided, however,* That the Commission may, after a noncontested hearing, dispose of the proceeding pursuant to the provisions of § 1.30 (c) (2) of the Commission's rules of practice and procedure.

Adopted: October 14, 1954.

Issued: October 18, 1954.

By the Commission.

[SEAL] LEON M. FUQUAY,
Secretary.

[F. R. Doc. 54-8296; Filed, Oct. 21, 1954;
8:49 a. m.]

[Docket No. G-2765]

TASCOSA GAS CO.

NOTICE OF APPLICATION AND ORDER FIXING
DATE OF HEARING

Tascosa Gas Company (Applicant) a Delaware corporation with its principal place of business at Oklahoma City, Oklahoma, filed an application on September 14, 1954, for a certificate of public convenience and necessity, pursuant to section 7 of the Natural Gas Act, authorizing Applicant to sell natural gas subject to the jurisdiction of the Commission, all as more fully described in his application.

Applicant states that it produces natural gas in the Texas-Hugoton Field in Sherman and Hansford Counties, Texas, and the Guymon-Hugoton Field in Texas County, Oklahoma, and proposes to sell natural gas in interstate commerce to Phillips Petroleum Company.

Protests or petitions to intervene may be filed with the Federal Power Commission, Washington, D. C., in accordance with the rules of practice and procedure (18 CFR 1.8 or 1.10) on or before the 1st day of November 1954. The application is on file with the Commission for public inspection.

This matter is one that should be disposed of as promptly as possible under the rules and regulations.

The Commission finds: It is proper and consistent with the public interest that notice of the application and order fixing date of hearing be published simultaneously.

The Commission orders: Pursuant to the authority contained in and subject to the jurisdiction conferred upon the Federal Power Commission by sections 7 and 15 of the Natural Gas Act, and the Commission's rules of practice and procedure, a hearing be held on November 5, 1954, at 9:30 a. m., e. s. t., in a Hearing Room of the Federal Power Commission, 441 G Street NW., Washington, D. C., concerning the matters involved and the issues presented by such application: *Provided, however,* That the Commission

may, after a noncontested hearing dispose of the proceedings pursuant to the provisions of § 1.30 (c) (2) of the Commission's rules of practice and procedure.

Adopted: October 14, 1954.

Issued: October 18, 1954.

By the Commission.

[SEAL] LEON M. FUQUAY,
Secretary.

[F. R. Doc. 54-8314; Filed, Oct. 21, 1954;
8:52 a. m.]

[Docket No. G-2774]

M. A. HALSEY

NOTICE OF APPLICATION AND ORDER FIXING
DATE OF HEARING

M. A. Halsey (Applicant), an individual whose address is P. O. Box 494, Tulsa, Oklahoma, filed an application on September 15, 1954, for a certificate of public convenience and necessity, pursuant to section 7 of the Natural Gas Act, authorizing Applicant to sell natural gas subject to the jurisdiction of the Commission, all as more fully described in his application.

Applicant states that he produces natural gas in the Athens Field, Claiborne Parish, Louisiana; that he has sold and proposes to continue to sell natural gas in interstate commerce to Arkansas-Louisiana Gas Company.

Protests or petitions to intervene may be filed with the Federal Power Commission, Washington, D. C., in accordance with the rules of practice and procedure (18 CFR 1.8 or 1.10) on or before the 1st day of November 1954. The application is on file with the Commission for public inspection.

This matter is one that should be disposed of as promptly as possible under the rules and regulations.

The Commission finds: It is proper and consistent with the public interest that notice of the application and order fixing date of hearing be published simultaneously.

The Commission orders: Pursuant to the authority contained in and subject to the jurisdiction conferred upon the Federal Power Commission by sections 7 and 15 of the Natural Gas Act, and the Commission's rules of practice and procedure, a hearing be held on November 5, 1954, at 9:35 a. m., e. s. t., in a Hearing Room of the Federal Power Commission, 441 G Street NW., Washington, D. C., concerning the matters involved and the issues presented by such application: *Provided, however,* That the Commission may, after a noncontested hearing, dispose of the proceedings pursuant to the provisions of § 1.30 (c) (2) of the Commission's rules of practice and procedure.

Adopted: October 14, 1954.

Issued: October 18, 1954.

By the Commission.

[SEAL] LEON M. FUQUAY,
Secretary.

[F. R. Doc. 54-8315; Filed, Oct. 21, 1954;
8:52 a. m.]

[Docket No. G-2820]

KINRICH GAS CO.

NOTICE OF APPLICATION AND ORDER FIXING
DATE OF HEARING

Take notice that Kinrich Gas Company (Applicant), operating as a partnership in the State of Kentucky with a principal place of business at Prestonsburg, Kentucky, filed, on September 20, 1954, an application for a certificate of public convenience and necessity pursuant to section 7 of the Natural Gas Act, authorizing Applicant to make sales of natural gas, subject to the jurisdiction of the Commission, all as more fully represented and described in the application.

The application recites that Kinrich Gas Company, a partnership, is engaged in the sale of natural gas in interstate commerce to Kentucky West Virginia Gas Company in Pike County, Kentucky.

Protests or petitions to intervene may be filed with the Federal Power Commission, Washington, D. C., in accordance with the rules of practice and procedure (18 CFR 1.8 or 1.10) on or before the 28th day of October 1954. The application is on file with the Commission for public inspection.

This matter is one that should be disposed of as promptly as possible under the applicable rules and regulations.

The Commission finds: It is proper and consistent with the public interest that notice of application and order fixing date of hearing be published simultaneously.

The Commission orders: Pursuant to the authority contained in and subject to the jurisdiction conferred upon the Federal Power Commission by sections 7 and 15 of the Natural Gas Act and the Commission's rules of practice and procedure, a hearing be held on November 2, 1954, at 9:40 a. m., e. s. t., in a Hearing Room of the Federal Power Commission, 441 G Street NW., Washington, D. C., concerning the matters involved in and the issues presented by such application: *Provided, however,* That the Commission may, after a noncontested hearing, dispose of the proceeding pursuant to the provisions of § 1.30 (c) (2) of the Commission's rules of practice and procedure.

Adopted: October 14, 1954.

Issued: October 18, 1954.

By the Commission.

[SEAL] LEON M. FUQUAY,
Secretary.

[F. R. Doc. 54-8297; Filed, Oct. 21, 1954;
8:49 a. m.]

[Docket No. G-2823]

KING-LOC PETROLEUM CO.

NOTICE OF APPLICATION AND ORDER FIXING
DATE OF HEARING

Take notice that King-Loc Petroleum Company (Applicant), an Arizona corporation with its principal office in Phoenix, Arizona, filed on September 20, 1954, an application for a certificate of public convenience and necessity, pursuant to section 7 of the Natural Gas Act,

authorizing Applicant to make sales of natural gas as hereinafter described subject to the jurisdiction of the Commission, all as more fully represented in its application filed herein.

Applicant produces natural gas in the Blanco (Mesa Verde formation) and South Blanco (Pictured Cliff formation) Fields in Rio Arriba County, New Mexico, which it sells to El Paso Natural Gas Company for resale in interstate commerce.

Protests or petitions to intervene may be filed with the Federal Power Commission, Washington, D. C., in accordance with the rules of practice and procedure (18 CFR 1.8 or 1.10) on or before the 29th day of October, 1954. The application is on file with the Commission for public inspection.

This matter is one that should be disposed of as promptly as possible under the applicable rules and regulations. Failure of any party to appear at and participate in the hearing shall be construed as waiver of and concurrence in omission herein of the intermediate decision procedure.

The Commission finds: It is proper and consistent with the public interest that notice of the application and order fixing date of hearing be published simultaneously.

The Commission orders: Pursuant to the authority contained in and subject to the jurisdiction conferred upon the Federal Power Commission by sections 7 and 15 of the Natural Gas Act, and the Commission's rules of practice and procedure, a hearing be held on November 5, 1954, at 9:30 a. m., e. s. t., in a Hearing Room of the Federal Power Commission, 441 G Street NW., Washington, D. C., concerning the matters involved and the issues presented by such application: *Provided, however*, That the Commission may, after a non-contested hearing, dispose of the proceedings pursuant to the provisions of § 1.30 (c) (2) of the Commission's rules of practice and procedure.

Adopted: October 14, 1954.

Issued: October 18, 1954.

By the Commission.

[SEAL] LEON M. FUQUAY,
Secretary.

[F. R. Doc. 54-8316; Filed, Oct. 21, 1954;
8:53 a. m.]

[Docket No. G-2833]

STEWARTS CREEK GAS COMPANY #1

NOTICE OF APPLICATION AND ORDER
FIXING DATE OF HEARING

Stewarts Creek Gas Company No. 1 (Applicant), a West Virginia organization, with its principal place of business at Glenville, West Virginia, filed an application on September 20, 1954, for a certificate of public convenience and necessity, pursuant to section 7 of the Natural Gas Act, authorizing Applicant to sell natural gas subject to the jurisdiction of the Commission, all as more fully described in its application.

Applicant states that it produces natural gas from acreage located in Stewarts Creek Field, Gilmer County, West Virginia, and proposes to sell natural gas in interstate commerce to Equitable Gas Company.

Applicant has requested that its application be heard under the shortened procedure provided by § 1.32 (b) (18 CFR 1.32 (b)) of the Commission's rules of practice and procedure.

Protests or petitions to intervene may be filed with the Federal Power Commission, Washington, D. C., in accordance with the rules of practice and procedure (18 CFR 1.8 or 1.10) on or before the 1st day of November 1954. The application is on file with the Commission for public inspection.

The Commission finds: It is proper and consistent with the public interest that notice of the application and order fixing date of hearing be published simultaneously.

The Commission orders: Pursuant to the authority contained in and subject to the jurisdiction conferred upon the Federal Power Commission by sections 7 and 15 of the Natural Gas Act, and the Commission's rules of practice and procedure, a hearing be held on November 5, 1954, at 9:40 a. m., e. s. t., in a Hearing Room of the Federal Power Commission, 441 G Street NW., Washington, D. C., concerning the matters involved in and the issues presented by the application: *Provided, however*, That the Commission may, after a non-contested hearing, forthwith dispose of the proceeding pursuant to the provisions of § 1.32 (b) of the Commission's rules of practice and procedure.

Adopted: October 14, 1954.

Issued: October 18, 1954.

By the Commission.

[SEAL] LEON M. FUQUAY,
Secretary.

[F. R. Doc. 54-8317; Filed, Oct. 21, 1954;
8:53 a. m.]

[Docket No. G-2842]

FAIN & MCGAHA

NOTICE OF APPLICATION AND ORDER FIXING
DATE OF HEARING

Take notice that Fain & McGaha (Applicant), operating under the laws of the State of Texas, with a principal office in Wichita Falls, Texas, filed, on September 20, 1954, an application for a certificate of public convenience and necessity pursuant to section 7 of the Natural Gas Act, authorizing Applicants to make sales of natural gas, subject to the jurisdiction of the Commission, all as more fully represented and described in the application.

The application recites that Applicant is engaged in the sale of natural gas in interstate commerce to Lone Star Gas Company in the Moran Field, Callahan County, Texas.

Protests or petitions to intervene may be filed with the Federal Power Commission, Washington, D. C., in accordance with the rules of practice and procedure

(18 CFR 1.8 or 1.10) on or before the 28th day of October 1954. The application is on file with the Commission for public inspection.

This matter is one that should be disposed of as promptly as possible under the applicable rules and regulations.

The Commission finds: It is proper and consistent with the public interest that notice of application and order fixing date of hearing be published simultaneously.

The Commission orders: Pursuant to the authority contained in and subject to the jurisdiction conferred upon the Federal Power Commission by sections 7 and 15 of the Natural Gas Act and the Commission's rules of practice and procedure, a hearing be held on November 2, 1954, at 9:30 a. m., e. s. t., in a Hearing Room of the Federal Power Commission, 441 G Street NW., Washington, D. C., concerning the matters involved in and the issues presented by such application: *Provided, however*, That the Commission may, after a noncontested hearing, dispose of the proceeding pursuant to the provisions of § 1.30 (c) (2) of the Commission's rules of practice and procedure.

Adopted: October 14, 1954.

Issued: October 18, 1954.

By the Commission.

[SEAL] LEON M. FUQUAY,
Secretary.

[F. R. Doc. 54-8298; Filed, Oct. 21, 1954;
8:49 a. m.]

[Docket No. G-2852]

JOHN A. WALTERS AND O. W. KERSHAW
NOTICE OF APPLICATION AND ORDER FIXING
DATE OF HEARING

John A. Walters and O. W. Kershaw (Applicants), whose address is Box 949, Manhattan, Kansas, filed an application on September 20, 1954, for a certificate of public convenience and necessity pursuant to section 7 of the Natural Gas Act, authorizing Applicants to sell natural gas subject to the jurisdiction of the Commission, all as more fully described in said application.

Applicants state that they produce natural gas in the Hugoton Gas Field of Kansas, and propose to sell natural gas in interstate commerce to Northern Natural Gas Company.

Protests or petitions to intervene may be filed with the Federal Power Commission, Washington, D. C., in accordance with the rules of practice and procedure (18 CFR 1.8 or 1.10) on or before the 1st day of November 1954. The application is on file with the Commission for public inspection.

This matter is one that should be disposed of as promptly as possible under the applicable rules and regulations.

The Commission finds: It is proper and consistent with the public interest that notice of the application and order fixing date of hearing be published simultaneously.

The Commission orders: Pursuant to the authority contained in and subject to the jurisdiction conferred upon the

Federal Power Commission by sections 7 and 15 of the Natural Gas Act, and the Commission's rules of practice and procedure, a hearing be held on November 5, 1954, at 9:45 a. m., e. s. t., in a Hearing Room of the Federal Power Commission, 441 G Street NW., Washington, D. C., concerning the matters involved in and the issues presented by such application: *Provided, however,* That the Commission may, after a noncontested hearing, dispose of the proceedings pursuant to the provisions of § 1.30 (c) (2) of the Commission's rules of practice and procedure.

Adopted: October 14, 1954.

Issued: October 18, 1954.

By the Commission.

[SEAL] LEON M. FUQUAY,
Secretary.

[P. R. Doc. 54-8299; Filed, Oct. 21, 1954;
8:49 a. m.]

SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION

[File No. 811-338]

ALLEGHANY CORP.

ORDER POSTPONING HEARING

OCTOBER 18, 1954.

On July 7, 1954, this Commission issued its notice of and order for hearing in these proceedings (Investment Company Act Release No. 1990) ordering that hearings herein be held July 27, 1954. On July 19, 1954, Alleghany Corporation filed a motion to postpone said hearings until a date to be subsequently determined, and pursuant to stipulations between Alleghany and the Commission said hearings were postponed from time to time until October 19, 1954.

Alleghany having renewed its motion to postpone, on October 15, 1954, it was further stipulated between Alleghany and the Commission that the hearings in these proceedings be further postponed subject to call of the Trial Examiner but with not less than 15 days notice to Alleghany: *Provided, however,* That if the Interstate Commerce Commission, before call of the Trial Examiner, revokes, modifies or suspends its order dated June 5, 1945, entered in Finance Docket 14692, in such manner as to relieve Alleghany from compliance with certain provisions of the Interstate Commerce Act specified in said order, then until the conclusion of the hearings herein Alleghany will not, without first obtaining the approval of this Commission, engage in any act or consummate any transactions which, if Alleghany were registered under the Investment Company Act of 1940, would by the terms of that Act either be prohibited or require an order of this Commission exempting or approving such transactions before their consummation.

The Commission being of the opinion, on the basis of the stipulation of October 14, 1954, above recited, that the motion to postpone the hearings in these proceedings may appropriately be granted in the public interest and the interest of investors:

It is hereby ordered, That the motion to postpone the hearings ordered to be held on October 19, 1954, in these proceedings be, and the same hereby is granted and said hearings be and the same are hereby postponed subject to call of the Trial Examiner but with not less than 15 days notice to Alleghany.

By the Commission.

[SEAL] ORVAL L. DUBOIS,
Secretary.

[P. R. Doc. 54-8301; Filed, Oct. 21, 1954;
8:50 a. m.]

[File No. 812-894]

DETROIT AND CLEVELAND NAVIGATION CO.
NOTICE OF FILING REQUESTING ORDER EX-
EMPTING TRANSACTION BETWEEN AFFILI-
ATED PERSONS
OCTOBER 20, 1954.

Notice is hereby given that Detroit and Cleveland Navigation Company ("D & C"), a registered closed-end, non-diversified investment company, has filed an application pursuant to the provisions of section 17 (b) of the Investment Company Act of 1940 (the "act") for exemption from the provisions of section 17 (a) (2) of the participation by any affiliated person of D & C or an affiliated person of such a person in a proposed exchange of securities.

Subject to the affirmative vote of $\frac{2}{3}$ of its shareholders, D & C proposes to partially liquidate by offering to each of its shareholders the opportunity to surrender part or all of his holdings of shares of the company for cancellation and redemption, and to receive in exchange certain of D & C's portfolio common stocks and cash having an aggregate value of \$16.25 for each of D & C's shares surrendered. The portfolio securities to be exchanged and the price per share assigned for such purpose are as follows: Fruehauf Traller Company at \$28 $\frac{1}{4}$, American Optical Company at \$37 $\frac{1}{2}$, and Denver Chicago Trucking Company, Inc. at \$13. Approximately 90 percent of D & C's assets of about \$7,000,000 consists of 115,900 shares of Fruehauf Traller Company, 54,100 shares of American Optical Company and 150,000 shares of Denver Chicago Trucking Company, Inc.; the other assets consist of certain vessels and a small amount of cash and cash items.

Shareholders of D & C will have the right to specify first and second choices of the stocks which they will accept in exchange and such choices will be honored in order either in full or pro rata. No fractional shares of portfolio stocks will be exchanged and any balances will be paid in cash. The right of shareholders to effect exchanges will expire 20 days after mailing of the notice thereof.

The application indicates that the exchange offer represents a compromise between D & C shareholders desiring an immediate liquidation of the company in its entirety (represented by a majority of the Board of Directors) and George J. Kolowich, former President of the company, and his associates, who are opposed to immediate liquidation.

Kolowich has agreed with the Board of Directors of D & C to vote his shares in favor of the proposed exchange offer and to take certain steps in implementation thereof. Kolowich has further agreed not to accept the exchange offer and to endeavor to persuade his associates to do the same. It is a condition to Kolowich's agreement that a derivative law suit brought against Kolowich and others on behalf of the company and its shareholders, in the United States District Court for the Eastern District of Michigan, be dismissed. In accordance with this condition a Motion for Dismissal has been filed by the Plaintiffs and will be heard on October 26, 1954. The application states that the fairness of the proposed exchange will of necessity be submitted to and scrutinized by the Court prior to the entry of an order dismissing said action. The agreement with Kolowich also provides that upon dismissal of said suit D & C will pay the attorneys for the Plaintiffs the sum of \$40,000 for their service to D & C.

The price of \$16.25 at which D & C's shares are proposed to be exchanged is stated to have been arrived at in arm's-length negotiations between the various interests in said law suit.

The price of the stocks of Fruehauf Trailer Corporation and American Optical Company is the closing price of these stocks on the New York Stock Exchange on September 22, 1954. The price of the Denver Chicago Trucking Company stock, which is not listed on any stock exchange, is stated to have been arrived at by arm's-length negotiations.

Section 17 (a) (2) of the act prohibits, among other things, an affiliated person of a registered investment company or an affiliated person of such a person, from purchasing any security from such registered company, subject to certain exceptions not here material, unless the Commission, upon application pursuant to section 17 (b) of the act, grants an exemption from the provisions of section 17 (a). Under the terms of section 17 (b) an exemption shall be granted by the Commission if evidence establishes: that the terms of the proposed transaction, including the consideration to be paid or received, are reasonable and fair and do not involve overreaching on the part of any person concerned; that the proposed transaction is consistent with the policy of each registered investment company concerned, as recited in its registration statement and reports filed under the act; and that the proposed transaction is consistent with the general purposes of the act. D & C has requested that the Commission issue an order exempting the transaction from the provisions of section 17 (a) (2) to the extent applicable, pursuant to an order under section 17 (b) of the act.

D & C represents that the terms of the proposed transaction are reasonable and fair; that the partial liquidation to be effected by the exchange is consistent with the only policy stated in its registration statement, namely that of possible liquidation of the company, and consistent with the purposes of the act.

Notice is further given that any interested person may not later than November 1, 1954, at 5:30 p. m., submit to

the Commission in writing any facts bearing upon the desirability of a hearing on the matter and may request that a hearing be held, such request stating the nature of his interest, the reasons for such request and the issues, if any, of fact or law proposed to be controverted, or he may request that he be notified if the Commission should order a hearing thereon. Any such communication or request should be addressed: Secretary, Securities and Exchange Commission, Washington 25, D. C. At any time after said date, the application may be granted as provided in Rule N-5 of the rules and regulations promulgated under the act.

By the Commission.

[SEAL] ORVAL L. DuBOIS,
Secretary.

[F. R. Doc. 54-8351; Filed, Oct. 21, 1954;
8:54 a. m.]

SMALL BUSINESS ADMINISTRATION

[Declaration of Disaster Area 34]

SOUTH CAROLINA

DECLARATION OF DISASTER AREA

Whereas, it has been reported that beginning on or about October 15, 1954, because of the disastrous effects of hurricane, floods and excessive rainfall, damage resulted to residences and business property located in certain areas in the State of South Carolina; and

Whereas, the Small Business Administration has investigated and has received other reports of investigations of conditions in the areas affected; and

Whereas, after reading and evaluating reports of such conditions, I find that the conditions in such areas constitute a catastrophe within the purview of the Small Business Act of 1953;

Now, therefore, as Acting Administrator of the Small Business Administration, I hereby determine that:

1. Applications for disaster loans under the provisions of section 207 (b) of the Small Business Act of 1953 may be received and considered by the offices below indicated from persons or firms whose property situated in the following counties (including any areas adjacent to the counties below named) suffered damage or other destruction as a result of the catastrophe above referred to:

Counties of: Dillon, Georgetown, Horry, Marion.

Small Business Administration Regional Office, Southern States Building, Seventh and Main Streets, Richmond 19, Va.

Small Business Administration Branch Office, Independence Building, Room 1315, 102 West Trade Street, Charlotte, N. C.

2. A special field office will be established October 22, 1954 at the City Hall, Myrtle Beach, South Carolina, to receive and process such applications.

3. Applications for disaster loans under the authority of this order will not be accepted subsequent to April 30, 1955.

Dated: October 18, 1954.

W. NORBERT ENGLIS,
Acting Administrator.

[F. R. Doc. 54-8302; Filed, Oct. 21, 1954;
8:50 a. m.]

[Declaration of Disaster Area 35]

NORTH CAROLINA

DECLARATION OF DISASTER AREA

Whereas, it has been reported that beginning on or about October 15, 1954, because of the disastrous effects of hurricane, floods and excessive rainfall, damage resulted to residences and business property located in certain areas in the State of North Carolina; and

Whereas, the Small Business Administration has investigated and has received other reports of investigations of conditions in the areas affected; and

Whereas, after reading and evaluating reports of such conditions, I find that the conditions in such areas constitute a catastrophe within the purview of the Small Business Act of 1953;

Now, therefore, as Acting Administrator of the Small Business Administration, I hereby determine that:

1. Applications for disaster loans under the provisions of section 207 (b) of the Small Business Act of 1953 may be received and considered by the offices below indicated from persons or firms whose property situated in the following counties (including any areas adjacent to the counties below named) suffered damage or other destruction as a result of the catastrophe above referred to:

Counties of: Beaufort, Bladen, Brunswick, Carteret, Columbus, Craven, Cumberland, Duplin, Franklin, Greene, Harnett, Johnston, Jones, Lenoir, Nash, New Hanover, Onslow, Pamlico, Pender, Pitt, Robeson, Sampson, Vance, Wayne, Wake, Wilson, Warren.

Small Business Administration Regional Office, Southern States Building, Seventh and Main Streets, Richmond 19, Va.

Small Business Administration Branch Office, Independence Building, Room 1315, 102 West Trade Street, Charlotte, N. C.

2. A special field office will be established October 22, 1954 at Room 100-A, Custom House, Wilmington, North Carolina, to receive and process such applications.

3. Applications for disaster loans under the authority of this order will not be accepted subsequent to April 30, 1955.

Dated: October 18, 1954.

W. NORBERT ENGLIS,
Acting Administrator.

[F. R. Doc. 54-8303; Filed, Oct. 21, 1954;
8:50 a. m.]

[Declaration of Disaster Area 36]

NEW JERSEY

DECLARATION OF DISASTER AREA

Whereas, it has been reported that beginning on or about October 15, 1954, because of the disastrous effects of hurricane, floods and excessive rainfall, damage resulted to residences and business property located in certain areas in the State of New Jersey; and

Whereas, the Small Business Administration has investigated and has received other reports of investigations of conditions in the areas affected; and

Whereas, after reading and evaluating reports of such conditions, I find that the conditions in such areas constitute a catastrophe within the purview of the Small Business Act of 1953;

Now, therefore, as Acting Administrator of the Small Business Administration, I hereby determine that:

1. Applications for disaster loans under the provisions of section 207 (b) of the Small Business Act of 1953 may be received and considered by the office below indicated from persons or firms whose property situated in the following counties (including any areas adjacent to the counties below named) suffered damage or other destruction as a result of the catastrophe above referred to:

Counties of: Mercer, Ocean, Burlington, Camden, Gloucester, Atlantic, Salem, Cumberland, Cape May.

Small Business Administration Regional Office, 1015 Chestnut Street, Room 1118, Philadelphia 7, Pa.

2. Special field offices to receive such applications will not be established at this time.

3. Applications for disaster loans under the authority of this order will not be accepted subsequent to April 30, 1955.

Dated: October 18, 1954.

W. NORBERT ENGLIS,
Acting Administrator.

[F. R. Doc. 54-8304; Filed, Oct. 21, 1954;
8:50 a. m.]

GENERAL SERVICES ADMINISTRATION

DISPOSITION OF RAW OPTICAL GLASS
BLANKS HELD IN NATIONAL STOCK PILE

Pursuant to the provisions of section 3 (e) of the Strategic and Critical Materials Stock Piling Act, 60 Stat. 597, 50 U. S. C. 98b (e), notice is hereby given of a proposed disposition of 668 pieces of raw optical glass blanks now held in the National Stock Pile.

This quantity of raw optical glass blanks is no longer needed in the National Stock Pile because of a revised determination on October 4, 1954, by the Office of Defense Mobilization that newer and better designs render the present material no longer useful in time of war. The material is therefore obsolescent within the meaning of said section 3 (e).

The Department of the Air Force which has a requirement for optical glass has expressed itself as interested in acquiring this material for current requirements. Accordingly, it is proposed to transfer the 668 pieces of raw optical glass blanks to the Air Force on and after April 22, 1955, on the basis of reimbursement for actual costs to stockpile funds. The proposed disposition will have no disruptive effect on the market for raw optical glass blanks.

Disposition of the 668 pieces of raw optical glass blanks as outlined above has been proposed in order to avoid (1) expensive and costly waste of this material, and (2) continuing storage charges.

Dated: October 20, 1954.

A. J. WALSH,
Commissioner,
Emergency Procurement Service.

[F. R. Doc. 54-8372; Filed, Oct. 21, 1954;
12:03 p. m.]

INTERSTATE COMMERCE COMMISSION

[4th Sec. Application 29806]

PULPWOOD FROM EVADALE, TEXAS, TO WESTERN TRUNK LINE TERRITORY

APPLICATION FOR RELIEF

OCTOBER 19, 1954.

The Commission is in receipt of the above-entitled and numbered application for relief from the long-and-short-haul provision of section 4 (1) of the Interstate Commerce Act.

Filed by: F. C. Kratzmeir, Agent, for carriers parties to schedule listed below. Commodities involved: Pulpwood, carloads.

From: Evadale, Tex.

To: Points in Iowa, Michigan, Minnesota, Missouri, Nebraska, South Dakota, and Wisconsin.

Grounds for relief: Rail competition, circuitry, market competition, grouping, rates constructed on the basis of the short line distance formula, and additional origin.

Schedules filed containing proposed rates: F. C. Kratzmeir, Agent, I. C. C. No. 4122, supp. 3.

Any interested person desiring the Commission to hold a hearing upon such application shall request the Commission in writing so to do within 15 days from the date of this notice. As provided by the general rules of practice of the Commission, Rule 73, persons other than applicants should fairly disclose their interest, and the position they intend to take at the hearing with respect to the application. Otherwise the Commission, in its discretion, may proceed to investigate and determine the matters involved in such application without further or formal hearing. If because of an emergency a grant of temporary relief is found to be necessary before the expiration of the 15-day period, a hearing, upon a request filed within that period, may be held subsequently.

By the Commission.

[SEAL] GEORGE W. LAIRD,
Secretary.

[F. R. Doc. 54-8305; Filed, Oct. 21, 1954;
8:51 a. m.]

[4th Sec. Application 29807]

CEMENT FROM CENTRAL TERRITORY TO POINTS IN EAST

APPLICATION FOR RELIEF

OCTOBER 19, 1954.

The Commission is in receipt of the above-entitled and numbered application for relief from the long-and-short-haul provision of section 4 (1) of the Interstate Commerce Act.

Filed by: H. R. Hinsch, Agent, for carriers parties to schedules indicated below.

Commodities involved: Cement and related articles, carloads.

From: Producing points in Illinois, Indiana, Ohio, New York, and Pennsylvania.

To: Points in Virginia, Maryland, West Virginia, Kentucky, North Carolina, and Cincinnati, Ohio.

Grounds for relief: Rail competition, circuitry, market competition, grouping, and to apply rates constructed on the basis of the short line distance formula.

Schedules filed containing proposed rates: H. R. Hinsch, Agent, I. C. C. No. 3826, supplement 71, and other schedules listed in appendix "A" of the application.

Any interested person desiring the Commission to hold a hearing upon such application shall request the Commission in writing so to do within 15 days from the date of this notice. As provided by the general rules of practice of the Commission, Rule 73, persons other than applicants should fairly disclose their interest, and the position they intend to take at the hearing with respect to the application. Otherwise the Commission, in its discretion, may proceed to investigate and determine the matters involved in such application without further or formal hearing. If because of an emergency a grant of temporary relief is found to be necessary before the expiration of the 15-day period, a hearing, upon a request filed within that period, may be held subsequently.

By the Commission.

[SEAL] GEORGE W. LAIRD,
Secretary.

[F. R. Doc. 54-8306; Filed, Oct. 21, 1954;
8:51 a. m.]

[4th Sec. Application 29808]

ASPHALT FROM BLAKELY, ALA., TO BIRMINGHAM, ALA., DISTRICT

APPLICATION FOR RELIEF

OCTOBER 19, 1954.

The Commission is in receipt of the above-entitled and numbered application for relief from the long-and-short-haul provision of section 4 (1) of the Interstate Commerce Act.

Filed by: R. E. Boyle, Jr., Agent, for carriers parties to schedule listed below. Commodities involved: Asphalt (asphaltum), in tank-car loads.

From: Blakely, Ala.

To: Birmingham, Ala., and points in the Birmingham district.

Grounds for relief: Competition with rail carriers, and circuitous routes.

Schedules filed containing proposed rates: W. P. Emerson, Jr., Agent, I. C. C. No. 424, supp. 14.

Any interested person desiring the Commission to hold a hearing upon such application shall request the Commission in writing so to do within 15 days from the date of this notice. As provided by the general rules of practice of the Commission, Rule 73, persons other than applicants should fairly disclose their interest, and the position they intend to take at the hearing with respect to the application. Otherwise the Commission, in its discretion, may proceed to investigate and determine the matters involved in such application without further or formal hearing. If because of an emergency a grant of temporary relief is

found to be necessary before the expiration of the 15-day period, a hearing, upon a request filed within that period, may be held subsequently.

By the Commission.

[SEAL] GEORGE W. LAIRD,
Secretary.

[F. R. Doc. 54-8307; Filed, Oct. 21, 1954;
8:51 a. m.]

[4th Sec. Application 29809]

CLAY FROM POINTS IN SOUTH TO POINTS IN NORTH CAROLINA AND GEORGIA

APPLICATION FOR RELIEF

OCTOBER 19, 1954.

The Commission is in receipt of the above-entitled and numbered application for relief from the long-and-short-haul provision of section 4 (1) of the Interstate Commerce Act.

Filed by: R. E. Boyle, Jr., Agent, for carriers parties to schedule listed below. Commodities involved: Clay, kaolin or pyrophyllite, carloads.

From: Points in Florida, Georgia, North Carolina, South Carolina, and Virginia.

To: Waynesboro, Ga., Fairmont, Laurinburg, Lumberton, and Red Springs, N. C.

Grounds for relief: Rail competition, circuitry, grouping, and to apply rates constructed on the basis of the short line distance formula, and additional origins and destinations.

Schedules filed containing proposed rates: C. A. Spaninger, Agent, I. C. C. No. 1323, supp. 64.

Any interested person desiring the Commission to hold a hearing upon such application shall request the Commission in writing so to do within 15 days from the date of this notice. As provided by the general rules of practice of the Commission, Rule 73, persons other than applicants should fairly disclose their interest, and the position they intend to take at the hearing with respect to the application. Otherwise the Commission, in its discretion, may proceed to investigate and determine the matters involved in such application without further or formal hearing. If because of an emergency a grant of temporary relief is found to be necessary before the expiration of the 15-day period, a hearing, upon a request filed within that period, may be held subsequently.

By the Commission.

[SEAL] GEORGE W. LAIRD,
Secretary.

[F. R. Doc. 54-8308; Filed, Oct. 21, 1954;
8:51 a. m.]

[4th Sec. Application 29810]

BEEF SUGAR FINAL MOLASSES FROM BINGHAM, EAST GRAND FORKS, AND WILDS, MINN., TO MILWAUKEE, WIS.

APPLICATION FOR RELIEF

OCTOBER 19, 1954.

The Commission is in receipt of the above-entitled and numbered application

for relief from the long-and-short-haul provision of section 4 (1) of the Interstate Commerce Act.

Filed by: W. J. Prueter, Agent, for carriers parties to schedule listed below.
Commodities involved: Beet sugar final molasses, in tank-car loads.

From: Bingham, East Grand Forks, and Wilds, Minn.

To: Milwaukee, Wis.

Grounds for relief: Rail competition, circuitry, and market competition.

Schedules filed containing proposed rates: V. P. Brown, Agent, I. C. C. No. 2, supp. 6.

Any interested person desiring the Commission to hold a hearing upon such application shall request the Commission in writing so to do within 15 days from the date of this notice. As provided by the general rules of practice of the Commission, Rule 73, persons other than applicants should fairly disclose their interest, and the position they intend to take at the hearing with respect to the application. Otherwise the Commission, in its discretion, may proceed to investigate and determine the matters involved in such application without further or formal hearing. If because of an emergency a grant of temporary relief is found to be necessary before the expiration of the 15-day period, a hearing, upon a request filed within that period, may be held subsequently.

By the Commission.

[SEAL]

GEORGE W. LAIRD,
Secretary.

[F. R. Doc. 54-8309; Filed, Oct. 21, 1954;
8:51 a. m.]

[4th Sec. Application 29811]

GRAIN FROM MEMPHIS, TENN., TO POINTS
IN LOUISIANA

APPLICATION FOR RELIEF

OCTOBER 19, 1954.

The Commission is in receipt of the above-entitled and numbered application for relief from the long-and-short-haul provision of section 4 (1) of the Interstate Commerce Act.

Filed by: R. E. Boyle, Jr., Agent, for carriers parties to schedules listed below.

Commodities involved: Grain, grain products, and related articles, carloads.
From: Memphis, Tenn.

To: New Orleans and Baton Rouge, La., and points in Louisiana (west of the Mississippi River).

Grounds for relief: Competition with rail carriers, and circuitous routes.

Schedules filed containing proposed rates: F. C. Kratzmeir, Agent, I. C. C.

No. 3940, supp. 42; C. A. Spaninger, Agent, I. C. C. No. 1353, supp. 37.

Any interested person desiring the Commission to hold a hearing upon such application shall request the Commission in writing so to do within 15 days from the date of this notice. As provided by the general rules of practice of the Commission, Rule 73, persons other than applicants should fairly disclose their interest, and the position they intend to take at the hearing with respect to the application. Otherwise the Commission, in its discretion, may proceed to investigate and determine the matters involved in such application without further or formal hearing. If because of an emergency a grant of temporary relief is found to be necessary before the expiration of the 15-day period, a hearing, upon a request filed within that period, may be held subsequently.

By the Commission.

[SEAL]

GEORGE W. LAIRD,
Secretary.

[F. R. Doc. 54-8310; Filed, Oct. 21, 1954;
8:51 a. m.]

[4th Sec. Application 29812]

CAUSTIC SODA FROM BATON ROUGE, LA., TO
FOLEY, FLA.

APPLICATION FOR RELIEF

OCTOBER 19, 1954.

The Commission is in receipt of the above-entitled and numbered application for relief from the long-and-short-haul provision of section 4 (1) of the Interstate Commerce Act.

Filed by: R. E. Boyle, Jr., Agent, for carriers parties to schedule listed below.
Commodities involved: Caustic soda, in tank-car loads.

From: Baton Rouge and North Baton Rouge, La.

To: Foley, Fla.

Grounds for relief: Competition with rail carriers, and market competition.

Schedules filed containing proposed rates: C. A. Spaninger, Agent, I. C. C. No. 1400, supp. 36.

Any interested person desiring the Commission to hold a hearing upon such application shall request the Commission in writing so to do within 15 days from the date of this notice. As provided by the general rules of practice of the Commission, Rule 73, persons other than applicants should fairly disclose their interest, and the position they intend to take at the hearing with respect to the application. Otherwise the Commission, in its discretion, may proceed to investigate and determine the matters involved in such application without

further or formal hearing. If because of an emergency a grant of temporary relief is found to be necessary before the expiration of the 15-day period, a hearing, upon a request filed within that period, may be held subsequently.

By the Commission.

[SEAL]

GEORGE W. LAIRD,
Secretary.

[F. R. Doc. 54-8311; Filed, Oct. 21, 1954;
8:52 a. m.]

[4th Sec. Application 29813]

AMMUNITION BOXES FROM TRUNK-LINE
AND NEW ENGLAND TERRITORIES TO
CHICAGO AND SAVANNA, ILL., AND LA
PORTE, IND.

APPLICATION FOR RELIEF

OCTOBER 19, 1954.

The Commission is in receipt of the above-entitled and numbered application for relief from the long-and-short-haul provision of section 4 (1) of the Interstate Commerce Act.

Filed by: C. W. Boin, Agent, for carriers parties to schedules shown in exhibit "A" of the application, pursuant to fourth-section order No. 17220.

Commodities involved: Ammunition boxes, carloads.

From: Specified points in trunk-line and New England territories.

To: Chicago and Savanna, Ill., and La Porte, Ind.

Grounds for relief: Competition with rail carriers, and circuitous routes.

Any interested person desiring the Commission to hold a hearing upon such application shall request the Commission in writing so to do within 15 days from the date of this notice. As provided by the general rules of practice of the Commission, Rule 73, persons other than applicants should fairly disclose their interest, and the position they intend to take at the hearing with respect to the application. Otherwise the Commission, in its discretion, may proceed to investigate and determine the matters involved in such application without further or formal hearing. If because of an emergency a grant of temporary relief is found to be necessary before the expiration of the 15-day period, a hearing, upon a request filed within that period, may be held subsequently.

By the Commission.

[SEAL]

GEORGE W. LAIRD,
Secretary.

[F. R. Doc. 54-8312; Filed, Oct. 21, 1954;
8:52 a. m.]